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Materials and Methods

Sample preparation.

T7 phages (ATCC, BAA-1025-B2) were inoculated in 1L *Escherichia coli* (ATCC, BAA102) cells for 4 h at 37°C. After the cells were lysed, phages in the supernatant were precipitated with 1M NaCl and 10% polyethylene glycol 8000 overnight. The precipitated phages were resuspended in the TNM buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) and then were purified on 1.56g/mL and 1.26g/mL CsCl cushions through ultracentrifugation. After centrifugation at 197,000 g for 12 h at 10°C, two virus bands were separated. The upper and lower band were collected and repeatedly ultracentrifuged on the aforementioned 1.26g/mL and 1.56g/mL CsCl cushions separately and dialyzed in TNM buffer overnight. Negative stain electron microscope observations indicated that the upper and the lower bands were empty and full particles, respectively.

Cryo-EM Imaging, image processing.

The full and empty particles of T7 were imaged with an FEI Technai Arctica 200 kV electron microscope equipped with a Falcon II camera at a nominal magnification of 78,000×, corresponding to a pixel size of 1.27 Å, respectively. The full electron dose of approximately 25 e⁻/Å² was fractionated into 30 movie frames, which were aligned and averaged to a single image (Li et al., 2013). The astigmatism and defocus value of each image were determined by a program that we designed. The viral particles were boxed automatically using the program ETHAN (Kivioja et al., 2000) and these boxes were then verified manually.
3D reconstructions of the unique vertices of the full and empty particles.

The icosahedral reconstructions of T7 full particles were performed using the programs (Li et al., 2017) based on the common-line algorithm (Fuller et al., 1996; Thuman-Commike and Chiu, 1997). To obtain the initial model of the asymmetric structure of the tailed phage, the location of the portal-tail complex for each particle image was determined based on the icosahedral orientation and center parameters through a search of the 12 icosahedral vertices for the unique vertex with the portal-tail complex. The correct orientation of the tailed phage for each particle image was determined using the symmetry-mismatch reconstruction method (Liu and Cheng, 2015). (i) For each particle image, we projected the model to generate 60 projection images according to the 60 equivalent icosahedral orientations of the particle image. We searched the 60 projection images for the projection image that best matches with the particle image and then assigned the corresponding orientation to the particle image. (ii) We reconstructed the tailed phage structure using the particle images according to the newly assigned orientations. We then obtained an improved model of the portal-tail complex. (iii) We iterated steps (i) and (ii) until the orientations of all particle images were mostly stabilized and the portal-tail complex structure could not be improved further.

We further refined the orientation for each particle image by using the symmetry-mismatch reconstruction method (Liu and Cheng, 2015). (i) We segmented the portal-tail complex region from the whole phage structure determined above for use as an initial model. (ii) For each particle image, we projected the 3D tail-portal complex model to generate 5 projection images according to the 5 equivalent orientations of the unique 5-fold capsid shell vertex. We searched the 5 projection images for the projection
image that best matches with the portal-tail region in the particle image and then assigned the corresponding orientation to the particle image, and this symmetry-mismatch search method is similar to that used for the bacteriophage \( \phi 29 \) reconstruction (Morais et al., 2001). (iii) We reconstructed a whole phage structure by using the particle images according to the newly assigned orientations. We then obtained an improved model of the portal-tail complex. (iv) We iterated steps (ii) and (iii) until the orientations of all particle images were mostly stabilized and the portal-tail complex structure could not be improved. A soft-mask was used for each particle image.

The orientation and center parameters of each portal-tail complex in the particle image were further refined using local refinement and reconstruction method (Wang et al., 2018; Yuan et al., 2018; Zhu et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019). We used the portal-tail complex structure segmented from the whole phage structure as the model to refine orientation and center for the portal-tail complex region in each particle image. The reconstruction and refinement were performed iteratively to improve the resolution until the orientations and centers of the portal-tail complex regions in all images were stabilized and the portal-tail complex structure could not be improved. A soft-mask was used for the region of local refinement in each particle image.

Following the same image processing protocol, we reconstructed the whole structure of the capsid II, the portal of the capsid II, and core in the mature phage and that in capsid II.

**Atomic model building and refinement.**

Our models of the two gp8 (in the mature phage and capsid II), gp11, gp12, and gp17 N-terminus were built based on our cryo-EM density maps using the COOT
software (Emsley et al., 2010). The models were refined using real-space refinement as implemented in Phenix (Adams et al., 2010). We built the model of gp8 in capsid II with the reference to the model of gp8 in mature phage. Refinement and validation statistics are presented in Table S1.

**Supplementary text**

**Definition of reference orientation coordinate system of reconstruction.**

The Euler angles of our portal and tail reconstruction are defined as follows. First, we place three mutually perpendicular 2-fold axes of the icosahedron coincident to the Cartesian \(x\), \(y\), and \(z\) axes, according to the icosahedral reconstruction (Baker et al., 1999; Thuman-Commike and Chiu, 2000). Then, the reference orientation coordinate system used in the symmetry-mismatch reconstruction is defined by the rotation \(\theta=31.72^\circ\) so that the axis of the tailed 5-fold vertex coincides with the \(z\) axis (Fig. S10). As such, \(\phi\) defines the angle by which the portal-tail complex rotates around the 5-fold vertex axis of the capsid shell.

**Flexible interaction between the portal and shell.**

The local reconstruction method allows us to determine the orientation and center distributions of the portal with respect to the shell in the mature and capsid II particles through the use of Euler angles (Fig. S10) and 2-D translation. The Euler angles and centers (Fig. S11) show the difference between the asymmetric refinement result and the subsequent local refinement result. The rotation angles \(\theta\) and \(\omega\) and translations \(x\) and \(y\) of the portal position with respect to the shell in the mature particle were observed to be four narrow Gaussian distributions of particle numbers for each angles \(\theta\) and \(\omega\) and
translations x and y ranging from -2° to 2° and from 0 to 3 Å, respectively (Fig. S11A and S11C). These distributions can be regarded as random errors. However, the distribution of the $\phi$, which represents the rotation angle of the portal around the 5-fold axis with respect to the shell, was observed to be an overlap of two Gaussian distributions with the means at -3° and 3° (a span of 6°) respectively. (Fig. S11A). It suggests that many of the particles have a wrong $\phi$ angle assigned to the portal (as $\phi$, $\phi+72^\circ$, $\phi+144^\circ$, $\phi+216^\circ$ and $\phi+288^\circ$ are degenerate), requiring a change of up to 3° in either direction during local refinement.

We also determined the orientation and center distributions of the portal in the capsid II particle (Fig. S11B and S11D). All these distributions were Gaussian curves, which reflect the flexible interactions between the portal and shell and the lower resolution of this reconstruction. The ranges of the distributions of the capsid II portal were clearly wider than those of the mature phage portal. These results could not prove that the portal rotates axially, as suggested previously (Hendrix, 1978; Simpson et al., 2000).

**Structural comparisons with recombinant portal and tail structures of T7.**

We compared our in situ portal and tail structures with recently reported recombinant portal-tail (gp8-gp11-gp12) and portal (gp8) structures (PDB ID: 6R21, 6QXM, and 6QX5) (Cuervo et al., 2019). Our tail structure is almost identical to the reported tail structure (6R21). However, our portal in the mature phage and that in the capsid II do not fit well with any of the three portal structures. In addition, all the reported portals have no helical barrel domain.

A superposition of the recombinant portal-tail structure (6R21) with our portal-tail structure showed that the portal in the two structures are mostly identical, with the
exception of the conformation of the kinked helix (α10) and the tunnel loop. This N-terminal part of α10 in our portal structures points almost perpendicularly toward the channel axis, whereas the counterpart in their recombinant portal-tail structure tilts upward, resulting in a wider channel. In addition, the α-helices in the two crowns vary slightly. The hexameric gp12 nozzles in the two structures are identical, with the exception of a loop (residues 736-744), which was flexible in the recombinant portal-tail structure, was resolved in our structure. The gp11 proteins in the two structure have an identical main body but they have different N-terminal loops. In our structure, two adjacent gp11 N-terminal loops approach each other, resulting in a 6-fold symmetric arrangement of the gp11 dimer (Fig. S6B and S6C). These structural variations occur in the regions interacting with the gp17 trimers, which were absent from their structures. The portal structure 6QX5 is similar to our portal structure in the mature phage, although most of the secondary structure does not fit well. The portal structure 6QXM is similar to our portal structure in the capsid II, however, the crown is not present in their portal, and the N-terminal part of α10 in their portal structure tilts upward (in contrast to the rough perpendicularity toward the channel axis in our structure).

Legends for Movies S1.
Conformational change of portal from packaging state to mature state.
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**Supplementary Figures**

**Fig. S1.** Fourier shell correlation (FSC) curves. We randomly split the whole dataset in two halves for calculating the FSC. Soft-masks were used for the resolution assessments. (A) Estimated structural resolutions of the icosahedral capsid shells of the mature T7 and capsid II. (B) The estimated structural resolutions of the core, portals, and tail in the mature T7 and capsid II.
Fig. S2. Icosahedral reconstruction of the mature T7 and capsid II. (A) Overall view of the mature T7 icosahedral capsid shell structure. Capsid shell proteins in an asymmetric unit are colored. (B) Zoomed-in view of the asymmetric unit (transparent view) superimposed with atomic model of the capsid shell proteins (ribbon view). (C) Zoomed-in view of a shell protein (transparent view) superimposed with its atomic model (ribbon view). (D) Zoomed-in view of a protein segment (mesh view) superimposed with its atomic model (stick view). (E) Overall view of the icosahedral capsid II shell structure. (F) Zoomed-in view of the asymmetric unit (transparent view) superimposed with atomic model of the capsid shell proteins (ribbon view).
Fig. S3  Side, bottom, and top views of the 8-fold core in the mature phage show that the bottom region is a helix-rich structure.

Fig. S4.  A diagram of the symmetry-mismatch interaction between the 5-fold shell and 12-fold portal. When the portal (blue) rotates 6 degrees, the portal-shell interaction will coincide with the portal-shell interaction before the rotation.

Fig. S5.  Superposition of a copy of the portal (gray) in mature T7 phage (A) and in capsid II (B) on its atomic model (magenta) .
Fig. S6. Structures of the tail complex. (A) Overall view of the core, portal, and tail complex in the mature phage. (B) 12-fold gp11 proteins. (C) Two adjacent gp11 N-terminal loops approach each other (indicated by two arrows in the ribbon view). (D) 6-fold gp17 N-terminal trimers. (E) Zoomed-in view of the gp17 trimer and monomer.
**Fig. S7** Zoomed-in view of the portal-shell interaction. Five copies of the two α-helices are in green. Fitting of shell proteins (colored ribbons) into the density map (gray transparent view) reveals that the helices cannot be assigned to the shell proteins. The helices could be assigned to part of the scaffolding protein.

**Fig. S8** Side, bottom, and top views of the core structure in the capsid II.
Fig. S9. Conformational changes between mature phage and capsid II. (A) Cut-open views of the mature phage (left) and the capsid II (right) show that the portal and core in mature phage move downward. (B) Cut-open view of superposition of the capsid II (gray) and mature phage (pink) shows that the open vertex of the shell is squeezed out by the portal during maturation. The open vertex structure was improved to 8 Å resolution by using the local reconstruction. The portal structures were not shown for clarity.

Fig. S10. Definitions of reference orientation coordinate systems for icosahedral (left) and asymmetrical (right) reconstructions.
Fig. S11. Orientation and center distributions of portal in the mature and capsid II particles. For panels A and B, the vertical axis represents the number of particles, and the horizontal axis represents three Euler angles of the portal rotation in degree. Only the period of $\varphi$ (360/12=30° for the 12-fold portal) was plotted. For panels C and D the vertical axis represents the number of particles, and the horizontal axis represents the portal translations in pixel (pixel size is 1.27 Å). (A) Distributions of the portal orientation angles with respect to the shell in mature particle. (B) Distributions of the portal orientation angles with respect to the shell in capsid II particle. (C) Distribution of the portal translations with respect to the shell in the mature particle. (D) Distribution of the portal translations with respect to the shell in the capsid II particle.
**Table S1. Data collection, reconstruction, and modeling statistic.**

| Data collection | Electron microscopy | FEI 200 kV Technai Arctica, Falcon II camera |
|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Pixel size      |                     | 1.27Å                                       |
| Defocus range   |                     | 0.5 to 4.0µm                                |
| Total movie-mode micrographs |       | mature phage T7  | capsid II |
|                  |                     | 1,785                                       | 5,175    |

**Icosahedral reconstruction**

|                  | mature phage T7  | capsid II |
|                  | 58,690           | 84,318    |
| Resolution       | 3.5Å             | 4.0Å      |
| B-factors        | 200              | 240       |

| EMDB ID |              |             |
|---------|--------------|-------------|

**Symmetry-mismatch reconstruction**

|                  | mature phage T7  | capsid II |
|                  | 57,954           | 71,564    |
| Resolution       | 7                | 10         |

**Local reconstruction**

|                  | mature phage T7  | capsid II |
|                  | portal-tail      | core       |
|                  | 55,212           | 44,870     |
| Resolution       | 3.8Å             | 6Å         |
| B-factors        | 160              | 0          |

| EMDB ID |              |             |
|---------|--------------|-------------|

**Atomic models refinement/statistics (phenix.real_space_refine)**

|                  | mature phage T7  | capsid II |
| Protein          | gp8              | gp11       |
|                  | gp12             | gp17       |
| PDB ID           | gp8              |            |

| Model Resolution in Refinement | mature phage T7 | capsid II |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Total Residues                | 6252            | 2310      |
| CC (model to map fit)         | 0.8096          | 0.8041    |
| Ramachandran most favorable (%) | 92.03          | 92.73     |
| Ramachandran additionally allowed (%) | 5.27        | 6.49      |
| Ramachandran disallowed (%)   | 2.7             | 0.78      |

| Symmetry-mismatch reconstruction | mature phage T7 | capsid II |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Resolution                      | 4.0Å            | 5.0Å      |
| Total Residues                  | 5136            | 2268      |
| CC (model to map fit)           | 0.7159          | 0.7159    |
| Ramachandran most favorable (%) | 81.71           | 81.71     |
| Ramachandran additionally allowed (%) | 14.49        | 14.49     |
| Ramachandran disallowed (%)     | 3.8             | 3.8       |