Seasonal Sources and Cycling of Nitrogen Revealed by Stable Isotopes in the Northeastern Beibu Gulf, China
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Abstract: Isotope measurements were performed on dissolved nitrate (NO3−) and ammonium (NH4+) in the coastal waters of the northeastern Beibu Gulf, China, to investigate the seasonal nitrate sources and their biogeochemical processes, which are due to the rapid development of local industrialisation and urbanisation. The high N/P ratio observed in the coastal bay during both fall and spring suggests that P is a limiting nutrient, which in turn indicates that increasing P causes conditions favourable for algal blooms. Higher nutrient concentrations and δ15N-NO3− and δ15N-NH4+ values were found in the nearshore area in the fall, suggesting that nutrients originated mainly from land-based pollution. A Bayesian isotope mixing model was used to calculate the contribution of potential NO3− sources and the results showed that in the nearshore area, NO3− originated mainly from manure and sewage (58%). In the spring, however, in addition to the impact of urban sewage effluents, the exchange of sediment and water was another important factor causing higher nutrient concentrations and positive NO3− isotopes in the nearshore area. There were lower concentrations of nutrients and an increase in δ15N-NO3− and δ15N-NH4+ values in the offshore area in the fall, and the NO3− loss in the surface water was mainly caused by the process of assimilation. However, the exchange of sediment and water was the dominant factor causing higher nutrient concentrations (except for NO3−) and positive dual nitrate isotopes but lower NO3− concentration in the offshore area during the spring. Overall, isotope analysis of NO3− and NH4+ helps to illustrate the major sources of the former and their biological transformation in the northeastern Beibu Gulf.
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1. Introduction

Coastal systems globally often receive various nutrient sources, which are primarily driven by anthropogenic activities, resulting in serious nutrient load in coastal waters [1–6]. Moreover, coastal areas play a significant role in the biogeochemical processing of nutrients [4]. Nitrogen is an important element in the regulation of marine primary productivity and climate change [7]. However, the global discharge of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) is predicted to increase to 47 × 106 tons per year in 2050, which is over double the estimated discharge rate in 1990 [4,8]). Increasing N loads in coastal systems have caused several environmental problems including eutrophication, hypoxia, and harmful algal blooms [3,9–14]; therefore, they have become a focus of increasing public concern. Thus, tracing N sources and N recycling processes are important to designing effective management practices to protect coastal ecosystems.

The traditional methods for the determination of the nitrate contamination source are to identify by investigating the land use type of the contaminant area, combined with
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the analysis of hydrochemical characteristics. However, because of the diversity of the potential sources, which mixed the point and non-point sources, and the complex chemical, physical and biological transformation processes in the nitrate cycle, the results obtained by this traditional method are relatively rough [15]. Among the many methods available, the stable nitrogen isotope δ\textsuperscript{15}N-NO\textsubscript{3} from various N pools and the oxygen isotope of nitrate (δ\textsuperscript{18}O-NO\textsubscript{3}) are powerful “tracers” and/or “integrators” in N cycle processes and have been used successfully to reveal N sources and their biogeochemical processes in aquatic systems [9,16–19]. Various N sources can generally be distinguished by the range of δ\textsuperscript{15}N-NO\textsubscript{3} and δ\textsuperscript{18}O-NO\textsubscript{3} values they contain [20]. For example, nitrate from manure and sewage is characterised by relatively high δ\textsuperscript{15}N-NO\textsubscript{3} values compared to that from fertiliser and atmospheric deposition; this is because of the volatilisation of 15N-depleted ammonia [3,21]. Furthermore, the δ\textsuperscript{18}O-NO\textsubscript{3} values from atmospheric nitrates are generally high (>50‰) relative to those from other sources (<25‰) [9,22]. A Bayesian isotope mixing model has previously been applied successfully to quantify the relative proportion of various N sources in a sample by using different isotopic signals from the former [23–26]. In addition, isotopic signals in nitrates also reflect biogeochemical N processes in aquatic systems, including nitrate assimilation, nitrification, denitrification, and N\textsubscript{2} fixation [18,27,28]. However, biological processes of N often cause isotopic fractionation due to the preferential transformation or assimilation of lighter isotopes (\textsuperscript{14}N and \textsuperscript{18}O) [9,20]. For example, nitrification can cause the formation of 15N-depleted nitrate while denitrification can cause the enrichment of δ\textsuperscript{15}N and δ\textsuperscript{18}O by a ratio of 1:1 [18,28,29]. Similarly, assimilation can cause an increase in δ\textsuperscript{15}N and δ\textsuperscript{18}O in a residual nitrate pool [27], but the remineralisation of sinking organic N increases the percentage of light N in water [30]. The processes that occur in marine environments make it more complicated to determine N sources; therefore, a better understanding of N sources and the processes they undergo in marine ecosystems could be achieved by combining the variations in and distribution of the δ\textsuperscript{15}N (and δ\textsuperscript{18}O) of various N.

The Beibu Gulf (17.0°–22.0° N, 105.5°–110.0° E), located in the north-western part of the South China Sea (SCS), is a developing industrial area in southern China. Its high productivity and rich biological diversity have also made the Beibu Gulf a key fishing ground and source of fisheries products for that area [31,32]. However, the increasing population, intensification of agricultural activity, and rapid industrialisation and urbanisation that took place along the coast of Guangxi Province in recent decades have greatly increased N loading to this gulf [33–40]. Eutrophication fuelled by anthropogenic nutrient inputs is now a serious problem along the coast [33,34,38], which have led to many harmful algae bloom events in the coastal gulf and port [41]. Moreover, the index of nutritional status increased significantly over past decades, which was caused by the increased inputs of nutrients from the coastal Guangxi Beibu Gulf [37,38]. Particularly in the northeast of the gulf, the pollution level in that region is higher than that in the northwest due to the rapid development of industrialisation and urbanisation [34,42,43]. However, despite this, information on nitrate sources and biogeochemical transformations in the area is very limited.

To provide a comprehensive overview of nitrate sources and cycles in the northeastern Beibu Gulf, we investigated the concentrations of the nutrients NO\textsubscript{3}, NO\textsubscript{2}, NH\textsubscript{4}, and PO\textsubscript{4} and the isotopes δ\textsuperscript{15}N-NO\textsubscript{3}, δ\textsuperscript{18}O-NO\textsubscript{3}, and δ\textsuperscript{15}N-NH\textsubscript{4} in the seawater during different seasons (fall and spring). These data enable us to qualitatively characterise the main N sources in the coastal area and understand the factors controlling the distribution of the nutrients studied.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area and Sampling

The Beibu Gulf is a semi-enclosed gulf with an area of approximately 130 × 10\textsuperscript{3} km\textsuperscript{2} and is located in the tropical and sub-tropical areas of southern China. It is influenced by
the East Asian monsoon, which in turn is influenced by cold air from mainland China during the winter-spring season, with wind rising from the tropical ocean during the summer-autumn period. Freshwater discharged from coastal cities carry large quantities of anthropogenic pollutants to coastal waters [32,33,44]. For this study, two cruises were conducted in the north-eastern part of the Beibu Gulf, along the coast of Beihai City, in November (autumn) 2018 and March (spring) 2019 (Figure 1). Samples were collected from the surface of the seawater (0.5 m) at 20 stations using a rosette sampler fitted with 10 L Niskin bottles (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Location of the Beibu Gulf and sampling stations. The dashed black line in the upper figure separates the coastal area from the outer area.

2.2. Chemical Analysis

Temperature, salinity (S), and dissolved oxygen (DO) were measured on-site, with DO being measured using the Winkler titration method. The seawater samples were filtered through glass-fibre filters (Whatman, 0.7 μm, GF/F) to determine their chlorophyll a (Chl a) levels, and the filtered samples were stored at −20 °C before further processing and analysis. Measurements of nutrients concentrations and isotope ratios were conducted after the seawater samples were pre-filtered through pre-combustion (450 °C, 4 h) GF/F membranes (47 mm diameter, Whatman). The filtered samples were stored at −20 °C until analysis.

Chl a levels were determined using a spectrophotometer. NO$_3^-$, NO$_2^-$, and PO$_4^{3-}$ concentrations were determined using a San++ continuous flow analyser (Skalar, Breda, the Netherlands) and NH$_4^+$ concentrations were determined using spectrophotometry. The
Table 1. Sea being mixing with coastal waters as an input. Thus, the endmember parameters from linity was recorded at station BH20, which is a reflection of the water from further out to suggesting that these water BH01 was the lowest measured although it was still high (S = 27.98) relative to freshwater, the mixing gradient under steady results are obtained dual nitrate isotopic value (i.e., and dual nitrate concentrations and dual nitrate isotopic values resulting from simple physical mixing of two endmembers [3,9,47,48]. The equations used are as follows:

\[ f_1 + f_2 = 1 \]  
\[ f_1 S_1 + f_2 S_2 = S_{\text{Mix}} \]  
\[ f_1 N_1 + f_2 N_2 = N_{\text{Mix}} \]  
\[ f_1 N_1 \delta_1 + f_2 N_2 \delta_2 = N_{\text{Mix}} \delta_{\text{Mix}} \]

where \( f \) denotes the proportion of two-endmember water masses (with the subscripts 1 and 2 representing the two endmembers); \( S, N, \) and \( \delta \) denote salinity, NO\textsuperscript{3-} concentration, and dual nitrate isotopic value (i.e., the parameters being mixed); and \( S_{\text{Mix}}, N_{\text{Mix}}, \) and \( \delta_{\text{Mix}} \) denote the measured values in a mixed water sample. Using the above equations, the following results are obtained.

\[ f_1 = \frac{S_2 - S_{\text{Mix}}}{S_1 - S_2} \]  
\[ N_{\text{Mix}} = N_2 + (N_1 - N_2)f_1 \]  
\[ \delta_{\text{Mix}} = \frac{f_1(\delta_1 N_1 - \delta_2 N_2) + \delta_2 N_2}{N_{\text{Mix}}} \]

These expressions show that NO\textsuperscript{3-} concentrations change in a linear manner along the mixing gradient under steady-state conditions, whereas salinity-based isotope mixing shows curvilinear behaviour (Equation (7)) that reflects the NO\textsuperscript{3-} concentration-based weighting of the isotopic proportions of endmembers. In this study, the salinity at station BH01 was the lowest measured although it was still high (S = 27.98) relative to freshwater, suggesting that these waters originated mainly from coastal water inputs. The highest salinity was recorded at station BH20, which is a reflection of the water from further out to sea being mixing with coastal waters as an input. Thus, the endmember parameters from stations BH01 and BH20 were chosen as the two endmembers for this study, as shown in Table 1.
Station BH20 represents water carried into coastal areas from the water further out to sea.

Table 1. Endmember parameters, including salinity, nutrient concentrations and dual nitrate isotope values, used in the two-endmember mixing model in Equations (5–7). Station BH01 represents water at the head of nearshore areas and station BH20 represents water carried into coastal areas from the water further out to sea.

| Station | Salinity | PO₄³⁻ [μmol L⁻¹] | NH₄⁺ [μmol L⁻¹] | NO₃⁻ [μmol L⁻¹] | NO₂⁻ [μmol L⁻¹] | δ¹⁵N-NO₃ [‰] | δ¹⁸O-NO₃ [‰] |
|---------|----------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| BH01    | 27.98    | 0.07             | 2.4             | 1.2             | 11.7            | 12.9           | 14.8           |
| BH20    | 31.45    | 0.05             | 0.6             | 0.3             | 0.9             | 8.5            | 15.9           |

2.4. Quantification of the Mixing Model

A Bayesian stable isotope mixing model was used to quantify the proportional contributions of each nitrate source along the coastal area. The model was run in the stable isotope analysis in the R (SIAR) software package. The framework of the model is as follows:

\[
X_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} P_k (S_{jk} + c_{jk}) + \varepsilon_{ij}
\]

\[
S_{jk} \sim N (\mu_{jk}, \sigma_{jk}^2)
\]

\[
c_{jk} \sim N (\lambda_{jk}, \tau_{jk}^2)
\]

\[
\varepsilon_{jk} \sim N (0, \sigma^2)
\]

where \(X_{ij}\) and \(S_{jk}\) are the dual-isotope value of nitrate (\(\delta^{15}\)N-NO₃ and \(\delta^{18}\)O-NO₃) of a mixed sample and the isotopic value of NO₃⁻ sources, respectively; \(S_{jk}\) is normally distributed with mean \(\mu_{jk}\) and standard deviation \(\sigma_{jk}\); \(P_k\) denotes the contribution of NO₃⁻ sources; \(c_{jk}\) is the factor of fractionation for \(\delta^{15}\)N-NO₃ and \(\delta^{18}\)O-NO₃ in NO₃⁻ sources, normally distributed with mean \(\lambda_{jk}\) and standard deviation \(\tau_{jk}\); and \(\varepsilon_{jk}\) denotes the residual error of the additional unquantified change between samples, normally distributed with mean 0 and standard deviation \(\sigma\). A detailed description of this model was reported by Blake et al. [16], Moore and Semmens [24], Xue et al. [25], and Zhang et al. [26]. However, it is difficult to quantify the source of nitrate by using this model if the nitrate biogeochemical process occurs, because the biological processes can cause isotope fractionation. Thus, this model is only used in the absence of nitrate biological processes.

3. Results

3.1. Chemical Parameters

The seasonal distribution characteristic of physicochemical parameters were presented in Figure 2. Salinity and temperature increased seaward, i.e., from the nearshore to the offshore areas, ranging overall from 27.98 to 31.45 and 23.8 to 26.00 °C during the fall, and from 29.31 to 32.32 and 20.8 to 24.7 °C during the spring, respectively; the minimum values were at the nearshore area during both two seasons (Figure 2a–d). A slightly higher Chl a level was found in the spring (average of 1.43 μg L⁻¹) compared to that in the fall (average of 1.31 μg L⁻¹). A relatively low Chl a level was found in the nearshore area whereas a higher Chl a level was found in the offshore area during both two seasons (Figure 2e,f). The DO level during the spring (ranged from 7.22 to 8.18, average of 7.45 mg L⁻¹) was higher than that during the fall (ranged from 5.94 to 7.73 mg L⁻¹, average of 6.71 mg L⁻¹). The minimum DO (5.94 mg L⁻¹) was recorded at the nearshore area at the top of the gulf during the fall, while the DO level was relatively uniform during the spring. The level of total suspended particulate matter (TSPM) during the spring (average of 24.29 mg L⁻¹) was obviously higher than that in the fall (average of 16.05 mg L⁻¹), particularly in the offshore area (Figure 2i,j). Moreover, a higher TSPM level was found in the nearshore area during the spring (Figure 2j).

Figure 3 shows that, in contrast to the distributions of physicochemical parameters, the concentrations of NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻, NH₄⁺, and PO₄³⁻ decreased seaward—with high concentrations in the nearshore area and low concentrations in the offshore area. Generally, the concentrations of NO₃⁻ (average of 6.59 μmol L⁻¹), NO₂⁻ (average of 1.66 μmol L⁻¹), NH₄⁺
(average of 4.12 μmol L\(^{-1}\)), and PO\(_4^{3-}\) (average of 0.11 μmol L\(^{-1}\)) in the spring were higher than that in the fall (average of 2.80 μmol L\(^{-1}\), 0.45 μmol L\(^{-1}\), 1.24 μmol L\(^{-1}\) and 0.05 μmol L\(^{-1}\) for NO\(_3^-\), NO\(_2^-\), NH\(_4^+\), and PO\(_4^{3-}\), respectively). Among the types of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN), the concentration of NO\(_3^-\) was the highest, followed by NH\(_4^+\), and the lowest by NO\(_2^-\). The ratio of N/P (([NO\(_3^-\)] + [NO\(_2^-\)] + [NH\(_4^+\)])/[PO\(_4^{3-}\)]) ranged from 34 to 206 (with an average of 79) during the fall and from 50 to 374 (average of 152) during the spring throughout the coastal area, and they were significantly higher than the Redfield ratio (i.e., the ratio of these nutrients utilised by marine phytoplankton) of 16. This suggested that P could be largely limited to producing phytoplankton along the coastal areas; this is consistent with the results of a previous study [34,38].

3.2. Isotopic Composition

During the fall, the δ\(^{15}\)N-NO\(_3^-\), δ\(^{18}\)O-NO\(_3^-\), and δ\(^{15}\)N-NH\(_4^+\) values ranged from 5.6‰ to 12.9‰ (with an average of 8.0‰), 8.8‰ to 16.4‰ (with an average of 13.3‰), and 5.3‰ to 31.5‰ (with an average of 14.0‰), respectively. As with the distributions of the nutrients, the highest δ\(^{15}\)N-NO\(_3^-\) and δ\(^{15}\)N-NH\(_4^+\) values were found in the nearshore area, particularly at the top of the gulf, whereas relatively low values were recorded in the offshore area (Figure 4a,b). However, some relatively high δ\(^{15}\)N-NO\(_3^-\) and δ\(^{15}\)N-NH\(_4^+\) values were recorded at a few stations in the offshore area. In contrast, the δ\(^{18}\)O-NO\(_3^-\) values observed in the offshore area were relatively high (Figure 4d). The δ\(^{15}\)N-NO\(_3^-\) values ranged from 9.21 to 11.72‰, and δ\(^{18}\)O-NO\(_3^-\) values ranged from 11.07 to 21.48‰ during the spring. The variations of δ\(^{15}\)N-NO\(_3^-\) from the nearshore to the offshore area are not obvious in the spring, and the values (average of 10.28‰) were higher than those in the fall (Figure 4). The values of δ\(^{18}\)O-NO\(_3^-\) (average of 13.17‰) were similar to the fall.
Figure 2. Spatial distributions of (a) and (b) salinity, (c) and (d) temperature, (e) and (f) Chl a, (g) and (h) DO, and (i) and (j) TSPM during the different seasons in the north-east Beibu Gulf, China.
Figure 3. Spatial distributions of (a) and (b) PO$_4^{3-}$/μmol/L-fall, (c) and (d) NO$_2^-$, (e) and (f) NO$_3^-$, and (g) and (h) NH$_4^+$ during the different seasons in the north-eastern Beibu Gulf, China.
Figure 4. Spatial distributions of (a) $\delta^{15}$N-NH$_4^+$, (b) and (c) $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$, and (d) and (e) $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ during the different seasons in the north-eastern Beibu Gulf, China.

4. Discussion

4.1. Hydrographic Characteristics

Figures 2 and 3 show that there are clear differences in the hydrographic characteristics of the nearshore and offshore areas; the former had lower temperatures and salinity levels with higher nutrient concentrations, while higher temperatures and salinity and lower nutrient concentrations were recorded in the latter during both fall and spring. We believe that these water conditions in the nearshore area are mainly influenced by the diluted freshwater inputs from a nearby city. The distribution pattern seen in our study is similar to one seen in a previous study of that coastal city; the latter found that there were high nutrient concentrations in estuaries and coastal waters but low concentrations of the same further offshore [34,38]. We classified the sample sites into two zones, based on the
distribution of hydrographic characteristics of the coastal area, to enable discussion of nutrient sources and dynamics. Figure 1 shows that zone 1, the nearshore area that included stations BH01 to BH08, B05, B02 and B08, was characterised by the influence of low temperature and salinity level and high nutrient concentrations from local terrestrial water discharge. The same figure shows that zone 2, the offshore area that included stations from BH09 to BH20, was characterised by high salinity and low nutrient concentrations.

4.2. Nutrient Sources in the Nearshore Area

In the nearshore area, the concentrations of DIN (8.1 μmol L\(^{-1}\) in the fall and 15.82 μmol L\(^{-1}\) in the spring) and PO\(_4^{3-}\) (0.07 μmol L\(^{-1}\) in the fall and 0.11 μmol L\(^{-1}\) in the spring) were lower than those in other coastal areas of northern Beibu gulf, such as Qinzhou (19.3 μmol L\(^{-1}\) DIN, 0.41 μmol L\(^{-1}\) PO\(_4^{3-}\)), Fangchenggang (11.6 μmol L\(^{-1}\) DIN, 0.61 μmol L\(^{-1}\) PO\(_4^{3-}\)) but were similar to conditions in Lianzhou Bay, Beihai (8.2 μmol L\(^{-1}\) DIN, 0.51 μmol L\(^{-1}\) PO\(_4^{3-}\)) [34]. The dominant proportion of NO\(_3^-\)-N in DIN varied from 50% to 71% (average of 64%) in the fall and from 53% to 76% (average of 65%) in the spring; followed by NH\(_4^+\)-N, which varied from 16% to 44% (average of 27%) in the fall and from 15% to 32 (average of 22%) in the spring, and NO\(_2^-\)-N, which varied from 7% to 15% (average of 9%) in the fall and from 3% to 18% (average of 12%) in the spring. Significantly low PO\(_4^{3-}\) concentrations and high N/P ratios were recorded in the coastal area during both two seasons.

However, the same measurements were significantly higher along the entirety of the coast of the Beibu Gulf (0.40 μmol L\(^{-1}\)PO\(_4^{3-}\), N/P ratio of 11) during the same period [34], suggesting that P acted as a limiting nutrient in this ecosystem and that the increase in P would be favourable for the proliferation of phytoplankton. In addition, there is a counterclockwise current (a northeasterly current) in the bottom layer in the Beibu Gulf during the winter boreal, and there will be an upwelling when it comes to the coastal Beibu Gulf [49].

Moreover, influenced by the southwestward current off the western coast of Guangdong, the high concentration of nutrients from the Pearl River Estuary may be brought into the Beibu Gulf via the westward current in the Qiongzhou Strait [23,50]. These processes may carry high concentrations of PO\(_4^{3-}\), resulting in algal blooms due to P limits. Many red tides and phytoplankton blooms, which are mainly caused by seasonal eutrophication, have been reported on the coast of Beihai City [51,52]. Moreover, the index of the nutritional status of those waters has increased significantly in recent years, and this was caused by the increased amounts of inorganic N and P present along the coastal area of the Beibu Gulf [34,37,38]. As both the highest nutrient levels and lowest salinity values all appeared in the nearshore area, we speculated that land-based pollution discharge from coastal cities may have been responsible for the former. This shows why it is important to trace nutrient biological processes and their sources in coastal areas.

Positive δ\(^{15}\)N-NO\(_3^-\) and δ\(^{15}\)N-NH\(_4^+\) values and higher nutrient concentrations were observed in the nearshore area during the fall, while higher nutrient concentrations and higher δ\(^{15}\)N-NO\(_3^-\) values were found during the spring (Figures 3 and 4). Among the biological processes, the nitrification of NH\(_4^+\) could increase NO\(_3^-\) concentrations and generate high δ\(^{15}\)N-NH\(_4^+\) values [9]. During the process of nitrification, the microorganisms preferentially used light N in the presence of excess NH\(_4^+\), which would lead to heavier δ\(^{15}\)N-NH\(_4^+\) values in the residual NH\(_4^+\) pool and add δ\(^{15}\)N-NO\(_3^-\) depleted N to the NO\(_3^-\) pool [17,18], which contrasted with our results in the fall. This difference suggests that nitrification is unlikely to have caused the significantly positive δ\(^{15}\)N-NO\(_3^-\) values during the fall in the nearshore area and that other processes or sources may therefore exist. Such high δ\(^{15}\)N-NO\(_3^-\) can be caused by denitrification and/or assimilation in which the lighter isotope δ\(^{14}\)N is preferentially consumed [18,29]. Denitrification generally leads to losses of N to the atmosphere as N\(_2\) or N\(_2\)O [53]. Previous studies have reported evidence for significant nitrate loss in the water in the study area, and this loss could be directly influenced by denitrification in the sediments and pore water [9,17,54]. However, high DO values (which ranged from 5.94 to 6.96 mg L\(^{-1}\) with an average of 6.37 mg L\(^{-1}\)) were observed throughout the nearshore area during the sampling period; such values do not favour the
process of denitrification in the water column [9,17]. The process of elimination thus suggests that the assimilation of phytoplankton seems to be a likely explanation for the observations. Furthermore, a relatively high Chl a level (which ranged from 3.66 to 5.36 μg L\(^{-1}\)) was observed in the nearshore area (Figure 2d), and an increase in phytoplankton would have resulted in a large quantity of nutrients being consumed. If assimilation was the major control on isotopic composition, the assimilative uptake along would cause δ\(^{15}\)N-NO\(_3\) and δ\(^{18}\)O-NO\(_3\) to increase at a rate of 1 [3,27]; however, the observed increase in the dual nitrate isotope is defined by a slope <1 and a lack of correlation between these isotopes in the nearshore area (Figure 5). Such deviations suggest that phytoplankton assimilation is unlikely to be the dominant process increasing the isotopic composition of NO\(_3\)^-, although the high δ\(^{15}\)N-NH\(_4\) values could likely have been caused by this process. Given sufficient NH\(_4\)^+ in the water along the coast, phytoplankton usually preferentially uptake this nutrient over nitrate due to the overall lower energy cost of the former process [9]. In this study, a relatively high NH\(_4\)^+ concentration was recorded in the nearshore area and residual NH\(_4\)^+ in the seawater was still not completely consumed by the phytoplankton. This suggested that the phytoplankton continued to assimilate NH\(_4\) but not NO\(_3\)^- in the nearshore area, causing the elevated δ\(^{15}\)N-NH\(_4\)^+ levels therein. Moreover, the relationship between NO\(_3\)^-, NH\(_4\)^+, and salinity could further confirm that NO\(_3\)^- was a mixture of coastal discharge water and seawater although this was not true for NH\(_4\)^+ in the nearshore area (Figure 6). Therefore, biological processes may be responsible for the weaker relationship between NH\(_4\)^+ and salinity in the nearshore area, suggesting that the high δ\(^{15}\)N-NO\(_3\)^- value may not have been caused by assimilation during the fall, although a high Chl a level was recorded in the nearshore area.

In the spring, higher nutrients were found in the nearshore area compared with that in the fall (Figure 3), which was similar to the distribution pattern of the coastal Beibu Gulf [34]. This suggested that there may be additional nutrients input in the nearshore area during spring. Land input may be an important factor causing the increase of nutrients in spring [34]. However, the rainfall in the spring (March and April, 251.9 mm) was relatively higher than that in the fall (October and November, 55.1 mm), and heavy rainfall could bring land-based pollutants into the nearshore environment. In addition, wind forcing can induce resuspension of sediments [33]. The surface water temperature in the spring (average of 22.9°C) was lower than that in the fall (average of 25.1°C), indicating that the water mixing was more uniform in the spring, which could re-suspend the bottom matter to the surface water. These processes increased the TSPM level, resulting in the TSPM and nutrient levels in the spring being higher than that in the fall. Similar to the fall, the isotope values (average of 9.94% for δ\(^{15}\)N-NO\(_3\) and 14.48% for δ\(^{18}\)O-NO\(_3\) ) were still high in the nearshore area during the spring. There could be several factors for higher dual nitrate isotope patterns observed, including assimilation, denitrification and intense physical sediment–water interaction, or other sources [55]. However, the influence of water denitrification could be ruled out as the factor of the higher dual nitrate isotope due to the high DO level (average of 7.42 mg L\(^{-1}\)) in the nearshore area during spring. In addition, DIN assimilation by phytoplankton is unlikely to be the cause of the positive nitrate isotope in the spring, because a significantly low Chl a level (most below 1 μg L\(^{-1}\)) was observed in the nearshore area. Thus, the physical sediment–water interaction may be responsible for the positive dual nitrate isotope in the nearshore area during spring. Previous studies suggested that the advection flux returning nitrate from the sediment to the overlying water, the δ\(^{15}\)N-NO\(_3\) and δ\(^{18}\)O-NO\(_3\) values of pore water are substantially high, which reflects more closely the enzyme level nitrate isotopic fractionation by denitrification [56,57]. Such a dynamic environment was previously reported in the Pearl River Estuary, particularly during the winter monsoon with severe mixing of water columns, and this nitrate exchange between sediments and water would finally lead to elevated dual nitrate isotope values but decreased nitrate concentration in the water column because of denitrification-induced isotopic enrichment in sediments [55]. However, nitrate concentrations were still high in the nearshore, indicating that other sources may occur in spring. The positive dual
nitrate isotope and high nutrient levels in the nearshore area during the spring are possibly associated with municipal sewage effluents from Beihai City. Since nitrate from sewage is characterised by relatively high δ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ (7‰ to 25‰) because of the volatilisation of depleted δ¹⁵N ammonia produced from human and animal waste, and this process leaves the residual nitrate enriched in isotope [3,21].

As NO₃⁻ was less affected by the biological processes in the fall, the isotopic characteristics may provide fingerprint information on the various sources that contributed to the mixture. As shown in Figure 5, the overall ranges of δ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ and δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻ in the nearshore area (which ranged from 5.8 to 12.9‰ for δ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ and from 8.8 to 14.8‰ for δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻) would seem to suggest—according to a classical dual nitrate isotope approach [21]—that manure and sewage (M&S) and soil N might be the dominant nitrate sources in this reach. Previous studies have proposed sewage effluent as a significant nitrate source in coastal areas [9,16,55,58]. Furthermore, in addition to the sources from M&S and soil N, the high δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻ values could be partly influenced by atmospheric deposition and synthetic nitrate fertiliser (SNF) sources. However, the contribution from SNF can be eliminated readily as it only accounts for <2% of all fertiliser applied in China [17]. Moreover, the nitrogen fertiliser (NF) that is heavily applied as part of coastal agriculture may be running off into the water in that area [33]. Therefore, a Bayesian mixing model was applied to quantify the proportional contribution of the nitrate sources in the nearshore area. The δ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ and δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻ values of the potential sources are presented in Table 2. The results from the application of the model are presented in Figure 7; M&S was the predominant source of nitrate in the nearshore area (58%); it was followed by soil N (17%), NP (15%), and NF (10%). Thus, the high contribution of M&S may be responsible for the high δ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ and δ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺ values in the water of nearshore areas. This is because the isotope fingerprint of M&S is characterised by high values due to the volatilisation of ¹⁵N-depleted NH₃ produced from human and animal waste [3,9]. This result is similar to that from the western coast of Guangdong Province, where nitrate sources originated mainly from local sewage inputs (53%) due to the rapid development of the local economy [16]. However, although NF is heavily applied in the agricultural practices of coastal cities [33], its contribution only accounts for 10% of the total used in the coastal area; this may be due to a lack of river input in the latter. The NF applied in catchment-based agriculture is transported to coastal waters by rivers [9,17].
Figure 5. Range of $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ and $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ values present in seawater samples from the nearshore area of the north-eastern Beibu Gulf in the fall and various nitrate source reservoirs (a). The isotopic data of potential sources of nitrate including N fertiliser (NF), manure and sewage (M&S), and soil N are from Xue et al. [21]; and data on N in precipitation (NP) in northern South China Sea and synthetic N fertiliser (SNF) are from Yang et al. [22] and Silva et al. [59], respectively. The inset in the upper hand right corner shows the relationship between $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ and $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ values in the nearshore area. Denitrification and/or N assimilation would cause the $\delta^{15}$N and $\delta^{18}$O values of nitrate to increase along a curve with a slope of 1:1 (b).

Figure 6. Linear relationship of NO$_3^-$-salinity (a) and NH$_4^+$-salinity (b) in the nearshore area during the fall.

Table 2. The values of $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ and $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ values for potential sources of nitrate in the nearshore area.

| Source | Range     | Average ± SD | Reference | Range     | Average ± SD | Reference |
|--------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| Soil N | -0.05–8.25 | 4.52 ± 2.67  | [20,26]   | -5–15     | 4.08 ± 0.33  | [20,26]   |
| M&S    | 6.89–18.88 | 12.73 ± 3.4  | [20,26]   | -5–15     | 4.08 ± 0.33  | [20,26]   |
| NF     | -1.87–2.96 | 0.04 ± 1.87  | [20,26]   | -5–15     | 4.08 ± 0.33  | [20,26]   |
| NP     | -1.8–4.1   | 0.8 ± 1.5    | [60]      | 42.7–61.6 | 52.4 ± 5.1   | [60]      |
Figure 7. The proportional contribution of potential sources of nitrate in the water of the nearshore area in the fall, including soil N, manure and sewage (M&S), nitrogen fertiliser (NF) and nitrate in precipitation (NP).

4.3. Nitrate Biogeochemical Process in the Offshore Area

In the fall, the chemical compositions were characterised by high salinity values and significantly low nutrient concentrations in the offshore area (Figures 2 and 3). The δ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ and δ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺ values ranged from 5.6‰ to 8.8‰ and 8.8‰ to 15.3‰ in the fall, respectively, and showed an increasing trend in the offshore area; however, these values were generally lower than those in the nearshore area (Figure 4). In addition, the distribution of NO₃⁻ concentrations in the offshore area was not conservative, their deviations from the calculated mixing line (Figure 8a). The highest NO₃⁻ concentrations fell below the mixing line, suggesting that NO₃⁻ loss or consumption occurred in the surface water in the offshore area and that the processes of denitrification and/or assimilation may be responsible. However, denitrification can be ruled out due to the significantly high levels of DO in the waters of the offshore area (Figure 2c). Thus, the increase in δ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ and δ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺ values could likely be caused by assimilation. DIN assimilation by phytoplankton could cause isotopic enrichment of residual NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ [9], while the parallel increase in δ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ and δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻ values further confirm that assimilation occurred in the surface waters of the offshore area (Figure 8). Therefore, because assimilation could lead to an increase in δ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ and δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻ in the residual nitrate pool, the ¹⁸ε:¹⁵ε for this process is ~1 [27]. However, the slope of their linear relationship is ~1.56, and most of the δ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ and δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻ values are above the assimilation line (1:1 line) (Figure 8). This means that there may be other sources simultaneously contributing to nutrient levels in the offshore area. Atmospheric deposition may be the source of nitrate responsible for values above the assimilation line, considering that the extremely high δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻ values were also observed in the surface waters of the offshore area (Figure 4d). Neither phytoplankton assimilation nor mixing with other water masses from surrounding water would be significant enough to cause δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻ values greater than 10‰ [9,55]. Thus, such high δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻ values are likely to be sourced from atmospheric deposition because the δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻ values measured from atmospheric deposition in the South China Sea is >50‰ on average [22,60].
A simple steady-state isotope mass balance model was used to quantify the contribution of NO$_3^-$ from atmospheric deposition in the offshore area. This model is based on nitrate anomalies and thus deviates from conservative mixing. Therefore, because of this, we assumed that the processes of assimilation and atmospheric deposition are responsible for the nitrate isotope anomalies. The equations used in our calculations are as follows:

$$
\Delta \delta^{15}N = \delta^{15}N_{\text{atmosphere}} \times N_{\text{atmosphere}}/(N_{\text{atmosphere}} + N_{\text{mixing}}) + 15\varepsilon_{\text{assimilation}} \times f_{\text{NO}_3^-, N_{\text{mixing}}}
$$

(9)

$$
\Delta \delta^{18}O = \delta^{18}O_{\text{atmosphere}} \times N_{\text{atmosphere}}/(N_{\text{atmosphere}} + N_{\text{mixing}}) + 18\varepsilon_{\text{assimilation}} \times f_{\text{NO}_3^-, N_{\text{mixing}}}
$$

(10)

where $\Delta \delta^{15}N$ and $\Delta \delta^{18}O$ denote the dual nitrate isotope anomalies relative to the expected mixing values. Assimilation increases in $\delta^{15}N$-NO$_3^-$ and $\delta^{18}O$-NO$_3^-$ values ($15\varepsilon = 18\varepsilon$) [27]. Therefore, according to Equations (9) and (10):

$$
\Delta \delta^{15}N - \Delta \delta^{18}O = (\delta^{15}N_{\text{atmosphere}} - \delta^{18}O_{\text{atmosphere}}) \times N_{\text{atmosphere}}/(N_{\text{atmosphere}} + N_{\text{mixing}})
$$

(11)

where we define $N_{\text{atmosphere}}/(N_{\text{atmosphere}} + N_{\text{mixing}})$ as $f_{\text{atmosphere}}$, and obtained

$$
f_{\text{atmosphere}} = (\Delta \delta^{15}N - \Delta \delta^{18}O)/(\delta^{15}N_{\text{atmosphere}} - \delta^{18}O_{\text{atmosphere}})
$$

(12)

where $f_{\text{atmosphere}}$ denotes the proportion of nitrate contributed by atmospheric deposition. More detailed information on this model can be found in Ye et al. [9]. In this model, the $\delta^{15}N$-NO$_3^-$ and $\delta^{18}O$-NO$_3^-$ values of atmospheric deposition (0.8 for $\delta^{15}N$-NO$_3^-$ and 52.4 for $\delta^{18}O$-NO$_3^-$ values) observed in the neighbourhood of the city in Zhanjiang were used [60]. Our estimates suggest that the proportion of nitrate from atmospheric deposition in the offshore area ranged from 1% to 11% with an average of 6%. The importance of atmospheric NO$_3^-$ deposition for new production in the SCS has been suggested previously [9,16,22,23]. The nitrate source from atmospheric deposition in the nearshore area of the gulf was lower than that in the high-salinity waters in the outer area of PRE (17%) during winter [9]. This would occur particularly during the dry seasons (autumn and winter) when prevailing northern winds can carry anthropogenic pollutants such as those from industrial activities and fossil fuel combustion from mainland China to the SCS [9,60]. However, during transport, some atmospheric nutrients would gradually be deposited out of the air; this may be responsible for the slightly low contribution of atmospheric NO$_3^-$ deposition in the offshore area (6%) compared to in the nearshore area (15%).

In the spring, higher concentrations (except for NO$_2^-$) and positive $\delta^{15}N$-NO$_3^-$ and $\delta^{18}O$-NO$_3^-$ were still found in the offshore area (Figures 3 and 4). Denitrification in the water column can also be ruled out as high DO levels (average of 7.46 mg L$^{-1}$) were observed in the offshore area. Phytoplankton assimilation is also unlikely responsible for the
elevated $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ and $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ values since there was no relationship between $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ and $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ values ($y = -2.7586x + 41.321$, $R^2 = 0.2093$, $p > 0.05$) in the offshore area during the spring. In addition, higher NH$_4^+$ concentrations were found in the offshore area, and phytoplankton would preferentially uptake NH$_4^+$ over NO$_3^-$ under sufficient NH$_4^+$ in the water column [9]. Since the terrestrial input has relatively little effect on the nutrients in the offshore area, the positive dual nitrate isotopes may be caused by the intense interaction between sediments and seawater. In this season, with wind-induced mixing and tidal pumping, tending to uniform mixing of the offshore seawater, which accelerated the exchange of sediment and water. This also resulted in the increase of TSPM concentration in the offshore area (average of 21.12 mg L$^{-1}$ in spring, and higher than that of 15.59 mg L$^{-1}$ in fall). Such a dynamic process would cause bidirectional exchange of solutes, including nitrate, between sediment pore water and the overlying water column, and causing elevated dual nitrate isotope values while decreased nitrate concentration in the water column because isotopic enrichment caused by denitrification in sediments [9]. Thus, the intense exchange of sediments and water in the offshore area was responsible for the higher concentration of other nutrients and the lower concentration of NO$_3^-$.  

5. Conclusions

Our study on stable isotopes of dissolved NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$ provided detailed information on seasonal nitrate sources and their biogeochemistry in the coastal area of the northeast Beibu Gulf. A high N/P ratio was recorded in the coastal bay during both fall and spring, suggesting that P was a limiting nutrient and indicating that increasing P would be favourable for algal blooms. In the nearshore area, the high concentrations of nutrients and $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ and $\delta^{15}$N-NH$_4^+$ values in the fall suggested that the former originated mainly from terrestrial pollution. A sufficient amount of NH$_4^+$ that could support biological processes was observed in the nearshore area owing to intensive human activities in that region. Therefore, the weak effect of biological processes on nitrate, and the characteristics of its isotopes, may provide fingerprint information in water mixed from various sources in the fall. The contributions of various potential NO$_3^-$ sources were calculated using a Bayesian isotope mixing model, and its results showed that manure and sewage (58%) were the predominant sources of nitrate in the nearshore area in the fall, followed by soil N (17%), nitrogen in precipitation (15%), and nitrogen fertiliser (10%). In the spring, however, in addition to the impact of urban sewage effluents, the exchange of sediment and water was also responsible for causing higher nutrient concentrations and dual nitrate isotope values in the nearshore area. The lower nutrient concentrations and increased $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ and $\delta^{15}$N-NH$_4^+$ values observed in the offshore area in fall, suggested that the process of assimilation was responsible for the NO$_3^-$ loss; however, the greater enrichment of $\delta^{18}$O-NO$_3^-$ than $\delta^{15}$N-NO$_3^-$ during the sampling period suggests that atmospheric deposition may also contribute to NO$_3^-$ concentrations. In the spring, the exchange of sediment and water was the dominant factor causing higher other nutrient concentrations and positive dual nitrate isotope but lower NO$_3^-$ concentration in the offshore area.
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