Exosome miR-155 Derived from Gastric Carcinoma Promotes Angiogenesis by Targeting the c-MYB/VEGF Axis of Endothelial Cells
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Exosomes, membranous nanovesicles, naturally carry proteins, mRNAs, and microRNAs (miRNAs) and play important roles in tumor pathogenesis. Here we showed that gastric cancer (GC) cell-derived exosomes can function as vehicles to deliver miR-155 to promote angiogenesis in GC. In this study, we first detected that the expression of miR-155 and c-MYB was negatively correlated in GC and that c-MYB was a direct target of miR-155. We next characterized the promotional effect of exosome-delivered miR-155 on angiogenesis and tumor growth in GC. We found that miR-155 could inhibit c-MYB but increase vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) expression and promote growth, metastasis, and tube formation of vascular cells, causing the occurrence and development of tumors. We also used a tumor implantation mouse model to show that exosomes containing miR-155 significantly augment the growth rate of the vasculature and tumors in vivo. Our results illustrate the potential mechanism between miR-155 and angiogenesis in GC. These findings contribute to our understanding of the function of miR-155 and exosomes for GC therapy.

INTRODUCTION

Cells can secrete different types of small membrane vesicles. Exosomes are one type of these vesicles; they are 30–100 nm in diameter and physicochemically distinct from other secreted vesicles. Exosomes are released by several cell types, including epithelial, dendritic, and tumor cells. Exosomes can function as vectors for intercellular transfer of molecules and deliver molecular signaling, such as onco-genes and immune response. Studies have shown that exosomes can deliver proteins, microRNAs (miRNAs), mRNAs, and DNA to neighboring or distant cells, playing a key role in the pathway regulation of target cells. Research has demonstrated that exosomes contain components of the RNA-induced silencing complex, suggesting that exosomes are involved in miRNA-induced regulation.

miRNAs are a class of noncoding small RNAs that are involved in post-translational regulation of gene expression by inhibiting the stability and translation of mRNAs. As negative regulators of gene expression, miRNAs are regarded as distinct post-transcriptional regulators by binding complementary sequences in 3' UTRs of target mRNAs but not influencing mRNA levels.

Recent evidence has shown that miRNA mutations or misexpression are correlated with various human cancers, which indicates that some miRNAs can function as oncogenes or tumor suppressors. There is some controversy regarding the function of miR-155 in tumors. Many studies show that miR-155 acts as an oncogene in several cancer types, including breast cancer, lymphomas, and liver cancer; other studies indicate that it serves as a tumor suppressor in melanoma and ovarian cancer. It is possible that miR-155 plays an organ-specific role.

c-MYB is a transcription factor well known for its role in the regulation of proliferation, growth, differentiation, and survival of many cell types. Gene expression profiling studies indicate that c-MYB regulates a large number of genes involved in a wide range of cellular functions, suggesting an important physiological role of this transcription factor. Another study has reported that acute myelocytic leukemia can repress hematopoiesis by releasing exosomes that deliver miRNAs targeting c-MYB. Evidence indicates that deregulated c-MYB expression is associated with cancer development. The potential mechanism may be that loss of c-MYB in vivo supports an important physiological role in blood vessel maturation and maintenance of vascular homeostasis. To date, the role of miR-155 in tumor angiogenesis is unknown.

In this study, we found that miR-155 was upregulated, whereas c-MYB was significantly downregulated in gastric cancer (GC). Bioinformatics analysis combined with luciferase assays revealed that miR-155 directly
targeted the 3' UTR of c-MYB mRNA. We also verified the promotional effect of exosome-delivered miR-155 on angiogenesis and tumor growth in GC by using a co-culture of SGC exosomes and HUVEC cells. We found that the miR-155 could inhibit c-MYB but increase VEGF expression, and promote the growth, metastasis, and tube formation of vascular cells, as the reason of occurrence and development of tumors. In vivo transport of miR-155-containing exosomes also significantly increased angiogenesis in tumors implanted in the mice. The specific mechanisms of miR-155 function in GC and exosome-mediated miRNA delivery may provide us with the knowledge to identify promising novel treatment strategies for GC.

RESULTS

c-MYB Is Downregulated in GC
We first checked c-MYB levels in tissues of GC patients. The demographics of the patients are described in Table 1. The c-MYB protein is obviously decreased in cancer tissues compared with adjacent noncancerous tissues (Figures 1A and 1B). We also determined the mRNA levels of c-MYB by qRT-PCR (Figure 1C); c-MYB mRNA levels did not differ significantly between cancerous and noncancerous tissues. This disparity between protein and mRNA strongly suggests that a post-transcriptional mechanism is involved in c-MYB regulation. Next we analyzed the relationship between expression of c-MYB and survival of patients. The function of c-MYB in the prognosis of GC was predicted and analyzed by Kaplan Meier plotter (http://kmplot.com/analysis/index.php?p=service&cancer=gastric). Briefly, during follow-up, the survival rate of the high c-MYB expression group is consistently higher than that of the group with low expression. According to the results, c-MYB acts as a suppressor gene in GC (Figure 1D).

Identification of c-MYB as a Direct Target of miR-155
To screen the miRNAs that are upstream of c-MYB, we first predicted potential regulatory miRNAs using bioinformatics tools. The binding site of miR-155 is shown in Figure 2A, and miR-155 interacts with the c-MYB 3' UTR by base pairing of the entire seed sequence. We found that miR-155 exhibited sharp increases in both GC serum and tumor tissues (Figures 2B and 2C). With the increase in miR-155 expression, the vascular density also increased gradually (Figure 2G). Therefore, miR-155 acts as a potential oncoGene in GC. To further confirm the relationship between c-MYB and miR-155, we conducted a correlation analysis of c-MYB and miR-155 (Figure 2E). The result confirmed that c-MYB has negative correlation with miR-155. A luciferase assay actually identified interaction between miR-155 and the c-MYB 3' UTR. The full-length c-MYB 3' UTR was synthesized and inserted into a luciferase reporter plasmid, followed by co-transfection of miRNA mimics or inhibitors or the scrambled negative control RNA. A β-galactosidase (β-gal) plasmid was used as a transfection control. As expected, luciferase activity was markedly reduced in cells overexpressing miR-155, whereas it was relatively increased in cells transfected with miR-155 inhibitors (Figure 2D). The inhibitory activity of miR-155 on luciferase activity was lost when the predicted binding sites were mutated (Figure 2D).

Exosome-Delivered miR-155 Suppresses c-MYB but Promotes VEGF Expression
Recently, exosomes were established to be significant carriers of proteins, miRNAs, mRNAs, and DNA. We desired to test the miR-155 transport efficiency of exosomes. We first used an ultracentrifugation protocol to isolate exosomes from plasma. The characteristics of exosomes were confirmed by transmission electron microscopy and western blot analysis. The isolated exosomes were verified to be spherical structures approximately 100 nm in size by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) assay (Figure 2H). In addition, the exosome protein markers TSG101, CD63, and Alix were used to confirm that these vesicles were exosomes by western blot (Figure 2I). As shown in Figure 2J, exosomes could selectively package miR-155. Therefore, exosomes were verified to be potential carriers of miR-155. Then we purified exosomes from HEK293T cells, GES-1 cells, and SGC-7901 cells. Western blot (WB) analysis was used to detect exosome markers in both SGC-7901 cells and SGC exosomes using the same total protein content. The results showed that the expression of miR-155 was highest in SGC-7901 exosomes among three types of exosomes from different cell lines (Figures 3A–3C). To test the function of miR-155 on c-MYB and VEGF, we created a cell co-culture model to simulate the tumor

| Parameter          | Total Samples (n = 5) | Percentage |
|--------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Age                |                       |            |
| ≥ 60               | 3                     | 60         |
| < 60               | 2                     | 40         |
| Gender             |                       |            |
| Male               | 3                     | 60         |
| Female             | 2                     | 40         |
| ECOG Status        |                       |            |
| 0–1                | 4                     | 80         |
| 2                  | 1                     | 20         |
| Tumor Location     |                       |            |
| Fundus, cardia     | 1                     | 20         |
| Corpus             | 2                     | 40         |
| Antrum             | 2                     | 40         |
| TNM Classification |                       |            |
| I                  | 0                     | 0          |
| II                 | 1                     | 20         |
| III                | 2                     | 40         |
| IV                 | 2                     | 40         |
| Smoking History    |                       |            |
| Yes                | 4                     | 80         |
| No                 | 1                     | 20         |
| Family History     |                       |            |
| Yes                | 3                     | 60         |
| No                 | 2                     | 40         |

ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; TNM, Tumor Node Metastasis.
microenvironment using SGC exosomes and human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC). The exosomes were isolated and incubated with HUVEC cells. miR-155 levels increased by more than 5-fold in SGC-7901 exosomes. PBS, exosomes of 293T cells, and exosomes of GES-1 cells were used as negative controls. To remove miR-155 from SGC exosomes, SGC-7901 cells were transfected with miR-155 inhibitors, and miR-155 levels were also sharply down-regulated in exosomes isolated from these cells. The expression of c-MYB in HUVEC cells was significantly inhibited by treatment of SGC exosomes compared with the negative control groups; however, this inhibition almost disappeared when miR-155 was removed from SGC exosomes. To further confirm the relationship between VEGF and miR-155, we performed a correlation analysis for c-MYB and miR-155, and the R value was determined. As expected, c-MYB mRNA remained unchanged during treatment of different exosomes. These results indicate that exosomes derived from SGC-7901 cells are capable of packing and transporting miR-155 to inhibit the expression of c-MYB but promote the expression of VEGF.

In Vitro Evaluation of Exosome-Delivered miR-155 in the Promotion of Angiogenesis

Next we further assessed the effects of exosome-packed miR-155 on the promotion of vascular cell growth by simulating the interaction between cancer cells and vascular cells. As shown clearly in Figure 4, miR-155 delivered by exosomes effectively promoted cell proliferation (Figures 4A and 4B), cell migration (Figures 4C and 4D), and ring formation of HUVEC cells (Figures 4E and 4F). In contrast, the effects elicited by control exosomes and miR-155 knockdown exosomes were indistinguishable from the untreated group. These data demonstrate that exosome-delivered miR-155 plays a key angiogenic role within the tumor microenvironment.

miR-155 Increases Proliferation, Migration, and Angiogenesis of Vascular Cells

To verify the function of miR-155 on vascular cells, HUVEC cells were transfected with miR-155 mimics and inhibitors (Figure 5A). Expression of c-MYB and VEGF was detected using WB. As shown in Figures 5B and 5C, overexpression of miR-155 by transfection of mimics led to clear suppression of c-MYB and increase in VEGF protein. Transfection of miR-155 inhibitors enhanced the expression of c-MYB and inhibited VEGF in HUVEC cells. An effect of miR-155 on ring formation of HUVEC cells was detected (Figures 5D and 5E), and proliferation of HUVEC cells was detected by EdU proliferation assay (Figures 5F and 5G). The results showed that the angiogenesis and proliferation rates in HUVEC cells transfected with miR-155 mimics were significantly increased compared with the control group. On the contrary, the angiogenesis and proliferation rates of HUVEC cells transfected with miR-155 inhibitors were obviously decreased compared with the control.

The miR-155-c-MYB-VEGF Pathway Regulates Tumor Growth and Angiogenesis In Vivo

In vitro, we confirmed the miR-155-c-MYB-VEGF pathway at a cellular level. Then we assessed the effects of miR-155 and c-MYB on tumor growth using a mouse implant tumor model. SGC-7901 cells were transfected with lentivirus particles for overexpression
or knockdown (KD) of miR-155 and c-MYB, respectively, and untreated SGC7901 cells were used as the control (mock). For each mouse, 1 × 10^7 cells were injected subcutaneously into the armpit. Finally, mice were sacrificed on day 28, and the weight and diameter of tumors were recorded (Figure 6A). As shown in Figures 6B–6D, OE of miR-155 increased the tumor size and weight, whereas the KD of miR-155 obviously inhibited tumor growth; OE of c-MYB also significantly suppressed tumor growth. To further confirm that the miR-155-c-MYB pathway upregulates expression of VEGF to promote angiogenesis, tumor angiogenesis was evaluated by immunohistochemistry (IHC). The results showed that the relative vessel density was higher in the miR-155 OE group than that in the control group (Figure 6E).

The In Vivo Role of Exosome-Delivered miR-155 on the c-MYB-VEGF Pathway

At last, we assessed the delivery function of exosomes in the miR-155-c-MYB-VEGF pathway in vivo. Serum exosomes of mice in each group were isolated, and these exosomes were observed with an electron microscope (Figure 7A). Subsequently, miR-155 in serum exosomes was quantified by qRT-PCR. As expected, the miR-155 levels of serum exosome and tumor tissues were clearly increased in the miR-155 OE group and significantly downregulated in miR-155 KD group (Figures 7B and 7C). The protein of tumor tissues of four groups was extracted, and the levels of c-MYB and VEGF were detected by WB. Our results indicated that the expression level of c-MYB was obviously decreased whereas VEGF was increased in the miR-155 OE group compared with the control group (Figures 7D and 7E). However, the levels of c-MYB mRNA were stable except for the c-MYB OE group (Figure 7F), which was in accordance with the results of the in vitro experiments. As a downstream target of c-MYB, the expression level of VEGF mRNA was markedly enhanced in the miR-155 OE group and sharply decreased in the miR-155 KD and c-MYB OE groups (Figure 7G). The results of the in vivo experiments demonstrate that exosome-delivered miR-155 plays a significant role as an oncogene by inhibiting c-MYB expression, which can further promote angiogenesis via the c-MYB-VEGF pathway in GC.

DISCUSSION

Exosomes are natural nano-sized membranous vesicles and are a hot topic in current research. Depending on the affinity of the signaling molecules present on the surface of exosomes for targets on the receiving cells, receptor-ligand interactions may occur. Tumor cells can use exosomes as a cargo to transfer angiogenic factors, including proteins and miRNAs. Many studies have shown important roles of intercellular communication between tumor cells and endothelial cells in the angiogenic process. Angiogenesis may serve a vital role...
Figure 3. Inhibition of c-MYB and VEGF Expression by Exosome-Delivered miR-155

(A) Scanning of exosomes isolated from cell medium using an electron microscope. (B) The exosome markers TSG101, CD63, and Alix as detected by WB (n = 3). (C) Levels of miR-155 in different types of exosomes were determined by qRT-PCR analysis (n = 3). (D) Schematic of the experimental design. SGC exosomes were isolated, and 50 mg of exosomes was used to culture with 5 x 10^5 HUVEC cells. (E-G) Exosome-delivered miR-155 from SGC-7901 cells decreased c-MYB but increased VEGF expression, as seen by WB (n = 3). (H) Levels of miR-155 in different types of exosomes were determined by qRT-PCR analysis (n = 3). (I) Relative levels of c-MYB mRNA by qRT-PCR analysis. miR-155 del indicates KD of miR-155. ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05 (n = 3).
that promotes disease progression. The mechanism by which this signaling crosstalk between gastric carcinoma cells and endothelial cells contributes to angiogenesis remains to be elucidated.

miR-155, located at a region within chromosome 21q21.3, is the product of the B cell integration cluster gene. It has been demonstrated that miR-155 is expressed in immunocytes and inflamed tissues. However, emerging evidence in recent studies revealed that miR-155 has also been detected in several solid and hematological malignancies. Recently, numerous studies have indicated that miR-155 plays vital roles in human carcinogenesis as an oncogene. Furthermore, upregulated levels of miR-155 have been reported to correlate with a poor outcome in patients with lung and breast cancer. To date, the role played by miR-155 in GC has been poorly elucidated. Kim et al. report that miR-155 levels are significantly upregulated and act as an oncogene in GC, whereas Li et al. claim that miR-155 is one of the most downregulated miRNAs and may play a tumor-suppressive role in GC. However, it is unclear which mechanism accounts for the increased secretion of miR-155 from gastric carcinoma cells. In addition, the molecular machinery through which secreted miR-150 exerts its effect in endothelial cells is not fully known. A better understanding of miR-155 function in tumors is critical for obtaining novel, effective therapies for patients with cancer.

Exosomes have several characteristics that make them suitable nano-vehicles; they are small, relatively homogeneous, and stable. Moreover, they can mediate gene delivery without inducing adverse immune reactions and pro-inflammatory responses. Conversely, many of the frequently used gene therapy methods, including viral vectors, liposomes, and lipid nanoparticles that activate the host immune system, induce toxicity and trigger inflammatory responses.

In our study, we used SGC-7901 cells to extract exosomes. Co-culture assays were used to simulate the gastric tumor microenvironment, and we observed that OE of miR-155 in GC strongly promoted in vitro angiogenesis. Moreover, these miR-155-containing exosomes can also be transported through the circulatory system via intravenous tail injection, suggesting that this novel delivery vehicle has practical and potential clinical applications. In this study, we proved that exosomes function as an effective carrier for miR-155 in GC. miR-155 obviously suppressed the expression of c-MYB but increased the level of VEGF, which induced angiogenesis in GC. The qRT-PCR results indicate that miR-155 changes the expression of c-myb by post-transcriptional regulation because there are no significant differences in c-myb mRNA levels between miR-155 mimic- and inhibitor-treated groups. The observed changes in c-myb protein expression might occur at the post-transcriptional level without influencing c-myb mRNA levels.

As we know, c-MYB has a negative regulatory effect on tumor angiogenesis, whereas VEGF is the opposite. The results of our study also confirm this. It has been proven that c-MYB can regulate the expression of VEGF. Therefore, the c-MYB-VEGF pathway may be the
potential mechanism for the function of miR-155 in GC. Although miR-155 has been demonstrated to significantly suppress c-MYB expression, further studies are warranted to determine the efficiency of exosome-delivered biomolecules. These findings provide a new method for studying the interaction between cells and the tumor microenvironment. Exosomes may serve as a potential novel carrier of miRNA for targeted therapy of GC in the future.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Human Tissue

Human GC tissues and paired adjacent non-cancerous tissues were acquired from patients undergoing surgical procedures at the Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital (Tianjin, China). Both tumor tissues and non-cancerous tissues were histologically confirmed. The pathological type of each cancer was determined to be glandular carcinoma. Tissue fragments were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen at the time of surgery and stored at −80°C. The Ethics Committee of Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital approved all aspects of this study, and written consent was provided by all of the patients.

Animals

Male nude mice (BALB/c-nu, 6–8 weeks old) were purchased and housed in a pathogen-free animal facility with access to water and food and allowed to eat and drink ad libitum. All of the experimental procedures were performed in accordance with protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Research Advisory Committee of Tianjin Medical University.

Cell Culture

Human SGC-7901 cells, HEK293T cells, and HUVEC cells were cultured in DMEM (Gibco, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco, USA) in a humidified incubator at 37°C with 5% CO₂.

RNA Isolation and qRT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from cultured cells, isolated exosomes, and tissues using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. miRNA levels were quantitated using TaqMan miRNA probes (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). All of the reactions were performed in triplicate. After the reactions were complete, the cycle threshold (CT) data were determined using fixed threshold settings, and the mean CT values were determined from triplicate PCRs. A comparative CT method was used to compare each condition.
with the control reactions. U6 snRNA was used as an internal control for miRNAs, and the c-MYB mRNA levels were normalized to those of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). The relative amounts of gene expression normalized to controls were calculated using the equation $2^{-\Delta CT}$, in which $\Delta CT = CT_{\text{gene}} - CT_{\text{control}}$. c-MYB and GAPDH primers were designed as follows: 5'-AGAAGGCTGGGGCTCATTTG-3' (GAPDH sense), 5'-AGGGGCCATCCAGTCTTC-3' (GAPDH antisense), 5'-GTCACAAAATTGACTGTTACAACCAT-3' (c-MYB sense); 5'-TTCTACTAGATGAGGGTGTCCTGAGG-3' (c-MYB, antisense).

**Cell Transfection**

Cells were seeded into a 6-well plate, and transfection was conducted after 24 h.

Transfection with miRNA mimics and inhibitors (RiboBio, China) was performed using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer’s protocols. miRNA mimics are double-stranded RNAs that are used to overexpress specific miRNAs, whereas inhibitors are antisense complementary strands of miRNA, leading to KD of specific miRNAs. For each well, equal doses (100 pmol) of miRNA mimics, inhibitors, small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-29802), or scrambled negative control RNA were used. The cells were harvested 24 h after transfection for real-time PCR analysis and 48 h after transfection for WB.

**Isolation of Exosomes from Medium**

Exosomes were isolated from cell culture medium by differential centrifugation, according to a previous publication. After removing cells and other debris by centrifugation at 300 × g and 3,000 × g, the supernatant was centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 30 min to remove shedding vesicles and other vesicles with bigger sizes. Finally, the supernatant was centrifuged at 110,000 × g for 70 min (all steps were performed at 4°C), resuspended with PBS, and filtered with a 0.2-μm filter to remove exosomes from the cell culture medium.

**Isolation of Exosomes from Serum**

Exosome isolation reagent for plasma or serum (C10110-2, RiboBio, Guangzhou, China) was used to isolate exosomes from human and mouse serum. All steps were performed according to the instructions.

**TEM Assay**

For conventional TEM, the exosome pellets were placed in a droplet of 2.5% glutaraldehyde in PBS buffer at pH 7.2 and fixed overnight at 4°C. The samples were rinsed in PBS buffer (3 times, 10 min each) and post-fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide for 60 min at room temperature. The samples were then embedded in 10% gelatin, fixed in glutaraldehyde at 4°C, and cut into several blocks (less than 1 mm³). Next, pure alcohol was exchanged with propylene oxide, and the specimens were infiltrated with increasing concentrations (25%, 50%, 75%, and 100%) of Quetol-812 epoxy resin mixed with propylene oxide for a minimum of 3 h per step. The samples were embedded in pure, fresh Quetol-812 epoxy resin mixed with propylene oxide for a minimum of 3 h per step. The samples were then embedded in 10% gelatin, fixed in glutaraldehyde at 4°C, and cut into several blocks (less than 1 mm³). The samples were dehydrated for 10 min per step in increasing concentrations of alcohol (30%, 50%, 70%, 90%, 95%, and 100% × 3). Next, pure alcohol was exchanged with propylene oxide, and the specimens were infiltrated with increasing concentrations (25%, 50%, 75%, and 100%) of Quetol-812 epoxy resin mixed with propylene oxide for a minimum of 3 h per step. The samples were then embedded in pure, fresh Quetol-812 epoxy resin and polymerized at 35°C for 12 h, 45°C for 12 h, and 60°C for 24 h. Ultrathin sections (100 nm) were cut using a Leica UC6 ultramicrotome and post-stained with uranyl acetate for 10 min and with lead citrate for 5 min at room temperature prior to observation using an FEI Tecnai T20 transmission electron microscope operated at 120 kV.

**Luciferase Assay**

Wild-type and mutated c-MYB 3’ UTRs were synthesized and inserted into a p-MIR reporter plasmid (Genepharma, Shanghai, China).
For luciferase reporter assays, 2 mg of firefly luciferase reporter plasmid, 2 mg of β-gal expression vector (Ambion), and equal amounts (200 pmol) of mimics, inhibitors, or scrambled negative control RNA were transfected into cells. The β-gal vector was used as a transfection control. 24 h after transfection, cells were assayed using a luciferase assay kit (Promega).

Cell Proliferation Assay
HUVEC cells were incubated with 50 μM EdU (RiboBio) for 12 h and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at 25°C. Next, the cells were washed in PBS (2 × 5 min, RT) and then permeabilized using PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 for 10 min. After extensive washes in PBS, the cells were incubated in Apollo staining solution (RiboBio Inc.) for 20 min, washed with NaCl/Pi (3 × 2 min, room temperature [RT]), and then incubated in DAPI (1:2,500; Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) for 10 min at RT.

Cell Migration Assay
The migratory capacity of HUVECs was tested using a Transwell Boyden Chamber (6.5 mm, Costar) with polycarbonate membranes (8-μm pore size) on the bottom of the upper compartment. The cells were suspended in serum-free DMEM with a total of 1 × 10^5 cells; simultaneously, 0.5 mL DMEM with 10% FBS was added to the lower compartment, and the Transwell-containing plates were incubated for 6 h. At the end of the incubation period, the cells that penetrated to the lower surface of the filter membrane were fixed with 90% ethanol for 15 min at RT and stained with 0.1% crystal violet solution. Images of migrated cells were captured by photomicroscope; cell migration was quantified by blind counting with five fields per chamber.

Vascular Ring Formation of HUVEC Cells
The in vitro endothelial tube formation assay was performed as described previously. Briefly, 100 μL of Matrigel (BD Biosciences) was added to each well of a 24-well plate and allowed to polymerize at 37°C for 30 min. HUVEC cells were first co-cultured with pre-treated SGC-7901 cells. Next, the cells were re-suspended in FBS-free DMEM and seeded in each well at a concentration of 1 × 10^5 cells/well. After 6 h, the cells were examined under a light microscope to assess the formation of capillary-like structures. The branchpoints of the formed tubes, which represent the degree of angiogenesis in vitro, were scanned and quantified in at least five low-power fields (200×).

Immunohistochemistry
The tumors were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and then stained with debranching enzyme (DBE)-conjugated anti-CD31 (Abcam) and DBE-conjugated anti-c-MYB and anti-VEGF antibodies (Santa Cruz). The fluorescence intensity was quantified from at least five sections.

Establishment of Tumor Xenografts in Nude Mice
SGC-7901 cells treated with a control lentivirus, miR-155 OE lentivirus, or c-MYB OE lentivirus were injected subcutaneously into nude mice (1 × 10^7 cells for one mouse). Mice were sacrificed after 4 weeks, and the weight and diameter of tumors were recorded.

miRNA Target Prediction
miRNA target prediction and analysis were performed using algorithms from TargetScan (http://www.targetscan.org/), PicTar (https://pictar.mdc-berlin.de/), and miRanda (http://miranda.org.uk).
WB Analysis

The expression of c-MYB and VEGF was assessed by WB analysis, and the samples were normalized to GAPDH. The immunoblots were blocked with PBS containing 5% fat-free dried milk at RT for 1 h and incubated at 4°C overnight with anti-c-MYB (1:500, Santa Cruz) and anti-GAPDH (1:2,000, Santa Cruz) antibodies.

Statistical Analyses

All data are representative of five or six independent experiments. The data were expressed as the mean values ± SE of at least five separate experiments. Statistical significance was considered as p < 0.05 using Student’s t test: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001.
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