Synthesis of Pyrochlore Oxides Containing Ir and Ru for Efficient Oxygen Evolution Reaction
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Abstract: A versatile synthesis method for pyrochlore oxides containing Ir and Ru with lanthanides Pr, Nd, Eu, Gd, Tb, and Ho is herein presented. Based on the systematic synthesis and Rietveld refinement results, the lattice constants were tunable depending on the ionic radius of the lanthanide used. Subsequently, Pr-based pyrochlore oxides containing Ru and Ir in different ratios were fabricated for the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) in alkaline media, and the OER activity of these catalysts increased when the content of Ir and Ru was the same. Thus, the co-substitution of Ir and Ru in pyrochlore oxides is a novel synthesis strategy for electrocatalysts, which provides great potential for the fabrication of other pyrochlore oxides with various architectures and compositions for application in electrocatalysis.
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1. Introduction

Global energy consumption increases by 2.5% (in oil equivalent) annually. To realize a sustainable society, water-splitting technology using renewable energy is attractive for the production of hydrogen as an alternative to oil [1–3]. Electrochemical water splitting involves two reactions: the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and the oxygen evolution reaction (OER). Based on systematic studies, the relationship between surface-molecule interactions and performance (known as the “volcano plot”) is a useful indicator to predict activity [4,5]. Considering the active materials from the volcano plot of the OER, IrO$_2$ and RuO$_2$ are the active oxides. Moreover, multiple studies have reported the improved OER activities of Ir$_{x}$Ru$_{1-x}$O$_y$ under full-cell testing conditions [6–14]. The electrochemical stability related to Ir/Ru dissolution is an essential mechanism for further improvement and optimization of the process [15].

Recently, pyrochlore-type oxides (A$_2$B$_2$O$_7$) have been reported as a promising new class of OER catalysts [16]. These oxides possess a crystal framework that is capable of simultaneously accommodating Ir and Ru at the B sites, which strengthens the interaction between local RuO$_6$ and IrO$_6$ via shared oxygen and O–A–O bonds [17]. Furthermore, recent studies have reported the elemental feasibility of forming high-entropy pyrochore oxides by heavy doping of lanthanides on the A site and transition metals on the B site [18–21]. In this study, A$_2$Ru$_2$O$_7$ and A$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$, where A is a lanthanide (Pr, Nd, Eu, Gd, Tb, and Ho), are synthesized systematically to confirm the feasibility of synthesis and obtain crystal information. Subsequently, Pr$_2$(Ru$_{x}$Ir$_{1-x}$)$_2$O$_7$ with different x values is synthesized for application as OER catalysts because Pr-based pyrochore oxides exhibit good conductivity and are suitable as an electrode catalyst among the lanthanides [22]. Pr$_2$(Ru$_{0.5}$Ir$_{0.5}$)$_2$O$_7$ with an identical Ir and Ru same atomic percentage and uniform element distribution exhibited good OER activity and stability compared with the benchmark IrO$_2$ catalyst. Pyrochlore oxide with Ir and Ru has controllable and flexible compositions, which is important for its potential application in various fields.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals

Ruthenium (III) nitrosoynitrate, iridium acetate, praseodymium (III) acetate, neodymium acetate monohydrate, europium (III) acetate n-hydrate, gadolinium (III) acetate tetrahydr- 
drate, terbium (III) acetate tetrahydrate, holmium (III) acetate monohydrate (99.9%), L- 
apasartic acid (L-AA), hydrochloric acid, iridium (IV) oxide, 2-propanol, a 5 wt% Nafion 
dispersion solution, potassium hydroxide, and potassium chloride were purchased from 
FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation. All the chemicals were used without further 
filtration. Ultrapure deionized water, which was prepared using a Millipore system 
(Milli-Q), was used in the measurements.

2.2. Synthesis of $A_2Ru_2O_7$

Using Pr$_2$Ru$_2$O$_7$ as an example, a precursor was prepared using an amino acid-facilitated 
method [23]. Subsequently, (CH$_3$COO)$_3$Pr$_2$O$_7$ (107.6 mg, 0.315 mmol), RuN$_4$O$_{10}$ (100 mg, 
0.315 mmol), and L-AA (125.8 mg, 0.945 mmol) were dissolved in 50 mL of deionized 
water. The resulting solution was stirred at 60 $^\circ$C for 3 h and then heated in an oven at 
150 $^\circ$C for 12 h to obtain the solid precursor. Subsequently, the solid was ground using a 
mortar and pestle (1–2 min) to obtain a fine powder. The powdered sample 
was then transferred to an alumina boat, calcined in air at 1050 $^\circ$C for 3 h, and cooled to 
room temperature. For the other solid-solution perovskites, the amount of A sites was 
changed, but the other synthesis conditions were not. The samples were obtained using 
(CH$_3$COO)$_3$Nd$_2$O$_7$ (106.9 mg, 0.315 mmol), (CH$_3$COO)$_3$Eu$n$H$_2$O (126.3 mg, 0.315 mmol), 
(CH$_3$COO)$_3$Gd$_2$H$_2$O (128.0 mg, 0.315 mmol), (CH$_3$COO)$_3$Tb$_2$H$_2$O (126.6 mg, 0.315 mmol), 
and (CH$_3$COO)$_3$Ho$_2$H$_2$O (107.9 mg, 0.315 mmol) in the synthesis mixtures.

2.3. Synthesis of $A_2Ir_2O_7$

Similarly, (CH$_3$COO)$_3$Pr$_2$O$_7$ (96.6 mg, 0.283 mmol), Ir(OOCH)$_{10}$ (100 mg, 
0.283 mmol), and L-AA (113 mg, 0.849 mmol) were dissolved in 50 mL of deionized 
water. The resulting solution was stirred at 60 $^\circ$C for 3 h and heated in an oven at 
150 $^\circ$C for 12 h to obtain the solid precursor. Subsequently, the solid was ground using 
a mortar and pestle (1–2 min) to obtain a fine powder. The powdered sample was 
then transferred to an alumina boat, calcined in air at 1050 $^\circ$C for 3 h, and cooled to 
room temperature. For the other solid-solution perovskites, the samples were obtained 
using (CH$_3$COO)$_3$Nd$_2$O$_7$ (96.0 mg, 0.283 mmol), (CH$_3$COO)$_3$Eu$n$H$_2$O (113.5 mg, 
0.283 mmol), (CH$_3$COO)$_3$Gd$_2$H$_2$O (115 mg, 0.283 mmol), (CH$_3$COO)$_3$Tb$_2$H$_2$O (115.5 mg, 
0.283 mmol), and (CH$_3$COO)$_3$Ho$_2$H$_2$O (96.8 mg, 0.283 mmol) in the synthesis mixtures.

2.4. Synthesis of Pr$_2$(Ru$_{x}$Ir$_{1-x}$)$_2$O$_7$

The synthesis of Pr$_2$(Ru$_{0.5}$Ir$_{0.5}$)$_2$O$_7$ ($x = 0.5$) is presented as an example. (CH$_3$COO)$_3$ 
Pr$_2$O$_7$ (150 mg, 0.440 mmol), Ru$_4$N$_{10}$ (69.6 mg, 0.220 mmol), Ir(OOCH)$_{10}$ (77.8 mg, 
0.220 mmol), and L-AA (175.4 mg, 1.317 mmol) were dissolved in 50 mL of deionized water. 
The resulting solution was stirred at 60 $^\circ$C for 3 h and heated in an oven at 150 $^\circ$C for 
12 h to obtain the solid precursor. Subsequently, the solid was ground using a mortar and 
pestle (1–2 min) to obtain a fine powder. The powdered sample was then transferred to an 
alumina boat, calcined in air at 1050 $^\circ$C for 3 h, and cooled to room temperature. For the 
other solid-solution pyrochlores, Pr$_2$(Ru$_x$Ir$_{1-x}$)$_2$O$_7$ with different molar ratios of Ru and Ir 
were used.

2.5. Electrochemical Characterization

Electrochemical experiments were conducted using an IviumStat electrochemical 
workstation (IVIUM Technologies B.V., Eindhoven, The Netherlands) with a typical three- 
electrode cell setup. Pt wire and Ag/AgCl (in saturated KCl) were used as the counter and 
reference electrodes, respectively. Glassy carbon (5 mm in diameter) was prepared using 
a catalyst ink suspension and served as the working electrode. Catalyst ink suspensions
were prepared using 5 mg of the pyrochlore catalyst, 100 µL of deionized water, 900 µL of isopropyl alcohol, and 40 µL of 5 wt% Nafion solution. The catalyst ink suspensions were sonicated for 30 min before depositing 5 µL onto a polished glassy carbon disk electrode (0.19625 cm²) to obtain a total catalyst loading of ~127.4 µg/cm². The electrolyte (1.0 M KOH) was prepared using potassium hydroxide and saturated with synthetic air for all measurements.

Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) was performed at a potential scan rate of 5 mV/s for all electrolytes. Tafel plots were obtained from the LSV curve using the formula \( \eta = b \times \log j + a \), where \( \eta \) is the overpotential, \( j \) is the current density, and \( b \) is the Tafel slope. For the stability test by chronoamperometry, a carbon sheet with a catalyst loading of 0.5 mg/cm² was used as the working electrode for improved adhesion compared with the flat carbon electrode.

2.6. Structural Characterization

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the samples were obtained using a Rigaku RINT 2000 X-ray diffractometer equipped with a monochromatic Cu Ka radiation unit (40 kV, 40 mA). The microstructure of the samples was analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a Hitachi S-8020 scanning electron microscope operated at an accelerating voltage of 10 kV. The microstructure of the samples was further characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) using a transmission electron microscope (JEM-ARM200F “NEO ARM,” JEOL) equipped with aberration correctors for the image- and probe-forming lens systems (CEOS GmbH) and an energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDS; JED-2300T, JEOL). The TEM and scanning TEM (STEM) observations were conducted at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 1a,b shows a series of XRD profiles confirming the synthesis of pyrochlore-type A\(_2\)Ru\(_2\)O\(_7\) and A\(_2\)Ir\(_2\)O\(_7\) with lanthanides Pr, Nd, Eu, Gd, Tb, and Ho, using Nd\(_2\)Ru\(_2\)O\(_7\) and Nd\(_2\)Ir\(_2\)O\(_7\) as reference samples. The Rietveld refinement of the diffraction data revealed that the lattice constant \( a \) increased with increasing ionic radius \( R \), as shown in Figure 1c,d. In pyrochlore oxides, the expansion of the lattice with \( R \) is usually attributed to an increase in the O–A–O bond angle via the chemical pressure effect [22].

Conductivity is an important parameter in electrocatalysis. For oxygen-evolving catalysts, high conductivity facilitates charge transfer between the catalyst–electrolyte and catalyst–support electrode interfaces. According to theoretical calculations, Pr-based pyrochlore oxides exhibit the highest metallic conductivity among the lanthanides because of the disappearance of the band gap [22]. Based on the successful synthesis of Pr\(_2\)Ru\(_2\)O\(_7\) and Pr\(_2\)Ir\(_2\)O\(_7\), Pr\(_2\)(Ru\(_0.5\)Ir\(_0.5\))\(_2\)O\(_7\) was systematically synthesized using different x values, as shown in Figure 2a. The Rietveld refinement of the diffraction data shows a linear relationship between \( a \) and the Ir/(Ir + Ru) molar ratio, as shown in Figure 2b.

The microstructures of Pr\(_2\)Ru\(_2\)O\(_7\), Pr\(_2\)Ir\(_2\)O\(_7\), and Pr\(_2\)(Ru\(_0.5\)Ir\(_0.5\))\(_2\)O\(_7\) were characterized using SEM, as shown in Figure 3. Large particles with an average size of 400–500 nm were observed in the three samples. As expected from the high annealing temperature (1050 °C, 3 h), the nanosized character of the pyrochlore catalysts was reduced, yielding particle sizes ranging from the nano to micron scale. As shown in Figure 4a, the TEM observations along the [110] direction indicate high crystallinity owing to high-temperature annealing. As shown in Figure 4b, the high-angle annular dark field (HAADF)-STEM images indicate that no distinct local structural defects were observed. Furthermore, the atomic-scale homogeneity of each element was observed by EDS mapping shown in Figure 4c.
Figure 1. (a,b) Experimental XRD patterns of $A_2Ru_2O_7$ and $A_2Ir_2O_7$ where $A = Pr, Nd, Eu, Gd, Tb, and Ho$, using cubic $Nd_2Ru_2O_7$ (ICSD #79327) and $Nd_2Ir_2O_7$ (#239644) as reference samples. (c,d) Lattice constants $a$ of $A_2Ru_2O_7$ and $A_2Ir_2O_7$ plotted against the ionic radii of $R^{3+}$. The dotted lines serve as a visual guide.

Figure 2. (a) Experimental XRD patterns of $Pr_2(Ru_xIr_{1-x})_2O_7$ with different ratios of Ru and Ir. (b) Lattice constant $a$ of $Pr_2(Ru_xIr_{1-x})_2O_7$ plotted against the $Ir/(Ir+Ru)$ molar ratio. The dotted lines serve as a visual guide.
Figure 3. Low- and high-magnification SEM micrographs of the products. (a,b) Pr$_2$Ru$_2$O$_7$, (c,d) Pr$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$, and (e,f) Pr$_2$(Ru$_{0.5}$Ir$_{0.5}$)$_2$O$_7$. 
The electrocatalytic OER activity of $\text{Pr}_2(\text{Ru}_{0.5}\text{Ir}_{0.5})_2\text{O}_7$ with different $x$ values was investigated in a $\text{N}_2$-saturated 1.0 M KOH solution at room temperature using a three-electrode electrolysis system and compared with that of commercial $\text{IrO}_2$. Figure 5a shows the representative LSV curves of the electrocatalysts measured at a scan rate of 5 mV s$^{-1}$ (with ohmic drop correction). The OER activity of the catalysts increased when the Ir and Ru contents were identical ($x = 0.5$). Moreover, the excellent OER performance of $\text{Pr}_2(\text{Ru}_{0.5}\text{Ir}_{0.5})_2\text{O}_7$ was further confirmed by its lower Tafel slope (47.7 mV dec$^{-1}$) compared with the others (Figure 5b), indicating that $\text{Pr}_2(\text{Ru}_{0.5}\text{Ir}_{0.5})_2\text{O}_7$ promoted efficient OER kinetics. Comparison of some representative pyrochlore OER catalysts reported under alkaline conditions is listed in Table 1. As shown in Figure 5c, the chronopotentiometric measurements of $\text{Pr}_2(\text{Ru}_{0.5}\text{Ir}_{0.5})_2\text{O}_7$ and $\text{IrO}_2$ were performed at a current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$. A minor increase in the potential (versus a reversible hydrogen electrode) was observed in the $V-t$ curves, further confirming the good stability of $\text{Pr}_2(\text{Ru}_{0.5}\text{Ir}_{0.5})_2\text{O}_7$. In contrast, the activity of $\text{IrO}_2$ gradually decreased. Additionally, the structure of $\text{Pr}_2(\text{Ru}_{0.5}\text{Ir}_{0.5})_2\text{O}_7$ after the durability test was characterized by XRD (Figure 5d), which indicated that variations in the phase and lattice were negligible compared with the as-synthesized powdered samples.

Figure 4. A TEM characterization of as-synthesized $\text{Pr}_2(\text{Ru}_{0.5}\text{Ir}_{0.5})_2\text{O}_7$. (a) Low-magnification TEM micrograph with the corresponding selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern along the [011] direction (inset). (b) HAADF-STEM image with uniform atom distribution. (c) EDS mappings of the Ru-K, Ir-L, Pr-L, and O-K edges.
These results suggest that Pr$_2$(Ru$_{0.5}$Ir$_{0.5}$)$_2$O$_7$ is a highly active and stable electrocatalyst for the OER in alkaline media.

Figure 5. An electrocatalytic OER performance test of catalysts. (a) OER polarization curves of Pr$_2$(Ru$_x$Ir$_{1-x}$)$_2$O$_7$ catalysts with different molar ratio of Ru and Ir. (b) Tafel plots obtained from the polarization curves in (a). (c) Chronoamperometric measurement of Pr$_2$(Ru$_{0.5}$Ir$_{0.5}$)$_2$O$_7$ and commercial IrO$_2$ at 10 mA/cm$^2$. All the tests were performed in N$_2$-saturated 1.0 M KOH solution. (d) Comparison of XRD profiles of post-test and as-made power samples, indicating the good phase stability. The carbon signal comes from the attached carbon paper.
Table 1. A comparison of some representative pyrochlore OER catalysts reported under alkaline conditions.

| Catalysts                  | Electrolyte | Overpotential (mV) | Reference |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Pr$_2$Ru$_1$Ir$_1$O$_7$   | 1 M KOH     | 350@10 mA cm$^{-2}$ | This work |
| Bi$_{2.4}$Ru$_{1.6}$O$_7$ | 0.1 M KOH   | 370                | [16]      |
| Pb$_2$Ru$_2$O$_{6.5}$     | 0.1 M KOH   | 418                | [24]      |
| Sm$_3$Ru$_2$O$_7$         | 0.1 M KOH   | 440@2.5 mA cm$^{-2}$|           |
| Y$_2$Ru$_{3.3}$Y$_6$O$_7$ | 0.1 M KOH   | 490                | [25]      |
| P-Tl$_2$Ru$_2$O$_7$       | 0.1 M KOH   | 274                | [26]      |
| Bi$_2$Ru$_2$O$_7$         | 0.1 M KOH   | 448                | [27]      |
| P-Bi$_2$Rh$_2$O$_{6.8}$   | 0.1 M KOH   | 290                | [28]      |
| Tl$_2$Rh$_2$O$_7$         | 0.1 M KOH   | 423                | [29]      |

Regarding to the outperformance of the Pr$_2$(Ru$_{0.5}$Ir$_{0.5}$)$_2$O$_7$ catalyst, co-substitution of Ir and Ru strengthened the interaction between RuO$_6$ and IrO$_6$ via shared oxygen atoms and O–A–O bonds. Moreover, recent experimental and theoretical results have demonstrated that the elongated Ru–O and Ir–O bonds in the pyrochlore possesses ruthenium and iridium at a low oxidation state when their contents are similar, which contributes to a moderate binding energy for the oxygen intermediate and leads to good OER stability [17]. Further improvements are expected when the particle size is reduced to the nanometer range. Moreover, the inhibition of radical coarsening during high-temperature annealing should be investigated in future studies.

4. Conclusions

In this study, A$_2$Ru$_2$O$_7$ and A$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ (where A was lanthanide Pr, Nd, Eu, Gd, Tb, or Ho) were synthesized systematically to verify the synthesis route. Subsequently, Pr$_2$(Ru$_x$Ir$_{1-x}$)$_2$O$_7$ was synthesized with different x values for application as OER catalysts. This study demonstrated that Pr$_2$(Ru$_{0.5}$Ir$_{0.5}$)$_2$O$_7$ with the same Ir and Ru atomic percentages have good OER activity and stability compared with the benchmark IrO$_2$ catalyst. Although the nanosized character of the pyrochlore catalysts were significantly reduced owing to high-temperature annealing during synthesis, the co-substitution of Ir and Ru in pyrochlore oxides should be applied to other material systems for achieving enhanced OER activity and stability in electrocatalytic applications.
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