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Abstract

Botulinum toxins, i.e. BoNT/A to/G, include the most toxic substances known. Since botulism is a potentially fatal neuroparalytic disease with possible use as a biowarfare weapon (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention category A bioterrorism agent), intensive efforts are being made to develop vaccines or neutralizing antibodies. The use of active fractions from non-human immunoglobulins (F(ab')2, Fab', scFv), chemically modified or not, may avoid side effects, but also largely modify the in vivo half-life and effectiveness of these reagents. We evaluated the neutralizing activity of several monoclonal anti-BoNT/A antibodies (mAbs). F(ab')2 fragments, native or treated with polyethylene glycol (PEG), were prepared from selected mAbs to determine their half-life and neutralizing activity as compared with the initial mAbs. We compared the protective efficacy of the different biochemical forms of anti-toxin mAbs providing the same neutralizing activity. Among fourteen tested mAbs, twelve exhibited neutralizing activity. Fragments from two of the best mAbs (TA12 and TA17), recognizing different epitopes, were produced. These two mAbs neutralized the A1 subtype of the toxin more efficiently than the A2 or A3 subtypes. Since mAB TA12 and its fragments both exhibited the greatest neutralizing activity, they were further evaluated in the therapeutic experiments. These showed that in a mouse model, a 2- to 4-h interval between toxin and antitoxin injection allows the treatment to remain effective, but also suggested an absence of correlation between the half-life of the antitoxins and the length of time before treatment after botulinum toxin A contamination. These experiments demonstrate that PEG treatment has a strong impact on the half-life of the fragments, without affecting the effectiveness of neutralization, which was maintained after preparation of the fragments. These reagents may be useful for rapid treatment after botulinum toxin A contamination.

Introduction

Seven serologically distinct botulinum toxins, BoNT/A to/G, are produced by different strains of the Gram-positive, spore-forming anaerobic bacterium Clostridium botulinum. These neurotoxins are the most toxic substances known, being, for example, 100,000 times more toxic than sarin [1]. Three main forms of human botulism generally associated with toxinootypes A, B and E have been described: i) foodborne botulism, ii) intestinal colonization (infant botulism and foodborne illness in adults) due to toxin production in the intestine after ingestion of Clostridium botulinum spores, and iii) wound botulism [2]. Botulism cases are rare but can be life-threatening and the recovery period requires intensive care and can take several months. Vaccination against botulism is available and consists of a long-lasting inoculation protocol which has only been used for people at high risk of exposure and not for whole populations. Since no drugs allow prevention or treatment, toxin-neutralizing antibodies were developed for prophylactic or therapeutic treatment [3]. These antitoxins were obtained after immunization of several species: horse [4], goat [3], mouse [6–7], and human [8]. However, the use of antitoxin from nonhomologous species can generate side effects including anaphylactic shock [9] and the production of human anti-species antibodies. Moreover, human antitoxin antibodies from immunized volunteers also have limitations related to their small-scale production and the risk of infectious disease transmission. Different strategies have been developed to circumvent these limitations using phage display libraries from immunized mice or humans [10,11] or using immunoglobulin fragments, like F(ab')2 [12,13], which are less immunogenic. However, these fragments have short in vivo half-lives, which must be taken into account considering the expected duration of antitoxin activity. Several reports have shown that linking polyethylene glycol (PEG) molecules to F(ab')2 fragments (pegylated fragments) can overcome this problem by extending in vivo half-life [14–17].

In the present study, the neutralizing potency of 14 monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) raised against BoNT type A was estimated. F(ab')2 fragments from the most efficient mAbs were then produced and further modified by PEG treatment. The neutralizing effects and the in vivo half-lives of the fragments, pegylated or not, were characterized before finally evaluating their efficiency for therapeutic treatment of mice challenged with BoNT/A.
Materials and Methods

Reagents

Unless otherwise stated, all reagents were from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). C. botulinum cultures require handling precautions, due to their toxicity. Appropriate laboratory attire should be worn, including a lab coat, gloves and safety glasses. BoNT-contaminated materials were inactivated by immersion in 5% sodium hypochlorite solution for 24 h. C. botulinum strains were grown in TGY (30 g/l trypticase; 5 g/l glucose; 20 g/l yeast extract, 0.5 g/l cystine hydrochloride; pH 7.5) in anaerobic conditions for 4 days at 37°C. The cultures were acidified at pH 3.5 with sulfuric acid, centrifuged, and the pellet was extracted with 0.2 M sodium phosphate buffer pH 6.0 as previously described [18]. The extracted material constitutes the toxin stock.

Recombinant Hc BoNT/A1 fragment, corresponding to the binding domain of the neurotoxin type A1, was prepared as previously described [19].

N-Hydroxysuccinimidyl ester of methoxy poly(ethylene glycol) butanoic acid (abbreviated as NHS-PEG) corresponding to a 30 kDa linear PEG was from Nektar Therapeutics (Huntsville, AL).

For immunoassays, all reagents were diluted in EIA buffer (0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 7.4 containing 0.15 M NaCl, 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 0.01% sodium azide). Plates were washed with washing buffer (0.01 M phosphate pH 7.4 buffer containing 0.05% Tween 20). Ellman’s medium used to reveal the activity of acetylcholinesterase (AChE), an enzyme used as marker in the immunoassays, comprised a mixture of 7.5 10⁻⁴ M acetylthiocholine iodide (enzyme substrate) and 2.5 10⁻⁴ M 5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB) (reagent for thiol colorimetric measurement) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. Enzymatic activity was expressed in Ellman units (EU).

Apparatus

Enzyme immunoassay of anti-BoNT/A1 mAbs and their fragments in mouse plasma

All experiments were performed in accordance with French and European Community guidelines for laboratory animal handling. The pharmacokinetic studies were performed on mice using mouse monoclonal antibodies. The specific measurement of anti-BoNT/A1 immunoglobulins requires development of an original assay based on the recognition of BoNT/A1 by these mAbs or their fragments. In a previous study [20] we tested different combinations of anti-BoNT/A1 mAbs to design an immunometric assay. Among the pairs of mAbs able to bind BoNT/A1 simultaneously, some were tracer mAbs that recognized the same epitope as mAbs tested in the pharmacokinetic study (TA12 and TA17). When the sandwich assay was performed in the presence of a tracer mAb and another unlabeled mAb, both directed against the same epitope, there was competitive binding to the recombinant Hc BoNT/A1 immobilized on the plate via the capture mAb. This reaction led to a signal decrease proportional to the competitor concentration. To perform this assay, 50 µl of recombinant Hc BoNT/A1 (2 ng/ml) were added to 50 µl of mAb-AChE (2 EU/ml) and 100 µl of standard or samples, in plates coated with the complementary mAb. For the TA12 quantification, we used TA5 as capture antibody and TA12-AChE as tracer, while for TA17 we used TA16 as capture antibody and TA13 as tracer. After 18-h incubation at 4°C and washing of the plate, solid-phase bound AChE activity was revealed by the addition of 200 µl of Ellman’s reagent for a 1-h reaction. The absorbance of the wells was then measured at 414 nm.

Enzyme immunoassay of anti-BoNT/A1 mAbs and their fragments in mouse plasma

Monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) were raised against recombinant Hc BoNT/A1 as previously described [20]. The relative epitopes of these mAbs and their subsequent classification in five epitopic groups were determined using complementary tests. The mAbs selected for their neutralizing activity were affinity-purified on protein A (Prosep-A High capacity, Millipore, Billerica, USA) and the F(ab′)2 fragments obtained by treatment with pepsin in acidic medium [21]. Purity of mAbs and F(ab′)2 was assessed by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) in denaturing and reducing conditions using the phast System apparatus (GE Healthcare) and equilibrated with buffer A (0.02 M acetate buffer pH 5) at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. The reaction mixture was filtered through a 0.2 µm filter and loaded onto the column. After washing the column with 17 ml of buffer A at 1 ml/min, the pegylated F(ab′)2 was eluted using successive gradient steps of 12 ml each at 2, 3, 4, 5 and 25% of buffer B (0.02 M acetate buffer pH 5+1 M NaCl) at 1 ml/min. The column was regenerated with 17 ml of buffer B at 1 ml/min. During the chromatography, fractions of 1 ml were collected and the absorbance was monitored at 280 nm. Fractions corresponding to each peak were pooled and analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

Monoclonal antibody complementary tests and epitope mapping

The simultaneous binding of the different mAbs to BoNT/A was analyzed in immunometric tests, with one mAb immobilized on the solid phase while the other was used as a biotin-labeled tracer. Experiments were performed as follows: 10 ng/ml BoNT/A and 100 µl of a 100 ng/ml biotin-labeled mAb solution were added to microtiter plate wells coated with one of the mAbs. After 18-h incubation at 4°C, the plates were washed before adding 200 µl/well of AChE-labeled streptavidin conjugate (2 EU/ml). After a further 1-h incubation at room temperature followed by several washings, 200 µl of Ellman’s medium were added to each well. After 1-h incubation, the absorbance was measured at 414 nm (Table S1).

Enzyme immunoassay of anti-BoNT/A1 mAbs and their fragments in mouse plasma

For each pair of mAbs two combinations are possible. The absence of specific signals for both combinations means that these mAbs have the same or close epitopes.
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Pharmacokinetic studies

BoNT/A1 were incubated with 30 μl of serial ten-fold dilutions of ascitic fluid for
m of the mixtures were injected
intraperitoneally to give final toxin challenges of approximately 5 MLD50/mouse into
Swiss mice weighing 20–22 g (4 mice per group). Mice were
observed and any deaths recorded every day for 4 days.

Evaluation of mAb (ascitic fluid) neutralizing activity

The neutralizing capacity of the antibodies was evaluated in the in
vivo mouse lethality test. Neutralization tests were performed using
acid-precipitated toxins from strain Hall (type A1). Ten-fold serial
dilutions of the fourteen mAbs were incubated with botulinum toxin
type A1 containing approximately 10 mouse 50% lethal doses
(MLD50/ml) (Table S2), in 50 mM phosphate buffer pH 6.5
containing 1% gelatin (PB-G), for 30 min at room temperature. The
mixtures (0.5 ml) were then injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) into
Swiss mice weighing 20–22 g (4 mice per group). Mice were
observed and any deaths recorded every day for 4 days.

Neutralizing activity of TA12, TA17 and their fragments

The neutralizing capacity of the antibodies was evaluated in the in
vivo mouse lethality test. Neutralization tests were performed using
acid-precipitated toxins from strain Hall (type A1), strain 136.06
(type A2; GenBank HM135956) and strain Loch Maree (type A3).
Ten-fold serial dilutions of TA12, TA17 mAbs or their fragments
were incubated with botulinum toxin type A1, A2 or A3 containing
approximately 10 mouse 50% lethal doses (MLD50/ml) (Table S2),
in PB-G, for 30 min at room temperature. The mixtures (0.5 ml)
were then injected i.p. into Swiss mice weighing 20–22 g. Groups of
6–12 mice were used in three independent assays. Mice were
observed and any deaths recorded every day for 4 days.

Neutralizing activity using co-injection protocol

The purpose of this experiment was to determine the neutralizing activity of TA12 mAb and its F(ab')2 fragments,
before and after pegylation, co-injected with the toxin without
preincubation.

The neutralizing capacity of the antibodies was evaluated in the in
vivo mouse lethality test. 5 estimated MLD50 of BoNT/A1 in PB-G
(0.5 ml) were injected i.p. into Swiss mice weighing 20–22 g (6 mice
per group). Concomitantly, 0.5 ml of various dilutions of mAbs or

For accurate quantification, and depending on the experiments,
the different forms of antibody (mAb, F(ab')2 or PEG-F(ab')2)
injected in the mice were used as standard (Figure S1). The plasma
samples were diluted in 20-fold in EIA buffer and the standards
were prepared in plasma diluted 20-fold in EIA buffer. Higher
sample dilutions were made by dilution of diluted sample (1/20) in
diluted plasma (1/20). Each standard was analyzed in duplicate
and the blank (buffer alone) in octuplicate in order to determine
the minimum detectable concentration.

Pharmacokinetic studies

Balb/c mice (male, 30 g) were from the Centre d'élevage René
Janvier (Le Genest Saint Isle, France). An equivalent amount
(0.33 nmoles) of mAbs, F(ab')2 or PEG-F(ab')2 was injected in a
single intraperitoneal dose. For each post-dose time, blood samples
were collected from four animals by retro-orbital bleeding.
Animals that received mAbs were sampled at the following post-
dose times: 10 min, 4, 24, 48, 96, 240, 504 and 960 h. Animals
that received F(ab')2 fragments were sampled at the following post-
dose times: 10, 30 min and 1, 2, 4, 8, 18 and 24 h. Animals that
received PEG-F(ab')2 were sampled at the following post-dose
times: 30 min and 1, 4, 8, 18, 24, 48, 72, 96 and 240 h. Plasma
samples were assayed for mAb, Fab'2 or PEG-Fab'2 fragments
using the competitive sandwich procedure previously described. A
noncompartmental pharmacokinetic analysis was performed on
concentration-time data using the WinNonlin program.

Table 1. BoNT/A1 neutralization activity of crude ascite fluids
from 14 mAbs in the mouse protection assay (5 estimated
MLD50/mouse).

| Epitopic group | Antibody | Isotype | Protective titer |
|---------------|----------|---------|-----------------|
| A             | TA2      | IgG1    | 10^7            |
|               | TA10     | IgG1    | 10^7            |
|               | TA11     | IgG1    | 10^7            |
|               | TA12     | IgG1    | 10^8            |
|               | TA14     | IgG1    | 10^7            |
| B             | TA4      | IgG2a   | 10^6            |
|               | TA7      | IgG2a   | 10^6            |
|               | TA13     | IgG2a   | 10^6            |
|               | TA17     | IgG2a   | 10^4            |
| C             | TA1      | IgG1    | ≤10^2           |
|               | TA5      | IgG1    | ≤10^2           |
|               | TA15     | IgG1    | ≤10^2           |
| D             | TA9      | IgG1    | 10^2            |
|               | TA16     | IgG1    | 10^3            |

The protective titer is the highest dilution of the ascitic fluid that completely
protected against 5 estimated MLD50 of BoNT/A1 in the mouse protection
assay.

Table 2. BoNT/A1 neutralization activity of four purified monoclonal antibodies.

| Epitopic group | Antibody | Ig concentration (mg/ml) | Protective titer | Lowest protective amount* |
|---------------|----------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| A             | TA12     | 0.442                    | 10^6            | 2.2 ng                    |
| B             | TA17     | 1.4                      | 10^4            | 700 ng                    |
| D             | TA9      | 0.595                    | 10^2            | ~3 μg                     |
| E             | TA16     | 0.608                    | 10^3            | ~3 μg                     |

The protective titer is the highest dilution of the purified antibody that allows the neutralization of 5 estimated MLD50 of BoNT/A1 neurotoxin in the mouse protection assay.

Ten-fold dilutions were used to generate final antibody concentrations ranging from 2.21 ng-2.21 μg/mouse for TA12, 700 ng-7 μg for TA17, 5.9 μg for TA9, and 6.1 μg
for TA16. These dilutions were incubated with toxin for 30 min and 0.5 ml was injected to give final toxin challenges of approximately 5 MLD50/mouse of a group of 4
for each dilution.

*Lowest amount of antibody needed to completely protect the mice against a 5 estimated MLD50 toxin.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012416.t001
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012416.t002
T = 0, 5 estimated MDL50 of BoNT/A1 were injected i.p. into 8 Swiss mice and the clinical signs of botulism were then recorded every 12 hours for 8 hours.

Results

Measurement of BoNT/A1 neutralizing activity

In a previous study [20] using a monoclonal antibody complementary test (Table S1), we classified the 14 mAbs produced against the Hc BoNT/A1 protein into 3 distinct epitopic groups. Groups A, B and C contain 5 mAbs (TA2, TA10, TA11, TA12 and TA14), 4 mAbs (TA4, TA7, TA13 and TA17) and 3 mAbs (TA1, TA5, TA15), respectively, while the groups D and E include a single mAb only (TA9 and TA16, respectively). As shown in Table 1, ascitic fluids from most of these mAbs (12/14) have neutralizing activity. It is noteworthy that although all the mAbs recognized BoNT/A1 by ELISA, the mAbs of group C exhibited very low or even no neutralizing activity. As the immunoglobulin (Ig) content of ascitic fluids may vary from 1 to 10 mg/ml, we repeated the same experiment with the most potent mAb of each group after purification to compare more precisely the neutralization activity of the different mAbs (Table 2).

This experiment emphasized the great potency of TA12 mAb in neutralizing BoNT/A1 toxicity in mice, since 2.2 ng of antibody completely protected mice against an estimated LD50 challenge of BoNT/A1. In order to compare the protective activity of TA12 mAb with other recent reported mAbs, we also studied the ability of a fixed amount of TA12 antibody (50 μg/mouse) to protect mice challenged with increasing amount of BoNT/A1 ranging from 100–10,000 MLD50 (Figure 1A). In this experiment, TA12 mAb showed a significant in vivo protection when mice were challenged with 1,000 MLD50. As combinations of several mAbs neutralize more efficiently than individual mAbs [11], we tested different combinations of TA12 mAb with other neutralizing mAbs of other groups. We failed to find a higher neutralization titer than that obtained using TA12 mAb alone. TA12 was also titrated with the reference LS-10 method (European Pharmacopeia 01/2008:0085) and international standard antitoxin A (NIBSC 59/21) and a titer of 6 to 20 IU/mg of antibody was obtained (by definition, an International Unit (IU) neutralizes 1 × 10^4 MLD50). Again, in order to compare the protective activity with other reported mAbs, the potency of TA12 was also titrated using the standard mouse bioassay described by Hatheway and Dang [22] and was determined to be 286 IU/mg of Ab. Since it has been found that the neutralization activity of anti-BoNT/A antibodies is not linear using various challenge doses, the protective activity of TA12 was determined with several BoNT/A1 challenge doses (Figure 1B). As the toxin challenge increases, the level of TA12 needed to completely protect mice also increased and appeared to reach a maximum at approximately above 50 μg of antibody and 1,000 MLD50 (Figure 1B). This experiment also showed that the protective activity of TA12 is not linear over the range of 5–2,000 MLD50. For further studies, 2 mAbs were selected: TA12, since it has the highest Botul/IA1 neutralizing activity, and TA17, which is quite efficient and recognizes a different epitope than TA12.

BoNT/A2 and/A3 neutralizing activity of TA12 and TA17

As currently defined, BoNT/A consists of various subtypes [23–25]. Amino acid sequence variations within BoNT/A subtypes are around 15% or less and have been reported to
impact on antibody binding and neutralization [26]. We therefore studied the capacity of the purified TA12 and TA17 mAbs to neutralize subtypes other than A1, such as BoNT/A2 and BoNT/A3. As depicted in Table 3, TA12 and TA17 mAbs showed a marked (10- to 100-fold) reduction in capacity to neutralize BoNT/A2 and BoNT/A3, compared with BoNT/A1.

| mAb quantity (ng/mouse) | 2,500 | 250 | 25 | 2.5 | 0.25 |
|-------------------------|-------|-----|----|-----|------|
| TA12                    |       |     |    |     |      |
| BoNT/A1                 | 0/10  | 0/10| 0/10| 0/10| 10/10|
| BoNT/A2                 | 0/9   | 6/9 | 9/9 | ND  | ND   |
| BoNT/A3                 | 0/9   | 0/9 | 6/9 | ND  | ND   |
| TA17                    |       |     |    |     |      |
| BoNT/A1                 | 0/10  | 0/10| 10/10| ND  | ND   |
| BoNT/A2                 | 3/9   | 10/10| 10/10| ND  | ND   |
| BoNT/A3                 | 10/10 | 10/10| 8/10 | ND  | ND   |

ND: not determined

MAb neutralization activity was determined using the mouse protection assay with 5 estimated MLD50/ml of BoNT/A1, BoNT/A2 or BoNT/A3 and serial dilutions of mAbs. 5 estimated MLD50/mouse were incubated for 30 min at room temperature with 2,500 to 0.25 ng of mAb and the mixture (0.5 ml) was injected intraperitoneally in each mouse of a group of 9 to 10 mice. Results are expressed as the number of dead mice versus the total number of mice and are from three independent experiments.

The protocol used for the pegylation process was quite simple, but resulted in several species of PEG-F(ab’)2 (one or several PEG molecules per F(ab’)2) and no native F(ab’)2, as revealed by SDS-PAGE electrophoresis (data not shown). In order to purify each PEG-F(ab’)2 species, we used a cation exchange matrix, allowing...

Figure 2. Elution profile of PEG-F(ab’)2. — UV detection at 280 nm; ----- NaCl concentration; P1, P2, P2’, P3, P3’, P4, P5 and P6 correspond to the different peaks collected during the chromatography purification. After conjugation of F(ab’)2 to the N-hydroxysuccinimidy ester of methoxy poly(ethylene glycol) butanoic acid (NHS-PEG), the different F(ab’)2 species were purified by cation exchange chromatography. This purification removed unreacted NHS-PEG and separated the different F(ab’)2 species (nonpegylated, monopegylated and multipegylated F(ab’)2). A MacroCap SP column (GE Healthcare), (10.5 × 1 cm) was used with an AKTA system (GE Healthcare) and equilibrated with buffer A (0.02 M acetate buffer pH 5) at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. The pegylated F(ab’)2 were eluted using successive gradient steps of 12 ml each at 2, 3, 4, 5 and 25% of buffer B (0.02 M acetate buffer pH 5 + 1 M NaCl) at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. During the chromatography, fractions of 1 ml were collected and the absorbance was monitored at 280 nm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012416.t003

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012416.g002
the purification of large pegylated molecules. The chromatographic pattern of the PEG-F(ab')2 purification monitored at 208 nm is shown in Figure 2. The purity of each peak was assessed by SDS-PAGE (Figure 3). As shown in Figure 3, a good separation of PEG-F(ab')2 was obtained using a multi-step gradient; no native F(ab')2 was recovered. Since a rather low molar ratio of PEG activated ester was used, this result is a bit surprising. During the chromatographic experiment the different PEG-F(ab')2 species were eluted depending on their degree of pegylation: the more pegylated species were eluted faster. As indicated in the introduction section, production of PEG-F(ab')2 was chosen because this process easily increases the (Fab)'2 in vivo half-life. As the aim of this production was to increase the in vivo half-life of the F(ab')2 fragments and not to study the effect of the degree of pegylation, which has already been investigated by other groups, the different fractions containing PEG-F(ab')2 were pooled for the subsequent experiments. This allowed us to obtain a larger amount of PEG-F(ab')2 starting from the same amount of F(ab')2.

**Pharmacokinetic studies**

The concentrations of mAb, F(ab')2 and PEG-F(ab')2 in the plasma of mice (n = 4) versus time are depicted in Figure 4. The calculated half-lives in plasma for TA12 mAb, TA12 F(ab')2, TA12 PEG-F(ab')2, TA17 mAb, TA17 F(ab')2 and TA17 PEG-F(ab')2 were 22, 0.17, 1.5, 5.1, 0.54 and 1.25 days, respectively. These results clearly show that the pegylation increased the half-life of the F(ab')2 fragments. Compared with unmodified F(ab')2, the terminal half-life of PEG-F(ab')2 was increased 9- and 3-fold for TA12 and TA17, respectively.

**Neutralizing activity of the mAbs and their fragments**

The BoNT/A1-neutralizing activities of mAbs and their fragments are summarized in Tables 4 and 5. Unlike mAb TA12, which had the same neutralizing titer whatever its form, the neutralizing titer of the TA17 antibody decreased 10-fold when using F(ab')2 fragments, pegylated or not. It is worth noting that the pegylation step did not modify the neutralization capacity of either of these antibodies. On the other hand, TA12 mAb and its fragments had a much greater neutralizing activity than TA17 mAb and its fragments: 100-fold for the whole antibody and 1,000-fold for the fragments. Therefore, we decided to study the therapeutic activity of TA12 mAb and its fragments.

**Therapeutic Study**

**Neutralizing activity using co-injection.** As shown in Table 6, the amount of antibody required to neutralize 5 estimated MDL$_{50}$ of BoNT/A1 was 10 times higher when the toxin was co-injected with the antibody than when seroneutralization is performed in vitro before injection of the mixture (25 ng of mAb/mouse and 2.5 ng of mAb/mouse, respectively). This observation is true whatever the antibody forms (mAb, F(ab')2 or PEG-F(ab')2).
This result seems consistent with the preincubation step used during the standard bioassay which favors the formation of toxin/antitoxin complexes, and thus the efficiency of neutralization.

**Time course of clinical signs.** The time course of the onset of clinical signs varied according to the mice (Table 7). The first clinical signs (skinny or paralyzed mouse) appeared after 4 to 8 hours. The death of the first mouse was observed after 7 hours (1/7). All the mice presenting clinical signs died within 24 hours.

**Influence of the interval between toxin and antitoxin injections on mouse survival rate.** Mouse survival rate as a
function of the interval between toxin and antitoxins is summarized in Table 8. When 5 estimated MLD$_{50}$ of BoNT were injected i.p. at the same time as 2.5 μg of antitoxin, the survival rate was approximately 90%, whatever the nature of the antitoxin (whole mAb, F(ab')$_2$ or PEG-F(ab')$_2$). This rate decreased as the time between toxin and antitoxin injections increased: 70% for 2 h, 20 to 40% for 4 or 6 h. It should be noted that for an interval of 6 hours, when the clinical signs were well established, 30 to 40% of the animals survived and recovered gradually without additional treatment.

Discussion

As previously shown by different groups [19,27,28], the use of the BoNT binding domain as immunogen allows production of a high ratio of neutralizing mAbs. In this study, 12 out of 14 mAbs presented neutralizing activity and recognized 4 different epitopes of the toxin-binding domain, which was used as antigen. Among these mAbs, TA12 had the highest neutralizing activity (Table 1). In contrast to the results reported by Nowakowski et al. [11], who reported increased neutralization efficiency for a combination of three mAbs, none of the combinations of TA12 with other neutralizing mAbs were more potent. This may be because of the already high neutralizing capacity of TA12 (6 to 20 IU/mg using the reference L$\text{H}^+$, 10 method or 286 IU/mg by the method from Hatheway and Dang [22]), which alone is as efficient, or slightly less so, as other antibodies reported in the literature, such as an oligoclonal antibody (45 IU/mg, [11]), a hyperimmune monoclonal antibody (39 IU/mg [29]), and a caprine pentavalent polyclonal (6.8 IU/mg, [5]). TA12 is also more potent than the human botulinum immune globulins used to treat infant botulism [30]. To the best of our knowledge, TA12 exhibits the highest neutralization potency described for a single mAb as a BoNT/A1 antitoxin. However, the mouse lethal bioassay could be influenced by factors like season, strain of mouse, diet, toxin dilution buffer, bacterial strain producing the toxin, toxin challenge dose and route of injection [5]. So the comparison between the potency of different antitoxins obtained by different groups is questionable.

Recently, variability of BoNT/A protein sequence has been reported and at least 5 subtypes have been identified: BoNT/A1/A2/A3/A4/A5 [31] [25]. A recent report [26] has shown that the variability within subtypes A1 and A2 (around 10%) could reduce the neutralizing potency of mAbs raised against recombinant Hc BoNT/A1, from >40, 000 mouse MLD$_{50}$ to 500 MLD$_{50}$. In light of these observations, we decided to evaluate the neutralizing potency of TA12 mAb with BoNT/A2 and BoNT/A3. The neutralizing activities obtained were 10- and 1,000-fold less for BoNT/A2 and A3 subtypes, respectively, compared with BoNT/A1 (Table 3). Combinations of our mAbs may possibly increase the potency of neutralization of BoNT/A2 and BoNT/A3, as already described [11]. It is worth noting that the neutralization assays were performed with a 5 estimated MLD$_{50}$ challenge of each BoNT subtype, since no international reference serum is available for titration of BoNT/A2 and BoNT/A3 antibodies by the reference L$\text{H}^+$ method.

The main goal of this study was to evaluate the impact of the different antitoxin forms (whole antibody, F(ab')$_2$ and PEG-F(ab')$_2$) on their neutralizing efficiency. The procedures used to modify the F(ab')$_2$ lead to several species of PEG-F(ab')$_2$ with different degrees of pegylation. The pharmacokinetic study of these antitoxins showed that the pegylation process increased the half-life of F(ab')$_2$.

### Table 4. Neutralizing potency of the TA12 mAb and its F(ab')$_2$ and PEG-F(ab')$_2$ fragments.

| Antibody and antibody fragment | mAb (ng/mouse) | mAb (ng/mouse) giving 50% neutralization activity |
|-------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|                               | 2,500          | 0/10                                            |
| TA12 mAb                      | 250            | 0/10                                             |
| F(ab')$_2$                    | 25             | 0/10                                             |
| PEG-F(ab')$_2$                | 2.5            | 0/10                                             |

The mAb neutralizing activity was determined using the mouse protection assay with 5 estimated MLD$_{50}$/mouse of BoNT/A1. Results are expressed as the number of dead mice versus the total number of challenged mice and are from three independent experiments.

### Table 5. Neutralizing potency of the TA17 mAb and its F(ab')$_2$ and PEG-F(ab')$_2$ fragments.

| Antibody and antibody fragment | mAb (ng/mouse) | mAb (ng/mouse) giving 50% neutralization activity |
|-------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|                               | 8,333          | 0/8                                             |
| TA17 mAb                      | 2,500          | 0/8                                             |
| F(ab')$_2$                    | 500            | 0/8                                             |
| PEG-F(ab')$_2$                | 250            | 0/8                                             |
|                               | 50             | 0/8                                             |
|                               | 50<250         | 0/8                                             |

ND: not determined

The mAb neutralizing activity was determined using the mouse protection assay with 5 estimated MLD$_{50}$/mouse of BoNT/A1. Results are expressed as the number of dead mice versus the total number of challenged mice and are from three independent experiments.
for both antibodies evaluated. Although the molecular weight of F(ab')2—98 kDa—is already above the limit of the glomerular filtration cut-off (approximately 70 kDa), the increased half-life of pegylated products may be partly explained by an increase in molecular weight, further resulting in a decrease in urinary clearance. This may be supported by the results reported by Knauf et al [32] and Koumenis et al. [14] showing a relationship between the clearance rate and the molecular weight of pegylated molecules up to 200 kDa and 1.9 million Da, respectively. This relationship could also be explained by several other mechanisms, like shape alteration and protein charge induced by pegylation. On the other hand, whole immunoglobulins G have a higher half-life probably because their clearance is slower than that of their respective fragments. This is probably due to specific Hc interactions with receptors like HcRn which protect IgG from catabolism [33,34].

By contrast with TA17 mAb, the production of F(ab')2 fragment from TA12 mAb by pepsinolysis did not modify the neutralizing activity. Moreover, as reported by Koumenis et al. [14], the random pegylation of the F(ab')2 fragments of both mAbs by amine reactive chemistry did not affect the biological activity. Considering these results, the therapeutic study was performed only with the TA12 mAb and its fragments, since these compounds were at least 100 times more efficient than the TA17 counterparts.

The time course of the onset of clinical signs induced by BoNT/A injection defines the optimal timing of antitoxin injection and the clinical course after treatment. Using the data of co-injection experiments, the impact of the interval between toxin and antitoxin injections on the survival rate was evaluated. This study showed that the various forms of TA12 mAb were equally efficient. Amazingly, the 4-h interval using PEG-F(ab')2 resulted in a poorer survival rate, which is difficult to explain considering the data for the 6-h interval and may result from an experimental artifact. These results strongly suggest an absence of correlation between the half-life of the antitoxins and the timing of treatment after contamination. This seems consistent with the time required for the toxin and antitoxin to reach their action sites (neuromuscular junctions for the toxin, blood and neuromuscular junctions for the antitoxin) and with the mode of action of the antitoxin. In our study, TA12 mAb, which has been raised with Hc BoNT/A1, or its fragments presumably neutralized BoNT by preventing its binding to the cellular membrane receptors (gangliosides and proteins), the step preceding its internalization. Internalization further prevents the toxin from interacting with mAbs, which can therefore only act efficiently during the initial step, i.e. when the toxin is free, by blocking toxin binding to its receptors. It is noteworthy that in human intoxication by the oral route or aerosol the incubation period is longer (12 h to 7 days) than in the murine experimental model and, moreover, the amount of ingested or inhaled toxin can be lower than the lethal dose. In these cases, the administration of antibody to exposed subjects is still indicated to prevent botulism effectively. However, when the clinical signs are present, the time scale allowing effective action of botulinum antibody antitoxin is very short, if it is not already too late.

Despite these results, since the biological activity of mAbs could be altered by the F(ab')2 production, as already observed with TA17

### Table 6. Neutralizing potency of the mAb TA12 and its F(ab')2 and PEG-F(ab')2 fragments using the co-injection protocol.

| TA12 form | mAb (ng/mouse) | mAb (ng/mouse) giving 50% neutralization activity |
|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|           | 2.500 | 250 | 25 | 2.5 | 0.25 | 0.025 |                |
| mAb       | 0/6  | 2/6 | 1/6 | 4/6 | 3/6 | 6/6  | 2.5<25         |
| F(ab')2   | ND    | ND  | 0/6 | 3/6 | 6/6 | 6/6  | 2.5<25         |
| PEG-F(ab')2 | ND  | ND  | 0/6 | 3/6 | 6/6 | 6/6  | 2.5<25         |

ND: not determined

The mAb neutralizing activity was determined using the mouse protection assay with 5 estimated MLD50/mouse of BoNT/A1. BoNT/A1 (0.5 ml) and serial dilutions of mAb or its fragments (0.5 ml) were injected separately into each mouse of a group of 6. Results are expressed as the number of dead mice versus the total number of challenged mice.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012416.t006

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012416.t007

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012416.t008

### Table 7. Time course of clinical signs after botulinum toxin type A injection (5 estimated MLD50/mouse).

| Mouse # | Before | 4 h | 5 h | 6 h | 7 h | 8 h | 24 h |
|---------|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
|         | 4 h    | 5.5 h | 6 h | 6.5 h | 7 h | 7.5 h | 8 h | 24 h |
| 1       | No sign | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| 2       | No sign | S | S | P | P | P | P | M | D |
| 3       | No sign | S | S | P | P | P | P | M | M |
| 4       | No sign | S | S | S | S | S | S | P | M |
| 5       | No sign | S | S | P | P | P | P | P | M | M |
| 6       | No sign | P | P | P | M | M | M | M | D | D |
| 7       | No sign | S | P | P | P | P | P | M | M | M |
| 8       | No sign | S | S | S | P | P | P | P | M | M |

A: Alive; S: Skinny; P: Paralyzed; M: Motionless; D: Dead

The indicated times correspond to the time after botulinum toxin injection

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012416.0007

### Table 8. Influence of the interval between toxin and antitoxin injections on survival rate (values in brackets).

| Antitoxin form | 0 hours | 2 hours | 4 hours | 6 hours |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| TA12 mAb       | 16/17 (94%) | 12/18 (67%) | 9/18 (50%) | 5/18 (28%) |
| TA12 F(ab')2   | 9/10 (90%) | 8/10 (80%) | 4/10 (40%) | 4/10 (40%) |
| TA12 PEG-F(ab')2 | 9/10 (90%) | 7/10 (70%) | 2/10 (20%) | 4/10 (40%) |

The indicated times correspond to the interval between toxin and antitoxin injections. At T=0 5 estimated MLD50 of botulinum toxin type A1 (0.5 ml) were injected i.p. into all mice. The antitoxin was then injected i.p. into mice after 0, 2, 4 or 6 hours. An amount of antitoxin (2.5 μg/mouse) equivalent to 100 times the dose which protected 100% of the mice challenged with 5 estimated MLD50 in the co-injection experiment was used. Botulism symptoms and mortality were recorded for 4 days. Results are expressed as the number of dead mice versus the total number of challenged mice.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012416.0008
mAb in the present study, or by Fab\(^{b,2}\) pegylation [17], the production of chimeric or humanized antibodies is still a good alternative to production of human anti-mouse antibodies. In terms of the prophylactic use of these antitoxins, it should be kept in mind that their half-life is the key parameter for the duration of protection. In conclusion, the present study shows that while pegylation increases the half-life of Fab\(^{b,2}\) without altering its neutralizing efficiency, it does not improve therapeutic treatment after BoNT/A1 injection, as compared with the initial Fab\(^{b,2}\) or mAb. Moreover, our results show that, in a mouse model, treatment can still be effective with a 2- to 4-h interval between toxin and antitoxin injection.

Finally, these results demonstrate the need to make and to perform toxinotyping of botulism as fast as possible in order to expedite adaptation of the antitoxin treatment.

Supporting Information

Figure S1  Examples of standard curves obtained for competitive sandwich assay of TA12 species (mAb, Fab\(^{b,2}\) or PEG-F(ab\(^{b,2}\)) in diluted plasma. ■:TA12 mAb; ▼:TA12 F(ab\(^{b,2}\)); △:TA12 PEG-F(ab\(^{b,2}\)). The competitive sandwich assay is performed in the presence of a mAb tracer and another unlabeled mAb or fragment, both directed against the same epitope. There was competitive binding to the recombinant Hc BoNT/A1 immobilized on the plate by the capture mAb. This reaction leads to a signal decrease proportional to the competitor concentration. To perform this assay, 50 \(\mu\)l of recombinant Hc BoNT/A1 (2 ng/ml), 50 \(\mu\)l of TA12-acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and 100 \(\mu\)l of different concentrations of TA12 species (mAb, Fab\(^{b,2}\) or PEG-F(ab\(^{b,2}\)) were added to plates coated with TA5. The TA12 species were diluted in dilute mouse plasma (1/20). After 18-h incubation at 4°C and washing of the plate, solid phase-bound AChE activity was revealed by the addition of 200 \(\mu\)l of Ellman’s reagent for a 1-h reaction. The absorbances of the wells were then measured at 414 nm.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012416.s001 (0.81 MB TIF)

Table S1  Signals obtained for monoclonal antibody complementarity tests. +++: Signals >1 absorbance unit; ++: Signals between 1 and 0.5 absorbance unit; +: Signals between 0.5 and 0.1 absorbance unit; +/−: Signals <0.1 absorbance unit; White squares: No specific signal. The simultaneous binding of the different mAbs to BoNT/A was analyzed in immunometric tests, with one mAb immobilized on the solid phase while the other was used tracer. Experiments were performed as follows: 10 ng/ml BoNT/A and 100 \(\mu\)l of a 100 ng/ml mAb tracer solution were added to microtitre plate wells coated with one of the mAbs. After 18-h incubation at 4°C, the plates were washed and the mAb tracer signal was measured (colorimetric signal).

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012416.s002 (0.07 MB DOC)

Table S2  Toxin calibration. Toxin preparations were diluted in phosphate/gelatin buffer to obtain 5 estimated MLD/mouse and were checked as follows: 500 \(\mu\)l of toxin dilution were injected intraperitoneally into each mouse of a group of 3 to 4 mice. Results are expressed as the number of dead mice versus the total number of mice and are from 8 to 15 independent experiments.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012416.s003 (0.03 MB DOC)
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