Acclimation process of the chlorophyll d-bearing cyanobacterium *Acaryochloris marina* to an orange light environment revealed by transcriptomic analysis and electron microscopic observation
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The cyanobacterium *Acaryochloris marina* MBIC 11017 (*A. marina* 11017) possesses chlorophyll *d* (Chl. *d*) peaking at 698 nm as photosystem reaction center pigments, instead of chlorophyll *a* (Chl. *a*) peaking at 665 nm. About 95% of the total chlorophylls is Chl. *d* in *A. marina* 11017. In addition, *A. marina* 11017 possesses phycobilisome (PBS) supercomplex to harvest orange light and to transfer the absorbing energy to the photosystems. In this context, *A. marina* 11017 utilizes both far-red and orange light as the photosynthetic energy source. In the present study, we incubated *A. marina* 11017 cells under monochromatic orange and far-red light conditions and performed transcriptional and morphological studies by RNA-seq analysis and electron microscopy. Cellular absorption spectra, transcriptomic profiles, and microscopic observations demonstrated that PBS was highly accumulated under an orange light condition relative to a far-red light condition. Notably, transcription of one *cpcBA* operon encoding the phycobiliprotein of the phycocyanin was up-regulated under the orange light condition, but another operon was constitutively expressed under both conditions, indicating functional diversification of these two operons for light harvesting. Taking the other observations into consideration, we could illustrate the photoacclimation processes of *A. marina* 11017 in response to orange and far-red light conditions in detail.
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Introduction

Cyanobacteria are prokaryotes that perform oxygenic photosynthesis, almost the same as chloroplasts of the land plants. Cyanobacteria possess photosystem I (PS I) and photosystem II (PS II) that organize a linear electron transport chain in corporation with cytochrome *b*f. Because most PS I and PS II bind chlorophyll *a*, these photosynthetic apparatuses utilize blue and red light regions for the en-
ergy source. Most cyanobacteria possess a phycobilisome light-harvesting supercomplex (PBS) that preferentially transfers energy to PS II under normal light conditions (Watanabe and Ikeuchi, 2013). Because the PBS absorbs a wide visible region from teal to red, cyanobacteria can utilize almost the full visible region for photosynthesis and, hence, is adapted to various aquatic and terrestrial environments. Compositions of PBS light-harvesting pigments are highly diversified depending on their habitats and species. The PBS is divided into two structural components, rod and core. The rod components are especially diversified because of their light-harvesting functions, whereas the core structure is composed of allophycocyanin subunits and is rather universal among various cyanobacteria because of their energy transferring functions.

PS I and PS II photosynthetic apparatuses are almost homogeneous regarding the binding chromophores. Recent studies, however, have revealed that two photosynthetic systems utilizing a red-shifted light source have been reported: inducible and constitutive systems (Gan and Bryant, 2015). The inducible system utilizes chlorophyll $f$ as a photosynthetic pigment peaking at 706 nm (Chen et al., 2010). The chlorophyll $f$ specifically accumulates under far-red light conditions, whereas only chlorophyll $a$ accumulated under normal white light conditions (Chen et al., 2012). About 10% of the total chlorophyll is occupied by chlorophyll $f$ under far-red light conditions. This chlorophyll $f$ is suggested to function as antenna pigments, but not as reaction center pigments. This inducible system was widely distributed among various cyanobacteria, including phylogenetically distant species, indicating that this system has an anciently acquired character. In contrast, the constitutive system is restricted to only Acaryochloris genus to date and utilizes chlorophyll $d$ as a main photosynthetic pigment peaking at 698 nm (Miyashita et al., 1996). About 95% of the total chlorophyll is occupied by chlorophyll $d$. The chlorophyll $d$ has been revealed to function as both antenna and reaction center pigments, which also contrasts with the situation for the chlorophyll $f$.

The detailed molecular mechanism of chlorophyll $f$ induction has been revealed and is known as “Far-Red Light Photoacclimation (FaRLiP)” regulation (Gan et al., 2014). The phytochrome and its downstream regulators, RfpA/B/C, transcriptionally regulate many photosynthetic genes in the red/far-red reversible manner, and so photosynthetic apparatuses show a drastic conversion in response to far-red light illumination (Gan et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2015). On the other hand, because chlorophyll $d$ is constitutively accumulated in the Acaryochloris cells, specific regulation of chlorophyll $d$ accumulation is not likely to be present in Acaryochloris. To date, genomic information of two species of Acaryochloris genus, Acaryochloris marina MBIC 11017 (A. marina 11017) and Acaryochloris sp. CCME 5410 (A. 5410) are available as complete and draft genome sequences, respectively (Swingley et al., 2008; Yoneda et al., 2016). Notably, A. marina 11017 possesses the PBS to harvest light energy in the orange region as well as other cyanobacteria, whereas A. 5410 has been reported to lack the PBS components (Miller et al., 2005; Yoneda et al., 2016). Furthermore, it has been reported that the PBS of A. marina 11017 consists of only the rod structure and lacks the core component (Chen et al., 2009). Cyanobacterium Anabaena sp. PCC 7120 has been shown to have a similar PBS composed of only the rod component to specifically transfer the light energy to PS I (Watanabe et al., 2014). To transfer the energy to PS I directly from the rod component, CpcL, which is a homolog of the rod-core linker CpcG, serves as a rod-membrane linker. CpcL possesses one membrane-associated region at the C-terminus, which may assist the energy transfer directly from the rod component. Interestingly, A. marina 11017 has membrane-associated CpcL homologs, but not canonical CpcG homologs (Watanabe and Ikeuchi, 2013), indicating that the PBS composed of only the rod component from A. marina 11017 also transfers the absorbing energy directly to photosynthetic reaction centers via the CpcL homologs.

Cyanobacteria possess various photoreceptors to acclimate to changing light environments. Among them, cyano bacteriochrome photoreceptors play central roles in these acclimation processes, such as phototactic regulation, chromatic acclimation and light-dependent cell aggregation (Enomoto et al., 2014, 2015; Hirose et al., 2010; Kehoe and Grossman, 1996; Narikawa et al., 2011; Savakis et al., 2012; Song et al., 2011; Witbank and Kehoe, 2016; Yang et al., 2018; Yoshihara et al., 2000). The cyanobacteriochrome photoreceptors bind linear tetrapyrrole chromophores, such as phycocyanobilin (PCB) and phycoviolobilin, and sense light quality and/or intensity information covering a wide range of light wavelengths from ultraviolet to far-red (Fushimi and Narikawa, 2019). Recently, we identified that several cyanobacteriochromes from A. marina 11017 bind biliverdin chromophore and reversibly photoconvert between a far-red absorbing form and an orange absorbing form (Fushimi et al., 2016, 2019; Narikawa et al., 2015). This finding indicates that A. marina 11017 possesses far-red/orange reversible photoacclimation processes. Because far-red and orange light sources are absorbed by photosystems and PBS, respectively, the far-red/orange reversible photoreceptors may be involved in chromatic acclimation processes of these photosynthetic apparatuses.

In the present study, we incubated A. marina 11017 cells under monochromatic orange or far-red light conditions and performed transcriptional and morphological studies by RNA-seq analysis and electron microscopy.

**Materials and Methods**

*A. marina 11017 cultivation and spectroscopy*. The original strain of A. marina 11017 was obtained from NITE Biological Research Center. The cyanobacterium A. marina 11017 was cultured at 25°C in IMK medium under continuous illumination provided by white fluorescent lamp (FL20SBR-A, NEC, Japan), orange ($\lambda_{max} = 593$ nm, half width = 7 nm) LED or far-red ($\lambda_{max} = 729$ nm, half width = 8 nm) LED (VBP-L24-C2, Varol, Japan) with a light intensity of 10 $\mu$mol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ according to previous studies (Duxbury et al., 2009; Watabe et al., 2015), and bubbled with air. Culture absorbance was moni-
RNA isolation. Log-phase cells (OD\textsubscript{780} = 0.4–1.0) under a white light condition were subcultured with a starting OD\textsubscript{780} of 0.4 in a fresh medium under a dim white light condition (1 \textmu mol photons m\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1}) for 12 h. Next, cells were cultured under an orange or far-red light condition (10 \textmu mol photons m\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1}) for 1 h. After that, cell collection and disruption was performed under a dark condition on ice. After cell cultures were soaked in liquid N\textsubscript{2} to a non-freezing extent, the cells were collected by centrifugation at 20,400 \times g for 10 min at 4°C. After removal of supernatants, the cell pellets were soaked in liquid N\textsubscript{2} to freeze. The frozen cell pellets were disrupted with a ball-beat (SK-100-DLC10, Tokken, Japan) by using a bead beater (MS-100R, TOMY, Japan). The bead-beating at 2,000 rpm for 20 s was performed six times with an interval of 20 s on ice. The disrupted cell pellets were mixed with 100 \mu L MilliQ water and 500 \mu L Plant RNA Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA). After 5 min incubation, an insoluble fraction was removed by centrifugation (12,000 \times g, 2 min, room temperature). From the soluble fraction, nucleic acids were purified by the standard protocol of isopropyl alcohol precipitation and phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol extraction. The obtained solutions including nucleic acids were treated with DNase I (Promega, WI, USA) at 37°C for 1 h to remove contaminated DNA. After removal of DNase I, the RNA pellets were dissolved with 20 \mu L MilliQ water.

RNA-seq analysis. One microgram of total RNA was used for library synthesis as follows. Ribosomal RNA was removed using RiboZero bacteria kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Sequencing libraries were prepared by NEBNext mRNA library prep kit for Illumina (NEB, MA, USA) with the following modifications. The random hexamer primer was used for reverse transcription. After second strand synthesis, double stranded cDNA were fragmented to an average length of 300 bp using a Covaris S2 sonication system (Covaris, Woburn, CA, USA). One hundred cycles of paired-end sequencing were carried out using HiSeq2500 system according to the manufacturer’s specifications (Illumina). After the sequencing reactions were complete, the Illumina analysis pipeline (CASA V A 1.8.0) was used to process the raw sequencing data. The RNA-Seq reads were trimmed using CLC Genomics Workbench ver. 9.5, with the following parameters: Phred quality score >=30; Removing terminal 15 nucleotides from 5' end and 2 nucleotides from 3' end; and removing truncated reads less than 30 nucleotides length. Trimmed reads were mapped to all the genes in A. marina 11017 chromosome and plasmids (accession number: NC_009925–NC_009934) using CLC Genomics Workbench ver. 9.5. (Qiagen, Germany) with the following parameters: Length fraction: 0.7; Similarity fraction: 0.9; Maximum number of hits for a read: 1 (or 10; in case to detect the averaged expression levels of highly homologous genes). The expression level of each gene was calculated by counting the mapped reads to each gene and were normalized by calculating the TPM values. Original sequence reads were deposited to the DRA/SRA database with the following accession numbers (DRR194261–DRR194266).

Real-time qPCR analysis. For cDNA preparation, RNA was reverse transcribed using random primers (PrimeScript RT reagent kit with gDNA eraser, Takara, Japan). Real-time qPCR was performed using THUNDERBIRD SYBR qPCR Mix (Toyobo, Japan) and the Thermal Cycler Dice Real Time System II (Takara). The expression level at each time point was normalized to the internal control (\textit{rnpB}). The primers are listed in Supplementary Table S3.
Light color acclimation of Acaryochloris

Electron microscopy. Cells were harvested by two-step centrifugation at 4,000 rpm for 10 min and 5,000 rpm for 5 min (MX-305, TOMY). Cell pellets were frozen in a high-pressure freezing machine (EM PACT2, Leica Microsystems, Germany). The samples were transferred to 2% osmium tetroxide in dry acetone at –80°C, and freeze substituted at –80°C for more than 48 h. Subsequently, the samples were warmed gradually from –80 to –30°C over 5 h, held for 6 h at –30°C, then warmed again from –30 to 0°C over 3 h, and incubated for 2 h at 0°C (EM AFS2, Leica Microsystems). Then, the samples were washed three times with dry acetone at room temperature and infiltrated with increasing concentrations of low viscosity epoxy resin (Nisshin EM, Japan), and finally embedded. Ultrathin sections (60–80 nm) were cut with a diamond knife on an ultramicrotome (UC7, Leica Microsystems) and mounted on formvar-coated copper grids. The sections were stained with 4% uranyl acetate for 12 min and with lead citrate for 2 min, and then examined using a transmission electron microscope (JEM-1400, JEOL, Japan) at 80 kV.

Results

Spectral characteristics of the original strain of A. marina 11017

Although the original strain of A. marina 11017 just after isolation has accumulated PBS at a very low level, cultivation under the fluorescent lamp for a long period resulted in constitutive high accumulation of the PBS (Miyashita, personal communication). In order to reveal the native photoacclimation mechanism of A. marina 11017, we obtained the original strain of A. marina 11017, which accumulated the PBS at a low level (Fig. 1, gray line). We cultivated the original strain under an orange light condition for two weeks and compared its cellular absorption spectra with that under a far-red light condition (Fig. 1). Specific absorbance ratios (SARs) for both cells were calculated as the PBS absorption maximum at 613 nm divided by the photosynthetic reaction center absorption at 712 nm, providing a relative degree of PBS accumulation: 0.21 and 0.32 under far-red and orange light conditions, respectively. Judging by these values, cells under the orange light condition accumulated PBS by about 1.5-fold more than those under the far-red light condition. Notably, absorbance at 613 nm was relatively higher than that at 647 nm for cells under the orange light condition, whereas absorbance at 613 nm is comparable to that at 647 nm for cells under the far-red light condition.

Transcriptomic analysis

To comprehensively monitor transcriptional profiles responding to orange and far-red light exposures, we performed RNA-seq analyses using cells incubated under orange and far-red light conditions for 1 h. To compare the transcriptional levels, we calculated the TPM value for each gene. Because we did not monitor the transcriptional level under a normal white light condition, we were not able to judge whether a certain gene was induced or repressed in response to the monochromic light exposure. In this context, we relatively evaluated the gene expression profiles whether up-regulated or down-regulated in comparison with the sample exposed to the other monochromatic light condition. As a result, up-regulated genes in response to the orange-light and far-red exposures (over a twice-higher expression level than the other light exposure) were calculated to be 540 and 244 genes, respectively (Fig. 2) with a p-value less than 0.01. Of these, the top 30 highly up-regulated genes for each light exposure are listed in Tables 1 and 2. Genes related to a PBS encod-

### Table 1. List of highly up-regulated 30 transcripts with p-value less than 0.01 under the orange light (OL) condition than that under the far-red light (FRL) condition.

| Rank | genomic locus | locus tag | old_locus_tag | Annotation | Fold change (OL/FRL) | p-value |
|------|---------------|-----------|---------------|------------|---------------------|---------|
| 1    | pREB3 | AM1 | RS32450 | AM1_C0090 | hypothetical protein | 1648.46 | 5.20E-15 |
| 2    | pREB3 | AM1 | RS32640 | AM1_C0146 | DUFT09 domain transmembrane protein | 679.02 | 6.90E-17 |
| 3    | pREB3 | AM1 | RS32835 | | hypothetical protein | 238.91 | 2.48E-05 |
| 4    | pREB3 | AM1 | RS32500 | AM1_C0165 | high light inducible protein | 106.59 | 5.14E-14 |
| 5    | pREB3 | AM1 | RS32550 | AM1_C0129 | hypothetical protein | 97.79 | 2.53E-07 |
| 6    | pREB3 | AM1 | RS32654 | AM1_C0147 | Nitrogen regulatory protein P-II, putative | 95.60 | 2.30E-16 |
| 7    | pREB1 | AM1 | RS21985 | AM1_A0082 | conserved domain protein | 95.51 | 8.58E-08 |
| 8    | pREB6 | AM1 | RS30065 | AM1_F0111 | hypothetical protein | 89.84 | 3.96E-11 |
| 9    | pREB6 | AM1 | RS35165 | AM1_F0150 | Streptomycin biosynthesis operon possible regulatory protein, putative | 88.20 | 5.31E-07 |
| 10   | pREB1 | AM1 | RS33400 | AM1_A0400 | conserved hypothetical protein | 94.17 | 2.04E-11 |
| 11   | pREB3 | AM1 | RS32535 | AM1_C0117 | Fragment of phycoerythrin subunit alpha | 64.73 | 8.10E-19 |
| 12   | pREB1 | AM1 | RS31280 | AM1_A0634 | RNA polymerase sigma-70 factor, putative | 75.97 | 9.49E-09 |
| 13   | pREB7 | AM1 | RS36360 | AM1_G014 | photosystem II 12 kD extrinsic protein PsbU | 74.77 | 2.78E-05 |
| 14   | pREB6 | AM1 | RS31335 | AM1_F0176 | RNA polymerase sigma-70 factor, putative | 71.87 | 1.61E-06 |
| 15   | pREB6 | AM1 | RS35840 | AM1_F0112 | hypothetical protein | 70.47 | 6.65E-11 |
| 16   | pREB5 | AM1 | RS34625 | AM1_E0017 | hypothetical protein | 66.90 | 3.00E-11 |
| 17   | pREB1 | AM1 | RS29020 | AM1_A0041 | SAM-dependent methyltransferase, putative | 66.78 | 1.58E-05 |
| 18   | pREB5 | AM1 | RS34630 | AM1_E0019 | hypothetical protein | 65.43 | 9.87E-11 |
| 19   | pREB1 | AM1 | RS32260 | | hypothetical protein | 65.10 | 2.56E-04 |
| 20   | pREB7 | AM1 | RS31160 | AM1_G0173 | FG-GAP repeat protein | 53.11 | 7.99E-08 |
| 21   | pREB6 | AM1 | RS30075 | AM1_F0163 | hypothetical protein | 50.92 | 3.74E-06 |
| 22   | pREB3 | AM1 | RS32545 | AM1_C0119 | CpcT protein, putative | 49.49 | 4.31E-07 |
| 23   | pREB5 | AM1 | RS34719 | AM1_E0007 | RNA polymerase sigma-70 factor | 49.47 | 2.78E-06 |
| 24   | pREB3 | AM1 | RS32455 | AM1_C0092 | phytoobilisome rod-membrane linker protein: CpcL | 48.85 | 3.26E-11 |
| 25   | pREB5 | AM1 | RS34660 | AM1_E0006 | hypothetical protein | 47.37 | 1.18E-13 |
| 26   | pREB6 | AM1 | RS35845 | AM1_F0113 | two-component transcriptional regulator | 46.74 | 1.01E-03 |
| 27   | pREB1 | AM1 | RS32600 | AM1_A0061 | DNA-binding protein | 45.64 | 3.00E-06 |
| 28   | pREB1 | AM1 | RS29100 | AM1_A0006 | conserved hypothetical protein | 43.64 | 9.97E-06 |
| 29   | pREB1 | AM1 | RS29010 | | hypothetical protein | 41.31 | 7.43E-04 |
| 30   | pREB5 | AM1 | RS34610 | AM1_E0011 | hypothetical protein | 39.44 | 1.86E-20 |
ing fragment of cpaA (probably pseudogene, AM1_C0117), cpcT (AM1_C0119) and cpcL (AM1_C0092) and sigma factor genes encoded on pREB1 (AM1_A0354), pREB5 (AM1_E0037) and pREB6 (AM1_F0176) were highly up-regulated under the orange light condition, whereas nblA gene for PBS degradation (AM1_C0220), genes related to NDH1 complex genes, ndhF3 (AM1_6373) and ndhD3 (AM1_6372) and genes homologous to PGR5 (AM1_3236 and AM1_4588) were highly up-regulated under the far-red light condition.

CpcB and CpcA are the phycocyanobilin-binding phycocyanin proteins to absorb the orange light and to transfer the absorbed energy to the photosystems, whose transcripts are listed in the highly up-regulated 100 transcripts under the orange light condition (Supplementary Table S1).

Table 2. List of highly up-regulated 30 transcripts with p-value less than 0.01 under the far-red light (FRL) condition than that under the orange light (OL) condition.

| Rank | genomic locus | locus_tag | old_locus_tag | Annotation | Fold change (FRL/OL) | P-value |
|------|---------------|-----------|---------------|------------|----------------------|---------|
| 1    | pREB3         | AM1_RS322910 | AM1_C0220    | phycobilisome degradation protein, nblA homolog | 288.51 | 2.1E-08 |
| 2    | chromosome    | AM1_RS078700 | AM1_4588     | high light inducible protein | 97.06 | 2.04E-15 |
| 3    | chromosome    | AM1_RS013250 | AM1_0305     | RNA-Leu | 83.67 | 2.66E-05 |
| 4    | pREB3         | AM1_RS331000 | AM1_C0279    | hypothetical protein | 80.84 | 8.68E-03 |
| 5    | chromosome    | AM1_RS013300 | AM1_0306     | RNA-Leu | 63.93 | 1.55E-06 |
| 6    | chromosome    | AM1_RS018600 | AM1_0429     | rare lipopolysaccharide | 62.78 | 7.88E-07 |
| 7    | chromosome    | AM1_RS013100 | AM1_0302     | RNA-A | 59.64 | 2.16E-04 |
| 8    | chromosome    | AM1_RS013000 | AM1_0322     | RNA-A | 37.39 | 5.98E-04 |
| 9    | chromosome    | AM1_RS026650 | AM1_6373     | NAD/PH dehydrogenase, subunit NdhF3 homolog | 37.28 | 8.30E-10 |
| 10   | chromosome    | AM1_RS012450 | AM1_0288     | HVR endoribonuclease/family protein | 36.60 | 3.49E-08 |
| 11   | chromosome    | AM1_RS026200 | AM1_5009     | high light inducible protein | 34.82 | 1.21E-03 |
| 12   | chromosome    | AM1_RS013150 | AM1_0303     | RNA-G | 32.77 | 2.39E-05 |
| 13   | pREB3         | AM1_RS334050 | AM1_C0386    | two-component sensor and regulator histidine kinase | 32.09 | 6.11E-05 |
| 14   | chromosome    | AM1_RS012600 | AM1_0289     | conserved hypothetical protein | 31.75 | 4.54E-05 |
| 15   | chromosome    | AM1_RS030800 | AM1_6096     | two-component transcriptional regulator | 31.48 | 2.95E-06 |
| 16   | chromosome    | AM1_RS026600 | AM1_6372     | NAD/PH dehydrogenase, subunit NdhD3 homolog | 30.41 | 1.82E-05 |
| 17   | pREB3         | AM1_RS224000 | AM1_C0040    | hypothetical protein | 30.08 | 1.42E-03 |
| 18   | chromosome    | AM1_RS071110 | AM1_1594     | high light inducible protein | 28.37 | 5.46E-10 |
| 19   | chromosome    | AM1_RS012650 | AM1_0290     | hypothetical protein | 24.79 | 3.50E-08 |
| 20   | chromosome    | AM1_RS141000 | AM1_3103     | isolectin/bioterin transporter, putative | 23.36 | 4.13E-04 |
| 21   | chromosome    | AM1_RS414710 | AM1_3238     | PSERS protein involved in cyclic electron flow | 20.12 | 3.65E-10 |
| 22   | chromosome    | AM1_RS140990 | AM1_3102     | retinal pigment epithelial membrane protein, putative | 19.84 | 2.19E-02 |
| 23   | chromosome    | AM1_RS444050 | AM1_0990     | hypothetical protein | 18.67 | 3.05E-35 |
| 24   | chromosome    | AM1_RS062350 | AM1_1403     | ABC-1 family protein | 18.35 | 5.84E-02 |
| 25   | chromosome    | AM1_RS026750 | AM1_C0379    | PSERS protein involved in cyclic electron flow | 17.76 | 1.05E-05 |
| 26   | chromosome    | AM1_RS112250 | AM1_4659     | fatty acid desaturase (Delta(12) desaturase) | 16.66 | 1.32E-31 |
| 27   | chromosome    | AM1_RS013500 | AM1_0310     | hypothetical protein | 16.46 | 2.09E-05 |
| 28   | chromosome    | AM1_RS105550 | AM1_0955     | hypothetical protein | 15.93 | 7.29E-05 |
| 29   | pREB7         | AM1_RS365500 | AM1_C0093    | hypothetical protein | 15.35 | 8.65E-03 |
| 30   | pREB3         | AM1_RS294000 | AM1_C0232    | hypothetical protein | 14.84 | 1.37E-04 |

Table 3. Expression profiles of the cpcA1, cpcB1, cpcA2 and cpcB2.

| Name | genomic locus | locus_tag | old_locus_tag | Gene product | Fold change (OL/FRL) | P-value |
|------|---------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|
| cpcA1 | pREB3         | AM1_RS324800 | AM1_C0099    | CpcA: phycocyanin alpha subunit | 18.97 | 3.94E-02 |
| cpcB1 | pREB3         | AM1_RS324850 | AM1_C0100    | CpcB: phycocyanin alpha subunit | 19.69 | 4.17E-02 |
| cpcA2 | pREB3         | AM1_RS324700 | AM1_C0096    | CpcA: phycocyanin alpha subunit | 1.66 | 2.99E-02 |
| cpcB2 | pREB3         | AM1_RS324750 | AM1_C0098    | CpcB: phycocyanin beta subunit | 1.92 | 7.08E-02 |

Table 4. Expression profiles of the allophycocyanin genes.

| genomic locus | locus_tag | old_locus_tag | Annotation | Fold change (OL/FRL) | P-value | TPM value (FRL) | TPM value (OL) |
|---------------|-----------|---------------|------------|----------------------|---------|----------------|----------------|
| chromosome    | AM1_RS069400 | AM1_1558     | allophycocyanin alpha subunit ApoA | 1.08 | 1.00E+00 | 3.17 | 3.41 |
| chromosome    | AM1_RS020550 | AM1_4469     | allophycocyanin alpha subunit ApoB | 2.62 | 1.13E-06 | 25.58 | 63.52 |
| chromosome    | AM1_RS262600 | AM1_5810     | allophycocyanin alpha subunit ApoA | 2.22 | 1.30E-03 | 3.71 | 7.14 |
| chromosome    | AM1_RS107050 | AM1_2376     | allophycocyanin beta subunit ApoB | 1.86 | 1.10E-02 | 396.73 | 603.79 |

four loci on the pREB3 plasmid as operons: AM1_C0098/AM1_C0096, AM1_C0212/AM1_C0213, AM1_C0100/AM1_C0099 and AM1_C0192/AM1_C0191. AM1_C0098 operon is almost identical to AM1_C0212 operon (1 bp mismatch for cpcB genes and identical for cpcA genes), whereas AM1_C0100 operon is almost identical to AM1_C0192 operon (2 bp mismatch for cpcB genes and identical for cpcA genes). In this paper, we named AM1_C0100, AM1_C0192, AM1_C0098 and AM1_C0212 operons as cpcBA1a, cpcBA1b, cpcBA2a and cpcBA2b operons, respectively. In such a situation, read sequences mapped on both identical regions were not counted as an individual expression by the conventional method applied in the present study. Thus, we re-mapped the sequences without distinguishing these homologous genes. As a result, cpcB1 (AM1_C0100/AM1_C0192) and
**cpcA1** (AM1_C0099/AM1_C0191) were highly up-regulated under the orange light condition, whereas **cpcB2** (AM1_C0098/AM1_C0212) and **cpcA2** (AM1_C0096/AM1_C0213) were almost constitutively expressed under both light conditions (Table 3). *A. marina* 11017 encoded membrane-anchoring CpcL proteins for three loci on the pREB3 plasmid: AM1_C0092, AM1_C0102 and AM1_C0203 (named cpcL1, cpcL2 and cpcL3, respectively). Notably, only cpcL1 was highly up-regulated under the orange light condition, whereas cpcL2 and cpcL3 showed slightly higher up-regulation under the orange light condition than that under the far-red light condition.

To quantitatively verify the differential expression patterns observed by the RNA-seq analyses, we selected some genes to analyze by quantitative Real-Time PCR: **cpcB1**, **ndhF3** and AM1_3072 encoding Zn ABC transporter and showing a constitutive expression (Fig. 3). We used **rnpB** gene (AM1_6418) for an internal standard. As a result, consistent with the RNA-seq analysis, **cpcB1** was highly up-regulated under the orange light condition, whereas **ndhF3** was highly up-regulated under the far-red light condition. Conversely, AM1_3072 was constitutively expressed under both conditions. These results ensure that the differential expression profile obtained by the RNA-seq analysis is reliable.

**Observation by electron microscopy**

We performed morphological observations by electron microscopy to reveal cellular processes responsive to the orange and far-red light exposures. We observed the cells incubated under the orange and far-red light conditions for 14 days, which is enough for PBS accumulation under the orange light condition (Fig. 4). Thylakoid membranes were present at the periphery of cells as concentric multilayers with two types of cytoplasmic space: space with a low electron density, and space with a high electron density (white arrowheads). Because PBS is a supercomplex of megadalton sitting on the thylakoid surface, the electron density space between the thylakoid membranes should be filled with such PBS, which is consistent with the previous observations (Chen et al., 2009; Hu et al., 1999). We calculated the ratios of these areas to the total cell areas for 20 cells under both light conditions: 4.06% and 2.58% for the orange and far-red light acclimated cells, respectively (Fig. 5). Namely, cells under the orange light condition showed a significantly higher PBS accumulation than those under the far-red light condition. This observation is consistent with the cell absorption spectra and the transcriptional profiles described above.

Next, we calculated the number of the thylakoid membrane layers within the cells. Figure 6 shows a histogram...
of these numbers. Most cells under the orange light condition contained 6–7 thylakoid membrane layers, whereas most cells under the far-red light condition contained 5 thylakoid membrane layers. In conclusion, the cells under the orange light condition possess more thylakoid membrane layers than those under the far-red light condition (Fig. 6). Because the PBS absorbance in the orange light region is largely lower than that in the far-red light region even under the orange light conditions (Fig. 1B), cultivation with the same light intensity of 10 µmol photons m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) led to a situation, in which cells recognize orange light as having a relatively lower light intensity than the far-red light. Based on this assumption, the increase in the thylakoid membrane layers under the orange light condition can be regarded as an acclimation process to harvest more light energy. To verify this assumption, we measured the chlorophyll \(d\) contents under the orange and far-red light conditions, but, unexpectedly, there is no significant difference between these chlorophyll \(d\) contents (Fig. 7). In this context, it is of note that the PBS supercomplexes were partly localized on the thylakoid membrane layers (Fig. 4). We thus speculate that an increase of the thylakoid membrane layers under the orange light condition may be advantageous for efficient orange light harvesting by the PBS.

**Discussion**

**Chromatic acclimation responsive to orange light**

The cellular absorption spectra, transcriptomic profiles and microscopic observations we have obtained are consistent with each other and demonstrate that PBS highly accumulates under an orange light condition (Fig. 1B, Figs. 2–5). Electron microscopy results clearly show that the PBS area relative to the total cell area under an orange light condition was significantly higher than that under a far-red light condition (Figs. 4 and 5). The PBS of *A. marina* 11017 exceptionally lacks the core component and contains only the rod component, which is composed of four disks (Chen et al., 2009). Because gap lengths of the thylakoid membrane under both orange and far-red light conditions were comparable to each other (Fig. 4), the increase in PBS contents under the orange light condition is mainly due to an increase in rod number, but not an extension of rod length. In the present study, we monitored the expression profiles 1 h after a monochromic light exposure, while we monitored the cell absorption spectra 14 days after the monochromic light cultivation. It was shown that chromatic acclimation takes a long time (~1 week), but a quick transcriptional response (2–4 hours) is achieved in the cyanobacterium Fremyella diplosiphon (Federspiel and Grossman, 1990). We also observed a similar tendency to show a quick transcriptional response and a slow chromatic acclimation in the other cyanobacterium *A. marina* 11017.

Transcriptome analysis clarified that the *cpcBA1* operon showed a higher up-regulation under an orange light condition than that under a far-red light condition, whereas *cpcBA2* is constitutively expressed under both orange and far-red light conditions (Table 3). On the other hand, cellular absorbance spectral measurement revealed that there are two absorption peaks at 613 nm and 647 nm for PBS, and the shorter peak is more prominent than the longer peak under the orange light condition, but not under the far-red light condition (Fig. 1B). These facts suggest that the phycocyanin disks composed of CpcBA1 and CpcBA2 correspond to the shorter and longer peaks, respectively. These two homologous proteins show about a 70% identity with each other. These sequence alterations may cause distinctive spectral properties. Among the four disks of the PBS rod, three disks are homohexamers composed of only phycocyanins, whereas one disk at the bottom is thought to be a heterohexamer composed of phycocyanin and allophycocyanin (Chen et al., 2002, 2009; Golub et al., 2017; Gryliuk et al., 2014; Hu et al., 1999; Marquardt et al., 1997). A recent study, however, reported that the purified PBS contained allophycocyanin at only a trace level (Bar-Zvi et al., 2018). Based on our transcriptional data, allophycocyanin genes, *apcA* (AM1_1158, AM1_4469, AM1_5810) and *apcB* (AM1_2376), are almost constitutively expressed under both orange and far-red light conditions with relatively low TPM values (Table 3), indicating that the allophycocyanin content within the PBS complex should be fixed under these conditions,
or the allophycocyanin may form some apparatus independent of the canonical PBS complex. Taken together, *A. marina* 11017 is likely to show orange light-responsive chromatic acclimation to increase the PBS content and to change the composition of the two phycocyanin variants.

**Various responses to far-red light**

The top 1 up-regulated gene under a far-red light condition was the *nblA* gene that is involved in the PBS degradation pathway (Collier and Grossman, 1994). Because almost no transcripts have been detected for the sample under an orange light condition, remarkable up-regulation of this gene may be detected. Although in this study, it is difficult to judge whether expression of the *nblA* gene is induced under the far-red light condition, or repressed under the orange light condition, a relatively low expression under the orange light condition is consistent with the fact that the orange light promotes PBS accumulation.

In contrast with the orange light exposure, a far-red light exposure mimics a relatively high light environment for *A. marina* 11017 cells, which is supported by the fact that expressions of many high light inducible genes were up-regulated under the far-red light condition (Table 2 and Supplementary Table S2). In such a situation, a rate-limiting steps in photosynthesis are dark-reaction processes. In this context, it is of note that expressions of CCM (CO₂ concentrating mechanism)—related genes, *ndhF3*, *ndhD3*, *cupA* and *cupS* (AM1_6373, AM1_6372, AM1_6371 and AM1_6370) are highly up-regulated by far-red light exposure (Table 2 and Table S2). The former two genes are listed in the highly up-regulated 30 transcripts, while the latter two genes are listed in the highly up-regulated 100 transcripts. These genes have been identified to be highly expressed under low CO₂ conditions in a model cyanobacterium (Shibata et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2004). The transcriptional up-regulation of these genes under a far-red light condition should lead to the efficient incorporation of CO₂, and so can be regarded as an acclimation process to resolve the rate-limiting dark-reaction processes.

Furthermore, we found that expressions of genes related to the cyclic electron transport were up-regulated under a far-red light condition. In addition to the PGR5-like genes (AM1_3236 and AM1_4588) that are listed in the highly up-regulated 30 transcripts (Table 2), expressions of one more PGR5-like gene (AM1_1597), flavodiiron genes (AM1_1384 and AM1_1386), and *ndhD1/F1* genes (AM1_2497 and AM1_2496), were up-regulated and are listed in the highly up-regulated 100 transcripts (Table S2). All these genes have been reported to be involved in the cyclic electron transport in the model cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 (Allahverdiyeva et al., 2015a, b; Battchikova et al., 2011; Yeremenko et al., 2005). Because monochromatic far-red light preferentially excites the PS I, induction of these genes may contribute to the consumption of the resultant excessive electron from PS I.

Recently, the Chen group has reported that far-red light exposure resulted in transcriptional up-regulation of extracellular polysaccharide synthetic genes and cell aggregation via biofilm formation in *A. marina* 11017 (Hernández-Prieto et al., 2018). Conversely, we could not detect transcriptional up-regulation of these genes under the far-red light condition, probably because of a difference in the experimental conditions. We observed a short-term response (1 h) to 10 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ in the present study, whereas the Chen group observed a long-term response (one week) to 20 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Hernández-Prieto et al., 2018). Long-term higher light exposure should excessively trigger a photosynthetic reaction in comparison with short-term lower light exposure, which may induce the cell aggregation to avoid photoinhibition by self-shading.

**Potential regulatory mechanism**

We recently discovered that some CBCR photoreceptors (AM1_1557, AM1_C0023 and AM1_6305) from *A. marina* 11017 covalently bound not only PCB, but also BV and the BV-binding ones showed far-red/orange reversible photoconversion (Fushimi et al., 2016, 2019; Narikawa et al., 2015). The far-red/orange reversibility of these photoreceptors corresponds well with the far-red/orange-responsive chromatic acclimation addressed in the present study. Although these proteins possess two-component His kinase and response regulator domains as a signal output, we could not detect clear cognate transcriptional regulators near genes encoding these photoreceptors. Because there have been no reports to knock out target genes in *A. marina* 11017 to date, the detailed regulatory mechanism of this chromatic acclimation should be addressed as future work.

Notably, all phycocyanin genes of *A. marina* 11017 are encoded on a specific plasmid, pREB3, whereas allophycocyanin *apcA* and *apcB* genes are encoded on a main chromosome (Swingley et al., 2008). The closely related species *A. 5410* has been reported to lack the PBS absorbance and its draft genome contains *apcA* and *apcB* genes, but no phycocyanin genes (Miller et al., 2005; Yoneda et al., 2016). We further confirmed that no genes orthologous to the other genes encoded on *A. marina* 11017 were detected from the draft genome of *A. 5410*. These facts strongly indicate that *A. 5410* do not possess the pREB3 plasmid. There are two possibilities: *A. marina* 11017 acquired the pREB3 plasmid, or *A. 5410* lost the corresponding plasmid. In this context, it should be noted that both PBS, and photosystem structures of *Acaryochloris* genus are quite unique among known cyanobacterial species. Thus, it is unlikely that laterally acquired phycocyanins functionally connect to the photosystems in *A. marina* 11017. Namely, *Acaryochloris* genus may employ a strategy to put phycocyanin-related genes, into the specific pREB3 plasmid, which enables them to specifically regulate their expression, or in some cases to discard these genes depending on their habitat.

We further found that all of the highly up-regulated 30 transcripts under the orange light condition were encoded on the plasmids, while most of those under a far-red light condition were encoded on the main chromosome (Tables 1 and 2). To examine this trend in detail, we constructed pie charts of the highly up-regulated 100 transcripts for both light conditions (Fig. 8). Although genes encoded on the pREB3 were up-regulated under both light conditions,
many more genes on the pREB3 were up-regulated under the orange light condition than those under the far-red light condition. The up-regulation of the pREB3 genes under the orange light condition corresponds well to the presence of the PBS-related genes in this plasmid, as mentioned above. On the other hand, a large number of genes encoded on pREB1, pREB5 and pREB6 were up-regulated under the orange light condition, but not under the far-red light condition at all. It is of note that sigma factor genes encoded on these plasmids showed prominent expression induction under the orange light condition (Table 1). These sigma factors possibly contribute to the global induction of gene expression encoded on these plasmids. The up-regulated genes on these plasmids, however, mostly encode hypothetical proteins. Unraveling the physiological function of these proteins will provide insights into the acclimation strategy to the orange light environment of *A. marina* 11017.
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