An extract of the medicinal plant *Artemisia annua* modulates production of inflammatory markers in activated neutrophils

Sheena Hunt,1 Mayumi Yoshida2, Catherine EJ Davis,2 Nicholas S Greenhill,2 Paul F Davis

1Promisia Integrative Ltd, Wellington, New Zealand; 2Trinity Bioactives Ltd, Wellington, New Zealand

**Purpose:** To investigate the ability of a commercial extract from the medicinal plant *Artemisia annua* to modulate production of the cytokine, tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), and the cyclooxygenase (COX) inflammatory marker, prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂) in activated neutrophils.

**Methods:** Neutrophils were harvested from rat whole blood and cultured in the presence of plant extract or control samples. Neutrophils, except unactivated control cells, were activated with 10 µg/mL lipopolysaccharide (LPS). The cells were cultured with a range of different concentrations of the *A. annua* extracts (400–1 µg/mL) and artemisinin (200 and 100 µg/mL) and the supernatants were then tested by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for the concentrations of TNF-α and PGE₂. Each sample was assayed in triplicate. Positive controls with an inhibitor were assayed in triplicate: chloroquine 2.58 and 5.16 µg/mL for TNF-α, and ibuprofen 400 µg/mL for PGE₂. An unsupplemented group was also assessed in triplicate as a baseline control.

**Results:** Neutrophils were stimulated to an inflammatory state by the addition of LPS. *A. annua* extract significantly inhibited TNF-α production by activated neutrophils in a dose-dependent manner. There was complete inhibition by the *A. annua* extract at 200, 100, and 50 µg/mL (all *P*≤0.0003). At *A. annua* extract concentrations of 25, 10, and 5 µg/mL, TNF-α production was inhibited by 89% (*P*<0.0001), 54% (*P*=0.0002), and 38% (*P*=0.0014), respectively. *A. annua* 1 µg/mL did not significantly inhibit TNF-α production (8.8%; *P*>0.05). Concentrations of 400, 200, and 100 µg/mL *A. annua* extract significantly inhibited PGE₂ production by 87% (*P*=0.0128), 91% (*P*=0.0017), and 93% (*P*=0.0114), respectively.

**Conclusion:** An extract of *A. annua* was shown to be a potent inhibitor of TNF-α and a strong inhibitor of PGE₂ production in activated neutrophils at the concentrations tested. Further studies are warranted with this promising plant extract.
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**Introduction**

Much recent attention has been given to traditional medicines and natural products with potential and promising anti-inflammatory properties.¹⁻⁴ However, much of the evidence is minimal or anecdotal, and it is clear that more research is needed in this area.²

The medicinal plant *Artemisia annua* L. (Asteraceae) is native to the People’s Republic of China but has been introduced and grows wild throughout Asia, North America, and Europe, and is now broadly cultivated for medicinal purposes.⁵ *A. annua* has been used as a medicinal herb for more than 2,000 years.⁶ Traditional uses of the plant include as an antimalarial, a food additive, an anti-inflammatory, and to treat
hemorrhoids, lice, and boils. Texts on Chinese herbal medicines, written as early as 200 AD, also reported that it relieved joint pain.

In the 1970s, researchers in the People’s Republic of China identified one of the main components of A. annua; a sesquiterpene lactone, artemisinin. Artemisinin-based therapy is one of the most effective agents for the prevention and treatment of malaria and has been used successfully to treat millions of people worldwide. The mechanism of action against malaria is still debated, even though a number of potential targets have been proposed, such as alkylation of heme or proteins, inhibition of a parasite gene, or damage to the parasite’s membrane. The compounds in A. annua appear to have other bioactive properties and may have broader anti-disease applications beyond the treatment of malaria.

Artemisinin appears to have anti-inflammatory properties, probably due to the inhibition of inflammatory factors and mediators such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), interleukin (IL)-6, IL-1β, and nitric oxide. Other antimalarial drugs, especially quinine derivatives, are standard therapies for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus, where they appear to have both disease-modifying and anti-inflammatory effects.

The aim of this study was to investigate the ability of an extract of A. annua to modulate production of the cytokine TNF-α in activated neutrophils. Preliminary investigations were also conducted on the ability of A. annua to modulate production of the cyclooxygenase (COX) inflammatory marker, prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂) in activated neutrophils. These commonly studied markers were chosen as they are known to be produced by neutrophils from many species and can also be easily studied and quantified using well-documented in vitro assays.

Materials and methods

Plant material

A commercial supercritical carbon dioxide extract of A. annua was used in the assays. The extract is used in Arthem™ capsules (Promisia Integrative Ltd, Wellington, New Zealand), a dietary supplement for joint support. Commercially available artemisinin capsules (Super Artemisinin; NutriCology, Alameda, CA, USA) were also tested.

Cell culture

Rat blood was taken by cardiac puncture of animals under an animal ethics protocol approved by the Animal Ethics Committee, University of Otago, Wellington, New Zealand. Blood was collected in an anticoagulant (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid) tube, inverted several times, and kept at 18°C–22°C. Polymorph prep™ (Axis-Shield, Oslo, Norway) 2.5 mL was added to each centrifuge tube, overlaid with 7.0 mL whole blood, and centrifuged at 500 g for 30 minutes at 20°C. After centrifugation, the polymorphonuclear fraction was suspended with Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) and centrifuged at 125 g for 5 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded and the cell pellet was resuspended, washed with HBSS, and centrifuged at 125 g again. The supernatant was discarded and the cell pellet was resuspended in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco, Auckland, New Zealand). The cell number was counted and the concentration adjusted to 5.0×10⁶ cells/mL with RPMI-1640 medium. The cell suspensions containing isolated neutrophils were kept on ice for up to 10 minutes until used in the assays.

Experimental assays

In the TNF-α assay, A. annua extract was tested at a range of concentrations from 200 µg/mL to 1 µg/mL. The concentrations of A. annua for this dose–response study were selected because preliminary tests (not shown here) indicated that A. annua 400 µg/mL completely inhibited TNF-α production by activated neutrophils. Artemisinin was tested at 200 µg/mL and 100 µg/mL. The positive control, chloroquine, was tested at 5 µM (2.58 µg/mL) and 10 µM (5.16 µg/mL). The investigation into PGE₂ production was preliminary, with only three concentrations of A. annua tested: 400 µg/mL, 200 µg/mL, and 100 µg/mL. The concentrations selected for this analysis were arbitrary as, to our knowledge, there have been no previous reports of A. annua modulating the production of PGE₂ in activated neutrophils. Artemisinin was tested at 400 µg/mL and 200 µg/mL. The positive control, ibuprofen, which is a COX-2 inhibitor, was tested at 400 µg/mL.

Plant extracts and positive controls were dissolved in 100% ethanol. For each sample of plant extract or positive control, 3 µL was added to a 96-well plate. The ethanol was allowed to dry and 20 µL of HBSS was then added to the test wells. A total of 160 µL of the cell suspension was added to each test well. The plate was incubated in a humidified incubator at 37°C in 95% air and 5% carbon dioxide for 20 minutes. Twenty microliters of lipopolysaccharide (LPS; Sigma-Aldrich Co, St Louis, MO, USA) at 100 µg/mL was added to each well (except the unactivated control cells). The plate was incubated at 37°C in 95% air and 5% carbon dioxide. After 24 hours, the plate was centrifuged at 44 g for 5 minutes. A 50 µL aliquot from each well was transferred to new 96-well plates for either TNF-α or PGE₂ determination and stored at −20°C until used. Each sample was assayed
in triplicate. As a positive control, triplicate wells with an inhibitor were assayed. As a baseline control, an unsupplemented group was also assessed in triplicate.

**Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay**
The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) for TNF-α and PGE₂ were performed according to the instruction manual provided by the kit manufacturer (R&D Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) and the absorbance read at 450 nm using a VersaMax™ 96-well plate reader.

**Statistical analysis**
The percentage standard error of the mean (SEM) for each sample was assessed and extreme outliers were removed if the SEM% was greater than 15%. Preliminary statistical significance was assessed with an independent Student’s t-test at \( \alpha \leq 0.05 \) (with and without outliers).

**Results**
In both assays, the addition of the LPS to the neutrophil cells stimulated them to an inflammatory state.

**Inhibition of TNF-α production**
For the control cells, the concentration of TNF-α increased 11.89-fold when LPS was included. The positive control, chloroquine, resulted in 23.7% and 42.6% reductions in TNF-α production at 5 µM and 10 µM, respectively.

\[ A.\ annua \text{ extract significantly inhibited TNF-α production by activated neutrophils in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 1). There was complete inhibition by the extract at 200, 100, and 50 µg/mL (all } P=0.0003). \text{ At 25, 10, and 5 µg/mL, } A.\ annua \text{ extract inhibited TNF-α production by 89\% (} P<0.0001\), 54\% (} P=0.0002\), and 38\% (} P=0.0014\), respectively. At an } A.\ annua \text{ concentration of } 1 \mu\text{g/mL, TNF-α production was not significantly inhibited (8.8\%; } P>0.05\). \]

Figure 2 shows a dose–response curve of the percentage inhibition of TNF-α production. Artemisinin at 200 µg/mL and 100 µg/mL inhibited TNF-α production by 40.7% and 23.2%, respectively. This is less than that seen with the same concentration of the whole \( A.\ annua \) plant extract.

**Inhibition of PGE₂**
In control cells, the concentration of PGE₂ increased 4.95-fold compared to unactivated cells. Ibuprofen at 400 µg/mL was a very potent inhibitor of COX-2 activity, with a 91% reduction in PGE₂ production. \( A.\ annua \text{ extract significantly inhibited PGE₂ production by activated neutrophils. At concentrations of } 400, 200, \text{ and } 100 \mu\text{g/mL, } A.\ annua \text{ extract significantly inhibited PGE₂ production by 87\% (} P=0.0128\), 91\% (} P=0.0017\), and 93\% (} P=0.0114\), respectively. Figure 3 shows the effects of the samples on PGE₂ inhibition by activated neutrophils. As in the TNF-α assay, artemisinin significantly inhibited production of PGE₂, but was not as potent as the whole \( A.\ annua \) extract at the same concentration;
Figure 2 Dose–response of percentage inhibition (± standard error) of TNF-α production in activated neutrophils by Artemisia annua extract.
Notes: *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001.
Abbreviation: TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-alpha.

Figure 3 Percentage inhibition (± standard error) of PGE₂ production in activated neutrophils.
Notes: *P<0.05; **P<0.01.
Abbreviations: Aa, Artemisia annua; PGE₂, prostaglandin E₂.

Discussion
In this study, the A. annua extract was shown to be a potent inhibitor of TNF-α by activated neutrophils with a clear dose–response effect. There was complete inhibition of TNF-α production at concentrations of 50 µg/mL and above. The extract showed statistically significant inhibition of TNF-α production at all concentrations down to 2.5 µg/mL (24% inhibition).

Artemisinin, a well-established bioactive derived from A. annua, also inhibited the production of TNF-α by activated neutrophil cells in this study. However, the artemisinin was...
not as potent as the whole extract of the plant. The inhibitory effects of 200 µg/mL and 100 µg/mL artemisinin were 40.7% and 23.2%, respectively, while the equivalent concentrations in the whole plant extract were both 100% inhibitory. These results suggest that artemisinin is a strong inhibitor of TNF-α production but that it is not the only antagonist present in the plant extract. It appears likely therefore, that other components of the A. annua extract also contribute to its anti-inflammatory bioactivity.

Similar results were seen in the PGE$_2$ assay, with a significant inhibitory effect displayed for all concentrations of the extract tested. Again, the inhibitory effects of the compound artemisinin were not as potent as the effect of the whole plant extract. This suggests again that there are other bioactive components in the A. annua extract, as well as artemisinin, that inhibit the COX-2 activity. This was a preliminary investigation of activity at a small number of concentrations of the plant extract. Inhibition of PGE$_2$ was similar for all concentrations of A. annua extract tested. This implies that 400, 200, and 100 µg/mL A. annua extract produced a maximal level of inhibition. Further investigations on dose–response below 100 µg/mL would be needed to find out the potency of A. annua extract at inhibiting PGE$_2$ production.

These results corroborate previous reports suggesting that artemisinin is not the only bioactive compound in A. annua. A review on traditional A. annua use in malaria suggests that the activity of A. annua extracts cannot be accounted for by their artemisinin content alone. Another study suggests that artemisinin may act synergistically with flavonoids and polyphenols also present in A. annua. It is not known whether either of these classes of compounds are present in the extract tested in this study. Interestingly, in humans, it appears that the bioavailability of artemisinin is enhanced when the entire plant extract is consumed, compared with consumption of pure artemisinin. It is possible that, of the many types of phytochemicals isolated from A. annua (sesquiterpenoids, monoterpenes, triterpenoids, flavonoids, coumarins, phenolics, and lipids), several may be responsible for the overall activity and properties of crude plant A. annua extracts compared to that of pure artemisinin.

While artemisinin may not be responsible for all of the bioactivity in this A. annua extract, it is likely that it is one of the most important compounds in the extract. Dihydroartemisinin, a semi-synthetic analog of artemisinin, has been reported to significantly inhibit LPS-induced release of TNF-α, IL-6, and nitric oxide from mouse monocuclear macrophages. Pure artemisinin has been reported to have an anti-inflammatory effect on phorbol myristate acetate–induced THP-1 monocytes.

The extract of A. annua used in this study seems to have potent bioactivity. This could partly be due to the physical properties of artemisinin, which is poorly water soluble and is heat labile. The commercial extract used in this study was produced by supercritical extraction of the plant material with carbon dioxide. This type of extraction allows the processing of plant material at low temperatures, limiting thermal degradation, and avoids the use of toxic solvents such as hexane or methane.

Studies have previously tested extracts of A. annua in vitro, with results reporting a variety of bioactive properties, including protection against oxidative stress, and antioxidant, anthelmintic, and anti-pest properties. However, to our knowledge, this is the first report of in vitro anti-inflammatory properties in this interesting plant.

This study has some limitations. While a dose–response effect was established for TNF-α inhibition in activated neutrophils, the number of concentrations of A. annua tested should be increased to establish a dose–response for PGE$_2$. Similarly, artemisinin was only tested at two concentrations in each assay in this study; further studies would be needed to establish a dose–response for artemisinin. This study was conducted only in activated neutrophils; it would be interesting to establish whether the A. annua extract shows similar activity against the production of other pro-inflammatory cytokines in activated macrophages. Toxicity of the plant extract to activated neutrophils was not assessed; further studies should assess any effect of the medicinal plant on cell survival.

**Conclusion**

In this study in activated neutrophils, an extract of A. annua was shown to be a potent inhibitor of TNF-α and a strong inhibitor of PGE$_2$ production at the concentrations tested. Further studies are needed with this promising plant extract to ascertain whether these in vitro anti-inflammatory effects may translate into in vivo or clinical benefits.
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