Link between structural connectivity of the medial forebrain bundle, functional connectivity of the ventral tegmental area, and anhedonia in unipolar depression
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ABSTRACT
The ventral tegmental area (VTA), nucleus accumbens (NAcc), and prefrontal cortex (PFC) are essential for experiencing pleasure and initiating motivated behaviour. The VTA, NAcc, and PFC are connected through the medial forebrain bundle (MFB). In humans, two branches have been described: an infero-medial branch (imMFB) and a supero-lateral branch (slMFB). This study aimed to explore the associations between structural connectivity of the MFB, functional connectivity (FC) of the VTA, anhedonia, and depression severity in patients with depression. Fifty-six patients with unipolar depression and 22 healthy controls matched for age, sex, and handedness were recruited at the University Hospital of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy in Bern, Switzerland. Diffusion-weighted imaging and resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging scans were acquired. Using manual tractography, the imMFB and slMFB were reconstructed bilaterally for each participant. Seed-based resting-state FC was computed from the VTA to the PFC. Hedonic tone was assessed using the Fawcett-Clark Pleasure Scale. We identified reduced tract volume and reduced number of tracts in the left slMFB. There was an increase in FC between the VTA and right medial PFC in patients with depression. Depression severity was associated with reduced tract volume and fewer tracts in the left slMFB. Reduced hedonic tone was associated with reduced tract volume. Conversely, reduced hedonic tone was associated with increased FC between the VTA and the PFC. In conclusion, our results suggest reduced structural connectivity of the slMFB in patients with depression. Increases in FC between the VTA and PFC may be associated with anhedonia or compensatory hyperactivity.

1. Introduction
Anhedonia is a core feature of depression that has been associated with structural and functional alterations of the reward system (Bracht et al., 2015b; Keedwell et al., 2005; Nestler and Carlezon, 2006). The ventral tegmental area (VTA), nucleus accumbens (NAcc), and orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) are central relay stations of the reward system. They mediate pleasure and reward-seeking behaviour (Nestler and Carlezon, 2006). The medial forebrain bundle (MFB) connects the core regions of the reward system (Nieuwenhuys et al., 1982). The most comprehensive work on the anatomy stems from histological brain sections in rats. Its findings revealed that tiny unmyelinated fibres connect the VTA to the NAcc and traverse the lateral hypothalamus to predate to the forebrain (Geeraedts et al., 1990). Using diffusion tensor imaging (DTI)-based tractography, the MFB of human patients was reconstructed for the first time in a case report (Coenen et al., 2009). This work was followed by a comprehensive anatomical and conceptual study suggesting that in humans, the MFB has two branches; the infero-medial branch (imMFB), which corresponds to the MFB described in rodents and travels through the lateral hypothalamus, approaching the nearby NAcc, and the supero-lateral branch (slMFB), which projects through the anterior limb of the internal capsule to connect the VTA with the prefrontal cortex (PFC) (Coenen et al., 2012). The slMFB branch may stem from the massive phylogenetic development of PFC in humans.
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Because of the dense corticofugal and corticopetal projection pathways connecting the VTA to the PFC, the slMFB has also been referred to as the projection pathway of the VTA (vtaAPP = slMFB), (Coenen et al., 2020; Coenen et al., 2018; Fenoy et al., 2021). In this work, we focus on both the imMFB and the slMFB and will for better readability stick with the term MFB (imMFB and slMFB), as we have done in our previous work (Bracht et al., 2015a).

Converging evidence suggests that the MFB plays a core role in experiencing pleasure and motivated behaviour (Coenen et al., 2011; Nestler and Carlezon, 2006). This assumption is supported by a tractography study of the slMFB in unipolar depression. The study suggests that reduced fractional anisotropy (FA), the most commonly used diffusion magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) based measure to characterise white matter microstructure (Basser and Pierpaoli, 1996), between the VTA and the PFC (OFC and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dPFC)) underlies melanocholic features, including anhedonia (Bracht et al., 2014). This finding was extended by a recent tractography study that found reduced tract length of the slMFB in bipolar disorder. Again, the findings were observed in a subgroup of patients with melanocholic features (Denier et al., 2020). In addition, hedonic tone, the capacity to derive pleasure from rewarding experiences, was associated with the FA of slMFB in healthy women with or without a history of depression (Bracht et al., 2015a). There is less evidence for structural alterations of the imMFB in depression. No alterations were found while comparing never-depressed with remitted depressed women using tractography (Bracht et al., 2015a) and patients with unipolar depression with healthy controls using a region of interest (ROI) approach (Blood et al., 2010). However, these studies were limited in their sample size, which motivated us to investigate the role of the imMFB in depression pathophysiology in a larger sample.

Event-related functional MRI (fMRI) studies have demonstrated repeatedly the relevance of the VTA, NA, and PFC for reward processing e.g. (D’Ardenne et al., 2008; Keedwell et al., 2005; Kringlebach, 2005; Wacker et al., 2009). Resting-state fMRI extends such analyses and provides information on the strength of functional connectivity (FC) between spatially distributed brain regions at rest, giving a basis for neural networks (van den Heuvel and Hulshoff Pol, 2010). Several resting-state FC studies in depression have identified distinct networks with both increased and decreased FC (Mulders et al., 2015). However, only a few studies have investigated seed-based resting-state FC from the VTA, leading to diverging results showing negative results (Anand et al., 2018) or different localisations of significant clusters with either increased or decreased FC (Nakamura et al., 2020; Wagner et al., 2017). One study found increased FC in unipolar depression between VTA and dPFC (Wagner et al., 2017), which are regions that are structurally connected through the slMFB. Increases in FC between the VTA and the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), medial PFC, and dPFC were also found in a small sample of patients with depression using 7-Tesla MRI (Morriss et al., 2019). Increases in FC between the VTA and ACC were associated with more pronounced anhedonia (Morriss et al., 2019). These findings are in line with established rodent models of depression, linking VTA hyperactivity to anhedonia and reduction in goal-directed behaviour (Cao et al., 2010; Friedman et al., 2016; Han and Nestler, 2017). Therefore, hyperactivity of the VTA may represent a functional correlation of anhedonia in depression. However, conflicting results of resting-state FC studies warrant further investigation of this research question (Anand et al., 2018; Nakamura et al., 2020; Wagner et al., 2017).

This study aimed to extend knowledge on the associations between structural connectivity of the MFB (imMFB and slMFB), FC of the VTA, anhedonia, and depression severity. First, we explored microstructural and macrostructural alterations of the white matter of imMFB/slMFB in unipolar depression. We hypothesised reduced structural connectivity of the imMFB/slMFB in unipolar depression (Bracht et al., 2015a; Denier et al., 2020). Second, we investigated alterations in the VTA FC. We hypothesised increased FC between the VTA and PFC in depression (Friedman et al., 2016; Morris et al., 2019; Wagner et al., 2017). Third, we hypothesised that decreased MFB structural connectivity and increased VTA FC are associated with hedonic tone and depression severity (Bracht et al., 2014; Morris et al., 2019).

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

We recruited 56 patients with unipolar depression at the University Hospital of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy in Bern. Inclusion criteria were age between 18 and 65 years and a current depressive episode. The exclusion criteria were psychiatric comorbidities as assessed using the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) (Sheehan et al., 1998). Personality disorders were screened using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis II (SCID-II) (Wittchen et al., 1997). Diagnosis of a current depressive episode according to DSM-IV was made by the treating psychiatrist and confirmed using MINI. Depression severity was assessed using the 21-item Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HAM-D) (Hamilton, 1967) and the 21-item Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II) (Beck et al., 1996). The Fawcett–Clark Pleasure Scale (FCPS), a questionnaire that measures the intensity of pleasurable responses to enjoyable situations, was used to quantify hedonic tone (Fawcett et al., 1983). Handedness was assessed using the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory (Oldfield, 1971).

Twenty-two healthy controls were matched for age, sex, and handedness. The inclusion criteria were the absence of any present or past psychiatric disorder as assessed using MINI and SCID-II screening questionnaires. Further exclusion criteria for all participants were claustrophobia or contraindications for MRI. All patients provided informed written consent. The study was approved by the local national ethics committee (KEK-number: 2017-00731).

2.2. MRI data acquisition

Structural and functional MRI data were acquired using a 3-Tesla Magnetom Prisma scanner (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) and a 64-channel head and neck coil at the University Hospital of Bern. For acquisition of high-contrast T1-weighted images, we used a bias-field-corrected MP2RAGE sequence with two gradient echo images (INV1 and INV2) and a T1-weighted image (UNI). Parameters of the MP2RAGE sequence were: 256 Slices, FOV = 256 × 256, 256 × 256 matrix, 1 × 1 mm² isotropic resolution, TR = 5000 ms, TE = 2.98 ms, TI = 700 ms and T2 = 2500 ms. Diffusion-weighted images (DWIs) with 64 non-collinear directions were acquired using a spin-echo echo-planar sequence. DWI parameters were: 64 × b = 1000 s/mm², 1 × b = 0 s/mm², 60 slices, FOV = 269 × 269, 128 × 128 matrix, 2.2 × 2.2 × 2.2 mm³ isotropic resolution, TR = 6200 ms, and TE = 69 ms. A continuous resting-state fMRI scan with condition ‘eyes closed’ was acquired by echo planar imaging (EPI) with the following parameters: 480 volumes with 48 slices per volume, FOV = 230 × 230, 94 × 94 matrix, 2.4 × 2.4 × 2.4 mm³ isotropic resolution, TR = 1000 ms, and TE = 30 ms.

2.3. Data analyses

2.3.1. Diffusion-weighted MRI

We used FSL 6.0 (http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/) and FSL-BET for robust brain extraction (-R option). Owing to the noisy background of MP2RAGE UNI images, we used INV2 images as input and applied a derived binary mask to the UNI image to obtain the extracted brain. Diffusion-weighted MRI scans were processed using ExploreDTI 4.8.6 (Leemans et al., 2009). First, we performed a correction for subject motion by co-registering the DWIs to the b0 image (Leemans and Jones, 2009). Using the realignment motion parameters, we calculated the mean framewise displacement (FD) for each subject. The groups did not differ significantly in mean FD (patients: 5.9 ± 1.8; controls: 5.6 ± 1.7; T
Fig. 1. Visualisation of the slMFB and imMFB. The imMFB (magenta) is located medial to the slMFB (red) and travels through the lateral hypothalamus. The slMFB proceeds through the lateral part of the anterior limb of the internal capsule and connects the VTA to the prefrontal cortex. L: left; R: right; A: anterior; P: posterior; I: inferior; S: superior. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

= 0.74, \( p = 0.46 \)). Second, an EPI correction was performed to correct for eddy current distortions and field inhomogeneities warping the motion-corrected DWIs to the brain-extracted MP2RAGE image (Wu et al., 2008). This resulted in DWIs being localised in the same undistorted native space as the MP2RAGE images. Depending on the ROI, native space DWIs or MP2RAGE images were used for ROI delineation. Whole-brain deterministic tractography was performed by applying a diffusion tensor model (Basser et al., 1994). The following termination criteria were used: fractional anisotropy (FA) < 0.2 and angle threshold > 45°. ROIs were delineated as described in our previous work (Bracht et al., 2021; Bracht et al., 2019; Denier et al., 2020). For both pathways (imMFB and slMFB), the VTA was encircled on a DWI horizontal section (see Supplementary Fig. S1). The anatomical borders of the VTA are the red nucleus (posterior), substantia nigra (lateral), and mammillary bodies (anterior) (Nieuwenhuys et al., 2008). For reconstruction of the slMFB, a second ROI was delineated on the coronal MP2RAGE image section surrounding the anterior limb of the internal capsule at the height of the NAcc surrounding the caudate and putamen (see Supplementary Fig. S2. For reconstruction of the imMFB, a second ROI was drawn one section above the ROI of the VTA on a horizontal section of the MP2RAGE image surrounding the hypothalamus (see Supplementary Fig. S3, to capture fibres that proceed through the lateral hypothalamus and travel towards the nearby NAnc (Bracht et al., 2015a). In line with previous publications, only segments dorsal to the VTA were considered (see Fig. 1 (Bracht et al., 2015a; Denier et al., 2020). The following microstructural and macrostructural tract properties were computed separately for both hemispheres of each tract (slMFB and imMFB): FA, mean diffusivity (MD), radial diffusivity (RD), axial diffusivity (AD) (microstructure), and tract volume, number of tracts and tract length (geometric properties).

2.3.2. Resting-state functional MRI

We analysed resting-state fMRI using the CONN 20b toolbox (Whitfield-Gabrieli and Nieto-Castanon, 2012). Preprocessing steps included realignment and co-registration of EPI volumes to MP2RAGE, segmentation and normalisation to the MNI space, and smoothing using an FWHM kernel of \( 8 \times 8 \times 8 \) mm. We applied band-pass filtering (0.008–0.09 Hz) to remove physiological signals and regress nuisance variables of each of the five time series within segmented white matter and cerebrospinal fluid and 12 realignment parameters. Scrubbing of outlier volumes with global BOLD signal or FD higher than the 95th percentile was performed using the Artefact Detection Tools (ART) toolbox implemented in CONN. Additionally, for every subject, we computed the mean FD of the motion parameters and mean-DVARS, which is the spatial root mean square of the BOLD signal after temporal differencing (Afyouni and Nichols, 2018). For further analyses, we excluded subjects with values (mean-FD and mean-DVARS) higher than two standard deviations above the mean. We excluded seven subjects (six patients, one control group). There was no group difference in mean-DVARS (patients: 0.16 ± 0.06; controls 0.16 ± 0.04; \( T = 0.019, p = 0.985 \)); however, mean-FD (patients: 0.15 ± 0.05; controls 0.12 ± 0.04; \( T = 2.734, p = 0.008 \)) exhibited a difference.

The midbrain seed region encapsulated bilateral VTA, and was defined as a spherical volume with a 3-mm radius (152 mm³) centred at MNI coordinates \( x = 0, y = -16, z = -7 \), which is in accordance with previous studies (Gu et al., 2010; Hadley et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015).

To examine the FC of the VTA to the PFC, we generated a PFC mask using the Talairach Daemon atlas implemented in the WFU PickAtlas 3.0 (Maldjian et al., 2003). Seed-based FC of the VTA to the PFC was compared between patients and controls. We performed between-group analyses with age, sex, and mean-FD, and mean-DVARS as covariates with a voxel threshold of \( p < 0.001 \) and with a family-wise error (FWE) correction of \( p < 0.05 \). To further explore the FC of the VTA and its possible association with clinical symptoms, we extracted values of significant clusters localised in the PFC, our hypothesis-driven area of interest.

2.4. Statistical analyses

2.4.1. Structural connectivity of the MFB

Based on the results of previous tractography studies of the slMFB, we expected an effect size of \( f = 0.4 \) for the between-factor group (patients vs. controls) (Bracht et al., 2014). Using the program G*Power (Faul et al., 2007) with an effect size of \( f = 0.4, \alpha = 0.05, \beta = 0.8, \) number of groups = 2, numerator df = 1, number of groups = 2, and number of covariates = 2, results of a power analysis suggest a required total sample size of \( n = 52 \) participants. The total number of participants (\( n = 78 \)) exceeded the required number because patients in this study participated in a larger ongoing observational longitudinal study investigating remission plasticity. All patient data were derived from the baseline assessments of this study.

The Statistical Package for Social Sciences SPSS 27.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for data analyses. Age was compared using a two-sample t-test. Sex and handedness were compared using \( \chi^2 \) test. Four separate mixed-model analyses of covariance (ANCOVAs) with the between-subject factor group (patients vs. controls), the within-subject factor hemisphere (left vs. right), and the four dependent variables (FA, tract volume, number of tracts, and tract length) were calculated for the slMFB and imMFB. In case of a significant group × hemisphere interaction, separate ANCOVAs controlling for age and sex were
calculated for each hemisphere for the respective modalities. We applied a two-tailed level of significance and Bonferroni correction for the number of tests performed for each tract, resulting in a level of significance of $p < 0.0125$ ($0.05/4$). Effect sizes were reported using $\eta^2$ (Olejnik and Algina, 2003). To complement our analysis of white matter microstructure, additional exploratory ANCOVAs controlling for age and sex were performed to compare the diffusion measures MD, RD, and AD.

### 2.4.2. Associations with anhedonia and depression severity

We explored associations between hedonic tone (FCPS total score) and depression severity (HAMD total score) with the measures of MFB structural connectivity and VTA FC (extracted from the significant cluster localised in the PFC) that differed significantly between groups. Given the lack of variation in HAMD scores in the control group, correlations between HAMD scores and further variables were limited to the patient group. Correlations with the FCPS total scores were calculated across both groups. We applied a two-tailed significance level of $p < 0.05$.

### 3. Results

Patients and controls did not differ in terms of age, sex, and handedness. Patients had a mean score of $21.69 \pm 5$ on the HAMD-21 (range 10–35, median 22), suggesting moderate to severe depression (see Supplementary Fig. S4). All but nine patients were on antidepressant medication at the time of the MRI scan. Eight percent of the patients had a history of electroconvulsive therapy (ECT), and 7% had a history of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) treatment. The demographics of patients and controls are displayed in Table 1.

### 3.1. Structural connectivity of the MFB

Patients had reduced tract volume (patients: $1943 \pm 650$ mL, calculated for each hemisphere for the respective modalities. We applied a two-tailed level of significance and Bonferroni correction for the number of tests performed for each tract, resulting in a level of significance of $p < 0.0125$ ($0.05/4$). Effect sizes were reported using $\eta^2$ (Olejnik and Algina, 2003). To complement our analysis of white matter microstructure, additional exploratory ANCOVAs controlling for age and sex were performed to compare the diffusion measures MD, RD, and AD.
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**Fig. 2. Group comparison of tract volume and number of tracts of the sMFB.** Boxplots and interquartile ranges are displayed for patients (orange) and controls (green) for the left and right sMFB. Patients had reduced tract volume and fewer tracts in the left sMFB compared to controls. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
controls 2400 ± 585 mL, F (1, 74) = 9.00, p = 0.004, η² = 0.108) and fewer tracts (patients: 96 ± 47, controls: 128 ± 48, F (1, 74) = 7.619, p = 0.007, η² = 0.093) in the left sMFB compared with controls. Tract volume and number of tracts of the right sMFB did not differ between the two groups (see Table 2). There were no differences in the sMFB regarding tract length or FA (see Supplementary Fig. S5 and S6). Patients and controls did not differ in FA, tract volume, number of tracts, and tract length of the imMFB (see Table 2, Table 3, and Supplementary Fig. S7 and S8). There were no significant differences in the imMFB or sMFB in our exploratory analysis of further diffusion properties (see Supplementary Tables S1 and S2, and Supplementary Fig. S6 and S8).

3.2. Functional connectivity of the VTA and the NAcc

There were significant group differences with increased FC in patients between the VTA and the PFC in a cluster localised in the right medial FPC bordering the OFC (AAL: frontal superior medial; peak [MNI]: 2, 54, 08; p-FWE = 0.026; cluster size: 95 voxels). Results are displayed using the applied voxel threshold of p < 0.001 and for visualisation purposes of p < 0.01. A false discovery rate (FWE) correction of p < 0.05 was used.

from the cluster localised in the right medial PFC (r = −0.351, p = 0.003). Depression severity was negatively correlated with both tract volume (r = −0.283, p = 0.036) and number of tracts (r = −0.268, p = 0.049) of the left sMFB, but not with VTA-PFC FC (r = −0.029, p = 0.841) (see Figs. 4 and 5).

4. Discussion

This is the first study to combine analyses of FC in the VTA with tractography of the MFB to explore their role in anhedonia and depression severity in unipolar depression. We identified reduced tract volume and fewer tracts in the left sMFB in unipolar depression. In addition, we found increase in FC between the VTA and right medial PFC. Reduced tract volume and fewer tracts in the left sMFB were associated with increased depression severity. Similarly, a low hedonic tone (anhedonia) was associated with decreased tract volume. Conversely, low hedonic tone was associated with increase in FC between the VTA and right medial PFC.

Comparing the white matter microstructure and tract geometry of the MFB, we found alterations in structural connectivity in the sMFB, but not in the imMFB, highlighting the specific role of the sMFB in depression pathophysiology (Bracht et al., 2015a; Coenen et al., 2012; Denier et al., 2020). We identified a volume reduction of the left sMFB, showing a medium to large effect size (η² = 0.108). Our results suggest that the identified reduction in tract volume stems from a reduced number of tracts (tract length did not differ significantly between groups). Due to the lack of specificity of diffusion-weighted measures.
regarding biological sub-compartments, we can only speculate about its biological correlates. It is possible that white matter atrophy or loss of neurones may drive the reduction in tract volume and number of tracts. This assumption is in line with both post-mortem findings of depression and animal models of stress (Banasr et al., 2011; Pittenger and Duman, 2008; Tham et al., 2011). Another explanation is that subtle FA reductions at the margins of the tracts (e.g., due to reduced integrity of myelin) led to a reduced number of reconstructed fibre tracts. However, in contrast to tract volume and number of tracts, none of the diffusion properties (FA, MD, RD, and AD) that were averaged across the entire tract differed between groups.

Our negative finding of diffusion properties is in line with a previous tractography study comparing the FA of the sIMFB between never-depressed women and women with depression remittance (Bracht et al., 2015a). Furthermore, in contrast to the findings of reduced FA in the sIMFB in unipolar melancholic depression (Bracht et al., 2014), a previous tractography study in bipolar depression did not find alterations in FA in the sIMFB, even though tract length was reduced in the patient group (Denier et al., 2020). Thus, it is possible that geometric tract measures (e.g., tract volume, number of tracts, and tract length) and mean diffusion properties have the potential to identify distinct and independent alterations of tract structural connectivity (Denier et al., 2020; Kubicki et al., 2019). Therefore, future studies should incorporate both measures in their analyses (Bracht et al., 2021). Furthermore, additional research is warranted to advance our understanding of alterations in the structural connectivity of the sIMFB that are specific for depression subtypes, or dependent on chronicity or psychopathology (e.g., melancholia) (Bracht et al., 2015b).

There were no group differences in the measures of white matter microstructure or measures of fibre geometry in imMFB. This is in line with an ROI study investigating FA of imMFB in unipolar depression (Blood et al., 2010) and a tractography study in women with depression remittance (Bracht et al., 2015a). In addition, a tractography study in unipolar depression investigating FA of the adjacent nigrostriatal tract did not yield significant group differences, and there were no alterations in FA in patients with unipolar melancholic depression in a segment.

Fig. 4. Correlations between structural connectivity, hedonic tone, and depression severity. FCPS, Fawcett–Clark Pleasure Scale; HAMD, Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression; sIMFB, supero-lateral medial forebrain bundle.
incorporating the imMFB (VTA-NAcc segment), even though FA was reduced in segments of the slMFB (VTA-OFC and VTA-dlPFC segments) (Bracht et al., 2014). Thus, additional studies are needed to explore the role of the imMFB (MacNiven et al., 2020). Ideally, future studies should apply advanced tractography methods to improve the reconstruction of this small pathway (Guo et al., 2021).

Decreases in tract volume and number of tracts of the left slMFB were associated with increased depression severity and decreased hedonic tone. This suggests that anhedonia may stem from reduced structural connectivity of the slMFB, which is in line with previous findings by our group (Bracht et al., 2014; Denier et al., 2020). In contrast to the decrease in slMFB structural connectivity, we found increase in FC between the VTA and a cluster localised in the right medial PFC in close proximity to the medial OFC in unipolar depression. The identified increase in FC were more pronounced in patients with more severe anhedonia. This is in line with the findings of a 7-Tesla VTA FC study in patients with unipolar depression (Morris et al., 2019). This is also consistent with animal models linking VTA hyperactivity to depression symptomatology (Cao et al., 2010; Friedman et al., 2016; Han and Nestler, 2017). The medial OFC plays an essential role in experiencing pleasure (Kringelbach, 2005) and may therefore be implicated in the pathophysiology of depression (Rolls et al., 2020). Its activity reflects a representation of rewards, which in turn contributes to decision-making processes that involve more anterior regions, such as the medial PFC (Rolls, 2019). Our finding of increased FC between the VTA and right medial PFC may therefore reflect compensatory hyperactivity in patients with severe anhedonia. Hyperactivity of the medial PFC may normalise following successful antidepressant treatment (Drevets, 2007). This suggests a putative role for medial PFC activity as a marker of depression status. Additional longitudinal studies are required to investigate this hypothesis.

A compensatory mechanism of the slMFB in severe treatment-resistant depression has been suggested, based on observations that deep brain stimulation (DBS) of the slMFB leads to rapid clinical improvements in patients with treatment-resistant depression (Coenen et al., 2019; Fenoy et al., 2016; Fenoy et al., 2018; Slhaepfer et al., 2013). The efficacy of tractography-guided stimulation of the slMFB may stem from the activation of corticofugal glutamatergic pathways from the OFC to the VTA, which in turn activates corticopetal dopaminergic projections from the VTA to the PFC (via the slMFB and/or the imMFB) (Fenoy et al., 2021). Our finding of increased FC between the VTA and the PFC in severely depressed unipolar patients indirectly supports this model, assuming a compensatory mechanism in this patient subgroup. It is also possible that DBS of the slMFB induces normalisation of pathological hyperactivation of the VTA, a process that has been linked to reductions in anhedonia and depressive symptoms in animal models (Cao et al., 2010; Friedman et al., 2016).

This study has several limitations that must be considered. First, the general limitations of tractography apply (e.g., no conclusions can be drawn on the directionality of fibre tracts [afferent or efferent fibres] and interconnections [mono- or polysynaptic connections] cannot be resolved, and no statements on neurotransmitters [e.g., dopaminergic or glutamatergic connections] can be made). Second, resting-state MRI acquisition is subject to several limitations, such as differences in subjective experiences and motion artefacts (Weinberger and Radulescu, 2016). To reduce these limitations, all participants were instructed not to ruminate during resting-state fMRI data acquisition. To minimise motion artefacts, we excluded outliers of mean-DVARS and mean-FD. We performed scrubbing of outlier volumes regarding global BOLD signal or FD, and FC group comparisons were controlled for mean-DVARS and mean-FD. Third, our sample consisted of mainly moderate to severely depressed patients with a history of several depressive episodes and a chronic course. Thus, the results cannot be generalised to patients with less chronic depression (e.g., patients with first episode). Fourth, even though the lifetime prevalence of depression is higher in women, the sex distribution of our sample was even. This may be due to the exclusion of patients with comorbidities that are more frequent in women such as anxiety disorders (McLean et al., 2011)) and because the sex gap diminishes with age and chronicity of depression (Eaton et al., 2008; Kessler et al., 1993). Fifth, the vast majority of our patients were medicated, including a high percentage of patients receiving lithium. Thus, our results may have been influenced by the impact of medication on white matter microstructure (Seiger et al., 2021). However, a recent longitudinal multisite study did not find an impact of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) intake on diffusion properties (Davis et al., 2019). Furthermore, lithium intake has been suggested to preserve the integrity of the white matter microstructure (Espanhol and Vieira-Coelho, 2021). Therefore, such an impact would mitigate rather than strengthen our finding of reduced structural connectivity of the left slMFB. Finally, lithium intake may affect MRI signals. However, while such an impact on voxel-based morphometry is discussed (Vernon and Hajek, 2013), this may not apply to volumetric measures of white matter (Cousins et al., 2013).

In summary, our study provides additional evidence for the core role...
of the sMFB in the pathophysiology of depression (Bracht et al., 2014; Denier et al., 2020). Our results point to reduced structural connectivity of the left sMFB in unipolar depression, contributing to anhedonia and depression severity. Increase in FC between the VTA and PFC may represent a physiological marker of anhedonia, a dysregulated top-down regulation, or a compensatory mechanism for anhedonia in severe depression. Our results shed further light on the role of the human MFB in depression pathophysiology, which is important for understanding why DBS of the sMFB is effective in treatment-resistant depression (Fenoy et al., 2021; Schlaepfer et al., 2013).
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