Multicritical point of the three-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge Higgs model
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We investigate the multicritical behavior of the three-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge Higgs model at the multicritical point (MCP) of its phase diagram, where one first-order transition line and two continuous Ising-like transition lines meet. The duality properties of the model determine some features of the multicritical behavior at the MCP located along the self-dual line. Moreover, we argue that the system develops a multicritical $XY$ behavior at the MCP, which is controlled by the stable $XY$ fixed point of the three-dimensional multicritical Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson field theory with two competing scalar fields associated with the continuous $\mathbb{Z}_2$ transition lines meeting at the MCP. This implies an effective enlargement of the symmetry of the multicritical modes at the MCP to the continuous group O(2). We also provide numerical results to support the multicritical $XY$ scenario.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.105.165138

I. INTRODUCTION

The three-dimensional (3D) $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge Higgs model is one of the simplest gauge theories with matter fields that shows a nontrivial phase diagram characterized by the presence of a topological phase (see, e.g., Refs. [1–21]). The model can also be related to the quantum two-dimensional toric model in the presence of external magnetic fields by a quantum-to-classical mapping [1,9,12] and to a statistical ensemble of membranes [7,13].

A notable feature of the model [1,4,5] is the existence of a duality transformation, which relates the free energy at different points of the phase diagram [1,3–5]. A particular line in the phase diagram, which will play an important role in the following, is the self-dual line which is left invariant by the duality transformation. In Fig. 1 we sketch the phase diagram of the model in the space of the Hamiltonian parameters [they are defined in Eq. (1)]. It presents a topologically ordered deconfined phase, delimited by two continuous Ising transition lines that are related by duality. In the context of two-dimensional quantum systems, such a topological ordered phase is realized in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ spin liquids [22–27], which is the phase of matter realized by the toric code [9]. Moreover, the 3D $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge Higgs model presents a first-order transition line running along the self-dual line for a limited range of the Hamiltonian parameters [6,8,12].

The available numerical results are consistent with the existence of a multicritical point (MCP), where the first-order transition line and the two continuous Ising transition lines meet (see, e.g., Refs. [12,19]). Assuming the existence of the MCP, an interesting question concerns the nature of the multicritical behavior. This issue was recently investigated in Ref. [19], which reported apparently puzzling results, leading to estimates of the critical exponents that are consistent with those of the $XY$ universality class. This may suggest that the multicritical behavior at the MCP is controlled by the 3D $XY$ fixed point, with an effective enlargement of the symmetry of the multicritical modes to the continuous O(2) group. This scenario was considered unlikely in Ref. [19] because of the unclear relationship between the multicritical $XY$ behavior and the mutual statistics of the condensing quasiparticles [11,12,28,29] along the two distinct Ising transition lines meeting at the MCP. These mutual statistics do not affect critical exponents on the Ising lines because only one of the two excitations is massless on them, but both excitations must become massless at the MCP. Therefore, it is not clear how their competition can give rise to the effective enlargement of the symmetry at the MCP, as required by the $XY$ universality class. We also mention that the emergence of an $XY$ multicritical behavior at the MCP has been also suggested in Ref. [30], addressing the electromagnetic self-duality properties in systems related to the toric model.

In this paper we investigate the multicritical behavior at the MCP. We argue that the multicritical behavior is controlled by the stable $XY$ fixed point of the 3D multicritical Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson (LGW) field theory with two competing scalar fields associated with the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ transition lines meeting at the MCP [31–35]. Duality properties play a crucial role in the realization of the multicritical $XY$ scenario, which implies an effective enlargement of the symmetry of the multicritical modes to the continuous symmetry group O(2). To provide further support for this scenario, we also report some numerical finite-size scaling (FSS) analyses of data from Monte Carlo (MC) simulations.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we present the 3D lattice $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge Higgs model and summarize the known features of its phase diagram. In Sec. III we discuss the multicritical theory appropriate for the MCP and apply the multicritical LGW field theory to predict a multicritical $XY$ behavior. In Sec. IV we report some numerical results supporting the multicritical $XY$ scenario, obtained with FSS.
analyses of MC simulations. Finally, in Sec. V we draw our conclusions.

II. THE $Z_2$ GAUGE HIGGS MODEL

A. Hamiltonian and duality transformations

We consider a lattice gauge model with $Z_2$ gauge invariance defined on a cubic 3D lattice with periodic boundary conditions. The fundamental variables are Ising spins $s_x = \pm 1$ defined on the lattice sites and Ising spins $\sigma_{x,\mu} = \pm 1$ defined on the bonds ($\sigma_{x,\mu}$ is associated with the bond starting from site $x$ in the $\mu$ direction, $\mu = 1, 2, 3$). The model is defined by the lattice Hamiltonian \[ H = -J \sum_{x,\mu} s_x \sigma_{x,\mu} s_{x+\mu} - K \sum_{x,\mu>\nu} \Pi_{x,\mu,\nu}, \]

(1)

\[ \Pi_{x,\mu,\nu} = \sigma_{x,\mu} \sigma_{x+\nu,\mu} \sigma_{x+\nu,\nu} \sigma_{x,\nu}. \]

(2)

The corresponding partition function and free-energy density are

\[ Z = \sum_{\{s,\sigma\}} e^{-\beta H (J, K)}, \quad F (J, K) = -\frac{T}{L^d} \ln Z, \]

(3)

where $\beta = 1/T$ is the inverse temperature and $L^d$ is the volume of the system. This paper considers only three-dimensional systems, and therefore, $d = 3$. However, when arguments are independent of the space dimension, we keep $d$ generic. In the following, energies are measured in units of $T$, which is equivalent to fixing $\beta = 1$ in Eq. (3).

The model can be simplified by considering the so-called unitary gauge. Indeed, the site variables $s_x$ can be eliminated by redefining $\sigma_{x,\mu}$ as

\[ s_x \sigma_{x,\mu} s_{x+\mu} \rightarrow \sigma_{x,\mu}, \]

(4)

Correspondingly, the partition function can be written as

\[ Z = \sum_{\{\sigma\}} e^{-H_{\text{ug}} (J, K)}, \]

(5)

\[ H_{\text{ug}} = -J \sum_{x,\mu} \sigma_{x,\mu} - K \sum_{x,\mu>\nu} \Pi_{x,\mu,\nu}. \]

(6)

An important property of the 3D lattice $Z_2$ gauge Higgs model is the existence of a duality mapping \[ \text{[3]} \] between the Hamiltonian parameters that leaves the partition function unchanged modulo a regular function of the parameters. If \[ (J', K') = \left( -\frac{1}{2} \ln \tanh K, -\frac{1}{2} \ln \tanh J \right), \]

(7)

we have \[ F (J', K') = F (J, K) - \frac{1}{2} \ln \sinh (2J) \sinh (2K). \]

(8)

We can also define a self-dual line,

\[ D (J, K) = J - J' = J + \frac{1}{2} \ln \tanh K = 0, \]

(9)

where the duality transformation maps the model to itself, i.e., $J' = J$ and $K' = K$. Note that $D (J, K)$ is odd under the duality mapping $(J, K) \rightarrow (J', K')$, i.e., $D (J, K) = -D (J', K')$.

B. The phase diagram

Some features of the phase diagram are well established (see, e.g., Refs. \[4,12,19\]). A sketch of the phase diagram is shown in Fig. 1. For $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ an Ising transition occurs at $J_{Is} = 0.221654626(5)$. By duality, in the pure $Z_2$ gauge model a transition occurs at the corresponding point, $J = 0$ and \[ \kappa_c = -\frac{1}{2} \ln \tanh J_{Is} = 0.761413292(11). \]

(10)

Two Ising-like continuous transition lines, related by the duality transformation (7), start from these points \[4\] and intersect along the self-dual line \[19\]. Moreover, some numerical studies \[8,12\] have provided evidence of first-order transitions along the self-dual line in the relatively small interval \[0.688 \lesssim \kappa \lesssim 0.753, \quad 0.258 \gtrsim J \gtrsim 0.226. \]

(11)

Since the first-order transition line is limited to an interval along the self-dual line, there are only two phases, separated by the two continuous transition lines (see Fig. 1). For small $J$ and large $\kappa$ there is a topological deconfined phase. The remaining part of the phase diagram corresponds to a single phase that extends from the disordered small-$\left(J, K\right)$ region to the whole large-$\kappa$ region. In particular, no phase transition occurs along the line $\kappa = 0$, where the model (6) becomes trivial.

A natural conjecture is that the first-order and two continuous Ising transition lines meet at the same point located along the self-dual line, giving rise to a MCP. Numerical results \[12,19\] are consistent with this conjecture. In particular, Ref. \[19\] reported evidence of a critical transition point along the self-dual line—we identify it with the MCP—with critical parameters $\kappa^* \approx 0.7526$ and $J^* \approx 0.2257$. The corresponding critical exponents are close to, and substantially consistent with, those associated with the $XY$ universality class \[34,35,37,38\]. In spite of these results, Ref. \[19\] considered an $XY$ multicritical behavior to be unlikely. In this paper, we discuss the issue and give additional theoretical
and numerical arguments that support the hypothesis that the MCP belongs to the XY universality class.

We finally note that the first-order transition line starting from the MCP ends at $J \approx 0.258$ and $\kappa \approx 0.688$. This end point is expected to correspond to a continuous transition belonging to the Ising universality class. This was verified in Ref. [19] (see Appendix C therein).

III. MULTICRITICAL BEHAVIOR

As discussed above, the phase diagram of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge Higgs model shows a MCP, where a first-order and two continuous transition lines meet (this MCP is usually called bicritical [31–33]). In the following, we first discuss the expected behavior of the model close to the MCP on the basis of the renormalization-group (RG) theory. Then, we discuss a LGW field theory characterized by two interacting local real scalar fields [31–33,35], which may describe the multicritical behavior.

A. Multicritical scaling theory

At a MCP, the singular part of the free-energy density can be written as

$$F_{\text{sing}}(J, \kappa, L) = L^{-d} F(|u_1L^y|), \quad (12)$$

where $u_i$ are the nonlinear scaling fields and the RG exponents $y_i$ are ordered so that

$$y_1 > y_2 > y_3 > y_4 > \cdots . \quad (13)$$

In the present model, we expect two relevant RG perturbations. Therefore, $y_1$ and $y_2$ are positive, and the corresponding scaling fields $u_1$ and $u_2$ vanish at the MCP. The exponents $y_i$, with $i \geq 3$, are instead negative and control the corrections to the multicritical behavior. All the scaling fields $u_i$ are analytic functions of the model parameters $J$ and $\kappa$. In the infinite-volume limit and neglecting subleading corrections, we can rewrite the singular part of the free-energy density as

$$F_{\text{sing}}(J, \kappa) = |u_2|^{d/\phi} F_{\pm}(X), \quad (14)$$

$$X \equiv u_1|u_2|^{-\phi}, \quad \phi \equiv y_1/y_2 > 1, \quad (15)$$

where the functions $F_{\pm}(X)$ apply to the parameter regions in which $\pm u_2 > 0$ and $\phi$ is the so-called crossover exponent associated with the MCP. Close to the MCP, the transition lines follow the equation

$$X = u_1|u_2|^{-\phi} = \text{const}, \quad (16)$$

with a different constant for each transition line. Since $\phi > 1$, they are tangent to the line $u_1 = 0$.

The duality mapping (7) and, in particular, Eq. (8) imply the relation

$$F_{\text{sing}}(J', \kappa') = F_{\text{sing}}(J, \kappa). \quad (17)$$

Then, if we set

$$u'_1 = u_1(J', \kappa'), \quad u'_2 = u_2(J', \kappa'), \quad (18)$$

using Eq. (14), we obtain the equality

$$|u_2|^{d/\phi} F_{\pm}(u_1|u_2|^{-\phi}) = |u'_2|^{d/\phi} F_{\pm}(u'_1|u'_2|^{-\phi}). \quad (19)$$

Since along the self-dual line $u_1 = u'_1 = 0$, this relation can be satisfied only if $|u_2| = |u'_2|$. If we then expand the scaling function $F_{\pm}(X)$ in powers of $X$, Eq. (19) implies $u'_2 = (u'_1)^m$ for all values of $m$ such that the derivative

$$\frac{d^m F_{\pm}(X)}{dX^m} \bigg|_{X=0} \quad (20)$$

is nonvanishing. This condition can be satisfied only if $u_1$ changes at most by a sign under duality. As we shall argue below, $u_1$ is odd under duality, i.e., $u'_1 = -u_1$. In this case, we should additionally require $F_{\pm}(0) = 0$ for any odd $m$: the functions $F_{\pm}(X)$ are even in $X$.

To show that $u_1$ is odd, note that, as discussed in Sec. II B, the first-order transition line runs along the self-dual line (9) ending at the MCP located at

$$J = J^*, \quad \kappa = \kappa^* = -\frac{1}{2} \text{ln tanh } J^*. \quad (21)$$

This transition line is expected to coincide [31–33] with the line $u_1 = 0$, close to the MCP. Since the self-dual line is given by $D(J, \kappa) = 0$, we can make the identification

$$u_1 = D(J, \kappa) \quad (22)$$

close to the MCP. As noted before, $D(J, \kappa)$ is odd under duality. The scaling field $u_2$ is then necessarily even under duality and is therefore given by

$$u_2(J, \kappa) = -J + J^* + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{ln tanh } \kappa}{\text{tan } \kappa^*}. \quad (23)$$

The scaling fields can be straightforwardly linearized, leading to

$$u_1 \approx \Delta J + c \Delta \kappa, \quad u_2 \approx -\Delta J + c \Delta \kappa, \quad (24)$$

where

$$\Delta J = J - J^*, \quad \Delta \kappa = \kappa - \kappa^*, \quad c = \frac{1}{\text{sinh}(2J^*)} \approx 0.467. \quad (25)$$

In terms of $u_1$ and $u_2$, close to the MCP the first-order transition line corresponds to $X = 0$, $u_2 < 0$. The two continuous transition lines are defined by $X = \pm k$ with $u_2 > 0$.

Using the above results, we can also predict how the latent heat $\Delta \delta$ vanishes along the first-order transition line when approaching the MCP. A straightforward scaling argument [39] gives

$$\Delta \delta \sim |u_2|^\theta, \quad \theta = \frac{d - y_1}{y_2}. \quad (26)$$

Note that this scaling behavior is the same as that of the magnetization $M$ at the Ising transition, with the correspondence $y_1 = y_b$ and $y_2 = 1/v$: $M$ indeed vanishes as $M \sim |T - T^c|^\beta$, with $\beta = (d - y_b)v$ (see, e.g., Ref. [34]).

B. Scaling of the energy cumulants

Because we are considering a lattice gauge theory and therefore we cannot easily access the order parameters associated with the phase transitions, we focus on the
multicritical behavior of the energy operators. We define

\[ H_I = \sum_{x, \mu} \sigma_{x, \mu}, \quad H_\kappa = \sum_{\lambda, \mu, \nu} \Pi_{x, \mu, \nu}, \]

\[ H = -JH_I - \kappa H_\kappa. \tag{27} \]

We consider the cumulants

\[ C_{nm} = -L^d \frac{\partial^{n+m}}{\partial \kappa^n \partial \mu^m} F(J, \kappa, L), \tag{28} \]

where \( F \) is the free-energy density. For \( n + m \leq 3 \), \( C_{nm} = M_{nm} \), where \( M_{nm} \) are the central moments defined by

\[ M_{nm} = \langle (H_I - E_J)^n (H_\kappa - E_\kappa)^m \rangle, \tag{29} \]

with \( E_J = \langle H_I \rangle \) and \( E_\kappa = \langle H_\kappa \rangle \). For \( n + m \geq 4 \), central moments and cumulants differ. For instance, \( C_{40} = M_{40} - 3M_{31}^2 \).

Using the cumulants \( C_{nm} \), we can easily construct the cumulants \( K_n \) of the total energy \( H \), defined by the derivatives of \( \ln Z \) with respect to \( \beta \) [see Eq. (3)]. For example, we have

\[ K_2 = J^2 C_{20} + 2J \kappa C_{11} + \kappa^2 C_{02}, \]
\[ K_3 = -(J^3 C_{30} + 3J^2 \kappa C_{21} + 3J \kappa^2 C_{12} + \kappa^3 C_{03}), \tag{30} \]

etc. Note that the specific heat is given by \( C_V = K_2 / V \).

In the following, we consider periodic boundary conditions, which preserve the duality property in finite-size systems. Using Eq. (8) and taking the appropriate derivatives with respect to \( J \) and \( \kappa \), we can obtain an infinite series of exact relations among the expectation values \( E_J \) and \( E_\kappa \) and the cumulants \( C_{nm} \) at \( (J, \kappa) \) and at the corresponding duality-transformed couplings \( (J', \kappa') \) [see Eq. (7)]. Along the self-dual line where \( (J, \kappa) = (J', \kappa') \), they turn into an infinite series of exact relations among expectation values of cumulants computed on the self-dual line. The lowest-order cumulants satisfy the relations

\[ E_\kappa + \sinh(2J)E_J - 3 \cos(2J)L_1^3 = 0, \tag{31} \]
\[ \sinh(2J)C_{20} - C_{02} - 2 \cos(2J)E_\kappa + 6L_3^3 = 0. \tag{32} \]

Relations for higher-order cumulants are more cumbersome. Neglecting the regular terms arising from the second term on the right-hand side of Eq. (7), third-order cumulants satisfy the relations

\[ C_{12} + \sinh(2J)C_{21} + 2 \cos(2J)C_{11} \approx 0, \tag{33} \]
\[ C_{03} + \sinh^3(2J)C_{30} + 6 \cos(2J)C_{02} + 2 \cos(4J)E_\kappa \approx 0. \]

The scaling behavior of the cumulants \( C_{nm} \) can be derived by differentiating the asymptotic scaling relation

\[ F_{\text{sing}}(J, \kappa, L) \approx L^{-d} f(x_1, x_2), \quad x_i = u_i L^5, \tag{34} \]

where we keep only the relevant RG contributions. Note that the duality relation (8) for the free energy and the duality properties of \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \) imply that

\[ f(-x_1, x_2) = f(x_1, x_2). \tag{35} \]

Introducing the derivatives

\[ f_{n,m}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\partial^{n+m} f(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_1^n \partial x_2^m}, \tag{36} \]

the leading critical contribution is generally given by

\[ C_{nm}(J, \kappa, L) \approx u_{1,J}^n u_{1,\kappa}^m \Xi^{(n+m)\gamma} f_{n+m,0}(x_1, x_2), \tag{37} \]

where \( u_{1,J} \) and \( u_{1,\kappa} \) are the derivatives of \( u_1 \) with respect to \( J \) and \( \kappa \). The cumulants of the total energy are expected to develop an analogous scaling behavior, i.e.,

\[ K_n(J, \kappa, L) \approx L^{\gamma_n} K_n(x_1, x_2). \tag{38} \]

Along the self-dual line \( u_1 = 0 \), the duality symmetry leads to some cancellations as a consequence of Eq. (35). For even \( n + m \), the leading terms of the cumulants \( C_{nm} \) are given by

\[ C_{nm}(J, \kappa, L) \approx u_{1,J}^n u_{1,\kappa}^m L^{(n+m)\gamma} f_{n+m,0}(0, x_2) \]
\[ \approx e^m L^{(n+m)\gamma} f_{n+m,0}(0, x_2) \tag{39} \]

Note that Eq. (39) is consistent with the exact relations derived from duality, such as Eq. (32). For odd \( n + m \), the relation (35) implies that

\[ f_{n+m,0}(0, x_2) = 0. \tag{40} \]

Therefore, the leading scaling behavior is obtained by differentiating once with respect to \( u_2 \). Thus, for odd \( n + m \) we obtain

\[ C_{nm} \approx L^{(n+m-1)\gamma_1+\gamma_2} f_{n+m-1,1}(0, x_2) \]
\[ \times (n u_{1,J}^n u_{1,\kappa}^m u_{2,J} + m u_{1,J}^n u_{1,\kappa}^m u_{2,\kappa}), \]
\[ \approx (m - n) e^m L^{(n+m-1)\gamma_1+\gamma_2} f_{n+m-1,1}(0, x_2), \tag{41} \]

where \( u_{2,J} \) and \( u_{2,\kappa} \) are the derivatives of \( u_2 \) with respect to \( J \) and \( \kappa \), respectively. Using these asymptotic behaviors along the self-dual line and relations (30), we can also derive the corresponding asymptotic FSS of the cumulants \( K_n \) of the total energy, which behave as

\[ K_n \approx L^{\gamma_n} \tilde{K}_n(x_2) \quad \text{for even } n, \tag{42} \]
\[ K_n \approx L^{(n-1)\gamma_1+\gamma_2} \tilde{K}_n(x_2) \quad \text{for odd } n. \tag{43} \]

It is also useful to consider combinations whose cumulants have definite properties under duality transformations. We define \[ A = H_I - \sinh(2\kappa)H_\kappa, \tag{44} \]
\[ S = H_\kappa + \sinh(2\kappa)H_I. \tag{45} \]

Since

\[ \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial J} + \sinh(2\kappa) \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial \kappa} = 0, \]
\[ \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial J} - \sinh(2\kappa) \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial \kappa} = 0, \tag{46} \]

one can easily check that the cumulants \( A_n \) of operator \( A \), defined in Eq. (44), do not receive contributions associated with the scaling field \( u_1 \). Therefore, they generally scale as

\[ A_n \approx L^{\gamma_n} A_n(x_1, x_2), \quad A_n = (-2)^n f_{0,n}(x_1, x_2). \tag{47} \]

The cumulants \( S_n \) of operator \( S \) behave as

\[ S_n \approx L^{\gamma_n} S_n(x_1, x_2), \quad S_n = 2^n f_{0,n}(x_1, x_2). \tag{48} \]
Along the self-dual line, however, this diverging behavior is not observed for odd $n$ since $f_{n0}(0, x_2) = 0$; thus, $S_n$ is expected to diverge as $L^{(n-1)\nu_1}$.

We finally note that the above scaling equations assume that the leading contribution is due to the singular part of the free energy. However, contributions due to the regular free-energy term, of order $L^d$, may provide the leading contribution for the lowest-order cumulants, depending on the values of the RG exponents $y_1$ and $y_2$.

### C. Multicritical field theory

The results in Secs. IIIA and IIIB rely on only the existence of a duality transformation and make no assumption about the nature of the MCP. To go further and make more quantitative predictions, it is crucial to understand the nature of the order parameters. Along the finite-$J$ transition line that ends at $\kappa = \infty$, the order parameter is expected to be a local function of the $s_x$ fields, which should correspond to the Ising magnetization. Of course, because of gauge invariance, any rigorous definition requires the introduction of an appropriate gauge fixing, which, however, would not change any gauge-invariant correlation function (in Ref. [40] this approach was used to obtain rigorous results for the phase behavior of the $U(1)$ Abelian-Higgs model). The order parameter for the $Z_2$ gauge theory is instead expected to be nonlocal, and indeed, the transition has a topological nature. Apparently, this observation seems to indicate that one cannot use standard symmetry arguments to understand the critical behavior at the MCP, as they assume that the order parameters are coarse-grained local functions of the microscopic fields.

We wish now to argue that, at the MCP (and only there), because of duality, we can assume that both order parameters are local. Strictly speaking, duality is only a mapping of the Hamiltonian parameters, but here, we will enlarge its role and assume that duality provides a mapping for all RG operators. Essentially, let us assume that we are working in the infinite-dimensional space of Hamiltonians on which the RG transformations act [41]. If we start from a $Z_2$ gauge Hamiltonian, under RG transformations, we will generate a flow towards a $Z_2$ gauge-invariant fixed point; however, starting from the usual Ising model, we will observe a flow towards the Wilson-Fisher $Z_2$ fixed point. The existence of an exact microscopic relation between the $Z_2$ gauge model and the Ising model allows us to conjecture that the two fixed points are equivalent, with the same set of RG dimensions and operators. In other words, there is a mapping (we call it duality) between all RG operators at the different fixed points. It is then plausible that this duality transformation maps the local order parameter of the Ising model to the nonlocal order parameter of the gauge model. The mapping changes the Hamiltonian parameters, except on the self-dual line and therefore at the MCP. Here, the mapping would imply the equivalence of the local and nonlocal order parameters for the same model. Therefore, it seems reasonable to describe the multicritical behavior in terms of two local quantities. We thus consider two different scalar fields $\phi_1(x)$ and $\phi_2(x)$, associated with the two transition lines.

To derive a Lagrangian for the effective model, we note that the theory should be invariant under a change in sign of both fields, so that only even powers of each field are allowed. Under these conditions the LGW Hamiltonian is [31–33]

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}[(\partial_\mu \phi_1)^2 + (\partial_\mu \phi_2)^2] + \frac{1}{4}(r_1 \phi_1^2 + r_2 \phi_2^2) + \frac{1}{4}[v_1 \phi_1^4 + v_2 \phi_2^4 + 2w \phi_1^2 \phi_2^2].$$  (49)

This model has been studied at length. In the mean-field approach [31–33], the field theory (49) admits a bicritical point analogous to the one appearing in Fig. 2. Moreover, if the transition is continuous, it should belong to the $XY$ universality class [31–35], thereby leading to an effective enlargement of the symmetry from $Z_2 \oplus Z_2$ to O(2).

Several field-theoretical and numerical works have determined the exponents $y_i$ entering the multicritical scaling ansatz (12) (see, e.g., Refs. [35,38]). As shown in Ref. [35], the leading exponents correspond to the RG dimensions at the isotropic $XY$ fixed point of quadratic and quartic perturbations that belong to different representations of the O(2) group. The leading RG exponent $y_1$ is associated with the quadratic spin-2 perturbation. The corresponding RG dimension is [35,38]

$$y_1 = 1.7639(11).$$  (50)

The second-largest exponent is associated with the spin-zero quadratic operator and is directly related to the correlation-length critical exponent at standard $XY$ transitions:

$$y_2 = \frac{1}{\nu_{xy}} = 1.4888(2),$$  (51)

where we used the estimate $\nu_{xy} = 0.6717(1)$ (see, e.g., Refs. [34,37,42–45] for theoretical results using various methods). Using the above results, we can estimate the crossover exponent,

$$\phi = y_1/y_2 = 1.1848(8).$$  (52)

Scaling corrections at the multicritical $XY$ point are controlled by the negative RG dimensions $y_i$. The most relevant ones are [35,38,44,46]

$$y_3 = -0.108(6),$$  (53)

$$y_4 = -0.624(10),$$  (54)

$$y_5 = -0.789(4).$$  (55)
which are related to the spin-4, spin-2, and spin-zero quartic perturbations, respectively. Note that, at standard \(XY\) transitions, corrections decay as \(L^{-\omega}\), with \(\omega = -\gamma_3 \approx 0.79\). At the MCP, scaling corrections decay much slower, as \(L^{\eta_2} \approx L^{-0.108}\), which may complicate the analysis of the universal multicritical \(XY\) behavior. Moreover, corrections with any integer combination of the subleading exponents are also expected, and thus, corrections \(L^{\eta_2}\) with \(n = 2, 3, \ldots\) should also appear.

In the LGW approach the analog of the duality mapping is the exchange of the two fields \(\phi_1 \rightarrow \phi_2, \phi_2 \rightarrow \phi_1\). The RG operators associated with the scaling fields \(u_i\) must have definite properties under these transformations. The leading operator of RG dimension \(\gamma_1\) is odd under the field exchange. This implies that \(u_1\) is odd under the simultaneous exchange of \(r_1\) and \(r_2\) and of \(v_1\) and \(v_2\). In the \(Z_2\) gauge Higgs model this implies that the scaling field \(u_1\) is odd under duality, in agreement with the arguments presented in Sec. III A. Analogously, we predict \(u_2\) is even, as already discussed. We can also predict the transformation properties of the irrelevant scaling fields: \(u_3\) and \(u_4\) are even functions under duality, while \(u_4\) is odd. In particular, there are no corrections with exponent \(\gamma_4\) on the self-dual line.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section we report some numerical results supporting our hypothesis of an emerging \(XY\) multicriticality at the MCP, as discussed in the previous sections. For this purpose, we present FSS analyses of MC simulations of the unitary-gauge model \(6\), using a standard Metropolis upgrading of the discrete spin link variables [47]. We consider cubic systems of size \(L\) with periodic boundary conditions.

We perform simulations along the self-dual line \(u_1 = 0\) and along the line \(u_2 = 0\), measuring the energy cumulants defined in Sec. III B. We verify the predicted FSS behavior, using the RG exponents \(\gamma_1 = 1.7639(11)\) and \(\gamma_2 = 1.4888(2)\) of the \(XY\) universality class. We should remark that the observation of the asymptotic scaling behaviors predicted by the multicritical \(XY\) scenario is made difficult by the existence of several sources of slowly decaying scaling corrections. The leading ones decay very slowly, as \(L^{\eta_2} \approx L^{-0.108}\), with \(n = 1, 2, \ldots\). Then, we should consider terms decaying as \(L^{-(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)} \approx L^{-0.20}\) (they are absent on the self-dual line because of Eq. (35)), as \(L^{-2(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)} \approx L^{-0.55}\), \(L^{-\gamma_1} \approx L^{-0.62}\) (they are absent along the self-dual line), and \(L^{-\gamma_2} \approx L^{-0.79}\). For \(m + n = 2\) also the regular background plays a role, giving rise to corrections of order \(L^{3-2\gamma_1} \approx L^{-0.53}\).

Along the self-dual line the scaling field \(u_1\) vanishes. Thus, according to the RG analysis reported in Sec. III B, we expect that the asymptotic scaling behavior of the energy cumulants depends on the FSS variable \(x_2 = u_2 L^{\gamma_2}\). Along the self-dual line the numerical FSS analyses of the energy cumulants \(K_n, A_n,\) and \(S_n\) are consistent with the predictions of the multicritical theory; see Eqs. (42) and (43) for the total energy, once the \(XY\) values of the RG exponents reported in Eqs. (50) and (51) are used. The most accurate estimate of the MCP point is obtained by biased analyses of the third cumulant \(A_3 \sim L^{3\gamma_2}\) of the operator \(A\) [see Eq. (44)] along the self-dual line, using the \(XY\) values for the exponents. Fitting the data to Eq. (47), we obtain

\[
\kappa^* = 0.7525(1), \quad J^* = 0.22578(5).
\]

This estimate of the MCP is consistent with the results reported in Ref. [19]. The analysis of the other cumulants gives consistent results.

The accuracy of the description in terms of the multicritical \(XY\) predictions is demonstrated by the scaling plots of the data of the cumulants using the \(XY\) exponents and the estimates (56). In Fig. 3 we show data for the third cumulant \(K_3\) of the Hamiltonian, which is expected to scale with the power law \(L^{2\gamma_1+\gamma_2}\) [see Eq. (43)]. We observe a reasonably good scaling: scaling corrections are hardly visible within the statistical errors. Note that, according to the multicritical \(XY\) scenario, we expect slowly decaying corrections with exponent \(y_1 \approx 0.11\) [see Eq. (53)]. We do not observe them here. In our range of values of \(L, L^{\gamma_2}\) varies only slightly, and thus, it is conceivable that they do not affect the divergent behavior of the observables, only the accuracy of the scaling functions. In Fig. 4 we report the scaling plots of \(A_1\) and \(A_2\). Data are again in good agreement with the theoretical predictions for their asymptotic scaling behavior [see Eqs. (47)]. We do not report the second cumulant \(A_2\), whose singular part should scale as \(L^{3\gamma_1}\). Since \(2y_2 \approx 2.9775 < 3\), its behavior is dominated by the regular contribution, which scales as the volume \(L^3\).

In addition to checking the consistency of the numerical data with the multicritical \(XY\) scenario, we can perform unbiased fits to determine \(\gamma_1\) and \(\gamma_2\). If we fit the third and fourth cumulants of the Hamiltonian (they should scale as \(K_3 \sim L^{2\gamma_1+\gamma_2}\) and \(K_4 \sim L^{4\gamma_1}\), respectively), we obtain \(2\gamma_1 + y_3 = 5.0(1)\) and \(4\gamma_1 + y_4 = 7.0(1)\), which are consistent with the predictions \(2\gamma_1 + y_2 \approx 5.02\) and \(4\gamma_1 \approx 7.06\). The exponent \(y_2\) can also be estimated from \(A_n\). We obtain \(y_2 = 1.495(10)\) and \(y_3 = 1.48(2)\) from \(A_3\) and \(A_4\), respectively. The agreement with the conjectured \(XY\) values is quite good.

We also performed simulations along the \(u_2 = 0\) line [see Eq. (23)] using the estimate \(\kappa^* = 0.7525\) obtained from the FSS analyses along the self-dual \(u_1 = 0\) line. Along the \(u_2 = 0\) line, the asymptotic FSS of the cumulants of the total energy...
is that given in Eq. (38), i.e.,

\[ K_n \approx L^{-\nu_1} K_n(x_1, 0). \]  

(57)

Note that, for odd \( n \), consistency with the FSS behavior along the self-dual line [see Eq. (43)] requires \( K_n(0, 0) = 0 \). The data are plotted in Fig. 5. We observe a nice collapse of the data, again fully supporting the multicritical \( XY \) scenario.

Finally, we also check the scaling behavior of the third cumulants of \( A \) and \( S \) along the \( u_2 = 0 \) line in Fig. 6. The scaling behavior of the cumulants of \( A \) is given in Eq. (47). It depends on \( f_{0n}(x_1, 0) \), which is always an even function of \( x_1 \). The data shown in the top panel in Fig. 6 are definitely consistent within the errors. As for the cumulants of \( S \), they scale as reported in Eq. (48). Relation (35) implies that the odd (even) cumulants are odd (even) functions of \( x_1 \). Again, this is confirmed by the data shown in the bottom panel in Fig. 6. In particular, the ratio \( S_3/L^{3\nu_1} \) is consistent with zero at the critical point \( x_1 = 0 \).

Note that statistical errors of the MC simulations along the \( u_2 = 0 \) line increase significantly in the region \( x_1 \gtrsim 1 \). The link update algorithm for the model (6) indeed becomes less efficient as \( \kappa \) and \( J \) are increased. Autocorrelation times increase by more than one order of magnitude, likely due to a different dynamic regime related to the presence of relevant nonlocal configurations, which are hardly modified by local moves.

The results we have presented here complement those reported in Ref. [19], which already provided remarkable evidence of the multicritical \( XY \) behavior (although the authors were quite skeptical about its interpretation in terms of multicritical \( XY \) behavior). In particular, their estimates for the multicritical exponents \( \nu_1 = 1.778(6) \) and \( \nu_2 = 1.495(9) \) (other compatible, but less precise, results were also reported in Refs. [11,14]) substantially agree with the \( XY \) predictions (50) and (51). The small difference in the estimate of \( \nu_1 \) can easily be explained by the very slowly decaying scaling corrections predicted by the multicritical \( XY \) scenario, which make precise determination of the universal asymptotic quantities very hard. The leading one vanishes as \( L^{-0.108} \), so that to reduce it by a factor of 2, the lattice size must be increased by a factor of 600, which is unattainable in practice.

Overall, we believe that the numerical results presented in this paper and those already reported in Ref. [19] provide strong evidence of the multicritical \( XY \) scenario put forward in the previous sections.
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We exploited duality to identify the scaling fields associated with the relevant RG perturbations at the MCP and

outlined the corresponding multicritical scaling behaviors. Moreover, we presented arguments supporting the identification of the multicritical universality class with the one controlled by the stable XY fixed point of the 3D multicritical LGW field theory (49), with two competing scalar fields associated with the continuous \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) transition lines meeting at the MCP.

This identification relies on the following assumptions:

(1) The multicritical behavior is due to the competition between the two order parameters associated with the Ising transition lines meeting at the MCP. The relevant symmetry group along each line is \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \), so the MCP effective theory should have an effective \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \) global symmetry.

(2) The two independent \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) order parameters can be represented by local real scalar fields close to the MCP.

The first hypothesis does not require much discussion because the two transition lines belong to the Ising universality class. The second hypothesis is the crucial one and was justified heuristically in Sec. III C. These two assumptions imply the LGW multicritical theory discussed in this paper, which is consistent with the phases expected around the MCP. The analysis of its RG flow leads to the prediction that, if the transition at the MCP is not of first order, then its multicritical behavior should belong to the XY universality class. The XY nature of the MCP implies an effective enlargement of the symmetry of the multicritical modes to the continuous group \( O(2) \).

The prediction of an XY multicritical behavior was tested against numerical simulations. We reported an extensive numerical FSS study of several energy cumulants along two different lines in the phase diagram. The numerical results are in good agreement with an XY MCP. We believe that our numerical results, together with those already reported in the literature (see, in particular, Ref. [19]) provide strong evidence in favor of the multicritical XY scenario.

We finally mention that this scenario was deemed unlikely in Ref. [19] because it was not clear how to formulate a consistent microscopic model for the multicritical behavior with XY symmetry. In their view, the apparent agreement of the numerical estimates with the XY results was a mere coincidence. We believe this opinion is implausible in view of the present results, which are all consistent with an XY behavior with remarkable accuracy. Of course, this picture calls for a deeper understanding of the mechanisms that combine the local and nonlocal critical modes of the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) gauge Higgs model to give rise to the multicritical XY behavior, entailing an effective enlargement of the symmetry at the MCP to the continuous group \( O(2) \).

V. CONCLUSIONS

We studied the multicritical behavior of the 3D \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) gauge Higgs model at the MCP, where one first-order transition line and two continuous Ising transition lines meet, as sketched in Fig. 1. The duality properties of the model play a key role in the phase diagram and in determining the main features of the multicritical behavior at the MCP located on the self-dual line.

We exploited duality to identify the scaling fields associated with the relevant RG perturbations at the MCP and

outlined the corresponding multicritical scaling behaviors. Moreover, we presented arguments supporting the identification of the multicritical universality class with the one controlled by the stable XY fixed point of the 3D multicritical LGW field theory (49), with two competing scalar fields associated with the continuous \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) transition lines meeting at the MCP.

This identification relies on the following assumptions:

(1) The multicritical behavior is due to the competition between the two order parameters associated with the Ising transition lines meeting at the MCP. The relevant symmetry group along each line is \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \), so the MCP effective theory should have an effective \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \) global symmetry.

(2) The two independent \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) order parameters can be represented by local real scalar fields close to the MCP.

The first hypothesis does not require much discussion because the two transition lines belong to the Ising universality class. The second hypothesis is the crucial one and was justified heuristically in Sec. III C. These two assumptions imply the LGW multicritical theory discussed in this paper, which is consistent with the phases expected around the MCP. The analysis of its RG flow leads to the prediction that, if the transition at the MCP is not of first order, then its multicritical behavior should belong to the XY universality class. The XY nature of the MCP implies an effective enlargement of the symmetry of the multicritical modes to the continuous group \( O(2) \).

The prediction of an XY multicritical behavior was tested against numerical simulations. We reported an extensive numerical FSS study of several energy cumulants along two different lines in the phase diagram. The numerical results are in good agreement with an XY MCP. We believe that our numerical results, together with those already reported in the literature (see, in particular, Ref. [19]) provide strong evidence in favor of the multicritical XY scenario.

We finally mention that this scenario was deemed unlikely in Ref. [19] because it was not clear how to formulate a consistent microscopic model for the multicritical behavior with XY symmetry. In their view, the apparent agreement of the numerical estimates with the XY results was a mere coincidence. We believe this opinion is implausible in view of the present results, which are all consistent with an XY behavior with remarkable accuracy. Of course, this picture calls for a deeper understanding of the mechanisms that combine the local and nonlocal critical modes of the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) gauge Higgs model to give rise to the multicritical XY behavior, entailing an effective enlargement of the symmetry at the MCP to the continuous group \( O(2) \).
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