Star products made (somewhat) easier

V.G. Kupriyanov* and D.V. Vassilevich†
Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo,
Caixa Postal 66318, CEP 05315-970, S.P. Brazil

November 3, 2008

Abstract

We develop an approach to the deformation quantization on the real plane with an arbitrary Poisson structure which based on Weyl symmetrically ordered operator products. By using a polydifferential representation for deformed coordinates \( \hat{x}_j \) we are able to formulate a simple and effective iterative procedure which allowed us to calculate the fourth order star product (and may be extended to the fifth order at the expense of tedious but otherwise straightforward calculations). Modulo some cohomology issues which we do not consider here, the method gives an explicit and physics-friendly description of the star products.

1 Introduction

The modern history of deformation quantization started with the paper [1], see [2] for an overview. An important ingredient of the deformation quantization program is a construction of a star product. One takes the algebra \( A \) of smooth functions on a Poisson manifold equipped with a Poisson structure \( \omega \) and deforms \( A \) to \( A_\omega \) by introducing a star product in such a way that the star commutator of any two functions mimics in the leading order of the \( \omega \)-expansion the Poisson bracket between these functions. The existence of deformation quantization of symplectic manifolds was demonstrated in [3], and in [4] it was shown that over any symplectic manifold exists a Weyl manifold. In 1997 Kontsevich [5] demonstrated the existence of a star product on any smooth Poisson manifold and presented a formula which, in principle, allowed to calculate such a product. However, if one needs the star product beyond the second order of the expansion the formulae of Kontsevich are not very useful since there is no regular method to
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calculate the integrals involved. Besides, there is another (psychological) reason to look for a more simple formulation of the star product. The machinery of the Formality Theorem is a bit too heavy to be easily digested by a considerable part of the physics community. Also note that the Formality Theorem actually gives much more than just a star product. Therefore, when the hard part of the job is already done, one can start looking for a formulation of star products in a more physical language admitting a simpler computational algorithm for higher orders of the expansion of star products.

In this paper we develop an approach based on the symmetric ordering of the operators $\hat{x}^j$ which represent deformed Cartesian coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^N$. We require that $\hat{x}^j$ satisfy the main commutation relation $[\hat{x}^j, \hat{x}^k] = 2\alpha \hat{\omega}^{jk}(\hat{x})$ (with $\alpha$ being a formal deformation parameter) and construct a 1-polydifferential representation for $\hat{x}^j$. The main advantage of this approach is the existence of an iterative procedure in $\alpha$ such that the order $(n - 1)$ in $\hat{\omega}$ allows to calculate the order $n$ in $\hat{x}$, which in turn defines the order $n$ of $\hat{\omega}$. Besides, we operate with objects which are familiar from quantum mechanics. Some elements of this construction can be found scattered in the literature. Behr and Sykora [6] used the Weyl ordered operator products to construct generic star products on $\mathbb{R}^N$, but without constructing a polydifferential representation for $\hat{x}^j$. Technical difficulties did not allow them to go beyond the second order of the expansion. Particular realization of generators as formal power series is a very natural tool in the case of linear Poisson structure (Lie algebras), which was effectively used to analyze star products [7–11].

Computations of the third order star product were done in [12] by using the Hochschild cohomologies and in [13] by changing variables in the Moyal formula. Our results to this order are in perfect agreement with [12]. Precise relations of our star product to that of [13] are less clear to us, but at least we do not see any contradictions.

We like to stress, that in our procedure the calculations of higher orders of the star product go without much intellectual effort, except for solving the consistency condition at odd orders (for which we cannot present any generic algorithm). However, in some cases (e.g., two-dimensional real plane or linear Poisson structure) the consistency condition is solved automatically, and calculations may proceed up to an arbitrary high order of the expansion.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section we consider the Weyl ordered operator products and a star product. The iterative procedure of calculations of the star product is formulated in section 3, and actual calculations are contained in section 4. Last section contains conclusions and discussion. Some useful formulae are collected in the Appendix.
2 The Weyl map and the star product

Consider a set of noncommuting operators $\hat{x}^i$, $j = 1, \ldots, N$ and a function $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^N$ which can be expanded in the Taylor series around zero,

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{(n)}_{i_1 \ldots i_n} x^{i_1} \ldots x^{i_n}$$

with $x^i$ being Cartesian coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^N$. We associate to this function a symmetrically (Weyl) ordered operator function $\hat{f}(\hat{x})$ according to the rule

$$\hat{f}(\hat{x}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{(n)}_{i_1 \ldots i_n} \sum_{P_n} \frac{1}{n!} P_n(\hat{x}^{i_1} \ldots \hat{x}^{i_n}),$$

where $P_n$ are all permutations of $n$ elements. We shall also use another notation,

$$\hat{f} \equiv W(f).$$

There is another, more convenient form of the Weyl ordering. Let $\tilde{f}(p)$ be a Fourier transform of $f$,

$$\tilde{f}(p) = \int d^N x \, f(x) e^{i p x^i}.$$

Then

$$\hat{f}(\hat{x}) = \int \frac{d^N p}{(2\pi)^N} \tilde{f}(p) e^{-i p \alpha \hat{x}^m}.$$

(More on various orderings and corresponding integral representations see in [14]).

Consider a skew-symmetric matrix-valued function $\omega^{ij}(x)$. Let us now impose a commutation relation on the operators $\hat{x}$:

$$[\hat{x}^i, \hat{x}^j] = 2\alpha \omega^{ij}(\hat{x}),$$

where $\alpha$ is a formal expansion parameter. In the physics literature $\alpha = i\hbar/2$. This equation serves both as a constraint on the star-product commutator and as a definition of the deformed Poisson structure, order by order in $\alpha$, see below for details. The commutation relation (6) yields a consistency condition

$$[\hat{x}^i, \omega^{jk}(\hat{x})] + \text{cycl.}(ijk) = 0.$$

We shall look for a representation of the operators $\hat{x}$ as polydifferential operators in the form of an $\alpha$-expansion,

$$\hat{x}^i = x^i + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma^{i(n)}(\alpha, x) (\alpha \partial)^n,$$
where
\[ \Gamma^{i(n)}(\alpha, x) = \Gamma^{i_{1}...i_{n}}(\alpha, x) \] (9)
and each \( \Gamma \), in turn, is expanded in \( \alpha \)
\[ \Gamma^{i(n)}(\alpha, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{k} \Gamma_{k}^{i(n)}(x) . \] (10)

We define a star product as
\[ W(f \ast g) = W(f) \cdot W(g). \] (11)

This product is associative due to associativity of the operator products. For a constant \( \omega^{ij} \) the formula (11) is very well known from quantum mechanics [15]. For a linear Poisson structure this is in essence the Gutt’s construction [16, 17]. For arbitrary \( \omega^{ij}(x) \) this star product was used in [6].

We also like to keep the unity of the algebra of functions undeformed, yielding
\[ f \ast 1 = f, \text{ or } W(f) \cdot 1 = f. \] (12)

The equations (11) and (12) yield the following formula
\[ (f \ast g)(x) = W(f)g(x) = \hat{f}(\tilde{x})g(x) , \] (13)
where the right hand side means an action of a polydifferential operator on a function.

Note that neither \( x^{j} \) nor unit function are Schwarz class. They do not belong to the algebra we are going to deform, but rather to the algebra of multipliers (see [18]). Of course, it is important that they stay in the multiplier algebra also after the deformation. However, since we shall work with formal expansions only, these subtleties will be ignored.

There is an obvious symmetry of this construction which changes the sign on \( \alpha \), \( \alpha \rightarrow -\alpha \), and reverses the order of the operators. (This is nothing else than the complex conjugation if one remembers that \( \alpha = i\hbar/2 \) is, in fact, imaginary). This symmetry interchanges \( f \) and \( g \) in (11). A consequence of this symmetry is that even orders in \( \alpha \) are symmetric with respect to interchanging \( f \) and \( g \), while the odd orders are antisymmetric. Note, that only the deformations of \( \mathcal{A} \) which have this property are usually regarded as deformation quantizations.

The matrix-valued function \( \omega^{ij}(x) \) is also expanded in a power series in \( \alpha \), and the \( \alpha^{0} \) term is a Poisson structure, i.e., \( \omega^{ij}_{0} \) satisfies the Jacobi identity
\[ \omega^{ki}_{0}\partial_{j}\omega^{ij}_{0} + \omega^{jl}_{0}\partial_{i}\omega^{ij}_{0} + \omega^{il}_{0}\partial_{j}\omega^{ij}_{0} = 0 . \] (14)
Since the coefficient functions \( \Gamma^{i(n)} \) are contracted in (8) with partial derivatives only the part of \( \Gamma^{i(n)} \) which is symmetric in the last \( n \) indices contributes. From now on we assume that \( \Gamma^{i(n)} \) is symmetric in the last \( n \) indices.
The star product will be constructed by using an iterative procedure. One start with a zeroth order $\omega$ which may be an arbitrary Poisson structure and solves $(6)$ to the order $\alpha$ to obtain the leading order of the coefficient functions $\Gamma$ and of the operators $\hat{x}^j$. Then, by using these operators, one constructs a Weyl ordered $\hat{\omega}^{ij}(\hat{x})$ in terms of $\omega_0$, substitutes it to the consistency condition (7) and finds corrections to the $\omega_0$. This corrections measure the failure of $\omega_0$ to be a Poisson bivector. (Until rather high orders in $\alpha$ the condition (7) is satisfied automatically and no corrections to $\omega$ appear). Then one repeats the procedure with this new $\omega$ in the next order in $\alpha$. Note, that higher order corrections to $\omega$ are expressed through $\omega_0$, so that at the end we arrive at a star product depending on the Poisson bivector $\omega_0$.

Note, that the existence of the representation of the star product we are looking for is not obvious. Our procedure gives a constructive proof of the existence which is valid to a certain order in $\alpha$ generically, and to all orders in the particular cases mentioned above.

There is an alternative set of notations invented in [20] for the Moyal product. Using this set may simplify the formulae to some extent. We, however, stick to our notations to avoid misprints.

3 General properties of the expansion

3.1 Expansion of $\hat{x}$

Consider the restrictions on the coefficient functions $\Gamma$ imposed by the condition (12). This condition is equivalent to the requirement

$$W(x^i_1 \ldots x^i_n) \cdot 1 = x^{i_1} \ldots x^{i_n}$$

(15)

for all monomials of the coordinates and in all orders in $\alpha$. Note, that the right hand side of (15) does not depend on $\alpha$. Consequently, all contributions to the left hand side higher than zeroth order in $\alpha$ must vanish. It is easy to see, that this, in turn, is equivalent to vanishing of totally symmetric parts of all $\Gamma$,

$$\Gamma^{(i_1 \ldots i_k)} = 0 .$$

(16)

Or, the contraction of each $\Gamma^{(n)}$ with $n + 1$ commuting vectors $p_i$ vanishes,

$$p_ip_{i_1} \ldots p_{i_k} \Gamma^{i_1 \ldots i_k} = 0 ,$$

(17)

For brevity, by somewhat stretching the terminology, we shall call this condition the tracelessness condition.

One can probably show generically the existence of the Weyl representation of star product by combining the results of [7, 19]. We do not pursue this way since our emphasis is on constructive aspects.
Let us now turn to the consequences of the commutation relation (6). As we shall see below, the relation (6) allows to express
\[ \Gamma_{k[i_1]i_2...i_p} \equiv \Gamma_{k[i_1]i_2...i_p}^i - \Gamma_{k[i_1]i_2...i_p}^{i_1} \]  
with \( k + p = n \) in terms of the lower order \( \Gamma \)'s. It is convenient to expand the right hand side of (6) as
\[ \hat{\omega}^{ij}(\hat{x}) = \hat{\omega}^{ij}_n + o(\alpha^n). \]  
where \( \hat{\omega}_n \) is of the order \( \alpha^n \). We also introduce corresponding expansions for \( \hat{x} \) as
\[ \hat{x}^i_n = \hat{x}^i_n + o(\alpha^n), \quad \hat{x}^i_{n+1} = \hat{x}^i_n + \alpha^{n+1}\gamma^i_{n+1}. \]  
Suppose, one has already calculated the expansion of \( \hat{x} \) up to the order \( \alpha^n \), i.e
\[ [\hat{x}^i_n, \hat{x}^j_n] = 2\alpha\hat{\omega}^{ij}_{n-1} + o(\alpha^n). \]  
Next we check the consistency condition (7) in the order \( \alpha^n \):
\[ \left[ \hat{x}^k_n, \hat{\omega}^{ij}_n \right] + \left[ \hat{x}^j_n, \hat{\omega}^{ki}_n \right] + \left[ \hat{x}^i_n, \hat{\omega}^{jk}_n \right] = o(\alpha^n). \]  
To the lowest orders this equation is satisfied automatically for any Poisson bivector \( \omega^{ij} \). In the higher orders it does not, and (22) must be considered as a condition on non-Poisson corrections to \( \omega^{ij} \). Solving this equation is a rather nontrivial part of the procedure. This part will be considered separately in sec. 3.2. For the time being we assume that corresponding corrections are constructed and (22) is satisfied.

In order to construct the next, \( (n+1) \)th order in the decomposition we have to solve the following equation
\[ [\hat{x}^i_{n+1}, \hat{x}^j_{n+1}] = 2\alpha\hat{\omega}^{ij}_n + o(\alpha^{n+1}). \]  
Or,
\[ [\hat{x}^i_n + \alpha^{n+1}\gamma^i_{n+1}, \hat{x}^j_n + \alpha^{n+1}\gamma^j_{n+1}] = 2\alpha\hat{\omega}^{ij}_n + o(\alpha^{n+1}) \]
which implies
\[ [\hat{x}^i_n, \hat{x}^j_n] + \alpha^{n+1}\gamma^{[ij]}_{n+1} = 2\alpha\hat{\omega}^{ij}_n + o(\alpha^{n+1}), \]
where
\[ \gamma^{[ij]}_{n+1} \equiv \sum_{p+k=n+1} p\Gamma_{k[i_1]i_2...i_p}^{[ij]} \partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_p}. \]
Therefore, the antisymmetric part of \( \Gamma_{n+1} \) is determined from the equation
\[ \alpha^{n+1}\gamma^{[ij]}_{n+1} = C^{ij}_{n+1} + o(\alpha^{n+1}), \]
where
\[ C^{ij}_{n+1} = 2\alpha\hat{\omega}^{ij}_n - [\hat{x}^i_n, \hat{x}^j_n] + o(\alpha^{n+1}). \]
From this equation $G^{ij}_{n+1}$ is defined up to terms $o(\alpha^{n+1})$. We do not include any higher order terms in $G^{ij}_{n+1}$, so that $G^{ij}_{n+1} \sim \alpha^{n+1}$. The operators $G^{ij}_{n+1}$ can be expanded as

$$G^{ij}_{n+1} = \sum_p G^{ij}_{n+1} \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k \ldots \partial_p.$$  

(28)

The coefficient functions in this expansion are antisymmetric in $i, j$ and symmetric in $i_2, \ldots, i_p$ by the construction, and they also have the following property.

**Lemma 1** The functions $G^{ij}_{n+1} \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k \ldots \partial_p$ obey the cyclic condition

$$G^{ij}_{n+1} + \text{cycl.}(ij) = 0.$$  

(29)

**Proof.** From (22) one has

$$[\hat{x}^k, 2\alpha \hat{\omega}^{ij}_n] + \text{cycl.}(kij) = o(\alpha^{n+1}),$$  

(30)

or, using (27),

$$[\hat{x}^k, G^{ij}_{n+1} + [\hat{x}^i, \hat{x}^j]_n] + \text{cycl.}(kij) = o(\alpha^{n+1}).$$  

(31)

The equation

$$[\hat{x}^k, [\hat{x}^i, \hat{x}^j]_n] + \text{cycl.}(kij) = 0$$  

holds true at all orders of $\alpha$, including the order $\alpha^{n+1}$. Therefore, from (31) one obtains

$$[\hat{x}^k, G^{ij}_{n+1}] + \text{cycl.} = 0.$$  

(33)

Next one substitutes the expansion (28) in (33), calculates the commutator, and uses the symmetry of $G^{ij}_{n+1}$ in the last $p-1$ indices to complete the proof. 

Because of the symmetry of $G^{ij}_{n+1} \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k \ldots \partial_p$ in the last $p-1$ indices, the cyclic conditions holds for permutations of $(i, j, i_k)$ for any $k = 2, \ldots, p$.

Now we are able to construct $\Gamma_{ij\ldots k}$ and thus the operator $\hat{x}$ to the order $\alpha^{n+1}$ by symmetrising the functions $G^{ij\ldots k}_{n+1}$. The equations which should be satisfied by the functions $\Gamma$ in order to solve the commutation relation (23) read

$$G^{ij\ldots k}_{n+1} = \alpha^{n+1} p \Gamma^{ij\ldots k}_{n+1}, \quad k + p = n + 1.$$  

(34)

A solution to these equations is given by the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2** The tensors

$$\Gamma^{ij\ldots k}_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha^{-(n+1)}}{p(p+1)} \left( G^{ij\ldots k}_{n+1} + G^{ij\ldots k}_{n+1} + \cdots + G^{ij\ldots k}_{n+1} \right)$$  

(35)

are symmetric in the last $p$ indices, satisfy the equation (34) and the tracelessness condition (16).
**Proof.** The symmetry follows by the construction, and the tracelessness is a consequence of the antisymmetry of $G_{n+1}^{j_1i_2...i_p}$ in the first two indices. To prove the remaining assertion, let us consider antisymmetrized in $j$ and $i_1$ combinations of the tensors entering the right hand side of (34).

\[ G_{n+1}^{j_1i_2...i_p} - G_{n+1}^{i_1j_2...i_p} = 2G_{n+1}^{j_1i_2...i_p} \]

due to the antisymmetry of $G$ in the first two indices.

\[ G_{n+1}^{j_1i_2...i_p} - G_{n+1}^{i_1j_2...i_p} = G_{n+1}^{j_1i_2...i_p} \]

due to the cyclic condition in $j, i_1, i_2$. Remaining $p - 2$ combinations are treated similarly, and the assertion follows immediately. \(\blacksquare\)

This Lemma implies that the tensors (35) are indeed the coefficient functions of the expansion of $\hat{x}^j$ we are looking for.

For the notational convenience we define $\star_k$ which is a part of the star product having the order $\alpha^k$.

\[ f \star g = \sum_{k=0}^{n} f \star_k g + O(\alpha^{n+1}). \quad (36) \]

To evaluate the star product and $\hat{\omega}(\hat{x})$ to a given order of $\alpha$ we shall need an effective tool to calculate an $\alpha$ expansion of the Weyl-ordered operator $\hat{f}(\hat{x})$ for any given $f$ and a given expansion of $\hat{x}$. To this end we shall use the integral representation (5) and the Duhamel formula

\[ e^{A+B} = e^A + \int_0^1 e^{(A+B)s}B e^{(1-s)A}ds. \quad (37) \]

Here $A + B = -ip_i \hat{x}^i$, $A = -ip_i x^i$ and $B = -ip_i (\hat{x}^i - x^i)$. Therefore, $B$ is of the order $\alpha^1$, and $A$ is of the order $\alpha^0$, but, because of the property (17), each commutator is at least one order higher, $[B, A] = O(\alpha^2)$, $[[B, A], A] = O(\alpha^3)$, $[[[B, A], A], A] = O(\alpha^4)$. By using these rules, one easily finds,

\[ e^{A+B} = e^A \left( 1 + B + \frac{1}{2} [B, A] + \frac{1}{2} B^2 \right. \]
\[ + \frac{1}{6} [[B, A], A] + \frac{1}{3} [B, A] B + \frac{1}{6} B [B, A] + \frac{1}{6} B^3 \]
\[ + \frac{1}{24} [[[B, A], A], A] + \frac{1}{8} [[B, A], A] B + \frac{1}{8} [B, A]^2 \]
\[ + \frac{1}{24} B [[B, A], A] + \frac{1}{8} [B, A] B^2 + \frac{1}{12} B [B, A] B \]
\[ + \frac{1}{24} B^2 [B, A] + \frac{1}{24} B^4 \left) + O(\alpha^5). \right. \quad (38) \]

\(^2\)The word tensor here is just a short hand notation for an object with many indices. No particular transformation properties with respect to any group are assumed
The general strategy of calculations of the $\alpha$-expansion of the star product is as follows. Starting with an $n$th order operators $\hat{x}^j$ one calculates the operator $\hat{\omega}^{ij}(\hat{x}_n)$ and the operators $G_n^{ij}$ from the formula (27). The next order operators are the constructed by using Lemma 2. Then one has to check the consistency condition at this order and calculate corrections to $\omega$, if needed (see sec. 3.2). Apart from the corrections to $\omega$, the procedure goes automatically and is even suitable for a computer.

3.2 Corrections to $\omega$

Here we give a short overview of what happens if corrections to $\omega$ are needed. A more detailed discussion can be found in sec. 4.4 below where we deal with a particular case of the third order star product. Suppose we have completed our construction at some order $n$, i.e., we have operators $\hat{x}^j_n$ fulfilling the relation (21). Next, we take the function $\omega_{n-1}$ and construct the corresponding Weyl ordered operator $\hat{\omega}^{ij}(\hat{x}_n)$ and the star product $\tilde{*}_n$, where the twiddle means that we still have to check the consistency condition (22) at the order $n$. Let us assume for simplicity that at all lower orders no corrections to $\omega$ appeared, i.e., that all lower order consistency conditions were satisfied by $\omega^{ij}_0$. Let us denote $\tilde{*} \equiv \ast_1 + \cdots + \ast_{n-1} + \tilde{*}_n$ and compute

$$x^i \tilde{x} \omega^{jk} - \omega^{jk} \tilde{x} x^i + \text{cycl}(ijk).$$

(39)

If the expression (39) is $o(\alpha^n)$ for $\omega = \omega_0$, no corrections are needed at this order as well. If the expression (39) contains some $\alpha^n$ terms (lower order terms vanish due to the lower order consistency conditions), we have to correct $\omega$ and, consequently, the star product. It is easy to see, that no correction to $\omega$ at the order $\alpha^n$ will do the job. We must correct $\omega$ in the order $\alpha^{n-1}$, so that $\omega = \omega_0 + \alpha^{n-1} \omega_{n-1}$. This looks very dangerous for the whole approach, since we are going to correct the order we have already constructed. However, this is not so. Let us consider more closely what is going on. The only effect the correction to $\omega$ at the order $\alpha^{n-1}$ has on the operators $\hat{x}_n$ is that now the part $\Gamma_n^{ij} = \omega_n^{ij} - \omega_{n-1}^{ij}$. The star product is modified in the $n$-th order only, $\tilde{*}_n \rightarrow \ast_n$,

$$f \ast_n g = f \tilde{x}_n g + \alpha^n \omega_n^{ij} \partial_i f \partial_j g.$$

(41)

This formula is related to the well known ambiguity in the star products (see, e.g., [12]). If the star product $\tilde{*}$ is associative up to $\alpha^{n+1}$ terms, then the star product $\ast$ is also associative up to $\alpha^{n+1}$ independently of the choice of $\omega_{n-1}^{ij}$. Therefore, both products are legitimate star products. The only difference is that $\tilde{*}$ cannot be extended to the next order with our methods, while $\ast$ can. If
we need a star product to the order \( n \) only, we can as well ignore all corrections coming from the \( n \)th and higher order consistency conditions.

Another problem is to find actually an expression for \( \omega_{n-1}^{ij} \) which solves the consistency condition. Here we shall not attempt to present any method of solving the consistency condition for \( \omega_{n-1}^{ij} \) or even analyze the existence of a solution for the following reasons. The equation for \( \omega_{n-1}^{ij} \) appearing in our approach are practically identical to that coming from the Hochschild cohomologies [12]. Studying relations between our operator algebra approach and Hochschild cohomologies is an interesting problem on its own right, and we are going to address it in a separate work. For practical purposes, to calculate the star product up to the fifth order, one only needs to solve a single non-trivial consistency condition at the third order. This can be done directly, see sec. 4.4. Besides, third order consistency conditions have been already analyzed in [12] in a different formalism.

4 Calculation of the star product

4.1 First order star product

As a warm up, let us calculate the zeroth and first orders of the \( \alpha \)-expansion of the star product. In the zeroth order, the condition (6) reads \([\hat{x}^i, \hat{x}^j] = 0\), so that we have an undeformed commutative algebra, \( \hat{x}^j = x^j \), and, as expected,

\[
f \star_0 g = f \cdot g. \tag{42}\]

To the first order in \( \alpha \) the expansion (8) reads

\[
\hat{x}^i = x^i + \alpha \Gamma_0^{ij} (x) \partial_j + O (\alpha^2). \tag{43}\]

By substituting this expansion in (6) and keeping only the terms which are linear in \( \alpha \), we obtain

\[
\Gamma_0^{ij} = \Gamma_0^{ij} (x) - \Gamma_0^{ji} (x) = 2\omega^{ij} (x), \tag{44}\]

which implies

\[
\Gamma_0^{ij} (x) = \omega^{ij} (x) + S_0^{ij} (x). \tag{45}\]

Note, that to this order in \( \alpha \) the Weyl ordering is trivial, \( \hat{\omega}(\hat{x}) = \omega(x) \). The symmetric part \( S_0^{ij} \) is eliminated by the tracelessness condition (16), \( S_0^{ij} = 0 \), and

\[
\Gamma_0^{ij} (x) = \omega^{ij} (x). \tag{46}\]

The Duhamel formula (37) gives

\[
e^{-ip_m \hat{x}^m} = e^A + e^A B + O (\alpha^2)
= e^{-ip_m x^m} - i\alpha e^{-ip_m x^m} p_j \omega^{jk} (x) \partial_k + O (\alpha^2). \tag{47}\]
By using the equation (13) we immediately obtain
\[ f \star_1 g = \alpha \omega^{ij} \partial_i f \partial_j g, \] (48)
and the expansion
\[ \hat{\omega}^{ij}(\hat{x}) = \omega^{ij}(x) + \alpha \partial_i \omega^{ij}(x) \omega^{lk}(x) \partial_k + O(\alpha^2), \] (49)
which will be used below to calculate the next order star product.

The consistency condition (7) to this order
\[ (x^k \star_1 \omega^{ij} - \omega^{ij} \star_1 x^k) + \text{cycl.}(kil) = 0 \] (50)
yields the Jacobi identity (14), i.e., \( \omega = \omega_0 \) is a Poisson bivector. We shall drop the subscript 0 from the notations whenever this cannot lead to a confusion.

4.2 Second order star product

As is seen from the equation (49), at the order \( \alpha^1 \) the operator \( \hat{\omega}^{ij} \) is a first order differential operator with a vanishing zeroth order part. Consequently, \( \gamma^i_2 \) does not have a first order part, and we may write
\[ \hat{x}^i_2 = x^i + \alpha \omega^{ij}(x) \partial_j + \alpha^2 \Gamma_0^{ijk}(x) \partial_j \partial_k. \] (51)
The commutation relation (21) with \( n = 1 \) yields
\[ 2\alpha^2 \Gamma_0^{[ij]k} = G_2^{ilk} = 2\alpha^2 \left( \omega^{jk} \partial_j \omega^{il} + \frac{1}{2} \omega^{lj} \partial_j \omega^{ik} - \frac{1}{2} \omega^{ij} \partial_j \omega^{lk} \right). \] (52)
The cyclic condition
\[ G_2^{ilk} + G_2^{kil} + G_2^{lki} = 0 \] (53)
can easily be checked. It is equivalent to the Jacobi identity on \( \omega^{ij} \). With the help of this identity we can rewrite (52) as
\[ G_2^{ilk} = \alpha^2 \omega^{jk} \partial_j \omega^{il}. \] (54)
According to the general prescription of Lemma 2
\[ \alpha^2 \Gamma_0^{ilk} = \frac{1}{6} \left( G_2^{ilk} + G_2^{kli} \right), \]
or,
\[ \Gamma_0^{ilk} = \frac{1}{6} \omega^{jk} \partial_j \omega^{il} + \frac{1}{6} \omega^{jl} \partial_j \omega^{ik}. \] (55)
Obviously, \( \Gamma_0^{ilk} \) obeys the tracelessness condition (16). Eq. (52) can be checked directly by using the Jacobi identity.
Now we are ready calculate star product up to the second order using the formula (13). First we calculate
\[ [B,A] = - \frac{\alpha^2}{3} p_i p_k \omega^{ji} \partial_j \omega^{kl} \partial_l + O (\alpha^3). \]

The decomposition (38) yields
\[ e^{-ip_i \hat{x}^i} = e^{-ip_m x^m} - i\alpha e^{-ip_m x^m} p_i \omega^{ij} (x) \partial_j \]
\[ - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} e^{-ip_m x^m} p_i p_k \omega^{ji} \omega^{kl} \partial_j \partial_l - \frac{\alpha^2}{3} e^{-ip_m x^m} p_i p_k \omega^{ij} \partial_j \omega^{kl} \partial_l \]
\[ + i \frac{\alpha^2}{6} e^{-ip_m x^m} p_k (\omega^{jk} \partial_j \omega^{il} + \omega^{jl} \partial_j \omega^{ik}) \partial_i \partial_l + O (\alpha^3). \]

From eq. (5), we have
\[ f (\hat{x}) = f (x) + \alpha \omega^{ij} \partial_i f \partial_j \]
\[ + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \omega^{ij} \omega^{kl} \partial_i \partial_k f \partial_j \partial_l + \frac{\alpha^2}{3} \omega^{ij} \partial_i \omega^{kl} \partial_j \partial_k \partial_l \]
\[ - \frac{\alpha^2}{3} (\omega^{jk} \partial_j \omega^{il} + \omega^{jl} \partial_j \omega^{ik}) \partial_k \partial_i \partial_l + O (\alpha^3). \]

And then, from (13) we obtain
\[ (f \ast g)(x) = \hat{f} (\hat{x}) g(x) = fg + \alpha \partial_i f \omega^{ij} \partial_j g \]
\[ + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \omega^{ij} \omega^{kl} \partial_i \partial_k f \partial_j \partial_l + \frac{\alpha^2}{3} \omega^{ij} \partial_i \omega^{kl} \partial_j \partial_k \partial_l \]
\[ - \frac{\alpha^2}{3} (\omega^{jk} \partial_j \omega^{il} + \omega^{jl} \partial_j \omega^{ik}) \partial_k \partial_i \partial_l + O (\alpha^3). \]

This expression coincides with the Kontsevich formula [5]. The same expression was re-derived from the Weyl-ordered operator products by Behr and Sykora [6]. Since the product (58) coincides with known ones, there is no need to check the consistency conditions (7) at this order. The consistency condition also follows from a more strong statement
\[ f \ast_2 g - g \ast_2 f = 0, \]

which is a consequence of the symmetry we described in sec. 2 and may be easily verified from (58). No correction to \( \omega \) appears, meaning that to this order \( \omega^{ij} = \omega^{0ij} \) is a Poisson structure.

As a preparation to the next order calculations we also write an expansion for \( \hat{\omega} \):
\[ \hat{\omega}^{ij} (\hat{x}) = \omega^{ij} + \alpha \omega^{kl} \partial_k \omega^{ij} \partial_l \]
\[ + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \omega^{nk} \omega^{ml} \partial_n \partial_m \omega^{ij} \partial_l + \frac{\alpha^2}{3} \omega^{nk} \partial_k \omega^{ml} \partial_n \partial_m \omega^{ij} \partial_l \]
\[ - \frac{\alpha^2}{3} (\omega^{nk} \partial_n \omega^{lm} + \omega^{nl} \partial_n \omega^{km}) \partial_m \omega^{ij} \partial_k \partial_l + O (\alpha^3). \]
4.3 Third order star product

The operator $\hat{\omega}$ in the order $\alpha^2$, eq. (60), as well as in the previous order, does not contain a part which is a zeroth order differential operator. Consequently, $\gamma^i_3$ does not contain zeroth or first order terms, and we may write

\[
\dot{x}_2^i + \gamma^i_3 = \dot{x}_3^i = x^i + \alpha \Gamma^i_0 (x) \partial_j + \alpha^2 \Gamma^{ijk}_0 (x) \partial_j \partial_k + \alpha^3 \left( \Gamma^{ijk}_1 (x) \partial_j \partial_k + \Gamma^{ijkl}_0 (x) \partial_j \partial_k \partial_l \right) + O (\alpha^4).
\]

(61)

The function $\Gamma^{ijk}_0$ is known, while $\Gamma^{ijk}_1$ and $\Gamma^{ijkl}_0$ have to be defined. By comparing (60) to the commutator of (61) we obtain (cf. (27))

\[
G^{ijk}_3 = \frac{\alpha^3}{3} \omega^{nl} \left( 2 \partial_l \omega^{mk} \partial_n \partial_m \omega^{ij} + \partial_l \omega^{mj} \partial_n \partial_m \omega^{ik} + \partial_l \omega^{mi} \partial_n \partial_m \omega^{kj} \right)
\]

(62)

and

\[
G^{ijkl}_3 = \alpha^3 \left( \omega^{nk} \omega^{ml} \partial_n \partial_m \omega^{ij} + \frac{1}{3} \omega^{kn} \partial_n \partial_m \omega^{ij} + \frac{1}{3} \omega^{lm} \partial_n \partial_m \omega^{ij}
\right.

\left. + \omega^{nk} \partial_n \omega^{ml} + \omega^{nm} \partial_m \omega^{ik} + \omega^{ml} \partial_m \omega^{nk} + \partial_n \partial_m \omega^{ij} \right).
\]

(63)

The cyclic identities (Lemma 1) can be verified directly as consequences of the Jacobi identity. According to Lemma 2 we have

\[
\Gamma^{ijk}_1 = \frac{1}{6} \omega^{nl} \partial_n \partial_m \omega^{ij} + \frac{1}{6} \omega^{nl} \partial_n \partial_m \omega^{ik}.
\]

(64)

and

\[
\alpha^3 \Gamma^{ijk}_0 = \frac{1}{12} \left( G^{ijk}_3 + G^{ikjl}_3 + G^{iljk}_3 \right).
\]

(65)

With the help of the commutators (85), the Duhamel formula (28), and the expressions (13), (62) - (65) we compute the third order star product.

\[
f \tilde{x}_3 g = \alpha^3 \left[ \frac{1}{3} \omega^{nl} \partial_n \partial_m \omega^{ij} \left( \partial_i f \partial_j \partial_k g - \partial_i g \partial_j \partial_k f \right)
\right.

\left. + \frac{1}{6} \omega^{nk} \partial_n \omega^{mj} \partial_m \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k g - \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k g \partial_n \partial_m f \right]

(66)

\left. + \frac{1}{3} \omega^{ln} \partial_n \omega^{im} \partial_m \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k g - \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k g \partial_n \partial_m f \right]

\left. + \frac{1}{6} \omega^{jl} \omega^{im} \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k f \partial_n \partial_m g
\right]

\left. + \frac{1}{6} \omega^{nk} \omega^{ml} \partial_n \partial_m \omega^{ij} \left( \partial_i f \partial_j \partial_k \partial_l g - \partial_i g \partial_j \partial_k \partial_l f \right) \right].
\]
We put a twiddle over the star to stress that (66) does not include corrections to \( \omega \) required by the consistency condition (22) at the third order. However, this is a fully legitimate star product. It only cannot be extended to the fourth order in our procedure. Eq. (66) is in agreement with [12] and does fulfill the requirements following from associativity [13]. It does not coincide with a particular star product constructed in [13] by changing coordinates in the Moyal formula, but the difference presumably resides in the ambiguity discussed in sec. 3.2 plus a gauge transformation.

### 4.4 Corrections to the third order star product

Let us study the third order consistency conditions for the star product we have obtained in the previous subsection. We have to calculate (39) for \( n = 3 \) and check whether \( \alpha^3 \) terms vanish. This boils down to the condition

\[
x^a \tilde{x}_3 \omega^{bc} - \omega^{bc} \tilde{x}_3 x^a + \text{cycl}(abc) = \alpha^3 \left( \frac{2}{3} \omega^{n\ell} \partial_\ell \omega^{mk} \partial_n \omega^{aj} \partial_j \partial_k \omega^{bc} + \frac{1}{3} \omega^{nk} \omega^{ml} \partial_n \partial_m \omega^{aj} \partial_j \partial_k \partial_l \omega^{bc} \right) + \text{cycl}(abc) = 0 .
\]  

The condition (67) is not satisfied generically for \( \omega^{bc} = \omega^{bc}_0 \), i.e. it does not follow from the Jacobi identity [12]. Therefore, a correction to \( \omega_0 \) is needed, and, according to sec. 3.2, this has to be an \( \alpha^2 \) correction,

\[
\omega^{bc}(x) = \omega^{bc}_0(x) + \alpha^2 \omega^{bc}_2(x) + \ldots
\]

This leads to a non-zero \( \Gamma^{ij}_2 \) according to the equation

\[
\Gamma^{ij}_2 - \Gamma^{ji}_2 = 2 \omega^{ij}_2 ,
\]

which, together with the tracelessness condition, yields

\[
\Gamma^{ij}_2 = \omega^{ij}_2 .
\]

The third order star product is changed, \( \tilde{x}_3 \rightarrow \ast_3 \), as

\[
f \ast_3 g = f \tilde{x}_3 g + \alpha^3 \partial_i f \omega^{ij}_2 \partial_j g .
\]

\( \omega^{ij}_2 \) has to be defined from the consistency condition which reads

\[
x^a \ast_3 \omega^{bc}_0 - \omega^{bc}_0 \ast_3 x^a + \alpha^2 x^a \ast_1 \omega^{bc}_2 - \omega^{bc}_2 \ast_1 x^a + \text{cycl.(abc)} = \alpha^3 \left[ 2 \omega^{ad}_0 \partial_d \omega^{bc}_2 + 2 \omega^{ad}_2 \partial_d \omega^{bc}_0 + \frac{2}{3} \omega^{nl}_0 \partial_n \omega^{mk}_0 \partial_m \omega^{aj}_0 \partial_j \partial_k \omega^{bc}_0 \right] + \text{cycl.(abc)} = 0 .
\]
and \( \omega_{jk}^{ij} \) which solves this equation must be a sum of monomials each containing three \( \omega_0 \) and four derivatives. The most general ansatz is

\[
\omega_{2}^{bc} = c_1 \partial_m \omega_0^{ml} \partial_n \omega_0^{mk} \partial_l \partial_k \omega_0^{bc} + c_2 \partial_k \omega_0^{bm} \partial_l \omega_0^{cn} \partial_n \partial_m \omega_0^{kl} + c_3 \partial_n \partial_k \omega_0^{bm} \partial_m \partial_l \omega_0^{cn} \omega_0^{kl}. \tag{73}
\]

After long calculations one finds that \( c_1 = -\frac{1}{12}, \) \( c_2 = 0 \) and \( c_3 = \frac{1}{6} \). The same solution of the equation (72) was obtained in [12]. There are (at least) two cases when no corrections to \( \omega \) are needed. One is linear Poisson structures, and indeed \( \omega_2 = 0 \), as follows from (73). The other case is deformations on a two-dimensional plane. In this latter case \( \omega_2 \) calculated by formula (73) is non-zero. In fact, the consistency conditions are trivial in two dimensions, and any function, \( \omega_0 \) or \( \omega_2 \), solves them. Since \( \omega_0 \) in two dimensions is not restricted by the Jacobi identities, (i.e., it is completely general), the most natural choice in two dimensions is \( \omega_2 = 0 \).

Now we are able to obtain the third order operator valued function \( \hat{\omega}_{3}^{ij} \) by collecting corresponding orders in \( \alpha \) in the Weyl ordered expression \( W(\omega_0^{ij} + \alpha^2 \omega_2^{ij}) \). Explicitly, it reads

\[
\alpha^{-3} \hat{\omega}_{3}^{ij} = \left( \left( \Gamma_1^{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_0^{jn} \partial_m \partial_n \omega_0^{jk} \right) \partial_a \partial_b \omega_0^{ij} + \left( \Gamma_0^{ijlk} + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma_0^{ijm} \partial_m \omega_0^{lk} + \frac{1}{3} \omega_0^{im} \partial_m \Gamma_0^{jk} + \frac{1}{6} \omega_0^{im} \partial_m \omega_0^{jn} \partial_n \omega_0^{lk} + \frac{1}{6} \omega_0^{im} \omega_0^{jn} \partial_m \partial_n \omega_0^{lk} \right) \partial_a \partial_b \partial_l \omega_0^{ij} \right. \\
+ \left. \omega_0^{lk} \partial_l \omega_0^{ij} + \omega_0^{lk} \partial_l \omega_0^{ij} \right) \partial_k \\
+ \left( \Gamma_1^{kl} \partial_a \omega_0^{ij} + \frac{3}{2} \Gamma_0^{akl} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_0^{am} \partial_m \Gamma_0^{kbl} + \Gamma_0^{bnk} \partial_m \omega_0^{ml} \right) \partial_a \partial_b \omega_0^{ij} \\
+ \left( \Gamma_0^{ak} \omega_0^{ml} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_0^{bm} \partial_n \omega_0^{ak} \omega_0^{ml} \right) \partial_a \partial_b \partial_m \omega_0^{ij} \\
+ \left( \Gamma_0^{bk} \omega_0^{ml} \partial_n \omega_0^{ak} \omega_0^{ml} \right) \partial_a \partial_b \partial_m \omega_0^{ij} \\
+ \left( \Gamma_0^{bk} \omega_0^{ml} \partial_n \omega_0^{ak} \omega_0^{ml} \right) \partial_a \partial_b \partial_m \omega_0^{ij} \right). \tag{74}
\]

From now on we have to distinguish between \( \omega \) and \( \omega_0 \). All \( \Gamma \)’s appearing in eq. (74) and in the formulae below are obtained by substituting \( \omega = \omega_0 \) in the expressions from the previous sections.

4.5 Fourth order star product

In the previous section we have gained some experience in solving commutation relations, so that now we can move faster. The \( \alpha^4 \) terms in \( \hat{x}^i \) read

\[
\alpha^4 \gamma^i_4 = \alpha^4 \left( \Gamma_2^{ijk}(x) \partial_j \partial_k + \Gamma_1^{ijkl}(x) \partial_j \partial_k \partial_l + \Gamma_0^{ijklm}(x) \partial_j \partial_k \partial_l \partial_m \right), \tag{75}
\]
where \( \Gamma_{ij}^k(x) \), \( \Gamma_{ijkl}^m(x) \) and \( \Gamma_{ijklm}^o(x) \) have to be determined. The formula (27) for \( n = 3 \) yields

\[
\alpha^{-4} G_{ijkl}^m = 2 \Gamma_{ijklm}^o \partial_\alpha \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega + \frac{1}{3} \omega_0 \omega_0 \omega_0 \omega_0 \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega + 2 \Gamma_{ijklm}^o \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega + 3 \Gamma_{ijklm}^o \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega - 3 \Gamma_{ijklm}^o \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega - 2 \Gamma_{ijklm}^o \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega + 2 \Gamma_{ijklm}^o \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega + \omega_0 \partial_\omega \Gamma_{ijklm}^o - \omega_0 \partial_\omega \Gamma_{ijklm}^o ,
\]

\[
\alpha^{-4} G_{ijkl}^m = (3 \Gamma_{ijklm}^o + 3 \omega_0 \omega_0 \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega + 2 \Gamma_{ijklm}^o \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega - 3 \Gamma_{ijklm}^o \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega - 3 \Gamma_{ijklm}^o \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega + 2 \Gamma_{ijklm}^o \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega + 2 \Gamma_{ijklm}^o \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega - \Gamma_{ijklm}^o \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega + \Gamma_{ijklm}^o \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega ,
\]

\[
\alpha^{-4} G_{ijkl}^k = (2 \Gamma_{ijkl}^k + \Gamma_{ijklm}^o \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega + \Gamma_{ijklm}^o \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega + \Gamma_{ijklm}^o \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega + \Gamma_{ijklm}^o \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega - \Gamma_{ijklm}^o \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega + \Gamma_{ijklm}^o \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega \partial_\omega ,
\]

By using Lemma 2, one obtains

\[
\alpha^4 \Gamma_{ijklm}^o = \frac{1}{20} \left( G_{ijklm}^4 + G_{ijklm}^{ijk} + G_{ijklm}^{ijkm} + G_{ijklm}^{ijm} \right) ,
\]

\[
\alpha^4 \Gamma_{ijkl}^k = \frac{1}{12} \left( G_{ijkl}^4 + G_{ijkl}^{ijk} + G_{ijkl}^{ikj} \right) ,
\]

\[
\alpha^4 \Gamma_{ijkl}^j = \frac{1}{6} \left( G_{ijkl}^4 + G_{ijkl}^{ijk} \right) .
\]

Next, with the help of the formulae (13), (5), (38) and (85) we construct the fourth order star product. It can be conveniently represented as

\[
f \ast_4 g = \alpha^4 \sum L_{m,n} (f,g) ,
\]

where each term in the sum has \( m \) derivatives acting on \( f \) and \( n \) derivatives acting on \( g \). The forth order star product is symmetric. Therefore,

\[
L_{m,n} (f,g) = L_{n,m} (g,f) .
\]
All non-vanishing $L_{m,n}(f, g)$ with $m \leq n$ are listed below.

\[ L_{1,2}(f, g) = \partial_i f \Gamma_{ij}^{jk} \partial_j \partial_k g , \]
\[ L_{1,3}(f, g) = \partial_i f \Gamma_{ijkl}^{jkl} \partial_j \partial_k \partial_l \partial_m g , \]
\[ L_{1,4}(f, g) = \partial_i f \Gamma_{ijklm}^{ijklm} \partial_j \partial_k \partial_l \partial_m g , \]
\[ L_{2,2}(f, g) = \partial_i \partial_m f \left( \Gamma_{ij}^{ijkl} \partial_j \partial_k \omega_0^{mn} + \frac{3}{2} \Gamma_0^{ijkl} \partial_j \partial_k \partial_l \partial_m \omega_0^{mn} + \frac{3}{2} \Gamma_0^{ijkl} \partial_j \partial_k \partial_l \partial_m \partial_n g , \right) \]
\[ L_{2,3}(f, g) = \partial_i \partial_m f \left( \frac{1}{2} \omega_0^{ij} \partial_j \Gamma_0^{mnkl} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_0^{ij} \partial_j \Gamma_0^{mnkl} \right) \partial_j \partial_k \partial_l \partial_n g , \]
\[ L_{2,4}(f, g) = \partial_i \partial_m f \left( \omega_0^{ij} \Gamma_0^{mnkl} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_0^{ij} \Gamma_0^{mnkl} \right) \partial_j \partial_k \partial_l \partial_n g , \]
\[ L_{3,3}(f, g) = \partial_i \partial_j \partial_m f \left( \frac{1}{2} \omega_0^{ia} \partial_a \omega_0^{jk} \Gamma_0^{mnkl} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_0^{ia} \omega_0^{jk} \partial_a \Gamma_0^{mnkl} \right) \partial_j \partial_k \partial_l \partial_n g , \]
\[ L_{3,4}(f, g) = \partial_i \partial_j \partial_m f \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial_i \partial_j \partial_m f \left( \omega_0^{ia} \omega_0^{jk} \Gamma_0^{mnkl} \partial_a \partial_k \partial_l \partial_n g , \right) \right) \]
\[ L_{4,4}(f, g) = \frac{1}{24} \partial_i \partial_j \partial_m \partial_n f \omega_0^{ik} \omega_0^{jl} \omega_0^{mn} \omega_0^{nb} \partial_k \partial_l \partial_a \partial_b g . \]

At the fourth order, as in all even orders,

\[ f \star_4 g - g \star_4 f = 0, \tag{84} \]

so that the consistency condition is satisfied automatically, and $\omega$ must not be corrected.

The fifth order star product follows simply by iterating the procedure presented above. We do not present explicit expressions since they are extremely lengthy.

5 Discussion and conclusions

In this paper we developed an approach to the star products on $\mathbb{R}^N$ based on the Weyl symmetrically ordered operator products, which is a rather natural language in physics. An important part of our scheme is a differential representation of deformed coordinates $\hat{x}^i$. By using this representation we were able to develop a rather effective iterative scheme where all calculations of the higher orders of the
star product go automatically, besides solving the consistency condition at (some of the) odd orders of the expansion. So far, we cannot give a general solution for (or a method of solving of) this condition. This is an interesting problem on its own right, especially given relations to the cohomology theory, and we are going to address it in the future.

Explicit representations for NC coordinates are important in NC quantum mechanics [21, 22] (see also [23] for more recent references). Applications to NC quantum mechanics with a position-dependent noncommutativity was among main motivations of our work.

If, for some reason, the consistency condition (7) is satisfied automatically at all orders (which is true, e.g., for deformations on $\mathbb{R}^2$ or for some polynomial algebras) our formulae provide a star product at any finite order in $\alpha$, and, in principle, one can even try to guess or derive a non-perturbative expression for the star product. It would also be interesting to relate our iteration formulae to the Ward identities of the path integral of Cattaneo and Felder [24] with the help of the methods [25, 26] developed for calculation of such integrals.

Combining our approach with other approaches may also bring interesting results. It is very natural to consider linear Poisson structures, where simpler results are possible and a considerable progress has already been made by the methods quite similar to the ones proposed above [7–11]. For the reason we already mentioned, our formalism simplifies on two dimensional Poisson manifolds, where a coherent state formalism was used to construct star products [27]. Another attractive possibility is to explore extensions of the notion of quantum shift operator [28,29] to the case of a position dependent noncommutativity. Finally, it is interesting and important to give our construction a geometric flavor along the lines of [30] and [31,32].
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A Some useful formulae

In order to use the Duhamel expansion (38) one has to calculate repeated commutators of $A = -i p_m x^m$ and $B = -i p_j (\hat{x}^j - x^j)$. Denote $B_k = -i p_j \Gamma^{jk_1\ldots k} \partial_1 \ldots \partial_k$. 

Then

\[ [B_k, A] = k(-ip_j)(-ip_{i_1})\Gamma^{j_1i_2...i_k} \partial_{i_2} \ldots \partial_{i_k}, \quad k \geq 2, \]

\[ [[[B_k, A], A] = k(k - 1)(-ip_j)(-ip_{i_1})(-ip_{i_2})\Gamma^{j_1i_2...i_k} \partial_{i_3} \ldots \partial_{i_k}, \quad k \geq 3, \quad (85) \]

\[ [[[B_k, A], A], A] = k(k - 1)(k - 2)(-ip_j)(-ip_{i_1})(-ip_{i_2})(-ip_{i_3})\Gamma^{j_1i_2...i_k} \partial_{i_4} \ldots \partial_{i_k}, \quad k \geq 4. \]

If \( k \) does not satisfy the inequalities given above, the right hand side vanishes. It is convenient to keep the momenta in the combinations \((-ip_m)\) since after taking the integral \((5)\) they are replaced by partial derivatives \(\partial_m\) acting on \(f\).
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