Case report: Cytokine therapy and an intracoronary autologous bone marrow-derived cell infusion with Impella support in a patient with dilated cardiomyopathy and a severely reduced ejection fraction
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Introduction: This is the first reported case of a patient with dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) and severely impaired left ventricular function to receive a combined treatment of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor therapy and an intracoronary delivery of autologous bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells with percutaneous circulatory assistance (the Impella CP device; Abiomed, Danvers, MA).

Main symptoms and outcome: Three months post-treatment, the gentleman in his early /seven.tnum/zero.tnums demonstrated an improvement in left ventricular ejection fraction (/one.tnum/three.tnum–/one.tnum/seven.tnum%) and a reduction in New York Heart Association class from III to class I. There was also an improvement in his /six.tnum-minute walk test (/one.tnum/four.tnum/seven.tnum–/three.tnum/five.tnum/seven.tnum meters), N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide level (/one.tnum/four.tnum,/zero.tnum/nine.tnum/nine.tnum–/seven.tnum,/one.tnum/two.tnum/nine.tnum ng/l) and quality of life scores. There were no safety concerns during the treatment or follow-up.

Conclusion: This case report suggests combined cell and cytokine therapy with adjunctive circulatory support could be a safe and promising treatment for patients with DCM and severely reduced ejection fraction.
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Introduction

Dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) is a leading cause of heart failure and the most common indication for cardiac transplantation worldwide (1, 2). The prevalence of DCM is estimated at 40 cases per 100,000 individuals with an annual incidence of 7 cases per 100,000 individuals (3). The prognosis of DCM is highly variable; earlier studies reported 5-year mortality rates of 50% which have declined to 20% in more recent reports. This improvement signals both early disease detection and advances in heart failure therapy. However, despite the declining mortality rates, the prognosis and quality of life in symptomatic patients remain worse than many malignancies and serious chronic conditions such as arthritis and chronic lung disease (4).

Current conventional drug and device therapies for DCM do not correct underlying defects in cardiac muscle. Currently, heart transplantation is the only treatment option which addresses cardiomyocyte loss and fibrosis; however, the strict selection criteria and shortage of donors mean many patients do not receive a transplant. Cell therapy has generated enthusiasm as it addresses the progression of myocardial dysfunction. Autologous cell and cytokine therapy, on top of optimal medical and device therapy, has shown promise in the treatment of DCM (5–12).

REGENERATE-DCM was a phase II, randomized, placebo-controlled trial, which evaluated the efficacy of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) therapy combined with an intracoronary infusion of bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells (BMMNCs) in the treatment of “no-option” dilated cardiomyopathy patients (12). The cell treated patients \( (n = 15) \) demonstrated a significant 5.37% increase in left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF; mean baseline: 32.93%; 3 months: 38.30%) and significant improvements in New York Heart Association (NYHA) class (12).

Although patients with more significant left ventricular impairment appear to derive more benefit from cell therapy (13, 14), this group of patients are also at a higher risk of complications during interventional procedures, and so very few studies have exclusively targeted this patient group. Therefore, we sought to address whether the use of percutaneous circulatory support (currently only available as the Impella device) improves the safety of the procedure in patients with severely impaired left ventricular function (defined here as a LVEF of <30%). This procedure was approved by South Central - Oxford A Research Ethics Committee (REC reference: 18/SC/0195).

The Impella CP device (Abiomed, Danvers, MA; Figure 1) is a percutaneous mechanical circulatory support device that can be used in clinical practice to facilitate high-risk coronary interventions (particularly in patients with severely impaired left ventricular function or cardiogenic shock) (15–17). It is known to reduce end-diastolic wall stress and pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (15, 16, 18)—thereby preventing acute decompensation. Additionally, the Impella device may improve cellular engraftment by improving coronary blood flow (19).

This is the first reported case of a patient with DCM and severely impaired left ventricular function to receive a combined treatment of G-CSF therapy and intracoronary delivery of BMMNCs with percutaneous circulatory assistance (the Impella CP device).

Case description

Presentation

Figure 2 presents the timeline and treatment course for the patient. A gentleman in his early 70s was diagnosed with DCM
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**Figure 1**

(A) The Impella, a minimally invasive, catheter-based circulatory support device (Abiomed, Danvers, MA). (B) Diagram showing the anatomical positioning of the Impella across the aortic valve. (ICPR-intravascular cardiopulmonary resuscitation). ©Abiomed.
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FIGURE /two.tnum

The timeline and treatment course for the patient.

(grounded idiopathic) in 2008. He self-referred to our center for cell therapy having experienced a progressive increase in breathlessness on exertion, orthopnoea, paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnoea, peripheral oedema, and severely limited exercise tolerance (5–10 m on flat ground) to a stage he could no longer tolerate, despite being established on maximum tolerated doses of optimal medical therapy for several years (epilrenone 25 mg OD, furosemide 40 mg BD, bisoprolol 5 mg OD, Entresto 97/103 BD, apixaban 5 mg BD). Dapagliflozin was trialed but was stopped after 10 days due to intolerance.

The patient had been advised that there were no further conventional treatment options available, hence he was interested in pursuing cell therapy. There was no direct family history of DCM (although a grandparent had cardiomegaly) and there was no other relevant past medical history. Prior to his diagnosis, he had led a very active lifestyle; but now had a severely reduced quality of life.

Investigations

After informed consent was taken, baseline investigations were performed. The baseline transthoracic echocardiogram showed a dilated and severely impaired left ventricle with an LVEF of <30% and mild to moderate functional mitral regurgitation (vena contracta 0.39 mm). No other significant valvular abnormalities were identified. The baseline gated, rate controlled, cardiac computed tomography (CT) showed moderate left circumflex (LCx) and mid-left anterior descending (LAD) artery stenosis, and an LVEF of 13%. He had symptoms consistent with NYHA class III, Killip class II and no reported chest pains. In a 6-minute walk test he could walk 147 metres. He was noted to be atrial fibrillation with a ventricular rate of 115 beats per minute. His N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT Pro-BNP) was 14,099 ng/l and his high-sensitive troponin T was 18 ng/l. He performed an EQ-5D-3L quality of life questionnaire and scored his overall quality of life as 65 out of 100. Baseline observations demonstrated a body mass index of 29.5 kg/m² and peripheral oxygen saturations of 97% on room air. Other observations were unremarkable.

Treatment

The patient was electively admitted and began daily subcutaneous G-CSF (10 mcg/kg) therapy for 5 days. A progressive increase in granulocyte and total white cell counts were observed (Table 1). On day six, 54 ml of bone marrow was aspirated from the right posterior superior iliac spine under local anesthetic and sent under temperature-controlled conditions to a stem cell processing laboratory. At the laboratory, mononuclear cells were extracted from the bone marrow aspirate using a Ficoll separation technique and resuspended in 10ml of normal saline (cell infusate details are listed in Table 1). The cell infusate was then returned to the hospital cardiac catheterisation laboratory for infusion.
Before cell infusion, an Impella circulatory support device was inserted under ultrasound guidance via the right femoral artery using a 14-F sheath as per the manufacturer’s instructions. We used our existing large bore access Standard Operating Procedure based on our Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation (TAVI) programme (a CT scan assessment of peripheral arteries and an ultrasound-guided puncture of the femoral artery with a Manta® vascular closure device).

Once the Impella device was sited (Figure 3A) and continuous flow was established (approximately 3.4 L/min), the BMMNCs were infused into the coronary arteries using recognized angioplasty techniques. A sheath was inserted into the radial artery and 6-F Judkins guide catheters were used to cannulate the left main and right coronary arteries consecutively (Figure 3B). For each artery (LAD, LCx, right coronary), an over-the-wire balloon (0.5 mm smaller than the vessel’s diameter) was inserted over a 0.014 inch guide wire (Figure 3C). The balloon was inflated to nominal pressure to induce coronary stasis and the guide wire was removed so that cells could be infused down the central lumen of the balloon catheter. Using this technique, 3.3 ml of cells were infused over 3 minutes into each of the 3 arteries. Cardiac output was supplemented by the Impella device at 3.4 L/min to counteract the reduction in blood pressure seen on the trace when the balloons were inflated (Figure 4). Mean arterial blood pressure was maintained at 82 mmHg and the patient remained asymptomatic throughout. At the end of the 3-minute periods, the balloon was deflated, the guide wire reintroduced and a check image of the coronary artery was performed to assess for flow and any evidence of vascular trauma. No complications occurred during the
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TABLE 1 Cell counts for peripheral blood and cell infusion.

|                          | Day 0 | Day 4 | Fold increase |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|---------------|
| **Peripheral blood cell counts** |       |       |               |
| White cell count (x10⁹/L) | 7.9   | 41.5  | 5.3           |
| Neutrophils (x10⁹/L)      | 6.3   | 36.6  | 5.8           |
| Immature Granulocytes (x10⁹/L) | 0.0   | 1.7   | -             |
| Monocytes (x10⁹/L)        | 0.5   | 2.6   | 5.2           |
| Eosinophils (x10⁹/L)      | 0.0   | 0.1   | -             |
| Nucleated cells (x10⁹/L)  | 0.0   | 0.0   | -             |
| Lymphocytes (x10⁹/L)      | 1.1   | 2.1   | 1.9           |

|                          |       |       |               |
| **Infusion cell counts**  |       |       |               |
| Mononuclear cells (x10⁶)  |       | 164   |               |
| CD34⁺ (x10⁶)              |       | Cell markers not measured* |
| Endothelial progenitor cells (x10⁹) |       | Cell markers not measured* |

*Although cell surface markers are normally routinely measured, the cell processing lab was unable to do this during the pandemic.

procedure. Following the final infusion of cells, the flow rate on the Impella was gradually decreased before deactivation and removal. The femoral artery puncture was closed using a Manta vascular closure device. There were no significant post-procedural complications, and the patient was discharged the next day.

Outcome

The patient returned 3 months later for follow-up. On assessment, his symptoms had improved considerably from NYHA class III to class I. On physical examination, he was clinically euvolemic, his weight had reduced from 101.2 to 87.5 kg and his Killip class had reduced from II to I. In the 6-minute walk test, he could walk a distance of 357 meters (previously 147 meters). His NT Pro-BNP had fallen from 14,099 ng/l at baseline to 7,129 ng/l. His high-sensitive troponin T had decreased from 18 mg/l at baseline to 13 mg/l. A 12-lead ECG showed atrial fibrillation at 63 beats per minute (previously 115 beats per minute at baseline). An assessment of LVEF using gated, rate control cardiac CT showed an improvement from 13 to 17%. His quality of life questionnaire (EQ-5D-3L) score had improved to 70 out of 100 (compared with 65 out of 100 at baseline). It should be noted that all these observations were taken as part of a clinic visit and, therefore, are not averaged over time.

The patient reported feeling much better since receiving cell therapy with a significant improvement in his exercise tolerance and levels of fatigue. He reported walking outdoors 2–3 times a day at a distance of approximately 400 meters without any breathlessness or fatigue (previously he could walk only 5–10 meters). His orthopnoea and paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnœa had resolved. He had managed to return to regular gardening and felt he had a “renewed interest in life.” Furthermore, at a subsequent review with his local heart failure service 7 months after cell treatment, his maintenance dose of loop diuretic...
(furosemide) was reduced (from 40 mg BD to 40 mg OD) as his peripheral oedema had improved.

**Discussion**

Here we present the first reported case of a patient with DCM and severely impaired left ventricular function to receive a combined treatment of G-CSF therapy and an intracoronary delivery of autologous BMMNCs with percutaneous circulatory assistance (the Impella CP device). He reported a significant improvement in symptoms and life which was supported by improvements in independent clinical measurements (LVEF, NT Pro-BNP, and 6-minute walk test).

Although the improvements in exercise tolerance and heart failure symptoms may be a result of weight loss and heart rate control, the independent clinical measurements suggest that these improvements are due to an overall increase in cardiac function (increased LVEF in the context of a decreased NT Pro-BNP). As the patient had been established on optimal medical therapy pre-cell treatment, this suggests that the improvements seen at 3 months appear to be linked, at least in part, to the combined G-CSF and autologous cell treatment.

Whilst trials that have used cell therapy alone have shown mixed results, trials that have used a combination of cell and cytokine therapy for the treatment of DCM have shown more consistently positive outcomes (6–12). G-CSF is a haematopoietic cytokine that not only has direct effects on the heart [cardiac regeneration (20), an accelerated healing process (21), myoccardial protection from apoptosis (22) and a reduction of myocardial fibrosis (23)] but also leads to bone marrow cell maturation and an increased release, thereby exposing the heart to very high levels of circulating cells [more than by direct infusion alone (24)]. Thus, although this report is limited by being the only case of its kind to date, it is in keeping with other reported improvements from cell and cytokine therapy for DCM (albeit in patient populations with higher ejection fractions) (6–12).

Given the high complication rate associated with Impella use (25), its safety and efficacy as an adjunctive support for cell therapy in patients with poor cardiac function (LVEF <30%) needs to be established. We used our experience of large bore access and the Standard Operating Procedure based on our TAVI programme to minimize the vascular complications that have previously been associated with Impella insertion (25). In this case report, our patient did not suffer any complications from the use of the Impella and has benefitted from the procedure. Previously we have seen dips in blood pressure during cell infusion; however, during this procedure supported by the Impella device, the patient's blood pressure remained stable, and he was comfortable throughout. Overall, the procedure was very well tolerated, and the patient reported a good experience. The encouraging results from this novel use of circulatory support and cell therapy warrant further investigation in a larger patient cohort. It should be noted that patients with peripheral vascular disease or left ventricular thrombus may not be suitable candidates for Impella-supported cell infusion procedures.

**Conclusion**

Therefore, as demonstrated by this case report, combined cell and cytokine therapy with adjunctive circulatory support appears to be a safe and promising treatment for patients with DCM and a severely reduced LVEF. The Impella device may be able to support cell therapy in patients with poor left ventricular function, and experience of large bore access and closure techniques may improve the vascular complication rates associated with its use.

**Patient’s perspective**

What wonderful treatment I received from all the staff at Barts—nothing was too much trouble for them. I still have to pinch myself to remind myself that my treatment actually happened. Such care and compassion was shown to me by all the stem cell team, and all the other members of the team of helpers whose names I do not know! Again a massive thanks to you all.
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