Nomogram Predicts Overall Survival in Patients With Stage IV Thyroid Cancer (TC): A Population-Based Analysis From the SEER Database
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Background: Stage IV Thyroid cancer (TC) has a relatively poor prognosis and lacks a precise and efficient instrument to forecast prognosis. Our study aimed to construct a nomogram for predicting the prognosis of patients with stage IV TC based on data from the SEER programme.

Methods: We enrolled patients diagnosed with TC from 2004 to 2015 in the study. Furthermore, the median survival time (MST) for the patients equalled 25 months. The patients were split into two groups: the training group and validation group. We used descriptive statistics to calculate demographic and clinical variables, Student’s t-test was used to describe continuous variables, and the chi-square test was used to describe classified variables. We used the concordance index (C-index) to evaluate discrimination ability and calibration plots to evaluate calibration ability. The improvement of the nomogram compared with the AJCC TNM system was evaluated by the net weight classification index (NRI), comprehensive discriminant rate improvement (IDI) and decision curve analysis (DCA).

Results: There were 3501 patients contained within our cohort, and the median follow-up was 25 months [quartile range (IQR): 6-60] in the whole population, 25 months (IQR: 6-60) in the training cohort, and 25 months (IQR: 5-59) in the validation cohort. The C-index value of the training cohort equalled 0.86 (95% CI: 0.85-0.87), and the value of the validation cohort equalled 0.85 (95% CI: 0.84-0.86). The NRI values were as follows: training cohort: 1.16 for three-year and 1.12 for five-year OS prediction; validation group: 1.22 for three-year and 1.21 for five-year OS prediction. The IDI values were as follows: training cohort: 0.25 for three-year and 0.21 for five-year OS prediction; validation cohort: 0.27 for three-year and 0.21 for five-year OS prediction. The DCA diagram showed that the nomogram was superior in predicting the three-year and five-year trends.

Conclusions: Our nomogram can be used to forecast the survival of patients with stage IV TC.
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INTRODUCTION

Thyroid cancer (TC) is an epidemic in America, and its incidence has grown faster than that of any other cancer since the 1990s (1). An estimated 44,280 new cases occurred in men and women in 2020, with a mortality rate of 4.97%, and this has aroused substantial public concern (2). In the meantime, many patients often develop long-distance metastasis (DMs) or lymphatic metastasis, which in turn leads to a high mortality rate. TC has been classified into four phases, among which stage IV TC varies according to the clinical subtype, including anaplastic carcinoma, medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC), papillary carcinoma (PTC) and follicular carcinoma (FTC) (3–5). In fact, studies have indicated that TC should be evaluated independently rather than analysing TC without recognizing its pathological type. In fact, all these studies indicate that TC should be studied independently rather than analysing TC without recognizing pathological type (5–7). Likewise, 5–20% of TC patients might develop DMs resulting in high mortality, which suggests that precise assessment of their prognosis is crucial. At present, there is no individualized model to predict the prognosis of stage IV TC patients (8).

The American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) staging system is the most commonly used tool for evaluating the prognosis of patients with TC. However, this assessment system has many limitations, including low accuracy, disregard of sociodemographic and clinicopathological characteristics (such as time of life, therapy or marital status), and poor performance in predicting individual survival outcomes (9, 10). In conclusion, an individual prediction model is essential for patients with stage IV TC.

Nomograms have been widely used as predictive methods in oncology in recent years. After integrating demographic and clinicopathological characteristics, the nomogram model could be more accurate and personalized than the conventional TNM staging system, and it is convenient for clinicians to predict the prognosis of patients. In our study, we used the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database to establish a nomogram model to predict the prognosis of TC patients (11).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data Resource

The recent version of the SEER 18 registries Custom Data (with additional treatment fields) was used as the data source for the present population-based investigation. This database consists of 18 population-based cancer registries and covers approximately 26% of the US population across several geographic regions (12). SEER*-STAT Software version 8.3.9 (https://seer.cancer.gov/seerstat/) (Information Management Service, Inc. Calverton, MD, USA) was used to generate the case listing. All of the procedures were excluded from the approved guidelines. Informed patient consent was not required to access or use SEER data.

Patients Cohort

Patients diagnosed with TC participated in the study, and the median survival for the patients equalled 25 months. Patients were included according to the following standards: 1) active follow-up to ensure reliable patient status; 2) TNM (American Joint Committee on Cancer, AJCC 6th) stage IV. The criteria for dismissal were as follows: 1) AJCC IV stage and tumour grade are unknown; 2) unknown operation information; and 3) the survival months is zero. After the preliminary filter, 3501 patients with TC were extracted in our cohort. In our study, the demographic and treatment features of patients were confirmed, including ethnicity year of life, time of diagnosis, civil state, operation, radiotherapy and chemotherapy. Tumour specialities consisted of tumour histology, tumour grading, AJCC staging, and prior cancer history. Figure 1 shows the entire screening process.

Endpoint and Statistical Analysis

Overall survival (OS) is considered the time lag between the date of diagnosis and the date of death due to any reason. We used descriptive statistics to calculate demographic and clinical variables, Student’s t test was used to describe continuous variables, and the chi-squared test was used to describe the classified variables. In survival analysis, univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were carried out, and the meaningful variables in univariate analysis were included in the multivariate analysis. P<0.05 was considered a self-governed risk factor.

Based on the above independent risk factors, a nomogram model was set up. Nomograms estimate probabilities of three and five years. The discriminant ability was evaluated by the harmony index (C index), and the calibration ability was evaluated by a calibration graph. The C-index was on a scale of 0.5 to 1.0, where 0.5 represents random opportunity and 1.0 indicates an exact accouplement. Generally, a C-index greater than 0.7 indicates a reasonable estimation. The improvement of the nomogram compared with the AJCC TNM system was evaluated by the net weight classification index (NRI), comprehensive discriminant rate improvement (IDI) and decision curve analysis (DCA). The NRI and IDI were used to assess improvements in prognosis forecasting and measure the usefulness of a new model (13, 14). DCA is a measurement for assessing the clinical benefit of alternative models and has been applied to nomograms by quantifying net benefits at different threshold probabilities (15, 16). P values were two-tailed, and P < 0.05 indicated statistical significance. In addition, the above data are analyzed by R Studio.

RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics

Our cohort collected 3501 patients, and the patients were split into two groups: Group 1 was defined as the training group, and Group 2 was defined as the validation group (ratio: 7:3). The median follow-up equalled 25 months [quartile range (IQR): 6-60] in the whole population, 25 months (IQR: 6-60) in the training cohort, and 25 months (IQR: 5-59) in the validation
cohort. In the whole population, training and verification teams, the mean ages of patients with TC were 64.5 (± 12.8), 64.5 (± 12.7) and 64.5 (± 12.9) years, respectively. Females (56.5%) and married patients (60.8%) comprised the majority of the cohort. Meanwhile, the whole population had a relatively low rate of moderate (11.9%) and poorly differentiated (16.9%) MTCs. As for histology, MTCs only account for a low ratio of MTCs (3.1%). Moreover, 48.2% of them had tumour stage IVA, and 81.9% of patients had no prior cancer history. In addition, 82.5% and 61.5% of the population received surgery and radiotherapy, respectively, while only 20.2% of patients received chemotherapy. There was no statistical difference between the training and validation cohorts regarding demographic and clinical characteristics (P > 0.05), except tumour histology. Detailed information on these TC patients is shown in Table 1.

Nomogram Variable Screening Based on Univariate and Multivariate Cox Regression Analysis

We carried out univariate and multivariate analyses sequentially (Table 2), and the independent prognostic factors with a significant influence on OS were selected. Univariate analysis showed that 10 variables, such as ethnic lines, time of year, tumour grading, tumour histology, tumour staging, operation, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, prior cancer history and marital status, showed positive statistical significance.

Multivariate Cox proportional risk regression analysis showed that time of life, tumour grading, tumour histology, tumour staging, surgery, radiotherapy and marital status were significantly correlated with OS in the study. In conclusion, the prognostic variables with statistical significance in univariate and multivariate analyses were independent predictive factors for stage IV TC patients.

Nomogram Construction and Validation

Based on screening variables, the nomogram model constructed by Cox proportional hazard regression was used to predict the OS of stage IV TC patients at 3 years and 5 years. As shown in Figure 2, screening variables pointed to a score, and a total score was obtained by adding up all of the scores. A nomogram can be used to predict the survival probability of a given patient. Furthermore, most patients in our study had total cumulative points ranging from 300 to 450 (Figure 2).

The C-index value equalled 0.86 (95% CI: 0.85-0.87) in the training queue and 0.85 (95% CI: 0.84-0.86) in the validation queue (Table 3). The calibration curve of the nomogram also showed high consistency between the nomogram prediction and operating system observed in the training and verification queue for three years and five years (Figures 3A–D). In conclusion, the nomogram for TC patients had great discriminative and calibrating abilities.

Nomogram Clinical Value to Compare With AJCC-TNM System Stage

The NRI and IDI were used to compare the accuracy between the nomogram and the AJCC-TNM stage (Table 3). In the training cohort, the NRI values for the three-year and five-year OS were 1.16 (95% CI: 1.09-1.23) and 1.12 (95% CI: 1.05-1.19), respectively; the IDI values for the three-year and five-year OS equalled 0.25 (95% CI: 0.21-0.27, P < 0.001) and 0.21 (95% CI: 0.18-0.24, P<0.001), respectively. The validation cohort indicated that the NRI values for the three-year and five-year OS were 1.22 (95% CI: 1.06-1.34) and 1.21 (95% CI: 1.04-1.31), respectively, and the IDI values for the three-year and five-year OS rates were 0.27 (95% CI: 0.22-0.31, P<0.001) and 0.24 (95% CI: 0.19-0.28, P<0.001), respectively.

The clinical benefits of the nomogram were compared with those of the AJCC-TNM system stage. The DCA chart shows
that the nomogram had a certain advantage in predicting 3-year and 5-year OS, as it increased net benefits more than the AJCC-TNM system stage for almost all threshold probabilities in both the training and validation cohorts and with both the treat-all-patients scheme and the treat-none scheme (Figures 4A–D). In summary, the abovementioned results indicated that the nomogram model could increase precision and reliability for OS prediction compared with the AJCC-TNM staging system.

**DISCUSSION**

Stage IV TC is a tumour with a relatively poor prognosis, but it lacks a precise and efficient instrument to forecast prognosis in individual patients in clinical practice, except for the AJCC TNM staging system. On the basis of the records of 3501 patients from the SEER database, our team put up a nomogram model to forecast the three-year and five-year OS of patients with stage IV TC. Seven variables were selected by clinical significance to construct and validate the capability of the model, which could provide the basis for future clinical decisions. Measured by range along nomogram scales, age was the most important prognostic factor, followed by tumour grading, tumour histology, surgery, radiotherapy and marital status. This is the first study to predict the prognosis of patients with stage IV TC, and the population-based nomogram model showed the greatest results.

In our study, prognosis was estimated by OS, which is a common and objective index for patients with stage IV TC. Univariate Cox regression analysis showed that ethnic lines, time of life, tumour grading, tumour histology, tumour staging, operation, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, past cancer history and...
marital status were significantly related to OS. To decrease the estimation bias and further confirm the independent prognostic factors on OS for patients with TC, multivariate Cox regression analysis was performed.

After readjusting the demographic, clinicopathological and therapeutic variables, we discovered that time of life, tumour grading, tumour histology, tumour staging, operation, radiotherapy and marital status were still significantly related to OS. Through univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis, the above 7 variables were selected to build the prediction model.

In conclusion, the nomogram model can be used to predict the survival outcome of various cancers, which integrates clinical and demographic factors to evaluate the risk of specific diseases (10, 17–20). Traditionally, the AJCC-TNM staging system has been the primary choice to predict prognosis and make clinical decisions. However, the prognosis of patients at the same stage is often different because the AJCC-TNM staging system does not comprehensively consider various variables, such as clinical characteristics, treatment methods and sociodemographic characteristics. Therefore, we compared the nomogram, which involves more variables, with the conventional AJCC-TNM staging system. The NRI value and IDI value of the nomogram versus the TNM staging system suggested that the nomogram prediction model had better predictive capability than the TNM staging system alone. Furthermore, DCA curves demonstrated that our model forecasted survival outcome with better clinical value and utility than the conventional staging system. In the validation cohort, the results could also be replicated favourably. In conclusion, our nomogram could provide accurate and individual predictions of OS in patients with TC.

TABLE 2 | Cox proportional hazard model of OS.

| Characteristics | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                 | Hazard ratio | 95% CI | P-value | Hazard ratio | 95% CI | P-value |
| Race            |           |       |         |           |       |         |
| Black           | As reference |       |         | As reference |       |         |
| Other           | 0.68      | 0.52-0.88 | 0.003 | 0.90      | 0.69-1.18 | 0.46   |
| White           | 0.76      | 0.61-0.94 | 0.01  | 0.91      | 0.73-1.13 | 0.37   |
| Age             | 1.05      | 1.04-1.06 | <0.001 | 1.03      | 1.02-1.04 | <0.001 |
| Sex             |           |       |         |           |       |         |
| Female          | As reference |       |         | As reference |       |         |
| Male            | 0.94      | 0.84-1.05 | 0.290 |           |       |         |
| Grade           |           |       |         |           |       |         |
| I               | As reference |       |         | As reference |       |         |
| II              | 1.43      | 1.08-1.91 | 0.01  | 1.27      | 0.95-1.69 | 0.11   |
| III             | 5.51      | 4.5-6.7 | <0.001 | 3.24      | 2.61-4.02 | <0.001 |
| IV              | 11.05     | 9.24-13.21 | <0.001 | 5.20      | 4.17-6.48 | <0.001 |
| Histology       |           |       |         |           |       |         |
| Anaplastic carcinoma | As reference |       |         | As reference |       |         |
| Follicular carcinoma | 0.24      | 0.19-0.31 | <0.001 | 0.56      | 0.42-0.75 | <0.001 |
| Medullary carcinoma | 0.22      | 0.16-0.31 | <0.001 | 0.54      | 0.37-0.77 | <0.001 |
| Other           | 0.51      | 0.44-0.66 | <0.001 | 0.77      | 0.64-0.94 | 0.01   |
| Papillary carcinoma | 0.12      | 0.11-0.14 | <0.001 | 0.6       | 0.5-0.72 | <0.001 |
| AJCC stage      |           |       |         |           |       |         |
| IVA             | As reference |       |         | As reference |       |         |
| IVB             | 5.04      | 4.31-5.89 | <0.001 | 1.99      | 1.69-2.35 | <0.001 |
| IVC             | 6.92      | 5.96-8.04 | <0.001 | 3.89      | 3.31-4.58 | <0.001 |
| Surgery         |           |       |         |           |       |         |
| No              | As reference |       |         | As reference |       |         |
| Yes             | 0.15      | 0.14-0.17 | <0.001 | 0.64      | 0.54-0.76 | <0.001 |
| Radiotherapy    |           |       |         |           |       |         |
| No              | As reference |       |         | As reference |       |         |
| Yes             | 0.39      | 0.35-0.44 | <0.001 | 0.65      | 0.56-0.74 | <0.001 |
| Chemotherapy    |           |       |         |           |       |         |
| No              | As reference |       |         | As reference |       |         |
| Yes             | 3.21      | 2.84-3.63 | <0.001 | 1.05      | 0.91-1.21 | 0.5    |
| Prior cancer history |           |       |         |           |       |         |
| Yes             | As reference |       |         | As reference |       |         |
| No              | 0.75      | 0.65-0.86 | <0.001 | 0.91      | 0.79-1.05 | 0.19   |
| Marital status  |           |       |         |           |       |         |
| Married         | As reference |       |         | As reference |       |         |
| Single          | 1.48      | 1.32-1.66 | <0.001 | 1.21      | 1.07-1.36 | 0.002  |
| Unknown         | 0.96      | 0.67-1.38 | 0.82  | 0.83      | 0.58-1.21 | 0.34   |

SEER, Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results; AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; ER, estrogen receptor; PR, progesterone receptor; OS, Overall Survival; BCS, breast conserving surgery; SM, simple mastectomy; RM, radical mastectomy.
Since many patients with stage IV TC have concerns about balancing the risk of surgery and the chance for a longer life, there is great need to build a scoring system for reference. Using this model, we could easily and precisely predict individual patients’ overall death probability at certain time points.

First, different variables pointed to a score according to the top scale, and we drew a vertical line from each prognostic factor to obtain the corresponding points. Second, we obtained a total score by summing all of the scores. Finally, we drew a vertical line from the total points scale to the 3- and 5-year overall death scale to obtain the estimated probabilities of death, and from this we obtained the OS rate. For instance, 73-year-old married patients underwent surgery and radiotherapy, with anaplastic carcinoma and grade II and stage IVB tumours. Since we can estimate from the nomogram graph that the 3-year and 5-year overall death probabilities are 82.3% and 90.9%, the 3-year and 5-year OS rates are 17.7% and 9.1%, respectively.

Although the nomogram performed well, our study did have some limitations, as shown below. First, the nomogram was based on a retrospective study, which could not prove causation and result due to selection bias. Second, we were unable to exclude the impact of potential confounders, such as family history, complications, health conditions, and patient anxiety, which were not covered in the SEER database. Last, a $P$ value $< 0.05$ was used to determine statistical significance, and no adjustment was made for multiple analyses. The probability of false rejection of invalid hypotheses may have exceeded 0.05. Multicentre clinical trials are needed to evaluate the external utility of our nomogram.

**CONCLUSION**

Our study aimed to construct a nomogram for predicting prognosis in patients with stage IV TC based on data from the...
FIGURE 3 | (A) Nomogram-Predicted probability of 3-Year OS. (B) Nomogram-Predicted probability of 5-Year OS. (C) Nomogram-Predicted probability of 3-Year OS. (D) Nomogram-Predicted probability of 5-Year OS.

FIGURE 4 | (A) 3-Year OS. (B) 5-Year OS. (C) 3-Year OS. (D) 5-Year OS.
SEER programme. Given its favourable clinical utility and accurate prognosis prediction in comparison with the conventional TNM staging system, our nomogram can be used to predict the survival of patients with stage IV TC. However, multicentre clinical validation is also required to evaluate the external utility of our histograms.
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