Influence at Mass of the Base Isolation System in Affecting the Higher Modes of Vibration
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Abstract: Base Isolation is a technology of mitigating the effects due to earthquakes with the aspect of dissipating the seismic waves away from the superstructure, by isolating the superstructure from the ground. This concept is widely essential to be implemented in structures/buildings irrespective of many factors. There are several materials which could be implemented as base isolator, however the need in reduction of the number of the isolators is essential due to various factors which a developing country finds difficult to implement. In this paper, a three-storey unsymmetrical building to be considered for the study is isolated by varying the mass of the foundation beam, (Transfer beam) thereby reducing the number of isolators in the building. Furthermore, the mode shapes and frequencies of the structure without base isolation and with base isolation considering mass of the base isolation system as a key factor were analysed and compared and hence the variation in the mass of the isolation system has a promising effect in altering the higher modes of vibration. The analysis is prolonged using another method using UBC-1997 provisions and compared. In both the methods, the influence of the mass of the isolation system has a remarkable effect in altering the higher modes of vibration.
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1. Introduction

The consideration of higher mode effect is neglected in many of the design codes but the contribution of higher modes is very important exclusively for taller buildings. Moreover, in initial stages, higher modes cause overturning effect but it vanishes at the end [1]. The influence of higher modes have an effect in drift and acceleration of the base slab[2]. The higher frequency modes respond in static state during low frequencies. The contribution of higher mode is significant for the lateral force distribution during seismic action [3]. The research was conducted to study the effect of higher mode is in affecting the vertical distribution of base shear in an isolated structure under disastrous earthquakes. Furthermore, the non-linear behaviour isolation systems which causes variation in frequencies transmitted to the superstructure is also significantly depend on higher modes [4]. The contribution of higher modes is highly important for a base-isolated building rather than a non-isolated building. The effect of higher modes aid in shifting of the fundamental modes of the region of dominant earthquake energy and hence the damping of the structure is enhanced [5]. The dominant variation in fundamental mode is attained with a three-storey symmetrical building with STRP as the isolator and considering stiffness as the major parameter for the analysis and the authors concluded that only fundamental mode experiences anticipated change for the material considered[6]. The objective of this study is to investigate the performance of a 3-storey unsymmetrical residential building located in a severe seismic prone area with the application of base isolation system. The soil at the site is of medium type. The response of this building with fixed base using seismic coefficient method has been reported elsewhere [7]. In the present investigation, the variation of higher modes are validated by varying the mass of the isolation system and compared with design principles using UBC-1997 provisions.

2. Proposed Methodology

The building considered for the analysis is a three-storey unsymmetrical building located in Seismic zone IV. The structure is a moment-resisting frame. The properties of the building element are presented in Table.1. The building is a residential building with three bays in x-direction and two bays in y direction. The height of each storey is 3 m each. The investigation on the seismic isolation using Scrap tire rubber pads was analyzed and the authors eventually concluded that there is an anticipated change in first mode only [6] with the implementation of STRP bearing as the base isolator. This aspect has been significantly considered for the analysis. The investigation is enhanced by the reduction in the number of base isolators despite of the type of the isolators with the variations in mass of the isolation system. The study consists of varying the mass of the isolation system in six different cases. For instance, the building is analysed for the fixed base. The second case consists of analysis
of the base isolated building with six different variations in the mass of the isolation system. The analysis is also enriched by the application of UBC-97 provisions [8] and ETABS Software [9], where the mode values are reported therein.

**Table 1.** Properties of the building [7]

| S.No | Properties                              | Values       |
|------|-----------------------------------------|--------------|
| 1    | Yield strength of steel, \( f_y \)      | 415 Mpa      |
| 2    | Compressive strength of concrete        | 20 Mpa       |
| 3    | Thickness of peripheral walls           | 230 mm       |
| 4    | Thickness of internal walls             | 115 mm       |
| 5    | Density of Brick Masonry                | 20 kN/m³     |
| 6    | Density of concrete                     | 25 kN/m³     |
| 7    | Live load on roof                       | 1.5 kN/m²    |
| 8    | Live load on roof                       | 20 Mpa       |

**Figure 1.** RC Residential Building (a) Plan of the building (d) Elevation of the building [7]

### 2.1. Analysis for the fixed Base building

From the properties in Table 1, the arrived values of stiffness and mass in each stories are \( k_1 = k_2 = 4.600 \times 10^4 \) kN/m, \( k_3 = 2.6178 \times 10^4 \) kN/m, \( m_1 = 150.85 \) kNs²/m, \( m_2 = 150.85 \) KNS²/m and \( m_3 = 89.17 \) KNS²/m.

The system of equation (neglecting damping) is given as [6, 10]

\[
M \ddot{X} + KX = 0
\]

Equation (1)

The characteristic equation is given as

\[
| [K] - \omega_n^2 [M]| = 0
\]

Equation (1a)

The parameters in Equation (1) and Equation 1(a) are reported in [6, 10]

The values of the mass and stiffness is substituted [10] in eq.1a to 1b, the dynamic properties of the building is presented in Table 2.

**Mass Matrix**

\[
M = \begin{bmatrix}
m_1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & m_2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & m_3
\end{bmatrix} \text{ kNs}^2/\text{m} \quad \text{l(b)}
\]

**Stiffness Matrix**

\[
K = \begin{bmatrix}
k_1 + k_2 & -k_2 & 0 \\
-k_2 & k_2 + k_3 & -k_3 \\
0 & k_3 & k_3
\end{bmatrix} \quad \text{l(c)}
\]

**Mass Matrix**

\[
M = \begin{bmatrix}
150.85 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 150.85 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 89.17
\end{bmatrix} \text{ kNs}^2/\text{m} \quad \text{l(d)}
\]

**Stiffness Matrix**

\[
K = \begin{bmatrix}
920052 & -460062 & 0 \\
-460062 & 721806 & -261780 \\
0 & -261780 & 261780
\end{bmatrix} \text{kN/m} \quad \text{l(e)}
\]
Table 2. Dynamic Properties of Fixed-Base Building

| Mode  | 1         | 2         | 3         |
|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Frequency (Hz) | 4.3161    | 10.2633   | 15.0365   |
| Mode Shape     | 1.0000    | 1.0000    | 1.0000    |
|                | 1.7587    | 0.6363    | -0.9269   |
|                | 2.3465    | -1.5277   | 0.4543    |

2.2. Analysis for Base isolated building

2.2.1. Method 1: Varying of Mass of the isolation system

The concept of reducing the number of number of isolators is briefed in NZ Draft [11] in which the size of the transfer beam could be modified thereby reducing the number of isolators significantly. The illustrated picture is shown in Figure 2. This concept is evaluated with the mass of the transfer beam and substantially the participation of higher modes which is essential for the current research is established.
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The solution of this equation is given by [10]:

\[ [M] \dddot{\{v\}} + [C] \dot{\{v\}} + [K] \{v\} = -[M] \dddot{\{r\}} \dddot{u}_g \]

Equation 2

For instance the stiffness of the bearing and the mass of the isolation varies for different cases. The stiffness of the isolator \( k_b \) is computed based on the equation 1.

\[ k_b = m_b \left( \frac{2 \pi}{T_D} \right)^2 \]

Equation 2(a)

Where \( T_D \) is the design Time Period and taken as 2.5 seconds [12], \( m_b \) is the mass of the isolation system.

The determination of stiffness of the isolator for different cases are computed and presented in Table 1.
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The analysis consists of varying the mass of the isolation system in six different probabilities to spot the variations in time period and frequencies other than fundamental period and fundamental frequencies respectively. The modal analysis is performed as per the equation 2a to 2e for different cases by the variation of the mass of the isolation system [13].

Mass Matrix

\[ M = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_3 \end{bmatrix} \]

2(b)
Stiffness Matrix  \( K = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 + k_2 & -k_2 & 0 \\ -k_2 & k_2 + k_3 & -k_3 \\ 0 & k_3 & k_3 \end{bmatrix} \) \[2(c)\]

Combined Mass Matrix  \( M_c = \begin{bmatrix} M & m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \\ m_1 & m_1 & 0 & 0 \\ m_2 & 0 & m_2 & 0 \\ m_3 & 0 & 0 & m_3 \end{bmatrix} \) \[2(d)\]

Where  \( m_s = \) mass of the isolation system and  \( M_c = m_s + m_b \),
where,  \( m_i = m_1 + m_2 + m_3 \)

The cases are  \( m_b = m_s, 0.25 m_s, 0.5 m_s = 2/3 m_s, m_b = 2 m_s, m_b = 3 m_s \)

It is assumed that the value of  \( m_b < m_s \) but are of same magnitude [14].

Combined Stiffness Matrix  \( K_c = \begin{bmatrix} k_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_1 + k_2 & -k_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -k_2 & k_2 + k_3 & -k_3 \\ 0 & 0 & -k_3 & k_3 \end{bmatrix} \) \[2(e)\]

The values of the mass and stiffness is substituted in eq.2a to 2e, the dynamic properties of the building is presented for six different cases are reported in Table.3, Table.4, Table.5, Table.6, Table.7 and Table.8 respectively.

**Table 3. Dynamic Properties of Base Isolated Building (\( m_b = m_s \))**

| Mode | Frequency (Hz) | Mode Shape | Mode Shape | Mode Shape | Mode Shape |
|------|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
|      |                | 1          | 2          | 3          | 4          |
|      | 0.2826         | 5.7875     | 10.6943    | 15.2343    |            |
| Mode Shape | 1.0000         | 1.0000     | 1.0000     | 1.0000     |            |
|      | 0.0027         | -1.1184    | -3.8315    | -7.7798    |            |
|      | 0.0043         | -2.1853    | -3.4705    | 4.8096     |            |
|      | 0.0054         | -3.1569    | 3.5923     | -3.7392    |            |

**Table 4. Dynamic Properties of Base Isolated Building (\( m_b = \frac{1}{2} m_s \))**

| Mode | Frequency (Hz) | Mode Shape | Mode Shape | Mode Shape | Mode Shape |
|------|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
|      | 0.2308         | 6.6558     | 11.1946    | 15.4971    |            |
| Mode Shape | 1.0000         | 1.0000     | 1.0000     | 1.0000     |            |
|      | 0.0018         | -0.7405    | -2.0996    | -4.0255    |            |
|      | 0.0029         | -1.6297    | -2.4151    | 1.3553     |            |
|      | 0.0036         | -2.5576    | 1.0651     | -2.0564    |            |

**Table 5. Dynamic Properties of Base Isolated Building (\( m_b = \frac{2}{3} m_s \))**

| Mode | Frequency (Hz) | Mode Shape | Mode Shape | Mode Shape | Mode Shape |
|------|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
|      | 0.2527         | 6.2751     | 10.9393    | 15.3565    |            |
| Mode Shape | 1.0000         | 1.0000     | 1.0000     | 1.0000     |            |
|      | 0.0021         | -0.8772    | -2.6729    | -5.2702    |            |
|      | 0.0035         | -1.8169    | -2.7540    | 2.4956     |            |
|      | 0.0043         | -2.7363    | 1.8789     | -2.6100    |            |

**Table 6. Dynamic Properties of Base Isolated Building (\( m_b = \frac{1}{4} m_s \))**

| Mode | Frequency (Hz) | Mode Shape | Mode Shape | Mode Shape | Mode Shape |
|------|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
|      | 0.1788         | 7.8567     | 12.6960    | 17.1277    |            |
| Mode Shape | 1.0000         | 1.0000     | 1.0000     | 1.0000     |            |
|      | 0.0011         | -0.3706    | -0.9696    | -1.7655    |            |
|      | 0.0017         | -1.2440    | -2.0025    | -0.6239    |            |
|      | 0.0022         | -2.4362    | -0.1414    | -1.1277    |            |
Table 7. Dynamic Properties of Base Isolated Building (m_b = 2m_s)

| Mode | Frequency (Hz) | Mode Shape |
|------|----------------|------------|
| 1    | 0.3263         | 1.0000     |
| 2    | 5.220          | -1.6649    |
| 3    | 10.4874        | -6.7453    |
| 4    | 15.1375        | -14.0625   |

Table 8. Dynamic Properties of Base Isolated Building (m_b = 3m_s)

| Mode | Frequency (Hz) | Mode Shape |
|------|----------------|------------|
| 1    | 0.3461         | 1.0000     |
| 2    | 4.9468         | -2.2627    |
| 3    | 10.4079        | -10.0674   |
| 4    | 15.1014        | -21.2087   |

2.2.2. Method 2: As per UBC-97 Code provisions

The system with base isolation shown in Fig. 1(d) is represented by Equation (3). The solution of this equation is given by [10]:

\[
[M_c]{\ddot{v}} + [C_c]{\dot{v}} + [K_c]{v} = -[M_c]{\ddot{r}} \tag{3}
\]

The Maximum Vertical Load (for each column) at the base of the structure are determined from ETABS Software (Static Analysis)[15] which is denoted as V_1, V_2, V_3 and V_4. The stiffness of each of the column with respect to the column loads are determined using the expression,

\[
K_{eff} = m \left( \frac{2\pi}{T_D} \right)^2 \tag{3a}
\]

where k_{ais} is the bearing stiffness, m is the mass of the isolation system and T_D is the Target Design Time Period (Here it is 2.5 secs) [12].

From the ETABS analysis, V_1 = 571 kN, V_2 = 466 kN, V_3 = 439 kN and V_4 = 605 kN
Therefore, the weight of the isolated structure (W) = 2(605)+ 4(571)+4(466)+2(439) = 6236 kN
Mass of the Total isolated structure, m = \frac{W}{g} = \frac{6236}{9.81} = 636 kNs^2/m
m_1 = 151 kNs^2/m, m_2 = 151 kNs^2/m and m_3 = 89 kNs^2/m
Therefore, k_1 = k_2 = 3.793 x 10^8 N/m \quad k_3 = 2.158 x 10^8 N/m.
Hence, m_b = m - (m_1 + m_2 + m_3) = 636 - (151+151+89) = 245 kNs^2/m

The stiffness of the bearing with respect to Maximum Vertical Column loads are presented in Table.4
Table 9. Bearing stiffness

| Maximum Vertical Column Load (kN) | Bearing stiffness (kN/m) |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 605                              | 390                     |
| 571                              | 368                     |
| 466                              | 300                     |
| 439                              | 283                     |

Composite bearing stiffness $k_b$ = Stiffness of column in each joint at the base of the structure
= $2(390)+4(368)+4(300)+2(283)$
= 4018 kN/m.

The combined mass matrix and the combined stiffness matrix for the base isolated system respectively are given by Eqs. 3(b) and 3(c).[10]

Combined mass matrix, $M_c = \begin{bmatrix} m & m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \\ m_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ m_2 & 0 & m_2 & 0 \\ m_3 & 0 & 0 & m_3 \end{bmatrix}$ 3(b)

Combined stiffness matrix, $K_c = \begin{bmatrix} k_b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_1 + k_2 & -k_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -k_2 & k_2 + k_3 & -k_3 \\ 0 & 0 & -k_3 & k_3 \end{bmatrix}$ 3(c)

The respective values of mass and stiffness are applied in the matrices and the application of MATLAB [13] is used and the mode values are determined and presented in Table.10

Table 10. Dynamic Properties of Base Isolated Building

| Mode | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Frequency (cps) | 0.3991 | 6.3627 | 10.9888 | 15.3830 |
| Mode Shape | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
|           | 0.0054 | -0.8431 | -2.5319 | -4.9689 |
|           | 0.0087 | -1.7683 | -2.6689 | 2.2188 |
|           | 0.0109 | -2.6864 | 1.6752  | -2.4753 |

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Higher mode participation by varying the mass of the isolation system

From the investigation, it is evident that there is a reduction in frequency with base isolation despite of the type of the isolator used. Nevertheless, the need to spot the variations in higher modes other than first mode of vibration is essential [1,2,3]. The behavior of modes in each case is presented in Table 11.

Table 11. Participation of Modes

| S.No | Relation between mass of the isolation system and mass of the structure | Modes participating the vibration |
|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|      |                                                                     | Mode 1 | Mode 2 | Mode 3 | Mode 4 |
| 1    | $m_b = m_s$                                                          | ✓      | ✓      |        |        |
| 2    | $m_b = \frac{1}{2}m_s$                                               | ✓      | ✓      | ✓      |        |
| 3    | $m_b = \frac{2}{3}m_s$                                               | ✓      | ✓      |        |        |
| 4    | $m_b = \frac{1}{4}m_s$                                               | ✓      | ✓      | ✓      | ✓      |
| 5    | $m_b = 2m_s$                                                         | ✓      | ✓      |        |        |
| 6    | $m_b = 3m_s$                                                         | ✓      |        |        |        |
3.2. Identification of Higher mode participation using UBC-97 Provisions

In the base isolation system, the first mode is called as the Isolation modes in which isolation system actively participate in vibration and in second mode which is called as structure mode; both isolation system and structure participate in vibration [10]. From this method 2 analysis, it is evident that the first and second mode actively participates in the vibration. Nevertheless, lesser participation is attained in other higher modes and similar observations are reported [10].

4. Conclusion

From the study, following conclusions are drawn

• The participation of all the modes is attained by considering mass of the isolation system equal to $\frac{1}{4}$ of the mass of the structure which is the promising aspect to be considered. Moreover, the participation of first two modes which is highly attained in isolation system is reported in other cases. Furthermore, in second method, the isolation mode and structure modes actively participate in vibration.

• The need for higher mode participation is essential to reduce the drift and acceleration of the building. Hence, other factors which trigger for the participation of higher modes of vibration are to be identified.
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