Abstract: First-order isosstructural magnetoeelastic transition with large magnetization difference and controllable thermal hysteresis are highly desirable in the development of high-performance magnetocaloric materials used for energy-efficient and environmental-friendly magnetic refrigeration. Here, we demonstrate large magnetocaloric effect covering the temperature range from 325 K to 245 K in Laves phase Hf$_{1-x}$Ta$_x$Fe$_2$ ($x = 0.13, 0.14, 0.15, 0.16$) alloys undergoing the magnetoelastic transition from antiferromagnetic (AFM) state to ferromagnetic (FM) state on decreasing the temperature. It is shown that with the increase of Ta content, the nature of AFM to FM transition is gradually changed from second-order to first-order. Based on the direct measurements, large reversible adiabatic temperature change ($\Delta T_{ad}$) values of 2.7 K and 3.4 K have been achieved under a low magnetic field change of 1.5 T in the Hf$_{0.85}$Ta$_{0.15}$Fe$_2$ and Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$ alloys with the first-order magnetoelastic transition, respectively. Such remarkable magnetocaloric response is attributed to the rather low thermal hysteresis upon the transition as these two alloys are close to intermediate composition point of second-order transition converting to first-order transition.
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1. Introduction

Magnetic refrigeration, as an alternative cooling technology with the promises of high-efficiency and environment-friendly, has been recognized as a competitive substitute to replace the conventional gas-compression based refrigeration technology. Magnetic refrigeration is designed on the basis of magnetocaloric effect (MCE) [1], which is an intrinsic magneto-thermodynamic property of magnetic materials, using the isothermal magnetic entropy change ($\Delta S_M$) or the adiabatic temperature change ($\Delta T_{ad}$) on exposure to a magnetic field as the performance index. From the viewpoint of practical applications, high-performance magnetocaloric materials, especially those with large reversible MCE actuated at relatively low magnetic field (no more than 2 T), are highly sought in accelerating the commercialization process of magnetic refrigeration. In recent years, the utilization of first-order magnetic transition with large magnetization jump to achieve giant MCE has become the focus of discussion. Several representative alloy systems, such as La-Fe-Si [2–4], Mn-Fe-P-As (Ge, Si) [5–7], Gd-Si-Ge [8,9] and Heusler type Ni-Mn-based alloys [10–15], have been well developed.

In general, the first-order magnetic transition can be categorized into two types [16,17]. One is the magnetostructural transition, where the crystal structure is simultaneously changed in association with the magnetic transition, and the other is the magnetoelastic transition without symmetry breaking. In the case of magnetostructural transition, it is frequently observed that giant magnetocaloric response is achieved for the first application.
but significantly weakened for the subsequent field cycling [10], suffering from the large thermal hysteresis rendered by the misfits of two lattices. Such irreversibility in MCE thus raises strong reservations in potential applications. In contrast, for the magnetoelastic transition with the isosymmetric characteristic, the shortcomings relevant to the hysteresis and irreversibility can be effectively manipulated through composition tuning [6].

An interesting system exhibiting the magnetoelastic transition is the itinerant-electron pseudobinary Hf$_{1-x}$Ta$_x$Fe$_2$ alloys with hexagonal (MgZn$_2$-type) Laves phase structure, experiencing the iso-structural transition from antiferromagnetic (AFM) state to ferromagnetic (FM) state on decreasing the temperature [18–21]. In these alloys, the AFM to FM transition temperature is very sensitive to the Ta content, where increasing Ta content results in considerable decrease in the AFM to FM transition temperature [18]. In contrast, the Néel temperature for the transition from paramagnetic (PM) state to AFM state is less influenced by the content of Ta. For the Hf$_{1-x}$Ta$_x$Fe$_2$ alloys with $x = 0.125 - 0.175$, the Néel temperature is located within temperature range from 334 K to 338 K [22]. A detailed phase diagram can be found in the reference [18], where $x = 0.13$ is demonstrated to be close to the critical point for the convergence of AFM, FM and PM states. It is noted that these alloys are known to exhibit the distinct negative thermal expansion behaviors, as the AFM to FM transition is accompanied by the expansion in the lattice [18]. Furthermore, the discontinuity in the magnetization across the AFM to FM transition can also be served as the basis to explore large MCE [20,23,24]. Even though large $\Delta T_M$ values have been demonstrated in various alloys [23,24], direct measurements on $\Delta T_{ad}$ are still lack. It is worth mentioning that according to the relation $\Delta T_{ad} \approx -T \Delta S_M/C_p$, a lower specific heat capacity $C_p$ is very favorable to achieve higher $\Delta T_{ad}$ [25]. Thus, when taking into account the relatively low $C_p$ in the Hf$_{1-x}$Ta$_x$Fe$_2$ alloys [26], they are very promising candidates to demonstrate considerably large $\Delta T_{ad}$ values. Especially, at the borderline of a first-order and a second-order transition [17], a combination of large $\Delta T_{ad}$ and good cyclic performance can be expected.

In this work, the magnetocaloric properties in the Hf$_{1-x}$Ta$_x$Fe$_2$ ($x = 0.13, 0.14, 0.15, 0.16$) alloys were explored. Here, the composition selection was aimed at exploring the MCE in the vicinity of room temperature, based on the phase diagram shown in the literature [18]. Results show that increasing the content of Ta results in the gradual decrease of the AFM to FM transition temperature and also the change of magnetic transition from the second-order to the first-order. For a straightforward evaluation of magnetocaloric properties, the $\Delta T_{ad}$ values were directly measured. In the vicinity of the intermediate composition point of second-order transition converting to first-order transition, large reversible $\Delta T_{ad}$ values of 2.7 K and 3.4 K have been demonstrated under a low magnetic field change of 1.5 T in the Hf$_{0.85}$Ta$_{0.15}$Fe$_2$ alloy and Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$ alloy, respectively, due to the rather low thermal hysteresis upon the first-order magnetic transition.

2. Materials and Methods

The polycrystalline alloys with the nominal compositions of Hf$_{1-x}$Ta$_x$Fe$_2$ ($x = 0.13, 0.14, 0.15, 0.16$) were prepared by arc-melting under the protection of high purity argon atmosphere, using the high-purity (4N) metal elements as the raw materials. For achieving a good composition homogeneity, each alloy was melted four times. The as-cast alloys were then encapsulated into vacuumed quartz tubes and isothermally annealed at 1273 K for one week, followed by quenching into water.

The crystal structure analyses were performed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) with Cu-Kα radiation in a Rigaku SmartLab diffractometer (Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a temperature control attachment. The iso-field (M(T) curves) and iso-thermal (M(H) curves) magnetization measurements were carried out in a Quantum Design MPMS-3 system (Quantum Design, San Diego, CA, USA), using the disc shaped samples with the dimension of $\phi 3 \times 1$ mm and the weight of ~0.09 g. To reduce the influence of internal demagnetization field, the magnetic field was applied along circular plane. The specific heat capacity ($C_p$) was measured by the modulated differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)
technology (TA-DSC 25). Direct measurements of adiabatic temperature change (ΔT_{ad})
induced by magnetic field change were performed in a self-designed experimental device.
The temperature range for such device is 223–343 K and the magnetic field, produced by
NbFeB permanent magnet in Halbach array, is 1.5 T. Since the magnetic field is stationary,
the adiabatic magnetization and demagnetization processes are realized through moving
the sample into and out of the uniform magnetic field region, where the sample is placed
in a movable rod controlled by servo motor. The time for move-in or move-out is 1 s.
Thus, the rate of magnetic field change is 1.5 T/s. The temperature change of the sample
(dimension: Φ10 × 2 mm, ~2 g) induced by magnetic field change applied along circular
plane was measured by a thermocouple directly attached to the sample surface.

3. Results

Figure 1a shows the powder XRD patterns for the Hf_{1-x}Ta_xFe_2 (x = 0.13, 0.14, 0.15,
0.16) alloys measured at the room temperature. It is seen that all the alloys present the
characteristic of hexagonal MgZn_2-type structure, with the space group of P6_3/mmc (C14
Laves phase). In the lattice, Fe atoms are expected to be located at 2a and 6h sites and Hf/Ta
atoms at 4f site [19]. Figure 1b shows the compositional dependence of lattice parameters
for the Hf_{1-x}Ta_xFe_2 alloys as determined from the XRD patterns. With the increase of Ta
content, the lattice parameters a and c almost linearly decrease. The decrease in lattice
parameters a and c indicates the shrink of lattice volume, which should be attributed to the
relatively lower atomic radius of Ta (1.43 Å) with respect to that of Hf (1.56 Å).
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Figure 1. (a) Powder X-ray diffraction patterns for the Hf_{1-x}Ta_xFe_2 (x = 0.13, 0.14, 0.15,
0.16) alloys; (b) Compositional dependence of lattice parameters.

Figure 2a shows the temperature dependence of magnetization (M(T) curves) under
the field of 0.005 T for the Hf_{1-x}Ta_xFe_2 alloys. For each alloy, the abrupt change in
magnetization on cooling corresponds to the transition from high-temperature AFM phase
to low-temperature FM phase. Apparently, the AFM to FM transition temperature (T_c) is
susceptible to the composition variation and \( T_f \) gradually decreases as the increase of Ta content. It is noted that for the Hf_{0.87}Ta_{0.13}Fe_{2}, Hf_{0.86}Ta_{0.14}Fe_{2} and Hf_{0.85}Ta_{0.15}Fe_{2} alloys, the \( M(\text{T}) \) branch on cooling is almost overlapped with that on heating, indicating the nature of second-order transition. Accordingly, the \( T_t \) temperatures for these three alloys are determined to be 323 K (Hf_{0.87}Ta_{0.13}Fe_{2}), 302 K (Hf_{0.86}Ta_{0.14}Fe_{2}) and 277 K (Hf_{0.85}Ta_{0.15}Fe_{2}), respectively. On the other hand, for the Hf_{0.84}Ta_{0.16}Fe_{2} alloy, a thermal hysteresis of \( \sim 2 \) K between cooling and heating paths can be observed and the averaged \( T_t \) is estimated to be 248 K, suggesting the nature of first-order transition. Nevertheless, such thermal hysteresis remains to be quite low, which is conducive to the reversibility of MCE. Based on the \( M(\text{T}) \) curves, it is inferred that the increase of Ta content allows a gradual evolution in the nature of magnetic transition from second-order to first-order.
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**Figure 2a** shows the temperature dependence of magnetization (\( M(\text{T}) \) curves) under the field of 0.005 T for the Hf_{1-x}Ta_{x}Fe_{2} \((x = 0.13, 0.14, 0.15, 0.16)\) alloys. \( M(\text{T}) \) curves were measured in a discontinuous protocol. Prior to the measurements at each temperature, the sample was firstly zero field heated to a temperature well above the AFM to FM transition temperature and then zero field cooled down to the measuring temperature. After that, the field-up and field-down \( M(\text{H}) \) curves were measured. For
the Hf$_{0.87}$Ta$_{0.13}$Fe$_2$ alloy (Figure 3a), typical ferromagnetic behavior can be observed at the temperatures below $T_t$ (i.e., 323 K), where the magnitude of saturation magnetization gradually increases with decreasing the temperature. At the temperatures above 323 K, the field dependence of magnetization tends to exhibit a linear relation, showing the typical characteristic of antiferromagnetic state. It is noted that there is no obvious magnetic hysteresis between field-up and field-down $M(H)$ curves. The $M(H)$ curves for the Hf$_{0.86}$Ta$_{0.14}$Fe$_2$ alloy exhibit similar characteristics with those of Hf$_{0.87}$Ta$_{0.13}$Fe$_2$ alloy, as demonstrated in Figure 3b.

In the case of Hf$_{0.85}$Ta$_{0.15}$Fe$_2$ alloy (Figure 3c), the $M(H)$ curves exhibit the typical characteristic of ferromagnetism with no obvious magnetic hysteresis at the temperatures below $T_t$ (i.e., 277 K). Above 277 K, step-like magnetization behavior can be observed, where a sudden jump in the slope followed by a rapid increase in magnetization at a certain critical field $\mu_0 H_{cr}$ takes place. This phenomenon is an indication of magnetic field-induced metamagnetic transition from AFM state to FM state. It is noted that magnetic field-induced AFM to FM transition is fully reversible, with very low magnetic hysteresis (e.g., ~0.1 T at 278 K) between the field-up and field-down isothermal magnetization curves. In addition, the critical field $\mu_0 H_{cr}$ to drive the AFM to FM transition is gradually elevated as the increase of temperature. As for the $M(H)$ curves of Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$ alloy demonstrated in Figure 3d, sharp step-like magnetization behaviors can be observed above $T_t$, indicating the occurrence of metamagnetic transition. Even though the magnetic hysteresis is widened when compared to that of Hf$_{0.85}$Ta$_{0.15}$Fe$_2$ alloy, it remains in a relatively low level, e.g., ~0.2 T at 252 K.

In order to verify the nature of magnetic transition for the Hf$_{1-x}$Ta$_x$Fe$_2$ alloys, the Arrott plots were calculated by using the field-up isothermal magnetization curves [27].

Figure 3. Field-up and field-down isothermal $M(H)$ curves for the Hf$_{1-x}$Ta$_x$Fe$_2$ alloys. (a) Hf$_{0.87}$Ta$_{0.13}$Fe$_2$; (b) Hf$_{0.86}$Ta$_{0.14}$Fe$_2$; (c) Hf$_{0.85}$Ta$_{0.15}$Fe$_2$; (d) Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$. 
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As shown in Figure 4. Since there is no negative slope for the Arrott plots in Figure 4a,b, the magnetic transition for the Hf$_{0.87}$Ta$_{0.13}$Fe$_2$ alloy and the Hf$_{0.86}$Ta$_{0.14}$Fe$_2$ alloy can be confirmed to be second-order. In contrast, the typical S-shape of Arrott plots manifests the first-order nature of magnetic transition for the Hf$_{0.85}$Ta$_{0.15}$Fe$_2$ alloy (Figure 4c) and the Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$ alloy (Figure 4d). Thus, the change of second-order transition to first-order transition appears at a tricritical point, which should lay somewhere at the composition $x = 0.14$–0.15.

As the Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$ alloy exhibits a sharp first-order magnetoelastic transition, temperature dependent XRD measurements were performed in order to acquire deep insights into the crystal structure evolution concomitant with the magnetoelastic transition. Figure 5a shows the temperature dependent XRD patterns for the Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$ alloy across the first-order AFM-FM transition. It is seen that the hexagonal symmetry for the Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$ alloy remains unchanged upon the magnetic transition, confirming the characteristic of a magnetoelastic transition. Figure 5b plots the change of lattice parameters $a$ and $c$ as a function of temperature. On decreasing the temperature, the lattice constant $c$ exhibits a gradual decrease in the measured temperature region, i.e., positive thermal expansion. In contrast, a sharp increase in the lattice constant $a$ can be observed upon the occurrence of AFM-FM transition, with the ratio $\Delta a/a$ of 0.26%, showing the characteristic of negative thermal expansion. It is noted that the obvious discontinuity in the lattice parameter $a$ is a reflection of first-order magnetoelastic transition. Figure 5c shows the temperature dependence of unit cell volume. It is evidenced that the magnetoelastic transition is accompanied by the increase in the unit cell volume and the volume change $\Delta V/V$ is estimated to be 0.51%. Such negative thermal expansion should be attributed to the large discontinuity in the lattice parameter $a$.
Based on the field-up $M(H)$ curves, the magnetic field induced entropy change $\Delta S_M$ was calculated by using the Maxwell relation [1]. Under the field change of 1.5 T, the $\Delta S_M$ values of $-1.72$ J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$, $-1.77$ J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$, $-3.04$ J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ and $-5.21$ J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ can be obtained for the Hf$_{0.87}$Ta$_{0.13}$Fe$_2$, Hf$_{0.86}$Ta$_{0.14}$Fe$_2$, Hf$_{0.85}$Ta$_{0.15}$Fe$_2$ and Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$ alloys, respectively, as demonstrated in Figure 6. In addition, under the field change of 5 T, the maximum $\Delta S_M$ values are $-4.0$ J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ (Hf$_{0.87}$Ta$_{0.13}$Fe$_2$), $-4.17$ J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ (Hf$_{0.86}$Ta$_{0.14}$Fe$_2$), $-4.97$ J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ (Hf$_{0.85}$Ta$_{0.15}$Fe$_2$) and $-6.26$ J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ (Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$). With the evolution of magnetic transition from second-order to first-order, the maximum $\Delta S_M$ values are gradually increased. Moreover, the refrigerant capacity (RC) for the present alloys was also determined based on the $\Delta S_M$ values [1]. The RC values under the field change of 5 T are 135 J kg$^{-1}$, 131 J kg$^{-1}$, 126 J kg$^{-1}$ and 173 J kg$^{-1}$ for the Hf$_{0.87}$Ta$_{0.13}$Fe$_2$, Hf$_{0.86}$Ta$_{0.14}$Fe$_2$, Hf$_{0.85}$Ta$_{0.15}$Fe$_2$ and Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$ alloys, respectively.

It has been reported that the order of magnetic transition can also be quantitatively analyzed by calculating the power law exponent $n$ based on the $\Delta S_M$ values [17], i.e., $n = \frac{\text{dln} | \Delta S_M |}{\text{dln} H}$. In the temperature range of magnetic transition, $n > 2$ represents the first-order transition, whereas $n < 2$ indicates the second-order transition. The temperature dependence of the exponent $n$ for the present alloys under the field of 1.5 T was also calculated and presented in Figure 6. For the Hf$_{0.87}$Ta$_{0.13}$Fe$_2$ and Hf$_{0.86}$Ta$_{0.14}$Fe$_2$ alloys, the exponent $n$ exhibits a trend of 1$\rightarrow$minimum$\rightarrow$2, evidencing the second-order transition [17]. For the Hf$_{0.85}$Ta$_{0.15}$Fe$_2$ and Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$ alloys, the magnitude of exponent $n$ higher than 2 clearly demonstrates the first-order transition [17]. The determination of magnetic transition order by the exponent $n$ is consistent with the results obtained by the Arrott plots.

Adiabatic temperature change ($\Delta T_{ad}$), as an important index of MCE, allows a straightforward assessment on the magnetocaloric properties [1]. Here, the $\Delta T_{ad}$ values for the studied Hf$_{1-x}$Ta$_x$Fe$_2$ alloys under a low field change of 1.5 T were directly measured under the discontinuous protocol. Figure 7a shows the temperature dependence of $\Delta T_{ad}$ values on cooling for the studied Hf$_{1-x}$Ta$_x$Fe$_2$ alloys applying the magnetic field of 1.5 T. For the Hf$_{0.87}$Ta$_{0.13}$Fe$_2$ and Hf$_{0.86}$Ta$_{0.14}$Fe$_2$ alloys with the second-order magnetic transition, the temperature evolution of $\Delta T_{ad}$ values is moderate and gradual, covering a wide temperature range. Under the field change $\mu_0 H$ of 1.5 T, the maximum $\Delta T_{ad}$ values of 1.4 K and 1.7 K can be obtained around the AFM-FM transition for the Hf$_{0.87}$Ta$_{0.13}$Fe$_2$ and Hf$_{0.86}$Ta$_{0.14}$Fe$_2$ alloys, respectively. The temperature dependence of $\Delta T_{ad}$ values for the Hf$_{0.85}$Ta$_{0.15}$Fe$_2$ and Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$ alloys with the first-order magnetic transition is
sharp and abrupt, appearing in a relatively narrow temperature range. Accordingly, the maximum $\Delta T_{ad}$ values up to 2.7 K and 3.4 K can be achieved in the Hf$_{0.85}$Ta$_{0.15}$Fe$_2$ and Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$ alloys, respectively. It is shown that the height and width of the $\Delta T_{ad}$ curves for the Hf$_{1-x}$Ta$_x$Fe$_2$ alloys are in agreement with the first-order and second-order nature of the magnetic transitions. With the change of magnetic transition from second-order to first-order, the maximum $\Delta T_{ad}$ values are also gradually enhanced, in line with the evolution of $\Delta S_M$ values. It should be mentioned that although the $\Delta S_M$ values obtained in the present alloys are not very remarkable, the $\Delta T_{ad}$ values are quite impressive, especially for the alloys with the first-order magnetic transition. This effect could be due to the relatively low specific heat capacity $C_p$ for the Hf$_{1-x}$Ta$_x$Fe$_2$ alloys (e.g., $C_p = \sim 300$ J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ for the Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$ alloy, as shown in inset of Figure 7a), according to the relation $\Delta T_{ad} \approx -T \Delta S_M/C_p$. By using the $C_p$ and the $\Delta S_M$ value at 252 K (i.e., $5.21$ J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$) for the Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$ alloy, the maximum $\Delta T_{ad}$ value can be estimated to be 4.4 K under a magnetic field change $\mu_0 \Delta H$ of 1.5 T, being relatively higher than that obtained by direct measurements.

The reversibility of $\Delta T_{ad}$ for the magnetocaloric materials is of great importance for the potential applications. Considering the characteristic of zero hysteresis for the second-order magnetic transition, $\Delta T_{ad}$ values for the Hf$_{0.85}$Ta$_{0.15}$Fe$_2$ and Hf$_{0.86}$Ta$_{0.14}$Fe$_2$ alloys are fully reversible. For the Hf$_{0.85}$Ta$_{0.15}$Fe$_2$ and Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$ alloys with the first-order magnetic transition, they also present very good reversibility in the $\Delta T_{ad}$ values during the cyclic magnetization/demagnetization measurements. Figure 7b,c show the reversible behavior of $\Delta T_{ad}$ values for the Hf$_{0.85}$Ta$_{0.15}$Fe$_2$ at 275 K and the Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$ alloys at 253 K under the field change of 1.5 T, respectively. The Hf$_{1-x}$Ta$_x$Fe$_2$ alloys exhibit conventional MCE due to the transition from AFM state to FM state on cooling. Thus, the sample warms on magnetization and cools on demagnetization. Stable reversible $\Delta T_{ad}$ value of 2.7 K for the Hf$_{0.85}$Ta$_{0.15}$Fe$_2$ alloy and 3.4 K for the Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$ alloy can be achieved during the cyclic magnetization/demagnetization measurements. Such good reversibility should be attributed to that the rather low thermal hysteresis upon the magnetic transition, since these two alloys lay at the borderline of the first-order transition and the second-order

Figure 6. Temperature dependence of $\Delta S_M$ and exponent $n$ at the field of 1.5 T for the Hf$_{1-x}$Ta$_x$Fe$_2$ alloys. (a) Hf$_{0.87}$Ta$_{0.13}$Fe$_2$; (b) Hf$_{0.86}$Ta$_{0.14}$Fe$_2$; (c) Hf$_{0.85}$Ta$_{0.15}$Fe$_2$; (d) Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$.
transition. Table 1 compares the present reversible $\Delta T_{ad}$ values with those for some typical magnetocaloric materials. The present reversible $\Delta T_{ad}$ values are superior to those obtained in some alloys with magnetostructural transition.

![Figure 7. (a) Directly measured temperature dependence of $\Delta T_{ad}$ curve for Hf$_{1-x}$Ta$_x$Fe$_2$ ($x = 0.13, 0.14, 0.15, 0.16$) alloys under the field change of 1.5 T. The inset shows the specific heat capacity for the Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$ alloy. The cyclic adiabatic temperature change for (b) Hf$_{0.85}$Ta$_{0.15}$Fe$_2$ alloy at 275 K and (c) Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$ alloy at 253 K.](image)

Table 1. Comparisons on the maximum reversible adiabatic temperature changes of some typical magnetocaloric materials reported in the literatures.

| Alloys          | Transition Type | Temperature (K) | $\Delta T_{cyclic\, max}$ (K) | $\mu_0\Delta H$ (T) | Reference         |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Hf$_{0.85}$Ta$_{0.15}$Fe$_2$ | Magnetoelastic   | 275             | 2.7                           | 1.5                 | This work         |
| Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$ | Magnetoelastic   | 253             | 3.4                           | 1.5                 | This work         |
| MnFe$_{0.95}$P$_{0.595}$B$_{0.075}$Si$_{0.33}$ | Magnetoelastic   | 277             | 2.8                           | 1.1                 | [6]               |
| Fe$_{89}$Rh$_{31}$ | Magnetoelastic   | 321             | 6.2                           | 1.9                 | [28]              |
| Eu$_2$In        | Magnetoelastic   | 56              | 5.0                           | 2.0                 | [16]              |
| Mn$_{1.87}$Co$_{0.13}$Sn$_{0.05}$Ga$_{0.05}$ | Magnetoelastic   | 280             | 1.9                           | 5.0                 | [29]              |
| Mn$_3$GaC       | Magnetoelastic   | 150             | 3.0                           | 3.0                 | [30]              |
| Mn$_{0.92}$Cu$_{0.07}$Ge | Magnetostructural | 304             | 1.1                           | 1.1                 | [31]              |
| Ni$_{40}$Co$_9$Mn$_{42}$Sn$_{10}$ | Magnetostructural | 298             | 0.8                           | 1.5                 | [32]              |
| Ni$_{45.3}$Co$_{5.1}$Mn$_{36.1}$In$_{13.5}$ | Magnetostructural | 294             | 1.1                           | 1.5                 | [33]              |
| Ni$_{46}$Co$_3$Mn$_{35}$Cu$_{25}$In$_{14}$ | Magnetostructural | 272             | 2.5                           | 1.5                 | [34]              |
| Ni$_{50}$Mn$_{35}$In$_{15}$ | Magnetostructural | 286             | 1.5                           | 1.9                 | [35]              |
| Ni$_{45.7}$Mn$_{37.9}$Sn$_{11.8}$Co$_{4.9}$ | Magnetostructural | 330             | 1.2                           | 1.93                | [36]              |
| Ni$_{45.7}$Mn$_{36.6}$In$_{13.3}$Co$_{4.2}$ | Magnetostructural | 282             | 3.0                           | 1.95                | [37]              |
| Ni$_{51.3}$Mn$_{32.9}$In$_{15.8}$ | Magnetostructural | 251             | 0.7                           | 3.0                 | [38]              |
4. Conclusions

In summary, the magnetoelastic transition and the related magnetocaloric effect in Laves phase Hf$_{1-x}$Ta$_x$Fe$_2$ ($x = 0.13, 0.14, 0.15, 0.16$) alloys were investigated. It is shown that the increase of Ta content enables the gradual decrease of the AFM to FM transition temperature and also the conversion from the second-order transition to the first-order transition. Owing to the magnetization difference associated with such AFM to FM transition, the MCE is observed in these compounds in the temperature range from 325 K to 245 K. Under a low magnetic field change of 1.5 T, large $\Delta S_M$ values of $-3.04$ J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ and $-5.21$ J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ are obtained in the Hf$_{0.85}$Ta$_{0.15}$Fe$_2$ and Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$ alloys with the first-order magnetic transition. Moreover, large reversible $\Delta T_{ad}$ values up 2.7 K and 3.4 K are also achieved under a low magnetic field change of 1.5 T in the Hf$_{0.85}$Ta$_{0.15}$Fe$_2$ and Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$ alloys, respectively, being much higher than those obtained in some alloys with magnetostructural transition. Such remarkable magnetocaloric properties should be attributed to the rather low thermal hysteresis of first-order magnetic transition in the Hf$_{0.85}$Ta$_{0.15}$Fe$_2$ and Hf$_{0.84}$Ta$_{0.16}$Fe$_2$ alloys, as they lay at the borderline of the first-order and the second-order magnetic transition. Furthermore, the effect of doping elements will be explored in the following work towards tuning the magnetization difference across the magnetic transition and the resultant magnetocaloric properties.
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