Bioenergetic and ethological features of the first-calf heifers of different genotypes
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Abstract

The aim of this work was to study bioenergetic and ethological indicators in crossbred first-calf heifers compared to purebred first-calf heifers. The research was conducted on the first-calf heifers of Ukrainian Black-Pied dairy breed and first-generation crossesbreeds obtained as a result of crossing with Brown Swiss breed, as well as, on the first-calf heifers of Ukrainian Red-Pied dairy breed and first-generation crossesbreeds obtained as a result of crossing Ukrainian Red-Pied dairy with Montbéliarde breed. The purebred Black-and Red-Pied first-calf heifers prevailed over crossbreed first-calf heifers on the indicator of milk yield for 305 days of lactation by 106.45 and 218.36 kg, respectively. Accordingly, the indicators of average daily milk yield were also higher by 0.35 and 0.72 kg and the indicators of average daily milk yield adjusted by 4% fat content per 0.15 and 0.16 kg. At the same time, the indicator of average fat content in milk prevailed in crossesbreeds of Black-Pied and Brown Swiss breed by 0.08 % compared to purebred Black-Pied breeds, and in Red-Pied crossesbreeds with Montbéliarde breed by 0.16 % compared to purebred Red-Pied breeds.
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1. Introduction

In the structure of livestock production, the most acute problem is increasing in milk production, the solution of which is associated with improving the genetic resources of domestic cattle breeds and increasing their productive longevity (Ruban et al., 2017). In this regard, domestic dairy breeds require improvement in the direction of genetic potential for growth, development and productivity (Bryant et al., 2007; Clasen et al., 2019; Clasen et al., 2020). The use of the world's gene pool for this purpose, which is expressed in the import of animals of various genetic breeding, creates certain problems of an adaptive nature to various natural and climatic conditions (Dezetter et al., 2017; Borshch et al., 2019; Ruban et al., 2020). Full realization of the genetic potential is possible only in favorable conditions of feeding and keeping. It is known that under the influence of different environmental conditions (feeding, care and keeping, features of use, etc.), the formation of traits is not the same in animals with approximately the same heredity (Hazel et al., 2017; Puppel et al., 2018; Shonka-Martin et al., 2019). Holsteinized cattle, along with many positive characteristics, also have a number of problems associated with a decrease in reproduction level, productive longevity and product quality (Borsch et al., 2020). One of the methods for improving these characteristics of dairy cattle in commercial herds is crossbreeding (Tetens et al., 2014). It is a system of interbreeding, in which the offspring can be expected to have higher indicators of individual quantitative traits than in the parents due to their heterozygosity for many genes (Berry et al., 2014). In the United States, among improving breeds for Holstein breeds are the Jersey, Brown Swiss, Ayrshire and Montbéliarde and in the countries of the European Union and Scandinavia are the Swedish, Norwegian and Danish red breeds (Malchiodi et al., 2014). It was established that in crossbred animals of the first generation significantly improved such functional characteristics as productive longevity, qualitative
composition of milk, reproduction indicators (Borschch et al., 2018).

It is known that the development of any organism feature is determined by heredity (genotype) and living conditions. The quantitative characteristics that include milk productivity and the qualitative composition of milk are usually judged by phenotype. A common condition for all metabolic reactions in ruminants is using energy, heat, the only source of which in the body is the adenosine monophosphate compound. In contrast to organizational and technological factors, biological factors are used much less in assessing the energy efficiency of food production. Therefore, the study of milk productivity at the level of energy consumption of the body, food activity and environmental influences has theoretical and practical significance.

The aim of this work was to study bioenergetic and ethological indicators in crossbred first-calf heifers compared to purebred first-calf heifers.

2. Materials and methods

The research was conducted on the first-calf heifers of Ukrainian Black-Pied dairy breed and first-generation crossbreeds obtained as a result of crossing with Brown Swiss breed in LLC ARE “Azorel”, in Mykhailivka village (49°11’52" N, 28°43’29" E) of Vinnytsia district, Vinnytsia region, as well as, on the first-calf heifers of Ukrainian Red-Pied dairy breed and first-generation crossbreeds obtained as a result of crossing Ukrainian Red-Pied dairy with Montbéliarde breed in LLC “Azorel”, in Mukhivtsi village (48°57’01" N, 28°47’09" E) of Nemyrivskyi district, Vinnytsia region. Two groups of purebred and crossbreed heifers were formed in both farms, one with the number of 25 (ALLC “Mykhailivske”) and the other with 20 (LLC “Azorel”) heads in each. The same type of year-round cows feeding with complete feed mixtures is used in both farms.

The surface area of cows’ bodies was determined by the Fox and Tylutki, 1998, method:

$$SA = 0.09 \times SBW^{0.67}$$

Where $SA$ is the surface area of the body $m^2$; $SBW$ – live weight, kg.

The daily cows behavior was studied according to the method to which during 2 consecutive days every 10 minutes in experimental groups, the number of cows were recorded which actively or passively consumed food, rested standing or lying near the feeder or on the litter, moved, consumed water during the observation period.

All data are presented as the means±standard error of the mean. Student’s $t$-test was used to estimate statistical significance of the obtained values. Data were considered significant at $P < 0.05$, $P < 0.01$, $P < 0.001$.

3. Results and discussion

According to the results of Table 1, the purebred Black- and Red-Pied first-calf heifers prevailed over crossbred first-calf heifers on the indicator of milk yield for 305 days of lactation by 106.45 and 218.36 kg. Accordingly, the average indicators of daily milk yield was also higher by 0.35 and 0.72 kg and the average indicators of daily milk yield adjusted by 4 % fat content per 0.15 and 0.16 kg. At the same time, the indicator of average fat content in milk prevailed over in crossbreeds of Black-Pied and Brown Swiss breeds by 0.08 % compared to purebred Black-Pied breeds, and in Red-Pied crossbreeds with the Montbéliarde breed by 0.16 % compared to purebred Red-Pied breeds. According to the indicator of average live weight, the purebred Black-Pied first-calf heifers prevailed over crossbreed first-calf heifers by 18.35 kg, while Red-Pied first-calf heifers were inferior to crossbreed first-calf heifers by 21.22 kg.

Table 1
Productive signs of first-calf heifers of various origins ($M \pm m$)

| Indicator                                      | Breed, pedigree                  | Black-Pied dairy | ½ Black-Pied dairy and ½ Brown Swiss | Red-Pied dairy | ½ Red-Pied dairy and ½ Montbéliarde |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|
| Live weight, kg                                | $n = 25$                         | 507.95 ± 7.17    | 489.60 ± 6.58                       | 510.39 ± 6.25  | 531.61 ± 7.54*                   |
| Milk yield for 305 days of lactation, kg       | $n = 25$                         | 6302.81 ± 33.29  | 6196.36 ± 28.43***                  | 6478.20 ± 38.31 | 6259.84 ± 33.72***               |
| Average daily milk yield, kg                   | $n = 25$                         | 20.66 ± 0.10     | 20.31 ± 0.09*                       | 21.24 ± 0.17   | 20.52 ± 0.08**                   |
| Average fat content in milk, %                 | $n = 25$                         | 3.74 ± 0.03      | 3.82 ± 0.09                         | 3.73 ± 0.05    | 3.89 ± 0.06*                    |
| Average daily milk yield is adjusted by 4% fat content, kg | $n = 20$                         | 16.59 ± 0.34     | 16.44 ± 0.28                        | 17.02 ± 0.26   | 16.86 ± 0.21                    |
| Body surface area, m²                           | $n = 20$                         | 5.84 ± 0.29      | 5.71 ± 0.23                         | 5.86 ± 0.19    | 6.03 ± 0.27                     |

Note: *$P < 0.05$; ***$P < 0.001$ as compared with Black-Pied dairy and Red-Pied dairy groups.

In highly productive herds, cows should resting on average 50 % of their time per day, 21 % of eating feed, 4 % of drinking, etc (Ruban et al., 2017). Special importance is given to rest in the lying position. The milk secretion improves during lying down because more blood passes through the udder. It was established that purebred Black- and Red-Pied first-calf heifers slightly prevailed over crossbreed first-calf heifers on indicator in the lying down position by 1.7 and 0.3 % and feed consumption by 0.1 and 0.3%, respectively (fig. 1). Crossbreed first-calf heifers had slightly higher values for standing and motor behavior.
According to the indicator of daily cost of exchange energy (EE), purebred Black-Pied first-calf heifers had an advantage by 2.04 MJ compared to crossbreeds, while Red-Pied first-calf heifers had a slight advantage by 0.06 MJ compared to crossbreed first-calf heifers (Table 2).

The purebred Black-and Red-Pied first-calf heifers had higher cost of exchange energy allocated with milk compared to crossbreed first-calf heifers by 0.42 and 0.82 MJ. They also had higher cost of exchange energy rates for heat products by 0.79 and 0.25 MJ. It was established that the cost of exchange energy for muscle activity prevailed over crossbreed first-calf heifers. Thus, in crossbreeds of Black-Pied and Brown Swiss cows, this indicator was higher by 0.02 MJ, and in crossbreeds of Red-Pied and Montbéliarde cows by 0.04 MJ compared to purebred cows.

According to the indicator of basic metabolism, which directly depends on the body weight of animals, purebred Black-Pied first-calf heifers prevailed over crossbreeds by 0.85 MJ, and crossbreeds of Red-Pied and Montbéliarde cows prevailed over purebred first-calf heifers by 0.97 MJ.

The amount of energy consumed per unit of livestock production and for supporting the body's vital functions allows us to determine the bioenergetic efficiency of various production technologies and characterizes their compliance with the biological needs of animals. Bioenergetic assessment of animals reflects the harmony of their development, so it is integrated and combines external, productive, technological and other features of the body. Therefore, in recent decades bioenergetic assessment has been used to evaluate technologies and technological processes and in selection during evaluating breeds. The purebred Black-Pied first-calf heifers prevailed over crossbreed first-calf heifers on indicator of energy cost per 1 kg of 4th milk by 0.04 MJ, and energy cost per 1 MJ of milk energy by 0.13 MJ (table). However, the crossbreed first-calf heifers obtained from crossing Black-Pied and Brown Swiss breeds prevailed according to the indicator of the energy allocated with milk per 1 kg of live weight. The opposite results were observed in the other part of the experiment. The crossbreeds of Red-Pied and Montbéliarde breeds were prevailed by 0.09 MJ to purebred
Red-Pied breeds on the indicator of energy cost per 1 kg of 4% of milk and by 0.03 MJ of energy cost per 1 MJ of milk energy. The purebred Red-Pied cows had higher indicators of energy allocated with milk per 1 kg of live weight by 0.025 MJ. According to the energy index indicator, which shows what part of net costs of energy feed is converted into milk energy, the purebred Black-and Red-Pied first-calf heifers prevailed over crossbreed first-calf heifers by 0.16 and 0.07%. The same trend was observed in relation to the productive indicator index (milk production adjusted by 4% fat content, based on 1 MJ of net energy). The purebred Black-and Red-Pied first-calf heifers had a slight advantage by 0.001 and 0.002 kg of milk fat content adjusted by 4% per 1 MJ.

The research of feed dry matter consumption have shown that crossbreed first-calf heifers of Black-Pied and Brown Swiss breeds prevailed over purebred first-calf heifers by 0.14 kg, and Red-Pied and Montbéliarde first-calf heifers by 0.07 kg (table.). Feed conversion was higher in purebred Black- and Red-Pied first-calf heifers by 0.025 and 0.040 kg of milk/kg of feed dry matter, respectively.

| Table 3 |
| --- |
| Bioenergetic characteristics of the first-calf heifers (M ± m) |

| Indicator | Black-Pied dairy | 1/2 Black-Pied dairy and 1/2 Brown Swiss | Red-Pied dairy | 1/2 Red-Pied dairy and 1/2 Montbéliarde |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Live weight, kg | 106.99 ± 1.54 | 104.08 ± 1.39 | 107.38 ± 1.43 | 110.71 ± 1.59 |
| Energy cost per 1 kg of 4% of milk, MJ | 6.28 ± 0.02 | 6.24 ± 0.01 | 6.22 ± 0.01 | 6.31 ± 0.02 |
| Energy cost per 1 MJ of milk energy, MJ | 1.695 ± 0.004 | 1.682 ± 0.004 | 1.681 ± 0.004 | 1.711 ± 0.006*** |
| Energy allocated with milk per 1 kg of live weight, MJ | 0.574 ± 0.004 | 0.586 ± 0.004*** | 0.587 ± 0.003 | 0.562 ± 0.002*** |
| Energy index, % | 58.94 | 58.78 | 59.50 | 58.43 |
| Productive index, kg MAF (4%)/MJ | 0.190 | 0.189 | 0.192 | 0.189 |

Note: *P < 0.05; ***P < 0.001 as compared with Black-Pied dairy and Red-Pied dairy groups

| Table 4 |
| --- |
| Duration of feed and dry matter consumption in first-calf heifers of the studied breeds (M ± m) |

| Indicator | Black-Pied dairy | 1/2 Black-Pied dairy and 1/2 Brown Swiss | Red-Pied dairy | 1/2 Red-Pied dairy and 1/2 Montbéliarde |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Dry matter consumption per day, kg | 19.78 ± 0.15 | 19.64 ± 0.14 | 20.12 ± 0.28 | 20.05 ± 0.23 |
| Conversion, kg of milk/kg of dry matter | 1.04 | 1.03 | 1.05 | 1.02 |

4. Conclusions

It was established that purebred Black- and Red-Pied first-calf heifers prevailed over crossbreed first-calf heifers on the indicator of milk yield for 305 days of lactation, daily cost of exchange energy and values of productive and energy indices. At the same time, the average fat content in milk prevailed in crossbreeds of Black-Pied and Brown Swiss breeds by 0.08% compared to purebred Black-Pied breed, and in Red-Pied crossbreeds with Montbéliarde breed by 0.16% compared to purebred Red-Pied breed. According to the indicators of daily behavioral reactions, no special differences between purebred and crossbreed were found.
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