Genomics-assisted identification and characterization of the genetic variants underlying differential nitrogen use efficiencies in allotetraploid rapeseed genotypes
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Abstract

Backgrounds Nitrogen (N) is a non-mineral macronutrient essential for plant growth and development, whereas the mechanisms underlying N use efficiency (NUE) regulation in polyploidy crops like *Brassica napus* (*A_nA_nC_nC_n*, 2*n*=4*x*=38) remain unclear because of the genome complexity.

Methods We performed high-depth and -coverage whole-genome re-sequencing (WGS) of an N-efficient (higher NUE) genotype “XY15” and an N-inefficient (lower NUE) genotype “814” of rapeseed.

Results More than 687 million 150-bp paired-end reads were generated, which provided about 93% coverage and 50× depth of the rapeseed genome. Applying stringent parameters, we identified a total of 1,449,157 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), 335,228 InDels, 175,602 structure variations (SVs) and 86,280 copy number variations (CNVs) between the N-efficient and -inefficient genotypes. The largest proportion of various DNA polymorphisms occurred in the inter-genic regions. Unlike CNVs, the SNP/InDel and SV polymorphisms showed variation bias of the *A_n* and *C_n* subgenomes, respectively. Gene ontology analysis showed the genetic variants were mapped onto the genes involving N compound transport and ATPase complex metabolism, but not including N assimilation-related genes. On basis of identification of N-starvation responsive genes through high-throughput expression profiling, we also mapped these variants onto some key NUE-regulating genes, and validated their significantly differential expression between the N-efficient and -inefficient genotypes through qRT-PCR assays.

Conclusions Our data provide genome-wide high resolution DNA variants underlying NUE divergence in allotetraploid rapeseed genotypes, which would expedite the effective identification and functional validation of key NUE-regulating genes through genomics-assisted improvement of crop nutrient efficiency.
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Summary: We carried out WGS of a low-N tolerant (N-efficient, higher NUE) genotype and a low-N sensitive (N-inefficient, lower NUE) genotype of rapeseed using an Illumina HiSeq® 4000 NGS platform. Further, we have identified numerous genomic variants, including 1,449,157 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), 335,228 insertions/deletions (InDels), 175,602 structure variations (SVs) and 86,280 copy number variations (CNVs), in these genotypes at a genome-wide scale. We also evaluated functional significance of these polymorphic sites by correlating their presence (structural and functional annotations) in the NUE-regulating genes. The genome-wide high resolution SNP and InDel sites, discovered from the rapeseed genotypes with differential NUEs, would accelerate the identification and functional validation of key NUE-regulating genes for genomics-assisted crop improvement.
Introduction

Oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.), a high-value crop species, is widely cultivated and harvested for the production of vegetable oil, livestock protein meal and biodiesel (Blackshaw et al. 2011). The allotetraploid *B. napus* (*A*A*C*C*, ~1,345 Mb, 2n=4x=38) originated from spontaneous interspecific hybridization of the diploid progenitors *Brassica rapa* (*A*A*, ~485 Mb, 2n=2x=20) (Wang et al. 2011) and *Brassica oleracea* (*C*C*, ~630 Mb, 2n=2x=18) (Liu et al. 2014) ~7,500 years ago (Bayer et al. 2017; Chalhoub et al. 2014; Sun et al. 2017). Approximate 70% of angiosperms, including *B. napus*, have undergone one or more chromosome doubling events during their evolutionary processes (Masterson 1994). The allotetraploidy events occurring in *B. napus* generated many duplicated segments and homoeologous regions within the genome (Chalhoub et al. 2014), which poses great difficulty in mapping and cloning of gene(s) responsible for target agronomic traits.

Recently, with the availability of rapeseed genome sequences combined with the roaring development of high-throughput next generation sequencing (NGS) technologies, it has now become convenient to explore genetic variability at the genome-wide scale by re-sequencing diverse rapeseed genotypes. NGS has provoked a revolution in plant genomics research and offers a wide range of applications (Edwards et al. 2012). For example, whole-genome re-sequencing (WGS) studies have also been widely utilized in gene identification, quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping, genome diversification, evolutionary and phylogenetic analysis (Hua et al. 2016a, b; Stein et al. 2017). Using a large set of high-density genetic polymorphisms identified by WGS, Wang et al. (2017) identified numerous genomic loci associated with three seed-quality traits. Apart from bridging the gap of genotype to phenotype, WGS has immense potential to unravel the functional complexity of rapeseed genome and can promote molecular breeding to improve the agronomic traits of interest.

Nitrogen (N) is a non-mineral macronutrient essential for plant growth and development (Konishi and Yanagisawa 2014). In most plants, inorganic N is acquired by roots in the form of NO\textsubscript{3}⁻. For many species, NO\textsubscript{3}⁻ is not assimilated in the roots, but is secreted into the xylem sap for long-distance translocation to the shoot, where it
enters the cells to be metabolized and/or stored in the vacuoles. Therefore, to reveal the genetic basis underlying differential N use efficiencies (NUEs), we focused on the genes responsible for efficient NO$_3^-$ uptake and translocation.

Several plasma membrane transporters involved in NO$_3^-$ influx into the cell have been identified in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Wang et al. 2012), especially in the roots where the NPF (NRT1/PTR Family, Léran et al. 2013) members and NRT2 transporters are predominantly implicated. Among them, NRT1.1 and NRT2.1 (together with its partner protein NAR2.1) are the major dual- and high-affinity contributors to efficient NO$_3^-$ influx into root cells. AtNRT2.4 is also involved in root NO$_3^-$ uptake at very low NO$_3^-$ concentrations and in shoot phloem NO$_3^-$ loading (Kiba et al. 2012). AtNRT2.5 is essential for efficient NO$_3^-$ uptake and remobilization in adult plants by participating in phloem NO$_3^-$ loading under severe N starvation (Lezhneva et al. 2014). When NO$_3^-$ enters root cells, NPF7.3/NRT1.5 mediates efflux of NO$_3^-$ to the xylem vessels, and NPF7.2/NRT1.8 retrieves NO$_3^-$ from the xylem sap into xylem parenchyma cells (Chen et al. 2012; Li et al. 2010; Lin et al. 2008).

Currently, Liu et al. (2016) found that Nitrogen Limitation Adaptation (NLA) is involved in source-to-sink remobilization of NO$_3^-$ by mediating the degradation of NRT1.7 in *Arabidopsis*. Taken together, NUE is a complex trait controlled by multiple genes implicated in NO$_3^-$ uptake, translocation and remobilization. The recent OsNRT1.1A and OsNRT1.1B identified in rice highlight the crucial role of efficient NO$_3^-$ uptake in NUE regulation (Hu et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2018).

*B. napus* has a higher nutrient requirement for optimum seed yield than cereals (Grant and Bailey 1993), and it is extremely susceptible to N deficiency (Rathke et al. 2005). Conventional crop management practices require the use of relatively high amounts of N fertilizers (from 150 to 300 kg of N hm$^{-2}$) to ensure an optimum yield (Rathke et al. 2006). Significant differences in NUEs, including N uptake efficiencies, N remobilization efficiencies and N assimilation efficiencies, among others, have been observed in allotetraploid rapeseed genotypes (Schulte auf’m Erley et al. 2007; Sorin et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2014). However, the molecular mechanisms underlying efficient N uptake, transport and utilization remain poorly understood because of the
rapeseed genome complexity. However, for the model crop rice (genome size: ~450 Mb), WGS has contributed to the genetic basis identification of NUE variations between the two main subspecies (*indica* and *japonica*) of Asian cultivated rice (Hu et al. 2015). Genetic diversity for NUEs in rapeseed genotypes can be therefore, investigated using high-throughput NGS-based WGS of genotypes with contrasting NUEs.

In the present study, we carried out WGS of a low-N tolerant (N-efficient, higher NUE) genotype “Xiang-you 15” and a low-N sensitive (N-inefficient, lower NUE) genotype “814” of rapeseed on an Illumina HiSeq® 4000 NGS platform. Further, we have identified numerous genomic variants, including 1,449,157 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), 335,228 insertions/deletions (InDels), 175,602 structure variations (SVs) and 86,280 copy number variations (CNVs), in these genotypes at a genome-wide scale. Combining the WGS and transcriptome sequencing data, we also evaluated functional significance of these polymorphic sites by correlating their presence (structural and functional annotations) in the NUE-regulating genes responsive to N limitation. What is noteworthy, the genome-wide high resolution SNP and InDel sites, discovered from the rapeseed genotypes with differential NUEs, would accelerate the identification and functional validation of key NUE-regulating genes for genomics-assisted crop improvement.

**Materials and methods**

**Plant materials and hydroponic culture**

In previous studies, we have identified an N-efficient genotype “Xiang-you 15” (“XY15”) and an N-inefficient genotype “814” of rapeseed (Han et al. 2015a, b; Han et al. 2016). For isolation of genomic DNA (gDNA) and total RNA, the *B. napus* seedlings were hydroponically cultivated according to the method described by Hua et al. (2016a, b). Plump seeds of a similar size were surface sterilized and then germinated on a piece of moist gauze immobilized on a black plastic tray. Uniform *B. napus* seedlings with similar hypocotyl and root lengths and cotyledon sizes after germination were transplanted into black plastic containers holding 10 L Hoagland
solution. The nutrient solution was constantly aerated throughout the experiments and refreshed every 3 d, with one-quarter-strength solution initially added and increasing to one-half strength and eventually full strength.

For RNA sequencing used for the identification of genes responsive to N limitation, the rapeseed seedlings were cultivated under high NO$_3^-$ (6.0 mM) for 10 d, and then transferred to low NO$_3^-$ (0.30 mM). At 0 h, 3 h and 72 h, the shoots and roots of the seedlings were individually sampled. A total of 27 RNA samples were subjected to an Illumina Hiseq X Ten platform (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA), which generated approximate 6.0-Gb of sequencing data with 150-bp paired-end (PE) reads for each sample. Transcript abundances (FPKM values) were determined from the RNA-seq data with the method described by Hua et al. (2017). Three independent biological replicates for each treatment were prepared for the high-throughput transcriptomic profiling.

For quantitative reverse-transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) assays, the solution concentration of N was adjusted to 1.0 mM and 5.0 mM by reducing KNO$_3$ and replacing Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$ by CaCl$_2$, while K$^+$ was complemented by adding K$_2$SO$_4$ (Wang et al. 2017). The seedlings were cultivated in an illuminated growth chamber (300-320 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$; 24°C daytime: 22°C night; 16 h photoperiod) for 15 d.

**DNA isolation and genome sequencing**

High quality gDNA was extracted from fresh young leaves of the N-efficient genotype “XY15” and the N-inefficient genotype “814” with the cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) mini-prep method (Murray and Thompson 1980). Integrity of gDNA was assessed by Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Singapore). WGS of the two rapeseed genotypes was performed on an Illumina HiSeq4000™ (paired-end, read length = 150 bp) (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) by Novogene Technologies (Beijing, China). Sample preparation and sequencing were performed according to the standard Illumina protocol (https://www.illumina.com/?langsel=/us/) as follows: quantified gDNA was treated with the ultrasonic wave to produce DNA fragments, which was purified using the
QIA quick PCR kit. End repair was performed with poly-A on the 3’ ends, then the adaptors were ligated, agarose gel electrophoresis was used to select fragments for PCR amplification. Sequencing was performed through establishing a library with Illumina HiSeq4000™. The reads were aligned using the Burrows-Wheeler transformation. Low-quality reads with adaptor sequences and duplicated reads were filtered, and the remaining high-quality data were used in the sequence mapping.

**Mapping of high quality clean reads to the reference genome**

Read quality check and alignment were performed according to the standard Illumina analysis pipeline (https://www.illumina.com/?langsel=/us/). The adapter and low quality sequence reads were discarded and high quality sequences with mapping quality (MAPQ) ≥ 20 were retained for read alignment. Prior to alignment, raw reads were first trimmed based on the quality, compositions, and adapter sequences of nucleotides. After modulation, reads < 30 bp was removed for further analysis. The trimmed reads were aligned to the rapeseed genome reference (http://brassicadb.org/brad/datasets/pub/Genomes/Brassica_napus/).

**Genome-wide calling of SNP, InDel, SV and CNV variants**

The SAM tools software (v 0.1.18) was utilized to detect SNPs and InDels and investigate their quantity, type and distribution on chromosomes and gene coding regions. SVs and CNVs were investigated using the Control-FREEC software (FREEC v6.2) (Boeva et al. 2012).

**Functional characterization of genetic variants**

A gene ontology (GO) analysis of the genetic variants was performed by the PANTHER Classification System (http://www.pantherdb.org/data/) (Mi et al. 2005). The enriched GO items were illustrated with the word cloud generator WordArt (https://wordart.com/). Using the gene sequences from *A. thaliana* as seed sequences, a BLAST analysis was conducted to search for homolog sequences of rapeseed in the *Brassica* Database v. 1.1 (http://brassicadb.org/brad/) (Wang et al. 2015).
Gene co-expression networks were constructed to identify gene interactions and locate core genes that connect most neighboring genes involved in N starvation response in *B. napus*. The threshold of Pearson correlation values was set as the default parameters (http://plantgrn.noble.org/DeGNServer/Analysis.jsp), and then the gene co-expression networks were constructed with CYTOSCAPE v. 3.2.1 (http://www.cytoscape.org/) (Kohl et al. 2011).

**Quantitative reverse-transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) assays**

The qRT-PCR assays were used to identify the differential gene expression between the N-efficient and N-inefficient rapeseed genotypes. After the treatment of RNase-free DNase I with RNA samples, total RNA was used as the templates for complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis with the PrimeScript™ RT reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (Perfect Real Time) (TaKaRa, Shiga, Japan). The qRT-PCR assays for the detection of relative gene expression were performed using SYBR® Premix Ex Taq™ II (Tli RNaseH Plus) (TaKaRa, Shiga, Japan) under an Applied Biosystems StepOne™ Plus Real-time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The thermal cycles were as follows: 95ºC for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95ºC for 10 s, then 60ºC for 30 s. Melt curve analysis to ensure the primer gene-specificity was conducted as follows: 95ºC for 15 s, 60ºC for 1 min, 60ºC-95ºC for 15 s (+0.3ºC per cycle). Expression data were normalized using the public reference genes *BnaEF1-α* (Maillard et al. 2016) and *BnaGDI1* (Yang et al. 2014), and relative gene expression was calculated with the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_T}$ method (Livak and Schmittgen 2001).

**Results**

**Overview of the WGS data of the N-efficient and N-inefficient genotypes**

Whole genome re-sequencing of the N-efficient genotype “XY15” and the N-inefficient genotype “814” revealed the occurrence of gDNA polymorphisms at a genome-wide scale and their probable effect on differential low-N tolerance in these genotypes. In this study, we obtained ~379 million reads in “XY15” and ~308 million reads in “814.”
reads in “814”, respectively, with ~98.6% high quality sequences (Q_{30} passed quality score) (Table 1). The obtained average read depth and coverage were 59.1 × and 94.2% for “XY15” and 49.2 × and 93.2% for “814”, respectively, which can also be seen in the Circos figure (Fig. 1). Such a high coverage and read depth indicates the high quality assembly and sequencing data. To avoid contamination with chloroplastic and mitochondrial DNA reads, all the reads showing a depth higher than 128× were removed from subsequent analysis (Subbaiyan et al. 2012). As shown in Fig. 1, thousands of genomic variants between the N-efficient “XY15” and the N-inefficient “814”, including 1,449,157 SNPs, 335,228 InDels, 175,602 CNVs and 86,280 SVs, were randomly distributed across the 19 chromosomes of *B. napus*.

**Genome-wide identification and characterization of SNPs**

SNPs are the most common type of genetic variation. A total of 1,449,157 SNPs were identified across the 19 chromosomes of *B. napus*, with 763,455 and 673,135 SNPs distributed on the A\textsubscript{n} and C\textsubscript{n} subgenomes, respectively (Fig. 2A, B), showing biased subgenome polymorphisms. The SNPs appeared non-randomly distributed among different chromosomes, but also within each chromosome. In general, most of the SNPs were frequent in the distal parts of chromosomes (Fig. 2A, B), which may correspond to genomic regions with higher recombination frequency and gene density. The SNP numbers were not correlated with the length of chromosomes or with gene density. The number of SNPs ranged from 26,467 (chr.A4) to 107,462 (chr.A9) with an average of 76,271 SNPs on each chromosome (Fig. 2C). The nucleotide diversity $\pi$ (average number of SNPs per nucleotide) varied from $1.04 \times 10^{-3}$ (chr.C5) to $3.97 \times 10^{-3}$ (chr.A5), with an average value of $\pi = 2.37 \times 10^{-3}$ on the A\textsubscript{n} subgenome and $\pi = 1.28 \times 10^{-3}$ on the C\textsubscript{n} subgenome, respectively. There were a total of eight SNP hot-spot regions identified on the A1 (one), C2 (four) and C4 (three) chromosomes, where \(> 1,000\) SNPs occurred within a 1-kb genomic region (Jain et al. 2014). In contrast, a sum of 623 and 2378 SNP cold-spot regions, where \(< 20\) SNPs occurred within a 1-kb genomic region (Jain et al. 2014), were detected on the A\textsubscript{n} and C\textsubscript{n} subgenomes, respectively.
The detected SNPs were categorized into two groups: transitions (A/G and C/T; Ts) and trans-versions (A/C, A/T, C/G and G/T; Tv) based on the nucleotide variations between the N-efficient genotype “XY15” and the N-inefficient genotype “814”. Among the 1,449,157 SNPs, 834,335 (57.57%) SNPs belonged to the transition type, which was more than that of the trans-versions (614,821, 42.43%) (Fig. 3A). Concerning the transitions, the frequency of A/G type was higher than that of C/T; however, among the trans-versions, the frequency of the A/C sub-type (191,117, 31.08%) was in the largest numbers and G/C was the lowest (17.01%) (Fig. 3A). The ratio of Ts to Tv were approximately 1.36, which was larger than the expected value (0.5).

We then used the annotations of the reference “Darmor-bzh” genome to examine the distribution of SNPs within various genomic features. There were a sum of 426,596 SNPs, accounting for 29.44% of the total SNPs, detected in the genic regions (including exonic, intronic and splicing areas), whereas a larger proportion of SNPs (70.56%) were identified in the 2.0-kb upstream (promoter), 1.0-kb downstream, and other inter-genic regions. Within the SNPs located in the genic areas, more than half (241,444; 56.60%) the variations occurred in the coding sequences (Fig. 3B). Non-synonymous SNPs that lead to amino acid changes in the protein products are more likely to lead to functional alterations, which may further affect plant performance. Therefore, we analyzed the effect of SNPs on amino acid substitution. In general, only a very small fraction (0.61%) of the SNPs occurring in the exons were mapped onto the stop codons (Fig. 3C). Among these, 1,145 non-synonymous SNPs transformed stop codons to amino acid codons, whereas 346 non-synonymous SNPs transformed amino acid codons to stop codons. Amongst the SNPs mapped onto the non-stop codon regions, 143,975 SNPs did not result in alterations in the amino acid sequences, which were more than the non-synonymous types (95,977) (Fig. 3C). The ratio of synonymous SNPs to non-synonymous SNPs was close to 1.50, which was similar to the result of our previous study (Hua et al. 2016a, b). The SNPs identified in this study causing non-synonymous amino acid substitutions can also be utilized to directly identify causal genes responsible for rapeseed NUE variations in
Genome-wide identification and characterization of InDels

The WGS data revealed 335,228 InDels unevenly distributed over the \( B.\ napus \) genome (A1-A10, C1-C9) (Fig. 4A-B), ranging from 7,242 (chr.A4) to 17,641 (chr.A3) (Fig. 4C). Similarly to the SNPs, the InDels on the \( A_n \) subgenome were also more than those on the \( C_n \) subgenome (Fig. 4C). The lengths of InDels ranged from mono-nucleotide to poly-nucleotide (> 10), whereas the frequency of which was not closely correlated with the variation length of nucleotides (Fig. 5A). In terms of the insertions and deletions with same lengths, the numbers were similar (Fig. 5A), which suggested the random occurrence of InDels between the N-efficient and N-inefficient genotypes. Di-nucleotide InDel (124,154) was the most frequent type, which accounted for more than one-third (37.04%) of the total InDels (Fig. 5A). Different from previous studies (Hua et al. 2016b; Jain et al. 2014), we found that the InDels with even-length variations were remarkably dominant over than the odd-length variants (Fig. 5A). Similar to the genomic distribution of SNPs, the majority of total InDel variants also occurred in the 2.0-kb upstream (promoter), 1.0-kb downstream, and other inter-genic regions, whereas fewer than one-fourth InDels (79,420; 23.69%) were identified in the genic sequences (Fig. 5B). Among the InDels occurring in the exons, only about two percent (290) were identified in the stop-codon regions. For the other InDels, a total of 5425 (40.19%) caused frame-shift deletion or insertion (Fig. 5C).

Genome-wide identification and characterization of SVs

Structural variations (SV) are generally defined as genomic alterations that affect >50 bp of DNA, which are shown to have a significant effect on evolution and species phenotype. In recent years, the advent of WGS makes it feasible to study these variants in depth. Contrary to SNPs and InDels, the numbers of SVs spanning across the \( A_nA_nC_mC_n \) genome were closely correlated with the chromosome sizes. In general, the SVs on the \( C_n \) subgenome were significantly more than those on the \( A_n \) subgenome.
Specifically, the A9 of A_n and C3 of C_n chromosomes had the most two enriched SVs (Fig. 6A). In terms of the genic distribution of SVs, the coding regions, which would likely to have a more influential effect on phenotype than other genic regions, possessed the most SVs (Fig. 6B). The types of SVs can mainly be divided into five terms: genomic fragment insertion, deletion, inversion, intra-chromosomal translocation and inter-chromosomal translocation (Fig. 6C). Obviously, most SVs led to inter-chromosomal rearrangement, followed by chromosomal fragment deletion, whereas chromosomal insertion was the least (Fig. 6C). Among the SVs < 1.0 kb, those less than 100 bp was the least (1.2%-1.4%) category, and the SV length (13.0%-14.1%) ranging from 200-300 bp was the most (Fig. 6D).

Genome-wide identification and characterization of CNVs

Copy number variants (CNVs) are a class of SVs and are defined as fragments of DNA that are present at variable copy number in comparison with a reference genome. CNVs can create new genes, alter gene dosage and reshape gene structures. They are considered to be major sources of genetic variation, and may influence phenotypic variation, gene expression and fitness (Yu et al. 2011).

Different from the other genetic variants, the CNVs did not show biased chromosomal distribution between the A_n and C_n subgenomes (Fig. 7A). The numbers of CNVs were approximately 200 on each chromosome, although the chromosome sized varied from 17.4 Mb (A10) to 60.4 Mb (C3) (Fig. 7A). Similarly to SVs, the two most CNVs occurred in the exonic and intergenic (other than 1.0 kb up- and down-stream) regions (Fig. 7B). The CNVs contain two types: duplication and deletion (Fig. 7C). In this study, copy number deletions of genes were more dominant over gene duplications in both the N-efficient and N-inefficient rapeseed genotypes (Fig. 7C).

Gene ontology (GO) analysis of the genetic variants

The GO enrichment analysis of functional significance allowed us to distinguish major biological functions of the genes with variants between the N-efficient and
N-inefficient genotypes, further contributing to our understanding of the genetic basis underlying their differential NUEs.

The GO terms could be grouped into the following three categories: biological process (BP), cellular component (CC) and molecular function (MF) (Fig. 8). In the BP annotations, the nitrogen compound complex process was the most enriched, which was followed by the term of response to stimulus (Fig. 8A). In the GO term for CC, in addition to the usual macromolecular complex, such as cytoskeleton and cell wall, much more attention was paid to the proton-transporting V-type and two-sector ATPase complexes (Fig. 8B), which provide energy and proton gradients for ion (including inorganic NO$_3^-$) active transport across the membranes (Han et al. 2016). In the MF category, the activities of catalase, ion binding, transferase, hydrolase, oxidoreductase, transporter, and kinase were the seven strongest GO enrichments (Fig. 8C).

Transcriptomics-assisted identification of genetic variants occurring in the genes regulating NUEs

Molecular mechanisms for NUEs are orchestrated by a set of NSR genes, such as those responsible for NO$_3^-$ uptake, translocation (mainly the NRT1 and NRT2 family members) and assimilation (mainly NO$_3^-$ reductase and glutamine synthetase genes). So, we identified the genetic variants occurring in the genes involved in N metabolism. However, we found that almost all of the genomic variations were mapped onto the NRT family genes but not the NR and GS family genes. The results indicated that the differential NUEs between the two rapeseed genotypes used in the present study were predominantly attributed to their distinct NO$_3^-$ uptake and transport abilities, which was consistent with our previous study (Han et al. 2016).

To accelerate the identification of genetic variants occurring in the genes regulating NUEs, we made a high-throughput transcriptome sequencing (RNA-seq) to characterize the key genes responsive to limited N stresses. According to the normalized expression results between each two biological replicates, Pearson correlation coefficients (R) were calculated, most of which were more than 0.90.
between each pair of biological replicates (Supplemental Fig. 1A). The above results indicated that the RNA-seq sequencing data were of good quality. Subsequently, we were aimed at the in-depth identification of the differential gene expression profiling of *B. napus* under short- and long-term N limitations. In the shoots, a total of 3,279 and 4,346 genes were identified to be differentially expressed at 3 h and 72 h, respectively; in the roots, more DEGs were characterized, particularly at 72 h (Supplemental Fig. 1B). An intersection analysis through Venn diagram indicated that there were 119 genes were simultaneously in both shoots and roots at 3 h and 72 h (Supplemental Fig. 1B). The gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of functional significance allowed us to distinguish major biological functions of the DEGs under short- and long-term N limitations. Regardless of the shoots or the roots under both short-term and long-term N limitations, the transport or transporter category was highly enriched (Supplemental Fig. 1C).

To further deduce more promising SNP/InDel variants potentially associated with NUEs, we focused on some key NRT family genes (Fig. 10) through transcriptomics-assisted gene co-expression network analysis (Table 2), and mapped the variants generated by WGS to them. In the genome of *B. napus*, we identified four *NRT1.1* homologs, named after *BnaA8.NRT1.1* (*BnaA08g24900D*), *BnaA9.NRT1.1* (*BnaA09g47380D*), *BnaC8.NRT1.1a* (*BnaC08g41560D*) and *BnaC8.NRT1.1b* (*BnaC08g15370D*), respectively. First, we identified *BnaA9.NRT1.1* as the core member in this gene family through transcriptomics-assisted gene co-expression network analysis (Fig. 9A). Further, the WGS data identified five SNPs and an InDel site occurred in *BnaA9.NRT1.1* between the N-efficient genotype “XY15” and the N-inefficient genotype “814”. The genetic variants contained, all of which were located in the promoter of *BnaA9.NRT1.1* (Fig. 9A). In the same way, we found nine *NRT2.1* homologs in the rapeseed genome, and *BnaC8.NRT2.1a* (*BnaC08g43380D*) was thought to be the key gene of the *NRT2.1* family (Fig. 9B). The WGS result showed there were an SNP and an InDel in the gene promoter region (Fig. 9B).

Four *BnaNRT1.5s* (*BnaA5.NRT1.5*: *BnaA05g35790D*; *BnaA9.NRT1.5*: *BnaA09g24330D*; *BnaC5.NRT1.5a*: *BnaC05g24580D*; *BnaC5.NRT1.5b*: *BnaC05g24580D*; *BnaC5.NRT1.5b*: *BnaC05g24580D*; *BnaC8.NRT1.1a*: *BnaC08g41560D*; *BnaC8.NRT1.1b*: *BnaC08g15370D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1a*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1b*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1c*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1d*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1e*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1f*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1g*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1h*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1i*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1j*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1k*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1l*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1m*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1n*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1o*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1p*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1q*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1r*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1s*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1t*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1u*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1v*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1w*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1x*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1y*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1z*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1aa*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1ab*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1ac*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1ad*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1ae*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1af*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1ag*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1ah*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1ai*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1aj*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1ak*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1al*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1am*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1an*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1ao*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1ap*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1aq*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1ar*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1as*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1at*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1au*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1av*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1aw*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1ax*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1ay*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1az*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1ba*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1bb*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1bc*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1bd*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1be*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1bf*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1bg*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1bh*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1bi*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1bj*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1bk*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1bl*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1bm*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1bn*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1bo*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1bp*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1bq*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1br*: *BnaC08g43380D*; *BnaC8.NRT2.1bs*: *BnaC08g43380D*;
BnaC05g28620D) were identified in the rapeseed genome, and BnaA5.NRT1.5 was identified to be the central member (Fig. 9C). Further, WGS revealed that an SNP and an InDel occurred in the promoter of BnaA5.NRT1.5 between “XY15” and “814” (Fig. 9C). Among the four NRT1.8 homologs, BnaA3.NRT1.8 (BnaA03g44820D) was considered to be the core gene member, and we found six SNPs occurred in its promoter (Fig. 9D).

**Transcriptional identification of the differential expression of the NUE-regulating genes with genetic variants**

Given that some genomic variants occurred in the key genes related to N-metabolisms, further, we would like to identify whether these variants lead to changes in their mRNA abundances between the N-efficient and -inefficient genotypes. Some genes randomly selected, including N transporters and N limitation adaptation genes, were subjected to qRT-PCR assays (Table 3). The results showed that the expression levels of both BnaA9.NRT1.1 and BnaC8.NRT2.1a were higher in the roots of “XY15” than those of “814” (Fig. 10A, B). However, for the NRT2.1 partner NAR2.1, its expression levels did not show obvious differences between the N-efficient and-inefficient genotypes under both low and moderate NO$_3^-$ supply except under high N conditions (Fig. 10C). For BnaAn.NRT2.4 and BnaC3.NRT2.5 responsible for efficient NO$_3^-$ uptake and phloem loading, their mRNA levels were much higher than those of in the roots of “XY15” than those of “814”, particularly under low NO$_3^-$ (Fig. 10D, E). All of these results above-mentioned revealed that “XY15” had a much stronger capability of efficient NO$_3^-$ uptake in the roots.

For BnaA5.NRT1.5, regardless of high or low NO$_3^-$ conditions, its expression levels in the roots of “XY15” were more 20-fold than those of “814” (Fig. 10F). However, in terms of BnaA3.NRT1.8, we did not identify its significantly differential expression in the roots between “XY15” and “814”; moreover, the N-inefficient genotype showed higher mRNA levels than the N-efficient genotype (Fig. 10G). For BnaC5.NLA, under low NO$_3^-$, its mRNA abundances were strongly induced in the roots of both “XY15” and “814”; moreover, the expression level in “XY15” was
about 35-fold than that of “814” (Fig. 10H), which indicated the stronger adaptation ability of “XY15” to N limitation than “814”.

Taken together, it appeared that some genes implicated in efficient N uptake and transport were significantly differentially expressed between the N-efficient genotype XY15 and the N-inefficient genotype 814. To further pin down the main-effect gene(s) responsible for their differential NUEs, we mapped these differentially expressed genes to the regions of the quantitative trait loci (QTLs) regulating NUE that were previously reported. In a previous study, Wang et al. (2017) dissected the root morphological traits related to NUE under both high N and low N conditions, identified a main-effect NUE-specific QTL cluster on the A9 chromosome. Based on the finding, we retrieved its physical position via mapping the flanking molecular markers of the NUE-A9 QTL to the rapeseed genomic sequences. Through comparison of the NUE-A9 QTL physical interval and the differentially expressed genes having genetic variations, we found only BnaA9.NRT1.1 was mapped onto the NUE-A9 QTL region, and it may be a core gene regulating differential NUEs between the N-efficient genotype “XY15” and the N-inefficient genotype “814”.

Discussion

Differential genomic distribution of the genetic variants

Large and complex allopolyploid genomes, such as B. napus, wheat and cotton, propose an enormous challenge for genomic variant discovery because of the presence of multiple homoeologous sequences (Bancroft et al. 2011; Fang et al. 2017; Lai et al. 2012; Stein et al. 2017). In addition, the repetitive nature of the polyploid genomes has been one of the major barriers to variant identification.

In this study, through high-throughput, -depth and -coverage WGS of an N-efficient genotype “XY15” and an N-inefficient genotype “814”, we identified 1,449,157 SNPs, 335,228 InDels together with thousands of SVs and CNVs. In terms of their genomic distribution, the four types of variants can be categorized into three groups: (i) SNPs and InDels, (ii) SVs, and (iii) CNVs. For SNPs and InDels, the variant number and density of the A_n subgenome were higher than those of the C_n subgenome (Figs. 2, 4).
although the assembled Cₙ sub-genome (525.8 Mb) was obviously larger than the Aₙ sub-genome (314.2 Mb) (Chalhoub et al. 2014). The results agreed that the Aₙ sub-genome was more variable than the Cₙ sub-genome (Fletcher et al. 2016; Huang et al. 2013). On the contrary, for SVs, the variants on the Cₙ sub-genome was more than that of the Aₙ sub-genome (Fig. 6). In the case of CNV variants, different from SNPs, InDels and SVs, its number did not show obvious sub-genome bias, evenly distributing on the Aₙ and Cₙ sub-genomes (Fig. 7). In general, the SNPs, InDels and SVs but not CNVs were largely dependent on the subgenome variability.

Variation bias of SNPs in allotetraploid rapeseed genotypes

Transition bias refers to the ratio of transition SNPs to transversion SNPs that were more than the expected value of 0.5. In general, transitions provide easy tolerance from selection pressure as they result into synonymous substitutions, which do not alter the conformational structures of protein unlike transversions (Wakeley 1996). Therefore, transitions are usually favored over transversions. The transition bias phenomenon has also been found in soybean (Lee et al. 2016) and rice (Jain et al. 2014; Subbaiyan et al. 2012) and other plant species (Batley et al. 2003). A higher Ts/Tv ratio is also indicative of low level of genetic divergence. These ratios are expected to decline with increasing genetic distance between the comparative genotypes as in due course of time; transversions eliminate the record of frequent transitions (Stefano et al. 2001). In our previous study, through WGS of boron-efficient and -inefficient genotypes of rapeseed, we identified the Ts/Tv value was about 1.3 (Hua et al. 2016b), which was close to the Ts/Tv result (1.35) of Huang et al. (2013). Both of them were close to the Ts/Tv result of 1.36 in the present study. It may be assumed that the Ts/Tv value close to 1.3 may be a common rule in allotetraploid rapeseed.

Non-synonymous SNPs that lead to amino acid changes in the protein product are of major interest. Compared with synonymous SNPs, non-synonymous variations are more likely to lead to functional mutations altering phenotype (Huang et al. 2013). Thus, the ratio of synonymous SNPs to non-synonymous SNPs can be used as a
molecular clock to mirror the evolution rates of species: the smaller the ratios, the faster the species evolve. In this study, the ratio of synonymous SNPs to non-synonymous SNPs were close to 1.50, which was identical to our previous study (Hua et al. 2016b), and this ratio may also be universal in rapeseed genotypes. Non-synonymous variants can lead to severe phenotypic consequences that could be either detrimental or beneficial (Ng and Henikoff 2006; Pham et al. 2011). Harmful polymorphisms are mostly discarded during evolution through purifying selection whereas beneficial variations could be fixed leading to differential responses of genotypes to biotic and abiotic stresses (Parida et al. 2012).

**Genetic variants underlying differential NUEs in allotetraploid rapeseed genotypes**

Through high-throughput WGS of low-phosphate-tolerant and -sensitive rice genotypes, Mehra et al. (2015) identified more than two million DNA polymorphisms and further mapped them to key phosphate starvation responsive and root architecture genes. In this research, we mapped some genetic variants between the N-efficient and -inefficient genotypes to N-metabolism compounds and some ATPase complexes involved in the regulation of NUE (Fig. 8). Specifically, we employed the gene co-expression network analysis to characterize the core NUE-regulating gene(s), and mapped the genetic variants to them (Fig. 9). In agreement with the general trend of genome-wide polymorphisms, most of the variants mapped to the NUE-regulating genes were located in the gene promoter regions, and this result indicated that the variants may influence the expression of NUE-regulating genes mainly through modulating their mRNA levels at the transcriptional level. Finally, we confirmed the effect of variants on gene expression via qRT-PCR assays. The qRT-PCR revealed that most of the NUE-regulating genes, such as NO$_3^-$ transporters and N limitation adaptation-related genes, had much higher transcript levels in the roots of the N-efficient genotype than those of the N-inefficient genotype (Fig. 10). Previous studies have shown that the N-efficient (higher NUE) genotypes of rapeseed had much stronger N uptake, xylem loading but with weaker vacuolar N storage relative
to the N-inefficient (lower NUE) genotypes (Han et al. 2016; Wang et al., 2014). In
the present research, we found that these processes-related genes indeed had some
genetic variants through high-throughput WGS. Therefore, the genome-wide high
resolution SNP and InDel sites, discovered from the rapeseed genotypes with
differential NUEs, can be used for the development of molecular markers for the
identification and functional validation of key NUE-regulating genes. Further,
genomics-assisted molecular marker-assisted selection can serve as an efficient tool
for the identification of elite crops germplasms and genetic improvement of key
agronomic traits in crops.
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Fig. 1 Overview of the genetic diversity between the whole-genome sequenced rapeseed cultivars (N-efficient cv. “XY15” and N-inefficient cv. “814”) and the de novo sequenced reference genome of “Darmor-bzh”. Overview of the genome-wide genetic variants between “XY15” vs “Darmor-bzh” (A) and “814” vs “Darmor-bzh” (B), which are delineated by the Circos program. In the Circos figure, the variants are as follows outside-to-inside: chromosomes (i), single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (ii), insertions/deletions (InDels) (iii), copy number variation (CNV) duplications (iv), CNV deletions (v), structure variations (SV; red: insertions; green: deletions; blue: inversion) (vi), and intra-/inter-chromosomal translocation (vii).
Fig. 2 Genomic distribution and frequency of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) between the N-efficient genotype “XY15” and the N-inefficient genotype “814”. (A) Genomic distribution of SNPs. The x-axis represents the *B. napus* chromosome sizes (Mb), while the y-axis represents the number of SNPs present at that point on each chromosome. (B) Graph delineating chromosome sizes of *B. napus*. (C) Number of SNPs on each chromosome. (D) Nucleotide diversity ($\pi$) on each chromosome. “Ann” or “Cnn” represents the genome scaffolds anchored to the $A_n$ or $C_n$ subgenome but not anchored to specific chromosomes; ‘Un’ represents the genome scaffolds whose locations are unknown.
Fig. 3 Annotation of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) identified between the N-efficient genotype “XY15” and the N-inefficient genotype “814”. (A) Transition (Ts) and transversion (Tv) frequency of the SNPs. (B) Genic distribution of the SNPs. (C) Number of the synonymous (S) and non-synonymous (nS) SNPs. The upstream and downstream regions are defined as the 2.0-kb upstream intervals of the start codon (ATG) and the 1.0-kb downstream of the stop codons. The upstream/downstream regions refer to the overlapped genomic intervals of the upstream and downstream areas between two neighboring genes.
Fig. 4 Genomic distribution and frequency of insertions/deletions (InDels) between the N-efficient genotype “XY15” and the N-inefficient genotype “814”. (A) Genomic distribution of InDels. The x-axis represents the *B. napus* chromosome sizes (Mb), while the y-axis represents the number of InDels present at that point on each chromosome. (B) Graph delineating chromosome sizes of *B. napus*. (C) Number of InDels on each chromosome. “Ann” or “Cnn” represents the genome scaffolds anchored to the A\textsubscript{n} or C\textsubscript{n} subgenome but not anchored to specific chromosomes; “Un” represents the genome scaffolds whose physical locations are unknown.
**Fig. 5** Annotation of insertions/deletions (InDels) identified between the N-efficient genotype “XY15” and the N-inefficient genotype “814”. (A) The frequency distribution of different InDel sizes. The x-axis represents the InDel sizes (bp): the positive and negative values indicate nucleotide insertion and deletion in “XY15” relative to “814”, respectively. (B) Genic distribution of the InDels. (C) The frequency distribution of large-effect InDels in the coding regions.
Fig. 6 Genomic annotation of structure variations (SVs) of the N-efficient genotype “XY15” and the N-inefficient genotype “814” compared with the rapeseed genome reference “Darmor-bzh”. (A) Number of SVs on each chromosome. “Ann” or “Cnn” represents the genome scaffolds anchored to the A_n or C_n subgenome but not anchored to specific chromosomes; “Un” represents the genome scaffolds whose physical locations are unknown. (B) Genic distribution of SVs. (C) Number of different SV types. INS: insertion; DEL: deletion; INV: inversion; ITX: intra-chromosomal translocation; CTX: inter-chromosomal translocation. (D) Frequency distribution of SV length.
Fig. 7 Genomic annotation of copy number variations (CNVs) of the N-efficient genotype “XY15” and the N-inefficient genotype “814” compared with the rapeseed genome reference “Darmor-bzh”. (A) Number of CNVs on each chromosome. “Ann” or “Cnn” represents the genome scaffolds anchored to the A_n or C_n subgenome but not anchored to specific chromosomes; “Un” represents the genome scaffolds whose physical locations are unknown. (B) Genic distribution of CNVs. (C) Number of different CNV types.
Fig. 8 Enrichment analysis of gene ontology (GO) terms of the genes detected with polymorphisms between the N-efficient genotype “XY15” and the N-inefficient genotype “814”. Over-presentation of the biological process (A), cellular component (B) and molecular function (C) terms in the genes, which are delineated by the WordArt program. The bigger the font size, the more the corresponding GO terms.
### Table 1: Genotype Analysis

| Position (bp) | Genotype of XY15 | Genotype of 814 |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 31943237      | A               | G               |
| 31949204      | T               | C               |
| 31945232      | T               | A               |
| 31951315      | C               | T               |
| 31951359      | C               | G               |
| 31951333      | TTCCATGCTTCCATGC | TTCCATGCTTCCATGC |

| Position (bp) | Genotype of XY15 | Genotype of 814 |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1221536       | A               | T               |
| 1235051       | CGCG            | CC              |

| Position (bp) | Genotype of XY15 | Genotype of 814 |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 22774650      | AA              | GG              |
| 22778111      | AA              | CC              |
| 22779229      | TT              | AA              |
| 22779660      | AA              | CC              |
| 22780104      | AA              | GG              |
Fig. 9 Samples for the transcriptomics-assisted genetic variant identification of some key genes involved in nitrogen use efficiency regulating in Brassica napus. Transcriptional expression profiling, gene co-expression network analysis and genetic variants of BnaNRT1.1s (A), BnaNRT2.1s (B), BnaNRT1.5s (C) and BnaNRT1.8s (D).

For RNA sequencing, the rapeseed seedlings were cultivated under high NO$_3^-$ (6.0 mM) for 10 d, and then transferred to low NO$_3^-$ (0.30 mM). At 0 h, 3 h and 72 h, the shoots and roots of the seedlings were individually sampled with three independent biological replicates. RNA-seq was performed on an Illumina Hiseq X Ten platform (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA), which generated approximate 6.0-Gb of sequencing data with 150-bp paired-end (PE) reads for each sample. Transcript abundances (FPKM values) were determined from the RNA-seq data with the method described by Hua et al. (2017). For the gene co-expression network performed by CYTOSCAPE v. 3.2.1, cycle nodes represent genes, and the size of the nodes represents the power of the interrelation among the nodes by degree value. Edges between two nodes represent interactions between genes.
Fig. 10 Quantitative reverse-transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) assays of the expression of genes related to N metabolism with genetic variants between the N-efficient genotype “XY15” and the N-inefficient genotype “814”. The relative expression levels of

- BnaA9.NRT1.1 (A), BnaC8.NRT2.1a (B), BnaC2.NAR2.1 (C), BnaAn.NRT2.4 (D),
- BnaC3.NRT2.5 (E), BnaA5.NRT1.5 (F), BnaA3.NRT1.8 (G) and BnaC5.NLA (H) in the roots of B. napus seedlings, which were grown under low (1 mM), moderated (5 mM) and high (15 mM) nitrate conditions for 15 d. Columns denote means (n = 3), and error bars indicate the standard errors.
Table 1 Overview of the whole-genome re-sequencing data of the N-efficient genotype “XY15” and the N-inefficient genotype “814”

| Sample | Raw base (bp) | Clean base (bp) | Effective rate (%) | Error rate (%) | Q20 (%) | Q30 (%) | GC (%) | Mapped reads | Total reads | Mapping rate (%) | Average depth (×) | Coverage (%) |
|--------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------|---------|---------|--------|--------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|
| XY15   | 57,028,386,900 | 56,880,831,300  | 99.74              | 0.04          | 96.68   | 94.78   | 38.62  | 373,951,565  | 379,205,542  | 98.61            | 59.14            | 94.22        |
| 814    | 46,338,658,800 | 46,208,492,700  | 99.72              | 0.04          | 96.78   | 94.87   | 38.73  | 303,855,965  | 308,056,618  | 98.64            | 49.25            | 93.42        |

Table 2 Overview of the high-throughput RNA-seq data of the short- and long-term nitrogen limitation experiments

| Sample name | Raw reads | Clean reads | Clean bases | Error rate (%) | Q20 (%) | Q30 (%) | GC content (%) | Mapped reads (%) |
|-------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|----------------|---------|---------|----------------|------------------|
| Shoot       | 0 h       | 59635738    | 57043591    | 8.55           | 0.01    | 97.1    | 92.6           | 47.5             |
|             | 3 h       | 58163929    | 55612390    | 8.34           | 0.02    | 97.2    | 92.8           | 47.6             |
|             | 72 h      | 55942618    | 53982678    | 8.1            | 0.02    | 96.7    | 91.6           | 47.1             |
| Root        | 0 h       | 49108675    | 47000152    | 7.05           | 0.02    | 97.1    | 92.8           | 46.1             |
|             | 3 h       | 44434570    | 42606356    | 6.39           | 0.02    | 96.9    | 92.2           | 45.6             |
|             | 72 h      | 50080180    | 48523315    | 7.28           | 0.02    | 97      | 92.5           | 46.3             |


Table 3 Gene-specific primers used for qRT-PCR assays in this study

| Gene name   | Forward (5´-3´)               | Reverse (5´-3´)                | Amplification efficiency |
|-------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| BnaA9.NRT1.1| CAAGAAGTTGATGGTAGCCCG          | GTCTTTATCGCTGCTTTGTCC         | 99.32%                   |
| BnaC8.NRT2.1a| AAAGGTACTGAGGACACTATTATGG      | GTATTCTGAGGCGGCGTAGC          | 96.37%                   |
| BnaC2.NAR2.1| TCAAGAAGCTCTTTTTCGCG           | TAAATTCAGGCTCTTTGTAGCC        | 97.64%                   |
| BnaAn.NRT2.4| ACGGGAGATGAAAGTGAAAGTCG       | CAGGTCCAGAAGCCACGAA           | 94.38%                   |
| BnaC3.NRT2.5| GATGAGCTCTATGGTCTCCGGGA       | CAGAGAAGGTCTGAAAGAGACCA       | 98.41%                   |
| BnaA5.NRT1.5| GATGAAAGTCACGCCTTGGCG         | GCAATGTTCCGGTTGTAACCC         | 97.53%                   |
| BnaA3.NRT1.8| GGGTAGGTGTTGTATCAGCCC         | CGAACAGGAGCGATCCGAGG          | 96.30%                   |
| BnaC5.NLA   | TGAAAACGTGACCACCGGTCC         | TCTTCCAGTACTCTCGGCG          | 99.71%                   |
| BnaEF1α     | GCTGGTAGGTGTTGTGACCT          | GAGTTAGCAGCACCCTTGG          | 100.00%                  |
| BnaGD11     | GAGTCCCTTGCTCGTTTCC           | TGGCAGTCTCTCCCTCAGAT         | 93.10%                   |