ABSTRACT
Post-starburst (also known as K+A) galaxies exhibit spectroscopic signatures indicating that their star formation was recently quenched; they are candidates for galaxies in transition from a star-forming phase to a passively evolving phase. We have spectroscopically identified large samples of post-starburst galaxies both in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) at $z \sim 0.1$ and in the DEEP2 Galaxy Redshift Survey at $z \sim 0.8$, using a uniform and robust selection method based on a cut in $H\beta$ line emission rather than the more problematic $[O\ II] \lambda 3727$. Based on measurements of the overdensity of galaxies around each object, we find that post-starburst galaxies brighter than $0.4L^*_B$ at low redshift have a similar, statistically indistinguishable environment distribution as blue galaxies, preferring underdense environments, but dramatically different from that of red galaxies. However, at higher-redshift, the environment distribution of post-starburst galaxies is more similar to red galaxies than to blue galaxies. We conclude that the quenching of star formation and the build-up of the red sequence through the K+A phase is happening in relatively overdense environments at $z \sim 1$ but in relatively underdense environments at $z \sim 0$. Although the relative environments where quenching occurs are decreasing with time, the corresponding absolute environment may have stayed the same along with the quenching mechanisms, because the mean absolute environments of all galaxies has to grow with time. In addition, we do not find any significant dependence on luminosity in the environment distribution of K+As. The existence of a large K+A population in the field at both redshifts indicates that cluster-specific mechanisms cannot be the dominant route by which these galaxies are formed. Our work also demonstrates that studying post-starburst–environment relations by measuring the K+A fraction in different environments, as is the common practice, is highly non-robust; modest changes in the comparison population used to define the fraction can drastically alter conclusions. Statistical comparisons of the overall environment distributions of different populations are much better behaved.
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1 INTRODUCTION
How bulge-dominated (early-type) galaxies form is a long-standing puzzle in galaxy formation and evolution theories. These galaxies have old stellar populations and no ongoing star formation. However, they did not all form at high redshift: many emerged at
relatively recent epochs, as evidenced by the double-to-quadruple increase in their comoving number density since $z \sim 1$ (Bell et al. 2004; Willmer et al. 2006; Brown et al. 2007; Faber et al. 2007). It is poorly understood what processes shut off the star formation in their progenitors and possibly transformed their morphologies and dynamical structures.

Post-starburst galaxies, first identified by Dressler & Gunn (1983), have been hypothesized to be the direct progenitors of early-type galaxies, since their spectra show signs of recent quenching of star formation: they possess large populations of young stars but no ongoing star formation. These galaxies are also called K+A or E+A galaxies, as their spectra can be roughly decomposed into a combination of a K giant star (or early-type galaxy) spectrum and an A star spectrum (see Fig. 1). The visual morphology (Blakey et al. 2004; Balogh et al. 2005; Yang et al. 2008), Sérsic index distribution (Quintero et al. 2004), kinematics (Norton et al. 2001) and the elemental abundances (Goto 2007) of post-starbursts also suggest that they are likely progenitors of early-type galaxies. Studies of post-starbursts aim to reveal how star formation is quenched and shed light on the formation of bulge-dominated galaxies.

An important hint for solving these puzzles comes from galaxy environment studies. The environment distribution of post-starbursts can differentiate among possible quenching mechanisms, as in some models the quenching is caused, or partly induced, by the external environment of a galaxy (e.g. by mechanisms that are strong only in groups or clusters of galaxies). Comparing the environment distribution of post-starbursts to their potential progenitors, blue galaxies and possible descendants, red galaxies, will reveal what the preferred environment for quenching is.

Previous studies of the environment distribution of post-starbursts have found contradictory results. Dressler et al. (1999), Poggianti et al. (1999) and Tran et al. (2003, 2004) used samples around intermediate redshift clusters (mostly $0.3 < z < 0.6$) and found a higher post-starburst fraction in clusters than in the field; Balogh et al. (1999) did a similar study around X-ray luminous clusters from CNOC1 at $0.18 < z < 0.55$, but found that the post-starburst fraction in clusters is similar to the field; while Zabludoff et al. (1996) (the Las Campanas Redshift Survey; LCRS), Blakey et al. (2004) (2dF), Quintero et al. (2004), Goto (2005), Balogh et al. (2005) and Hogg et al. (2006) (SDSS in the last four) used local samples (all with $z < 0.1$) and found post-starbursts are more likely found in the field, outside clusters. These past studies, except those using 2dF or SDSS, usually were limited in sample size, especially at higher redshifts. The methods for selecting K+A galaxies in these papers also differed both in the spectral features adopted and the threshold used. The post-starburst samples in most of these studies, except for Quintero et al. (2004) and Hogg et al. (2006), are often incomplete or heavily contaminated due to selection on [O III] equivalent width (EW), causing the large population of post-starburst active galactic nuclei (AGN) hosts to be omitted, as explained in Yan et al. (2006) and in Section 3.1 of this paper. Therefore, the post-starburst environment distribution is poorly known at high redshift ($z > 0.2$). However, high redshift is particularly important in understanding the formation of post-starburst galaxies since their fraction among all galaxies is significantly higher at $z > 1$ than $z < 0$ (Le Borgne et al. 2006; Wild et al. 2009). Whether the environments of post-starbursts evolve with redshift is also unknown.

Most of these previous studies compared the fraction of post-starbursts among cluster galaxies with the fraction among a field sample. The result from this method depends sensitively on the parent sample in which the fraction is measured. Because galaxy properties differ significantly across different environments, the difference between post-starburst fraction across different environments can be largely due to the different mix of galaxies in the sample. A meaningful comparison is to count post-starburst galaxies relative to their potential progenitors. A varying fraction across different environments for post-starburst relative to their potential progenitors would reveal how environment affects the possibility for quenching.

In this work, we select post-starbursts uniformly from two large redshift surveys: SDSS at $z \sim 0.1$ and DEEP2 at $z > 0.7$, using
improved selection methods. The sample from DEEP2 is the largest clean post-starburst sample to date at high $z$. With these samples, we investigate the environment distribution of post-starbursts at both low $z$ and high $z$, and compare with their potential progenitors and possible descendants.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the data used. In Section 3, we describe the selection of post-starburst galaxies, the parent sample construction, the fraction and contamination estimates, and the environment measurements. We then present the colour–magnitude distribution of post-starburst galaxies in Section 4. In Section 5, we show the environment distributions of K+A galaxies in SDSS and DEEP2, compared with blue and red galaxies. We compare our results with previous studies and discuss the evolution with redshift. In Section 6, we investigate the luminosity dependence in the K+A environment distribution. We discuss the redshift evolution of K+A environment distribution in Section 7 and the implications of these results for different quenching mechanisms in Section 8. We conclude in Section 9.

Throughout this paper, we use a Λ cold dark matter (ΛCDM) cosmology with $\Omega_m, \Omega_\Lambda = (0.3, 0.7)$, and a Hubble constant of $H = 100\, h\, \text{km s}^{-1}\, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$. All magnitudes within this paper are on the AB system (Oke & Gunn 1983).

2 DATA

The DEEP2 Galaxy Redshift Survey (Davis et al. 2003, 2007, Faber et al. in preparation) was a 3-yr program using the Deep Imaging Multi-Object Spectrograph (DEIMOS) spectrograph on the Keck II telescope covering $\sim 3$ deg$^2$ of sky in four widely separated fields, to a limiting magnitude of $R_{AB} = 24.1$. The photometry was obtained with the CFH12K camera on the Canada—France—Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) (see Coil et al. 2004). To focus the spectroscopic observations on high-$z$ galaxies, in three of the four fields, a colour selection is applied to select galaxies at $z > 0.75$. Tests done on the fourth field prove this pre-selection is successful: only ~10 per cent of the final sample is from $z < 0.75$, while ~3 per cent of actual objects at $z > 0.75$ are discarded. Each CFHT pointing is adaptively tiled with 40 slitmasks in an overlapping chevron pattern, giving each galaxy two chances to be on a mask. Tests done with mock catalogues indicate that the dense regions are only slightly undersampled (Gerke et al. 2005). Overall 60 per cent of galaxies that meet the selection criteria are targeted.

We have obtained spectra for ~50 000 galaxies, with a resolution of $R \sim 5000$ covering the wavelength range from 6500 to 9100 Å. The data are reduced by a dedicated reduction pipeline (Cooper et al. 2009). Redshifts are measured by the pipeline and confirmed by eye: >33 000 galaxies have yielded high-confidence redshifts (~95 per cent confidence). Absolute $B$ magnitudes and rest-frame $U - B$ colour are computed using the $K$-correction code described in Willmer et al. (2006).

The SDSS (York et al. 2000; Stoughton et al. 2002) is an imaging and spectroscopic survey that covered $\sim \pi$ steradian of the celestial sphere, utilizing a dedicated 2.5-m telescope at Apache Point Observatory. The images are collected with five broadband filters in drift scan mode ($u, g, r, i,$ and $z$; Fukugita et al. 1996; Stoughton et al. 2002). Spectra are obtained with two fibre-fed spectrographs, covering the wavelength range of 3800–9200 Å with a resolution of $R \sim 2000$. The SDSS fibres have a fixed aperture of 3 arcsec.

The SDSS spectroscopic data used here have been reduced through the Princeton spectroscopic reduction pipeline (Schlegel et al. in preparation), which produces the flux- and wavelength-calibrated spectra. The redshift catalogue of galaxies used is from the NYU Value Added Galaxy Catalog (DR4) (Blanton et al. 2005b). $K$-corrections for SDSS were derived using Blanton et al. (2003)’s KCORRECT code v3.2.

3 METHODS

3.1 Post-starburst selection

In principle, post-starburst galaxies are defined to have no ongoing star formation but to have experienced a significant star-forming epoch in the recent past. Practically, the two criteria have to be measured from specific spectral signatures, which unavoidably brings along the potential for bias, contamination and a mixture of different physical processes.

The first criterion, the lack of ongoing star formation, is usually indicated by the lack of line emission. However, line emission can also result from AGN or low-ionization nuclear emission-line region (LINER) activity. Therefore, a selection based on the lack of line emission will not be complete, as it will be biased against post-starburst galaxies with strong AGN/LINER-like emission. The level of incompleteness caused by such a selection method highly depends on the choice of emission line used. The two commonly used star formation indicators in the optical are [O ii] λ3727 and Hβ. Most previous K+A samples employed [O ii], which is more easily accessible observationally at $z > 0.4$. However, as demonstrated by Yan et al. (2006), significant [O ii] emission associated with an AGN/LINER is observed in nearly half of the red galaxies and >70 per cent of K+A galaxies (as defined using Hβ), causing a large incompleteness in K+A selection. In contrast, Hβ emission in LINERs is much weaker; they exhibit a very high [O ii]/Hβ ratio. In addition, [O ii] emission from star-forming galaxies is much weaker than Hβ due to dust extinction. The combined effect makes post-starburst galaxies totally indistinguishable from star-forming galaxies according to [O ii] emission, but clearly distinguishable according to Hβ emission. Therefore, K+A selection is much cleaner if Hβ is used as the star formation indicator. At $z > 0.4$, we have a dilemma as [O ii] is so undesirable, while Hβ is redshifted into the infrared. We, therefore, rely on Hβ emission as a star formation indicator in this work; it is observable in optical spectra for $z < 0.9$. Although it is much weaker than Hβ, it still provides a much more complete and more robust selection of K+A than [O ii] (Yan et al. 2006).

The second criterion for a galaxy to be a post-starburst, significant recent star formation, can be indicated by the presence of a large population of A stars, due to their short lifetime (~1 Gyr). These stars will cause strong Balmer absorption features to be detectable in a galaxy’s spectrum. Most past studies used the EW of Hβ in absorption (Balogh et al. 1999; Dressler et al. 1999; Poggianti et al. 1999; Goto et al. 2003), or a combination of two or three Balmer absorption lines (Zabludoff et al. 1996; Tran et al. 2003; Tran et al. 2004; Blakey et al. 2004), to indicate the relative level of recent star formation.

1. http://spectro.princeton.edu/
2. http://wassup.physics.nyu.edu/vagc/
3. An important caveat regarding the necessity of a burst will be discussed further at the end of this section.
4. It is still unclear whether LINERs are associated with AGN. There are other non-AGN mechanisms that could produce LINER-like emission-line ratios, none of which is tied to star formation. See Ho (2004) and Yan et al. (2006) for further discussion.
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formation. Recently, Quintero et al. (2004) and Yan et al. (2006) decomposed each galaxy spectrum into two components—a young component mimicking A stars and an old component similar to K stars—and used their ratio (dubbed A/K) to indicate the relative strength of the recent burst. We take the latter approach. However, for robustness, instead of taking the ratio between the amplitudes of the two components, we use the flux ratio between the young component and the total stellar continuum around 4500 Å, denoted by \( f_A \) for 'A-star fraction', to indicate the relative level of recent star formation. This has several advantages over both EW indicators and the A/K ratio: it effectively averages over multiple Balmer absorption features, providing a less noisy measurement than the average of two or three EWs; it is more stable than the A/K ratio as the latter becomes noisy when the K component is weak; and it is less sensitive to the overall continuum shape or flux calibration as a broadly smoothed continuum is removed before fitting. For details of the fitting procedure, see Yan et al. (2006).

We, therefore, measure the two indicators, H\( \beta \) EW (in emission) and \( f_A \), in each galaxy spectrum from DEEP2 and SDSS. K+A galaxies are identifiable in a plot of H\( \beta \) EW against \( f_A \). As shown in Fig. 2, most galaxies exhibit a tight correlation between these parameters. This is to be expected, as galaxies with relatively strong recent star formation generally have a high ongoing star formation rate (SFR). K+A galaxies stand out as a horizontal spur in this diagram, as they have no ongoing star formation but significant recent star formation. This separation between K+A galaxies and star-forming galaxies was first demonstrated by Quintero et al. (2004). Here, we use an improved recent star formation indicator, \( f_A \), in place of the A/K ratio used in Quintero et al. (2004), for reasons mentioned above. This makes the separation much clearer, even though H\( \beta \) is weaker and harder to measure than H\( \alpha \). The solid lines in the plots indicate our selection criteria for K+A galaxies, which can be expressed as the two inequalities:

\[
f_A > 0.35
\]

(1)

\[
\text{H}\beta \text{ EW(Å)} < 4 \times f_A - 1.
\]

(2)

The choice of the \( f_A \) threshold has its arbitrariness since the horizontal spur in Fig. 2 is a continuous sequence from strong K+A galaxies extending to quiescent, old galaxies at (0,0). Ideally, we would put the threshold at the point above which the K+A sequence (the horizontal spur) is separable from the star-forming sequence. However, this depends on the intrinsic scatter and measurement error of each population. In the SDSS panel of Fig. 2, the separation can be achieved at least down to \( f_A \) of 0.25. But in the DEEP2 sample, due to larger measurement errors, we are forced to use a higher threshold to keep the sample clean. Therefore, we choose \( f_A > 0.35 \) as the criterion for both DEEP2 and SDSS samples in order to make fair comparisons. The H\( \beta \) cut is chosen to go through the valley between the two sequences in SDSS. Our results are less sensitive to variation in this cut as the valley is underpopulated.

An important caveat in the definition is that even strong Balmer absorption features, such as H\( \alpha \) EW (in absorption) \(< -5 \text{ Å} \), or equivalently \( f_A > 0.4 \), do not guarantee the necessity of a starburst, or a significantly elevated SFR, before quenching. A sharp quenching of star formation without a burst is also possible to produce a K+A galaxy. Using Bruzual & Charlot (2003) population synthesis models, we found that a \( 5 \times 10^{10} \text{M}_\odot \) (stellar mass) galaxy with a \( 10 \text{M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} \) constant SFR would have an \( f_A \) of 0.6 (H\( \beta \) EW \( \sim -7 \text{ Å} \)) after abrupt quenching, which does not drop below 0.3 for another 0.5 Gyr. Such a level of star formation is common at redshift \( z \sim 0.7 \) and above (Noeske et al. 2007). Therefore, a starburst is not absolutely necessary for a galaxy to be classified as a K+A galaxy. This is also shown by Le Borgne et al. (2006) using PEGASE-HR stellar population synthesis code (Le Borgne et al. 2004). Although the optical and NIR colours of K+A galaxies suggest enhanced recent star formation is necessary (Balogh et al. 2005), the accuracy of the name 'post-starburst' is questionable. The name 'K+A' is still precise since it only refers to the observational definition, but it lacks a reference to the physical processes. A more appropriate name for these galaxies would be 'post-quenching galaxies'. However, for consistency with the literature, we have been referring to all K+A

Figure 2. H\( \beta \) EW versus \( f_A \) for the SDSS sample (left-hand panel) and the DEEP2 sample (right-hand panel) as defined in Table 1. The solid lines indicate the selection criteria adopted for identifying K+A galaxies. The crosses at position [0.8,5] indicate the median 1σ measurement error for \( f_A \) and H\( \beta \) EW in each sample. In the left-hand panel, the K+A galaxies comprise a clearly distinct population and stand out as the horizontal spur with nearly zero H\( \beta \) EW but relatively large A-star fraction (\( f_A \)). The spur is not as obvious in the DEEP2 sample due to the greater noise in spectral index measurements and smaller sample. However, as described in Section 3.3, we can reliably measure the contamination due to scatter in both dimensions and quantify the true K+A fraction.
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galaxies as ‘post-starburst’ galaxies. From now on, we will adopt the name ‘K+A galaxy’ and ‘post-quenching galaxy’.

3.2 Parent sample definition

3.2.1 DEEP2

The DEEP2 sample we use is a subset of the spectra obtained by the survey. We require galaxies to have Hβ covered in the spectrum and not to be severely impaired by sky-subtraction residuals. The spectra are also required to reach a minimum rest-frame wavelength below 3900 Å to make reliable spectral decomposition possible. This limits us to the redshift range 0.65 < z < 0.88, yielding a sample of 9564 galaxies. However, we cannot use them all for the following environment analysis, for a few reasons.

First, the DEEP2 sampling density drops precipitously below z ~ 0.7 due to the colour pre-selection of the survey, leading to undesirably large correction factors and high uncertainty in environment measurements, we limit the sample to z > 0.72. This removes 14 per cent of the sample.

Secondly, the environment measurement is not reliable when a galaxy is too close to a survey edge. As a result, we further limit the sample to only galaxies that are at least 1 h^{-1} Mpc (comoving) away from a survey edge (see Section 3.4 and Cooper et al. 2006). This criterion removes 23 per cent of the sample after the above cut. In total, we have (1 – 0.14)(1 – 0.23) = 66 per cent of the original sample left.

Thirdly, we select a luminosity-limited sample for the analysis. DEEP2’s B-band magnitude limit (R_{24} < 24.1) corresponds to a colour- and redshift-dependent limit in rest-frame B-band (see Willmer et al. 2006). This cut-off is a function of colour, shallower for redder galaxies (Willmer et al. 2006). At z = 0.88, the high-redshift end of the K+A window, the limit is M_B = 5 log h ~ -19.7 for typical red galaxies with rest-frame U − B = 1.2. Therefore, DEEP2 is effectively volume-limited for galaxies of all colours to M_B = 5 log h < -19.7 for z < 0.88. With this magnitude cut, 53 per cent of the sample after above cuts is further removed, most of them relatively blue galaxies. In total, we have 31 per cent of the original sample left. We also make a bright subsample using a brighter luminosity cut at M_B = 5 log h < -20.7, which is approximately L_∗ at z = 0.8, to investigate the possibility of luminosity dependence.

In principle, to make an unbiased comparison between post-quenching galaxies and their potential progenitors and descendants, it is most ideal to make a stellar-mass limited sample. However, we do not have the luxury of doing this. Although K-band data is available in 1/3 of the DEEP2 fields (Bundy et al. 2006), the combined depth of the DEEP2 spectroscopy and the K-band survey is not deep enough to cover enough post-quenching galaxies to allow significant detection of any environment trend. Therefore, we resort to a B-band luminosity-limited sample. Our M_B = 5 log h < -19.7 limit corresponds approximately to a stellar mass limit of M_∗ > 10^{10.7} M⊙ for red galaxies and M_∗ > 10^{10.1} M⊙ for blue galaxies. For K+A galaxies, it is approximately M_∗ > 10^{10.6} M⊙. Lastly, we limit our sample to only galaxies with a high signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) spectrum. When the S/N per pixel in the continuum falls below 1 (corresponding to S/N ~ 2.3 per FWHM), the spectral decomposition becomes unstable, which also introduces large errors on the Hβ EW. This will contaminate the K+A sample with blue, star-forming galaxies. We, therefore, remove all galaxies with S/N per pixel less than 1.0. This criterion removes 10 per cent of the sample, most of which are blue galaxies. In addition, we remove a handful (0.5 per cent) of galaxies which have Hβ EW error greater than 5 Å or have Hβ EW less than −5 Å (where we define emission as positive EW). These criteria effectively clean the K+A sample without changing the whole sample significantly. They only brighten the median M_B by 0.06 for the whole sample, 0.02 for red galaxies, and 0.06 for blue galaxies, all of which are insignificant. In total, we have 28 per cent of the original sample left. Table 1 summarizes the details of our sample definition.

With the above selection, we are left with 2649 galaxies from the DEEP2 survey. This is the primary DEEP2 sample used in the following analysis. There are 39 K+A galaxies in this sample. After inspecting their spectra individually, we manually removed five of them which have Hβ severely underestimated due to strong residuals from sky subtraction. The remaining 34 K+A galaxies are all robustly detected, brighter than our luminosity cut, and have well-measured environment. Whenever possible, we lift some of the selection cuts and use a larger sample. For example, in Fig. 3, we lift the redshift cuts, edge distance cut, and the magnitude cut, but keep the S/N cut, giving the maximum sample of 74 K+A galaxies. All of the 74 K+A’s are plotted in Fig. 3. This is the largest K+A sample ever found in this redshift range.

3.2.2 SDSS

To test the evolution in the K+A population from z ~ 1 to ~0, we build a comparison data set from the SDSS main galaxy sample in the redshift range 0.07 < z < 0.12. To make a fair comparison, we select a sample down to the same B-band luminosity limit relative to L_∗, the characteristic luminosity of galaxies in a Schechter-function fit, as at z ~ 0.8. As star formation rates decline and stellar populations age, galaxies fade; L_∗ in the B band decreases by 1.3 mag per unit z (Willmer et al. 2006; Faber et al. 2007). Our B-band limit at z ~ 0.79 corresponds to M_B = −18.8 at z ~ 0.1. However, the depth of SDSS in B band is shallower than this at z > 0.082. Therefore, we are unable to construct a volume-limited sample from SDSS matching the depth of DEEP2. In principle, we

Table 1. Sample definition summary.

| Name       | Redshift range | Median Z | Mag range (M = M_B − 5 log h) | Median M_B − 5 log h | Sample size | K+A sample | K+A fraction (per cent) | Contamination correction (per cent) |
|------------|----------------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| SDSS-whole | 0.07–0.12      | 0.09     | M < −18.8                   | −19.73               | 79 716      | 187        | 0.23 ± 0.02              | 0.05                             |
| SDSS-bright| 0.07–0.12      | 0.09     | M < −19.8                   | −20.16               | 35 717      | 93         | 0.26 ± 0.03              | 0.02                             |
| SDSS-faint | 0.07–0.12      | 0.09     | −19.8 < M < −18.8           | −19.42               | 43 999      | 94         | 0.21 ± 0.02              | 0.07                             |
| DEEP2-whole| 0.72–0.88      | 0.79     | M < −19.7                   | −20.36               | 2649        | 34         | 1.3 ± 0.2               | 0.9                              |
| DEEP2-bright| 0.72–0.88     | 0.79     | M < −20.7                   | −21.02               | 783         | 17         | 2.3 ± 0.5               | 1.1                              |
| DEEP2-faint| 0.72–0.88      | 0.79     | −20.7 < M < −19.7           | −20.12               | 1866        | 17         | 0.9 ± 0.25              | 0.8                              |
can apply $1/V_{\text{max}}$ weighting, but it is unnecessary, as the number of K+A galaxies is small, which only allows us to make one or two wide bins in luminosity. A $1/V_{\text{max}}$ weighting does not significantly change the effective median magnitude of K+A's in each bin. In addition, the local K+A's environment distribution has no detectable luminosity dependence, as shown in Section 6. We, therefore, do not apply any magnitude-dependent weights.

To maximize the sample from SDSS while making it $B$-band limited, we empirically determined a redshift-dependent rest-frame $B$-band luminosity limit. Because SDSS is $r$-band limited, this $B$-band limit is set by the bluest galaxies. The limit we apply is

$$M_B(z) - 5 \log h < \max(100z^2 - 45z - 15.8, -18.8).$$

We also select a bright subsample in SDSS with $M_B - 5 \log h < -19.8$, which is the depth of SDSS at $z = 0.12$, the upper limit in redshift for our $z \sim 0.1$ sample. This bright subsample for SDSS is, therefore, volume-limited.

For the environment analysis, we also exclude galaxies within $1 \ Mpc (\text{comoving})$ of a survey edge or hole, along with regions on the sky with less than 80 per cent completeness. With these criteria, we are left with 79 716 galaxies, amongst them 187 K+A galaxies. The redshift range, effective redshift and magnitude limits adopted for the two parent samples are summarized in Table 1.

### 3.3 K+A Galaxy Fraction and the contamination correction

It is easily seen in Fig. 2 that the K+A population in DEEP2 is not so obviously a separate population from star-forming galaxies as in SDSS. This is largely due to the much larger sample size of SDSS, but the greater measurement errors in DEEP2 spectral indices also obscure the gap. Therefore, to reliably estimate the K+A galaxy fraction, we need to do a contamination correction, i.e. estimate the expected false-positive rate and the true-negative rate for a given environment bin, then subtract or add them, respectively, to the raw K+A fraction.

A robust contamination estimate requires reliable error estimates for both spectral indices used. The error on each index is first estimated from the inverse variance array output from the DEEP2 DEIMOS spectroscopic reduction pipeline for each object. However, there can also be systematic errors due to observational conditions, such as incomplete slit coverage, variable seeing conditions, misalignment between slit and atmospheric dispersion direction, etc., that cause pipeline errors based on measurement noise to be an underestimate of the true measurement error. To give reliable errors, we follow Balogh et al. (1999) and use objects with repeated observations to scale up the pipeline errors such that repeated measurements on the same object give consistent results. The errors on H$\beta$ EW and $f_\lambda$ are scaled up by factors of 1.81 and 1.61, respectively. The details of this rescaling can be found in Yan et al. (in preparation). Similarly, the measurement errors on galaxies in SDSS are also scaled according to the estimates from repeated observations.

With reliable error estimates for H$\beta$ EW and $f_\lambda$, we can predict how much contamination these errors (presumed to be Gaussian) will cause, assuming the true distribution is the same as the observed distribution. This is done by Monte Carlo simulations. We add Gaussian noise to the observed H$\beta$ EW and $f_\lambda$ values, according to the error estimates of each point. We then calculate, for each point, the likelihood it falls into the K+A definition box. This likelihood is also the probability for each galaxy being a true K+A galaxy. The difference between the sum of the likelihoods and the raw fraction of K+A galaxies gives the contamination correction. The fact that the observed distribution differs from the true distribution (due to measurement errors) causes this contamination estimate to be imperfect. However, for distributions with small second derivatives (as here), this effect is modest and may be safely ignored.
3.4 Environment measures

The local galaxy environment has been estimated for each galaxy in the DEEP2 and SDSS samples that is at least 1 h⁻¹ Mpc (comoving) away from a survey edge (see Cooper et al. 2006, 2007 for measurements in DEEP2 and Cooper et al. 2008 in SDSS). The environment indicator employed is the projected third-nearest neighbour surface density (Σ₃), which is derived from the projected distance to the third-nearest neighbour, Dₚ₃, through Σ₃ = 3/(πDₚ₃²). The measurement is made for objects within a velocity window of ±1000 km s⁻¹ along the line of sight in order to exclude foreground and background galaxies. For each galaxy, the surface density is divided by the median Σ₁ of galaxies at that redshift to correct for the redshift dependence of the sampling rate in both the DEEP2 and SDSS surveys. This converts the Σ₃ values into measures of overdensity relative to the median density (given by the notation 1 + δ here). Details of the environment measurements can be found in Cooper et al. (2006, 2007, 2008). The environment indicator employed here for the SDSS sample is different from the indicators used by Blanton et al. (2005a) and Hogg et al. (2003, 2006). These differences are not very significant as the rank order of environment among galaxies should be similar, and environments are measured on similar physical scales in Hogg et al. (2006) and here. For detailed comparisons of a few different environment indicators, see Cooper et al. (2005).

We note that this environment indicator measures different scales in different environments, which also vary with redshift. At z ~ 0.8, simulations show that, at log(1 + δᵤ) > 0.5, this indicator can be roughly translated as the hosting halo mass; at log(1 + δᵤ) < 0.5, this indicator measures the interhalo environment. Typically, it measures local density on a 2 Mpc scale. Although SDSS has a higher sampling density than DEEP2, our environment indicator measures a slightly larger scale in SDSS than in DEEP2: the median Dₚ₃ is ~1.3 h⁻¹ Mpc (comoving) in the SDSS sample and ~1.05 h⁻¹ Mpc (comoving) in the DEEP2 sample. It is important to remember that this environment indicator is normalized relative to the median overdensity of all galaxies at each redshift, and therefore is a relative measure of environment. This is important when we consider environment evolution with redshift.

4 COLOUR–MAGNITUDE DISTRIBUTION OF POST-QUENCHING GALAXIES

Fig. 3 shows the colour–magnitude distributions of all K+A galaxies in SDSS and in DEEP2 selected by the methods described above in Section 3.1 (circles), while the distribution of the parent sample is plotted in grey contours for SDSS and in grey points for DEEP2.

As seen in numerous studies (e.g. Bell et al. 2004; Willmer et al. 2006), the rest-frame colour distribution of all galaxies is bimodal at both z ~ 0.1 and ~0.8, with a tight red galaxy sequence and a diffuse ‘cloud’ of blue galaxies. For DEEP2, we use the same magnitude-dependent colour cut as in Willmer et al. (2006) to separate red and blue galaxies, as indicated by the tilted solid line:

\[ U - B = -0.032(M_B - 5 \log h) + 0.343. \]  

One might note that the colour–magnitude distribution of SDSS galaxies is shifted redwards relative to that of DEEP2. This is due to the aging of the stellar population and the decrease in the star formation rate from z ~ 0 to ~0.1 (Blanton 2006). The red sequence is also much more densely populated at lower z. This echoes the observed growth of this population from luminosity function studies (Brown et al. 2007; Faber et al. 2007). Since galaxies are redder at low z, we adopt a redder colour cut for SDSS, which is 0.14 mag redder than that for DEEP2, the same as that adopted by Cooper et al. (2008). This cut separates the red and blue galaxies at low z reasonably well.

The K+A galaxies are highlighted as circles on these plots. The size of each circle indicates the corresponding galaxy’s probability of being a true K+A (see Section 3.3). The magnitude limit for each sample is indicated by the vertical dashed line in each panel.

Despite the shifts in colour of the red sequence and the blue cloud from DEEP2 to SDSS, the median U – B colour of the K+A galaxies remains largely unchanged. This is expected as they are selected in the same manner, through decomposition of the stellar spectra, thus having the same light-weighted mean stellar age. This further demonstrates the equivalence between the two K+A samples selected from the two surveys. In DEEP2, K+A galaxies mostly occupy the red side of the ‘green valley’ and the blue side of the red sequence. In SDSS, they are mainly in the ‘green valley’, bluer than most of the red sequence galaxies. This difference in their positions relative to the red sequence is entirely due to the aging of stellar populations on the red sequence from z ~ 0.8 to ~0.1.

5 ENVIRONMENTS OF POST-QUENCHING GALAXIES

In this section, we investigate the environment distribution of K+A galaxies. We face several difficulties. First, the environment measurements have large errors, predominantly due to the sparse sampling of the matter distribution provided by a galaxy survey. Environment differences between samples can only be detected when the sample sizes are large. Secondly, our K+A sample size, although being the largest available at this redshift, is small for statistical purposes. Thirdly, all statistical presentations and tests have limitations, especially given noisy measurements and small sample sizes. To interpret the results, we have to keep these facts in mind and combine multiple methods to reach a conclusion. Therefore, we investigate the environment distribution of K+A galaxies in several ways.

5.1 SDSS

Before we look at the higher-z sample from DEEP2, we first investigate the environments of K+A in the SDSS sample, which gives a larger K+A sample and better statistics.

Our first approach is to compare the K+A fractions among galaxy subsamples binned by their local overdensity. This is similar to the approach in most previous studies, which compared the fractions of K+A between two classes of environment: clusters versus field.

In Fig. 4, we present the K+A fractions relative to all galaxies as a function of environment in the SDSS sample. The environment bins are chosen so that each one contains an equal number of galaxies (1/5 of all galaxies in this case).

Since the measurement of a fraction has a binomial distribution, the error bars are computed according to the variance of a binomial distribution. For small fractions, as for K+A galaxies, this is very close to Poisson error. These errors are underestimated because all the bins are correlated with each other due to environment uncertainties. The errors on the contamination correction for K+A samples is assumed to be small, since it is based on empirical error estimates on spectral measurements with repeated observations.

As shown in Fig. 4, the blue galaxy fraction decreases with increasing local density, while red galaxy fraction increases with local
The fractions of K+As, blue galaxies and red galaxies as a function of environment for the SDSS sample. The raw K+As fraction is shown as the dotted line with error bars. The K+As fraction after applying the contamination corrections described in Section 3.3 is shown as the solid line. The horizontal bars indicate the 90-percentile ranges of environment for each bin. The blue dashed line and the red dash–dotted line, which are plotted with respect to the vertical axis on the right, show the blue galaxy fraction and the red galaxy fraction, respectively, in the sample as a function of environment. The separation between blue and red galaxies is defined here using the cut (in $U - B$) applied by Cooper et al. (2008), which is 0.14 mag redder than the DEEP2 cut to account for the colour evolution of galaxies. K+As in SDSS show a similar downward trend as blue galaxies. However, as discussed later, the K+As fraction trend in this plot depends sensitively on the sample used for the denominator, making it difficult to compare with other samples. The significance is also overestimated due to correlations between the bins.

It is quite obvious that the difference between the environment distributions of blue galaxies and red galaxies is very significant, as is the difference between K+As and red galaxies. However, is the small difference between K+As and blue galaxies significant? To address this requires a statistical test. A commonly used non-parametric (i.e. independent of Gaussian assumption) test is the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test (hereafter K–S test; Anderson & Darling 1954; Pettitt 1976; Sinclair & Spurr 1988) is more sensitive to differences on the tails of the distribution. A powerful alternative, the Anderson–Darling test (also called the Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon, or Wilcoxon rank-sum test, hereafter MWW test, Mann & Whitney 1947), which is more sensitive to differences than the K–S test when sample sizes are small. It uses the relative ranks of measurements in a combined, sorted list to test the hypothesis that two samples might come from the same population. We present the results for all these tests in Table 2. Under all of these tests, the null hypothesis that red galaxies and K+As are drawn from the same distribution is rejected with a significance level of $10^{-5}$ or better. The null hypothesis that the blue galaxies and K+As are drawn from the same distribution cannot be rejected at the 0.05 significance level, however. Therefore, we conclude that K+As at $z \sim 0.1$ have an environment distribution that is indistinguishable from blue galaxies, given our samples.

### 5.2 Comparison of methodologies

All the methods presented above – fraction versus environment plots, density distributions, cumulative distributions and statistical tests – lead to the same conclusion. The K+As galaxies at $z \sim 0.1$ in SDSS have an environment distribution which is distinct from that of red galaxies, but similar to that of blue galaxies.
The first method, the fraction versus environment plot, is the easiest to read but the hardest to interpret and compare between different samples. First, it is very easy to overestimate the significance of the trend presented in such a plot, because the fractions in all the bins are correlated. For example, in Fig. 4, it looks quite convincing that the K+A fraction drops consistently except for the second to last bin. In fact, the middle three bins are basically consistent with being flat. Their relative heights change significantly if we shift the bins slightly. The most significant variation in the K+A fractions is the difference between the leftmost bin and the rightmost bin: the latter is significantly lower.

Secondly, the slope of the trend sensitively depends on the relative mix of blue and red galaxies in the sample we are taking a fraction of. If we increase the overall fraction of red galaxies without changing the K+A population – for instance, by switching to an I band selected sample – we will include more galaxies in overdense environments. Under the same equal-number binning, the bin boundaries will shift to higher densities. Even if the K+A population included does not change, the slope of the K+A fraction will still become steeper, i.e. decrease faster towards denser environments. The lesson is that the slope of the fraction versus environment trend is sensitive to the parent sample, which means we cannot blindly compare the K+A fraction slopes between different samples, such as ones defined using different limiting band, using different luminosity cuts, or at different redshifts. We will see an example of this when we investigate luminosity-dependence (Section 6) below.

The second presentation method used is the density distribution histogram. The histograms provide much more detail than the fraction plot, but for small samples like K+A galaxies, the number of bins has to be relatively small, thus limiting the power of the data. Cumulative distributions give a much more sensitive presentation: it does not require binning, and thus can take full advantage of the data while making the presentation as continuous as possible. We therefore consider this presentation the most accurate and informative.

In fact, many of the non-parametric statistical tests are based on comparisons between empirical cumulative distribution functions (CDF). They provide quantitative tests of the significance of the differences between different samples. They also have limitations, however. Different tests compare different aspects of the data, thus they do not necessarily give the same answer. The test giving the smallest $p$-value is not necessarily the most powerful. This will be clear in the following analysis for DEEP2 K+A.

Comparing all these methods, we consider the cumulative distribution the most accurate and informative way of presentation, and we base our judgements on the statistical tests for our conclusions.

Now we move on to investigate the environments of K+A galaxies in the DEEP2 sample, which is a smaller sample and hence more noisy.

### 5.3 DEEP2

As in Section 5.1, we present the K+A environment distribution in DEEP2 to blue and red galaxies with three different methods. Fig. 6 shows the K+A fraction as a function of environment, along with that for blue galaxies and red galaxies. The blue fraction decreases towards denser environments and the red fraction increases, as expected. The K+A fraction shows a slight preference for the central environment bin (although the contamination correction is noisy).
large for the DEEP2 sample, the trend does not change with or without the correction. This indicates its environment distribution is in between that of the blue and red samples. However, due to the correlation among the three bins and the small sample involved, the K+A trend presented by this plot is not very robust. We turn to the density distributions and cumulative distributions for deeper analysis.

Fig. 7 shows the density distributions and cumulative distributions for red, blue and K+A galaxies in the sample. For K+A galaxies, we make two sets of density distribution and cumulative distribution: one set is based on pure number counts, the other set is weighted by the probability of each K+A being a true K+A galaxy. We do this because the spectral measurements in DEEP2 have larger errors than those in SDSS and the sample size is small. Thus, giving more secure K+A galaxies higher weight can make the result more robust.

As seen in this figure, K+A galaxies show a density distribution skewed towards overdense regions, more similar to red galaxies than blue. The cumulative distributions basically tracks that for red galaxies, especially when weighted by K+A probability. The statistical tests also show that the environment distribution of K+A galaxies is more similar to red galaxies than to blue galaxies, as seen in Table 2. K+A galaxies are consistent with being drawn from the same population as red galaxies in all tests, regardless of weighting.

In the case for blue galaxies, the probabilities are approaching the threshold for rejecting the null hypothesis. However, the differences do not reach our significance threshold ($p < 0.05$) except for the weighted A-D test ($p = 0.049$). Thus, we cannot safely conclude that K+A galaxies at $z \sim 0.8$ have different environment distribution as blue galaxies. We can only conclude that they are possibly more similar to red galaxies than to blue galaxies. The difference becomes more significant when we weight more secure K+As more.

Small sample size and large intrinsic error in the environment measures both affect the significance of the results. To improve the significance, larger samples and/or more accurate environment measures are required.

5.4 K+As in groups versus fields in DEEP2

Another way to characterize the local environment of galaxies is to classify galaxies in one of two classes: cluster/group members and isolated field galaxies. Here, we use the DEEP2 group catalogue produced by Gerke et al. (2005) to investigate the K+A fractions in the DEEP2 groups and in the field. We note here that few of our galaxies are in large clusters, because the volume of DEEP2 is not large enough and there are fewer clusters at $z \sim 1$ than $z \sim 0$.

The group catalogue used here is an expanded version of the one presented in Gerke et al. (2005), using the complete DEEP2 data sets rather than the first 25 per cent data. The groups are identified using the Voronoi–Delaunay method (Marinoni et al. 2002). For details of the method, along with assessments of the completeness and purity of the resulting group catalogue, see Gerke et al. (2005). We calculate the K+A fraction in galaxy groups with estimated velocity dispersion of $\sigma > 200$ km s$^{-1}$, which corresponds to a minimum dark matter halo mass of $\sim 6 \times 10^{12} \, h^{-1} \, M_{\odot}$ (Coil et al. 2006). Out of 671 galaxies with $M_B - 5 \log h > -19.7$ in 329 groups within the redshift range considered, the raw K+A fraction is $1.0 \pm 0.4$ per cent. Among 1613 field galaxies outside groups, the raw K+A fraction is $1.5 \pm 0.3$ per cent. Applying contamination corrections, the true K+A fractions in groups and in the field are $0.1 \pm 0.4$ and $0.7 \pm 0.3$ per cent, respectively. We also list the K+A fractions in groups by their richness in Table 3.

As in groups versus fields in DEEP2

5.5 Comparison with previous studies

Numerous authors have studied the environment of K+A galaxies in the past. Here, we review them and compare their results with ours. As we mentioned before, the environment preference of K+A galaxies depends on the methodology employed, the parent sample used and the selection criteria of K+As. In addition, different studies

| Description | Raw K+A fraction (per cent) | Corrected K+A fraction (per cent) | No. of Galaxies | No. of groups |
|-------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Groups w/V$_{\text{disp}} > 200$ km s$^{-1}$ | 1.04 ± 0.39 | 0.13 | 671 | 329 |
| Groups w/4 or more members | 0.95 ± 0.36 | −0.13 | 737 | 313 |
| Groups w/2 or 3 members | 0.87 ± 0.35 | −0.20 | 690 | 486 |
| Field galaxies | 1.49 ± 0.30 | 0.70 | 1613 | |
5.5.1 Low redshift

At low redshift, nearly all studies reached the same conclusion as ours: K+As at low redshift have a similar environment distribution as blue galaxies, which are predominantly found in the field.

Zabludoff et al. (1996) first did this study at low redshift in the Las Campanas Redshift Survey. With a K+A selection based on strong Balmer absorption lines and lack of [O II] emission, they found that at least 75 per cent of K+A galaxies are found in the field, not in or near clusters. Blakey et al. (2004) used the 2dF Galaxy Redshift Survey and adopted a similar selection criteria to study the environment of K+A galaxies. They found that the low-redshift K+A galaxies are located predominantly in the field. These two studies both selected K+A based on the lack of [O II] emission, which would make the sample incomplete for K+As hosting a low-luminosity AGN.

Quintero et al. (2004) studied the K+A environment in SDSS and used a selection method very similar to ours. They found that the mean overdensity of K+As on the 1 and 8 Mpc h⁻¹ scales are similar to the mean of spiral galaxies, most of which live in the field, and is lower than the mean of bulge-dominated galaxies, many of which occupy high-density environment. With the same K+A sample, Hogg et al. (2006) employed three different environment indicators: the number density in 8 Mpc h⁻¹ comoving spheres, the transverse distance to the nearest Virgo-like cluster and the transverse distance to the nearest luminous neighbour. They found that K+A galaxies at low z have a very similar environment distribution to star-forming galaxies, with only small, statistically insignificant differences. We confirm these results at low z.

Goto (2005) selected K+A galaxies in SDSS with strong Hβ absorption but without either Hα or [O II]. The additional requirement of not having [O II] will make the sample more restrictive than what we define here. With this selection, they found the environment of K+As at 0.5, 1.5 and 8 Mpc scales are consistent with that of field galaxies, and inconsistent with that of cluster galaxies. With basically the same sample, Balogh et al. (2005) used the Σ₅, derived from the projected distance to the fifth-nearest neighbour brighter than Mᵣ = −20, to characterize the environment. They concluded that K+A galaxies reside in environment typical of normal SDSS galaxies and that are inconsistent with overdense regions like clusters.

5.5.2 High redshift (0.3 < z < 0.9)

At redshift greater than 0.3, the environment of K+A galaxies is an unsettled question. But all the high-z studies done in the past used [O II] as the star formation indicator to select K+A galaxies. As mentioned in Section 3.1 and discussed in Yan et al. (2006), [O II]-based selection methods suffer from incompleteness caused by AGN line emission and contamination from dusty star-forming galaxies. This can be particularly bad in clusters since [O II] can be strong in LINERs most of which reside in early-type galaxies (Ho, Filippenko & Sargent 1997; Yan et al. 2006). Besides this selection difference, to compare our results with previous work also requires matching the all-galaxy sample. This is critical and was often ignored. All these difficulties prevent us from doing a fair quantitative comparison. None the less, we list the results from a few representative works here.

Dressler et al. (1999), Poggianti et al. (1999) studied the K+As in 10 rich galaxy clusters in the range 0.37 < z < 0.56. They found that the K+A fraction in clusters (≈18 per cent) is significantly higher than that in the field (≈2 per cent). Tran et al. (2003) studied K+As in three clusters at z = 0.33, 0.58 and 0.83 and determined that the K+A fractions in them are between 7–13 per cent. Tran et al. (2004) quantified the K+A fraction in the field sample from the same survey to be 2.7 ± 1.1 per cent, thus they concluded that K+A fraction is significantly higher in clusters than in the field. However, Balogh et al. (1999) used CNOC1 survey and found similar K+A fractions between cluster and field environments. The difference from these results are likely due to differences in cluster selection (optical selection versus X-ray selection) and in galaxy sample selection (morphological selection versus magnitude limited sample), which are discussed in detail in Balogh et al. (1999). Although we do not have any Coma-like rich cluster covered in our sample, we do find the fraction of K+As in groups is similar to, perhaps slightly smaller than, the fraction outside groups. This is roughly consistent with the result of Balogh et al. (1999). However, we cannot make finer comparisons due to selection differences and lack of large clusters in our sample.

Recently, Poggianti et al. (2009) studied K+A galaxy fractions in clusters, groups and fields using data from the ESO Distant Cluster Survey (White et al. 2005). Using a K+A selection based on [O II] emission and Hβ absorption, they found that the K+A fraction is higher in clusters and a subset of groups with low fraction of [O II] emitters, than in the field. Albeit the selection differences, the K+A fraction trend they found are actually consistent with our findings. If we count both their high-[O II] and low-[O II] groups, the K+A fraction among all group galaxies (5 ± 3 per cent) is comparable to the fraction in the field (6 ± 3 per cent) (table 4 of Poggianti et al. 2009). This is consistent with the comparable raw K+A fractions we find among DEEP2 group galaxies (1.0 ± 0.4 per cent) and field galaxies (1.5 ± 0.3 per cent). The larger fractions in Poggianti et al. (2009) than ours are probably due to their more inclusive selection criteria and different all-galaxy sample definition. We are unable to compare to their K+A fractions in clusters due to the paucity of rich clusters in the DEEP2 volume. The Red-Sequence Cluster Survey (RCS), with its spectroscopic follow-up program, might provide an ideal parent sample for such studies (Yee et al. 2007).

6 In place of our cut in Hβ EW and f_A space, Hogg et al. (2006) used Hα EW and A/K ratio to select K+As.
with increasing local density, mimicking the environmental trend of blue galaxies. For the faint sample, K+A fraction is fairly flat across all environment bins, unlike either the red galaxies or the blue galaxies. Does this mean there is a luminosity dependence in the K+A environment distribution? The answer is no, for interesting reasons.

Contrary to one’s intuition, the apparent luminosity dependence of the K+A fraction trend does not necessarily reflect a change in K+A galaxies’ environment distribution with luminosity. In fact, it is largely due to the change in the environment distribution of the parent sample used to calculate fractions. Fraction measurements are dependent on the environment distribution of the all-galaxy sample used, not just the K+As. In the faint sample, there is a higher fraction of blue galaxies and a lower fraction of red galaxies than in the bright sample. The median overdensity of the sample decreases slightly; since we require that each bin has an equal number of galaxies, the bin limits shift slightly to the left. This slight shift can change the apparent trend significantly. We demonstrate this by reploting the panel for the faint sample, but this time replace the K+A galaxies in the faint sample by those in the bright sample. Therefore, comparing to the bright-sample plot (left-hand panel of Fig. 8), the K+A galaxies are the same, only the parent sample changed. This is shown in Fig. 9. Although the K+A galaxies included are the same, the K+A fraction trend displayed is different, appearing flat except for the last bin. This demonstrates that the trend displayed in a fraction versus environment plot is sensitive to the comparison sample. Comparing this with the original SDSS-faint sample (right-hand panel of Fig. 8), the only difference that may be significant is the K+A fraction in the last bin. However, we cannot be sure since the error bars are underestimates due to correlations amongst the bins.

In Fig. 10, we show the cumulative distributions of environments of the K+As, blue galaxies and red galaxies in the SDSS-bright and SDSS-faint samples. The two K+A CDFs track each other closely in the low-density regime. There is an excess in the bright K+A sample at \( \log(1 + \delta_3) = -0.2 \); above that, the two curves still follow each other in slope, until the faint K+A sample catches up around \( \log(1 + \delta_3) = 1.35 \). The two all-galaxy CDFs show very small but statistically significant differences. It is precisely this small difference between the two parent samples that shifts the binning and makes the slopes of the K+A fraction trends appear different.

The problem, though related to the way in which samples are binned, is not solvable by binning in a different manner. If, instead of using equal-number binning, we fix the binning between the bright sample and the faint sample, then the K+A fraction trends can be compared with each other. However, the proportion of the parent sample in each bin would differ between samples. Thus, any difference in the resulting K+A fraction trends may not reflect the difference between two K+A samples, but the difference between the two parent samples.

Is there any real luminosity dependence in the K+A environment distribution? Due to the large error in the environment measurements, which makes the fraction plots useless, we have to rely on
In summary, with the current samples, we do not find a significant luminosity dependence in the environment distribution of K+A galaxies at either $z \sim 0.1$ or $\sim 0.8$.

The cautionary lesson we learned here also applies to other works looking at K+A fractions as a function of environment. It is vital, when comparing results between different measurements, to ensure that the samples out of which K+A fractions are defined are closely matched. Additionally, more care must be taken to assess the significance of these results if the environment indicator used has large uncertainties. These issues may largely explain the differences in past K+A environment results in the literature.

7 DISCUSSION

The generic quenching picture we assume here is this: a blue, star-forming galaxy undergoes an undetermined mechanism or mechanisms which may or may not depend on environment; the star formation in the galaxy is quenched and the galaxy appears as a K+A galaxy; it becomes red in $\sim 1$ Gyr and evolves passively afterwards.

7.1 Redshift evolution of environments

We have seen above that the environment distribution of post-quenching galaxies at low $z$ is similar to blue galaxies and dramatically different from red galaxies, while the equivalent population at high-$z$ has an environment distribution more similar to red galaxies than to blue galaxies. In this section, we try to understand this.

First, we want to remind our readers that the environment measure we adopted is a relative environment measure. It is computed relative to the median environment of all galaxies at each redshift. It is thus different from an absolute environment measure, such as the dark matter halo mass, or the galaxy number density inside a comoving volume centred on the galaxy in question. In other words, the relative environment is similar to ranking in environment, with galaxies in relatively overdense environments having higher ranks. This is important for understanding the difference in environment distribution between high and low redshift. Identical relative environments, i.e. same ranks, at different redshifts do not correspond to the same absolute environments.

For the discussion of quenching mechanisms, we shall primarily focus on the absolute environment. However, there is one unique feature of relative environment. Galaxies are less mobile in relative-environment space than in absolute-environment space. For example, a galaxy may move into a higher density environment (absolute), but its environment rank may not change significantly, not more than the uncertainty associated with the measurement. This is because, statistically, all those galaxies with the same rank have an equal probability to have their local densities increased over the

| Table 4. Statistical tests of luminosity dependence in environment distributions. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Sample | Bright versus faint | Kolmogorov–Smirnov | Anderson-Darling | Mann–Whitney U test |
| SDSS | All | $<10^{-5}$ | $<10^{-5}$ | $<10^{-5}$ |
| | Red | $<10^{-5}$ | $<10^{-5}$ | $<10^{-5}$ |
| | Blue | $4 \times 10^{-5}$ | $<10^{-5}$ | $4 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| | K+A | 0.321 | 0.236 | 0.333 |
| DEEP2 | All | $6^{-5}$ | $<10^{-5}$ | $<10^{-5}$ |
| | Red | 0.010 | 0.0057 | 0.0053 |
| | Blue | 0.044 | 0.0057 | 0.0057 |
| | K+A | 0.387 | 0.213 | 0.823 |
In addition, once the local galaxy density becomes so high that we can no longer distinguish differences from redshift-space data, for instance, when a group merges into a cluster, the ranks hit a ceiling and become meaningless. However, on a scale coarser than the measurement uncertainty, the average ranks for galaxy populations from different environments will be fixed.

Because environment rank cannot change significantly over time, it can be very useful in identifying progenitor–descendant relationships. For two populations to have a progenitor–descendant relationship, they have to have roughly the same environment ranks.

For the discussion of K+A environment evolution, it is helpful to visualize the environment evolution of all galaxies in a schematic diagram. The left-hand panel of Fig. 13 shows the movement of galaxies in ranked environment from \(z \sim 1\) to \(\sim 0\). Overall, environment ranks of galaxies do not change significantly over cosmic time. Red galaxies, on average, always rank higher in environment than blue galaxies. Our results show that K+A galaxies at \(z \sim 1\) are ranked similar to red galaxies, but the equivalent population at \(z \sim 0\) has much lower ranks and is similar to blue galaxies. This gives us two messages. First, K+A at \(z \sim 0\) are a very different population from the progenitors of low-z red galaxies. Secondly, the building-up of the red sequence through the K+A phase is happening in relatively overdense (higher rank) environments at \(z \sim 1\), but in relatively underdense (lower rank) environments at \(z \sim 0\). This statement may or may not apply to the overall build-up of the red sequence, depending on whether the K+A phase is the dominant route for the production of a red galaxy, and on the environment evolution of other routes. None the less, our result at \(z \sim 1\) is consistent with the results from other environment studies. Cooper et al. (2007) and Gerke et al. (2007) both showed that between redshifts 0.7 and 1.3, the red sequence was preferentially built up in overdense environments.

Although high-z K+A have much higher environment ranks than low-z K+A, they may have the same absolute environments. This is because the absolute environments for most galaxies have grown with time. In regions with matter density above the critical density, the density will grow with time, and so will the galaxy number density, if galaxies trace the dark matter distribution on large scales. Most galaxies are in such regions; thus their absolute environments will grow with time. Therefore, the lower environment rank of the
K+A population at lower redshift does not necessarily indicate a difference in absolute environment from high-z K+A. This is illustrated in the right-hand panel of Fig. 13. The absolute environments of K+A at z ~ 0 may be the same, or less dense than the absolute environments of K+A at z ~ 1; but they cannot be much denser than z ~ 1. Other than that, we do not have any constraint on this because we only have a relative environment measure.

If we assume K+A at all redshifts are quenched in the same absolute environments and every red galaxy went through this phase, then we can explain the larger environment difference between red galaxies and K+A at low z than that observed at high z. The average age of stellar populations in red galaxies is much older on average at z ~ 0.1 than at z ~ 0.8 (Gallazzi et al. 2005). A typical low-z red galaxy would probably have quenched a longer amount of time ago, thus its local environment would have more time to grow (e.g. the group it belongs to could accrete more members and/or merge into a cluster) compared to a high-z red galaxy. This will lead to a larger difference in environment with K+A at low z than high z, as observed: high-z red galaxies still reside in environments similar to those of K+A, maybe only slightly denser; while low-z red galaxies reside in much denser environments than low-z K+A.

This picture not only explains our results with regard to red galaxies, it also has a prediction. Under this scenario, the absolute environments of red galaxies will be correlated with the time passed since quenching, and hence, approximately, the stellar age. If confined to a single redshift epoch, the same statement can be applied to relative environments as well. This will be investigated in a forthcoming paper (Cooper et al. 2009).

### 7.2 Quenching probability among blue galaxies

The blue galaxy population must include the direct progenitors of future K+A galaxies. Comparing the environment distribution of K+A with that of blue galaxies will reveal how quenching may depend on environment and/or internal properties of galaxies. This dependence also needs to produce the observed evolution in K+A environments with regard to that of blue galaxies. We discuss these topics in this section.

Only galaxies which possessed a significant (by fraction) young stellar population before quenching can appear as a K+A after quenching. If K+A galaxies are produced from a starburst and then quenching associated with a merger event, this would be a constraint on the amount of gas available for star formation (as a fraction of stellar mass) before the merger. Hence, the observed environment distribution of K+A galaxies will be a result of both any environment dependence in the quenching mechanism and any environment dependence in pre-quenching star formation in galaxies. To further complicate matters, the quenching probability could depend on galaxy properties which correlate with environment, such as stellar mass or SFR, as well as the environment itself.

At z ~ 0.8, we found that the environment distribution of K+A galaxies is marginally different from that of blue galaxies. However, the significance of the difference is limited by the small sample size. We must wait for future data to confirm this result and improve its significance. In the meantime, we will assume that the difference is robust for the purpose of discussion. There are three possible explanations for this difference.

The difference can be explained as a dependence of quenching probability on the local environment. Assuming environment is the only factor determining quenching probability and all blue galaxies satisfy as a K+A after quenching, our results indicate that quenching probability at z ~ 0.8 is higher in denser environments, but at z ~ 0.1, it is roughly the same for blue galaxies in any environment (where we mean absolute, rather than relative, overdensity). The trends at the two redshifts can be consistent only if, by z ~ 0.1, nearly all blue galaxies have left the low-density regions where quenching is less likely to happen. Whether the overall increase in absolute environment for all blue galaxies is large enough to make this difference awaits simulations to answer.

The second possibility is that the star formation quenching does not depend on the local environment, but quenching and the K+A pre-requisites depend on certain internal properties of a galaxy, which selects preferentially blue galaxies that are found in overdense environments. For example, if quenching requires a sufficiently high stellar mass (e.g. to have a massive enough central supermassive black hole (SMBH) to provide the feedback) and forming a K+A requires a high pre-quenching SFR, the K+A population will carry the environment footprint of those galaxies satisfying these criteria. At z ~ 0.8, these galaxies do preferentially reside in overdense environments. As shown by Cooper et al. (2006), the average overdensity on the blue cloud at z ~ 1 is a strong function of B-band luminosity, which correlates strongly with stellar mass, with some sensitivity to the presence of young stars (Cooper...
et al. 2008). In addition, Elbaz et al. (2007) and Cooper et al. (2008) have both shown that the average SFR increases with galaxy overdensity at \( z \sim 1 \). Therefore, K+A at \( z \sim 0.8 \) will be found in more overdense environments than blue galaxies.

At \( z \sim 0.1 \), the average environment of galaxies on the blue cloud has no or only weak dependence on \( 0.1^\text{1}-\text{band luminosity} \) (Hogg et al. 2003; Blanton et al. 2005a). The average SFR is also higher in underdense environments (Cooper et al. 2008). As a consequence, if K+A progenitors must be high-SFR blue galaxies, and quenching probability increases modestly with density, we might expect low-redshift post-starburst galaxies to have an environment distribution similar to blue galaxies. Therefore, the observed environment distribution of K+A relative to blue galaxies may indirectly be a reflection of the correlations between environment and other galaxy properties.

This possibility can be distinguished from the first by controlling each galaxy property that has an observable correlation with environment. It is also likely that quenching depends on both environment and internal properties of galaxies. Future surveys yielding much larger sample sizes and higher completeness are required to break the possible degeneracies.

The third possibility is that quenching depends on having a fast and significant increase in local overdensity. Only those galaxies that experience a quick change in environment (e.g. by falling into a cluster) have their star formation quenched. Ram-pressure stripping in clusters is an example of a mechanism that has such dependence on environment. We would expect K+A to be found in rich groups and clusters in this case. This may occur, but it does not explain the field K+A. Additionally, it will be difficult to explain the low-z result, where the K+A have an environment distribution indistinguishable from blue galaxies.

8 IMPLICATIONS FOR K+A QUenchING MECHANISMS

What does the environment distribution of K+A tell us about their formation? First of all, if a quenching mechanism has a dependence on environment, the environment we should consider is the absolute environment, not the ranked environment. Therefore, we have less constraining power on this issue as our environment measurement is a relative measure. Furthermore, the fact that the ranked environment distribution of K+A at \( z \sim 0.8 \) differs from that at \( z \sim 0.1 \) does not mean that the quenching mechanisms have to be different. In fact, it is possible that K+A reside in similar absolute environments at both redshifts, and are due to the same quenching mechanism.

A variety of quenching mechanisms that can yield K+A galaxies have been proposed since this population was identified. One class of mechanisms operates only in rich cluster environments, such as ram-pressure stripping (e.g. Gunn & Gott 1972; Bekki & Couch 2003), galaxy harassment (Moore et al. 1996) and strangulation (Balogh, Navarro & Morris 2000). Another popular mechanism is through major merger events (Mihos & Hernquist 1994; Springel, Di Matteo & Hernquist 2005). In addition, shock heating in massive haloes (Birnboim, Dekel & Neistein 2007) may also produce K+A galaxies. Below we discuss the relevance of each mechanism and our constraints on its significance at both \( z \sim 0 \) and \( z \sim 1 \).

8.1 Cluster-specific mechanisms

The first mechanism proposed for K+A formation results from interactions of an infalling galaxy with the gravitational potential or intracluster medium of a rich galaxy cluster. The hot intracluster medium could shock or compress the gas in a galaxy producing a starburst, while also stripping the gas in its outskirts and other low-density parts of the interstellar medium (ISM), eventually halting star formation (Gunn & Gott 1972; Nulsen 1982; Bothun & Dressler 1986; Balsara, Livio & O’Dea 1994; Bekki & Couch 2003).

Many examples of galaxies undergoing strong ram-pressure stripping have been found in nearby clusters (e.g. Virgo, Coma, A1367); asymmetric radio continuum morphology, HI profile and/or ionized gas tails (e.g. Irwin et al. 1987; Vollmer et al. 2000, 2004; Vollmer, Huchtmeier & van Driel 2005; Cortese et al. 2007; McNamachie et al. 2007) have provided evidence for recent stripping events. However, the star formation in these galaxies is not always shut down. In some cases, the specific SFR is enhanced (CCGG 97-073 and 97-079; Gavazzi et al. 1995) or even raised to starburst levels (235144-260358 in Abell 2667; Cortese et al. 2007); some objects show signs of moderate SF or have SF rejuvenated from stripped gas falling back (e.g. NGC 4522, which has SF in the inner disc and an extraplanar ring, but a K+A-like outer disc, as found by Vollmer et al. 2000 and Crowl & Kenney 2006; or NGC 4848, as found by Vollmer et al. 2001). In two objects, however, there is no ongoing SF and the systems appear as K+A galaxies (NGC 4569, Keel 1996, and 131124-012040 in Abell 1689, Cortese et al. 2007). All these observations suggest that ram-pressure stripping can make K+A galaxies in some rich groups or clusters, but not in every case. This is expected, as the gas in the inner part of massive discs is difficult to strip away. Studies by Poggianti et al. (2004) found that K+A galaxies in Coma are all low-luminosity objects (\( M_r > -18.5 \)), quite different from the high-luminosity K+A studied in this paper (\( M_B < -19.7 \)). If ram-pressure stripping is responsible for both low- and high-z K+A, it should only be more difficult to strip larger galaxies at higher redshifts as cluster masses were lower then. Therefore, it is not clear from this evidence that ram-pressure stripping could be the dominant K+A formation mechanism at high \( z \). Because of the paucity of rich clusters in the DEEP2 volume, we are unable to directly address this question at high \( z \). It is possible that cluster-specific mechanisms can make K+A in clusters, but certainly that is not the dominant mechanism for K+A formation. Regardless, given the presence of K+A in low-density regions at \( z \sim 1 \), and the predominance of K+A at \( z \sim 0 \), cluster-specific mechanisms cannot explain the observed characteristics of the K+A population.

8.2 Galaxy–galaxy mergers

A second mechanism proposed for K+A formation is galaxy–galaxy mergers. Mergers can trigger brief, intense starbursts in galaxies (Mihos & Hernquist 1994). The exhaustion of available gas and feedback from supernovae winds or AGN activity (Springel et al. 2005) could then halt star formation. Many K+A have been observed to have morphological features characteristic of mergers, such as disturbed morphologies and tidal tails (Liu & Kennicutt 1995). Yang et al. (2008) recently presented the detailed morphologies of 21 low-z K+A galaxies obtained from Hubble Space Telescope (HST)/Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) and Wide-Field Planetary Camera 2 (WFPC2) observations. They found that more than half of the K+A galaxies have disturbed morphology and/or tidal features, indicating recent merger events.

Is the environment distribution observed for K+A galaxies consistent with this mechanism? Merger rate probably depends on environment. However, as we mentioned earlier, environment may not be the only factor in determining the probability a galaxy evolves
into a K+Α through merger. For the final product of a merger event to qualify as a K+Α, the galaxies involved has to be relatively gas-rich. The star formation quenching effectiveness after the merger can also depend on the existence and mass of a central SMBH (Springel et al. 2005), which in turn could depend on the final stellar mass.

The most favourable environment for galaxy–galaxy mergers is in poor groups because of the low-velocity dispersion in these systems (Zabludoff & Mulchaey 1998). However, even poor groups with masses as low as $6 \times 10^{12} \, h^{-1} M_\odot$ in our sample generally have log$(1 + \delta_\text{c}) \gtrsim 0$, reflecting their strong clustering (Coil et al. 2006); and the K+Α fraction in these poor groups is lower than among galaxies in isolation. It seems that these facts disfavour the merger scenario. Actually, because only gas-rich mergers is capable of producing K+Αs, what we should be looking at is the K+Α rate relative to gas-rich galaxies only, or blue galaxies.

Relative to blue galaxies, K+Αs at $z \sim 0.8$ are more frequently found in denser environments. This can be consistent with the merger scenario, although the preference for dense environment could partly be due to a quenching requirement on stellar mass. At $z \sim 0.1$, K+Α rate relative to blue galaxies probably varies little with environments. To explain this under the merger scenario, all blue galaxies at $z \sim 0.1$ have to have equal probabilities to have a major merger. This is not impossible, but we need simulations to answer this, which is missing in the current literature.

## 8.3 Halo quenching

A third quenching mechanism that could produce a K+Α galaxy, but has not often been mentioned in K+Α literature, is through shock heating of the halo gas and the inflowing gas when a halo grows above a certain threshold in mass. The idea dates back to the early work of Rees & Ostriker (1977), which concluded that haloes with $M > 10^{12} \, M_\odot$ would experience a quasi-static contraction phase due to virial shocks. A series of studies by Birnboim & Dekel (2003) and Dekel & Birnboim (2006) using spherical halo gas-accretion simulations, and by Ocvirk, Pichon & Teyssier (2008) using N-body and hydrodynamic simulations, concluded that when a dark matter halo grows above a critical mass ($M_{\text{crit}} \sim 10^{12} \, M_\odot$), shocks will form in these haloes, slow down the infalling material and heat it up, thus removing the cold gas supply for star formation. Recent work by Birnboim et al. (2007) has noted that the whole process actually involves a sequence of quenching and bursting events due to shock instabilities at early stages. In cases where shocks form relatively late – at $z < 2.5$ – and the subsequent burst is at $z < 1.4$, the final post-burst shock heating is both rapid and effective, and it provides long-term suppression of cold gas accretion on to the central disc of the halo. However, the implications of this model for the SFR in the central galaxy are not straightforward. The gas accretion rate on the central disc provides only an upper limit to the SFR; the actual SFR evolution could be more complicated. However, the overall burst-followed-by-quenching trend should remain robust. Therefore, this model provides a mechanism for forming K+Α galaxies. If it is the dominant mechanism, K+Α galaxies will mostly be found in haloes that just crossed the mass threshold.

It is possible for this mechanism to explain the environment evolution of K+Αs, since the absolute environment for K+Αs may not change significantly from high to low $z$. Same halo mass will correspond to different relative environments at different redshifts. This can be tested with a simulation that has the correct clustering strength for both blue and red galaxies, at both $z \sim 0.1$ and $z \sim 0.8$. We defer this to future work.

## 9 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have compared the environment distributions of the post-quenching/K+Α galaxies with those of red and blue galaxies at both $z \sim 0.1$ (from SDSS) and $z \sim 0.8$ (from DEEP2), using uniformly selected samples defined by an Hβ EW limit rather than a less-robust [O ii] EW limit. We have found the following facts.

(i) At high $z$ ($z \sim 0.8$), the environment distribution of the K+Α galaxies is indistinguishable from that of the red sequence galaxies, preferring overdense environments, but showing modest difference from that of the blue galaxies.

(ii) At low $z$ ($z \sim 0.1$), K+Α galaxies are found to inhabit environments dramatically different from those of red galaxies. Instead, local post-quenching systems are observed to have similar environment distribution as blue galaxies, preferring underdense environments. K+Αs at low redshift have lower rank in the environment distribution of bright galaxies than their high-redshift counterparts do.

(iii) We do not find any significant dependence on luminosity for the K+Α environment distribution, at both $z \sim 0.1$ and $z \sim 0.8$.

(iv) At $z \sim 0.8$, the K+Α fraction among DEEP2 group galaxies is consistent with the fraction among field galaxies within $1\sigma$.

Based on these facts, we have reached the following conclusions.

(i) The quenching of star formation and the build-up of the red sequence through the K+Α phase is happening in relatively overdense environments at $z \sim 1$ but in relatively underdense environments at $z \sim 0$. The absolute environments (i.e. local mass density) for these processes, however, may stay the same with time, as may the quenching mechanisms. This can be true while the relative quenching environment decreases because the mean absolute overdensities of all galaxies has to increase with time due to gravity.

(ii) At $z \sim 0.8$, the typical environment for quenching has not changed significantly from the time $L_*$ red galaxies at $z \sim 0.8$ were quenched, suggesting K+Αs at $z \sim 0.8$ may be similar to the progenitors of red galaxies found at the same redshift. In contrast, the low-$z$ K+Αs, having much lower rank in environment distribution than red galaxies, have to be a totally different population from the progenitors of low-$z$ red galaxies.

(iii) At high $z$, the modest difference between K+Αs and blue galaxies in environment distribution suggests that either the quenching probability is a function of environment, preferring overdense to underdense environments, or that quenching only happens to a subpopulation of blue galaxies which are preferentially found in overdense environments.

(iv) At low $z$, K+Αs have an environment distribution indistinguishable from that of the blue galaxy populaulation. This indicates that quenching probability has only a weak dependence on environment today.

(v) Although we are unable to directly address the incidence of K+Αs in clusters due to the paucity of clusters in the DEEP2 volume, the existence of large K+Α population in the field at both low- and high-$z$ indicates that cluster-specific K+Α formation mechanisms cannot be the dominant route by which these galaxies are formed.

Throughout this work, we have also learned a dearly bought lesson of relying on measurements of K+Α fraction as a function of environment. Because of the large uncertainties of environment measurements and the rarity of K+Α galaxies, the significance of a K+Α fraction versus environment trend is easily overestimated and can change significantly when bin definitions are altered. More
importantly, these trends are very sensitive to the composition of the all-galaxy sample out of which the fraction is defined. It is likely that these issues have led to the widely varying and sometimes contradictory K+A fraction–environment relations found in the literature. Therefore, we warn on blindly making comparisons of these trends between samples defined using different limiting bands, different luminosity cuts, with different selection rates for red and blue galaxies, or at different redshifts. As a result, we recommend comparing the full environment distributions of different samples, using robust, non-parametric statistical tests, rather than focusing on unreliable measurements of K+A fractions.
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