Risk Factors Influencing Venous Cerebral Infarction after Meningioma Resection
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Abstract

**Introduction** Venous cerebral infarction is a serious complication after meningioma resection. To reduce the incidence of venous infarction, we identified risk factors for postoperative venous cerebral infarction after surgical resection of meningioma in patients with clinical symptoms.

**Methods** The clinical and imaging data of 1127 patients with intracranial meningiomas who underwent resection in our hospital were retrospectively collected and analyzed. Venous cerebral infarction was evaluated by postoperative imaging and clinical manifestations. Univariate and multivariate analyses were performed to identify risk factors associated with venous cerebral infarction.

**Results** Overall, 3.3% (37/1127) of patients experienced symptomatic venous cerebral infarction after meningioma resection. Multivariate analysis revealed superficial meningioma, moderate to severe peritumoral edema, peritumoral critical vein and WHO grade II-III as independent predictors of a postoperative venous cerebral infarction. After timely intervention, the symptoms were clearly alleviated in one month, and the prognosis was good, but injury to key veins could cause irreversible neurological disorders.

**Conclusions** Intraoperative protection of veins is the primary way to prevent venous cerebral infarction. The present study identified several significant and independent risk factors for postoperative venous infarction, thereby enabling the identification of high-risk patients who require special attention during clinical and surgical management.

**Introduction**

Venous cerebral infarction is considered to be the most serious complication after meningioma resection[1]. This complication can lead to hemorrhage, epilepsy, limb dysfunction, and even life-threatening conditions. However, due to the many anastomoses and a lack of venous valves [2], its incidence is not high. Even if there is an infarction, there are usually no obvious clinical symptoms, and only a small number of patients have serious symptoms; therefore, it has received little attention.

However, with the increased demand for neurosurgeons to improve their total removal rate of complex skull base meningiomas and parasagittal/falx meningiomas with serious sinus invasion and a lack of understanding of their veins, there will be purposeful or accidental damage to the related peritumoral veins during the tumor resection, which will significantly increase the incidence of venous injury. According to the literature, the incidence of venous injury during intracranial tumor resection is 2.6% to 30%, and the incidence of venous cerebral infarction after injury is 0.15% to 13% [3]. In addition, it has been reported that the incidence of venous cerebral infarction after meningioma resection is 2.0 – 3.3%, and the infarction rate of superficial meningioma is as high as 5.5%, and these infarctions can cause irreversible neurological damage [4].
Therefore, we should consider the possibility of a serious venous cerebral infarction occurring during meningioma resection. Understanding the risk factors for venous cerebral infarction and a comprehensive evaluation can effectively reduce the incidence of infarctions. In this study, 37 patients with symptomatic venous cerebral infarction after meningioma resection were analyzed retrospectively. The risk factors related to cerebral infarction were discussed, and corresponding surgical strategies were proposed to reduce the occurrence of venous cerebral infarction.

**Methods**

**Patients and Data Collection**

After the approval of the hospital ethics committee and the informed consent of the patients or their families, the clinical and imaging data of 1127 patients with intracranial meningiomas who underwent resection in the Department of Neurosurgery, Tangdu Hospital, Air Force Military Medical University from March 2011 to July 2020 were retrospectively collected and analyzed. Age, sex, tumor size, tumor location, peritumoral edema, peritumoral vein, tumor resection degree and pathological grade were included. The size of the tumor was taken as its largest diameter on MRI. The location of the tumors was divided into superficial meningiomas: convexity, parasagittal and falx meningiomas; deep meningiomas: skull base and other meningiomas. Peritumoral edema was divided into two groups: no-mild and moderate-severe. \( EI = \frac{edema + tumor \text{ volume}}{tumor \text{ volume}} \) (\( EI = 1 \), no edema; \( EI = 1-1.5 \), mild edema; \( EI = 1.5-3 \), moderate edema; \( EI > 3 \), severe edema). Peritumoral veins were divided into key veins (Labbe, Trolard, Rolando, etc.) and collateral anastomotic veins. The degree of tumor resection was evaluated by intraoperative and postoperative enhanced MRI. Histopathological grading was performed according to the 2016 WHO criteria. The patients were followed up for an average of 6 months. The prognosis of the patient after a venous infarction was evaluated by the GOS score.

Venous cerebral infarction was defined as a new or a larger low-density area around the tumor resection or hemorrhage around the low-density area in the brain parenchyma after the operation. Symptomatic venous cerebral infarction is the occurrence of neurological dysfunction, epilepsy and a disturbance of consciousness that matches the venous infarction area. In this study, we focused on additional medical or surgical treatment for patients with symptomatic venous cerebral infarction. Patients with asymptomatic but imaging manifestations of venous cerebral infarction were excluded from the study.

**Statistical Analysis**

Categorical variables were expressed as numbers (percentages), and the difference was evaluated by the chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. To detect independent risk factors associated with the incidence of CVI, univariate regression analysis was adopted. Risk factors with \( p < 0.05 \) in univariate regression analysis were selected for further multivariate regression analysis. Odds ratios (ORs) along with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. All statistical analyses were conducted by using R.
Results

Incidence and characteristics of postoperative venous infarction

Postoperative symptomatic venous infarction occurred in 37 of 1127 patients (3.3%) who had undergone surgical resection for meningioma. There were 28 superficial meningiomas (8 convexity, 12 parasagittal and 8 falx) and 9 deep meningiomas (7 skull base and 2 lateral ventricle). Venous infarction was most commonly associated with falx meningioma (10.7%, 8 of 75), followed by parasagittal (5.4%, 12 of 220), convexity (2.4%, 8 of 335), skull base and lateral ventricle (1.8%, 9 of 497). (Table1)

Risk factors related to symptomatic venous infarction

Univariate analysis

Patients suffering from superficial meningioma significantly more often exhibited postoperative venous infarction than those with deep meningiomas (4.4% vs 1.8%, p = 0.02, OR 1.635, 95% CI 0.919-3.291). Patients with WHO grade II-III meningioma significantly more often suffered from venous infarction than did those with WHO grade I meningiomas (7.3% vs 2.9%, p = 0.02, OR 2.579, 95% CI 1.515-3.613). Moderate to severe peritumoral edema was significantly more often associated with venous infarction than none to mild peritumoral edema (6.3% vs 1.7%, p < 0.001, OR 2.588, 95% CI 1.814-4.092). Patients suffering from peritumoral critical veins significantly more often exhibited postoperative venous infarction than did those with peritumoral collateral veins (6.5% vs 1.0%, p < 0.001, OR 3.669, 95% CI 2.074-6.446). (Table2)

Multivariate Analysis

We performed a multivariate logistic regression analysis to identify potential predictors of postoperative venous infarction in meningioma patients. The presence of superficial meningioma (p=0.034, OR 1.804, 95% CI 1.249-3.467), WHO grade II-III (p = 0.047, OR 1.848, 95% CI 0.821-4.728), moderate to severe peritumoral edema (p=0.005, OR 2.629, 95% CI 1.200-5.428) and peritumoral critical vein (p < 0.001, OR 3.714, 95% CI 1.967-7.211) could be identified as the only independent and significant predictors of postoperative venous infarction. (Table2)

Prognosis of symptomatic venous infarction
The main manifestations after infarction were a deterioration of consciousness after hemorrhage (6 cases), headache (10 cases), limb dysfunction (14 cases), epilepsy (7 cases), or aggravation of the original symptoms. The disturbance of consciousness (6 cases) mostly occurred 1-3 days after the operation and showed progressive worsening. The hematoma was removed in emergency situations, and the bone flap was removed when necessary. Other symptoms showed chronic aggravation over 3-7 days and were gradually relieved over 8-14 days. The symptoms were relieved most obviously within 1 month after the operation, but no obvious further improvement was found after 3 months. The overall prognosis was good. After an average follow-up of 6 months, there was 1 case of severe disability (muscle strength grade 0 of one limb, loss of deep and shallow sensation, injury of the Rolando vein), 1 case of vegetative survival (injury of the Labbe vein), and 1 case of death (death due to severe intracranial infection after venous cerebral infarction). (Table3)

**Typical cases**

Two cases of meningioma with risk factors (critical vein and superficial) caused hemorrhage after venous infarction by damaging critical vein (Fig1). A-D: (A): A right frontal parasagittal meningioma, middle front vein injury during operation. (B): A small amount of hematoma in the operative area one day after operation. (C): Massive hemorrhage around the operative area and disturbance of consciousness two day after operation. (D): Cleared hematoma and removed the bone flap, GOS was 5 score one month after operation. E-L(E-F): A left parietal falx meningioma post front vein injury during operation. (H): Bleeding around the operative area one day after operation right side muscle strength level 2. (I): Cleared hematoma GOS was 5 score one month after operation.

A case of left frontal parasagittal meningioma with risk factors (peritumoral edema, peritumoral vein, high-grade meningioma, key vein) secondary to venous infarction after injury of cortical pial vein system (Fig2). (A): CT showed intratumoral calcification. (B): Peritumoral edema was obvious. (C-D): The tumor invaded the skull. (E): MRV showed peritumoral vein accompanying (yellow and red arrows). (F): During the operation, the tumor was completely removed, peritumoral vein remained intact (yellow and red arrows), and the tumor invaded the pia mater and brain tissue (blue arrows). (G): Pathology showed atypical meningioma H: A small amount of hematoma in the operative area one day after operation sober with right side muscle strength level 5. (I): Drowsiness with right side muscle strength level 3 three day after operation. (J): Lethargy with right side muscle strength level 1 five day after operation. (K): Drowsiness with right side muscle strength level 3 ten day after operation. (L): Sober with right side muscle strength level 4 fifteen day after operation. (M): Right side muscle strength level 4 one month after operation. (N-O): Right side muscle strength level 5 three month after operation.

**Discussion**

At present, it is believed that the cause of surgery-related venous cerebral infarction is injury to the cortical vein and venous sinus or cortical pial venous system [7-10]. Meningiomas often occur near the venous
sinus and cortical drainage vein where arachnoid granules gather. During the operation, the vein around the menigioma or the related venous system is damaged, and there are no anastomotic veins in the injured area, which may lead to secondary venous cerebral infarction. Meningiomas are usually associated with dural vessels and cortical drainage veins or with the pial arteriovenous system. During the operation, the interface between the tumor and the arachnoid is separated, and the arachnoid and pia mater are destroyed, which may damage the venous system of the pia mater cortex and eventually lead to venous cerebral infarction. These two kinds of injury mechanisms are mutual cause and effect and they aggravate the degree of venous infarction. Statistical analysis showed that tumor location (supericial), peritumoral edema (moderate to severe), peritumoral vein (key vein) and histological grade (WHO II-III) were risk factors for venous cerebral infarction, and the risk factors were closely related to the mechanism of the venous cerebral infarction.

**Tumor localization and peritumoral vein**

Superficial meningioma refers to the convexity, parasagittal and falx, often accompanied by peritumoral veins. The growth pattern spreads along the cistern and embeds into the brain parenchyma, indicating that superficial meningioma has a wider contact surface between the tumor and brain parenchyma than deep meningioma and it lacks a cerebrospinal fluid barrier [11]. Therefore, the probability of injuring the peritumoral vein and cortical pial system during resection is higher than that for deep or other meningiomas.

The previous literature has reported that the bifrontal or near midline approach is a risk factor for venous cerebral infarction. The essence of the approach is to remove the tumor through the bridging vein and sinus, which hinders the exposure or manipulation of the tumor during the resection process so that the operator has to block or accidentally damage the vein around the tumor. At the same time, the previous view was that the frontal cortical vein and the anterior 1/3 superior sagittal sinus could be sacrificed, but clinical cases confirmed that this is definitely not desirable and the risk of infarction after injury will be significantly increased, which may have catastrophic consequences. Previous research [13] has shown predictors of positive motor function in rolandic meningomas, including venous involvement. To prevent venous cerebral infarction, the peritumoral veins and collateral veins of convexity, parasagittal and falx meningiomas can be classified [14-16]. It was suggested that the key veins should be avoided as much as possible, and the collateral veins should be selectively severed according to the degree of compensation to avoid the occurrence of venous cerebral infarction to the greatest extent.

**Peritumoral edema and histological grade**

Peritumoral edema is a common imaging manifestation in patients with meningioma and is a compensatory reaction of damaged brain tissue. The pathogenesis of peritumoral edema includes brain parenchymal compression, secretion of fluids, venous compression and hydrodynamics theory. The presence of brain parenchyma tumor interface-associated edema indicates a poor prognosis of the
nervous system [17]. The destruction of the tumor brain arachnoid layer interface leads to the formation of peritumoral edema. Dysplasia of the peritumoral drainage veins can also cause peritumoral edema. Meningiomas with peritumoral edema have a higher probability of destroying the cortical pial venous system. WHO grade II – III (atypical, anaplastic) meningiomas invade the arachnoid, pia mater, brain tissue and adjacent dura mater [18], increase the permeability of the meninges and blood vessels, aggravate the edema, and more easily damage the cortical venous system during the operation, resulting in increased postoperative edema and possibly venous infarction. Therefore, high-grade meningioma and peritumoral edema are risk factors for venous cerebral infarction. During the operation, we should try to separate along the tumor arachnoid boundary and retain part of the cortical venous system in order to reduce the incidence and severity of venous infarction.

**Tumor size and the extent of resection**

Previously, it was reported that tumor growth could destroy the arachnoid interface between tumors and meninges, and tumors ≥ 4 cm are a risk factor for venous cerebral infarction [19], but our data showed that there was no significant difference. Considering that tumors increase in volume, the brain tissue is compressed, the blood-brain barrier is destroyed, and peritumoral edema is formed, but there are still some tumors without peritumoral edema. In addition, its formation may be related to the operation. Usually, for large tumors, we should reduce the tension of the tumor on the vein first, and the possibility of injury is small, which is not different from that of tumors < 4 cm. The data from this group confirmed that there was no difference in the degree of tumor resection. Usually, the residual tumor is closely related to the sinus. For sindou [20]- tumors, total resection can be achieved, while for sindou [20]- tumors, surgical resection is limited to reduce the risk. At the same time, the stenosis or occlusion of the sinus will be compensated for by collateral anastomosis. Therefore, the degree of resection is not a risk factor for venous cerebral infarction.

Injury to a key vein or the cortical pial vein system can cause venous cerebral infarction, but the severity of venous cerebral infarction after injury differs, and the symptoms of patients are also different, from no obvious symptoms to serious neurological impairment and even disturbances of consciousness. Robertson [21] divided venous cerebral infarction into the acute phase and chronic phase. Severe complications occurred in a short time after the operation in the acute stage and were life-threatening, while the chronic stage lasted for several days to months with mild symptoms. Generally, injury to the critical vein can cause serious complications in the acute stage, including postinfarction hemorrhage, limb and speech dysfunction, epilepsy, coma, etc. Timely review of CT and surgical intervention are needed. After cortical pial venous system injury, the symptoms of the patients gradually worsened, but the symptoms could be relieved after conservative treatment. The prognosis of patients with venous infarction is better if they are treated in time, but damage to a key vein will cause irreversible neurological damage.
In conclusion, superficial meningioma, moderate to severe peritumoral edema, peritumoral critical vein and WHO grade II-III are independent risk factors for venous cerebral infarction. We should realize that postoperative venous cerebral infarction may cause serious complications. The key peritumoral veins and collateral veins should be protected as much as possible to avoid the occurrence of a postoperative venous cerebral infarction. Separation should be performed according to the tumor arachnoid interface to prevent injury to the cortical venous system. If an adhesion between the tumor and brain parenchyma is obvious, selective electrocoagulation can be used to reduce the probability of a venous cerebral infarction. In short, patients with high-risk factors need to be closely observed for any changes and receive timely intervention when necessary to ensure a good prognosis.
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Tables
| Variable                  | Value          |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| No. of patients          | 1127           |
| Sex                      |                |
| Female                   | 724 (64.2%)    |
| Male                     | 403 (35.8%)    |
| Age (year)               |                |
| <60                      | 625 (55.5%)    |
| ≥60                      | 502 (44.5%)    |
| Tumour size (mm)         |                |
| <40                      | 471 (41.8%)    |
| ≥40                      | 656 (58.2%)    |
| Tumor localization       |                |
| Superficial              | 630 (55.9%)    |
| Deep                     | 497 (44.1%)    |
| Peritumoural edema       |                |
| None to mild             | 748 (66.4%)    |
| Moderate to severe       | 379 (33.6%)    |
| Peritumoural vein        |                |
| Critical vein            | 459 (40.7%)    |
| Collateral vein          | 668 (59.3%)    |
| Extent of resection      |                |
| Gross total              | 934 (82.9%)    |
| Subtotal                 | 193 (17.1%)    |
| Histological grade       |                |
| WHO I                    | 1017 (90%)     |
| WHO II–III               | 110 (10%)      |
| Risk factor      | Venous infarction | Univariable analysis | Multivariable analysis |
|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
|                  | (-)               | (+)                  | OR(95%CI)              | p-value | OR(95%CI)              | P-value |
|                  | (-)               | (+)                  |                        |         |                        |         |
| Sex              |                   |                      |                        |         |                        |         |
| Female           | 703(97.1%)        | 21(2.9%)             | 0.734 (0.305-1.556)    | 0.429   | 0.734 (0.305-1.556)    | 0.429   |
| Male             | 387(96.0%)        | 16(4.0%)             |                        |         |                        |         |
| Age (year)       |                   |                      |                        |         |                        |         |
| <60              | 610(97.6%)        | 15(2.4%)             | 2.499(1.377-5.016)     | 0.091   | 2.499(1.377-5.016)     | 0.091   |
| ≥60              | 480(95.6%)        | 22(4.4%)             |                        |         |                        |         |
| Tumour size (mm) |                   |                      |                        |         |                        |         |
| <40              | 454(96.4%)        | 17(3.6%)             | 0.847(0.431-1.884)     | 0.725   | 0.847(0.431-1.884)     | 0.725   |
| ≥40              | 636(97.0%)        | 20(3.0%)             |                        |         |                        |         |
| Tumor localization |                 |                      |                        |         |                        |         |
| Superficial      | 602(95.6%)        | 28(4.4%)             | 1.635(0.919-3.291)     | 0.022*  | 1.635(0.919-3.291)     | 0.022*  |
| Deep             | 488(98.2%)        | 9(1.8%)              |                        |         |                        |         |
| Peritumoural edema |               |                      |                        |         |                        |         |
| None to mild     | 735(98.3%)        | 13(1.7%)             | 2.588(1.814-4.092)     | <0.001* | 2.588(1.814-4.092)     | <0.001* |
| Moderate to severe | 355(93.7%)    | 24(6.3%)             |                        |         |                        |         |
| Peritumoural vein |                 |                      |                        |         |                        |         |
| Critical vein    | 429(93.5%)        | 30(6.5%)             | 3.669(2.074-6.446)     | <0.001* | 3.669(2.074-6.446)     | <0.001* |
| Collateral vein | 661(99.0%) | 7(1.0%) |
|-----------------|------------|--------|
| Extent of resection |            |        |
| Gross total     | 902(96.6%) | 32(3.4%) | 1.220(0.428-2.283) | 0.711 |
| Subtotal        | 188(97.4%) | 5(2.6%)  |
| Histological grade |        |        |
| WHO I           | 988(97.1%) | 29(2.9%) | 2.579(1.515-3.613) | 0.022* | 1.848(0.821-4.728) | 0.047* |
| WHO II–III      | 102(92.7%) | 8(7.3%)  |

Table 3
Prognosis of venous infarction after meningioma surgery

| GOS score             | 7 Day (Postop) | 1Month (Postop) | 3 Month (Postop) | 6 Month (Postop) |
|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| 5 (good recovery)     | 14(37.9%)      | 26(70.3%)       | 28(77.8%)        | 29(80.5%)        |
| 4 (moderate disability)| 18(48.6%)     | 8(21.6%)        | 6(16.6%)         | 5(13.9%)         |
| 3 (severe disability) | 5(13.5%)       | 2(5.4%)         | 1(2.8%)          | 1(2.8%)          |
| 2 (vegetative state)  | 0              | -               | 1(2.8%)          | 1(2.8%)          |
| 1 (dead)              | 0              | 1(2.7%)         | -                | -                |

Figures
Figure 1

Two cases of meningioma with risk factors (critical vein and superficial) caused hemorrhage after venous infarction by damaging critical vein (Fig1). A-D) (A): A right frontal parasagittal meningioma, middle front vein injury during operation. (B): A small amount of hematoma in the operative area one day after operation. (C): Massive hemorrhage around the operative area and disturbance of consciousness two day after operation. (D): Cleared hematoma and removed the bone flap, GOS was 5 score one month after operation. E-I) (E-F): A left parietal falx meningioma post front vein injury during operation. (H): Bleeding around the operative area one day after operation right side muscle strength level 2. (I): Cleared hematoma GOS was 5 score one month after operation.

Figure 2

A case of left frontal parasagittal meningioma with risk factors (peritumoral edema, peritumoral vein, high-grade meningioma, key vein) secondary to venous infarction after injury of cortical pial vein system (Fig2). (A): CT showed intratumoral calcification. (B): Peritumoral edema was obvious. (C-D): The tumor invaded the skull. (E): MRV showed peritumoral vein accompanying (yellow and red arrows). (F): During the operation, the tumor was completely removed, peritumoral vein remained intact (yellow and red arrows), and the tumor invaded the pia mater and brain tissue (blue arrows). (G): Pathology showed atypical meningioma H: A small amount of hematoma in the operative area one day after operation sober with right side muscle strength level 5. (I): Drowsiness with right side muscle strength level 3 three day after operation. (J): Lethargy with right side muscle strength level 1 five day after operation. (K): Drowsiness with right side muscle strength level 3 ten day after operation. (L): Sober with right side
Right side muscle strength level 4 fifteen day after operation. (M)
Right side muscle strength level 4 one month after operation. (N-O)
Right side muscle strength level 5 three month after operation.