The Human TET2 Gene Contains Three Distinct Promoter Regions With Differing Tissue and Developmental Specificities
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INTRODUCTION

DNA methylation plays a critical role in regulating gene expression during development. The discovery of the ten-eleven translocation (TET) gene family has significantly impacted the field of epigenetics (Ooi and Bestor, 2008; Tahiliani et al., 2009; Ito et al., 2010, 2011; He et al., 2011; Koh et al., 2011). The TET enzymes, including TET1, TET2, and TET3, are methylcytosine dioxygenases that convert 5-methylcytosine (5-mC) to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5-hmC), an essential step in DNA demethylation. Human TET2 is highly expressed in pluripotent cells and down-regulated in differentiated cells: however, transcriptional regulation of the human TET2 gene has not been investigated in detail. Here we define three promoters within a 2.5 kb region located ~87 kb upstream of the first TET2 coding exon. The three promoters, designated as Pro1, Pro2, and Pro3, generate three alternative first exons, and their presence in TET2 mRNAs varies with cell type and developmental stage. In general, all three TET2 transcripts are more highly expressed in human tissues rich in hematopoietic stem cells, such as spleen and bone marrow, compared to other tissues, such as brain and kidney. Transcripts from Pro2 are expressed by a broad range of tissues and at a significantly higher level than Pro1 or Pro3 transcripts. Pro3 transcripts were highly expressed by embryoid bodies generated from the H9 ES cell line, and the major Pro3 transcript is an alternatively spliced mRNA isoform that produces a truncated TET2 protein lacking the catalytic domain. Our study demonstrates distinct tissue-specific mechanisms of TET2 transcriptional regulation during early pluripotent states and in differentiated cell types.
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hydroxymethylcytosine (5-hmc), 5-formylcytosine (5-ic), and 5-carboxycytosine (5-caC), leading to excision repair and replacement by a unmethylated cytosine, resulting in demethylation and gene activation. DNA demethylation can also occur as a result of replication-mediated dilution, since oxidized 5-mC is not recognized by the DNMT1 maintenance methylase (Valinluck and Sowers, 2007; Hashimoto et al., 2012). The TET2 protein plays an important role in the epigenetic regulation of gene expression during embryogenesis (Dawlaty et al., 2013), differentiation of hematopoietic cells (Ko et al., 2011), cancer development (Ito et al., 2010; Ko et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010; Meisel et al., 2018), and it is involved in somatic cell reprogramming (Doerge et al., 2012; Costa et al., 2013). A recent study demonstrated that disruption of TET2 promotes the immunotherapeutic efficacy of chimeric antigen receptor T cells (Fraietta et al., 2018). In the adult, TET2 shows a broader tissue expression pattern compared to TET1 (Lorsbach et al., 2003). TET1 and TET3 contain an N-terminal CXXC domain that binds to CpG islands, whereas this domain is not present in the TET2 gene due to a chromosomal inversion that has resulted in the formation of a distinct gene, CXXC4 that contains the CXXC domain (Ko et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2013; Jin et al., 2016). The CXXC4 (IDAX) protein binds to CpG islands and recruits TET2, resulting in the latter’s degradation. A similar mechanism was demonstrated for the TET3 CXXC domain, indicating a negative regulatory function for these CXXC domains. Interestingly, TET1 and TET3 isoforms lacking the CXXC domain also exist (Melamed et al., 2018). Despite numerous studies on TET family members via loss- and gain-of-function approaches, the transcriptional mechanisms underlying tissue-specific expression of the human gene have not been fully explored (Ito et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2011; Pan et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2018). The study of transcriptional regulation is fundamental to our understanding of how gene expression and phenotype are controlled during development. The expression of a given gene can be exquisitely complex, due to the presence of multiple transcription start sites that drive the expression of alternative mRNA isoforms (Djebali et al., 2012).

We define three distinct promoter elements associated with three separate clusters of transcription start sites (TSS) within a ∼2.5 kb region of the human TET2 gene, and demonstrate cell-specific promoter activity through reporter assays in cell lines. Each promoter exhibits distinct characteristics, and the level of transcription from each promoter varies among pluripotent and differentiated cell types. The major transcript produced by the central Pro2 promoter is broadly expressed and is present at a significantly higher level than other transcripts in normal human tissues. In contrast, Pro1 transcripts are most abundant in spleen, and Pro3 transcripts are enriched in tissues that possess a greater proportion of progenitor cells. These findings may lead to new insights into our understanding of the pathogenesis of tumors and facilitate the development of novel approaches to the prevention or diagnosis of cancer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Computational Analysis of the TET2 Gene

The full sequence surrounding the TET2 gene was obtained through the Ensembl database and the UCSC genome browser for human, mouse and rat. Identification and sequence analysis of evolutionarily conserved regions (ECRs) of TET2 were performed with the ECR Browser, and the publicly available web-based tool mVISTA (Frazer et al., 2004) using the MLAGAN algorithm. A search for potential transcription factor binding sites in the upstream regulatory region of a particular TET2 gene was performed online using the MatInspector program (Genomatix, Germany).

Cell Culture and Tissues Samples

Cancer cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, United States) and maintained according to the supplier’s instructions. The human H9 ES cell line was grown on irradiated CF-1 mouse embryo fibroblasts. Embryoid Bodies (EB) were generated by plating 3000 H9 cells/well in a 96-well plate in BPEL media supplemented with recombinant human Bone Morphogenic Protein 4 (20 ng/ul), recombinant human Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (20 ng/ul), and recombinant human Stem Cell Factor (40 ng/ul) for 14 days.

A panel of 20 normal human tissues was purchased from OriGene Technologies (Rockville, MD, United States). Human prostate tissue samples were obtained from OriGene, John Hopkins University (Baltimore, MD, United States), Ambion (Foster City, CA, United States), and Clontech Laboratories (Mountain View, CA, United States).

RNA Isolation, cDNA Synthesis, and Real-Time Quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA extracted from NCI 60 cancer cell lines was received from the Developmental Therapeutics Program (DTP), Information Technology Branch, NCI. RNA was further purified and cDNA synthesis was performed. Total RNA of cells was extracted and purified as described (Lou et al., 2009). cDNA was synthesized using the Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche) with oligonucleotide (dT)18 primer according to the manufacturer’s instructions. TaqMan® Gene Expression Assay primer and probe (FAM-labeled) sets (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, United States) for TET2 (Hs00969056_m1) were used to quantify TET2 mRNA and the results were normalized to the HPRT1 (Hs02800695_m1) housekeeping gene by the delta CT method. Relative mRNA expression levels of the target genes were calculated with a human universal control (HUC from Clonetech) as 100%.

Generation of Luciferase Reporter Plasmids

A series of truncated TET2 promoter constructs, including 5 deletions from the 5’ side and 3 deletions on the 3’ side, were created by PCR using the primers shown in Table 1. PCR
products were cloned into the TOPO-TA vector. Inserts were excised with SacI and XhoI and cloned into the pGL3 vector (Promega, Madison, WI, United States) to generate constructs in the forward orientation. All subclones were verified by sequencing. Sequence analysis was performed with the Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 7.

**Cell Transfection and Luciferase Assays**

The breast cancer cell line MCF7, cervical cancer cell line HeLa, prostate cancer cell line PC3, trophoblast cell line JAR, human embryonic kidney 293T cell line, and pluripotent human embryonal carcinoma cell line Ntera-2 were used for the analysis of promoter constructs. The cells were plated at $1 \times 10^5$ cells per well in a 24-well plate the day before transfection and incubated overnight at 37°C in 5% CO2. For each well, 5 µL of HilyMax transfection reagent (Djoindo, Rockville, MD, United States) was diluted in 30 µL of growth medium containing 10% FBS in 5% CO2. The cell lines were then transfected with 10 µg of the specific reporter construct with 5 ng of Renilla luciferase pRL-SV40 control DNA and incubated at room temperature for 5 min. The DNA transfection mixture was then added to each well and incubated at room temperature for 20 min. Luciferase activity was assayed at 48 h using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Measurements of the firefly luciferase activities of the TET2 promoter constructs were normalized relative to the activity of Renilla luciferase produced by the pRLSV40 control vector. Each construct was tested in triplicate in at least three independent experiments.

**Western Blot Analysis**

Whole cell protein lysates were prepared from the cell lines by using RIPA lysis buffer containing complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Santa Cruz, CA, United States) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Seventy five micrograms of whole cell protein were separated in a 3–8% NuPAGE Tris-Acetate gel, transferred to PVDF membrane (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, United States), and then immunoblotted with the primary antibodies in 0.05% Tween 20-Tris-buffered saline (TBST) containing 5% skim milk at 4°C with shaking overnight. The primary antibodies used were: a mouse monoclonal anti-TET2a that recognizes the amino terminus of TET2, (C15200179, Diagenode) at a dilution 1:1,000 and a polyclonal rabbit-anti-TET2b (R1086, Abicodie) that recognizes the C-terminus of the short isoform of TET2, at 1:800. The β-actin, used as an internal control, was detected by rabbit-anti-β-actin polyclonal antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, MA, United States). HPR-conjugated anti-rabbit and mouselG (1:8,000, Cell Signaling Technology) and anti-rabbit IgG (1:3000, Cell Signaling Technology, MA, United States) were used as secondary antibodies. The membranes were incubated with SuperSignal West Pico peroxide and luminal enhancer solutions (Pierce, IL, United States) for 5 min, exposed to the film and developed.

**Statistical Analysis**

Mann-Whitney-U and two-tailed t-test were performed using GraphPad Prism version 7 for Mac OS; $p < 0.05$ was regarded to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Identification of Three Distinct Human TET2 Promoters**

In silico promoter region prediction and gene analysis were performed using the Genomatix ElDorado and Gene2Promoter online programs (Genomatix), together with a visual inspection of the 5’ regulatory region and reported transcripts using the UCSC Genome Browser. Multiple transcription start sites associated with three alternative first exons were identified within a 1,891 bp region of TET2, and the nucleotide sequence has been numbered relative to the first nucleotide of the 5’-most TSS in Figure 1. Three predicted promoter regions (Pro1, Pro2, and Pro3) that produce three alternative first exons (1a, 1b, and 1c)
FIGURE 1 | Identification of three TET2 promoter elements. The sequence of the 2.5 kb region containing the three TET2 promoters is shown. The sequence is numbered relative to the Pro1 transcript start site. Predicted transcription factor binding sites are underlined in blue, with consensus nucleotides denoted in bold. The transcription starting sites (TSSs) are marked with a red arrow, and the corresponding exon sequence is shown in red text, ending at a consensus splice donor sequence in green text. Sequence conserved in the mouse TET2 gene is underlined. The proposed promoter regions are indicated by the brackets, and the 5’ and 3’ ends of each promoter construct tested in luciferase reporter assays are indicated by labeled arrows.

are present within the 2,612 bp region shown, and likely play a role in the regulation of TET2 expression in various cell types (Figure 1). Only a single 10 kb full-length cDNA originating from the Pro2 promoter region (GenBank# FM992369) that contains the complete 2002 amino acid (aa) TET2-coding region has been reported (TET2-1b; Figure 2A). A single 9 kb Pro1
transcript isolated from human fetal kidney has been reported (GenBank# BX640738) that terminates at a polyA site in the fourth intron, resulting in a truncated 1165 aa open reading frame that lacks the C-terminal TET2 catalytic domain (TET2-1a). Only partial Pro3 cDNAs terminating in exon 3 have been identified (GenBank#s DA495712 and DA441067). In order to determine if Pro1 and Pro3 transcripts extend beyond the polyA site in the fourth intron, RT-PCR was performed with exon 1a, 1b, and 1c forward primers together with reverse primers in either exon 3 or exon 6. All three exon 1 forward primers successfully amplified products with an exon 3 reverse primer (Figure 2B). However, transcripts extending to exon 6 were only detected with Pro1 (exon 1a) and Pro2 (exon 1b) forward primers, suggesting that Pro3 transcripts do not produce any catalytically active TET2 protein (Figure 2C). In order to determine if the predicted short TET2 isoform lacking the catalytic domain
FIGURE 3 | Activity of TET2 promoters in cell lines. (A) A schematic of the TET2 gene structure is shown with an expanded view of the 3 kb regulatory region. The relative sizes and positions of fragments cloned into the pGL3 vector are indicated by the lines below the schematic. The nucleotide position of the 3′ end is indicated in bold, and the insert size is shown in parentheses. (B) The promoter activities measured after transfection into MCF7, HeLa, PC3, JAR, 293T, and Ntera-2 cells are shown. The luciferase activity of each pGL3 construct is shown as fold-increase of corrected light units relative to an empty pGL3 vector control. Values represent the mean and error bars indicate the SEM of at least three independent experiments. (C) Relative Pro1, Pro2, and Pro3 mRNA levels in cell lines. Total RNA from the cell lines used in (B) together with H9 ES cells and embryoid bodies (EB) was reverse-transcribed, and transcript levels were investigated by qPCR relative quantification analysis with Pro1, Pro2, and Pro3-specific primers. The cDNA levels of TET2 Pro1, Pro2, and Pro3 were normalized to β-actin.
is expressed, a Western blot analysis was performed using antibodies raised against either the amino-terminus present in both isoforms, or the carboxy-terminus specific to the predicted short form (TET2-1a; Figure 2A). The short isoform was found to be expressed in all of the cell lines, with the highest expression in MCF7 and 293T cells (Figure 2D), consistent with
the detection of Pro1 or Pro3 transcripts in these cell lines (Figure 2B). The amino-terminal TET2 antibody also detected a faint lower molecular weight band corresponding to the size of the short form (upper panel, Figure 2D). The weak expression of the truncated TET2 protein relative to the full-length protein suggests that the majority of TET2 transcripts in these cell lines contain the full coding sequence.

**Functional Analysis of the Human TET2 Promoters**

In order to investigate the properties of the multiple promoter elements in the 5′-flanking region of TET2, three DNA fragments containing the Pro1 promoter region (−633 to +51 bp), Pro2 promoter region (+614 to +1,024 bp), and Pro3 promoter region (+1,136 to +1,634 bp) were cloned into the pGL3 vector (Figure 3A), and the promoter activities were determined in MCF7, HeLa, PC3, JAR, 293T, and Ntera-2 cells. As shown in Figure 3B, the highest promoter activity was observed with Pro2 constructs in PC3 and JAR cells: 75-fold and 90-fold relative to empty pGL3 control vector, respectively. The transcriptional activity of the 410 bp TET2 Pro2 core promoter construct was significantly higher than that of the Pro1 and Pro3 fragments in most of the cell lines tested (Figure 3B). These results are consistent with the observation that the majority of TET2 transcripts observed originate from the Pro2 region (see text footnote 1). Interestingly, the Pro1 and Pro3 elements displayed distinct cell type specificities: Pro1 activity was significantly higher in MCF7 and JAR cells than any of the other lines tested, whereas Pro3 activity was lowest in MCF7 cells and higher in PC3, JAR, 293T, and Ntera2 cells. Q-PCR with primers specific for the Pro1, Pro2, or Pro3 transcripts was performed to determine if transcript levels correlated with promoter activity in these lines. Pro1 transcript levels were highest in MCF7 and JAR cells, consistent with the luciferase results (Figure 3C). Pro2 transcript levels were high in PC3 and JAR cells, in agreement with their Pro2 promoter activity: however, Hela and 293T did not have transcript levels that matched their in vitro promoter activity. Pro3 transcripts were low to undetectable in the cell lines studied, therefore embryoid bodies (EB) were generated from the human H9 ES cell line to generate a population enriched for progenitor cells. Remarkably, Pro3 transcripts were much higher in EB than any of the cell lines tested, including the H9 ES cell line from which they were generated, suggesting that Pro3 represents a progenitor cell-specific promoter. Taken together, these results are consistent with Pro2 functioning as a strong, ubiquitous promoter, and Pro1/Pro3 functioning in differing subsets of progenitor cells and cancer cell lines.

**TET2 mRNA Expression Levels in Cancer Cell Lines**

The NCI-60 human cancer cell line panel represents a wide array of solid tumors and leukemias. We measured TET2 mRNA levels in the panel using the TaqMan real-time PCR method with primers targeting exons 6 and 7 (Figure 4A). Cell lines expressing high levels of TET2 mRNA were observed for most tissues, perhaps reflecting involvement in conserved biological or cancer-relevant processes. Notably, there are cell lines with either high or low TET2 expression in the cancer cell lines from most tissues, with the
exception of the prostate lines. These high and low classes of 
TET2 expression may reflect the progenitor/differentiation 
potential of the individual lines. A comparison of TET2 
transcripts between normal and malignant prostate and 
breast tissues revealed significantly lower levels of TET2 
mRNA in prostate cancer cell lines as compared to normal 
tissue, but not in breast cancer cell lines, suggesting that 
downregulation of TET2 may be a common event in prostate 
cancer (Figure 4B).

**Quantitation of TET2 mRNA Isoform Expression Levels in Human Tissue**

To further investigate the tissue specificity of the three TET2 
promoters, the major TET2 Pro2, less abundant Pro1, and 
rare Pro3 transcripts were analyzed in 20 human normal 
tissues by RT-qPCR (Figure 5). The major Pro2 transcripts 
showed broader tissue expression and significantly higher 
expression levels than Pro1 and Pro3 in all 20 normal human 
tissues, whereas the Pro1 and Pro3 showed more restricted 
tissue-specific expression.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we define three promoters contained within 
a 1583 bp region of the TET2 gene with distinct tissue- and 
developmental stage-dependent transcriptional activities. The 
Pro2 promoter had the strongest activity in all cell lines tested, 
with the exception of MCF7, in which Pro1 showed the greatest 
activity (Figure 3B). The dominant promoter activity of Pro2 
is supported by a survey of reported TET2 mRNAs performed 
using the UCSC Genome Browser that reveals the majority of 
TET2 transcripts originate from the Pro2 region. Measurement 
of TET2 Pro1, Pro2, and Pro3 transcript levels in a panel of 20 
normal human tissues confirmed that Pro2 transcripts were the 
most abundant in normal human tissues. A direct comparison 
of transcript levels in total spleen RNA revealed that Pro2-derived 
transcripts are 10-fold higher than Pro1 transcripts, and 100-fold 
higher than Pro3 transcripts (Figure 5). The broader tissue 
expression and significantly higher level of Pro2 transcripts than 
Pro1 and Pro3, suggests that this promoter is less dependent 
on pluri-potency.

In contrast, Pro1 is active primarily in human spleen, 
whereas the rare Pro3 transcript was weakly expressed in 
much of the tissues tested, with the highest levels observed 
in human spleen, bone marrow, and fetal brain. The more 
restricted expression of Pro1 and Pro3 transcripts relative 
to Pro2 transcripts (Figures 3C, 5), suggests that the TET2 
isoforms produced from these transcripts may play an important 
role in hematopoiesis and stem cell differentiation. It is of 
particular interest that ES cells differentiated into embryoid 
also show increased transcription from Pro3 (Figure 3C), 
and that Pro3 transcripts do not contain the full coding region 
(Figure 2C). Perhaps the truncated TET2 protein lacking the 
catalytic domain acts as a dominant negative protein that 
inhibits TET2-mediated demethylation in progenitor cells. Our 
study provides the groundwork for further investigation of the 
mechanisms controlling the expression of human TET2 isoforms.

Recently, Sohni et al. (2015) defined promoter, enhancer, 
and super-enhancer regions in the murine TET2 gene and 
investigated their activity in differentiating embryonic stem (ES) 
cells. A single TET2 promoter was identified that corresponds to 
the human Pro2 element, and ES cell transcription was associated 
with a conserved element in the downstream super-enhancer 
region. However, an upstream transcript originating in the 
Pro1-homologous region of the murine TET2 gene has been 
obscured in a spleen EST clone (GenBank#BY223169), and 
the promoter activity of this region was not investigated by 
Sohni et al. (2015). Interestingly, three distinct promoters and 
alternative first exons were identified in the murine TET1 gene 
(Sohni et al., 2015). The three TET1 promoters bear no homology 
to the three TET2 promoters identified in the current study, 
since the 5′ end of the TET2 gene lacks the CXXC domain 
containing exons found in TET1, and therefore is in a distinct 
genomic region. Alignment of the human and mouse TET2 
genes reveals conservation of the regions within the Pro1, Pro2, 
and Pro3 promoter regions (Figure 1). The greatest blocks of 
homology are within the Pro2 region. The broad tissue 
specificity and dominant promoter activity of Pro2 is conserved 
between human and mouse. The more limited homology of
elements may not be conserved between species. The TET2 Pro1 and Pro3 regions suggests that the function of these elements may not be conserved between species. The TET2 Pro3 element may represent a stem cell-specific promoter that supports high levels of TET2 expression in progenitor cells. It contains multiple predicted MZF1-binding sites that have been associated with stem cell promoters, such as the CD34 and KIR-antisense promoters (Morris et al., 1995; Wright et al., 2013). MZF1 was found to be expressed in the 293T cell line (Wright et al., 2013), and Pro3 promoter activity is highest in 293T cells (Figure 3B). Pro3 transcripts were highest in bone marrow, fetal brain, spleen, and embryoid bodies (Figures 3, 5), consistent with progenitor-specific activity. The study of TET2 transcripts in murine pluripotent cells did not reveal any Pro3-like element (Sohni et al., 2015), and the predicted MZF1-binding elements are not conserved in the murine gene (Figure 1), suggesting that the human and mouse genes have distinct mechanisms to drive TET2 expression in progenitor cells.

Since the TET2 CXXC regulatory domain is a separately transcribed gene, CXXC4, it is of interest to consider if there are particular tissues or developmental stages where either TET2 or CXXC4 (IDAX) expression dominates, changing the rate at which TET2-mediated demethylation occurs. CXXC4 mRNA levels in murine ES cells increase when they are induced to differentiate, leading to a dramatic loss of TET2 protein without significantly affecting TET2 mRNA levels (Ko et al., 2013). Conversely, loss of CXXC4 expression has been observed in aggressive renal cell carcinomas, and is associated with reduced survival, which may be due to an increase in cancer stem cells (Kojima et al., 2008).

Considered together, the results suggest that multiple promoter elements have evolved in the human TET2 gene to allow TET2 gene transcriptional regulation to be controlled differently in pluripotent cells, stem cells, and differentiated tissues (Figure 6). The precise physiological relevance of human TET2 expression in different cell states will require further exploration. The results provided in this study are applicable to studies of TET2 in human cancers and may explain some of the previous SNP associations, such as rs7679673 of TET2 correlating with a family history of prostate cancer (Eeles et al., 2009; Haiman et al., 2011; Nickerson et al., 2013; Al Olama et al., 2014). The distinct properties of the three TET2 promoter elements may play an important role in human cancer development and differentiation and should provide new insights into understanding pathogenesis and development of new therapeutic approaches.
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