Structures of human SRP72 complexes provide insights into SRP RNA remodeling and ribosome interaction
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ABSTRACT

Co-translational protein targeting and membrane protein insertion is a fundamental process and depends on the signal recognition particle (SRP). In mammals, SRP is composed of the SRP RNA crucial for SRP assembly and function and six proteins. The two largest proteins SRP68 and SRP72 form a heterodimer and bind to a regulatory site of the SRP RNA. Despite their essential roles in the SRP pathway, structural information has been available only for the SRP68 RNA-binding domain (RBD). Here we present the crystal structures of the SRP68 protein-binding domain (PBD) in complex with SRP72-PBD and of the SRP72-RBD bound to the SRP S domain (SRP RNA, SRP19 and SRP68) detailing all interactions of SRP72 within SRP. The SRP72-PBD is a tetra-tricopeptide repeat, which binds an extended linear motif of SRP68 with high affinity. The SRP72-RBD is a flexible peptide crawling along the 5e- and 5f-loops of SRP RNA. A conserved tryptophan inserts into the 5e-loop forming a novel type of RNA kink-turn stabilized by a potassium ion, which we define as K+-turn. In addition, SRP72-RBD remodels the 5f-loop involved in ribosome binding and visualizes SRP RNA plasticity. Docking of the S domain structure into cryo-electron microscopy density maps reveals multiple contact sites between SRP68/72 and the ribosome, and explains the role of SRP72 in the SRP pathway.

INTRODUCTION

The universally conserved signal recognition particle (SRP) mediates co-translational targeting of proteins to membranes by recognizing hydrophobic N-terminal signal sequences of its client proteins emerging from ribosomes (1). In eukaryotes, SRP—ribosome nascent chain complexes (RNCs) are targeted to the endoplasmic reticulum by guanosine-5’-triphosphate- (GTP-) dependent interaction with the membrane-bound SRP receptor (SRα) and the RNC is transferred to a vacant translocation channel (Sec 61 complex) (2,3). The heterodimeric targeting complex formed by the SRP GTPases SRP54 and SRα constitutes the core of the SRP system and regulates the entire process (4–6). After the RNC is handed over to the translocation channel and translation resumes, the targeting complex relocates on SRP RNA and the SRP GTPases are stimulated by a regulatory ‘distal site’ of the RNA. This is so far only shown for Escherichia coli (7,8) despite the conservation of the targeting complex and its binding sites on the SRP RNA. Upon GTP-hydrolysis, SRP dissociates from the SR and the SRP targeting cycle is closed.

Human SRP is a ribonucleoprotein complex comprising the highly base-paired SRP RNA (also referred to as 7SL RNA) of 300 nucleotides and six proteins (SRP9, SRP14, SRP19, SRP54, SRP68 and SRP72) (Figure 1)(9). It is divided into the Alu domain (SRP9/14 and 5’/3’ ends of SRP RNA) responsible for elongation retardation (10) and the S domain, which recognizes the signal sequence of the ribosome nascent chain and binds to the SR. Both S domain functions are performed by the highly conserved SRP54 protein while SRP19 is a scaffolding protein clamping helices 6 and 8 of SRP RNA (11,12). SRP19 binding to the apical tetra-nucleotide loops (tetraloops) of helices 6 and 8 prepares the flexible internal ‘asymmetric loop’ within helix 8 for SRP54 binding. The two largest α-solenoidal proteins SRP68 and SRP72 (60% of SRP protein mass) belong to the S domain and form a stable heterodimer essential for SRP function (13). Reconstituted SRP with alkylated SRP68/72 or without the heterodimer is not functional in translocation assays (14). The SRP68/72 heterodimer is assembled into the pre-SRP particle in the nucleus and its presence is necessary for export to the cytoplasm. Knockout of the heterodimer in yeast leads to an accumulation of pre-SRP in the nucleus (15) and gene silencing of SRP68/72 with RNAi
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Figure 1. SRP68/72 in context of the SRP-RNC. Upper panel: Scheme of human SRP bound to a ribosome—nascent chain complex (gray). Exit: polypeptide tunnel exit; S: signal sequence (magenta); NGM: domain of SRP9 (blue); 5e/5f: loops of SRP RNA (green); SRP9/14 (orange/yellow); SRP19 (red); SRP68 (brown); and SRP72 (sand). Lower panel: Domain architecture of human SRP68/72 (RBD: RNA-binding domain; PBD: protein-binding domain).

in \textit{Trypanosoma brucei} causes cell death due to the toxicity
of nuclear SRP-accumulation (16). SRP72 is cleaved during
apoptosis by caspasases and a 6 kDa C-terminal frag-
ment that is phosphorylated on serine residues is released
(17). Proteolytic cleavage of this peptide is connected with the
development of autoantibodies against SRP72 triggering
the formation of autoimmune diseases, like systemic lupus erythematous (17,18). Mutations in the SRP72 gene
are causative for familial aplastic anemia and an increased
risk of acute myeloid leukemia (19).

The subunits of the SRP68/72 heterodimer (Figure 1)
bind individually to SRP RNA (20–22). The N-terminal
SRP68 RNA-binding domain (RBD) locates to a central
RNA three-way junction between RNA helices 5, 6 and
8 (22). SRP68 binding to the RNA kinks the S domain
RNA and remodels the nearby 5f-loop (23). Kinking is
important for ribosome interaction at the previously de-
described ‘C4-contact’ (24), while 5f-loop remodeling might
activate the targeting complex as described in \textit{E. coli} (7,8).
Here, a conserved guanine base complements the inter-
face of the targeting complex and activates GTP hydroly-
ysis. SRP72 binding to SRP RNA was pinpointed to the
adjacent 5e-loop (21). The C-terminal SRP72-RBD, pre-
ceding the caspase cleavage and phosphorylation sites of
SRP72 (17), comprises a lysine-rich cluster and a linear se-
quence motif (SRP72-Pfam) harboring a conserved trypto-
phan residue (21) (Supplementary Figure S1). Heterodimer

formations with SRP68 occurs via the N-terminal protein-
bind domain (SRP72-PBD), which is predicted to con-
sist of four tetricopeptide repeats (TPRs) (25). TPRs con-
sist of 34 amino acids, which form two antiparallel helices
with a conserved residue pattern. TPR domains contain 3
to 16 repeats and form right handed α-solenoid structures
(26). Usually, small peptides bind to the concave side of the
TPR domain in a linear mode ranging from an extended coil
or α-helical conformation (27). The TPRs of SRP72-PBD
bind to a highly conserved C-terminal peptide of SRP68
(SRP68-PBD). However, despite the importance of SRP72
for SRP biogenesis and function and despite the progress
with recent high-resolution cryo-EM structures of SRP-
RNC complexes (28,29), it remained the last mammalian
SRP protein without any structural information available.
To close this gap, we determined the structures of the hu-

MATERIALS AND METHODS

DNA manipulations and plasmids construction
All constructs used in this study are listed in Supplementary
Table S1. Total human mRNA was extracted from HEK
cells (SV Total RNA Isolation System, Promega), reversed-
transcribed into cDNA (Transcription First Strand cDNA
Synthesis Kit with dT primers, Roche), and used for cloning in this study. The DNA sequence encoding human SRP72-
PBD (512–662) fused to a C-terminal His6-tag was cloned into the pET24d vector (Novagen) digested NcoI
and into pETtrx1a (31) containing an N-terminal thioredoxin. Short human SRP72-RBD peptides P1 (560–605), P2 (557–605) and P3 (549–605) were cloned into the NcoI/BamHI restriction sites of the pETtrx1a vector.

For yeast two-hybrid analyses, human SRP72-PBD
(1–159) was fused to an N-terminal GAL4 binding
(BD) and activation (AD) domain using the plasmids
pG4ADN111/pG4BDN22 (32), respectively. Human SRP68-PBD (546–614) was cloned into the NcoI/BamHI restriction sites of pET16b (Novagen) and into pETtrx1a
(31) containing an N-terminal thioredoxin. Short human
SRP72-RBD peptides P1 (560–605), P2 (557–605) and P3 (549–605) were cloned into the NcoI/BamHI restriction
sites of the pETtrx1a vector.

The 145 nucleotides long (105–249) human SRP RNA
gene was amplified from human genomic DNA, fused to a
3′-hammerhead ribozyme and cloned under the con-
rol of the T7 RNA polymerase promoter into pSP64
vector (Promega) digested EcoRI/HindIII. Numbering and sequences correspond to UniProtKB q76094 (human
SRP72), UniProtKB q9uhb9 (human SRP68) and Gen-
Bank accession no. X04248.1 (human SRP RNA).


Protein/RNA production and purification

The human SRP72-RBD constructs were transformed into BL21(DE3) E. coli cells (Novagen) and proteins were expressed by auto-induction for 16 h at 25°C (33). Sumo-fused human SRP72-PBD and non-tagged SRP68-PBD were co-expressed by auto-induction for 16 h at 25°C. Seleno-methionine labeled SRP72-RBD as well as SRP72-PBD (L31M/L65M) were expressed in BL21 (DE3) E. coli cells grown in M9 medium supplemented with selenomethionine as described in (34). Cells were grown at 37°C to an OD600 of 0.6 and induced with of 0.5 mM IPTG for 16 h at 22°C.

Purification of SRP72-RBD, SRP19 and SRP68-RBD were performed as follows: the cell pellet was resuspended in lysis buffer containing 40 mM Tris/HCl pH 8.0, 1 M NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 5 mM KCl, 20 mM imidazole, 10% (v/v) glycerol, and 0.02% (v/v) 1-thioglycerol. Cells were lysed using an M-110L Microfluidizer (Microfluidics), the cleared lysate was loaded onto a Ni-NTA column (GE Healthcare), the column was washed with the lysis buffer and the protein was eluted by an imidazole gradient (20–400 mM). The eluate was further purified on a size exclusion chromatography (SEC) column Superdex 75 26/60 (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 5 mM KCl, 5% (v/v) glycerol and 0.02% (v/v) 1-thioglycerol.

Purification of SRP72-PBD, SRP68-PBD (both proteins individually or in complex) and SRP72-RBD P1, P2 and P3 were performed as described above using buffers containing 200 mM NaCl. In order to remove the fusion constructs, SRP72-PBD and SRP72-RBD P1, P2 and P3 were treated with Ulp1 Sumo- and Tsv-protease, respectively, after the first Ni-NTA elution. The proteins were dialyzed against a buffer containing 20 mM Tris/HCl pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 5 mM KCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol and 0.02% (v/v) 1-thioglycerol for 16 h at 4°C. The proteases and cleaved fusion tags were bound to a reverse Ni-NTA column and the flow through with the containing proteins was purified via SEC. All proteins were concentrated using Amicon Centrifugal filters (Millipore), frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C.

In vitro run-off transcription of the 145 nucleotides long SRP RNA construct was performed according to a modified protocol described previously (35). In vitro transcription was performed at 37°C for 3 h. The RNA was purified by urea-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and extracted by crush-and-soak using 0.3 M sodium acetate followed by isopropanol precipitation and desalting (PD10, GE Healthcare).

Reconstitution of the human quaternary S domain

SRP RNA was refolded using a snap cool protocol. The RNA was heated to 90°C for 1 min, cooled immediately on ice for 1 min, diluted with 6× folding buffer containing 120 mM Tris/HCl pH 8.0, 1.2 M NaCl, 60 mM KCl, 60 mM MgCl2, 52% (v/v) glycerol and incubated for 10 min at 37°C. SRP19, SRP68-RBD and SRP72-RBD were added to the RNA, the salt concentration was adjusted to 200 mM and the complex was incubated at 25°C for 10 min. The reconstituted S domain was purified by SEC using a Superdex S200 16/60 column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 20 mM HEPES/NaOH pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, and 5 mM KCl. SRP19, SRP68-RBD and SRP72-RBD were dialyzed two times against a buffer containing 20 mM HEPES/NaOH pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 5 mM CsCl, 5% (v/v) glycerol and 0.02% (v/v) 1-thioglycerol for 2 h at 4°C to replace potassium for cesium ions. Folding buffer and SEC buffer for S domain reconstitution were prepared with CsCl using the respective KCl concentrations. S domain reconstitution was performed as described above.

Crystalization and data collection

Crystals of the SRP68/72-PBD complex (native and selenomethionine labeled SRP68/72-PBD L31M/L65M) were grown by automated crystalization in sitting drops containing 0.2 M K2SO4 and 20% (v/v) PEG3350 at 18°C. The concentration of the complex was adjusted to 12 mg/ml and drops were set in a 1:1 ratio of protein solution to crystallization buffer. Crystals of the quaternary S domain (native, selenomethionine labeled SRP72-RBD either L562M, I598M, or cesium containing S domain) grew at 4°C in hanging drops manually set containing 17–20% (v/v) PEG3350 and 0.2 M KF. The complex had a concentration of 10 mg/ml and drops were set in 1:1 ratio. Crystals were cryoprotected in 20% (v/v) glycerol added to the mother liquor and were frozen in liquid nitrogen. Data were collected at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF, Grenoble). Single-wavelength anomalous dispersion (SAD) data were collected for the selenomethionine labeled samples or cesium containing crystals at anomalous peak wavelengths.

Structure determination and refinement

Data processing was performed with XDS (36) and the CCP4i-implemented program AIMLESS (37) for all collected datasets. The structure was solved using SAD data at 3.0 Å resolution using the Phenix suite (38,39). The structure was refined against the native dataset using Phenix.refine (40) and model building was done in COOT (41). The structure was solved by molecular replacement with the program Phenix Phaser (42) using the human ternary S domain complex (4p3e (23)) as a search model. The structure was refined with Phenix and built in iterative cycles in COOT with the help of the anomalous signal for methionine of SRP72-RBD L562M or I598M. Surface potentials were calculated by APBS (43) in PyMol (44). Superposition of structures was performed in COOT. Figures of the structures and electron density maps were created using PyMol and Chimera (45), respectively.

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC)

ITC experiments were performed using a MicroCal PEAA-ITC (Malvern) in a buffer containing 20 mM HEPES/NaOH pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 10 mM KCl and 10% (v/v) glycerol (for RNA binding) or 5% (v/v) glycerol for the protein interaction. The titrations were
conducted in 19 injection of 2 μl aliquots at 25°C, a reference power of 10 μcal/s and a stirring speed of 750 rpm. Typical concentrations of the titrants were between 100–200 μM (RNA-binding domains) and 150–200 μM (SRP68-PBD), the concentrations in the cell were between 7–17 μM (S domain) and 20–30 μM (SRP72-PBD). The measurements were analyzed using the MicroCal PEAQ-ITC Analysis Software. ITC experiments were performed at least in triplicates (N).

**RESULTS**

**Structure of the SRP68/72-PBD complex**

In order to characterize the SRP68/72 interaction, the SRP72-PBD was co-expressed and co-purified with SRP68-PBD. Stoichiometric complex formation was confirmed by pull-down assays and analytical SEC coupled with multi-angle light scattering (Supplementary Figure S2). The complex was crystallized in space group C2 with one molecule per asymmetric unit. A native dataset was collected to 1.6 Å resolution. However, the structure could not be solved by molecular replacement. Therefore, the double mutant SRP72-PBD (L31M/L65M) was prepared for selenomethionine based *de novo* single-wavelength anomalous dispersion (SAD) phasing. The final model was refined to an Rwork (Rfree) of 14.4% (18.6%) and Ramachandran statistics show 100% of the residues in allowed regions (Table 1 and Supplementary Figure S3).

**Multi-angle light scattering (MALS)**

A total of 150 μl of the SRP68/72-PBD complex (2.5 mg/ml) was injected onto a Superdex 75 10/300 column (GE Healthcare) coupled to a MALS system (Dawn Heleos II 8+ and Optilab T-rEX, Wyatt Technology). Data was analyzed using Astra 6 software (Wyatt Technology).

**Pull-down assay**

A total of 500 μl of 40 μM His6-SRP68-PBD were loaded on spin-columns with 100 μl Ni-NTA beads equilibrated with the SRP68/72-PBD SEC buffer (buffer A) and incubated for 5 min at 4°C on a slow stirring wheel. The beads were washed once with 500 μl buffer A and once with 100 μl buffer A containing 50 mM imidazole (buffer B). A total of 500 μl of 40 μM SRP72-PBD were loaded on the Ni-NTA beads and incubated for 5 min at 4°C. The beads were washed twice with 100 μl buffer B before elution of the complex with 100 μl buffer A containing 50 mM imidazole (buffer C). As control, SRP72-PBD was loaded without His6-SRP68-PBD to 100 μl Ni-NTA beads and incubated for 5 min at 4°C. The column was washed with 500 μl buffer A, twice with 100 μl buffer B and once with 100 μl buffer C. All flow through samples were analyzed on a 15% sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.

**Yeast two-hybrid assays**

The *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain PJ69-4A was co-transformed with a bait and prey plasmid. Double transformants were selected on SDC medium lacking leucine and tryptophan. For each transformation, four colonies were arrayed in 96-well microtiter plates. The colonies were diluted to an OD$_{600}$ of 3.0 in water followed by 10-fold serial dilutions and spotted onto SDC-Leu-Trp, SDC-Leu-Trp-His and SDC-Leu-Trp-Ade plates. Growth was determined after 3 days incubation at 30°C. Transactivation controls were systematically performed for each construct with the opposite vector without insert.
Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics for the SRP68/72-PBD complex and the quaternary S domain complex

|                     | SRP68/72-PBD         | quaternary S domain          |
|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
|                     | native | L31M/L65M | native | cesium |
| **Data collection** |         |           |         |        |
| Space group         | C 1 2 1 | C 1 2 1   | P 2 1 2 1 | P 2 1 2 1 |
| Cell dimensions     |         |           |         |        |
| a, b, c (Å)         | 66.6 52.3 62.9 | 67.0 52.2 63.0 | 134.6 139.3 152.2 | 130.0 141.4 170.6 |
| α, β, γ (°)         | 90 118.2 90 | 90 90 | 90 90 | 48.4-3.4 (3.3-3.4) |
| Resolution (Å)      | 38.0-1.6 (1.66-1.6) | 39.0-3.0 (3.2-3.0) | 7.2 (68.5) | 48.9-6.0 (6.7-6.0) |
| **Refinement**      |         |           |         |        |
| No. reflections     | 25061 (2507) | 39632 (3863) | 1187 | 206640 |
| Rwork (%)           | 14.2 (19.1) | 23.7 (36.7) | 12128 | 137.6 |
| Rfree (%)           | 18.4 (25.4) | 28.0 (42.0) | 1984 | 2.0640 |
| No. atoms           | 1582 | 12128 | 137.5 | 92.7 (66.7) |
| Protein             | 1409 | 141 | 984 | 6.3 (4.8) |
| Ligand/ion          | 23 | 171 | 137.5 | 92.7 (66.7) |
| Protein residues    | 1382 | 12128 | 137.5 | 6.3 (4.8) |
| B-factors (Å²)      | 36.1 | 1409 | 984 | 6.3 (4.8) |
| R.m.s. deviations   | 0.005 | 0.011 | 97.8 | 6.3 (4.8) |
| Bond lengths (Å)    | 0.73 | 1.57 | 97.8 | 6.3 (4.8) |
| Bond angles (°)     | 100 | 0.011 | 97.8 | 6.3 (4.8) |
| Ramachandran allowed (%) | 99.9 | 99.9 (100) | 97.8 |

*for 5% of all data.

Table 2. Average $K_D$ values and thermodynamic parameters of ITC measurements

| syringe | cell       | $K_D$ in nM | N | n | ΔH kcal mol$^{-1}$ | ΔS kcal mol$^{-1}$ | ΔG kcal mol$^{-1}$ |
|---------|------------|-------------|---|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| SRP68-PBD | SRP72-PBD | 33 (±11) | 6 | 0.67 | -15.7 (±0.5) | 5.3 (±0.7) | -10.2 (±0.2) |
| SRP68-RBD | SRP RNA + SRP19 | 2.3 (±1.1) | 3 | 1.07 | -9.3 (±1.5) | -5.8 (±1.7) | -15.0 (±2.4) |
| SRP68-RBD | SRP RNA + SRP19 + SRP72-RBD | 2.1 (±0.3) | 3 | 1.07 | -11.0 (±1.0) | -1.4 (±1.4) | -12.5 (±0.7) |
| SRP72-RBD | SRP RNA + SRP19 | 427 (±105) | 7 | 0.99 | -29.9 (±3.1) | 21.1 (±3.4) | -8.7 (±0.1) |
| SRP72-RBD | SRP RNA + SRP19 + SRP68-RBD | 181 (±31) | 10 | 0.89 | -30.6 (±3.5) | 21.2 (±3.4) | -9.2 (±0.2) |
| SRP72-RBD | SRP RNA(U110C) + SRP19 + SRP68-RBD | 427 (±96) | 5 | 1.11 | -26.4 (±3.1) | 17.6 (±2.9) | -8.7 (±0.2) |

by the single mutant F$_{600}$A and the double alanine mutant I$_{56}$/V$_{598}$A resulted in a complete loss of binding.

**Structure of the human S domain with SRP72-RBD**

While interaction between the PBDs of SRP68 and SRP72 results in the formation of a stable heterodimer, both proteins can bind to SRP RNA individually via their RBDs. Previously, we showed that the SRP68-RBD remodels the SRP RNA using a S domain RNA construct of 125 nucleotides (23). In order to characterize now the RNA-binding of SRP72, we reconstituted a quaternary human SRP sub-complex including the S domain RNA (using a longer RNA variant of 145 nucleotides from 105 to 249), SRP19, SRP68-RBD and SRP72-RBD. ITC measurements were performed to determine binding affinities of SRP68-RBD and SRP72-RBD to SRP RNA (Table 2 and Supplementary Figure S5). SRP19 was prebound in all experiments to SRP RNA in order to clamp helices 6 and 8 together and thereby to provide the binding platform for SRP68 and SRP72. SRP68-RBD binds strongly to SRP RNA ($K_D$ of 2 nM) independent of the presence of SRP72-RBD. In contrast, the binding affinity for SRP72-RBD is lower by two orders of magnitude with a twofold increase in presence of SRP68 ($K_D$ values of 181 and 427 nM, respectively). This indicates that RNA binding of SRP72 is enhanced by interaction with SRP68 or that a SRP68-induced conformational change in the SRP RNA facilitates recruitment of SRP72. Interestingly, while SRP68-RBD binding to SRP RNA is enthalpy-driven, SRP72-RBD binding is entropy-driven suggesting folding upon binding.

To answer this question, we set out to determine the structure of the human quaternary S domain including SRP72-RBD. Crystals could be obtained belonging to space group $P_2_1_2_1_2_1$ with two molecules in the asymmetric unit. The structure was solved by molecular replacement at 3.4 Å resolution (Figure 4A). The final model was refined to an $R_{work}$ ($R_{free}$) of 23.7% (28.0%) and Ramachandran statistics show 97.8% of the residues in allowed regions (Table 1 and Sup-
Figure 2. Structure of the human SRP68/72-PBD complex. (A) Structure of the SRP68/72-PBD complex in side and front views of the solenoid with labeled TPRs and α-helices. Hydrophobic sidechains of SRP68-PBD are shown. (B) Electrostatic surface potential map (top, \( \pm 5 \kappa_B T/e \)) and sequence conservation (bottom) of SRP72-PBD. The SRP68-binding groove is mainly hydrophobic and the interface is highly conserved. (C) Details of the interface with the hydrogen-bonding network around D592 including the ‘amide ladder’.

Figure 3. Yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) analysis of the SRP68/72-PBD complex. The Y2H is tested in both combinations of activating domain (AD) and binding domain (BD), but only BD-SRP72 and AD-SRP68 are shown. In the first panel, the interaction of the wild-type SRP72-PBD with SRP68-PBD shows the binding of the two domains. Single mutations Y86A of SRP72 (second panel), D592A or F600A of SRP68 (third and fourth panel), as well as the double mutation I56A(SRP72)–V598A(SRP68) (fifth panel) lead to a complete loss of binding. The Y2H of the inverted AD/BD combination is shown in Supplementary Figure S6B.

Supplementary Figure S3). SRP72-RBD and metal ion assignments were validated by mutational analyses and anomalous difference Fourier techniques (Table 1, Supplementary Figure S7 and Supplementary Table S2). The structure reveals the fold of the entire S domain RNA including the 5e-loop, that so far was only modeled (21,50). SRP19 and SRP68-RBD structures as well as the way they interact with SRP RNA are identical as in a ternary S domain complex solved previously (23) (Supplementary Figure S8). Especially the 20° kink at the RNA three-way junction as induced by SRP68-RBD and the insertion of its arginine-rich motif (ARM) into the major groove at the 5f-loop are maintained. Comparison of the RNA-binding site for SRP54 (asymmetric loop of helix 8) shows that it adopts a different structure than previously observed (23,51,52). The difference is due to a concerted helix-bending induced by crystal packing (Supplementary Figure S8) and reflects the plasticity of this RNA site. However, asymmetric loop flexibility has no influence on SRP72-RBD binding. The SRP72-RBD (K557-Q603) appears as a linear peptide that crawls along the ‘distal site’ of SRP RNA at the 5e- and 5f-loop (Figure 4B and C). At the N-terminus,
Figure 4. Structure of the human SRP S domain. (A) The quaternary SRP S domain complex. SRP72-RBD (sand) crawls along the 5e- and 5f-loops of SRP RNA (green). A potassium ion (purple sphere) is coordinated in the 5e-loop. The 5f-loop is indicated by the bulged-out adenine A231. The extended loop of SRP68-RBD (brown) in the RNA three-way junction is indicated in orange. (B) Scheme of SRP72-RBD binding to SRP RNA. Non-Watson Crick base pairs are indicated by open circles. (C) Detailed view of SRP72-RBD and its binding to SRP RNA. Residues R576 and W577 are clamped between nucleotides C111 and C242. Adenine A231 stacks onto R581. The lysine-rich region (K557-K559) binds to the 5e-loop and the C4-helix aligns with the 5f-loop. (D) The extended loop of SRP68-RBD (100-111) forms a β-hairpin motif and binds mainly to the backside of the 5f-loop and the SRP RNA three-way junction. The interaction includes a π-stacking between phenylalanine F108 and adenine A172.

The lysine-rich motif involved in RNA-binding (21) is only partially ordered (K557,K559) probably due to the limited length of our RNA construct (Supplementary Figure S9). Binding studies showed that the lysine-rich motif is needed for SRP72 binding to the SRP RNA (Supplementary Figure S9). The SRP72-Pfam motif (572PDPERWLPMRER583) is central to the interaction. The strictly conserved motif is highly flexible and electron density is weak. Most prominent, the strictly conserved tryptophan (W577) inserts into the 5e-loop, which introduces a kink into SRP RNA (Figure 4B and C). Together with the neighboring strictly conserved arginine R576, the two residues form a continuous stack with the nucleobases of C111 and C242 thus stabilizing the 5e-loop geometry. Arginine R581 stabilizes nu-
The 5f-loop is opened by the ARM of SRP68-RBD (23), suggesting an allosteric stimulation of SRP72-RBD binding (2-fold) as shown by our ITC data. Interestingly, while in the S domain structure without SRP72-RBD two nucleotides (A231 and G232) were found to be bulged-out, in the structure with SRP72-RBD guanine G232 is base-pairing with A19 underlining the previously observed plasticity of the 5f-loop (23). At the C-terminus following the Pfam motif, a positively charged helix (C4-helix) aligns with the 5f-loop region (Figure 4C) and together with nucleotide G111 mediates a crystal contact with SRP RNA (Supplementary Figure S8). A strictly conserved 608QG604 motif locates to the C-terminal end of the helix. The very C-terminus beyond the C4-helix and the caspase cleavage site (D614) is intrinsically disordered and not visible in the quaternary complex. The C-terminus of SRP72-RBD is located close to an extended SRP68-RBD loop (F100-K111) that forms a β-hairpin inserting in the three-way junction of SRP RNA connecting helices 5, 6 and 8 (Figure 4A and D). An exposed phenylalanine (F108) within this loop stacks onto an RNA U-turn as predicted (23).

The 5e-loop constitutes a potassium-binding RNA kink-turn

The 5e-loop has been described as a putative ‘kink-turn’ (K-turn) motif (21) that represents an extremely widespread RNA-folding unit present in ribosomal RNAs and riboswitches (53). Classical K-turns comprise a three-nucleotide bulge flanked by two highly conserved sheared A-G pairs on the 3′ side (non-canonical NC-stem) and a Watson-Crick helix (canonical C-stem) at the 5′ side of the loop (Figure 5A) (54). The 5e-loop does not contain the A-G pairs, and although resembling the canonical motif with three unpaired nucleotides (A240, U241 and C242), it significantly differs. Most strikingly, the 5e-loop is stabilized by the presence of a central potassium ion (Figure 5B), validated by cesium replacement (Supplementary Figure S7), which is not present in classical K-turns. We therefore define the 5e-loop geometry as ‘K+-turn’. The potassium locates to a central G:U wobble base pair starting the C-stem (U110-G243; -1b and -1n position in K-turn nomenclature (54)) and is coordinated by four oxygens of G109, U110, U241 and G243. The potassium bridges the NC- to the C-stem as in classical K-turns performed by the central adenines of the A-G pairs that are involved in A-minor motifs. C-stem superposition of the K+-turn with a classical K-turn indicates a diaminic opposed kinking of the RNA (Figure 5B). Although different in shape, most K-turns serve as protein binding sites with varying structures (53). In order to test the influence of the potassium ion for SRP72 binding, we exchanged the central G:U wobble for a standard G-C base pair (U110C mutant) to impair the potassium coordination sphere. ITC experiments revealed a twofold reduced binding affinity compared to the wild-type RNA (Kp of 427 nM, Table 2 and Supplementary Figure S5).

Strikingly, a respective SRP RNA kink of similar extent (∼50°) is also present in bacteria and archaea (55,56) despite the absence of SRP68/72 (Figure 5C). In an archaean structure, the three unpaired nucleotides are stacked into the loop (56) as typical for other SRP RNA bulge-loops in the absence of protein (23,51). The ligand sphere of potassium including the G-U wobble is present in archaea and according to sequence comparisons, the K+-turn might be a general feature of archaean, eukaryotic, as well as Gram-positive bacterial SRP RNA (57). In Gram-negative bacteria the 5e-loop corresponds to loop E, which has been determined as ‘primary docking site’ for the targeting complex formed by Ffh (SRP54 in archaea and eukaryotes) and its receptor FtsY (SRα in eukaryotes) (7) (Figure 5C). The integrity of this ‘distal site’ has been found to be crucial for the GTPase-activating function of SRP RNA on the SRP GTPase heterodimer in E. coli (7,8). Our data showing a conserved SRP RNA kink indicate the general conservation of targeting complex activation by RNA. Such mechanism is supported by the conservation of the respective RNA interaction sites in the targeting complexes from all kingdoms of life (6).

The SRP72-RBD in the interface of SRP/RNC complexes

In order to analyze the function of SRP72-RBD for RNC interactions, the quaternary S domain structure was fitted into electron density maps of eukaryotic SRP-RNC and SRP/SR-RNC complexes previously obtained by cryo-electron microscopy (24,58) (Figure 6A). In these structures, SRP binds across the ribosomal surface, spanning from the polypeptide exit tunnel on the 60S subunit to the elongation factor binding site in the ribosomal subunit interface. The placement of our S domain structure suggests an intriguing function for SRP68-RBD and SRP72-RBD in guiding and modulating the SRP-RNC interaction at the C4-contact. The β-hairpin of SRP68-RBD in the SRP RNA three-way junction is in close contact with the 28S rRNA, an interaction that due to limited local resolution of the cryo-EM structure escaped previous analyses. SRP72-RBD is not resolved in the cryo-EM structure, but threads between SRP and the ribosome and contributes to the interaction with its PDP motif and the C-terminal C4-helix. The PDP motif is in proximity to an extended β-hairpin of the ribosomal protein RpL3 and apparently confers rigidity to the interaction. The C4-helix contains multiple positive charges (K590/591, K594/595) and is involved in a protein–RNA contact in our S domain crystal (Figure 4C and Supplementary Figure S8). On the ribosome, it reads out the 3′-terminal three-way junction of 28S rRNA (Figure 6B). However, rigid-body docking of the SRP onto the ribosome would result in a clash of the C4-helix with 28S rRNA (Supplementary Figure S10) suggesting a flexible tethering of the C4-helix within the S domain. Upon SR binding, eukaryotic SRP rotates at the C4-contact in respect to the ribosome (58). The rotation places the C4-helix within the ribosomal three-way junction in a very similar way as in our protein–RNA crystal contact. Therefore, we assume this contact to mimic the physiological C4-contact. The bulged-out nucleotide A231, which is stabilized by R581, contributes to the C4-contact possibly forming a base pair with the 28S rRNA (Figure 6C). SR dependent rotation of SRP induces a switch of this RNA–RNA contact and results in replacement of nucleotide A231 by G113. Thus, the C4-contact includes protein–protein, protein–RNA and RNA–RNA interactions (Supplementary Figure S10). It is main-
Figure 5. Comparison of K-turns with SRP RNA 5e-loops. (A) The consensus sequence for classical K-turns, the K-turn kt-7 of *Haloarcula marismortui* and SRP RNA 5e-loops of representative members of all three kingdoms of life are shown. In the classical K-turn, the C-stem is formed by two G-C basepairs (red) and lies on the 5′ end of the loop composed of three bulged-out nucleotides (orange, green). Following on the 3′ site a conserved GA/AG (blue) pair forms the NC-stem, which is the most structurally conserved motif in K-turns and which is absent in the 5e-loop of the SRP RNAs. (B) Zoom into the human 5e-loop (green) and the classical K-turn kt-7 of *H. marismortui* (54) (PDB 1jj2, gray). The conserved tryptophan W577 (sand) inserts into the 5e-loop and hydrogen bonds are formed in the presence of a potassium ion between L2, 1b, 1n and 2n (purple). In the classical K-turn, the two adenines of the NC-stem form hydrogen bonds (purple) with the C-stem (-1n and L1) leading to a kink of approximately 120°. In contrast the SRP RNA 5e-loop kinks to the diametral opposite side of the RNA with approximately 50°. In both cases L3 (green) is bulged-out completely. (C) SRP RNA kinking at the 5e-loop region is present in all kingdoms of life (PDB 2xxa and 3ndb) (55,56). The similar kinking is highlighted by black lines. The kink provides an essential docking platform for the activated targeting complex consisting of the SRP GTPases Ffh (SRP54) and FtsY (SRα). The flexible NG domain (indicated by *) in 3ndb was removed for clarity.
Figure 6. SRP–RNC interactions at the C4-contact. (A) Fit of the quaternary S domain complex into the cryo-EM density of a mammalian SRP–RNC complex (EMD-3037(29)). SRP72-RBD, highlighted by the circle in sand, tethers the S domain on the ribosome (28S rRNA and protein Rpl3) at the C4-contact. Large parts of SRP68/72 including the PBDs are flexible and therefore, without density. Color coding as in Figure 1. (B) The interaction of the SRP72-RBD C4-helix with the 3′-terminal three-way junction of 28S rRNA (gray) based on cryo-EM data for SRP/SR-RNC (58) and the human ribosome (PDB 4ugo). The rotation of SRP on the ribosome upon SR interaction requires flexible tethering of the ribosome-bound C4-helix (indicated by a sphere). (C) Rotation of SRP RNA induced by SR binding coincides with a switch of ribosomal contacts from the 5f-loop A231 (left panel) to G113 (right panel). Putative ribosomal base-pairing partners are indicated (C4990 and U4991). In the SR bound state the 5f-loop is exposed, ready to activate the targeting complex. SRP72 is omitted for clarity.

SRP72, the largest SRP protein, forms a stable heterodimer with SRP68 and is essential for SRP function. It is the only SRP protein, which is post-translationally modified by MAPK phosphorylation and contains a C-terminal caspase cleavage site. Caspase cleavage during apoptosis is discussed as a regulatory step for SRP-dependent protein secretion. Even though SRP72 is biochemically and pathologically well described, its structure and its functional implications have stayed enigmatic.

The presented crystal structures close this gap and show in detail how the N-terminal TPR domain of SRP72 enfolds a conserved C-terminal peptide of SRP68 and how SRP72 interacts with the potassium-bound 5e-loop of SRP RNA. Strikingly, SRP72 binding to the 5e-loop influences the adjacent 5f-loop, with a bulged-out nucleotide providing a binding platform for the ribosomal C4-contact. Docking of the S domain structure into cryo-EM densities of entire SRP/SR–RNC complexes reveals the C4-contact to be maintained during the SRP cycle and that SRP68, SRP72 and SRP RNA contribute to the ribosomal contact. In addition the kink-turn of the 5e-loop seems to be present in all domains of life providing a binding platform for the targeting complex. On the basis of this work we propose the following scenario for the human SRP targeting cycle (Figure 7): Without SR, SRP72-RBD presents the 5f-loop (A231) to ribosomal RNA and the flexibly linked C4-helix tethers SRP onto the ribosome. Upon SR binding and docking of the targeting complex to the 5e-loop, SRP rotates and the 5f-loop becomes available for SRP GTPase activation as shown for the bacterial system (7,8) potentially involving two-bulged out nucleotides (A231 and G232) (23). The bulged-out nucleotide (G113) of SRP RNA takes over the RNA–RNA tertiary interaction and the SRP-ribosome contact is maintained in contrast to Gram-negative bacteria (59). This might explain why ribosomes are essential for the GTPase activation in eukaryotes in contrast to prokaryotes (60). Although the C4-helix of SRP72 stays in place, its conserved C-terminus might aid in GTP-hydrolysis. This idea is supported by the activation of an SRP GTPase dimer by a glutamine residue located in an α-helix of a protein partner as observed for the third SRP GTPase FlhF (involved in flagella biosynthesis) (61,62).

The caspase cleavage and phosphorylation sites within SRP72 immediately follow the C4-helix and would come in close proximity to the re-localized targeting complex. Positively-charged surface patches on the targeting complex (6) are readily available to bind to phosphorylated serine residues within the SRP72 tail. As caspase cleavage removes the C-terminal tail, SRP targeting would be clearly impaired. This model matches with data on SRP72 cleavage in apoptosis, where protein secretion is indeed decreased.
(17,63) and in disease by generating an SRP72 epitope for autoimmune response finally resulting in myopathies or lupus (17,18).

In summary, although SRP is a conserved and ancient machinery in all kingdoms of life, its complexity in structure and regulation increased significantly during evolution. Our data underline that all players need to be carefully characterized on the atomic level to finally understand the mechanism of the whole machinery.

ACCESS NUMBERS
Coordinates and structure factors are deposited in the RCSB protein data bank (PDB) with the accession numbers 5M72 and 5M73.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
We acknowledge Friederike Schreiter and Jonathan Paulitz for technical assistance. We thank Yvonne Hackmann for human cDNA preparation; Gunter Stier for providing the pcAS28 plasmid. We are grateful to Ed Hurt for sharing plasmids pG4ADN111, pG4ADC111, pG4BDN22 and pG4BDC22. We thank Jürgen Kopp and Claudia Siegmann from the BZH/Cluster of Excellence:CellNetworks crystalization platform. We acknowledge access to the beamlines at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF) in Grenoble and the support of the beamline scientists. I.S. is an investigator of the Cluster of Excellence:CellNetworks.

FUNDING
Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) [SF638 to I.S., K.W. GRK1188 and the Leibniz programme to I.S.]. Funding for open access charge: DFG (Leibniz Programme).

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES
1. Elvekrog,M.M. and Walter,P. (2015) Dynamics of co-translational protein targeting. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol., 29, 79–86.
2. Nyathi,Y., Wilkinson,B.M. and Pool,M.R. (2013) Co-translational targeting and translocation of proteins to the endoplasmic reticulum. Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Res., 1833, 2392–2402.
3. Voorhees,R.M. and Hogle,R.S. (2016) Toward a structural understanding of co-translational protein translocation. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol., 41, 91–99.
4. Egea,P.F., Shan,S.-O., Napetschnig,J., Savage,D.F., Walter,P. and Stroud,R.M. (2004) Substrate twinning activates the signal recognition particle and its receptor. Nature, 427, 215–221.
5. Focia,P.J., Shepotinovskaya,I., Seider,J. and Freymann,D.M. (2004) Heterodimeric GTPase core of the SRP targeting complex. Science, 303, 373–377.
6. Wild,K., Bange,G., Motijunas,D., Kribelbauer,J., Hendricks,A., Seginz,B., Wade,R.C. and Sinning,I. (2016) Structural basis for conserved regulation and adaptation of the signal recognition particle targeting complex. J. Mol. Biol., 426, 2880–2897.
7. Shen,K., Wang,Y., Hwang Fu,Y.H., Zhang,Q., Feigon,J. and Shan,S.O. (2013) Molecular mechanism of GTPase activation at the signal recognition particle (SRP) RNA distal end. J. Biol. Chem., 288, 36385–36397.
8. Voigts-Hoffmann,F., Schmitz,N., Shen,K., Shan,S. ou, Ataide,S.F. and Ban,N. (2013) The structural basis of FtsY recruitment and GTPase activation by SRP RNA. Mol. Cell, 52, 643–654.
9. Walter,P., Gilmore,R. and Blobel,G. (1984) Protein translocation across the endoplasmic reticulum. Cell, 38, 5–8.
10. Wolin,S.L. and Walter,P. (1989) Signal recognition particle mediates a transient elongation arrest of preprolactin in reticulocyte lysate. J. Cell Biol., 109, 2617–2622.
11. Wild,K., Sinning,I. and Cusack,S. (2001) Crystal structure of an early protein-RNA assembly complex of the signal recognition particle. Science, 294, 598–601.
12. Oubi̇lude,C., Kuglstatter,A., Jovine,L. and Nagai,K. (2002) Crystal structure of SRP19 in complex with the S domain of SRP RNA and its implication for the assembly of the signal recognition particle. Mol. Cell, 9, 1251–1261.
13. Liütcke,H., Prehn,S., Ashford,A.J., Remus,M., Frank,R. and Dobberstein,B. (1993) Assembly of the 68- and 72-kD proteins of signal recognition particle with 7S RNA. J. Cell Biol., 121, 977–985.
14. Siegel,V. and Walter,P. (1986) Each of the activities of signal recognition particle (SRP) is contained within a distinct domain: analysis of biochemical mutants of SRP. Cell, 52, 39–49.
15. Grosshans,H., Deinert,K., Hurt,E. and Simos,G. (2001) Biogenesis of the signal recognition particle (SRP) involves import of SRP proteins into the nucleolus, assembly with the SRP-RNA, and Xpo1p-mediated export. J. Cell Biol., 153, 745–761.
16. Lustig,Y. Goldshmidt,H., Uliel,S. and Michaeli,S. (2005) The trypansomosome brueci signal recognition particle lacks the Ala-domain-binding proteins: purification and functional analysis of its binding proteins by RNAi. J. Cell Sci., 118, 4551–4562.
17. Utz,P.J., Hotteleit,M., Le,T.M., Kim,S.J., Geiger,M.E., Geiger,M.E., Van Vrooij,W.J. and Anderson,P. (1998) The 72-kDa component of signal recognition particle is cleaved during apoptosis. J. Biol. Chem., 273, 35362–35370.
18. Hengstman,G.J.D., ter Laak,H.J., Vee Egberts,W.T.M., Lundberg,I.E., Moutsopoulos,H.M., Vencovsky,J., Doria,A., Mosca,M., van Vrooij,W.J. and van Engelen,B.G.M. (2006) Anti-signal recognition particle autoantibodies: marker of a necrotising myopathy. Ann. Rheum. Dis., 65, 1635–1638.
19. Kirwan,M., Walne,A.J., Plagnol,V., Velangi,M., Ho,A., Hossain,U., Vulliamy,T. and Doku,I. (2012) Exome sequencing identifies autosomal-dominant SRP72 mutations associated with familial aplasia and myelodysplasia. Ann. Hum. Genet., 90, 888–892.
20. Iakhaieva,E., Bhuiyan,S.H., Yin,J. and Zwieb,C. (2006) Protein SRP72 of human signal recognition particle: identification of the RNA and SRP72 binding domains. Protein Sci., 15, 1290–1302.
21. Iakhaieva,E., Iakhaieva,A. and Zwieb,C. (2010) Identification of amino acid residues in protein SRP72 required for binding to RNA. BMC Mol. Biol., 11, 83.
22. Menichelli,E., Isel,C., Oubi̇lude,C. and Nagai,K. (2007) Protein-induced conformational changes of RNA during the assembly of human signal recognition particle. J. Mol. Biol., 367, 187–203.
23. Grotwinkel,J.T., Wild,K., Segnitz,B. and Sinning,I. (2014) SRP RNA remodeling by SRP68 explains its role in protein translocation. Science, 344, 101–104.
24. Halic,M., Becker,T., Pool,M.R., Spain,C.M.T., Grassucci,R.A., Frank,J. and Beckmann,R. (2004) Structure of the signal recognition particle interacting with the elongation-arrested ribosome. Nature, 427, 808–814.
25. Iakhaieva,E., Hinck,C.S., Hinck,A.P. and Zwieb,C. (2009) Characterization of the SRP68/72 interface of human signal recognition particle by systematic site-directed mutagenesis. Protein Sci., 18, 2183–2195.
26. D’Andrea,L.D. and Regan,L. (2003) TPR proteins: The versatile helix. Trends Biochem. Sci., 28, 655–662.
27. Zeytuni,N. and Zarivach,R. (2012) Structural and functional characterization of the tetra-trico-peptide repeat, a protein interaction module. Structure, 20, 397–405.
28. Beckert,B., Kedrov,T., Sohmen,D. and Stahlberg,H. Wilson,D.N. and Beckmann,R. (2015) Translational arrest by a prokaryotic signal recognition particle is mediated by RNA interactions. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol., 22, 767–773.
