The role of circadian clock pathways in viral replication
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Abstract
The daily oscillations of biological and behavioural processes are controlled by the circadian clock circuitry that drives the physiology of the organism and, in particular, the functioning of the immune system in response to infectious agents. Circadian rhythmicity is known to affect both the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of pharmacological agents and vaccine-elicited immune responses. A better understanding of the role circadian pathways play in the regulation of virus replication will impact our clinical management of these diseases. This review summarises the experimental and clinical evidence on the interplay between different viral pathogens and our biological clocks, emphasising the importance of continuing research on the role played by the biological clock in virus-host organism interaction.
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Introduction
Almost all organisms are aware of the time of day and respond through an endogenous circadian clock, with an approximate cycle of 24 h. These clocks exist in virtually all tissues and are hierarchically organised. Upon sensing and integrating light signalling, the central pacemaker located in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) of the hypothalamus in the brain aligns its time to the external day/night cycles and provides neuronal and hormonal signals to synchronise the clocks in peripheral tissues [1]. At the cellular level, a molecular clock operates and sustains circadian oscillations in a wide range of cellular functions involving gene transcription, protein translation, intracellular signalling and metabolism, and tissue-specific functions [2]. Many aspects of our physiology show circadian rhythmicity, including host innate and adaptive immune response to infection or vaccination [3].

In mammals, the molecular clock is facilitated by a network of transcription factors and repressors that drive daily rhythmic gene expression. Circadian gene expression is generated by a transcriptional-translational feedback loop (TTFL) where the transcriptional activators CLOCK (circadian locomotor output cycles kaput) and BMAL1 (brain and muscle ARNT-like 1) bind to E-box motifs to drive the expression of the repressor protein period (PER1-3) and cryptochrome (CRY1-2), which inhibit CLOCK/BMAL1-dependent transcription. The transcription repressors REV-ERB α/β (reverse erythroblastosis virus alpha and beta) and activator RORα (retinoic acid-related [RAR] orphan receptor-alpha) provide an additional feedback loop to fine-tune the clock mechanism [2]. Recent studies report a disconnect between the rhythmic expression of mRNA and proteins [4, 5], highlighting a role for posttranscriptional and posttranslational pathways in defining protein activity in the circadian regulation of multiple cellular functions (Fig. 1). Over the last 5 years, several studies have shown a role for circadian pathways to influence viral infection by regulating a myriad of host factors that are essential for their replication [6, 7].

Viruses are obligate parasites, which rely on the host’s resources to replicate and spread. A typical viral life cycle starts with the engagement of the virus particle with a host factor(s), normally termed ‘viral receptors’ expressed...
on the surface of their target cells [8]. Following particle internalisation and disassembly of the viral capsid, the viral genome (RNA or DNA) is released into the cell to initiate the replicative cycle by exploiting the host transcriptional and translational machinery (Fig. 2). Given their absolute dependence on the host for replication, one may speculate that viruses have adapted to anticipate the rhythmic cellular environment and to exploit the predictability that circadian rhythms lend to our physiology. Whether this provides an evolutionary advantage likely depends on the viral strategy for persistence at the population level. For example, ‘hit-and-run’ viruses such as influenza A are best served by short replication cycles that produce new viruses at mucosal surfaces for onwards transmission. Whereas ‘life-long’ replication strategies seen with herpesviruses or hepatitis B virus need to maintain the reservoir of infected cells while evading or dampening host immune responses. One option for such persistent viruses is to couple their viral gene expression to the circadian TTFL.

Fig. 1 Host cell circadian rhythms and viral infection. A central master clock in the brain aligns sleep–wake and fasting-feeding cycles with the rotation of the Earth on its axis. The circadian clock exists in all tissues of the body, composing a network of timekeepers to anticipate rhythmic environmental changes. Cells have endogenous molecular clocks that operate autonomously, which enable them to keep track of time. In mammals, the molecular clock is orchestrated by several transcriptional-translational feedback loops. A disconnect between the rhythmic mRNA and proteins highlights a role for posttranscriptional and posttranslational pathways in defining protein activity in the circadian regulation of multiple cellular processes that are essential for viral replication. Created with BioRender.com
The impact of the circadian clock in regulating innate immunity against bacterial infections was more recently reported to influence adaptive immunity against viruses, an area, which has been extensively reviewed elsewhere [9–16]. Here, we summarise the findings of recent reports studying the interplay between viruses and our biological clocks at different tissue sites and discuss key areas for future research.

Viruses infecting the central nervous system and epidermis

Herpes simplex virus (HSV) is a sexually transmitted pathogen that is prevalent worldwide. HSV-1 causes cold sores and HSV-2 causes most cases of genital herpes. Edgar et al. observed a significant enhancement of the replication of Murid Herpesvirus-4 (MuHV-4) and HSV-1 in BMAL1 knockout mice [17]. In wild-type animals, inoculation of MuHV-4 at the beginning of the resting phase resulted in a higher viral load compared to inoculation at the start of the active phase. The same study showed that MuHV-4 infection-induced BMAL1 expression regardless of the time of infection, suggesting that herpesviruses may influence or even override the host cellular clock [17]. Interestingly, HSV-1 was reported to infect the suprachiasmatic nuclei in CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell depleted mice, providing a potential mechanism for HSV to perturb the circadian clock [18]. Kalamvoki and Roizman showed that the HSV-encoded protein ICP0 interacts with the CLOCK:BMAL1 histone acetyltransferase complex and silencing of clock or expression of clock mutants reduced viral replication [19, 20], further evidence supporting the interaction of herpesviruses with circadian pathways. Matsuzawa et al. showed that HSV-2 infection was less severe in mice infected at the rest phase compared to the active phase and expression of the HSV-2 entry receptor Nectin1 (Pvrl1) is rhythmic and regulated by CLOCK binding to its promoter region [21]. Interestingly, the same study showed that the dose of acyclovir required to prevent HSV-2 infection was four times higher during the active phase compared to the resting phase [21].

Respiratory infections

Human lung diseases frequently show circadian variation in symptom severity and respiratory function and BMAL1 has been demonstrated to regulate respiratory inflammation [22]. Influenza A virus (IAV) is a leading cause of respiratory mortality and morbidity, and the role of circadian pathways in IAV infection was explored [23, 24] and reported that loss of BMAL1 in mice resulted in greater asthma-like airway changes and worse acute viral bronchitis. Furthermore, survival was higher when mice were infected before their active phase compared to the resting phase. Sengupta et al. did not observe differences in viral load when sampling infected mice at different time points. Infection at the onset of the active phase led to increased lung inflammation, independent of the viral burden, suggesting that more severe outcomes...
following influenza infection are mediated by time-of-day dependent regulation of host tolerance and immune activation pathways. A recent study reported that neonatal hyperoxia abolished the circadian-mediated time-of-day protection from IAV in mice [25]. Deletion of BMAL1 in alveolar type 2 (AT2) cells recapitulated the increase in IAV-associated mortality observed with the hyperoxia-exposed animals, demonstrating a role for the clock in alveolar type 2 cells to mediate the long-term effects of early-life exposure to the lung.

It is now widely recognised that the immune system is gated by the circadian clock [26], and a recent report demonstrated significant daytime variation in multiple immune parameters in >300,000 participants in the UK Biobank, highlighting the diurnal nature of innate and adaptive immune responses [27]. Phillips et al. reported that vaccination in the morning induced greater antibody responses to both hepatitis A and influenza vaccines in human participants [28]. A subsequent larger randomised trial examined the time-of-day impact on the antibody response to the annual influenza vaccination in the elderly showed that morning vaccination (9–11 a.m.) increased viral specific antibody responses compared with afternoon vaccination (3–5 p.m.) [29]. These findings suggest that modulating the time of vaccination may provide a simple and practical measure to enhance vaccine efficacy and to provide greater protection. An additional influenza vaccination study reported that the time of sample collection rather than vaccination had a more significant effect on antibody responses [30].

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2), the causative agent of COVID-19, has affected millions of people to date. Cross-disciplinary approaches and collaborative efforts have led to an unprecedented speed in developing novel therapies and vaccines to tackle the COVID-19 pandemic. Mapping of the interactome of SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins identified 66 druggable host factors [31], and 30% of these host genes are rhythmic in the mouse liver [32], suggesting a potential circadian regulation of SARS-CoV-2 replication, and chronotherapies may be beneficial in treating COVID-19 [32, 33]. McNaughton et al. investigated more than 30,000 polymerase chain reaction (PCR) tests of nasopharyngeal swab samples and observed a twofold variation in the frequency of positive results across a 24-h period, with the peak of positivity in the early afternoon (around 2 p.m.) [34]. While evidence from other studies monitoring viral RNA at different times of day is required to consolidate this observation, it is important to remember these diagnostic assays measure SARS-CoV-2 RNA and not infectious virus [35] and future studies should assess the circadian dependency of infectious virus in the upper respiratory tract to interpret the impact on transmission rates.

Recently, Zhuang et al. reported a role for BMAL1 in modulating the susceptibility of lung epithelial cells to SARS-CoV-2 infection [36]. In this study, BMAL1 silencing resulted in a reduced expression of the viral receptor, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), and less viral entry into lung epithelial cells. However, since the factors and mechanisms involved in SARS-CoV-2 entry are still being identified [37–39] and an extensive range of host pathways are known to be regulated by BMAL1, it is likely that further circadian-dependent or -independent pathways contribute to the SARS-CoV-2 entry beyond ACE2. The study further showed that silencing or pharmacological inhibition of BMAL1 induced transcription of a range of interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) which possess broad antiviral activity [40]. An independent study showed time-of-day differences in ISG transcription following pharmacological activation of the type I IFN response in mouse skin models [41]. Whether baseline IFN expression and ISG protein levels in peripheral tissues exhibit a circadian rhythm remains to be established. Further research is needed to determine if the magnitude of host type I/III IFN responses varies depending on the time of day when cells encounter a virus and if this impacts the replication or disease progression.

Although SARS-CoV-2 primarily infects the upper and lower respiratory tract, several lines of evidence have demonstrated viral replication within intestinal epithelial cells in the gut [38, 42, 43]. Given the role of the gut microbiota in regulating immune function and the biological clock at both systemic and local levels [44–46], and that SARS-CoV-2 infection can perturb the gut microbiota [47], it is plausible that improving gut microbiota diversity by personalised nutrition and supplementation could be beneficial to reduce disease severity, especially in elderly and immune-compromised patients.

Vaccine development against SARS-CoV-2 has progressed at an unprecedented speed and several vaccines have been approved that have slowed the incidence of new infections and reduced disease severity [48, 49]. A recent observational study of >2700 health care workers showed increased anti-Spike antibody levels in the afternoon compared to the morning in subjects receiving either mRNA or adenovirus-based vaccines [50]. This contrasts to an independent report of a small cohort of health care workers (n = 66) immunised with an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine, that showed increased anti-spike antibodies in participants vaccinated in the morning [51]. The differences between these two COVID-19 vaccine studies may reflect the different vaccine formulations where Zhang et al. studied an inactivated whole-virus immunogen that will likely induce polytypic responses to a range of SARS-CoV-2 encoded proteins. When comparing the effect of administration time on antibody responses elicited to IAV and SARS-CoV-2 vaccines we need to consider the cohorts under study,
particularly with regard to immune status; where responses to influenza vaccine will involve the stimulation of memory responses, whereas the health care worker cohorts vaccinated in early 2021 will have involved seronegative participants. It is important to recognise the limitations of these vaccine studies where the sleep and shift-work patterns of the participants that are known to influence vaccine responses [52–54], were not available. Furthermore, neither cohort included children or high-risk groups, such as the elderly or immunocompromised. It is worth noting that a recent study of health care workers demonstrated that participants who perform shift work are associated with positive COVID-19 tests compared with those who do not perform shift work [55]. Additional studies are warranted to evaluate the circadian regulation of natural and vaccine-induced SARS-CoV-2 immunity.

**Hepatotropic infections**

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) is a globally important pathogen with over 270 million people chronically infected worldwide and a leading cause of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) [56]. Current treatments only suppress viral replication and are not curative due to the persistence of viral DNA in hepatocytes. Approximately 20% of genes in the liver are expressed in a circadian pattern [57], suggesting that the virus has evolved to persist and to cope with rhythmic metabolic changes in the liver. A recent study reported that the viral receptor that is essential for HBV entry (sodium taurocholate cotransporting polypeptide—NTCP) displayed a circadian pattern in synchronised human hepatocytes. Importantly, BMAL1 activated HBV transcription via direct binding to the viral genome. Pharmacological inhibition of BMAL1 using REV-ERB ligands inhibited HBV transcription and production of viral antigens in vitro and in vivo in a human liver chimeric mouse model [58]. Interestingly, multiple E-box motifs are conserved among members of the Hepadnaviridae family, consistent with an evolutionarily conserved role for the circadian pathway in regulating this family of small DNA viruses.

Given the breadth of processes under circadian control, viruses could efficiently induce a cellular environment more conducive to replication by targeting the circadian clock. An early study reported that overexpression of the HBV regulatory X protein (HBx) perturbed core circadian gene expression [59]. Furthermore, several core clock gene transcripts were perturbed (reduced BMAL1 and increased REV-ERBs) in the chronic hepatitis B liver compared with uninfected individuals [58]. Since several studies have reported an association of HCC with disrupted circadian expression [60, 61], it is tempting to speculate a causative relationship between HBV-specific clock perturbation and its pathogenicity which warrants further investigations.

In contrast to HBV, HCV is an RNA virus, which replicates in the cytoplasm of human hepatocytes; consequently, its interplay with the hepatic clock will differ from HBV. An interesting clinical observation in HCV patients with end-stage liver failure receiving a liver transplant showed that the viral rebound was faster when the surgery was performed in the morning compared to the afternoon [62], suggesting a time-of-day dependency of HCV replication. A further study reported that BMAL1 and REV-ERBα influenced several steps in the HCV life cycle, including viral particle entry into hepatocytes and RNA genome replication. Deletion of BMAL1 by CRISPR knockout and overexpression or activation of REV-ERB with synthetic agonists inhibited the replication of HCV and the related flaviviruses dengue and Zika, which share the same lipid signalling pathways for their replication [63]. Benegiamo et al. showed that HCV core protein expression reduced PER2 and CRY2 protein level in vitro models [64]. Since perturbation of circadian pathways in the liver may contribute to liver disease [65], further studies using a replicative virus and in vivo models would be necessary to conclude an HCV-induced circadian perturbation.

**Viruses infecting the immune system**

Human immunodeficiency virus 1 (HIV-1) is a life-threatening pathogen that lacks either a curative therapy or vaccine. In HIV-infected individuals on suppressive antiretroviral therapy (ART), the viral genome persists in long-lived latently infected CD4+ T-cells [66]. An association between peripheral viral RNA levels in HIV-infected individuals on ART and the time of day of sampling has been demonstrated and BMAL1 expression was associated with an increased level of unspliced viral RNAs [67, 68]. In the same study, a BMAL1 binding E-box motif was identified in the long terminal repeat (LTR) of the viral genome and ectopic expression of BMAL1 and CLOCK enhanced LTR activity, this phenotype was lost when the E-box was mutated [67]. An independent study demonstrated that genetic silencing of the transcription repressor REV-ERB increases HIV-LTR activity and that pharmacological activation of REV-ERB, which represses BMAL1, reduced viral replication in primary CD4+ T-cells and macrophages [69]. Interestingly, motif analysis of the HIV-1 LTR uncovered additional circadian regulatory elements including the RORE (ROR response element) and the glucocorticoid response element across diverse HIV subtypes. Since some of these clock motifs overlap with the binding sites of other host factors, which also drive HIV-1 transcription, it is tempting to speculate that the clock components may perform “shiftwork” in place of other host transcription factors to drive rhythmic...
HIV transcription. In contrast, the HIV-encoded gene viral protein transactivator of transcription (Tat) has been shown to reset the circadian rhythm in vitro and in vivo at clinically relevant concentrations through the N-methyl-d-aspartate receptor pathway [70]. The role of Tat protein in regulating the circadian rhythm was further demonstrated in an inducible Tat transgenic mouse system, where a decrease of circadian wheel-running and locomotor activity was observed compared with control mice [71].

Conclusions and future directions

All viruses must co-opt the host cell translational machinery to synthesise proteins required for new particle assembly. Under physiological conditions, the cellular proteome is coordinated by mammalian target-of-rapamycin complexes (mTORC), which undergo posttranslational modification to balance protein synthesis and degradation to maintain homeostasis [5]. Recent reports showing that BMAL1, PER, and CRY modulate mTORC activity [72, 73] and their genetic ablation perturbs protein homeostasis [74, 75], highlights a role for this pathway in the rhythmic expression of proteasomal activity and biosynthetic resources such as ATP and amino acid availability [76–80]. It is interesting to note that many viruses subvert mTORC signalling to promote and sustain viral protein synthesis [81]; however, the influence of endogenous oscillations in mTORC activity and stress responses during infection remains to be explored.

Circadian factors and timings have been shown to modulate every aspect of life and it is unsurprising that this is true for viral infections. The emerging picture of time dependence in the replication of almost all viruses studied to date, whether they are DNA or RNA based and mediate acute or chronic infection, suggests that the circadian influences on infection are ubiquitous. The diurnal variation observed in endogenous oscillations in mTORC activity and biosynthetic resources such as ATP and amino acid availability [76–80] is interesting to note that many viruses subvert mTORC signalling to promote and sustain viral protein synthesis [81]; however, the influence of endogenous oscillations in mTORC activity and stress responses during infection remains to be explored.
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