Surface-directed and bulk spinodal decomposition compete to decide the morphology of bimetallic nanoparticles
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An embedded-domain phase-field formalism is used for studying phase transformation pathways in bimetallic nanoparticles (BNPs). Competition of bulk and surface-directed spinodal decomposition processes and their interplay with capillarity are identified as the main determinants of BNP morphology. The former is characterized by an effective bulk driving force $\Delta f$ which increases with decreasing temperature, while the latter manifests itself through a balance of interfacial energies captured by the contact angle $\theta$. The simulated morphologies, namely, core-shell, Janus and inverse core-shell, cluster into distinct regions of the $\Delta f - \theta$ space. Variation of $\theta$ with $\Delta f$ in the Ag-Cu alloy system is computed as a function of temperature using a CALPHAD approach in which surface energies are estimated from a modified Butler equation. This $\theta - \Delta f$ trajectory for Ag-Cu, when superimposed on the morphology map, enables the prediction of different morphological transitions as a function of temperature. Therefore, the study establishes a unique thermodynamic framework coupled with phase-field simulations for predicting and tailoring nanoparticle morphology through a variation of processing temperature.

INTRODUCTION

Properties of bimetallic nanoparticles (BNPs) used in diverse fields such as catalysis, photonics, spintronics and biomedical-sensing [1-3] depend crucially on their morphology. Core-shell (CS) and Janus are the most commonly reported morphologies: CS morphology consists of an outer shell of the lower surface energy component surrounding a core of the other component, while Janus is characterized by the two components forming two opposite faces of a particle with their common interface extending to the surface. An inverse core-shell (ICS) morphology, where the higher surface energy component forms the shell, has also been observed in a few cases [4,5].

A fundamental understanding of morphological development in BNPs is crucial for tailoring their properties. First principles [6] and atomistic [7] simulations, as well as classical thermodynamics [8] have been employed to find the lowest energy morphology of BNPs. However, these approaches generally do not address kinetic aspects of morphological development. Since many commonly used BNP systems like Ag-Cu, Ag-Ni, Au-Co, Co-Cu, etc. exhibit solid-state immiscibility, spinodal decomposition (SD) presents a likely kinetic pathway for morphology development in BNPs [9].

Phase-field models have been employed very successfully for studying microstructure development in bulk immiscible systems systems using the Fourier spectral method [10]. For finite systems with complex geometries, embedding the system of interest in a larger computational domain has proven to be an effective strategy for dealing with the non-periodicity of the domain of interest [11,12]. In addition to Dirichlet, Neumann and Robin boundary conditions, Yu et al. developed a methodology [13] to impose a contact angle boundary condition for studying phase transformations in contact with an external surface. However, if one wishes to capture the in situ development of three-phase contacts (or their absence) on the surface, a different approach involving a modification of the system’s free energy can be adopted.

Recently, we presented such an embedded-domain phase-field model (EPFM) [15] using which correct contact angles could be naturally recovered without imposing them directly through boundary conditions. This model was used to understand how contact angle and particle size influenced the morphology development in BNPs. However, the role of temperature, which is an important parameter from a processing perspective, on BNP morphology was not addressed. Temperature, or equivalently, undercooling below a critical temperature, can exert a strong influence on the phase separation process by altering the driving force for bulk SD. In addition, in confined systems such as BNPs, surface directed SD (SDSD) presents another mode of microstructural evolution which may also be influenced by temperature through its effect on surface and interfacial energies. Interplay between these two alternative mechanisms and their interactions with capillarity give rise to different BNP morphologies. In this paper, we use EPFM to systematically investigate this process, and by carrying out further thermodynamic computations, identify the relevant physical parameter groups that can be used to understand and predict morphology selection in BNPs as a function of temperature.

MODEL FORMULATION

In the EPFM formalism [15] illustrated schematically in Fig. 1, a BNP system consisting of atomic species A and B is modeled by placing an isolated particle ($\bar{\beta}$) in an inert matrix ($\alpha$). A scaled composition field $c$ describes phase separation of an initially homogeneous $\bar{\beta}$ to a mixture of $\beta_1$ and $\beta_2$ phases with equilibrium compositions $c_{\beta_1}^e$ and $c_{\beta_2}^e$, respectively. We use $c = (X - X_{\beta_1}^e) / (X_{\beta_2}^e - X_{\beta_1}^e)$ for the scaling where $X$ denotes the mole fraction of B and $X_{\beta_i}$ is the equilibrium composition of phase $\beta_i$ at a given temperature. An auxiliary non-conserved phase-field variable $\phi$ is used to distinguish
We use the following forms of free energy for Molute diffusion by choosing given as:

\[ \mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{V_m} \int_{\Gamma} \left( f(c, \phi) + \kappa_\phi |\nabla \phi|^2 + \kappa_c |\nabla c|^2 \right) d\Gamma, \tag{1} \]

where \( V_m \) is the molar volume, \( f \) is bulk free energy density, \( \kappa_c \) and \( \kappa_\phi \) are gradient energy coefficients associated with \( c \) and \( \phi \), respectively, and \( \Gamma \) is the volume of the whole computational domain.

\[ f^\alpha = f^m_0 (c - c^e_\alpha)^2, \]
\[ f^\beta = f^m_0 (c - c^e_\beta_1)^2 (c - c^e_\beta_2)^2. \tag{2} \]

The scaled equilibrium compositions \( c^e_\alpha, c^e_\beta_1, c^e_\beta_2 \) are chosen to be 0.5, 0 and 1, respectively, and \( f^m_0 \) and \( f^m_0 \) are temperature-dependent constants. Evolution of composition field within the particle is described by the Cahn-Hilliard equation:

\[ \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (M(\phi) \nabla \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta c}). \tag{3} \]

We constrain the matrix to remain inert with respect to solute diffusion by choosing \( M(\phi) = M_c h(\phi) \), with \( M_c \) being the effective atomic mobility of solute in the particle. Eq. (3) is solved numerically using a semi-implicit Fourier spectral method. We non-dimensionalize all parameters in the equations using characteristic length \( L_c \), time \( \tau_c \) and energy \( E_c \). Details of the non-dimensionalization procedure are provided.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### A. Simulation of BNP morphologies

All simulations begin with an initially homogeneous, axisymmetric \( \beta \) particle with composition \( c = 0.5 \) quenched inside the miscibility gap. A small initial noise (\( \pm 1\% \)) mimicking thermal fluctuations is applied to trigger bulk SD. Figs. 2 and 3 present time snapshots of evolution of stable and metastable morphologies corresponding to representative parameter sets listed in Table I. These figures, green color represents the solute-poor \( \beta_1 \) phase, blue the solute-rich \( \beta_2 \) phase, and grey the undecomposed \( \beta \) with \( c = 0.5 \).

In all cases, SDSD precedes bulk-SD and forms alternate solute-rich and solute-lean rings which grow inward. When bulk SD starts in the interior of the particle, it creates intertwined compositionally modulated domains that interact with...
TABLE I: Variation of surface and interfacial energies and contact angle $\theta$ with model parameters. Figure numbers refer to snapshots of morphological evolution for the corresponding set of parameters.

| Set | $f_p^0$ | $\kappa_c$ | $\omega_0$ | $\sigma_1$ | $\sigma_2$ | $\sigma_{12}$ | $\theta$ | Figure no. |
|-----|---------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|--------|-----------|
| 1   | 8       | 1           | 12          | 4.19       | 3.09       | 0.94        | wetting | Figure 2a-2e |
| 2   | 6       | 2           | 3.75        | 1.77       | 1.44       | 1.15        | 74°    | Figure 2f-2j |
| 3   | 4       | 1           | 6           | 2.21       | 1.76       | 0.67        | 48°    | Figure 3a-3e |
| 4   | 2       | 8           | 6           | 2.24       | 1.86       | 1.33        | 73.5°  | Figure 3f-3j |
| 5   | 4       | 2           | 5           | 1.98       | 1.60       | 0.94        | 66°    | Figure 3k-3o |

the rings growing from the surface to the center. Depending on the chosen parameter set, domain coarsening proceeds along different pathways to produce the final BNP configuration. A Janus structure is formed when coarsening disrupts the continuity of ring-like structures at the surface, and CS or ICS results otherwise.

Figs. 2(a-e) is an example of a typical evolution pattern leading to a stable CS morphology (set 1 of Table I). It occurs irrespective of the driving force if the spontaneous wetting condition is satisfied (i.e., $\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 \geq \sigma_{12}$). For large $\theta$, if bulk driving force is sufficiently high to break the outermost layer, we obtain stable Janus morphology. A typical sequence of its formation is presented in Figs. 2(f-j) which corresponds to parameter set 2 of Table I.

Parameter sets 3-5 of Table I correspond to intermediate values of driving force (which scales with $f_p^0$) and $\theta$ which result in metastable configurations shown in Figure 3. For example, Figs. 3a-e shows how a CS morphology can develop for small non-zero $\theta$'s if the driving force for bulk spinodal is also small. Thus, the spontaneous wetting condition is only a sufficient condition – stable CS forms when it is satisfied, but a metastable CS can develop even when it is not.

On the other hand, one obtains a metastable ICS morphology when the driving force is small but $\theta$ is large, as demonstrated by the typical evolution patterns in Figs. 3(f-j) and Figs. 3(k-o). When surface effects dominate over the bulk, an onion-like ring structure develops initially (Figs. 3a-b), which coarsens subsequently (Figs. 3a-e) to form a metastable ICS morphology. It is also possible that we get metastable ICS/CS even in the presence of bulk spinodal. As shown in Figs. 3f-o, the bulk driving force in this case is not sufficiently high enough to achieve a stable Janus configuration, and domain coarsening leads to an ICS configuration. Between the two evolutionary paths to ICS, the latter is observed when the driving force is higher.

As shown in Fig. 4, however, the CS at non-zero $\theta$ and ICS configurations are metastable, as they relax to more stable structures when subjected to a sustained white noise [19]. Here the initial configurations for the simulations are the final metastable ones shown in Fig. 3. With increasing time, the concentric structures break down and evolve to form Janus. The time taken for the metastable-to-stable morphological transition is very large because the difference of the energies between the metastable (CS/ICS) and stable (Janus) configuration is very small, resulting in very sluggish diffusion. Therefore, these can be termed as kinetically trapped configurations [15, 20, 21].

To understand the formation of different morphologies as a function of the model parameter sets, one needs to relate the competing processes of bulk SD and SDSD. For bulk SD, $f_p^0$
for bulk phase separation, is to initiate SDSD. We now define a normalized driving force

\[ \Delta f \]

for a given system can be obtained as a function of temperature \( T \). The surface energies \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_2 \), and their difference \( \Delta \sigma \), on the other hand, scale with the height of the free energy barrier \( \omega_0 \). While \( \Delta f \) controls bulk SD within the particle, \( \omega_0 \) influences SDSD by defining \( \Delta \sigma \) – the larger the \( \Delta \sigma \), the easier it is to initiate SDSD. We now define a normalized driving force for bulk phase separation, \( \Delta f \), by taking the ratio of \( \Delta f \) to \( \Delta \sigma \).

Fig. 5 presents the essence of all simulations by assigning the location of BNP configuration in the space of model parameters \( \Delta f \) and \( \theta \). The map clearly demarcates three distinct regions in this space: high \( \Delta f \)-high \( \theta \) for Janus, low \( \Delta f \)-high \( \theta \) for ICS, and the intervening region for CS. The region of high \( \Delta f \)-low \( \theta \) is physically inaccessible since high \( \Delta f \) implies either high \( \Delta f \) or low \( \omega_0 \), which increases \( \sigma_1 \) or decreases \( \Delta \sigma \), respectively, and thereby lead to high \( \theta \).

When the spontaneous wetting condition is satisfied (\( \theta = 0^\circ \) or undefined), CS is the final configuration irrespective of \( \Delta f \). Also, for a given \( \theta \), a metastable CS configuration is preferred over ICS at larger \( \Delta f \). At lower \( \Delta f \), SDSD creates alternate concentric rings extending towards the center, with segregation giving rise to an outermost \( \beta_1 \) ring [15]. Subsequently, ring-coarsening leads to the ICS structure. In contrast, bulk SD dominates at higher \( \Delta f \), resulting in interactions between inner interconnected domains and the outermost \( \beta_1 \) ring during coarsening. Subsequently, the latter is replaced by \( \beta_2 \), leading to metastable CS. Note that these interactions do not yield the stable Janus configuration, because, in this case, \( \Delta \sigma \) remains sufficiently large to cause \( \beta_2 \) to preferentially spread along the surface and \( \beta_1 \) to recede.

**B. Computation of chemical and capillary forces for Ag-Cu**

Fig. 5 demonstrated how different morphologies clustered around in distinct regions of the driving force – contact angle space. This space essentially represents the interplay of chemical and capillary forces, and temperature is one of the key physical parameters that directly or indirectly controls them. The chemical driving force for phase separation, \( \Delta f \), for a given system can be obtained as a function of temperature in a relatively straightforward way from its CALPAHD data. Capillarity, on the other hand, is manifested through the contact angle \( \theta \), which itself is defined in terms of interfacial and surface energies (\( \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_{12} \)). Reliable values of the latter as a function of temperature are often difficult to measure.
experimentally. Nevertheless, here we attempt to arrive at fair estimates of these energies using the available data and thermodynamic correlations. We consider the Ag-Cu system as an example and proceed to compute the temperature dependence of these quantities.

1. Driving force for phase separation

The molar bulk free energy $F_m$ of the Cu-Ag solid solution can be expressed through a Redlich-Kister polynomial as:

$$F_m = \left[ X_{Ag} F_{Ag}^0 + X_{Cu} F_{Cu}^0 \right] + RT \left[ X_{Cu} \ln X_{Cu} + X_{Ag} \ln X_{Ag} \right] + X_{Cu} X_{Ag} [L_0 + L_1 (X_{Ag} - X_{Cu})]$$

(8)

where $X_i$’s ($i = \text{Cu}, \text{Ag}$) are the mole fractions component $i$ in the solution. The first bracketed group in the right hand side is the mixture free energy contribution with $F_{Ag}^0$, $F_{Cu}^0$ being the standard free energies of the pure components which can be found in the SGTE database [23]. The second group is the contribution from the ideal solution part of free energy ($F_{id,bulk}$) and the last term represents the excess contribution ($F_{ex,bulk}$). The temperature-dependent interaction parameters for the Cu-Ag solid solution are given as $L_0 = 34532 - 9.178T$ and $L_1 = -5996 + 1.725T$ [24].

Equilibrium solute mole fractions $X_i$ in Cu-rich and Ag-rich solid solutions below the critical temperature $T_c$ are computed using the conditions for chemical equilibrium:

$$\mu_{Cu}^{\text{ss}} = \mu_{Ag}^{\text{ss}}, \quad \mu_{Cu}^{\text{ss}} = \mu_{Ag}^{\text{ss}},$$

(9)

where the chemical potentials $\mu_i$ ($i = \text{Cu}, \text{Ag}$) evaluated at equilibrium bulk compositions $X_i$’s are given by

$$\mu_{Cu} = F_m - X_{Ag} \frac{\partial F_m}{\partial X_{Ag}}; \quad \mu_{Ag} = F_m + (1 - X_{Ag}) \frac{\partial F_m}{\partial X_{Ag}}.$$  

(10)

The free energy of mixing for an alloy composition $X_{Ag}$ is expressed as

$$\Delta F_{mix} = F_m - (X_{Cu} \mu_{Cu}^{\text{ss}} + X_{Ag} \mu_{Ag}^{\text{ss}}).$$  

(11)

The driving force for bulk spinodal, $\Delta f$, is the maximum value of $\Delta F_{mix}$ over the entire composition range ($i.e.$, maximum of the $\Delta F_{mix} = -X$ curve). It is evaluated and plotted in Fig. 6(a) for the temperature range of 400-800 K. The plot shows that $\Delta f$ decreases monotonically with increasing temperature. Using the same thermodynamic data, we also compute the equilibrium mole fractions $X_i$’s ($i = \text{Cu}, \text{Ag}$) in both Cu- and Ag-rich solutions which are required for estimating the interfacial energy.

2. Interfacial energy

The temperature dependence of the interfacial energy between the Cu- and Ag-rich solid solutions ($\sigma_{Cu-Ag}$) can be computed using Eq. (7). To be able to do so, however, one requires the value of $\kappa$. We utilize the value of $\sigma_{Cu-Ag} = 0.197 \text{ Jm}^{-2}$ at 800 K obtained from molecular dynamics simulations [7], along with the values of $\Delta f$ and $X_i$’s at this temperature calculated in the previous step, and a constant molar volume of $10^{-5} \text{ m}^3 \text{mol}^{-1}$, to compute $\kappa = 1.94 \times 10^{-14} \text{ Jm}^2 \text{mol}^{-1}$. Since $\kappa$ is generally considered to be temperature-independent for a given system, we use this constant value in Eq. (7) to compute $\sigma_{Cu-Ag}$ in the temperature range of 400-1200 K.

FIG. 6: (a) Variation of $\Delta f$ with temperature for the Ag-Cu system computed using its CALPHAD data. (b) Temperature-dependence of interfacial and surface energies of Cu-poor and Cu-rich solid solution phases. Temperature of transition ($T_r$) from stable CS to Janus morphology is indicated.

3. Surface energies

We calculate surface energies $\sigma_{1,ss}$ of the Cu-rich and Ag-rich solid solutions as a function of temperature $T$ using a
modified Butler model [25]:
\[
\sigma(T) = \sigma_i^0 + \frac{RT}{A_i} \log \left[ \frac{X_i^{surf}}{X_i^r} \right] + \frac{1}{A_i} \left[ F_i^{ex,surf} (T, X_i^{surf}) - F_i^{ex,bulk} (T, X_i^r) \right].
\] (12)

Here, \( i = A, B \) denote the components Cu and Ag, respectively, \( \sigma_i^0 \) are the surface energies of the pure components, \( A_i \) are the molar surface areas, \( X_i^{surf} \) are the surface compositions at \( T \), \( F_i^{ex,surf} \) and \( F_i^{ex,bulk} \) are the excess partial molar free energies of \( i \) associated with surface and bulk, respectively.

Surface energies of the pure components Eq. (12) are obtained from the correlation
\[
\sigma_i^0 = 1.25 \sigma_i^{liq} + \frac{d \sigma_i}{dT}(T - T_m) \] (13)
where \( \sigma_i^{liq} \) is the surface tension of liquid component at its melting point \( T_m \) and the temperature coefficient of surface energy is taken as \(-10^{-4}\) J m\(^{-2}\) K. The partial molar excess free energies for bulk, \( F_i^{ex,bulk} \), can be expressed in terms of \( X_i^r \) using Eq. (10), with excess free energy \( F_i^{ex,bulk} \) replacing the total molar free energy \( F_m \). Following Tanaka and Hara [25], we take \( F_i^{ex,surf} = \beta_{mis} F_i^{ex,bulk} \). The parameter \( \beta_{mis} \) is the ratio of coordination number in the surface to that in the bulk; it is taken as 0.75 for face centered cubic solid solutions. Molar surface areas \( A_i \) of the pure components are obtained using the relation \( A_i = 1.091 N_0^{-1/3} v_m^{2/3} \) where \( N_0 \) is the Avogadro’s number. Eq. (12) constitutes a set of two simultaneous nonlinear algebraic equations which can now be solved numerically to obtain the unknown surface composition \( X_i^{surf} \) for both the terminal phases. Plugging its values in Eq. (10), one can compute the surface energies of the Cu- and Ag-rich solution phases.

Fig. [6b] plots the difference of the surface energies, \( \Delta \sigma = \sigma_{Cu} - \sigma_{Ag} \), and the interfacial energy, \( \sigma_{Cu-Ag} \), with temperature. It shows that although the surface energies themselves vary with temperature, their difference is relatively insensitive to it, changing only slightly at higher temperatures. The interfacial energy, on the other hand, depends strongly with temperature, decreasing steeply at higher temperatures. This is expected, as it must vanish at the critical temperature for the miscibility gap. In terms of the spontaneous wetting criterion (Eq. (5)), temperature of intersection of surface and interfacial energy lines, \( T_r \) (\( \sim 910 \) K) marks the transition from stable CS to Janus morphologies.

C. Connecting phase-field results with thermodynamic computations

We proceed further to obtain a correlation between the effective driving force \( \Delta \tilde{f} \) and \( \theta \), noting that the latter is defined only for \( T \leq T_r \). First, the bulk driving force \( \Delta f \) (in J/mol) for phase separation in Ag-Cu is normalized by the product of surface energy difference \( \Delta \sigma \) (in J/m\(^2\)) and molar surface area (in m\(^2\)/mol). Fig. [7a] shows the temperature dependence of \( \Delta \tilde{f} \) estimated this way, it is very similar to the variation of \( \Delta f \) with \( T \) shown in Fig. [6a]. Next, the interfacial and surface energies computed earlier are used to determine how contact angle varies as a function of temperature. This is presented in Fig. [7b] which shows that at high temperatures, \( \theta \) decreases steeply with decrease in temperature, but the rate of this decrease reduces at lower temperatures. Finally, these variations are combined into a single \( \Delta \tilde{f}-\theta \) plot in Fig. [7c]. It shows a monotonically increasing \( \theta \) with \( \Delta f \); however, the slope of the curve is steeper at low-\( \Delta f \), gradually becoming gentler with increase in \( \Delta f \) (i.e., decrease in \( T \)). This line is the trajectory that the system follows in the \( \Delta \tilde{f}-\theta \) space as temperature is reduced, and therefore captures its response to a change in the state variable \( T \). This trajectory is now superimposed on the morphology map which is redrawn in Fig. [7d]. It predicts the morphological transitions in Ag-Cu for \( T \leq T_r \); both \( \Delta \tilde{f} \) and \( \theta \) increase with decreasing temperature, and the system moves from a metastable CS to metastable ICS configuration, before finally transitioning into the Janus regime. Thus, depending on the processing conditions, all the three configurations can form in Ag-Cu BNPs, as confirmed by the experimentally observed configurations [5] [20].

Since the temperature dependence of bulk chemical and capillary forces are system specific, it should be noted that the trajectory may not always pass through the metastable ICS region of the map for all alloy systems. However, the insights gained from the study remains valid and provide crucial guidelines and understanding for conducting further experiments aimed at tailoring the BNP morphology.

I. CONCLUSIONS

Results of the present study show how different BNP morphologies can emerge from a competition between two alternative and concomitant mechanisms, namely, bulk and surface-directed spinodal decomposition. Their interplay with capillarity sets up the eventual coarsening pathway toward a steady-state configuration. When we express the results in terms of three physical parameters, namely, driving force, difference in surface energies and contact angle, different morphologies automatically cluster into three distinct regions in the \( \Delta \tilde{f}-\theta \) space. This identification of relevant physical parameters appears remarkable, as the computed thermodynamic trajectory for Ag-Cu involving the same variables traverses all the three distinct regions revealing the morphological transitions. We note that morphological transitions for a particular alloy system are sensitive to the nature of temperature-dependence of the relevant variables. Therefore, the exact transition points for different alloys systems will be different.

The following specific conclusions can be drawn from the study:

1. Irrespective of the driving force for bulk spinodal, stable CS forms when the spontaneous wetting condition is satisfied (\( \theta = 0^\circ \)). This happens at \( T \geq T_r \).

2. A combination of low driving force and high contact
FIG. 7: (a) Temperature-dependence of $\Delta\tilde{f}$. (b) Variation of $\theta$ with temperature for the Ag-Cu system computed using its CALPHAD data. (c) Correlation of $\theta$ with $\Delta\tilde{f}$. (d) The $\theta - \Delta\tilde{f}$ trajectory for Ag-Cu is superimposed on the morphology map obtained from simulations. CS $\rightarrow$ ICS and ICS $\rightarrow$ Janus transitions are indicated by the crosses, and vertical dashed lines are drawn on (a) and (b) to indicate the temperatures for these transitions (the former takes place at the higher $T$).

3. Janus forms when both bulk driving force and contact angle are large.

4. Trajectory of Ag-Cu in the driving force-contact angle space shows transitions involving CS, ICS and Janus morphologies as a function of temperature.
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APPENDIX: NON-DIMENSIONALIZATION PROCEDURE

We make the governing equations (Eqs. (1) and (3)) dimensionless by using dividing the length, energy and time variables by their characteristic values (\(L_c\), \(E_c\), and \(\tau_c\), respectively):

\[
x = \frac{x'}{L_c}, \quad f = \frac{f'}{E_c}, \quad \tau = \frac{\tau'}{\tau_c},
\]

where the primed quantities represent the dimensional values of the variables. Now we write the dimensional form of Eq. (1) and use the above relations to make it non-dimensional (noting that the dimensional gradient operator \(\nabla\) has the dimension of inverse of length):

\[
\mathcal{F}' = \frac{1}{V_m} \int f'(c, \phi) + \kappa_c' |\nabla\phi|^2 + \kappa_c |\nabla c|^2 \, d\Gamma'
\]

\[
\Rightarrow E_c \mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{L_c^3 V_m} \int \left[ E_c f(c, \phi) + \left(1/L_c^2\right) \kappa_c' |\nabla\phi|^2 
+ \left(1/L_c^2\right) \kappa_c |\nabla c|^2 \right] L_c^3 d\Gamma,
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{V_m} \int f(c, \phi) + \frac{\kappa_c'}{E_c L_c^2} |\nabla\phi|^2 + \frac{\kappa_c}{E_c L_c^2} |\nabla c|^2 \, d\Gamma
\]

Thus we see that we get back the original form of Eq. (1) in terms of non-dimensional variables when \(\kappa_c'\) and \(\kappa_c\) are scaled by choosing a reference value of \(\kappa = E_c L_c^2\).

The Cahn-Hilliard equation (Eq. [3]) in dimensional form is converted to its non-dimensional form as follows:
\[ \frac{\partial c}{\partial t'} = \nabla' \cdot M' \nabla' \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}'}{\delta c} \right) \]

\[ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\tau_c} \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{L_c} \nabla \cdot M_{2c} \nabla \left( \frac{\delta (E_c \mathcal{F})}{\delta c} \right) \]

\[ \Rightarrow \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot E_c \tau_c M_{2c} \nabla \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta c} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

Thus we get back the non-dimensional Eq. (3) by scaling the dimensional mobility \( M' \) with its characteristic value \( L_{2c}^2 \). Using these conversion expressions and choosing appropriate values for the reference variables \( L_c, E_c \) and \( \tau_c \), the dimensionless model parameters used in the simulations can now be connected to their dimensional counterparts [15].

Choosing \( L_c = (V_m/N_0)^{1/3} \) where \( N_0 \) is the Avogadro’s number gives a reference length of \( \sim 0.25 \text{ nm} \). If reference energy is taken as \( k_B T_c \), it yields a value of \( E_c = 1.863 \times 10^{-20} \text{ J} = 0.116 \text{ eV} \) for \( T_c = 1350 \text{ K} \). Finally, a reference time can be obtained, for example, by using a typical mobility value [27] \( \frac{M'}{k_B T_m^2} \approx 1.8 \times 10^{-18} \text{ m}^2/\text{Js}, \) which for \( T = 800 \text{ K} \) yields \( \tau_c = L_{2c}^2/E_c M' \approx 21 \text{ ms} \). Dimensional values of relevant model parameters, along with their conversion factors, are listed in Table [II], while the corresponding values of surface and interfacial energies resulting from different parameter sets are provided in Table [III].
TABLE II: Simulation parameters (all energies are in per atom basis). Conversion factors from non-dimensional to dimensional form are based on characteristic length $L_c = 0.25\,\text{nm}$, characteristic energy $E_c = 0.116\,\text{eV}$ and characteristic time $\tau_c = 21\,\text{ms}$.

| Parameter name          | Symbol | Value          | Conversion factor |
|-------------------------|--------|----------------|-------------------|
|                         |        | Non-dimensional | Dimensional       |                     |
| Grid size               | $\Delta x$ | 0.5            | 0.125 nm          | $L_c$              |
| Time step               | $\Delta t$ | 0.001          | 21 $\mu$s        | $L_c^2/E_c M'$     |
| Particle diameter       | $d$    | 140            | 35 nm             | $L_c$              |
| Matrix free energy coefficient | $\sigma_f^m$ | 2          | 0.232 eV          | $E_c$              |
| Particle free energy coefficient | $\sigma_f^p$ | 2, 4, 6, 8 | 0.23, 0.46, 0.69, 0.93 eV | $E_c$              |
| Barrier height          | $\omega_0$ | 3.75, 5, 6, 12 | 0.43, 0.58, 0.67, 1.39 eV | $E_c$              |
| Gradient energy coefficient | $\kappa_c$ | 1, 2, 8 | 0.007, 0.014, 0.06 eV nm$^2$ | $E_c L_c^2$ |
|                         | $\kappa_\phi$ | 1 | 0.007 eV nm$^2$ | $E_c L_c^2$ |

TABLE III: Non-dimensional (first sub-row in a row) and dimensional (second sub-row in a row) values of surface and interfacial energies. Unit for the dimensional values is $\text{mJm}^{-2}$.

| $(\sigma_f^p, \kappa_c, \omega_0)$ | $\sigma_1$ | $\sigma_2$ | $\sigma_{12}$ |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| (8, 1, 12)                        | 4.19        | 3.09        | 0.94          |
|                                  | 1248        | 921         | 280           |
| (6, 2, 3.75)                      | 1.77        | 1.44        | 1.15          |
|                                  | 527         | 429         | 343           |
| (4, 1, 6)                         | 2.21        | 1.76        | 0.67          |
|                                  | 658         | 524         | 200           |
| (2, 8, 6)                         | 2.24        | 1.86        | 1.33          |
|                                  | 667         | 554         | 396           |
| (4, 2, 5)                         | 1.98        | 1.6         | 0.94          |
|                                  | 590         | 477         | 280           |