Non-trivial spin-texture of the coaxial Dirac cones on the surface of topological crystalline insulator SnTe
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We present first principles calculations of the nontrivial surface states and their spin-textures in the topological crystalline insulator SnTe. The surface state dispersion on the [001] surface exhibits four Dirac-cones centered along the intersection of the mirror plane and the surface plane. We propose a simple model of two interacting coaxial Dirac cones to describe both the surface state dispersion and the associated spin-texture. While the out-of-the-plane spin polarization is zero due to the crystalline and time-reversal symmetries, the in-plane spin texture shows helicity with some distortion due to the interaction of the two Dirac cones, indicating a nontrivial mirror Chern number of -2, distinct from the value of -1 in Z\(_2\) topological insulator such as Bi/Sb alloys or Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\). The surface state dispersion and its spin-texture would provide an experimentally accessible way to determine the nontrivial mirror Chern number.

PACS numbers: 73.20.r, 73.43.Cd

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the discovery of time-reversal symmetry protected topological quantum states in two-dimensional (2D) Hg(Cd)Te-based quantum well structures, and subsequently that of the Z\(_2\) three-dimensional (3D) topological insulators\(^5\),\(^6\), an enormous effort has been dedicated to finding other novel materials, which could support non-trivial topological states. One particularly fruitful recent direction has been to explore quantum states in condensed matter systems, which are protected by the symmetries of the lattice, leading to the so-called topological crystalline insulators (TCIs)\(^3\). A practical realization of a TCI has been the prediction of SnTe with an ideal rocksalt structure in which the mirror symmetry of the lattice ensures the existence of robust metallic edge states\(^7\). This theoretical prediction was verified quickly via angle resolve photoemission experiments on Pb\(_{1-x}\)Sn\(_x\)Te\(^8\) and Pb\(_{1-x}\)Sn\(_x\)Se\(_3\)\(^8\). Recall that a Z\(_2\) topological insulator such as Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\)/Te\(_3\), which is protected by time-reversal symmetry, contains a single Dirac cone at the center of the [111] surface plane, exhibiting a linear dispersion and chiral spin texture predicted theoretically as well as observed experimentally\(^9\). In contrast to the 3D Z\(_2\) topological insulators, however, a TCI protected by mirror symmetry contains an even number of Dirac cones on crystal surfaces symmetric about the [110] mirror planes, and its topologically non-trivial state is characterized by a nonzero mirror Chern number\(^9\)\(^10\). The interest in understanding the bizarre surface states and their spin-textures in SnTe, the pristine phase of an archetype TCI, is thus obvious, both in its own right and as a way of gaining insight into the properties of related substitutional compounds.

In this work, we report first-principles calculations to investigate the [001] surface states of SnTe in rocksalt structure. By including the spin-orbit coupling, two gapless Dirac cones centered along ΓX (the other two lie along ΓY), a mirror line of the crystal symmetry, are found in the surface spectrum, a result of the nontrivial band topology due to crystal symmetry. We examine the complicated surface band characters as well as the non-trivial spin textures, and propose a simple model which consists of two interacting coaxial Dirac cones centered at X. When the interaction between the two coaxial Dirac cones is turned on, the two surface bands avoid each other and a gap opens up except on the mirror line, forming the gapless Dirac cones centered along ΓX. While the out-of-plane Dirac cone spin polarization vanishes due to C\(_{4v}\) symmetry as well as the superposition of the mirror and time reversal symmetries, the in-plane spin textures show helicity with some distortion due to the interaction of the two Dirac cones. The overall spin texture reveals the nontrivial mirror Chern number with the value of -2, which is distinct from that of -1 in Z\(_2\) topological insulators such as Bi/Sb alloy or Bi\(_2\)Se\(_3\)\(^6\)\(^11\). The surface state dispersion and the associated spin-texture would provide an experimentally accessible way to determine the nontrivial mirror Chern number.
FIG. 1: (Color online) Crystal structure and surface bands of SnTe. a. Rocksalt crystal structure of SnTe. A [001] surface plane is shown. b. FCC Brillouin zone (BZ) of SnTe and the [001] surface BZ. The [1 -1 0] mirror plane crosses the 2D surface BZ along ΓX. c. Electronic structure of SnTe [001] surface around X. The surface bands are indicated by thick green lines and bulk bands by the shaded purple area. A Dirac point of surface state appears along the ΓX direction, while the surface band is gapped along XM.

II. BAND STRUCTURE CALCULATIONS

We first extract both the electronic band structure and the spin texture of the SnTe surface states from first-principles calculations, which was carried out within the framework of the density functional theory (DFT) using pseudo-potential projected augmented wave method\textsuperscript{15} as implemented in the VASP package\textsuperscript{16}. The generalized gradient approximation (GGA)\textsuperscript{17} was used to model exchange-correlation effects. The spin orbital coupling (SOC) is included in the self-consistent cycles. The surfaces are modeled by periodically repeated slabs of 33-atomic-layer thickness with 13 angstrom wide vacuum regions, using a 12x12x1 Monkhorst-Pack k-point mesh over the Brillouin zone (BZ) with 208 eV cutoff energy. The room temperature crystal structure of SnTe in an ideal sodium chloride structure was used to construct the slab without any rhombohedral distortion. The experimental lattice constant of SnTe with the value of 6.327 Å was used\textsuperscript{18}. The self-consistent Bloch wavefunctions associated with the surface states were decomposed into cubic spherical harmonic orbitals and projected onto various atomic sites. For each atomic site in SnTe, we obtained the charge density and the three components of the spin direction from the expectation values of the x, y and z Pauli matrices.

In order to clarify the relevant structural aspects, SnTe in an ideal sodium chloride FCC crystal structure is shown in Fig. 1a. Fig. 1b shows the FCC bulk Brillouin zone (BZ) as well as the [001] surface BZ. The high symmetry points Γ, L and X in the bulk BZ are projected on the Γ, X and M in the surface BZ, respectively. The [1 -1 0] mirror plane is perpendicular to the [001] surface plane and projected onto the surface BZ along the ΓX direction. The projected bulk band structure along the high symmetry lines Γ-X-M is shown in Fig. 1c by purple area. The surface bands are highlighted by thick green lines, isolated from other bulk bands. A gapless Dirac cone with the Dirac point sits along Γ-X on the two sides of the X point at the Fermi level, while the surface states along X-M are gapped.

The complicated surface states of SnTe can be understood by a simpler picture, which consists of two Dirac cones. Fig. 2a shows two coaxial Dirac cones centered at the X point. The X and Y points, i.e. (0.5, 0) and (0, 0.5), are equivalent and we concentrate on the one at (0.5,0) hereafter. These two Dirac cones carry different band characters and can be distinguished through an analysis of the wavefunctions of the Sn and Te atoms on the surface layers of the [001] slab. The nature of the differences in potentials of the Sn and Te atoms separates the two Dirac cones in energy vertically as shown in Fig. 2a. If there were no interactions between the two Dirac cone states, the two Dirac cones will intersect each other in the 2D surface BZ in an ellipse, which only intersects the mirror line along Γ-X (blue line) with two points on the two sides of the X point. In Fig. 2b, when the interaction between the two Dirac cones is turned on, a gap opens up along the elliptical overlap region of the two Dirac cones, except at the two points protected by the mirror symmetry.

In order to trace the band character of the SnTe surface states, we decompose their charge distribution into Sn and Te partial contributions presented in Figs. 2c-f. For the surface valence states (Fig. 2e), we find that the Sn fraction is dominant within an elliptical region between the two Dirac points and centered at the X point, while in Fig. 2d the Te fraction is larger outside this region. But, for the conduction surface states (Figs. 2e and f), this trend between the partial contributions from Se and Te atoms is reversed. To enhance visual clarity, we patch the charge fraction map onto the energy dispersion in Fig. 2g. These results of first-principles calculations qualitatively agree with the picture of two interacting Dirac cones shown in Fig. 2b and allow us to attribute the origin of the two coaxial Dirac cones to distinct atomic orbitals. One of the cones with opening to the high energy side is more Sn-like with p<sub>z</sub>-orbital, while the other cone with opening to the low energy side is more Te-like with p<sub>x</sub>-orbital. The Sn-like cone has the X-point Dirac point lower in energy than that of the Te-like cone.

In Fig. 3, we plot surface band energies using a color scale and the associated constant energy contours, together with the in-plane spin-texture. The spin direction of each state was obtained here by calculating the expectation of the Pauli matrices from the first 6 atomic layers from the top surface of the SnTe slab. For the valence surface states shown in Fig. 3b, the highest energy state is located at the Dirac points lying along the
FIG. 2: (Color online) **Two interacting coaxial Dirac cones.** Schematic diagram for a non-interacting (interacting) coaxial Dirac cone centered at the \( \bar{X} \) point is shown in **a** (**b**). As the interaction between the two Dirac cones is turned on, gaps open up except at the two new Dirac points on the two sides of the \( \bar{X} \) point. The new gapless Dirac cones are protected by the presence of the mirror plane which intersects the surface BZ along \( \Gamma \bar{X} \) (bold blue line). Maps of the fraction of the partial charges on Sn and Te atoms for the valence surface bands are shown in **c** and **d**, respectively, while those for the conduction surface bands are shown in **e** and **f**. Dashed lines are the constant energy contours. **g.** Surface band dispersion with colors indicating the fraction of partial charges on the Sn and Te atoms.

FIG. 3: (Color online) **Non-trivial spin-texture of SnTe surface states.** Spin textures of the conduction and valence surface bands are shown in **a** and **b**, respectively. Energy is represented by the color scale, and constant energy contours are shown. Green line indicates the \( \Gamma \bar{X} \) direction and green dots denote the Dirac points. Schematic band structures for the conduction and valence surface bands along \( \Gamma \bar{X} \) direction are shown in **c** and **d**, respectively. Solid lines are Te-like Dirac cones and the dashed lines are Sn-like. Red (blue) arrows indicate the spin along positive (negative) y-direction.

\( \Gamma \bar{X} \) mirror line. The constant energy contours close to the Dirac points are seen not to be of a perfect circular shape, which implies an anisotropic Fermi velocity around the Dirac points. Between two Dirac points, an energy valley is centered at the \( \bar{X} \) point. The energy contours exhibit a Lifshitz transition. As we go to energies below the Fermi energy, the constant energy surface changes its topology. The two disconnected hole pockets next to the \( \bar{X} \) point at high energy become a large hole and a small electron pocket both centered at the \( \bar{X} \) point at low energy. In the two coaxial Dirac cones, the large hole pocket is associated with the Te-like lower Dirac cone and the small electron pocket is associated with the Sn-like upper Dirac cone. A similar change in the Fermi surface topology occurs in the conduction surface bands (Fig. 3a). At high energies above the interaction region, the large electron and small hole pocket centered at the \( \bar{X} \) point are associated with the Sn-like upper Dirac cone and the Te-like lower Dirac cone, respectively.

It is interesting to see how the spin-textures within our picture of two co-axial Dirac cones play out based on the spin-textures derived from our first-principles computations. Note that for the conduction bands shown in Fig. 3a, the spin texture shows counter-clockwise rotation around the \( \bar{X} \) point and clockwise rotation far away from the \( \bar{X} \) point. As shown in the schematic diagram of Fig. 3c, states near the \( \bar{X} \) point are associated with the Te-like lower Dirac cone while those far away from the \( \bar{X} \) point belong to the Sn-like upper Dirac cone. The two coaxial cones therefore should mimic similar chirality. They should both have clockwise spin rotation in the upper cone and counter-clockwise in the lower cone. Along the \( \Gamma \bar{X} \) direction, these two opposite spin states meet at the Dirac points. Along the \( \bar{X}M \) direction, the spin polarization diminishes and switches direction around the Lifshitz transition. The spin texture of the valence surface states can be understood along similar lines. A clockwise rotation in the inner region around \( \bar{X} \) is associated with the Sn-like upper Dirac cone, while a counter-clockwise rotation in the outer region far away from the \( \bar{X} \) point
is associated with the Te-like lower Dirac cone. We note
that the spin chirality of the surface Dirac cone in a typ-
ical strong topological insulator like Bi$_2$Se$_3$ is the same
as that of the Sn-like and Te-like Dirac cones we have
found here on the surface of SnTe, and bears a nontrivial
mirror Chern number further discussed below.

III. SIMPLIFIED $k \cdot p$ MODEL

In order to make our proposed two-coaxial-cone pic-
ture more concrete, we now discuss a 2D $k \cdot p$ model,
which not only captures correctly the evolution in band
dispersion under a Lifshitz transition, but also describes
the spin texture reasonably.

In this connection, it is natural to consider a minimal
four-band model with Hamiltonian $H(k_x, k_y)$ around $\bar{X}$
point on the [001] surface, which obeys the following three symmetries: mirror
symmetry about the $xz$ plane ($M_{xz}$), mirror symmetry
about the $yz$ plane ($M_{yz}$), and time-reversal sym-
metry ($\Theta = TK$, where $K$ denotes complex conjuga-
te). We then have under these symmetry operations,

$$M_{xz} H(k_x, k_y) M_{xz}^{-1} = H(k_x, -k_y),$$

$$M_{yz} H(k_x, k_y) M_{yz}^{-1} = H(-k_x, k_y),$$

$$T H(k_x, k_y) T^{-1} = H^*(-k_x, -k_y).$$

As shown in Fig. 2a, two distinct Dirac points occur
at $\bar{X}$, associated with energies $E_+ > E_0$ and $E_- < E_0$.
$E_0$ denotes the energy level at which the two cones inter-
sect. To account for the doublet state at each Dirac
point, we choose the basis set to be the eigenvectors of
$M_{yz}$ with eigenvalues $\pm i$: $\{i; \text{Sn} \}, \{-i; \text{Sn} \}, \{-i; \text{Te} \}, \{i; \text{Te} \}$, where the main atomic
portion for each cone is indicated. In particular, when
combined with the dominant orbitals for Sn ($p_z$) and
Te ($p_x$) atoms men-
tioned earlier, this basis set also captures spin informa-
tion, resulting in $\{p_x, \rightarrow; \text{Sn} \}, \{p_x, \leftarrow; \text{Sn} \}, \{p_x, \rightarrow; \text{Te} \}, \{p_x, \leftarrow; \text{Te} \}$. Note that the quantization axis for spin is
now along $x$, with $M_{yz} |p_x, \rightarrow \rangle = \pm i |p_z, \rightarrow \rangle$ and
$M_{yz} |p_x, \leftarrow \rangle = \mp i |p_z, \leftarrow \rangle$. Defining $4 \times 4$ matri-
ces, $\Sigma_{x} = s_3 \otimes \sigma_3$, with Pauli matrices $s$ and $\sigma$ acting on
spin and orbital spaces, respectively, the symmetry op-
erator $M_{yz}$ then takes the form, $\Sigma_{x} M_{yz} M_{yz}^{-1}$ and
$T = -i \Sigma_{y}$. After examining the 16 $\Sigma$ matrices under all three
symmetry operations, up to linear coupling in $k_x, k_y$, we obtain the following symmetry-allowed Hamil-
tonian,

$$H(\vec{k}) = m \Sigma_{x3} + m' \Sigma_{z2} + k_x (v_{1z} \Sigma_{x20} + v_{2z} \Sigma_{x22} + v_{3z} \Sigma_{x23}) + k_y \Sigma_{x20} + v_{2y} \Sigma_{x11} + v_{3y} \Sigma_{x33}. \quad (1)$$

In Fig. 2b, we plot the energy dispersions based on
this effective Hamiltonian, which is clearly seen to cap-
ture the key features of first-principles calculations (See
Fig. 2g). The presence of two new Dirac points is due to
the double degeneracy given by different $M_{xz}$ eigen-
values and is thus protected by the mirror symmetry of
the system about the $xz$ plane, in sharp contrast to the
Dirac points at $X$, which are mainly protected by the
time-reversal symmetry. As to the spin texture, note
that $(s_3)$ and $(s_2)$ now represent the in-plane spin $x$ and
$y$ components, respectively. Furthermore, one can prove
that for any eigenstate with momentum $\vec{k}$, $(k|s_3|k) = 0$.

(\text{out-of-the-plane component}), as required by the
combined TRS and the two mirror symmetries: $(k|s_1|k) = (k|M_{xz} M_{yz} \Theta s_1 \Theta^{-1} M_{yz}^{-1} M_{xz}^{-1}|k) = -(k|s_1|k)$. It turns
out that the resulting spin texture is qualitatively simi-
lar to that shown in Fig. 3. Finally, we note that if one
applies a unitary transformation, $U = e^{i \vec{z} \cdot \vec{s}} \otimes \sigma_0$, to Eq.
(1), the transformed $H(\vec{k})$ becomes

$$\hat{H}(\vec{k}) = \begin{pmatrix}
    m & -iv_{x+}k_x - v_{y+}k_y & -iv_{y+}k_x + v_{2y}k_y & -m' \\
    iv_{x+}k_x + v_{y+}k_y & m & m' \\
    m' & iv_{y-}k_x - v_{2y}k_y & iv_{x-}k_x - v_{y-}k_y & m \\
    ini_{x-}k_x - v_{y-}k_y & m' & -m & -m'
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where $v_{x+} = v_{1x} \pm v_{3x}$ and $v_{y+} = v_{1y} \pm v_{3y}$. This is in
fact a more familiar Hamiltonian, representing two in-
teracting Dirac cones in the Rashba form, $\vec{k} \times \vec{s}$, with
an identical chirality. In Table I, we list the param-
eter sets used in Eq. (2) by fitting experimentally
obtained ARPES band dispersions in topologically non-
trivial (Pb,Sn)Te and (Pb,Sn)Se.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In general, topological crystalline insulators should
harbor distinct classes with positive and negative mir-
ror Chern numbers. The schematic diagrams of possible
spin textures around the lower Dirac cones are given in
Fig. 4. In Fig. 4a, a pair of Dirac cones with counter-
clockwise spin texture on the horizontal mirror line gives
the mirror Chern number $n_m = -2$. The opposite case
with a clockwise spin texture in Fig. 4b would give the
mirror Chern number $n_m = 2$. By comparing the spin-
FIG. 4: (Color online) Schematic diagrams for spin texture of the lower Dirac cones in distinct topological phases associated with various values of the mirror Chern number \( n_m \). a. \( n_m = -2 \); b. \( n_m = 2 \); c. \( n_m = -1 \); d. \( n_m = 1 \). Blue lines indicate the axis of mirror symmetry.

of the two coaxial Dirac cones to the two distinct atomic species, Sn and Te, in the material. The crystal and time-reversal symmetries guarantee that the out-of-the-plane spin polarization is zero. The spin texture is dictated by the non-trivial Chern number \( n_m = -2 \) in SnTe, which is different from the known \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) topological insulators such as BiSe$_3$.
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**Table I:** Parameter sets for the 2D \( k \cdot p \) model Hamiltonian of Eq. (2) obtained by fitting the experimental ARPES band dispersions in (Pb,Sn)Te$^{11,23}$, SnTe$^{11,23}$, and (Pb,Sn)$_2$Te$^{12,24}$ \( \{m, m'\} \) are given in units of eV, and \( \{v_{x,z}, v_{2x}, v_{y,z}, v_{2y}\} \) in units of eV Å.

| \( \text{Pb}_{1-x}\text{Sn}_x\text{Te} \) | SnTe | \( \text{Pb}_{1-x}\text{Sn}_x\text{Se} \) |
|---|---|---|
| \( x=0.4 \) | | |
| \( m \) | -0.30 | -0.30 | -0.062 | -0.056 |
| \( m' \) | -0.15 | -0.15 | -0.036 | -0.026 |
| \( v_{x,z} \) | -2.3 | -2.3 | -2.55 | -2.58 |
| \( v_{2x} \) | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.64 | -0.32 |
| \( v_{y,z} \) | 0.0 | 0.0 | -3.55 | -3.28 |
| \( v_{2y} \) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
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