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ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to present an evaluation of the performance rate of four different photovoltaic techniques in the Sahara environment. The purpose of this study is to investigate, analyse, discuss and illustrate the most effective of the different photovoltaic cell technologies (monocrystalline (\(m-si\)), amorphous silicon (a-si), poly-crystalline silicon (p-c-si) and cadmium telluride film (\(CdTe\)-TF)) installed in Ghardaïa which is located in southern of Algeria’s Sahara desert. In order to choose the most suitable technology in the Saharan climate conditions, the energy values produced by the plant were compared to those found by the PVSYST sizing software. The results show that thin-film and amorphous silicon panels produce low illumination, so they are the best choice for the Saharan environment.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The sun is an almost inexhaustible source of energy that sends to the surface of the earth a radiation that represents each year about 15000 times the current global primary energy consumption [1]. This corresponds to an instantaneous power received of 1 kilowatt peak per square meter (1kWp/m\(^2\)) distributed over the entire spectrum, from ultraviolet to infrared. The deserts of our planet receive in 6 hours more energy of the sun that consumes humanity in one year. Algeria has decided to carry out its active participation in the mobilization for the promotion of the environment and sustainable development, and this by promoting these renewable energies which constitute one of the main axes of our energy and environmental policy.

The exploitation of renewable energies by a satisfactory electrification program can supply isolated areas far away from the national electricitygrid. In this context and to develop the solar potential of healthy and inexhaustible energy resources, Algeria has installed a 1100 kWp photovoltaic panel plant in the Ghardaïawilaya, located in the southern Sahara of Algeria. This is to strengthen the existing network on the one hand and to evaluate the behavior of different photovoltaic technologies in the national environment (southern Algeria) on the other.

Different researches and scientists have worked on the performance evaluation of actual behavior of PV modules in outdoor conditions through accurate measurements in a specific geographical location. In [2] the authors conducted an experimental study to evaluate the performance of 12 different types...
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of PV modules. An evaluation and comparison performance of PV modules technologies was presented in [3]. Analysis and Monitoring of electrical performance of PV modules was described in [4]. Singh [5] reviewed the influence of geographic location in the electric generation of different photovoltaic module technologies. In [6] the authors evaluated a \((pc – Si)\) modules in Saudi Arabia.

The results indicate that the energy performance is strongly dependent on the operation module temperature. It is useful to monitor the performance of solar PV modules to choose an appropriate technology suitable to improve performance of any future installation of PV system [7], the data analysis provides a summary of performance for comparing PV modules of different technologies, operating under different climates and providing energy for different use [8]. The main objective of this work is to evaluate, analyse and compare the performance of four PV modules \(m – Si, a – Si, pc – Si\) and \(CdTe – TF\) of different technologies during an outdoor exposure at the Saharan climate of Algeria.

2. THE DURATION OF INSOLATION

Insolation or the duration of sunshine is a temporal parameter characterizing solar radiation. It represents for a day the sum of the time intervals at which the intensity of solar radiation has exceeded a threshold of 100 W/m².

2.1. Potential Insolation

Potential or theoretical insolation \((SS_0)\) is the value of insolation for the day considered in the absence of atmosphere; it depends only on the geographical position of the place.

\[
SS_0(n) = \frac{2}{15} \arccos (-\tan \varphi \cdot \tan \delta)
\]

Where: \(\varphi, \delta\) are latitude of the place and the declination of the sun respectively.

2.2. Solar Times

The solar time is the time of the day determined by the apparent movement of the Sun, equal to 12h00 at the true south. To obtain the legal time, it is necessary to add to it the equation of time, the correction of longitude and possibly summer time. The true noon or solar noon is the local time at which the sun passes the meridian of the observation point and we have:

\[
TST = LT - UT + (\Delta t + 4\lambda)/60 = LT - (UT - (\lambda/15)) + \Delta \lambda / 60
\]

\[
\Delta t = 9.87 \sin 2(0.986(J - 81)) - 7.35 \cos (0.986(J - 81)) - 1.5 \sin (0.986(J - 81)
\]

Where:

- \(TST\): (True Solar time) time spotted so that the sun is at the Zenith at noon.
- \(UT\) : (Universal Time) is a time standard based on Earth’s rotation (GMT).
- \(LT\): (Local Time) time given by a watch and \(\lambda\) is the longitude of the place.

The hour angle \(H\) varies from \(-180°\) to \(+180°\).

We take: \(H > 0\) Afternoon, \(H < 0\) in the morning and \(H = 0\) Noon TST.

3. PHOTOVOLTAIC CELLS

The operation of the \(PV\) cell is based on a physical phenomenon called the photovoltaic effect. Figure 1 illustrates the section of a \(PV\) cell. Figure 2 shows the equivalent diagram of a real \(PV\) cell.
This is the most classic model in the literature; it involves a current generator for modeling the incident luminous flux, a diode for the physical phenomena of polarization and two resistors (series and shunt). These resistances will have some influence on the $I - V$ characteristic of the solar cell.

The current of the diode is given by:

$$I_D = I_0 \left( e^{\frac{q(V + R_s I)}{AKT}} - 1 \right)$$

(4)

With:

$$I_{sh} = \left( \frac{V + R_s I}{R_{sh}} \right)$$

(5)

The current generated by the $PV$ cell is given by (8):

$$I = I_{ph} - I_D - I_{sh}$$

(6)

$$I = I_{ph} - I_0 \left( e^{\frac{q(V + R_s I)}{AKT}} - 1 \right) - \left( \frac{V + R_s I}{R_{sh}} \right)$$

(7)

Where:

$I, I_0$: are current supplied by the cell and saturation current of the diode respectively.

$I_{ph}$: The photo-current depends on the illumination (G).

$q, K$: are electron charge and Boltzmann constants; $q = 1.602 \times 10^{-19}$C and $K = 1.381 \times 10^{-23}$ Joule/Kelvin

$T, A, R_s$: are temperature in Kelvin, Ideality coefficient and Series resistance of the PV cell respectively.

The ideality factor of a $PV$ cell depends on the nature of semiconductor used. The equivalent electric circuit of a solar cell can be put into a block diagram comprising four parameters. The block diagram of $PV$ cell is shown in Figure 3 [11].

![Figure 3. Block diagram of a PV cell](image)

With two input variables:

E, T: are sunshine in the plane of the cell (W/m²) and Cell temperature (°C) respectively.

And two output variables:

$I, V$: are Intensity of current supplied by the cell (A) and Voltage across of the cell respectively.

4. MATERIALS AND METHODS

4.1. Photovoltaic (PV) Modules

The photovoltaic power station of Ghardaia is located in Oued Nechou about 15 km from the national road Number. 01 (32.4° latitude North, 3.8° longitude East, 560m altitude). This plant is intended to strengthen the existing network but also to evaluate, analyze and compare the performance of different photovoltaic module technologies installed in Ghardaia city located in the south of Algeria. Figure 4 shows the satellite image of the plant of Ghardaïa.

![Figure 4. Satellite image of the plant of Ghardaïa](image)
The PV modules installed in Ghardaia city were composed of four photovoltaic module technologies: mono-crystalline ($m - Si$), poly-crystalline silicon ($pc - Si$), amorphous silicon ($a - Si$) and cadmium tellurium thin film ($CdTe - TF$) with two structures (fixed and motorized). Table 1 shows the main technical features of each solar module technology. The total power of the plant is $1.131816 \, Wc$.

| Type     | Structure  | Power (kW) | Number of modules | Number of chain |
|----------|------------|------------|------------------|-----------------|
| $m - Si$ | motorized  | 102900     | 420              | 21              |
|          | Fixed      | 357900     | 1420             | 72              |
| $pc - Si$| motorized  | 98700      | 420              | 21              |
|          | Fixed      | 371300     | 480              | 24              |
| $Cd - Te$| Fixed      | 100800     | 1260             | 105             |
| $a - Si$ | Fixed      | 100116     | 972              | 54              |

4.2. Equipment of the Plant

Figure 5 shows the schematic diagram of connection of the power plant.

4.2.1 Module

A four module technologies constitute the central unit for the purpose of experimenting existing technologies in the Saharan environment. PV Solar cells are connected in series to form a PV module chain, which are connected in parallel in a junction box, and that can support and receive up to a max of 16 series.

4.2.2 Connection Box

The junction box is the seat of the connections for the wiring of the photovoltaic field. In the box it is realized the parallel of the chains of panels connected. It is attached to the structure or support next to the structure.

4.3. DC Box

Each junction box is connected to a DC box, located near the reference inverter, in the technical building. In the DC box there is the parallel of all the junction boxes of a certain subfield, the output of the box goes directly to the inverter. The DC box is equipped with a couple of fuses for each line that comes from the subfield.

4.4. Inverters

The inverters are ASI (Ansaldo Sistemi Industriali) brand type $PV8L$ and $PV8M$. They are installed in the technical building in the middle of the field. There are eight (8) inverters, one for each subfield. The six $PV8L121$ inverters ($98kWAC$) are connected in parallel through a $LV$ switchboard that carries power to a $30/0.4kV, 750kVA$ step-up transformer. In contrast, the two inverters of type $PV8M291 (238kWAC)$, are connected to the two secondary windings of a $30/0.27kV, 630kVA$ transformer.

Figure 6 shows the connection of the eight (08) inverters with the two (02) step-up transformers to transform the energy produced by the field into medium voltage.
4.5. Transformers

In the plant there are three transformers: two step-up transformers to transform the energy produced by the field into medium voltage, and an auxiliary transformer to supply the auxiliary services of the plant. The 127 Vdc direct voltage is created through a rectifier system. In the absence of sufficient sun or sunshine, the energy for auxiliaries will be provided by the distribution network to which the plant is connected. For this, at the evacuation station, a bidirectional meter is set up.

5. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS
5.1. Simulation of the Four Technologies and Sizing of a PV System

The simulation on computers allows the variation of a range of parameters of a system; it becomes interesting to vary these parameters in order to see the behavior of the system for different values. In this work we will simulate the four modules technologies \((m - si)\), \((a - si)\), \((pc - si)\) and \((CdTe - TF)\) installed in Ghardaia pilot station. The pilot station is composed of:

a) The Atersa \(A - 250M\) module with 60 \((m - si)\) solar cells with a surface of 1.63 m².

b) The Atersa \(A - 235P\) module which comprises 60 \((pc - si)\) solar cells with a surface area of 1.63m².

c) The First Solar \(FS - 380\) module, which has 154 solar cells of \((CdTe - TF)\) with a surface area of 0.72m².

d) The SCHOTT \(ASI - 103\) module with 56 \((a - si)\) solar cells with a surface area of 1.449m².

Typical power-voltage characteristics are shown in Figure 7. Electrical quantities \(P = f(V)\) of the PV panel field as a function of temperature for 1000 W / m² illumination.

Our goal of the simulation is to see the influence of temperature on the performance of PV modules more than to see the influence of temperature on the characteristics \(P = f(V)\). Figure 7 shows that the performance of a PV generator is strongly influenced by the temperature. For low temperature applications, the current and voltage generated by a PV module are assumed to be linear [36]. This allows describing the effect of temperature on performance of a PV module by coefficients. If we denote by \(P\) a physical parameter of the module at temperature \(T\), we define the corresponding temperature coefficient as follows:

\[
\beta = \frac{(P(T) - P(T_{ref}))}{(T - T_{ref})}
\]  

(9)

In our case, we took \(T = 60\) °C, \(T_{ref} = 25\) °C and we found \(P(T)\) and \(P(T_{ref})\) of Figures 9.

For the calculation of the temperature coefficient we have:

a) for \(m - si\) module: \(B1 = (224.6 - 250) / (60 - 25) = -0.72\);

b) for \(pc - si\) module: \(B2 = (210.7 - 235) / (60 - 25) = -0.69\);

c) for \(a - si\) module: \(B3 = (72.07 - 80.48) / (60 - 25) = -0.24\);

d) for \(CdTe - TF\) module: \(B4 = (92.29 - 103.7) / (60 - 25) = -0.32\).
The results obtained show that the power losses of \((CdTe - TF)\) modules and \((a - si)\) module are lower than those of \((m - si)\) module and \((pc - si)\) modules. We can say that the performance of a \(PV\) module is closely related to the operating temperature of the solar cells and the temperature coefficient. Electrical performance of a \(PV\) module usually varies according to the manufacturing technology of the solar cell.

![Figure 7. Different P-V curves for Illumination = 1000W/m² at different temperatures: a) m - si, b) pc - si, c) a - si, d) CdTe - TF)](image)

5.2. Data Analysis

In order to calculate the monthly energy injected into the network, we have taken the counter index at the evacuation post level during the whole month of September. Figure 8 shows the Daily energy injected to the grid.

![Figure 8. Daily energy injected into the grid](image)
After analysing the data, we found that the total amount of energy injected to the grid is 152,63 MWh per month. This number is the sum of daily energy produced by the system that are slightly varies throughout the month. Also the energy injected into the grid varies from one day to another with a maximum on the second day of September:

a) The total energy produced in September is 152,63 MWh;
b) The most productive day is 02 September: 6881.56 kWh;
c) The least productive day is 24 September: 1970.31 kWh.

The Software used is PVSYS which is a simulation based software used for design and performance analysis of solar photovoltaic power plants. It allows the user to import the meteo data from various sources and analyse grid connected, stand alone, dc grid and pumping systems depending on the specifications of the system and characteristics of its components such as PV module, inverters etc. Table 2 shows the Daily energy injected to the grid.

| Type       | Structure | Power (Wp) | Energy injected to the grid (MWh/month) |
|------------|-----------|------------|----------------------------------------|
| m – Si     | motorized | 102900     | 20.85                                  |
| pc – Si    | Fixed     | 357900     | 54.41                                  |
| Cd – Te    | Fixed     | 371300     | 54.10                                  |
| a – Si     | Fixed     | 100116     | 15.59                                  |

Total = 180.70 MWh

The theoretical total amount of energy injected to the grid is 180.705 MWh per month. This number is the sum of daily energy produced by the system that are slightly varies throughout the month. Comparing the theoretical total energy with the real energy, we notice a decrease of 15.56% this difference is due surely to the stains of the panels. One of the contributing factors in the drop of efficiency of solar PV panels in Algeria as well as in other country is the accumulated dust on the panel. The nature of the problem may vary by geographical locations.

In order to calculate the monthly energy produced by these connection boxes, we noted from the data acquisition system installed at the plant, the power produced by each connection box for every 5 minutes. Figure 9 shows that the energy produced by the connection boxes varies from one day to another.

After analysing the data, we found that the total amount of energy injected to the grid is 40,02 MWh per month. We found that also that:

a) The total energy produced in September by the junction boxes was 40.02 MWh.
b) The most productive day was in the second of September: 1785.21 kWh.
c) The least productive day is 24/09/2014: 586.88 kWh.

We note that the energy produced injected into the grid and that of the connection boxes are significant on 02 September while they reach low levels on 24 September so we can conclude that the data found at the box level connections used in our study are reliable.
5.3. Behaviour Study of Four PV Modules Technologies

In order to study the behaviour and performance comparison of four photovoltaic modules: m−Si, pc−Si, a−Si and CdTe−TF, we have taken into consideration the most productive day: from 02 September: 1785.21 kWh. Figure 10 shows the characteristics of illumination (I) and temperature (T°) as function of time.

In Figure 10, we observe that the curve of the illumination is ascending from 06:00h to 12:25h where it reaches its maximum with an illumination of 1048 W/m², then it goes down to 0W/m² at 18:45h. The temperature curve goes down from 00:00h to 06:45h where it reaches its minimum with T = 30 °C then it rises to reach a maximum temperature of 42.96 °C at 15:45h, and then it goes down to at 32.85 °C at 00:00h. We noticed that it is a well-lit day with a fairly high temperature. Figure 11 shows the curves of illumination (I) and Power (P) at a junction box for four PV modules technologies.

Figure 10. Illumination and temperature as a function of time

Figure 11. Illumination curves and power at a junction box: m−Si, pc−Si, a−Si and CdTe−TF

Figure 11 shows that for all technologies, the power is proportional to the illumination. In order to study the behavior of the four technologies in the Saharan environment, we will integrate power curves produced by a single solar panel of each photovoltaic technology with the following experimental conditions:

a) An illumination of 1048 W/m²
b) An ambient temperature of 40.84 °C

Therefore according to the (10):

\[ T_{cel} = T_{amb} + (NOCT - 20) \times \frac{G}{80} \] (10)

With: \( T_{cel} = 77.52^\circ C \)

\( NOCT \) (Nominal Operating Cell Temperature) being the nominal temperature of use of the cells expressed in °C (generally specified in the data sheets of the manufacturer of the module), and \( G \) being the illumination in W/m². If the \( NOCT \) value is unknown, a reasonable value of 48°C is recommended to represent most of the usual PV modules. Figure 12 shows the power curve produced by (a−Si) panel.

Figure 12. The power curve produced by an amorphous silicon (a−Si) panel
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From Figure 12, we observe that the curve is ascending between 05:30h and 06:35h showing that the power produced by the amorphous silicon (a—Si) panel rises progressively with a production of 6.66W, from 07:25h the graph shows a large and fast rise of the curve to reach its maximum at 12:30h with a maximum power of 109.97W, then it descends quickly to stabilize for some time from 18:35h with a power of 6.52W, and finally it goes down to 0W at 19:30h.

We note that the power produced by the amorphous silicon panel (a—Si) under experimental conditions (I = 1048W/m² and T = 77.52°C) is 109.97W, whereas in standard conditions (1000W/m² and 25°C) is 103W so we find a gain of 6.76% and we also observe that the amorphous silicon panel produces even at low illumination. We calculate the performance of the amorphous silicon (a—Si) panel under experimental conditions, we find:

\[
\eta\% = \frac{P_{PPM}}{E_{S}} = \frac{109.97}{1048\times1.449} = 7.24\%
\]  

(11)

Figure 13 shows the power curve produced by amonocrystalline silicon (m—Si) panel. Figure 14 shows the power curve produced by apolycrystalline silicon (pc—Si) panel. Figure 14 shows the power curve produced by apolycrystalline silicon (pc—Si) panel.

Figure 13 shows that the curve is ascending between 05:30h and 06:25h showing that the power produced by the monocrystalline silicon (m—Si) panel rises gradually with a production of 7.25W, from 07:25h the graph shows a large and rapid rise of the curve to reach its maximum at 12:30h, with a maximum power of 218.77W, the latter remains stable for some time and then begins to decrease rapidly to stabilize a second time from 18:35h with a power of 6.87W and finally it goes down to 0 W at 19:30h.

We note that the power produced by the monocrystalline silicon panel under experimental conditions (I = 1048W/m² and T = 77.52°C) is 218.77W whereas in standard conditions (1000W/m² and 25°C) is of 250W so we find a loss of 12.49%. We calculate the yield of monocrystalline silicon (m—Si) panel under experimental conditions, we find:

\[
\eta\% = \frac{P_{PPM}}{E_{S}} = \frac{218.77}{1048\times1.63} = 12.80\%
\]  

(12)

From Figure 14, we notice that the curve is ascending between 05:30h and 06:25h showing that the power produced by the polycrystalline silicon (pc—Si) panel rises progressively with a production of 6.875W, from 07:25h the graph shows a significant and fast rise of the curve to reach its maximum at 12:30h, with a maximum power of 201.01W, the latter remains stable for some time then it begins to decrease quickly to stabilize a second time from 18:35h with a power of 6.25W and finally it goes down to 0 W at 19:30h. We note that the power produced by the polycrystalline silicon (pc—Si) panel under experimental conditions (I = 1048W/m² and T = 77.52°C) is 201.01W while in standard conditions (1000W/m² and 25°C) is of 235W so we find a loss of 14.46%. We calculate the performance of polycrystalline silicon (pc—Si) panel under experimental conditions, we find:
\[ \eta_{\%} = \frac{PPM}{E \times S} = \frac{201.01}{1048+1.63} = 11.76\% \] (13)

Figure 15 shows the power curve produced by a CdTe thin film \((CdTe - TF)\) panel.

![CdTe - TF Power Curve](image)

Figure 15. Power curve produced by a Cadmium thin film\((CdTe - TF)\) panel

Figure 15 shows that the curve is ascending between 05: 30h and 06: 25h showing that the power produced by the photovoltaic panel thin film\((CdTe - TF)\) rises progressively with a production of 10.83 \(W\), from 07: 25h the graph shows a significant and rapid rise of the curve to reach its maximum at 12: 30h, with a maximum power of 84.92 \(W\), the latter remains stable for some time and then begins to decrease quickly to stabilize a second time from 18: 35h with a power of 9.69\(W\) and finally it goes down to 0 \(W\) at 19: 30h.

We note that the power produced by the thin-film PV array \((CdTe - TF)\) under experimental conditions \((I = 1048W/m^2\) and \(T = 77.52^\circ C\)) is 84.92\(W\) while at standard conditions \((1000W/m^2\) and \(25^\circ C\)) is 80\(W\) so we find a gain of 6.15\% and we also observe that the thin film panel produced at low illuminations.

We calculate the yield of the thin film photovoltaic panel under experimental conditions, we find:

\[ \eta_{\%} = \frac{PPM}{E \times S} = \frac{84.92}{1048+0.72} = 11.25\% \] (14)

To get an idea about the influence of temperature on the performance of each technology, we use data collected during the hottest day of August with sufficient illumination \((T_{cell} = 82.69^\circ C\) and \(I = 928.24 W/m^2\)). Table 3 below shows the behavior of different technologies:

| Terms panel | Standard conditions \(P(W)\) | \(\eta(\%)\) | Experimental conditions "1" \(V(\%)\) | Experimental conditions "2" \(V(\%)\) |
|-------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| \(m - Si\)  | 250               | 15.35          | 12.49           | 12.80           |
| \(pc - Si\) | 235               | 14.43          | 14.46           | 11.76           |
| \(CdTe - TF\)| 80               | 11.11          | 8.15            | 11.25           |
| \(a - Si\)  | 103               | 7.10           | 7.24            | 7.24            |

| Terms panel | Standard conditions \(P(W)\) | \(\eta(\%)\) | Experimental conditions "1" \(V(\%)\) | Experimental conditions "2" \(V(\%)\) |
|-------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| \(m - Si\)  | 250               | 15.35          | 12.49           | 12.80           |
| \(pc - Si\) | 235               | 14.43          | 14.46           | 11.76           |
| \(CdTe - TF\)| 80               | 11.11          | 8.15            | 11.25           |
| \(a - Si\)  | 103               | 7.10           | 7.24            | 7.24            |

With 
\(V:\) is the variation of the power of the panel under experimental conditions compared to standard conditions.

We note that the performance under standard conditions of \(pc - Si\) panels is greater than that of \((a - Si)\) and \((CdTe - TF)\) panels. At experimental conditions "1" \((T = 77.52^\circ C\) and \(I = 1048W/m^2\)), a gain in power at the level of the amorphous silicon \((a - si)\) and thin film \((CdTe - TF)\) panels \(+6.76\%\) and \(+6.15\%\) respectively)(see table 3) while at the level of the crystalline silicon \((m - si and pc - si)\) panels we find a power loss \((-12.49\%\) and \(-14.46\%\) respectively)(see table 3). Under the same conditions, we also notice...
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that the efficiency of the amorphous silicon \((a-si)\) and thin film \((CdTe-TF)\) panels rises compared to the yield in standard conditions, on the other hand the yield of the crystalline silicon \((m-si and pc-si)\) panels decreases with respect to the yield found under the conditions standards.

At experimental conditions "2" \((T = 82.69^\circ CandI = 928.24 W / m^2)\), we observe significant power losses in the crystalline \((m-si and pc-si)\) panels whereas those of the amorphous silicon \((a-si)\) and thin-film\((CdTe-TF)\) panels are significantly less important. Under the same conditions, the efficiency of the amorphous and thin-film panels is higher than the yield under standard conditions and experimental conditions "1", and the yield of crystalline silicon panels decreases significantly compared to yield found at standard and experimental conditions "1".

6. COMPARATIVE STUDY

In order to study the performance comparison of four photovoltaic modules: \(m-si, pc-si, a-si\) and \(CdTe-TF\), it is necessary to know how many \(kWh\) is likely to deliver \(1 kWp\) of photovoltaic panels. Table 4 below shows the energy produced by \(KWc\). Figure 16 shows the classification of four technologies: \(m-si, pc-si, a-si\) and \(CdTe-TF\) in relation of energy produced by \(1KWC\).

| Series | Type       | Structure | Power (kWp) | Energy (kWh) | kWh/kWp |
|--------|------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|---------|
| 1      | CdTe-TF    | Fixed     | 07.680      | 1511.83      | 192.85  |
| 4      | a-si       | Fixed     | 14.832      | 2728.08      | 183.93  |
| 5      | m-si       | Fixed     | 40.000      | 6854.45      | 171.36  |
| 6      | pc-si      | Fixed     | 37.600      | 5548.51      | 147.56  |

Figure 16. Classification of technologies in relation to the energy produced by \(1KWc\)

According to Table 4 and Figure 16, the ranking of technologies in relation to the energy produced by \(1Kwc\) is as follows:

a) Cadmium tellurium thin film\((CdTe-TF)\)on fixed structure: 196.85 kWh / kWp,

b) Amorphous silicon \((a-si)\) on a fixed structure: 183.93 kWh / kWp,

c) Monocrystalline silicon \((m-si)\) on fixed structure: 171.36 kWh / kWp,

d) Polycrystalline silicon \((pc-si)\) on fixed structure: 147.56 kWh / kWp.

So Cadmium tellurium thin film\((CdTe-TF)\)and amorphous silicon \((a-si)\) panels are more efficient than crystalline modules \((m-si and pc-si)\).

7. CONCLUSION

In this article we have described the plant in detail in order to be able to study the behaviour of the various technologies in the desert environment during the month of September, and for that we have noted the energy values produced by the plant and we have compared them to those found by the \(PVSYST\) sizing software, then we performed the energy calculations produced by each technology and we compared the results found to deduce the one that is the most efficient. The energy yield delivered by different types of photovoltaic modules technologies is a key consideration in the selection of appropriate PV technologies.
Power output of different PV modules is not only affected by its nominal power rating, but also affected by weather parameters such as temperature and irradiation and modules mounting system. 

In this article a comparative performance evaluation of four photovoltaic technologies (m – Si, pc – Si, a – Si and CdTe – TF) in Saharan climates of Algeria has been studied with the aim to better understand their behavior in real operating conditions. Thus, it can be concluded that the performance of the system used in this study shows that cadmium tellurium thin film (CdTe – TF) and amorphous silicon (α – Si) modules proved to produce relatively high yields, so they are the best choice for the Saharan environment. Hence, in practice, dust must be removed from the surface of solar PV panel in order to ensure highest performance, given the fact that it is still a costly form of energy source and the short lifespan it has.

REFERENCES
[1] N. Madjoudj and M. Dahmane, “Alliance of renewable energy resources for sustainable building-algerian case”, Revue des Energies Renouvelables, Vol. 20 No. 3, pp. 483-496, 2017.
[2] M. Schweiger, U. Jahn and W. Herrmann, “Factors affecting the performance of different thin-film PV technologies and their impact on the energy yield, 2011,” in Proceeding of European Photovoltaic SolarEnergy Conference and Exhibition (EU PVSEC), 2011, pp. 3640-3645.
[3] C. Cornaro and D. Musella, “Performance analysis of PV modules ofvarious technologies after more than one year of outdoor exposure in Rome,” in Proceedings of the III international conference on appliedenergy, 2011.
[4] “IEC 61724 Std. Photovoltaic System Performance Monitoring- Guidelines for Measurement, Data Exchange and Analysis.” IEC, 1998.
[5] Singh G. “Solar power generation by PV (photovoltaic) technology: a review”. Energy 2013.
[6] Rehman S, El-Amin I. “Performance evaluation of an off-grid photovoltaic system in Saudi Arabia”. Energy, 2012.
[7] C. Cañete, J. Carretero, and M. Sidrach-de Cardona, “Energy performance of different photovoltaic module technologies under outdoor conditions,” Energy, vol. 65, pp. 295-302, 2014.
[8] M. E. Basoglu, A. Kazdaloglu, T. Erti'dan, M. Z. Bilgin, and B. çakır,”Performance analyzes of different photovoltaic module technologies under different climates,” Renewable and SustainableEnergy Reviews, vol. 52, pp. 357-365, 2015.
[9] D. Polverini, T. Huld, R. Kenny,”Effects of operating conditions on thin-film amorphous and microcrystalline silicon module performance”, In: Proceedings of the 26th European photovoltaic solar energy conference, 2009.
[10] T. Huld, R. Gottschalg, H. Beyer, M. Topic, “Mapping the performance of PV modules, effects of module type and data averaging,” Sol Energy, 2010.
[11] C. Yu, J. Chai, S. HanZ. Liu,X. LiJ. Yao, “Field performance evaluation of various crystalline silicon photovoltaic technologies in Pingshan, China”, Energy Reports, Vol.5, pp. 525-528, 2019.
[12] C. Cornaro, D. Musella, D.Chianese, G. Friessen, S. Dittmann, “Outdoor PV module performance comparison at two different locations”,Proceedings of the AMSE-ATI-UT2010 Conference on Thermal and Environmental Issues in Energy Systems, 16-19 May, Sorrento, Italy, 2010.
[13] T. Minemoto, S. Nagae, H. Takakura, “Impact of spectral irradiance distribution and temperature on the outdoor performance of amorphous Si photovoltaic modules”, SolarEnergyMaterials&Solar Cells, vol. 91, 2007.
[14] I. Romero-Fiances, E. Muñoz-Cerón, R. Espinoza-Paredes, G. Nofuentes, J. de la Casa,”Analysis of the Performance of Various PV Module Technologies in Peru”, Energies, vol. 12, 2019.
[15] A. Bennouk, A. Nejmi and M. Ramzi, “ALyapunov Based Approach to Enhance Wind Turbine Stability”, Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Informatics, Vol.5, pp. 145-154, 2017.
[16] K. Kumar, M. A. Ansari, “Evaluation of Power Management Strategy for Renewable Microgrid System”, Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Informatics, Vol. 6, pp. 133-142, 2018.
[17] Guenounou A, Malek A, Aillerie M. “Comparative performance of PV panels of different technologies over one year of exposure: application to a coastal Mediterranean region of Algeria”. Energy Conves Manage, Vol 140, 2016.
[18] Mussard, M. and M. Amara, “Performance of solar photovoltaic modules under arid climatic conditions: A review” Solar Energy, 2018, 174: pp. 409-421.
[19] Guechi A, Chegaar M, Aillerie M. “Environmental effects on the performance of nanocrystalline silicon solar cells”. Energy Procedia 2012, 18: 1611-23.
[20] “SMA Solar Technology AG, Performance ratio Quality for the PV plant”, 2019.
[21] Kahoul N, Houabes M, Sadok M. “Assessing the early degradation of photovoltaic modules performance in the Saharan region”. Energy Conves Manage, 2014:82:320-6.
[22] A. Balaska, A. Tahrri, A. B. Stamboul, F. Tahrri and T. Oozeki, “Comparative performance evaluation of different technolmies of photovoltaic modules in Algeria,” 2016 7th International Renewable Energy Congress (IREC), Hammamet, 2016, pp. 1-5.
[23] M. Mostefouai, A. Ziane, A. Bouriaou, and S. Khelifi, “Effect of sand dust accumulation on photovoltaic performance in the Saharan environment: southern Algeria (Adrar),” Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 2018.
[24] Bouriaou et al., “Experimental investigation of observed defects in crystalline silicon PV modules under outdoor hot dry climatic conditions in Algeria,” Sol. Energy, vol. 159, 2018.
[25] Carr AJ. “A detailed performance comparison of PV modules of different technologies and the implications for PV system design methods”. Phd Thesis of Murdoch University, Western Australia; 2005.
BIOGRAPHY OF AUTHORS

Sid Ahmed TADJER was born in Reghaia, Algiers, Algeria. He received his B.S, M.S and doctorate degrees in Electromechanical engineer, industrial electrical equipment and Electrical from University M’Hamed Bougara of Boumerdes FHC-UMBB, Algeria, in 2003, 2008 and 2016 respectively. He is currently working as Associate Professor in Automation department of processes and electrification. His current research interests include the modeling and simulation of power electronic converters and renewable energy as well as the integration of smart grids.

Abdelhakim IDIR was born in Ait Smail-Bejaia, Algeria. He received his B.S and M.S degrees in control from Bejaia University and Setif University in 2003 and 2006 respectively. He received his doctorate and HDR degrees in electrical engineering from University M’Hamed Bougara of Boumerdes, Algeria, in 2015 and 2018, respectively. From November 2008 to July 2019, he was a Lecturer in the Department of Electrical Engineering, Boumerdes University, Algeria. He joined Mohamed Boudiaf University of M’Sila, Algeria in 2019 where he is an associate Professor in the department of Electrical Engineering. His current research interests include the modeling, simulation and control of fractional systems, fractional PID control, AC drives and renewable energy.

Fathia CHEKIRED is a scientific researcher in Solar Equipment Development Unit/Renewable Energy Development Center (CDER) since 2009. She received his degree in Electronic Engineering from Jijel University (Algeria) in 2006 and his MSc degree in electronics (Option: Solar Electricity) and her PhD from the National Polytechnic School (ENP), Algiers in 2008 and 2014 respectively. She worked in several scientific research projects in UDES, the last one is about the solar smart houses. His current research interests include the optimization of photovoltaic systems especially on the application of artificial intelligence techniques in these systems. She focuses also in their research works on the development of intelligent energy management systems in the solar houses and their automation.