Usefulness of a scoring system in the interpretation of histology in neonatal cholestasis
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AIM: To ascertain the usefulness of a histological scoring system devised to assist in the interpretation of liver histology in neonatal cholestasis (NC).

METHODS: Liver biopsy specimens obtained from infants with NC referred to a tertiary pediatric unit in Malaysia were prospectively studied. The first author, blinded to the final diagnosis, devised the histological diagnosis based on a 7-feature (portal ductal proliferation, bile plugs in portal ductules, portal-portal bridging, lymphocytic infiltration in portal region, multinucleated hepatocytes, neutrophilic infiltration, hepatocellular swelling), 15-point (0 to 15) scoring system. The author classified the histological diagnosis as either biliary atresia (BA) or neonatal hepatitis (NH), all other diagnoses, and subsequently compared the author’s diagnosis with the final diagnosis.

RESULTS: Eighty-four biopsy specimens obtained from 78 patients were reviewed. Without the scoring system, BA was correctly diagnosed by the author histologically in 30 cases, labelled as NH in 3. For other diagnoses, BA was excluded correctly in 33 cases and mislabelled as BA in 2 cases. The overall sensitivity for BA was 91%, specificity 86% and accuracy 88%. With the scoring system, a score of ≥ 7 had the best diagnostic utility to differentiate BA from other intrahepatic cholestasis histologically (sensitivity 88%, specificity 94%, accuracy 92%). Four patients with a score < 7 had BA, and 3 patients with a score ≥ 7 had NH.

CONCLUSION: A 7-feature, 15-point histological scoring system had good diagnostic accuracy in the interpretation of liver histology in neonatal cholestasis.
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INTRODUCTION

A priority in the investigation of infants with neonatal cholestasis (NC) is to distinguish non-obstructive from obstructive causes to facilitate timely surgery for infants with biliary atresia (BA)

[1,2]. Liver biopsy is one of the most important diagnostic steps in the evaluation of NC and may be performed safely even in the smallest infants with local anaesthesia and sedation

[3,4]. Percutaneous liver biopsy has been found to be particularly helpful in infants suspected of having BA in developing countries

[5]. Bile ductular proliferation, bile plugging, multinucleated giant cells, focal necrosis of liver parenchyma, extravascular haemopoiesis and inflammatory cell infiltrate in the portal area are all well-recognised histological features of BA

[6]. Bile ductular proliferation is considered a highly specific and sensitive feature, while the others are less specific and sensitive

[7]. The histological features of neonatal hepatitis, on the other hand, are more heterogeneous

[8], with giant-cell hepatitis a prominent feature, but others such as diffuse hepatocyte degeneration, minimal degree of bile ductular proliferation, and portal infiltration of lymphocytes, eosinophils and neutrophils less specific

[9]. Other authors noted that the only consistent hepatic histological feature of neonatal hepatitis was extravascular haemopoiesis

[10]. Recently, a mathematical approach have been devised to identify the most useful histological feature differentiating BA from
other causes of neonatal cholestasis\cite{1}. However, it has not received wide attention due to its complex nature\cite{11}.

We devised an objective, 7-feature, 15-point histological scoring system for the interpretation of liver histology to differentiate BA from other causes of NC. Its diagnostic usefulness was tested prospectively in a cohort of infants with NC referred to a tertiary pediatric unit in Malaysia.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This prospective, descriptive study was conducted in the Department of Paediatrics, University of Malaya Medical Centre (UMMC), Kuala Lumpur and was approved by the institutional medical ethics committee.

**The process**

The first author (Lee WS), blinded to the final clinical diagnosis, conducted the entire histological interpretation process alone and reviewed the histology of liver biopsy specimens obtained from patients with NC. Histological diagnosis based on the biopsy materials available were made, initially without and subsequently with the histological scoring system. The histological diagnosis was then compared with the final clinical diagnosis to ascertain the diagnostic usefulness of the histological scoring system.

**The patients**

All patients with NC referred to the Department of Paediatrics, UMMC from May 2002 to June 2005 were enrolled. NC was defined as the onset of clinically apparent jaundice within the first four months of life, with the conjugated bilirubin greater than 17 \(\mu\)mol/L if the total bilirubin was less than 85 \(\mu\)mol/L, or the conjugated bilirubin more than 20% of the total bilirubin if the total bilirubin was more than 85 \(\mu\)mol/L\cite{12}. All cases of BA were confirmed with operative cholangiogram, or demonstration of atretic gallbladder and/or extrahepatic biliary tree intra-operatively\cite{3,10,14}. Diagnosis of other causes of NC were made according to standard and accepted clinical practices and have been described previously\cite{2,7,10-12,16}. The histology review process was conducted in December 2007, more than two years after the final patient in the study cohort was recruited to allow a firm clinical diagnosis to be made in all patients.

**The biopsy materials**

All patients with NC who had a liver biopsy were identified. The liver histology slides were traced and were screened for suitability of interpretation by the first author. Any material with faded stain was noted. The original paraffin-embedded tissue block was re-sectioned and re-stained with H&E stain. The biopsy materials were screened for adequacy in size and the number of portal tracts present. All the portal tracts were observed for the number, size and shape of portal bile ductules. The average number of bile ductules present in all the visible portal tracts was noted.

**Histology of neonatal cholestasis**

A review of the literature on the histological interpretation of neonatal cholestasis was performed\cite{29-11,16-19}. The histological features indicative of BA are bile ductular proliferation, porto-portal bridging, and the presence of bile plugs in the portal ductules\cite{3,10-12,16}. Histological features more indicative of neonatal hepatitis are portal lymphocytic infiltration, neutrophilic infiltration, multinucleated giant cells, and hepatocellular swelling\cite{27,16}.

**The histological scoring system**

For the purpose of histological interpretation, the histological diagnosis was designated as either BA or non-BA. A 15-point scoring system based on histology of liver biopsy, consisting of seven histological criteria regarded as sufficiently specific to differentiate BA from non-BA, was devised (Table 1). Generally a higher score was indicative of BA, while a lower score was less favourable for BA. From the distribution of the score tabulated against the final diagnosis, a cut-off value with highest sensitivity, specificity and diagnostic accuracy differentiating BA from non-BA was determined.

Bile ductular proliferation was considered to be present if the average number of ductules in the portal tract was more than five. The following criteria were used to grade the degree of bile ductular proliferation: no proliferation - average number of bile ductules per portal tract \(< 5\); mild - average number of bile ductules per portal tract between 5 to 9; moderate - average number of bile ductules per portal tract \(\geq 10\); marked proliferation - elongated, attenuated, angulated bile ductules in addition to proliferation (average number of bile ductules per portal tract \(\geq 10\)).

**Blinded histological interpretation**

The author personally interpreted the histology slides blindly without knowledge of the clinical data or the final clinical diagnosis. The route of biopsy was noted by observing the size and shape of the biopsy materials. Generally biopsy materials obtained via the percutaneous route were long and slender, while materials obtained via laparotomy were wedge-shaped. The adequacy of the size of the materials and the number of portal tracts available for interpretation was noted.

A blinded histological diagnosis was made for each histological specimen and was labelled as BA, non-BA (including other causes of cholestasis) or a paucity of bile ductules. For the purpose of statistical analysis, biopsies obtained via different routes (percutaneous or operative) from the same patient were considered as separate cases.

For the purpose of ascertaining the diagnostic accuracy of the newly devised histological scoring system, a two-step interpretation was performed, firstly without the scoring system and then with the scoring system.

**Un-blinding and comparing with final clinical diagnosis**

The author (Lee WS) was un-blinded and the initial histological diagnosis was compared with the final
Histological grade

| Parameter          | Histological characterization | Histological grade |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Portal ductal proliferation | Mild              | 1 |
| | Moderate | 2 |
| | Marked  | 3 |
| Bile plug in portal ductules | Absent | 0 |
| | Present  | 2 |
| Porto-portal bridging | < 50% of portal tracts | 1 |
| | > 50% of portal tracts | 2 |
| Lymphocytic infiltrate in portal region | Mild | 1 |
| | Moderate/severe | 0 |
| Multinucleated hepatocytes | Only around central vein | 1 |
| | Diffuse  | 0 |
| Neutrophils in the infiltrate | Absent or mild | 1 |
| | Moderate or marked | 0 |
| Hepatocellular swelling | Mild/local | 1 |
| | Periportal/diffuse  | 0 |

*The higher the score, the more likely to be biliary atresia.*

diagnosis. The sensitivity, specificity and diagnostic accuracy for various diagnostic methods were calculated using the following formulas where TP = true positive, TN = true negative, FP = false positive, and FN = false negative. Sensitivity = TP/(TP + FN); Specificity = TN/(TN + FP); Positive predictive value = TP/(TP + FP); Negative predictive value = TN/(TN + FN); Diagnostic accuracy = (TN + TP)/(TN + TP + FN + FP).

**RESULTS**

During the study period, 84 liver biopsy specimens for histology were obtained from 78 patients who were referred for diagnostic workup of NC. Six patients had both percutaneous and surgical liver biopsies (Table 2). One biopsy specimen (percutaneous) was fragmented and was insufficient for histological interpretation. Thus the remaining 83 specimens were analysed. The final diagnosis of these 83 specimens from 78 patients with NC is shown in Table 3.

**Liver biopsy materials**

The median age at biopsy for all patients was 63 d (Table 2). Fifty-three (64%) of the biopsy materials were obtained via the percutaneous route while 30 (36%) were obtained surgically. A review of the original histology slides showed that 55 original specimens were adequate for interpretation, while re-staining was necessary in specimens from 28 patients. All 30 specimens obtained during exploratory laparotomy contained more than 5 portal tracts for interpretation. Of the 53 biopsy specimens obtained via the percutaneous route, 25 (47%) specimens contained less than 5 portal tracts. In two specimens, no portal tracts were noted.

**Table 1** Seven-feature, 15-point histological scoring system devised for the interpretation of liver biopsy materials in neonatal cholestasis

| Parameter          | Histological characterization | Histological grade |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Portal ductal proliferation | Mild              | 1 |
| | Moderate              | 2 |
| | Marked               | 3 |
| Bile plug in portal ductules | Absent | 0 |
| | Present               | 2 |
| Porto-portal bridging | < 50% of portal tracts | 1 |
| | > 50% of portal tracts | 2 |
| Lymphocytic infiltrate in portal region | Mild | 1 |
| | Moderate/severe   | 0 |
| Multinucleated hepatocytes | Only around central vein | 1 |
| | Diffuse             | 0 |
| Neutrophils in the infiltrate | Absent or mild | 1 |
| | Moderate or marked | 0 |
| Hepatocellular swelling | Mild/local | 1 |
| | Periportal/diffuse  | 0 |

**Table 2** Characteristics of 83 liver biopsy specimens obtained from 78 patients with neonatal cholestasis

| Parameter          | Biliary atresia (n = 33) | Intra-hepatic cholestasis (n = 50) | All (n = 83) |
|--------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Age at biopsy (d)  | Median (range)            | 65 (34-371)                        | 62 (26-180) | 63 (26-371) |
| Route of biopsy    | Percutaneous              | 16                                  | 37          | 53          |
| | Operative            | 17                      | 13                                  | 30          |
| Number of portal tracts | < 5                     | 4                                   | 20          | 24          |
| | ≥ 5                  | 29                      | 30                                  | 59          |

**Table 3** Diagnostic accuracy of hepatic histology in patients with neonatal cholestasis—author’s personal interpretation

| Author’s histological diagnoses | Biliary atresia | Neonatal hepatitis | Paucity bile | Final clinical diagnosis ducts |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|
| Biliary atresia                | 33              | 30                 | 3            | 83                            |
| Idiopathic neonatal hepatitis  | 35              | 2                  | 33           | 37                            |
| Cytomegalovirus hepatitis      | 6               | 3                  | 3            | 43                            |
| PFIC: Progressive familial intrahepatic cholestasis | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Alagille syndrome              | 1               | 1                  | 1            | 1                             |
| Parenteral nutrition-associated cholestasis | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Congenital hypothyroidism      | 1               | 1                  | 1            | 1                             |
| Caroli disease                 | 1               | 1                  | 1            | 1                             |
| Severe asphyxia                | 1               | 1                  | 1            | 1                             |
| Total                          | 83              | 37                 | 45           | 1                             |

**Blinded interpretation**

The diagnostic accuracy of the author’s blinded histological interpretation is shown in Table 3. BA was correctly diagnosed histologically in 30 cases, but was labelled as non-BA in three cases. Non-BA was identified correctly in 33 cases, and labelled as BA in 2 cases. The remaining 15 patients had intrahepatic cholestasis. The author’s histological diagnosis was BA in 5 cases and neonatal hepatitis in 9 cases. The only case with Alagille syndrome, paucity of interlobular bile duct was correctly identified. The overall sensitivity of the author’s personal histological interpretation for BA was 91%, sensitivity 86% and diagnostic accuracy 88%.

**Incorrect histological diagnosis**

Seven cases of neonatal hepatitis were mislabeled as BA while three cases of BA were mislabeled as non-BA during initial histology without the scoring system (Table 4). Of the 5 cases of BA misdiagnosed as non-BA, liver biopsies in 2 patients were performed early in the course of illness via the percutaneous route. The number of portal tracts was inadequate for interpretation in both cases.

The biopsy specimen of the first patient (NKC, performed at 34 d) contained less than 5 portal tracts (Figure 1A) with no portal bile duct proliferation. There was hepatocytic degeneration and swelling, and occasional
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The biopsy specimen of the third patient (LQY), obtained at laparotomy at 78 d of age, showed features of neonatal hepatitis (Figure 1C).

**Histological features indicative of biliary atresia**

Seven histological features were assessed individually to ascertain their usefulness in differentiating BA from non-BA (Table 5). Three features were found to be present in more than 50% of cases of BA: moderate to severe bile ductular proliferation (91%), presence of bile plugs in bile ductules (70%) and porto-portal bridging involving more than 50% of portal tracts (71%; Tables 6 and 7).

The presence of moderate or severe bile ductular proliferation had the highest sensitivity (91%), specificity (88%) and positive predictive value (83%) for BA (Table 6). In addition to proliferation, the bile ductules were often elongated and tortuous (Figure 1A). The remaining four features, i.e. lymphocytic and neutrophilic infiltrations, giant cell transformation and hepatocytic swelling were uncommon in BA (between 3% and 21%).

**Histological features of intrahepatic cholestasis**

Generally, the features of intra-hepatic cholestasis were less sensitive and specific. The only histological feature noted to be present in more than 50% of patients with intrahepatic cholestasis was periportal or diffuse hepatocellular swelling (54%, Table 7). The remaining three features were noted to be present in 25% to 50% of cases of BA: moderate to severe bile ductular proliferation, bile plugs in bile ductules, and porto-portal bridging involving more than 50% of portal tracts, were not common (between 13% and 14%).

Although not sufficiently sensitive (32%), diffuse giant cell transformations of hepatocytes were highly specific (97%) and has a positive predictive value of 94% for intrahepatic cholestasis. The other criterion, periportal or diffuse hepatocytic swelling was also specific (88%) and had a positive predictive value of 87%. The sensitivity was 54%.

**Histological scoring system (Table 8)**

With a score of ≥ 6 to differentiate between BA and non-BA histologically, the diagnostic utility of the scoring

### Table 4 Clinical and histological characteristics of 3 patients with biliary atresia diagnosed histologically as neonatal hepatitis by the author

| Patient | Bilirubin total/ conjl. (µmol/L) | γGT (IU/L) | RHBS | Liver biopsy | Reasons for exploratory laparotomy |
|---------|----------------------------------|------------|------|-------------|-----------------------------------|
| NKC     | 154/120                          | 179        | Non-excretory | Percutaneous | Inadequate number of portal tracts. No portal bile duct and pale stools proliferation. Hepatocytic degeneration and swelling, occasional giant cells formation |
| SQ      | 189/153                          | 442        | Non-excretory | Percutaneous | Biopsy material fragmented. Giant cells transformation and pale stools. Intra-hepatic cholestasis and bile plug formation |
| LQY     | 124/109                          | 144        | Non-excretory | Operative    | Mild ductular proliferation and lymphocytic infiltration of portal tracts |

adj. Conjugated; γGT: γ glutamyl transferase; RHBS: Radionuclide hepatobiliary scintigraphic study.

**Histological features of biliary atresia and other intrahepatic cholestasis (n)**

| Histological features | Biliary atresia | Intrahepatic cholestasis |
|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| Ductular proliferation| None            | 1                        |
|                       | Mild            | 2                        |
|                       | Moderate        | 3                        |
|                       | Severe          | 27                       |
| Bile plug in bile ductules | Absent | 10                       |
|                       | Present         | 21                       |
| Porto-portal bridging | None            | 1                        |
|                       | <50% of portal tracts | 8                       |
|                       | >50% of portal tracts | 22                       |
| Lymphocytic infiltration | Moderate to severe | 7                        |
|                       | Mild            | 16                       |
|                       | Absent or mild  | 10                       |
| Neutrophilic infiltration | Moderate to severe | 3                        |
|                       | Absent to mild  | 30                       |
| Giant cell transformation of hepatocytes | Diffuse | 1                        |
|                       | Only around central vein | 13                       |
|                       | None            | 19                       |
| Hepatocytes swelling  | Hepatocytes swelling | 4                        |
|                       | Mild/local      | 13                       |
|                       | None            | 16                       |

1Including cytomegaviruses hepatitis (n = 6), progressive familial intrahepatic cholestasis (n = 4), Alagille syndrome (n = 1), TPN-associated cholestasis (n = 1), hypothyroidism (n = 1), Caroli disease (n = 1), severe perinatal asphyxia (n = 1), idiopathic neonatal hepatitis (n = 35).

Giant cell transformation. The patient had persistent pale stools. A radionuclide hepatobiliary scintigraphic study was non-excretory. The child had an exploratory laparotomy at 42 d of age, which confirmed BA. A second liver biopsy obtained surgically showed features of BA with bile ductular proliferation, canalicular bile plugs and intracellular cholestasis (Figure 1B).

The biopsy specimen of the second patient (SQ, at 45 d) was fragmented. The liver parenchyma showed giant cell transformation. There was cholestasis within the hepatocytes and bile plug formation was seen within the canaliculi. The patient has persistent pale stools and had a laparotomy which confirmed BA.
system was: Sensitivity = 29/(29 + 4) = 88%; Specificity = 44/(44 + 7) = 86%; Positive predictive value = 29/(29 + 3) = 91%; Diagnostic accuracy = (29 + 44)/83 = 88%.

With a score of $\geq 7$, the diagnostic utility of the scoring system was: Sensitivity = 29/(29 + 4) = 88%; Specificity = 47/(47 + 3) = 94%; Positive predictive value = 29/(29 + 3) = 91%; Diagnostic accuracy = (29 + 47)/83 = 92%; Finally, using a score of $\geq 8$, Sensitivity = 25/(25 + 8) = 76%; Specificity = 47/(47 + 3) = 94%; Positive predictive value = 25/(25 + 3) = 89%; Diagnostic accuracy = (25 + 47)/83 = 87%. A score of $\geq 7$ had the best diagnostic utility to differentiate between BA and other intrahepatic cholestasis histologically. With this score, four patients with a score $< 7$ had a final diagnosis of BA while three patients with a score $\geq 7$ had neonatal hepatitis.

Comparison with pathologists’ histological diagnosis
The results of the present study were compared with histological diagnoses made by the reporting pathologists (Table 9). The diagnostic accuracy of the present study (with scoring system, at 92%) was better than the diagnostic accuracy of 81% made by the reporting pathologists.

DISCUSSION
The Cholestasis Guideline Committee of NASPGN recommends that a liver biopsy should be performed in most infants with undiagnosed cholestasis and should be interpreted by a pathologist with expertise in paediatric liver disease. A percutaneous liver biopsy is recommended before performing a surgical procedure to diagnose BA. If the biopsy is performed early in the course of the disease (before 6 wk of age), biopsy may have to be repeated if the results are equivocal.

In the present study, using conventional H&E staining in 83 liver biopsies obtained from 78 patients with NC, the overall diagnostic accuracy was 88% (Table 4). BA was correctly diagnosed histologically in 30 of 33 cases while non-BA was correctly diagnosed in 33 of 35 cases. This degree of diagnostic accuracy is in keeping with observations made by other authors, but is lower than the degree of diagnostic accuracy reported by Lai et al. Moyer et al reported that with percutaneous liver biopsy, the sensitivity for BA was 89%-99% and specificity was 82.5%-98%.

In the present study, there were 10 histological misdiagnoses with H&E staining without the histological scoring system. Three cases of BA were missed. Of these, the biopsies in 2 cases were obtained early in life (34 and 45 d of age). In all three cases there was no prominent proliferation of bile ductules. In the remaining 7 cases, there was an overdiagnosis of BA in specimens obtained from patients who did not have BA.

With a histological scoring system devised to differentiate BA from other causes of NC using 7 histological criteria, the overall diagnostic accuracy was 92%, which was better than that without the scoring system (88%).

Histological interpretation of hepatic histology in patients with NC requires considerable skills which many general pathologists do not normally possess. The advantage of a histological scoring system, in addition to its higher diagnostic accuracy, is that it provides a more objective and systematic way of assessing liver biopsy materials histologically. This may be the most important reason for the very high diagnostic accuracy achieved with this scoring system. In addition, since more than one criterion was used, it is less influenced by the adequacy of the biopsy materials. The seven histological criteria used in the scoring system during the present study were chosen based on the findings of other authors which showed the greatest discriminatory power between BA and other intrahepatic cholestatic disorders.

Another advantage of the scoring system used in
the present study was its non-complicated nature. Other authors have also attempted to devise a histological scoring system \[10,11\]. The scoring system reported by Zerbini et al \[11\] involved a series of initial complex statistical analyses using a logistic regression method. The accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity were 90.5%, 100% and 75.9%, respectively.

In the present study, the presence of moderate to severe bile ductular proliferation was the most consistent histological feature noted in BA, and was present in 30 of the 33 specimens analysed \[11,16,17\]. The other two features, i.e. bile plug in bile ductules and porto-portal bridging were not as consistent. On the other hand, no single histological feature was consistently present in intrahepatic cholestasis. All four features analysed, i.e. lymphocytic and neutrophilic infiltration, giant cell transformation, and hepatocellular swelling, were present in 30% to 54% of cases of intrahepatic cholestasis. This is not surprising as intrahepatic cholestasis is a heterogeneous condition.

The scoring system reported by Zerbini et al \[11\] involved a series of initial complex statistical analyses using a logistic regression method. The accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity were 90.5%, 100% and 75.9%, respectively.

In the present study, the presence of moderate to severe bile ductular proliferation was the most consistent histological feature noted in BA, and was present in 30 of the 33 specimens analysed \[11,16,17\]. The other two features, i.e. bile plug in bile ductules and porto-portal bridging were not as consistent. On the other hand, no single histological feature was consistently present in intrahepatic cholestasis. All four features analysed, i.e. lymphocytic and neutrophilic infiltration, giant cell transformation, and hepatocellular swelling, were present in 30% to 54% of cases of intrahepatic cholestasis. This is not surprising as intrahepatic cholestasis is a heterogeneous condition.

Parenteral nutrition-associated cholestasis may cause

| Histological features                  | BA | Non-BA | Sensitivity for BA (%) | Specificity for BA (%) | Positive PV for BA & negative PV for non-BA (%) | Negative PV for BA & positive PV for non-BA (%) |
|----------------------------------------|----|--------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Bile ductular proliferation            |    |        |                        |                        |                                               |                                               |
| Moderate or severe                     | 30 | 6      | 30/33 (91)             | 30/33 (91)             | 30/36 (85)                                    | 42/45 (93)                                    |
| None or mild                           | 3  | 42     |                        |                        |                                               |                                               |
| Bile plug in bile ductules             |    |        |                        |                        |                                               |                                               |
| Present                                | 21 | 7      | 21/31 (68)             | 42/49 (86)             | 21/28 (75)                                    | 42/52 (81)                                    |
| Absent                                 | 10 | 42     |                        |                        |                                               |                                               |
| Porto-portal bridging                  |    |        |                        |                        |                                               |                                               |
| > 50% of portal tracts                 | 22 | 6      | 22/31 (71)             | 39/45 (87)             | 22/28 (79)                                    | 39/48 (81)                                    |
| None/< 50% of portal tracts            | 9  | 39     |                        |                        |                                               |                                               |

PV: Predictive value; BA: Biliary atresia.

| Histological features                  | Non-BA | BA | Sensitivity for BA (%) | Specificity for BA (%) | Positive PV for non-BA & negative PV for BA (%) | Negative PV for non-BA & positive PV for BA (%) |
|----------------------------------------|---------|----|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Lymphocytic infiltration               |         |    |                        |                        |                                               |                                               |
| Moderate to severe                     | 23      | 7  | 23/50 (46)             | 26/33 (79)             | 23/30 (77)                                    | 26/53 (49)                                    |
| Absent or mild                         | 27      | 26 |                        |                        |                                               |                                               |
| Neutrophilic infiltration              |         |    |                        |                        |                                               |                                               |
| Moderate to severe                     | 15      | 3  | 15/50 (30)             | 30/33 (91)             | 15/18 (83)                                    | 30/65 (46)                                    |
| Absent to mild                         | 35      | 30 |                        |                        |                                               |                                               |
| Giant cell transformation of hepatocytes|         |    |                        |                        |                                               |                                               |
| Diffuse                                | 16      | 1  | 16/50 (32)             | 32/33 (97)             | 16/17 (94)                                    | 32/66 (48)                                    |
| None or around central vein            | 34      | 32 |                        |                        |                                               |                                               |
| Hepatocytes swelling                   |         |    |                        |                        |                                               |                                               |
| Periportal or diffuse                  | 27      | 4  | 27/50 (54)             | 29/33 (88)             | 27/31 (87)                                    | 29/52 (56)                                    |
| None or mild/focal                     | 23      | 29 |                        |                        |                                               |                                               |

| Table 8 Total histological score according to underlying diagnosis |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Total score | Biliary atresia | Non-biliary atresia |
|--------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| 0            | 0               | 3                   |
| 1            | 0               | 6                   |
| 2            | 1               | 6                   |
| 3            | 0               | 11                  |
| 4            | 2               | 10                  |
| 5            | 0               | 8                   |
| 6            | 1               | 0                   |
| 7            | 0               | 3                   |
| 8            | 4               | 0                   |
| 9            | 3               | 1                   |
| 10           | 4               | 1                   |
| 11           | 3               | 0                   |
| 12           | 4               | 0                   |
| 13           | 7               | 1                   |
| 14           | 4               | 0                   |
| < 6          | 4               | 44                  |
| ≥ 6          | 29              | 7                   |
| < 7          | 4               | 47                  |
| ≥ 7          | 29              | 3                   |
| < 8          | 8               | 47                  |
| ≥ 8          | 25              | 3                   |
| Total        | 33              | 50                  |

Table 9 A summary of the results and a comparison with the histological diagnosis made by reporting pathologists

| Parameters                          | Sensitivity | Specificity | Diagnostic accuracy |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Author’s own interpretation-        | 91          | 86          | 88                  |
| without scoring system              |             |             |                     |
| Author’s own interpretation-        | 88          | 94          | 92                  |
| with scoring system                 |             |             |                     |
| Pathologists’ diagnosis             | 82          | 80          | 81                  |
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steatosis, bile ductular proliferation and, less commonly bridging fibrosis[34]. CMV hepatitis may cause intense hepatitis, giant cell transformation, intracytoplasmic inclusion bodies, bile stasis, inflammation, fibrosis and bile ductular proliferation[11,12]. On the other hand, conditions such as PFIC and Alagille syndrome caused a paucity of interlobular bile ducts[35].

There are other advantages in using liver biopsies in the investigation of neonatal cholestasis besides its ability of achieving a high degree of diagnostic accuracy. Firstly, there is a shorter time delay of 1 to 3 d, compared to 3 to 5 d for radionuclide hepatobiliary scintigraphy[12]. In addition, percutaneous liver biopsy can be performed safely even in young infants[13].

However, some difficulties in the interpretation of hepatic histology in neonatal cholestasis. One of these difficulties is inadequate biopsy materials obtained, especially via the percutaneous route. In the present study, while all the biopsy specimens obtained surgically contained an adequate number of portal tracts, slightly less than half (46%) of the specimens obtained via the percutaneous route contained less than 5 portal tracts for adequate interpretation. In 2 specimens, no portal tracts were observed. Thus, histological features indicative of BA which depend on an adequate number of portal tracts, such as bile ductular proliferation, bile plugs in bile ductules and porto-portal bridging, were not observed for adequate interpretation.

The present study shows that contrary to the claims made by some authors[24,25], liver biopsy is a sensitive and specific method in the investigation of neonatal cholestasis. This study shows that with proper and adequate training in hepatic histology interpretation, the interpretation of liver histology in neonatal cholestasis can be achieved with sufficient sensitivity, specificity and accuracy.

In conclusion, histological interpretation of liver biopsies based on a 7-criteria scoring system had the highest sensitivity, specificity and diagnostic accuracy to differentiate BA from other intrahepatic cholestasis.
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