Possibility of Observing Spiral Scattering of Relativistic Particles in a Bent Crystal
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The peak position, impact-parameter range, and optimal conditions for observing spiral scattering of relativistic particles in a uniformly bent crystal are estimated. The existence of spiral scattering with a square-root singularity is pointed out. In this case, the secondary process of volume capture to the channeling mode is absent and the conditions for observing this effect are most favorable.
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The phenomenon of spiral scattering occurs due to the appearance of a negative logarithmic singularity of the classical deflection function \( \chi(b) \) of a particle or light ray for a certain impact parameter \( b = b_s \). Resonance scattering is a quantum mechanical analog of spiral scattering \(^{[2]} \). However, resonance scattering includes a wider class of quantum-mechanical phenomena. In particular, it can appear in the scattering of fast particles by a cylindrical well (see, e.g., \(^{[3]} \)), whereas classical spiral scattering by such a potential is absent \(^{[4]} \).

To illustrate this feature and determine the spiral-scattering boundaries, let us compare scattering by such a potential is absent \(^{[4]} \).

The classical deflection function

\[
\chi(b) = 2\alpha(b) = \pi - 2b \int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{dr}{r \sqrt{r^2[1 - \phi(r)] - b^2}}, \quad (2)
\]

where \( \phi(r) = 2U(r)E/p_\infty^2c^2 \) and \( b, U(r), E, p_\infty \) are the impact parameter, centrally symmetric potential, total energy, and momentum of a particle at infinity, respectively, can be calculated for both cases, but the absence of spiral scattering for a square well can be seen directly in the plot (curve 1 in Fig. 1b) of the function

\[
u(r) = r \sqrt{1 - \phi(r)}, \quad (3)
\]

which has a finite step and a local minimum for \( r = R \). Therefore, the derivative \( \nu(R) \) is indeterminate at this point. Deflection function (2) is expressed in terms of function (3) as

\[
\chi(b) = \pi - 2b \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{dr}{r \sqrt{\nu(r) - b^2/\nu(r) + b}} \quad \text{as} \quad \phi(r) = 2U(r)E/p_\infty^2c^2 \quad \text{and} \quad b, U(r) \quad \text{are the impact parameter, centrally symmetric potential, and momentum of a particle at infinity, respectively.}
\]

where, as before, the turning point \( r_0 \) is determined from the equation \( \nu(r_0) = b \). In the case of the smoothed potential (curve 2), the function \( \nu(r) \) has a smooth local minimum at the point \( r_{\min} \), at which \( \nu'(r_{\min}) = 0 \). If the impact parameter coincides with this minimum, i.e.,

\[
b_s = u(r_{\min}), \quad (5)
\]

both the radial velocity and radial acceleration of the particle become zero (for more detail, see \(^{[1, 5]} \) and the conditions for spiral scattering are implemented. Here, the function \( \nu(r) \) in the vicinity of the minimum \( r_{\min} \) is represented in the form

\[
u(r) \approx u(r_{\min}) + \frac{u'(r_{\min})}{2}(r - r_{\min})^2, \quad (6)
\]

while the local-minimum point \( r_{\min} \) determined from the condition \( u'(r_{\min}) = 0 \) is specified by the equation

\[
1 - \phi(r_{\min}) - \frac{r_{\min}}{2} \phi'(r_{\min}) = 0. \quad (7)
\]

This equation holds for any potential; for Eq. (1), it reduces to the simple form

\[
2r_{\min}^2 - 3r_{\min} + 1 + \delta = 0. \quad (8)
\]
Here,
\[ \dot{r} = \frac{r}{R}, \quad \dot{\phi} = -2U_\theta \frac{E}{p_\phi^2c^2} \quad (9) \]

and \( L = \sqrt{\langle \phi_0 \rangle} \) is the Lindhard channeling angle. In the case of the potential given by Eq. (1), semi-channeling can occur when a particle moves near the potential edge and is successively reflected from its inner wall. Hereafter, a quantity with the symbol * denotes the corresponding quantity without this symbol divided by \( R \).

Only one, physically meaningful, of the two solutions of Eq. (8) with the plus sign of the square root should be retained:
\[ \hat{r}_{\text{min}} = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{1 - 8\delta}}{4}. \quad (10) \]

Note the important condition that should be in the range 1 - \( \hat{d}/2 \leq \hat{r}_{\text{min}} \leq 1 \) (see Fig. 1); otherwise, the local minimum does not exist. The lower boundary 1 - \( \hat{d}/2 = \hat{r}_{\text{min}} \) substituted into the left-hand part of Eq. (10) yields the critical parameter \( \delta_c \) for the observation of the spiral scattering of relativistic particles by a smoothed well:
\[ \delta \leq \delta_c = \frac{\hat{d}(1 - \hat{d})}{2}. \quad (11) \]

Since, according to Eq. (9), \( |\phi_0| = \frac{\hat{d}^2}{4} \), the corresponding criterion for the squared Lindhard angle \( |\phi_{0,c}| \) can also be written as
\[ |\phi_0| \geq |\phi_{0,c}| = \frac{\hat{d}}{2(1 - \hat{d})}. \quad (12) \]

Next, since the smooth-edge region is small, \( \hat{d} << 1 \) (or \( d << R \)), Eqs. (11) and (12) reduce to the inequalities \( \delta \leq \hat{d}/2, |\phi_0| \geq \hat{d}/2 \), respectively. It is easy to see that these constraints are equivalent to the criterion \( R \geq R_c = \frac{p_\phi^2c^2\hat{d}}{4U_\theta E} = \frac{d}{2\theta_L^2} \). It was noted in \([4, 5]\) that this criterion is equivalent to the Tsyganov criterion for the existence of the channeling effect in a bent crystal. Since \( \hat{d} << 1, \delta << 1 \) and Eq. (10) can be approximately written as \( \hat{r}_{\text{min}} \approx 1 - \delta \).

For comparison, the quantity \( \alpha(\hat{b}) = \chi(\hat{b})/2 \) for the square well in the range 0 \( \leq \hat{b} \leq 1 \) is given by the expression (see Section 19 in \([6]\) or Eq. (12) in \([5]\))
\[ \alpha(\hat{b}) = \arcsin\left( \frac{\hat{b}(\sqrt{1 - \hat{b}^2} - \sqrt{1 - \phi_0 - \hat{b}^2})}{\sqrt{1 - \phi_0}} \right). \quad (13) \]

This corresponds to curve 1 in Fig. 1c. All deflection angles for the square well are negative with the maximum absolute value
\[ |\alpha(1)| = \arcsin\left( \frac{\sqrt{1 - \phi_0}}{1 - \phi_0} \right), \quad (14) \]

achieved near the well edge \( \hat{b} = 1 \). Under the condition \( \sqrt{|\phi_0|} \ll 1 \), this angle is \( |\alpha(1)| = \theta_L \). A typical trajectory of particles in such a potential is shown in Fig. 1d. In the case of the smoothed well given by Eq. (1), deflection function (2) is given by a lengthy formula with elliptical functions. However, if approximation (6) is used, the deflection function for the impact parameters \( b_s < \hat{b} < 1 \) takes the form
\[ \alpha(\hat{b}) = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arcsin(\hat{b}) - \sqrt{\frac{\hat{b}}{u(\hat{r}_{\text{min}})}} - \ln(\frac{2(\hat{r}_{\text{min}} - (\hat{r}_u - \hat{r}_{\text{min}}))}{\sqrt{\hat{r}_{\text{min}}^2 - (\hat{r}_u - \hat{r}_{\text{min}})^2}}), \quad (15) \]

where \( \hat{r}_u = \hat{r}_{\text{min}} + \sqrt{2(\hat{b} - \hat{u}(\hat{r}_{\text{min}}))/\hat{u}(\hat{r}_{\text{min}})} \). The deflection function for the impact parameters \( 0 < \hat{b} < b_s \) has the different form
\[ \alpha(\hat{b}) = \arcsin\left( \frac{\hat{b}}{\sqrt{1 + \hat{d}^2/(4\delta)}} \right) - \frac{\sqrt{\hat{b}}}{\sqrt{C\hat{u}(\hat{r}_{\text{min}})}} \ln\left( \frac{2C + AB + 2\sqrt{C^2A^2 + AB + C}}{A(2C + B + 2\sqrt{C^2 + 1 + B + C})} \right). \quad (16) \]

where \( A = 1 - \hat{d}/2, B = -2\hat{r}_{\text{min}}, C = \hat{r}_{\text{min}}^2 + 2(\hat{u}(\hat{r}_{\text{min}}) - \hat{b})/(\hat{u}(\hat{r}_{\text{min}})) \).

If \( \hat{b} \to b_s = \hat{u}(\hat{r}_{\text{min}}) \), the deflection angles given by Eqs. (15) and (16) tend logarithmically to ~ \( \infty \) (see curve 2 in Fig. 1c); i.e., the particle orbits the potential center by an angle exceeding maximum angle (14) for a square well. Under the condition \( \hat{b} = b_s \), spiral scattering appears; in this case, the relativistic particle does not have the outgoing branch and is located near the potential for an infinitely long time (see Fig. 1e) approaching the limit cycle \( \hat{r} \to \hat{r}_{\text{min}} \). Only one trajectory satisfies the spiral-scattering criterion. Trajectories with impact parameters close to \( b_s \) have the usual ingoing and outgoing branches, but can follow the circle over an angle exceeding the Lindhard angle (14).

Let the spiral-scattering range be defined as the impact-parameter range \( \Delta b_s \) near \( b = b_s \), in which the absolute values of negative angles (15) and (16) exceed maximum angle (14) for the square well (see Fig. 1c). Such a definition is useful since it distinguishes the spiral scattering from both refraction ranging within the angle given by Eq. (1) and volume reflection, which has a positive sign \( \gamma \), but does not exceed the angle given by Eq. (14). The spiral scattering is also different from channeling (see below). The substitution of Eq. (10) into Eq. (5) yields the exact value for the spiral impact parameter \( b_s \) in the case of potential (1):
\[ b_s = 3 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{8\delta}{4}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{8\delta}{4}})^2}{16\delta}}. \quad (17) \]
If $\delta << 1/8$, $\hat{b}_s \approx 1 - \delta/2$.

The right and left boundaries of the spiral-scattering range are determined as the roots of the transcendental equation

$$\alpha(\hat{b}) = -\arcsin\left(\frac{\sqrt{|\phi_o|}}{\sqrt{1 + |\phi_o|}}\right), \quad \text{(18)}$$

with $\alpha(\hat{b})$ given by Eqs. (15) and (16), respectively. By solving the simpler transcendental equation $c_1 \ln(\Delta \hat{b}_{sr}) + c_2 = 0$ (where $c_1$ and $c_2$ are constants), which is obtained by retaining only the first terms in the expansions of all functions in Eq. (15) in the power series of $(\hat{b} - \hat{b}_s)$ in the right neighborhood of $\hat{b}_s$, the right part $\Delta \hat{b}_{sr} = \hat{b}_r - \hat{b}_s$ of the region $\Delta \hat{b}_s$ is determined in the form

$$\Delta \hat{b}_{sr} = 2\hat{u}(\hat{r}_{min})'' \delta^2 \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\hat{u}(\hat{r}_{min})''}{\hat{b}_s}} \hat{u}(\hat{r}_{min})'' - \frac{\hat{d}}{\sqrt{\delta}} + 2\sqrt{1 - \hat{b}_s^2}\right). \quad \text{(19)}$$

Hereafter, it is taken into account the conditions $|\phi_o| << 1$ and $\delta << 1/8$, which are certainly valid in the relativistic case.

To calculate the left-hand part $\Delta \hat{b}_{sl} = \hat{b}_s - \hat{b}_l$ in the entire range given by Eq. (12), it is necessary to retain a few terms in the expansion of Eq. (16) in the power series of $(\hat{b} - \hat{b}_s)$, since the impact parameter $\hat{b}_s$ approaches the inflection point $\approx \hat{d}/4$ of the function $\hat{u}(\hat{r})$ with a variation in the parameter $|\phi_o|$. In the case where they are kept, the solution of the transcendental equation $c_1 \ln(c_3 \Delta \hat{b}_{sl}) + \Delta \hat{b}_{sl} + c_2 = 0$, obtained by retaining only first two terms in the series, has a solution expressed in terms of the Lambert function $W(x)$, which is defined through the relation $W(x) \exp W(x) = x$ [8], as

$$\Delta \hat{b}_{sl} = c_1 W\left(\frac{-\hat{u}(\hat{r}_{min})''}{c_1 c_3}\right), \quad \text{(20)}$$

where the parameters $c_1$, $c_2$, and $c_3$ are

$$c_1 = \frac{\sqrt{A^2(1 + \phi_0) - b_0^2} \sqrt{1 - b_0^2}}{(\sqrt{A^2(1 + \phi_0)} - b_0^2 - \sqrt{1 - b_0^2}) \sqrt{\hat{u}(\hat{r}_{min})''}},$$

$$c_2 = -\sqrt{A^2(1 + \phi_0) - b_0^2} \sqrt{1 - b_0^2} + \frac{\hat{d} \sqrt{A^2(1 + \phi_0) - b_0^2} \sqrt{1 - b_0^2}}{2\sqrt{\delta(1 + \phi_0)}(\sqrt{A^2(1 + \phi_0)} - b_0^2 - \sqrt{1 - b_0^2})},$$

$$c_3 = \frac{A}{2\delta(d/2 - \delta)\hat{u}(\hat{r}_{min})''}. \quad \text{(21)}$$

The width of the impact-parameter region $\Delta \hat{b}_s$ where spiral scattering is significant is determined by the sum of Eqs. (19) and (20):

$$\Delta \hat{b}_s = \Delta \hat{b}_{sr} + \Delta \hat{b}_{sl}. \quad \text{(22)}$$

The second derivative $\hat{u}(\hat{r}_{min})''$ in Eqs. (19) and (20) is expressed in terms of $\delta$ as

$$\hat{u}(\hat{r}_{min})'' = \frac{4\hat{r}_{min} - 3}{\delta \sqrt{1 + (\hat{r}_{min} - 1)^2}} = \frac{\sqrt{1 - 8\delta}}{\delta \sqrt{1 + (\frac{1 - \sqrt{8\delta}}{16\delta})^2}} \quad \text{(23)}$$

and $\hat{u}(\hat{r}_{min})'' \approx \delta^{-1}$ for small $\delta$ values.

In addition to approximate formulas (19) and (20) for the right and left boundaries of the spiral-scattering region, respectively, transcendental equations (18) were also solved numerically. The result is shown by the solid curve in Fig. 2. The numerical solution for the right-hand boundary (circles) coincides with high accuracy with Eq. (19). Formula (20) for the left-hand boundary (circles) provides an underestimated result, but the behavior of the curve is the same as predicted by the accurate numerical solution. In addition, the contribution from the right-hand part of the spiral-scattering range to the total width is much smaller than the contribution from the left-hand part, except for the vicinity of the critical point $|\phi_o| = |\phi_{o,c}|$. As a result, the logarithmic branches of the deflection function on the right and left sides of $b = b_s$ are not symmetric for the considered potential (curves 2 and 3 in Fig. 3).

Note an important feature of the width of the spiral-scattering region. Let the potential parameters $R$, $U_0$, and $d$ be fixed and the relativistic particle energy decrease so that $|\phi_o|$ increases from critical value (12) to a few tens of $|\phi_{o,c}|$ without violating the condition $|\phi_o| << 1$. Then, the width $\Delta \hat{b}_s$ of the spiral-scattering region increases...
rapidly up to the maximum for $|\phi_o| \approx 3|\phi_o,c|$ and then decreases to zero. In this specific case, the normalized maximum value $\Delta \hat{b}_s,max/(\hat{d}/2)$ is $\approx 19\%$ of the width $\hat{d}/2$ (see Fig. 2) and its distance from the critical energy is $|\phi_o|/|\phi_o,c|$. Thus, the position of the maximum on this scale is independent of the particle energy. Moreover, the normalized width

$$\Delta \hat{b}_s/(\hat{d}/2) = f(|\phi_o|/|\phi_o,c|)$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

is a universal function determined only by the shape of the potential. At the same time, the value of the parameter $|\phi_o|$ for which the maximum is reached evidently depends on the particle energy. However, the above-mentioned scaling invariance holds in this case. This feature is seen in Fig. 4, which presents the width of the spiral-scattering range for the ring potential given by Eq. (1) with the hollow core ($U(r) = 0$ for $r < R - \hat{d}/2$). This potential ensures maximum volume reflection in comparison with other ring potentials of the depth $U_0$ and width $\hat{d}$ constructed of two inversed parabolas. Thus, this potential provides a minimum estimate for the possible width of spiral scattering for an actual crystal. The value $\Delta \hat{b}_s,max/(\hat{d}/2) \approx 7\%$ and position $|\phi_o|/|\phi_o,c| \approx 1.3$ of the maximum of the spiral scattering width are different in this case, but the scaling invariance holds.

Note that the $\alpha(\hat{b})$ plots for $\hat{d}$ and $|\phi_o|$ values other than those shown in Fig. 3 have the same form if $\alpha$ and $\hat{b}$ are normalized to $\theta_L$ and $\hat{d}/2$, respectively, due to the above mentioned scaling invariance. It is seen that the width of the spiral-scattering range for large $|\phi_o|$ values ($|\phi_o| = 20 \cdot |\phi_o,c|$, curve 3 for the relatively low energies) decreases and tends to zero in the limit. In this case, the deflection function tends to Eq. (13) for the square-well scattering, for which the smoothness of the edge is not important and the deflection angles $\alpha(\hat{b})$ are no larger than $\theta_L$ (the angle $\chi(\hat{b})$ is no larger than $2\theta_L$). The width of the spiral-scattering range, $\Delta \hat{b}_s$, increases with energy (with decreasing $|\phi_o|$). This width on curve 2 is maximal for $|\phi_o| = 3|\phi_o,c|$. Then, the width $\Delta \hat{b}_s$ decreases. Curve 1 corresponds to the critical energy $|\phi_o| = |\phi_o,c| = \hat{d}/2$, for which channeling is already impossible since the effective well is absent. Meanwhile, spiral scattering exists for this energy.

The last feature is due to the existence of a singularity of the deflection function for any smooth periodic potential similar to that shown in Fig. 5 if the critical conditions corresponding to the equality in Eqs. (11) and (12) are exactly satisfied. However, in contrast to the logarithmic singularity considered by Ford and Wheeler [1] and given by expansion (6), the singularity type changes. In this case, an inflection point ( $P_c$ in Fig. 5) appears instead of the local minimum; i.e., the second derivative $u''(r_{min})$ is also zero at this point and the series expansion of $u(r)$ in the vicinity of the minimum $r = r_{min}$ becomes

$$u(r) \approx u(r_{min}) + \frac{u(r_{min})''}{6}(r - r_{min})^3.$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

It is easy to see that integral (4) for $b = u(r_{min})$ has the inverse square-root singularity

$$\chi(b) \approx -\frac{1}{\sqrt{|u(r_{min}) - b|}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

Hence, the spiral scattering and deflection should take place for $|\phi_o| = |\phi_o,c|$.
The spiral-scattering range $\Delta \hat{b}_s$ remains unchanged.

Owing to the absence of thermal vibrations and the low electron density in the region of local minima, the volume capture of negatively charged particles is much smaller and the spiral-scattering width is much greater than the respective quantities for positively charged particles. Hence, negatively charged particles deflected along the crystal bending by an angle larger than $2\theta_L$ propagate in the spiral-scattering mode. The above estimates show that the fraction of negatively charged particles for the maximum spiral-scattering width is $3\%$ $9\%$. The preliminary experiments [10, 11] on the scattering of 180-GeV electrons in $Si$ show that a fairly large number of particles move at an angle larger than $> 2\theta_L = 32$ rad and do not have the peak typical of volume capture at the end of the angular size of the crystal.

The local minima in the case of positively charged particles moving in weakly bent crystals are in the region of high electron densities and thermal fluctuations of potentials. Thus, the trapping of spiral particles to the channeling mode seems to be the most probable secondary process. However, the spiral scattering is also significant in this case since the motion along the crystal bending ensures the intense volume capture of the particles in the region $\Delta \hat{b}_s$. For the crystal bending equal to the critical radius $R_c$ when the channeled states are absent, the presence of particles with a rotation angle exceeding $2\theta_L$ can be undoubtedly interpreted as the presence of spiral scattering in this case and in the case of negatively charged particles.

I am grateful to Andrea Mazzolari for attracting my attention to work [10].
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