In vitro and in vivo characterization of a West Nile virus MAD78 infectious clone
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Abstract The viral determinants governing the varied neuropathogenicity of different West Nile virus (WNV) strains are poorly understood. Here, we generated an infectious clone (WNV-MADIC) of the non-pathogenic strain WNV-MAD78 and compared its replication to that of parental WNV-MAD78 and a WNV-MAD78 infectious clone (WNV-MADTX-UTRs) containing the 5′ and 3′ untranslated regions (UTRs) of the pathogenic strain WNV-TX. All three viruses replicated at similar rates and caused similar lethality in mice. Thus, the infectious clone is indistinguishable from parental virus in replication and neurovirulence, and the UTRs alone do not account for the increased virulence of WNV-TX compared to WNV-MAD78.
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West Nile virus (WNV) is a member of the genus Flavivirus, family Flaviviridae. In Africa, Asia, and the Middle East, WNV is endemic, and infection is primarily asymptomatic or associated with a mild febrile illness known as WN fever. However, recent outbreaks of WNV in the western hemisphere have occurred with a significantly higher incidence of severe neurological disease [1–5]. The viral determinants responsible for the increased virulence of these emerging WNV strains are poorly understood.

The naturally occurring diversity in the neuropathogenicity among strains of WNV provides an excellent model system to define virulence determinants of emergent strains. To facilitate comparative pathogenicity studies, we generated a complete full-length infectious clone of the lineage 2 African isolate WNV-MAD78. Since WNV-MAD78 is non-pathogenic in mice when inoculated in the periphery [6, 7], it is a useful strain for comparison studies with pathogenic lineage 1 strains such as WNV-NY99 or WNV-TX02, for which infectious clones are also available.

Although the sequence of the first 10,866 nucleotides, representing nearly the entire genome, of WNV-MAD78 was reported previously (accession DQ176636), the precise length and sequence of the 3′ end of the genome was not determined. Therefore, we sequenced the 3′ end of WNV-MAD78 RNA extracted from infected A549 cells. TRIzol (Invitrogen)-extracted RNA was treated with Terminator Exonuclease (Epicentre) to selectively remove rRNA. Since the WNV genome lacks a polyA tail, the extracted RNA was polyadenylated with polyA polymerase (NEB)
Generation of a WNV-MAD78 infectious clone. (a) Scale representation of WNV-MAD78. The last 97 nucleotides of the genome, representing the additional portion sequenced here, are indicated. (b) Percent identity of the 5′ and 3′ UTRs among WNV strains. Sequences were downloaded from GenBank, and ClustalW Alignment was performed with MacVector version 10.0.2 using the entire UTR sequences. Values represent percent identities among strains for the 3′ UTR (bold text) or 5′ UTR (regular text). The strains used (with accession numbers indicated in parentheses) are as follows: AUS60 (GQ851602), AUS91 (GQ851603), CO03 (DQ164203), TX02 (DQ164198), NY-3356 (AF404756), NY99 (AY842931), ROM97 (AF269069), ITA98 (AF404757), ETH76 (AY603654), EG101 (EU081844), IND68 (EU249803), MAD78 (DQ176636), CYP68 (GQ903680), WNV-956 (NC_001563), Sarafend (AY688948), CAR82 (DQ318020), SAS8 (EF429200), SA89 (EF429197), and SEN90 (DQ318019). Strains 1 to 11 are lineage 1 and strains 12 to 19 are lineage 2. (c) Construction of the infectious clone. RT-PCR was carried out on parental WNV-MAD78 RNA to generate the eight cDNAs shown in Stage 1. Nucleotide boundaries are numbered based on parental WNV-MAD78. Restriction sites inserted during RT-PCR, which are not present in the genome, are indicated (*), and those shown in gray were not present in the final full-length construct. In Stage 2, the indicated fragments were subcloned into the four plasmids indicated (Plasmid 1, pWSK29 [9]; Plasmid 2, pEGFP (Clonetech); Plasmid 3, pBKS (Stratagene); Plasmid 4, pWSK129 [9]). In Stage 3, the WNV-MAD78 regions of Plasmids 1–4 were combined in the order indicated to generate the full-length WNV-MAD\textsubscript{IC}. Arrows indicate restriction digests. (d) Annotated sequence of WNV-MAD\textsubscript{IC} ends. On the left, the sequence and location of the T7 primer (white box) relative to the WNV 5′UTR (grey box) is indicated. The arrow indicates the site of transcription initiation. On the right, the placement of the NotI site (underlined nucleotides) at the end of the WNV 3′ UTR (grey box) is shown, with an arrow representing the position of endonuclease cleavage. (e) Table of sequence differences between WNV-MAD\textsubscript{IC} and parental WNV-MAD78. Differences between our WNV-MAD78 stock, the recovered WNV-MAD\textsubscript{IC} virus, the WNV-MAD\textsubscript{IC} plasmid, and the published WNV-MAD78 GenBank sequence (accession DQ176636) are indicated and then used as a template for reverse transcription (RT) with an oligo-dT primer. The 3′ end of the resulting cDNA was PCR-amplified using the oligo-dT primer and a sense primer corresponding to position 10743 within the WNV-MAD78 genome. Sequence analysis identified 20 nucleotides immediately adjacent to the added polyA nucleotides that aligned with the 3′ end of another lineage 2 strain of WNV, WNV-956, suggesting that this sequence represented the exact 3′ end of WNV-MAD78. To confirm the sequence of the WNV-MAD78 3′UTR, we repeated the amplification of this region using the 10743 primer and an antisense primer complimentary to the exact 3′ end of the WNV-MAD78 genome. Sequence analysis of the resulting RT-PCR product identified a total of 97 nucleotides that were not reported previously (Fig. 1a and accession number KJ909513). Alignment of the complete 3′ UTR sequence of WNV-MAD78 with that of other WNV strains indicated that the WNV-MAD78 3′ UTR diverges from most lineage 1 and 2 strains (Fig. 1b). In contrast, the WNV-MAD78 5′UTR is highly conserved (Fig. 1b).

To generate a full-length infectious clone of WNV-MAD78, we utilized the strategy outlined in Fig. 1c. First, total RNA extracted from WNV-MAD78-infected Vero cells was used as template for RT-PCR to generate eight WNV-MAD78 cDNAs spanning the entire virus genome (Fig. 1c, Stage 1). During PCR, an MluI restriction site followed by the T7 promoter was inserted immediately upstream of the 5′ end of the genome (Fig. 1d). Several other restriction sites were engineered at various locations within the cDNAs to facilitate cloning but were not incorporated into the final construct. Additionally, a NotI restriction site was inserted at the 3′ end of the genome.

Fig. 2 WNV-MAD\textsubscript{IC} displays similar biological properties to parental WNV-MAD78. (a) Vero cells were inoculated with WNV-MAD78 or WNV-MAD\textsubscript{IC} (MOI = 0.05). Culture supernatants were collected at the indicated times and the concentration of virus was determined by plaque assay on Vero cells. Values represent the average number of plaque-forming units (PFU) per mL of supernatant (+/- standard deviation) from three independent experiments. (b) Eight- to twelve-week-old C57BL/6 or Ifnar \textsuperscript{-/-} mice were infected with WNV-MAD78 or WNV-MAD\textsubscript{IC} (n = 7) by subcutaneous injection of 100 PFU into the left rear footpad. Mice were monitored daily and euthanized when body weight loss was >20% or they reached clinical scores of 4 or above (1, no paresis; 2, mild paresis; 3, frank paresis; 4, severe paresis; 5, true paresis; 6, moribund).
(Fig. 1d). Each cDNA was blunt-end ligated into the cloning vector pVL-blunt (a kind gift from Vincent Lee) [8]. In Stage 2, the eight WNV fragments were subcloned as indicated in Fig. 1c to generate four plasmids encoding the entire genome. The full-length infectious clone (pWNV-MADIC; accession number KJ909514) was generated by assembling the four WNV segments into the very-low-copy plasmid pWSK29 [9] as outlined in Fig. 1c, Stage 3. Sequencing of the final full-length construct identified four silent mutations compared to the previously published WNV-MAD78 sequence (Fig. 1e). To determine if these mutations were introduced during the cloning process, we sequenced the corresponding regions of our WNV-MAD78 stock. Of the four mutations noted, three were present in our WNV-MAD78 stock (Fig. 1e), indicating that only one (T5339C) arose during the cloning process.

To generate virus, linear pWNV-MADIC was used as template for in vitro transcription, and 12 μg of the resulting RNA was used to transfert 1 × 10⁶ Vero cells using the Neon® Transfection System (Invitrogen) set to the following conditions: 1150 V, 20 ms, and 2 pulses. After seven days, culture supernatant containing WNV-MADIC was collected and subsequently passaged once in Vero cells to generate a working stock. The presence of a cytosine nucleotide at position 5339 within the recovered virus (Fig. 1e) confirmed that it was WNV-MADIC and not parental WNV-MAD78.

To assess the biological properties of WNV-MADIC, we compared the growth kinetics of the recovered virus to that of the parental strain. Vero cells were infected with WNV-MAD78 or WNV-MADIC and infectious particle production assessed by plaque assay (Fig. 2a). Similar levels of infectious particles were observed at all times, with peak
levels occurring at 48 h after infection for both viruses (Fig. 2a). Moreover, plaques for both viruses developed at similar rates on Vero cells and were visible at 6 days post-inoculation. To assess virulence, wild-type C57BL/6 and interferon receptor knockout (Ifnar−/−) mice, which are resistant and highly susceptible, respectively, to WNV-MAD78 [7], were inoculated subcutaneously with 100 PFU of WNV-MAD78 or WNV-MADIC (Fig. 2b). No differences in survival were observed. In wild-type mice, neither strain caused mortality or weight loss, though one mouse inoculated with WNV-MADIC showed mild signs of disease. In contrast, in the absence of IFN signaling, infection with either strain was 100% lethal. Thus, the virus recovered from the infectious clone was indistinguishable from the parental strain.

Recently, Suthar et al. generated a similar infectious clone, herein referred to as WNV-MADTX-UTRs, which contains the 5’ and 3’ UTRs of a virulent WNV strain, WNV-TX [10]. The WNV-TX UTRs have notable differences from those of WNV-MAD78. Within the 5’ UTR, WNV-TX contains an extra adenosine at position 51, and the WNV-TX 3’ UTR is 78 nucleotides longer and shares only 73.2% sequence identity with that of WNV-MAD78 (Fig. 1b). Specific sequences and structural folds within the UTRs are critical for WNV replication [11–15], and previous work suggests that the UTRs are virulence determinants [16]. Thus, sequence differences among the UTRs of various WNV strains may alter the structure and function of these regions and thereby influence the pathogenicity of the resulting virus. To assess the effect of the TX-UTRs on WNV-MAD78 fitness, we compared WNV-MAD78, WNV-MADIC, and WNV-MADTX-UTRs replication in A549 cells, human brain cortical astrocytes (HBCAs), and C6/36 cells, models for WNV infection within the periphery, the neurovascular unit, and the mosquito vector, respectively. In all cell types, similar titers were observed for all three viruses throughout the infection (Fig. 3a-c), consistent with the initial characterization of WNV-MADTX-UTRs within A549 cells [10]. Thus, the TX-UTRs are neither beneficial nor detrimental to WNV-MAD78 replication in vitro. However, we consistently observed that WNV-MADTX-UTRs plaques on Vero cells were larger than those of WNV-MADIC or parental WNV-MAD78 (Fig. 3d), suggesting that the presence of TX-UTRs may marginally enhance viral spread in some mammalian cells.

When inoculated in the periphery, parental WNV-MAD78 and WNV-MADTX-UTRs are completely avirulent in mice [10]. In contrast, approximately 60% of mice inoculated intracranially with parental WNV-MAD78 succumb to infection [17], making intracranial (IC) inoculation a good model for assessing virulence. To determine if the WNV-TX UTRs affected WNV-MAD78 virulence, we compared WNV-MAD78, WNV-MADIC, and WNV-MADTX-UTRs in 4-week-old outbred Swiss Webster mice (Harlan Laboratories) inoculated intracranially with 10 pfu of virus or a sham PBS control. All viruses exhibited similar lethality (Fig. 3e, p = 0.766), with 80–90% of infected animals succumbing to infection by 9 days after inoculation. Morbidity correlated directly with mortality, and no animals that lost weight or showed signs of disease recovered (data not shown). Thus, the virulence phenotypes of the infectious clones are indistinguishable from each other and from that of parental WNV-MAD78.

In summary, we have generated an infectious WNV-MAD78 clone (WNV-MADIC) that contains the authentic UTRs of the viral RNA and is indistinguishable from parental WNV-MAD78 in replication and neurovirulence. Replacing the WNV-MAD78 UTRs with those of a pathogenic strain of WNV had no effect on the biological phenotype of WNV-MAD78. This finding is consistent with a study of another low-pathogenicity WNV strain, Kunjin virus, in which replacing both the Kunjin UTRs with those of WNV-NY did not enhance virulence in mice [16], though replacing the 5’ UTR alone did increase pathogenicity. Thus, divergence among the UTRs of various WNV strains may not be a determining factor in virulence, as long as they are maintained as a cognate pair.

Acknowledgements Work was supported by NIH grants AI083397 (BLF), R01 AI074973 (MG) and U19 AI083019 (MG). Katherine L. Hussmann was supported by a graduate research fellowship from the NSF (NSF GFRP).

Conflicts of Interest The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

1. Hayes CG (2001) West Nile virus: Uganda, 1937, to New York City, 1999. Ann NY Acad Sci 951:25–37
2. Murray KO, Mertens E, Despres P (2010) West Nile virus and its emergence in the United States of America. Vet Res 41(6):67
3. Petersen LR, Roehrig JT (2001) West Nile virus: a reemerging global pathogen. Emerg Infect Dis 7(4):611–614. doi: 10.3201/eid0704.010401
4. Savage HM, Ceianu C, Nicolescu G, Karabatsos N, Lanciotti R, Vladimirinescu A, Laiv L, Ungureanu A, Romanca C, Tsai TF (1999) Entomologic and avian investigations of an epidemic of West Nile fever in Romania in 1996, with serologic and molecular characterization of a virus isolate from mosquitoes. Am J Trop Med Hyg 61(4):600–611
5. Sejvar JJ, Marfin AA (2006) Manifestations of West Nile neuroinvasive disease. Rev Med Virol 16(4):209–224. doi:10.1002/rmv.501
6. Beasley DW, Li L, Suderman MT, Barrett AD (2002) Mouse neuroinvasive phenotype of West Nile virus strains varies
depending upon virus genotype. Virology 296(1):17–23. doi:10.1006/viro.2002.1372
7. Keller BC, Fredericksen BL, Samuel MA, Mock RE, Mason PW, Diamond MS, Gale M Jr (2006) Resistance to alpha/beta interferon is a determinant of West Nile virus replication fitness and virulence. J Virol 80(19):9424–9434. doi:10.1128/JVI.00768-06
8. Donaldson GP, Roelofs KG, Luo Y, Sintim HO, Lee VT (2012) A rapid assay for affinity and kinetics of molecular interactions with nucleic acids. Nucleic Acids Res 40(7):e48. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr1299
9. Wang RF, Kushner SR (1991) Construction of versatile low-copy-number vectors for cloning, sequencing and gene expression in Escherichia coli. Gene 100:195–199
10. Suthar MS, Brassil MM, Blahnik G, Gale M Jr (2012) Infectious clones of novel lineage 1 and lineage 2 West Nile virus strains WNV-TX02 and WNV-Madagascar. J Virol 86(14):7704–7709. doi:10.1128/JVI.00401-12
11. Brinton MA, Dispoto JH (1988) Sequence and secondary structure analysis of the 5'-terminal region of flavivirus genome RNA. Virology 162(2):290–299
12. Khromykh AA, Meka H, Guyatt KJ, Westaway EG (2001) Essential role of cyclization sequences in flavivirus RNA replication. J Virol 75(14):6719–6728. doi:10.1128/JVI.75.14.6719-6728.2001
13. Li XF, Jiang T, Yu XD, Deng YQ, Zhao H, Zhu QY, Qin ED, Qin CF (2010) RNA elements within the 5' untranslated region of the West Nile virus genome are critical for RNA synthesis and virus replication. J Gen Virol 91(Pt 5):1218–1223. doi:10.1099/vir.0.013854-0
14. Hahn CS, Hahn YS, Rice CM, Lee E, Dalgaro L, Strauss EG, Strauss JH (1987) Conserved elements in the 3' untranslated region of flavivirus RNAs and potential cyclization sequences. J Mol Biol 198(1):33–41
15. Zhang B, Dong H, Stein DA, Iversen PL, Shi PY (2008) West Nile virus genome cyclization and RNA replication require two pairs of long-distance RNA interactions. Virology 373(1):1–13. doi:10.1016/j.virol.2008.01.016
16. Audsley M, Edmonds J, Liu W, Mokhonov V, Mokhonova E, Melian EB, Prow N, Hall RA, Khromykh AA (2011) Virulence determinants between New York 99 and Kunjin strains of West Nile virus. Virology 414(1):63–73. doi:10.1016/j.virol.2011.03.008
17. Shrestha B, Zhang B, Purtha WE, Klein RS, Diamond MS (2008) Tumor necrosis factor alpha protects against lethal West Nile virus infection by promoting trafficking of mononuclear leukocytes into the central nervous system. J Virol 82(18):8956–8964. doi:10.1128/JVI.01118-08