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Phase separation in the vicinity of the surface of $\kappa$-(BEDT-TTF)$_2$Cu[N(CN)$_2$]Br by fast cooling
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Partial suppression of superconductivity by fast cooling has been observed in the organic superconductor $\kappa$-(BEDT-TTF)$_2$Cu[N(CN)$_2$]Br by two means: a marked sample size effect on the magnetic susceptibility and direct imaging of insulating domains by scanning microregion infrared reflectance spectroscopy. Macroscopic insulating regions are proposed in the vicinity of the crystalline surface after fast cooling, with diameters of 50–100 $\mu$m and depths of a few $\mu$m. The very large in-plane penetration depth reported to date ($\sim$24–100 $\mu$m) can be explained by the existence of the insulating regions.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The magnetic penetration depth $\lambda$ is one of the most fundamental parameters of superconductivity. It reflects the excitation of quasiparticles, governed by the superconducting (SC) gap symmetry. To clarify the mechanism of superconductivity, a careful study of the temperature dependence of $\lambda$ is essential.

There have been many previous studies on the in-plane penetration depth $\lambda_{\parallel}$ of the quasi-two-dimensional organic superconductors $\kappa$-(BEDT-TTF)$_2$X [$X=$Cu(NCS)$_2$ or Cu[N(CN)$_2$]Br; BEDT-TTF: bis(ethylendithio)-tetraethylvalene, also written as ET], by ac susceptibility,1–6 surface impedance,5,6 ac inductance,7 $\mu$SR,8–11 magnetization,12–15 etc. In spite of these efforts, the temperature dependence of $\lambda_{\parallel}$ and the absolute value of $\lambda_{\parallel}(0)$ are still unknown or controversial. A precise determination of $\lambda_{\parallel}(0)$ is important for the superfluid density $\rho(T)=[\lambda_{\parallel}(T)/\lambda_{\parallel}(0)]^{-2}$; the behavior of $\rho(T)$ is strongly dependent on $\lambda_{\parallel}(0)$.7 However, the reported values of $\lambda_{\parallel}(0)$ for the two superconductors have large distributions, 0.4–2 $\mu$m $[X=$Cu(NCS)$_2$] and 0.57–100 $\mu$m $[X=$Cu[N(CN)$_2$]Br].15 We have recently found that these values of $\lambda_{\parallel}(0)$ can be classified into two groups:15 one for $\lambda_{\parallel}(0)$ larger than 1 $\mu$m and the other for shorter $\lambda_{\parallel}(0)$. The data in the first group were measured in magnetic fields lower than $H_{c1}$, in which a shielding current flows at the sample surface (shielding state). In this case, $\lambda$ indicates the length from the surface $H$ penetrates the sample. The data in the second group were measured in magnetic fields higher than $H_{c1}$, in which $H$ penetrates into the sample as vortices (mixed state). In this case, $\lambda$ is a decay length of the magnetic field from the center of a vortex.

A remarkable difference in $\lambda_{\parallel}(0)$ between the two groups appears for fast cooling, especially for $X=$Cu[N(CN)$_2$]Br. In ac susceptibility measurements4 in magnetic fields lower than $H_{c1}$, $\lambda_{\parallel}(0)$ is 24–100 $\mu$m, which is two or three orders of magnitude larger than that obtained from magnetization measurements14,15 in magnetic fields higher than $H_{c1}$, $\lambda_{\parallel}(0)\sim0.7$ $\mu$m. The former value of $\lambda_{\parallel}(0)$ is quite large as a characteristic parameter of superconductivity and is likely to be an overestimate. This measurement may be sensitive to the conditions of the first several layers of the surface, e.g., edge, step, and surface reconstruction.16 However, the fast cooling data of $\lambda_{\parallel}(0)$ in the low field measurements are still too large to be explained by these surface effects in the nanometer scale. This implies the existence of non-SC regions in the vicinity of the surface, probably in the macroscopic scale.

In the present paper, we suggest that the SC state in $\kappa$-(h8ET)$_2$Cu[N(CN)$_2$]Br (abbreviated as $\kappa$-h8ET-Br, where h8ET is conventional hydrogenated BEDT-TTF) after fast cooling coexists with non-SC (insulating) portions in the micrometer scale in the vicinity of the crystalline surface. We prove this by two experiments. In the first experiment, a marked size effect on the SC volume fraction is obtained from static magnetic susceptibility measurements. On the basis of a crude model, we propose that the mean depth of the insulating region is a few $\mu$m from the surface. In the second experiment we directly image an insulating region of 50–100 $\mu$m diameter by scanning microregion infrared reflectance spectroscopy (SMIS) measurements.17,18 This technique is insensitive to the surface condition of the first several layers and thus is suitable for the present study. The very large reported $\lambda_{\parallel}(0)$ ($\sim$24–100 $\mu$m) can be reasonably well explained by the existence of thin insulating domains in the surface vicinity.

II. EXPERIMENTS

Single crystals of $\kappa$-h8ET-Br were grown by a standard electrochemical oxidation technique. The crystalline shape of $\kappa$-h8ET-Br is typically rectangular with (010) rhombohedral facets (inset of Fig. 2).

Static magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed using a SQUID magnetometer (Quantum Design, MPMS-5XL). To investigate the crystalline size effect, we chose 10 single crystals (1 to 10).19 The samples with larger index numbers have smaller crystalline size. A magnetic field of 3 Oe was applied perpendicular to the conduction plane. The susceptibilities were measured in a zero-field-cooling condition, giving shielding curves. A very fast cooling pro-
procedure (~100 K/min) from room temperature to 15 K was first adopted, giving the “quenched” (Q) state. Then a second cooling process was carried out with 0.2 K/min after warming to 100 K, giving the “slow-cooled” (S) state. The demagnetization factor was corrected using an ellipsoidal approximation.

SMIS studies were performed using a synchrotron radiation beam at the BL43IR in SPring-8.20 Polarized reflectance spectra were measured on the conductive ca plane with the electric field parallel to the a axis by use of a Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrophotometer from 500 to 2500 cm\(^{-1}\). A single crystal of \(\kappa\)-(h8ET)\(_2\)Br with dimensions approximately 0.5 × 0.3 × 0.1 mm\(^3\) (the same sample as used in Ref. 18) was attached to a thermal anchor with carbon paste. The sample was cooled slowly at a rate of ~0.4 K/min from room temperature to 4 K, giving the S state. After the SMIS measurement in the S state, the temperature was increased to 120 K and then cooled at a rate of ~35 K/min, giving the Q state.

III. RESULTS

Figures 1(a)–1(c) show the temperature dependences of the magnetic susceptibility in \(\kappa\)-(h8ET)\(_2\)Br for single crystals with different sample sizes. Regardless of sample size, an almost perfect Meissner effect is realized in the S state (filled circles). In the large sample 3, shown in Fig. 1(a), there is no change in the SC volume between the S and Q states within the experimental error. This is consistent with previous reports.\(^{15,21}\) In contrast, suppression of the SC volume in the vicinity.

In SMIS measurements, a shift of the fully symmetric molecular vibration mode \(v_3\) can be a relevant indicator of the electronic state, because it is very sensitive to the difference between the SC (metallic) and insulating phases.\(^{23}\) Peak frequency maps of the \(v_3\) mode are shown in Figs. 3(a)\(^{18}\) and 3(b) for the S and Q states, respectively. In these maps brighter colors represent higher frequencies. In the S state, the peak frequency shows good spatial homogeneity at approximately 1320 cm\(^{-1}\). The SC phase gives a higher frequency in the \(v_3\) mode at low temperatures,\(^{23}\) demonstrating that the homogeneous SC state appears in the S state. On the
Furthermore, in $^{13}$C-NMR studies of $\kappa$-h8ET-Br after fast cooling, no trace of the AFI phase has been observed. On the contrary, a marked crystalline size effect on the SC volume fraction in the $Q$ state is found, reflecting macroscopic suppression of superconductivity, and an insulating region with 50–100 $\mu$m diameter is directly observed by the SMIS technique.

Recently, macroscopic phase separation has been found near the Mott transition for the $d8$ET molecular substituted system $\kappa-[(h8ET)\text{$_x$}(d8ET)\text{$_{1-x}$}]_2Cu[N(CN)$_2$]Br by the same SMIS measurement. For the $Q$ state with $x = 0.7$, it was observed that the dominant insulating region contains a small SC region. This corresponds to a SC volume fraction suppressed to much less than $\sim 10\%$. Similarly, the present insulating region observed in quenched $\kappa$-h8ET-Br (an endmember with $x = 0$) seems to grow over a wide area of 50–100 $\mu$m diameter. At first sight, one might regard the result for $x = 0$ as evidence that large portions of the sample volume become insulating. However, this is inconsistent with the large SC volume even in the $Q$ state of 80–90 % [Fig. 1(c)]. We note here that the skin depth $\delta$ in the SMIS measurement, $\delta = c/(2\pi\omega\sigma)^{1/2}$, is roughly estimated to be 0.7 $\mu$m, where $c$ is the speed of light and using the values $2\pi\omega = 1000$ cm$^{-1}$ and $\sigma = 1000$ S/cm. Thus, although the SMIS measurements provide satisfactory bulk properties at a depth of approximately 1 $\mu$m, they do not give information on regions much deeper than $\delta$ from the crystalline surface. Taking account of absence of the insulating regions detectable in NMR, the existence of the insulating domains at inner site will be extracted. Accordingly, we suggest that the insulating regions in quenched $\kappa$-h8ET-Br are located in the surface vicinity and the depth of the thin domain is distributed over the surface. To verify this proposal, detailed NMR measurements for a quenched single crystal with several sample sizes will be required.

In the following, we discuss the mean depth scale of the thin domain. A crude model can explain the SC suppression in the $Q$ state, suggesting that the insulating region extends down to a depth of $\sim 2$ $\mu$m.

For the magnetic susceptibility measurements, we chose samples with a similar dimensional ratio of $x:y:z = 1:0.9:0.2$. We here adopt the longest length $x$ as the parameter representing the sample size, namely, $y = px$, $z = qx$, and the sample volume $V_0 = pqx^3$, where $p$ and $q$ are dimensionless numbers, $p = 0.9$ and $q = 0.2$. The first finding is that an almost perfect Meissner effect is observed in the $S$ state, regardless of $x$. Thus the SC volume in the $S$ state ($V_{SC}^S$) can be defined as the crystalline volume: $V_{SC}^S = V_0$. Of course the SC volume is not the same as $V_0$, because the magnetic field penetrates into the surface by $\lambda_s$; however, it is sufficiently negligible, as shown below. The second finding is that the SC volume decreases in smaller samples by quenching, i.e., a smaller $x$ gives a larger $\Delta(4\pi\chi)$, as shown in Fig. 2. To consider $\Delta(4\pi\chi)$ as a function of $x$, we here assume an SC state with a rectangular insulating shell: superconductivity in the $Q$ state is suppressed in a mean depth $\bar{d}$ from all the surfaces and edges (i.e., $\bar{d}$ is the thickness of the thin shell shown in the inset of Fig. 2) and $\bar{d}$ is independent of sample size. On the basis of this assumption, the SC

IV. DISCUSSION

In the phase diagram of the $\kappa-(ET)_2X$ system, the SC and antiferromagnetic Mott insulating (AFI) phases appear next to one another. The ground state in $\kappa$-h8ET-Br is the SC phase, while the fully deuterated salt $\kappa-(d8ET)_2Cu[N(CN)$_2$]Br (abbreviated as $\kappa-d8$ET-Br, where $d8$ET is fully-deuterated BEDT-TTF), has an AFI ground state accompanied by a minor SC phase (phase separation). Deuteration therefore changes the ground state of the system, the SC volume fraction in the $Q$ state is found, reflecting macroscopic suppression of superconductivity, and an insulating region with 50–100 $\mu$m diameter is directly observed by the SMIS technique. However, this is inconsistent with the large SC volume even in the $Q$ state of 80–90 % [Fig. 1(c)]. We note here that the skin depth $\delta$ in the SMIS measurement, $\delta = c/(2\pi\omega\sigma)^{1/2}$, is roughly estimated to be 0.7 $\mu$m, where $c$ is the speed of light and using the values $2\pi\omega = 1000$ cm$^{-1}$ and $\sigma = 1000$ S/cm. Thus, although the SMIS measurements provide satisfactory bulk properties at a depth of approximately 1 $\mu$m, they do not give information on regions much deeper than $\delta$ from the crystalline surface. Taking account of absence of the insulating regions detectable in NMR, the existence of the insulating domains at inner site will be extracted. Accordingly, we suggest that the insulating regions in quenched $\kappa$-h8ET-Br are located in the surface vicinity and the depth of the thin domain is distributed over the surface. To verify this proposal, detailed NMR measurements for a quenched single crystal with several sample sizes will be required.

In the following, we discuss the mean depth scale of the thin domain. A crude model can explain the SC suppression in the $Q$ state, suggesting that the insulating region extends down to a depth of $\sim 2$ $\mu$m.

For the magnetic susceptibility measurements, we chose samples with a similar dimensional ratio of $x:y:z = 1:0.9:0.2$. We here adopt the longest length $x$ as the parameter representing the sample size, namely, $y = px$, $z = qx$, and the sample volume $V_0 = pqx^3$, where $p$ and $q$ are dimensionless numbers, $p = 0.9$ and $q = 0.2$. The first finding is that an almost perfect Meissner effect is observed in the $S$ state, regardless of $x$. Thus the SC volume in the $S$ state ($V_{SC}^S$) can be defined as the crystalline volume: $V_{SC}^S = V_0$. Of course the SC volume is not the same as $V_0$, because the magnetic field penetrates into the surface by $\lambda_s$; however, it is sufficiently negligible, as shown below. The second finding is that the SC volume decreases in smaller samples by quenching, i.e., a smaller $x$ gives a larger $\Delta(4\pi\chi)$, as shown in Fig. 2. To consider $\Delta(4\pi\chi)$ as a function of $x$, we here assume an SC state with a rectangular insulating shell: superconductivity in the $Q$ state is suppressed in a mean depth $\bar{d}$ from all the surfaces and edges (i.e., $\bar{d}$ is the thickness of the thin shell shown in the inset of Fig. 2) and $\bar{d}$ is independent of sample size. On the basis of this assumption, the SC
volume in the $Q$ state is $V_Q^{SC} \approx (x-2\tilde{d})(y-2\tilde{d})(z-2\tilde{d})$. We finally obtain the non-SC fraction in the $Q$ state as

$$\frac{(V_S^{SC} - V_Q^{SC})/V_S^{SC}}{\Delta M} = a\tilde{d}/x$$

(1)

for $\tilde{d} \ll x$, where $a = 2(p+q+pq)/pq = 14.2$. This fraction can be compared with the experimental values of $\Delta(4\pi\chi)/4\pi\chi_S$. In Fig. 2, $(V_S^{SC} - V_Q^{SC})/V_S^{SC}$ is depicted as a function of $x$ for $\tilde{d}=0.5, 2$, and $4 \mu$m (solid curves). One can see that the curve for $\tilde{d}=2 \mu$m is in good accordance with the experimental data. This value of $\tilde{d}$ is adequate for the present system, because it satisfies all the following conditions: (i) $\tilde{d} \ll x \approx 200 \mu$m, (ii) $\tilde{d} \geq \lambda_0(0)[\approx 0.6-0.7 \mu$m (Ref. 15)], and (iii) $\tilde{d} \approx \delta \approx 0.7 \mu$m. The first two conditions justify the derivation of Eq. (1) and the third guarantees that the bulk insulating properties are surely captured in the SMIS studies. Regardless of the naive assumption that the SC state is suppressed over all the surfaces, this shell-type model well explains the present crystalline size effect. Indeed, as shown in Fig. 3(b), the SC region clearly remains in the $Q$ state. We never rule out the existence of insulating regions that penetrate further into the sample than $\tilde{d}$.

The existence of a macroscopic insulating phase at a depth of a few $\mu$m explains the overestimation of the fast cooling data for $\lambda_0(0)$ observed in the low magnetic field measurements. In the magnetization ($M$) measurements performed in magnetic fields higher than $H_{c1}$, $\lambda_0$ is obtained from the slope in the $M(H)$ curves, in which the influence of the insulating regions is sufficiently negligible. However, in the low field measurements, the correct estimation of $\lambda_0$ is disturbed by the shell-type insulating domain induced by fast cooling, because the magnetic field penetrates into the insulating regions. This leads to the overestimation of $\lambda_0(0)$ as described below. If the suppression of the SC volume is attributed to the magnetic penetration depth to the SC state from the edge, $\lambda_0(0)$ becomes very large, $\approx 24-100 \mu$m. However, this is an incorrect treatment because the suppressed SC volume fraction after quenching mainly contains the contribution of the insulating shell with $\tilde{d}$, as demonstrated in Fig. 2. Accordingly, the very large $\lambda_0(0)$ in the low field measurements after fast cooling can be explained as being due to the influence of the shell-type insulating domain.

Finally, we discuss what happens after fast cooling in $\kappa$-h8ET-Br. Two contributions originate from fast cooling. The first is the chemical pressure effect, as in the case of deuterium. As discussed in previous reports,$^{21,28}$ fast cooling through $80$ K decreases $W$, giving rise to an increase of $U/W$. Thus the macroscopic non-SC region in quenched $\kappa$-h8ET-Br will also be a Mott insulating phase, because $\kappa$-h8ET-Br is in the vicinity of the Mott insulating phase. Indeed, no SC suppression is detected in $\kappa$-(h8ET)$_2$Cu(NCS)$_2$, which is farther from the Mott boundary. The present size effect on the SC volume and the large increase of $\lambda_0(0)$ observed in the low field measurements are due to the existence of the macroscopic AFI region induced by chemical pressure.

The second contribution from fast cooling is the introduction of molecular disorder. There is an internal degree of freedom in the terminal ethylene groups of the ET molecule (conformational disorder). The conformational disorder is thermally excited at room temperature and is frozen into the system by fast cooling. The frozen disorder will be distributed randomly all over the sample, both in the SC and non-SC regions. The disorder in the SC region gives impurity scattering. The increase of the Dingle temperature and the slight increase of $\lambda_0(0)$ observed in the high field measurements$^{14,15}$ can be explained as the influence of quasiparticle scattering by the increase of disorder in the fast cooling process. Meanwhile, a decrease of $T_c$,$^{14,15,21,30}$ vortex pinning suppression,$^{29}$ and an increase of the residual resistivity$^{30}$ may result from both the contributions of microscopic impurity scattering and macroscopic insulating region (or boundary) formation by fast cooling.

The question as to why the insulating region grows in the vicinity of the surface is still open. A possible speculation is that many more disorders in the surface vicinity are induced by very fast cooling than those in the inner site. A very fast cooling treatment may not guarantee a homogeneous cooling state within a sample, because of a thermal flow problem. Such inhomogeneous distribution of disorders may result in the present shell type insulating state. Besides, several authors have reported unconventional electronic properties arising from the surface state.$^{16,25,26}$ For example, in $\kappa$-(h8ET)$_2$Cu(NCS)$_2$, two kinds of photoemission spectra have been found, attributed to the different surface types. However, the present shell type insulating domain has a bulk scale of $\approx 2 \mu$m in depth, which is about 700 times larger than the interlayer distance $\approx 15 \AA$. This seems to be too large for a coherence scale originating from the surface structure of the first layer, such as structural reconstruction. Further study will be needed to clarify this point.

V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have proposed a macroscopic shell type insulating domain in quenched $\kappa$-h8ET-Br by the observation of a marked size effect on the SC suppression and by SMIS mapping of the $Q$ state. The thin insulating domain is located in the surface vicinity with $50-100 \mu$m diameter and a few $\mu$m depth. The very large value of $\lambda_0(0)$ obtained from the measurements in magnetic fields lower than $H_{c1}$ after fast cooling can be explained well by the existence of the shell type insulating domain.
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