A Human Skin Model for Assessing Arboviral Infections
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Arboviruses such as flaviviruses and alphaviruses cause a significant human healthcare burden on a global scale. Transmission of these viruses occurs during human blood feeding at the mosquito-skin interface. Not only do pathogen immune evasion strategies influence the initial infection and replication of pathogens delivered, but arthropod salivary factors also influence transmission foci. In vitro cell cultures do not provide an adequate environment to study complex interactions between viral, mosquito, and host factors. To address this need for a whole tissue system, we describe a proof of concept model for arbovirus infection using adult human skin ex vivo with Zika virus (flavivirus) and Mayaro virus (alphavirus). Replication of these viruses in human skin was observed up to 4 days after infection. Egressed viruses could be detected in the culture media as well. Antiviral and proinflammatory genes, including chemoattractant chemokines, were expressed in infected tissue. Immunohistochemical analysis showed the presence of virus in the skin tissue 4 days after infection. This model will be useful to further investigate: (i) the immediate molecular mechanisms of arbovirus infection in human skin, and (ii) the influence of arthropod salivary molecules during initial infection of arboviruses in a more physiologically relevant system.

INTRODUCTION

Arboviruses inflict a substantial global health burden on the human population. The re-emergence of Zika virus (ZIKV) in 2014–2015 in Brazil is evidence that previously identified pathogens can re-emerge to become significant health burdens on susceptible populations (Weaver et al., 2016). Other arboviruses, such as Usutu virus, Spondweni virus, and Mayaro virus (MAYV), continue to emerge (Pierson and Diamond, 2020). MAYV is a New World arthritogenic alphavirus with current autochthonous cycles of transmission in Central and South America (Diagne et al., 2020). Research on emerging arboviruses is needed to better understand transmission cycles that threaten human health.

Mosquitoes inject saliva made up of a complex mixture containing pathogens, bioactive proteins, and small RNAs to facilitate blood-feeding and pathogen transmission (Arcà and Ribeiro, 2018; Maharaj et al., 2015). These bioactive molecules regulate hemostasis and modulate mammalian immune responses at the mosquito-host-pathogen interface (Huang et al., 2019; Pingen et al., 2017). The transmission interface has been investigated using in vitro tissue culture or animal infection models, but these systems do not fully represent the human skin tissue environment. Therefore, this study intends to model arbovirus infection in human skin ex vivo to investigate the immediate immunological events during transmission.

Because the majority of mosquito-borne arboviruses transmitted to humans are from the genus Flavivirus or Alphavirus, we used an established flavivirus of medical relevance ZIKV and an emerging alphavirus MAYV. MAYV and ZIKV infect human skin and replicate up to 4 days after infection, shedding from the skin to culture media. Chemoattractant chemokines, along with proinflammatory and antiviral genes, were expressed in both MAYV and ZIKV infected skin as expected. In addition, immunohistochemical analysis revealed staining positive for the virus in skin 4 days after infection in both virus-infected groups. This model will be further used to determine how mosquito salivary factors influence viral replication kinetics or proinflammatory and chemoattractant molecule expression during infection.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Viability of human skin explant

This study used human skin donated from elective breast reduction surgeries and cultured it ex vivo as previously described (Lloyd et al., 2020). Human skin was viable when cultured ex vivo for at least 4 days. Tissue sections stained with H&E showed minor histopathological changes after 4 days in culture. In addition, some papillary dermal edema and minimal lymphocytic infiltrate in the superficial dermis were observed; however, no major pathological changes, such as acanthosis or psoriasiform hyperplasia, were apparent (Figure 1).
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MAYV and ZIKV replication in human skin
To determine the susceptibility of human skin to arbovirus infection, skin pieces were intradermally inoculated with 1,000 focus forming units of MAYV or ZIKV, a physiologically relevant dose delivered from a mosquito (Castanha et al., 2020; Styer et al., 2007). Viral RNA in the skin tissue was measured by qRT-PCR and was increased for both MAYV and ZIKV during the 4-day incubation period (Figure 2). We also found that viral RNA in the skin culture media increased for both infections (Figure 2). Furthermore, in cryo-sectioned skin, immunohistochemical detection of MAYV and ZIKV showed widespread infected cells after 4 days (Figure 3), consistent with viral RNA detection in the homogenized tissue (Figure 2). Therefore, cultured human skin was viable and susceptible to arbovirus infection for up to 4 days.

Human skin processed similarly and infected with dengue virus 2 showed the presence of negative-stranded RNA at 48 hours and 72 hours after infection, indicative of viral replication (Limon-Flores et al., 2005). ZIKV infects several skin cell populations. Infection in primary cultures of epidermal keratinocytes (KCs) and dermal fibroblasts show increasing viral RNA loads and infectious titer over time (Hamel et al., 2015). Dermal dendritic and Langerhans cells, present in the dermis and epidermis, respectively (Pasparakis et al., 2014), are also permissive to ZIKV infection when derived from PBMCs (Hamel et al., 2015). Our results showed increased replication of ZIKV in human skin explants over time, which parallel previous findings (Hamel et al., 2015), but also suggest that these viruses spread from the inoculation site and egress into the media. MAYV infection in human skin is not well characterized and to our knowledge previously reported, in this study, we first showed MAYV infection in human skin ex vivo. Alphaviruses such as Chikungunya virus also replicate in human skin cell populations (Malusali et al., 2019). Human skin fibroblasts, both primary and immortal, are susceptible to Chikungunya virus in vitro (Ekchariyawat et al., 2015; Sourisseau et al., 2007; Wichit et al., 2017). Chikungunya virus-infected human skin explants showed the presence of viral RNA at 24 hours and 48 hours after infection when infected with a larger inoculum (10⁵) (Bryden et al., 2020). Interestingly, both primary human and immortalized KCs poorly replicate Chikungunya virus by restricting...
replication at a postendosomal fusion step, despite the high multiplicity of infection in vitro (multiplicity of infection = 50) (Bernard et al., 2015).

**MAYV and ZIKV induce inflammatory expression in the skin**

Expression of proinflammatory signals from skin resident cell populations are critical for recruiting circulating myeloid cells to the site of infection (Pasparakis et al., 2014). Because this model uses skin detached from the microvasculature, one of the primary limitations is the influx of inflammatory cells to the site of infection. Therefore, we assessed the expression of inflammatory targets, which recruit myeloid cell populations to the site of infection. Chemoattractive chemokines CCL2, CCL3, CCL4, and CXCL8 were upregulated during MAYV and ZIKV infection (Figure 4). In other studies, dengue virus-infected KCs express CCL2, CCL3, CCL4, CCL5, and CXCL8 in a similar trend at 48 hours after infection (Duangkhae et al., 2018). The same dengue virus-infected culture also shows the expression of IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-10, and CCL20 at the same time point (Duangkhae et al., 2018). West Nile virus infection induces the expression of antiviral cytokines such as IFN-β, IL-28a, and IL-29 24 hours and 48 hours after infection in primary KCs (Garcia et al., 2018). In addition, expression of CXCL1, CXCL2, CXCL8, and CCL20 and cytokines IL-6 and TNF-α are also induced at the same time points (Garcia et al., 2018).

Elevated expression levels of non-TLR receptors of viral ligands, RIG-I, MDAR, and PKR were also found in MAYV and MAYV infected tissues. RIG-I and MDA5 are cytosolic helicases that detect viral RNA and are critical for triggering innate immune responses to flaviviruses and alphaviruses (Akhrzymuk et al., 2016; Chow et al., 2018; Kell and Gale, 2015). PKR is a pattern recognition receptor and an IFN-inducible gene product that triggers signaling cascades responsible for further IFN-1 activation and inhibition of viral protein synthesis, among other functions (Munir and Berg, 2013). Both MAYV and ZIKV infection induced an increase in the expression of RIG-I, MDA5, and PKR in these tissues (Figure 4). This corresponds to previous findings of synthetic dsRNA poly(I:C) initiating antiviral signaling in KCs (Kalali et al., 2008). The natural expression of proinflammatory and antiviral genes in skin explants provides a suitable model for studying the human skin’s immediate immune signaling events.

IFN-induced transmembrane proteins (IFITMs) are strongly upregulated by IFN-1 and IFN-2 and localize to plasma and endocytic membranes to restrict virus entry (Bailey et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2019). Given that IFITM molecules show restriction of ZIKV (Savidis et al., 2016) and MAYV (Franz et al., 2021) infection, we assessed the expression of IFITM1, IFITM2, and IFITM3 in human skin tissue infected with either ZIKV or MAYV (Figure 4). IFITM levels were elevated during ZIKV infection as expected; however, there was no significant increase from 2 to 4 dpi. IFITM2 slightly increased above baseline at 4 days after infection, whereas IFITM3 remained near basal expression levels. MAYV infection induced a more robust IFITM expression, IFITM1 was elevated at 2 and 4 dpi, with statistically higher expression at 4 dpi. IFITM2 and IFITM3 were slightly elevated at 2 dpi but significantly increased at 4 dpi. Further experimentation would be necessary to better understand the role of these antiviral molecules in the skin in relation to virus infection at the mosquito bite site.

**CONCLUSION**

Skin is the largest organ of the human body that interfaces with external stimuli, including pathogens, and is permissive to arbovirus infection (Garcia et al., 2017). Blood-feeding mosquitoes deliver a virus from infected salivary glands along with a cocktail of pharmacologically active molecules. Several studies showed that mosquito-derived salivary factors induce greater morbidity and higher viremia when delivered with a pathogen in animal models (Pingen et al., 2016). This highlights the importance of understanding mosquito-specific factors that are active during transmission, and the need to characterize such molecules in human skin. Human skin can be used as an ex vivo experimental site of infection for alphaviruses and flaviviruses. Both MAYV and ZIKV replicate in human skin up to 4 days after infection and shed into the skin culture media. Infection in the skin leads to gene expression of chemotactic factors responsible for recruiting inflammatory cells, such as neutrophils and macrophages. In addition,
Figure 4. qPCR inflammatory gene expression of arbovirus infected human skin. qPCR analysis of MAYV infected tissues (red) and ZIKV infected tissues (blue) 2 and 4 d's after infection. Data shown is the relative fold change (Livak method $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$) of virus-infected tissue compared with mock-infected tissue, normalized to 18S ribosomal RNA. Expression levels from 2 d to 4 d were compared for statistical difference. Error bars indicate the SEM. Data is representative of experimental means with SDs of independent experiments with repeat using $n = 4$ biological replicates per group. Student’s t-test with Welch’s correction was used to determine statistical difference. *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.001$, ***$P < 0.0001$. d, day; MAYV, Mayaro virus; ZIKV, Zika virus.
innate antiviral responses are active and induced after viral infection. Histological analysis showed no major pathological changes; however, immunohistochemical analysis showed widespread MAYV and ZIKV infection in human skin. Mosquito-borne virus replication in human skin can be used to further investigate mosquito transmission to humans and the associated salivary mediators that work in concert to facilitate blood acquisition and pathogen delivery.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ethics statement**

All experiments involving deidentified human specimens were conducted in a biological safety level 2 laboratory in accordance with a protocol approved by the SUNY Upstate Medical University (Syracuse, NY) Institutional Review Board.

**Culturing of cells and viruses**

Vero, Vero E6, and C6/36 cells were obtained from ATCC (Manassas, VA) and were passaged in DMEM with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin-streptomycin at 37 °C. Vero & Vero E6) or in Leibovitz L-15 media with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin at 28 °C (C6/36) (Ammeterman et al., 2008). ZIKV (Mex144) was obtained from the World Reference Center for Emerging Viruses and Arboviruses at the University of Texas Medical Branch (Galveston, TX). MAYV (Uruma) was obtained from the Centers for Disease Control (Fort Collins, CO). Viruses were cultured in Vero cells (ATCC CCL-81) for four passages and then passaged once in C3/36 cells as referenced (Coelho et al., 2017; Moser et al., 2018). In addition, virus titers were obtained by focus-forming assay in Vero E6 cells as previously described (Rossi et al., 2012).

**Preparation and infection of human skin**

Deidentified adult human skin was donated by fully informed patients and consented to undergoing mammoplasty at the Upstate Medical University Hospital (Syracuse, NY). All skin specimens were collected within approximately 2 hours after surgery. Underlying adipose was grossly dissected, and each specimen was washed in PBS and incubated in skin culture media (RPMI 1640 media containing 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin-streptomycin, and 0.25 mg/ml Amphotericin B) (Lloyd et al., 2020). The epidermis and upper dermal layers were removed using a skin grafting knife, cut into 1-cm² biological samples, then placed in Netwells (15 mm × 50 μm mesh size, Corning, Corning, NY) suspending the skin at a liquid-air interface. Netwells were placed in 12-well tissue culture plates containing 1 ml skin culture media. The skin was cultured ex vivo for 4 days in skin culture media at 33 °C with 5% carbon dioxide as previously described (Lloyd et al., 2020). Next, the skin was infected intradermally using a 28-gauge insulin syringe with 25 μl (±2 μl) of uninfected skin culture media (mock), ZIKV, or MAYV adjusted to deliver 10⁷ focus forming units. Skin samples were processed as outlined below 2- and 4-days after infection. Each experimental group contained multiple biological replicates (n = 4) and independent infections were repeated using skin specimens from three donors.

**RNA isolation, qRT-PCR, and gene expression analysis**

On days 2 and 4 after infection, skin samples were cut in half using a scalpel and placed in either 10% neutral buffered formalin or OCT media for histological analysis or in TRIzol reagent for RNA isolation. RNA was extracted from the skin and skin culture media samples using TRIzol and TRIzol LS reagents, respectively, combined with Qiagen RNeasy mini kits (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) to inactivate the virus and obtain high yields of RNA (Heinze et al., 2012; Hermance et al., 2020). RNA purity and concentration were verified on a DeNovix DS-11+ spectrophotometer (DeNovix, Wilmington, DE).

Absolute quantification of viral loads was performed using quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR), using a Bio-Rad CFX96 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Viral genomes were amplified with the Bio-Rad One-Step Universal Probes kit using primers: ZIKV 1086, ZIKV 1162c, and probe ZIKV 1107-FAM and MAYV forward (5'-AAGCTCTCTCTCAGTTGC-3'), MAYV reverse 2 (5'-TGCTGGAAATGCTTTGTA-3'), and MAYV probe (5'-GCCGAGACCCCGTTTTAAAATCACT-3') previously established (Lanciotti et al., 2008; Waggoner et al., 2018). The MAYV primer-probe combination was slightly modified to use a Cy5/Iowa Black QR fluorophore/quencher combination from Integrated DNA Technologies (Corvallis, OR). RNA isolated from an aliquot of both ZIKV and MAYV, from the exact passage used for infection, was serially diluted and used to design a standard curve to quantify viral loads in tissue and supernatant.

### Table 1. qPCR Primers Used for Gene Expression Analysis

| Gene Target | Forward Primer (5'-3') | Reverse Primer (5'-3') | References |
|-------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| CCL2        | GATCTCAAGTGCCAGAGCCCTG | TGGTTGTCACAGGTTCCAT    | (Dumoulin et al., 2000) |
| CCL3        | CATCAACTGTCGACACCCAG   | TGTGAGCTCATACGACTCT    | (Dumoulin et al., 2000) |
| CCL4        | ACCCTCCACACCCGCTGCTG   | GTTGAGCTCATACGACTCT    | (Dumoulin et al., 2000) |
| CCL5        | ACCACACCTGCTGCTTTCG    | CCGAACCACATCCCTTGC     | (Dumoulin et al., 2000) |
| CCL20       | TTGGATCTCGCTGCTTACACTTCACCTC | TCTCGAGATATTTACACCAAGCTTTT | (Akahoshi et al., 2003) |
| CXCL8       | CTGGGCGTGGCTCTCTCTCTTCTG | GGTTGGAAAGGTTAGATGTC    | (Dumoulin et al., 2000) |
| CXCL3.10    | GAAATTTATCTCTGCGAGCAATTTT | TCACTCCCTTTTTTCATGAGC   | (Clarke et al., 2010) |
| RIGI        | AGTACATCTGCAGGAAATGAAA  | GGATCTCTGAGAACATTCT    | (Surasombatpattana et al., 2011) |
| Mda5        | TGATATTATTATGACACGACTCTG | ACTGAGACTGTAGTCTTTGAC   | (Surasombatpattana et al., 2011) |
| IFNβ        | GACGACCTGACTGACCACATCTA | TGGGCTCTACATAGGACAGAA  | (Surasombatpattana et al., 2011) |
| PKR         | TCTGACTACATCCTGCCTGCTCTTCTT | GGAGTGCTGCTGCTACTAAGA  | (Surasombatpattana et al., 2011) |
| IFITM1      | GGATGACATCTGACACGACTGATTA | GGCTACATGCTACACTGCTTTTCTC | (Prelli Bozzo et al., 2021) |
| IFITM2      | GTTACGCTGACACGCTGCTGCTGACTG | CCCCACAGTCATGACTCTCC   | (Prelli Bozzo et al., 2021) |
| IFITM3      | ACCACATGACATCCTGCTGCTACCTTCTG | CCAAGGACGACACTTCGG | (Prelli Bozzo et al., 2021) |
| 18S         | GGAGAGAGAGAGCTTCTCTCTCTTCTG | GCCGTCGCAAGATTTCTCC    | (Surasombatpattana et al., 2011) |
cDNA conversion of tissue RNA was performed according to the manufacturer’s specification using the Bio-Rad iScript cDNA synthesis kit using approximately 1 μg of RNA. cDNA was diluted fivefold, and gene expression analysis was performed using Bio-Rad iQ™SYBR Green Supermix according to the manufacturer’s specifications. Briefly, 1 μg of RNA/sample was used for the reverse transcriptase reaction. cDNA was diluted in RNase-free water at a 1:5 ratio, and a standard volume was used for each downstream qPCR reaction. Primers used for gene expression analysis are listed in Table 1. Expression was normalized using a eukaryotic ribosomal 18S as a housekeeping gene with data shown as a ratio of gene target fold change in infected tissue relative to mock-infected tissue using the Livak (2^−ΔΔCq) method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

Histology and immunohistochemistry

Tissues fixed in formalin were paraffin-embedded and cut in 5 μm thick sections to be placed on glass slides (Hermanne et al., 2016). Samples were deparaffinized and dehydrated in xylene and graded ethanol washes. H&E staining was performed according to the manufacturer’s specifications (H-3502, Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA). Immunohistochemical detection of ZIKV and MAYV was performed using 5 μm frozen sections of tissues snap frozen in OCT media immediately after the indicated endpoint. Briefly, tissue sections were fixed in methanol then washed in Tris-buffered saline + 0.05% Tween 20. Wash buffer was applied between all blocking and antibody incubation steps. Sections were treated with BLOXALL solution (Vector Laboratories) to block endogenous peroxidases and alkaline phosphatases. Primary and secondary antibodies (murine immune ascites fluid generated against ZIKV or MAYV and goat anti-mouse horse-radish peroxidase conjugate, respectively) were incubated for 1 hour. Detection was performed with Vector Laboratories ImmPACT AEC Red substrate, peroxidase kit. Cover slides were mounted using Vector Laboratories H5000 permanent mounting medium. Mock-infected tissues were sectioned and treated, in parallel, with the same antibody incubation and wash steps as listed above. All images were taken using a Leica DM2500 LED/DMC5400 brightfield microscope and Leica Application Suite X (LAS-X) software (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany).

Data analysis

Statistical significance of viral growth kinetics was performed using two-way ANOVA with Sidák's multiple comparisons test in GraphPad Prism 9.1.2 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). Statistical significance of gene expression data was analyzed by an F-test to determine variance, followed by a Student's t-test to assess the increase of the target gene from 2 to 4 days after infection. Welch's correction was applied when the F-test hypothesis failed to be rejected.
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