At the High Energy Photon Source (HEPS; Beijing, People’s Republic of China), where up to 90 beamlines can be provided in the future, minimization of workload for individual beamlines and maximization of knowledge about one beamline that can be applied to other beamlines is essential to minimize the total complexity in beamline control. Presented in this paper are our efforts to achieve these goals by composing relatively simple utilities and mechanisms to automate tasks, while always remembering to keep our automation solutions simple and clear. After an introduction to our choice of basic software in EPICS-based beamline control, the issues encountered in introducing package management to EPICS modules, as well as solutions to them, are presented; the design and implementation of the packaging system is concisely discussed. After a presentation of our efforts to reduce the need for self-built multi-device EPICS ‘input/output controller’ (IOC) applications by providing reusable modular IOC executables, implementation of easily maintainable multi-IOC setups through the separation and minimization of each user’s IOC configurations is given. The ongoing project of comprehensive beamline services at HEPS to further simplify configuration management on multiple scales, ranging from individual beamline devices to all beamlines at HEPS, is introduced.

1. Introduction

The High Energy Photon Source (HEPS) (Jiao et al., 2018) is a fourth-generation synchrotron radiation facility under steady construction in Beijing, People’s Republic of China. Fourteen beamlines will be provided in 2025, when Phase I of HEPS is planned to open up to the public; up to about 90 beamlines can be provided in further phases. The beamline control system at HEPS (Chu et al., 2018) is mainly responsible for the proper operation of individual beamline devices and the abstraction of the various device-specific control interfaces for these devices as consistent interfaces. Given the large number of beamlines, it is undoubted that, for unnecessary work to be reduced, reusable designs and plans are essentials instead of luxuries. In this paper, we focus on the beamline control system of the facility; nevertheless, we believe that in other parts like the accelerator control system, or even in other large scientific facilities like free-electron laser facilities and spallation neutron sources, the same principles described here are still applicable, and most techniques can be adapted.

Given the background above, the tasks of beamline control can be considered a kind of computer system administration with a focus on diverse device support and large-scale deployment; with this focus, it is easy to note that tasks for HEPS beamline control need to be performed in simple yet reproducible ways. For beamline control at HEPS, we predominantly use Unix-like operating systems, where off-the-shelf utility programs are abundant and it is very easy to compose these utilities to automate tasks. With the utilities, we can...
express many tasks as command line code, and further abstract common tasks as scripts; as the amount of manual operations decrease, the tasks cost less time and labour, yet the results become more reliable and maintainable – in other words, both efficiency and reproducibility increase.

If ‘control’ is to be seen as an integral part of the infrastructure for ‘automation’, then the practice above can be seen as ‘automation of automation’; in essence, what we do is programming specific to the domain of system administration. When designing and implementing the beamline control system of HEPS, we find that by seriously considering the programming nature of tasks we can often identify the key factors in problems; we may find surprisingly simple solutions to these problems by the composition of relatively simple mechanisms and utilities, like the use of the flock utility to implement critical sections (cf. Section 3.2). In this paper, by showing some examples for the practice above, we attempt to convey the idea that it is beneficial to regard beamline control as a serious domain of programming.

As is the case with any other kind of programming, while the abstraction of beamline control tasks reduces complexity, it also brings about additional complexity itself. For multiple reasons, Experimental Physics and Industrial Control System (EPICS) is chosen as the basis for the device control interfaces at HEPS. We find that when performing certain tasks, by deviating from the ‘orthodox’ EPICS practice, we are able to make the task much simpler – sometimes by an order of magnitude or even more, in terms of manual labour or lines of code that each party needs to care about (cf. Section 3.1); in some cases, we also deviate from the ‘orthodox’ in certain non-EPICS parts of beamline control. Therefore, in this paper, often what we discuss is not only ‘automation of automation’ itself but also our choices of strategy when implementing requirements, and more importantly the rationale behind the choices. In general, we prefer composition of simple tools over off-the-shelf but complex workalikes, to avoid the additional complexity in the latter; we prefer free-form development over potential over-design in early phases when unsure whether the abstraction in our mind would be sufficiently beneficial, to reduce time spent on irrelevant details or even anti-features.

2. Package management

2.1. Choice of basic software

Due to the requirement of large-scale deployment at HEPS, a first issue to consider is the installation of supporting software for the many kinds of devices used in beamline control. The automation of this task belongs to the field of package management, which revolves around the automated installation of software modules and the automatic management of dependencies. There are many package managers, like DPKG/ APT of Debian, RPM/yum of Red Hat, Portage of Gentoo, etc.; there are also cross-distribution package managers like Conda, pkgsrc and Guix, and we are aware of efforts, like those by Bertrand & Harrisson (2019), to use these kinds of package managers for software involved in beamline control. However, we find that in order to properly handle dependencies, we need to duplicate efforts in packaging software already provided by the distribution; otherwise we would need to resort to the official package manager of the distribution for many dependencies. The latter would not only be inconvenient but also degrade the portability of our packages, which is an important reason to use cross-distribution package managers in the first place. Community efforts like conda-forge surely help to avoid packaging dependencies by ourselves, but they essentially act as mini-distributions in parallel with the distribution we already use, with significant implications in development and maintenance. Since we are quite satisfied with the attributes of our distribution (see below), we choose not to use cross-distribution package managers at least as of now.

For various reasons, CentOS is currently the primary operating system running on computers in control systems at our institute. For us, while CentOS is not known for minimalism/simplicity or flexibility, it is usable enough for automation work in control systems. More importantly, the strong compatibility between minor releases (like 7.1 and 7.9) of CentOS makes its basic behaviour (without deep customization to the system itself) quite predictable. Factors like the inclusion of all official base packages for a minor release in single .iso files (like CentOS-7-x86_64-Everything-2009.iso) and the availability of deployment tools like Kickstart (cf. Section 3.2) also contribute to easy automated batch deployment. Because of the lifecycle of CentOS releases, we currently base the beamline control system of HEPS on CentOS 7. We are aware of the discontinuation of non-rolling releases of CentOS 8 (Bowen, 2020) and the resulting uncertainty; depending on future developments of the situation, we will evaluate the migration to a suitable alternative Linux distribution roughly two years prior to the public opening of HEPS Phase I in 2025, before CentOS 7’s end of life in 2024. We estimate that the migration will take roughly two months if we are to use a Red Hat-like distribution, or about six months (with occasional overtime expected) if not. Anyway, for the reasons above, we currently use RPM, the official packaging format of CentOS, for beamline control software.

We are aware of the trend in the EPICS community to migrate to EPICS 7, and the declining activity resulted around EPICS 3; considering this background, we plan to use EPICS 7 whenever applicable, so that we have the best community resources around the version we use. However, due to the relatively immature adoption status of new features (most importantly the PVA protocol) in EPICS 7 in our testing environment, we deliberately avoid these features in production, and instead use EPICS 7 like EPICS 3. Finally, as is quite common with EPICS in beamline control at major synchrotron radiation facilities, we extensively use the synApps collection (Mooney, 2010) of EPICS modules for HEPS; this has very profound effects on the packaging of EPICS for HEPS, which is discussed in Section 2.2. Here we also note that, although the official documentation of synApps
does not explicitly state its compatibility with EPICS 7, very few build-time or run-time errors have actually occurred in our experience that are traced back to using EPICS 7, which proves that EPICS 7 is mostly backward compatible with EPICS 3 in terms of functionalities shared between both major versions.

2.2. Packaging EPICS

EPICS base explicitly supports installation to a separate location with few negative effects on downstream code like synApps etc., by specifying the ${INSTALL\_LOCATION}$ variable which is fairly similar to the ${DESTDIR}$ variable supported by many open-source software projects. However, on the current version of the synApps homepage, the recommended way to install the software collection is to extract the source code archive into a certain directory (like /opt/epics/support) in the system, build the source code, and then use the built libraries and executables in place. So there is no separation between building and installation phases with synApps, and consequently no clear distinction between source code and files which would be installed separately if ${INSTALL\_LOCATION}$ was used. This allows applications to refer to code that is not explicitly to be ‘installed’, e.g. areaDetector modules referencing files in ${ADCORE}/ADApp/db. While perhaps convenient, this also results in implicit dependence on the synApps directory layout, which is very unfriendly to packaging.

A first issue we can easily notice is the directory layout. Ideally, there should be preset directories for different types of installed files: executables to a common directory, libraries to another common directory, etc. This way, modules just need to refer to these preset directories for necessary files without worrying about where to find each and every module they depend on. There do exist attempts to package EPICS modules in this way, with the NSLS-II package repository for EPICS and RTEMs (BNL, 2018) being perhaps the most well known example. Nevertheless, as far as we know, none of them provide a satisfactory coverage of modules we are interested in, most importantly motor and areaDetector modules. From the open-source packaging code we obtain from these projects, we conclude that attempts to use common directories for different modules instead of the synApps layout require an inordinate amount of work by packagers, who need to work around references outside ${INSTALL\_LOCATION}$ that may occur in too many ways possible to handle in a way representable by simple, clear code. For these reasons, the synApps layout is preserved in our packaging system; and not only modules provided by synApps are organized in its original way, extra modules, including but not limited to additional motor and area detector modules, are also put into directories similar to the built-in modules they look like.

The second issue is file conflicts. With ${INSTALL\_LOCATION}$ specified, files in the configure directory are copied into the configure subdirectory of ${INSTALL\_LOCATION}; no consideration is taken for the coexistence of multiple modules, which may have conflicting configure contents. These kind of conflicts also contribute to the difficulty in proper packaging of EPICS modules; with the synApps directory layout, we do not need to worry about the conflicts between configure contents of modules, but another kind of file conflict arises. Because our system builds synApps and many other EPICS modules in place, we cannot directly build them on a computer with themselves already installed, which is highly inconvenient; we used to solve this by running our packaging system in virtual machines, and now we use Docker containers (cf. Section 2.3).

The third issue is permissions. The synApps directory layout is unrelocatable: the directories, even as a whole, cannot be moved around once it has been built, mainly because of parameters like library search paths and environment variables set to certain absolute paths by the build system of EPICS. Because of this, our packaging system builds synApps and other EPICS modules depending on it in /opt/epics/support; to avoid accidentally tampering with system files, the packaging system itself is run as a normal user. However, for the same purpose of avoiding unintended manipulation of packaged files by users, these files should normally be installed into a directory hierarchy owned by the root user, and themselves also be owned by root. So in order to build synApps and many other modules, we need write access in directory hierarchies owned by root, even though we build packages as a normal user. We resolve this issue by using the sudo utility for controlled privilege escalation: we set up password-less sudo permission for a ‘builder’ user, and run our packaging system as this user, so it can acquire root privilege when necessary without manual interaction. The use of root privilege is purposefully minimized: e.g. when building synApps, we first use it to move a user-owned support hierarchy, extracted from the source code archive and properly patched, into the /opt/epics directory; we also use root privilege to move the mostly built support hierarchy into a staging directory specified by the packaging tool we use, and then do some necessary processing that can proceed without the privilege. For certain modules (like motor modules that are not yet integrated into synApps), some built files may end up in directories (like /opt/epics/support/motor/db) where files from other modules can also exist. We handle this kind of situation by temporarily changing the owner of directories with files from multiple modules to the builder user in an early phase, and selectively move the non-root-owned files in these directories into corresponding directories inside the staging directory when the building procedure is mostly done (cf. Fig. 2); the selective moving may be regarded as a case where the separation between root and non-root operations actually simplifies the building procedure.

2.3. Beyond EPICS itself

Considering the limited human resources at our facility, maintainability is a first concern in the design of our packaging system. For this reason, we strive to make the system as ‘small’ as reasonable: we keep the packaging code simple and clear,
with proper abstractions that are thin yet flexible enough. A first choice we make is about the organization of packaging code; one approach is to put the code specific to one package alongside the source code archive(s), then generate a source package, and finally build the binary package we normally use. This is how Debian-like and Red Hat-like distributions usually work, and is also how the NSLS-II package repository is created; however, a most important drawback of this approach is that the packager may be tempted to apply site-specific modifications directly in source code repositories. In a sense, this disperses packaging code into original upstream code, which creates difficulty in packaging updates and reviewing modifications; we feel this is at least a hidden factor contributing to the difficulty in maintenance of the NSLS-II packages after the leaving of a main developer (Lange, 2021).

Due to the reasons above, we put all our packaging code in a single code repository (Fig. 1; a fully open-source edition of our packaging system is available at https://github.com/CasperVector/ihep-pkg-ose), and directly build binary packages from there (the source package phase is optional in CentOS 7, and is also not used in our packaging system); this approach is also prevalent in more recent Linux distributions like Alpine and Void.

As has been discussed in Section 2.2 and will be further exemplified in this paper, in order to reduce the intrinsic amount of tasks needed in packaging, we attempt to package EPICS and related software modules similar to that intended by their authors with only relatively minor and non-intrusive modifications. To reduce duplication in the actual packaging code, multiple measures have also been taken to abstract common tasks, like how eclasses for Gentoo ebuild abstract common tasks. Because the majority of packaging code is written in the Shell language, we currently use two library scripts, fn-build.sh and fn-setup.sh [Fig. 2(a)], to define abstractions for most tasks in the .spec package definition files used in RPM packaging; they are not combined into one script because the code in fn-build.sh is only used during the building procedure, while the code in fn-setup.sh needs to be used when the binary package is installed into the system. Certain common definitions are needed by both .spec files themselves and the packaging code therein, so we define them in an rpmmacros file [Fig. 2(b)] that will be loaded by rpmbuild, the official program to build RPM packages; also present in rpmmacros are wrapper macros that pass parameters, which are known to rpmbuild but unknown to the library scripts, to functions defined in the latter, so as to further simplify .spec files [Fig. 2(c)]. By the way, although we follow the synApps directory layout, we also try to keep a slightly higher level of granularity by packaging basic modules (like asyn and calc), frequently used modules (like motor and areaDetector) and other modules as three separate packages [cf. Fig. 3(b)]; this not only helps users to slim down systems but also helps packagers to better understand the dependencies.

Apart from running rpmbuild, quite a lot of ancillary tasks are also involved in the creation and management of a readily...
usable repository of binary packages: downloading and managing source archives, downloading build-time or run-time dependencies (e.g., re2c required by synApps) that are not provided by the base CentOS repositories, running `createrepo` to generate necessary metadata for the repository, etc. We use a script, `boot.sh`, to abstract these tasks, so that the packager only needs to run simple commands [Fig. 3(a)] to do common tasks. A point worth mentioning is that, instead of downloading dependencies as needed when building a package, we use a locally mounted CentOS Everything image (cf. Section 2.1) to provide all base dependencies, and batch-download all non-base dependencies into the `epel` subdirectory of our repository with the `epel_get` subcommand of `boot.sh`. With the same mechanism, we also download frequently used third-party packages, like `procServ` and Docker, into our repository, so that our users (who are not very skilled Linux users on average) have a one-stop solution for common packages. The source archives can also be batch-downloaded (using the `src_get` subcommand); the fact that all source materials can be batch-downloaded enables us to pre-download the materials, transfer them to a network-isolated machine with tens of CPU cores, and then perform the building procedure alone on said machine, thus exploiting high-performance machines without regular network access for big tasks.

Traditional RPM packaging does not involve the integrity check of source archives, which is a common feature in many packaging frameworks, and also a crucial security feature given the recently increasing threats posed by supply chain attacks. To compensate for this shortcoming, we maintain a group of checksum files (SHA512SUMS etc.) and compare the checksums of downloaded source archives against them at the end of the `src_get` subcommand of `boot.sh`. Actually, for each input outside of our packaging system, we have a chain of trust (Fig. 4) to ensure its integrity: there is SHA512SUMS-iso for the CentOS Everything image we use, which ensures every base package therein is to an extent trusted; also in SHA512SUMS are the checksums for OpenPGP public keys of repositories we download third-party packages (including Docker which is discussed later in this subsection) from, and the signatures of downloaded packages are checked against the keys at the end of `epel_get`; the base image which our Docker container images are based on is also referenced by its checksum to avoid tampering. Another issue loosely related to beamline control is also handled in our packaging system: providing a repository of Python packages [currently mostly related to Bluesky (Allan et al., 2019)] for use in beamline experiments, some of them with customized patches and some of them developed internally at our facility (the adoption of Python-based software at HEPS is still in a fairly early phase, so we do not yet have a formal policy for the management of Python packages other than recommending our repository). We first use the `pypi_get` subcommand of `boot.sh` to batch-download most of these Python packages from the Python Package Index (PyPI) and source archives of the several internally developed packages; after this, we can use the `pybuild` subcommand to build the customized or internally developed packages (the former also downloaded as source archives). The `pybuild` subcommand delegates most of its tasks to a script, `pybuild.sh` (Fig. 5), which is essentially a miniature build system for Python packages heavily inspired by Gentoo `ebuild`. We also note that, given the high fluidity of PyPI packages, a ‘hidden’ advantage of our internal Python package repository is the relative stability of systems using the packages therein, assuming our repository is not updated too frequently.

As has been mentioned in Section 2.2, we used to run our packaging system in virtual machines to avoid interference with actually used machines where EPICS-related packages have been installed; this also reduced the potential security risks resulting from password-less `sudo` permission of the builder user. Nevertheless, the preparation and usage of virtual machine images for packaging tasks is still fairly complex and involves quite a number of manual steps, which reduces reproducibility and consequently reliability; moreover, file transmission between virtual machines and the host machine is not very convenient to perform in an automated fashion. To further increase reproducibility, we developed the helper script `dboot.sh` [Fig. 3(b)] to create suitable Docker container images and perform packaging tasks in containers instantiated from these images; built RPM and Python packages are respectively put into the RPMS and pypi directories.
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**Figure 4** Chains of trust for external files in our packaging system.

(a) `ipython.sh`, the definition file of the `ipython` package customized at HEPS. (b) a condensed `pybuild.sh`, expected to be executed from the root directory of the build system.
directories (Fig. 1) automatically, by using the ‘mounting’ feature of Docker. To save time used in installing common build-time dependencies, we distinguish between base and non-base container images: the former used to build basic packages like EPICS base and synApps, with preparation tasks (like setting up password-less sudo) done on image creation time; the latter based on the former but with the basic packages pre-installed (and therefore need to be recreated when these packages are updated). A common practice in software engineering is continuous integration (CI), where updates to the code repository trigger rebuild of the changed parts automatically. We currently do not have a fully automatic CI workflow, partially due to the complexity in managing source archives as well as in rebuilding dependencies and container images, and more importantly because the infrastructure needed for CI at HEPS is still under development. However, we also find the current script-assisted rebuilding procedure sufficiently succinct and efficient that it can be regarded as some kind of ‘slightly manual’ CI; fully automatic CI will be completed as the necessary hardware and services are settled down.

3. Minimization of configuration

3.1. Reusable modular IOC executables

Most of the time in EPICS-based beamline control is spent maintaining IOC (‘input/output controllers’) applications which translate various device-specific control protocols into the Channel Access (CA) protocol exposed by EPICS. IOC applications are mainly composed of IOC executables (based on underlying support libraries) and data files (most importantly dbd files, .db files and .cmd files). From a slightly academic viewpoint, IOC executables are interpreters that interpret .cmd files, which most importantly tell interpreters to load specified dbd files and .db files. Upon closer observation it is not difficult to find that, for IOC applications that handle the same type of devices, the source files of the IOC executables and dbd files are mostly unchanged: although the filename of the executable and the .dbd file may change, these factors do not lead to any substantial change in the behaviours of the IOC application. In other words, the exposed interface of an IOC application is mostly affected by the interpreted files excluding .dbd files; we may consider .dbd files as an external yet closely coupled part of IOC executables, and other data files as configuration files in general. For many support modules, it is common to provide shared .db files, and let users compose them into more complex interfaces by using .substitutions files; we regard these kinds of shared data files as configuration fragments provided upstream that can be composed or customized by the user. From the above, it may be concluded that, for IOC applications dealing with at most one type of device, we may provide reusable IOC executables (including .dbd files and other shared data files) that can be fed with different configuration files to act as different application instances. We will show at the end of this subsection that application instances for different devices, seen as modules, can be composed to implement the same requirements done by at least a large fraction of previous multi-device IOC applications; thanks to this change, we can minimize the need for users to build IOC applications by themselves, which greatly reduces the need for systems like Suno (Franksen, 2019). The applications instances that replace a multi-device application can still be run on the same computer, and be started/stopped as a group; since they would then communicate through the local loopback interface which can only be disrupted in the case of very serious errors, the splitting does not hurt reliability. By properly organizing configuration files for different instances of the same IOC executable (cf. Section 3.2), conflicts between different instances can be easily avoided, so we do not need to use mechanisms like Docker to isolate different IOC applications (Derbenev, 2019), which simplifies deployment and saves both memory and disk space. Another point worth mentioning is that the idea of reusable modular IOC executables seems more natural and easier to understand for us than the require mechanism (PSI, 2015), which loads support libraries on demand in .cmd files and requires much more patching in the packaging procedure for every EPICS support module involved.

For reusable modular IOC executables to be provided, they must be built first; for some EPICS modules this is done by default, or is disabled but can be easily enabled. For other modules which we want reusable executables of, we need to build them by ourselves; for various purposes, we also add features like iocStats (IOC ‘health’ monitoring) and autosave (automatic state saving and restore) to most IOC executables. We do these by patching the build system (usually configure/RELEASE and xxxApp/src/Makefile in a module) before actually running it; the centralized management of packaging code (cf. Section 2.3) also helps to review patches and compare the patches of modules that are similar in packaging, both of which prove to be very helpful in quality assurance. The build systems of modules that belong to a common type (most importantly motors and area detectors) often only differ in a few highly ‘templated’ locations. This similarity can sometimes be exploited to simplify the patching procedure above: since the patches for these modules would also be templated, we may provide a template (Fig. 6) and generate the patches on the fly for them based on this template. For this reason, we prefer to make the build systems of internally developed motor and areaDetector modules similar to their counterparts in synApps, instead of using the more ‘standardized’ (and much more bloated) build system generated by traditional tools like makeBaseApp.pl; to generalize a little, we have the policy for fundamentally similar code in different places that if they cannot yet be abstracted, at least make them templated. A situation where we further exploit the similarity between EPICS build systems is building generic extra modules: we find that although the build systems of these EPICS modules (e.g. Keithley_648x for Keithley 6485/7 picoammeters and s7nodev for Siemens PLCs) do not look immediately similar, they can usually be replaced by a ‘standard’ counterpart with only minimal changes in some
order to make references to EPICS modules (including executables and libraries) stable across updates, we remove the version tags from the directory names of all synApps modules (like the -R4-36 from asym-R4-36, cf. also Fig. 9); this does not prevent the user from querying the version numbers, which can still be found in /opt/epics/support/assemble/synApps.sh. And to make OPIs (‘operator interfaces’, GUIs for IOCs) easier to find, we create symbolic links to all OPI files under /opt/epics/supportin/opt/epics/resources: links to .edl files for EDM in the edl subdirectory, links to .adl files for MEDM in the adl subdirectory, etc. Search paths like $[EPICS\_DISPLAY\_PATH] are also set to these resource subdirectories, so that users do not even need to type the directory names for OPI files; in case of ‘missing’ resource files (e.g. kohzu.gif referenced by kohzuGraphic.adl from the optics module, expected to be in the same directory), the user can also easily find the real path of OPI files by looking at where the symbolic links point to.

Interlocked actions between devices are a well known strength of EPICS, and we provide full compatibility with self-building of multi-device IOC applications: the libraries and ancillary files are provided as usual, with reusable modular IOC executables just as an added bonus. However, we also find what is done by at least a big fraction of previous multi-device applications can also be done by the composition of their single-device counterparts; the first examples are optics and sscan, which in our experiments work well whether the devices involved are controlled by the same IOC application or not. From a theoretical perspective, a multi-device application can be split into multiple single-device applications as long as the main modules involved (like sscan and the motor/detector modules it is supposed to control) do not actually assume each other were in the same application, or in EPICS terms they do not mandate that they communicated through DB links instead of CA links. For some applications, even if this is not true, the link relation between the modules can be refactored to eliminate the demand for DB links; this certainly needs to be analysed on a case-by-case basis, but here we give a relatively simple real-world example that is nevertheless fundamentally similar to many other IOC applications in production, which is why we believe many multi-device applications can actually be split up. For a certain application scenario, we need to poll temperatures from a group of Cryo-con 18C monitor channels, and write them to a group of Siemens PLCs; our previous and current solutions are shown in Fig. 7. For each pair of temperature channel and PLC address, we use a pair of EPICS analogue-input and analogue-output PVs based on StreamDevice (cf. Section 3.2) and s7nodave, respectively. In the previous solution, the StreamDevice PV periodically updates (‘scans’) from the temperature channel, and after every update uses a ‘forward link’ to trigger update of the s7nodave PV, which pulls the temperature from the StreamDevice PV and writes it to the specified memory address. According to the EPICS documentation (Kraimer et al., 2018), a CA forward link only works as expected if it explicitly points to the .PROC ‘field' of...
the destination PV (e.g. CryMon:18c1_AtoPLC.PROC), so we can eliminate the demand for DB links by batch-adding the .PROC suffix to the forward links. For multiple reasons, actually we use a slightly more complex solution, with ‘soft channel’-based analogue-out PVs (supported by EPICS base) as intermediates for temperature values; the ‘soft channel’ PVs are scanned instead of the StreamDevice PVs, and the latter are only passively updated (using the ‘process passive’ option) before the s?ndAVE PVs.

3.2. Configuration minimization and automated deployment

An issue with introducing package management into EPICS is the management of configuration files. The first problem is that, if the user tunes the behaviours of IOC applications by directly changing packaged files, these changes would get lost when the corresponding package is updated; although package managers usually support the declaration of certain packaged files (like all files under /etc) as ‘configuration’, which helps to prevent the loss of configuration changes, there are often too many customisable files in EPICS to be batch-declared as configuration. The second problem is that since packaged files are typically installed as root-owned (cf. Section 2.2), we would often need to use root privilege to change them, with implications in security and even convenience: e.g. with reusable IOC executables (cf. Section 3.1), the same executable may be used by multiple users for different applications, and one user may accidentally overwrite configuration files that actually belong to another user. Both problems can be easily solved if the configurations files by each user are kept inside the user’s own home directory; this is a common practice outside the EPICS ecosystem, and is in essence analogous to the separation of packaging code and source archives (cf. Section 2.3) in that both distinguish between upstream and downstream (upstream developers versus packagers, packagers versus users) in order to reduce the amount of code each party needs to maintain. As has been mentioned just now and in Section 2.3, this also helps with software update and migration: a very nice side effect of the separation of configurations is that, if somehow we find a clean way to get rid of the packaging-unfriendly synApps directory layout (cf. Section 2.2), users will be able to migrate to the new layout relatively easily by batch-changing all path references in configuration files accordingly.

At our facility, we have formed what we call the ~iocBoot convention: putting configurations corresponding to an EPICS module (e.g. motorIms for MDrive motors) into a suitable subdirectory (e.g. iocapps) of $[HOME]/iocBoot named after the subdirectory of iocBoot where the configuration files are derived from. This is chosen because .cmd files (Fig. 8) usually change to the ‘$[TOP]/iocBoot/$[IOC]’ directory before loading their default configurations, so we can easily adapt them by changing the references into ‘$[HOME]/iocBoot/$[IOC]’ where appropriate. For modules where the $[IOC] subdirectories are named too generally (e.g. iocAny from optics), the packager renames them into more distinguishable names (e.g. iocOptics); for packages where iocBoot is not provided or the contents are not very good examples, the packager provides self-made examples that can be easily customized. The StreamDevice module is widely used in EPICS for talking to simple devices in a request/reply fashion, where developers mainly need to provide proto ‘protocol files’ and corresponding .db files; we have a dedicated package that collects these files, and groups them into directories like iocCounter and iocThermo according to the device type (cf. Fig. 9(b)). softloc is a special case

Figure 8
Default .cmd file for Cryo-con 18C temperature monitors.

Figure 9
Run scripts in $[HOME]/iocBoot/service in a production environment for (a) a LabView-based monochromator gatewayed to EPICS with CA Lab (run-mono.sh) and (b) some Cryo-con 18C temperature monitors (run-temp18c.sh), with (c) example invocations of utilities that start and then stop corresponding applications.
where the \texttt{iocBoot} directory is not strictly needed, but in the name of uniformity all .db files for \texttt{softloc} are put in \texttt{${HOME}/iocBoot/iocSoft}. Furthermore, all .cmd files are patched to store \texttt{autosave} state files in \texttt{${HOME}/iocBoot/autosave/$[IOC]}; nevertheless, to prevent IOC executables from outputting warning messages over and over when the specified directories do not yet exist, the saving and loading of state files are disabled by default. We use the \texttt{${HOME}/iocBoot/service} directory to store ‘run scripts’ (Fig. 9) that when executed run corresponding applications in the foreground; utilities based on \texttt{procServ} are also provided to start/stop specified application(s) in the background, and we are developing \texttt{procServControl}-based mechanisms to provide GUIs for centralized status management. With all these elements combined, we are able to implement multi-application setups, where the hundreds of IOC applications needed for a beamline (excluding \texttt{area-Detector} and other applications that are resource-intensive, as well as VME-based applications) can be accommodated on just a few computers with very modest hardware. We find this much easier to implement and maintain than alternatives, e.g. Konrad & Bernal (2019) which uses much more abstraction based on \texttt{Puppet} to compile applications from what is roughly equivalent to \texttt{-iocBoot}. We note that we are indeed evaluating the use of \texttt{Puppet}-like utilities to push changes of centrally managed configurations to beamlines (see below), but that our mechanism will rarely (if ever) need to care about compiling IOC applications.

System administration is closely related to the concept of ‘configuration management’; to us, configuration is the entire procedure of composing hardware and software, whether off-the-shelf or self-developed, into systems that can readily work in production. In the above, we have shown the basic aspects of our efforts to minimize the complexity in configuring software on a single computer for comfortable \texttt{EPICS}-based beamline control; in addition to these, we also further reduce the complexity of said configuration by simplifying configuration files using various mechanisms, whether based on \texttt{EPICS} (e.g. .substitutions files) or not (mainly code generators, provided the workload saved by them significantly outweighs the workload to maintain them). To also simplify hardware configuration, we have written a software/hardware handbook to give simple yet reproducible instructions that can be followed to configure beamline devices and corresponding software into basic working states. On a larger scale, we are developing a backup system (probably based on \texttt{rsync} and related utilities) where the actual configuration of computers (whether running IOCs, OPIs or software like \texttt{Bluesky}) are automatically synchronized to a central backup service, which in turn distributes the computer configurations on a beamline to every computer on this beamline, so that the configuration of a computer can be replicated onto a backup computer in case of hardware error. Git-based version control will be applied to the backups, so that we can revisit recent changes in case misconfiguration is suspected; in order to minimize downtime spent on replicating configuration, automation mechanisms will be developed for the replication procedure, and backup computers will be preloaded with basic software after procurement if applicable (at the BDL discussed below). In addition to regular computers, we also plan to extend the backup system to other programmable hardware, like PLCs and network switches, that accept automated and structured configuration input, so that their configuration procedures can also be simplified. A natural step after the backup system is a mechanism to change the configurations centrally and push the changes (also including updates of software packages) to beamlines, perhaps with the help of \texttt{Puppet} or similar utilities. We will surely need to solve the technical challenge posed by possible conflicts between changes on both sides, but more importantly we will need to set up administration policies to ensure stability and uniformity of the configurations, while also allowing a suitable level of flexibility for beamline users.

From our definition of ‘configuration’ above, we can see that reducing the amount of configuration for a single beamline device is reducing the amount of device-specific workload, and that reducing the amount of configuration of an entire beamline is reducing the amount of beamline-specific workload. Therefore in order to maximize the scalability of beamline control, we are developing a group of \texttt{comprehensive beamline services} (CBS), which covers most aspects in beamline control that are shared between beamlines. A documentation library service will be provided, which gives users access to not only the software/hardware handbook (also including a recommended list of hardware for various uses on a beamline) and the operation manuals of individual beamline devices, but also training materials (which would ‘configure’ new users for various aspects of the facility) and other useful documentation. Centralized network services will be provided, including the backup system and the documentation library mentioned above, our package repositories (cf. Section 2.3) and other services like an \texttt{EPICS} PV archiver/alarm service and CA gateways to forward information from, for example, the accelerator. For reliability reasons, our control network (where basic control information, represented by CA traffic, is transmitted) will be isolated from the data-transfer network (where experiment data produced by software like \texttt{Bluesky} are transmitted); bulk data produced by area detectors are transmitted on the data-transfer network, because of their high throughput and relatively looser requirement on reliability. Beamlines cannot directly communicate with each other, and instead can only communicate with the CBS; transmission of outside information, like NTP information and PVs from the accelerator, is done by gateway services in the CBS. A beamline development laboratory (BDL) will be provided, where beamline devices and major configuration changes (including major software updates) can be tested without interfering with the production environment; the BDL is like a small beamline in terms of networking, of which the CBS is formally a part of.

Another prominent use of the BDL is batch deployment, where basic software is installed on new hardware before the latter is moved to the beamlines or stored as backup hardware. At the end of this paper, we use the batch installation of operating systems onto new computers as an example for how
The post-installation hook program that runs on each computer undergoing batch deployment is a shell script that includes the following line of command, where 10.0.2.2 is the IP address of the installation server: get_macs prints the MAC address of the current host, and do_config configures the correct host according to the token in its input:

```
get_macs | socat tcp:10.0.2.2:9999 ,ignoreeof | do_config
```

At the heart of the token service is the following shell script, supposedly as ./token.sh, which assigns auto-incrementing numeric tokens (the policy can be easily changed into advanced MAC-based ones), but is not “thread-safe”:
```
#!/bin/sh

[f /tmp/token.txt] &

#token="$(cat /tmp/token.txt)"

timeout 5 sed "s/"/\"/g; q" > /tmp/tkt

#exec echo "$token"; expr "$token" + 1 > /tmp/token.txt
```

The token service, which saves the mapping table from tokens to MAC addresses to the file token.log, implemented naïvely:
```
$ socat tcp-listen:9999,respawn,forever exec=/token.sh 2>token.log
$ socat tcp-listen:9999,respawn,forever, with token.sh ran in a critical section:
exec="/flock /tmp/token.lock /token.sh" 2>token.log
```

Figure 10
Implementation of a token service.

certain requirements in system administration can be implemented in surprisingly simple ways, when we compose simple mechanisms and utilities according to careful analyses of the nature of the problems. Regular installation of operation systems is often performed with CDs/DVDs or USB flash drives, which are exclusive media that cannot be accessed by multiple computers simultaneously; thus for batch deployment, network-based installation (now almost universally based on PXE) is preferred, which is only limited by the network bandwidth of the installation environment and perhaps disk performance of the installation server. In order to facilitate large-scale deployment, network installers of Linux distributions often support some kind of templated unsupervised installation mechanism, like Kickstart of CentOS; many of them, including Kickstart, also support some kind of mechanism that allows for post-installation execution of specified programs (‘hooks’), so we can automatically preload basic software during unsupervised installation. However, for some requirements, we also need differentiation between computers, e.g. installation of systems onto a group of servers for EPICS PV archiving with automated configuration of hostnames and related settings. This can be done if we set up a service on the installation server that automatically assigns tokens (which the hostnames will be based on) according to certain rules, and let the hook program obtain the token from the service; using the socat utility and a little Shell scripting, this can be done in just a few lines of code (Fig. 10). The naïve implementation of the service suffers from an obvious race condition when multiple clients ask for tokens at the same time; this can be solved if we execute the service script in a critical section, which can be easily implemented by the `flock` utility (Anvin, 2006).

4. Conclusion

At HEPS, we currently use RPM for packaging beamline control software, and deliberately use EPICS 7 like EPICS 3, with most modules based on synApps. We preserve the synApps directory layout in our packaging system, which however results in potential file conflicts and permission issues; we solve these problems using a builder user with password-less `sudo` permission in Docker containers. The packaging system, including the packaging code and the Docker wrapper, is managed centrally and properly abstracted to minimize complexity. Both self-built packages and some very useful third-party packages are provided in our internal RPM repository, and we also provide a similar Python package repository for internal use; all external inputs involved in the creation of both repositories are checked against a chain of trust to avoid tampering. We use reusable modular EPICS IOC executables, built with support for iocStats and autosave, to minimize the need for self-built multi-device applications, and facilitate the use of these executables by providing easy access to resources like OPIs and example configuration files. Full compatibility with multi-device applications is kept, and we also find at least a large fraction of them can be replaced by the composition of their single-device counterparts. We have formed the `~/.iocBoot` convention to separate each user’s IOC configuration files from the default configurations provided by RPM packages, and provide utilities that help to implement maintainable multi-IOC setups for beamlines. Efforts are being made under the umbrella project of comprehensive beamline services to further simplify configuration management on multiple scales: beamline devices and related software on individual computers, all computers and other programmable hardware on an entire beamline, as well as all beamlines at HEPS. Throughout our efforts, we prefer composition of simple tools over off-the-shelf but complex workalikes, and prefer free-form development over potential over-design in early phases, which have helped to boost productivity with our limited resources.
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