Missed opportunity to screen and diagnose PTSD and depression among deploying shipboard US military personnel
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Background
Depression and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) are significant risks for suicide and other adverse outcomes among US military personnel, but prevalence data among ship-assigned personnel at the onset of deployment are unknown.

Aims
To determine the prevalence of shipboard personnel who screen positive for PTSD and/or major depressive disorder (MDD) at the onset of deployment, and also those who reported these diagnoses made by a physician or healthcare professional in the year prior to deployment.

Method
Active-duty ship-assigned personnel (N = 2078) completed anonymous assessments at the beginning of deployment. Depression was measured using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D; score of ≥22), and PTSD was assessed using the PTSD Checklist-Civilian Version (PCL-C; both score and symptom criteria were used).

Results
In total, 7.3% (n = 151 of 2076) screened positive for PTSD and 22% (n = 461 of 2078) for MDD at deployment onset. Only 6% and 15% of those who screened positive for PTSD or MDD, respectively, had been diagnosed by a healthcare professional in the past year.

Conclusions
Missed opportunities for mental healthcare among screen-positive shipboard personnel reduce the benefits associated with early identification and linkage to care. Improved methods of mental health screening that promote early recognition and referral to care may mitigate psychiatric events in theatre.
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Method
US Navy and Marine Corps active-duty personnel completed a self-reported anonymous pre-deployment paper survey within approximately 2 weeks of deployment (described in detail elsewhere). Briefly, data were collected from 11 ships as part of a longitudinal study assessing risks for sexually transmitted infections. Convenience sampling was used and coordinated through all available department heads based on personnel availability to represent a broad spectrum of shipboard personnel. PTSD and depression were assessed using the PTSD Checklist-Civilian Version (PCL-C) and Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D), respectively.

Screening positive for PTSD was defined as a PCL-C score of ≥50 and endorsement of a moderate level of ≥1 intrusion symptoms, ≥3 avoidance symptoms, and ≥2 hyperarousal symptoms, consistent with DSM-IV criteria. Being at risk for major depressive disorder (MDD) was defined as a CES-D score of ≥22. Participants who reported a diagnosis of depression and/or PTSD by a doctor or HCP in the past 12 months were considered to have had a clinical diagnosis (HCP-diagnosis).

Data were analysed using SAS software version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC). Participants with ≤1 missing questions on the assessment and PTSD and/or depression diagnosis survey question(s) were included in the denominator of the mental health diagnosis analysis. Pearson’s chi-squared or Fisher’s exact tests were used to determine statistical significance of categorical variables. All independent variables were included in the multi-variable regression model. No collinearity was observed using a tolerance ≤0.10. All P-values were based on two-tailed tests of significance, defined as P≤0.05.

Results
A total of 2543 participants met the inclusion criteria. Those who did not complete the PTSD or depression assessment were excluded; final sample sizes were 2076 and 2078 for PTSD and depression, respectively.
In total, 7.3% (n=151/2076) screened positive for PTSD, and 22.2% (n=461/2078) for MDD. Among those who screened positive for PTSD, 87.7% (n=176/2076) were at risk for MDD. Not all participants who completed the PTSD and/or depression assessment reported whether a physician had diagnosed them with PTSD and/or depression (HCP-diagnosis), so the denominator differs. Overall, only 5.7% (n=81/1431) of those who screened positive for PTSD and 14.7% (n=64/436) of those who are at risk for MDD had an HCP-diagnosis.

Among personnel at risk for depression, a significantly larger proportion of women with MDD (20.3%, n=29/143) had an HCP-diagnosis than men (12%, n=35/293, P=0.02). No statistically significant differences by gender were observed for PTSD diagnosis among those who screened positive for PTSD (women: 7%, n=3/43, men: 5.1%, n=5/98). There were few individuals who screened negative for MDD or PTSD (2%, n=32/1571 and 1%, n=21/1863, respectively) but reported an HCP-diagnosis.

After adjusting for all demographics, the odds of screening positive for PTSD were significantly higher among those reporting Navy service branch than Marine Corps and non-Hispanic Black, Hispanic/Latino or two or more race/ethnicities compared with non-Hispanic White race/ethnicity (see Table 1). The odds of being at risk for MDD were significantly higher among females, Navy service branch, and those

### Table 1 Overall and demographic-specific prevalence and adjusted odds of those who screened positive for PTSD and depression among shipboard US Navy and Marine Corps service members at deployment onset

| Characteristics | PTSD | | Depression | |
|-----------------|------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|
|                 | Total | Screen positive | Total | MDD |
|                 | n (%) | n (%) | AOR^c | n (%) | n (%) | AOR^d |
| Total           | 2076 (100.0) | 151 (7.3) | 2078 (100.0) | 461 (22.2) |
| HCP-diagnosed, n/N (%) | 8/141 (5.7) | | 64/436 (14.7) | |
| Gender          | 1615 (77.8) | 107 (6.6)* | 1.0 | 1623 (78.1) | 313 (19.3)** | 1.0 |
| Male            | 1615 (77.8) | 107 (6.6)* | 1.0 | 1623 (78.1) | 313 (19.3)** | 1.0 |
| Female          | 461 (22.2) | 44 (9.5) | 1.1 (0.8–1.7) | 455 (21.9) | 148 (32.5) | **1.6 (1.3–2.1)** |
| Age, years      | 99 (4.8) | 10 (10.1) | 2.7 (0.7–10.1) | 101 (4.5) | 29 (28.7) ** | 2.2 (1.0–5.2) |
| 17–19           | 99 (4.8) | 10 (10.1) | 2.7 (0.7–10.1) | 101 (4.5) | 29 (28.7) ** | 2.2 (1.0–5.2) |
| 20–24           | 938 (45.2) | 74 (7.9) | 1.7 (0.6–5.1) | 938 (45.1) | 229 (24.4) | 1.5 (0.8–3.0) |
| 25–29           | 488 (23.5) | 38 (7.8) | 1.5 (0.5–4.3) | 494 (23.8) | 111 (22.5) | 1.4 (0.7–2.7) |
| 30–39           | 442 (21.3) | 24 (5.4) | 0.9 (0.3–2.7) | 438 (21.1) | 78 (17.8) | 1.2 (0.6–2.2) |
| ≥40             | 109 (5.3) | 5 (4.6) | 1.0 | 107 (5.2) | 14 (13.1) | 1.0 |
| Service branch  | Navy   | 1766 (85.5) | 140 (7.9)** | 2.8 (1.4–5.7) | 1766 (85.4) | 416 (23.6)** | 1.9 (1.3–2.8) |
| Marine Corps    | 300 (14.5) | 11 (3.7) | 1.0 | 301 (14.6) | 44 (14.6) | 1.0 |
| Military rank   | E1–E3  | 695 (33.7) | 40 (6.8)* | 2.1 (0.9–4.9) | 698 (33.8) | 176 (25.2)** | 1.5 (0.9–2.4) |
| E4–E6           | 1114 (54.0) | 81 (7.3) | 1.6 (0.7–3.6) | 1115 (53.9) | 248 (22.2) | 1.4 (0.9–2.2) |
| E7–E9, W1–W5, O1–O9 | 256 (12.4) | 10 (3.9) | 1.0 | 255 (12.3) | 37 (14.5) | 1.0 |
| Number of deployments^2 | 0 | 454 (22.6) | 30 (6.6) | 0.6 (0.4–1.0) | 454 (22.6) | 118 (26.0)* | 1.1 (0.8–1.5) |
| ≥2              | 894 (44.5) | 64 (7.2) | 1.0 | 889 (44.2) | 170 (19.1) | 1.0 |
| Race/ethnicity  | Non-Hispanic White | 1102 (53.9) | 56 (5.1)** | 1.0 | 1106 (54.0) | 211 (19.1) | 1.0 |
| Non-Hispanic Black | 253 (12.4) | 26 (10.3) | 2.0 (1.2–3.3) | 253 (12.4) | 67 (26.5) | 1.3 (0.9–1.8) |
| Hispanic/Latino | 303 (14.8) | 30 (9.9) | 1.7 (1.1–2.9) | 303 (14.7) | 78 (26.0) | 1.4 (1.0–1.9) |
| ≥2 race/ethnicities | 153 (7.5) | 23 (15.0) | 3.0 (1.7–5.1) | 154 (7.5) | 56 (36.4) | 2.1 (1.4–3.0) |
| Other           | 232 (11.4) | 15 (6.5) | 1.2 (0.7–2.3) | 235 (11.5) | 44 (18.7) | 1.0 (0.7–1.4) |
| Marital status  | Single, never married, uncommitted | 622 (30.0) | 36 (5.8)* | 0.7 (0.5–1.2) | 617 (29.7) | 136 (22.0)* | 0.9 (0.7–1.3) |
| Single, in committed relationship | 361 (17.4) | 34 (9.4) | 1.2 (0.7–2.0) | 366 (17.6) | 99 (27.1) | 1.2 (0.8–1.6) |
| Single, living with partner | 98 (4.7) | 6 (6.1) | 1.0 (0.4–2.4) | 98 (4.7) | 24 (24.5) | 1.2 (0.7–2.1) |
| Married         | 850 (41.0) | 58 (6.8) | 1.0 | 856 (41.2) | 166 (19.4) | 1.0 |
| Divorced, separated, or widowed | 143 (6.9) | 17 (11.9) | 1.8 (1.0–3.3) | 139 (6.7) | 35 (25.2) | 1.3 (0.8–2.0) |

PTSD, posttraumatic stress disorder; MDD, major depressive disorder.

a. Based on a PTSD Checklist–Civilian Version score of ≥50 and symptom criteria, past month.

b. Based on a Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale score of ≥12 (MDD), past week.

c. Multivariable regression modeled probability of screening positive for PTSD, n = 1981.

d. Multivariable regression modeled probability of screening positive for MDD, n = 1986.

e. Proportion of individuals who reported a diagnosis of depression and/or PTSD by a doctor or healthcare professional (HCP) in the past 12 months among those at risk for MDD and/or PTSD.

^f. Number of official deployments completed.

*P<0.05; **P<0.01; for adjusted odds ratios shown in bold, for PTSD model overall P-values: service branch, 0.0037; race/ethnicity, 0.0006; for MDD model overall P-values are: gender, 0.0003; service branch, 0.0008; race/ethnicity, 0.0010.

Missed opportunity to screen and diagnose PTSD
reporting two or more race/ethnicities after adjusting for all other demographics.

Discussion

Among shipboard US Navy and Marine Corps personnel who screened positive for PTSD or/and depression at deployment onset (predominantly Navy, female, non-White race/ethnicities, Table 1), very few self-reported PTSD and/or depression diagnosis in the past year, revealing a potentially large unmet need for mental healthcare. Missed opportunities for mental healthcare among screen-positive personnel, previously reported within the US military, reduces the benefits associated with early identification and linkage to care. Evidence shows improved methods of mental health screening that focus on deployment disqualifying mental health criteria prior to land-based deployment linked to in-theatre care substantially reduces the number of in-theatre personnel seeking clinical care for psychiatric disorders or suicidal ideation, and operational restrictions or evacuation for mental health conditions. Few soldiers deploying to Iraq (7.7%, n = 819/10 678) required further mental health evaluation and only 6% (n=48) of those were not deployable. Integrated screening and monitoring among shipboard personnel may present similar benefits, particularly if the pre-deployment screening period allowed sufficient time for proper evaluation and implementation of measures to ensure sustained access to appropriate medication and/or other treatment before an extended shipboard deployment.

Individuals who have elevated PTSD and depression scores, or comorbid PTSD/depression on the Pre-DHA, could be targeted for specialised mental health evaluations; personnel with modestly elevated depression and PTSD scores could receive evidence-based non-medical interventions shown to reduce symptoms, although additional research is needed to confirm benefits to the shipboard population. Females, those reporting Navy service branch and non-Hispanic Black, Hispanic/Latino and two or more race/ethnicities were significantly more likely to screen positive for either PTSD or depression, and additional studies could validate whether these individuals are at highest risk using clinical diagnostic interviews to inform interventions that target individuals at highest risk.

The current study PTSD and MDD prevalence is slightly higher or lower compared with other military populations, but study design differences (e.g. scoring criteria and survey–deployment temporal relationship) make comparisons difficult. Adjusted analyses showed that those reporting Navy service branch had higher odds of PTSD and depression than Marine Corps. Previous data among the US military show higher mental health disorder prevalence among Marine Corps than Navy, but these studies reported unadjusted data, used different assessment tools, and were not timed around a deployment. It is possible that Marine Corps personnel assigned to a shipboard deployment may differ from land-assigned Marine Corps, but this would need to be examined further.

There are several possible explanations for the observed high depression and PTSD screen-positive prevalence in the current study, including limitations inherent to screening tools applied to a general population, such as increased false-positivity; increased reporting on anonymous surveys; and the possibility that mental health symptoms increase immediately prior to deployment. Also, it is possible that individuals previously diagnosed and successfully treated may screen negative on the mental health assessment tools. These study findings should be clinically validated with additional research.

There are no conclusive US military data that document that Pre-DHA mental health screening provides a mental health benefit to service members. Additionally, there are concerns that mental health diagnoses may result in adverse career impact or separation from the military. Regardless, the Pre-DHA is already conducted in all other deploying Department of Defense personnel except shipboard personnel, under the logical premise that addressing mental health issues prior to deployment, even imperfectly, is preferable to not doing so. In addition, current reports documenting mental health in deploying US military are based on Pre-DHA data and are therefore incomplete due to the absence of shipboard deployment data.

US shipboard personnel with mental health conditions need improved screening and treatment in conjunction with career security for those without disqualifying conditions. This survey identified that a significant proportion of shipboard personnel are screen-positive for PTSD and/or MDD, and were not diagnosed with a mental health condition within the past year. If individuals with mental health conditions are identified and linked to care, both the individual and deploying unit will likely benefit. In addition, those with severe mental health issues may be identified prior to experiencing dysfunction in a stressful environment, which may place themselves or shipmates at risk.
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