The long-term outcomes following the use of inactivated autograft in the treatment of primary malignant musculoskeletal tumor
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Abstract

Background: Biological reconstruction surgery is a tough but alluring option for treating primary malignant musculoskeletal tumors. In this article, we evaluate the clinical outcomes of primary malignant musculoskeletal tumors treated with inactivated autograft using alcohol.

Method: In this article, we include 58 patients who had primary malignant bone tumors treated with wide resection and recycling autograft reconstruction using alcohol between January 2003 and January 2013. The outcomes were measured by recurrence, functional status, and complications. Functional status was assessed according to the Musculoskeletal Tumor Society Score (MSTS). The Kaplan-Meier survival curve was used to evaluate the survival rate of the patient.

Result: The most common tumor was osteosarcoma (31 cases) followed by chondrosarcoma (10 cases). The tibia was the most frequently involved skeletal site (27 cases) followed by femur (26 cases). The median follow-up period was 54 months, ranging from 18 to 96 months. In 58 patients, 12 were with local recurrence (20.7 %), 16 with lung metastasis (27.6 %), and 13 with complications (22.4 %). The main complication was infection (8 cases). The autografts survived in 49 patients (84.5 %). The mean MSTS score was 78.5 %, ranging from 47 to 98 %.

Conclusion: Recycling autograft reconstruction using alcohol had favorable clinical outcomes to some degree; however, the recurrence and complication rates seem to be high. Thus, we should apply this method with caution and choose the patients with strict surgical indication.
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Background

Malignant bone tumors have characteristics of high degree of malignancy and high rates of recurrence, morbidity, and early metastasis. Since the 1970s, with the advances in diagnostic imaging, chemo- and radiotherapy, and operative techniques, limb salvage surgery has become a preferred choice for malignant bone tumor [1].

Wide resection and limb salvage surgery are considered a standard treatment for primary malignant musculoskeletal tumors [2–4]. Compared with amputation, limb salvage surgery did not reduce survival rate. In contrast, it achieved lower rate of local recurrence and retained part of limb function [5]. The options for reconstruction following tumor excision include endoprostheses [6], allografting [7], composite arthroplasty [8], and distraction osteogenesis [9]. Tumor prosthesis reconstruction gives the most favorable clinical result in terms of functional outcome and complication rates. However, endoprosthesis has the limitation of long-term survival of prosthesis and high cost. Unfortunately, most patients in developing countries cannot afford this type of reconstruction and are treated with amputation. Biological limb salvage procedures are considered an alternative treatment for patients who cannot afford endoprosthesis. Recycling of the resected segment is one type of biological reconstruction. Several methods have been applied including autoclaving [10],
freezing [11], pasteurization [12], extracorporeal irradiation [13], and alcohol inactivation [14, 15]. In China, we primarily choose inactivated autograft using alcohol to carry out biological limb salvage procedures. The method has several advantages, with material easily obtained, economic, no rejection, and low infection rate.

However, there still remain some serious problems. The aim of this study was to evaluate the long-term outcome following the use of this method and then put forward some constructive advice to optimize it.

Patients and methods
This was a single-centered and retrospective study approved by the Ethics Committee of Shanghai Jiao Tong University Affiliated Sixth People’s Hospital. All procedures were in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration. Informed consent for participation was obtained from all participants in this study. We reviewed 58 patients who had a primary malignant bone tumor treated with wide resection and autograft reconstruction using alcohol. The operation was performed between January 2003 and January 2013 (Table 1).

The mean follow-up period for the patients was 54.3 months (18–96). Of the 58 cases (33 men), the most common tumor was osteosarcoma (31 cases) followed by chondrosarcoma (10 cases); the tibia was the most frequently involved skeletal site (27 cases) followed by the femur (26 cases). All had a histological diagnosis based on incisional biopsy (Table 2).

The primary tumor was evaluated on plain radiographs, computed tomography (CT) scans, and magnetic resonance imaging scans. The bone scintigraphy and CT scanning of the chest were performed to confirm that there were no metastases. All the patients received the 2–3 circles of standard three-course neoadjuvant chemotherapy with a 3-week interval between cycles. After receiving the full course of neoadjuvant chemotherapy, all the patients were restaged using MRI and received surgery 2 weeks after the last course. Postoperative chemotherapy (1 circle) was performed every month and lasted for 12 to 18 months.

Operative technique
Wide resection was performed on all patients. The level of resection was determined by the preoperative MRI (restaging MRI) and an intraoperative fluoroscopic image. The surgical technique was described as follows: (1) the lesion was resected according to tumor-free technique rules—dissociating the tumor 2–3 cm apart from the reaction zone and truncating the bone 5 cm from the lesion. (2) The soft tissue and extraosseous tumor were cleared off, with the essential ligaments, like the collateral and lateral ligaments of the knee, retained. (3) The bone lesion was removed by bistrique and the remaining bone was immersed into 99 % alcohol for 30 min, then retrieved and flushed with 3000 ml physiological saline. (4) Kirschner combining with bone cement was used to fill the bone defects, and the final fixation was performed using the steel plate or intramedullary nail. Postoperative plaster immobilization was applied for 2 months and then removed. Patients were encouraged to do functional training with initial protection of the brace.

Statistical analysis
Limb function was evaluated with the Musculoskeletal Tumor Society (MSTS) rating scales, which comprise six items, namely, pain, function, emotional acceptance, support, walking, and gait. Five points are allocated to each item and the highest score is 30 (100 %) [16]. Autografts that were functional and conserved were deemed as “survived,” and those that had been removed or had resorbed and were no longer functional were recorded “died.” Survival of patients was recorded using the Kaplan-Meier method with 95 % confidence interval.

Results
The mean survival period was 75.2 months (60–90), and 25 patients were alive and tumor-free, of which 16 osteosarcoma, 6 chondrosarcoma, 2 giant cell tumor of bone, and 1 mucus chondrosarcoma. Thus, the 5-year survival rate was 43.1 % (Fig. 1). Sixteen patients died of lung metastasis, of which 9 patients had local recurrence and lung metastasis (two patients received postoperative amputation and resection of lung metastatic foci). Eleven patients died of complications including infection, cachexia, and renal failure. Four patients died of other diseases and two patients died of adverse reaction of chemotherapy (Table 3). The mean MSTSS score was 78.5 % ranging from 47 to 98 %. Forty-nine autografts survived and nine died for several reasons including infection, necrosis, and absorption. In 58 patients, 3 were with local recurrence (5.2 %), 7 with lung metastasis (12.1 %), and 9 with both local and lung metastases (15.5 %).

Complications were encountered in 13 of 58 patients (22.4 %), including deep infection in 8 (13.8 %), flap necrosis in 3 (5.2 %), fracture and nonunion in 3 (5.2 %), and joint dislocation in 2 (3.4 %) (Table 4). Eight patients with deep infection were managed by debridement, drainage, irrigation, and the use of antibiotics. The inactivated autograft was removed in two patients with deep infection. All the three local flap necrosis occurred in the proximal tibia, of which two cases healed after debridement and drainage and one case was with the infection out of control due to the
### Table 1: Details of patients who underwent reconstruction with inactivated autograft treated with alcohol

| Case | Gender and age(Y) | Location | Stage | Histology | Length, cm | Resection | MSTSS score | Outcomes | Follow-up (months) |
|------|-------------------|----------|-------|-----------|------------|-----------|-------------|----------|-------------------|
| 1    | M/12              | DF       | IIB   | OSA       | 15         | IA        | 81          | Death    | 29                |
| 2    | M/16              | PT       | IIB   | OSA       | 18         | IA        | 92          | DF       | 61                |
| 3    | F/21              | PT       | IIB   | CHOS      | 21         | IA        | 95          | DF       | 70                |
| 4    | M/12              | DF       | IIB   | OSA       | 17         | IA        | 81          | DF       | 78                |
| 5    | F/13              | PT       | IIB   | OSA       | 23         | IC        | 73          | DF       | 69                |
| 6    | F/17              | PT       | IIB   | OSA       | 16         | IA        | 85          | DF       | 73                |
| 7    | M/20              | PF       | IIB   | SSA       | 25         | IA        | 69          | Death    | 23                |
| 8    | M/16              | DF       | IB    | OSA       | 18         | IC        | 67          | DF       | 90                |
| 9    | M/32              | PT       | IIB   | CHOS      | 16         | IA        | 98          | DF       | 84                |
| 10   | F/11              | PH       | IIB   | OSA       | 27         | IA        | 87          | DF       | 96                |
| 11   | F/15              | SH       | IIB   | OSA       | 21         | IC        | 89          | Death    | 40                |
| 12   | M/19              | DF       | IIB   | SSA       | 15         | EA        | 72          | Death    | 43                |
| 13   | M/14              | DF       | IIB   | EWS       | 18         | IC        | 78          | Death    | 48                |
| 14   | M/23              | PT       | IIB   | CHOS      | 19         | IA        | 91          | DF       | 72                |
| 15   | M/17              | PF       | IIB   | OSA       | 31         | IA        | 76          | DF       | 68                |
| 16   | F/13              | DF       | IIB   | OSA       | 22         | EA        | 80          | Death    | 55                |
| 17   | F/19              | PT       | IIB   | IG TB     | 19         | IC        | 77          | DF       | 88                |
| 18   | M/39              | PT       | IIB   | CHOS      | 17         | IA        | 96          | Death    | 56                |
| 19   | M/26              | DF       | IIB   | CHOS      | 22         | IA        | 72          | DF       | 60                |
| 20   | M/21              | PT       | IIB   | MCHOS     | 23         | IA        | 94          | DF       | 62                |
| 21   | F/15              | DF       | IIB   | OSA       | 28         | IA        | 85          | Death    | 36                |
| 22   | F/14              | PF       | IIB   | IG TB     | 24         | IA        | 70          | Death    | 29                |
| 23   | M/15              | PT       | IIB   | OSA       | 16         | IC        | 87          | DF       | 70                |
| 24   | M/26              | DF       | IIB   | MCHOS     | 17         | IA        | 85          | Death    | 18                |
| 25   | F/18              | PT       | IIB   | OSA       | 19         | IC        | 78          | DF       | 79                |
| 26   | M/20              | DF       | IIB   | FSA       | 18         | IA        | 67          | Death    | 28                |
| 27   | F/13              | DF       | IIB   | EWS       | 30         | IA        | 54          | Death    | 41                |
| 28   | F/16              | PT       | IIB   | OSA       | 21         | IA        | 86          | Death    | 38                |
| 29   | M/25              | PT       | IIB   | CHOS      | 25         | IA        | 74          | DF       | 64                |
| 30   | M/17              | PH       | IIB   | OSA       | 17         | EA        | 47          | Death    | 28                |
| 31   | F/12              | DF       | IB    | OSA       | 19         | IA        | 86          | DF       | 65                |
| 32   | M/15              | PT       | IIB   | IG TB     | 23         | IA        | 95          | DF       | 96                |
| 33   | M/19              | DF       | IIB   | FSA       | 29         | IA        | 82          | Death    | 29                |
| 34   | F/22              | PT       | IIB   | MCHOS     | 22         | IA        | 83          | Death    | 38                |
| 35   | F/13              | DF       | IB    | OSA       | 25         | IC        | 70          | DF       | 80                |
| 36   | M/18              | PT       | IIB   | OSA       | 18         | EA        | 93          | Death    | 34                |
| 37   | F/45              | DF       | IIB   | CHOS      | 15         | IA        | 85          | DF       | 70                |
| 38   | F/16              | PT       | IIB   | OSA       | 19         | IA        | 67          | DF       | 66                |
| 39   | M/19              | DF       | IIB   | SSA       | 21         | IA        | 94          | Death    | 53                |
| 40   | M/13              | PT       | IIB   | MFCT      | 29         | IA        | 73          | Death    | 48                |
| 41   | M/21              | DF       | IB    | CHOS      | 18         | IC        | 49          | Death    | 36                |
| 42   | M/14              | PH       | IIB   | OSA       | 16         | IA        | 85          | Death    | 52                |
| 43   | M/19              | DF       | IIB   | OSA       | 14         | IA        | 79          | DF       | 78                |
| 44   | M/20              | PT       | IB    | MCHOS     | 19         | IA        | 70          | Death    | 30                |
patient automatically discharging from hospital. One patient with fracture of the autograft (due to trauma) was treated with secondary internal fixation. Two patients with fracture of steel plate received conservative treatment. Two patients with joint dislocation received prompt treatment. One was managed by resetting the joint and then with the plaster immobilization. The other was treated with removing the autograft and then filling the defects with bone cement instead.

Discussion

Most bone tumor patients are young; thus, the treatments are supposed to not only preserve the limb but also maintain function without major complications or recurrences over long term [17, 18]. From a developing nation’s perspective, reimplantation of extracorporeally devitalized tumor-bearing bone segments is an appealing option. It allows immediate and anatomical correct filling of the defect [19]. Means of devitalizing tumor-bearing bone varies, including autoclaving, freezing, pasteurization, and extracorporeal irradiation. All the methods have similar effect in killing the tumor cells. However, the main differences lie in their effect on mechanical properties of the bone [20].

Since the first report of inactivated autograft (using alcohol) in the treatment of primary malignant musculoskeletal tumor by Song X. W. in 1983 [21], the method has been widely applied in hospitals throughout China. It has various advantages including low cost, no rejection or transmission of disease, no requirement for a bone bank or for special equipment, good fit between graft and host bone, and easy attachment of tendons and ligaments to the bone. In fact, it met the expectation of both the patients and the doctors, and some patients achieved decent long-term limb function [15, 22, 23]. However, this method was not well applied due to no uniform standard of selecting patients. Some patients that did not meet the criteria of limb salvage surgery were proposed to take this procedure, resulting in the failure of limb salvage, thus increasing the incidence of complications of the surgery objectively.

The bone shell inactivated by alcohol was almost dead. When it was replanted back to the host combining with bone cement, it takes more time to attach to the normal soft tissue and bone compared with the fresh one [24]. In the sites containing little soft tissue, like the proximal tibia, it is inevitably to be

| Table 1 | Details of patients who underwent reconstruction with inactivated autograft treated with alcohol (Continued) |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 45      | F/12 | DF | IIIB | OSA | 21 | IA | 81 | Death | 42 |
| 46      | F/17 | PT | IIIB | OSA | 29 | IA | 58 | Death | 23 |
| 47      | M/15 | PT | IIIB | OSA | 31 | IA | 84 | DF | 88 |
| 48      | M/21 | DF | IIIB | OSA | 17 | IA | 78 | Death | 55 |
| 49      | F/16 | PH | IIIB | OSA | 19 | IC | 59 | Death | 28 |
| 50      | F/19 | PT | IIIB | SSA | 21 | IA | 80 | Death | 34 |
| 51      | F/11 | DF | IIIB | OSA | 27 | IA | 71 | Death | 33 |
| 52      | F/17 | PT | IIIB | OSA | 22 | IA | 97 | DF | 82 |
| 53      | M/38 | PT | IIIA | OSA | 25 | IA | 71 | Death | 46 |
| 54      | M/12 | DF | IIIB | OSA | 17 | IC | 93 | Death | 58 |
| 55      | M/15 | PT | IIIA | MFCT | 19 | IA | 48 | Death | 19 |
| 56      | F/11 | DF | IIIB | OSA | 26 | IA | 75 | DF | 72 |
| 57      | F/24 | PT | IIIA | CHOS | 19 | IC | 79 | Death | 46 |
| 58      | M/13 | PT | IIIB | SSA | 17 | IA | 83 | Death | 55 |

DF: distal femur, PF: proximal femur, PH: proximal humerus, PT: proximal tibia, SH: shaft humerus, OSA: osteosarcoma, CHOS: chondrosarcoma, MCHOS: mucus chondrosarcoma, FSA: fibrosarcoma, EWS: Ewing’s sarcoma, IGTB: invasive giant cell tumor of bone, MFCT: malignant fibrous cell tumors, SSA: synovial sarcoma, EA: extra-articular, IA: intra-articular, IC: intercalary, DF: disease free
*Enneking surgical stage

| Table 2 | Histological diagnosis of inactivated autografts used as reconstruction after the excision of a tumor |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Histological diagnosis | No. of patients (n = 58) |
| Osteosarcoma OSA | 31 |
| Chondrosarcoma CHOS | 10 |
| Mucus chondrosarcoma MCHOS | 5 |
| Fibrosarcoma FSA | 2 |
| Ewing’s sarcoma EWS | 2 |
| Invasive giant cell tumor of bone IGTB | 2 |
| Malignant fibrous cell tumors MFCT | 2 |
| Synovial sarcoma SSA | 4 |
infected with the flap necrosis after the resection of tumor. In 11 patients with infection or flap necrosis, 9 occurred in the proximal tibia. There were several tips in coping with this situation. First, it is necessary to retain enough soft tissue; if not, the flap transfer- ring surgery should be performed (the medial head of the gastrocnemius is most frequently used). Second, preoperative prophylactic use of antibiotics is essential and should be continued for a period time. Third, it is advisable to minimize the use of electric knife in resecting lesions next to the normal flap and avoid excessive traction of the flap. Fourth, adequate postoperative drainage is of great importance; thus, it is preferable to place unilateral or bilateral subcutaneous drainage strips and cover the gauze with pressure.

Though the tumor-bearing bone is autologous, it is indeed “dead” after the inactivation of alcohol. The healing process is similar to that of allograft, mainly through

Table 3 Complications of inactivated autograft treated with alcohol

| Types (of complication) | No. (rates) |
|-------------------------|-------------|
| Early complications     | 11 (18.9 %) |
| Infection              | 8           |
| Flap necrosis          | 3           |
| Late complications     | 5 (8.6 %)   |
| Fracture and union     | 3           |
| Dislocation            | 2           |
| Total                  | 13 (22.4 %) |

Table 4 Outcomes of patients treated with inactivated autograft induced by alcohol

| Types                              | No. (rates) |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Alive or free of disease (≥5 years)| 25          |
| Death                              | 33          |
| Lung metastasis                    | 16          |
| Infection                          | 4           |
| Cachexia                           | 4           |
| Renal failure                      | 3           |
| Reactions of chemotherapy          | 2           |
| Other diseases                     | 4           |
creeping substitution of host bone and infiltration of mesenchymal cells of soft tissue [25]. Therefore, this is a long-period process that some researchers believe to be 3 to 5 years [26]. One patient in our group experienced fracture due to trauma 6 years after the surgery. Intriguingly, when taking biopsy of the intraoperative cortical bone in the fracture site, it turned out to be without bone formation. However, the tumor-bearing bone still contained some active inducible factors for the limited penetration of alcohol, so the inactivated autograft takes less time to union compared with allograft [24]. In former animal experiments on the biomechanics and healing process of alcohol-inactivated bone, we found that the healing process initiated in the bone ends, followed by the middle section (which had the weakest mechanical strength in the late phase of healing) [26]. Therefore, to avoid fractures, the patients should not bear too much weight before complete clinical healing. For patients with fracture, secondary surgery of internal fixation was proposed if conditions are permitting. Otherwise, the conservative treatment was the wise choice. Different techniques have been proposed to reduce complications and improve functions of the affected extremities [27–29].

It was of great importance to enact replantation indications [15, 17, 30]. In our group, 12 cases were with local recurrence, of which 8 cases were the result of inappropriate selection of patients. Two patients with huge soft tissue mass showing poor response to chemotherapy were requested by their families to conduct limb salvage surgery, resulting in the failure of extensive resection of the tumor and subsequent recurrence 6 months later. The flap necrosis and infection occurred in a patient (with tumor in the proximal tibia) for retaining little soft
tissue to cover the bone after surgery. Hence, selection criteria can affect the prognosis of patients and efficacy of limb salvage surgery directly. Our inclusion criteria of limb salvage surgery include the following: (I) tumors were sensitive to chemotherapy; (II) a limited boundary of the tumor; (III) good conditions for local soft tissue; (IV) a relative intact continuity of the resected bone; and (V) a good general condition, with no occurrence of other serious diseases. Patients with large tumors, unclear boundary, extensive invasion of soft tissue, or involvement of major blood vessels and nerves or who are insensitive to chemotherapy, cannot afford chemotherapy costs, or are reluctant to finish chemotherapy should be excluded.

Joint dislocation occurred mainly in the knee, which related to poor- or non-healing of ligament reconstruction [31]. The residual ligaments on inactivated autograft were “dead”, and the initial connection with normal ones was strengthened by sutures. The full healing of ligament could maintain a certain tension, preventing excessive sliding of the joint. If the reconstructed ligaments were absorbed or not healed, then the joint dislocation might occur. For patients with knee reconstruction and increased activity should be taken under the protection of brace. As for dislocation, closed reduction was the best choice. If the joints are dislocated for a long time, with the soft tissue contracted, the open reduction was proposed. For patients having difficulties in resetting the joint, the temporary support was essential until the secondary surgery with allograft or prosthesis.

Whether it was a semi-autogenous-inactivated joint replantation or a semi-allogeneic one, articular cartilage degeneration inevitably happened [32, 33]. The degree of degeneration was positively related to growing age, high frequency of exercise, long timespan after surgery, etc. In our group, patients receiving amputation in the secondary surgery showed serious degeneration of articular cartilage in postoperative anatomical specimens. Therefore, there is no effective method in alleviating the progression and degree of degeneration.

The long-term outcome of our patients was poor due to multiple reasons, including the subjective and objective ones. For objective ones, it was inevitable. However, it was possible to eliminate the subjective ones. The latter

Fig. 3 Case presentation II. Case 37: A 45-year-old woman was diagnosed as having chondrosarcoma in the distal of her left femur and was treated with wide resection and inactivated autograft using alcohol. a Plain radiography before surgery. b MRI before surgery. c Inactivated autograft by alcohol in surgery. d Three months after surgery. e One year after surgery. f Two years after surgery
patients of this group were screened strictly in accordance with the selecting criteria, and only four cases were with local recurrence. For patients who survived for a long term, the biological reconstruction using alcohol-inactivated autograft was an economic and effective alternative. In our group, some patients got a good outcome with a full healing of autograft (Figs. 2 and 3). Anyway, all methods have their unfavorable aspects. Considering the relative high complication rates of this method, patients with indication of limb salvage can choose endoprosthetic treatment if it was economically affordable. For patients with lung metastasis, intensive involvement of vital vessels and nerves, or poor response to chemotherapy, amputation should be performed without hesitation.

Conclusions

We find in this study that recycling autograft reconstruction using alcohol had favorable clinical outcomes to some extent. However, the rates of complications increased due to inappropriate selection of patients in the early period. After strictly adhering to indications of limb salvage, the rates decreased drastically. Therefore, the method should be used with caution in several aspects, especially in the indication of limb salvage surgery.
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