Development and validation of ferroptosis-related lncRNAs prognosis signatures in kidney renal clear cell carcinoma
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Abstract
Background: Ferroptosis is a recently recognised new type of cell death which may be a potential target for cancer therapy. In the present study, we aimed to screen ferroptosis-related differentially expressed long non-coding RNAs as biomarkers to predict the outcome of kidney renal clear cell carcinoma.

Methods: RNAseq count data and corresponding clinical information were obtained from the Cancer Genome Atlas database. Lists of ferroptosis-related genes and long non-coding RNAs were obtained from the FerrDb and GENCODE databases, respectively. The candidate prognostic signatures were screened by Cox regression analyses and least absolute shrinkage and selection operator analyses.

Results: Three ferroptosis-related long non-coding RNAs (DUXAP8, LINC02609, and LUCAT1) were significantly correlated with the overall survival of kidney renal clear cell carcinoma independently. Kidney renal clear cell carcinoma patients with high-risk values displayed worse OS. Meanwhile, the expression of these three ferroptosis-related long non-coding RNAs and their risk scores were significantly correlated with clinicopathological features. Principal component analyses showed that patients with kidney renal clear cell carcinoma have differential risk values were well distinguished by the three ferroptosis-related long non-coding RNAs.

Conclusions: The present study suggests that the risk assessment model constructed by these three ferroptosis-related long non-coding RNAs could accurately predict the outcome of kidney renal clear cell carcinoma. We also provide a novel perspective for cancer prognosis screening.
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Introduction
Kidney cancer is a cancer that originates in a kidney. It is also the most commonly diagnosed cancer in both men and women. In recent years, the incidence of kidney cancer has increased, mainly due to the aging process and tobacco use [1, 2]. Kidney renal clear cell carcinoma (KIRC) is the most common type of kidney cancer, accounting for approximately 75% of all kidney cancer diagnoses [3]. Approximately 40% of patients with advanced cancers eventually develop metastases, despite receiving surgical treatments [4]. Therefore, it is necessary to conduct more research on relevant prognostic signatures and possible therapeutic targets.

Ferroptosis was first proposed by Dixon in 2012 as type of novel cell death. It is defined as an iron-dependent and reactive oxygen species (ROS)-dependent cell death [5]. It is mainly characterised by cytological changes, including decreased or diminished mitochondrial cristae, ruptured outer mitochondrial membrane, or condensed mitochondrial membrane [6–10]. Previous studies have indicated...
that ferroptosis can be activated by diverse physiological conditions and pathological stresses [11]. Dysregulated ferroptosis is involved in multiple physiological and pathological processes, including cancer cell death [10]. Cumulative studies have demonstrated that dysregulated ferroptosis participates in several cancers, such as colorectal cancer, gastric cancer, and renal cancer [12–14]. Deregulated ferroptosis is increasingly recognised as an adaptive feature with the potential to eliminate malignant cells; moreover, it plays a pivotal role in inhibiting tumorigenesis [15]. Researchers have begun the process of employing the regulation of ferroptosis in tumour cells as a novel therapeutic approach [16–18]. Chemotherapy agents combined with ferroptosis inducers, such as erastin, have a significant synergistic antitumour effect. Notably, patients’ prognoses are with this approach better than those undergoing conventional chemotherapy alone [7].

Relatedly, long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are a type of non-coding RNAs that are more than 200 nucleotides in length and account for nearly 70% of the human transcriptome [19]. This is important as lncRNAs play an integral role in several physiological and pathological cellular processes [20, 21]. Similar to dysregulated ferroptosis, dysregulated lncRNAs are closely related to cell proliferation, apoptosis, migration, and invasion in several different cancers [22–24]. Cumulative studies have demonstrated that lncRNAs are related to the overall survival of cancers. This means that they could be used as prognostic signatures to predict outcomes [25, 26]. Additionally, lncRNAs are increasingly recognised as crucial mediators in the regulation of ferroptosis [27].

It is important to recall the close relationship that ferroptosis has with the treatment and prognosis of cancers. Based on that and the fact that lncRNAs are key mediators in regulating ferroptosis, we speculated that several ferroptosis-related differentially expressed lncRNAs (FR-DEGs and DELs) may be used as prognostic signatures for KIRC. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to identify suitable FR-DEGs that can predict the prognostic outcome for patients living with KIRC. Through a series of bioinformatics analyses, we identified three FR-DEGs (DUXAP8, LINC02609, and LUCAT1) that could be used as prognostic signatures for KIRC. We also verified the prediction of these three FR-DEGs and the risk assessment model in the validation dataset as well as in the entire dataset.

**Methods**

**Data processing**

RNA-seq counts data of 72 controls and 530 patients with KIRC and their corresponding KIRC data were obtained from an open database, The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/). Annotation of IncRNAs was obtained from GENCODE (https://www.gencodegenes.org/). A list of ferroptosis-related genes (259) was obtained from FerrDb (http://www.zhounan.org/ferrdb).

We used the DESeq2 package in R 3.6.2 to screen the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) with the specific criterion baseMean ≥ 100, |Log_FoldChange| ≥ 1.0, adj.p < 0.05. Spearman correlation analyses were used to investigate the correlation of FR-DEGs and DELs with the specific criterion |r| ≥ 0.5, p < 0.05.

**Sample processing**

To identify suitable signatures and verify them, KIRC samples were randomly divided into training and validation groups (Table 1). We placed the patients without clear clinicopathological features into the unknown group. We excluded patients with unknown clinicopathological features from the overall samples. Considering the

| Characteristics | Entire group (n = 530) | Training group (n = 265) | Validation group (n = 265) |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Age, years      |                       |                         |                           |
| ≤ 65            | 348                   | 174                     | 174                       |
| > 65            | 182                   | 91                      | 91                        |
| Gender          |                       |                         |                           |
| Female          | 186                   | 92                      | 94                        |
| Male            | 344                   | 173                     | 171                       |
| Stage           |                       |                         |                           |
| Stage I         | 265                   | 141                     | 124                       |
| Stage II        | 57                    | 30                      | 27                        |
| Stage III       | 123                   | 58                      | 65                        |
| Stage IV        | 82                    | 35                      | 47                        |
| Unknown         | 3                     | 1                       | 2                         |
| T               |                       |                         |                           |
| T1              | 271                   | 144                     | 127                       |
| T2              | 69                    | 35                      | 34                        |
| T3              | 179                   | 82                      | 97                        |
| T4              | 11                    | 4                       | 7                         |
| N               |                       |                         |                           |
| N0              | 239                   | 122                     | 117                       |
| N1              | 16                    | 6                       | 10                        |
| Unknown         | 275                   | 137                     | 138                       |
| M               |                       |                         |                           |
| M0              | 422                   | 217                     | 205                       |
| M1              | 78                    | 33                      | 45                        |
| Unknown         | 30                    | 15                      | 15                        |
| Vital           |                       |                         |                           |
| Alive           | 357                   | 187                     | 170                       |
| Death           | 173                   | 78                      | 95                        |
factors of sample size and analysis methods (discontinuous variables), we combined components with similar clinical phenotypes. For example, we regrouped the KIRC patients into two different groups based on the clinicopathologic features, such as T1 + 2 group and T3 + 4 group, N0 group and N1 group, M0 group and M1 group, Stage 1 + 2 group, and Stage 3 + 4 group in the overall survival analyses.

Development of lncRNAs as prognosis signatures
After regrouping by the median value, we evaluated each FR-DEL using univariate Cox regression analyses and Kaplan-Meier (K-M) analyses. We performed least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression to avoid overfitting. We performed multivariate Cox regression analyses to identify suitable FR-DELs as prognostic signatures.

Risk assessment model construction
After the candidate prognostic signatures were filtered by the analyses of univariate Cox regression, K-M, LASSO regression, and multivariate Cox regression, we constructed a risk assessment model using the following formula: Risk Value = β_{FR-DEL1} × Expression_{FR-DEL1} + β_{FR-DEL2} × Expression_{FR-DEL2} + ... + β_{FR-DELn} × Expression_{FR-DELn}. After regrouping by the optimal cutoff value, univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were used to assess the prognostic value of the risk value model.

Principal component analyses and functional enrichment
Principal component analyses (PCA) were conducted to reduce the dimensions of the study. PCA allowed us to visualise KIRC patients who had different risk values as defined by different DEGs. We found these by filtering using differentially expressed analyses, including 62 FR-DEGs filtered by differently expressed analyses, 361 DELs filtered by differently expressed analyses, 46 FR-DEGs filtered by Spearman analyses, 251 FR-DELs filtered by Spearman analyses, 9 FR-DEGs filtered by univariate Cox, K-M, and LASSO analyses, and four FR-DEGs after filtering by multivariate Cox analyses.

David 6.8 was used to carry out Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analyses (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/).

Statistical analyses
A repeated measure ANOVA followed by an unpaired two-tailed student's t test was used as indicated. All results are expressed as the mean ± SEM.
entire group. Similar results were observed in the validation group as well as the entire group (Fig. 2e–l).

Independent prognostic factors of OS
To determine the role of the risk assessment model and clinicopathological features in prognostic prediction, K–M and multivariate Cox regression analyses were performed. The variable clinicopathological features included age, sex, pathologic TNM, and pathologic stage.

In the training group, we found that age, pathologic T, pathologic M, pathologic stage, and risk model were correlated with OS by K–M analyses (Fig. 3a). The pathologic M and the risk model were still correlated with OS by multivariate Cox analyses (Fig. 3a). In the validation and entire group, we also found that age, pathologic T, pathologic N, pathologic M, overall pathologic stage, and risk model were correlated with OS by K–M analyses (Fig. 3b, c). The pathologic TNM was still correlated with the OS in the validation group (Fig. 3b). Meanwhile, the pathologic M and the risk model were still correlated with OS by multivariate Cox analyses (Fig. 3c).

Fig. 1  Differential expression analyses. Differential expression analyses of KIRC (a) DEGs. b FR-DEG. c DELs. d Univariate Cox regression and K–M analyses illustrated nine FR-DELS associated with prognosis. g Multivariate Cox regression independently illustrated three FR-DELS associated with prognosis. d–f K–M plots of those 3 FR-DELS [DUXAP8 (d), LINC02609 (e), and LUCAT1(f)]
We performed ROC curve analyses to assess the accuracy of the risk assessment model for all patients and patients at 3, 5, and 10-year in the entire group. All AUC values were over 0.7 (Fig. 3d–f).

**Correlation analyses with clinicopathological features**

We explored the relationship of the risk value and the clinicopathological features in the entire group. In doing so, we found that the risk values differed significantly among patients with different clinicopathological features. Risk factors increased significantly in KIRC patients over 65 years of age who were male, had pathologic N1, and fell under the pathologic M1 groups (Fig. 4a, b, d, e). There were also significant differences in KIRC patients with different pathologic T and pathologic stages (Fig. 4c, f).

We also explored the relationship between the expression of these three FR-DELs and their clinicopathological features. The results are displayed in Fig. 4g–l. These results indicated that the expression of these three FR-DELs was significantly different among the different clinicopathological features.

**Functional enrichment and PCA**

We performed PCA analyses to explore the distribution of each case with a differential risk value. PCA analyses were performed using the 62 FR-DEGs, 361 DELs, 46 FR-DEGs, 251 FR-DELs, and nine FR-DELs. The results indicated that the KIRC patients with low-risk values could be largely separated from the KIRC patients with high-risk values (Fig. 5a–e). In particular, we clearly distinguished the high-risk values from the low-risk values in patients with KIRC using the three FR-DELs as prognostic signatures (Fig. 5f).

To explore the gene expression status between the KIRC patients in the high-risk group and low-risk group, we performed differentially expressed analyses using DESeq2 and identified 757 DEGs, including 493 upregulated and 264 downregulated (Additional file 1: Figure S3). Then, we used David 6.8 to carry out GO and KEGG
**Fig. 3** Independent prognostic factors of overall survival. a–c Univariate (blue) and multivariate (red) Cox regression of prognostic factors in the training, validation, and entire groups, respectively. ROC curve plot of risk model for all patients (d), patients at 3 (e), 5 (f), and 10-year (g) in the entire group.

**Fig. 4** Correlation analyses with clinicopathological features. Correlation of risk value with clinicopathological features [age (a), sex (b), pathologic T (c), pathologic N (d), pathologic M (e), and pathologic stage (f)]. Correlation of expression of ferroptosis-related lncRNAs with clinicopathological features [age (a), sex (b), pathologic T (c), pathologic N (d), pathologic M (e), and pathologic stage (f)]. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
enrichment analyses (Fig. 6a–d). The KEGG analysis revealed that several signalling pathways related to cancer and ferroptosis were enriched, such as the p53 signalling pathway (Fig. 6d).

**Discussion**

The main treatment for KIRC is surgery. However, almost 40% of patients with advanced KIRC who undergo surgery will eventually develop distant metastases [28, 29]. The overall survival of patients with metastatic KIRC is poor. Even prior to surgery, approximately 10% of KIRC patients survive for only 5 years [30]. Previous studies have suggested that even if the TNM stage or risk factors are the same, they will show different clinical outcomes due to molecular heterogeneity. Therefore, it is important to identify suitable prognostic molecular signatures [31]. Ferroptosis is a recognised novel form of programmed cell death which is involved in the migration, invasion, and proliferation of several cancers [32–35]. Additionally, several studies have demonstrated that lncRNAs play pivotal roles in the regulation of ferroptosis [36–40].

In the present study, we identified three FR-DELs (DUXAP8, LINC02609, and LUCAT1) by filtering using univariate Cox analyses, K–M analyses, LASSO regression analyses, and multivariate Cox analyses. We chose to use these as all of these could be used as prognostic signatures for KIRC. We constructed a risk assessment model using these three FR-DELs. KIRC patients with high-risk values displayed worse OS. ROC curve analyses also suggested that the AUC values of this model were over 0.7. Moreover, Cox analysis revealed that the risk model could be an independent prognostic factor. PCA analyses further revealed that KIRC patients with high-risk values were largely distinguishable from the KIRC patients with high-risk values.

Gong et al. found that DUXAP8 promotes proliferation, migration, and invasion via miR-490-5P, RAB14, HK2, LDHA, and EMT [41–44]. In renal cancer, Chen et al. found that overexpression of DUXAP8 promotes the growth of renal cancer [45, 46]. Huang et al. further found that overexpression of DUXAP8 promotes renal cell proliferation by downregulating miR-126 expression. In the present study, we found that DUXAP8 expression was significantly increased in patients with KIRC. These results reinforce the correlation between DUXAP8 and KIRC. In addition, we found that DUXAP8 was correlated with the OS of KIRC by LASSO regression, univariate Cox, K–M, and multivariate Cox analyses. KIRC
patients with high DUAPX8 expression displayed worse OS. Chen et al. suggested that DUXAP8 may serve as a potential prognostic signature for renal cancer [45]. Our present results are consistent with previous studies which also suggested that DUXAP8 could be a suitable prognostic signature for KIRC.

He et al. found that LINC02609 was significantly associated with OS in 258 patients with sarcoma [47]. In the present study, we found that the expression of LINC02609 was significantly increased in KIRC patients while it was also significantly increased in KIRC patients with high-risk values. KIRC patients with high expression of LINC02609 exhibited worse OS. LINC02609 may be a prognostic signature for KIRC. Additionally, Su et al. found that the expression of LINC02609 was increased not only in advanced stages and grades than in the early stages and grades, but also in the tissues of tumour and distant metastasis than in the normal and non-distant metastasis control [48]. That same study also found that LINC02609 has significant distant metastasis and prognostic potential [48]. In the present study, we found that the expression of LINC02609 was correlated with pathologic T, pathologic M, and pathologic stage. Our results were consistent with those of previous studies, reinforcing the correlation of LINC02609 with distant metastasis. Su et al. found that LINC02609 could be used as a prognostic signature for KIRC based on distant metastasis-related lncRNAs. In the present study, we found that LINC02609 could also be used as a prognostic signature for KIRC based on ferroptosis and Cox regression analyses. In the present study, we identified that LINC02609 could be a prognostic signature for KIRC, which further indicated the close correlation between LINC02609 and KIRC that has been alluded to in the existing literature.

Previous studies demonstrated that aberrant expression of LUCAT1 is correlated with several cancers. These include pancreatic cancer, ovarian cancer, bladder cancer, lung cancer, breast cancer, and renal cancer. Cao et al.
found that LUCAT1 expression is increased in human pancreatic cancer cell lines. The high expression of LUCAT1 enhances the pathogenesis of pancreatic cancer and promotes the proliferation and invasion of pancreatic cancer cells by inducing the phosphorylation of Akt and p38 MAPK [49]. Liu et al. also found that the expression of LUCAT1 was highly expressed in ovarian cancer cell lines [50]. The proliferation rate of the LUCAT1 knockdown group was significantly decreased, while that of the LUCAT1 silencing group was significantly increased [50]. Chen et al. found that the downregulation of LUCAT1 could suppress the migration and invasion of bladder cancer by targeting miR-181c-5p [51]. Regarding renal cancer, Zheng et al. found that LUCAT1 expression was also significantly increased compared with normal tissue [52]. LUCAT1 promotes proliferation and invasion of renal cancer through the AKT/GSK-3β signalling pathway [52]. All of these studies indicate that LUCAT1 is closely correlated with the development of various cancers. Previous studies also demonstrated that LUCAT1 is correlated with OS and could be used as a prognostic signature for several cancers, such as papillary thyroid cancer, non-small lung cancer, and renal cancer. In the present study, we found that the expression of LUCAT1 was significantly increased in KIRC patients and significantly increased in KIRC patients with high-risk values. The results of our present study were consistent with those of previous studies, which reinforce the feasibility of LUCAT1 as a prognostic signature for KIRC [53].

Our present study identified three FR-DELs (DUXAP8, LINC02609, and LUCAT1) as candidates for prognostic signatures of KIRC. However, other studies have also identified a number of other genes that may serve as prognostic signatures for KIRC [54–57].

There are some limitations to the current study. For example, in this study, the control group was smaller than the experimental group. An increase in the sample size would enhance the credibility of these results. Another shortcoming of the current study is the lack of corroboration from clinical studies. This would be the focus of the authors’ future research. Through sample collection, candidate biomarker detection, and follow-up studies, we shall further clarify their feasibility as a prognostic biomarker of KIRC.

Conclusions

Through a series of bioinformatics analyses, we identified three FR-DELs (DUXAP8, LINC02609, and LUCAT1) which can be used as prognostic signatures to predict the outcome of patients with KIRC. Our study presented a new research strategy for exploring the mechanism of ferroptosis. Moreover, this study provided an individualised prognostic prediction for patients with KIRC. However, there were some limitations to this study, mostly due to the lack of clinical validation.
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