Saturation Effect of Body Mass Index on Bone Mineral Density in Adolescents of Different Ages: A Population-Based Study
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Background: Adolescence is a critical period for bone development, and peak bone mass may be reached in late adolescence. Boosting bone accumulation at this time can help preserve adult bone health and avoid osteoporosis later in life. Body mass index (BMI) has been found to have a favorable impact on bone mineral density (BMD) in previous research. However, excessive obesity is harmful to health and may lead to various systemic diseases. Therefore, finding an appropriate BMI to maintain a balance between obesity and BMD is critical for adolescents.

Methods: The datasets from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2011–2020 were used in a cross-sectional investigation. Multivariate linear regression models were used to examine the linear connection between BMI and BMD. Fitted smoothing curves and threshold effect analysis were used to describe the nonlinear relationship. Subgroup analyses were then conducted based on gender and age.

Results: This population-based study included a total of 6,143 adolescents aged 8–19 years. In a multivariate linear regression analysis, a good association between BMI and total BMD was shown [0.014 (0.013, 0.014)]. This positive association was maintained in all subgroup analyses grouped by sex and age. Furthermore, the association between BMI and BMD was nonlinear with a saturation point present, as evidenced by smoothed curve fitting. According to the threshold effect study, with an age group of two years, adolescents of different ages had different BMI saturation values with respect to BMD.

Conclusions: Our study showed a significant positive and saturated association between BMI and BMD in adolescents aged 8–19 years. Maintaining BMI at saturation values may reduce other adverse effects while achieving optimal BMD.
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BACKGROUND

Osteoporosis is a long-term disorder marked by reduced bone mineral density (BMD) that affects a huge number of people (1). Adolescence is a critical period for bone development, and peak bone mass (PBM) may be reached in late adolescence (2, 3). There is evidence that increasing PBM by 5% throughout childhood and adolescence reduces the risk of osteoporotic fractures by 40%, whereas increasing PBM by 10% reduces the risk by half (4, 5). As a result, boosting bone accumulation at this time can help preserve adult bone health and avoid osteoporosis later in life (6, 7). In addition to metabolic disorders such as lipids (8, 9), serum calcium (10), and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (11), obesity has been shown to have an impact on adolescent BMD (12). Meanwhile, scientists are working to discover novel ways to prevent and treat osteoporosis.

Obesity is a major health issue that affects individuals all over the globe (13). The prevalence of overweight and obesity among children and adolescents aged 5–19 years rose sharply from 4% in 1975 to more than 18% in 2016 (14). Previous research has shown that body mass index (BMI) and BMD have a significant positive relationship (15, 16). However, excessive obesity not only has very serious consequences for various organs and systems (17, 18) but may also increase the risk of fractures in children (19). We hypothesized that BMI had a saturation point, and that keeping BMI at this level would provide the greatest benefit to health and nutrition and health (20). The 2011–2012 continuous cycle of the US NHANES dataset was used for this investigation. In this round, there were 68,394 participants. After eliminating patients who lacked information on laboratory data. After verification, inaccurate data were removed. A dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry scan was used to calculate the total BMD. Covariates included age, gender, race, standing height, education level, family income-to-poverty ratio, activities status, diabetes status, alanine transaminase (ALT), weight, alkaline phosphatase (ALP), waist circumference, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), total calcium, total cholesterol, direct high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, triglyceride, phosphorus, blood urea nitrogen, and serum glucose. For more detailed information on BMI, total BMD, and confounders, visit http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/.

Statistical Analysis

The statistical study was carried out using the statistical computing and graphics software R (version 4.1.3), Origin (version 2021b), and EmpowerStats (version 2.0). Baseline tables for the study population were statistically described by BMI subgroup, and continuous variables were described using means ± standard deviation and weighted linear regression models. The beta values and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated using multivariate linear regression analysis between the BMI and BMD. The multivariate test was built using three models: Model 1: no variables adjusted; Model 2: gender, age, and race adjusted; Model 3: adjusted for all covariates except for height and weight, which had a large effect on exposure factors. By adjusting the variables, smoothed curve fits were done simultaneously. A threshold effects analysis model was used to examine the relationship and saturation value between BMI and BMD. Finally, the same statistical study methods described above were conducted for the gender and BMI subgroups. It was determined that P < 0.05 was statistically significant. We used a weighting approach to reduce the significant volatility of our dataset.

RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics

A total of 6,143 adolescents were included in this study based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, and the average age of the participants was 13.10 ± 3.46 years. Among these participants, 51.77% were boys, 48.23% were girls, 27.53% were non-Hispanic white, 23.98% were non-Hispanic black, 20.56% were Mexican-American, and 27.93% were other races. The mean (SD) concentrations of BMI and total BMD were 22.28 (5.99) kg/m² and 0.95 (0.16) g/cm², respectively. Table 1 lists the clinical features of the study participants, and column stratified grouping was based on BMI dividing all participants equally into four groups by number. Figure 2 shows the frequency distribution of BMI for total participants and for participants of different genders. In comparison to the bottom quartile, those in the top quartile with higher BMI were more likely to be females and older, with a higher proportion of non-Hispanic blacks and

Abbreviations: BMD, bone mineral density; BMI, Body Mass Index; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; PBM, peak bone mass.
Mexican-Americans, with higher prevalence of diabetes, and with higher levels of weight, standing height, waist circumference, AST, ALT, Total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, serum glucose, total BMD, triglyceride, and total BMD but with lower levels of ratio of family income to poverty, ALP, total calcium, direct HDL cholesterol, phosphorus, and blood urea nitrogen (P < 0.05).

Association Between BMI and Total BMD

Table 2 shows the results of the multivariate regression analysis. In the unadjusted model [0.014 (0.013, 0.014)], BMI was highly associated with total BMD. In addition, this relationship remained significant after adjusting corresponding variables in Model 2 [0.006 (0.005, 0.006)] and Model 3 [0.005 (0.004, 0.005)]. In the unadjusted model, the beta value was 0.014, meaning that, for every unit increase in BMI, the total BMD increased by 0.014 g/cm².

In all subgroups, BMI showed a significant positive association with total BMD. In the subgroup analysis stratified by sex, the effect values were closer for boys and girls, 0.015 and 0.013, respectively. Whereas in the subgroup analysis stratified by age, the effect values for adolescents aged 8–15 years were significantly larger than those for adolescents aged 16–19 years, implying that, for each unit increase in BMI for adolescents aged 8–15 years, BMD increased by 0.07 g/cm² and, for each unit increase in BMI for adolescents aged 16–19 years, BMD increased by only 0.04 g/cm². In addition, the results of the BMI quartile subgroup analysis showed that there was a dose-response relationship between BMI and total BMD.

Non-Linearity and Saturation Effect Analysis Between BMI and Total BMD

Smooth curve fittings were performed to characterize the non-linear relationship and saturation effect between BMI and total BMD (Figures 3, 4). We discovered that the saturation effect value between BMI and total BMD was 21.5 kg/m² in total participants (Table 3). When the BMI was under 21.5 kg/m², the effect value was 0.036. However, when BMI exceeded 21.5 kg/m², the effect value became 0.005. All participants were divided into six groups according to an age group of 2 years and the saturation values of BMI for total BMD of adolescents at different ages were determined using smoothed fitted curves and saturation effects analysis (Table 3).
DISCUSSION

Higher BMI was linked to higher total BMD in a weighted analysis involving US adolescents aged 8–19. We also performed a threshold effect analysis based on multiple regression analysis for different age groups of adolescents, and the results supported our hypothesis that the presence of a saturation value of BMI on total BMD among different age groups of adolescents could maintain a relatively healthy BMI while maintaining a higher BMD.

Several epidemiological studies in the past have demonstrated that BMD in adolescents is closely related to BMI (21–23). A cross-sectional study from Korea that included 1,063 adolescents found that BMI, lean body mass, and fat mass were all positively associated with BMD (24). Similarly, a study from Lebanon showed that obese and overweight boys had significantly higher

### TABLE 1 | Characteristics of the participants.

| Outcome               | BMI (kg/m²) Quartiles | P-value |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------|
|                       | Q1, 18.1< (N = 1,524) | Q2, 18.1-21.0 (N = 1,532) | Q3, 21.1-23.4 (N = 1,549) | Q4, >23.4 (N = 1,538) |
| Age (years)           | 10.406 ± 2.425        | 13.053 ± 3.233               | 14.206 ± 3.286               | 14.699 ± 3.128               | <0.001 |
| Gender (%)            |                       |                                   |                               |                               | <0.001 |
| Male                  | 55.381                | 48.825                           | 53.777                         | 49.090                         |         |
| Female                | 44.619                | 51.175                           | 46.223                         | 50.910                         |         |
| Race (%)              |                       |                                   |                               |                               | <0.001 |
| Non-Hispanic White    | 31.234                | 27.350                           | 28.018                         | 23.537                         |         |
| Non-Hispanic Black    | 23.031                | 24.086                           | 21.821                         | 26.983                         |         |
| Mexican-American      | 16.929                | 18.277                           | 21.562                         | 25.423                         |         |
| Other race            | 28.806                | 30.287                           | 28.599                         | 24.057                         |         |
| Weight (kg)           | 33.496 ± 7.889        | 47.850 ± 9.186                   | 59.129 ± 10.108                | 81.402 ± 19.604                | <0.001 |
| Standing height (cm)  | 142.756 ± 13.682      | 155.671 ± 14.374                 | 160.363 ± 12.984               | 162.745 ± 11.633               | <0.001 |
| Waist circumference(cm)| 60.464 ± 4.989        | 70.018 ± 4.380                   | 78.462 ± 5.096                 | 96.614 ± 13.295                |         |
| Ratio of family income to poverty | 2.270 ± 1.684 | 2.147 ± 1.577 | 2.110 ± 1.577 | 1.838 ± 1.394 | <0.001 |
| Moderate activities (%)| 52.742                | 51.949                           | 50.442                         | 50.485                         | <0.001 |
| Diabetes status (%)   |                       |                                   |                               |                               |         |
| Yes                   | 0.000                 | 0.196                            | 0.646                          | 0.845                          | <0.001 |
| No                    | 100.000               | 99.804                           | 99.364                         | 99.155                         |         |
| ALT (U/L)             | 16.027 ± 5.400        | 16.173 ± 7.555                   | 18.196 ± 9.688                 | 23.701 ± 17.523                | <0.001 |
| AST (U/L)             | 24.545 ± 6.062        | 23.028 ± 6.887                   | 23.608 ± 9.358                 | 24.823 ± 15.572                | 0.002  |
| ALP (U/L)             | 201.910 ± 105.025    | 190.348 ± 106.815               | 118.515 ± 92.951              | 109.776 ± 69.877              | <0.001 |
| Total calcium (mmol/L)| 2.415 ± 0.074         | 2.407 ± 0.073                    | 2.400 ± 0.073                  | 2.386 ± 0.079                  | <0.001 |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L)| 4.075 ± 0.733 | 3.990 ± 0.672 | 4.043 ± 0.743 | 4.164 ± 0.804 | <0.001 |
| Direct HDL cholesterol (mmol/L)| 1.548 ± 0.337 | 1.450 ± 0.308 | 1.353 ± 0.300 | 1.207 ± 0.270 | <0.001 |
| LDL cholesterol (mmol/L)| 2.059 ± 0.575        | 2.121 ± 0.619                    | 2.282 ± 0.689                  | 2.422 ± 0.723                  | <0.001 |
| Triglyceride(mmol/L)  | 0.677 ± 0.366         | 0.716 ± 0.389                    | 0.806 ± 0.517                  | 1.088 ± 0.702                  | <0.001 |
| phosphorus(mmol/L)    | 1.536 ± 0.214         | 1.445 ± 0.223                    | 1.383 ± 0.206                  | 1.356 ± 0.203                  | 0.003  |
| Blood urea nitrogen(mmol/L)| 3.857 ± 1.298 | 3.883 ± 1.204 | 4.014 ± 1.232 | 3.826 ± 1.129 | <0.001 |
| Serum glucose(mmol/L) | 4.913 ± 0.537         | 4.891 ± 0.770                    | 4.853 ± 0.586                  | 5.016 ± 0.746                  | <0.001 |
| Body Mass Index(kg/m²)| 16.199 ± 1.210        | 19.558 ± 0.849                   | 22.829 ± 1.135                 | 30.467 ± 5.324                 | <0.001 |
| Lumbar bone mineral density(g/cm²)| 0.708 ± 0.116 | 0.855 ± 0.166 | 0.922 ± 0.190 | 0.968 ± 0.184 | <0.001 |
| Total bone mineral density(g/cm²)| 0.968 ± 0.184 | 0.932 ± 0.130 | 1.000 ± 0.143 | 1.042 ± 0.140 | <0.001 |

Mean ± SD for continuous variables: P-value was calculated by weighted linear regression model. % for categorical variables: P-value was calculated by weighted chi-square test.

FIGURE 2 | Distribution histogram of BMI. (A) Among all participants. (B) Among all males. (C) Among all females. Body Mass Index, BMI.
Our findings also demonstrate that higher BMI is associated with higher BMD in both boys and girls. The mechanisms behind the obesity-BMD connection are unclear. One explanation is that people with obesity have a greater BMD because of the mechanical impact of their weight on their bones (26–28). Animal studies have revealed that osteocytes are particularly vulnerable to biomechanical stressors (29). They die from apoptosis in the absence of load (30), whereas when osteoblasts receive shear stress signals (31), they do not undergo apoptosis and their sclerostin secretion is inhibited (32). At the same time, osteoclast activity is slowed and osteoblast differentiation is boosted (33–35). In the population with obesity, Garnero et al. discovered a decrease in biochemical bone indicators, with a higher fall in bone resorption markers than bone production markers (36). This finding supports the theory that increased body weight causes orthostatic equilibrium. In addition to mechanical considerations, the increased BMD associated with obesity appears to be linked to estrogen activity. Estrogen has been shown to play a significant function in bone metabolism, promoting bone growth and inhibiting bone resorption (37, 38). The metabolism of estrogen and fat tissue are inextricably linked. In reality, adipose tissue is a major source of aromatase enzymes, which are needed for estrogen synthesis. Obese postmenopausal women had greater serum estrogen concentrations than non-obese women, and 17\(\beta\)-estradiol levels were higher in obese patients (39).

Although it is well proven that a higher BMI leads to a higher BMD, this does not mean that the risk of fracture is reduced (19). The “obesity paradox” is the name given to this phenomena (40).

### Table 2

|                          | Model 1 (95% CI) P-value | Model 2 (95% CI) P-value | Model 3 (95% CI) P-value |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Body mass index (kg/m²)  | 0.014 (0.013, 0.014) <0.001 | 0.006 (0.005, 0.006) <0.001 | 0.005 (0.004, 0.005) <0.001 |
| Subgroup analysis stratified by gender |                         |                          |                          |
| Males                    | 0.015 (0.014, 0.015) <0.001 | 0.005 (0.005, 0.006) <0.001 | 0.006 (0.003, 0.006) <0.001 |
| Females                  | 0.013 (0.012, 0.014) <0.001 | 0.006 (0.005, 0.006) <0.001 | 0.004 (0.003, 0.006) <0.001 |
| Subgroup analysis stratified by age |                         |                          |                          |
| 9–9 years (n = 1,223)    | 0.007 (0.006, 0.008) <0.001 | 0.007 (0.006, 0.008) <0.001 | 0.007 (0.006, 0.008) <0.001 |
| 10–11 years (n = 1,180)  | 0.007 (0.006, 0.008) <0.001 | 0.007 (0.006, 0.008) <0.001 | 0.007 (0.006, 0.008) <0.001 |
| 12–13 years (n = 944)    | 0.007 (0.006, 0.008) <0.001 | 0.006 (0.005, 0.007) <0.001 | 0.006 (0.004, 0.008) <0.001 |
| 14–15 years (n = 959)    | 0.007 (0.006, 0.008) <0.001 | 0.007 (0.006, 0.008) <0.001 | 0.006 (0.004, 0.007) <0.001 |
| 16–17 years (n = 961)    | 0.004 (0.003, 0.005) <0.001 | 0.004 (0.003, 0.005) <0.001 | 0.004 (0.003, 0.005) <0.001 |
| 18–19 years (n = 876)    | 0.004 (0.002, 0.005) <0.001 | 0.003 (0.002, 0.004) <0.001 | 0.004 (0.002, 0.006) <0.001 |
| Subgroup analysis stratified by BMI |                         |                          |                          |
| Q1, 18.1–21.0            | 0.040 (0.036, 0.044) <0.001 | 0.022 (0.019, 0.025) <0.001 | 0.020 (0.016, 0.024) <0.001 |
| Q2, 21.1–23.4            | 0.032 (0.024, 0.039) <0.001 | 0.014 (0.010, 0.019) <0.001 | 0.014 (0.008, 0.018) <0.001 |
| Q3, 23.4–25.4            | 0.014 (0.008, 0.020) <0.001 | 0.007 (0.004, 0.011) <0.001 | 0.012 (0.004, 0.020) <0.001 |
| Q4, >23.4               | 0.005 (0.004, 0.006) <0.001 | 0.001 (0.000, 0.002) <0.001 | 0.001 (0.001, 0.002) 0.042 |
| P for trend              | <0.001                   | <0.001                   | <0.001                   |

Model 1: No covariates were adjusted. Model 2: Age, gender, and race were adjusted. Model 3: Age, gender, race, education level, ratio of family income to poverty, activities status, diabetes status, ALT, ALP, AST, total calcium, total cholesterol, direct HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, triglyceride, phosphorus, blood urea nitrogen, and serum glucose were adjusted.

*In the subgroup analysis stratified by gender or race, the model is not adjusted for the stratification variable itself.

---

**FIGURE 3** The association between BMI and total bone mineral density. (A) Each black point represents a sample. (B) The solid red line represents the smooth curve fit between variables. Blue bands represent the 95% confidence interval from the fit.
In children and adolescents, even being overweight has a positive effect on BMD, but the incidence of fractures is higher than in non-obese individuals (41). Preschool obesity was linked to an increased incidence of fracture in adolescents, according to a comprehensive study conducted by Lane et al. in Catalonia (42). This could be owing to excessive mechanical loading generated by extra adipose tissue (43). Whether from the perspective of reducing other systemic diseases caused by obesity or reducing the incidence of fractures in adolescents, we should find an appropriate BMI while striving for a higher BMD. Ma et al. found that, for Americans over 50 years of age, keeping the BMI at a slightly overweight value (about 26 kg/m²) may reduce other adverse effects while obtaining an optimal BMD (44). While in adolescents, BMI saturation values for BMD may change substantially with age, and our findings are the first to investigate BMI saturation values for BMD in US adolescents aged 8–19 years at different ages.

The mechanism of maintaining a BMI of saturation value and hence achieving optimal BMD is still unknown. Bone development trajectories and PBM are established early in life, which could explain why adult BMD does not rise after a time of restricted growth (45, 46). Another reason for BMI saturation effects is the presence of a separate bone–fat axis in vivo between adipose and bone tissues (47); supporting bone homeostasis and linked by numerous bioactive substances, bone and adipocytes are descended from the same stem cell parent and are competitive, according to existing research, with an increase in extra fat leading to bone loss (48). According to investigations in animal models caused by increased fat intake, BMD decreases as obesity increases in obese animals (49, 50). As a result, we hypothesized that maintaining a saturated BMI would retain enough BMD while reducing the risk of obesity-related diseases and comorbidities.

Our study has some limitations. First, this is a cross-sectional analysis; thus, temporality cannot be ascertained. Second, due to database limitations, we were unable to obtain data on participants taking calcium supplements, dietary intake of calcium, vitamin D, and lipid-lowering medications that may influence BMD. Third, Although we adjusted for a number of confounding factors, some variables may not have been included. Lastly, the results of our study cannot be directly applied to other populations or settings.

### TABLE 3 | Saturation effect analysis of BMI (kg/m²) on total BMD (g/cm²).

| Total bone mineral density | Model: saturation effect analysis |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| BMI turning point (K), kg/m² | 21.5                             |
| <K, effect 1                | 0.038 (0.034, 0.037) <0.001       |
| >K, effect 2                | 0.005 (0.004, 0.006) <0.001       |
| Subgroup analysis stratified by age |                     |
| BMI turning point for 8–9 years old (K), kg/m² | 16.9     |
| <K, effect 1                | 0.023 (0.019, 0.027) <0.001       |
| >K, effect 2                | 0.004 (0.003, 0.005) <0.001       |
| BMI turning point for 10–11 years old (K), kg/m² | 16.4     |
| <K, effect 1                | 0.035 (0.027, 0.042) <0.001       |
| >K, effect 2                | 0.006 (0.005, 0.007) <0.001       |
| BMI turning point for 12–13 years old (K), kg/m² | 17.2     |
| <K, effect 1                | 0.050 (0.038, 0.062) <0.001       |
| >K, effect 2                | 0.005 (0.004, 0.006) <0.001       |
| BMI turning point for 14–15 years old (K), kg/m² | 20.9     |
| <K, effect 1                | 0.026 (0.021, 0.030) <0.001       |
| >K, effect 2                | 0.004 (0.002, 0.005) <0.001       |
| BMI turning point for 16–17 years old (K), kg/m² | 24.2     |
| <K, effect 1                | 0.017 (0.014, 0.020) <0.001       |
| >K, effect 2                | 0.000 (0.000, 0.002) 0.621        |
| BMI turning point for 18–19 years old (K), kg/m² | 22       |
| <K, effect 1                | 0.021 (0.015, 0.027) <0.001       |
| >K, effect 2                | 0.001 (0.000, 0.003) 0.28         |

Age, gender, race, education level, ratio of family income to poverty, activities status, diabetes status, ALT, ALP, AST, total calcium, total cholesterol, direct HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, triglyceride, phosphorus, blood urea nitrogen, and serum glucose were adjusted.
have an effect on BMD; therefore, our findings should be interpreted with caution. Finally, given the database limitations, we were unable to obtain a history of fractures in adolescent participants; therefore, we were unable to assess whether fracture rates were higher in adolescents with high BMI than in the general population. Despite these limitations, our study has several advantages. Because we used a nationally representative sample, our study is representative of a multi-ethnic and gender-diverse population of adolescents in the United States. In addition to this, because of the large sample size included in our study, this allowed us to divide adolescents aged 8–19 years into multiple age groups for subgroup analysis. To our knowledge, past studies have demonstrated the saturating effect of adult BMI on BMD, and the present study is the first to investigate the saturating effect of BMI on BMD in adolescents of different ages.

CONCLUSION

In this study, we used multiple linear regression models, smoothed curve fitting, and saturation effect analysis models to examine the relationship between BMI and BMD in US adolescents aged 8–19 years. We found not only a simple linear positive correlation between BMI and BMD but also a saturation value that persisted across gender and age subgroups in the analysis. This work suggests that keeping BMI at saturation values may provide benefits for adolescents to maintain optimal BMD and reduce other obesity-related diseases.
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