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Abstract

In the present paper, we try to investigate the Noether symmetries and Lie point symmetries of the Vaidya-Bonnier geodesics. Classification of one-dimensional subalgebras of Lie point symmetries are considered. In fact, the collection of pairwise non-conjugate one-dimensional subalgebras that are called the optimal system of subalgebras is determined. Moreover, as illustrative examples, the symmetry analysis is implemented on two special cases of the system.
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1. Introduction

Noether’s theorem \cite{11,12} provides a method for finding conservation laws of differential equations arising from a known Lagrangian and having a known Lie symmetry. This theorem relies on the availability of a Lagrangian and the corresponding Noether symmetries which leave invariant the action integral, \cite{7,9}.

Since the geodesic equations follow from the variation of the geodesic Lagrangian defined by the metric and due to the fact that the Noether symmetries are a subgroup of the Lie symmetries of these equations, one should expect a relation of the Noether symmetries of this Lagrangian with the projective collineations of the metric or with its degenerates, \cite{1,2,6,14}. In some works, \cite{3,4},
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the relation between the Noether symmetries and the Lie point symmetries (Killing vectors) of some special spacetimes are discussed.

In [14], Tsamparlis and Paliathanasis have computed the Lie point symmetries and the Noether symmetries explicitly together with the corresponding linear and quadratic first integrals for the Schwarzschild spacetime and the Friedman Robertson Walker (FRW) spacetime. These authors, in another paper [15], have proved a theorem that relates the Lie symmetries of the geodesic equations in a Riemannian space with the collineations of the metric. They applied the results to Einstein spaces and spaces of constant curvature.

In [9, 10], the authors present a complete analysis of symmetries of classes of wave equations that arise as a consequence of some Vaidya metrics. Now in this paper, we try to find Lie point symmetries and Noether symmetries for the Vaidya-Bonnier metric

\[ ds^2 = - \left( 1 - \frac{M(t)}{r} \right) dt^2 - 2dtdr + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \]

where \( M(t) \) is dynamical mass of the black hole and \( Q(t) \) is electric charges that both of them depends to the advanced Eddington time coordinate \( t \). [8]. As examples we consider two cases with \( M(t) = 1, Q(t) = t \) and \( M(t) = t, Q(t) = t^2 \).

In general relativity, the Vaidya metric describes the non-empty external spacetime of a spherically symmetric and nonrotating star which is either emitting or absorbing null dust. It is named after the Indian physicist Prahalad Chunnilal Vaidya and constitutes the simplest non-static generalization of the non-radiative Schwarzschild solution to Einstein’s field equation, and therefore is also called the radiating (shining) Schwarzschild metric.

2. Noether symmetries

Suppose \((M, g)\) is Riemannian manifold of dimension \( n \). In a local space-time coordinate like that \( x(s) = (x^1(s), \ldots, x^n(s)) \), the geodesic equations form a system of \( n \) nonlinear, second order ordinary differential equations

\[ \ddot{x}^i(t) + \Gamma^i_{jk}(t)\dot{x}^j(t)\dot{x}^k(t) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \tag{2.1} \]

where \( \Gamma^i_{jk} \) are the Christoffel symbols and “\( \cdot \)” represents derivative in terms of \( s \). Consider a second order system of \( m \) ordinary differential equations (2.1) with following form

\[ E_i(s, x(s), x^{(1)}(s), x^{(2)}(s)) = 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{2.2} \]

Consider a vector field which is defined on a real parameter fibre bundle over the manifold, [6],

\[ X = \xi(s, x^\mu)\partial_s + \eta^\nu(s, x^\mu)\partial_{x^\nu}, \tag{2.3} \]

where \( \mu, \nu = 1, 2, 3, 4 \). The first prolongation of the above vector field defined on the real parameter fibre bundle over the tangent bundle to the manifold, is expressed as follows:

\[ X^{[1]} = X + \left( \eta^\nu_s + \eta^\nu_{\mu} \dot{x}^\mu - \xi_s \dot{x}^\nu - \xi_{\mu} \dot{x}^\nu \right) \partial_{\dot{x}^\nu}, \tag{2.4} \]

then \( X \) is a Noether point symmetry of the Lagrangian, [6],

\[ \mathcal{L}(s, x^\mu, \dot{x}^\mu) = g_{\mu\nu}(x^\sigma)\dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu, \tag{2.5} \]

if there exists a gauge function, \( A(s, x^\mu) \), such that

\[ X^{[1]} \mathcal{L} + (D_s \xi) \mathcal{L} = D_s A, \tag{2.6} \]
where
\[ D_s = \partial_s + \dot{x}^\mu \partial_{x^\mu}. \] (2.7)

Since the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations (geodesic equations) are second order ordinary differential equations, one generally takes first order Lagrangian. Particularly, we take \( L(s, x_i, \dot{x}_i), \) where “dots” denotes differentiation in terms of \( s, \) which results a set of second ODEs
\[ \ddot{x}^\mu = g(s, x^\mu, \dot{x}^\mu). \] (2.8)

3. Lie point symmetries

Consider a second order system of \( m \) ordinary differential equations (2.2) as geodesic equations of our given Riemannian metric \( g. \) We consider a one-parameter Lie group of transformations acting on \((s, x)-space\) with following forms
\[ \bar{s} = s + \epsilon \xi(s, x(s)) + O(\epsilon^2), \quad \bar{x}^\alpha(s) = x^\alpha(s) + \epsilon \eta^\alpha(s, x(s)) + O(\epsilon^2), \] (3.1)
where \( \alpha = 1, \ldots, n. \) The infinitesimal generator \( X \) associated with the group of transformations (3.1) are
\[ X = \xi(s, x) \partial_s + \eta^\alpha(s, x) \partial_{x^\alpha}, \] (3.2)
the second order prolongation of \( X \) is given by
\[ X \left[2\right] = X + \eta^\alpha_{(1)} (s, x, x^{(1)}) \partial_{x^{(1)}}, \quad \eta^\alpha_{(2)} (s, x, \ldots, x^{(2)}) \partial_{x^{(2)}}, \] (3.3)
in which the prolongation coefficients are
\[ \eta^\alpha_{(1)} = D\eta^\alpha_{(0)} - x^{(1)} D\xi, \quad \eta^\alpha_{(2)} = D\eta^\alpha_{(1)} - x^{(2)} D\xi \] (3.4)
where \( \eta^\alpha_{(0)} = \eta^\alpha(s, x) \) and \( D \) is the total derivative operator.

The invariance of the system (2.2) under the one–parameter Lie group of transformations (3.1) leads to the invariance criterions. So \( X \) is a point symmetry generator of (2.2) if and only if
\[ X \left[2\right] E_i \bigg|_{E_i=0} = 0. \] (3.5)
Using (3.5) we find a system of partial differential equations that is called the determining equations. By solving the determining PDEs, the symmetry operators of the considered geodesics will be found.

4. Symmetry computation of Vaidya-Bonner geodesics in general form

**Theorem 4.1.** The Lie algebra of Noether symmetries associated to Vaidya-Bonner metric (1.1) for the arbitrary functions \( M(t) \) and \( Q(t) \) is generated by following infinitesimals:
\[ \begin{align*}
X_1 &= \partial_s, \quad X_2 = \partial_t, \quad X_3 = \partial_\varphi \quad X_4 = -\cos \varphi \ \partial_\theta + \sin \varphi \cot \theta \ \partial_\varphi, \\
X_5 &= \sin \varphi \ \partial_\theta + \cos \varphi \csc \theta \ \partial_\varphi.
\end{align*} \] (4.1)
Proof. Associated Lagrangian of the \((1.1)\) metric for arbitrary functions \(M(u)\) and \(Q(u)\) is

\[
\mathcal{L} = - \left(1 - \frac{M(t)}{r} + \frac{Q(t)}{r^2}\right) \dot{t}^2 - 2t \dot{r} + r^2 (\dot{\theta}^2 + \sin^2 \theta \dot{\phi}^2),
\]

(4.2)

where the “dot” represents derivative in terms of \(s\). Suppose that the vector field

\[
\mathbf{X} = \xi \partial_s + \eta^1 \partial_t + \eta^2 \partial_r + \eta^3 \partial_\theta + \eta^4 \partial_\varphi,
\]

is the Noether symmetry operator of \((4.2)\). Assuming \(A = 0\) in \((2.6)\) formula, we have

\[
\mathbf{X}^{[1]} \mathcal{L} + (D_s \xi) \mathcal{L} = 0,
\]

(4.3)

where

\[
D = \partial_s + \dot{t} \partial_t + \dot{r} \partial_r + \dot{\theta} \partial_\theta + \dot{\varphi} \partial_\varphi.
\]

(4.4)

The equation \((4.3)\) leads to some differential equations that their solutions are the Noether symmetries \((4.1)\). □

**Theorem 4.2.** Lie algebra of point symmetries associated to Vaidya-Bonner metric \((1.1)\), for arbitrary functions \(M(u)\) and \(Q(u)\) is generated by:

\[
\mathbf{X}_1 = \partial_s, \quad \mathbf{X}_2 = \partial_t, \quad \mathbf{X}_3 = \partial_\varphi, \quad \mathbf{X}_4 = \cot(\theta) \sin(\varphi) \partial_\varphi - \cos(\varphi) \partial_\theta, \quad \mathbf{X}_5 = \cot(\theta) \cos(\varphi) \partial_\varphi + \sin(\varphi) \partial_\theta.
\]

(4.5)

Proof. The Euler-Lagrange geodesic equations associated with the Lagrangian \((4.2)\) are

\[
\begin{align*}
E_1 : & \quad 3 \ddot{r} + \frac{2Q(t)-rM(t)}{4r^3} \dot{r}^2 + \frac{r \sin^2 \theta}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{r}{2} \ddot{\theta}^2 = 0, \\
E_2 : & \quad 5 \ddot{r} - \frac{2(rM'(t)+4Q'(t))(rM(t)-2Q(t))}{8r^5} (rM(t)-r^2-Q(t)) \dot{r}^2 \\
& \quad + \frac{8rM(t)-2Q(t)}{4r^3} \dot{r}^2 + \frac{(M(t)-r^2-Q(t)) \sin^2 \theta}{4r} \ddot{\phi}^2 = 0, \\
E_3 : & \quad 3 \ddot{\theta} + \frac{2}{r} \dot{\theta} - \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) \dot{\phi}^2 = 0, \\
E_4 : & \quad 4 \ddot{\phi} + \frac{1}{r} \dot{\phi} - 2 \cot(\theta) \dot{\theta} \dot{\phi} = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(4.6)

where the \(M'(t), Q'(t)\) represents derivative in terms of \(t\). Now the second prolongation of the operator \(\mathbf{X}\) is computed. \(\mathbf{X}\). Applying the invariance criteria \((3.5)\) on equations \((4.6)\) we have some determining equations. By inserting \((3.2)\) to invariance condition \((3.5)\) and then comparing of the powers \(\dot{t}, \dot{r}, \dot{\theta}, \dot{\phi}\), we obtain complete set of determining equations. By solving this system of PDEs we find that

\[
\xi = c_1, \quad \eta^1 = c_2, \quad \eta^2 = 0, \quad \eta^3 = -c_4 \cos(\varphi) + c_5 \sin(\varphi), \quad \eta^4 = c_3 + \cot(\theta)(c_4 \sin(\varphi) + c_5 \cos(\varphi)).
\]

□
5. Algebraic structure of the operators (4.5)

The algebra \( \mathfrak{g} = \langle X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_5 \rangle \) is non-solvable because we have \( \mathfrak{g}^{(1)} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \langle X_2, X_3, X_4 \rangle \) and we have the following chain of ideals \( \mathfrak{g} \supset \mathfrak{g}^{(1)} \supset \mathfrak{g}^{(2)} \cdots \neq 0 \) which shows that \( \mathfrak{g} \) is non-solvable. Also \( \mathfrak{g} \) is not semi-simple, because its Killing form

\[
k = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

is degenerate. \( \mathfrak{g} \) has a Levi-decomposition of the form \( \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \) where \( \mathfrak{r} = \langle X_1, X_2 \rangle \) is the radical of \( \mathfrak{g} \) and \( \mathfrak{h} = \langle X_3, X_4, X_5 \rangle \) is a semi-simple and non-solvable subalgebra of \( \mathfrak{g} \).

5.1. Classification of subalgebras

Let \( G \) be a Lie group with Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \). There is an inner automorphism \( \tau_a \rightarrow \tau \tau_a \tau^{-1} \) of the group \( G \) for every arbitrary element \( \tau \in G \). Every automorphism of the group \( G \) induces an automorphism of \( \mathfrak{g} \). The set of all these automorphisms forms a Lie group called the adjoint group \( G^A \).

For arbitrary infinitesimal generators \( X \) and \( Y \) in \( \mathfrak{g} \), the linear mapping \( \text{Ad} \, X(Y) : Y \rightarrow [X, Y] \) is an automorphism of \( \mathfrak{g} \), called the inner derivation of \( \mathfrak{g} \). The set of all these inner derivations equipped by the Lie bracket \([\text{Ad}X, \text{Ad}Y] = \text{Ad}[X, Y] \) is a Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g}^A \) called the adjoint algebra of \( \mathfrak{g} \). Two subalgebras in \( \mathfrak{g} \) are conjugate if there is a transformation of \( G^A \) which takes one subalgebra into the other. The collection of pairwise non-conjugate \( p \)-dimensional subalgebras is called the optimal system of subalgebras of order \( p \) which is introduced by Ovsianikov [13]. Actually solving the optimal system problem is to determine the conjugacy inequivalent subalgebras with the property that any other subalgebra is equivalent to a unique member of the list under some element of the adjoint representation i.e. \( \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} \text{Ad}(\tau) \mathfrak{h} \) for some \( \tau \) in a given Lie group.

The adjoint action is given by the Lie series

\[
\text{Ad}(\exp(q \, X_i))X_j = X_j - q \, [X_i, X_j] + \frac{q^2}{2} \, [X_i, [X_i, X_j]] - \cdots ,
\]

(5.1)

where \( q \) is a parameter and \( i, j = 1, \cdots, n \). Suppose

\[
X = \sum_{i=1}^{5} a_i X_i,
\]

(5.2)

is an arbitrary member of the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} = \langle X_1, \cdots, X_5 \rangle \). Note that the elements of \( \mathfrak{g} \) can be represented by vectors \((a_1, \ldots, a_5) \in \mathbb{R}^5 \) since each of them can be written in the form (5.2) for some constants \( a_1, \ldots, a_5 \). Hence, the adjoint action can be regarded as a group of linear transformations of the vectors \((a_1, \ldots, a_5) \).

**Theorem 5.1.** An optimal system of one-dimension Lie subalgebras associated to the Lie point symmetries algebra of (4.5) is generated by

\[
1) \, X_1 + a_2 X_2 + a_5 X_5 \quad 2) \, X_1 + a_2 X_2 + a_3 X_3 \quad 3) \, X_1 + a_2 X_2 + a_4 X_4 \\
4) \, X_2 + a_5 X_5 \quad 5) \, X_2 + a_3 X_3 \quad 6) \, X_2 + a_4 X_4 \quad 7) \, X_3 \quad 8) \, X_4 \quad 9) \, X_5
\]

(5.3)
The matrices $M_i^{s_i}$ of $F_i^{s_i}$ with respect to basis $\{X_1, \cdots, X_5\}$ are

\[
M_1^{s_1} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}, \quad M_2^{s_2} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}, \quad M_3^{s_3} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cos(s_3) & -\sin(s_3) \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \sin(s_3) & \cos(s_3)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

therefore

\[
F_4^{s_4} \circ F_3^{s_3} \circ F_2^{s_2} \circ F_1^{s_1} : X \mapsto a_1X_1 + a_2X_2 + \left((\cos(s_4) \cos(s_3))a_3 + (\cos(s_5) \sin(s_3) \sin(s_4) + \cos(s_3) \cos(s_4))a_4 + (\sin(s_3) \sin(s_4) - \cos(s_3) \sin(s_4))a_5\right)X_3 + \left(-\sin(s_5) \cos(s_4)a_3 + (\cos(s_3) \cos(s_5) \sin(s_4))a_4 + (\cos(s_3) \sin(s_4) \sin(s_5))a_5\right)X_4 + \left(-\sin(s_3) \cos(s_4)a_3 + (\cos(s_3) \cos(s_4))a_4 + (\cos(s_3) \cos(s_4))a_5\right)X_5.
\]

The one-dimensional optimal system of subalgebras associated to (4.5) is determined as follows. The operator $X$ is simplified with the following cases:

- If $a_1 \neq 0$ we have three cases:
  1) The coefficients $X_3, X_4$ could be vanished by $F_3^{s_3}, F_4^{s_4}$ by setting $s_3 = \arctan(\frac{a_3}{a_4})$ and $s_4 = -\arctan(\frac{a_3}{a_4})$ respectively. By scaling $X$ we can assume that $a_1 = 1$ so $X$ is reduced to case (1).
  2) The coefficients $X_4, X_5$ could be vanished by $F_3^{s_3}, F_4^{s_4}$ by setting $s_3 = \arctan(\frac{a_3}{a_4})$, $s_4 = \arctan(\frac{a_4}{a_3})$ respectively. By scaling $X$ we can assume that $a_1 = 1$ so $X$ is reduced to case (2).
  3) The coefficients $X_3, X_5$ could be vanished by $F_3^{s_3}, F_5^{s_5}$, by setting $s_3 = -\arctan(\frac{a_3}{a_4})$, $s_5 = \arctan(\frac{a_4}{a_3})$ respectively. By scaling $X$ we assume that $a_1 = 1$ so $X$ is reduced to case (3).

- Now If $a_1 = 0, a_2 \neq 0$, we have three cases:
  1) The coefficients $X_3, X_4$ could be vanished by $F_3^{s_3}, F_4^{s_4}$ by setting $s_3 = \arctan(\frac{a_3}{a_4})$, $s_4 = \arctan(\frac{a_4}{a_3})$ respectively. By scaling $X$, we can put $a_2 = 1$ and then $X$ is reduced to case (4).
  2) The coefficients $X_4, X_5$ could be vanished by $F_3^{s_3}, F_4^{s_4}$, by setting $s_3 = \arctan(\frac{a_3}{a_4})$, $s_4 = \arctan(\frac{a_4}{a_3})$ respectively. By scaling $X$ we assume that $a_2 = 1$ so $X$ is reduced to case (5).
  3) The coefficients $X_3, X_5$ could be vanished by $F_3^{s_3}, F_5^{s_5}$, by setting $s_3 = -\arctan(\frac{a_3}{a_4})$, $s_5 = \arctan(\frac{a_4}{a_3})$ respectively. By scaling $X$, we can put $a_2 = 1$ and then $X$ is reduced to case (6).

- If $a_1 = 0, a_2 = 0, a_3 \neq 0$, the coefficients $X_4, X_5$ could be vanished by $F_3^{s_3}, F_4^{s_4}$, by setting $s_3 = \arctan(\frac{a_3}{a_4})$, $s_4 = \arctan(\frac{a_3}{a_4})$ respectively. By scaling $X$ we can put $a_3 = 1$ so $X$ is reduced to case (7).

- If $a_1 = 0, a_2 = 0, a_3 = 0, a_4 \neq 0$, the coefficient $X_5$ could be vanished by $F_3^{s_3}$ by setting $s_3 = -\arctan(\frac{a_4}{a_3})$. By scaling $X$, we can put $a_4 = 1$ and then $X$ is reduced to case (8).
6. Some illustrative examples

6.1. Symmetry analysis of the metric (1.1) for $M(t) = 1$ and $Q(t) = t$

In this case the associated Lagrangian of the metric (1.1) is

$$\mathcal{L} = -(1 - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{t}{r^2})\dot{r}^2 - 2t\dot{r} + r^2(\dot{\theta}^2 + \sin^2(\theta)\dot{\phi}^2).$$

(6.1)

Suppose that the vector field $X = \xi \partial_s + \eta^1 \partial_t + \eta^2 \partial_r + \eta^3 \partial_\theta + \eta^4 \partial_\phi$ is the Noether symmetry operator of Lagrangian (6.1). By solving the equation $X[\mathcal{L}] + (D_s \xi)\mathcal{L} = 0$ we can find the following Noether symmetries:

$$X_1 = \partial_s, \quad X_2 = \partial_\phi, \quad X_3 = \cot(\theta) \sin(\phi) \partial_\phi - \cos(\phi) \partial_\theta, \quad X_4 = \cot(\theta) \cos(\phi) \partial_\phi + \sin(\phi) \partial_\theta.$$  

(6.2)

The Euler-Lagrange geodesic equations associated with the Lagrangian (6.1) are

$$\begin{align*}
E_1 : & \ 5\ddot{r} + \frac{2r^2 - r + 2t}{r^4} \dot{r}^2 + \frac{r\sin^2\theta}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 = 0, \\
E_2 : & \ 3\ddot{r} + \frac{2r^2 + (r^2 + t - r)(2t - r)}{r^6} \dot{r}^2 + \frac{r - 2t}{2r^2} \dot{r}^2 + \frac{r - r^2 - t}{4r^4} (\dot{\theta}^2 + \sin^2\theta \dot{\phi}^2) = 0, \\
E_3 : & \ 3\ddot{\theta} + \frac{2}{r^2} \dot{r} \dot{\theta} - \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) \dot{\phi}^2 = 0, \\
E_4 : & \ 4\ddot{\phi} + \frac{2}{r^2} \dot{r} \dot{\phi} + 2\cot(\theta) \dot{\phi} = 0. 
\end{align*}$$

(6.3)

Now suppose $X$ is a Lie point symmetry generator of (6.1) thus $X[\mathcal{L}]|_{E_i = 0} = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. By solving this equation we obtain

$$\xi = c_1, \quad \eta^1 = 0, \quad \eta^2 = 0, \quad \eta^3 = -c_3 \cos(\phi) + c_4 \sin(\phi), \quad \eta^4 = c_2 + \cot(\theta)(c_3 \sin(\phi) + c_4 \cos(\phi)).$$

(6.4)

Therefore Lie symmetry generators associated to the metric (1.1) for $M(t) = 1$ and $Q(t) = t$ are:

$$X_1 = \partial_s, \quad X_2 = \partial_\phi, \quad X_3 = \cot(\theta) \sin(\phi) \partial_\phi - \cos(\phi) \partial_\theta, \quad X_4 = \cot(\theta) \cos(\phi) \partial_\phi + \sin(\phi) \partial_\theta.$$  

(6.5)

and commutator table of these symmetry generators is given in following table:

| $[\cdot,\cdot]$ | $X_1$ | $X_2$ | $X_3$ | $X_4$
|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| $X_1$           | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      |
| $X_2$           | 0      | 0      | $X_4$  | $-X_3$
| $X_3$           | 0      | $-X_1$ | 0      | $X_2$
| $X_4$           | 0      | $X_3$  | $-X_2$ | 0      |

Table 1: commutator table (6.5)

The algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \langle X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_4 \rangle$ is non-solvable because $\mathfrak{g} \ni \mathfrak{g}^{(1)} \neq 0$ where $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \langle X_2, X_3, X_4 \rangle$. Also $\mathfrak{g}$ is not semi-simple, because its Killing form

$$k = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -2
\end{bmatrix}$$

is degenerate. $\mathfrak{g}$ has a Levi-decomposition of the form $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ where $\mathfrak{r} = \langle X_1 \rangle$ is the radical of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \langle X_2, X_3, X_4 \rangle$ is a semi-simple and non-solvable subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. 

\[\square\]
6.2. Symmetry analysis of the metric \([1.1]\) for \(M(t) = t, Q(t) = t^2\)

The Vaidya metric in special case, \(M(t) = t\), is known as the Papapetrou model \([5]\). Therefore in this case we consider the Vaidya-Bonner metric \([1.1]\) for \(M(t) = t, Q(t) = t^2\).

The Lie algebra of Noether symmetries associated to metric \([1.1]\) for \(M(t) = t, Q(t) = t^2\) is a 5-dimensional algebra spanned by the following vector fields:

\[
\begin{align*}
X_1 &= s \partial_s + \frac{1}{2} \partial_t + \frac{1}{2} \partial_r \\
X_2 &= \partial_s \\
X_3 &= \partial_\varphi \\
X_4 &= \cot(\theta) \sin(\varphi) \partial_\varphi - \cos(\varphi) \partial_\theta \\
X_5 &= \cot(\theta) \cos(\varphi) \partial_\varphi + \sin(\varphi) \partial_\theta.
\end{align*}
\]

The Euler-Lagrange geodesic equations are

\[
\begin{align*}
E_1 : 3\dot{t} + \left(\frac{2r^2 - rt'}{4r^3}\right)t^2 + \frac{r}{2} \dot{\theta}^2 + \frac{r\sin^2(\theta)}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 &= 0 \\
E_2 : 5\dot{r} + \frac{2r^3(2t - r) + (r^2 - rt + t^2)(r - 2t^2)}{8r^5} \dot{t}^2 + \frac{tr - 2t^2}{2r} \dot{r}^2 + r^2 - rt + t^2 \left(\sin^2(\theta) \dot{\phi}^2 - \dot{\theta}^2\right) &= 0 \\
E_3 : 3\dot{\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \dot{r} \dot{\theta} - \sin(\theta) \cos(\theta) \dot{\phi}^2 &= 0 \\
E_4 : 4\dot{\phi} + \frac{3}{2} \dot{r} \dot{\phi} + 2 \cot(\theta) \dot{\theta} \dot{\phi} &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

by applying the symmetry criteria we have

\[
\xi = c_1 s + c_2 \quad \eta^1 = c_1 t, \quad \eta^2 = c_1 r, \quad \eta^3 = -c_4 \cos(\varphi) + c_5 \sin(\varphi) \\
\eta^4 = c_3 + \cot(\theta)(c_4 \sin(\varphi) + c_5 \cos(\varphi)).
\]

Thus the Lie point symmetries are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
X_1 &= s \partial_s + t \partial_a + r \partial_r \\
X_2 &= \partial_s, \\
X_3 &= \partial_\varphi \\
X_4 &= \cot(\theta) \sin(\varphi) \partial_\varphi - \cos(\varphi) \partial_\theta \\
X_5 &= \cot(\theta) \cos(\varphi) \partial_\varphi + \sin(\varphi) \partial_\theta.
\end{align*}
\]

The commutator table of symmetry generators is given in following table: \(\mathfrak{g}\) is non-solvable

| \([ , ]\) | \(X_1\) | \(X_2\) | \(X_3\) | \(X_4\) | \(X_5\) |
|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| \(X_1\)   | 0      | \(-X_2\)| 0      | 0      | 0      |
| \(X_2\)   | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      |
| \(X_3\)   | 0      | 0      | 0      | \(-X_5\)| 0      |
| \(X_4\)   | 0      | 0      | \(-X_5\)| 0      | \(X_3\)|
| \(X_5\)   | 0      | 0      | 0      | \(-X_3\)| 0      |

because we have \(\mathfrak{g}^{(1)} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \langle X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5 \rangle\), \(\mathfrak{g}^{(2)} = [\mathfrak{g}^{(1)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}] = \langle X_3, X_4, X_5 \rangle\), \(\mathfrak{g}^{(3)} = [\mathfrak{g}^{(2)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(2)}] = \langle X_3, X_4, X_5 \rangle\), thus we have the following chain of ideals \(\mathfrak{g} \supset \mathfrak{g}^{(1)} \supset \mathfrak{g}^{(2)} \ldots \neq 0\) which shows that \(\mathfrak{g}\) is non-solvable. Also \(\mathfrak{g}\) is not semi-simple, because its Killing form

\[
k = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

is degenerate. \(\mathfrak{g}\) has a Levi decomposition of the form \(\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{h}\) where \(\mathfrak{r} = \langle X_1, X_2 \rangle\) is the radical of \(\mathfrak{g}\) and \(\mathfrak{h} = \langle X_3, X_4, X_5 \rangle\) is a semi-simple and non-solvable subalgebra of \(\mathfrak{g}\).
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