Application of some special operators on the analysis of a new generalized fractional Navier problem in the context of $q$-calculus
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Abstract

The key objective of this study is determining several existence criteria for the sequential generalized fractional models of an elastic beam, fourth-order Navier equation in the context of quantum calculus ($q$-calculus). The required way to accomplish the desired goal is that we first explore an integral equation of fractional order w.r.t. $q$-RL-integrals. Then, for the existence of solutions, we utilize some fixed point and endpoint conditions with the aid of some new special operators belonging to operator subclasses, orbital $\alpha$-admissible and $\alpha$-$\psi$-contractive operators and multivalued operators involving approximate endpoint criteria, which are constructed by using aforementioned integral equation. Furthermore, we design two examples to numerically analyze our results.
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1 Introduction

With the passing of years and even decades, people need to be more and more aware of details of various natural phenomena. The logical tools and notions available in mathematics and especially mathematical operators are one of possible ways to achieve this aim in modeling various processes. In this direction, many researchers developed numerous fractional operators such that their applicability and usefulness become more and more evident to researchers each day. As a result, using fractional operators, different processes are modeled and examined from all aspects in the mathematical structures such as boundary value problems. In broad fields such as chemistry, biology, physics, economics, engineering, and so on fractional calculus, related differential equations and BVPs are commonly used [1–5]. In a vast domain of papers, scientists have examined numerous mathematical procedures across different facets of fractional differential equations [6–13].

In recent years, there has been a great deal of interest in the analysis of $q$-difference equations. These equations have been found to be applicable in various fields of physics and mechanics and thus have been developed into multidisciplinary topics. Fractional
$q$-calculus is considered as a special fractional variant of calculus, originally it was suggested by Jackson [14], and then further investigations were performed by Al-Salam and Agarwal [15, 16]. Some fascinating studies into IVPs and BVPs with equations involving $q$-operators are available in [17–31].

More specifically, Ferreira [32] considered the following nonlinear fractional terminal $q$-BVP and discussed the existence of a nontrivial solution:

$$\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}_{q}^{\ell_1} \mu(t) + M(t, \mu(t)) &= 0, \\
\mu(0) = 0 &= \mu(1),
\end{align*}$$

where $t \in \mathcal{O} = [0,1]$, $\mathcal{D}_{q}^{\ell_1}$ is the standard Riemann–Liouville fractional $q$-derivative, and $M : \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function.

Ahmad and Ntouyas [33] in 2011 studied the following $q$-analogue of second-order $q$-difference inclusion BVP and investigated the existence criteria using results from fixed point theory:

$$\begin{align*}
\mathcal{C} \mathcal{D}_{q}^{2} \mu(t) &\in \mathbb{M}(t, \mu(t)), \\
\mu(0) = \alpha \mu(T), \quad \mathcal{D}_{q} \mu(0) = \alpha \mathcal{D}_{q} \mu(T),
\end{align*}$$

where $t \in [0, T]$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1\}$, and $\mathbb{M} : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R})$ is a compact-valued map.

Ahmad et al. [17] studied the existence criteria for the $q$-difference inclusion involving $q$-antiperiodic conditions

$$\begin{align*}
\mathcal{C} \mathcal{D}_{q}^{\ell_1} \mu(t) &\in \mathbb{M}(t, \mu(t), \mathcal{D}_{q} \mu(t), \mathcal{D}_{q}^{2} \mu(t)), \\
\mu(0) + \mu(1) &= 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_{q} \mu(0) + \mathcal{D}_{q} \mu(1) = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_{q}^{2} \mu(0) + \mathcal{D}_{q}^{2} \mu(1) = 0,
\end{align*}$$

where $t \in \mathcal{O}$, $q \in (0, 1)$, $2 < \ell_1 \leq 3$, $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{D}_{q}^{\ell_1}$ denotes the $q$-fractional derivative in the Caputo sense of order $\ell_1$, and $\mathbb{M} : \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R})$ involves some specifications.

An elastic beam is considered as an essential feature in constructions like ships, bridges, building structures, and aviation industry. In this direction and in mathematical point of view, the following fourth-order BVP of Navier differential equation can be used in modeling deformation of the beam (see [34]):

$$\begin{align*}
\mu^{(4)}(t) &= M(t, \mu(t), \mu''(t)), \\
\mu(0) &= 0 = \mu(1) = \mu''(0) = \mu''(1),
\end{align*}$$

where $M : \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, and $t \in \mathcal{O} := [0, 1]$. By transforming (1) into the second-order integro–differential equation with bounded $M$, Aftabizadeh [35] utilized Schauder’s fixed-point theorem and discussed the existence and uniqueness of solutions for (1). The upper and lower solution method was used by Ma et al. [36] for problem (1).

In 2004, Bai et al. [37] extended a monotone method to upper and lower solutions of the beam model (1). In the context of fractional calculus, Bachar and Eltayeb [38] proposed the fractional variant of the Riemann–Liouville model (1) and explored the existence, unique-
ness, and positivity for the solutions of a system designed by the following format:

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
RLD_1(RLD_2\mu)(t) = M(t, \mu(t), RLD_2\mu(t)), \quad t \in \mathcal{O} := [0, 1], \\
\mu(0) = 0 = RLD_2\mu(0) = RLD_2\mu(1),
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]  

(2)

where \(\ell_1 \in (1, 2], \ell_2 \in (1, 2),\) \(RLD_1\) and \(RLD_2\) are the fractional derivatives in the Riemann–Liouville sense, and \(M : \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}\) is continuous. In the case \(\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 2\), problem (2) reduces to (1).

Inspired by aforesaid ideas given in the papers mentioned, in terms of the standard Navier equation, we review and discuss a new sequential generalized fractional \(q\)-Navier BVP

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
C_{D_q^\ell_1}(C_{D_q^\ell_2}\mu)(t) = M(t, \mu(t), C_{D_q^\ell_2}\mu(t)), \quad t \in \mathcal{O} := [0, 1], q \in (0, 1), \\
\gamma \mu(0) = \delta \mu(1) = \lambda C_{D_q^\ell_2}\mu(0) = \beta C_{D_q^\ell_2}\mu(1) = 0,
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]  

(3)

along with its inclusion version given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
C_{D_q^\ell_1}(C_{D_q^\ell_2}\mu)(t) \in \mathcal{M}(t, \mu(t), C_{D_q^\ell_2}\mu(t)), \quad t \in \mathcal{O} := [0, 1], q \in (0, 1), \\
\gamma \mu(0) = \delta \mu(1) = \lambda C_{D_q^\ell_2}\mu(0) = \beta C_{D_q^\ell_2}\mu(1) = 0,
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]  

(4)

where \(\ell_1 \in (1, 2], \ell_2 \in (1, 2),\) and \(\gamma, \delta, \lambda, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+\). Moreover, the operator \(C_{D_q^\ell}\) is the \(q\)-derivative of given fractional orders in the Caputo sense. Furthermore, a continuous single-valued function \(M : \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}\) and a multivalued function \(\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R})\) are assumed to be arbitrary equipped with some required specifications explained subsequently.

The novelty of our paper is that the above suggested structure for Navier problem is unique and novel, which can be regarded as a generalized fractional model of the standard Navier problem in the context of quantum operators. Indeed, by taking \(\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 2, q \to 1,\) and \(\gamma = \delta = \lambda = \beta = 1\) we have the standard Navier BVP (1). Also, we establish our results by new techniques involving some special operators.

We have organized the remaining sections of the paper as follows. The upcoming section is assigned to the basic ideas of fractional \(q\)-calculus. Section 3 starts with a lemma, which specifies the solution of our proposed Navier BVPs (3)–(4) in terms of an integral equation of noninteger order. After that, we follow the well-known fixed-point methods due to Krasnoselskii [39] and new operators introduced by Samet et al. [40] to obtain the existence of solutions for single-valued maps. In Sect. 4, we consider the inclusion variant (4) of the Navier BVP and explore the existence of solutions using the methods presented by Mohammadi et al. [41] and approximated end-point property. Section 5 provides illustrations of the results given in Sects. 3 and 4. In the last section, we present the concluding remarks and future proposals.

2 Basic preliminaries

We assemble and examine supplementary and fundamental concepts concerning \(q\)-calculus in the light of our approaches to this research.
We suppose that $0 < q < 1$. A $q$-analogue of the function $(m_1 - m_2)^n$ given for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is defined by $(m_1 - m_2)^{(0)} = 1$ and

$$(m_1 - m_2)^{(n)} = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (m_1 - m_2 q^k),$$

where $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0 := \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ [42]. Let now $n = \omega$ be a constant in $\mathbb{R}$. Let us now define the following $q$-analogue of the existing power mapping $(m_1 - m_2)^n$ in a $q$-fractional setting:

$$(m_1 - m_2)^{(\omega)} = m_1^{\omega} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - (\frac{m_2}{m_1}) q^n}{1 - (\frac{m_2}{m_1}) q^{n+\omega}},$$

for $m_1 \neq 0$. Note that by taking $m_2 = 0$ we immediately obtain the equality $m_1^{(\omega)} = m_1^{\omega}$ [42].

For a real number $m_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, a $q$-number $[m_1]_q$ is expressed as

$$[m_1]_q = \frac{1 - q^{m_1}}{1 - q} = q^{m_1 - 1} + \cdots + q + 1.$$  

The $q$-gamma function is defined as

$$\Gamma_q(\varsigma) = \frac{(1 - q)^{\varsigma-1}}{(1 - q)^{\varsigma-1}},$$

for $\varsigma \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \ldots\}$ [42, 43]. Note that $\Gamma_q(\varsigma + 1) = [\varsigma]_q \Gamma_q(\varsigma)$ [43].

For a real-valued continuous function $\mu$, the quantum derivative of this function is defined as

$$(D_q \mu)(t) = \frac{\mu(t) - \mu(qt)}{(1 - q)t},$$

and also $(D_q \mu)(0) = \lim_{t \to 0} (D_q \mu)(t)$ [44]. Given a function $\mu$, its quantum derivative can be extended to an arbitrary higher order by $(D^n_q \mu)(t) = D_q (D^{n-1}_q \mu)(t)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ [44]. Obviously, $(D^0_q \mu)(t) = \mu(t)$.

Given a continuous map $\mu : [0, c_2] \to \mathbb{R}$, the quantum integral of this function can be defined by

$$(\mathcal{I}_q \mu)(t) = \int_0^t \mu(v) \, d_q v = t (1 - q) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu(t q^k) q^k, \quad t \in [0, c_2],$$

provided that the absolute convergence of the series holds [44]. The quantum integral of $\mu$ can be similarly extended like the quantum derivative to an arbitrary higher order using the iterative rule $(\mathcal{I}_q^n \mu)(t) = \mathcal{I}_q (\mathcal{I}_q^{n-1} \mu)(t)$ for $n \geq 1$ [44].

If a function $\mu$ is continuous at $t = 0$, then $(\mathcal{I}_q D_q \mu)(t) = \mu(t) - \mu(0)$ [44]. Moreover, $(D_q \mathcal{I}_q \mu)(t) = \mu(t)$ for all $t$. In this case, by considering a real number $\ell \geq 0$ such that $n - 1 < \ell < n$, that is, $n = \lceil \ell \rceil + 1$, for a function $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_q([0, +\infty))$, the Riemann–Liouville quantum integral is defined as

$$R \mathcal{I}_q^{\ell} \mu(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\ell)} \int_0^t (t - qv)^{\ell-1} \mu(v) \, d_q v, \quad \ell > 0,$$
provided that the above value is finite and \( R_q^\ell \mu(t) = \mu(t) [32, 45] \). Further, the semigroup specification for the mentioned \( q \)-operator occurs such that \( R_q^{\ell_1} (R_q^{\ell_2} \mu)(t) = R_q^{\ell_1 + \ell_2} \mu(t) \) for \( \ell_1, \ell_2 \geq 0 [32] \). For \( \varsigma \in (-1, \infty) \), we have the following property:

\[
R_q^{\ell_1} \equiv \frac{\Gamma_q(\varsigma + 1)}{\Gamma_q(\varsigma + \ell + 1)} t^{\varsigma + \ell}, \quad t > 0.
\]

It is evident that if \( \varsigma = 0 \), then \( R_q^{\ell_1} 1(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\ell + 1)} t^\ell \) for any \( t > 0 \). Given a function \( \mu \in C_B([0, +\infty)), \) its Caputo \( q \)-derivative is defined as

\[
C^q_\ell \mu(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\ell)} \int_0^t (t - u)^{\ell-1} \mu(u) \, dq
\]

if the integral exists [32, 45]. We have the following property:

\[
C^q_{-\ell} \equiv \frac{\Gamma_q(\varsigma + 1)}{\Gamma_q(\varsigma - \ell + 1)} t^{\varsigma - \ell}, \quad t > 0.
\]

It is evident that \( C^q_\ell 1(t) = 0 \) for any \( t > 0 \).

Lemma 2.1 ([46]) Let \( n - 1 < \ell < n \). Then

\[
(C^q_\ell C^q_\mu)(t) = \mu(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{t^k}{\Gamma_q(k+1)} (D^q_\ell \mu)(0).
\]

According to this lemma, the fractional quantum differential equation \( C^q_\ell \mu(t) = 0 \) has a general solution \( \mu(t) = m_0 + m_1 t + m_2 t^2 + \cdots + m_{n-1} t^{n-1} \), where \( m_0, \ldots, m_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( n = [\ell] + 1 [46] \). It is worth noting that for each continuous \( \mu \), according to Lemma 2.1, we get

\[
(R_q^\ell C^q_\mu)(t) = \mu(t) + m_0 + m_1 t + m_2 t^2 + \cdots + m_{n-1} t^{n-1},
\]

where \( m_0, \ldots, m_{n-1} \) are constants contained in \( \mathbb{R} \), and \( n = [\ell] + 1 [46] \).

Notation 2.2 Let \( (\mathcal{A}_s, \| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{A}_s}) \) be a normed space. By \( P_s(\mathcal{A}_s), P_{\text{CL}}(\mathcal{A}_s), P_{\text{CM}}(\mathcal{A}_s), \) and \( P_{\text{CX}}(\mathcal{A}_s) \) we denote the classes of all bounded, closed, compact, and convex sets in \( \mathcal{A}_s \), respectively.

Let \( \Psi \) be the subclass of nondecreasing operators \( \psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) such that

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi^n(t) < \infty, \quad \psi(t) < t, \quad t > 0.
\]

For more information about the following definitions, see [47–51].

Definition 2.3 ([40]) Let \( M : \mathcal{A}_s \to \mathcal{A}_s \) and \( \alpha : \mathcal{A}_s^2 \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \). Then

(i) \( M \) is an \( \alpha \)-\( \psi \)-contraction if for \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{A}_s \),

\[
\alpha(\mu_1, \mu_2) d(M \mu_1, M \mu_2) \leq \psi(d(\mu_1, \mu_2)).
\]

(ii) \( M \) is \( \alpha \)-admissible if \( \alpha(\mu_1, \mu_2) \geq 1 \) yields \( \alpha(M \mu_1, M \mu_2) \geq 1 \).
Definition 2.4 ([52])

(1) A member \( \mu \in \mathcal{A} \) is called an end-point of a multivalued function \( \mathcal{M} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}) \) if \( \mathcal{M}(\mu) = \{\mu\} \).

(2) A multivalued map \( \mathcal{M} \) admits an approximate end-point criterion (AEP) if

\[
\inf_{\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{A}} \left[ \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mu_1)} d(\mu_1, \mu_2) \right] = 0.
\]

Definition 2.5 ([41]) Let \( \mathcal{M} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{P}_{\text{CL,B}}(\mathcal{A}), \alpha : \mathcal{A}^2 \to [0, +\infty), \) and \( \psi \in \Psi. \) Then

(1) \( \mathcal{M} \) is orbital \( \alpha \)-admissible if for all \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M} \), the inequality

\[
\alpha(\mu_1, \mu_2) \geq 1 \implies \alpha(\mu_2, \mu_3) \geq 1 \text{ for each } \mu_3 \in \mathcal{M} \mu_2.
\]

(2) \( \mathcal{M} \) is a \( \alpha-\psi \)-contractive mult-function if for all \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{A} \),

\[
\alpha(\mu_1, \mu_2) H_d(\mathcal{M} \mu_1, \mathcal{M} \mu_2) \leq \psi \left( d(\mu_1, \mu_2) \right),
\]

where \( H_d \) is the Pompeiu–Hausdorff metric.

We recall some necessary fixed-point results in connection with the suggested boundary problem.

Theorem 2.6 ([40]) Let \((\mathcal{A}, d)\) be a complete metric space, let \( \alpha : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R} \) and \( \psi \in \Psi, \) and let \( M : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \) be an \( \alpha-\psi \)-contractive map such that:

(1) \( M \) is \( \alpha \)-admissible self-map on \( \mathcal{A}; \)

(2) for some \( \mu_0 \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha(\mu_0, M\mu_0) \geq 1; \)

(3) for any sequence \( \{\mu_n\} \) in \( \mathcal{A} \) such that \( \mu_n \to \mu \) and \( \alpha(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) \geq 1 \) for all \( n \geq 1, \) we have \( \alpha(\mu_n, \mu) \geq 1 \) for all \( n \geq 1. \)

Then there is a fixed-point for \( M. \)

Theorem 2.7 ([39], Kransoselskii) Let \( G \neq \emptyset \) be a closed bounded convex set contained in a Banach space \( \mathcal{A}, \) and let \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) be such that:

(1) \( M_1\mu_1 + M_2\mu_2 \in G \) for \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \in G; \)

(2) \( M_1 \) is compact and continuous;

(3) \( M_2 \) is a contraction.

Then there exists \( \mu \in G \) such that \( \mu = M_1\mu + M_2\mu. \)

Theorem 2.8 ([41]) Let \((\mathcal{A}, d)\) be a complete metric space, let \( \alpha : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \to [0, \infty), \) and let \( \psi \in \Psi \) be a strictly increasing map. Moreover, let \( \mathcal{M} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{P}_{\text{CL,B}}(\mathcal{A}) \) be an \( \alpha-\psi \)-contraction. Assume that:

1. \( \mathcal{M} \) is orbital \( \alpha \)-admissible;
2. \( \alpha(\mu_0, \mu_1) \geq 1 \) for some \( \mu_0 \in \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mu_1 \in \mathcal{M} \mu_0; \)
3. the space \( \mathcal{A} \) has the property that for each sequence \( \{\mu_n\} \) in \( \mathcal{A}, \) such that \( \alpha(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) \geq 1 \) and \( \mu_n \to \mu \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N}, \) there exists a subsequence \( \{\mu_{n_r}\} \) of \( \{\mu_n\} \)

such that \( \alpha(\mu_{n_r}, \mu) \geq 1 \) for all \( r \in \mathbb{N}. \)

Then \( \mathcal{M} \) has a fixed point.

Theorem 2.9 ([52]) Let \((\mathcal{A}, d)\) be a complete metric space. In addition, consider:

1. a map \( \psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) which is u.s.c with \( \psi(t) < t \) and \( \liminf_{t \to \infty}(t - \psi(t)) > 0 \) for all \( t > 0, \)
2 a multivalued map $\mathcal{M} : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{P}_{\text{CL,B}}(\mathbb{A})$ such that $\mathcal{H}_d(\mathcal{M}\mu_1, \mathcal{M}\mu_2) \leq \psi(d(\mu_1, \mu_2))$ for any $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{A}$.

Then a unique endpoint of $\mathcal{M}$ exists if and only if $\mathcal{M}$ has an approximate end-point criterion.

3 Results for $q$-Navier FBVP (3)

Consider the space $\mathbb{A}_\ell = \{\mu(t) : \mu(t), C^\ell_2\mu(t) \in C_\ell(O)\}$ of all continuous functions on $O$ along with real values, which is a Banach space under the sup norm $\|\mu\|_{\mathbb{A}_\ell} = \sup_{t \in O} |\mu(t)| + \sup_{t \in O} |C^\ell_2\mu(t)|$ for $\mu \in \mathbb{A}_\ell$. The following lemma presents a solution to the proposed problem (3) in the form of an integral equation, which is important in determining our key findings.

Lemma 3.1 Let $\eta \in \mathbb{A}_\ell$, $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in (1, 2)$, and $\gamma, \delta, \lambda, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then $\mu^*$ is a solution to the nonlinear sequential fractional $q$-Navier BVP

\begin{equation}
\begin{cases}
C^\ell_1D_q C^\ell_2\mu(t) = \eta(t), & t \in O, q \in (0, 1), \\
\gamma \mu(0) = \delta \mu(1) = \lambda C^\ell_2\mu(0) = \beta C^\ell_2\mu(1) = 0,
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

if and only if it satisfies the $q$-integral equation

\begin{equation}
\mu(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(1 - qv)^{(\ell_1+\ell_2-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1+\ell_2)} \eta(v) \, dqv - t \int_0^t \frac{(1 - qv)^{(\ell_1+\ell_2-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1+\ell_2)} \eta(v) \, dqv \\
- \frac{t^{\ell_2+1} - t}{\Gamma_q(\ell_2+2)} \int_0^t \frac{(1 - qv)^{(\ell_1-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} \eta(v) \, dqv.
\end{equation}

Proof First, let a function $\mu^*$ be a solution of the nonlinear sequential generalized $q$-Navier FBVP (9). Then $C^\ell_1D_q C^\ell_2\mu^*(t) = \eta(t)$. Since $\ell_1 \in (1, 2)$, taking the $\ell_1^{\text{th}}$-$q$-integral in the Riemann–Liouville setting, we obtain

\begin{equation}
C^\ell_2\mu^*(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(1 - qv)^{(\ell_1-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} \eta(v) \, dqv + m_0 + m_1 t,
\end{equation}

where we need to find the constants $m_0, m_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. By the third condition $\lambda C^\ell_2\mu(0) = 0$ we obtain $m_0 = 0$. So

\begin{equation}
C^\ell_2\mu^*(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(1 - qv)^{(\ell_1-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} \eta(v) \, dqv + m_1 t.
\end{equation}

On the other hand, by (11) and the fourth condition $\beta C^\ell_2\mu(1) = 0$ we get

\begin{equation}
\beta \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qv)^{(\ell_1-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} \eta(v) \, dqv + \beta m_1 = 0,
\end{equation}

and thus

\begin{equation}
m_1 = - \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qv)^{(\ell_1-1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} \eta(v) \, dqv.
\end{equation}
In view of (12), relation (11) becomes
\[
C_q\mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu^*(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(1 - q^v)^{(\ell_1 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} \eta(v) \, dq \, v - t \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - q^v)^{(\ell_1 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} \eta(v) \, dq \, v. \tag{13}
\]
Again, since \( \ell_2 \in (1, 2) \), taking the \( \ell_2\)-th \( q \)-integral in the Riemann–Liouville setting in (13), we obtain
\[
\mu^*(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(1 - q^v)^{(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \eta(v) \, dq \, v - \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - q^v)^{(\ell_1 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} \eta(v) \, dq \, v + m^*_0 + m^*_1 t,
\]
where the constants \( m^*_0, m^*_1 \in \mathbb{R} \) are to find. The first condition \( \gamma \mu(0) = 0 \) gives \( m^*_0 = 0 \). In consequence,
\[
\mu^*(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(1 - q^v)^{(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \eta(v) \, dq \, v - \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - q^v)^{(\ell_1 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} \eta(v) \, dq \, v + m^*_1 t. \tag{14}
\]
At last, the second condition \( \delta \mu(1) = 0 \) implies that
\[
\delta \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - q^v)^{(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \eta(v) \, dq \, v - \delta \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - q^v)^{(\ell_1 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} \eta(v) \, dq \, v + \delta m^*_1 = 0.
\]
Consequently,
\[
m^*_1 = -\int_0^1 \frac{(1 - q^v)^{(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \eta(v) \, dq \, v + \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)} \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - q^v)^{(\ell_1 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} \eta(v) \, dq \, v.
\]
Inserting \( m^*_1 \) into (14), we obtain
\[
\mu^*(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(1 - q^v)^{(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \eta(v) \, dq \, v - \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - q^v)^{(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \eta(v) \, dq \, v
\]
\[
- \frac{t^{\ell_2 + 1} - t}{\Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)} \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - q^v)^{(\ell_1 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} \eta(v) \, dq \, v,
\]
which yields that \( \mu^* \) settles \( q \)-integral equation (10). On the other hand, we can simply prove the converse by direct computation, and ultimately the arguments are finished. \( \square \)

Now consider the operator \( \mathcal{N} : \mathfrak{A}_q \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_q \) defined by
\[
(\mathcal{N} \mu)(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(1 - q^v)^{(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} M(v, \mu(v), C_q^{\ell_2} \mu(v)) \, dq \, v
\]
\[
- t \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - q^v)^{(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} M(v, \mu(v), C_q^{\ell_2} \mu(v)) \, dq \, v
\]
\[
- \frac{t^{\ell_2 + 1} - t}{\Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)} \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - q^v)^{(\ell_1 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} M(v, \mu(v), C_q^{\ell_2} \mu(v)) \, dq \, v.
\]
We can easily infer that \( \mu^* \) is a solution of fractional \( q \)-Navier BVP (3) iff \( \mu^* \) is a fixed point of the operator \( \mathcal{N} \). For simplicity, set
\[
\Lambda^*_1 = \frac{2}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 1)} + \frac{2}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + 1) \Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)}.
\]
\[
\Lambda_2^* = \frac{2}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + 1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 1)\Gamma_q(2 - \ell_2)}
+ \frac{\Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)\Gamma_q(2 - \ell_2) + 1}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + 1)\Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)\Gamma_q(2 - \ell_2)},
\]

and

\[
\Xi_1^* = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 1)} \quad \Xi_2^* = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + 1)}
+ \frac{2}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 1)\Gamma_q(2 - \ell_2)}
+ \frac{\Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)\Gamma_q(2 - \ell_2) + 1}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + 1)\Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)\Gamma_q(2 - \ell_2)}.
\]

**Theorem 3.2** Suppose there exist a map \( U : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \), a continuous function \( M : \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \to \mathbb{R}_+^* \), and a nondecreasing function \( \psi \in \Psi \) such that:

\((X_1)\) for any \( \mu_1, \mu_2, w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \) and \( t \in \mathcal{O} \), we have

\[
|M(t, \mu_1, w_1) - M(t, \mu_2, w_2)| \leq \tilde{r} \psi (|\mu_1 - \mu_2| + |w_1 - w_2|)
\]

with

\[
U((\mu_1(t), w_1(t)), (\mu_2(t), w_2(t))) \geq 0,
\]

where \( \tilde{r} = \frac{1}{\Lambda_1^* + \Lambda_2^*} \);

\((X_2)\) there exists \( \mu_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \) such that for all \( t \in \mathcal{O} \),

\[
U((\mu_0(t), CD_{q^2} \mu_0(t)), (\mathcal{M} \mu_0(t), CD_{q^2} \mathcal{M} \mu_0(t))) \geq 0
\]

and

\[
U((\mu_1(t), CD_{q^2} \mu_1(t)), (\mu_2(t), CD_{q^2} \mu_2(t))) \geq 0,
\]

which gives

\[
U((\mathcal{M} \mu_1(t), CD_{q^2} \mathcal{M} \mu_1(t)), (\mathcal{M} \mu_2(t), CD_{q^2} \mathcal{M} \mu_2(t))) \geq 0
\]

for all \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \) and \( t \in \mathcal{O} \);

\((X_3)\) for any convergent sequence \( \{\mu_n\}_{n \geq 1} \) in \( \mathbb{R}_+^* \) such that \( \mu_n \to \mu \) and

\[
U((\mu_n(t), CD_{q^2} \mu_n(t)), (\mu_{n+1}(t), CD_{q^2} \mu_{n+1}(t))) \geq 0
\]

for all \( n \) and \( t \in \mathcal{O} \), we have

\[
U((\mu_n(t), CD_{q^2} \mu_n(t)), (\mu(t), CD_{q^2} \mu(t))) \geq 0.
\]

Then the generalized q-Navier BVP (3) has a solution.
Proof Let \( \mu_1 \) and \( \mu_2 \) belong to \( \mathcal{A}_n \) with

\[
\mathcal{U}(\mu_1(t), C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu_1(t)), (\mu_2(t), C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu_2(t))) \geq 0
\]

for each \( t \in \mathcal{O} \). Then we may write

\[
\left| \mathcal{M}_1(t) - \mathcal{M}_2(t) \right|
\]

\[
\leq \int_0^t \frac{(t - q)^{(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \left| M(v, \mu_1(v), C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu_1(v)) - M(v, \mu_2(v), C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu_2(v)) \right| dq
\]

\[
+ |t| \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - q)^{(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \left| M(v, \mu_1(v), C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu_1(v)) - M(v, \mu_2(v), C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu_2(v)) \right| dq
\]

\[
+ |t^{\ell_2 + 1} - t| \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)} \left| \hat{\mathcal{M}}(\mu_1(v) - \mu_2(v)) \right| dq
\]

\[
\leq \int_0^t \frac{(t - q)^{(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \tilde{\psi}(\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{\mathcal{A}_n}) + \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 1)} \tilde{\psi}(\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{\mathcal{A}_n})
\]

\[
= \tilde{\Lambda}^1 \psi(\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{\mathcal{A}_n}),
\]

and, similarly, we get

\[
\left| \left( C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mathcal{M}_1(t) \right) - \left( C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mathcal{M}_2(t) \right) \right|
\]

\[
\leq \frac{2}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + 1)} \tilde{\psi}(\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{\mathcal{A}_n}) + \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 1) \Gamma_q(2 - \ell_2)} \tilde{\psi}(\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{\mathcal{A}_n})
\]

\[
+ \frac{\Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2) \Gamma_q(2 - \ell_2) + 1}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + 1) \Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2) \Gamma_q(2 - \ell_2)} \tilde{\psi}(\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{\mathcal{A}_n})
\]

\[
= \tilde{\Lambda}^2 \psi(\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{\mathcal{A}_n}).
\]

Consequently, we have

\[
\|\mathcal{M}_1 - \mathcal{M}_2\|_{\mathcal{A}_n} \leq (\Lambda^1 + \Lambda^2) \tilde{\psi}(\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{\mathcal{A}_n}) = \psi(\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{\mathcal{A}_n}).
\]
Now let \( \alpha : \mathfrak{A}_* \times \mathfrak{A}_* \to [0, \infty) \) be the function defined as
\[
\alpha(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \mathcal{U}((\mu_1(1), C\mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu_1(t)), (\mu_2(t), C\mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu_2(t))) \geq 0, \\
0 & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}
\]
for any \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_* \). Then we get \( \alpha(\mu_1, \mu_2) d(\mathcal{N}(\mu_1), \mathcal{N}(\mu_2)) \leq \psi(d(\mu_1, \mu_2)) \) for all \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_* \). Thus \( \mathcal{N} \) is an \( \alpha \cdot \psi \)-contraction. It is also simple to verify that \( \mathcal{N} \) is \( \alpha \)-admissible and \( \alpha(\mu_0, \mathcal{N}(\mu_0)) \geq 1 \). On the other hand, let \( \{ \mu_n \}_{n \geq 1} \) be a sequence in \( \mathfrak{A}_* \) such that \( \mu_n \to \mu \) and \( \alpha(\mu_n, \mu, \mu_{n+1}) \geq 1 \) for all \( n \). By the definition of the nonnegative function \( \alpha \) we have
\[
\mathcal{U}((\mu_n(t), C\mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu_n(t)), (\mu(t), C\mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu(t))) \geq 0.
\]
Therefore by the hypothesis we obtain
\[
\mathcal{U}((\mu_n(t), C\mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu_n(t)), (\mu(t), C\mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu(t))) \geq 0.
\]
This indicates that \( \alpha(\mu_n, \mu) \geq 1 \) for every \( n \). Ultimately, by Theorem 2.6 we conclude that \( \mathcal{N} \) has a fixed point \( \mu^* \in \mathfrak{A}_* \). This implies that \( \mu^* \) is a solution of the generalized \( q \)-Navier FBVP (3), and the proof is completed.

**Theorem 3.3** Let \( M : \mathcal{O} \times \mathfrak{A}_*^2 \to \mathfrak{A}_* \) be a continuous function. Assume the following conditions:

\( (\mathfrak{X}_4) \) there is \( k \in C(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R}) \) such that for all \( t \in \mathcal{O} \) and \( \mu_1, \mu_2, w_1, w_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_* \),
\[
|M(t, \mu_1, w_1) - M(t, \mu_2, w_2)| \leq k(t)(|\mu_1 - \mu_2| + |w_1 - w_2|);
\]

\( (\mathfrak{X}_5) \) there exists a continuous function \( q : \mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) and a continuous nondecreasing function \( \psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) such that for all \( t \in \mathcal{O} \) and \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_* \),
\[
|M(t, \mu_1, \mu_2)| \leq q(t)\psi(|\mu_1| + |\mu_2|).
\]

Then if
\[
L = \|k\| (\mathcal{E}_1^* + \mathcal{E}_2^*) < 1,
\]
where \( \|k\| = \sup_{t \in \mathcal{O}} |k(t)| \) and \( \mathcal{E}_1^*, \mathcal{E}_2^* \) are defined in (16), then the generalized \( q \)-Navier FBVP (3) has a solution.

**Proof** Define \( \|\varepsilon\| = \sup_{t \in \mathcal{O}} |\varepsilon(t)| \) and choose an appropriate constant \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that
\[
\varepsilon \geq \psi \left( \|\mu\|_{\mathfrak{A}_*} \right) L \|\mathcal{E}_1^* + \mathcal{E}_2^*\|,
\]
where \( \mathcal{E}_1^* \) and \( \mathcal{E}_2^* \) are defined in (15). Define the set \( \mathcal{Y}_\varepsilon = \{ \mu \in \mathfrak{A}_* : \|\mu\|_{\mathfrak{A}_*} \leq \varepsilon \} \). It is a nonempty, closed, bounded, and convex set contained in \( \mathfrak{A}_* \). Define \( \mathcal{N}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{N}_2 \) on \( \mathcal{Y}_\varepsilon \) as
\[
(\mathcal{N}_1 \mu)(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t - q)^{(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} M(v, \mu(v), C\mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu(v)) \, dv
\]
and

\[
(\Omega_{12}\mu)(t) = -t \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qv)^{\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} M(v, \mu(v), C\mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu(v)) \, dv \\
+ \frac{t^{\ell_2 - 1} - t}{\Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)} \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qv)^{\ell_1 - 1}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} M(v, \mu(v), C\mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu(v)) \, dv
\]

for \( t \in \mathcal{O} \). Let \( \hat{\delta} = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{R}} \psi(\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{A}_q}) \). Now, for \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{A}_q \), we obtain inequalities

\[
|\Omega_{11}\mu_1 + \Omega_{12}\mu_2(t)| \\
\leq \int_0^t \frac{(t - qv)^{\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} |M(v, \mu_1(v), C\mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu_1(v))| \, dv \\
+ \frac{e_1^q - e_2^q}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \int_0^1 M(v, \mu_2(v), C\mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu_2(v)) \, dv \\
+ \frac{t^{\ell_2 - 1} - t}{\Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)} \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qv)^{\ell_1 - 1}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} M(v, \mu_2(v), C\mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu_2(v)) \, dv \\
\leq \hat{\delta} \|q\| \left[ \frac{2}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 1)} + \frac{2}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + 1)\Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)} \right] = \hat{\delta} \|q\| \Lambda_1^q
\]

and

\[
|C\mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \Omega_{11}\mu_1 + C\mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \Omega_{12}\mu_2(t)| \\
\leq \int_0^t \frac{(t - qv)^{\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} |M(v, \mu_1(v), C\mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu_1(v))| \, dv \\
+ \frac{t^{\ell_1 - 1} - t}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qv)^{\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} |M(v, \mu_2(v), C\mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu_2(v))| \, dv \\
+ \frac{t^{\ell_1 - 1} - t}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qv)^{\ell_1 - 1}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} |M(v, \mu_2(v), C\mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu_2(v))| \, dv \\
\leq \int_0^t \frac{(t - qv)^{\ell_1 - 1}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} q(v) \psi(\|\mu_1\|) + |C\mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu_1(v)| \, dv \\
+ \frac{t^{\ell_1 - 1} - t}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qv)^{\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} q(v) \psi(\|\mu_2\|) + |C\mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu_2(v)| \, dv \\
+ \frac{t^{\ell_1 - 1} - t}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qv)^{\ell_1 - 1}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} q(v) \psi(\|\mu_2\|) + |C\mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu_2(v)| \, dv \\
+ \frac{t^{\ell_1 - 1} - t}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qv)^{\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} q(v) \psi(\|\mu_2\|) + |C\mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu_2(v)| \, dv
\[
\times \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qv)^{(\ell_1 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} \varrho(v) \psi(\|\mu_2(v)\| + |C D_q^\ell \mu_2(v)|) \, dv
\]
\[
\leq \delta \|\varrho\| \left[ \frac{2}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + 1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 1)\Gamma_q(2 - \ell_2)} 
+ \frac{\Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)\Gamma_q(2 - \ell_2) + 1}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + 1)\Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)\Gamma_q(2 - \ell_2)} \right]
\]
\[
= \delta \|\varrho\| \Lambda_2^*.
\]

Therefore \( \|\mathcal{M}_1 \mu_1 + \mathcal{M}_2 \mu_2\|_{\mathcal{A}_2} \leq \delta \|\varrho\| (\Lambda_1^* + \Lambda_2^*) \leq \varepsilon \), which implies that

\[
(\mathcal{M}_1 \mu_1 + \mathcal{M}_2 \mu_2) \in \mathcal{Y}_\varepsilon.
\]

From the continuity of the single-valued function \( M \) it is evident that \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) is continuous on its domain. Now, for all \( \mu \in \mathcal{Y}_\varepsilon \), we get that

\[
\|\mathcal{M}_1 \mu(t)\| \leq \int_0^t \frac{(t - qv)^{(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} |M(v, \mu(v), C D_q^\ell \mu(v))| \, dv
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 1)} \|\varrho\| \psi(\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{A}_2})
\]

and

\[
|C D_q^\ell \mathcal{M}_1 \mu)(t)| \leq \int_0^t \frac{(t - qv)^{(\ell_1 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} |M(v, \mu(v), C D_q^\ell \mu(v))| \, dv
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + 1)} \|\varrho\| \psi(\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{A}_2}).
\]

Thus

\[
\|\mathcal{M}_1 \mu\|_{\mathcal{A}_2} \leq \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + 1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 1)} \right] \|\varrho\| \psi(\varepsilon).
\]

This clearly shows the uniform boundedness of the operator \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) on \( \mathcal{Y}_\varepsilon \). To ensure the compactness of \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) on \( \mathcal{Y}_\varepsilon \), consider \( t_1, t_2 \in \mathcal{O} \) such that \( t_1 < t_2 \). Then we get the following inequalities:

\[
|\mathcal{M}_1 \mu(t_2) - \mathcal{M}_1 \mu(t_1)|
\]
\[
= \left| \int_0^{t_1} \frac{(t_2 - qv)^{(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} M(v, \mu(v), C D_q^\ell \mu(v)) \, dv
\]
\[
- \int_0^{t_1} \frac{(t_1 - qv)^{(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} M(v, \mu(v), C D_q^\ell \mu(v)) \, dv \right|
\]
\[
\leq \left| \int_0^{t_1} \frac{(t_2 - qv)^{(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1)} - (t_1 - qv)^{(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} M(v, \mu(v), C D_q^\ell \mu(v)) \, dv \right|
\]
\[
+ \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{(t_2 - qv)^{(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} M(v, \mu(v), C D_q^\ell \mu(v)) \, dv \right|
\]
\[
\leq \int_0^{t_1} \frac{(t_2 - qv)^{(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1)} - (t_1 - qv)^{(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} |M(v, \mu(v), C D_q^\ell \mu(v))| \, dv
\]
\[ + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{(t_2 - qv)^{\ell_1} - \ell_1 (t_1 - qv)^{\ell_1}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \left| \mathcal{M}(v, \mu(v), C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu(v)) \right| d_qv \]

\[ \leq \left[ \frac{t_2^{\ell_1} - t_1^{\ell_1}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 1)} \right] \| Q \| \| \mu \| \| \alpha_\ast \) \]

\[ \leq \left[ \frac{t_2^{\ell_1} - t_1^{\ell_1}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 1)} \right] \| Q \| \| \mu \| (\| \alpha_\ast \) \]

Thus, as \( t_1 \) goes to \( t_2 \), \( (\mathcal{N}_1 \mu)(t_2) - (\mathcal{N}_1 \mu)(t_1) \) tends to zero independently of \( \mu \). Also, we find that

\[ \left| (C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mathcal{N}_1 \mu)(t_2) - (C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mathcal{N}_1 \mu)(t_1) \right| \]

\[ = \left| \int_{t_0}^{t_2} \frac{(t_2 - qv)^{\ell_1} - (t_1 - qv)^{\ell_1}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} M(v, \mu(v), C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu(v)) d_qv \right| \]

\[ \leq \left[ \frac{t_2^{\ell_1} - t_1^{\ell_1}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + 1)} \right] \| Q \| \| \mu \| \| \alpha_\ast \) \]

\[ \leq \left[ \frac{t_2^{\ell_1} - t_1^{\ell_1}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + 1)} \right] \| Q \| \| \mu \| (\| \alpha_\ast \) \]

Thus \( (C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mathcal{N}_1 \mu)(t_2) - (C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mathcal{N}_1 \mu)(t_1) \) goes to zero as \( t_1 \) approaches to \( t_2 \) independently of \( \mu \). Therefore \( \| (\mathcal{N}_1 \mu)(t_2) - (\mathcal{N}_1 \mu)(t_1) \|_{\alpha_\ast} \rightarrow 0 \) as \( t_1 \rightarrow t_2 \). Consequently, the equicontinuity of the operator \( \mathcal{N}_1 \) is confirmed. Therefore by the Arzelà–Ascoli theorem \( \mathcal{N}_1 \) is a compact operator on \( \mathcal{Y} \). At last, we show that \( \mathcal{N}_2 \) is a contraction mapping. Let \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{Y} \). Then

\[ \left( \mathcal{N}_2 \mu_1 \right)(t) - \left( \mathcal{N}_2 \mu_2 \right)(t) \]

\[ \leq \left| t \right| \int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{1 - qv)^{\ell_1 + \ell_2}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \left| k(v) \left( | \mu_1(v) - \mu_2(v) | + | C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu_1(v) - C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu_2(v) | \right) \right| d_qv \]

\[ + \left| t_{2+1}^{\ell_1} - t_1^{\ell_1} \right| \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)} \int_{t_0}^{1} \frac{1 - qv)^{\ell_1 - 1}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} \left| k(v) \left( | \mu_1(v) - \mu_2(v) | + | C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu_1(v) - C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu_2(v) | \right) \right| d_qv \]

\[ \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 1)} \| k \| \| \mu_1 - \mu_2 \| \alpha_\ast + \frac{2}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + 1) \Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)} \| k \| \| \mu_1 - \mu_2 \| \alpha_\ast \]
\[
\|C_\ell^t \Psi_2 \mu_1(t) - C_\ell^t \Psi_2 \mu_2(t)\| \\
\leq \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(2 - \ell_2)} \right| \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - q\nu)^{(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} k(\nu) \left( |\mu_1(\nu) - \mu_2(\nu)| + |C_\ell^t \mu_1(\nu) - C_\ell^t \mu_2(\nu)| \right) d_\nu \\
+ \left| \frac{(1 - q\nu)^{(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \right| \int_0^1 \left( |\mu_1(\nu) - \mu_2(\nu)| + |C_\ell^t \mu_1(\nu) - C_\ell^t \mu_2(\nu)| \right) d_\nu \\
\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + 1)\Gamma_q(2 - \ell_2)} \|k\|_* \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{\mathcal{A}_*} \\
+ \frac{\Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)\Gamma_q(2 - \ell_2) + 1}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + 1)\Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)\Gamma_q(2 - \ell_2)} \|k\|_* \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{\mathcal{A}_*} \\
= \|k\|_* \|\Xi_1^* \|_{\mathcal{A}_*} \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{\mathcal{A}_*}.
\]
Thus
\[
\|\Psi_2 \mu_1 - \Psi_2 \mu_2\|_{\mathcal{A}_*} \leq \|k\|_* \|\Xi_1^* \|_{\mathcal{A}_*} \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{\mathcal{A}_*} = \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{\mathcal{A}_*},
\]
where the constant \( \ell < 1 \). Therefore \( \Psi_2 \) is a contraction on \( \mathcal{Y}_\ell \). Hence Theorem 2.7 implies the existence of a solution for the generalized \( q \)-Navier FBVP (3). \( \square \)

4 Results for \( q \)-Navier FBVP (4)

In this section, we obtain the existence results for the generalized \( q \)-Navier inclusion FBVP (4). A function \( \mu \) belonging to \( \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}_*}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}_*) \) is regarded as a solution of the sequential generalized \( q \)-Navier FBVP (4) if it fulfills the given BCs and there exists \( \Phi \in L^1(\mathcal{O}) \) such that \( \Phi(t) \in \mathcal{M}(t, \mu(t), C_\ell^t \mu(t)) \) for almost all \( t \in \mathcal{O} \) and
\[
\mu(t) = \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - q\nu)^{(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \Phi(\nu) d_\nu - t \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - q\nu)^{(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \Phi(\nu) d_\nu \\
- \frac{t^{\ell + 1} - t}{\Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)} \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - q\nu)^{(\ell_1 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} \Phi(\nu) d_\nu
\]
for all \( t \in \mathcal{O} \). We define the set of selections of the multivalued function \( \mathcal{M} \) by
\[
(\text{SEL})_{\mathcal{M}, \mu} = \{ \Phi \in L^1(\mathcal{O}) : \Phi(t) \in \mathcal{M}(t, \mu(t), C_\ell^t \mu(t)) \text{ for all } t \in \mathcal{O} \}
\]
for \( \mu \in \mathcal{A}_* \). Now we define the operator \( \mathcal{Z} : \mathcal{A}_* \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}_*) \) as
\[
\mathcal{Z}(\mu) = \{ h \in \mathcal{A}_* : \text{there exists } \Phi \in (\text{SEL})_{\mathcal{M}, \mu} : h(t) = \tilde{\omega}(t) \text{ for all } t \in \mathcal{O} \},
\]
Then the fractional $q$-Navier inclusion FBVP (4) admits a solution.

For simplicity, put

\[
\chi_1 = \|\xi\|\Lambda_1^* \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_2 = \|\xi\|\Lambda_2^*.
\]  

(20)

**Theorem 4.1** Let $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{O} \times \mathfrak{A}^2 \to \mathcal{P}_{\text{CM}}(\mathfrak{A}_\mathbb{A})$ be a multivalued function. Assume that:

- (X$_6$) the set-valued map $\mathcal{M}$ is integrable and bounded such that for all $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_\mathbb{A}$, the map $\mathcal{M}(\cdot, \mu_1, \mu_2) : \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{P}_{\text{CM}}$ is measurable;

- (X$_7$) there exist a function $\zeta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{O}, [0, \infty))$ and $\psi \in \Psi$ such that

\[
\mathcal{H}_d(\mathcal{M}(t, \mu_1, \mu_2), \mathcal{M}(t, \tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\mu}_2)) \leq \zeta(t) \left( \frac{\bar{r}}{\|\xi\|} \right) \psi(|\mu_1 - \tilde{\mu}_1| + |\mu_2 - \tilde{\mu}_2|)
\]

(21)

for all $t \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\mu_1, \mu_2, \tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\mu}_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_\mathbb{A}$, where $\sup_{t \in \mathcal{O}} |\zeta(t)| = \|\xi\|$, $\bar{r} = \frac{1}{\Lambda_1^* + \Lambda_2^*}$, and $\Lambda_1^*$, $\Lambda_2^*$ are the constants defined in (15);

- (X$_8$) there exists a function $\Omega : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Omega((\mu_1, \mu_2), (\tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\mu}_2)) \geq 0$ for all $\mu_1, \mu_2, \tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\mu}_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_\mathbb{A}$;

- (X$_9$) if $\{\mu_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence in $\mathfrak{A}_\mathbb{A}$ such that $\mu_n \to \mu$ and

\[
\Omega((\mu_n(t), \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2}(\mu_n(t))), (\mu_{n+1}(t), \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2}(\mu_{n+1}(t)))) \geq 0
\]

for all $t \in \mathcal{O}$ and $n \geq 1$, then there exists a subsequence $\{\mu_{n_r}\}_{r \geq 1}$ of $\{\mu_n\}$ such that

\[
\Omega((\mu_{n_r}(t), \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2}(\mu_{n_r}(t))), (\mu(t), \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2}(\mu(t)))) \geq 0
\]

for all $t \in \mathcal{O}$ and $r \geq 1$;

- (X$_{10}$) there exist $\mu_0 \in \mathfrak{A}_\mathbb{A}$ and $h \in Z(\mu_0)$ such that

\[
\Omega((\mu_0(t), \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2}(\mu_0(t))), (h(t), \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2}(h(t)))) \geq 0
\]

for all $t \in \mathcal{O}$, where the multifunction $Z : \mathfrak{A}_\mathbb{A} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A}_\mathbb{A})$ is defined in (19);

- (X$_{11}$) for any $\mu \in \mathfrak{A}_\mathbb{A}$ and $h \in Z(\mu)$ such that

\[
\Omega((\mu(t), \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2}(\mu(t))), (h(t), \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2}(h(t)))) \geq 0,
\]

there exists $\tilde{\omega} \in Z(\mu)$ such that

\[
\Omega((h(t), \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2}(h(t))), (\tilde{\omega}(t), \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2}(\tilde{\omega}(t)))) \geq 0
\]

for each $t \in \mathcal{O}$.

Then the fractional $q$-Navier inclusion FBVP (4) admits a solution.
\textbf{Proof} Clearly, any solution of the fractional $q$-Navier FBVP (4) is a fixed point of the map $Z : \mathfrak{A}_* \to \mathbb{P} (\mathfrak{A}_*)$. Since the set-valued map $t \to \mathcal{M}(t, \mu(t), \mathcal{D}^\ell_q \mu(t))$ admits closed values and is measurable for all $\mu \in \mathfrak{A}_*$, $\mathcal{M}$ admits a measurable selection. This indicates that the set $(\mathcal{SEL})_{\mathcal{M}, \mu} \neq \emptyset$. Firstly, we prove that the set $Z(\mu)$ contained in $\mathfrak{A}_*$ is closed for any $\mu \in \mathfrak{A}_*$. Suppose $(\mu_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence in $Z(\mu)$ such that $\mu_n \to \mu$. For each $n$, there exists $\Phi_n \in (\mathcal{SEL})_{\mathcal{M}, \mu_n}$ such that
\begin{align*}
\mu_n(t) &= \int_0^t \left( \frac{(t - qv)(t_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \Phi_n(v) \, dq \right) - t \int_0^1 \left( \frac{(1 - qv)(t_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \Phi_n(v) \, dq \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{\ell_2 + 1}{\Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{(1 - qv)(t_1 - 1)}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} \Phi_n(v) \, dq \right)
\end{align*}
for almost all $t \in \mathcal{O}$. Since the map $\mathcal{M}$ is compact-valued, there is a subsequence of $(\Phi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converging to some $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{O})$. Hence $\Phi \in (\mathcal{SEL})_{\mathcal{M}, \mu}$, and
\begin{align*}
\mu(t) &= \int_0^t \left( \frac{(t - qv)(t_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \Phi(v) \, dq \right) - t \int_0^1 \left( \frac{(1 - qv)(t_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \Phi(v) \, dq \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{\ell_2 + 1}{\Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{(1 - qv)(t_1 - 1)}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} \Phi(v) \, dq \right)
\end{align*}
for each $t \in \mathcal{O}$. This reveals that $\mu \in Z(\mu)$, and hence $Z$ admits closed values. As the multivalued map $\mathcal{M}$ has compact values, it is easy to conclude that $Z(\mu)$ is bounded for each $\mu \in \mathfrak{A}_*$. Let us show that the multifunction $Z$ is an $\alpha$-$\psi$-contraction. To this end, we define the nonnegative function $\alpha$ on $\mathfrak{A}_* \times \mathfrak{A}_*$ by
\[
\alpha(\mu, \tilde{\mu}) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \mathcal{U}(\mu(t), \mathcal{D}^\ell_q \mu(t)), (\tilde{\mu}(t), \mathcal{D}^\ell_q \tilde{\mu}(t))) \geq 0, \\
0 & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]
for all $\mu, \tilde{\mu} \in \mathfrak{A}_*$. Let $\mu \in \mathfrak{A}_*$ and $\tilde{\mu} \in \mathfrak{A}_*$. Consider $\Phi_1 \in (\mathcal{SEL})_{\mathcal{M}, \tilde{\mu}}$ such that
\begin{align*}
\mu_1(t) &= \int_0^t \left( \frac{(t - qv)(t_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \Phi_1(v) \, dq \right) - t \int_0^1 \left( \frac{(1 - qv)(t_1 + \ell_2 - 1)}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \Phi_1(v) \, dq \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{\ell_2 + 1}{\Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{(1 - qv)(t_1 - 1)}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} \Phi_1(v) \, dq \right)
\end{align*}
for all $t \in \mathcal{O}$. From (21) we have
\[
\mathbb{H}_d(\mathcal{M}(t, \mu, \mathcal{D}^\ell_q \mu), \mathcal{M}(t, \tilde{\mu}, \mathcal{D}^\ell_q \tilde{\mu})) \leq \xi(t) \left( \frac{\| \Phi \|}{\| \xi \|} \right) \psi(|\mu - \tilde{\mu}| + \| \mathcal{D}^\ell_q \mu - \mathcal{D}^\ell_q \tilde{\mu} \|)
\]
for any $\mu, \tilde{\mu} \in \mathfrak{A}_*$ such that
\[
\mathcal{U}(\mu(t), \mathcal{D}^\ell_q \mu(t)), (\tilde{\mu}(t), \mathcal{D}^\ell_q \tilde{\mu}(t))) \geq 0
\]
for almost all \( t \in \mathcal{O} \). Hence there exists \( \hat{\omega} \in \mathcal{M}(t, \mu(t), C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu(t)) \) such that
\[
|\Phi_1(t) - \hat{\omega}| \leq \zeta(t) \left( \frac{\overline{I}}{\| \zeta \|} \right) \psi \left( |\mu(t) - \hat{\mu}(t)| + |C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu(t) - C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \hat{\mu}(t)| \right).
\]
Consider \( \mathcal{T} : \mathcal{O} \to P(\mathcal{A}_t) \) defined by
\[
\mathcal{T}(t) = \left\{ \hat{\omega} \in \mathcal{A}_t : |\Phi_1(t) - \hat{\omega}| \leq \zeta(t) \left( \frac{\overline{I}}{\| \zeta \|} \right) \psi \left( |\mu(t) - \hat{\mu}(t)| + |C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu(t) - C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \hat{\mu}(t)| \right) \right\}
\]
for \( t \in \mathcal{O} \). Since \( \Phi_1 \) and \( \hat{\omega} = \zeta \left( \frac{\overline{I}}{\| \zeta \|} \right) \psi \left( |\mu - \hat{\mu}| + |C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu - C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \hat{\mu}| \right) \) are measurable, the multifunction \( \mathcal{T}(t) \cap \mathcal{M}(t, \mu(t), C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu(t)) \) is measurable. Now select \( \Phi_2 \in \mathcal{M}(t, \mu(t), C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu(t)) \) such that for all \( t \in \mathcal{O} \),
\[
|\Phi_1(t) - \Phi_2(t)| \leq \zeta(t) \left( \frac{\overline{I}}{\| \zeta \|} \right) \psi \left( |\mu(t) - \hat{\mu}(t)| + |C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \mu(t) - C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} \hat{\mu}(t)| \right).
\]
Consider \( h_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(\mu) \) given as
\[
h_2(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(1 - q\nu)^{(l_1 + l_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \Phi_2(\nu) \, d_q \nu - t \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - q\nu)^{(l_1 + l_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \Phi_2(\nu) \, d_q \nu
\]
\[
- \frac{\ell_2^2 + t}{\Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)} \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - q\nu)^{(l_1 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1)} \Phi_2(\nu) \, d_q \nu
\]
for \( t \in \mathcal{O} \). Then we obtain
\[
|h_1(t) - h_2(t)| \leq \int_0^t \frac{(1 - q\nu)^{(l_1 + l_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \left| \Phi_1(\nu) - \Phi_2(\nu) \right| \, d_q \nu
\]
\[
+ |t| \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - q\nu)^{(l_1 + l_2 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \left| \Phi_1(\nu) - \Phi_2(\nu) \right| \, d_q \nu
\]
\[
+ |t| \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - q\nu)^{(l_1 - 1)}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + 2)} \left| \Phi_1(\nu) - \Phi_2(\nu) \right| \, d_q \nu
\]
\[
\leq \frac{2}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 1)} \| \zeta \| \left( \frac{\overline{I}}{\| \zeta \|} \right) \psi \left( \| \mu - \hat{\mu} \|_{\mathcal{A}_t} \right)
\]
\[
+ \frac{2}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + 1) \Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)} \| \zeta \| \left( \frac{\overline{I}}{\| \zeta \|} \right) \psi \left( \| \mu - \hat{\mu} \|_{\mathcal{A}_t} \right)
\]
\[
\leq \left[ \frac{2}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + 1)} + \frac{2}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + 1) \Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2)} \right] \| \zeta \| \left( \frac{\overline{I}}{\| \zeta \|} \right) \psi \left( \| \mu - \hat{\mu} \|_{\mathcal{A}_t} \right)
\]
\[
= \overline{I} \Lambda_t^* \psi \left( \| \mu - \hat{\mu} \|_{\mathcal{A}_t} \right)
\]
and
\[
\left| C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} h_1(t) - C \mathcal{D}_q^{\ell_2} h_2(t) \right|
\]
\[
\leq \left[ \frac{2}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + 1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + 1) \Gamma_q(2 - \ell_2)} + \frac{\Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2) \Gamma_q(2 - \ell_2 + 1)}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + 1) \Gamma_q(\ell_2 + 2) \Gamma_q(2 - \ell_2)} \right]
\]
\[
\times \| \zeta \| \left( \frac{\overline{I}}{\| \zeta \|} \right) \psi \left( \| \mu - \hat{\mu} \|_{\mathcal{A}_t} \right)
\]
\[ = \tilde{r} \Lambda'_{\alpha} \psi (\| \mu - \tilde{\mu} \|_{\mathcal{A}_s}) \]

for all \( t \in \mathcal{O} \). Hence we find that

\[
\| h_1 - h_2 \|_{\mathcal{A}_s} = \sup_{t \in \mathcal{O}} |h_1(t) - h_2(t)| + \sup_{t \in \mathcal{O}} |C \mathcal{D}_q^2 h_1(t) - C \mathcal{D}_q^2 h_2(t)| \\
\leq (\Lambda'_{\alpha} + \Lambda''_{\alpha}) \tilde{r} \psi (\| \mu - \tilde{\mu} \|_{\mathcal{A}_s}) = \psi (\| \mu - \tilde{\mu} \|_{\mathcal{A}_s}).
\]

Therefore \( \alpha(\mu, \tilde{\mu}) \mathbb{H}_{d} (\mathcal{Z}(\mu) - \mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\mu})) \leq \psi (\| \mu - \tilde{\mu} \|_{\mathcal{A}_s}) \) for all \( \mu, \tilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{A}_s \), and we deduce that the multifunction \( \mathcal{Z} \) is an \( \alpha \)-\( \psi \)-contraction. Now let \( \mu \in \mathcal{A}_s \) and \( \tilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{Z} (\mu) \) be such that \( \alpha(\mu, \tilde{\mu}) \geq 1 \) and

\[
\mathcal{U} ((\mu(t), C \mathcal{D}_q^2 \mu(t)), (\tilde{\mu}(t), C \mathcal{D}_q^2 \tilde{\mu}(t))) \geq 0,
\]

so that there exists a function \( \tilde{\omega} \in \mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\mu}) \) such that

\[
\mathcal{U} ((\tilde{\mu}(t), C \mathcal{D}_q^2 \tilde{\mu}(t)), (\tilde{\omega}(t), C \mathcal{D}_q^2 \tilde{\omega}(t))) \geq 0.
\]

Thus \( \alpha(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\omega}) \geq 1 \), and it follows that \( \mathcal{Z} \) is \( \alpha \)-admissible. Now consider \( \mu_0 \in \mathcal{A}_s \) and \( \tilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mu_0) \) such that for all \( t \in \mathcal{O} \),

\[
\mathcal{U} ((\mu_0(t), C \mathcal{D}_q^2 \mu_0(t)), (\tilde{\mu}(t), C \mathcal{D}_q^2 \tilde{\mu}(t))) \geq 0.
\]

Then we get \( \alpha(\mu_0, \tilde{\mu}) \geq 1 \). Let \( \{ \mu_n \}_{n \geq 1} \) be a sequence in \( \mathcal{A}_s \) such that \( \mu_n \to \mu \) and \( \alpha(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) \geq 1 \) for all \( n \). Then

\[
\mathcal{U} ((\mu_n(t), C \mathcal{D}_q^2 \mu_n(t)), (\mu_{n+1}(t), C \mathcal{D}_q^2 \mu_{n+1}(t))) \geq 0.
\]

By (X9) there exists a subsequence \( \{ \mu_{n_r} \}_{r \geq 1} \) of \( \{ \mu_n \} \) such that

\[
\mathcal{U} ((\mu_{n_r}(t), C \mathcal{D}_q^2 \mu_{n_r}(t)), (\mu(t), C \mathcal{D}_q^2 \mu(t))) \geq 0
\]

for all \( t \in \mathcal{O} \). This implies that \( \alpha(\mu_{n_r}, \mu) \geq 1 \) for all \( r \). Hence all the assumptions of Theorem 2.8 are fulfilled. This confirms the existence of a fixed−point of the operator \( \mathcal{Z} \). Therefore it follows that the generalized q−Navier FBVP (4) has a solution. \( \square \)

**Theorem 4.2** Let \( \mathcal{M} : \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{A}_s^2 \to \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{CM}}(\mathcal{A}_s) \) be a multivalued map. In addition, suppose that:

(X12) there exists a nondecreasing and u.s.c function \( \psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) such that

\[
\liminf_{t \to \infty} (t - \psi(t)) \geq 0 \text{ and } \psi(t) \leq t \text{ for all } t > 0;
\]

(X13) the multifunction \( \mathcal{M} : \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{A}_s^2 \to \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{CM}}(\mathcal{A}_s) \) is an integrable bounded operator such that \( \mathcal{M}(\cdot, \mu_1, \mu_2) : \mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{CM}}(\mathcal{A}_s) \) is measurable for all \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{A}_s \);

(X14) there exists a nonnegative map \( \xi \in C(\mathcal{O}, [0, \infty)) \) such that

\[
\mathbb{H}_{d}(\mathcal{M}(t, \mu_1, \mu_2), \mathcal{M}(t, \tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\mu}_2)) \leq \xi(t) \tilde{r} \psi (|\mu_1 - \tilde{\mu}_1| + |\mu_2 - \tilde{\mu}_2|)
\]

for all \( t \in \mathcal{O} \) and \( \mu_1, \mu_2, \tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\mu}_2 \in \mathcal{A}_s \), where \( \tilde{r} = \frac{1}{\chi_1 \chi_2} \) with constants \( \chi_1, \chi_2 \) defined in (20).
(X_{15}) the operator $Z$ defined in (19) has the approximate end-point criterion. Then the generalized $q$-Navier inclusion BVP (4) has a solution.

**Proof** We claim the existence of an end-point for $Z : \mathcal{A}_* \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}_*)$. Firstly, we show that the set $Z(\mu)$ contained in $\mathcal{A}_*$ is closed for any $\mu \in \mathcal{A}_*$. Since the set-valued map $t \to \mathcal{M}(t, \mu(t), C\mathcal{D}_q^2 \mu(t))$ admits closed values and is measurable for all $\mu \in \mathcal{A}_*$, $\mathcal{M}$ has a measurable selection. This indicates that the set $(\mathcal{S}E\mathcal{L})_{\mathcal{B}(D)} \neq \emptyset$. As shown in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we can prove that $Z(\mu)$ has closed values. Also, we can easily get that $Z(\mu)$ is bounded for each $\mu \in \mathcal{A}_*$ because the multivalued map $\mathcal{M}$ has compact values. Now let us prove the inequality $\mathbb{H}_d(Z(\mu), Z(\tilde{\omega})) \leq \psi(\|\mu - \tilde{\omega}\|_{\mathcal{A}_*})$.

To this end, let $\mu, \tilde{\omega} \in \mathcal{A}_*$ and $h_1 \in Z(\tilde{\omega})$, and select $\Phi_1 \in (\mathcal{S}E\mathcal{L})_{\mathcal{B}(D)}$ such that

$$h_1(t) = \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qv)^{\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \Phi_1(v) d_q v - t \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qv)^{\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \Phi_1(v) d_q v$$

for almost all $t \in \mathcal{O}$. From (22) we have

$$\mathbb{H}_d(\mathcal{M}(t, \mu(t), C\mathcal{D}_q^2 \mu(t)), \mathcal{M}(t, \tilde{\omega}(t), C\mathcal{D}_q^2 \tilde{\omega}(t))) \leq \zeta(t)\tilde{\zeta}(\|\mu(t) - \tilde{\omega}(t)\| + |C\mathcal{D}_q^2 \mu(t) - C\mathcal{D}_q^2 \tilde{\omega}(t)|)$$

for all $t \in \mathcal{O}$. Hence there exists $\tilde{\rho} \in \mathcal{M}(t, \mu(t), C\mathcal{D}_q^2 \mu(t))$ such that

$$|\Phi_1(t) - \tilde{\rho}| \leq \zeta(t)\tilde{\zeta}(\|\mu(t) - \tilde{\omega}(t)\| + |C\mathcal{D}_q^2 \mu(t) - C\mathcal{D}_q^2 \tilde{\omega}(t)|).$$

Now we define the set-valued map $\Upsilon : \mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}_*)$ by

$$\Upsilon(t) = \{ \tilde{\rho} \in \mathcal{A}_* : |\Phi_1(t) - \tilde{\rho}| \leq \zeta(t)\tilde{\zeta}(\|\mu(t) - \tilde{\omega}(t)\| + |C\mathcal{D}_q^2 \mu(t) - C\mathcal{D}_q^2 \tilde{\omega}(t)|) \}$$

for $t \in \mathcal{O}$. Since $\Phi_1$ and $\sigma_* = \zeta(t)\tilde{\zeta}(\|\mu - \tilde{\omega}\| + |C\mathcal{D}_q^2 \mu - C\mathcal{D}_q^2 \tilde{\omega}|)$ are measurable, the multifunction $\Upsilon(\cdot) \cap \mathcal{M}(\cdot, \mu(\cdot), C\mathcal{D}_q^2 \mu(\cdot))$ is measurable. Next, we choose $\Phi_2 \in \mathcal{M}(t, \mu(t), C\mathcal{D}_q^2 \mu(t))$ such that

$$|\Phi_1(t) - \Phi_2(t)| \leq \zeta(t)\tilde{\zeta}(\|\mu(t) - \tilde{\omega}(t)\| + |C\mathcal{D}_q^2 \mu(t) - C\mathcal{D}_q^2 \tilde{\omega}(t)|)$$

for all $t \in \mathcal{O}$. Choose $h_2 \in Z(\mu)$ such that

$$h_2(t) = \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qv)^{\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \Phi_2(v) d_q v - t \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - qv)^{\ell_1 + \ell_2 - 1}}{\Gamma_q(\ell_1 + \ell_2)} \Phi_2(v) d_q v$$

for all $t \in \mathcal{O}$. By continuing the similar steps implemented in Theorem 4.1 we obtain

$$\|h_1 - h_2\|_{\mathcal{A}_*} = \sup_{t \in \mathcal{O}} |h_1(t) - h_2(t)| + \sup_{t \in \mathcal{O}} |C\mathcal{D}_q^2 h_1(t) - C\mathcal{D}_q^2 h_2(t)|$$

$$\leq (\chi_1 + \chi_2)\tilde{\zeta}(\|\mu - \tilde{\omega}\|_{\mathcal{A}_*}) = \psi(\|\mu - \tilde{\omega}\|_{\mathcal{A}_*}).$$
Therefore \( h_t(Z(\mu), Z(\tilde{\omega})) \leq \psi(\|\mu - \tilde{\omega}\|_{S_2}) \) for all \( \mu, \tilde{\omega} \in S_2 \). By (X15) \( Z \) involves an approximate end-point criterion. Now Theorem 2.9 indicates the existence of \( \mu^{**} \in S_2 \) such that \( Z(\mu^{**}) = \{\mu^{**}\} \). Hence the fractional \( q \)-Navier inclusion BVP (4) has a solution \( \mu^{**} \). □

5 Examples

We provide a few illustrative numerical examples to our theoretical and analytical findings in the previous sections.

Example 5.1 Consider the generalized \( q \)-Navier FBVP

\[
\begin{aligned}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
C\mathcal{D}_{0.57}^{1.35} (C\mathcal{D}_{0.57}^{1.68}\mu)(t) = \frac{t|\mu(t)|}{27(1 + |\mu(t)|)} + \frac{t^3|\sin(C\mathcal{D}_{0.57}^{1.68}\mu(t))|}{27(1 + \sin(C\mathcal{D}_{0.57}^{1.68}\mu(t)))}, \\
(9.61) \mu(0) = (16.37) \mu(1) = (21.49) C\mathcal{D}_{0.57}^{1.68} \mu(0) = (7.15) C\mathcal{D}_{0.57}^{1.68} \mu(1) = 0,
\end{array} \right.
\end{aligned}
\]

(23)

where \( q = 0.57, \ell_1 = 1.35, \ell_2 = 1.68, \gamma = 9.61, \delta = 16.37, \lambda = 21.49, \beta = 7.15, \) and \( t \in \mathcal{O} \).

Also, consider the continuous mapping \( M : \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) defined by

\[
M(t, \mu(t), w(t)) = \frac{t|\mu(t)|}{27(1 + |\mu(t)|)} + \frac{t^3 |\sin(w(t))|}{27(1 + \sin(w(t)))}.
\]

For any \( \mu_1, \mu_2, w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{R} \), we can write

\[
\begin{aligned}
&|M(t, \mu_1(t), w_1(t)) - M(t, \mu_2(t), w_2(t))| \\
&\leq \frac{t}{27} \left( |\mu_1(t) - \mu_2(t)| + |\sin(w_1(t)) - \sin(w_2(t))| \right) \\
&\leq \frac{t}{27} \left( |\mu_1(t) - \mu_2(t)| + |w_1(t) - w_2(t)| \right).
\end{aligned}
\]

Put \( \kappa(t) = \frac{1}{27} \) for all \( t \). Then \( \|k\| = \sup_{t\in\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{\kappa(t)} = \frac{1}{27} \). Moreover, consider the nondecreasing continuous map \( \psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \) given by \( \psi(\xi) = \xi \) for all \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^+ \). Then we obtain

\[
\begin{aligned}
|M(t, \mu(t), C\mathcal{D}_{0.57}^{1.68}\mu(t))| &\leq \frac{t}{27} \left( |\mu(t)| + |C\mathcal{D}_{0.57}^{1.68}\mu(t)| \right) \\
&= \frac{t}{27} \psi \left( |\mu(t)| + |C\mathcal{D}_{0.57}^{1.68}\mu(t)| \right).
\end{aligned}
\]

Clearly, the function \( q : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \) defined by \( q(t) = \frac{1}{t} \) is continuous. By (16) we find that

\[
\begin{aligned}
\Xi_1^* &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_{0.57}(1.35 + 1.68 + 1)} + \frac{2}{\Gamma_{0.57}(1.35 + 1)\Gamma_{0.57}(1.68 + 2)} \approx 1.065756, \\
\Xi_2^* &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_{0.57}(1.35 + 1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma_{0.57}(1.35 + 1)\Gamma_{0.57}(2 - 1.68)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma_{0.57}(1.68 + 2)\Gamma_{0.57}(2 - 1.68) + 1} \\
&\approx \frac{1}{\Gamma_{0.57}(1.35 + 1)\Gamma_{0.57}(2 - 1.68) + 1} \approx 1.051325.
\end{aligned}
\]

Now by (17) \( L \approx 0.0738194 < 1 \). Hence by Theorem 3.3 we can conclude that the fractional generalized sequential \( q \)-Navier BVP (23) has a solution.
Example 5.2. Consider the generalized $q$-Navier inclusion FBVP

$$
\left\{
\begin{array}{l}
C_{0.26}^{\frac{1.41}{2}}D_{0.26}^{1.88} \mu(t) = [0, \frac{t | \tan^{-1}(\mu(t))|}{35(1 + 5t^2)(1 + | \tan^{-1}(\mu(t))|)} + \frac{t^2 | D_{0.26}^{1.88} \mu(t) |}{35(1 + | D_{0.26}^{1.88} \mu(t) |)}], \\
(10.46) \mu(0) = (53.17) \mu(1) = (11.73) C_{0.26}^{\frac{1.41}{2}} D_{0.26}^{1.88} \mu(0) = (95.31) C_{0.26}^{\frac{1.41}{2}} D_{0.26}^{1.88} \mu(1) = 0,
\end{array}
\right.
$$

where $q = 0.26$, $\ell_1 = 1.41$, $\ell_2 = 1.88$, $\gamma = 10.46$, $\delta = 53.17$, $\lambda = 11.73$, $\beta = 95.31$, and $t \in \mathcal{O}$. Define $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{O} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$
\mathcal{M}(t, \mu_1(t), \mu_2(t)) = \left[0, \frac{t | \tan^{-1}(\mu_1(t))|}{35(1 + 5t^2)(1 + | \tan^{-1}(\mu_1(t))|)} + \frac{t^2 | \mu_2(t) |}{35(1 + | \mu_2(t) |)} \right]
$$

for $t \in \mathcal{O}$. Now select a nonnegative map $\zeta \in C(\mathcal{O}, [0, \infty))$ such that $\zeta(t) = \frac{1}{t}$ for each $t \in \mathcal{O}$. Thus $\|\zeta\| = \sup_{t \in \mathcal{O}} |\frac{1}{t}| = \frac{1}{t}$. Furthermore, consider the nonnegative nondecreasing u.s.c map $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined as $\psi(t) = \frac{1}{t}$ for all $t > 0$. It is easy to find that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - \psi(t)) > 0$ and $\psi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$. Now by (15) and (20) we get

$$
\Lambda_1^* = \frac{2}{\Gamma_{0.26}(1.41 + 1.88 + 1)} + \frac{2}{\Gamma_{0.26}(1.41 + 1) \Gamma_{0.26}(1.88 + 2)} \approx 2.237523,
$$

$$
\Lambda_2^* = \frac{2}{\Gamma_{0.26}(1.41 + 1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma_{0.26}(1.41 + 1.88 + 1)} \frac{\Gamma_{0.26}(2 - 1.88)}{\Gamma_{0.26}(1.88 + 2) \Gamma_{0.26}(2 - 1.88) + 1}
\approx 2.083261,
$$

and

$$
\chi_1 = \|\zeta\| \Lambda_1^* \approx 0.319646 \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_2 = \|\zeta\| \Lambda_2^* \approx 0.297361.
$$

For every $\mu_1, \mu_2, \tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\mu}_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\mathbb{H}_d(\mathcal{M}(t, \mu_1(t), \mu_2(t)), \mathcal{M}(t, \tilde{\mu}_1(t), \tilde{\mu}_2(t)))
\leq \frac{t}{\chi_1} \left( | \mu_1(t) - \tilde{\mu}_1(t) | + | \mu_2(t) - \tilde{\mu}_2(t) | \right)
\leq \frac{t}{\chi_1} \psi \left( | \mu_1(t) - \tilde{\mu}_1(t) | + | \mu_2(t) - \tilde{\mu}_2(t) | \right)
\leq \zeta(t) \psi \left( | \mu_1(t) - \tilde{\mu}_1(t) | + | \mu_2(t) - \tilde{\mu}_2(t) | \right) \left( \frac{1}{\chi_1 + \chi_2} \right).
$$

Next, we consider the set-valued map $\mathcal{Z} : \mathfrak{A}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{A}_+)$ defined as

$$
\mathcal{Z}(\mu) = \{ h \in \mathfrak{A}_+ : \text{there exists } \tilde{\Phi} \in (\mathcal{SIL})_{\mathfrak{A}_+} : h(t) = \tilde{\omega}(t) \text{ for all } t \in \mathcal{O} \},
$$

where

$$
\tilde{\omega}(t) = \int_0^t (t - 0.26)^{(1.41 + 1.88 - 1)} \frac{\Phi(v) \, dv}{\Gamma_{0.26}(1.41 + 1.88)}.
$$
\[-t \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - 0.26v)^{(1.41+1.88-1)}}{\Gamma_0.26(1.41+1.88)} \Phi(v) \, dq \, v\]

\[-t \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - 0.26v)^{(1.41-1)}}{\Gamma_0.26(1.41)} \Phi(v) \, dq \, v\]

\[\int_0^t \frac{(1 - 0.26v)^{(2.29)}}{\Gamma_0.26(3.29)} \Phi(v) \, dq \, v - \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - 0.26v)^{(2.29)}}{\Gamma_0.26(3.29)} \Phi(v) \, dq \, v\]

\[-t \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - 0.26v)^{(0.41)}}{\Gamma_0.26(1.41)} \Phi(v) \, dq \, v.\]

Ultimately, by Theorem 4.2 we find that the generalized $q$-Navier BVP (24) has a solution.

### 6 Conclusion

In this paper, we modeled the standard Navier equation to $q$-fractional Navier BVP and explored the existence of solutions by making use of the well-known results from functional analysis due to some techniques introduced by Krasnoselskii, Samet, Mohammadi, and Amini-Harandi based on special operators. In fact, by deriving an integral equation we defined some operators based on it, and then by utilizing a subclass of special operators such as orbital $\alpha$-admissible maps, $\alpha$-$\psi$-contractions, the multifunctions having approximate endpoint criterion, and so on we proved the required results. Finally, we gave illustrations by two examples to explain the consistency of the findings for the proposed sequential generalized Navier $q$-BVP. As a possible future plan, some other operators may be considered in the next papers to discuss the existence of solutions, stability, and other qualitative aspects of solutions of the generalized Navier fractional model in two singular or nonsingular formats.
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