The neural cell adhesion molecule-derived peptide FGL facilitates long-term plasticity in the dentate gyrus in vivo
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The neural cell adhesion molecule (NCAM) is known to play a role in developmental and structural processes but also in synaptic plasticity and memory of the adult animal. Recently, FGL, a NCAM mimetic peptide that binds to the Fibroblast Growth Factor Receptor 1 (FGFR-1), has been shown to have a beneficial impact on normal memory functioning, as well as to rescue some pathological cognitive impairments. Whether its facilitating impact may be mediated through promoting neuronal plasticity is not known. The present study was therefore designed to test whether FGL modulates the induction and maintenance of synaptic plasticity in the dentate gyrus (DG) in vivo. For this, we first assessed the effect of the FGL peptide on synaptic functions at perforant path–dentate gyrus synapses in the anesthetized rat. FGL, or its control inactive peptide, was injected locally 60 min before applying high-frequency stimulation (HFS) to the medial perforant path. The results suggest that although FGL did not alter basal synaptic transmission, it facilitated both the induction and maintenance of LTP. Interestingly, FGL also modified the heterosynaptic plasticity observed at the neighboring lateral perforant path synapses. The second series of experiments, using FGL intracerebroventricular infusion in the awake animal, confirmed its facilitating effect on LTP for up to 24 h. Our data also suggest that FGL could alter neurogenesis associated with LTP. In sum, these results show for the first time that enhancing NCAM functions by mimicking its heterophilic interaction with FGFR facilitates hippocampal synaptic plasticity in the awake, freely moving animal.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]

Neural cell adhesion molecules (NCAMs) are complexes of transmembranal proteins critical for cell–cell interactions. They are recognition molecules of the immunoglobulin (Ig) superfamily, and are widely believed to play a critical role in developmental processes such as cell migration, cell survival, axon guidance, and synaptic targeting (for review, see Maness and Schachner 2007). Recent developments demonstrate that NCAMs are also important in the mature adult brain, where acute disruption of NCAM function with antibodies in vitro or chronic alteration of NCAM function in knockout mice impairs synaptic plasticity and memory formation (Ronn et al. 1995; Luthi et al. 1996; Stoenaica et al. 2006). To date, three isoforms of the NCAM molecule have been described, namely NCAM120, NCAM140, and NCAM180. Their extracellular domains all contain five Ig and two fibronectin type III (FnIII) domains. Although Ig motifs engage in homophilic interactions, the FnIII domains have been found to contain heterophilic binding sites (Hinsby et al. 2004a,b; Walmod et al. 2004; Ditlevsen et al. 2008). Although the specific function of each binding site remains unidentified, it is of interest to note that one of them binds to the Fibroblast Growth Factor Receptor 1 (FGFR-1), a receptor required for synaptic plasticity and the generation of new neurons in the adult brain (Zhao et al. 2007).

Recently, mimetic peptides of specific cell adhesion molecules’ binding motifs have been developed. In particular, the peptide FGL was generated in order to mimic the NCAM FGFR-1 binding site (Kiselyov et al. 2003). In vitro, FGL induces phosphorylation that activates the FGFR-1 (Kiselyov et al. 2003) and exhibits similar neurotogenic and survival effects as NCAM, an effect abrogated by inhibition of FGFR activation (Neiendam et al. 2004). FGL also enhances presynaptic release in hippocampal cell culture (Cambon et al. 2004). In vivo, chronic administration of the peptide improves associative, spatial as well as social memory, and reduces phencyclidine-induced impairment in spatial learning (Cambon et al. 2004; Secher et al. 2006, 2009). Remarkably, a single acute administration of FGL appears sufficient to alleviate Aβ, or ischemia-induced neuropathology and cognitive impairment (Skibo et al. 2005; Klementiev et al. 2007; Secher et al. 2009). Further, a recent study by Stewart and colleagues demonstrated that FGL treatment alters spine morphology and synapse distribution (Stewart et al. 2010). Together these reports suggest that NCAM stimulation of FGFRs regulates neural connectivity. Whether the expression of these alterations relies on changes in synaptic transmission and plasticity remains unknown.
In the present study, we thus sought to determine whether acute stimulation of the FGFR-1 by the FGL peptide affects basal synaptic properties and plasticity in the dentate gyrus (DG) in vivo. FGL has indeed been shown to influence connectivity in the DG (Popov et al. 2008; Stewart et al. 2010), as well as in hippocampal-dependent memories (Cambon et al. 2004; Secher et al. 2009); further, FGFR1 have been shown to influence plasticity in the DG (Zhao et al. 2007). The cellular mechanisms associated with long-term potentiation (LTP) in the first hours following its induction are thought to primarily involve post-translational modifications (Bliss and Collingridge 1993). In contrast, late-phase LTP is dependent upon gene expression and is correlated with eventual morphological modification (Yuste and Bonhoeffer 2001; Bozon et al. 2003). We first analyzed the effect of FGL on basal synaptic function, local circuit regulation, as well as short-term and early phase of long-term plasticity (up to 3 h) in the anesthetized rat. In a second set of experiments, we focused on the long-term phase of LTP (24 h) in the awake rat. In addition, insofar as the FGL peptide stimulates a growth factor receptor and that LTP has been shown to increase adult neurogenesis, another form of plasticity in the DG (Brue-Jungerman et al. 2006), we also assessed in these chronically recorded rats whether FGL, alone or in interaction with LTP, alters new cell proliferation in the hippocampus.

**Results**

**FGL alters early phases of synaptic plasticity in the dentate gyrus**

**FGL does not alter basal synaptic transmission**

To ascertain whether the activation of FGFR with FGL influences basal synaptic efficacy, baseline recordings were collected 30 min (FGL n = 15, FGLdia n = 13) before and 270 min (FGL n = 4, FGLdia n = 5) after local infusion of FGL or control FGLdia in the anesthetized animal. We verified that I/O plots performed prior to baseline recordings and peptides administration were similar in the FGL and FGLdia groups (F1, < 1, for both MPP and LPP). Although local delivery of the peptide induced a reduction in the field EPSP slope (Fig. 1A), there was no significant difference between groups (30 min, MPP F < 1, LPP F < 1 270 min, MPP F < 1, LPP F < 1), indicating that FGL had no significant effect on basal synaptic transmission, and that the decrease on the EPSP slope was most probably attributable to mechanical pressure due to the local infusion delivery method as infusion of a saline solution (0.9% NaCl) induced the same depression (30 min, n = 6, F < 1; 270 min, n = 3, F < 1; data not shown). Following peptide delivery, the stability of the preparation was recovered after 60 min on average. No effect was observed on the population spike amplitude (data not shown).

**FGL does not alter paired–pulse function**

Paired-pulse tests collected before and for 270 min after FGL or FGLdia infusions were compared in order to assess the effect of FGL on local network regulation. In agreement with previous investigations (McNaughton 1980; Bordi et al. 1997; Wang et al. 2001; Fueta et al. 2002), paired pulses administered in the control FGLdia group to the MPP resulted in a slight inhibition of the population spike (PS) at 20 msec ISI, which is thought to be mainly attributable to recurrent inhibition via granule cell firing (Andersen et al. 1964; Alger and Nicoll 1980; Fricke and Prince 1984). This early suppression was followed by facilitation at 70 msec ISI, which returned to baseline at 500 msec ISI (Fig. 1B). Such facilitation also corroborates previous findings (McNaughton 1980; Racine and Milgram 1983; Steffensen and Henrikson 1991). Paired-pulse stimulation of the LPP showed no change at 20 msec ISI and facilitation up to 500 msec ISI, which also confirms previous reports (McNaughton 1980; Ruan et al. 1998). FGL did not have any significant effect on these paired-pulse functions for either pathway (LPP–LPP, treatment: F < 1, treatment × ISI interaction: F < 1; MPP–MPP, treatment: F < 1, treatment × ISI interaction: F2,42 = 2.03, P = 0.14). Also, no effect of FGL was found on the paired-pulse curve for EPSP slope (data not shown). Thus, activation of the FGFR with FGL seems to have no effect on either short-term plasticity or on local inhibitory regulation in the dentate gyrus in vivo.

**FGL facilitates early LTP**

As NCAM functions have been reported to be necessary for the induction of LTP (Ronn et al. 1995; Luthi et al. 1996; Stoenica et al. 2006), we sought to determine whether the FGL peptide could facilitate LTP induced with a weak HFS protocol (10 series, 1 min apart, of three trains of pulses—400 Hz, 20 msec—at 1-sec interval at test intensity). Focusing on the LTP induction phase, we found that post-tetanic potentiation (PTP) measured during the first 2 min after HFS was significantly increased under FGL treatment (FGL: 134.79 ± 3.64% of baseline, n = 7; FGLdia: 124.06 ± 4.72% of baseline, n = 8; F1,13 = 4.96, P = 0.04), suggesting that FGL may have an effect on presynaptic events occurring during and immediately after sustained repetitive stimulation.

With respect to the maintenance phase, as expected the weak HFS protocol to give rise to a decaying LTP reaching 113.14 ± 4.79% of baseline EPSP slope 3 h after induction in the FGLdia control group (Fig. 1C). In contrast, LTP was found to be significantly enhanced and more maintained in the presence of FGL, persisting at 127.48 ± 5.43% of baseline 3 h post-HFS (Fig. 1C) (FGLdia n = 8; FGL: n = 7; F1,13 = 5.16, P = 0.04, time × treatment interaction upon 3 h; F116,1508 = 1.77, P < 0.001). In addition, the slope of the linear regression line obtained in the FGL group (0.15 < R2 < 0.57) did not significantly deviate from zero (FGL slope = −0.00013 ± 0.0072% change/min, P = 0.99, F1,924 < 1; F-test, GraphPad Prism software version 4.0), whereas this deviation was clearly significant in the FGLdia control group (0.14 < R2 < 0.53; FGLdia slope = −0.045 ± 0.0077% change/min, P < 0.001, F1,942 = 34.21). The same trend was observed for the population spike, but remained under significance, possibly due to the large variability of the spike amplitude. The difference in decay function for the EPSP slope suggests that FGL may facilitate the maintenance phase of LTP, in addition to its facilitative effect on the LTP induction.

**FGL modifies heterosynaptic plasticity**

Heterosynaptic plasticity was analyzed through the recordings of the EPSP elicited by stimulation of the LPP. Unexpectedly, the local activation of the FGFR during MMP–LTP induction resulted in a heterosynaptic potentiation of the EPSP slope for the nonstimulated LPP (Fig. 1D). Although FGLdia animals showed no heterosynaptic potentiation of LPP EPSP slope after MMP–LTP, as previously reported (Doyère et al. 1997), FGL animals showed an immediate and lasting increase in LPP EPSP slope. Between groups differences were significant up to 90 min post-HFS (FGLdia: 102.88 ± 3.06% of baseline, n = 8; FGL: 114.94 ± 4.69% of baseline, n = 7; F1,13 = 4.87, P = 0.04) and remained marginally significant at 3 h post-HFS (Fig. 1D) (FGLdia: 104.37 ± 4.61% of baseline, LTP: 121.14 ± 8.38% of baseline, F1,13 = 3.83, P = 0.07).

Despite the fact that the summation tests carried out before the experiment indicated good selectivity and that the
The characteristic shape of the LPP field potentials did not change after HFS, the slight facilitation observed in the control group shortly after HFS of the MPP may suggest a reduced selectivity over time. To verify that the selectivity remained intact, we took advantage of the characteristic latencies of the LPP and MPP field potentials, known to differ at the onset (McNaughton and Barnes 1977; Abraham and McNaughton 1984; Doyère et al. 1997). We therefore analyzed the onset latency of both signals over the entire experiment for every animal of FGL and FGLdiala groups. As expected in the case of good separation, the onset latency of MPP EPSPs was significantly shorter than for LPP EPSPs in both groups, during baseline (FGL \( P < 0.001 \), FGLdiala \( P = 0.008 \), paired bilateral t-test), PTP (FGL \( P < 0.001 \), FGLdiala \( P = 0.001 \), and upon the whole time course of LTP (FGL \( P < 0.001 \), FGLdiala \( P = 0.04 \)). Besides, the onset of LPP potentials was not reduced after HFS, neither during PTP (FGL: baseline vs. PTP \( P = 0.3 \), FGLdiala: baseline BL vs. PTP \( P = 0.9 \)), nor during LTP (FGL: baseline vs. LTP \( P = 0.4 \), FGLdiala: baseline vs. LTP \( P = 0.9 \)), confirming that the stimulation of the LPP did not recruit MPP fibers. Therefore, both the summation test and analysis of the EPSPs.

Figure 1. Effect of FGL (†) compared to control inactive peptide FGLdiala (○) on synaptic transmission and plasticity in the DG in vivo. (A) The pressure engendered by infusion of the peptide (†) decreased EPSP slope in the same manner for the FGL (†) and control (○) groups. Thus, FGL did not alter basal synaptic transmission. (B) Paired-pulse profiles for 20-, 70-, and 500-msec interstimulus intervals (ISI) were found to be similar between groups suggesting that FGL did not influence local circuit regulation. PS1: population spike 1, PS2: population spike 2. (C) EPSP slope measurements of MPP field potentials (insets) over time showed that PTP measured during the first 2 min after HFS (†) was significantly increased (\( P = 0.04 \)) and LTP was more sustained 3 h post-induction in the FGL group (\( P = 0.04 \)). (D) FGL enabled the heterosynaptic potentiation of EPSP slope of LPP field potentials (insets) after HFS on MPP. This potentiation remained significant up to 90 min post-HFS (\( P = 0.04 \)). LPP: lateral perforant path, MPP: medial perforant path. Calibration bars: 2 msec, 1 mV. Data are expressed as ± SEM.
FGL enhances late LTP in the awake rat

Immunohistochemical detection of the FGL peptide

The presence of FGL in the DG was assessed using immunohistochemistry directed against FGL peptide 10, 30, 60, or 120 min after a single icv administration of FGL in the right lateral ventricle (\( n = 4 \) in each group). Our data showed that FGL diffused from the lateral ventricle to the septum, cortex, and striatum (Fig. 2A) in addition to the hippocampus where it was detected in the DG as early as 10 and 30 min post-injection. The FGL peptide was found at the ependymal surface of the right ventricle and adjacent brain parenchyma, including the septum nuclei, DG, CA1, and CA3 areas of the hippocampus and the cortical area surrounding the cannula track (Fig. 2A). Double immunostaining for FGL and NeuN showed FGL localization in cortical, septal, and hippocampal neurons (Fig. 2B–L). DAB peroxidase immunocytochemistry images (Fig. 2B,C) clearly show FGL to be present within the cell as well as on the cell membrane. This is most probably attributable to endocytosis of receptor–ligand (FGFR-1–FGL) complexes.

The diffusion of FGL into the DG remained stable over the next hours as indicated by FGL immunoreactivity found on brain sections of animals perfused 1 or 2 h after FGL administration (Fig. 2D–F). Similar observations were made concerning the distribution of FGL into the hippocampal CA1 and CA3 subregions 2 h after the icv infusion (Fig. 2G–L).

Discussion

It is now widely believed that neural cell adhesion molecules underlie substantial function in synaptic plasticity. Evidence for such a role comes from studies producing a loss or reduction of NCAM function using pharmacological compounds or genetically modified animals (Luthi et al. 1994; Ronn et al. 1995; Becker et al. 1996; Eckhardt et al. 2000; Stoenicu et al. 2006). However, no investigation has yet tested whether enhancing NCAM function could impact synaptic plasticity. We provide here the first study showing that enhancing NCAM function by mimicking its heterophilic interaction with FGFR facilitates synaptic plasticity. This finding is essential for our understanding of how cell adhesion molecules regulate plasticity.

Effect of FGL and LTP on cell proliferation

To evaluate whether the application of FGL together with LTP induction has an effect on neural progenitor cell proliferation in the DG, we counted the number of cells expressing the proliferation marker Ki67. Ki67 is an endogenous marker of cell cycle and is absent when the cell is quiescent (Kee et al. 2002). Ki67-immunoreactive (Ki67+ ) cells were primarily observed in the subgranular zone of the DG, where the hippocampal progenitor cells are located (Fig. 4A). As strikingly evident in Figure 4, FGL had a strong impact on the variance (\( F \)-test for equality of variances between FGL and FGLdiala, \( F(9,9) = 3.33, P = 0.04 \)). Therefore, for each given comparison, we first tested for the equality of variance (\( F \)-test), and then applied the corresponding unpaired \( t \)-test. We observed that LTP enhanced cell proliferation both in the FGLdiala group (unpaired \( t \)-test for equal variances: \( t_{18} = 2.75, P < 0.03 \)) and in the FGL group (unpaired \( t \)-test for unequal variances: \( t_{17} = 2.56, P = 0.05 \)) in agreement with our previous report (Bruel-Jungerman et al. 2006). Although FGL did not seem to modify the cell proliferation on average, neither in Pseudo nor HFS conditions, it may possibly act in interaction with HFS as among the pairs of comparisons, the inequality of variances was the most pronounced between FGL–HFS and FGL–pseudo groups (\( F(3,3) = 86.19, P = 0.002 \)). Thus, these data suggest that activation of the FGFR by the peptide might disturb the proliferation associated with LTP. Besides, we found that the coefficient of determination of the regression between the level of LTP and the number of Ki67+ cells in the FGL-HFS group only reached \( R^2 = 0.48 \). Further, the \( Z \)-test for correlation indicates that these data are not significantly correlated (\( P = 0.22 \)). Therefore, the significant increase in variability in cell proliferation associated with LTP cannot be solely attributed to different magnitudes of potentiation in the FGL–LTP group.

Effect of FGL and LTP on cell proliferation
FGL did not affect basal synaptic transmission or paired-pulse plasticity for short and long ISI, suggesting that local inhibitory mechanisms are not altered by the presence of the peptide. However, after administration of HFS, FGL was found to facilitate both PTP and LTP up to 3 h post-HFS in the anesthetized rat and up to 24 h post-HFS in the awake rat. Although FGL is likely to stimulate the FGFR differently than the FGFs molecules (Hinsby et al. 2004a,b; Kiselyov et al. 2005) and may act also on other receptors, this result corroborates the investigation of Zhao and colleagues reporting a severe impairment of in vitro MPP–LTP in the Fgrf1 conditional knock-out mouse (Zhao et al. 2007) and an earlier study showing that icv injection of human acidic FGF facilitates the generation of LTP by a weak tetanus in young Wistar rats in vivo (Downer et al. 2010). This apparent discrepancy is most probably attributable to the fact that in these experiments, FGL was administered subcutaneously, a procedure that in our hands did not reveal any difference in LTP either (Supplemental Fig. 1) (FGL: 109.32 ± 3.39% of baseline, n = 4; control: 113.99 ± 8.64% of baseline, n = 4; F < 1, ns). All together, these results suggest that the peptide can facilitate LTP in normal conditions, as well as in impaired conditions such as during aging (Kiselyov et al. 2005; Secher et al. 2006, 2009; Klementiev et al. 2007), that the apparent “heterosynaptic” LTP reflects, in fact, a facilitation of processes homosynaptic in nature, in the same way as it has been suggested for the requirement of homosynaptic activity in heterosynaptic LTD (Abraham et al. 2007). Indeed, a facilitation of the FGFR-1 with FGF, NCAM or L1 has been shown to induce a rise in intracellular calcium concentration ([Ca²⁺]i) mediated by the activation of L- and T-type voltage-dependent calcium channels (VDCCs) in neural as well as non-neural cell cultures (Archer et al. 1999; Rosenthal et al. 2005; Kiryushko et al. 2006). We may therefore speculate that activation of FGL by the FGFR-1 expressed on granule cells result in [Ca²⁺]i increase. However, as basal synaptic transmission was unchanged, we suggest that activation of the FGFR-1 may up-regulate VDCCs which can be activated by backpropagating action potentials during sustained activity (Stuart et al. 1997; Larkum et al. 1999) and are known to be closely involved in conveying dendritic calcium spikes (Larkum et al. 1999); up-regulation of VDCCs would consequently shift the modification threshold of the BCM (Bienenstock, Cooper, and Munro (BCM)) hypothesis remains to be tested in vitro by producing the BCM curves with different induction protocols in the presence of FGL vs. the control peptide. Additionally, it has been shown that the NCAM-FGFR interaction activates MAPK signaling pathways via Src, which triggers gene transcription and promotes neurite out-growth (Kiryushko et al. 2006). These events, beyond the FGL action on intracellular calcium concentration during the LTP induction phase, may also contribute to the maintenance of the enhanced late LTP we observed 24 h post-HFS.

Based on data showing, on one hand, that FGF-1 is required for the generation of new neurons in the mouse hippocampus in vivo (Zhao et al. 2007) and, on the other hand, that LTP induction in the anesthetized rat increases neurogenesis in the dentate gyrus (Bruel-Jungerman et al. 2006), we sought to determine whether FGL alone or in interaction with LTP, would impact new cell proliferation in the dentate gyrus. In agreement with a previous investigation using sc injection (Aonurm-Helm et al. 2008), activation of the FGFR-1 by FGL induced no changes in cell proliferation in the dentate gyrus, suggesting that endogenous activation of FGFR-1 differs from that produced by its major ligand, FGF-2. In sharp contrast, we observed a drastic increase in the variability of the number of Ki67⁺ cells in the FGL group for which LTP had been induced 24 h earlier. This result suggests that although FGF activation by the NCAM molecule does not directly regulate cell proliferation, it might influence the regulation that LTP exerts on neurogenesis, possibly by modifying the

that FGL may not engender only beneficial effects. Another possibility, more in line with the positive effects of FGL on memory (Cambon et al. 2004; Skibo et al. 2005; Secher et al. 2006, 2009; Klementiev et al. 2007), is that the apparent “heterosynaptic” LTP reflects, in fact, a facilitation of processes homosynaptic in nature, in the same way as it has been suggested for the requirement of homosynaptic activity in heterosynaptic LTD (Abraham et al. 2007). Indeed, stimulation of the FGFR-1 with FGF, NCAM or L1 has been shown to induce a rise in intracellular calcium concentration ([Ca²⁺]i) mediated by the activation of L- and T-type voltage-dependent calcium channels (VDCCs) in neural as well as non-neural cell cultures (Archer et al. 1999; Rosenthal et al. 2005; Kiryushko et al. 2006). We may therefore speculate that activation of FGL by the FGFR-1 may up-regulate VDCCs which can be activated by backpropagating action potentials during sustained activity (Stuart et al. 1997; Larkum et al. 1999) and are known to be closely involved in conveying dendritic calcium spikes (Larkum et al. 1999); up-regulation of VDCCs would consequently shift the modification threshold of the Bienenstock, Cooper, and Munro (BCM) hypothesis remains to be tested in vitro by producing the BCM curves with different induction protocols in the presence of FGL vs. the control peptide. Additionally, it has been shown that the NCAM-FGFR interaction activates MAPK signaling pathways via Src, which triggers gene transcription and promotes neurite out-growth (Kiryushko et al. 2006). These events, beyond the FGL action on intracellular calcium concentration during the LTP induction phase, may also contribute to the maintenance of the enhanced late LTP we observed 24 h post-HFS.

Based on data showing, on one hand, that FGF-1 is required for the generation of new neurons in the mouse hippocampus in vivo (Zhao et al. 2007) and, on the other hand, that LTP induction in the anesthetized rat increases neurogenesis in the dentate gyrus (Bruel-Jungerman et al. 2006), we sought to determine whether FGL alone or in interaction with LTP, would impact new cell proliferation in the dentate gyrus. In agreement with a previous investigation using sc injection (Aonurm-Helm et al. 2008), activation of the FGFR-1 by FGL induced no changes in cell proliferation in the dentate gyrus, suggesting that endogenous activation of FGFR-1 differs from that produced by its major ligand, FGF-2. In sharp contrast, we observed a drastic increase in the variability of the number of Ki67⁺ cells in the FGL group for which LTP had been induced 24 h earlier. This result suggests that although FGF activation by the NCAM molecule does not directly regulate cell proliferation, it might influence the regulation that LTP exerts on neurogenesis, possibly by modifying the
FGFR affinity to other ligands, such as FGF-2. The wide distribution we observed in this group may be related to the sensitivity of such regulation to the concentration of ambient FGL, which may vary between individuals notwithstanding the use of an identical protocol for each animal.

In conclusion, the current study provides the first in vivo evidence that FGL impacts functional synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus. Further, it indicates that NCAM heterophilic interactions are involved in synaptic plasticity in the adult organism. It may therefore be of particular interest to assess in future studies how NCAM interactions with other molecules (for review, Gascon et al. 2004), such as glutamate or neurotrophin receptors, might also influence synaptic efficacy.

Material and Methods

FGL peptides and anti-FGL antibodies

The FGL peptide and the control peptide (FGL diala) were synthesized as tetrameric dendrimers composed of four monomers with the sequence EVYVVAENQGKS and EVYVVAENAGGKS, respectively, coupled to a lysine backbone, as previously described (Kiselyov et al. 2003). The control peptide (FGL dialamine) contains a double alanine substitution in positions critical for the interaction with FGFR (Kiselyov et al. 2003). Unlike FGL, the control peptide was neither able to stimulate neurite outgrowth (Kiselyov et al. 2003; Neiendam et al. 2004), nor to facilitate presynaptic function in vitro. Also in sharp contrast with FGL, it did not facilitate memory consolidation (Cambon et al. 2004), nor ameliorate neuropathological signs induced by β-amyloid peptide in vivo (Klementiev et al. 2007).

Rabbit polyclonal antibodies against the FGF peptide were produced as previously described (Secher et al. 2006).

Experiment 1: Short-lasting plasticity

Surgery

Twenty-six adult (300–400 g) male Sprague-Dawley rats (Charles River) were used in this experiment. All experiments in the Orsay laboratory were performed in accordance with the recommendation of the European Economic Community (EEC) (86/ 609/EEC) and the French National Committee (87/848) for care and use of laboratory animals. They were housed individually with food and water ad libitum in a temperature-controlled room and on a 12-h light/dark cycle. Animals were anesthetized with urethane (Sigma; 3.5 mL/kg of 40% urethane in saline 0.9% NaCl) and prepared as described previously for acute recording (Doyère et al. 1997). Briefly, concentric stimulating electrodes (300-μm tip separation) were positioned in the medial (MPP) and lateral (LPP) perforant paths (MPP coordinates: 7.8 mm posterior and 4.2 mm lateral to bregma; LPP coordinates: 8.2 posterior, 5.2 lateral). Two recording electrodes, glued on a cannula for local infusion of the peptide and extending ∼0.5 mm from it, were placed in the ipsilateral dentate gyrus (DG coordinates: 4.2 mm posterior, 2.5 mm lateral to bregma). The depth of the electrodes was adjusted to maximize the slope of the positive-going field excitation post-synaptic potential. One of the electrodes was chosen for analysis on the basis of the signal amplitude. A silver ball placed between the skull and the pial surface was used as reference and ground electrodes.

Electrophysiology and experimental procedure

Field potentials evoked by stimulation of either pathway were recorded through field effect transistors and signals were amplified, filtered (band pass 0.1 Hz to 3 kHz) and digitalized at 20 kHz using an ITC-16 computer interface analog–digital (A/D) converter (Instrutech Corp.) coupled to a personal computer (MAC-G4, Apple Macintosh Inc.). Signals were collected using A/Dvance P3.61j software (Robert McKellar Douglas).

Standard convergence and summation tests were performed prior to the experiment in order to ensure that fibers activated by stimulation of the MPP and LPP terminated on a common set of granule cells (McNaughton and Barnes 1977; Abraham and Goodard 1983; Doyère et al. 1997). Best convergence was found when stimuli delivered to LPP preceded the MPP stimulus by 1–3 msec. In order to determine the test intensity, input/output (I/O) curves were also generated for each pathway with stimulation intensities ranging from 200 to 1000 μA (duration of 120 μsec). The EPSP slope was averaged between four traces at each intensity, and the test intensity was set to elicit an EPSP slope of 50% of the maximal slope value. For baseline recordings, field potentials were evoked alternately on the two pathways at 15-sec intervals (i.e., at a frequency of 0.033 Hz for each pathway).

In order to assess local network regulation, medial–medial (m-m) and lateral–lateral (l-l) paired-pulse tests were performed with interstimuli intervals (ISI) of 20, 70, and 500 msec. The delivery of FGL or its inactive form FGL diala (PolyPeptide Laboratories; 1 mg/mL dissolved in saline, 0.3 μL over 5 min) was performed locally (∼500 μm above the recording site) by means of a cannula glued to the recording electrodes and connected to a precision pump (Harvard Apparatus). The local infusion of peptides was performed after 30 min baseline recording. The control groups consisted in recording basal synaptic transmission for 270 min after peptide infusion. In the LTP group, the preparation was allowed to stabilize for 90 min prior to the induction of LTP and the latter was recorded for 180 min. LTP was induced by high-frequency stimulation (HFS) trains consisting of 10 series, 1 min apart, of three trains of pulses (400 Hz, 20 msec) at 1-sec intervals at test intensity.

Experiment 2: Imaging of peptide diffusion

We first verified that the FGL peptide rapidly reached the DG after a single i.v. administration by analyzing immunostaining distribution for FGL antibody at different time points after infusion. In a separate experiment performed in the laboratory in Denmark.
in accordance with European Union legislation and with a license from the Danish Animal Experiments Inspectorate, rats were perfused with saline followed by Zamboni’s fixative either 10, 30, 60, or 120 min (n = 4 for each time point) following a single icv injection into the right lateral ventricle (5 μL of 2 mg/mL at 1 μL/min). Brains were then post-fixed overnight in the same fixative and transferred to 30% sucrose solution in PBS for cryo-protection. Regularly spaced 30 μm coronal sections were then cut and incubated with the following primary antibodies: rabbit anti-FGL (1:3000, Loke Diagnostics) and mouse anti-NeuN (1:200, Chemicon, AH Diagnostics AH).

Immunoreactivity was detected using the corresponding secondary antibodies (1:200, DakoCytomation) conjugated with a fluorescent label (Alexa 488 or Alexa 546) or peroxidase (thr LSAB 2 System-HRP, DakoCytomation) for revelation with DAB (DakoCytomation). Photomicrographs were taken using the Olympus microscope based Visiopharm Integrated System (VIS 2006).

### Experiment 3: Long-term plasticity

#### Surgery

Surgery and placements of the stimulating and recording electrodes were performed as described earlier for the recording of anesthetized rats with the following exceptions: (1) animals were anesthetized with pentobarbital (Ceva; 1 mL/kg in saline) supplemented with tolfedine (Vetoquinol; 0.01 mL/kg in saline); (2) the cannula was placed contralaterally in the lateral ventricle (coordinates: 1.3 mm posterior, 1.6 lateral to bregma) for intrace-roventricular (icv) peptide delivery; (3) the recording electrodes were inserted into a stainless-steel microtube from which they extended ~1.5 mm; (4) the tube served as a reference; (5) stimulation was given only to MPP. All electrodes were then connected to multichannel miniature sockets fixed to the skull with dental acrylic (Dentalon).

#### Electrophysiology and experimental procedure

For data acquisition flexible recording and stimulating cables were passed through a rotating commutator at the top of the recording chamber and the field effect transistors were placed on the connecting sockets. All other parameters were identical to experiment 1.

Twenty-six rats were used in this experiment. They were allowed to recover from surgery in their home cages for 10–15 d after incuba-tion spike, respectively.

#### Analysis of hippocampal progenitor cell proliferation

Neurogenic activity in the adult hippocampus was evaluated in a subgroup of rats perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde, 24 h after LTP induction. Immunohistochemistry against Ki67 protein, a marker of cell proliferation, was used to determine the number of proliferating cells in the DG. Brain coronal sections were treated for endogeneous peroxidases inactivation with 3% H2O2 in 10% methanol/PBS before being blocked with normal goat serum/bovine serum albumin/Tween-20 in PBS-Triton X-100. Incubation with rabbit anti-Ki67 antibody (Neomarkers) diluted 1:2000 in blocking buffer followed for 72 h at 4°C. After incubation with the secondary antibody (goat anti-rabbit IgG-biotin, diluted 1:400 in PBS-T) and avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (Vector Laboratories Elite Kit) diluted 1:500 in PBST, the peroxi-dase immunolabeling was visualized with diaminobenzidine containing nickel. Sections were mounted onto subbed slides, counterstained with Nuclear Fast Red (Vector Laboratories), dehy-drated and coverslipped.

#### Quantification of immunostaining

Labeling and cell-counting procedures were conducted by an experimenter blind to the experimental conditions. Ki67 immunopositive (Ki67+) cells were counted for each animal, on sections spanning the dorsal dentate gyrus ipsilateral to the stimulation (HFS or Pseudo) and spaced 240 μm (series of one-in-six sections; 40 μm thick), as previously described (Trouche et al. 2009), Brain volume comprising the granular and subgranular cell layers of the dentate gyrus was measured in square millimeters on each section with Mercator software (Explora Nova). The sum of all surfaces sampled for each mouse was multiplied with the sections thickness to calculate the sectional volume. It was multiplied with the spacing of the sections to calculate the reference volume. To obtain the total number of Ki67 immunolabeled cells per dentate gyrus, the ratio of counted immunopositive cells per sectional volume was multiplied by the reference volume.

#### Statistical analyses

Data for each condition were pooled irrespective of experimental outcome and are expressed as mean ± SEM. Statistical analyses was performed by ANOVA using Statview 5.0 (SAS Institute Inc.) unless stated otherwise. LTP values were calculated as the change in mean fEPSP slope between the baseline and 20 min of recording either 3 or 24 h after HFS. PTP values were calculated by averaging over a period of 2 min following HFS (starting 15 sec after the last stimulation train), and values were then expressed as the percent of baseline change in mean fEPSP slope. Paired-pulse ratios were calculated using the following formula: (S2/S1 × 100) – 100, where S1 and S2 are the amplitude of the first and second popula-tion spike, respectively.
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