Focal Activation of a Mutant Allele Defines the Role of Stem Cells in Mosaic Skin Disorders
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Abstract. Stem cells are crucial for the formation and maintenance of tissues and organs. The role of stem cells in the pathogenesis of mosaic skin disorders remains unclear. To study the molecular and cellular basis of mosaicism, we established a mouse model for the autosomal-dominant skin blistering disorder, epidermolytic hyperkeratosis (MIM 113800), which is caused by mutations in either keratin K1 or K10. This genetic model allows activation of a somatic K10 mutation in epidermal stem cells in a spatially and temporally controlled manner using an inducible Cre recombinase. Our results indicate that lack of selective pressure against certain mutations in epidermal stem cells leads to mosaic phenotypes. This finding has important implications for the development of new strategies for somatic gene therapy of dominant genodermatoses.
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Introduction

Mosaicism can be defined as a condition in which at least two genetically distinct cell populations from the same differentiation lineage exist within the same tissue. This condition is usually caused by postzygotic mutations. The genes that are mutated and the time point at which these mutations occur determine the type of tissue that will be affected and the extent of involvement. In skin, several mosaic disorders have been described (Itin and Buechner, 1999) and are characterized by “islands” of abnormal skin cells that presumably correspond to a clone of “mutated” cells that arose from a single cell in which the mutation initially occurred (Happle, 1993). The mechanisms that lead to clinical mosaicism are poorly understood. It remains unclear how certain genetic defects lead to a mosaic disease, whereas others never become apparent in the same tissue.

A candidate disease to analyze the mechanisms that determine how genetic mosaicism leads to a clinical phenotype is the keratin disorder epidermolytic hyperkeratosis (EHK), which is characterized by a generalized and a mosaic form (Paller et al., 1994). Keratins are members of the intermediate filament (IF) gene family and are mainly expressed in epithelial cells. They form a cytoskeletal scaffold in keratinocytes of the epidermis to provide stability, thus contributing to the mechanical integrity of the epidermis (Franke et al., 1981). Keratins are grouped into two classes, the acidic type I (K9-K20) and the neutral-basic type II (K1-K8) class (Moll et al., 1982). Keratins are obligate heteropolymers that require equimolar amounts of each type to assemble into IFs (Steinert and Liem, 1990). The expression of keratin genes is highly regulated. The pair of keratins that is expressed is usually specific for the cell type and state of differentiation (Franke et al., 1981; Roop, 1995). In the epidermis, IF assembly is a dynamic process where newly synthesized filaments are integrated into the existing network. In the basal cell compartment, keratins K5 and K14 form the IF cytoskeleton and defects in either keratin are associated with the autosomal-dominant blistering disease, epidermolysis bullosa simplex (EBS; Bonifas et al., 1991; Coulombe et al., 1991; Rothnagel and Roop, 1995). Keratins K1 and K10 are expressed in keratinocytes that are committed to terminal differentiation and point mutations in the corresponding genes have been identified in EHK (Cheng et al., 1992; Chipev et al., 1992; Rothnagel et al., 1992). The majority of mutations in EHK are located in the same codon, affecting an evolutionary highly conserved arginine residue. This site, codon 156 of the keratin 10 gene, has consequently been found to be a “hot spot” for mutations due to CpG methylation and deamination (Rothnagel et al., 1993).

The clinical course of EHK is severe and is characterized by blistering and erythroderma (redness of the skin) at birth,
and development of hyperkeratoses (thickening of the uppermost layer of the epidermis) later in life, predominantly over the flexural folds and in areas exposed to mechanical stress (Diggiovanna, 1999). Histologically, perinuclear vacuolar degeneration, lysis of the suprabasal keratinocytes and a thickened stratum corneum are characteristic findings (Bale et al., 1993). At the ultrastructural level, clumps of keratin filaments are seen in a perinuclear distribution in suprabasal keratinocytes; these clumps have been shown to contain keratins K1 and K10 (Ishida-Yamamoto et al., 1992).

Whereas mosaic forms have been reported for EHK where stripes of affected and unaffected skin alternate (Paller et al., 1994), this has never been reported for EBS. Why do these two keratin disorders behave so differently?

To investigate this question and to study the molecular and cellular basis of mosaic diseases, we established a genetic mouse model for EHK that allows focal activation of a mutant K10 allele using a ligand-inducible Cre recombination (Kellendonk et al., 1996). Induction of the EHK lesions in a circumscribed area of the skin resulted in a phenotype characteristic of mosaic diseases, with patches of affected and unaffected skin. Analysis of dissected keratinocytes from lesional areas demonstrated activation of the mutant allele in epidermal stem cells. We demonstrate that mutant EHK stem cells and wild-type stem cells can coexist in the basal layer of the epidermis, which may explain the persistent “islands” of phenotypic skin. Furthermore, we show that the severity of the clinical phenotype in this mouse model correlates with the expression level of the mutant allele. These findings have important implications for gene therapy approaches for dominant diseases and these strategies can be tested in our model, as it mimics the human disease at the genetic level. Finally, our results indicate that lack of selective pressure against certain mutations in epidermal stem cells could explain how genetic mosaicism results in clinical mosaicism.

Materials and Methods

Gene Targeting and Generation of Transgenic Mice

A BAC clone containing the full-length mouse keratin 10 gene was isolated from a 129 SVJ genomic library (Ine currently available). Two overlapping genomic fragments, a 4-kb EcoRI and a 6-kb BamHI fragment, were fused at an EcoRI site in intron 2 to generate a 4.8-kb BglII-Xhol fragment. The point mutation (CGC→TGC) was introduced by PCR-mediated mutagenesis in the context of a 400-bp BstEI-NdeI fragment, which was then reinserted into the targeting construct, thus replacing the wild-type allele with a sequence coding for cysteine (T→C). A neo cassette (PGKneo) was inserted into a 400-bp BstEI-NdeI fragment, which was then reinserted into the targeting construct, thus replacing the wild-type allele with a sequence coding for cysteine (T→C). A neomycin resistance gene from the genome of targeted ES clones, ES cells were confirmed to be resistant to selected for sensitive in the presence of kanamycin. The point mutation in the context of a 400-bp BstEI-NdeI fragment, which was then reinserted into the targeting construct, thus replacing the wild-type allele with a sequence coding for cysteine (T→C).

Results and Discussion

We have established a mouse model for the skin disease EHK by introducing a K10 mutation, which is found in the majority of human cases of EHK (Rothnagel et al., 1993), into the mouse keratin 10 gene (Krieg et al., 1985). The knock-in/replacement strategy was designed to replace the wild-type amino acid arginine encoded by codon 154 (CGC) with a sequence coding for cysteine (TGC) in ES cells by homologous recombination (Fig. 1). Heterozygous (+/mut neo) and +/mut lox neo mice were derived from ES clones that contain a neomycin (neo) cassette or in which neo had been deleted in vitro before injection into wild-type blastocysts, respectively. These two lines express the mutant allele at different levels, resulting in different phenotypes (see below).

For the inducible system, heterozygous +/mut neo mice were used, as the neo cassette suppresses expression of the tail. Heterozygous pmut neo and +/mut lox neo mice were used, as the neo cassette suppresses expression of the tail.
mutant allele. Consequently, the animals develop a very mild phenotype which is mainly restricted to skin exposed to mechanical stress. Two mouse lines are required for the inducible system, the first line harbors the target sequence flanked by loxP sites (“floxed” neo cassette), and the second line expresses an inducible form of Cre recombinase (Kellendonk et al., 1996). The Cre transgene encodes a fusion protein consisting of Cre recombinase and a truncated form of a progesterone receptor (PR1), which is sequestered in the cytoplasm until activated by topical application of an inducer to the skin (e.g., RU486). Upon ligand binding, the fusion protein translocates to the nucleus, where Cre exerts its effect by excising any sequence that is flanked by loxP sites in the same orientation. In regenerating tissues like the epidermis, the excision event will only be permanent if epidermal stem cells are targeted. Therefore, the CrePR1 construct was placed under the transcriptional control of an epithelial-specific promoter that drives transgene expression in the basal layer of the epidermis, where epidermal stem cells are located (Berton et al., 2000). Bigenic mice were generated by crossing these two lines (+/mutneo.CrePR1). Topical application of RU486 to the ventral side of the trunk and extremities of newborn bigenic mice induced blistering at the site of induction after three to five treatments (Fig. 2 A). After the blisters ruptured, scaling developed in the site of the previous blisters. With the onset of hair growth, scaling diminished, leaving hyperkeratotic areas on the paws (Fig. 2 B). These hyperkeratotic areas persisted and developed into thick, brownish hyperkeratoses as seen in older EHK patients (Digiovanna, 1999). Persistence of the phenotype for 6 mo after the initial RU486 application suggested that Cre-mediated excision of the neo cassette had occurred in epidermal stem cells, as the epidermis is renewed every 8–10 d in mice (Potten et al., 1987).

To investigate this hypothesis, LCM was performed to isolate keratinocytes from persisting hyperkeratotic lesions (Fig. 2 C). As expected, the neo cassette was absent in these cells (Fig. 2 D). This suggested that Cre-mediated excision of the neo cassette and therefore activation of the mutant allele had occurred in epidermal stem cells and that these cells persisted and gave rise to daughter cells
that expressed the mutant allele in the suprabasal layers of the epidermis. Cells from surrounding unduced, clinically normal areas contained the neo cassette. These results clearly demonstrate that keratinocytes containing the neo cassette did not migrate into lesional areas.

Persistent “islands” of phenotypic skin were also observed in chimeric mice derived from +/mut<sup>loxP</sup> ES cell clones, where the neo cassette had been excised in vitro before injection into wild-type blastocysts. These mice exhibited focal keratotic lesions on the paws at birth that developed into thick, brownish hyperkeratoses (Fig. 3, A and B). The focal lesions in both the inducible model system and the chimeras are equivalent to the linear, asymmetric hyperkeratotic areas in humans in the mosaic form of EHK, which is characterized by alternating stripes of affected and unaffected skin that follow the lines of Blaschko (Happle, 1987). It has been shown that the mosaic form is caused by postzygotic K10 mutations that occur during embryogenesis (Paller et al., 1994). Our analysis of the inducible mouse model clearly suggests that in EHK, mutant epidermal stem cells exist side by side with wild-type stem cells. As the mutant K10 allele is not expressed in the basal layer, there is no selection against mutant EHK epidermal stem cells. In contrast, a selection process takes place against defective epidermal stem cells in a mouse model for EBS, when the mutant allele is focally activated in the basal layer (Cao et al., 2001). This could explain why mosaic forms exist for EHK, but not for EBS.

An interesting aspect of the mouse models generated in this study (+/mut<sup>neo</sup>, +/mut<sup>loxP</sup>) is the correlation of a mild phenotype with reduced expression of the mutant EHK allele. Heterozygous mice that contain the point mutation and the neo cassette (+/mut<sup>neo</sup>) exhibit a very mild scaling phenotype due to suppressed expression levels of the mutant allele. RNA analysis revealed that the mRNA from the mutant allele was significantly reduced to 35–40% of the levels of the wild-type allele (data not shown). To confirm that mutant K10 mRNA was efficiently translated into protein, we mated heterozygous +/mut<sup>neo</sup> mice to obtain mice that were homozygous for the mutant allele (mut<sup>neo</sup>/mut<sup>neo</sup>). These mice showed a very severe phenotype at birth with extensive blistering and erosions, and died shortly thereafter (Fig. 4 A). Skin biopsies showed a complete disintegration of the stratum spinosum in lesional areas (Fig. 4 B). Immunofluorescence microscopy revealed abundant K10 expression in the suprabasal layers of the epidermis (Fig. 4 C). As the wild-type K10 allele is not present in this mouse, all of the K10 protein detected is expressed from the mutant alleles. Therefore, the mutant K10 mRNA is translated into protein, but the presence of wild-type K10 in heterozygous +/mut<sup>neo</sup> mice is sufficient to overcome the effects of the reduced level of mutant K10.

Our EHK model has important implications for gene therapy approaches. First, gene therapy approaches will be different for EHK and EBS. Whereas repopulation of a phenotypic area by corrected stem cells is predicted in the case of EBS (Cao et al., 2001), successful approaches for EHK will have to include a strategy that allows selection of genetically corrected epidermal stem cells and ablation of defective EHK stem cells. Recent studies suggest that it may be possible to achieve selection of genetically modified epidermal stem cells by topical selection with cytostatic and antimitotic compounds, such as colchicine (Pfutzner et al., 1999).

Second, in contrast to the general assumption that gene therapy approaches for dominant diseases must either cor-

---

**Figure 3.** Chimeric mice derived from +/mut<sup>loxP</sup> ES cells. Thick hyperkeratoses on the paws at 5 wk (A) and 3 mo of age (B). (C) Normal paw.

**Figure 4.** Gross appearance and skin morphology of mut<sup>neo</sup>/mut<sup>neo</sup> mice. (A) mut<sup>neo</sup>/mut<sup>neo</sup> pup shortly after birth with severe blistering and erosions. (B) Histological analysis of a skin biopsy from A shows a split in the suprabasal layer of the epidermis. Hematoxylin and eosin, bar = 50 µm. (C) Immunolabeling shows abundant mutant K10 (yellow) throughout the disintegrating suprabasal layer against the red K14 background stain. Bars = 50 µm.
rect the mutant allele or completely inhibit its expression, our data suggest that amelioration of the EHK phenotype may be achieved by partial suppression of the mutant allele or overexpression of the normal allele, thus altering the ratio of wild-type to mutant protein. As our previous transgenic studies have not revealed adverse effects from an approximate twofold overexpression of a wild-type K1 allele (Bickenbach et al., 1996), it is intriguing to speculate that overexpression of the wild-type protein two- to threefold might be sufficient to improve the phenotype in EHK patients. This gene therapy approach may also be feasible for other dominant diseases.
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