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Abstract
An experimental was carried out at Wolkite university horticulture laboratory to investigate the effect of different packaging materials on shelf life and quality of banana fruits. The experimental treatments were different packaging materials (banana leaf, straw, card board (carton) and polyethylene bag) and control. The experiment was laid out in a complete randomized design (CRD) with three replications. Data was collected from each packaging materials between the gap of 4 days interval, sample of banana fruits in each treatment was taken to measure color, TSS, physiological weight loss, pH and shelf life. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) for all the recorded parameters of weight loss, pH, Total soluble solid (TSS) and color were showed highly significantly (p<0.01) difference. The highest physiological weight loss (21.74) was recorded at the control (unpackaged) followed by banana leaf (15.59) whereas the lowest weight loss (6.56) was recorded from polyethylene bag. Bananas fruits packed using polyethylene bag, teff straw and banana leaf developed excellent type of colour whereas fruits kept in carton and the control showed dull type of colour. The highest pH value was recorded for bananas packed by banana leaf and teff straw whereas the lowest pH was observed in the control treatment followed by banana treated by carton box and polyethylene bag. pH of the banana fruit increased with the advancement of fruit ripening. the maximum value of TSS was recorded on fruits treated by polyethylene bag (21.36 °Brix) followed by teff straw (20.36 °brix) whereas the minimum value of TSS was recorded in the control (13.88 °Brix).
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1. Introduction
Banana (musa spp) is a member of Musacea family and native to south east Africa. It is the most popular fresh fruit all over the world and its name comes from the Arabic word 'banan', which means finger. Banana is a large perennial herb with leaf sheaths that form the trunk like pseudostem. They vary in height from 1.5-8 m (Hailu et al., 2014). Banana is a very popular fruit due to its low price and high nutritive value. It is consumed both in fresh and cooked form both as ripe and raw fruit. Banana is a rich source of carbohydrate and is rich in vitamins particularly B. It is also a good source of potassium, phosphorus, calcium and magnesium. The fruit is easy to digest, free from fat and cholesterol. It helps in reducing the risk of heart diseases when used regularly and is recommended for patients suffering from high blood pressure, and kidney disorders. Processed products, such as chips, banana puree, jam, jelly, juice, wine and halwa can be made from the fruit. Banana can be utilized for the production of edible vaccine against Hepatitis- B virus (HBV). The plant based vaccine for HBV from edible banana seems to be an economical alternative for human healthcare by many scholars. The total area under banana in the world is 11.13 million ha, producing 97.38 million tons of banana and plantains. India is the largest producer of banana in the world, contributing 24 % to the global production with a total area of 0.565 million ha and production 19.1 million tons reported for the year 2011 (FAO, 2011).

Ethiopia lies in the tropics where vast areas are suitable for banana growing. Banana production in Ethiopia ranges from homestead to large commercial plantations. At present, bananas are the leading fruit crops produced in the country both in terms of area coverage (28,695 ha) and production (1,245,615.60 qt year 1) (CSA, 2004). Banana production in Ethiopia ranges from homestead to large commercial plantations. Banana research focused on varietal development, selecting of disease resistant variety, fertilizer trial, clump management practices and irrigation trials. Even though these pre-harvest practices are compulsory they must be coupled with the postharvest management practices because postharvest losses are of major concerns in many developing countries (Workneh et al., 2011a, 2011b).

There is a potential for export, being located close to important markets, such as Saudi Arabia, Djibouti and Somalia. Despite these facts, marketing of fresh bananas is complicated by postharvest losses. Both the fresh market and processing plants for fruits are located in towns away from farms and producers in rural areas. The quality of the fresh and processed fruit depends on the postharvest handling during harvesting, transportation, and storage, and should be monitored effectively to keep the best quality of fruit at harvest. Lack of storage facilities, limited access to transportation, and risk of high losses, growers are often forced to dispose off their produce over a short period of time (Haidar and Demisse, 2012), which causes an economic loss of banana. Although, the country is experiencing huge postharvest losses of banana, very little or no emphasis is given to...
postharvest handling of perishable produces. In most of the time the traditional packaging method for banana is best packaging in which dried banana leaf and straw of teff are used but the effectiveness of these packaging materials even has not yet been investigated. Modified atmospheric packaging (MAP) has also been found to increase the shelf life of banana fruit. It is often desirable to generate an atmosphere low in O₂ and/or high in CO₂ to influence the metabolism of banana being packaged or the activity of decay-causing organisms to increase storability and/or shelf life. In addition to atmosphere modification, MAP vastly improves moisture retention. Furthermore, packaging isolates the product from the external environment and helps to ensure conditions (Beaudry, 2000).

The quality of banana is reduced and considerable amount is wasted, from harvesting to final consumption. This loss can be kept at minimum by improving postharvest handling techniques through the use of packaging materials or through improving traditional packaging practices. Therefore, this study was aimed at the shelf life extension of banana using an appropriate packaging material. Despite these facts, marketing of fresh produce including bananas is complicated by postharvest losses both in terms of quantity and quality between harvest and consumption. Both the fresh market and processing plants for fruits are located in towns away from farms and producers in rural areas. The quality of the fresh and processed fruit depends on the postharvest handling during harvesting, transportation, and storage, and should be monitored effectively to keep the best quality of fruit at harvest. Because of a rain-fed farming system, lack of storage facilities, limited access to transportation, and risk of high losses, growers in Ethiopia are often forced to dispose of their produce over a short periods of time which causes an economic loss of horticultural crops in general and fruits in particular (Haidar and Demisse 2007; Tigist et al., 2011). Generally, significant proportion of banana fruits have been lost in Ethiopia as well as in Gurage zone due to lack of awareness on effect of packaging materials on shelf life and quality of harvested banana fruit. Hence, it is necessary to assess the effect of different packaging using different local materials on quality and shelf life of banana.

1.2. Objectives
1.2.1. General objective
➢ To evaluate the effect of different packaging materials on shelf life and quality of banana fruit

1.2.2. Specific objective
➢ To identify the best packaging material for increased shelf life and quality of banana

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Description of the study area
The experimental study was carried out at Wolkite university, Horticulture laboratory to evaluate the effect of different packaging materials on shelf life and quality of banana fruits. The experimental laboratory is located in south west of Ethiopia about 170km far from Addis Ababa. It also located about 7.8°-8.5°N latitude and 37.5°-38.7°E longitude. Wolkite comprises an altitude of 1300 meters above sea level (m.a.s.l). The mean annual temperature ranges from 14 to 24°C with an average of 20.5°C. The soil type of the area heavy vertisol around 80% which is reach in organic matter while less capability to drain water. The rainfall of Wolkite is bimodal in which 80% rain fall in summer period of June to August whereas 20% in the belg period of February to May (GZADD, 2011).

2.2. Experimental Materials
Different materials were used for this experiment. Harvested green, physiologically matured banana fruits of "habesha" cultivar was used as experimental material. In addition to this, different packaging materials (teff straw, banana leaf, card board (carton), polyethylene), meter, sensitive balance, refractometer and pH meter were used also for measuring data.

2.3. Treatments and Experimental Design
The treatments were consisted of five different banana fruit packaging materials (teff straw, banana leaf, card board (carton), polyethylene bag) and control treatment. The experiment was laidout in a complete randomized design (CRD) with three replications.

2.4. Experimental Procedure
The experiment was investigated in laboratory condition. Physiologically green mature habesha banana fruit were harvested from wabe research site. Transportation was done during morning and fruits were covered with newspaper to avoid light and heat damage. Uniform fruits were washed with tap water to remove field heat, to reduce microbial population and to get rid of soil particles on the surface of fruits. In laboratory the collected banana was divided into 15 observations. 15 matured banana fruits were packed within each packaging materials and stored in laboratory at room temperature. The samples had uniform size, shape and color. The graded fruits
were packed using each packaging materials and placed or arranged in the storage room with three replication.

Five fruits were packed per treatment and twenty five fruits per replication. A total of 75 fruits were used for all the five packaging materials and three replications.

2.5. Data Collection
Data were collected from banana fruit of different packaging materials on four days interval. The sample of banana fruits in each treatment was taken randomly for assessment. Data was recorded at four days’ interval over the storage period.

2.5.1. Physical Parameters
**Physiological weight loss (TWL):** The initial weight of banana fruits were taken before storing at different packaging materials and records the weight at four days interval. The sum of the weight loss were taken as the four days interval gives total weight loss, which was converted to percentage weight loss using the following formula.

\[
\text{Percent weight loss (\%) = } \frac{\text{Initial weight of banana (g) - weight after interval (g)}}{\text{Initial weight of banana (g)}} \times 100
\]

**Colour:** Colour was measured by comparing with the colour chart described by Dadzie and Orchard (1997). The chart consisted of the seven stage of banana ripening where 1 is dark green, 2 is light green, 3 is more green than yellow, 4 is more yellow than green, 5 is yellow with green tips, 6 is fully yellow, and 7 is flecking (Kader 1992).

2.5.2. Chemical Parameters
**Total soluble solids (°Brix)**
Sample fruits were blended using a juice blender and TSS of the juice was measured by the refractive index, expressed as °Brix, using a bench top digital Refractometer (Model: SN-003007).

**Pulp pH**
The pH of the sample fruit juice was measured using a bench top digital pH meter (model: CP-505, Poland). The pH meter was periodically calibrated with buffer at pH 4.0 and 7.0 before taking the measurements.

2.5.3. Shelf Life
The shelf life of the banana fruits was estimated as the number of days taken to reach the final ripe stage) of the fruit just before it enters the senescence (unmarketable) stage.

2.6. Data Analysis
All data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) by using statisitix 8 software. All means were computed by using Tukey test at 5% level of significance.

3. Results and Discussion

**Analysis of variance**
The analysis of variance (ANOVA) for all the recorded parameters of weight loss, pH, Total soluble solid (TSS) and color were showed highly significantly (p<0.01) difference by different packaging materials.

3.1. Physiological Weight Loss
From the current study weight loss of banana fruit was significantly affected by packaging material. Table 1 showed that there was a significant variation in physiological weight loss between the different treatments in the overall storage period. The highest physiological weight loss (21.74) was recorded at the control (unpacked) followed by banana leaf (15.59) whereas the lowest weight loss (6.56) was recorded from polyethylene bag. Weight loss of fresh fruits is primarily due to transpiration and respiration (Tadesse, 2011). This is indirectly influenced by packaging material. In polyethylene bag there is high relative humidity, low respiration rate and low concentration of temperature, so it reduce the weight loss of banana fruit (Blakely, 2011). Low temperature storage reduces the rate of respiration and ethylene production resulting in retarded physiological weight loss and an extended shelf life (Hoffman et al., 2002a; Perez et al., 2004; Workneh and Osthoff, 2010; Getnet et al., 2011). Generally, fruits kept in plastic films had the lowest weight loss than banana fruits kept in the other packaging materials and the control. The loss associated with control fruits during the storage period under ambient condition. This result agreed with Thompson (2001) who reported that weight loss of fruits in polyethylene bags was far low than from unpackaged fruits.

3.2. Peel Color
Packaging material had highly significant (P ≤ 0.01) effect on the change in peel colour of banana fruits during the storage period (Table 1). Bananas fruits packed using polyethylene bag, teff straw and banana leaf developed excellent type of colour whereas fruits kept in carton and the control showed dull type of colour. Dadzie and Orchard (2007) reported that the market quality and consumer acceptance of banana are significantly influenced by the colour of the fruit. Thus, attractive yellow colour could be obtained by packaging of banana fruits in...
plastic bags, the most effective and acceptable packaging material when compared to others. This result is in line with Dadzie and Orchard (2007) who showed that attractive yellow colour could be obtained by packaging banana fruits in plastic bags, the most effective and acceptable packaging material when compared to others.

### Table 1. Mean comparison for weight loss and color of banana fruit as influenced by packaging material

| Treatments       | Weight Loss (g) | Color | Over all mean |
|------------------|-----------------|-------|---------------|
|                  | Day 4 | Day 8 | Day 12 | Day 16 | Day 8 | Day 12 | Day 16 | Day 8 | Day 12 | Day 16 |
| Polyethylene bag | 0.52  | 3.13  | 8.26   | 14.18  | 6.56<sup>d</sup> | 5.42  | 6.11   | 6.98   | 6.17<sup>a</sup> |
| Carton box       | 1.82  | 5.74  | 11.18  | 27.75  | 11.55<sup>c</sup> | 3.1   | 4.95   | 6.87   | 4.98<sup>b</sup> |
| Banana leaf      | 3.10  | 7.06  | 14.13  | 38.08  | 15.59<sup>b</sup> | 4.95  | 5.09   | 5.87   | 5.09<sup>b</sup> |
| Teff straw       | 2.32  | 6.59  | 14.12  | 33.42  | 14.11<sup>bc</sup> | 4.93  | 6.01   | 4.70   | 5.21<sup>a</sup> |
| Control          | 6.19  | 12.94 | 17.92  | 50.72  | 21.74<sup>a</sup> | 4.05  | 4.83   | 5.84   | 4.94<sup>c</sup> |
| LSD              |       |       |        |        | 3.12  |       |       | 2.75   |       |
| CV (%)           | 6.78  | 9.87  | 5.89   | 10.76  | 9.85  | 5.6    | 11.2   | 6.88   | 5.79   |

#### 3.3. pH
Changes in pH of the fruits treated at different packaging material are shown in Table 2. The highest pH value was recorded for bananas packed by banana leaf and teff straw whereas the lowest pH was observed in the control treatment followed by banana treated by carton box and polyethylene bag. In general, pH of the banana fruit increased with the advancement of fruit ripening. Since acidity of the fruits is due to various organic acids that are consumed during respiration (Albertini et al., 2006). The acidity thus decreased with advancing maturity or increasing storage duration with a corresponding increase in fruit pH (Moneruzzaman et al., 2009). Amino acid has also been showed to be the main acid in banana juice, with pH of fruit normally between 6.2 and 6.7 (Babitha and Kiranmayi, 2010).

#### 3.4. Total soluble solid (°brix)
Statistically significant variation was observed among treatments on total soluble solids (TSS). Thus, the maximum value of TSS was recorded on fruits treated by polyethylene bag (21.36 °brix) followed by teff straw (20.36 °brix) whereas the minimum value of TSS was recorded in the control (13.88 °brix). Increase of TSS content was observed in the present investigation agrees with the report by Abdullah et al. (2015) which confirms TSS of fruits increase along the storage time. The increased TSS value might be due to the physiological aspects of banana fruits, suppressed respiration and metabolic processes, which involved increasing TSS at different magnitudes (Awoke et al., 2012).

### Table 2. Mean comparison for pH and total soluble solid of banana fruit as influenced by packaging material

| Treatments       | pH     | Over all mean | TSS    | Over all mean |
|------------------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|
|                  | Day 8  | Day 12 | Day 16 | Day 8  | Day 12 | Day 16 | Day 8  | Day 12 | Day 16 |
| Polyethylene bag | 4.16   | 5.096 | 4.47   | 21.36<sup>a</sup> | 20.41 | 22.41 | 21.27 | 21.36<sup>a</sup> |
| Carton box       | 4.40   | 5.123 | 4.18   | 17.52<sup>c</sup> | 17.17 | 18.40 | 16.98 | 17.52<sup>c</sup> |
| Banana leaf      | 4.883  | 5.81  | 4.753  | 15.91<sup>d</sup> | 4.48  | 17.27 | 15.96 | 15.91<sup>d</sup> |
| Teff straw       | 4.567  | 4.986 | 4.50   | 20.36<sup>b</sup> | 9.31  | 21.53 | 20.23 | 20.36<sup>b</sup> |
| Control          | 4.18   | 4.213 | 3.856  | 13.88<sup>c</sup> | 5.35  | 14.15 | 11.47 | 13.88<sup>c</sup> |
| LSD              |        |        |        |        | 5.76  |      |      | 16.3   |
| CV (%)           | 5.99   |        |        |        |      |      |      | 7.44   |

#### 3.5. Shelf Life
Shelf life is the period from harvesting up to the last edible stage (Sewed et al., 2006). The shelf life of a product depends on initial quality of the food products, amount of quality change that can be allowed, prevailing environmental condition, and brakes properties of the packaging materials, and compatibility between food product and packaging (Esa et al., 2015). Significant variation was obtained among the treatment combination in relation to shelf life extension of bananas. The banana fruit treated by polyethylene bag showed the longest shelf life then followed by carton. The lowest shelf life had been recorded on non packaging (control). Similar results were also obtained by the effects of different postharvest treatments used in the investigation were significant in respect of prolonging the shelf life of banana. Control banana fruits showed the lowest shelf life as compared to those treated.

#### 4. Conclusion
The quality of the fresh and processed fruit depends on the postharvest handling during harvesting, transportation, and storage, and should be monitored effectively to keep the best quality of fruit at harvest. Significant proportion of banana fruits have been lost in Ethiopia as well as in Gurage zone due to lack of
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awareness on effect of packaging materials on shelf life and quality of harvested banana fruit. Hence, it is necessary to assess the effect of different packaging using different local materials on quality and shelf life of banana. Therefore, the current study is conducted with the objectives of to evaluate the effect of different packaging materials on shelf life and quality of banana fruit and identify the best packaging material. The experimental study was carried out at Wolkite University, Horticulture laboratory to evaluate the effect of different packaging materials on shelf life and quality of banana fruits.

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) for all the recorded parameters of weight loss, pH, Total soluble solid (TSS) and color were showed highly significantly (p <0.01) difference by packaging materials. The highest physiological weight loss (21.74) was recorded at the control (unpackaged) followed by banana leaf (15.59) whereas the lowest weight loss (6.56) was recorded from polyethylene bag. Bananas fruits packed using polyethylene bag, teff straw and banana leaf developed excellent type of colour whereas fruits kept in carton and the control showed dull type of colour. The highest pH value was recorded for bananas packed by banana leaf and teff straw whereas the lowest pH was observed in the control treatment followed by banana treated by carton box and polyethylene bag. pH of the banana fruit increased with the advancement of fruit ripening. the maximum value of TSS was recorded on fruits treated by polyethylene bag (21.36 °Brix) followed by teff straw (20.36 °brix) whereas the minimum value of TSS was recorded in the control (13.88 °Brix).

Generally, we can conclude that polyethylene bag can provide increased shelf life and maintain good quality of banana fruit after harvesting. Therefore, using polyethylene bag is important for growers (farmers), wholesalers, retailers and consumers for maintaining banana quality and longer fruit shelf life.

5. Recommendation
Banana is highly perishable fruit. There are different factors that affect the shelf life of banana, like environmental condition (climacteric condition and temperature). Doing only on the effect of packaging material shelf life may not be sufficient, since it is also affected by other factors. therefore, from this single experiment it is impossible to give a conclusive recommendation. Therefore, further research investigation should be made by including advanced packaging materials with treating gents in different times to come up with conclusive recommendation.
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7. Appendices

Appendix 1: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for weight loss

| Source of variation | DF | SS  | MS  | F    | P    |
|---------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|
| Treatment           | 4  | 370.21 | 92.55 | 49.1** | 0.0000 |
| Error               | 10 | 18.84 | 1.88 |      |      |
| Total               | 14 | 389.05 |     |      |      |

Appendix 2: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for pH

| Source of variation | DF | SS  | MS  | F    | P    |
|---------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|
| Treatment           | 4  | 1.77 | 0.44 | 5.76* | 0.0114 |
| Error               | 10 | 0.77 | 0.077 |      |      |
| Total               | 14 | 2.54 |     |      |      |

Appendix 3: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for TSS

| Source of variation | DF | SS  | MS  | F    | P    |
|---------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|
| Treatment           | 4  | 114.79 | 28.7 | 16.3** | 0.0002 |
| Error               | 10 | 17.57 | 1.75 |      |      |
| Total               | 14 | 132.36 |     |      |      |

Appendix 4: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for Color

| Source of variation | DF | SS  | MS  | F    | P    |
|---------------------|----|-----|-----|------|------|
| Treatment           | 4  | 3.25 | 0.81 | 9.00** | 0.0023 |
| Error               | 10 | 0.9 | 0.09 |      |      |
| Total               | 14 | 4.03 |     |      |      |