X-ray and radio variability in the low luminosity Active Galactic Nucleus NGC 7213
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ABSTRACT

We present the results of a $\sim$ 3 year campaign to monitor the low luminosity active galactic nucleus (LLAGN) NGC 7213 in the radio (4.8 and 8.4 GHz) and X-ray bands (2-10 keV). With a reported X-ray Eddington ratio of $7 \times 10^{-4} L_{\text{Edd}}$, NGC 7213 can be considered to be comparable to a hard state black hole X-ray binary. We show that a weak correlation exists between the X-ray and radio light curves. We use the cross-correlation function to calculate a global time lag between events in the X-ray and radio bands to be $24 \pm 12$ days lag (8.4 GHz radio lagging X-ray), and $40 \pm 13$ days lag (4.8 GHz radio lagging X-ray). The radio-radio light curves are extremely well correlated with a lag of $20.5 \pm 12.9$ days (4.8 GHz lagging 8.4 GHz). We explore the previously established scaling relationship between core radio and X-ray luminosities and black hole mass $L_R \propto M^{0.6-0.8} L_X^{0.6}$, known as the ‘fundamental plane of black hole activity’, and show that NGC 7213 lies very close to the best-fit ‘global’ correlation for the plane as one of the most luminous LLAGN. With a large number of quasi-simultaneous radio and X-ray observations, we explore for the first time the variations of a single AGN with respect to the fundamental plane. Although the average radio and X-ray luminosities for NGC 7213 are in good agreement with the plane, we show that there is intrinsic scatter with respect to the plane for the individual data points.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The observable properties of active galactic nuclei (AGN) and black hole X-ray binaries (BHXBs) are consequences of accretion on to a black hole at a variety of rates, in a variety of ‘states’, and within a variety of environments. The major difference between the aforementioned classes of object is the black hole mass. BHXBs typically have a black hole mass $\sim 10 M_\odot$ while for AGN it is $10^6 M_\odot \lesssim M \lesssim 10^{10} M_\odot$. Theoretically, the central accretion processes should be relatively straightforward to scale with mass, and this is supported by several observed correlations. These include a relation between the X-ray and radio luminosities and the black hole mass (Merloni, Heinz & di Matteo 2003; Falcke, Körding & Markoff 2004), and between X-ray variability timescales, mass accretion rate and mass (McHardy et al. 2006). More quantitative similarities between accretion ‘states’ and radio jet production have also been demonstrated (Körding, Jester & Fender 2006; for the current picture of accretion states in BHXBs and their relation to radio jets see Fender, Belloni & Gallo 2004).

Studying the delays between different emission regions gives us a further handle on the scalability of black hole accretion, as signals propagate from, for example, the accretion flow to the jet. Variability studies have so far shown that a correlation exists between the X-ray and optical emitting regions of both BHXBs and AGN, typically reporting small lags, which are consistent with at least some of the
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optical variations being due to X-ray heating of the disc (Russell et al. 2009; Breedt et al. 2009). A recent study by Casella et al. (2010) has shown that a correlated time lag of $\sim 100$ ms exists between the X-ray and IR regions (IR lagging X-rays) for the BHXRB GX339-4, indicating a close coupling between the hot accretion flow and inner regions of the jet. In the case of the BHXRB GRS 1915+105 a variable X-ray to radio lag of $\sim 30$ mins (radio lagging X-ray) has been measured (Pooley & Fender 1997; Fender et al. 1999). Discrete ejection events have been resolved in both the AGN 3C120 (Marchew et al. 2002) and GRS 1915+105 (Pooley & Fender 1997; Fender et al. 1999).

The linear scaling with mass of the characteristic timescale around a black hole means that there are advantages to studying each class of object. In BHXRBs we can track complete outburst cycles, from the onset of disc instabilities through major ejection events, radio-quiet disc-dominated states, and a return to quiescence, on humanly-observable timescales (typically years). For a typical AGN the equivalent cycle may take many millions of years. However, for an AGN we are able to resolve individual variations of the source on time-scales that are comparable to or shorter than the shortest physical time-scales in the system (e.g. the dynamical time-scale), something which is currently impossible for BHXRBs. In ‘black hole time’ we are able to observe the evolution of sources in fast-forward for BHXRBs and in detailed slow-motion for AGN.

In this paper we present the results of a long term ($\sim 3$ years) regular monitoring campaign in the X-ray and radio bands of the low luminosity active galactic nucleus (LLAGN) NGC 7213. Previous X-ray studies show that NGC 7213 is accreting at a low rate $\sim 7 \times 10^{-4} \text{L}_\text{Edd}$ (Starling et al. 2003). The hard state in BHXRBs is typically observed at bolometric luminosities below $\sim 1\%$ Eddington, and seems to be ubiquitous associated with a quasi-steady jet. Above $\sim 1\%$, sources can switch to a softer X-ray state, the jets are suppressed (Maccarone, Gallo, & Fender 2003; Dunn et al. 2004), furthermore transition to this softer state is usually associated with major transient ejection events. As NGC 7213 is considerably below $1\%$ Eddington $\sim 1\%$ we therefore consider it a good candidate for comparison with other BHXRBs in the low/hard state. If we consider AGN to be ‘scaled up’ versions of BHXRBs by exploring the time lag between the X-ray and radio emitting regions we can compare, contrast and hopefully relate the accretion and jet production scenarios for AGN and BHXRBs.

A correlation has been established by Corbel et al. (2003) and Gallo et al. (2003, 2006) relating the radio luminosity ($L_R$) and X-ray luminosity ($L_X$) for BHXRBs in the low/hard and quiescent states, where $L_R \propto L_X^{0.6}$ (Merloni, Heinz, & di Matteo 2003) - hereafter MHDm03 and Falcke, Körding, & Markoff (2004) extended the BHXRB relationship using two samples of AGN to form the ‘fundamental plane of black hole activity’. By accounting for the black hole mass (M) the relationship $L_R \propto L_X^{0.6}$ has been extended to cover many orders of magnitude in black hole mass and luminosity. Further refinements were made to the fundamental plane by Körding, Falcke, & Corbel (2006) - hereafter KFC06, using an augmented and updated sample to examine the fitting parameters.

Throughout this paper we define the ‘intrins’ behaviour of AGN and BHXRBs as multiple measurements (in the radio and X-ray) of the same source. We define the ‘global’ behaviour as single (or average) measurements of multiple sources, both with respect to the fundamental plane.

For the BHXRBs in the low/hard state the relationship described above has not only been established globally but in some cases intrinsically, i.e. GX 339-4, V404 Cyg and a small number of other systems have been shown to move up and down the correlation seen in the fundamental plane (Fender et al. 2007). However, in recent years an increasing number of outliers have been found below the correlation, i.e. less radio-loud than expected (Xue & Cui (2007); Gallo (2007); Calvelo et al. (2010)) as well as some sources which move in the plane with a different slope (e.g Jonker et al. (2010)). To date the correlation found from the fundamental plane has only been measured globally for AGN, not intrinsically. Note, with respect to the global measurements of the AGN population, the specific measurements of the radio and X-ray flux used in the correlation are sometimes taken at different times and thus could be a source of error in the correlation (Körding, Falcke, & Corbel 2006).

As well as establishing the time lags, another goal of this work, is to establish, through quasi-simultaneous observations the intrinsic relationship between $L_R$, $L_X$ and $M$ observed in an LLAGN and its relevance to the fundamental plane of black hole activity. We use the MHDm03 and KFC06 samples for comparison both with an updated BHXRB sample taken from Fender, Gallo & Russell (2010) - hereafter FGR10. We also explore the possible scatter in AGN data points away from the fundamental plane and place limits on this deviation.

2 NGC 7213 BACKGROUND

NGC 7213 is a face-on Sa galaxy hosting a Seyfert 1.5 nucleus located at a distance of 25 Mpc assuming $H_0=71 \text{km s}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-1}$. Narrow low ionisation emission lines are observable in its nuclear spectrum, also making it a member of the LINER class (Hameed et al. 2001).

The radio properties of NGC 7213 are intermediate between those of radio-loud and radio-quiet AGN. Previous radio studies at 8.4 GHz have not resolved any jet emission from the nucleus on scales 3 mas to 1 arcsec (Bransford et al. 1998, Thuan et al. 2001, Blank, Harnett, & Jones 2003). The Long Baseline Array (LBA) is an Australian six station VLBI instrument (Tzioumis 1997): LBA observations at 1.4 and 0.843 GHz have shown some evidence for larger scale emission that could be due to jet-fed radio lobes (Blank, Harnett, & Jones 2003). However, as the nucleus remains unresolved it could be that the jet is oriented to some degree in the direction of the observer. As suggested by Blank, Harnett, & Jones (2003), the lower frequency emission could possibly be associated with a ‘kink’ in the jet at a larger distance. This could be consistent with a general model proposed by Falcke, Patnaik, & Sherwood (1996) where radio-intermediate objects are in fact radio -quiet objects whose jets are to some extent aligned in the observers direction and relativistically boosted.

Previous X-ray studies have so far failed to show significant evidence for a soft X-ray excess; Compton reflection;
or a broad Fe Kα line in the NGC 7213 spectrum e.g. see Bianchi et al. (2003), Bianchi et al. (2008), Starling et al. (2005). A narrow Fe Kα line is observed and Bianchi et al. (2008) show evidence that it is produced in the broad line region (BLR). Starling et al. (2005) also report that the expected UV bump is either absent or extremely weak. The weakness or absence of these signatures suggests that the inner accretion disc is missing, perhaps replaced by an advection dominated accretion flow (ADAF) (as suggested by Starling et al. (2005)) or similar hot flow, consistent with the low/hard state interpretation of NGC 7213.

Blank, Harnett, & Jones (2005) estimate the black hole mass of NGC 7213 using the nuclear velocity dispersion calculation of Nelson & Whittle (1994) and the velocity-dispersion versus black-hole-mass relationship of Ferrarese & Merritt (2000) to obtain $9.6 ^{+0.1}_{-0.1} \times 10^7 M_\odot$.

3 OBSERVATIONS

3.1 ATCA data analysis

Bi-weekly monitoring was obtained with the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA). The interferometer setup was such that 128 channels of 1 MHz bandwidth were used to form two continuum channels centred at 4.8 and 8.4 GHz respectively. The radio observations have been reduced using the MIRIAD radio reduction package (Sault, Teuben, & Wright 1995). Flux and bandpass calibration was achieved using (in most cases) PKS J1939-6342 (B1934-638) and for the phase calibration PKS J2218-5038 (B2215-508).

A variety of fitting techniques were then tested to extract the flux density of the source from the observations. These included testing point and Gaussian fitting to the source in the image and uv plane. As ATCA is an East-West array and observations were short in duration (~2 hours) an elongated synthesised beam was typically produced. Image-plane fitting often failed to converge and/or produced non-physical results. Therefore fitting was discarded in the image plane for the more reliable uv plane method. The final radio light curves and spectral indexes (between the radio bands only) are shown in figure 1.

To complement the snapshot observations a full 12 hour integration was performed on 2008 June 29; the purpose of which was to explore the polarisation properties of the jet, and to detect any faint extended structure. Additional steps were taken to ensure adequate polarisation calibration was performed. This observation was also used to make a deep image of the source; beyond the 0.5″ beam no weak extended radio emission was observed above a noise level $\sigma = 78 \mu Jy$ at 4.8 GHz.

3.2 RXTE data analysis

Daily monitoring was obtained using 1 ksec snapshots obtained with the Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer (RXTE) Proportional Counter Array (PCA), allowing a long-term light curve to be obtained in the 2-10 keV band. The data were reduced using FTOOLS v6.8, using standard extraction methods and acceptance criteria. The background was calculated from the most recent background models which corrects for the recent problems with the RXTE SAA (South Atlantic Anomaly) history file. The final 2-10 keV fluxes were calculated by fitting a power law to the observed spectra. This allows us to take into account changes in the instrumental gain over the duration of the monitoring. The final unbinned X-ray light curve is shown in figure 1 and is used in all subsequent analysis.

4 RESULTS

4.1 X-ray/radio light curves and cross-correlation

Figure 1 shows X-ray flux (top panel), radio flux (middle panels) and radio spectral index $\alpha$ where $S_\nu \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$ (bottom panel) versus time. The X-ray light curve shows a general decrease in flux until MJD 54600. Two distinct X-ray flares are observed at MJD 53920 and MJD 54390, both appear to be correlated with events in the radio bands.

We use the Discrete Correlation Function (DCF) method of Edelson & Krolik (1988) to calculate the cross-correlation coefficients between the entire X-ray and radio bands to find the lag. To calculate the centroid lag $\tau_{\text{cent}}$, we use a weighted mean of the positive lags above an 85% threshold of the peak DCF value. We use $\tau_{\text{cent}}$ rather than the peak value $\tau_{\text{peak}}$, because the centroid has been shown to better represent the physical lag (Koratkar & Gaskell 1991).
It has been shown that it is difficult to interpret $\tau_{\text{peak}}$ as a physical quantity, and if it is used to calculate the lag, it usually offers an underestimate when compared with $\tau_{\text{cent}}$ (Peterson et al. 1998). The centroid width is calculated as

$$\tau_{\text{cent}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i c_i \tau_i$$

(1)

Where $c_i$ and $\tau_i$ are the DCF coefficients and lags, $\tau_{\text{cent}}$ is the centroid lag. As the DCF coefficients are only defined for a given lag, we interpolate to find the peak correlation coefficient $\text{DCF}_{\text{max}}$ at the centroid lag.

Figure 2 show the DCFs for the X-ray-8.4 GHz and X-ray-4.8 GHz bands respectively. We find a lag of $\tau_{\text{cent}} = 24 \pm 12$ days at $\text{DCF}_{\text{max}} = 0.56$ for X-ray-8.4 GHz and $\tau_{\text{cent}} = 40 \pm 13$ days at $\text{DCF}_{\text{max}} = 0.7$ for X-ray-4.8 GHz. The time lags taken from figure 2 are summarised in table 1. The errors in the lag are calculated using the flux randomisation/random subset selection (FR/RSS) method of Peterson et al. (1998). For $10^4$ samples, we randomly reduce the number of data points in our original X-ray and radio light curves by 37% and then re-calculate the DCF. We take the centroid lag from each of the $10^4$ simulations and use the rms spread in the distribution of centroid lags to obtain the quoted errors. Note that since the radio light curves are strongly correlated with one another, the statistical errors on the lags are not independent between the two bands, so that the difference in lag between the two radio bands with respect to X-rays is likely to be real (this is also highlighted by the radio-radio correlation, see below).

To ascertain a confidence level in the DCF calculation we used the method of Timmer & Koenig (1995) to generate Monte-Carlo simulations of un-correlated red-noise light curves. We assumed a broken power-law-shape power spectrum with low-frequency slope -1, high-frequency slope of -2.3 and break frequency $\nu = 0.012$ d$^{-1}$, based on the best-fitting model to fit the X-ray power spectrum (Summons, private communication). We used this model to generate $10^4$ random X-ray light curves and cross-correlated them with the real radio light curves in both bands. We then used these correlations to estimate the distribution of cross-correlation values at each lag to obtain a local confidence level, corresponding to the CCF value which exceeds $P$ per-cent of the simulated CCF values at that lag. From the simulations we plot on figure 2 the 95% and 99% local confidence levels: we therefore assign a local confidence of >95% for both of the peaks with the 4.8 GHz peak reaching close to 99%.

It is important to note however, that without a priori expectation of what the lag should be, it is more statistically rigorous to estimate the significance of the correlation using the ‘global significance’, which is the fraction of simulated random light curves which show CCFs at better than the observed confidence level for any given lag. For example, to estimate the global significance of a peak which appears at the 99% local confidence level, we search the $10^4$ simulated CCFs for any peak values (at any lag) which exceed the 99% local confidence level for that lag. The fraction of CCFs which do not show such a peak defines the global significance level for the correlation observed at the given local confidence level. In this way, we account for the fact that we are effectively searching over a broad range in lags, and so are sampling from a larger population of potential ‘false positives’ than we would expect from searching for correlations at a single lag.

We find for the entire range of computed lags (-300 to +300 days) the global confidence levels at 4.8 GHz are 82.0% (at 99% local significance) and 56.2% (at 95% local significance); at 8.4 GHz, 77.1% (at 99% local significance) and 46.0% (at 95% local significance). If we restrict the range of lags to positive only (> 0 days) we find at 4.8 GHz, 91.0% (at 99% local significance) and 74.7% (at 95% local significance); at 8.4 GHz, 86.6% (at 99% local significance) and 63.3% (at 95% local significance).
Table 1. Summary of the lag times which have been calculated using the Discrete Correlation Function for the X-ray-8.4 GHz and X-ray-4.8 GHz light curves. We used the z-transformed discrete correlation function to calculate the lag for the 8.4 GHz-4.8 GHz and flare 1 only light curves.

| Time (MJD) | X-ray-8.4 GHz Lag (days) | X-ray-4.8 GHz Lag (days) | 8.4 GHz-4.8 GHz Lag (days) |
|------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| All        | 24 ± 12 (DCF_{max}=0.56) | 40 ± 13 (DCF_{max}=0.7)  | 20.5 ± 12.9 (ZDCF_{max}=0.81) |
| Flare 1 (53800-54000) | 14 ± 11 (DCF_{max}=0.78) | 35 ± 16 (DCF_{max}=0.73) | -                         |

Figure 3. The z-transformed discrete cross-correlation (ZDCF) function between the 8.4 GHz and 4.8 GHz light curves (4.8 GHz lagging 8.4 GHz). A centroid peak of 20.5 ± 12.9 days is found at ZDCF_{max}=0.81.

We note that in the case where we do not restrict the lag to lie within any given range, the global significance is low. For example, it is not surprising that the 8.4 GHz versus X-ray CCF shows two peaks at greater than 95% local confidence, since the corresponding global confidence at this level is only 56%, so we expect a spurious peak in nearly half of the CCFs sampled from random light curves. However the peak corresponding to a negative lag of ~140 days (i.e. radio leading X-ray) cannot easily be explained with any physical model. When we presume that there must be a positive lag (radio lagging X-ray) - as we would indeed predict based on our current understanding of the accretion disk/jet - this global significance level increases. Put another way, it is statistically unlikely that a spurious correlation should appear in the range of lags which matches our physical expectations, as seems to be the case here. Therefore, we assume for the remainder of the paper that the correlation is real. Note that if the correlation is real, the lags can be well-determined: the significance of a lag and the significance of a correlation itself are unrelated quantities, since a lag can be very well determined from just a single well-sampled ‘event’ (flare or dip) in red noise data, if the data are indeed correlated. We will explore possible complexities in the disk/jet connection with respect to our calculated lags in section 5.1.

Figure 4. Top Panel: Light curve of archival X-ray data points in the 2-10 keV range. Flux values cited in ○1=(Halpern & Filippenko 1984), △2 = (Turner & Pounds 1989), ◦3 = (Nandra & Pounds 1994), ⊲4=ASCA using the tarturus database (Turner et al. 2001), ⊳5 = (Bianchi et al. 2008). Bottom Panel: Light curve of archival radio data points at 8.4 GHz. Flux values cited in △1 = (Slee et al. 1994), ○2=(Thean et al. 2001), ◦3 = (Bransford et al. 1998) and ⊲4 = (Blank, Harnett, & Jones 2005).

4.2 Radio/radio cross-correlation

To calculate the cross-correlation between the two radio bands we used the z-transformed discrete correlation function (ZDCF) of Alexander (1997), see figure 3. Note that the two radio light curves are sampled at the same time: as noted in Edelson & Krolik (1988); to keep the normalisation correct for the DCF we need to omit the zero lag pairs as the errors between the two bands are correlated. Therefore for convenience (and as the two methods are comparable) we switch to the ZDCF which omits zero lagged pairs. We calculate the errors using the same method as described in section 4.1. We thus find $\tau_{ cent} = 20.5 \pm 12.9$ days which is...
consistent with the difference in lags between X-ray-4.8 GHz and X-ray-8.4 GHz.

4.3 X-ray/radio cross-correlation when flaring

We split the light curve up into data points surrounding the first flare at MJD 53920, which is summarised in table 1. We then calculated the ZDCF to ascertain an accurate measurement of the lag for this flare only. We find $\tau_{\text{cent}} = 14 \pm 11$ days for X-ray-8.4 GHz, and $\tau_{\text{cent}} = 35 \pm 16$ days for X-ray-4.8 GHz. Both lag times are below the global lag for the entire light curve. We do not perform this analysis for the second flare at MJD 54380 as it was only sparsely sampled in the radio.

4.4 Long term variability

The long term X-ray variability, covering a time-range of about 30 years, is plotted in the upper panel of figure 4. Archival data were searched for in the literature from the instruments Einstein (Halpern & Filippenko 1984), EXOSAT (Turner & Pound 1980), Ginga (Nandra & Pound 1994), ASCA using the Tartarus database (Turner et al. 2001) and XMM - Newton (Bianchi et al. 2003). Around MJD 44400 (~1980) a sharp flare which is brighter than the flares visible in our dataset was observed. Since then the flux has been gradually decreasing.

The long term radio variability at 8.4 GHz is plotted in the lower panel of figure 4. The flux values were taken from a variety of instruments and publications (which are referenced on the plot). In order of increasing time the first point on the plot was taken from Slee et al. (1994) using the Parkes-Tidbinbilla interferometer (PTI). Then near simultaneous data points were taken with the VLA (Thean et al. 2001) and then ATCA (Bransford et al. 1998). The flux from the long baseline array (LBA) observation by Blank, Harnett, & Jones (2003) was the last point plotted prior to the ATCA monitoring which is presented in this paper.

When comparing the flux expected from the start of our ATCA monitoring with the LBA data point, the LBA point seems too low. The core is un-resolved with all of the radio telescopes described above. The PTI observations constitutes the first data point in figure 4 and the LBA the last (before ATCA monitoring). Note, the Parkes-Tidbinbilla baseline is part of the LBA network. Blank, Harnett, & Jones (2003) comment that in the LBA observations, no decrease in flux was observed with respect to baseline length i.e it was not resolved; they conclude from this that the decrease in flux with respect to the other observations is accurate.

However, both the PTI and the LBA are not sensitive to the same spatial resolutions as the VLA and ATCA (the largest ATCA baseline is 6km and the shortest LBA baseline used in the archival observation is 113km). It is possible that some flux (on ATCA equivalent baselines) is not accounted for: which could plausibly give a decrease in flux i.e indicating brighter, larger scale structure. The logical consequence of this is that the PTI data point is also missing some flux as it samples on a singular baseline of 275km. We will discuss these measurements within the context of the fundamental plane and attempt to draw some conclusions in section 5.2

4.5 Linear polarisation

A full 12 hour synthesis ATCA observation was performed on 2008 June 29 at 8.4 and 4.8 GHz. The purpose of this observation was to perform a reliable polarisation calibration to ascertain an accurate measurement of the percentage linear polarised (LP) flux from the source. Bower, Falcke, & Mellon (2002) showed that from a sample of 11 LLAGN the mean LP was $\sim 0.2\%$. Bignall et al. (2004) from a survey of 22 blazars report a mean LP $\sim 3\%$ with a standard deviation $\sigma = 1.5$. At the time of observation the polarisation of NGC 7213 was $< 0.1\%$ at 4.8 and 8.4 GHz respectively, which is more consistent with the reported value of typical LLAGN and not blazars. The percentage polarisation was calculated at other epochs, however without a full 12 hour synthesis parallactic angle calibration the values were often suspect and will not be presented here.

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 X-ray/radio jet connection

We have shown that a weak but statistically significant delay exists between the X-ray and radio emitting regions, with the radio lagging behind the X-ray. A number of models have been proposed to explain and interpret the X-ray to radio lag in both BHXRBs and AGN. The most notable of these are the ‘internal shock model’ (Blandford & Konigl 1978; Rees 1978) and a ‘plasmon model’ (van der Laan 1966). We will briefly explore these models and comment on the relevance - if any - to our data.

We first consider a plasmon model. After the accretion mechanism(s) have pushed matter into the jet, an adiabatically expanding initially self-absorbed synchrotron emitting plasmon travels at relativistic speeds down the path of the jet. At a given time and frequency the matter becomes optically thin and is ‘detectable’ at that given frequency (in this case either 8.4 and 4.8 GHz). If the jet is fed at a constant mass rate, density and velocity the delay time for material to become optically thin will be constant. If these parameters are not constant the delay between X-ray and radio will be variable (e.g. see van der Laan (1966)) assuming that the disk and the jet are indeed coupled (Falcke et al. 2009). Note, the higher frequency radio emission (8.4 GHz) will become optically thin first, thus a delay from 8.4 to 4.8 GHz is always expected.

Another model for explaining the emission seen in jets is the ‘internal shock model’ (Blandford & Konigl 1978; Rees 1978). The synchrotron lifetime of an emitting region is too short to adequately explain the scales of jets observed in AGN. These emitting regions - commonly referred to as ‘knots’ - are often displaced from the central core emission. Localised shocks within these regions are needed to explain the time-scales of variation observed (Rees 1978; Feltzen 1987). Jet shock scenarios have also been used to model the common flat spectrum jet observed in BHXRBs and AGN (e.g. see Spada et al. 2001; Jamil, Fender, & Kaiser 2004).

Figure 1 shows the evolution of spectral index; indicating that during a flare event $\alpha$ increases ($\alpha > 0$), flattens and steepens ($\alpha < 0$) shortly afterwards. The initial re-energisation given by a shock would push/compress the
Table 2. Summary of archival observations found in the literature. The data points between the horizontal lines indicate where the two fluxes were used to calculate the X-ray-radio correlation. Two X-ray and two radio points were taken at similar times thus they were both used to calculate the correlation, (R) and (X) denotes the time (MJD) of the observation for radio and X-ray respectively. $\delta t$ gives the time difference between observations (Radio-X-ray). The instrument used to derive the flux is given in brackets (see section 4.4 for references) and errors are given only when reported in the literature.

| Time (MJD) | Radio Flux $S_v$ 8.4 GHz (mJy) | X-ray Flux 2-10 keV (ergs$^{-1}$) | $\delta t_{\text{meas}}$ (Radio-X-ray) (days) |
|-----------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 43985     | -                             | $2.2 \times 10^{-11}$ (Einstein) | -                                             |
| 44168     | -                             | $1.7 \times 10^{-11}$ (Einstein) | -                                             |
| 44374     | -                             | $3.8 \times 10^{-11}$ (Einstein) | -                                             |
| 45644     | -                             | $5.0 \times 10^{-11}$ (Exosat)   | -                                             |
| 47527 (R) - 48065 (X) | 334±33 (PTI) | $3.5 \times 10^{-11} \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-11}$ (Ginga) | -538                                          |
| 47527 (R) - 48193 (X) | 334±33 (PTI) | $4.2 \times 10^{-11} \pm 0.25 \times 10^{-11}$ (Ginga) | -666                                          |
| 49913 (R) - 49479 (X) | 181 ± 1 (VLA) | $3.0 \times 10^{-11}$ (ASCA) | 434                                           |
| 50083 (R) - 49479 (X) | 176 ± 1 (ATCA) | $3.0 \times 10^{-11}$ (ASCA) | 604                                           |
| 51604 (R) - 52057 (X) | 57.6 ± 1.3 (LBA) | $2.2 \times 10^{-11}$ (XMM-Newton) | -453                                          |

Figure 5. Left Panel: The fundamental plane of black hole activity. The large cross indicates the average location of NGC 7213. Black dots are the MHdM03 sample with the black line indicating the best fit. Crosses indicate the updated BHXRB sample of FGR10; data points have been converted into the 2-10 keV energy range. Right Panel: A close up of the NGC 7213 data points with least squares best fit indicated with a dashed line. The MHdM03 fit is shown with a solid line. The archival data points are also shown with but are not used in the NGC 7213 data best fit. NGC 7213 measurements are taken at 4.8 GHz and the archival are at 8.4 GHz.

plasma into the optically thick regime; subsequently this process moves the material into the optically thin regime. The variable time lag seen in the DCF could be interpreted as the time taken for newly injected matter to ‘catch up’ with older matter expanding adiabatically in the jet to shock and produce a flare. The lag would be dependent on the Lorentz factor of the newly injected material which in turn is related to the accretion rate (Falcke et al. 2009).

Although both of these models can adequately explain the variations observed in our light curves, we cannot completely disentangle them. It is possible that both of these models are in some part responsible for the behaviour. As the jet remains unresolved we cannot identify an area of localised shocks or indeed discrete resolved events that we could use to support a particular model.

To explore - in a very simplistic sense - how the time lags seen in BHXRBs scale up to AGN we offer two comparisons; that of a simple mass scaling and also mass-Eddington ratio scaling. For example, discrete resolved ejection events have been seen in GRS 1915+105 with a variable time lag between X-ray and radio (GHz), with the clearest examples of events having lags of $T_{\text{GRS1915}} = 20-30$ mins (Pooley & Fender 1997; Mirabel et al. 1998). Taking the characteristic timescale of X-ray-radio lags measured in GRS 1915+105 and scaling up to NGC 7213 with mass only (assuming the mass of GRS 1915+105 as $\sim 10 M_\odot$...
and the mass of NGC 7213 as \(9.6 \times 10^7 M_\odot\) and using \(T_{\text{lag}} = T_{\text{GRS1915}} \times (M_{\text{NGC7213}} / M_{\text{GRS1915}})\) we infer \(T_{\text{lag}} = 2 \times 10^5\) days, much longer than we observe. GRS 1915+105 is however accreting at a much higher rate, therefore including a scaling by the ratio of the Eddington luminosities (using \(T_{\text{lag2}} = T_{\text{lag}} \times (L_{\text{Edd,NGC7213}} / L_{\text{Edd,GRS1915}})\)) and taking \(L_{\text{Edd,GRS1915}} \sim 1\) we find \(T_{\text{lag2}} = 140\) days, reasonably comparable to our measured lag.

The difficulty in comparing the actual X-ray-radio time lag measured for NGC 7213, and that of the GRS 1915+105 scaled time lag is that the radio emitting regions being probed are significantly different: in a broader view the spectral energy distributions are different. In fact until the structure of jets and how it scales with accretion rate and mass at a given frequency are better understood, such attempts at quantitative comparison are of marginal value, although the qualitative comparison is valuable.

### 5.2 The fundamental plane of black hole activity

The fundamental plane of black hole activity shows that the correlation \(L_R \propto M^{0.6} L_X^{0.8}\) holds over many orders of magnitude of X-ray and radio luminosities, and black hole masses. Figure 5 shows; at high luminosities a sample of AGN taken from MhdM03, and at the low luminosities an updated BHXRB sample taken from FGR10. The updated BHXRB X-ray data were observed in the 0.5-10 keV energy range, therefore careful steps were taken (using WebPIMMS) to correctly convert into the 2-10 keV range to compare with the MHDm03 and NGC 7213 data points. We plot on figure 5 the best fit parameters \(L_R = (0.6^{+0.11}_{-0.1}) \log L + (0.78^{+0.11}_{-0.08}) \log M + 7.33^{+4.05}_{-4.07}\) as defined by MhdM03. Note, we do not re-fit the best fit line for the updated BHXRB sample.

We paired the X-ray and radio data points to calculate the correlation by finding the closest X-ray point (in time), to the radio, because the X-ray sampling was more frequent. The average for NGC 7213 sits well on the predicted correlation. The right hand panel of figure 5 shows a close up of the NGC 7213 data. A least squared best fit for the NGC 7213 data points only is shown and parametrised by \(L_R = (0.2^{+0.056}_{-0.056}) \log L + 28.3^{+1.77}_{-1.72}\) (the constant includes the mass term in the context of MHDm03).

We include in figure 6 the correlation found from the archival X-ray and radio data; however, as they were not simultaneous we do not include them in the best fit. We paired the X-ray and radio data points to calculate the correlation according to the closest in time. If either two X-ray, or two radio points were very close in time, both are included in the correlation. Also note that these data were calculated between 8.4 GHz and 2-10 keV and not 4.8 GHz. Assuming that the same correlation (i.e. flat spectrum) holds between the 8.4 and 4.8 GHz observations the plot seems to show that two of the archival points do not follow the trend predicted by the fundamental plane.

To assess whether the deviation/scatter in the archival points (and indeed the other MHDm03 AGN) away from the fundamental plane can be explained due to a delay in sampling the X-ray and radio fluxes; we take the fraction RMS scatter in the RXTE/ATCA X-ray and radio data and plot this errorbar with the archival points. We find the fractional X-ray RMS to be \(f_{\text{RMS}}=26\)% and the fractional Radio RMS \(f_{\text{RMS}}=11\)%.

Although this method only offers an estimate of the error between sampled data points, it does allow us to assess outliers.

Within this framework it appears that the first (PTI correlation) and last (LBA correlation) data points that were used in the correlation are outliers: the ATCA and VLA correlation points sit close to the best fit. Even when taking into account the full RMS from the 1000 day light curve the error bars do not bring the data points close to the MHDm03 best fit. We have also speculated earlier that there could be a certain amount of missing flux associated with these long baseline observations. Moving both the PTI and LBA points up by some set amount will still leave the PTI point away from the correlation. Although applying the intrinsic variation of the source to the archival points cannot bring all points onto or close to the correlation; the scatter in these points is within that permitted by the other MHDm03 points shown on the plot.

By using the fractional RMS of X-ray and radio variability from our study we have placed a constraint in the deviation of the archival data points away from the fundamental plane. These constraints suggest that other forms of scatter (apart from bad sampling and missing flux) could be affecting the data. As summarised in KFC06 other forms of scatter could be attributed to beaming, source peculiarities and spectral energy distribution - but note, these should remain relatively constant for the same source.

In figure 6 we plot a LLAGN only sample and best fit parameters taken from KFC06 with the updated BHXRB sample. Note, the X-ray data in the KFC06 sample are taken in the 1-10 keV band. As the NGC 7213 data points are in the 2-10 keV band we assume a photon index of \(\Gamma=1.8\) and...
add a correction factor to the NGC 7213 data points to make them comparable. We apply a similar correction to the BHXRB sample. From this plot it is clear that the NGC 7213 data points are positioned slightly above the best fit line.

Considering the position of NGC 7213 on the fundamental plane with respect to other LLAGN (see figure 6), we calculate its radio loudness parameter. We calculate the radio loudness parameter $R = L_{\text{6cm}}/L_B$ (where $L_{\text{6cm}}$ and $L_B$ are the radio and optical luminosities); we use a $B$ band magnitude of 16.3, and find the optical flux $S_{\text{opt}}$ using $B = -2.5\log S_{\text{opt}} - 48.6$ (Halpern & Filippenko [1984]): giving $R = 134.8$. In this scheme radio-loud sources are typically defined as having an $R$ parameter > 10, while radio-quite range between 0.1-1 (Kellermann et al. [1989]).

Using the alternative radio loudness parameter of Terashima & Wilson (2003) which utilises the X-ray instead of optical luminosity, $R_X = L_{\text{6cm}}/L_{2-10\text{keV}}$, we find $\log R_X = -3.28$. Panessa et al. (2007) show that for a sample of low-luminosity Seyfert Galaxies $\log R_X = -3.64\pm0.16$ while for a sample of low-luminosity radio Galaxies (LLRGs) $\log R_X = -1.40\pm0.11$. Therefore, with respect to the X-ray radio loudness, NGC 7213 is only slightly higher than that of a sample of low-luminosity Seyfert Galaxies; while under the standard definition of radio loudness it is indeed radio loud. These results are consistent with the position of NGC 7213 on figure [1].

As was discussed earlier in this paper there is an apparent time lag between events in the X-ray and radio. Therefore comparing the fitting parameters found from the NGC 7213 data with the MHdM03 relationship without correcting for the lag might give rise to errors as we are not matching the correct data points. The width in the cross-correlation peaks shows that the time lag is variable. Thus, for example, the two radio flares could have different lag times associated with them. Therefore shifting the entire radio light curve back by a set amount to match the X-ray could still give a scatter. To simplify this problem we separated out the data for the first flare only because we have a more accurate measurement of the lag in this specific case. We then shifted the radio data -35 days which was the time lag measured for this singular flare using the DCF at 4.8 GHz (see table [1]. The top panel of figure [7] shows the uncorrected data on the MHdM03 plot while the middle panel shows the corrected data; for completeness the bottom panel shows all radio data points shifted back.

For the first flare correcting the data appears to reduce the scatter and increase the gradient more in line with the MHdM03 best fit. It is now described by $L_R = (0.58_{-0.11}^{+0.14}) \log L_X + 8.5_{-0.6}^{+0.7}$. To check the statistical significance of this we measured the gradient for a variety of shifts. From 0-25 days the gradient gradually steepens until it gets close to 1 (giving a coefficient of $\sim 0.6$). From 30-50 days it plateaus $\sim 1$ and from 50 days the gradient decreases towards 0. Thus it does appear that moving the flare back by the amount given from the DCF function does seem to better represent the data with respect to the MHdM03 fit. However, it should be noted that as this is a log/log plot, measuring gradients from such a small range of values should be treated with care. It would, however, be interesting in future studies to assess the importance of this parameter.

6 CONCLUSION

We have used the Australian Telescope Compact Array and the Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer to conduct a long term study of AGN variability in the LLAGN NGC 7213. We have used the cross-correlation function to show that a complex and weakly significant correlated behaviour exists between the X-ray and radio emitting regions. Although the statistics only show a weak correlation, this study is the first definitive campaign to probe this type of behaviour.

We have shown that NGC 7213 sits well on the predicted fundamental plane of black hole activity plot when compared with the MHdM03 sample. However we have
shown that when comparing NGC 7213 with a revised BHXB and LLAGN sample that the data points are above the expected correlation; which is however consistent with the calculated radio and X-ray loudness parameters. We have also shown some support that by correcting for the time lag between events in X-ray and radio the gradient of data points agree better with the best fit derived from the MHdM03 sample on the fundamental plane.
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