Case report of a medication error
In the eye of the beholder
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Abstract

Introduction: The World Health Organisation recognizes confusing drug names as one of the most common causes of medication errors. Other factors include spelling, phonetic, or packaging similarities.

Case presentation: We presented a case report of an inadvertent administration of a non-ocular pharmaceutical product (Novasone® lotion) into the eye of an octogenarian individual, and briefly reviewed the relevant literature.

Discussion: We discussed prevention strategies to avoid similar ophthalmic medication errors.
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1. Introduction

Medication errors refer to a failure during the treatment process which may lead to patient harm. The World Health Organisation identified that confusing drug names is one of the most common causes of medication errors. Other factors that contribute to potential confusion between drug names include spelling, phonetic, or packaging similarities. Medication errors have been well-described in the medical literature, particularly in the hospital settings. Nursing staff were identified as major contributors to medication administration errors, as they are largely responsible for administering medication. At present, there is limited evidence available regarding medication administration errors that occur in the community setting. The accidental ophthalmic administration of nonocular pharmaceutical products appears to be both under-reported and unrecognized in the international medical literature.

2. Ethical considerations

The authors of this report referred to a deceased individual. However, all identifiable personal details have been properly anonymised to protect the patient’s identity. There is no identifiable data presented in the manuscript.

3. Case presentation

An octogenarian woman in a nursing home was mistakenly administered a Novasone scalp lotion in her left eye. The Novasone lotion was mistaken for a lubricating eye drop, which was similar in shape and size (Fig. 1). The mistake was identified by the resident as she felt pain, burning sensation, and discomfort in her eye immediately after the administration. The resident indicated that the wrong eye drop must have been given to her. Within a few minutes, her eye was washed with saline with immediate good response. Nursing staff contacted the general practitioner and received advice to continue cleansing with normal saline and report if there is any concern. No ophthalmology review was conducted. The supplier pharmacy was contacted and the bottle was re-labeled. In this particular case, there was no major harm suffered by the patient as a result of this medication error, other than self-limiting pain and discomfort in the eye.

4. Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first clinical case in the international medical literature, reporting an inadvertent instillation of mometasone scalp lotion into the eye. However, of concern, this error does not appear to be uncommon. A recent retrospective review of calls made to an Australian Poisons Information Centre from 2004 to 2011 identified ~1290 cases involving accidental eye administration of pharmaceutical products not intended for ophthalmic use during the 7-year period. The most common pharmaceutical product accidentally instilled into the eye was mometasone (Elocon/Novasone lotion); other products included antiseptic, antifungal, antibacterial, and ear wax removal preparations. Notably, the majority of the products incorrectly instilled into the eye were in dropper bottles. Out of the total number of cases reported to Australian Poisons Information Centre, >75 percent involved adults. Twenty-seven percent of these affected individuals received medical assistance. Additionally, 3 individuals had suffered corneal ulceration, including 1 case which resulted from the application of mometasone lotion.
Novasone and Elocon lotion contains mometasone furoate (1mg/g active ingredient), isopropyl alcohol, propylene glycol, hydroxypropylcellulose, and water, with small quantities of phosphoric acid and sodium phosphate monobasic dihydrate as a buffer to maintain the pH of the formulation.\[6,7\]

Given the low concentration of mometasone (1mg/g), the active ingredient is unlikely to cause the observed acute eye irritation. The buffering agents are usually incorporated at low concentrations and are unlikely to induce the observed irritation. Hydroxypropylcellulose is an emulsifier, stabilizer and thickener which in the form of a slow release insert is used in the treatment of dry eye which is an unlikely cause of eye irritation in the current context.\[8\] Therefore, the most likely irritants present in mometasone lotion, which led to the observed eye irritation are propylene glycol and isopropyl alcohol.

Isopropyl alcohol is known to cause eye irritation and after prolonged exposure can cause temporary changes in the corneal epithelium. Animal tests of Isopropyl alcohol also confirm its irritation potential.\[9\] In humans where the cornea has become exposed to 70% isopropyl alcohol to cleanse the eye lids prior to ocular surgery, it has caused pain but no ocular damage if removed promptly.\[9\] Applying propylene glycol into the eye causes eye stinging, involuntary twitching (blepharospams), and increased tears (lacrimation) and mild hyperaemia (reddening of the eye), but without damage to the ocular tissues.\[10\]

In general, errors are presumed to occur due to human and underlying system failures rather than being random events.\[11\]

The term “the Swiss cheese model” was proposed by James Reason\[12\] to describe accident causation as depicted in Fig. 3. The holes in the cheese form as a result of both active and latent failures and the majority of adverse events occur when these 2 factors come together.\[12\]

In the present case, there were several system failures that preceded the medication administration error itself, including storage of the Novasone lotion in the same place as the eye drop. Because the packaging of both products were similar in size and shape, by placing them closely to each other, it increased the likelihood of administering the incorrect formulation to the patient and this is a classic example of a latent failure as “accident waiting to happen.”\[11\] Additionally, the Novasone lotion was not clearly labeled by the dispensing pharmacy “apply to area as directed by the doctor,” unfortunately, the exact area of...
application was not specified at all, and this was coupled with the absence of checking procedures by the care staff (active failure). The above factors in combination might have contributed to this particular medication administration error. Interestingly, the care staff member was doing her usual shift and the incident occurred during the morning shift; therefore, fatigue did not appear to be a factor that contributed to this error.

4.1. Recommendations for preventing ophthalmic errors

Below suggested are some of the recommendations to avoid ophthalmic medication errors proposed by the Institute for Safe Medication Practices[13]:

1. keep eye drops in the original box;
2. separate eye drops from other types of drops by storing them in different locations;
3. always discard any leftover eye drops; and
4. read the label carefully to confirm the correct medication has been selected for administering.

Additionally, dispensary staff in the pharmacy must label nonocular medicines that come in dropper bottles clearly and thoroughly to avoid any potential confusion leading to medication errors.[5] Similarly, at the time of dispensing, it may be worthwhile to remind the patients that nonocular medicine in dropper bottles have the potential to be accidently mistaken for eye drops.[5]

5. Conclusion

This is the first case in the international literature reporting an inadvertent instillation of mometasone scalp lotion into the eye; however, this appears to be a commonly reported error in Australia. Additional efforts to reduce the occurrence of this error are warranted.
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