Quality control for modern bone collagen stable carbon and nitrogen isotope measurements
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Abstract  
1. Isotopic analyses of collagen, the main protein preserved in subfossil bone and tooth, has long provided a powerful tool for the reconstruction of ancient diets and environments. Although isotopic studies of contemporary ecosystems have typically focused on more accessible tissues (e.g. muscle, hair), there is growing interest in the potential for analyses of collagen because it is often available in hard tissue archives (e.g. scales, skin, bone, tooth), allowing for enhanced long-term retrospective studies. The quality of measurements of the stable carbon and nitrogen isotopic compositions of ancient samples is subject to robust and well-established criteria for detection of contaminants and diagenesis. Among these quality control (QC) criteria, the most widely utilized is the atomic C:N ratio (C:NAtomic), which for ancient samples has an acceptable range between 2.9 and 3.6. While this QC criterion was developed for ancient materials, it has increasingly being applied to collagen from modern tissues.

2. Here, we use a large survey of published collagen amino acid compositions (n = 436) from 193 vertebrate species as well as recent experimental isotopic evidence from 413 modern collagen extracts to demonstrate that the C:NAtomic range used for ancient samples is not suitable for assessing collagen quality of modern and archived historical samples. For modern tissues, collagen C:NAtomic falling outside 3.00–3.30 for fish and 3.00–3.28 for mammals and birds can produce systematically skewed isotopic compositions and may lead to significant interpretative errors. These findings are followed by a review of protocols for improving C:NAtomic criteria for modern collagen extracts.

3. For modern tissues, collagen C:NAtomic falling outside 3.00–3.30 for fish and 3.00–3.28 for mammals and birds can produce systematically skewed isotopic compositions and may lead to significant interpretative errors. These findings are followed by a review of protocols for improving C:NAtomic criteria for modern collagen extracts.

4. Given the tremendous conservation and environmental policy-informing potential that retrospective isotopic analyses of collagen from contemporary and archived vertebrate tissues have for addressing pressing questions about long-term environmental conditions and species behaviours, it is critical that QC criteria tailored to modern tissues are established.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Stable carbon ($\delta^{13}C$) and nitrogen ($\delta^{15}N$) isotope analysis of plant and animal tissues is a powerful tool for quantifying ecological relationships and animal behaviour at a wide range of spatial and temporal scales. Although the isotopic compositions of any carbon- and nitrogen-bearing tissue can be analysed, ecologists studying contemporary and historical ecosystems generally focus analyses on readily available muscle and organ tissues that require relatively little processing (Newsome, Clementz, & Koch, 2010). In contrast, research investigating earlier ecosystems has been extensively focused on analysing the isotopic composition of proteins extracted from hard tissues, such as bones and teeth, which are more readily available because they are generally the only vertebrate structures that preserve in archaeological and palaeontological deposits (Ambrose, 1990). In this context, Type I collagen (hereafter, ‘collagen’), which makes up 90% of bone and tooth protein (Herring, 1972), has been the primary focus of a vast majority of protein-based isotopic research on ancient environments (Guiry, 2019; Szpak, Metcalfe, & MacDonald, 2017).

Although collagen has been analysed for decades in archaeology, collagen from a wider range of species and tissues has been increasingly studied in contemporary contexts (e.g. Dixon, Dempson, & Power, 2015; Guiry, Royle, et al., 2020; Skovrind et al., 2019; Turner Tomaszewicz, Seminoff, Price, & Kurle, 2017; Vokhshoori et al., 2019). In part, this is because collagen (Table 1) is the most abundant protein in many tissues that are routinely archived in biological and conservation programmes (e.g. fish scales, skin, bone, teeth; and is also typically abundant in natural history collections) and, therefore, can provide unparalleled opportunities for retrospective research reaching back years, decades or centuries into the past (e.g. Guiry & Hunt, 2020; Nelson, Quakenbush, Mahoney, Taras, & Wooller, 2018; Newsome et al., 2007; Wainright, Fogarty, Greenfield, & Fry, 1993). Moreover, the isotopic composition of collagen from modern and archived tissues is directly comparable (i.e. without adjustments for inter-tissue trophic enrichment variables) with that of collagen preserved in archaeological and palaeontological contexts, thereby opening the possibility for substantially longer, ‘deep time’ retrospective studies (e.g. Burton et al., 2001; Guiry, Needs-Howarth, et al., 2016; Guiry, Orchard, Royle, Cheung, & Yang, 2020; Misarti, Finney, Maschner, & Wooller, 2009; Szpak, Orchard, McKechnie, & Gröcke, 2012). These studies are particularly important because they can allow for more accurate reconstructions of preindustrial environmental conditions as well as provide detailed insights into how humans have altered ecosystem dynamics throughout the Anthropocene (Braje et al., 2017; Guiry, Beglane, et al., 2018; Guiry, Buckley, et al., 2020; Szpak, Buckley, Darwent, & Richards, 2018; Szpak et al., 2019)—both of which, in turn, can provide context for guiding future conservation policy and environmental restoration efforts (Rick & Lockwood, 2013; Swetnam, Allen, & Betancourt, 1999).

Collagen has a number of well-established quality control (QC) indicators for isotopic measurements of ancient materials (Table 2), which enable detection of contaminating non-collagenous materials and post-burial degradation/alteration (Ambrose, 1990; DeNiro, 1985). These QC indicators were established based on observations of anomalies in the relationship between the isotopic and elemental compositions of modern and ancient collagen (for reviews see, Szpak, 2011; Van Klinken, 1999), which show that the concentrations and ratios of carbon and nitrogen vary little between collagen from different tissues and taxa (Neuman, 1949). Two of the QC criteria for ancient collagen are yield (the wt% collagen extracted from bone, with 1% being the most frequently cited minimum value) and minima for wt% C (13%) and wt% N (4.5%) in the analysed collagen. Both of these criteria identify degraded, rather than contaminated, collagen.

### Table 1: Terminology for collagen sourced from different types of specimens along with some of the major contamination sources common to each

| Time frame     | Description                                                                 | Contamination potential | Lipid | Non-collagenous proteins | Soil borne | Preservative |
|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|--------------------------|------------|-------------|
| Modern         | Samples extracted from frozen or fresh tissues that will usually have been taken explicitly for the purpose of stable isotope (or related) analysis and have spent minimal time under any kind of curation | High                    | High  | NA                       | NA         | NA          |
| Archival       | Samples extracted from tissues (preserved by any means) held in natural history archives or other repositories that, at the time of their collection, were taken from living animals or recently deceased animals. These will be preserved specimens or skeletons. Flesh and soft tissues are still on the specimens or these were removed from the specimens partly or wholly by curators. Generally, these specimens have been collected from CE 1700 onwards | Moderate to high         | High  | NA                       | Possible (if fixatives were used) | NA          |
| Ancient        | Samples collected from archaeological or palaeontological sites, typically dating to pre CE 1900. No or minimal adhering soft tissues. Samples were in contact with the burial environment for a prolonged period of time such that contamination from soil-borne compounds is a major concern as is selective loss of amino acids | Low                     | Unknown | High                     | NA         | NA          |
TABLE 2 Established collagen quality control indicators for ancient collagen extracts

| Collagen quality control indicator | Observed in modern collagen | Acceptable for ancient collagen |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| %C                               | 41.91 ± 0.39%                 | >13%                          |
| %N                               | 15.40 ± 0.20%                 | >4%                           |
| C:NAtomic                        | 3.17 ± 0.17                   | 2.9–3.6                       |

*Mean and one standard deviation derived from survey of 436 skin, bone and scale collagen amino acid compositions from 193 vertebrate taxa (Supporting Information 1, Table S1).

and are therefore only applicable to ancient samples. For ecologists, the most relevant collagen QC criteria will be the ratio of percent carbon-to-nitrogen expressed as C:NAtomic. For studies analysing several specimens from a single taxon, an observation of a correlation between C:NAtomic and δ¹³C can provide an additional indicator for collagen contamination (Ambrose, 1990). C:NAtomic represents that ratio of carbon to nitrogen atoms in a sample and can be calculated by multiplying the molecular C/N (i.e. wt% C/wt% N as measured during elemental analyses) by the ratio between the average atomic mass of C and N (14.007/12.011). Because C:NAtomic can be calculated using elemental data that are determined in tandem with δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N at no extra cost, this collagen QC measure is also easily obtainable. Moreover, the elemental data used to calculate C:NAtomic are resilient to inter-lab differences in calibration (even if the absolute wt% C and N differ among labs, the C:NAtomic will be the same provided the calibration standard used contained both C and N).

While the C:NAtomic collagen QC indicator was initially established to aid with identification of bone diagenesis in archaeological and paleontological research (DeNiro, 1985), it has also become the primary means used by ecologists to evaluate the integrity of isotopic compositions measured on modern collagen samples (e.g. Bas & Cardona, 2018; Turner Tomaszewicz, Seminoff, Avens, & Kurle, 2016). However, analyses of collagen from ancient and contemporary samples require different analytical considerations that render QC indicators developed from the former unsuitable for the latter. For archaeological collagen, shifts in C:NAtomic are typically caused by the presence of additional unwanted endogenous (e.g. non-collagenous materials such as lipids) or exogenous (e.g. humic acids from the burial environment) carbon sources or collagen deterioration (selective amino acid loss) resulting in a disproportionate loss of either carbon or nitrogen (for reviews, see Collins & Galley, 1998; Collins et al., 2002; Collins, Riley, Child, & Turner-Walker, 1995; Van Klinken, 1999). A broader C:NAtomic range of 2.9–3.6 was therefore deemed acceptable for archaeological collagen specifically to account for the possibility of the selective loss of certain amino acids through centuries or millennia of leaching, hydrolysis and microbial activity. The rationale behind selecting this range of cut-offs was that the isotopic compositions of ancient samples with C:NAtomic within the 2.9–3.6 range appeared to be unaltered by contamination or degradation and therefore are less likely to present interpretive issues (Ambrose, 1990; DeNiro, 1985; note that Van Klinken, 1999 recommended a narrower range from 3.1 to 3.5 but this has not been widely adopted). Although there has been relatively little research on the effect of selective amino acid loss on the isotopic composition of ancient collagen (although see, Dobberstein et al., 2009), low levels of collagen deterioration that do not result in large shifts in C:NAtomic are thought to have minimal impact (Van Klinken, 1999). Unlike archaeological bones, collagen from recently collected tissues should not have undergone deterioration and, therefore, any deviation from the natural C:NAtomic range observed in modern collagen should result from inclusion of non-collagenous materials. This kind of contamination can occur in a variety of osseous vertebrate tissues and fish scales where other materials (lipid and non-collagenous proteins [NCPs]) that may have very different elemental and isotopic compositions have not been fully removed and can result in substantially skewed collagen isotopic compositions (Guiry, Szpak, & Richards, 2016).

As interest in the isotopic analyses of collagen from contemporary and archived tissues continues to grow, it is imperative that collagen QC criteria tailored to modern tissues are established. The purpose of this paper is to characterize the C:NAtomic ranges observed in different vertebrate collagens and use these to define acceptable C:NAtomic ranges for evaluating collagen quality for major vertebrate groups. First, we surveyed published amino acid compositions from 193 vertebrate taxa to characterize the observed C:NAtomic range for major vertebrate classes (mammals, birds, fish). Second, we draw on experimental data to demonstrate how the acceptable C:NAtomic range for evaluating the integrity of isotopic measurements on modern collagen can be narrowed to provide a more sensitive indicator for contamination. Finally, we discuss the analytical and interpretive implications of analysing isotopic compositions in collagen prepared using different extraction protocols.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Establishing an observed range for vertebrate collagen C:NAtomic

To establish the natural range of C:NAtomic for vertebrate collagen, we surveyed a wide body of data from the food chemistry literature. Amino acid compositions are from both acid and pepsin solubilized collagens. Comparing C:NAtomic ratios from studies that performed both methods on collagen from 13 species, Szpak (2011) found that amino acid compositions measured using acid and pepsin solubilized collagen were nearly identical with no statistically significant differences. Data from both types of collagen extraction techniques should therefore produce amino acid compositions that are comparable for our purposes. Because fish collagens are adapted to environmental conditions (Eastoe, 1957; Gustavson, 1955), we further grouped fish based on habitat preferences using classifications provided on FishBase (Froese & Pauly, 2000) as follows: warm water (tropical, subtropical) and cold water (temperate, polar, boreal and deep-water). Building on Szpak (2011), the survey located 436 amino acid compositions (see Supporting Information 1, Table S1), which
TABLE 3 Number of species and analyses included in survey of skin, bone and scale collagen amino acid compositions shown by taxonomic class (see Supporting Information 1, Table S1)

| Class                | Total Species | Total Analyses | Bone Species | Bone Analyses | Skin Species | Skin Analyses | Scales Species | Scales Analyses |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| Actinopterygii       | 136           | 287            | 31           | 51           | 116          | 186           | 29             | 50             |
| Amphibia             | 6             | 8              | 0            | 0            | 6            | 8             | 0              | 0              |
| Aves                 | 3             | 12             | 2            | 6            | 2            | 6             | 0              | 0              |
| Cephalaspidomorphi   | 1             | 3              | 0            | 0            | 1            | 3             | 0              | 0              |
| Elasmobranchi        | 19            | 31             | 6            | 6            | 16           | 25            | 0              | 0              |
| Holocephali          | 1             | 1              | 0            | 0            | 1            | 1             | 0              | 0              |
| Mammalia             | 20            | 86             | 11           | 24           | 14           | 62            | 0              | 0              |
| Myxini               | 1             | 2              | 0            | 0            | 1            | 2             | 0              | 0              |
| Reptilia             | 3             | 3              | 1            | 1            | 2            | 2             | 0              | 0              |
| Sacropterygii        | 3             | 3              | 0            | 0            | 3            | 3             | 0              | 0              |
| Total                | 193           | 436            | 51           | 88           | 162          | 298           | 29             | 50             |

we categorized by tissue type (skin, scale, bone) and by taxonomic class (Table 3). All amino acid compositions were compared as residues per 1,000.

2.2 Establishing an acceptable range for C:N\textsubscript{Atomic}

To establish a C:N\textsubscript{Atomic} range within which modern collagen stable isotope compositions have not been meaningfully skewed by contamination with non-collagenous materials, we used $\delta^{13}$C and C:N\textsubscript{Atomic} data from recent studies (Guiry, Szpak, et al., 2016; Szpak & Guiry, in prep.) comparing collagen extracted from modern bones prepared following different protocols designed to produce collagen contaminated to varying degrees with residual bone lipids. For fish, data are derived from analyses of four separate collagen extractions (total $n = 288$) from each of 72 bones taken from 35 individual fish representing 17 marine and freshwater taxa. For mammals ($n = 25$ samples) and birds ($n = 25$ samples), data are derived from five separate collagen extractions (total $n = 250$) from high lipid-content bones of 50 individuals representing 19 taxa. Because lipids are carbon rich and are significantly depleted in $^{13}$C relative to collagen, lipid contamination is easily detectable by comparing $\delta^{13}$C and C:N\textsubscript{Atomic}, which will be skewed lower and higher, respectively, when collagen is contaminated with residual lipids. For each set of analyses (i.e. four to five different collagen extractions per bone), we quantified the effect of different amounts of lipid contamination on stable carbon isotope composition by determining the difference in $\delta^{13}$C between the sample with the lowest C:N\textsubscript{Atomic} (this will be nearest to the theoretical C:N\textsubscript{Atomic} observed in collagen; Szpak, 2011) and the other three to four samples. This produced 413 (216 for fish and 197 for birds and mammals) individual comparisons of the relationship between C:N\textsubscript{Atomic} and $\delta^{13}$C resulting from different levels of contamination with non-collagenous materials (in this case, primarily lipids). We also explored the extent to which $\delta^{13}$C may be affected even when samples produce C:N\textsubscript{Atomic} values within the acceptable range by quantifying the relationship between positive shifts in C:N\textsubscript{Atomic} and skewing of $\delta^{13}$C at a smaller scale. To accomplish this, we compared $\Delta^{13}$C\textsubscript{clean-contaminated} (i.e. for each sample, $\delta^{13}$C of the extract with the lowest C:N\textsubscript{Atomic} subtracted from the $\delta^{13}$C of extracts with higher C:N\textsubscript{Atomic}) and $\Delta$C:N\textsubscript{Atomic(clean-contaminated)} (i.e. for each sample, C:N\textsubscript{Atomic} of the extract with the lowest C:N\textsubscript{Atomic} subtracted from the C:N\textsubscript{Atomic} of extracts with higher C:N\textsubscript{Atomic}) within each set of collagen extractions. This produced 413 (216 for fish and 197 for birds and mammals) individual comparisons of the relationship between $\Delta^{13}$C\textsubscript{clean-contaminated} and $\Delta$C:N\textsubscript{Atomic(clean-contaminated)} for establishing the point at which small deviations in C:N\textsubscript{Atomic} begin to impact collagen $\delta^{13}$C.

2.3 Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed with PAST Version 3.22 (Hammer, Harper, & Ryan, 2001). For amino acid residue and C:N\textsubscript{Atomic} data, we used a Shapiro–Wilk test to assess normality of distribution (Supporting Information 1, Table S2). When comparing groups where one or more samples were not normally distributed, we used a Mann–Whitney U test. For comparisons between group with normal distributions, we used either a Student’s t test (where variances were equal) or a one-way ANOVA followed by either a Dunnett’s (when variances were not equal) or a Tukey’s (when variances were equal) post hoc test. A Levene’s test was used to assess homogeneity of variance. For determining the significance of correlations between bone collagen C:N\textsubscript{Atomic} and $\delta^{13}$C data we used Spearman’s $\rho$.

3 RESULTS

Excluding two Antarctic icefish (Chionodraco hamatus and Racovitzia glacialis), with unique physiological adaptations; see, Szpak, 2011), mean collagen C:N\textsubscript{Atomic} for all species and tissues based on amino acid compositions was 3.17 ± 0.08 and ranged from 3.00 to 3.33 (Table 4; Figure 1, for full list see Supporting Information 1, Table S1). Before
### TABLE 4

Mean collagen amino acid compositions and corresponding C\textsubscript{\%N\textsubscript{atomic}} for ray-finned fish (Actinopterygii), mammal (Mammalia) and bird (Aves) tissues (n = 382; see Supporting Information 1, Table S1). For other vertebrate classes, see Supporting Information 1, Table S5.

| Class | Actinopterygii | Mammalia | Aves |
|-------|----------------|----------|------|
|       | Bone Scale Skin All tissues | Bone Skin All tissues | Bone Skin All tissues |
| Tissue | | | | |
| Bone | 51 | 50 | 186 | 287 | 24 | 62 | 86 | 6 | 3 | 9 |
| Scale | 49.3 ± 7.0 | 45.3 ± 5.0 | 472 ± 6.7 | 470 ± 6.6 | 47.6 ± 2.5 | 45.9 ± 3.3 | 46.4 ± 3.2 | 54.4 ± 21.5 | 46 ± 1.7 | 51.6 ± 17.5 |
| Skin | 73.2 ± 13.0 | 75.0 ± 16.4 | 71.3 ± 13.0 | 71.9 ± 14.1 | 95.5 ± 8.3 | 93.4 ± 12.4 | 94.0 ± 11.4 | 90.5 ± 22.2 | 103 ± 5.3 | 94.6 ± 18.8 |
| All tissues | 26.2 ± 4.0 | 24.9 ± 2.3 | 25.0 ± 6.6 | 25.3 ± 5.8 | 18.9 ± 2.3 | 19.0 ± 3.5 | 18.9 ± 3.2 | 20.7 ± 1.8 | 19 ± 0 | 20.1 ± 1.6 |
| Ser | 42.9 ± 12.4 | 35.7 ± 7.0 | 41.8 ± 11.8 | 41.2 ± 11.7 | 34.7 ± 3.7 | 34.4 ± 4.2 | 34.5 ± 4.1 | 29.9 ± 2.9 | 29.7 ± 0.6 | 29.8 ± 2.3 |
| Glu | 74.7 ± 6.4 | 71.8 ± 6.3 | 73.6 ± 70 | 73.9 ± 8.3 | 75.1 ± 4.3 | 74.4 ± 3.5 | 74.6 ± 3.7 | 76.9 ± 8.3 | 74 ± 1 | 75.9 ± 6.8 |
| Pro | 106.8 ± 10.4 | 110.7 ± 12.9 | 109.1 ± 12.1 | 108.8 ± 12.1 | 119.1 ± 7.1 | 124.0 ± 7.4 | 122.6 ± 7.6 | 115.0 ± 3.2 | 119 ± 1.7 | 116.3 ± 3.4 |
| Gly | 330.4 ± 19.3 | 338.7 ± 19.3 | 334.5 ± 23.2 | 333.9 ± 23.4 | 328.9 ± 11.5 | 329.7 ± 15.5 | 329.5 ± 14.4 | 331.5 ± 6.6 | 331.3 ± 0.6 | 331.4 ± 5.2 |
| Ala | 116.5 ± 11.4 | 125.1 ± 9.7 | 117.8 ± 164 | 118.5 ± 15.2 | 114.4 ± 90 | 112.6 ± 9.3 | 113.1 ± 9.2 | 113.3 ± 12.1 | 116 ± 6 | 114.2 ± 10.1 |
| Cys | 1.4 ± 1.2 | 1.7 ± 1.6 | 2.2 ± 3.8 | 2.0 ± 3.0 | 0.5 ± 1.4 | 0.5 ± 1.4 | 21.0 ± 4.4 | 20.9 ± 4.0 | 20.7 ± 4.5 | 20.4 ± 4.9 |
| Val | 22.8 ± 2.0 | 22.3 ± 3.5 | 22.4 ± 3.2 | 21.0 ± 4.9 | 21.9 ± 3.0 | 21.8 ± 4.1 | 22.4 ± 5.1 | 22.8 ± 4.9 | 22.7 ± 4.5 | 22.6 ± 4.5 |
| Met | 4.3 ± 1.6 | 6.3 ± 1.6 | 5.7 ± 1.8 | 12.7 ± 3.9 | 13.1 ± 4.5 | 10.6 ± 3.0 | 10.9 ± 3.5 | 10.9 ± 1.5 | 11.9 ± 1.7 | 11.5 ± 1.7 |
| Ile | 25.7 ± 1.7 | 23.8 ± 3.1 | 24.4 ± 2.9 | 21.8 ± 4.1 | 22.4 ± 5.1 | 22.0 ± 4.4 | 22.4 ± 5.1 | 22.4 ± 4.0 | 24.3 ± 0.6 | 24.3 ± 3.2 |
| Leu | 3.6 ± 2.3 | 3.5 ± 1.8 | 3.3 ± 1.8 | 3.5 ± 2.5 | 3.6 ± 2.3 | 3.2 ± 1.7 | 3.2 ± 1.7 | 1.9 ± 0.5 | 2.3 ± 0.7 | 2.0 ± 0.5 |
| Tyr | 13.5 ± 14 | 10.7 ± 4.4 | 11.5 ± 4.0 | 13.8 ± 3.1 | 14.0 ± 3.2 | 13.8 ± 1.1 | 13.3 ± 1.2 | 13.7 ± 1.1 | 14.0 ± 3.1 | 14.0 ± 3.1 |
| Phe | 5.8 ± 2.6 | 6.2 ± 1.6 | 6.1 ± 2.0 | 5.9 ± 2.2 | 6.9 ± 6.8 | 7.0 ± 5.8 | 7.2 ± 5.8 | 8.5 ± 6.2 | 14.4 ± 13.5 | 10.5 ± 8.8 |
| Hyl | 25.4 ± 6.0 | 26.5 ± 4.7 | 271 ± 6.5 | 266.6 ± 6.2 | 25.9 ± 3.0 | 277 ± 4.4 | 272 ± 4.1 | 25.1 ± 4.3 | 19 ± 13 | 23.1 ± 7.9 |
| His | 9.1 ± 6.8 | 6.5 ± 2.3 | 6.6 ± 3.3 | 7.1 ± 4.1 | 4.6 ± 1.0 | 5.8 ± 3.4 | 5.5 ± 3.0 | 3.6 ± 1.8 | 4.4 ± 0.5 | 3.9 ± 1.5 |
| Arg | 50.7 ± 3.4 | 50.0 ± 3.3 | 52.1 ± 4.9 | 51.4 ± 4.6 | 49.0 ± 3.7 | 49.3 ± 2.7 | 49.3 ± 3.0 | 51.1 ± 2.7 | 50.3 ± 1.2 | 50.8 ± 2.3 |
| %C | 41.9 ± 0.3 | 41.8 ± 0.4 | 41.8 ± 0.4 | 41.8 ± 0.4 | 42.2 ± 0.2 | 42.1 ± 0.3 | 42.2 ± 0.3 | 42.0 ± 0.3 | 42.1 ± 0.04 | 42.1 ± 0.3 |
| %N | 15.4 ± 0.2 | 15.4 ± 0.2 | 15.5 ± 0.2 | 15.4 ± 0.2 | 15.3 ± 0.1 | 15.3 ± 0.1 | 15.3 ± 0.1 | 15.3 ± 0.1 | 15.27 ± 0.05 | 15.3 ± 0.1 |
| %H | 7.2 ± 0.1 | 7.3 ± 0.0 | 7.2 ± 0.1 | 7.3 ± 0.1 | 7.2 ± 0.0 | 7.3 ± 0.1 | 7.2 ± 0.1 | 7.2 ± 0.0 | 7.2 ± 0.1 | 7.2 ± 0.0 |
| %O | 35.1 ± 0.3 | 35.0 ± 0.3 | 35.1 ± 0.4 | 35.1 ± 0.4 | 35.2 ± 0.3 | 35.1 ± 0.3 | 35.2 ± 0.3 | 35.3 ± 0.4 | 35.2 ± 0.0 | 35.3 ± 0.3 |
| %S | 0.38 ± 0.09 | 0.43 ± 0.21 | 0.38 ± 0.12 | 0.39 ± 0.14 | 0.12 ± 0.05 | 0.18 ± 0.05 | 0.17 ± 0.05 | 0.21 ± 0.05 | 0.21 ± 0.01 | 0.21 ± 0.04 |
| C:N \textsubscript{atomic} | 3.17 ± 0.05 | 3.17 ± 0.06 | 3.15 ± 0.05 | 3.16 ± 0.06 | 3.23 ± 0.04 | 3.21 ± 0.05 | 3.22 ± 0.04 | 3.21 ± 0.02 | 3.22 ± 0.01 | 3.21 ± 0.02 |
comparing mean C:N\text{Atomic} between taxonomic groups, we compared mean C:N\text{Atomic} for tissues with five or more amino acid compositions within each class to establish whether differences occur in amino acid compositions between tissues. No statistically significant differences were observed between mean C:N\text{Atomic} of skin versus bone collagen in Elasmobranchii (t = 1.43, df = 85, p = 0.16) and Mammalia (t = 0.04, df = 28, p = 0.97). Following a one-way ANOVA (F_{2,282} = 4.07, p = 0.02), post hoc Tukey’s tests also showed no differences between means for Actinopterygii scale and bone (p = 0.72) or skin (p = 0.15) collagen C:N\text{Atomic}. The same test showed a significant (p = 0.03) but extremely small (c. 0.019) difference between the mean C:N\text{Atomic} of skin and bone collagen for Actinopterygii. This difference appears to be driven by the large number of skin collagen amino acid compositions from cold water fish, which typically have less hydroxyproline (carbon rich) and more serine (carbon poor; see Supporting Information 1, Figure S1) relative to warmer water fish. Lower C:N\text{Atomic} and hydroxyproline abundances and higher serine abundances in the collagen of cold water fish taxa is a well-established observation and is likely related to thermal properties of collagens adapted to colder environments (Rigby, 1967; Rigby & Spikes, 1960). Given the small size of this difference, we proceeded with grouping amino acid composition from all tissues within each taxonomic group for comparisons of mean C:N\text{Atomic} between classes (Actinopterygii, Elasmobranchii, Mammalia and Aves). Shapiro–Wilk tests showed that C:N\text{Atomic} data for all groups are normally distributed, except for Aves (Supporting Information 1, Table S2). Comparing Aves collagen C:N\text{Atomic} with other classes, a Mann–Whitney U test showed no difference with Mammalia (U = 351, p = 0.65) and Elasmobranchii (U = 351, p = 0.14) groups but that mean Actinopterygii C:N\text{Atomic} is significantly lower (U = 93, p < 0.001). For this reason, for further comparisons, data from Mammalia and Aves are grouped and compared separately from Actinopterygii. A one-way ANOVA (F_{2,402} = 45.69, p < 0.001), followed by post hoc Tukeys tests showed that Actinopterygii C:N\text{Atomic} are also significantly lower than all other taxa (for Elasmobranchii p = 0.02, for Mammalia p < 0.001). Elasmobranchii also have lower mean C:N\text{Atomic} than other taxa but this difference is only significant when compared to Mammalia (p = 0.005).

A strong correlation was found between C:N\text{Atomic} and $\delta^{13}$C in our comparison of experimental data from fish (Spearman’s $\rho = -0.875$, $p < 0.001$) and mammals and bird (Spearman’s $\rho = -0.718$, $p < 0.001$) bone collagen with varying degrees of lipid contamination (Figure 2). To establish the cut-off point at which C:N\text{Atomic} can be used to indicate contamination with non-collagenous materials, we compared C:N\text{Atomic} and $\delta^{13}$C for data grouped by C:N\text{Atomic} into cumulative iterations starting at 3.10 and increasing by intervals of +0.01 (i.e. 3.10–3.11, 3.10–3.12, 3.10–3.13 and so on) until significant correlations were identified. Significant correlations were not found in C:N\text{Atomic} and $\delta^{13}$C for sample groups with a C:N\text{Atomic} of 3.30 and lower for fish and 3.28 and lower for mammals and birds. All groups including C:N\text{Atomic} values >3.30, for fish, and 3.28, for mammals and birds, show significant correlations.

**FIGURE 1** Collagen C:N\text{Atomic} for ray-finned fish (Actinopterygii), mammals (Mammalia) and birds (Aves) observed in survey of amino acid compositions (n = 382; for data see Supporting Information 1, Table S1)

**FIGURE 2** Relationship between lipid contamination, as indicated by C:N\text{Atomic}, and negative skewing of collagen $\delta^{13}$C. Plots compare data generated by recent studies (Guiry, Szpak, et al., 2016; Szpak & Guiry, in prep.) on the effects of collagen extraction methods on the elemental and isotopic compositions of 122 bones from 85 fish, mammal and bird specimens. Four to five extraction procedures were applied to subsamples from each bone. Within each group of four to five samples per bone, the $\delta^{13}$C of the sample with the lowest C:N\text{Atomic} was subtracted from the $\delta^{13}$C of the other samples and are plotted against their respective C:N\text{Atomic}. The shaded box shows the acceptable range based on Spearman’s $\rho$ (see Supporting Information 1, Table S3)
We also found a strong negative correlation between Δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{\text{clean-contaminated}}\) and ΔC:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) (clean-contaminated) among different intra sample fish (Spearman’s \(\rho = -0.937, p < 0.001\)) and mammal and bird (Spearman’s \(\rho = -2.472, p < 0.001\)) collagen extracts falling along a continuum of lipid contamination. To quantify the impact that smaller shifts in C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) (even those occurring within the acceptable C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) range) may have on \(\delta^{13}\)C (Figure 3), we compared Δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{\text{clean-contaminated}}\) and ΔC:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) (clean-contaminated) at cumulative ΔC:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) (clean-contaminated) iterations starting at 0.010 and increasing by intervals of +0.005 (i.e. 0.010–0.015, 0.010–0.020, 0.010–0.025 and so on) until significant correlations were identified. Significant correlations were not found in bins with a ΔC:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) (clean-contaminated) difference of 0.030 and lower for fish and 0.060 and lower for mammals and birds. All bins with greater ΔC:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) (clean-contaminated) show significant correlations (\(p < 0.05\)) with correlation strength (as defined by Spearman’s \(\rho\)) growing as C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) difference increases (see Supporting Information 1, Table S4).

4 | DISCUSSION

4.1 | Establishing C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) QC criteria for fish, mammal and bird bone collagen

Relative to fish, mammal and bird collagens are characterized by much less variation in amino acid compositions and lower proportions of relatively carbon-poor serine, threonine, alanine, and glycine and higher proportions of relatively carbon-rich proline and hydroxyproline, on average (Figure 4). For this reason, mean C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) for bird and mammal bone collagen have a narrower range of C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) and are on average slightly elevated by 0.05 over that of fish (Figure 1). The positive correlation between C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) and \(\delta^{13}\)C observed for comparisons that include analyses with C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) > 3.30 in fish and 3.28 in mammals and birds provides useful benchmarks above which collagen stable isotope compositions are likely compromised due to contamination with non-collagenous materials. The utility of these cut-off values is supported by their close agreement with the observed C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) means for fish skin, bone and scale collagen (Table 4, \(n = 290, 3.16 \pm 0.06, \text{range} = 3.00–3.30\)) as well as mammal and bird skin and bone collagens (Table 4, \(n = 95, 3.22 \pm 0.04; \text{range} = 3.11–3.33\)). With respect to using C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) to evaluate the quality of isotopic measurements made on bone collagen, we can therefore use 3.30 for fish and 3.28 for mammals and birds as the upper limit (a cut-off value) for acceptable stable carbon and nitrogen isotope compositions.

Establishing a lower cut-off C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) value is comparatively straightforward because the main sources of contamination for collagen for contemporary and archived historical materials is likely to be carbon rich and will therefore cause an increase, rather than a decrease, in C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\). As outlined above, these contamination sources include lipids as well as mineral (for bone), neither of which contain a substantive nitrogen component (although some lipids, such as phosphatidylycholines have a single N atom), as well as NCPs. Owing to its higher glycine content (with its low C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) of 2), relative to most other proteins, collagen also has a lower C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) than potential sources of NCP contamination (Table 5; Figure 5). While sources of nitrogen-rich, carbon-poor contamination are unlikely in collagen extracts, they could be introduced through instrumental issues and therefore, low C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) still provides a QC indicator for flagging compromised isotopic measurements. For fish, the lowest observed C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) observed in bone collagen is 3.06 based on analyses of modern collagen extracts by Guiry, Szpak, et al. (2016)
and 3.00 based on the survey of published amino acid composition assays \( (n = 290, \text{Supporting Information 1, Table S1}) \). The lowest observed C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) in mammal and bird bone collagen is 3.15 based on analyses of modern collagen extracts by Szpak and Guiry (in prep.) and 3.11 based on the survey of published amino acid composition assays \( (n = 95, \text{Supporting Information 1, Table S1}) \). However, the sample size of published amino acid compositions of mammals and birds is smaller in comparison to fish and therefore may not capture the full range of variation in C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\). For this reason, a lower C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) limit of 3.00 for fish, mammals and birds can be established as a conservative acceptable collagen stable carbon and nitrogen isotope compositions.

It is important to bear in mind that the ranges of acceptable C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) identified here are only a general guideline and that even collagen extracts with C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) that fall within this acceptable range may still have skewed isotopic compositions. Significant correlations in C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) and \( \delta^{13}C \) shifts among different extracts from the same samples show that elevations in C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) of as little as 0.03 can be accompanied by significant negative shifts in \( \delta^{13}C \). For instance, on average a C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) increase of 0.25, which would fall within the envelope of acceptable C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) identified here, was associated with \( \delta^{13}C \) values skewed by approximately 0.5‰. For this reason, it is critical that close attention is paid to optimizing collagen purification protocols.

### 4.2 | Best practices for modern bone collagen extractions

With respect to characterizing the isotopic composition of collagen from any tissue, it is critical that sample pre-treatment protocols are tailored to remove non-collagenous materials as effectively as possible. This is particularly important for ossified tissues like bones and teeth, which are composite materials that include other carbon-rich components (mineral, lipids, NCPs). A variety of techniques have been developed for extracting and purifying collagen from modern and ancient bone and typically include steps for removing bone mineral (demineralization in dilute HCl, Longin, 1971; or calcium chelation with EDTA, Olsson, El-Daoushy, Abdel-Mageed, & Klasson, 1974), lipids (solvent wash, usually with a chloroform and methanol mixture, Bligh & Dyer, 1959; Folch, Lees, & Sloane-Stanley, 1957) and

### Table 5

| Non-collagenous bone proteins | C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) | \( n \) | Size (kDa) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|--------|------------|
| Asproin                      | 3.73 ± 0.01          | 3      | 67         |
| Bone sialoprotein            | 3.53 ± 0.05          | 6      | 46–75      |
| Biglycan                     | 3.68 ± 0.01          | 8      | 270 (38–45 core) |
| Decorin                      | 3.63 ± 0.02          | 12     | 130 (38–45 core) |
| Dentin matrix acidic phosphoprotein 1 | 3.24 ± 0.04 | 4 | 54\(^a\) |
| Fibromodulin                 | 3.67 ± 0.03          | 5      | 59 (42 core) |
| Luminic                      | 3.84 ± 0.03          | 6      | 70–80 (37 core) |
| Osteoadhearin                | 3.79 ± 0.04          | 4      | 85 (47 core) |
| Osteocalcin                  | 3.83 ± 0.1           | 18     | 5.8        |
| Osteonectin                  | 3.74 ± 0.02          | 9      | 35–45      |
| Osteopontin                  | 3.49 ± 0.08          | 8      | 44–75      |
| Osteoregulin                 | 3.15 ± 0.05          | 3      | 50\(^a\)   |
| Periostin                    | 3.65 ± 0.01          | 2      | 90         |
| Versican                     | 3.74 ± 0.03          | 4      | NA (360 core) |

\(^a\)C:N\(_{\text{Atomic}}\) calculated for core protein only.
\(^b\)Size calculated based on complete amino acid sequences in Bioedit v 7.2 (Hall, 1999).

### Figure 5

Select amino acid compositions (see Table 4; Supporting Information 1, Table S6) of non-collagenous proteins prevalent in bone compared to the mean for collagen (all species, \( n = 436, \text{Supporting Information 1} \)). *Amino acids commonly considered to undergo trophic \( ^{15}N \) enrichment (O’Connell, 2017)
acids insoluble NCPs (soaking in NaOH, Haynes, 1967; and refluxing in weak acids, Longin, 1971).

Recently, there has been discussion in the literature suggesting that analysing \( \delta^{15}N \) of whole bone powder produces more reliable results relative to analyses of extracted and purified bone collagen (e.g. Bas, Garcia, Crespo, & Cardona, 2019). While there are some cases where \( \delta^{15}N \) analyses of whole bone of tooth powder may be a desirable alternative to analyses of extracted bone collagen, these are limited to circumstances wherein small sample size negates the ability to extract a sufficient amount of purified collagen from the sample (e.g. Fahy et al., 2014; Guiry, Hepburn, & Richards, 2016; Guiry, Jones, et al., 2018; Rossman et al., 2015). In most cases, however, analyses of \( \delta^{15}N \) from untreated bone powder samples will de facto produce less predictable and more heterogeneous results. This is because a substantial fraction (10% of extracellular proteinaceous material) of whole bone or dentine protein is composed of a variable mixture of NCPs (for reviews, see Gorski, 2011; Orsini et al., 2009; Robey & Boskey, 2013), the most abundant of which include osteocalcin (Gundberg et al., 1984; Hauschka, Lian, & Gallop, 1975; Price, Poser, & Raman, 1976), osteonectin (Termine et al., 1981), osteopontin (Senger, Wirth, & Hynes, 1979; Sodek, Gans, & McKee, 2000) and bone sialoprotein (Ganss, Kim, & Sodek, 1999; Herring, 1972).

Incomplete removal of NCPs creates two major problems for interpreting the isotopic composition of whole bone powder. First, the relative proportions of major NCPs are known to vary significantly within and among bones, individuals and species (e.g. Gorski, 1998; Roach, 1994). This variation in the relative proportions of different NCPs means that the contribution of nitrogen from different amino acids cannot be anticipated. Second, the amino acid compositions of these NCPs each differ from that of collagen (Table 5; Fisher, Hawkins, Tuross, & Termine, 1987; Gundberg et al., 1984), with differing proportions of ‘source’ and ‘trophic’ amino acids (Figure 5) that, in turn, will skew the \( \delta^{15}N \) of whole bone protein relative to extracted, purified collagen. These two levels of variation (in relative proportions of different NCPs and their variable contributions of amino acids with different trophic discrimination factors) will necessarily result in more heterogeneous and less predictable \( \delta^{15}N \) values from analyses of whole bone protein.

In addition to removing the mineral and lipid fractions of bones and teeth, collagen extraction protocols help to remove NCPs, thereby producing a more consistently homogeneous material that is better suited for comparing isotopic compositions within and among anatomical elements, individuals and species. In particular, the demineralization and refluxing steps should remove a substantial fraction NCPs, like osteocalcin, which are tightly bound in the mineral phase (bioapatite) of bone and dentine (Gundberg et al., 1984). Other important NCPs, such as osteonectin, that have a strong affinity for binding to both mineral and collagen, are also at least partly removed through standard HCl- or EDTA-based collagen extraction protocols (e.g. Romberg, Werness, Lollar, Riggs, & Mann, 1985; Termine et al., 1981). Although there has been little work quantifying the NCP composition of bone collagen extracts prepared using different protocols (although see 2018), it is likely that NCPs, while present, remain in very low quantities (Linde, Bhown, & Butler, 1981).

Additional steps have also been recommended for further purify bone collagen and may help to improve collagen QC indicators. Brown, Nelson, Vogel, and Southon (1988) recommended ‘ultrafiltration’ with 10 or 30 kDa molecular weight cut-off (MWCO) filters for the extraction of collagen for AMS radiocarbon dating, a suggestion that has widely been taken up for collagen extraction protocols for IRMS analyses (Dobberstein et al., 2009; Sealy, Johnson, Richards, & Nehlich, 2014). Ultrafiltration removes low molecular weight contaminants, concentrating higher molecular weight collagen molecules (c. 120 kDa per peptide once the helix is unwound) in the resulting extract. While recent experimental research has confirmed that ultrafiltration does not have a meaningful impact on removal of a number of key contaminants (e.g. lipids, Guiry, Szpak, et al., 2016; humic acids, Szpak, Krippner, & Richards, 2017), there has been little research investigating the effects of ultrafiltration on the removal of NCPs from collagen extracts (although see Wadsworth & Buckley, 2018). However, as shown in Table 4, nearly all major NCPs present in bone, including osteonectin (32 kDa; Termine et al., 1981) osteopontin (44 kDa; Rangaswami, Bulbule, & Kundu, 2006) and bone sialoprotein (33–34 kDa; Ganss et al., 1999) are too large for removal through ultrafiltration (even at the 30 kDa MWCO). One exception is osteocalcin (5.8 kDa; Price et al., 1976), a much smaller mineral-bound NCP, but this molecule will likely already have been effectively removed through demineralization (Gundberg et al., 1984). For this reason, ultrafiltration is unlikely to improve NCP removal for collagen purification.

A more effective method for removal of NCPs may be the addition of a NaOH pre-treatment step (Lowry, Gilligan, & Katersky, 1941), which is routinely applied for collagen purification in food chemistry in the process of characterizing collagen amino acid compositions (e.g. Nagai & Suzuki, 2000). This step is also commonly applied during collagen extractions from archaeological materials (between the demineralization and refluxing steps) because it removes base-soluble contaminants like humic acids derived from the burial environment. While use of the NaOH pre-treatment step can reduce collagen yields in ancient samples (Chisholm, Nelson, Hobson, Schwarz, & Knyf, 1983), this should not be an issue for well-preserved, modern bones. The NaOH step does not induce selective loss of amino acids (Katzenberg, 1989; Kennedy, 1988) and, for this reason, should not impact collagen isotopic compositions (Ambrose, 1990).

In summary, isotopic analyses of whole bone should not be supplemented for analyses of extracted bone collagen because the 10% NCP fraction of bone protein will skew isotopic compositions. While standard collagen extraction protocols should remove all major contaminants, including most NCPs, a small fraction of NCPs may remain (bonded directly to collagen). Decades of hindsight in archaeological and paleontological isotopic analyses of collagen extracts from a wide range of taxa show that NCPs are rarely considered to be an important source of contamination for ancient collagen isotopic compositions. However, it is not clear what effect time and burial conditions might have on the survival of NCPs and it is therefore possible that, while NCPs are not a serious issue for ancient tissues,
they may still present an issue for effective purification of collagen extracts from modern tissues (for further discussion, see Guiry & Hunt, 2020). A NaOH treatment step may serve to further reduce contamination of collagen with NCPs and could be used to help ensure that extracts do not fail the C:NAtomic QC criterion for isotopic analye of modern collagen samples.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

Stable carbon and nitrogen isotope analyses of collagen from bones, scales and other tissues are becoming increasingly important in ecological research for a variety of reasons such as access to archived tissues for retrospective research (Dietl et al., 2015) and providing longer-term intra-individual perspectives for migratory studies (Hobson, 2019). While isotopic analysis of collagen has been an integral and well-established component of archaeological and paleobiological research for decades, techniques for assessing data quality in ancient materials are not directly transferable to analyses of collagen from modern tissues. In this study, we have used observations of amino acid compositions from a wide range of species as well as experiment results from fish, mammal and bird bone collagen isotopic compositions to better characterize C:NAtomic QC criteria for isotopic analyses of modern collagen. Whereas widely accepted QC criteria for ancient collagen based on C:NAtomic are between 2.9 and 3.6, for modern tissues we established 3-cut-offs between 3.00 and 3.30 for fish and 3.00 and 3.28 for mammals and birds. However, it is important to recognize that even for collagen extracts with C:NAtomic falling within this range isotopic compositions could still potentially be skewed and it is therefore critical to optimize collagen purification protocols. With respect to collagen extraction protocols, we have also reviewed key processes for ensuring that collagen extractions from modern bone are better able to meet these new collagen quality criteria. In particular, it is important that efforts are made not only to remove lipid and mineral contaminants (known to effect δ13C) but also to ensure that NCPs are removed as these have important consequences for δ13C and δ15N measurements.
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