Emergence of clustering: Role of inhibition
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Though biological and artificial complex systems having inhibitory connections exhibit high degree of clustering in their interaction pattern, the evolutionary origin of clustering in such systems remains a challenging problem. Using genetic algorithm we demonstrate that inhibition is required in the evolution of clique structure from primary random architecture, in which the fitness function is assigned based on the largest eigenvalue. Further, the distribution of triads over nodes of the network evolved from mixed connections reveals a negative correlation with its degree providing insight into origin of this trend observed in real networks.
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Structural features of interaction patterns in complex systems are not completely random, they possess some non-random part, possibly dynamical response dependent local or global structures[1]. Several models as well as statistical measures have been proposed to quantify specific features of networks like degree distribution, small world property, community structure, assortative or disassortative mixing etc. Abundance of cliques of order three, indicated by high clustering coefficient (C), plays a crucial role in organizing local motif structures that enhance the robustness of the underlying system[2]. The functional roles of such motifs have been intensely studied[3]. Many biological networks such as metabolic[4], transcription[5], protein-protein interaction[6], neuronal systems[7], food-web[8] and social systems[9,10] are rich in the clique structure. Moreover, the local C of nodes have been found to be negatively correlated with their degree in metabolic networks[4].

This paper presents a novel method to understand the evolution of clustering and distribution pattern of cliques over nodes which are known to lead hierarchical organization of modularity in network. Here we use stability criteria for genetic algorithm to choose from the population which leads to the evolution of clustering in the final network. We find that presence of inhibitory links during evolution is very crucial for evolution of clustering.

Previous attempts to provide evolutionary understanding of emergence of cooperation[11] as well as to use clustering based constraints for the evolution of other structural properties[12] fail to incorporate effect of inhibition in the connection. Coexistence of inhibitory and excitatory couplings have been implicated in various systems. For instance, in ecosystems, competitive, predator-prey and mutualistic interactions exist among communities of species[13]. Excitatory (friendly) and inhibitory (antagonistic) interactions are also evident in social systems[14]. In neural networks, excitatory and inhibitory synapses regulate the potential variations in neural populations[15]. In context of ecological systems, a celebrated work by Robert May demonstrates that largest real part of eigenvalues ($R_{max}$) of corresponding adjacency matrix, determined by equal contribution from connectivity and disorder in coupling strength contains information about stability of the underlying system[13]. Spectral properties for matrices of ecological and metabolic systems have been further shown to be useful for determining stability criteria based on their interaction properties[16,17].

This notion has further been propagated for neural networks where eigenvalues with larger real part destabilize the silent state of the system[18]. Recent work has demonstrated that the fluctuations of $R_{max}$ leads to transition to the extreme value statistics at particular ratio of inhibitory couplings further emphasizing the importance of inhibitory connections in networks[19].

Genetic algorithm (GA) is a randomized technique motivated from the natural selection process encountered in a species in course of its evolution, that has been successfully applied to computational problems dealing with exponentially large search space[20] as well to model evolutionary systems[21]. Evolution of hierarchical modularity in random directed networks has been proposed[22]. However this approach has been reported to be seemingly insufficient to produce modularity[23], hence the introduction of clustering leading to formation of local structures, might help to refine our understanding pertaining to evolution of hierarchical organization. Instead of directed coupling and segregation of system during generations[22], we consider bidirectional coupling in connected systems with average degree and connectivity being conserved during evolution and investigate the role of inhibitory and excitatory connections behind the existence of clustering in interaction patterns in the evolved network.

Motivated by the coupling behavior known for many real world systems that for a given pair of individuals the behavior remains fixed, we randomly assign behavior to individual nodes of each pair in a time invariant fashion. For instance in food web, the nature of interaction between any pair of predator-prey remains fixed[24]. Also in the mutualistic association of fungi and roots of vascular plant ecosystem, the parasite benefits at the expense of the host[25]. Furthermore, we introduce randomness
in connection strength which fluctuates with respect to time \[16, 26\]. For assigning random weights we choose a uniform random variable, however our proposed technique stands valid for other random variables as well. We implement the above assumptions in GA, elaborated as under.

Considering the Erdős-Rényi (ER) random undirected sparse networks \[8\] as the initial population, we generate another matrix \(\{b_{ij}\}\), devoid of zero entries, consisting of randomly assigned ‘+1’ and ‘-1’ entries \((-1\) entries being assigned with probability \(p_m\)) in order to define behavior of links during evolution. If a link is assigned positive or negative value, it will carry the same sign throughout, and evolution affects only strength of the connection. The fitness of a network belonging to population used in GA, is defined on the basis of \(R_{\text{max}}\) of matrix \(\{c_{ij}\}\), constructed using its sparse adjacency matrix \(\{a_{ij}\}\) and matrix \(\{b_{ij}\\). Note that the largest modulus of the eigenvalues \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) for adjacency matrices of undirected networks characterizes various dynamical properties like threshold of phase transition in virus spread \[27\] as well as synchronization of coupled oscillators \[28\]. The GA in this paper minimizes \(R_{\text{max}}\) as it quantifies the stability of underlying system. In case of symmetric matrices having all real eigenvalues, as well as for the matrices with non-negative entries, according to the Perron Frobenius theorem \(R_{\text{max}} = \lambda_{\text{max}}\). However, for asymmetric matrices with positive and negative entries, both the quantities \(R_{\text{max}}\) and \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) are distinct. In case of predator-prey interactions, due to elliptical shape of spectra, the major axis lies on the imaginary axis, and despite higher value of \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) due to the larger imaginary part of eigenvalues, stability of the system has been shown to be characterized by \(R_{\text{max}}\) \[16\]. Furthermore, construction of matrix \(\{c_{ij}\}\) is inspired by random behavior of coupling strength in real world networks.

\[
c_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
b_{ij}X & \text{if } a_{ij} \neq 0 \\
0 & \text{otherwise } a_{ij} = 0.
\end{cases}
\tag{1}
\]

where \(X\) is a uniform random number between 0 and 1.

We arrange the networks on the basis of increasing order of \(R_{\text{max}}\) values of their associated matrices \(\{c_{ij}\}\). For the next time step, the top 50% of the networks having lower \(R_{\text{max}}\) values, termed as fitter networks, are filtered. In the next time step, these fitter networks are considered and the remaining 50% of the networks are constructed by generating cross of randomly selected pairs of fitter networks. Such a cross is created by randomly selecting blocks of adjacency matrices of specified dimension (10 in this case) with equal probability. Undirected networks are constructed by considering the upper triangular part of these crossed matrices. The average degree of the associated crossed child network is maintained by randomly removing or inserting connections in the networks with some probability (decided by fluctuation in the crossed population with expected total degree of the initial random network). Next we check for fluctuations in absolute value of differences between the mean of \(C\) of the pairs of randomly selected fitter networks and the \(C\) of their crossed child networks. Only small fluctuations are taken in to consideration. On encountering large fluctuation, we discard the generated child network and repeat the preceding steps for creating a cross with the same pair of the fitter networks. Small fluctuations are considered, so that the child networks conserve the property \(\langle C\rangle\) inherited from their parents \[29\]. The above mentioned procedure is repeated for the desired number of time steps.

In a system with only excitatory connections, i.e \(p_{in} = 0\), weak cluster formation is observed (Fig. 1 (d)) with respect to the minimization of \(R_{\text{max}}\) values during the evolution (Fig. 1 (g)). This slight increase in \(\langle C\rangle\) (Fig. 1 (d)) is due to the random fluctuation of coupling weight. Devoid of such random fluctuations, cluster formation is not found. We note that for only excitatory connections the decrease in \(R_{\text{max}}\) is also not significant as evolution progresses. The correlation of local clustering coefficient of a node with its degree \(\langle C_{\text{corr}}\rangle\) exhibits a convergence towards weak negative values. The decrease or increase in the values of \(\langle C_{\text{corr}}, R_{\text{max}}, C\rangle\) exhibits a smooth variation during the structural evolution up to a certain saturation value, after which random fluctuation is observed due to the random variation in the coupling strength during the evolution.

In a system comprising of both excitatory and inhibitory connections (mixed case), for \(p_{in} = 0.5\), prominent clustering is seen even when average degree remains fixed (Fig. 1 (e)). As the value of \(\tau = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} c_{ij}c_{ij} / \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} c_{ij}\) decreases, the \(R_{\text{max}}\) value also
decreases, since the spectral distribution of associated matrices are elliptical in shape and its axis on real line decreases around a fixed center. The rate of decrement in $R_{\text{max}}$ values is high (Fig. 1(b)) till certain generations due to the steep decrease in the values of $\tau$, after which there is a saturation (Fig. 2(a)). Additionally, average of $\tau$ taking negative values (Fig. 2(a)) reflects an increase in the antisymmetric (predator-prey) type of couplings. Such types of couplings are known to play an instrumental role in conferring robustness to ecological systems under external perturbations. We find that the triads, which significantly contribute to the clustering of a network, are evolved in the $[c_{ij}]$ matrices depending upon if excitation (inhibition) from a particular node in a triad gets carried forward and comes back to the same node (Fig. 3(b)) or not (Fig. 3(a)), it turns out that type (a) constitute $\sim 75\%$ of the triads in the evolved network, while the rest are of type (b). As discussed above, in the course of evolution of $[c_{ij}]$ matrices through the GA algorithm, the dominant behavior that persists towards the end is the predator-prey type interactions and the triads observed are of (a) and (b) types. All other types of interactions, for example, competitive (inhibitory-inhibitory) and cooperative (excitatory-excitatory), which might be present in the initial networks, evolve to form triads of type (a) and (b) eventually, where type (a) hails six possible conformations and type (b) adopts only two conformations leading to equivalent probability of occurrence of these triads in the evolved networks. In real-world ecological systems, triads of type (a), popularly known as omnivory chain, are found in abundance and the explanation behind its origin might be an interesting problem to investigate.

Further, the $C_{\text{corr}}$ decreases consistently, depicting the realistic clique distribution over the nodes. This evolved feature of simulation-driven networks complies with the interesting power law behavior followed by the degree and associated clustering coefficient in biological systems.

Moreover, the slower rate of decrease of $R_{\text{max}}$ does not affect the rate of structural changes captured in terms of clustering (Fig. 1(e)). The value of $C$ increases with constant rate and after attaining a fixed configuration, fluctuates due to the random fluctuations in the coupling strengths. It is observed that the mean values of $R_{\text{max}}$ lies very close to its minima over the population. However, the maxima of $R_{\text{max}}$ is widely separated from the minima and mean. The minimum, mean and maximum values of $C$ over the population of the networks used in GA increase together. Surprisingly, the systems consisting of only inhibitory couplings ($p_{in}=1$) display the higher values of $C$ over the evolution as compared to the systems dealing with only excitatory connections (Fig. 1(f)). The rate of decrement of $R_{\text{max}}$, values is smooth as compared to the mixed case. However the maxima, mean and minima of $R_{\text{max}}$ are consistently separated during the evolution. In this case also, the minimum, mean and maximum values of $C$ over the population of the networks used in GA increase together. As opposed to the system with excitatory connections, even after removal of the constraints of random fluctuations in coupling strength, the system in this case exhibits clustering. Recently emergence of modularity has been studied in competitive interactions using dynamical aspects of Kuramoto model. The fact that modular structures have high $C$ values, supports our finding. Furthermore, the evolved system does not show $C_{\text{corr}}$ convergence to fixed values and its average value always fluctuates about zero. The anomalous behavior of $C_{\text{corr}}$ may be further explored to have evolutionary understanding of real world systems.

Even with an increased inhibitory or excitatory connections in the matrix $[h_{ij}]$, the system proceeds towards the balanced situation where inhibitory connections are counterbalanced by the excitatory connections. Fig. 2(b) depicts two different paths for higher excitatory (star) and higher inhibitory (triangle) couplings to unite at balanced situation. For $p_{in}=0.50$, the balanced situation is maintained over generations. The measure $\tau$ decreases for all the three cases and converges to a single value (Fig. 2(a)). As $p_{in}$ increases the $C$ values increase at a faster pace up to $p_{in}=0.2$, after which they saturate (Fig. 4(a)). This value is approximatively maintained till $p_{in}=0.7$ after which $C$ value decreases until it reaches
While making this comparison, it is appropriate to compare regular lattices with the corresponding random networks.

**FIG. 4**: (Color online) Evolved average value of $C$ and $C_{\text{corr}}$ for different $p_n$ values in panels (a) and (b) respectively. In this case system is evolved till 5000 iterations and average of $C$ and $C_{\text{corr}}$ is taken over population and again average is taken over last 1000 generations. Initial ER networks have $(k)=6$ and $N=100$.

to its minimum.

$C_{\text{corr}}$ values attain the lowest point at $p_n = 0.10$, after which they increase at a slower pace over a long regime of $p_n$ followed by the increase at a higher pace for $p_n > 0.7$, finally exhibiting a sharp increase $p_n = 0.9$ onwards (Fig.4(b)). The plausible explanation of the acute decrease of $C$ values from $p_n = 0.70$ in Fig.4(a) is as follows. Despite very high $p_n$ value, as evolution progresses, the networks displays the balance between inhibitory and excitatory couplings with a faster rate than the evolution of $C$ and $C_{\text{corr}}$ (Fig.4(b)). The values of $C_{\text{corr}}$ converges towards zero (for $p_n > 0.7$). Note that for lattices, $C_{\text{corr}}$ value is zero and overlapping region of $R_{\text{max}}$ spread for a network having a balance between inhibitory and excitatory coupling is much higher than the network with only excitatory couplings (Fig.5(c),(d)). What follows that a further increase in $p_n$ leads to an increase in $C$ for a complete inhibitory networks, which can be explained from the fact that for very high $p_n$ values, it is very difficult for the evolved networks to attain balance of inhibitory and excitatory connection weight, thus leading to the evolution of $C$ to higher values. This discussion will become more clear in the following section where in order to provide an insight to the emergence of clustering we provide a detailed comparison of $R_{\text{max}}$ values of regular lattices with the corresponding random networks. While making this comparison, it is appropriate to consider random fluctuations in connection strength of the regular lattices in the same line as done for the random network model considered here.

Fig. 5 presents spread of $R_{\text{max}}$ about its mean over many realizations. Upon comparing networks having only excitatory coupling, the mean value of $R_{\text{max}}$ for lattices (Fig.5(a)) are lesser than those of the random networks (Fig.5(b)). What follows that if the overlapping region between the ranges of $R_{\text{max}}$ values in lattices and random networks is broader, evolution of clustering is hampered. In case of systems with only inhibitory couplings (Fig.5(e),(f)), the overlapping region is less, as a result of which significant clustering behavior is observed, as opposed to the cases with only excitatory connections (Fig.5(a) and (b)). For the mixed couplings case ($p_n = 0.50$), the above explanation does not stand valid as here the clustering evolves in spite of the overlapping region being broader (Fig.4(c) and 4(d)). This behavior might be driven by the fact that $C_{\text{corr}}$ for the mixed case adopts higher negative values in the evolved networks as compared to the excitatory and inhibitory networks. Further, inhibitory weight ($w$) in $c_{ij}$ matrices can be introduced as follows:

$$d_{ij} = \begin{cases} c_{ij}w & \text{if } c_{ij} < 0 \\ c_{ij} \end{cases}$$

For $w < 1$, the networks have higher excitatory coupling strength as compared to the inhibitory coupling strength, whereas for $w > 1$, the vice-versa is true. We vary the value of $w$ while keeping inhibitory-excitatory coupling ratio fixed to 1 : 1, as for the higher or lower values of inhibition, the networks evolve towards the balanced condition (Fig.5(b)). As $w$ increases, the inhibitory coupling strength increases and becomes equal to the excitatory strength at $w = 1$. A further increase in $w$ leads to the higher inhibitory coupling strength as compared to the excitatory and in turn, inclusion of $w$ affects properties of evolved matrices as well. With an increase in $w$, $C$
increases until \( w = 1 \). Further increase in the value of \( w \) leads to a decrease in the \( C \) values (Fig. 6). Therefore, the balanced coupling strength yields the highest clustering values in the evolved networks.

We remark that the Watts-Strogatz model was proposed to capture high clustering and low diameter properties possessed by many real world networks [7]. This model, based on rearrangement of connections among nodes, leads to the networks having high clustering values. In the similar lines, using simulated annealing technique clustering have been shown to be evolved as a consequence of tradeoff between maximized connectivity and minimized wiring [33]. Further, triadic closure mechanism which is based on enforcement of the connections to form triads models clustering coefficient distribution of the triads over the nodes observed in many real networks [12]. Various other models motivated from the closer triadic method produce other realistic interaction patterns [39–42]. All these methods take one or other parameter to create the abundance of triads, whereas our work uses a very different approach. In this approach the initial random networks are evolved to a structure having realistic features by selecting those networks during the evolution which have structural properties beneficial for a stable dynamics. Additionally, this approach does not enforce the connections to form triads [12], or does not start with a clustered network [7], infact the clustering evolves naturally in a network. Another advantage of the method is to provide an explanation to the abundance of omnivory-chain existing in nature [32, 33].

To conclude, we present a novel method based on GA to evolve a network which has high clustering. We demonstrate that as a system proceeds towards stability maximization accounted by \( R_{\text{max}} \), clustering coefficient also follows an increasing trend implicating its importance for the stability of the system during its evolution. Presence of inhibitory links, among other parameters such as fluctuations in coupling strength and the pre-defined interaction pattern between given pair of nodes, emerges as crucial factors in evolution of clustering. In the case of ER network, the expected values of \( C \) are equal to its connection probability. However, real world networks are known to have high degree of clustering due to their non random local structures. Randomness and optimization features co-exist in complex real world systems [50]. We present the evolution of clustering in random networks by maximizing the stability using an optimization technique, which is close to GA. Connectivity is a constraint that a system ought to maintain in order to attain completeness, and need not be a mandatory feature from the stability view point. A connection behavior is dependent on its interacting individuals, the nature of which, as suggested in this paper, will remain predefined in case any future connection happens to arise between them. Further, the coupling strengths might carry fluctuations as randomness is a universal phenomenon [13, 16, 30]. With this assumptions, the simulation results provide plausible reasons behind the origin of clustering and clique distribution prevalent in real world systems. These features further help in attaining hierarchical organization of modularity with clustering behavior. They also help in unraveling the evolutionary rules behind the existence of local motif structures having cliques of order three. While importance of inhibition has already been emphasized for functioning and evolution (see for example [14, 35]), this paper demonstrates that inhibition is crucial for the evolution of clustering, with an additional essential parameter which is randomness. Even in the case of systems with only inhibitory couplings, clustering exists in absence of randomness in coupling strength. Randomness in coupling weight yields a strong clique formation when inhibition is present whereas for only excitatory couplings, the effect is weak. As long as initial networks have very poor clustering, which can be treated as an adverse situation, there is a scope of evolution through GA by maximizing stability which further detects the importance of inhibition. For instance, if we start with random networks having high average degree which implicates in clustering as high as comparable to real world networks.

The main assumption behind the formation of the behavior matrix \([h_{ij}] \) is the time invariant behavior of coupling. However small changes in nature of interaction in behavior matrix, with some minimal probability which propagates during the evolution, leads to clustering, provided the matrix obeys the main constraint of the presence of inhibitory coupling. This establishes a flexibility of the model for explaining the origin of high clustering value evident in various systems. With an increase in the probability of introducing changes in the behavior, we have observed that \( R_{\text{max}} \) values cease to decrease with no significant increase in the \( C \) values clearly indicating the importance of a fixed coupling behavior in the course of evolution providing an interesting segment to be explored in future. We remark that while increase in system size and number of connections \((N \text{ and } \langle k \rangle)\) remain an important aspect [12], change in the interaction pattern or rewiring is also considered to be crucial for evolution [37]. The scheme presented in this paper considered fixed values of \( N \) and number of connections throughout the evolution in order to capture impact of the stability maximization on the behavior of interaction pattern.

Clustering is a feature common in both man-made and natural complex systems. In man-made systems, several factors influence the emergence of clustering, for example in social networks, making friendship with people having common friends due to their common professions or common opinions, is highly probable. Such a provision of commonness does not seem to exist in natural systems. Stability can be treated as a factor while modeling both man-made and natural systems. Though the importance of inhibition in the evolution of clustering through stability maximization has been explained using very simple model system of 1-d lattice, the results presented here first time reveal the importance of inhibitory coupling for
evolution of clustering using GA. This framework thus opens a new interesting direction towards understanding the evolution of structures in complex networks where inhibition in connections is not only present but has been found to be crucial for functioning of underlying system, for instance brain networks and ecological networks. This optimization technique, inspired by the Darwinian evolution, can be further extended to more general setting in order to get insight into evolutionary origin of other structural properties [43]. Our approach, although not exactly but up to a satisfiable extent could evolve the omnivory chain prevalent in ecological systems [32][33]. Optimization of the stability maximization approach in order to replicate the real world scenario would certainly be an interesting future direction to investigate.
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