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Global Wheat-Related R&D Expenditure Estimates

Absent published data on global public wheat-related R&D expenditures, we used the following multi-step procedure to derive an estimate of public R&D spending on pest and disease related wheat R&D worldwide. Here pest and disease research includes all the crop breeding, plant pathology, entomology and related R&D within wheat research as encompassed in the USDA-CRIS (1993) Research Problem Areas (RPA) with designations “Control of Insects, Mites, Snails, and Slugs Affecting Field Crops and Range” and “Control of Diseases and Nematodes of Field Crops and Range” for the data covering the period 1970 to 1997, and USDA-CRIS (1998, 2005, 2013) Knowledge Areas with designations “Insects, Mites, and Other Arthropods Affecting Plants”, “Pathogens and Nematodes Affecting Plants”, “Diseases And Nematodes Affecting Plants”, “Vertebrates, Mollusks, and Other Pests Affecting Plants”, and “Biological Control of Pests Affecting Plants” for the data covering the period 1998 to 2018.

Step 1

We first compiled a state-specific data series on U.S. public wheat R&D spending drawing on unpublished annual data files obtained from USDA-CRIS (various years) for the period 1980-2015. Wheat R&D spending concords with spending on research in the Research Problem Areas (RPA) and Knowledge Areas as described above. Together with the annual, state-level estimates of the wheat R&D expenditures incurred by the State Agricultural Experiment Stations (SAESs) maintained by the InSTePP Center at the University of Minnesota (see, e.g., Pardey and Smith 2017 and Pardey et al. 2016), we formed annual estimates of the intensity of wheat in total R&D (i.e., US public wheat R&D / US Public agR&D) for the period 1980-2015.

Using state-level estimates of the cash receipts by farmers for wheat sales taken from USDA-ERS (2020), and the total (farm level) value of cash receipts for all agricultural output taken from the same source, we formed annual, state-level estimates of the intensity of wheat in the total value of agricultural production, VOP (i.e., wheat VOP / ag VOP).

A linear regression was then run on the intensity of wheat R&D against the intensity of wheat value of production, forcing the regression through the origin such that

\[ \frac{\text{US Public Wheat R&D}}{\text{US Public agR&D}} = 0.3923 \frac{\text{US wheatVOP}}{\text{US agVOP}} \]

with an \( R^2 = 0.61 \), and a standard error on the estimated coefficient equal to 0.0071. The state level intensities and fitted regression are plotted in Figure S3.

Step 2

Using a national level extraction of R&D data from (USDA-CRIS, various years) we estimated that the share of wheat pest and disease related R&D in total public wheat R&D averaged 25.5%, an average that was reasonably stable over the period 1970-2015.

Step 3

Assuming the estimated relationships in steps 1 and 2 are indicative of the structure of wheat R&D throughout the world, we formed estimates of the global wheat R&D spending and related pest and disease research as follows:

Global wheat R&D \( \approx 0.3923 \sum_{i} \text{Ag R&D} \times (\text{Wheat VOP} / \text{Ag VOP}) \)

Global wheat pest & disease R&D \( \approx 0.255 \times \text{Global wheat R&D} \)
where \( i \) indexes country. National wheat VOP and ag VOP values were obtained from FAO (2021).

The global wheat R&D and global wheat pest and disease R&D estimates were formed annually for the period 1980-2015 and expressed in 2018 PPP (purchasing power parity) dollars (World Bank 2020) that were obtained using country level implicit GDP deflator (UN, 2020). The $185 million and $540 million data reported in Figure 3 represent a simple average of the respective annual 1980-2015 series.
Supplementary Figure S1. The likelihood of wheat yield losses from fungal diseases

Notes: x-axis represents proportional yield loss reported for different wheat fungal diseases (e.g., 0.1 = 10% yield loss); y-axis represents the density (or likelihood) of different yield loss levels. The histograms represent observed yield loss data. The line plots represent the fitted beta-distributions.
Supplementary Figure S2. The relationship between value of production and public R&D investments for US wheat
### Supplementary Table S1. Regional multi-peril disease co-suitability

| Region                  | Risk Free | Cumulative wheat area share co-suitable for multiple fungal diseases |
|-------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|                         |           | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    |
|                         |           | (percent)        |      |      |      |      |
| Developed World         | 16.9      | 83.1  | 80.8  | 78.9  | 73.3  | 56.4  |
| Former Soviet Union     | 41.2      | 58.8  | 54.9  | 52.3  | 44.5  | 21.6  |
| Western Europe          | 1.0       | 98.9  | 97.7  | 97.1  | 96.0  | 79.5  |
| North America           | 4.5       | 95.5  | 95.2  | 92.0  | 86.7  | 82.2  |
| Australasia             | 12.3      | 87.7  | 83.1  | 81.8  | 66.8  | 43.8  |
| Developing World        | 1.0       | 99.0  | 96.0  | 92.4  | 79.8  | 49.8  |
| Asia                    | 0.9       | 99.1  | 95.9  | 92.0  | 77.9  | 45.1  |
| Latin America           | 1.3       | 98.7  | 97.1  | 95.5  | 91.8  | 80.5  |
| sub-Saharan Africa      | 2.9       | 97.1  | 94.9  | 93.1  | 90.4  | 74.5  |
| World                   | 11.4      | 88.6  | 86.1  | 83.6  | 75.5  | 54.0  |

*Source:* Developed by authors

*a* See Table 1 for details on regional groupings of countries.
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