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Background. Stroke is a leading cause for long-term disability that often compromises the sensorimotor and gait function accompanied by spasticity. Gait abnormalities persist through the chronic stages of the condition and only a small percentage of these persons are able to walk functionally in the community. Material and Method. Patients with chronic stroke were recruited from outpatient rehabilitation unit at Department of Neurology & Neurosurgery, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, having a history of first stroke at least six months before recruitment, with unilateral motor deficits affecting gait. The patients were randomly assigned to either the functional limb overloading (FLO) or Limb Overloading Resistance Training (LORT) group and provided four weeks of training. Result. We found that there was an improvement in gait performance, weight bearing on affected limb, and perceived mobility and community participation. Conclusion. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that has evaluated the effects of functional limb overloading training on symmetric weight bearing, walking ability, and perceived mobility and participation in chronic hemiplegic population. The study demonstrated a beneficial effect of training on all the outcomes, suggesting that the functional limb overloading training can be a useful tool in the management of gait problems in chronic stroke patients.

1. Introduction

Stroke is becoming a rapidly increasing problem and an important cause of disability and deaths worldwide. Incidence and prevalence of strokes in Saudi Arabia are comparatively lower than western countries, which could be because of the predominance of the younger age groups in this region [1]. The annual stroke incidence ranged from 27.5 to 63 per 100,000 population and prevalence ranged from 42 to 68 per 100,000 population [2].

Stroke is a leading cause for long-term disability due to compromised sensorimotor function. Approximately 85% of stroke survivors learn to walk independently by 6 months after stroke, but gait abnormalities persist throughout the chronic stages of the condition. Only a small percentage of stroke survivors are able to walk functionally in the community [3, 4].

The objective of stroke rehabilitation is to enable individual patients to maximize benefits from training in order to attain the highest possible degree of physical and psychological performance. The ultimate goals for many stroke patients are to achieve a level of functional independence necessary for returning home and to integrate as fully as possible into community life.

Ng and Hui-Chan [5] have noted that weakness in hemiplegic stroke patients is sometimes overshadowed over concerns about treatment of spasticity and synergistic movements. Studies have revealed positive correlations between
the strength of specific muscle groups and a variety of functional attributes [6]. Furthermore, a nonlinear relationship between walking performance and muscle strength in the lower extremities has been suggested [7]. However, as the protocols were multifaceted, it was not possible to determine the precise role that the strength-training component may have played in improving walking function.

A number of studies have shown that task specificity and intensity of training are the main determinants of functional improvement after stroke [6, 8, 9]. Moreover, there is growing evidence suggesting that intensive task-oriented practice can induce greater improvement in walking competency than usual practice in stroke survivors [10–12].

Yang et al. [13] in their study on stroke patients undergoing progressive lower limb strengthening using functional weight bearing activities found moderate increases in walking speed. Sullivan et al. [14] found that task-specific training with body-weight support is more effective in improving walking speed but lower limb strength training did not provide any added benefit to walking outcomes.

A major limitation to the conclusions from these studies and systematic reviews is the lack of consistency in the intervention and specified protocols [15–17]. Despite the number of studies dedicated to task-oriented training, none of these studies had combined functional task training with prolonged resistance in the form of limb overloading applied 90% of the awake time. Therefore, we designed this study to address the evidence related to our training protocol to enhance symmetric weight bearing and walking speed and its impact on perceived mobility and community participation in patients with chronic stroke.

We hypothesized that intervention programs that combine lower limb overload with functional task training would be more effective at improving walking outcomes and community participation than lower limb overload training alone.

The design of our study was influenced by the literature on lower extremity strength training and task-specific locomotor training [14, 18, 19]. The use of this design should provide valuable comparisons that reveal the practical benefits of functional limb overloading training to improve walking outcomes after stroke and its effect on perceived community participation.

2. Material and Method

Chronic stroke patients were recruited from outpatient rehabilitation unit at Department of Neurology & Neurosurgery, All India Institute of Medical Sciences. Patient having; (a) history of first stroke at least six months before recruitment, with unilateral motor deficits affecting gait pattern and/or speed, (b) independent walking ability with or without walking aid for at least 10 m, and (c) ability to perform closed-chain exercise were selected for the study. Exclusion criteria included significant psychiatric or cognitive deficits, major cardiopulmonary diseases, chronic pain, or lower limb joints complication.

Study protocols were explained to patients and written informed consent was obtained from them. The patients were then randomly assigned to either the functional limb overloading (FLO) group or Limb Overloading Resistance Training (LORT) group by drawing one of two sealed envelopes designating the group membership.

3. Management Protocol

The patients in FLO group underwent task-oriented gait training of one-hour duration three times per week for four weeks. They were requested to wear the weight cuff, equivalent to 5% of the total body weight, for most of their awake time (approximately 90% of the time). Brown et al. [18] in their study used 15% of the body weight for limb overloading during a cycling task. As our study required the patients to wear weighted cuff most of their awake time and to perform functional tasks wearing these cuffs, therefore, after a pilot trial on few patients, we settled for 5% of the body weight (3–5 Kg), which patients tolerated without much distress.

During the training session, after 5–10 minutes of simple warm-up exercises, the patients performed various functional activities including forward, sideways, and backward walking, turning to 90 and 180 degrees during walking, stair climbing, and so forth. During each session the patients were also made to walk on treadmill for 15 minutes at speed of 10% above comfortable walking. The progression of the training was done by increasing the loading or steps height, or by reducing the speed, or decreasing the patient’s support and/or doing more individually tailored sets, but as a rule the difficulty level was adjusted after six sessions.

The patients in the LORT group underwent resistance-training regime consisting of isotonic exercise using weight cuff tied to ankle or foot. The exercise algorithm was designed by the therapist accounting for strength as well as movement synergy level, to determine repetition maximum (RM) with the given load. The training protocol required each participant to isotonically exercise the affected lower extremity (LE) using external resistance of weight cuff, equivalent to 5% of the total body weight. The training session consisted of 5–10 minutes of simple warm-up exercises and then three sets of 10–15 repetitions maximum, to moderate fatigue with limb overloading. The therapist follows an exercise algorithm that accounted for the participant’s strength as well as movement synergy level to determine RM for specific muscle groups, including hip flexors, hip extensors, knee flexors, knee extensors, ankle dorsiflexors, and ankle plantar flexors, with the given weight.

The outcome measures taken for this study were Cadence, Fast Gait Speed (FGS), Slow Gait Speed (SGS), weight bearing on affected limb (WBAL), and perceived mobility and participation of stroke patients.

The Walkway gait analysis system (TekScan, USA) was used to capture plantar pressure data and temporal (time) and spatial (distance) gait parameters. This system consists of a 5 mm thickness of floor mat composed of 2,288 resistive sensors, with a resolution of 1.4 sensors/cm², a sensor matrix measuring 439.5 mm by 369.9 mm, and a sampling frequency of 40 Hz.

Perceived mobility and participation were assessed by the stroke impact scale (SIS) which is a self-report questionnaire
that assesses aspects of the impact of a stroke on an individual's self-perceived health [20]. Interrater reliability and concurrent validity have been found to be good for SIS [21]. For our study, we have used only mobility and participation domain of SIS. For each subject, the mean scores of items from mobility and participation section were calculated and converted into percentage. High values represent no or few restrictions in participation and low values indicate participation that is more restricted.

An unequal group pretest-posttest design was used and the group comparisons at baseline and after intervention were analysed using a t-test. An alpha level set at 0.05 determined significance in two-sided hypothesis testing.

All analyses were performed using SPSS version 20.0.

4. Results

Twenty-six patients participated in this study. Three patients in LORT group dropped out due to motivational reasons.

The mean age of the participants was 45.2 ± 12.5, 78% of them were male, and the remaining 22% were female. About 44% of the participants had left side hemiplegia and 56% of them had right side hemiplegia (Table 1).

All the participants had stroke at least six months priorly with a mean duration of 16 ± 10 months, unilateral motor deficits affecting gait, independent walking ability with or without walking aid, and ability to perform closed-chain exercise.

Table 2 shows the patient characteristics of both groups at baseline. No statistically significant differences between both groups were found with respect to the selected outcome variables. No statistically significant differences were found (p < 0.05) with respect to measurement of Cadence, SGS, FGS, WBAL, and SIS.

Table 1: Descriptive statistics.

| Variables     | FLO | LORT | Independent t-test |
|---------------|-----|------|--------------------|
| Age           | 45.3 ± 12.3 | 44.7 ± 14.1 | t = 0.12, p = 0.911 |
| Cadence       | 61.1 ± 12.2 | 53.4 ± 15.4 | t = 0.98, p = 0.161 |
| SGS (m/s)     | 31.9 ± 15.9 | 22.8 ± 11   | t = 1.50, p = 0.149 |
| FGS (m/s)     | 59.8 ± 26.2 | 45.5 ± 17.7 | t = 1.58, p = 0.131 |
| WBAL          | 40.2 ± 6.9  | 38.4 ± 8.2  | t = 0.31, p = 0.759 |
| SIS           | 55.6 ± 7    | 53.1 ± 10.4 | t = 0.91, p = 0.376 |

Table 2: Between-group comparison of the dependent variable at baseline.

Table 3: Within-group comparison of the dependent variable in FLO group.

Table 3: Within-group comparison of the dependent variable in LORT group.

Table 4: Within-group comparison of the dependent variable in LORT group.

Table 1: Descriptive statistics.

Table 2: Between-group comparison of the dependent variable at baseline.

Table 3: Within-group comparison of the dependent variable in FLO group.

Table 4: Within-group comparison of the dependent variable in LORT group.

Table 1: Descriptive statistics.

| Variables     | FLO | LORT | Independent t-test |
|---------------|-----|------|--------------------|
| Age           | 45.3 ± 12.3 | 44.7 ± 14.1 | t = 0.12, p = 0.911 |
| Cadence       | 61.1 ± 12.2 | 53.4 ± 15.4 | t = 0.98, p = 0.161 |
| SGS (m/s)     | 31.9 ± 15.9 | 22.8 ± 11   | t = 1.50, p = 0.149 |
| FGS (m/s)     | 59.8 ± 26.2 | 45.5 ± 17.7 | t = 1.58, p = 0.131 |
| WBAL          | 40.2 ± 6.9  | 38.4 ± 8.2  | t = 0.31, p = 0.759 |
| SIS           | 55.6 ± 7    | 53.1 ± 10.4 | t = 0.91, p = 0.376 |

Table 2: Between-group comparison of the dependent variable at baseline.

Table 3: Within-group comparison of the dependent variable in FLO group.

Table 4: Within-group comparison of the dependent variable in LORT group.

Table 1: Descriptive statistics.

Table 2: Between-group comparison of the dependent variable at baseline.

Table 3: Within-group comparison of the dependent variable in FLO group.

Table 4: Within-group comparison of the dependent variable in LORT group.

Table 1: Descriptive statistics.

Table 2: Between-group comparison of the dependent variable at baseline.

Table 3: Within-group comparison of the dependent variable in FLO group.

Table 4: Within-group comparison of the dependent variable in LORT group.
Table 5: Posttest between-group comparisons of the dependent variables.

| Variables | FLO  N = 13 | LORT  N = 10 | Independent t-test | t   | p   |
|-----------|------------|------------|-------------------|-----|-----|
| Cadence   | 81.8 ± 13.8| 68.5 ± 14.5|                   | 2.45| 0.024*|
| SGS (m/s) | 46.9 ± 15.9| 30.2 ± 11  |                   | 2.81| 0.011*|
| FGS (m/s) | 80.50 ± 30 | 55.5 ± 24.1|                   | 2.47| 0.023*|
| WBAL      | 44.9 ± 3.4 | 39.8 ± 6.9 |                   | 2.19| 0.040*|
| SIS       | 64.6 ± 3.4 | 56.5 ± 6.8 |                   | 2.27| 0.046*|

SGS: Slow Gait Speed, FGS: Fast Gait Speed, WBAL: weight bearing on affected limb, and SIS: stroke impact scale score.

Figure 1: Posttest intragroup comparison of outcome variables. SGS: Slow Gait Speed, FGS: Fast Gait Speed, WBAL: weight bearing on affected limb, and SIS: stroke impact scale score.

5. Discussion

The aim of the present study was to find the efficacy of functional limb loading training on gait performance, weight bearing on affected limb, and perceived mobility and community participation in chronic community dwelling stroke patients. In our study we found an improvement in all the selected variables. In patient with chronic stroke, the common impairments associated with muscle strength, motor control, and balance appear to have the strongest relation with walking [22]; therefore these improvements may be due to the increased physical activity undertaken during the training period as it is well documented that patients with chronic stroke have relatively sedentary lifestyle with little physical activities [23]. In the present study, the four weeks of training resulted in symmetric weight bearing and improved gait function, which may be due to the improved muscle strength, coordination, and sensory organization caused by functional overloading of the affected limb. The lower limb overloading along with functional activities could have increased the weight bearing on the affected leg and the proprioceptive stimuli to the joints of the paretic leg causing increased stability.

The significant improvement in terms of weight bearing symmetry on affected leg could be due to the changes in biomechanical alignment of the body, resulting in equal transfer of body weight to both lower limbs. These results can be explained based on previous studies, which suggest that the hemiplegic patients showing asymmetric lower limb weight bearing generally had associated sensory derangements and proprioceptive stimulation can influence postural control and ambulation in such patients [24]. The complex mechanisms, which contribute to gait, and the varying environments demands during functional mobility require interventions that can addresses different elements underlying walking. Impaired ability of the paretic limb to maintain symmetrical loading affects balance and gait. Hendrickson et al. [25] suggested that rehabilitation strategies that increase the contribution of the paretic limb to standing balance control may increase symmetry of walking after stroke. The improvement shown by the subjects in the FLO group may be due to the continuous loading provided to them, which amounted to approximately 90% of awake time.

Even though the effects of resistance training on gait performance are less clear, various studies have demonstrated strong correlations between walking speed and lower limb muscle strength of the paretic side suggesting its positive effect on gait speed and endurance [26]. The curvilinear relationship between muscle strength and functional skills suggests that further improvements in strength after the achievement of functional level may not lead to any substantive gains. Therefore, the significant improvement in the weight bearing on affected lower limb and gait performance in our study may be because of the fact that limb overloading is incorporated with functional activities directed at improving the gait function. The results of our study substantiate the strong evidence suggesting that task-specific training can assist with functional motor recovery, which is driven by adaptive neural plasticity [27]. In this study, the subjects in functional limb overloading training showed a statistically significant improvement in perceived mobility and community participation. The findings are similar to the study done by Flansbjer et al. [28] assessing the effect of progressive resistance training on perceived participation in stroke patient, which found that improvements in gait performance were related to improvements in perceived participation. Other studies measuring changes in function and disability found that self-reported limitations in performing life tasks decreased following resistance training.

6. Conclusion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that has evaluated the effects of functional limb overloading training on weight bearing on affected limb, walking ability, and perceived mobility and community participation in chronic hemiplegic population. The study demonstrated a beneficial effect of training on all the outcomes, suggesting that the functional limb overloading training can be a useful tool in the management of gait problems in chronic stroke patients.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.
Acknowledgments

This research was funded by Sheikh Abdullah Bin Abdul Mohsen Al Tuwaijri Chair for Applied Research in Stroke, Majmaah University, Saudi Arabia. The authors would like to express their gratitude towards Sheikh Abdullah Al Tuwaijri, Rector Dr. Khalid Bin Saad Al Muqrin, and Chair Supervisor Dr. Raid S Al Baradie for providing the necessary support and assistance for completing this piece of work.

References

[1] A. A. Robert and M. M. Zamzami, “Stroke in Saudi Arabia: a review of the recent literature,” Pan African Medical Journal, vol. 17, article 14, 2014.

[2] H. T. S. Benamer and D. Grosset, “Stroke in Arab countries: a systematic literature review,” Journal of the Neurological Sciences, vol. 284, no. 1-2, pp. 18–23, 2009.

[3] D. T. Wade, V. A. Wood, A. Heller, J. Maggs, and R. Langton Hewer, “Walking after stroke. Measurement and recovery over the first 3 months,” Scandinavian Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 25–30, 1987.

[4] S. Mulroy, J. Gronley, W. Weiss, C. Newsam, and J. Perry, “Use of cluster analysis for gait pattern classification of patients in the early and late recovery phases following stroke,” Gait and Posture, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 114–125, 2003.

[5] S. S. Ng and C. W. Hui-Chan, “Contribution of ankle dorsiflexor strength to walking endurance, in people with spastic hemiplegia after stroke,” Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, vol. 93, no. 6, pp. 1046–1051, 2012.

[6] G. V. Smith, K. H. C. Silver, A. P. Goldberg, and R. F. Macko, “‘Task-oriented’ exercise improves hamstring strength and spastic reflexes in chronic stroke patients,” Stroke, vol. 30, no. 10, pp. 2112–2118, 1999.

[7] C. Carvalho, K. S. Sunnerhagen, and C. Willen, “Walking performance and muscle strength in the later stage poststroke: a nonlinear relationship,” Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, vol. 94, no. 5, pp. 845–850, 2013.

[8] G. Kwakkel, R. Van Peppen, R. C. P. Wagenaar et al., “Effects of augmented exercise therapy time after stroke: a meta-analysis,” Stroke, vol. 35, no. 11, pp. 2529–2536, 2004.

[9] C. M. Dean, C. L. Richards, and F. Malouin, “Task-related circuit training improves performance of locomotor tasks in chronic stroke: a randomized, controlled pilot trial,” Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, vol. 81, no. 4, pp. 409–417, 2000.

[10] N. M. Salbach, N. E. Mayo, S. Wood-Dauphinee, J. A. Hanley, C. L. Richards, and R. Côté, “A task-oriented intervention enhances walking distance and speed in the first year post stroke: a randomized controlled trial,” Clinical Rehabilitation, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 509–519, 2004.

[11] C. K. English, S. L. Hillier, K. R. Stiller, and A. Warden-Flood, “Circuit class therapy versus individual physiotherapy sessions during inpatient stroke rehabilitation: a controlled trial,” Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, vol. 88, no. 8, pp. 955–963, 2007.

[12] L. Wevers, I. van de Port, M. Vermue, G. Mead, and G. Kwakkel, “Effects of task-oriented circuit class training on walking competency after stroke. A systematic review,” Stroke, vol. 40, no. 7, pp. 2450–2459, 2009.

[13] Y.-R. Yang, R.-Y. Wang, K.-H. Lin, M.-Y. Chu, and R.-C. Chan, “Task-oriented progressive resistance strength training improves muscle strength and functional performance in individuals with stroke,” Clinical Rehabilitation, vol. 20, no. 10, pp. 860–870, 2006.

[14] K. J. Sullivan, D. A. Brown, T. Klassen et al., “Effects of task-specific locomotor and strength training in adults who were ambulatory after stroke: results of the STEPS randomized clinical trial,” Physical Therapy, vol. 87, no. 12, pp. 1580–1602, 2007.

[15] C. Meek, A. Pollock, J. Potter, and P. Langhorne, “A systematic review of exercise trials post stroke,” Clinical Rehabilitation, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 6–13, 2003.

[16] J. J. Eng and P.-F. Tang, “Gait training strategies to optimize walking ability in people with stroke: a synthesis of the evidence,” Expert Review of Neurotherapeutics, vol. 7, no. 10, pp. 1417–1436, 2007.

[17] R. Teasell, N. Foley, K. Salter, J. Jutai, and M. Speechley, Evidence-Based Review of Stroke Rehabilitation Executive Summary, 13th edition, 2010, http://brainstreams.ca/sites/default/files/EBRSR-Executive-summary-Ed13.pdf.

[18] D. A. Brown, S. Nappal, and S. Chi, “Limb-loaded cycling program for locomotor intervention following stroke,” Physical Therapy, vol. 85, no. 2, pp. 159–168, 2005.

[19] R. Fernandez-Gonzalo, C. Nissemark, B. Åslund, P. A. Tesch, and P. Sojka, “Chronic stroke patients show early and robust improvements in muscle and functional performance in response to eccentric-overload flywheel resistance training: a pilot study,” Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation, vol. 11, article 150, 2014.

[20] P. W. Duncan, D. Wallace, S. M. Lai, D. Johnson, S. Embretson, and L. J. Laster, “The stroke impact scale version 2.0. Evaluation of reliability, validity, and sensitivity to change,” Stroke, vol. 30, no. 10, pp. 2131–2140, 1999.

[21] B. Edwards and B. O’Connell, “Internal consistency and validity of the Stroke Impact Scale 2.0 (SIS 2.0) and SIS-16 in an Australian sample,” Quality of Life Research, vol. 12, no. 8, pp. 1127–1135, 2003.

[22] A. Weiss, T. Suzuki, J. Bean, and R. A. Fielding, “High intensity strength training improves strength and functional performance after stroke,” American Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, vol. 79, no. 4, pp. 369–376, 2000.

[23] M. M. Ouellette, N. K. LeB्रassembler, J. F. Bean et al., “High-intensity resistance training improves muscle strength, self-reported function, and disability in long-term stroke survivors,” Stroke, vol. 35, no. 6, pp. 1404–1409, 2004.

[24] J. C. Outermans, R. P. Van Peppen, H. Wittink, T. Takken, and G. Kwakkel, “Effects of a high-intensity task-oriented training on gait performance early after stroke: a pilot study,” Clinical Rehabilitation, vol. 24, no. 11, pp. 979–987, 2010.

[25] J. Hendrickson, K. K. Patterson, E. L. Inness, W. E. McIlroy, and A. Mansfield, “Relationship between asymmetry of quiet standing balance control and walking post-stroke,” Gait and Posture, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 177–181, 2014.

[26] L. F. Teixeira-Salmela, S. Nadeau, I. McBride, and S. J. Olney, “Effects of muscle strengthening and physical conditioning training on temporal, kinematic and kinetic variables during gait in chronic stroke survivors,” Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 53–60, 2001.
[27] L. G. Richards, K. C. Stewart, M. L. Woodbury, C. Senesac, and J. H. Cauraugh, "Movement-dependent stroke recovery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of TMS and fMRI evidence," *Neuropsychologia*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 3–11, 2008.

[28] U.-B. Flansbjör, D. Downham, and J. Lexell, "Knee muscle strength, gait performance, and perceived participation after stroke," *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, vol. 87, no. 7, pp. 974–980, 2006.