A new take on V(D)J recombination: transcription driven nuclear and chromatin reorganization in RAG-mediated cleavage
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INTRODUCTION

V(D)J recombination occurs during lymphocyte development to create B and T cell receptors that can recognize a vast array of foreign antigen. Diversity is generated within the seven antigen-receptor loci (four T cell receptor loci, Tcra, Tcrb, Tcrd, and Tcrb, and three immunoglobulin loci, Igk, Igk, and Igk) by reshuffling variable (V), diversity (D), and joining (J) gene segments that are arrayed along the length of each of these large loci. Rearrangement is mediated by the RAG recombinase, which binds to highly conserved heptamer and nonamer recombination signal sequences (RSSs) that flank each of the V, D, and J gene segments. Both RAG1 and RAG2 proteins, which make up the recombinase, bind to two segments, bringing them together to form a synapse prior to the introduction of double strand breaks (DSBs). In addition, RAG plays a role after cleavage by holding the four broken ends together in a RAG post cleavage complex that directs repair by the ubiquitous classical non-homologous end joining (C-NHEJ) pathway.

Although the process of rearrangement is common to all antigen-receptor loci and mediated by the same machinery, it is regulated so that Ig and Tcr loci are respectively rearranged at the appropriate stage of B and T cell development. Furthermore, cleavage is restricted at the allelic level (allelic exclusion) to ensure rearrangement and cell surface expression of a single specificity receptor. Studies from numerous labs have validated the accessibility model put forward by the Alt lab and shown that rearrangement is linked with transcription, active histone modifications, and reversible locus contraction, which brings widely separated gene segments together by looping (1, 2).

RAG BINDING AND DISTRIBUTION WITHIN THE NUCLEUS

Both RAG1 and RAG2 are required for cleavage although the endolytic activity lies within the RAG1 protein. RAG2 binds to chromatin via its PHD domain, which specifically recognizes the histone modification, H3K4me3 (3, 4). Genome wide ChIP-seq analyses indicate that RAG2 recruitment mirrors the footprint of this active histone modification. In contrast, RAG1 binding is more directed and occurs predominantly at conserved RSSs (5), however binding can also occur at cryptic RSS sites that are scattered throughout the genome. As RAG binding is not limited to the antigen-receptor loci alone, this raises a question about the mechanisms that direct cleavage. Clearly, DSBs are not introduced everywhere in the genome at sites of active chromatin or indeed at consensus/cryptic RSSs, so there must be other factors involved in determining when breaks are generated.

One possibility to consider, beyond active chromatin and the nature of the RSS, is the localized concentration of RAG1/2. It is logical to assume that the higher the concentration of recombinase in the vicinity of a vulnerable gene, the more likely the chances of cleavage. RAG2 localizes to euchromatic regions of the nucleus and domains of RAG enrichment are clearly visible by microscopy after immunostaining (Hewitt and Skok, unpublished). But what is the mechanism underlying the generation of these focal centers? The data from recent genome wide chromosome conformation capture experiments indicates that co-regulated actively transcribed genes come together in the nucleus in transcription factories (6, 7). Thus, contact between common transcription factor or RAG bound loci will likely increase the local concentration of these factors in the nucleus, as shown for polycomb bound regions that associate to...
form a polycomb body (8). Since gene expression depends on the integrated binding of a number of different remodeling and transcription factors, the balance of these will likely determine which factors are dominant in defining the intra- and inter-chromosomal interaction partners of any particular locus.

**POPULATION VERSUS SINGLE CELL ANALYSIS**

When considering the data from genome wide association studies it is important to remember that signal enrichment reflects the sum of the data derived from a population of cells. What happens at the single cell level may be very different. However, without live systems in which we can track the movements of individual loci in single cells over a period of time, at the simplest level, when focusing on an interaction between two loci in a population, we cannot tell whether chromosome conformation capture signal enrichment reflects interaction at high frequency in only a subset of cells within the population (1); whether at a different time point this interaction will be occurring in the same (1) or a different subset of cells within the population (2); or whether interaction occurs at a roughly uniform frequency in every cell of the population (3) and this leads to an equivalent signal enrichment as the interactions in (1) or (2) (Figure 1).

Nonetheless, in the absence of these live systems we can address some of these questions using single cell DNA FISH analyses on a population of fixed cells. With this approach, although we cannot distinguish between (1) and (2), we can distinguish between these two alternatives and (3) by determining whether interactions occur at a similar frequency in every cell versus a high frequency in a subset of cells. The same issues arise for histone modifications and transcription factor/RAG binding at a particular site. Furthermore, if genome wide data sets are integrated, e.g., chromosome conformation capture and ChIP-seq, the situation becomes even more complex.

**THE ROLE OF RAG IN INTER-CHROMOSOMIC INTERACTIONS**

To examine these issues in the context of V(D)J recombination, we asked whether RAG binding could have a role in bringing RAG bound antigen-receptor loci together in the nucleus in localized recombination centers. We discovered that expression of RAG1 brings target homologous antigen-receptor alleles together in a subset of recombining cells (9–11). Homologous pairing of Ig or Tcr alleles occurs prior to and independent of RAG cleavage because expression of a catalytically inactive RAG1D708A mutant protein can rescue pairing in RAG1-deficient cells. In addition to increasing the local concentration of RAG in the nucleus, a second not mutually exclusive possibility is that communication between the two alleles could be important for regulation of cleavage on homologs. Indeed, we found that the introduction of a break on one allele halts the introduction of further breaks on the second allele through the action of the DNA damage response factor Ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) (10, 11).

Briefly, ATM, recruited to the site of a break on one allele, acts in trans on the second allele repositioning it to pericentromeric heterochromatin (PCH). Transient relocation to this repressive nuclear environment likely causes a degree of silencing that depletes RAG binding on the uncleaved allele during repair of the first break. Thus, ATM-mediated changes in nuclear organization function to ensure asynchronous RAG-mediated cleavage on homologous alleles. Regulation in trans is important for the initiation of allelic exclusion and for restricting the number of DSBs that are introduced at any one time in the cell (10). Based on our results we favor a model in which RAG-mediated breaks are introduced on closely

---

**FIGURE 1 | Population versus single cell analysis**

The three panels provide different outcomes at the single cell level that explain signal enrichment from a population based chromosome conformation capture experiment that reflects interaction between two loci. Signal enrichment could reflect interaction at high frequency in only a subset of cells within the population (1). At a different time point this interaction could be occurring in the same or a different subset of cells within the population (2). A third possibility could be that interaction occurs at a roughly uniform frequency in every cell of the population (3) and this leads to an equivalent signal enrichment as the interactions in (1) or (2).
associated homologs and then separate for repair to facilitate regulated asynchronous cleavage. However, without a live imaging system in which we can track the dynamics of cleavage and repair, we cannot definitively determine whether this is the case. Nevertheless, it is clear that if homologs are paired the uncleaved allele will have immediate access to a high concentration of activated ATM recruited to the site of damage on the cleaved allele.

**HIGHER-ORDER LOOP FORMATION DURING RECOMBINATION**

Beyond locus contraction and homolog pairing we recently uncovered an additional layer of regulation involving nuclear organization that occurs during V(D)J recombination: the formation of higher-order loops (11). Chromosomes occupy discreet territories in interphase cells and the size and position of these within the nucleus is dependent on the cell type and developmental stage (12). Live imaging studies have shown that chromosome territories move very little following mitosis (13), so gene mobility facilitated by the formation of higher-order loops provides an opportunity for loci on different chromosomes to contact each other in nuclear space. Movement of genes away from their individual chromosome territories linked to activation/transcription (14) has been shown to facilitate stochastic inter-chromosomal interactions (15), but little is known about whether pairing of this sort could be involved in regulation of genes in trans. Our data indicate that, as with homolog pairing, RAG1 expression (independent of its catalytic activity) induces the formation of higher-order loops that separate the 3′ end of the antigen-receptor locus, Tcra, from its 5′ end which remains embedded in the chromosome territory (as assessed by DNA FISH with a chromosome paint probe) (11) (Figure 2A). Furthermore, Tcra expression is linked to looping and pairing because in splenic B cells where RAG is not present and Tcra is not transcribed loop formation is inhibited and the two loci pair at a frequency below the levels seen in RAG1-deficient cells. Additional RNA/DNA FISH analyses revealed that the proportion of looped out alleles that are transcribed is greater than those located at the outer edge of the chromosome territory, while those alleles that are buried in the territory are not associated with any RNA signal at all. It should be noted that although we used an oligonucleotide probe pool covering the entire locus except for the most repetitive regions, nascent RNA signals could only be detected at the 3′ end of Tcra, likely because with this assay

**FIGURE 2 | Organization of the chromosome 14.** (A) Example of looping out of Tcra from the chromosome 14 territory in wild-type DP cells. Chromosome 14 paint in green, long Tcra probe in red, 3′ Tcra probe (BAC RP23-255N13) in blue. Scale bar = 1 µm. (B) Examples showing the 3D-organization of the chromosome 14 territory with a chromosome paint (repetitive sequences) in green, an exome probe (gene-rich regions) in yellow and the 3′ Tcra probe in red. The paint and exome domains overlap in splenic B cells and in DP T cells when Tcra is close to the paint domain and not looped out, while the two signals are more separated when Tcra is looped out from the paint. In either case Tcra is never looped out from the exome domain. Scale bar = 1 µm.
FIGURE 3 | Model showing regulation of Tcra/d and Igκ mono-locus recombination by ATM and RAG2 C-terminus through modulation of nuclear organization. In wild-type DN2/3 cells expression of RAG mediates pairing of Igκ and Tcra/d at a high frequency. Association of the two loci occurs through the formation of RAG dependent higher-order loops on one locus. This organization is involved in mediating trans regulation and restricted cleavage: targeted RAG breaks are introduced at the 3′ end of the looped out locus while further cleavage events on the second locus are inhibited during repair of the first break. Regulated asynchronous recombination on the two loci in the same cell involves the C-terminus of the RAG2 protein and ATM (that is recruited to the site of a DSB RAG break). Both factors control cleavage on the second locus by repositioning the uncleaved locus to repressive pericentromeric heterochromatin, inhibiting the formation of higher-order loops, and decreasing the frequency of pairing. In the absence of the C-terminus of RAG2 or ATM the two loci remain euchromatic, loops can form on both, and they stay paired at high frequency. This results in the introduction of bi-locus breaks and damage on closely associated loci, which provides a direct mechanism for the generation of these inter-locus translocations that are a hallmark of ATM deficient and Rag2−/− mice.

There is a threshold below which transcription cannot be detected. Furthermore, we found that RNA signals are not distributed uniformly throughout the population: 1/3 of the cells had no Tcra signals, 1/3 of the cells had one Tcra signal and the remaining third had signals from both alleles. Thus, Tcra transcription of 3′ Tcra occurs in a subset of cells and this is linked with looping out of this region. To examine in further detail how the chromosome territory and the locus are organized in nuclear space, we designed an oligonucleotide probe pool covering only the exon sequences represented on chromosome 14 (called "exome") (16). We used the exome in conjunction with a conventional chromosome 14 paint (that largely encompasses repetitive sequences), as well as the 3′ Tcra BAC probe. Curiously, we found considerable overlap between the paint and exome DNA probes for chromosome 14 in splenic B cells and in DP T cells on chromosomes where Tcra is not looped out (Figure 2B). In contrast there was very little overlap between the paint and exome in DP cells on the allele on which Tcra forms higher-order loops (Figure 2B). These observations indicate that looping out of Tcra extends exome sequences, dragging them to the outside of the territory so that they no longer overlap with the paint signal. These data underline the link between loop formation and transcription that we and others previously documented (11, 14, 17). The Bickmore lab previously showed that chromosome paints only reveal the core of chromosome territories while exome probes can detect gene-rich regions that are mostly located around the outside of these core domains (16). Here our analysis of chromosome 14 in two different cell types provides additional insights into the dynamics of chromosome organization, indicating that high levels of expression from an individual locus and the presence of a trans acting factor such as RAG, can impact on looping, with the gene-rich regions being reorganized outside of the core domain alongside the highly transcribed Tcra.

What is the purpose of higher-order loop formation in DP T cells? Interestingly, we found that looping out of Tcra occurs on only one allele and this was linked to the occurrence of RAG-mediated mono-allelic breaks on the 3′ end of the looped out of Tcra (11). These data link up with previous genome wide analyses from the Schatz lab showing that active histone marks and RAG1/2 binding are enriched at the 3′ region of recombining antigen-receptor loci (5). However, these are population derived data so they do not distinguish between signals in individual cells and on
homologous alleles, thus it is not possible to determine whether RAG and active histone marks are enriched on antigen-receptor loci in a subset of cells and specifically whether RAG binding is more concentrated on the looped out allele. Nonetheless, it is clear that RAG binding coupled with a high level of transcription is linked to movement of the 3’ end of one allele away from the territory and this in turn correlates with the introduction of RAG-mediated mono-allelic cleavage on the looped out allele.

**REGULATION OF MONO-LOCUS CLEAVAGE**

To extend these studies we asked whether similar mechanisms could control RAG cleavage on different loci undergoing recombination at the same stage in development. For this we analyzed the Tcra/d locus in conjunction with the Tcra locus. Although Tcra and Tcra/d occupy the same chromosomal location (Tcra is embedded within the Tcra/d locus) the two loci undergo recombination at different stages of T cell development in CD4 CD8 double negative, DN2/3, and CD4 CD8 double positive DP cells, respectively. For reasons that are not well understood, the Igh locus undergoes a low level of partial rearrangement in T lineage cells and in this context it is of note that Igh has been identified as a translocation partner of Tcra/d in T lineage lymphomas. Specifically, we and others have found that Tcra/d-Igh translocations occur in T-lymphomas from ATM deficient mice (18–21). Furthermore, we recently discovered T-lymphomas with Tcra/d-Igh translocations in mice expressing a truncated version of RAG2, missing the non-core regulatory C-terminal domain crossed onto a p53 deficient background (18). Our recent investigations have revealed that ATM and the RAG2 C-terminus prevent bi-locus RAG-mediated cleavage through similar mechanisms: modulation of three-dimensional conformation (higher-order loops) and nuclear organization of the two loci (22). Thus, the RAG2 C-terminus and ATM control asynchronous RAG cleavage on homologous and heterologous antigen-receptor alleles in a similar manner: through repositioning the uncleaved allele/locus at repressive PCH, inhibiting bi-allelic/bi-locus loop formation and bi-allelic/bi-locus cleavage. This limits the number of potential substrates for translocation and provides an important mechanism for protecting genome stability (Figure 3) (11, 22).

**RAG BRINGS RECOMBINING LOCI TOGETHER IN THE NUCLEUS**

Interestingly, control of cleavage of Tcra/d and Igh in T cells involves RAG-mediated regulation of association of the two loci. Thus, it appears that RAG brings recombining loci together in the nucleus. In this case the two loci are close together in DN cells (when both Tcra and Igh are recombining) but interact far less frequently in DP cells (when Igh is recombined at lower levels). This raises the possibility that feedback control of RAG activity could involve close communication between heterologous antigen-receptor alleles as we have proposed for homologous alleles. Either way, it appears that regulation in trans involves control of loop formation and repositioning of the other allele/locus to a repressive compartment of the nucleus.

Given these findings and the fact that RAG2 can bind to H3K4me3-enriched active loci throughout the genome, we considered the possibility that there could be localized feedback control through association of RAG enriched loci. However, when we looked to see whether RAG induces association of Tcra/d with other hematopoietic lineage specific or housekeeping H3K4me3-enriched loci on different chromosomes in DN2/3 cells (where Tcra/d and Igh are recombining), we found an opposite trend to the relationship between Igh and Tcra/d (Figure 4A), and as shown previously (22): depletion of RAG1 in the cells increased rather than decreased association of these other genes with Tcra/d. This trend was mirrored by Notch1 and Bcl11b that we newly examined here: if anything, RAG1 expression separates these loci from recombining Tcra/d at the DN2/3 stage of development. This is of interest because RAG targeting of both these loci is linked to lymphoid malignancies (23). In contrast, when we examined recorded on a Leica SPE confocal and distances were measured in 3-dimension using Image J software. Association was defined as a distance between the two centers of mass under 1 μm in DN2/3 (A) and DP (B) cells.
interactions in DP cells (where Tcra is recombining) while RAG depletion did not alter the relationship between Igk and Tcra/d (or Notch1 and Bcl11b) in many instances it increased the association of the other genes with Tcra/d (Figure 4B). Moreover, in DP cells, Tcra/d was always closer to the other loci analyzed compared to Igk. It is interesting to note that even though Bcl11b is located adjacent to Igk on chromosome 12, the two loci associate with Tcra/d at different frequencies. In sum, these data indicate that RAG differentially influences the spatial relationship of RAG enriched loci at the DN and DP stages of development. The change in trend in the two cell types could be influenced by the enrichment of bound RAG, the level of transcription, and differences in the transcription factor binding profiles of the individual genes in these cells. What is clear though is that there is a shift in organization of these loci at the two different stage of development that in many cases is affected by the presence of RAG1.

**FIGURE 5 | Model for antigen-receptor locus accessibility to the RAG complex at the time of recombination.** (A) In non-recombining cells, the antigen-receptor locus is inactive, decontracted, and stays within its chromosome territory. It is located at the nuclear periphery or close to pericentromeric heterochromatin (PCH). (B) At the time of recombination, the antigen-receptor locus leaves the vicinity of the nuclear periphery or PCH, becomes contracted (forming internal loops), loops out from the repeat-rich core of the chromosome territory, and becomes accessible to the RAG machinery. Transcription dependent eviction of H2A/H2B dimers from the nucleosomes and the formation of hexamers provides a mechanism for RAG access at recombination signal sequences (RSS).

**TRANSCRIPTION MEDIATED NUCLEOSOME RECONFIGURATION PROVIDES TRANSIENT RSS ACCESS TO RAG**

Our data linking transcription with altered nuclear organization and targeted RAG cleavage of Tcra (11), go hand in hand with another recent paper that demonstrates the significance of transcription and altered chromatin organization in targeting of RAG-mediated breaks (24). Bevington and Boyes examined the requirements for activation of the Igk and Igδ light chain loci by making use of interferon regulatory factor IRF4/IRF8 double deficient mice, which are blocked at the pro- to pre-B cell stage of development (25). One of the major defects of an absence of IRF4 is the loss of activation of enhancer elements on the Igk and Igδ light chain loci, which are blocked at the pro- to pre-B cell stage of development (25). Restoration of light chain rearrangement and non-coding transcription can occur by enforced transgenic overexpression of IRF4 in Irf4−/−
With the current systems that are available it is difficult to study the cascade of interdependent events that occur during V(D)J recombination, particularly since many of these maybe very transient. The challenge now is to develop live cell systems in which cleavage and repair can be analyzed over a period of time in single cells. This is no trivial matter when it comes to the antigen–receptor loci as these are spread over megabases of DNA. In addition to labeling of different regions along the loci, the RAG1/2 recombinase and components of the DNA damage response and repair machineries need to be tagged for visualization. Until such systems are in place the next best approach is to focus on single cell analyses.
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