Insight into the interaction between the RNA helicase CGH-1 and EDC-3 and its implications
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Previous studies indicated that the P-body components, CGH-1 and EDC-3 may play a crucial role in the regulation of lifespan in Caenorhabditis elegans. Homo sapiens DDX6 or Saccharomyces cerevisiae Dhh1p (CGH-1 in C. elegans) could form complexes with Edc3p (Edc3p in yeast), respectively, which is significant for translation inhibition and mRNA decay. However, it is currently unclear how CGH-1 can be recognized by EDC-3 in C. elegans. Here, we provided structural and biochemical insights into the interaction between CGH-1 and EDC-3. Combined with homology modeling, mutation, and ITC assays, we uncovered an interface between CGH-1 RecA2 domain and EDC-FDF-FEK. Additionally, GST-pulldown and co-localization experiments confirmed the interaction between CGH-1 and EDC-3 in vitro and in vivo. We also analyzed PATR-1-binding interface on CGH-1 RecA2 by ITC assays. Moreover, we unveiled the similarity and differences of the binding mode between EDC-3 and CAR-1 or PATR-1. Taken together, these findings provide insights into the recognition of DEAD-box protein CGH-1 by EDC-3 FDF-FEK motif, suggesting important functional implications.

Decapping of mRNA is a key step in eukaryotic cytoplasmic mRNA turnover/decay and therefore of gene expression. More common pathway for mRNA decay involves the removal of 5’ 7-methylguanosine (m7G) cap in the cytoplasm to allow for 5’-to-3’ exonucleolytic decay of mRNA. mRNAs associated with the decapping machinery can be assembled into mRNP granules termed as processing bodies (P-bodies). P-bodies are usually formed by a mechanism namely phase separation within eukaryotic cytoplasm. In addition to mRNA, P-bodies contain enzymes that are involved in mRNA turnover and play fundamental roles in mRNA decay (reviewed in1,2). DCP2, the catalytic subunit of the decapping enzyme, removes the 5’ cap structure from mRNA and inhibits translation and generally commits the mRNA to irreversible degradation, which is carried out by 5’-to-3’ exoribonuclease 1 (XRN1). The catalytic activity of DCP2 can be robustly stimulated by its essential coactivator DCP1. Other proteins such as DDx6, enhancer of decapping-3 (EDC-3), LSm14A, Pat, and the LSm1-7 complex so on, can modulate the recruitment and activity of the decapping complex (reviewed in16).

The human DEAD (Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp) box DDx6 and its orthologs in X. laevis (Xp54), D. melanogaster (Me31B), C. elegans (CGH-1), and S. cerevisiae (Dhh1p) (Fig. 1A,B) play a critical role in posttranscriptional gene regulation by mediating both translational repression and mRNA decapping (reviewed in5,6). In C. elegans, CGH-1 could be detected throughout the life cycle, and it is a very important regulator of many life events, including mRNA mediated silencing, neuron development and mRNA turnover in P-bodies7–9.

In addition, EDC-3 (also called LSm16) is an enhancer of decapping and forms a network of interactions with the components of the mRNA decapping machinery. EDC-3 consists of an N-terminal LSm domain, a central FDF (Phe-Asp-Phe) domain, and a C-terminal YjeF-N domain (Fig. 1C,D)10. The LSm domain mediates DCP1 binding and P-body localization11, the FDF domain directly interacts with DEAD-box helicase Dhh1p in yeast and DDx6 in humans12. In humans, mRNA decapping may play an important role in neurodevelopment and in turn dysregulation of mRNA decapping is related to intellectual disability13,14. Functional analysis indicates that a homozygous variant in human EDC3 (EDC3F54S) that mechanistically fails to enhance DCP2 decapping activity is associated with autosomal recessive intellectual disability13. Moreover, bioinformatics analysis characterizes the role of EDC3 in mRNA decay and association of dysregulation of mRNA degradation with intellectual disability15. In C. elegans, however, the molecular and physiological function of EDC-3 has not been well understood, although it was reported that EDC-3 acts a pivotal part in modulating the aging and lifespan of C. elegans16.
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Figure 1. Structural model of CGH-1 RecA2 domain in complex with EDC-3 FDF-FEK. (A) Schematic view of domain architecture of *C. elegans* CGH-1. RecA1 and RecA2 domain are colored in violet and cyan, respectively. The construct of RecA2 domain employed in this work was also shown. (B) Sequence alignment of CGH-1_{248–420} and its orthologs. The residues for site-directed mutation in this study were marked with blue boxes. The secondary structures were also indicated in this panel. (C) Schematic view of domain architecture of *C. elegans* EDC-3. LSm domain, FDF domain, and YjeF-N domain are colored in blue, yellow and brown, respectively. (D) Sequence alignment of Ce EDC-3_{235-271} and its orthologs. DFDF and FxK are represented by triangles and squares, respectively. The second structures were also shown in this panel. (E) Overall view of this model shown in cartoon. CGH-1 RecA2 domain and EDC-3 FDF are colored in cyan and yellow, respectively.
Currently, we still don’t know in detail how EDC-3 recognizes CGH-1 in vitro and in C. elegans? A yeast two-hybrid analysis had previously found that CGH-1 and EDC-3 interact and that the LSm domain of EDC-3 is not required for this interaction\(^{18}\). Moreover, in C. elegans, a substantial increase was observed in the lifespan of *edc-3(ok427)* mutants harboring a deletion in the *edc-3* locus. The corresponding mutant protein lacks 202 amino acids, including the conserved FDF domain of EDC-3\(^ {15}\). This line of evidence implies that EDC-3 FDF mediated recruitment of CGH-1 might also be involved in the regulation of lifespan in *C. elegans*.

To dissect the structural and biological function relationship, the first step is to elucidate the interaction mechanism between CGH-1 and EDC-3 at the molecular or structural level. In this study, we aimed to investigate the interaction of EDC-3 with CGH-1 in vitro. Here, we expressed and purified recombinant CGH-1\(^ {248-420}\) and purchased EDC-3 peptide from company as described in the section “Materials and methods” (Fig. 1A,C), measured their binding affinity by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) assay. We found that EDC-3\(^ {235-271}\) binds to CGH-1\(^ {248-420}\) with a dissociation equilibrium constant (\(K_D\)) of approximate 0.34 μM. Based on homology modeling [we are not able to crystalize the complex of CGH-1\(^ {248-420}/EDC-3\(^ {235-271}\)], mutation and biochemical analyses, we demonstrated that EDC-3 FDF-FEK is anchored to CGH-1 RecA2 domain through a conserved hydrophobic surface. Additionally, GST-pulldown assays and in vivo colocalization experiment confirmed the physical interaction between CGH-1 and EDC-3. Intriguingly, the binding mode of EDC-3 with CGH-1 is different from that of CAR-1 or PATR-1 (Pat1 ortholog in *C. elegans*) with CGH-1. Altogether, these findings provide insights into the recognition of DEAD-box protein CGH-1 by EDC-3 FDF-FEK motif, suggesting valuable implications for the further research of mRNA decay or/and lifespan in *C. elegans*.

**Materials and methods**

**Plasmids and constructs.** Expression plasmids regarding CGH-1 and CAR-1 were constructed as previously described\(^ {17}\). In brief, the cDNA fragments encoding CGH-1 RecA2 (residues 248–420), CAR-1 FDF-TFG (residues 184–268) were amplified by PCR from *C. elegans* genome library and cloned into the NdeI and Xhol site of a modified pET-28a (Novagen) vector (p28a), in which a thrombin protease cleavage site was removed. Mutants of CGH-1\(^ {248-420}\) were individually generated through the MutanBEST kit (TaKaRa), and then confirmed by DNA sequencing. The cDNA encoding a fragment of *C. elegans* EDC-3 (residues 230–566) plus a C-terminal His\(_{6}\) tag was synthesized by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China) Co., Ltd. The DNA fragment was then cloned into a pGEX-4T-1 expression vector using restriction enzymes BamHI and Xhol, and finally confirmed by DNA sequencing.

**Protein expression and purification.** CGH-1 protein expression and purification were performed as in our most recent paper\(^ {17}\). In brief, proteins were expressed in *E. coli* Gold (DE3) strain (Novagen). *E. coli* cultures with kanamycin were incubated at 37 °C till 

\[\text{OD}_{600} \text{ reached about 1.0, and subsequently induced by 0.5 mM IPTG (isopropyl \(\beta\)-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside) at 16 °C overnight. Cells were resuspended in Buffer A (20 mM Tris–HCl, pH \(7.5, 1 \text{ M NaCl})\) and then lysed using high pressure at 4 °C. Proteins were initially purified by Ni-chelating resin (Qiagen), and further purified by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) on a Superdex 75 column (GE healthcare) in Buffer A. The purified proteins were dialyzed into Buffer B (20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl).

Both GST-tagged and His\(_{6}\)-tagged fragment of EDC-3 protein was induced at 22 °C overnight in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3), initially purified by Ni-chelating resin (Qiagen) and further purified by SEC using a Hiflow 16/60 Superdex 75 in a buffer containing 25 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, and 500 mM NaCl, and followed by dialysis into phosphate buffered saline (PBS).

**Peptide preparation.** A peptide containing the *C. elegans* EDC-3 FDF fragment (residues 235–271) and PATR-1 fragment (residues 30–67) were synthesized from GL Biochem (Shanghai, China) Co., Ltd, respectively. Stock solutions of peptide were prepared in Buffer B described above, and were adjusted to pH 7.5 ± 0.02 for subsequent experiments. The amino acid sequences of EDC-3 FDF peptide and PATR-1 peptide were shown as below:

\[
\text{NH}_2-\text{LADPIDF} \text{DLSDFF} \text{DAENLKLFEKD} \text{DE} \text{DDQQYETVEK-COOH (EDC-3 peptide);}
\]
\[
\text{NH}_2-\text{SDE} \text{DHNI} \text{FD} \text{F} \text{E} \text{DAANDETFGGGL} \text{DN} \text{E} \text{A} \text{L} \text{E} \text{Y} \text{AT-COOH (PATR-1 peptide).}
\]

**Homology modeling.** The amino acid sequences of CGH-1 and EDC-3 were obtained from UniProt database (http://www.uniprot.org/), individually aligned with the orthologs using Clustal Omega\(^ {16}\) and subsequently analyzed using ESPr ipt 3.0\(^ {19}\). The complex structure of *H. sapiens* DDX6 and EDC-3 (PDB code 2WAX) was employed as a template for homology modeling. Homology modeling was performed using the alignment and PDB file to run the script in Modeller program\(^ {20}\). All structural figures were displayed using PyMOL (http://www.pymol.org/).

**Isothermal titration calorimetry.** ITC assays were performed as previously described\(^ {17}\). In brief, it was carried out on a MicroCal PEAQ-ITC (Malvern) at 20 °C with the following settings: reference power, 5 μcal/s; initial delay, 60 s; stir speed, 750 rpm; spacing time, 120 s. Proteins (or its mutants) and peptides were adjusted to 0.05 mM and 0.5 mM, respectively. Experiments were initiated by injection of 1 μl each of peptide into the sample cell (200 μl) filled with protein (or its mutants) solution, followed by 18 injections of 2 μl. The ITC data were fitted with a one-binding-site model using the Origin analysis software.
For the ITC experiment regarding CePATR-1 peptide, using the same buffer conditions and similar titration conditions as EDC-3 peptide. The thermodynamic parameters of titration results are summarized in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

**GST-pulldown assays.** To test if GST-tagged EDC-3 can pulldown CGH-1 protein, about 100 μg protein, GST alone or GST-EDC-3230–566, was incubated with GST resin (GE Healthcare) in PBS for 1 h. The resin was then washed three times with the same buffer. Subsequently, the resin was incubated with ~50 μg recombinant CGH-1248–420 protein or its 4A mutant in the same buffer for 2 h. The resin was then washed five times using the same buffer. The captured proteins in resin were finally heated and analyzed using 12% SDS-PAGE.

To investigate whether CAR-1184–268 can affect CGH-1248–420 binding to EDC-3230–566, approximate 100 μg GST-tagged EDC-3230–566 was incubated with GST resin, followed by three times of wash in PBS. The resin was then incubated with 50 μg CGH-1248–420 in the absence or presence of the same amount of CAR-1184–268 for 2 h, followed by five times of PBS wash, and captured proteins were finally analyzed as described above.

To investigate whether C. elegans PATR-1 peptide will affect the CGH-1 binding to GST-tagged EDC-3230–566 protein, approximate 100 μg GST-tagged EDC-3230–566 was incubated with GST resin, followed by three times of wash in PBS. The resin was then incubated with 50 μg CGH-1230–566 without PATR-1 peptide (0 μM) or with varied concentration of PATR-1 peptide (12.5, 25, or 50 μM) for 2 h, and was washed five times in PBS. The captured proteins in resin were finally heated and analyzed using 12% SDS-PAGE.

**C. elegans strains.** Bristol Strain N2 was used as the standard wild type strain. All strains were incubated on nematode growth medium (NGM) plates seeded with OP50 at 20°C. (SHG1686) CGH-1[ustIS217 III[gfp::cgh-1]], (SHG1687) EDC-3[ustIS218 I[3xflag::mCherry::edc-3]], (SHG1689) CGH-1[ustIS217 III[gfp::cgh-1]]; EDC-3[ustIS218 I[3xflag::mCherry::edc-3]].

**Construction of sgRNA expression plasmids.** We manually searched for target sequences consisting of G(N)19NGG near start codon of genes. To construct sgRNA expression vector, the 20 bp unc-119 sgRNA guide sequence in the pU6::unc-119 sgRNA(F + E) vector was replaced with different sgRNA guide sequences. Primer sequences are listed in Supplementary Table S1.
**Construction of donor plasmids.** For in situ transgene expressing 3xFLAG::mCherry::EDC-3 or GFP::CGH-1 plasmid, a 1.5 kb left arm and 1.5 kb right arm was PCR amplified from N2 genomic DNA. ClonExpress MultiS One Step Cloning Kit (Vazyme C113-02, Nanjing, China) was used to connect these fragments.

**Microinjection.** Plasmid mixtures containing 50 ng/µl sgRNA#1, 50 ng/µl sgRNA #2, 50 ng/µl sgRNA #3, 50 ng/µl Cas9 II expressing plasmid, 50 ng/µl donor plasmid, and 5 ng/µl pCFJ90 were co-injected into N2 animals. Plasmid mixtures were microinjected into the gonads of late young adult *C. elegans*. After recovering from injection, each worm was placed onto an individual OP50 plate.

**Screening for transgene by PCR.** Three days after injection, F1 animals expressing GFP marker were transferred to individual NGM plates and allowed to produce progeny for 2 or 3 days. Progeny of F1 were collected with 50 ml DNA lysis buffer (500 µg/ml Proteinase K, 100 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris, 20 mM EDTA, and 1% SDS), and screened by PCR amplification with designed primers. Primer sequences are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

**Imaging.** Images were collected using Leica DM4B and M165 FC microscopes.

**Results**

**CGH-1 RecA2 interacts with EDC-3 FDF-FEK domain in vitro.** Previous studies in *H. sapiens* and *S. cerevisiae* revealed that DEAD-box RNA helicases DDX6 and Dhh1p mediate protein–protein interactions, depending on the recruitment of specific interacting partners (reviewed in17). CGH-1 is similar to its orthologs DDX6/Dhh1p, which contains two RecA-like domains connected by a short linker (Fig. 1A). In our most recent study, we have demonstrated in vitro that the ATPase activity of CGH-1 can be robustly stimulated by the MIF4G domain of NTL-1a (Not1 in yeast and CNOT1 in humans) in the presence of poly(U) RNA and ATP17. The Ccr4-Not deadenylase complex is responsible for the main ploy(A) removal activity in *C. elegans*22. We have also investigated in vitro that *C. elegans* CGH-1/CAR-1 (Scd6p in yeast and LSm14A in humans) interface17.

In addition to NTL-1a and CAR-1 and their orthologs, the complex formed by DDX6/Dhh1p and EDC-3 is vital for the translational repression, mRNA decay, and P-body assembly and localization23–25. In one recent study, it has been implicated that CGH-1 and EDC-3 might be involved in the regulation of lifespan during aging in *C. elegans*23. However, how EDC-3 interacts with CGH-1 in detail is unclear. Based on the studies of EDC-3 orthologs, we assumed that CGH-1 could also bind to EDC-3 FDF motif via its RecA2 domain. To this end, we expressed and purified the recombinant CGH-1248–420 containing the RecA2 domain (Fig. 1A). As shown by the results of SEC and SDS-PAGE analysis, the quality for proteins is pure and uniform (Supplementary Fig. S1).

To confirm this hypothesis, we next tested the EDC-3 FDF peptide for its ability to bind to CGH-1248–420 by performing the isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) assays. Remarkably, the results showed that the EDC-3 FDF peptide directly binds to CGH-1248–420 with a dissociation equilibrium constant (Kd) of ~0.34 µM and an N value of ~1 (Figs. 2C and 3F, and Table 1). Additionally, a GST-tagged fragment, which includes the central FDF and C-terminal YjeF-N domain of EDC-3 (EDC-3230–566), but not GST alone, can interact with CGH-1248–420 in a GST-pulldown assay (Fig. 4A).

**A model of CGH-1 RecA2 in complex with EDC-3 FDF-FEK.** To elucidate the recognition mechanism of CGH-1 RecA2 domain by EDC-3 FDF, we tried to co-crystallize CGH-1248–420 with EDC-3 FDF peptide, but didn't result in co-crystals. We then performed homology modeling by the Modeller program20. The model was produced using the complex crystal structure of human DDx6 and EDC3 (PDB code 2WAX) as the template due to the high sequence similarity (Fig. 1B,E).

We superimposed the completed model to the crystal structure of apo CGH-1 RecA2 domain, which has been recently determined by us (PDB code 7DTJ)17, giving a root-mean-square-deviation (RMSD) of ~0.75 Å over the backbone Ca atoms, suggesting that the resulting model is well consistent with our determined crystal structure of CGH-1 RecA2. In the model, CGH-1 RecA2 domain consists of the typical RecA-like folds in topology similar to other DEAD-box RNA helicases (Fig. 1E). EDC-3 FDF is embedded into the shallow groove formed on the helices a10 and a14 of CGH-1 RecA2 domain, and folded into the helices H1 and H2 (Fig. 1E).

**The interface between CGH-1 RecA2 and EDC-3 FDF fragment.** In our model, there are two continuous binding sites (Patch 1 and Patch 2) between CGH-1 RecA2 and EDC-3 FDF fragment (Figs. 2A,B, 3A). For Patch1, the phenylalanine Phe247 and Phe249 of the EDC-3 FDF (Phe247, Asp248, and Phe249) motif occupy the hydrophobic pocket (composed of His269, Cys270, Leu271, Asn272, Thr273, and Leu274) of the CGH-1 RecA2 domain (Fig. 2A). In addition, the residues Ser245, Asp246, and Asn252 of EDC-3 may interact with His269, Lys277, and Gln266 of CGH-1 by hydrogen bond or electrostatic interactions, respectively (Fig. 2A). For Patch 2, the residues Leu253 and Phe256 of EDC-3 may mediate the hydrophobic interactions with Phe261 of CGH-1 (Fig. 2B). In addition, the residues Lys258 and Asp262 of EDC-3 may mediate the electrostatic interactions with Glu396 and Arg393 of CGH-1, respectively (Fig. 2B).

To further confirm our structural model, we performed ITC experiment to determine the binding affinity of EDC-3 FDF peptide for CGH-1248–420 WT or its mutants. The substitution of each of CGH-1 residues such as Phe261, Gln266, His269, with Ala weakened the binding affinity by a factor of ~3.4–7.5 (Fig. 2C,D, Table 1, and Supplementary Fig. S2). Particularly, the substitutions of His269, Cys270, Thr273, and Leu274 to Ala (hereafter...
designated as 4A) in the hydrophobic pocket of CGH-1 severely weakened the binding affinity by a factor of ~25.7 (Fig. 2C,D, Table 1, and Supplementary Fig. S2), indicating that the 4A mutation of CGH-1 dramatically disrupted the interaction between CGH-1 and EDC-3 peptide. In line with data, less amount of the 4A mutant of CGH-1 248–420 binds to GST-tagged EDC-3 230–566 than wild-type CGH-1 248–420 does in a GST-pulldown assay (Fig. 4A). These results clearly suggested that the hydrophobic interactions mediated by CGH-1 hydrophobic pocket and EDC-3 FDF motif play a very important role in the recognition of CGH-1 by EDC-3 (Fig. 2A).

In addition, the substitution of Lys277 with Ala (K277A) or Glu (K277E) in CGH-1 weakened the binding affinity by factors of ~8.1 and ~17.5, respectively (Fig. 2C,D, Table 1, and Supplementary Fig. S2), supporting our structural model that Lys277 of CGH-1 mainly mediates the electrostatic interaction with Asp246 of EDC-3.

**Figure 2.** The interface of CGH-1 (cyan) and EDC-3 (yellow). (A) Interaction details of the Patch 1. Amino acids are shown as side chains. (B) Interaction details of the Patch 2. (C) Representative curves of ITC assays. (D) Effects for the mutations on the binding affinity between CGH-1 RecA2 domain and EDC-3 FDF-FEK peptide.
**Figure 3.** Similarity and differences of the binding mode of EDC-3 and CAR-1 for CGH-1. (A) The binding mode between CGH-1 RecA2 domain and EDC-3 FDF. Amino acids in the Patch 2 are represented by the side chains. (B) The binding mode between CGH-1 RecA2 domain and CAR-1 FDF-TFG. Amino acids in the Patch 3 are represented by the side chains. (C) EDC-3 FDF and FEK binding pocket. CGH-1 RecA2 domain is presented as a surface. FEK is marked with blue dotted ellipse. (D) CAR-1 FDF and TFG binding pocket. (E) Sequence alignment of EDC-3241–271 and CAR-1184–214. The conserved residues SDFDF is marked with a pink box, and FEK is marked with a blue box. Second structures were shown on the top. (F) ITC curves: titrating EDC-3235–271 and CAR-1184–268 to CGH-1248–420, respectively. The ITC data for EDC-3 in Figs. 2C and 3F are the same data.
Figure 4. In vitro and in vivo interaction between C. elegans CGH-1 and EDC-3. (A) GST-pulldown assays of in vitro interaction between GST-EDC-3<sub>230-566</sub> and CGH-1<sub>248-420</sub> (WT or 4A mutant). For clarity, only the input of CGH-1 proteins was shown in SDS-PAGE analysis. (B) GST-pulldown assays of GST-EDC-3<sub>230-566</sub> and CGH-1<sub>248-420</sub> (WT) without or with co-incubation of recombinant CAR-1<sub>184-268</sub> protein. (C) GST-pulldown assays of GST-EDC-3<sub>230-566</sub> and CGH-1<sub>248-420</sub> (WT) without or with co-incubation of increased concentration (12.5, 25, 50 μM) of PATR-1<sub>30-67</sub> peptide. Note: PATR-1 peptide is difficult to be seen in this SDS-PAGE analysis because of its small molecular weight. (D) Subcellular co-localization of GFP::CGH-1 and 3xFLAG::mCherry::EDC-3 in C. elegans. In adult germ cells, GFP::CGH-1 and 3xFLAG::mCherry::EDC-3 were concentrated in foci that were distributed in a perinuclear pattern around nuclei.
for stabilization between CGH-1 and EDC-3 (Fig. 2A). Moreover, in line with our model that Arg393 of CGH-1 may electrostatically interact with Asp262 of EDC-3, the substitution of Arg393 with Ala (R393A) decreased the binding affinity by a factor of ~6.1 (Fig. 2B–D). The mutation of Glu396 to Ala (E396A) also weakened the binding affinity, by a factor of ~2.5 (Fig. 2B,D). Taken together, these ITC titration results are well consistent with the interfaces provided by the model, indicating that EDC-3 FDF-FEK is anchored to CGH-1 in a specific manner.

Co-localization of CGH-1 and EDC-3 in C. elegans. A previous yeast two-hybrid analysis indicated that CGH-1 and EDC-3 interact and that the LSm domain of EDC-3 is not required for this interaction16. In line with this result, in vitro pulldown assays also found that the EDC-3 230–566 fragment excluding the LSm domain binds to CGH-1 RecA2 domain (Fig. 4A). Moreover, current models based on in vitro ITC assays suggest that EDC-3 should have a high affinity for CGH-1 in vivo.

To investigate the interaction of CGH-1 and EDC-3 in C. elegans, GFP::CGH-1 and 3xFLAG::mCherry::EDC-3 in situ were constructed via CRISPR/Cas9 technology26. In adult germ cells, GFP::CGH-1 and 3xFLAG::mCherry::EDC-3 were concentrated in foci which were distributed in a perinuclear pattern around nuclei. We crossed GFP::CGH-1 with 3xFLAG::mCherry::EDC-3 and found that a large portion of CGH-1 co-localized with EDC-3, supporting a physical interaction between the two proteins in vivo (Fig. 4D).

The interaction between C. elegans PATR-1 and CGH-1. Structural analysis of the yeast Pat1–Dhh1 complex reveals how Pat1 recognizes Dhh1 via its N-terminal Phe-Asp-Phe (FDF) motif23. Sequence alignment indicates that the yeast Pat1 DFDF motif is not conserved, and the corresponding residues are DDDW (H. sapiens and X. laevis), NGDW (D. melanogaster), and NAEL (C. elegans), respectively (Supplementary Fig. S3A). It has been previously demonstrated by Co-immunoprecipitation assays combined with mutation that the hydrophobic Tryptophan (Trp46) in the Asp-Trp (DW) motif of human PATL1 (Hs Pat1b) is target to the FDF-binding site on DDX623. A substitution of Trp46 to Ala (W46A) or Asp (W46D) in the YFP-tagged PATL1 impaired the interaction with human HA-tagged DDX623.

In C. elegans, Boag et al.8 demonstrated by cell biology that CGH-1 associates with PATR-1 in patr-1-dependent somatic P bodies. In contrast, patr-1 is not required for the formation of storage bodies in developing oocytes8. During oogenesis, CGH-1 associates primarily with CAR-1 and other regulators, and with and protects particular translationally regulated maternal mRNAs to form functional storage bodies or P granules8,27.

To investigate in vitro interaction between CePATR-1 and CGH-1, we performed ITC assays to measure the binding affinity using a synthetic PATR-1 peptide (CePATR-130–67) and recombinant fragment of CGH-1 (CGH-1248–420). The results indicated that the CePATR-130–67 peptide directly binds to wild-type CGH-1248–420 with a $K_D$ of approximate 2.1 μM (Table 2, Fig. 5A, Supplementary Fig. S4). To further identify the possible PATR-1-binding interface of CGH-1, we also measured the binding affinity between CePATR-1 peptide and CGH-1 mutants. The ITC data are summarized in Table 2, Fig. 5, and Supplementary Fig. S4.

In the structural model of CGH-1 and EDC-3, the two phenylalanine residues (Phe247 and Phe249) of EDC-3 reside the hydrophobic patch which consists of His269, Cys270, Thr273 and Leu274 of CGH-1 (Fig. 2A). In line with the structural model, the ITC data indicated that the 4A mutant dramatically impaired the binding affinity between EDC-3 and CGH-1 (Fig. 2C,D). Since the DFDF motif is absent in the amino acid sequence of C. elegans PATR-1, we hypothesize that the hydrophobic patch which consists of four CGH-1 residues His269, Cys270, Thr273 and Leu274 is not important for its interaction with PATR-1. To test this hypothesis, we prepared the four-alanine mutant (4A) and performed ITC experiment. To the end, we demonstrated that the 4A mutant almost has the
same binding affinity with the wildtype CGH-1 protein (Table 2, Fig. 5B, Supplementary Fig. S4). In other words, the four residues (His269, Cys270, Thr273, and Leu274) are not involved in the interaction with CePATR-1 peptide. Additionally, the mutation of each CGH-1 residue Gln266, His269, or Glu396 to Ala showed slight decrease in the binding affinity when compared to that of the wildtype (Table 2, Fig. 5B, Supplementary Fig. S4).

Instead, the substitution of each CGH-1 residue of Phe261, Val262, and Tyr386 to alanine, reduced the binding affinity by factors of 2–3, indicating that Phe261, Val262, and Tyr386 may be involved in the hydrophobic interaction with CePATR-1. Additionally, the mutation of Lys277 to Ala (K277A) or Glu (K277E) decreased the binding affinity by factors of approximate 2.1 and 3.9, respectively. Moreover, substitution of Arg930 to alanine (R939A) weakened the binding affinity by a factor of 2.0. These results indicated that Lys277 and Arg930 may mediate electrostatic interaction with CePATR-1 peptide. Similarly, Lys277 and Arg930 have also been found important to interact with EDC-3 (this work) and CAR-117.

We recently identified a highly conserved FDF (Phe-Asp-Phe) motif between beta-strand 12 and alpha-helix 13 in CGH-1 RecA2 domain but the function is currently unclear (Fig. 1B)17. Mechanistically, Phe355 in CGH-1 may mediate hydrophobic interaction with Thr266 in the TGF motif of CAR-1 and a mutation of Phe355 to Ala (F355A) in CGH-1 decreased the binding affinity by a factor of approximate 5 as measured by ITC assay17. Since PATR-1 N-terminus has also a TGF motif, we hypothesize that PATR-1 may also interact with CGH-1 via similar binding mechanism (Supplementary Fig. S3 and C). Strikingly, the F355A mutant of CGH-1 shows undetectable binding to PATR-1 peptide in our ITC assay (Fig. 5A, Supplementary Fig. S4). These data indicate that Phe355 play a very important role in the recognition of PATR-1 by CGH-1, and the F355A mutation in the FDF motif of CGH-1 almost abolishes the binding of CePATR-1 peptide to CGH-1 in vitro assay. Taken together, these results imply that PATR-1 TGF-binding site is similar to CAR-1 TGF-binding site on the CGH-1 RecA2 domain.

Finally, we ask whether CAR-1 or PATR-1 peptide can affect the binding of CGH-1 to EDC-3? Co-incubation of the same amount of CGH-1 RecA2 domain with GST-EDC-3 showed little or no effect in the binding of CGH-1,48-420 to EDC-3,230-566 in a GST-pulldown assay (Fig. 4B). Moreover, co-incubation of increased amount of CePATR-130-67 peptide with the solution of CGH-1,48-420 and GST-EDC-3,230-566, does not impair the interaction of CGH-1 with GST-tagged EDC-3,230-566 in a GST-pulldown assay (Fig. 4C). These data may reflect a fact that EDC-3 has a higher affinity than CAR-1 or PATR-1 when they are binding to CGH-1 in vitro, as confirmed by our ITC assays.

Discussion

The similarities and differences of the binding mode between EDC-3 and CAR-1 for CGH-1. In C. elegans, CAR-1 is a germline specific cytokinesis, apoptosis, RNA-binding protein27,28, and contains three conserved domains: N-terminal Sm-like (Lsm) domain, central domain with FDF, FFD, TFG motifs, and C-terminal RGG box24. Our most recent works have delineated the recognition mechanism of CGH-1 by CAR-117. By ITC assays, we found that the binding affinity of EDC-3,230-566 is approximately ninefold stronger than that of CAR-1,184-268 when they are bound to CGH-1,48-420 (indicated by Kd, 0.34 μM vs 3.03 μM; Fig. 3F) in the same buffer conditions. It has also been demonstrated that a CAR-1 peptide (184–214) containing only the FDFEK motif binds to CGH-1,48-420 with a D of ~ 43 μM by ITC assay in the same buffer conditions17. The binding affinity of EDC-3 FDF-FEK motif is more 126 times than that of the corresponding region of CAR-1 (0.34 μM (this work) vs 43 μM17).

To understand the molecular basis by which cause so big differences in the binding affinity for EDC-3 and CAR-1, both containing the FDF binding motif that is anchored to CGH-1 hydrophobic pocket, we analyzed the binding mode between EDC-3 and CAR-1 when they are bound to CGH-1, respectively. From the comparison of structural models of CGH-1 and CAR-1, we found that both EDC-3 and CAR-1 utilized the hydrophobic pocket of the CGH-1 RecA2 domain (Patch 1; Fig. 3A–D). For the recognition of EDC-3 FDF motif, CGH-1 residues His269, Cys270, Leu274, Val262, and His269 might be involved17. The patterns of both Patch 1 are similar though the details are not exactly same (Fig. 3A, B). In other words, Patch 1 should not be the basic reason that make the big differences in the binding affinity of EDC-3 and CAR-1 when each of them is bound to the C-terminal RecA-like domain (RecA2) of CGH-1. There are some other reasons those might be critical to affect the binding affinity between EDC-3 and CAR-1 when each is bound to CGH-1 RecA2.

We also investigated the differences in the binding modes between EDC-3 and CAR-1 for CGH-1, which is mainly reflected in the Patch 2 of the EDC-3/CGH-1 complex and Patch 3 in the CAR-1/CGH-1 complex (Fig. 3A–D). In EDC-3, the two CGH-1 binding sites FDF and FEK, which are respectively involved in Patches 1 and 2, are coupled by the helix H1 (Fig. 3A, C, E). In contrast to EDC-3, the FEK motif immediately follows the FDF motif, and they share the common phenylalanine Phe192 in CAR-1 (Fig. 3E). In CAR-1, only the FDF motif is involved in the interaction with CGH-1 (Patch 1), the Glu193 and Lys194 of CAR-1, in which the sidechains are opposite to CGH-1, are not able to interact with CGH-1 (Fig. 3D, E). Instead, the ETFG motif (residues 265–268) in the αC helix of CAR-1 docks to CGH-1 and forms the second binding site (Patch 317). Taken all into together, we uncover the similarities and differences in the binding modes between EDC-3 and CAR-1 for CGH-1.

Coincidentally, the structural basis for the interactions of the P body components EDC3 and Tra1 (CAR-1 in C. elegans) with the DEAD-box RNA helicase Me31B (CGH-1 in C. elegans) are mutually exclusive in D. melanogaster, has been elucidated by structural biology combined with mutational and competition studies25.

Similar binding mode between CAR-1/CGH-1 and ScPat1/ScDhh1p. Here, we have also discussed the binding modes in the complexes of CAR-1/CGH-1 and ScPat1/ScDhh1p. Intriguingly, by structural comparison of ScPat1/ScDhh1p and CAR-1/CGH-1, we found that ScPat1 show the similar binding mode to CAR-1
His269, Cys270, Thr273 and Leu274, which has been confirmed important for the recognition of EDC-3, are also interact with CAR-1. Whether PATR-1 NAEL motif can recognize this small hydrophobic patch PATR-1 may also dock to CGH-1 to form Patch 3. The molecular interaction complex, the ETFG motif of Ce PATR-1 is located at the C-terminus of αC helix (Patch 3).

In summary, EDC-3 mainly employed its short linear motifs, including FDF motif and FEK motif, to interact with CGH-1 RecA2 domain. The results of sequence and structural alignments indicated that the recognition mechanism is conserved. Furthermore, we uncovered the similarity and differences in binding of EDC-3, CAR-1, or PATR-1 to CGH-1.
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