Comparison of biochemical parameters among DPP4 inhibitor users and other oral hypoglycaemic drug users: a cross-sectional study from Anuradhapura, Sri Lanka

Devarajan Rathish 1*, Channa Jayasumana 1 and Suneth Agampodi 2

Abstract

Background: Higher efficacy of incretin-based therapies for type 2 diabetes mellitus has been reported from Asia. Pancreatitis and hepatitis have also been suspected to occur due to dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor (DPP4I) treatment. The present study aims at comparing selected biochemical parameters among DPP4 inhibitor users and other oral hypoglycaemic drug users.

Methods: Patients were recruited from the State Pharmaceutical Corporation, Anuradhapura, Sri Lanka, for a comparative cross-sectional study. Two groups were involved: "DPP4I" user group (n = 63) and "other oral hypoglycaemic" user group (n = 126). Mann-Whitney U test was performed to find a significant difference (p < 0.05) in the distributions of HbA1C, pancreatic amylase, serum lipase, AST and ALT levels between the two groups.

Results: Contradicting to previous Asian studies, distribution of HbA1C (p = 0.569) between anti-diabetic regimes with and without DPP4 inhibitors showed no significant difference. Also, amylase (p = 0.171), AST (p = 0.238) and ALT (p = 0.347) failed to show significance. However, lipase was significantly (p = 0.012) high in the DPP4I group.

Conclusion: The study showed a significantly higher lipase level among the DPP4I users in comparison to other oral hypoglycaemic drug users, and possible reasons were discussed.
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Consideration of adverse effects is essential when choosing an anti-diabetic regime. Reports of acute pancreatitis (fatal and non-fatal) were available for sitagliptin, a commonly used DPP4I [16, 18]. Meta-analyses reveal no increased risk of pancreatitis with DPP4Is [19, 20]. However, most of these reviews conclude with the need for future observational studies to establish an association. T2DM itself is known to cause elevated levels of serum pancreatic-specific amylase and serum lipase [21]. Also, a pattern of increase in unknown effects may be observed when a new agent is introduced to the market, and its use becomes more widespread [22]. However, elevated serum amylase or lipase levels with DPP4Is are still a concern [23]. Also, rare risk of hepatitis has been noted with vildagliptin [18]. Immediate discontinuation is advised if the above two serious adverse effects occur [18].

The study aims to find a significant difference in efficacy using levels of HbA1C among patients of Anuradhapura, Sri Lanka, who were on oral anti-diabetic regimes with and without DPP4Is; pancreatic amylase and lipase were used to compare the risk of pancreatitis; aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) were used to compare the risk of hepatitis. Our null hypothesis is that “there is no significant difference in the levels of HbA1C, pancreatic amylase, lipase, AST and ALT among T2DM patients of Anuradhapura who were on oral anti-diabetic regimes with and without DPP4Is”.

Methods
Study setting
This comparative cross-sectional study was conducted at the State Pharmaceutical Corporation (SPC), Anuradhapura during April–June 2017. Anuradhapura is the largest district of North-central province and in Sri Lanka by surface area. In 2012, it had a population of nearly 856,500 [24]. The majority (94.6%) belongs to the rural sector [24]. Agriculture is their primary (46%) employment [25]. Anuradhapura is also known for the use and abuse of pesticides like organophosphate [26, 27] which are implicated with T2DM [3, 4].

SPC promotes generic prescribing and sells drugs at affordable prices compared to private pharmacies [28]. The prices of 100 mg and 50 mg sitagliptin tablets at the SPC were Sri Lankan rupees 36 (USD 0.23) and 15 (USD 0.10) respectively during the study period. However, private pharmacies sold the above two at Sri Lankan rupees 74 (USD 0.48) and 46 (USD 0.30) respectively. The only outlet of SPC in Anuradhapura is situated very close to the Teaching Hospital Anuradhapura. Also, private diabetic clinics are within 500 m from the SPC, Anuradhapura. The next outlet of SPC is either in the districts of Polonnaruwa, Kurunegala or Jaffna districts which are 100, 115 and 200 km away respectively. The Teaching Hospital provides universal-free health care and is the only tertiary care hospital available for the entire North-central Province, which is maintained by the government. The above facts make Teaching Hospital, the only low-cost option for T2DM patients of Anuradhapura to seek specialised care. Although government hospitals in Anuradhapura have shown high availability of anti-diabetic agents [29], DPP4Is are not available in state-owned hospitals of Sri Lanka. Due to the above reasons, a large number of low-middle-income population visits SPC to obtain anti-diabetic drugs including DPP4Is. Oral hypoglycaemic agents were found to be within the top ten dispensed drugs at SPC [30].

Sampling method
According to the standards of medical care in diabetes—2018 by the American diabetes association, metformin is recommended as monotherapy in type 2 diabetes mellitus unless contra-indicated [31]. Therefore, DPP4Is are rarely used as monotherapy at the local setting. Two groups were chosen for comparison. Those receiving DPP4Is (sitagliptin, linagliptin, saxagliptin, vildagliptin or alogliptin) as part of a dual or triple-drug regime were recruited to the “DPP4I group”. Those who have never received DPP4Is and were on any other dual or triple oral anti-diabetic regime were recruited to the “other oral hypoglycaemic (OOH) group”. All consecutive eligible patients presented to SPC were sampled for the OOH group until the minimum sample size was achieved. The OOH group produced a male to female ratio of 4:3. Then, patients were recruited for the DPP4I group to achieve the same male to female ratio by separately sampling all consecutive males and females who were eligible for the DPP4I group until the minimum sample size was achieved for each gender (DPP4I group male = 36; female = 27). Age and duration of diabetes mellitus were checked for a significant difference between the two groups by using the Mann-Whitney U test.

Selection criteria
Inclusion criteria were the following: aged 18 to 70 years, permanent residence at Anuradhapura for ≥ 5 years, type 2 diabetes mellitus for ≤ 20 years, dual or triple oral anti-diabetic therapy for the last 3 months and not having chronic kidney disease as measured by eGFR of ≥ 60 ml/min/1.73m² according to the CKD-EPI equation. Exclusion criteria were the following: any acute illness, history of parenteral anti-diabetic therapy, Morisky-Green-Levine test medical adherence score of 0–1 [32], history of chronic gastrointestinal disorders, pancreatic disorders, liver disease or malignancy, history of immunosuppression (steroid treatment or chemotherapy), everyday smokers [33], heavy alcohol users [34] and pregnancy.
Sampling size
Minimum sample sizes were calculated as 63 and 126 (1:2), for “DPP4I group” and “other oral hypoglycaemic (OOH) group” respectively using data from previous literature [35] and the formula: \( n_{\beta} = \frac{(1 + 1/k) \sigma \times (Z_{1 - \alpha/2} + Z_{1 - \beta})}{(\mu_A - \mu_B)^2} \) \( \times \) \( 1 + 1/k \). Where, \( n_{\beta} \) is the calculated sample size for the DPP4I group (\( =63 \)), \( k \) is \( n_{\alpha}/n_{\beta} \) (matching ratio (\( =02 \)), \( \sigma \) is the standard deviation (\( =2.3 \)), \( Z_{1 - \alpha/2} \) is the type I error (\( =1.96 \)), \( Z_{1 - \beta} \) is the power (\( =0.8 \)), \( \mu_A \) is the OOH group mean (\( =7.4 \)) and \( \mu_B \) is the DPP4I group mean (\( =8.4 \)).

Instruments and investigations
Demographic data, details on co-morbidities, anthropometric measurements, blood pressure measurement and blood samples for serum creatinine, HbA1C, serum pancreatic-specific amylase, serum lipase, AST and ALT were obtained. Study description, obtaining written informed consent, data collection and physical examination were done by the first author in a separate room at SPC, Anuradhapura. All necessary measures were taken to preserve participant’s privacy and confidentiality.

Blood samples for the relevant investigations were analysed at the Durdans Hospital Laboratory, Anuradhapura. It is a Joint Commission International accredited hospital in Sri Lanka. Procedures for measurement of the above investigations were well established and routinely done at the above laboratory. The methods used for the analysis of serum creatinine, HbA1C levels, pancreatic specific amylase, lipase, AST and ALT were enzymatic colorimetric assay, high-performance liquid chromatography, enzymatic colorimetric assay, enzymatic colorimetric assay, photometric rate (L-aspartate with 2-oxoglutarate) and photometric rate (L-alanine with 2-oxoglutarate) respectively. Quality control for HbA1C was maintained using Bio-Rad lyphochek low and high control [36] and for amylase, lipase, AST and ALT using ROCHE Precinorm U and Precipath U [37].

Data analysis and description
Data was entered to a Microsoft Excel sheet (Additional file 1). Descriptive statistics were used to describe data. Median (interquartile range) and mean (SD) were presented for the biochemical parameters focused in this study. As data were not normally distributed, Mann-Whitney U test was performed to determine differences between the distribution of HbA1C, amylase, lipase, AST, ALT, BMI, waist circumference and blood pressure of the two groups (\( p<0.05 \)). Additional analysis was done using the chi-square test (and Fisher exact where appropriate) to determine significant differences between the proportions having co-morbidities (\( p<0.05 \)).

Results
Most were Buddhist (OOH = 98%, DPP4I = 92%) from Nuwaragam Palata East divisional secretariat division (OOH = 43%, DPP4I = 51%) and educated up to or above the general certificate of education (advanced level) (OOH = 45%, DPP4I = 52%) among participants of both groups. Most (48%) were either unemployed or retired among patients of both the groups. The demographic data, co-morbidities, anthropometric data and blood pressure measurement for both the groups are compared in Table 1. The distribution of BMI (\( p = 0.008 \)) and waist circumference (\( p = 0.001 \)) for the DPP4I group were significantly different from that of the OOH group. Proportion having dyslipidaemia (\( p = 0.017 \)) and hypertension (\( p = 0.040 \)) was significantly high in the DPP4I group. The top five drugs used for other co-morbidities in the two groups are shown in Fig. 1.

A higher median of HbA1C (8.5% (69 mmol/mol)) was found among DPP4I users, compared to that of the OOH group (8.4% (68 mmol/mol)). However, there was no significant difference in the distribution of HbA1C between the two groups (\( p = 0.569 \)). 19.1% (24/126) of OOH group had HbA1c values of <7% (<53 mmol/mol), whereas it was 22.2% (14/63) in the DPP4I group (chi-square statistic = 0.264, \( p = 0.608 \)). A significant difference was observed between the distributions of serum lipase of the two groups (\( p = 0.012 \)). A higher median of serum lipase (52 U/L) was found in the DPP4I group compared to that of the OOH group (45 U/L). However, pancreatic amylase, AST and ALT failed to show a significant difference (Table 2). Further analysis among non-dyslipidaemic patients (\( n = 107 \)) revealed median serum lipase values of 45 U/L and 47.5 U/L for OOH (\( n = 79 \)) and DPP4I (\( n = 28 \)) groups respectively. The distribution of the above serum lipase levels failed to show a significant difference between the two groups (\( p = 0.246 \)).

Additional analysis was done for dual and triple regimes separately. 97.6% (123/126) and 11.1% (7/63) were on dual regimes for OOH and DPP4I groups respectively. HbA1C for dual regimes of DPP4I users (7.5% (58 mmol/mol)) was lower in its median but was not significantly different in distribution compared to the OOH users (8.4% (68 mmol/mol)) (\( p = 0.110 \)). Lipase for dual regimes of DPP4I users was higher in its median (60 U/L vs 45 U/L) and was significantly different in distribution compared to the OOH users (\( p = 0.007 \)). However, pancreatic amylase, AST and ALT failed to show a significant difference (Table 3).

OOH and DPP4I groups had 2.4% (3/126) and 88.9% (56/63) patients respectively on the triple regime. The overall median HbA1C for the triple regime of OOH group [7.8% (62 mmol/mol)] was lower compared to that of the DPP4I group [8.5% (69 mmol/mol)]. The median of serum lipase for the triple regime of DPP4I group (51
U/L) was higher compared to that of the OOH group (45 U/L) (Table 4). As the OOH group had only 03 patients, a statistical test was not performed to find a significant difference in distribution.

Metformin-tolbutamide combination recorded the lowest mean for HbA1C [8.1% (SD 2.8)] among the dual therapies of the OOH group. All dual therapies in the DPP4I group had lower mean HbA1C values than the OOH group; the lowest was seen with sitagliptin-glimepiride combination (6.2%, $n = 01$). Metformin SR-tolbutamide-pioglitazone combination recorded the lowest mean for HbA1C (6.8%, $n = 01$) among the triple therapies of the OOH group; it was sitagliptin-metformin SR-glimepiride combination [(7.6% (SD 1.1)] in the DPP4I group. Sitagliptin-metformin combination recorded the highest mean for serum lipase among dual therapies of the DPP4I group. It was

### Table 1

| Items                           | OOH group ($n = 126$) | DPP4I group ($n = 63$) | $p$ value |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Demography                     |                       |                        |           |
| Median age and IQR (years)     | 53.5 (47–59)          | 54 (48–60)             | 0.968*    |
| Median duration of T2DM and IQR (months) | 66 (24–120)           | 72 (36–120)            | 0.204*    |
| Co-morbidities                 |                       |                        |           |
| Dyslipidaemia                  | 37.3% (47/126)        | 55.6% (35/63)          | 0.017^    |
| Hypertension                   | 44.4% (56/126)        | 60.3% (38/63)          | 0.040^    |
| Ischaemic heart disease        | 9.5% (12/126)         | 12.7% (8/63)           | 0.504^    |
| Hypothyroidism                 | 3.2% (4/126)          | 1.6% (1/63)            | 0.066^    |
| Hyperthyroidism                | 1.6% (2/126)          | 3.2% (2/63)            | 0.815^    |
| Asthma                         | 1.6% (2/126)          | 0.0                   | 0.887^    |
| Osteoarthritis                 | 0.8% (1/126)          | 0.0                   | 0.999^    |
| Anthropometric measurements and blood pressure |               |                        |           |
| Median BMI and IQR (kgm$^{-2}$) | 25.3 (23–28)          | 26.8 (24–30)           | 0.008*    |
| Median waist circumference and IQR (cm) | 92.5 (88–99)         | 97 (92–102)            | 0.001*    |
| Median systolic blood pressure and IQR (mmHg) | 138.5 (125–156)     | 141 (129–151)          | 0.749*    |
| Median diastolic blood pressure and IQR (mmHg) | 84 (76–90)           | 84 (79–90)             | 0.542*    |

BMI body mass index, DPP4I dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors, IQR interquartile range, OOH other oral hypoglycaemics, T2DM type 2 diabetes mellitus

*Mann-Whitney U test was performed

^Chi-square test was performed

#Fisher exact was performed
sitagliptin-metformin-gliclazide MR combination among triple therapies of the DPP4I group. Tables 5 and 6 summarise the mean (SD) for biochemical parameters among dual and triple therapies respectively.

**Discussion**

In contrast to previous literature [7, 8, 35, 38], this study failed to show a significantly lower HbA1C with DPP4I regimes. Also, it showed a significantly higher level of lipase as against previous meta-analyses [19, 20]. T2DM patients from Anuradhapura are not benefited by DPP4Is as much as other Asians. Aetio-pathology, meal pattern, socio-cultural and pharmaco-genomic differences would have contributed. DPP4I inhibits the degradation of already secreted GLP-1. Hence, if widespread use or abuse of organophosphate [26, 27] had attenuated GLP-1 secretion [5, 6] among dwellers of Anuradhapura, DPP4Is would be less effective. However, further experiments are essential to find a definitive causality.

There were no previous similar Sri Lankan data, so data from other neighbouring Southeast Asian countries were used to compare the study findings. A Malaysian study showed a significantly low (P < 0.001) HbA1C for DPP4I users compared to that of controls (7.4% vs 8.4%) [35]. Better glucose indices with the sitagliptin-metformin combination in comparison to the glimepiride-metformin combination were seen among South Koreans [38]. Lando et al. have shown that 36% of incretin modulator drug users had an increase in levels of serum amylase or lipase (or both) compared to 18% of the controls [23]. In Taiwan, a significantly higher risk of acute pancreatitis, within the first 2 years of the initiation of sitagliptin, was found [39]. However, two other Taiwan studies have shown no significance [40, 41]. Also, a national survey in Denmark, systematic reviews and meta-analysis have provided evidence against an increased risk of pancreatitis with the use of incretin modulators [19, 20, 42, 43].

The distributions of BMI and waist circumference in the DPP4I group were significantly higher compared to that of the OOH group. Significantly higher proportions of participants with dyslipidaemia and hypertension were seen among the DPP4I users. DPP4Is are weight neutral [16], attenuate the risk of cardiovascular disease [44] and show a significant reduction in cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein [45] and blood pressure [45]. Therefore, the present findings related to BMI, waist circumference, dyslipidaemia and hypertension might be due to the preference of DPP4Is by physicians for T2DM patients who had the above co-morbidities. However, pancreatitis secondary to dyslipidaemia is well reported [46–49]. ‘Dyslipidaemia induced pancreatitis’ most commonly present with a poorly controlled diabetes and a history of hypertriglyceridaemia [47]. Another study revealed that patients with pancreatitis secondary to dyslipidaemia are predominantly obese and diabetic [48]. Therefore, dyslipidaemia could have contributed to the observed higher median of serum lipase among

**Table 2** Biochemical parameters for all types of therapies—DPP4I study, Anuradhapura 2017

| Investigation | OOH group (n = 126) | DPP4I group (n = 63) | Estimated difference of means (95% CI) | p value* |
|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------|----------|
|               | Median (IQR) | Mean (SD) | Median (IQR) | Mean (SD) |                                      |          |
| HbA1C (%)     | 8.4 (7–10)    | 8.8 (1.9)   | 7.5 (7–10)    | 7.7 (1.2)   | −1.1 (−2.5 to 0.3)                    | 0.110    |
| Pancreatic amylase (U/L) | 36 (27–48) | 44.3 (57.6) | 58 (32–97)    | 60.1 (33.1) | 15.8 (−27.8 to 59.4)                  | 0.095    |
| Serum lipase (U/L) | 45 (35–60) | 48.7 (18.8) | 60 (52–148)   | 91.6 (48.1) | 42.9 (26.7 to 59.1)                   | 0.007    |
| AST (U/L)     | 23 (17–29)    | 26.2 (18.5) | 23 (22–28)    | 24.1 (7.2)  | −2.1 (−16.0 to 11.8)                  | 0.912    |
| ALT (U/L)     | 22 (15–33)    | 26.1 (15.5) | 24 (18–29)    | 23.4 (7.6)  | −2.7 (−14.4 to 9.0)                   | 0.992    |

* Mann-Whitney U test was performed

**Table 3** Biochemical parameters for dual therapies—DPP4I study, Anuradhapura 2017

| Investigation | OOH group (n = 123) | DPP4I group (n = 07) | Estimated difference of means (95% CI) | p value* |
|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------|----------|
|               | Median (IQR) | Mean (SD) | Median (IQR) | Mean (SD) |                                      |          |
| HbA1C (%)     | 8.4 (7–10)    | 8.8 (1.9)   | 7.5 (7–8)    | 7.7 (1.2)   | −1.1 (−2.5 to 0.3)                    | 0.110    |
| Pancreatic amylase (U/L) | 36 (27–48) | 44.3 (57.6) | 58 (32–97)    | 60.1 (33.1) | 15.8 (−27.8 to 59.4)                  | 0.095    |
| Serum lipase (U/L) | 45 (35–60) | 48.7 (18.8) | 60 (52–148)   | 91.6 (48.1) | 42.9 (26.7 to 59.1)                   | 0.007    |
| AST (U/L)     | 23 (17–29)    | 26.2 (18.5) | 23 (22–28)    | 24.1 (7.2)  | −2.1 (−16.0 to 11.8)                  | 0.912    |
| ALT (U/L)     | 22 (15–33)    | 26.1 (15.5) | 24 (18–29)    | 23.4 (7.6)  | −2.7 (−14.4 to 9.0)                   | 0.992    |

* Mann-Whitney U test was performed
the DPP4I users compared to that of the OOH users. As this is a cross-sectional study, the above observation could be an example of ‘confounding by indication’. An exposure (DPP4I) looks as if associated with an outcome (pancreatitis). However, the outcome (pancreatitis) could be resulted due to an indication (dyslipidaemia) for which the exposure (DPP4I) was used [50]. Also, additional analysis among non-dyslipidaemic patients revealed no significant difference between the two groups (p = 0.246) in relation to the distribution of the serum lipase levels.

Glycaemic control could have been confounded by differences between the two groups in age, duration of diabetes mellitus, sex, level of adherence to treatment regime and number of anti-diabetic agents used. However, there was no significant difference in the distribution of age (p = 0.968) and duration of diabetes mellitus (p = 0.204) between the two groups. Both groups were sex matched. Only the patients with a Morisky-Green-Levine test medical adherence score of 2–4 (moderate to high) were included. Both groups had only patients who were on either dual or triple oral anti-diabetic therapy, and separate analysis on dual and triple therapies had similar findings to the overall results.

This study measured AST and ALT as these are commonly used in screening of hepatitis and are considered excellent markers of hepatocellular injury [51]. Diagnosis of hepatitis could be made using biochemical and radiological investigations. Future similar studies, using a combination of biochemical and radiological investigations to detect hepatitis, would help refine the results. This cross-sectional study cannot be expected to reveal definitive causality. However, it is unique in its findings as it was conducted in a rural agrarian district of a low-middle-income country. The study has produced an essential lead for future evaluation and monitoring.

Table 4: Biochemical parameters for triple therapies—DPP4I study, Anuradhapura 2017

| Investigation | OOH group (n = 03) | DPP4I group (n = 56) | Estimated difference of means (95% CI) |
|---------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
|               | Median (IQR)      | Mean (SD)           | Median (IQR)                        | Mean (SD)                               |                                           |
| HbA1C (%)     | 7.8 (7–8)         | 7.5 (0.6)           | 8.5 (7–10)                          | 8.7 (1.8)                               | 1.2 (–0.9 to 3.3)                         |
| Pancreatic amylase (U/L) | 80 (41–80)       | 67 (22.5)           | 36.5 (31–52)                        | 45.3 (26.2)                             | 21.7 (–52.6 to 93.2)                      |
| Serum lipase (U/L) | 45 (41–46)       | 44 (2.7)            | 51 (40–71)                          | 67.2 (69.1)                             | 23.2 (–57.4 to 103.8)                     |
| AST (U/L)     | 27 (14–55)        | 32 (21)             | 26 (19–34)                          | 28.9 (16.6)                             | –3.1 (–23.0 to 16.8)                      |
| ALT (U/L)     | 16 (12–43)        | 23.7 (16.9)         | 24 (16–37)                          | 30.8 (20.8)                             | 7.1 (–17.4 to 31.6)                       

As OOH group has only 03, a statistical test was not performed to find a significant difference in distribution

CI confidence interval, DPP4I dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors, IQR interquartile range, OOH other oral hypoglycaemics

Table 5: Comparison of means for biochemical parameters by dual therapy combinations—DPP4I study, Anuradhapura 2017

| Therapy                  | Investigations | No. of patients | HbA1C (%) | Pancreatic amylase (U/L) | Serum lipase (U/L) | AST (U/L) | ALT (U/L) |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|
| OOH group [mean (SD)]    |                |                |           |                          |                    |           |           |
| Metformin-glibenclamide  | 14             | 9.3 (1.4)      | 36.6 (19.2)| 42.3 (21.4)              | 21.4 (8.2)         | 21.6 (15.2)|           |
| Metformin-gliclazide     | 36             | 8.3 (1.5)      | 39.7 (15.0)| 47.8 (16.1)              | 23.7 (10.1)        | 25.2 (17.8)|           |
| Metformin SR-gliclazide  | 12             | 8.8 (1.8)      | 35.3 (10.5)| 48 (16.1)                | 24.8 (8.5)         | 26.5 (11.7)|           |
| Metformin-gliclazide MR  | 12             | 9.3 (2.0)      | 53.8 (30.1)| 59.4 (21.0)              | 29.4 (10.3)        | 30 (16.4) |           |
| Metformin SR-gliclazide MR| 04            | 9.4 (2.8)      | 187.5 (309)| 51.5 (22.2)              | 20.5 (3)           | 23.3 (5.1) |           |
| Metformin-glimepiride    | 31             | 8.9 (2.2)      | 38.2 (12.7)| 47.6 (16.5)              | 24.7 (8.0)         | 24.9 (10.8)|           |
| Metformin SR-glimepiride | 09             | 8.4 (2)        | 33.9 (11.9)| 50.2 (30.6)              | 487.5 (56.9)       | 36.2 (24.1)|           |
| Metformin-tolbutamide    | 04             | 8.1 (2.8)      | 42 (11.3)  | 52 (4.2)                 | 24.5 (3.5)         | 25 (7.1)  |           |
| Metformin SR-tolbutamide | 01             | 8.2            | 63         | 52                       | 17                 | 12        |           |
| DPP4I group [mean (SD)]  |                |                |           |                          |                    |           |           |
| Sitagliptin-metformin    | 04             | 8 (1.4)        | 74.5 (36.1)| 103.8 (54.1)             | 265.8 (6.5)        | 25 (7.2)  |           |
| Sitagliptin-gliclazide   | 01             | 7.5            | 21         | 49                       | 28                 | 29        |           |
| Sitagliptin-gliclazide MR| 01             | 7.9            | 59         | 125                      | 23                 | 24        |           |
| Sitagliptin-glimepiride  | 01             | 6.2            | 43         | 52                       | 12                 | 11        |           |

DPP4I dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors, MR modified release, OOH other oral hypoglycaemics, SR slow release
Conclusion
The study showed no significant difference in HbA1C, pancreatic amylase, AST and ALT but showed a significantly higher lipase levels among the DPP4I users in comparison to other oral hypoglycaemic drug users. A possibility of dyslipidaemia induced elevation of serum lipase was further discussed.

Additional file

| Therapy | Investigation | No. of patients | HbA1C (%) | Pancreatic amylase (U/L) | Serum lipase (U/L) | AST (U/L) | ALT (U/L) |
|---------|---------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|
| OOH group [mean (SD)] | | | | | | | |
| Metformin-glibenclamide-pioglitazone | 01 | 7.8 | 41 | 46 | 14 | 12 |
| Metformin-glimepiride-pioglitazone | 01 | 7.9 | 80 | 41 | 55 | 43 |
| Metformin SR-tolbutamide-pioglitazone | 01 | 6.8 | 80 | 45 | 27 | 16 |
| DPP4I group [mean (SD)] | | | | | | | |
| Sitagliptin-metformin-gliclazide | 20 | 9.4 (1.6) | 43.4 (17.8) | 58.1 (28.2) | 27 (11.4) | 30.7 (21.4) |
| Sitagliptin-metformin SR-gliclazide | 06 | 9.1 (1.9) | 36 (15.5) | 55.8 (22.3) | 28.7 (8.3) | 29 (12.2) |
| Sitagliptin-metformin-gliclazide MR | 05 | 8.6 (2) | 65.6 (35.8) | 96.6 (55.4) | 38.2 (26.6) | 50.8 (40.8) |
| Sitagliptin-metformin SR-gliclazide MR | 02 | 8.4 (3.3) | 44 (8.5) | 67 (35.4) | 20.5 (12) | 32.5 (30.4) |
| Sitagliptin-metformin-glimepiride | 10 | 8.1 (2) | 35.7 (7.2) | 41.5 (13.9) | 35.9 (27.7) | 25.6 (10.6) |
| Sitagliptin-metformin SR-glimepiride | 09 | 7.6 (1.1) | 57.8 (49.4) | 1098 (157.7) | 24.9 (10.3) | 29.6 (18.9) |
| Sitagliptin-metformin-tolbutamide | 02 | 8.1 (2.8) | 42 (11.3) | 52 (4.2) | 24.5 (3.5) | 25 (7.1) |
| Vildagliptin-metformin SR-gliclazide | 01 | 8.5 | 36 | 84 | 28 | 34 |
| Vildagliptin-metformin SR-gliclazide MR | 01 | 10.1 | 43 | 57 | 13 | 10 |

**Table 6** Comparison of means for biochemical parameters by triple therapy combinations—DPP4I study, Anuradhapura 2017

**Abbreviations**
ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; BMI: Body mass index; CKD-EPI: Chronic kidney disease epidemiology collaboration; DPP4I: Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors; eGFR: Estimated glomerular filtration rate; GLP-1: Glucagon-like peptide-1; HbA1C: Glycated haemoglobin; MR: Modified release; OOH: Other oral hypoglycaemics; OPI: Organophosphorus insecticides; SPC: State Pharmaceutical Corporation; SR: Slow release; T2DM: Type 2 diabetes mellitus; USD: United States Dollars
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