Stress Marker Signatures in Lesion Mimic Single and Double Mutants Identify a Crucial Leaf Age-Dependent Salicylic Acid Related Defense Signal
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Abstract

Plants are exposed to abiotic and biotic stress conditions throughout their lifespans that activates various defense programs. Programmed cell death (PCD) is an extreme defense strategy the plant uses to manage unfavorable environments as well as during developmentally induced senescence. Here we investigated the role of leaf age on the regulation of defense gene expression in Arabidopsis thaliana. Two lesion mimic mutants with misregulated cell death, catalase2 (cat2) and defense no death1 (dnd1) were used together with several double mutants to dissect signaling pathways regulating defense gene expression associated with cell death and leaf age. PCD marker genes showed leaf age dependent expression, with the highest expression in old leaves. The salicylic acid (SA) biosynthesis mutant salicylic acid induction deficient2 (sid2) had reduced expression of PCD marker genes in the cat2 sid2 double mutant demonstrating the importance of SA biosynthesis in regulation of defense gene expression. While the auxin- and jasmonic acid (JA)- insensitive auxin resistant1 (axr1) double mutant cat2 axr1 also led to decreased expression of PCD marker genes in the cat2 sid2 double mutant demonstrating the importance of SA biosynthesis in regulation of defense gene expression. While the auxin- and jasmonic acid (JA)- insensitive auxin resistant1 (axr1) double mutant cat2 axr1 also led to decreased expression of PCD marker genes; the expression of several marker genes for SA signaling (ISOCHORISIMATE SYNTHASE 1, PR1 and PR2) were additionally decreased in cat2 axr1 compared to cat2. The reduced expression of these SA markers genes in cat2 axr1 implicates AXR1 as a regulator of SA signaling in addition to its known role in auxin and JA signaling. Overall, the current study reinforces the important role of SA signaling in regulation of leaf age-related transcript signatures.

Introduction

Plants are sessile organisms and typically experience altered environmental conditions throughout their life cycle. Plant survival depends on their ability to acclimate to the surrounding environment and requires systemic signaling from mature to young developing leaves [1–
Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are produced during cell metabolism and production rates increase under stress conditions leading to plant damage [5,6]. However, ROS are not only damaging agents, they are actively produced by the plant and used as signaling molecules both in development and in response to abiotic and biotic stress [7–9]. Hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) is the most stable ROS and an important signaling molecule involved in triggering tolerance to various abiotic and biotic stresses at low concentrations; high concentrations lead directly to programmed cell death (PCD) [10]. The life-time of ROS signals is controlled by antioxidants and ROS scavenging enzymes. About 70% of H$_2$O$_2$ is produced during photorespiration [11] which may help protect the cell and provide adaption to unfavorable conditions [5]. Catalases are the main enzymes detoxifying H$_2$O$_2$ to H$_2$O and O$_2$ in the peroxisome [12]. However, catalases can also be involved in the removal of H$_2$O$_2$ from other subcellular compartments and thus function as a sink for cellular H$_2$O$_2$ [13].

Plant responses to the environment also needs to be integrated with growth and developmental processes. Since activation of defenses against stress is energetically costly, plants need to optimize between growth and defense strategies. Consequently, suboptimal growth conditions typically cause an altered plant phenotype. The stress-induced morphogenic response (SIMR) has been proposed as a concept explaining how stress leads to altered growth, and is regulated by auxin, ROS and antioxidants [14–16]. In addition to SIMR, plants also have several other long distance signaling responses, where a signal initiated in a local tissue spreads to distal tissues. These include systemic acquired resistance (SAR; [17]), induced systemic resistance (ISR, [18]) and systemic acquired acclimation (SAA; [19]). SAR has been extensively characterized in relation to pathogen infection, and execution of SAR requires the hormone salicylic acid (SA) and various other signaling molecules including ROS, azelaic acid, piperolic acid and the co-transcriptional regulator NONEXPRESSER OF PR GENES 1 (NPR1) [17]. ISR is initiated after infection of roots by nonpathogenic microbes, which induce a resistance response in distal leaves. ISR does not require SA, but rely on the hormones ethylene, jasmonic acid (JA) and NPR1 [18]. The SAA response to various abiotic stresses depends on ROS, Ca$^{2+}$ signaling and abscisic acid (ABA) [19]. Furthermore, other plant hormones including auxin and cytokinins (CK) are involved in long distance signaling [20,21]. However, several questions remain to be answered on how different plant hormones together with ROS and transcriptional re-programming regulate the complex interactions between development and stress responses.

*Arabidopsis thaliana* mutants with misregulated cell death (also known as lesion mimic mutants, LMMs) have long been used to identify regulators of defense responses and PCD [22,23]. The *Arabidopsis* cat2 mutant, deficient in the peroxisomal ROS scavenger CATALASE2, develops lesions that are day length and light intensity dependent [12]. Identification of positive and negative regulators of PCD in cat2 indicate that several signaling pathways are activated in parallel and influence the timing and extent of PCD [24]. These regulators include SA and AUXIN RESISTANCE 1 (AXR1) [24]. AXR1 regulates the activity of Skp-Cullin-F-box (SCF) complexes involved in protein degradation [25]. The JA receptor COI1 and the auxin receptor TIR1 are regulated through this mechanism and the axr1 mutant is both auxin and JA insensitive. The LMM dnd1 (defense no death1) displays a growth dependent lesion phenotype [26,27]. *DND1* encodes CYCLIC NUCLEOTIDE GATED CHANNEL 2, which link Ca$^{2+}$ transport to downstream defense signaling [28]. Like many other LMMs, cell death in dnd1 is regulated through SA signaling [27].

While young leaves of cat2 have conditional day-length dependent lesions, cell death is prevented in newly developed leaves of mature plants in cat2 [24]. Furthermore, when cat2 plants grown in high CO$_2$ concentration (which suppress cell death) are transferred to ambient air and high light treated, cell death is extensive in old but not young leaves [29]. This indicates...
the presence of a signal originating in old leaves that increases the viability of newly developed leaves, or some other mechanism that protects young leaves. Cell death in cat2 and a second LMM dnd1 (defense no death1) is reduced in double mutants with sid2 that reduce the amount of SA [24,27]. Furthermore, loss of function mutants in SA biosynthesis or signaling leads to delayed senescence [30]. Thus, several lines of evidence suggest that one or more signals, including SA, move from old leaves to young leaves when plants are constitutively exposed to oxidative stress such as in LMMs. However, the mechanisms of oxidative stress development and the identity of signals from old to young leaves is unclear.

To dissect possible defense signals and their regulators during plant growth, we took advantage of our previously established collection of cat2 double and triple mutants [24] and similar mutants in a second LMM dnd1 [27]. We selected mutants where cell death in cat2 and dnd1 was reduced and performed gene expression analysis in leaves at different developmental stages defined here as young, mature and old (Fig 1). This study reveals that both auxin and SA signaling are important regulators of defense gene expression in leaves of different age classes.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant Materials and Growth Conditions**

All the mutants were in the Columbia-0 (Col-0) background and Col-0 was the control for all experiments. Double and triple mutants were in the cat2 (SALK_076998) background as previously described [24]. The dnd1 double mutants were previously described [27].

Sterilized seeds were placed on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium (1/2 MS salts, and 0.7% agar), stratified for three days and transferred to a growth chamber (Sanio Electric Co) at 21˚C/19˚C under a 12 h day/12 h night regime, light intensity 120 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹, and 70% relative humidity. One week old plants were transplanted into pots with 1:1 peat:vermiculate and grown on soil for four weeks in controlled growth rooms. Three experimental repeats were used for gene expression analysis.

Plant material was collected from five weeks old plants. Three groups of leaves at different developmental stages were selected based on leaf age: old (leaves with visual lesions, leaf position 5–7), mature (fully developed leaves without lesions, leaf position 9–12), young (developing leaves, no lesions, leaf position 12–14). Eight leaves from each age class were pooled, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80˚C. Total RNA was extracted using the Spectrum Total RNA extraction kit (Sigma Aldrich).

**qPCR Gene Expression Analyses**

The expression of marker genes involved in PCD regulation was measured with real time quantitative PCR (qPCR). Three biological repeats were used for gene expression analysis with qPCR. RNA was treated with DNaseI and reverse transcription was performed using 2 μg of RNA with the RevertAid Premium Reverse Transcriptase (RT) and Ribolock Rnase inhibitor according to manufacturer’s instructions (Thermo Fisher Scientific). After reverse transcription the reaction was diluted to the final volume of 100 μl. 1 μl was used for PCR with Eva-Green ROX (Solis Biodyne). The cycle conditions in the ABI 7900HT Fast RT PCR System (Applied Biosystems) were: 95˚C 10 min, 40 cycles with 95˚C 15 s, 60˚C 30 s, 72˚C 30 s and ending with melting curve analysis. Normalization of the data was performed in qBase from a cDNA dilution series. Primer sequences and amplification efficiencies can be found in S1 Table. Data normality was tested and subsequently 2-base logarithmed for statistical analyses. Factorial ANOVA posthoc analyses Fisher LSD was used.
to evaluate significant differences between mutant and leaf age, and One-Way ANOVA to changes in gene expression with leaf age (Statistica 7.1, Stat Soft Inc).

Selection of Marker Genes

Previous analysis of gene expression in *cat2* using genes from the gene ontology category "cell death" in [24] identified several genes with strongly increased expression in various LMMs, and in response to SA and pathogen treatment. 26 genes of these genes were tested at different leaf age classes (old, mature, young) in Col-0 and *cat2*. Five marker genes; *FMO1*, *PLA2A*, *WRKY75*, *WRKY40* and *GLTP* were chosen as qPCR marker genes based on significant differences in expression between different leaf ages.

Fig 1. Research scheme for plant signaling from mature to young leaves. Lesion formation in *cat2* typically starts from older leaves. To dissect the role of leaf age on PCD regulation, leaves were separated into the classes old (with visual lesions), mature (fully developed without lesions) and young (developing). Several positive and negative regulators of *cat2* cell death were previously identified ([24]; see also Table 1), and the most informative of these were used to gain insights into the regulation of expression of cell death marker genes.

| Which mutants are used: |
|-------------------------|
| Salicylic acid - *sid2* |
| Jasmonic acid - *aos*   |
| Auxin - *axr1*          |
| ABA - *era1*            |
| R-gene-mediated and     |
| defense- *eds1*         |
| Transcription factors - |
| *wrky33*, *myb30*, *asl*, *myc2* |

Stress marker gene expression

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170532.g001
Analysis of Marker Gene Expression in Public Gene Expression Data

The selected marker genes used for qPCR were analyzed with the Condition Search tool “Perturbations” in Genevestigator [31]. Marker gene expression is shown in response to hormone and pathogen treatment and in LMMs.

Results

Identification of Suitable Marker Genes to Study Cell Death

Multiple signals are involved in plant systemic signaling in relation to biotic and abiotic stress and also during leaf ageing [1–3,19,32]. To find marker genes that would be informative for stress responses associated with ageing in cat2, we took advantage of our previous analysis of several independent cat2 gene expression experiments and other LMMs (Fig 1; Table 1, [24]). From these experiments, we selected five genes associated with the gene ontology category cell death: FLAVIN-DEPENDENT MONOOXYGENASE 1 (FMO1), PHOSPHOLIPASE A2A (PLA2A), WRKY DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 75 (WRKY75), WRKY DNA-BINDING PROTEIN 40 (WRKY40) and GLYCOLIPID TRANSFER PROTEIN (AT4G39670, hereafter GLTP). Analyses of LMM gene expression profiles in Genevestigator shows that all five stress marker genes had high expression in: ssii2-1 (suppressor of SA-insensitive 2), mkk1 mkk2 (mitogen activated protein kinase kinase 1 and 2) and cpr5 (constitutive expression of pr genes 5). Furthermore, high expression was also seen in flu (fluorescent in blue light) that develops cell death after accumulation of singlet oxygen (Fig 2).

Ideally, the marker genes should reflect the output of independent signaling pathways in addition to cell death, for example differential regulation by separate hormones. To check for other treatments that regulate expression of the five selected markers we used Genevestigator (Fig 3). As expected from genes associated with cell death, all five genes had increased expression in response to pathogen infection. Similarly, different ROS treatments (ozone and H2O2) increased expression of FMO1, PLA2A, WRKY75, WRKY40 and GLTP. Subtle differences were found in response to different hormones, where expression of FMO1 was mostly hormone independent. PLA2A expression increased by most hormone treatments except for brassinosteroid applications, which led to decreased expression (Fig 3). WRKY75 expression increased in response to SA, auxin and ABA, while WRKY40 expression increased by all hormones except the brassinosteroid treatment. Finally, GLTP expression increased by application of SA, auxin and ABA, but not JA or brassinosteroids. Thus, although the selected marker genes all reflect ROS, pathogen infection and cell death signaling, they may also be associated with
distinct combinations of hormone signaling pathways. Especially FMO1 expression represent a more specific (mostly hormone independent) signaling context.

Expression of Marker Genes in Single Mutants

A complementary approach to infer hormone or other regulation of the selected marker genes is to test their expression in mutants defective in hormone biosynthesis or signaling (Fig 1). We used mutants impaired in SA biosynthesis (sid2, which encodes ISOCHORISMATE SYNTHASE 1) or SA related signaling (eds1, enhanced disease susceptibility1), JA biosynthesis (aos, allene oxide synthase), altered ABA signaling (era1, enhanced response to ABA1), a regulatory component of auxin and JA receptors (axr1, auxin resistant1) and several transcription factors as1, myb30, myc2, and wrky33 (Fig 4; Table 1). The transcription factor mutants were chosen based on their ability to partially or fully suppress cell death in the corresponding cat2 double mutants [24].

Expression of FMO1, PLA2A, WRKY75 and GLTP showed clear age related expression in Col-0, with maximum expression in old leaves (Fig 4). In contrast to the strong increased expression shown under various external hormone treatments (Fig 3), the influence of deficient hormone signaling in single mutants on age related expression was subtle. Significant changes in expression were observed in aos where FMO1, WRKY75 and GLTP had increased transcript abundance in young and old leaves (Fig 4). Furthermore, PLA2A, WRKY75 and GLTP expression was altered by lack of the TFs as1, myb30 and myc2 in various leaf age classes.

Expression of Marker Genes in Young, Mature and Old cat2 Leaves

Our previous analysis of cell death in 56 cat2 double and triple mutants identified several mutations that lead to a reduction of cell death (eral, eds1, sid2, axr1, as1, myc2, myb30, wrky33, dnd1), indicating that the corresponding proteins are likely positive regulators of cell death (Fig 1, Table 1, [24]). To study the role of increased H$_2$O$_2$ (caused by cat2 mutation) in age related gene expression the following mutants were included in the analysis: cat2 sid2, cat2 eds1 and cat2 sid2 eds1 (SA related mutants), cat2 aos (JA biosynthesis), cat2 era1 (ABA signaling), cat2 axr1 (auxin and JA signaling). In addition, cat2 double mutants with transcription factors (TFs) that are positive regulators of cell death (AS1, MYC2, MYB30 and WRKY33; [24]) were included in the experiments to test the role of these TFs on the expression of the selected marker genes (Fig 1, Table 1).
Regulation of PCD Gene Expression in Cat2

Fig 3. PCD marker gene expression related to hormone, ROS and pathogen response. Expression of FMO1, PLA2A, WRKY75, WRKY40 and GLTP as visualized by investigating selected hormone, pathogen and ROS treatments in Genevizator using the Perturbations tool. Green indicates decreased expression and red increased expression. Plant age is indicated in parenthesis.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170532.g003
Expression of FMO1, WRKY75 and GLTP strongly increased in old cat2 leaves compared to Col-0 (Fig 5). Impaired SA biosynthesis in cat2 sid2 clearly decreased the expression of the stress marker genes, either in young or old leaves (Fig 5). Similarly, in cat2 eds1 plants, the expression of PLA2A, WRKY40 and GLTP was lower in mature leaves compared to cat2.

Fig 4. Marker gene expression in Col-0 and positive regulators of PCD in three leaf age classes. Mutants were divided into functional classes based on their primary function in defense signaling. Letters indicate differences between leaf age classes (p<0.05; n = 3) and asterisks differences relative to Col-0 at the corresponding leaf age. Leaves divided into age classes are: young (white boxes), mature (grey) and old (green). The values represent the mean (box) and standard error (bar).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170532.g004
Fig 5. Marker gene expression in cat2 and in double mutants defective in hormone signaling pathways or TFs. Letters indicate differences between leaf age classes (p<0.05; n = 3) and asterisks show significant differences relative to cat2 at the corresponding leaf age. The values represent the mean (box) and standard error (bar).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170532.g005
Impairment of both SA biosynthesis and defense signaling via EDS1 in cat2 sid2 eds1, gave some subtle differences from cat2 sid2, e.g. a more pronounced decreased expression of the cell death regulator PLA2A.

Interestingly, and in contrast to previous studies describing the involvement of JA in plant defenses [41,42], the expression of FMO1, PLA2A, WRKY75, WRKY40 and GLTP in cat2 aos, deficient in JA biosynthesis (and its precursor 12-oxo-phytodienoic acid), was not altered from the response in the single mutant cat2 (Fig 5). Similarly, expression of defense genes was not altered in cat2 era1, indicating a minor role for ABA on the chosen PCD markers.

Auxin is essential during plant development and an important regulator of long-distance signaling [16,25,43]. In cat2 axr1 expression of the five stress marker genes was reduced compared to cat2 (Fig 5). Since AXR1 regulates the function of both auxin and JA signaling [44], the reduced expression of FMO1, PLA2A, WRKY75, WRKY40 and GLTP in cat2 axr1 could reflect impaired auxin signaling, JA signaling or both. However, given that marker gene expression cat2 aos was not affected by the aos mutation, this suggest that impairment of auxin signaling in cat2 axr1 is more important for the low expression of the selected marker genes (Fig 5).

While several TFs regulate the extent of cell death in cat2 [24], expression of the stress marker genes was not significantly different in cat2 compared with double mutants defective in TFs, except for cat2 myc2 (Fig 5). In this double mutant, the expression of WRKY40 and GLTP was lower than in cat2.

**Expression of Marker Genes in Young, Mature and Old in dnd1 Leaves**

To extend the analysis of age and cell death related gene expression we analyzed a second LMM dnd1 (Figs 1 and 6). As expected, all five marker genes had higher expression in dnd1 than Col-0; furthermore, within the different age classes in dnd1, the expression of PLA2A and WRKY75 increased with leaf age (Fig 6). The depletion of SA alone did not impair gene expression in dnd1 sid2, but WRKY75, PLA2A and FMO1 expression was reduced to wild type level in dnd1 sid2 eds1 young and mature leaves (Fig 6).

Although, the aos mutation did not influence age-related expression of stress marker genes in cat2, expression of PLA2A, WRKY75 and WRKY40 in mature leaves was significantly lower in dnd1 aos compared to dnd1 (Fig 4). Interestingly, expression of defense genes in the double mutant cat2 dnd1 was more similar to dnd1 than cat2, indicating that the combination of two different mutations leading to spontaneous cell death did not lead to even higher defense gene expression. In the triple mutant cat2 sid2 dnd1, expression of four (FMO1, PLA2A, WRKY75 and GLTP) of the five marker gene transcripts was significantly lower in mature and young leaves compared to dnd1, further emphasizing the important role for SA in defense gene expression (Fig 6).

**SA marker Gene Expression in cat2 axr1**

SA has a central role in execution of cell death in many LMMs, including cat2 [22,24,45]. The molecular function of AXR1, regulation of SCF complexes that are involved in protein degradation [25], has been associated with auxin and JA responses [44]. The cat2 axr1 double mutant displayed reduced cell death [24] and reduced marker gene expression (Fig 5), both of which were also seen in cat2 double mutants with impaired SA biosynthesis and signaling [24,45]. This raised the question whether the axr1 mutation could also directly affect SA signaling. We analyzed three marker genes for SA signaling; ICS1, PR1 and PR2 (Fig 7). All three genes were significantly increased in cat2 in all leaf ages compared to wildtype, furthermore expression of PR1 increased with age in cat2 (Fig 7). Strikingly, no increased expression of SA
Fig 6. Marker gene expression in two LMMs *dnd1* and *cat2*. Letters indicate differences between leaf age classes (p<0.05; n = 3) and asterisks show significant differences relative to *dnd1* at the corresponding leaf age. The values represent the mean (box) and standard error (bar).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0170532.g006
marker genes was observed in \textit{cat2 axr1}, raising the possibility that AXR1 regulation of SCF complexes also target the degradation of an essential component of SA signaling.

**Discussion**

Systemic signaling is under intense study in plants. From a mechanistic perspective systemic signaling studies provide information on how cells and organs communicate with each other; from a practical perspective these studies aim to identify signals or even molecules that induce defense responses that could be of agricultural importance such as providing more rapid activation of defense after pathogen attack [46]. Here we used two different mutants that display increased defense gene expression and spontaneous cell death: \textit{cat2} where cell death develops as a result of increased $H_2O_2$ production [12] and \textit{dnd1} where cell death develops due to misregulated CYCLIC NUCLEOTIDE GATED CHANNEL 2 function and SA signaling [27]. The five marker genes (\textit{FMO1, PLA2A, WRKY75, WRKY40} and \textit{GLTP}) enabled evaluation of the role of leaf age on the expression of cell death related marker genes. In Col-0 and \textit{cat2}, four of the genes (\textit{FMO1, PLA2A, WRKY75 and GLTP}) had lowest expression in young leaves, increasing in mature leaves and highest in old leaves. Similarly, \textit{PLA2A} and \textit{WRKY75} increased with leaf age in \textit{dnd1}. Overall, this suggests that the youngest leaves have not yet entered into a
strong defense or cell death program, and may instead be under regulation by a developmental program. Furthermore, the youngest leaves are likely to be sink leaves that receive their photosynthates from the mature and old leaves [47]. Especially in cat2 this means that the photorespiration is lower in the youngest leaves and would not accumulate as high levels of H2O2 as the mature and old leaves. Consistent with this observation, when cat2 is put into conditions of severe high light stress the youngest leaves do not develop cell death [47]. Similarly, in dnd1, spontaneous cell death is more prominent in the mature and old leaves [27]. High expression of defense genes in old leaves may be an adaptive response, since older leaves are more resistant to pathogens through e.g. accumulation of SA [48,49].

Previous studies have characterized the roles of FMO1, PLA2A and WRKY75 in regulating defense signaling or cell death. For example, increased expression of FMO1 is critical for execution of systemic acquired resistance (SAR) [50]. Furthermore, FMO1 also has a role in promotion of cell death [51]. High expression of PLA2A correlates with cell death, and transgenic overexpression of PLA2A enhances cell death [52,53]. WRKY75 controls crosstalk between SA/JA and ROS signals, all of which are required to activate defense regulation [54,55]. The GLTP gene in Arabidopsis has not been characterized. However, a related gene ACCELERATED CELL DEATH 11 (ACD11) regulates cell death through transport of ceramide-1-phosphate and the acd11 mutant exhibits runaway cell death [56–58]. The acd11 mutant can be partially rescued by expression of a human GLTP [57], suggesting a cell death regulatory role also for Arabidopsis GLTP. Overall, the high expression of the PCD marker genes in this study and known lesion development in the oldest leaves of cat2 [24,45] support a role for these genes in regulation of cell death.

Several signaling molecules are known to be involved in defense and systemic signaling and include SA, JA and ABA [15,32]. We selected cat2 double mutants that have reduced cell death and evaluated the role of SA, JA, AXR1 and various TFs in the age regulated expression of cell death marker genes. While the TFs AS1, MYB30, MYC2 and WRKY33 regulated cell death in cat2 [24], they had little influence on gene expression of the selected marker genes in cat2 (Fig 5). Perhaps several TFs are acting together to regulate gene expression and knocking out multiple TFs would be required to see altered gene expression. SA is of central importance in the response to pathogens, in SAR and regulation of cell death [24,27,59]. Also the leaf age-dependent increase in expression of the marker genes in cat2, and to a lesser extent in dnd1, were reduced when SA biosynthesis was impaired through the sid2 mutation or the combined sid2 eds1 mutations (Figs 5 and 6). This is consistent with the protective role of SA in age-related resistance to pathogen infection [48].

The most striking reduction in marker gene expression was observed in cat2 axr1 (Fig 5). AXR1 regulates the activity of multiple SCF complexes, where the auxin and JA insensitivity of the axr1 mutant implicate the auxin receptor TIR1 and JA receptor COI1 as the major targets [25]. Since the JA deficient cat2 aos did not display altered expression compared to cat2 (Fig 5), it is possible that the auxin insensitivity of axr1 is more important for regulation of gene expression than the JA insensitivity. Previous studies related to cat2 and oxidative stress have found that altered auxin signaling regulated the extent of PCD [24,60,61]. In large scale gene expression studies both SA and ROS lead to decreased expression of auxin related genes [62,63]. SA treatments showed that there is no immediate effect on auxin biosynthesis and instead SA suppresses auxin mediated genes mainly at the signaling level [63]. These results are consistent with the expression of the auxin signaling reporter gene DR5 that was downregulated during oxidative stress [24,60,62]. Furthermore, expression of GH3.3 (Gretchen Hagen 3.3) encoding an enzyme that conjugates auxin to amino acids have increased expression in systemically responding leaves after high light treatment [2]. However, subunits of the SCF complex are encoded by multiple genes, for example in Arabidopsis there are around 700
genes encoding the F-box protein, the subunit that determines substrate specificity [64]. The phenotypes of axr1 have so far been explained by misregulated activity of the F-box proteins TIR1 (auxin receptor) and COI1 (JA receptor). However, other F-box proteins are also implicated in plant defense responses, including constitutive expresser of PR genes 30 (CPR30) that regulates some aspects of SA signaling [65]. Cell death in cat2 is dependent on SA [24,45]. Low expression of cell death markers in cat2 axr1 (Fig 5) as well as reduced cell death in this double mutant, could suggest that AXR1 also regulates a SCF complex that targets a component of SA signaling. We tested this idea directly using three SA markers genes (ICS1, PR1, PR2), which all had increased expression in cat2 which was absent in cat2 axr1 (Fig 7). Hence, in addition to its role in auxin and JA signaling, AXR1 may directly regulate SA signaling through misregulated F-box activity, and the axr1 mutant would be deficient in SA signaling.

For simplicity, many studies on abiotic stress regulation of gene expression harvest entire seedlings, roots or rosettes. Given the clear difference in expression between young, mature and old leaves, more informative gene expression experiments should take advantage of tissue and cell specific assays [66]. Despite being one of the most studied hormones in relation to cell death, there is still a lack of information on exactly how SA regulates cell death. The identification of AXR1 as a regulator of cell death and SA gene expression signatures offers new opportunities to understand the regulation of cell death.
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