Fiber-rich diet with brown rice improves endothelial function in type 2 diabetes mellitus: A randomized controlled trial
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Abstract

Background & Aims
A fiber-rich diet has a cardioprotective effect, but the mechanism for this remains unclear. We hypothesized that a fiber-rich diet with brown rice improves endothelial function in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Methods
Twenty-eight patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus at a single general hospital in Japan were randomly assigned to a brown rice (n = 14) or white rice (n = 14) diet and were followed for 8 weeks. The primary outcome was changes in endothelial function determined from flow debt repayment by reactive hyperemia using strain-gauge plethysmography in the fasting state. Secondary outcomes were changes in HbA1c, postprandial glucose excursions, and markers of oxidative stress and inflammation. The area under the curve for glucose was compared between baseline (T0) and at the end of the intervention (T1) to estimate glucose excursions in each group.

Results
Improvement in endothelial function, assessed by fasting flow debt repayment (20.4% vs. −5.8%, p = 0.004), was significantly greater in the brown rice diet group than the white rice diet group, although the between-group difference in change of fiber intake was small (5.6 g/day vs. −1.2 g/day, p<0.0001). Changes in total, HDL-, and LDL-cholesterol, and urine 8-isoprostane levels did not differ between the two groups. The high-sensitivity C-reactive protein level tended to improve in the brown rice diet group compared with the white rice diet group (0.01 μg/L vs. −0.04 μg/L, p = 0.063). The area under the curve for glucose was subtly
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Conclusions

Intervention with a fiber-rich diet with brown rice effectively improved endothelial function, without changes in HbA1c levels, possibly through reducing glucose excursions.

Introduction

Previous studies have shown that intake of dietary fiber decreases the risk for cardiovascular events [1, 2]; however, the molecular mechanisms underlying the cardioprotective effects of dietary fiber remain unknown. Difficulties in proving the cardioprotective effects of fiber are related to the long duration between exposure and the cardiovascular event as well as multiple confounders. Few dietary intervention studies have assessed the causality of this phenomenon [3].

Endothelial dysfunction is a potent predictor of future cardiac events in patients with hypertension and in older adults [4, 5]. Improved endothelial function associated with medications such as statins may play a part in the cardioprotective mechanism of these medications [6]. Dietary intervention with a Mediterranean diet improves endothelial function [7]. The Mediterranean diet comprises fruit, vegetables, fish, and whole grains, with limited unhealthy fats, and is known to reduce cardiovascular events [3]. Recently, we reported that a fish-based dietary intervention improved endothelial function in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) [8], a disease that is a known risk factor for endothelial dysfunction. We hypothesized that a fiber-rich dietary intervention may also improve endothelial function, and considered that improvement of endothelial function is a good surrogate marker of further cardiovascular events [9].

Fiber consumption varies by individual, but, in general, is not sufficient in the U.S. and Japan [10, 11]. The American Diabetes Association recommends an intake of 14 g of fiber per 1000 kcal for individuals with T2DM [12]. A high-fiber diet has been reported to improve postprandial glucose excursions [13], systemic inflammation [14], and dysregulation of adipocytokines [15]. Each of these factors may attenuate endothelial dysfunction in patients with T2DM.

Rice is a staple food of more than half of the world’s population. Through refining processes, the outer bran and germ portions of intact rice grains (i.e., brown rice) are removed to produce white rice, which primarily comprises starchy endosperm. As a result, white rice contains about five times less fiber than brown rice [16]. Therefore, a diet can be fiber enriched by consuming brown rice instead of white rice. Here, we hypothesized that a fiber-rich diet with brown rice improves endothelial function in patients with T2DM through reduction of postprandial glucose, oxidative stress, and/or systemic inflammation. To this end, we examined the effects of a fiber-rich diet with brown rice compared with consumption of a white rice diet on endothelial function in patients with T2DM.

Materials and methods

The full protocol for this trial and supporting CONSORT checklist are available as supporting information (see S1–S5 Files). After the original protocol had been approved, additional analyses including asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA) were added as secondary outcomes for
further mechanistic discussion. A change was also made to the rice tolerance test, in which participants were asked to eat 250 kcal instead of 150 g of the assigned rice for the diet-load. These modifications were applied before participants were randomized.

**Study design**

The study was a randomized, open-labeled, parallel-controlled trial to investigate the effects of a fiber-rich diet with brown rice on endothelial function in patients with T2DM (Figs 1 and 2A).

**Study population**

Patients with T2DM were invited to participate at Shiga University of Medical Science Hospital, Shiga, Japan from April 3, 2012 to April 1, 2014. The final date of follow up was December 9, 2014. Inclusion criteria were patients with T2DM aged between 40 and 80 years and with HbA$_1c$ levels of less than 8.4%. Individuals taking an α-glucosidase inhibitor, consuming
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**Fig 1. Flow chart showing the recruitment of participants.**

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0179869.g001

HDL, high-density lipoprotein; Hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; RH, reactive hyperemia; SBP, systolic blood pressure; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; tPAI-1, tissue plasminogen activator inhibitor-1.
Fig 2. (A) **Study design.** An overview of the study design. (B) **Rice tolerance test.** A rice tolerance test was performed at baseline (T0) and at the end of intervention (T1). The participants in each group ingested an isocaloric (250 kcal) amount of assigned rice. Blood collections were performed at fasting, 30, 60, 90, and 120 min after assigned rice ingestion. Endothelial function tests were performed at fasting and 120 min after assigned rice ingestion.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0179869.g002
brown rice or dietary fiber supplements, undergoing insulin treatment, who had changed medications for T2DM within the previous 2 months, who were current smokers, who were pregnant or breastfeeding, or who had severe vascular, hepatic, renal, or infectious diseases or cancer were excluded. The nature and potential risks of the study were explained to all participants, and written informed consent was obtained. The study was performed in accordance with the principles contained within the Declaration of Helsinki. The protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Shiga University of Medical Science originally on September 27, 2011. The first modifications were approved on February 21, 2012 and the second modifications were approved on April 24, 2012. The study is registered at UMIN Clinical Trials Registry (http://www.umin.ac.jp/ctr/index.htm) with the Identification No. UMIN000008370. The authors confirm that all ongoing and related trials for this intervention are registered. Registration to UMIN occurred on July 6, 2012 because preparation for UMIN was delayed until receiving official documentation from the Institutional Review Board.

Run-in period

Eligible patients underwent a 4- to 8-week run-in period to maintain a stable diet and assess tolerability. Participants were advised by a dietician to have a standard diet for diabetes, comprising 28–30 kcal/kg of ideal bodyweight (protein 15%, fat 25%, carbohydrate 60%) [17] at the beginning of the run-in period.

Randomization

Randomization was stratified by age, sex, and body mass index (BMI). We used the minimization method for randomization. The number of strata for each factor was two. The cut off values for stratification factors were an age of 66 years and a BMI of 24. After the run-in period, participants were randomly assigned to a brown rice or white rice diet. Investigators were provided with a random allocation sequence made by a research assistant, who was independent of the investigators, using computer-generated random digits.

Intervention

A feasible intervention protocol for a clinical setting was chosen for both groups. Both brown rice (for the fiber-rich diet) and white rice were provided in small single-serve pouches for the 8-week study to limit energy intake (provided by Sunstar Inc., Osaka, Japan). Barley and amaranth were blended with brown rice by the company to adjust the viscosity and flavor. In the brown rice diet group, participants were instructed to consume brown rice as a staple food for 10 out of 21 meals per week and to maintain their energy intake at 28–30 kcal/kg for 8 weeks. Similarly, in the white rice diet group, participants were instructed to consume white rice as a staple food for 10 out of 21 meals per week and to maintain their energy intake at 28–30 kcal/kg for 8 weeks. The nutritional components of the rice in the pouches are shown in S1 Table. Participants were advised to maintain their usual lifestyle habits, such as physical activity, throughout the study.

Outcomes

The primary outcome was change in endothelial function assessed with fasting flow debt repayment (FDR). Changes in other endothelial functions (peak forearm blood flow (FBF) and duration of reactive hyperemia (RH)) in the fasting state were key secondary outcomes. Other secondary outcomes were changes in postprandial endothelial function (FDR, peak FBF, and duration of RH), nutritional intake, bodyweight, body fat, plasma levels of glucose and insulin
in the fasting and postprandial states, HbA1c, glycoalbumin, triglyceride, total, high-density lipoprotein (HDL)- and low-density lipoprotein (LDL)-cholesterol, adiponectin, ADMA, tissue plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (tPAI-1), high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), and urine levels of 8-isoprostane.

**Procedures**

**Weight and body composition.** The bodyweight of each participant, without shoes and with light clothing weighing a maximum of 0.1 kg, was recorded using an electronic scale. The percentage of body fat was determined by bioelectrical impedance analysis (Bio Electrical Impedance Analyzer System; Tanita, Tokyo, Japan).

**Dietary assessment.** During the run-in and intervention periods, a dietician provided participants with written and verbal instructions regarding the completion of a 3-day dietary record with a digital photograph of each meal. Nutritional intake was calculated using food composition tables specific for Japanese people (Eiyoukun, ver. 6.0; Kenpakusya, Tokyo, Japan) [16]. For processed foods, the nutritional status was obtained from the websites of food manufacturers, and available nutritional information was used for calculations.

**Laboratory analyses.** Blood samples were taken after an overnight fast except for meal tolerance tests, at both baseline (T0) and the end of intervention (T1). Plasma glucose and serum insulin levels were measured using the hexokinase glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase ultraviolet method and a chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay, respectively. Serum triglyceride and total cholesterol levels were determined enzymatically and by the cholesterol dehydrogenase ultraviolet method, respectively. Serum HDL-cholesterol levels were determined by a direct method. LDL-cholesterol levels were calculated using the Friedewald equation (total cholesterol – [HDL-cholesterol + triglyceride/5]). Insulin resistance was evaluated using the homeostasis model assessment and calculated as the product of fasting glucose and insulin levels. HbA1c levels were measured by the latex agglutination method. Glycoalbumin levels were determined enzymatically. Serum total adiponectin (Otsuka Pharmaceutical, Tokyo, Japan) and ADMA (Immundiagnostik AG, Bensheim, Germany) levels were measured by ELISA. Serum tPAI-1 levels were measured by latex photometric immunoassay. Serum hs-CRP levels were measured by nephelometry. Urine 8-isoprostane levels were measured by enzyme immunoassay.

**Rice tolerance test.** A rice tolerance test was performed to evaluate plasma levels of glucose and insulin at T0 and T1 after an overnight fast (Fig 2A). Because the aim of the rice tolerance test was the estimation of the postprandial state of each group during the intervention, participants in each group ingested an isocaloric (250 kcal) amount of brown or white rice. Blood samples were collected before and at 30, 60, 90, and 120 min after assigned rice ingestion. After fasting and 120 min, endothelial function was determined by RH using strain-gauge plethysmography (Fig 2B). The nutritional composition of both brown rice and white rice is shown in S1 Table.

**Endothelial function.** The endothelial function of each participant was examined after an overnight fast and at 2 h postprandial state after ingestion of their assigned rice. Each participant was kept in the supine position during this measurement. After 15 min in the supine position, basal FBF was measured using a mercury-filled Silastic (Dow Corning, Midland, MI, USA) strain-gauge plethysmograph (EC-6; D.E. Hokanson, Issaquah, WA, USA), as described by Linder et al. [18]. The effect of RH on FBF was measured as described in other studies, with minor modifications [8, 19]. To induce RH, FBF was occluded by inflating the cuff on the right upper arm to a pressure of 190 mmHg when the systolic blood pressure (SBP) was ≤140 mmHg, or 50 mmHg plus SBP when the SBP was >140 mmHg, for 5 min. FBF was measured
after release of the cuff until it returned to the basal level. The peak FBF response [18], duration of RH, and total reactive hyperemic flow (FDR) were used to assess the resistance of vessel endothelial function. The percent peak FBF, an index of the peak FBF response, was obtained by calculating the increment of the peak FBF divided by the mean basal FBF. FDR was obtained by calculating the area under the curve (AUC) of the increment of FBF response after RH was divided by the AUC of the basal FBF (S1 Fig) [20]. The reproducibility of FDR measurements in our laboratory and inter-day coefficients of variation was 6.1%.

**Statistical analysis**

We calculated our sample size using results from a preliminary study, in which intervention with a brown rice diet increased FDR by 83.1 ± 60.2% and a white rice diet increased FDR by 19.5 ± 40.9% (unpublished data). We estimated that, with a sample size of 30, the study would have 80% power to detect differences in the outcome between randomized groups, assuming an overall lost-to-follow-up rate of 14% with a type 1 error of 5% (two-sided).

Statistical analyses were performed according to the principle of intention-to-treat using SAS (ver. 9.4; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Data are expressed as mean ± SD for continuous variables and n (%) for categorical variables. The primary outcome of change in fasting FDR was compared using an unpaired t-test between the brown rice and white rice diet groups. Similarly, changes in secondary outcomes were also compared using unpaired t-tests between randomized groups. In addition, we also analyzed the primary outcome using the Wilcoxon test. The levels of glucose and insulin during the rice tolerance test were compared using mixed model analysis. For sensitivity purposes, the primary outcome was analyzed after adjustment for baseline variables (age, BMI, SBP, fasting glucose, fasting insulin, total cholesterol, triglyceride, hs-CRP, tPAI-1, and adiponectin). A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. No adjustment for multiplicity was planned because a single primary outcome was investigated.

**Results**

**Participants and diet diaries**

In total, 117 patients with T2DM were recruited at Shiga University of Medical Science Hospital between April 2012 and April 2014. Following a detailed explanation of the study, 29 patients were enrolled (Fig 1). After exclusion of one patient who withdrew her consent, 28 patients were included in the analysis. Because of the difficulty in measuring endothelial function in one patient who had a resting tremor, 27 patients were included in the analysis of the primary outcome, while 28 patients were included in the analysis of the secondary outcomes (Fig 1). The baseline characteristics were balanced between the two groups (Table 1). No participant changed their medications during the study and no adverse events were observed throughout the study period.

**Nutritional intake at baseline and during the intervention**

Nutritional intake was analyzed at baseline and during the intervention period (Table 2). The intake of total energy and macronutrients was unchanged throughout the study period in both groups. The intake of micronutrients such as vitamin B1 and magnesium was also unchanged in both groups. Compared with the white rice diet group, intake of total dietary fiber significantly increased during the intervention period in the brown rice diet group (−1.2 g/day vs. 5.6 g/day; a difference of 6.8 g/day [95% CI for the difference, 4.2–9.3 g/day]).
Table 1. Characteristics of participants at baseline.

|                        | Brown rice group (n = 14) | White rice group (n = 14) |
|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| **M/F**                | 9/5                       | 9/5                       |
| **Age (years)**        | 65.2±8.7                  | 68.1±6.8                  |
| **Medication**         |                           |                           |
| Glucose-lowering agents, n (%) | 11 (78.6)               | 14 (100)                  |
| Lipid-lowering agents, n (%) | 5 (35.7)               | 8 (57.1)                  |
| Anti-hypertensive agents, n (%) | 3 (21.4)               | 4 (28.6)                  |
| Duration of diabetes (years) | 16.3±10.1              | 14.2±7.3                  |

Values are mean ± SD for continuous variables. Mean (±SD) of eGFR at enrollment was 76.6 ± 17.2 mL/min/1.73 m². M, male; F, female.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0179869.t001

Changes in metabolic variables after dietary intervention

Changes in metabolic variables during the study period are shown in Table 3. No differences in bodyweight, body fat, and blood pressure were observed between the two groups. Fasting plasma glucose levels decreased at T1 in the brown rice diet group, but the between-group differences were not statistically significant (−0.04 mmol/L vs. −0.06 mmol/L; a difference of −0.38 mmol/L [95% CI for the difference, −1.02−0.25]) (Table 4). HbA1c levels slightly

Table 2. Nutritional intake at baseline and during the dietary intervention.

|                        | Brown rice group | White rice group | P value |
|------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| **Energy (kJ/day)**    | 7611 ±1731       | 7828±1633        | 216 (−369 to 829) | 7437 ±1154       | 7552±966         | 116 (−407 to 639) | 0.790 |
| (kJ/kg)                | 123±34           | 127±27           | 4 (−6 to 13)     | 115±18           | 117±19           | 3 (−5 to 10)      | 0.836 |
| **Protein (%kcal)**    | 16.3±1.6         | 15.8±2.1         | −0.5 (−1.9 to 0.8) | 16.8±1.5         | 16.1±1.8         | −0.7 (−1.8 to 0.4) | 0.884 |
| **Fat (%kcal)**        | 25.5±4.4         | 27.2±5.7         | 1.8 (−1.6 to 5.1) | 24.6±5.0         | 24.9±4.4         | 0.3 (−2.8 to 3.4) | 0.506 |
| **Carbohydrate (%kcal)** | 54.2±7.1         | 52.9±8.4         | −1.3 (−5.3 to 2.8) | 53.9±5.5         | 55.6±4.8         | 1.7 (−2.3 to 5.7) | 0.272 |
| **Potassium (mg/day)** | 2734±748         | 2377±529         | −357 (−575 to −138) | 2948±698         | 2737±523         | −211 (−511 to 90) | 0.403 |
| **Calcium (mg/day)**   | 537±173          | 475±166          | −62 (−141 to 17)  | 551±142          | 541±136          | −10 (−67 to 47)   | 0.255 |
| **Magnesium (mg/day)** | 288±85           | 243±60           | −45 (−73 to −17)  | 295±46           | 271±43           | −24 (−43 to −4.6) | 0.201 |
| **Phosphorus (mg/day)** | 1074±207         | 980±212          | −94 (−187 to −1)  | 1113±189         | 1015±108         | −98 (−189 to −8.1) | 0.941 |
| **Iron (mg/day)**      | 8.1±2.1          | 7.0±1.6          | −1.2 (−2.0 to −0.3) | 8.7±1.2          | 7.5±1.4          | −1.1 (−2.0 to −0.3) | 0.988 |
| **α-Tocopherol (mg/day)** | 6.9±1.2          | 6.5±1.6          | −0.4 (−1.2 to 0.5) | 7.8±1.9          | 7.0±1.8          | −0.8 (−2.1 to −0.6) | 0.575 |
| **Vitamin B1 (mg/day)** | 0.90±0.18        | 0.80±0.24        | −0.10 (−0.22 to 0.02) | 0.96±0.21        | 0.89±0.21        | −0.07 (−0.22 to 0.07) | 0.772 |
| **Total dietary fiber (g/day)** | 13.9±4.5       | 19.5±3.2         | 5.6 (3.9 to 7.3)  | 16.5±3.1         | 15.3±3.0         | −1.2 (−3.1 to 0.8) | <0.0001 |
| **Insoluble dietary fiber (g/day)** | 9.9±3.1      | 14.1±2.7         | 4.1 (2.9 to 5.4)  | 12.2±2.5         | 11.3±2.5         | −0.9 (−2.5 to 0.8) | <0.0001 |
| **Soluble dietary fiber (g/day)** | 3.1±1.1        | 4.6±0.8          | 1.6 (1.1 to 2.1)  | 3.7±0.8          | 3.1±0.7          | −0.5 (−0.9 to −0.1) | <0.0001 |
| **NaCl (g/day)**       | 9.5±2.0          | 10.0±3.1         | 0.4 (−1.0 to 1.9) | 10.6±1.3         | 9.6±2.1          | −0.9 (−2.0 to 0.2) | 0.116 |

Values are mean ± SD for continuous variables of “baseline” and “during the intervention”, and mean (95% CI) for continuous variables of “Change”. The p-values indicate comparisons of changes between the brown rice diet group vs. the white rice diet group using unpaired t-tests.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0179869.t002
Table 3. Changes in metabolic variables in the brown rice and white rice diet groups.

|                          | Brown rice group |                          | White rice group |                          | P value |
|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------|
|                          | Baseline (T0)    | The end of intervention (T1) | Change             | Baseline (T0)    | The end of intervention (T1) | Change             |
| Body weight (kg)         | 63.2±9.9         | 62.6±9.7                 | −0.6 (−1.3 to 0.1) | 66.3±14.0         | 66.0±14.2                 | −0.3 (−0.7 to 0.1) | 0.468 |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)  | 24.2±3.5         | 24.0±3.4                 | −0.2 (−0.5 to 0.0) | 25.0±3.7         | 24.9±3.7                 | −0.1 (−0.3 to 0.0) | 0.463 |
| Body fat (%)             | 26.2±6.9         | 26.4±7.9                 | 0.2 (−2.0 to 2.4) | 28.6±9.2         | 28.3±9.4                 | −0.4 (−1.6 to 0.9) | 0.647 |
| SBP (mmHg)               | 121.9±15.0       | 119.0±12.4               | −2.9 (−9.7 to 3.8) | 124.9±15.9       | 120.1±12.5               | −4.8 (−12.2 to 2.6) | 0.687 |
| DBP (mmHg)               | 70.7±8.2         | 68.4±8.3                 | −2.3 (−5.8 to 1.2) | 69.1±9.7         | 69.0±7.8                 | −0.1 (−3.3 to 3.0) | 0.336 |
| Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L) | 6.79±1.02       | 6.35±0.86                | −0.44 (−0.98 to 0.10) | 7.04±1.30       | 6.98±1.54                | −0.06 (−0.46 to 0.34) | 0.228 |
| Fasting plasma insulin (pmol/L) | 35.4±37.2       | 35.0±45.7                | −0.39 (−7.44 to 6.66) | 38.2±20.2       | 41.6±28.1                | 3.42 (−8.06 to 14.89) | 0.547 |
| HbA1c (%)                | 6.7±0.6          | 6.5±0.4                  | −0.2 (−0.5 to 0.0) | 6.8±0.6          | 6.7±0.6                  | −0.1 (−0.3 to 0.1) | 0.391 |
| (mmol/mol)               | 50.0±6.5         | 47.5±4.6                 | −2.6 (−5.0 to −0.1) | 51.1±7.0         | 49.8±6.2                 | −1.2 (−3.5 to 1.0) | 0.391 |
| Glycoalbumin (%)         | 17.0±2.5         | 16.7±2.1                 | −0.4 (−1.2 to 0.5) | 18.0±2.8         | 18.2±2.7                 | 0.2 (−0.6 to 1.0)  | 0.330 |
| HOMA-IR                  | 1.92±2.42        | 1.83±3.02                | −0.08 (−0.61 to 0.44) | 2.02±1.24       | 2.09±1.34                | 0.07 (−0.47 to 0.61) | 0.665 |
| HOMA-β                   | 35.6±29.2        | 38.8±33.7                | 3.2 (−6.4 to 12.8) | 41.7±30.3        | 56.3±68.8                | 14.6 (−13.8 to 43) | 0.423 |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L) | 5.10±0.96       | 4.89±0.81                | −0.21 (−0.51 to 0.09) | 4.88±0.85       | 4.90±0.78                | 0.01 (−0.24 to 0.27) | 0.235 |
| HDL cholesterol (mmol/L) | 1.65±0.42        | 1.54±0.35                | −0.11 (−0.19 to −0.04) | 1.41±0.29       | 1.40±0.31                | −0.01 (−0.12 to 0.10) | 0.100 |
| LDL cholesterol (mmol/L) | 3.05±0.63        | 2.91±0.67                | −0.14 (−0.40 to 0.13) | 2.98±0.65       | 2.98±0.62                | −0.01 (−0.23 to 0.21) | 0.431 |
| Triglyceride (mmol/L)    | 465±155          | 514±161                  | 48.9 (−18.0 to 115.7) | 562±523         | 597±650                  | 35.6 (−49.3 to 120.4) | 0.793 |
| High sensitivity CRP (µg/L) | 0.09±0.12       | 0.05±0.05                | −0.04 (−0.09 to 0.01) | 0.04±0.03       | 0.05±0.06                | 0.01 (−0.01 to 0.03) | 0.063 |
| IL-1 (ng/mL)             | 17.6±13.6        | 15.5±10.5                | −2.1 (−7.2 to 3.1) | 16.3±7.2         | 16.2±6.0                 | −0.1 (−2.5 to 2.4)  | 0.458 |
| Adiponectin (ng/mL)      | 8.2±4.6          | 7.7±4.3                  | −0.5 (−1.2 to 0.2) | 7.9±4.6         | 8.1±5.0                  | 0.2 (−0.2 to 0.7)   | 0.057 |
| ADMA (µmol/L)            | 0.50±0.12        | 0.43±0.12                | −0.08 (−0.15 to 0.00) | 0.52±0.18       | 0.50±0.16                | −0.02 (−0.13 to 0.09) | 0.404 |
| Urine 8-isoprostane (pg/mg cre) | 152.3±67.3      | 161.2±45.2               | 8.9 (−26.8 to 44.5) | 150.9±67.8       | 158.9±68.5               | 8.0 (−18.9 to 34.9) | 0.968 |

Values are mean ± SD for continuous variables of “T0” and “T1”, and mean (95% CI) for continuous variables of “Change”. The p-values indicate comparisons of changes between the brown rice diet group vs. the white rice diet group using unpaired t-tests. HOMA, homeostasis model assessment; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; IL-1, tissue plasminogen activator-1; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; ADMA, asymmetric dimethylarginine.

Values are mean ± SD for continuous variables of “T0” and “T1”, and mean (95% CI) for continuous variables of “Change”. The p-values indicate comparisons of changes between the brown rice diet group vs. the white rice diet group using unpaired t-tests. HOMA, homeostasis model assessment; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; IL-1, tissue plasminogen activator-1; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; ADMA, asymmetric dimethylarginine.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0179869.t003

A fiber-rich diet improves endothelial function.

Improved in both groups, but surprisingly, there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups (−2.6 mmol/mol vs. −1.2 mmol/mol; a difference of −1.3 mmol/mol [95% CI for the difference, −4.5 to 1.8]). Total and LDL-cholesterol levels decreased at T1 in the brown rice diet group, but there were no between-group differences (−0.14 mmol/L vs. −0.01 mmol/L; a difference of −0.13 mmol/L [95% CI for the difference, −0.5 to 0.2]). To analyze the role of systemic inflammation, we measured hs-CRP levels; these levels tended to improve at T1 in the brown rice diet group compared to the white rice diet group (−0.04 µg/L vs. 0.01 µg/L; a difference of −0.05 µg/L [95% CI for the difference, −0.10 to 0.00]). There were no
between-group differences in changes of fasting insulin, HOMA-IR, HOMA-β, the oxidative stress marker urine 8-isoprostane, tPAI-1, ADMA, and adiponectin.

**Rice tolerance test before and after the intervention**

Acute and chronic effects of brown rice on glucose excursions were estimated using rice tolerance tests: a brown rice tolerance test for the brown rice diet group and a white rice tolerance test for the white rice diet group. Rice tolerance tests showed lower postprandial glucose increments in the brown rice diet group compared with the white rice diet group at T0 (mean difference between groups: 1.52 mmol/L [95% CI, 0.21–2.84], AUC: 21.4 mmol/L/h vs. 24.0 mmol/L/h, p = 0.043) (Fig 3). Rice tolerance tests at T1 also showed that increments in plasma glucose concentrations were lower in the brown rice diet group compared with the white rice diet group (mean difference between groups: 1.61 mmol/L [95% CI, 0.27–2.96], AUC: 20.4 mmol/L/h vs. 23.3 mmol/L/h, p = 0.046), with levels within groups similar at T0 and T1. These results suggested that glucose excursions were consistent throughout the intervention in both the brown and white rice diet groups.

The effect of brown rice on postprandial insulin levels was also estimated using rice tolerance tests. Rice tolerance tests showed lower postprandial insulin increments in the brown rice diet group compared with the white rice diet group at T0 (mean difference between groups: 57.7 pmol/L [95% CI, 8.9–106.5], AUC: 194.8 pmol/L•h vs. 259.0 pmol/L•h, p = 0.198) (Fig 3). Rice tolerance tests at T1 also showed that increments in plasma insulin concentrations were lower in the brown rice diet group compared with the white rice diet group (mean difference between groups: 41.9 pmol/L [95% CI, −10.6–94.4], AUC: 205.5 pmol/L•h vs. 271.0 pmol/L•h, p = 0.213), with levels within groups similar at T0 and T1.

**Changes in endothelial function after dietary intervention**

Changes in endothelial function, evaluated by strain-gauge plethysmography, for each group are shown in Table 4. There was greater improvement of fasting FDR (primary outcome) following 8 weeks of intervention in the brown rice diet group compared with the white rice diet group (20.4% vs. −5.8%; a difference of 26.2% [95% CI for the difference, 9.4–42.8], p = 0.002 using the Wilcoxon test). Adjusted analysis showed consistency in the effects of the brown rice diet compared with the white rice diet group (20.1% vs. −5.5%; a difference of 25.7% [95% CI for the difference, 1.2–50.1]). The improvements in fasting peak FBF and duration of RH were also greater in the brown rice diet group compared with the white rice diet group (peak FBF: 118.8% vs. −43.3%; a difference of 162.1% [95% CI for the difference, 63.9–260.4], duration of RH: 9.7 s vs. −1.1 s; a difference of 10.8 s [95% CI for the difference, 2.8–18.8]).

There were also greater improvements in FDR, peak FBF, and duration of RH in the postprandial state in the brown rice diet group compared with the white rice diet group (FDR: 17.9% vs. −4.4%; a difference of 22.3% [95% CI for the difference, 4.7–39.9], peak FBF: 107.2% vs. −52.6%; a difference of 159.8 [95% CI for the difference, 31.6–288.0], duration of RH: 11.8 s vs. 1 s; a difference of 10.8 s [95% CI for the difference, 0–21.6]).

**Discussion**

This study demonstrated two major findings. First, intervention with a fiber-rich diet with brown rice improved endothelial function in patients with T2DM without changes in body weight. Second, rice tolerance tests at both baseline (T0) and at the end of intervention (T1) showed that glucose excursions were lower in the brown rice diet group than in the white rice diet group, and that this difference remained at the end of the intervention.
Intervention with a fiber-rich diet of brown rice improved endothelial function in patients with T2DM. These findings are in agreement with results from a previous study that reported improved endothelial function with brown rice consumption in participants with metabolic syndrome [21]. However, compared with the previous study, our study differs in terms of the background of participants, weight reduction, and the methods for evaluating endothelial function (flow-mediated vasodilation). To rule out the effects of bodyweight reduction, we carefully controlled participants’ bodyweights to prevent a difference between the two groups during the study. To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first to report that a fiber-rich diet with brown rice improves endothelial function in patients with T2DM. We believe that this phenomenon may contribute to the cardioprotective effects of dietary fiber.

As well as improved endothelial function, glucose excursions estimated with AUC for glucose using rice tolerance tests were lower in the brown rice diet group at both T0 and T1 compared with the white rice diet group. This finding aligns with a previous study that reported that dietary fiber reduced plasma glucose concentrations after a meal [22]. This glucose spike is a proposed mechanism of both microvascular and macrovascular diabetic complications [23]. In the current study, we observed no significant difference in HbA1c levels between the two groups, suggesting that a subtle but cumulative reduction in plasma glucose concentrations might explain the improvements in endothelial function without changes in HbA1c levels and oxidative stress markers.

Inflammation may be a key factor connecting endothelial function and a high-fiber diet. It has been reported that the intake of dietary fiber is inversely associated with serum hs-CRP levels [24]. In addition, increased dietary fiber intake from a diet naturally rich in fiber and supplements can reduce hs-CRP levels [14]. We previously reported that improvements in inflammatory markers after a high-fiber, low-fat diet intervention might be related to both the composition of the diet and bodyweight reduction [25]. In the current study, hs-CRP levels tended to be reduced at T1 in the brown rice diet group compared with the white rice diet group, but not significantly.

### Table 4. Changes in endothelial function in the brown rice and white rice diet groups.

|                      | Brown rice group | White rice group | P value between randomized groups |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                      | Baseline (T0)    | The end of intervention (T1) | Change | P value | Baseline (T0) | The end of intervention (T1) | Change | P value |
| Fasting FDR (%)      | 46.3±17.1        | 66.7±34.5        | 20.4 (5.1 to 35.7) | 0.013 | 47.5±23.2 | 41.7±19.7 | -5.8 (-13.7 to 2.1) | 0.136 | 0.004 |
| Fasting Peak FBF (%) | 407.7±130.1      | 526.6±199.9      | 118.8 (40.4 to 197.2) | 0.006 | 387.1±192.4 | 343.8±157.9 | -43.3 (-109.5 to 22.9) | 0.179 | 0.002 |
| Fasting duration of RH (sec) | 59.6±20.5 | 69.3±23.9 | 9.7 (1.9 to 17.6) | 0.019 | 62.1±14.2 | 61±12.5 | -1.1 (-3.1 to 0.9) | 0.266 | 0.015 |
| Postprandial FDR (%) | 31.3±9          | 49.2±23.2        | 17.9 (3.8 to 32) | 0.017 | 38.6±19.5 | 34.2±19.2 | -4.4 (-16.3 to 7.5) | 0.435 | 0.015 |
| Postprandial Peak FBF (%) | 329.9±71 | 437±189.5 | 107.2 (2 to 212.3) | 0.046 | 342.9±172.9 | 290.3±152.3 | -52.6 (-134.8 to 29.6) | 0.189 | 0.017 |
| Postprandial duration of RH (sec) | 50.1±15.4 | 61.9±15 | 11.8 (2.7 to 20.9) | 0.015 | 51.4±15.1 | 52.4±9.3 | 1 (-5.5 to 7.5) | 0.744 | 0.050 |

Values are mean ± SD for continuous variables of “T0” and “T1”, and mean (95% CI) for continuous variables of “Change”. The p-values indicate comparisons of changes between the brown rice diet group vs. the white rice diet group using unpaired t-tests. FDR, flow debt repayment; FBF, forearm blood flow; RH, reactive hyperemia.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0179869.t004
Hyperinsulinemia is a cause of endothelial dysfunction [26]. In our study, postprandial insulin levels, estimated by rice tolerance tests, were relatively lower in the brown rice group compared with the white rice group (Fig 3). This might also partially explain improved endothelial function at T1 in the brown rice diet group. Dyslipidemia is one of the mechanisms underlying endothelial dysfunction [27]. Dietary fiber can reduce total and LDL-cholesterol levels in patients with hypercholesterolemia or T2DM [28]. However, we did not see significant differences in serum levels of total, HDL-, and LDL-cholesterol and triglyceride between the two groups. Triglyceride levels after the rice tolerance test showed no differences in both groups (data not shown). Levels of adiponectin tended to be reduced after the intervention in the brown rice diet group compared with the white rice diet group with and without BMI adjustment. The mechanism underlying this phenomenon is unknown, but it seems that the magnitude of the change was too subtle to affect endothelial function in participants in the current study. Serum ADMA and urine 8-isoprostane levels showed no significant differences between the two groups. These findings suggest that these effects of a fiber-rich diet might not be major causes of the observed improvement in endothelial function.

Fig 3. Changes in plasma glucose and insulin levels during the rice tolerance test. Levels of plasma glucose (A1, B1) and the area under the curve (AUC) for plasma glucose (A2, B2) during the rice tolerance test at baseline (T0: left graph) and at the end of intervention (T1: right graph) for estimating the postprandial state during the intervention. Levels of plasma insulin and AUC were measured during the rice tolerance test (C1–2, D1–2). BR, brown rice diet group (black circle and box), WR, white rice diet group (white circle and box).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0179869.g003
Brown rice contains high levels of fiber compared with white rice. Accumulated evidence suggests that dietary composition is one of the determinants of the microflora [29]. Although the relationships between atherosclerosis and endothelial function with microflora remain unclear, consideration of the contribution of the microflora paradigm—as in the case of trimethylamine-N-oxide in cardiovascular events—may open a new research window in this area [30]. Brown rice contains high levels of vitamins and minerals, particularly vitamin B1 and magnesium [16]. These nutrients may be associated with the observed improvements in endothelial function. Supplementation of magnesium has been reported to attenuate insulin resistance in patients with T2DM [31]. However, in the current study, levels of these nutrients were unchanged during the intervention (T1) in both groups. This suggests that dietary fiber, rather than these micronutrients, could explain the improvement in endothelial function with brown rice intake.

The strengths of this study are two-fold. First, a relatively mild increase in fiber intake improved endothelial function. The dietary intervention in this study appears to be feasible for clinical practice, at least in a Japanese population. Second, the intervention was not associated with changes in HbA1c levels although rice tolerance tests showed significantly lower plasma glucose concentrations with a brown rice diet compared with a white rice diet. Along with previous interventions, findings from the current study may support the “glucose spike” theory, because a small difference between groups was associated with a large difference in endothelial function.

This study has some limitations. First, the number of participants was relatively small, although a sufficient sample size was calculated. Therefore, baseline characteristics between the two groups might be imbalanced. Second, glucose excursions were estimated by rice tolerance tests with assigned rice types. We used the rice tolerance test to determine glucose excursions in each group; assessment with continuous glucose monitoring systems would be more favorable. Because each group consumed a different type of rice for the rice tolerance tests, caution is necessary when comparing the results for direct comparisons between the two groups as a randomized controlled trial. Third, the presence of prior cardiovascular events was not an exclusion criterion. This factor might affect endothelial function in these individuals. Fourth, real increases in fiber intake in the brown rice diet group are unclear because no information on dietary fiber intake before the run-in period was recorded. Fifth, we used plethysmography to evaluate endothelial function in this study. Although this is a validated method, caution needs to be taken when comparing the results of the current study with studies that used other methods, such as EndoPAT and flow-mediated vasodilation. Finally, the study participants were recruited at a single hospital. Therefore, any generalizations must be made with caution.

In conclusion, intervention with a fiber-rich diet with brown rice for 8 weeks effectively improved endothelial function, without changing HbA1c levels, possibly through reducing glucose excursions.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Schematic representation of a reactive hyperemic response and the index calculation. Forearm blood flow (FBF) was measured with a mercury-filled Silastic (Dow Corning, Midland, MI, USA) strain-gauge plethysmograph (EC-6; D.E. Hokanson, Bellevue, WA, USA) using a venous occlusion technique. The increase in forearm volume was measured after blocking the venous efflux by an upper arm cuff inflated to 50 mmHg by a rapid cuff inflator (Hokanson E20, Bellevue, WA, USA) for 7 s during each 15 s cycle to determine FBF. FBF (mL·100 mL forearm⁻¹·min⁻¹) was calculated using specialized software (Noninvasive Vascular
Program 3 (NIVP3), Hokanson, Bellevue, WA, USA) which calculated the slope from the change in forearm volume over time and determined blood flow as percent volume change per minute (−min−1). To produce reactive hyperemia, blood flow to the forearm was prevented by inflation of the cuff on the right upper arm to a pressure of 190 mmHg when systolic blood pressure (SBP) was ≤140 mmHg, or 50 mmHg plus SBP when SBP was >140 mmHg. The duration of arterial occlusion was 5 min. After release of arterial occlusion, FBF was measured at 7 s after release and every 15 s thereafter. FBF were monitored and recorded continuously during reactive hyperemia. Three variables were evaluated for endothelial function: 1) Peak FBF (%) = (b / a) × 100; 2) Duration (s) = c distance; and 3) Flow debt repayment (FDR) (%) = (β area / α area) × 100. a: Forearm blood flow (mL·100 mL forearm−1·min−1) at rest, b: FBF at peak value after release of occlusion, c: Duration of hyperemia after release of occlusion, α: The area under the curve between the start and the end of the occlusion period, β: The area under the curve between the release of occlusion and the duration of hyperemia.

S2 Fig. Changes in fasting flow debt repayment (FDR) (primary outcome) in each participant. Data are shown as raw data (A, B) and the change from T0 to T1 (C, D). Black circle: brown rice group (A, C). White circle: white rice group (B, D).

S1 Table. Nutritional compositions of both brown rice and white rice.

Acknowledgments

We thank Keiko Kosaka, Yumiko Omura, and Mayumi Yamanaka for their expert technical assistance with the study and all the volunteers who participated in this study.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: KK K. Morino YN AI HM.

Formal analysis: KK.

Funding acquisition: KK HM.

Investigation: KK K. Morino K. Nakao.

Project administration: KK.

Resources: MS MM.
Supervision: HM.
Writing – original draft: KK K. Morino.
Writing – review & editing: K. Morino YN AI F. Nakagawa F. Nikami OS K. Nemoto MS MM K. Miura TM SU HM.

References
1. Wolk A, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Hu FB, Speizer FE, et al. Long-term intake of dietary fiber and decreased risk of coronary heart disease among women. Jama. 1999; 281(21):1998–2004. Epub 1999/06/08. PMID: 10359388.
2. Park Y, Subar AF, Hollenbeck A, Schatzkin A. Dietary fiber intake and mortality in the NIH-AARP diet and health study. Archives of internal medicine. 2011; 171(12):1061–8. Epub 2011/02/16. https://doi.org/10.1001/archinternmed.2011.18 PMID: 21321288;
3. Estruch R, Ros E, Salas-Salvado J, Covas MI, Corella D, Aros F, et al. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with a Mediterranean diet. N Engl J Med. 2013; 368(14):1279–90. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1203930 PMID: 23452169.
4. Perticone F, Ceravolo R, Pujia A, Ventura G, Iacopino S, Scozzafava A, et al. Prognostic significance of endothelial dysfunction in hypertensive patients. Circulation. 2001; 104(2):191–6. Epub 2001/07/12. PMID: 11447085.
5. Yeboah J, Crouse JR, Hsu FC, Burke GL, Herrington DM. Brachial flow-mediated dilation predicts incident cardiovascular events in older adults: the Cardiovascular Health Study. Circulation. 2007; 115(18):2390–7. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.678276 PMID: 17452608.
6. Hamilton SJ, Chew GT, Watts GF. Therapeutic regulation of endothelial dysfunction in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diab Vasc Dis Res. 2007; 4(2):89–102. Epub 2007/07/27. https://doi.org/10.3132/dvdr.2007.026 PMID: 17654442.
7. Rallidis LS, Lekakis J, Kolomvotsou A, Zampelas A, Vamvakou G, Efstathiou S, et al. Close adherence to a Mediterranean diet improves endothelial function in subjects with abdominal obesity. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 2009; 90(2):263–9. Epub 2009/06/12. https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.2008.27290 PMID: 19515732.
8. Kondo K, Morino K, Nishio Y, Kondo M, Nakao K, Nakagawa F, et al. A fish-based diet intervention improves endothelial function in postmenopausal women with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomized crossover trial. Metabolism. 2014; 63(7):930–40. Epub 2014/05/23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metabol.2014.04.005 PMID: 24850465.
9. Vita JA. Endothelial function. Circulation. 2011; 124(25):e906–12. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.078824 PMID: 22184047.
10. McGill CR, Fulgoni VL 3rd, Devareddy L. Ten-year trends in fiber and whole grain intakes and food sources for the United States population: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2001–2010. Nutrients. 2015; 7(2):1119–30. Epub 2015/02/12. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu7021119 PMID: 25671414;
11. Ministry of Health and Welfare. Annual report of the National Nutrition Survey in 2011: Daiichi Publishing; 2015.
12. Evert AB, Boucher JL, Cypress M, Dunbar SA, Franz MJ, Mayer-Davis EJ, et al. Nutrition therapy recommendations for the management of adults with diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2014; 37 Suppl 1:S120–43. Epub 2013/12/21. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc14-S120 PMID: 24357208.
13. Yao B, Fang H, Xu W, Yan Y, Xu H, Liu Y, et al. Dietary fiber intake and risk of type 2 diabetes: a dose-response analysis of prospective studies. Eur J Epidemiol. 2014; 29(2):79–88. Epub 2014/01/07. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-013-9876-x PMID: 24389767.
14. King DE, Egan BM, Woolson RF, Mainous AG 3rd, Al-Solaiman Y, Jesri A. Effect of a high-fiber diet vs a fiber-supplemented diet on C-reactive protein level. Archives of internal medicine. 2007; 167(5):502–6. Epub 2007/03/14. https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.167.5.502 PMID: 17353499.
15. Qi L, Meigs JB, Liu S, Manson JE, Mantzoros C, Hu FB. Dietary fibers and glycemic load, obesity, and plasma adiponectin levels in women with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2006; 29(7):1501–5. Epub 2006/06/28. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc06-0221 PMID: 16801569.
16. Resources Council, Science and Technology Agency. standard tables of food composition in Japan. Tokyo: National printing bureau; 2010.
17. The Japan Diabetes Society. Treatment Guide for Diabetes 2013, http://www.jds.or.jp/modules/publication/index.php?content_id=4.
18. Linder L, Kiowski W, Buhler FR, Luscher TF. Indirect evidence for release of endothelium-derived relaxing factor in human forearm circulation in vivo. Blunted response in essential hypertension. Circulation. 1990; 81(6):1762–7. Epub 1990/06/01. PMID: 2344673.

19. Higashi Y, Sasaki S, Nakagawa K, Matsuura H, Kajiyama G, Oshima T. A noninvasive measurement of reactive hyperemia that can be used to assess resistance artery endothelial function in humans. Am J Cardiol. 2001; 87(1):121–5, a9. Epub 2001/01/04. PMID: 11137850.

20. Tagawa T, Imaizumi T, Endo T, Shiramoto M, Harasawa Y, Takeshita A. Role of nitric oxide in reactive hyperemia in human forearm vessels. Circulation. 1994; 90(5):2285–90. Epub 1994/11/01. PMID: 7955185.

21. Shimabukuro M, Higa M, Kinjo R, Yamakawa K, Tanaka H, Kozuka C, et al. Effects of the brown rice diet on visceral obesity and endothelial function: the BRAVO study. Br J Nutr. 2014; 111(2):310–20. Epub 2013/08/13. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114513002432 PMID: 23930929.

22. Marangoni F, Poli A. The glycemic index of bread and biscuits is markedly reduced by the addition of a proprietary fiber mixture to the ingredients. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2008; 18(9):602–5. Epub 2008/04/05. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2007.11.003 PMID: 18387793.

23. Gerich JE. Clinical significance, pathogenesis, and management of postprandial hyperglycemia. Archives of internal medicine. 2003; 163(11):1306–16. Epub 2003/06/11. https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.163.11.1306 PMID: 12796066.

24. Ajani UA, Ford ES, Mokdad AH. Dietary fiber and C-reactive protein: findings from national health and nutrition examination survey data. J Nutr. 2004; 134(5):1181–5. Epub 2004/04/29. PMID: 15113967.

25. Kondo K, Ishikado A, Morino K, Nishio Y, Ugi S, Kajiwara S, et al. A high-fiber, low-fat diet improves periodontal disease markers in high-risk subjects: a pilot study. Nutr Res. 2014; 34(6):491–8. Epub 2014/07/17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nutres.2014.06.001 PMID: 25026916.

26. Arcaro G, Cretti A, Balzano S, Lechi A, Muggeo M, Bonora E, et al. Insulin causes endothelial dysfunction in humans: sites and mechanisms. Circulation. 2002; 105(5):576–82. Epub 2002/02/06. PMID: 11827922.

27. Creager MA, Cooke JP, Mendelsohn ME, Gallagher SJ, Coleman SM, Llosalzo J, et al. Impaired vaso-dilation of forearm resistance vessels in hypercholesterolemic humans. The Journal of clinical investigation. 1990; 86(1):228–34. Epub 1990/07/01. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI114688 PMID: 2195060;

28. Whitehead A, Beck EJ, Tosh S, Wolever TM. Cholesterol-lowering effects of oat beta-glucan: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 2014; 100(6):1413–21. Epub 2014/11/21. https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.114.086108 PMID: 25411276.

29. Canfora EE, Jocken JW, Blaak EE. Short-chain fatty acids in control of body weight and insulin sensitivity. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2015. Epub 2015/08/12. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2015.128 PMID: 26260141.

30. Tang WH, Wang Z, Levison BS, Koeth RA, Britt EB, Fu X, et al. Intestinal microbial metabolism of phosphatidylcholine and cardiovascular risk. N Engl J Med. 2013; 368(17):1575–84. Epub 2013/04/26. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1109400 PMID: 23614584;

31. Rodriguez-Moran M, Guerrero-Romero F. Oral magnesium supplementation improves insulin sensitivity and metabolic control in type 2 diabetic subjects: a randomized double-blind controlled trial. Diabetes Care. 2003; 26(4):1147–52. Epub 2003/03/29. PMID: 12663588.