Regulation of Cancer Aggressive Features in Melanoma Cells by MicroRNAs
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Abstract

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small non-coding RNAs with regulatory roles, which are involved in a broad spectrum of physiological and pathological processes, including cancer. A common strategy for identification of miRNAs involved in cell transformation is to compare malignant cells to normal cells. Here we focus on identification of miRNAs that regulate the aggressive phenotype of melanoma cells. To avoid differences due to genetic background, a comparative high-throughput miRNA profiling was performed on two isogenic human melanoma cell lines that display major differences in their net proliferation, invasion and tube formation activities. This screening revealed two major cohorts of differentially expressed miRNAs. We speculated that miRNAs up-regulated in the more-aggressive cell line contribute oncogenic features, while the down-regulated miRNAs are tumor suppressive. This assumption was further tested experimentally on five candidate tumor suppressive miRNAs (miR-31, -34a, -184, -185 and -204) and on one candidate oncogenic miRNA (miR-17-5p), all of which have never been reported before in cutaneous melanoma. Remarkably, all candidate Suppressivem-iRNAs inhibited net proliferation, invasion or tube formation, while miR-17-5p enhanced cell proliferation. miR-34a and miR-185 were further shown to inhibit the growth of melanoma xenografts when implanted in SCID-NOD mice. Finally, all six candidate miRNAs were detected in 15 different metastatic melanoma specimens, attesting for the physiological relevance of our findings. Collectively, these findings may prove instrumental for understanding mechanisms of disease and for development of novel therapeutic and staging technologies for melanoma.
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Introduction

Melanoma, an aggressive malignancy arising from melanocytes, is one of the main life-threatening malignancies of our era. While it accounts for nearly 4% of all skin cancers, it causes 75% of skin cancer-related deaths worldwide and is considered to be the most common fatal malignancy of young adults [1]. Transformation and development of metastasis require stepwise acquisition of aggressive characteristics. These include, for example, uncontrollable growth, resistance to apoptosis, motility, proteolytic capacity and adhesion (reviewed in [2,3]). In addition, plasticity of melanoma cells is evident by their ability to form tube-like structures [4]. These functional vascular-like structures are comprised of tumor cells [5] and their presence is associated with poor prognosis [6,7]. Recent development of targeted therapy for melanoma emphasizes the importance of molecular delineation of the underlying mechanisms of pathogenesis [8].

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small, non-coding, 19-22 nucleotide long RNA molecules, which function as specific epigenetic regulators of gene expression by inhibiting protein translation, leading mRNA to degradation, or both [9,10]. Once processed from their distinctive hairpin transcripts and loaded into the Argonaute protein of the silencing complex, the miRNAs pair with cytoplasmic mRNA to direct posttranscriptional repression. The “seed” region, which is found between nucleotides 2 to 8 of the mature miRNA, binds to complementary regions in the 3'-untranslated region (3'-UTR) of target mRNA. To date, close to 1000 human miRNAs have been identified [11], which are thought to regulate at more than 50% of human genes [12]. miRNAs are involved in the regulation of many biological processes, such as embryonic development, cell differentiation, cell cycle, apoptosis and angiogenesis (reviewed in [13]). They are also directly implicated in cancer development, progression and metastasis in-vivo, in-vitro and reported even in patients [10,14]. In some cases, cancer is facilitated by the loss of certain miRNAs, such as miR-15/16 cluster in chronic lymphocytic leukemia [15], miR-34a in uveal melanoma [16] and miR-31 in mesothelioma [17]. The loss of these miRNAs enhances invasiveness, migration and proliferation of cancer cells. In other cases, cancer is facilitated by the over-expression of other miRNAs, such as miR-17-92.
cluster [13,18], which promotes migration and invasion in several malignancies.

Currently, our knowledge on the roles of miRNAs in melanoma development and progression is still limited. Recently, several comparative miRNA profiling studies of normal melanocytes and melanoma cells revealed: 1) Groups of miRNAs associated with malignant transformation, progression and metastatic potential [19]; 2) Specific expression profiles that were associated with mutational status and survival [20]; 3) Differential miRNA patterns in melanoma of young adults and older adults [21]; and 4) Prediction of post-recurrence survival [22]. Yet none of these studies described miRNAs that directly determine aggressive features of cutaneous melanoma, such as enhanced proliferation, motility and invasion. Few inhibitory miRNAs were identified in melanoma, including miR-34a (uveal melanoma) [16], miR-193b [23], let-7a [24], and miR-211 [25,26], while miR-182 [27] and miR-221/222 [28] were shown to stimulate metastatic potential of melanoma cells. Given the critical evaluation of aggressive versus not aggressive melanoma, and the potential of therapeutics, we find it imperative to learn the molecular events of aggressive melanoma.

Here we focus on high-throughput identification of miRNAs that are directly involved in determination of an aggressive melanoma cell phenotype. Two isogenic melanoma cell-lines with a different aggressive pattern, the highly aggressive C8161 cells and the poorly aggressive C81-61 cells, were subjected to differential high-throughput screening of miRNAs. We hypothesized that due to the isogenic background of the cells, the differentially expressed miRNA groups would be enriched for miRNAs with a direct effect on aggressive melanoma features. Indeed, we provide experimental evidence in-vitro, in-vivo and in clinical melanoma specimens that previously known tumor-suppressive and tumor-promoting miRNAs fit this hypothesis. Remarkably, we describe new miRNAs with little information regarding their role in cancer, such as miR-185. The scientific and translational implications of this study are discussed.

**Results**

**Differential aggressiveness of melanoma isogenic cell lines**

The highly aggressive (HAG) C8161 and poorly aggressive (PAG) C81-61 cutaneous melanoma cell lines were derived from different metastases from the same patient [29]. The differential aggressive phenotype of the HAG and PAG cells was confirmed by four different functional assays: proliferation, invasion through matrigel, tube formation in matrigel and formation of tumors in xenografted mice. Indeed, HAG cells displayed substantially higher proliferation, invasion and tube formation capabilities in-vitro, than PAG cells (Figure 1, A–C). Moreover, subcutaneous injection of $1 \times 10^6$ HAG cells formed tumors in SCID-NOD mice within five days post injection, with an average xenograft size of 780 mm$^3$ by day 30. In contrast, injection of $1 \times 10^6$ PAG cells did not develop into measurable tumors within 30 days (Figure 1D). Small PAG tumors (~200 mm$^3$) were observed >90 days post injection of PAG cells (data not shown).

**Differential expression profile of miRNAs among HAG and PAG cells**

The remarkable phenotypic difference between the two isogenic melanoma lines comprised the platform for studying epigenetic regulation of aggressive features by miRNAs. A comparative high-throughput profiling of miRNAs was performed. Importantly, a set of 81 miRNAs were expressed at higher levels in the HAG as
compared to the PAG cells (HAG\textsuperscript{high}). Another set of 69 miRNAs was expressed at lower levels in the HAG as compared to the PAG cells (HAG\textsuperscript{low}). A group of 48 miRNAs out of the 81 HAG\textsuperscript{high} miRNAs were not detected in HAG cells (Figure 2B). The rest of the differentially expressed miRNAs were found in both cell lines at different, quantifiable amounts (Figure 2C). We hypothesized that these miRNA clusters would be enriched for miRNAs that are involved in direct regulation of the distinct phenotypic differences. More specifically, we speculated that the HAG\textsuperscript{low} miRNAs would be enriched in miRNAs accounting for suppressive effects on various cell features e.g. proliferation (Suppressive miRNAs), while the HAG\textsuperscript{high} miRNAs would be enriched in miRNAs with oncogenic or tumor-promoting effects (Oncogenic miRNAs) (Figure 2).

**Selection of miRNAs for specific functional assays**

We focused mainly on HAG\textsuperscript{low} miRNAs, which are presumably tumor-suppressive and could provide the basis for novel lines of therapy for melanoma. miRNAs with tumor-suppressive potential were analyzed for predicted targets with TargetScan algorithm [30] and for the affected biological processes with miRanda (miRpath) algorithm [31]. All the potentially affected biological processes were ranked according to the overall P value, with a cutoff of <0.01. Further focus on the robust processes was enabled by selecting biological processes that included at least 30 candidate target genes. Remarkably, these computational steps highlighted four biological processes that are highly involved in cancer, including Wnt pathway (82 genes, P = 1.7\times10^{-5}), focal adhesion (100 genes, P = 8.6\times10^{-5}), MAPK pathway (120 genes, P = 2\times10^{-7}) and Phosphatidylinositol pathway (35 genes, P = 7.2\times10^{-5}). Twenty nine of the miRNAs were predicted to target all four processes, with only 12 having been reported to experimentally exert a suppressive effect in any cancer.

Altogether, five candidate Suppressive miRNAs, which have never been studied in melanoma, were selected for cloning and experimental tests. Three miRNAs were within expression range (miR-34a, miR-185 and miR-204, Figure 2C) and two which were silenced (miR-31 and miR-184, Figure 2B) in the HAG cells. miR-17 was selected as an example for candidate Oncogenic miRNA (Figure 2C). The differential expression of each of these miRNAs was validated in two independent RNA preparations (data not shown). Different roles of miR-17, miR-31 and miR-34a in cancer have been reported before, although never in cutaneous melanoma. In contrast, there is scarce evidence on the roles of miRNAs -184, -185 and -204 in cancer. Exemplar predicted roles in biological and molecular functions concerning these miRNAs are summarized in Supplementary Table S1 [32].

**Expression profile of selected miRNAs in clinical melanoma specimens**

Fifteen patient-derived primary cultures of melanoma were analyzed for the expression level of the selected miRNAs. All melanoma cultures were established from distant metastases. Additional data on the patients is provided in Supplementary Table S2. As expected, a considerable variability in miRNA expression was observed among the individual specimens, mainly of miR-31 (Figure 3). The mean expression level of most candidate Suppressive miRNAs in the clinical specimens was between the corresponding miRNA values in the PAG and HAG cells, except for miR-185 and miR-31. While the mean level of miR-185 was very close to the PAG cells, miR-31 levels were clearly higher even than PAG cells (Figure 3). In contrast, the mean expression of the candidate Oncogenic miR-17 among the clinical specimens was

---

**Figure 2. Differential miRNA expression profile in HAG and PAG cells.** (A) The list of miRNAs that are expressed in the HAG cells, but not in the PAG cells; (B) The list of miRNAs that are expressed in the PAG cells, but not in the HAG cells; (C) Relative expression of miRNAs that are expressed in both HAG and PAG cells. Expression of HAG-to-PAG ratio (Y-axis) is shown as 2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}. HAG\textsuperscript{low} represents miRNAs with low HAG-to-PAG ratio. HAG\textsuperscript{high} represents miRNAs with high HAG-to-PAG ratio.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0018936.g002
even higher than in HAG cells (Figure 3). The miRNA expression patterns in clinical specimens directly shows that most candidate Suppressive miRNAs, except for miR-31, are expressed at significantly lower levels than the candidate Oncogenic miR-17 (Figure 3). These results concur with our hypothesis and suggest that the approach used to identify functional Suppressive and Oncogenic miRNAs has physiologically-relevant grounds.

Regulation of aggressive phenotype of melanoma cells by candidate Suppressive miRNAs

Determination of miRNAs that inhibit the aggressive phenotype of melanoma could become a basis for development of new platforms for cancer therapy. In order to evaluate the functional effect of the candidate Suppressive miRNAs on the aggressive features of melanoma, we cloned and stably over-expressed them in HAG cells to evaluate their effect in-vitro and in-vivo. An empty vector served as control. An over-expression of at least 50-fold was confirmed by real time PCR (Figure 4A). The phenotype of the transduced cells was tested in-vitro for proliferation, invasion and tube formation activities. Remarkably, a substantial and consistent inhibition in net proliferation was conferred by miR-31, miR-34a, miR-184 and miR-185 as compared to the control cell (Figure 4B). miR-204 did not inhibit the proliferation of HAG cells (Figure 4B). In contrast, miR-204 markedly inhibited the invasion activity of HAG cells (Figure 4C). Invasion was similarly inhibited by miR-34a and miR-185, but not by the other Suppressive miRNAs (Figure 4C).

Tube formation activity was substantially inhibited by miR-34a and miR-185, and more mildly by miR-31 and miR-184, but not by miR-204, as compared to control (Figure 5, A–F). Quantification of total tube length was performed using ImageJ. Importantly, the qualitative assessment of micrographic captures (Figure 5, A–F) concurred with the quantitative total length analysis (Figure 5G). The differential effects of the miRNAs could not be simply attributed to their differential over-expression intensities (Figure 4A). Almost all cells were viable when assayed, as evident by <5% positive trypan blue staining (data not shown).

Taken together, all five candidate Suppressive miRNAs indeed exerted significant inhibitory effects on various aggressive features of melanoma cells. This concurs with their substantial downregulation in the HAG cells (Figure 2) and their overall low expression in clinical specimens (Figure 3). This also strengthens our high-throughput miRNA screening and emphasizes its reliability.

Facilitation of aggressive phenotype of melanoma cells by candidate Oncogenic miRNA

Since the miRNA 17–92 clusters’ functional role in cancer is well established, yet never has it been tested in cutaneous melanoma, we evaluated miR-17 for its effect on the aggressiveness of PAG cells. miR-17 was cloned and stably over-expressed in the PAG cells. An empty vector served as control. A 25-fold over-expression of miR-17 was verified by real time PCR (Figure 6A). Importantly, miR-17-transduced PAG cells displayed a significantly enhanced proliferative activity (Figure 6B) but not invasive ability (Figure 6C) or tube formation activity (data not shown). These results support the potentially oncogenic effects of miR-17 in melanoma.

miRNA-mediated regulation of aggressive melanoma features in-vivo

In cancer biology, in-vitro results often do not necessarily reflect in-vivo behavior. To this end, the effects of selected Suppressive
miRNAs were further assessed *in-vivo* in melanoma xenograft models. The effect of the Suppressive miRNAs, miR-34a and miR-185 on tumor growth was measured following subcutaneous injection of $5 \times 10^5$ HAG transductants. Tumor masses were monitored for 28 days post injection. Over-expression of specific miRNAs was confirmed pre-injection (data not shown). Importantly, a statistically significant inhibition in tumor growth was observed in both miR-34a and miR-185 transductants, as compared to control tumors (Figure 7A). Concurring with these results, *ex-vivo* weighing of tumor explants upon termination of the experiments confirmed that the average tumor mass of both miR-34a and miR-185 transductants was lower than Mock-transduced tumors (Figure 7B). The *in-vivo* over-expression of the transduced miRNAs was confirmed in the tumor explants (Figure 7C). These results corroborate with the expression results and functional suppressive effects demonstrated *in-vivo* (Figure 4–5).

**Discussion**

Up to date, most attempts to characterize the roles of miRNAs in cancer in general or melanoma in particular, have focused on differential profiling of normal cells compared to their malignant counterpart cells [19,20]. This approach enabled the identification of miRNAs that participate in the process of malignant transformation. Here we focused on identification of miRNAs that directly regulate cancer aggressive features of melanoma cells. The objective of this approach was to map the miRNAs that could be involved mechanistically in different disease phenotypes and eventually delineate their regulatory role of aggressive cancer features. We used two isogenic matched melanoma cell lines that were derived of two different metastases from the same patient. These cell lines significantly differ in their invasive, proliferative and tumorigenic properties (Figure 1). Due to the shared genetic background of the cells, our rationale was that the differential expression of miRNAs would correlate well with their differential aggressive phenotype.

High-throughput screening revealed a large cohort of differentially expressed miRNAs between highly (HAG) and poorly (PAG)-aggressive melanoma cell-lines (Figure 2). We hypothesized that HAG-suppressive miRNAs are suppressive and that HAG-oncogenic miRNAs are oncogenic. Indeed, we provide substantial evidence supporting our hypothesis, by ectopic expression of five selected HAG-suppressive miRNAs in HAG cells (Figures 4, 5, 7) and one HAG-oncogenic miRNA in PAG cells, as a representative of this group (Figure 6). This is the first report to successfully demonstrate a systematic approach for a methodological identification of miRNAs that directly regulate aggressive cancer features. The wealth of identified miRNAs that regulate features of melanoma cell aggressiveness supports the use of isogenic cell lines with differential phenotype as a screening platform.

The expression of these miRNAs was validated in 15 low-passage primary cultures, with the mean level of miR-17 being higher than that of the Suppressive miRs, thus confirming the physiological relevance (Figure 3). The expression of these miRNAs should be further studied in the future in progression tissue microarrays, and their prognostic value tested accordingly.

In the present work we focused on a group of either well characterized miRNAs known to have a role in other malignancies (miR-17, miR-31 and miR-34a), and on a second group of relatively unstudied miRNAs with regard to their role in malignant processes (miR-184, miR-185, miR-204). Noteworthy, the roles of all of these miRNAs have never been described in cutaneous melanoma.

miR-31 was reported to exert inhibitory effects on metastasis in breast cancer [33,34] and in mesothelioma [17], while it has a potential oncogenic role in as head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and lung cancer [35,36]. miR-34a was consistently reported as a suppressive miRNA in many malignancies [37]. Our results concur with the suggested inhibitory role for miR-34a and miR-31. Moreover, miR-34a has been previously reported to target c-Met [16]. Since c-Met has been reported to participate in tubulogenesis processes through the c-Met/HGF system [38], it is tempting to speculate that the mechanism by which miR-34a inhibits tube formation is via this gene. The oncogenic properties of miR-17-5p were discussed in earlier publications in other malignancies [13]. In agreement with these reports, ectopic expression of miR-17-5p in the PAG cells enhanced proliferation rate (Figure 6B).
In contrast, very little is known about miR-184, miR-185 and miR-204 in cancer. Most current studies on miR-184 and miR-204 focus on their roles in development and morphogenesis, which could be predicted computationally (Supplementary Table S1). The few publications concerning miR-184 in cancer showed contradictory effects, either suppressive [39] or oncogenic [40]. A single report showed that miR-204 inhibited metastasis in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma [41]. Another report described downregulation of miR-204 in highly invasive melanoma sub-line compared to its non-invasive isogenic counterpart [19], supporting our findings regarding the invasion-inhibiting activity of miR-204 (Fig. 4C). miR-185 is still mostly unstudied, but recently it was demonstrated to exert suppressive effects in several malignancies through targeting Six1 oncogene [42,43]. The future challenge would be to delineate the target gene networks of these miRNAs and understand the molecular basis for their tumor-suppressive role.

The effects of each individual miRNA may not apparently be identical between in-vitro and in-vivo setups. For example, while miRNAs-34a and -185 exhibited strong anti-proliferative effects in-vitro (Figure 4B), they affected much more moderately tumor growth rate in-vivo (Figure 7A). This could be attributed to a major difference in expression intensity between in-vitro and in-vivo settings (Figure 4A and 7C). Nevertheless, the in-vivo experiments suggest that even when the over-expression level is relatively weak (Figure 7C), an experimental outcome that takes into account several biological processes, such as tumor growth, can still reflect the suppressive effect of the over-expressed miRNA (Figure 7). Moreover, these experiments simplify the possibility of combinatorial regulatory effects of different miRNAs, which can work either in concert or interference [44]. Thus, a coordinated downregulation and upregulation of miRNAs may shape a certain phenotype even when the alterations in each miRNA expression level are not extreme. Indeed, we have observed several direct and inverse statistical correlations between the tested Suppressive miRNAs in the clinical melanoma specimens, which could suggest synergistic or antagonistic effects among them (data not shown). The outcome of the interactions between the different Suppressive

Figure 5. Candidate Suppressive miRNAs inhibit tube formation by melanoma cells. Tube formation ability of transductants was tested in 3D culture, 24 h after seeding. Each experiment was performed in triplicate wells. (A) A representative microphotograph of a 3D culture of Mock-transduced HAG cells; (B–F) Representative microphotographs of miRNA-transduced HAG cells, as indicated in each picture. All images were captured under x40 magnification. All pictures (A–F) were derived from the same representative experiment shown, out of three performed. (G) Tube formation was quantified using the ImageJ analyze skeleton Plugin. Figure shows the average length calculated for each transductant out of all microphotographs captured in all three experiments performed. * denotes P<0.05, ** denotes P<0.01 (2-tailed t-test).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0018936.g005
miRNAs should be further investigated to deepen our understanding of phenotype shaping by combinatorial miRNA patterns, and since synergistic inhibitory combinations could provide a solid lead for innovative therapy.

In conclusion, this is the first report that successfully demonstrated a systematic approach for identification of miRNAs that directly regulate aggressive cancer features. We described six miRNAs contributing to the aggressive phenotype of cutaneous melanoma both in-vitro and in-vivo, and linked these observations to clinical samples. Identification of miRNAs that shape the aggressive phenotype of the disease may lead to the development of innovative future therapy and molecular staging systems.

Recent publications showed the possibility of targeting experimental melanoma metastases using nanoparticles carrying miR-34a [45] or a synthetic miRNA agonist [46].

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethics Statement**

All animal work in mice was performed following approval of an Institutional Review Board of Sheba Medical Center (562/2010). All clinical samples derived from patients, from which melanoma cultures were establish, were obtained following approval of the ethical committee of the Israel Ministry of Health (IMoH approval No. 3518/2004). All patients have willingly signed on an informed consent form.

**Cells**

The two isogenic human cutaneous melanoma cell lines G8161 cells (Highly aggressive - HAG) and the poorly aggressive G81-61 (Poorly aggressive - PAG) [29] were kindly provided by Dr Mary Hendrix (Children’s Memorial Research Center, Chicago, USA). These cell lines were derived of two different metastases from the same patient. Primary melanoma cultures were developed from surgically removed metastatic melanoma lesions (IMoH approval No. 3518/2004) and were grown as previously described [47]. PAG cells were grown in Ham’s F10 medium supplement with
15% FBS, Pen/Strep and 1 × MITO + (BD Biosciences). Normal Human Epidermal Melanocytes were maintained in a serum free unique medium (PromoCell). 293T cells (ATCC) were maintained in DMEM (Gibco/Invitrogen) containing 10% FBS (DMEM/FBS).

miRNA expression analysis

Total RNA was isolated using the mirVana miRNA Isolation Kit (Ambion). High-throughput screening of miRNA was performed by real time PCR using TLDA format TaqMan MicroRNA kits (Applied Biosystems). Expression of specific miRNAs and U6 RNA (as endogenous control) was performed following reverse transcription from <200 nt RNA fractions, using the TaqMan MicroRNA kits (Applied Biosystems). Cutoff levels for significance were determined as at least 4-fold ratio between tested samples and cycle 36 as the limit for expression range, based on our previous evaluation of this technology. Quantification of miRNAs expression in xenografts was performed following tumor excision, homogenization (Polytron homogenizer) in Tri reagent (Sigma) and RNA purification with Trizol.

Cloning of miRNAs into mammalian expression vector

Genomic DNA was extracted from cells with the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega). miRNAs were amplified with PCR from genomic DNA using specific primers (Supplementary Table S3). Each amplified miRNA included the flanking genomic sequences of 110 bp from both sides. The amplicon was cloned into the pQCXIP vector (CloneTech) using the NotI and EcoRI restriction enzymes (New England BioLabs). Empty pQCXIP served as negative control. All cloned constructs were fully sequenced.

Cell transductions

2 × 10⁵ 293T cells were seeded in a 6-well plate and cultured overnight in DMEM (Gibco/Invitrogen) containing 10% FBS (DMEM/FBS). On day 1, cells were transfected with a mixture of 1 μg GAG-POL, 1 μg Envelope, 2 μg of each of the pQCXIP constructs and 6 μl of Turbofect reagent (Fermentas). After six hours of incubation at 37°C, the cells were washed and re-cultured in fresh DMEM/FBS. On day 2, 5 × 10⁴ melanoma cells were placed in each well of 6-well plates and cultured overnight in DMEM/FBS. On day 3, the melanoma cells were infected with 6 nl of 0.45 μm-filtered virion-containing medium of the 293T cells. After incubation at 37°C for 6 hours, the infected melanoma cells were washed and re-cultured with fresh DMEM/FBS. The aforementioned infection procedure was repeated the next day on the same melanoma culture. 48 hours after the second infection, selection was performed by addition of 1.2 μg/ml puromycin into culture medium.

Net cell proliferation

Melanoma cells (3 × 10⁴) were seeded in triplicate wells in 96F-well microplates. Net proliferation was determined by XTT colorimetric assay (Biological-Industries), according to manufacturer’s instruction. Following background subtraction, the O.D. values were transformed into viable cells counts according to the specific regression equation that was determined for each cell line tested.

Invasion assay

Melanoma cells (2 × 10⁵) were seeded in the upper wells of Transwell invasion system on Matrigel (BD) coated ThinCerts PET 8-µm membranes (Greiner-bio-one) in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 0.1% FBS. The lower well contained the same medium with 10% FBS. After 24 hours of incubation in humidified 5% CO₂ incubator, the upper well content, which contained non-invading cells, was removed using cotton swabs. The amount of cells that invaded through the membranes was measured by standardized XTT staining (as above) and corrected for proliferation. Percent of invasion was calculated out of the number of cells seeded.

Tube formation assay and image analysis

Tube formation activity in-vitro on Matrigel (BD) was determined in 96-well microplates as previously described [48]. Tube formation was quantified after 24 h by an image analysis process using whole field image capture (×40 microscopic images) to avoid any bias. In principle, we quantified the distribution of the network lengths. First, a threshold was manually set to specifically demonstrate the network structures in the image. The quality and resolution of the images allowed reliable and exclusive threshold of the networks without the need of image filtering. Images were then placed in bins and subjected to the “Skeletonize” function of ImageJ software. The corresponding lengths was measured using the 2D/3D skeleton PlugIn [49] for the NIH ImageJ software [50].

Human melanoma xenograft model in SCID-NOD mice

3 × 10⁶ miRNA transfected HAG cells were injected subcutaneously to the thigh of 8w old SCID-NOD mice to create human melanoma xenografts. Experimental groups included control cells and miRNA over-expressing cells. Each group included at least 6 mice. Mice were monitored 3 times per week for tumor volume by caliper measurement. Tumor volume was calculated as (small diameter)²×(large diameter)/2. At termination of each experiment, tumor masses were extracted, weighed, photographed and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. All animal work was performed following approval of an Institutional Review Board of Sheba Medical Center (562/2010).

Supporting Information

Table S1 - Summary of predicted information for indicated miRNAs, obtained from ToppGene [32]. (DOC)
Table S2 - Clinical data of all primary melanoma cultures derived from patients. (DOC)
Table S3 - List of primers used to clone the miRNAs examined in this study. (DOC)
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