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Abstract

In this chapter, we describe how structural parameters affect the reactivity of singlewalled carbon nanotubes through global reactivity descriptors obtained by the DFT methods (B3LYP/6-31G(d) with real frequencies in all cases). First, we investigate regular armchair, chiral, and zigzag nanotubes with bumpy defects (five- and seven-membered rings), finding that regular and defective zigzag nanotubes exhibit the greater conductive ability, reactivity, and capacity of nanotubes to be reduced. The bumpy defects favor those properties with greater intensity in chiral nanotubes. We also investigate how the properties of armchair nanotubes change in the presence of bumpy, haeckelite, Stone-Wales, and zipper defects, and we found that armchair nanotubes with zipper defects show greater reactivity and better conducting abilities enhanced by nitrogen doping and longer nanotubes. In addition, for armchair nanotubes containing bumpy defects, our results reveal, considering B3LYP-D3 correction, that bumpy defects confer a greater ability to physically adsorb hydrogen, with adsorption energies of 0.32 eV/adsorbed H2. That value is considered ideal for the reversible adsorption of hydrogen at room temperature and low pressures and therefore favorable for use as a clean energy source. These results contribute to the future design of novel useful materials based in carbon nanotubes.
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1. Introduction

Carbon is a very important chemical element, essential for the existence of multiple types of structures. Organic chemistry, a broad and interesting branch of chemistry, in simple terms, is
defined as carbon chemistry and is closely related, for example, to biochemistry and biomedicine. The understanding of the structure-property relations is an important pillar on which the development of these branches of knowledge is based. Thus, exchanging the position of two groups on a molecule of a recommended drug may mean that it becomes a poison. An example is the case of thalidomide disaster [1] where many babies were born with phocomelia (malformation of the limbs) when R-thalidomide, a compound suitable to mitigate some troublesome symptoms of pregnancy, was administered to their mother but contaminated with its enantiomer (compound with the same mirror image, but not superimposable); moreover, the enantiomer, S-thalidomide, has teratogenic effects.

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are a family of compounds that, due to their size, composition, surface ratio, and molecular structure, present useful properties. Being lighter than steel, CNTs have a higher tensile strength. They may also be better conductors than copper [2, 3]. In addition, CNTs can penetrate cell membranes without breaking them [4]. Basic nanotube units are six-membered rings of sp2-hybridized carbon atoms. The diameter of the nanotubes is of great importance in their properties because it modifies the curvature of the surface of the nanotube affecting the distribution of charge density. Also the way these units are arranged, known as chirality of nanotubes, originates conductive or semiconducting nanotubes. It is important to note that here the concept of chirality is not related to the presence of chiral atoms.

Three types of CNTs are known as characterized by the so-called chirality vectors or Hamada indices, as shown in Figure 1. CNTs of type (n,n) or armchair are metallic; those of type (n,m) or chiral and those of type (n,0) or zigzag are semiconductors or metallic; chiral nanotubes are conductors if the difference n-m is a multiple of 3 [5]. In all these cases, the repeating units are hexagons, and here we call regular CNTs (R) to these nanotubes to differentiate them from other types of CNTs in which there are cyclic units that can be of four, five, seven, or eight members. When present in the nanotubes, these units, different from the hexagons, are known as structural defects. There are different types of structural defects that can be produced by the addition of a pair of carbon atoms. Those having an arrangement (7,5,5,7) are known as bumpy (B) if the defect is repeated in a transverse equidistant form from the ends of the nanotube. If the defect is repeated longitudinally, zipper-type nanotubes (Z) are obtained. Figure 2 shows the arrangement of nonhexagonal units in some different types of defects. The arrangement (8,4,8,4) represents one of the defects called haeckelite (HK). In addition, the arrangement (5,7,7,5) of the topological defects known as Stone-Wales (SW) is shown. The absence of some atoms (vacancy) or the substitution of some carbon atoms by other elements (doping) is also considered as defects.

The particular structure of the CNTs (mainly the regular ones) can be of a single-wall, SWCNT, or of multiple concentric walls and every day finds new applications in diverse fields of science and technology, and there are a great number of publications and patents which account for it (e.g., see [6–9]). Nanotubes with defects have shown advantages in some fields. For example, doping with nitrogen decreases the toxicity of nanotubes [10] and gives them excellent catalytic properties in oxygen reduction reactions [11, 12]. The bumpy nanotubes present interesting values of hydrogen physisorption energies (0.26 eV/H2), better than similar regular nanotubes, estimated through theoretical studies based on the density functional theory (DFT) [13]. This physisorption energy value is within the suggested ideal range for using hydrogen as a clean
Figure 1. Regular nanotube models of different chirality. Perspective and lateral views for (a) armchair (5,5), (b) chiral 6,3, and (c) zigzag (8,0) nanotube representations.

Figure 2. Nanotube defects representation. (a) (7,5,5,7) bumpy and zipper defects; (b) (8,4,8,4) haeckelite defect; and (c) (5,7,7,5) Stone-Wales defect.
energy media [14]. The specialized literature lacks enough information to have a broader perspective of the utility and possible applications of defective nanotubes, despite some interesting isolated results [15, 16].

It is therefore interesting and necessary to evaluate how changes in geometric, structural, topological, or doping parameters can affect properties of stability, conductivity, and chemical reactivity of nanotubes and determine if there is any general tendency to predict or modify specifically their behavior in the search for new applications of these advanced materials.

In this chapter, the effects of chirality, chemisorption, number of defects, and the presence of nitrogen on the reactivity of the regular and defective CNTs are analyzed, and results are obtained confirming the good values of hydrogen physisorption energy. This chapter also discusses how the diameter and length of nanotubes, and the presence of nitrogen and the different types of defects (bumpy, haeckelite, Stone-Wales, and zipper) enhance the molecular and electronic properties of CNTs, particularly armchair-type, through molecular global descriptors based on the DFT methods.

2. Methods

Regular and defective nanotubes are built as finite, open, and terminated by hydrogen atoms by using the HyperTube script [17] embedded in Hyperchem [18]. Saturated nanotubes are full exo-hydrogenated. Nitrogen doping is performed by replacing two carbon atoms by nitrogen atoms at an hexagonal ring building a pyrimidine (with the two nitrogen atoms separated by a carbon atom in the way N1-C2-N3) taking care of locating an additional pyrimidine ring opposite to the first one at the same level in the tube. All the structures are fully optimized by the DFT methods at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory [19, 20] with Jaguar v9.2 [21]. No symmetry restrictions are applied. Energy minima are verified after the harmonic vibrational frequency real values that are obtained by calculations for optimized structures at the same level of theory. Dispersion forces are corrected by means of the DFT-D3 method [22, 23] validated through the DFT nonlocal method [24] as was performed before [25].

The formation energy, \( E_F \), is calculated as follows:

\[
E_F = E_{NT} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} n_i E_i
\]  

where \( E_{NT} \) and \( E_i \) are the total energy for the nanotube structure (B3LYP/6-31G(d) full optimized) and for the \( i \) elements (for instance, C, H, N), respectively; \( n_i \) is the number of each element.

The hydrogen physisorption energy, \( E_{ph} \), is calculated according to expression (2):

\[
E_{ph} = E_{(NT+nH_2)} - E_{NT} - nE_{H_2} + vdW
\]  

where \( E_{(NT+nH_2)} \) and \( E_{NT} \) are the total energy for the B3LYP/6-31G(d) full optimized nanotube structure containing the encapsulated hydrogen molecules inside and for the nanotube alone;
$E_H$ is the total energy for the hydrogen molecule; $n$ is the number of encapsulated hydrogen molecules; and $\text{vdW}$ corresponds to the van der Waals interaction correction term accounting for the dispersion forces calculated by DFT-D3 methods [22, 23] as implemented in Jaguar v9.2. Specialized research studies have found B3LYP-D3 as a very accurate method for treating intermolecular interactions [26].

The electronic chemical potential $\mu$ is defined [27] as the energy changes of the system with respect to the number of electrons $N$ at a given external potential $\nu(r)$ defined by the nuclei:

$$\mu = \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial N}\right)_{\nu(r)}$$

(3)

After applying the finite difference approximation, the Koopmans theorem [28, 30] and the Kohn-Sham principle [29, 31], global reactivity descriptors are calculated according to Eqs. (4)–(7) for the electronic chemical potential, the chemical hardness $\eta$, the global electrophilicity index $\omega$, and the softness $S$, based on conceptual DFT, respectively [27–34]:

$$\mu = (E_{\text{LUMO}} + E_{\text{HOMO}})/2$$

(4)

$$\eta = (E_{\text{LUMO}} - E_{\text{HOMO}})/2$$

(5)

$$\omega = \mu^2/2\eta$$

(6)

$$S = 1/\eta$$

(7)

where $E_{\text{LUMO}}$ and $E_{\text{HOMO}}$ are the B3LYP/6-31G(d) energies of the frontier orbitals: the lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals and the highest occupied molecular orbitals, respectively. The bandgap, $\text{BG}$, is calculated as the energy difference between the frontier molecular orbitals $E_{\text{LUMO}} - E_{\text{HOMO}}$.

### 3. Chirality Effect

In this section, we analyze the reactivity of armchair, chiral, and zigzag nanotubes, regular and with bumpy defects, using theoretical DFT methods. We study the effects of both nitrogen doping and the total hydrogenation of nanotubes on the electronic properties.

#### 3.1. Bandgap

The bandgap is the energy difference between the conduction band and the valence band. The smaller is the bandgap, the nanotube tends to have better conductive ability. The regular CNTs (defect free) formed only by six-membered ring units exhibit properties that depend on the ordering of the rings in the nanotube or chirality. Studies with the DFT methods at B3LYP/6-31G(d) for CNTs of similar diameters (between 6.3 and 6.8 Å) and eight carbon-atom layer (cl) of length revealed that the bandgap value decreases in the order: armchair (5,5) > chiral (6,3) > zigzag (8,0) with values 1.77, 1.35, and 0.42 eV, respectively [25]. Nitrogen-doped CNTs
(N-CNTs) in the three mentioned cases show a decrease in the bandgap in the order: chiral, armchair, and zigzag with bandgap values of 1.26, 1.16, and 0.0 eV, respectively. Doped and nondoped zigzag nanotubes exhibit the smallest bandgap [25].

CNTs with two bumpy defects and similar dimensions than the mentioned regular CNTs show the following order of bandgap: armchair > zigzag > chiral with values of 1.72, 0.43, and 0.31 eV, respectively. In the case of nitrogen-doped CNTs with bumpy defects, obtained bandgap values increase for zigzag and chiral nanotubes (0.83 and 0.86 eV, respectively), but decreases for armchair nanotubes (1.29 eV) (see 1a in Table 1). These results indicate that the bumpy defect decreases the bandgap in the doped and nondoped chiral nanotubes. In the zigzag and armchair nanotubes, the bumpy effect depends on the presence of nitrogen since in both nondoped cases, the presence of the bumpy defect does not affect too much, whereas in the cases of doped zigzag and armchair nanotubes, the presence of the bumpy defect increases the bandgap. Armchair nanotubes with five bumpy defects in their structure, doped and nondoped, decrease the bandgap to 1.17 eV (see 1e in Table 1).

The hydrogenation of nanotubes with bumpy defects increases the bandgap to levels between 6.12 and 6.57 eV for two bumpy defects and between 6.37 and 6.79 eV for five bumpy defects. This behavior is expected due to the sp³ hybridization that makes the structures of the nanotubes similar to each other with a similar electron density distribution (see 2a and 2e in Table 2). In all cases, it can be observed that nitrogen decreases the bandgap with values between 5.46 and 5.83 eV.

In other words, in terms of conductive ability, our calculations reveal that both regular and bumpy nanotubes show the same trend: zigzag and chiral nanotubes have higher conductive

| Entry | Reactivity descriptor | Armchair | Chiral | Zigzag |
|-------|-----------------------|----------|--------|--------|
|       |                       | 0 N 4 N  | 0 N 4 N| 0 N 4 N|
| with two bumpy defects |                        |         |        |        |
| 1a    | BG                    | 1.72     | 1.29   | 0.31   | 0.86   | 0.43   | 0.83   |
| 1b    | μ                     | −3.67    | −3.25  | −3.67  | −3.33  | −3.49  | −3.48  |
| 1c    | η                     | 0.86     | 0.64   | 0.16   | 0.43   | 0.21   | 0.41   |
| 1d    | ω                     | 7.82     | 8.19   | 43.22  | 12.83  | 28.52  | 14.66  |
| with five bumpy defects |                      |         |        |        |
| 1e    | BG                    | 1.17     | 1.17   |        |        |        |
| 1f    | μ                     | −3.65    | −3.64  |        |        |        |
| 1g    | η                     | 0.59     | 0.58   |        |        |        |
| 1h    | ω                     | 11.35    | 11.32  |        |        |        |

Table 1. B3LYP/6-31G(d) bandgap (E_{LUMO}−E_{HOMO}), BG, electronic chemical potential, μ, chemical hardness, η, and global electrophilicity, ω, in eV, for a series of 32 nitrogen-doped and nondoped armchair (5,5), chiral (6,3), and zigzag (8,0) bumpy nanotubes, with two and five bumpy defects, all of them having a similar diameter (6.3–6.7 Å) and 8 cl of length.
abilities than armchair nanotubes. The incorporation of bumpy defects in nanotubes only increases the conductive ability of chiral nanotubes (doped or nondoped). Nitrogen doping increases the conductive ability of the three types of regular nanotubes and that of the armchair nanotubes with two bumpy defects.

3.2. Chemical potential

The chemical potential \( \mu \), is the inverse of electronegativity. It measures the tendency of electrons to escape from an equilibrium system. At higher value of the chemical potential, the molecule behaves as a better electron-donor against an acceptor. In a study of diene and dienophile reactivity in Diels-Alder reactions in the context of conceptual DFT at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level, a compound with \( \mu = -1.85 \text{ eV} \) was characterized as electron-donor while another compound with \( \mu = -7.04 \text{ eV} \) was considered as electron-acceptor [34].

The values of \( \mu \) for the regular armchair, chiral, and zigzag nanotubes determined at the same level of theory fluctuate between \(-3.57 \) and \(-3.06 \text{ eV} \). The values of \( \mu \) for the corresponding nitrogen-doped and nondoped nanotubes with two bumpy defects decrease to \(-3.67 \) and \(-3.25 \text{ eV} \), respectively (the only exception is exhibited by nondoped zigzag nanotubes with a \( \mu \) value of \(-3.49 \text{ eV} \)) and also decrease for armchair nanotubes with five defects (see 1b and 1f in Table 1). Nitrogen doping slightly increases the value of \( \mu \). That means, there is not a very marked difference of chemical potential for nanotubes of different chirality, with or without bumpy defects, doped or nondoped defects. The values of \( \mu \) are intermediates between the electron-donors and the electron acceptors leaving open the potentiality to behave as electron-donors or electron acceptors.

| Entry | Reactivity descriptor | Armchair | Chiral | Zigzag |
|-------|-----------------------|----------|--------|--------|
|       |                       | 0 N | 4 N | 0 N | 4 N | 0 N | 4 N |
| 2a    | BG                    | 6.29 | 5.56 | 6.12 | 5.62 | 6.57 | 5.46 |
| 2b    | \( \mu \)             | -2.71 | -2.10 | -2.71 | -2.21 | -2.71 | -1.82 |
| 2c    | \( \eta \)            | 3.14 | 2.78 | 3.06 | 2.81 | 3.28 | 2.73 |
| 2d    | \( \omega \)          | 1.17 | 0.79 | 1.20 | 0.87 | 1.12 | 0.61 |
|       |                       |     |      |     |      |     |      |
| 2e    | BG                    | 6.53 | 5.83 | 6.37 | 5.79 | 6.79 | 5.48 |
| 2f    | \( \mu \)             | -2.73 | -2.28 | -2.75 | -2.32 | -2.88 | -2.04 |
| 2g    | \( \eta \)            | 3.27 | 2.92 | 3.19 | 2.90 | 3.39 | 2.74 |
| 2h    | \( \omega \)          | 1.14 | 0.89 | 1.18 | 0.93 | 1.22 | 0.76 |

Table 2. B3LYP/6-31G(d) bandgap (\( E_{\text{LUMO}} - E_{\text{HOMO}} \)) BG, electronic chemical potential \( \mu \), chemical hardness \( \eta \), and global electrophilicity index \( \omega \), in eV, for a series of hydrogenated nitrogen-doped and nondoped armchair (5,5), chiral (6,3), and zigzag (8,0) nanotubes, with two and five bumpy defects, all of them having a similar diameter (6.3–6.7 Å) and 8 cl of length.
In the saturated nondoped nanotubes, $\mu$ increases to values of $-2.88$ to $-2.71$ eV and in the presence of nitrogen doping, $\mu$ increases to $-1.82$ eV being the zigzag nanotubes the ones with the greater value of $\mu$ (see 2b and 2f in Table 2) and likely with a higher ability to be oxidized. Therefore, zigzag saturated nitrogen-doped nanotubes could potentially behave as a catalyst in C-H activation reactions [12].

### 3.3. Hardness

The hardness, $\eta$, is a useful reactivity descriptor associated to the resistance of a molecule to exchange electronic density with the environment [27, 34]. It measures the stability of a system. The increase of $\eta$ is normally associated with a stabilizing process having a negative change of energy.

In the regular nanotubes, $\eta$ increases in the order: zigzag ($8,0$) > chiral ($6,3$) > armchair ($5,5$), with $\eta$ values of $0.21$, $0.68$, and $0.89$ eV, respectively, determined at B3LYP/6-31G(d) [25]. When regular zigzag, chiral, and armchair nanotubes are nitrogen-doped, $\eta$ decreases to values of $0.20$, $0.63$, and $0.58$ eV, respectively [25].

Defective nanotubes exhibit a decrease in $\eta$ values. The only exception is for doped zigzag nanotubes. Armchair nanotubes with two bumpy defects reveal the highest values of $\eta$ ($0.64$ and $0.86$ eV for nitrogen-doped and nondoped nanotubes, respectively) compared to chiral and zigzag nanotubes with $\eta$ values between $0.16$ and $0.43$ eV including nitrogen-doped and nondoped systems. Nitrogen doping increases $\eta$ in chiral and zigzag nanotubes, but decreases it in armchair nanotubes with two defects. In the armchair nanotubes with five defects, $\eta$ decreases also ($0.59$ eV) unaffected by the presence of nitrogen doping (see 1c and 1g in Table 1). That is, $\eta$ depends on the chirality. Regular zigzag nanotubes are the most reactive. Bumpy defects increase the reactivity of nanotubes (with the exception of doped zigzag and armchair nanotubes). Nitrogen doping also increases the reactivity of regular nanotubes especially the armchair nanotubes and also increases the reactivity of armchair nanotubes with bumpy defects. The increase in the number of bumpy defects increases the reactivity of the armchair nanotubes.

The saturated nanotubes with two bumpy defects do not show large $\eta$ differences with chirality ($3.06$–$3.28$ eV) nor is it affected too much in nanotubes with five bumpy defects ($3.19$–$3.39$ eV). In all cases, nitrogen doping makes $\eta$ decrease ($2.73$–$2.92$ eV) (see 2c and 2g in Table 2) and consequently, increases nanotube reactivity.

### 3.4. Electrophilicity index

The electrophilicity index $\omega$, as a descriptor of molecular reactivity, gives a measure of the stabilizing energy of a molecule when it acquires some additional amount of electron density from the environment. The value of $\omega$ allows to differentiating the power of the molecules that act as electrophiles. Thus, for organic compounds, strong electrophiles are considered to have $\omega > 1.5$ eV and weak electrophiles have $\omega < 0.8$ eV [35]. According to this classification, the CNTs are strong electrophiles since they exhibit $\omega$ values that are much higher than $1.5$ eV. Also, a higher value of $\omega$ may be associated with a greater trend of the compound to be reduced due to its ability to acquire electronic density.
The values of ω for the regular nanotubes were reported to be dependent on chirality and grow in the order: armchair (5,5) < chiral (6,3) < zigzag (8,0), with values of ω of 6.56, 9.42, and 28.43 eV, respectively. Nitrogen doping slightly decreases the ω value in the armchair (6.42 eV) and chiral (8.46 eV) nanotubes while increases the ω value in zigzag nanotubes (30.07 eV) [25]. The bumpy defects increase the value of ω especially in chiral nanotubes (43.22 eV). The armchair nanotubes with two bumpy defects are the ones with the lowest value of ω (7.82 eV), which rises in nanotubes with five bumpy defects (11.35 eV). Nitrogen-doped nanotubes exhibit lower ω values with the only exception of armchair nanotubes with two bumpy defects (8.19 eV) (see 1d and 1h in Table 1).

The saturated nanotubes with two and five bumpy defects, independent of the chirality, decrease the values of ω (1.12–1.22 eV). For the nitrogen-doped nanotubes, the value of ω is even lower (0.61–0.93) with values in the range of the weak electrophiles (see 2d and 2h in Table 2).

Detailed analysis of the results obtained for this group of regular and bumpy defective nanotubes (with 8 cl of length) reveals that the zigzag nanotubes are those that exhibit greater conductive ability. Also the zigzag nanotubes are the most reactive and exhibit a great capacity to be reduced, to acquire electronic density, or to be strong electrophiles. The armchair nanotubes are at the other end. The presence of nitrogen in armchair regular nanotubes increases their conductive ability. The presence of bumpy defects also enhances their reactivity and their ability to be reduced, especially with five bumpy defects. Bumpy defects, in general, increase the conductivity, the reactivity, and the capacity of nanotubes to be reduced, with greater intensity in the chiral nanotubes.

The 8 cl saturated nanotubes, regular and with bumpy defects, exhibit a significant decrease in conductive ability counteracted by the presence of nitrogen. Our calculations reveal that they are less reactive and their ability to acquire electronic density or to behave as electron acceptors decreases when compared to unsaturated nanotubes with a quite good consistence within the different reactivity descriptors.

4. Hydrogen adsorption for the carbon nanotubes with defects

Inspired by the unique properties of nanotubes and driven by the idea of contributing to a clean energy source for vehicular use, several authors have studied the possibility of using CNTs as hydrogen transporters [36–40]. Hydrogen may be chemisorbed (covalently attached to carbon atoms) or physisorbed (adsorbed as molecular hydrogen through noncovalent interactions with the nanotube). The results have generated controversy since they have not been reproducible [41, 42]. A reasonable explanation is probably due to the presence of uncontrolled defects in the nanotube that make vary the curvature of the nanotube, the electronic density distribution, and therefore its properties [43]. For example, (7,5,5,7) SW defects increase the ability to adsorb H₂ of the armchair (5,5), whereas (5,7,7,5) SW defects decrease the ability to adsorb H₂ of these nanotubes as was established using the DFT methods at the B3LYP/LanL2DZ level [44]. Additionally, the number of defects in the nanotube affects the reactivity [44]. Other
DFT studies for armchair (10,10) nanotubes show that SW defects with five- and eight-membered rings have a greater ability to adsorb H₂ than SW defects with five- and seven-membered rings [43], which is also valid for nanotubes of different chirality [43, 45]. SW defects have also been studied in relation to metal-decorated nanotubes and their effects on hydrogen adsorption [46, 47] and also in the study of the interactions with metallic particles and ions [48]. The results obtained for armchair (6,6) nanotubes with SW defects at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level focus on the charge transfer to ions and their relation to the electromigration where the SW defects facilitate the migration of positively charged ions (In⁺ and In³⁺) through the nanotube [48].

In relation to hydrogen storage as a potential source of clean energy, one requirement is to achieve that at least 5.5 wt% of hydrogen [49] can be released under ambient pressure and temperature conditions. For achieving that goal and to favor a reversible H₂ adsorption-desorption process, it is required that the interaction energy CNT-H₂ be of the order of 0.1–0.5 eV/H₂, according to calculations of first principles and to thermodynamic considerations [14, 39, 50, 51]. Hydrogen chemisorption energy is a chirality-dependent exothermic property. Zigzag nitrogen-doped and nondoped nanotubes reveal as the most exothermic followed by the chiral and armchair nanotubes [25]. On the contrary, hydrogen physisorption energies, Eₚhores, exhibit endothermic values. Eₚhores values for saturated, nitrogen-doped armchair CNTs obtained by the DFT [B3LYP/6-31G(d)] methods are within the ideal mentioned range (0.26 eV/H₂ for 12 H₂ physisorbed into a (4,4) nanotube) and grow for chiral and zigzag nitrogen-doped nanotubes [25] in excellent agreement with results obtained for armchair, chiral, and zigzag CNTs by means of the DFT methods that use the local density approximation [37]. In addition to the chirality, the diameter and length of the nanotube affect the nanotube-H₂ interactions. The diameter changes cause the variation in the nanotube curvature affecting the arrangement of the molecular orbitals [4], and the nanotube length variation affects the diffusivity as was determined for drug adsorption [52]. Eₚhores values decrease for nanotubes with a smaller diameter and a larger length (higher number of carbon-atom layers) but increase with the number of adsorbed H₂.

Bumpy defects and nitrogen doping favor interaction with nanotube-H₂. Saturated armchair (4,4) nitrogen-doped nanotubes with bumpy defects and 20 cl of length can encapsulate 15 H₂ molecules (C₁₆₄N₄H₂₁₀ stoichiometry) with a favorable Eₚhores of 0.11 eV/H₂ obtained at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level [13]. Our calculations, considering dispersion forces correction, reveal that saturated nondoped armchair (5,5) nanotubes with bumpy defects and 16 cl of length can encapsulate 44 H₂ molecules (stoichiometry C₁₇₀H₂₇₈) with a good Eₚhores value of 0.32 eV/H₂. Figure 3 shows the optimized structure of this system having a hydrogen content of 12 wt%.

The actual available experimental and theoretical information related to hydrogen adsorption in nanotubes indicates that the structural effects that allow obtaining hydrogen physisorption energies within the range considered ideal for the reversible storage of hydrogen (0.1–0.4 eV/H₂ under environmental conditions) are those that favor the nanotube-H₂ electrostatic interactions. Parameters such as the chirality of the nanotube, a suitable diameter and length, and the
presence of defects that generate suitable spaces, as explained above, can be predicted through DFT calculations. However, the use of conceptual DFT reactivity descriptors ($\mu$, $\eta$, $\omega$, and $S$) to correlate or predict hydrogen physisorption energies in nanotubes is not viewed as adequate. Their use is related and manifests mainly in molecular interactions in which there is charge transfer wherein nucleophiles, electrophiles, or species that change their oxidation state take part. A correlation of these descriptors with the hydrogen adsorption efficiency in nanotubes, at this time, could only have limited validity and provided it is used in conjunction with other descriptors such as diameter, length, number of defects, or others to be set.

5. Armchair nanotubes

Nitrogen-doped armchair nanotubes are expected to be good catalysts for oxygen reduction reactions compared to their chiral and zigzag nanotubes because of their particular distribution of electric charge [12, 25]. In this section, we analyze the effect of different types of defects in the reactivity of armchair structures by using global descriptors based on the DFT methods. Bumpy, haecckelite, Stone-Wales, and zipper defects are considered (see Figure 4). We also analyze the effects of nitrogen doping, the diameter and length of the nanotubes, the number of defects and the charges on the carbon atom adjacent to the two pyrimidine nitrogen atoms.

Figure 3. B3LYP/6-31G(d) fully optimized structure of a hydrogenated armchair (5,5) bumpy, nondoped nanotube, with 16 cl of length having adsorbed 44 hydrogen molecules (stoichiometry $C_{170}H_{278}$; $E_{ph} = 0.32$ eV/H$_2$). Front and lateral views.
5.1. Formation energies

Nitrogen-doped armchair nanotubes in all cases show higher values of $E_F$ than nondoped nanotubes, indicating a greater reactivity. The $E_F$ values are calculated according to Eq. (1). A lower value of $E_F$ indicates greater stability. Bumpy nanotubes are the most reactive. Reactivity also increases as the number of defects in the nanotube increases as shown in Table 3 for 16 cl armchair nanotubes (see 3b and 3c). The corresponding stoichiometry is also shown in Table 3. Regular nanotubes with smaller diameter are more reactive than those with larger diameter and exhibit $E_F$ values of $-0.72$ and $-1.26$ eV, respectively. Defective nanotubes reveal the same trend. For instance, the armchair (5,5) and (6,6) nanotubes, both with one bumpy defect exhibit $E_F$ values of $-0.67$ and $-1.18$ eV, respectively. The same trend is shown for the armchair (5,5) and (6,6) nanotubes with one SW defect (see 3d and 3h in Table 3).

5.2. Bandgap and chemical potential

Three groups of armchair nanotubes were studied: (1) (5,5) with 16 cl of length (see Table 4); (2) (6,6) with 16 cl of length (see Figure 5); and (3) (5,5) with 20 cl of length (see Figure 6) with bandgap values between 0.54 and 1.27 eV.

---

**Figure 4.** B3LYP/6-31G(d) fully optimized armchair (5,5) nanotube structures. Front and lateral views showing (a) regular R; (b) bumpy B, with five defects; (c) haeckelite HK, with one defect doped with eight nitrogen atoms; (d) Stone-Wales SW, with one defect; and (e) zipper Z, with four defects, nanotubes with 16 cl of length each.
Nitrogen doping increases the CNTs conductive ability both in the regular and defective structures, revealing smaller bandgap values for the nitrogen-doped nanotubes than for the nondoped ones. Defects also increase the conductive ability of armchair nanotubes. In the nitrogen-doped (5,5) nanotubes, with 16 cl and 20 cl of length, the zipper nanotubes exhibit the best conductive properties (see 4j in Table 4 and Figure 6) with bandgap values of 0.82 and 0.57 eV, respectively. This behavior of the zipper nanotubes was also observed in doped nanotubes of 8 cl as indicated above with a bandgap value of 0.83 eV (see 1a in Table 1). As can be appreciated from the obtained values, increasing the length of the nanotubes increases the nanotube conductive ability.

| Entry | Type | EF | Stoichiometry |
|-------|------|----|---------------|
|       |      |    | 0 N | 4 N | 0 N | 4 N |
| 3a    | (5,5)-R-16 cl | −0.72 | −0.51 | C160H20 | C156N4H20 |
| 3b    | (5,5)-B-1D-16 cl | −0.67 | −0.45 | C162H20 | C158N4H20 |
| 3c    | (5,5)-B-5D-16 cl | −0.31 | −0.13 | C170H20 | C166N4H20 |
| 3d    | (5,5)-SW-1D-16 cl | −0.59 | −0.38 | C160H20 | C156N4H20 |
| 3e    | (5,5)-Z-16 cl | −0.57 | −0.39 | C168H20 | C164N4H20 |
| 3f    | (6,6)-R-16 cl | −1.26 | −1.03 | C192H24 | C188N4H24 |
| 3g    | (6,6)-B-1D-16 cl | −1.18 | −0.95 | C194H24 | C190N4H24 |
| 3h    | (6,6)-SW-1D-16 cl | −1.14 | −0.92 | C192H24 | C188N4H24 |

Table 3. B3LYP/6-31G(d) formation energy $E_F$, in eV, and stoichiometry, for some nitrogen-doped and nondoped armchair (5,5) and (6,6) regular, bumpy (with one and five defects), Stone-Wales (with one defect), and zipper nanotubes, all of them having 16 cl of length.

| Entry | Type | BG | $\mu$ | $\eta$ | $\omega$ | S |
|-------|------|----|-------|-------|-------|---|
| 4a    | R-0N | 1.13 | −3.57 | 0.56 | 11.32 | 0.89 |
| 4b    | R-4N | 0.85 | −3.31 | 0.42 | 12.92 | 1.18 |
| 4c    | B-1D-0N | 1.15 | −3.62 | 0.57 | 11.39 | 0.87 |
| 4d    | B-1D-4N | 0.76 | −3.28 | 0.38 | 14.18 | 1.32 |
| 4e    | B-5D-0N | 0.89 | −3.72 | 0.45 | 15.52 | 1.12 |
| 4f    | B-5D-4N | 0.67 | −3.45 | 0.34 | 17.71 | 1.49 |
| 4g    | SW-1D-0N | 0.49 | −3.54 | 0.24 | 25.78 | 2.06 |
| 4h    | SW-1D-4N | 0.83 | −3.20 | 0.41 | 12.43 | 1.21 |
| 4i    | Z-0N | 1.05 | −3.97 | 0.53 | 15.00 | 0.95 |
| 4j    | Z-4N | 0.82 | −3.72 | 0.41 | 16.86 | 1.22 |

Table 4. B3LYP/6-31G(d) bandgap ($E_{\text{LUMO}}-E_{\text{HOMO}}$) BG, electronic chemical potential $\mu$, chemical hardness $\eta$, and global electrophilicity index $\omega$, in eV, and softness $S$, in 1/eV, for a series of nitrogen-doped and nondoped armchair (5,5) regular, bumpy, Stone-Wales, and zipper nanotubes, all of them having 16 cl of length.
Chemical potential of the studied nanotubes presents small variations, with values ranging from $3.20$ to $3.97$ eV. Nitrogen doping slightly increases the chemical potential of regular and defective armchair nanotubes, for the three groups of armchair nanotubes, thereby increasing the electron-donor ability of the doped nanotubes, which is consistent with the nitrogen atom electronic characteristics.

5.3. Hardness and softness

The presence of defects decreases the hardness of the (5,5) nanotubes making them more reactive. Nitrogen doping also decreases the hardness of the (5,5) and (6,6) nanotubes of 16 cl,

Figure 5. B3LYP/6-31G(d) values of (a) bandgap ($E_{LUMO} - E_{HOMO}$); (b) electronic chemical potential; (c) chemical hardness; and (d) global electrophilicity index, for nitrogen-doped and nondoped armchair (6,6) regular nanotubes, and armchair (6,6) defective nanotubes containing one bumpy defect and one Stone-Wales defect, all of them having 16 cl of length.
with the exception of (5,5) nanotubes with one SW defect (see 4h vs. 4g in Table 4). For the (5,5) nanotubes of 20 cl, the same previous trends are maintained without exceptions (see Figure 6). Nitrogen-doped zipper-defected (5,5) nanotubes of 20 cl are predicted to be the most reactive with a hardness of 0.28 eV. They are more reactive than nitrogen-doped zipper-defected (5,5) nanotubes of 16 cl with a value of hardness of 0.41 eV (see 4j in Table 4). The effect of nitrogen doping, the defects, and the length of the nanotube on the nanotube hardness are consistent with the effect of these same structural parameters on the bandgap. The armchair nanotubes with zipper defects are perceived as the most reactive nanotubes and the ones having the best conductive ability enhanced by nitrogen doping and longer nanotubes.

Softness, $S$, is the reciprocal of hardness. A larger value of softness indicates greater ability to hold a charge once acquired by the nanotube. For armchair (5,5) nanotubes of 16 cl, the softness varies between 0.87 and 2.06 eV and grows with the defects and with the number of defects. Nitrogen-doped nanotubes have a value of $S$ greater than nondoped nanotubes. The only exception is for nanotubes with one SW defect (see Table 4).
5.4. Electrophilicity index

The armchair (5,5) nanotubes of 16 cl exhibit an $\omega$ value of 11.32 eV. The presence of defects in these nanotubes increases the value of $\omega$. The highest value of $\omega$ corresponds to the nanotubes with a SW defect (25.78 eV). Nitrogen doping also increases the $\omega$ value for regular and defective (5,5) and (6,6) nanotubes except for nanotubes with one SW defect (see Table 4 and Figure 5). The (5,5) nanotubes of 20 cl show the same trend regarding the presence of defects and the nitrogen doping, being highlighted the nanotubes with zipper defects that show the highest values of $\omega$ of 27.72 and 25.94 eV for the nondoped and doped nanotubes, respectively (see Figures 6 and 7). These high $\omega$ values show the great tendency of these nanotubes to acquire electronic density and their high ability to be reduced compared to the other studied nanotubes. This property of armchair nanotubes with zipper defects, coupled with their high reactivity and good conductive ability, opens a range of possibilities as advanced materials in the field of electronics or other fields where good electrophiles or catalysts are required in redox reactions.

Figure 7. B3LYP/6-31G(d) fully optimized structures of nitrogen-doped armchair nanotubes. Front and lateral views showing (a) regular, R; (b) bumpy B, with five defects; (c) haeckelite HK, with two defects; (d) Stone-Wales SW, with two defects; and (e) zipper Z, with five defects, nanotubes with 20 cl of length each.
5.5. Charges

A possible explanation for the reactivity of armchair nanotubes with zipper defects may be the charge that develops at the C2 carbon atom that is adjacent to both pyrimidine nitrogen atoms. It has been found to be a feature that allows nitrogen-doped CNTs to behave as good catalysts [11, 12]. Our calculations reveal that the C2 carbon atom Mulliken charge on the nitrogen-doped armchair nanotubes with zipper defects reaches a high value of 0.26 \( e \), compared with 0.01 \( e \) for the corresponding nondoped nanotubes. In armchair nanotubes with bumpy defects, this charge has a value of 0.14 \( e \).

Our results, obtained using the DFT methods, contribute with a ranking of molecular structures of carbon nanotubes according to: (1) their conductive properties, (2) their hydrogen adsorption properties, and (3) their reactivity to charge transfer reactions and redox reactions. We believe that these results will help in the selection of different nanotube structures to be used in a variety of applications that consider materials such as: (1) new polymer composites having conductive properties (biodevices technology); (2) electronic devices (an electronic tongue); (3) energy storage devices; (4) suitable surface functionalized nanotubes for environmental remediation; (5) organic semiconductor-carbon nanotubes composites for separation technology (water purification); (6) catalyst for oxygen reduction reactions; (7) drug delivery systems, imaging and photothermal therapy (biomedicine); and others where tailoring of particular nanotube molecular properties is required.

6. Conclusions

We have performed a systematic study of the reactivity of carbon nanotubes based on various structural parameters by using molecular descriptors obtained by the DFT methods at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level. Our results confirm that the reactivity of nanotubes depends on their structure. The chirality, the diameter, and the presence of structural, topological, and doping defects significantly modify the reactivity of the nanotubes. The zigzag nanotubes, both the regular (defect free) and those with bumpy defects, reveal greater reactivity, better conductive ability, and better behavior as good electrophiles when compared with corresponding armchair and chiral nanotubes suggesting a high ability to be reduced. Within the armchair nanotubes, we highlight those with zipper defects over those with bumpy, haeckelite, and Stone-Wales defects. The armchair nanotubes with zipper defects are revealed to be more reactive, with high conductive capacity, good ability to acquire electronic density and to behave as good electrophiles, and potential good catalysts in redox reactions. Nitrogen doping reveals as an important parameter that increases the conductive ability and reactivity of armchair nanotubes of different diameter and length. Saturated nanotubes behave as less reactive than unsaturated nanotubes. Saturated armchair nanotubes with bumpy defects exhibit favorable values of the hydrogen physisorption energy suggesting a reversible hydrogen adsorption-desorption process at ambient conditions.

These results are valuable to increase the understanding about carbon nanotubes activity and to contribute to the future design of novel useful materials.
To expand the range of applications of carbon nanotubes, an interesting future contribution would be to construct a nanotube-scale of reactivity, based on the values of some reactivity descriptors and their relation with experimental values for some known reactions. The aim of predicting the reactivity of different structures of nanotubes or to be able to designing nanostructures that possess a desired property to obtain advanced materials in a rational way is open.
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