NLRP6 self-assembles into a linear molecular platform following LPS binding and ATP stimulation
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NOD-like receptors (NLRs) localize in the cytosol to recognize intracellular pathogen products and initialize the innate immune response. However, the ligands and ligand specificity of many NLRs remain unclear. One such NLR, NLRP6, plays an important role in maintaining intestinal homeostasis and protecting against various intestinal diseases such as colitis and intestinal tumorigenesis. Here, we show that the major component of the outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria, lipopolysaccharide (LPS), binds NLRP6 directly and induces global conformational change and dimerization. Following stimulation by ATP, the NLRP6 homodimer can further assemble into a linear molecular platform, and ASC is recruited to form higher molecular structures, indicative of a step-by-step activation mechanism. Our study sheds light on the mystery of LPS-induced inflammasome initiation, reveals the architecture and structural basis of potential pre-inflammasome, and suggests a novel molecular assembly pattern for immune receptors.

The innate immune system is essential in the first line of defense against microbial infection, tissue injury and regulating the adaptive immune system. The initiation of innate immune responses relies on the recognition of conserved structures or products of pathogens by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs). Toll-like receptors (TLRs) and newly identified nod-like receptors (NLRs) are key PRRs in the innate immune system. TLRs recognize a wide variety of microbial products outside the cell or in intracellular endosomes and lysosomes and NLRs localize in cytosol to detect intracellular pathogen products. Twenty-two NLRs have been identified in humans and all share typical tripartite domain architecture: the N-terminal domain recruits downstream effector molecules by homotypic protein-protein interactions; the central nucleotide-binding oligomerization (NOD, or NACHT) domain enables activation of the signaling complex via nucleotide-dependent oligomerization; and the C-terminal leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domain recognizes microbial ligands in a manner analogous to TLRs. Studies on NOD1, NOD2, NLRP1, NLRP3, NLRX1 and NLRC4 suggest a direct interaction between NLRs and cytoplasmic pathogen- and damage-associated molecules, however, ligands and ligand specificity remain poorly understood for the majority of NLRs.

Following ligand binding and activation, intracellular NLRs assemble into multimeric molecular platforms such as inflammasomes and trigger innate immune defenses. Our knowledge of inflammasomes is mainly derived from studies of NLRP1, NLRP3 and NLRC4, whereby these NLRs form inflammasomes with the adaptor ASC and Caspase-1, promoting the maturation of the inflammatory cytokines IL-1b and IL-18. NLRP6 (Fig. 1a) is one of 14 pyrin domain-containing members of the NLR family and participates in inflammasome assembly. A major focus of the last decade has been detailing the immune response and signaling pathway...
induced by NLRP6. Recent years, NLRP6 has been reported to sense viral RNA, and Lipoteichoic Acid from Gram-positive pathogen, and serve as a negative regulator of neutrophil-mediated host defense during Gram-positive bacterial infection in the lungs through regulating both neutrophil influx and function, suggesting a multifaceted role of NLRP6. Here, we show that NLRP6 can also recognize LPS. NLRP6 monomer can form homodimer upon LPS binding and further self-assemble into a linear molecular platform by ATP stimulation.

Results

Purification and 3D-EM reconstruction of NLRP6 monomer. Recombinant full-length human NLRP6 (1–892) was purified from Escherichia coli strain BL21 (DE3) as putatively activated oligomers (Fig. 1b). The final homogenous monomer preparations were obtained from NLRP6 oligomers by switching buffer conditions (Supplementary Methods) and size exclusion chromatography (Fig. 1c), and used for the
The NLRP6 homodimers assemble into higher oligomers by ATP stimulation.

Table 1. Microbial components tested in ligand screening experiments. aMolar of NLRP6/Molar of Microbial component = 1:20. b25-mers dsDNA and 25-mers ssDNA used in ligand screening experiments are synthetic. cNatural DNA and RNA used in ligand screening experiments were pre-treated with nuclease (Benzonase, Merck).

| Microbial component | Product code/company | Molar ratio |
|---------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| dsDNA Syntheticb   | 1:20                 |
| ssDNA Syntheticb   | 1:20                 |
| Natural DNA        | Benzonase, Merckc    | 1:20         |
| Natural RNA        | Benzonase, Merckc    | 1:20         |
| Natural DNA        | Benzonase, Merckc    | 1:20         |

LPS is able to bind NLRP6 directly and induce its dimerization. Binding of agonistic ligands to the LRR sensor domain may lead to activation of NLRs prior to oligomerization. We used analytical gel filtration to identify potential ligands of NLRP6 and characterized direct ligand binding features. A panel of various microbial components (Table 1) was selected to incubate with the NLRP6 monomer (Peak 1, Fig. 2a) and only lipopolysaccharides (LPS Ra, L9641, Sigma, Supplementary Fig. 2B) were found to specifically induced a peak shift in NLRP6 (Peak 2, Fig. 2a). The resulting hydrodynamic radius change suggests dimerization of NLRP6 upon LPS stimulation, confirmed by EM measurement of the same preparation (Fig. 2b). The ratio of dimer formation is positively correlated with the concentration of LPS when incubated, suggesting a dose-dependent interaction between LPS and NLRP6 (Fig. 2a). We further measured affinity using surface plasmon resonance (SPR) with single cycle kinetics (Fig. 2c) and the equilibrium constant (KD, 7.58 × 10⁻⁸ M) indicates specific and high-affinity binding between LPS and NLRP6 (Supplementary Table 2).

Several chemotypes of LPS, including Rc, Rd, Re, Lipid A and FITC-LPS, and Pam3CSK4, a synthetic lipopeptide, were tested. Similar peak shifts in gel-filtration and dimer formation confirmed by EM indicated conformational change upon LPS's other chemotypes binding (Supplementary Fig. 2A). The absorption peak of FITC at 490 nm (Supplementary Fig. 2C) of NLRP6 complexed with FITC-LPS suggests direct binding.

A 3D EM density map of the NLRP6 dimer was reconstructed using 5495 particles and showed a C2 symmetric shape (Fig. 2d,e and Supplementary Fig. 2D,E). The overall structure of the NLRP6 dimer is boat shaped and two apparent caves in both boat rails indicate the possible location of two LRR domains in the dimer. An initial attempt to fit our NLRP6 monomer structural model into the dimer density map failed, so we generated a model for the NLRP6 dimer by rotating the LRR domain around the NOD domain as suggested by the NLRP4 structure, and this fit the 3D-EM density dimer map better (Fig. 2f, Supplementary Fig. 2F). In the homodimer model, two LRR domains seem interact with each other in an antiparallel manner, forming the major interface for dimerization. Two additional density patches appear at the head-tail interface of two LRR domains and might potentially form a LPS binding site (Supplementary Fig. 2G). The locations of these potential LPS binding sites are similar to that for the TLR4-MD-2 complex.

The NLRP6 homodimers assemble into higher oligomers by ATP stimulation. NLRs belong to the signal transduction ATPase with numerous domains (STAND) subfamily and NLR activation may be accompanied by the exchange of ADP for ATP through the NOD domain. The roles of nucleotide binding in NLR activation were addressed with NLRP6. No detectable change appeared in the gel-filtration profile when the NLRP6 monomer was treated with ATP (Fig. 3a). However, in the presence of both ATP and LPS, NLRP6 showed additional peak shifts suggestive of oligomerization (Peak 3, Fig. 3a). Formation of the NLRP6 oligomer is ATP concentration-dependent and the oligomer ratio is positively correlated with ATP concentration (Fig. 3b). NLRP6 dimer formation following LPS binding and ATP-induced oligomerization are consistent with the two-step
activation mechanism suggested for NLRP1 and NLRP3. However, the asymmetric peak of NLRP6, presumed to arise from oligomer heterogeneity, suggests a more dedicated activation mechanism. Preliminary EM analysis of NLRP6 preparation with 1 mM ATP (Peak 3, Fig. 3a and Supplementary Fig. 3A) appears to be a mixture of NLRP6 oligomers shaped like ‘linked-sausages’ of various lengths. The number of distinguishable shrinked links observed in raw images enabled us to classify all the oligomers as dimer (23%), tetramer (70%), hexamer (6%), octamer (<1%) and even higher oligomers (<1%) (Fig. 3c). The transition of NLRP6 from dimeric to oligomeric state is driven by non-specific nucleotide binding as demonstrated by ATP, GTP, CTP, TTP, and deoxy-ATP (dATP) treatment (Supplementary Fig. 3D), which is in agreement with previous studies on the NOD domain.

**3D-EM reconstruction of NLRP6 tetramer.** Given that conformational heterogeneity of NLRP6 oligomerization may play an important role in NLR activation, we examined the structure of different fractions of NLRP6 oligomers. We reconstructed a 3D EM density map of the NLRP6 tetramer with 8783 particles and the reconstructed 3D volume fit experimentally-determined class averages (Fig. 3d and Supplementary Fig. 3B,C). The overall structure of the tetramer resembles a ‘∞’ whereby two boat-shaped dimer units are linked together in a head-to-head manner with 120° deflection in the central linkage (Fig. 3e–g and Supplementary Movie 1). The NLRP6 dimer structural model fit our tetramer density map, suggesting rigid body interaction of dimers (Fig. 3f and Supplementary Fig. 3E). Given that NLRP6 polymerization was induced by ATP binding via a potential nucleotides binding site located in the NOD domain, it is more likely that NOD domains are involved directly in dimer-dimer interaction. Adding a MBP tag to the N terminal of NLRP6 did not affect dimerization and further
polymerization induced by LPS and ATP, which strongly indicates that the linear packing of NLRP6 leaves space for recruiting adaptor proteins through the PYD domain.

**3D-EM reconstruction of NLRP6 hexamer.** The overall shape of the hexamer (Fig. 4a and Supplementary Fig. 4) resembles three dimers linked together in a line, suggesting the dimer is also the building block of the hexamer through homo-oligomeric assembly. With the computational model of the NLRP6 dimer fitting the hexamer 3D EM density map, we obtained a NLRP6 hexamer structural model (Fig. 4b) and identified a shrinked link and a 120° anti-clock rotation between each two-neighboring dimer units (Fig. 4b and Supplementary Movie 2). From a structural viewpoint, the hexamer with 120° rotation between each dimer unit may represent a structural repeat unit in the assembly process.
The oligomerization of NLRP6 shows ATP concentration dependence (Fig. 3b). Particle numbers for the NLRP6 higher oligomers such as octamer and decamer were relatively limited (Fig. 4c), but significantly increased with higher ATP concentration. Hexadecamer and octadecamer were also observed under EM when ATP concentration increased to 2 mM (Fig. 4c), and their linked-sausage shape and the size of each unit suggest they assemble in a linear pattern using the dimer as building blocks.

LPS induces co-localization of NLRP6 and ASC in cells. The DeltaVision live cell imaging system was applied to confirm the LPS binding of NLRP6 and elucidate NLRP6 oligomerization and ASC recruitment in cells (Fig. 5a). HeLa cells expressing either NLRP6 or ASC, or both, were treated with LPS. Prior to LPS treatment, both NLRP6 and ASC were evenly distributed in the cytosol; ASC was also found in the nucleus. Following LPS treatment, cells expressing NLRP6 showed dotted-like aggregation in the cytosol consistent with our biochemical and structural findings. Cells expressing ASC alone, NLRP6 without LRR domain (NLRP6△LRR) and NLRP3 showed no response to LPS (Supplementary Fig. 5). Interestingly, cells expressing both full-length NLRP6 and ASC showed dramatic aggregation and co-localization of NLRP6 and ASC upon LPS treatment, suggesting LPS specifically induces self-assembly of NLRP6 through LRR domain and the subsequent recruitment of ASC, leading to a higher oligomeric structure in cells. Coincidentally, studies on NLRP3, NLRC4, AIM2, and NLRP1 indicate similar ASC specks recruitment during inflammasome assembly5,28–30. Different chemotypes of LPS (Rc, Rd, Re LPS, lipid A and pam3csk4) showed similar induction of NLRP6 aggregation and subsequently ASC recruitment (Supplementary Fig. 6), consistent with our biochemical results.

Discussion
LPS is a glycolipid located in the outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria. Extracellular LPS is thought to be detected exclusively by Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) and its co-receptors26,31, and recent studies propose that LPS can be sensed by inflammatory caspases in the cytoplasm of macrophages32,33. Our results provide biochemical and cellular evidences of an alternative intracellular LPS recognition mechanism through NLRP6. NLRP6 has been proposed as a multifaceted NLR, regulating both inflammasome-dependent and inflammasome-independent functions12,13,19,22. Recent studies found NLRP6 was involved in the regulation of autophagy and mucus granule exocytosis in goblet cells, orchestration of antimicrobial peptide secretion, epithelial regeneration, viral RNA recognition, and regulation of inflammatory signaling in myeloid cells. Currently the leading view is that each of these functions should occur in a different cell type20,34.
Our data confirm that LPS binds directly to the NLRP6 monomer and induces its dimerization, similar to TLR4. Considering NLRP6 is expressed highly in the intestinal epithelium and with low expression in macrophages\(^1\), our results suggest that NLRP6 might act as a LPS sensor in the intestine where a high abundance of commensal bacterial exist, thus playing a crucial role in maintaining intestinal homeostasis. Cytosolic LPS may be recognized differently in macrophages\(^3\) and in intestine epithelium, consistent with different expression patterns for NLRP6. Mice lacking NLRP6 feature improved resistance to systemic infection with gram-negative Enterobacteriaceae while being more susceptible to intestinal infection with members of the same bacterial family emphasizes the concept that NLRP6 may perform highly differential functions in a cell type- and context specific manner\(^1\). Further, the oligomerization of NLRP6 triggered by LPS and ATP in a characteristic two-step mechanism appears to be a novel linear molecular platform for the recruitment of signaling partners such as ASC, and may then assemble into inflammasome or other signal complexes. See also Supplementary Figs. 5 and 6.

Our activation model of NLRP6 is in contrast to suggested ring-like inflammasome arrangements based on the Apaf-1 apoptosome structure\(^5\), and also the recent filament model in which NLRP6 forms into filament with a PYD core surrounded by the NBD and the LRR domain in absence of ligand\(^6\). Indeed, due to a rigid and stable dimer formation upon high affinity ligand binding, a ring-like NLR arrangement consisting of seven re-organized monomers seems to be implausible for LPS-induced NLRP6 polymerization and activation, the process identified here appears to be a precise step-by-step mechanism (Fig. 5b). The ratio of various oligomers was influenced by the concentration of ATP, but it remains unclear if a threshold value for NLRP6 oligomer size (or length) exists and if reaching this threshold triggers downstream signaling, such as autophagy for degradation\(^5\) (Fig. 5b). The

---

**Figure 5.** Co-localization of NLRP6 and ASC after LPS infection. (a) Live-imaging of fluorescent fused NLRP6-mCherry (red), ASC-GFP (green) and the merge in HeLa cells transfected with LPS for the indicated hours. Scale bars represent 10 um. (b) Possible step-by-step activation mechanism of NLRP6 based on oligomerization. LPS is able to bind NLRP6 directly and induces its conformational change and dimerization. In the presence of ATP, the NLRP6 homodimer further self-assembles into tetramer, hexamer and even larger oligomers, providing a novel linear molecular platform for the recruitment of signaling partners such as ASC, and may then assemble into inflammasome or other signal complexes. See also Supplementary Figs. 5 and 6.
aggregation of NLRP6 and the co-localization of NLRP6 and ASC upon LPS observed in cells clearly show that oligomerization of NLRP6 occurs, recruitment of ASC is initiated, and this may lead to the assembly of inflammasomes or other types of signal complexes (Fig. 5).

Given historical difficulties in identifying ligands and activation pathways for NLRs using animal models, we used a unique biochemical approach to identify direct interaction between NLRs and selected pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), followed-up by live cell imaging. Difficulties associated with NLR expression and purification have limited the past application of this approach because it requires monomeric (ligand unbound status) full-length NLR protein to detect direct ligand binding and conformational changes and large quantities of purified NLR proteins to perform multiple experiments. However, we successfully obtained homogenous NLRP6 monomer at 2 mg/L with 99% purity. Our methods were successful in identifying NLR binders/ligands with certainty, and should be viewed as a valuable supplement to traditional ligand screening.

**Methods**

**Expression and purification of full-length NLRP6 monomer.** Full-length NLRP6 fused with N-terminal His-tag followed by a maltose binding protein (MBP) tag were expressed in E. coli, and purified by Ni-NTA (Qiagen) affinity chromatography followed by Amylose affinity chromatography (NEB). After HRV3C digestion, high purity NLRP6 protein preparations in the putative ligand binding oligomeric state were obtained. Using protein solubility test and analytical gel filtration, an appropriate buffer condition (20 mM MES pH 6.5, 0.5 M NaCl, 5% glycerol and with 0.2–0.5 M Arginine) was identified out to keep NLRP6 in a monomeric state.

**Screening of NLRP6 potential ligands.** To identify the potential ligand of NLRP6, a panel of microbial components including LPS(Ra) were selected to be incubated with NLRP6 monomer in 20:1 molar ratio, and then loading to a Superdex 200 10/300 column (GE Healthcare).

**Electron microscopy and image processing.** Preparation of negatively stained samples and image acquisition were as described elsewhere. The image processing was using EMAN2.

**SPR experiment.** In order to investigate the interaction between LPS and NLRP6, a kinetics assay was performed at 298 K using a Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR) Biacore 3000 machine (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden). Kinetic profiling was performed using the single cycle kinetics method. Data were analyzed using Biacore 3000 and fit to a 1:1 Langmuir binding model.

**Live cell imaging.** Live cell imaging was performed using a DeltaVision live cell imaging system (Applied Precision) equipped with an Olympus IX-71 inverted microscope and a 100×, 1.40 N.A. oil objective. Images were captured with 100 ms exposure times in 30 min intervals by a CoolSnap HQ2 CCD camera, and different Z sections were projected by SoftWorx suite.

**Data availability**

We declare that all the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the paper and the Supplementary Information files.
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