Effect of Pre-Heating and Post-Heating on Electron Beam Welding of Reduced Activation Ferrite/Martensite Steel
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Abstract: Reduced activation ferritic/martensitic (RAFM) steels are considered the main candidate material for the water-cooled ceramic breeder (WCCB) in a fusion reactor. High-energy density welding approaches, such as electron beam welding (EBW) and laser beam welding (LBW), are frequently utilized in the welding of RAFM steels. During the welding process, cracks and other defects are prone to appear. In this paper, EBW was selected for the welding of RAFM steels. Those with and without pre-heat and post-heat treatment by electron beams are studied by finite element simulation and trials. The results show that the experimental results are consistent with the simulation. In particular, in the case of similar deformation, the residual stress after electron beam heat treatment is far less than that without heat treatment. Without heat treatment, the residual stress near the weld is more than 400 MPa, while the residual stress after heat treatment is about 350 MPa. As the reduction of residual stress is essential to prevent the occurrence of cracks and other defects after welding, pre-heat and post-heat treatment by the electron beam is deemed as an effective way to greatly improve the welding quality in RAFM steel welding.
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1. Introduction

In terms of scale, the energy potential of fusion is superior to all other energy sources we know on Earth [1–3]. Fusing atoms together in a controlled way releases millions of times more energy than a chemical reaction, such as burning coal, oil or gas, and several times more energy than nuclear fission. Reduced activated ferrite/martensite (RAFM) steels are considered the main candidate material for the structural applications of fusion reactor components, such as the water-cooled ceramic breeder (WCCB) [4,5]. Many countries in the world have done a lot of work in developing and characterizing these materials, such as CLAM in China [6], F82H in Japan [7,8], EUROFER97 in the European Union (EU) [9] and Russfer EK-181 [10]. Meanwhile, due to the complex structure of the WCCB blanket and extremely harsh service conditions, the quality of the welding plays a decisive role in the feasibility and safety of the fusion reactor operation [11]. The welding methods suitable for RAFM steels include tungsten inert gas (TIG) welding, electron beam welding (EBW), and laser beam welding (LBW), etc. [12]. Due to the advantages of no pollution of the welding joints, high efficiency, large depth width ratio of the weld, and small heat affected area, EBW was determined to be the main WCCB blanket manufacturing technique [13]. In the welding process, the residual stress, hardness and brittleness of EBW joints of RAFM steels
are very high due to the influence of thermal expansion and cold contraction, δ ferrite, carbide and chromium produced at high temperature. Therefore, cracks and other defects can easily occur during rapid cooling after welding [14,15]. R. Lindau [16], Hu Jie [17], Jiang Zhizhong [6], et al., studied the hardness and brittleness of the EBW-joints of RAFM steels by post heat treatment. However, there is little research on reducing residual stress and preventing weld cracks by changing the EBW process. The research shows that finite element simulation can be used as a powerful tool to analyze the welding performance based on scientific principles. It can provide quantitative information for the characteristics of thermal cycle, residual stress, strain, and deformation in the welding process. In the past, a lot of finite element simulation studies have been carried out on LBW, EBW and other welding methods [11,18–25].

In this paper, through the finite element software MSC Marc (2019) of MSC company in Los Angeles, CA, USA. the temperature field, residual stress, and deformation of 0.023 m thick-RAFM steels during different EBW processes were analyzed by means of experiment and finite element simulation. The temperature field distribution, stress distribution, and deformation of welded parts after welding are discussed, which provides a reference for the welding process of WCCB blanket.

2. Experimental Conditions

The test material is RAFM steels, the chemical composition of which was measured by direct-reading of the spectrometer as shown in Figure 1; the direct-reading of the spectrometer is shown in Figure 1; and the material properties are shown in Table 2 [12,26]. The sizes of the six test plates were 0.3 m × 0.1 m × 0.023 m (two of them were the heat source verification board). Surface scale on the steel plates were removed by mechanical polishing and then they were cleaned with anhydrous ethanol. The equipment for welding was a ZD150-60C CV66M chamber electron beam machine with the highest welding voltage of 150 kV at the Key Laboratory of Special Welding Technology (Huainan, China) (Figure 2). The vacuum pressure was about 1.7 × 10^{-8} MPa, and the volume of the vacuum chamber was 66 m^3. The welding method of test plates is shown in Figure 3. Meanwhile, in order to obtain high-quality welds, electron beam scanning deflection was used in the welding process. The schematic diagram of electron beam scanning deflection is shown in Figure 4 [27]. The scanning deflection was to control the electron beam with a predetermined curve through a function generator, which makes the electron beam move in a straight line and a certain waveform (circle, triangle or square (Figure 4c)). This strong vibration stirring can improve the metal melting and solidification process, prohibit molten envelopes, refine the grain, and reduce the root spiking of the welds [27,28].

Figure 1. Direct-reading of the spectrometer.
Table 1. Chemical composition of reduced activated ferrite/martensite (RAFM) steels (wt%).

|    | Cr  | C  | W  | V  | Ta | Mn | Si  | O   | N   | P   | Ti  |
|----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|    | 8.96| 0.093| 1.48| 0.16| 0.10| 0.48| 0.042| <0.01| <0.02| <0.005| <0.01|

At the same time, in order to obtain an excellent welding joint, welding parameters such as beam current, focusing current, and welding speed were optimized before the test. The parameters after being optimized are shown in Table 3. Four of the six test plates were welded by butt welding in pairs (two of which were used as heat source verification). For the remaining two test plates, we first clamped and fixed them on the base plate, pre-heated them by electron beam, and then welded them after 30 s. After the welding was completed, the first post-heating followed, and the second post-heating was carried out after 40 s. The heat treatment temperature was lower than the melting point temperature of RAFM steels. There was no need to add filler during welding.
Under different welding processes, the results of deformation and residual stress were compared and analyzed by experiment and finite element simulation, and the most suitable welding process was selected.
3. Finite Element Modeling

3.1. Finite Element Model

A three-dimensional finite element model was established by using the simulation software, and the thermal elastoplastic analysis method was used in this study. The whole model was composed of 3520 eight-node hexahedral elements with the capabilities of large deformation and strain. In the selection of element size, the calculation efficiency and result accuracy should be considered at the same time. Finally, the maximum element size should be \(5 \times 10^{-3}\) m, and the meshes near the weld should be refined (Figure 6). Figure 6a shows the finite element model of one time welding, and Figure 6b is the finite element model of pre-heating, welding and post heating. In the finite element analysis, we assumed that the material obeys von Mises yield criterion. On this basis, because EBW is carried out in a vacuum, other heat transfer is neglected except irradiation. The efficiency was assumed to be 90%.

![Figure 6](image_url)  
**Figure 6.** Finite element model. (a) finite element model of one time welding, (b) finite element model of pre-heating, welding and post heating.

3.2. Heat Conduction Equation

In the process of EBW, due to the high energy density and fast welding speed of the electron beam, when the electron beam acts on the surface of the workpiece, the melting and solidification process of the metal occurs in an instant. With the movement of the heat source, the temperature of the whole weldment changes rapidly with time and space, and the thermophysical properties of the material also change rapidly with the change of temperature. Therefore, the analysis of the welding temperature field is a typical non-linear transient heat conduction problem. In the Cartesian coordinate system, supposing that the heat source of the electron beam moves in the positive X-direction, its control equation can be written as follows [30]:

\[
\rho C_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( k_x \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( k_y \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( k_z \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) + \dot{Q}
\]  
(1)

where \(\rho\), \(C_p\), and \(k\) are the density, specific heat, and heat conductivity of the material respectively, \(T\) is the temperature. It is assumed that the material is isotropic in \(X\), \(Y\) and \(Z\)-directions, then \(k_x = k_y = k_z\), so Equation (1) can be simplified as:

\[
\rho C_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = k \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} \right) + \dot{Q}
\]  
(2)

3.3. Heat Source Model

For the Gauss model, the penetration of the arc is not considered. Therefore, Goldak’s double ellipsoid heat source distribution model is adopted in our study. Assuming that the energy fractions of the front and back ellipsoids are \(f_s\) and \(f_p\) respectively, and \(f_s + f_p = 2\), then the heat source distribution of the front and back ellipsoids are as follows [31]:
\[ q(x, y, z) = \frac{6\sqrt{3}f_e Q}{\pi abc_1} e^{-3x^2/a^2} e^{-3y^2/b^2} e^{-3z^2/c_1^2} \]  
(3)

\[ q(x, y, z) = \frac{6\sqrt{3}f_e Q}{\pi abc_2} e^{-3x^2/a^2} e^{-3y^2/b^2} e^{-3z^2/c_2^2} \]  
(4)

where \( Q \) is the input heat source power, \( a, b, c_1, c_2 \) are the parameters defining the shape of the ellipsoid, \( c_1, c_2 \) are the length of the front and back ellipsoids respectively, \( a \) is the width of the weld, and \( b \) is the depth of the weld. The energy density of the EBW pool is given by the following Equation (5) [32]:

\[ q(x, y, z, t) = \frac{6\sqrt{3}Q}{\pi abc\sqrt{\pi}} \exp\left(-3x^2/a^2\right) \cdot \exp\left(-3y^2/b^2\right) \cdot \exp\left\{-[z + \nu(t - \tau)]^2/c^2\right\} \]  
(5)

where \( Q \) is the power of EBW, \( \nu \) and \( t \) are the EBW speed and welding time respectively, and \( c \) is the energy distribution parameter.

3.4. Boundary Conditions

EBW was carried out in a vacuum chamber, and radiation heat dissipation was set as the main heat dissipation mode in MSC Marc software [31]:

\[ H = 24.1 \times 10^{-4} e T^{1.61} \]  
(6)

where \( e \) is the emissivity or degree of blackness of the surface.

In the finite element model, we define the \( X \), \( Y \), and \( Z \)-direction constraints according to the actual welding situation, and all the outer surfaces of the test plate are defined as heat dissipation surfaces, as represents in Figure 7, where the \( X \), \( Y \), and \( Z \) directions in the figure refer to the constraints of the \( X \), \( Y \), and \( Z \) directions.

![Figure 7: Boundary conditions of finite element model. (a) one time welding, (b) pre-heating, welding, and post-heating.](image)

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Verification of Heat Source Model

Firstly, the heat source is checked by simulation combined with the test. After welding, the transverse contraction of the test plate is \( 0.9 \times 10^{-3} \) m, and the maximum width of the weld is \( 6.5 \times 10^{-3} \) m (Figure 8a). The dotted line in Figure 8a indicates the width of the original test plate, and the points A, B, C and D represent the specific positions for measuring the deformation of the test plate in the \( X \)-direction, through the measurement of the machine tool, the deformations of the four positions in the \( X \)-direction are 0.29 mm, 0.27 mm, 0.32 mm and 0.35 mm, respectively. Figure 8b–e shows the finite element simulation results of the test plate. In the welding process, the maximum temperature is 2288 K (Figure 8b), and the
transverse shrinkage is $1.079 \times 10^{-3}$ m (Figure 8d). The maximum deformations in the X direction and the Z direction are $1.14 \times 10^{-3}$ m (Figure 8c) and $1.35 \times 10^{-3}$ m (Figure 8e), respectively. The results show that the deformation of finite element simulation is in good agreement with that of the welding test. Therefore, in the subsequent welding simulation, the checking heat source and boundary condition can be used continuously.

Figure 8. Heat source check. (a) heat source checking plate, (b) simulated welding temperature, (c) deformation in the X-direction, (d) deformation in the Y-direction, and (e) deformation in the Z-direction.

4.2. Welding Temperature Field

Figure 9 is the front view of the test plate after EBW. Six points on the surface of the test plate were taken to analyze the temperature field distribution. As shown in the figure, the distance between the six points is $3 \times 10^{-3}$ m, and point A is in the middle of the weld.
Figure 10 shows the temperature change of six points with time during welding. It can be seen from Figure 10a that the temperature of the weld centerline exceeds 2173 K, and the temperature of point B is higher than the melting point temperature of the material, so it is also in the melting zone. During welding, the highest temperature of the C–F point is below the melting point of RAFM steels, so these four points are in the heat-affected zone of the weld. As shown in Figure 10b, the pre-heating temperature before welding was between 473 K and 773 K. The welding temperature is the same as that of the one time welding. After welding, the electron beam was used two times for rapid heating to delay the rapid cooling process. The first heating temperature was higher than the second heating temperature.

As shown in Figure 10b, the pre-heating temperature before welding was between 473 K and 773 K (the test pre-heating temperature was 723 K). The welding temperature is the same as for one welding. After welding, the electron beam was used two times for rapid after-heating according to the test heating temperature (723 K and 623 K) to delay the rapid cooling process. Compared with Figure 10a,b, it is found that the cooling time of pre-heating after welding is nearly 30 s longer than that of one welding. Reducing the
cooling rate after welding has a great effect on preventing cracks in RAFM steels during rapid cooling after EBW with high energy density.

4.3. Residual Stress

The distribution of residual stress in the heat-affected zone and base metal under different welding processes was measured by the blind hole method. Because the residual stress on both sides of the weld has the characteristic of symmetrical distribution, we only tested one side (there is reinforcement at the weld, which cannot be measured, and removing the reinforcement will affect the stress distribution) (Figure 11). Figure 11a represents the distribution of the strain gauge when measuring the residual stress on the test plates. The transverse distances of points A1~A4, B1~B4, and C1~C4 from the weld were \(1 \times 10^{-2}\) m, \(3 \times 10^{-2}\) m, and \(6 \times 10^{-2}\) m respectively, and the distance between each point in the longitudinal direction of the weld was \(7 \times 10^{-2}\) m. Figure 11b,c show the stress distribution under different welding processes. Under one-time welding process, the maximum residual stress and the minimum residual stress are about 400 MPa and more than 100 MPa, respectively (Figure 11b). Under the pre-heating, welding and post heating process, the residual stress decreases as a whole, more in the heat affected zone and slightly in the base metal, and the maximum value is about 350 MPa, and the minimum value is about 80 MPa (Figure 11c). It can be seen that the welding residual stress is greatly reduced under pre-heating and post heating. This is mainly because pre-heating and post heating reduce the thermal impact of the electron beam on the test plates and the welding temperature gradient. It plays an important role in preventing the weld cracks.

![Figure 11. Diagram of residual stress. (a) strain gauge distribution, (b) one-time welding, (c) preheating, welding, and post-heating.](image-url)
The equivalent stress under different welding processes is obtained by finite element simulation analysis (Figure 12). Figure 12a,b are the simulation results of cooling to below 323 K after welding. The common feature is that the equivalent stress is concentrated near the welds and increases with distance; it gradually decreases and becomes uniform. The equivalent stress is mainly caused by the thermal expansion and cold contraction in the process of metal melting and solidification, the huge temperature gradient inside and around the weld, and the large thermal impact. The maximum equivalent stress of the one-time welding process is 537.9 MPa. The maximum equivalent stress of pre-heating, welding, and post-heating is 462.2 MPa, which is less than that of the one-time welding process. The main reason is that pre-heating, welding, and post-heating reduce the cooling rate, thermal shock, and temperature gradient of EBW. Compared with Figures 11 and 12, the results show that the equivalent stress of the weld under pre-heating, welding, and post-heating is less than that of the weld after one-time welding. These results are similar when the transverse distance is $1 \times 10^{-2}$ m from the welds. The simulation results are larger than the test results at the position $2 \times 10^{-2}$ m and further away from the welds. There are two main reasons for this. Firstly, the test plate must be removed from the clamping position when measuring the residual stress, which will lead to partial release of residual stress. Secondly, the test results were measured after the test plate was welded and, in this process, the residual stress on the base metal was partially released.

**Figure 12.** Equivalent stress. (a) one-time welding, (b) pre-heating, welding and post-heating.

### 4.4. Welding Deformation

Under the one-time welding process, the deformation of test plate in X, Y, and Z directions is shown in Figure 13a–c. The deformation of the test plate in X, Y, and Z directions during pre-heating, welding and post-heating is shown in Figure 13d–f. By comparing a and d in Figure 12, the maximum deformation of the test plate under pre-heating, welding, and post-heating process is greater than that of the test plate under the one-time welding process in the X-direction, and the maximum deformation of a diagram and d diagram occurs at the welding seam, it shows that the maximum deformation of the test plates in the X direction caused by weld reinforcement. As represented in Figure 13b,e, it can be found that the deformation of the test plate in the Y direction is slightly smaller under the one-time welding process. However, the position of the maximum deformation is similar under the two welding processes, which occurs at both ends and in the middle of the test plates. Figure 13c,f, show the deformation of the test plates in the Z direction. In the Z direction, the deformation of the two welding processes is similar, and the maximum deformation position is also similar, which mainly occurs at both ends of the weld. The finite element simulation results of the test plate are basically consistent with the test results.
The finite element simulation results of the test plate are basically consistent with the test results. Figure 13. Welding deformation. (a–c) the deformation of the first welding method in X, Y, and Z-directions, (d–f) the deformation of the second welding method in X, Y, and Z directions.

By comparing the deformation of the test plate in three directions under the two welding processes, we can find that, in the welding process, pre-heating and post-heating of the weld have little effect on the deformation of the weld. Therefore, only the residual stress is considered, and it is beneficial to reduce the residual stress by pre-heating and post-heat treatment in the welding process of WCCB, which will prevent the weld cracking in RAFM steels.

4.5. Properties of Electron Beam Welding (EBW) Joint

In order to study the effect of pre-heating and post-heating on the properties of the EBW joint, the microstructure and mechanical properties of the EBW joint were analyzed. Figure 14a,b is the tensile property analysis of the EBW joint, and Figure 15a,b is the impact...
property analysis of the EBW joint. It can be seen from Figure 14a,b that the fracture still occurs on the base metal after the tensile test of pre-heating and post-heating the EBW joint, which proves that the overall tensile strength is greater than that of the base metal. As can be seen from Figure 15a,b, the impact absorption energy of the EBW joint with only EBW are 13 MPa, 14 MPa, and 16 MPa, and the impact absorption energies of the EBW joint with pre-heating and post-heating are 16 MPa, 19 MPa and 19 MPa. Pre-heating and post-heating are equivalent to heat treatment of welded joints, so the structure is relatively uniform. The metallographic phase of the EBW joint is shown in Figure 16. Figure 16a shows the disposable EBW joint, and Figure 16b shows the EBW joint heated after pre-heating. As shown in Figure 16a, the maximum weld width of the joint after one-time welding is 6 mm. After pre-heating and post-heating, the maximum weld width is 8 mm (Figure 16b). However, the microstructure of the two kinds of welded joints is similar.

![Figure 14. Tensile samples. (a) Tensile samples for one time welding. (b) Tensile samples for pre-heating, welding and post-heating.](image)

![Figure 15. Impact samples. (a) Impact samples for one time welding. (b) Impact samples for pre-heating, welding and post-heating.](image)

![Figure 16. Microstructure of EBW joint. (a) Microstructure for one time welding. (b) Microstructure for pre-heating, welding and post-heating.](image)
5. Conclusions

(1) In conclusion, different welding processes were tested and simulated, and the effects of different welding processes on the thermal cycle and deformation were compared and analyzed. At the same time, the residual stress was measured by using the blind hole method.

(2) Through the test and simulation results, it was found that the equivalent stress of the one-time welding process is greater than that of the pre-heating, welding, and post-heating processes. The test results are basically consistent with the simulation results near the weld, while the simulation results are greater than the test results on the base metal far away from the welds, and the reason is related to the release of residual stress.

(3) In the first welding process, the deformation of the test plate in X and Y directions is slightly less than that in the second welding process, and the deformation in the Z direction is slightly greater than that in the second welding process. In the future welding process, we will choose the second welding process. In addition, enough allowance will be left for welding transverse shrinkage.

(4) The mechanical properties and metallography of the EBW joint under different welding processes were compared and analyzed. The results showed that the tensile strength of the joints is greater than that of the base metal. The impact energy of the EBW joint after pre-heating and post-heating is higher, and the weld width is larger, but the metallographic structure of the joint is similar.
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