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Abstract: In the finance market, the Black–Scholes equation is used to model the price change of the underlying fractal transmission system. Moreover, the fractional differential equations recently are accepted by researchers that fractional differential equations are a powerful tool in studying fractal geometry and fractal dynamics. Fractional differential equations are used in modeling the various important situations or phenomena in the real world such as fluid flow, acoustics, electromagnetic, electrochemistry and material science. There is an important question in finance: “Can the fractional differential equation be applied in the financial market?”. The answer is “Yes”. Due to the self-similar property of the fractional derivative, it can reply to the long-range dependence better than the integer-order derivative. Thus, these advantages are beneficial to manage the fractal structure in the financial market. In this article, the classical Black–Scholes equation with two assets for the European call option is modified by replacing the order of ordinary derivative with the fractional derivative order in the Caputo type Katugampola fractional derivative sense. The analytic solution of time-fractional Black–Scholes European call option pricing equation with two assets is derived by using the generalized Laplace homotopy perturbation method. The used method is the combination of the homotopy perturbation method and generalized Laplace transform. The analytic solution of the time-fractional Black–Scholes equation is carried out in the form of a Mittag–Leffler function. Finally, the effects of the fractional-order in the Caputo type Katugampola fractional derivative to change of a European call option price are shown.
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1. Introduction

In 1973, Black and Scholes [1] constructed the mathematical model for pricing an options contract, known as the Black-Scholes model. In particular, the Black-Scholes model estimates the variation over time of financial instruments. The Black-Scholes equation with two assets of a European call option is defined by:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 S_1^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial S_1^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2 S_2^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial S_2^2} + \omega \sigma_1 \sigma_2 S_1 S_2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial S_1 \partial S_2} + r \left[ S_1 \frac{\partial u}{\partial S_1} + S_2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial S_2} \right] - ru = 0, \quad (1)$$

with the terminal condition:

$$u(T, S_1, S_2) = \max(\beta_1 S_1 + \beta_2 S_2 - K, 0), \text{for} \ S_1, S_2 \in [0, \infty), \tau \in [0, T], \quad (2)$$
and boundary conditions:

\[ u(\tau, S_1, S_2) = 0 \text{ as } (S_1, S_2) \to (0, 0), \]

and

\[ u(\tau, S_1, S_2) = \beta_1 S_1 + \beta_2 S_2 - Ke^{-r(T-\tau)} S_1 \to \infty \text{ or } S_2 \to \infty, \]  \( (3) \)

where

- \( u \) is the call option depending on the underlying asset prices \( S_1, S_2 \) at time \( \tau \),
- \( S_1, S_2 \) are the asset price variables,
- \( \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \) are the volatility function of underlying assets,
- \( \beta_1, \beta_2 \) are coefficients so that all risky asset price are at the same level,
- \( \omega \) is the volatility of \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \),
- \( r \) is the risk-free interest rate,
- \( T \) is the expiration date,
- \( K \) is strike price of the underlying asset.

During the past 10–20 years, fractional calculus is extensively used for real-life problems in a variety of fields like chemistry, biology, physics, earth science and engineering [2–10]. Most of the fractional derivatives are represented in the integral form. Two fractional derivatives, which are the most popular, are Riemann-Liouville derivative [11] and Caputo derivative [12]. Katugampola [13,14] defined a generalized fractional derivative including Riemann-Liouville and Hadamard fractional integrals and derivatives. The Katugampola fractional derivative in Caputo type is defined by Almeida et al. [15] and Jarad et al. [16] that some researchers call this fractional derivative type the Caputo generalized fractional derivative.

The Black-Scholes equation with integer-order derivatives has been developed and studied by many researchers in [17–20]. Those studies show that the financial market has fractal characters both at home and abroad which is not enough to reflect the reality of the financial market. Recently, fractional differential equations are proved and accepted that fractional differential equations are a powerful tool in studying fractal geometry and fractal dynamics. The concept of the fractional derivative model helps to understand the data structure for the real-life matters deeper than the integer-order derivative model. This is the reason why researchers attempted to develop the integer-order Black-Scholes equation into the fractional-order Black-Scholes equation.

There are many analytical methods, used to find solutions of fractional Black-Scholes equations such as homotopy analysis method, homotopy perturbation method [21], modified homotopy perturbation method [22], Adomain decomposition method [23], analysis of decomposition method [24], finite difference method [25–27], variational iteration method with coupling of the Sumudu transform [28], Laplace homotopy perturbation method [29–32], and \( \rho \)-Laplace homotopy perturbation method [33,34].

In 2017, K. Trachoo, W. Sawangtong and P. Sawangtong [32] studied the two dimensional Black-Scholes equation with the integer-order derivative. They used the Laplace homotopy perturbation method to solve the such equation. The analytic solution of this equation is carried out in the form of the special function, which is Mellin-Ross function.

In 2018, P. Sawangtong, K. Trachoo, W. Sawangtong and B. Wiwattanapapathphee [31] solved the Black-Scholes equation with two assets in the Liouville-Caputo fractional derivative sense. By the Laplace transform homotopy perturbation method, the analytic solution obtained is in the form of the Generalized Mittag-Leffler function. Moreover, their presented results ensure that the fractional Black-Scholes model is more practical than the integer-order model.

As in previous descriptions, the characteristic of the finance market is fractal geometry and fractal dynamics, and the fractional differential equation is the powerful tool in studying such characteristics. Moreover, the self-similar property of fractional derivative makes can reply to the long-range dependence better than the integer-order derivative. The motivation of this work comes from the two above papers [31,32] and the advantages
of fractional calculus. This article studies the fractional-order Black-Scholes equation with two assets described in the sense of the Katugampola Fractional Derivative in Caputo type. We obtain here the analytic solution of the time-fractional Black-Scholes equation with two assets by the technique of the generalized Laplace homotopy perturbation method. Such the method is the combination between homotopy perturbation method and generalized Laplace transform proposed by Jarad and Abdeljawad [35]. Furthermore, the Katugampola fractional derivative in Caputo type can be transformed to both the Caputo derivative and Caputo-Hadamard derivative [36]. In the particular case, analytic solutions of the time-fractional Black-Scholes equation with two assets in the sense of both Caputo derivative and Caputo-Hadamard derivative are shown. We moreover investigate that the fractional-order of the Caputo type Katugampola fractional derivative has an effect on the price of a European call option.

The paper is organized as follows. We introduce the definitions of fractional derivatives, the generalized Laplace transform and the generalized Mittag-Leffler function in Section 2. We recall the constructive partial differential equation associate with the Black-Scholes models in Section 3. In Section 4, the time fractional Black-Scholes equation with two assets of the European call option is determined and carried out by the generalized Laplace homotopy perturbation method. The analytic solutions of fractional Black-Scholes equations and numerical results are presented in Section 5 and 6, respectively. Finally, conclusions and a discussion of current work is given in Section 7.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give definitions of fractional derivatives and the generalized Laplace transform. Furthermore, some properties of generalized Laplace transform and two-parameters Mittag-Leffler function used throughout this work are shown.

Let \( a, a, \) and \( \rho \) be any real number with \( 0 < \alpha \leq 1, a \geq 0, \rho > 0 \) and \( \Gamma \) be a Gamma function.

Definition 1 ([37]). The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of \( f \) with order \( \alpha \) has the following form:

\[
(a^{\alpha}I_{a}^{\alpha}f)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{t} (t-u)^{\alpha-1} f(u) du.
\]

Definition 2 ([37]). The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of \( f \) with order \( \alpha \) has the following form:

\[
(a^{\alpha}D_{a}^{\alpha}f)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{a}^{t} (t-u)^{-\alpha} f(u) du.
\]

Definition 3 ([37]). The Caputo fractional derivative of \( f \) with order \( \alpha \) has the following form:

\[
(C^{\alpha}D_{a}^{\alpha}f)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{a}^{t} (t-u)^{-\alpha} f'(u) du.
\]

Definition 4 ([38–40]). The Caputo-Hadamard fractional derivative of \( f \) with order \( \alpha \) has the following form:

\[
(CH^{\alpha}D_{a}^{\alpha}f)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{a}^{t} \left( \log \frac{t}{u} \right)^{-\alpha} \delta f(u) du,
\]

where the differential operator \( \delta \) is defined by \( \delta = \frac{t}{dt} \).
Definition 5 ([37]). The Katugampola fractional derivative in Caputo type of $f$ with order $\alpha$ has the following form:

$$\left(\frac{KC}{\alpha}D_t^\rho f\right)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_a^t \left(\frac{t^\rho - u^\rho}{\rho}\right)^{-\alpha} \gamma f(u) \frac{du}{u^{1-\rho}},$$  \tag{4}$$

where the differential operator $\gamma$ is given by $\gamma = t^{1-\rho} \frac{d}{dt}$.

Note that if $\rho = 1$, then the fractional derivative as Equation (4) becomes the Caputo fractional derivative with order $\alpha$. On the other hand, if $\rho$ approaches 0, then the fractional derivative as Equation (4) results to the Caputo-Hadamard fractional derivative with order $\alpha$.

Next, we present the definition of the generalized Laplace transform.

Definition 6 ([35]). Let $f, g : [a, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be real valued functions such that $g(t)$ is continuous and $g'(t) > 0$ on $[a, \infty)$. If the generalized Laplace transform of $f$ exists, then

$$\mathcal{L}_g\{f(t)\}(s) = \int_a^\infty e^{-s(g(t)-g(a))} f(t) g'(t) dt,$$  \tag{5}$$

where $s$ is the generalized Laplace transform parameter.

Obverse that if we set $g(t) = t$ and $a = 0$ in Equation (5), then the generalized Laplace transform becomes the classical Laplace transform but if we set $g(t) = \frac{t^\rho}{\rho}$ and $a = 0$, then the generalized Laplace transform reduces to the $\rho$-Laplace transform studied by Fall et al. [33].

Throughout this work, we call the generalized Laplace transform with $g(t) = \frac{t^\rho}{\rho}$ and $a = 0$ by $\rho$-Laplace transform. Then, $\rho$-Laplace transform is defined by:

$$\mathcal{L}_\rho\{f(t)\}(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-s\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}} f(t) \frac{dt}{t^{1-\rho}}.$$

We next give some properties of $\rho$-Laplace transform.

Lemma 1 ([37]). 1.

$$\mathcal{L}_\rho\left\{\left(\frac{t^\rho}{\rho}\right)^v\right\}(s) = \frac{\Gamma(1+\nu)}{s^{1+\nu}}, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{R},$$

2.

$$\mathcal{L}_\rho\left\{\left(\frac{KC}{\alpha}D_t^\rho f\right)(t)\right\}(s) = s^\alpha \mathcal{L}_\rho\{f(t)\}(s) - s^{\alpha-1} f(0).$$

Definition 7 ([41,42]). The two-parameters Mittag-Leffler function is defined as follows:

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(k\alpha + \beta)},$$  \tag{6}$$

where $\alpha > 0$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

We give some properties of the two-parameters Mittag-Leffler function.

Lemma 2 ([43]). The asymptotic expansion of $E_{\alpha,\beta}(x^\alpha)$ with $\alpha \in (0,1]$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ is:

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(x^\alpha) \sim \frac{x^{1-\beta} e^x}{\alpha} \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$  \tag{7}$$
The two-parameters Mittag-Leffler function in Equation (6) and its property in Equation (7) will be used to express the analytic solutions of the fractional-order Black-Scholes equation which described by the Katugampola fractional derivative in Caputo type.

3. Constructive Equations

In this section, we let:

\[ x = \ln(S_t) - \left( r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 \right) \tau, \quad y = \ln(S_T) - \left( r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2 \right) \tau, \quad t = T - \tau, \]

and

\[ u(\tau, x, y) = e^{-r(\tau-t)} v(\tau, x, y). \]

For more details of transformation, readers can be found in [31,44]. Finally, the Black–Scholes partial differential equation with two assets of the European call option Equation (1) can be transformed in the following form:

\[ v_t = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} + \omega \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y}, \quad (t, x, y) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}. \]  (8)

In this work, we consider the Black–Scholes equation in Equation (8) in the general form by replacing the integer-order time derivative with the time-fractional derivative. The time-fractional Black–Scholes equation is studied based on the Katugampola fractional derivative in Caputo type with \( \alpha \in (0, 1] \) given by:

\[ \frac{\kappa}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \partial_t^{\alpha} v = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} + \omega \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y}, \quad (t, x, y) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}. \]  (9)

with the initial condition:

\[ v(0, x, y; \rho, \alpha) = \max(c_1 e^x + c_2 e^y - K, 0), \]  (10)

and the boundary conditions:

\[ v(t, x, y; \rho, \alpha) = 0 \quad \text{as} \quad (x, y) \to -\infty, \quad \text{and} \]

\[ v(t, x, y; \rho, \alpha) = c_1 e^{x + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 t} + c_2 e^{y + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2 t} - K \quad \text{as} \quad x \to \infty \quad \text{or} \quad y \to \infty, \]  (11)

where \( c_1 = \beta_1 (r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2) T \) and \( c_2 = \beta_2 (r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2) T \).

4. The Generalized Laplace Homotopy Perturbation Method

In this section, we present the basic idea of the generalized Laplace homotopy perturbation method with \( g(t) = \frac{t^\alpha}{\rho} \) and \( \alpha = 0 \) for the time-fractional differential equations.

Firstly, we consider the following problem:

\[ \frac{\kappa}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \partial_t^{\alpha} u(t, x, y; \rho, \alpha) + Ru(t, x, y; \rho, \alpha) + Nu(t, x, y; \rho, \alpha) = f(t, x, y) \quad \text{for} \quad (t, x, y) \in (0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \]  (12)

with the initial condition:

\[ u(0, x, y; \rho, \alpha) = h(x, y) \quad \text{for any} \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \]  (13)

where \( R \) and \( N \) are the linear and nonlinear operators and \( f \) and \( h \) are determined functions.

Step 1. By taking the generalized Laplace transform with respect to time variable \( t \) in Equation (12), we obtain:

\[ \mathcal{L}_\rho \left\{ \frac{\kappa}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \partial_t^{\alpha} u(t, x, y; \rho, \alpha) \right\}(s) + \mathcal{L}_\rho \left\{ R(u(t, x, y; \rho, \alpha)) \right\} + \mathcal{L}_\rho \left\{ N(u(t, x, y; \rho, \alpha)) \right\}(s) = \mathcal{L}_\rho \left\{ f(t, x, y) \right\}(s). \]
Step 2. By using the generalized Laplace transform of Katugampola fractional derivative in Caputo type, we have:

$$\mathcal{L}_\nu^\alpha \{u\} (s) = s^{-\alpha} \mathcal{L}_\nu^\alpha \{R(u) + N(u)\} (s) + s^{-\alpha} \mathcal{L}_\nu^\alpha \{f(t, x, y)\} (s). \quad (14)$$

Step 3. By taking the inverse generalized Laplace transform on both sides in Equation (14), we get:

$$u(t, x, y; \rho, \alpha) = G(t, x, y) - \mathcal{L}_\nu^{-1} \left\{s^{-\alpha} \mathcal{L}_\nu^\alpha \{R(u) + N(u)\} (s)\right\} (t),$$

where

$$G(t, x, y) = \mathcal{L}_\nu^{-1} \left\{s^{-1} h(x, y) + s^{-\alpha} \mathcal{L}_\nu^\alpha \{f(t, x, y)\} (s)\right\} (t).$$

Step 4. By applying the homotopy perturbation technique [45,46], the homotopy function $v(t, x, y; p)$ is defined by the homotopy equation:

$$v(t, x, y; p) = v_0(t, x, y) - p \left[\hat{v}_0(t, x, y) - G(t, x, y) + \mathcal{L}_\nu^{-1} \left\{s^{-\alpha} \mathcal{L}_\nu^\alpha \{R(v(t, x, y; p)) + N(v(t, x, y; p))\} \right\}\right] \quad (15)$$

where $p \in [0, 1]$ denote homotopy parameter and $\hat{v}_0(t, x, y)$ is an arbitrary initial approximation satisfying both the initial and boundary conditions of the problem [47].

Note that we can see that when $p = 1$ in Equation (15), the homotopy function $v(t, x, y; 1)$ is the solution of the problem Equation (12). We assume that:

$$v(t, x, y; p) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n v_n(t, x, y) \quad (16)$$

and the nonlinear term can be applied as:

$$Nv(t, x, y; p) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n H_n(v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n). \quad (17)$$

The He’s polynomials $H_n(v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ can be calculated by using the following formula:

$$H_n(v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial p^n} N \left. \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} p^i v_i\right)\right|_{p=0} , n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots.$$  

Step 5. By substituting Equations (16) and (17) into (15), we obtain:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n v_n(t, x, y) = v_0(t, x, y) - p \left[\hat{v}_0(t, x, y) - G(t, x, y) + \mathcal{L}_\nu^{-1} \left\{s^{-\alpha} \mathcal{L}_\nu^\alpha \{R(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n v_n(t, x, y)) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n H_n(v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n)\} (s)\right\}(t)\right]. \quad (18)$$

Step 6. By comparing the coefficient of like power of $p$ on both sides of Equation (18), the sequence $v_n$ is carried out:

$$p^0 : v_0(t, x, y) = \hat{v}_0(t, x, y),$$

$$p^1 : v_1(t, x, y) = G(t, x, y) - v_0(t, x, y) - \mathcal{L}_\nu^{-1} \left\{s^{-\alpha} \mathcal{L}_\nu^\alpha \{R(\hat{v}_0(t, x, y)) + H_0(t, x, y)\} (s)\right\}(t),$$

$$p^n : v_n(t, x, y) = -\mathcal{L}_\nu^{-1} \left\{s^{-\alpha} \mathcal{L}_\nu^\alpha \{R(v_{n-1}(t, x, y)) + H_{n-1}(t, x, y)\} (s)\right\}(t) \text{ when } n \geq 2. \quad (19)$$

Step 7. The approximate analytic solution of problem Equations (12) and (13) can be expressed in the following form:

$$u(t, x, y; \rho, \alpha) = v(t, x, y; 1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n(t, x, y). \quad (20)$$
5. Analytic Solutions for the Time-Fractional Black–Scholes Equation

In this section, we solve the time-fractional Black–Scholes equation Equation (9) subjecting to the initial condition Equation (10) and the boundary conditions Equation (11) by the generalized Laplace homotopy perturbation method.

From Equations (9) and (12), we can see that:

\[
R(v(t, x, y; \rho, \alpha)) = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} + \omega \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y},
\]

and \( Nv(t, x, y; \rho, \alpha) = 0, f(t, x, y) = 0 \), with the initial condition as:

\[
v(0, x, y; \rho, \alpha) = h(x, y) = \max(c_1 e^x + c_2 e^y - K, 0).
\]

Note that since the nonlinear term is zero, the He’s polynomials \( H_n = 0 \) for all \( n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \).

From the recurrence relation Equation (19), we choose the function \( \tilde{v}_0 \) by:

\[
\tilde{v}_0(t, x, y) = \max(c_1 e^x + c_2 e^y - K, 0).
\]

We next find the function \( v_1 \) by using Equation (19). Then,

\[
v_1(t, x, y) = G(t, x, y; \rho, \alpha) - \tilde{v}_0(t, x, y) - \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ s^{-\alpha} \mathcal{L}_v \{ R(\tilde{v}_0(t, x, y); H_0(t, x, y)) \} (s) \right\} (t)
\]

\[
= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{s^\alpha} \mathcal{L}_v \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} + \omega \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y} \right\} (s) \right\} (t)
\]

\[
= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{s^{\alpha+1}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 \text{max}(c_1 e^x, 0) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2 \text{max}(c_2 e^y, 0) \right) \right\} (t)
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 \text{max}(c_1 e^x, 0) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2 \text{max}(c_2 e^y, 0) \right) \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \left( \frac{\mu^\alpha}{\rho} \right)^\alpha.
\]

Therefore,

\[
v_1(t, x, y) = \left( \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 \text{max}(c_1 e^x, 0) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2 \text{max}(c_2 e^y, 0) \right) \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \left( \frac{\mu^\alpha}{\rho} \right)^\alpha.
\]

By Equation (19), we obtain that:

\[
v_2(t, x, y) = -\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ s^{-\alpha} \mathcal{L}_v \{ R(\tilde{v}_1(t, x, y); H_1(t, x, y)) \} (s) \right\} (t)
\]

\[
= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{s^\alpha} \mathcal{L}_v \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 \frac{\partial^2 v_1}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2 \frac{\partial^2 v_1}{\partial y^2} + \omega \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \frac{\partial^2 v_1}{\partial x \partial y} \right\} (s) \right\} (t)
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 \text{max}(c_1 e^x, 0) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2 \text{max}(c_2 e^y, 0) \right) \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+2\alpha)} \left( \frac{\mu^\alpha}{\rho} \right)^{2\alpha}.
\]

Hence,

\[
v_2(t, x, y) = \left( \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 \text{max}(c_1 e^x, 0) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2 \text{max}(c_2 e^y, 0) \right) \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+2\alpha)} \left( \frac{\mu^\alpha}{\rho} \right)^{2\alpha}.
\]

It follows from Equation (19) that:

\[
v_3(t, x, y) = -\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{s^\alpha} \mathcal{L}_v \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 \frac{\partial^2 v_2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2 \frac{\partial^2 v_2}{\partial y^2} + \omega \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \frac{\partial^2 v_2}{\partial x \partial y} \right\} (s) \right\} (t)
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 \text{max}(c_1 e^x, 0) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2 \text{max}(c_2 e^y, 0) \right) \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+3\alpha)} \left( \frac{\mu^\alpha}{\rho} \right)^{3\alpha}.
\]
Then,
\[ v_3(t, x, y) = \left( \frac{1}{2^3} \sigma_1^3 \max(c_1 e^x, 0) + \frac{1}{2^3} \sigma_2^3 \max(c_2 e^y, 0) \right) \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + 3\alpha)} \left( \frac{\theta}{\rho} \right)^{3\alpha}. \]

Like the same manner, we can conclude that by Equation (19),
\[ v_n(t, x, y) = -\mathcal{L}_t^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{s^n} \mathcal{L}_t^\rho \left\{ \frac{1}{2^n} \sigma_1^2 \rho \frac{\partial^{2n} v_{n-1}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2^n} \sigma_2^2 \rho \frac{\partial^{2n} v_{n-1}}{\partial y^2} + \omega \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rho \frac{\partial^{2n} v_{n-1}}{\partial x \partial y} \right\}(s) \right\}(t) \]
\[ = \left( \frac{1}{2^n} \sigma_1^{2n} \max(c_1 e^x, 0) + \frac{1}{2^n} \sigma_2^{2n} \max(c_2 e^y, 0) \right) \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + n\alpha)} \left( \frac{\theta}{\rho} \right)^{n\alpha} \]

for \( n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \). Therefore, by Equation (15), the homotopy perturbation \( v(t, x, y; p) \) which corresponds to problem Equations (12) and (13) is in the form:
\[ v(t, x, y; p) = \max(c_1 e^x + c_2 e^y - K, 0) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + (n + 1)\alpha)} \left( \frac{\theta}{\rho} \right)^{(n + 1)\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{2^{(n+1)}(n+1)\alpha} \max(c_1 e^x, 0) \right) \]
\[ + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^n \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + (n + 1)\alpha)} \left( \frac{\theta}{\rho} \right)^{(n + 1)\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{2^{(n+1)}(n+1)\alpha} \max(c_2 e^y, 0) \right) \]

By Equation (20), we obtain the analytic solution of problem Equations (9)–(11) defined by:
\[ v(t, x, y; \rho, \alpha) = v(t, x, y; 1) = \max(c_1 e^x + c_2 e^y - K, 0) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + (n + 1)\alpha)} \left( \frac{\theta}{\rho} \right)^{(n + 1)\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{2^{(n+1)}(n+1)\alpha} \max(c_1 e^x, 0) \right) \]
\[ + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + (n + 1)\alpha)} \left( \frac{\theta}{\rho} \right)^{(n + 1)\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{2^{(n+1)}(n+1)\alpha} \max(c_2 e^y, 0) \right) \]
\[ = \max(c_1 e^x + c_2 e^y - K, 0) + \left( \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2} \right) \left( \frac{\theta}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha} \max(c_1 e^x, 0) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1 + n\alpha)} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^n \left( \frac{\theta}{\rho} \right)^{n\alpha} \]
\[ + \left( \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2} \right) \left( \frac{\theta}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha} \max(c_2 e^y, 0) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1 + n\alpha)} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^n \left( \frac{\theta}{\rho} \right)^{n\alpha} , \]

or
\[ v(t, x, y; \rho, \alpha) = \max(c_1 e^x + c_2 e^y - K, 0) + \left( \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2} \right) \left( \frac{\theta}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha} \max(c_1 e^x, 0) E_{\alpha,\alpha+1} \left( \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2} \right)^{\alpha} \]
\[ + \left( \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2} \right) \left( \frac{\theta}{\rho} \right)^{\alpha} \max(c_2 e^y, 0) E_{\alpha,\alpha+1} \left( \frac{\sigma_2^2}{2} \right)^{\alpha} , \] (21)

where \( E_{\alpha,\alpha+1} \) is the two-parameters Mittag–Leffler function defined by Equation (6).

Hence, the analytic solution of the time fractional Black–Scholes equations in the sense of Caputo type Katugampola fractional derivative Equations (9)–(11) is given by Equation (21).

It follows from [36] that as \( \rho = 1 \), the time-fractional Black–Scholes equation with two assets for the European call option based on Caputo type Katugampola fractional derivative becomes the time-fractional Black–Scholes equation with two assets for the European call option based on Caputo fractional derivative:
\[ C D_t^\alpha v = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} + \omega \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y}. \]
with the initial condition:

\[ v(0, x, y; 1, \alpha) = \max(c_1 e^x + c_2 e^y - K, 0), \]

and the boundary conditions:

\[ v(t, x, y; 1, \alpha) = 0 \quad \text{as} \quad (x, y) \to -\infty, \quad \text{and} \]

\[ v(t, x, y; 1, \alpha) = c_1 e^{x+\frac{1}{\alpha} t} + c_2 e^{y+\frac{1}{\alpha} t} - K \quad \text{as} \quad x \to \infty \quad \text{or} \quad y \to \infty, \]

where \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are constants.

By (21), the analytic solution of the time-fractional Black–Scholes equation with two assets for the European call option based on Caputo type Katugampola fractional derivative Equations (9)–(11) becomes the time-fractional Black–Scholes equation with two assets for the European call option in sense of the Caputo–Hadamard fractional derivative.

It follows from the property of Mittag–Leffler function with two parameters, that is

\[ e_{1,2}(z) = \left( e^z - \frac{1}{z} \right), \]

that the analytic solution of the classical Black–Scholes equation with two assets as Equation (8).

We next consider the case when \( \rho \) approaches to \( 0^+ \).

More precisely, as \( \rho \) approaches to \( 0^+ \), the time-fractional Black–Scholes equation with two assets for the European call option based on Caputo type Katugampola fractional derivative Equations (9)–(11) becomes the time-fractional Black–Scholes equation with two assets for the European call option in sense of the Caputo–Hadamard fractional derivative.

Consider the terms

\[ E_{\alpha,\alpha+1} \left( \frac{\sigma_i^2 t}{2} \right) \frac{1}{\rho^\frac{i}{2}} \]

for \( i = 1 \) and 2.

By using the property in Lemma 2 as Equation (7) we have that for each \( i = 1 \) and 2,

\[ E_{\alpha,\alpha+1} \left( \frac{\sigma_i^2 t}{2} \right) \frac{1}{\rho^\frac{i}{2}} \sim \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \frac{\sigma_i^2 t}{2} \right) \frac{1}{\rho^\frac{i}{2} \alpha} e^{\left( \frac{\sigma_i^2 t}{2} \right) \frac{1}{\rho^\frac{i}{2} \alpha}} \] as \( \rho \to 0^+ \).
It follows from Equation (21) that the analytic solution of the time-fractional Black–Scholes equation in the Caputo–Hadamard fractional derivative is in the form:

\[
\lim_{\rho \to 0^+} v(t, x, y; \rho, \alpha) = \max(c_1 e^{x} + c_2 e^{y} - K, 0)
\]

\[+ \lim_{\rho \to 0^+} \left( \frac{c_1^2}{\rho^\alpha} \right) \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho} \right)^a \max(c_1 e^{x}, 0) E_a, a + 1 \left( \frac{c_1^2}{\rho^\alpha} \right) \]

\[+ \lim_{\rho \to 0^+} \left( \frac{c_2^2}{\rho^\alpha} \right) \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho} \right)^a \max(c_2 e^{y}, 0) E_a, a + 1 \left( \frac{c_2^2}{\rho^\alpha} \right) \]

\[= \max(c_1 e^{x} + c_2 e^{y} - K, 0) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \max(c_1 e^{x}, 0) e \left( \frac{c_1^2}{\rho^\alpha} \right) \]

\[+ \frac{1}{\alpha} \max(c_2 e^{y}, 0) e \left( \frac{c_2^2}{\rho^\alpha} \right).
\]

We see that \(\lim_{\rho \to 0^+} v(t, x, y; \rho, \alpha) \to \infty\) for all \((t, x, y) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}\). This means that \(\lim_{\rho \to 0^+} v(t, x, y; \rho, \alpha)\) is unbounded for any \((t, x, y) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}\) which contradicts to the initial condition: \(v(0, x, y; \rho, \alpha) = \max(c_1 e^{x} + c_2 e^{y} - K, 0)\). This implies that the time-fractional Black–Scholes equation with two assets in Caputo–Hadamard sense has no solution.

6. Numerical Results

In this section, we illustrate the analytic solution of the time-fractional Black–Scholes equation with two assets for European call option based on Caputo type Katugampola fractional derivative by using Python programming. The financial parameters are given in Table 1.

**Table 1. Parameters of the numerical solutions.**

| Parameters                  | Value |
|-----------------------------|-------|
| strike price (dollars), \(K\) | 70    |
| risk-free interest rate (per year), \(\tau\) | 0.05  |
| expiration date (year), \(T\) | 1     |
| volatility function of underlying first assets (per year), \(\sigma_1\) | 0.1   |
| volatility function of underlying second assets (per year), \(\sigma_2\) | 0.2   |
| the volatility of \(S_1\) and \(S_2\), \(\omega\) | 0.5   |
| \(\beta_1\) | 2     |
| \(\beta_2\) | 1     |

The classical values of the options \((\alpha = \rho = 1)\) defined by (22) are simulated in Figure 1. In Figures 2–4, we have depicted the values of the options in Equation (21) for \(\alpha = 1\) and \(\rho = 0.85\), \(\alpha = 0.75\) and \(\rho = 1\), and \(\alpha = 1\) and \(\rho = 1.15\), respectively. We note that the analytic solution of the time-fractional Black–Scholes equation described in the sense of Caputo type Katugampola fractional derivative and the analytic solution of the classical Black–Scholes equation with both of the two assets are in good agreement. The European call option prices for different values of \(\alpha\) and \(\rho\) are shown in Table 2.

We see that in Figures 5 and 6, the values of \(x\) and \(y\) in the case \(\rho < 1\) are greater than the values of \(x\) and \(y\) in the case \(\rho = 1\). This means that the order \(\rho\) of the Caputo type Katugampola fractional derivative has an acceleration effect in the diffusion process when \(\rho < 1\). On the other hand, in Figures 7 and 8, the values of \(x\) and \(y\) in the case \(\rho > 1\) are less than the values of \(x\) and \(y\) in the case \(\rho = 1\). This implies that the order \(\rho\) of the Caputo type Katugampola fractional derivative has a retardation effect in the diffusion process when \(\rho > 1\).
From the Figures 9–14, we see that the payoff of the European option increases as $\alpha$ decreases. Moreover, we observe that the European option price is overpriced when $\alpha = 0.25$.

Figure 1. Values of the options for $\alpha = \rho = 1$.

Figure 2. Values of the options for $\alpha = 1$ and $\rho = 0.85$. 
Figure 3. Values of the options for $\alpha = 0.75$ and $\rho = 1$.

Figure 4. Values of the options for $\alpha = 1$ and $\rho = 1.15$. 
Figure 5. Values of the options for $\alpha = 1$ and $\rho < 1$, $t = 0.5$ years with $y = 1.6093$.

Figure 6. Values of the options for $\alpha = 1$ and $\rho < 1$, $t = 0.5$ years with $x = 2.3024$.

Figure 7. Values of the options for $\alpha = 1$ and $\rho > 1$, $t = 0.5$ years with $y = 1.6093$. 
Figure 8. Values of the options for $\alpha = 1$ and $\rho > 1$, $t = 0.5$ years with $x = 2.3024$.

| Table 2. Values of European call option. |
|---------------------------------------|
| $\alpha$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\rho$ | 0.75 | 1 | 0.75 | 1 | 0.95 | 1 | 1.5 |
| $r$ | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| $\omega$ | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| $T$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\sigma_1$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| $\sigma_2$ | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $\beta_1$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| $\beta_2$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $x$ | 4.1805 | 4.1805 | 4.1805 | 4.1805 | 4.1805 | 4.1805 | 4.1805 |
| $y$ | 1.6093 | 1.6093 | 1.6093 | 1.6093 | 1.6093 | 1.6093 | 1.6093 |
| $v$ | 72.7786 | 72.4795 | 95.8850 | 95.5376 | 79.8328 | 79.7846 | 79.5009 |

Figure 9. Option prices $v(t, x, y)$ with respect to underlying asset $x$ for different $\alpha$ values: $v$ for $y = 1.6093$ and $\rho = 0.65$. 
Figure 10. Option prices $v(t, x, y)$ with respect to underlying asset $y$ for different $\alpha$ values: $v$ for $x = 2.3024$ and $\rho = 0.65$.

Figure 11. Option prices $v(t, x, y)$ with respect to underlying asset $x$ for different $\alpha$ values: $v$ for $y = 1.6093$ and $\rho = 1$. 
Figure 12. Option prices $v(t, x, y)$ with respect to underlying asset $y$ for different $\alpha$ values: $v$ for $x = 2.3024$ and $\rho = 1$.

Figure 13. Option prices $v(t, x, y)$ with respect to underlying asset $x$ for different $\alpha$ values: $v$ for $y = 1.6093$ and $\rho = 5.025$. 

Computation 2021, 9, 33

Figure 14. Option prices $v(t, x, y)$ with respect to underlying asset $y$ for different $\alpha$ values: $v$ for $x = 2.3024$ and $\rho = 5.025$.

7. Conclusions

In this paper, we have discussed the analytic solution of the time-fractional Black–Scholes equation with two assets in sense of the Katugampola fractional derivative in Caputo type. The method, used here to provide analytical solutions, is called the generalized Laplace homotopy perturbation method. The idea of the generalized Laplace homotopy perturbation method comes from combining the technique of both the homotopy perturbation method and generalized Laplace transform. The result in Equation (21) shows that the analytic solution of the time-fractional Black–Scholes equations in the sense of Caputo type Katugampola fractional derivative can be carried out in the form of the two-parameters Mittag–Leffler function. Since the Katugampola fractional derivative in Caputo type generalizes both Caputo and Caputo–Hadamard derivatives, in the case of the time-fractional Black–Scholes equations in the Caputo derivative sense, the analytic solution is also obtained. Unfortunately, in the case of the time-fractional Black–Scholes equations in the Caputo-Hadamard derivative sense, there is no solution. Moreover, we can observe that the orders $\rho$ and $\alpha$ of the Katugampola fractional derivative in Caputo type have an effect on the price of the European option. Moreover, the European option price is overpriced when $\alpha = 0.25$.
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