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ABSTRACT

Climate change, global warming, and energy crisis are critical issues to be solved urgently in a global framework. Alternative energy and renewable energy technologies must be quickly developed to be substituted for fossil fuels like oil, gases, and coal. USA, UE, and Japan invested huge budgets to develop biomass renewable energy technology. Their target is to develop a commercial scale large-scaled plant. On the other hand, in developing countries, especially in rural areas, people who can access electricity is still less than 70%. To decelerate or prevent global warming and improve electrification in rural areas, a new technology for wood pyrolysis, which requires low manufacturing cost and less maintenance, and of which gases are directly applicable to the gas engine generator, is developed in a laboratory scale. This paper reports the performance of this new plant and effects of several parameters on the performance. It is concluded that the new technology is quite feasible in rural areas, and upgrading of the plant is easily possible.
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1. Introduction

Global issues of energy crisis and climate change are forcing to develop renewable energy technology in a global framework. In such situation, pyrolysis of biomass has been receiving great attention and researches on pyrolysis are increasingly carried out recently. United States and European countries have established a task group for pyrolysis technology development as Task 34 of Bioenergy, International Energy Agency (IEA) since 1995 and the task work is still continued until now [1]. United States has also conducted a biomass multi-year program since 2007 [2]. In this program, pyrolysis of woody biomass is positioned as one key technology for bio-fuel production. In IEA and US, Department of Energy focus on the development of fast pyrolysis technology, because high yield of bio-oil can be produced by this pyrolysis method. Therefore, many efforts for technical development and development of the sustainable feedstock supply system are made to down the production cost of bio-fuels for fossil fuel prices. Thus, the pyrolysis plant must be necessary in large scale.

The pyrolysis technology is rather old, but pyrolysis process is not fully clarified yet until now. Pyrolysis is a thermal decomposition process from a solid phase to gas and liquid phases. Many experimental works have been done to investigate gas, char and tar yields by various wood pyrolysis methods in this decade [3-7] and the review articles are also published [8]. On the other hand, for basic understanding of a pyrolysis process, chemical kinetic parameters of thermal decomposition processes are obtained by several researchers, and kinetic equations are derived from simplified forms [9-12]. It is well known that woods consist of main three constitutions, cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin, but there are many other minor compositions contained in woods, such as organic extractives and minerals [12]. Kinetic equations are identified for the main constitutions, respectively [13], but the composition ratio varies in one wood to another, and kinetic equations of minor compositions are not available. As a result, there is no unique kinetic equation that can express the overall pyrolysis process, universally.

Developing countries are also going to take part in decelerating or preventing climate change, global warming and in solve energy crisis together with developed countries. Developing countries have plans to develop renewable energy using their natural resources. However, energy crisis increasingly becomes serious, because developing countries are surely going to use more energy in future than in past years. Developing countries have an-
other energy issue that is a low electrification rate. According to the estimation by IEA in 2011 [14], average of electrification rate in the world is 80.5%. However, the electrification in developing countries is 75%. Especially, in rural areas, 32% people cannot access electricity. Looking at ASEAN countries, electrification in Indonesia is 76% in 2012, which is remarkably lower than that of other Asian counties, Vietnam (89.3%), Philippines (89.7%), Thailand (99.3%), Malaysia (99.4%), and Singapore (100%). Electrification in whole Indonesia is low, nevertheless, in urban areas like Jakarta and North Sumatra, more than 90% of people can access electricity, while only 50% of rural area people can access electricity. Electricity is one form of energy, but it is quite a versatile energy source. In urban areas, electricity is usually supplied through the power grid from large scale power stations. Many rural areas in developing countries, however, are not covered by those power grids. Constructing power grid for rural areas, newly, is not efficient and too expensive. Therefore, off-grid power generation systems are receiving strong attention to improve electrification in rural areas, because of efficiency and easiness.

Biomass potential in rural areas is rather high in general. However, as mentioned above, large-scale and sophisticated biomass technology developed by United States and European countries is not always necessary for rural areas, because frequent maintenance service is not available. A simple and low-maintenance system is appropriate there. There are several methods to create an oxygen-absent or oxygen-rare condition for wood pyrolysis reactor. Oxygen-absent conditions by filling a pyrolysis reactor with an inert gas like nitrogen, oxygen-rare conditions by piling feedstock upper solid fuel, and vacuum condition by evacuating a reactor are widely used for biomass pyrolysis. The former two methods are suitable for large scale plants for the sake of high efficiency. However, post processes to clean pyrolysis products, such as fine particle removal, or liquid fuel cleaning and stabilizing [2,15,16] are required. On the other hand, a vacuum pyrolysis process has been developed for converting biomass to liquid fuels. Several drawbacks are pointed out for industrial use, but despite those drawbacks, vacuum pyrolysis does have attractive advantages: clean oil production and usage of larger feedstock [15].

This work aims at the enhancement of electrification in rural areas using a pyrolysis gas engine generation. A new technology of wood pyrolysis using a pre-vacuum reactor chamber taking advantages of the vacuum pyrolysis is developed so that the pyrolysis gases could be directly used for a gas engine generator. In this new pyrolysis technology, no pre-treatment of feedstock and post-treatment of pyrolysis gases are necessary, and the system is as simple and requires less maintenance. In this paper, performance of the pyrolysis system is evaluated by a series of experiments.

2. Experiment

2.1. Wood Pyrolysis System with a Pre-Vacuum Chamber

A pilot plant of wood pyrolysis system was constructed in the laboratory. The constructed system is shown in Figure 1. The system consists of five components. Those are a furnace, a pre-vacuum reactor chamber, a tar trap, a carbon dioxide absorber, and a gas storage tank as shown from the left hand side to the right in Figure 1(a). The pre-vacuum reactor chamber is placed inside the furnace. The reactor chamber is made of a stainless steel pipe of which inner diameter is 254.0 mm, wall thickness is 13.0 mm, and inner height is 500.0 mm. A blind flange is bolted on the top of the chamber for opening of the reactor chamber, charging of new wood and taking out chars before and after experiments.

All the components of the system are connected with stainless steel pipes of half inch diameter. In the tar trap, gases containing tar vapor are cooled with circulated water and tar is liquefied to remain inside the trap. The carbon dioxide absorber utilizes the property that carbon dioxide can be dissolved in water.

Before starting an experiment, the pre-vacuum reactor chamber, the tar trap, the carbon dioxide absorber and the gas storage tank are evacuated by a vacuum pump up to 0.02 MPa (0.2 atm). Then, a valve between the reactor chamber and the tar trap is close. T1, T2, and T3 shown in Figure 1(b) indicate positions where K-type thermocouples are installed.

![Figure 1. Constructed pyrolysis system.](image-url)
2.2. Experimental Procedures

Rubber wood pieces undergoing pyrolysis is supplied from a local furniture company. Those are all the waste wood pieces from trunk part after manufacturing furniture. Pieces sizes are 100.0 mm to 200.0 mm long, 50.0 mm to 100.0 mm wide and 20.0 mm to 25.0 mm thick.

In the furniture company, the rubber wood timbers are dried in kiln. Moisture content of the rubber wood timbers has been reduced into 8 to 10% in kiln drier, but it may rise to around 12% while the timbers are stored in storage after taken out from the kiln drier. Therefore, the sample used for the experiment may contain moisture of around 12%. No additional water is added in the pre-vacuum reactor chamber as a pyrolysis agent. Experiments were carried out according to the following procedures.

1) 5 kg of rubber wood pieces are charged in the reactor chamber.

2) Two K-types of thermocouples are installed in the reactor chamber. One is placed at the center of bottom and the other is placed at the center of top. Then, the chamber is closed with a flange. The additional thermocouple is installed on the outer surface of the flange as shown in Figure 1(b).

3) The chamber, the tar trap, the carbon dioxide absorber, the gas storage tank, and the piping lines are evacuated by a rotary vacuum pump. The level of vacuum is around -0.08 MPa in gage pressure, 0.02 MPa in absolute pressure.

4) The weight of the pre-vacuum reactor chamber containing the 5.0 kg rubber wood pieces is measured by a digital scale with 50.0 g resolution during an experiment.

5) The pre-vacuum reactor chamber is heated by firing up the furnace.

6) Temperatures at three positions, the pressure in the pre-vacuum reactor chamber, and the weight of the reactor chamber are monitored.

7) Heating rate of the reactor chamber is kept constant.

8) After the pressure in the reactor chamber reaches a specified value, the chamber pressure is kept constant by adjustment of valve opening.

9) The termination of the pyrolysis in the reactor chamber is judged by the asymptotic change in the reactor chamber weight.

10) After a pyrolysis process has been terminated, mass of gas, tar and char products is measured to evaluate pyrolysis yields.

This system is characterized by the reactor chamber, which is evacuated initially and then filled with pyrolysis gases, called a pre-vacuum chamber in this paper. This system is different from vacuum pyrolysis in which whole thermal decomposition undergoes in vacuum [17]. In this experiment, wood charge in the reactor chamber is varied to 1.0, 3.0, and 5.0 kg. In addition, 0.1 kg wood charge is also examined. The specified chamber pressure is 0.1 MPa and 0.2 MPa in gage pressure.

3. Experimental Results

The temperature and the pressure were monitored during the experiment. After the experiment, mass of the pyrolysis yields, gases, char, and tar was measured. These experimental results are shown in next subsections.

3.1. Temperature

Temperature is measured at three points as shown in Figure 1. Measured temperature results at three points are shown in Figure 2, for case of 5.0 kg wood charge and chamber pressure of 0.1 MPa. Under the same condition, three experiments were carried out. Three experimental results are shown in the figures. In Figure 2(a), the temperature at the bottom of the chamber inside is plotted as a function of time, minutes after firing up the furnace. Outside surface of the chamber bottom is directly exposed to flames of burning woods. The temperature increases almost linearly with time up to 400°C. Then, suddenly the temperature drops in three experiments. After these drops, the temperature gradually increases to return to the initial curves’ extrapolation. However, beyond 500°C, the temperature increment becomes moderate and reaches the maximum of around 600°C. The pyrolysis process has terminated after 100 minutes.

Temperature at the top of the chamber inside is rather lower than the temperature at the bottom as shown in Figure 2(b). It reaches the maximum, 350°C at near 60 minutes, unlike the temperature at the bottom. Then, the temperature gradually decreases toward the process termination. Temperature at the top surface of the flange is shown in Figure 2(c). Its evolution with the time is similar to that of the chamber top temperature, although the maximum value is much lower. All the data of three experiments fall near one curve shown in the figure, which is drawn using the average values of three experimental results.

In Figure 3, the time evolution results of temperature measured at three points are shown for the chamber pressure levels of 0.1 and 0.2 MPa. The chamber pressure has no influence on the time evolution of temperature unavoidable.

3.2. Pressure

The gage pressure in the reactor chamber is plotted as a function of time in Figure 4. The initial pressure of the chamber is set to -0.08 MPa. For seven to eight minutes after beginning of the experiment, pressure does not
change. Then, the pressure steeply increases up to 0.1 MPa and 0.2 MPa. Before the valve is open at 0.1 MPa, two pressure evolution with time should be the same in both cases.

Lift of the chamber pressure may be caused by thermal decomposition of wood pieces into pyrolysis gases and thermal expansion of gasses inside the reactor chamber. The pressure of the chamber is kept constant 0.1 or 0.2 MPa until more than 100 minutes, termination of the pyrolysis in the chamber.

3.3. Pyrolysis Yields

After termination of pyrolysis process in the chamber is judged by asymptotic change in the chamber weight with time, char in the chamber, tar in the tar trap, and gases in the storage tank are weighted, respectively. It should be noted that gases stored in the tank is not all the gases generated by pyrolysis process, because a certain amount of carbon dioxide has been removed by the carbon dioxide absorber. Measured yields are summarized in Table 1.

In this table, a value in a parenthesis is a percentage of each product to the wood charge 5.0 kg, and it can be seen that yields of tar, char, and gases are around 40%, 30%, and 30% of the wood charge, respectively. This pilot plant yields slightly higher tar than the other products.

4. Discussion

Aiming at improvement of electrification in rural areas, one pilot plant of a wood pyrolysis system has been developed based on a new technology in a laboratory scale. In order to ensure sustainable usage, low-maintenance equipment is inevitable. Based on this concept, the plant was constructed and its performance was evaluated. In contrast to commercial wood pyrolysis plants using small feedstock like wood pellets and fast pyrolysis process, this plant uses rather big wood pieces and the pyrolysis process is slow. Therefore, there are several factors dominating pyrolysis performance of this plant. In the next subsections, those factors are discussed.

4.1. Effect of Pressure

The chamber pressure is monitored during the experiment. After the reactor chamber pressure reaches 0.1
Table 1. Pyrolysis yields for 5.0 kg wood charge, and chamber pressure of 0.1 and 0.2 MPa.

| Wood Charge kg | Pressure MPa | Tar kg (wt%) | Char kg (wt%) | Gases kg (wt%) | CO₂, & others kg (wt%) |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| 5              | 0.1          | 1.96 (39.2)  | 1.43 (28.6)   | 1.13 (22.6) (32.2)* | 0.47 (9.6)          |
|                | 0.2          | 2.00 (40.0)  | 1.53 (30.6)   | 1.18 (23.6) (29.4)* | 0.29 (5.8)          |

*CO₂ and other gases removed by absorber are added to gases.

Table 2. Gas composition (vol%).

| Gas Vol% | Wood Charge (kg) |
|----------|------------------|
|          | 1.0    | 3.0    | 5.0    |
| H₂       | 17.1   | 19.5   | 19.8   |
| CH₄      | 32.2   | 37.7   | 36.6   |
| CO       | 28.9   | 22.1   | 18.6   |
| CO₂      | 21.8   | 20.7   | 14.9   |

4.2. Effect of Wood Charge

Wood mass charged in the reactor chamber must be one of the most dominant factors to determine the plant performance. The 5.0 kg of wood fills the reactor chamber up to almost a half of its total depth. Then, the reactor chamber is placed in the furnace so that the reactor chamber sinks in the furnace up to 300.0 mm from the bottom. This means that all the wood pieces are immersed in the furnace. Even if all the woods in the reactor chamber is immersed in the furnace, large temperature distribution in the wood pieces exists after the furnace is fired up. Simple thermal conduction analysis was done neglecting thermal convection in the chamber, because the chamber is evacuated into vacuum. One example of temperature distributions inside the reactor chamber is shown in Figure 5. In the analysis, wood pieces are modeled as a rectangular shape, and they are shown with dotted lines in the figure. The most left wood piece is located in the center of the reactor chamber and the most right piece contacts with the chamber wall. The bottom of each wood piece contacts with the chamber bottom. Therefore, the bottom of wood piece is directly heated by the chamber bottom. In the figure, temperature at the bottom of the wood piece is shown with a red line, temperature at the top of wood piece, with a blue line, and temperature at the middle of wood piece, with a yellow line, and temperature at the chamber tope, with a black line. From this numerical calculation result, it can be seen that there is a large temperature variation in wood pieces. This analysis does not take into account convection of volatile gasses emitted from wood pieces. If the volatile gas convection is considered, the temperature distribution may go to uniform. However, it is easily understandable that for small wood charge like 1.0 kg and 3.0 kg, wood pieces may undergo less temperature gradient.

For wood charge of 0.1 kg, 1.0 kg, and 3.0 kg, experiments were also carried out to examine the wood charge effect on the pyrolysis yields ... The controlled chamber pressure was 0.2 MPa. The heating rate was almost the same in four cases including 5.0 kg wood charge.

Three main pyrolysis yields are plotted as a function of wood charge mass in Figure 6. For wood charge mass of more than 1.0 kg, three yields are insensitive against the wood charge. However, it should be noted that for 0.1 kg wood charge, tar yield is significantly low while gas yield is remarkably high.

The following reason can be considered for this fact. The 0.1 kg wood charge is very small volume as compared with the reactor chamber volume. When primary
volatile gases are emitted from wood pieces, the gas volume is not much enough to raise the chamber pressure up to 0.2 MPa. Then, the primary volatile gases are heated further to more than 500 °C in the furnace and the secondary decomposition of tar may take place for generation of new gasses [18]. As a result, the chamber pressure increases up to 0.2 MPa. This suggests that 1.0, 3.0, and 5.0 kg wood charges mainly produce the primary volatile gases as the pyrolysis yield.

In addition, this hints that upgrading of this plant can be easily realized to obtain high gas yield if the secondary decomposition of volatile gasses is induced and expedited by attaching another vacuum chamber heated up to more than 500 °C.

4.3. Gas Compositions

Main compositions of wood pyrolysis gas product are usually hydrogen, methane, carbon monoxide, and carbon dioxide. Gas products from 1.0, 3.0, and 5.0 kg wood charge were analyzed by gas chromatography. Obtained results are summarized in Table 2. As seen in this table, composition ratios of four gases are not susceptible against the wood charge mass. The major gas composition is methane. Other gases are similar in volume concentration. Concentration of methane is very high as compared with other researcher’s results [4], in which the methane concentration is given in wt%, and is converted to 15.5 vol% by calculation.

Pyrolysis experiments were carried out by the other group using the same rubber wood as those used in this experiment, but the small pieces less than 10.0 mm cubic, and the different equipment that can be heated up to 900°C. The experimental results indicated that the pyrolysis process produced gases with methane content of 17.6 %, 19.8%, 18.0% and 10.1% at the pyrolysis temperature of 600°C, 700°C, 800°C, and 900°C, respectively [19,20]. These data are calculated as methane contents of the combustible gases volume totaled from carbon monoxide, hydrogen, and methane. This indicates that methane gas content decreases with increase of pyrolysis temperature. On the other hand, other result [17] indicates that methane gas content increases with pyrolysis temperature in range of 350°C to 450°C.

It is not clear why this plant can produce methane-rich gas. Two factors can be considered for this reason. One is wood species and the second is the pyrolysis system. Further experiments are necessary to clarify this problem. In addition, heating value of the gases produced by this plant is calculated as 9.7 MJ/kg from the results in Tables 1 and 2. This gas heating value is also higher than that of other wood pyrolysis gas [21]. If carbon dioxide is completely removed by the absorber, the heating value rises to 19.0 MJ/kg. This is almost same as heating value of dry wood [22].

5. Conclusions

To prevent global warming and improve electrification in rural areas, a pilot plant of wood pyrolysis was constructed in a laboratory, based on a new technology and the concept that for sustainable usage, the plant should be easy-operating, low maintenance, and low cost.

Experiments were carried out to evaluate performance of the plant and characterize the plant. The following conclusions are obtained.

1) This plant is characterized by a pre-vacuum chamber, in which wood pieces undergo thermal decomposition in the absence of oxygen.

2) The chamber pressures levels of 0.1 MPa and 0.2 MPa under which pyrolysis process proceeds do not affect pyrolysis yields of tar, char, and gases.

3) The wood mass of 1.0 kg to 5.0 kg charged in the pre-vacuum chamber does not affect the pyrolysis yields, neither.

4) However, 0.1 kg wood charge yielded high gas content as compared with tar and char. This can be interpreted as a result of secondary decomposition of tar. In addition, this hints how to upgrade of this plant for high gas yield.

5) This plant yields ethane-rich pyrolysis gas. As a result, heating value of the pyrolysis gas is around 9.7 MJ/kg for gases in which carbon dioxide is partially removed by a water resolution method and 19.0 MJ/kg if carbon dioxide is completely removed.

Further investigation is necessary to understand pyrolysis process in the pre-vacuum chamber. Numerical analysis is also useful for this. Experimental and numerical research results will be reported late.
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