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Structural Probing and Mutagenic Analysis of the Stem-loop Required for *Escherichia coli dnaX* Ribosomal Frameshifting: Programmed Efficiency of 50%
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Three elements are crucial for the programmed frameshifting in translation of *dnaX* mRNA: a Shine-Dalgarno (SD)-like sequence, a double-shift site, and a 3' structure. The conformation of the mRNA containing these three elements was investigated using chemical and enzymatic probes. The probing data show that the structure is a specific stem-loop. The bottom half of the stem is more stable than the top half of the stem. The function of the stem-loop was further investigated by mutagenic analysis. Reducing the stability of the bottom half of the stem strongly effects frameshifting levels, whereas similar changes in the top half are not as effective. Stabilizing the top half of the stem gives increased frameshifting beyond the WT efficiency. The identity of the primary RNA sequence in the stem-loop is unimportant, provided that the overall structure is maintained. The calculated stabilities of the variant stem-loop structures correlate with frameshifting efficiency. The SD-interaction and the stem-loop element act independently to increase frameshifting in *dnaX*.
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Introduction

A very efficient programmed ribosomal frameshift is used in decoding the *Escherichia coli dnaX* gene. Two *dnaX* encoded products, γ and τ, are synthesized in a 1:1 molar ratio and both are sub-units of DNA polymerase III. Decoding of the *dnaX* gene is unusual in that programmed frameshifting yields a product (γ), that is shorter than the non-shift product (τ; Flower & McHenry, 1990; Tsuchihashi & Kornberg, 1990; Blinkova & Walker, 1990). Frameshifting occurs at a specific site two-thirds of the way through the coding region. The second codon in the new frame is a stop codon, and consequently the frameshift product, has only its carboxy-terminal amino acid decoded from the new frame (Tsuchihashi & Kornberg, 1990). As commonly found in programmed frameshifting, the change in reading frame at a particular site is stimulated by recoding signals present in the mRNA (Gesteland & Atkins, 1996). For *dnaX* frameshifting, three crucial elements have been identified: a 5' Shine-Dalgarno sequence (SD; Larsen et al., 1994), a very slippery (Weiss et al., 1989) double-shift site and a 3' structural element (Flower & McHenry, 1990; Tsuchihashi & Kornberg, 1990; Blinkova & Walker, 1990). Similar to retroviral frameshifting (Jacks et al., 1988), mRNA dissociates from both A and P site bound tRNAs at the shift site, slips “forwards” by one base, and re-pairs to permit a continuation of triplet reading, but now in the –1 frame. The SD sequence preceding the shift site is not involved in ribosomal initiation. 16 S RNA in the translocating ribosomes transiently pairs with the SD sequence in the mRNA and stimulates –1 frameshifting 10 nucleotides downstream (Larsen et al., 1994). The 3' structure, which also stimulates the shift, was proposed to be either a stem-loop (Tsuchihashi &
Kornberg, 1990; Flower & McHenry, 1990; Blinkova & Walker, 1990) or possibly a pseudoknot (Blinkova & Walker, 1990). Tsuchihashi & Kornberg (1990) replaced blocks of nucleotides in the 3′ region and showed that the putative pseudoknot was not involved. Two different models for the top portion of the stem-loop have been proposed (Tsuchihashi & Kornberg, 1990; Flower & McHenry, 1990; Blinkova & Walker, 1990), and the experiments presented here address this issue.

Dual stimulatory signals 5′ and 3′ of programmed frameshift sites are known in three other cases: The −1 frameshift in decoding Shigella dysenteriae IS911 is similar to dnaX in that it has an SD sequence 11 nucleotides 5′ of a double-shift site which is followed by a complex RNA structure (M. F. Prêre, J. F. Atkins, O. Fayet, unpublished results; Polard et al., 1991). Mammalian antizyme has a 5′ stimulator element of unknown nature and a pseudoknot 3′ of the +1 shift site (Matsufuji et al., 1995, 1996). In contrast, for eubacterial Release Factor 2 (RF2) +1 frameshifting, while the 5′ element is an SD sequence located 3 nucleotides upstream of the shift site (Weiss et al., 1988), the 3′ element is not a structure but a stop codon overlapping the shift site (Weiss et al., 1987; Curran & Yarus, 1989; Sipley & Goldman, 1993).

Mutational analyses have addressed the stimulatory effect of several 3′ structures involved in frameshifting (for reviews; see Miller et al., 1995; Brierley, 1995; Gesteland & Atkins, 1996). Despite the functional importance of these RNA structures in programmed frameshifting, detailed structural information is available only for a few structural elements. Mutational analysis was used to identify pseudoknots involved in frameshifting in avian coronavirus infectious bronchitis virus (IBV; Brierley et al., 1989, 1991) and in mouse mammary tumor virus (MMTV; Chamorro et al., 1992). In the latter case structural analyses as well as functional studies were performed on variant pseudoknots resulting in a model based on NMR analysis (Chen et al., 1995, 1996; Shen & Tinoco, 1995; Kang et al., 1996). A pseudoknot was also shown to promote efficient −1 frameshifting in simian retrovirus type 1 (SRV-1) by mutagenesis and probing analysis (ten Dam et al., 1994). More recently, a general model was proposed for the conformation of pseudoknots involved in retroviral frameshifting (Du et al., 1996). However, some animal viruses, e.g. human astrovirus (Marczinke et al., 1994) and perhaps human immunodeficiency virus (HIV; Parkin et al., 1992) appear to use a stem-loop rather than a pseudoknot, for the stimulation of frameshifting. The 3′ structural elements involved in bacterial re-encoding are stem-loops with the exception of a pseudoknot in the insertion sequence IS3 (Sekine et al., 1994).

Here, the structure of the dnaX frameshift cassette RNA in solution was characterized using chemical and enzymatic probes. The predicted thermal stabilities of several variants of the 3′ stimulator were correlated with their ability to promote frameshifting in vivo.

Results

To analyze the structure-function relationship of the stem-loop involved in stimulation of frameshifting in the dnaX gene of E. coli: (1) the conformation of the frameshift region was investigated using enzymatic and chemical probes and (2) mutations, predicted to disrupt or alter the proposed structure, were engineered, and tested in vivo in a functional assay using β-galactosidase as a reporter. A region of the dnaX gene between nucleotide 1406 and nucleotide 1468 (Yin et al., 1986) was used because this sequence contains all the elements required for dnaX frameshifting (Figure 1).

Structural probing

Probing with nucleases, imidazole, and lead was done on 5′-labeled RNA-2, the short transcript. Chemical modification with DMS and CMCT was done on RNA-1, the long transcript, since it was not possible to do primer extension on RNA-2 (see Materials and Methods). Nuclease S1 cleaves single-stranded RNA with no known sequence specificity. RNase T1 cleaves after unpaired guanosine residues and to a lesser extent, after guanosine residues involved in non-canonical base-pairing (Felden et al., 1997). The V1 nuclease from cobra venom preferentially hydrolyzes double-stranded RNA and within regions containing stacked nucleotides. However, Lowman & Draper (1986) suggest that V1 nuclease recognizes any 4 to 6 nucleotide segment of polynucleotide backbone with an approximately helical conformation, and does not require that the bases are paired. Detailed structural requirements for Pb(II)-induced hydrolysis are not known, although, site-specific cleavages in a tRNA molecule have been identified (Werner et al., 1976; Krzyzosiak et al., 1988). A particular tertiary folding and an increased flexibility of the phosphate backbone have been suggested as specific structural features for Pb(II)-induced cleavage (Brown et al., 1983). The use of Pb(II) to probe the conformation of 16 S rRNA shows its predominant affinity for loops and inter-helical regions (Gornicki et al., 1989). Imidazole induces cleavages preferentially in single-stranded regions (Vlassov et al., 1995). Probing of Watson-Crick base-pairing positions with DMS (N-3 of C, N-1 of A) and CMCT (N-3 of U, N-1 of G) was done under both native (in the presence of magnesium) and semi-denaturing (in the presence of 1 mM EDTA) conditions.

Representative electrophoretic patterns are presented for several of the probes, and a complete summary of cleavage or modification sites were mapped onto the RNA sequence. The probe-induced cuts or modifications were categorized as
weak, intermediate, or strong, according to the intensity of the corresponding band. Figure 2 shows enzymatic probing and the lead-hydrolysis experiments. These results are summarized in Figure 3. Figure 4 shows an autoradiogram of a gel obtained after CMCT modification of $\text{dnaX}$ RNA, and the results of both DMS and CMCT modification on RNA-1 are summarized in Figure 5 along with the RNA-2 imidazole data. The very 3' part of the RNA-1 molecule (nt 71 to 86, downstream of the $\text{ApaI}$ cloning site, see Figure 1), and the nucleotides 5' of the $\text{HindIII}$ cloning site (G-13 to A-7), cannot be assessed due to the location of the primer used for primer extension. However, these regions are outside the $\text{dnaX}$ sequence. Two degradation sites are present in the control lanes of RNA-1 (between C55 and G56, and between C66 and U67). The reactivities at Watson-Crick pairing positions on the 5' side of these degradation sites could not be revealed, since during gel electrophoresis those primer extension products co-migrate with the degradation products. RNA-1 was also probed with nuclease S$_t$. The S$_t$ pattern was the same for RNA-1 (data not shown) as for RNA-2, indicating that the conformations of the two RNAs are identical in the region of interest.

Most of the probing data suggest that the SD sequence (A1 to G6) is single-stranded. Numerous nucleotides in this region are cut by S$_t$, T$_t$, lead (Figure 3) and imidazole (Figure 5). However, some ambiguities are seen: G2 and G3 are not cleaved by lead and they are weakly cut by V$_t$. The N-1 atoms of the guanine and the adenine residues in the SD sequence are only modified by DMS or CMCT in the absence of magnesium.

Spacer 1 (C7 to C16) appears to be single-stranded. All nucleotides in this region are cut by lead and imidazole, and they are all modified by CMCT or DMS. Several S$_t$ cuts and a T$_t$ cut are also observed in this region. However C11 to A13 are cut by V$_t$ (see below).

The frameshift site (A17 to G23) and spacer 2 (A24 to A29) appear to be single-stranded regions. These sequences give intermediate or strong cuts with S$_t$, T$_t$, imidazole and lead, and all Watson-Crick positions are modified. DMS and CMCT modifications of the nucleotides in spacer 2 (A24 to U28) are all stronger under semi-denaturing conditions than under native conditions, indicating that magnesium stabilizes this portion of the RNA sequence. Nucleotides U28 and A29 in spacer 2 are not cut by S$_t$, possibly due to proximity to the strong stem beginning at C30.

The bottom half of the predicted stem appears to be a very stable double-stranded region. The Watson-Crick positions of the nucleotides in the bottom half of the predicted stem are protected from modification by DMS and CMCT. Further,
this part of the stem is neither cut by $S_1$ nor $T_1$, and several nucleotides are cut by $V_1$. Two intermediate $V_1$ cuts are seen between G56 and U58, but it is not possible to localize the exact position of the cleavages because of band compression in the sequencing gels, a further indication of a strong stem. G33 and C34 are partially cleaved by lead and only C34 is cleaved by imidazole. C30-G57 ap-

![Figure 2. A, Nuclease mapping and B, lead cleavages of 5'-labeled dnaX RNA. Lanes C_S and C_Tv are incubation controls for $S_1$ and $T_1$ or $V_1$, respectively. Lanes $G_s$ are RNase $T_1$, hydrolysis ladders. Lanes $A_s$ are RNase $U_2$, hydrolysis ladders. Lanes $O_H^-$ are alkaline hydrolysis ladders. Lane $S_1$, $T_1$, and $V_1$ are nuclease $S_1$, RNase $T_1$, and nuclease $V_1$ mapping lanes respectively. Lane C is an incubation control for Pb(II) hydrolysis. Lanes 1, 2, and 3 are Pb(II) hydrolysis by incubation in 2.0 mM, 1.5 mM, and 0.7 mM (final concentration) lead acetate.]

![Figure 3. Nuclease and lead probing data of the frameshift cassette RNA of the E. coli dnaX gene. Cuts induced by nuclease $V_1$, RNase $T_1$, and nuclease $S_1$. Intensities of cuts are proportional to the darkness of the symbols: open, gray, and black for weak, intermediate, and strong cuts, respectively. The gray line indicates that two $V_1$ cuts were observed between G56 and U58. It was not possible to localize the exact position of the cleavages because of band compression in the sequencing gel. Lead-induced cleavage points are shown using a color code: black, blue, red, and green nucleotides correspond to uncleaved, weak, intermediate, and strong cleavages respectively.]
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pears to be the very bottom base-pair in the stem. A29 and U58 are both cleaved by imidazole and lead and both are modified at their Watson-Crick positions.

In the proposed structures A35 and G52 are drawn as bulges, but these nucleotides are not cleaved by S<sub>1</sub> or T<sub>1</sub>. A35 is cleaved by lead and by imidazole, and G52 is weakly cleaved by lead. The N-1 atom of A35 is modified under native conditions, but N-1 of G52 is only modified in semi-denaturing buffer. These probing data suggest that U41 to C45 and C49 are not involved in base-pairing. The structure shown in Figure 1B shows G46 and C47 as part of the loop sequence, and C38 a bulged nucleotide. G46 is not cleaved by lead and C47 is only weakly cleaved by lead. Furthermore, neither G46 nor C47 are modified in solution by CMCT or DMS, indicating that the two nucleotides are in a stem. These data strongly suggest that the structure in Figure 1A is correct, except that the probing results argue against the formation of the A29 to U58 base-pair.

It is interesting to note that no S<sub>1</sub> cuts were found in the proposed stem-loop, perhaps due to inaccessibility of the bulky enzyme related to tertiary folding of the loop sequences.

Other structural elements can be proposed in the probed region. For example, a four base-pair stem-loop structure 3' of the primary stem-loop can be predicted from the lead and imidazole data (Figure 3). Base-pairing between G62 and C65 and between G76 and C79 would form the stem. This structure is supported by V<sub>1</sub> cleavages, but is not consistent with the S<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, DMS, and CMCT data. Furthermore, this structure is not part of dnaX; it is in the downstream lacZ sequence. Another small stem-loop structure 5' of the frameshift site can be predicted. The stem would involve nucleotides G-6 to C-2 and C11 to C16 including a bulged A. This structure is supported by V<sub>1</sub> cleavages (strong cut at G-6 and cuts at G-2 and C11 to A13), weakly supported by S<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, DMS and CMCT data and is inconsistent with both the lead and the imidazole pattern. This structure does include some dnaX sequence (the SD sequence and spacer 1 are part of the structure), but the HindIII cloning site is part of the stem. By adding more 5' and 3' dnaX sequence to our constructs, we found that these small structures, if they occur in solution, have insignificant effects on frameshifting.
Structure-function relationship of the 3' stem-loop

The probing data support the structure proposed by Tsuchihashi and Kornberg (1990), and Flower & McHenry (1990) except that the A29-U58 base-pair does not seem to form. The stimulatory effect of this 3' structure was examined by deletion (SL del) and specific base changes (SLM 1-22). In Figure 6, the functional effects of in vivo mutations are summarized, using β-galactosidase as a reporter. Synthetic oligonucleotides, containing the frameshift cassette, were cloned into a lacZ-containing plasmid. The different constructs were designed on the basis of the two-dimensional (2D) structure predicted from the probing results. The FoldRNA program (the Wisconsin Sequence Analysis Package, GCG Inc.) was used to analyze each mutant construct for alternate secondary structures. In all constructs, the −1 frame stop codon (UGA) was changed to UGU (cysteine) to permit synthesis of β-galactosidase. The change did not affect the efficiency of frameshifting (Tsuchihashi & Kornberg, 1990; Larsen et al., 1994). The efficiency of frameshifting of all the mutants has been normalized to the WT, defined as 100%. In the WT the proportion of ribosomes that shift frame at the dnaX shift site is 50% based on comparison to an in-frame control. As ribosome drop-off is expected to be the same in both the frameshift construct and the in-frame control, we expect the estimated frameshift level to be unbiased by this issue.

Deletion of the 3' structure (SL del) reduced frameshifting to 27% of WT. The location of the beginning of the stem was tested by substitution of A29 with C (SLM 1). Frameshifting was reduced to 65%. Substitution of the potential partner (U58) was not attempted as the FoldRNA program predicted an alternate structure. The probing data argue against the formation of a canonical A-U base-pair at the base of the stem. However, it is possible that the A29-U58 base-pair does form, and contributes to frameshifting, but it may break in solution and therefore show up on the structure mapping as single-stranded.

The bottom part of the stem will be considered first. Reversing the two bases at the base of the potential stem had no effect on frameshifting (SLM 2). Substituting a C-G base-pair with an A-U base-pair at the three positions tested lowered frameshifting to 50 to 58% (SLM 3-5). Single substitutions, which disrupted Watson-Crick base-pairs at two positions in the bottom part of the stem, reduced frameshifting to approximately 40% (SLM 6-7).

A small decrease in frameshifting was seen when the top part of the stem was deleted, leaving
the loop intact (SLM 8), and when the size of the loop was increased by three nucleotides (SLM 9). Substituting a C-G base-pair with an A-U base-pair in the top part of the stem reduced frameshifting to 64% (SLM 10). Single substitutions which disrupted Watson-Crick base-pairs at three positions in the top part of the stem reduced frameshifting to 58 to 84% (SLM 11 to 13). In contrast, strengthening the stem by substitution of A35 with C, thereby creating a potential C-G base-pair, increased frameshifting to 120% (SLM 14). Deletion of the bulged C (C49) showed a similar effect (SLM 15). Combination of the two mutants (SLM 16) increased frameshifting to 176% of WT (corresponding to 88% frameshifting), giving a shift to non-shift ratio of approximately 9:1. Lengthening the top part of the stem by three base-pairs (SLM 17) increased frameshifting to 126%. Replacing the

| construct | RNA sequence                                      | ΔG (kcal/mol) | Frameshift (% of WT) |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| WT        | AAAAAAGAGUGUACCCGGCGCGUACCCCGCCCGCCCGCGUGU       | -14.5         | 100                  |
| SLM del   |                                                   | -             | 27                   |
| SLM 1     | C                                                  | -14.5         | 65                   |
| SLM 2     | GG                                                 | -15.4         | 97                   |
| SLM 3     | A                                                  | -13.1         | 58                   |
| SLM 4     | U                                                  | -13.9         | 53                   |
| SLM 5     | A                                                  | -13.4         | 50                   |
| SLM 6     | A                                                  | -8.8          | 37                   |
| SLM 7     | A                                                  | -10.2         | 43                   |
| SLM 8     |                                                   | -6.8          | 87                   |
| SLM 9     |                                                   | -14.8         | 92                   |
| SLM 10    |                                                   | -13.2         | 64                   |
| SLM 11    |                                                   | -11.4         | 58                   |
| SLM 12    |                                                   | -11.9         | 84                   |
| SLM 13    |                                                   | -11.9         | 75                   |
| SLM 14    |                                                   | -20.2         | 120                  |
| SLM 15    |                                                   | -17.7         | 136                  |
| SLM 16    |                                                   | -23.4         | 176                  |
| SLM 17    |                                                   | -21.4         | 126                  |
| SLM 18    |                                                   | -15.1         | 129                  |
| SLM 19    |                                                   | -14.5         | 103                  |
| SLM 20    |                                                   | -14.5         | 110                  |
| SLM 21    |                                                   | -14.5         | 109                  |
| SLM 22    |                                                   | -14.5         | 102                  |

Figure 6. Mutational analysis of the stem-loop. The relevant nucleotide sequence of the wild-type (WT) construct is shown with the stem regions boxed. Our numbering begins at the first base of the SD sequence. Regions identical to the wild-type sequences are denoted by lines, and filled bars indicate deleted regions. Nucleotide substitutions and additions are indicated. Frameshift efficiencies and ΔG values for the mutated stem-loop structures are also listed. Calculations of ΔG (37°C) values (Turner et al., 1988) are based only on the stem-loop sequences. The amount of frameshifting measured using the WT construct is defined as 100%.
loop sequence by a tetraloop (Tuerk et al., 1988; UUCG, SLM 18) increased frameshifting to 129%. In general, strengthening the stem-loop gives increased frameshifting. The potential for pairing between the SD sequence and the three Cs in the loop was tested by substitution of the three C residues (SLM 19 to 22). There was essentially no effect on frameshifting. This result argues against an interaction and is in agreement with the probing data.

Also shown in Figure 6, are the predicted free energy values (ΔG) for stem-loop folding of the various mutants (Turner et al., 1988). In Figure 7A, these values are plotted against frameshifting level. The frameshifting values were corrected for the basal level of activity without the stem-loop. An identical set of mutants were made that lacked the SD sequence in the frameshift window. The data obtained from these mutants are plotted in Figure 7B. Given the uncertainty in the theoretical calculations, a surprisingly good linear correlation exists between predicted stabilities and frameshift levels for both sets of mutants. More stable stem-loop structures produce higher levels of frameshifting. Furthermore, the slopes of the two lines are nearly identical. This strongly suggests that the SD-like interaction, involving mRNA and 16S rRNA, does not influence the way the stem-loop works to stimulate frameshifting. The two stimulators work independently. Furthermore, there is a threshold stability below which the stem-loop does not stimulate frameshifting (non-zero x intercept). As expected, this threshold is lower for the set of mutants in which the SD sequence is present (line shifted to the right in Figure 7B compared to 7A), since the SD interaction increases frameshifting (Larsen et al., 1994). The two independent stimulatory elements can act together.

How does the dnaX stem-loop compare to other stem-loops involved in prokaryotic frameshifting? In a construct with the dnaX shift cassette, replacement of the stem-loop with its IS911 (Polard et al., 1991) counterpart reduces frameshifting to 32%. A similar substitution with the IS150 (Vögele et al., 1991) counterpart showed 90% of dnaX WT level frameshifting. However, a hypothetical start codon (GUG) in the −1 frame is present in the loop of IS150 that could contribute to expression of lacZ. When that GUG codon was replaced by a GUC (valine) codon, 69% of dnaX WT frameshifting was measured (data not shown). The stem-loop in dnaX is designed for higher frameshifting efficiency than its IS911 and IS150 counterparts.

**Spacer 2: the nucleotides between the frameshift site and the stem-loop structure**

Two features of the dnaX frameshift window are similar to those responsible for recoding in several classes of viruses including retroviruses (Jacks et al., 1988) and coronaviruses (Briëry et al., 1992), a heptanucleotide slippery sequence followed by a 3′ stem-loop or by a pseudoknot. The distance between the slippery sequence and the 3′ stimulator appears to be constrained to 5 to 8 nucleotides in viruses (with two possible exceptions, Briëry, 1995). That precise spacing is critical for high-level frameshifting has been demonstrated in avian coronavirus Infectious Bronchitis Virus (IBV, Briëry et al., 1989), in the Feline Immunodeficiency Virus (FIV, Morikawa & Bishop, 1992), in Human T-cell Leukemia Virus type II (HTLV-II, Kollmus et al., 1994), and in Simian Retrovirus type 1 (SRV-1, ten Dam et al., 1994). Alteration of the relative position of the shift site in IBV by three nucleotides with respect to the pseudoknot, causes a dramatic reduction in frameshifting efficiency. A similar result was observed in FIV. Deletion or insertion of a codon reduced frameshifting to between 2 and 5% compared to about 30% frameshifting in a wild-type construct. In HTLV-II and SRV-1, the optimal spacing was found to be seven nucleotides as is present in the wild-type sequences.

In the dnaX gene, the spacing between the shift site and the 3′ stem-loop is six nucleotides. Pre-
viously a three-nucleotide insertion in spacer 2 showed a slight reduction in frameshifting (Tsuchihashi & Brown, 1992). To determine if this spacing is critical several constructs with deletions or additions of nucleotides in spacer 2 were made (Table 1 and Figure 8). The constructs containing the 5′ SD sequence will be presented first (Figure 8A). Deleting 1 nucleotide in spacer 2 reduced frameshifting to about 50%. The effect of deleting three nucleotides was tested in two different constructs. In one construct, the serine codon (AGU) was deleted and in the other the valine (GUA) codon was deleted. In both, frameshifting dropped to about 17%. This level is lower than that of the construct where the stem-loop was deleted (SL del, 27%). The effect of increasing spacer 2, by one, two, three, or four codons, was tested using two different sets of codons; both yielded similar results. Frameshifting dropped respectively to 64%, 53%, 58%, and 41% when adding one, two, three, and four codons to spacer 2 (Figure 8A).

Parallel constructs with the 5′ SD sequence deletion showed similar results (Figure 8B). Deleting one nucleotide or one codon in spacer 2 showed severe effects on the levels of frameshifting. Frameshifting dropped to 36%, 31%, 17% and 20% respectively when one, two, three, and four codons were added to the spacer. The length of spacer 2 is critical for dnaX frameshifting, altering the spacer region reduced frameshifting significantly.

Discussion

Two different structures have previously been proposed for the stem-loop involved in frameshifting in the dnaX gene of E. coli (Tsuchihashi & Kornberg, 1990; Flower & McHenry, 1990; Blinkova & Walker, 1990). Our probing data distinguish between the two, and strongly suggest that the model illustrated in Figure 1A is correct, except that the probing results argue against the formation of the A29-U58 base-pair. The data also rule out possible pairing between the SD sequence and nucleotides in the loop. The five nucleotides in the loop are all accessible to cleavage by imidazole and lead, and their Watson-Crick positions are all

| Spacer 2 length (nucleotides) | RNA sequence |
|-------------------------------|--------------|
| 6 (WT)                       | (FS) agu gaa (SL) |
| 3                            | (FS) XXX gaa (SL) |
| 5                            | (FS) agu Xaa (SL) |
| 9                            | (FS) agu gaa AUG (SL) |
| 9                            | (FS) agu gaa AAA (SL) |
| 12                           | (FS) agu gaa AUG AAA (SL) |
| 12                           | (FS) agu gaa AUG CUA (SL) |
| 15                           | (FS) agu gaa AUG CUC UAA (SL) |
| 15                           | (FS) agu gaa AUG CUC AGG (SL) |
| 18                           | (FS) agu gaa AUG CUC AGG AGC (SL) |

(FS) and (SL) denote the frameshift site (A AAA AAG) and the stem-loop, respectively. Lower case letters are WT spacer 2 sequence. Deleted nucleotides are indicated with Xs. Nucleotides shown in bold are added.
modified by DMS and CMCT. Theoretical calculations of \( \Delta G \) values (Turner et al., 1988) show that the stability of the stem-loop structure correlates with the frameshifting efficiency (Figure 6, and Figure 7). The more stable stem-loop structures cause increased frameshifting even above WT levels. The strength of the stem-loop is not the only factor influencing frameshifting. The SD sequence 10 bases 5' of the shift site is also a significant contributor to frameshifting, both in dnaX mRNA and mRNAs from other genes (Larsen et al., 1994). Our data strongly suggest that the two stimulators work independently. The SD-like mRNA:rRNA interaction does not influence the way the stem-loop works to stimulate frameshifting and vice versa. To a first approximation, the identity of any single nucleotide in the stem-loop is unimportant provided that the overall structure is maintained. A similar result was observed for the pseudoknot involved in IBV frameshifting (Brierley et al., 1991).

It is unlikely that the stem-loop binds a protein to influence the oncoming ribosome. Single base substitutions in the stem-loop did not influence frameshifting levels significantly, and deleting the top half of the dnaX stem only reduced frameshifting to 87% of WT. Presumably the RNA structure directly influences the oncoming ribosome. A similar deduction was made by ten Dam et al. (1994) for simian retrovirus –1 frameshifting; adding SRV pseudoknot RNA to reticulocyte lysate programmed with SRV mRNA caused no decrease in the frameshifting level. If a specific pseudoknot-binding protein was involved, it might have been titrated out in such an experiment.

A construct containing only the shift site and a stem-loop with uninterrupted Watson-Crick base-pairing (SLM 16 with the SD sequence deleted) gave 160% of WT levels, or 80% frameshifting (see Figure 7B). Notably, this frameshift efficiency is achieved without a second stem and formation of a pseudoknot; in contrast efficient eukaryotic –1 frameshifting events utilize pseudoknots. The most efficient eukaryotic frameshifts known are in IBV and MMTV gag-pro where the efficiencies are 23 to 30% (Brierley et al., 1987; Moore et al., 1987; Jacks et al., 1987). In addition to MMTV, other retroviruses that require two shifts to make the Gag-Pro-Pol precursor have similar high efficiency for the gag-pro shift (Nam et al., 1993). A high efficiency shift (26 to 29%) has also been reported for a plant virus, cocksfoot mottle sobemovirus, but the nature of the stimulatory element(s) has not yet been experimentally investigated (Makinen et al., 1995). In distinction to eukaryotes, the only reported case of a pseudoknot stimulator in prokaryotic frameshifting is in decoding the insertion sequence IS3 (Sekine et al., 1994). Further work is needed to compare the relative contributions of stem-loops and pseudoknots in prokaryotic frameshifting.

The mutational analysis presented shows that the bottom half of the dnaX stem is sufficient to provide a strong stimulatory effect on frameshifting. A stem-loop, of five base-pairs, made by deleting the top part of the WT stem gave 17% frameshifting (SLM 8 with the SD sequence deleted). This is likely due to the fact that the bottom half of the stem is more stable than the top, as substantiated by the probing data. In another report, a construct with a five-base-pair stem and six-nucleotide loop from beet western yellow virus, situated six nucleotides 3' of an A AAA AAG shift site, gave only 4% frameshifting in E. coli (Garcia et al., 1993). However, when we substituted the beet western yellow virus stem-loop for its dnaX counterpart in a construct lacking the SD sequence, we detected 14% frameshifting, consistent with the 17% value obtained from SLM 8 with the SD sequence deleted.

In addition to the strength of the stem-loop structure, its spacing from the shift site is important. Several groups have moved a 3' stimulator for different cases of programmed frameshifting, one codon in either direction, and a substantial decrease in frameshifting was observed. Moving the dnaX stem-loop structure upstream (decreasing spacer 2) has a dramatic effect on frameshifting. Moving the structure downstream also reduced –1 frameshifting, but not as severely as in the other studies.

A stabilized stem-loop without the SD sequence can give WT levels of frameshifting (SLM 14 with the SD sequence deleted). Why is an SD sequence used in addition to a stem-loop? We don't know. SD sequences are utilized in other cases of –1 frameshifting, but at least with IS911 decoding there is a dual initiation role (M. F. Prêre, J. F. Atkins & O. Fayet unpublished results). An SD sequence is also involved in stimulating the obligatory +1 frameshifting required for expression of eubacterial RF2. In this case, it is clear why the SD sequence is present; the 3' stimulator for the +1 frameshift is inadequate on its own to promote frameshifting at the required level (Weiss et al., 1987). In addition to the dilemma of the reason for the involvement of the SD sequence, another unknown is why the top part of the stem is present. Presumably a small addition to the bottom half of the stem in the absence of the top half would give WT level frameshifting. It could be that the complex nature of the shift signals is an evolutionary relic which reflect an unknown origin. Perhaps they once served some other function and got trapped by the requirement for optimum efficiency. One could imagine that retention of the top part of the stem-loop may reflect selection at the amino acid level, but this is difficult to envisage for the SD sequence. It is not obvious that regulation is the reason for the complexity. The dnaX frameshifting ratio appears to be set; there is no evidence for autoregulation as in RF2 frameshifting (Craigén & Caskey, 1986; Kawakami & Nakamura, 1990). Adding purified \( \tau \) and \( \gamma \) subunits to an in vitro translation system had no effect on frameshifting (Tsuchihashi, 1991). However, there could be some reason for the complexity that is not yet apparent.
Evolution experiments starting with engineered variants of the chromosomal gene may address this point. The chromosomal dnaX gene could be replaced by a derivative in which frameshifting is required for expression of the essential $\gamma$ (Blinkova et al., 1993) but not for $\gamma$. Having a simple weak frameshifting stimulator could create conditions for selection of potentially informative stronger frameshifting signals or perhaps even mutants in other DNA polymerase III components.

During purification of DNA polymerase III prototolitic cleavage of $\tau$ by the outer membrane protein T protease, OmpT, to a $\gamma$-like derivative is detectable (Pritchard et al., 1996). Why is $\gamma$ produced by frameshifting rather than by some prototolitic mechanism? Maybe the ability of the frameshifting mechanism to generate a 1:1 ratio of the two subunits is the reason for its utilization.

The overall DNA sequence identity of the dnaX genes in *E. coli* and *Salmonella typhimurium* (Blinkova et al., 1996) is 83%, close to the current estimate, 84.4%, of divergence of average genes between these species (Sharp, 1991). The 58 nucleotides frameshift cassettes are identical, apart from two base substitutions in spacer 1 and two base substitutions in the loop. This high degree of conservation in important parts of the cassettes means that the shift cassette will have to be analyzed, in more distant bacteria, to detect variability. Despite considerable horizontal transfer between bacterial "species", it has been estimated that the divergence of *E. coli* and *Salmonella typhimurium* happened 100 million years ago (Doolittle et al., 1996; Lawrence & Ochman, 1997).

In RF2 frameshifting, an SD-like interaction between $\tau$RNA and mRNA is crucial. Strengthening the pairing potential above that found in WT reduces the frameshift (Weiss et al., 1987). Stabilizing the IS150 stem-loop did not increase the rate of frameshifting above the WT level (Vögele et al., 1991). In contrast, strengthening the dnaX stem-loop beyond the WT level increased frameshifting. The shift: non-shift product ratios can be set at a wide range of levels. However, in the WT dnaX mRNA the stimulators are tuned to give a 1:1 ratio of $\tau$ and $\gamma$. It is remarkable that levels as high as 88% frameshifting can be achieved (SLM 16). It remains to be seen if 100% can be attained.

## Materials and Methods

### Enzymes and chemicals

Nucleases S$_T$, T$_b$, V$_1$, U$_9$, nucleotides (deoxyribonucleotides and dideoxynucleotides), and calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase were from Pharmacia (Piscataway, New Jersey). Phage T4 polynucleotide kinase was obtained from New England BioLabs (Beverly, MA). Nuclease-free water and T7 RNA polymerase were from Promega (Madison, Wisconsin). Total yeast tRNA, used as carrier tRNA. Digestions with ribonucleases $V_1$ and $T_1$ were done in a buffer containing 50 mM sodium cacodylate (pH 7.5), and 20 mM magnesium acetate. The same buffer was used for probing (data not shown). The following amounts of enzyme were added (units were defined by Pharmacia): 5 units of nuclease $S_T$, 0.06 units of RNase $T_1$, and 0.05 units of nuclease $V_1$. Incubation time was seven minutes. Reactions were stopped by phenol extraction followed by ethanol precipitation of the RNA. Alkaline hydroxide digestion of the RNA before RNA-2 was dephosphorylated with alkaline phosphatase (Silberklang et al., 1977). RNA-2 and the oligonucleotide used for primer extension were labeled with [\(\gamma-32P]\]ATP using phage T4 polynucleotide kinase. After labeling, both the RNA and the oligonucleotide were gel purified, eluted, and ethanol precipitated. RNA-2 was used for 5' end-labeling, and RNA-1 was used for the primer extension experiments, since it was not possible to do primer extension on RNA-2.

Before 5' labeling, RNA-2 was dephosphorylated with alkaline phosphatase (Silberklang et al., 1977). RNA-2 and the oligonucleotide used for primer extension were labeled with [\(\gamma-32P]\]ATP using phage T4 polynucleotide kinase. After labeling, both the RNA and the oligonucleotide were gel purified, eluted, and ethanol precipitated. RNA-2 was used for 5' end-labeling, and RNA-1 was used for the primer extension experiments, since it was not possible to do primer extension on RNA-2.

### Structural probing

Two different RNAs were used for the probing experiments. Both contain dnaX sequences cloned downstream from a phage T7 RNA polymerase promoter. A 2000 nucleotide long RNA (RNA-1) was prepared by *in vitro* T7 transcription of the wild-type (WT) plasmid previously used for *in vivo* $\beta$-galactosidase measurements (See Figure 2 in Larsen et al., 1994). This plasmid was linearized by EcoRV prior to transcription. A plasmid used for expressing a shorter RNA (RNA-2) was constructed using polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and the WT plasmid was used as template. From its 5' end, one primer (62 nucleotides total) had a tail consisting of an *AattII* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *AattII* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence. The other primer had a tail consisting of an *Eagl* site, the T7 phage RNA polymerase promoter, and 28 nucleotides of the WT sequence.
2 were assessed by 12% denaturing PAGE, and the cut positions were identified by comparison with parallel ladders created by alkaline hydrolysis, RNase T1, and RNase U2 digestion. The buffers used for generating the adenine and guanine ladder were those recommended by the manufacturer.

Mapping of Watson-Crick positions with DMS and CMCT were done on RNA-1 as described (Pavletie & Gilbert, 1980; Felden et al., 1994). The reaction mixtures contained the appropriate buffer (in a total volume of 200 μl), supplemented with 4 μg of RNA, and 1 μl of a 50% (v/v) DMS diluted in 100% ethanol or 50 μl of 42 mg/ml CMCT in H2O. DMS modification was done for six minutes under both native (with 20 mM magnesium acetate) and semi-denaturing (without magnesium and supplemented with 1 mM EDTA) conditions. CMCT modification was done for 15 and 30 minutes under native conditions and five and ten minutes under semi-denaturing conditions. The reactions were stopped and modification sites were assigned as described previously (Romby et al., 1987). Modification sites were detected by analyzing DNA sequencing patterns generated by primer extension with reverse transcriptase of the modified RNAs.

The amount of label in each sample was determined before loading, and the same number of counts were loaded in each lane. The band intensities were assessed manually.

In vivo β-galactosidase activity measurements

Site-directed mutagenesis of the dnaX frameshift window was performed by cloning two complementary synthetic oligonucleotides into a lacZ-containing plasmid RW201 (a gift from R. Weiss). As described previously, the wild-type construct (WT) contains 63 bp of the RW201 (a gift from R. Weiss). As described previously

<ref>Walker for informing us of the Salmonella typhimurium dnaX sequence prior to its deposition in the database. R. F. G. is an investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. This work was also supported by a grant (to J. F. A.) from NIH (RO1-GM48152-05).</ref>
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