IRSp53 Mediates Podosome Formation via VASP in NIH-Src Cells
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Abstract

Podosomes are cellular “feet,” characterized by F-actin-rich membrane protrusions, which drive cell migration and invasion into the extracellular matrix. Small GTPases that regulate the actin cytoskeleton, such as Cdc42 and Rac are central regulators of podosome formation. The adaptor protein IRSp53 contains an I-BAR domain that deforms membranes into protrusions and binds to Rac, a CRIB motif that interacts with Cdc42, an SH3 domain that binds to many actin cytoskeletal regulators with proline-rich peptides including VASP, and the C-terminal variable region by splicing. However, the role of IRSp53 and VASP in podosome formation had been unclear. Here we found that the knockdown of IRSp53 by RNAi attenuates podosome formation and migration in Src-transformed NIH3T3 (NIH-Src) cells. Importantly, the differences in the IRSp53 C-terminal splicing isoforms did not affect podosome formation. Overexpression of IRSp53 deletion mutants suggested the importance of linking small GTPases to SH3 binding partners. Interestingly, VASP physically interacted with IRSp53 in NIH-Src cells and was essential for podosome formation. These data highlight the role of IRSp53 as a linker of small GTPases to VASP for podosome formation.

Introduction

Reorganization of actin filaments and membranes accompanies many cellular events, such as cell migration, where the leading edge extension and the rearward contraction coordinately occur on the opposite sides of the cell from each other. The leading edge is characterized by the formation of lamellipodia and filopodia, downstream of the functions of the small GTPases Rac and Cdc42, respectively [1]. Lamellipodia and filopodia are well-studied structures, because they can be detected within the cells on a two-dimensional plane such as a culture dish. Cell migration in the three-dimensional extracellular matrix (ECM) is an essential process for tumor cell invasion. Studies with cultured cells suggested that the podosome is the machinery for cell migration in the ECM. Podosomes contain molecules for actin polymerization as well as focal adhesions, and thus are considered to facilitate migration in the ECM [2–4]. The existence of podosomes in tissues has been reported recently [5].

Podosomes were first characterized in cells transformed with the Rous Sarcoma virus [6,7], and the constitutive activation of the Src tyrosine kinase leads to podosome formation [8]. In addition to Src kinase, members of the Rho family of small GTPases, including Cdc42 and Rac, are reportedly essential for podosome formation [9–11]. The podosome is a small cylindrical structure rich in actin filaments, typically with a diameter of ~1 μm or less, and it develops into larger ring-like rosettes, which are thought to be assemblies of small podosomes. Studies of osteoclasts revealed a bundled actin core, surrounded by a branched actin array composed of the Arp2/3 complex and N-WASP, in each podosome [12–14].

IRSp53 consists of the I-BAR (inverse BAR) domain, the CRIB motif, the SH3 domain, and the C-terminal variable region by splicing [15]. The I-BAR domain is one of the subfamily domains in the BAR (Bin-Amphiphysin-Rvs) domain superfamily [16]. The BAR domain superfamily proteins deform and sense the membrane that fits each BAR domain structure, and thus have been hypothesized as “sensors” that assemble many binding partners, depending on the membrane curvature [17–20]. The BAR domains, including the I-BAR domain, typically fold into helix bundles and form dimer units for membrane binding. The helix bundle is one of the features of small GTPase binding, and some BAR domains reportedly bind to small GTPases directly. Indeed, the I-BAR domain of IRSp53 was initially named the Rac-binding domain (RBD), because it binds to activated Rac [21]. The CRIB motif also binds to small GTPases, and that in IRSp53 specifically binds to Cdc42 [22,23]. In addition, the SH3 domain of IRSp53 binds to several actin regulators, including Epophilin, N-WASP, WAVE2, MENA and VASP [15,24,25]. IRSp53 binding to Epophilin facilitates actin filament binding [26,27]. Epophilin is also important for Rac activation, and was suggested to regulate podosome formation [28,29]. IRSp53 reportedly binds to N-WASP for filopodium formation [25], and the role of N-WASP in podosome formation has been well established [14]. In contrast,
the role of another Arp2/3 activator that binds to IRSp53, WAVE2, has been well established in lamellipodium formation, but it only plays a marginal role in podosome formation [8,30]. MENA and VASP belong to the Ena/VASP family proteins, which promote actin filament elongation [31]. In contrast to N-WASP and WAVE2, the elongation mediated by Ena/VASP is not directly related to the Arp2/3 complex. Ena/VASP enhances the assembly of actin monomers at the filament ends. VASP had been shown to cooperate with IRSp53 in filopodia formation [22,23,32]. However, the roles of VASP and other members of the Ena/VASP family in podosome formation have not been clarified.

The C-terminus of IRSp53 is spliced to create several isoforms. The shortest form (S) contains the PDZ-domain binding motif, where PDZ-domain containing proteins, such as Lin-7, interact for filopodium formation [33]. The other splicing isoforms (M, L and T) lack the PDZ binding sequence [15]. The splicing variants of IRSp53, the M and L isoforms, have WH2-like motifs at their C-termini, where actin monomer binding was confirmed [34]. The WH2-like motif is also found in the paralogue of IRSp53, IRTKS [35]. The role of T isoform specific amino-acid residues remains unclear.

In this study, we analyzed the function of IRSp53 in podosome formation, and found that it connects small GTPases to VASP in the podosome formation by NIH-Src cells.

**Results**

Positive roles of IRSp53 in podosome formation and invasiveness in Src-transformed NIH3T3 cells

NIH3T3 cells form podosomes when they are transformed with a constitutively active form of Src tyrosine kinase [8]. We used this transformed cell line, NIH-Src cells, as a model for podosome formation. In NIH-Src cells, small dot-like podosomes develop into large “rosette”-like podosomes. To examine the role of IRSp53 in podosome formation, we transfected the cells with the siRNA vector or siRNA oligonucleotides for IRSp53. The amount...
Figure 2. IRSp53 splicing does not affect podosome formation. (A) Restoration of podosome formation by the expression of each IRSp53 splicing isoform. NIH-Src cells were transfected sequentially with the IRSp53 siRNA vector and the expression vectors, and were stained with rhodamine-phalloidin (red) to visualize actin filaments and with anti-IRSp53 (blue). Scale bar, 20 μm. (B) Percentage of cells with podosomes in (A). Data are means ± SD from three independent experiments. Approximately 100 cells were counted in each determination. **P < 0.01 (Student’s t test). The C-terminal amino acid residues of the IRSp53 splicing variants are also indicated.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060528.g002
of IRSp53 was dramatically reduced, as confirmed by cell staining (Fig. 1A, arrowheads) and a western blot analysis (Fig. 1B), and the podosomes disappeared in the cells with reduced IRSp53 expression (Fig. 1A and C). We then examined the migration of the cells using a transwell chamber, with serum as the chemoattractant. The migration of the cells with reduced IRSp53 expression was significantly impaired (Fig. 1D). Subsequently, because the podosome is a structure that facilitates invasion into the ECM, we examined the invasion activity using a Matrigel invasion chamber. Again, the IRSp53 siRNA treatment dramatically reduced the invasion of the cells through the matrigel (Fig. 1E).

Redundancy between the IRSp53 splicing variants in podosome formation

We then examined the specific effect of the IRSp53 siRNA on podosome formation, by restoring IRSp53 expression. The cells were sequentially transfected with the siRNA vector and the expression plasmid for each splicing isoform of human IRSp53 tagged with GFP (Fig. 2). The rescue cDNAs for human IRSp53 were constructed by substituting the nucleotides within the siRNA target, without changing the coding amino acids (see the materials and methods section for details). Each of the splicing isoforms, including (S) with the PDZ binding sequence, the less-characterized isoform (T), and that with the WH2-like motif (L), was able to restore podosome formation, indicating that various C-terminal binding proteins were not involved in podosome formation (Fig. 2).

Overexpression of the I-BAR domain of IRSp53 abrogates podosome formation

We then used deletion mutants to test which domain of IRSp53 is important for podosome formation (Fig. 3). The overexpression of the wild-type (WT), the C-terminal SH3 domain (CT) of IRSp53, or the fragment without the I-BAR domain had no effects on podosome formation (Fig. 3). On the other hand, the expression of the constructs lacking the C-terminal SH3 domain (ACT) or with only the I-BAR domain fragment (I-BAR) suppressed podosome formation (Fig. 3).

Because the I-BAR domain is known to bind to Rac, actin filaments, and membranes [15], we prepared the mutant I-BAR BPM (basic patch mutant), which is defective in both actin filament and membrane binding, and the I-BAR R128E/K130E mutant, which is selectively deficient in membrane binding [16]. When expressed in NIH-Src cells, the mutants suppressed podosome formation in a similar manner as the wild-type I-BAR (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, IRSp53 with the BPM mutant was able to restore podosome formation in IRSp53 siRNA-transfected cells to the wild-type level (Fig. 4A and B). We then examined the interaction between the BPM and Rac in cells by immunoprecipitation, and found that the I-BAR BPM mutant still interacts with the active form of Rac (Fig. 4C). Therefore, the I-BAR domain was thought to titrate the Rac activity from the podosomes, thus impairing their formation. Actually, the expression of a dominant negative form of Rac1 (Rac1 T17N) effectively suppressed podosome formation, as reported previously [9–11] (Fig. 3B). Taken together, these results suggested that IRSp53 connects Rac signaling to downstream molecules for the actin filament reorganization in podosomes.

The essential role of VASP in podosome formation

We then tried to identify the molecules downstream of IRSp53 that participate in podosome formation. Since the role of VASP in podosome formation has not been characterized so far, we focused...
immunoprecipitates were then subjected to immunoblot analyses with the indicated antibodies.

In accordance with the overexpression analysis, podosome formation (Fig. 5E). Therefore, the entire IRSp53 molecule is important for its integrated function in podosome formation, presumably downstream of both Cdc42 and Rac (Fig. 5E).

The strong inhibition of podosome formation by the overexpression of the I-BAR domain highlighted its role in podosome formation. IRSp53 was first proposed to function in actin filament bundling, through its I-BAR domain [36]. However, a recent study demonstrated that IRSp53 I-BAR does not bundle actin filaments under physiological conditions [37]. In this study, the BPM mutant of I-BAR, which is defective in actin filament bundling, also suppressed podosome formation, suggesting the relatively lower contribution of actin filament binding to the inhibition of podosomes. The I-BAR domain of IRSp53 was subsequently shown to be a subfamily of BAR domain superfamily proteins, in which the I-BAR domain binds to membranes and deforms them into the shape corresponding to the cellular protrusions [16]. In this study, we showed that the overexpression of both the I-BAR domain and its BPM mutant, which is also defective in membrane binding, suppressed podosome formation. In accordance with the overexpression analysis, podosome formation in NIH-Src cells with reduced IRSp53 by siRNA was rescued by the expression of the IRSp53 BPM mutant (Fig. 4). This phenomenon suggested that other binding partners than membranes and actin filaments would be titrated out, resulting in suppressed podosome formation.

The I-BAR domain was first characterized as a Rac-binding domain [21]. Therefore, the titration of Rac could be one of the mechanisms for the suppression of podosome formation by the overexpression of the I-BAR domain, because the BPM retained Rac-binding ability in cells (Fig. 4C). However, the I-BAR domain has several other binding partners with the NPY motif [38]. The NPY motif is present in the Tir protein, which is required for pedestal formation in enterohemorrhagic E. coli (EHEC) infection. Importantly, the NPY sequence is found in a wide range of proteins, such as PI-3 kinase, LIM kinase, Intersectin, and ROCK, suggesting the possibility that the titration of such proteins by I-BAR overexpression can suppress podosome formation [38].

When we consider actin filament organization and podosomes, it would be interesting if IRSp53 functioned with VASP, because podosomes consist of not only branched actin filaments generated by the Arp2/3 complex and N-WASP, but also bundled filaments [13]. VASP does not participate in branched filament formation, but it antagonizes capping protein to promote the straight filaments. Indeed, among the SH3 binding partners of IRSp53, N-WASP directly binds to Cdc42. Therefore, Cdc42 signaling might induce the branched actin filaments through N-WASP and the Arp2/3 complex independently or dependently on IRSp53, and the straight bundled filaments with IRSp53 and VASP dependently on IRSp53.

VASP and MENA play well-established roles in filopodia and lamellipodia formation. However, this is the first study to establish their roles in podosome formation. VASP and MENA are reportedly associated with invasiveness [39–43], suggesting that IRSp53 signaling to VASP or possibly to the MENA pathway would be important for cancer cell metastasis in vivo.

Materials and Methods

Plasmid construction, cell culture and transfection

Mouse NIH cells transformed with Src (NIH-Src cells) were cultured as described previously [8]. The IRSp53 constructs were described previously [16,21]. For the ΔI-BAR construct, the sequence lacking the N-terminal 250 amino acid residues was cloned with the human IRSp53 cDNA as the template. Stealth siRNA oligonucleotides for mouse IRSp53 were purchased from Invitrogen, and three independent sequences were transfected simultaneously for one gene to reduce the non-specific reduction of mRNA (Figures 1B-E, 4 and 5). For Figures 1A and 2, siRNA was produced from the vector [16], and the rescue cDNAs for human IRSp53 were constructed by substituting the nucleotides (underlined) within the siRNA target to 5′-GGAGCTACAGTA-CATAGAC-3′, without changing the coding amino acids.

Transfection was performed with the Lipofectamine LTX and PLUS reagents (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Two days after transfection, the cells were analyzed.

Antibodies

The anti-IRSp53 antibody was affinity purified from rabbit serum [16]. Anti-γ-Tubulin (SIGMA, mouse monoclonal) and anti-VASP (Cell Signaling, rabbit monoclonal) were purchased.
Migration/Invasion assay

The NIH-src cells (2.0 × 10⁴ cells) were plated on a Transwell or BioCoat Matrigel Invasion Chamber (BD Biosciences) for 18 h. siRNA transfection was performed 48 h before plating on the chamber. The chambers were subsequently fixed in 3.7% formaldehyde in PBS for over 30 min. The chambers were then

---

**Figure 5. Essential role of VASP in podosome formation.** (A) Interaction between IRSp53 and VASP in NIH-Src cells. Lysates of NIH-Src cells were subjected to immunoprecipitation with an antibody to VASP or control immunoglobulin G (IgG). The resulting precipitates, as well as the original cell lysates (Input), were then subjected to immunoblot analyses with the indicated antibodies. The arrowhead shows the band for IRSp53. (B) NIH-Src cells were transfected with control (Ctr) or VASP siRNA and then cultured for 72 h. The cells were then subjected to immunoblot analyses with the indicated antibodies. (C) NIH-Src cells were transfected with control or VASP siRNA, and actin filaments were visualized with phalloidin. Scale bar, 20 μm. (D) Data are means ± SD from three independent experiments. More than 100 cells were counted in each determination. *P < 0.05 (Student’s t test). (E) Schematic diagram of the signaling to the actin cytoskeleton through IRSp53 in the podosome. The domain structures of the constructs used in this study are indicated.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060528.g005
washed in PBS, and the invaded cells were stained with crystal violet. The non-invading cells were manually removed with cotton swabs. After washing the cells with PBS more than three times, the numbers of cells from three different sample points on the lower surface of the chamber were counted.

**Immunofluorescence**

For the immunofluorescence analysis, cells cultured on coverslips were fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde or 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min, and then incubated with primary antibodies for at least 60 min at room temperature. They were then washed with PBS, incubated with Alexa Fluor 488 or 633–conjugated secondary antibodies (Molecular Probes) for 30 min. Cells were also stained with rhodamine–phalloidin (Invitrogen) to detect actin filaments. The cells were finally washed with PBS, mounted on glass slides, and examined with a fluorescence microscope (Olympus FV1000 or Zeiss LSM 710).

**Immunoprecipitation**

NIH-Src cells were washed with ice-cold PBS and lysed with either radiolabeled immunoprecipitation assay buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS] or cell lysis buffer [25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 2% Triton X-100, 5 mM NaF, 10% glycerol] supplemented with protease inhibitors and phosphatase inhibitors (Sigma). The cell lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation with agarose bead–conjugated M2 antibodies to FLAG (Sigma) or anti-VASP antibody conjugated to protein A–agarose beads (Pierce), and the bead-bound proteins were analyzed by immunoblotting.

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using Microsoft Excel. Significance was assessed by the Student’s t test, using data from at least three independent experiments. All images are representative of at least three independent experiments.
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