Snake Toxins Labeled by Green Fluorescent Protein or Its Synthetic Chromophore are New Probes for Nicotinic acetylcholine Receptors
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Fluorescence can be exploited to monitor intermolecular interactions in real time and at a resolution up to a single molecule. It is a method of choice to study ligand-receptor interactions. However, at least one of the interacting molecules should possess good fluorescence characteristics, which can be achieved by the introduction of a fluorescent label. Gene constructs with green fluorescent protein (GFP) are widely used to follow the expression of the respective fusion proteins and monitor their function. Recently, a small synthetic analogue of GFP chromophore (p-HOBDI-BF2) was successfully used for tagging DNA molecules, so we decided to test its applicability as a potential fluorescent label for proteins and peptides. This was done on α-cobratoxin (α-CbTx), a three-finger protein used as a molecular marker of muscle-type, neuronal α7 and α9/α10 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs), as well as on azemiopsin, a linear peptide neurotoxin selectively inhibiting muscle-type nAChRs. An activated N-hydroxysuccinimide ester of p-HOBDI-BF2 was prepared and utilized for toxin labeling. For comparison we used a recombinant α-CbTx fused with a full-length GFP prepared by expression of a chimeric gene. The structure of modified toxins was confirmed by mass spectrometry and their activity was characterized by competition with iodinated α-bungarotoxin in radioligand assay with respective receptor preparations, as well as by thermophoresis. With the tested protein and peptide neurotoxins, introduction of the synthetic GFP chromophore induced considerably lower decrease in their affinity for the receptors as compared with full-length GFP attachment. The obtained fluorescent derivatives were used for nAChR visualization in tissue slices and cell cultures.
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INTRODUCTION

Three-finger toxins (TFTs) are common widespread non-enzymatic components of elapid snake venoms. TFTs comprise ~60–80 amino acid residues folded into three β-hairpin loops extending from a hydrophobic core (Kini and Doley, 2016; Kini and Koh, 2020). The name “three-finger toxins” originates from these three loops resembling three fingers of the human hand. Usually, these toxins are stabilized by four or five disulfide bridges, four of which are conserved (Kessler et al., 2017). Among these polypeptides there are well-known ligands of several ion channels including α-neurotoxins (nicotinic acetylcholine receptors, nAChRs) (Barber et al., 2013), Tx7335 (voltage-gated potassium channels) (Rivera-Torres et al., 2016), callitoxin (sodium channels) (Yang et al., 2016), calciseptine (calcium channels) (de Weille et al., 1991), mambalgins (acid-sensing ion channels) (Diochot et al., 2012), and microurtoxins (receptors of γ-aminobutyric acid) (Rosso et al., 2015). TFTs represent one of the best studied natural combinatorial libraries with immense potential for drug discovery.

The history of TFTs began with the discovery of α-bungarotoxin (α-BgTx) in the venom of the many-banded krait Bungarus multicinctus about 60 years ago (Chang and Lee, 1963). Dozens of TFTs, such as α-cobratoxin (α-CbTx) from the monocled cobra Naja kaouthia (Karlsson et al., 1972), neurotoxin NTII from the Caspian cobra Naja oxiana, and LsIII from the black-banded sea krait Laticauda semifasciata, were further purified and characterized (Utkin, 2019; Tsetlin et al., 2021). Those toxins served as high-affinity and selective molecular probes per se, and their labeled derivatives are traditionally utilized as robust molecular reporter tools in channel research (Anderson and Cohen, 1974; Stiles, 1993; Kuzmenkov and Vassilevski, 2018; Kudryavtsev et al., 2020). Pioneer works, where tritiated and iodinated α-BgTx was used, introduced the methodology of nAChR purification, identification of binding sites, and determination of the receptors distribution in the brain (O’Brien et al., 1972; Silver and Billiar, 1976; Lukasiewicz and Bennett, 1978). TFTs with isotopic moieties are still widely used in radioligand binding assays for the detection of novel compounds and establishing their kinetic properties (Martin et al., 2007; Anderson, 2008). An alternative approach to develop toxin-based molecular markers is the utilization of fluorescent dyes. Fluorescent derivatives of α-BgTx were used for visualizing the distribution of nAChR in vertebrate skeletal muscle fibers, expression profiling and description of the clustering process of diffusely distributed receptors (Ravdin and Axelrod, 1977; Stya and Axelrod, 1983; Anderson, 2008; Shelukhina et al., 2009). Simultaneously, fluorescently-modified NTII and α-CbTx were also successfully produced and applied for binding studies and mapping of nAChR (Tsetlin et al., 1979, 1982; Kang and Maelicke, 1980).

Here, we extend the techniques of toxin labeling by the design and production of novel fluorescent probes for nAChR imaging. For these purposes we obtained three derivatives of well-studied toxins. The first marker is the recombinant protein eGFP–α-CbTx, which is a fusion of α-CbTx and the enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP). α-CbTx binds with high affinity and practically irreversibly to nAChRs; therefore, even after some decrease in affinity as a result of modification this toxin retains the capacity to bind to its receptor. Earlier, it was shown that attachment of a fluorescein label at Lys23 in the central loop of α-CbTx resulted in a fluorescently labeled derivative retaining nanomolar affinity to Torpedo nAChR (Johnson and Taylor, 1982). For quite a long time we have been using α-CbTx and its derivatives for the study of different receptors (Utkin et al., 1998; Kudryavtsev et al., 2015) and gained extensive experience in work with just this toxin. Moreover, we possess sufficient quantities of native α-CbTx for comparison. All of this contributed to our decision to choose α-CbTx for preparation of chimera with eGFP. We have already successfully utilized conjugation with eGFP to produce and characterize selective probes to voltage-gated potassium channels (Kuzmenkov et al., 2016a). Such method of labeling is aimed at obtaining mono-tagged derivatives and claims to dramatically decrease the costs of the final products (Kuzmenkov and Vassilevski, 2018). The second fluorescent probe is presented by the same neurotoxin covalently linked to eGFP chromophore-mimicking moiety (p-HOBDI-BF2) (Baranov et al., 2012). This tag was previously applied only for labeling DNA molecules (Stakheev et al., 2018) and used in the preparation of an inhibitor for irreversible interactions with the active-site cysteine of human cathepsins (Fritzler et al., 2013). Moreover, to design specific muscle-type nAChR reporter we utilized p-HOBDI-BF2 moiety for the preparation of a mono-labeled fluorescent derivative of azemiopsin (Aze), a linear neurotoxic peptide earlier isolated from the Azemiops fœae viper venom (Utkin et al., 2012).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Materials

α-CbTx was purified from N. kaouthia venom as described (Osipov et al., 2008). Aze was synthesized as in (Shelukhina et al., 2018). Radiolabeled125I-α-BgTx with specific radioactivity of 500 Ci/mmol was prepared as in (Kryukova et al., 2018). Alexa Fluor 555 and 647 conjugates of α-BgTx (AF555-α-BgTx and AF647-α-BgTx) were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Chymotrypsin was from Sigma and Lys-C protease was from BDH Chemicals (Sweden). Recombinant human enteropeptidase light chain was a gift from Dr. Marine Gasparian (Gasparian et al., 2003). Acetonitrile was from PanReac-Applichem (ITW Reagents, Spain). Commercially available reagents were used for activated p-HOBDI-BF2 synthesis without additional purification. All other reagents were of analytical or higher grade.

To construct an expression vector bearing the eGFP–α-CbTx gene, we used pET-28a (Novagen). The gene of α-CbTx including a stop codon was synthesized by Evrogen (Russia).

Murine neuroblastoma Neuro-2a cells were obtained from ATCC-LGC (France) and maintained as described previously (Shelukhina et al., 2017). THP-1 (ATCC TIB-202), Raji (ATCC CCL-86), and RPMI 1788 (ATCC CCL-156) cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1× Glutamax and 1× penicillin-streptomycin solution (all from Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 37°C with 5% CO2.
Construction of a Vector Harboring the eGFP–α-CbTx Gene

At the first step the pET-28a-eGFP vector was obtained by cloning the eGFP gene alone, which was amplified with eGFP-f and eGFP-r primers from the plasmid pUC-eGFP, as we described previously (Kuzmenkov et al., 2016a) (Table 1). Ndel and BamHI sites were used for cloning. On the second step, a sequence encoding a short linker was synthesized from primers (Link-f and Link-r) and added at BamHI and EcoRI restriction sites. Finally, the gene of α-CbTx with a stop codon was amplified with CbTx-f and CbTx-r oligonucleotides and then subcloned in pET-28a-eGFP using EcoRI and Sall restriction sites. Additionally, α-CbTx gene was produced with modified human enteropeptidase site (DDDDR) at the N-terminus by changing CbTx-f to CbTx-f-EK primer. All oligonucleotides from which the full genes encoding eGFP–α-CbTx and eGFP–EK-α-CbTx were generated by PCR amplification are listed in Table 1. Correct cloning of the genes was confirmed by sequencing.

Production and Purification of eGFP–α-CbTx and eGFP–EK-α-CbTx

Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3) cells transformed with pET-28a-eGFP–α-CbTx and pET-28a-eGFP–EK-α-CbTx were cultured at 37°C in LB medium in the presence of 50 ng/ml kanamycin to the mid-log phase. Expression of the fluorescent chimera gene was induced by 0.5 mM IPTG and the culture was further incubated at 25°C for 20 h. Cells were harvested by centrifugation, disrupted by sonication, and the chimeric proteins were purified from the soluble fraction by affinity chromatography on a TALON Superflow resin (Clontech) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Further purification was performed by size-exclusion chromatography on a TSK 2000SW column (7.5 × 600 mm, 12.5 nm pore size, 10 μm particle size; Toyoda Soda Manufacturing) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.4, at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. Expression and purification of the final eGFP–α-CbTx and eGFP–EK-α-CbTx preparations was monitored by SDS-PAGE. Concentration of the final eGFP–α-CbTx and eGFP–EK-α-CbTx preparations was measured by absorption spectroscopy using ε (489 nm) = 55,000 M⁻¹cm⁻¹.

eGFP–EK-α-CbTx Digestion by Human Enteropeptidase Light Chain

eGFP–EK-α-CbTx chimera produced in bacteria was subjected to treatment by enteropeptidase. The recombinant protein was dissolved in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) to a concentration of 1 mg/ml. Protein cleavage with human enteropeptidase light chain (1 U of enzyme per 1 mg of substrate) was performed overnight (16 h) at 37°C. The mixture was separated by reversed-phase HPLC on a Jupiter C5 column (4.6 × 250 mm; Phenomenex) in a linear gradient of acetonitrile concentration (0–60% in 60 min) in the presence of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid, at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. Purified recombinant α-CbTx was assessed by MALDI mass-spectrometry.

Mass Spectrometry

Ultraflex TOF-TOF (Bruker Daltonik, Germany) spectrometer was used for MALDI mass spectrometry as described previously (Kuzmenkov et al., 2016b). 2,5-Dihydroxybenzoic acid (Sigma-Aldrich) was used as a matrix. Measurements were performed in the linear mode. Mass spectra were analyzed with the Data Analysis 4.3 and Data Analysis Viewer 4.3 software (Bruker).

High-resolution mass spectra of activated p-HOBDI-BF₂ were recorded on a TripleTOF 5600+ System (SCIEX) using electrospray ionization (ESI). The measurements were done in a positive ion mode (interface capillary voltage of 5500 V); mass range from m/z 50 to m/z 3,000; external or internal calibration was done with the ESI Tuning Mix (Agilent). A syringe injection was used for solutions in acetonitrile, methanol, or water (flow rate of 20 μl/min). Nitrogen was applied as a dry gas; interface temperature was set at 180°C.

ESI mass spectra of Aze fragments were recorded on an LTQ Orbitrap XL instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with HESI-II ion source. The acquisition was performed using full-scan FTMS mode at 30K resolution in a positive ions detection mode within the 700–2000 mass range.

Synthesis of (Z)-2,5-Dioxopyrrolidin-1-yl 4-(4-((2-(difluoroboryl)-4-hydroxybenzyldiene)-2-methyl-5-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)butanoato (p-HOBDI-BF₂-OSu)

Kieselgel 60 (Merck, Germany) was used for column chromatography. Thin-layer chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ glass-backed plates (Merck). Visualization was performed using UV light (254 or 312 nm) and staining with KMnO₄. NMR spectra were recorded on a 700 MHz Bruker Avance III instrument at 303 K. Chemical shifts are reported relative to residual peaks of DMSO-d₆ (2.51 ppm for 'H and 39.5 ppm for 'C). Melting points were measured on a Stuart SMP30 apparatus (Cole-Parmer) without correction. IUPAC

| Table 1 | List of oligonucleotides used for eGFP–α-CbTx and eGFP–EK-α-CbTx gene construction. |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Oligonucleotide name | Sequence |
| eGFP-f | 5′ CACGCATATGATGGTGCAGCAAGGCG 3′ |
| eGFP-r | 5′ ATATGATTCGCTGTAACACGTGTCG 3′ |
| Link-f | 5′ ATATGATTCGGAAGCGGCCTCGGGAAGTGCCCCTGTTGG 3′ |
| Link-r | 5′ TATACTTGCAGCGGCGCCCGCCCGGGCGGCCAGACTACC 3′ |
| CbTx-f | 5′ GATGATTAGCTCGTACGATACCGAACA 3′ |
| CbTx-f-EK | 5′ ATATGATTGATGATGATGATGATGATGCTCGCTTCTCATACCCAGACATTACG 3′ |
| CbTx-r | 5′ ATATGTCAGCTTACGAGGCGGTGTTGAGA 3′ |
compound names were generated using ChemDraw software (PerkinElmer).

1.0 mmol of (Z)-4-(4-(2-(difluoroboryl)-4-hydroxybenzylidene)-2-methyl-5-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-1-yl)butanoic acid (Fridler et al., 2013) was dissolved in dry THF (30 ml), and N,N,N′,N″-tetramethyl-O-(N-succinimidyl)uronium tetrafluroborate (330 mg, 1.1 mmol) and triethylamine (120 mg, 1.2 mmol) were added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. Hydrofluoric acid (water solution, 48%, 0.5 ml) was added and the mixture was stirred for additional 10 min. The mixture was dissolved by EtOAc (150 ml), washed by brine (3 × 30 ml), dried over Na2SO4, and evaporated. The product (N-hydroxysuccinimide ester of p-HOBDI-BF2, p-HOBDI-BF2-Osu) was purified by flash chromatography (using EtOAc/THF = 2:1 mixture as an eluent): yellow solid, 370 mg (85%), m. p. = 163–165°C.

1H NMR (DMSO-d6) δ 10.19 (s, 1H), 7.55 (s, 1H), 7.49 (d, J = 8.1Hz, 1H), 7.00 (d, J = 2.5Hz, 1H), 6.74 (dd, J1 = 8.2Hz, J2 = 2.5Hz, 1H), 3.80 (t, J = 7.27Hz, 2H), 2.85 (t, J = 7.48Hz, 2H), 2.82 (br.s, 4H), 2.74 (s, 3H), 1.99 (p, J = 7.48Hz, 2H).

13C NMR (DMSO-d6) δ 12.65 (CH3), 22.90 (CH2), 25.40 (2×CH2), 27.52, 39.88, 115.12 (CH), 118.48 (CH), 124.00, 124.87, 129.25 (CH), 134.27 (CH), 161.41, 162.93, 164.18, 168.51, 170.11.

High resolution mass spectrometry (ESI) [M + H] + m/z: 434.1341 found; 434.1335 calculated for C19H19BF2N3O6.

Modification of α-CbTx and Aze with p-HOBDI-BF2

α-CbTx was modified with p-HOBDI-BF2-Osu and the derivatives were purified exactly under conditions used earlier for the preparation of α-CbTx mono-labelled derivatives (Utkin et al., 1998). To obtain Aze derivatives, 5.3 mg of Aze were dissolved in 1 ml of 0.2 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 8.2) and 1.1 mg of p-HOBDI-BF2-Osu in 100 µl of dioxane was added to the toxin solution. The mixture was incubated overnight at room temperature and desalted on a Sephadex G-10 column (1 × 90 cm) equilibrated in 0.1 M acetic acid. After freeze-drying the mixture was separated by reversed-phase HPLC on a Jupiter C18 column (10 × 250 mm; Phenomenex) in a linear gradient of acetonitrile (15–30% in 75 min) in the presence of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid, at a flow rate of 2 ml/min. After freeze-drying, the obtained derivatives (HOBDI-BF2–Aze) were used for further studies.

Localization of Label with Chromato-Mass Spectrometry Analysis

Purified HOBDI-BF2–Aze conjugate was dissolved in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate solution (pH 9.0) to a final concentration of 1 mg/ml, then subjected to digestion by chymotrypsin or Lys-C protease (1 : 25, enzyme: substrate, w/w) at 37°C for 24 h, followed by formic acid quenching (1% by vol). The digested samples were analyzed by LC-MS on a YMC-Triart C18 column (2.1 × 150 mm; YMC) in a linear gradient of acetonitrile (5–55%) at flow rate of 0.3 ml/min. The modified peptide fragments were detected by specific dye absorption at 415 nm.

Competitive Radioligand Assay

In competition experiments with 125I-α-BgTx (500 Ci/mmol), all tested compounds (α-CbTx, HOBDI-BF2–α-CbTx, eGFP–α-CbTx, eGFP, Aze, and HOBDI-BF2–Aze derivatives) at different concentrations were pre-incubated for 2.5 h at room temperature with the great pond snail Lymnaea stagnalis acetylcholine binding protein (AChBP) (at the final concentration of 2.5 nM), or with GH6C1 cells (final concentration of 0.4 nM of toxin-binding sites), or Torpedo californica electric organ membranes (final concentration of 0.5 nM of toxin-binding sites) in 50 µL of binding buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (BSA), pH 7.5). After that 125I-α-BgTx was added to AChBP, GH6C1 cells or membranes to a final concentration of 0.5 nM, and the mixtures were additionally incubated for 5 min. Binding was stopped by rapid filtration on double DE-81 filters (Whatman) pre-soaked in the binding buffer (for AChBP) or on GF/C filters (Whatman) pre-soaked in 0.25% polyethyleneimine (for GH6C1 cells or membranes), unbound radioactivity being removed from the filters by washout (3 × 3 ml) with the binding buffer. Non-specific binding was determined in all cases using 2.5 h pre-incubation with 10 µM α-CbTx. The binding results were analyzed using OriginPro 2015 program (OriginLab Corporation) fitting to a one-site dose-response curve with the following equation: \( %\text{response} = 100 / [1 + (\text{toxin}/IC50)\text{nH}] \), where \( n_H \) is the slope factor (Hill coefficient). Data are presented as means ± standard errors of means (S.E.M.).

Thermophoresis

For the microscale thermophoresis (MST) experiments, both the fluorescent ligands (HOBDI-BF2–α-CbTx or eGFP–α-CbTx) and AChBP were diluted in PBS, pH 7.2, containing 0.05% Tween. Then, glass capillaries (NanoTemper Technologies, Germany) were filled with different concentrations of AChBP and with the same concentration of the fluorescent ligand. The MST experiments were performed with Monolith NT.115 (NanoTemper Technologies, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Before each experiment, a pretest was carried out to check the fluorophore’s stability and exclude the sorption of the fluorescent molecule on the capillary or aggregation during thermophoresis. Notably, no aggregation or adsorption was observed for either HOBDI-BF2–α-CbTx or eGFP–α-CbTx. The MST experiment was carried out at 40% MST power using a green light emitting diode for excitation. MST data were analyzed and binding parameters were calculated using MO. Affinity Analysis 2 Software (NanoTemper Technologies, Germany). Data points were fitted to a Kd model equation according to built-in software recommendations.

Fluorescent Labeling of nAChRs in Cell Cultures and Tissue Slices

One day before the transfection, Neuro-2a cells were applied to coverslips and placed inside 35-mm cell culture dishes with 2 ml of Eagle’s medium. Transfection medium contained cDNA of human α7 nAChR (3 mg/ml) and the Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific).
The cDNA-containing solution was replaced by cell culture medium 6–8 h after the transfection.

Cryostat 10 µm-thick sections of rat tongue were fixed with isopropanol for 10 min at 4°C, rinsed with PBS and distilled water, air dried for 1 h (all further procedures were carried out at room temperature) and incubated for 1 h with PBS, pH 7.4, containing 10 mg/ml BSA and 5 ml/L Tween 20 to block unspecific binding of toxins. The sections were pre-incubated for 1–2 h in buffer A (1 mg/ml BSA and 150 mM NaCl in PBS). Controls were run simultaneously by adding 300-fold excess of unlabeled α-CbTx (unless specified otherwise). Then, AF555–α-BgTx was added to the slides to reach 50 nM and they were incubated for 15 h. Sections were subsequently washed with PBS, fixed with 40 mg/ml paraformaldehyde for 10 min, rinsed with PBS again and coveredslipped in carbonate-buffered glycerol at pH 8.6. The slides were analyzed using CellA Imaging Software (Olympus Soft Imaging Solutions, Germany) coupled to epifluorescent microscope with cooled CCD CAM-XM10 (Olympus, Japan).

Flow Cytometry
For differentiation of THP-1 into macrophages (THP-1 Mφ), cells were seeded in 24-well plates and treated with 100 nM phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (Sigma-Aldrich) for 48 h. Cells were stained as previously described (Sinavain et al., 2020). Briefly, THP-1 Mφ, Raji, or RPMI 1788 cells were incubated with 100 nM HOBDI-BF2–α-CbTx, eGFP–α-CbTx, eGFP, or AF647–α-BgTx (1 h, at 4°C). Cells were then washed two times with PBS and assayed using BD FACSCalibur or MACSQuant Analyzer 10 flow cytometer (Miltenyi Biotec, Germany) and analyzed using FlowJo software 10.0.8. To determine non-specific binding, cells were pre-incubated with 10 µM α-CbTx before fluorescent toxin staining.

RESULTS
Design and Production of Chimeric eGFP–α-CbTx
We designed an expression cassette encoding a three-finger toxin fused with a fluorescent protein via a flexible linker (Figure 1A). The well-studied α-CbTx (UniProt ID: P01391) from the venom of N. kaouthia (Karlsson et al., 1972) was selected because this protein is highly active towards several targets including muscle-type, neuronal α7 and α9/α10 nACHRs (Chandna et al., 2019), as well as AChBP from L. stagnalis (Hansen et al., 2002); α-CbTx binds to these targets with sub-nanomolar affinities. Additionally, it was shown that α-CbTx is able to inhibit ionotropic γ-aminobutyric acid receptors (GABA\textsubscript{A}) at sub-micromolar concentrations (Kudryavtsev et al., 2015). As a fluorescent module, we selected one of the best-studied fluorescent proteins eGFP (FPbase ID: R9NL8) (Cormack et al., 1996) which is characterized by high brightness and weak dimerization properties.

The eGFP–α-CbTx chimera was produced recombiantly, isolated from E. coli lysate by affinity chromatography, and purified by size-exclusion chromatography (Figure 1B). Fluorescence excitation and emission spectra of this hybrid protein electrophoresis; 2, soluble fraction of total cellular protein before induction of expression; 3, after induction by IPTG; 4, chimeric protein after metal-chelate affinity chromatography; 5, chimeric protein after size-exclusion chromatography.

3D Model of eGFP–α-CbTx
A model of eGFP–α-CbTx was constructed using UCSF Chimera 1.10.1 software (Pettersen et al., 2004) for Modeller 9.14 (Webb and Sali, 2016). We utilized eGFP (PDB ID: 2Y0G) and α-CbTx (PDB ID: 1CTX) spatial structures as templates. The N-terminal site of chimeric protein and the (G\textsubscript{S})\textsubscript{2} linker between the eGFP and α-CbTx modules were represented in a disordered conformation. A model of eGFP–α-CbTx was visualized by the PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.7.4 Schrödinger, LLC.
were found identical to those of native eGFP protein (excitation and emission maxima were at 488 and 507 nm, respectively). This fact indicates that the spectral properties of the fluorescent protein did not change when it was fused with α-CbTx. The yield of recombinant eGFP–α-CbTx was ~10 mg per 1 L of bacterial culture; the purity of the final product was not less than 95%.

Modification of α-CbTx and Aze with p-HOBDI-BF₂
To obtain p-HOBDI-BF₂-labeled toxins, they were reacted with p-HOBDI-BF₂-OSu (Figure 2) using conditions elaborated earlier for α-CbTx modification by activated esters of photoactivatable labels. The modified α-CbTx was purified using high-performance ion-exchange and reversed-phase chromatography as described earlier (Utkin et al., 1998), and similarly one predominant derivative (HOBDI-BF₂–α-CbTx) was obtained. This derivative was analyzed by high-resolution mass spectrometry, which showed that it possessed a molecular mass of 8,112.76 Da. This value was 19.92 Da lower than the theoretical mass; the difference is explained by the loss of HF from fluorine-containing label under mass spectroscopy conditions, this phenomenon was earlier described for the BODIPY label (Qi et al., 2015). Peptide mass fingerprinting using trypsin digestion revealed the labeled fragment 13–33 (data not shown), which indicates that α-CbTx derivative contains the label at Lys23.

A similar technique was applied to introduce the p-HOBDI-BF₂ label into the molecule of amidated Aze (see structure in Figure 3A). The Aze derivatives were purified by reversed-phase HPLC (Figure 3A) and analyzed by mass spectrometry. It was found that fraction 2 with the molecular mass of 2,539 Da represents unmodified toxin. Fraction 3 contains a di-labeled derivative (3,132 Da) contaminated with unmodified toxin. Fractions 4–6 contain different mono-modified products (HOBDI-BF₂–Aze) with molecular masses of 2,836 Da, fraction 6 being contaminated with a di-labeled product. Interestingly, the mass corresponding to the loss of HF was also observed. As there are 3 amino groups (N-terminal and ε-amino groups of Lys6 and Lys20) in Aze, our results show that three corresponding mono-labeled derivatives were obtained.

According to chromato-mass spectrometry analysis, among all these fractions only peak 4 was an individual compound (data not shown). To localize the fluorophore in this mono-derivative, it was digested with two proteases, and the obtained fragments were analyzed by mass spectrometry. The digestion of this derivative with chymotrypsin resulted in a fragment with molecular mass of 2,420 Da containing the fluorescent label (Figure 3B). This product corresponds to the C-terminal fragment 4–21 of HOBDI-BF₂–Aze, which indicates that the N-terminal amino group is not modified. To find out which of the two lysine residues (Lys6 or Lys20) is modified, the derivative was digested with Lys-C endopeptidase, and the obtained products were analyzed by mass spectrometry. A peptide with molecular mass of 2,740 Da was found (Figure 3C). It corresponds to the N-terminal fragment 1–20 containing the fluorescent label, suggesting that the fluorophore is attached to Lys6 (see structure in Figure 3A).

Evaluation of Fluorescent Toxin Affinity to nAChRs and AChBP
Competitive Radioligand Assay
We evaluated the affinity of the prepared fluorescent α-CbTx derivatives to the muscle-type nAChR from T. californica ray electric organ and neuronal human α7 nAChR expressed in GH4C1 cells, as well as to AChBP from L. stagnalis, which is a homolog of the ligand-binding domain of all nAChRs. This was done by measuring their ability to compete with 125I-α-BgTx used as a radioligand. When testing fluorescent α-CbTx (eGFP–α-CbTx and HOBDI-BF₂–α-CbTx), α-CbTx and eGFP were also used in parallel experiments. The respective inhibition curves on muscle-type and α7 nAChRs, and AChBP are shown in Figures 4A–C and corresponding IC₅₀ values and slopes (Hill coefficients) are presented in Table 2.

It was found that modification of α-CbTx with eGFP leads to a decrease in affinity to all three targets, whereas the incorporation of the small fluorophore HOBDI-BF₂ has a significantly weaker effect. When p-HOBDI-BF₂ label was inserted, the affinity of the derivative towards muscle-type and neuronal α7 nAChRs, and AChBP was 3.88 ± 0.23, 27 ± 1 and 67 ± 2 nM, respectively, i.e., it decreased 6.8, 5 and 2.4 times (Figures 4A–C; Table 2). The corresponding IC₅₀ values for the chimeric eGFP–α-CbTx were substantially higher and reached 155 ± 5, 912 ± 36, and 266 ± 7 nM (Table 2) demonstrating the decrease in affinity 272, 169 and 9.5 times for muscle-type, neuronal α7 nAChRs, and AChBP, respectively. Interestingly, the eGFP itself showed the ability to compete with 125I-α-BgTx for binding to the nAChR from T. californica with IC₅₀ = 1,550 ± 120 nM (Figure 4A), but
when the N-terminal His-tag was removed from eGFP, this inhibitory activity disappeared (data not shown).

In order to figure out the reason for such a noticeable drop in affinity of eGFP–α-CbTx, α-CbTx was cleaved from the chimeric fusion protein eGFP–EK–α-CbTx and purified by reversed-phase HPLC. The affinity of purified recombinant α-CbTx towards muscle-type nAChR was markedly lower than that of the native α-CbTx (IC₅₀ value was >10 nM vs 0.57 ± 0.03 nM, respectively). This result suggests that recombinant α-CbTx in the chimeric eGFP–α-CbTx protein does not fold properly.

A competitive radioligand analysis was also used to evaluate the affinity of the obtained fluorescent Aze derivatives from fractions 3–6 (Figure 3A) towards the muscle-type T. californica nAChR. The inhibition curves and the corresponding IC₅₀ values are shown in Figure 4D and Table 2. The affinity of the derivatives from fractions 4–6 decreased after modification by more than an order of magnitude (IC₅₀ of 170 ± 50 nM compared to IC₅₀ = 7.3 ± 0.2 nM for unmodified Aze). Fraction 3 showed a markedly higher affinity (IC₅₀ = 23 ± 2 nM), most likely due to the presence of an unmodified toxin detected by mass spectrometric analysis (see above). The small differences in affinity of mono-labeled derivatives from fractions 4–6 probably reflect the similar importance of modified amino groups for binding to the receptor.

**Microscale Thermophoresis**

Interaction of eGFP–α-CbTx and HOBDI-BF₂–α-CbTx with L. stagnalis AChBP was further characterized using MST. To generate a binding curve, we used 70 nM eGFP–α-CbTx and 100 nM HOBDI-BF₂–α-CbTx, whereas the concentration of AChBP varied from 60 pM to 1 µM. First, the parameters of MST were adjusted to achieve a substantial initial fluorescence. It was found that eGFP–α-CbTx fluorescence is roughly an order of magnitude brighter than HOBDI-BF₂–α-CbTx, so the excitation power values of 20% for eGFP–α-CbTx and 80% for HOBDI-BF₂–α-CbTx were used in the subsequent experiments. Pre-MST scan of the capillaries revealed that HOBDI-BF₂–α-CbTx fluorescence changes significantly upon binding to AChBP (Figure 5A), which allowed a pre-MST estimation of Kᵰ ≈ 200 nM. Such changes in initial fluorescence were not observed for eGFP–α-CbTx. Then, the MST experiment was performed and the resulting data points (Figure 5B) were fitted with a Kᵰ model to generate binding curves for eGFP–α-CbTx and HOBDI-BF₂–α-CbTx (Figure 5D). Dissociation constants were calculated: 7 ± 6 nM for eGFP–α-CbTx and 194 ± 89 nM for HOBDI-BF₂–α-CbTx. Thus, the MST experiment demonstrated a stronger binding of eGFP–α-CbTx to AChBP compared to HOBDI-BF₂–α-CbTx.

---

**FIGURE 3** (A) Preparation of Aze derivatives. Aze was reacted with p-HOBDI-BF₂–OSu and the obtained derivatives were separated by reversed-phase HPLC on a Jupiter C₈ column (10 × 250 mm) in a linear gradient of acetonitrile (15–30% in 75 min). Peak 4 (shaded in orange) was found as the best purified individual mono-derivative (HOBDI-BF₂–Aze) with localization of the photolabel at the ε-amino group of Lys6, as established by chromato-mass spectrometry of its fragments after digestion with chymotrypsin or Lys-C proteinase. (B) Chromato-mass spectrometry analysis of peak 4 digested with chymotrypsin revealed the fragments with the measured m/z values 1,418.667 (+2) and 1,210.933 (+2) or 946.467 (+3) and 807.467 (+3) Da. They correspond to the masses of the non-digested HOBDI-BF₂ label. (C) Chromato-mass spectrometry analysis of peak 4 digested with Lys-C protease. Identified m/z values 1,418.667 (+2) and 1,370.5 (+2), or 946.2 (+3) and 914.487 (+3) Da, correspond to the non-digested HOBDI-BF₂–Aze and the reaction product Aze(1–20) with p-HOBDI-BF₂ label.
Application of the Obtained Fluorescent Toxins for Visualization of Biological Targets
Fluorescent Labeling of nAChRs in Cell Cultures and Tissue Slices

Fluorescence microscopy of Neuro-2a cells transfected with human α7 nAChR, and rat tongue preparation containing muscle fiber end plates with muscle α1β1δ nAChR, revealed a difference in applicability of the HOBDI-BF2 and eGFP derivatives of α-CbTx. Control commercial AF555–α-BgTx (50 nM) shows bright staining of Neuro-2a cells and rat tongue end plates (Figure 6A). Both eGFP–α-CbTx chimeric protein (500 nM) and HOBDI-BF2–α-CbTx (100 nM) bind to Neuro-2a cells transfected with human α7 nAChR. This staining is abolished by 1 h preincubation with a 30–50 molar excess of α-CbTx, confirming the specificity of binding (Figure 6A). eGFP alone does not stain cells (Figure 6A), thus confirming that the staining could not be attributed to a non-specific uptake of the fluorescent protein.

eGFP–α-CbTx stained rat tongue end plates brightly and specifically (Figure 6B). Surprisingly, we failed to achieve end-

![Figure 4](image)

**FIGURE 4** | Inhibition of the initial rate for 125I-α-BgTx binding to (A) T. californica nAChR, (B) human α7 nAChR and (C) L. stagnalis AChBP with α-CbTx and its fluorescent analogs (eGFP–α-CbTx, HOBDI-BF2–α-CbTx) as well as eGFP. (D) Inhibition of initial rate for 125I-α-BgTx binding to T. californica nAChR with Aze and its p-HOBDI-BF2 derivatives from fractions 3–6 (see Figure 3A). Each point is a mean ± S.E.M. value of three measurements for each concentration. The curves were calculated from the means ± S.E.M. using OriginPro 2015, and the respective IC50 values in nM are presented in Table 2.

| Table 2 | Affinity of the fluorescent toxins tested in competition with 125I-α-BgTx for binding to muscle-type nAChR from T. californica, human neuronal α7 nAChR, and AChBP from L. stagnalis calculated from the respective inhibition curves in Figure 4 as the IC50 values and Hill coefficients (nH) using OriginPro 2015.

| Toxin | T. californica nAChR | Human α7 nAChR | L. stagnalis AChBP |
|-------|---------------------|----------------|------------------|
| HOBDI-BF2–α-CbTx | 3.88 ± 0.23 (1.50 ± 0.12) | 27 ± 1 (1.10 ± 0.02) | 67 ± 2 (1.80 ± 0.07) |
| eGFP–α-CbTx | 155 ± 5 (2.10 ± 0.10) | 912 ± 36 (1.20 ± 0.05) | 266 ± 7 (2.01 ± 0.09) |
| α–CbTx | 0.57 ± 0.03 (2.86 ± 0.34) | 5.4 ± 0.4 (0.84 ± 0.05) | 28 ± 1 (1.76 ± 0.12) |
| eGFP | 1,550 ± 120 (1.41 ± 0.15) | >4,500 | >10,000 |
| Azemiopsin | 7.3 ± 0.2 (0.97 ± 0.02) | — | — |
| Fraction 3 | 23 ± 2 (0.72 ± 0.03) | — | — |
| Fraction 4 | 172 ± 12 (1.14 ± 0.09) | — | — |
| Fraction 5 | 128 ± 1 (1.35 ± 0.04) | — | — |
| Fraction 6 | 210 ± 7 (1.31 ± 0.06) | — | — |

*—, not tested.
plate staining with HOBDI-BF$_2$–α-CbTx. We also tried to stain end plates with HOBDI-BF$_2$–Aze, however, no end-plate staining was detected in this case either (Figure 6B). It is worth noting that rat tongue preparations are made using denaturating conditions (isopropyl alcohol). The absence of specific staining of rat tongue cross-sections by the HOBDI-BF$_2$ derivatives of neurotoxins could be explained by the intrinsic properties of this fluorophore preventing it from binding to partially denaturated muscle nAChR. On the other hand, the absence of staining by HOBDI-BF$_2$–α-CbTx or HOBDI-BF$_2$–Aze could be attributed to their fast wash-out kinetics, which might be due to the modification of lysine side chains crucial to the binding.

Flow Cytometry Experiments on Different Human Immune Cells

In flow cytometry experiments, we visualized the binding of eGFP–α-CbTx and HOBDI-BF$_2$–α-CbTx to different transformed immune cells, such as Raji, RPMI 1788, and THP-1 Mϕ, expressing α7 nAChR (Figure 7). It was revealed that 100 nM eGFP–α-CbTx stained THP-1 Mϕ cells (Figure 7A). However, the average fraction of stained cells was only 9% (Figure 7C). Pre-incubation of the cells with an excess of free α-CbTx led to a decrease in the percentage of eGFP positive cells (eGFP+) (~10.2 vs 6.4%; Figure 7A, central panels). Staining of cells with 500 nM eGFP–α-CbTx gave similar results (data not shown). Free eGFP also stained cells (~3.9% eGFP+; Figure 7A, left panel, bottom row) indicating partial nonspecific binding. In contrast, HOBDI-BF$_2$–α-CbTx did not stain the cells, and the level of HOBDI-BF$_2$+ cells did not differ from autofluorescence (auto; 0.26 vs 0.29%; Figure 7A, left and right panels, top row). AF647–α-BgTx, which was used as a control, stained THP-1 Mϕ cells ~7 times better than eGFP–α-CbTx (~63.1% positive cells; Figure 7A, right panel, bottom row, and Figure 7C).

Next, we performed binding assays using eGFP–α-CbTx to measure the surface expression of α7 nAChR levels on Raji and RPMI 1788 cells. Figure 7B shows that eGFP–α-CbTx can stain both cell lines (red histograms), and this binding is specific because it decreased after pre-incubation with an excess of free α-CbTx (green histograms). However, again the calculated average values for Raji and RPMI 1788 cells labeled with fluorescent toxin (positive cells) were only ~5 and 9%, respectively (Figure 7C). The average values of specific binding of eGFP–α-CbTx for Raji, RPMI 1788, and THP-1 Mϕ cells were 41, 28, and 30%, respectively (Figure 7D). Flow cytometry measurements showed that eGFP–α-CbTx specifically stains cell lines that express α7 nAChR (Figure 7C). On the other hand, HOBDI-BF$_2$–α-CbTx was found unsuitable for this purpose.

**DISCUSSION**

Studies of ligand-receptor interactions require adequate molecular instruments and methods. Radioligand analysis was the method of choice for such studies for a long time. However, this method has limitations related to safety and ecological aspects. Fluorescence-based
methods became more convenient and popular due to the development of new approaches including super-resolution techniques such as Photoactivated Localization Microscopy (PALM) and Stochastic Optical Resolution Microscopy (STORM) and highly fluorescent labels (e.g., quantum dots) (see reviews (Baddeley and Beversdorf, 2018; Himmelstoß and Hirsch, 2019)). Among the developed methodologies is the application of fluorescent proteins (GFP and analogs) for the labeling. Modern fluorescent proteins cover a wide range of excitation and emission wavelengths and possess high quantum yields (Chudakov et al., 2010). Recently, we proposed a novel approach for toxin labeling, which is based on the production of chimeric proteins consisting of two modules: a fluorescent protein and a polypeptide toxin specific for certain channels where the ligand part serves for selective channel recognition, and the fluorescent part is used for effective detection. Chimeric fusion proteins comprising GFP (or analogs) and the protein ligand under the study can be easily produced recombinantly in high quantities. Relying on this approach we produced a chimera (eGFP-OSK1) for potassium channel recognition (Kuzmenkov et al., 2016a). Further, this approach was also applied for K\textsubscript{v}1.3-specific chimeran production due to clinical importance of this isoform (Nekrasova et al., 2020).

The preparation of fluorescently labeled proteins and peptides by chemical modification is another frequently used method for which appropriate reagents have long been developed. N-Hydroxysuccinimide esters of respective fluorophores are most often used, resulting in modification of amino groups (the N-terminal \(\alpha\)-amino group or \(\varepsilon\)-amino groups of lysine residues). This method allows introducing labels into different parts of the molecule, which is not always possible with fluorescent proteins. However, sometimes the introduction of large fluorophores into peptides or small proteins can lead to a loss of activity. Nevertheless, fluorescently labeled toxins were successfully used to study toxin–receptor interactions. We were among the first in application of fluorescently labeled TFTs for the investigation of nAChRs (Tsetlin et al., 1979, 1982; Surin et al., 1983) and we continue to successfully use them for this purpose until now (Shelukhina et al., 2009; Pivovarov et al., 2020). The first studies showed the preferential modification of the lysine in the central loop of TFTs, which did not lead to a significant change in the affinity to the receptor, and allowed to characterize the toxin site involved in the interaction with nAChR.

When introducing bulk moieties into small peptides, a noticeable decrease in affinity, a change in specificity or an increase in non-specific interactions is more often observed, as we demonstrated earlier with the example of some photoactivated derivatives of \(\alpha\)-conotoxins MI and GI (Kasheverov et al., 2001, 2006). However, fluorescent derivatives of some \(\alpha\)-conotoxins were prepared, which became selective markers of different nAChR subtypes (Hone et al., 2010; Surin et al., 2012; Barloscio et al., 2017). For instance, using a fluorescent derivative of \(\alpha\)-conotoxin MII, a selective \(\alpha6\beta2\) nAChR antagonist, \(\alpha6\)-containing nAChRs were localized in the retinal tissue and found to be predominantly localized to the ganglion cell layer (Barloscio et al., 2017).

The introduction of a bulky fluorescent label can result in a decrease of affinity and change of selectivity of toxins. However cryo-EM structure of *Torpedo* acetylcholine receptor in complex with \(\beta\)-bungarotoxin (Rahman et al., 2020) reveals that both N- and C-termini of TFTs are less important for the interaction with the receptor and can tolerate the attachment of a sizeable substituent. Keeping this in mind we prepared a chimeric protein, in which eGFP was fused with \(\alpha\)-CbTx N-terminus through a linker of 19 amino acid residues (Figure 1). In radioligand assays, the chimeric protein with a full-sized GFP

---

**FIGURE 6** | Fluorescence microscopy of Neuro-2a cells transfected with human \(\alpha7\) nAChR, and rat tongue preparation containing muscle fiber end plates with muscle \(\alpha1\) nAChR. (A) Control staining of Neuro-2a cells with 50 nM AF555–\(\alpha\)-BgTx shows peripheral staining of the cells, which is reproduced by the eGFP–\(\alpha\)-CbTx chimeric protein (500 nM), eGFP alone does not stain the cells. Complementary to that, specific eGFP–\(\alpha\)-CbTx staining is abolished by 1 h preincubation with 15 \(\mu\)M \(\alpha\)-CbTx. HOBDI-BF\(_2\)–\(\alpha\)-CbTx (100 nM) also stains Neuro-2a cells, and it is prevented by 1 h pre-treatment using 5 \(\mu\)M \(\alpha\)-CbTx. Scale bar in the first panel, 50 \(\mu\)m. (B) AF555–\(\alpha\)-BgTx (100 nM) specifically stains end plates in rat tongue cross-sections, and no staining is observed in cross-sections pre-treated with 5 \(\mu\)M \(\alpha\)-CbTx. Neither HOBDI-BF\(_2\)–\(\alpha\)-CbTx, nor HOBDI-BF\(_2\)-Aze produce end plate staining, whereas 500 nM eGFP–\(\alpha\)-CbTx chimeric protein (12 h of incubation) stained cross-sections brightly. End-plate staining by eGFP–\(\alpha\)-CbTx chimeric protein is prevented by 1 h pre-treatment with 15 \(\mu\)M \(\alpha\)-CbTx. Scale bar in the first panel, 50 \(\mu\)m.
FIGURE 7 | Investigation of cell binding and staining using fluorescent α-CbTx analogs by flow cytometry. (A) Representative dot plots of stained THP-1 Mϕ cells using two fluorescent toxins, i.e. eGFP–α-CbTx and HOBDI-BF2–α-CbTx. In the top row the autofluorescence, cells stained with eGFP–α-CbTx and HOBDI-BF2–α-CbTx, are shown. In the bottom row cells stained with free eGFP, eGFP–α-CbTx with excess of α-CbTx and cells stained with AF647–α-BgTx as a control, are shown. Regions in dot plots represent the percentage of stained cells. (B) Representative histograms of Raji or RPMI 1788 cells stained with eGFP–α-CbTx. Red histogram, cells stained with eGFP–α-CbTx; green histogram, cells pre-incubated with an excess of free α-CbTx and then stained with eGFP–α-CbTx. A decrease in the fluorescence of cells due to the binding of free α-CbTx is revealed. (C) Diagrams showing the number of positive cells (Raji, RPMI 1788, and THP-1 Mϕ) when stained with eGFP–α-CbTx or AF647–α-BgTx. (D) Diagrams of specific binding (%) of eGFP–α-CbTx on different cells from three independent experiments (mean ± S.E.M.). One-way ANOVA tests with Tukey post hoc test (**p < 0.01) were used.
(eGFP–α-CbTx) showed a significantly diminished affinity towards all tested targets as compared to α-CbTx. The decrease in affinity for muscle-type *T. californica*, human α7 nAChR, and *L. stagnalis* AChBP was ~272, 169, and 9.5 times (Figures 4A–C; Table 2). To find out the reasons for this discrepancy, the toxin was split from eGFP and purified. The obtained recombinant α-CbTx was substantially less active than the native toxin, leading us to the assumption that an essential part of the recombinant toxin was incorrectly folded.

As mentioned in the Introduction, the synthetic analog of GFP chromophore *p*-HOBDI-BF2 was prepared earlier (Baranov et al., 2012). This label is similar in many of its spectral characteristics to the well-known dye fluorescein. It has a similar spectrum, close extinction coefficient, and quantum yield of fluorescence. However, compared to fluorescein, HOBDI-BF2 is minute, its molecular mass is 4 times smaller. It is also assumed as more biomimetic since it is based on the GFP chromophore. Thus, the use of this label should affect the properties and behavior of the stained biological objects to a significantly lower degree. To utilize this chromophore for specific amino group labeling in proteins, an activated N-hydroxysuccinimide ester was synthesized. We introduced *p*-HOBDI-BF2 into α-CbTx, and one predominant fluorescent derivative HOBDI-BF2–α-CbTx was obtained. Compared to native α-CbTx, this derivative showed a reduced affinity to muscle-type *T. californica*, human α7 nAChR, and *L. stagnalis* AChBP by ~6.8, 5.0 and 2.4 times, respectively (Figures 4A–C, Table 2), still retaining a high affinity to all tested targets. Since α-CbTx binds to both muscle-type and some neuronal nAChRs, we also prepared fluorescent derivatives of Aze, a selective antagonist of muscle-type nAChR. Their inability to bind to nAChRs that are present in rat tongue preparations could be explained by partial denaturation of the receptor due to isopropyl alcohol treatment. As a result of such treatment some fluorescent toxins retain the ability to bind to muscle nAChR (AF555–α-BgTx, eGFP–α-CbTx), but others lose their affinity. These features of new fluorescent derivatives should be taken into account in experimental design.

In flow cytometry experiments (Figure 7), it was revealed that a very small proportion (<10%) of the three studied cell types (Raji, RPMI 1788, and THP-1 Mφ) expressing α7 nAChR (Siniavin et al., 2020), is specifically stained by eGFP–α-CbTx. The use of HOBDI-BF2–α-CbTx produced results at the level of autofluorescence (Figure 7A). This is in particular contrast to the commercially available AF647–α-BgTx (Figures 7A,C), which stained ~60% of cells. Thus, there are applications where our novel derivatives are efficient, but there are numerous cases where traditional radioactively and fluorescent labels retain their role.
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**Table 2**

- **Table 2**

| Treatment          | Muscle-type *T. californica* | Human α7 nAChR | L. stagnalis AChBP |
|--------------------|------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| HOBDI-BF2–α-CbTx   | ~7 nAChR                     | α7 nAChR       | ~6.8, 5.0, 2.4 times |
| eGFP–α-CbTx        | >10%                         | >10%           | ~60%               |
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