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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

The presence of SARS-CoV-2 in the feces of infected patients and wastewater has drawn attention, not only to the possibility of fecal-oral transmission but also to the use of wastewater as an epidemiological tool. The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted problems in evaluating the epidemiological scope of the disease using classical surveillance approaches, due to a lack of diagnostic capacity, and their application to only a small proportion of the population. As in previous pandemics, statistics, particularly the proportion of the population infected, are believed to be widely underestimated. Furthermore, analysis of only clinical samples cannot predict outbreaks in a timely manner or easily capture asymptomatic carriers. Therefore, community-scale surveillance, including wastewater-based epidemiology, can bridge the broader community and the clinic, becoming a valuable indirect epidemiological prediction tool for SARS-CoV-2 and other pandemic viruses. This article summarizes current knowledge and discusses the critical factors for implementing wastewater-based epidemiology of COVID-19.

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

\section{1. Introduction}

The early 2020s will be remembered for the emergence of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), which causes viral pneumonia called Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). This new coronavirus strain, first detected in Wuhan (Hubei province, China), has rapidly spread across the world, causing the most consequential infection disease since the 1918 influenza pandemic.

SARS-CoV-2 is a positive-sense single-stranded RNA enveloped virus belonging to the Betacoronavirus genus in the family Coronavirus (Order Nidovirales). The infection caused by SARS-CoV-2 is typically characterized by respiratory symptoms, indicative of airborne and droplet transmission (Chan et al., 2020). However, a significant proportion of patients infected with COVID-19 also show gastrointestinal symptoms and/or viral shedding in feces outlasting those from the respiratory tract (Holshue et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020; Xiao et al., 2020a; Wu et al., 2020). Furthermore, intracellular staining of viral nucleocapsid protein in gastric, duodenal, and rectal epithelia showed that the virus can infect glandular epithelial cells in these areas and that virions are secreted from gastrointestinal cells (Xiao et al., 2020a). However, very limited evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infectivity in fecal samples has been obtained (Xiao et al., 2020b).

Whether SARS-CoV-2 virions in sewage are infective or not, the use of virus particles in wastewater as an epidemiological tool for community COVID-19 prevalence is possible. Individual wastewater samples represent a snapshot of infection within the population, whilst a structured longitudinal sampling strategy as part of an integrated wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE) program (see Fig. 1) can be an invaluable additional predictive tool for addressing the COVID-19 pandemic.
2. The concept

WBE was theorized in 2001 (Daughton and Jones-Lepp, 2001) and then implemented in 2005 to trace cocaine and other illicit drug use (Zuccato et al., 2005; Zuccato et al., 2008) and oseltamivir (Tamiflu) use during the 2009 influenza pandemic (Reddy, 2010; Singer et al., 2013). The approach relies on the assumption that any substance that is excreted by humans and is stable in wastewater can be used to back-calculate the original concentration excreted by the serviced population. This same concept can be translated to virus surveillance (Berchenko et al., 2017).

Contrary to other microorganisms, such as bacteria, viruses do not grow outside the host cells. Therefore, human viruses in wastewater can represent the concentrations excreted by the corresponding human population as long as they persist long enough (2–4 days) to be detected (Carducci et al., 2020; Kitajima et al., 2020). Therefore, monitoring temporal changes in viral concentrations and diversity in community wastewater samples can be used not only to determine the true extent of the infection in the population, but also the emergence of new viral strains and the early detection of new viral outbreaks (Adriaenssens et al., 2008; Ahmed et al., 2020; Daughton, 2020; Hart and Halden, 2020).

The utility and potential of a wastewater surveillance system have been previously demonstrated. During the global polio eradication program, it was utilized as a tool to assess polio circulation within populations and the evaluation of immunization efficacy against poliovirus (Hovi et al., 2012; Ndiaye et al., 2014; Roberts, 2013). It has also been used in the retrospective prediction of disease outbreaks of Hepatitis A and norovirus-associated gastroenteritis (Hellmér et al., 2014). However, WBE methods have not yet been applied to assess and predict viral disease outbreaks in a systematic way.

WBE could solve certain limitations in existing surveillance systems that have been highlighted during this COVID-19 pandemic or previous ones like the 2009 influenza A pandemic (Dawood et al., 2012; Simonsen et al., 2013). Specifically, the sensitivity and specificity of syndromic surveillance approaches greatly depend on the reporting and severity of clinical symptoms, and how much these signs overlap with existing diseases within the population (Mandi et al., 2004). In the case of SARS-CoV-2, a significant proportion of patients are either asymptomatic, presymptomatic or experience mild, non-specific symptoms and therefore go unreported, resulting in considerable underestimation of infection. In a range of studies, the rate of asymptomatic infection has been estimated at ca. 20–45% (Mizumoto et al., 2020; Oran and Topol, 2020; Wang et al., 2020). Whilst syndromic surveillance based on hospital admissions is likely to be more specific given the current prevalence of disease in the population, estimates of population infection based on hospital admissions represents a delay of days to weeks between infection and admission. In addition, a significant proportion of patients admitted to hospital will die.

In theory, individual sampling and testing represents the most accurate measure of active transmission and disease prevalence; however, the spatial and temporal scale of testing required to
achieve adequate penetrance to gather granular information is impractical or economically challenging for most countries. Further, repeated testing would be required to ensure effective disease control. Novel rapid diagnostics may be useful in this respect, but they are not yet available and present their own challenges with respect to reliability and quality control. Therefore, there is a need for a more sensitive, specific and timely measure of infection in the population.

The biological information that wastewater contains can be used as an unbiased surveillance system and reflection of the community's health. Human viruses in wastewater are, by nature, biological markers of their own circulation in populations due to their DNA or RNA. Their detection in influents of wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) can suggest human sources and hence indicate what diseases are circulating within a population in almost real-time. WBE is scalable and cost-effective even in low-resource settings, provides rapid results, and can monitor a wide variety of emergent and re-emergent viral diseases and/or imported pathogens. In addition, the move towards centralized wastewater treatments plants in most urban centres (where SARS-CoV-2 is most prevalent) means that the viral load from \(10^3\) to \(10^6\) individuals can be captured in a single sample, facilitating the analysis for the whole community.

3. Enveloped viruses in wastewater: the paradigm shift

Historically, the study of viruses in wastewater has been focused on enteric non-enveloped viruses that replicate in the gastrointestinal tract and are readily transmitted via the fecal-oral route (e.g. Norovirus, Rotavirus). All viruses are susceptible to environmental degradation by factors such as temperature, UV light, and predation by the microbial community. Enteric viruses are highly resistant to heat, acids, and oxidants, and survive for long periods in the environment (Bosch et al., 2006). In contrast, enveloped viruses, such as coronaviruses, Ebola virus, or influenza viruses, are generally not associated with fecal-oral transmission in humans, and are considered more susceptible to inactivation because damage to the labile lipid envelope leads to loss of infectivity in aqueous environments.

Interestingly, not all enveloped viruses rapidly lose their infectivity. Studies in the last decade have expanded this view and revealed that some epidemic enveloped viruses like SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and now SARS-CoV-2 typically considered respiratory viruses can also be detected in the water cycle (Carducci et al., 2020; Kitajima et al., 2020; Singer and Wray, 2020; Wigginton et al., 2015). Temperature, pH, matrix composition or the presence of other microorganisms can influence survivability of enveloped viruses, but also, virus recovery and concentration methods historically optimized for non-enveloped viruses like enteric viruses can impact infectivity assay outcome and underestimate their infectivity rates (Aquino de Carvalho et al., 2017; Gundy et al., 2009; La Rosa et al., 2020; Ye et al., 2016).

Whether or not an enveloped infective virus persists long enough in wastewater to represent a threat to human health is still unclear and further research is needed. However, in the 2003 SARS-CoV outbreak, a clear link was made between localized SARS infections and the sewage network, albeit associated with fecal aerosolization (McKinney et al., 2006). In summary, the presence of viral nucleic acids in feces and wastewater indicate that the concept of WBE could be applied to a wide range of viruses beyond the enteric viruses, and that an enveloped virus such as SARS-CoV-2 is relevant to WBE (O’Brien and Xagoraraki, 2020; Sims and Kasprzyk-Hordern, 2020). Early data from the US suggest this may be possible (Pecia et al., 2020), but more work is needed to validate early results. Regardless, WBE offers a paradigm shift in infectious disease surveillance.

4. Critical factors in WBE implementation

4.1. Sampling

Sampling for WBE applications offers both spatial and temporal challenges that can compromise the degree to which the resulting data is “representative” of the study population (Fig. 1). Differences between urban and rural wastewater systems must be considered when the specific surveillance program is designed. Urban sewage systems can provide more representative samples of the community because wastewater ultimately is aggregated across the population through interceptors that can be used to partition the study population (O’Brien and Xagoraraki, 2020). Should viral concentrations be observed as higher in one interceptor than the rest, the corresponding serviced area would be of greater concern for a potential viral outbreak. Sampling in rural areas is more complex due to the lack of sewage collection systems and proximity to testing facilities. Therefore, watershed modeling and microbial source tracking are usually integral components of WBE, used to evaluate wastewater disposal and transport and to determine the best locations for effective sampling (O’Brien et al., 2017b).

The time of sampling is also crucial and should be based upon expected critical pathways, such as environmental reservoirs and locations where the virus is most easily transported and transmitted (O’Brien and Xagoraraki, 2020). Careful consideration needs to be given to the size of the catchment area and hence its susceptibility to diurnal changes in flow and/or viral detection rates (Cormman et al., 2018; Dong et al., 2015). For example, in some large urban areas there may be a delay of 24 h for the wastewater to go from the household to a centralized plant. Whilst autosamplers can be used to obtain composite samples over a representative time period, e.g. 24 h, refrigerated units necessary to prevent viral degradation are expensive. Hence, in many situations, grab sampling may represent the most practical and appropriate approach. The fate and decay rate of viruses may be different between systems that use enclosed underground sewer pipes, storm tanks, and systems that utilize septic tanks, catchments, and the open environment. Factors that lead to an increase in viral levels in wastewater influents include larger incidence rates in the community or cooler temperatures. On the contrary, dilution due to infiltration of precipitation or from surface water leads to lower levels. Detailed modeling of viral decay and wastewater flow rates through the system are therefore required to accurately relate viral concentration at the point of sampling to the presence of virus within the catchment population. In addition, sampling SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater from hospitals may be compromised by the wide range of disinfectants and detergents co-entering the sewage network.

4.2. Virus recovery and concentration

Virus detection in environmental samples likely requires viral concentration into a smaller volume to improve detection limits. There are a variety of available methods designed and optimized for non-enveloped viruses, which are based on combinations of filtrations, ultracentrifugation and polyethylene glycol (PEG) precipitation with a range of pH values, chemicals, filter types, centrifuge speeds and purification steps (La Rosa et al., 2020; Ye et al., 2016).

However, most methods are not suitable for enveloped viruses because the outer lipid layer renders these viruses more sensitive to temperature, pH and organic solvents like chloroform or cesium chloride solutions. Two general types of charged filters are commonly available. Electronegative and electropositive charged filters. Electropositive filtration is commonly used for non-enveloped viruses like enteric viruses with good recoveries and, by extension, they are also being employed for enveloped viruses like SARS-CoV
(Wang et al., 2005). However, care must be taken since membrane filtration efficiencies will vary depending on the type of water sample (tap water, seawater, wastewater), the virus, the isoelectric point of the virus that influences the net charge of the viral particle, the pH, the presence in the sample of other contaminants with potential inhibitory activity for further steps in the process, or of organic material that could hamper the filtration (Armanious et al., 2016; Pepper and Gerba, 2015).

Virus culture and infectivity assays are not critical to implement WBE; however, they are crucial to evaluate the risk that a sample poses to human health or to potential animal reservoirs. This is not possible with PCR or sequencing techniques and therefore future infectivity studies are needed to fill this gap.

4.3. Virus quantification

Exact virus quantification is the principal goal of WBE because peaks in viral concentrations can indicate the potential onset of future disease outbreaks. SARS-CoV-2 primer/probe sets are already published targeting N, E, and RdRp genes (Corman et al., 2020). For RNA viruses, classical reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) and RT-real time PCR (RT-qPCR) are still the gold standard methods to obtain qualitative and quantitative data, respectively. However, virus extraction concentrates from wastewater samples often contain diverse PCR inhibitors, including fats, proteins, and humic substances, which interfere with the PCR reaction (Gibson et al., 2012). They also contribute to bias in metagenomic analysis (Hall et al., 2014). Sometimes, these inhibitors can be minimized by dilution or by the addition of quenching agents.

However, future wastewater virus monitoring will need to depend on the development of better extraction and purification methods. New molecular techniques such as digital PCR (dPCR) can help with some problems. The partitioning effect of distributing the target nucleic acid into thousands of reaction wells has shown to be more sensitive and to decrease the effect of PCR inhibitory substances in complex matrices including wastewater (Polo et al., 2016; Varela et al., 2018).

Next-generation sequencing and metaviroics have the potential to revolutionize viral wastewater surveillance. However, standardized protocols for these technologies remain a challenge. The complexity of the matrix and the high abundance of genetic material in the samples often lead to more conservative results of viral detection as well as to poorer detection limits for a specific virus compared to qPCR (Fernandez-Cassi et al., 2018; Martinez-Puchol et al., 2020). Conversely, they can also detect new variants that are missed by conventional qPCR primer sets as de virus progressively mutate (Adriaenssens et al., 2018).

4.4. SARS-CoV-2 shedding rates and levels in wastewater

The shedding rate is the rate with which viruses are released from the body, and knowing rates are critical linking back wastewater data to human populations (Fig. 1). Many factors can impact the shedding rate of viruses in the feces, including viremia, the duration, severity and the stage of the disease, or age (Chen and Li, 2020). When data on viral loads in wastewater are absent, viral loads in human stool or urine samples may help to predict levels in wastewater during an outbreak event. It should be noted, however, that in contrast to fecal shedding, which occurs in ca. 50% of clinically diagnosed infections, shedding of SARS-CoV-2 in urine is far less common (<5% of confirmed infections) (Peng et al., 2020). SARS-CoV-2 has been detected by RT-qPCR in the feces at concentrations ranging from 10^2 to 10^5 copies/mL (Pan et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020). The duration and shedding rate of the virus in asymptomatic cases, however, still remains extremely uncertain, but is expected to be much lower. In a pandemic scenario, concentrations in wastewater will therefore depend on the number of people infected in the community and the rate at which infected individuals shed the viruses. Regarding SARS-CoV-2, a few studies have assessed the levels in wastewater, ranging from 10^2 to 10^5 copies/L in untreated wastewater (Ahmed et al., 2020; Randazzo et al., 2020; Sherchan et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020; Wurtzer et al., 2020). The establishment of correlations and comparisons between measured viral concentrations in wastewater and the reported clinical cases of disease or the extension of the outbreak in the population is the final goal of WBE. These correlations can serve as a validation for a prediction model that accounts for the factors discussed above, providing evidence for the notion that changes of viral concentrations in wastewater will indicate changes in disease cases in the human population.

4.5. Population normalization

An important step in the application of WBE is the estimation of the contributing population to wastewater samples, namely population normalization. For this purpose, both census and biomarker data can be used, which needs to be independently collected, but also integrated with common units (Fig. 1). Endogenous or exogenous human biomarkers can be used to estimate the serviced population in an area via statistical modeling (Table 1). Quantification of biomarkers would provide context to measured viral concentrations and ensure that differences in viral loads could not be attributed to changes in population. When observed viral concentrations are significantly high relative to the estimated population, a viral outbreak could be indicated (O’Brien and Xagorarakis, 2020; Sims and Kasprzyk-Hordern, 2020). Some substances that have been proposed as population biomarkers are creatinine, cholesterol, coprostanol, nicotine, cortisol, androstenedione, and the serotonin metabolite 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA). However, all these biomarkers require capability in analytical chemistry, and there is still concern when applying these markers to different catchments due to differing consumption or disposal habits, stability, and sorption to particulate matter, which contribute to high uncertainties (Rico et al., 2017). Hence, human nucleic acid has a great potential to act as a population biomarker due to its limited affinity to other species in wastewater, stability, constant excretion by human, and the possibility of being quantifiable using the same pipelines and platforms as the viral nucleic acid of interest. Very promising viral indicators of human activity include crAssphage, pepper mild mosaic virus and adenovirus (Farkas et al., 2019). They are better than other human pathogenic viruses such as norovirus, which demonstrate strong seasonality in wastewater. Total nitrogen, phosphorus, biological oxygen demand and ammonium, have also been proposed as population biomarkers, but these better reflect human activity and industry footprint rather than populations (Choi et al., 2018; Daughton, 2018). Census information is also used in WBE. However, in some cases, this approach can underestimate the population compared to biomarkers (O’Brien et al., 2014).

Normalization of population is crucial to enable inter-city comparisons as well as to ensure that a significant increase in viral concentration in a wastewater sample does not correspond to an increase in population in the serviced area. In this sense, fluctuations in the population pose a challenge to WBE (e.g. due to tourism or commuter activity). Whilst these dynamics may have negligible impact on the levels of biomarkers in large populations, they might contribute to higher uncertainties in smaller populations (Chen et al., 2014; Ort et al., 2014).

4.6. Ethical considerations

WBE does not collect data on individuals so, a priori, the ethical risks are low. However, expanding WBE to include viral infectious
5. Conclusions

- The presence of new highly pathogenic strains of enveloped viruses such as SARS-CoV-1 in wastewater represents a new challenge and an opportunity to employ WBE for its surveillance.
- There is a need for optimized recovery and concentration methods for enveloped viruses, as they have not previously been explored as part of a WBE approach.
- The presence of viral RNA within wastewater, regardless of viral infectivity, constitutes an indirect population-level diagnostic tool.
- Representative sampling, viral concentration in wastewater, population normalization, and ethical guidelines are crucial factors for reliable WBE approach.
- A well validated WBE system is imperative for viral surveillance, particularly with respect to an early warning system for the next human pandemic.
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