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Abstract

Background: Antimicrobial treatment for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AECOPD) remains controversial. In some cases AECOPD are caused by microorganisms that are resistant to treatments recommended by guidelines. Our aims were: 1) identify the risk factors associated with infection by microorganisms resistant to conventional treatment (MRCT), 2) Compare the clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients with AECOPD resulting from MRCT against those with AECOPD from other causes.

Methods: We prospective analysed a cohort of patients admitted with severe AECOPD (2009 to 2015) who were assigned to three groups: patients with MRCT (those patients with germs resistant to antibiotics recommended in guidelines), patients with microorganisms sensitive to conventional antimicrobial treatment (MSCT), and patients with negative microbiology results who had not previously received antibiotics. Multinomial logistic regression analyses were used to examine the associations between microbial aetiology groups and risk factors. The association between LOS and risk factors was also tested in simple and multiple analyses, and similar inclusion criteria were applied for the linear regression analysis.

Results: Of the 451 patients admitted, 195 patients (43%) were included. Respiratory cultures were positive in 86 (44%) and negative in 109 (56%). MRCT were isolated in 34 cases (40%) and MSCT in 52 (60%). Patients with MRCT had more AECOPD in the previous year, received more antibiotic treatment in the previous three months, had more severe disease, higher dyspnoea and a positive respiratory culture in the previous year (mainly for Pseudomonas aeruginosa). The following conditions were independent factors for MRCT isolation: non-current smoker (odds ratio [OR] 4.19 [95% confidence interval [CI] 1.29–13.67], p = 0.017), ≥2 AECOPD or ≥1 admission for AECOPD in the previous year (OR 4.13 [95% CI 1.52–11.17], p = 0.005), C-reactive protein < 5 mg/dL; (OR 3.58 [95% CI 1.41–9.07], p = 0.007). Mortality rates were comparable at 30-days, one year and 3 years; however, patients in the MRCT group had longer hospital stays.

Conclusion: In conclusion, there are risk factors for resistant germs in AECOPD; however, the presence of these germs does not increase mortality. Patients with isolation of MRCT had longer length of stay.
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Background
Acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AECOPD) negatively affect hospitalisation, readmission, disease progression and mortality rates in patients with COPD [1]. Severe AECOPD are mainly triggered by bacterial infection, viral infection or environmental agents, with the most common causes of bacterial infection being *Streptococcus pneumoniae, Haemophilus influenzae* and *Moraxella catarrhalis* [2–4]. Thus, current recommendations for antimicrobial treatment are amoxicillin or clavulanic acid, a macrolide or a tetracycline [5, 6]. AECOPD are infrequently caused by microorganisms—such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* or enterobacteria—that are resistant to these treatments. Guidelines and previous studies of severe AECOPD suggest that these patients have increased frequencies of exacerbations, previous antibiotic use, previous hospital admissions and more severe airflow limitations [2, 5, 7, 8].

At least 30% of COPD patients are colonised by a potential pathogen when in a stable phase of their disease; however only 0.5% are colonised by *Enterobacteriaceae, P. aeruginosa* or *S. maltophilia* [9]. Also, AECOPD are associated with the overgrowth of potential pathogens and with the occurrence of *P. aeruginosa* in the lower airway [10]. Knowing the risk factors to microorganisms resistant to conventional antibiotic treatment (MRCT) in AECOPD could lead to improved prophylaxis and empirical antimicrobial treatment.

We hypothesised that specific factors predict the presence of MRCT. Our primary aim was to identify the risk factors associated with infection by MRCT. Our secondary aim was to compare the clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients with AECOPD resulting from MRCT against those with AECOPD from other causes.

Methods

Study design and patients selection
This observational cohort study was performed between January 2009 and December 2015, and included all patients admitted with a diagnosis of AECOPD to the Respiratory Department of the Hospital Clinic, Barcelona, Spain. COPD was defined according to the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) guidelines [5], with spirometry performed in a stable disease phase and at least six months prior to hospital admission. Patients with a smoking history of 10 pack-years were considered positive smokers. A worsening of respiratory symptoms compared with the preceding days, and which required a change in home care medication, was used as a clinical definition for AECOPD [5, 11]. Exacerbation severity was based on the respiratory symptoms/signs and the presence of potential indications for hospitalisation [5]. The exclusion criteria were: 1) documented history of asthma or bronchiectasis as the predominant illness and 2) clinical pneumonia or acute heart failure identified at admission.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
The study protocol was approved by the Hospital Research and Ethics Committee (CEIC 2008/4106) and the study was conducted in accordance with good clinical practice guidelines and the declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all enrolled patients.

Microbiological evaluation
Sputum samples were obtained at admission for bacterial culture, before starting antibiotic therapy. Routine antimicrobial susceptibility testing included the disc diffusion method or E-test for *P. aeruginosa*. The results of susceptibility testing were interpreted according to the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing guidelines [12]. Multidrug-resistant (MDR), extensively drug resistant (XDR) and pan-drug resistant bacteria were categorised according to criteria set out by Magiorakos et al. [13]. The quality of sputum samples was assessed using the Murray and Washington scoring system. Patients with poor quality sputum samples (> 10 epithelial cells or < 25 leucocytes) were excluded from analysis [14]. Patients with mycobacterial, fungal isolation (e.g., Aspergillus or Candida) or *Nocardia spp.* were also excluded.

Patients were classified into 3 groups: 1) patients with the isolation of microorganism sensitive to conventional treatment (MSCT) according to GOLD guidelines (i.e., amoxicillin with clavulanic acid, a macrolide or a tetracycline); 2) patients with MRCT isolation, (i.e., *P. aeruginosa*, MRSA, *S. maltophilia*, *Enterobacteriaceae* producer of extended spectrum of beta lactamase and *Acinetobacter baumannii*); and 3) patients with negative microbiology results who did not receive antibiotics in the 7 days previous at admission.

Previous antibiotic treatment was not considered as inclusion/exclusion criteria in MRCT or MSCT groups. Nobody patient used macrolide as chronic treatment, thus it was not considered as variable.

Clinical measurements and outcomes
Demographic variables, body mass index (BMI), smoking history (former smoker was considered as those patients who quit smoke more than 12 months), presence of co-morbidities measured by Charlson index [15], baseline dyspnoea grade based in modified medical research council (mMRC), COPD severity score measured by a questionnaire (COPDSS) [16] and BODE index (i.e., BMI, airflow obstruction, dyspnoea and exacerbations) [17], use of long-term oxygen therapy (LTOT) and
use of domiciliary medications (i.e., inhaled bronchodila-
tors, such as short-acting β₂ agonist [SABA], long-acting
β₂ agonist [LABA], anticholinergics or inhaled cortico-
steroids) were recorded at hospital admission. Character-
istics of any exacerbations during the previous year, any
previous antibiotic treatment (3 months before admis-
sion) and any microorganism isolated in the previous
year were also recorded. Vital signs (body temperature,
respiratory rate, heart rate and blood pressure) were
assessed at admission. Arterial blood gases and labora-
tory parameters (i.e., leukocytes, haematocrit, haemoglo-
bin, C-reactive protein, glucose and creatinine) were
recorded at admission and at day 3.

Variables relating to clinical progression included
length of hospital stay (LOS), use of non-invasive mecha-
nical ventilation (NIMV), use of invasive mechanical
ventilation (IMV) and intensive care unit (ICU) admis-
sion during the initial hospitalisation. Data on prognosis
(cumulative number of deaths for all-causes and time to
death) were recorded at 30 days, 1 year and 3 years.

Statistical analysis
We report the number and percentage of patients for
categorical variables and the median and interquartile
range (IQR) for continuous variables. Categorical vari-
bles were compared using the chi-square test, and con-
tinuous variables were compared by one-way analysis
of variance or the nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis test.
Post-hoc pairwise comparisons were carried out via the
Bonferroni method to control for the experiment-wise error
rate. Survival curves were obtained using the Kaplan–Meier
method and compared using the Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon
test. Patients lost to follow-up were censored in the
survival analysis.

Multinomial logistic regression analyses were used to
examine the associations between microbial aetiology
groups (i.e., MRCT or MSCT relative to unknown aeti-
ology) and risk factors (i.e., baseline characteristics and
clinical presentation). Variables were included in the
multivariate model when univariate comparisons yielded
a level of significance of \( p < 0.05 \) due the limited number
of patients in the MRCT and MSCT groups and in order
to exclude bias related to overestimation or underesti-
mation of regression coefficient variance. The final
multivariate model was calculated in a stepwise forward
selection procedure (\( p_{in} = 0.05 \), \( p_{out} = 0.10 \)). To identify
the problem of collinearity, we calculated the \( r \) coeffi-
cient of 2 variables; that is, if 2 independent variables
were highly correlated (\( r > | \pm 0.30| \)), the variable with
the largest variance was excluded from the multivariate
analysis [18]. The association between LOS and risk fac-
tors was also tested in simple and multiple analyses, and
similar inclusion criteria were applied for the linear
regression analysis (\( p < 0.05 \)). The odds ratios (ORs) or
beta coefficients (\( \beta \))s and their 95% confidence intervals
(CIs) were estimated. The Cox and Snell \( R^2 \) and the
Nagelkerke \( R^2 \) were calculated to assess the overall fit of
the multinomial logistic regression model and the \( R^2 \) for
the linear regression model. The area under the receiver
operating characteristic (ROC) curve of the multivariate
model to predict MRCT was calculated. Internal valid-
atation of the prediction models was conducted using or-
dinary nonparametric bootstrapping with 1000 bootstrap
samples and bias-corrected, accelerated, 95% CIs [19].
The same logistic regression analyses for microbiol aeti-
ology groups were also performed but using a multi-
nominal logistic regression model for MRCT with only P.
aeruginosa or MSCT relative to negative microbiology.

We investigated the missing data patterns for covari-
ates, assumed missing at random [20], and used multiple
imputation [21] to generate 5 datasets to evaluate the
prediction performance for the microbial aetiology
group. The model for multiple imputation included all
covariates of the risk models as well as the microbial
aetiology group. For simplicity, in the evaluation of the
performance we filled in missing values with the first set
of imputed values from the multiple imputation.

The level of significance was set at 0.05 (two-tailed).
All analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics
23.0 (Armonk, New York).

Results
Patient characteristics
Of the 451 patients admitted with an AECOPD during
the observation period, 256 (57%) were excluded. The
study population therefore comprised 195 patients
(43%), of which 86 (44%) had positive respiratory cul-
tures and 109 (56%) had negative microbiology and no
history of previous antibiotic therapy (Fig. 1). AECOPD
was associated with MRCT isolation in 34 cases (40%),
and other pathogens were isolated in the remaining 52
cases (60%).

Compared with the other groups, patients with MRCT
tended to be non-current smokers, have more AECOPD
episodes in the previous year, have more hospital admis-
sions in the previous year, receive more antibiotic treat-
ments in the previous 3 months and have more severe
disease (higher mMRC dyspnoea grades, higher BODEx
indexes and higher COPDSS values) (Table 1). No dif-
ferences were observed at baseline in purulent sputum,
Anthonisen AECOPD classification or pulmonary gas
exchange. A higher percentage of patients with MRCT
had a positive respiratory culture in the previous year,
mainly for P. aeruginosa.

Microbiological findings
In the group of patients with MRCT, the most frequent
pathogen was P. aeruginosa (25 patients [74%]). Two
patients had methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*, one patient *S. maltophilia*, another had *A. baumannii* and 5 had polymicrobial aetiology (Fig. 2 and Additional file 1: Table S1). In total, 9 patients (50%) with previous *P. aeruginosa* isolation had received effective treatment. Among the patients with MSCT, *S. pneumoniae* and *H. influenzae* were the most common pathogens (35 and 31%, respectively), but 2 patients had *Enterobacteriaceae* (*Klebsiella* spp. and *Serratia* spp.) that were sensitive to aminopenicillins (Fig. 2). *P. aeruginosa* isolates were categorised as MDR in 10 cases (40%) and XDR in 3 cases (12%).

**Antibiotic treatment**

Data on antibiotic treatment were available for 163 patients (88%). The most frequent regimen was fluoroquinolone monotherapy (*n* = 82; 50%), penicillin monotherapy (*n* = 27; 17%) and antibiotic combination therapy (*n* = 44; 27%). The group with MRCT received more combination therapy (*p* = 0.003) and less fluoroquinolone monotherapy (*p* = 0.012) compared with the other 2 groups. The most frequent used combinations were β-lactam plus macrolide in the MSCT group and fluoroquinolones based combinations in the MRCT group. Empirical antimicrobial treatment was inadequate in 20 cases with positive microbiology (24%), of which 15 (44%) and 5 (10%) were among patients with MRCT and MSCT, respectively (*p* < 0.001).

**Risk factors for MRCT and MSCT**

The following risk factors showed significant associations with the microbial aetiology groups in individual multinomial logistic regression, and were thus used for the initial multivariate model: smoker status, ≥2 AECOPD episode or ≥1 AECOPD admission in the previous year, bronchiectasis, LTOT, BODEx index and C-reactive protein (data not shown). The results of the multivariate model are displayed in Table 2. The model shows that the OR for MRCT isolation was significantly increased if the patients was a non-current smoker, had ≥2 AECOPD episodes or ≥1 AECOPD admission in the previous year and had a low systemic inflammatory
response. The OR for MSCT isolation, however, was strongly decreased with a high BODEx index (4th quartile) (Table 3). The AUC was 0.80 (95% CI, 0.72–0.87) for the model predictive of MRCT isolation (Fig. 3). The data for internal validation of the logistic regression model (using bootstrapping with 1000 samples) are

Table 1 Patient characteristics

| Variable                                                | Patients with microorganisms resistant to conventional treatment (n = 34) | Patients with microorganisms sensitive to conventional treatment (n = 52) | Patients with negative microbiology (n = 109) | P value |
|---------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------|
| Age, mean (SD), years                                   | 73 (10)                                                                | 71 (10)                                                                  | 71 (11)                                      | 0.546   |
| Male sex, n (%)                                         | 29 (85)                                                                | 43 (83)                                                                  | 91 (83)                                      | 0.950   |
| BMI, mean (SD), Kg/m²                                    | 28 (5)                                                                | 27 (5)                                                                   | 27 (5)                                       | 0.756   |
| Current smoker, n (%)                                   | 4 (12)bc                                                              | 21 (40)a                                                                 | 43 (39)a                                     | 0.008   |
| Packs/year, median (IQR)                                | 33 (30; 90)                                                           | 50 (40; 60)                                                              | 60 (50; 95)                                  | 0.043   |
| AECOPD in the previous year, n (%)                      | 25 (74)b                                                              | 25 (48)                                                                  | 41 (38)a                                     | 0.001   |
| ≥2 AECOPD in the previous year, n (%)                   | 16 (47)c                                                              | 16 (31)                                                                  | 19 (18)a                                     | 0.003   |
| Admissions by AECOPD in the previous year, n (%)        | 20 (59)c                                                              | 17 (33)                                                                  | 28 (26)a                                     | 0.002   |
| ≥2 AECOPD or ≥1 admission for AECOPD in the previous year, n (%) | 24 (71)c                                                              | 21 (40)                                                                  | 32 (30)                                      | < 0.001 |
| Prior antibiotic treatment (last 3 months), n (%)       | 26 (79)b,c                                                            | 22 (43)c,a                                                               | 22 (21)a,b                                  | < 0.001 |
| Prior antibiotic treatment, n (%)                       | 9 (27)                                                                | 14 (27)                                                                  | 0 (0)                                        | < 0.001 |
| Inhaled corticosteroids use, n (%)                      | 17 (50)                                                               | 19 (41)                                                                  | 35 (38)                                      | 0.480   |
| Bronchiectasis, n (%)                                   | 13 (48)                                                               | 10 (28)                                                                  | 29 (38)                                      | 0.251   |
| Long-term oxygen therapy, n (%)                         | 18 (53)c                                                              | 15 (29)                                                                  | 31 (28)a                                     | 0.023   |
| Charlson index, median (IQR)                            | 2 (1; 3)                                                              | 2 (1; 3)                                                                  | 2 (1; 3)                                     | 0.459   |
| BODEx index, median (IQR)                               | 3 (0; 6)c                                                              | 0 (0; 4.5)                                                               | 0 (0; 0)a                                    | 0.001   |
| mMRC Dyspnoea, median (IQR)                             | 3 (2; 3)c                                                              | 2 (1; 3)a                                                                | 2 (1; 3)a                                    | < 0.001 |
| COPDSS, median (IQR)                                    | 19 (14; 21)b                                                           | 15 (9; 19)a                                                              | 13 (8; 18)a                                  | < 0.001 |
| FEV₁, median (IQR), % ref                               | 33 (27; 41)                                                           | 45 (31; 55)                                                              | 39 (28; 57)                                  | 0.073   |
| FEV₁ < 35% ref, n (%)                                   | 17 (55)                                                               | 13 (28)                                                                  | 39 (41)                                      | 0.064   |
| Positive sputum cultures in the previous year, n (%)    | 18 (53)b,c                                                            | 12 (23)a                                                                | 11 (10)a                                     | < 0.001 |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa in the previous year, n (%)      | 8 (44)                                                                | 1 (8)                                                                    | 1 (9)                                        | 0.048   |
| Respiratory rate, mean (SD)                             | 22 (20; 26)                                                           | 22 (20; 28)                                                              | 24 (20; 26)                                  | 0.423   |
| Anthonisen classification, n (%)                        | 17 (52)                                                               | 20 (40)                                                                  | 42 (40)                                      | 0.793   |
| Type I                                                  | 9 (27)                                                                | 19 (38)                                                                  | 38 (36)                                      |         |
| Type II                                                 | 7 (21)                                                                | 11 (22)                                                                  | 25 (24)                                      |         |
| Purulent sputum, n (%)                                  | 18 (55)                                                               | 18 (36)                                                                  | 41 (39)                                      | 0.203   |
| Haemoglobin, median (IQR), gr/L                         | 134 (120; 146)                                                        | 142 (127; 151)                                                           | 139 (124; 153)                               | 0.159   |
| pH, median (IQR)                                        | 7.40 (7.36; 7.45)                                                     | 7.39 (7.34; 7.43)                                                        | 7.39 (7.35; 7.43)                            | 0.907   |
| PaCO₂, median (IQR), mmHg                               | 49 (42; 61)                                                           | 44 (38; 58)                                                              | 45 (38; 58)                                  | 0.340   |
| PaO₂/FiO₂, median (IQR), mmHg                           | 257 (230; 321)                                                        | 248 (207; 293)                                                           | 267 (232; 311)                               | 0.490   |
| C-reactive protein, median (IQR), mg/dL                 | 2.5 (1.5; 5.4)                                                        | 5.4 (1.5; 17.4)                                                          | 4.9 (1.6; 12.8)                              | 0.113   |

Abbreviations: AECOPD acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, BMI body mass index, BODEx body mass index, airflow obstruction, dyspnoea and exacerbations, COPDSS chronic obstructive pulmonary disease severity score, FEV₁ forced expiratory volume in the 1st second, IQR interquartile range, mMRC modified medical research council, SD standard deviation

Data are shown as number and percentage of patients, mean (SD), or median (1st quartile; 3rd quartile)

Percentages are calculated on non-missing data

*P < 0.05 vs. Patients with microorganisms resistant to conventional treatment

**P < 0.05 vs. Patients with microorganisms sensitive to conventional treatment

p < 0.05 vs. Patients with negative microbiology

Percentages calculated for patients with positive sputum cultures in the previous year

Bold italic entries indicate statistical significance
presented in Additional file 1: Table S2. The variables included in the model demonstrated robust results, with small 95% CIs around the original coefficients. When differentiating MRCT with and without *P. aeruginosa*, previous isolation of *P. aeruginosa* was the most important predictor of *P. aeruginosa* isolation (Additional file 1: Table S3, Table S4 and Figure S1).

**Outcomes**

Patients with MRCT had longer median hospital stays than the other 2 groups (9 days [7–14] vs. 8 days [6–10] in both cases, respectively; \(p = 0.026\)) (Table 3). No differences were observed in ICU admission, IMV or NIMV rates among the groups. Mortality rates were comparable at 30-days, one year and 3 years, and the number of AECOPD after discharge did not differ among the groups. The Kaplan-Meier survival curves depicting the 3-year mortality rates as a function of the 3 microbial aetiology groups are shown in Fig. 4. No differences were observed when comparing patients with adequate and inadequate empiric antibiotic treatment in each group (MRCT and MSCT) or in overall population (Additional file 1: Table S5).

The simple linear regression analysis revealed several variables significantly associated with length of hospital stay (Table 4). BODeX index, CRP levels, requirement of mechanical ventilation (invasive or non-invasive) and isolation of MRCT were those independently

---

**Fig. 2** Microbial aetiology. Panel a Microorganisms Resistant to Conventional Treatment \((n = 34)\). Panel b Patients with Microorganisms Sensitive to Conventional Treatment \((n = 52)\). Polymicrobial Isolation includes: 3 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, 2 *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*, 1 *Staphylococcus aureus*, 3 *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, 1 other. Others isolation include: 3 *Corynebacterium* spp., 2 *Pasteurella* spp., 1 *Capnocytophaga* spp.
associated with length of hospital stay in the multiple analyses. The data for internal validation of the linear regression model (using bootstrapping with 1000 samples) are presented in Additional file 1: Table S8. The variables included in the model demonstrated robust results, with small 95% CIs around the original coefficients.

Patients with \textit{P. aeruginosa} isolation in the MRCT group had higher hospital stays than patients without \textit{P. aeruginosa} in the same group. Patients with isolation of MDR or XDR \textit{P. aeruginosa} had the longest stays, but there was no difference in mortality (Additional file 1: Table S3, Table S4, Figure S1). The 3-year mortality among patients with \(<2\) AECOPD episodes and no admissions for AECOPD in the previous year differed significantly between groups in the Kaplan-Meier analysis ($p=0.019$; Additional file 1: Figure S2).

## Discussion

In our study, we analysed 3 well-characterised groups, comparing patients with MRCTs against controls groups of patients with MSCTs and patients with negative microbiology.

### Table 2 Multinomial logistic regression model for microorganisms resistant to conventional treatment or microorganisms sensitive to conventional treatment relative to negative microbiology

| Variable | Patients with microorganisms resistant to conventional treatment | OR | 95% CI | $P$ value | Patients with microorganisms sensitive to conventional treatment | OR | 95% CI | $P$ value |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----|--------|-----------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----|--------|-----------|
| Non-current smoker | 4.19 1.29 to 13.67 0.017 | 0.78 0.38 to 1.59 | 0.49 |
| $\geq 2$ AECOPD or 1 admission by AECOPD in the previous year | 4.13 1.52 to 11.17 0.005 | 1.75 0.76 to 3.99 | 0.19 |
| BODEex index | | | |
| 1st quartile: 0–2 | 1 1 1 |
| 2nd quartile: 3–4 | 2.32 0.67 to 7.98 0.18 | 0.62 0.21 to 1.88 | 0.40 |
| 3rd quartile: 5–6 | 1.85 0.58 to 5.90 0.30 | 1.12 0.44 to 2.88 | 0.82 |
| 4th quartile: 7–9 | 0.48 0.10 to 2.33 0.37 | 0.14 0.03 to 0.70 | 0.016 |
| C-reactive protein < 5 mg/dL at admission | 3.58 1.41 to 9.07 0.007 | 1.14 0.57 to 2.27 | 0.72 |

### Table 3 Clinical outcomes

| Variable | Patients with microorganisms resistant to conventional treatment ($n=34$) | Patients with microorganisms sensitive to conventional treatment ($n=52$) | Patients with negative microbiology ($n=109$) | $P$ value |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|
| AECOPD after 30 days of discharge, n (%) | 24 (73) 21 (47) | 57 (56) | 0.070 |
| Number of AECOPD after 30 days of discharge, median (IQR) | 1 (0; 3) 0 (0; 2) | 1 (0; 1) | 0.075 |
| Time to the next AECOPD, median (IQR), days | 39 (19; 170) 52 (27; 166) | 86 (26; 182) | 0.577 |
| Length of stay, median (IQR), days | 9 (7; 14) 8 (6; 10.5) | 8 (6; 10) | 0.026 |
| ICU admission, n (%) | 4 (12) 6 (12) | 12 (11) | 0.981 |
| IMV, n (%) | 2 (6) 1 (2) | 3 (2) | 0.564 |
| NIMV, n (%) | 6 (18) 10 (19) | 16 (15) | 0.780 |
| 30-day mortality, n (%) | 1 (3) 1 (2) | 4 (4) | 0.834 |
| 1-year mortality, n (%) | 11 (32) 12 (23) | 19 (17) | 0.173 |
| 3-years mortality, n (%) | 16 (59) 19 (56) | 40 (43) | 0.211 |

**Abbreviations:** AECOPD indicates acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation, BODEex body mass index, airflow obstruction, dyspnoea and exacerbations, CI confidence interval, OR odds ratio

Data are shown as estimated ORs (95% CIs) of the explanatory variables observed at admission of patients in the microorganisms resistant to conventional treatment (MRCT) and microorganisms sensitive to conventional treatment (MSCT) groups. The OR is defined as the probability of membership of the groups MRCT or MSCT divided by the probability of membership of the negative microbiology group.

The $P$ value is based on the null hypothesis that all ORs relating to an explanatory variable equal unity.

Model characteristics: likelihood ratio $X^2$ test, $p=0.48$; $R^2$ coefficients = 0.21 (Cox and Snell), 0.24 (Nagelkerke).

**Discussion**

In our study, we analysed 3 well-characterised groups, comparing patients with MRCTs against controls groups of patients with MSCTs and patients with negative microbiology.
microbiology and no previous antibiotic use. Our analyses revealed that not currently smoking, ≥ 2 AECOPD episodes or ≥ 1 admission for AECOPD in the last year, and a low systemic inflammatory response at admission were independent risk factors for AECOPD caused by an MRCT. However, although patients with MRCT had longer hospital stays, they did not have higher mortality or more severe AECOPD than the control groups. At baseline, patients with MRCT had more severe disease, as measured by the dyspnoea scale, COPDSS scale, BODE index and history of previous AECOPD. There were no differences in symptoms or pulmonary gas exchange features at admission.

AECOPD are events that mark disease progression, and as taken into account by the GOLD guidelines [5], are as important as airflow limitation. Indeed, it is evident that there are patients who are susceptible to frequent exacerbations, and in these, the most important predictor of future episodes is the history of AECOPD [22]; however, the association with microbiologic data has been poorly analysed to date.

A low systemic inflammatory response was a risk factor for MRCT isolation in this study, which could be due to lower virulence or reduced ability to produce acute phase reactants in the presence of these microorganisms. Similar results were observed in patients with community
acquired pneumonia or ventilator associated pneumonia in whom *P. aeruginosa* was isolated [23, 24].

There was also an association between smoking status and MRCT isolation, specifically in favour of non-current smoking status. It is known that smoke increases upper respiratory tract colonisation of *S. pneumoniae, H. influenzae, M. catarrhalis* and *S. pneumoniae* [9], and that smoking facilitates colonisation of the lung with these bacteria [9]. This is probably related to the decreased phagocytic ability of alveolar macrophages and the decreased cytokine response associated with smoking [26, 27]. The association between smoking status and MRCT isolation in this study should not, therefore, weaken the recommendation for smoking cessation for all patients. Other explanations to this point maybe those individuals who develop respiratory symptoms due to more severe disease being more likely to quit smoking.

Previous studies have produced controversial data about the presence of *P. aeruginosa* in isolates, though they have tended to show that sensitive *P. aeruginosa* had higher mortality [28–31]. We found no differences in mortality between patients with *P. aeruginosa*, including those with MDR strains. In other respiratory diseases, such as cystic fibrosis or non-cystic fibrosis bronchiectasis, microbiologic isolation of *P. aeruginosa* and MRSA has been shown to have an important role in disease progression [32–36]. Although there is evidence that eradication with antibiotic treatment would be beneficial in these diseases, there is no such evidence that similar benefits would exist for patients with COPD.

The role of antimicrobial treatment remains controversial in AECOPD. With the exception of patients who require mechanical ventilation and ICU admission, the benefits of antibiotic treatment are limited, and are mainly observed in patients with purulent sputum or in those with AECOPD graded as type I by the Anthonisen classification [37–41]. The effect of inadequate antibiotic treatment is poorly understood in patients with AECOPD. In this study, we did not observe any differences in outcomes between patients with inadequate and adequate empiric antibiotic treatment.

The predictive factors identified in this study represent the first step in the development of a prediction model. To move forward, the potential model will need to undergo external validation with larger patient cohorts from multiple centres. We could also apply the results of internal validation techniques to understand how likely this model will be replicable to future studies and to studies at other centres. Bootstrapping techniques were applied to our data, and the results indicated that the coefficients obtained from the prediction model were quite robust. Notably, previous *P. aeruginosa* isolation was the one factor that the bootstrap analysis indicated might have limited repeatability in future work. Thus, we opted to remove previous *P. aeruginosa* isolation from the overall model and include it in a specific multivariate analysis for *P. aeruginosa*. In the real-world clinical setting where this prediction model could be used, previous *P. aeruginosa* isolation remains an important clinical characteristic that can play a substantial role in decision making.

We did not observe any differences in the majority of outcomes when comparing MRCT vs non-MRCT exacerbations. However, length of stay was longer in the MRCT group. This is an important outcome to be taken into account to make efforts in predicting and treating these microorganisms in AECOPD.

| Variable                                      | Simple         | Multiple      |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
|                                               | β   | 95% CI       | P value  | β   | 95% CI       | P value  |
| ≥2 AECOPD or 1 admission by AECOPD in the previous year | 1.89 | 0.05 a 3.72  | 0.044 | –   | –            | –        |
| Bronchiectasis                                | 1.70 | –0.19 a 3.61 | 0.079 | –   | –            | –        |
| Long-term oxygen therapy                      | 1.73 | –0.19 a 3.66 | 0.077 | –   | –            | –        |
| BODEX index                                   | 0.89 | 0.08 a 1.70  | 0.032 | 0.81 | 0.04 a 1.59  | 0.039    |
| C-reactive protein (mg/dL)                    | –1.56 | –3.37 a 0.26 | 0.092 | –   | –            | –        |
| PaCO₂                                         | 2.14 | 0.34 a 3.94  | 0.020 | –   | –            | –        |
| Previous positive sputum culture for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* | 5.88 | 1.83 a 9.92  | 0.005 | –   | –            | –        |
| Adequate Empiric Treatment                    | –1.54 | –2.86 a –0.22 | 0.023 | –   | –            | –        |
| Invasive mechanical ventilation               | 8.06 | 2.91 a 13.21 | 0.002 | 5.92 | 0.82 a 11.02 | 0.023    |
| Non-invasive mechanical ventilation           | 3.82 | 1.45 a 6.19  | 0.002 | 3.18 | 0.84 a 5.53  | 0.008    |
| MRCT Isolation                                | 3.53 | 1.19 a 5.88  | 0.003 | 3.11 | 0.84 a 5.37  | 0.007    |

Abbreviations: β unstandardized beta coefficient, AECOPD indicates acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation, BODEX body mass index, airflow obstruction, dyspnoea and exacerbations, CI confidence interval

*Adjusted R² coefficient of determination = 11.8%
Our study has some limitations that should be acknowledged. First, the study was carried out at only one centre in Spain. Second, the small sample limited the analysis of specific factors per bacterium. There is limited information about MRCT isolation in patients with AECOPD, and where there is, it is mainly for bacteria other than *P. aeruginosa*. A confirmation of our results in a large and well balanced, international cohort of AECOPD is therefore desirable. Finally, other limitation of this study was the use of sputum cultures and the potential difficulty to distinguish between colonization and infection. However, we only accepted samples of good quality and we did not culture those of low quality. In addition this is the usual way to diagnose lower airway infection in AECOPD in the majority of studies, given that performing bronchoscopy for diagnosis of lower airway infection in AECOPD is extremely difficult. Moreover we validated sputum cultures some years ago in comparison with bronchoscopic samples [42].

Conclusions
In conclusion, non-current smoking status, ≥2 AECOPD episodes or ≥1 admissions for AECOPD in the previous year, and low systemic inflammatory response are independent risk factors to have an AECOPD caused by a MRCT. Length of stay was significantly longer in AECOPD caused by MRCT microorganisms.
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