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Abstract: Polyatomic molecules have several electronic states that have similar energies. Consequently, their chemical dynamics often involve nonadiabatic transitions between multiple potential energy surfaces. Elucidating the complex reactions of polyatomic molecules is one of the most important tasks of theoretical and experimental studies of chemical dynamics. This paper describes our recent experimental studies of the multidimensional multisurface dynamics of polyatomic molecules based on two-dimensional ion/electron imaging. It also discusses ultrafast photoelectron spectroscopy of liquids for elucidating nonadiabatic electronic dynamics in aqueous solutions.
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1. Introduction: imaging reactive molecular scattering

Liquid is the most important phase of matter in chemistry and biology. However, it is very difficult to study bimolecular reaction dynamics in liquids due to the billions of collisions occurring per second in liquids. These collisions obscure the initial conditions of the reacting pair and obliterate the nascent product state distributions. Consequently, the most detailed studies of bimolecular reactions employ crossed atomic and molecular beams in vacuum. The crossed-beam technique prepares well-defined quantum states and velocities of reactants and interrogates the products and their velocities. This method was first demonstrated in 1955. It was initially applied only to the reactions of alkali metal atoms due to its low detection sensitivity of products; this period of research is often referred to as the “alkali age” of molecular beam research. In the late 1960s, a universal detector was developed. This detector employed electron bombardment ionization and quadrupole mass analysis, and it enabled the velocity distribution (i.e., speed and angular distributions) of virtually any chemical species to be measured with mass selection. Crossed-beam experiments using this universal detector revealed fundamental aspects of chemical reaction dynamics for which the Nobel Prize for Chemistry was awarded to Lee and Herschbach (with Polanyi) in 1986. However, the universal detector is unable to select the quantum (rotational, vibrational and electronic) states of reaction products, which limits the usefulness of this methodology. Since the 1970s, efforts have been made to develop new experimental techniques to perform quantum-state-selective measurements of the velocity distributions of reaction products. Of these techniques, two-dimensional ion imaging is the most powerful.

Experimental and theoretical studies since the 1950s have greatly advanced our understanding of atom + diatom reactions such as H + H₂ and F + H₂. Extension of such studies to atom + polyatom and diatom + diatom systems is critical for elucidating the multidimensional dynamics of polyatomic molecules. When studying these reactions, it is essential to measure the velocity distributions of quantum-state-selected reaction products. To understand why, we compare the atom + diatom reaction, O(1D) + H₂ → OH + H and the atom + polyatom reaction...
reaction, $O(1D) + CH_4 \rightarrow OH + CH_3$. If the $O(1D)$ and $H_2$ beams collide with a well-defined kinetic energy, the velocity distribution of H can be used to determine the internal energy distribution of OH by applying the energy and momentum conservation laws. However, for the reaction $O(1D) + CH_4$, mass-selected velocity measurements of OH or CH$_3$ do not provide the internal energies of the products OH or CH$_3$ because their velocities are determined by the total internal energies of both OH and CH$_3$.

Figure 1 presents the velocity distribution of the OH product of the reaction $O(1D) + CH_4 \rightarrow OH + CH_3$ measured using the universal detector. The anisotropic angular distribution indicates that the reaction does not generate any long-lived reaction intermediate. On the other hand, this structureless speed distribution is not very useful for elucidating the dynamics. The following explanation describes how quantum-state-selected measurements of products provide deeper insights into the dynamics of the reaction $O(1D) + CH_4$.

The $O(1D)$ state is a highly reactive metastable state of an O atom. Since $O(1D)$ is the primary photodissociation product of stratospheric ozone, $O_3 + h\nu \rightarrow O(1D) + O_2(\Delta_g)$, the $O(1D)$ reaction is of great interest for atmospheric chemistry. $O(1D)$ reactions with an aliphatic hydrocarbon are representative “insertion” reactions as they proceed via a complex produced by inserting $O(1D)$ into a chemical bond. The high reactivity of $O(1D)$ originates from the attractive long-range force in the insertion pathway: in the case of $O(1D) + CH_4$, $O(1D)$ can attack the C–H bond of methane to form a methanol intermediate that subsequently breaks up into CH$_3$ + OH (the insertion pathway). On the other hand, product analyses performed in the 1960s and 1970s suggest the existence of a direct “abstraction” pathway: $O(1D)$ attacks the H atom collinearly with the C–H bond and abstracts the H atom to form CH$_3$ + OH without any reaction intermediate (the abstraction pathway). The abstraction pathway has an activation barrier. The origins of these two pathways can be understood by considering the reaction $O(1D) + H_2(1\Sigma_g^+) \rightarrow OH(2\Pi) + H(2S)$. The electronic symmetry of this system is either $1A'$ or $1A''$ depending on the reflection symmetry of the electronic wave function of $O(1D)$ relative to the molecular plane defined by the three atoms because the electronic wave function of $H_2(1\Sigma_g^+)$ is symmetric for reflection. Since $O(1D)$ is a five-fold degenerate state, five potential energy surfaces are created by interaction with H$_2$. Of these, the $1A'$ state is correlated with the ground electronic state of H$_2$ and provides the insertion pathway, while the $1A''$ state leads to the abstraction pathway. A similar symmetry argument applies to the local symmetry.
of the O, C and H atoms in O(1D2) + CH4(1A1) → OH(2Π) + CH3(2Aγ′) and it explains the duality of the reaction pathway.

In the early 1990s, we used infrared diode laser absorption spectroscopy to measure the vibrational state distribution of CH3 radicals in the reaction O(1D2) + CH3 → OH + CH3.26) Radicals were observed in low vibrational states of the ν2 (out-of-plane bending) mode, even though the structure of the CH3 moiety changes from pyramidal to planar during the reaction.27) This result contrasts with the inverted vibrational distribution of OH.26,27) These studies of the rovibrational state distributions of the products could not identify the elusive abstraction pathway.26,27)

The scattering distributions (especially the backward scattering distribution) of the products are critical for identifying the abstraction pathway. Since the insertion pathway has long-range attractive interactions between O(1D2) and CH4 in the entrance channel, collisions with large impact parameters (i.e., glancing collisions) should dominate the reaction. Consequently, the CH3 products of the insertion pathway are strongly scattered in the forward direction (i.e., the initial beam direction of CH4). If the CH3OH complex has a sufficiently long lifetime, it will rotate before breaking up into CH3 and OH, so that the angular distribution of OH will extend in the backward direction.25) On the other hand, the abstraction pathway has an extremely short reaction time so that the product angular distribution is predominantly determined by the transition state geometry. The transition state has a nearly collinear O–H–C geometry, which provides backward scattering. The angular distribution in Fig. 1 exhibits strong forward scattering, indicating that insertion is the main pathway and that the methanol complex has a very short lifetime. The distribution reveals the backward scattering component, but it is not clear whether the back-scattered products originate from the “osculating” (short-lived) CH3OH complex28) in the insertion pathway or from an abstraction pathway.

In order to investigate O(1D2) reaction with methane in greater detail, we constructed a crossed molecular beam apparatus with two-dimensional ion imaging, as schematically shown in Fig. 2.29)–32) The key component of this apparatus is the intense O(1D2) beam source that employs 157 nm photodissociation of O2 [O2 + hν → O(1D2) + O(3P3)]. O2 is dissociated in the supersonic expansion of the carrier (rare) gas to maximize the flux of O(1D2) and control their velocities; since the speed of O(1D2) essentially becomes the same as that of the carrier gas, the collision energy between O(1D2) and methane can be varied by changing the rare gas (He, Ne, Ar, or Kr) used as the carrier. The O(1D2) atomic beam is crossed with a CD4 molecular beam in a scattering chamber33,34) and the CD3 product is state-selectively ionized by resonance-enhanced multiphoton ionization via the 3p Rydberg state. The CD3+ ions is accelerated in an electric field and projected onto a two-dimensional position-sensitive detector.33,34) The observed image is corrected for the apparatus function and numerically inverted to the speed and angular distributions of CD3.

Figure 3 shows examples of the speed and angular distributions inverted from the observed images of the CD3 product in the vibrational ground state.33) Figures 3(a)–(c) correspond to the final rotational states of J = 3, 5 and 7, respectively. All these distributions exhibit strong forward scattering, in agreement with Fig. 1. The striking feature of the distributions in Fig. 3 is that the backward scattering component exhibits a discrete speed distribution. The kinetic energy of the state-selected CD3 is related to the internal energy of OD by the following energy conservation relation:
\[ \Delta H + E_{\text{coll}} - E_{\text{rovib}}(\text{CD}_3) - E_{\text{trans}} = E_{\text{rovib}}(\text{OD}) \] [1]

where $\Delta H$ is the exothermicity of the reaction, $E_{\text{coll}}$ is the collision energy, $E_{\text{rovib}}(\text{CD}_3)$ is the rovibrational energy of CD$_3$, $E_{\text{trans}}$ is the total translational energy of both products, and $E_{\text{rovib}}(\text{OD})$ is the rovibrational energy of OD. The observed speed distributions of CD$_3$ reveal that the counterpart OD radicals are formed in the vibrationally excited states of $v = 4$–6. The strong vibrational excitation of OD is typical for an abstraction reaction with an early barrier. The discrete ring structure indicates that rotation of OD is only weakly excited. This weak rotational excitation is indicative of the collinear transition state that is expected for the abstraction pathway; the observed feature of backward scattering is rather similar to that of F($^2P$) + CD$_4$.\textsuperscript{35} The abstraction/insertion ratio diminishes for higher rotational states in Fig. 3 because the abstraction process has weaker rotational excitation than the insertion process. The forward scattering is structureless, even in our state-resolved measurements. This implies that the rotational excitation of the OD counterpart is quite strong in the insertion mechanism, in agreement with laser-induced fluorescence measurements of OH for O($^1D$) + CH$_4$.\textsuperscript{27}

The angular distributions of CD$_3$ in the excited vibrational states of $\nu_1$ (out-of-plane bending) mode (data not shown) exhibit essentially the same characteristics as the vibrational ground state. However, as shown in Fig. 4, the CD$_3$ products in the excited state of a symmetric C–D stretching vibration ($\nu_1$) do not exhibit a discrete speed distribution in the backward direction, indicating that CD$_3$ ($\nu_1 = 1$) is produced only in the insertion pathway; the excitation of C–D stretching is ascribed to vibrational coupling in the methanol intermediate. The strong forward scattering in Fig. 4 indicates that the CD$_3$OD complex has an extremely short lifetime. The vibrational distribution of CD$_3$ measured by the crossed-beam experiment is consistent with weak vibrational excitation of CH$_3$ observed by infrared diode laser spectroscopy.\textsuperscript{26} We measured the rotational distribution of CD$_3$ produced by crossed-beam scattering and found that CD$_3$ radicals are created in low $K$ states, where $K$ is the quantum number of the angular momentum projected on the top axis of the CD$_3$ radical. Low $K$ states correspond to tumbling rotation of CD$_3$.\textsuperscript{33} Very recently, we performed a similar crossed-beam imaging experiment on O($^1D_2$) + CH$_4$ → OH + CH$_3$ and observed
essentially the same characteristics as O(1D) + CD₄ → OD + CD₃. Figure 5 shows a graphical depiction of the reaction mechanism of O(1D) + CH₄ → OH + CH₃.

Theoretical estimates of the reaction barrier height have ambiguities of ca. 2 kcal/mol. Ab initio calculations using CASPT2 and the basis set 6-311G(2df, 2pd) predict that the insertion pathway is barrierless, whereas the abstraction pathway has a barrier height of 1.2 kcal/mol. Restricted internally contracted multireference configuration interaction calculations at the complete basis set limit predict that the insertion pathway will have a barrier height of 1.47 kcal/mol (without correcting for the zero-point energy). Further experimental studies are currently being conducted in our laboratory to investigate the reaction barriers and the collision energy dependence of the dynamics. Accurate dynamical calculations of the ab initio potential energy surfaces are highly computationally demanding, yet they will be very useful for understanding the dynamics.

2. Ultrafast photoelectron imaging: real-time observation of nonadiabatic dynamics

Although sophisticated crossed-beam ion imaging experiments provide fine details of reaction dynamics, they observe only the products after a reaction occurs. Some important aspects of chemical reaction dynamics can be studied only by real-time observations. One example is the nonadiabatic dynamics of polyatomic molecules. The Born–Oppenheimer approximation facilitates a quantum-mechanical description of a chemical reaction by enabling the equations of motions for electrons and nuclei to be separated. In the Born–Oppenheimer approximation, chemical reactions are viewed as classical trajectories or quantum-mechanical wave packet motions of the nuclear geometry on electronic potential energy surfaces. However, the Born–Oppenheimer approximation breaks down when different electronic states are close in energy; this gives rise to complex yet interesting nonadiabatic dynamics. Nonadiabatic transitions frequently occur in polyatomic molecules because they have several electronic states with similar energies and their multidimensional potential energy surfaces frequently undergo avoided crossings. It is thus important to identify nonadiabatic transitions in experimental and theoretical studies of polyatomic reactions.

Real-time observations of bimolecular reactions are not currently feasible because the timing of collisions cannot be controlled more accurately than the reaction time. Consequently, bimolecular dynamics is studied only from the asymptotic states of products using the crossed molecular beam scattering, as described in the previous section. On the other hand, ultrafast spectroscopy permits real-time observation of the nonadiabatic dynamics of photoinduced unimolecular reactions.

Pyrazine (C₄H₄N₂) is one of the most fundamental heteroaromatic molecules. Its broad S₂(1B₁, ππ*)–S₀(1Ag) photoabsorption spectrum suggests that the S₂ state undergoes ultrafast electronic deactivation (internal conversion) within 30 fs. This ultrafast deactivation originates from the conical intersection between the S₂ and S₁(1B₃u, nπ*) potential energy surfaces (see Fig. 6). In a diatomic molecule, the von Neumann–Wigner noncrossing rule prohibits degeneracy of electronic states with the same symmetry so that their potential energy curves have avoided crossings. For a nonlinear polyatomic molecule with N vibrational degrees of freedom, potential energy surfaces can be degenerate to form a seam of crossings in N–2 dimensional space (known as a conical intersection). The conical intersection is the most important topographical feature of multidimensional surfaces of polyatomic molecules. Ultrafast internal conversion of pyrazine via conical intersection has been extensively investigated theoretically.
Since a nonadiabatic transition abruptly alters the electronic character, it is most sensitively detected by measuring the electron distribution. However, the total electron density of a molecule is not the most suitable observable for this purpose because the total electron density distribution in a molecule does not change at the instant of a nonadiabatic transition. Photoelectron spectroscopy enables selective observation of valence electrons that play central roles in nonadiabatic transitions. The angular distribution of a photoelectron is a particularly important observable because a nonadiabatic transition changes the three-dimensional shape of an occupied electron orbital and affects the photoelectron wavefunction. Ultrafast photoelectron imaging visualizes the photoelectron speed and angular distributions as a movie with a femtosecond time resolution and enables identification of nonadiabatic transitions.

How are the photoelectron kinetic energy and angular distributions expressed? In the absence of an external electromagnetic field, molecules in the gas phase have random alignment of the molecular axes. One-photon absorption induced by a linearly polarized pump pulse promotes molecules to an excited state whose transition dipole moments are favorably aligned with the electric field vector of the pump pulse. The probe pulse induces ejection of a photoelectron from this aligned ensemble of molecules in the excited state. Based on these two optical steps, the final photoelectron kinetic energy and angular distribution can be expressed by

\[
I(E, \theta, t) = \frac{\sigma(E, t)}{4\pi} \left\{ 1 + \beta_2(E, t) P_2(\cos \theta) + \beta_4(E, t) P_4(\cos \theta) \right\}
\]

[2]

for a probe pulse whose linear polarization is parallel to that of the pump pulse. In this expression, \(E\) is the photoelectron kinetic energy, \(\theta\) is the electron ejection angle relative to the laser polarization direction, and \(t\) is the pump–probe time delay. \(P_n(x)\) is the \(n\)th-order Legendre polynomial. \(\sigma(E, t)\) represents the photoelectron kinetic energy distribution (i.e., the photoelectron spectrum). The anisotropy parameters, \(\beta_2(E, t)\) and \(\beta_4(E, t)\), contain information about the spatial distribution of an ionized orbital. Two-dimensional maps of \(\sigma(E, t)\) and \(\beta_2(E, t)\) against time and energy are the key observables for analyzing nonadiabatic dynamics.

Ultrafast photoelectron imaging combines the ultrafast pump–probe method and two-dimensional position-sensitive detection of electrons. An expanding distribution of photoelectrons is created when a laser pulse ionizes molecules in the vacuum chamber. Photoelectron imaging accelerates these electrons in a static electric field and projects them onto a two-dimensional position-sensitive detector (Fig. 7). Since this method enables the total speed and angular distributions of photoelectrons to be simultaneously measured on a shot-to-shot basis, it achieves unprecedentedly high efficiency and accuracy. Ultrashort UV pulses required for this experiment can be generated by nonlinear optical processes in rare gases. Since a gas has a small nonlinear susceptibility, a long interaction length between laser pulses and a gas medium is required to realize efficient wavelength conversion. To obtain a sufficiently long interaction length, we employ filamentation propagation of intense laser pulses; when an intense laser pulse propagates through a gas, it is self-focused by the optical Kerr effect and defocused by the plasma created by ionization of the gas. Consequently, a laser beam propagates through a gas while maintaining a small beam diameter for a length that is considerably greater than the confocal parameter.\(^{59}\) We introduce 775 and 388 nm pulses to Ne (0.08 MPa) and induce cascaded four-wave mixing of \(2\omega_{264} - \omega_{2775} \rightarrow \omega_{264}\) and \(\omega_{264} + \omega_{288} - \omega_{2775} \rightarrow \omega_{2775}\) to generate 264 and 198 nm pulses (Fig. 7).\(^{60}\) These pulses are separated and compressed using a grating-based compressor to achieve pulse durations of 14 and 17 fs, respectively.\(^{60}\),\(^{61}\)

We excited pyrazine molecules in a supersonic molecular beam using the 264 nm pulse and sub-
sequently ionized them with the 198 nm pulse. Figure 8b shows the photoelectron intensity observed as a function of the pump–probe time delay. The intensity decays rapidly in the first 100 fs and subsequently plateaus. This time profile is well explained by assuming rapid decay of optically excited S2 (red) and formation of S1 (blue) by internal conversion from S2. In the negative delay time range, the 198 nm pulse excites pyrazine to S3 and the 264 nm pulse ionizes (green); since this is unrelated to the present discussion, we neglect it here. The S2→S1 internal conversion time constants are determined as 23 ± 4 fs for pyrazine-h4 and 20 ± 2 fs for pyrazine-d4. Close examination reveals that the signal oscillates in the plateau region. The Fourier transform of this feature (t > 50 fs) exhibits a frequency component of 560 ± 40 cm⁻¹ for pyrazine and 550 ± 40 cm⁻¹ for pyrazine-d4. Based on these frequencies, the oscillation is assigned to vibrational wave packet motion of mode 6a in S1 created by internal conversion.

We now examine the kinetic energy distribution of photoelectrons. Figure 8a shows a time–energy map of σ(E, t). The colors yellow, red and violet indicate decreasing intensities. σ(E, t) exhibits no marked change in distribution; the intensity diminishes gradually from a low to high kinetic energy at all times. The kinetic energy distribution does not change on internal conversion, because photonization predominantly occurs as D0(n⁻¹)→S1(n, π*) and D1(π⁻¹)→S2(π, π*) (see Fig. 9) and these vertical ionization energies are almost identical. In other words, removal of a π* electron always requires the same energy.

How is the photoelectron angular distribution? Figure 8c shows a time–energy map of β3(E, t); the positive (blue-green) and negative (red) values respectively indicate preferential ejection of an electron parallel and perpendicular to the probe laser polarization. The most distinctive feature in β3(E, t) is the sudden change at ca. 30 fs; this notable change in β3(E, t) is unambiguous evidence for S2→S1 internal conversion. (The influence of molecular rotation can be neglected on this time scale.) After 30 fs, β3(E, t) does not change, because the electronic character remains (n, π*). The lack of restoration of the (π, π*) character is related to the photoexcitation energy; in our experiment, photoexcitation of pyrazine near the S2 origin prepares a wave packet with a small vibrational excess energy in S2. Consequently, if the vibrational energy flows into various modes in S1, the wave packet has no opportunity to return to the Franck–Condon region in S2.

Ultrafast S2→S1 internal conversion of pyrazine has been considered as a two-state problem via a conical intersection. The above-described results of ultrafast photoelectron imaging are consistent with this well-accepted picture. On the other hand, recent quantum chemical calculations suggest that optically dark electronic states of 1Au and 1B2g are also involved in the ultrafast internal conversion dynamics of pyrazine and that a fraction of the population in S2 flows into these states. The proposed mechanism is currently being investigated in our laboratory by ultrafast photoelectron imaging using a vacuum UV probe pulse (160 nm).
3. New challenge: ultrafast photoelectron spectroscopy of nonadiabatic dynamics in liquids

The preceding two sections discussed chemical dynamics in the gas phase. The study of gas-phase reactions provides the basis for understanding more complex dynamics in condensed phases. The greatest difference between gas and condensed phases is that solvent effects play important roles in the latter phases. Despite not fully understanding the mechanisms involved, chemists have utilized solvent effects for centuries to alter potential energy surfaces and reaction dynamics. It is thus exciting to apply ultrafast photoelectron spectroscopy to liquids to investigate static and dynamic solvent effects and nonadiabatic processes, particularly in aqueous solutions.

Siegahn\textsuperscript{67} pioneered photoelectron spectroscopy of liquids in the 1970s. The technical problem to introduce volatile liquids into a photoelectron spectrometer was solved to a large extent by a liquid beam technique developed by Faubel and co-workers\textsuperscript{68} in the 1980s. Winter and coworkers\textsuperscript{69} performed photoelectron spectroscopy of liquid beams with third-generation synchrotron radiation. Kondow and Mafune combined a laser with liquid beams to perform photoionization mass spectrometry in 1992.\textsuperscript{70} It took nearly two decades before ultrafast photoelectron spectroscopy of liquids was realized in 2010.\textsuperscript{71–73} This section describes this very new research field.

An electron passing through a liquid is scattered by solvent molecules. This scattering determines the escape depth of a photoelectron (and hence the probing depth of photoelectron spectroscopy). Therefore, the inelastic mean free path of an electron in the bulk is a very important quantity. However, the inelastic mean free path is not well understood for any liquid. It is thus necessary to speculate about it based on those in solids. Experimental studies of solid materials show that the mean free path becomes minimal (<1 nm) for kinetic energies in the range 50–100 eV.\textsuperscript{74} Inelastic scattering of an electron in amorphous ice indicates that electron energy losses greater than 1 eV rarely occurs for kinetic energies smaller than the HOMO–LUMO gap (~7 eV) of bulk water.\textsuperscript{75} This is because such low-energy electrons cannot excite the valence electrons of water and
hence interact only with phonons. Since electron–phonon scattering has a considerably smaller cross section than electron–electron scattering, the inelastic mean free path (and hence the probing depth of photoelectron spectroscopy) increases with decreasing kinetic energy. (Another extreme case is hard-X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, which is also bulk sensitive.)

Using ultrafast photoelectron spectroscopy of liquids, we studied the charge-transfer-to-solvent (CTTS) reaction from $\Gamma^- (\text{aq})$ to bulk water (see Fig. 10).\textsuperscript{76}-\textsuperscript{78} A hydrated $\Gamma^-$ atom has an electron binding energy of 8.0 eV and its ground state lies in the band gap of bulk water; the electron binding energy of bulk water is 11.2 eV.\textsuperscript{79} The UV absorption maximum of $\Gamma^-$ (aq) is at 225 nm, corresponding to excitation to the metastable (CTTS) electronic state. The CTTS state rapidly undergoes exothermic electron transfer to bulk water. When the excess electron migrates into bulk water, a local hydrogen-bonding network around the I atom and the excess electron change drastically due to reorientation of water molecules; $\Gamma^-$ and an excess electron cloud are hydrophilic, whereas a neutral I atom is hydrophobic. Thus, the CTTS dynamics is strongly coupled with the solvation dynamics. The I atom has no internal degrees of freedom besides electronic motion, making it a suitable solute for investigating the CTTS dynamics. We excited $\Gamma^- (\text{aq})$ with the 226 nm pump pulse and photodetached the excess electron with a time-delayed 260 nm probe pulse. A hemispherical electron energy analyzer with extensive differential pumping was employed.\textsuperscript{80}

Figure 11 compares the photoelectron signal intensity, $I(t)$, observed for aqueous NaI solutions of $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ as a function of the pump–probe delay time. The figure indicates a clear isotope effect: the photoelectron intensity in the first few picoseconds diminishes much faster in $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ than in $\text{H}_2\text{O}$, while the opposite is true in the subsequent 30 ps. Another isotope effect is seen in Fig. 12 that shows $\sigma(E, t)$ for solutions in $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{D}_2\text{O}$. $\sigma(E, t)$ has similar general features for $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{D}_2\text{O}$; however, the spectral width of $\sigma(E, t)$ is narrower and its intensity diminishes faster in $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ than in $\text{H}_2\text{O}$. The time dependences of the photoelectron intensity and kinetic energy distribution can be explained by the mechanism illustrated in Fig. 13. After photoexcitation of $\Gamma^-$ to a metastable state, the excess electron distribution becomes asymmetric in the hydration shell and separated from a neutral I atom. Calculations for $\text{Cl}^-$ and water suggest that attractive interactions occur between a neutral atom and a hydrated electron;\textsuperscript{81} a similar attraction is anticipated between an I atom and a hydrated electron. The hydrated electron and I atom are finally separated by diffusion. The photon energy of our probe laser pulse is higher than the binding energies of the CTTS state, the contact pair state, the solvent separated state, and a free hydrated electron, but it is lower than the ground state of $\Gamma^- (\text{aq})$. Therefore, the
disappearance of the photoelectron signal in Fig. 11 is ascribed to internal conversion to the ground electronic state of I\(^{−}\)(aq); i.e., geminate recombination of an electron and an I atom. In the initial steps of the CTTS reaction, H\(_2\)O has a faster librational response than D\(_2\)O. Consequently, the quantum yield of internal conversion and the loss of electron signal are greater in D\(_2\)O during the early stages of the reaction. Experimental data for 0.1 M aqueous NaI solution in (a) H\(_2\)O and (b) D\(_2\)O. (c) and (d) show the results of global fitting of experimental data using a kinetic model. From Ref. 94.
reaction. On the other hand, internal conversion is nearly two times faster in H₂O than in D₂O during the later stages of the CTTS reaction.

The CTTS reaction for bulk water ultimately creates hydrated electrons, which are the most important transient species in radiation chemistry and biology. The electron binding energy of a hydrated electron has been speculated to be 3.3 eV, although no direct measurement has been performed. Our photoelectron spectroscopy of liquids has determined that the electron binding energy of a hydrated electron is 3.4 eV and that the energies of solvated electrons in methanol and ethanol are 3.5 and 3.3 eV, respectively. Interestingly, the electron binding energy of a hydrated electron agrees with a previous estimate (3.3 eV) obtained by extrapolating the binding energies in negatively charged water clusters. On the other hand, the electron binding energy of a solvated electron in methanol is much greater than the estimate (2.6 eV) from corresponding cluster values. The cause of this interesting difference is currently being investigated.

Ultrafast photoelectron spectroscopy of liquids is a very young field and there are many unsolved problems for this methodology. On the other hand, it is promising for elucidating electronic dynamics in solution and providing important new knowledge for chemistry, physics and biology.
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