Sequential Drug Release in Cancer Cells

A NAG-Guided Nano-Delivery System for Redox- and pH-Triggered Intracellularly Sequential Drug Release in Cancer Cells
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Aim: Sequential treatment with paclitaxel (PTXL) and gemcitabine (GEM) is considered clinically beneficial for non-small-cell lung cancer. This study aimed to investigate the effectiveness of a nano-system capable of sequential release of PTLX and GEM within cancer cells.

Methods: PTXL-ss-poly(6-O-methacryloyl-d-galactopyranose)-GEM (PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM) was designed by conjugating PMAGP with PTLX via disulfide bonds (-ss-), while GEM via succinic anhydride (PTXL:GEM=1:3). An amphiphilic block copolymer N-acetyl-d-glucosamine (NAG)-poly(styrene-alt-maleic anhydride)58-b-polystyrene130 acted as a targeting moiety and emulsifier in formation of nanostructures (NLCs).

Results: The PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs (119.6 nm) provided a sequential in vitro release of, first PTLX (redox-triggered), then GEM (pH-triggered). The redox- and pH-sensitive NLCs readily distributed homogenously in the cytoplasm. NAG augmented the uptake of NLCs by the cancer cells and tumor accumulation. PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs exhibited synergistic cytotoxicity in vitro and strongest antitumor effects in tumor-bearing mice compared to NLCs lacking pH/redox sensitivities or free drug combination.

Conclusion: This study demonstrated the abilities of PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs to achieve synergistic antitumor effect by targeted intracellularly sequential drug release.
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Background

The incidence of non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is increasing, with more effective and well-tolerated treatment options urgently needed.1-3 Two chemotherapeutic drugs commonly used in NSCLC therapy include gemcitabine (GEM) and paclitaxel (PTXL), with independent mechanisms of action and non-overlapping toxic effects.4,5 GEM is a hydrophilic molecule that interferes with DNA synthesis whereas PTXL is a hydrophobic molecule that blocks microtubule disassembly. The GEM-PTXL combinational therapy is recommended for advanced or metastatic NSCLC.6-9 Interestingly, the clearance of GEM from patients can be favorably reduced in the presence of PTXL.5 The sequence of administration of the two anticancer drugs can be critical to achieve the most beneficial effects.10 Studies demonstrate that PTXL can strengthen the metabolic and apoptotic index of GEM at the cellular level, and that administration of PTXL prior to GEM could maximize the anticancer benefits.5,7 However, a significant challenge for many chemotherapeutic drugs including PTXL

Correspondence: Jingtian Han
School of Pharmacy, Binzhou Medical University, 346 Guanhai Road, Yantai 264003, People’s Republic of China
Tel +86-535-6913317
Fax +86-535-6913718
Email hanjingtian002@163.com

Darren Svirskis
School of Pharmacy, University of Auckland, 85 Park Road, Grafton, Auckland, New Zealand
Tel +64-9-923 1158
Email d.svirskis@auckland.ac.nz
and GEM is their lack of specificity, causing dose-dependent severe side effects to patients.\textsuperscript{11} Furthermore, it is a technical challenge to achieve timely sequential drug delivery in the same cancer cells as hydrophobic and hydrophilic drugs have different pharmacokinetic profiles and bio-distribution patterns to the cells, where GEM relies on drug transporters to get into the cells.\textsuperscript{12}

In the drug delivery field, nanostructured lipid carriers (NLCs)\textsuperscript{13} have been employed as an anticancer drug delivery tool owing to their ability to encapsulate drug efficiently,\textsuperscript{14} reduce side effects\textsuperscript{15} and prolong the half-life of drugs,\textsuperscript{16} thus enhancing the therapeutic effects. Relatively rapid drug release can be achieved inside tumor cells by utilizing intracellular stimuli, such as redox and low pH as a single or dual-trigger.\textsuperscript{17,18} For example, biomaterials containing acid-labile bonds such as ester bond can be used to fabricate nanocarriers for targeted drug release to the acidic microenvironment of tumor, both intracellularly (pH\textsubscript{in}, endo-lysosomal lumen pH 5–6.5) and extracellularly (pH\textsubscript{ex}; >6).\textsuperscript{19} Meanwhile, nano-structures containing a redox-sensitive disulfide bond (-ss-) have been utilized for rapid tumor-specific intracellular drug release by exploiting the reducing potential in tumor cells as a stimulus.\textsuperscript{19} The tumoral intracellular concentration of glutathione (GSH, 2–10 mM) is much higher concentrations than the extracellular levels (20–40 μM).\textsuperscript{20} Therefore, disulfide bonds are preferentially cleaved in the cancer cells, while remaining relatively stable in the extracellular space.\textsuperscript{21–23} In addition, tumor cells, including NSCLCs tend to have a high expression of glucose transporters (GLUT),\textsuperscript{24,25} therefore, N-acetyl-d-glucosamine (NAG) has been used as a targeting moiety to enhance glucose receptor-mediated endocytosis of nano-sized drug delivery systems.\textsuperscript{26,27} We have previously found that 3:1 was the optimal molar ratio of GEM and PTXL in treating NSCLC mouse models.\textsuperscript{28}

In this study, we aimed to investigate the feasibility and effectiveness of a dual-drug-polymer conjugate incorporated in an NLCs system for sequential release of PTXL and GEM within cancer cells using pH\textsubscript{in} and cellular GSH as stimuli for treatment NSCLC. Specifically, drug–polymer conjugate PTXL-ss-poly(6-O-methacryloyld-galactopyranose)-GEM (PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM) was synthesized. The PTXL-GEM molar ratio of 1:3 was adopted during synthesis. An amphiphilic block copolymer NAG-poly(styrene-alt-maleic anhydride)\textsubscript{35}-b-polystyrene\textsubscript{130} (NAG-P(St-alt-MA)\textsubscript{35}-b-PS\textsubscript{130}) was used as the targeting moiety as well as an emulsifier for the preparation of NLCs. It was hypothesized that NAG would promote specific cellular uptake of the PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs by the cancer cells via NAG-mediated endocytosis, then sequential and intracellular drug release could be achieved where first, PTXL cleaved off in response to intracellular GSH, followed by GEM release via hydrolysis of ester bonds due to low pH\textsubscript{in}. The abilities of sequential drug release, cellular uptake and intracellular trafficking, and anti-tumor efficacy of the PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs were critically evaluated against various reference formulations.

**Materials and Methods**

**Materials**

Paclitaxel (PTXL), gemcitabine (GEM) and 3,3′-dithiodipropionic acid (DPA) were purchased from Aladdin (Shanghai, China). 4-Dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) and 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) were from Dojindo Laboratories (Tokyo, Japan). The targeting moiety NAG-P(St-alt-MA)\textsubscript{35}-b-PS\textsubscript{130} was synthesized according to our previous work.\textsuperscript{29} All other chemicals were analytical reagent grade.

For cell culture studies, human pulmonary adenocarcinoma cell lines A549, L1210 were purchased from Bena Culture Collection (Beijing, China) and reagents, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyldiazolium bromide (MTT) and Hoechst 33342 were from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% penicillin-streptomycin, RPMI-1640 medium and 0.25% trypsin/EDTA were purchased from Hyclone (Utah, USA). Fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) was purchased from Nanobiochemicals (Beijing, China).

For animal studies, male BALB/c nude mice (18–20 g) were purchased from Beijing Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). 1,1’-Dioctadecyl-3,3,3′,3′-tetramethyldinocarboxyanine iodide (DiR) was purchased from Nanobiochemicals (Beijing, China). Paclitaxel injections Medaxol (5 mL, 30 mg) were purchased from Beijing Union Pharmaceutical Factory (Beijing, China).

The animal experiments, care and handling of animals were performed followed the National Institute of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals in China, and were approved by the Experimental Animals Administrative Committee of Binzhou Medical University.
Synthesis and Characterization of PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM

Synthesis of PMAGP

The copolymer poly(6-O-methacryloyl-1,2,3,4-di-O-isopropylidene-D-galactopyranose) (PMAIPG) was synthesized via reversible addition-fragmentation chain-transfer (RAFT) polymerization according to our previous report. Briefly, PMAIPG (200 mg) was dissolved in 80% methanoic acid (20 mL) and stirred for 48 h. Distilled water (3 mL) was then added and stirred. The pure PMAGP was obtained by dialyzing followed by freeze-drying (FDU-2110; Rikakikai, Tokyo, Japan).

Synthesis and Characterization of PTXL-ss-DPA

EDC (1.5 mmol) and DMAP (1.5 mmol) were added to CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) with DPA (1.5 mmol) at 0°C. In order to activate Liang et al.

Fabrication and Characterization of NLCs

Preparation of NLCs

The emulsification and solvent evaporation was used to prepare the PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs. Briefly, PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM (20 mg), glycerol monostearate (250 mg), NAG-P(St-alt-MA)₅₈-b-PS₁₃₀ (250 mg), liquid lipid (100 μL), soy lecithin (100 mg), Tween 80 (40 mg) and methanol (3 mL) were mixed in a glass bottle at 70°C. Sodium deoxycholate (30 mg) and poloxamer 188 (220 mg) were dissolved in distilled water and heated to the same temperature. The aqueous phase was then spread evenly on the organic phase after the methanol can completely evaporate. The dispersions were emulsified for 40 min at 70°C and cooled in an ice-box for 30 min. Finally, the PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs were filtrated through a membrane filter (0.45 μm) and obtained by washing with an Amicon Ultra centrifugal filter (MWCO 100 kDa).

PTXL-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs, without the disulfide bond, and blank PMAGP/NAG NLCs, without drug, were also obtained by a similar process except replacing PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM with PTXL-PMAGP-GEM or PMAGP, respectively.

Characterization of NLCs

The size and ζ-potential of NLCs were detected by dynamic light scattering (DLS, Malvern Instruments, UK) at room temperature. The morphologies of NLCs were observed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM-1230; JEOL, Tokyo, Japan).

To measure encapsulation efficiency (EE) and drug loading (DL), drug-loaded NLCs without washing were first diluted and placed in an Amicon Ultra centrifugal filter (molecular weight cutoff 100 kDa). After centrifugation at 8,000 rpm for 20 min, the bottom liquid was collected and
free drug content determined. The amounts of PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM or equivalent to free drugs were quantitated by double-wavelength ultraviolet spectrophotometer, and EE and DL were calculated using the Equations:

\[
EE(\%) = \frac{W_{\text{total added}} - W_{\text{un-loaded}}}{W_{\text{total added}}} \times 100 \quad (1)
\]

\[
DL(\%) = \frac{D_{\text{total}} - D_{\text{free}}}{W_{\text{total added}} - W_{\text{un-added}} + W_{\text{lipid}}} \times 100 \quad (2)
\]

where \(W_{\text{total added}}\) and \(W_{\text{un-loaded}}\) are the total weight of drug conjugate added during NLCs preparation and the amount of un-loaded PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM, respectively. \(D_{\text{total}}\) and \(D_{\text{free}}\) are the amount of total added and un-loaded drug in PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM, while \(W_{\text{lipid}}\) is the weight of lipids.

**Characterization of Drug Release Properties**

The in vitro drug release properties of PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs were examined under different conditions. Briefly, 2 mL of PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs (containing 40 µg/mL total dual-drugs) were sealed in membrane dialysis bags (MWCO = 6,000–8,000 Da) and dialyzed against 40 mL PBS (10 mM, containing 0.2% Tween 80) at pH 6.0 (to simulate the tumor intracellular environment) or 7.4 (to simulate blood and normal tissue environment) with 0 mM, 10 mM (matching the intracellular tumor concentration), or 20 µM of GSH. The drug release process took place in a shaker incubator at 37°C (BS-2F; Zhengji Instruments, Changzhou, China). Periodically, 4 mL of release media was collected from outside the membrane dialysis bags and replaced with 4 mL of fresh releasing media. The amounts of GEM and PTXL in the release media were quantified using high-performance liquid chromatography (Agilent 1260) equipped with a C18 column (4.6 × 250 mm internal diameter, 5 µm). The chromatographic conditions were provided for GEM (acetonitrile: water, 60:40, 227 nm), respectively.

**In vitro Cytotoxicity Assay**

Human non-small-cell lung cancer cell lines A549 and LTEP-a-2, and mouse fibroblast cell lines L929 were cultured in RPMI-1640 with 10% fetal bovine serum. All cell lines were grown in a humidified incubator under 37°C, 5% CO₂ atmosphere.

MTT assay was used to test the biocompatibility of blank PMAGP/NAG NLCs, three types of cell lines were seeded at a density of 1 × 10⁴ cells/well in 96-well plates for 24 h. Cells were treated with blank PMAGP/NAG NLCs with varying polymer dosages from 0.128 to 400 µg/mL. After 72 h treatment, cells were washed, and 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) was added. Another 4 h later, 150 µL of DMSO was substituted to dissolve the formazan crystals generated. The relative cell viability (%) was defined as absorbance values at 490 nm with microplate spectrophotometry (SpectraMax M2, Molecular Devices, CA, USA). IC₅₀ (the concentration at which cell viability was reduced by half) values was calculated.

The cytotoxicity profiles of different formulations against A549 and LTEP-a-2 cells were studied following the methodology above. The total concentration of PTXL and GEM was from 0.0128 to 40 µg/mL, and the incubation time was 72 h. The combination index (CI₅₀) used to quantify the extent of synergism was estimated using the Chou’s method. Values of CI₅₀ < 1, CI₅₀ = 1 and CI₅₀ > 1 indicate a synergism, additive and antagonistic effect, respectively, in the drug combination.

**In vitro Cellular Uptake and Intracellular Drug Release**

To investigate the ability for the NAG-targeted formulations to enhance cellular uptake and intracellular trafficking (distribution in cytoplasm), FITC-labeled PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs and FITC-labeled PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM NLCs were prepared. Briefly, 50 mg of each NLCs and FITC (5 mg) were dissolved in DMF and reacted at ambient temperature (about 20°C) for 24 h, followed by dialyzing and freeze-drying.

To quantify cellular uptake, A549 and LTEP-a-2 cells (which overexpress glucose receptors) were plated in six-well plates at a density of 2 × 10⁵ cells/well, and treated with FITC-labeled PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs or FITC-labeled PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM NLCs with total drug concentrations of 5, 10, and 20 µmol/L, respectively. Untreated cells served as a control. Following incubation of 0.5, 2 and 4 h, respectively, cells were detached and washed with PBS three times. The cells were spread into 0.5 mL PBS and tested by flow cytometry (Epics XL; Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA). Similarly, control cells L929 fibroblasts (with little or no glucose receptors) representing normal cells were also treated with 10 µmol/L FITC-PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs.
To evaluate the cellular uptake visually, confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) images of A549 cells were acquired. A549 cells were seeded in six-well plates and incubated with FITC-labeled PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs and FITC-labeled PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM NLCs (10 and 20 μmol/L), respectively. After exposure for 1, 2 and 4 h, cells were washed and fixed by paraformaldehyde. After washing again, Hoechst 33342 (10 mg/mL) was added to stain cell nuclei, and the images of cells were observed via confocal microscopy (TCS SPE; Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany).

**Animal Studies**

An NSCLC tumor xenograft model was obtained by the subcutaneous injection of A549 cells (1 × 10^7 cells/mL, 0.2 mL in PBS) into the left leg limb of each mouse. The tumor volume (V) was monitored every second day and determined by the equation: V=length × width^2/2.

**In vivo Imaging Analysis**

When the tumors were grown to ~700 mm^3, tumor-bearing mice were applied for imaging analysis. For this study, the DiR-labeled PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs and PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM NLCs were prepared by incorporation of DiR at final concentration of 500 μg/mL.

The mice bearing A549 tumor were injected with 0.2-mL DiR-labeled PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs, DiR-labeled PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM NLCs and free DiR (500 μg/mL) via tail vein, respectively. The fluorescent images were taken on Carestream Molecular Imaging FX PRO (Carestream Health, Inc., USA) at 8 h post-injection. The fluorescence intensities were analyzed by Molecular Imaging Software version 5.X. At 8 h, the mice treated with the same method were sacrificed, the major organs (heart, liver, spleen, lung and kidney) as well as tumor were collected for ex vivo imaging.

**In vivo Antitumor Efficiency**

The mice bearing A549 tumor were allowed to grow to a volume of ~50 mm^3 before treatment. The mice were divided to five groups (n = 6): (i) saline as control; (ii) free drug combination, GEM and PTXL; (iii) PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM NLCs; (iv) PTXL-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs; or (v) PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs were administered via tail vein, all at a dose of 4.74 mg/kg GEM and 5.12 mg/kg PTXL. The animals were treated once a day for a total of 5 injections. Tumor volume and body weight were measured every other day to evaluate the treatment. On day 21, the mice were sacrificed, and the tumors were excised, weighed, and photographed.

**Statistical Analysis**

The differences between experimental groups were analyzed with Student’s t-test or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and P < 0.05 indicated significant differences.

**Results**

**Synthesis and Characterization of PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM Conjugates**

The synthesis of PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM conjugates was conducted as illustrated in Figure 1.

After 24 h of reaction, no free PTXL was detected by TLC, indicating the completion of the reaction. The ^1^H-NMR spectra (Figure 2A) showed the characteristic peaks at 2.47–2.95 ppm for DPA (–O-CO-CH\_\_\_CH\_\_), indicating that DPA was successfully conjugated with PTXL. Comparing the spectra of PMAGP (Figure 2B), the formation of the PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM was confirmed by the combined characteristic peaks at 7.2–8.4 ppm (PTXL phenyl ring) and 9.25–9.45 ppm (GEM 4-CN\_\_\_) (Figure 2C).

The DSC thermographs (Figure 2D) indicate the physical mixture maintained the typical melting peaks of PTXL and GEM, while the peaks of PTXL and GEM were absent in the thermal profiles of PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM. It could be concluded that the two drugs were grafted onto the polymer by chemical bonding rather than a physical mixture. Collectively, the results of ^1^H-NMR and DSC demonstrate the synthesis of PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM conjugates.

**Characterization of NLCs**

PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs were obtained with the emulsification and solvent evaporation method. DLS measurements revealed that the resulting PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs had a narrow-size distribution with a mean diameter of 119.6 nm ± 0.31 nm (Figure 3A). TEM imaging revealed monodispersed particles with a similar size (Figure 3B).

The physicochemical properties of PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs and PTXL-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs are shown in Table 1.

**In vitro Drug Release Studies**

The drug release kinetics and sequential drug release property of PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs were determined using a membrane dialysis method under different conditions.
pH and redox conditions. As shown in Figure 3C and D, both drugs showed sustained drug release patterns in normal extracellular environments (pH 7.4 and low GSH). However, the release rate of PTXL from PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs was significantly faster in the medium with 10-mM GSH compared to 0- or 20-μM GSH, particularly when the pH was lower which mimicked the tumoral cell environments (Figure 3C). In comparison, the release of GEM was also promoted by the low pH and 10-mM GSH, but at a much slower rate than PTXL (Figure 3D). The cumulative release (%) of PTXL at 12 h was approximately 35% and about 55% over the test duration of 24 h under pH 6.0 and 10-mM GSH conditions; however, it required an extra 24 h for GEM to achieve the similar proportion of release.

**In vitro Cytotoxicity Analysis**

The viability of LTEP-a-2, L929 and A549 cells all remained above 90% after incubating with blank PMAGP/NAG NLCs at different particle concentrations for 72 h, with no significant differences from the negative control group (P > 0.05) (Figure 4A). The results...
demonstrate that blank PMAGP/NAG NLCs showed negligible toxicity and good biocompatibility.

The cytotoxicity analysis of different formulations (free GEM + free PTXL, PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM NLCs, PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs, PTXL-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs) was performed in A549 cells and LTEP-a-2 cells by MTT assay. As shown in Figure 4B and C, all formulations displayed cytotoxicity in a dose-dependent fashion. Compared to free drug combination in solution, NLCs enhanced cytotoxicity (Table 2). The IC$_{50}$ of PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs was significantly lower than that of the PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM NLCs (without NAG). It was deduced that the targeting ligand NAG on the surface of NLCs could selectively bind with tumor cells overexpressing glucose receptor enhancing the drug effects. In addition, the cell-killing ability of PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs was greater than the non-pH- or redox-sensitive PTXL-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs. NAG and the dual responsiveness appeared to increase cytotoxicity to the same extent.

In both cancer A549 cells, and LTEP-a-2 cells, the CI$_{50}$ values were all lower than 1 for NLCs (Table 2), indicating synergistic cytotoxicity, but higher than 1 for free GEM + free PTXL, indicating antagonism. The CI$_{50}$ values of PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs were significantly less than PTXL-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs in both cancer cell lines.

In vitro Cellular Uptake

The flow-cytometry analysis was conducted to examine the cellular uptake efficiency of FITC-labeled NLCs. As shown in Figure 5A, the fluorescence intensity of FITC increased with particle concentration of NLCs. As presented in Figure 5B and C, the mean fluorescence intensity improved with the increase of time and dosage. A stronger mean fluorescent intensity was observed in FITC-PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs compared to FITC-PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM NLCs at each time point or concentration level in both A549 and LTEP-a-2 cells (Figure 5D), possibly due to the glucose receptor–NAG interaction that enhanced the uptake of NAG-modified NLCs into the tumor cells. In contrast, the cellular uptake of normal L929 cells was negligible.

Consistent with the data of flow-cytometry analysis, CLSM showed the cellular uptake of FITC-PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs and FITC-PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM NLCs into A549 cells were both concentration- and time dependent (Figure 6). However, FITC-PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs treatment resulted in much
stronger intensity than FITC-PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM NLCs throughout the time course.

Importantly, by 4 h, the fluorescence of both FITC-labeled NLCs was seen distributed homogenously in the cytoplasm, rather than remained entrapped as “dots” near the cell membranes.

Animal Studies
In vivo Imaging Analysis
The antitumor ability of PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs was evaluated through an in vivo imaging study. Fluorescence images of A549 tumor-bearing nude mice at 8 h post-injection were observed. As shown in Figure 7A,

Table 1 Characteristics of Different NLC Formulations. Data are Means ± SD (n = 3)

| NLC   | Size (nm) | PDI       | ζ-Potential (mV) | DL(% w/w) GEM | EE (%) GEM | DL(% w/w) PTXL | EE (%) PTXL |
|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|
| a     | 119.6±0.31| 0.251±0.03| −20.7±1.9       | 1.68±0.08     | 83.7±4.15 | 1.56±0.05      | 83.5±2.72 |
| b     | 120.4±0.25| 0.190±0.02| −20.1±1.3       | 1.76±0.07     | 86.0±3.50 | 1.68±0.03      | 85.6±1.40 |

Notes: a = PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs; b = PTXL-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs.
the fluorescence of free DiR was concentrated in the liver due to quick interception of free DiR by the reticuloendothelial system (RES), free DiR did not cluster at the tumor site. Importantly, the fluorescence intensity at the tumor site of DiR-labeled PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs group was stronger than that of DiR-labeled PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM NLCs group which lacked NAG. These results indicated that NAG has increased the accumulation of NLCs at the tumor site.

In vivo Antitumor Efficacy
The in vivo antitumor efficiencies of various drug formulations on A549 human lung tumor-bearing nude mice were investigated. Despite the tumor volumes in the saline group increasing rapidly, the tumor growth of all other groups was inhibited to different degrees (Figure 8A). Negligible body weight loss (Figure 8B) suggested that all the formulations had insignificant toxicity in vivo. Of all the treatment groups, the greatest suppression of tumor growth was observed in the PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs group. The tumor volume in this group was only 20.1% of the control group at the end of the experiment and was 2.6-fold, 1.6-fold and 1.8-fold smaller than those treated with free drug combination, PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM NLCs and PTXL-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs, respectively. A similar trend was observed in average tumor weight (Figure 8C and D).

Discussion
In the current study, we successfully synthesized PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM for the sequential delivery of hydrophobic PTXL followed by hydrophilic GEM for the potential beneficial combination therapy of NSCLC. In order to accelerate the release of PTXL by exploiting the redox potential in the cancer cells, DPA was introduced as a cleavable linker to form PTXL-ss-DPA. The esterification occurred at 2ʹ-OH of

Table 2 Cytotoxicity Expressed as IC_{50} and Combination Index (CI_{50}) of Different Formulations in A549 and LTPa-2 NSCLC Cell Lines Following 72-h Exposure

| Formulation                  | A549   | LTPa-2     |
|------------------------------|--------|------------|
|                              | IC_{50} | CI_{50}*   | IC_{50}    | CI_{50}*    |
| Free GEM                     | 4.595±0.315 | —          | 4.760±0.521 | —           |
| Free PTXL                    | 0.375±0.247 | —          | 0.768±0.035 | —           |
| Free GEM + Free PTXL         | 1.770±0.332 | 1.469      | 1.130±0.281 | 2.350       |
| PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM NLCs      | 0.978±0.156 | 0.812      | 0.441±0.030 | 0.912       |
| PTXL-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs     | 0.936±0.205 | 0.777      | 0.440±0.147 | 0.910       |
| PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs  | 0.435±0.027 | 0.361      | 0.245±0.205 | 0.507       |

Notes: *CI_{50}>1 indicates antagonism, while CI_{50}=1 and <1 indicate additive and synergism, respectively.
PTXL, not at the 7'-OH.\textsuperscript{33,34} The success of synthesis was confirmed with \textsuperscript{1}H-NMR which showed the key peaks for the PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM conjugate while DSC differentiated the conjugate from the physical mixture (Figure 2).

PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs and reference formulation (non-pH/redox-sensitive) PTXL-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs (both about 120 nm) were obtained with the emulsification and solvent evaporation method. The amphiphilic block copolymer (NAG-P(St-alt-MA)\textsubscript{58}-b-PS\textsubscript{130}) acted as a targeting moiety as well as the emulsifier. Both types of NLCs had modest negative surface charges of approximately ~20 mV and similar EE levels (~83%).

In vitro study confirmed faster release of both drugs occurred from PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs at the lower pH (pH\textsubscript{a}) than at pH 7.4 (Figure 3). This is favorable and predicts a great potential for intracellular drug release. In addition, there was an accelerates drug release of PTXL in the presence of 10-mM GSH. PTXL release was most likely mediated through disruption of both the ester and disulfide bonds, indicating its ability for faster release in the redox conditions inside tumor cells. The release rate of GEM at pH 6.0 and 10-mM
GSH conditions was much slower than PTXL with an approximate 24-h delay. The sulfhydryl generated could form hydrogen bonds with groups on GEM (eg, amido, hydroxyl, fluorine, etc.) which containing lone pairs of electrons. The hydrogen-bonding interactions reduced the energy of the system and stabilized the structure of PMAGP-GEM, therefore slowed down the release of GEM.\textsuperscript{32,35} As the extension of time, ester bonds were hydrolyzed and the “stable” structure was destroyed, GEM was progressively released, however, with a lag time compared with PTXL.

As introduced earlier, treatment with PTXL prior to GEM could significantly increase the intratumoral concentration of GEM, and thus further improves the synergistic effect.\textsuperscript{5,7,12} Figure 3D shows an approximate 24 h faster release of PTXL prior to GEM from PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs. Therefore, the PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs would provide synergistic

---

**Figure 6** CLSM images of A549 cells incubated with FITC-PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM NLCs without NAG (A) in comparison with FITC-PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs (B) (equivalent to total drug concentrations 10 and 20 μmol/L) for 1, 2, 4 h, respectively. The scale bars correspond to 25 μm in all the images.
drug effects in the tumor suppression with strength-ened effects owing to the PTXL-GEM sequential delivery, particularly when the two drugs are simultaneously delivered into the same cancer cells (shown in Table 2). The results showed both NAG and -ss-bond improved the combination index.

The flow-cytometry results demonstrated that FITC-labeled PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs and PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM NLCs in A549 cells were both seen distributed homogenously in the cytoplasm rather than presented as “dots” as initially, indicating the redox/pH-sensitive nanocarriers were no more remained entrapped in endosomes. This would enhance the drug availability to their DNA target. High expression of glucose transporters (GLUT) was recently found to be significantly associated with adverse clinical outcomes in NSCLC patients, making NAG an ideal ligand in this case.

In the A549 tumor-bearing nude mice, strong fluorescence was detected at the tumor site 8 h post-injection of DiR-labeled PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM NLCs and DiR-labeled PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs (Figure 7). These results also indicated that NAG increased the accumulation of NLCs at the tumor site. Antitumor studies (Figure 8) suggested that all the NLCs formulations had insignificant toxicity in vivo. Enhanced antitumor effect was observed for the PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NLCs group could be attributed to both the prolonged half-lives of the drugs and the simultaneous delivery of the two drugs in an ideal sequence in the cancer cells to achieve a synergistic effect. The drug release sequence enhanced the synergism of PTXL and GEM compared to the simple combination as free drugs or in the non-reductive/pH-sensitive delivery system. Moreover, NAG significantly further increased the tumor accumulation and thus the efficacy. In addition, the drug molar ratio (PTXL:GEM=1:3) was also favorable for treatment, as shown in many other studies.

It is worth noting that this study highlighted the feasibility of designing polymer-drug conjugates to achieve intracellular and sequential drug release by exploring the tumor micro-environments as internal stimuli. However, the efficacy data presented in this research were based on subcutaneously implanted NSCLC animal models which may not predict clinical translation.

**Conclusion**

Combination drug therapy has shown great potential to enhance the therapeutic efficacy. The rationale behind it is to use different mechanisms for targeting cancer cells. By exploiting the internal stimuli in cancer cells, PTXL-ss-PMAGP-GEM/NAG NLCs demonstrated...
promising drug delivery systems for intracellularly sequential drug release to enhance therapeutic efficacy, and further strengthen the tumor-targeting therapy by synchronizing the delivery of two drugs into the same cells.
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