Case Report

Osteochondral allograft in the treatment of an extruded osteoarticular segment of the distal tibia: A case report
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ABSTRACT

Open tibial plafond fracture with massive bone loss presents a challenge for orthopedic surgeons. Particularly unusual is extrusion of an osteoarticular segment of the distal tibia. Here we present the case of such a patient, who was treated using osteochondral allograft (OCA) and fusion procedures. The patients can regain independent walking without pain within 6 months, indicating that OCA may be a sensible option for the treatment of open tibial plafond fracture with extrusion of the osteoarticular distal tibia in cases in which the surrounding soft tissue is intact but bone reimplantation is not possible due to severe damage and contamination.

Introduction

Tibial plafond fractures account for 5 to 10% of all tibial fractures [1], most of which are caused by high-energy trauma such as motor vehicle accident, falls from a height resulting in severe bone and articular comminution, bone loss, open fracture, and soft tissue compromise [2]. The management of open fracture and severe osteoarticular bone loss consists of reimplantation, autogenous bone graft, bone allograft, bone transportation, and amputation.

In this case, the patient presented with a type IIIA open fracture involving distal tibial and osteoarticular bone loss (10.5 cm), which was treated with debridement and a spanning external fixator and then ORIF with OCA and tibiotalar fusion. Few reports describing the treatment of extruded osteoarticular segments of the distal tibia have been published.

Case presentation

In February 2020, an otherwise healthy 21-year-old man was injured when his motorcycle collided with a car. The patient was brought to the hospital for severe trauma. He stated that he had no relevant medical history and had not undergone any previous surgical procedures. On initial examination, the patient was fully conscious with open fractures in his lower right lower leg. He had an 8 cm contaminated open wound on the lateral aspect of the right heel (Fig. 1A). The dorsalis pedis and posterior tibial artery pulse were palpable, sensation was intact at the dorsal and plantar of the foot, and the patient was able to flex and extend his toes. He was hemodynamically stable. Radiographs revealed a 10.5 cm bone loss of the tibial diaphysis and plafond with the medial malleolus and some part of the metaphysis remaining, and a fracture of the middle fibula (Fig. 1B).
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Surgical technique

At the initial operation, the left heel wound was debridement and copiously irrigated with normal saline pulse lavage. A piece of the patient's tibia was found at the scene of the accident. It was cleaned with sterile saline and brought in with the patient, but the first orthopedic team determined that the bone could not be used for reimplantation due to severe contamination with soil. Provisional stabilization was accomplished using a joint-bridging triangular external fixator, and open reduction and internal fixation was performed on the middle fibula with a one-third tubular plate to maintain the length of the leg (Fig. 2). There was no soft tissue defect, and the wound was loosely primary closure.

The second operation was performed after the wound had completely healed without sign of infection and the patient had been treated with intravenous antibiotics for 20 days. The external fixator was removed, and a new 15 cm longitudinal incision was made on the anteromedial aspect of the distal tibia and ankle. The remaining bone of the medial malleolus and metaphysis was removed and used as an autogenous bone graft at the tibial and allograft docking site. Bone defect reconstruction was accomplished with a matched with 11 cm OCA fixed with a distal tibial locking plate (ANGIUS tibial plate; Intercus, German). The allograft was sterilized with 25 kilograys (kGy) of gamma radiation. Decortication of the articular surface of the distal tibia and talar dome was performed to prepare

Fig. 1. (A) An inside-out open wound on the lateral aspect of the right heel was initially observed. (B) Initial radiograph of the right leg revealed massive bone loss of the distal tibia and fracture of the midshaft fibula.
the tibiotalar joint, which was then fused with three screws through the distal tibial locking plate and two 4.0 cancellous screws at the lateral side (Fig. 3). Fusion was performed at neutral flexion and 10 degrees of external rotation. Systemic antibiotic therapy was continued for 2 weeks after the second operation. Following the operation, non-weight bearing ambulation with assistive devices as possible, and partial weight-bearing was allowed 2 months later.

Results

The patient was able to walk independently without pain and was able to stand on one leg on the injured side 6 months after surgery (Fig. 4). Postoperative radiographs at 12 and 24 months showed progression of interval healing callus formation at the tibial and allograft docking site (Fig. 5A, B). A scanogram revealed the right leg to be 2 cm shorter than the left (Fig. 6).

The patient has been undergoing follow-up for 24 months and is now able to jump but has some limitations about running and
exercise. No specific complications have been observed. His American Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Society (AOFAS) score was measured at 79 points due to restriction of sagittal and highfoot motion because of tibiotalar joint fusion. The patient stated that he is very pleased with the outcomes of the treatment, which has resulted in pain-free ambulation without any restrictions in daily activities.

Discussion

Most tibial plafond fractures are due to high-energy trauma and are often associated with compromised soft tissues or bone loss. The reconstruction method is often determined by factors such as the patient's severity, economic status, the surgeon's skills, and the availability of instruments [3]. Biological reconstruction has been shown to provide patients with superior long-term results over other alternatives. Ideally, it should involve the use of living or native bone, eliminate the possibility of infection, ensure callus regeneration and remodeling as well as good function, facilitate soft tissue attachment and durable reconstruction for a lifetime [4].

Typically, autogenous bone graft, cancellous allograft, synthetic bone substitutions, and demineralized bone matrix are used to treat small defects of metaphyseal bone loss (<5 cm) resulting from impaction at the time of injury [5]. In cases of a significant bone defect (>5 cm), reconstructive management for large bone defects can involve autograft, allograft, and non-biological materials. Autografts such as vascularized fibular graft transfer. The induced membrane technique and distraction osteogenesis is another alternative available for the reconstruction of bone defects and the main non-biological materials are endoprosthesis [6,7]. Although there are several limbs salvaging operative options for management of open tibial plafond fracture with massive bone loss, each has inherent limitations, also are often complicated with infection, soft tissue loss, deformity, muscle contractures, shortening, osteopenia, and joint stiffness.

There have been some previous studies reporting a traumatic massive osteoarticular bone loss in the tibial plafond. Meininger et al. [8], for example, reported successful reimplantation of an extruded distal tibial osteoarticular segment 20 cm long with intramedullary nail fixation in a 33-year-old male. At 18 months, the patient had returned to work. Farrelly et al. [9] reported a 14-year-old female who was treated with reimplantation of an extruded distal tibial osteoarticular 15 cm long with plate and screw fixation, external fixator, and skin flap coverage. The patient was able to walk after 9 months. Reimplantation is one option for treating this condition if the bone was found at the scene of injury. However, this technique cannot be used if the bone has severe comminution or contamination. In our case, the first orthopedic team determined that the bone exhibited severe contamination.

An alternative method of treating an extruded distal tibial osteoarticular segment is the use of an external fixator for bone transport. Kim et al. [10] performed segmental bone transport on an approximately 12.5 cm osteoarticular segment of the distal tibia and talus in a 39-year-old female who was injured in a traffic accident. Distal tibiofibular, calcaneofibular, talonavicular, and fusion were performed at 7 months after injury. The patient demonstrated full weight-bearing after 9 months' treatment and 5 years' follow-up. The patient was able to walk without any restrictions with some limitations on running and exercising. Nonetheless, this surgical method requires training and experience to master, is time-consuming, and is physically and psychologically demanding for the patient [11].

Orthopedic oncologists have also used various methods of reconstruction after tumor resection at the distal tibia including massive allograft, prosthetic replacement, vascularized or non-vascularized autograft, recycled tumor-bearing bone, and bone transport [12]. However, there is no consensus about which is the gold standard treatment, as each technique has advantages and disadvantages.
Andreas et al. [13] compared limb salvage using allograft and amputation for distal tibia osteosarcoma and reported higher average MSTS functional scores for patients that underwent limb salvage as opposed to those who had an amputation, but the incidence of complications such as fracture, nonunion, and deep infection was similar. However, soft tissue compromise and open fracture are key conditions that differentiate trauma patients from those with tumors. There is a high risk of infection when using osteoarticular allograft for reconstruction in cases of trauma. Fortunately, our patient had an inside-out open wound at the lateral aspect of the heel, whereas the soft tissue at the medial aspect (operative site) remained healthy, making OCA an appropriate treatment option. We used gamma irradiation from cobalt-60 to terminally sterilize bone allografts. Although sterilization of the fresh frozen allograft is critical [14], it may adversely affect the mechanical and biological properties of bone allografts by degrading the collagen in the bone matrix.

Fig. 5. (A) Postoperative radiographs at 12 months revealed interval healing callus formation at the tibial and allograft docking site. (B) Postoperative radiographs at 24 months.

Andreas et al. [13] compared limb salvage using allograft and amputation for distal tibia osteosarcoma and reported higher average MSTS functional scores for patients that underwent limb salvage as opposed to those who had an amputation, but the incidence of complications such as fracture, nonunion, and deep infection was similar. However, soft tissue compromise and open fracture are key conditions that differentiate trauma patients from those with tumors. There is a high risk of infection when using osteoarticular allograft for reconstruction in cases of trauma. Fortunately, our patient had an inside-out open wound at the lateral aspect of the heel, whereas the soft tissue at the medial aspect (operative site) remained healthy, making OCA an appropriate treatment option. We used gamma irradiation from cobalt-60 to terminally sterilize bone allografts. Although sterilization of the fresh frozen allograft is critical [14], it may adversely affect the mechanical and biological properties of bone allografts by degrading the collagen in the bone matrix.
In addition, OCA may result in graft resorption, lack of osteogenic capacity (especially osteogenesis and osteoinduction), immune rejection, and disease transmission [15]. In some countries, it is significantly difficult to obtain allograft as a biological reconstruction material because of socio-religious or cost problem. Gede EWI et al. [16] reported bone recycling using liquid nitrogen as a bone reconstruction procedure in malignant and recurrent benign aggressive bone tumor of the distal tibia and provide a good functional score with no infection and local recurrent. This technique may be applied to trauma cases. The decision to amputate or salvage a limb should also be based on factors such as the patient’s pre-injury status, injury-related factors (location, soft tissue injury, contamination, and physiological status), the patient’s wishes, and available resources [17].

**Conclusion**

OCA may be a sensible option in the treatment of open fracture of the tibial plafond with extrusion of the osteoarticular distal tibia in cases where the surrounding soft tissue is intact but bone reimplantation is not possible due to severe damage or contamination.

**Funding**

No funding.

**Ethical approval**

Approval taken from Hospital Ethical Committee.

Fig. 6. Scanogram at 12 months revealed that the right leg was 2 cm shorter than the left.
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