Identifying DCN and HSPD1 as Potential Biomarkers in Colon Cancer Using 2D-LC-MS/MS Combined with iTRAQ Technology
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Abstract
Colon cancer is one of the most common types of gastrointestinal cancers and the fourth cause of cancer death worldwide. To discover novel diagnostic biomarkers for colon cancer and investigate potential mechanisms of oncogenesis, quantitative proteomic approach using iTRAQ-tagging and 2D-LC-MS/MS was performed to characterize proteins alterations in colon cancer and non-neoplastic colonic mucosa (NNCM) using laser capture microdissection-harvested from the two types of tissues, respectively. As a result, 188 DEPs were identified, and the differential expression of two DEPs (DCN and HSPD1) was further verified by Western blotting and immunohistochemistry. KEGG pathway analysis disclosed that the DEPs were related to signaling pathways associated with cancer; furthermore, DCN and HSPD1 are in the relative central hub position among protein-protein interaction subnetwork of the DEPs. The results not only shed light on the mechanism by the DEPs contributed to colonic carcinogenesis, but also showed that DCN and HSPD1 are novel potential biomarkers for the diagnosis of colon cancer.
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Introduction
Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of gastrointestinal cancers that arises from the colon or rectum epithelium through the accumulation of genetic and epigenetic changes(1). These cancers can also be designated colon cancer or rectal cancer, relying on where initiating cells of them locate. The two types of cancers are often combined together because they have some features common characteristics(2). CRC is the third greatest frequently diagnosed cancer and the fourth most common cause of cancer-related death worldwide, with an approximately 1.4 million cases and 693,900 deaths occurring in 2012(3, 4). Excluding skin cancers, CRC is the third greatest frequently diagnosed cancer and ranks second as a cause of cancer death in the United States, with an estimated 95,270 new cases of colon cancer, 39,220 new cases of rectal cancer, and 49,190 deaths from CRC occurring in 2016(2, 5). This disease is one of the most common forms of cancer and the top ranked cause of cancer death in China, accounting for 25,159 cases and 12,161 deaths in 2009(6, 7). Early-stage detection and clinical stages have the most impact on cancer incidence and mortality in CRC because survival rates of 5 years or more are observed for more than 91.4% of patients diagnosed with localized colon, whereas survival rates drop to only 12.4% for colon cancer that has
metastasized to distant organs(5, 8). With the development of biological technology, a lot of CRC cancer-associated molecules, including 18q/TGF-β pathway, APC loss-induced Wnt signaling pathway, KRAS, TP53, BRAF, and molecular pathways have been reported(9). However, much studies remains to be aimed to further explore the potential carcinogenic mechanism of CRC, allowing promoted prognosis, diagnosis, and therapy(9).

Proteomic assessment of differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) in CRC disclosed that expression and modified changes of proteins are closely related to clinical outcome and risk evaluation(1, 10-12). Our previous studies using proteomics identified the colonic epithelium differential proteins between differential aging and stromal DEPs of colon cancer leading to elucidate potential biomarkers for diagnosis or prognosis of colon cancer(13, 14). Although some proteomic studies on CRC have been reported(1, 10-12, 15), there are more clinically approved biomarkers available for understanding of the ability to present useful clues concerning oncogenesis, prognosis, and even response to targeted therapy for CRC.

Quantitative proteomic analysis of cancer and normal tissues help us discover more diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers. Isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantitation (iTRAQ) coupled with two-dimensional liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (2D LC-MS/MS) technique is a powerful quantitative proteomics method to identify novel cancer biomarkers and understand signal networks(16-22). With clinical samples from cancer tissues, undoubtedly proteomic approaches may lead the discovery of novel valuable diagnostic or therapeutic biomarkers. However, a major challenge in analyzing cancer specimens is tissue heterogeneity. Laser capture microdissection (LCM) is an powerful new tool to harvest target cells, solving the problem of tissue heterogeneity and contamination of non-cancer cells(23).

In this study, we investigated the samples of LCM-harvested colon tissues from the patients of colon cancer by iTRAQ isobaric tags with 2D LC-MS/MS to discover potential biomarkers which may play important roles in colon carcinogenesis. A total of 188 DEPs were identified. The undereexpression of DCN and overexpression of HSPD1 in colon cancer were evaluated by Western blotting and immunohistochemistry. For the first time, our study indicates that DCN and HSPD1 are new promising biomarkers to detect colon cancer and demonstrate that some signaling pathways participate in human colonic carcinogenesis.

Materials and Methods

Tissue Collection, Laser Capture Microdissection, and Protein Extraction

A total of eight different tissues from each of patients undergoing curative surgery and receiving neither chemotherapy nor radiotherapy for colon cancer were acquired from 2011 to 2012 at the Department of General Surgery, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, China, and used for proteomics and Western analysis. A total of eight colon cancer and eight NNCM tissues were processed for iTRAQ-based quantitative proteomics analysis. After surgery, pairs of colon cancer and NNCM tissues were removed from the resected colon cancer, then stored at −80°C, and diagnosed by pathologic evaluation of hematoxylin and eosin-stained frozen tissue sections. NNCM tissues were dissected from a distance of at least 10cm away the cancer. every patient signed a written informed consent form for the present study, with the approval of the Medical Research Ethics Committee of Xiangya Hospital (Changsha, China).

LCM was performed with a Leica AS LMD Microsystem (Mannheim, Germany) to harvest the cell populations of interest directly from tissues, as described previously(24). After pathological evaluation, frozen sections (8 μm thick) from each colon cancer and NNCM were cut on a cryostat, CM 1900 microtome (Leica, Heidelberger, Germany), at −25°C. The sections were thaw mounted on membrane-coated glass slides (Leica), and then fixed at 20°C in 75% alcohol for 30 seconds. The sections were immersed in 0.5% violet-free methyl green (Sigma-Aldrich) and air dried. The stained sections were isolated using LCM, then stored at -80°C until use. Each captured cell population was to be over 95% homogeneous as determined by direct microscopic visualization of the collected cells.

The collected cell populations were completely lysed in protein lysis buffer containing 7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride and 65 mM dithiothreitol for 1 hour on ice. After centrifugation(30 minutes, 4°C, 12,000 rpm), the supernatant was harvested, and the total protein concentration of each sample was accurately estimated using a 2D Quant Kit (GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK). To better understand sample biological variation on proteomic results, the same amount of protein from the collected cells of eight different samples were mixed to produce single sample for each kind of tissues (colon cancer and NNCM), sequentially obtaining the two mixed protein samples used for protein labeling by iTRAQ.
After pathological diagnosis, two types of formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded archival samples, composed of 20 cases of NNCM and 30 cases of colon cancer were obtained from surgical intervention and stored at Department of Pathology of Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, China, and processed for immunohistochemical analysis. None of the patients in present study received chemotherapy or radiotherapy.

Protein Digestion and Labeling with iTRAQ Reagents

Trypsin digestion of two mixed protein samples and iTRAQ labeling of peptides were performed in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocols (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). In brief, 100 μg proteins of each pooled sample were precipitated with cold acetone and reduced with tris-(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine (TCEP, 5 mM) at 60°C for 1 hour. After protein alkylation with iodoacetamide (84 mM) in the dark at room temperature for 30 minutes and overnight digestion on a shaker at 37°C with trypsin (MS grade; Promega, Madison, WI, USA), the peptides were labeled with iTRAQ reagents (Applied Biosystems, USA) as follows: colon cancer, iTRAQ reagent 114, 116; and NNCM, iTRAQ reagents 115, 117. Four labeled samples were mixed into one tube and dried by evaporation in a centrifugal vacuum concentrator.

Off-line 2D LC-MS/MS

Firstly, the iTRAQ labeling mixed peptides were separated by strong cation exchange column into ten fractions, as we previously described (19). Prior to MS analysis, the peptides mixture was desalted with solid phase extraction cartridge (Sep-Pak C18 Cartridge, vac 1 cc, Waters, Milford, MA, USA), added to the loading buffer (25% acetonitrile, 10 mM KH2PO4 pH 2.8), and loaded onto a polysulfoethyl column (2.1 × 100 mm, 5 μm, 300 Å; The Nest Group Inc., Southborough, USA). Separation was performed at a flow rate of 200 μl/min for 60 minutes with a linear binary gradient of 0–80% buffer B (25% acetonitrile, 10 mM KH2PO4) in buffer A (25% acetonitrile, 10 mM KH2PO4, 350 mM KCl).

Each strong cation exchange fraction was dried down by evaporation in the rotary vacuum concentrator, then resuspended in buffer C (0.1% formic acid, 5% acetonitrile), and loaded on Qstar XL (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK), as we previously described (19). In brief, the resulting peptides were separated on an Eksigent 1D PLUS system (AB SCIEX, Framingham, MA, USA) equipped with a reverse-phase column (ZORBAX 300SB-C18 column, 5 μm, 300 Å, 0.1×15 mm; Waters Micromass, Manchester, UK) by using a linear gradient extending from 5 to 35% buffer D (0.1% formic acid, 95% acetonitrile) in buffer C at an analytical flow velocity of 200 nl/min for 70 minutes. Survey scans were obtained in ranges m/z 400-1800, with up to 4 precursors selected for MS/MS in range m/z 100-2000 with dynamic exclusion.

Data Analysis

Data was acquired using Analyst QS Software 1.1 (version, Applied Biosystems) for the QSTAR XL System. Protein identification, characterization and quantification of the iTRAQ data were performed using Paragon and Pro Group algorithm in ProteinPilot Software (version 4.2, Applied Biosystems) with a precursor tolerance of 150 ppm, and a fragment tolerance of 0.2 Da. The data search parameters were described as follows: iTRAQ (peptide labeled) as sample type, fixed modification of methyl methanethiosulfonate tagged cysteine, trypsin as digestive enzyme, Triple TOF 5600 instrument system, Homo sapiens, biological modifications, automatical bias correction, International Protein Index (IPI) human database (version: 3.45; 143,958 entries) as database searching of MS/MS data, thorough identification search, max missed cleavages up to 2, FDR (false discovery rate) analysis, background correction, and no modified parameters. The identified proteins from the ProGroup algorithm were automatically grouped by sharing the same sequences to minimize redundancy. Only peptides unique for a give protein or proteins within the group were used for calculate protein rations, determining true protein isoforms from subsets. The ProteinPilot cutoff score was set as 1.3 (unused ProtScore) with at least one peptide from 95% confidence. A reverse-concatenated database search strategy was investigated using the false discovery rate (FDR) for protein identification. Protein quantified with the relative protein abundances of more than 100%(the iTRAQ ratio ≥2 and ≤0.5) that were analyzed by the signature ion ratio (m/z, 114/115; 116/117), were identified as differentially expressed proteins.

Western Blotting

Western blotting was used to detect changes in the expression of DCN and HSPD1 between NNCM and colon cancer tissues. Briefly, 30–50 μg of cell lysates samples was eletrophoresed on 12% SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes by eletroblofllting. Membranes were blocked in 5% milk for 2 hours and then incubated with different primary antibody (anti-DCN antibody, 1:100; anti-HSPD1 antibody, 1:2000, Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) for 12 hours at 4°C, followed
by incubation with a horseradish peroxidase-coupled mouse, rabbit, and goat secondary antibody for 2 hours at room temperature. After extensive washing, the membranes were placed in contact with ECL Western Blotting Detection Reagent (GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK), and the images were quantified by densitometry using Imagequant Image Analysis System (Storm Optical Scanner, Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). β-actin was used normalize loading variations and was assessed using a mouse anti-β-actin antibody (1:4,000; Sigma-Aldrich).

**Immunohistochemical Assays**

Immunohistochemical staining for DCN and HSPD1 was performed on formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue sections (4 μm thickness) according to standard protocols. Briefly, each slide was dewaxed, rehydrated, and microwaved in sodium citrate buffer (10 mmol/l, pH 6.0) for antigen retrieval. The sections were incubated with primary antibody (anti-DCN antibody, 1:100, Bioss; anti-HSPD1 antibody, 1:250; Abcam) antibody for 12 hours at 4°C, and then were incubated with a biotinylated secondary antibody (1:1,000 dilution) followed by addition of avidin-biotin peroxidase complex (DAKO) in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocols. Lastly, FFPE sections were covered with 3′, 3′-diaminobenzidine (Sigma-Aldrich) until a brown color was visible, and subsequently the sections were counterstained with Harris’ modified hematoxylin dehydrated and mounted. In negative controls, anti-DCN antibody or anti-HSPD1 was omitted. For the evaluation of DCN and HSPD1 expression, the sections were analyzed independently by two surgical pathologists making great effort to come to a consensus on staining expressions by light microscopy. A semi-quantitative score of histopathological characteristic was used to assess staining intensity and staining area for each case to detect the expression levels of the proteins, as we previously described(25). At least 10 high-power fields were selected randomly, and >1,000 cells were counted for each immunohistochemical section. The numerical value for positive intensity of staining was based on a 4 point system: 0, 1+, 2+, 3+ (for none, pale yellow, tan-yellow stained, or brown staining). Similarly, the numerical value for negative intensity of staining was given a value from 0 to 4: 0(<5% of tissue stained negative), 1+(5–25%), 2+(25%-50%), 3+(50-75%), 4+(>75%). A total staining score (positive stained + negative stained) recorded as negative staining (−, ≤2), weak staining (+,2-3), moderate staining (++,3-6), and strong staining (+++,6-7).

**Gene Ontology, KEGG and Protein-Protein Interaction Network Analysis**

The DEPs were firstly annotated by gene ontology (GO) from annotated using the PANTHER database (http://www.pantherdb.org/)(26). The GO terms were considered statistically significant and enriched when the corrected p-value was <0.05. The GO terms with computed p-values <0.05 were considered as significantly enriched. The DEPs were subjected to gene-pathway annotation by Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (27). KEGG pathway analysis was performed with the protein-protein interaction network of the DEPs using Cytoscape (V2.4.1)(28). For investigation the possibly PPI (protein-protein interaction) of those proteins, the PPI prediction process has been executed by String(V9.05) (29).

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed on a computer using SPSS18.0. Student t-test and χ2 test were both used to evaluate significant differences between different groups. A two-sided p <0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

**Identification of Differentially Expressed Proteins in NNCM and Colon Cancer Using iTRAQ Tagging with 2D LC-MS/MS**

A total of 188 DEPs were identified by quantitative proteomic approach of iTRAQ-labeling coupled 2D LC-MS/MS, among which 77 upregulated and 111 downregulated proteins in colon cancer (Table S1). DEPs were classified according to GO term at the biologic process, molecular function, and cellular compartment level, respectively using the PANTHER GO classification system (Figure 1). In the aspect of the biologic process, the majority of the proteins were involved in metabolic process (28.10%), cellular process (20.70%), developmental process (8.70%), cellular component organization or biogenesis (8.70%), localization (7.4%), and biological regulation (6.2%). In respect to molecular function, the most common differential expression proteins were associated with catalytic activity, followed by binding, structural molecule activity, receptor activity, and transporter activity. According to the subcellular distribution, DEPs were cell part proteins (36.10%), organelle proteins (28.90%), extracellular region proteins (10.80%), membrane proteins (10.80%), extracellular matrix proteins (8.40%), and macromolecular complex proteins (4.80%). The DEPs were correlated with a wide range of biological processes and molecular functions.
As shown in Figure 2, MS/MS spectra consistent with peptides that are part of DCN and HSPD1.

**Validation of Differentially Expressed Proteins Identified by Proteomics**

Two proteins (DCN and HSPD1) identified by mass spectrometry analysis (MS) were selected for verification. Western blotting was performed to assess the expressional levels of the two proteins in 10 cases of LCM-purified NNCM and colon cancer. As illustrated in Figure 3, DCN was downregulated, whereas HSPD1 was upregulated in the colon cancer versus NNCM ($p < 0.01$), which correspond to the findings in MS analysis.

**Figure 1.** Classification of differentially expressed proteins by gene ontology biological process (A), molecular function (B), and subcellular component (C).
Figure 2. Mass spectrometry (MS) spectra of precursor ions in consistent with peptides are part of DCN and HSPD1. (A) (left) The sequence ETADTDADQVMASFK allows the identification of DCN; (right) the released iTRAQ reporter ions provide the relative quantitation of DCN from the two tissues evaluated. (B) (left) The sequence ALMLQGVDDLADAVATMGPK allows the identification of HSPD1; (right) the released iTRAQ reporter ions provide the relative quantitation of HSPD1 from the two tissues evaluated. Non-neoplastic colonic mucosa, labeled with iTRAQ reagent 115,117; colon cancer, labeled with iTRAQ reagents 114,116.

Figure 3. Expressional levels of DCN and HSPD1 in non-neoplastic colonic mucosa (NNCM) (N) and colon cancer (C). (left) Representative results of Western blotting analysis of DCN and HSPD1 in NNCM (N) and colon cancer (C). (right) Histogram shows the expression levels of the two proteins in these tissues as determined by densitometric analysis. β-actin was used as a loading control. Columns, mean from 10 cases of tissues; bars, S.D.

Detection of the Expression of DCN and HSPD1 by Immunohistochemistry

The expressional levels of DCN and HSPD1 in 30 NNCM and 20 colon cancer were evaluated by immunohistochemistry. As observed in Figure 4A,B and Table 1, the expression of DCN in colon cancer was significantly reduced than that in NNCM (p <0.025). As shown in Figure 4C,D and Table 1, the expression of HSPD1 was upregulated in colon cancer tissues compared with NNCM tissues (P <0.05).

Table 1. DCN and HSPD1 expression in various tissues of in non-neoplastic colonic mucosa and colon cancer by immunohistochemistry.

| Classification | Number | Score | P-value |
|----------------|--------|-------|---------|
| DCN            |        |       |         |
| NNCM           | 30     | 22    | 6       | 2       | <0.025   |
| Colon cancer   | 20     | 8     | 11      | 1       |
| HSPD1          |        |       |         |
| NNCM           | 30     | 2     | 4       | 24      | <0.05    |
| Colon cancer   | 20     | 12    | 5       | 3       |

NNCM, non-neoplastic colonic mucosa.
Figure 4. Representative results of immunohistochemistry of DCN and HSPD1 in tissue specimens. (A) DCN-non-neoplastic colonic mucosa. (B) DCN-colon cancer. (C) HSPD1-non-neoplastic colonic mucosa (D) HSPD1-colon cancer. Main image, ×100.

**KEGG Pathways and protein-protein interaction Analysis of Differentially Expressed Proteins**

KEGG pathway analysis revealed that DEPs are implicated in cancer-related signaling pathways such as protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum, focal adhesion, glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, regulation of actin cytoskeleton, and extracellular matrix-receptor interaction (Figure 5). The DEPs may play a great role in colonic epithelial carcinogenesis by these signaling pathways. DCN and HSPD1 play an important role in the protein-protein interaction networks of the DEPs (Figure 6). DCN is associated with COL14A1, COL6A1, COL1A2, and HSPG2, which are involved in TGF-β signaling pathway and ECM-receptor interaction. HSPD1 is connected with HSP90AA1, HSPH1, CALR, HSPAB1, HSP90B1, etc., which are involved in chaperone activity and folding, sorting and degradation.

**Discussion**

The development of iTRAQ-based quantitative proteomics has offered the option to identified differentially expressed proteins, which may be correlated with colonic carcinogenesis and be used for biomarkers. In this report, iTRAQ-coupled 2D LC-MS/MS was used to identify DEPs in NNCM and colon cancer. As a result, 188 DEPs were identified, and two differential proteins (DCN and HSPD1) showing altered expression between NNCM and colon cancer were selectively validated. These results indicated that the two proteins were potentials biomarkers for the detection of colon cancer.

DCN (also called decorin), which belongs to a member of the small leucine-rich proteoglycans family, exists and plays multifaceted roles in stromal and epithelial cells(30). Some studies have shown that DCN is involved in multifunctional biological and physiological processes, such as extracellular matrix organization, cell signal transduction, cell proliferation, wound healing, and cell differentiation(31-33), whereas accumulating evidence shows that DCN is dysregulated expression and targets a number of crucial signaling molecules in a wide variety of human tumors, for example, oral, pancreatic, lung, prostate, and breast cancers(30, 34-36). DCN expression was reduced in cancerous tissues of renal cell carcinoma and an ectopic expression of DCN significantly upregulated P21 and E-cadherin expression that revealed DCN could be used as a potential therapeutic target in renal cell carcinoma(37). It was found that DCN over expression correlated with decreased adhesion and migration of U87MG glioma cells by downregulation of TGF-β signaling(38). Additionally, it was indicated that DCN inhibited the growth arrest and metastasis of non-small-cell lung cancer with E-cadherin and vascular endothelial growth factor(30). Genetic deletion of DCN can facilitate intestinal tumor formation in mice by attenuating p21, p27(kip1), and E-cadherin, and enhancing of β-catenin
signaling(39). Further studies have found that DCN-induced attenuated CRC growth and migration through the interaction of E-cadherin using a decorin knockout mouse model(40). Our study indicated a significant downregulated of DCN in colon cancer compared to NNCM, could offer a clue that DCN might be related to colonic carcinogenesis.

Heat shock proteins (HSPs) were originally discovered as a member of stress-induced proteins characterized by chaperoning functions. It is thought indicated that HSPs play an vital role in the control of immune responses by various cell stresses(41). HSPD1 (heat shock protein family D [Hsp60] member 1) is essential for the folding and assembly of imported proteins in the mitochondria as a signaling molecule in the innate and adaptive immune system(42). HSPD1 is involved in tumorigenesis and its levels are dysregulated in various cancers(43-47). Furthermore, it has been shown that HSPD1 can utilize tumor cell apoptosis, relying on the mechanisms of its accumulation in the cytosol(48). HSPD1 has been considered as a promising prognostic biomarker because its overexpression was correlated with the development and invasion in gastric cancer(47). Moreover, it has been reported that downregulation of HSP60-induced apoptosis in gastric cancer cells was negatively correlated with the MEK/ERK signaling in vitro(49). It has been reported that downregulation of HSP60 suppressed the proliferation of glioblastoma cells through the ROS/AMPK/mTOR pathway(50). Our results are in accordance with the reports that HSPD1 is overexpressed in colon cancer compared with NNCM. Although many potential functions have been presented for HSPD1 in colon cancer, its biological role still remains to be defined.

Figure 5. Significant KEGG pathways that are involved in protein–protein interaction network of differentially expressed proteins.
The diversity of signaling pathways play an essential role in cancer initiation and progression associated with cell cycle checkpoints, transcriptional regulation, environmental cues, angiogenic signaling, metabolic coercion, epigenetic regulation, cell survival, immune suppression, and more (51, 52). Stress induced by accumulation of misfolded proteins in the endoplasmic reticulum is found in many physiologic and pathologic conditions (53). The unfolded protein response, one of the proteins processing in endoplasmic reticulum, is the cellular response to endoplasmic reticulum stress and plays a pivotal role in oncogenesis (54). Focal adhesion kinase (FAK), a cytoplasmic tyrosine kinase that participated in tumor initiation, progression, and metastasis by triggering multiple downstream signaling pathways to regulate some cellular functions (55, 56). The last decade has proved a renaissance of the Warburg effect, that mitochondrial malfunction and subsequent stimulation of cellular glucose utilization including glycolysis and gluconeogenesis lead to the development of cancer (57, 58). Some studies suggest that actin remodeling is an upstream regulator of epithelial mesenchymal transition associated with the development and spread of cancer using extracellular matrix-receptor interaction in metastatic cancer cells (59-61). In the present study, we found that KEGG pathway analysis showed that the DEPs are implicated in cancer-associated signaling pathways. We also showed that the proteins interacted with DCN or HSPD1 have many different and varied biological functions and the alternation of expression level of the two proteins have influence on many biological processes. These indicate that DCN and HSPD1 play central roles in the PPI subnetwork of the DEPs was true. The studies may offer valuable clues for further in-depth study of molecular mechanisms that lead to the normal-to-cancer conversion of human colonic epithelium.

In brief, 188 DEPs were identified in NNCM and colon cancer by iTRAQ-labeling coupled with 2D...
LC-MS/MS, and two differential proteins (DCN and HSPD1) with expresional changes were selectively verified. The DEPs are correlated with cancer-associated signaling pathways, such as unfolded protein response, glucose utilization, and epithelial mesenchymal transition.

Clinical Perspectives

The current study provides comprehensive insights into the novel biomarkers and the molecular signaling pathways that are involved in colonic oncogenesis, which may help to identify new strategies to improve colon cancer survival.
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Table S1. http://www.jcancer.org/v08p0479s1.xlsx
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