Effect of multidimensional lifestyle intervention on fitness and adiposity in predominantly migrant preschool children (Ballabeina): cluster randomised controlled trial
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Abstract

Objective To test the effect of a multidimensional lifestyle intervention on aerobic fitness and adiposity in predominantly migrant preschool children.

Design Cluster randomised controlled single blinded trial (Ballabeina study) over one school year; randomisation was performed after stratification for linguistic region.

Setting 40 preschool classes in areas with a high migrant population in the German and French speaking regions of Switzerland.

Participants 652 of the 727 preschool children had informed consent and were present for baseline measures (mean age 5.1 years (SD 0.7), 72% migrants of multicultural origins). No children withdrew, but 26 moved away.

Intervention The multidimensional culturally tailored lifestyle intervention included a physical activity programme, lessons on nutrition, media use (use of television and computers), and sleep and adaptation of the built environment of the preschool class. It lasted from August 2008 to June 2009.

Main outcome measures Primary outcomes were aerobic fitness (20 m shuttle run test) and body mass index (BMI). Secondary outcomes included motor agility, balance, percentage body fat, waist circumference, physical activity, eating habits, media use, sleep, psychological health, and cognitive abilities.

Results Compared with controls, children in the intervention group had an increase in aerobic fitness at the end of the intervention (adjusted mean difference: 0.32 stages (95% confidence interval 0.07 to 0.57; P=0.01) but no difference in BMI (−0.07 kg/m², −0.19 to 0.06; P=0.31). Relative to controls, children in the intervention group had beneficial effects in motor agility (−0.54 s, −0.90 to −0.17; P=0.004), percentage body fat (−1.1%, −2.0 to −0.2; P=0.02), and waist circumference (−1.0 cm, −1.6 to −0.4; P=0.001). There were also significant benefits in the intervention group in reported physical activity, media use, and eating habits, but not in the remaining secondary outcomes.

Conclusions A multidimensional intervention increased aerobic fitness and reduced body fat but not BMI in predominantly migrant preschool children.

Trial registration Clinical Trials NCT00674544.

Introduction

Adiposity and low aerobic fitness in children are associated with a clustering of cardiovascular risk factors. The high prevalence of childhood obesity and low fitness represents a major public health burden. Children with migrant or socially disadvantaged background, or both, are disproportionately affected. Few prevention programmes exist in these populations, and they are generally less effective. There is therefore a large demand for innovative and effective prevention measures that target this high risk group to avoid the potential widening of inequalities in health.

We had previously carried out a physical activity intervention in schoolchildren aged 7-11 that decreased adiposity and increased aerobic fitness. Indeed, most prevention studies have
been performed in school children, but few of them reported successful results.11 12 Focusing on younger children has the advantage of tackling a period where the basis for a healthy lifestyle is still being established. In addition, the preschool period (at age 4-6) contributes to the acquisition of healthy lifestyle habits.11 Little research has been devoted to preschool children,13 14 20 and results of these studies remain controversial regarding their effects on adiposity and fitness.

Existing trials have focused on physical activity or nutrition, but the determinants of obesity and healthy lifestyle behaviours are multiple and inherently complex and interlinked.21 The Ballabeina study was designed to focus on several potentially modifiable lifestyle behaviours implicated in the development of childhood obesity or low fitness such as physical activity, nutrition, media use (that is, watching television and playing video and computer games), and sleep.22 In this multidimensional cluster randomised controlled trial we aimed to increase aerobic fitness and reduce body mass index (BMI) in predominantly migrant preschool children of multicultural origin.

Methods

Study design, setting, and participants

The Ballabeina study is a cluster randomised controlled trial conducted in 40 randomly selected public preschool classes in areas with a high migrant population from two different sociocultural and linguistic regions in Switzerland. The detailed design of the study protocol has been previously described.24 The study was conducted in the German (city of St Gallen; 70 000 inhabitants) and the French (urban surroundings of Lausanne, canton Vaud; 50 000 inhabitants) speaking regions of Switzerland during the school year 2008-9. All children in Switzerland attend preschool.

Preschool classes were the unit for randomisation and intervention. Eligibility criteria for the preschool classes included a prevalence of over 40% of migrant children (defined as at least one parent born outside Switzerland) and no participation in any other prevention project.

Randomisation and blinding

Randomisation of classes (1:1) was performed separately for the German (n=20) and French (n=20) speaking areas. Classes were randomised with the use of opaque envelopes. For practical reasons, and to minimise contamination, preschool classes affiliated to the same school building were randomised into the same group. The 40 classes were affiliated to 30 schools, but the school had no role during the intervention as all activities were performed at the class level. Recruitment took place between November 2007 and January 2008. Selection and randomisation took place between February and March 2008 and were performed by a person from the school health services who was not involved in the study.

Teachers, parents, and children were informed that the intervention aimed to promote children’s health but were unaware of the main objectives of the study. Specially trained researchers measured outcomes and were blinded to group allocation. Contact persons and organisers (IN, FB, VE) were unblinded and were not involved in measuring outcomes. No financial incentives were provided.

Intervention

The intervention was developed with the help of exercise physiologists, preschool teachers, paediatricians, dietitians, psychologists, and various stakeholders including experts for migrant families. The rationale, pilot studies, focus groups, and intervention methods have been described in detail elsewhere.24 The intervention lasted one school year (end of August 2008 to mid-June 2009) and was based on the following four lifestyle behaviours: physical activity, nutrition, media use, and sleep.

The study was designed to intervene at the individual (children, teachers, and parents) and environmental (school curriculum and built environment of the preschool classes) levels. All intervention classes followed the same curriculum (that is, workshops, lessons, home activities, offers of extracurricular activities, adaption of the built environment). The intervention focused on changes in education, attitudes, and behaviour and on providing social support. To be culturally tailored, we evaluated norms and needs in different pilot studies and focus groups, translated information as needed, kept recommendations simple and short with many pictures, and focused on practical exercises. Trained health promoters intervened on the level of the teachers (workshops, visits with hands on training, assistance in the adaptation of the built environment), parents (events in collaboration with the teachers), and children (physical activity lessons).

Children—Children participated in a physical activity programme consisting of four 45 minute sessions of physical activity a week. The sessions were prepared by an exercise physiologist and aimed to increase aerobic fitness and coordination skills; they were designed to be playful and organised into themes (such as “clown, spiderman”). The sessions took place in or around the preschool classroom and once a week in the gym. Additional sports equipment such as balls or skipping ropes was offered. Health promoters taught one physical activity sessions a week, which was reduced to twice a month after four months. The remaining sessions were provided by the regular preschool teacher. Additionally, there were 22 sessions on healthy nutrition, media use, and sleep. Positive and culturally independent nutritional messages were based on the five recommendations of the Swiss Society of Nutrition (“drink water,” “eat fruit and vegetables,” “eat regularly,” “make clever choices,” “turn your screen off when you eat”).21 Every other week children received a new funny physical activity or nutrition activity card to take home. These cards were based on the same themes and nutritional recommendations as the sessions and included specific exercises to be done at home. A CD with specific music for most physical activity cards was created to increase pleasure and define the minimal time the activity should be performed. In addition, healthy snacks during recess and healthy treats for anniversaries were promoted and preschool classes exclusively offered their children water and healthy food. In May 2009, a Ballabeina event was organised with games implementing the main messages of the intervention. Stickers that were pasted on a poster in the classroom showed how the programme was advancing. Regardless of consent, participation in the intervention was mandatory for all children. Additional information about the intervention material is provided elsewhere.26 28

Teachers—Teachers participated in two workshops before the intervention to learn about the content and the practical aspects of the intervention. During the intervention, they took part in one formal meeting to exchange their experiences and in evenings organised for the parents. All these activities were organised by the health promoters for all teachers of one
Aerobic fitness was assessed by the 20 m shuttle run test, where height and weight were measured by standardised procedures. Primary outcomes included BMI and aerobic fitness. Body characteristics—such as reported habitual physical activity to provide a playful and constant recapitulation of the different parts of the intervention.

### Control group

The control group did not receive any intervention and continued their regular school curriculum, which included one 45 minute physical activity lesson a week in the gym. In the French speaking region there was one additional 45 minute rhythmic lesson a week, corresponding to their regular curriculum. Parents of children in the control group participated in one information evening.

### Objectives and outcomes

We tested the efficacy of the intervention by comparing participants allocated to the intervention group with those in the control group at the end of the intervention. Measurements were performed before the intervention (August 2008) and at the end (June 2009). All primary and secondary outcomes were measured less than two weeks apart and are reported at the level of the individual child. Physical fitness outcomes were assessed in the gym and adiposity outcomes and cognitive abilities in a separate room close to the classroom. Specially trained researchers measured all outcomes, and a doctor supervised the tests in the preschool class. Additional details on the prespecified outcomes and pilot studies evaluating the feasibility and test-retest validity of the measures in this population have been previously reported.

### Primary outcomes

Primary outcomes included BMI and aerobic fitness. Body height and weight were measured by standardised procedures. Aerobic fitness was assessed by the 20 m shuttle run test, where children run back and forth for 20 m with an initial running speed of 8.0 km/h and a progressive 0.5 km/h increase of the running speed every minute. Results were expressed as stages; one stage corresponding to the running time of one minute. Eight to 10 children took the test at one time, and a researcher assigned to each child checked adequate test procedures. In an unpublished pilot study in this population, test-retest reliability was $r=0.84$ ($n=20, P<0.001$).

### Secondary outcomes

Secondary outcomes included additional measures of adiposity and fitness. Overweight was defined according to cut offs of the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF). Bioelectrical impedance analysis was performed with a four polar single frequency device (RJL Systems, Model 101A; Detroit, MI, USA) and percentage body fat calculated with a validated formula for children in this age group. The sum of four skinfolds (triceps, biceps, subscapular, and suprailiac) was measured in triplicate to the nearest 0.5 mm with Harpenden calipers (HSK-BI; British Indicators, Burgess Hill, West Sussex, UK). Waist circumference was measured with a flexible tape midway between the iliac crest and the lowest border of the rib cage. Motor agility (obstacle course) and dynamic balance (balance beam) were tested individually within groups of three to four children. The obstacle course includes the time needed to run from a marking cone to a bench, jumping over the bench (36 cm high, 28 cm wide), crawling back under this bench, and running back to the marking cone three times in a row as fast as possible. Dynamic balance included the number of successful steps with the child balancing barefoot on a 3 m long and 3 cm wide balance beam. Static balance was determined on a balance platform (GKS 1000, IMM, Mittweida, Germany) by measuring the displacement (in mm) of the centre of pressure in a two dimensional system.

Secondary outcomes also included physical activity measured by accelerometry (MTI/CSA 7164, Actigraph, Shlimar, FL, USA) and reported physical activity, eating habits, media use, sleep duration, quality of life, and cognitive abilities (attention and spatial working memory). Accelerometers were consistently worn around the hip over five days at baseline and at the end of the intervention (both summertime) and were programmed to save data in 15 second intervals (epochs). To consider data as valid, we needed at least three days of recording (two weekdays and one weekend day) with a minimum of six hours’ registration a day. Sequences of at least 10 minutes of consecutive zero values were considered as “accelerometer not worn” and were removed. Children wore the accelerometers for a mean of 10.8 (SD 1.2) hours a day.

Eating habits were assessed by the parents with a semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire. Healthy eating habits were defined according to the five recommendations of the Swiss Society of Nutrition (see above). Each recommendation also included two subtopics. For example, the first recommendation (“drink water”) included the encouragement of drinking water and the reduction of sweetened drinks. For each topic, we built quarters of responses as the values of the respective topics had different codings. Thereby, binary variables were created (coding 1 for the healthiest quarter versus 0 for the others). The binary variables were further summed to create a general healthy eating score. “Healthy eaters” thus corresponded to the highest quarter of their recommendations. As numbers with the same values were put into the same category, the number of “healthy eaters” does not exactly correspond to 25%. Other lifestyle characteristics—such as reported habitual physical activity to get an idea of physical activity over a more prolonged time.
period, media use including TV viewing and playing video and computer games, sleep duration, health related quality of life (PedsQL 4.0), and sociocultural characteristics—were assessed by a general health questionnaire that was filled in by the parents. “Active children” were defined as those said to be “more” or “much more” active than their peers of the same sex and age. We determined migrant status of the parents by their country of birth and educational level as the highest grade of school completed (five levels). Low parental educational level was defined as a maximum of nine years of education (mandatory school years). For descriptive analyses, migrant status and low parental education were divided into three categories (no migrant parent/lower education, one migrant parent/lower education, both migrant parents/lower education). Children were also categorised into two groups according to the language most often spoken at home (native language or French/German). Because of school legislation, we could not obtain any information about income (that is, earning, wages). To test attention, children had to sort 40 cards with familiar pictures into four different boxes, and we assessed sorting time (quantitative dimension) and number of correct cards (qualitative dimension). To test spatial working memory, an increasing number of geometrical forms, which became more and more complex, had to be memorised and then recognised from a new set of figures including colours as distracters. The health promoters evaluated process of the implementation in the preschool class and at home through parental questionnaires. The only deviation from the protocol was that, on demand of the teachers, only one instead of two formal meetings with the teachers was organised during the intervention.

**Statistical methods**

All analyses were performed with Stata version 11.0 (Statacorp, College Station, TX, USA). With an average class size of 18, we assumed that, on average, 13 children a class would participate in both shuttle run tests (because of non-participation, attrition, moving, sickness on the testing day). We calculated that 40 classes would provide 90% power for detecting a true intervention effect of half a standard deviation between participants at the significance level of 0.05, provided that the SD of the random class effect does not exceed 25% of the SD between participants (that is, corresponding to an intraclass correlation of around 0.06). We hypothesised a corresponding effect size for change in BMI and shuttle run performance. Analyses were performed on an intention to treat basis, by using data from individual children but adjusting for clustering of outcomes within preschool classes. Data are described by mean (SD) or percentages. Intervention effects were estimated with mixed linear and logistic regression models, with adjustment for baseline outcomes, age, sex, and sociocultural and linguistic region (German versus French part of Switzerland) as covariates. The effect estimates for the quantitative outcome variables describe the difference between the mean change in the intervention group and the mean change in the control group. The effect estimates for binary outcome variables were obtained from logistic regression models and are presented as odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals. We also tested whether additional cluster adjustment for “school” influenced the results. Potential modifications of intervention effects by sex or age were tested and were all found to be non-significant. No P value adjustment for parallel comparisons was made because the focus was on effect estimation, and there is considerable correlation between the outcome and the predictor variables considered.

**Results**

**Participant flow**

Figures 1 and 2 show the flow charts of the trial profile. A total of 40 preschool classes (727 children) entered the study and were randomly assigned to group (20 in each) after stratification for sociocultural and linguistic region. Informed consent was obtained from 655 children (90% participation rate), and 652 were examined at baseline. A sample of 342 children received the intervention. None of the 40 preschool classes left the study, and eight children in the intervention group and 18 in the control group had moved away by the end of the year.

**Baseline data**

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the children at baseline. Of the participating children, 72% had at least one parent and 48% had two parents born outside of Switzerland. We noted no differences in baseline characteristics and outcome variables between the intervention and control groups (all P>0.2).

**Outcomes**

Tables 2 and 3 present data on outcomes. There was a significantly higher increase in aerobic fitness in the intervention group than in the control group. The adjusted mean difference versus the control group corresponded to 11% of the mean baseline values in the 20 m shuttle run test or to an absolute increase in running distance of 56 m. Although we found no group difference in BMI at follow-up (table 2), children in the intervention group showed reductions in percentage body fat and the sum of four skinfolds and lower increases in waist circumference than control children, with intervention effects being in the order of 5%, 10%, and 2% of the respective mean baseline values. They also showed a more pronounced improvement in motor agility (time to perform an obstacle course) but not in static or dynamic balance. There were also significant beneficial effects of the intervention on reported physical activity, eating habits, and media use. There was no effect on the prevalence of overweight, measured physical activity, sleep duration, cognitive abilities, and quality of life (table 3). Additional cluster adjustment for “school” did not alter the results.

**Process evaluation**

Over the course of the study we obtained feedback on the intervention from 20 (out of 20) teachers and 297 (out of 342) parents. Most teachers (95%) attended both workshops and the informal meeting. Most physical activity and nutrition lessons were implemented as planned (95% (SD 6%) for physical activity (corresponds to 3.8 (SD 0.2) physical activity lessons a week) and 88% (14%) for nutritional lessons (corresponds to 19.3 (SD 3.0) lessons, of the 22 lessons). In 85% (17/20) of the classes, the built environment in or around the preschool class was adapted. Most (85%) parents came to at least one of the three information evenings, and over 90% reported having seen the physical activity and nutrition cards with the home exercises. According to parental report 75% (220/293) and 80% (235/293) of the children had carried out the nutrition and physical activity exercises on the cards or worksheets regularly at home, and 89% (239/270) and 92% (257/281) had liked the respective cards.
Adverse events
No injuries or other adverse events occurred during physical activity sessions in the intervention classes.

Discussion
Main findings
A multidimensional school based intervention in predominantly migrant preschool children in two socioculturally and linguistically different regions in Switzerland led to improvements in aerobic fitness but no changes in BMI. The intervention included a physical activity programme, lessons on nutrition, media use, and sleep, and adaptation of the built environment of the preschool class. The intervention also led to beneficial effects in percentage body fat, the sum of four skinfolds, waist circumference, and motor agility. Effect sizes were 11% for aerobic fitness and 5-10% for body fat. Fitness and body fat are both important health determinants. The observed improvements point to a combined effect of several potentially modifiable determinants, especially as beneficial changes were reported in physical activity, eating habits, and media use.

Strengths and limitations
A novelty of our study was the focus on young migrant children of multicultural origins in Europe, a population at high risk for development of obesity. Further strengths are the multidimensional approach, the main focus on adiposity and fitness, the comprehensive assessment, the high participation rate, and the rigorous implementation. The inclusion of sleep as part of a lifestyle intervention represents an innovative concept. According to age, sleep durations of 10-10.5 hours a night have been suggested to protect against obesity. Though this intervention had no effect on duration of sleep, sleep at baseline might have been sufficient for most preschool children as only 5% slept less than 10 hours a night. Based on our results, future intervention should focus specifically on these high risk children. The effect of lifestyle on psychological health and cognitive abilities in young children has been previously debated but not investigated in a randomised design. We therefore included the assessment of those parameters. Neither measure improved, which raises questions regarding their direct link or the need for other approaches. For example, to achieve significant neurocognitive benefits, the physical activity intervention might need to be more intense or contain more tasks that specifically demand both physical and cognitive resources.

A limitation of this study is the use of an indirect measurement of oxygen consumption (VO₂) to test aerobic fitness. The shuttle run test, however, had good reproducibility in our pilot trial, and laboratory tests would not have been feasible in this epidemiological study. We chose BMI as the primary outcome measure in view of a possible implementation strategy including simple and cost effective measurement tools, and in our pilot studies we had a high refusal rate for both bioelectrical impedance analysis and skinfold measures. BMI, however, did not change. A more intense intervention within the preschool setting outside of a research project would not be feasible, but more extensive involvement of parents, the community, and policies could be possible. On the other hand, given the low prevalence of overweight at baseline, perhaps there was no need to lower BMI. In addition, more specific measurements of body fat could be necessary to measure the effect of a physical activity intervention in a general non-obese population, which is consistent with our own results regarding central and total body fat. Increases in adiposity in the controls during this time period might be explained by the timing of the adiposity rebound.

We found no effect of the intervention on measured physical activity. Despite this finding, increases in physical activity throughout the year are likely to have occurred in view of the improvements in aerobic fitness and motor agility, which were manifest in the absence of any changes in BMI. Furthermore, the lack of effect on measured physical activity might be in part explained by the large variability of physical activity within individuals, its low measurement precision regarding the extensive use of “gliding activities” on bikes, scooters, or roller skates, and the fact that the follow-up measurements took place at the end of the school year, when the intervention was diluted by other end of year events. Of particular note is that eight of the 20 control classes had their sports week during their follow-up assessment of physical activity. Generally, the weak to moderate reproducibility of many measures in this young age group and the lack of power could also explain why some of the secondary outcomes might not have been significantly affected. Despite the achieved effects for many outcomes, our study confirms how hard it is to change behaviour, even in young preschool children. Another limitation is that the study lacks long term assessment of the observed effects. Ideally, this programme should be continued into advancing school years and its long term effects evaluated.

Comparison with other studies
Previous trials have shown no or limited success when intervening in migrant or socially disadvantaged children. In the current study, the many activities in school and their mandatory nature and cultural adaptations probably played a major role in achieving beneficial effects. Several randomised controlled trials in preschool children have aimed to reduce BMI or body fat, or both. Of these, one focused on television viewing and the others on physical activity with our without a nutritional intervention, but none was multidimensional. Two studies combining physical activity with nutritional interventions showed beneficial effects. One was a small, intense physical activity intervention (270 minutes a week) over 14 weeks. The other, performed in a population with a baseline prevalence of overweight of over 30%, was less intensive but included parents with the help of weekly newsletters and homework assignments that were linked to financial incentives. Physical activity in our intervention was rather intense, amounted to 180 minutes a week, and was complemented by home exercises, while the weekly physical activity lessons in the control group focused less on endurance. As addressed in previous studies, high intensity and parental inclusion could be two important factors in achieving an effect on adiposity in this age group and a more multidimensional approach might be favourable. Two previous randomised controlled trials in preschool children have assessed effects on aerobic fitness, but both lack methodological quality and used either a 600 m run or a 10 m shuttle run test. Similar to our results, the only other preschool study that used accelerometers did not find an effect of the intervention on physical activity.

Implications and generalisability
Aerobic fitness predicts reduced morbidity and mortality in adults and is associated with a more beneficial cardiovascular risk profile in children. As aerobic fitness in children predicts future physical activity it might help to sustain achieved intervention effects. Therefore, and in view of a substantial
decrease in children’s fitness of 10% over the past 20 years,\textsuperscript{3–4} the improvements in aerobic fitness of 11% in favour of the intervention group are relevant. The spread of non-communicable diseases presents a global crisis and particularly affects individuals who are poor, which raises already existing inequalities.\textsuperscript{5} In response to this crisis, a strong focus on primary prevention has been defined as a public health priority, encouraging interventions in young children.\textsuperscript{6} The preschool age is thought to be a critical time for the development of overweight and obesity.\textsuperscript{7,8} This was also evident in our study, in which the prevalence of overweight, particularly in the control group, started to increase at the end of the study period. The study took place in two socioculturally and linguistically different parts of Switzerland, which reflected the broader situation in Europe including more “northern and southern” cultural regions. This and the multicultural origins of the migrant populations suggest that the intervention would be more universally applicable within Europe. Based on the few successful preschool interventions,\textsuperscript{9} additional approaches to enhance parental collaboration—such as combining school based interventions with interventions in the health setting and community actions and complementing such programmes with wider environmental and policy intervention—should be further evaluated in future studies.

In conclusion, our approaches to target a multicultural population of preschool children did not change BMI but resulted in improvements in aerobic fitness and body fat, both important health determinants. Currently, some of the Cantonal Health promotion programmes in Switzerland are implementing several modules of the Ballabeina intervention. Further dissemination of this programme could contribute to reduce some aspects of the burden of chronic diseases and of the health inequalities that have arisen as a consequence of social inequities.
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What is already known about this topic

Obesity and low fitness are disproportionally prevalent in migrant children

Prevention programmes in this particular multicultural population are scarce, mostly ineffective, and even non-existent in preschool children

As obesity is caused by several diverse lifestyle behaviours, there is a need to evaluate multidimensional interventions

What this study adds

A multidimensional culturally tailored lifestyle intervention programme improved fitness and body fat, but not BMI, in predominantly migrant young children

The preschool age is a critical period to start prevention studies
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### Tables

**Table 1 | Baseline characteristics of preschool children reported by parents according to intervention group (multidimensional lifestyle intervention) or control. Values are numbers (percentages) unless stated otherwise**

|                                | Total (n=652) | Intervention (n=342) | Control (n=310) |
|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| **Girls**                      | 326 (50)      | 167 (49)             | 159 (51)        |
| Mean (SD) age (years)           | 5.2 (0.6)     | 5.2 (0.6)            | 5.2 (0.6)       |
| French v German part of Switzerland: | 334 (51)      | 176 (51)             | 158 (51)        |
| Parental low educational level (n=567)*: |             |                      |                 |
| Neither parent                  | 351 (62)      | 195 (65)             | 156 (59)        |
| One parent                      | 121 (21)      | 65 (21)              | 56 (21)         |
| Both parents                    | 95 (17)       | 42 (14)              | 53 (20)         |
| Mainly speak foreign language at home (n=600)†: | 237 (40)      | 120 (38)             | 117 (42)        |
| Parental migrant status (n=601)‡: |             |                      |                 |
| Neither parent                  | 167 (28)      | 100 (31)             | 67 (24)         |
| One parent                      | 147 (24)      | 72 (22)              | 75 (27)         |
| Both parents                    | 287 (48)      | 151 (47)             | 136 (49)        |
| Most common migrant regions (n=370)§: |             |                      |                 |
| Former Yugoslavia               | 91 (25)       | 53 (28)              | 38 (21)         |
| Portugal                        | 62 (17)       | 36 (19)              | 26 (15)         |
| Rest of Europe¶                 | 115 (31)      | 51 (27)              | 64 (36)         |
| Africa                          | 45 (12)       | 23 (12)              | 22 (12)         |
| Rest of world**                 | 57 (15)       | 28 (14)              | 29 (16)         |

*Maximum of nine years’ education.
†Any language other than German or French.
‡Born outside Switzerland.
§According to country of birth of father. Analogous numbers obtained for mother.
¶Predominantly Mediterranean and Eastern Europe.
**Predominantly Asia, Middle East, and South America.
Table 2 | Adiposity and physical fitness outcomes in preschool children according to intervention group (multidimensional lifestyle intervention) and control group. Values are unadjusted means (SD) unless stated otherwise*

|                        | Baseline Intervention (n=342) | Baseline Control (n=310) | After intervention Intervention (n=333) | After intervention Control (n=292) | Effect estimate† (95% CI) | P value | ICC |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|-----|
| **Physical fitness**   |                               |                          |                                        |                                   |                           |         |     |
| Aerobic fitness        | 2.9 (1.3)                     | 2.9 (1.4)                | 4.6 (1.7)                              | 4.3 (1.7)                         | 0.32 (0.07 to 0.57)       | 0.01    | 0.07|
|                        |                               |                          |                                        |                                   |                           |         |     |
| Agility (obstacle      | 19.4 (4.6)                    | 19.3 (4.4)               | 16.2 (2.8)                             | 16.7 (3.2)                        | −0.54 (−0.90 to −0.17)    | 0.004   | <0.01|
| course, seconds)       |                               |                          |                                        |                                   |                           |         |     |
| Dynamic balance        | 2.4 (1.6)                     | 2.3 (1.7)                | 3.1 (2.2)                              | 2.9 (2.0)                         | 0.2 (−0.21 to 0.60)       | 0.35    | 0.05|
| (balance beam, steps)  |                               |                          |                                        |                                   |                           |         |     |
| Static balance         | 941 (925)                     | 953 (226)                | 875 (137)                              | 857 (128)                         | 19.4 (−9.1 to 48.0)       | 0.18    | 0.10|
| (balance platform,     |                               |                          |                                        |                                   |                           |         |     |
| total length, mm)      |                               |                          |                                        |                                   |                           |         |     |
| **Adiposity**          |                               |                          |                                        |                                   |                           |         |     |
| BMI                    | 15.6 (1.4)                    | 15.8 (1.6)               | 15.7 (1.5)                             | 15.8 (1.7)                        | −0.07 (−0.19 to 0.06)     | 0.31    | 0.05|
| Percentage body fat‡   | 23.7 (6.3)                    | 23.6 (6.8)               | 23.2 (6.2)                             | 24.1 (6.7)                        | −1.1 (−2.02 to −0.20)     | 0.02    | 0.18|
| Sum of four skinfolds  | 27.3 (8.1)                    | 26.6 (9.2)               | 25.7 (7.5)                             | 28.4 (11.1)                       | −2.78 (−4.35 to −1.2)     | 0.001   | 0.28|
| (mm)                   |                               |                          |                                        |                                   |                           |         |     |
| Waist circumference    | 52.8 (4.2)                    | 52.8 (4.3)               | 53.3 (4.1)                             | 54.3 (4.9)                        | −1.0 (−1.6 to −0.42)      | 0.001   | 0.12|
| (cm)                   |                               |                          |                                        |                                   |                           |         |     |
| % Overweight (BMI ≥90th | 10.5                          | 13.0                     | 11.0                                   | 14.9                              | 0.65 (0.32 to 1.32)       | 0.23    | <0.01|
| centile)§              |                               |                          |                                        |                                   |                           |         |     |

ICC=intercluster correlation.

*9 children in intervention group and 18 in control group moved away after baseline testing (for more details see figure 2).
†For quantitative outcome variables, effect estimate describes difference between mean change in intervention group and mean change in control group, adjusted for baseline values of age, sex, and language region and for cluster factor preschool class (that is, unit of randomisation). For binary outcome variable (% with BMI ≥90th centile), effect estimate obtained from logistic regression models with same adjustments and expressed as odds ratio.
‡Bioelectrical impedance analysis.
§Cut off from International Obesity Task Force (IOTF).
Table 3 | Outcomes in cognitive abilities, quality of life, and lifestyle characteristics in preschool children according to intervention group (multidimensional lifestyle intervention). Values are unadjusted means (SD) unless stated otherwise*

|                              | Baseline      | After intervention | Effect estimate | P value | ICC  |
|------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------|------|
|                              | Intervention  | Control            | Intervention    | Control  | Estimate (95% CI)† |       |      |
|                              | (n=342)       | (n=310)            | (n=333)         | (n=292)  |                  |       |      |
| Cognitive abilities and quality of life |               |                    |                 |         |                  |       |      |
| Attention (KV-VK, duration in min) | 5.9 (1.9)     | 5.9 (1.6)          | 5.5 (1.5)       | 5.6 (1.6) | -4.0 (-27.0 to 19.1) | 0.98 | 0.07 |
| Attention (KV-VK, correct cards) | 29.2 (10.4)   | 28.5 (11.0)        | 34.8 (5.8)      | 34.7 (5.6) | 0.05 (-0.89 to 0.99) | 0.87 | 0.03 |
| Spatial working memory (IDS)   | 3.7 (2.0)     | 3.6 (2.0)          | 4.8 (1.7)       | 4.6 (1.7) | 0.11 (-0.31 to 0.54) | 0.58 | 0.03 |
| Quality of life (PedsQL, total score) | 82.5 (11.0) | 82.1 (10.8)       | 81.5 (11.6)     | 80.3 (10.4) | 1 (-0.57 to 3.1) | 0.17 | 0.02 |
| Lifestyle characteristics      |               |                    |                 |         |                  |       |      |
| Total physical activity (cpm)  | 724 (166)     | 729 (165)          | 817 (186)       | 820 (215) | -12.3 (-51.5 to 26.9) | 0.54 | 0.05 |
| Media use (TV, video and computer games, min/day) | 65.6 (60.0)   | 69.1 (63.1)        | 64.9 (53.0)     | 81.7 (74.5) | -13.4 (-25.0 to -1.7) | 0.03 | 0.06 |
| Sleep duration (h/day)         | 10.9 (0.6)    | 10.9 (0.6)         | 10.9 (0.6)      | 10.8 (0.6) | 0.002 (-0.09 to 0.1) | 0.97 | 0.03 |
| Active children (%)‡           | 44.8%         | 49.0%              | 53.1%           | 43.7%    | 1.7 (1.1 to 2.6)    | 0.01 | <0.01 |
| Healthy eaters (%)§            | 26.4%         | 21.4%              | 24.3%           | 12.4%    | 1.9 (1.02 to 3.6)   | 0.04 | <0.01 |

ICC=intercluster correlation; cpm=counts per minute. KHV-VK=Konzentrations-Handlungsverfahren für Vorschulkinder [concentration test for preschoolers].
IDS=Intelligence and Development Scales. PedsQL=Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory.
*9 children in intervention group and 18 in control group moved away after baseline testing (for more details see figure 2).
†For quantitative outcome variables, effect estimate describes difference between mean change in intervention group and mean change in control group, adjusted for baseline values of age, sex, and language region and for cluster factor preschool class (that is, unit of randomisation). For binary outcome variables (active children and healthy eaters), effect estimates obtained from logistic regression models with same adjustments and expressed as odds ratios.
‡Reported by parents to be more active than other children of same age and sex (Lipid Research Clinics questionnaire).
§Correspond to healthiest quarter of recommendations of Swiss Society of Nutrition (food frequency questionnaire).
Figures

Fig 1 Trial profile of clusters. Inclusion criteria were >40% prevalence of migrant children and no participation in any other prevention project. Reasons for refusals were lack of interest or time (of director or teacher) or health problems of teacher.

Fig 2 Trial profile of participants. *BMI at follow-up also measured in four control and one intervention child who had moved away; †bioelectrical impedance analysis; ‡measured by accelerometry; §attention and spatial working memory; ¶reported physical activity, sleep duration, and media use; **assessed with parental questionnaires; ††completed all questions related to five recommendations of Swiss Society of Nutrition (used to calculate sum score). One child with cerebral palsy was excluded from fitness testing.