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Abstract

Purpose The aim of this study was to describe changes in the pattern of cardiovascular agents used in elderly people living in nursing homes between 2007 and 2013. Further, the aim was to analyse the use of cardiovascular drugs in relation to cognitive impairment and associated factors within the same population, where prescription of loop diuretics was used as a proxy for heart failure.

Methods Two questionnaire surveys were performed including 2494 people in 2007 and 1654 people in 2013 living in nursing homes in northern Sweden. Data were collected concerning drug use, functioning in activities of daily living (ADL) and cognition, using the Multi-Dimensional Dementia Assessment Scale (MDDAS). The use of different drugs and drug classes among people at four different levels of cognitive function in 2007 and 2013 were compared.

Results The proportion of people prescribed ASA and diuretics was significantly lower at all four levels of cognitive function in 2013 compared to 2007. Among people prescribed loop diuretics, the use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors/angiotensin II receptor blockers (ACEI/ARBs) increased from 37.8 to 45.6%, β-blockers from 36.0 to 41.8% and warfarin from 4.4 to 11.4%. The use of warfarin, ACEI/ARBs, β-blockers and mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRAs) were less common among individuals with more severe cognitive impairment.

Conclusion The results indicate that cardiovascular drug treatment has improved between 2007 and 2013, but there is room for further improvement, especially regarding adherence to guidelines for heart failure. Increasing cognitive impairment had an effect on treatment patterns for heart failure and atrial fibrillation.
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Introduction

Cardiovascular disease, leading to about one-third of all deaths globally, is the most common cause of mortality [1]. Untreated hypertension is an important risk factor in developing heart failure, ischaemic heart disease, stroke, atrial fibrillation, chronic kidney disease and peripheral arterial disease, and it has also been associated with a higher risk of cognitive decline [2]. Hypertension is a common condition with more than one billion people affected worldwide. The number of people with hypertension has increased significantly in recent decades, partly due to the growing and ageing population [3]. The prevalence of systolic hypertension increases with age [4], but might be declining again in very advanced age [5].

As mentioned above, untreated hypertension can cause heart failure. Typical symptoms of heart failure are fatigue, tiredness, shortness of breath and ankle swelling [6]. The prognosis for people with heart failure is poor, and the rates of hospital admissions and mortality are high [7]. A majority of these people are elderly [8]. Even though heart failure is a disease with high prevalence in the elderly, these people are often
excluded or underrepresented in clinical studies [9–11]. Underrepresentation in studies and less contact with specialist care are possible reasons for the sparse evidence for disease management of heart failure in elderly people [9]. These people often have multiple comorbidities, polypharmacy [12–14] and a worse prognosis than do younger people [9, 14].

Untreated hypertension is also a risk factor for atrial fibrillation and is increasingly common as people age. In Europe, the estimated prevalence of atrial fibrillation in adults is 1–4%. This number is rising to >13% among individuals over 80 years [15]. Also, there is an association between prevalent atrial fibrillation and development of vascular cognitive impairment [16].

Major neurocognitive disorders are age-related, progressive disorders that affect cognitive, emotional, behavioural and neurological functions [17, 18]. Today, a high proportion of people living in nursing homes have cognitive impairment [19]. With an ageing population, and as the prevalence of cardiovascular diseases and cognitive impairment increases with age, people in the future will be more likely to suffer from both these conditions [20]. Also, today multiple medical comorbid conditions are common in older adults with major neurocognitive disorders. Schubert et al. reported that people with major neurocognitive disorders attending primary care had on average 2.4 chronic conditions and received 5.1 medications. Cardiovascular diseases such as hypertension, coronary artery disease, chronic heart failure and stroke were common and required the use of multiple drugs [21]. Furthermore, the presence of chronic diseases such as chronic heart failure and hypertension in people with major neurocognitive disorders is associated with higher rates of hospitalisation compared with those who do not have cognitive impairment [22].

Many studies have described drug use as being specifically inappropriate for people with cognitive impairment, for example, antipsychotic drugs [23], anticholinergic drugs [24] and potentially inappropriate drugs (PIMs) [25] according to different criteria. Much less is known about how major neurocognitive disorders affect treatment patterns regarding chronic conditions such as cardiovascular diseases. Previous studies have shown that there is an undertreatment of some cardiovascular diseases for people with cognitive impairment [26, 27]. The aim of this study was, therefore, to describe changes in the pattern of cardiovascular agents used in elderly people living in Swedish nursing homes between 2007 and 2013. Furthermore, the aim was to analyse the use of cardiovascular drugs in relation to cognitive impairment and associated factors within the same population where prescription of loop diuretics is used as a proxy for heart failure.

Methods

Material

A questionnaire was distributed in 2007 and 2013, including all those living in nursing homes in the County of Västerbotten in northern Sweden (see Figs. 1 and 2). In 2007, but not in 2013, geriatric and psychogeriatric wards were included in the survey. These were excluded (99 persons). In total 2494 people from 2007 and 1654 people from 2013 were selected for the current analyses.

Ethical approval and consent to participate

An opt-out consent procedure was used in this study. Nursing home staff completed the survey form without direct involvement of the residents. All participants were provided written information, and there were information posters by the entrances to the nursing homes. Residents and their relatives could decline participation if they did not want to be included. The Regional Ethical Review Board in Umeå, Sweden, approved the study (registration number 07-028M [2007] and 2012-646-31M [2013]).

Procedures

The questionnaires were sent out to all nursing homes in the County of Västerbotten. The questionnaires included written instructions on how to carry out the assessments, and the member of staff who had the best knowledge about each resident was asked to fill in the questionnaires based on observations of the resident’s condition during the preceding week. Further, the staff was informed about the possibility of contacting the research team in case of questions.

Assessments

The Multi-Dimensional Dementia Assessment Scale (MDDAS) [28] was used to make the assessments. The scale measures, for example, cognition, motor functions, vision, hearing, speech, level of functioning in the activities of daily living (ADL) and behavioural and psychological symptoms. The present study included assessments of ADL, cognition and also registration of current drug prescriptions. The MDDAS has good inter- and intra-rater reliability [28].

Cognitive impairment was measured using a scale developed by Gottfries and Gottfries consisting of 27 items that measure a person’s level of cognitive function [29, 30]. A score of less than 24 is considered to indicate cognitive impairment, which correlates with a sensitivity of 90% and a specificity of 91% [28] to the usual 24/30 Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) cut-off [31]. The ADL score (0–24) was calculated based on the resident’s ability to cope with
dressing, hygiene, eating and bladder and bowel control [30]. A higher score indicates greater ADL independence. The residents were divided into four groups based on Gottfries’ cognitive score: severe (0–7), moderate (8–15), mild (16–23) and no (24–27) cognitive impairment [32, 33]. Information regarding drug use was collected as part of the MDDAS, and the drug data were then grouped and coded by members of the research team. The WHO ATC (Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical Index) classification system was used in order to group the drugs. The following drugs and drug classes were included in this analysis: Antithrombotic agents (B01A), warfarin (B01AA), heparin group (B01AB), platelet aggregation inhibitors excluding heparin (B01AC), aspirin/acyethylsalicylic acid (B01AC06), direct thrombin inhibitors (B01AE) and other antithrombotic agents (B01AX). Cardiovascular drugs (C) and the subgroups digitalis glycosides (C01AA), diuretics (C03), β-blockers (C07), calcium channel blockers (C08), angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/angiotensin II receptor blockers (ACEI/ARBs) (C09) and lipid modifying agents (C10) were also included. Aliskiren (C09XA) was also included in the analysis, but no participants were prescribed this drug. Drugs were initially entered into the data file based on the first word in the drug name, and subsequently combination products, e.g. ACEI/ARB in combination with thiazides were categorised as C09. Information regarding doses and pro re nata medication was not coded.

Identification of patients with heart failure

In this study, prescription of loop diuretic was used as a proxy for heart failure. Using prescription data has been suggested as a method to identify people with heart failure [34], and since loop diuretics is the group of diuretics which is most commonly prescribed to relieve congestion in heart failure patients [35], prescription of this medication was used as a proxy.

Statistics

Dichotomous variables were analysed using the Pearson chi-square test and continuous variables using the independent sample t test. The use of different drugs and drug classes were compared among people in four different levels of cognitive function (see above) in 2007 and 2013. A logistic regression model was constructed so as to control for demographic differences between the two samples.

To find factors associated with the use of different drugs and drug classes among people prescribed loop diuretics, a multiple logistic regression model was constructed. The model had the drug or drug class as the dependent variable and included sex, age, level of ADL dependency, cognitive function and year of investigation (2007 or 2013) as independent variables. Drugs and drug classes (dependent variables) included in this analysis were warfarin (B01AA), ASA
(B01AC06), digitalis glycosides (C01AA), mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRAs) (C03DA), β-blockers (C07), ACEI/ARBs (C09) and lipid modifying agents (C10).

In order to investigate the relationship between different drug classes and cognitive impairment, the prevalence of each drug class was plotted in relation to Gottfries’ cognitive score. Polynomial regression curves were fitted to the data. First-, second- and third-degree terms were entered into a multiple linear regression model for each drug class. Significant coefficients ($p < 0.05$) were used in the final regression model. A $p$ value of $< 0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant. Statistical calculations were performed using the SPSS Statistics 24.

**Results**

The basic characteristics of the study population in the years 2007 and 2013 are presented in Table 1. The group with severe cognitive impairment was significantly larger in 2007 (21.5%) than in 2013 (18.7%) ($p = 0.031$). Also, the mean age in 2007 was lower (84.6 ± 6.8) compared to 2013 (85.1 ± 7.0, $p = 0.016$). There were no other significant differences between the years.

**Differences in drug treatment between 2007 and 2013 in all people and in four groups of different cognitive status**

The prevalence of selected drugs and drug classes, in 2007 and 2013, in all people and in the four groups with different levels of cognitive impairment is presented in Table 2. Among all people, the use of warfarin increased and the use of ASA was reduced between 2007 and 2013. Furthermore, the use of digitalis glycosides and diuretics decreased while the use of calcium channel blockers, ACEI/ARBs and lipid-modifying agents increased between the years.

The use of ASA and diuretics had declined significantly in all groups of different cognitive status in 2013 compared to 2007. The prevalence of digitalis glycosides in 2013 was reduced in all groups except for mild cognitive impairment (however, the same trend could be seen, but it was not statistically significant) in comparison with 2007. From 2007 to 2013, the use of ACEI/ARBs increased in the groups with severe, mild and no cognitive impairment. The prescribing of warfarin and calcium channel blockers increased between 2007 and 2013 in the groups with mild or no cognitive impairment. The use of antithrombotic agents was significantly lower in the group with severe cognitive impairment in 2013 compared to 2007. In the group with moderate cognitive impairment, the prescribing of cardiovascular drugs decreased between 2007 and 2013.

**Drug use and associated factors for people with loop diuretics**

When investigating people prescribed loop diuretics as a proxy for heart failure, the proportion of people using warfarin, β-blockers and ACEI/ARBs had increased significantly in 2013 compared to 2007 (see Table 3). The use of ASA and digitalis glycosides declined from 2007 to 2013. No
statistically significant changes were seen for the use of MRAs or lipid-lowering drugs.

Table 4 shows the results of the multiple logistic regression analysis for factors associated with different drugs or drug classes within the group of people treated with loop-diuretics. The year 2013 was associated with a higher proportion of people using warfarin, β-blockers and ACEI/ARBs. For ASA and digitalis, the year 2013 was associated with a decreased proportion of people prescribed the drugs. People with a higher ADL score were more likely to be prescribed ACEI/ARBs, β-blockers and lipid modifying agents. Furthermore, female sex was associated with a higher use of MRAs, but lower use of ASA and lipid-modifying agents. Increasing age made it more unlikely to be prescribed warfarin, ACEI/ARBs and lipid-modifying agents. Finally, people with a higher cognitive score were associated with an increased use of ACEI/ARBs, β-blockers and warfarin.

**Associations between prescribed drug classes and cognitive impairment in people with loop diuretics**

The prevalence of drugs or drug classes in relation to cognitive function is presented in Fig. 3, where polynomial regression curves were fitted to the data. The prescription of warfarin, ACEI/ARBs, β-blockers and MRAs showed a linear correlation with the cognitive score. The use of these drugs declined with increasing cognitive impairment. There was a nonlinear association for lipid-modifying agents related to cognitive score. No statistically significant trends were seen for digitalis glycosides and ASA.

**Discussion**

This study found an overall decline in the use of diuretics, and an increased use of ACEI/ARBs, β-blockers and calcium channel blockers at some of the different levels of cognitive function between 2007 and 2013. This might be interpreted as an increased treatment of hypertension among the study population, but, since no medical diagnoses are available, conclusions are difficult to draw. It can still be an undertreatment, which has been seen in previous studies among people with major neurocognitive disorders [26, 27]. There has been a debate regarding overall benefits from antihypertensive treatment in people with established cognitive impairment [36]. Avoiding adverse drug events such as hypotension and electrolyte disturbances that can cause hospitalisation might be more important than preventing cardiovascular events [37]. Extrapolating the positive results of antihypertensive treatment in people without cognitive impairment to those who have major neurocognitive disorders is controversial [38]. There are indications that antihypertensive therapy may accelerate cognitive decline [39–41], but there are also studies where antihypertensive treatment was associated with a protective effect against cognitive impairment [42].

More can be said about the treatment of heart failure. We found an overall decline in the use of diuretics, and increased use of ACEI/ARBs, β-blockers and calcium channel blockers at some of the different levels of cognitive function between the years in the whole sample. Furthermore, among people prescribed loop diuretics, ACEI/ARBs and β-blockers increased between the years. Although there was no significant increase in MRAs, these results suggest that treatment for heart failure has improved over the years. The overall decrease in diuretics might, however, indicate a more restrictive use of diuretics in people with heart failure in 2013 compared to 2007, and suggest that those who were prescribed diuretics in 2013 had more symptoms and Table 1 Basic characteristics of the studied samples

|                          | 2007  | 2013  | p value |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|---------|
| Total number of people n | 2494  | 1654  |         |
| Women n (%)              | 1707/2489 (68.6) | 1119/1645 (68.0) | 0.706  |
| Age mean ± SD            | 84.6 ± 6.8 | 85.1 ± 7.0 | 0.016  |
| Severe cognitive impairmenta | 536 (21.5) | 310 (18.7) | 0.031  |
| Moderate cognitive impairmentb | 604 (24.2) | 423 (25.6) | 0.322  |
| Mild cognitive impairmentc | 609 (24.4) | 445 (26.9) | 0.072  |
| No cognitive impairmentd  | 745 (29.9) | 476 (28.8) | 0.449  |
| ADL score (4-24) mean ± SD | 15.4 ± 6.3 | 15.6 ± 6.2 | 0.277  |

*ADL* activities of daily living, *SD* standard deviation

a Gottfries scale 0–7

b Gottfries scale 8–15
c Gottfries scale 16–23
d Gottfries scale 24–27
| Drug category                                      | 2007  | 2013  | Odds ratio<sup>a</sup> | 95% confidence interval<sup>a</sup> | p value<sup>a</sup> |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| **All people, n**                                | 2494  | 1654  |                        |                                     |                   |
| Antithrombotic agents (B01A), n (%)              |       |       |                        |                                     |                   |
| Warfarin (B01AA), n (%)                          | 1218  | 757   | 0.894                  | 0.785–1.018                        | 0.091             |
| Heparin group (B01AB), n (%)                     | 69    | 92    | 2.114                  | 1.524–2.930                        | <0.001            |
| Platelet aggregation inhibitors excluding heparin (B01AC), n (%) | 1147  | 646   | 0.759                  | 0.666–0.866                        | <0.001            |
| ASA (B01AC06), n (%)                             | 1089  | 558   | 0.663                  | 0.581–0.758                        | <0.001            |
| Direct thrombin inhibitors (B01AE)               | 0     | 2     | 1.714                  | 1.001–2.902                        | 0.016             |
| Other antithrombotic agents (B01AX)              | 0     | 2     | 0.663                  | 0.581–0.758                        |                   |
| Cardiovascular drugs (C), n (%)                  | 1579  | 1047  | 0.959                  | 0.836–1.000                        | 0.058             |
| Digitalis glycosides (C01AA), n (%)              | 157   | 54    | 0.490                  | 0.353–0.680                        | <0.001            |
| Diuretics (C03), n (%)                           | 1137  | 468   | 1.111                  | 0.959–1.287                        | 0.160             |
| β-blockers (C07), n (%)                          | 244   | 139   | 1.447                  | 1.185–1.766                        | <0.001            |
| ACEI/ARBs (C09), n (%)                           | 581   | 258   | 0.821                  | 0.697–0.965                        | 0.016             |
| Lipid-modifying agents (C10), n (%)              | 148   | 125   | 1.063                  | 0.813–1.379                        | <0.001            |
| People with severe cognitive impairment, n       | 536   | 310   |                        |                                     |                   |
| Antithrombotic agents (B01A), n (%)              | 195   | 88    | 0.675                  | 0.493–0.924                        | 0.014             |
| Warfarin (B01AA), n (%)                          | 5     | 4     | 1.370                  | 0.357–5.253                        | 0.646             |
| Heparin group (B01AB), n (%)                     | 1     | 2     | 3.387                  | 0.301–38.085                       | 0.323             |
| Platelet aggregation inhibitors excluding heparin (B01AC), n (%) | 190   | 79    | 0.604                  | 0.438–0.834                        | 0.002             |
| ASA (B01AC06), n (%)                             | 186   | 70    | 0.529                  | 0.380–0.737                        | <0.001            |
| Direct thrombin inhibitors (B01AE)               | 0     | 1     | 1.486                  | 1.284–1.719                        |                   |
| Other antithrombotic agents (B01AX)              | 0     | 2     | 0.663                  | 0.581–0.758                        |                   |
| Cardiovascular drugs (C), n (%)                  | 224   | 129   | 0.926                  | 0.688–1.247                        | 0.613             |
| Digitalis glycosides (C01AA), n (%)              | 23    | 4     | 0.296                  | 0.101–0.866                        | 0.026             |
| Diuretics (C03), n (%)                           | 164   | 65    | 0.546                  | 0.386–0.772                        | 0.001             |
| β-blockers (C07), n (%)                          | 50    | 14    | 1.700                  | 1.094–2.640                        | 0.018             |
| Calcium channel blockers (C08), n (%)            | 23    | 18    | 1.228                  | 0.634–2.378                        | 0.368             |
| ACEI/ARBs (C09), n (%)                           | 50    | 56    | 2.080                  | 1.372–3.155                        | 0.001             |
| Lipid-modifying agents (C10), n (%)              | 11    | 13    | 1.884                  | 0.813–4.365                        | 0.140             |
| People with moderate cognitive impairment, n     | 604   | 423   |                        |                                     |                   |
| Antithrombotic agents (B01A), n (%)              | 275   | 180   | 0.915                  | 0.706–1.184                        | 0.499             |
| Warfarin (B01AA), n (%)                          | 11    | 9     | 1.090                  | 0.433–2.747                        | 0.854             |
| Heparin group (B01AB), n (%)                     | 3     | 6     | 4.105                  | 0.817–20.617                       | 0.086             |
| Platelet aggregation inhibitors excluding heparin (B01AC), n (%) | 262   | 167   | 0.884                  | 0.681–1.147                        | 0.352             |
| ASA (B01AC06), n (%)                             | 253   | 143   | 0.738                  | 0.566–0.962                        | 0.025             |
| Direct thrombin inhibitors (B01AE)               | 0     | 0     | 1.714                  | 1.001–2.902                        |                   |
| Other antithrombotic agents (B01AX)              | 0     | 0     | 0.663                  | 0.581–0.758                        |                   |
| Cardiovascular drugs (C), n (%)                  | 376   | 230   | 0.732                  | 0.565–0.948                        | 0.018             |
| Digitalis glycosides (C01AA), n (%)              | 40    | 13    | 0.472                  | 0.248–0.899                        | 0.022             |
| Diuretics (C03), n (%)                           | 260   | 116   | 0.486                  | 0.368–0.642                        | <0.001            |
| β-blockers (C07), n (%)                          | 155   | 92    | 0.778                  | 0.575–1.054                        | 0.105             |
| Calcium channel blockers (C08), n (%)            | 74    | 43    | 0.814                  | 0.542–1.221                        | 0.319             |
| ACEI/ARBs (C09), n (%)                           | 131   | 108   | 1.332                  | 0.987–1.797                        | 0.061             |
| Lipid-modifying agents (C10), n (%)              | 25    | 18    | 1.096                  | 0.581–2.067                        | 0.777             |
| People with mild cognitive impairment, n         | 609   | 445   |                        |                                     |                   |
| Antithrombotic agents (B01A), n (%)              | 326   | 214   | 0.824                  | 0.640–1.060                        | 0.131             |
| Warfarin (B01AA), n (%)                          | 21    | 36    | 2.561                  | 1.462–4.486                        | 0.001             |
needed more treatment. The recommended treatment with ACEIs, MRAs and β-blockers has shown improved survival in people with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) [6]. In the guidelines from the Swedish Medical Products Agency from 2006 [43], as well as the guidelines from the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare from 2008 [44], ACEIs were recommended for NYHA I–IV and β-blockers for NYHA II–IV. Spironolactone was recommended for NYHA III–IV if the treatment outcome was not good enough with ACEIs and β-blockers. In the ESC guidelines from 2012, the recommendation of using MRAs widened also for this to be given to people with NYHA II [45]. If these people still showed symptoms in NYHA III–IV, the recommendation was to try digoxin to alleviate symptoms. However, it is stated that the main indication for digoxin is atrial fibrillation. The lower use of digitalis glycosides in three groups and the overall decrease among people prescribed loop diuretics can also be interpreted as better “base” drug therapy.

A decreased use of digitalis is positive, because of the risk of side effects in elderly people [46]. Even though the overall

| Table 2 (continued) |
|---------------------|
| 2007 | 2013 | Odds ratio | 95% confidence interval | p value |
| Heparin group (B01AB), n (%) | 1 (0.2) | 5 (1.1) | 6.648 | 0.767–57.642 | 0.086 |
| Platelet aggregation inhibitors excluding heparin (B01AC), n (%) | 305 (50.1) | 176 (39.6) | 0.659 | 0.511–0.851 | 0.001 |
| ASA (B01AC06), n (%) | 286 (47.0) | 153 (34.4) | 0.595 | 0.458–0.771 | < 0.001 |
| Direct thrombin inhibitors (B01AE) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | | | |
| Other antithrombotic agents (B01AX) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | | | |
| Cardiovascular drugs (C), n (%) | 410 (67.3) | 322 (72.4) | 1.196 | 0.908–1.576 | 0.204 |
| Digitalis glycosides (C01AA), n (%) | 47 (7.7) | 25 (5.6) | 0.653 | 0.388–1.099 | 0.108 |
| Diuretics (C03), n (%) | 294 (48.3) | 188 (42.2) | 0.730 | 0.565–0.944 | 0.016 |
| β-blockers (C07), n (%) | 170 (27.9) | 143 (32.1) | 1.182 | 0.898–1.554 | 0.233 |
| Calcium channel blockers (C08), n (%) | 60 (9.9) | 72 (16.2) | 1.680 | 1.148–2.457 | 0.008 |
| ACEI/ARBs (C09), n (%) | 156 (25.6) | 157 (35.3) | 1.453 | 1.105–1.910 | 0.007 |
| Lipid-modifying agents (C10), n (%) | 22 (3.6) | 34 (7.6) | 1.242 | 1.255–4.007 | 0.006 |
| People with no cognitive impairment, n | 745 | 476 | | | |
| Antithrombotic agents (B01A), n (%) | 422 (56.6) | 275 (57.8) | 1.093 | 0.859–1.392 | 0.469 |
| Warfarin (B01AA), n (%) | 32 (4.3) | 43 (9.0) | 2.500 | 1.532–4.080 | < 0.001 |
| Heparin group (B01AB), n (%) | 2 (0.3) | 12 (2.5) | 11.011 | 2.376–51.021 | 0.002 |
| Platelet aggregation inhibitors excluding heparin (B01AC), n (%) | 390 (52.3) | 224 (47.1) | 0.822 | 0.647–1.045 | 0.109 |
| ASA (B01AC06), n (%) | 364 (48.9) | 192 (40.3) | 0.728 | 0.571–0.927 | 0.010 |
| Direct thrombin inhibitors (B01AE) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (0.2) | | | |
| Other antithrombotic agents (B01AX) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | | | |
| Cardiovascular drugs (C), n (%) | 569 (76.4) | 367 (77.1) | 1.033 | 0.781–1.367 | 0.821 |
| Digitalis glycosides (C01AA), n (%) | 47 (6.3) | 12 (2.5) | 0.351 | 0.174–0.708 | 0.003 |
| Diuretics (C03), n (%) | 419 (56.2) | 227 (47.7) | 0.718 | 0.564–0.914 | 0.007 |
| β-blockers (C07), n (%) | 278 (37.3) | 187 (39.3) | 1.170 | 0.914–1.496 | 0.212 |
| Calcium channel blockers (C08), n (%) | 87 (11.7) | 97 (20.4) | 1.995 | 1.432–2.780 | < 0.001 |
| ACEI/ARBs (C09), n (%) | 244 (32.8) | 195 (41.0) | 1.457 | 1.137–1.868 | 0.003 |
| Lipid-modifying agents (C10), n (%) | 90 (12.1) | 60 (12.6) | 1.154 | 0.795–1.675 | 0.452 |

ACEI angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, ARBs angiotensin receptor blockers

a Corrected for sex, age, ADL performance and level of cognitive impairment

Table 3 Drug use in 2007 and 2013 among people prescribed loop-diuretics

| n | 2007 | 2013 | p value |
|---|---|---|---|
| Warfarin (B01AA), n (%) | 41 (4.4) | 56 (11.4) | < 0.001 |
| ASA (B01AC06), n (%) | 483 (52.0) | 210 (42.6) | 0.001 |
| Digitalis glycosides (C01AA), n (%) | 101 (10.9) | 34 (6.9) | 0.015 |
| MRAs (C03DA), n (%) | 97 (10.5) | 48 (9.7) | 0.671 |
| β-blockers (C07), n (%) | 334 (36.0) | 206 (41.8) | 0.032 |
| ACEI/ARBs (C09), n (%) | 351 (37.8) | 225 (45.6) | 0.004 |
| Lipid-modifying agents (C10), n (%) | 64 (6.9) | 35 (7.1) | 0.886 |

MRAs mineral corticosteroid antagonists, ACEI angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, ARBs angiotensin receptor blockers
results in our study imply that the prescribing pattern has improved in regard to heart failure guidelines, not even half of the people prescribed loop diuretics received treatment with ACEI/ARBs (45.6%) and β-blockers (41.8%) in 2013. However, it should be noted that elderly people are more likely to have heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) [47, 48]. In the Swedish guidelines for treatment of heart failure from 2006, HFpEF is mentioned [43]. At that time, knowledge about treatment for this group was limited. The main purpose of the drug therapy was to improve function and alleviate symptoms. Based on results from a few small studies, these people were recommended treatment with ARBs in high doses, β-blockers, ACEIs, diuretics and/or verapamil. In the guidelines from the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare from 2008, only ARBs were mentioned in the recommendation for people with HFpEF [44].

Although the results in the present study indicate that treatment of heart failure has improved overall over the years, it was also found that the use of ACEI/ARBs, β-blockers and MRAs declined with increasing cognitive impairment among people prescribed loop diuretics. The regression analysis performed revealed two other factors besides higher cognitive score associated with the use of ACEI/ARBs and β-blockers, namely lower age and higher ADL score. Similar results have been found in a study performed in the USA, where people with Alzheimer’s disease and concomitant heart failure were less likely to receive evidence-based medications (ACEI/ARBs, selective β-blockers and MRAs) than people with heart failure without comorbid Alzheimer’s disease [49]. Another study performed in Sweden found that people with cognitive impairment were treated to a lesser extent with ACEIs and β-blockers compared with people with MMSE > 23, indicating under-treatment of some cardiovascular diseases among the elderly with cognitive impairment. Possible reasons—discussed by Klarin et al. [27]—are that the people with cognitive impairment might have problems in communicating symptoms to the doctor, or that doctors are doubtful regarding life-prolonging or preventive treatment of these people.

Another finding in this study was that the use of ASA decreased significantly in all groups between 2007 and 2013. It cannot be ruled out that this decline is due to decreased ischaemic heart disease among the population. However, since the prescribing of warfarin increased in two out of four groups of different cognitive level, it is more likely to be connected to better treatment of atrial fibrillation in 2013 compared to 2007. Prophylactic treatment with aspirin was considered as an option for low-risk patients in the ACC/AHA/ESC guidelines for atrial fibrillation from 2006 [50]. This recommendation was changed in the ESC updated guidelines from 2012, where it said that the use of aspirin should be restricted only to those who refused to take oral anticoagulants or did not tolerate them [51]. In the guidelines from the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare in 2008, it was stated that there were signs of under-treatment with warfarin of people with atrial fibrillation [52]. According to these

Table 4  Multiple logistic regression analysis of factors associated with different drugs/drug classes among people with loop diuretics

| Warfarin          | Odds ratio | 95% confidence interval | p value |
|-------------------|------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Year 2013a        | 2.841      | 1.849–4.365             | <0.001  |
| ADL               | 1.040      | 0.993–1.089             | 0.098   |
| Female sex        | 0.683      | 0.438–1.065             | 0.093   |
| Higher age        | 0.960      | 0.931–0.989             | 0.007   |
| Higher cognitive score | 1.040   | 1.002–1.078             | 0.037   |
| ASA               |            |                         |         |
| Year 2013            | 0.689      | 0.549–0.865             | 0.001   |
| ADL               | 1.005      | 0.982–1.028             | 0.673   |
| Female sex        | 0.759      | 0.600–0.961             | 0.022   |
| Higher age        | 1.015      | 0.998–1.032             | 0.076   |
| Higher cognitive score | 1.010   | 0.993–1.027             | 0.256   |
| Digitalis glycosides |          |                         |         |
| Year 2013            | 0.576      | 0.375–0.885             | 0.012   |
| ADL               | 1.040      | 0.999–1.083             | 0.058   |
| Female sex        | 1.382      | 0.899–2.126             | 0.141   |
| Higher age        | 1.003      | 0.975–1.032             | 0.836   |
| Higher cognitive score | 0.973   | 0.945–1.001             | 0.058   |
| MRAs              |            |                         |         |
| Year 2013            | 0.933      | 0.636–1.368             | 0.722   |
| ADL               | 1.016      | 0.977–1.056             | 0.429   |
| Female sex        | 1.947      | 1.246–3.042             | 0.003   |
| Higher age        | 0.984      | 0.958–1.011             | 0.236   |
| Higher cognitive score | 1.020   | 0.991–1.050             | 0.172   |
| β-blockers        |            |                         |         |
| Year 2013            | 1.289      | 1.018–1.632             | 0.035   |
| ADL               | 1.047      | 1.022–1.072             | <0.001  |
| Female sex        | 1.010      | 0.789–1.294             | 0.936   |
| Higher age        | 0.983      | 0.966–0.999             | 0.044   |
| Higher cognitive score | 1.032   | 1.014–1.050             | 0.001   |
| ACEI/ARBs         |            |                         |         |
| Year 2013            | 1.393      | 1.104–1.758             | 0.005   |
| ADL               | 1.042      | 1.017–1.067             | 0.001   |
| Female sex        | 1.052      | 0.825–1.343             | 0.681   |
| Higher age        | 0.973      | 0.956–0.989             | 0.001   |
| Higher cognitive score | 1.024   | 1.006–1.042             | 0.008   |
| Lipid-modifying agents |          |                         |         |
| Year 2013            | 1.105      | 0.697–1.752             | 0.671   |
| ADL               | 1.065      | 1.015–1.117             | 0.010   |
| Female sex        | 0.620      | 0.395–0.973             | 0.038   |
| Higher age        | 0.894      | 0.867–0.922             | <0.001  |
| Higher cognitive score | 1.037   | 0.999–1.077             | 0.055   |

ACEI angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, ARBs angiotensin receptor blockers, MRAs mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists

aReference category year 2007
Fig. 3 The prevalence of different drugs in relation to cognitive impairment. The x-axis represents Gottfries’ cognitive scale (0–27 points, < 24 points = cognitive impairment) (a–g). The y-axis represents the proportion of participants prescribed the drug or at least one of the different drugs in each drug class. Polynomial regression curves were fitted to the data. The associations were statistically significant in (a, d–g), but not statistically significant in (b, c). MRAs mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists, ACEI angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, ARBs angiotensin receptor blockers.
guidelines, many people received ASA, which is less efficient than warfarin, or no treatment at all. The guidelines recommend that people with atrial fibrillation with one major risk factor or two non-major risk factors should be treated with warfarin. Heart failure and age > 65 years are both considered non-major risk factors [53]. In the present study, most people who were selected based on being prescribed loop diuretics, with concomitant atrial fibrillation should, therefore, be prescribed warfarin. The increase of warfarin between the two years among this subgroup might indicate an improved treatment overall in the population.

However, while there was a reduction of ASA at all levels of cognitive impairment, the use of warfarin only increased among people with no or mild cognitive impairment. This might indicate that the treatment with ASA among people with atrial fibrillation in the population as a whole has been withdrawn, but there is a hesitation to prescribe warfarin among those with more pronounced cognitive impairment. In line with this is our finding that the use of warfarin declined with increasing cognitive impairment among people prescribed loop diuretics. It is possible that prescribing of anticoagulants was lower for these people due to higher risks of side effects and/or falls. Major neurocognitive disorder has in a previous study been found to be one of two decisive factors for whether people were prescribed anticoagulants [54].

In the analysis of factors associated with different drug classes, higher prescribing of MRA was seen in women. This could be due to hormonal side effects. Gynecomastia in men is a known side effect of spironolactone [55]. In the Swedish guidelines, spironolactone was the first line drug in the MRA group [43]. Female sex was also connected to lower prescribing of ASA and lipid-modifying agents. Lower use of statins has been seen in several other studies where women generally receive less statins than men [56, 57]. The use of lipid-modifying agents was also associated with lower age, results in line with other research [58]. In a previous study, it was found that major neurocognitive disorders were associated with a lower likelihood of taking a lipid-lowering drug, possibly reflecting different prescribing patterns for people with major neurocognitive disorders and people without major neurocognitive disorders [59].

Our study found an association between lipid-modifying agents and higher ADL score, and in the polynomial regression as shown in Fig. 3, it was found that these drugs were associated with cognitive score.

The strengths of the present study include a large number of participants and the unselected sample of people living in nursing homes, and the fact that drug registration was generally of high quality. However, there are also some limitations of this study that have to be taken into account when interpreting the results. Most importantly, we do not know the background of the participants or any diseases. That also accounts for hypertension, atrial fibrillation and heart failure. To identify people with heart failure, using International Classification of Disease (ICD) codes would have been preferred. However, the present data collection did not contain information about diagnoses, and due to this, loop diuretics was used instead as a proxy for heart failure in this study. This gives only an estimation and is therefore a potential source of error as compared to recorded diagnoses. Using prescription data alone has been suggested as a method to identify people with heart failure, although the specificity and sensitivity are lower compared to ICD codes [34]. Another drawback is a potential change in sensitivity/specificity of the proxy between 2007 and 2013, as a result of the decreased use of loop diuretics among people with heart failure over the years [60]. Furthermore, the reasons for drug prescription were not recorded in this study, and the doses of the drugs were not registered. There was also a lack of information about specific reasons for the non-use of certain drugs (e.g. patient refusal or history of adverse effects). There is a potential risk for under-reported use of thiazides in both 2007 and 2013 due to the categorising of ATC-code for combination products, and a possibility that an increased use of fixed combinations containing thiazides between 2007 and 2013 could explain some of the reported overall decrease in use of diuretics. Another drawback is that we had no information about pro re nata medication that might lead to the exclusion of some people with heart failure. The results should also be interpreted taking into account that several statistical tests were performed. The results of single significant $p$ values should therefore be interpreted with proper caution with regards to the risk of type 1 errors. No adjustment of $p$ values were performed due to expected dependency among the outcome measures in the different analyses, which make adjustment of $p$ values overly conservative.

**Conclusion**

The results indicate that cardiovascular drug treatment has improved between 2007 and 2013, but there is room for further improvement, especially when it comes to adherence to guidelines for heart failure. Increasing cognitive impairment had an effect on treatment patterns for heart failure and atrial fibrillation.
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