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Asteroids and meteorites provide key evidence on the formation of planetesimals in the Solar System. Asteroids are traditionally thought to form in a bottom-up process by coagulation within a population of initially km-scale planetesimals. However, new models challenge this idea by demonstrating that asteroids of sizes from 100 to 1000 km can form directly from the gravitational collapse of small particles which have organised themselves in dense filaments and clusters in the turbulent gas. Particles concentrate passively between eddies down to the smallest scales of the turbulent gas flow and inside large-scale pressure bumps and vortices. The streaming instability causes particles to take an active role in the concentration, by piling up in dense filaments whose friction on the gas reduces the radial drift compared to that of isolated particles. In this chapter we review new paradigms for asteroid formation and compare critically against the observed properties of asteroids as well as constraints from meteorites. Chondrules of typical sizes from 0.1 to 1 mm are ubiquitous in primitive meteorites and likely represent the primary building blocks of asteroids. Chondrule-sized particles are nevertheless tightly coupled to the gas via friction and are therefore hard to concentrate in large amounts in the turbulent gas. We review recent progress on understanding the incorporation of chondrules into the asteroids, including layered accretion models where chondrules are accreted onto asteroids over millions of years. We highlight in the end ten unsolved questions in asteroid formation where we expect that progress will be made over the next decade.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Solar System contains large populations of pristine planetesimals that have remained relatively unchanged since their formation. Our proximity to the asteroid belt provides astronomers, planetary scientists and cosmochemists access to extremely detailed data about asteroid compositions, sizes and dynamics. Planetesimals are the building blocks of both terrestrial planets and the cores of the giant planets, as well as the super-Earths (with various degrees of gaseous envelopes) which are now known to orbit around a high fraction of solar-type stars (Fressin et al., 2013). The formation of planetesimals is thus a key step towards the assembly of planetary systems, but many aspects of the planetesimal formation process remain obscure.

Recent progress in understanding planetesimal formation was triggered by two important realisations. The first is that macroscopic dust particles (mm or larger) have poor sticking properties. Laboratory experiments and coagulation models show that it is difficult to form planetesimals by direct sticking of silicate particles, most importantly because particle growth stalls at millimeter sizes where the particles bounce off each other rather than stick (Güttler et al., 2010). While some particle growth is still possible at relatively high collision speeds, due to the net transfer of mass from small impactors onto large targets (Wurm et al., 2005; Windmark et al., 2012a), the resulting growth rate is too low to compete with the radial drift of the particles.

The second important realisation is that particles are concentrated to very high densities in the turbulent gas flow. This idea is not new – Whipple (1972) already proposed that large-scale pressure bumps can trap particles, as their radial drift speed vanishes in the pressure bump where the radial pressure gradient is zero. However, the advent of supercomputing led to the discovery and exploration of a large
number of particle concentration mechanisms. Large-scale axisymmetric pressure bumps, akin to those envisioned by Whipple (1972), have been shown to arise spontaneously in simulations of protoplanetary disk turbulence driven by the magnetorotational instability (Johansen et al. 2009a, 2012). Particle densities reach high enough values inside these pressure bumps to trigger gravitational collapse to form planetesimals with sizes up to several 1000 km (Johansen et al. 2007, 2011, Kato et al. 2012). The baroclinic instability, which operates in the absence of coupling between gas and magnetic field, leads to the formation of slowly overturning large-scale vortices (Klahr and Bodenheimer 2003) which can act as dust traps in a similar way as pressure bumps (Barge and Sommeria 1995).

In the streaming instability scenario the particles play an active role in the concentration (Youdin and Goodman 2005). The relative motion between gas and particles is subject to a linear instability whereby axisymmetric filaments of a slightly increased particle density accelerate the gas towards the Keplerian speed and hence experience reduced radial drift. This leads to a run-away pile up of fast-drifting, isolated particles in these filaments (Johansen and Youdin 2007). The densities achieved can be as high as 10,000 times the local gas density (Bai and Stone 2010, Johansen et al. 2012), leading to the formation of planetesimals with characteristic diameters of 100-200 km for particle column densities relevant for the asteroid belt, on a time-scale of just a few local orbital periods.

A concern about large-scale particle concentration models is that typically very large particles are needed for optimal concentration (at least dm in size when the models are applied to the asteroid belt). Chondrules of typical sizes from 0.1 to 1 mm are ubiquitous in primitive meteorites, but such small particles are very hard to concentrate in vortices and pressure bumps or through the streaming instability. One line of particle concentration models has nevertheless been successful in concentrating chondrules. Swiftly rotating low pressure vortex tubes expel particles with short friction times (Squires and Eaton 1990, 1991, Wang and Maxey 1993). This was proposed to explain the characteristic sizes and narrow size ranges of chondrules observed in different chondrites (Cuzzi et al. 2001) and lead to the formation of 100-km-scale asteroids from rare high-density concentrations (Cuzzi et al. 2008, 2010). However, the evaluation of the probability for such high-density concentrations to occur over sufficiently large scales depends on scaling computer simulations to the very large separations between the energy injection scale and the dissipation scale relevant for protoplanetary disks: Pan et al. (2011) found that the particle clustering gets less contribution from the addition of consecutively larger scales than originally thought in the model of Cuzzi et al. (2008, 2010).

Therefore the incorporation of chondrules into the asteroids is still an unsolved problem in asteroid formation. This is one of the main motivations for this review. We refer the readers to several other recent reviews on the formation of planetesimals which provide a broader scope of the topic beyond asteroid formation (e.g., Cuzzi and Weidenschilling 2006, Chiang and Youdin 2010, Johansen et al. 2014).

The review is organised as follows. The first two sections discuss the constraints on asteroid formation from the study of meteorites (Section 2) and asteroids (Section 3). In Section 4 we review laboratory experiments and computer simulations of dust coagulation to illustrate the formidable barriers which exist to planetesimal formation by direct sticking. The turbulent concentration model, in which chondrule-sized particles are concentrated at the smallest scales of the turbulent gas flow, is discussed in Section 5. The following Section 6 is devoted to particle concentration in large-scale pressure bumps and through streaming instabilities. In Section 7 we discuss layered accretion models where the chondrules are accreted onto planetesimals over millions of years. Finally, in Section 8 we pose ten open questions in the formation of asteroids on which we expect major progress in the next decade.

2. CONSTRAINTS FROM METEORITES

Meteorites provide a direct view of the solid material from which the asteroids accumulated, while the crystallisation ages of the component particles and the degree of heating and differentiation of the parent bodies give important information about the time-scales for planetesimal formation in the Solar System.

Meteorites may be broadly classified in two categories (Weisberg et al. 2006): primitive meteorites (also known as chondrites) and differentiated meteorites. Chondrites, which make up 85% of the observed falls, are basically collections of mm- and sub-mm-sized solids, little modified since agglomeration and lithification (compression) in their parent bodies. They exhibit nonvolatile element abundances comparable to the solar photosphere’s (Palme and Jones 2005). Differentiated meteorites derive from parent bodies which underwent significant chemical fractionations on the scale of the parent body, resulting in the asteroid-wide segregation of an iron core and silicate mantle and crust. In the process, differentiated meteorites have lost not only their accretionary (presumably chondritic) texture, but also their primitive chemical composition, for, depending on which part of the parent body they sample, some may be essentially pure metal (the iron meteorites) while others are essentially metal-free (the achondrites).

It is among the components of chondrites that the oldest solids of the solar system, the refractory inclusions (Krot et al. 2004, MacPherson 2005), in particular Calcium-Aluminium-rich Inclusions (CAI), have been identified. Their age of 4567.3 ± 0.16 Myr (Connolly et al. 2012) marks the commonly accepted “time zero” of the Solar System. But the ubiquitous components of chondrites are the eponymous chondrules (Hewins et al. 2005, Connolly and Desch 2004), which are millimeter-size silicate spherules presumably resulting from transient (and repeated) high-temperature episodes in the disk, but whose very nature remains a long-standing cosmochronal and astrophysical
enigma (Boss, 1996; Desch et al., 2012). All these components are set in a fine-grained matrix comprised of amorphous and crystalline grains native to the disk as well as rare presolar grains (Brearley, 1996). While compositionally primitive, chondrites may have undergone some degree of thermal metamorphism, aqueous alteration and shock processing on their parent body.

Despite their general petrographic similarity and roughly solar composition, chondrites are actually quite variable, and 14 distinct chemical groups have been recognized so far, each of which believed to represent a single parent body – sometimes with supporting evidence from cosmic-ray exposure ages (Bugster et al., 2006a) – or at least a family of parent bodies formed in the same nebular reservoir (i.e. a compositionally distinctive space-time section of the disk). There are various levels of affinities between these groups (e.g. clans, classes) but we will be here content to distinguish carbonaceous chondrites [henceforth “CCs”; comprising the CI, CM, CO, CV, CK, CR, CH, CB groups] from non-carbonaceous chondrites [henceforth “EORs”; comprising the enstatite (EH, EL), the ordinary (H, L, LL) and the Rumuruti (R) chondrite groups]. Carbonaceous chondrites are more primitive in the sense that they have a solar Mg/Si ratio and a $^{18}$O-rich oxygen isotopic composition closer to that of the Sun (e.g. Scott and Krot, 2003). Non-carbonaceous chondrites, though poorer in refractory elements, are more depleted in volatile elements, have subsolar Mg/Si ratios and a more terrestrial isotopic composition for many elements (Trinquier et al., 2009). EORs have generally undergone thermal metamorphism (see sketch in Figure 1) while aqueous alteration has been prevalent in CCs (Huss et al., 2006; Brearley, 2003), but there are again exceptions.

## 2.1. Primary texture and aerodynamic sorting

The texture of most chondrites has been reworked by impact fragmentation and erosion on their parent body. However, rare pieces of CM and CO chondrites have been found, referred to as “primary texture” (Metzler et al., 1992; Brearley, 1993), which seem to retain the nature of a pre-brecciated body. Primary texture appears to consist of nothing but dust-rimmed chondrules of very similar properties, loosely pressed together.

The constituents of most chondrites appear well-sorted by size, with strong mean size differences from one group to another (Brearley and Jones, 1998). Whether these differences arise from some regionally or temporally variable bouncing-barrier (Jacquet, 2014a), some aerodynamic sorting process (Sections 5 and 7), or some aspect of the mysterious chondrule formation process itself, they provide an important clue to primary accretion. (Hezel et al., 2008) have emphasized the need for better particle counting statistics, and indeed one recent chondrule size distribution measurement taken from Allende, of a far larger sample than analyzed previously (Fisher et al., 2014), points to a distribution substantially broader for that chondrite than previously reported.

Aerodynamic sorting has been suggested often as an important factor in selecting for the contents of primary texture (see Cuzzi and Weidenschilling, 2006 for a review). Comparing the aerodynamical friction time of objects of greatly different density, such as silicate and metal grains, shows that their friction times are quite similar in the least altered meteorites (Dodd, 1976; Kuebler et al., 1999), suggesting that asteroids selectively incorporated components with specific aerodynamical properties (we discuss this further in Section 3.3).

## 2.2. The abundance and distribution of $^{26}$Al and $^{60}$Fe

The melting of the parent bodies of differentiated meteorites puts important constraints on the time-scale for planetesimal formation in the asteroid belt. While electromagnetic heating (Sonett and Colburn, 1968) or impact heating (Keil et al., 1997) have been considered in the literature, the most likely source of planetesimal heating is the decay of the short-lived radionuclides (SLRs) $^{26}$Al (with mean life-time $\tau = 1.0$ Myr) and $^{60}$Fe ($\tau = 3.7$ Myr) (Urey, 1955). Depending on their respective initial abundance, and on the time of planetesimal accretion, both could have significantly contributed to planetesimal heating. Additionally, short-lived nuclides provide crystallisation ages which can be calibrated using a long-lived radionuclide decay system such as Pb-Pb, under the assumption that the short-lived radionuclide was homogeneously distributed in the solar protoplanetary disk.

The content of SLRs in CAIs is usually identified to that of the nascent Solar System (Dauphas and Chaussidon, 2011). Excesses of $^{26}$Mg linearly correlating with $^{26}$Al content were first observed in an Allende CAI in 1976 (Lee et al., 1976). This isochron diagram demonstrated the
presence of $^{26}\text{Al}$ in the nascent Solar System. The CAIs from a diversity of chondrite groups formed with an initial ($^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al}$)$_0$ of roughly $5 \times 10^{-5}$ ([MacPherson et al., 2014]. A remarkably tight isochron for CAIs in the CV chondrites was obtained by [Jacobsen et al., 2008]. The deduced ($^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al}$)$_0$ ratio of $(5.23\pm0.13)\times10^{-5}$ is often considered as the initial value for the Solar System and the small dispersion as indicative of a narrow formation interval ($\leq 40,000$ yr). However, this interpretation should be limited to the region where the unusually large CV CAIs have formed ([Krot et al., 2009]). This is especially true since many CAIs are known to have formed without any $^{26}\text{Al}$ ([Liu et al., 2012; Makide et al., 2013]. This indicates some level of heterogeneity in the $^{26}\text{Al}$ distribution within the region where CAIs formed (assuming that region was unique, which is supported by the ubiquitous $^{16}\text{O}$ enrichment of CAIs compared to e.g. chondrules). [Larsen et al., 2011] used bulk magnesium isotopic measurements to suggest that the heterogeneity of $^{26}\text{Al}$ distribution might have reached 80% of the canonical value in the solar protoplanetary disk. However, [Kita et al., 2013] and [Wasserburg et al., 2012] argue that the observed variations can be better ascribed to small heterogeneities in the stable isotope $^{26}\text{Mg}$.

Although the presence of live $^{60}\text{Fe}$ in the early Solar System was demonstrated almost 20 years ago ([Shukolyukov and Lugmair, 1993]), the determination of the Solar System initial abundance is complicated by the difficulty of obtaining good isochrons for CAIs ([Quitté et al., 2007]), given their low abundance in Ni. To bypass that difficulty, most measurements were performed on chondrules which are believed to have formed from around the same time as CAIs up to three million years later ([Connelly et al., 2012]). High initial ($^{60}\text{Fe}/^{60}\text{Fe}$)$_0$ ratios were originally reported in chondrules from unequilibrated ordinary chondrites (UOC) which experienced very little heating or aqueous alteration (e.g., [Tachibana and Huss, 2003; Mostefaoui et al., 2005; Tachibana et al., 2006; Telus et al., 2012]) showed that most of these previous data were statistically biased and that most chondrules do not show any $^{60}\text{Ni}$ excesses indicative of the decay of $^{60}\text{Fe}$. The high values obtained in older publications could be due to statistical biases related to low counts ([Telus et al., 2012]) or to thermal metamorphism which would have lead to the redistribution of Ni isotopes ([Chaussidon and Barrat, 2009]). Recently improved techniques for measuring bulk chondrules in unequilibrated ordinary chondrites have yielded initial Solar System values for ($^{60}\text{Fe}/^{60}\text{Fe}$)$_0$ of $\approx 1\times10^{-8}$ ([Tang and Dauphas, 2012; Chen et al., 2013]). This value is consistent with that inferred from Fe-Ni isotope measurements of a diversity of differentiated meteorites ([Quitté et al., 2011; Tang and Dauphas, 2012]).

In conclusion, it seems that most CAIs formed with an initial ratio ($^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al}$)$_0$ of roughly $5 \times 10^{-5}$, which can be considered in a first approximation as the Solar System average or typical initial value. Some heterogeneity was undoubtedly present, but its exact level is still unknown. On the other hand, it is likely that the initial ($^{60}\text{Fe}/^{60}\text{Fe}$)$_0$ of the Solar System was lower than $1\times10^{-8}$, though high levels of $^{60}\text{Fe}$ (up to $10^{-6}$) have been detected in some components of chondrites. At the time of writing this review there was no evidence of $^{60}\text{Fe}$ heterogeneity within the solar protoplanetary disk.

### 2.3. The origin of $^{26}\text{Al}$ and $^{60}\text{Fe}$

The initial Solar System ratio ($^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al}$)$_0$ of roughly $5 \times 10^{-5}$ is well above the calculated average Galactic abundance ([Huss et al., 2009]). This elevated abundance indicates a last minute origin for $^{26}\text{Al}$. Production of $^{26}\text{Al}$ by irradiation has been envisioned in different contexts (e.g., Lee, 1978; Gounelle et al., 2006), but fails to produce enough $^{26}\text{Al}$ relative to $^{10}\text{Be}$ ($\tau = 2.0$ Myr), another SLR whose origin by irradiation is strongly supported by experimental data ([Gounelle et al., 2013]). This leaves stellar delivery as the only possibility for $^{26}\text{Al}$ introduction in the Solar System. Though Asymptotic Giant Branch Stars produce elevated amounts of $^{26}\text{Al}$ ([Lugaro et al., 2012]), it is extremely unlikely that stars at this evolutionary stage are present in a star forming region ([Kastner and Myers, 1994]). Thus massive stars are the best (unique) candidates for the origin of the Solar System’s $^{26}\text{Al}$.

The recently obtained lower estimates for the abundance of $^{60}\text{Fe}$ (see Section 2.2) are compatible with a Galactic background origin, independently of whether this is calculated crudely using a box model ([Huss et al., 2009]), or taking into account the stochastic nature of star formation in molecular clouds ([Gounelle et al., 2009]). However, if the initial $^{60}\text{Fe}$ abundance corresponds instead to a much higher ($^{60}\text{Fe}/^{60}\text{Fe}$)$_0$ ratio of $\approx 10^{-6}$, a last minute origin is needed. Irradiation processes cannot account for $^{60}\text{Fe}$ production, because of its richness in neutrons ([Lee et al., 1998]). The winds of massive stars can also be excluded, given their low abundance in $^{60}\text{Fe}$. In contrast, supernovae are copious producers of $^{60}\text{Fe}$, essentially because this SLR is synthesized in abundance during the hydrostatic and explosive phases of such massive stars ([Woosley and Heger, 2007]). Two astrophysical settings have been envisioned so far. In the first (classical) model ([Cameron and Truran, 1977]), the supernova ejecta hits a molecular cloud core and provokes its gravitational collapse ([Boss and Keiser, 2013]) as well as injecting $^{60}\text{Fe}$ and other SLRs. A newer model injects $^{60}\text{Fe}$ in an already formed protoplanetary disk ([Herster et al., 2004; Quellette et al., 2007]). In either cases, the supernova progenitor mass is in the range 20-60 M$_\odot$, because too massive supernovae are extremely rare and very disruptive to their environment ([Chevalier, 2000]). Alternatively, generations of supernovae could have enriched the gas of the giant molecular cloud, so that the solar protoplanetary disk simply inherited the elevated abundances of the birth cloud ([Vasileiadis et al., 2013]).

All these models suffer from an important difficulty, namely the overabundance of $^{60}\text{Fe}$ in supernova ejecta relative to $^{26}\text{Al}$. The Solar System $^{60}\text{Fe}/^{26}\text{Al}$ mass ratio was either $4 \times 10^{-3}$ or 0.4 depending on the adopted initial $^{60}\text{Fe}$
abundance. In any case this is well below the $^{60}\text{Fe}/^{26}\text{Al}$ mass ratio predicted by supernovae nucleosynthetic models whose variation domain extends from 1.5 to 5.5 for a progenitor mass varying between 20 and 60 $M_\odot$. Heterogeneity in the composition of supernova ejecta has been proposed as a possible solution to that discrepancy (Pan et al., 2012). However that variability is limited to a factor of 4 which would help resolve the discrepancy only marginally in the case of the (unlikely) high $^{60}\text{Fe}$ value. Finally, the astrophysical context of any supernova model is difficult to reconcile with observations of star-forming regions (Williams and Gaidos, 2007; Gounelle and Meibom, 2008). The commonly proposed setting for supernova contamination of a protoplanetary disk or a dense core is similar to that of the Orion Nebula where disks are seen within a few tenths of parsec of the massive star $\theta^1$ C Ori. The problem with that setting is that, when $\theta^1$ C Ori will explode in 4 Myr from now, these disks will have long evaporated or formed planets. New disks or cores will have obviously formed by then but they will be at the outskirts of the 10 pc wide HII region created by $\theta^1$ C Ori (Chevalier, 1999). At such a distance, the quantity of $^{26}\text{Al}$ delivered into these disks or cores is orders of magnitude lower than the quantity present in the Solar System (Looney et al., 2006). In other words, supernova remnants nearby dense phases are extremely rare (Yang and Chevalier, 2014). In conclusion, it seems very unlikely that a nearby supernova was close enough to the Solar System to provide the known inventory of $^{26}\text{Al}$.

If the low value of $^{60}\text{Fe}$ inferred from chondrites is correct, then the presence of $^{26}\text{Al}$ remains to be explained. Supernovae can be excluded as they would vastly overproduce $^{60}\text{Fe}$ (see above). The winds of massive stars have long been known to be $^{26}\text{Al}$ and $^{60}\text{Fe}$-poor (Arnould et al., 1997). In the model of Gaidos et al. (2009) and Young (2014), $^{26}\text{Al}$ is injected at the molecular cloud phase by the winds from a large number of Wolf Rayet stars. The problem with these models is that the Wolf Rayet phase is followed by the supernova explosion and therefore they produce a large excess of $^{60}\text{Fe}$ relative to $^{26}\text{Al}$ and their respective Solar System values. To escape that caveat, Young (2014) has argued that Wolf Rayet stars do not explode as supernovae but directly collapse into black holes. Though this possibility has been theoretically envisioned, the recent observation of a Wolf Rayet star going supernova shows this is far from being the rule (Gal-Yam et al., 2014). In addition, models considering injection at the global molecular cloud phase cannot account for the observed heterogeneity of $^{26}\text{Al}$ (see Section 2.2).

The last class of models considered injection at the scale of single massive stars. Tatischeff et al. (2010) have envisioned a single star that escaped from its parent cluster and interacted with a neighboring molecular cloud, injecting $^{26}\text{Al}$ through its dense wind. It is compromised again by the proximity of the Wolf Rayet phase with the supernova phase. In addition, Wolf Rayet stars are rare. In contrast, Gounelle and Meynet (2012) proposed that $^{26}\text{Al}$ has been injected in a dense shell of mass $\sim 1000 M_\odot$ collected by the wind of a massive star. Evolutionary models of rotating stars are used, so the injection in the shell starts as early as the entry of the star onto the main sequence, lasts for some Myr and ends well before the supernova explosion. When the collected shell has become dense enough and gravitationally unstable, it collapses and a second generation of stars form which contain $^{26}\text{Al}$. Detailed calculations have shown that as long as the parent star, baptized Coaticue, is more massive than $M_{\text{min}} = 32 M_\odot$, the abundance of $^{26}\text{Al}$ in the shell is equal to or larger than that of the Solar System, depending on the mixing efficiency of the wind material with the shell. Because the mixing time-scale of the dense shell is comparable to its collapse time-scale, a certain level of $^{26}\text{Al}$ heterogeneity is expected (Gounelle and Meynet, 2012) in agreement with observations. This model is in line with observations of induced star-formation within dense shells around massive stars (Deharveng et al., 2010). Because it corresponds to a common – though not universal – mode of star formation, it implies that the Solar System is not the only of its kind to have formed with $^{26}\text{Al}$, and that early differentiation of planetesimals might have been common in exo-planetary systems. Gounelle (2014) has estimated that the occurrence of planetary systems which are rich in $^{26}\text{Al}$ and poor in $^{60}\text{Fe}$ is on the order of 1%.

### 2.4. Timing of planetesimal accretion

Planetesimal accretion itself cannot be dated directly with radioisotopic systems, since the mere agglomeration of different solids incurs no isotopic rehomogenization between different mineral phases. Thus one can only obtain upper limits with the age-dating of pre-accretionary components (for planetesimals) and lower limits from that of secondary (parent body) processes.

Important and ever-improving constraints have emerged since the publication of Asteroids III. In particular, Hf-W systematics of achondrites and irons have evidenced early differentiation, sometimes contemporaneous (within errors) with refractory inclusion formation (Kruijer et al., 2012). This indicates that planetesimal formation started very early in the evolution of the solar system. Intriguingly, Libourel and Krot (2007) ascribed some olivine aggregates in chondrites to this first generation of planetesimals (but see Whatam et al. 2008, Jacquet et al. 2012) for the alternative view that these formed in the protoplanetary disk). The above evidence for early differentiation is consistent with thermal modeling expectations, as the initial abundance of $^{26}\text{Al}$ was sufficient to melt planetesimals, so that chondrites had to be accreted later to be preserved to the present day.

Lower limits on chondrite accretion ages may be obtained from phases precipitated during aqueous alteration (see chapter by Krot et al.). Fujii et al. (2013) obtained ages of 4562.5-4563.8 Myr in CI and CM chondrites (recall the accepted age of CAI formation of 4567.3±0.16 Myr, Connolly et al., 2012). As to non-carbonaceous chondrites, recent Mn-Cr dating of fayalite formed during in-
Accretion of chondrules directly after formation?  

Alexander et al. (2008) proposed that the retention of sodium, a volatile element, in chondrules during their formation indicated high solid densities in the chondrule-forming regions, up to 7 orders of magnitude above expectations for the solar protoplanetary disk and possibly gravitationally bound (see also Cuzzi and Alexander [2006]). Although quite in excess of what the proportion of compound chondrules suggest (Ciesla et al. [2004]), this raises the possibility that the formation of chondrules and chondrites, respectively, may have been contemporaneous, as also advocated by Metzler (2012) based on the existence “cluster chondrites” comprised of mutually indented chondrules (but see Rubin and Brearley [1996] for a criticism of such hot accretion models).

A further argument put forward by Alexander and Ebel (2012) is that chondrule populations in different chondrite groups are quite distinct (Jones [2012]). Indeed, Cuzzi et al. (2010) noted that two populations of particles formed simultaneously at 2 and 4 AU would be well-mixed within 1 Myr for \( \alpha = 10^{-4} \). Here \( \alpha \) is the non-dimensional measure of the turbulent viscosity and diffusion. Relevant values for protoplanetary disks are discussed further in Section 6, but we note here that a value of \( 10^{-4} \) corresponds to the conditions which are expected if the mid-plane in the asteroid formation region is stirred by turbulent surface layers (Oishi et al. [2007]). This would suggest that chondrules had to accrete rapidly to avoid homogenization. However, we do not know exactly the turbulence level or original time separations between chondrule-chondrite-forming locations, as the asteroid belt may have undergone significant resublimation (Walsh et al. [2011]). Chondrite groups vary significantly in bulk composition. This indicates that there has been no thorough mixing of chondrite components, whatever their individual transformations in the intervening time were, over the whole chondrite formation timescale. So whatever fraction of that time the period between chondrule formation and chondrite accretion actually represents, it is not to be expected that chondrules should have been well-mixed over the different chondrite-forming reservoirs (Jacquet [2014b]).

A link between chondrules and matrix is suggested, in the case of carbonaceous chondrites, by complementarity: the bulk meteorite is solar in some respect (e.g., the Mg/Si ratio) but its separate components (chondrules/matrix/refractory inclusions) are not (chondrules have a typically higher Mg/Si than solar while the converse is true for the matrix, Hezel and Palme [2010]). Complementarity—i.e., verified, as for at least some elements it may reflect analytical biases or parent body processes (Zanda et al. [2012])—would indicate a genetic relationship between chondrules and matrix, which would have exchanged chemical elements upon formation, a relationship which would not be predicted e.g. in an X-wind scenario for chondrule formation (Hezel and Palme [2010]) in which chondrules and matrix would have formed in different locations. But it does not require immediate accretion of chondrule and matrix. It only requires chondrules and dust grains to have been transported in a statistically similar way, as was likely the case for a large portion of the disk until accretion. Several batches of chondrule+dust may have contributed to a given chondrite-forming reservoir provided again they suffered no loss of chondrules relative to dust or vice-versa (Cuzzi et al. [2005] Jacquet et al. [2012b]). This nonetheless does assume that at the stage of chondrule/matrix agglomeration, there was no bias for or against the incorporation of any component (Jacquet [2014a]), which may be an important constraint on the accretion process. The problem is that small dust grains are much harder to incorporate into asteroidal bodies than the macroscopic chondrules, due to their strong frictional coupling with the gas. One could nevertheless envision that chondrules and matrix agglomerated together as compound objects (see Section 4.2.5) prior to incorporation in asteroidal bodies and/or that matrix-sized dust coagulated with ice into lumps with aerodynamical properties equivalent to chondrules.
Fig. 2.— The cumulative size distribution of asteroids $N(>D)$, as a function of asteroid diameter $D$, from Morbidelli et al. (2009). These coagulation models started with either km-sized planetesimals (left plot) or an initial size distribution following the current, observed size distribution of asteroids between 100 and 1000 km in diameter (right plot). The grey line shows the current size distribution of asteroids larger than 100 km in diameter. The model with small planetesimals overproduces asteroids smaller than 100 km in diameter (the upper dashed line represents the current size distribution of small asteroids while the lower dashed lines indicate a tighter constraint on the size distribution directly after accretion of the main belt). Starting with large asteroids gives a natural bump in the size distribution at 100 km in diameter, as the smaller asteroids are created in impacts between the larger primordial counterparts.

So the jury is still out on whether chondrule formation immediately preceded incorporation in a chondrite or not. Given the chondrule age spread of 3 Myr within individual chondrites (Connelly et al., 2012), as well as the presence of refractory inclusions and presolar grains which would not have survived chondrule-forming events, it is possible that chondrite components did spend up to a few Myr as free-floating particles in the gaseous disk prior to accretion.

3. CONSTRAINTS FROM THE ASTEROID BELT

The modern asteroid belt contains only a fraction of its original planetesimal population. However, the shape of the size distribution of the largest asteroids is primordial and gives important insights into the birth sizes of the planetesimals. Asteroid families provide a way to probe whether the asteroids are internally homogeneous or heterogeneous on large scales.

3.1. Asteroid size distribution

The observed size distribution of asteroids in the main belt shows a quite steep slope for bodies with diameter $D>100$ km and a much shallower slope for smaller bodies (Bottke et al., 2005). A similar change of slope with an elbow at $D\sim130$ km is observed in the Kuiper belt population (Fraser et al., 2014).

It was expected that the transition from a steep to a shallower slope is the consequence of the collisional disruption of smaller bodies. However, Bottke et al. (2005) reached the opposite conclusion by examining the collisional evolution of the asteroid belt in detail. They used a number of constraints (the total number of catastrophic asteroid families, the survival of the basaltic crust on Vesta, the existence of only 1-2 major basins on that body, etc.) to conclude that the integrated collisional activity of the asteroid belt had to be less than the one of the current main belt population in a putative time-span of 10 Gyr. If one supposes that initially the asteroid belt size distribution had a unique slope (the slope now observed for $D>100$ km), such a limited collisional evolution is not sufficient to reduce the slope of the size distribution of objects smaller than 100 km down to the observed value, i.e. to create the observed elbow. Therefore, Bottke et al. (2005) concluded that the elbow at $D\sim100$ km is a fossil feature of the primordial size distribution. For the Kuiper belt, the constraints on the integrated collisional activity are not as tight as for the asteroid belt. Nevertheless, models seem to suggest that collisional evolution alone could not create an elbow at diameters larger than 80 km (Pan and Sari, 2005), which is significantly smaller than the observed value.

Morbidelli et al. (2009) failed to produce the elbow at $D\sim100$ km in the asteroid belt in collisional coagulation simulations starting from a population of small planetesimals (see Figure 2). So, having in mind the new models of formation of large planetesimals from self-gravitating clumps of chondrules or larger pebbles and boulders (Johansen et al., 2007; Cuzzi et al., 2008), they proposed that 100 km was the minimal diameter of the original planetesimals. Moreover, not being able to reproduce the current slope of $D>100$ km asteroids by mutual collisions between bodies of 100 km in size, Morbidelli et al. (2009) argued that these large planetesimals were born with a similar slope. However, as we will see in Section 7, the current slope can be reproduced by considering the accretion
of chondrule-sized particles by 100-km-scale planetesimals during the gaseous disk phase, a process not considered by Morbidelli et al. (2009).

Weidenschilling (2011) managed to reproduce the elbow at $D \sim 100$ km in the asteroid belt from collisional coagulation simulations starting from objects of 50 - 200 m radius. Because of the small size of these objects, collisional damping and gas drag keep the disk very dynamically cold (i.e. with a small velocity dispersion among the objects). Hence, in the simulations of Weidenschilling (2011), the elbow at $D \sim 100$ km is produced by a transition from dispersion-dominated runaway growth to a regime dominated by Keplerian shear, before the formation of large planetary embryos. However, any external dynamical stirring of the population, for instance due to gas turbulence in the disk, would break this process. Moreover, these simulations are based on the assumption that any collision which does not lead to fragmentation results in a merger, but 100-m-scale objects have very weak gravity and the actual capability of bodies so small to remain bound to each other is questionable. Finally we stress that the formation of 100-m-scale bodies is an open issue, in view of the bouncing barrier and meter-size barrier discussed in Section 4.

3.2. Snowline problems

Among the various meteorite types that we know, carbonaceous meteorites (or at least some of them like CI and CM) contain today a considerable amount (5-10%) of water by mass. Evidence for water alteration is widespread, and it is possible that the original ice content of these bodies was higher, close to the 50% value expected from unfractionated solar abundances. Instead, ordinary chondrites contain < 2% water by weight (e.g. Jarosewich 1990; Kron et al. 2009), while ordinary chondrites do show signs of water alteration, it is unlikely that they ever contained 50% water ice by mass. This suggests that the parent bodies of these meteorites (CI and CM vs. ordinary) formed on either side of the condensation line for water, also called the snowline. In other words, the snowline was located in the middle of the asteroid belt at the time the asteroids formed. The Earth is also very water poor (some 0.1% by mass, although uncertain by a factor of ~5), suggesting that the planetesimals in its neighborhood were mostly dry and that water was delivered only by the small amount of planetesimals accreted from farther out (Morbidelli et al. 2000; Raymond et al. 2004; O'Brien et al. 2014). The very dry enstatite chondrites have been proposed to arise from an extinct portion of the asteroid belt located between 1.6 and 2.1 AU from the Sun (Bottke et al. 2012).

The problem with this picture is that chondritic bodies accreted late (2-4 Myr after CAIs) and that the snowline is expected to migrate towards the Sun with time. The temperature of the disk is set by the equilibrium between heating and cooling. In the inner part of the disk, the heating is predominantly due to the viscous friction of the gas in differential rotation around the Sun, so it is related to the gas accretion rate of the star. The cooling rate is governed by how much mass is in the disk in the form of micron-sized grains. In Bitsch et al. (2014a), the snowline is at 2-3 AU only in the earliest phases of disk evolution when the accretion rate is $\dot{M} = 10^{-7} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$; but the snowline migrates to 1-2 AU already after 500,000 yr when the accretion rate drops below $\dot{M} = 10^{-8} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. These models are probably optimistically warm, because it is assumed that the ratio between the mass in $\mu$m-sized dust and the mass in gas is 1% (this reflects the solar metallicity but planetesimal accretion should eventually decrease the dust content) and account for the heating produced by stellar irradiation by a star more luminous than our early Sun. According to the observation of the accretion rate of stars as a function of age (Hartmann et al. 1998) and photoevaporation models (Alexander and Armitage 2006), the accretion rate on the star should drop to $\dot{M} = 10^{-9} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ at 2-3 Myr, i.e. at the time of chondrite formation. The fact that there is no sign of primary accretion in the Solar System after ~3 Myr also suggests that the disk disappeared at that time (or that all the solids could have been incorporated into parent bodies at that time – however, accretion of solids is unlikely to reach 100% efficiency). Thus, at the time of chondrite formation, the snowline should have been well inside the inner edge of the asteroid belt, possibly even inside 1 AU. This is at odds with the paucity of water in the ordinary chondrites and in the Earth.

A way to keep the disk warm has been proposed recently by Martin and Livio (2012, 2013). In their model, a dead zone in the disk, with low turbulent viscosity, becomes very massive and develops gravitational instabilities which heat the gas. The unlimited pile-up of gas in the dead zone, however, could be a consequence of a simplified disk transport model, and is not observed in more complex 3D hydrodynamical calculations (Bitsch et al. 2014b). Also, the model of Martin and Livio (2012) predicts a cold region where ice could condense inside the orbit of the Earth, which seems inconsistent with the compositions of Venus and Mercury.

A possible way to keep the asteroid belt ice-poor, despite freezing conditions, is to stop the inwards flow of icy pebbles in a pressure bump. The inwards drift of pebbles is caused by friction with the slower moving gas (this effect is discussed more in Section 6.1). Then when the nebula cooled locally below the ice condensation temperature, there was no water vapor present to condense, and the planetesimals formed there would have a volatile depletion similar to that achieved in a warm disk. Bitsch et al. (2014b) explored the effects of viscosity transitions at the snowline (as suggested by Kretke and Lin 2007), but found that only very steep radial gradients in the $\alpha$ parameter allow the formation of a pressure bump. Lambrechts et al. (2014) showed that the formation of a proto-Jupiter of about $20 M_\oplus$ can produce a strong pressure bump just exterior to its orbit. If proto-Jupiter formed at the snowline when the snowline was at the outer edge of the asteroid belt, then its presence would have shielded the asteroid belt and the terrestrial planet region from the flow of icy pebbles, while
small silicate dust and chondrules would remain for longer times in the asteroid belt due to their slow radial drift.

3.3. Are asteroids internally homogeneous?

The possibility that the asteroids grew incrementally by layered accretion of chondrules (Section 7) implies that asteroids are internally heterogeneous, in the most extreme case with a chondritic surface layer residing on a differentiated interior. We discuss here briefly whether such internal heterogeneity is supported by observations of the asteroid belt. [Burbine et al., 2002] note that 100-150 distinct meteorite parent bodies, 3/4 of them differentiated, are represented in the meteorite collection. However, this sample is biased towards the sturdy irons and against the weaker, never-melted primitive chondrites.

Differentiation is a constraint on formation age. Most studies have suggested that sizeable asteroids forming $<10^6$ years after CAIs are almost certain to have thoroughly melted, but those which formed more than 2 Myr after CAIs may have escaped melting except near their centers. More recent work paints a more complicated picture (see chapter by Elkins-Tanton et al.). Specifically, the Allende parent body, source of primitive CV3 chondrites, is thought to have melted near its center, as evidenced by the paleomagnetism detected in these meteorites (Elkins-Tanton et al., 2011); other CV chondrites may show a similar signal (Weiss et al., 2010). This suggests that the CV parent body was differentiated in its interior, but preserved an undifferentiated chondritic layer. Other authors have ascribed the magnetic field in the CV chondrites to impacts (e.g. Wasson et al., 2013).

Modeling of the buoyancy of silicate melts of different composition suggests that, for C-type composition, melt might be dense and remain stable at depth, but for S-type (OC) compositions, and certainly for Enstatite compositions, melt is less dense than surrounding material and will rise to manifest on the surface (Fu and Elkins-Tanton, 2014). Thus there might be old, centrally-melted C-type asteroids such as the Allende parent body, where the evidence for differentiation remains buried, but the lack of evidence for significant surface melting on most S-type asteroids may argue that most of them remain unmelted and undifferentiated throughout (see chapter by Elkins-Tanton et al., and Weiss and Elkins-Tanton, 2013).

We can sample the internal properties of asteroids in two ways - from the observed color and albedo distributions of collisionally disrupted asteroids in families (see also chapters by Nesvorny et al. and Michel et al.) and from the meteorites that derive from them (see next paragraph). The NEOWISE mission has measured the albedos of a large number of asteroids and families, finding a dichotomy in albedo, roughly corresponding to the classical S and C types, that is most evident in the outer main belt. Collisional families cover the entire belt, so they avoid the sampling bias that affects meteorites. Many families have internal albedo distributions that are narrower than the global spread of albedos across families. A similar story is told by observations at visual and near-IR wavelengths (Mothé-Diniz and Nesvorny, 2008). This conclusion is nevertheless complicated by the identification of interlopers in (and exclusion from) the asteroid families. Hence there is an inherent tendency to observe low albedo variations within asteroid families. In contrast, the Eos family and the Eunomia family have unusually large internal variance (Mothé-Diniz et al., 2005) and the Eunomia family looks like what an internally differentiated S-type might produce (see discussion in Weiss and Elkins-Tanton, 2013).

The three ordinary chondrite groups (H, L, and LL) are each thought to derive from a single parent body, based on a clustering of cosmic ray exposure ages of chondrites in each group, as if they were excavated by the same few large impacts (Eugster et al., 2006b). The thermal history of the H chondrite parent body under internal heating by $^{26}$Al was modeled by Trieloff et al. (2003), Monnereau et al. (2013), and Henke et al. (2013) who all concluded it was a roughly 100-km-radius body. Chondrites from all three OC groups show different amounts of thermal alteration from this process, designated as metamorphic grades 3-6. Models imply that the H chondrite parent, at least, first incurred thermal alteration in an "onion-shell" fashion, with the most strongly heated H5/H6 material heated deep in the interior, and then was catastrophically disrupted and reassembled as a rubble pile (Figure 1, Taylor et al., 1987; Scott et al., 2014). The small fractional abundance of minimally altered chondrites (H3/H4; Figure 1) constrains the accretion of the H parent body to have happened quickly - probably faster than $3 \times 10^3$ yr (Henke et al. 2013; Vernazza et al., 2014). The constant mass growth rate adopted in Henke et al. (2013) is nevertheless not applicable to layered accretion, which results in run-away accretion and deposition of most of the mass towards the end of the growth phase (see further discussion in Section 7).

While the major element chemical compositions, and the oxygen isotopic compositions, of the OC groups differ significantly, there is little or no discernible variation of either chemical or isotopic composition across metamorphic grades in any of the three groups (Jarosewich, 1990; Wood, 2005; see also Tables 1 and 2 of Clayton et al. (1991)). The variation of chondrule size across metamorphic grade is less well studied (A. Rubin, personal communication 2014).

Dodd (1976) demonstrated that the difference in the aerodynamical friction time between metal grains and silicate chondrules can explain silicate-metal fractionation in the ordinary chondrites. In this picture the LL chondrites are under-abundant in metal because the parent body was successfully able to accrete large silicate-rich chondrules (the chondrules in the LL chondrites are larger than those in both H and L chondrites, see e.g. Nelson and Rubin, 2002). The oxidation of metallic Fe could have happened as the LL chondrite parent body accreted water-bearing phyllosilicates (Rubin, 2005). In the layered accretion model presented in Section 7, asteroids will accrete larger and larger particles as they grow. Metal grains had a more restricted size range and hence a relatively small parent body.
of the H chondrites would accrete mainly small chondrules together with metal grains. A small size of the H chondrite parent body is further supported by the low fraction of strongly metamorphosed samples that we have from that group (Dodd, 1976). A similar story is told for the enstatite chondrites (Schneider et al., 1998): the EH group has more metal and smaller chondrules than the EL group. This aerodynamical size sorting may be evidence of asteroid growth by layered accretion (Section 7) or asteroid formation by turbulent concentration (Section 5).

4. DUST GROWTH BY STICKING

Now that we have given an overview of some of the constraints from meteorites and asteroids, we can turn to the theoretical models of planetesimal formation. In this section we discuss the growth of dust by direct sticking; subsequent chapters discuss gravitational instability models.

4.1. Particle-gas interaction

The dynamical behavior of a particle in gas depends on both its size and density, as determined by its friction time \( \tau_f \) in the nebula gas (Whipple, 1972; Weidenschilling, 1977a). For particles smaller than the gas molecule mean free path (approximately 10-100 cm in the asteroid belt region, depending on the uncertain value of the gas density), the friction time is

\[
\tau_f = \frac{\alpha \rho_*}{v_{th} \rho_g},
\]

where \( \alpha \) and \( \rho_* \) are the particle radius and density, and \( v_{th} \) and \( \rho_g \) are the gas thermal speed and mid-plane density. The thermal speed of the gas molecules is in turn connected to the isothermal sound speed through \( v_{th} = \sqrt{8/\pi c_s} \). The Stokes number \( St \) is defined as \( St = \Omega \tau_f \), where \( \Omega \) is the (local) orbital frequency of the protoplanetary disk. The translation from Stokes number to particle size follows

\[
a = \frac{(2/\pi) \Sigma_g \rho_*}{\rho_g} \approx 80 \text{ cm} \quad \text{St} \left( \frac{r}{2.5 \text{ AU}} \right)^{-1.5}.
\]

Here \( \Sigma_g = \sqrt{\pi \rho_g H_g} \) is the gas column density and \( f_g(r) \) a parameter which sets gas depletion relative to the MMSN as a function of semi-major axis \( r \). We set in the second equality \( \rho_* = 3.5 \text{ g/cm}^3 \), relevant for chondrules. The Stokes number controls many aspects of dust dynamics. Particles of larger Stokes numbers couple increasingly to larger, longer-lived, and higher-velocity eddies in nebula turbulence, thus acquiring larger relative velocities. Solutions for particle velocities have been developed by Voelk et al. (1980) and Mizuno et al. (1988), including closed-form analytical expressions by Cuzzi and Hogan (2003) and Ormel and Cuzzi (2007). Importantly, the Stokes number also controls the degree of sedimentation, with the scale-height of the sedimented mid-plane layer, \( H_p \), given by

\[
H_p = H_g \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\text{St} + \alpha}}.
\]

Here \( H_g \) is the gas scale-height and \( \alpha \) is a measure of the turbulent diffusion coefficient \( D \) normalised as \( D = \alpha c_s H_g \) (see Johansen et al., 2014 for references). Significant sedimentation can occur when \( \text{St} \gtrsim \alpha \). Chondrules of mm sizes will only settle out of the gas if \( \alpha \ll 10^{-3} \).

4.2. Dust growth

The study of dust growth has been an extremely active field, both experimentally and numerically, since Asteroids III. Subsequent reviews were presented by Dominik et al. (2007), Blum and Warml (2008) and Chiang and Youdin (2010): the older review by Beckwith et al. (2000) is also valuable for basics. Two very recent overview chapters presenting both the basic physics and selected recent highlights are by Johansen et al. (2014) and Testi et al. (2014): for efficiency we build on those chapters and here emphasize specifics relevant to asteroid formation.

4.2.1. Sticking

Particles can stick if their relative kinetic energy exceeds certain functions of the surface energy of the material, which depends on composition. At the low relative velocities for small monomers (0.1-10 \( \mu \text{m} \)) under nebula conditions, both ice and silicate particles stick easily and form loose, porous aggregates. The process continues until the aggregates are at least 100 \( \mu \text{m} \) in radius. Aggregates can continue to grow and stick at larger velocities, if their open structure is able to deform and dissipate energy (Wada et al., 2009). The entire process of growth beyond roughly 100 \( \mu \text{m} \) fluffy aggregates depends on just how much these aggregates can be compacted by their mutual collisions. Recent studies that concentrate on icy particles outside the snowline have argued that the high surface energy of ice prevents significant compaction from occurring (and keeps relative velocities small) until particles have grown to extremely large size - hundreds of meters - with extremely low density (Okuzumi et al., 2012).

4.2.2. Bouncing

The surface energies of silicates are much smaller than those of ice, so it is easy for even mm-sized silicate particles to compact each other in mutual collisions. Relative velocities large enough to cause compaction and bouncing are acquired by roughly mm-size silicate particles in nebula turbulence. Coagulation modeling by Zsom et al. (2010), consistent with experiments (Güttler et al., 2010; Weidling et al., 2012), revealed a bouncing barrier in this size range where growth of silicate aggregates by sticking ceased. This new barrier joins the long-known fragmentation barrier and radial drift barrier which, even if the bouncing barrier can be breached, tend to frustrate growth in the asteroid belt region beyond dm-m size (Brauer et al., 2008; Birnstiel et al., 2010, 2011).
4.2.3. Fragmentation

A simple critical velocity $v_{\text{frag}}$ can be used to refer to fragmentation of two comparable masses. This approach has been modified in some treatments to include some mass transfer by a smaller projectile hitting a larger target at high velocity, even if the projectile is destroyed and some mass is ejected from the target $\text{[Wurm et al., 2005]}$. An alternate approach is to treat the fragmentation threshold as a critical kinetic energy per unit mass $Q^*$, which can be thought of as a critical velocity squared for same-size particles $\text{[Stewart and Leinhardt, 2009]}$. The latter treatment automatically accounts for particle size differences and thus allows accretion of small particles to proceed at collision velocities much higher than the nominal $v_{\text{frag}} \sim \sqrt{Q^*}$. Stewart and Leinhardt (2009) treated solids as weak rubble piles, all calibrated using experimental work by Setoh et al. (2007). These expressions allow for the higher efficiency of low-velocity collisions in fragmentation than for hypervelocity impacts. For particles made of small silicate grains, a value of $Q^*$ on the order of $10^4 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}^2$ is suggested, with an associated $v_{\text{frag}} \sim 1$ to several m/s, for weak cm-m-sized aggregates $\text{(Schröpfer et al., 2012; Stewart and Leinhardt, 2009; Wada et al., 2009, 2013)}$ or even less $\text{(Beitz et al., 2011)}$.

4.2.4. Lucky particles

The bouncing barrier, in preserving most of the available solids at small sizes, may provide a target-rich environment for growth of much larger “lucky” particles, which experience few, or low-velocity, collisions and avoid destruction while growing from much smaller particles to large sizes $\text{(Windmark et al., 2012a,b; Garaud et al., 2013; Drążkowska et al., 2013)}$. However, Windmark et al. (2012a,b) and Garaud et al. (2013) did not include radial drift, which is important because the growth time for these lucky particles greatly exceeds the drift time to the Sun. Drążkowska et al. (2013) removed the radial drift problem with a pressure bump at the edge of a dead zone, and still found that the total number of meter-size particles they could produce in $3 \times 10^4$ years was in the single digits. An isolated particle cannot trigger collective effects such as the streaming instability (Section 6), but can only keep growing by sweep up $\text{(Johansen et al., 2008)}$ and $\text{[Xie et al., 2010]}$ have modeled this “snowball” stage and find that growth in this fashion is extremely slow unless the nebula turbulent $\alpha$ is very low, because the small feedstock particles are vertically diffused to a low spatial density otherwise.

4.2.5. Chondrule rims and chondrule aggregates

The fine-grained component of chondrites is not only found in a featureless background matrix; it is also found rimming individual chondrules and other coarse particles, often filling cavities $\text{(Metzler et al., 1992; Brearley, 1993; Cuzzi et al., 2005)}$. The origin of these fine grained rims has been debated $\text{(Lauretta et al., 2006)}$. One school of thought regards them as accretional rims, swept up as a cooled chondrule moves relative to the gas and entrained dust or small aggregates $\text{(MacPherson et al., 1985; Metzler et al., 1992; Blond et al., 2011)}$. Matrix and rims were reviewed in depth by Scott et al. (1984), amongst many interesting results, they found evidence that rims were accreted as numerous aggregates of variable mean composition, rather than as monomers. Rubin (2011) suggested that carbonaceous chondrites formed in dusty regions of the solar protoplanetary disk and that matrix accumulated into mm-cm-sized highly porous dust balls. In this picture, chondrules acquired matrix rims by collisions with these dust balls rather than in collisions with smaller particles.

The accretion of fine grained rims was modeled by Morris et al. (1998), Cuzzi (2004), and Ormel et al. (2008). In these models, relative velocities predicted for the nebula environment have been shown to be compatible with sticking and compaction under the theory of Dominik and Tielens (1997), Ormel et al. (2008) added the effects of interchondrule collisions on compacting the rims further. Ormel et al. (2008) found that chondrules which had accreted porous rims of dust between collisions could stick more easily because the porous rims acted as shock absorbers, resulting in composite, dm-size objects formed of rimmed chondrules.

4.2.6. Gravitational scattering barrier

There is one further barrier for particles trying to grow incrementally, by sticking, to km and larger sizes. This gravitational scattering barrier arises because, in turbulence, nebula gas has small density fluctuations associated with pressure and vorticity. These density fluctuations, primarily on large scales, scatter growing planetesimals to achieve high relative speeds $\text{(Laughlin et al., 2004; Nelson and Papaloizou, 2004; Nelson and Gressel, 2010; Yang et al., 2012)}$. The random velocities acquired by 1-10-km-scale planetesimals in this way are sufficient to put them into an erosive, rather than accretionary, regime throughout most of the Solar System for a range of the most plausible $\alpha$ values $\text{(Ida et al., 2008; Stewart and Leinhardt, 2009; Ormel and Okuzumi, 2013)}$ found that planetesimals need to have radii of 100 km or more to be able to survive these destructive encounters.

Overall, the barriers to formation of 100-km-scale asteroids by incremental growth-by-sticking appear formidable. For these reasons, a number of models have arisen which avoid these problems with a leapfrog process in which 10-1000-km-scale asteroids form directly from smaller particles stuck at one of these barriers.

5. TURBULENT CONCENTRATION

The leapfrog model sometimes referred to as turbulent concentration $\text{(TC)}$ or turbulent clustering can in principle make 10-100-km-radius planetesimals directly from chondrule-sized particles. The turbulent concentration model was motivated originally by laboratory and numerical experiments that showed dense particle clusters forming spontaneously in isotropic turbulence, in which the most intense clustering was seen for particles with friction time
likely nebula values. Experiments and simulations are run at $Re = U L / \nu = \alpha_c H_g / \nu$ where $U$ and $L$ are some typical velocity and length scale of the flow, and $\nu$ is the molecular viscosity. All current experiments and simulations are run at $Re$ far smaller than likely nebula values. \cite{Cuzzi:2001} noticed that the volume fraction of dense clumps increased with increasing $Re$, and suggested a scaling which would map the behavior to the much higher values of $Re$ relevant for turbulence in protoplanetary disks.

\cite{Hogan:2007} showed that mass-loading feedback of the particle burden on gas turbulence caused the concentration process to saturate at a mass loading $\Phi = \rho_p / \rho_g \sim 100$, precluding the small, dense clumps advocated by \cite{Cuzzi:2001}. Based on this, \cite{Cuzzi:2008} advocated gravitational binding and ultimate sedimentation of much larger clumps, on the order of $10^3 - 10^4$ km, with $\Phi \sim 10 - 100$. They showed that a long-neglected discovery by \cite{Sekiya:1983} precludes genuine, dynamical-timescale collapse for dense clumps of chondrule-size particles under plausible conditions. Particles of these sizes and friction times can only sediment slowly towards the center of their bound clump, on a much longer timescale ($10^2 - 10^3$ orbits). \cite{Cuzzi:2008} suggested a criterion for stability of these bound, yet only slowly-shrinking, clumps which led directly to the conclusion that they would preferentially form large planetesimals comparable in size with the mass-dominant 100 km radius mode advocated for the early asteroid belt by \cite{Bottke:2005} – thus leaping over the long-troublesome mm-m-km-size barriers. Objects formed in this way are compatible with the primary texture seen in the most primitive unbrecciated CM and CO chondrites \cite{Brearley:1993, Metzler:1992}, and would display deep homogeneity of bulk chemical and isotopic properties. \cite{Cuzzi:2010} and \cite{Chambers:2010} went on to describe an end-to-end primary accretion scenario, combining stability thresholds with calculated probability distributions of clump density, finding that a range of nebula conditions (all implying $> 10 \times$ local enhancement of the usually assumed 1% cosmic solids-to-gas ratio within some few $10^4$ km of the midplane) could match the required rate of planetesimal formation and the characteristic mass mode around 100-200 km diameter. \cite{Cuzzi:2010} gave a number of caveats regarding the built-in assumptions of this model; one caveat regarding scale-dependence of the concentration process has been found to be important enough to change the predictions of the scenario quantitatively (see below). Subsequently, \cite{Cuzzi:2012} resolved a discrepancy in a key timescale between \cite{Chambers:2010} and \cite{Cuzzi:2010}, which makes planetesimal formation 1000 times faster than in \cite{Cuzzi:2010} (and correspondingly slower than in \cite{Chambers:2010}.

## 5.2. New insights into turbulent concentration

The primary issues are whether it is always Kolmogorov friction time particles that are most effectively concentrated, and whether the physics of their concentration is scale-invariant. \cite{Hogan:2007} argued by analogy with the observed scale-invariance of turbulent dissipation, which is dominated by Kolmogorov-time vortex tubes (little tornados in turbulence) that the concentration of Kolmogorov-friction-time particles would also be scale-invariant (see also \cite{Cuzzi:2012}). They developed a so-called cascade model by which to extend the low-$Re$ results to nebula conditions.

The primary accretion scenarios of \cite{Cuzzi:2010} and \cite{Chambers:2010} used this cascade model to generate density-vorticity PDFs as a function of nebula scale. \cite{Pan:2011} ran simulations at higher $Re$ than \cite{Hogan:2007} and found that the clump density PDFs dropped faster than would be predicted by the scale-invariant cascade. They suggested that the physics of particle concentration might indeed be scale-dependent, and that planetesimal formation rates obtained using the \cite{Hogan:2007} cascade might be significantly overestimated.

Ongoing work supports this concern about scale dependence. \cite{Cuzzi:2014} have analyzed much higher $Re$ simulations \cite{Bec:2010} and found that the cascade measures called “multiplier distributions”, which determine how strongly particles get clustered at each spatial scale, do depend on scale at least over the largest decade or so of length scale; that is, the scale-invariant inertial range for particle concentration and dissipation does not become established at the largest scale, causing little concentration to occur until roughly an order of magnitude smaller scale. Because the cascade process is multiplicative, this slow start means that fewer dense zones are to be found at any given scale size than previously thought.

New, scale-dependent cascades can now be implemented using the results of \cite{Cuzzi:2014} at the largest scales. The quantitative implications are not clear as of this writing. One qualitative result is that particles with friction times $2-10 \times$ longer than originally suggested are more strongly clustered at the most relevant (larger) length scales (consistent with previous work by \cite{Bec:2007, Pan:2011}). Because we know the size and density of chondrules, the implications will be different preferred values of gas density and/or turbulent $\alpha$ (see \cite{Cuzzi:2001}). A related implication is that concentrated particle sizes may not be as narrowly peaked as shown in \cite{Cuzzi:2001}. However, at the same time, new observations are
also showing broader chondrule size distributions in chondrites (Fisher et al. 2014).

6. PRESSURE BUMPS AND STREAMING INSTABILITY

The turbulent concentration mechanism described in the previous section operates on the smallest scales of the turbulent flow (although the vortical structures which expel particles can be very elongated). The dynamical time-scales on such small length-scales are much shorter than the local orbital time-scale of the protoplanetary disk. In contrast, the largest scales of the turbulent flow are dominated by the Coriolis force, and this allows for the emergence of large-scale geostrophic structures (high-pressure regions in perfect balance between the outwards-directed pressure gradient and the inwards-directed Coriolis force).

Whipple (1972) found that particles are trapped by the zonal flow surrounding large-scale pressure bumps. Pressure bumps (in a way azimuthally extended analogs to the vortices envisioned in Barge and Sommeria, 1995) can arise through an inverse cascade of magnetic energy (Johansen et al. 2009a; Simon et al. 2012; Dittrich et al. 2013) in turbulence driven by the magnetorotational instability (Balbus and Hawley, 1991). Pressure bumps concentrate primarily large (0.1-10 m) particles which couple to the gas on an orbital time-scale (Johansen et al. 2006), reaching densities at least 100 times the gas density which leads to the formation of 1000-km-scale planetesimals (Johansen et al. 2007, 2011). The magnetorotational instability is nevertheless no longer favoured as the main driver of angular momentum transport in the asteroid formation region of the solar protoplanetary disk, since the ionisation degree is believed to be too low for coupling the gas to the magnetic field (see review by Turner et al. 2014).

The magnetorotational instability can still drive turbulence (with $\alpha$ in the interval from $10^{-3}$ to $10^{-2}$) in the mid-plane close to the star (within approximately 1 AU where the ionisation is thermal) and far away from the star (beyond 20 AU where ionising cosmic rays and X-rays penetrate to the mid-plane). Accretion through the “dead zone”, situated between these regions of active turbulence, can occur in ionised surface layers far above the mid-plane (Oishi et al. 2007), from disk winds (Bai and Stone 2013) and by purely hydrodynamical instabilities in the vertical shear of the gas (Nelson et al. 2013) or radial convection arising from the subcritical baroclinic instability (Klahr and Bodenheimer 2003; Lesur and Papaloizou 2010). The mid-plane is believed to be stirred to a mild degree by these hydrodynamical instabilities or by perturbations from the active layers several scale-heights above the mid-plane, driving effective turbulent diffusivities in the interval from $10^{-5}$ to $10^{-3}$ in the mid-plane. The inner and outer edges of this “dead zone”, where the turbulent viscosity transitions abruptly, are also possible sites of pressure bumps and large-scale Rossby vortices which feed off the pressure bumps (Lyra et al. 2008, 2009).

6.1. Streaming instability

The low degree of turbulent stirring in the asteroid formation region also facilitates the action of the streaming instability, a mechanism where particles take an active role in the concentration process (Youdin and Goodman 2005, Youdin and Johansen 2007, Johansen and Youdin 2007). The instability arises from the speed difference between gas and solid particles. The gas is slightly pressure-supported in the direction pointing away from the star, due to the higher temperature and density close to the star, which mimics a reduced gravity on the gas. The result is that the gas orbital speed is approximately 50 m/s slower than the Keplerian speed at any given distance from the star. Solid particles are not affected by the global pressure gradient – they would move at the Keplerian speed in absence of drag forces, but drift radially due to the friction from the slower moving gas.

The friction exerted from the particles back onto the gas leads to an instability whereby a small overdensity of particles accelerates the gas and diminishes the difference from the Keplerian speed. The speed increase in turn reduces the local headwind on the dust. This slows down the radial drift of particles locally, which leads to a run-away process where isolated particles drift into the convergence zone and the density increases exponentially with time. This picture is a bit simplified as Youdin and Goodman (2005) and Jacquet et al. (2011) showed that the streaming instability operates only in presence of rotation, i.e. the instability relies on the presence of Coriolis forces. This explains why the instability occurs on relatively large scales of the protoplanetary disk where Coriolis forces are important, typically a fraction of an astronomical unit, and operates most efficiently on large particles with frictional coupling times around 1/10 of the orbital time-scale (typically dm sizes at the location of the asteroid belt).

Computer simulations which follow the evolution of the streaming instability into its non-linear regime show the emergence of axisymmetric filaments with typical separations of 0.2 times the gas scale-height (Yang and Johansen 2014) and local particle densities reaching several thousand times the gas density (Bai and Stone 2010, Johansen et al. 2012). These high densities trigger the formation of large planetesimals (100–1000 km in diameter) by gravitational fragmentation of the filaments (Johansen et al. 2007), although planetesimal sizes decrease to approximately 100 km for a particle column density comparable to that of the solar protoplanetary disk (Johansen et al. 2012).

An important question concerning planetesimal formation through the streaming instability is whether the process can operate for particles as small as chondrules in the asteroid belt. In Figure 3, we show numerical experiments from Carrera et al. (2015) on the streaming instability in particles with sizes down to a fraction of a millimeter. The streaming instability requires a threshold particle mass-loading $Z = \Sigma_p / \Sigma_g$, where $\Sigma_p$ and $\Sigma_g$ are the particle and gas column densities, to trigger the formation of overdense filaments (Johansen et al. 2009b, Bai and Stone 2010).
Fig. 3.— Space-time plots of particle concentration by streaming instabilities, from Carrera et al. (2015), with the $x$-axis indicating the radial distance from the centre of the simulation box and the $y$-axis the time (on the left) and the dust-to-gas ratio (on the right). The four columns show particle sizes 0.5 mm ($\tau_f = 0.001 \Omega^{-1}$), 1.5 mm ($\tau_f = 0.003 \Omega^{-1}$), 5 mm ($\tau_f = 0.01 \Omega^{-1}$) and 1.5 cm ($\tau_f = 0.03 \Omega^{-1}$). Simulations start with a mean dust-to-gas ratio of $Z = 0.01$, but gas is removed on a time-scale of 30 orbits ($1 \text{ orbit} = 2\pi \Omega^{-1}$), increasing the dust-to-gas ratio accordingly. While cm-sized particles concentrate in overdense filaments already at a modest increase in dust-to-gas ratio to $Z = 0.015$, smaller particles require consecutive more gas removal to trigger clumping.

The simulations in Figure 3 start at $Z = Z_0 = 0.01$, but the particle mass-loading is continuously increased by removing the gas on a time-scale of 30 orbital periods. This was done to identify how the critical value of $Z$ depends on the particle size. The result is that overdense filaments form already at $Z = 0.015$ for cm-sized particles, while large chondrules of mm sizes require $Z = 0.04$ to trigger filament formation. Chondrules smaller than mm do not form filaments even at $Z = 0.08$.

A lowered gas column density may thus be required to trigger concentration of chondrule-sized particles by the streaming instability. It is possible that the solar protoplanetary disk had a lower gas density than what is inferred from the current mass of rock and ice in the planets (which multiplied by 100 gives the MMSN), if the planet-forming regions of the nebula were fed by pebbles drifting in from larger orbital distances (Birnstiel et al., 2012). In this picture the growing planetesimals and planets are fed by drifting pebbles, so that the current mass of the planets was achieved by the integrated capture efficiency of the drifting solids; this allows for gas column densities lower than in the Minimum Mass Solar Nebula to be consistent with the current masses of planets in the Solar System. The gas will also be removed by accretion and photoevaporation (Alexander and Armitage, 2006). The high mass-loading in the gas could be obtained through pile-up by radial drift and release of refractory grains near the ice line (Sirono, 2011).

Turbulence as weak as $\alpha \sim 10^{-7}$ is necessary to allow the sedimentation of chondrules (with $\text{St} \sim 10^{-3}$) into a thin mid-plane layer with scale-height $H_p = 0.01 H_g$ and $\rho_p \approx \rho_g$ (see equation 2), the latter being a necessary density criterion for activating particle pile up by streaming instabilities. Very low levels of $\alpha$ are consistent with protoplanetary disk models where angular momentum is transported by disk winds and the mid-plane remains laminar (Bai and Stone, 2013), except for mild stirring by Kelvin-Helmholtz (Youdin and Shu, 2002) and streaming instabilities (Bai and Stone, 2010).

Weak turbulence also facilitates the formation of dm-sized chondrule aggregates (Ormel et al., 2008), which would concentrate much more readily in the gas. Stirring by hydrodynamical instabilities in the mid-plane, such as the vertical shear instability (Nelson et al., 2013), would preclude significant sedimentation of chondrule-sized particles and affect the streaming instability, as well as the formation of chondrule aggregates, negatively. An alternative possibility is that the first asteroid seeds in fact did not form from chondrules (or chondrule aggregates), but rather from larger icy particles which would have been present in the asteroid formation region in stages of the protoplanetary disk where the ice line was much closer to the star (Martin and Livio, 2012; Ros and Johansen, 2013). Chondrules
7. LAYERED ACCRETION

The turbulent concentration model and the streaming instability, reviewed in the previous sections, are the leading contenders for primary accretion of chondrules into chondrites. However, none of the two are completely successful in explaining the dominance of chondrules in chondrites: the turbulent concentration models may not be able to concentrate sufficient amounts for gravitational collapse, while the streaming instability relies on the formation of chondrule aggregates and/or gas depletion and pile up of solid material from the outer parts of the protoplanetary disk. In the layered accretion model the chondrules are instead accreted onto the growing asteroids over millions of years after the formation of the first asteroid seeds – those first seeds forming by direct coagulation from a population of 100-m-sized planetesimals as envisioned in [Weidenschilling (2011)] or by one or more of the particle concentration mechanisms described in the previous sections.

7.1. Chondrule accretion

Chondrules are perfectly sized for drag-force-assisted accretion onto young asteroids. The ubiquity of chondrules inside chondrites, and their large age spread [Connolly et al. (2012)], indicates that planetesimals formed and orbited within a sea of chondrules. Chondrules would have been swept past these young asteroids with the sub-Keplerian gas. The gas is slightly pressure-supported in the radial direction and hence moves slower than the Keplerian speed by the positive amount \( \Delta v \) [Weidenschilling (1977b), Nakagawa et al. (1986)]. The Bondi radius \( R_B = GM/(\Delta v)^2 \) marks the impact parameter for gravitational scattering of a chondrule by an asteroid of mass \( M \), with

\[
\frac{R_B}{R} = 0.87 \left( \frac{R}{50 \text{ km}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\Delta v}{53 \text{ m/s}} \right)^{-2} \left( \frac{\rho_\ast}{3.5 \text{ g/cm}^3} \right).
\]  

(4)

Here we have normalised by \( \Delta v = 53 \text{ m/s} \), the nominal value in the Minimum Mass Solar Nebula model of Hayashi (1981), and used the chondrule density \( \rho_\ast = 3.5 \text{ g/cm}^3 \) as a reference value. Chondrules with friction time comparable to the Bondi time-scale \( t_{B} = R_B/\Delta v \) are accreted by the asteroid [Johansen and Lacerda (2010), Ormel and Klahr (2010), Lambrechts and Johansen (2012)]. The accretion radius \( R_{\text{acc}} \) can be calculated numerically as a function of asteroid size and chondrule size by integrating the trajectory of a chondrule moving with the sub-Keplerian gas flow past the asteroid. The accretion radius peaks at \( R_{\text{acc}} \approx R_B \) for \( t_f / t_B \) in the range from 0.5 to 10 [Lambrechts and Johansen (2012)]. Accretion at the full Bondi radius happens for particle sizes

\[
a = [0.008, 0.16] \text{ mm} \left( \frac{R}{50 \text{ km}} \right)^3 \left( \frac{\Delta v}{53 \text{ m/s}} \right)^{-3} \times \left( \frac{r}{2.5 \text{ AU}} \right)^{-3} \left( \frac{\Sigma_g}{\Sigma_{\text{MMSN}}} \right).
\]  

(5)

An asteroid of radius 50 km thus “prefers” to accrete chondrules of sizes smaller than 0.1 mm, corresponding to the smallest chondrules found in chondrites. At 100 km in radius the preferred chondrule size is closer to 0.2 mm, a 200 km radius body prefers mm-sized chondrules and larger bodies can only grow efficiently if they can accrete chondrules of several mm or cm in diameter. Carbonaceous chondrites accreted significant amounts of CAIs and matrix together with their chondrules [Rubin (2011)] suggested that matrix was accreted in the form of cm-sized porous aggregates with aerodynamical friction time comparable to chondrules and CAIs.

Aerodynamical accretion of chondrules could explain the narrow range of chondrule sizes found in the various classes of meteorites. The model predicts that asteroids accrete increasingly larger chondrules as they grow. This prediction may be at odds with the little variation in chondrule sizes found within chondrite classes (70% of EH3 and CO3 chondrules have apparent diameters within a factor of 2 of the mean apparent diameters in the group, according to Rubin (2011)]. The least metamorphosed LL chondrites nevertheless do seem to host on the average larger chondrules [Nelson and Rubin (2002)]. More metamorphosed LL chondrules actually show a lack of small chondrules; this could be due to the fact that the smallest chondrules disappeared from the strongly heated central regions of the parent body.

The accretion rate of chondrules (and other macroscopic particles) is

\[
\dot{M} = \pi f_B^2 R_B^2 \rho_\ast \Delta v.
\]  

(6)

Here \( f_B \) parameterises the actual accretion radius relative to the Bondi radius and \( \rho_\ast \) is the chondrule density. Accretion of chondrules is a run-away process, since \( \dot{M} \propto R_B^2 \propto M^2 \) if the optimal chondrule size is present (so that \( f_B = 1 \) in equation 6). The characteristic growth time-scale is

\[
t_{\exp} = \frac{M}{\dot{M}} = 1.66 \text{ Myr} \left( \frac{R}{50 \text{ km}} \right)^{-3} \left( \frac{\Delta v}{53 \text{ m/s}} \right)^3 \times \left( \frac{r}{2.5 \text{ AU}} \right)^{2.75} \left( \frac{\Sigma_g}{\Sigma_{\text{MMSN}}} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{\rho_\ast}{3.5 \text{ g/cm}^3} \right)^{-1}.
\]  

(7)

We assumed here that the chondrules have sedimented to a thin mid-plane layer of thickness 1% relative to the gas scale-height. The strong dependence of the accretion rate on the planetesimal mass will drive a steep differential size distribution of a population of planetesimals accreting chondrules. This is illustrated in Figure 4 where asteroid seeds with initial sizes from 10 to 50 km in radius have been exposed to chondrule accretion over 5 Myr. The value of the
The formation of asteroids is a complex problem which will only be solved through a collective effort from astronomers, planetary scientists and cosmochemists. Although many details of asteroid formation are still not understood, we hope to have convinced the reader that new insights have been achieved in many areas in the past years. Here we highlight ten areas of open questions in which we believe that major progress will be made in the next decade:

1. **Short-lived radionuclides.** What is the origin of the short-lived radioactive elements which melted the differentiated parent bodies? Was $^{26}$Al heterogeneous in the solar protoplanetary disk? How did the young Solar System become polluted in $^{26}$Al without receiving large amounts of $^{60}$Fe, an element that is copiously produced in supernovae?

2. **Maintaining free-floating chondrules and CAIs.** How is it possible to preserve chondrules and CAIs for millions of years in the disk before storing them in a chondritic body, without mixing them too much to erase chondrule classes and chondrule-matrix complementarity? What are we missing that makes this issue so paradoxical?

3. **Chondrules versus matrix.** Why do carbonaceous chondrites contain large amounts of matrix while ordinary chondrites contain very little matrix? Did the matrix enter the chondrites as (potentially icy) “matrix lumps” or on fine-grained rims attached to chondrules and other macroscopic particles?

4. **Initial asteroid sizes.** What is the origin of the steep differential size distribution of asteroids beyond the knee at 100 km? Did asteroids form small as in the coagulation picture, medium-sized as in the layered accretion model or large as in some turbulent concentration models? Why do Kuiper belt objects, which formed under very different conditions in temperature and density, display a similar size distribution as asteroids?

5. **The origin of asteroid classes.** How is the radial gradient of asteroid composition produced and retained in the presence of considerable pre-accretionary turbulent mixing and post-accretionary dynamical mixing? Is asteroid formation a continuous process which happens throughout the life-time of the protoplanetary disk? What do the different chondrite groups mean in terms of formation location and time?

6. **Dry and wet chondrites.** Why do we have dry chondrites (enstatite, ordinary)? If chondrites form at 2-4 Myr after CAIs, then the snowline should have been well inside the inner edge of the asteroid belt. Are there overlooked heating sources which could keep the ice line at 3 AU throughout the life-time of the protoplanetary disk? Or did the asteroid classes form at totally different places only

---

**Fig. 4.**—The size distribution of asteroids and planetary embryos after accreting chondrules with sizes from 0.1 to 1.6 mm in diameter for 5 Myr. The original asteroid sizes had sizes between 10 and 50 km in radius (yellow line), here envisioned to form by the streaming instability in a population of dm-sized icy particles. The resulting size distribution of asteroids is in good agreement with the bump at 70 km in radius, the steep size distribution from 70 km to 200 km, and the shallower size distribution of larger asteroids whose chondrule accretion is slowed down by friction within their very large Bondi radius. Figure based on the results of Johansen et al. (2015).

The formation of asteroids is a complex problem which will only be solved through a collective effort from astronomers, planetary scientists and cosmochemists. Although many details of asteroid formation are still not understood, we hope to have convinced the reader that new insights have been achieved in many areas in the past years. Here we highlight ten areas of open questions in which we believe that major progress will be made in the next decade:

1. **Short-lived radionuclides.** What is the origin of the short-lived radioactive elements which melted the differentiated parent bodies? Was $^{26}$Al heterogeneous in the solar protoplanetary disk? How did the young Solar System become polluted in $^{26}$Al without receiving large amounts of $^{60}$Fe, an element that is copiously produced in supernovae?

2. **Maintaining free-floating chondrules and CAIs.** How is it possible to preserve chondrules and CAIs for millions of years in the disk before storing them in a chondritic body, without mixing them too much to erase chondrule classes and chondrule-matrix complementarity? What are we missing that makes this issue so paradoxical?

3. **Chondrules versus matrix.** Why do carbonaceous chondrites contain large amounts of matrix while ordinary chondrites contain very little matrix? Did the matrix enter the chondrites as (potentially icy) “matrix lumps” or on fine-grained rims attached to chondrules and other macroscopic particles?

4. **Initial asteroid sizes.** What is the origin of the steep differential size distribution of asteroids beyond the knee at 100 km? Did asteroids form small as in the coagulation picture, medium-sized as in the layered accretion model or large as in some turbulent concentration models? Why do Kuiper belt objects, which formed under very different conditions in temperature and density, display a similar size distribution as asteroids?

5. **The origin of asteroid classes.** How is the radial gradient of asteroid composition produced and retained in the presence of considerable pre-accretionary turbulent mixing and post-accretionary dynamical mixing? Is asteroid formation a continuous process which happens throughout the life-time of the protoplanetary disk? What do the different chondrite groups mean in terms of formation location and time?

6. **Dry and wet chondrites.** Why do we have dry chondrites (enstatite, ordinary)? If chondrites form at 2-4 Myr after CAIs, then the snowline should have been well inside the inner edge of the asteroid belt. Are there overlooked heating sources which could keep the ice line at 3 AU throughout the life-time of the protoplanetary disk? Or did the asteroid classes form at totally different places only
to be transported to their current orbits later?

7) Internal structure of asteroids. Does the chondrite and asteroid family evidence suggest that the primary asteroids – before internal heating – are homogeneous, roughly 100-km-diameter bodies composed of a physically, chemically, and isotopically homogeneous mix of chondrule-sized components? Or is internal heterogeneity, as may be the case for the Allende parent body, prevalent?

8) Turbulent concentration of chondrules. Under what nebula conditions can vortex tubes over a range of nebula scales concentrate enough chondrules into volumes that are gravitationally bound, at a high enough rate to produce the primordial asteroids and meteorite parent bodies directly? What other roles could turbulent concentration play in planetesimal formation given that the optimally concentrated particle is chondrule-sized under nominal values of the turbulent viscosity?

9) Streaming instability with chondrules. Will the conditions for streaming instabilities to concentrate chondrule-sized particles, i.e. gas depletion and/or particle pile up, be fulfilled in the protoplanetary disk? How does an overdense filament of chondrule-sized particles collapse under self-gravity given the strong support by gas pressure?

10) Layered accretion. What is the origin of the apparent scarcity of heterogeneous asteroid families, given that asteroids orbiting within an ocean of chondrules should accrete these prodigiously? What is the thermal evolution of early-formed asteroid seeds that continue to accrete chondrules over millions of years?

Acknowledgments. AJ was supported by the Swedish Research Council (grant 2010-3710), the European Research Council under ERC Starting Grant agreement 278675-PEBBLE2PLANET and by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation. He would like to thank Benjamin Weiss for stimulating discussions on layered accretion. EJ wishes to remember his colleague and friend Guillaume Barlet (1985-2014), who as a short-lived radionuclide theorist and chondrule/refractory inclusion specialist would certainly have contributed to the new paradigms discussed herein, true to his attachment to interdisciplinary interactions, but left us far too early. JC thanks Chris Ormel for a careful reading, and Ed Scott, Alan Rubin, Noriko Kita, and Gerry Wasserburg for helpful comments and references. We would like to thank Alan Rubin and an additional anonymous referee for insightful referee reports.

REFERENCES

Alexander C. M. O. and Ebel D. S. (2012) Questions, questions: Can the contradictions between the petrologic, isotopic, thermodynamic, and astrophysical constraints on chondrule formation be resolved? Meteoritics and Planetary Science, 47, 1157.

Alexander C. M. O., Grossman J. N., Ebel D. S. et al. (2008) The formation conditions of chondrules and chondrites. Science, 320, 1617.

Alexander R. D. and Armitage P. J. (2006) The Stellar Mass-Accretion Rate Relation in T Tauri Stars and Brown Dwarfs. Astrophys. J. Lett., 639, L83.

Arnould M., Paulus G., and Meynet G. (1997) Short-lived radionuclide production by non-exploding Wolf-Rayet stars. Astron. Astrophys., 321, 452.

Bai X.-N. and Stone J. M. (2010) Dynamics of Solids in the Mid-plane of Protoplanetary Disks: Implications for Planetesimal Formation. Astrophys. J., 722, 1437.

Bai X.-N. and Stone J. M. (2013) Wind-driven Accretion in Protoplanetary Disks. I. Suppression of the Magnetorotational Instability and Launching of the Magnetocentrifugal Wind. Astrophys. J., 769, 76.

Balbus S. A. and Hawley J. F. (1991) A powerful local shear instability in weakly magnetized disks. I - Linear analysis. II - Nonlinear evolution. The Astrophysical Journal, 376, 214.

Barge P. and Sommeria J. (1995) Did planet formation begin inside persistent gaseous vortices? Astron. Astrophys., 295, L1.

Bec J., Biferale L., Cencini M. et al. (2007) Heavy Particle Concentration in Turbulence at Dissipative and Inertial Scales. Physical Review Letters, 98, 8, 084502.

Bec J., Biferale L., Cencini M. et al. (2010) Intermittency in the velocity distribution of heavy particles in turbulence. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 646, 527.

Beckwith S. V. W., Henning T., and Nakagawa Y. (2000) Dust Properties and Assembly of Large Particles in Protoplanetary Disks. Protostars and Planets IV, p. 533.

Beitz E., G¨uttler C., Blum J. et al. (2011) Low-velocity Collisions of Centimeter-sized Dust Aggregates. Astrophys. J., 736, 34.

Birnstiel T., Dullemond C. P., and Brauer F. (2010) Gas- and dust evolution in protoplanetary disks. Astron. Astrophys., 513, A79.

Birnstiel T., Klahr H., and Ercolano B. (2012) A simple model for the evolution of the dust population in protoplanetary disks. Astron. Astrophys., 539, A148.

Birnstiel T., Ormel C. W., and Dullemond C. P. (2011) Dust size distributions in coagulation/fragmentation equilibrium: numerical solutions and analytical fits. Astron. Astrophys., 525, A11.

Bitsch B., Johansen A., Lambrechts L. et al. (2014a) The structure of protoplanetary discs around evolving young stars. Submitted to Astronomy & Astrophysics.

Bitsch B., Morbidelli A., Lega E. et al. (2014b) Stellar irradiated discs and implications on migration of embedded planets. III. Viscosity transitions. Astron. Astrophys., 570, A75.

Bland P. A., Howard L. E., Prior D. J. et al. (2011) Earliest rock fabric formed in the Solar System preserved in a chondrule rim. Nature Geoscience, 4, 244.

Blum J. and Wurm G. (2008) The Growth Mechanisms of Macroscopic Bodies in Protoplanetary Disks. Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys., 46, 21.

Boss A. P. (1996) A concise guide to chondrule formation models. in: Chondrules and the Protoplanetary Disk, (edited by R. H. Hewins, R. H. Jones, & E. R. D. Scott), pp. 257–263.

Boss A. P. and Keiser S. A. (2013) Triggering Collapse of the Presolar Dense Cloud Core and Injecting Short-lived Radioisotopes with a Shock Wave. II. Varied Shock Wave and Cloud Core Parameters. Astrophys. J., 770, 51.

Bottke W. F., Durda D. D., Nesvorný D. et al. (2005) The fossilized size distribution of the main asteroid belt. Icarus, 175, 111.

Bottke W. F., Vokrouhlický D., Minton D. et al. (2012) An Archaean heavy bombardment from a destabilized extension of the asteroid belt. Nature, 485, 78.
Brauer F., Dullemond C. P., and Henning T. (2008) Coagulation, fragmentation and radial motion of solid particles in protoplanetary disks. Astron. Astrophys., 480, 859.

Brearley A. and Jones A. (1998) Chondritic meteorites. in: Planetary Materials, (edited by J. J. Papike), chap. 3, pp. 3–1–3–398, Mineralogical Society of America.

Brearley A. J. (1993) Matrix and fine-grained rims in the unequilibrated CO3 chondrite, ALHA77307 - Origins and evidence for diverse, primitive nebular dust components. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 57, 1521.

Brearley A. J. (1996) Nature of matrix in unequilibrated chondrites and its possible relationship to chondrules. in: Chondrules and the Protoplanetary Disk, (edited by R. H. Hewins, R. H. Jones, & E. R. D. Scott), pp. 137–151.

Brearley A. J. (2003) Nebular versus Parent-body Processing. Treatise on Geochemistry, 1, 247.

Burbine T. H., McCoy T. J., Meibom A. et al. (2002) Meteoritic evidence for diverse, primitive nebular dust components. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 57, 1521.

Chamton R. N., Mayeda T. K., Olsen E. J. et al. (1991) Oxygen isotopic Systematics in UOC QUE 97008 and Semarkona Chondrules. Lunar Planet. Sci., 44, 2649.

Chambers J. E. (2010) Planetesimal formation by turbulent concentration. Icarus, 208, 505.

Clayton R. N., MRI, A. N., and Davies M. B. (2015) Formation of asteroids from mm-sized chondrules. Astron. Astrophys., in press.

Chevalier R. A. (1999) Supernova Remnants in Molecular Clouds. Astrophys. J., 511, 798.

Chevalier R. A. (2000) Young Circumstellar Disks near Evolved Massive Stars and Supernovae. Astrophys. J. Lett., 538, L151.

Chiang E. and Youdin A. N. (2010) Forming Planetesimals in Solar and Extrasolar Nebulae. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 38, 493.

Ciesla F. J., Lauretta D. S., and Hood L. L. (2004) The frequency of compound chondrules and implications for chondrule formation. Meteoritics and Planetary Science, 39, 531.

Cuzzi J. N., Dobrovolski A. R., and Hogan R. C. (1996) Turbulence, chondrules, and planetesimals. in: Chondrules and the Protoplanetary Disk, (edited by R. H. Hewins, R. H. Jones, & E. R. D. Scott), pp. 35–43.

Cuzzi J. N., Hartlep T., Weston B. et al. (2014) Turbulent Concentration of mm-size Particles in the Protoplanetary Nebula: Scale-Dependent Multiplier Functions. in: Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, vol. 45 of Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, p. 2764.

Cuzzi J. N. and Hogan R. C. (2003) Blowing in the wind. I. Velocities of chondrule-sized particles in a turbulent protoplanetary nebula. Icarus, 164, 127.

Cuzzi J. N. and Hogan R. C. (2012) Primary Accretion by Turbulent Concentration: The Rate of Planetesimal Formation and the Role of Vortex Tubes. in: Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, vol. 43 of Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, p. 2536.

Cuzzi J. N., Hogan R. C., and Bottke F. W. (2010) Towards initial mass functions for asteroids and Kuiper Belt Objects. Icarus, 208, 518.

Cuzzi J. N., Hogan R. C., Paque J. M. et al. (2001) Size-selective Concentration of Chondrules and Other Small Particles in Protoplanetary Nebula Turbulence. Astrophys. J., 546, 496.

Cuzzi J. N., Hogan R. C., and Shariff K. (2008) Toward Planetesimals: Dense Chondrule Clumps in the Protoplanetary Nebula. Astrophys. J., 687, 1432.

Cuzzi J. N. and Weidenschilling S. J. (2006) Particle-Gas Dynamics and Primary Accretion. in: Meteorites and the Early Solar System II, (edited by Lauretta, D. S. & McSween, H. Y., Jr.), pp. 353–381, University of Arizona Press.

Dauphas N. and Chaussidon M. (2011) A Perspective from Extinct Radiouclides on a Young Stellar Object: The Sun and Its Accretion Disk. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 39, 351.

Deharveng L., Schuller F., Anderson L. D. et al. (2010) A gallery of bubbles. The nature of the bubbles observed by Spitzer and what ATLASGAL tells us about the surrounding neutral material. Astron. Astrophys., 523, A6.

Desch S. J., Morris M. A., Connolly H. C. et al. (2012) The importance of experiments: Constraints on chondrule formation models. Meteoritics and Planetary Science, 47, 1139.

Dittich K., Klahr H., and Johansen A. (2013) Gravitoturbulent Planetesimal Formation: The Positive Effect of Long-lived Zonal Flows. Astrophys. J., 763, 117.

Dodd R. T. (1976) Accretion of the ordinary chondrites. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 30, 281.

Dominik C., Blum J., Cuzzi J. N. et al. (2007) Growth of Dust as the Initial Step Toward Planet Formation. Protostars and Planets V, pp. 783–800.

Dominik C. and Tielens A. G. G. M. (1997) The Physics of Dust Coagulation and the Structure of Dust Aggregates in Space. Astrophys. J., 480, 647.

Doyle P. M., Krot A. N., Nagashima K. et al. (2014) Manganese-Chromium Ages of Aqueous Alteration of Unequilibrated Ordinary Chondrites. in: Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, vol. 45 of Lunar and Planetary Inst. Technical Report, p. 1726.

Drążkowska J., Windmark F., and Dullemond C. P. (2013) Planetesimal formation via sweep-up growth at the inner edge of dead zones. Astron. Astrophys., 556, A37.
Gounelle M., Shu F. H., Shang H. et al. (2006) The Irradiation of planetesimals. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 305, 1.

Eugster O., Herzog G. F., Marti K. et al. (2006a) Irradiation Records, Cosmic-Ray Exposure Ages, and Transfer Times of Meteorites. in: *Meteorites and the Early Solar System II*, (edited by H. Y. Lauretta D. S. & McSween), pp. 829–851, University of Arizona Press.

Eugster O., Herzog G. F., Marti K. et al. (2006b) Irradiation Records, Cosmic-Ray Exposure Ages, and Transfer Times of Meteorites. *Astrophys. J., Lett.*, 694, L1.

Jacquet E., Yin Q.-z., Moinier F. et al. (2008) 26Al–28Mg and 207Pb–206Pb systematics of Allende CAIs: Canonical solar initial 26Al/27Al ratio reinstated. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 272, 353.

Garcia R. E. and McSween H. Y. (1993) Heliocentric zoning of the asteroid belt by aluminum-26 heating. *Science*, 259, 653.

Guo Y., Huss G. R., Leshin L. A. et al. (2006) Oxygen isotope and 26Al–26Mg systematics of aluminum-rich chondrules from unequilibrated enstatite chondrites. *Meteoritics and Planetary Science*, 41, 33.

Güttler C., Blum J., Zsom A. et al. (2010) The outcome of protoplanetary dust growth: pebbles, boulders, or planetesimals?. I. Mapping the zoo of laboratory collision experiments. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 513, A56.

Hartmann L., Calvet N., Gullbring E. et al. (1998) Accretion and the Evolution of T Tauri Disks. *Astrophys. J.*, 495, 385.

Hayashi C. (1981) Structure of the Solar Nebula, Growth and Decay of Magnetic Fields and Effects of Magnetic and Turbulent Viscosities on the Nebula. *Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement*, 70, 35.

Henke S., Gail H.-P., Trieloff M. et al. (2013) Thermal evolution model for the H chondrite asteroid-instantaneous formation versus protracted accretion. *Icarus*, 226, 212.

Hester J. J., Desch S. J., Healy K. R. et al. (2004) The Cradle of the Solar System. *Science*, 304, 1116.

Hewins R. H., Connolly H. C., Lofgren G. E. et al. (2005) Experimental Constraints on Chondrule Formation. in: *Chondrites and the Protoplanetary Disk*, vol. 341 of *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, (edited by A. N. Krot, E. R. D. Scott, & B. Reipurth), pp. 286–316.

Hezel D. C. and Palme H. (2010) The chemical relationship between chondrules and matrix and the chondrule matrix complementarity. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 294, 85.

Hezel D. C., Russell S. S., Ross A. J. et al. (2008) Modal abundances of CAIs: Implications for bulk chondrite element abundances and fractionations. *Meteoritics and Planetary Science*, 43, 1879.

Hogan R. C. and Cuzzi J. N. (2007) Cascade model for particle concentration and enstrophy in fully developed turbulence with mass-loading feedback. *Phys. Rev. E.*, 75, 5, 056305.

Huss G. R., Meyer B. S., Srinivasan G. et al. (2009) Stellar sources of the short-lived radionuclides in the early solar system. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 73, 4922.

Huss G. R., Rubin A. E., and Grossman J. N. (2006) Thermal Metamorphism in Chondrites. in: *Meteorites and the Early Solar System II*, (edited by Lauretta, D. S. & McSween, H. Y., Jr.), pp. 567–586, University of Arizona Press.

Jacquet E. (2014a) The quasi-universality of chondrule size as a constraint for chondrule formation models. *Icarus*, 232, 176.

Jacquet E. (2014b) Transport of solids in protoplanetary disks: Comparing meteorites and astrophysical models. *Comptes Rendus Geoscience*, 346, 3.

Jacquet E., Alard O., and Gounelle M. (2012a) Chondrule trace element geochemistry at the mineral scale. *Meteoritics and Planetary Science*, 47, 1695.

Jacquet E., Balbus S., and Latter H. (2011) On linear dust-gas streaming instabilities in protoplanetary discs. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 415, 3591.

Jacquet E., Gounelle M., and Fromang S. (2012b) On the aero-
dynamic redistribution of chondrite components in protoplanetary disks. *Icarus*, 220, 162.

Jaroswicich E. (1990) Chemical analyses of meteorites - A compilation of stony and iron meteorite analyses. *Meteoritics*, 25, 323.

Johansen A., Blum J., Tanaka H. et al. (2014) The multifaceted planetesimal formation process. *Protostars and Planets V*.

Johansen A., Krause F., Dullemond C. et al. (2008) A coagulation-fragmentation model for the turbulent growth and destruction of preplanetesimals. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 486, 597.

Johansen A., Klahr H., and Henning T. (2006) Gravitoturbulent Formation of Planetesimals. *Astrophys. J.*, 636, 1121.

Johansen A., Klahr H., and Henning T. (2011) High-resolution simulations of planetesimal formation in turbulent protoplanetary discs. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 529, A62.

Johansen A. and Lacerda P. (2010) Prograde rotation of protoplanets by accretion of pebbles in a gaseous environment. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 404, 475.

Johansen A., Mac Low M.-M., Lacerda P. et al. (2015) Growth of asteroids, planetary embryos and Kuiper belt objects by chondrule accretion. *Science Advances*, 1, e1500109.

Johansen A., Oishi J. S., Mac Low M.-M. et al. (2007) Rapid planetesimal formation in turbulent circumstellar disks. *Nature*, 448, 1022.

Johansen A. and Youdin A. (2007) Protoplanetary Disk Turbulence Driven by the Streaming Instability: Nonlinear Satura- tion and Particle Concentration. *Astrophys. J.*, 662, 627.

Johansen A., Youdin A., and Klahr H. (2009a) Zonal Flows and Long-lived Axisymmetric Pressure Bumps in Magnetorotational Turbulence. *Astrophys. J.*, 697, 1269.

Johansen A., Youdin A., and Mac Low M.-M. (2009b) Particle Clumping and Planetesimal Formation Depend Strongly on Metallicity. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 704, L75.

Johansen A., Youdin A. N., and Lithwick Y. (2012) Adding particle collisions to the formation of asteroids and Kuiper belt objects via streaming instabilities. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 537, A125.

Jones R. H. (2012) Petrographic constraints on the diversity of chondrule reservoirs in the protoplanetary disk. *Meteoritics and Planetary Science*, 47, 1176.

Kastner J. H. and Myers P. C. (1994) An observational estimate of the probability of encounters between mass-losing evolved stars and molecular clouds. *Astrophys. J.*, 421, 605.

Kato M. T., Fujimoto M., and Ida S. (2012) Planetesimal Formation at the Boundary between Steady Super/Sub-Keplerian Flow Created by Inhomogeneous Growth of Magnetorotational Instability. *Astrophys. J.*, 747, 11.

Keil K., Stoeffler D., Love S. G. et al. (1997) Constraints on the role of impact heating and melting in asteroids. *Meteoritics and Planetary Science*, 32, 349.

Kita N. T. and Ushikubo T. (2012) Evolution of protoplanetary disk inferred from $^{26}$Al chronology of individual chondrules. *Meteoritics and Planetary Science*, 47, 1108.

Kita N. T., Yin Q.-Z., MacPherson G. J. et al. (2013) $^{26}$Al-$^{26}$Mg isotope systematics of the first solids in the early solar system. *Meteoritics and Planetary Science*, 48, 1383.

Klahr H. H. and Bodenheimer P. (2003) Turbulence in Accretion Disks: Vorticity Generation and Angular Momentum Transport via the Global Baroclinic Instability. *Astrophys. J.*, 582, 869.

Kretke K. A. and Lin D. N. C. (2007) Grain Retention and Formation of Planetesimals near the Snow Line in MRI-driven Turbulent Protoplanetary Disks. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 664, L55.

Krot A., Petaev M., Russell S. S. et al. (2004) Amoeboid olivine aggregates and related objects in carbonaceous chondrites: records of nebular and asteroid processes. *Chemie der Erde / Geochemistry*, 64, 185.

Krot A. N., Amelin Y., Bland P. et al. (2009) Origin and chronology of chondritic components: A review. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 73, 4963.

Kruijt T. S., Sprung P., Kleine T. et al. (2012) HF-W chronometry of core formation in planetesimals inferred from weakly irradiated iron meteorites. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 99, 287.

Kuebler K. E., McSween H. Y., Carlson W. D. et al. (1999) Sizes and Masses of Chondrules and Metal-Troilite Grains in Ordinary Chondrites: Possible Implications for Nebular Sorting. *Icarus*, 141, 96.

Lambrechts M. and Johansen A. (2012) Rapid growth of gas-giant cores by pebble accretion. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 544, A32.

Lambrechts M., Johansen A., and Morbidelli A. (2014) Separating gas-giant and ice-giant planets by halting pebble accretion. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 572, A35.

Larsen K. K., Trinquier A., Paton C. et al. (2011) Evidence for Magnesium Isotope Heterogeneity in the Solar Protoplanetary Disk. *Astrophys. J. Lett.*, 735, L37.

Laughlin, G., Steinacker A., and Adams F. C. (2004) Type I planetary migration with MHD turbulence. *Astrophys. J.*, 608, 489.

Lauretta D. S., Nagahara H., and Alexander C. M. O. (2006) *Petrology and Origin of Ferromagnesian Silicate Chondrules*, pp. 431–459.

Lee T. (1978) A local proton irradiation model for isotopic anomalies in the solar system. *Astrophys. J.*, 224, 217.

Lee T., Papanastassiou D. A., and Wasserburg G. J. (1976) Demonstration of Mg-26 excess in Allende and evidence for Al-26. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 3, 41.

Lee T., Shu F. H., Shang H. et al. (1998) Protostellar Cosmic Rays and Extinct Radioactivities in Meteorites. *Astrophys. J.*, 506, 898.

Lesur G. and Papaloizou J. C. B. (2010) The subcritical baroclinic instability in local accretion disc models. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 513, A60.

Libourel G. and Krot A. N. (2007) Evidence for the presence of planetesimal material among the precursors of magnesian chondrules of nebular origin. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 254, 1.

Liu M.-C., Chaussidon M., Göpel C. et al. (2012) A heterogeneous solar nebula as sampled by CM hibonite grains. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 327, 75.

Looney L. W., Tobin J. J., and Fields B. D. (2006) Radioactive Probes of the Supernova-contaminated Solar Nebula: Evidence that the Sun Was Born in a Cluster. *Astrophys. J.*, 652, 1755.

Lugaro M., Doherty C. L., Karakas A. I. et al. (2012) Short-lived radioactivity in the early solar system: The Super-AGB star hypothesis. *Meteoritics and Planetary Science*, 47, 1998.

Lyra W., Johansen A., Klahr H. et al. (2008) Embryos grown in the dead zone. Assembling the first protoplanetary cores in low mass self-gravitating circumstellar disks of gas and solids. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 491, L41.

Lyra W., Johansen A., Zsom A. et al. (2009) Planet formation bursts at the borders of the dead zone in 2D numerical simulations of circumstellar disks. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 497, 869.

MacPherson G. J. (2005) Calcium-aluminum-rich inclusions in chondritic meteorites. in: *Meteorites, Comets and Planets: Treatise on Geochemistry, Volume 1*, (edited by Davis, A. M., Holland, H. D., & Turekian, K. K.), chap. 1.08, pp. 201–246.
Nelson R. P. and Papaloizou J. C. B. (2004) The interaction of
Nelson R. P. and Hashimoto A., and Grossman L. (1985) Accretionary rims on inclusions in the Allende meteorite. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 49, 2267.
Makide K., Nagashima K., Krot A. N. et al. (2013) Heterogeneous distribution of $^{26}$Al at the birth of the Solar System: Evidence from corundum-bearing refractory inclusions in carbonaceous chondrites. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 110, 190.
Martin R. G. and Livio M. (2012) On the evolution of the snow line in protoplanetary discs. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 425, L6.
Martin R. G. and Livio M. (2013) On the evolution of the snow line in protoplanetary discs - II. Analytic approximations. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 434, 633.
Metzler K. (2012) Ultrarapid chondrite formation by hot chondrule accretion? Evidence from unequivilibrated ordinary chondrites. Meteoritics and Planetary Science, 47, 2193.
Metzler K., Bischoff A., and Stoeffler D. (1992) Accretionary dust mantles in CM chondrites - Evidence for solar nebula processes. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 56, 2873.
Mizuno H., Markiewicz W. J., and Voelk H. J. (1988) Grain growth in turbulent protoplanetary accretion discs. Astron. Astrophys., 195, 183.
Monnereau M., Toplis M. J., Baratoux D. et al. (2013) Thermal history of the H-chondrite parent body: Implications for metamorphic grade and accretionary time-scales. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 119, 302.
Morbidelli A., Bottke W. F., Nesvorný D. et al. (2009) Asteroids were born big. Icarus, 204, 558.
Morbidelli A., Chambers J., Lunine J. I. et al. (2000) Source regions and time scales for the delivery of water to Earth. Meteoritics and Planetary Science, 35, 1309.
Moffett K. E., Durisen R. H., and Turner G. W. (1998) NOTE: an Accretion Rim Constraint on Chondrule Formation Theories. Icarus, 134, 180.
Mostefaoui S., Lugmair G. W., and Hoppe P. (2005) $^{60}$Fe: A Heat Source for Planetary Differentiation from a Nearby Supernova Explosion. Astron. J., 625, 271.
Moté-Diniz T., Carvano J. M., Bus S. J. et al. (2008) Mineralogical analysis of the Eos family from near-infrared spectra. Icarus, 195, 277.
Moté-Diniz T. and Nesvorný D. (2008) Visible spectroscopy of extremely young asteroid families. Astron. Astrophys., 486, L9.
Moté-Diniz T., Roig F., and Carvano J. M. (2005) Reanalysis of asteroid families structure through visible spectroscopy. Icarus, 174, 54.
Nakagawa Y., Sekiya M., and Hayashi C. (1986) Settling and growth of dust particles in a laminar phase of a low-mass solar nebula. Icarus, 67, 375.
Nelson R. P. and Gressel O. (2010) On the dynamics of planetesimals embedded in turbulent protoplanetary discs. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 409, 639.
Nelson R. P., Gressel O., and Umurhan O. M. (2013) Linear and non-linear evolution of the vertical shear instability in accretion discs. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 435, 2610.
Nelson R. P. and Papaloizou J. C. B. (2004) The interaction of giant planets with a disc with MHD turbulence - IV. Migration rates of embedded protoplanets. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 350, 849.
Nelson V. E. and Rubin A. E. (2002) Size-frequency distributions of chondrules and chondrule fragments in LL3 chondrites: Implications for parent-body fragmentation of chondrules. Meteoritics and Planetary Science, 37, 1361.
O’Brien D. P., Walsh K. J., Morbidelli A. et al. (2014) Water delivery and giant impacts in the “Grand Tack” scenario. Icarus, 239, 74.
Oishi J. S., Mac Low M.-M., and Menou K. (2007) Turbulent Torques on Protoplanets in a Dead Zone. Astrophys. J., 670, 805.
Okuzumi S., Tanaka H., Kobayashi H. et al. (2012) Rapid Coagulation of Porous Dust Aggregates outside the Snow Line: A Pathway to Successful Icy Planetesimal Formation. Astrophys. J., 752, 106.
Orme C. W. and Cuzzi J. N. (2007) Closed-form expressions for particle relative velocities induced by turbulence. Astron. Astrophys., 466, 413.
Orme C. W., Cuzzi J. N., and Tielens A. G. G. M. (2008) Co-Accretion of Chondrules and Dust in the Solar Nebula. Astrophys. J., 679, 1588.
Orme C. W. and Klahr H. H. (2010) The effect of gas drag on the growth of protoplanets. Analytical expressions for the accretion of small bodies in laminar disks. Astron. Astrophys., 520, A43.
Orme C. W. and Okuzumi S. (2013) The Fate of Planetesimals in Turbulent Disks with Dead Zones. II. Limits on the Viability of Runaway Accretion. Astrophys. J., 771, 44.
Ouellette N., Desch S. J., and Hester J. J. (2007) Interaction of Supernova Ejecta with Nearby Protoplanetary Disks. Astrophys. J., 662, 1268.
Palme H. and Jones A. (2005) Solar system abundances of the elements. in: Meteorites, Comets and Planets: Treatise on Geochemistry, Volume 1, (edited by A. M. Davis, H. D. Holland, and K. K. Turekian), pp. 41–60, Elsevier.
Pan L., Desch S. J., Scannapieco E. et al. (2012) Mixing of Clumpy Supernova Ejecta into Molecular Clouds. Astrophys. J., 756, 102.
Pan L., Padoan P., Scalco J. et al. (2011) Turbulent Clustering of Protoplanetary Dust and Planetesimal Formation. Astrophys. J., 740, 6.
Pan M. and Sari R. (2005) Shaping the Kuiper belt size distribution by shattering large but strengthless bodies. Icarus, 173, 342.
Quité G., Halliday A. N., Meyer B. S. et al. (2007) Correlated Iron 60, Nickel 62, and Zirconium 96 in Refractory Inclusions and the Origin of the Solar System. Astrophys. J., 655, 678.
Quité G., Latkoczy C., Schönbächler M. et al. (2011) $^{60}$Fe, $^{60}$Ni systematics in the eucrite parent body: A case study of Bouvante and Juvinas. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 75, 7698.
Raymond S. N., Quinn T., and Lunine J. I. (2004) Making other earths: dynamical simulations of terrestrial planet formation and water delivery. Icarus, 168, 1.
Ros K. and Johansen A. (2013) Ice condensation as a planet formation mechanism. Astron. Astrophys., 552, A137.
Rubin A. E. (2000) Petrologic, geochemical and experimental constraints on models of chondrule formation. Earth Science Reviews, 50, 3.
Rubin A. E. (2005) Relationships among intrinsic properties of ordinary chondrites: Oxidation state, bulk chemistry, oxygen-isotopic composition, petrologic type, and chondrule size.
Schräpler R., Brearley A. J. (1996) A Critical Evaluation of the Evidence for Hot Accretion. Icarus, 124, 86.

Schneider D. M., Akridge D. G., and Sears D. W. G. (1998) Size Distribution of Metal Grains and Chondrules in Enstatite Chondrites. Meteoritics and Planetary Science Supplement, 33, 136.

Schräpler R., Blum J., Seizinger A. et al. (2012) The Physics of Protoplanetesimal Dust Agglomerates. VII. The Low-velocity Collision Behavior of Large Dust Agglomerates. Astrophys. J., 758, 35.

Scott E. R. D. and Rajan R. S. (1981) Metallic minerals, thermal histories and parent bodies of some xenolithic, ordinary chondrite meteorites. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 45, 53.

Scott E. R. D., Rubin A. E., Taylor G. J. et al. (1984) Matrix components. Treatise on Geochemistry, 758.

Scott E. R. D., Rubin A. E., Taylor G. J. et al. (1984) Matrix matrix material in type 3 chondrites - Occurrence, heterogeneity and relationship with chondrules. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 48, 1741.

Sekiya M. (1983) Gravitational instabilities in a dust-gas layer and formation of planetesimals in the solar nebula. Progress of Theoretical Physics, 69, 1116.

Sekiya M. (1983) Gravitational instabilities in a dust-gas layer and formation of planetesimals in the solar nebula. Progress of Theoretical Physics, 69, 1116.

Setoh M., Hiraoka K., Nakamura A. M. et al. (2007) Collisional disruption of porous sintered glass beads at low impact velocities. Advances in Space Research, 40, 252.

Shukolyukov A. and Lugmair G. W. (1993) Live Iron-60 in the early solar system. Science, 259, 1138.

Shukolyukov A. and Lugmair G. W. (1993) Live Iron-60 in the early solar system. Science, 259, 1138.

Simon J. B., Beckwith K., and Armitage P. J. (2012) Emergent mesoscale phenomena in magnetized accretion disc turbulence. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 422, 2685.

Sirono S.-i. (2011) Planetesimal Formation Induced by Sintering. Astrophys. J. Lett., 733, L41.

Sonett C. P. and Colburn D. S. (1968) Electrical Heating of Meteorite Parent Bodies and Planets by Dynamo Induction from a Pre-main Sequence T Tauri ‘Solar Wind’. Nature, 219, 924.

Squires K. D. and Eaton J. K. (1990) Particle response and turbulence modification in isotropic turbulence. Physics of Fluids, 2, 1191.

Squires K. D. and Eaton J. K. (1991) Preferential concentration of particles by turbulence. Physics of Fluids, 3, 1169.

Stewart S. T. and Leinhardt Z. M. (2009) Velocity-Dependent Catastrophic Disruption Criteria for Planetesimals. Astrophys. J. Lett., 691, L133.

Tachibana S. and Huss G. R. (2003) The Initial Abundance of 60Fe in the Solar System. Astrophys. J. Lett., 588, L41.

Tachibana S., Huss G. R., Kita N. T. et al. (2006) 60Fe in Chondrites: Debris from a Nearby Supernova in the Early Solar System? Astrophys. J. Lett., 639, L87.

Tang H. and Dauphas N. (2012) Abundance, distribution, and origin of 60Fe in the solar protoplanetary disk. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 359, 248.

Tang X. and Chevalier R. A. (2014) Gamma-Ray Emission from Supernova Remnant Interactions with Molecular Clumps. Astrophys. J. Lett., 784, L35.

Tatischeff V., Duprat J., and de Sévéville N. (2010) A Runaway Wolf-Rayet Star as the Origin of 26Al in the Early Solar System. Astrophys. J. Lett., 714, L26.

Taylor G. J., Maggiore P., Scott E. R. D. et al. (1987) Original structures, and fragmentation and reassembly histories of asteroids - Evidence from meteorites. Icarus, 69, 1.

Telus M., Huss G. R., Ogliore R. C. et al. (2012) Recalculation of data for short-lived radionuclides systems using less-biased ratio estimation. Meteoritics and Planetary Science, 47, 2013.

Tesl L., Birnstiel T., Ricci L. et al. (2014) Dust Evolution in Protoplanetary Disks. ArXiv e-prints.

Trixloff M., Jessberger E. K., Herrwerth I. et al. (2003) Structure and thermal history of the H-chondrite parent asteroid revealed by thermochronometry. Nature, 422, 502.

Trinquier A., Elliott T., Ulfbek D. et al. (2009) Origin of Nucleosynthetic Isotope Heterogeneity in the Solar Protoplanetary Disk. Science, 324, 374.

Turner N. J., Fromang S., Gammie C. et al. (2014) Transport and Accretion in Planet-Forming Disks. ArXiv e-prints.

Urey H. C. (1955) The Cosmic Abundances of Potassium, Uranium, and Thorium and the Heat Balances of the Earth, the Moon, and Mars. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, 41, 127.

Vasilieadi A., Nordlund Å., and Bizzarro M. (2013) Abundance of 26Al and 60Fe in Evolving Giant Molecular Clouds. Astrophys. J. Lett., 769, L8.

Vernazza P., Zanda B., Binzel R. P. et al. (2014) Multiple and Fast: The Accretion of Ordinary Chondrite Parent Bodies. ArXiv e-prints.

Villeneuve J., Chausson M., and Libourel G. (2012) Lack of relationship between aluminum-26 ages of chondrules and their mineralogical and chemical compositions. Comptes Rendus Geoscience, 344, 423.

Voelk H. J., Jones F. C., Morfill G. E. et al. (1980) Collisions between grains in a turbulent gas. Astron. Astrophys., 85, 316.

Wada K., Tanaka H., Okuzumi S. et al. (2013) Growth efficiency of dust aggregates through collisions with high mass ratios. Astron. Astrophys., 559, A62.

Wada K., Tanaka H., Suyama T. et al. (2009) Collisional Growth Conditions for Dust Aggregates. Astrophys. J., 702, 1490.

Walsh K. J., Morbidelli A., Raymond S. N. et al. (2011) A low mass for Mars from Jupiter’s early gas-driven migration. Nature, 475, 206.

Wang L.-P. and Maxey M. R. (1993) Settling velocity and concentration distribution of heavy particles in homogeneous isotropic turbulence. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 256, 27.

Wasserburg G. J., Wimpenny J., and Yin Q.-Z. (2012) Mg isotopic concentration distribution of heavy particles in homogeneous isotropic turbulence. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 256, 27.

Wasson J. T., Isa J., and Rubin A. E. (2013) Compositional and petrographic similarities of CV and CK chondrites: A single group with variations in textures and volatile concentrations attributable to impact heating, crushing and oxidation. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 108, 45.

Weidenschilling S. J. (1977a) Aerodynamics of solid bodies in the solar nebula. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 180, 57.

Weidenschilling S. J. (1977b) Aerodynamics of solid bodies in the solar nebula. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 180, 57.

Weidenschilling S. J. (2011) Initial sizes of planetesimals and accretion of the asteroids. Icarus, 214, 671.
Weidling R., Gütlinger C., and Blum J. (2012) Free collisions in a microgravity many-particle experiment. I. Dust aggregate sticking at low velocities. Icarus, 218, 688.

Weisberg M. K., McCoy T. J., and Krot A. N. (2006) Systematics and Evaluation of Meteorite Classification. in: Meteorites and the Early Solar System II, (edited by Lauretta, D. S. & McSween, H. Y., Jr.), pp. 19–52, University of Arizona Press.

Weiss B. P. and Elkins-Tanton L. T. (2013) Differentiated Planetesimals and the Parent Bodies of Chondrites. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 41, 529.

Weiss B. P., Gattacceca J., Stanley S. et al. (2010) Paleomagnetic Records of Meteorites and Early Planetesimal Differentiation. Space Sci. Rev., 152, 341.

Whattam S. A., Hewins R. H., Cohen B. A. et al. (2008) Granoblastic olivine aggregates in magnesian chondrules: Planetesimal fragments or thermally annealed solar nebula condensates? Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 269, 200.

Whipple F. L. (1972) On certain aerodynamic processes for asteroids and comets. in: From Plasma to Planet, (edited by A. Elvius), p. 211.

Williams J. P. and Gaidos E. (2007) On the Likelihood of Supernova Enrichment of Protoplanetary Disks. Astrophys. J. Lett., 663, L33.

Windmark F., Birnstiel T., Gütlinger C. et al. (2012a) Planetesimal formation by sweep-up: how the bouncing barrier can be beneficial to growth. Astron. Astrophys., 540, A73.

Windmark F., Birnstiel T., Ormel C. W. et al. (2012b) Breaking through: The effects of a velocity distribution on barriers to dust growth. Astron. Astrophys., 544, L16.

Wood J. A. (2005) The Chondrite Types and Their Origins. in: Chondrites and the Protoplanetary Disk, vol. 341 of Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, (edited by A. N. Krot, E. R. D. Scott, and B. Reipurth), p. 953.

Woosley S. E. and Heger A. (2007) Nucleosynthesis and remnants in massive stars of solar metallicity. Phys. Rep., 442, 269.

Wurm G., Paraskov G., and Krauss O. (2005) Growth of planetesimals by impacts at ~25 m/s. Icarus, 178, 253.

Xie J.-W., Payne M. J., Thébault P. et al. (2010) From Dust to Planetesimal: The Snowball Phase? Astrophys. J., 724, 1153.

Yang C.-C. and Johansen A. (2014) On the Feeding Zone of Planetesimal Formation by the Streaming Instability. Astrophys. J., 792, 86.

Yang C.-C., Mac Low M.-M., and Menou K. (2012) Planetesimal and Protoplanet Dynamics in a Turbulent Protoplanetary Disk: Ideal Stratified Disks. Astrophys. J., 748, 79.

Youdin A. and Johansen A. (2007) Protoplanetary Disk Turbulence Driven by the Streaming Instability: Linear Evolution and Numerical Methods. Astrophys. J., 662, 613.

Youdin A. N. and Goodman J. (2005) Streaming Instabilities in Protoplanetary Disks. Astrophys. J., 620, 459.

Youdin A. N. and Shu F. H. (2002) Planetesimal Formation by Gravitational Instability. Astrophys. J., 580, 494.

Young E. D. (2014) Inheritance of solar short- and long-lived radionuclides from molecular clouds and the unexceptional nature of the solar system. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 392, 16.

Zanda B., Humayun M., and Hewins R. H. (2012) Chemical Composition of Matrix and Chondrules in Carbonaceous Chondrites: Implications for Disk Transport. in: Lunar and Planetary Institute Science Conference Abstracts, vol. 43, p. 2413.

Zinner E. and Göpel C. (2002) Aluminum-26 in H4 chondrites: Implications for its production and its usefulness as a fine-scale chronometer for early solar system events. Meteoritics and Planetary Science, 37, 1001.

Zsom A., Ormel C. W., Gütlinger C. et al. (2010) The outcome of protoplanetary dust growth: pebbles, boulders, or planetesimals? II. Introducing the bouncing barrier. Astron. Astrophys., 513, A57.

This 2-column preprint was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.2.