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Abstract—Video-based automatic depression analysis provides a fast, objective and repeatable self-assessment solution, which has been widely developed in recent years. While depression cues may be reflected by human facial behaviours of various temporal scales, most existing approaches either focused on modelling depression from short-term or video-level facial behaviours. In this sense, we propose a two-stage framework that models depression severity from multi-scale short-term and video-level facial behaviours. The short-term depressive behaviour modelling stage first deep learns depression-related facial behavioural features from multiple short temporal scales, where a Depression Feature Enhancement (DFE) module is proposed to enhance the depression-related cues for all temporal scales and remove non-depression related noise. Two novel graph encoding strategies are proposed in the video-level depressive behavior modelling stage, i.e., Sequential Graph Representation (SEG) and Spectral Graph Representation (SPG), to re-encode all short-term features of the target video into a video-level graph representation, summarizing depression-related multi-scale video-level temporal information. As a result, the produced graph representations predict depression severity using both short-term and long-term facial behaviour patterns. The experimental results on AVEC 2013, AVEC 2014 and AVEC 2019 datasets show that the proposed DFE module constantly enhanced the depression severity estimation performance for various CNN models while the SPG is superior than other video-level modelling methods. More importantly, the result achieved for the proposed two-stage framework shows its promising and solid performance compared to widely-used one-stage modelling approaches.

Index Terms—Two-stage depression recognition framework, multi-scale facial behaviours, depression feature enhancement, graph representations, attention mechanism.

I. INTRODUCTION

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is one of the most prevalent mental health issues that affects more than 2% of the world population [1], which is one of the major drivers that cause physical and mental disability, leading to severe consequences such as heart attacks and suicide [2]. While traditional clinical depression assessments require patients to fill in screening questionnaires or seek clinical support from a physician, such assessments are subjective and usually result in long waiting times causing delay in delivering treatment or intervention. Previous psychological studies have frequently shown that non-verbal facial behaviours are reliable markers of depression [3], [4]. The recent advances in computer vision facilitate machines to automatically recognize human facial behaviours [5], [6], [7], [8], making it feasible to automatically analyze depression from face videos. As a result, face video-based automatic depression analysis has drawn considerable attention in the past decade [9], [10], [11].

Existing video-based automatic depression analysis approaches can be categorized into two groups: frame/thin slice-level modelling methods and video-level modelling methods. The frame/thin slice-level modelling methods [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17] individually infer depression status for each frame or thin slice of the video, primarily focusing on the depression-related cues from subjects’ facial appearance or the short-term facial dynamics. Most of these approaches either disregard the temporal information or only consider single-scale short-term facial dynamics exhibited within a pre-defined time-window. Since facial dynamics are a key component of facial behaviours and given that depression-related cues may be encoded by the facial behaviours at varying temporal scales, such methods would miss crucial information at the feature extraction stage. Specifically, only using short-term facial behaviours to infer depression without considering long-term contextual information is not reliable as similar short-term facial behaviours may be exhibited by subjects with different depression severity levels. For example, both depressed and non-depressed subjects can display a similar short-term happy or sad facial expression/behaviour in a single frame or a thin video slice. Meanwhile, previous studies frequently claimed that depression is associated with the reduction in general facial expressiveness/head movements [18], [19], [20], [21]. Such cues are reflected by the occurrence frequencies of different facial
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behaviours in the long-term (e.g., the entire video). Although some of these approaches [14], [15], [22] employ RNNs/LSTMs to learn long-term dependencies from the learned frame/thin slice-level features, regressors that are trained by pairing a frame/thin slice with the video-level depression label cannot learn a good hypothesis. This is because such a training strategy may lead the regressors to focus on learning non-depression related facial attributes that are invariant for the subject in each video, e.g., identity, rather than depression-related facial actions.

Since depression is a long-term mental state lasting much longer than the duration of a regular video (i.e., usually less than an hour [9], [10], [11], [23], [24]), many recent studies propose to infer depression based on the features that are extracted from an entire video [25], [26], [27], [28], [29], [30]. Most of these approaches surpass the performance of frame/thin slice-level modelling methods. Among them, early hand-crafted video-level modelling methods [27], [30], [31], [32] are less powerful to extract non-human interpretable but depression-related features that can be learned using deep learning methods. This is because their feature extractors are manually-defined, i.e., they are not optimized according to the underlying relationship between depression behaviours and labels. A standard approach for applying deep learning to video-level depression-related descriptors is to select a fixed number of key-frames from each video, and then feed them to the 3D CNNs to learn a video-level depression descriptor [26]. However, these deep learning approaches usually discard a large number of frames, ignoring local short-term facial dynamics that may contain crucial information for depression analysis.

In this paper, we hypothesize that both short-term and video-level (long-term) facial behaviours encode depression-related cues and the optimal temporal scales for such information are not well defined. Motivated by this, we propose a specific, two-stage framework for video-based automatic depression analysis. The first short-term depressive behaviour modelling stage extends the Temporal Pyramid Network (TPN) [33] to learn multi-scale short-term facial behaviour features from each thin slice of the target video, and then a Depression Feature Enhancement (DFE) module that consists of a Mutual Temporal Attention (MTA) module and a Noise Separation (NS) module is proposed to further enhance the extracted depression-related cues whilst suppressing non-depression related noises at varying temporal scales. During the second video-level depressive behaviour modelling stage, we propose to represent the depression-related features encoded by the entire video using a graph representation, thereby summarising all thin slice-level features of the target video into a unified descriptor. In particular, we propose two novel graph encoding strategies: sequential graph representation (SEG) and spectral graph representation (SPG). Importantly, both of these methods encode multi-scale long-term and short-term facial dynamics of the target video that are learned from all the available frames without forgoing any details. The resulting graph representations can then be processed by Graph Neural Networks for depression analysis. The pipeline of the proposed approach is illustrated in Fig. 1. The main contributions of this paper are summarized as follows:

- This paper proposes a specific, two-stage deep-learning framework for video-based automatic depression analysis which provides high performance gains in comparison to existing single-stage methods that only model depression at either frame/thin slice-level or video-level. We demonstrate the effectiveness of the two stage framework in our experiments. This framework can easily be extended by replacing the proposed short-term or video-level modules with more advanced or preferred components.

- We propose a novel short-term behaviour modelling module (MTB-DFE) which can enhance the depression-related behaviour cues and disentangle non-depression noises from the features learned from multiple spatio-temporal scales.

- We propose a novel graph-based video-level modelling approach that summarizes all short-term depression-related features of the target video into a unified and length-independent video-level graph representation which not only encodes multi-scale short-term and long-term spatio-temporal behavioural dynamics but also utilizes all available frames of the video. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first work that applies Graph Neural Network (GNNs) for face video-based automatic depression analysis.

Fig. 1. The pipeline of the proposed approach which consists of three main modules. The MTB module first extracts short-term behavioural features at multiple spatio-temporal scales from every thin slice of the target video. Then, the DFE module enhances the depression-related cues encoded by the feature at each scale (MTA sub-module), respectively and disentangles non-depression noises in the concatenated feature (NS sub-module). Finally, we propose a graph encoding module to summarize short-term depression features learned from all thin slices of the target video into a video-level graph representation, and feed it to a Graph Neural Network (GNNs) for depression severity estimation.
II. RELATED WORK

In this section, we first briefly present the evidence from psychology literature supporting the notion that signs of depression can be reflected in human facial behaviours (Section II-A). We then review the recently proposed video-based automatic depression analysis approaches in Section II-B. We also list, in particular, the existing methods that represent the human face as a graph in Section II-C.

A. Relationship Between Depression and Facial Behaviours

Previous studies have shown that depression is well associated with human facial behaviours. One key finding is that depression is usually accompanied by the reduced facial displays of positive emotions, which has been frequently validated across various studies [3], [18], [19], [34]. In addition, the individuals diagnosed with depression usually have less facial expressiveness [18], [19] and head movements [20], [21]. Ellgring et al. [4] have summarized typical symptoms of depression in terms of facial expression, indicating that depression is not only associated with sorrowful facial displays but also with “a total lack of facial expressions corresponding to the lack of affective experience”. In particular, this study shows that the frequency of smiles of depressed subjects is two times lower than non-depressed subjects. Therefore, it requires longer time-windows to effectively capture facial behaviours expressed by the depressed subject [4]. However, there are contradicting conclusions regarding the relationship between negative expressions and depression. Despite most research [35], [36], [37] claiming that depression is associated with increased negative expressions, there are still some studies showing that depressed subjects are more likely to experience reduced negative expressions [18], [19] (i.e., they usually have bland and neutral facial expressions). Meanwhile, some previous studies [38], [39] have particularly investigated the relationship between depression and standard facial action units (AUs). The results show that individuals that have high depression severity presented fewer affiliative facial expressions (AU 12 and AU 15), but more non-affiliative facial expressions (AU 14) and diminished head motions. Scherer et al. [40] examined visual features based on the FACS and found that depression can be predicted by a more downward gaze, reduced smile intensity, shorter average smile duration, less mouth movements and more frowns.

B. Video-Based Automatic Depression Analysis

Early hand-crafted automatic depression analysis works [31], [41], [42] generally used traditional Machine Learning models to infer depression status. For example, Meng et al. [31] extracted Local Binary Patterns (LBP), Edge Orientation Histogram (EOH) and Low-Level Descriptors (LLD) as the frame-level visual and audio features. Then, they propose a Motion History Histogram (MHH) to encode short-term feature dynamics which were then individually fed to Partial Least Square (PLS) regression to predict segment-level depression predictions. The video-level prediction is then made by the decision-level fusion of them using the linear opinion pool. Meanwhile, most recent deep learning-based video-based automatic depression analysis approaches are single-stage methods, i.e., extracting depression feature from a single frame/thin slice or the entire video. In particular, the frame-level modelling approaches usually focus on learning the depression-related salient facial appearance information. Zhou et al. [13] identified the salient facial region for depression markers, where the depression-related facial regions of each frame are highlighted to predict depression. Meanwhile, the thin slice-level modelling approaches not only utilize facial appearance but also incorporate short-term facial dynamics. Such approaches usually divide each video into several equal-length segments, and learn depression features from each segment individually. A popular approach is to use a Convolutional Three-Dimensional (C3D) network [14], [43] to extract spatio-temporal feature from thin video slices. For most frame-level and thin slice-level modelling approaches, the video-level prediction are aggregated by computing the average of all frame/slice predictions. As discussed in Section I, these methods fail to consider the important long-term facial behaviours/dynamics for depression recognition. Although some of the methods [14], [14], [15], [22] uses RNNs/LSTMs to model long-term temporal dependencies from the video, the Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) of such methods are trained by pairing a frame/thin slice with the video-level label are problematic.

To avoid the ambiguity arising from frame/thin slice-level modelling approaches, many recent studies proposed to predict depression based on long-term behavioural information, e.g., learning a video-level depression-related feature. He et al. [27] extended the Local Binary Pattern histograms from Three Orthogonal Planes (LBP-TOP) feature to Median Robust Local Binary Patterns from Three Orthogonal Planes (MRLBP-TOP) for extracting short-term dynamics and then employs Fisher Vector to aggregate them as the long-term representation. Gong et al. [44] and Sun et al. [45] investigated the relationship between the interview topics and depression severity level. Both methods built a topic-related descriptor for each video to infer depression severity. Besides the hand-crafted methods, De Melo et al. [26] proposed to down-sample the video into a small set of frames which roughly represent the video-level information and it was then fed to 3D CNN to learn a video-level depression representation. Song et al. [25], [28] represented a video as a low-dimensional multi-channel time-series signal and proposed a spectral approach to encode this time-series into a length-independent video-level spectral representation which contains multi-scale facial dynamics. In terms of audio or audio-visual approaches, Yang et al. [46] select several equal length segments in each audio-visual clip to balance the number of depressed and non-depressed training examples. They proposed a Histogram of Displacement Range (HDR) method that records the dynamics of facial landmarks in each video segment, and utilize the openSMILE toolkit [47] to extract audio features from each speech segment. They then used CNN to learn deep features from extracted audio and video descriptors (including HDR) from each segment and using decision trees to make segment-level depression predictions from them. Cummins et al. [48] used the Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM) with a Universal Background Model (UBM) [49] model to learn features representing each
entire clip, which contain both audio and visual information. Niu et al. [29] proposed a spatio-temporal attention network to integrate the facial appearance and short-term facial dynamics, as well as non-verbal audio behaviours (from audio spectrum). Then, the eigen-evolution pooling strategy is introduced to aggregate thin slice-level audio-visual features into the clip-level descriptor. In addition, the addition of [50], [51] of the AVEC 2013 and AVEC 2014 depression challenges, developed their approaches on audio data, where formant frequencies and delta-mel-cepstra are employed to describe dynamics in vocal tract shape. Then, PCA is used to further extract an 11-dimensional feature vector (five principal components for the formant domain and six principal components for the delta-mel-cepstral domain) from extracted formant frequencies and delta-mel-cepstra. A Gaussian Staircase Model, which is an extension of the GMM, is finally introduced as the regression model to summarize descriptors of each audio clip and make the final clip-level depression prediction. Besides, some audio-visual studies are also devoted to predict depression from pre-extracted audio-visual features. For example, Sun et al. [52] propose a multi-modal adaptive fusion transformer network to individually extract long-term temporal context information from uni-modal audio and visual data, which are then adaptively combined in an adaptive fusion module. Yin et al. [53] propose a hierarchical recurrent neural network that contains two hierarchies of bidirectional long short term memories to integrate visual, audio and text features for depression detection.

Although these deep learning-based approaches are capable of capturing video-level audio-visual descriptors, they are single-stage methods that fall short in specifically learning depression-related cues from short-term behavioural dynamics. While some of them [14], [15], [22] use RNNs/LSTMs to model long-term temporal dependencies between the frame-level predictions of a video, they still have to pair each frame/thin slice with the video-level label during the model training, resulting in the learned model to be problematic. This is because subjects of different depression status (different video-level depression labels) can have very similar frame-level or short-term behaviours (similar inputs for the model), e.g., a smile or a neural facial expression. However, pairing similar input patterns with different labels would result in an ill-posed machine learning problem, making it practically impossible to learn a good hypothesis [54]. Moreover, none of the above methods have investigated the idea of representing the video-level facial behaviours as a graph. In this paper, we propose a two-stage approach to model depression at both short-term and video-level, where video-level facial behaviours are encoded into a graph representation.

C. Facial Graph Representation

Many recent studies proposed to represent static facial appearance or spatio-temporal facial behaviours as a graph. The majority of static graph facial representations are either built on facial landmarks or facial regions. In such methods, the facial landmarks’ coordinates [55], [56], [57] or facial appearance features extracted from the facial regions [58], [59], [60] are used as the vertex features. The relationships between vertices are usually represented by an adjacency matrix, where a binary value (0 or 1) is employed to define the connectivity of each pair of vertices. In these methods, the adjacency matrix is obtained by the pre-computed relationships [58], feature correlations/distances [55], [61], [62] etc.

Few methods employ graph representations to learn spatio-temporal facial behaviours. In particular, some of the methods [63], [64] treat facial landmarks as the vertices, and extend the spatial facial graph to the spatio-temporal domain by constructing a spatial graph for each frame and then connecting them as a spatio-temporal graph, where the inter-frame edges connect the same node between consecutive frames. Another method [65] constructs a facial sequential graph for each face sequence, where each frame is regarded as a vertex and the relationship between a pair of frames is defined as the corresponding edge feature.

However, none of the aforementioned approaches are suitable for constructing graph representations for long duration videos containing the face region, as the number of vertices and edges in spatio-temporal graph [63], [64] and the sequential graph [65] would grow with the increasing number of the frames making them intractable for training. Motivated by this, in this paper, we propose the very first work, to the best of our knowledge, to construct a facial behavioural graph representation from a long video for automatic depression analysis.

III. THE PROPOSED TWO-STAGE APPROACH

In this section, we present our two-stage framework, namely, the short-term depressive behaviour modelling stage and video-level depressive behaviour modelling stage. Our framework is designed to learn multi-scale short-term and long-term facial behaviour features for depression severity estimation, using all the available frames of the target video. The first stage (explained in Section III-A) of the proposed approach consists of two modules: (i) a Multi-scale Temporal Behavioural Feature Extraction Module (MTB) that learns short-term behavioural features at varying spatio-temporal scales, and (ii). a Depression Feature Enhancement (DFE) Module that enhances the depression-related cues and suppresses non-depression noises from the extracted behavioural features. Subsequently, for the video-level behaviour modelling stage (explained in Section II-B) we propose two novel graph representations, each of which summarizes the extracted multi-scale short-term descriptors of the entire video into a video-level graph representation which encodes multi-scale depression-related cues. Finally, we feed the resulting graph representation to GNNs to provide a video-level depression prediction (Section III-B).

The main contributions and benefits of our approach in comparison with the existing depression recognition approaches are the following: i). In contrast to existing single-stage approaches that either focuses on modelling depression at frame/thin slice-level [13], [14], [15], [46] or video-level [25], [26], [29], we propose a two-stage framework that takes advantage of both short-term and video-level behaviours for depression recognition; ii) the framework is designed so that it utilizes all available frames to predict depression, distinguishing it from
other video-level modelling methods [26] that discard frames carrying crucial information; iii). while widely-used C3D-based approaches [14, 26, 43] only learn depression features based on a single temporal scale, the proposed short-term depressive behaviour modelling stage can explicitly encode depression-related facial behaviour features at multiple temporal scales; iv). the proposed Depression Feature Enhancement (DFE) module is the very first work that is designed to specifically enhance the depression-related cues and suppress the non-depression noise for the deep-learned features; and (v). Compared to other video-level modelling methods [25, 27, 29, 30, 32] that simply employ statistics (e.g., the average value of frame-level predictions) to summarize the predictions/features of all frames/thin slices, we propose the first work that learns a graph representation to represent the video-level depression-related facial behaviours.

A. Short-Term Depressive Behaviour Modelling

The following sections describe in detail the proposed short-term depressive behaviour modelling stage which consists of two modules: (i). a Multi-scale Temporal Behavioural Feature Extraction Module (MTB) and (ii). a Depression Feature Enhancement (DFE) Module.

1) Multi-Scale Temporal Behavioural Feature Extraction: We build the MTB module based on the Temporal Pyramid Network (TPN) [33]. As illustrated in Fig. 2, the MTB consists of multiple branches that can learn multi-scale spatio-temporal features from an image sequence. Each branch contains multiple 3D ResNets that generate feature maps from the same input facial image sequence at a specific spatial scale. The sizes of feature maps (channel number, height, width) output from different branches are different, which are caused by their independently designed structures, including strides and kernel size settings of spatial and temporal convolution operations. This allows representations output from different branches to have different spatio-temporal scales. In particular, each branch first resizes the input sequence at a unique spatial scale and thus feature sequences of multiple spatial levels can be learned. Then, a spatial encoding module is attached to align spatial semantics of the produced feature sequences, each of which is then down-sampled by a pre-defined, unique temporal factors, respectively. In other words, a set of feature map sequences with temporal scales of $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_K$ are produced. After that, a temporal encoding module is utilized to retrieve multi-scale depression-related behavioural temporal dynamics from the down-sampled feature sequences. As a result, the proposed MTB module can provide features that represent facial behaviours at multiple spatio-temporal scales for a thin video slice.

2) Depression Feature Enhancement: While the proposed MTB module can learn depression-related features at multiple temporal scales, these features may still encode noisy information that is irrelevant to depression recognition. In this paper, we hypothesize that every feature learned from each temporal scale comprises two types of information: depression-related cues and non-depression noise. To further enhance the depression-related cues encoded by the feature whilst removing non-depression noise, we propose a Depression Feature Enhancement (DFE) module. Since the DFE module is designed to be easily plugged on the top of any standard network-based feature extractor, we attach it on the top of our proposed MTB module in this paper. In particular, the DFE module consists of the following two sub-modules:

Mutual Temporal Attention (MTA) module: The main aim of this module is to enhance the depression-related cues encoded by the features learned from each temporal scale, respectively. We hypothesize that the depression-related cues learned at different temporal scales are highly correlated, as all features were learnt to predict the depression severity of the target individual, i.e., predicting the same score. Since the attention operation can explicitly locate and highlight similar semantics between representations, the MTA module aims to identify and enhance the salient regions (the highly correlated information) of all latent features that are learned from MTB. As illustrated in Fig. 3(a), the proposed MTA module consists of a set of mutual-attention blocks to identify the underlying relationship between the salient information of each feature pair $f_1^{in}$ and $f_2^{in}$, emphasizing the depression-related information of $f_1^{in}$, i.e., the semantics of $f_2^{in}$ that highly correlates semantics of $f_1^{in}$. In particular, both inputs of a mutual attention block are projected to two latent spaces using $1 \times 1$ convolution layers as

$$f_1^{L1} = \text{Conv}_\beta (f_1^{in}) , \quad f_1^{L2} = \text{Conv}_\omega (f_1^{in})$$

$$f_2^{L1} = \text{Conv}_\beta (f_2^{in}) , \quad f_2^{L2} = \text{Conv}_\omega (f_2^{in})$$

Then, a matrix multiplication operation is conducted for each feature to compute the similarity between the produced two latent embedding. As a result, two attention maps can be produced as

$$f_1^{\text{attention}} = (f_1^{L1})^\top (f_2^{L2})$$

$$f_2^{\text{attention}} = (f_1^{L2})^\top (f_2^{L2})$$

This step is inspired by the non-local attention strategy that captures the global dependencies that enables the encoded by the corresponding feature. Then, we further conduct the matrix multiplication operation between the attention maps that come from two inputs, in order to further generate an attention map that can enhance the most important depression

Fig. 2. The architecture of the Multi-scale Temporal Behavioural Feature Extraction (MTB) module.

Authorized licensed use limited to the terms of the applicable license agreement with IEEE. Restrictions apply.
 cues in $f_1^\text{in}$

$$f_{\text{attention}} = \left( f_1^\text{attention} \right)^\top \left( f_2^\text{attention} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

As a result, the final enhanced feature $f_1^\text{MTA}$ that corresponds to $f_1^\text{in}$ can be produced by applying $f_{\text{attention}}$ to weight a latent representation of $f_1^\text{in}$

$$f_1^\text{MTA} = \gamma \left( f_1^\text{in} \right) \oplus f_{\text{attention}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

In summary, the final output $F$ that aggregates all the enhanced features ($f_1^\text{MTA}, f_2^\text{MTA}, \ldots, f_k^\text{MTA}$) should provide more reliable representations for depression severity prediction.

**Noise Separation (NS) module**: While the proposed MTA module can identify and enhance the depression-related cues, the non-depression noise may still be retained in the generated latent representation. The assumption is that the latent representation generated by MTA is made up of two parts of information: depression-related cues and non-depression noise. Therefore we introduce a Noise Separation (NS) module to disentangle the depression-related information and non-depression noises of the latent feature. In particular, we train a CNN block that takes the feature generated by the MTA as the input and further disentangles it to depression-related and non-depression component. This module is inspired by the approach introduced in [66]. During the training stage, as illustrated in Fig. 3(b), the NS module contains a shared depression feature encoder and a shared non-depression feature encoder, aiming to outputs depression-related features and non-depression features from a set of inputs, respectively. We first assign all videos into four depression categories (these categories are decided based on their BDI II scores), namely, minimal depression, mild depression, moderate depression and severe depression. At each training iteration, we only provide a set of latent features that belong to the same depression category as the inputs to both encoders. We use a regressor attached to the depression encoder, enforcing it to learn features that are relevant to the depression severity estimation. We also enforce feature similarity within the generated depression features by minimizing their difference for the given set of input features with the same depression category. Meanwhile, we maximize the difference between each
depression-related feature and its corresponding non-depression feature produced by the non-depression feature encoder. Since the assumption is that each input feature is only made up of corresponding depression-related cues and non-depression noise, a decoder that reconstructs the input features based on both produced depression-related and non-depression features is attached. In this way, the non-depression noises can be specifically attenuated. During the inference stage, we only utilize the features generated by the depression encoder. It would distill only depression-related information and those not pertaining to depression will be removed by the disentanglement process.

3) Loss Functions for MTB-DFE Training: Given that at each training iteration there are $N$ input features corresponding to $N$ video clips of the same depression category, the loss functions for training the MTB-DFE module are explained as follows.

First, we employ the Mean Square Error (MSE) loss function to measure the difference between the depression severity predictions $p_{\text{NS}}^n$ generated by the MTB-DFE module (i.e., the output of the NS module) and their corresponding depression severity ground-truth $g_n$ ($n = 1, 2, \ldots, N$), denoted as

$$L_{\text{NS}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (p_{\text{NS}}^n - g_n)^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Then, we attach an auxiliary head to the MTA module for intermediate supervision thereby enforcing the MTA module to predict the depression severity label, where the same MSE loss function is again used (8). This method augments the network’s capacity to extract depression-related features.

$$L_{\text{MTA}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (p_{\text{MTA}}^n - g_n)^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

We adopt three other loss functions besides the aforementioned loss terms during the training of the NS module. Since the objective of the depression encoder is to extract features from video clips of different individuals who have the same depression category at each training iteration, the features extracted from these clips should be very similar. Thus, we define such similarity in terms of

$$L_{\text{sim}} = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \sum_{i=n+1}^{N} (F_{\text{Dep}}^n - F_{\text{Dep}}^i)^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $F_{\text{Dep}}^n$ and $F_{\text{Dep}}^i$ are the depression-related components extracted from the shared depression encoder while $n$ and $i$ are the indices of input features that come from the different individuals with the same depression category. This training strategy allows the depression encoder focusing on learning common depression-related short-term facial behaviours from the input clips, which are invariant to the differences in identity, gender, age, etc.

We then use the $L_{\text{D-sim}}$ loss to encourage depression-related and non-depression feature components extracted from the same clip to be orthogonal (dissimilar), which is defined as

$$L_{\text{D-sim}} = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \| (F_{\text{Dep}}^n)^\top F_{\text{Non}}^n \|^2_{\text{Frob}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $F_{\text{Dep}}^n$ and $F_{\text{Non}}^n$ are the depression-related and non-depression components of the $n$th input feature. $\| \cdot \|^2_{\text{Frob}}$ is the square Frobenius norm. To further ensure the input feature’s disentanglement without losing any crucial information, we introduce a reconstruction loss function (11) that allows the input of the NS module to be reconstructed from the extracted depression-related and non-depression feature components using the decoder, which we define as

$$L_{\text{Rec}} = \frac{1}{N \times J} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{J} (F_{\text{Dec}}^n(j) - F_n(j))^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $F_n(j)$ and $F_{\text{Dec}}^n(j)$ are the $j$th element of the $n$th input feature and the $j$th element of the corresponding reconstructed feature generated by the decoder.

As a result, the final loss function for optimizing the MTB-DFE module can be defined as the combination of the above loss functions

$$L_{\text{short}} = L_{\text{NS}} + W_1 \times L_{\text{MTA}} + W_2 \times L_{\text{sim}} + W_3 \times L_{\text{D-sim}} + W_4 \times L_{\text{Rec}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $W_1$, $W_2$, $W_3$ and $W_4$ represent the importance of each loss, respectively. In this paper, we set all of them as 1.

B. Video-Level Depressive Behaviour Modelling

Besides the short-term depression-related facial behavioural cues, long-term behaviours usually act as a more reliable source for estimating depression severity. To this end, we first recall the main issues encountered to construct video-level (long-term) representations for video-based automatic depression analysis: i) while standard ML/CNN models require the input videos to conform to a fixed size, face videos collected from different subjects usually have variable lengths; and ii) the original videos usually contain a large number of frames, which cannot be directly provided to ML/CNN models. Simply computing the statistics (e.g., average values) from all thin video slices’ predictions/features [13], [14] forgoes key facial dynamics, while down-sampling variable-length videos to the same length [26] discards a large number of frames carrying vital information. In order to mitigate these problems, we propose two video-level facial behavioural graph representation encoding strategies: sequential graph representation (SEG) and spectral graph representation (SPG), which not only encode multi-scale short-term and long-term facial dynamics but also retain the information from all available frames of the target video, regardless of its length. Both graph representation encoding strategies are visualized in Fig. 4.

1) Sequential Graph Representation: We first propose to directly represent the variable-length face videos as Sequential Graph Representations (SEG) which characterize variable
numbers of vertices and edges, in order to represent the video-level depression-related facial behaviours of the target subjects. The topology of each Sequential Graph Representation (SEG) is dependent on the length (i.e., the number of thin slices) of its corresponding video. For a SEG, each thin slice-level depression-related feature in a video is represented as a vertex which is connected to other vertices based on two criteria, their temporal adjacency in the video and the pre-defined temporal scales. Specifically, given the video-level facial behaviour representation (corresponding to the nth video) extracted by our MTB-DFE, we define its size as \([K_n, J]\), where \(K_n\) represents the number of segments of the video and \(J\) represents the dimension of each segment-level depression feature. Then, we construct its SEG with \(K_n\) nodes with each node feature having \(J\) attributes. For each node \(v_i^n\) representing the \(i\)th segment of the given \(n\)th video, there are three types of directed edges connect it with other nodes.

- The first set of directed edges start \(v_i^n\) to the \(m\) successive nodes \(v_{i+1}^n, v_{i+2}^n, \ldots, v_{i+m}^n\) summarising short-term facial dynamics (depicted as yellow arrows in Fig. 4(b)).
- The second type of directed edge starts from \(v_i^n\) to the node \(v_{i+p}^n\) corresponding to the \((i+p)\)th segment of the given \(n\)th video, aiming to model long-term facial temporal evolution (depicted as black arrows in Fig. 4(b)). The ablation analysis of parameter \(m\) and \(p\) are provided in the Supplementary Material.
- The third sets of directed edges are connected from previous nodes to \(v_i^n\) (depicted as blue arrows in Fig. 4(b)).

To deal with the produced SEGs with different topologies, we employ Graph Attention Network (GAT) [67] provided by [68] to make depression prediction from these SEGs. This facilitates graphs (containing different numbers of nodes) corresponding to variable-length videos to be directly processed, allowing to predict depression at the video-level using all available frames. The analysis of different \(m\) and \(p\) values are provided in the Supplementary Material.

2) Spectral Graph Representation: We further propose a spectral graph representation (SPG) that summarizes thin slice-level depression features of an arbitrary length video into a length-independent isomorphic graph representation. In the SPG, we treat each dimension of the thin slice-level features as a vertex, i.e., the number of vertices in an SPG equates to the dimension of the thin slice-level feature. Since we compute the short-term behavioural features from the thin slices of all videos using the same MTE-DFE framework, the dimensions of all thin slice-level features are the same. As a result, the SPGs of all videos would have the same number of vertices, regardless of their lengths. The SPG is designed to represent the video-level behavioural information, where each vertex in a SPG represents the time-series of a deep-learned facial attribute over all thin slices of the video. However, if we directly use the time-series of each facial attribute as a vertex feature, the dimension of vertices’ features for a SPG would match the number of thin slices of the corresponding video, which leads SPGs of variable-length videos to have different vertex feature dimensions. To this end, we extend the spectral encoding algorithm [25], [28] to individually convert each deep-learned video-level facial attribute time-series to a length-independent spectral vector.

Given a face video, all thin slice-level features extracted at the short-term modelling stage can be concatenated as a multi-channel time-series facial behaviour signal, where each thin slice-level feature vector represents the facial status at each time-stamp while each channel in the vector represents a specific deep-learned facial attribute. Supposing that there are \(N\) video clips, where the \(n_{th}\) video \(B_n \in \mathbb{R}^{J \times K_n}\) consists of \(J\) facial attribute time-series (\(J\) channels) and \(K_n\) frames (extracted from \(K_n\) thin slices of the corresponding video). Then, the detailed process to achieve SPG from a multi-channel behaviour time-series signal is explained as follows:

- **Step 1:** Each deep-learned facial attribute time-series of each video is first transformed to a spectral signal using Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT), where the number of frequencies (the dimension) of the spectral signal equates to the number of thin slices in the corresponding video, i.e., the \(n_{th}\) facial behaviour signal \(B_n\) is converted as the \(B_n^{\text{DFT}} \in \mathbb{R}^{J \times K_n}\).
- **Step 2:** Since the difference in videos’ lengths would lead the produced spectral signals \((B_n^{\text{DFT}}, n = 1, 2, \ldots, N)\) to have different number of frequency components (i.e., \(K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_N\)), we choose the common \(K_c\) (\(K_c \leq \min(K_n)\) frequencies comprised by spectral signals of all videos based on the frequency alignment method introduced in [25] (Illustrated in the Fig. 4 of [25]). Subsequently, all aligned spectral signals \(B_n^{\text{DFT-Align}}\) would have the same dimension as \(J \times K_c\), i.e., \(J\) facial behaviours/channels and \(K_c\) common frequencies, i.e., \(B_n^{\text{DFT-Align}} \in \mathbb{R}^{J \times K_c}\).
Step 3: Finally, we select the Top-K ($K < K_v$) low-frequency components from each channel as a vertex feature corresponding to each facial attribute. This is because the low-frequency components usually encode the most important behavioural cues (please see [25] for details). In other words, the final SPG for representing each video consists of $J$ vertices and each vertex feature has $K$ dimensions, regardless of the video’s length.

In short, assuming that the MTB-DFE extracts $J$ deep-learned facial attributes ($J$-D short-term feature) from each thin slice, for a video with an arbitrary number of frames, we construct an SPG that has $J$ vertices for each video, where each vertex describes a facial attribute and has $K$ dimensions. We construct an SPG that has $J$ vertices for each video, where each vertex describes a facial attribute and has $K$ dimensions. Specifically, each dimension in a vertex feature corresponds to a unique video-level frequency representing a unique temporal pattern of the corresponding facial attribute in the entire video (i.e., how often the corresponding facial attribute changes at a certain speed in the video). Consequently, all dimensions of a vertex feature decide the final shape of the corresponding video-level facial attribute time-series, which further reflects the intensity, duration and frequency of this facial attribute’s activation in the video. In other words, each vertex feature in the SPG contains multi-scale ($K$ temporal scales) video-level facial temporal patterns of $J$ depression-related facial attributes. In this paper, we define that all vertices in SPG are fully connected, this allows all deep-learned facial attributes to be directly connected and utilized by the GNN predictor for depression recognition.

Here, the SPG is a more flexible and elaborate approach to represent video-level representation in comparison to the original spectral vector introduced in [25], [28], where they simply concatenate the spectral features of all attributes as a one-dimensional vector. Their approach disregards the properties of the spectral components of the features and treats all spectral dimensions of all channels equally. The concatenation operation does not take into account whether two features correspond to the same frequency or share the same channel, losing important discriminative information encoded by the spectral representation. However in the proposed novel SPG representation, all spectral features corresponding to a given channel are assigned to an independent vertex and each dimension of the vertex represents a given frequency. Therefore, the SPG provides a higher representational capability compared to the original spectral vector.

C. Depression Recognition

Once the video-level graph representation is obtained, we employ the state-of-the-art GAT to predict depression severity. The GAT uses masked self-attention layers to assign different weights for various vertices. Importantly, it can simultaneously process graphs with different typologies. In this paper, the GAT model is made up of several GAT layers (the number of layers is provided in the Supplementary Material) and fully connected (FC) layers in order to output a single depression severity score from each input graph representation.

IV. Experiments

In this section, we first provide the details of the AVEC 2013 and AVEC 2014 audio-visual depression datasets that are used for evaluating the proposed approaches (Section IV-A). Then, the implementation details, including data pre-processing, the settings of short-term and video-level feature extraction models, the depression recognition model (GAT), training details, and evaluation metrics are detailed in Section IV-B. Subsequently, Section IV-C compares the proposed approach with other recently proposed methods. In addition, we present a set of ablation studies in Section IV-D that aim to investigate the influence of various settings on depression severity prediction performance, including: i) multi-scale short-term facial behaviour temporal modelling; ii) the proposed Depression Feature Enhancement module; iii) the video-level graph representations; and iv) the proposed two-stage framework. Finally, we report the cross-dataset evaluation in Section IV-E.

A. Datasets

Our experiments were conducted on the audio-visual depression corpus corresponding to AVEC 2013 [9] and AVEC 2014 [23] challenges. The corpus used by the AVEC 2013 challenge contains 150 audio-visual clips, where each clip records a subject engaging in a set of pre-defined tasks, e.g., speaking out loud while solving a task, sustained vowel phonation, sustained loud vowel phonation, counting from 1 to 10, and sustained smiling vowel phonation. The duration of AVEC 2013 videos ranges from 20 minutes to 50 minutes with an average of 25 minutes. The corpus used by the AVEC 2014 challenge also contains 150 audio-visual clips, where each clip contains two sub-clips that individually record two tasks: Northwind and Freeform. In comparison to AVEC 2013 corpus, the duration of the sub-clips in AVEC 2014 are much shorter (ranging from 6 seconds to 4 minutes 8 seconds). For both datasets, each clip is labeled with a Beck-Depression Inventory (BDI II) score indicating a depression severity that ranges from a minimum of 0 to a maximum of 63.

We additionally evaluate our approach on the AVEC 2019 depression dataset [11] which is called the Extended Distress Analysis Interview Corpus [69]. It is an extended version of the WOZ-Daic dataset [70]. This dataset recorded both audio and video clips under scenarios where an animated virtual computer agent interviews people. The dataset contains clips of 163 subjects for training, 56 subjects for validation and 56 subjects for testing, where the eight-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-8) scores are employed as depression severity labels. Differently from AVEC 2013/2014 datasets, this dataset only provided several frame-level facial descriptors as the visual modality rather than the original videos.

B. Implementation Details

1) Video Pre-Processing: In our experiments, the face region of each frame is cropped and aligned using OpenFace 2.0 [71] based on the CE-CLM landmark detector, where the resolution of the obtained face image is $112 \times 112$. For each frame where
the face detection fails, we replace it with the face image extracted from the nearest frame in the video before the model training.

2) Model Settings. MTB module: For experiments on AVEC 2013 and AVEC 2014 datasets, we employ the MTB module consisting of three ResNet-50 networks which were pre-trained on VGGFace2 [72]. Specifically, we consider three spatial scales via three branches which encode the input sequence (i.e., containing 30 frames with each frame of the size 3 × 112 × 112) to sequences containing 8 frames with the sizes 256 × 28 × 28, 512 × 14 × 14 and 2048 × 4 × 4 (i.e., the number of feature maps, height and width), respectively. The final output of the MTB module comprises three temporal feature map sets, each of which consists of 1,024 feature maps with the size of 1 × 4 × 4. Finally, each feature map set is converted to a 1D latent feature vector of 2048 dimensionality thereby forming the input for the DFE module. For experiments on AVEC 2019 dataset, each Facial Action Units (FAU) [73] or ResNet feature input to the MTB is a multi-channel time-series signal with 30 time stamps, where each frame of a FAU signal has 31 channels while each ResNet signal has 4096 channels. Consequently, we employ the MTB module consisting of four 1D convolution layers, which individually generate feature maps that have 4, 8, 16, and 31 channels for FAU-based experiments, as well as 256, 512, 1024, and 2048 channels for ResNet feature-based experiments. The final output vector has 16 values and 512 values for FAU-based and ResNet feature-based input, respectively.

DFE module: The DFE module is made up of an MTA module and an NS module. As illustrated in Fig. 3(a), the MTA module consists of three non-local modules to independently capture the salient information of each temporal scale as well as three mutual attention modules that enhance the correlated information from each of the feature pairs. The NS module is a standard encoder that contains four 1-D convolution layers with 1024, 512, 128 and 32 kernels. During the training of the NS module, the shared non-depression encoder has the same architecture as the depression encoder, i.e., it also generates a 32-D non-depression feature vector for each input. The decoder used for feature reconstruction consists of three 1D convolution layers with 128, 512 and 2,048 kernels, respectively, while the depression regressor is an FC layer with ReLU activation function. In the NS module, the kernel size of all convolution layers is set to 1.

Depression recognition model: In this paper, the employed GAT model contains one GAT layer, a readout layer and three FC layers with ReLU activation function attached. In particular, we adopted the “mean” operation to aggregate the nodes’ features in the readout layer.

3) Training Details: We conducted standard training, validation and testing using the training, validation and test data provided by each dataset (AVEC 2013, AVEC 2014 NorthWind, and AVEC 2014 Freeform), respectively. During the training of the MTB-DFE module, we set the batch size to 5 thin slices, where each slice consists of 30 consecutive frames. The Adam [74] optimizer is employed to optimize the MTB-DFE framework. The training of MTB-DFE module is achieved by jointly minimizing the a set of corresponding loss functions (explained in Section III-A3), where the $W_1$, $W_2$ and $W_3$ in (12) are all set to 1 in this paper. To train the GAT, we set the batch size to 1. The Adam optimizer is utilized with MSE as the loss function. It should be noted that for each dataset, we kept the hyper-parameters consistent for all experiments. All hyper-parameters used in this paper are provided in the supplementary document, where the batch size, learning rate and $\beta_2$ for MTB are set to 5, $1e-5$ and 0.999 for all experiments on AVEC 2013 and AVEC 2014 datasets, as well as 24, $1e-5$ and 0.999 for all experiments on AVEC 2019 dataset. Besides the spectral representation which was implemented in MATLAB, all other experiments were implemented in the PyTorch library while the Deep Graph Library (DGL) [75] was used for building GNNs.

4) Evaluation Metrics: Four metrics used by previous AVEC challenges [9], [11], [23] are employed to compare the performance of the proposed approach. First, Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) and Mean Absolute Error (MAE) are introduced to measure the errors between the predictions and ground-truth, which are defined as

\[
RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (p_i - g_i)^2}
\]

\[
MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |p_i - g_i|
\]

where $p_i$ is the $i$th depression severity prediction and $g_i$ is the $i$th ground-truth. In addition, we also report two metrics for correlation between the predictions and the ground-truth based on the Pearson Correlation Coefficient (PCC) and the Concordance Correlation Coefficient (CCC). PCC measures the linear correlation between the predictions $P$ and their corresponding ground-truth $G$

\[
PCC = \frac{cov(P,G)}{\sigma_P \sigma_G},
\]

where $cov(P,G)$ is the co-variance function; $\sigma_P$ and $\sigma_G$ are the standard deviations of $P$ and $G$. The CCC is employed to measure the reproducibility/inter-rater reliability between the predictions $P$ and their corresponding ground-truth $G$, which is defined as

\[
\rho_c = \frac{2\rho_P G \sigma_P \sigma_G}{\sigma_P^2 + \sigma_G^2 + (\mu_P - \mu_G)^2},
\]

where $\rho_P G$ is the PCC between $P$ and $G$; $\mu_P$ and $\mu_G$ are the mean values of the predictions and ground-truth, respectively; $\sigma_P$ and $\sigma_G$ are the corresponding standard deviations.

C. Comparison to Existing Approaches

In this section, we compare the proposed approach to the existing state-of-the-art visual-based methods that have reported their results on AVEC 2013/2014 depression datasets. According to Tables I and II, the proposed short-term modelling module (MTB-DFE model) already attains the second best performance among all listed thin slice-based depression recognition methods, which is comparable to the state-of-the-art [43]. These
results demonstrate the competitiveness of the proposed MTB-DFE module and its superiority among approaches that model depression from thin video slices, showcasing its strong capacity to capture depression-related short-term facial behavioural cues. Importantly, the proposed DFE module is versatile and can be easily plugged into most existing deep learning frameworks (analysed in Section IV-D1).

Both of the proposed video-level depression graph representations achieve promising results that demonstrate large performance gains over most of the existing video-level depression modelling approaches. The SPG-based two-stage framework surpasses all of the listed video-level modelling approaches with 5% RMSE improvements over the previous state-of-the-art method [26] on AVEC 2014 datasets. We hypothesize that while [26] and [43] can provide reliable predictions for subjects’ depression status based on either long-term or short-term facial behaviours, the proposed two-stage framework can specifically model depression by incorporating both long-term and short-term behaviours. As a result, it achieves superior performance over most of the existing one-stage approaches. Notably, we found that the decision-level fusion of the predictions obtained from both tasks of the AVEC 2014 dataset can provide better predictions for all methods ([26], [43], [76] and ours), showing that behaviours triggered by different tasks may contain different but informative cues for depression recognition. The detailed predictions of the system (MTB-DFE+SPG) on the AVEC 2013 and AVEC 2014 datasets are visualized in Fig. 5(a) (top) and Fig. 5(b) (bottom).

D. Ablation Studies

This section explicitly investigates the influence of each of the modules on the proposed two-stage approach, providing evidence and a detailed explanation for the generated state-of-the-art results. All experiments were conducted on the AVEC datasets.
2014 Freeform dataset, as this dataset displays spontaneous behaviours of participants, which is closer to real-world scenarios.

1) Short-Term Depression Modelling: We first investigate the advantage of the proposed MTB-DFE module in modelling depression-related short-term facial behaviours. Let’s recall from Section III-A that the MTB-DFE module consists of a MTB network that extracts a multi-scale behavioural feature from each thin video slice, as well as a DFE module that consists of a MTA block to enhance the depression-related cues, and a NS block to disentangle the non-depression noise.

Fig. 6 first compares the proposed MTB module to a standard frame-level model (ResNet-50 [84]) and a single-scale short-term temporal model (C3D network [85]), for short-term facial behaviour-based depression recognition. With the same pre-processing settings, the only difference between these three methods is the temporal scale of the extracted features, i.e., ResNet-50 (static feature), C3D (single-scale dynamic feature), and MTB (multi-scale dynamic feature). It can be observed that the proposed MTB achieved better results than both single-scale temporal model and frame-level model, with 17.9% and 20.2% RMSE improvements and 303.7% and 52.6% CCC improvements, respectively, showing that the depression-related cues are embedded in facial behaviours of multiple temporal scales, i.e., multi-scale temporal modelling is crucial for face-based depression recognition.

Individually adding the MTA can provide a clear improvement over the MTB module, i.e., MTB-MTA (RMSE = 8.11, CCC = 0.693) achieved 6.7% CCC improvement and 6.2% RMSE improvement over the MTB, which validates the usefulness of the MTA module. Moreover, adding NS module can further enhance the depression recognition performance, with the entire DFE module bringing 7.7% CCC improvement and 10% RMSE improvement to the MTB module. We hypothesize from these results that the proposed DFE module can disentangle the feature representations thereby enhancing the depression-related features and removing the non-depression related noise. In particular, the MTA and NS modules influence different aspects of the input feature, i.e., depression-related cues and non-depression noises, respectively, therefore combining them by a simple concatenation can largely enhance the informative capability of the produced feature.

To further validate this hypothesis, we also attach the DFE module to ResNet-50 and C3D-based frameworks. Fig. 6 also clearly shows that the use of DFE can further enhance the short-term facial behaviour-based depression modelling performance. It can be noted that the improvement on ResNet-50 is not as large as the improvements on MTB and C3D models. This may be caused by the fact that the ResNet-50 model only learns depression cues from a static face, and the learned cues may not be reliable (evidenced by poor performance in RMSE and CCC). Therefore, the disentangled ResNet-DFE features still provide limited cues for depression recognition. In addition, we visualize the impact of using the DFE module in Fig. 7 through Gradient-weighted Class Activation Mapping (GRAD-CAM) [86], to identify facial regions that are associated with depression status. For all original models, the heatmaps are consistently produced based on the feature maps output from their last pooling layer. Meanwhile, for all DFE-based models, the heatmaps are consistently produced based on the feature maps output from their last max pooling layer of their DFE module. Compared to the original models, adding the DFE module can help them to extract depression cues: i) ResNet: from facial regions rather than backgrounds; ii) C3D: from more important facial regions, including mouth, nose and left cheek, which is consistently with the conclusion of previous studies which suggest that subjects with high depression levels make fewer activation in AU12, AU15 (related to the mouth) [39], AU9 (related to the nose) and AU36 (related to the mouth) [87] and left cheek. It can be seen that the left cheek consistently provides more cues for depression analysis. We hypothesize this as the right hemisphere, which controls the left side (e.g., left cheek) of the body, plays a key role in managing human emotional states [88][89], and thus are more informative for inferring depression status; or iii) MTB: by focusing on more discriminative facial regions (i.e., eyebrows and the region between eyebrows), which correspond to the conclusion of that depressed subjects usually have a relative overactivity of muscles in these regions [40], [87], [90].

2) Long-Term Depression Modelling: In this section, we investigate the advantages of the proposed graph-based video-level modelling approach. Based on the predictions and latent features generated by the MTB-DFE module, we implemented the following video-level depression severity prediction strategies:

- **AFT**: We average all thin slice-level predictions as the video-level prediction [13], [14], [78].
We use the proposed sequential graph representation to represent the video-level information of each feature dimension, and then concatenate the statistics of all feature dimensions as a video-level representation. The produced video-level representation is then fed to a MLP for generating the video-level prediction.

**SPV**: We employ the spectral encoding algorithm introduced in [25] to summarize all thin slice-level features as a video-level spectral vector which is then fed to a MLP for generating the video-level prediction.

**SPH**: We employ the spectral encoding algorithm introduced in [25] to summarize all thin slice-level features as a video-level spectral heatmap which is then fed to a 1D-CNN for generating the video-level prediction.

**SEG**: We use the proposed sequential graph representation to summarize all thin slice-level features as a video-level representation which is then fed to a 1D CNN for generating the video-level prediction.

**SPG**: We use the proposed spectral graph representation to summarize all thin slice-level features as a video-level representation which is then fed to the GatedGCN for generating the video-level prediction.

As illustrated in Fig. 8, in comparison to other settings, simply averaging thin slice-level depression predictions or latent features did not provide good results. This may be explained by the fact that despite such strategies computing video-level predictions, those video-level predictions/representations fail to consider temporal dependencies between frames/thin slices, which are crucial for representing depression-related facial behaviours. Among these modelling methods, the STA achieved the better performance as it summarises the latent features, which contains more cues than the summary of frame-level predictions. In terms of models that encode temporal information, it is clear that the proposed SPG outperforms the SPV, SPH [25] and SEG. In particular, the SPV, SPH and SPG use the same spectral feature sets but represent them in distinct ways. The SPV simply concatenates the spectral features obtained from all channels of the produced time-series from the thin slices-level features over the entire video. This approach, as evident, forgoes the channel information. On the other hand, both SPH and SPG can fully address the aforementioned issues, as they represent the spectral features of each channel in an independent channel/vertex and concatenates the spectral features of all channels in a heatmap/graph. Thus, both SPH and SPG preserve the important channel information in a spectral representation. However, we observed that the SPH experiments even generated worse results than that of SPV, which may have been caused by the limited amount of training data. Since existing public audio-visual depression datasets generally contain small number of training data (less than 200) while GNNs usually are light-weight, characterized by their significantly lower number of parameters for optimization, representing spectral features of all channels as a graph (SPG) is evidently a better way. Fig. 9 visualises the spectral amplitude heatmaps obtained from depressed and non-depressed subjects, where there are clear differences between two types of subjects’ facial behaviours in the spectral domain. Specifically, depressed subjects are more active in the deep-learned 8th, 13rd, 16–18th, 20–22nd, 25th and 29–32nd facial attributes, which usually changed in relatively slow speeds (i.e., low frequencies). This can be explained as: i) the listed facial attributes extracted by our MTB-DFE module are well associated with depression, i.e., the pre-trained MTB-DFE can be applied as a solid depression-related facial attributes extractor for future applications; and ii) depressed subjects usually express these facial attributes relatively slowly in a given video.

Even though using the proposed SEG to model depression at the video-level improved the results compared to the proposed MTB-DFE module, the SEG setting is not as good compared to the SPG. One reason could be that the lengths of AVEC 2014 Freeform videos vary a lot, i.e., the longest video has 7,440 (270 thin slices) frames while the shortest video only contains 180 frames (31 thin slices). Consequently, there are large differences in the sizes of the produced SEGs. While the video length should not contribute to the depression severity assessment, this factor can heavily influence the GAT processing procedure as it determines the size and topology of the produced SEG.

3) Analysis of the Two-Stage Depression Modelling Strategy: Since the proposed approach establishes the promising results on both datasets and the performance of the proposed multi-scale short-term (MTB-DFE) and video-level (SPG) modelling approaches were validated in previous sections, we now specifically investigate the advantages of the proposed two-stage framework in this section.

We implement a set of short-term and video-level modelling approaches, and then integrate them into the proposed two-stage frameworks. More specifically, we implement four short-term models to extract four types of short-term facial behaviour descriptor for each frame/thin slice, which are: OpenFace 2.0 [71] that provides frame-level AUs, gaze and head pose (29 attributes are used in [25]), ResNet-50 [84] that learns deep, frame-level depression-related facial features, C3D network [85] that deep learns short-term depression-related facial features, and the proposed MTB-DFE that deep learns multi-scale enhanced short-term depression-related facial features. C3D and MTB-DFE are also individually employed as video-level models by down-sampling each target video into a certain number of frames (30 frames in this paper) which are then fed to the model for video-level feature learning and depression recognition. Finally, we implement the two-stage framework by using four types
Fig. 9. Visualization of three example amplitude spectral maps and average patterns of all subjects for non-depressed (displayed in the first row) and depressed (displayed in the second row) subjects in AVEC 2014 Freeform test set, where each row in a heatmap denotes amplitude spectral features of a facial attribute represented by a vertex of an SPG, and each column denotes a specific frequency.

of video-level encoding strategies for summarising short-term features, including the ATP, STA, SPV and SPG described in Section IV-D2.

Fig. 10(a) compares the average results achieved by four short-term models when combining them with video-level encoding strategies, i.e., first extracting all frame/thin slice-level descriptors of the target video and then fusing them as a video-level representation for depression recognition. It is clear that the ATP setting that simply averages the frame/thin slice-level predictions without any specific video-level encoding shows the worst performance. On the contrary, the SPG and SPV yield the most promising results, providing an average of 61.9% and 74.6% average CCC improvements as well as 19.2% and 20.8% average RMSE improvements over all short-term models (ATP). This is because both of them consider multi-scale video-level facial dynamics. These results validate that a proper video-level encoding can provide large and additional performance improvements to short-term models for video-based depression recognition. This can be explained by the fact that long-term behaviour cues are crucial for video-based depression analysis, as people with different depression status can display similar short-term behaviours [25].

Fig. 10(b) compares the average results achieved by four video-level (long-term) models when combining them with short-term models. It can be observed that the differences in short-term models also caused large differences in the final depression recognition results, i.e., the short-term models with better performance allow the corresponding two-stage frameworks to also achieve better recognition results, where the MTB-DFE achieved the best results and the OpenFace achieved the worst performance as MTB-DFE deep learns multi-scale enhanced short-term depression-related facial features while OpenFace only extracts mid-level facial attributes without specifically considering the depression-related cues. In other words, a proper short-term model can extract more reliable and depression-related short-term behaviour cues from the original video data, which further allows the two-stage framework to construct a better video-level depression representation.

Finally, we compare the results of C3D and MTB-DFE when using them for short-term modelling, video-level modelling and two-stage modelling. As illustrated in Fig. 11, two-stage systems (the results achieved by applying C3D/MTB-DFE as the short-term model and then use SPG for video-level modelling) achieved the best results among all three settings for both networks, showing the clear advantages of the proposed two-stage framework. These results can be explained by the fact
that the C3D/MTB-DFE-based video-level modelling discards short-term facial behaviour details during the down-sampling procedure, which may contain crucial cues for depression recognition. Meanwhile, when applying them for short-term depression modelling, they fail to infer depression from video-level behaviours. In summary, we show that both short-term and video-level facial behaviour encoding are important for video-based depression recognition, suggesting the great potential of applying and extending the proposed two-stage framework for video-based automatic depression analysis applications.

4) Visual Feature-Based Depression Recognition: We also evaluate our approach on two types of facial features (i.e., FAU features and deep-learned ResNet features) provided by AVEC 2019 depression dataset. As shown in Table III, the results of both visual feature-based experiments suggest that our MTB module can largely improve the performance over the simple baseline systems. This again indicates that multi-scale temporal facial dynamics are crucial for depression analysis. More importantly, the proposed DFE module and SPG-based video-level modelling can both enhance the depression representations for different visual features, where the DFE provided 23% relative CCC improvement to the MTB system for ResNet feature-based experiments, and video-level modelling (SPG) largely enhanced the FAU feature-based MTB-DFE system, with more than 42% relative CCC improvement. Such results further validate that the proposed approach and each of its modules can effectively extract/enhance depression-related spatio-temporal facial behaviour cues not only from image sequences but also mid-level/low-level human facial behaviour primitive time-series. For a fair comparison, Table III does not compare our visual approach with other audio and audio-visual results [51], [52] nor visual systems [52], [53] that are evaluated on the development set.

E. Cross-Dataset Evaluation

To further evaluate the generalization capability of the proposed approach, we also report the cross-datasets evaluation results in Table IV. We observe that the models trained on AVEC 2013 dataset performed well on two AVEC 2014 tasks, especially the pre-trained MTB-DFE model achieved the PCC and RMSE of 0.732 and 8.04, respectively. In contrast, the MTB-DF models trained on short videos from AVEC 2014 are less robust. In particular, the models trained on the Freeform videos generated much better results than the models trained on the NorthWind videos. Since the AVEC 2013 tasks and Freeform tasks are unmediated and complex while NorthWind videos were recorded in strongly controlled conditions, (i.e., it only requires participants to read a pre-defined paragraph in German), the AVEC 2013 videos and Freeform videos (especially AVEC 2013 videos) contain richer facial behaviours than NorthWind videos. As a result, we hypothesize that the models can extract more depression-related cues from AVEC 2013 videos and Freeform videos. In other words, it shows that the models trained on tasks that elicit more natural behaviours and responses provide better generalisation capacity.

It also can be observed that most MTB-DFE models trained on AVEC 2013 and Freeform tasks outperformed their corresponding MTB-DFE+SPG models. This can be explained by the fact that the MTB-DFE only focuses on predicting depression from short-term facial behaviours and different tasks may still trigger some similar short-term facial behaviours. However, the SPG model attempts to learn video-level facial behaviours, which means they largely depend on the global contexts of the task. Consequently, the MTB-DFE+SPG models have worse generalization capability for cross-datasets evaluation.

F. Conclusions and Discussion

In this paper, we propose a specific, two-stage framework for video-based automatic depression recognition, where the first stage models depression from short-term facial behaviours and the second stage aims to construct a video-level depression representation based on all short-term facial behaviours of the
target video, summarising long-term behavioural information. In particular, this paper proposes a MTB-DFE model to learn depression-related features from multi-scale short-term facial behaviours, which disentangles feature representations thereby enhancing the depression-related cues and removing non-depression noise encoded by the features. Here, we propose the first work to represent all short-term depression-related cues of the video as a graph representation for video-based depression analysis, i.e., SEG and SPG, both of which not only encode all thin slice-level features of the target video without discarding any frames, but also can be directly processed by GNNs for depression recognition. In other words, the proposed two-stage framework encodes depression cues from multi-scale short-term and long-term facial behaviours and provides the target depression prediction based on the behaviours portrayed by the entire video.

According to the experimental results achieved on AVEC 2013, AVEC 2014 and AVEC 2019, we conclude that: i) the proposed two-stage approach outperformed most existing methods with marginal advantages; ii) the proposed MTB-DFE model also generated better performance than all existing short-term depression modelling methods, where the DFE module largely enhanced the performance, showing its capability to enhance depression-related cues and removing non-depression noises; iii) both video-level graph representations can further improve the depression recognition performance, where SPGs produced better predictions than SEGs and other baselines, suggesting it may be a superior strategy for summarizing arbitrary number of thin slice-level features of a video; iv) the proposed two-stage framework can be easily extended using various short-term and long-term modelling methods. In particular, we found that under the same setting, two-stage modelling always provided better predictions than the corresponding one-stage methods.

While the proposed two-stage framework achieved the best and the most robust performance in depression recognition, a main limitation is that these two stages are implemented separately, which means the deep-learned short-term depression features may still not be optimal. If the short-term depression modelling and video-level depression encoding can be integrated into an end-to-end framework, both short-term and video-level depression representations could be potentially improved and produce better predictions. In addition, the existing audio-visual AVEC datasets only contain 150 clips and these datasets were collected in controlled lab environments. Thus, these experiments can not fully validate the usefulness of the proposed method for real-world applications. Consequently, a important future work in the field is to collect a larger real-world audio-visual dataset and provide it for public research usage by the community. Finally, since the DFE module and SPG achieved significant gains depression analysis, it would be interesting to extend them to similar video-level/clip-level recognition tasks, e.g., human action recognition and personality recognition.
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