An Assessment of the Nurses’ Knowledge and Practices of Inpatient Fall Prevention

Abstract

**Purposes:** We conducted a cross-sectional descriptive study to evaluate the knowledge and practices of fall prevention among nurses in four departments in 7A Military Hospital, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam.

**Subjects and Methods:** Sixty-two nurses in four departments were chosen to fulfill pre-designed questionnaires, and their daily fall preventive practices were observed.

**Results:** There were 40.3% of nurses achieve good knowledge of fall prevention. In practice, 22.5% of nurses had proper compliance, and 77.4% had not. 82.3% of nurses claimed to update fall prevention knowledge often, and 87.1% demanded training for fall prevention.

Nurses in the surgical department group had more knowledge of fall prevention than nurses in the internal medicine group of departments (68.7% vs. 10.0%, p<0.05). Female nurses had higher fall risk compliances than males (78.3% vs. 37.5%, P<0.05).

**Conclusion:** Training for nurses in fall preventive knowledge and practices are needed in 7A Military Hospital.

Introduction

According to WHO (2018): “A fall is an event which results in a person coming to rest inadvertently on the ground or floor or other lower level.” [1]. A fall can cause death or other severe complications.

Falling in hospitals is highly concerned in community healthcare as it happens in 2-17% of inpatients, of which injuries related to falling in hospital in various degrees accounting for 15-50% of all injuries [2]. Medical staff, especially nurses, need to evaluate and reevaluate fall risks to ensure the patients' safety during hospitalization [3]. Therefo-
re, nurses play a significant role in fall prevention. To fulfill this role, nurses need to have proper fall prevention knowledge and practices [4].

To have specific data about fall prevention knowledge and practices of nurses in 7A Military Hospital, we conducted the study: “An assessment of the nurses’ knowledge and practices of inpatient fall prevention” aiming to describe the current status of fall prevention knowledge and practices of nurses in some clinical departments in the Hospital.

Subjects

Time, place and subjects of the research

Place of the research
The study took place in Internal Medicine, General Surgery, Surgical Orthopedics, and Cardiology departments in 7A Military Hospital, Ho Chi Minh City, Viet Nam.

Subjects of the research
The subjects were nurses who directly took care of patients with over one year of working experience.

Time of the research
This study was conducted from Jun 2018 to December 2018. The data collection period was within October 2018.

Methods

Research design
This research was a cross-sectional descriptive study.

Sample size and sampling method
All 62 nurses working in Internal Medicine, General Surgery, Surgical Orthopedics, and Cardiology departments in 7A Military Hospital were chosen.

Assessment tools
The assessment tool for fall preventive knowledge was developed based on form 2E in the fall preventive knowledge assessment part for nurses (2E: Fall Knowledge Test) in the toolkit of Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), USA. The questionnaires included 23 questions, of which 13 questions (from 12th to 24th) was used to evaluate the nurses’ fall preventive knowledge. The questionnaires were tested and ensured reliability (Cronbach’s Alpha = 0.81) [5]. The maximum score is 33 points equivalent to 33 correct answers; general fall preventive knowledge was divided into Pass (≥ 17 points) and Fail (≤16 points).

The assessment tool for practices was based on the Johns Hopkins tool, including 20 activities [6]. Each activity was evaluated using the Linkert scale of five-level. Level 1 was not done, or no proof of doing (1 point); level 2 was partially done (2 points); level 3 was medium, not entirely done (3 points); level 4 was right and entirely done (4 points), and level 5 was excellently and frequently done (5 points). Practices were considered Pass when the average score was ≥ 3 points.

Data collection method
The knowledge data were collected by direct interviewing the subjects using pre-designed questionnaires.

Fall preventive practice data were collected by observing the nurses in daily activities using a checklist.

Data analysis
Data collected from forms were preprocessed and entered into SPSS 16.0 software in two independent times. A descriptive statistic data analysis method was used; quantitative data were described using tables or charts as frequency/rate; qualitative data were described as average/standard deviation. The confidence interval in this study was 95% (CI=95%).

Results

Characteristics of the subjects
Table 1 presented detail characteristics of the subjects in this study.
Table 1. Characteristics of the subjects (n=62).

| Characteristics       | Quantity | %    |
|-----------------------|----------|------|
| **Gender**            |          |      |
| Male                  | 16       | 25.8 |
| Female                | 46       | 74.2 |
| **Age range**         |          |      |
| < 30 years            | 6        | 9.7  |
| 31 – 45 years         | 50       | 80.6 |
| > 45 years            | 6        | 9.7  |
| **Age**               |          |      |
| Average               | 35.8 ± 6.4 |      |
| **Department**        |          |      |
| Internal Medicine     | 15       | 24.2 |
| Surgical Orthopedics  | 16       | 25.8 |
| General surgery       | 16       | 25.8 |
| Cardiology            | 15       | 24.2 |
| **Education**         |          |      |
| Vocational school     | 22       | 35.5 |
| College               | 5        | 8.1  |
| University            | 35       | 56.4 |
| **Frequently knowledge update** | | |
| Yes                   | 51       | 82.3 |
| No                    | 11       | 17.7 |
| **Demand training**   |          |      |
| Yes                   | 54       | 87.1 |
| No                    | 8        | 12.9 |

Of all nurses attending this study, the number of females was triple that of males (74.2% over 25.8%). Most of the subjects were from 30-45 years of age (80.6%) and were evenly distributed in the four departments. More than half of them (56.4%) were university degree holders, and 35.5% had vocational school degrees.

Fall preventive knowledge result
The nurses had the failure scores accounted for 59.7%, and there was only 40.3% pass (Figure 1). The average knowledge score was 15.95 (SD=3.94).

Fall preventive practice results
There were 77.4% of nurses failed in practical evaluation; only 22.5% of them passed (Figure 2). The average score for practice assessment was 2.89 (SD=0.27).

However, there was a more positive image seen in each activity presented in Table 2, in which 8/20 (40%) of activities were passed; nearly 2/3 of the activities failed.

The relationship between some of the demographic characteristics of the nurses and the knowledge of fall prevention
The highest Pass scores (68.7%) were achieved from the two surgical departments (the General Surgery and Surgical Orthopedics departments), and the internal medicine departments (Internal medicine and Cardiology departments) got the most Fail scores (90.0%). The difference had statistical meaning with p<0.05. (Table 3)
### Table 2. Practice assessment scores by activities (n=62).

| No. | Content                                                                 | Average score | Grade |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-------|
| Q1  | To inform and explain to patients and relatives about the patients’ risk of falls. | 2.72          | Fail  |
| Q2  | Appropriate bracelet                                                   | 1.47          | Fail  |
| Q3  | Give instructions to the patients of family members to use patient rooms and restrooms such as alarm, lights, handrails, restroom locks ... | 3.49          | Pass  |
| Q4  | To instruct patients/family members to use shoes that have good grip; to alert slipping areas in the restroom. | 2.89          | Fail  |
| Q5  | To keep a safe environment: keep tables, chairs... tidy, and the floor dry and clean. | 3.34          | Pass  |
| Q6  | Practice above step 1 to 5                                             | 2.94          | Fail  |
| Q7  | To arrange necessaries near the patients.                              | 3.04          | Pass  |
| Q8  | To present and assist the patients when moving and going to the toilet. | 1.45          | Fail  |
| Q9  | 24h continuous observing the patients                                  | 1.38          | Fail  |
| Q10 | To ask for the cause of falling                                        | 1.45          | Fail  |
| Q11 | To instruct the patients and family members about side effects of medication such as low blood pressure, drowsiness, prolong bleeding... | 3.06          | Pass  |
| Q12 | To instruct the patients to wear glasses or hearing aid to keep safe when moving. | 2.94          | Fail  |
| Q13 | To provide movable hangers for suspension fluid or drainage bags       | 3.26          | Pass  |
| Q14 | To ensure stable infusion site, DN tube, catheter ... before letting the patients move | 3.04          | Pass  |
| Q15 | To provide tools and equipment to assist the patients moving such as wheelchairs | 2.81          | Fail  |
| Q16 | To provide tools to support personal hygiene or urination in beds      | 2.87          | Fail  |
| Q17 | To arrange the patients for easily observing or near the nurses’ workplace | 3.79          | Pass  |
| Q18 | Agitation patients: safely immobilized the patients.                  | 1.62          | Fail  |
| Q19 | To ensure a quiet room                                                 | 3.70          | Pass  |
| Q20 | To provide appropriate information on fall risk and patient safety.    | 2.89          | Fail  |

### Table 3. The relationship between some of the demographic characteristics of nursing and the knowledge of fall prevention.

| Characteristics          | Knowledge before training |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|
|                          | Pass | Fail |
| **n** | **%** | **N** | **%** |

**Gender**
- Male: 8 (50.0) Fail (8) Fail (50.0)
- Female: 18 (39.1) Pass (28) Fail (60.9)

$\chi^2=0.943; p>0.05$; Chi - square Test.

**Age**
- < 30 years: 4 (66.7) Fail (2) Fail (33.3)
- 30 – 45 years: 17 (34.0) Pass (26) Fail (66.0)
- > 45 years: 5 (83.3) Pass (1) Fail (16.7)

$\chi^2=4.000; p>0.05$; Phi and Cramer’s V Test.

**Departments**
- Surgical: 22 (68.7) Fail (8) Fail (31.3)
- Internal: 3 (10.0) Fail (27) Fail (90.0)

$\chi^2=16.708; p<0.05$; Fisher’s Exact Test.

**Working experience**
- < 10 years: 10 (50.0) Fail (10) Fail (50.0)
- 10 – 20 years: 8 (24.2) Fail (25) Fail (75.8)
- > 20 years: 6 (66.7) Fail (3) Fail (33.3)

$\chi^2=5.143; p>0.05$; Phi and Cramer’s V Test.
Relationship between some of the demographic characteristics of the nurses and compliance with falls risk assessment

There was a higher rate of fall risk assessment in females than in males (78.3% over 37.5%). The rate of not complying to fall risk assessment in males was higher than females (62.5% compared to 21.7%). The difference had statistical meaning (p<0.05). (Table 4)

Table 4. The relationship between some of the demographic characteristics of the nurses and compliance with falls risk assessment (n=62).

| Characteristics | Fall risk assessment |  |  |
|-----------------|----------------------|---|---|
|                 | Yes | % | No | % |
| Gender          |     |   |    |   |
| Male            | 6   | 37.5 | 10 | 62.5 |
| Female          | 36  | 78.3 | 9  | 21.7 |
| \(\chi^2=6.471; p<0.05\); Fisher’s exact test. |
| Age             |     |   |    |   |
| < 30 years      | 4   | 66.7 | 2  | 33.3 |
| 30 – 45 years   | 31  | 62.0 | 19 | 38.0 |
| > 45 years      | 6   | 100.0 | 0  | 0.0 |
| \(\chi^2=2.463; p>0.05\); Phi and Cramer’s V test. |
| Departments     |     |   |    |   |
| Surgical        | 22  | 68.8 | 10 | 31.2 |
| Internal        | 19  | 63.3 | 9  | 36.7 |
| \(\chi^2=0.142; p>0.05\); Chi - square Test. |
| Working experience |     |   |    |   |
| < 10 years      | 14  | 70.0 | 6  | 30.0 |
| 10 – 20 years   | 17  | 54.8 | 11 | 45.2 |
| > 20 years      | 8   | 88.9 | 1  | 11.1 |
| \(\chi^2=5.143; p>0.05\); Phi and Cramer’s V Test . |

Discussion

In our study, female nurses were the majority with triple the number of male nurses (74.2% compared to 25.8%); most of the nurses were in 30-45 years of the age range (80.6%). This result represented the distinctive characteristic of nursing and had similarities with many other studies on patient safety and medical complication prevention in Vietnam as well as other countries. More than half of the subjects had university degrees.

The number of nurses who failed in the fall prevention knowledge test was high (59.7%). The rate is higher than that of the studies in Indonesia (30.8%) [3] and Saudi Arabia (<20%) [7]. In fall preventive practices, Fail rates were 77.4%, higher than most previous studies [3, 7]. The difference when comparing our research to other studies in other countries in the area suggested that the concern of patient safety and fall prevention in Vietnam is still lower than others. There have been increasing numbers of policies by Healthcare authority in Vietnam to gain more focus in patient safety as well as improve the training in nursing education; however, the policies need more time for implementation and integration into practices. It is also essential for nursing management at various levels to get involved.

When analyzing the relationships between the knowledge and practices of fall prevention with characteristics such as age, gender, education, departments, and working experience, we found the meaningful relation between departments and knowledge; and between gender and fall risk assessment compliances (p<0.05). The results showed that regardless of education or working experience, the expertise and practices of fall prevention among nurses were limited and supported the conclusion that most of the nurses (87.1%) had a demand for further training inpatient fall prevention. Many studies in the world had shown that training on fall risk assessment and fall prevention to nurses could improve the patients falling rates [8, 9]. Therefore, a complete and regular program to provide the necessary knowledge and skills of fall prevention to nurses are especially needed. In a more optimistic view, although revealing the limitation in the fall prevention capability of nurses, our study has shed
a signal light on the status and demand so that the Nursing division of 7A Military Hospital can plan and implement a strategy to improve the overall patient safety hospital-wide.

**Conclusion**

There was a limitation on nurses' knowledge and practices regarding patient fall prevention. Nurses in Surgical departments had a better understanding than nurses in Internal medicine departments, and male nurses had lower fall risk assessment compliances than female nurses. A complete and regularly training program is needed to improve patient safety in the hospital.
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