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Abstract: This paper presents a dimensionality reduction of hyperspectral dataset using bi-dimensional empirical mode decomposition (BEMD). This reduction method is used in a process for segmentation of hyperspectral data. Hyperspectral data contains multiple narrow bands conveying both spectral and spatial information of a scene. Analysis of this kind of data is done in three sequential stages, dimensionality reduction, fusion and segmentation. The method presented in this paper mainly focus on the dimensionality reduction step using BEMD, fusion is carried out using hierarchical fusion method and the segmentation is carried out using Clustering algorithms. This dimensionality reduction removes less informative bands in the data set, decreasing the storage and processing load in further steps in analysis of data. The qualitative and quantitative analysis shows that best informative bands are selected using proposed method which gets high quality segmented image using FCM.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The Mechanism of getting information on earth without physical contact through satellites is called remote sensing. The passive sensors are used to read the signal reflected from the earth’s surface [1]. With this signal, the remote sensing image is generated. The main task in analysing the remote sensing image is segmentation. Ordinary cameras generate images with spectral resolution but not having spatial information. With the invent of hyperspectral technology, images with both spatial and spectral information are generated [2]. To generate a hyperspectral dataset, spectrometers are used with wavelengths ranging from visible region to near infrared region. The hyperspectral dataset consists of multiple bands, with each band is generated by specific wavelength. The pixels in each band gives spectral information and number of bands in the dataset gives spatial information. Hyperspectral image segmentation is new research area, in which efficient algorithms to be designed in segmenting the data set [3].

This paper presents a mechanism in segmenting the hyperspectral dataset. The first step in analysing the hyperspectral data is to reduce the dimensionality of data. Reduction in dimensionality means removing bands in the dataset which is having less or redundant information. Dimensionality reduction can be done using value based and feature based methods. Feature based methods are less efficient than value-based methods. In this paper, Bi-dimensional Empirical Mode Decomposition is used for dimensionality reduction [4]. This reduction will decrease many requirements for processing hyperspectral dataset such as storage and computational load. After reduction, the images are fused into a single image using hierarchical image fusion technique. This fused image is segmented using clustering algorithm such as k-means, k-medoids and Fuzzy c-means [5]. Out of these clustering algorithms, Fuzzy c-means is used in this paper as it generates better accuracy in segmented result. Accuracy in segmentation is measured using Mean Square Error (MSE) parameter [6]. The flow diagram of proposed framework is shown in figure 1. Section 2 presents BEMD based dimensionality reduction method, Section 3 Hierarchical Image Fusion, Section 4 algorithm for segmentation and Section 5 presents Conclusions.

Revised Manuscript Received on November 22, 2019.
Mr. B. Raviteja, Asst. Professor, Dept. of CSE, GITAM University, Visakhapatnam.
Dr. K. Venkata Rao, Professor, Dean Academics in the Dept. of Computer Science and Systems Engineering, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam.

![Fig 1: Framework for hyperspectral image segmentation](image-url)
II. DIMENSIONALITY REDUCTION USING EMD

Empirical Mode Decomposition is a method [7] which decomposes any 1-D waveform into many functions called intrinsic mode functions (IMF) and the last IMF is called residue. These IMFs have the property that combining IMFs and residue gives the original signal. Each IMF have two properties related to number of extrema points and number of zero crossings. This process of getting IMFs from any 1-D waveform is called shifting process. The same process can be extended to two dimensional that is an image. This process is presented below in figure 2.

Each IMF generated using BEMD process gives intrinsic information having different features. In the process of band selection, we create band subsets using Band Correlation (BS) as a measurement function to estimate the quality of bands. These band subsets preserve the valuable information from the input data. This information in the band subsets provide better segmentation results with low redundancy. The process of band selection is shown in figure 3. The Band Correlation (BC) can be calculated by:

$$BC(i, j) = \frac{\sum_{p=1}^{N} (x_{ip} - \mu_i) \cdot (x_{jp} - \mu_j)}{\sqrt{\sum_{p=1}^{N} (x_{ip} - \mu_i)^2 \cdot \sum_{p=1}^{N} (x_{jp} - \mu_j)^2}}$$

The mechanism for band selection using BEMD with BC as quality parameter is shown below:
1. First set B={ }. Select the band from the input data having high entropy value and add it to B.
2. Then select other band into this set B, by calculating BC between selected band and existing band.
3. If the selected band has largest BC value, add the IMFs of the selected band.
4. This process is repeated until required bands are selected.
5. Different IMFs have different features, we combine these band subsets into a band combination, which contains all intrinsic information of the input data.

III. HIERARCHICAL IMAGE FUSION TECHNIQUE

The hierarchical fusion method combines the set of images in a hierarchical fashion. It first decomposes the original set of images into k subsets [8]. The number of images in each subset is equal to p, where the minimum value of p is 10. The images in these k subsets are fused to generate p images. Again, these p images are divided into subsets, and again fusion takes place in each subset. This process continues in hierarchical fashion until a single image is generated, where the last level of fusion contains only two images. The fusion at each step is done linearly, means adding all images and dividing with weights [10]. The flow diagram of hierarchical image fusion is shown in figure 4.

IV. CLUSTERING ALGORITHMS

The flow diagram of k-means clustering [7] algorithm is shown in figure 5.

The flow process of Fuzzy C-Means Algorithm [7] is shown in figure 6. The flow process of K-Medoids Algorithm [9] is shown in figure 7.

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The qualitative and quantitative analysis of methodology presented in this paper is done on Pavia University data set collected from [8] which contains 103 spectral bands. BEMD based algorithm presented in this paper is used for dimensionality reduction. After reduction, only 43 bands from 103 bands are selected. Using hierarchical fusion, these 43 bands are fused into single image. This image is segmented using FCM algorithm. The quantitative analysis is measured using MSE defined in [11]. The qualitative analysis of the proposed method on Pavia University hyperspectral data set is shown in figure 8. Table 1 shows the quantitative evaluations of three clustering algorithms after segmenting the hyperspectral image.
Table 1: Quantitative analysis of proposed methodology

| dimensionality reduction algorithms | MSE Values by FCM algorithm |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Entropy                           | 212.8                       |
| SAM                              | 192.4                       |
| BC                               | 182.6                       |
| BEMD + BC                         | 179.8                       |

Figure 8: Segmentation of hyperspectral image using FCM

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper a methodology for dimensionality reduction in framework for hyperspectral image segmentation is presented. The analysis of hyperspectral data is done three stages, dimensionality reduction, fusion and segmentation. In this paper BEMD concept is used for dimensionality reduction with BC as parameter in BEMD process for band selection. The informative bands are selected using the methodology presented in paper. These informative bands are fused to get a single image and this single image is used for segmentation. The segmentation result will give the information about the hyperspectral dataset by considering most useful bands in the data. This dimensionality reduction process leaves the redundant data and increases the segmentation result accuracy. The methodology presented in this paper shows the performance of FCM algorithm by using different similarity metrics in dimensionality reduction algorithm.
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Find all local maxima and local minima points in I(x, y).

Upper envelope $U_p(x, y)$ is created by interpolating the maxima points and lower envelope $L_w(x, y)$ is created by interpolating minima points.

Compute the mean of lower and upper envelopes denoted by $Mean(x, y)$.

$$Mean(x, y) = \frac{(U_p(x, y) + L_w(x, y))}{2}$$

This mean signal is subtracted from the input signal. $Sub(x, y) = I(x, y) - Mean(x, y)$

If $Sub(x, y)$ satisfies the IMF properties, then an IMF is obtained. $IMF_1(x, y) = Sub(x, y)$

Subtract the extracted IMF from the input signal. Now the value of $I(x, y)$ is

$I(x, y) = I(x, y) - IMF_1(x, y)$

This process is repeated until $I(x, y)$ does not have maxima or minima points to create envelopes. Original image can be reconstructed by inverse EMD given by

$I(x, y) = \sum_{n} IMF_n(x, y) + res(x, y)$

Fig 2: Bi-dimensional Empirical Mode Decomposition process

Randomly consider K initial clusters $\{C_1, C_2, ..., C_K\}$ from the m*n image pixels $\{I_1, I_2, ..., I_{mn}\}$.

Assign each pixel to the cluster $C_j$ ($j=1,2,...,K$) if it satisfies the following condition

$$D(I_j, C_j) < D(I_j, C_q), q = 1, 2, ..., K$$

Find new cluster centroid as follows

$$C_i = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{I_{j,t}=1,2,...K} I_{j,t}$$

Where $n_i$ is the number of pixels belonging to cluster $C_i$.

If $C_i = C_j, i = 1, 2, ..., K$

Then stop. Else continue.

Fig 3: K-means clustering algorithm
Fig 6: FCM algorithm

1. Start
2. Give iterative threshold and initialize fuzzy partition matrix randomly $U^{(0)}$
3. Calculate the cluster center matrix $V$
4. Compute Euclidean distance
5. Update fuzzy partition matrix $U^{(s+1)}$
6. If $\|U^{(s+1)} - U^{(s)}\| \leq \epsilon$, output cluster result
7. $s = s + 1$

Randomly consider $K$ initial medoids $\{M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_k\}$ for the clusters $\{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_k\}$ from the $m \times n$ image pixels.

Pixel assignment to clusters is done using the condition given by:

$$D(I_i, M_j) < D(I_i, M_q), q = 1, 2, \ldots, K$$

$$j \neq q$$

Find new medoids $M'_i$ belonging to clusters $C_{i \rightarrow k}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, K$. It is the pixel value with minimum total dissimilarity to all other points.

If $M'_i = M_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, K$ Then stop. Else continue.

Fig 7: K-medoids Algorithm