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ABSTRACT

Background: Despite having better human development indicators, one-fifth of under-five children in Kerala are undernourished. The demographic, socio-economic, cultural and political transition in Kerala necessitates a revisit on the causes of undernutrition among children given that it tackled many of the immediate causes of undernutrition. The present study aims at the multi-dimensional factors' influence on nutritional status of children from low socio-economic strata in Kerala.

Methods/Design: The conceptual framework for the present study adapted the ecosocial theory by Nancy Krieger. We use an explanatory study design, by adopting both quantitative and qualitative methods. The sample size for the quantitative phase is 600 children of age six to 60 months and their mothers. The qualitative phase includes in-depth interviews with key informants. The data collection tools include weighing scale and stadiometer for taking anthropometric measurements, household survey questionnaire, interview schedule for mothers, interview guide for key informants, field diary and checklist for document analysis.

Discussion: Contextual evidence that the study generates will have implications at individual, service provision and policy perspectives of undernutrition among children in Kerala. It will help improve the nutrition-specific and nutrition-sensitive policies and programs in Kerala, which in turn will improve the nutritional status of the children.
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1. Background

Nutrition plays an important role in ensuring a child's survival and healthy growth to full potential in early childhood and productive adulthood. Nutrition imbalance can have both short and long-term repercussions on children reflected by growth failure, poor cognitive
development, frequent illnesses, poor school achievements etc. (United Nations Children's Fund, 2019).

According to the estimates of World Health Organization (WHO), around 5.2 million under-five children died in 2019; about 45% of those deaths were contributed by undernutrition directly or indirectly (WHO, 2020). Asia and Africa are the homes for most of the undernourished children of the world (United Nations Children’s Fund [UNICEF], WHO, & World Bank, 2019). The prevalence of stunting, underweight and wasting among under-five children in India is far beyond the respective trigger levels of 20%, 15% and 5% given by the WHO for any population (WHO, 2010).

According to the fourth National Family Health Survey (NFHS-4), conducted in 2015-16, the prevalence of stunting, underweight and wasting among Indian children were 35.4%, 35.7% and 21.0% respectively. Average annual reduction for all the three indicators since NFHS-3 (2005-06) was less than one per cent (International Institute for Population Sciences [IIPS] & Inner City Fund [ICF], 2017a). In addition to this high burden, there is high interstate and intrastate disparities throughout the country that the majority of the undernourished children belong to the six states out of 29 states and seven union territories of India. The names of the states are Orissa, Chhattisgarh, Jharkhand, Assam, Uttar Pradesh and Rajasthan (Black et al., 2013). Despite the time to time nutrition-specific and/or nutrition-sensitive interventions such as Integrated Child Development Services (ICDS), Public Distribution System (PDS) and Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme that run across the country to ensure nutrition, food insecurity and minimum income respectively, the quest of undernutrition among children in the country could not be resolved (Planning Commission, 2010).

In terms of human development indicators, Kerala a southern state of India performed exceptionally compared to others. In Kerala, literacy rate, sex ratio, life expectancy, infant mortality rate and maternal mortality ratio etc. are far ahead of other states of India, and comparable with developed countries (Anand & Sen, 2000; Devika, 2010). On the contrary, one in every five children in Kerala are undernourished and the annual average reduction of undernutrition is less than one percent during the last 25 years (IIPS & ICF, 2017b). According to the NFHS-4 (2015-2016), the prevalence of stunting, underweight and wasting among under-five children in Kerala was 19.7%, 16.1% and 15.7% respectively (IIPS & ICF, 2017b). Despite its achievements in stunting, the underweight and wasting among children are still higher than the WHO trigger levels (WHO, 2010). In Kerala, the poverty rate is less than 10 per cent and it might be reflecting in the reduced stunting levels (World Bank, 2017a). The alarmingly high levels of wasting (15.6%) among children is a paradox to the achievement in economic status of the people and hence it is important to address this contrasting scenario of undernutrition among children in Kerala.

Table 1 well describes the intrastate disparities in undernutrition. Rural/urban disparities are also evident; undernutrition among children from urban areas is higher than that among children from rural areas (IIPS & ICF, 2017b).
Table 1 District-wise undernutrition among under-five children in Kerala, NFHS-4.

| District       | Stunting (Urban, rural) | Wasting (Urban, rural) | Underweight (Urban, rural) |
|----------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Alappuzha      | 14.5 (12.8,16.4)        | 16.6 (13.7, 19.7)     | 17.2 (14.0, 20.6)         |
| Ernakulam      | 12.4 (11.1, 14.9)       | 15.9 (18.7, 10.1)     | 12.0 (12.8, 10.6)         |
| Idukki         | 15.1                    | 24.2                   | 14.8                      |
| Kannur         | 25.3 (28.9, 15.9)       | 10.2 (7.5, 17.1)      | 10.5 (8.5, 15.9)          |
| Kasaragod      | 18.7 (18.1, 19.0)       | 9.7 (9.1, 10.1)       | 13.9 (19.9, 9.9)          |
| Kollam         | 14.4 (7.3, 22.2)        | 18.8 (20.6, 16.8)     | 14.2 (12.4, 11.3)         |
| Kollam         | 22.0                    | 16.2                   | 11.3                      |
| Kozhikode      | 18.0 (19.3, 15.6)       | 13.5 (10.1, 19.9)     | 18.5 (18.5, 18.9)         |
| Malappuram     | 26.3 (24.1, 28.7)       | 22.3 (23.8, 20.7)     | 17.3 (17.8, 16.9)         |
| Palakkad       | 20.2                    | 10.3                   | 19.1                      |
| Pathanamthitta | 13.3                    | 14.4                   | 11.4                      |
| Thiruvananthapuram | 19.5 (24.3, 14.5) | 13.1 (11.5, 14.8)     | 21.6 (19.8, 23.3)         |
| Thrissur       | 20.8 (20.7, 21.0)       | 15.3 (15.2, 15.4)     | 14 (12.5, 16.9)           |
| Wayanad        | 27.7                    | 23.9                   | 27.2                      |
| Kerala         | 19.7 (19.8, 19.5)       | 15.7 (16.0, 15.5)     | 16.1 (15.5, 16.7)         |

Source: International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS) and Inner City Fund (ICF) International, 2017.

1.2 Multifaceted causes of undernutrition

The causes and effects of undernutrition are multifaceted. They are not mutually exclusive, instead closely linked to each other (Fenske, Burns, Hothorn, & Rehfuess, 2013; Birhanu, 2015; Nisbett, Gillespie, Haddad, & Harris, 2014; Wondimagegn, 2014). The three types of causes of undernutrition are immediate causes, underlying causes and basic causes according to the framework published by the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF) in 1990 (UNICEF, 2015). Researchers who studied various aspects of nutrition also tried to understand the phenomenon from multiple, interlinked points such as individual, household, community and policy levels (Chaturvedi et al., 2016; Kanter, Walls, Tak, Roberts, & Waage, 2015; United States Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee, 2010). The multiple dimensions of child nutrition identified through rigorous literature search are summarised in Table 2.

Studies across the world over the years have shown that the burden of undernutrition is skewed towards children from marginalized communities. Being children from rural areas and slums, poor economic strata, scheduled caste or tribal community etc. increase the vulnerability to be undernourished (Prabhakaran et al., 2013; Sabharwal, 2011; Van de Poel, Hosseinpoor, Speybroeck, Van Ourti, & Vega, 2008).
Table 2. Social, economic and political dimensions of nutritional status.

| Social dimension | Child characteristics | Economic dimension | Political dimension |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Family           | Age and sex of the child, birth weight, birth order, breastfeeding & weaning practices, immunization status, acute or chronic illness | Household          | Availability and access to political interventions |
| Parental         | Type of family, family size | Community          | Availability and access to food at the local level, price of food and non-food items |
| Social stratification | Place of residence (urban/rural coastal), religion, caste, experience of social isolation | |

The scenario of undernutrition among under-five children in Kerala is better compared to other states of the country since Kerala has tackled many of the immediate causes of undernutrition such as housing, access to safe water, hygiene and sanitation, immunization, mothers’ education and age at marriage, health and other infrastructure and so on (Parayil, 1996; Ramachandran, 2000). In addition to the above, the programmes such as Integrated Child Development Services (ICDS), Public Distribution System (PDS), and Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (MGNREGS) are operational in the state to improve the nutritional status of children, to ensure household food security and to alleviate poverty in rural areas (Kerala State Planning Board, 2020). Even with this favouring environment, the progress of eliminating undernutrition among under-five children is unacceptably slow. It points towards the lacunae in the present system. Nevertheless, Kerala is a rapidly urbanizing state with a huge social, cultural and economic transition in the last few years. The rural/urban demarcation is disappearing at a faster rate (George & Chattopadhyay, 2001). The state had the highest per capita income in the country and the highest consumption inequality as well (World Bank, 2017a) The poverty rate in rural areas of Kerala was 7.3% whereas in urban areas it was 15.3% (Kerala State Planning Board, 2019).

According to the World Bank’s social inclusion data, the poverty rates among scheduled caste and scheduled tribes in Kerala were 16% and 39%. These rates were three and six times higher compared to that of general population (World Bank, 2017b). The reports of higher prevalence of under-nutrition, and neonatal and infant deaths among tribal population of Kerala draws our attention to the social inequality, and inadequacy of the present system to address them (Philip et al., 2015; Prabhakaran et al., 2013; Sajithamohan & Arunachalam, 2018).

1.3 Objectives of the study

1. To study the effect of socio-demographic and economic status on the nutritional status of children aged 6 to 60 months in Kerala.

2. To understand how the household food security affects the nutritional status of children aged 6 to 60 months from poor socio-economic strata in Kerala.
3. To study the effect of social stratification on the nutritional status of children aged 6 to 60 months from poor socio-economic strata in Kerala.
   a. To study the effect of social position (place of residence, religion, caste) on nutritional status of children aged 6 to 60 months from poor socio-economic strata in Kerala.
   b. To understand the power structure within the community and its effect on the nutritional status of children aged 6 to 60 months from poor socio-economic strata in Kerala.
4. To understand the effect of policies on the nutritional status of children aged 6 to 60 months from poor socio-economic strata in Kerala.
   a. To understand how ICDS contributes to the nutritional status of children aged 6 to 60 months from poor socio-economic strata in Kerala.
   b. To study how the PDS contributed to household food security and its effect on the nutritional status of children aged 6 to 60 months from poor socio-economic strata in Kerala.
   c. To study how the employment generation programs (MGNREGS) contributed to the nutritional status of children aged 6 to 60 months from poor socio-economic strata in Kerala.

2. Methods/Design

2.1 Conceptual framework

The theoretical framework adopted for this study is the eco-social theory proposed by Nancy Krieger in 1994. This Eco-social theory is a multi-level theory, which addresses who and what drives the unequal distribution of health in the society from micro to macro levels of one’s life (Krieger, 2001, 2012). Since the present study attempts to explain the undernutrition among children from multiple levels, the eco-social theory provides a concrete basis to build further on the issue. The conceptual framework for the study is developed based on eco-social theory (see Figure 1).

Figure 1. Conceptual framework on social, economic and political dimensions of child nutrition.
2.2 Study type/design

The present study uses explanatory design. It attempts to explain the phenomenon of ‘undernutrition among children’ in Kerala. The study will use a multitude of methods, quantitative and qualitative data collection at a primary level along with the collection of secondary data to answer the research questions. There are three phases in the quantitative component of primary data collection. The first phase comprises a pilot study for validating tools. The second phase includes sample selection with a screening in order to select children aged 6 to 60 months belonging to low socio-economic strata, and finally the primary data collection phase. The qualitative phase includes in-depth interviews with key informants (listed in section 2.3) at the community and state levels including service providers, community representatives and political representatives.

2.3 Study setting

Kerala, India

2.4 Participants and sampling

Quantitative phase

The study looks into the role of ICDS, PDS and MGNREGS on the nutritional status of children aged 6 to 60 months. To achieve this, the study requires two groups for comparison: utilizers and non-utilizers. ICDS is the direct and the most studied intervention concerning the nutritional status of children. The targeted beneficiaries of ICDS are children belonging to zero to 72 months of age, pregnant women and lactating mothers. However, they (parents in case of children) have an option to opt-in or opt-out for availing the services including supplementary nutrition. In the present study, children of age 6-60 months, who avail the services specifically supplementary nutrition, will be included as the utilizers and those who do not avail services will be included as the non-utilizers. The difference in nutritional status between ICDS utilizers and non-utilizers was not reported from Kerala. Hence, a study from Uttar Pradesh was considered for estimating the sample size for the present study.

The formula for calculating sample size in studies that compares two proportions is given below.

\[ n = \frac{P_1(1-P_1) + P_2(1-P_2)}{(P_1 - P_2)^2} \times Cp \]

Where \( n \) = Sample size, \( P_1 \) = Proportion of the event in Group 1, \( P_2 \) = Proportion of the event in Group 2, \( Cp \) = Critical Value of 80% Power at 95% confidence limits (Le, 2003; Pandis, 2012).

The prevalence of wasting among ICDS utilizers and non-utilizers was 12.9% and 22.6% respectively in a cross-sectional study conducted among 3-6 years old children in Uttar Pradesh (Pandey, Awasthi, Srivastava, Nigam, & Srivastava, 2011). The study could find a significant difference with a sample size of 350. Considering this prevalence difference of 9.7% along with an assumed power of 80%, the sample size was 488. With an assumed non-response rate of 20% in total, the final sample size is 585.6, rounded to 600. For the study, 200 children and their mothers will be approached from each district.

Multi-stage sampling is planned for selecting participants for the survey. Three out of fourteen districts in Kerala are selected based on the prevalence of wasting reported by the NFHS-4. The 14 districts were categorized into three as follows: 1) districts with prevalence of wasting <=10.0 per cent (Kasaragod), 2) prevalence of wasting 10.1-20 per cent (Kannur, Kozhikode, Palakkad, Pathanamthitta, Thiruvananthapuram, Alappuzha, Ernakulum, Kollam,
Kottayam, Thrissur) and 3) prevalence of wasting >20.0% (Idukki, Wayanad and Malappuram) (IIPS & ICF, 2017b). One district from each of these categories is selected. It includes Kasaragod, Thiruvananthapuram and Idukki districts. These districts represent the northern, southern and central parts of Kerala, and thus provide a better representation of the state enhancing the generalizability of study findings. Further sampling is employed within each district. The sampling strategy planned in Idukki district is presented in Figure 2 and the same is applied in other two districts.

At the level of Anganwadi Centre (AWC) (community centres under ICDS to provide the services) a screening will be done to identify children belonging to low socio-economic strata. Children from historically disadvantaged sections of the society such as Dalit, tribal and fishing communities; those belonging to households having Antyodaya Anna Yojana (AAY) or Priority Household (PH) ration card holders (Department Food and Civil Supplies, 2018), and those from households where the primary income earner is a female/physically or mentally disabled person/elderly (above 60 years of age)/a teenager less than 22 years of age; and children of wage labourers in unorganized sectors will be included into the study without further scrutiny. Others will be included after asking 10 questions based on possession of various assets at the household. Children from households that do not possess any of the items will be included in the study.

Further, ICDS utilizers and non-utilizers among these children will be selected by stratified random sampling. Children who were too sick to take measurements at the time of data collection, those who were differently abled or with congenital disorders (children with autism or cerebral palsy), and children of mothers who were not in a physical or mental stability to provide information about the children were not selected.

Figure 2. Plan of multistage random sampling for the cross-sectional survey.

Qualitative phase

The qualitative phase includes in-depth interviews with key informants such as representatives of community organizations (religious leaders, representatives of self-help groups), service providers at local, block and district levels (Anganwadi workers, ICDS supervisors, PDS providers, MGNREGS administrators and policymakers (Local Self
Government Institution-LSGI members, Members of Legislative Assembly (MLAs), Members of Parliament (MPs) serving the selected study areas. Participants who consent either verbally or on written consent form to participate in the study will be approached after taking prior appointments.

Secondary Data
The secondary data includes relevant documents such as National Food Security Act (NFSA, 2013), NFSA State Rules of Kerala (2018), ICDS Manual for district-level functionaries (2017), Legislative Assembly questions asked by MLAs in Kerala. In addition, two analyses were done using the first and the second India Human Development Survey (IHDS) data (Jayalakshmi & Kannan, 2019a, 2019b).

2.5 Data collection
The study will use multiple tools for data collection including household survey questionnaire, interview schedule for mothers, interview guide for key informants, checklist for analyzing documents and field diary. In addition, anthropometric measurements of children and their mothers will be taken. The weight and height measured using the standardized instruments such as SECA 813 electronic weighing scale and SECA 213 portable stadiometer. For children up to the age of 18 months, an infantometer (NET WSO25) manufactured by Narang Medical limited for clinical purpose will be used to measure the length after calibration with SECA 201 non-elastic measuring tape. The procedure for taking measurements will strictly follow the WHO guidelines (WHO, 1995). Two measurements will be taken for each with a three minutes’ interval. The height and weight measurements will be compared with the z-scores of the reference population given by the WHO (WHO, 2008).

The tools developed are based on thorough and comprehensive literature review. Household-level tools will be piloted among 15-30 potential participants. These mothers and children will become the study participants of the main study if they meet the screening criteria.

2.6 Variables and data sources
The specific dependent variable of the study is nutritional status of the child in terms of stunting, underweight and wasting among children. The independent variables identified through rigorous literature review are listed in Table 2.

2.7 Data analysis
Primary data on quantitative and qualitative methods to be analyzed separately as follows. Quantitative data will be analyzed for understanding the prevalence of undernutrition in terms of stunting, underweight and wasting. Further, bivariate and multivariate analysis will be done to find out how the different factors under social, economic and political dimensions is associated with undernutrition among children in the study. Thematic analysis will be used for qualitative data. Content analysis of relevant secondary data will be done. The integration of the findings may be done in the discussion as required.

2.8 Strengths of the study
The nutritional status of children in Kerala is often overlooked under the notion of ‘model development’. This study will reveal the status of the same in the state and untangle the
causes too through a comprehensive approach to understand factors playing at multiple levels.

2.9 Limitations of the study
The findings may not be generalizable to other states in India as they considerably differ from Kerala on various aspects. However, assuming that they are also facing a similar developmental transition in the present time, results may be generalised.

2.10 Ethical considerations
The informed consent process is in confirmation with the Ethics Committee requirements. Mothers’ consent will be taken to include their children into the study. Privacy and confidentiality of all participants will be ensured through masking the identifiers throughout the study. Principal investigator will have the sole responsibility of safekeeping the data. The participant information sheet will be provided with the contact details of principal investigator for any clarifications. Utmost care will be taken to ensure comfort of all participants during the data collection process.

3. Discussion
As described in the background, the context of Kerala is different from many other states in India, especially in terms of development. Therefore, it is important to consider these contextual differences while intervening to address the problem of undernutrition among children.

The study will critically look into the developmental scenario in Kerala and its effects on the nutritional status of children. It will reveal the gaps in the present system to address undernutrition among children. These results will have implications at individual, service provision and policy levels. The gaps identified will serve as the evidence for reforming the present system in a way that suits the social, cultural and developmental environment of Kerala.
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