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Study of snake bite cases admitted in tertiary care hospital in Nagpur
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ABSTRACT

Background: In developing countries major portion of individuals bitten by snakes first consult traditional practitioners before visiting a medical centre and resulting delay in transportation leads to mortality. Present study planned to study clinical features and epidemiological factors in snake bite cases.

Methods: Hospital based cross sectional study was planned including all snake bite cases admitted during study period. Epi Info 7 used for analysis.

Results: Out of 156 snake bite patients, 66.04% subjects were from month of July to September (mean age 37.78 years). About 30.77% of study subjects sought traditional healer for medical care, which was found to be significant when compared with not seeking treatment (Chi square =4.74, df =1, P =0.029). Maximum study subject i.e. 52 (33.33%) received ASV within 1 hour. Only 85 (54.49%) subjects reached the tertiary care hospital within 1- 6 hours following the bite. Mortality was higher in urban area as compared to rural area (P =0.104). Death was higher who had bites on upper limb (11.67%) as compared to bite on lowers limb (2.08%) (P =0.012). Proportion death was higher among those who did not received first Aid measures or took herbal medicine (P =0.022). Mortality was significantly higher in study subjects with complications such as cellulitis and DIC (P =0.006).

Conclusions: Mortality among those who received first aid before coming to the hospital was lower (5.56%). Mortality was higher (6.25%) in cases where time interval between bite and initiation of treatment was more than 6 hours.
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INTRODUCTION

Worldwide, Snakebite is an important and preventable health hazard in many tropical and subtropical countries. Globally, 421,000 envenomings and 20,000 deaths occur each year due to snake bite.1 It is estimated that on average, nearly 200000 are bitten by snakes every year in India out of which 35,000-50,000 die annually and most of them were earning members of family (WHO Bulletin 2012).2 Its incidence India is usually underestimated because of lack of epidemiological data.3 According to a new analysis, Snake bites cases are recently included in list of neglected tropical diseases drawn up by the World Health Organization and it could be the most neglected of all tropical diseases in the 21st century.4 The majority of snakebites and consequent mortality is attributed to only 4 species in India, which includes King /Common Cobra (Naja naja), Russell’s Viper (Vipera russelli), Common Krait (Bungarus caeruleus), and Saw Scaled Viper (Echis carinata).5 Viper bites are more common than other
poisonous snakebites in human beings. The peak incidence of snakebite cases is reported during the paddy sowing and harvesting periods. Use of ineffective first-aid treatment and delay in getting antivenom combined leads to systemic envenoming by the time they seek medical treatment. It has been reported that in most developing countries major portion of the individuals bitten by snakes first consult traditional practitioners before visiting a medical centre and resulting delay in transportation leads to mortality. To substantiate the existing epidemiological gaps in snake bite cases, the present study was planned, which will help to adopt adequate preventive and control measures. Aim of study were to assess the clinical profile and outcome of snake bite cases admitted in tertiary care hospital in Nagpur and to study some epidemiological factors related to snake bite cases.

METHODS

A hospital based observation study was planned at tertiary care hospital in Nagpur after approval from the Institutional Ethics Committee was sought. All snake bite cases admitted in Government Medical College and Hospital, Nagpur from April to December 2014 were included in the study whereas Patients not willing to participate in the study were excluded. A pilot study was carried out on 50 cases of snake bites to test the Proforma. Government medical college and hospital (GMCH) Nagpur is tertiary care health centre in central India, catering services to Vidharbh region of Maharashtra, parts of Madhya Pradesh and Chhattisgarh. As per Government of Maharashtra, all snakebite cases are classified as medico-legal cases and should be admitted in emergency department. A total of 156 confirmed cases of snake bites were admitted in GMCH during the study period. All patients of snake bite were admitted to intensive care unit and were monitored for at least 24 hours. Details history of snake bite was obtained from study subjects, their relatives and from case papers in predesigned proforma. Information on socio-demographic factors, clinical manifestations, health care seeking behavior, first aid taken, complications if any and outcome were collected. Diagnosis of envenomation is based on clinical manifestation. Venomous bites were defined by the presence of signs and symptoms of local and/or systemic toxicity. Local toxicity is defined as presence of a local reaction in the form of swelling, bleeding from fang marks and cellulites, or necrosis. Signs and symptoms of systemic toxicity are either neuroparalytic or haemostatic abnormalities such as bleeding from muco-cutaneous sites, any systemic bleeding, intravascular haemolysis, or a deranged laboratory coagulation profile anytime during hospital stay. A neuroparalytic syndrome included sensory or motor paralysis in the form of paresthesias, taste and smell abnormalities, ptosis, cranial nerve palsy, general flaccidity, or respiratory paralysis. Recovery from envenomation is defined by the resolution of local and systemic signs clinically and/or on laboratory investigations. Outcome measures are recorded as “Relieved” if there is relief of symptoms but patient didn’t get cured fully, “Cured” if the patient became free from symptoms and complications, “Expired” if cases died in due course of treatment and “LAMA” if cases left against medical advice. Data was analyzed using statistical software Epi Info 7. Association between two categorical variables was analyzed by using Chi-square test. P value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

A total 156 study subjects were admitted in hospital, 66.04% snake bite cases out of it were in the month of July to September, which corresponds to rainy season. There were 100 (64.10%) male and 56 (35.90%) female study subjects.

Table 1: Age and gender wise distribution of study subjects.

| Age group (years) | Study Subjects |   |   |   |
|------------------|----------------|---|---|---|
|                  | Male           | Female | Total |
|                  | Number         | Percentage | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |
| 11-20            | 07             | 07.00      | 06     | 10.71       | 13     | 08.33      |
| 21-30            | 33             | 33.00      | 12     | 21.43       | 45     | 28.84      |
| 31-40            | 22             | 22.00      | 17     | 30.37       | 39     | 25.00      |
| 41-50            | 19             | 19.00      | 13     | 23.21       | 32     | 20.52      |
| 51-60            | 14             | 14.00      | 05     | 08.93       | 19     | 12.18      |
| >60              | 05             | 5.00       | 03     | 05.35       | 08     | 05.13      |
| Total            | 100            | 100.00     | 56     | 100.00      | 156    | 100.00     |

Mean age ± SD (Range) years; Total: 37.78 ± 14 (12 – 72); Male: 37.68 ± 13.68 (12-72); Female: 37.87 ± 13.45 (12 - 72).

Table 1 depicts mean age of the snake bite case was 37.78 years (SD 14, 12-72). Maximum subjects (28.84%) were in 21-30 age groups. Majority of study subjects (30.77%) were educated up to primary school or functional literate and whereas 26 (16.67%) study subjects were illiterate.

As shown in Table 2, majority of subjects were unskilled
85 (54.49%), followed by clerk, shop owner, farm owner 36 (23.08%). Majority of them were engaged in agricultural work. Maximum study subject belonged to upper lower/IV class (43.60%) followed by lower middle/III class (39.10%) and upper middle/II class (12.84%). Only 06 (3.84%) study subject belonged to upper/I class. 1 (0.64%) belonged to lower/V class. It was observed that 91 (58.33%) subjects had bite in daytime compared to 65 (41.67%) subjects had bites during the night time. Majority of the bites 75 (48.08%) occurred while working in the field, followed by 50 (32.04%) of the bites which occurred while walking in the field where as 16 (10.26) of the bites occurred during sleep. Lower limb was observed most common site of bites, 96 (61.54%) study subjects and in 55 (35.26%) study subjects snake bites on upper limb.

### Table 2: Distribution of study subjects by occupational status.

| Occupational status                   | Study subjects (n=156) |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
|                                       | Number | Percentage |
| Profession                            | 00      | 0.00        |
| Semi Profession                       | 00      | 0.00        |
| Clerk, Shop Owner, Farm Owner         | 36      | 23.08       |
| Skilled Worker                        | 03      | 01.92       |
| Semi-Skilled Worker                   | 10      | 06.41       |
| Unskilled                             | 85      | 54.49       |
| Unemployed                            | 02      | 01.28       |
| Student                               | 09      | 05.77       |
| Homemaker                             | 11      | 07.05       |

### Table 3: Distribution of study subjects according to the clinical manifestations at time of admission.

| Clinical manifestations | Symptoms                  | No. | %    |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----|------|
| General manifestation   | Pain                      | 153 | 98.08|
|                         | Swelling                  | 101 | 64.74|
|                         | Local rise of temperature | 101 | 64.74|
|                         | Nausea/Vomiting           | 49  | 31.41|
|                         | Blisters                  | 32  | 20.51|
|                         | Lymphadenopathy           | 21  | 13.46|
|                         | Discoloration             | 18  | 11.54|
|                         | Ulceration                | 11  | 07.05|
| Neurological manifestation| Ptosis                    | 41  | 77.36|
|                         | Respiratory paralysis     | 30  | 56.60|
|                         | Ophthalomoplegia          | 29  | 54.72|
|                         | Bulbar weakness           | 22  | 41.51|
|                         | Paralysis of limbs        | 17  | 32.08|
|                         | Loss of consciousness     | 14  | 26.42|
| Hematological manifestation| Bleeding from site of bite| 87  | 97.75|
|                         | Cellulitis                | 82  | 92.13|
|                         | Haematuria                | 32  | 35.96|
|                         | Ecchymosis                | 29  | 32.58|
|                         | Epistaxis                 | 17  | 19.10|
|                         | Haemoptysis               | 12  | 13.48|
|                         | Gastrointestinal bleeding | 03  | 3.37 |

Table 3 showed, pain (98.08%) was the most common manifestation among cases, followed by swelling and local rise of temperature (64.74%), while neurological manifestations was in 33.97% (ptosis, respiratory paralysis, ophthalomoplegia, bulbar weakness, paralysis of limbs, loss of consciousness) and haematological manifestation was 55.13% (bleeding from site, cellulites, haematuria, ecchymosis, haemoptysis). In present study, fang marks were present in 149 (95.51%) of the subjects. Signs of envenomation were seen in 142 (92.03%) subjects, of which 117 (75%) subjects had systemic signs of envenomation and 25 (16.03%) subjects had local signs of envenomation. Only 14 (08.97%) subject had no signs of envenomation. Out of 156 study subjects 106 (67.95%) subjects used some first aid measure immediately following the bite and amongst it 84 (53.85%) subjects applied tourniquet. About 48 (30.77%) study subjects had sought a traditional healer before taking any type of definite medical care and which was found to be significant when compared with not seeking treatment from traditional healer (Chi square =4.74, df =1, P =0.029).

Table 4 showed maximum study subject 52(33.33%) received ASV within 1 hour following bite at all health institute and 59 (37.83%) study subject received ASV between 1-6 hours following bits whereas only 16 (10.26%) study subjects did not received ASV.
Table 4: Distribution of study subjects depending on the earliest time antisnake venom received and health care facility.

| ASV received (in hours) | Total | No. of study subjects (n=156) | % |
|------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|---|
| <1                     | 52    | 33.33                       |
| 1-6                    | 59    | 37.83                       |
| 7-12                   | 12    | 07.69                       |
| 13-18                  | 07    | 04.49                       |
| 19-24                  | 05    | 03.20                       |
| >25                    | 05    | 03.20                       |
| Not received           | 16    | 10.26                       |

Table 5: Distribution of study subjects depending on the time taken to reach the tertiary care following the bite.

| Time taken (in hours) | Study Subjects | Number | % |
|-----------------------|----------------|--------|---|
| <1                    |                | 19     | 12.18 |
| 1-6                   |                | 85     | 54.49 |
| 7-12                  |                | 26     | 16.67 |
| 13-18                 |                | 11     | 07.04 |
| 19-24                 |                | 08     | 05.13 |
| >25                   |                | 07     | 04.49 |
| Total                 |                | 156    | 100.00 |

Table 6: Outcome of the study subjects with place of residence.

| Place of Residence | Died n (%) | Relieved/cured /lame n (%) | Total (%) |
|--------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Rural              | 07(04.79)  | 139 (95.21)                 | 146 (100.00) |
| Urban              | 02(20.00)  | 8 (80.00)                   | 10 (100.00)  |
| Total              | 09(05.77)  | 147(94.23)                  | 156 (100.00) |

Table 7: Outcome of the study subjects with the type first aid measured received.

| First Aid Measure | Died n (%) | Relieved/ cured/ lame n (%) | Total (%) |
|-------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Not received/ Herbal medicine | 06(12.00) | 44 (88.00) | 50 (100.00) |
| Received           | 03(02.83)  | 103 (97.17)                | 106 (100.00) |
| Total              | 09(05.77)  | 147(94.23)                 | 156 (100.00) |

Table 8: Outcome (mortality of study subjects by the nature of complications developed following the bite.

| Complication                   | Study Subjects (n=112*) | Mortality (n=9*) | p value |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Cellulites/ Wound infection    | 75                      | 2               | 0.006   |
| Renal failure                  | 39                      | 5               | 0.27    |
| Respiratory paralysis          | 33                      | 4               | 0.44    |
| DIC                            | 04                      | 2               | 0.03    |
| Sepsis                         | 03                      | 1               | 0.22    |
| Gangrene at site of bite       | 02                      | 0               | -       |

Table 5 showed that majority of the subjects 85 (54.49%) reached the tertiary care hospital within 1-6 hours following the bite where proper dose, appropriate treatment and treatment of complication was done. There were 26 study subject sought treatment after 12 hours and amongst those the common reasons pursued were ignorance 10 (48.46%) on part of the study subjects and not perceived sense of danger 3 (11.54%). In about 13 (50%) subjects the reason for delay was time lost in between health care settings. Out of 156 subjects 112 subjects develop complication. Wound infection/ cellulites was seen in 75 (95% CI 58 – 76) subjects and was common complication to develop, followed by renal failure in 39 (95% CI 26 – 43), respiratory paralysis in 33 (95% CI 20 - 37), DIC in 4 (95% CI 00 - 08), sepsis in 3 (95% CI 00 - 06) and Gangrene at site of bite in 2 (95% CI 00 - 04) study subjects. In present study 71 (45.51%) study subjects were relieved and 75 (48.08%) were cured. Total 9 (5.77%) subjects died during treatment and 1 (0.64%) subject lost against medical advice (LAMA).

Table 6 showed outcome of the study subjects depending on place residence and it was observed that proportion of study subjects who died was higher in urban area as compared to rural area; however this difference was not statistically significant. (P=0.104).Proportion of study subjects died was higher among those who had bitten on upper limb or other part (11.67%) as compared to bite on lower limb (2.08). This difference of proportion was found to be statistically significant ($X^2 = 6.237$, df =1, $P =0.012$).
Table 7 shows outcome of the study subjects depending on First aid measure received. Proportion of study subject who died was higher among those who did not receive first Aid measures or took herbal medicine as compared to those who received first Aid measures. This difference was found to be statistically significant (P =0.022).

Table 8 showed mortality was significantly higher among study subjects with complications as cellulitides and DIC (P =0.006; P =0.03) as compared to other complications in subjects.

**DISCUSSION**

Mean age of the present study subjects was 37.78±14 years in, which were similar to the findings of Mahmood et al, Rahman et al, Sharma et al Kalantri et al.\(^ {10-13}\) Maximum study subjects were 53.84%, predominantly the younger population was involved (20-40 years of age), probably due to their more ambulant nature which was similar to earlier reported.\(^ {14,15}\) In present study e majority of snake bite cases (66.04%) occurred during month of July to September, which was similar to findings of Sharma et al, Sharma et al, Kalantri et al, Kshirsagar et al.\(^ {16,19}\) In present study, maximum study subject i.e. 68 (43.60%) belonged to upper lower/IV class followed by 61 (39.10%) belonging to lower middle/III class, suggesting that the people with lower socio economic status tend to be involved in occupation that is at high risk for snake bites. Majority of study subject were from rural population 146 (93.59) whereas 10 (6.41%) were urban population. Out of urban study subject majority were from periurban area. Present study showed majority of the bites (58.33%) were seen during the day time, which was different from a study done by Rahman et al where majority of the cases (36%) where seen during the night. Present study revealed that in 75 (48.08%) study subjects had bite while working in the field, followed by 50 (32.04%) study subjects had bite while walking in the field where as in 16 (10.26) study subjects bite occurred during sleeping which was similar with the results of studies by Sharma SK et al and Rahman et al. Sharma et al reported higher percentage of bite cases (60.6%) during sleep.

Most of study subjects were bitten on the lower limbs (61.54%) and mostly in the field during the day time 58.33% which was similar with the findings of Sharma et al, Bawaskar et al. Majority (73%) of study subjects in present study had signs of envenomation which were similar to the results of studies done by Singh et al and Tan et al.\(^ {20}\) Logaraj et al and Inamdar et al reported lesser incidence of envenomation.\(^ {21}\) Most of the snakebites cases in present study were of haematotoxic (55.13%) and neuroparalytic (33.97%) cases. Among the haematotoxic snake bites, bleeding from the site of the bite was the main manifestation, followed by cellulitis, haematuria and ecchymosis, which were similar to that which was observed in studies which were done in Sharma et al.\(^ {22}\) Traditional treatment was taken by 30.77% study subjects in present study which was similar with findings of Sharma et al, while Sloan et al showed 80% study subjects sought traditional treatment following a bite.\(^ {23}\) In present study majority of the study subjects (69.23%) consulted peripheral health institution first which was similar to the results of a study done by Sharma et al which reported 49% and Rahman et al in Bangladesh showed 86% of cases sought a snake charmer first. In present study 45.51% study subjects had reached hospital after a delay of 6 hours which had contributed to a substantial increase in the morbidity and the mortality. Mahmood et al and Sharma et al reported 61%, 75% of ARF cases after snake bite respectively whereas present study observed 29.46% ARF after snake bite. Death after snake bite in present study was 5.77%. A variation 3%–10% in death number after snake bite were reported in various studies which were conducted by Kulkarni et al, Sharma et al, Inamdar et al and Hati et al.\(^ {24,25}\) The high mortality rate in India has been attributed to the geographical factors and a predominantly rural population that was dependent on agriculture as an occupation.

**CONCLUSION**

Common age group was between 21–40 years involved in Snake bite (53.84%). Less number of study subject died (5.56%) who received first aid before coming to the hospital than among those who did not receive first aid (6.25%). Death number is more in study subjects who had history of bite had upper limb (11.67%) than in history of bite in lower limbs (2.08%). Snake bite was seen more among rural adult male agricultural laborers and farmers during the period of rainy season from July to September.

**Recommendations**

Need for a community based epidemiological study to identify the actual magnitude of the problem present in this region. There is an urgent need to educate the rural population about the hazards and the treatment of snake bite.

**Limitations**

Being a hospital based study; it leads to underestimation of the exact number of cases occurring in the community. Study has limited generalisability.
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