The incidence of melanoma is one of the fastest growing of all tumor types in the United States and the number of cases worldwide has doubled in the past 30 years. Melanoma, which arises from melanocytes, is an extremely aggressive tumor that invades the vascular and lymphatic systems to establish tumors elsewhere in the body. Melanoma is a particularly resilient cancer.
and systemic therapy approaches have achieved minimal success against metastatic melanoma resulting in only a few FDA-approved treatments with limited benefit. Leading treatments offer minimal efficacy with response rates generally under 15% in the long term with no clear effect on melanoma-related mortality. Even the recent success of the specific BRAF mutant inhibitor vemurafenib has been tempered somewhat since acquired resistance is rapidly observed. Thus, understanding the mechanism(s) of melanoma carcinogenesis is paramount to combating this deadly disease. Not only for the treatment of melanoma but, ultimately, for prevention. In this report, we will summarize our work to date regarding the characterization of ultraviolet radiation (UVR)-mediated melanomagenesis and highlight several promising avenues of ongoing research.
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Abstract
The incidence of melanoma is one of the fastest growing of all tumor types in the United States and the number of cases worldwide has doubled in the past 30 years. Melanoma, which arises from melanocytes, is an extremely aggressive tumor that invades the vascular and lymphatic systems to establish tumors elsewhere in the body. Melanoma is a particularly resilient cancer and systemic therapy approaches have achieved minimal success against metastatic melanoma resulting in only a few FDA-approved treatments with limited benefit. Leading treatments offer minimal efficacy with response rates generally under 15% in the long term with no clear effect on melanoma-related mortality. Even the recent success of the specific BRAF mutant inhibitor vemurafenib has been tempered somewhat since acquired resistance is rapidly observed. Thus, understanding the mechanism(s) of melanoma carcinogenesis is paramount to combating this deadly disease. Not only for the treatment of melanoma but, ultimately, for prevention. In this report, we will summarize our work to date regarding the characterization of ultraviolet radiation (UVR)-mediated melanomagenesis and highlight several promising avenues of ongoing research.
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1. Introduction

Melanocytes are essential to protecting the skin from the harmful effects of UV radiation. Paradoxically, melanocytes are the precursors of the most deadly form of skin cancer, melanoma [1]. Melanoma is the eighth most common U.S. malignancy, and the incidence is rising. In 1935, the lifetime risk of melanoma was 1 in 1500. Americans now have a greater than 1 in 50 chance of developing malignant melanoma. Data from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program indicate that the incidence of melanoma is one of the fastest growing tumor types in the United States and the number of cases has doubled in the past 30 years [1,2]. SEER also suggests that melanoma incidence increases with age and altered patterns in men and women. Melanoma is an extremely aggressive tumor and highly resistant to current therapies [3]. If melanoma is detected early, before the tumor becomes invasive, it can be cured through surgical resection. Unfortunately, melanoma lesions can remain unidentifiable or asymptomatic for long periods of time [3]. Melanoma is a particularly resilient and aggressive cancer, accounting for only 4% of all skin cancers but responsible for 80% of skin cancer deaths [4]. Further, only 14% of patients with metastatic melanoma survive for 5 years [4]. Therefore, understanding the etiology of this disease is paramount.

Several epidemiological studies have investigated melanoma risk factors. These factors include family history of melanoma, number of dysplastic nevi, age, skin type and, of course, UV exposure [2,5]. Evidence for the role of UVR in melanoma etiology is abundant. Fair-skinned people, particularly with blond or red hair that burn easily, have a higher risk of melanoma [6]. Further, the incidence of melanoma among the white population correlates with location. The prime example is Australia, which has the world’s highest melanoma incidence rate due to its subtropical climate with a largely Celtic population [6]. Counterintuitively, sporadic UV-B exposure and not cumulative UV exposure is a significant risk factor for melanoma. In particular, intense, intermittent exposure and blistering sunburns early in childhood and adolescence are associated with increased risk [2]. However, the underlying mechanism(s) for this apparent dichotomy have not been elucidated.

Mechanisms underlying UV-mediated skin cancer have been the focus of intense research over the last 45 years or so ever since the seminal observation by Jim Cleaver and colleagues that people with the disease xeroderma pigmentosum develop fatal UV-mediated skin cancers (both non-melanoma and melanoma) due to defective DNA repair [7]. Our lab has been among those investigating UV-mediated carcinogenesis over the last few decades, with a particular interest in melanoma. Here we review a swatch of our published research, present novel findings and discuss the ongoing elucidation of mechanisms underlying UV-mediated melanomagenesis.

1.1. RelA, p50 and Inhibitor of kappa B alpha are elevated in melanoma and respond aberrantly to UV-B

Our journey into the field of UV-B carcinogenesis began with our interest in nuclear factor kappa B (NFκB), which is known to play a vital role in the control of apoptosis [8]. NFκB activation can be both pro- and anti-apoptotic in various cell types [8,9]. There are five mammalian NFκB/Rel family members, p50, p52, RelA, RelB and cRel that all share a highly conserved domain responsible for dimerization, nuclear localization and DNA binding [8–11]. These proteins can form both homo- and heterodimers which yields differential induction of genes at NFκB binding sites in the promoter regions of a wide variety of genes [12]. Several studies have shown NFκB transcription factors are associated with the genesis of several cancers including colon, breast and ovarian [13,14].

Since all cancers must find a way to inhibit apoptosis our lab focused on NFκB regulation in normal melanocytes and melanoma. In 1999 we reported that NFκB expression and binding is altered in melanoma compared to normal melanocytes [15]. Since UV-B is one environmental stress that can activate NFκB signaling, we next investigated the effect of UV-B irradiation on the regulation of the NFκB signaling pathway in human melanocytes and metastatic melanoma cell lines. In 2001, we reported that melanoma cell lines had higher nuclear levels of the NFκB subunits p50 and RelA as compared to normal human melanocytes [16]. The increase in protein expression reported was 7-fold for p50 and 5–10-fold for RelA [16]. This report also demonstrated that melanoma cells had higher cytoplasmic expression of RelA, p50 and of the inhibitor of kappa B alpha (IκBα) than melanocytes [16]. Furthermore, we demonstrated that the response of p50 and IκBα protein levels to UV-B was dysregulated in melanoma compared to melanocytes. In melanocytes, UV-B exposure results in increased expression levels while, surprisingly, the levels of p50 and RelA decrease in response to UV-B in melanoma. Subsequently, we showed that inhibition of RelA via antisense RelA phosphorothioate oligonucleotides reduced melanoma viability [16]. Thus, we concluded that constitutive activation of NFκB in melanoma cell cultures may be a therapeutically attractive target. Importantly, our recognition that UV-mediated signaling is dysfunctional led us to examine potential mechanisms for why/when UV-mediated responses begin to alter and to re-focus our investigations to early events in melanomagenesis.

1.2. Melanin as a pro-oxidant

Melanin, the pigment produced in melanocytes, infuses color into all our skin and is responsible for protecting us from solar radiation. In normal melanocytes, melanin particles are generated in specialized organelles, termed melanosomes, by tyrosinase through successive oxidation of tyrosine [17]. These melanosomes can also be transported to adjacent keratinocytes and accumulate in the perinuclear space of keratinocytes and melanocytes as UV-protective “caps” shielding cellular DNA [18]. The effect of melanin here is two-pronged, acting as an absorbent filter of UV rays and a physical barrier that scatters UV rays [18]. Melanin has also been shown to function as a free radical scavenger and superoxide dismutase in the reduction of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [18]. However, if the melanosome is synthesized abnormally or its structure is disrupted (which can occur for a multitude of reasons) free melanin can be released from its “solid state” into the cytoplasm and function as a pro-oxidant. This topic is very complex (see [18–20]). Paradoxically, generation of melanin is well accepted as a source of ROS and oxidative stress in melanoma [21,22]. In fact, melanosomes in melanoma are poorly formed, with abnormal membranes and granulized melanin [23–25]. These irregularities allow release of ROS from the melanosomes into the cytosol [26,27]. Our lab and others have demonstrated that transformed melanocytes contain elevated levels of free radicals and ROS [27–30]. Further, our lab extensively characterized the role of redox-responsive signaling pathways in melanoma; including NFκB (outlined above) and APE/Ref1 which are both markedly elevated in melanoma [15,16,31,32]. About that time a very interesting paper demonstrated abnormal melanin synthesis in dysplastic nevi; a precursor to melanoma in some cases [33]. At the same time, our lab and others had postulated that this abnormal regulation of melanin results in a pro-oxidant activity for melanin [31,34]. In 2008, our lab provided a plausible mechanism for the basis of melanin ‘switching’ from its natural anti-oxidant state to the dysfunctional pro-oxidant form; the culprits...UV-B and metal ions [34]. In this report, we demonstrated that UV-B exposure causes morphological changes and bleaching of the melanosome. Both of these effects were dramatically increased with
co-treatment of the metal ions Cu(II) or Cd(II). Furthermore, we directly showed that UV-B + Cu(II) treatment caused bleaching of melanocytes through increased generation of hydroxyl radical [34]. Thus, the course of our lab turned toward prevention of melanoma as we sought to understand better the causal relationship between UV-B, metal ions and initiation of melanoma.

1.3. The melanoma metal ion hypothesis; not just a ‘hip’ new theory

Perhaps the most striking epidemiological studies of disease where melanoma popped up unexpectedly, was in long-term follow up studies of patients with hip replacements [35–37]. No increase of cancers (including melanoma) was observed in patients who had a metal-on-plastic hip replacement. In contrast, patients who received metal-on-metal hip replacements showed a significant increase in risk for 3 cancers, most notably melanoma [35]. Melanoma risk was increased 23% while both prostate and kidney cancer risk increased by 13% [35]. A large meta-analysis examining articles over a 38 year period ending in 2004 confirmed an increase in melanoma risk for metal-on-metal hip replacement patients [36]. Furthermore, a subsequent study of the large Nordic inpatient registry also confirmed these findings [37]. Studies focused on potential causes for this association and revealed that serum from these patients contained 5–10 times the normal levels of hexavalent chromium (Cr\(^{6+}\)) and divalent Cobalt (Co\(^{2+}\)) in the first 2 years after hip replacement and that levels of these metal ions remain 2–3-fold elevated indefinitely. These increases in circulating metal ions were not observed in patients who had received metal-on-plastic hip replacements [38].

If hexavalent chromium sounds familiar that is most likely because you are a Julia Roberts fan and have seen ‘Erin Brockovich’. That movie was, of course, based on the infamous case of a town in California having an incredibly high cancer incidence rate which was attributed to their drinking water containing unsafe levels of Cr\(^{6+}\). Or it could be because Cr\(^{6+}\) is recognized as a carcinogen by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). Therefore, it is plausible that Cr\(^{6+}\) is the driving force behind the observed melanomas in metal-on-metal hip replacement patients. We have observed in the laboratory that the Cr\(^{6+}\)-treated (1.0 \mu M) normal human melanocytes exhibited morphological changes after 6 weeks (Fig. 1, unpublished data) and led to foci formation after 10 weeks [39]. Co-treatment with UV-A (1 J/cm\(^2\), twice weekly) or UV-B (25 mJ/cm\(^2\), twice weekly) exacerbated the morphological deformity of these cells, namely, the cell body became larger while the number of dendrites increased (Fig. 1). In contrast, cells treated with arsenic trioxide (2 \mu M) alone did not exhibit obvious changes, although co-treatment with UV-A and UV-B did (Fig. 1). Treating with UV-A or UV-B alone for 6 weeks resulted different changes: UV-A increased the size of cell body which mimics senescence morphology while UV-B induced cell death in some cells and a more exacerbated morphological changes in the surviving cells (Fig. 1).

Based on these findings, we proposed the overall theory that redox-active metals, which are widely dispersed in our environment, provide a basis for the “second” hit (UV being the first hit) and are the co-carcinogens in melanomagenesis [40]. These reactive metals can lead to the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in collaboration with melanin-bound iron present due to a blistering sunburn (maybe in childhood) that can give rise to DNA mutations and eventually melanoma.

Further evidence for this “hip” theory lies in a report indicating that metallothionein expression in primary melanomas is a strong
prognostic factor for survival [41]. This study examined 1270 patients prospectively and observed a dramatic decrease in survival for patients with high metallothioein expression [41]. Since metallothioein regulates heavy metal uptake, this result strongly supports our melanoma metal ion hypothesis. The missing link is... where is the metal ion coming from? The easy answer is environmental exposure, but it could also be as simple as copper already present in the body. Large amounts of Cu\(^{2+}\) become available in the melanosome during melanin synthesis (which is governed by the copper dependent enzyme tyrosinase). If the melanosome becomes damaged release of Cu\(^{2+}\) occurs.

1.4. When a single bullet theory is not logical, look for a second bullet

Based on our studies described above, the lack of "classical" UV-B induced DNA damage present in melanoma, and solid epidemiological studies indicating a lack of a direct causal relationship between UV-B exposure and melanoma incidence [40], we have postulated that a second co-carcinogen is required for melanomagenesis in many cases. In this section we will describe the interesting directions our lab has taken in pursuit of co-carcinogenic mechanisms of melanoma initiation and progression.

1.4.1. Unique gender difference in early onset melanoma

Another approach our laboratory took in our quest to elucidate the mechanisms of UV-mediated melanomagenesis was a purely epidemiological one. We mined the US SEER17 Registry database for age-specific melanoma incidence rates and compared males to females. We found that the relative risk (RR) for females was significantly higher for people 44 years old and younger as compared to males [42]. The largest difference was observed for females 20–24 years old (RR = 2.01, 95% CI = 1.21–3.33). Conversely, males exhibited higher melanoma incidence rates after age 44 [42]. These results were confirmed using a second data set, the Nordic Cancer Registry. Importantly, the same bimodal gender effect was not observed for non-melanoma skin cancer incidence (NMSC), which is known to be strongly associated with cumulative solar UV exposure. Thus, we concluded that exposure to solar UV radiation is the major causative factor for melanoma at older age (>44 years), other factors may be playing a key role in early onset melanomas, especially in females [42]. We hypothesize that these factors include estrogen and estrogen receptors, as well as insulin and insulin-like growth factor I (IGF1), a complex regulation of these hormones and growth factors during development or pregnancy may account for the dramatic changes of cell proliferation. Increased cell proliferation, if it goes awry, will lead to melanomagenesis.

1.4.2. UV-induced, NOX-mediated oxidative stress in melanomagenesis

While UV-B induced DNA signature mutations are not as common in melanoma as in NMSC, UV-A induced oxidative DNA damage has been assumed as a causative factor for melanomagenesis. Ninety percent of the solar UV radiation that reaches the earth's surface is UV-A. These longer wavelengths are able to penetrate skin deeper to reach melanocytes which lay between the epidermal and dermal junction. UV-A is known to induce reactive oxygen species, but how these ROS are generated was not clear. Our recent data showed that melanocytes express NADPH oxidase 1, a superoxide-generating enzyme [43], which is induced by UV-A and UV-B (our unpublished data). Nox1 was shown to be a major ROS source after UV radiation in human keratinocytes [44], and we speculate that this may also be true in melanocytes. If this is true, then a novel melanoma etiology pathway may be identifiable and can be engaged in future prevention studies.

1.4.3. UV-mediated regulation of the UDP-glucuronosyltransferases (UGTs)

Recently, we identified three UGT family members (UGT2B7, UGT2B10 and UGT2B15) as being normally expressed in human melanocytes [45]. The same three UGT family members were also expressed in the primary melanoma cell line WM115. No UGT expression was detected in another primary melanoma cell line, WM3211, or in any metastatic melanoma cell line examined. These results suggest that UGT expression is lost during melanoma progression [45].

The UGT family of enzymes catalyzes the glucuronidation of a wide range of xenobiotic and endogenous compounds. UGTs conjugate a glucuronic acid moiety to their substrates, altering the biological properties of the substrate and enhancing its excretion in urine or bile [46,47]. In general, glucuronidation converts substrates into less bioactive, more water soluble products facilitating their removal from the body. In this manner, glucuronidation is a major conjugation pathway that serves as a detoxification mechanism for numerous dietary and environmental chemicals including carcinogens [46,48,49]. Genetic polymorphisms have been identified in several human UGT family members that alter their expression and/or activity [46,48]. Overwhelming epidemiological data has established the link between these polymorphisms and cancer risk. Case-control studies have demonstrated UGT polymorphisms that result in reduced glucuronidation activity have been linked to increased risk for several cancers including breast [50], colon [51], liver [52], orolaryngeal [53], pancreatic [54], and lung [55]. Thus, it is clear that altered UGT function is a risk factor for cancer, most likely by increasing the cells exposure to carcinogens due to reduced clearance. Therefore, we hypothesized that the observed loss of UGTs during melanoma progression could be an early event in some melanomas and investigated whether UGT expression was regulated by UV-B radiation. This observation needs to be followed-up with a detailed molecular epidemiologic study of UGT polymorphisms and melanoma risk.

1.5. Downregulation of UGT expression in human melanocytes following acute UV-B exposure

To determine if UV-B could regulate UGT expression in melanocytes, human melanocytes were isolated from de-identified neonatal foreskins and cultured as described previously [45]. These cells were then exposed to a single dose of UV-B at 25 mJ/cm\(^2\), which approximates a sunburn dose (see schematic Fig. 2A). Cells were subsequently collected at 4, 8 and 24 h post irradiation and assayed for UGT expression using real-time PCR normalizing to GAPDH expression as described in methods. Untreated melanocytes were also collected and assayed for UGT expression as a control. As shown in Fig. 2B, expression levels of UGT2B7, UGT2B10 and UGT2B15 are all decreased in response to an acute UV-B dose. Specifically, UGT2B7 expression is significantly decreased 4 and 8 h post irradiation and is undetectable by 24 h post exposure. UGT2B10 expression is decreased by 4 h and remains low 24 h post treatment while UGT2B15 expression levels are reduced at 4 and 8 h, but undetectable by 24 h post irradiation. This is the first demonstration that UGT expression can be regulated by UV-B exposure and is consistent with an increased risk of melanoma associated with UVR exposure.

1.6. Upregulation of UGT expression in human melanocytes following chronic UV-B exposure

To determine if chronic exposure to UV-B could also regulate UGT expression in human melanocytes, cultured melanocytes from the same subject as above (notably this infant was Caucasian) were used and exposed to a sub-erythymal UV-B dose of 10 mJ/cm\(^2\).
every hour for a total of five treatments (see schematic Fig. 2A). Thus, the cumulative exposure to these cells is 50 mJ/cm$^2$, twice that of the acute exposure. Cells were collected at 4 and 24 h post irradiation and untreated control cells were also collected and UGT expression was examined. In contrast to the acute expose results above, the expression of UGT2B7, UGT2B10 and UGT2B15 were upregulated in response to chronic UV-B exposure (Fig. 2C). Specifically, UGT2B7, UGT2B10 and UGT2B15 levels were elevated by 4 h, but had returned to normal or reduced levels by 24 h.

Since UGTs detoxify carcinogens, we hypothesize that this observed reduction in UGT expression after acute UV-B exposure may account for the increased melanoma risk known to be associated with acute (but not chronic) exposures.

Moving forward, we will attempt to identify potential UGT substrates that could be acting as co-carcinogens in melanoma initiation. The UGTs detoxify environmental carcinogens as well as endogenously produced toxins and carcinogens. One excellent example of the latter would be the carcinogenic metabolites of estrogen. These are intriguing candidates as it could unify several of our lines of investigation. Catechol estrogens are major estrogen metabolites in mammals and they have been shown to be carcinogenic [56]. Catechol estrogens are produced by cytochrome P450 oxidation of estrogen. Cytochrome P450 is a heme-dependent enzyme and thus would be dependent on oxygen and metal ions [57]. Further oxidation of these catechols to estrogen-o-quinones is mediated through oxidative enzymes, metal ions and molecular oxygen. Estrogen-o-quinones have also been implicated in estrogen-linked carcinogenesis [57]. Interestingly, UGT2B7 has been shown to have the highest activity of any UGT in the detoxification of catechol estrogens [58] and has high activity against other estrogen metabolites upstream of the catechols [59]. Therefore, UGT2B7 expression in melanocytes may be vital to the normal regulation of estrogen in these cells, especially in young women, which in turn would prevent melanoma initiation. Our lab is currently following up on this hypothesis.

1.7. Summary

Our lab is actively pursuing several provocative avenues of investigation centered on characterizing the role of UV radiation in melanoma etiology. We are resolved to continue to shine a bright light on the field of UV-mediated carcinogenesis in (hopefully) a similar manner to how Jim Cleaver so eloquently has done throughout his career.
2. Methods

2.1. Reagents and cell culture

Normal human melanocytes were isolated from de-identified newborn foreskin via circumcision surgery in accordance with a protocol approved by UC Irvine’s Internal Review Board. Melanocytes were isolated as previously described [60,61] and cultured in MCD8153 media supplemented with 2% fetal bovine serum, 10 ng/ml of 12-0-tetradecanoylphorphol-13-acetate and 0.15% bovine pituitary extract.

2.2. UV radiation of melanocytes

For UV-A treatment, culture media was removed and cells were kept in 1xPBS. UV-A lamps (peak at 340 nm) were turned on for a specified time so that the cells received the designated dose. Cells were then changed to culture media with or without metals and returned to incubators. UV-A and UV-B treatment occurred every Tuesday and Friday during the treatment period. UV-B radiation was performed as previously described [62]. Briefly, Cells were grown to about 70% confluence and media was removed completely for UV-B radiation. UV-B radiation was performed in a Stratagen crosslinker with peak wavelength at 312 nm. The UV intensity was measured by a radiometer with proper probes. The UV-B radiation was performed in a box containing 500 ng of cDNA using GAPDH as the normalizing ‘housekeeping’ gene. Real-time PCR was performed on a CFX96 Real-Time PCR machine (BioRad).

Total RNA isolation, reverse transcription and real-time PCR

Total RNA was isolated from cells using the Arum total RNA mini Kit (BioRad) according to company’s provided protocol. RNA was quantitated using a NanoDrop 1000 (Thermo/Fisher) cDNA synthesizer that contained 50 ng of cDNA using GAPDH as the normalizing ‘housekeeping’ gene. Real-time PCR was performed using a CFX96 Real-Time PCR machine (BioRad). Reported mRNA expression values are the average of at least 3 independent experiments with standard deviation.
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