Nitrogen regulation by natural systems in “unnatural” landscapes: denitrification in ultra-urban coastal ecosystems
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ABSTRACT
Dense cities represent biogeochemical hot spots along the shoreline, concentrating fixed nitrogen that is subsequently discharged into adjacent coastal receiving waters. Thus, the ecosystem services provided by natural systems in highly urban environments can play a particularly important role in the global nitrogen cycle. In this paper, we review the recent literature on nitrogen regulation by temperate coastal ecosystems, with a focus on how the distinct physical and biogeochemical features of the urban landscape can affect the provision of this ecosystem service. We use Jamaica Bay, an ultra-urbanized coastal lagoon in the United States of America, as a demonstrative case study. Based on simple areal and tidal-based calculations, the natural systems of Jamaica Bay remove ~24% of the reactive nitrogen discharged by wastewater treatment plants. However, this estimate does not represent the dynamic nature of urban nitrogen cycling represented in the recent literature and highlights key research needs and opportunities. Our review reveals that ecosystem-facilitated denitrification may be significant in even the most densely urbanized coastal landscapes, but critical uncertainties currently limit incorporation of this ecosystem service in environmental management.

Introduction
Human activities have doubled the annual fixation of reactive nitrogen (N\textsubscript{r}, Fowler et al. 2013), with global implications for human health, air and water quality, and biodiversity (Erisman et al. 2013). As a result, the development of strategies for effective nitrogen management remains a global priority, which must be considered concurrently with other aspects of global environmental change, such as urbanization. As global coastlines become increasingly urban (Blackburn and Marques 2014; von Glasow et al. 2013; McGranahan, Balk, and Anderson 2007), it remains unclear how, or, if, coastal ecosystems will continue to provide nitrogen regulation services, and limited information is available to inform management in support of these ecosystem services. In this paper, we review the current literature on the nitrogen regulation role of temperate coastal ecosystems in highly urbanized areas. We use Jamaica Bay, an ultra-urbanized coastal lagoon in the USA, as a demonstrative case study to highlight key research needs and opportunities to support the management of these distinct environments.

Coastal ecosystems are known to be important control points in the global nitrogen cycle (Valiela, Teal, and Sass 1973; Jordan, Stoffer, and Nestlerode 2011). Located at the interface between land and sea, these systems contain a mosaic of interdependent habitats (Figure 1) that facilitate the transformation of N\textsubscript{r} to dinitrogen gas (N\textsubscript{2}) through microbial-mediated denitrification and anaerobic ammonium oxidation (Smyth et al. 2013; Damashek and Francis 2018). Since N\textsubscript{2} cannot drive primary production without thermodynamically costly “fixation” to N\textsubscript{r}, denitrification and anammox are critical regulators of the nitrogen (N) cycle. The N-regulation services provided by coastal habitats play a key role in both moderating eutrophication and preventing anthropogenic N\textsubscript{r} from being transported from terrestrial sources to the open ocean (Asmala et al. 2017; Bouwman et al. 2013; Teixeira et al. 2014).

Many studies, however, have demonstrated that eutrophication resulting from excessive N\textsubscript{r}, loading degrades coastal ecosystems and their ability to support nitrogen regulation (Hale 2016; Cornwell, Michael Kemp, and Kana 1999). Although denitrification rates generally increase with N\textsubscript{r} loading, this relationship may be asymptotic at the landscape-scale, with a site-
specific saturation threshold beyond which coastal ecosystems can no longer continue to effectively regulate N\textsubscript{r} (Drake et al. 2009; Yin et al. 2015; Eyre and Ferguson 2009). Coastal ecosystems are primarily N-limited and high loads of anthropogenic N\textsubscript{r} can result in increased turbidity and hypoxia (de Jonge, Elliott, and Orive 2002; R. W. Howarth and Marino 2006), with potential feedbacks that reduce benthic denitrification or efficiency (Howarth et al. 2011). In highly eutrophic systems, there can also be a direct loss of the habitats that support the highest denitrification rates, as vascular vegetation is replaced by opportunistic macroalgae and where salt marsh becomes destabilized and erodes away (Deegan et al. 2012; Burkholder, Tomasko, and Touchette 2007; Howarth and Marino 2006; Cornwell, Michael Kemp, and Kana 1999).

Some of the highest N\textsubscript{r} loading rates occur downstream of dense cities (Powley et al. 2016; Driscoll et al. 2003; Morée et al. 2013), where large quantities of nitrogen are imported as food to support concentrated populations and then discharged as wastewater (Kennedy et al. 2015; Powley et al. 2016; Luo et al. 2014). Many cities also concentrate nitrogen emitting vehicles and industrial facilities, which further contribute to local discharges of N\textsubscript{r} to receiving waters (Huang et al. 2015). As a result, cities have been identified as global “hot spots” of N\textsubscript{r} and, with continued megacity development, may serve as important control points in the global nitrogen cycle (Bernhardt et al. 2008; Newton, Carruthers, and Icely 2012). The circumstances and challenges of ecosystem N\textsubscript{r} regulation in highly urbanized coastal zones are complex and unique within the global N cascade (Galloway et al. 2003).

**Urbanization and coastal ecosystems**

The N pathways of cities are often dominated by sewer systems that transport upland-generated N\textsubscript{r} directly into receiving waterways, often completely bypassing the key N\textsubscript{r} removal zones of less-developed landscapes, such as the subsurface of riparian and fringing coastal wetlands (Groffman et al. 2003; Valiela and Cole 2002). Cities with more stringent environmental protection policies rely on wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) to process municipal wastewater and/or stormwater before they are discharged to receiving waters. However, many global cities do not yet utilize WWTPs and N\textsubscript{r}-rich wastewater is discharged, untreated to receiving waters (Mateo-Sagasta, Raschid-Sally, and Thebo 2015; Malik et al. 2015).

Even when WWTPs are present, their efficacy in regulating N\textsubscript{r} is highly dependent on the treatment...
technologies employed. Many contemporary urban WWTPs provide only “secondary” treatment, which uses aerobic bioreactors to reduce the biological oxygen demand of wastewater but provides limited reduction of the wastewater N\textsubscript{r} load (Malik et al. 2015). An increasing number of WWTPs also provide “advanced” treatment to facilitate N\textsubscript{r}-removal (Rodriguez-Garcia et al. 2014). These advanced treatment technologies can reduce wastewater N\textsubscript{r} from its influent concentration of 20 – 70 mg N/L to less than 1 mg N/L (Carey and Migliaccio 2009). Fully advanced treatment in large cities, however, can cost billions of dollars (Paulsen, Featherstone, and Greene 2007) and their implementation may be delayed by sociopolitical barriers (Garrone et al. 2016). The challenges of wastewater N\textsubscript{r} treatment are also amplified in cities with combined sewer outfall systems (CSOs), where untreated sewage can bypass the WWTP entirely during heavy rain events (Bernhardt et al. 2008), resulting in pulses of high N\textsubscript{r} effluent discharged directly to adjacent waterways (Driscoll et al. 2003).

Coastal ecosystems downstream from urban areas are frequently hypereutrophic due to the heavy N loading from wastewater (Kroeze et al. 2013; Bricker et al. 2008). This impacts their ability to serve as nitrogen “filters.” In addition, other features of the engineered urban landscape can impact the ability of coastal ecosystems to provide nitrogen regulation services. For example, conventional urban development is associated with paving over large fractions of watershed area and the burial of natural stream channels, which concentrates freshwater discharge to areas downstream of sewer outfalls (Walsh et al. 2005; Paul and Meyer 2001). This can result in spatial and temporal patterns of salinity and sediment delivery that are distinct from those of coastal ecosystems in agricultural or pristine areas, with implications for the structure and function of urban wetlands and benthic ecosystems (Lee et al. 2006; Faulkner 2004).

Urban stormwater runoff may also contain a variety of contaminants that can impact the sediment biogeochemistry and ecosystem function of downstream receiving waters (Sutherland et al. 2016). As a result and, along with active or historic industrial activity, the sediments of coastal waterways adjacent to cities often sink for organic pollutants and metals (Islam and Tanaka 2004; Dachs and Laurence 2010). Densely populated cities in particular may concentrate a variety of “emerging contaminants,” such as pharmaceuticals and microplastics, which are subsequently released into coastal waterways through groundwater, CSOs and WWTP discharges (Rosi-Marshall and Royer 2012; Arpin-Pont et al. 2016; Cole et al. 2011). The impacts of these compounds on coastal ecosystem function and biogeochemical processing are only beginning to be investigated, but initial studies have found that they may bioaccumulate and influence survival, growth and reproduction in a variety of marine organisms, with implications for coastal trophic structure and in turn, Nr regulation processes. (Table 1).

Urban development is also frequently associated with engineered changes to the morphometry of adjacent coastal waterbodies, including landfilling, beach replenishment, and sediment dredging to create navigation channels and “borrow pits” for sand supply, or to support contaminant removal (Gustavson et al. 2008; Xue, Hong, and Charles 2004; Trimmer et al. 2005). Infrastructure such as groins, jetties, and riprap are commonly employed to support shoreline stabilization, and a variety of levees, storm surge barriers and seawalls may be utilized for coastal flood regulation (Hill 2015). The combined impacts of these engineering practices may alter the tidal range and prism that, in turn, lead to changes in circulation, water residence time, sediment dynamics and submarine groundwater flow, with implications for nutrient fate and transport (Duck and Da Silva 2012; Teatini et al. 2017; Haghani et al. 2016; Nixon et al. 1996). For example, Deek et al. (2013) observed that the recent reductions in denitrification capacity of the Elbe Estuary far exceeded reductions in NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} load, which they postulated could be attributed to co-occurring anthropogenic alteration of the hydrology and morphometry of the estuary.

Coastal wetlands, which are now known to be particularly important habitat for N\textsubscript{r} removal (Jordan, Stoffer, and Nestlerode 2011; Smyth et al. 2013), are particularly impacted by urban coastal engineering practices. Historically, wetlands were viewed as having little socioeconomic value, and they were targeted for landfilling to support municipal waste disposal or “reclaimed” for urban development in many cities (Gedan, Silliman, and Bertness 2009). Davidson (2014) estimates that 46–50% of global coastal wetlands have been lost since the start of the eighteenth century due to a variety of anthropogenic stresses including urban reclamation. The remaining urban coastal wetlands are directly impacted by a variety of engineering practices, including ditching, runnelling, or open marsh water management for mosquito control (Figure 2; Hulsman, Dale, and Kay 1989; Lee et al. 2006; Elsey-Quirk and Adamowicz 2016) or disconnection from uplands by shoreline stabilization infrastructure (O’Quirk, Thompson, and Piegler 2015).

More recently, there has been increased interest in the restoration of coastal wetlands as environmental managers and policymakers became more aware of the ecosystem services they provide (Gedan, Silliman, and Bertness 2009). Debate continues about whether these restored marshes are able to provide the full suite of ecosystem services generated by natural wetlands. It is likely that their Nr regulation effectiveness
Environmental controls on denitrification and anammox

| Environmental Control                     | Effects on denitrification and anammox |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Labile organic carbon                    | • Denitrification is heterotrophic and dependent on the availability of organic carbon (Velinsky et al. 2017). When/where labile organic carbon is abundant, denitrifying microorganisms usually outcompete anammox microorganisms for NO₃⁻, since denitrifying microorganisms vastly outnumber anammox microorganisms and, under most conditions, denitrification will be thermodynamically favored. (Thamdrup and Dalsgaard 2002; Lam and Kuypers 2011; Engstrom et al. 2005). Under some conditions, anammox has been observed to be positively correlated with sediment organic carbon since sediments with abundant organic carbon can support high rates of NO₂⁻ production, which can support increased rates of anammox even though denitrification is thermodynamically favored and remains relatively more important. (Trimmer, Nicholls, and Deflandre 2003)
| Nitrate (NO₃⁻)/Nitrite (NO₂⁻) concentrations | • Denitrification rates are dependent on the availability of NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻. Trimmer et al. (2003) observed a biphasic relationship between anammox rates and NO₃⁻ concentrations, which they attributed to competition for NO₂⁻ when its supply through denitrification is limited and enhancement of anammox when NO₃⁻ is generated in abundance by denitrification.
| Ammonium (NH₄⁺)/Ammonia (NH₃) concentration | • Anammox can actually be thermodynamically favored over denitrification (Babbin and Ward 2013). The occurrence of anammox under high NH₄⁺ loading would also be highly dependent on pH, since anammox bacteria have been found to be inhibited by the build-up NH₄⁺ (Jin et al. 2012)
| Turbidity/light penetration               | • Sea grass stands are hotspots of denitrification (Velinsky et al. 2017; Marchant et al. 2016; Gardner and McCarthy 2009; Smyth et al. 2013; Pielhier and Smyth 2011; Deek et al. 2013). Reduced light penetration can degrade sea grass productivity and areal coverage (Valiela 2015; Burkholer, Tomasko, and Touchette 2007).
| Anoxic water column                      | • Although denitrification and anammox require localized anoxia to be thermodynamically favorable, anoxic water column conditions can:
| Trace pharmaceuticals                   | • Directly inhibit coupled nitrification-denitrification
|                                         | • Result in the accumulation of sulfide in surficial sediments, which inhibits both coupled nitrification-denitrification and anammox (Joye and Hollibaugh 1995; Jensen et al. 2008; Sears et al. 2004; Thamdrup and Dalsgaard 2002)
|                                         | • Indirectly reduce the system-level rate of denitrification and anammox by changing the composition of benthic faunal communities, favoring species that are less effective for bioturbation.
| Other urban pollutants                  | • Heavy metal contamination can actually enhance denitrification in some settings by selecting for contaminant-tolerant species with increased burrowing activity, which can facilitate the transport of NO₃⁻ into sediment zones where denitrification is favorable (Banks et al. 2013). Some organic contaminants and microplastics reduce burrowing activity in marine macroinvertebrates, with potential implications for nutrient transport, redox zonation and the occurrence of denitrification and anammox (Green et al. 2016).

varies with the broad variety of restoration techniques utilized (Meli et al. 2014; Mossman, Davy, and Grant 2012; Moreno-Mateos et al. 2012).

A small number of urban municipalities intentionally discharge secondarily treated wastewater into designated natural “assimilation” wetlands as an alternative to implementing advanced treatment technologies or constructed wetlands at WWTPs. These natural assimilation wetlands have been observed to facilitate nitrogen retention through a variety of biogeochemical processes, but the efficacy of such an approach is dependent on the relative N₂ loading rate to area of high-functioning wetlands downstream (Day et al. 2004). Since the development of many coastal cities has been associated with extensive landfilling of natural wetlands and degradation of remaining coastal habitat (Lee et al. 2006; O’Meara, Thompson, and Pielhier 2015), this type of complete replacement of WWTPs by natural ecosystems to facilitate N₂ regulation would not be feasible in most large or densely populated coastal cities. However, the remaining natural ecosystems in highly urbanized coastal environments may still be providing significant but unrecognized N₂ regulation services, with implications for water quality and global biogeochemical cycling.

Denitrification and anammox in urban coastal ecosystems

Within coastal ecosystems, N₂ can be sequestered through assimilation into biomass or burial in sediments, resulting in temporary regulation that can provide benefits for local ecological function (Herbert 1999; McGlathery, Sundbäck, and Anderson 2007). For this review, we will focus on heterotrophic denitrification and anammox (Figure 3), given their importance for long-term, multi-season regulation of nitrogen (Bonaglia et al. 2014). Through both of these processes, microbes respire using oxidized forms of N₂ (NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻, or N₂O) as terminal electron acceptors in the absence of oxygen, converting these reactive forms into N₂. With heterotrophic denitrification (commonly referred to simply as “denitrification”), organic carbon is utilized as the electron donor. Anaerobic ammonia oxidation (commonly known as
“anammox”) is an autotrophic process, where NH$_4^+$ is used to reduce NO$_2^-$ to N$_2$ and is itself oxidized to N$_2$ in the process (Engström et al. 2005). Along with anammox, the use of reduced inorganic sulfur, iron, or hydrogen as electron donors for autotrophic denitrification has been observed in natural systems (Straub et al. 1996; Shao, Zhang, and Han-Ping Fang 2010; Robertson and Gijs Kuenen 1983; Li et al. 2015), but have been poorly studied in coastal ecosystems (Damashek and Francis 2018).

Denitrification occurs as a multi-step process through which NO$_3^-$ is sequentially reduced to NO$_2^-$, N$_2$O, and finally N$_2$. Production of the different enzymes necessary to conduct each step of this process is encoded in genes that are widespread among the diverse bacteria of coastal ecosystems, although some are only able to complete part of the denitrification pathway (Zumft 1997). Under some environmental conditions, the full sequence of denitrification may not be completed, resulting in the emission of N$_2$O, a potent greenhouse gas, rather than N$_2$. When anthropogenic N$_r$ is available in more reduced forms (NH$_4^+$ or organic N), it must first be nitrified (and in the case of organic-N, also mineralized) before denitrification can take place. Coupled nitrification–denitrification often plays a substantial role in the regulation of N$_r$ in coastal systems (Seitzinger 1988) and may be particularly important in systems that receive NH$_4^+$-rich WWTP effluent, but its occurrence is dependent on patterns of redox zonation that allow these reactions to take place in close proximity.

While denitrification has been studied for nearly half a century, observations of anammox were first published in 1995 (Mulder et al. 1995). Since then, there has been great interest in its occurrence in natural systems and potential role in N$_r$ regulation. Although anammox bacteria have been frequently observed in coastal sediments, it is generally thought that in systems where labile organic carbon is abundant, such as eutrophic coastal sediments, denitrifying bacteria will outcompete anammox bacteria for NO$_2^-$ (Table 1). However, under some physicochemical conditions, denitrification and anammox can actually be complementary, rather than competitive, with denitrification of NO$_3^-$ providing sufficient NO$_2^-$ to support further reduction through both denitrification and anammox (Hietanen and Kuparinen 2008; Nicholls and Trimmer 2009). Although our understanding of the environmental controls on the relative importance of these pathways is still an active research area (Table 1), recent studies
suggest that anammox should not be neglected as an N regulation pathway in urban coastal ecosystems (Hou et al. 2015b; Crowe et al. 2012).

**Fate and transport of N\textsubscript{r} across urban coastal habitats**

The total amount of anthropogenic N\textsubscript{r} that can be converted to N\textsubscript{2} is not only dependent on rates of denitrification and anammox at any given point in space, but also on the mass flux of N\textsubscript{r} through zones where these reactions are favorable. For example, a saline tidal creek may be underlain by anoxic, organic-rich sediments with high potential rates of denitrification and anammox when N\textsubscript{r} is available, but NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} and NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+}-rich effluent delivered from a sewer outfall may be transported as a lower density freshwater plume at the surface, never making contact with the sediments in which denitrification can occur. Across any given coastal landscape, N\textsubscript{r} regulation will be dependent on the types of habitat present, their function, and areal extent (Smyth et al. 2013; Eyre et al. 2011), and it is important to understand the complex patterns of circulation and transport of N\textsubscript{r} through the ecosystem and its subsurface. In temperate coastal waterways, salt marsh wetlands and benthic sediments can be important habitat to facilitate N regulation.

**Coastal salt marsh**

In fringe wetlands, subterranean groundwater may pass through or immediately below the salt marsh rhizosphere as it flows toward the coast, which can facilitate substantial N\textsubscript{r} removal through denitrification (Santoro 2010). In coastal zones where the direct discharge of groundwater N\textsubscript{r} is a significant contributor to the total anthropogenic load, the rhizosphere of perimeter salt marsh may serve as an important sink for upland-derived N\textsubscript{r}, preventing it from being transported to N\textsubscript{r}-sensitive receiving waters (Addy et al. 2005). In densely populated coastal zones, this reactive zone may be completely bypassed when N\textsubscript{r} is primarily discharged directly into the water column through engineered outfalls. In these systems, the N\textsubscript{r} regulation potential of salt marsh – including both fringe and island wetlands – will instead be largely determined by the mass flux of coastal water column N\textsubscript{r} back into the bioactive zones within the salt marsh through tidal inundation and flushing.

To understand the potential for salt marsh to treat water column N\textsubscript{r}, 3 primary “zones” of N\textsubscript{r} removal within these wetlands (Figure 4) must be considered: the ponded water and shallow sediments at the surface of the vegetated platform, the rhizosphere, and the unvegetated sediments of tidal channels that interweave the marsh system (Koop-Jakobsen and Giblin 2010). N\textsubscript{r} removal within a given salt marsh ecosystem will vary with fluxes of water into and between these zones, driven by tidal pumping, bioirrigation, and transpiration-driven advection (Bachand et al. 2014; Xin et al. 2009, 2012). The shallow sediments of the vegetated marsh platform are periodically inundated by tidal waters, which can support development of the anoxic conditions needed for denitrification to occur in the surficial sediments. Across any given marsh platform, the frequency of inundation will vary with elevation. Low marsh on
the coast or adjacent to tidal channels will be inundated daily with the high tide, while high marsh further inland may only be inundated during storm events or the highest tides of the metonic cycle. At low tide when marsh surficial sediments are reaerated, conditions can become favorable for $\text{NH}_4^+$ to be converted to $\text{NO}_3^-$ through nitrification, and subsequently removed through denitrification (Eriksson et al. 2003). In systems where $\text{NH}_4^+$ makes up a large fraction of the N$_r$ load, such as those that receive WWTP effluent, the coupling of nitrification with denitrification over tidal cycles may result in greatly increased total rates of transformation of N$_r$ to non-reactive N$_2$.

When the marsh platform is inundated, ponded water can percolate vertically into the rhizosphere, facilitated by bioturbation, transpiration-induced advection, and tidal flushing (Aller 2001; Xin et al. 2012; Bachand et al. 2014). However, the actual mass flux of N$_r$ into the salt marsh rhizosphere will be highly dependent on the geomorphology and stratigraphy of a given salt marsh, which often varies considerably from site-to-site (Wilson and Gardner 2006). The highly organic sediments at the surface of salt marsh may have very limited vertical permeability, which may serve as a constraint on the mass flux of ponded water and N$_r$ that can penetrate deeply (Koop-Jakobsen and Giblin 2010). Thus, although denitrification rates in marsh surficial sediments may be high, the landscape-scale role of this zone in the removal of water column N$_r$ can be limited by its relatively small volumetric extent in the absence of strong tidal flushing or extensive bioirrigation (Hughes, Binning, and Willgoose 1998).

Below the surface of salt marshes, the roots of wetland plants transport oxygen and exude organic carbon, both of which facilitate high rates of coupled nitrification–denitrification (Sousa et al. 2012; Dollhopf et al. 2005; Sherr and Payne 1978). The depth of the rhizosphere of many temperate wetland plants may provide an extensive volume throughout which these high rates of N$_r$ may occur. The rhizosphere of the common cordgrass Spartina alterniflora frequently extends down to a depth of 20cm or more (Mozdzer et al. 2016). For common reed Phragmites australis, roots can extend to depths of 85cm, with significant biomass of the rhizosphere found more than 40cm deep in more saline systems (Moore et al. 2012).

Within the marsh platform, the relationship between sediment texture and the facilitation of denitrification in the rhizosphere is complex. Sandy soils are more permeable and can support the advective transport of tidal water deep into the salt marsh rhizosphere (Xin et al. 2012) but the rapid transport of porewater through the rhizosphere may limit nitrogen removal (Sparks, Cebrian, and Smith 2014). Mud or clay soils often contain more organic matter that can support enhanced rates of denitrification, but are generally less permeable, particularly in the vertical direction, resulting in reduced percolation of ponded water relative to coarse grained soils (Freeze and Cherry 1979).

The sediments of salt marsh tidal creeks and ditches may also provide important sites for N$_r$ removal through denitrification (Vieillard et al. 2012, Eriksson et al. 2003). Although denitrification rates in unvegetated sediments will generally be lower than those with emergent vegetation (Alldred and Baines 2016), the sediments of subtidal creeks remain in constant contact with N$_r$ in the water column (Koop-Jakobsen and Giblin 2009b), which may
provide the contact time necessary to facilitate denitrification. For intertidal creek sediments and mudflats, periodic inundation of these sediments can facilitate nitrification during low tide and denitrification at high tide (Smyth et al. 2013).

Relatively few studies published to date have investigated rates of anammox in coastal salt marsh. Koop-Jakobsen and Giblin (2009a) found that anammox was an insignificant (<3%) contributor to N\textsubscript{2} conversion to N\textsubscript{2} in marshes of Plum Island Sound, USA, and that modest fertilization with NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} did not increase the rate or relative importance of anammox in their study sites. In studies of salt marsh sediments from the highly urbanized and eutrophic Yangtze Estuary, Hou et al. (2013) and Zheng et al. (2016) observed a slightly higher relative contribution by anammox (4–14% of N\textsubscript{2} generated). In both of these studies, rates of anammox may have been underestimated due to the co-occurrence of dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonia (DNRA; Koop-Jakobsen and Giblin 2010), which can mask the occurrence of anammox measured using the isotope pairing technique (Song et al. 2016).

Eutrophication and human management activities can both significantly impact N\textsubscript{2} regulation through denitrification and anammox in urban salt marshes. For example, extensive ditching or runnelling of salt marsh for mosquito control can significantly augment tidal flushing and the penetration of tidal water into the bioactive salt marsh rhizosphere (Lee et al. 2006), which could enhance areal denitrification rates. At the same time, these practices can have complex effects on the communities of emergent vegetation and burrowing invertebrates, with poorly understood implications for the hydrology and nutrient cycling of salt marsh ecosystems (Gedan, Silliman, and Bertness 2009). The impacts of open marsh water management on nutrient cycling has not been widely studied, but in observations of salt marsh in Barnegat Bay in the USA, denitrification rates in the vegetated sediments where dredged sediment had been sprayed were observed to be twice as high as those of adjacent vegetated marsh sediments (Velinsky et al. 2017).

In urban areas where marshland is being restored, the impacts of marsh restoration practices on the ability of these habitats to provide N\textsubscript{2} regulation services also remain poorly understood. Sparks et al. (2015) observed that while the extent of vegetation coverage in restored sites did not significantly affect denitrification, marsh restoration on sandy sediments was not able to support significant denitrification, which they attributed to the short residence times and low organic matter content characteristic of sandy sediments (Sparks, Cebrian, and Smith 2014). Etheridge, Burchell, and Birgand (2017) observed significant N\textsubscript{2} retention in field studies of restored coastal marshes but did not make the distinction between removal through denitrification or anammox and retention through processes such as plant uptake.

While salt marshes can provide significant N\textsubscript{2} regulation services in coastal ecosystems, exposure to high N\textsubscript{2} loading rates can result in the destabilization and, in some cases, areal loss of these habitats. Under high N\textsubscript{2} loading conditions, common salt marsh plants often reapportion their growth from their roots and rhizosphere to their aboveground shoots, which is generally attributed to the reduced need for these plants to forage for this nutrient belowground when it is abundant in the water column (Turner et al. 2009; Levin, Mooney, and Field 1989). Since the shear strength of marsh soils is directly related to live belowground biomass (Sasser et al. 2017), salt marshes with reduced root biomass in eutrophic waterways are more susceptible to the erosive forces of waves and tides. This, in turn, can lead to marsh collapse and die-back resulting in both the permanent loss of ecosystem services provided by the marsh and the release of N\textsubscript{2} that had been stored as peat within the salt marsh (Turner 2011).

The reduction in root biomass in response to high N\textsubscript{2} loading has been observed in multiple studies of human-impacted coastal systems (Darby and Eugene Turner 2008; Watson et al. 2014; Graham and Mendelssohn 2014; Deegan et al. 2012; Alldred, Liberti, and Baines 2017; Valiela 2015). In two long-term ecosystem fertilization studies, the reduced belowground biomass in wetlands dominated by Spartina alterniflora was also observed to be accompanied by marsh collapse and areal loss (Valiela and Cole 2002; Deegan et al. 2012). The ability for an urban salt marsh to remain stable and continue to provide ecosystem services through high N\textsubscript{2} loading is likely to be dependent on a variety of site-specific factors, including the initial elevation of the marsh, the magnitude of erosive forces through tide and wave action, vegetation species composition, and the character of N\textsubscript{2} loading, although the relative importance of these factors remains uncertain.

**Benthic sediments**

Although salt marsh habitat can support particularly high denitrification rates, the extensive surface area of benthic sediments within coastal ecosystems allows for it to play an important role in N regulation at the landscape-scale (Jickells et al. 2014). To understand the ecosystem services provided by benthic sediments, their areal extent within a system must be considered along with the rates of denitrification or anammox that they facilitate. For example, although denitrification rates were higher in the muddy, fine-textured sediments of the Elbe Estuary and German Bight, the
more extensive area of coarse-grained permeable sediments contributed to a greater percentage of system-wide N\textsubscript{2} removal (Neumann et al. 2017).

It was historically assumed that NO\textsubscript{3} supply would be limited at depth and benthic denitrification occurred only in shallow sediments. Recent research, however, has revealed that there can be substantial cycling of water between the deep sediments of submarine aquifers and the water column (Huettel, Berg, and Kostka 2014; Beebe and Lowery 2018). The impact of submarine groundwater mixing on system-scale benthic denitrification has been poorly quantified (Liefer et al. 2014), but may play an important role in understanding the N\textsubscript{2} regulation services of benthic habitats.

Along with denitrification, many recent studies have investigated the role of anammox in benthic N-cycling and observed wide variation in its average rate and relative importance in urban and eutrophic benthic sediments. While all studies published to date have found that benthic anammox was relatively less important than benthic denitrification in N\textsubscript{2} removal; (0–33% of total N\textsubscript{2} production; Rich, Dale, Bongkeun Song, and Ward 2008; Crowe et al. 2012; Teixeira et al. 2014, 2012, 2016; Yin et al. 2015; Deng et al. 2015; Trimmer, Nicholls, and Deflandre 2003; Nicholls and Trimmer 2009; Song et al. 2016; Brin, Giblin, and Rich 2014), it was still a significant contributor to system-wide benthic N\textsubscript{2}-regulation at several of the sites investigated. Anammox was first observed in a WWTP (Mulder et al. 1995), and it has been hypothesized that WWTP effluent can serve as a source of anammox bacteria in the natural environment (Dale, Tobias, and Song 2009). The benthic sediments immediately downstream of WWTP or CSO outfalls may be hot spots for the occurrence of anammox, with “hot moments” occurring during overflow or similar events that may provide a niche for which anammox bacteria are well-adapted (Babbin, Jayakumar, and Ward 2016; Babbin and Ward 2013).

**Case study: Jamaica Bay, New York, USA**

Jamaica Bay, a coastal lagoon located in New York City (Figure 5), provides an example of the relevance of the issues discussed here to the sustainable management of ultra-urban coastal systems. Over 2.2 million people reside in the bay’s highly impervious, 310km\textsuperscript{2} watershed, and its morphometry has been radically altered to support urban development and navigation over the past two centuries. Physiographic alterations to the Bay during the early twentieth century included filling of the numerous streams and wetlands that originally fringed its perimeter, dredging of deep channels for navigation and landfill supply, shoreline hardening, and the extension of the enclosing Rockaway Peninsula. These geomorphic changes have resulted in an increased bay-wide mean tidal range compared wide pre-development (Swanson et al. 2008).

Jamaica Bay is brackish and its freshwater supply is dominated (~ 90%) by point-source discharges from four WWTPs along its perimeter, with episodic discharge through CSOs during heavy rain events (Benotti, Abbene, and Terracciano 2007). Jamaica Bay receives continuous loading of N\textsubscript{2}O, primarily as NH\textsubscript{4}+, through WWTP effluent (Benotti, Abbene, and Terracciano 2007; Figure 6). Atmospheric deposition of N\textsubscript{2}O in Jamaica Bay and its watersheds has not been directly measured. Using the highest areal N\textsubscript{2}O deposition rate estimated by Du et al. (2014) for the Northeast USA (26kg N ha\textsuperscript{-1} yr\textsuperscript{-1}), atmospheric N\textsubscript{2}O deposition would account for, at most, ~17% of Jamaica Bay’s total N\textsubscript{2}O inputs (Table 2). This estimate includes the deposition of NH\textsubscript{3} generated by vehicular traffic along with NO\textsubscript{x} (Bettez and Groffman 2013). In response to concerns about water quality and the stability of remaining salt marsh habitat, N\textsubscript{2}O management has become a high priority issue for local environmental managers. New York City has committed $187 million to WWTP infrastructure upgrades since 201X to halve annual N\textsubscript{2}O loads, and while work completed so far has resulted in substantial N\textsubscript{2}O-load reductions, the N\textsubscript{2}O loading to the Bay remains high – in 2014, it was estimated at 4.5x10\textsuperscript{6} kg yr\textsuperscript{-1} (NYC DEP, Unpublished, December 2016; Table 2).

As a result of Jamaica Bay’s very high wastewater N\textsubscript{2}O loading, water column N\textsubscript{2}O concentrations in the Bay can exceed 100 μmol L\textsuperscript{-1}, with the highest concentrations found in the tributary tidal creeks that receive wastewater treatment plant effluent (Figure 7). Jamaica Bay is eutrophic and, prior to the WWTP upgrades, phytoplankton blooms could reach very high densities (> 100μgL\textsuperscript{-1} chlorophyll \(a\); Wallace and Gobler 2015). Northeast sections of the bay have historically experienced hypoxia during the summer, and the water column has been observed to be relatively acidic in many parts of the bay during the warm season due to enhanced decomposition (Wallace et al. 2014). In shallow areas of the bay where light is not limiting > 60% of the benthic surface is covered with Ulva sp., opportunistic macroalgae that are commonly found in eutrophic temperate estuaries and known to impact redox conditions and benthic nitrogen cycling (Wallace and Gobler 2015). Beneath Jamaica Bay, there is substantial circulation of baywater through the permeable sediments of the submarine aquifer, and porewater concentrations are enriched with dissolved inorganic nitrogen compared with the water column, suggesting that buried organic matter is remineralized (Beck et al. 2007). Like many urbanized areas, levels of dissolved trace metals in the water...
Figure 5. Map of the Jamaica Bay Watershed, which includes locations of Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) Facilities and CSOs. Vegetation coverage is modified from the Ecological Covertype Map, Version 2, developed by the Natural Areas Conservancy (O’Neill-Dunne et al. 2014). The vegetation layer is only available for New York City. Impervious cover data is from the 2011 National Land Cover Database (Homer et al. 2012).

Figure 6. N loading from the 4 Waste Water Treatment Plants (WWTPs) in the Jamaica Bay Watershed. Data from the EPA ECHO Monthly Discharge Monitoring Report (https://cfpub.epa.gov/dmr/index.cfm).
column of Jamaica Bay are highly increased relative to offshore water, which has been attributed to its industrial history along with continued inputs through sewer discharges (Beck, Kirk Cochran, and Sañudo-Wilhelmy 2009). In addition, a variety of pharmaceuticals and their human metabolites are ubiquitous throughout the sediments and water column of Jamaica Bay, but their influence on biogeochemical cycling has not yet been studied (Benotti and Brownawell 2007; Lara-Martín et al. 2015).

While over 90% of Jamaica Bay’s historic wetlands have been lost to urban development, the remaining 22 km$^2$ of island and perimeter marshes serve as an important ecological resource to local residents and a critical stopover for migratory birds on the Atlantic Flyway (NYCDEP 2007). The islands and low marsh are dominated by Spartina alterniflora, while Spartina patens and Distichlis spicata are dominant in the high marsh. The invasive Phragmites australis is also common in many of the perimeter marshes (Figure 5). While the loss of Jamaica Bay’s perimeter wetlands was largely the result of filling and urban land use conversion in the early twentieth century, the area of island salt marsh has been decreasing at an accelerated rate since the second half of the twentieth century, with over 60% of the 1951 island marsh area lost by 2003 (Robert 2001). The cause of this extensive marsh loss remains poorly understood, but likely includes the synergistic impacts of marsh destabilization under high nitrogen loading and the amplified tidal range (Wigand et al. 2014). To restore this lost habitat, environmental managers have piloted the direct replenishment of degraded marshes at five island sites. Marsh restoration involves the use of slurred sand from nearby dredging activities to raise the elevation of the marsh, followed by planting with native vegetation (Kress et al.).

Few field studies of ecological N$_r$ regulation in Jamaica Bay have been published to date. Cornwell et al. (1999) reported net benthic N$_2$ flux rates of 122 µmol N m$^{-2}$ hr$^{-1}$ in Jamaica Bay. Assuming similar rates for the 67 km$^2$ of Jamaica Bay bottom sediments, this would result in an annual N$_r$ removal rate of 9.8x10$^4$ kg yr$^{-1}$ or ~ 22% of the total WWTP N$_r$ load in 2012, following the first stage of implementation of WWTP upgrades. This N$_r$ removal efficiency is very similar to results obtained for natural habitats of the Colne Estuary and Wadden Sea, which also receive comparable areal loads of anthropogenic nitrogen (Table 3). However, as discussed throughout this review, actual nitrogen removal rates in natural systems are highly variable in both space and time and may be misrepresented through the simple areal extrapolation approach, even when sediment textures and hydrodynamic forcing of sediment porewater

**Table 2. Jamaica Bay sources of N$_r$**

| Jamaica Bay $N_r$ Source | Estimated Annual Load (kg N yr$^{-1}$) | % of Total Annual Load | Estimation Source/Approach |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Wastewater Treatment Plants (WWTPs) | 4.5x10$^6$ | 76.3 | NYC DEP, Unpublished, December 2016 |
| Atmospheric Deposition | 9.8 x10$^5$ | 16.5 | Du et al. 2014 (Using highest value observed for Northeast United States) |
| Groundwater Discharge | 2.5 x10$^5$ | 4.3 | Benotti, Abbene, and Terracciano 2007 |
| Combined Sewer Overflows (CSOs) | 8.9 x10$^4$ | 1.5 | Benotti, Abbene, and Terracciano 2007 |
| Subway Dewatering (pumped water bypasses WWTPs) | 8.4 x10$^4$ | 1.4 | Benotti, Abbene, and Terracciano 2007 |
| Landfill Leaching | ~ 0 | ~ 0 | Assumed to be negligible following landfill capping and remediation over the past decade. |

**Total $N_r$ input to Jamaica Bay:** 5.9x10$^6$

**Figure 7.** Annual median (a) NO$_3^-$ and (b) NH$_4^+$ measured in Jamaica Bay surface waters in 2013. Data from the Jamaica Bay Water Quality Database (City University of New York (CUNY) Brooklyn College, Center for International Earth Science Information Network (CIESIN) Columbia University, New York City Department of Environmental Protection (NYCDEP), and National Park Service (NPS) 2017), see http://www.ciesin.columbia.edu/jbwq/parameters.html for detailed sampling methods.
exchange are considered (as in Gao et al. 2012). These can include “hot moments” with variation in temperature and N\textsubscript{r} loading, the effects of urban pollutants on denitrification and anammox and feedbacks between hypoxia and nitrogen removal.

While several studies have addressed the impact of high N\textsubscript{r} loads on the sustainability of Jamaica Bay’s salt marsh (Wigand et al. 2014; Campbell et al. 2017), no published studies have investigated the N\textsubscript{r} regulation services provided by these wetlands. There are currently no published field studies of denitrification in Jamaica Bay’s salt marshes and a surface area-based assessment using rates available in the literature would suggest that they currently play a relatively limited role. The primary limitation on N\textsubscript{r} removal in these systems is contact with tidal waters, which varies with elevation throughout the salt marsh systems of the Bay (Figure 6). Using the percentage of time that marshland area is inundated over the course of a year (see (Ensign, Pielker, and Doyle 2008)) and denitrification rates measured in the near-surface sediments of New England Spartina alterniflora marsh by Koop-Jakobsen and Giblin (2010, 57.5 μmol N m\textsuperscript{-2} hr\textsuperscript{-1}), the annual N\textsubscript{r} removed from inundating tidal water would be ~ 1.4x10\textsuperscript{4} kg N yr\textsuperscript{-1}, or only ~ 1–2% of the annual N\textsubscript{r} load from Jamaica Bay’s WWTPs.

Based on the recent literature on environmental controls on salt marsh N\textsubscript{r} regulation, this is likely to be an underestimate, and these wetlands may play a more significant landscape-scale role in N\textsubscript{r} removal than indicated by area-based estimates alone, which is progressively lost each year as marsh area continues to decrease. There is currently insufficient information available on the marsh hydrogeology to inform the horizontal transport of water into the root zone or the effects of bioirrigation on advective transport. Some of the distinctly urban features of these marshes, such as extensive ditching or amplified tidal flushing (Figure 8), may also actually enhance their ability to remove N\textsubscript{r} at the same time as eutrophication and the high tidal range threaten their sustainability. As practitioners plan continued WWTP infrastructure upgrades, they do so without information on whether the upgrades will reduce N\textsubscript{r} loads to levels that do not impact marsh stability, or to support the design of marsh restoration projects for enhanced denitrification and anammox.

Over the next few years, Jamaica Bay’s environmental managers will be faced with many critical decisions, including the deployment of large-scale infrastructure or bathymetric re-contouring for flood resilience, investment in WWTP upgrades for further reductions in N\textsubscript{r} loading and the restoration of lost or degraded wetland habitat. The recent advances in our understanding of N\textsubscript{r} regulation mechanisms in coastal systems have the potential to inform this decision-making, but our understanding of key drivers and interactions in highly urbanized environments remains limited. However, the issues and challenges discussed here are not unique to Jamaica Bay, but are currently salient in many older coastal cities and can also provide important lessons for sustainable development of megacities.

### Conclusions and research opportunities

The emergence of ecosystem services as an approach for valuation of natural processes has been one of the most exciting developments in ecology and environmental science over the past 20 years. Our review suggests that coastal ecosystems that receive high N\textsubscript{r} loading through urban wastewater may be providing important N\textsubscript{r} services. But, as demonstrated by the case study of Jamaica Bay, our understanding of how human activities affect these processes is limited and presents opportunities for cross-disciplinary

### Table 3. Comparison of nitrogen removal efficiency in studies of urban coastal systems.

| Site | Area of Coastal Habit Considered (km\textsuperscript{2}) | N\textsubscript{r} load per unit area of coastal habitat (kg N km\textsuperscript{-2} yr\textsuperscript{-1}) | % of N removed as N\textsubscript{r} (through denitrification and anammox) | Approach | Study |
|------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------|
| Colne River Estuary, United Kingdom | 4.8 | 5.1x10\textsuperscript{4} (studies conducted from 1995 – 1998) | 18–27 (studies conducted from 1993–1995) | IPT | (N loads from Dong, Nedwell, and Stott 2006) |
| Wadden Sea, The Netherlands/Germany | Not provided | 4.7x10\textsuperscript{5} kg N yr\textsuperscript{-1} | ~ 30 (assuming the March-September average rate is also applicable from October-February) | IPT | (Deek et al. 2013) |
| Jinhpu Bay, China | 2,000 | 3.5 x10\textsuperscript{3} | ~ 20 | IPT | (Yin et al. 2015) |
| Barnegat Bay, NJ | 280 (including 110 km\textsuperscript{2} of wetlands) | 2.4 x10\textsuperscript{4} | ~ 28 ± 7 | MIMS | (Velnisky et al. 2017) |
| Jamaica Bay, NY | 89 (including 22 km\textsuperscript{2} of wetlands) | 6.6 x10\textsuperscript{4} | ~ 17 | IPT | Rates obtained by Cornwell, Michael Kemp, and Kana (1999 (MIMS) and Koop-Jakobsen and Giblin 2010 (IPT)) |

IPT: Isotope Pairing Technique; MIMS: N\textsubscript{2}/Ar ratio approach using Membrane Inlet Mass Spectrometry
environmental research. Particularly important topics for further study include:

(1) **Autotrophic denitrification in the urban coastal environment**: Although most observational studies to date suggest that anammox plays a comparatively less important role than denitrification in coastal N cycling, recent studies suggest that there may be significant benefit in the continued investigation of autotrophic denitrification processes such as anammox in highly urban areas, particularly environments downstream of WWTP outfalls that are exposed to very high concentrations of water column NH$_4^+$. These locations may serve as autotrophic denitrification “hot-spots” for the removal of NH$_4^+$-N from the water column and may be an important complement to denitrification in regulating N$_r$ system-wide.

(2) **Modeling nitrogen regulation in urban coastal systems**: Recent advances in analytical and field methods now allow for improved quantification of denitrification and anammox rates both *in situ* (Koop-Jakobsen et al. 2009a) and through laboratory experiments (Song et al. 2016). However, they also present an opportunity to develop enhanced approaches for the upscaling of these point measurements for long-term nitrogen budgets for coastal systems. As discussed throughout this review, rates of denitrification and anammox are highly variable between and within coastal landscapes, are dependent on complex and rapidly changing physical, chemical and ecological conditions, and are often dominated by hot spots and hot moments. The reliance on a single areal reaction rate for coastal habitats likely mis-represents their landscape-scale N$_r$ regulation role and the incorporation of these phenomena into modeling has long been recognized as a challenge for the ecosystems research community (Groffman et al. 2009). Although increasingly advanced computational resources can play an important role in enhanced model development, there is also a need for the improved parameterization of ecosystem models, particularly to represent the unique water chemistry, hydrogeology, and human management activities associated with urban coastal systems.

(3) **Identification of sustainable N$_r$ loading thresholds**: The very high N$_r$ loading in many urban coastal systems often results in nitrogen “saturation syndrome,” or reduced N$_r$ regulation capacity (Drake et al. 2009; Eyre and Ferguson 2009). Enhanced modeling of coastal N$_r$ budgets can support the

---

**Figure 8.** Tidal wetlands with % of example year inundated. Vegetation coverage is modified from the Ecological Covertype Map, Version 2, developed by the Natural Areas Conservancy (Forgione et al. 2015). The vegetation layer is only available for New York City and a small area of tidal wetlands outside the city’s political boundary are excluded here. Water-level time series are from Year 2013 at USGS Tide Gage 01311850 (Jamaica Bay at Inwood). Vertical adjustments were made using vData version 3.3. Land elevation data is from the USGS 1m National Elevation Dataset.
identification of \( N_r \) loading thresholds that would facilitate sustained \( N_r \) regulation by natural systems. Using salt marshes as an example, below such a threshold, salt marsh ecosystems could be exposed to large fluxes of tidewater \( N_r \) and continue to support high rates of denitrification. Above this threshold, frequent exposure to high water column \( N_r \) would contribute to instability and collapse, with an unsustainable loss in salt marsh area.

While this review focused on \( N_r \) in temperate coastal systems, the implications can be extended to other urban coastal environments including subtropical and tropical ecosystems (Davis and Koop 2006; Rivera-Monroy et al. 2013). They may also be particularly important for the many developing global cities that do not yet utilize WWTPs and are entirely reliant on the \( N_r \) regulation services provided by their natural systems. As urbanization continues to intensify along with increasing challenges from climate change, an enhanced understanding of the role of natural systems in the urban nitrogen cycle will be critical for both environmental management and global ecology research.

**Acknowledgments**

These analyses were supported in part by the National Science Foundation (Award # SES-1444755), the Hudson River Foundation (013/15A), New York Sea Grant (R/CTP-48), and the Professional Staff Congress of the City University of New York (PSC-CUNY). The statements, findings, conclusions, views, and recommendations are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of any of these organizations.

**Disclosure statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

**References**

Addy, K. A., Gold, B. Nowicki, J. McKenna, M. Stolt, and P. Groffman. 2005. “Denitrification Capacity in a Subterranean Estuary below a Rhode Island Fringing Salt Marsh.” *Estuaries and Coasts* 28 (6): 896–908. doi:10.1007/BF02696018.

Alldred, M., A. Liberti, and S. B. Baines. 2017. “Impact of Salinity and Nutrients on Salt Marsh Stability.” *Ecosphere* 8: 11. doi:10.1002/ecs2.2010.

Alldred, M., and S. B. Baines. 2016. “Effects of Wetland Plants on Denitrification Rates: A Meta-Analysis.” *Ecological Applications* 26 (3): 676–685. doi:10.1890/14-1525.

Aller, R. C. 2001. “Transport and Reactions in The Bioirrigated Zone.” In *The Benthic Boundary Layer: Transport Processes and Biogeochemistry*, edited by Bernard P. Boudreau and Bo Barker Jørgensen, 269. New York City, NY, USA: Oxford University Press.

Arpin-Pont, L., M. J. Martinez Bueno, E. Gomez, and H. Fenet. 2016. “Occurrence of PPCPs in the Marine Environment: A Review.” *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 23 (6): 4978–4991. doi:10.1007/s11356-014-3617-x.

Asmala, E., J. Carstensen, D. J. Conley, C. P. Slomp, J. Stadmark, and M. Voss. 2017. “Efficiency of the Coastal Filter: Nitrogen and Phosphorus Removal in the Baltic Sea.” *Limnology and Oceanography*. doi:10.1002/lno.10644.

Babbin, A. R., A. Jayakumar, and B. B. Ward. 2016. “Organic Matter Loading Modifies the Microbial Community Responsible for Nitrogen Loss in Estuarine Sediments.” *Microbial Ecology* 71 (3): 555–565. doi:10.1007/s00248-015-0693-5.

Babbin, A. R., and B. B. Ward. 2013. “Controls on Nitrogen Loss Processes in Chesapeake Bay Sediments.” *Environmental Science & Technology* 47 (9): 4189–4196. doi:10.1021/es304842r.

Bachand, P. A. M., S. Bachand, J. Fleck, F. Anderson, and L. Windham-Myers. 2014. “Differentiating Transpiration from Evaporation in Seasonal Agricultural Wetlands and the Link to Advection Fluxes in the Root Zone.” *Science of the Total Environment* 484: 232–248. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.11.026.

Banks, J. L., D. Jeff Ross, M. J. Keough, C. K. Macleod, J. Keane, and B. D. Eyre. 2013. “Influence of a Burrowing, Metal-Tolerant Polychaete on Benthic Metabolism, Denitrification and Nitrogen Regeneration in Contaminated Estuarine Sediments.” *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 68 (1): 30–37. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.01.002.

Beck, A. J., J. Kirk Cochran, and S. A. Sañudo-Wilhelmy. 2009. “Temporal Trends of Dissolved Trace Metals in Jamaica Bay, NY: Importance of Wastewater Input and Submarine Groundwater Discharge in an Urban Estuary.” *Estuaries and Coasts* 32 (3): 535–550. doi:10.1007/s12237-009-9140-5.

Beck, A. J., J. P. Rapaglia, J. Kirk Cochran, and S. A. Sañudo-Wilhelmy. 2009. “Influence of a Burrowing, Metal-Tolerant Polychaete on Benthic Metabolism, Denitrification and Nitrogen Regeneration in Contaminated Estuarine Sediments.” *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 68 (3): 419–441. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2007.03.008.

Beebe, D. A., and B. A. Lowery. 2008. “Seawater Recirculation Drives Groundwater Nutrient Loading from a Developed Estuary Shoreline with On-Site Wastewater Treatment Systems: Mobile Bay, USA.” *Environmental Earth Sciences* 77 (10): 372. doi:10.1007/s12665-013-1987-6.

Benotti, M. J., and B. J. Brownwell. 2007. “Distributions of Pharmaceuticals in an Urban Estuary during Both Dry-and Wet-Weather Conditions.” *Environmental Science & Technology* 41 (16): 5795–5802. doi:10.1021/es0629965.

Benotti, M. J., I. Abbene, and S. A. Terracciano. 2007. “Nitrogen Loading in Jamaica Bay, Long Island, New York: Predevelopment to 2005.” Report 2007–5051. Scientific Investigations Report. USGS Publications Warehouse. http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/sir20075051.

Bernhardt, E. S., L. E. Band, C. J. Walsh, and P. E. Berke. 2008. “Understanding, Managing, and Minimizing Urban Impacts on Surface Water Nitrogen Loading.” *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1134 (1): 61–96. doi:10.1196/annals.1439.014.

Betzler, N. D., and P. M. Groffman. 2013. “Nitrogen Deposition in and near an Urban Ecosystem.” *Environmental Science & Technology* 47 (11): 6047–6054. doi:10.1021/es400664b.

Blackburn, S., and C. Marques. 2014. “Mega-Urbanisation on the Coast: Global Context and Key Trends in the Twenty-First Century.” In *Megacities and the Coast: Risk, Resilience and Transformation*, edited by M.
Du, E., W. de Vries, J. N. Galloway, H. Xueyang, and J. Fang. 2014. “Changes in Wet Nitrogen Deposition in the United States between 1985 and 2012.” Environmental Research Letters 9 (9): 095004. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/9/9/095004.

Duck, R. W., and J. F. Da Silva. 2012. “Coastal Lagoons and Their Evolution: A Hydromorphological Perspective.” Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 110: 2–14. doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2012.03.007.

Elsey-Quirk, T., and S. C. Adamowicz. 2016. “Influence of Physical Manipulations on Short-Term Salt Marsh Morphodynamics: Examples from the North and Mid-Atlantic Coast, USA.” Estuaries and Coasts 39 (2): 423–439. doi:10.1007/s12237-015-0113-9.

Engström, P., T. Dalsgaard, S. Hulth, and R. C. Aller. 2005. “Anaerobic Ammonium Oxidation by Nitrite (Anammox): Implications for N 2 Production in Coastal Marine Sediments.” Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 69 (8): 2057–2065. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2004.09.032.

Ensign, S. H., M. F. Piehler, and M. W. Doyle. 2008. “Riparian Zone Denitrification Affects Nitrogen Flux through a Tidal Freshwater River.” Biogeochemistry 91 (2–3): 133–150. doi:10.1007/s10533-008-9265-9.

Eriksson, P. G., J. M. Svensson, and G. M. Carrer. 2003. “Temporal Changes and Spatial Variation Of Soil Oxygen Consumption, Nitrification and Denitrification Rates in a Tidal Salt Marsh Of The Lagoon Of Venice, Italy.” Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 58 (4): 861–871. doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2003.07.002.

Erisman, J. W., J. N. Galloway, S. Seitzing, A. Bleeker, N. B. Duse, A. M. R. Petescu, A. M. Leach, and W. de Vries. 2013. “Consequences of Human Modification of the Global Nitrogen Cycle.” Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B 368 (1621): 20130116. doi:10.1098/rstb.2013.0116.

Etheridge, J. R., M. R. Burchell, and F. Birgand. 2017. “Can Created Tidal Marshes Reduce Nitrate Export to Downstream Estuaries?” Ecological Engineering 105: 314–324. doi:10.1016/j.ecoleng.2017.05.009.

Eyre, B. D., and A. J. P. Ferguson. 2009. “Denitrification Efficiency for Defining Critical Loads of Carbon in Shallow Coastal Ecosystems.” Hydrobiologia 629 (1): 137–146. doi:10.1007/s10750-009-9765-1.

Eyre, B. D., A. J. P. Ferguson, A. Webb, D. Maher, and J. M. Oakes. 2011. “Denitrification, N-Fixation and Nitrogen and Phosphorus Fluxes in Different Benthic Habitats and Their Contribution to the Nitrogen and Phosphorus Budgets of a Shallow Oligotrophic Sub-Tropical Coastal System (Southern Moreton Bay, Australia).” Biogeochemistry 102 (1–3): 111–133. doi:10.1007/s10533-010-9425-6.

Faulkner, S. 2004. “Urbanization Impacts on the Structure and Function of Forested Wetlands.” Urban Ecosystems 7 (2): 89–106. doi:10.1023/B:UECO.0000036269.36249.66.

Fowler, D., M. Coyle, U. Skiba, M. A. Sutton, J. N. Cape, S. Reis, L. J. Sheppard, A. Jenkins, B. Grizzetti, and J. N. Galloway. 2013.“The Global Nitrogen Cycle in the Twenty-First Century.” Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B 368 (1621): 20130164. doi:10.1098/rstb.2013.0164.

Freeze, R. A., and J. A. Cherry. 1979. Groundwater, 604. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Galloway, J. N., I. D. Aber, J. W. Erisman, S. P. Seitzing, R. W. Howarth, E. B. Cowling, and B. Jack Cosby. 2003. “The Nitrogen Cascade.” Aibs Bulletin 53 (4): 341–356.

Gao, H., M. Matyka, B. Liu, A. Khalili, E. Kostka, G. Collins, S. Jansen, M. Holtappels, M. M. Jensen, and T. H. Badewien. 2012. “Intensive and Extensive Nitrogen Loss from Intertidal Permeable Sediments of the Wadden Sea.” Limnology and Oceanography 57 (1): 185–198. doi:10.4319/lo.2012.57.1.0185.

Gardner, W. S., and M. J. McCarthy. 2009. “Nitrogen Dynamics at the Sediment–Water Interface in Shallow, Sub-Tropical Florida Bay: Why Denitrification Efficiency May Decrease with Increased Eutrophication.” Biogeochemistry 95 (2–3): 185–198. doi:10.1007/s10533-009-9329-5.

Garrone, P., L. Grilli, A. Groppi, and R. Marzano. 2016. “Barriers and Drivers in the Adoption of Advanced Wastewater Treatment Technologies: A Comparative Analysis of Italian Utilities.” Journal of Cleaner Production, 171, Supplement: S69–78.

Gedan, K. B., R. S. Silliman, and M. D. Bertness. 2009. “Centuries of Human-Driven Change in Salt Marsh Ecosystems.” Annual Review of Marine Science 1: 117–41.

Glavas, R. V., T. D. Pickells, A. Baklanov, G. R. Carmichael, T. M. Church, L. Gallardo, C. Hughes, et al. 2013. “Megacities and Large Urban Agglomerations in the Coastal Zone: Interactions between Atmosphere, Land, and Marine Ecosystems.” Ambio 42 (1): 13–28. doi:10.1007/s13280-012-0343-9.

Graham, S. A., and I. A. Mendelsohn. 2014. “Coastal Wetland Stability Maintained through Counterbalancing Accretionary Responses to Chronic Nutrient Enrichment.” Ecology 95 (12): 3271–3283. doi:10.1890/14-0196.1.

Green, D. S., B. Boots, J. Sigwart, S. Jiang, and C. Rocha. 2016. “Effects of Conventional and Biodegradable Microplastics on a Marine Ecosystem Engineer (Arenicola marina) and Sediment Nitrogen Cycling.” Environmental Pollution 208: 426–434. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2015.10.010.

Grofman, P. M., K. Butterbach-Bahl, R. W. Fulweiler, A. J. Gold, J. L. Morse, E. K. Stander, C. Tague, C. Tonitto, and P. Vidon. 2009. “Challenges to Incorporating Spatially and Temporally Explicit Phenomena (Hotspots and Hot Moments) in Denitrification Models.” Biogeochemistry 93 (1–2): 49–77. doi:10.1007/s10533-008-9277-5.

Gustavson, K. E., G. Allen Burton, D. D. Reible, and D. J. Vorh Wolfe. 2008. “Evaluating the Effectiveness of Contaminated-Sediment Dredging.” Environmental Science & Technology 14 (42): 5042–5047.

Hagheri, S. A. G. Leroy, F. P. Wesselhing, and N. L. Rose. 2016. “Rapid Evolution of Coastal Lagoons in Response to Human Interference under Rapid Sea Level Change: A South Caspian Sea Case Study.” Quaternary International 408: 93–112. doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2015.12.005.

Hale, R. L. 2016. “Spatial and Temporal Variation in Local Stormwater Infrastructure Use and Stormwater Management Paradigms over the 20th Century.” Water 8 (7): 310. doi:10.3390/w8070310.

Herbert, R. A. 1999. “Nitrogen Cycling in Coastal Marine Ecosystems.” FEMS Microbiology Reviews 23 (5): 563–590. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6976.1999.tb00414.x.

Hietanen, S., and J. Kuparinen. 2008. “Seasonal and Short-Term Variation in Denitrification and Anammox at a Coastal Station on the Gulf of Finland, Baltic Sea.” Hydrobiologia 596 (1): 67–77. doi:10.1007/s10750-007-9058-5.

Hill, K. 2015. “Coastal Infrastructure: A Typology for the next Century of Adaptation to Sea-Level Rise.” Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 13 (9): 468–476. doi:10.1890/150988.

Homer, C., J. A. Fry, and C. A. Barnes. 2012. “The National Land Cover Database.” Factsheet 2012–3012. U.S. Geological Survey. https://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2012/3020/fs2012-3020.pdf
Hou, L., G. Yin, M. Liu, J. Zhou, Y. Zheng, J. Gao, H. Zong, Y. Yang, L. Gao, and C. Tong. 2015. “Effects of Sulfamethazine on Denitrification and the Associated N2O Release in Estuarine and Coastal Sediments.” Environmental Science & Technology 49 (1): 326–333. doi:10.1021/es504433r.

Hou, L., Y. Zheng, M. Liu, J. Gong, X. Zhang, G. Yin, and L. You. 2013. “Anaerobic Ammonium Oxidation (Anammox) Bacterial Diversity, Abundance, and Activity in Marsh Sediments of the Yangtze Estuary.” Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences 118 (3): 1237–1246. doi:10.1002/jgrg.20108.

Hou, L., Y. Zheng, M. Liu, X. Li, X. Lin, G. Yin, J. Gao, F. Deng, F. Chen, and X. Jiang. 2015b. “Anaerobic Ammonium Oxidation and Its Contribution to Nitrogen Removal in China’s Coastal Wetlands.” Scientific Reports 5 (October). doi:10.1038/srep15621.

Howarth, R. W., F. Chan, D. J. Conley, J. Garnier, S. C. Doney, R. Marino, and G. Billen. 2011. “Coupled Biogeochemical Cycles: Eutrophication and Hypoxia in Temperate Estuaries and Coastal Marine Ecosystems.” Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 9 (1): 18–26. doi:10.1890/100008.

Howarth, R. W., and R. Marino. 2006. “Nitrogen as the Limiting Nutrient for Eutrophication in Coastal Marine Ecosystems: Evolving Views over Three Decades.” Limnology and Oceanography 51 (1part2): 364–376. doi:10.4319/lo.2006.51.1_part_2.0364.

Huang, J., W. Zhang, X. Zhu, F. S. Gilliam, H. Chen, X. Lu, and J. Mo. 2015. “Urbanization in China Changes the Composition and Main Sources of Wet Inorganic Nitrogen Deposition.” Environmental Science and Pollution Research 22 (9): 6526–6534. doi:10.1007/s11356-014-3786-7.

Huettel, M., P. Berg, and J. E. Kostka. 2014. “Benthic Exchange and Biogeochemical Cycling in Permeable Sediments.” Annual Review of Marine Science 6: 23–51.

Hughes, C. E., P. Binning, and G. R. Willgoose. 1998. “Characterisation of the Hydrology of an Estuarine Wetland.” Journal of Hydrology 211 (1): 34–49. doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(98)00194-2.

Hulsmann, K., P. E. Dale, and B. H. Kay. 1989. “The Runnelling Method of Habitat Modification: An Environment-Focused Tool for Salt Marsh Mosquito Management.” Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association 5 (2): 226–234.

Islam, M. S., and M. Tanaka. 2004. “Impacts of Pollution on Coastal and Marine Ecosystems Including Coastal and Marine Fisheries and Approach for Management: A Review and Synthesis.” Marine Pollution Bulletin 48 (7): 624–649. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2003.12.004.

Jensen, M. M., M. M. M. Kuypers, L. Gaute, and B. Thamdrup. 2008. “Rates and Regulation of Anaerobic Ammonium Oxidation and Denitrification in the Black Sea.” Limnology and Oceanography 53 (1): 23–36. doi:10.4319/lno.2008.53.1.0023.

Jickells, T. D., J. E. Andrews, D. J. Parkes, S. Suratman, A. A. Aziz, and Y. Y. Hee. 2014. “Nutrient Transport through Estuaries: The Importance of the Estuarine Geography.” Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, Special issue on Problems of Small Estuaries 150 (October): 215–229. doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2014.03.014.

Jin, R.-C., G.-F. Yang, J.-J. Yu, and Ping Zheng. 2012. “The Inhibition of the Anammox Process: a Review.” Chemical Engineering Journal 197: 67–79. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2012.05.014.

Jonge, V. N. D., M. Elliott, and E. Orive. 2002. “Causes, Historical Development, Effects and Future Challenges of a Common Environmental Problem: Eutrophication.” Hydrobiologia 475 (1): 1–19. doi:10.1023/A:1020366418295.

Jordan, S. J., J. Stoffer, and J. A. Nestlerode. 2011. “Wetlands as Sinks for Reactive Nitrogen at Continental and Global Scales: A Meta-Analysis.” Ecosystems 14 (1): 144–155. doi:10.1007/s10021-010-9400-z.

Joye, S. B., and J. T. Hollibaugh. 1995. “Influence of Sulfide Inhibition of Nitrification on Nitrogen Regeneration in Sediments.” Science 270 (5236): 623.

Kennedy, C. A., I. Stewart, A. Facchini, I. Cersosimo, R. Mele, B. Chen, M. Uda, et al. 2015. “Energy and Material Flows of Megacities.” Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 112 (19): 5985–5990. doi:10.1073/pnas.1504315112.

Koop-Jakobsen, K., and A. E. Giblin. 2009a. “Anammox in Tidal Marsh Sediments: The Role of Salinity, Nitrogen Loading, and Marsh Vegetation.” Estuaries and Coasts 32 (2): 238–245. doi:10.1007/s12237-008-9131-y.

Koop-Jakobsen, K., and A. E. Giblin. 2009b. “New Approach for Measuring Denitrification in the Rhizosphere of Vegetated Marsh Sediments.” Limnology and Oceanography: Methods 7 (9): 626–637.

Koop-Jakobsen, K., and A. E. Giblin. 2010. “The Effect of Increased Nitrate Loading on Nitrate Reduction via Denitrification and DNRA in Salt Marsh Sediments.” Limnology and Oceanography 55 (2): 789–802. doi:10.4319/lo.2009.55.2.0789.

Kress, M. M., K. F. Touzinsky, E. A. Vuxton, B. Greenfeld, L. S. Lillycrop, and I. D. Rosati. 2016. “Alignment Of Us Ace Civil Works Missions to Restore Habitat and Increase Environmental Resiliency.” Coastal Management 44 (3): 193–208.

Kroeze, C., N. Hofstra, W. Ivens, A. Löhr, M. Strokol, and J. van Wijnen. 2013. “The Links between Global Carbon, Water and Nutrient Cycles in an Urbanizing World—The Case of Coastal Eutrophication.” Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability 5 (6): 566–572. doi:10.1016/j.cosust.2013.11.004.

Lam, P., and M. M. M. Kuypers. 2011. “Microbial Nitrogen Cycling Processes in Oxygen Minimum Zones.” Annual Review of Marine Science 3: 317–345. doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-120709-142814.

Lara-Martín, P. A., A. A. Renfro, J. Kirk Cochran, and B. J. Brownawell. 2015. “Geochemistry of Pharmaceuticals in a Sewage-Impacted Estuarine Urban Setting (Jamaica Bay, New York).” Environmental Science & Technology 49 (10): 5948–5955. doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b0609v.

Lee, S. Y., R. J. K. Dunn, R. A. Young, R. M. Connolly, P. E. R. Dale, R. Dehayr, C. J. Lemckert, S. McKinnon, B. Powell, and P. R. Teasdale. 2006. “Impact of Urbanization on Coastal Wetland Structure and Function.” Austral Ecology 31 (2): 149–163. doi:10.1111/j.1442-9993.2006.01581.x.

Levin, S. A., H. A. Mooney, and C. Field. 1989. “The Dependence of Plant Root: Shoot Ratios on Internal Nitrogen Concentration.” Annals of Botany 64 (1): 71–75. doi:10.1006/oxfb.2010.08710.

Li, X., L. Hou, M. Liu, Y. Zheng, G. Yin, X. Lin, L. Cheng, Y. Li, and X. Hu. 2015. “Evidence of Nitrogen Loss from Anaerobic Ammonium Oxidation Coupled with Ferric Iron Reduction in an Intertidal Wetland.” Environmental Science & Technology 49 (19): 11560–11568. doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b03419.

Liefer, J. D., H. L. MacIntyre, N. Su, and W. C. Burnett. 2014. “Seasonal Alternation Between Groundwater Discharge and Benthic Coupling as Nutrient Sources in
Potential Sinks under Different Restoration Scenarios.” *Journal of Coastal Research* 67 (sp1): 75–87.

Robertson, L. A., and J. Gijs Kuenen. 1983. “Thiosphaera Pantotropha Gen. Nov. Sp. Nov., a Facultatively Anaerobic, Facultatively Autotrophic Sulphur Bacterium.” *Microbiology* 129 (9): 2847–2855.

Robert C. A. 2001. “Transport and Reactions in the Bioirrigated Zone.” In *The Benthic Boundary Layer: Transport Processes and Biogeochemistry*, edited by B. P. Boudreau and B. B. Jørgensen, 269. New York City, NY, USA: Oxford University Press.

Rodriguez-Garcia, G., N. Frison, J. R. Vázquez-Padín, A. Hospido, J. M. Garrido, F. Fatone, D. Bolzonella, M. T. Moreira, and G. Feijoo. 2014. “Life Cycle Assessment of Nutrient Removal Technologies for the Treatment of Anaerobic Digestion Supernatant and Its Integration in a Wastewater Treatment Plant.” *Science of the Total Environment* 490: 871–879.

Rosi-Marshall, E. J., and T. V. Royer. 2012. “Pharmaceutical Compounds and Ecosystem Function: An Emerging Research Challenge for Aquatic Ecologists.” *Ecosystems* 15 (6): 867–880.

Santoro, A. E. 2010. “Microbial Nitrogen Cycling at the Saltwater–Freshwater Interface.” *Hydrogeology Journal* 18 (1): 187–202. doi:10.1007/s10040-009-0526-z.

Sasser, C. E., E. Evers-Hebert, G. O. Holm, B. Milan, J. B. Sasser, E. F. Peterson, and R. D. DeLaune. 2017. “Relationships of Marsh Soil Strength to Belowground Vegetation Biomass in Louisiana Coastal Marshes.” *Wetlands*, no. December: 1–9. doi:10.1007/s13157-017-0977-2.

Sears, K., J. E. Alleman, J. L. Barnard, and J. A. Oleszkiewicz. 2004. “Impacts of Reduced Sulfur Components on Active and Resting Ammonia Oxidizers.” *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology* 31 (8): 369–378.

Seitzinger, S. P. 1988. “Denitrification in Freshwater and Coastal Marine Ecosystems: Ecological and Geochemical Significance.” *Limnology and Oceanography* 33 (4part2): 702–724.

Shao, M.-F., T. Zhang, and H. Han-Ping Fang. 2010. “Sulfur-Driven Autotrophic Denitrification: Diversity, Biochemistry, and Engineering Applications.” *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 88 (5): 1027–1042.

Sherr, B. F., and W. J. Payne. 1978. “Effect of the Spartina Alterniflora Root-Rhizome System on Salt Marsh Soil Denitrifying Bacteria.” *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 35 (4): 724–729.

Smyth, A. R. S., P. Thompson, K. N. Siporin, W. S. Gardner, M. J. McCarthy, and M. F. Pielcher. 2013. “Assessing Nitrogen Dynamics throughout the Estuarine Landscape.” *Estuaries and Coasts* 36 (1): 44–55.

Song, G. D., S. M. Liu, M. M. M. Kuypers, and G. Lavik. 2016. “Application of the Isotope Pairing Technique in Sediments Where Anammox, Denitrification, and Dissimilatory Nitrate Reduction to Ammonium Coexist.” *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods* 14 (12): 801–815.

Sousa, A. I., A. L. Lillebø, N. Risgaard-Petersen, M. A. Pardal, and I. Caçador. 2012. “Denitrification: An Ecosystem Service Provided by Salt Marshes.” *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 448: 79–92.

Sparks, E. L., J. Cebrian, C. R. Tobias, and C. A. May. 2015. “Groundwater Nitrogen Processing in Northern Gulf of Mexico Restored Marshes.” *Journal of Environmental Management* 150 (Supplement C): 206–215. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.11.019.
Valiela, I., J. M. Teal, and W. Sass. 1973. “Nutrient Retention in Salt Marsh Plots Experimentally Fertilized with Sewage Sludge.” Estuarine and Coastal Marine Science 1 (3): 261–269.

Valiela, I., and M. L. Cole. 2002. “Comparative Evidence That Salt Marshes and Mangroves May Protect Seagrass Meadows from Land-Derived Nitrogen Loads.” Ecosystems 5 (1): 92–102.

Velinsky, D. J., B. Paudel, T. Quirk, M. Piehler, and A. Smyth. 2017. “Salt Marsh Denitrification Provides a Significant Nitrogen Sink in Barnegat Bay, New Jersey.” Journal of Coastal Research 70–78. October. doi:10.2112/SI78-007.1.

Vieillard, Amanda M., and Robinson W Fulweiler. 2012. “Impacts Of Long-term Fertilization on Salt Marsh Tidal Creek Benthic Nutrient and N2 Gas Fluxes.” Marine Ecology Progress Series 471: 11–22.

Wallace, R. B., and C. J. Gobler. 2015. “Factors Controlling Blooms of Microalgae and Macroalgae (Ulva Rigidia) in a Eutrophic, Urban Estuary: Jamaica Bay, NY, USA.” Estuaries and Coasts 38 (2): 519–533.

Wallace, R. B., H. Baumann, J. S. Grear, R. C. Aller, and C. J. Gobler. 2014. “Coastal Ocean Acidification: The Other Eutrophication Problem.” Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 148 (July): 1–13. doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2014.05.027.

Walsh, C. J., A. H. Roy, J. W. Feminella, P. D. Cottingham, P. M. Groffman, and R. P. Morgan II. 2005. “The Urban Stream Syndrome: Current Knowledge and the Search for a Cure.” Journal of the North American Benthological Society 24 (3): 706–723.

Watson, E. B., A. J. Oczkowski, C. Wigand, A. R. Hanson, E. W. Davey, S. C. Crosby, R. L. Johnson, and H. M. Andrews. 2014. “Nutrient Enrichment and Precipitation Changes Do Not Enhance Resiliency of Salt Marshes to Sea Level Rise in the Northeastern US.” Climatic Change 125 (3–4): 501–509.

Wigand, C. T. Roman, E. Davey, M. Stolt, R. Johnson, A. Hanson, E. B. Watson, S. B. Moran, D. R. Cahoon, and J. C. Lynch. 2014. “Below the Disappearing Marshes of an Urban Estuary: Historic Nitrogen Trends and Soil Structure.” Ecological Applications 24 (4): 633–649.

Wilson, A. M., and L. R. Gardner. 2006. “Tidally Driven Groundwater Flow and Solute Exchange in a Marsh: Numerical Simulations.” Water Resources Research 42: 1.

Xin, P., G. Jin, L. Li, and D. A. Barry. 2009. “Effects of Crab Burrows on Pore Water Flows in Salt Marshes.” Advances in Water Resources 32 (3): 439–449.

Xin, P., J. Kong, L. Li, and D. A. Barry. 2012. “Effects of Soil Stratigraphy on Pore-Water Flow in a Creek-Marsh System.” Journal of Hydrology 475: 175–187.

Xue, X., H. Hong, and A. T. Charles. 2004. “Cumulative Environmental Impacts and Integrated Coastal Management: The Case of Xiamen, China.” Journal of Environmental Management 71 (3): 271–283.

Yin, G., L. Hou, H. Zong, P. Ding, M. Liu, S. Zhang, X. Cheng, and J. Zhou. 2015. “Denitrification and Anaerobic Ammonium Oxidization across the Sediment–Water Interface in the Hypereutrophic Ecosystem, Jinpu Bay, in the Northeastern Coast of China.” Estuaries and Coasts 38 (1): 211–219.

Yin, G., L. Hou, M. Liu, Y. Zheng, X. Li, X. Lin, J. Gao, and X. Jiang. 2016. “Effects of Thiamphenicol on Nitrate Reduction and N 2 O Release in Estuarine and Coastal Sediments.” Environmental Pollution 214: 265–272.

Yin, G., L. Hou, M. Liu, Y. Zheng, X. Li, X. Lin, J. Gao, X. Jiang, R. Wang, and C. Yu. 2017. “Effects of Multiple Antibiotics Exposure on Denitrification Process in the Yangtze Estuary Sediments.” Chemosphere 171: 118–125.

Zheng, Y., L. Hou, M. Liu, G. Yin, J. Gao, X. Jiang, X. Lin, L. XiaoFei, Y. Chendi, and R. Wang. 2016. “Community Composition and Activity of Anaerobic Ammonium Oxidation Bacteria in the Rhizosphere of Salt-Marsh Grass Spartina Alterniflora.” Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 100 (18): 8203–8212.

Zumft, W. G. 1997. “Cell Biology and Molecular Basis of Denitrification.” Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews 61 (4): 533–616.