All in the family: how the APPs regulate neurogenesis
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Recent intriguing evidence suggests that metabolites of amyloid precursor protein (APP), mutated in familial forms of Alzheimer’s disease (AD), play critical roles in developmental and postnatal neurogenesis. Of note is soluble APPx (sAPPx) that regulates neural progenitor cell proliferation. The APP family encompasses a group of ubiquitously expressed and evolutionarily conserved, type I transmembrane glycoproteins, whose functions have yet to be fully elucidated. APP can undergo proteolytic cleavage by mutually exclusive pathways. The subtle structural differences between metabolites generated in the different pathways, as well as their equilibrium, may be crucial for neuronal function. The implications of this new body of evidence are significant. Misincision of APP would readily impact developmental and postnatal neurogenesis, which might contribute to cognitive deficits characterizing Alzheimer’s disease. This review will discuss the implications of the role of the APP family in neurogenesis for neuronal development, cognitive function, and brain disorders that compromise learning and memory, such as AD.
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INTRODUCTION

The adult mammalian brain contains pools of neural stem cells (NSC) in two discrete regions: the subventricular zone (SVZ; Lois et al., 1996) and the subgranular zone (SGL;IMS); SGL migrate only a short distance to the inner one-third of the granule layer where they functionally incorporate and show characteristic electrophysiological properties (van Praag et al., 2002; Zhao et al., 2006; for review see Ming and Song, 2011). The neurogenic niche is thought to be a tightly regulated environment where a combination of factors allows for the constant self-renewal and proliferation of NSC throughout the lifespan of an organism as displayed by the inability of SVZ NSC to proliferate and form neurons when injected into non-neurogenic regions (Gage, 2000; Lim et al., 2000). Individualized cross-talk of the NSC and the neurogenic niche permits cell differentiation, migration, and ultimately incorporation into existing functional circuits (Liu and Alvarez-Buylla, 2011; Ming and Song, 2011).

The functional role of neurogenesis in the adult hippocampus has yet to be fully elucidated. Because NPC incorporate into the DG of the hippocampus and the olfactory bulb, regions associated with different types of learning and memory, much of the focus of the biological function of neurogenesis has been on a potential role in these processes. The initial evidence for neurogenic involvement in memory formation came from the seasonal changes in songbird neurogenesis. It was shown that the newly born cells responded to songs and that neurogenic increases could be correlated with seasonal and hormonal changes associated with
song learning (Nottebohm, 2004). Studies in mammals using different strains of mice (Kempermann et al., 1997a), environmental enrichment (Kempermann et al., 1997b) and running (van Praag et al., 1999) have each shown a correlation between increases in neurogenesis and enhanced performance on a spatial memory task. Conversely, experiments using different aged rats (Bizon and Gallagher, 2003), stress paradigms (Lemaire et al., 2000), irradiation (Madsen et al., 2003; Raber et al., 2004; Rola et al., 2004), and the DNA methylaing agent methylation agent methylazoxymethanol acetate (MAM; Shors et al., 2001; Shors et al., 2002) have each shown correlations between a decrease in neurogenesis and impairment on hippocampal-dependent memory tasks though studies have provided conflicting results as to which memory paradigms are affected. Genetic manipulations have provided further evidence for the role of neurogenic processes in memory formation. Deletion of either neurotrophin 3 (NT-3; Shimizu et al., 2006) or methyl-CpG binding protein 1 (MBD1), a methylated DNA binding protein (Zhao et al., 2003), produced a decrease in neurogenesis as well as an impairment on the Morris water-maze task. A study using both irradiation and glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP)-thymidine kinase (TK) transgenic mice that express a herpes virus TK in progenitor cells allowing for ablation of these cells through ganciclovir administration showed that spatial memory was retained while contextual fear conditioning was impaired. Long-term potentiation in the DG was also impaired in both models of neurogenic ablation (Saxe et al., 2006). Also of note, another study showed the preferential recruitment of new neurons into spatial memory networks following water-maze learning using the expression of c-fos, an immediate early gene, to examine neuronal excitation (Kee et al., 2007). Furthermore, an inducible deletion of TLX from the adult mouse brain drastically reduced neurogenesis and impaired spatial learning (Zhang et al., 2008). In that regard, ablation of hippocampal neurogenesis by inducible Bax expression in NPC results in deficits in Novelty detection, contextual fear conditioning, place learning, and spatial navigation (Duguet et al., 2008). Emerging evidence also suggests that neurogenesis is crucial to pattern separation in the DG, a set of processes in which converts similar experiences into discrete events (Clelland et al., 2009; Sahay et al., 2011).

In the SVZ-olfactory bulb system, the functional significance of neurogenesis has proven more difficult to elucidate. Though NPC from the SVZ replace nearly all of the inner granule layer and 50% of the superficial zone (Imayoshi et al., 2008), ablation of neural cell adhesion molecule (NCAM) in mice does not impair olfactory discrimination learning, and impaired migration through the (RMS) due to a knock-out of Bax does not impair olfactory discrimination learning or memory (Schellack et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2007). However, in another study of the NCAM-null model, it was shown that alterations in NPC migration led to a 40% reduction in inner granule interneurons and fine olfactory discrimination deficits but normal olfactory threshold and memory (Gheusi et al., 2000). More recent evidence has emerged that newly generated neurons are vital to paternal and maternal behaviors intimately associated with olfactory cues (Mak and Weiss, 2010; Sakamoto et al., 2011). Short-term olfactory memory improvement associated with olfactory enrichment depends on newborn neurons (Rocheft et al., 2002). In support of that, ablation of new neurons in the olfactory bulb by AraC treatment results in reduced short-term olfactory memory, but did not affect spontaneous odor discrimination and long-term odor-associative memory tasks (Breton-Provencher et al., 2009). In contrast, deletion of new neurons in the olfactory bulb of adult mice by focal irradiation impaired long-term rather than short-term olfactory memory (Lazarini et al., 2009). Using a combination of behavioral training and pharmacological treatment for the manipulation of neurogenesis in the SVZ, Sultan et al. (2010) show that newborn neurons participate in the neural representation of the learned odor, which is used on recall of the task. Moreover, learning selects new neurons to survive in an odor-specific area of the olfactory bulb. These selected neurons are required for long-term olfacton memory but not for task acquisition (Sultan et al., 2010).

In addition, another study suggests that a more complex olfactory associated tasks such as olfactory perceptual learning seem to require ongoing neurogenesis (Moreno et al., 2009), suggesting that newly formed cells may be specially adapted to handle fine or complex olfactory function. It was recently suggested that conflicting results concerning the functional significance of adult neurogenesis in olfaction may stem from differences in the behavioral paradigms used in these studies. Specifically, this study suggests that the use of an operant component, in which a reward is associated with olfactory task, reinforces an active behavior. This behavior represents more faithfully long-term olfaction memory that is neurogenesis-dependent (Mandairon et al., 2011). Regardless, the difficulty in obtaining consistent results concerning the function of new neurons in olfaction memory and in hippocampus-dependent memory, may underlie, at least in part, controversial data described below, concerning the functional significance of specific metabolites in neurogenesis, and in learning and memory.

Complementary information concerning the functional significance of neurogenesis may be obtained from learning and memory disorders, such as Alzheimer’s disease (AD). AD is a progressive neurodegenerative disease characterized by progressive loss of memory, impaired learning, and cognitive deterioration. Individuals affected with the disease experience loss of olfaction-dependent and hippocampal-dependent function. Intriguingly, increasing evidence suggests that in mouse models of familial Alzheimer’s disease (FAD), neurogenesis is impaired early in life, preceding hallmarks of the disease, such as amyloid deposition, tau hyperphosphorylation, and cognitive impairments (Li et al., 2008; Rodriguez et al., 2008; Demars et al., 2010b; Gang et al., 2011; for review see Lazarov and Marr, 2010). Nevertheless, the mechanism underlying impaired neurogenesis in AD is not fully elucidated. Familial, rare forms of the disease (FAD) are caused by mutations in amyloid precursor protein (APP), presenilin-1, and 2 (PS1,2; For review see Selkoe, 2001). Interestingly, it becomes clear that both APP and PS1, the catalytic core of one of the enzymes that cleaves APP, play a role in regulation of neurogenesis during development and postnatally. This review will discuss emerging information of the role of the APP family members in neurogenesis.

**THE APP FAMILY**

The APP family is a group of ubiquitously expressed and evolutionarily conserved, type I transmembrane glycoproteins, whose...
functions have yet to be fully elucidated (Figure 1). In mammals, this gene family includes APP, amyloid precursor-like proteins 1 & 2 (APLP1 & 2). Ap-1 and Appl are the worm and the fly homolog, respectively. The APLP’s show strong sequence homology to APP, particularly in the C-terminal domain (Wasco et al., 1992, 1993). APP undergoes extensive proteolysis by at least three enzymatic activities termed α-, β-, γ-secretase, leading to the production of a number of intra- and extracellular metabolites. Of note, APP processing resembles Notch receptor processing (Figure 2), for review see Hartmann et al. (2001). In the non-amyloidogenic pathway, APP is cleaved by α-secretase, followed by regulated intramembrane proteolysis (RIP) by the aspartyl protease γ-secretase. Several enzymes have been shown to have α-secretase activity including members of the "a disintegrin and metalloproteinase" (ADAM) family, ADAM9, ADAM10 (Lammich et al., 1999), and ADAM17 (Buxbaum et al., 1998; Asai et al., 2003; Postina et al., 2004) and β-site APP cleaving enzyme 2 (BACE2; Yan et al., 2001). This cleavage event releases sAPPα to the extracellular space and leaves a membrane-tethered APP C-terminal fragment (APP-CTF; Figure 2; Sisodia et al., 1990). Recent studies suggest that ADAM10 is the major α-secretase of the brain both in development and in the adult (Jorissen et al., 2010). Subsequent RIP by γ-secretase releases the APP intracellular domain (AICD) that can translocate to the nucleus and a P3 peptide (Haass and Selkoe, 1993; Yu et al., 2000; Kimberly et al., 2001; Francis et al., 2002). PS are the catalytic core of the aspartyl protease γ-secretase complex (Wolfe et al., 1999; Yamasaki et al., 2006). In the amyloidogenic cleavage pathway, APP is first cleaved by β-secretase to produce sAPPβ and a βAPP-CTF. This cleavage event is mediated by β-site APP cleaving enzyme 1 (BACE1; Vassar et al., 1999). Subsequent cleavage by γ-secretase yields AICD as well as the amyloid β (Aβ) peptide that aggregates to form the plaques that are one of the pathophysiological hallmarks of AD (Reviewed in Selkoe, 2001). The abundance of metabolites confers great complexity to the function of APP but makes the interpretation of studies on APP transgenic and knockout mice a challenging task. Here we will attempt to dissociate the functions of each of the metabolites as they relate to neurogenesis and try to understand the function of each individually to understand how cleavage patterns may contribute to overall APP function.

APP METABOLITES AND NEUROGENESIS

sAPPα: REGULATION OF NEURAL PROGENITOR CELL PROLIFERATION, SURVIVAL, AND MIGRATION

The first studies to draw a correlation between APP and neurogenic processes showed that embryonic expression of APP peaked during the height of neuronal differentiation and neurite outgrowth (Hung et al., 1992; Salbaum and Ruddle, 1994). Evidence soon emerged that the cleavage of Notch 1, an integral protein involved in the maintenance of NSC pools by enhancing symmetric division (Alexson et al., 2006), and APP undergo strikingly similar proteolytic cleavage patterns by α- and γ-secretase (Figure 2; De Strooper et al., 1999; Lavoie and Selkoe, 2003; van Tetering et al., 2009).

Under non-pathological circumstances, the non-amyloidogenic cleavage pathway of APP dominates through cleavage by α-secretase and release of the soluble APPα (sAPPα) metabolite. sAPPα from the predominantly neuronal APP695 isoform contains a series of domains that include a growth factor domain (D1), a copper binding domain (D2), an acidic region (D3), and a carbohydrate domain (D6; Storey and Cappai, 1999; Reinhard et al., 2005). Importantly, this isoform does not contain either the KPI

---

**FIGURE 1 | APP structure.** APP contains many functional domains as illustrated. The three most abundant isoforms of APP are APP770, APP751, and the predominantly neuronal APP695. From the N-terminal region these domains include a heparin binding and growth factor like domain (HBD1/GFLD), a copper binding domain (CuBD), zinc binding domain (ZnBD), an acidic region (DE), Kunitz-type protease inhibitor domain (KPI; not present in APP695), a second heparin binding domain (HBD2), random coiled region (RC), and the amyloid beta domain (Aβ). The inset displays the human amyloid beta sequence in red along with the sites of APP cleavage by α-, β-, and γ-secretase.

---
FIGURE 2 | APP processing: similarities to Notch processing. Both APP and Notch receptor may undergo proteolytic cleavage by α-secretase. In the case of APP, this cleavage prevents the formation of beta-amyloid (Aβ) peptides, and induces the release of the p3 fragment and the retention of a membrane-tethered fragment (carboxyl-terminal fragments of APP (APP-CTFs)), that is a substrate of γ-secretase cleavage, yielding APP intracellular domain (AICD) fragments. In the alternative, amyloidogenic pathway, APP is cleaved by β-secretase prior to γ-secretase. In the case of the Notch receptor, the holoprotein is cleaved in the trans Golgi network by a furin-like protease activity in the juxtamembrane extracellular domain, giving rise to two fragments (120 and 180 kDa) that remain associated as a heterodimer. It is thought that Notch reaches the cell membrane in this assembly, where it can undergo activation by ligand binding, following which it gets cleaved by α-secretase to yield the “Notch extracellular truncated” derivative (NEXT). Similarly to the APP-CTFs, only this truncated derivative is then cleaved by γ-secretase. Similarly to NICD, AICD fragments are thought to translocate to the nucleus and activate gene transcription.
Table 1 | Physiological roles of sAPP.

| Citation          | Metabolite | Suggested role              | Developmental stage and type of cell/tissue source | Methodology                                                                                           | Observations                                                                                           |
|-------------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Hung et al. (1992)| Full length APP | Neuronal differentiation | P19 murine embryonic carcinoma cells. Primary hippocampal neurons E18 rat embryos. | Retinoic acid-induced neuronal differentiation. Northern blot analysis. | Increased APP transcript upon cell differentiation. In correlation with neurofilament. |
| Salbaum and Ruddle (1994) | APP | Neuronal differentiation | Mouse embryo tissue. | Immunohistochemistry | APP is expressed in subset of differentiated neurons. Correlation of APP expression in MAP2. |
| Rossjohn et al. (1999) | sAPP | Growth factor-like structure | In vitro translation | Crystals of the proteolytic-breakdown product, residues 23–128 of APP derived from a construct, consisting of residues 18–350 from the APP1-770 isoform, that was expressed and purified from Pichia pastoris. | The N′-terminus of APP has a growth factor-like structure. |
| Saitoh et al. (1989) | sAPP | Fibroblast proliferation | SV40-transformed human fetal lung fibroblasts IMR-90-SV40 (AG2604) and 293 human embryonic kidney cell line overexpressing APP or transfected with antisense. | Cell growth, antisense, sAPP containing conditioned media, Northern blot analysis, Western blot. | Cell growth is sAPP-dependent. |
| Pietrzik et al. (1998) | sAPP | Proliferation factor of thyroid cells | FRTL5 rat thyroid follicle cells. | Antisense, recombinant sAPP, cell growth, immunohistochemistry, immunoprecipitation. | sAPP stimulates phosphorylation mediated by mitogen-activated protein kinase and evokes proliferation in thyroid epithelial cell line FRTL5 in the presence of TSH. |
| Hoffmann et al. (2000) | sAPP | Proliferation of keratinocytes (epidermal basal cells) | Human skin, human skin cell line HaCaT. | Immunohistochemical detection of APP in skin in conjunction with β1-integrin, PCR and biochemical detection of APP in skin cells. BrdU proliferation assay and cell count for proliferation. APP antisense effect on HaCaT. | APP is expressed in human skin, mainly in the epidermis. APP751 and 770 are the predominant isoforms expressed in HaCaT. Recombinant sAPP enhances proliferation of HaCaT cells, as efficiently as other proliferation factors (TGFα and KGF). APP antisense reduced proliferation of HaCaT by 50% sAPP enhances the proliferation of embryonic neural stem cells. |
| Ohsawa et al. (1999) | sAPP | Proliferation factor of embryonic neural stem cells | E13 neocortical rat cells | BrdU pulse cell labeling for proliferation Immunocytochemistry Nestin for neural progenitor cell MAP-2 for neuronal differentiation Western blot analysis | (Continued) |
| Citation           | Metabolite  | Suggested role                                                                 | Developmental stage and type of cell/tissue source | Methodology                                                                 | Observations                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Ko et al. (2004)   | APP and sAPP| Upregulation of APP and sAPP enhances OSCC proliferation                      | Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) cell line and tissue | Cell growth RT-PCR and Western blot analysis for APP transcript               | Increase in APP mRNA and protein expression in OSCC relative to corresponding non-cancerous cells. APP751 and APP770 are the major APP isoforms in oral keratinocytes. OSCC tissue. Treatment with an antisense oligonucleotide against APP reduced cellular and secreted APP as well as growth in an OSCC cell line. |
| Takayama et al. (2009) | APP and sAPP| APP and sAPP regulate androgen-dependent prostate cancer cell proliferation. | Human prostate cancer LNCaP cells.                | ChIP combined with genome tiling array analysis (ChIPchip) using DNA of prostate cancer cell. APP immunoreactivity in LNCaP cells. Proliferation assay for extent of growth of LNCaP. | APP expression is regulated by androgen and is androgen-inducible in LNCaP cells. APP immunoreactivity correlates with poor prognosis in patients with prostate cancer. APP and sAPP promote prostate tumor growth. The SVZ is a major sAPP binding site. Cell binding of sAPP occurs on EGFR-expressing NPC. In vitro, EGF evokes sAPP secretion by NPC and anti-APP antibodies antagonize EGF-induced NPC proliferation. In vivo, sAPP infusions increase the number of EGF-responsive NPC through their increased proliferation. sAPPx is a stand-alone proliferation factor of NPC in the adult SVZ, of adult MSC and hdpPSC. |
| Caille et al. (2004) | sAPP       | Regulates proliferation of NPC in the adult SVZ.                              | Adult mice and SVZ neurosphere cultures. Brain tissue of 2-month-old Swiss mice. | Continuous infusion of sAPP for 3 days into the lateral ventricle of adult mice. BrdU injected intraperitoneally (1 mg in 100 ml) 1 h before perfusion. BrdU, EGFR staining. | sAPPα is a stand-alone proliferation factor of NPC in the adult SVZ, of adult MSC and hdpPSC. |
| Demars et al. (2011) | sAPPx      | Proliferation factor of NPC, MSC and human placenta stem cells.               | Brain sections of adult mice, mouse SVZ neurosphere cultures, adult mouse MSC, and hdpPSC cultures. | Neurospheres treated with metalloproteinase broad-spectrum inhibitor and recombinant sAPPx. Neurosphere formation assay. Western blot and Immunohistochemistry of APP, nestin, sox2- and ADAM10 in mouse brain sections. | sAPPα and sAPPβ increased the proliferation of SGZ-derived NPC. Treatment of SGZ-derived NPC with either sAPPx and sAPPβ increased the number of cells expressing GFAP and promoted cell survival. |
| Baratchi et al. (2011) | sAPP       | Proliferation factor of adult NPC in the SGL and regulates astrocytic fate lineage regulator. | Adult rat SGL or SVZ neurosphere cultures.        | Treatment of neurosphere culture with recombinant sAPPx and sAPPβ. BrdU intake proliferation assay 4 h BrdU pulse, harvest 12 h later. Differentiation assay and immunocytochemistry for MAP2, GFAP, and DAPI, calbindin. | Increased cell proliferation in the SGL of SORLA-deficient animals compared with wild-type controls. No change in neuronal differentiation. Increased survival of BrdU-positive cells. |
| Rohe et al. (2008) | sAPPx and sAPPβ | SORLA-regulated proliferation and survival factors of adult neurogenesis. | Wild-type and SORLA-deficient mice.               | 5- and 14-month old wild-type and SORLA-deficient mice. Quantification of BrdU incorporation in the SGL 24 h post pulse. DCX immunostaining for early neuronal differentiation. Survival of BrdU+ cells in the SGL 4 weeks post pulse. | |
| Authors                  | sAPP isoform | Characteristics                                                                 | Cells/tissue            | Treatment/Condition                                                                 |
|-------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Lazarov and Demars      | sAPPα        | TACE-cleaved sAPPα regulates NPC proliferation in the SVZ following stroke       | Adult rats              | MCAO in adult male rats. Seven days post-MCAO, NPC were isolated from the SVZ ipsilateral to infarct. |
| Katakowski et al. (2007)| sAPPα        | sAPPα regulates NPC proliferation in the SVZ following stroke                    | Adult rats              | Upregulation of APP and TACE-induced sAPP production in NPC in the SVZ following MCAO. Greater extent of TACE-promoted NPC proliferation in the SVZ following MCAO. |
| Goodman and Mattson     | sAPP695 and sAPP751 | sAPP695 or sAPP751 protects hippocampal neurons from Aβ toxicity, that involves secretion of free radicals and calcium imbalance. | E18 rat embryo hippocampus | Synthetic Aβ or reversed peptide. sAPP expressed in 293 Human kidney cells either sAPP695 or sAPP751. Hippocampal neuronal cultures from E18 rat embryos. Neuronal survival by light microscopy. |
| Thornton et al. (2006)  | sAPPα        | sAPPα is a neuroprotectant of TBI with anti-apoptotic characteristics.           | Adult rats              | Intracerebroventricular administration of sAPPα shortly after TBI improved motor outcome (rotarod), and reduced number of apoptotic neurons in CA3 and cortex 3 days post TBI based on immunoreactivity for caspase-3. Based on the area of the neuropil occupied by immunolabeled dendrites and presynaptic terminals, mice from three human APP751/gp120 bigenic lines showed significant protection against degeneration of presynaptic terminals; two of these lines also showed significantly less damage to neuronal dendrites. Two of three human APP695/gp120 bigenic lines expressing human APP695 were protected against presynaptic and dendritic damage. In human APP singly transgenic lines, overexpressing only specific human APP isoforms, protection against kainate-induced degeneration of presynaptic terminals and neuronal dendrites was found in two of three human APP751 lines and not in any of the four human APP695 lines. |
| Masliah et al. (1997)   | Human APP    | Human APP is a neuroprotectant of chronic and acute excitotoxicity.              | C57BL/6xSJL; NSE–hAPP transgenic mice. | Transgenic lines expressing human APP isoforms in neurons treated with systemic kainate injections or transgene-mediated glial expression of gp120 for excitotoxic neuronal damage. Area of neuropil occupied by presynaptic terminals and neuronal dendrites in the neocortex and hippocampus was determined using confocal microscopy of immunolabeled brain section. GFAP for astroglia and F4/80 for microglial. |
Table 1 | Continued

| Citation                  | Metabolite | Suggested role | Developmental stage and type of cell/tissue source | Methodology                                                                 | Observations                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Gralle et al. (2009)      | sAPPα      | APP is a receptor for sAPPα. sAPPα neuroprotects cells by inhibiting APP dimerization. | Neuroblastoma cells                                                      | Single molecule tracking using quantum dots and quantitated APP homodimerization using fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy. | Dimerization of APP takes place primarily at the plasma membrane. Dimerization of APP is heparan sulfate-dependent. APP is a receptor for sAPPα, and sAPPα binding disrupts APP dimers, leading to the protection of neuroblastoma cells from cell death. |
| Wehner et al. (2004)      | sAPPα      | sAPPα exerts a pronounced cytoprotective effect mediated by facilitated cell adhesion. | HaCaT cells and normal human keratinocyte (NHK) cultures.                 | Apoptosis induction in HaCaT cells and normal human keratinocytes (NHK) culture following elimination of fetal calf serum and bovine pituitary extract. | Recombinant sAPPα protects keratinocytes from apoptosis by facilitating the substrate adhesion of keratinocytes and promoting binding to keratinocytes. Recombinant sAPPα inhibits apoptosis in HaCaT cells following moderate UV-B irradiation and staurosporine-induced apoptosis. In contrast, induction of apoptosis in NHK required additionally the depletion of endogenous sAPPα. |
| Sugaya (2008)             | sAPPα      | sAPPα promotes glial differentiation.                                                  | Human NPCs                                                             | HNPCs treated with recombinant sAPPα following Staurosporine treatment. APP treatment increased CNTF, gp130, JAK1, Delta, Hes1, BMP4 and GFAP. Silencing these genes by RNA interference suppressed the glial differentiation. NPC transplanted into APP23 transgenic mice differentiated into glia. Treatment of human NPC and NT-2/D1 cells with sAPPα increased the number of GFAP positive cells and enhanced the generation of NICD and Hes1. Treatment with γ-secretase inhibitor suppressed the generation of NICD and reduced Hes1 and GFAP expression. Treatment with the N-terminal domain of APP (APP 1–205) induced upregulation of GFAP and Hes1 expressions, while 22C11 antibodies abolished these changes. |
| Kwak et al. (2011)        | sAPPα      | sAPPα promotes glial differentiation via Notch.                                        | APP23 mice, human NPC                                                   | Transplantation of human NPCs in APP23 and wild-type mice. Treatment of human NPC and NT-2/D1 cells with sAPPα in vitro. Immunocytochemical and biochemical assessment of GFAP expression. | Addtion of sAPP to NGF/RA-stimulated MAPC induced extension of processes, immature synaptic complexes and increased expression of neuronal proteins including NeuN, β-tubulin-III, NFM, and synaptophysin, compared to MAPC differentiated by NGF/RA. Cells exhibited an increase in the levels of choline acetyltransferase. MAPC were detected within the septohippocampal system of APP/PS1 mice injected intravenously with sAPPα-transfected MAPC. |
| Chen et al. (2006)        | sAPP       | sAPP promotes MSC neuronal differentiation.                                             | Bone marrow-derived adult progenitor cells (MAPC)                       | Treatment of bone marrow-derived adult progenitor cells with sAPP and assessment of differentiation by immunocytochemistry. Transplantation of sAPP-induced MAPC intravenously. | Addition of sAPP to NGF/RA-stimulated MAPC induced extension of processes, immature synaptic complexes and increased expression of neuronal proteins including NeuN, β-tubulin-III, NFM, and synaptophysin, compared to MAPC differentiated by NGF/RA. Cells exhibited an increase in the levels of choline acetyltransferase. MAPC were detected within the septohippocampal system of APP/PS1 mice injected intravenously with sAPPα-transfected MAPC. |
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Freude et al. (2011) Wild-type APP, FAD-mutant APP, sAPPα, or sAPPβ promote differentiation into NPC.

Kirfel et al. (2002) sAPP is a motogen of keratinocytes, with chemotactic and chemokinetic effects.

Young-Pearse et al. (2007) APP, C′ and N′ terminus APP regulates the migration of embryonic cortical NPC.

Ohsawa et al. (1997) sAPPα, specifically the amino terminus of sAPPα.

Qiu et al. (1995) Cell surface APP enhances neuronal adhesion and outgrowth.
| Citation                        | Metabolite         | Suggested role                                      | Developmental stage and type of cell/tissue source | Methodology                                                                 | Observations                                                                                                                                 |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Gakhari-Koppole et al. (2008)  | sAPPα and sAPLP2  | Enhance neurite outgrowth in differentiating NPC.    | NPCs from mouse striata, APLP2 single knockout, and APP /APLP2 KO embryos E14 or P7. | Differentiating NPC cultures were treated with differentiation medium, with or without sAPPα. For depolarization, differentiating NPC were treated with depolarization medium (an increased K+ concentration of 50 mM). Quantification of neurite length by microscopy. | sAPPα is required for depolarization-induced neurite outgrowth in NPC. Treatment of differentiating NPC with recombinant sAPPα enhances neurite outgrowth. sAPLP2 but not sAPLP1 shows redundancy to sAPP in promoting neurite outgrowth. |
| Roch et al. (1994)            | sAPPα 17-mer peptide | Enhances memory retention by regulating synaptic structure | Adult rat brain                                      | Aged and young rats. 17-mer peptide of sAPP containing the sequence of amino acids 328–332 was infused into the lateral ventricle of rats for 2 weeks. Reversal learning and memory retention by Morris water-maze. Synapses by synaptophysin immunoreactivity in brain sections. | Infusion of APP 17-mer peptide increased the number of presynaptic terminals in the frontoparietal cortex and enhanced memory retention. |
| Bell et al. (2008)            | sAPPα             | sAPPα regulates presynaptic bouton density.         | Adult mouse brain                                    | Subcutaneous infusion of sAPPα into the neck and shoulder area of adult mice for 7 days. Examination of presynaptic bouton density in lamina V and VI of the F1,F2 cortical regions and in Lamina V and VI of the Parietal regions 1 and 2 or P1/P2. Immunohistochemical identification of presynaptic boutons by markers for VACHT (cholinergic), VGluT (glutamatergic), and GAD (GABAergic). | Infusion of sAPPα into the brains of adult mice induces an elevation in cortical cholinergic, glutamatergic and GABAergic presynaptic bouton density. |

A detailed summary of studies examining the physiological role(s) of sAPP in cell proliferation, migration, viability, and differentiation. ADAM10, A disintegrin and metalloproteinase domain-containing protein 10; APP, amyloid pre-cursor protein; BACE1, beta-site APP cleaving enzyme 1 or β-secretase; BMP4, bone morphogenetic protein 4; BrdU, 5-bromo-2-deoxyuridine; ChIP, chromatin immunoprecipitation; CNTF, ciliary neurotrophic factor; DAB1, disabled-1; DAPI, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; DCX, doublecortin; E18, embryonic day 18; EGF, epidermal growth factor; EGFR, EGF receptor; GAD, glutamic acid decarboxylase; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein; hdpPSC, human placenta stem cells; hESC, human embryonic stem cells; JAK, Janus kinase; KGF, keratinocyte growth factor; MAPC, bone marrow-derived adult progenitor cells; MCAO, middle cerebral artery occlusion; MAP2, microtubule-associated protein 2; MSC, mesenchymal stem cells; NFM, neurofilament; NGF, nerve growth factor; NHK, normal human keratinocyte; NPC, neural progenitor cells; NSE, neuron specific enolase; OSCC, oral squamous cell carcinoma; PAK1, serine/threonine-protein kinase; P19, postnatal day 19; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; RA, retinoic acid; RTPCR, real time polymerase chain reaction; SGL, subgranular layer of the dentate gyrus; SORLA, sortilin-related receptor with A-type repeats; Sox2, SRY (sex determining region Y-box 2; STAT3, signal transducer and activator of transcription; SVZ, subventricular zone; TACE, Tumor necrosis factor alpha converting enzyme; TAP1,2, tumor necrosis factor-α protease inhibitor-1,2; TBR1, T-box, brain, 1; TGFα, transforming growth factor α; TSH, thyrotropin; VACHT, vesicular acetylcholine transporter; VGluT, vesicular glutamate transporter.
NPC proliferation (Li et al., 2001; Jin et al., 2005; Sung et al., 2007; Demars et al., 2011). The observation that sAPPα regulates the proliferation of non-NSC may suggest a potential explanation for the ubiquitous expression of APP and ADAMs. Furthermore, studies on a mouse model exhibiting a knockout of the sortilin related receptor with type-a repeats (SORLA), a sorting receptor that inhibits the processing of APP to form both sAPP and Aβ, showed both increased APP metabolites as well as enhanced proliferation of NPC and neurogenesis in adult mice (Rohe et al., 2008). Interestingly, following cerebral stroke, an increase in ADAM17 proteolytic activity and APP expression leads to increased proliferation in the SVZ (Katakowski et al., 2007). It is yet to be determined whether the sAPP effect on proliferation of NPC and other cell types is a result of an effect on cell survival or proliferation per se.

Survival of NPC is an integral, often rate limiting step, in the functional incorporation of newly generated neurons. It is estimated that under normal conditions in the DG only approximately 50% of newly generated neuroblasts survive (Cameron and McKay, 2001). Many neurodegenerative conditions including AD (Verret et al., 2007; for review Lazarov and Marr, 2010), Parkinson’s disease (Hoglinger et al., 2004), and Huntington’s disease (Phillips et al., 2005; Lazic et al., 2006) present with detriments in neurogenesis that may be, at least in part, attributable to alterations in the niche microenvironment. Several studies suggest that sAPPα has a neuroprotective function in association with Aβ toxicity in neurons (Goodman and Mattson, 1994), following traumatic brain injury (Thornton et al., 2006) and excitotoxic infarcts (Masliah et al., 1997). Recent evidence suggests that sAPP may promote cell survival through the disruption of APP dimerization (Gralle et al., 2009). sAPPα also shows a cytoprotective role in human keratinocytes cultured in the absence of fetal calf serum and bovine pituitary extract (Wehner et al., 2004). Alteration in the subcellular localization of APP in a null-mutant of yata induces progressive eye vacuolization, brain volume reduction, and lifespan shortening (Sone et al., 2009) suggesting that survival of neurons is impaired. While the direct function of sAPP with relation to survival of newly generated neurons has not been fully determined, it is interesting to speculate that sAPP could regulate the physiological survival of NPC and newly generated neurons as well as the survival of NPC under pathological conditions such as those present in neurodegenerative disease.

As the developmental expression of APP corresponds to the timing of neuronal differentiation, it was postulated that APP or APP metabolites might play a role in the neuronal differentiation of NPC. However, transgenic expression of human wild-type APP in the hippocampus of mice disrupted the neuronal differentiation associated with environmental enrichment (Naumann et al., 2010). Nevertheless, the overexpression of hAPP is under the neuronal-specific PDGF-β promoter, and it is not clear whether it is expressed in NPCs as well. Treatment of human NPC with APP also caused a shift toward glial differentiation suggested to be driven by an induction in Notch 1 signaling (Sugaya, 2008; Kwak et al., 2011). In adult bone marrow adult progenitor cells and human embryonic stem cells, sAPPα induced neuronal phenotype in (Chen et al., 2006; Freude et al., 2011). Taken together these results highlight the importance of APP metabolites in cell lineage commitment, and the importance of a direct investigation of the role of specific APP metabolites in the proliferation and differentiation of NPC. It remains a likely possibility that APP metabolites affect the fate of NPC differentially. A special attention should be paid to the neurogenic microenvironment from which these cells are derived, and the proper tools must be implemented in order to resolve these outstanding questions.

Another key factor in the functional integration of newly generated neurons into the proper functional circuits is the migration of neuroblasts, often over long distances in the case of the adult SVZ. Little is known about the effect of sAPP on the migration of neuroblasts, however, administration of nanomolar concentrations of sAPP to epithelial cells, thyrocytes, and keratinocytes in vitro caused a marked increase in not only the proliferation of the cells but also their migration (for review see Schmitz et al., 2002). Thus, sAPP might be a part of a long list of motogens, growth factors (such as EGF), regulating both cell proliferation and migration. In keratinocytes it was shown that this mitogenic property was conferred through a chemo-attraction similar to, but distinct from, EGF (Kirfel et al., 2002). Thus an upregulation of sAPP as seen following stroke could suggest its utility as a chemoatractant guiding NPC to the site of injury in an attempt at recovery. During development, an shRNA mediated knockdown of endogenous APP leads to an increase in NPC that remain in the intermediate zone. Conversely, overexpression of APP in the developing brain leads to migration of progenitors past the cortical plate boundary (Young-Pearse et al., 2007). Further analysis revealed that the C-terminus of APP may interact transiently with the N-terminus of disrupted in schizophrenia 1 (DISC1) altering the subcellular localization of DISC1. Both DISC1 and disabled-1 (Dab1) seem to operate downstream of APP to impact migration (Young-Pearse et al., 2007, 2010). These results suggest that a proper balance of APP expression is necessary for cortical migration during development.

In order to fully mature and functionally incorporate into existing neural networks, a developing neuron must sprout neurites, form dendritic spines and develop synapses. In rat neocortical explant cultures, treatment with sAPP-induced neurite outgrowth which could be blocked by the addition of 22C11 antibodies that recognize the N-terminal domain of APP (Ohsawa et al., 1997). Qiu et al. (1995) showed that APP transfected CHO cells co-cultured with rat primary hippocampal neurons caused an increase in neurite elongation. However, sAPP in the conditioned media was unable to replicate this effect and peptides blocking sAPP failed to disrupt what is an apparent cell surface function of full length APP (Qiu et al., 1995). It was shown that in vitro depolarization of immature neurons derived from either embryonic striatal NPC or early postnatal SVZ NPC, stimulated neurite outgrowth. Inhibition of sAPP secretion through a blockade of α-secretase activity or inactivation of sAPP by antibody administration could abolish depolarization-induced neurite outgrowth. Further, administration of recombinant sAPPα alone was sufficient to promote neurite outgrowth in these cells through stimulation of the MAP-kinase pathway (Gakhar-Koppole et al., 2008). A 17-mer peptide mimicking the trophic domain of sAPP significantly enhanced synaptic density and memory retention when injected into rat brains (Roch et al., 1994). Another study utilizing a moderately overexpressing ADAM10 mouse model showed an
increase in cortical synaptogenesis of cholinergic, glutamatergic, and GABAergic synapses at both 8 and 18 months of age. In order to rule out other ADAM10 substrates, the authors infused sAPPα into non-transgenic mice for 1 week and showed similar results suggesting that sAPPα mediates synaptogenesis of these neuronal populations (Bell et al., 2008).

AICD: A NEGATIVE REGULATOR OF NEUROGENESIS

The AICD is formed following cleavage of APP by either α- or β-secretase and subsequent RIP through the activity of the γ-secretase complex (Haass and Selkoe, 1993; Kimberly et al., 2001). It has been shown to contain a YENPTY domain that is a binding site for phosphorysine binding domain-containing adapter proteins (Kavanaugh and Williams, 1994). The AICD itself can also undergo modification through phosphorylation events that may underlie docking of some of its many adapter proteins (Tarr et al., 2002). The AICD has been shown to form a transcriptionally active complex with Fe65 and Tip60, a histone acetyltransferase, that translocates to the nucleus and regulates transcription of a number of genes (Gao and Sudhof, 2001; Gao and Pimplikar, 2001; Muller et al., 2008). Much interest has evolved in the relationship between AICD and neurogenesis due to the finding that the cleavage pattern, formation of an ICD, and its translocation to the nucleus mimic the integral neurogenesis protein Notch 1 (Figure 2, for review Ebinu and Yankner, 2002).

Conversely to sAPPα function, AICD has been shown to be a negative regulator of proliferation in NPC. First, evidence suggests that AICD negatively regulates the transcription of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), a receptor that drives NPC proliferation (Zhang et al., 2007b; Ayuso-Sacido et al., 2010). Ma et al. showed that the glycophasphatidylinositol (GPI)-linked recognition protein, TAG1, extracellularly interacts with APP to increase AICD release. Using a TAG1 null mouse model with aberrantly high proliferation of embryonic NPC, they showed that either administration of recombinant TAG1 or transfection with AICD59 in vitro could ameliorate enhanced neurogenesis. However, transfection of AICD with a mutated Fe65 binding site had no effect (Ma et al., 2008). This suggests that AICD is a negative regulator of NPC proliferation and that the binding to Fe65 is necessary for its action. Additionally, in APPKO mice expressing AICD there is a reduction in proliferation and survival of NPC in the adult DG, but no change in their differentiation. Notably, this deficit in neurogenesis is Aβ-independent, and is suggested to be due, at least in part, to neuroinflammation in light of the fact that ibuprofen or naproxen treatment could reverse these alterations (Ghosal et al., 2010). In trisomic NPC, enhanced AICD binding to the sonic hedgehog (shh) receptor, patched1 (ptch1), promoter was sufficient to decrease proliferation. Shh binding to ptch1 results in the suppression of second receptor smoothened (Smo) and repressed signaling (Goodrich et al., 1999; Taipale et al., 2002; Trazzi et al., 2010). Interestingly, in post-mitotic neurons it has been suggested that the interaction of the intracellular domain of APP with APP binding protein 1 (APP-BP1) results in re-entry to the cell cycle and ultimately apoptosis (Chen et al., 2003).

The targeted cell death of newly formed neurons, as in embryonic development, may be an important factor underlying functional adult neurogenesis. Indeed, the evidence that only 50% of newly generated neurons in the adult hippocampus survive suggests that targeted cell death is a physiological property and some speculate the tight regulation may be important to normal function. The induction of apoptosis seems to be a common theme in studies of AICD function. Unlike sAPP, which serves a cytoprotective role, the AICD has been shown to induce neuronal apoptosis. The first evidence for this came from APP overexpression studies in post-mitotic neurons in vitro. The overexpression of APP was shown to be sufficient to cause apoptosis in these neurons (Yoshikawa et al., 1992). Further, studies on embryonic carcinoma P19 cells overexpressing AICD display neuron specific apoptosis upon differentiation as shown by TUNEL + Tuj-1+ positive cells. This group suggests that gene changes associated with AICD translocation are responsible for the apoptotic events (Nakayama et al., 2008; Ohkawara et al., 2011). Indeed, research from other groups has shown that AICD interaction with Tip60 in H4 cells derived from human neuroglioma cells (Kinoshita et al., 2002) or p53 in either H4 neuroglioma or SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cell line (Ozaki et al., 2006) can mediate AICD driven apoptotic events. Alternatively, the APP-CTF can be cleaved by caspases to form a 31 amino acid peptide (C31) that signals apoptotic cell death through initiator caspases 8 and 9 in rat neuroblastoma cell line (Bertrand et al., 2001; Lu et al., 2003) and in embryonic mouse cortical cultures (Bertrand et al., 2001). This cleavage appears to be regulated by Aβ and C31 was shown to be upregulated in the brains of AD patients (Bertrand et al., 2001; Lu et al., 2003). Currently, it is not known whether AICD or C31 induced apoptosis occurs under physiological conditions and thus may represent a purely pathological phenomenon in AD brains.

Cytoskeletal dynamics are an important function in the maturation of neurons, including growth cone elongation (Geraldo and Gordon-Weeks, 2009) and dendritic spine formation (Hotulainen and Hoogenraad, 2010). Sabo et al. (2001, 2003) showed that APP and Fe65 binding in dynamic adhesion actin-rich sites could induce enhanced migration in MDCK wound healing assay. Further, they show the localization of APP/Fe65 complexes in synapses and growth cones. More recently, utilizing an inducible expression system for either AICD, Fe65 or AICD, and Fe65 in a human neuroblastoma cell line, Tet21, it was shown that AICD expression activated genes associated with actin remodeling including transgelin and tropomyosin 1 and co-expression of AICD and Fe65 induced enhanced cytoskeletal dynamics (Muller et al., 2007).

Aβ: A PUZZLING METABOLITE

The Aβ peptide is produced from the sequential cleavage of APP by β- and γ-secretase and is most well known for its aggregation to form amyloid plaques in AD. Studies on the effect of Aβ with respect to NPC proliferation have generally met with conflicting results. Haughey et al. (2002a) showed that treatment with Aβ in human cortical NPC culture in vitro and following intraventricular infusion of Aβ in vivo, NPC proliferation was drastically reduced (Haughey et al., 2002a; He and Shen, 2009). He and Shen (2009) observed that Aβ could reduce β-catenin signaling and propose this as a mechanism for Aβ action. These findings were in agreement with the observation that Aβ restricted the formation of colonies from human NPC (Mazur-Kolecka et al., 2006).
While it is advisable to understand the role of each metabolite individually, understanding the interplay of these metabolites with respect to proliferation of NPC remains unclear. Studies have also shown that Aβ may play a role in the fate determination of NPC. One such study determined that treatment of SVZ derived NPC with oligomeric Aβ42 induced both proliferation and pushed differentiation toward a neuronal fate in vitro, as determined by both Western blotting for NCAM and immunohistological analyses. In addition, the authors showed that oligomeric Aβ42 enhanced the migration of immature neurons, in vitro. Increasing concentrations, however, proved to be neurotoxic (Heo et al., 2007). Chen and Dong (2009) showed that Aβ40 was sufficient to promote neuronal differentiation in embryonic cortical NPC culture, while Aβ42 induced astrocytic differentiation.

While studies that attempt to uncover the physiological significance of the p3 peptide derived from γ-secretase cleavage in the non-amyloidogenic pathway are virtually non-existent, the above discussion of the effects of APP metabolites in relation to neurogenesis suggest great complexity in potential signaling. The complexity of cleavage and the number of metabolites produced confer great convolution in the study of APP function. By attempting to understand the physiological role of each individual metabolite, we are able to better understand the signaling pathways associated. However, most of these studies have been done in vitro and in many cases do not represent the interplay of cleavage events that potentially takes place in vivo. While it is advisable to understand the role of each metabolite individually, understanding the interplay of these metabolites may be the key to understanding the true role of APP in neurogenic processes (Figure 3). Parsing out the individual roles of APP metabolites with respect to neurogenesis in transgenic models has proven complex as well. Not only is the metabolism of APP intricate but the potential functional redundancy of APP homologs APLP1 & 2 has only enhanced the complexity of understanding APP function. To attempt to understand how we may approach new models for the study of APP metabolites and APP in neurogenesis we will review the models currently available for this purpose and highlight the deficiencies of each (Table 2).

ALZHEIMER’S DISEASE ANIMAL MODELS: ADEQUATE FOR THE EXAMINATION OF NEUROGENESIS?

The first transgenic models to delve into the question of APP function in neurogenesis were FAD mutants that possess either mutations in APP or PS1, most times causing a shift in cleavage patterns toward the amyloidogenic pathway, up-regulating β- or γ-secretase cleavage and producing higher levels of Aβ (for review Selkoe, 2001). The effect of these mutations on neurogenic processes has been reviewed extensively (Lazarov and Marr, 2010). Briefly, APP mutants have been shown to have both decreased (Haughey et al., 2002a,b; Donovan et al., 2006) and increased (Lopez-Toledano and Shelanski, 2007; Kolecki et al., 2008) proliferation of NPC derived from the SGL or the SVZ of adult transgenic mice. In mice exhibiting mutations in both APP and PS1, studies have for the most part shown impaired proliferation (Taniuchi et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2007a; Ermini et al., 2008). These studies have all shown impairments in mice after amyloid deposition and plaque formation. As we first described in the APPSwe/PS1ΔE9 mouse, impairments in proliferation, and neurogenesis occur before memory impairment or pathological alterations in these mice (Demars et al., 2010a). Furthermore, when NPC are cultured from the SVZ of 2-month-old APPSwe/PS1ΔE9 mice, they show impairments in proliferation in vitro suggesting that mutations may cause intrinsic impairments in NPC proliferation irrespective of environmental or niche cues (Demars et al., 2010a).

In order to determine a physiological role for APP, the first logical step was to create an APP-null mouse model and to infer from any impairment what the function of APP may be. Surprisingly, the APP knockout mouse was viable and fertile, giving the first clue that APP homologs may possess functional redundancy with APP. The mice weighed 15–20% less than their wild-type littermates and showed impaired locomotor activity and decreased forelimb grip strength. In the CNS, the only gross alteration was a reactive gliosis in some mice (Zheng et al., 1995, 1996). Further investigation revealed that APP-null mice did exhibit reduced brain weight, reduced size of forebrain commissures, and an increased frequency of neuroanatomical abnormalities such as callosal agenesis but these effects were mouse background specific (Magara et al., 1999). APP-null mice also showed aging linked impairments in dendritic length and synaptic plasticity along with both decreased long-term potentiation and impaired GABAergic post-synaptic currents. These mice also display aging linked impairments learning and memory (Dawson et al., 1999; Seabrook et al., 1999). Another model of APP deficiency that utilizes a truncated mutant lacking amino acids 20–75 and expressed at 5% of normal levels (APPΔ/Δ) also shows abnormalities such as callosal agenesis, reduced brain weight, and decreased locomotor activity (Muller et al., 1994; Magara et al., 1999). The APPΔ/Δ mice also showed cognitive impairments associated with swimming navigation during the Morris water-maze task and an apparent decline in motivation to avoid a noxious stimuli (Muller et al., 1994). Interestingly, mice tested at early postnatal stages (pd 3–10) did not show impairments while major impairments only became evident at later postnatal stages (pd 11–19) and while reduced grip strength persisted into adulthood, deficits in spatial learning, contrary to phenotypic expectation, could not be shown (Trembl et al., 1998). Further investigation revealed that handling during these early periods resulted in rescued impairment in spatial learning as tested by the Morris water-maze but failed to rescue impaired activity in an open field test (Trembl et al., 2002). This result suggests that impairments caused by APP deficiency do not completely disrupt plasticity or the ability of environmental enrichment to enhance cognitive function. In fact, studies on NPC derived from E14 APP-null mice showed aberrantly increased neurogenesis (Ma et al., 2008). While the direct effect of APP disruption on neurogenesis in vivo in the adult has
The formation of new neurons or astroglia in the adult brain is a multifaceted process including the necessity of a niche that supports continuous proliferation of neural progenitor cells, the determination of neural lineage, migration of immature cells often across large distances, and the functional incorporation into existing neural networks. Many of the metabolites of APP have been implicated in one or more of these processes. In order to fully understand the impact of APP metabolism on neurogenic processes, we must first unravel the individual functions of each of the metabolites in order to better realize the implications of alterations in the cleavage pattern of APP.

not been reported, studies on a PDGF-APP<sub>WT</sub> mouse line overexpressing human APP have shown that the overexpression results in decreased proliferation of NPC in the adult hippocampus, an increased survival rate of these NPC and impaired maturation of NPC to either neural or glial fate (Naumann et al., 2010). However, these animals have also been shown to display high levels of Aβ<sub>42</sub>, synaptic dysfunction, neuronal degeneration, and alterations in isoform expression patterns (Rockenstein et al., 1995; Mucke et al., 2000; Simon et al., 2009). Deletion of APP homologs, APLP1 and APLP2, has also been attempted. Like APP-null mice, both APLP1 and APLP2 knockout mice are viable and fertile (von Koch et al., 1997; Heber et al., 2000). APLP2 knockout mice present with normal size and weight, no gross tissue abnormalities, normal grip strength, normal posture, and no apparent cognitive deficits (von Koch et al., 1997). This is in contrast to APP-null mice which exhibit reduced weight, forelimb grip strength, and aging linked cognitive deficits. Mice exhibiting a knockout of APLP1 show approximately 10% reduction in body weight but are absent of most other abnormalities associated with APP-null mice including grip strength, locomotor activity, callosal agenesis, and spatial learning (Heber et al., 2000). The generation of mouse lines designed to either ablate or overexpress APP and APP homologs present a number of problems with respect to the study of APP function. First, the APP family has been shown to confer much of its activity through its extensive proteolytic cleavage and release of various metabolites. Many of these metabolites have been shown to have potential synergistic and/or antagonistic activities on a number of different cellular processes. The regulation of APP function may not be solely driven by the expression of APP, but through the activity of proteases and formation of metabolites. Simply ablating APP or APP homologs may be valuable in discerning the dominant pathway but does not necessarily segregate between physiological signaling pathways of APP metabolites. Second, a number of processes have been shown to affect neurogenesis including neuronal excitability, learning, inflammation, physical activity, enriched environment, and stress among others (Reviewed in Ming and Song, 2005). None of the knockout models described above infer spatial or temporal specificity. As such, all
Table 2 | APP animal models and neurogenesis.

| Genetic manipulation | Reference | Genotype | Phenotype | Neurogenesis |
|----------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|--------------|
| Single knockout      | Zheng et al. (1996, 1995) | APPKO | Viable and fertile; reduced brain weight; reactive gliosis; reduced locomotor activity and forelimb grip strength; reduced dendritic length, LTP and GABAergic post-synaptic currents; aging linked memory impairment. | Increased neurogenesis of NPC derived from APPKO E14 embryos in vitro; Increased expression of neurogenesis-related genes. |
|                      | Heber et al. (2000) | APLP1KO | Viable and fertile; reduced body weight. | N/A |
|                      | von Koch et al. (1997) | APLP2KO | Viable and fertile; no major abnormalities. | N/A |
| Double knockout      | Heber et al. (2000) | APP/APLP1KO | Viable and fertile. | N/A |
|                      | von Koch et al. (1997) | APP/ APLP2KO | Postnatal lethality; defects at neuromuscular and interneuronal synapses; in vitro reduced EPSPs; reduced keratinocyte proliferation. | N/A |
|                      | Heber et al. (2000) | APLP1/ APLP2KO | Postnatal lethality; no gross abnormalities. | N/A |
| Triple knockout      | Herms et al. (2004) | APP/APLP1/ APLP2KO | Postnatal lethality; lissencephaly; abnormal neuroblast migration. | Normal migration, polarity, and ability to form functional synapses in embryonic stem cells Reduced NPC proliferation in the SGL; Increased NPC survival; impaired maturation to neural or glial fate |
| Human APP overexpression | Rockenstein et al. (1995) | PDGF-APP<sub>WT</sub> | Viable and fertile; reduced presynaptic terminals. | |
| APP truncated mutant | Muller et al. (1994) | APP(Δ/Δ) | Viable and fertile; callosal agenesis; reduced brain weight; reduced locomotor activity; impaired swimming navigation; reduced motivation to avoid noxious stimulus; spatial learning deficits. | N/A |
| Truncated APP knock-in | Ring et al. (2007) | APPCT15-KI | Viable and fertile; rescues impairments associated with APPKO | N/A |
| sAPPα knock-in       | Ring et al. (2007) | APPα-KI | Viable and fertile; rescues impairments associated with APPKO. | Increased expression of neurogenesis-related genes. |
| APLP2 KO/sAPPα knock-in | Li et al. (2010) | APPαβ-KI | Unable to rescue postnatal lethality of APP/APLP2KO; impaired neuromuscular synapses. | N/A |
|                      | Weyer et al. (2011) | sAPPα-DM | Rescues postnatal lethality of APP/APLP2KO; impaired neuromuscular synapses; hippocampal dysfunction; impaired LTP; spatial learning deficits. | N/A |
| APPKO/AICD           | Ghosal et al. (2010) | FeC<sub>v25</sub>; APP<sub>−/−</sub> | Viable and fertile; neuroinflammation. | Reduced Proliferation of hippocampal NPC; Reduced survival of NPC; differentiation – unaffected. |
| Conditional knockouts | Mallm et al. (2010) | APP(Δ/−) | Viable and fertile; reduced grip strength, brain, and body weight | N/A |
|                      | Mallm et al. (2010) | APLP2(Δ/−) | Viable and fertile | N/A |

A list of the currently available mouse models to study APP and APP family members. The general phenotype of each is described in brief as well as a description of any work that has been done with respect to neurogenesis in each mouse model.

Lack of cell type specificity could lead to alterations in mature neuronal or glial function that could alter neurogenic processes. Impaired LTP (Seabrook et al., 1999), decreased locomotor activity or reactive gliosis (Zheng et al., 1995) as seen in APP-null mice could compromise the study of neurogenesis in these mice. Lack of temporal regulation could also compromise the study of APP function by allowing for compensatory alterations during development that may mask some of the functions of the protein. Finally, functional redundancy between the homologs could hinder the study of single knockouts by masking the role of a single homolog. For example, APP-null mice express both APLP1 and APLP2 and were

systems, cell types and regions are void of APP or APP homologs.
shown to be viable and fertile despite the belief that APP plays a major role in development.

To overcome the issue of redundancy APP/APLP1 knockout, APP/APLP2 knockout, APLP1/APLP2 knockout, and APP/APLP1/APLP2 triple knockout mice were generated (Heber et al., 2000; Herms et al., 2004). APP/APLP2 and APLP1/APLP2 knockout mice proved to be postnatally lethal, while APP/APLP1 knockout mice were viable and fertile (von Koch et al., 1997; Heber et al., 2000). These results further suggest a functional redundancy between various APP homologs and imply that various homologs may be involved in differential functions. At first there seemed to be few gross abnormalities in double knockouts, even in mice that were postnatally lethal. However, further investigation has revealed that APP/APLP2 double knockout mice have defects at neuromuscular synaptic junctions including increased nerve terminal sprouting, reduced presynaptic vesicles, deficits in neurotransmitter release, and a higher incidence of synaptic failure (Yang et al., 2005). This phenomenon was also seen in interneuronal synapses at the submandibular ganglion (Yang et al., 2005). In neurons derived from APP/APLP2 knockout embryonic stem cells, a decrease in vGLUT2 was correlated with a reduction in field excitatory post-synaptic potentials signaling further impairments in neuronal function (Schrenk-Siemens et al., 2008). Keratinocytes derived from APP/APLP2 double knockout mice also show impaired proliferation in vivo and in vitro that can be rescued by exogenous addition of sAPPα (Siemes et al., 2006). APP and APLP2 have been implicated in lipoprotein and cholesterol metabolism in the brain as well through modulation of the expression of LRP1, a major lipoprotein receptor. APP/APLP2 knockout mice show increased expression of LRP1 that is directly attributable to the lack of AICD as AICD was shown to bind to the LRP1 promoter and repress transcription (Liu et al., 2007). Insulin and glucose homeostasis was shown to be impaired in APP/APLP2 double knockout even further than that of single knockouts, which grow to hypoglycemic adults (Needham et al., 2008). The APP/APLP1/APLP2 triple knockout appears to have the most severe phenotype with cranial abnormalities and cortical dysplasia resembling type 2 lissencephaly where neuroblasts have migrated through the basal lamina and pial membrane (Herms et al., 2004). This phenotype would suggest a critical role for the APP family in migration and adhesion. However, embryonic stem cells derived from triple knockouts were reported to show normal migration, polarity, and to form functional synapses (Bergmans et al., 2010). While these models addressed the downfall of previous models in so much as they accounted for functional redundancy, they nonetheless failed to address which metabolite could be ascribed to each phenotypic change in these mice. Ring et al. (2007) addressed this question by developing a pair of mutant mice, one a sAPPα knock-in and the other an APP knock-in with a truncated C-terminus lacking the YENPTY motif, to understand if sAPPα could rescue impairments associated with the APP-null mouse. Indeed, both mutants either attenuated or completely reversed phenotypic alterations in APP-null mice including brain and body weight, locomotor deficits, grip strength impairment, exploratory behavior, long-term potentiation, and spatial learning deficits (Ring et al., 2007). Studies using similar knock-in models crossed with an APLP2 knockout mutant showed mixed results with the addition of sAPP recovering postnatal lethality in one of the models but unable to ameliorate postnatal lethality in the other (Li et al., 2010; Weyer et al., 2011). Both studies showed that impairments in neuromuscular synapses persisted in sAPPα knock-in double mutants. Further, Weyer et al. (2011) went on to show that while sAPPα knock-in double mutants had normal CNS morphology, they displayed hippocampal dysfunction, spatial learning deficits, and impaired long-term potentiation (Weyer et al., 2011). Analysis of differential mRNA expression in the prefrontal cortex of adult wild-type, APP-null, APLP2 knockout, and sAPPα knock-in mice showed that APP-null and sAPPα knock-in mice had very similar profiles suggesting a potentially dominant role of AICD in transcriptional regulation (Aydin et al., 2011). Recently, the development of APP and APLP2 conditional knockout mice has been accomplished with the hope that they may be crossed with viable single knockouts to allow for postnatal and adult study of the effect of these ablations. The APP conditional knockout mice showed similar deficits in brain weight, body weight, and grip strength to the classic APP-null mouse model (Mallm et al., 2010).

In order to develop better mouse models designed to study the physiological role of APP family members with respect to neurogenic processes, three crucial factors must be considered. First, it has become apparent that APP family members exhibit some degree of functional redundancy and thus models such as the double and triple knockouts of the APP family of proteins potentially provide critical phenotypic evidence that is masked in single knockouts. This may be essential to understanding the individual roles of APP homologs and ultimately understanding the function of the APP family. Simply examining the nature of knockouts of holo-APP family members, however, does not take into account the proteolytic processing and potential for metabolites to exert synergistic or antagonistic roles on certain neurogenic processes. Models such as the APP/APLP2 knockout sAPPα knock-in or the APP-null AICD mice allow for examination of the expression of a single metabolite and may allow for better understanding of the role of each in neurogenic function. Further, study of NPC derived from knockout models may allow for the exogenous addition of soluble factors or expression of metabolites such as AICD, permitting their study in vitro. Finally, none of the APP mouse models to date have provided for temporal and spatial regulation of ablation or expression. Utilizing technology such as inducible knockout systems, promoter selection for NPC specificity and lentiviral expression for reintroduction of single APP metabolites may provide a more precise system in which to study the direct effect of each metabolite on neurogenic processes. As technology develops, inducible systems will provide the greatest control over temporal and spatial transgenic expression and have the ability to greatly enhance our understanding of the APP family and their metabolites.

**CONCLUSION REMARKS**

The increasing knowledge of the role of APP metabolites in developmental and postnatal neurogenesis opens up new research directions that may lead to greater understanding of cognitive pathways in health and brain disorders. The APP is a family of ubiquitously...
expressed homologs, some of which exhibit functional redundancy. APP yields a complex set of proteolytic metabolites. New animal models are required in order to dissect out the functional significance of each APP metabolite and their role in neurogenesis.
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