Differences in Cardiorespiratory Fitness between Chinese and Japanese Children and Adolescents
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Abstract: Background: This study aimed to compare the difference in cardiorespiratory fitness between Chinese and Japanese children and adolescents. Methods: Participants comprised 9025 children and adolescents aged 7–18 years from China and Japan. Cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) was measured by performance in the 20 m shuttle run test (20mSRT) and estimated maximal oxygen consumption (VO2max). Differences in CRF between countries were evaluated by t-tests. Centile curves for the 20mSRT and VO2max values were constructed for Chinese and Japanese children and adolescents, respectively, using the Lambda Mu and Sigma (LMS) method. Results: (1) For most of the age groups, the 20mSRT and VO2max performances among Chinese participants were lower than among Japanese participants. (2) Japanese children had the most apparent gains in P10, P50, and P90 VO2max values in primary school; however, they gradually decreased in middle school. For Chinese girls, the P10, P50, and P90 VO2max values decreased gradually with age. (3) The VO2max value among Japanese children increased; however, it decreased or remained flat among Chinese children in primary school. Conclusions: CRF among Chinese participants was lower than among Japanese participants while the VO2max value showed different trends in primary school. Effective measures should be taken to improve CRF among children and adolescents.
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1. Introduction

Physical fitness is one of the important indices of health; it reflects not only the growth and development of the youth but also the national health level [1]. Cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) is a health-related component of physical fitness, an independent determinant of health across lifespan that is associated with brain structure and function and decreased risk of all-cause mortality [2–5]. Numerous studies have identified that cardiorespiratory fitness in youth is negatively associated with cardiometabolic risk indicators, such as waist circumference, mean arterial blood pressure, fasting glucose, triglycerides, and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol [6,7]. In addition, longitudinal studies have found that low CRF in childhood and adolescence is related to increased cardiometabolic disease risk, BMI, body fat, metabolic syndrome, and early death in adulthood [8,9]. Therefore, the measurement and monitoring of CRF among children and adolescents is conducive to promoting the
health status of the current and future population. The 20 m shuttle run test (20mSRT) is the most widely used field-based assessment of CRF, used in at least 50 countries [10]. Data on 1,142,026 children and adolescents aged 9–17 years from 50 countries have found variability among children and adolescents’ 20mSRT performance between regions. Children in North Central Europe and Africa have the highest cardiorespiratory fitness while those in South America have the lowest cardiorespiratory fitness. Among European countries, children in central-northern countries have better 20mSRT performance than their southern counterparts. Meanwhile, the variability between regions is relative to socioeconomic indicators (Gini index, human development index, and urbanization) and childhood obesity [11,12].

China and Japan are the second- and third-largest economies in the world, respectively, and the two largest economies in Asia. The United Nations Human Development Report showed that the human development index (HDI) value in China was less than Japan’s in 2011, and that China and Japan were a developing and a developed country, respectively [13]. Data from the World Bank in 2014 showed that the Gini index score in China was higher than that in Japan. Gini index is a measure of income inequality and a higher Gini index means more income difference between people [14]. Regarding urbanization, China has entered a period of rapid urbanization since reform and opening-up in 1978. The rate of urbanization increased from 19.39% to 51.27% between 1980 and 2011 [15]. Data from the World Bank showed that the proportion of urban population in China was less than Japan in 2014 [16]. Thus, socioeconomic indicators such as Gini index, human development index (HDI), and urbanization in China and Japan show differences. A recent study found that country-specific income inequality (Gini index) was a strong negative correlate of children’s 20mSRT performance [11]. Therefore, the potential influence of socioeconomic factors on the CRF between Chinese and Japanese children and adolescents needs to be further explored.

In recent years, several studies have noted changes in the CRF of Chinese and Japanese children and adolescents. The CRF of Chinese children and adolescents has gradually declined from 1985 to 2010 due to substantial changes in the society and lifestyle in China, such as more children being overweight and obese, more convenient transportation, sedentary behavior, and poor physical activity [17–20]. As for Japan, a report by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) indicated that the performances in the 20 m shuttle run test (20mSRT) in 2014 were lower than those in the 1980s among children and adolescents [21]. Thus, it can be seen that CRF among children and adolescents in both China and Japan shows a decreasing trend over time; however, the relationship in terms of the CRF between Chinese and Japanese children and adolescents has not been revealed.

Consequently, the present study aimed to evaluate the CRF of children and adolescents aged 7–18 years in China and Japan using 20mSRT and estimated VO\textsubscript{2max}, with the aim of exploring the difference in CRF among children and adolescents between countries and with distinct socioeconomic contexts, and to analyze the causes of CRF difference, so as to provide a reference for promoting the physical health development of children and adolescents.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Participants and Sampling

In the spring of 2014, 9025 children and adolescents aged 7–18 years were chosen from Shanghai (urban and rural areas), Taiyuan (urban area), and Pinxingguan (rural area) of Shanxi province in China, and from Wakayama and Saitama in Japan using stratified random cluster sampling. With regard to the Chinese sampling, two provinces or municipalities were selected from eastern and northern China for the first stage of sampling (provincial level). For the second sampling stage, one provincial capital or municipality (urban area) and county or town (rural area) were selected in each of the provinces. The Chinese sample was 4558 (2277 boys, 2281 girls) and the Japanese sample was 4467 (2203 boys, 2264 girls), with valid data on weight, height, and CRF. Exclusion criteria for the participants were (1) reporting drug intake or a history of cancer, stroke, angina, heart failure, or myocardial infarction, and (2) having an athletic background or experience of competition in sports games. To ensure the effectiveness and comparability of the research, two typical provinces or municipalities were selected
within the same latitudinal zone from both China and Japan for the sampling of participants. The climate types of all these cities are similar. The Chinese cities are located between 31.22 degrees north latitude (Shanghai) and 37.87 degrees north latitude (Taiyuan), and the Japanese cities are located between 34.23 degrees north latitude (Wakayama) and 35.86 degrees north latitude (Saitama). In the Chinese sample, the ratio of rural to urban sample size was 1:1. After 1946, Japan began to implement a process of urban–rural integration. By the 1990s, Japan had basically achieved the goal of narrowing the gap between urban and rural areas. Since reform and opening-up, China’s urban and rural development has been uneven, and the income gap between urban and rural residents has been widening [22,23]. Compared to China, little difference exists between urban and rural areas in Japan. Therefore, there was no emphasis on the factor of urban versus rural areas in the sampling of Japanese participants, but the sampling consideration of big versus small–medium cities was taken into account. Written informed consent from the parents or legal guardians and consent from each participant were obtained.

2.2. Anthropometric Measurements

The equipment for measuring height and weight were standardized. All tests were conducted according to a standardized program using the unified equipment at schools. All the participants were required to urinate fully and defecate before the test; boys wore only underwear, and girls wore a T-shirt and thin trousers. None of the participants wore shoes during the tests [24]. Height was recorded to the nearest 0.1 cm and measured against metal column scales, with knees not bent, arms at sides, shoulders relaxed, feet flat on the floor. Weight was measured using platform scales, and the results were recorded to the nearest 0.1 kg.

2.3. Evaluation Criteria for Nutrition

The present study was based on the 2007 WHO report on child growth standards, and examined the presence of underweight and overnutrition (overweight and obesity) among Chinese and Japanese children and adolescents [25]. Underweight, overweight, and obesity measurement adopted BMI-for-age screening (when BMI was minus 2Z-Score, we considered it underweight, above or equal to 1Z-Score was overweight, and above 2Z-Score was obese). BMI was calculated as weight (kg)/height (m)².

2.4. Cardiorespiratory Fitness Test

In this study, CRF was estimated using the 20mSRT and estimated maximal oxygen consumption (VO₂max). Participants ran back and forth on a 20 m course and were required to touch the 20 m line and, at the same time, a sound signal was emitted from a prerecorded tape. Participants started at a speed of 8.0 km/h, the second stage was at 9.0 km/h, and the speed was thereafter increased by 0.5 km/h each minute. The test was terminated when participants stopped due to fatigue, or when they failed to reach the end line concurrent with the signals on two consecutive occasions. The total laps completed was defined as the 20mSRT performance [26]. Tests were held in a gymnasium, playground, or hall with a nonslippery surface at schools; meanwhile, a variety of factors that may affect test results, such as rain, wind, and other environmental conditions, were strictly controlled. The equation of Matsuzaka et al. was used to evaluate the VO₂max. To calculate the VO₂max, the 20mSRT performance, gender, age, and BMI were entered into the formula: \( VO₂max (\text{mL·kg}^{-1}·\text{min}^{-1}) = 61.1 - 2.20 \times (\text{gender} \times M + F - 1) - 0.462 \times (\text{age}) - 0.862 \times (\text{BMI}) + 0.192 \times (\text{total laps}) \) [27].

2.5. Ethical Consideration

The data for this research were approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of East China Normal University (ethically approved project identification code: HR2016/12055). All students’ names were digitally coded to avoid leaking of their personal information.
2.6. Statistical Analysis

The chi-square test was used to inspect the prevalence of underweight, overweight, and obesity. The t-test was used to evaluate the significant differences in 20mSRT and VO\textsubscript{2max} performances between Chinese and Japanese children and adolescents. The 10th, 50th, and 90th percentiles of 20mSRT performance-for-age and VO\textsubscript{2max} performance-for-age were computed using the Lambda Mu and Sigma (LMS) [28] method for Chinese and Japanese children and adolescents aged 7–18 years. The LMS method fits smooth centile curves to reference data by summarizing the changing distribution of three sex-specific and age-specific curves representing the skewness (L, expressed as a Box–Cox power), the median (M), and the coefficient of variation (S) [29]. The level of statistical significance was set at 0.05, and all analyses were conducted using the statistical software SPSS version 15.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

3. Results

Table 1 shows that China has a higher Gini index, lower HDI, and lower proportion of urban population compared to Japan.

| Year | Country | Human Development Index (HDI) \textsuperscript{a} | Gini Index \textsuperscript{b} | Urban Population \textsuperscript{c} | Urban Population \textsuperscript{d} (% of total) \textsuperscript{d} | Urban Population Growth (annual %) \textsuperscript{e} |
|------|---------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| 2000 | China   | 0.588                                         | -               | 452,999.15                 | 36                | 3.6              |
|      | Japan   | 0.868                                         | -               | 99,760.75                  | 79                | 0.3              |
| 2008 | China   | -                                             | 42.9            | 616,481.19                 | 47                | 3.4              |
|      | Japan   | -                                             | 32.1            | 114,107.98                 | 89                | 1.1              |
| 2010 | China   | 0.682                                         | -               | 658,498.66                 | 49                | 3.3              |
|      | Japan   | 0.899                                         | -               | 116,302.93                 | 91                | 0.9              |
| 2014 | China   | -                                             | -               | 740,239.26                 | 54                | 2.8              |
|      | Japan   | -                                             | -               | 116,208.08                 | 91                | 0.0              |

\textsuperscript{a} HDI from UNDP Human Development Reports 2011 (2008 and 2014 data not available); \textsuperscript{b} Gini Index: the World Bank http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SI.POV.GINI/countries?display=default (2000, 2010, and 2014 data not available); \textsuperscript{c,d} World Bank http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.URB.TOTL.IN.ZS?display=default.

As shown in Tables 2 and 3, the mean 20mSRT and predicted VO\textsubscript{2max} performances of Chinese children and adolescents aged 7–18 were, in general, less than those of Japanese children and adolescents. Irrespective of sex, the 20m SRT and VO\textsubscript{2max} performances among Chinese participants of most age groups were less than those of Japanese participants.

| Age (Year) | N1, N2 | Boy | China | Japan | N1, N2 | Girl | China | Japan |
|------------|--------|-----|-------|-------|--------|------|-------|-------|
| 7          | 192, 191 | 14.73 ± 5.51* | 29.08 ± 12.45 | 190, 192 | 14.66 ± 5.39* | 21.96 ± 7.50 |
| 8          | 190, 190 | 17.68 ± 8.26* | 33.39 ± 13.34 | 189, 191 | 17.03 ± 6.69* | 25.95 ± 11.49 |
| 9          | 189, 188 | 19.52 ± 9.27* | 43.77 ± 16.47 | 188, 192 | 19.68 ± 7.78* | 32.89 ± 13.01 |
| 10         | 194, 192 | 22.41 ± 10.53* | 50.93 ± 17.34 | 191, 190 | 19.34 ± 7.70* | 38.35 ± 13.68 |
| 11         | 189, 178 | 26.08 ± 11.14* | 62.44 ± 19.40 | 189, 192 | 23.90 ± 9.26* | 46.02 ± 16.84 |
| 12         | 191, 170 | 32.61 ± 14.54* | 87.10 ± 24.00 | 190, 192 | 26.32 ± 10.11* | 54.25 ± 17.32 |
| 13         | 191, 191 | 38.81 ± 15.85* | 70.86 ± 19.22 | 192, 194 | 32.55 ± 11.10* | 41.24 ± 14.34 |
| 14         | 187, 193 | 46.34 ± 19.03* | 76.70 ± 22.14 | 188, 193 | 32.06 ± 11.03* | 36.09 ± 20.30 |
| 15         | 187, 166 | 52.58 ± 19.29* | 83.91 ± 25.89 | 194, 171 | 30.90 ± 11.49* | 52.88 ± 20.85 |
| 16         | 193, 179 | 56.43 ± 20.31* | 77.57 ± 25.90 | 188, 188 | 33.55 ± 10.87* | 44.05 ± 13.13 |
| 17         | 188, 180 | 57.63 ± 17.72* | 80.91 ± 25.63 | 190, 187 | 34.45 ± 10.73* | 46.23 ± 13.46 |
| 18         | 186, 185 | 59.20 ± 17.55* | 91.71 ± 21.72 | 192, 183 | 36.69 ± 9.38* | 70.13 ± 22.40 |
| Total      | 2277, 2203 | 36.91 ± 21.95* | 65.14 ± 29.18 | 2281, 2264 | 26.78 ± 11.95* | 42.29 ± 19.90 |

Note: (1) N1 = China, N2 = Japan; (2) t-test; * p < 0.05.
Table 3. Differences in estimated maximal oxygen consumption (VO\(_{2}\text{max}\)) performance between Chinese and Japanese children and adolescents (mL/kg/min).

| Age (Year) | N1, N2 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|-----------|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|           |        | Boy |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|           |        |     | China | Japan |     | China | Japan |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| 7         | 192, 191 | 46.26 ± 3.36 * | 49.74 ± 3.39 | 190, 192 | 44.56 ± 2.41 * | 46.36 ± 2.16 |
| 8         | 190, 190 | 45.39 ± 4.03 * | 49.77 ± 3.71 | 189, 191 | 43.82 ± 3.31 * | 46.34 ± 2.97 |
| 9         | 189, 188 | 45.25 ± 3.75 * | 51.09 ± 4.26 | 188, 192 | 43.83 ± 3.19 * | 46.81 ± 3.66 |
| 10        | 194, 192 | 44.41 ± 4.41 * | 51.46 ± 4.99 | 191, 190 | 42.95 ± 3.71 * | 47.21 ± 3.76 |
| 11        | 189, 178 | 44.41 ± 4.42 * | 52.74 ± 5.04 | 189, 192 | 42.59 ± 3.31 * | 47.62 ± 4.72 |
| 12        | 191, 170 | 44.87 ± 5.25 * | 55.12 ± 4.41 | 190, 191 | 41.75 ± 4.28 * | 48.08 ± 3.42 |
| 13        | 191, 191 | 45.27 ± 5.29 * | 51.72 ± 4.77 | 192, 194 | 42.04 ± 3.96 * | 45.06 ± 3.20 |
| 14        | 187, 193 | 45.78 ± 6.32 * | 52.84 ± 5.15 | 188, 193 | 41.24 ± 3.82 * | 43.48 ± 4.11 |
| 15        | 187, 166 | 45.73 ± 5.93 * | 53.02 ± 5.87 | 194, 171 | 39.59 ± 4.28 * | 44.19 ± 5.04 |
| 16        | 193, 179 | 45.45 ± 6.23 * | 50.76 ± 5.57 | 188, 188 | 39.87 ± 3.84 * | 42.19 ± 3.06 |
| 17        | 188, 180 | 44.94 ± 5.19 * | 50.69 ± 5.53 | 190, 187 | 39.43 ± 3.64 * | 41.69 ± 3.27 |
| 18        | 186, 185 | 45.07 ± 5.14 * | 51.80 ± 5.60 | 192, 183 | 39.82 ± 3.41 * | 46.50 ± 5.08 |
| Total     | 2277, 2203 | 45.23 ± 5.04 * | 51.69 ± 5.09 | 2281, 2264 | 41.78 ± 4.02 * | 45.48 ± 4.29 |

Note: (1) N1 = China, N2 = Japan; (2) t-test; * p < 0.05.

Centile curves for 20mSRT performance of Chinese and Japanese children and adolescents aged 7–18 years are given in Figure 1. The equivalent numerical values are available in Table 4. This figure contains curves approximating the 10th, 50th, and 90th centiles. Together, these data show that Japanese boys and girls in all age groups performed better on the test compared with their Chinese counterparts. The performances of Chinese and Japanese boys and girls improved with age.

Figure 2 presents that the 10th, 50th and 90th percentiles of VO\(_{2}\text{max}\)-for-age for Chinese children were greater compared to those for Japanese children. The equivalent numerical values are available in Table 5. Japanese boys had the most apparent gains in the 10th, 50th, and 90th percentiles between the ages of 7 and 12, and a gradual decrease from the age of 13. For Japanese girls, the P\(_{30}\) and P\(_{90}\) VO\(_{2}\text{max}\) value increased between the ages of 7 and 11. For Chinese girls aged 7–18, the P\(_{10}\), P\(_{50}\), and P\(_{90}\) VO\(_{2}\text{max}\) value decreased gradually with age. Meanwhile, Figure 2 also shows that the VO\(_{2}\text{max}\) value among Japanese children increased significantly, however, it decreased or remained flat among Chinese children in primary school.

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1.** Centile curves for 20mSRT performances of Chinese and Japanese children and adolescents aged 7–18 years.
Table 4. The 20-metre shuttle run (number of laps) centiles by age and sex in Chinese and Japanese children and adolescents aged 7–18 years.

| Sex  | Age (Year) | L   | M   | S   | China  | L   | M   | S   | Japan  |
|------|------------|-----|-----|-----|--------|-----|-----|-----|--------|
|      |            | P10 | P50 | P90 |        | P10 | P50 | P90 |        |
| Boy  | 7          | -0.05 | 13.51 | 0.42 | 7.95 | 13.51 | 23.29 | 0.67 | 27.28 | 0.42 |
|      | 8          | 0.03 | 15.76 | 0.43 | 9.06 | 15.76 | 27.17 | 0.72 | 35.12 | 0.40 |
|      | 9          | 0.11 | 18.28 | 0.44 | 10.26 | 18.28 | 31.44 | 0.77 | 43.69 | 0.38 |
|      | 10         | 0.19 | 21.52 | 0.44 | 11.82 | 21.52 | 36.80 | 0.82 | 52.83 | 0.37 |
|      | 11         | 0.28 | 25.79 | 0.44 | 13.94 | 25.79 | 43.63 | 0.87 | 61.85 | 0.35 |
|      | 12         | 0.36 | 31.02 | 0.44 | 17.09 | 31.02 | 51.67 | 0.92 | 69.45 | 0.34 |
|      | 13         | 0.44 | 36.82 | 0.43 | 19.71 | 36.82 | 60.08 | 0.97 | 74.58 | 0.32 |
|      | 14         | 0.52 | 42.67 | 0.41 | 23.07 | 42.67 | 67.94 | 1.02 | 81.04 | 0.31 |
|      | 15         | 0.60 | 48.12 | 0.39 | 26.57 | 48.12 | 74.46 | 1.07 | 88.92 | 0.29 |
|      | 16         | 0.68 | 52.92 | 0.36 | 30.17 | 52.92 | 82.83 | 1.12 | 96.37 | 0.27 |
|      | 17         | 0.77 | 57.13 | 0.34 | 33.93 | 57.13 | 85.57 | 1.18 | 103.9 | 0.26 |
|      | 18         | 0.85 | 61.05 | 0.31 | 37.97 | 61.05 | 89.92 | 1.23 | 111.9 | 0.25 |

| Girl | 7          | -0.14 | 13.67 | 0.36 | 8.73 | 13.67 | 22.10 | 0.47 | 20.91 | 0.38 |
|      | 8          | -0.08 | 15.71 | 0.37 | 9.89 | 15.71 | 25.36 | 0.45 | 26.34 | 0.39 |
|      | 9          | -0.01 | 17.77 | 0.37 | 11.04 | 17.77 | 28.64 | 0.44 | 31.78 | 0.40 |
|      | 10         | 0.06 | 20.00 | 0.37 | 12.28 | 20.00 | 32.08 | 0.42 | 36.69 | 0.40 |
|      | 11         | 0.13 | 22.58 | 0.37 | 13.75 | 22.58 | 35.96 | 0.40 | 40.39 | 0.40 |
|      | 12         | 0.20 | 25.30 | 0.37 | 15.33 | 25.30 | 39.87 | 0.39 | 42.25 | 0.41 |
|      | 13         | 0.27 | 27.81 | 0.37 | 16.84 | 27.81 | 43.24 | 0.37 | 42.53 | 0.41 |
|      | 14         | 0.34 | 29.82 | 0.36 | 18.15 | 29.82 | 45.58 | 0.35 | 42.83 | 0.41 |
|      | 15         | 0.41 | 31.42 | 0.34 | 19.34 | 31.42 | 47.07 | 0.34 | 44.28 | 0.40 |
|      | 16         | 0.48 | 32.87 | 0.33 | 20.60 | 32.87 | 48.12 | 0.32 | 46.94 | 0.39 |
|      | 17         | 0.55 | 34.30 | 0.31 | 22.02 | 34.30 | 48.95 | 0.30 | 51.12 | 0.38 |
|      | 18         | 0.62 | 35.74 | 0.29 | 23.60 | 35.74 | 49.70 | 0.29 | 56.52 | 0.37 |

Note: L, skew; M, median; S, coefficient of variation; P, percentile.

Figure 2. Centile curves for VO\(_{2\text{max}}\) values of Chinese and Japanese children and adolescents aged 7–18 years.
Table 5. Relative maximal oxygen uptake (VO$_{2\text{max}}$, mL/kg/min) centiles by age and sex in Chinese and Japanese children and adolescents aged 7–18 years.

| Sex | Age (Year) | L  | M  | S  | China | L  | M  | S  | Japan |
|-----|------------|----|----|----|-------|----|----|----|-------|
|     |            | P10| P50| P90|       | P10| P50| P90|       |
| Boy | 7          | 4.15| 46.47| 0.06| 42.35| 46.47| 49.68| 3.52| 49.80| 0.07| 45.09| 49.80| 53.60|
|     | 8          | 3.95| 45.94| 0.07| 41.14| 45.94| 49.60| 3.42| 50.62| 0.07| 45.42| 50.62| 54.77|
|     | 9          | 3.76| 45.49| 0.08| 40.03| 45.49| 49.58| 3.32| 51.49| 0.08| 45.80| 51.49| 56.01|
|     | 10         | 3.56| 45.18| 0.09| 39.11| 45.18| 49.67| 3.22| 52.35| 0.08| 46.17| 52.35| 57.23|
|     | 11         | 3.37| 45.18| 0.10| 38.54| 45.18| 50.08| 3.12| 53.05| 0.09| 46.43| 53.05| 58.27|
|     | 12         | 3.17| 45.50| 0.10| 38.34| 45.50| 50.82| 3.02| 53.44| 0.09| 46.42| 53.44| 58.96|
|     | 13         | 2.98| 45.94| 0.11| 38.34| 45.94| 51.64| 2.92| 53.42| 0.09| 46.07| 53.42| 59.21|
|     | 14         | 2.78| 46.28| 0.11| 38.38| 46.28| 52.30| 2.83| 53.16| 0.10| 45.50| 53.16| 59.20|
|     | 15         | 2.59| 46.30| 0.12| 38.30| 46.30| 52.55| 2.73| 52.68| 0.10| 44.75| 52.68| 58.95|
|     | 16         | 2.39| 46.02| 0.12| 38.08| 46.02| 52.39| 2.63| 52.01| 0.11| 43.86| 52.01| 58.48|
|     | 17         | 2.20| 45.56| 0.12| 37.79| 45.56| 52.00| 2.53| 51.28| 0.11| 42.92| 51.28| 57.95|
|     | 18         | 2.00| 45.09| 0.12| 37.50| 45.09| 51.58| 2.43| 50.55| 0.12| 41.98| 50.55| 57.43|

| Girl | 7          | 3.86| 44.68| 0.06| 41.12| 44.68| 47.58| 0.58| 46.22| 0.05| 43.09| 46.22| 49.45|
|      | 8          | 3.74| 44.29| 0.06| 40.24| 44.29| 47.52| 0.73| 46.63| 0.06| 42.94| 46.63| 50.40|
|      | 9          | 3.61| 43.88| 0.07| 39.39| 43.88| 47.41| 0.88| 46.99| 0.07| 42.78| 46.99| 51.25|
|      | 10         | 3.49| 43.43| 0.08| 38.56| 43.43| 47.23| 1.03| 47.20| 0.08| 42.53| 47.20| 51.86|
|      | 11         | 3.37| 42.95| 0.08| 37.78| 42.95| 46.97| 1.18| 47.09| 0.08| 42.06| 47.09| 52.02|
|      | 12         | 3.25| 42.46| 0.09| 37.07| 42.46| 46.64| 1.33| 46.54| 0.09| 41.26| 46.54| 51.62|
|      | 13         | 3.12| 41.94| 0.09| 36.43| 41.94| 46.22| 1.48| 45.64| 0.09| 40.18| 45.64| 50.79|
|      | 14         | 3.00| 41.35| 0.09| 35.65| 41.35| 45.69| 1.63| 44.71| 0.09| 39.07| 44.71| 49.94|
|      | 15         | 2.88| 40.78| 0.09| 35.35| 40.78| 45.10| 1.79| 44.04| 0.10| 38.15| 44.04| 49.36|
|      | 16         | 2.76| 40.29| 0.09| 35.00| 40.29| 44.57| 1.94| 43.69| 0.10| 37.47| 43.69| 49.17|
|      | 17         | 2.63| 39.89| 0.09| 34.77| 39.89| 44.12| 2.09| 43.74| 0.11| 37.06| 43.74| 49.45|
|      | 18         | 2.51| 39.57| 0.09| 34.62| 39.57| 43.73| 2.24| 44.08| 0.12| 36.83| 44.08| 50.09|

Note: L, skew; M, median; S, coefficient of variation; P, percentile.

Table 6 shows that the overall prevalence of overweight and obesity among Chinese participants was greater than Japanese participants (for boys: Chinese, 19.0% and 13.1%; Japanese, 11.0% and 4.3%, respectively. For girls: Chinese, 13.7% and 5.5%; Japanese, 8.5% and 1.2%, respectively). The prevalence of overweight and obesity among Chinese participants in most age groups was greater than that of Japanese participants.
Table 6. Rate of underweight, overweight, and obesity between Chinese and Japanese children and adolescents (N (%)).

| Age (Year) | Country | N  | Underweight | Normal Weight | Overweight | Obese | p Value | N  | Underweight | Normal Weight | Overweight | Obese | p Value |
|------------|---------|----|-------------|---------------|------------|-------|---------|----|-------------|---------------|------------|-------|---------|
| 7          | China   | 192| 6 (3.1)     | 129 (67.2)    | 27 (14.1)  | 3 (1.6) | 0.002   | 190| 3 (1.6)     | 146 (76.8)    | 26 (13.7)  | 15 (7.9)| 0.001   |
|            | Japan   | 191| 5 (2.6)     | 158 (82.7)    | 18 (9.4)   | 10 (5.2) |         | 192| 0 (0.0)     | 170 (88.5)    | 20 (10.4)  | 2 (1.0) |         |
| 8          | China   | 190| 3 (1.6)     | 107 (56.3)    | 40 (21.1)  | 40 (21.1) |         | 189| 9 (4.8)     | 123 (65.1)    | 35 (18.5)  | 22 (11.6)| <0.001  |
|            | Japan   | 190| 3 (1.6)     | 153 (80.5)    | 21 (11.1)  | 13 (6.8) | <0.001  | 191| 3 (1.6)     | 160 (83.8)    | 24 (12.6)  | 4 (2.1) | <0.001  |
| 9          | China   | 189| 4 (2.1)     | 106 (54.2)    | 49 (25.3)  | 35 (18.0) |         | 191| 18 (9.4)    | 132 (69.1)    | 29 (15.2)  | 12 (6.3) | 0.015   |
|            | Japan   | 188| 0 (0.0)     | 159 (84.6)    | 17 (9.0)   | 12 (6.4) | <0.001  | 192| 4 (2.1)     | 158 (82.3)    | 24 (12.5)  | 6 (3.1) |         |
| 10         | China   | 194| 4 (2.1)     | 127 (66.5)    | 35 (18.3)  | 25 (13.1) | <0.001  | 190| 126 (63.3)  | 131 (68.9)    | 32 (16.8)  | 15 (7.9) | <0.001  |
|            | Japan   | 170| 3 (1.6)     | 114 (67.1)    | 38 (22.3)  | 15 (8.8) | <0.001  | 190| 18 (9.4)    | 132 (69.1)    | 29 (15.2)  | 12 (6.3) |         |
| 11         | China   | 191| 7 (3.7)     | 125 (65.4)    | 36 (18.8)  | 23 (12.0) | <0.001  | 192| 5 (2.6)     | 146 (76.0)    | 30 (15.6)  | 11 (5.7) | <0.001  |
|            | Japan   | 191| 5 (2.6)     | 160 (83.8)    | 21 (11.0)  | 5 (2.6)  |         | 194| 6 (3.1)     | 183 (94.3)    | 4 (2.1)   | 1 (0.5) |         |
| 12         | China   | 187| 8 (4.3)     | 128 (68.4)    | 33 (17.6)  | 18 (9.6) | <0.001  | 188| 7 (3.7)     | 157 (83.5)    | 16 (8.5)  | 8 (4.3) | 0.224   |
|            | Japan   | 195| 4 (2.1)     | 173 (89.6)    | 14 (7.3)   | 2 (1.0)  | <0.001  | 193| 7 (3.6)     | 173 (89.6)    | 10 (5.2)  | 3 (1.6) |         |
| 13         | China   | 187| 11 (5.9)    | 119 (63.6)    | 39 (20.9)  | 18 (9.6) |         | 194| 10 (5.2)    | 146 (75.3)    | 28 (14.4) | 10 (5.2) | 0.009   |
|            | Japan   | 166| 9 (5.4)     | 135 (81.3)    | 17 (10.2)  | 5 (3.0)  |         | 171| 2 (1.2)     | 143 (83.6)    | 25 (14.6) | 1 (0.6) |         |
| 14         | China   | 193| 2 (1.0)     | 143 (74.1)    | 28 (14.5)  | 20 (10.4) | 0.001   | 188| 5 (2.7)     | 161 (85.6)    | 18 (9.6)  | 4 (2.1) | 0.101   |
|            | Japan   | 179| 6 (3.4)     | 150 (83.8)    | 20 (11.2)  | 3 (1.7)  |         | 188| 4 (2.1)     | 173 (92.0)    | 11 (5.9)  | 0 (0.0) |         |
| 15         | China   | 188| 3 (1.6)     | 136 (72.3)    | 37 (19.7)  | 12 (6.4) | <0.001  | 190| 1 (0.5)     | 167 (87.9)    | 21 (11.1) | 1 (0.5) | 0.568   |
|            | Japan   | 180| 4 (2.2)     | 160 (88.9)    | 14 (7.8)   | 2 (1.1)  |         | 187| 1 (0.5)     | 171 (91.4)    | 15 (8.0)  | 0 (0.0) |         |
| 16         | China   | 186| 14 (7.5)    | 139 (74.7)    | 24 (12.9)  | 9 (4.8)  | 0.488   | 192| 3 (1.6)     | 174 (90.6)    | 11 (5.7)  | 4 (2.1) | 0.517   |
|            | Japan   | 185| 8 (4.3)     | 149 (80.5)    | 21 (11.4)  | 7 (3.8)  |         | 183| 5 (2.7)     | 167 (91.3)    | 10 (5.5)  | 10 (5.5) |         |
| 17         | China   | 2277|76 (3.3)     | 1470 (64.6)   | 433 (19.0) | 298 (13.1) |         | 2261|87 (3.8)     | 1756 (77.0)   | 313 (13.7) | 125 (5.5) |         |
|            | Japan   | 2277|76 (3.3)     | 1470 (64.6)   | 433 (19.0) | 298 (13.1) |         | 2261|87 (3.8)     | 1756 (77.0)   | 313 (13.7) | 125 (5.5) |         |
| Total      | China   | 2203|33 (2.4)     | 1812 (82.3)   | 243 (11.0) | 95 (4.3) | <0.001  | 2264|50 (2.2)     | 1994 (88.1)   | 192 (8.5) | 28 (1.2) | <0.001  |
|            | Japan   | 2203|33 (2.4)     | 1812 (82.3)   | 243 (11.0) | 95 (4.3) | <0.001  | 2264|50 (2.2)     | 1994 (88.1)   | 192 (8.5) | 28 (1.2) | <0.001  |

Note: The statistical analysis is χ²-test.
4. Discussion

The present study demonstrated that the CRF of Chinese children and adolescents was less than that of Japanese participants, which may be related to socioeconomic status, BMI, physical activity status, and dietary habits among children and adolescents. Since reform and opening-up in 1978, increased income inequality has emerged in China. Data from the Chinese Health and Nutrition Survey (CHNS) in the period 1989 to 2011 showed that an increased Gini index was associated with higher risks of having abnormal obesity [30]. Among 205,388 girls aged 13–14 years from 36 countries, those from higher income inequality countries had a greater median BMI [31]. BMI was closely correlated to CRF among children and adolescents. The relationship between BMI and CRF among children was parabolic, and the poorest CRF occurred with the highest BMI, while the best CRF occurred with normal weight [32,33]. In addition, overweight and obesity were significantly negatively correlated with CRF in children and adolescents [34], and obesity led to a decrease in children’s CRF [35]. Meanwhile, the results of the present study showed that the prevalence of overweight and obesity among Chinese participants in most age groups was greater, and the prevalence of normal weight was lower than that of Japanese participants. Therefore, the difference in BMI among Chinese and Japanese participants may be related to a significantly lower CRF among children in China than in Japan. Regarding the physical activity status, a survey of the mode of commuting to school among children from grade 4 to grade 12 in China found that among primary school, middle school, and high school students, the proportion taking an active mode of commuting (cycling or walking) to school was 55.8%, 54.6%, and 50.9%, respectively [36]. The 2015 Sasakawa Sports Foundation (SSF) National Sports-Life Survey of Young People reported that 93% of Japanese elementary school children regularly commute actively to school, while 88% of those at junior high school and 68% of senior high school students regularly commute actively to school [37]. Thus, it can be seen that the proportion of cycling and walking to school among Chinese children was lower than Japanese children. Among children, the mode of commuting to school is related to CRF [38–40]. Among Colombian children and adolescents aged 9–18 years, cycling to school was found to be associated with greater CRF [38]. Likewise, in English schoolchildren aged 10–16 years, there was a positive association between walking and cycling to school and CRF [39]. Among Chinese Han children aged 10–18 years, walking and cycling to school was also found to be significantly associated with greater CRF in girls [40]. Therefore, physical activity status could be an important reason for the CRF of Chinese participants being significantly lower than that of Japanese participants. With the development of economy and urbanization, China has been undergoing a nutrition transition to a westernized diet characterized by low grain intake and a high consumption of edible oils and animal-sourced foods [41]. Data from the Chinese Health and Nutrition Survey (CHNS) regarding children aged 12–17 years showed a structural change in dietary habits from carbohydrates to fat and protein from 1991 to 2011 [42]. Additionally, a recent study found that there were many problems in dietary nutrition for children and adolescents in China, such as an unreasonable nutritional allocation among three meals per day, a greater proportion of children who skip breakfast, and the intake of high-fat and high-salt foods [43]. The CRF of children and adolescents may indeed be affected by dietary habits. For English boys aged 10–16 years, regularly eating breakfast during school days was positively associated with CRF [44]. Among French primary school children, the frequency of breakfast was positively associated with CRF, while a cumulation of unhealthy eating habits was negatively associated with CRF [45]. Therefore, dietary factors may be associated with the differences observed in CRF between Chinese and Japanese participants.

The present study also found that the VO_{2max} value among Japanese children increased significantly; however, it decreased or remained flat among Chinese children in primary school, which could be related to physical activity status, dietary nutrition, and sleep duration. As mentioned previously, the proportion of active travel to school among Chinese and Japanese elementary school children was 55.8% and 93%, respectively [36,37]. Active travel to school was associated with greater CRF among children. Therefore, physical activity status may lead to the different trend of VO_{2max} value among Chinese and Japanese children in primary school. Regarding dietary nutrition, the Japanese
government enacted the School Lunch Program Law in 1954, and almost all the elementary schools in Japan enforced the school lunch program in 2013. The school lunch program has contributed to an improvement in nutrient intake and the establishment of appropriate dietary habits among elementary school students [46]. With the rapid development of China’s economy, great changes have taken place in people’s eating behaviors and lifestyles. Unreasonable breakfast structure and snacking was popular, and the amount of beverage intake had increased among Chinese primary school students over the past decade [47,48]. As mentioned previously, unhealthy eating habits were negatively related to CRF among primary school students. Therefore, dietary nutrition may lead to the $VO_{2\text{max}}$ value among Chinese and Japanese children in the elementary school showing different trends. Regarding sleep duration, insufficient sleep duration (<9 h/d) led to decreased CRF among children [49]. Data from the 2014 Chinese National Survey on Students Constitution and Health showed that the prevalence of insufficient sleep for primary school children was 66.6% [50]. Meanwhile, a recent study found the prevalence of insufficient sleep for Japanese primary school children was 24.4% [51]. Therefore, sleep duration may lead to different trends in the $VO_{2\text{max}}$ values among Chinese and Japanese primary school children.

5. Conclusions

The present study investigated and analyzed the CRF of Chinese and Japanese children and adolescents, so as to provide a theoretical and practical basis for improving the health level of children and adolescents. Findings from this study confirm that CRF among Chinese children was less than among Japanese children. Meanwhile, the $VO_{2\text{max}}$ value among Japanese children increased significantly, however, the value decreased or remained flat among Chinese children in primary school. Based on these results, policymakers, schools, and families should work together to strengthen health education, develop healthy dietary habits and sufficient sleep duration, control weight, reduce sedentary behavior, and increase the physical activity levels among children and adolescents, especially primary school children. There are also some study limitations to note. First, the present study has not investigated children and adolescents’ lifestyles, such as physical activity and dietary habits. Second, this study is cross-sectional and unable to determine causality. Therefore, cohort studies and lifestyle surveys should be conducted among Chinese and Japanese children and adolescents in the future. In addition, effective strategies should be taken to control the weight of children and adolescents, to minimize childhood overweight and obesity and to improve CRF.
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