Subgemmal Neurogenous Plaque: A Clinical and Pathologic Review With Comparison to Common Head and Neck Neural Tumors
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ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: In humans, subgemmal neurogenous plaques (SNPs) are normally found associated with taste buds. On histology, SNP may be mistaken for a neural neoplasm. The objective of this study was to correctly differentiate SNP among head and neck neural lesions and provide clinical and pathologic information that may assist in avoiding misdiagnosis. To our knowledge, this is the first study to provide an estimate of the degree of overdiagnoses of mucosal lesions in the head and neck mucosal area.

STUDY DESIGN: Retrospective pathology and chart review.

METHODS: All cases of head and neck mucosal neural lesions only in the mucosa of the oral cavity, oropharynx, or larynx from the pathology archives of a single urban tertiary care center between 3/2000 and 6/2017 were obtained. The pathologic and clinical data were reviewed.

RESULTS: Twenty-six cases were identified: 9 neuromas, 9 neurofibromas, 2 ganglioneuromas and 6 cases of hyperplastic subepithelial nerve bundles. The mean greatest dimension of SNPs was 2.0 mm (range 1-3 mm) and most were subjacent to taste buds (13 cases). The 20 cases of SNP involved 15 women and 5 men. Their median age was 60 years (range 30-85 years). Clinical data were available in 19 cases. The most common presenting complaint was of a painless lesion (8 patients).

CONCLUSIONS: This review confirmed the rarity of true neural neoplasms in the head and neck mucosa and estimates the risk of their over diagnosis given the possible diagnostic confusion with SNP.
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Introduction

Neural neoplasms of the head and neck mucosa are not common. One study reviewed 303 neural tumors and found out that 45% cases were in the head and neck with only 10% of total in the oral cavity and submaxillary glands.1 Some neural neoplasms such as neurofibroma and ganglioneuroma are associated with genetic disorders such as neurofibromatosis and multiple endocrine neoplasia type IIb (MEN IIb), respectively.

Taste buds are present in the circumvallate, fungiform, and foliate papillae and in a lesser number in the dorsal and lateral aspect of the tongue. Taste receptors can also be found in the soft palate, upper esophagus, the cheek, and epiglottis.2 Taste buds are innervated by fibers originating from the glossopharyngeal and chorda tympani nerves.3 Subgemmal neurogenous plaque is a well-described structure associated with taste buds and is occasionally detected in human tongue biopsies.4,5 These subgemmal structures were described first by McDaniel4 as aggregates of nerve plexus and ganglion cells in a neurofibroma-like proliferation. He suggested using the term hyperplastic subepithelial nerve plexus; however, the term subgemmal neurogenous plaque (SNP) was used after as it incorporates both the characteristic location and tissue of origin without implying the presence of a pathologic process.

The proposed function of the nerve fibers in SNP is for gustatory and somatosensory perception.3 This neural complex is often multifocal and typically exhibits ganglion cells. The overlying epithelium demonstrates normal taste buds. To date, SNPs are generally considered to have no significant clinical consequences, and in most cases, this structure is identified as an incidental finding on a tongue biopsy4 with a few reports of symptomatic cases.6

This study provides a review of all head and neck mucosal lesions evaluated by a single tertiary care medical center pathology department over a 17-year time span to present the clinical and pathologic findings associated with SNPs and identify the
real incidence and nature of true mucosal neural neoplasms. To our knowledge, this is the first study to provide an estimate of the degree of overdiagnoses of neural lesions in the head and neck mucosal area.

Material and Methods
Following Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval with waiver of consent, we searched our surgical pathology database for cases that had received a pathologic diagnosis of a peripheral neural tumor including neurofibroma, neuroura, traumatic neuroma, ganglioneuroma, and solitary circumscribed neura only in the mucosa of the oral cavity, oropharynx, or larynx between March 2000 and June 2017. Corresponding hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) slides were blinded and reviewed by an experienced pathologist (PG) who is familiar with the microscopic features of SNP. Cases that showed a biphasic pattern with a superficial unencapsulated well-circumscribed neural plexus subjacent to the surface epithelium, and a deeper portion formed by small nerve fascicles were diagnosed as SNPs. Immunohistochemistry was not used for review. Agreement between the initial diagnosis and reclassification was assessed. The electronic medical record was also reviewed to determine pertinent historical clinical findings and to examine what interventions the patients received following diagnosis. The agreement rate was defined as the concordance of an originally rendered diagnosis with the review diagnosis. The clinicopathological features of the cases are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

Results
We identified 26 cases of neural lesions in the mucosa of the oral cavity, oropharynx, or larynx in our database. Of these cases, biopsies had been obtained from the following locations: oral tongue (14 cases), oropharynx (7 cases base of tongue, 1 case of tonsillar fossa and pillar), and palate and lip (2 cases each).

Of the 26 cases identified, the most common initial diagnoses were neura (9 cases), neurofibroma (9 cases), proliferation of peripheral nerve or subepithelial nerve bundle (6 cases), and ganglioneuroma (2 cases). Reexamination of the cases confirmed the diagnosis of neura in 3 of 9 cases and neurofibroma in 3 of 9 cases. The rest of these cases were reclassified as SNP (20 cases total). The diagnosis of a head and neck mucosal neural neoplasm was confirmed in 6 of 20 or 30% of cases initially classified as a neural neoplasm.

SNPs were found in biopsies from 15 women and 5 men with a median age of 60 years (range 30-85 years). The locations of theses SNP were as follows: 10 cases oral tongue (50%), 8 cases base of tongue (40%), 1 case each glottis and tonsillar fossa (5%). The mean greatest dimension of SNPs was 2.0 mm (1-3 mm in diameter). In most of the cases, taste buds were identified in the slides (13 cases). Taste buds (Figure 1) are quite prominent ovoid lightly stained bodies, which extend perpendicularly from the basement membrane and communicate with the surface through a small opening (gustatory pores).

Clinical data were available for 19 of the SNP cases in the electronic medical record. Most patients were symptomatic with 7 patients presented with sore throat or a tender lesion, 5 had a painless lesion, while 6 patients presented with different unrelated complains including weight loss (2), otalgia, globus sensation dysphonia, dysphagia, choking, tonsilloliths, or altered sensation. One patient was entirely asymptomatic. Two cases were identified on biopsies obtained in searching for an unknown primary squamous cell carcinoma. The clinicopathological features of the patients are summarized in Table 1.

Two patients had an incidentally diagnosed neural lesion found on a biopsy that also contained a squamous cell carcinoma, and seven patients underwent biopsies during ongoing surveillance for head and neck cancer. Of these 7 patients, two patients had persistent pain or tenderness after their biopsy and would go on to have subsequent biopsy that confirmed recurrent squamous cell carcinoma after their initial biopsy demonstrated a benign mucosal neural neoplasm (2 neuromas).

In our study, three cases were confirmed to be traumatic neuroma with a recent history (6-8 months) of a prior head and neck procedure. The 3 neurofibromas were superficially located well-circumscribed non-encapsulated and no other neural lesions were identified in these patients. Two cases were called initially as ganglioneuroma were found to be SNPs with ganglion cells. The clinicopathological features of the confirmed mucosal neural neoplasms are summarized in Table 2.

Discussion
After McDaniel4 described the subgemma structure, he called subepithelial nerve plexus in 12 tongue biopsies; a few recent reports started recognizing SNPs as a unique structure in tongue biopsies. Studies describing SNPs microscopically and clinically are scarce.

SNPs are quite small. In this study, the average size was only 2 mm and they were found to be symptomatic in some cases or can be incidentally seen on biopsies taken for other different reason, making the recognition of these normal anatomical structures on these biopsies important. SNPs appear to be more common than previously considered as these are normal structures in the tongue associated with taste buds.7 SNP is characterized by its unique biphasic pattern with superficial neurofibroma-like area and deeper neura-like areas, which helps differentiate it from other neural lesion that can be found in the head and neck such as ganglioneuroma, neurofibroma, traumatic neuroma, and mucosal neuroma.

Traumatic neuroma is a reactive but non-neoplastic proliferation of a nerve occurring in response to injury or surgery.8,9 In the oral cavity, the injury may occur from a surgical procedure such as a tooth extraction, from a local anesthetic injection, or from trauma;1 which results in transection injury of a sensory nerve resulting in inflammation and scarring in the area of injury. As the proximal nerve segment proliferates in an attempt to regenerate into the distal segment, it becomes entangled and trapped in the developing scar, producing a
disorganized composite mass of fibrous tissue, Schwann cells, and axons. Clinically, it presents as a firm tender or painful nodule. Histologically, it consists of numerous haphazard nerve fibers of varying sizes, axons, and Schwann cells embedded in scar tissue. Traumatic neuromas are sometimes confused with SNP. However, the intraoral location, the identification of all elements of the nerve fascicles, and the presence of a scar related to a prior procedure plus the lack of the

| CASE NO. | SEX | AGE | SITE          | FOLLOW-UP (MONTHS) | GANGLION CELLS PRESENT | TASTE BUDS PRESENT | CHRONIC INFLAMMATION | CLINICAL PRESENTATION |
|----------|-----|-----|---------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|          |     |     |               |                    |                        |                    |                     |                       |
| 1        | F   | 63  | Oral tongue   | 1                  | No                     | Yes                | No                  | No clinical data available |
| 2        | F   | 35  | Base of tongue| 4                  | Yes                    | No                 | Yes                 | Found during cancer surveillance, patient had tenderness to palpation at the right base of tongue, pain persisted after biopsy and recurrence eventually discovered |
| 3        | M   | 50  | Base of tongue| 62                 | No                     | Yes                | Yes                 | Non-painful tongue lesion that fluctuated in appearance for 2 years |
| 4        | F   | 62  | Oral tongue   | 62                 | No                     | Yes                | Yes                 | Burning pain of the left tongue worsening over 2 years |
| 5        | F   | 38  | Oral tongue   | 16                 | Yes                    | No                 | No                  | 6mm tender oral tongue lesion found on dental examination in this patient with h/o oral cavity cancer, eventually patient was re-biopsied and found to have invasive SCC in the setting of CIS |
| 6        | F   | 46  | Oral tongue   | 50                 | No                     | No                 | Yes                 | Non-painful lateral tongue lesion for 1 week |
| 7        | F   | 53  | Glottis       | 22                 | No                     | Yes                | Yes                 | Dysphonia and globus sensation |
| 8        | M   | 41  | Oral tongue   | 1                  | Yes                    | Yes                | Yes                 | Non-painful, persistent right lateral tongue fluctuating in size for 6 months |
| 9        | F   | 74  | Base of tongue| 18                 | Yes                    | Yes                | Yes                 | Asymptomatic, persistent PET scan uptake on post-treatment scan following treatment for H&N SCC |
| 10       | M   | 66  | Oral tongue   | 20                 | No                     | Yes                | Yes                 | Unknown primary H&N cancer biopsied in attempt to find the primary |
| 11       | F   | 76  | Tonsillar fossa| 12              | No                     | No                 | No                  | Ear pain in the setting of Cis of the oropharynx found on excision specimen |
| 12       | M   | 85  | Base of tongue| 12                 | Yes                    | No                 | No                  | Dysphagia and weight loss in the setting of suspected oropharynx cancer |
| 13       | F   | 69  | Base of tongue| 11                 | Yes                    | Yes                | Yes                 | Right-sided otalgia and right base of tongue lesion on examination |
| 14       | F   | 57  | Base of tongue| 8                  | No                     | Yes                | No                  | Left throat discomfort for 2 months of fluctuating intensity |
| 15       | F   | 43  | Base of tongue| 8                  | Yes                    | No                 | No                  | Intermittent throat pain, tonsilloliths, choking, weight loss |
| 16       | F   | 56  | Oral tongue   | 9                  | No                     | Yes                | Yes                 | Non-painful tongue lesion |
| 17       | M   | 73  | Base of tongue| 5                  | No                     | No                 | No                  | Left-sided odynophagia and neck pain in a patient with h/o left-sided oropharynx cancer |
| 18       | F   | 66  | Oral tongue   | 1                  | Yes                    | Yes                | Yes                 | Altered sensation of lateral tongue (numb and gritty) |
| 19       | F   | 30  | Oral tongue   | 2                  | Yes                    | Yes                | Yes                 | Sore on tongue for 1 week |
| 20       | F   | 62  | Oral tongue   | 1                  | Yes                    | Yes                | No                  | Left-sided tongue pain for 3 weeks and change in tongue texture |

Abbreviations: SCC, squamous cell carcinoma; CIS, Carcinoma in situ; PET, positron emission tomography; H&N, Head and neck.
biphasic pattern make them distinguishable from SNP. In our study, the traumatic neuroma cases had a recent history of a prior head and neck procedure.

Solitary circumscribed neuroma (SCN), also called pali-saded, encapsulated neuroma, is a common benign lesion with no association with neurofibromatosis or MEN2b syndrome. Most SCN occurs in the skin and mucosal sites of the face such as cheeks, nasolabial folds, and nose with, oral lesions being a less common site. Clinically, SCNs are small and painless. Histologically, they are sharply circumscribed dermal nodule, consist of a proliferation of Schwann cells arranged in fascicles with intervening clefting artifact between bundles and accompanied by a variable number of axons. Most lesions are not fully encapsulated and are only focally palisading.11,12 The bundled arrangement of Schwann cells, the very thickened perineurial capsule, and the clefting artifact will not be seen in SNP cases and will help differentiating the two in cases of confusion. Although these are common when compared with traumatic neuromas, ganglioneuromas, and mucosal neuromas, no cases were found in our study.

On the other hand, mucosal neuromas of the lips and mouth are part of MEN IIb an inherited autosomal-dominant trait associated with pheochromocytoma, C-cell hyperplasia, medullary thyroid carcinoma, and parathyroid hyperplasia. Identification of these lesions and differentiating them from other neural tumors and SNPs is important, since they can be a part of this life-threatening syndrome. Histologically, they are rarely solitary and characterized by tortuous bundles of nerve with a prominent perineurium, surrounded by normal connective tissue in the submucosa of the oral cavity.8 The frequent presence of multiple lesions in case of mucosal neuroma, lack of the biphasic pattern, the haphazard distribution, and the prominent thickening of perineurium surrounding nerve bundles facilitate differentiating mucosal neuromas from SNPs.

Neurofibroma may occur as circumscribed solitary lesions or as multiple lesions as part of the syndrome neurofibromatosis (von Recklinghausen's disease of skin). The cause of solitary neurofibroma is unknown. Neurofibromatosis, on the other hand, is inherited as an autosomal-dominant trait. It has variable expressivity and about half the cases appears after spontaneous mutation.1 Two subsets have been defined: one associated with the NF1 gene and the other with the NF2 gene. Oral lesions are typically associated with NF1. This condition includes multiple neurofibromas, cutaneous café-au-lait macules, freckling in the axillary or inguinal regions, optic glioma, Lisch nodules, and a distinctive osseous lesion. Histologically, both lesions, unlike SNPs, lack zonal pattern and show the same microscopic features with spindle-shaped cells that have fusiform or wavy nuclei found in a delicate connective tissue matrix with no deep neuroma-like areas. Mast cells are characteristically scattered throughout the lesion. Solitary lesions are well-circumscribed while the one in neurofibromatosis shows no distinct margin between the neurofibroma and the surrounding tissue. The plexiform neurofibroma is a histologic subtype that is highly characteristic of neurofibromatosis. In this variety, a myxomatous matrix supports extensive interlacing masses of nerve tissue.

Finally, ganglioneuromas are rare benign tumors of neurogenic origin. They usually present in patients between 1 and 30 years of age with a slight female predominance. They are most commonly localized in posterior mediastinum (34%), retroperitoneum (27%), adrenal gland (19%), cervical (2%), and parapharyngeal area (1%).8 Unusual sites include the spermatic cord, heart, bone, and intestine.13 Ganglioneuromas most often

---

Table 2. Summary of the clinical features of the confirmed mucosal neural neoplasms of the head and neck mucosa.

| CASE NO. | SEX | AGE | SITE    | DIAGNOSIS | FOLLOW-UP TIME (m:MONTHS) | HISTORY OF PREVIOUS PROCEDURE | TIME AFTER PRIOR PROCEDURE | CLINICAL PRESENTATION                                                                 |
|---------|-----|-----|---------|-----------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1       | M   | 65  | Tongue  | Neuroma   | N/A                       | Excision                     | Unknown                     | Persistent uptake in the base of tongue on post-treatment PET scan following oropharynx cancer treatment |
| 2       | F   | 8   | Tongue  | Neuroma   | 157                       | Excision                    | 8m                          | Non-painful ventral tongue lesion at site of prior excision                           |
| 3       | M   | 38  | Lower lip | Neuroma | N/A                       | Excision                    | 6m                          | Fluctuating lower lip swelling and fullness on examination noted under prior mucocele excision scar |
| 4       | F   | 47  | Palate  | Neurofibroma | 187m                 | N/A                         | N/A                         | Lesion at the junction of the hard and soft palate on the left in a smoker            |
| 5       | F   | 32  | Tongue  | Neurofibroma | 1m                    | N/A                         | N/A                         | Persistent non-painful tongue lesion of 5-month duration                            |
| 6       | M   | 26  | Palate  | Neurofibroma | 1m                    | Tooth extraction             | Unknown                     | Persistent non-painful lesion of the palate for 1 year                               |

Abbreviation: PET, positron emission tomography.
are asymptomatic. Ganglioneuromas have been rarely reported in the tongue.\textsuperscript{14,15} Clinically, cervical ganglioneuromas usually cause sign and symptoms related to compression of nerves or vessels of the neck.\textsuperscript{13} Histologically, they are well-defined and encapsulated masses, characterized by clusters of mature ganglion cells surrounded by fascicles of Schwann-like cells with decreased number of axons. The ganglion cells are relatively mature with lack of satellite cells and Nissl bodies.\textsuperscript{8,13} Elements of immature neurogenic tumors can be seen in about 25\% of ganglioneuromas, which indicates malignant or potentially malignant behavior. It is hypothesized that neuroblastic tumors undergo a maturational process or spontaneous regression of neuroblastoma to ganglioneuroma.\textsuperscript{8} The two cases in our study originally called ganglioneuroma were found in tongue biopsies from female patients outside of the typical age range (38 and 72 years). Review of these cases revealed that they are non-encapsulated SNPs with ganglion cells; taste buds were not seen in the overlying epithelium.

Awareness of SNPs is important, as they are unique subgemmal structures that are associated with taste buds and usually found in tongue biopsies that show distinctive biphasic pattern, with superficial neurofibroma-like plaques and deeper neuroma-like areas. Clinically, they can present as painful or painless lesions and can be discerned during surveillance for a head and neck carcinoma. Recognizing this entity by pathologist as well as clinicians as a normal anatomical structure is important to avoid overcalling them as neural neoplasms. The results of this study show that neural tumors are rare in the head and neck, particularly so when malignant. Caution should be exercised before calling ganglioneuromas, neurofibromas, mucosal neuromas, or neuromas in this anatomical region.
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