Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.
Covid-19

Association of nitrogen balance trajectories with clinical outcomes in critically ill COVID-19 patients: A retrospective cohort study

Claire Dupuis a, b, *, Alexandre Bret a, Alexandra Janer a, Olivia Guido a, Radhia Bouzgarrou a, Loïc Dopeux a, Gilles Hernandez a, Olivier Mascle a, Laure Calvet a, François Thouy a, Kévin Grapin a, Pierre Couhault a, Francis Kinda a, Guillaume Laurichesse a, Benjamin Bonnet c, d, Mireille Adda a, Yves Boirie b, e, Bertrand Souweine a

a CHU Clermont-Ferrand, Service de Réanimation Médicale, Clermont-Ferrand, France
b Université Clermont Auvergne, Unité de Nutrition Humaine, INRAe, CRNH Auvergne, F-63000, Clermont-Ferrand, France
c CHU Clermont-Ferrand, Service d’Immunologie, Clermont-Ferrand, France
d Université Clermont Auvergne, Laboratoire d’Immunologie, ECREIN, UMR1019 UNH, UFR Médecine de Clermont-Ferrand, Clermont-Ferrand, France

* Corresponding author. Service de Médecine Intensive et Réanimation, CHU Clermont Ferrand, France.
E-mail address: cdupuis1@chu-clermontferrand.fr (C. Dupuis).

Article history: Received 10 June 2022 Accepted 24 August 2022

Keywords: Nutrition assessment Nitrogen balance Critical care COVID-19 Hypercatabolism Stress

SUMMARY

Background & aims: The intensity and duration of the catabolic phase in COVID-19 patients can differ between survivors and non-survivors. The purpose of the study was to assess the determinants of, and association between, nitrogen balance trajectories and outcome in critically ill COVID-19 patients.

Methods: This retrospective monocentric observational study involved patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) of the University Hospital of Clermont Ferrand, France, from January 2020 to May 2021 for COVID-19 pneumonia. Patients were excluded if referred from another ICU, if their ICU length of stay was <72 h, or if they were treated with renal replacement therapy during the first seven days after ICU admission. Data were collected prospectively at admission and during ICU stay. Death was recorded at the end of ICU stay. Comparisons of the time course of nitrogen balance according to outcome were analyzed using two-way ANOVA. At days 3, 5, 7, 10 and 14, uni- and multivariate logistic regression analyses were performed to assess the impact of a non-negative nitrogen-balance on ICU death. To investigate the relationships between nitrogen balance, inflammatory markers and protein intake, linear and non-linear models were run at days 3, 5 and 7, and the amount of protein intake necessary to reach a neutral nitrogen balance was calculated. Subgroup analyses were carried out according to BMI, age, and sex.

Results: 99 patients were included. At day 3, a similar negative nitrogen balance was observed in survivors and non-survivors: –16.4 g/d [–26.5, –3.3] and –17.3 g/d [–22.2, –3.8] (p = 0.54). The trajectories of nitrogen balance over time thus differed between survivors and non-survivors (p = 0.01). In survivors, nitrogen balance increased over time, but decreased from day 2 to day 6 in non-survivors, and thereafter increased slowly up to day 14. At days 5 and 7, a non-negative nitrogen-balance was protective from death. Administering higher protein amounts was associated with higher nitrogen balance.

Conclusion: We report a prolonged catabolic state in COVID patients that seemed more pronounced in non-survivors than in survivors. Our study underlines the need for monitoring urinary nitrogen excretion to guide the amount of protein intake required by COVID-19 patients.

© 2022 Elsevier Ltd and European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Around 5% of COVID-19 patients develop a severe form of the disease requiring intensive care unit (ICU) admission [1,2] not only because of lung degradation but also in relation to thoracic
sarcopenia [3]. Inflammation and cytokine storm are common features in most of these ICU patients [4,5]. This initial inflammatory state in critically ill patients is usually accompanied by a state of hypercatabolism characterized by an activation of muscle proteolysis [6,7], resulting in rapid changes in body composition [8] and skeletal muscle wasting. It has been shown that skeletal muscle wasting in ICU contributes to poor clinical outcomes including persistence of organ failure, loss of autonomy, reduced quality of life, and an increased risk of death [9,10].

In COVID-19 patients, systematic administration of steroids can worsen this hypercatabolism by activating proteolytic systems [11]. The hypercatabolic phase is usually followed by an anabolic phase. The timing of the transition between these two periods is of paramount importance because nutritional interventions and their efficacy including amount of protein intakes differ from one phase to the next [12]. During the first phase, characterized by an activated endogenous production of numerous energy substrates, exogenous energy requirement is considered to be lower than in the anabolic phase. Moreover, both under- and over-nutrition during the hypercatabolic phase might be associated with poor prognosis, whereas increasing protein intake would be associated with a decreased mortality [13,14]. The dynamic changes in protein and energy needs should therefore be more accurately appraised in order to improve the nutritional management of patients [15,16] and their clinical outcomes [17,18]. The intensity and duration of this catabolic phase, especially in COVID-19 patients, might differ between survivors and non-survivors.

Nitrogen balance (NBAL) is known as one of the most widely used and robust indicators to identify body protein metabolic state, namely protein loss or gain [19]. If more dietary nitrogen (i.e. protein) is administered than nitrogen loss, then a positive NBAL is observed, reflecting a gain of total body protein, and so the patient is considered to be in net body protein anabolism. Conversely, a negative NBAL reflects net body protein catabolism with protein loss being higher than protein retention. To date, few studies have described the temporal changes in NBAL among COVID-19 patients. Several reported a prolonged catabolic state, which could be related to inflammation but also to the administration of steroids [20–22]. In contrast, very few assessed the association between negative NBAL and the increased risk of death in COVID-19 patients. Hence, the purpose of the present study was to assess temporal NBAL trajectories and the association of NBAL with outcomes in critically ill COVID-19 patients.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Data source

This retrospective, single-center study was conducted in an intensive care unit (ICU) at Clermont-Ferrand University Hospital, France, from January 1, 2020 to April 31, 2021. All patients with COVID-19 admitted to intensive care were included in the OutcomereaTM database. The methods for data collection and quality of the database have been described in detail elsewhere [23]. All patients or their relatives received fair and relevant information. They gave their written informed consent for the storage and research use of residual blood from samples collected as part of routine care (IRB No. 20.03.20.56342 from CPP-Ile-de-France VI Groupe Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière).

2.2. Study population

Patients over 18 years old with severe COVID-19 confirmed by a positive SARS-CoV-2 test using reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (PCR) were eligible. Patients were excluded if referred from another ICU, if a decision was made to discontinue life-sustaining treatments during the first two days after ICU admission if their ICU length of stay was <72 h, or if they were treated with renal replacement therapy during the first seven days after ICU admission.

The primary objective was to compare the NBAL profile during the first two weeks after ICU admission between the patients with ICU death (non-survivors) and the other patients (survivors).

2.3. Data collection

All data were prospectively collected and comprised details on ICU admission (demographics, chronic disease/comorbidities as defined by the Knaus Scale [24], baseline severity indices: SAPS II [25] and SOFA [26] scores, treatments on admission). An array of variables was recorded throughout the ICU stay (clinical and biological variables including feeding modalities, nutritional intake, diuresis, urea and creatinine, and urinary levels, requirement for non-invasive ventilatory support and invasive mechanical ventilation (IMV) and other organ support (vasopressors, renal replacement therapy (RRT)), and outcomes (hospital length of stay (LOS), vital status at ICU and hospital discharge and at day 60 after ICU admission).

In patients not receiving invasive mechanical ventilation, all information on the amount of food and beverage consumption was prospectively collected by ICU nurses and entered into the ICU electronic patient record (the IntelliSpace Critical Care and Anaesthesia, Phillips). These data were retrospectively extracted to calculate daily protein intake (Table S1).

3. Definitions

NBAL was estimated from the following formula: NBAL (g/d) = nitrogen intake (g/d) − nitrogen loss (g/d).

Nitrogen losses were estimated by urine urea, which makes up 80% of urinary nitrogen (the other 20% being nitrogen as urinary ammonia). Urine urea makes up 90% of total nitrogen losses (the other 10% being fecal losses).

The following formula to estimate nitrogen loss was used: Nitrogen loss (g/d) = [urinary urea (mmol/24 h) x 0.06]/2.14 + 4.

The constant term of 4 g/d of nitrogen in the NBAL computation was an estimate of considering stool, integumentary, and other insensible nitrogen losses. We also took into account serum urea concentration changes as proposed by Dickerson et al. [19,27].

Therefore, if plasma urea variation was higher than 5 mg/dL between day n and day n-1, then a corrective term is proposed, to be subtracted from the nitrogen balance to minimize bias in its measurement: Urea Nitrogen Variation (g/d) = (0.6 (L/kg) for male or 0.55 (L/kg) for female patients) × (weight (kg) on day n-1) × (Δ urea (mg/dL)) × 0.01 + urea (mg/dL) × (weight (kg) on day n − weight (kg) on day n-1) × 0.01 (ESM 1).

The formula to obtain protein loss is the following: Protein loss (g/d) = nitrogen loss (g/d) × 6.25.

NBAL less than −4 g/day was considered to be a ‘negative NBAL’ reflecting net protein catabolism. NBAL between −4 g/day and +4 g/day was considered to be a neutral NBAL reflecting an equilibrium between whole body protein anabolism and catabolism. NBAL over +4 g/day, was considered to be a ‘positive NBAL’ reflecting net protein anabolism. The protein intake needed to reach a neutral NBAL were determined in survivors and non-survivors at days 3, 5, 7, 10 and 14 [27]. Adjusted body weight was considered for obese patients if necessary [12](ESM 1).

In our ICU, patients are fed according to ESPEN Guidelines [12]. Thus, in most COVID patients, the objective was to rapidly
administer 25 kcal/kg/day during the first week. The decision to increase calorie intake up to 30–35 kcal/kg/day was left at the discretion of the physician according to the clinical course.

4. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed in SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina) and R (version 3.6.3). Continuous data are presented as median with interquartile range (IQR) or range and were compared using the Wilcoxon rank sum test. Categorical data were compared using Fisher’s test. Trajectories of all indices are displayed as rolling medians with 95% confidence interval of the median. Comparisons between the time course of these indices depending on vital status at the end of ICU stay were made using two-way analysis of variance. Subgroup analyses were carried out according to BMI, age, sex, and in the subgroup of patients with an ICU LOS of at least 14 days. Uni and multi logistic regression analyses were achieved to determine factors associated with ICU death at the landmark time day 3, 5, 7, 10 and 14, i.e.: at day 3 among the patients still in ICU at day 3, at day 5 among the patients still in ICU at day 5 and similarly at day 7, 10 and 14.

Goodness of fit of the linear model between two variables was assessed from the Pearson product moment correlation coefficient (r) analysis. To represent the relationship between NBAL and protein intake at days 3 and 7, linear and non-linear models in the whole cohort and depending on age (± 70 y), sex, and BMI (± 30 kg/m²) were run.

The protein intakes necessary to reach a zero NBAL were determined by linear regression between NBAL and protein intake.

We assessed the association between laboratory features on admission, the administration of steroids and non-negative NBAL on days 3, 5 and 7. We also assessed the association of those bio-markers on admission, and administration of steroids with ICU death. Missing data were imputed linearly. For all tests, a two-sided α value of 0.05 was considered significant.

5. Results

5.1. Characteristics of the population

From February 15 to May 1, 2021, 116 patients with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 were admitted to our ICU. Of these, 99 were included in the study (Fig S1). Of these, 64 (64.6%) were male, with a median age (IQR) of 71 years [60.6; 76.2]. Obesity (n = 45,45.5%) and cardiovascular disease (n = 15,15.2%) were the most frequently observed comorbidities. On ICU admission, SAPS II was 32[22; 41], without any enteral or parenteral supplementation (Table S2).

Time course of NBAL during ICU stay in the whole cohort

Median Nitrogen intakes ranged from 7.6 g/d [2.4; 17.9] at day 3–15.8 g/d [8.7; 21] at day 14. Nitrogen loss was 14.7 g/d [11.0; 22.0] on day 3, reached a maximum of 21 g/d [14.7; 28.3] on day 7 and finally was 12.1 g/d [5.9; 19] at day 14. NBAL ranged from –16.4 g/d [–25.6; –3.3] on day 3 to –1.6 g/d [–7.8; 13.6] on day 14 (Table S3).

NBAL was most often negative but changed over time in the whole cohort. NBAL first decreased from admission to around day 4 and then increased slowly. Around 70% of the patients displayed a negative NBAL during their ICU stay until day 10 (Table S3, Fig S2a). Time course differed between obese and non-obese patients but not between younger or older patients nor between male and female (Figs S2b–d).

5.3. Comparison of NBAL between survivors and non-survivors

The trajectories of nitrogen intakes and nitrogen loss including variations due to urea variation among survivors and non-survivors are reported in Fig. S3 and Tables S3 and S4. There were no significant differences of nitrogen intakes between survivors and non-survivors. In both group, nitrogen intakes didn’t differ over time. Nitrogen loss were lower in survivors. For both survivors and non-survivors’ nitrogen loss first increased during the first week and then diminished during the second week.

The trajectories of NBAL are reported in Fig. 1 and S4. On day 3, a negative similar NBAL was observed in survivors and non-survivors: –16.4 g/d[–26.5; –3.3] and –17.3 g/d[–22.2; –3.8](p = 0.54), respectively (Table S3). The NBAL time course differed between survivors and non-survivors after day 3 (p = 0.01, Fig S5a).

In survivors, NBAL increased over time. From day 3 to day 14, the percentage of patients with net protein catabolism decreased from 73.9% to 45.5% while the percentage of patients with net protein anabolism increased from 10.1% to 45.5%, respectively (Table S3).

In non-survivors, NBAL decreased from day 3 to day 6, and thereafter increased slowly up to day 14. Between day 3 and day 10, there was no decrease in the percentage of patients with net protein catabolism (73.3% at day 3 vs. 78.9% at day 10), or with net protein anabolism (13.3% at day 3 and 15.8% at day 10). Similar results could be observed in the subgroup of patients still admitted in ICU after day 7 (Fig S5).

The cumulative NBAL were not different between survivors and non-survivors during the first week (–0.6 g/kg[–1; –0.2] vs. –0.7 g/kg[–1; –0.2], p = 0.48), whereas it was significantly higher in survivors than in non-survivors during the 2nd week (–0.2 g/kg[–0.5; 0.1] vs. –0.6 g/kg[–0.9; –0.1], p = 0.03) (Fig 2, Table S4).

Subgroup analyses showed a significant difference in NBAL trajectory between survivors and non-survivors only in the subgroup of patients older than 70 years, in female patients and in non-obese patients. In these three subgroups, NBAL in survivors was higher than in non-survivors and became positive earlier. There were no differences in nitrogen balance between survivors and non-survivors in patients younger than 70 years, in male patients, or in obese patients (Table S5, Figs S5a–f).

In univ- and then multivariate analyses, NBAL > - 4 g/d was protective from death at day 5 and 7 (day 5: aOR = 0.29[0.09–0.96], pval = 0.043; day 7: aOR = 0.12[0.02–0.63], pval = 0.013, Fig. 3, Table S5).

Among the inflammatory bio-markers measured on ICU admission, higher serum values of IL1, IL6 and ferritin were associated with a negative NBAL on day 3 (Table S7) and lower values of IL10 and HLA DR with ICU death (Table S8).

5.4. Relationship between NBAL and protein intakes (Fig S5)

Although the data were variable, the relationships between NBAL and protein intake were more nonlinear over time. As shown in Figs S7a and b, at day 3 and day 7, in the overall population, there was a small increase in NBAL so long as protein intakes remained lower than 1.2 g/kg. Administering higher protein amounts was associated with higher NBALs. The neutral NBAL balances were
achieved at days 3 and 7 for protein intakes of 2.1 g/kg and 1.64 g/kg respectively in the whole cohort.

The association between higher protein intakes and higher NBAL was observed in nearly all the subgroups of patients at days 3 and 7 except for those older than 70 years, in female patients and in obese patients at day 7 for whom a near plateau was observed for the higher protein intakes (Fig. S7). The estimated protein intakes to achieve a neutral NBAL at days 3 and 7 for each of these subgroups are reported in Table S9. For each subgroup, it was always higher at day 3 than at day 7. Younger patients, non-obese patients, and male patients needed more protein intakes to reach a neutral NBAL at days 3 and 7.

Because of nonlinearity, the estimated protein intakes to achieve a neutral NBAL could only be estimated in survivors at days 3, 5, 7, 10 and 14 and in non-survivors at days 3 and 7. In survivors, it decreased roughly linearly from 2.26 g/kg at day 3, 1.95 g/kg at day 5, 1.64 g/kg at day 7, 1.34 g/kg at day 10, and 1.05 g/kg at day 14. In non-survivors, it was lower and did not decrease over time.

### Table 1

| Variables                                | ICU survivors N = 69 | ICU non-survivors N = 30 | p  |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----|
| Age (years)                              | 71 [60.6; 76.2]      | 68.9 [58.7; 75.9]        | 73.9 [67.6; 78] | 0.02|
| Sex (Male)                               | 64 (64.6)            | 45 (55.2)                | 45 (63.3) | 0.86|
| BMI (kg/m²)                              | 29.4 [25.5; 32.7]    | 29.7 [25.8; 32.9]        | 29.2 [25.5; 32.4] | 0.92|
| BMI > 30 kg/m²                           | 45 (45.5)            | 31 (44.9)                | 14 (46.7) | 0.87|
| Comorbidities                            |                      |                          |                |
| Cardio-vascular                          | 15 (15.2)            | 6 (8.7)                  | 9 (30)        | <0.01|
| Respiratory                              | 5 (5.1)              | 3 (4.3)                  | 2 (6.7)      | 0.63|
| Renal                                    | 6 (6.1)              | 2 (2.9)                  | 4 (13.3)     | 0.05|
| Liver                                    | 1 (1)                | 0 (0)                    | 1 (3.3)      | 0.13|
| Immunocompromised host                   | 15 (15.2)            | 10 (14.5)                | 5 (16.7)     | 0.78|
| Diabetes                                 | 15 (15.2)            | 12 (17.4)                | 3 (10)       | 0.35|
| Time from first symptoms to ICU admission| 9 [7; 11]            | 9 [8; 11]                | 7.5 [5; 9]   | <0.001|
| On admission (Day 1 or Day 2)            |                      |                          |                |
| Steroids                                 | 86 (86.9)            | 60 (87)                  | 26 (86.7)    | 0.97|
| Diuretics                                | 84 (84.8)            | 58 (84.1)                | 26 (86.7)    | 0.74|
| SAPS II                                  | 32 [22; 41]          | 32 [22; 41]              | 33.5 [22; 44] | 0.55|
| SOFA score                               | 4 [3; 6]             | 4 [3; 5]                 | 5 [4; 6]     | 0.01|
| PaO2/FiO2                                | 86.9 [68; 138.8]     | 92.7 [76.3; 140]         | 86 [61.1; 109.2] | 0.24|
| Invasive mechanical ventilation          | 7 (7.1)              | 6 (8.7)                  | 1 (3.3)      | 0.34|
| High Flow Nasal Canula                   | 58 (58.6)            | 35 (50.7)                | 23 (76.7)    | 0.02|
| Vasopressors                             | 7 (7.1)              | 5 (7.2)                  | 2 (6.7)      | 0.92|
| Treatments during ICU stay               |                      |                          |                |
| Invasive mechanical ventilation          | 31 (31.3)            | 11 (15.9)                | 20 (66.7)    | <0.01|
| Renal replacement therapy                | 8 (8.1)              | 2 (2.9)                  | 6 (20)       | <0.01|
| Vasopressors                             | 28 (28.3)            | 10 (14.5)                | 18 (60)      | <0.01|
| Diuretics                                | 96 (97)              | 66 (95.7)                | 30 (100)     | 0.25|
| ICU LOS                                  | 8 [5; 14]            | 7 [5; 10]                | 15 [7; 24]   | <0.01|
| Hospital LOS                             | 17 [11; 28]          | 17 [11.5; 29]            | 15.5 [9; 24] | 0.30|
| ICU Death                                | 30 (30.3)            |                          |                |

ICU: Intensive Care Unit; IQR: Interquartile; P: p-value; BMI: Body Mass Index; SAPS: Simplified Acute Physiology Score; SOFA: Sequential Organ Failure Assessment; LOS: Length of stay.

![Fig. 1](image_url). Trajectory of Nitrogen balance from day 2 to day 14 for survivors and non-survivors.
day 14. In non-survivors it decreased from 1.65 g/kg at day 3 to 1.29 g/kg at day 7.

6. Discussion

This study was designed to assess the temporal trajectories of NBAL in critically ill COVID-19 patients. In the cohort, we observed: (i) a high level of protein catabolism during the first 10 days after ICU admission, which tended to be less marked for the survivors than for the non-survivors after day 3, (ii) a much more negative NBAL for the obese than for the non-obese patients, (iii) a different time course of NBAL between survivors and non-survivors mostly for the older patients, female patients and non-obese patients, and (iv) a higher increase in NBAL for protein intakes higher than 1.2 g/kg/day and a neutral NBAL obtained with protein intakes of 2 g/kg and 1.64 g/kg at days 3 and 7. These results elicit several remarks.
6.1. A net negative NBAL

First, we report a net negative NBAL for the COVID-19 critically ill patients during the first 10 days after ICU admission. This net negative NBAL is multifactorial and is related to inadequate protein intakes together with high levels of muscle protein catabolism. Such prolonged catabolism was already highlighted in ICU patients. For instance, a negative nitrogen balance was found during the first two weeks after ICU admission, despite high protein intakes, indicating that protein catabolism remained elevated through 14 days follow-up [28]. In COVID-19 patients, several studies reported this prolonged catabolic state, which could be related to inflammation but also to the administration of steroids [20] [21] [22]. For instance, Formenti et al. showed that even on meeting supposed nutrition targets, nitrogen balance remained negative [29]. In our study, we also observed that inflammation, characterized by higher serum levels of IL1, IL6 and ferritin on admission, was associated with a negative NBAL at day 3, suggesting that inflammation is a key determinant of protein loss in these COVID-19 patients.

6.2. Obese patients and negative NBAL

Obese COVID patients underwent a more severe catabolic phase which can have various explanations. Obese patients have a higher energy expenditure [30,31], together with an increased protein turnover and amino acid oxidation [32,33]. In such cases, higher absolute protein intakes should be recommended for obese patients. For instance, for critically ill patients with COVID-19, ASPEN recommended a protein intake of 2–2.5 g/kg/day based on ideal body weight for patients with obesity, whereas ESPEN recommended >1 g/kg/day adjusted body weight for those with obesity [16,34]. In addition, other authors have suggested that the equation for calculating protein amount in obese patients, even taking into account adjusted body weight, might underestimate the real need for protein intake and so minimized their NBAL [35].

6.3. A more positive NBAL among survivors

Survivors increased their NBAL significantly more than nonsurvivors who kept a net negative balance during the first 10 days after ICU admission. We also report that non-negative NBALs at day 5 and day 7 were protective from death. The persistence of a net negative NBAL generally leads to early, rapid muscle wasting, which has been linked to increased morbidity and mortality [20,35,36]. Furthermore, the persistence of hypercatabolism is also generally associated with depressed immune functions, mainly due to its negative effects on both the amount of functional immunoglobulins and gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT), which have also been linked to increased risk of nosocomial infections and death [37,38]. In our study, we showed that patients with poor outcome, i.e. those who tended to have a lower NBAL and longer negative NBAL, had lower serum levels on admission of HLA DR and IL10, which are both biomarkers of immunosuppression and monocyte dysregulation. This result is in agreement with the above hypothesis. We also underline heterogeneity between subgroups depending on age, sex, and BMI. Other studies also focused on these subgroups. For instance, Dickerson et al. reported different time course profiles between older sarcopenic patients and younger patients and underlined the variability in NBAL response to varying protein intakes among both older and younger patient groups. In this study, older patients had worse outcomes [27].

6.4. Increasing protein intake could improve NBAL

We observed that increasing protein intakes was able to improve NBAL and might therefore limit muscle wasting. Previous investigations of the association of protein intake with nitrogen loss in critically ill patients suggested that increased protein intake might improve nitrogen balance [36,39,40]. Similarly, in older critically ill patients, monitoring NBAL allowed the identification of an “anabolic resistance” state and underlined the need for an increased protein intake with close nitrogen monitoring to avoid potential azotemia in this population at risk of renal failure [27]. Prospectively, it was confirmed that higher protein intakes could minimize negative NBAL. Results of an observational study indicated a significant improvement in NBAL with a protein intake of 1.5 g/kg/day vs. a protein intake of 1.1 g/kg/day [41]. In COVID-19 patients, a study found that 1.5 g/kg/day of protein would be required to achieve nitrogen equilibrium [42]. NBAL was improved over 7 days in critically ill patients randomized to a protein-fortified diet versus those on a standard diet [43].

We underline that during the first week after ICU admission, protein intake to reach neutral NBAL should be close to or slightly above the recommended targets. According to ASPEN guidelines [44], protein intake should be at 1.2–2.0 g/kg/day and ESPEN guidelines recommend progressive administration of protein at 1.3 g/kg/day [45]. Our data also suggest that the severity of the net protein catabolism could be at least partially improved by aggressive nutrition therapy.

6.5. Minimizing negative NBAL to improve outcome of the patients

All our results showed therefore that 1) NBAL does vary over time and between subgroups, 2) it was possible to obtain a non-negative NBAL thanks to higher protein intakes and 3) a non-negative NBAL was associated with better prognosis. Minimizing the negative NBAL by administration of higher protein intakes and/or optimizing protein intakes by monitoring 24 h urinary nitrogen excretion could consequently be recommended to enhance patient prognosis. This strategy has already yielded a benefit in neuro-critically ill patients in terms of survival [46]. More recently, Singer et al. demonstrated that a formula containing a high protein content but with moderate energy load could provide a sufficient protein intake while preserving nitrogen balance and preventing overfeeding in critically ill, mechanically ventilated, hypercatabolic patients during the acute phase of illness (in the first 7 days) [47]. As a result, high protein intakes would be recommended to improve outcomes of critically ill patients. However, the actual metabolic fate of muscle-derived amino acids during critical illness is still unclear [48]. Whether exogenous nutrients in the form of dietary protein are also diverted away from the muscle during critical illness or if their amino acids enter the muscle and stimulate muscle protein synthesis and inhibit proteolysis during critical illness remains poorly studied [49] and is a major unresolved question with potential for intervention [50].

So, our results underline not only the need for higher protein intakes but also, because of heterogeneity of the patients, the importance of estimating each patient’s protein requirements using 24 h urinary nitrogen excretion and associated NBAL. Moreover, monitoring energy expenditure by indirect calorimetry to avoid under- or overnutrition, despite high protein intakes, is of key relevance.

6.6. Advantage and limits

This study has the main advantage of being one of the first in COVID patients to report multiple serial NBAL determinations to examine overall protein balance.
This study has several limits. It was a monocentric retrospective observational study. Hence, more than half of the patients during the first week in ICU were not intubated and were fed orally, making the measurements of protein amount more difficult. Only descriptive and univariate and multivariate analyses are reported, and no causal conclusions can be drawn from this study. Because of the significant reduction of study subjects in whom NBAL was measured between day 7 and day 14, the trajectories of NBAL during the second week should be interpreted with caution. Unfortunately, as we could not monitor inflammation of our patients throughout the whole ICU stay, the link between inflammation and NBAL trajectories during ICU stay was only analyzed using the inflammatory data at admission.

7. Conclusion

We report a prolonged catabolic state in COVID patients, which seemed more pronounced in non-survivor than in survivor patients. Our study underlines the need for monitoring both urinary nitrogen excretion and energy expenditure to individualize nutritional needs in COVID-19 patients. Whether catabolism and nitrogen losses can be reversed by enhanced individualized nutritional support, and whether this improves outcome, remain to be investigated.
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