Distributed chaos and Rayleigh-Bénard turbulence at very high Ra
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It is shown, by the means of distributed chaos approach and using the experimental data, that at very large Rayleigh number \( Ra > 10^{14} \) and Prandtl number \( Pr \sim 1 \) the Rayleigh-Bénard turbulence can undergo a transition related to spontaneous breaking of the fundamental Lagrangian relabeling symmetry. Due to the Noether’s theorem helicity plays central role in this process. After the transition the temperature spectrum has a stretched exponential form \( E(k) \propto \exp(-k/k_\beta)^\beta \) with \( \beta = 3/4 \) [7]. An asymptotic scaling of the group velocity \( v(\kappa) \) of the waves driving the distributed chaos

\[
   v(\kappa) \propto I_2^{1/2} \kappa^{3/2}
\]

and relation

\[
   \beta = \frac{2\alpha}{1+2\alpha}
\]

was used in the Ref [7] in order to obtain this value of \( \beta \) from the dimensional considerations.

A buoyancy generalization of the Birkhoff-Saffman integral

\[
   I_b = \int (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}' - \theta \omega') \, d\mathbf{r}
\]

allows to extend this considerations on the buoyancy driven turbulence [2].

SPONTANEOUS BREAKING OF THE RELABELING SYMMETRY

For weak turbulence a spontaneous breaking of the space translational symmetry (homogeneity) was considered for the first time in Ref. [8]. For strong turbulence (the Navier-Stokes dynamics) a theory of spontaneous breaking of the space translational symmetry by the viscosity and finite boundary conditions was suggested in recent Ref. [9]. In this theory the distributed chaos is dominated by vorticity correlation integral

\[
   \gamma = \int V (\mathbf{\omega} \cdot \mathbf{\omega}') \, d\mathbf{r}
\]

where the vorticity \( \omega = \nabla \times \mathbf{u} \). Substitution the parameter \( \gamma \) into the Eq. (6) (instead of \( I_2 \)) results in

\[
   v(\kappa) \propto |\gamma|^{1/2} \kappa^{1/2}
\]

and, then, in \( \beta = 1/2 \). Direct generalization of this theory for the buoyancy driven turbulence with replacement of the parameter \( \gamma \) by

\[
   \gamma_b = \int V (\mathbf{\omega} \cdot \mathbf{\omega}' - Pr^{-1} \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \theta') \, d\mathbf{r}
\]
(Pr = ν/D is the Prandtl number) results in the same value β = 1/2 in this case as well. Now both the viscosity ν∇²u and diffusivity D∇²θ terms in Eqs. (1-2) together with the finite boundary conditions determine the spontaneous breaking of the space translational symmetry (homogeneity) [2].

Therefore, Prandtl number is a significant parameter for this phenomenon. If, for instance Pr ≫ 1, then it follows from the Eq. (11) that the spontaneous breaking of the space translational symmetry (homogeneity) can determine the distributed chaos even at very large Ra (it is rather possible that also the condition 1 ≫ Pr has the same effect). An experimentally obtained temperature spectrum has been shown in Figure 1 in order to confirm this conclusion. The data were taken from Ref. [10] for Rayleigh number Ra = 3 × 10¹⁴ (cf next Section) and Prandtl number Pr = 300. The stretched exponential spectral law (Eq. (5)) with β = 1/2 has been indicated by the dashed line. The Taylor hypothesis [11] was used in order to transform the the frequency spectra into wavenumber spectra.

However for Pr ≈ 1 a more complex phenomenon can take place. The viscosity and diffusivity terms together with the finite boundary conditions and with the buoyancy term Nθe₉ (in Eq. (1)) can result in spontaneous breaking of the Lagrangian relabeling symmetry [12], instead of the space translational symmetry. For the Euler equations this symmetry results (due to the Noether’s theorem) in helicity

\[ \mathcal{H} = \int h(r, t)dr \tag{12} \]

conservation (here h = u · ω) [13],[14],[15]. Namely,

\[ \frac{d\mathcal{H}}{dt} = Vi + Bu = \gamma_h \tag{13} \]

where Bu and Vi are the buoyancy and viscous terms, correspondingly. The diffusivity term D∇²θ participates in the γₕ through the buoyancy term Bu. In the vein of the Ref. [9] we will replace the parameter γ in the Eq. (10) by the parameter γₕ and will obtain from the dimensional considerations

\[ u(κ) \propto |γₕ|^{1/3} κ^{1/3} \tag{14} \]

and from Eq. (7) β = 2/5.

It should be noted that the situation resembles the case of the distributed chaos in turbulence with polymer additives [16] (see also Discussion).

**COMPARISON WITH THE EXPERIMENTAL DATA AT Pr ≈ 1**

It is believed that at Rayleigh number Ra ≈ 10¹⁴ the thin (but significant for the temperature dynamics) boundary layers near the low and upper boundaries become turbulent and the global transport properties of the Rayleigh-Bénard convection are significantly changing (despite that the bulk of the fluid in the cell was already highly turbulent for much smaller values of Ra). This change indicates a type of transition from one global regime (so-called ‘classical’) to another (so-called ‘ultimate’). In order to understand dynamic nature of this transition it is useful to look at local fundamental quantities such as spectra, for instance. While the spectral properties were studied in detail (at least experimentally) for the classic regime, the experimental studies of the corresponding properties for the ultimate regime are in their embryonic stage. Therefore results of a recent experiment with Ra = 1.08 × 10¹⁵ (Pr = 0.8) reported in Ref. [17] are so interesting. It is also important that the
temperature spectral data were obtained both at near the horizontal midplane ($z/L \approx 0.4933$) and at the near-wall region ($z/L = 0.0179$). Global circulation (that indicates itself by a peak in the spectrum [18]) was not observed at this experiment with the aspect ratio $2R/L = 1/2$ [19].

It should be also noted that for these laboratory conditions a gradual transition from the classical regime to another one was observed in range $10^{13} < Ra < 5 \cdot 10^{14}$ [20]. In the transitional range of $Ra$ (where a multistability was observed) applicability of the distributed chaos approach is rather questionable.

Figure 2 shows the temperature spectrum measured at near the horizontal midplane in the log-log scales ($Ra = 1.08 \times 10^{15}$). The frequency was normalized by $\tau_0 = \sqrt{2R_0}$, where $R_0$ is the curvature radius of the temperature autocorrelation function at $\tau = 0$ (details about relevance of this normalization can be found in the Ref. [17]). The Taylor hypothesis transforming the frequency spectra into wavenumber spectra was shown to be valid at this experiment [17]. The dashed line is drawn in order to indicate the spectrum Eq. (5) with $\beta = 2/5$ (i.e. spectrum corresponding to the distributed chaos with spontaneous breaking of the relabeling symmetry). The solid straight line indicates a possibility of the Bolgiano-Obukhov scaling spectrum at small wavenumbers $k$ [21],[22].

Figure 3 shows analogous spectrum obtained at the near-wall region. The dashed line is drawn in order to indicate the spectrum Eq. (5) with $\beta = 2/5$. Just in the near-wall region the spectrum corresponding to the distributed chaos with spontaneous breaking of the relabeling symmetry covers the entire region of the scales. This fact supports the idea that the turbulization of the boundary layers is the main condition for the above mentioned global transition, related to the spontaneous breaking of the relabeling symmetry.

**DISCUSSION**

As for the spontaneous breaking of the translational symmetry the time dependence of the velocity correlation integral Eq. (2) (or the generalized momentum correlation integral Eq. (8)) should be considered, so for the spontaneous breaking of the relabeling symmetry the time dependence of the helicity correlation integral

$$I = \int_V \langle h \ h' \rangle_V \ dr \quad (15),$$

should be considered in the same vein. However, it can be readily shown that in this case $\alpha = \beta = 0$. Therefore, we have considered the helicity time dependence Eq. (13) instead (both the helicity $H$ and the helicity correlation integral $I$ Eq. (15) are invariants of the Euler equation [23]).

It is shown in the recent Ref. [16] that the spontaneous breaking of the relabeling symmetry dominates also the distributed chaos in fluid turbulence with polymer additives. Therefore, the main properties of this distributed chaos can be similar to the main properties of the RBC (without polymers) distributed chaos at the high $Ra$ (including the enhancement of the heat transfer). Figure 4 shows vertical velocity power spectrum (data were taken from a RBC experiment reported in Ref. [24]) at a tiny polymer (Polyacrylimid in water) concentration $c = 18$ ppm. Without the polymer additives (Newtonian case) Rayleigh number $Ra = 6.18 \cdot 10^9$. The measurements...
were produced in the center of the convection cell. The dashed line is drawn in order to indicate the spectrum Eq. (5) with $\beta = 2/5$.
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