PTEN/Akt Axis is Involved in Somatic Cell Reprogramming to Mouse iPS Cells

Tomoe Ueyama1, Shu Nakao1, Tasuku Tsukamoto1, Dai Ihara1, Yukihiro Harada1, Yuka Akagi1, Sae Nakagawa1, Yasuyuki S Kida2, Takahiro Sogo2 and Teruhisa Kawamura*1

1Department of Biomedical Sciences, Japan
2Global Innovation Research Organization, Japan
3Research Center for Stem Cell Engineering, Japan

Received: November 28, 2018; Published: December 10, 2018

*Corresponding author: Teruhisa Kawamura, Laboratory of Stem Cell and Regenerative Medicine, Department of Biomedical Sciences, Japan

Abstract

Induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) are highly expected to apply for regeneration therapy and disease modeling, although the efficiency of reprogramming to iPSCs remains not high enough. We previously reported that inhibition of p53 tumor suppressor gene exhibits a remarkable increase in the efficiency of reprogramming to iPSCs. While p53 is degraded by Mdm2 and Mdmx with E3 ligase activity, phosphatase and tensin homologue (PTEN) inhibits Mdm-dependent p53 degradation, through phosphoinositol-3-kinase/Akt-dependent and -independent pathways. Therefore, we hypothesized that targeting PTEN may affect the efficiency of reprogramming to iPSCs. Using mouse embryonic fibroblasts prepared from Ptenflox/flox mice, Cre-loxP-based gene ablation of Pten revealed an increase in Akt phosphorylation and downregulation of the protein levels of p53 and one of its downstream targets, p21, resulting in efficient iPS colony formation. In addition, CRISPR-Cas9-based knock-down of Pten gene also promoted the reprogramming efficiency. Conversely, overexpression of PTEN increased the levels of p53 and p21 and decreased the number of iPSC colonies. Moreover, co-infection of a constitutively active form of Akt (CA Akt) perturbed 4F-induced upregulation of p53 and p21, and increased iPSC production, which was canceled by overexpression of p53. We further found that negative cell cycle regulators, 19Arf and p16Ink4a were also upregulated during reprogramming, both of which were downregulated by CA Akt. Therefore, deficiency of PTEN improved the reprogramming efficiency by activation of Akt at least in part through downregulation of p19Arf and p16Ink4a as well as significant inhibition of p53/p21 pathway. Taken together, regulation of PTEN/Akt axis would be a promising strategy to enhance the efficiency of reprogramming to iPSCs.
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Introduction

Somatic cells including embryonic or adult fibroblasts are experimentally reprogrammed to induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) with transduction of the defined four factors (4F), Oct4, Sox2, Klf4, and c-Myc [1, 2]. Following the reprogramming process, somatic cells acquire the capabilities of unlimited replication and pluripotency, as observed in embryonic stem cells (ESCs). Moreover, patient-derived iPSCs are applicable to disease modeling and drug screening as well as cell therapy after gene correction by the genome engineering. Reprogramming efficiency to iPSCs, however, remains not high enough, and defined reprogramming enhancers have been under investigation. Therefore, it would be valuable to examine how somatic cells are reprogrammed to iPSCs and to determine what molecules regulate this process. As one of the due to address these issues above, previous studies including ours demonstrated that iPSC induction efficiency is remarkably increased by inhibition of p53/p21 pathway [3-7].
p53, a tumor suppressor gene (known as TP53 in humans), is known to be stabilized and activated in response to a variety of cellular stresses including DNA damage signaling, oncogene activation, and forced expression of reprogramming factors, Klf4 or cMyc. Following these stress signal transductions, p53 is stabilized through inactivation of an E3 ubiquitin ligases, Mdm2 [8] and Mdmx [9]. While activation of p53 induces transcription of p21 leading to cell cycle arrest and DNA repair; p53 also induces apoptosis-related genes such as Bax, Noxa, and Puma, followed by cell death [10]. In contrast, reducing the p53 signaling by modulating E3 activity of Mdm2 or Mdmx promotes not only cell growth and proliferation but also reprogramming to iPSCs [3,11]. This may explain, in part, the reason why reprogramming efficiency is limited to the low level. For functional regulation of p53 in the course of iPSC reprogramming, in the present study, we focus on upstream factors of the p53/Mdm axis.

It is reported that activation of Mdm2 is regulated by phosphoinositol-3-kinase/Akt, known as a crucial regulator of cell survival, growth, differentiation, apoptosis and autophagy in various cell types [12]. When Akt is phosphorylated by phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphate (PIP3), activated Akt promotes translocation of Mdm2 from the nucleus to the cytoplasm, thereby increased degradation of p53 protein [13-16]. It is also known that Akt activity is repressed by phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN), which is another well-known tumor repressor and negatively regulates PIP3 through dephosphorylation of position D3 of PIP3. In addition, it was previously reported that PTEN/Akt pathway is involved in the regulation of cell cycle, apoptosis, and tumorigenesis through the interaction with p53/p21 pathway [17]. However, involvement of the linkage between PTEN/Akt and p53 pathways in iPSC formation remains unclear. In the present study, we here examine whether modulating the signals of PTEN/Akt axis affect p53-mediated inhibition of reprogramming to iPSCs. Our data show that inhibition of PTEN or activating Akt potentially enhances the efficiency of iPSC formation.

**Methods**

**Animals**

Any experiments in this study were approved by Experimental Animal Committee of Ritsumeikan University. Animal care and experimental procedures followed the Animal Welfare Committee guidelines of Ritsumeikan University. Mice used for this study were kept in an air and humidity-conditioned room at 22-24 °C in the Animal Facility in Ritsumeikan University. Ptenflox/flox mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratory, and the homozygotes were maintained in mouse colonies. Cells were cryopreserved in liquid nitrogen. For genome extractions, DNA was isolated with a standard phenol-chloroform protocol [19], with an online CRISPR design tool (CRISPR direct). The pMX vectors (pMX-mOct4, -mSox2, -mKlf4, -mc-Myc) were used for reprogramming into iPSCs as previously described [3]. pBabe-Cre was generated following modification that Cre recombinase cDNA was inserted in pBabe-Puro from Addgene. pMX-hPTEN was generated by subcloning of hPTEN and was inserted into the pMXs vector with restriction enzymes, Xhol and BamH1. Referred to a previous report [18], pMX-constitutively active Akt, which is a myristylated mutant, was generated by subcloning of mAkt fused with a myristylation signal to the N-terminus and the hemagglutinin (HA) epitope tag to the C-terminus, and was inserted into the pMXs vector with restriction enzymes, HindIII and SalI. Lentivirus vectors for CRISPR-Cas9-based genome editing were prepared using plasmid, pLenti CRISPR (Addgene). SensiRNA design and cloning were performed based on a previously reported protocol [19], with an online CRISPR design tool (CRISPR direct). The sequences of oligo were: Sense oligonucleotides: 5′-CACGGTAGCATATTATTGCAGC-3′ and Antisense oligonucleotides: 5′-AAACGATGCAAATATATGCACAC-3′ for PTEN sgRNA#1; Sense oligonucleotides: CACCGAACAAAAGAGATATCAAG and Antisense oligonucleotides: AAACCTTAGATTTCTCCTTTGTTC, for PTEN sgRNA#2.

**Immunolabeling**

To assess the reprogramming efficiency, cultured cells were immunostained at day 11-15 after transgene of 4F using the VectaStain ABC kit and ImmPACT DAB substrate (Vector Laboratories).
Laboratories) with polyclonal rabbit anti-mouse Nanog (Calbiochem) antibodies according to the manufacturer’s protocol. In brief, the cells were fixed in 10% neutral phosphate-buffered formalin, permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100, and blocked with 5% BSA. Following overnight reaction with the primary antibodies, the cells were incubated with the biotin-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (Vector Laboratories) for 45 min, then reacted with streptavidin-HRP complex for 30 min. Nanog-positive colonies were visualized in DAB solution and washed in distilled water and counted the number under a stereomicroscope.

**Western Blotting**

Immunoblotting was performed by means of standard protocols. Protein samples were collected from cultured cells in 6 days after infection with a lysis buffer containing 4% SDS, and sonicated and quantified with BCA method. The samples were then reduced with a 2-mercaptoethanol-containing loading buffer and boiled at 95 °C for 5 min. SDS-PAGE were performed in a 10% polyacrylamide gel. The protein ladders were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. The primary antibodies used were as follows: PTEN (Cell Signaling Technology, rabbit polyclonal), p16Ink4a (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, rabbit polyclonal), p19Arf (Abcam, rabbit polyclonal), p21 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, mouse monoclonal), p53 (Cell Signaling Technology, mouse monoclonal), phospho-Akt (T308) Cell Signaling Technology, rabbit Polyclonal), Akt (Cell Signaling Technology, rabbit polyclonal), β-actin (Sigma-Aldrich, mouse monoclonal). Specific signals were visualized with Pierce Western Blotting substrate (Thermo Scientific) and acquired with LAS-4000 (Fuji Film).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using Student’s t-test. All the data were expressed as mean with standard deviation, and P < 0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

**Deficiency of PTEN Promotes Efficiency of Reprogramming to iPSCs Through Activation of Akt/p53/p21 Pathway**

![Figure 1: Activation of PTEN/Akt and p53/p21 pathways in mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs).
prepared from Pten conditional knockout mice](image)

a) Scheme of Cre-loxP system to knockout Pten gene.

b) Western blotting for PTEN, phosphorylated Akt, total Akt, p53, and p21 in 4F-transduced MEFs with mock or Cre co-infection. (C) to (E) An iPSC colony induced from Pten conditional knockout MEFs

c) Photographs of an iPSC colony stained with mouse monoclonal antibodies against Nanog, an undifferentiated iPSC maker (200x).

d) Nanog-positive iPSC colonies cultured in a 12-well plate.

e) Increased number of Nanog-positive colonies in Pten knockout MEFs (n=6, *p<0.05, error bars show s.d.).
We first examined the signal crosstalk between PTEN/Akt and p53/p21 pathways after 4F-induced iPSC reprogramming, using a conditional knockout system. MEFs prepared from Ptenflx/flx mouse embryos were retrovirally infected with 4F in addition to either mock or Cre recombinase. The exon 5 of Pten gene is flanked by the loxP sites and designed to be removed by Cre expression (Figure 1A). We then tested the effects of PTEN deficiency on the levels of phosphorylated Akt and p53 by Western blotting. Under the presence of Cre, the protein level of PTEN was almost abolished in 4F-expressing MEFs (Figure 1B). PTEN deficient cells also exhibited significant phosphorylation of Akt and downregulation of p53 and p21 (Figure 1B), indicating that PTEN dephosphorylates Akt to maintain the activation of p53/p21 pathway after 4F-infection. Since p53/p21 pathway activation is a critical barrier against iPSC formation according to previous reports including ours [3], our results above raise the possibility that PTEN may repress reprogramming efficiency.

Then, we assessed whether lack of PTEN increases the efficiency of 4F-induced iPSC colony formation. MEFs were transduced with 4F together with mock or Cre recombinase, and around ten days after infection these cells were fixed with formalin and labeled with antibodies for Nanog, one of specific markers of undifferentiated iPSCs. Nanog-positive colonies were visualized using a standard method of immunocytochemistry (Figure 1C). The results showed that the number of Nanog-positive iPSC colonies was significantly increased in PTEN-deficient cells (Figures 1D & 1E), in which Akt was highly phosphorylated (Figure 1B). These results suggest that deficiency of PTEN improves reprogramming efficiency to iPSCs at least through Akt-dependent pathways. Using lentivirus vectors for CRISPR/Cas9-based genome editing to disrupt the gene by frameshift mutations, we also confirmed that there was significant reduction of PTEN protein in CRISPR/Cas9-transduced cells expressing two different types of gRNAs targeting Pten gene (Figure 2A). MEFs co-infected with CRISPR/Cas9-lentivirus vector and retrovirus vectors encoding 4F were cultured for iPSC formation. Immunocytochemistry revealed that significantly increased number of iPSC colonies appeared from 4F-transduced MEFs co-infected with CRISPR/Cas9 vectors expressing either gRNA #1 or #2 (Figure 2B). We finally performed gain-of-function experiments in MEFs overexpressing PTEN. Conversely, co-infection of Pten together with 4F induced upregulation of p53 and p21 proteins during reprogramming (Figure 2C) and reduced the efficiency of reprogramming to iPSCs. Taken together, these results suggest the novel crosstalk between PTEN/Akt and p53/p21 pathways involved in reprogramming to iPSCs.

Figure 2: iPSC reprogramming efficiency from MEFs with knock-down or overexpression of PTEN.

a) Significant downregulation of PTEN in MEFs transduced with CRISPR/Cas9 against Pten gene.
b) Increased colony formation of iPSCs by CRISPR/Cas9-induced Pten knock-down (n=3, *p<0.05, error bars show s.d.).
c) Western blotting of 4F-induced MEFs with mock- or PTEN-overexpression.
d) Decreased number of iPSC colony formation by co-infection of PTEN (n=4, *p<0.05, error bars show s.d.).
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Constitutively Active form of Akt Rescues Inhibition of iPSC Colony Formation

While PTEN downregulates PIP3 and subsequently induces dephosphorylation and inactivation of Akt, our results showed that PTEN activates p53/p21 pathway leading to reduced efficiency of iPSC reprogramming. Therefore, we next examined whether activation of Akt improves reprogramming efficiency through inhibiting p53/p21 signaling. We performed reprogramming experiments using MEFs introduced with 4F in addition to mock or a constitutively active form of HA-tagged Akt (CA Akt). As observed in our previous report [3], western blot analysis showed 4F-mediated upregulation of p53 and p21 (Figure 3A). Of note, co-infection of CA Akt reduced the p53/p21 protein levels (Figure 3A). Then, we performed immunolabeling with Nanog 10 to 12 days after infection and counted Nanog-positive colonies. As expected, CA Akt together with 4F significantly increased the number of iPSC colonies (Figure 3B). In contrast, this enhanced reprogramming efficiency was canceled by co-infection of p53 in reprogrammed cells induced by 4F and CA Akt (Figures 3C & 3D). These results suggest that Akt activation improves the efficiency of iPSC induction through inhibition of p53/p21 pathway.

Figure 3: Effects of constitutively active Akt overexpression on iPSC reprogramming.

a) Protein levels of endogenous and constitutively active (CA) Akt, p53, and p21 after reprogramming induction.
b) Retroviral infection of CA Akt increased the number of Nanog-positive iPSC colonies. (n=7, *p<0.05, error bars show s.d.).
c) Western blotting of Akt, p53, and p21 after reprogramming induction with or without co-expression of CA Akt and p53.
d) Forced expression of p53 canceled Akt-induced enhancement of iPSC colony formation (n=6, *p<0.05 vs mock, #p<0.05 vs CA Akt, error bars show s.d.).

p19Arf and p16Ink4a are also downregulated by Akt during reprogramming to iPSCs

p19Arf, an upstream factor of p53, enhances p53 stabilization, whereas p16Ink4a, a transcript variant of p19Arf, p53-independently represses cell cycle. In addition, it was reported that silencing of p19Arf and p16Ink4a enhances iPSC reprogramming efficiency in part through a p53-dependent mechanism [6]. It remains unknown however, whether Akt is involved in p19Arf and p16Ink4a-dependent regulation of reprogramming. To examine the effect of Akt activation on p19Arf and p16Ink4a expression, we performed western blotting using cell lysates after reprogramming induction with or without CA Akt. The protein levels of p19Arf and p16Ink4a were significantly decreased by co-infection of CA Akt (Figure 4A). Finally, we tested whether Akt-mediated downregulation of p19Arf and p16Ink4a is prevented by p53 activation. Overexpression of p53, however, did not affect this reduction. These data suggest that Akt improves the efficiency of reprogramming to iPSCs through p53-independent downregulation of p19Arf and p16Ink4a as well as directly affecting p53/p21 pathway (Figure 4B).
Discussion

iPSCs have been massively studied to apply for regenerative medicine, disease modeling, or drug screenings. It is, however, still under investigation to dissect molecular mechanisms of iPSC reprogramming induced by the defined four factors, Oct4, Sox2, Klf4, and c-Myc. We previously reported that p53 is activated after reprogramming induction and gene deletion or RNAi-mediated silencing of p53 remarkably increases the efficiency of reprogramming to mouse or human iPSCs [3]. Therefore, controlling the protein level or the stability of p53 by activation or inhibition of p53 regulators would be one of the strategies to establish iPSC lines efficiently. As a variety of cellular functions and signal transduction pathways associated with p53 have been widely investigated, a number of interacting molecules of p53 have been addressed so far. PTEN is a lipid phosphatase which directly or indirectly regulates the protein level and activity of p53 [20]. It was demonstrated that PTEN physically interacts with p53, contributes to cytosolic localization of p53, and prevents p53 protein from Mdm2-dependent degradation [21]. On the other hand, loss-of-function mutations in PTEN and p53, observed in patients with an aggressive brain tumor, are involved in the undifferentiated state of tumor progenitor cells with self-renewal potential, resulting in cancer progression [22]. Thus, we investigated, in the present study, whether inhibition of PTEN function is attributable to disruption of p53 stability that may result in efficient reprogramming to iPSCs. Our findings revealed that genetic ablation or CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knock-down of PTEN promotes iPSC reprogramming efficiency through inhibition of p53/p21 pathway. We also showed correlated changes in protein levels among PTEN, phosphorylated Akt, p53, and p21, suggesting the crosstalk between PTEN/Akt and p53/p21 pathways involved in reprogramming to iPSCs.

In PTEN-deficient cells infected with 4F, Akt hyperphosphorylation and p53 downregulation were observed (Figure 1B). These findings suggest that Akt activation may facilitate p53 degradation regulated by E3 ubiquitin ligase, Mdm2 or Mdx2. Mdm2 is a well-known upstream protein that degrades p53 through ubiquitination, whereas Mdx2 is the homologue of Mdm2 with similar enzyme activity. Our previous study showed that mutant Mdx2 in which impaired phosphorylation in response to DNA damage or oncogenic stimuli enhanced reprogramming efficiency [3]. Findings above may support the evidence of context-dependent role of Mdx2 observed in reprogrammed cells as well as various types of cancer cells [23]. Therefore, Mdm2 and Mdx2 would be presumably involved in Akt-dependent p53 inactivation during iPSC reprogramming. Several studies revealed that PTEN regulates the protein level and activity of p53 through phosphatase-independent mechanisms [21]. p53 is able to bind to the promoter region of Pten that forms a positive feedback loop [24]. Although further studies are required to address underlying mechanisms to control Akt-independent p53 regulation by PTEN in iPSCs, PTEN downregulation appears to be one of the strategies to improve reprogramming efficiency. In addition, considering reprogramming 4F activate the p53/p21 pathway, it might be interesting to investigate whether 4F directly or indirectly regulate expressions or activation of PTEN and Akt in the course of iPSC formation.

Our present data also show that overexpression of CA Akt results in downregulation of p19Arf and p16Ink4a after reprogramming induction, nevertheless whether p53 is co-infected or not (Figure 4A). These results suggest that there might be p53-independent relationship between Akt and Ink4a-Arf locus in reprogrammed cells to iPSCs. We and other group previously reported that inhibition of p19Arf and p16Ink4a increased the number of iPSC colonies [3,6]. Therefore, enhanced reprogramming efficiency by Akt might be in part due to downregulation of p19Arf and p16Ink4a. As previously reported, Inka4/Arf locus is transactivated during reprogramming, and p19Arf and p16Ink4a play a pivotal role in a critical barrier against reprogramming [6]. Therefore, activation of Akt or overexpression of its active mutant may contribute to silencing of Inka4/Arf locus, leading to efficient iPSC generation. In conclusion, the present study revealed that genetic ablation or knock-down of PTEN improves the efficiency of reprogramming to
iPSCs by activation of Akt at least in part through downregulation of p19Arf and p16Ink4a followed by p53 degradation as well as significant inhibition of p53/p21 pathway (Figure 4B). Our findings suggest that modulating PTEN/Akt axis would be effective to enhance somatic cell reprogramming to pluripotency.
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