E-cadherin expression in primary and metastatic thoracic neoplasms and in Barrett’s oesophagus
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Summary Reduced expression of E-cadherin, a Ca2+-dependent cell adhesion molecule present in normal epithelium, has been associated with invasive and metastatic cancer. Immunohistochemistry was used in examining the relationship between E-cadherin expression and stage in 59 oesophageal and 52 lung cancers. Advanced-stage oesophageal cancers were associated with both reduced and disorganised E-cadherin expression (P<0.01). Advanced-stage lung adenocarcinomas generally exhibited disorganised or reduced E-cadherin expression, but no statistical association between expression pattern and stage was found (P>0.05). No differences in stage were seen between tumours with reduced or disorganised E-cadherin expression. Altered E-cadherin expression was detected in dysplastic, non-invasive Barrett’s oesophagus. Importantly, high-level E-cadherin mRNA expression was associated with metastatic cancer in oesophageal carcinomas. E-cadherin expression was decreased in tumours with reduced protein expression, but not in tumours with disorganised expression. Expression of α-catenin mRNA, an E-cadherin-associated protein, was detected in tissues with altered E-cadherin protein expression. Reduced and disorganised expression of E-cadherin appear to be related to transcriptional and post-translational events respectively, and both appear to represent altered cell adhesion associated with invasion and metastasis in thoracic neoplasms.
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Patients with oesophageal and lung cancer often have a poor prognosis because these tumours are frequently highly invasive or metastatic at the time of initial diagnosis. Altered cell adhesion is a minimum requirement for cancer cells to invade and metastasise. In order to metastasise, cells must detach from the primary tumour, invade surrounding blood or lymph vessels, survive in circulation and then reattach at the metastatic site. Cell-surface adhesion molecules are likely to be involved in both the process of detachment from primary tumour and reattachment at distant sites (Takeichi, 1991). Cadherins are a family of Ca2+-dependent cell adhesion molecules which play a major role in the tight cell–cell associations of normal epithelial tissues (Takeichi, 1990). The α-, β- and γ-cadherins are cytosolic proteins which complex with the cadherin molecule and serve to link cadherins to the actin cytoskeleton and other cytoplasmic proteins (Pipenhagen and Nelson, 1993). E-cadherin is the member of the cadherin superfamily which is specifically expressed on all epithelial cells (Eidelman et al., 1989; Takeichi, 1990; Kemler, 1993).

Induced expression of E-cadherin protein has been demonstrated to inhibit the invasive cell phenotype in vitro (Frixen et al., 1991; Vlemimckx et al., 1991). Non-transformed canine kidney cells become invasive when treated with monoclonal antibodies directed against E-cadherin or when E-cadherin protein expression is blocked either with specific antisense mRNA or by transforming sarcoma virus (Behrens et al., 1989; Mareel et al., 1991). Several studies have attempted to correlate the level of E-cadherin protein expression with the rate of differentiation, degree of invasiveness and the presence of metastases in various human malignancies. In primary human tumours, reduced E-cadherin protein expression has consistently been shown to be associated with a decreased state of differentiation or increased grade of bladder, breast, colorectal, gastric, prostate and squamous cell cancers of the head and neck (Umbas et al., 1992; Bowie et al., 1993; Bringuier et al., 1993; Dorudi et al., 1993; Oka et al., 1993; Mayer et al., 1993). Clear associations of E-cadherin expression with the invasive and metastatic properties of human tumours have, however, been difficult to establish. Increased invasiveness has been demonstrated in bladder and oesophageal squamous cell cancers that exhibit decreased E-cadherin protein expression (Bringuier et al., 1993; Kadowaki et al., 1994). The presence of metastatic disease has also been correlated with reduced E-cadherin protein expression in breast, colorectal and oesophageal squamous cell cancer (Dorudi et al., 1993; Oka et al., 1993; Kadowaki et al., 1994). In contrast, decreased E-cadherin protein expression was not found to be correlated with the presence of metastases in a study of gastric cancer (Mayer et al., 1993), or with invasion and metastases in a study of colorectal cancer (Kinsella et al., 1992). Additionally, analysis of E-cadherin protein expression in metastatic sites has yielded contradictory results. Liver metastases from colorectal primary tumours were found to be negative for E-cadherin protein expression in seven of eight cases (Dorudi et al., 1993), while E-cadherin protein was expressed in six of six liver metastases from gastric primary tumours (Mayer et al., 1993). When lymph nodes containing metastatic cells were examined, E-cadherin protein expression was reduced in the majority of metastatic breast and colorectal cancers (Oka et al., 1993; Dorudi et al., 1993), but was preserved in lung cancer metastases (Shimoyama et al., 1989). E-cadherin protein expression was also reported to be increased in some lymph nodes with foci of metastatic gastric cancer when compared with primary tumours (Mayer et al., 1993).

Prognosis and staging of oesophageal cancer is based primarily on its invasiveness through the wall of the oesophagus, while lung cancer prognosis and staging is based on the presence of metastases to local regional lymph nodes. To further establish the relationship between E-cadherin and the processes of invasion and metastases, we have examined E-cadherin protein and mRNA expression in primary tumours and lymph node metastases of patients with either oesophageal or lung cancer. Additionally, Barrett’s oesophagus was examined to study E-cadherin expression in metaplastic and dysplastic oesophageal tissue prior to its development into invasive cancer. The potential altered expression of α-catenin mRNA was studied in an attempt to identify a defect in cell adhesion in tissues with preserved E-cadherin protein expression.
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Materials and methods

Human tissues

After obtaining informed consent, tissue was obtained from patients undergoing either oesophagectomy or lung resection for cancer, at the University of Michigan Hospital between August 1991 and September 1993. For patients undergoing lung resection, samples of normal lung and lung tumour were obtained. Depending on the specific pathology of each patient undergoing oesophagectomy, samples of normal oesophagus, stomach, Barrett’s oesophagus and oesophageal or gastro-oesophageal junction tumours were collected. Occasionally, portions of lymph nodes suspicious for the presence of metastatic disease were collected when this would not affect the staging of the patient. Immediately after resection, each tissue sample was divided into thirds. The centre third was embedded in OCT compound (Miles, Elkhart, IN, USA) and frozen in isopentane cooled to the temperature of liquid nitrogen for cryostat sectioning and subsequent immunohistochemistry. The other two portions were frozen in liquid nitrogen for RNA isolation. Samples were then stored at \(-70\)°C until analysed.

Histology and staging

The final hospital pathology reports of all patients were reviewed and used to establish the histology and the surgical stage of the tumours. Patients were staged according to the AJCCS system (American Joint Committee on Cancer, 1992).

Cell lines

The human lung adenocarcinoma cell lines A549 and A427 were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD, USA) and grown in F12 Ham Kaighn’s modification (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) and MEM-x (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) respectively. Media were supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco) and 1% penicillin–streptomycin. Cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline, pelleted and stored at \(-70\)°C for subsequent RNA isolation. For immunohistochemistry, cells were either cultured directly on eight-well slides or cytopsin onto poly-L-lysine-coated slides.

Immunohistochemistry

Monoclonal antibody to E-cadherin (HECD-1) was obtained from Zymed Laboratory (South San Francisco, CA, USA). This antibody recognises an extracellular epitope of the E-cadherin protein. E-cadherin protein expression was determined immunohistochemically on 5 μm cryostat sections using a standard avidin–biotin–peroxidase complex method as previously described (Al-Kasspooles et al., 1993). Primary and metastatic oesophageal, gastro-oesophageal junction and lung cancers were examined. Additionally, specimens of Barrett’s oesophagus containing metaplastic and dysplastic tissue were examined. All sections were examined by two observers and classified as previously described (Takeuchi, 1993):

- Preserved: intensity of staining similar to normal epithelial tissue with well-organised cell membrane staining.
- Reduced: intensity of staining much reduced in comparison with normal tissue or absent.
- Disorganised variable: altered pattern of staining with cytoplasmic expression or variable staining with some areas preserved and other areas reduced.
- Often the intensity of staining was equal to or greater than normal tissue.

cDNA cloning

A λZAP cDNA library, constructed with 76N normal mammary epithelial cell mRNA (Lee et al., 1992), was screened using mouse E-cadherin cDNA (Nagafuchi et al., 1987) or mouse α-catenin-specific oligonucleotide (59-mer, Nterminus) (Nagafuchi et al., 1991). Approximately 2 × 10⁶ recombinant phages were transferred to nitrocellulose filters and then hybridised overnight at 34°C in 5 × SSC, 5 × Denhardt’s solution. 1% SDS, 10 μg ml⁻¹ polyadenylate. 100 μg ml⁻¹ salmon sperm DNA and 50% formamide with 3²P-labelled probe. Filters were then washed sequentially at low and high stringency. Positive plaques were purified and subjected to secondary and tertiary screening. Inserts from positive recombinants were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) directly from phage lysates using T3 and T7 sequences as primers. The amplified inserts were labelled and used for Southern and Northern analysis (Lee et al., 1992). Positive clones in phages were transformed into plasmids using the phagemid excision procedure (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA). Candidate positive clones were sequenced by the dideoxy termination sequencing method (Sanger et al., 1992) with Sequenase (version 2.0; US Biochemicals). Resulting sequences were analysed with the MacVector (IBI) sequence analysis software.

Characterisation of probes

A human α-catenin cDNA (3.7 kb), cat 11, was isolated from a human breast epithelial cell cDNA library and partially sequenced. The sequence of this clone is highly homologous to the mouse α-catenin cDNA sequence (Nagafuchi et al., 1991) and identical to the published sequence of the human α-catenin cDNA clone (Oda et al., 1993). A partial E-cadherin cDNA probe was isolated using mouse E-cadherin cDNA (Nagafuchi et al., 1987) as a probe. The sequence of this E-cadherin was identical to the reported human E-cadherin sequence (Bussmaker et al., 1993).

Northern blot analysis

Total RNA was isolated from both tissue and cell lines using Tri Reagent (Molecular Research Center, Cincinnati, OH, USA) by following the manufacturer’s protocol. Ten micrograms of total cellular RNA was separated in a 1.2% agarose gel containing 2.2 M formaldehyde and then vacuum transferred to nylon membranes (Gene Screen Plus, NEN, Wilmington, DE, USA). Membranes were prehybridised in 5 × SSPE, 5 × Denhardt’s, 50% formamide, 3% SDS, 5% dextran sulphate, 5 μg ml⁻¹ heat-denatured salmon sperm DNA and 3 μg ml⁻¹ yeast tRNA for 1 h at 48°C. Probes were labelled with [³²P]dCTP by the random primer labelling method (Prime-It II, Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA) and purified by Sephadex G-50 exclusion chromatography. Membranes were hybridised with 1.5 × 10⁶ c.p.m. ml⁻¹ heat-denatured, labelled probe for 16–18 h in a 48°C shaking water bath. Membranes were washed according to the manufacturer’s recommendations and autoradiograms prepared (Hyperfilm-MP, Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL, USA). Loading and transfer of RNA was normalised using a probe for 28S rRNA as previously described (Hanson et al., 1991). Autoradiographic signals were quantified by scanning laser densitometry (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA, USA).

Data analysis

There were two main objectives of the statistical analysis. The first objective was to describe the relationship between the pattern of E-cadherin protein expression and tumour stage. This objective was accomplished utilising Fisher’s exact test for r × c tables (Mehta and Patel, 1983). The null hypothesis of no association between E-cadherin expression patterns and tumour stage was rejected at the P < 0.05 level of significance. The second objective was to compare differences in tumour stages between E-cadherin protein expression patterns. This was accomplished through a pairwise analysis of the differences between cell observed and expected values obtained from the Fisher’s exact test for E-cadherin expression pattern by tumour stage. All data analysis was performed using the SAS software, release 6.07 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).
Results

Immunohistochemistry

Normal tissues E-cadherin protein expression was examined using immunohistochemistry in 52 lung and 43 oesophageal adenocarcinomas to define further the relationship between E-cadherin expression and the invasive and metastatic properties of these thoracic tumours. Expression patterns in malignant tissues were compared with those seen in normal lung, gastric mucosa and oesophagus (Figure 1a,b and d). In normal oesophagus, E-cadherin protein is expressed circumferentially along the cell membrane of squamous epithelial cells, although proliferating cells along the basement membrane do not appear to express membrane-associated E-cadherin. Squamous epithelial cells lose expression of E-cadherin as they migrate towards the surface of the mucosa prior to sloughing (not shown). Normal gastric tissue

Figure 1 E-cadherin expression in normal, metaplastic, dysplastic and malignant tissue. Levels of E-cadherin expression in normal stomach (a) normal lung (b) (arrow indicates specific E-cadherin expression at a point of cell–cell contact) and normal oesophagus (d) (arrow indicates reduced expression in basal layer of squamous epithelium) were used to define preserved E-cadherin expression. Barrett’s oesophagus with intestinal metaplasia (e) (arrow indicates goblet cells) expresses E-cadherin in a preserved fashion while E-cadherin is disorganised to reduced in dysplasia (B in 4). Examples of oesophageal adenocarcinoma with preserved (e) disorganised (f) and reduced (g) E-cadherin expression. Examples of lung adenocarcinomas with preserved (i) disorganised (j) and reduced (k) E-cadherin expression. Metastatic oesophageal adenocarcinoma (h) and metastatic lung adenocarcinoma (l) both express E-cadherin in a preserved fashion.
also expresses abundant amounts of E-cadherin protein circumferentially on the cell membranes of glandular epithelial cells. In normal lung, E-cadherin protein is expressed on the cell membranes of bronchiolar epithelial cells and at relatively low levels at points of cell—cell contact on the lateral borders of alveolar epithelial cells, but not along the surface of epithelial cells facing the alveolar airspace (Figure 1b). These results are consistent with previous observations (Eidelman et al., 1989; Shimoyama et al., 1989; Kadowaki et al., 1994).

Oesophageal and lung adenocarcinomas (Table I) Preserved expression (E-cadherin expression similar to normal gastric mucosa in intensity and pattern) was seen in 14% of the oesophageal adenocarcinomas and in 23% of the lung adenocarcinomas. Reduced to absent E-cadherin staining was seen in 35% of the oesophageal adenocarcinomas and in 21% of the lung adenocarcinomas. Disorganised or variable expression occurred in 51% of the oesophageal adenocarcinomas and in 56% of the lung adenocarcinomas. In general, it was the well-differentiated oesophageal and lung cancers which were observed to express E-cadherin in a preserved fashion and the poorly differentiated tumours which exhibited reduced or disorganised staining patterns.

Other oesophageal and gastro-oesophageal junction tumours (Table I) Eight specimens of oesophageal squamous cell cancer were also examined. One specimen exhibited preserved E-cadherin protein expression and seven specimens exhibited either reduced or disorganised expression. Additionally, four signet ring, one adenosquamous and one gastric cancer of the gastro-oesophageal junction were examined which exhibited reduced E-cadherin protein expression, while two gastric cancers of the gastro-oesophageal junction exhibited disorganised expression.

Fisher’s exact test for \( r \times c \) tables was used to examine the relationship between tumour stage and pattern of E-cadherin expression (Table II). No significant differences in the distribution of tumours between early and late stages were found in tumours exhibiting disorganised or reduced E-cadherin expression. Therefore, tumours with disorganised and reduced staining were considered as one group of tumours with altered staining and compared with tumours with preserved E-cadherin expression as part of the statistical analysis. Oesophageal adenocarcinomas demonstrated a statistically significant association between E-cadherin expression pattern and stage, with tumours that exhibited altered E-cadherin expression being of more advanced stage than those which expressed E-cadherin in a preserved manner. Lung adenocarcinomas with lymph node metastases almost always demonstrated altered E-cadherin protein expression, but a statistically significant association between E-cadherin expression pattern and stage was not present. When all oesophageal tumours were considered in aggregate, the high number of squamous cell and signet ring tumours of advanced stage with altered E-cadherin expression led to a highly significant association between altered E-cadherin expression and advanced stage.

Lymph node metastases The most revealing tissue to examine for E-cadherin protein expression may be sites of metastatic disease. Local regional lymph nodes containing metastatic oesophageal cancer \((n = 12)\), metastatic gastric cancer \((n = 3)\) and metastatic lung cancer \((n = 2)\) were examined immunohistochemically for E-cadherin protein expression (Figure 1h and I). All metastatic cells in lymph nodes exhibited intense E-cadherin expression at levels equal to and often greater than the primary tumour. None of the primary tumours of these patients exhibited preserved E-cadherin expression, six had reduced expression, nine were disorganised and two of the primary tumours were uninformative. While no metastatic sites exhibited reduced E-cadherin protein expression, 10 of 17 lymph nodes (59%) contained at least some metastatic cells which expressed E-cadherin in a disorganised fashion.

| Table I | E-cadherin protein expression patterns in oesophageal and lung tumours |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Stage   | Preserved | E-cadherin pattern | Disorganised |
|---------|------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Oesophageal adenocarcinomas \((n = 43)\) |           |                   |              |
| I       | 0          | 0                 | 0            |
| IIa     | 2          | 3                 | 3            |
| IIb     | 2          | 1                 | 1            |
| III     | 2          | 5                 | 15           |
| IV      | 0          | 6                 | 3            |
| Oesophageal squamous cell carcinomas \((n = 8)\) | |                   |              |
| I       | 0          | 0                 | 0            |
| IIa     | 1          | 0                 | 0            |
| IIb     | 0          | 0                 | 0            |
| III     | 0          | 5                 | 1            |
| IV      | 0          | 0                 | 1            |
| Other oesophageal carcinomas* \((n = 8)\) | |                   |              |
| I       | 0          | 0                 | 0            |
| IIa     | 0          | 0                 | 0            |
| IIb     | 0          | 1                 | 1            |
| III     | 0          | 5                 | 0            |
| IV      | 0          | 0                 | 0            |

| Lung adenocarcinomas \((n = 52)\) | | |
| I       | 9          | 6                 | 14           |
| II      | 1          | 1                 | 4            |
| IIIa    | 2          | 4                 | 10           |
| IIIb    | 0          | 0                 | 0            |
| IV      | 0          | 0                 | 0            |

*Other contains four signet ring carcinomas, three gastric carcinomas of the gastro-oesophageal junction, one adenosquamous carcinoma.

| Table II | Statistical analysis of E-cadherin protein expression pattern and stage |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Stage    | Preserved vs altered** | P-value* |
| All oesophageal cancers | | |
| I       | 0          | \( \rho \)          |              |
| IIa     | 3 (1.1)    | 6 (7.9)             | 0.008        |
| IIb     | 2 (0.5)    | 3 (3.5)             |             |
| III     | 2 (3.6)    | 28 (26.4)           |             |
| IV      | 0 (1.9)    | 16 (14.1)           |             |
| Oesophageal adenocarcinomas | | |
| I       | 0          | \( \rho \)          |              |
| IIa     | 2 (1.1)    | 6 (6.9)             | 0.05        |
| IIb     | 2 (0.6)    | 2 (3.4)             |             |
| III     | 2 (3.1)    | 20 (18.9)           |             |
| IV      | 0 (1.3)    | 9 (7.7)             |             |
| Lung adenocarcinomas | | |
| I       | 9 (6.7)    | 20 (22.3)           |             |
| II      | 1 (1.4)    | 5 (4.6)             |             |
| IIIa    | 2 (3.7)    | 14 (12.3)           | 0.55        |
| IIIb    | 0          | \( \rho \)          |              |
| IV      | 0 (0.2)    | 1 (0.8)             |             |

*Altered consists of tumours with both reduced and disorganised expression. **Number of tumours observed and, in parenthesis, number of tumours expected given no association between E-cadherin protein expression pattern and stage. *Statistically significant association between altered E-cadherin protein expression and advanced stage based on Fisher’s exact test (two-tail). Stages in which no observations were made were not included in the analysis.

Barrett’s oesophagus Twenty-nine specimens of Barrett’s oesophagus were examined for E-cadherin protein expression (Figure 1c and d). Intestinal, junctional and fundic types of Barrett’s metaplasia were associated with uniform, preserved staining of high intensity without exception. Fourteen dysplastic tissues exhibited a disorganised E-cadherin staining pattern with some areas exhibiting reduced staining (Figure 1d). Staining patterns varied even within the same gland, with dysplastic areas associated with altered E-cadherin expression and metaplastic areas exhibiting preserved expression.
Northern blot analysis of E-cadherin and α-catenin

Northern blot analysis was performed to examine the expression of E-cadherin mRNA in tumours and cell lines which express E-cadherin protein in either disorganised or reduced patterns. Lung adenocarcinomas with reduced E-cadherin protein expression were found to express an apparently intact E-cadherin mRNA at decreased but detectable levels (Figure 2a). Additionally, E-cadherin mRNA was nearly undetectable in the lung adenocarcinoma cell lines A549 and A427 (Figure 2b). These cell lines expressed essentially no E-cadherin protein, regardless of the state of growth and confluence of the cells in culture (not shown). Tumours with disorganised E-cadherin protein expression exhibited mRNA of correct size at variable levels equal to or greater than normal tissue (Figure 2a).

Tumours may retain preserved E-cadherin protein but might have altered α-catenin expression which could also contribute to defective cell adhesion and metastasis. Therefore, the expression of α-catenin mRNA was examined by Northern blot analysis in order to investigate this second possible defect leading to altered tumour cell adhesion (Figure 2b and c). Oesophageal cancers with preserved, reduced and disorganised E-cadherin protein expression and lymph nodes containing metastatic cancer were all found to express α-catenin mRNA. Interestingly, α-catenin mRNA is of correct size and expressed at high levels in both A549 and A427 cells (Figure 2b) and in tumours with reduced and disorganised E-cadherin expression (Figure 2c).

Discussion

E-cadherin has been hypothesised to represent a likely molecular target for altered cell adhesion in cancer. The present study demonstrates an association between altered E-cadherin protein expression and increased tumour stage, although the association did not reach statistical significance in the group of lung adenocarcinomas. Altered E-cadherin expression appears to exist in two distinct patterns, reduced staining and disorganised staining, although staining patterns are somewhat heterogeneous among tumours of each pattern and even within individual tumours. While the disorganised pattern occurred approximately two times more frequently than the reduced pattern, both patterns appear to identify tumours with altered cell adhesion with a similarly increased incidence of invasion and metastasis.

Examination of E-cadherin mRNA in tumours with various E-cadherin protein expression patterns suggests that there may be several possible mechanisms leading to altered E-cadherin protein expression in these cancers. Decreased levels of E-cadherin mRNA were seen in both primary tumours and lung adenocarcinoma cell lines with reduced protein expression (Figure 2a and b). This may be consistent with either a transcriptional down-regulation of the gene leading to reduced or absent E-cadherin protein or actual loss of the gene. In contrast, tumours with disorganised protein expression were found to have E-cadherin mRNA at similar levels and size as in normal tissue. This is consistent with the E-cadherin protein analysis, as there is often significant immunoreactivity in these tumours, although without the normal pattern that appears to be indicative of normal cell adhesion (Figure 1a,b and d). The disorganised protein expression present in thoracic neoplasms is potentially related to either post-transcriptional or post-translational events. An example of post-translational modification of cadherin is observed during embryonic development of the chick retina. The expression of N-cadherin, the form of cadherin molecule expressed in neural tissue, decreases in the developing chick retina as described by immunoblotting (Roark et al., 1992). N-cadherin mRNA, however, remains constant over time, a situation analogous to that presented here in thoracic tumours with disorganised E-cadherin protein expression. Also, in medullary thyroid cancers E-cadherin mRNA levels remained constant while E-cadherin protein expression was variable (Brabant et al., 1993). In the chick retina, the extracellular portion of the N-cadherin molecule is apparently cleaved by a protease (Roark et al., 1992). Increased protease activity against E-cadherin or decreased protease inhibitor activity may account for the disorganised pattern of E-cadherin expression presented here. An altered E-cadherin protein, potentially modified by proteolysis, was detected by Western blot analysis in lung adenocarcinoma cells isolated from a malignant pleural effusion (Matsuura et al., 1992). Similarly, Western blots for E-cadherin in Barrett’s metaplasia and oesophageal adenocarcinoma revealed several bands of low

**Figure 2** a. Northern blot analysis of E-cadherin mRNA. Gastric tissue (G) and normal lung (L) are used as controls for high and low E-cadherin protein expressing tissues respectively. Lung adenocarcinomas expressing reduced E-cadherin protein are labelled T-red, while lung adenocarcinomas expressing disorganised E-cadherin protein are labelled T-disorg. 28S rRNA is used as a control for loading and transfer. b. Northern blot analysis of α-catenin mRNA. Normal stomach and lung (L) are used as controls. Lymph nodes containing metastatic oesophageal cancer (LN-met) and primary lung adenocarcinomas (T-dis, red, pres) express the same size mRNA transcripts as controls. 28S rRNA is used as a control for loading and transfer. c. Northern blot analysis of E-cadherin and α-catenin mRNA in A549 and A427 lung adenocarcinoma cells. E-cadherin protein is not detectable by immunohistochemistry in A549 and A427 cells. 28S rRNA is used as a control for loading and transfer.
molecular weight, possibly consistent with truncated protein or altered glycosylation (Jankowski et al., 1994).

Strikingly, all 17 lymph nodes containing metastatic oesophageal, lung or gastric cancer examined in this study had high-level, preserved E-cadherin protein expression. Furthermore, there were five tumours with preserved E-cadherin protein expression which were of advanced stage. The preserved pattern of E-cadherin expression in the metastatic cells seems inconsistent with an invasion-metastasis-suppressor role. Heterogeneity of tumours, with areas of preserved and reduced expression, could potentially lead to an inaccurate classification of some primary tumours. Cell–cell adhesion is a complex process involving multiple protein interactions, and other defects may exist in cases where tumour cells appear to invade or metastasise despite normal E-cadherin protein expression. A cytosolic protein associated with E-cadherin, α-catenin, has been shown to be critically important in normal cadherin function (Hirano et al., 1992; Shimoyama et al., 1992). Reduced expression of α-catenin protein was recently found to be correlated with invasion and metastases in squamous cell cancer of the oesophagus (Kadowaki et al., 1994). A homozygous deletion of the α-catenin gene has been reported to occur in both a human bladder and lung cancer line leading to the loss of expression of α-catenin mRNA, protein and, significantly, a decrease in cadherin-dependent cell aggregation (Hirano et al., 1992; Morton et al., 1993). In a fashion similar to transfections studies with E-cadherin described earlier (Frixen et al., 1991; Velminckx et al., 1991), transfection of α-catenin cDNA into PC9 lung adenocarcinoma cell lines in restored aggregation properties (Hirano et al., 1992). The α-catenin gene is apparently intact in the oesophageal adenocarcinoma metastases examined here, as abundant mRNA for α-catenin was detected. These metastatic cells also express E-cadherin protein in a preserved pattern (Figure 1b and l), which is known to be necessary for normal α-catenin protein expression (Nagafuchi et al., 1991). Alterations in E-cadherin protein expression, however, may be transient, and affected by differing conditions present in the primary tumour and the metastatic site. The alteration might be at the level of E-cadherin transcript.
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