Coherence properties of a 2D trapped Bose gas around the superfluid transition
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The characteristics of a Bose gas in the degenerate regime are greatly dependant on the dimensionality of the system. In contrast to its three-dimensional (3D) counterpart, a two-dimensional (2D) interacting Bose gas does not present true long range order at low temperature [1, 2]. Instead, it undergoes a superfluid-to-normal fluid transition described by the BKT theory [3, 4]. This superfluid transition has been observed in 4He films [5], Josephson coupled superconducting arrays [6], and recently in dilute ultracold atomic gases [7].

In ultracold 2D trapped Bose gases, the apparition of superfluidity was predicted to be preceded by the reduction of density fluctuations [3, 6] and by the increase of coherence [10, 11]. Experimentally, great efforts were devoted to the study of the density distribution \(n(r)\) of 2D gases, which gives direct access to the phase space density and to the density fluctuations. It has permitted the study of the scale invariance [12] and universality in 2D Bose gases [13] as well as its thermodynamics [14]. The study of the first order correlation function \(g_1\) is possible in real space through interferences between two clouds of atoms [12, 15] which revealed its expected algebraic decay in the superfluid regime [5]. The Fourier transform of \(g_1\) is also naturally embedded in the momentum distribution [16]. For example, the narrow peak in the density distribution after time of flight is frequently used as indicator of the slow algebraic decay of the coherence in the superfluid regime [13, 18, 19].

In this paper, we present a detailed analysis of the experimental momentum distribution of 2D Bose gases closer to a genuine 2D gas than previous studies [13]. In addition, we fit our profiles using a Hartee-Fock mean-field (HFMF) model already used in density space [20] but extended here for the momentum distribution, and compare our results to quantum Monte-Carlo (QMC) simulations [10, 20]. As we increase the phase space density, we observe a progressive narrowing of the momentum distribution and a change of shape from an approx-imately gaussian to a peaked distribution. In particular, at the superfluid phase transition, the momentum distribution is already peaked and its width reduced by a factor \(\sim 5\) compared to a Boltzmann thermal gas. Whereas this behavior is partially captured in a mean-field approach where the 2D Bose statistics plays a central role, correlation effects beyond mean field are clearly visible in the full momentum profile.

Experimentally, the 2D Bose gases are prepared as follows. We prepare a 3D cloud of \(^{87}\)Rb atoms cooled by all-optical runaway evaporation in a crossed dipole trap, as described in [21]. During the evaporation process, we turn on a uniform magnetic gradient to select a single hyperfine state (\(|F = 1, m_F = 0\) \)) [22]. We then transfer the cloud to a 2D trap. For that, we adiabatically ramp on a blue detuned laser at 767 nm in a TEM\(_{01}\)-like mode, providing an intensity node in the horizontal plane of the atoms (see Fig.1). It induces a strong confinement...
in the vertical direction, with an oscillation frequency of \( \omega_z/2\pi = 1.5 \) kHz, corresponding to a dimensionless interaction strength \( \tilde{g} = g m / \hbar^2 = \sqrt{8\pi a_s} / \omega_z = 0.096 \), where \( a_s = 5.3 \) nm is the 3D scattering length, \( \omega_z = \sqrt{\hbar / m \omega_z} \) is the harmonic oscillator length in the vertical direction, \( \hbar \) is the reduced Planck constant, and \( m \) is the atomic mass.

Horizontally, the 2D trap is made of a laser beam at 1565 nm with a waist \( w = 200 \mu m \) and tilted by \( \sim 30^\circ \) with respect to the horizontal plane (see Fig.1). Its oscillation frequencies are \( \omega_x/2\pi = 8 \) Hz, \( \omega_y/2\pi = 15 \) Hz. The atom number \( N \) is varied from \( 2 \times 10^4 \) to \( 6 \times 10^4 \) by changing the number of atoms initially loaded. The final temperature remains approximately constant at \( T = 64.5 \pm 2.0 \) nK. The two dimensional character of our experiment is given by \( k_B T / \omega_z = 0.90 \) where \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, which results in having \( \sim 70 \% \) of the atoms in the ground state of the vertical harmonic oscillator.

We let the atoms thermalize for 500 ms in the final trap before probing the momentum distribution function through time of flight imaging (TOF). In the first milliseconds of expansion, the gas expands predominantly in the vertical direction and the interaction energy is thus quickly released in this direction. As a result, the horizontal momentum distribution (in the \( xy \) plane) remains unchanged during the expansion. After an expansion time of \( t_{TOF} = 83.5 \) ms much longer than \( 1/\omega_x \) and \( 1/\omega_y \), the horizontal density distribution reflects the initial momentum distribution [23]. Finally, two circularly polarized saturating retro-reflected laser beams, resonant with the \( |5S_1/2, F = 2\rangle \rightarrow |5P_{3/2}, F' = 3\rangle \) transition and with the \( |5S_1/2, F = 1\rangle \rightarrow |5P_{3/2}, F' = 2\rangle \) transition allow the atoms to fluoresce for 100 \( \mu s \). The fluorescence signal is recorded on an electron-multiplying-CCD camera placed along the vertical axis, thus imaging the horizontal profile.

To analyze the experimental data, we perform an azimuthal averaging of the single pictures, as described in [12], in order to extract radial profiles corresponding to the momentum distributions \( n(|k|) \), where \( k \) is the atom wavevector. Experimentally, we weight every point of the profile by its experimental standard deviation (coming from the photon shot noise, the camera dark noise and the number of averaging points). Such profiles are presented in Fig.2. For a low atom number (see Fig.2a), the distribution is approximately gaussian and relatively broad. For a higher atom number (Fig.2b-d), the curve progressively peaks and deviates from a gaussian. At high atom number (Fig.2e), a sharp feature develops at very low momentum and grows with increasing atom number. Its width tends to a constant and agrees with our resolution in momentum space (half width of \( \sim 0.3 \mu m^{-1} \)) coming essentially from our imaging resolution and from the initial size of the cloud. Since the peak at low momentum develops on a distribution that is not a gaussian, it is hard to precisely point when it first appears.

In order to attribute a temperature to each profile, we have to rely on a model. More precisely, we fit the Hartree-Fock mean-field model to the wings of the momentum distribution data. This model has already been used for in-situ density profiles [10,12,20] but needs to be extended to get the momentum distribution. We proceed

FIG. 2. Radial profile of the momentum distribution for five different atom numbers at constant temperature \( T = 64.5 \pm 2.0 \) nK. The atom number \( N \) is given in unit of the critical number for the ideal gas Bose-Einstein condensation \( N_c \approx 3 \times 10^4 \) and the superfluid phase transition is expected for \( N/N_c \approx 1.18 \) (see text). In each plot, we present the experimental data (black dots), the mean-field profiles (blue dashed line) resulting from the fit in the wing (i.e. for \( k > 2 \mu m^{-1} \)) and the corresponding quantum Monte-Carlo profiles (green continuous line) with the same temperature and the measured atom number.
as follows. The density distribution in HMF theory, in the local density approximation, reads

\[
n(r) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{2\pi k dk}{e^{\beta(h^2 k^2/2m+2gn(r)-\mu(r))}-1},
\]

where \( \beta = 1/k_B T \), \( \mu(r) = \mu_0 - m\omega_x^2 x^2/2 - m\omega_y^2 y^2/2 \) is the local chemical potential with \( \mu_0 \) the chemical potential at the trap center. After integration, this leads to the following equation relating \( \mu \) and \( n \):\n
\[
\beta \mu(r) = 2 \beta g n(r) + \ln \left( 1 - e^{-\lambda_{\text{m}} n(r)} \right),
\]

where \( \lambda_{\text{m}} = \sqrt{2\pi\hbar^2/mk_B T} \) is the thermal de Broglie wavelength. Writing the momentum distribution:

\[
n(k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{dx dy}{e^{\beta(h^2 k^2/2m+2gn(r)-\mu(r))}-1}
\]

and making the change in variables from \( (x, y) \) to \( \mu \), we directly obtain \( n(k) \) after integration. By taking into account the thermally populated vertical levels but neglecting the interaction in these levels, which is justified since the densities in the excited levels are small, we finally calculate the function:

\[
n(k) = \frac{1}{2m\omega^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\mu_0} \frac{d\mu}{e^{\beta(h^2 k^2/2m+2g\mu)-\mu})}-1
\]

\[
+ \sum_{\nu>0} \frac{\prod_{\nu}}{2\pi^2 m\omega^2} \ln \left( 1 - e^{-\beta(h^2 k^2/2m+\nu\hbar\omega_z)} \right) + \mu_0)
\]

Where \( n(\mu) \) is determined numerically by solving Eq. (2), and where \( \omega^2 = \omega_x^2 + \omega_y^2 \). For given values of \( \mu_0 \) and \( T \), we can calculate \( n(k) \) and then use this function to fit to the experimental data.

More precisely, we fit the wings of the data where we expect beyond mean-field effects to play little role and we can extract temperature and chemical potential. This requires an accurate calibration of the atom detection efficiency, which is a rather difficult task. We have performed QMC simulations based on numerically exact path-integral algorithm to calculate the momentum profiles, so that we can adjust the calibration of the atom detector. Within the experimental error bars, it agrees with an independent calibration using the 3D condensation threshold. Moreover, by fitting a mean-field model to the wings of QMC simulations, we find the accurate temperature and thus validate our fitting method.

In Fig 2 we plot with each profile the fitted mean-field distribution (blue dashed line). We observe that the mean-field fit reproduces well the experimental data at low atom number, while it fails accounting for the central part of the profiles when the number of atoms increases. However, the QMC simulations for the fitted temperatures and the experimental atom numbers (green continuous lines in Fig. 2) are in agreement with the experiment in all regimes. For both the calculated mean-field and QMC profiles, we take into account the finite resolution of our imaging system.

In order to quantify the degeneracy of the gas, we calculate \( N/N_c \), where

\[
N_c = \sum_{\nu} (\beta \hbar \omega_z)^{-2} g_2(e^{-\nu\beta \hbar \omega_z})
\]

is the critical atom number for a non-interacting Bose gas for our trap parameters, and \( g_2(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n/n^2 \). From classical field calculations, the BKT transition is expected at a central density of the lowest vertical level \( \ln(380.3/\tilde{\rho})/\lambda_{\text{dB}}^2 \) with small quantum corrections of order \( \tilde{\rho}/\lambda_{\text{dB}}^2 \). Integrating the dominating classical field corrections to mean-field yields \( (N/N_c)_{\text{BKT}} \approx 1.18 \) as critical value of the particle number. Close to this value, the experimental profiles are already peaked at low momentum (Fig 2b-c).

In order to analyze our experimental findings further, we now consider two quantities: the half width at half maximum (HWHM) and the fraction of atoms in the central pixel. The advantage of those two quantities is that they are model-independent and quantify the degree of coherence of the gas. The HWHM gives a measure of the inverse of the coherence length whereas the fraction of atoms in the central pixel is related to the fraction of atoms which are coherent on a length scale larger than
\( \sim 5 \, \mu m \). In Fig. 3 we plot these two quantities as a function of \( N/N_c \). The HWHM is normalized to the one of a gaussian distribution: \( 2 \sqrt{\pi \ln(2)} / \lambda_{MB} = 4.0 \, \mu m^{-1} \). Already for \( N/N_c \approx 0.5 \), the normalized width of the momentum distribution starts to decrease from 1. In other word, the distribution is not a gaussian any more and tends to peak at low momentum. At the superfluid transition point (\( N/N_c \approx 1.18 \)), the HWHM has already decreased by a factor of \( \sim 5 \). After the transition, the HWHM saturates to a value corresponding to the imaging resolution.

The change of shape in the momentum distribution is also reflected in the fraction of atoms in the central pixel (Fig. 3b). This value increases smoothly but quite dramatically as the number of atoms increases. For low atom number, it corresponds to the decrease of the width and for high atom number, while the HWHM saturates, the fraction of atoms in the central pixel keeps growing, reflecting the increasing coherence of the gas with atom number. It is interesting to note that the superfluid transition does not appear as a sharp feature in our data on the coherence properties of the gas, unlike what is expected for the superfluid fraction [11, 24].

In addition to the experimental points, we also plot Monte-Carlo simulations and mean-field calculations for our experimental conditions. The Monte-Carlo simulations show a good agreement with our experimental findings. The mean-field results coincides with the Monte-Carlo simulations and mean-field calculations for direct observation of this feature.

An accurate signature however is the slow algebraic decay of the first order coherence function (as \( 1/r^\alpha \) with \( \alpha < 0.25 \) [2]). This decay can in principle be observed in the momentum distribution and indeed our QMC calculations show a change of slope (to negative) in the function \( k^{2-\alpha} n(k) \) at low momentum, \( k < \lambda_{MB} \) for \( N \gtrsim 1.2N_c \), together with the onset of superfluidity. Unfortunately, our experimental resolution is not sufficient for direct observation of this feature.

In conclusion, we have studied the momentum distribution of a trapped interacting 2D Bose gas. Our finding are in qualitative agreement with previously published results [11, 13, 19] but we analyze our profiles in more details and compare them to mean-field and Monte-Carlo calculations. In particular, we show that the momentum distribution narrows progressively and well before the BKT phase transition. Our detailed characterization of the 2D trapped Bose gas momentum distribution will be a useful tool for further studies of the remarkable properties of 2D gases of ultracold atoms.
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