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Abstract: Fluorescence, FTIR and UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy were used to explore the binding between chicoric acid and Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA). Binding characteristics at various levels of temperature have been calculated. The results indicated that chicoric acid statically quenched the intrinsic fluorescence of BSA. The binding constants ($K_a$) were $4.14 \times 10^{5}$ L mol$^{-1}$ at 273K and $4.29 \times 10^{6}$ L mol$^{-1}$ at 298 K. The numbers of binding sites between chicoric acid and BSA were both approximately equal to 1 at the two temperatures. Furthermore, the binding distance between chicoric acid and BSA was 2.69 nm which was calculated according to the Förster’s resonance energy transfer. Thermodynamic parameters suggested that BSA bind chicoric acid spontaneously mainly via hydrophobic interaction. Results demonstrated that the conformation and microenvironment of BSA were changed after binding with chicoric acid. Moreover, chicoric acid showed stronger binding with tryptophan (Trp) residue than with tyrosine (Tyr) residue. Our results can provide scientific basis for studying availability and distribution of chicoric acid.
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Introduction

Phenolic acids widely occur in plant leaves, roots and especially fruits, are aromatic acid compounds and secondary plant metabolites (Herrmann and Nagel, 1989). Hydroxybenzoic and hydroxycinnamic acids are two groups of phenolic acids that widely distribute in plants (Ghasemzadeh and Ghasemzadeh, 2011). Much attention has been paid to these natural phenolic acids because of their functional activities in intervening diabetes, inflammatory and cancer as well as anti-oxidative and anti-microbial properties (Chao et al., 2009; Cueva et al., 2010; Hsu et al., 2000; Maurya et al., 2010; Nayaka et al., 2010). Moreover, previous reports revealed that the binding between some phenolic acids and biomolecules such as DNA and proteins played a certain role in their biological properties (Labieniec and Gabryelak, 2005).

Chicoric acid (Fig. 1) is a member of phenolic acids and found in many edible plants such as Echinacea purpurea, dandelion, basil, iceberg lettuce, chicory, cat’s Whisker (Baur et al., 2004; Innocenti et al., 2005; Lee and Scagel, 2009; Liu et al., 2006; Olah et al., 2003; Schütz et al., 2005). Many literatures have reported that chicoric acid possesses anti-oxidative, antivirus and anti-diabetic activities (Dalby-Brown et al., 2005; Robinson et al., 1996; Tousch et al., 2008). Moreover, previous study also revealed that chicoric acid possessed a stimulatory effect on phagocytes (Bone, 1997). Recently, the binding study of chicoric acid with HIV-1 integrase has been carried out (Healy et al., 2009).

Binding studies of small molecules to proteins are very important in their disposition and efficacy because protein binding can influence the effective solubility, distribution and biological half-life of small molecules in vivo as well as interaction between small molecules and other endogenous or exogenous compounds. Therefore, it is of great necessity for explaining the pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics of small molecules to investigate the binding between them and proteins (Cui et al., 2008; Qin et al., 2007). Serum albumins, lipoproteins and al-glycoprotein are proteins commonly participated in protein binding (Abdi et al., 2012). Among them, the most abundant blood proteins are serum albumins which play an important role in balancing the oncotic pressure and pH of blood (Carter and Ho, 1994).
Fig. 1. Molecule structure of chivoric acid

The most prominent characteristic of serum albumins is that they can act as the depot proteins and transporters for numerous endogenous and exogenous small molecules (Huang et al., 2004). BSA was used frequently in previous studies because of its advantages such as highly stability, cheap and homology with Human Serum Albumin (HSA) in structure (Carter et al., 1994; Naik et al., 2010). BSA contains two tryptophan (Trp) residues including Trp-134 and Trp-212 which possess intrinsic fluorescence. In the first domain Trp-134 is located on the surface of the molecule and in the second domain Trp-212 is located within a hydrophobic binding pocket of the protein (He and Carter, 1992; Hamdanim et al., 2009). The conformation of BSA would be changed upon interacting with small molecules.

UV-Vis absorption and fluorescence spectroscopy were used to investigate the interactions between serum albumins and small molecules for their outstanding sensitivity, selectivity, reproducibility and theoretical foundation (Zhang et al., 2012). FTIR spectroscopy is reliable method to illustrate the conformational changes of proteins after binding with small molecules (Darwish et al., 2010). Recently, several researches on the binding between phenolic acid and serum albumins interaction has been found so far. Therefore, this research was carried out to explore the interaction between chivoric acid and BSA under simulated physiological conditions using fluorescence, FTIR and UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy. The mechanism of interaction between chivoric acid and BSA including quenching mechanism, binding parameters, binding distance, thermodynamic parameters and conformational change were explored.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals and Reagents

Chicoric Acid and BSA was obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). All other reagents used in this study were of analytical purity. Water used throughout the experiments was ultrapure.

Instrumentations

Hitachi F-4500s pectrofluorimeter (Tokyo, Japan) with a 1.0 cm quartz cell and a 150 W xenon lamp was employed in this study to record fluorescence spectra. Excitation wavelength was set at 285 nm. The widths of excitation slit and emission slit were both 10 nm. The UV-Vis absorption spectra were measured by Shimadzu UV-2550 s spectrophotometer (Kyoto, Japan) in the wavelength range 250-350 nm. FTIR spectra were measured using Thermo-Nicolet Avatar330 FTIR spectrometer (Rochester, NY, USA) using KBr pellets. The weight of samples was measured by Sartorius BP211D analytical balance with a precision of 0.1 mg (Göttingen, Germany). PHS-3Cdigital pHmeter (Shanghai, China) was used to detect pH values.

Preparation of Stock Solutions

To keep the ionic strength of solution NaCl (0.10 M) was used in Tris-HCl buffer (0.10M, pH 7.4). All BSA solutions were prepared in Tris-HCl buffer solution and kept at 0–4°C before used. The stock solution of chicoric acid was prepared in methanol.

Fluorescence Studies

Equal volumes of chicoric acid solutions with various concentrations were added to protein solutions, respectively. All solutions were mixed thoroughly. The final concentrations of chicoric acid were 0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 µM. Then the mixtures of chicoric acid and BSA were equilibrated at 273 or 298 K for 20 min. The fluorescence emissions spectra were recorded in the range of 300-450 nm and the binding constants of chicoric acid-BSA systems were calculated in the base of fluorescence data. The synchronous fluorescence spectra of BSA with or without chicoric acid were recorded with the excitation and emission wavelength intervals (Δλ) at 15 and 60 nm, respectively. All the experiments were carried out in triplicate and the measurement error was less than 1%.

Absorption Studies

The UV-Vis spectra were collected by Shimadzu UV-2550 spectrophotometer in the region of 200-450 nm at 298K. The final concentrations of chicoric acid were 0, 2, 3, 4 and 5µM, respectively. While that of BSA was 1 µM.

FTIR Spectroscopic Measurements

The FTIR spectra of Tris-HCl buffer, BSA in the absence and presence of chicoric acid were collected in the spectral region 1000-2000 cm⁻¹, respectively. Then the FTIR spectra of the sample solution were obtained by subtracting that of Tris-HCl buffer which taken as blank.
Results and Discussion

Binding Characteristics

Molecular interaction is one of the causes to decrease the fluorescence intensity of a fluorophore (Vijayabharath et al., 2012). Therefore, the interactions between small molecules and proteins were revealed through detecting fluorescence quenching. In this study, the fluorescence spectra of BSA (λem = 285 nm) mixed with chicoric acid were obtained at 273 and 298 K. Figure 2 shown that chicoric acid decreased the fluorescence intensity of BSA and there was a positive correction between concentrations of chicoric acid and fluorescence intensity of BSA. These results indicated that the interaction between chicoric acid and BSA occurred and the non-fluorescent complex chicoric acid-BSA formed. Moreover, the emission maximum (λem) of BSA slightly red-shifted in the present of chicoric acid, indicating that Trp chromophore in BSA was located in a more hydrophilic environment because of the interaction of chicoric acid with BSA. This result was further confirmed by synchronous fluorescence spectra described below.

Dynamic and static quenching are two main mechanisms of fluorescence quenching and different in dependence on temperature and viscosity. Stern-Volmer equation (Lakowicz and Weber, 1973) (Equation 1) was usually used to analyze the quenching mechanism in the previous studies:

\[
\frac{F_0}{F} = 1 + K_v [Q] = 1 + K_v \tau_0 [Q]
\]

Where:
- \(F_0\) and \(F\) = The fluorescence emission intensities with and without quencher, respectively
- \(K_v\) = The Stern-Volmer quenching constant
- \(K_q\) = The quenching rate constant
- \([Q]\) = The concentration of quencher
- \(\tau_0\) = The average lifetime of the molecules without quencher and its value is about \(10^{-8}\) s

Figure 3 showed the Stern-Volmer plots for BSA fluorescence quenched by chicoric acid. Satisfactory linearity of the Stern-Volmer equations was obtained in the investigated concentrations of chicoric acid. Table 1 listed the values of \(K_v\) and \(K_q\). The results suggested that with temperatures rising the values of \(K_v\) decreased, indicating that static quenching was the probable mechanism of fluorescence quenching between chicoric acid and BSA. Moreover, the quenching rate constants \((K_q)\) of BSA were determined to be \(9.835 \times 10^{12}\) and \(7.454 \times 10^{12}\) L mol\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\), respectively, which were far greater than the maximum diffusion collision quenching rate constant \((2.0 \times 10^{10}\) mol\(^{-1}\) L s\(^{-1}\)), further demonstrating that the dominant mechanism was static quenching in the fluorescence quenching process of BSA by chicoric acid.

Binding Constants and Binding Sites

The double-logarithm equation (Bandyopadhyay et al., 2012) (Equation 2) was used to calculate the binding constant \((K_a)\) and the number of binding sites \((n)\) in static quenching interaction:

\[
\log \left( \frac{F_0 - F}{F} \right) = \log K_a + n \log [Q]
\]

Figure 4 demonstrated plots of \(\log(F_0 - F)/F\) versus \(\log([Q])\) for chicoric acid-BSA. The values of \(K_a\) and \(n\) can be obtained from the intercept and the slope, respectively. The calculated \(K_a\) and \(n\) at different levels of temperature were summarized in Table 2. The values of \(n\) at 273 and 298 K were both equal to 1, suggesting single class of binding site in BSA for chicoric acid.

Thermodynamic Parameters and Binding Force

Generally, the non-covalent interaction of small molecules and proteins cover hydrogen-bonding forces, van der Waals forces, hydrophobic interactions and electrostatic interactions. The major evidences for determining the binding mode of small molecule-protein are thermodynamic parameters such as free energy change (\(\Delta G\)), enthalpy change (\(\Delta H\)) and entropy change (\(\Delta S\)). The parameters above can be estimated from Equation 3 and 4:

\[
\ln \frac{K_{a1}}{K_{a2}} = \frac{1}{R} \left[ \frac{1}{T_1} - \frac{1}{T_2} \right] \Delta H
\]

\[
\Delta G = \Delta H - T \Delta S = -RT \ln K_a
\]

Where:
- \(K_{a1}\) and \(K_{a2}\) = Binding constants at temperature \(T_1\) and \(T_2\), respectively
- \(R\) = The gas constant

The interaction researches were implemented at 273 and 298 K. Table 3 listed the thermodynamic parameters for the binding of chicoric acid and BSA. The value of \(\Delta G\) was negative indicating the binding process of chicoric acid and BSA was spontaneous. The values of \(\Delta H\) and \(\Delta S\) were positive implied that the interaction between chicoric acid and BSA was mainly an endothermic and entropy-driven reaction. Meanwhile, the main force between chicoric acid and BSA was hydrophobic force (Zhang et al., 2012).

Binding Distance

The spectral researches revealed that a complex was formed between chicoric acid and BSA. Additionally, Fig. 5 showed the fluorescence emission spectrum of BSA and the absorption spectrum of chicoric acid.
Fig. 2. The fluorescence spectra of BSA quenched by chicoric acid at 273 K (A) and 298 K (B). λ<sub>ex</sub> = 285 nm; BSA, 1 µM; chicoric acid (a-j): 0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 µM, respectively

Fig. 3. Stern-Volmer plots for fluorescence quenching of chicoric acid-BSA at 273K (■) and 298K (●)

An overlap of the two spectra could be found. Therefore, the binding distance of chicoric acid and BSA can be obtained upon fluorescence resonance energy transfer. Equation 5 (Pang et al., 2012) could be used to determine the efficiency of energy transfer between the donor and acceptor (E):

\[
E = 1 - \frac{F}{F_0} = \frac{R_0^6}{R_0^6 + r^6}
\]

(5)

Where:

\( F \) and \( F_0 \) = The fluorescence intensities of BSA with and without chicoric acid, respectively

\( r \) = The binding distance of BSA and chicoric acid 50% of the excitation energy is shifted to the acceptor at the critical distance (\( R_0 \)) which can be determined by the Equation 6 (Pang et al., 2012):

\[
R_0^6 = 8.8 \times 10^{-25} K^2 n^{-4} \phi J
\]

(6)

Where:

\( K \) = The spatial orientation factor of the dipole
\( n \) = The refractive index of the medium
\( \phi \) = fluorescence quantum yield of the donor
Fig. 4. Double log plots of BSA with chicoric acid at 273K (■) and 298K (●)

Fig. 5. The overlap of the fluorescence spectrum of BSA and the absorption spectrum of chicoric acid. BSA, 1 µM; chicoric acid, 10 µM; T = 298K

Table 1. Stern-Volmer quenching constants for the interaction of chicoric acid with BSA

| Temperature (K) | $K_w$ (×10^4 L mol^{-1}) | $K_q$ (×10^{-12} L mol^{-1} s^{-1}) | r   |
|-----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----|
| 273K            | 9.835                    | 9.835                               | 0.9749 |
| 298K            | 7.454                    | 7.454                               | 0.9589 |

Table 2. Binding constants and binding sites for the interaction of chicoric acid with BSA

| Temperature (K) | $K_e$ (L mol^{-1}) | n   | r   |
|-----------------|-------------------|-----|-----|
| 273K            | 9.835             | 9.835 | 0.9749 |
| 298K            | 7.454             | 7.454 | 0.9589 |

Table 3. Thermodynamic parameters for the binding of chicoric acid and BSA

| Temperature (K) | $\Delta G$ (kJ·mol^{-1}) | $\Delta S$ (J·mol^{-1}·K^{-1}) | $\Delta H$ (kJ·mol^{-1}) |
|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 273K            | -12.75                    | 147.33                          | 27.47                    |
| 298K            | -16.43                    |                                  |                          |

The values of $K^2$, φ and n have been reported for BSA are 2/3, 0.14 and 1.36, respectively (Zhuang et al., 2012). $J$, the overlap integral of the fluorescence emission spectrum of donor and absorption spectrum of the acceptor, can be obtained using Equation 7 (Pang et al., 2012):
Where:

\[ F(\lambda) = \text{The fluorescence intensity of fluorescent donor at wavelength } \lambda \]

\[ \varepsilon(\lambda) = \text{The molar absorption coefficient of the acceptor at wavelength } \lambda \]

Basing on Equation 5, 6 and 7, it could be obtained that

\[ J = 1.07 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ L} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \]

\[ R_0 = 2.52 \text{ nm, } E = 0.40, \text{ and } r = 2.69 \text{ nm.} \]

The value of \( r \) is less than 8 nm, suggesting the shift of non-radiative energy between BSA and chicoric acid. Moreover, the value of \( r \) was larger than that of \( R_0 \) also suggested that the quenching mechanism of chicoric acid to BSA was static (Zhuang et al., 2012). Base on the above, the static quenching combined with non-radiative energy transfer was the quenching mechanism for chicoric acid to BSA.

**Conformation Investigation**

**Synchronous Fluorescence Spectra**

Synchronous fluorescence spectroscopy can be used to investigate the changes in structure and conformation of proteins. The shift in maximum emission wavelength corresponds to changes in polarity around the chromophore molecules (Jayabharathi et al., 2012). Synchronous fluorescence spectra offer information about the characteristics of Tyr residue and Trp residue when \( \Delta \lambda \) between excitation wavelength and emission wavelength is fixed at 15 and 60 nm, respectively (Shi et al., 2012). Figure 6 showed that with the increasing concentration of chicoric acid the fluorescence intensities at \( \Delta \lambda = 15 \) and \( \Delta \lambda = 60 \) nm were both decreased gradually. The emission maximum of Tyr residue kept unchanged at 288 nm upon addition of chicoric acid, suggesting that chicoric acid had no obvious change on the microenvironment of the Tyr residue. Whereas, it was observed that the emission maximum of Trp residue had a weak red shift by about 1 nm from 283 nm to 284 nm, indicating that Trp residue was close to chicoric acid, the hydrophobicity around the Trp residue decreased, however, the polarity increased (Zhuang et al., 2012). Additionally, we calculated fluorescence quenching ratios \( R_{SFQ} \) basing on the equation \( R_{SFQ} = 1 - F/F_0 \). In this equation, \( F \) and \( F_0 \) are the synchronous fluorescence intensities of BSA with and without chicoric acid, respectively (Meng et al., 2012). As shown in Fig. 7, the \( R_{SFQ} \) for \( \Delta \lambda = 15 \) nm were smaller than the \( R_{SFQ} \) for \( \Delta \lambda = 60 \) nm, suggesting that chicoric acid was more accessible to Trp residue than to Tyr residue (Wang et al., 2012).

**FTIR Measurements**

Different amide bands in infrared spectra of proteins indicate different vibrations of the peptide moiety. Two amide bands related with the secondary structure of protein were the protein amide I band at 1600-1700 cm\(^{-1}\) (mainly C=O stretching) and II band at 1500-1600 cm\(^{-1}\) (C–N stretching coupled with N–H bending mode). In this study, the binding between chicoric acid and BSA was demonstrated using infrared spectroscopy to obtain more information on mechanism of this interaction and conformational changes of BSA. Figure 8 showed the FTIR spectra of BSA and chicoric acid-BSA complex. The peak position of amide I and II bands shifted from 1654 to 1649 and 1542 to 1552 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. The changes of these peak positions indicated that in the protein structural subunits C=O and C–N groups were bound with chicoric acid and then rearranged the polypeptide carbonyl hydrogen bonding pattern, finally changed the secondary structure of BSA (Tantipolphan et al., 2007).
Fig. 7. Ratios of Synchronous Fluorescence Quenching (RSFQ) of BSA in the presence chicoric acid. BSA, 1 µM; chicoric acid: 0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 µM, respectively; T = 298 K. Δλ = 15 nm (■), Δλ = 60 nm (●)

Fig. 8. FTIR spectrum of BSA and difference spectrum of BSA-chicoric acid complex. The FTIR spectrum of BSA was obtained by subtracting the spectrum of buffer solution from the spectrum of the protein solution; the FTIR difference spectrum of BSA-chicoric acid complex was obtained by subtracting the spectrum of chicoric acid-free form from that of chicoric acid-BSA complex form; BSA, 1 µM; chicoric acid, 10 µM; T = 298 K.

**UV-Vis Absorption Studies**

For reconfirming the conformational change of BSA by the addition of chicoric acid, UV-Vis absorption spectra of BSA with varying concentrations of chicoric acid were obtained. In the present of chicoric acid, the absorption peak intensity of BSA increased as well as the peak red shifted from 278 to 286 nm (Fig. 9). It was reported that dynamic quenching did not change the absorption spectrum, but the formation of non-fluorescence ground-state complex can change it (Du et al., 2012). Thus, these results indicated that the interaction between chicoric acid and BSA caused the formation of ground state complex and reconfirmed the static quenching mechanism of this interaction (Liu et al., 2010).
Conclusion

In this study, the interaction of chicoric acid and BSA was studied using spectroscopic analysis. The results demonstrated that static quenching process was probable the quenching mechanism of BSA by chicoric acid. It was calculated that one binding site in BSA was accessible to chicoric acid. Thermodynamic parameters revealed that the binding reaction was spontaneous and hydrophobic force played a major role during the interaction. The distance between chicoric acid and BSA was less than 8 nm based on the Förster’s resonance energy transfer. Additionally, BSA undergone conformational and microenvironment changes upon binding to chicoric acid and the binding site is mainly at Trp residue.
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