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The aim of this study was to evaluate and compare the antimicrobial activity and cytocompatibility of six different pulp-capping materials: Dycal (Dentsply), Calcicur (Voco), Calcimol LC (Voco), TheraCal LC (Bisco), MTA Angelus (Angelus), and Biodentine (Septodont). To evaluate antimicrobial activity, materials were challenged in vitro with Streptococcus mutans, Streptococcus salivarius, and Streptococcus sanguis in the agar disc diffusion test. Cytocompatibility of the assayed materials towards rat MDPC-23 cells was evaluated at different times by both MTT and apoptosis assays. Results significantly differed among the different materials tested. Both bacterial growth inhibition halos and cytocompatibility performances were significantly different among materials with different composition. MTA-based products showed lower cytotoxicity and valuable antibacterial activity, different from calcium hydroxide-based materials, which exhibited not only higher antibacterial activity but also higher cytotoxicity.

1. Introduction

Direct pulp-capping is a procedure for covering the exposed surface of the pulp to maintain its vitality and preserve its functional and biologic activities. The ultimate goal of capping material has been widely recognized as inducing pulp cells to form hard tissue [1].

Several materials such as calcium hydroxide-based materials and, more recently, mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) are commonly recommended to seal communications between the exposed pulp and the oral cavity [2–6].

Calcium hydroxide-based materials are the most popular agents for direct and indirect pulp-capping, given their ability to release hydroxyl (OH) and calcium (Ca) ions upon dissolution [7–9]. It is assumed that they lead to initial change that causes undifferentiated cells within the pulp to differentiate into odontoblasts, which then form a hard tissue barrier at the pulp exposure site [10, 11]. The formation of reparative dentine in response to calcium hydroxide may not be due to the bioinductive capacity of the material, but it is due to the result of a defense mechanism by the pulp induced by the irritant nature of calcium hydroxide [12–15].

Dycal (Dentsply, Milford, DE, USA) is a self-setting (2.5-3.5 min) radiopaque calcium hydroxide-based material employed in direct and indirect pulp-capping procedures. Its alkaline pH (pH 9–11) stimulates the formation of secondary dentine when the material is in direct contact with the pulp. Its toxicity to pulp cells is well documented [16].
Mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) cement has a composition similar to that of Portland cement (PC). Both are composed of calcium phosphate, calcium, and silicon oxide. MTA, in addition, contains bismuth oxide, which provides radiopacity. MTA is a powder that contains trioxides and hydrophilic particles, which set in the presence of moisture [17].

MTA was first introduced as a water-based grey-colored root-end filling and perforation repair material [18]. It has high pH but low compressive strength; depending on its powder/liquid ratio, MTA possesses some antibacterial properties [19]. MTA seals well and is a biocompatible cement; hydroxyapatite crystals form over MTA in contact with tissue fluid [17, 20]. However, it has some known drawbacks, such as a long setting time, high price, and potential discoloration [21]. Moreover, MTA cements exhibit calcified tissue-conductive activity and facilitate the differentiation of human orofacial mesenchymal stem cells [22] and the mineralization process in human dental pulp cells. They also have the potential to be used as pulp-capping materials.

MTA-Angelus (Angelus, Londrina, PR, Brazil) is bioactive, biocompatible, and self-setting hydrophilic calcium silicate cement [17, 19, 22] now successfully used for direct pulp-capping [21, 23]. It contains type III Portland cement, bismuth oxide, tricalcium silicate, dicalcium silicate, and tricalcium aluminate tetracalcium aluminoferite. MTA is more effective and better than calcium hydroxide materials, as it has an enhanced interaction with dental pulp tissue [24] with limited pulp tissue necrosis (less caustic effect) shortly after its application and less pulp inflammation [25]. MTA facilitated the proliferation/differentiation of human dental pulp cells [24] and exhibited calcified tissue-conductive activity with the ability to stimulate faster complete dentine bridge formation and new hard tissue formation, thus revealing a material endowed with the potential for integration into the peri-implant tissues and preventing infection [25–28].

TheraCal (Bisco Inc., Schaumburg, IL, USA) is a new light-cured, resin-modified, calcium ion releasing base/liner material designed with direct and indirect pulp-capping. It contains polymethylacrylate monomers, Portland cement type III, polyethylene glycol dimethacrylate, and barium zirconate. TheraCal is well tolerated by immortalized odontoblast cells [29].

Calcicur (Voco GmbH, Cuxhaven, Germany) is a ready-to-use radiopaque water-based calcium hydroxide paste. It contains 45% calcium hydroxide and exhibits a high alkalizing pH correlating positively with the Ca(OH)₂ mass fraction contained in it.

Calcimol LC (Voco GmbH, Cuxhaven, Germany) is a light-cured, resin-modified calcium ion releasing base liner and pulp-capping material. It contains urethane dimethacrylate resin, calcium dihydroxide, dimethylenoethyl-methacrylate, and triethylene glycol dimethacrylate (TEGDMA).

Biodentine (Septodont, Saint-Maur-des-Fosses, France) is a bicomponent material. The powder contains tricalcium silicate, calcium carbonate, and zirconium oxide; the liquid contains water, calcium chloride (accelerator), and modified polycarboxylate. Biodentine is an interesting alternative to conventional calcium hydroxide-based materials. It offers advantages for direct pulp-capping and, in properly selected cases, may contribute to the long-term maintenance of tooth vitality [30].

Among the properties that an endodontic sealer or a material for pulp-capping should have, the antibacterial activity can influence the success of the treatment. Furthermore, the materials that possess both optimum flow ability and antibacterial properties might theoretically eliminate residual microorganisms located around exposed pulp without damaging pulp tissue.

One of the objectives of operative dentistry is to maintain the pulp health in compromised teeth, thus reducing the need for root canal treatment and the potential for unwanted sequelae such as tooth loss. As reported above, methods used for this purpose are direct pulp-capping and pulpotomy, which consist of placement of biocompatible materials and bioinducers on the exposed pulp tissue to preserve its health and stimulate repair by mineralized tissue formation [2, 31]. A fundamental feature for these materials is biocompatibility, which includes antibacterial and healing induction properties, cytocompatibility, and sealing capabilities. As these materials will be in direct contact with pulp tissue for long periods, the biocompatibility is of a particular importance. A biocompatible material should not only promote tissue repair, but should also aid or stimulate the reorganization of injured structures [32, 33]. For the determination of biocompatibility of dental materials, a large number of methods have been recommended, with the analysis of cellular reactions in vitro being generally considered the initial approach [34].

One of the aims of this study was to evaluate and compare, by the agar disc diffusion test, the antimicrobial activity of different pulp-capping materials: Dycal (Dentsply), Calcicur (Voco), Calcimol LC (Voco), TheraCal LC (Bisco), MTA-Angelus (Angelus), and Biodentine (Septodont). In addition, the cytotoxicity of these pulp-capping cements on rat odontoblast-like MDPC-23 cells was assessed by both MTT and apoptosis assays.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials. Six pulp-capping materials were selected for this study: Dycal (Dentsply), Calcicur (Voco), Calcimol LC (Voco), TheraCal LC (Bisco), MTA-Angelus (Angelus), and Biodentine (Septodont). Hydrogen peroxide was employed as a control. Table I shows chemical composition of the materials tested; they were prepared in strict compliance with the manufacturers’ instructions.

2.2. Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions. The streptococcal strains used in this study were from the Culture Collection of University of Goteborg (CCUG): Streptococcus mutans (CCUG 35176), Streptococcus salivarius (CCUG 11878), and Streptococcus sanguis (CCUG 17826). The cultures were grown and maintained in a Brain Heart Infusion (BHI, Difco, Detroit, MI, USA). S. mutans culture medium was supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated horse blood.
Table I: Characteristics of tested materials.

| Material      | Components                                                                 | pH  | Manufacturer                  |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|--------------------------------|
| Dycal         | Two-pastesystem made of a base paste (1,3-butylene glycol disalicylate, zinc oxide, calcium phosphate, calcium tungstate, and iron oxide pigments) and a catalyst paste (calcium hydroxide, N-ethyl-o-p-toluene sulphonamide, zinc oxide, titanium oxide, zinc stearate, and iron oxide pigments) | 9–11| Dentsply Tulsa Dental, Johnson City, TN, USA |
| Calcicur      | Water-based calcium dihydroxide paste                                         | 12.5| Voco GmbH, Cuxhaven, Germany   |
| Calcimol LC   | Light-curing radiopaque one-component material containing urethane dimethacrylate resin, calcium dihydroxide, dimethylaminoethyl-methacrylate, and TEGDMA | 10–12| Voco GmbH, Cuxhaven, Germany   |
| TheraCal LC   | Light-curing, resin-modified calcium silicate filled liner single paste containing CaO, calcium silicate particles (type III Portland cement), Sr glass, fumed silica, barium sulphate, barium zirconate, and resin containing Bis-GMA and PEGDMA | 10-11| Bisco Inc., Schamburg, IL, USA  |
| MTA-Angelus   | Powder containing type III Portland cement, bismuth oxide, tricalcium silicate, dicalcium silicate, and tricalcium aluminate tetracalcium aluminoferrite | 12  | Angelus, Londrina, PR, Brazil  |
| Biodentine    | Powder containing tricalcium silicate, calcium carbonate, and zirconium oxide. Liquid containing water, calcium chloride (accelerator), and modified polycarboxylate | 12  | Septodont, Saint-Maur-des-Fosses, France |

All the assays were conducted in triplicate and the results were recorded in terms of the average diameter of the inhibition zone.

2.4. Cytotoxicity Assay

2.4.1. Odontoblast Cell Line Culture Condition. The rat odontoblast-like cell line (MDPC-23) was kindly provided by Dr. Jacques Eduardo Nó(Dept. Cariology, Restorative Sciences, Endodontics, University of Michigan School of Dentistry). MDPC-23 cells were cultured in DMEM medium (Biowhittaker, Rome, Italy) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2% glutamine, 2% sodium pyruvate, 1% amphotericin, and 1% (w/v) streptomycin/penicillin at 37°C in 5% CO₂ atmosphere [35]. The cells were routinely detached using a trypsin-EDTA solution for 2 min at 37°C and resuspended in DMEM medium.

For the cytotoxicity tests, MDPC-23 cells were deposited in the lower chamber of the 24-well culture plate and left for 4 h at 37°C before any experiment.

2.5. Cytotoxicity Tests. We performed the cytotoxicity tests with the Transwell insert (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) methodology and the immortalized rat odontoblast cell line MDPC-23. Cytotoxicity of the six pulp-capping materials was assessed with MDPC-23 cells grown in the lower chamber of a 24 mm diameter Transwell plate with a 0.3 mm pore size polycarbonate membrane (Sigma-Aldrich) [36].

Each pulp-capping material was mixed (Dycal (Dentsply), Calcicur (Voco), MTA Angelus (Angelus), and Biodentine (Septodont)) following the manufacturer’s
instructions onto paper disks or cured (Calcimol LC (Voco), Theracal LC (Bisco)) by a halogen lamp (Elipar Trilight, 3M-ESPE) for 20 s at 800 mW/cm², and all were placed in the Transwell membrane of the inner chamber.

The Transwell membrane of the inner chamber containing the pulp-capping materials was then placed into the lower chamber of the 24-well culture plate containing at the bottom $5 \times 10^4$ cells/well and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO$_2$ atmosphere for 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h, respectively. Some wells were incubated with only tissue culture media (negative control), whereas others were incubated with a 10% dilution of 30% H$_2$O$_2$ (positive control). At the end of each incubation time the cell viability was performed with MTT test. The results were presented as percentage of cell viability with respect to cells incubated in absence of pulp-capping materials set at 100%. The MDPC-23 treated with H$_2$O$_2$ did not show cell viability (data not shown). Five replicates for each pulp-capping material were used for each experiment performed in duplicate.

2.6. 3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazole-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl Tetrazolium Bromide (MTT) Test. To evaluate the mitochondrial activity of MDPC-23 cells, a test with 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazole-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was performed after 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h as previously reported [37]. Aliquots of 200 µL were sampled, and the related absorbance values were measured at 570 nm by a microplate reader (BioRad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). A standard cell viability curve was used and the results were expressed as a percentage in relation to the untreated cells, respectively.

2.7. Apoptosis. An early event in apoptosis is the exposure of phosphatidylserine (PS) residues at the outer plasma membrane leaflet [38]. To determine the exposure of PS, cells were stained with an analog of Annexin V, PSVue480, according to the manufacturer’s instructions ( Molecular Targeting Technologies, West Chester, PA, USA). PSVue reagents are a family of fluorescent probes containing a bis(zinc$^{2+}$ dipicolylamine) group (Zn-DPA), a motif that has been found to bind with high affinity to surfaces enriched with anionic phospholipids, especially phosphatidylserine (PS) exposed on cell membranes. Briefly, MDPC-23 cells were seeded on glass coverslips at a density of $5 \times 10^4$ cells per well and incubated with H$_2$O$_2$ (positive control; 100 mM for 18 h), without pulp-capping materials (negative control), and with each one of the six pulp-capping materials for 48 h, respectively. At the end of each culture condition, cells were stained with PSVue480 solution prepared as follows: 2 mM solution of preweighed apo-PPS480 was prepared in DMSO until the solid apo-PPS480 was fully dissolved; an equal volume of 4.2 mM zinc nitrate solution was then added; the resulting solution was placed in a water bath at 40°C and shaken frequently for 30 min to ensure complete complexation. A clear orange or red solution of 1 mM stock in 1:1 DMSO/water resulted. The samples were stained with 10 µM PSVue480 by gently shaking for 2 h at room temperature and finally washed with N-tris(hydroxymethyl)methyl-2-aminoethane sulphonic acid buffer (TES). Then samples were counterstained with a propidium iodide solution (2 µg/mL) to target the cellular nuclei and then observed with a fluorescent microscope at 20x and 40x magnification.

2.8. Statistical Analysis. The diameter of the growth inhibition zones was analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA). Firstly, data were assessed to be normal by means of Kolmogorov and Smirnov test. The ANOVA and post hoc Tukey test were carried out. Significance was predetermined for $P < 0.001$. Descriptive statistics, including mean, standard deviation, minimum, median, and maximum, were calculated for each group tested.

The distribution of the numbers of vital cells for every pulp-capping material was assessed to be normal with the Kolmogorov and Smirnov test. The data were then analyzed by ANOVA. Post hoc Bonferroni test was applied to investigate the differences among the number of vital cells of the materials. Significance was predetermined for $P < 0.001$.

The analyses were conducted with Stata/SE 12.0 software (College Station, TX, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Antimicrobial Activity. The antimicrobial activity of the tested pulp-capping materials was evaluated with the agar disk diffusion test (Figure 1). As shown in Figure 1, the results were quite different among the three streptococcal strains and the pulp-capping materials. MTA-Angelus (Angelus), Theracal LC (Bisco), Dycal (Dentsply), and Calcicur (Voco) showed a decreasing antibacterial effect on S. mutans; only Dycal (Dentsply) was effective against S. salivarius; Calcicur (Voco), Dycal (Dentsply), and Calcimol LC (Voco), followed by Biodentine (Septodont), were effective on S. sanguis, Dycal (Dentsply) was the only pulp-capping material showing a discreet antibacterial effect against all the three streptococcal strains.

For the investigation of the antibacterial properties, the ANOVA showed the presence of significant differences among the various groups. Tukey test showed that when testing antibacterial activity with Streptococcus salivarius, the highest growth inhibition values ($P < 0.001$) were reported with Dycal (Dentsply). MTA-Angelus (Angelus) showed significantly lower values than Dycal (Dentsply) and significantly higher values than all other pulp-capping materials ($P < 0.001$). Calcimol LC (Voco) and Theracal LC (Bisco) showed no significant differences between them ($P > 0.05$) and all showed significantly lower values than Biodentine (Septodont) and Calcicur (Voco).

When testing antibacterial activity with Streptococcus sanguis, the highest growth inhibition values ($P < 0.001$) were reported with Dycal (Dentsply) and Calcicur (Voco). The lowest growth inhibition values ($P < 0.001$) were reported with MTA-Angelus (Angelus) and Theracal LC (Bisco). Biodentine (Septodont) and Calcimol LC (Voco) showed significantly lower values than Dycal (Dentsply) and Calcicur (Voco) and significantly higher values than all other adhesives tested ($P < 0.05$).
When testing antibacterial activity with *Streptococcus mutans*, the highest growth inhibition values were reported with MTA-Angelus (Angelus) ($P < 0.001$). Significantly lower values were reported with Theracal LC (Bisco) and Dycal (Dentsply) that showed significantly higher values than Biodentine (Septodont), Calcimol LC (Voco), and Calcicur (Voco) ($P < 0.05$).

### 3.2. Cytocompatibility Study with MDPC-23 Cells

The cytocompatibility investigations were performed with all materials at different times of culture with MDPC-23 cells (Figure 2). As shown in Figure 2, Biodentine (Septodont) and MTA-Angelus (Angelus) showed the highest percent of cell cytocompatibility if compared to the other pulp-capping materials. Biodentine (Septodont) did not show any difference in cell viability at the three incubation times, whereas MTA-Angelus (Angelus) shows a lower cytocompatibility at 72 h (70%); Calcicur (Voco) showed a discrete cell cytocompatibility (50–60%) whereas Calcimol LC (Voco) and Theracal LC (Bisco) show a very low cytocompatibility but only at longer incubation time (72 h). Dycal (Dentsply) showed the lowest cytocompatibility (10% cell viability) among the pulp-capping materials independently of the culture times.

At 24 h, the highest numbers of viable cells were obtained with Biodentine (Septodont) and MTA-Angelus (Angelus) ($P < 0.001$). Calcimol LC (Voco), Theracal LC (Bisco), and Calcicur (Voco) presented cytocompatibility values significantly lower than Biodentine (Septodont) and MTA-Angelus (Angelus). Dycal (Dentsply) showed the lowest cytocompatibility ($P < 0.001$).

After 48 h, MTA-Angelus (Angelus) and Biodentine (Septodont) showed no significant differences in cytocompatibility ($P < 0.001$). The lowest cytocompatibility was shown by Dycal (Dentsply) and Theracal LC (Bisco) ($P < 0.001$), while Calcicur (Voco) and Calcimol LC (Voco) presented a cytocompatibility better than Dycal (Dentsply) and Theracal LC (Bisco) ($P < 0.001$).

After 72 h, the highest cytocompatibility was obtained with Biodentine (Septodont) ($P < 0.001$). MTA-Angelus (Angelus) showed a little lower cytocompatibility ($P < 0.001$). The lowest cytocompatibility was obtained with Dycal (Dentsply), Calcimol LC (Voco), and Theracal LC (Bisco) ($P < 0.001$) while Calcicur (Voco) showed an intermediate cytocompatibility ($P < 0.001$).

In Figure 3, the CLSM images representative of MDPC-23 cells indirectly cultivated with the different pulp-capping materials for 24 h and stained with PVSVue480 reagent to evaluate cell apoptosis are reported. In absence of any type of materials, the cells were not green fluorescent (Figure 3(a)) and the nuclei turned stained with Hoechst, which usually stains live cells. H$_2$O$_2$ is very cytotoxic and the cells stained with PVSVue480 reagent are completely fluorescent in green (Figure 3(b)). The CLSM images obtained after incubation with different pulp-capping materials confirmed the MTT assay results: MTA-Angelus (Angelus) (Figure 3(c)) and Biodentine (Septodont) (Figure 3(d)) were not cytotoxic whereas Calcicur (Voco) (Figure 3(e)) showed some cells fluorescent in green; Calcimol LC (Voco) (Figure 3(f)) and Theracal (Bisco) (Figure 3(h)) were very slightly cytotoxic if compared to the negative control (Figure 3(a)); a few cells were observed
Figure 3: CLSM images of apoptosis assay. MDPC-23 cells were cultured in a 24-Transwell-tissue culture plate for 24 h at 37°C in the absence of any material (a) or in the presence of H$_2$O$_2$ (b), MTA Angelus (c), Biodentine (d), Calcicur (e), Calcimol LC (f), Dycal (g), and TheraCal LC (h). PSVue480 reagent was used for staining apoptotic cells. CLSM images were taken at 20x magnification.
in the presence of Dycal (Dentsply), indicating a high level of cell cytotoxicity (Figure 3(g)).

At 24 h, the highest numbers of viable cells were obtained with Biodentine (Septodont) and MTA-Angelus (Angelus) ($P < 0.001$). Calcinol LC (Voco), TheraCal LC (Bisco), and Calcicur (Voco) presented cytocompatibility values significantly lower than Biodentine (Septodont) and MTA-Angelus (Angelus). Dycal (Dentsply) showed the lowest cytocompatibility ($P < 0.001$).

After 48 h, MTA-Angelus (Angelus) and Biodentine (Septodont) showed no significant differences in cytocompatibility ($P < 0.001$). The lowest cytocompatibility was shown by Dycal (Dentsply) and TheraCal LC (Bisco) ($P < 0.001$), while Calcinur (Voco) and Calcinol LC (Voco) presented a cytocompatibility better than Dycal (Dentsply) and TheraCal LC (Bisco) ($P < 0.001$).

After 72 h, the highest cytocompatibility was obtained with Biodentine (Septodont) ($P < 0.001$). MTA-Angelus (Angelus) showed lower cytocompatibility ($P < 0.001$). The lowest cytocompatibility was obtained with Dycal (Dentsply), Calcinol LC (Voco), and TheraCal LC (Bisco) ($P < 0.001$), while Calcicur (Voco) showed an intermediate cytocompatibility ($P < 0.001$).

4. Discussion

Microorganisms are considered the primary etiological agents in endodontic disease [39]. The agar diffusion test has been widely used to evaluate the antibacterial activity of dental materials [39–41]. The advantage of the agar diffusion test is that it allows direct comparisons of materials against the tested microorganisms, while a great disadvantage of this method is that it does not distinguish between microbiostatic and microbicidal properties of the materials [42]. Several factors that are relevant to the diffusion capacity of materials in agar must be considered, such as the contact between the experimental material and agar, molecular weight, size and shape of the antimicrobial agent, load and concentration of the test material, agar gel viscosity, and ionic concentration in relation to the medium. Furthermore, the control and standardization of the inoculation density, evaluation of results, selection of agar medium, incubation temperature of plates, and reading point of inhibition haloes are restricting factors affecting the dynamics and variability of diffusion tests in an agar medium [43].

Nevertheless, if most of these variables are carefully controlled, consistent and reproducible results may be obtained. Because of the obvious limitations of in vitro studies, clinical inferences should be drawn with strict caution. In our study, we obtained in vitro results that underline the antibacterial effects mainly for calcium hydroxide-based materials, as Dycal (Dentsply) and Calcinur (Voco). Similar results were obtained for Calcinol LC (Voco) and TheraCal LC (Bisco), both of which are light-curing materials, although the sensibility of the halo of inhibition zone was different among the species of microorganisms. MTA-based materials such as MTA-Angelus (Angelus) and Biodentine (Septodont) showed a variable effect against the different streptococci.

In the first step of our study on the antimicrobial effects of different pulp-capping materials, we confirmed that calcium hydroxide has an antibacterial activity, as reported in previous studies [44]. The antibacterial activity of Ca(OH)$_2$ is related to the release of hydroxyl ions in an aqueous environment [45]. Hydroxyl ions are highly oxidant free radicals that show extreme reactivity with several biomolecules. This reactivity is high and indiscriminate, so this free radical rarely diffuses away from its site of generation [36]. However, this indiscriminate action also affects the mitochondrial activity of cultured cells when testing the cytocompatibility.

The antimicrobialeffects of MTA-based materials are not yet well known. MTA consists of 50% to 75% (by weight) of calcium oxide and 15% to 25% of silicon dioxide. These two components together comprise 70% to 95% of the cement. When these raw materials are blended, they produce tricalcium silicate, dicalcium silicate, tricalcium aluminate, and tetracalcium aluminoferrite. When water is added, the cement hydrates to form silicate hydrate gel. It has been shown that, on hydration, MTA produces calcium hydroxide. Thus, it can be concluded that both MTA and calcium hydroxide may have a similar mechanism of action against bacteria [46].

Many studies have evaluated the effect of MTA on microorganisms, but with conflicting results [47–49]. Ribeiro et al. [50] suggested that these variations might be the results of the methodology used, such as aerobic and anaerobic incubations. It has been shown that in an aerobic atmosphere MTA can generate reactive oxygen species which, as reported above, have an antimicrobial activity similar to that obtained with calcium hydroxide. However, under anaerobic conditions, a decrease in the generation of radicals was observed [51]. Ribeiro et al. [50] reported that, in an anaerobic atmosphere, MTA was incapable of generating the radicals responsible for the antimicrobial effect on the different bacterial strains. In addition, Torabinejad et al. found that MTA had no antibacterial effect against any of the strict anaerobic bacteria [38]. However, as shown by our results, it is possible that MTA highly alkaline pH of 12.5 affords its antimicrobial activity [52] even when it acts in anaerobic conditions.

Exposing pulp tissue can cause inflammation and necrosis of the pulp itself, forcing the clinician to use an endodontic treatment. Pulp-capping materials should act as a barrier protecting the vitality of the entire pulp tissue by covering the minimal exposed tissue and by preventing the need for further endodontic treatments. Consequently, the material used should provide an appropriate host response. This means that tissues that are in contact with the materials must not present any toxic, irritating, inflammatory, allergic, genotoxic, or carcinogenic action [53]. In this study, we chose to use the Transwell insert methodology, which is a nondirect contact test [36] for the biocompatibility study. The advantage of using a nondirect contact test for the evaluation of the dental material cytotoxicity is related to the fact that cells and materials are usually separated. Furthermore, various different in vitro barrier tests have been already developed [54–57].
Our results indicate some considerable negative effects after the application of each of the materials tested on the culture plate, with the exception of Biodentine (Septodont). As shown in Figure 2, the decrease in the number of cells in the culture plate is sizeable for calcium hydroxide-based materials. Nonetheless, calcium hydroxide solutions have been largely used because of their property of stimulating dentin formation. In clinical practice, the presence of hard tissue barrier after capping can be considered an asset, since it provides natural protection against the infiltration of bacteria and chemical products [58]. However, the importance of calcified hard tissue barrier formation after capping has been challenged by other studies, which have shown multiple tunnel defects and cell inclusions in bridges following pulp-capping with calcium hydroxide [59, 60]. This may lead to leakage and bacterial penetration into pulp tissue unlike the permanent seal produced by bonding agents. For both of these reasons, calcium hydroxide does not seem an eligible material to be used in the case of exposed pulp tissue [61].

In this study, the in vitro cytocompatibility analysis showed a better percentage of cell viability for MTA-based materials such as Biodentine (Septodont) and MTA-Angelus (Angelus), although an initial cytotoxic effect was recorded, which may be attributable to the high pH value of the composition of each material. During the 72 h of application of Biodentine (Septodont) on the culture plate, the modifications that occurred underlined the positive trend of the mitochondrial activity, although the differences between 24 h and 72 h were not statistically significant. The remnant calcium hydroxide-based materials showed a reduction in the percentage of cell viability after 72 h, suggesting a different rate of cytocompatibility over the long-term period. In accordance with Hwang et al. [62], the present study emphasized the fact that MTA-based pulp-capping materials do not present cytotoxicity when tested by MTT assay. Other researchers using a short-term in vivo assay [63], capable of generating well-founded clinical inferences, also confirm our in vitro results. In the last decade, many experimental and clinical studies have been carried out to develop and test new materials and new procedures endowed with safe biocompatibility and anti-infective properties [64–68].

5. Conclusions

MTA-based products show lower cytotoxicity and valuable antibacterial activity, unlike calcium hydroxide-based materials, which present not only higher antibacterial activity but also higher cytotoxicity. However, the conclusion that MTA-based pulp-capping material does not show cytotoxic effects in vitro should be taken with caution because the experimental design in vitro has some inevitable limitations with respect to the in vivo situation, where cellular responses and inflammatory and/or reparative reactions may differently influence the effects.
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