Understanding the effects of TCO work function on the performance of organic solar cells by numerical simulation
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Abstract
The influences of the work function of transparent conducting oxides (TCO) on the performance of organic solar cells, including open circuit voltage, conversion efficiency and fill factor, has been simulated. It is found that for non-ohmic contact the open circuit voltage and conversion efficiency increase monotonically with the TCO work function but remain constant for ohmic contact. The fill factor decreases and increases with the electrode work function when the electrode work function is below and above a critical value (4.2 eV for TCO and 4.5 eV for back-contact), respectively. The results of this simulation are significant in the choice of TCO contacts to optimize organic planar heterojunction solar cells.
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1. Introduction

Organic solar cells (OSCs), in contrast to silicon based solar cells, have gained much attention in the past two decades due to their many advantages such as light-weight, low cost and easy production [1–6]. Understanding the device physics and optimizing the device parameters are of utmost importance to enhance the performance of OSCs. The electrode work function is considered to be a critical factor in the performance of OSCs. Numerous investigations on the effects of the cathode work function on the performance of OSCs have been done [4, 7–9]. It was reported that the open-circuit voltage (V_{OC}) was independent to much of the cathode work functions [4], varying very little (<0.2 V) in the wide work function range of 2.87 eV (Ca) to 4.28 eV (Au) [8], but the cell resistance strongly depends on it [10]. As another key device parameter, the work function of transparent conducting oxides (TCO) also significantly impacts the performance of OSCs [11] because the TCO work function influences the band alignment between the TCO and organic active layer. Scientists modified the TCO layer to improve the power conversion efficiency of OSCs. For instance, Lee et al produced MoO_3 graded ITO anodes to enhance the power conversion efficiency by 3.6% [12]. Lei et al enhanced the work function of ITO anodes with CuS to achieve a high power conversion efficiency of 7.4% [13]. However, there are few systematical investigations that give insight into the effects of the TCO work function on the performance of OSCs, as far as we know. Understanding the physical mechanisms on the influence of the TCO work function on the performance of OSCs is important for performance enhancement.

In this present work, the relationship between the TCO work function and performance of OSCs, including conversion efficiency (\eta), open circuit voltage (V_{OC}), and fill factor (FF), is investigated in depth by numerical simulation which is a method for the understanding and design of solar cells [14, 15]. It is shown that both the V_{OC} and \eta increase monotonically with the TCO work function if the non-ohmic contact is formed, and keep constant once ohmic contact is achieved. The FF has a complex relationship with the TCO...
work function depending on the contribution of contact potential and the carrier concentration. The hole concentration, band diagram and quantum efficiency were calculated for further explanation on the results.

2. Simulation structure of organic solar cells and calculation methods

Figure 1 shows a simulation model of organic solar cells. The TCO window layers can be ZnO, ITO, SnO₂ or the layer including ITO and PEDOT:PSS. The active layer consists of the Poly (3-hexylthiophene) (P3HT) and [6, 6]-phenyl C61 butyric acid methylester (PCBM). Thickness of both P3HT and PCBM is set to 100 nm. The generation layer between P3HT and PCBM is simulated with a 1 nm P3HT:PCBM (1:1) blend. In order to study the effects of the TCO work function on the OSCs, the wxAMPS software [16] based on the AMPS-1D program, which is a general solar cell simulation program [17], was employed to simulate the organic cells. In AMPS-1D there is no consideration of the interface recombination, but in wxAMPS two tunneling models are incorporated to consider tunneling effects, namely, the trap-assisted tunneling [18] and the intra-band tunneling [19]. In the trap-assisted tunneling model, the recombination rate is written as [18]

\[ R_{trap} = N_T \frac{e_n e_p n_1 p_1 - e_n e_p}{c_n n_1 + c_p p_1 + e_n + e_p} \]  

(1)

where \( N_T \) is the trap density, \( n_1 \) and \( p_1 \) are the densities of the free electron and hole at the trap location, \( c_n \) and \( e_n \) are the electron capture and emission rates, \( c_p \) and \( e_p \) are the hole capture and emission rates. The capture rates \( c_{n,p} \) indicate the capture of an electron or hole at the trap location and the emission rates \( e_{n,p} \) give the probabilities per unit time for a captured carrier to escape from the trap. When an weak electric field is present, the carrier densities at a certain location are obtained by conventional density, but when the electric field is strong, the density of carriers at the trap location is increased resulting from the finite probability of carriers tunneling into the gap. In this simulation, the trap-assisted tunneling model which is necessary for the junction with high electric field [16, 18] is chosen to obtain more precise simulation results because high electric field at our case will be obtained when the TCO work function is changed from a small to a high value. The electron and hole mobility of P3HT:PCBM blend films, as well as the effective band gap \( E_g \), inputted into wxAMPS are obtained from the [20], as listed in table 1. TCO like In₂O₃, SnO₂, ZnO, and Cu₂O have different work functions due to the concentration of crystallographic defects like oxygen vacancies [21]. For example, ITO films deposited by RF sputtering have a varied work function from 3.3 eV and 5.7 eV depending on the conditions of the deposition process [22–24]. So, it is reasonable to set the TCO work function range of 3.8 to 5.2 eV in this simulation. The band alignment is schematically described in figure 1(a). The absorption of the active layer determines the electron and hole generation, it is therefore important to input the experimental absorption coefficient of P3HT:PCBM blend [25] into the wxAMPS. Some key parameters of P3HT, P3HT:PCBM and PCBM used for this simulation are listed in table 1. Because this work only focuses on the effects of TCO on the performance of organic solar cells, the reflectivity of the window layer and rear contact are assumed ideally as zero and one, respectively. Both the surface recombination velocities of electrons and holes were set as \( 1.0 \times 10^{7} \text{cm s}^{-1} \). The AM 1.5 spectrum normalized to 100 mW cm⁻² is used as this simulation illumination condition.

First, in order to explore the effects of the TCO (front-contact) work function \( W_{TCO} \) on the performance of organic solar cells, the difference between the work function of back-contact and PCBM is kept at a constant value of 0.625 eV so that there is no built-in potential between back-contact and PCBM at thermodynamic equilibrium [9]. The open circuit voltage of the organic solar cells is influenced by many factors including the temperature, light density and work function of the electrode [26]. With respect to our case, we only consider the effects of the work function of the electrodes on the open circuit voltage at temperature of 300 K and under one AM 1.5 spectrum.

3. Analyses and results

As can be seen in figure 2(a), the open circuit voltage increases linearly with the TCO work function when \( W_{TCO} < 4.725 \text{ eV} \). For a low TCO work function, the contact potential between TCO and P3HT becomes high, so the hole should cross a barrier to arrive at the TCO. When the barrier is too high (>0.25 eV), the non-ohmic contact between TCO and P3HT will be formed, resulting in non-zero interfacial electric field at the hole injecting metal/organic interface. Consequently, the open circuit voltage is mainly determined by the TCO work function and proportion to the TCO work function for non-ohmic contact, as shown in figure 2(a). The band diagram of this device at a different TCO work function (when \( W_{TCO} < 4.725 \text{ eV} \)) can illustrate this relationship.
clearly, as shown in figure 3(a). It is obvious to see that the bands bend upward as the $W_{TCO}$ increases. But when the TCO work function reaches a high value of 4.75 eV, the difference between the TCO work function and the HOMO level of P3HT (4.95 eV) is less than 0.2 eV. The hole, therefore, can transfer into the P3HT layer by thermal activation. Therefore, the contact between TCO and P3HT becomes ohmic contact. Under this condition, the Fermi energy level of TCO can be pinned to the HOMO level of the P3HT [7]. This can be demonstrated by the band diagram when $W_{TCO} > 4.625$ eV, as shown in figure 3(b). The band is independent to the TCO work function. Therefore, the open circuit voltage of this organic solar cell is governed by the difference between the HOMO level of donor and LUMO level of accept [8]. But the open circuit voltage is less than the difference between the HOMO level of donor (4.85 eV) and LUMO level of acceptor (3.7 eV) due to the carrier recombination and the disorder in organic solar cells, which can be described by the following equation,

$$qV_{OC} = \Delta E_{DA} - \frac{\sigma^2}{k_B T} - k_B T \ln \left( \frac{N_A N_D}{n \rho} \right)$$

where $\Delta E_{DA}$ is the difference between HOMO of the donor and LUMO of the acceptor, the second and third term are the contribution of disorder and carrier recombination, respectively. As shown in figure 2(a), the open circuit voltage varies by ~0.02 V in the TCO work function range of 4.7 to 5.2 eV and is approximately 1.02 V which is close to the experimental value (~0.9 V) of organic cells with the front electrodes of ITO [27]. It also can be seen in figure 2 that the conversion efficiency has the same characteristics as the open circuit voltage. The carrier concentration in our case is set to a small value of the order of $10^{11}$ cm$^{-3}$. That means that the serial resistance in the present simulated cells is large. The fill factor, hence, becomes small due to the large serial

Table 1. Some key parameters of P3HT, P3HT:PCBM and PCBM.

| Parameter                      | P3HT     | P3HT:PCBM | PCBM     |
|--------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Permittivity                   | 3.0      | 3.0       | 3.0      |
| Thickness (nm)                 | 100      | 1         | 100      |
| Effective Conduction Band Density (cm$^{-3}$) | $1 \times 10^{22}$ | $2.5 \times 10^{20}$ | $1 \times 10^{22}$ |
| Effective Valence Band Density (cm$^{-3}$) | $1 \times 10^{22}$ | $2.5 \times 10^{20}$ | $1 \times 10^{22}$ |
| $\mu_n$ (cm$^2$/Vs)            | $1 \times 10^{-4}$ | $8.78 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $\mu_p$ (cm$^2$/Vs)            | $1 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.47 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| Electron affinity (eV)         | 3.1      | 3.7       | 3.7      |
| Band gap (eV)                  | 1.85     | 1.1       | 2.1      |
| Bulk defect properties         | Donor-like gap states at $E_V = 0.93$ eV | Donor-like gap states at $E_V = 1.05$ eV |
|                                | $N_{TD} = 1 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-3}$ | $N_{TD} = 1 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-3}$ |
|                                | $n = 1 \times 10^{-8}$ cm$^{-2}$ | $n = 1 \times 10^{-8}$ cm$^{-2}$ |
|                                | $p = 1 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^{-2}$ | $p = 1 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^{-2}$ |
| Acceptor-like gap states at    | Acceptor-like gap states at $E_V = 0.93$ eV |
|                                | $E_V = 0.93$ eV | $E_V = 1.05$ eV |
|                                | $N_{TA} = 1 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-3}$ | $N_{TA} = 1 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-3}$ |
|                                | $n = 1 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^{-2}$ | $n = 1 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^{-2}$ |
|                                | $p = 1 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^{-2}$ | $p = 1 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^{-2}$ |

Figure 2. (a) $V_{OC}$ and $\eta$ as function of TCO work function, (b) FF as a function of TCO work function.
resistance [10, 28], as shown in figure 2(b). But, it is worth noting that the fill factor decreases with the TCO work function in the range of 3.8 to 4.2 eV, then increases with the TCO work function in the range of 4.2 to 4.8 eV.

In order to explore the novel relationship between FF and $W_{TCO}$, the hole concentration as a function of the TCO work function was calculated. As can be seen in figure 4(a), the hole concentration is nearly unchanged at the interface with a low value of $1.0 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-3}$ when the TCO work function varies from 3.825 to 4.2 eV. That means that contact resistance is independent on the hole concentration in the TCO work function range of 3.825 to 4.2 eV. As discussed above, the contact between TCO and P3HT is non-ohmic when the TCO work function is less than 4.2 eV. Therefore, it can be deduced that the contact resistance is dominated by the work function difference between the front and back electrode. Increasing the TCO work function, hence, increases the contact resistance. The large contact resistance increases the series resistance of this organic solar cell. But when $W_{TCO} > 4.2$ eV, the hole concentration at the interface between the TCO and P3HT increases sharply from $3 \times 10^{11}$ to $1 \times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-3}$, as shown in figure 4(b). The high hole concentration can result in easy tunneling. Simultaneously, the contact potential at the interface between the TCO and P3HT decreases as the TCO work function increases. Therefore, the decreases of contact barrier and the increases of free hole concentration ultimately lead to a low series resistance due to the increase of TCO work functions. The influence of series resistance $R_S$ on the performance of OSCs is to reduce the fill factor $FF$. As can be seen in figure 2(b) and discussed above, the TCO work function of 4.2 eV is the turning point regard to the $FF$. As has been pointed out before, the contact between the TCO and P3HT is ohmic for $W_{TCO} > 4.725$. That means the contribution of the contact barrier to the series resistance can be neglected in the work function range of 4.725 to 5.2 eV. So, the $FF$ is nearly unchanged due to the constant series resistance which is
mainly determined by the resistance of P3HT and PCBM when the TCO work function varies from 4.725 to 5.2 eV.

Furthermore, the quantum efficiency $QE$, the ratio of the number of electrons in the external circuit produced by an incident photon of a given wavelength [29] was calculated to give an insight into the effects of the TCO work function on the performance of the organic solar cells. Figure 5 shows the quantum efficiency in the wavelength range of 350 nm to 650 nm at various TCO work functions, which agrees well with the experimental results [5]. The photo-generated current $I_{ph}$ strongly depends on the quantum efficiency, as described by the following equation.

$$I_{ph} = q \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \phi(\lambda) \{1 - R(\lambda)\} QE(\lambda) d\lambda$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\phi(\lambda)$ is the photo flux incident on the cell at wavelength and $QE(\lambda)$ is the quantum efficiency. $R(\lambda)$ is the reflectance on the front surface. In figure 5, it is observed that the quantum efficient is enhanced rapidly when the TCO work function increases from 3.825 to 4.525 eV, and then increases slowly at a high TCO work function. Therefore, a high TCO work function leads to a larger photo-generated current according to equation (3). The analyses on quantum efficiency give a good interpretation on the variation of the $V_{OC}$ and $\eta$ as a function of the TCO work function.

4. Conclusion

In summary, the effects of the TCO work function on the performance of organic solar cells have been studied. When non-ohmic contact is formed, the open circuit voltage and conversion efficiency increase monotonically with the TCO work function but keep constant once ohmic contact is achieved. It is novel to find that the fill factor does not vary monotonically with the TCO work function. The fill factor decreases with the $W_{TCO}$ for $W_{TCO} < 4.2$ eV and then increases with the $W_{TCO}$ for $W_{TCO} > 4.2$ eV. The results suggest that increasing the TCO work function is a useful way to improve the performance of organic solar cells. Therefore, this work can serve as a significant reference for choosing proper TCO to fabricate organic solar cells with high performance.
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