Variable Lyα sheds light on the environment surrounding GRB 090426
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ABSTRACT

Long duration gamma-ray bursts are commonly associated with the deaths of massive stars. Spectroscopic studies using the afterglow as a light source provide a unique opportunity to unveil the medium surrounding it, probing the densest region of their galaxies. This material is usually in a low ionization state and at large distances from the burst site, hence representing the normal interstellar medium in the galaxy. Here we present the case of GRB 090426 at z = 2.609, whose optical spectrum indicates an almost fully ionized medium together with a low column density of neutral hydrogen. For the first time, we also observe variations in the Lyα absorption line. Photoionization modelling shows that we are probing material from the vicinity of the burst (~80 pc). The host galaxy is a complex of two luminous interacting galaxies, which might suggest that this burst could have occurred in an isolated star-forming region outside its host galaxy created in the interaction of the two galaxies.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Optical afterglow studies of gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) provide a powerful tool for unveiling the interstellar medium (ISM) properties of their host galaxies. Long GRBs are connected to the death of a massive star, and their host galaxies are subsequently expected to be sites of heavy star-formation extending back to the early Universe. Metallicities determined from absorption lines of the ISM show higher values than those of, for example, QSO absorbers (Fynbo et al. 2006a; Savaglio 2006; Savaglio et al. 2009), though this might be only a sightline effect (Fynbo et al. 2008). Kinematics of absorption lines give some indications for galactic outflows (e.g. Thöne et al. 2007), which are an expected phenomenon in star-forming galaxies.

Usually, absorption lines in GRB afterglow spectra are constant in time. In a few cases metal absorption lines together with their corresponding fine structure transitions, caused by ultraviolet (UV) pumping from the intense afterglow radiation, vary in intensity following the change in the ionizing flux of the burst. Modelling of the line variability allows us to better constrain the distance from the GRB to the absorbing material, which typically ranges from >150 pc to a few kpc (e.g. Vreeswijk et al. 2007, D’Elia et al. 2009). The presence of neutral material in the spectra implies distances on the order of a few hundred pc to several kpc (e.g. Prochaska et al. 2007, Thöne et al. 2007). For strong NV absorption lines, it has
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been suggested that those lines must arise from material close to the GRB site, at <100 pc (Prochaska et al. 2007). GRB 080310 showed variation in all resonant Fe II transitions which can only be explained with photoionization by the burst at a close distance (A. De Cia et al. in preparation). Excluding those few special cases, optical GRB afterglow spectroscopy seems to predominantly probe the ISM of the host galaxy and not the material around the GRB progenitor.

Studying the circumburst environment, however, would allow us to verify the predictions of current progenitor models. Prompt emission X-ray data showed some evidence for variations in the absorbing column density (Amati et al. 2000). In the optical, absorption features from circumburst material are difficult to detect due to the flash ionization of the surrounding material by the GRB out to several tens of parsecs (Robinson et al. 2010), even though the GRB is predicted to reside in a dense environment. In addition, any remaining absorbing material would be hidden under the stronger absorption lines from the line-of-sight ISM in the host galaxy.

There are several potential signatures which could demonstrate that we are observing material close to the GRB instead of the general ISM of the galaxy: time variability of the absorption line strength (Perna & Loeb 1998), a high ionization state of the medium (Prochaska et al. 2008), or absorption lines at large velocities compared to the redshift of the GRB. The last has been observed in a few cases (Møller et al. 2002; Fox et al. 2008), but it cannot be excluded that those systems are intervening absorbers and hence not connected to the GRB. It was also suggested that a large difference between the optical and X-ray column densities might be a hint of observing highly ionized material, eventually close to the GRB (Watson et al. 2007, Campana et al. 2010). An ideal laboratory would be a GRB progenitor that exploded in a relatively isolated environment with little ISM along the line of sight. So far, no conclusive evidence for such conditions has been found, probably because such physical conditions are rare and only a few afterglows have time-resolved afterglow spectroscopy. In this paper we present the first example for such a scenario, GRB 090426.

GRB 090426 was discovered by the Burst Alert Telescope (BAT) gamma-ray telescope onboard the Swift satellite (Gehrels et al. 2004) on 2009 April 26, 12:48 UT (Cummings et al. 2009) and had a duration of $T_{90} = 1.2 \pm 0.3$ s (Sato et al. 2009). Soon after its discovery an optical counterpart was found by Swift (Cummings et al. 2009) and the redshift was determined from an optical spectrum to be $z = 2.609$ (Levesque et al. 2010). Both its rest-frame duration (0.3 s) as well as its observed duration put GRB 090426 into the short burst category according to the commonly used classification based on the duration of the prompt emission.

In Section 2 we describe the optical spectra and afterglow observations. In Section 3 we discuss the characteristics of GRB 090426 itself and some issues regarding its classification. Section 4 describes the properties of the ISM in the line of sight as derived from optical and X-ray observations. In Section 5 we deal in detail with the Lyα line variation and model its behaviour with a photoionization code. Section 6 describes the properties of the host galaxy of GRB 090426. Discussion and conclusions are reported in Section 7.

### 2 Observations

We obtained both photometric and spectroscopic observations of the afterglow and the host galaxy. The imaging data are listed in Table 1, and the spectroscopic observations are detailed in Table 2. We observed the optical afterglow with MOSCA and AIFOSC at the NOT as well as FORS2 at the VLT from 2009 April 26 to June 19 in several bands. In addition, we include acquisition images from the spectroscopic observations. Photometry was performed using SDSS stars (Abazajian et al. 2009) as reference and the transformations described by Jester et al. (2005) to convert the SDSS to $V$ and $R_C$ magnitudes. In order to account for the contribution of the host galaxy system to the light curve independent of the seeing, we used aperture photometry centred on the afterglow with radius of 2 arcsec.

We obtained a spectrum of the afterglow with FORS2 at the VLT starting on 2009 April 27.048 UT (12.3 h after the burst) using grisms 600V and 600RI together with a 1.0 arcsec slit and an exposure time of 30 min per grism. The spectrum covers a wavelength range from 3500 to 8600 Å with a resolution of $\sim$11 Å. Furthermore, we
Table 2. Log of the spectroscopic observations.

| Instrument   | Start time (UT) | Exp. time (s) | Grism          | Resolution |
|--------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|------------|
| Keck/LRIS    | 2009 April 26.506 | 2 × 300       | 300/5000       | 650        |
| VLT/FORS2    | 2009 April 27.056 | 2 × 1800      | 600B           | 740        |
| VLT/FORS2    | 2009 April 27.100 | 2 × 1800      | 600R           | 740        |
| VLT/X-shooter | 2009 May 31.975  | 3 × 1200      | VIS + NIR      | ~6000      |
| VLT/FORS2    | 2009 June 18.997 | 2 × 1800      | 300V           | 440        |
| VLT/FORS2    | 2009 June 20.011 | 2 × 1800      | 300V           | 440        |

reanalysed the spectrum from Levesque et al. (2010) taken with LRIS at Keck 1.1 h after the burst. This spectrum has a similar resolution and wavelength coverage compared to the FORS2 spectrum. The spectra were reduced using standard tasks in IRAF, with different exposures and grisms from each instrument combined, flux calibrated and then normalized. Flux calibration prior to normalizing the spectrum makes the normalization more reliable, especially bluewards of Lyα and in the Lyman forest, since the afterglow continuum follows a smooth power law.

After the fading of the afterglow an object was found 1.1 arcsec SW of the GRB position and initially suggested as the candidate host galaxy (G1). We took spectra of G1 with FORS2 at the VLT on 2009 June 18 using grism 300V (3300–8700 Å) and exposure times of 2 × 1800s. The acquisition image for the spectroscopic observations of G1 revealed another object underlying the GRB position (therefore suggested as the real host galaxy, H), for which we obtained another spectrum on 2009 June 19 with the same settings and exposure times. We also obtained 2 × 30 min spectra with X-shooter at the VLT (D’Odorico et al. 2006) on 2009 June 2, covering a wavelength range from 9800 to 24 800 Å. The slit was placed across the GRB position (and the host) and G1.

3 GRB 090426: SHORT OR LONG?

The original division of GRBs into two populations dates back to Kouveliotou et al. (1993) who found a bimodal distribution in the high-energy duration and hardness ratio (HR) of BATSE bursts. This was thought to imply two different progenitor populations, in particular since collapsars cannot produce bursts with a duration shorter than a few seconds. A third, intermediate, population was also proposed on statistical grounds (Horváth et al. 2010), but there is no conclusive evidence from properties of the bursts, afterglows, or host galaxies that this third class is intrinsically different from long bursts (de Ugarte Postigo et al. 2011). In recent years, the classification of GRBs based only on their high-energy properties has been widely discussed after the discovery of outliers such as long GRBs without detected supernovae (SNe) and a number of high-redshift bursts that are short in their rest-frame duration but likely coming from the collapse of a massive star. Levesque et al. (2010) statistically placed GRB 090426 in the short burst category; however, due to other properties they did not exclude a collapsar progenitor model.

In the following, we present some properties of the burst and afterglow that suggest it was likely produced by a collapsar event. In order to compare GRB 090426 with the BATSE bursts we transform the count ratio of the Swift–BAT data to those of the BATSE channels and obtain a ratio of (50–100 keV)/(15–25 keV) = 0.60, which classifies it as a ‘soft’ burst. This places GRB 090426 in a very exceptional region of the HR versus $T_{90}$ diagram, outside of the two main groups of long-soft and short-hard bursts with a spectrum that is even softer than most long-duration Swift bursts (see Fig. 1). The luminosity of its prompt emission with $E_{iso} = (5 ± 1) \times 10^{51}$ erg (1–10000 keV, isotropic energy release, Antonelli et al. 2009) would be considered rather high for a merger event. However, it could be possible to reach such high luminosities through merger events. Recent simulations of neutron star–black hole mergers (Pannarale, Tonita & Rezzolla 2011) show that the torus created in the merging process can approach or even exceed one solar mass, which would yield enough energy to power this burst. A high energy release could also be facilitated by neutrino-powered outflows or magnetic fields (e.g. Aloy, Janka & Müller 2005).

Another distinction between long and short burst is the determination of a spectral lag between the high-energy emission in different bands, which is usually present for long bursts but absent for short bursts (Norris & Bonnell 2006). For GRB 090426, the lag is consistent with zero but has a large uncertainty (Ukwatta et al. 2009).

The light curve of the optical afterglow was previously discussed in Antonelli et al. (2009) and Xin et al. (2011). We collect the light-curve data available from the literature from 90 s to 35 d after the burst and add the observations listed in Table 1. We normalize all optical data to the $R$ band to construct a single light curve. The optical light curve of GRB 090426 is similar to what is usually found for other long (or short) GRB afterglows. It reaches its maximum before 100 s, when the observations published by Xin et al. (2011) begin, which likely marks the onset of the afterglow. Between 300 and 3000 s the light curve decays with a temporal slope of 1.12 ± 0.02. At 3000 s we see a flattening in the light curve after which the decay seems to continue with approximately the same slope as...
vations on 2009 April 26. The XRT data were reprocessed with standard procedures (XRTPIPELINE version 0.12.4 within the HEASOFT package version 6.8), resulting in 4.7- and 2.0-ks exposures in photon counting mode, respectively. We decided to group the events to one photon per channel and to adopt Cash-statistics (Cash 1979) within the fitting program XSPEC. At the beginning of the first observation the source is slightly piled-up (two photons fall on the same pixel during the same integration time and are read as a single photon with higher energy). We take a conservative pile-up threshold of 0.7 ct s\(^{-1}\) and split the first observation into a piled-up interval (1.8 ks) and a non-piled-up interval (2.9 ks) which was summed to the second observation for a total time of 4.9 ks. Photons from the piled-up interval were extracted from an annular region with 2 and 25 pixel inner and outer radii centred on source. Photons from the non-piled-up observations were extracted from a 20 pixel radius circular region. The background was extracted from the same annular region with an inner and outer radius of 60 and 80 pixels, respectively, both of which are free of field sources.

Ancillary response files were generated with the xrtmkarf task, accounting for CCD defects, vignetting, and point spread function corrections using the appropriate exposure map. We end up with two spectral files containing 383 and 328 counts in the 0.3–10 keV energy band. The background is very low, comprising of less than 1 per cent and 7 per cent for the two observations, respectively. We group the events and adopt Cash-statistics (Cash 1979) within the fitting program XSPEC. During the spectral fit we used the newly released broadened response file swxc0to125s_20070901v011.rmf. The spectral model consists of a power-law model and two absorption components, one from our Galaxy fixed to \(N_H = 1.5 \times 10^{21}\) cm\(^{-2}\) (Kalberla et al. 2005) and one at the redshift of the host galaxy (\(z = 2.609\)).

We find consistent spectral results between the two spectra, so we fit the column densities and the power-law photon indices together and leave only the normalizations free to vary. Assuming solar metallicity and solar composition, the best-fitting column density is \(N_H = 5.0^{+1+1}_{-1-1} \times 10^{21}\) cm\(^{-2}\) and the power-law photon index is \(\Gamma = 2.1 \pm 0.1\) (errors are at 90 per cent confidence level with \(\Delta \chi^2 = 2.71\)) s. The column density is around the average found for other long \textit{Swift} GRBs (Campana et al. 2010).

### 4.2 The optical spectrum

The spectrum of the optical afterglow turns out to be very different from most other GRB spectra. In our FORS spectrum we only detect very strong high-ionization lines of \(N\equiv \lambda\lambda 1238, 1242, Si\equiv \lambda\lambda 1393, 1402\) and \(C\equiv \lambda\lambda 1548, 1550\). In addition, the Ly\(\alpha\) absorption is relatively weak; however, this is not unprecedented in GRB afterglow spectra. In contrast to the usually strong low-ionization lines detected in other GRB spectra, we only detect a weak \(C\equiv \lambda 1334\) line while normally prominent lines of \(Si\equiv \lambda\lambda 1260, 1526\), Fe\(\text{II}\) and Al\(\text{II}\) are undetected. This implies that the observed medium is in a high ionization state, which is very unusual.

We then compared our FORS spectrum from 12 h after the burst with the spectra by Levesque et al. (2010) from 1.1 h after the burst. We detect the same high-ionization absorption lines, but the Ly\(\alpha\) line is significantly stronger than in our later spectra. We measure a 3.8\% decrease in the equivalent width (EW) between the two spectra at 1.1 and 12 h after the GRB (see Fig. 3). The other absorption lines do not show any significant variability. Variability of Lyman \(\alpha\) has never been before observed in a GRB afterglow spectrum, nor in any other extragalactic object.

---

**Figure 2.** Optical light curve collected from data in the literature (Antonelli et al. 2009; Xin et al. 2011; open symbols) and observations from the NOT and VLT (published in this article; filled symbols), all normalized to the \(R\) band. The dashed line indicates the flux contribution from the underlying host galaxy. We plot the X-ray light curve for comparison with the flux density provided by the \textit{Swift} archive (Evans et al. 2010).
The column densities were obtained from the curve-of-growth (CoG)\(^1\), adopting a \(b\)-parameter of 50 km s\(^{-1}\). The errors on the Ly\(\alpha\) column densities only reflect the measured errors on the EWs, not the uncertainty in the \(b\)-parameter, which would change the absolute values for the column densities but not the significance of the temporal variation. For the other lines, we assume very conservative errors on the column densities to account for the uncertainty on the \(b\)-parameter of around 15 km s\(^{-1}\). Si\(\text{IV}\) has two components resolved and we therefore determine the total column density by summing the contributions from the individual components (which are each closer to the linear part of the CoG than the combined line). For C\(\text{IV}\), the column density was calculated assuming an EW ratio of 1:2 for the blended 1549/1550 doublet and taking the column density from the CoG for the weaker transition (1550 Å). The column density listed is therefore not for the doublet together but closer to the ‘true’ column density (had it been possible to deblend the two lines). However, since the line is clearly saturated, the true ratio deviates from the theoretical 1:2 ratio and the error in the column density must therefore be considered much larger.

In Table 3 we list the measured equivalent widths (EWs) and derived column densities for the VLT/FORS spectrum and the comparison with the spectrum presented in Levesque et al. (2010). We also list the deviation of the individual lines between the two epochs. Both spectra have been analysed consistently by our group, and the EW values might therefore slightly deviate from those listed in Levesque et al. (2010). A plot of the FORS2 spectrum is shown in Fig. 4.

The variability of Ly\(\alpha\) strongly suggests that the material lies close to the GRB progenitor. Such an effect has been predicted from photoionization modelling (Perna & Loeb 1998), but has never before been observed. The high column densities of the high-ionization lines and the absence of their low-ionization counterparts support the scenario that we are observing a large quantity of matter close to and ionized by the GRB. This is further supported by the large difference between the optical and X-ray column density, which can be explained by photoionization of the medium by the GRB; X-ray absorption is insensitive to this phenomenon since it is caused by excitation of the electrons in the inner shells of the atoms (Campana et al. 2010).

### 4.2.1 Comparison to the ‘average’ GRB spectrum

We compare the lines found in the spectrum of GRB 090426 to a sample of 77 low-resolution afterglow spectra of long GRBs observed by Swift (Fynbo et al. 2009). The majority of those spectra in the right wavelength range to detect Ly\(\alpha\) absorption (33) showed a strong damped Ly\(\alpha\) (DLA) system with a median value of log \(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}\) = 21.6. Only six other spectra had column densities below log \(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}\) = 20.0, the lower limit for the definition of a DLA: GRB 050908 (log \(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}\) = 17.8), GRB 060124 (log \(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}\) = 18.5), GRB 060607A (log \(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}\) = 17.2), GRB 070411 (log \(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}\) = 19.3), GRB 071020 (log \(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}\) < 20.0) and GRB 080310 (log \(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}\) = 18.8). All of them securely belong to the long burst group with \(T_{\text{burst}}\) between 25 and 100 s. Five of these bursts are also highlighted in Fig. 1.

Christensen et al. (2011) produced a composite using 60 of the 77 spectra from Fynbo et al. (2009) mentioned above, and determined EWs and column densities for all detected lines in the composite. The following lines, which are usually strong in the environments of long-duration GRBs, are not detected in our spectrum: Si\(\text{II}\) \(\lambda\)1260,1304 and 1526 Å, C\(\text{II}\) \(\lambda\)1534, and Al\(\text{III}\) \(\lambda\)1670,1855 and 1863. The EWs of C\(\text{IV}\) and Si\(\text{IV}\) in the spectrum of GRB 090426 are almost twice as high as in the composite GRB spectrum. Considering that the H\(\text{I}\) column density of GRB 090426 is very low compared to the approximate value for the composite (log \(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}\) = 21.7), the relative abundance of these species are rather extreme for this burst.

If we take only a composite of sub-DLA bursts (3 spectra), we see the following: a fit of the Ly\(\alpha\) absorption line gives log \(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}\) = 19.3 ± 0.1 (dominated by the spectrum of GRB 070411). All the usual strong low-ionization lines are also present in this composite such as Si\(\text{II}\) \(\lambda\)1260, 1304 and 1526 Å, Si\(\text{II}\) \(\lambda\)1264 Å, C\(\text{II}\) \(\lambda\)1334 Å, and Al\(\text{II}\) \(\lambda\)1670 Å. Si\(\text{IV}\) \(\lambda\)1402 is not very strong, but falls in a noisy area of the composite, with EW\(_{\text{rest}}\) = 0.25 ± 0.20 Å. In contrast, C\(\text{IV}\) \(\lambda\)1549 is also quite strong here, with EW\(_{\text{rest}}\) of 3.2 ± 0.1 Å. The values in Fig. 5 are not derived from the composite spectrum since this is dominated by the GRB 070411 spectrum; instead we took the average of the EWs of all sub-DLAs.

Comparing the ionization of C and Si to those determined from the sample of Fynbo et al. 2009 (see Fig. 5), GRB 090426 occupies a rather different region than most burst in the sample. However, it seems that other GRBs with low \(N_{\text{HI}}\) also tend to show a rather different region than most burst in the sample. However, if we take only a composite of sub-DLA bursts (3 spectra), we see the following: a fit of the Ly\(\alpha\) absorption line gives log \(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}\) = 19.3 ± 0.1 (dominated by the spectrum of GRB 070411). All the usual strong low-ionization lines are also present in this composite such as Si\(\text{II}\) \(\lambda\)1260, 1304 and 1526 Å, Si\(\text{II}\) \(\lambda\)1264 Å, C\(\text{II}\) \(\lambda\)1334 Å, and Al\(\text{II}\) \(\lambda\)1670 Å. Si\(\text{IV}\) \(\lambda\)1402 is not very strong, but falls in a noisy area of the composite, with EW\(_{\text{rest}}\) = 0.25 ± 0.20 Å. In contrast, C\(\text{IV}\) \(\lambda\)1549 is also quite strong here, with EW\(_{\text{rest}}\) of 3.2 ± 0.1 Å. The values in Fig. 5 are not derived from the composite spectrum since this is dominated by the GRB 070411 spectrum; instead we took the average of the EWs of all sub-DLAs.

\(^1\)The CoG describes the evolution of the column density with EW, with a linear relation for unsaturated lines and a dependence on the broadening of the line by turbulence or temperature in the following, flat part of the curve.
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bursts occur in a rather isolated region of their host galaxy, which would allow us to truly probe ionized gas from the surroundings of the burst itself.

5 PHOTOIONIZATION MODELLING

Photoionization models allow us to determine the distance of the observed material from the GRB by considering the ionization state, column densities, and their variations with time (e.g. Lazzati et al. 2001). Here, we use the photoionization code by Perna \\& Ghisellini (2001). Here, we use the photoionization code by Perna \\& Ghisellini (2002, see also Lazzati et al. 2003) specifically written for application to GRB observations. The code assumes that the GRB is initially surrounded by a cold ($T_0 = 100$ K) absorbing material with solar metallicity, either in the form of a spherical shell with a thickness of 0.1 the shell radius or a spherical cloud. The GRB spectrum and its temporal evolution are the input in the code, which computes the evolution of the absorbing material’s ionization state as a function of both time and the distance of the absorber from the burst. At each time, the resulting wavelength-dependent opacity integrated over the line of sight is outputted and compared to the observations.

The total absorption at early times is a measure of the total absorbing column, while the speed of the evolution is a measure of the distance of the absorber. This analysis is similar to what has been done for the combined soft X-ray photoionization opacity in GRB 050904 (Campana et al. 2007). GRB 090426 is better suited for this kind of analysis since we observe variation in the H i absorption. Since hydrogen is the most abundant element in the ISM, constraining the geometry of the absorption region with the evolution of the Ly α absorption gives us a direct result that does not depend on metallicity considerations. The four panels of Fig. 6 show the measured column densities of the H, C, Si and N ions with the best absorption model overlaid. We obtain the best fit model for a uniform cloud surrounding the GRB. The cloud properties are fit using only the H i absorption, with the other ions and the soft X-ray continuum absorption only used as consistency checks.

The absorber that best reproduces our Ly α measurements is a cloud of radius $R = 79$ pc (which corresponds to the size of a large molecular cloud) and an initial H i column density $N_{\text{HI}} = 2 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$. Such values correspond to a total mass of the molecular cloud of $\sim 40000$ M$_\odot$ and an average density $n \sim 1$ cm$^{-3}$. The errors on the values from the modelling are on the order of 20 per cent. The model does not rule out a solution in the form of a shell; however, since the thickness of the shell is 0.1R, the density would be about 10 times larger as a result. A wind blown medium would be fully ionized (see Robinson et al. 2010) at very early times and is therefore ruled out here.

Fig. 7 shows the 1σ, 2σ and 3σ contour levels of the Ly α fitting in the $R - N_{\text{HI}}$ plane (thin solid lines). Overlaid is the area that is consistent with the combined constraint of Si iv column evolution and soft X-ray continuum absorption. The Si iv constraint allows for regions of high column density (right of the thick solid line).

---

### Table 3. Absorption line properties and upper limits on some transitions that are usually strong in other GRB spectra but undetected here. EWs are given in rest frame.

| Ion  | $\lambda_{\text{rest}}$ (Å) | $\lambda_{\text{obs}}$ (Å) | EW$_{\text{VLT}}$ (12 h) | log $N$ (cm$^{-2}$) | EW$_{\text{Levesque}}$ (1.1 h) | EW diff. |
|------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| H i  | 1215                     | 4385                     | 1.94 ± 0.23             | 18.7$^{+10.1}_{-6.2}$ | 3.09 ± 0.2                   | –3.8σ    |
| N v  | 1238                     | 4471                     | 0.99 ± 0.20             | 15.8 ± 0.8       | 0.70 ± 0.10                  | –        |
| N v  | 1242                     | 4485                     | 0.29 ± 0.07             | 15.8 ± 0.8       | 0.30 ± 0.10                  | –        |
| Si ii/S iii | 1260                 | 5457                     | <0.35                   | <13.6            | <0.35                        | –        |
| Si ii | 1304                     | 4797                     | <0.25                   | <13.6            | –                            | –        |
| C ii | 1334                     | 4814                     | 0.86 ± 0.19             | 15.8 ± 1.0       | 0.53 ± 0.14                  | + 1.3σ   |
| Si iv | 1393                    | 5030                     | 2.09 ± 0.20             | 15.4 ± 1.0       | 1.78 ± 0.15                  | –        |
| Si iv | 1403                    | 5062                     | 1.38 ± 0.20             | 15.4 ± 1.0       | 1.53 ± 0.14                  | –1.5σ    |
| Si ii | 1526                     | 5504                     | <0.35                   | <13.6            | –                            | –        |
| C iv | 1549                     | 5591                     | 4.32 ± 0.28             | 17.6 ± 1.0       | 3.63 ± 0.25                  | + 1.8σ   |
| Fe ii | 1608                    | 5804                     | <0.34                   | <14.4            | –                            | –        |
| Al ii | 1670                     | 6029                     | <0.37                   | <12.9            | –                            | –        |

---

**Figure 4.** Normalized spectrum from FORS2/VLT grism 600B and 600RI with absorption lines indicated. Undetected lines that are commonly detected in other GRB spectra are written in brackets. Atm. A and B mark the major atmospheric absorption bands.

---
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Figure 5. Top: comparison of EWs of the average optically bright long-duration Swift burst, a subsample of bursts with a low neutral hydrogen column density, and the values for GRB 090426. Also plotted are the ionization ratios of Si and C. This comparison clearly shows the exceptional line strengths of the spectrum of GRB 090426, showing a highly ionized medium. Bottom: ionization of Si versus C for GRB 090426 and the GRB sample presented in Fynbo et al. (2009). Listed are only those bursts with a detection in at least one of the ionization states of Si and C. Bursts with log N_HI cm^{-2} < 19 are labelled. GRB 090426 has a high ionization for both elements, similar to other bursts with low log N_HI.

6 THE HOST GALAXY COMPLEX

In addition to the afterglow spectroscopy, we obtained observations of the host galaxy and its neighbour. Using the acquisition images of the spectroscopic observations, we determined the magnitudes

Figure 6. Temporal evolution of the absorbing columns of, from top to bottom, H, C, Si and N ions. The best-fitting evolution of the absorbing columns as derived from our photoionization model is overlaid.

Figure 7. Constraints in the R − N_HI plane from the photoionization modelling. The thin solid lines show the 1σ, 2σ and 3σ contour levels from the Lyα fitting only. The grey area shows the region allowed by the combined constraint on the Si IV evolution (right of the solid thick line, allowing only high column density regions) and the continuum soft X-ray absorption (left of the dashed line, allowing only low column density regions).

while the soft X-ray absorption allows only for low column density regions (left of the dashed line). The shaded area is in full agreement with the Lyα result. A much looser constraint comes from the NV fitting, while the C IV column density cannot be fit by our model. The C IV excess might be an indication of a carbon-enriched medium, possibly from earlier SN explosions, but we caution the reader due to the large errors affecting the C IV line (see Section 4.2).

The agreement of the photoionization model predictions with the evolution of the column densities ultimately confirms that all the material we observe is close to the GRB site. Such material has never been probed before in GRB afterglow spectra.

© 2011 The Authors, MNRAS 414, 479–488
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In the FORS2 observations, both galaxies show clear \( \text{Ly} \alpha \) emission at redshifts of \( z = 2.605 \) (H) and 2.611 (G1), indicating that the host has about the same redshift as the GRB while the companion is at a slightly higher redshift. At that redshift, the physical distance between their cores is only 9 kpc in projection, which implies that they are likely interacting and possibly in the process of merging. The \( \text{Ly} \alpha \) emission of the host galaxy gives a star formation rate of \( 3.1 \, \text{M}_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1} \), on the lower end of what is typically observed in (long duration) GRB hosts (Savaglio, Glazebrook & LeBorgne 2009).

In the 2D X-shooter spectrum we detect several faint emission lines in the near-infrared, including \([\text{O} \text{III}] \lambda 5007, 4959 \) and \( \text{H} \beta \). Both \([\text{O} \text{III}]\) lines show two components separated by about 400 km s\(^{-1}\) and offset in the spatial direction, which we attribute to the host (H) and G1. The second component of \( \text{H} \beta \) is coincident with an atmospheric emission line.

Comparing the afterglow spectra and the emission lines from the hot gas of the two galaxies, the kinematics turn out to be rather complex (see Fig. 9). The \( \text{Si} \text{IV} \) transitions at \( \lambda 1393 \) and 1403 Å each show two equally strong velocity components with a separation of about 300 km s\(^{-1}\). For \( \text{N} \text{V} \) and \( \text{C} \text{IV} \), the two components cannot be separated since the doublets are closer together (\( \text{C} \text{IV} \) is also affected by a sky line). The red component of the \( \text{Si} \text{IV} \) lines is at about the same velocity as the centre of the \( \text{Ly} \alpha \) absorption. The almost equally strong blue \( \text{Si} \text{IV} \) component is coincident with the blue wing of \( \text{Ly} \alpha \), which could be fitted with a small additional component at that velocity but with only 1/100 to 1/1000 the column density of the main component. The \( \text{Ly} \alpha \) emission lines of the host and G1 are slightly redshifted compared to their nebular emission lines, which could imply an outflow of hydrogen gas as commonly observed in, for example, Lyman break galaxies (Steidel et al. 2010). Furthermore, emission and absorption line velocities from the host do not coincide, which suggests a complex velocity field due to the physical motion of the material seen in absorption. This is to be expected in the merging process of two galaxies. It also supports the suggestion that the material we see in absorption is actually close to the GRB and not only coming from the ISM of the host galaxy.

7 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The spectrum of GRB 090426 is the first compelling case of observed photoionized material from the star-forming region of the progenitor itself. Its low hydrogen column density is varying, the absorption lines show a highly ionized medium of high column density, and the large discrepancy between X-ray and optical absorption gives another indication for photoionized material being present. GRB spectra have shown a large range of hydrogen column densities, usually explained by different sightlines of the GRB through its host galaxy (Jakobsson et al. 2006; Fynbo et al. 2009), with some column densities even lower than the one measured for GRB 090426. However, if GRB 090426 exploded in, for example, the halo of the galaxy or a very low density ISM like a globular cluster, we would also expect low column densities of the metal absorption lines. A location in the halo had been suggested for GRB 070125 (Cenko et al. 2008) and GRB 071003 (Perley et al. 2008), both of which show a bright but featureless continuum with extremely weak absorption lines. GRBs with \( \log N_{\text{H}}/\text{cm}^{-2} < 20.0 \) tend to show a higher fraction of ionized versus neutral material, possibly explained by a lack of UV shielding by the lower density of...
hydrogen; however, some of them still contain a relatively large column density of neutral material. In addition, the afterglow showed that the GRB must have exploded in a non-low-density medium (Levesque et al. 2010). The strange properties of the spectrum of GRB 090426 can therefore not simply be explained by a sightline effect.

Considering the fact that this GRB exploded in what seems to be a galaxy merger, another scenario might explain the strange spectrum we observe. The lack of absorption from the normal ISM of the host suggests that the progenitor did not reside in a star-forming region inside its host galaxy. A possible scenario is therefore that the progenitor was located in an isolated star-forming region outside the host itself, e.g. in something like a tidal tail created by the merging of the two galaxies. Thus, the sightline to the GRB would only intersect the material of the star-forming region, ionized by the GRB flux and eventually piled up by the wind from massive Wolf–Rayet stars, and not the dense ISM of the galaxy. We note, however, that the afterglow position is, in projection, close to the centre of the galaxy.

Another strange feature about this burst was its relatively short duration paired with a soft high energy emission spectrum. Naturally, the question arises if the unusual high-energy properties and those of the afterglow spectrum could be connected. From Fig. 1 we see that all other low column density GRBs occupy the normal parameter space for long bursts concerning HR and duration, so there seems to be no obvious correlation between HR or duration and low column density. Since the material observed in the afterglow spectrum is relatively far away from the burst compared to the prompt emission, which is expected to be produced by internal shocks within the jet of the GRB, a connection is not to be expected.

Concerning the progenitor of the burst, Antonelli et al. (2009) and Levesque et al. (2010) had already concluded that, despite its short duration, the burst was likely due to the collapse of a massive star. Arguments include the star-forming host galaxy, the high afterglow luminosity, consistency with the Amati relation, and the strength of the absorption lines. In past years, the classification of bursts purely on its duration or another single observational fact has also been questioned. Evidence for a collapsar progenitor has been observed even in the absence of a SN signature (GRB 060505 and GRB 060614, see e.g. Fynbo et al. 2006a, 2006b), which we cannot verify for GRB 090426 since the SN would be too faint to detect with current instruments, and a range of high redshift bursts have been detected with short intrinsic duration (see e.g. Lü et al. 2010). Suggestions for how to produce a collapsar with such a short intrinsic duration include viewing the GRB from off-axis, such that we see only a small part of the jet (Lazzati et al. 2010), or the possibility that the central engine had been turned off before the jet reached the surface of the star, with the destruction wave catching up with the jet and leading to a shorter prompt duration (Mizuta & Aloy 2009).

No other GRB observed so far has shown such extreme properties of the absorption lines, despite a number of spectra with relatively low column densities. However, none of them had time resolved spectral observations that would allow a line variability study (with the exception of GRB 080310, a potentially similar case to GRB 090426 concerning the properties of the afterglow spectrum; see A. De Cia et al. in preparation). A larger number of rapid response observations and time series of spectra using a high sensitivity and medium- to high-resolution spectrographs (in order to fit reliable column densities) might allow us to study a few more of those rare events where we directly see the interaction of the GRB with its environment. Extremely rapid response, on the time-scale of a few minutes, might even allow us to observe the material ejected by the progenitor itself.
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