“Live” (stained) benthic foraminiferal living depths, stable isotopes, and taxonomy offshore South Georgia, Southern Ocean: implications for calcification depths
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Abstract. It is widely held that benthic foraminifera exhibit species-specific calcification depth preferences, with their tests recording sediment pore water chemistry at that depth (i.e. stable isotope and trace metal compositions). This assumed depth-habitat-specific pore water chemistry relationship has been used to reconstruct various palaeoenvironmental parameters, such as bottom water oxygenation. However, many deep-water foraminiferal studies show wide intra-species variation in sediment living depth but relatively narrow intra-species variation in stable isotope composition. To investigate this depth-habitat–stable-isotope relationship on the shelf, we analysed depth distribution and stable isotopes of “live” (Rose Bengal stained) benthic foraminifera from two box cores collected on the South Georgia shelf (ranging from 250 to 300 m water depth). We provide a comprehensive taxonomic analysis of the benthic fauna, comprising 79 taxonomic groupings. The fauna shows close affinities with shelf assemblages from around Antarctica. We find “live” specimens of a number of calcareous species from a range of depths in the sediment column. Stable isotope ratios (δ13C and δ18O) were measured on stained specimens of three species, Astrononion echolsi, Cassidulinoides porrectus, and Buccella sp. 1, at 1 cm depth intervals within the downcore sediment sequences. In agreement with studies in deep-water settings, we find no significant intra-species variability in either δ13C_foram or δ18O_foram with sediment living depth on the South Georgia shelf. Our findings add to the growing evidence that infaunal benthic foraminiferal species calcify at a fixed depth. Given the wide range of depths at which we find “living”, “infaunal” species, we speculate that they may actually calcify predominantly at the sediment–seawater interface, where carbonate ion concentration and organic carbon availability is at a maximum.

1 Introduction

Benthic foraminifera live both on (epifaunal) and beneath (infaunal) the sediment–seawater interface, and their assemblages and stable isotope and trace element compositions are widely utilized as tools in the reconstruction of past oceanographic conditions (see review in Jorissen et al., 2007). Stable isotopes of all species typically exhibit inter-species offsets. In part this offset is accounted for by “vital effects”, inter-species differences in the fractionation of stable isotopes due to a range of biological factors (see review in Ravelo and Hillaire-Marcel, 2007). Another consideration, adopted in numerous studies, is that epifaunal and infaunal inter-species isotopic offsets reflect, in part, pore water chemistry at the preferred depth habitat of each species within the sediment (e.g. Loubere et al., 1995; McCorkle et
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al., 1997; Theodor et al., 2016). The carbon isotope composition of pore water dissolved inorganic carbon (δ¹³C_{DIC}) has been shown to become lighter (lower δ¹³C) with sediment depth (e.g. McCorkle et al., 1985), due to the remineralization (by oxic respiration or denitrification) of organic carbon. The difference between bottom and pore water δ¹³C_{DIC} has been shown to increase with bottom water oxygenation (McCorkle and Emerson, 1988). Assuming that infaunal species calcify at preferred depths within the sediment hypothetically allows δ¹³C_{DIC} gradients to be reconstructed through the isotope analysis of paired epifaunal and deep-infaunal taxa (e.g. Cibicidoides wuellerstorfi and Globobulimina spp.; Hoogakker et al., 2015). Similarly, the difference in δ¹⁵C between epifaunal and shallow infaunal species (e.g. Uvigerina spp.) has been used to reconstruct the density of organic matter remineralization, a proxy for the flux of organic matter to the sea floor (Zahn et al., 1986; McCorkle and Emerson, 1988; Schilman et al., 2003). Additionally, Elderfield et al. (2012) used the presence of a constant offset between the δ¹³C data from paired epifaunal (Cibicidoides wuellerstorfi) and infaunal (Uvigerina spp.) foraminifera to negate the suggestion that a negative δ¹³C excursion during Marine Isotope Stage 22 was due to productivity changes and to support their hypothesis that the δ¹³C excursion was instead related to global ocean change.

These applications rely on the previously stated assumption that benthic foraminifera inhabit species-specific depth habitats and, more importantly, calcify at that depth, thereby recording the pore water δ¹³C_{DIC} gradient. This assumption is held despite the wide range of sediment depths that individual infaunal species have been observed to live at (e.g. McCorkle et al., 1997). Furthermore, isotope analysis of “live” (Rose Bengal stained) foraminifera reveals a narrow range of isotope values for individuals of the same species despite them having been recovered from a wide range of depths beneath the sediment surface (McCorkle et al., 1990, 1997; Schmiedl et al., 2004; Fontanier et al., 2006b, 2008; Theodor et al., 2016). A narrow intra-species range of δ¹³C composition of “live” specimens recovered from a range of sediment depths argues against individuals having calcified at the depths from which they were recovered (since pore water δ¹³C_{DIC} gradients are not reflected in the foraminifera). More recent studies raise further questions about the validity of the assumed calcification depths of infaunal species. For example, it has been assumed that Mg/Ca data from infaunal foraminifera are relatively insulated from the effect of bottom water [CO₂^−] changes (Elderfield et al., 2006, 2010). However, Weldeab et al. (2016) have shown that infaunal Globobulimina spp. are sensitive to changes in bottom water [CO₂^−], implying that calcification may not occur exclusively beneath the sediment surface. To extend previous studies of the deep ocean (e.g. McCorkle et al., 1990, 1997; Tachikawa and Elderfield, 2002; Schmiedl et al., 2003; Fontanier et al., 2008, 2006b) onto the shelf environment, and test the assumption that infaunal species calcify at preferred depths and that their δ¹³C composition is reflective of pore water δ¹³C_{DIC} (i.e. decreasing δ¹³C_{DIC} with increasing calcification depth), we measured the living depths and isotope composition of three as yet unstudied species (Astrononetion echolsi, Cassidulinoides porrectus, and Buccella sp. 1) from the South Georgia shelf, South Atlantic. We also report the depth ranges of all “living” (Rose Bengal stained) benthic foraminifera. To underpin these and future analyses, we carried out a detailed taxonomic analysis of benthic foraminifera from six surface samples, two box-core downcore sequences, and two gravity cores, the first such study focused on South Georgia since Earland (1933).

1.1 Previous benthic foraminiferal studies

Earland (1933) conducted a survey of the benthic foraminifera of South Georgia, utilizing surface sediment samples collected from around the island as part of the Discovery expeditions. Since this study, there has been no further taxonomic work focussed on South Georgia, but a number of benthic foraminiferal assemblage studies have been conducted at other Southern Ocean and Antarctic locations. The Antarctic Peninsula is one of the better-studied areas in the region, with foraminiferal research here commencing in the first half of the 20th century (Earland, 1934; Cushman, 1945), followed by a number of brief US Antarctic Program taxonomic reports (e.g. Lipps et al., 1972). In a more comprehensive study of the Antarctic Peninsula, Ishman and Domack (1994) analysed a large number of surface sediment samples from three areas on the western side of the peninsula (Branstfield Strait, Marguerite Bay, and Palmer Archipelago). A detailed assessment of the benthic foraminiferal assemblages of Admiralty Bay, King George Island, and the South Shetland Islands has been conducted by Majewski (2005, 2010), again on surface sediment samples. On the eastern side of the Antarctic Peninsula, Majewski and Anderson (2009) examined the palaeoclimatic implications of Holocene foraminiferal assemblages from the Firth of Tay. Further east, Anderson (1975) identified 160 species in surface samples distributed across the Weddell Sea, whilst Mackensen et al. (1990) focussed on surface samples from the sea’s eastern corner. Herb (1971) described the variation in benthic faunas across the Drake Passage, illustrating the differences between the shelf environments of southern South America and Antarctica. Mackensen et al. (1993) analysed a similar transect of surface samples through the subantarctic zone between 0 and 10°E. Useful studies from Southern Ocean localities more distant from South Georgia include Majewski’s (2013) description of benthic foraminifera from core-top samples around Pine Island and Ferrero Bays in the Amundsen Sea, Violanti’s (1996) analysis of live and dead foraminifera from surface samples from Terra Nova Bay, Ross Sea, and Igarashi et al.’s (2001) study of samples from...
sediment cores extending into the Holocene from Lützow-Hom Bay, East Antarctica.

1.2 Oceanographic setting

The subantarctic island of South Georgia (54° S, 36° W) is located at the northern edge of the Scotia Sea in the path of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC), between the Antarctic Polar Front (APF) and the Southern ACC Front (SACCF) (Fig. 1). South Georgia is one of the largest islands in the subantarctic zone (SAZ), measuring approximately 180 × 40 km, and is separated from the deep ocean by a 50–150 km wide continental shelf. The shelf, typically within 200–250 m water depth, is traversed by canyons that connect the island’s fjords to the continental slope. The properties of the waters of the shelf itself routinely differ from those of the surrounding ocean, with on-shelf surface waters typically being warmer (2–3 °C on shelf, 1.5–2 °C off shelf) and fresher (salinity 33.4–33.7 psu on shelf, 33.6–33.7 psu off shelf) (Brandon et al., 1999). These differences are caused by a number of shelf-specific processes, such as freshwater run-off and topographically steered circulation (Brandon et al., 1999, 2000; Meredith et al., 2005).

2 Methods

2.1 Sample acquisition and preparation

Two box cores (BC737 and BC738) were collected during British Antarctic Survey scientific cruise JR-15002, in November 2015 (see Table 1 and Fig. 1), from which two sub-cores were collected (BC737 (D) and BC738 (D)). The box cores were collected using the British Antarctic Survey (BAS) box corer, deployed from the RRS James Clark Ross. The sub-cores were taken from BC737 (15 cm) and BC738 (20 cm); these cores were cut into 1 cm sections which were weighed to the nearest gram before being washed over a 63 µm sieve. The coarse fraction was then soaked in a Rose Bengal/seawater solution (1 g : 1 L) for 24 h before being rinsed with seawater from the underway seawater supply until the water ran clear and dried at 40 °C after a final rinse with MilliQ water. Rose Bengal is a non-vital biological stain that is adsorbed onto any proteins, whether or not they are alive, and can therefore stain necrotic as well as healthy cytoplasm (Bernhard, 2000).
2.2 Benthic foraminiferal assemblage analysis

All “living” (stained) and dead foraminifera were dry picked from each of the 1 cm samples between 0 and 10 cm, and only “living” (stained) foraminifera were dry picked from each of the 1 cm samples between 10 and 13 cm, from BC737 and BC738. Tests where all, or all but one or two chambers were well stained bright red were considered to be “living” at the time of staining (e.g. Cauille et al., 2015; Fontanier et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016). For thick-walled agglutinated taxa, where the opaque test wall concealed the extent of the staining, the tests were broken open allowing the staining to be assessed. Tests with a pink tint or isolated spots of red were not included, nor were tests where only a small number of isolated chambers were stained. The average living depth (ALD) (Jorissen et al., 1995) was calculated for the 10 taxa with at least five “live” specimens in one or more samples. We also calculated the median living depth (MLD) (Theodor et al., 2016) for these taxa. Differences between ALD and MLD are generally small but can be significant for taxa with a wide downcore occurrence range (Theodor et al., 2016). Foraminiferal abundances are reported as number per gram.

2.3 Stable isotope analysis

Oxygen and carbon isotope analyses were carried out on three species of foraminifera from BC737 (Astronion echolsi, Cassidulinoides porrectus, and Buccella sp. 1), from 10 samples (0–1 to 9–10 cm), and on two species from BC738 (Astronion echolsi and Cassidulinoides porrectus) from 6 samples (0–1 to 5–6 cm). All specimens for isotope analysis were picked from the 125–250 µm fraction to minimize the possible effects of ontogenetic change in fractionation rates. These species were selected because their ALD/MLD revealed different microhabitat preferences and because they were present in high enough abundance to provide sufficient calcite to conduct the analyses. Each isotope data point was measured on between 4 and 15 specimens from each sample for A. echolsi and C. porrectus and on 3–5 specimens from each sample for the more heavily calcified Buccella sp. 1. The measurements were carried out at the NERC Isotope Geosciences Laboratory (NIGL), British Geological Survey (Keyworth, UK) using an IsoPrime 100 dual-inlet mass spectrometer with Multiprep device. Isotope values were calculated according to the Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (VPDB) scale in parts per thousand using a within-run laboratory standard (KCM) calibrated to international NBS standards. Analytical reproducibility was 0.1 ‰ (1σ) for both δ18O and δ13C. To eliminate contamination by any organic carbon remaining in the test (the presence of the stain indicates that organic carbon is present within the tests), the samples were plasma ashed prior to analysis (at NIGL using an Emitech K1050X plasma asher). To test whether the plasma ashing process had any impact on the isotope composition of the foraminiferal calcite, five standards (KCM) were subjected to the same processing and analysed in parallel. In addition, to test whether the Rose Bengal stain had any impact on the isotope composition, five standards (KCM) mixed with Rose Bengal and five KCM standards mixed with Rose Bengal and plasma ashed were also analysed.

3 Results

3.1 Benthic foraminiferal assemblage results

A total of 1181 “live” (Rose Bengal stained) and 6505 dead specimens were identified from the sub-core sample sequences, representing 62 (36 “living” and 60 dead) taxon-omic groupings (See “Systematic taxonomy” section and the Supplement). The total abundance for the upper 10 samples from the sub-cores ranges from 6 to 16 g−1 for BC737, with the highest abundance evident in the 2–3 cm sample, and from 6 to 13 g−1 for BC738, with the highest abundance evident in the 0–1 cm sample. In 0–1 cm samples of the sub-cores, the ratio of “live” to dead specimens is 0.42 for BC737 and 0.32 for BC738 (Fig. 2). In BC737 this ratio decreases to 0.14 in the 3–4 cm sample before increasing to 0.37 in the 6–7 cm sample, mainly due to the high abundance of “live” M.
earlandi, and then decreasing to 0.08 in the 9–10 cm sample. In BC738 the “live”/dead ratio decreases steadily throughout the core to 0.02 in the 0–10 cm sample, apart from a small increase to 0.13 in the 6–7 cm sample. A small number of “live” taxa are still present in the 12–13 cm sample of both cores (4 specimens in BC737 and one in BC738).

The downcore variation in abundance of the 10 taxa with at least five “live” specimens in one or more samples are shown in Fig. 2 (for comparison the dead abundances of the same taxa are shown in Fig. 3). For six of these 10 taxa (A. echolsi, C. porrectus, F. fusiformis, Buccella sp. 1, M. earlandi, and D. scoresbyi), live specimens were recovered from a wide range of sediment depths, to at least 8 cm, in at least one of the cores. The remaining four taxa (L. scitula, Reophax subdentaliformis, G. rostrata, and *Hip-pocrepinella alba*) were found to be restricted to the upper 0 to 2 cm. The vertical range in living depths for individual species is presented in Fig. 4 where the error bars (1 SD) reveal a broad depth range of occurrences around the ALD for all species that do not present a restricted epifaunal/shallow infaunal microhabitat preference. There is a clear separation between the calcareous taxa, which were all found living at a range of sediment depths, and the agglutinated taxa, for which the majority were found living in the upper 2 cm. The exceptions to this are *M. earlandi* and *D. scoresbyi*, which were recorded “living” at a range of depths in BC737, as was *R. subdentaliformis* in BC738. The agreement between ALD and MLD is generally good, with a mean difference of 0.21 cm. However, in BC737 the difference between ALD and MLD for *D. scoresbyi* is 1.33 cm, with this difference
due to the bimodal depth distribution of “live” occurrences of this species. Whilst MLD and ALD are generally close within the same box core, there is a systematic difference between the living depths calculated for BC7373 and those calculated for BC738. For four of the five taxa where the difference is > 0.5 cm, both ALD and MLD are shallower in BC738, relative to BC737, with the exception being R. subdentaliformis, which is shallower in BC737.

The dead abundance of calcareous taxa in BC738 is stable throughout the core, whilst this abundance peaks between 2 and 4 cm in BC737 before becoming stable below this depth. This is in marked contrast to the dead abundance of agglutinated taxa (excluding Miliammina spp.), which shows a steady decline with depth in both cores such that, whilst agglutinated taxa are equal to or exceed calcareous taxa in dead assemblages in the upper core, calcareous taxa come to dominate dead assemblages as sediment depth increases. This trend appears linked to the preservation of taxa with epifaunal/shallow infaunal microhabitat preference as L. scitula,
3.2 Stable isotopes

Results from the test isotope samples (KCM plus Rose Bengal, KCM plasma ashed, plasma ashed KCM plus Rose Bengal), run to establish whether these processing methods could have any impact on the foraminiferal isotope results, revealed that the values from the treated standards for both $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{18}$O were within the normal “within-run” error (Table 2).

The stable isotope compositions of “live” specimens display a relatively wide range of values, from −1.20 to +0.72‰ for $\delta^{13}$C and from +2.55 to +3.56‰ for $\delta^{18}$O (Table 3). Mean $\delta^{13}$C values for Astronion echolsi, Cassidulinoides porrectus, and Buccella sp. 1 are $-0.84 \pm 0.15$‰, $-0.34 \pm 0.15$‰, and $+0.54 \pm 0.16$‰, respectively; mean $\delta^{18}$O values are $+2.85 \pm 0.20$‰, $+3.40 \pm 0.09$‰, and $+3.49 \pm 0.06$‰. When plotted on a $\delta^{13}$C / $\delta^{18}$O cross-plot (Fig. 5), samples from the same species cluster together, irrespective of the living depth. When $\delta^{13}$C is plotted against depth, it is clear that there is no systematic variation with depth (Fig. 6a). Similarly, no trends with depth are observed in $\delta^{18}$O.

4 Discussion

4.1 Benthic foraminiferal distributions

In this study we identified 24 of the 345 species identified by Earland (1933), including 13 of the 24 species identified as being most typical of South Georgia assemblages, and 7 of the 14 species Earland described as being particularly characteristic of the region. The taxa identified as being most abundant by Earland (1933) include many of the more abundant species identified here, such as M. earlandi. The majority of species recorded in this study are present at a range of locations around the Southern Ocean, but there are five species that may be endemic to South Georgia (e.g. Labrospira sp. 1, G. rostrata, Recurvoides sp. 1, Buccella sp. 1, and Buccella sp. 2). In a comprehensive review of a range a data sources, encompassing a wide diversity of taxa, Hogg et al. (2011)
highlighted the significance of endemism within the South Georgia shelf ecosystem and its importance to global biodiversity, and the identification of potentially unidentified species of foraminifera further adds to that diversity. The benthic foraminiferal assemblage documented here suggests that, whilst there may be partial isolation, the microfauna of South Georgia are connected to those of the rest of the Southern Ocean. A total of 20 species identified in this study were also recorded by Ishman and Domack (1994) in their study of the Bellingshausen Sea margin; 22 of the 105 species identified by Majewski (2005), from King George Island, are also recorded in this study, as are 11 of the 26 species identified by Majewski and Anderson (2009) from the Firth of Tay. The strong affinity with Antarctic Peninsula taxa suggests that the position of South Georgia in the path of the ACC downstream of the Antarctic Peninsula (AP) allows for a degree of microfaunal connectivity between the two. The species that are commonly recorded from both the AP and South Georgia in-

Table 2. Isotopic data from Rose Bengal test (STD: laboratory standard)

|                     | STD + 10 % Rose Bengal | STD + 10 % Rose Bengal plasma ashed | STD plasma ashed |
|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|
|                     | $\delta^{13}$C VPDB    | $\delta^{18}$O VPDB                | $\delta^{13}$C VPDB | $\delta^{18}$O VPDB |
| RBKCM 1             | 1.99                   | −1.77                              | RBKCM 1           | 1.92                   | −1.67 |
| RBKCM 2             | 1.91                   | −1.81                              | RBKCM 2           | 1.88                   | −1.89 |
| RBKCM 3             | 1.98                   | −1.76                              | RBKCM 3           | 1.91                   | −1.58 |
| RBKCM 4             | 1.96                   | −1.81                              | RBKCM 4           | 1.93                   | −1.72 |
| RBKCM 5             | 1.91                   | −1.89                              | RBKCM 5           | 1.91                   | −1.62 |
| Mean                | 1.95                   | −1.81                              | Mean              | 1.91                   | −1.69 |
| 1 SD                | 0.04                   | 0.05                               | 1 SD              | 0.02                   | 0.12 |

Figure 5. $\delta^{13}$C vs. $\delta^{18}$O cross-plot. Cross-plot of carbon and oxygen isotopic ratios for all samples analysed in this study. *Astrononion echolsi* – circular symbols; *Cassidulinoides porrectus* – square symbols; *Buccella* sp. 1 – triangular symbols (closed symbols – BC737, open symbols - BC738).
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include *Portatrochammina antarctica weisneri*, *Reophax subdentaliniformis*, *A. echolsi*, *Spiroplectammina biformis*, *Nonionella bradii*, *C. parkerianus*, *C. porrectus*, and *F. fusiformis*, many of which are among the more abundant taxa. A comparable level of similarity is seen with studies of Weddell Sea benthic foraminifera (Mackensen et al., 1990; Anderson, 1975).

There is less similarity between the South Georgia foraminiferal fauna and those recorded from more remote areas of the Southern Ocean. For example, only six of the taxa recorded here were also recorded by Herb (1971) from the Drake Passage. However, this may be due to the open-ocean/deep-water nature of these locations, rather than proximity, as there are greater levels of connectivity between our sites and more distal locations on the Antarctic shelf, including Pine Island Bay and Terra Nova Bay (Majewski, 2013; Violanti, 1996).

The decreasing abundance of “live” specimens with depth within the sediment (Fig. 2) reflects well-established gradients in organic carbon and oxygen availability (see Jorissen et al., 2007). The shallower ALD/MLD observed in BC738 across almost all taxa (Fig. 4) may indicate steeper oxygen and organic carbon concentration gradients at this location. Variation in these gradients between the two sites may be linked to differences in sedimentation rate or carbon flux, with the latter potentially linked to BC738’s closer proximity to seasonal phytoplankton blooms occurring north-west of South Georgia. The interruption in the decreasing “live” abundance trend seen in the agglutinated taxa in BC737 is the result of a peak in “live” abundance of a single species, *M. earlandi*, between 5 and 7 cm.

Dead abundances in both cores peak around the ALD/MLD for all taxa combined; the decline in dead abundances below this depth can be attributed to the physical and chemical breakdown of the tests. The decrease in dead abundance is more pronounced in the agglutinated taxa, indicating that the organic matrix of agglutinated tests has a greater susceptibility to taphonomic processes. An exception
Table 3. Isotopic data from “live” (stained) foraminifera.

| Core   | Depth | $\delta^{13}$C VPDB | $\delta^{18}$O VPDB | $\delta^{13}$C VPDB | $\delta^{18}$O VPDB | $\delta^{13}$C VPDB | $\delta^{18}$O VPDB |
|--------|-------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|        |       |                     |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |
| BC737  | 0–1 cm| $-0.87$              | $3.06$               | $-0.45$              | $3.42$               | $0.72$               | $3.56$               |
| BC737  | 1–2 cm| $-1.20$              | $2.77$               | $-0.52$              | $3.45$               | $0.42$               | $3.48$               |
| BC737  | 2–3 cm| $-0.98$              | $2.81$               | $-0.45$              | $3.36$               | $0.52$               | $3.47$               |
| BC737  | 3–4 cm| $-0.65$              | $2.92$               | $-0.31$              | $3.46$               | –                    | –                    |
| BC737  | 4–5 cm| $-0.93$              | $2.55$               | –                    | –                    | $0.78$               | $3.48$               |
| BC737  | 5–6 cm| $-0.73$              | $2.76$               | $-0.51$              | $3.46$               | $0.60$               | $3.43$               |
| BC737  | 6–7 cm| $-0.90$              | $2.58$               | $-0.59$              | $3.14$               | $0.48$               | $3.39$               |
| BC737  | 7–8 cm| $-0.78$              | $2.73$               | –                    | –                    | $0.31$               | $3.48$               |
| BC737  | 8–9 cm| $-0.73$              | $2.78$               | $-0.73$              | $3.29$               | $0.46$               | $3.59$               |
| BC737  | 9–10 cm| $-0.79$             | $2.76$               | $-0.46$              | $3.50$               | –                    | –                    |
| Mean   |       | $-0.86$              | $2.77$               | $-0.50$              | $3.38$               | $0.54$               | $3.49$               |
| 1 SD   |       | $0.16$               | $0.15$               | $0.12$               | $0.12$               | $0.16$               | $0.06$               |
| BC738  | 0–1 cm| $-0.86$              | $2.88$               | $-0.33$              | $3.42$               | NA                   | NA                   |
| BC738  | 1–2 cm| $-0.82$              | $2.84$               | $-0.20$              | $3.41$               | NA                   | NA                   |
| BC738  | 2–3 cm| $-0.81$              | $2.88$               | $-0.37$              | $3.41$               | NA                   | NA                   |
| BC738  | 3–4 cm| $-0.83$              | $2.88$               | $-0.19$              | $3.49$               | NA                   | NA                   |
| BC738  | 4–5 cm| $-1.03$              | $3.13$               | $-0.56$              | $3.37$               | NA                   | NA                   |
| BC738  | 5–6 cm| $-0.56$              | $3.34$               | $-0.38$              | $3.39$               | NA                   | NA                   |
| Mean   |       | $-0.82$              | $2.99$               | $-0.34$              | $3.41$               | NA                   | NA                   |
| 1 SD   |       | $0.15$               | $0.20$               | $0.14$               | $0.04$               | NA                   | NA                   |

4.2 Sediment living and calcification depths

Many of the most abundant infaunal taxa recorded in BC737 and BC738 do not appear to have a clear preference for a specific living depth. However, it is important to recognize the potential limitations of the Rose Bengal staining technique in identifying truly living specimens. Where decay rates are slow, Rose Bengal may stain foraminifera that have been dead for months to several years (Corliss and Emerson, 1990). It is therefore important to apply criteria that are as strict as possible when categorizing which specimens are living and which are dead (e.g. Fontanier et al., 2016; Caulle et al., 2015) and to bear this limitation in mind when considering live abundances arrived at through Rose Bengal staining. It is also possible for specimens identified as living at a certain depth interval to have been transported there after death by bioturbation (see Bernhard, 2000, and references therein). If bioturbation were controlling the distribution of specimens within our data, we would expect to see all taxa affected such that it would not be possible to identify any depth-restricted habitat preferences. The restricted distribution of L. scitula, R. subdentaliformis, and G. rostrata to epifaunal/very shallow infaunal environments contradicts bioturbation being prevalent at our sites. Furthermore, where decay rates are very slow, specimens that appear live at different depths within the sediment column may be significantly older than the overlying live assemblages. This is dependent on the sedimentation rate being high enough to bury specimens to a significant depth before decay is sufficiently progressed that staining with Rose Bengal will no longer occur, and such age control in not available for the samples studied here. Whilst it is important to recognize the limitations of Rose Bengal staining, it is simple, reliable, and inexpensive to use and is currently the most appropriate technique to apply when, as in this study, the samples are processed immediately upon collection (Bernhard, 2000). In addition, Murray and Bowser (2000) have proposed that, in oxygenated environments, dead tests are unlikely to retain protoplasm due to predation being the most likely cause of death. Whilst we did not directly measure the oxygenation of the sediment studied here, there was no indication of anoxia or dyoxia from the colour and odour of the sediments on recovery.

As discussed in the Introduction, it is commonly assumed that foraminifera calcify at their living depth and record the pore water $\delta^{13}$C$_{DIC}$ in their test isotopic composition.
or an isotopic composition offset from that of pore water by species-specific vital effects. Whilst downcore pore water $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{DIC}}$ data are not available for the cores studied here, records from various other locations consistently report that pore water $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{DIC}}$ decreases (becomes more negative) with increased sediment depth (e.g. McCorkle et al., 1997; Holsten et al., 2004; Loubere et al., 2011; Luo et al., 2013), before stabilizing below the oxygen penetration depth. Furthermore, Gehlen et al. (1999) have modelled how the interaction of oxic organic matter decay and CaCO$_3$ dissolution generate this gradient within marine sediments. We therefore assume that a negative gradient of some kind will be present in sediments from the South Georgia shelf. The observed increase in $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{foram}}$ with increased MLD (Fig. 7) is the opposite of the expected trend were each species calcifying at their respective MLD. However, there are multiple vital-effect factors that lead to different inter-species $\delta^{13}$C offsets despite specimens calcifying from waters with the same $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{DIC}}$ (see review in Ravelo and Hillaire-Marcel, 2007), and without prior knowledge of the impact of these vital effects for each species, we cannot use this as evidence that these species do not calcify at their MLD.

Assessing the intra-species isotope data from the three species analysed here, we note that the depth–$\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{foram}}$ relationship we would anticipate, were the foraminifera calcifying at the depth from which they were recovered, does not hold true; i.e. intra-species $\delta^{13}$C does not decrease with depth (Fig. 6a). The range of inter-species $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{18}$O compositions considerably exceeds the intra-species range (Fig. 5). Other studies that have reported isotope data from live foraminifera at a range of living depths have also noted limited variability in the intra-species stable isotope composition. For example, Bolivina spissa, Bulimina aculeata, Cicidoides wuellerstorfi, Uvigerina peregrina, Pleurostomella alternans, Globobulimina affinis, and G. pacifica (McCorkle et al., 1997) from midlatitude continental margins in the Atlantic and Pacific oceans show no systematic downcore isotope variations (Fig. 6b), even though the isotopic composition of the same species can vary significantly between locations (e.g. G. affinis) (for further examples, see McCorkle et al., 1990; Tachikawa and Elderfield, 2002; Schmiedl et al., 2003; Fontanier et al., 2006b, 2008). When we normalize our $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{foram}}$ data, and the data of McCorkle et al. (1997), by subtracting the mean for each species at each sample location, there is no trend away from that mean with depth (Fig. 6c) even though all pore water $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{DIC}}$ values in those locations (where measured) exhibit a gradient.

If the individual foraminifera were calcifying at the depth at which they were found living (as inferred by Fontanier et al., 2006b, and Theodor et al., 2016, amongst others), we would expect our intra-species $\delta^{13}$C data, and those of other studies (e.g. McCorkle et al., 1997), to reflect a decreasing $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{DIC}}$ gradient with depth, which they do not. The con-
sistency of intra-species isotope data (Fig. 6), despite their broad range of living depths (Figs. 2 and 6), are good evidence that benthic foraminifera calcify at a fixed depth location, and possibly within a specific season, because they fail to capture the variability of pore water $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$ that their observed living depth would expose them to.

### 4.3 Foraminineral calcification strategies

Many have concluded that the observed inter-species differences in $\delta^{13}C$ are due to species preferences for different depth microhabitats, and their position on the $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$ gradient, implying that calcification occurs infaunally at a specific, restricted depth for each species (e.g. McCorkle et al., 1990; Schmiedl et al., 2004; Theodor et al., 2016). To account for fixed intra-species $\delta^{13}C$ compositions of infaunal taxa requires calcification to only occur at certain times during the organism’s life when it is exposed to a fixed $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$ value. We discuss two previously proposed calcification strategies of infaunal species – at a specific depth within the sediment and within macro-/meio-faunal burrows – and propose a third, novel strategy: calcification at the sediment–seawater interface.

In the first strategy, calcification only occurs at a specific depth within the sediment and this depth relates to the preferred microhabitat of the species: broadly speaking epifaunal, shallow infaunal, or deep infaunal. There is evidence for this strategy in some species: for example Holsten et al. (2004) found that the $\delta^{13}C_{foram}$ in three species (Bolivina argentea, B. subadvena, and Balaminella tenuata) matched the pore water $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$ at their maximum live abundance depth. However, if the species studied here calcified at a preferred depth within the sediment, we need to question what is controlling/determining the narrow calcification depth range (in contrast to the observed wide living depth range) and why it is apparently not the ALD/MLD. We note that, on the South Georgia shelf, species with deeper ALD/MLD have heavier $\delta^{13}C$ compositions (Fig. 7), whilst pore waters are assumed to change (e.g. become isotopically lighter) with depth.

The second strategy involves calcification in association with the burrows of larger fauna, where voids within the sediment allow pore water isotopic composition to be homogenous across a range of depths (Loubere et al., 1995; Schmiedl et al., 2004), meaning that calcification within a burrow would result in the same $\delta^{13}C_{foram}$ composition regardless of depth. Loubere et al. (2011) have proposed that all calcareous foraminifera use this strategy, with the activities of macro-/meio-fauna allowing foraminifera to choose micro-habits with particular geochemical conditions within the complex “bio-irrigation” systems the larger fauna create.

Our third hypothesis, that calcification occurs at the sediment–seawater interface, is supported, in principal, by the presence of live specimens of many of the infaunal calcareous taxa recorded in this study (and e.g. McCorkle et al., 1997) within the top 2 cm of the sediment. Foraminifera are known to be capable of migrating throughout the sediment column (e.g. Linke and Lutze, 1993; Geslin et al., 2004) and the occurrence of infaunal species at these shallow depths within the sediment demonstrates their ability to migrate to the sediment–seawater interface. Weldeab et al. (2016) have recorded the presence of a steep $[CO_3]^{-}$ gradient between bottom water and pore water and also within the sediment column. This $[CO_3]^{-}$ gradient suggests that the sediment–seawater interface may provide the most energy-efficient location for calcification, due to the greater availability of carbonate ions. In addition, food (phytodetrital matter from the overlying water column) availability and/or type is greatest at the sediment–seawater interface. Whilst from the stable isotope data on living specimens published here and elsewhere, it is not possible to prove that the species were calcifying at the sediment–seawater interface, we conclude that this may be the simplest explanation for the stability of inter-species isotopic composition.

Alternatively, there may be no requirement to calcify at a specific depth, or within specific geochemical conditions, and foraminifera may be capable of calcifying at a range of sediment depths and a corresponding range of pore water $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$ compositions. Calcification across a range of sediment depths could result in foraminiferal calcite recording an average of the range in pore water $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$ compositions, with any inter-species variation accounted for by species-specific vital effects. The presence of “living” specimens of the species studied here at a range of sediment depths and the absence of a clear maximum “live” abundance depth are not inconsistent with this calcification strategy.

### 4.4 Implications of sediment–seawater interface calcification for palaeoenvironmental research

Were foraminiferal calcification only to occur at the sediment–seawater interface, why do inter-species $\delta^{13}C$ offsets vary on annual, decadal, centennial, and longer timescales? As discussed above (see Introduction), $\delta^{13}C$ offsets between epifaunal and infaunal taxa, assumed to be indicative of the pore water $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$ gradient, have been used to reconstruct past changes in organic matter flux and sea floor oxygenation (e.g. McCorkle and Emerson, 1988; Zahn et al., 1986; Schilman et al., 2003; Hoogakker et al., 2015). Considering our hypothesis that infaunal species migrate to the sediment–seawater interface to calcify, we propose that changes in epifaunal–infaunal offsets are controlled by the timing of calcification; epifaunal and shallow infaunal taxa calcify persistently, even during periods of relatively low $C_{org}$ availability (oligotrophic/mesotrophic conditions), and infaunal taxa calcify only during periods of high $C_{org}$ availability (eutrophic conditions). Deeper infaunal taxa may be opportunistic, potentially “hibernating” or at least not calcifying new chambers, at depth during oligotrophic periods when food is scarce and migrating to the sediment–seawater interface to feed and calcify during eutrophic periods.
Supporting this hypothesis, Ohga and Kitazato (1997) observed that, at their study site in Sagami Bay, Japan, all foraminifera where found living in the uppermost part of the sediment column during the peak of phytodetritus flux. Kitazato et al. (2000) have proposed that deep-infaunal taxa may migrate to the sediment–seawater interface to take advantage of phytodetrital input and to reproduce. Furthermore, in laboratory experiments Nomaki et al. (2005) recorded that many infaunal foraminifera, especially the taxa they classified as shallow or intermediate infauna, migrated upwards through the sediment column in response to an addition of food at the surface. This migration may be quite rapid, with foraminifera capable of moving through several millimetres of sediment in a day (e.g. Gross, 2000), although Witte et al. (2003) found that foraminifera took 8 days to respond to a phytodetritus pulse. In a simulated phytodetritus event Sweetman et al. (2009) found that the uptake of added carbon, labelled with $^{13}$C, was confined to surface-living specimens of the deep-infaunal Globobulimina turgida. However, we note that Enge et al. (2011) did not record a significant response by deeper infaunal taxa to a simulated phytodetritus pulse, although this may be due to the short duration (4 days) of their experiment. It is also important to note that it has been hypothesized that deep-infaunal taxa may have a preference for degraded organic matter (Caralp, 1989; Fontanier et al., 2003), which if correct may further delay their response to influxes of labile organic matter from phytodetrital pulses. Whilst these studies are consistent with our hypothesis that infaunal taxa migrate to the sediment–seawater interface during eutrophic conditions and calcify, no studies have yet recorded when calcification occurs.

In our scenario, infaunal $\delta^{13}$C records are therefore biased towards eutrophic conditions (even if this is just a brief seasonal pulse of $C_{org}$ to the sea floor), while epifaunal/shallow infaunal $\delta^{13}$C records are more reflective of mean annual conditions at the sea floor. Since deep-infaunal $\delta^{13}$C is biased towards periods of enhanced $C_{org}$ flux to the sea floor, downcore records from the $\delta^{13}$C of these taxa are potentially less sensitive to variability in $C_{org}$ flux. The abundance of opportunistic infaunal species, however, can increase dramatically by accelerating growth and/or reproduction during eutrophic episodes (e.g. Gooday, 1988; Gooday and Hughes, 2002; Fontanier et al., 2006a; Peck et al., 2015) due to greater organic carbon availability and their tolerance of lower oxygen concentrations (Piña-Ochoa et al., 2010). Downcore epifaunal $\delta^{13}$C is potentially a more sensitive indicator of variable $C_{org}$ flux and bottom water conditions (oxygenation). During periods of exceptionally high productivity, epifaunal $\delta^{13}$C may converge with infaunal $\delta^{13}$C records, reflecting a prolonged abundance of $C_{org}$ at the seafloor throughout the year.

5 Summary

In this study, we show that the diversity of benthic foraminifera does not vary greatly at our study sites across the South Georgia shelf and that there are similarities between the assemblages recorded here and those found on the Antarctic Peninsula and elsewhere on the Antarctica shelf, particularly among the more abundant taxa. We find that for most of the taxa in this study, there is no strong preference for a specific living depth, except for a small number of species that exhibit a preference for an epifaunal/shallow infaunal microhabitat (e.g. Labrospira scitula and Glaphyra-mmina rostrata).

We find little intra-species variation in $\delta^{13}$C of the tests of the “living” benthic foraminiferal species analysed here (Astrononion echolsi, Cassidulinoides porrectus, Buccella sp. 1), despite their recovery from a range of depths (and assumed pore water isotopic compositions) below the sea floor. The failure of $\delta^{13}$C$_{foram}$ from depth-restricted samples to document the pore water $\delta^{13}$C$_{DIC}$ gradient common to marine sediments suggests that infaunal taxa calcify at a fixed $\delta^{13}$C$_{DIC}$ and therefore depth (e.g. McCorkle et al., 1990; Schmiedel et al., 2004; Theodor et al., 2016). Whilst we are not able to conclusively state that the species studied here calcify at the sediment–seawater interface, this hypothesis is consistent with (i) the presence of “live” individuals of all the most abundant taxa in the upper 1–2 cm of sediment in this study (and other studies), (ii) the documented ability of foraminifera to migrate through the sediment column (e.g. Linke and Lutze, 1993; Geslin et al., 2004), (iii) the absence of a strong living depth preference in this study (and other studies), and (iv) the sediment–seawater interface being the most energetically favourable location for calcification (greatest $C_{org}$ and [CO$_3^{2-}$] availability).

We propose that epifaunal taxa live and calcify at the sediment–seawater interface throughout a wide range of organic carbon availability scenarios (oligotrophic to eutrophic). Infaunal species, however, may only calcify when the concentration of organic carbon at the seafloor is high and they migrate to the sediment–seawater interface to feed. In this scenario, temporal (downcore) variability in the offsets between epifaunal and infaunal $\delta^{13}$C$_{DIC}$ would reflect changes in the concentration of organic carbon to the seafloor, causing variation in $\delta^{13}$C$_{DIC}$ between oligotrophic and eutrophic conditions. Our hypothesis, therefore, has important implications for the use of benthic foraminiferal $\delta^{13}$C offsets as proxies of productivity and oxygenation and for the use of trace metal ratios (Mg / Ca, B / Ca) in reconstructing pore water temperature and $\Delta[$CO$_3^{2-}$]. However, we maintain that changes in epifaunal–infaunal foraminiferal $\delta^{13}$C offsets through time are likely to reflect the evolution of phytoplankton blooms and water mass chemistry (cf. Hoogakker et al., 2015). This study further highlights the need for a good understanding of foraminiferal ecology when utilizing isotopic composition in interpreting palaeoenvironmental change. Further work is
needed to investigate the timing and depth of calcification in calcareous benthic foraminifera and the test-forming depths for agglutinated benthic foraminifera.

6 Systematic taxonomy

The following species and taxonomic groupings are arranged in taxonomic order following the supra-generic classifications of Kaminski (2004) for the agglutinated taxa and Loeblich and Tappan (1988) for the calcareous walled taxa. Species identification is largely based on the works of Heron-Allen and Earland (1932a), Earland (1933), Anderson (1975), Mackensen et al. (1990), Ishman and Domack (1994), Violanti et al. (2001), and Majewski (2005, 2013). Eleven additional species have been described, recorded in benthic foraminiferal assemblage work on sediment from surface samples from other box cores and gravity cores collected from the South Georgia shelf (Table 1 and Fig. 1) that were not present in the sub-cores. Described species are illustrated in Figs. 8–14.

Family Rhabdamminidae Brady, 1884
Subfamily Rhabdammininae Brady, 1884
Genus Rhabdammina M. Sars in Carpenter, 1869.
Rhabdammina spp.
(Fig. 8:1)

Material. Thirteen specimens from six samples.

Description. Included in this group are any thick-walled tube fragments comprising coarsely agglutinated material with rough external surface and very smooth internal cavity.

Family Hippocrepinellidae Loeblich & Tappan, 1984 emend. Mikhalevich, 1995
Genus Hippocrepinella Heron-Allen & Earland, 1932b
Hippocrepinella alba Heron-Allen & Earland, 1932b
(Fig. 8:2)
1932b Hippocrepinella alba Heron-Allen & Earland: 259, pl. 1, figs. 16–18.
1933 Hippocrepinella alba Heron-Allen & Earland; Earland; 71, pl. 7, figs. 10–12.

Material. Twenty-eight specimens from seven samples.

Description. Unilocular, near spherical test; thin agglutinated wall; medium sand grains; rough surface; simple aperture produced at the end of an elongate neck; neck approximately two fifths of test length.

Remarks. As Lagenammina sphaerica Moreman was described from Silurian deposits in the USA, this cannot be the same species. However the morphological similarities are uncanny. The species described here does bear some similarity to the extant Lagenammina arenulata (Skinner) that is common at other Antarctic localities, but this species does not possess an elongate neck. Jones (1994) suggests that specimens similar to L. arenulata (Skinner), but with an elongate neck, belong to L. atlantica (Cushman). However, the original description of this species reveals that it too does not possess an elongate neck.

Genus Lagenammina Rhumbler, 1911
Lagenammina cf. sphaerica Moreman, 1930
(Fig. 8:6)
1930 Lagenammina sphaerica Moreman: 51, pl. 5, fig. 15.

Material. Forty-nine specimens from nine samples.

Description. Included in this group are any elongate tubular tests with thin, flexible walls; only broken tubes recovered in this study; specimens recorded here mainly composed of diatomaceous material.

Family Saccamminidae Brady, 1884
Subfamily Saccammininae Brady, 1884
Genus Lagenammina Rhumbler, 1911
Lagenammina cf. sphaerica Moreman, 1930
(Fig. 8:6)

Material. Seventy specimens from 13 pl. 5, fig. 15.

Description. Unilocular, near spherical test; thin agglutinated wall; medium sand grains; rough surface; simple aperture produced at the end of an elongate neck; neck approximately two fifths of test length.

Remarks. As Lagenammina sphaerica Moreman was described from Silurian deposits in the USA, this cannot be the same species. However the morphological similarities are uncanny. The species described here does bear some similarity to the extant Lagenammina arenulata (Skinner) that is common at other Antarctic localities, but this species does not possess an elongate neck. Jones (1994) suggests that specimens similar to L. arenulata (Skinner), but with an elongate neck, belong to L. atlantica (Cushman). However, the original description of this species reveals that it too does not possess an elongate neck.

Genus Saccammina Carpenter, 1869
Saccammina spp.
(Fig. 8:8)

Material. Four specimens from three samples.
Figure 8. (1) Rhabdammina spp. (BC738). (2) Hippocrepinella alba Heron-Allen and Earland (BC738). (3–5) Rhizammina spp. (BC738). (6) Lagenammina cf. sphaerica (Moreman) (BC737). (7) Psammosphaera bowmannii Heron-Allen and Earland (BC738). (8) Saccammina spp. (BC737 (D) 7–8 cm; BC738 (D) 0–1 cm). (9–11) Astrammina rara Rhumbler (BC737). (Scale bar 100 µm unless otherwise indicated.)
**Description.** Included in this group are any forms that have a globular, unilocular test, with a firm, fine to medium agglutinated wall held together with an organic cement, and a round aperture produced on a short neck.

Subfamily *Thurammininae* Miklukho-Maklay, 1963
Genus *Astrammina* Rhumbler in Wiesner, 1931
*Astrammina rara* Rhumbler in Wiesner, 1931
(Fig. 8:9–11)

1931 *Astrammina rara* Rhumbler, in Wiesner: 78, pl. 2, fig. 19.
1932b *Armorella sphaerica* Heron-Allen & Earland: 257, pl. 2, figs. 4–11.
1932b *Pelosphaera cornuta* Heron-Allen & Earland: 255, pl. 2, figs. 12–15.
1933 *Armorella sphaerica* Heron-Allen & Earland; Earland: 65, pl. 7, figs. 16–23.
1933 *Pelosphaera cornuta* Heron-Allen & Earland; Earland, 61, pl. 7, figs. 24–27.

**Material.** Twelve specimens from six samples.

**Description.** Large, near-spherical, unilocular test with a number of narrow “arms” extending in various directions, often along sponge spicules that are incorporated into the test wall. Wall firmly agglutinated, comprising fine to coarse sand grains, sponge spicules, and diatoms, with a grey to yellowish brown cement.

**Occurrence.** Originally described from the South Atlantic (Heron-Allen and Earland, 1932b) and subsequently recorded from South Georgia (Earland, 1933), both from surface samples.

**Remarks.** The synonymy of *Astrammina rara* Rhumbler, *Armorella sphaerica* Heron-Allen & Earland, and *Pelosphaera cornuta* Heron-Allen & Earland was demonstrated by DeLaca (1986) in an analysis of live Antarctic specimens.

Family *Psammosphearaeidae* Haeckel, 1894
Subfamily *Psammosphearinacae* Haeckel, 1894
Genus *Psammospheara* Schulze, 1875
*Psammospheara bowmanni* Heron-Allen & Earland, 1912
(Fig. 8:7)

1912 *Psammospheara bowmanni* Heron-Allen & Earland: pl. 5, figs. 5–6, pl. 6, fig. 5.

2010 *Capsammina bowmanni* (Heron-Allen & Earland); Gooday et al.: 349, pl. 1, figs. A & B.

**Material.** Four specimens from three samples.

**Description.** Free, monothalamous test, comprising several small flat grains of mica cemented by very fine cement that form an irregular polyhedral chamber. Openings are visible where the flakes meet and cement is absent, which may be the aperture or accidental.

**Occurrence.** Originally described from North Sea dredgings (Heron-Allen and Earland, 1912), it was subsequently recorded in the north-east Atlantic (Gooday et al., 2010).

**Remarks.** Heron-Allen and Earland (1912) were unable to assign a definite oral aperture, recording openings similar to those recorded here on some specimens but not all. Heron-Allen and Earland (1912) also note that this species is difficult to describe, as no two specimens look exactly alike. The flakes are arranged to approximately make an oval or flask shape, and the gaps, the size of which may vary considerably, between the flakes are then filled with cement, so that the cemented areas vary from narrow infill to broad flat areas.

*Psammospheara fusca* Schulze, 1875
(Fig. 9:1–2)

1875 *Psammospheara fusca* Schulze: 113, pl. 2, fig. 8.
1994 *Psammospheara fusca* Schulze; R. W. Jones: 31, pl. 18, figs. 1–8.
2003 *Psammospheara fusca* Schulze; Gaździcki & Majewski: 7, fig. 3 (1–2).

**Material.** Forty-six specimens from 15 samples.

**Description.** Small spherical test; usually unilocular, occasionally multiple chambers loosely joined. Agglutinated wall comprising medium to coarse grains in a fine cement.

**Occurrence.** Recorded from several locations in the Atlantic (Jones, 1994) and Antarctica (Gaździcki and Majewski, 2003), all from Recent sediments.

Family *Ammodiscidae* Reuss, 1862
Subfamily *Ammodiscinae* Reuss, 1862
Genus *Ammodiscus* Reuss, 1862
*Ammodiscus incertus* (d’Orbigny, 1839a)
(Fig. 9:5–6)

1839a *Operculina incerta* d’Orbigny: 49, pl. 6, figs. 16–17.
Figure 9. (1–2) *Psammosphaera fusca* Schulze (BC737 (D) 0–1 cm). (3) *Hormosinella* sp. (BD738). (4) *Miliammina lata* Heron-Allen and Earland (GC666_002). (5–6) *Ammodiscus incertus* (d’Orbigny) (BC737). (7–8) *Miliammina earlandi* Loeblich and Tappan (GC666_002). (9–10) *Hormosinelloides guttifer* (Brady) (BC667). (11) *Nodulina dentaliformis* (Brady) (BC737 (D) 0–1 cm). (12–13) *Reophax subdentaliniformis* Parr (BC738). (14) *Reophax subfusiformis* Earland emend. Höglund (BC667). (15) *Pseudonodosinella* sp. (BC737 (D) 9–10 cm). (16–18) *Labrospira scitula* (Brady) (BC738). (Scale bar 100 µm unless otherwise indicated.)
1996 *Ammodiscus incertus* (d’Orbigny); Violanti: 53, pl. 3, fig. 6.
2001 *Ammodiscus* sp.; Igarashi et al.: 142, pl. 2, fig. 5.
2013 *Ammodiscus incertus* (d’Orbigny); Majewski: 175, fig. 3(4).

**Material.** Overall, 109 specimens from 22 samples.

**Description.** Small test (ca. 200 µm diameter), generally flat but tending towards irregular coiling in the last whorls. Up to seven whorls in microspheric form and up to six in megalospheric form; sutures between whorls somewhat depressed; proloculus spherical and central. The agglutinated test wall comprises angular grains of sand in a cement matrix that is a yellow-brown colour. Aperture a simple opening at the end of the tubular chamber.

**Occurrence.** Recorded from a number of locations on the Antarctic shelf (Violanti, 1996; Igarashi et al., 2001; Majewski, 2013), from Recent sediments.

Family **Rzehakinidae** Cushman, 1933

Subfamily **Miliammininae** Saidova, 1981

Genus **Miliammina** Heron-Allen & Earland, 1930

*Miliammina earlandi* Loeblich & Tappan, 1955 (Fig. 9:7–8)

1933 *Miliammina oblonga* (Heron-Allen & Earland); Earland: 92, pl. 3, fig. 17, pl. 5, figs. 1–5, 7–8.
1955 *Miliammina earlandi* Loeblich & Tappan: 12, pl. 1, figs. 15–16.
1981 *Miliammina earlandi* Loeblich & Tappan; Milam & Anderson: 304, pl. 2, fig. 4.
1996 *Miliammina earlandi* Loeblich & Tappan; Violanti: 53, pl. 3, figs. 10–11.
2004 *Miliammina earlandi* Loeblich & Tappan; Murray & Pudsey: 71, pl. 1, figs. 8–9.

**Material.** Overall, 3240 specimens from 34 samples.

**Description.** Tubular chambers with a rounded peripheral edge, arranged in a quinqueloculine pattern; sutures slightly depressed, increasing as test size increases. Wall comprises very small mineral grains (whose composition is unclear) completely embedded in siliceous cement (insoluble in HCl), smooth/polished surface. Very light grey in colour, and large variation in test size. Crescentiform aperture is at the terminal end of the final chamber, flush or with a slight neck. There is considerable morphological variation within this species due to variation in the roundness of the chambers.

1930 *Miliammina lata* Heron-Allen & Earland: 43, pl. 1, figs. 13–17.
1933 *Miliammina lata* Heron-Allen & Earland; Earland: 93, pl. 3, fig. 17, p. 5, figs. 15–19.
1996 *Miliammina lata* Heron-Allen & Earland; Violanti: 52, pl. 3, fig. 12.
2001 *Miliammina lata* Heron-Allen & Earland; Igarashi et al.: 140, pl. 2, fig. 10.
2005 *Miliammina lata* Heron-Allen & Earland; Majewski: 193, fig. 12(8).
2013 *Miliammina lata* Heron-Allen & Earland; Majewski: 176, fig. 4(3).

**Material.** Sixty specimens from 13 samples.

**Description.** Quinqueloculine test; early chambers often not visible; inflated, broadly rounded chambers, broadening at the base. Slightly depressed sutures; thick, smooth, agglutinated wall comprising very small particles in an excess of siliceous cement. Small crescentiform aperture at the end of the final chamber.

**Occurrence.** Originally described from the South Atlantic (Heron-Allen and Earland, 1930) and subsequently reported from several locations of the Antarctic shelf (Milam and Anderson, 1981; Violanti, 1996; Murray and Pudsey, 2004), from Recent sediments.

**Remarks.** Whilst initially included in *Miliammina oblonga* (Chapman) by Heron-Allen and Earland (1930), this South Georgia form was later separated from *Miliammina arenacea* (Chapman) by Earland (1933) due to its more ovate and rounded outline and was renamed *Miliammina oblonga* Heron & Earland. However, Loeblich and Tappan (1955) recognized that this species name had previously been assigned to *Miliolina oblonga* (Montagu), incorrectly placed in *Miliammina*, and renamed it *Miliammina earlandi* (which we use here). Earland (1933) considered *M. earlandi* to be the most characteristic and commonest foraminifera of the South Georgia area.

*Miliammina lata* Heron-Allen & Earland, 1930 (Fig. 9:4)

1933 *Miliammina arenacea* (Chapman) by Heron-Allen and Earland (1930), this South Georgia form was later separated from *Miliammina lata* (Heron-Allen & Earland) and was renamed *Miliammina lata* Heron & Earland.

Family **Hormosinellidae** Rauzer-Chernousova & Reyting, 1986

1930 *Hormosinella arenacea* (Chapman) by Heron-Allen and Earland (1930), this South Georgia form was later separated from *Hormosinella arenacea* (Chapman) by Earland (1933) due to its more ovate and rounded outline and was renamed *Hormosinella arenacea* Heron & Earland. However, Loeblich and Tappan (1955) recognized that this species name had previously been assigned to *Miliolina oblonga* (Montagu), incorrectly placed in *Miliammina*, and renamed it *Miliammina earlandi* (which we use here). Earland (1933) considered *M. earlandi* to be the most characteristic and commonest foraminifera of the South Georgia area.
Genus *Hormosinella* Shchedrina, 1969

**Hormosinella sp.**
(Fig. 9:3)

**Material.** Three fragmentary specimens from one sample.

**Description.** Large, uniserial test; ovate/fusiform chambers separated by long, delicate, necks. Thin, agglutinated wall; rounded terminal aperture on elongate neck.

**Remarks.** Only recorded here as fragmentary specimens.

Genus *Hormosinelloides* Zheng & Fu, 2001

**Hormosinelloides guttifer** (Brady, 1881)
(Fig. 9:9–10)

1881 *Lituola (Reophax) guttifera* Brady: 49, pl. 31, figs 10–15.
1975 *Reophax guttifer* (Brady); Anderson: 74, pl. 1, fig. 18.
1994 *Hormosinella guttifer* (Brady); R. W. Jones: 38, pl. 31, figs. 10–15.
2005 *Hormosinelloides guttifer* (Brady); Kaminski & Gradstein: 249, pl. 46, figs. 1–8.

**Material.** Thirty-one specimens from four samples.

**Description.** Uniserial test comprising a series of approximately three to five pyriform chambers. Thin, agglutinated wall with a fairly rough finish. Simple, rounded aperture; terminal on an elongated neck.

**Occurrence.** Originally described from the South Atlantic by Brady (1881) who recorded that it was “exceedingly rare”, it was subsequently recorded from the Weddell Sea (Anderson, 1975), from Recent sediments.

**Remarks.** Placed in the genus *Hormosinelloides* by Kaminski and Gradstein (2005) because the chambers attach near the base of the apertural neck of the preceding chamber.

Family *Reophacidae* Cushman, 1927

Genus *Nodulina* Rhumbler, 1895

**Nodulina dentaliformis** (Brady, 1881)
(Fig. 9:11)

1881 *Lituola (Reophax) dentaliformis* Brady: 49, pl. 30, figs 21–22.
1994 *Reophax dentaliformis* (Brady); R. W. Jones: 37, pl. 30, figs. 21–22.
2017 *Nodulina dentaliformis* (Brady); Kender & Kaminski: pl. 8, fig. 1.

**Material.** Six specimens from one sample.

**Description.** Slender, elongate test with straight axis; three to six chambers; final chamber significantly larger and tapered; wall comprises sand grains in firm cement, with a smooth finish. Large, round terminal aperture on a short tubular neck of finer grains.

**Occurrence.** Recorded from the South Pacific, the North Atlantic (Brady, 1881; Jones, 1994), and the Bering Sea (Kender and Kaminski, 2017).

**Remarks.** *Nodulina dentaliformis* (Brady) is the type species of the genus *Nodulina*, which is distinguished from *Reophax* by its more symmetrical, regular chambers, horizontal sutures, and straighter axis.

Genus *Reophax* de Montfort, 1808

**Reophax subdentaliniformis** Parr, 1950
(Fig. 9:12–13)

1950 *Reophax subdentaliniformis* Parr: 269, pl. 4, fig. 20.
1996 *Nodulina subdentaliniformis* (Parr); Violanti: 53, pl. 3, fig. 18.
2005 *Nodulina subdentaliniformis* (Parr); Majewski: 194, fig. 13(6–7).

**Material.** Overall, 457 specimens from 25 samples.

**Description.** Slender, elongate test with a slight curvature; usually four to six chambers (may be difficult to distinguish); final chamber significantly larger and tapered. Wall comprises poorly sorted sand grains in firm cement, coarsely finished. Large, round terminal aperture on a short neck of finer sand grains.

**Occurrence.** Recorded from Recent Antarctic sediments (Parr, 1950; Violanti, 1996; Majewski, 2005).

**Remarks.** We have placed this species within the genus *Reophax* on the basis of the curvature of the test.

**Reophax subfusiformis** Earland, 1933 emend. Höglund, 1947
(Fig. 9:14)

1933 *Reophax subfusiformis* Earland: 74, pl. 2, figs. 16–19.
1947 *Reophax subfusiformis* Earland; Höglund: 77.
2004 *Reophax subfusiformis* Earland; Murray & Pudsey: 72, pl. 2, fig. 5.
2005 *Reophax subfusiformis* Earland; Kaminski & Gradstein: 275, pl. 54, figs. 1–8.
Figure 10. (1–2) Haplophragmoides bradyi niigatensis Uchio (1960) (BC737 (D) 0–1 cm). (3–4) Labrospira sp. 1 (GC666_002). (5) Haplophragmoides quadratus Earland (GC666_002). (6) Glaphyrammina americana (Cushman) (BC738). (7) Glaphyrammina rostrata (Heron-Allen and Earland) (BC738). (8) Pseudobolivina antarctica Wiesner (BC737 (D) 4–5 cm). (9–10) Recurvoides sp. 1 (BC667). (11–12) Deuterammina scoresbyi Brönnimann and Whittaker (GC666_002). (13) Spiroplectammina biformis (Parker and Jones) (BC738 (D) 9–10 cm). (14–16) Portatrochammina antarctica weisneri (Parr) (GC666_002). (Scale bar 100 µm unless otherwise indicated.)
Material. Seventeen specimens from 10 samples.

Description. Slender, elongate, slightly arched test comprising two to four chambers; final chamber large, tapering, and fusiform. Chambers increase rapidly in size; final chamber often comprising the majority of the test; depressed sutures; wall comprises poorly sorted sand grains in firm cement, coarsely finished. Large, round terminal aperture on a short neck.

Occurrence. This species was originally described by Earland (1933) from a number of stations near South Georgia. The description was subsequently emended by Höglund (1947) using specimens from the North Sea. Earland (1934) also reported its occurrence around the Falkland Islands, where it is widely distributed but rare at most localities. Kaminski and Gradstein (2005) report *R. subfusiformis* is reported from Recent sediments at high-latitude stations throughout the Atlantic and Southern Oceans.

Family Hormosinidae Haeckel, 1894
Subfamily Hormosininae Haeckel, 1894
Genus Pseudonodosinella Saidova, 1970
Pseudonodosinella sp. (Fig. 9:15)

Material. One specimen from one sample.

Description. Elongate, uniserial test; ovate chambers that overlap much of the preceding chamber, including the aperture. Firmly agglutinated, smoothly finished wall; terminal aperture.

Family Haplophragmoididae Maync, 1952
Genus Haplophragmoides Cushman, 1910

Haplophragmoides bradyi Robertson subsp. niigatensis Uchio, 1962 (Fig. 10:1–2)
1962 Haplophragmoides bradyi (Robertson) subsp. niigatensis Uchio: 385, pl. 18, fig. 6.
1975 Haplophragmoides bradyi (Robertson); Anderson: 76, pl. 2, fig. 8.

Material. Seventeen specimens from six samples.

Description. Small, subglobose, involute test; becomes slightly evolute and umbilicate in adult tests; rounded periphery, slightly lobulate. Chambers low and broad, somewhat inflated; five in final whorl, gradually increasing in size as added. Distinct, depressed, radiate sutures. Finely agglutinated wall with smooth surface, yellowish colour. Aperture an interiomarginal crescentic slit.

Occurrence. Originally described from Recent sediments from the Sea of Japan (Uchio, 1962), it was subsequently recorded from the Weddell Sea (Anderson, 1975).

Remarks. *Haplophragmoides bradyi niigatensis* Uchio differs from *Haplophragmoides bradyi* (Robertson) in having a less evolute and more inflated test.

Haplophragmoides quadratus Earland, 1934 (Fig. 10:5)
1934 Haplophragmoides quadratus Earland: 88, pl. 3, figs. 7–8.
1960 Haplophragmoides quadratus Earland; Uchio: 52, pl. 1, fig. 17, pl. 5, fig. 14.

Material. Forty-three specimens from seven samples.

Description. Planispiral, compressed test with rounded periphery; approximately square outline with rounded corners. Chambers globose, slightly inflated; four in final whorl. Distinct, depressed sutures. Aperture not clearly visible in the specimens examined here.

Occurrence. Originally described from Bellingshausen Sea, Antarctica (Earland, 1932).

Remarks. *Haplophragmoides quadratus* Uchio 1960, described from the Pacific coast of the USA, appears to be the same species and would therefore be a junior synonym.

Genus Labrospira Höglund, 1947

Labrospira scitula (Brady, 1881) (Fig. 9:16–18)
1881 Lituola (Haplopragmium) scitulum Brady: 50, pl. 34, figs 11–13.
1933 Haplophragmoides scitulum (Brady); Earland: 78, pl. 3, figs. 11–12.
1994 Veleronoides scitulus (Brady); Jones: 41, pl. 34, fig. 13.
? 1996 Recurvoides contortus Violanti: 39, pl. 4, fig. 11.
2017 Veleronoides scitulus (Brady); Kender and Kaminski: pl. 8, fig. 10.

Material. Overall, 328 specimens from 25 samples.

Description. Planispiral, partially evolute test, comprising three whorls with 8–11 chambers in the final whorl. Some specimens become slightly streptospiral in the final
whorl; fairly deep umbilicus on both sides. Sutures slightly curved to straight, radial, and very slightly depressed. Smooth-surfaced, thick agglutinated wall comprising coarse grains with yellow-brown cement; colour rapidly fades to white after death. Aperture an elongate oval-shaped areal opening, close to the base of the apertural face, with a fine-grained, raised lip surrounding the aperture.

**Occurrence.** Originally described from the North Atlantic (Brady, 1881); also recorded from the Bering Sea (Kender and Kaminski, 2017).

**Remarks.** *Labrospira scitula* (Brady) is also often placed within the genus *Veleroninoides*. However, we believe this designation to be incorrect as *L. scitula* (Brady) is not fully evolute – a diagnostic characteristic of *Veleroninoides*.

---

**Labrospira sp. 1**  
(Fig. 10:3–4)

**Material.** Overall, 236 specimens from 26 samples.

**Description.** Planispiral, slightly evolute test; slightly inflated chambers; six in the final whorl. Small umbilicus; straight, radial, slightly depressed sutures; smooth surface; thick agglutinated wall comprising coarse grains with yellow-brown cement. Aperture an areal, elongate slit, close to the base of the apertural face, with a very fine-grained, raised lip surrounding the aperture.

**Remarks.** Our material may represent the same species as that recorded by Murray and Pudsey (2004) as *Haplophragmoides canariensis* (d’Orbigny). We consider that this classification is incorrect, however, due to the significant differences in apertural characteristics.

---

**Family Discamminidae** Mikhailovich, 1980  
**Genus Glaphyrammina** Loeblich & Tappan, 1984  
**Glaphyrammina americana** (Cushman, 1910)  
(Fig. 10:6)

1910 *Ammobaculites americanus* Cushman; 117, figs. 184–185.  
1932a *Ammobaculites americanus* Cushman; Heron-Allen & Earland: 341, pl. 8, figs. 15–17.  
1994 *Glaphyrammina americana* (Cushman); Jones: 40, pl. 34, figs. 1–4.

**Material.** Six specimens from four samples.

**Description.** Very large broad and flattened test, initially planispiral becoming uniserial; spiral section slightly involute; nine chambers in the outer whorl. Wall comprises well-cemented, poorly sorted sand grains and occasional diatom frustules. Long, slit-like aperture extends the width of the final chamber.

**Occurrence.** Originally described off the west coast of Mexico (Cushman, 1910), later from the South Atlantic (Jones, 1994).

**Glaphyrammina rostrata** (Heron-Allen and Earland, 1929)  
(Fig. 10:7)

1929 *Ammobaculites rostratus* Heron-Allen & Earland; 326, pl. 2, figs. 14–17.  
1933 *Ammobaculites rostratus* Heron-Allen & Earland; Earland: 80, pl. 5, figs. 22–25.

**Material.** Overall, 278 specimens from 23 samples.

**Description.** Thin-walled, flattened, planispiral test. Sutures often indistinct, especially in smaller specimens; approximately six chambers in final whorl, partially evolute. In some specimens the chambers begin to uncoil to have two uniserial chambers; where this uncoiling does not occur the final chamber protrudes at an angle away from the spiral. The test is constructed of fine sand with larger grains distributed throughout this matrix. The final chamber terminates with a narrowing apertural neck, described as “nipple-like” in Heron-Allen and Earland (1929) that is much more neatly constructed, solely comprising fine sand (this structure is very fragile and often partially or completely absent).

**Occurrence.** This species has been described from the South Georgia region (Heron-Allen and Earland, 1929; Earland, 1933).

**Remarks.** Originally described by Heron-Allen and Earland (1929) within the genus *Ammobaculites*, here we place this species in the genus *Glaphyrammina* due to the flattened nature of the test. When the apertural neck is absent the specimens can resemble *Glaphyrammina americana* but can be separated by the less distinct sutures.

---

**Family Ammosphaeroidinidae** Cushman, 1927  
**Subfamily Recurvoidinae** Alekseychik-Mitskevich, 1973  
**Genus Recurvoides** Earland, 1934  
**Recurvoides sp. 1**  
(Fig. 10:9–10)

**Material.** Five specimens from three samples.
Description. Involute, subglobose test, streptospirally coiled in early stage, becoming planispiral. Broad, low chambers. Agglutinated wall, with a fairly rough surface. Simple, areal, aperture, just above the base of the final chamber.

Remarks. These specimens are placed in the genus Recurvoides on the basis of wall and apertural characteristics. Due to their small size, it has not been possible to definitively identify the coiling pattern.

Family Spiroplectamminidae Cushman, 1927
Subfamily Spiroplectamminae Cushman, 1927
Genus Spiroplectammina Cushman, 1927
Spiroplectammina biformis (Parker and Jones, 1865) (Fig. 10:13)

1865 Textularia agglutinans var. biformis Parker & Jones: 370, pl. 15, figs. 23–24.
1932a Spiroplectammina biformis (Parker & Jones); Heron-Allen & Earland: 347, pl. 8, figs. 27–31.
1994 Spiroplectammina biformis (Parker & Jones); R. W. Jones: 50, pl. 45, figs. 25–27.
1994 Spiroplectammina biformis (Parker & Jones); Ishman and Domack: 150, pl. 1, fig. 4.
2003 Spiroplectammina biformis (Parker & Jones); Gaździcki & Majewski: 8, fig. 4 (5).
2005 Spiroplectammina biformis (Parker & Jones); Majewski: 195, fig. 14 (3–5).
2009 Spiroplectammina biformis (Parker & Jones); Rodrigues et al.: 214, fig. 3 (9).

Material. Twenty-three specimens from 11 samples.

Description. Very small biserial test, tapered at the base; chambers rapidly enlarging as they are added to give broad maximum width. Wall comprises fine sand with little cement such that the surface is fairly rough. Sutures clearly visible and slightly depressed. Aperture loop-shaped extending up the face of the final chamber.

Occurrence. Originally described from Antarctica (Wiesner, 1931) and subsequently recorded in Recent sediments from a number of locations on the Antarctic shelf (Anderson, 1975; Milam and Anderson, 1981; Mackensen et al., 1990; Violanti, 1996).

Remarks. Pseudobolivina antarctica is also described in Igarashi et al. (2001) and Majewski (2013). However, the slit-like aperture shown by these authors does not agree with the type description, suggesting it has been incorrectly assigned (these specimens may be Textularia antarctica).

Family Trochamminidae Schwager, 1877
Subfamily Trochamininae Schwager, 1877
Genus Portatrochammina R. J. Echols, 1971
Portatrochammina antarctica weisneri (Parr, 1950) (Fig. 10:14–16)

1950 Trochammina wiesneri Parr: 279, pl. 5, fig. 14.
1975 Portatrochammina wiesneri (Parr); Anderson: 92, pl. 3, fig. 5.
1988 Portatrochammina antarctica wiesneri (Parr); Brönnimann & Whittaker: 68, figs. 25D–F, 26H–K, 27D–I.
1994 *Portatrochammina antarctica* (Parr); Ishman & Domack: 150, pl. 1, fig. 5.
1996 *Portatrochammina antarctica* (Parr); Violanti: 55, pl. 4, figs. 14–15.
2001 *Portatrochammina antarctica antarctica* (Parr); Igarashi et al.: 145, pl. 4, fig. 6.
2004 *Portatrochammina antarctica* (Parr); Murray & Pudsey: 71, pl. 1, figs. 14–16.
2009 *Portatrochammina antarctica* (Parr); Majewski & Anderson: 138, fig. 3.
2013 *Portatrochammina antarctica* (Parr); Majewski: 178, fig. 6(4).

**Material.** Overall, 383 specimens from 31 samples.

**Description.** Low, trochospiral test of approximately 17 chambers, slightly convex on the spiral side and shallowly concave on the umbilical side; three whorls with five chambers in the final whorl. Well-defined, moderately depressed sutures producing slightly lobate outline. Periphery sub-acute in edge view. Aperture covered by a large umbilical flap that covers much of the umbilical depression (diagnostic of this genus); parts of the apertural flaps of preceding chambers also visible. Agglutinated, imperforate wall, coarser on spiral side. Yellowish-brown colour that is markedly darker (almost reddish) in earlier chambers.

**Occurrence.** Originally described from Antarctica (Parr, 1950) and subsequently recorded from around Antarctica (Anderson, 1975; Brönnimann and Whittaker, 1988; Ishman and Domack, 1994; Violanti, 1996; Igarashi et al., 2001; Murray and Pudsey, 2004; Majewski and Anderson, 2009; Majewski, 2013).

**Remarks.** *Portatrochammina antarctica wiesneri* (Parr) can be distinguished from *Portatrochammina antarctica antarctica* (Parr) by its flatter, smoother test and subacute peripheral margin.

Subfamily *Polystomammininae* Brönnimann & Beurlen, 1977

Genus *Deuterammina* Brönnimann, 1976

*Deuterammina scoresbyi* Brönnimann & Whittaker, 1988
(Fig. 10:11–12)

1988 *Deuterammina scoresbyi* Brönnimann & Whittaker: 116, fig. 43(A–J).

**Material.** Overall, 207 specimens from 26 samples.

**Description.** Low trochospiral test, becoming planispiral in the final whorl; spiral side slightly depressed centrally; deep wide umbilical depression. Sutures distinct, straight, radial, slightly depressed; 20 chambers including the proloculus arranged in three whorls; six to seven slightly inflated chambers in the final whorl. Primary aperture interiomarginal, sub-Peripheral loop-shape that widens towards the spiral side with a lip; secondary aperture at umbilical tip of chamber, may have a lip; both apertures present on every chamber. Agglutinated, imperforate wall.

**Occurrence.** Originally described from the Bellinghausen Sea and the South Sandwich Islands (Brönnimann and Whittaker, 1988).

**Remarks.** Brönnimann and Whittaker (1988) describe the wall as being almost entirely composed of barite spherules/ovoids. This is not the case in the specimens described here, although some ovoids were observed. Additionally the specimens described here have six to seven chambers in the final whorl, rather than the eight described in Brönnimann and Whittaker (1988).

Subfamily *Trochamminellinae* Brönnimann, Zaninetti & Whittaker, 1983

Genus *Pseudotrochammina* Frerichs, 1969

*Pseudotrochammina sp. 1* (Fig. 11:1)

**Material.** Overall, 182 specimens from 21 samples.

**Description.** Low trochospiral test; globular chambers that rapidly increase in size; four in final whorl. Depressed sutures; smoothly finished, finely agglutinated wall; areal elongate slit aperture located on the umbilical side but not in the umbilicus.

Family *Cyclamminidae* Marie, 1941

Subfamily *Cyclammininae* Marie, 1941

Genus *Cyclammina* Brady, 1879

*Cyclammina cf. contorta* Pearcey, 1914
(Fig. 11:2)

1914 *Cyclammina contorta* Pearcey: 1009, pl. 2, figs. 5–7.

**Material.** One specimen from one sample.

**Description.** Very large, planispiral, involute, somewhat compressed test; rounded periphery; very slightly lobulate margin. Numerous chambers (ca. 15) in the final whorl; sutures straight. Finely agglutinated, surface smooth, slightly iridescent. Aperture appears to be an interiomarginal, equatorial low arch, although this is poorly preserved on the only specimen found in this study. Apertural face of the final...
Figure 11. (1) *Pseudotrechammina* sp. 1 (BC737 (D) 0–1 cm). (2) *Cyclammina* cf. contorta Pearcey (BC667). (3–4) *Textularia earlandi* Parker (GC666_002). (5 and 8–9) *Quinqueloculina* cf. *occidentalis* Bailey (BC738). (6–7) *Cornuspira antarctica* Rumbler (BC671; GC666_002). (10–11) *Quinqueloculina seminula* (Linnaeus) (BC737 (D) 0–1 cm; BC737 (D) 3–4 cm). (12) *Quinqueloculina* cf. *sublineata* (Brady) (BC671). (13) *Pyrgo patagonica* (d’Orbigny) (GC673_544). (14) *Pyrgo* cf. *subpisum* Parr (1950) (GC673_544). (15) *Triloculina* sp. (BC737 (D) 0–1 cm). (16) *Astacolus* sp. (BC671). (17–18) *Laevidentalina communis* (d’Orbigny) (GC666_002; BC737 (D) 3–4 cm). (Scale bar 100 µm unless otherwise indicated.)
chambers much more coarsely agglutinated with a rough surface; no evidence of additional apertures.

**Occurrence.** *Cyclammina contorta* Pearcy was originally described from the Weddell Sea, Antarctica.

**Remarks.** The species described here differs only in its sutural characteristics, being straighter and less dark in colour.

**Family** Textulariidae Ehrenberg, 1838  
**Subfamily** Textulariinae Ehrenberg, 1838  
**Genus** Textularia Defrance, 1824  
**Textularia earlandi** Parker, 1952  
(Fig. 11:3–4)

1933 *Textularia tenuissima* Earland: 95, pl. 3, figs. 21–30.  
1952 *Textularia earlandi* Parker: 458 (see synonymy).  
1996 *Textularia earlandi* Parker; Violanti: 57, pl. 5, figs. 13–14.  
2010 *Textularia tenuissima* Earland; Majewski: 65, fig. 2(2).

**Material.** Forty-nine specimens from 18 samples.

**Description.** Very small, delicate, elongate test. Initial chambers coiled in both microspheric (tapered end) and megalospheric (rounded end) forms, becoming biserial. Numerous subquadrates, rounded chambers that enlarge slightly towards the terminal end. Distinct, slightly depressed sutures. Aperture a low interiomarginal arch on the face of the final chamber. Fairly smooth wall comprising fine grains with little cement visible.

**Occurrence.** Originally described from South Georgia (Earland, 1933); subsequently recorded from the Ross Sea (Violanti, 1996) and the Antarctic Peninsula (Majewski, 2010).

**Remarks.** Originally described from South Georgia as *Textularia tenuissima* Earland, this was however preoccupied by *Textularia tenuissima* Häusler 1881. Therefore, Parker (1952) proposed the new name *Textularia earlandi*. Morphologically it is very similar to *Textularia elegans* Lacroix, found in the Mediterranean.

**Family** Cornuspiridae Schultze, 1854  
**Subfamily** Cornuspirinae Schultze, 1854  
**Genus** Cornuspira Schultze, 1854  
**Cornuspira antarctica** Rhumbler in Wiesner, 1931  
(Fig. 11:6–7)

1931 *Cornuspira antarctica* Rhumbler in Wiesner: 101, pl. 14, figs. 164–166.

**Material.** Two specimens from two samples.

**Description.** Discoidal test comprising two chambers, the globular proloculus and the planispirally enrolled, undivided, near-evolute second chamber. Calcareous, imperforate, porcellaneous wall with transverse growth lines. Aperture simple opening at end of tube.

**Occurrence.** Originally described from Antarctica (Wiesner, 1931).

**Family** Hauerinidae Schwager, 1876  
**Subfamily** Hauerininae Schwager, 1876  
**Genus** Quinqueloculina d’Orbigny, 1826  
**Quinqueloculina cf. occidentalis** Bailey, 1851  
(Fig. 11:5 and 11:8–9)

1851 *Quinqueloculina occidentalis* Bailey: 13, figs. 46–48.  
2013 *Quinqueloculina sp.* Majewski: 179, fig. 7 (6).  
2013 *Quinqueloculina seminula* (Linnaeus); Rodrigues et al.: 214, fig. 3 (6–7).

**Material.** Twenty-one specimens from seven samples.

**Description.** Small, elongate, subovate test, quinqueloculine chamber arrangement, rounded periphery, long, narrow chambers that rapidly increase in size as added. Calcareous, smooth, thin, porcellaneous wall; distinct, somewhat depressed sutures; aperture a terminal high arch with a small tooth.

**Occurrence.** *Quinqueloculina occidentalis* Bailey was originally described from the North Atlantic. Similar specimens have been recorded from Antarctica (Majewski, 2013; Rodrigues et al., 2013) but never previously assigned to *Q. occidentalis* Bailey.

**Remarks.** The specimens described here appear quite similar to *Quinqueloculina occidentalis* Bailey, although the very brief original description hinders any certainty in this designation. The specimens also share a number of features with *Triloculinella antarctica* Kennett, but the absence of a broad apertural flap indicates that this species does not belong within the genus *Triloculinella*; its placement within this genus by Majewski (2005) is therefore incorrect.

**Quinqueloculina seminula** (Linnaeus, 1758)  
(Fig. 11:10–11)
1758 Serpula seminulum Linnaeus: 786, pl. 2, fig. 1.
1932a Miliolina seminulum (Linnaeus); Heron-Allen & Earland: 313, pl. 6, figs. 25–40.
1981 Quinqueloculina seminulum (Linnaeus); Milam and Anderson: 307, pl. 5, fig. 5.
1994 Quinqueloculina seminulum (Linnaeus); Jones: 21, pl. 5, fig. 6, text figs. 2–3.
2013 Quinqueloculina seminulum (Linnaeus); Rodrigues et al.: 214, fig. 3 (6–7).

Material. Two specimens from two samples.

Description. Slightly compressed ovate test, rounded at base, more angular at apertural end, rounded triangular in section. Quinqueloculine coiling; five chambers visible in final whorl, four from one side and three from the other; more convex on the four-chambered side. Calcareous, imperforate, porcellaneous wall; smooth surface. Large, terminal, horseshoe-shaped aperture with a small bifid tooth.

Occurrence. Recorded from the North Atlantic in the Challenger material (Jones, 1994) and from Antarctica by Milam and Anderson (1981).

Quinqueloculina cf. sublineata (Brady, 1884) (Fig. 11:12)

1884 Miliolina circularis var. sublineata Brady: 169, pl. 4, fig. 7.
1994 Triloculinella sublineata (Brady); R. W. Jones: 20, pl. 4, fig. 7.

Material. One specimen from one sample.

Description. Test near-circular in outline; compressed, rounded section. Quinqueloculine coiling; five chambers visible in final whorl; calcareous, imperforate, porcellaneous wall; terminal, low, broad, arch-shaped aperture. Surface ornamented with very delicate, longitudinal striae and large pits.

Remarks. The absence of an apertural flap in the specimen described here, and in the image of Jones (1994), plus the presence of five chambers in the final whorl, leads us to place it within Quinqueloculina. This specimen differs from the specimen figured in Brady (1884) and Jones (1994) in the presence of large pits that cover its surface.

Genus Pyrgo Defrance, 1824

Pyrgo cf. subpisum Parr, 1950 (Fig. 11:14)
1950 Pyrgo subpisum Parr: 297, pl. 7, figs. 5–6.

Material. One specimen from one sample.

Description. Large, subglobular test, near-circular from front, rounded periphery; two chambers visible in final whorl (biloculine). Calcareous, imperforate, porcellaneous wall; large, ovate, terminal aperture, surrounded by a thickened, raised rim; unusual large tooth with three lobes.

Occurrence. Pyrgo subpisum Parr was described from a number of locations in the southern Indian Ocean.

Remarks. The specimen described here is very similar to Pyrgo subpisum Parr, differing only in the unusual tooth characteristics.

Pyrgo patagonica (d’Orbigny, 1839b) (Fig. 11:13)

1839b Biloculina patagonica d’Orbigny: 65, pl. 3, figs. 15–17.
1932a Biloculina patagonica d’Orbigny; Heron-Allen & Earland: 311, pl. 6, figs. 4–6.
2004 Pyrgo patagonicum (d’Orbigny); Mikhailovich: 188, pl. 3, figs. 6–7.

Material. One specimen from one sample.

Description. Large, subglobular test, ovate from front, rounded periphery; two inflated chambers visible in final whorl (biloculine). Calcareous, imperforate, porcellaneous, think wall, with a smooth, shiny surface; medium, round, terminal aperture, surrounded by a small, thickened, raised rim; bifid tooth.

Occurrence. Originally described from the Patagonian coast (d’Orbigny, 1839b).

Genus Triloculina d’Orbigny, 1826

Triloculina sp. (Fig. 11:15)

Material. Twenty-three specimens from 11 samples.

Description. Ovate test, triangular in section with rounded corners; triloculine, three chambers visible in final whorl. Chambers slightly inflated; sutures somewhat depressed; calcareous, imperforate, porcellaneous wall; smooth surface. Terminal, rounded aperture; no tooth visible.

Remarks. The specimen described here is very similar to Triloculina d’Orbigny, 1826.

Family Nodosariidae Ehrenberg, 1838

Subfamily Nodosariinae Ehrenberg, 1838

Genus Laevidentalina Loeblich & Tappan, 1986
Laevidentalina communis (d’Orbigny, 1826)  
(Fig. 11:17–18)

1826 Nodosaria (Dentalina) communis d’Orbigny: 254 (no type figure).
1996 Dentalina communis (d’Orbigny); Violanti: 59, pl. 6, fig. 11.
2005 Dentalina communis (d’Orbigny); Majewski: 201, fig. 20(2–3).
2013 Laevidentalina communis (d’Orbigny); Majewski: 181, fig. 9(2).

**Material.** Two specimens from two samples.

**Description.** Elongate, slightly arcuate test; fusiform, apiculate proloculus, followed by a number of uniserially arranged chambers; straight, horizontal sutures. Calcareous, hyaline, finely perforate wall; smooth surface; multilamellar, terminal aperture damaged in our specimens.

**Occurrence.** Recorded from Antarctica in Recent sediments (Violanti, 1996; Majewski, 2005, 2013)

**Remarks.** Placed within the genus Laevidentalina due to the absence of costae.

Genus Lotostomoides Hayward & Kawagata, 2012

**Lotostomoides calomorphum** (Reuss, 1866)  
(Fig. 12:1–2)

1866 Nodosaria (Nodosaria) calomorpha Reuss: 129, pl. 1, figs. 15–19.
1933 Nodosaria calomorpha Reuss; Earland: 117, pl. 4, fig. 19.
1994 Glandulonodosaria calomorpha (Reuss); Jones: 72, pl. 61, figs. 23–26.

**Material.** Six specimens from four samples.

**Description.** Small, elongate, slightly arcuate test comprising two to four sausage-shaped chambers. Simple, round, terminal aperture. Thin, hyaline, calcareous wall, with smooth surface; sutures straight and horizontal.

**Occurrence.** Reported from South Georgia (Earland, 1933) and the South Atlantic (Jones, 1994).

Genus Nodosaria Lamarck, 1812

**Nodosaria simplex** Silvestri, 1872  
(Fig. 12:3–4)

1872 Nodosaria simplex Silvestri: 95, pl. 11, figs. 268–272.
1994 Nodosaria simplex Silvestri; Jones: 73, pl. 62, figs. 4–5.

**Material.** Two specimens from two samples.

**Description.** Small, slightly elongate test comprising two spherical/ovate chambers of approximately the same size; straight, depressed suture between the chambers. Both chambers narrow terminally: the upper towards the aperture, whilst the lower becomes apiculate. Calcareous, hyaline, perforate wall, smooth and unornamented. Terminal, rounded aperture with radiating grooves.

Family Vaginulinidæ Reuss, 1860

Subfamily Lenticulininae Chapman, Parr & Collins, 1934

Genus Lenticulina Lamarck, 1804

**Lenticulina asterizans** Parr, 1950  
(Fig. 12:5)

1950 Lenticulina (Robulus) asterizans Parr: 322, pl. 11, figs. 9–10.

**Material.** One specimen from one sample.

**Description.** Planispiral, involute, lenticular test; narrow rounded keel on periphery; eight chambers in final whorl. Limbate, flush sutures; triangular, slightly rounded apertural face; calcareous, hyaline, smooth wall. Aperture damaged in the specimen described here.

**Occurrence.** Originally described from Antarctica (Parr, 1950).

Subfamily Marginulininae Wedekind, 1937

Genus Astacolus de Montfort, 1808

**?Astacolus sp.**  
(Fig. 11:16)

**Material.** One specimen from one sample.

**Description.** Elongate, flattened test; low, broad chambers added on a slightly curved axis; strongly oblique, curved sutures; rounded periphery; smooth, perforate, calcareous wall; terminal, radiate aperture.

Family Lagenidæ Reuss, 1862

Genus Lagena Walker & Jacob in Kanmacher, 1798

**Lagena substriata** Williamson, 1848  
(Fig. 12:6–7)
Figure 12. (1–2) Lotostomoides calomorphum (Reuss) (BC737 (D) 2–3 cm). (3–4) Nodosaria simplex Silvestri (GC666_119; GC666_159). (5) Lenticulina asterizans Parr (GC666_127). (6–7) Lagena substriata Williamson (BC737 (D) 4–5 cm; BC738 (D) 5–6 cm). (8) Lagena multilatera McCulloch (GC666_002). (9) Hyalinometrin cf. gracillimum (Seguenza) (GC666_295). (10) Procerolagena distoma (Parker and Jones M.S.) (GC666_127). (11) Pygmaeouseistron hispidulum (Cushman) (BC738). (12) Pygmaeouseistron sp. (BC738 (D) 2–3 cm). (13) Oolina spp. (BC738 (D) 8–9 cm). (14) Fissurina sp. 1 (GC666_002). (15) Favulina squamosa (Montagu) (GC666_124). (16–17) Oolina globosa (Montagu) (BC737; BC738 (D) 3–4 cm). (18) Vasicostella striatopunctata (Parker and Jones) (BC660). (19) Fissurina subfornosa Parr (BC737 (D) 2–3 cm). (20) Fissurina sp. 2 (GC666_002). (21) Parafissurina fusiformis Wiesner (GC666_002). (22) Bolivinellina earlandi (Parr) (GC666_002). (23) Bolivinellina pseudopunctata (Höglund) (GC666_002). (24–25) Cassidulinoides parkeri (Brady) (GC666_002). (Scale bar 100 µm unless otherwise indicated.)
1848 *Lagena substriata* Williamson: 15, pl. 2, fig. 12.
1994 *Lagena substriata* Williamson; Loeblich & Tappan: 79, pl. 138, figs. 1–5.
2001 *Lagena substriata elegantula* R. W. Jones; Igarashi et al.: 148, pl. 7, fig. 5.
2009 *Lagena weisneri* Parr; Majewski & Anderson: 139, fig. 4.

**Material.** Four specimens from three samples.

**Description.** Unilocular, ovate test that may be significantly elongated. Calcareous, hyaline wall ornamented with numerous delicate, parallel, longitudinal costae. Simple aperture with phialine lip at the end of a long neck. Some of the costae continue up the neck, either straight up or spiralling around the neck. Some specimens also present a honeycomb pattern on the base of the test.

**Occurrence.** Originally described from the Recent of England (Williamson, 1848), this species has also been described from the Timor Sea (Loeblich and Tappan, 1994) and Antarctica (Igarashi et al., 2001; Majewski and Anderson, 2009).

---

**Lagena multilatera** McCulloch, 1977
(Fig. 12:8)

1977 *Lagena multilatera* McCulloch: 40, pl. 50, fig. 5.
1994 *Lagena multilatera* McCulloch; Jones: 65, pl. 58, figs. 2–3, 7–8, 22–24.

**Material.** Five specimens from three samples.

**Description.** Unilocular, fusiform test. Calcareous, hyaline wall; approximately eight longitudinal costae, high, narrow, tapering, some of which continue onto neck. Small, round, terminal aperture produced on a long delicate neck.

**Occurrence.** McCulloch (1977) described this species from deep water off Bikini Atoll, Pacific Ocean; subsequently recorded from the South Pacific and Southern Ocean (Jones, 1994).

---

**Genus Hyalinonetrion** Patterson & Richardson, 1987

**Hyalinonetrion cf. gracilimum** (Seguenza, 1862)
(Fig. 12:9)

1862 *Amphorina gracilima* Seguenza: 51, pl. 1, fig. 37.
1994 *Procerolagena gracilima* (Seguenza); Jones: 62, pl. 56, figs. 19–22, 24–29.
2005 *Hyalinonetrion gracilimum* (Seguenza); Majewski: 201, fig. 20(7).
2015 *Procerolagena gracilima* (Seguenza); Setoyama & Kaminski: 23, fig. 8(28).

1864 *Lagena distoma* Parker & Jones in Brady: 467, pl. 48, fig. 6.
1981 *Lagena sulcata* var. *distomapolita* Milam & Anderson: 308, pl. 6, fig. 2.
1994 *Hyalinonetrion distoma* (Parker & Jones); Loeblich & Tappan: 77, pl. 137, fig. 9.

**Material.** Thirteen specimens from nine samples.

**Description.** Unilocular, fusiform test. Calcareous, hyaline wall; approximately eight longitudinal costae, high, narrow, tapering, some of which continue onto neck. Small, round, terminal aperture produced on a long delicate neck.

**Occurrence.** McCulloch (1977) described this species from deep water off Bikini Atoll, Pacific Ocean; subsequently recorded from the South Pacific and Southern Ocean (Jones, 1994).

**Remarks.** Whilst Jones (1994) retained this species in *Procerolagena*, as he considered *Hyalinonetrion* to be a junior synonym of *Procerolagena*, here we place it in *Hyalinonetrion* due to the absence of any longitudinal ornamentation (Loeblich and Tappan, 1988).

---

**Genus Procerolagena** Puri, 1954

**Procerolagena distoma** (Parker & Jones M. S. in Brady, 1864)
(Fig. 12:10)

1913 *Lagena hispidula* Cushman: 14, pl. 5, figs. 2–3.
2013 *Pygmaeoseistron hispidulum* (Cushman 1913); Majewski: 180, fig. 8(11).

**Material.** Two specimens from two samples.

**Description.** Unilocular flask-shaped/ovoid test that rapidly narrows to a tubular neck (delicate and often broken, as in figured specimen), evenly covered in fine hispid ornamentation.

**Occurrence.** Originally described from the North Pacific (Cushman, 1913); also recorded from the Amundsen Sea (Majewski, 2013).

*Pygmaeoseistron* sp.

(Fig. 12:12)

**Material.** One specimen from one sample.

**Description.** Unilocular, ovoid test with a narrow, tubular neck; sporadic pustulose ornamentation.

**Remarks.** Bears some similarity to *Pygmaeoseistron islandicum* (Jones, 1994).

Family **Ellipsolagenidae** A. Silvestri, 1923

Subfamily **Oolininae** Loeblich & Tappan, 1961

Genus **Favulina** Patterson & Richardson, 1987

*Favulina squamosa* (Montagu, 1803)

(Fig. 12:15)

1803 *Vermiculum squamosum* Montagu: 526, pl. 14, fig. 2.
1994 *Oolina squamosa* (Montagu); Jones: 66, pl. 58, figs. 28–32.
2015 *Oolina squamosa* (Montagu); Setoyama & Kaminski: 23, figs. 7(14), 8(31).

**Material.** Two specimens from two samples.

**Description.** Unilocular, ovate test, circular in section, narrowing towards the apertural end. Calcareous, hyaline, smooth wall. Terminal, simple, round aperture, with an entosolenian tube that extends over half the length of the chamber and flares dramatically at the end.

**Occurrence.** Recorded from the Southern Ocean (Jones, 1994).

**Remarks.** Following Jones (1994), specimens with an apiculate base are not considered to be distinct from *Oolina globosa* (Montagu).

*Oolina* spp.

(Fig. 12:13)

**Material.** Eight specimens from four samples.

**Description.** Included in this group any unilocular, ovate tests with calcareous, hyaline, smooth walls, an apiculate base, and a rounded terminal aperture that have not been assigned to *Oolina globosa* (Montagu).

Genus **Vasicostella** Patterson & Richardson, 1987

*Vasicostella striatopunctata* (Parker & Jones, 1865)

(Fig. 12:18)

1865 *Lagena sulcata* Walker & Jones var. *striatopunctata* Parker & Jones: 350, pl. 13, figs. 25–27.
2001 *Vasicostella striatopunctata* (Parker & Jones); Igarashi et al.: 148, pl. 8, fig. 5.
2013 *Vasicostella* sp. Majewski: 180, fig. 8(8).
Material. One specimen from one sample.

Description. Unilocular, flask-like, compressed test; carinate periphery. Calcareous, hyaline wall, ornamented with strong longitudinal costae that appear striped, perpendicular to their length, due to differences in the optical properties of their calcite (only visible with light microscopy). Rounded, terminal aperture, with a slight lip and a short, centrally placed entosolenian tube.

Occurrence. Parker and Jones (1865) originally described this species from Greenland, the Red Sea, the South Atlantic, and the Indian Ocean. Igarashi et al. (2001) subsequently described it from Antarctica.

Remarks. Le Coze and Hayward (2017) places *Lagenia striatopunctata* Parker & Jones within the genus *Cushmanina*. However, we believe that, based on the type figures, this classification is incorrect. The compressed nature of the test and the lack of an elongate neck suggest that this species should be placed within the genus *Vasicostella*. The specimen described here and that of Igarashi et al. (2001) also reveal the carinate nature of this species, further supporting this classification.

Subfamily Ellipsolageninae A. Silvestri, 1923
Genus *Fissurina* Reuss, 1850

**Fissurina subformosa** Parr, 1950
(Fig. 12:19)
1950 *Fissurina subformosa* Parr: 313, pl. 9, fig. 9.
1996 *Lagenia subformosa* (Parr); Violanti: 43, pl. 7, fig. 3.

Material. Three specimens from three samples.

Description. Small, pyriform test, slightly compressed, tapering towards a long neck; keel extends from the neck around the test, with two tabulated supplementary keels that create a “crimped” appearance. Circular, terminal aperture; straight entosolenian tube extends centrally to approximately one third the length of the chamber.

Occurrence. Previously recorded from Antarctica (Parr, 1950; Violanti, 1996).

Remarks. This species can be distinguished from *F. formosa* (*Lagenia Formosa* Schwager) by the supplementary keels.

**Fissurina sp. 1**
(Fig. 12:14)

Material. Seven specimens from four samples.

Material. Thirty-one specimens from 15 samples.

Description. Elongate, compressed test, broadening towards the base; rounded ends; base somewhat produced; oval section. Smooth, hyaline, calcareous wall; terminal, slit-like aperture within a significantly depressed fissure at the apex of the test.

Remarks. Bears some similarity to the Oligocene *Fissurina oblonga* Reuss and to *Parafissurina lateralis* (Cushman). May have previously been recorded from the Weddell Sea by Anderson (1975) as *Parafissurina lateralis* (Cushman).

Subfamily Parafissurininae R. W. Jones, 1984
Genus *Parafissurina* Parr, 1947

**Parafissurina fusiformis** Wiesner, 1931
(Fig. 12:21)
1931 *Parafissurina fusiformis* Wiesner: 126, pl. 24, fig. j.
1996 *Parafissurina fusiformis* Wiesner; Violanti, 62, pl. 8, fig. 8.
2001 *Parafissurina fusiformis* Wiesner; Igarashi: 151, pl. 9, fig. 5.
2005 *Parafissurina fusiformis* Wiesner; Majewski: 203, fig. 22(1–2).

Material. Thirty-seven specimens from 14 samples.

Description. Small test, ovate in front view; slightly compressed, rounded periphery. Aperture an elongate slit protected by a protruding hood, with a large lip opposite the hood. Entosolenian tube extends centrally along inner surface of chamber, flaring at the end.

Occurrence. Originally described from high southern latitudes (Wiesner, 1931) and later from other Antarctic localities (Violanti, 1996; Majewski, 2005).

Family Bolivinidae Glaessner, 1937
Genus *Bolivinellina* Saidova, 1975

**Bolivinellina earlandi** (Parr, 1950)
(Fig. 12:22)
1950 *Bolivina earlandi* Parr: 339, pl. 12, fig. 16.
1996 *Bolivina pseudopunctata* Högglund; Violanti: 65, pl. 9, fig. 1.
2001 *Bolivinellina earlandi* (Parr); Igarashi et al.: 153, pl. 10, fig. 5.
2013 *Bolivinellina earlandi* (Parr); Majewski: 181, fig. 9(4).

**Material.** Seventy specimens from 19 samples (for *Bolivinellina* spp.).

**Description.** Elongate test, biserial throughout, oval in section. Chambers up to 12 in number, slightly inflated; strongly curved, slightly depressed sutures. Wall calcareous, hyaline, smooth; finely perforate in the lower half of the chamber, imperforate in the upper half. Narrow, comma-shaped aperture starting at the inner margin of the final chamber.

**Occurrence.** Parr (1950) described this species as widely distributed around Antarctica.

**Remarks.** Following Igarashi et al. (2001) and Majewski (2013), we have placed this species in the genus *Bolivinellina* due the lack of perforation in the upper half of the chambers.

---

2001 *Bolivinellina pseudopunctata* (Höglund, 1947) (Fig. 12:23)

1947 *Bolivina pseudopunctata* Högglund: 273, pl. 24, fig. 5, pl. 32, figs. 23–24.
2005 *Bolivina pseudopunctata* Högglund; Majewski: 203, fig. 22(6–7).
2013 *Bolivinellina pseudopunctata* (Högglund); Majewski: 181, fig. 9(3).

**Material.** Seventy specimens from 19 samples (for *Bolivinellina* spp.).

**Description.** Elongate, gently tapering test, biserial from a large globular proloculus, oval in section. Chambers distinct; up to 23 in number; slightly inflated; sutures strongly curved, slightly depressed. Wall calcareous, hyaline, smooth, finely perforate in the lower half of the chamber, imperforate in the upper half. Oval-shaped aperture with a double-folded tongue, starting at the inner margin of the final chamber.

**Occurrence.** Originally described from the South Pacific (Brady, 1881) and subsequently described from various locations around Antarctica (Heron-Allen and Earland, 1932a; Anderson, 1975; Igarashi et al., 2001; Majewski, 2005; Majewski and Anderson, 2009; Rodrigues et al., 2013).

**Remarks.** Following Majewski (2013) we have placed this species in the genus *Bolivinellina* due the lack of perforation in the upper half of the chambers. *B. pseudopunctata* can be distinguished from *B. earlandi* by the greater number of chambers, an oval-shaped aperture with a double-folded tongue, and a large globular proloculus.

---

**Family Cassidulinidae** d’Orbigny, 1839a

Subfamily **Cassidulininae** d’Orbigny, 1839a

Genus **Cassidulinoides** Cushman, 1927

**Cassidulinoides parkeriensis** (Brady, 1881) (Fig. 12:24–25)

1881 *Cassidulina parkeriensis* Brady: 59, pl. 54, figs. 11–16.
1932a *Cassidulina parkeriensis* Brady; Heron-Allen & Earland: 359, pl. 9, figs. 22–25.
1975 *Cassidulinoides parkeriensis* (Brady); Anderson: 86, pl. 7, fig. 20.
2001 *Cassidulinoides parvus* (Hussey); Igarashi: 153, pl. 10, fig. 12.
2005 *Cassidulinoides parkeriensis* (Brady); Majewski: 204, fig. 23(1–2).
2009 *Cassidulinoides parkeriensis* (Brady); Majewski & Anderson: 139, fig. 4.
2013 *Cassidulinoides parkeriensis* (Brady); Rodrigues et al.: 214, fig. 3 (4).

**Material.** Overall, 713 specimens from 32 samples.

**Description.** Crozier-shaped test, initially planispiral, rapidly uncoiling to become biserial; number of chambers in biserial section quite variable; biserial section cylindrical, straight or arcuate. Chambers subglobeose, inflated, with small overlap at the periphery; sutures slightly depressed. Thick, calcareous, perforate, hyaline bi-multilamellar wall. Interiomarginal, loop-shaped aperture; subterminal.

**Occurrence.** Originally described from the South Pacific (Brady, 1881) and subsequently described from various locations around Antarctica (Heron-Allen and Earland, 1932a; Anderson, 1975; Igarashi et al., 2001; Majewski, 2005; Majewski and Anderson, 2009; Rodrigues et al., 2013).

**Cassidulinoides porrectus** (Heron-Allen and Earland, 1932a) (Fig. 13:1–2)

1932a *Cassidulina crassa* d’Orbigny var. *porrecta* Heron-Allen & Earland, p. 358, pl. 9, figs. 34–37.
1981 *Cassidulinoides porrecta* (Heron-Allen & Earland); Milam & Anderson: 308, pl. 6, fig. 6.
1996 *Cassidulinoides porrecta* (Heron-Allen & Earland); Violanti: 65, pl. 9, figs. 2–3.
Figure 13. (1–2) Cassidulinoides porrectus (Heron-Allen and Earland) (GC666_002). (3–4) Bulimina aculeata d’Orbigny (GC666_002). (5–7) Globocassidulina crassa (d’Orbigny) subsp. rossensis Kennett (GC666_002). (8) Trifarina earlandi (Parr (GC666_002)). (9–10) Bulimina sp. 1 (GC666_119). (11–12) Cibicides sp. 1 (BC737; BC738 (D) 0–1 cm). (13–14) Fursenkoina fusiformis (Cushman) (GC666_002). (15–16) Discorbis vilardeboanus (d’Orbigny) (GC666_002). (14–16) Nonionella bradii (Chapman) (GC666_002). (Scale bar 100 µm unless otherwise indicated.)
2001 *Cassidulinoides porrectus* (Heron-Allen & Earland); Igarashi et al.: 153, pl. 10, fig. 11.

2005 *Cassidulinoides porrectus* (Heron-Allen & Earland); Majewski: 204, fig. 23(3).

2013 *Cassidulinoides porrectus* (Heron-Allen & Earland); Rodrigues et al.: p. 214, fig. 3 (3).

**Material.** Overall, 1452 specimens from 37 samples.

**Description.** Test small, planispirally enrolled in early stages, uncoiling to become biserial; commonly 2–4 chambers in the biserial part but can be as many as 10. Chambers subglobose, inflated, with small overlap at the periphery; sutures slightly depressed. Thick, calcareous, perforate, hyaline wall, covered in distinctive large pores. Interiomarginal, loop-shaped aperture, subterminal.

**Occurrence.** Originally described from various subantarctic locations (Heron-Allen and Earland, 1932a), subsequently in numerous additional Antarctic studies (Milam and Anderson, 1981; Violanti, 1996; Igarashi et al., 2001; Majewski, 2005; Rodrigues et al., 2013).

---

**Genus *Globocassidulina* Voloshinova, 1960**

*Globocassidulina crassa* (d’Orbigny) subsp. *rossensis* Kennett, 1967

(Fig. 13:5–7)

1967 *Globocassidulina crassa* (d’Orbigny) subsp. *rossensis* Kennett 134, pl. 11, figs. 4–6.

1981 *Globocassidulina crassa rossensis* Kennett; Milam & Anderson: 311, pl. 9, fig. 7.

1990 *Globocassidulina crassa rossensis* Kennett; Mackensen et al.: 56, pl. 2, figs. 7–8.

1996 *Globocassidulina rossensis* Kennett; Violanti: p. 65, pl. 9, fig. 7.

2006 *Globocassidulina rossensis* Kennett; Hromic et al.: 124, pl. 1, fig. 5.

**Material.** Overall, 727 specimens from 32 samples.

**Description.** Oval to circular, involute, slightly compressed test; rounded, slightly lobulate, planispiral coil of biserial, inflated chambers that gradually increase in size; four to five pairs in the last whorl. Thin, smooth, calcareous wall. Aperture a narrow, interiomarginal slit with an aerial branch that extends perpendicular to the main slit approximately halfway up the apertural face, surrounded by a narrow rim.

**Occurrence.** Originally described from the Ross Sea (Kennett, 1967); subsequently described from other Antarctic locations (Milam and Anderson, 1981; Mackensen et al., 1993; Violanti, 1996; Hromic et al., 2006).

**Remarks.** Distinguished from other subspecies of *Globocassidulina crassa* (d’Orbigny) by an aerial branch that extends from the main part of the aperture.

---

**Genus *Ehrenbergina* Reuss, 1850**

*Ehrenbergina glabra* Heron-Allen & Earland, 1922

1922 *Ehrenbergina hystric var. glabra* Heron-Allen & Earland: 140, pl. 5, figs. 1–6.

1981 *Ehrenbergina glabra* Heron-Allen & Earland; Milam & Anderson: 311, pl. 9, fig. 7.

1990 *Ehrenbergina glabra* Heron-Allen & Earland; Mackensen et al.: 254, pl. 1, figs. 5–6.

1996 *Ehrenbergina glabra* Heron-Allen & Earland; Violanti: 65, pl. 9, fig. 9.

2001 *Ehrenbergina glabra* Heron-Allen & Earland; Igarashi et al.: 154, pl. 11, fig. 1.

2013 *Ehrenbergina glabra* Heron-Allen & Earland; Majewski: 182, fig. 10(13).

**Material.** Two specimens from one sample.

**Description.** Biserial test, initially enrolled rapidly uncoiling; lenticular in section with a convex dorsal side; flatter ventral side with a median furrow. Chambers are low and broad with considerable overlap at the peripheral midline. Spinose lateral margins; sutures curved, flush. Calcareous, smooth wall. Curved, elongate, slit-like aperture that runs parallel to the peripheral margin, perpendicular to the base of the apertural face.

**Occurrence.** Recorded from a number of locations around Antarctica (Milam and Anderson, 1981; Mackensen et al., 1990; Violanti, 1996; Igarashi et al., 2001; Majewski, 2013).

**Remarks.** Heron-Allen and Earland (1922) distinguished this species from *E. hystric* Brady by the absence of spines on the early chambers, the more flattened oral face, the more marginal position of the aperture, and the near absence of striations around the aperture.

**Family *Buliminidae* Jones in Griffith and Henfrey, 1875**

*Genus *Bulimina* d’Orbigny, 1826*
1993 *Bulimina aculeata* d’Orbigny; Mackensen et al.: 54, pl. 1, figs. 3–4.
1994 *Bulimina aculeata* d’Orbigny; Ishman & Domack: 152, pl. 2, fig. 8.
2001 *Bulimina aculeata* d’Orbigny; Igarashi et al.: 154, pl. 11, fig. 4.
2013 *Bulimina aculeata* d’Orbigny; Majewski: 181, fig. 9(8–9).

**Material.** Fifty-nine specimens from 20 samples.

**Description.** Elongate, triserial test, strongly tapered towards base. Globular, inflated chambers rapidly increase in size; distinct, depressed sutures. Smooth, finely perforate, calcareous wall. Early chambers ornamented with long, tapering spines that extend dorsally; fragile and often partially or completely broken. Loop-shaped aperture extends up the face of the final chamber from the basal margin; well-developed, elevated rim.

**Occurrence.** Originally described from the Adriatic (d’Orbigny, 1826) but more recently from various Antarctic localities (Mackensen et al., 1990, 1993; Ishman and Domack, 1994; Igarashi et al., 2001; Majewski, 2013).

*Bulimina subteres* Brady, 1881

1881 *Bulimina subteres* Brady: 55, pl. 50, figs. 17–18.

**Material.** Three specimens from three samples.

**Description.** Elongate, triserial, ovate test, rounded at the distal end and tapering to a point at the basal end; slightly inflated chambers; depressed sutures; curved, slit-like aperture extending up the face of the terminal chamber.

**Occurrence.** Originally described from the Recent of Fiji and the Caribbean (Brady, 1881).

*Bulimina sp. 1*  
(Fig. 13:9–10)

**Material.** Twenty-four specimens from 11 samples.

**Description.** Near spherical test, wider than high; only the three chambers of the final whorl visible. Inflated, globular chambers; depressed sutures. Calcareous, smooth, perforate wall; loop-shaped aperture extending from the base up the face of the final chamber, with a raised rim.

Family **Uvigerinidae** Haeckel, 1894

Subfamily **Angulogerininae** Galloway, 1933

Genus **Trifarina** Cushman, 1923

**Trifarina earlandi** (Parr, 1950)  
(Fig. 13:8)

1950 *Angulogerina earlandi* Parr: 341, pl. 12, fig. 21.
2001 *Angulogerina earlandi* Parr; Igarashi et al.: 154, pl. 11, fig. 7.
2005 *Angulogerina earlandi* Parr; Majewski: 204, fig. 22(8–9).
2013 *Angulogerina earlandi* Parr; Majewski: 181, fig. 9(13–14).

**Material.** Overall, 204 specimens from 22 samples.

**Description.** Elongate, fusiform test, triserial, becoming uniserial; triangular cross section becoming rectilinear with rounded to sub-acute corners. Base rounded; slightly lobulate margins. Numerous inflated chambers ornamented with narrow, high costae that generally do not cross the sutures; in some specimens final chambers lack ornamentation. Terminal, ovate aperture, with internal hemicylindrical tooth plate, produced on a neck with a phialine lip.

**Occurrence.** Recorded from a number of locations around Antarctica (Parr, 1950; Igarashi et al., 2001; Majewski, 2005, 2013).

**Remarks.** Parr (1950) placed this species within *Angulogerina*. However, Loeblich and Tappan (1988) define *Angulogerina* as triserial throughout, whilst this species is triserial, becoming uniserial, diagnostic of *Trifarina*. The high narrow costae of *Trifarina earlandi* (Parr) distinguish it from the otherwise similar *T. angulosa* (Williamson).

Family **Fursenkoinidae** Loeblich & Tappan, 1961

Genus **Fursenkoina** Loeblich & Tappan, 1961

**Fursenkoina fusiformis** (Cushman, 1930)  
(Fig. 13:13–14)

1930 *Virgulina fusiformis* Cushman: 45, pl. 8, fig. 8.
1981 *Fursenkoina fusiformis* (Cushman); Milam & Anderson: 311, pl. 9, fig. 6.
1994 *Fursenkoina fusiformis* (Cushman); Ishman & Domack: 152, pl. 2, fig. 7.
1996 *Fursenkoina fusiformis* (Cushman); Violanti: 65, pl. 9, figs. 14–15.
2005 *Fursenkoina fusiformis* (Cushman); Majewski: 204, fig. 23(9–12).
2009 *Fursenkoina fusiformis* (Cushman); Majewski & Anderson: 139, fig. 4.

**Material.** Overall, 1260 specimens from 34 samples.

**Description.** Narrow, elongate test, ovate in section. High, narrow, slightly inflated chambers, biserial, twisted
around the test axis. Oblique, depressed sutures; calcareous, hyaline, finely perforate wall, with smooth surface. Narrow, elongate aperture; basal part of the aperture may be closed in adult specimens, leaving an areal comma-shaped opening.

**Occurrence.** Recorded from a range of Antarctic locations (Milam and Anderson, 1981; Ishman and Domack, 1994; Violanti, 1996; Majewski, 2005; Majewski and Anderson, 2009).

**Remarks.** Very similar to *Stainforthia fusiformis* (Williamson), a species common in the high, northern latitudes. *F. fusiformis* (Cushman) can be distinguished from this species by the shape of the aperture and by being biserial throughout. *F. fusiformis* (Cushman) is common throughout the high, southern latitudes.

**Family Discorbidae** Ehrenberg, 1838  
**Genus Discorbis** Lamarck, 1804  
**Discorbis vilardeboanus** (d’Orbigny, 1839b)  
(Fig. 13:15–16)

1839b *Rosalina vilardeboana* d’Orbigny: 44, pl. 6, figs. 13–15.  
1932a *Discorbis vilardeboana* (d’Orbigny); Heron-Allen & Earland: 409, pl. 13, figs. 37–39.  
1975 *Rosalina vilardeboana* d’Orbigny; Anderson: 88, pl. 8, fig. 10.  
2001 *Rosalina globularis* d’Orbigny; Igarashi et al.: 154, pl. 11, fig. 13.  
2005 *Rosalina globularis* d’Orbigny; Majewski: 205, fig. 24(1–4).  
? 2009 *Rosalina* Majewski & Anderson: 139, fig. 4 (14).  
2013 *Rosalina globularis* d’Orbigny; Majewski: 183, fig. 11(1).

**Material.** Twelve specimens from nine samples.

**Description.** Trochospiral test; spiral side convex; umbilical side flattened; 2.5 whorls; seven chambers in the final whorl; strongly curved, depressed sutures. Small chamberlets formed in umbilical region below triangular alar projections that protrude from the umbilical margin of each chamber. Thin, calcareous, finely perforate wall. Low, interiomarginal, extra-umbilical, arch-shaped aperture, with a secondary opening at the posterior margin of the umbilical flap.

**Occurrence.** Originally described from the Falkland Islands (d’Orbigny, 1839b), subsequently from various locations on the Antarctic shelf (Heron-Allen and Earland, 1932a; Anderson, 1975; Igarashi et al., 2001; Majewski, 2005, 2013; Majewski and Anderson, 2009).

**Remarks.** Chambers less inflated and alar projections more angular than those of *Rosalina globularis* d’Orbigny, with which it may sometimes be confused.

**Family Cibicidae** Cushman, 1927  
**Subfamily Cibicidinae** Cushman, 1927  
**Genus Cibicides** de Montfort, 1808

**Cibicides sp. 1**  
(Fig. 13:11–12)

**Material.** Four specimens from four samples.

**Description.** Trochospiral, planoconvex test; flat, slightly involute spiral side with somewhat thickened sutures; strongly convex, completely involute umbilical side. Sutures flush except around final chamber; rounded periphery; calcareous wall, coarsely perforate on spiral side with five large pores in each chamber; pores in earlier chambers filled by lamellar thickening; umbilical side finely perforate; keel and apertural face imperforate. Low, interiomarginal, equatorial aperture extending along the spiral side suture and slightly onto the umbilical side, with a narrow lip.

**Cibicides sp. 2**

**Material.** One specimen from one sample.

**Description.** Trochospiral, planoconvex test; flat, slightly involute spiral side with somewhat thickened sutures; strongly convex, completely involute umbilical side. Calcareous wall, coarsely perforate on spiral side; umbilical side finely perforate; keel and apertural face imperforate; aperture not preserved.

**Family Nonionidae** Schultze, 1854  
**Subfamily Nonioninae** Schultze, 1854  
**Genus Nonionella** Cushman, 1926

**Nonionella bradii** (Chapman, 1916)  
(Fig. 14:2–4)

1916 *Nonionina scapha* (Fichtel & Moll) var. *bradii* Chapman: 71, pl. 5, fig. 42.  
1990 *Nonionella bradii* (Chapman); Mackensen et al.: 254, pl. 1, fig. 4.  
1994 *Nonionella bradii* (Chapman); Ishman & Domack: 152, pl. 2, figs. 10 & 12.  
1994 *Nonionella bradii* (Chapman); Jones: 108, pl. 109, fig. 16.  
1996 *Nonionella bradii* (Chapman); Violanti: 67, pl. 10, figs. 8, 13, 17.
Figure 14. (1 and 5) Nonionella iridea Heron-Allen and Earland (GC666_002). (2–4) Nonionella bradii (Chapman) (GC666_002). (6–8) Astrononion echolsi Kennett (GC666_002). (9–10) Pullenia subcarinata (d’Orbigny) (GC666_002). (11–12) Buccella sp. 2 (GC666_002). (13) Chilostomella sp. 1 (BC671). (14–16) Buccella sp. 1 (GC666_002). (Scale bar 100 µm unless otherwise indicated.)
Material. Sixty-seven specimens from 17 samples.

Description. Low trochospiral, compressed test with rounded periphery; involute umbilical side; partially evolute spiral side. Low, broad chambers that widen towards the periphery; 9–10 in the final whorl; chambers rapidly enlarge in the final whorl to produce a flared test. Flap-like projections from each chamber overhang the umbilicus. Slightly curved, narrow, depressed sutures. Calcareous, hyaline, finely perforate wall with a smooth surface. Aperture a low arch, interiomarginal, equatorial.

Occurrence. First described from the Ross Sea (Chapman, 1916); subsequently reported from numerous other Antarctic localities (Mackensen et al., 1990; Ishman and Domack, 1994; Jones, 1994; Violanti, 1996; Igarashi et al., 2001; Majewski, 2005, 2013; Majewski and Anderson, 2009).

Subfamily Astrononioninae Saidova, 1981

Genus Astrononion Cushman and Edwards, 1937

Astrononion echolsi Kennett, 1967

(Fig. 14:6–8)

1967 Astrononion echolsi Kennett: 134, pl. 11, figs. 7–8.
1981 Astrononion echolsi Kennett; Milam & Anderson: 313, pl. 11, fig. 3.
1994 Astrononion echolsi Kennett; Ishman & Domack: 152, pl. 2, fig. 3.
1996 Astrononion echolsi Kennett; Violanti: 67, pl. 10, fig. 16.
2001 Astrononion echolsi Kennett; Igarashi et al.: 155, pl. 12, fig. 6.
2005 Astrononion echolsi Kennett; Majewski: 206, fig. 25(2–3).
2009 Astrononion echolsi Kennett; Majewski & Anderson: 139, fig. 4.
2013 Astrononion echolsi Kennett; Majewski: 182, fig. 10(7).

Material. Overall, 1216 specimens from 39 samples.

Description. Involute, planispiral, slightly compressed test, with a rounded periphery. Size of chambers gently increases as they are added; eight to nine in the final whorl; somewhat inflated in some specimens but more commonly not. Slightly limbate, curved sutures; slightly depressed to flush. Indistinct, tube-like supplementary chambers extend from the umbilical region, only visible as sunken pits on the sutural lines. Calcareous, hyaline, finely perforate, smooth wall, often with slightly yellow colour. Primary aperture a low, interiomarginal, equatorial arch.

Occurrence. Abundant in the Ross Sea and Scotia Sea, where it was first described (Kennett, 1967); also recorded from various other Antarctic locations (Milam and Anderson, 1981; Ishman and Domack, 1994; Violanti, 1996; Igarashi et al., 2001; Majewski, 2005, 2013; Majewski and Anderson, 2009).
Subfamily **Pulleniinae** Schwager, 1877

Genus *Pullenia* Parker & Jones in Carpenter et al., 1862

*p. subcarinata* (d’Orbigny, 1839b)  
(Fig. 14:9–10)

1839b *Nonionina subcarinata* d’Orbigny: 28, pl. 5, figs. 23–24.

1932a *Pullenia subcarinata* (d’Orbigny); Heron-Allen & Earland: 403, pl. 13, figs. 14–18.

1981 *Pullenia subcarinata* (d’Orbigny); Milam & Anderson: 313, pl. 11, fig. 5.

1993 *Pullenia subcarinata* (d’Orbigny); Mackensen et al.: 58, pl. 3, figs. 8–9.

? 1994 *Pullenia quinqueloba* (Reuss); Jones: 92, pl. 84, figs. 14–15.

1996 *Pullenia subcarinata* (d’Orbigny); Violanti: 48, pl. 10, fig. 19.

**Material.** Thirty-nine specimens from 18 samples.

**Description.** Involute, planispiral, slightly compressed test with a rounded periphery. Chambers slightly inflated, giving the test a slightly lobulate outline; five to six in the final whorl; face of final chamber has a somewhat triangular shape. Near-radial, slightly depressed sutures. Calcareous, smooth, very finely perforate wall. Aperture a low, interiomarginal, equatorial, crescentic slit, bordered on the upper margin by a raised lip.

**Occurrence.** Originally described from recent deposits from the Falkland Islands (d’Orbigny, 1839b); subsequently recorded from around Antarctica (Heron-Allen and Earland, 1932a; Milam and Anderson, 1981; Mackensen et al., 1993; Jones, 1994; Violanti, 1996).

**Remarks.** *Pullenia quinqueloba* (Reuss) is morphologically very similar to *P. subcarinata* (d’Orbigny), and Jones (1994) assigns the Challenger specimens to *P. quinqueloba* (Reuss). However, *Pullenia quinqueloba* (Reuss) was originally described from German Eocene deposits, and we therefore assign our specimens to *P. subcarinata* (d’Orbigny), which was originally described from Recent deposits from the Falkland Islands.

Family **Chilostomellidae** Brady, 1881

Subfamily **Chilostomellinae** Brady, 1881

Genus *Chilostomella* Reuss, 1849

*Chilostomella sp. 1*  
(Fig. 14:13)

**Material.** One specimen from one sample.

**Description.** Ovoid, planispiral, involute test; near-circular cross section. Two strongly embracing, inflated chambers in final whorls; final chamber significantly larger. Calcareous, finely perforate wall; smooth surface; equatorial, interiomarginal, narrow slit-shaped aperture, with a raised margin. Distinctive spine-like structure on basal end.

Family **Trichohyalidae** Saidova, 1981

Genus *Buccella* Andersen, 1952

*Buccella sp. 1*  
(Fig. 14:14–16)

**Material.** Overall, 241 specimens from 31 samples.

**Description.** Large, biconvex, carinate, trochospiral test; spiral side low convex and evolute; umbilical side higher and involute. Numerous rhomboidal chambers with curved sides, slightly inflated on the umbilical side; three and a half whorls; 8–10 chambers in the final whorl. Sutures on spiral side flush, slightly limbate, swept back towards periphery, straighter and depressed on the umbilical side. Umbilical region and sutural fissures on umbilical side filled with a mixture of fine and coarse granules; spiral side surface smooth, finely perforate on the chamber faces; periphery very slightly lobate, with a distinct keel. Aperture not visible in any of the specimens examined; may be covered by the abundant granules.

**Remarks.** Openings at the end of the sutural fissures that are common in members of this genus are not visible in any of the specimens examined here.

*Buccella sp. 2*  
(Fig. 14:11–12)

**Material.** Twenty-five specimens from 12 samples.

**Description.** Test small for this genus; trochospiral, biconvex; spiral side flatter and evolute; umbilical side convex and involute. Chambers almost semi-circular; two whorls; six to seven chambers in the final whorl; sutures limbate, flush, slightly curved on the spiral side, very depressed on the umbilical side. Umbilical region and sutural fissures on umbilical side filled with granules; spiral side surface smooth, finely perforate on the chamber faces; periphery slightly lobate and thickened but not carinate. Aperture not visible in any of the specimens examined and may be covered by the abundant granules.

**Remarks.** Bears some similarity to *Epistominella exigua* (Brady), especially in light microscope.
Genus *Neogloboquadrina* Bandy, Frerichs & Vincent, 1967

*Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* (Ehrenberg, 1861)

1861 *Aristerospira pachyderma* Ehrenberg: 276, 277, 303.
1932a *Globigerina pachyderma* Ehrenberg; Heron-Allen & Earland: 401, pl. 13, figs. 9–13.
1975 *Globigerina pachyderma* Ehrenberg; Anderson: 90, pl. 9, fig. 4.
1996 *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* (Ehrenberg); Violanti: 62, pl. 8, figs. 17 and 21.
2001 *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* (Ehrenberg); Igarashi et al.: 152, pl. 10, figs. 8–9.

**Material.** Overall, 209 specimens from 27 samples.

**Description.** Globular, low trochospiral test; globular chambers that rapidly enlarge as added; five to six in the final whorl. Straight to slightly curved, depressed, radial sutures; rounded periphery; open umbilical region. Calcareous, perforate wall; interiomarginal, extra-umbilical to umbilical aperture with bordering lip (may be absent in later stages).

**Data availability.** All the data analysed in this paper are available in the tables provided within the paper or in the Supplement.
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