Regulating Flowering and Extension Growth of Poinsettia Using Red and Far-red Light-emitting Diodes for End-of-Day Lighting
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Abstract. Manipulating light quality is a potential alternative method of regulating plant height in the commercial production of ornamental crops. In particular, end-of-day (EOD) lighting with a high red (R; 600–700 nm) to far-red (FR; 700–800 nm) ratio (R:FR) can suppress extension growth, whereas a low R:FR can promote it. We investigated the effects of the R:FR and duration of EOD lighting in regulating extension growth and flowering of two poinsettia cultivars, White Glitter and Marble Star. Plants were grown at 20 °C under 9-hour days with or without EOD lighting provided by two types of light-emitting diode bulbs: R + white + FR (subsequently referred to as R + FR) and FR only. The R:FR ratios were 0.73 and 0.04, respectively, and the photon flux density between 400 and 800 nm was adjusted to 2 to 3 μmol·m−2·s−1 at plant canopy. The six EOD lighting treatments were R + FR or FR for 2 or 4 hours, 2 hours of R + FR followed by 2 hours of FR, and 4 hours of R + FR followed by 2 hours of FR. We also investigated the impact of a 4-hour moderate-intensity (13 μmol·m−2·s−1) EOD FR treatment in the second replication. EOD lighting generally increased poinsettia extension growth, with the greatest promotion under the longest lighting periods. There were no differences in days to first bract color and days to anthesis when the 9-hour day was extended by 2 hours, but flowering was delayed under 4- or 6-hour EOD treatments, except for the 2-hour R + FR + 2-hour FR and 4-hour FR treatments. Four hours of moderate-intensity EOD FR greatly promoted extension growth and delayed or prevented bract coloration in both cultivars. We conclude that EOD lighting promotes extension growth of poinsettia, and specifically, EOD FR at a low intensity (2–3 μmol·m−2·s−1) is not perceived as long-day signal, whereas a higher intensity (13 μmol·m−2·s−1) of FR delays flowering.

Poinsettia (Euphorbia pulcherrima) is a popular potted flowering crop, especially for the Christmas holiday, because of its colorful and showy bracts. Approximately one-third of the global poinsettia market is in the United States, whereas the remaining market is mostly in Europe (Taylor et al., 2011). Poinsettia was the second most valuable potted flowering plant after orchids in 2015, accounting for $140 million in wholesale sales and representing 17% of the total value of potted flowering plants sold in the United States (U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2016). Coordinated control of flowering of poinsettia is crucial so that commercial crops are marketable for predetermined dates for the Christmas holiday. It is a short-day (SD) plant (SDP) with a critical photoperiod of ≈12 h and 20 min (night length of 11 h and 40 min), and thus is naturally induced to flower in the northern hemisphere beginning in late September (Ecke et al., 2004).

Height control is one of the major challenges in commercial greenhouse production of poinsettias. High-quality poinsettia requires the plants to be marketed at specific target heights, which is typically 36 to 41 cm for plants grown in 15-cm containers (Taylor et al., 2011). Excessively tall poinsettias can develop branches that are more susceptible to breakage and increase transportation costs (Clifford et al., 2004). Therefore, plant growth retardants are commonly used to suppress stem extension to produce more compact plants. Chloronequat chloride, daminozide, uniconazole, paclobutrazol, and flurprimidol, used as a substrate drench and/or foliar spray, are effective at inhibiting stem elongation (Currey and Lopez, 2011; Latimer and Whipker, 2013; Lopez and Runkle, 2007). For ornamentals, although the promotion of stem growth is usually not desired in commercial production, it can be beneficial in some situations, such as in cut flower production or when plants are shorter than desired. Gibberellic acid is commonly applied by commercial growers to increase plant height and promote leaf expansion of poinsettia when an excessive concentration of a plant growth retardant was previously applied (Lopez and Runkle, 2007); however, the use of growth-regulating chemicals is restricted to various degrees by different governments (Rajapakse et al., 1999). Different strategies can be used as an alternative way to promote extension growth, such as a warmer day than night temperature, greater planting density (i.e., less spacing), and avoidance of phosphorus deficiency (Ecke et al., 2004; Runkle, 2005).

Light quality manipulation is an alternative strategy to manage plant height, especially the ratio of R (600–700 nm) and FR (700–800 nm) radiation. R and FR radiation are perceived by phytochrome photoreceptors, which have two forms: an R light–absorbing (Pr) form and an FR light–absorbing (Pfr) form (Butler et al., 1959). The interconversion between these two forms of each phytochrome in plants is known as photoreversibility and can at least partially regulate seed germination, extension growth, and flowering (Borthwick and Downs, 1964; Borthwick et al., 1952; Schopfer et al., 1982). Spectral filters have been used experimentally to determine the effects of different light qualities on plant height. For example, poinsettias were grown under cladding materials of neutral density (control) (R:FR = 1.07) or FR, R, and blue (400–500 nm) plastic filters, creating an FR-deficient, R-deficient, and blue-deficient environment with an R:FR of 1.74, 0.04, and 1.05, respectively, under sunlight (Clifford et al., 2004). The internode lengths were increased by 71% and 9% under R- and blue-deficient filters, respectively, and decreased by 20% under the FR-deficient filter compared with the control.

EOD lighting also can be used to regulate extension growth by manipulating the R:FR ratio. Generally, plants elongate under a low R:FR ratio. For example, chrysanthemum (Chrysanthemum × morifolium) was grown under a 9-h SD with EOD lighting for 30 min at a photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of 1 to 3 μmol·m−2·s−1 with either a high (2.4) or low (0.4) R:FR (Lund et al., 2007). Plant height after 3 weeks increased by 50% to 75% with EOD lighting at the low R:FR compared with the high ratio. In a separate study, impatiens (Impatiens walleriana), geranium (Pelargonium ×hortorum), and petunia (Petunia ×hybrida) were grown under an 8.5-h day with 30 min of EOD lighting at 20 μmol·m−2·s−1 with an R:FR of 0.9 or 8.4 (Randall, 2014). Stem length of impatiens, geranium, and petunia was promoted by 29%, 20%, and 44%, respectively, after 21 d under the lower R:FR compared with the higher ratio.

EOD lighting also can be used to extend the natural photoperiod and create long days to regulate flowering of photoperiodic crops. The threshold irradiance to regulate flowering of several herbaceous perennials ranged from 0.05 to 1.00 μmol·m−2·s−1 (Lund et al., 2007).
to 0.4 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹, whereas the saturation irradiance ranged from 0.2 to 1.0 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹, depending on the species (Whitman et al., 1998). Generally, an intensity of 1 to 3 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ is recommended to accelerate flowering of long-day plants (LDPs) and inhibit flowering of SDPs (Runkle, 2015).

R light is typically effective at inhibiting flowering of SDPs. For example, the flowering of chrysanthemum, dahlia (Dahlia hortensis), and marigold (Tagetes erecta) was delayed by 42, 11, and 10 to 20 days when grown under 4-h night interruption (NI) provided by light-emitting diodes (LEDs) with an R:FR ≥0.66 at a photon flux density of 1.3 to 1.6 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ compared with SD control (Craig and Runkle, 2013). The inclusion of FR with R radiation, delivered as EOD or NI lighting, had little to no effect on regulating flowering of some LDPs. However, a low intensity of FR alone as an NI is generally not perceived as a long-day signal by LDPs and SDPs. For example, the SDP marigold flowered 10 to 19 days earlier under SDs or NI with only FR compared with NI with a combination of R and FR (Craig and Runkle, 2013).

R:FR photoreversibility is one of the characteristic features for phytochrome responses and was first discovered in a lettuce (Lactuca sativa) seed germination experiment (Borthwick et al., 1952). R light promoted lettuce seed germination, whereas subsequent FR inhibited it. This kind of photoreaction response was also established on flower initiation of some model plants. For example, a short period of R light as an NI prevented the flower initiation of the SDP soybean (Glycine max) and pigweed (Amaranthus caudatus) and induced the flowering of the LDP hempbane (Hyoscyamus niger), whereas subsequent FR irradiation reversed the effects of R light (Downs, 1956). Although there are three action modes for phytochrome responses, photoreversibility is a characteristic of low-fluence response (Li et al., 2011). This suggests that phytochrome-mediated flowering responses could be affected by the last NI flowering treatment and potentially show photoreversibility in at least some light-sensitive plants.

The objective of this study was to investigate whether EOD FR would promote extension growth without influencing flowering of poinsettia. We also wondered whether flowering could be inhibited by delivering FR after R, given the phytochrome photoreversibility response. We postulated that a low intensity of FR as EOD lighting would promote extension growth but not regulate flowering and thus could be used to increase plant height without influencing crop scheduling. We also speculated that ending EOD lighting with FR would at least partially reverse the effects of R light at inhibiting flowering.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant materials.** Unrooted cuttings of two cultivars of poinsettia, ‘White Glitter’ and ‘Marble Star’, were obtained from C. Raker & Sons (Litchfield, MI) for replication 1 and Syngenta Flowers (Gilroy, CA) for replication 2. They were rooted at 23 °C under a 16-h day in a propagation greenhouse at Michigan State University (East Lansing, MI). Rooted cuttings were transplanted into 15-cm pots containing 70% peatmoss, 21% perlite, and 9% vermiculite potting media (SUREMIX; Michigan Grower Products, Inc., Galesburg, MI) and grown in a glass-glazed greenhouse. All plants were grown under a 16-h photoperiod consisting of natural daylengths with supplemental lighting at a PPFD of 70 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ provided from 400-W high-pressure sodium fixtures (LR48877; P.L. Light System, Beamsville, Ontario, Canada) for replication 1 or R-white LEDs (210 W, peak wavelength = 660 nm; GreenPower LED top lighting DR/W/MB; Philips, Eindhoven, the Netherlands) for replication 2, from transplanting until the start of treatments. Supplemental lighting was automatically switched on when the outside ambient solar PPFD was <437 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹, as controlled by a greenhouse environmental control system (Integro 725; Priva North America, Vineland, Ontario, Canada). Plants were pinched back to six nodes from the soil surface ≥3 weeks after transplanting. Approximately 4 weeks after pinching, 70 (replication 1) or 80 (replication 2) pots of the most uniform poinsettias of each cultivar were selected and randomly assigned to seven treatments initiated in Oct. 2016 and Apr. 2017. The EOD lighting treatments were 2 h of FR followed by 2 h of FR (1700 hr to 1900 hr), 4 h of R+FR followed by 4 h of FR (1700 hr to 2100 hr), 4 h of R+FR followed by 2 h of FR (1700 hr to 2100 hr + 2100 hr to 2300 hr) (Table 1). Layers of wire mesh were placed over the lamps so that the average photon flux density (from 400 to 800 nm) at plant canopy was between 2 and 3 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹. In addition, 4 h of FR (1700 hr to 2100 hr) (subsequently referred to as H-IR) at 10 to 15 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ was provided as an additional treatment in replication 2. Photon flux measurements were made with a spectroradiometer (PS-200; StellerNet, Inc., Tampa, FL) at four representative positions 18 cm above the bench. At the onset of treatments, the average photon flux density of the R+FR, FR, and H-IR lighting treatments was 2.6, 2.5, and 13.4 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹, respectively. These irradiance values at canopy height would have increased as the plants grew closer to the lighting fixtures, although actual values were not measured in time.

**Environmental conditions.** Plants were grown at a constant temperature set point of 20 °C. Whitewash (Kool Ray Classic; Continental Products Co., Euclid, OH) was applied to the greenhouse glazing to deliver a more similar daily light integral between the two replications. The PPFD at bench height was recorded by a quantum sensor (LI-1905A; LI-COR, Lincoln, NE). Air temperature was recorded on benches by aspirated thermocouples (Type E; Omega Engineering, Stamford, CT) near canopy height. Air temperature and the PPFD were collected by a data logger (CR-10; Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT) every 10 s and average values were recorded hourly. Daily temperatures were checked routinely and the actual averages (±SD) among treatments ranged from 19.4 ± 1.3 to 20.1 ± 1.9 in replication 1 and 21.5 ± 3.1 to 23.7 ± 4.2 in replication 2. The average daily light integral during the study was 7.4 and 10.5 mol·m⁻²·d⁻¹ for replication 1 and 2, respectively.

**Plant measurements.** Plant height (from the substrate surface to the tallest meristem) was measured at the initiation of the lighting treatments. Height (from the substrate surface to the bottom of the flower bud) was measured at anthesis for flowering plants, and for nonflowering plants, height was recorded after 15 weeks of treatments. Date of first bract color (when the first leaf on each plant showed partial color), date of first anthesis (when the first flower showed pollen tubes), and node number were also recorded. Average internode length was calculated by final plant height divided by node number only in replication 2.

**Experimental design and data analysis.** The experiment was performed twice, with treatments initiated in Oct. 2016 and Apr. 2017. The experiment was a randomized complete block design with subsamples.
Two replications were considered two blocks to account for seasonal changes in environmental conditions. Each bench was regarded as the experimental unit for the EOD lighting treatment. Within the experimental unit, 10 individual plants per cultivar were the subsamples. Data were pooled from two replications and were analyzed with the SAS (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC) mixed-model (PROC MIXED) and glimmix-model (PROC GLIMMIX) procedures. Pairwise comparisons between treatments were performed with Tukey’s honestly significant difference test at $P \leq 0.05$.

**Results**

All plants of both cultivars showed bract coloration and reached anthesis except for some plants under the 4-h R+FR + 2-h FR, and 4-h H-FR treatments (Table 2). The general trends for bract coloration and anthesis were similar in both cultivars (Fig. 2). When the day was extended for $\leq 4$ h of lighting, days to first bract coloration and anthesis were similar to that of plants grown under the 9-h SD (control), except for plants under the 4-h R+FR treatment. The 4-h R+FR delayed bract coloration and anthesis by 34 and 29 d in ‘Marble Star’ and 28 and 23 d in ‘White Glitter’, respectively, compared with the SD control, whereas the 4-h FR EOD did not delay flowering. In addition, the 4-h R+FR + 2-h FR and 4-h H-FR treatments delayed first bract coloration of ‘Marble Star’ by 45 and 55 d and anthesis by 34 and 38 d, respectively, compared with the SD control. Similarly, in ‘White Glitter’, bract coloration and anthesis were delayed by 17 and 34 d, respectively, under 4-h R+FR + 2-h FR EOD lighting. The days to bract coloration and anthesis were statistically similar under 4-h R+FR and 4-h R+FR + 2-h FR; therefore, no phytochrome photoreversibility was observed. Under the 4-h R+FR + 2-h FR and 4-h H-FR lighting treatments, ‘Marble Star’ reached anthesis shortly after first bract coloration, and the interval was much shorter than for plants under the other lighting treatments.

Among the plants under treatments that fully flowered, stem length generally increased as the duration of light increased in both cultivars (Fig. 3). Plants of both cultivars were tallest under the longest EOD lighting treatment with a low-light intensity (4-h R+FR + 2-h FR). The increase in stem length at the end of the experiment for ‘Marble Star’ and ‘White Glitter’ was 161% and 207% greater, respectively, under the longest EOD lighting compared with that of plants grown under the SD control. In addition, extension growth at anthesis of ‘Marble Star’ under the 4-h R+FR was 125% greater than that of the control. Stem elongation of 4-h H-FR for 15 weeks was statistically similar to that of those under 4-h R+FR followed by 2-h FR. Therefore, 4 h of moderate-intensity FR was as effective as the 6-h EOD lighting treatment in increasing plant height, and thus these plants were also the tallest among all the lighting treatments.

‘Marble Star’ had an average of 14 or 15 nodes under all treatments 15 weeks after the initiation of the treatments, except for the 4-h R+FR, 4-h R+FR + 2-h FR, and 4-h H-FR treatments, which developed 20, 20, and 27 nodes, respectively, before anthesis (Fig. 3). Similarly, ‘White Glitter’ had an average of 15 to 19 nodes overall but developed 6 and 9 more nodes under the 4-h R+FR + 2-h FR and 4-h H-FR treatments, respectively, compared with the SD control. Average internode length of most EOD lighting treatments was significantly greater than that of plants grown under the 9-h day. ‘Marble Star’ and ‘White Glitter’ grown under 2-h R+FR + 2-h FR developed a 22% and 19% longer internode, respectively, compared with that of those under 2-h R+FR. Similarly, ‘White Glitter’ developed a 21% greater internode length, respectively, when grown under 4-h R+FR +
Discussion

We tested the hypothesis that flowering of the SDP poinsettia would not be influenced by delivery of FR light during an otherwise long night. With respect to flowering, several LDPs, including black-eyed Susan (*Rudbeckia hirta*) and fuchsia (*Fuchsia hybridra*), were insensitive to a 4-h NI with FR alone at 1.3 to 1.6 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ (Craig and Runkle, 2016). In SDPs, the flowering time of chrysanthemum, dahlia, and marigold exposed to a 4-h NI of FR at 1.3 to 1.6 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ was similar to that of the SD control treatment (Craig and Runkle, 2013). Consistent with these responses, this study showed that both cultivars of poinsettia grown under a 9-h day with 2- or 4-h EOD lighting of low-intensity FR alone showed bract color and reached anthesis at a time similar to that of the SD control. In this study, 4-h EOD lighting with an R:FR of 0.73 delayed poinsettia bract color and anthesis development. Therefore, a low intensity of FR alone as EOD lighting was not perceived as a long-day signal in poinsettia, whereas a mixture of R and FR inhibited flowering.

The flowering percentage decreased in both cultivars when they were grown under 4-h EOD lighting of 13 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ FR compared with that of the SD control. Days to first bract color and anthesis of ‘Marble Star’ were significantly delayed, and ‘White Glitter’ remained vegetative when the experiment ended. Therefore, FR alone as EOD lighting is less effective than when provided with R light for inhibiting flowering at a low intensity, but was surprisingly effective when provided at a moderate intensity. In contrast, Higuchi et al. (2012) grew the SDP chrysanthemum under cool-white fluorescent tubes at 150 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ for 12 h per day with or without a 4-h NI provided by either R or FR monochromatic LEDs. An FR NI of 62 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ did not inhibit the flowering of chrysanthemum. These results suggest that the reproductive process of poinsettia is relatively sensitive to FR radiation, and even a moderate dose of FR (13 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ for 4 h) is sufficient to inhibit the flowering of poinsettia but perhaps not of other SDPs, such as chrysanthemum.

The critical photoperiod of poinsettia is ≈12 h and 20 min (Ecke et al., 2004). As expected, plants grown with 2 h of R+FR EOD lighting, creating an 11-h photoperiod, flowered like those under the SD control. We also expected that the 2-h R+FR + 2-h FR EOD lighting treatment would create an SD because the low-intensity FR would not be perceived as a long-day signal, and, indeed, that was observed. Plants under the 4-h R+FR and 4-h R+FR + 2-h FR treatments (creating a 13- and 15-h photoperiod, respectively) flowered, although some plants did not flower in replication 2 and flowering was delayed. However, unlike plants under SD conditions, the primary stems of some plants grown under ≈4-h EOD lighting remained vegetative, whereas bract color and anthesis occurred on lower branches that were at least partly shaded by the primary stems (data not collected). We postulate that the leaves on the primary stem prevented R light from reaching lower branches, and thus those branches were induced to flower.

The flowering of plants grown under 4-h R+FR EOD lighting was delayed, and we did not observe a reversing effect of subsequent 2-h FR EOD lighting that one might expect with phytochrome photoreversibility. Phytochrome photoreversibility responses are reportedly low-fluence responses with saturating photon fluxes of up to 1000 μmol·m⁻² (Li et al., 2011). In this study, the total photon fluence of R light during one night was 11,500 to 17,500 μmol·m⁻², which exceeded the response saturation range. In addition, once receiving the R light, phytochrome would change from the biologically inactive Pr form to biologically active Pfr form. The Pfr transition action can vary from slow to fast in responses such as stem elongation, seed germination, and flower induction.
after 11 weeks was 55% to 107% greater (Islam et al., 2014). The internode length of lettuce seeds to 30–60 min before a 50% loss of photoreversibility in germination (Kendrick and Kronenberg, 2012). Selecting and using plant growth regulators on floricultural crops. 20 Mar. 2017. <https://vtechworks. lib.vt.edu/bitstream/handle/10919/48109/HORT-43P-pdf.pdf>

Generally, plant height increased as the lighting duration increased, with the greatest promotion under the longest photoperiod or under the higher intensity of EOD FR lighting. Longer stems can result from longer internodes, more nodes because of the delay in the flower initiation, or both. Craig and Runkle (2013) reported that SDP's grown under NI with a moderate R:FR were taller than those grown under NI with FR alone because plants under FR-only NI entered the reproduction stage earlier in development. Similarly, chrysanthemum and marigold under 4-h NI that delayed flowering were significantly taller compared with those in the SD treatment (Meng and Runkle, 2015).

In this study, both cultivars of poinsettia grown under 4-h FR initiated a flowering process similar to that of plants under the SD control, whereas flowering under 4-h R+FR, 4-h R+FR+2-h FR, and 4-h H-FR was delayed and plants developed more nodes before flowering. Therefore, flower initiation was later in development and the overall extension of plants under those treatments was greater than that of the others.

Delivering low-intensity EOD FR successfully promoted poinsettia extension growth without delaying flowering. Promotion of stem length can be achieved by providing EOD lighting, and a low R:FR is typically more effective than a high one. For example, the hypocotyl length of tomato seedlings under 12-min EOD lighting treatment, regardless of light quality, was 20% to 44% greater than that without an EOD treatment (Chia and Kubota, 2010). In addition, the hypocotyl length under EOD lighting with an R:FR of 0.05 was 20% greater than under EOD lighting, with an R:FR of 0.47. In another poinsettia study, two cultivars (Christmas Spirit and Christmas Eve) were exposed to R or FR EOD lighting for 30 min at 10 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ after a 10-h SD (Islam et al., 2014). The internode length after 11 weeks was 55% to 107% greater under EOD-FR compared with EOD-R. In our study, plant height in ‘Marble Star’ under the 2-h EOD lighting treatments (regardless of light quality) was statistically similar to that of the SD control, whereas in ‘White Glitter’, plants were significantly taller. In addition, no differences in height and internode length were observed for both cultivars grown under 2- and 4-h EOD-FR compared with R+FR. Therefore, the sensitivity of response to the R:FR in stem elongation might be cultivar specific. This is supported by Rajapakse et al. (1993), who reported that a 15-min EOD-FR treatment did not influence plant height of one cultivar of chrysanthemum but increased height by ≈50% in another cultivar.
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