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Abstract

We present an empirical study of contamination in wide-field optical follow-up searches of gravitational wave sources from Advanced LIGO/Virgo using dedicated observations with the Dark Energy Camera. Our search covered ~56 deg^2, with two visits per night, in the i and z bands, followed by an additional set of griz images three weeks later to serve as reference images for subtraction. We achieve 5σ point-source limiting magnitudes of i ~ 23.5 and z ~ 22.4 mag in the coadded single-epoch images. We conduct a search for transient objects that mimic the i−z color behavior of both red (i−z > 0.3 mag) and blue (i−z < 0 mag) kilonova emission, finding 11 and 10 contaminants, respectively. Independent of color, we identify 48 transients of interest. Additionally, we leverage the rapid cadence of our observations to search for sources with characteristic timescales of ~1 day and ~3 hr, finding no potential contaminants. We assess the efficiency of our search with injected point sources, finding that we are 90% (60%) efficient when searching for red (blue) kilonova-like sources to a limiting magnitude of i ~ 22.5 mag. Using our efficiencies, we derive sky rates for kilonova contaminants of \( R_{\text{red}} \approx 0.16 \text{ deg}^{-2} \) and \( R_{\text{blue}} \approx 0.80 \text{ deg}^{-2} \). The total contamination rate is \( R_{\text{all}} \approx 1.79 \text{ deg}^{-2} \). We compare our results to previous optical follow-up efforts and comment on the outlook for gravitational wave follow-up searches as additional detectors (e.g., KAGRA, LIGO India) come online in the next decade.
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1. Introduction

The detection of gravitational wave (GW) events during the first and second observing runs of the Advanced Laser Interferometer Gravitational-wave Observatory (aLIGO) ushered in the era of GW astronomy. The first four announced detections (GW 150914, GW 151226, GW 170104, and GW 170814) were due to binary black hole (BBH) mergers, with component masses of \( \approx 8-36 \, M_\odot \) (Abbott et al. 2016a, 2016b, 2017a; The LIGO Scientific Collaboration et al. 2017).

More recently, LIGO announced the first detection of GWs from the inspiral and merger of a binary neutron star (GW 170817; Abbott et al. 2017e). This remarkable event was accompanied 1.7 s later by a coincident short gamma-ray burst (SGRB) detected with the Fermi and INTEGRAL satellites (Abbott et al. 2017c; Goldstein et al. 2017; Savchenko et al. 2017). An intense follow-up effort led to the discovery of an associated optical counterpart just 11 hr postmerger (Abbott et al. 2017d; Arcavi et al. 2017; Coulter et al. 2017; Soares-Santos et al. 2017; Valenti et al. 2017).

Initial modeling of this optical emission revealed that it was consistent with the behavior expected for a kilonova (Cowperthwaite et al. 2017; Kilpatrick et al. 2017; Tanaka et al. 2017; Villar et al. 2017), an isotropic thermal optical/NIR transient powered by the radioactive decay of r-process nuclei synthesized in the merger ejecta (see, e.g., Metzger & Berger 2012; Barnes & Kasen 2013; Kasen et al. 2013; Metzger 2017). Subsequent studies into the EM counterpart revealed the nature of the radio and X-ray emission (Alexander et al. 2017; Margutti et al. 2017, 2018; Troja et al. 2017; D’Avanzo et al. 2018; Mooley et al. 2018) and confirmed the notion of compact object mergers as the progenitors of SGRBs (Fong & Berger 2013; Berger 2014; Fong et al. 2015, 2017).

While the case of GW 170817 represents a clear success and the dawn of joint GW–EM astronomy, there are many unique aspects of this discovery that should be considered. First, the source was unusually close (\( D \approx 40 \text{ Mpc} \); Abbott et al. 2017e) with a clearly associated host galaxy (NGC 4993; Blanchard et al. 2017; Cantiello et al. 2018). Furthermore, the source was unexpectedly bright at discovery (\( m_i \approx 17.5 \text{ mag} \); Abbott et al. 2017d; Arcavi et al. 2017; Coulter et al. 2017; Soares-Santos et al. 2017; Valenti et al. 2017), making searches with 1 m class telescopes feasible. It is not clear whether future detections by aLIGO will be so fortuitous or if the observed features of the kilonova associated with GW 170817 will be ubiquitous. Therefore, in the context of future searches for EM counterparts, wide-field instruments on large telescopes will
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still be necessary to perform efficient and effective follow-up of GW sources.

For wide-field searches conducted in the optical bands, kilonovae like the one associated with GW 170817 are of particular interest due to the isotropic nature of the emission and the expectation that this emission will accompany all binary neutron star (BNS) mergers and some NS–BH mergers in which the NS is disrupted outside the event horizon (Metzger & Berger 2012). The short duration ($\lesssim$ few days) and low luminosity ($L_{\text{bol}} \sim 10^{41} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ at peak) of the kilonova emission make deep and rapid searches imperative. Coupled with the large search regions, this also requires the development of methodologies to robustly identify kilonovae among the vast numbers of potential contaminating sources. For example, optical follow-up of GW 151226, covering hundreds of square degrees, has led to the identification of tens of unrelated transient sources despite being shallower than required for ideal kilonova searches (e.g., Cenko et al. 2015, 2016; Smartt et al. 2016b). Similar wide-field efforts for GW 170817 (e.g., Dark Energy Camera, hereafter DECam; Soares-Santos et al. 2017) found 81 transients in a search covering 70 deg$^2$.

In Cowperthwaite & Berger (2015, hereafter CB15), we addressed the question of kilonova detectability and the associated contamination with a Monte Carlo method to simulate tens of thousands of observations of both kilonova and potential contaminating sources (e.g., supernovae and other known and speculative rapid transients), exploring a variety of cadences and search depths. We found that nightly observations to 5$\sigma$ limiting magnitudes of $i \approx 24$ and $z \approx 23$ are required to achieve a 95% kilonova detection rate. Furthermore, the simulated observations revealed that kilonovae occupy a unique region of phase space defined by $i - z$ color and rise time ($t_{\text{rise}}$). The analysis suggests that kilonovae can be identified among contaminating sources using $i - z \gtrsim 0.3$ mag and $t_{\text{rise}} \lesssim 4$ days. This motivates the need for a new and empirical investigation into the issue of contamination.

In this paper, we extend our work in CB15 with an empirical investigation of contamination using a set of deep, rapid-cadence observations obtained for this specific purpose with DECam (Flaugher et al. 2015). These observations encompass four nights of data, covering 56 deg$^2$, in the $i$ and $z$ bands, with two visits per night separated by $\approx 3$ hr, and a depth designed to match the expected kilonova brightness within aLIGO’s sensitivity volume. This data set is unique as it targets cadences shorter than those employed by typical time-domain surveys or by any of the existing follow-up observations of aLIGO BBH merger detections, allowing direct insight into contamination in rapid searches. We use these data to conduct a search for transient sources that could mimic the $i - z$ color behavior of kilonova, considering both red ($i - z \gtrsim 0.5$ mag) and blue ($i - z \lesssim 0$ mag) scenarios.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the various kilonova models explored in this work. We present the observations and data analysis in Section 3. In Section 4, we discuss the methodologies and results of our search for kilonova contaminants. In Section 5, we determine our search efficiency using point sources injected into the images with a wide range of brightness, fading rate, and color. In Section 6, we determine the contamination rate as a function of $i - z$ color and compare our results to those reported from optical follow-up of the current BBH merger events. We discuss the implications of our search in the context of current and future GW detectors and follow-up facilities in Section 7.

All magnitudes presented in this work are given in the AB system unless otherwise noted. Cosmological calculations are performed using the cosmological parameters $H_0 = 67.7 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_M = 0.307$, and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.691$ (Planck Collaboration et al. 2016).

### 2. Overview of Kilonova Models

The mergers of compact binaries containing at least one NS are expected to produce ejecta through dynamical processes such as tidal forces and accretion disk winds (Goriely et al. 2011; Bauswein et al. 2013; Fernández et al. 2015; Radice et al. 2016; Metzger 2017). Numerical simulations indicate that the unbound debris has a mass of $M_{\text{ej}} \sim 10^{-4} - 10^{-1} M_{\odot}$ with a velocity of $\beta_{\text{ej}} \sim 0.1-0.3$, with a dependence on parameters such as the mass ratio and equation of state (Hotokezaka et al. 2013; Tanaka et al. 2014; Kutyukov et al. 2015). The ejecta are expected to be neutron-rich, with a typical electron fraction of $Y_e \lesssim 0.3$, with simulations showing a range of values from $Y_e \sim 0.1-0.3$ (Goriely et al. 2011; Bauswein et al. 2013; Sekiguchi et al. 2015; Radice et al. 2016; Siegel & Metzger 2017). This electron fraction is low enough ($Y_e \lesssim 0.25$) that the ejecta are expected to undergo $r$-process nucleosynthesis, producing heavy elements ($A \gtrsim 130$), particularly in the lanthanide and actinide groups (Goriely et al. 2011; Bauswein et al. 2013; Siegel & Metzger 2017). These groups of elements have open $f$-shells, which allow a large number of possible electron configurations, resulting in a large opacity in the bluer optical bands ($\kappa_{i} \sim 100 \text{ cm}^{2} \text{ g}^{-1}$ for $\lambda \sim 1 \mu m$; see, e.g., Figure 10 in, Kasen et al. 2013). A more recent calculation by Fontes et al. (2017) suggests that the lanthanide opacities may be an order of magnitude higher ($\kappa_{i} \sim 1000 \text{ cm}^{2} \text{ g}^{-1}$ for $\lambda \sim 1 \mu m$).

The radioactive decay of the $r$-process elements synthesized during the merger heats the ejecta, producing an isotropic, thermal transient (Li & Paczyński 1998; Rosswog 2005; Metzger et al. 2010; Tanaka et al. 2014). The combination of low ejecta mass and high ejecta velocity, coupled with the strong optical line blanketing, results in a transient that is faint in the blue optical bands ($\kappa_{i} \sim 100 \text{ cm}^{2} \text{ g}^{-1}$ for $\lambda \lesssim 1 \mu m$).

In addition to the neutron-rich dynamical ejecta, recent works have suggested that the mergers can also produce ejecta with a high electron fraction ($Y_e > 0.25$; Wanajo et al. 2014; Goriely et al. 2015) if BNS mergers lead to a hypermassive neutron star (HMNS; see, e.g., Sekiguchi et al. 2011) with a lifetime of $\gtrsim$100 ms. The resulting HMNS irradiates the disk wind ejecta with a high neutrino luminosity, which raises the electron fraction of the material and suppresses $r$-process nucleosynthesis. This material will have an opacity similar to the Fe-peak opacities seen in Type Ia SNe, producing emission that is slightly brighter ($r \approx 22$ mag at 200 Mpc), bluer ($i - z \lesssim 0$), and shorter-lived ($\approx 1-2$ days; Metzger & Fernández 2014; Kasen et al. 2015).

---

14 Even if an HMNS star is not formed, a sufficiently rapidly spinning black hole may lead to a small amount of ejecta with $Y_e > 0.25$ (see, e.g., Fernández & Metzger 2013; Fernández et al. 2015). In this scenario, the resulting kilonova emission is broadly identical to the HMNS case, but the lower ejecta mass leads to a faster and fainter transient.
blue kilonova component has a strong dependence on viewing angle, with the polar regions of the ejecta being exposed to the highest neutrino flux (Metzger & Fernandez 2014; Kasen et al. 2015). Consequently, if the merger is viewed face-on ($\theta \approx 15\text{--}30^\circ$), this blue component may be visible. We expect that up to half of mergers will be viewed at such angles (see, e.g., Metzger & Berger 2012). However, at larger viewing angles, the lanthanide-rich material in the dynamical ejecta will obscure the blue emission, and only a red kilonova will be observed (Kasen et al. 2015; Metzger 2017). While this component will be brighter than the expected $r$-band emission from the lanthanide-rich material, its detection requires rapid-cadence observations within a few hours of the GW detection (CB15).

Lastly, it has been argued that a small fraction of the merger ejecta may expand so rapidly that it is unable to undergo $r$-process nucleosynthesis (Bauswein et al. 2013). This material instead deposits energy into the ejecta via neutron $\beta$-decay. At very early times ($\lesssim 1$ hr postmerger), the specific heating rate from the neutron $\beta$-decay is an order of magnitude higher than that generated by the $r$-process nuclei (Metzger 2017). This timescale is well matched to the diffusion time for the free neutron ejecta, resulting in a bright brief emission. For an ejecta mass with $10^{-4} M_{\odot}$ of free neutrons, the resulting transient will have a peak $r$-band magnitude of $\sim 22$ mag at 200 Mpc with a characteristic timescale of $\sim 1\text{--}2$ hr (Metzger et al. 2015). This speculative early-time emission is often referred to as a “neutron precursor.” However, due to the high velocity of the free neutrons $\gtrsim 0.4 c$, this component of the ejecta may be visible before the equatorial lanthanide-rich ejecta and thus be observable for a wider range of viewing angles than the blue kilonova. This transient will be as bright as the blue kilonova emission but significantly shorter in duration, requiring particularly rapid observations in response to a GW trigger (CB15).

To summarize, kilonova emission with a red color ($i - z \gtrsim 0.5$), a peak brightness of $z \approx 22.2$ mag at 200 Mpc, and a duration of $\sim$ few days is expected to be ubiquitous. Blue kilonova emission due to a surviving HMNS is expected to be bluer ($i - z \lesssim 0$ mag), similarly faint ($r \approx 22$ mag), and shorter in duration ($\lesssim 1\text{--}2$ days). This picture was confirmed by the modeling of the optical emission associated with GW 170817. Successfully fitting the data required models comprised of at least two components (e.g., “red” and “blue” emission) with their own distinct ejecta properties (Cowperthwaite et al. 2017; Kilpatrick et al. 2017; Tanaka et al. 2017; Villar et al. 2017). The observed behavior and composition of the dynamical ejecta and associated red kilonova emission are robust and ubiquitous; however, the nature and observability of the blue kilonova emission depend both on the fraction of cases in which an HMNS survives (unknown) and on geometrical effects ($\lesssim 50\%$). Finally, emission due to free neutrons will be similar in brightness and color to the blue kilonova emission, but with a timescale of only $\sim$ few hours; the prevalence of this signal is uncertain. The observations described in this paper address contamination in all of these cases.

### 3. Observations and Data Analysis

We obtained data for this study using the DECam imager on the Blanco 4 m telescope at the Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory (CTIO).\(^\text{15}\) DECam is a wide-field optical imager with a 3.3 deg$^2$ field of view and a CCD sensitive out to $\sim 1$ $\mu$m (Flaugher et al. 2015), making it an ideal instrument for optical follow-up covering the sizable GW localization regions, particularly in the context of red kilonova emission. The data set consists of 21 contiguous pointings, covering $\gtrsim 56$ deg$^2$ in the Antlia cluster,\(^\text{16}\) with observations conducted nightly over a five-day period (2013 March 1–5 UT; “search images”); however, due to poor weather conditions, the data taken on 2013 March 4 UT were unusable. Two sets of observations, separated by $\approx 3$ hr, were obtained during each observing night, with each observation consisting of two 150 s exposures in the $i$- and $r$-bands, and the same exposures in the $z$ band. We obtained an additional epoch for image subtraction on 2013 March 22 UT. This epoch consists of observations in the $griz$ bands to determine colors for any template counterparts, with two 85 s exposures in the $g$ and $r$ bands, and the same exposures in the $i$ and $z$ bands as the initial search images. We list the central pointing coordinates for the 21 fields in Table 1, and summarize the observations in Tables 2 and 3.

We processed the data using photpipe, an image-processing pipeline used by several previous time domain surveys (see, e.g., Rest et al. 2005, 2014) to perform single-epoch image processing, image subtraction, and candidate identification. The single-epoch processing began with the raw images and appropriate calibration files obtained from the NOAO archive\(^\text{17}\) and initial image reduction (e.g., bias subtraction, cross-talk corrections, flat-fielding). We performed astrometric calibration relative to the 2MASS $J$-band point-source catalog. Search and template images were deprojected onto a tangential plane, and pairs of $i$- and $z$-band images from a single epoch were coadded using SWARP (Bertin et al. 2002).

---

\(^{15}\) Pf: Berger. NOAO 2013A-0214.

\(^{16}\) The effective area corresponds to 21 DECam pointings accounting for an overall $\approx 20\%$ loss of area due to chip gaps (10%), three unused CCDs (5%; see Section 4.1 and Diehl et al. 2014), and masked edge pixels (5%).

\(^{17}\) http://archive.noao.edu/
The PSF and airmass are averaged across all observations on a given date. The summary of our DECam observations used as search epochs. The data taken on 2013 March 4 UT are omitted as they are unusable due to poor weather conditions.

| Night | Epoch | UT       | (PSF) | (PSF) | (airmass) | (depth) | (depth) |
|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|-----------|---------|---------|
| 1     | A     | 2013 Mar 01 | 0.92  | 0.86  | 1.20      | 23.2    | 22.3    |
|       | B     | 2013 Mar 01 | 1.01  | 0.97  | 1.03      | 23.2    | 22.4    |
| 2     | A     | 2013 Mar 02 | 1.08  | 1.05  | 1.22      | 23.4    | 22.3    |
|       | B     | 2013 Mar 02 | 1.09  | 1.06  | 1.03      | 23.4    | 22.3    |
| 3     | A     | 2013 Mar 03 | 0.90  | 0.87  | 1.13      | 23.6    | 22.4    |
|       | B     | 2013 Mar 03 | 0.91  | 0.87  | 1.03      | 23.6    | 22.5    |
| 4     | A     | 2013 Mar 05 | 0.87  | 0.82  | 1.15      | 23.7    | 22.5    |
|       | B     | 2013 Mar 05 | 0.92  | 0.89  | 1.07      | 23.7    | 22.6    |

Note. Summary of our DECam observations used as search epochs. The data taken on 2013 March 4 UT are omitted as they are unusable due to poor weather conditions. The PSF and airmass are averaged across all observations on a given date. The 5σ point source depth is the mean value computed for the coadded search images.

4. A Search for Optical Transients

Our primary goal is to uncover all optical transients in our data and then to determine specifically the areal rate of kilonova contaminants.

4.1. Selection Based on Data Quality and Fading Behavior

Our initial selection criteria are designed to identify transient sources in our search images that have sufficient data quality for further analysis. These selection criteria are:

1. Given that we are simulating follow-up triggered by a GW detection, we search for sources that are detected at the beginning of our search (i.e., we treat our first night of observations as if it followed a GW detection notice). We accomplish this by requiring four 5σ detections in any combination of the $i$ or $z$ band across the first four epochs (i.e., four total detections across the first two nights). This selection criterion leads to an initial sample size of 2818 sources.

2. We expect both kilonovae and any relevant contaminating source in the context of GW follow-up to be fainter in the template image than in the search images. Therefore, we only select sources that present a difference flux that is strictly positive or within 2σ of zero across all epochs. This selection criterion leads to a final sample size of 929 sources.18

We note that at the time these data were taken, CCD #61 (N30) was not functioning. Additionally, during processing of the candidate list, we observed severe data quality issues with CCDs #16 (S17) and #44 (N13) that produced a number of image artifacts and erroneous detections several orders of magnitude larger than in the other CCDs. Consequently, these three CCDs have been excluded from the analysis. This results in a ~5% loss of sky coverage as discussed in Table 2.

4.2. Selection Based on Template Counterpart

We now discuss selection criteria designed to eliminate sources that do not exhibit temporal evolution and colors expected for kilonovae. Specifically, we first leverage the fact that kilonovae are expected to have much shorter durations than most other contaminating sources (CB15). For example, a kilonova following the model of Barnes & Kasen (2013) will

18 To check for bias from our initial selection, we also identified sources that exhibit strictly negative difference fluxes, finding a comparable number (1107 sources).
fade by several magnitudes over the course of a few days, independent of the precise choice of ejecta parameters. Given that the separation in time between our last search epoch and our template epoch is 17 days, we therefore expect any kilonova-like source detected in the search images to have faded well below our detection limit in the template epoch.

Following this reasoning, we separate our population of 929 candidates into four subgroups based on the presence and morphology (i.e., point versus extended source) of a counterpart in the template images. We identify such counterparts (or lack thereof) by matching the detection coordinates in our difference images against those sources detected in the SExtractor catalogs (Section 3). We define four subgroups of the 929 sources in the following manner:

1. The candidate has no counterpart detection in the template epoch within a matching radius of 3".
2. The candidate has an extended source counterpart in the template epoch within a matching radius of 3".
3. The candidate has a point source counterpart in the template epoch within a matching radius of 1", as well as an extended source counterpart brighter than 17.5 mag within a matching radius of 30".
4. The candidate has a point source counterpart in the template epoch within a matching radius of 1", without an extended source counterpart brighter than 17.5 mag within a matching radius of 30".

The choice of a 3" matching radius for Groups 1 and 2 is arbitrary, but does not ultimately affect the identification of candidates; changing the matching radius will simply shift candidates between Group 1 and Group 2.

In Groups 3 and 4, the choice of galaxy brightness and matching radius is motivated by observations of the host galaxies of short GRBs, which have luminosities of \( \geq 0.1 \) \( L^* \) (Fong & Berger 2013; Fong et al. 2013; Berger 2014). At the ALV design-sensitivity detection range (\( \sim 200 \) Mpc), such galaxies will be brighter than 17.5 mag. We then select the matching radius such that the probability of the point source and galaxy being associated by chance, the “probability of chance coincidence,” is \( P_{cc} \approx 0.1 \). This is given by

\[
P_{cc}(<R) = 1 - \exp[-\pi R^2 \sigma(<m)],
\]

where

\[
\sigma(<m) = \left( \frac{1}{0.33 \ln(10)} \right) \times 10^{0.33(m-24) - 2.44} \text{arcsec}^{-2}
\]

is the expected number density of galaxies brighter than magnitude \( m \) as determined by deep optical surveys (Berger 2010; see also Hogg et al. 1997; Bloom et al. 2002; Beckwith et al. 2006). Therefore, setting \( m = 17.5 \) mag and \( P_{cc}(<R) = 0.1 \), we find the appropriate matching radius of \( R = 30" \). This radius, corresponding to a physical scale of \( \approx 27 \) kpc at a distance of \( \approx 200 \) Mpc, also corresponds to some of the larger offsets measured for SGRB (Fong et al. 2010; Fong & Berger 2013; Berger 2014).

4.2.1. Group 1—No Counterpart

The first group is designed to identify sources that were detected during our search epochs but have faded below our detection threshold by the time of the template observations. Here, the matching radius (3") is chosen such that this group of candidates will serve as the complement to the second group, as discussed above. We find that 110 of our original 929 sources belong to Group 1, but 63 of these 110 sources exhibit a \( \geq 3 \sigma \) detection in forced aperture photometry in the template images indicating the presence of artifacts (e.g., diffraction spikes caused by saturated stars), which are unidentified by SExtractor. This reduces the number of candidates to 47. Manual inspection reveals these to also be image defects, specifically bad CCD columns and fringing artifacts. We therefore find no genuine transient sources that have faded beyond the limits of our template images and which have no counterparts within 3".

4.2.2. Group 2—Extended Source Counterpart

We next search for transients that appear near to a galaxy, but like those in Group 1, have faded below the detection limit of our template epoch. We identify extended sources as those detected by SExtractor with a CLASS_STAR (i.e., stellarity) value of \( \leq 0.8 \). Applying this cut, we find that this subsample contains 94 of the original 929 sources. Visual inspection reveals that 48 of these sources are genuine transients (which we consider as potential kilonova contaminants), while the remaining 46 sources result from image subtraction artifacts in the cores of bright galaxies; we do not consider these sources in the analysis. The distribution of offsets between the transients and galaxies for the sample of 48 genuine sources is shown in Figure 1. We find that that half (24 of 48) of the sources have an offset of \( \leq 0".27 \) (i.e., one DECam pixel), hinting that they are nuclear in origin, and hence likely represent AGN variability.

We note that the single source in Figure 1 with an offset of \( \approx 6".6 \) was originally identified with an offset of \( 0".14 \), However, manual inspection revealed that the object had not completely faded away in the template epoch causing SExtractor deblending to detect a low stellarity point source still present on top of the galaxy light distribution. We correct this offset manually but leave this source in Group 2 as that is the original classification.

4.2.3. Groups 3 and 4—Point Source Counterparts

We are also interested in those candidates that have a coincident point source counterpart in the template images. The presence of such a counterpart disqualifies the source as a kilonova contaminant, but this determination relies on the existence of late-time (or pre-existing) templates. Therefore, it is still meaningful to construct a census of these sources to gain a better understanding of potential contamination in real-time GW follow-up observations, especially if pre-existing template images are not available. These sources are shown on a color–color diagram in Figure 2.

To help assess if the point source counterpart in the template images is a long-lived transient or simply a stellar variable source, we perform catalog matching for these sources against the Two Micron All-sky Survey Point Source Catalog (2MASS PSC; Skrutskie et al. 2006), the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer all-sky release (WISE; Wright et al. 2010), and the Gaia DR1 Stellar Catalog (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016a, 2016b). This matching

---

19 We note that Gaia DR1 uses data obtained after our data were obtained. However, the time separation between the Gaia mission and our data (\( >1 \) yr), along with the comparatively shallow Gaia catalogs (\( G \approx 20 \) mag), makes it unlikely that any transient detected in our data will still be present in Gaia DR1.
is done using the detection coordinates from photpipe with a matching radius of 1″. This matching identifies 711 of the 725 candidates in Groups 3 and 4. In the following subsections, we investigate the two groups separately.

4.2.4. Group 3—Point Source Counterpart with a Bright Nearby Galaxy

We identify 23 sources that are located within 30″ of a galaxy with $i \lesssim 17.5$ mag. Figure 2 shows that sources from Group 3 predominantly coincide with the stellar locus, indicating a variable star origin, with the galaxy association occurring purely by chance. Given our choice of a chance coincidence probability of $P_{cc} < 0.1$, it is not surprising to find that out of the 725 candidates there are 23 spurious matches to galaxies with $i \lesssim 17.5$ mag within 30″. This is because the actual number of chance coincidences can vary due to statistics or accuracy of source identification. Since $P_{cc}$ is just used to assign sources to groups and not cut sources from the initial list of candidates, these issues do not affect our results.

We match these 23 sources against the external catalogs (2MASS, WISE, and Gaia DR1) and find that only one source lacks a catalog match. This source is shown on the color–color diagram in Figure 2, and is found to be consistent with the stellar locus. In Figure 2, we show the distribution of $i$-band magnitudes for Group 3, and we find that the single source not detected in the external catalogs is located at the faint end of our distribution ($r \approx 21.8$ mag). Therefore, this source is simply too faint to appear in the external catalogs.

Based on the presence of a point source counterpart in the template, association with the stellar locus, and matches to external catalogs, we conclude that none of the 23 sources in

Figure 1. Offset distribution, with one pixel bins, for sources in Group 2 after visual rejection of image-subtraction artifacts. Half (24 of 48) of the sources exhibit offsets smaller than 1 pixel (0″.27), indicating a likely AGN variability origin.

Figure 2. Top: $r-i$ vs. $i-z$ color–color diagram for the template counterparts identified in Groups 3 (orange stars; Section 4.2.4) and 4 (blue circles; Section 4.2.5). The black contours indicate the stellar locus in our images. We find that the majority of sources are consistent with the stellar locus, indicating a variable star origin. Middle: same as top panel, but only showing those 14 sources that do not have a match in the Gaia catalog. The majority of sources are still consistent with the stellar locus, indicating that they are stellar but simply too faint to appear in the Gaia catalog. Bottom: distribution of $r$-band magnitudes for sources in Groups 3 and 4. The solid lines indicate sources that have a counterpart in the Gaia DR1 catalog, while the dashed lines indicate sources that do not have a catalog match.
Group 3 can be considered as kilonova contaminants provided that deep template images are available. If templates are not available, then the single source without a counterpart in the Gaia DR1 catalog would be considered a kilonova contaminant.

### 4.2.5. Group 4—Point Source Counterpart without a Bright Nearby Galaxy

The remaining 702 sources do not have an associated galaxy that meets our matching criteria. We show these sources in the color–color diagram (Figure 2). Similar to the candidates in Group 3, these sources coincide almost entirely with the stellar locus. The sources that extend to redder colors \((i - z > 0.75 \text{ mag} \text{ and } r - i > 1.75 \text{ mag})\) are also consistent with the stellar locus; the contours simply do not capture this sparser region of the locus. We manually inspect sources that appear to lie outside of the stellar locus. We find that half of these sources have one or more masked/saturated pixels that bias their photometry. The remaining sources are blended or positioned in the halo of a saturated star, which affects their photometry. Finally, there is a single source with no obvious photometric issues. As we show below, this source is not detected in any external catalog, but this is likely due to its faintness \((r \approx 21.7 \text{ mag} \text{ and } g \gtrsim 23.0 \text{ mag})\). Furthermore, this source does not appear near an obvious host galaxy. It is therefore most likely a faint variable star or quasar.

Matching the sources in Group 4 against the external catalogs, we find that all but 13 of these sources have a match in 2MASS, WISE, or Gaia DR1. We show 10 of these 13 unmatched sources on the color–color diagram in Figure 2, and we find that they are consistent with the stellar locus.\(^{20}\) Inspecting the magnitude distribution in Figure 2, we find that these sources are located at the faint end of our distribution. For example, all of the sources have \(r > 20.5 \text{ mag}\), indicating that they are too faint to be present in the Gaia DR1 catalog. As with Group 3, we conclude that none of these sources can be considered kilonova contaminants, as long as sufficiently deep template images are available.

### 4.2.6. Summary of Initial Search

We find 48 sources that can be considered as potential kilonova contaminants. All of these sources are from Group 2, appearing in coincidence with galaxies, and with half (24 sources) having a separation of \(\lesssim 1 \text{ pixel}\) from the galaxy nucleus, suggesting that they are likely due to AGN variability. While it is possible for kilonovae to appear in the nuclear regions of their hosts, we generally expect larger offsets based on the fact that only \(\approx 15\%\) of SGRBs exhibit offsets of \(\lesssim 0.5R_{1/2}\) (Fong & Berger 2013; Fong et al. 2013; Berger 2014). In this analysis, we do not eliminate nuclear sources from consideration, but we note that in an actual follow-up search, such sources could in principle be deprioritized.

We note that the rejection of the 14 sources with point-source counterparts possessing stellar colors in the template images but lacking a catalog match in Groups 3 and 4 as potential kilonova candidates is made under the assumption of template images being available. However, in the context of real-time detection in the absence of pre-existing templates, such sources may lead to an additional source of contamination. We do not include these sources in our contaminant sample because they can ultimately be rejected, but we caution that the rate of contaminants may be up to \(\approx 25\%\) higher if such sources cannot be efficiently rejected.

### 4.3. Color Selection of Kilonova Contaminants

We now study the \(i - z\) colors of the 48 potential contaminants to identify sources that can mimic either red or blue kilonova emission. The color distribution for all 48 sources is shown in Figure 3. The \(i - z\) color is computed as a signal-to-noise-weighted average using the “forced” DoPhot photometry from the difference images. We define red kilonova contaminants as those having \(i - z > 0.5 \text{ mag}\) (Barnes & Kasen 2013, CB15). For blue kilonova contaminants, we require \(i - z \lesssim 0.0 \text{ mag}\), motivated by the models of Kasen et al. (2015) for a BNS merger that results in an HMNS with \(t_{\text{HMNS}} \gtrsim 100 \text{ ms}\). These criteria are shown in Figure 3. These criteria capture the tails of the color distribution, with over half of the sources (27 of 48) exhibiting \(i - z = 0.0 - 0.5 \text{ mag}\).

We find 11 sources that satisfy the color criterion for a red kilonova, of which 6 (54\%) are located within a pixel of a galaxy nucleus. The light curves of all 11 sources are shown in Figure 4. The majority of these sources (8 of 11) have \(i - z \approx 0.5 - 0.8 \text{ mag}\), and only two sources (\#75 and \#2948) have \(i - z \gtrsim 1 \text{ mag}\). Key aspects of the temporal evolution of red kilonova models are the rapid rise to peak (~few days) and the rapid decline postpeak \((6m_i \gtrsim 0.3 \text{ mag day}^{-1})\) in both \(i\) and \(z\) bands. Manually inspecting the light curves in Figure 4, we find no sources that clearly satisfy either of these criteria.

We find 10 sources that have colors expected for blue kilonovae. About half of these sources are located within a pixel of a galaxy nucleus. We show the light curves of all 10 sources in Figure 5. The temporal evolution of blue kilonova models is more rapid, with a shorter duration, than that of red kilonovae. We do not find any systematic trends in light curve behavior for the 10 sources or when inspecting the nuclear and nonnuclear sources separately.

The complete set of selections are summarized in Table 4. We selected subsets of red and blue kilonova contaminants with specific color cuts, but we note that the models motivating these choices have uncertainties that can affect the kilonova colors (e.g., ejecta mass and velocity, ejecta composition, uncertainties in \(r\)-process opacities, etc.). This makes understanding the effect of color criteria on the size of the contaminant sample crucial.

The number of red and blue kilonova contaminants as a function of color is shown in Figure 3. We find that the number of sources in either sample increases significantly if the selection on \(i - z\) color is relaxed. For example, if we search for red kilonova contaminants by requiring \(i - z \gtrsim 0.3 \text{ mag}\), the number of contaminants rises to 22, a twofold increase. Similarly, if we relax our color selection for blue kilonova to \(i - z \lesssim 0.2 \text{ mag}\), the number of contaminants rises to 20, again a twofold increase over the original sample.

\(^{20}\)The remaining three sources are not plotted due to a lack of \(r\)-or \(z\)-band detections.
4.4. A Search for Contamination on Nightly Timescales

We also search our data for sources that could appear as contaminants on the timescales relevant to the short-lived “neutron precursor,” which are speculated to accompany some mergers (Section 2, Metzger et al. 2015). We accomplish this by leveraging the rapid cadence of our observations to identify potential contaminants that are detected during a single night, or just a half-night epoch, probing transient and variable events that occur with timescales of 3 hr to 1 day and \( \leq 3 \) hr, respectively. We search for candidates based on their behavior in the “forced” DoPhot photometry as follows:

1. We search for transients with a characteristic timescale of 3 hr to 1 day by selecting candidates that exhibit two \( \geq 10\sigma \) detections in the \( i \) band during a single night of observations (i.e., two epochs). The \( 10\sigma \) limiting magnitude in this search is \( i \approx 22.5 \) mag. Outside of these epochs, the sources must exhibit an \( i \)-band difference flux that is a factor of \( \geq 10 \) fainter than the maximum \( i \)-band difference flux measured during the night of interest. This requirement is consistent with the rapid fading expected for “neutron precursors” (Metzger et al. 2015).

2. We search for transients with characteristic timescales of \( \leq 3 \) hr by selecting candidates that exhibit a single \( \geq 10\sigma \) detection in both \( i \) and \( z \) bands in a single epoch. The \( 10\sigma \) limiting magnitudes in this search are \( i \approx 22.5 \) (21.6) mag. Outside of this epoch, the sources must exhibit a difference flux that is a factor of \( \geq 10 \) fainter than the difference flux measured during the epoch of interest, in both \( i \) and \( z \) bands, again motivated by the rapid fading expected for “neutron precursors” (Metzger et al. 2015).

We find nine sources with a timescale between 3 hr and 1 day. We perform a manual inspection of this sample, finding five genuine sources and four that result from image-subtraction artifacts. Matching the five sources to our template images and external catalogs, we find that all of them have a point-source match in both our template images and Gaia DR1, and hence represent stellar variability or flaring. We find no evidence for extragalactic contamination from sources with a timescale between 3 hr and 1 day.

We find 39 sources that match our selection criteria for a duration of \( \leq 3 \) hr. We manually inspect these sources and find 24 genuine sources, with the remaining candidates resulting from image-subtraction artifacts. Fifteen of these sources have point source counterparts in our template images, as well as matches in the Gaia DR1 catalog. An additional six sources are matched to high stellarity sources, but these are all likely too faint (\( r \geq 21.5 \) mag and \( g \geq 23.0 \) mag) to be present in the Gaia DR1 catalog. The remaining three sources exhibit significant trailing in at least one epoch and no detected counterpart in the template images, indicating that they are asteroids. Thus, we find no nonstellar or nonmoving sources with a timescale of \( \leq 3 \) hr.

5. Detection Efficiency

To determine the areal rate of the various kilonova contaminants, we need to determine the detection efficiency of our search method. We accomplish this by injecting point sources into both our search and template images. We inject each source with a constant brightness and \( i-z \) color in the search images. To assess the impact of residual flux in the template images, we use a range of fading levels between the search and template images. Finally, to assess the effect of host galaxy brightness on our recovery efficiency, we inject the sources on and near galaxies identified using SExtractor photometry on the template epoch.
We inject 10 point sources around 580 galaxies identified across the 21 fields in our data set for a total of 5800 injected sources. The population is constructed as follows:

1. We select extended sources by requiring a half-light radius of $R_{1/2} > 20$ pixels and a stellarity value of $<0.2$. The choice of $R_{1/2}$ corresponds to the approximate size of

Figure 4. Light curves for the 11 sources in our red kilonova contaminant sample, constructed from the “forced” DoPhot PSF photometry (blue circles: $i$ band; orange squares: $z$ band). Nuclear sources are indicated by an (N) in the ID number. The 5σ limits for nondetections are indicated by triangles.
a Milky-Way-like galaxy at a distance of ≈200 Mpc, appropriate for NS–NS merger detections by aLIGO. These values are determined from the FLUX_RADIUS and CLASS_STAR parameters in the SExtractor catalog (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) and verified by manual inspection.

2. We inject 10 sources at random locations around each galaxy, constrained to a box that is 4R_{1/2} on a side.

Figure 5. Same as Figure 4, but for the sources in the blue kilonova contaminant sample.
Summary of Final Contaminant Sample at $i \lesssim 22.5$ mag

| Selection | $N$ (Raw) | $R$ (Raw) | $N$ (Corrected) | $R$ (Corrected) |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|----------------|
| Total Sample | $45^{+10}_{-9}$ | $0.80^{+0.18}_{-0.16}$ | $101^{+15}_{-14}$ | $1.79^{+0.26}_{-0.25}$ |
| Nuclear | $21^{+7}_{-6}$ | $0.38^{+0.11}_{-0.12}$ | $58^{+10}_{-9}$ | $1.03^{+0.19}_{-0.18}$ |
| Nonnuclear | $24^{+7}_{-7}$ | $0.45^{+0.13}_{-0.12}$ | $43^{+10}_{-9}$ | $0.76^{+0.17}_{-0.15}$ |
| Red | $9^{+4}_{-4}$ | $0.16^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$ | $12^{+6}_{-5}$ | $0.20^{+0.07}_{-0.09}$ |
| Blue | $10^{+4}_{-4}$ | $0.18^{+0.09}_{-0.09}$ | $46^{+8}_{-8}$ | $0.82^{+0.18}_{-0.16}$ |
| Red/Nuclear | $4^{+3}_{-3}$ | $0.07^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$ | $5^{+2}_{-2}$ | $0.09^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$ |
| Blue/Nuclear | $5^{+3}_{-3}$ | $0.09^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$ | $3^{+2}_{-2}$ | $0.09^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$ |
| Red/Nonnuclear | $5^{+3}_{-3}$ | $0.09^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$ | $7^{+3}_{-3}$ | $0.11^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$ |
| Blue/Nonnuclear | $5^{+3}_{-3}$ | $0.09^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$ | $13^{+4}_{-4}$ | $0.22^{+0.08}_{-0.07}$ |

Note. Summary of selections made for our magnitude-limited final sample of 45 sources, including $1\sigma$ errors on source counts. We give raw and efficiency-corrected number of sources ($N$) and sky rate ($R$), assuming our search represents a typical region of sky. We define red and blue sources as those with $i - z > 0.5$ mag and $i - z < 0.0$ mag, respectively. We define nuclear sources as those exhibiting an offset from the nucleus of their host galaxy of $\lesssim 1$ pixel ($\lesssim 0''.27$).

3. We inject sources with an $i$-band magnitude range of 19.5–23 mag, with a volume weighting to produce a realistic distribution of faint sources.
4. We assign each source a color of $i - z = -1$ to 1 mag, with a uniform distribution.
5. We assign each source a difference in magnitude between the science and template images of $\delta m = 0.2$–3 mag, with a uniform distribution; the range is designed to capture slow fading that would be typical of supernovae and rapid fading typical of kilonovae.

To recover and study the injected sources, we process the data in the same manner as described in Section 3 and apply the data quality selection criteria as described in Section 4.1. We then match the identified sources against the list of injected sources, allowing for an astrometric match tolerance of 2 pixels.

For the purpose of determining our detection efficiency in a manner relevant to our search for kilonova contaminants, we identify two primary groups of injected sources, namely those that have red kilonova properties (i.e., $i - z \geq 0.5$ mag and $\delta m \geq 1$ mag) and those that have blue kilonova properties (i.e., $i - z \leq 0.0$ mag and $\delta m \geq 1$ mag). These criteria lead to 1006 and 1955 injected sources, respectively. The remaining 2839 sources span a range of properties between red and blue kilonovae. We consider the effect of the source brightness, color, fading, and the separation from the host galaxy on our ability to detect sources. We define our efficiency as the ratio of the number of sources recovered to the number of sources injected.

In Figure 6, we plot the detection efficiency as a function of $i$-band magnitude for the full sample as well as for the subsets of red and blue kilonova sources. We find an overall efficiency of $\gtrsim 0.8$ for $i \lesssim 21.5$ mag and 0.5 at $i \approx 22$ mag. For red kilonova sources, we find a higher efficiency of $\approx 0.9$ at $i \lesssim 22$ mag and 0.5 at $i \approx 22.8$ mag. Our efficiency for blue kilonova sources is $\approx 0.9$ for sources with $i \lesssim 21$ mag and 0.5 at $i \approx 21.5$ mag. The higher efficiency for red kilonova sources is due to the relative depths of our $i$- and $z$-band images, which were chosen to explore red sources.

The efficiency as a function of color for all magnitudes and fading rates is also shown in Figure 6. We find that the efficiency is $\lesssim 0.5$ for $i - z < 0$ mag, and then increases monotonically to $\approx 0.8$ by $i - z \approx 1$ mag. For red kilonova sources, the efficiency is $\approx 10\%$ higher than for the general population of injected sources, while for blue kilonova sources it is comparable to that for the full sample.

We next explore the efficiency as a function of fading ($\delta m$) across all colors and magnitudes. We find that the efficiency is $\lesssim 0.5$ for mild fading of $\delta m \lesssim 0.5$ mag, but then steadily increases to about 0.7 when $\delta m \approx 3$ mag. For red kilonova sources, the efficiency is about 20% higher than for the full sample, while for blue kilonova sources, it is approximately 15% lower than for the general population of injected sources.

Finally, we investigate the efficiency as a function of angular separation, normalized by $R_{1/2}$ between the injected source and the galaxy. We find that the efficiency is relatively constant for the full range of separations, spanning $\approx 0$–$5 R_{1/2}$. This indicates that our recovery efficiency is uniform even at negligible separations from galaxy centers. For red kilonova sources, the efficiency is about 30% higher than for the total sample of injected sources, while for blue kilonova sources, it is about 10% lower than for the full sample.

In general, the final efficiency for a given source population depends on a combination of source properties. Histograms of the two-dimensional efficiency as a function of multiple source properties are shown in Figure 7.

We quantify our final efficiencies at a limiting magnitude of $i \lesssim 22.5$ mag, corresponding to the magnitude at which the efficiency for the entire population of fake sources is $\lesssim 0.2$. We compute final efficiencies of $\approx 90\%$ for red kilonova sources and $\approx 60\%$ for blue kilonovae sources.

The initial set of cuts to determine if a fake point source is red or blue kilonova-like are applied to the injected properties, but the measured properties can vary quite significantly. For faint sources ($i \lesssim 22.5$ mag), the measured color of a source can be inaccurate by $\gtrsim 0.25$ mag, and the error does not approach zero until $i \lesssim 20$ mag. This error in color can lead to a fake source being misclassified during recovery. We investigate this effect by identifying sources in our sample that would be misclassified if our analysis were based on the measured source properties. We find that this is an overall minor effect, leading to a $\approx 10\%$ change to the calculated efficiency.

6. Contamination Rates

We now combine the results of Sections 4 and 5 to compute the areal rate of contaminating sources for the effective sky area for our search ($A_{sky} \approx 56$ deg$^2$). We note that our rates are for the relevant “per search” and not per unit time. These rates can easily be combined with the size of a given GW localization region to compute an expected number of contaminating sources. We first compute the expected detection efficiency relevant for each source in our sample given its color and magnitude; we do not consider the source location relative to a galaxy since our efficiency is uniform with angular separation (Figure 6). In Figure 8, we plot the efficiency-corrected number of sources as a function of $i - z$ color in three bins corresponding to red kilonovae, blue kilonovae, and...
intermediate colors. We compute 1σ confidence intervals assuming simple Poisson counting statistics. These confidence intervals are computed for the raw number of sources and are then scaled by the mean efficiency in each color bin.

We maintain consistency with our calculated efficiencies from Section 5 by only considering sources with a mean $i$-band magnitude of $i \lesssim 22.5$ mag as computed from the forced DoPhot photometry. At this magnitude limit, there are 45 sources out of the total initial sample of 48 from Section 4.3.

The magnitude-limited sample of red kilonova contaminants found in Section 4.3 is composed of nine sources. Correcting for detection efficiency leads to a contaminant rate of 0.16 deg$^{-2}$ at a 5σ limiting magnitude of $i \lesssim 22.5$ mag. The efficiency-corrected rate of nonnuclear red kilonova contaminants is 0.11 deg$^{-2}$. For a typical ALV localization region of $\sim$100 deg$^2$, we therefore expect 16 kilonova contaminants, with about 11 being nonnuclear (at $i \lesssim 22.5$ mag).

For the blue kilonova contaminants, all 10 sources are above the magnitude limit. The efficiency-corrected number is 46, driven primarily by two sources that are faint ($i \gtrsim 22.2$ mag) and blue ($i - z \approx -0.1$ mag) and therefore have low detection efficiencies of only $\approx$0.1. If we remove these sources from consideration, then the efficiency-corrected number is 20. There is therefore about a factor of 2 uncertainty in the

Figure 6. Plots of recovery efficiency as a function of various fake source injection parameters. The efficiency for the entire population of fake sources is shown as a black line while the efficiency for red kilonova and blue kilonova fake sources are shown as orange and blue lines, respectively. Top left: efficiency as a function of $i$-band magnitude. We note that our efficiency for red kilonova fake sources is higher than our mean efficiency, while the blue kilonova efficiency declines more rapidly compared to the red kilonova fake sources. This is due to the design of our observations, which are aimed at red sources. Top right: efficiency as a function of $i - z$ color. We are more sensitive to objects that are red in $i - z$ color, which drives the efficiency differences seen in the other panels. Bottom left: efficiency as a function of fading ($\delta m$; see text for definition). Bottom right: efficiency as a function of host galaxy separation. We find no dependence on separation.
resulting contamination rate. Considering all sources, the contamination rate is about $0.80 \text{deg}^{-2}$ to a limiting magnitude of $i \approx 22.5\text{mag}$, or $\approx 80$ sources in a $100\text{deg}^{2}$ localization region. The complete set of selections and efficiency-corrected rates are presented in Table 4.

We compare the contamination rates derived from our search to those from several follow-up observations of GW sources from the first aLIGO observing run. We focus on optical follow-up using wide-field instruments for GW 150914 and GW 151226. Specifically, we use the published results from observations with DECam (Cowperthwaite et al. 2016; Soares-Santos et al. 2016), the intermediate Palomar Transient Factory (Cenko et al. 2015, 2016; Kasliwal et al. 2016), the Kiso Wide-field Camera (KWFC) used as part of the J-GEMs collaboration (Morokuma et al. 2016; Yoshida et al. 2017), and the Pan-STARRS/PESTO/ATLAS search (Smartt et al. 2016a, 2016b). The parameters and results of these searches are summarized in Table 5.

It is important to note that the searches conducted in response to GW 150914 and GW 151226 were fundamentally different from our study as well as from searches that would be required to detect actual kilonovae. These searches employed slow cadences ($\approx$ few days) and shallower depths, and did not use colors for source selection. Nevertheless, we can use the numbers of reported transients, which were all deemed unrelated to the GW event, as a proxy for the contamination rate.

We find that our measured contaminant rate, for both red and blue sources, is higher than those reported during O1 follow-up, which had a typical rate of $\lesssim 0.1\text{deg}^{-2}$. However, direct comparison requires careful consideration of selection criteria and depth. For example, the iPTF and KWFC follow-up of GW 151226 both achieved depth comparable to each other, and their reported contaminant rates are in good agreement. In comparison, the DECam and iPTF follow-up of GW 150914 both observed the same contamination rate despite the DECam observations being significantly deeper. This is due to a difference in selection criteria as the DECam search focused only on finding rapidly declining transients.

It is critical to note that while our measured contamination rate is higher, the observations used in this work were conducted at the depths and cadences necessary for searches targeting kilonovae. Therefore, the rates derived here are more relevant for GW follow-up conducted in response to BNS and NS–BH mergers.

### 6.1. Rates for Short Timescale Transients

We also compute expected contamination rates for sources identified in our short timescale search (Section 4.4). For both the $\approx 3$–$24\text{hr}$ and $\lesssim 3\text{hr}$ timescales, we did not identify any credible source of extragalactic contamination. This leads to an
efficiency-corrected upper limit of $\lesssim 0.07 \text{deg}^{-2}$ (95% confidence level) at $i \approx 22.5$ mag for both populations. A 100 deg$^2$ GW localization region would therefore contain $\lesssim 7$ contaminants on these timescales. These rates are included in Table 4.

These rates can be compared to the Pan-STARRS1 Medium-Deep Survey fast transients search of Berger et al. (2013). That study focused on a timescale of $\sim 0.5$ hr to $\sim 1$ day and led to an upper limit on the rate of extragalactic transients of $\lesssim 2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{deg}^{-2} \text{hr}^{-1}$ for the timescale of 1 day, and $\lesssim 0.12 \text{deg}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$ for a timescale of 0.5 hr at a limiting magnitude of $r \approx 22.4$ mag. For our search (56 deg$^2$ and 4 days), we therefore expect $\lesssim 0.5$ events on a timescale of $\lesssim 1$ day, consistent with our nondetection of any extragalactic contaminants.

**7. Discussion and Conclusions**

We presented an empirical study of contamination rates in rapid, deep, wide-field optical follow-up of GW sources. Our observations used DECam to cover a wide search area of 56 deg$^2$ and to probe the regime applicable for kilonovae at expected BNS merger detection distances. We also explored timescales ranging from $\sim 3$ hr (applicable for a neutron precursor signal) to several days (applicable to blue and red kilonova emission). We search the data for transient sources that would contaminate searches for red kilonova emission, blue kilonova emission, or a neutron precursor. We note that the former is a robust prediction of BNS mergers, while the latter two are more speculative and depend on currently unknown factors such as the NS equation of state. The key results of our study are as follows:

1. We find 48 transient sources coincident with galaxies and lacking a point source in the template images. Furthermore, we find 14 transients with point source counterparts that exhibit stellar colors but are too faint to be present in catalogs such as Gaia DR1. These sources can be rejected as contaminants under most circumstances, but may confuse real-time searches if pre-existing templates are not available.

2. We use $i - z$ color selection for the 48 sources to identify contaminants for red and blue kilonovae (Barnes & Kasen 2013; Kasen et al. 2015). We find 11 red kilonova ($i - z \gtrsim 0.5$ mag) and 10 blue kilonova ($i - z < 0$ mag) contaminants.

3. We search the data for transients with a timescale of $\lesssim 1$ day, which will contaminate searches for a “neutron precursor” signal. We identify no credible evidence for extragalactic contamination on these timescales.

4. We compute efficiency-corrected areal rates for contaminants (per GW follow-up search) at a limiting magnitude of $i \approx 22.5$ mag, of $R_{\text{tot}} \approx 1.79 \text{deg}^{-2}$, $R_{\text{red}} \approx 0.16 \text{deg}^{-2}$, and $R_{\text{blue}} \approx 0.80 \text{deg}^{-2}$. We compute an upper limit areal rate for sources with a characteristic timescale of $\lesssim 1$ day of $\lesssim 0.07 \text{deg}^{-2}$ at the 95% confidence level.

5. Our derived contamination rates are higher than those of reported optical transients found in follow-up of GW 150914 and GW 151226 ($R \approx 0.1 \text{deg}^{-2}$), but this is due to the greater depth of our observations, which are better matched to kilonova detections (CB15).

We also consider our search criteria as they relate to the optical transient associated with GW 170817. This counterpart would have easily passed all of our initial cuts (e.g., strictly positive flux, four detections at signal-to-noise ratio $> 5$) and been placed in Group 2 as a bright point source near an obvious host galaxy. However, it would not have passed any color cuts (e.g., $i - z > 0.5$ mag). Nevertheless, manual inspection would quickly reveal this source to be new, genuine, and worthy of further follow-up. However, as stated previously, several of the circumstances associated with GW 170817 (e.g., relatively local, bright, obvious host galaxy) are unlikely to be the case for future detections. Therefore, the unique nature of this discovery should not strongly impact future follow-up strategies.

In the context of future searches with three GW detectors (ALV), the typical localization regions are $\lesssim 100 \text{deg}^2$. For ALV at design sensitivity, about half of all BNS mergers are expected to be localized to $\lesssim 10 \text{deg}^2$ (Chen & Holz 2016). Similarly, with a five-detector network (ALV+KAGRA+LIGO India), it is expected that about 90% of BNS mergers will be localized to $\lesssim 10 \text{deg}^2$ (Chen & Holz 2016). Based on our derived rates, we expect such $\sim 10$ deg$^2$ to contain a few contaminants. However, we note that the depth of our search is valid for detecting kilonovae out to a luminosity distance of $\sim 100$ Mpc. Detecting kilonovae out to the aLIGO BNS horizon at design sensitivity ($\sim 200$ Mpc) would require our search to go a magnitude deeper, increasing the expected contamination by a factor of 4 if we assume simple Euclidean volume scaling and a uniform distribution of sources.

The challenge of contamination in deep follow-up of GW triggers is significant but not insurmountable. If localization regions can be reduced to $\sim 100–200 \text{deg}^2$, we would expect to find $\sim 170–340$ contaminants during a typical search, before
making any color cuts. The most aggressive cut, looking for red and nonnuclear sources, would reveal ∼11–22 contaminants in ∼100–200 deg² localization regions. Obtaining follow-up photometry and NIR spectroscopy for this number of sources, at magnitudes of z ≥ 21 mag, is a difficult task requiring the allocation of dedicated time on 8 m class telescopes. Looking ahead to the era of z ≤ 10 deg², even our broadest selection criteria will yield fewer than ∼10 candidates. In this regime, obtaining rapid NIR spectroscopic follow-up of sources to assess their true nature becomes tractable, and we will truly enter the next generation of multimessenger astronomy.
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