Thermoplastic Polyurethane/Lead Zirconate Titanate/Carbon Nanotube Composites with Very High Dielectric Permittivity and Low Dielectric Loss
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Abstract: Ternary composites of flexible thermoplastic polyurethane (TPU), lead zirconate titanate (PZT), and multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) with very high dielectric permittivity ($\varepsilon_r$) and low dielectric loss (tan $\delta$) are reported. To assess the evolution of dielectric properties with the interactions between conductive and dielectric fillers, composites were designed with a range of content for PZT (0–30 vol%) and MWCNT (0–1 vol%). The microstructure was composed of PZT-rich and segregated MWCNT-rich regions, which could effectively prevent the formation of macroscopic MWCNT conductive networks and thus reduce the high ohmic loss. Therefore, $\varepsilon_r$ increased by a maximum of tenfold, reaching up to 166 by the addition of up to 1 vol% MWCNT to TPU/PZT. More importantly, tan $\delta$ remained relatively unchanged at 0.06–0.08, a similar range to that of pure TPU. $\varepsilon_r$/tan $\delta$ ratio reached 2870 at TPU/30 vol% PZT/0.5 vol% MWCNT, exceeding most of the reported values. This work demonstrates the potential of three-phase polymer/conductive filler/dielectric filler composites for efficient charge storage applications.
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1. Introduction

With the continuous demand for enhanced materials in diverse areas of applications, research has progressed toward multi-phase materials with complementary properties, which are not obtainable using the constituents individually. Polymers provide good specific mechanical properties, chemical stability, and processing possibilities, but exhibit very low electrical conductivity and poor dielectric permittivity. Therefore, research on high-performance polymer-based dielectric composites with high permittivity has demanded considerable attention [1–5].

The rapid development of the electronic industry and minimization of electronic devices has brought about a demand for more compact and powerful capacitors. Dielectric materials with high dielectric permittivity are widely required for applications such as energy storage in batteries and supercapacitors [6–9], actuators [10–14], electromagnetic interface (EMI) shielding [4,15–17], and static charge dissipation [18,19]. Ceramics such as barium titanate ($\varepsilon_r = 1700$ [20]) and lead zirconate titanate ($\varepsilon_r = 3400$ [21]) exhibit very high relative permittivity, but they are brittle and heavy with low dielectric strength [22] and difficult fabrication processes. On the other hand, polymers are light, ductile, and easy to shape with high dielectric strengths [23], but possess very low relative permittivity ($\varepsilon_r < 4$ for most...
of polymers). Therefore, ceramic-polymer composites form a potential material group for dielectric functional materials, having high permittivity and improved breakdown strength, while retaining low dielectric loss of the polymer matrix [1,22]. However, because of the very low dielectric permittivity of polymers, in order to achieve functional levels of permittivity, the composite requires dielectric ceramic filler, usually greater than 50 vol% [24–29]. This, in turn, results in a composite with poor mechanical properties, poor processability, and poor adhesive strength.

A few strategies have been developed to address the shortcomings of polymer-ceramic composites. One of the most widely studied methods has been to utilize electrically conductive filler/polymer composites (CPCs) [5,30–36]. In CPCs, according to the percolation theory, a high permittivity can be obtained as the conductive filler content approaches the percolation threshold [5]. This rise in permittivity is however accompanied by a very large jump in dielectric loss, due to the formation of conductive networks [35,37]. Once the direct connection is established between the conductive fillers, the ohmic loss increases greatly, thereby increasing the dielectric loss of the composite and making the CPC not suitable for charge storage application. Due to this narrow range of insulator-conductor transition, it is difficult to design CPCs with high permittivity and low loss. In order to prevent the direct connection between the conductive fillers, several methods have been examined [1,35,37–41]. One approach is to form three-phase composites by incorporating a non-conductive filler to the system, which will obstruct contact and, therefore, sustain charge storage ability [25,26,28,41].

A similar three-phase strategy may also be proven effective in enhancing the performance of ceramic-polymer composites. In this method, to enhance the dielectric permittivity or decrease the required ceramic loading, a highly conductive third phase (filler) is introduced to the system to impart partial percolation [25–28,37,39,41–44]. The role of the conductive filler is to promote the transfer of charge carrier between the other two phases, i.e., polymer matrix and ceramic filler [45]. Study of this method has been limited and its potential needs to be further examined. Carponcin et al. [42] have investigated the properties of polyamide/PZT/MWCNT composites. For a 30 vol% ceramic filler content, the establishment of electrical connectivity of the MWCNTs was studied, but the results showed no huge enhancements in the dielectric properties [42]. The dielectric loss of the samples was also not reported, which prevents the reader from concluding the suitability of the work for charge storage applications. Some other researchers have investigated PZT/CNT composites with various matrices. Overall, the resultant composites have either very high dissipation factor [25,27] or low dielectric permittivity [26,44].

Three-phased dielectric composites can be produced with industrially established polymer processing methods such as injection molding and compression molding. This brings an array of diverse properties [46] and significant processing advantages compared to some of the complicated processing methods proposed for the enhancement of dielectric performance, e.g., fabrication of core-(single/double) shell structures [29,35,47]. Arjmand et al. [33] studied the effect of filler alignment to obstruct the direct contact of MWCNTs in MWCNT/polystyrene (PS) composites. The composites were made by injection molding process and showed promising results. Ameli et al. [5,32] investigated the effect of foaming and adding air as the third phase to polypropylene/MWCNT composites and achieved good dielectric performances using simple processing methods.

A variety of micro-sized conductive fillers has been used in polymer composites, including carbon fiber [48,49], stainless steel fibers [50,51], and metallic fillers [52]. However, the nano-sized dimension, high aspect ratio, very high electrical conductivity, and extraordinary mechanical properties of MWCNT have made it the most promising filler for CPCs. It is additionally noted that carbon nanotubes form a strong Van der Waals bond between one another and aggregate into quasi-spherical particles, which makes the production of the composite more difficult.

An important feature of emerging electronic devices is their combination of flexibility and conformability. This combination allows further minimization of circuitry size and provides new opportunities for applications for which following the basic shape of the equipment is important. However, most of the reported polymer composites for dielectric applications are based on rigid
polymers (Table 1), which lack sufficient mechanical flexibility, especially at high filler loads. In this study, thermoplastic polyurethane (TPU) was selected as the polymer matrix, in order to provide potential for flexibility. TPU is a block copolymer, composed of soft segments (SS) and hard segments (HS). The HS acts as the tie points between the chains and ties the rubbery SS chains together, in the same way vulcanization would [53]. However, since these ties are through crystals (not chemical bonds), they can be repeatedly melted and processed. TPU has the desired flexibility of vulcanized rubber while having the processability of a thermoplastic material, which lends itself to a wide range of physical properties, including tunable flexibility and stretchability.

In this work, we investigate strategies to create flexible dielectric composites with high dielectric permittivity and low dielectric loss. Three-phase composites are designed, fabricated, and tested to systematically investigate the effects of conductive and ceramic fillers on dielectric performance. PZT was used as a model high-dielectric ceramic filler and its content was fixed at 0–5–10–20–30 vol% and MWCNT was employed as the conductive filler with 0–1 vol% content. Dispersion of MWCNTs is a challenging assignment, due to their high aspect ratio and strong van der Waals bonds [54]. Poor dispersion results in bundles of entangled MWCNT. The novelty of this work is to leverage such segregated structure [55,56] in the resultant composites, which is created by having MWCNT-rich and PZT-rich zones, acting as micro-capacitors. Electrical conductivity, relative permittivity, and loss tangent of the composites were measured and $\varepsilon_r/\tan\delta$ ratio was compared, in order to evaluate the effects of both conductive and ceramic fillers on the charge storage performance. The morphology of the samples was also evaluated in order to understand the underlying mechanisms of resultant dielectric behavior.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Commercially-available TPU (Elastollan 1185A, BASF Ltd., Florham Park, NJ, USA) having a density of 1.12 g cm$^{-3}$ and a glass transition temperature of $-38 ^\circ$C was used as the base resin. PZT powder, with an average particle size of 1 μm, was supplied by Hammond Lead Products, Pottstown, PA, USA. NC7000 multiwalled carbon nanotubes of 90% purity having an average diameter of 9.5 nm, an average length of 1.5 μm, and a volume resistivity of $10^{-4} \Omega$·cm were purchased from Nanocyl S.A., Sambreville, Belgium. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was purchased from VWR, Radnor, PA, USA and silver epoxy was procured from MG Chemicals, Burlington, ON, Canada. All materials were used as received.

2.2. Sample Preparation

Various TPU-PZT-MWCNT composites, with PZT contents of 0–5–10–20–30 vol% and MWCNT contents of 0–0.05–0.1–0.3–0.5–1 vol% were fabricated via solution casting at room temperature. In this method, 5–10 g of TPU is dissolved in THF (with a ratio of 100 mL of THF per 5 g TPU) using a magnetic stirrer (Professional series, 7 × 7 Hotplate/Stirrer, VWR, Radnor, PA, USA) at ambient temperature. TPU pellets were oven-dried at 90 °C for 2 h to remove any excess moisture, prior to the casting. Proportionate amounts of PZT and MWCNT (each with a filler to THF ratio of 50 mL per 1 g of filler) were dispersed separately in THF, also with magnetic stirrers. Mixing the fillers separately provides the opportunity to control the dispersion level of each filler separately. As mentioned before, our target was a ‘segregated structure’ in which bundles of MWCNT are dispersed in a system of fully-dispersed PZT. After the full dissolution of TPU, the three aforementioned solutions were added and thoroughly mixed together, with a magnetic stirrer. The slurries were then placed into an ultrasonic bath (one gallon ultrasonic aqueous bath, 40 kHz, 120 W, Northern Industrial, Midland, MI, USA), with a water temperature of $70 ^\circ$C and sonicated for another 10–15 min. After this, the samples were removed from the ultrasonic bath and left at ambient temperature under a fume hood. When the solvent fully evaporated, composite samples with varying thickness were obtained.
The composite sheets were then oven-dried at 90 °C for 2 h, in order to vaporize any residual solvent left in the samples. The fully dried samples were then hot-pressed at 170 °C and 0.5 MPa for 10 min (Carver Inc., Wabash, IN, USA) to obtain sheets of composite with thickness 0.7–1 mm. The hot-pressed samples were then cut into smaller pieces and coated with silver conductive epoxy. This ensures a good contact between the surface of the sample and the measurement probe and minimizes the effect of contact resistance [57]. At least five samples were tested at each condition.

2.3. Characterization

The relative permittivity (dielectric constant), dissipation factor, and electrical conductivity of the samples were measured using a Hewlett Packard 4192A LF impedance analyzer with 16451B dielectric test fixture over the frequency range of 5 Hz to 13 MHz. The following equation can be written for the sample under test:

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r = \frac{IC_p}{A}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\varepsilon$ is the permittivity of the sample, $\varepsilon_0$ is the space permittivity, $\varepsilon_r$ is the relative permittivity of the sample, $C_p$ is the capacitance, $A$ is the area of the electrode and $t$ is the thickness of test sample. Thus, the relative permittivity can be obtained by measuring the capacitance value and using the following equation:

$$\varepsilon_r = \frac{t}{A\varepsilon_0 C_p}$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

The impedance analyzer also provides the dissipation factor (tan $\delta$). The electrical conductivity of the samples was calculated using the following equation:

$$\sigma = \frac{t}{AZ\cos\theta}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\sigma$ is the electrical conductivity, $t$ is the thickness of the test sample, $\theta$ is the phase angle, $A$ is the area of the electrode, and $Z$ is the impedance. The impedance analyzer gives the values for impedance and phase angle over a range of frequency, and therefore the electrical conductivity of the test samples can be calculated from the equation above.

The microstructure of the TPU/PZT foams were examined using a JEOL JSM-6060 scanning electron microscope (SEM). The samples were cryo-fractured using liquid nitrogen before sputter coating.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Microstructure

The dispersion of the conductive fillers within the matrix is one of the most important factors in the formation of the electrical networks and consequently the electrical properties. Figure 1 shows the morphology of TPU-1 vol% MWCNT composite, with different magnifications. In this ‘segregated structure’, MWCNTs were found in both agglomerates and dispersed states. Regions identified by blue circles in Figure 1a show the MWCNT-rich areas as agglomerates with a size of about 50–100 µm, scattered in the matrix. As Figure 1b shows a magnified image of TPU matrix, MWCNTs were also found individually dispersed in the regions away from the agglomerates.

Figure 2a depicts the dispersion of the particles in TPU/10 vol% PZT/0.3 vol% MWCNT composite. Some PZT agglomerates of less than 20 µm were observed as identified by blue circles; otherwise a good dispersion of the PZT filler was obtained. In TPU/PZT/MWCNT composites, a smaller number and size of MWCNT agglomerates were observed, which is due the fact that the micro-sized PZT filler disentangled some of the larger nanotube aggregates during mixing and interconnected the networks of MWCNTs and helped achieve a more uniform dispersion of the fillers. Studies has shown that adding a third filler to a polymer/MWCNT system can improve the dispersion of MWCNT in the matrix [58]. However, achieving near perfect dispersion would still require rigorous mixing and
sonication, for an extended period of time. As a segregated structure was targeted in our composites, very limited amount of mixing was needed. At a larger magnification (Figure 2b), two different zones were observed in TPU/PZT/MWCNT microstructure: MWCNT-rich areas and PZT-rich areas. The interface between the two areas are visible in higher magnifications (Figure 2b). There are no PZT particles present in the MWCNT-rich areas, however, scattered MWCNT fillers are visible in the PZT-rich area.

![Figure 1. SEM micrograph of TPU-1 vol% MWCNT composite with two different magnifications: (a) showing the MWCNT-rich areas identified by blue circles and (b) a magnified MWCNT-poor area, showing the dispersion of individual MWCNTs (the white speckles are individual MWCNT).](image1)

![Figure 2. SEM micrographs of TPU-10 vol% PZT-0.3 vol% MWCNT samples showing: (a) the overall PZT dispersion with some agglomerates identified by blue circles and (b) a MWCNT-rich area surrounded by a PZT-rich area with a distinctive boundary.](image2)

Figure 3 presents a schematic illustration of TPU/MWCNT and TPU/PZT/MWCNT composites. The presence of PZT particles reduces the physical contacts between the nanotubes and prevents the formation of full electrical percolation network of MWCNTs in the TPU/PZT/MWCNT samples. The effectiveness of this barrier characteristic will depend on the amount of MWCNT and PZT fillers in the matrix. The MWCNT-rich areas can act as electrodes that surround the dielectric medium of PZT-rich areas, comprising a structure suitable for charge storage applications [30,59–61]. This structure could be regarded as a broken-off segregated structure. The impact of connectivity in the segregated structures has been well-studied [62–65] and is one of the most prominent methods of achieving conductive polymer composites with low contents of conductive filler.
The conductivity increased with frequency in the entire range, since current increases with an increase in the frequency.

Their conductivity showed a completely frequency dependent behavior, which is a characteristic of insulating materials. In these materials, dipole reorientation is the only conduction mechanism [66]. The conductivity increased with frequency in the entire range, since current increases with an increase in the frequency.

3.2. Electrical Conductivity

Figure 4a–c shows the broadband electrical conductivity, as a function of frequency, for TPU/PZT, TPU/MWCNT, and TPU/PZT/MWCNT composites, respectively. TPU/PZT composites showed a very low conductivity (Figure 4a), because both of their constituents are essentially non-conductive materials. Their conductivity showed a completely frequency dependent behavior, which is a characteristic of insulating materials. In these materials, dipole reorientation is the only conduction mechanism [66]. The conductivity increased with frequency in the entire range, since current increases with an increase in the frequency.

As seen in Figure 4b, the conductivity behavior of TPU/MWCNT composites varied as MWCNT content was increased. At MWCNT content of 0.05 vol%, the conductive fillers were not sufficiently close to one another to initiate the charge transportation mechanisms. In this case, the conductance is...
mainly controlled by dipole reorientation, and in this region, alternating component of conductivity ($\sigma_{AC}$) is dominant, which is frequency dependent. The composites with MWCNT contents of 0.1–0.3 vol% show a conduction behavior that is represented by $\sigma = \sigma_{DC} + \sigma_{AC}$, where $\sigma_{DC}$ is the frequency-independent part of the total electrical conductivity. This law considers a critical frequency below which conductivity becomes frequency-independent, known as $\sigma_{DC}$ [67]. These characteristics indicate that, unlike TPU/0.05 vol% MWCNT composite, in the samples with 0.1–0.3 vol% MWCNT, the charge transportation mechanism started to activate, and the composites are near the percolation threshold. For the TPU/MWCNT composites with MWCNT contents of 0.5 and 1 vol%, the conductivity exhibited a fully frequency-independent behavior, due to the formation of full conductive paths that facilitated the charge transfer. In other words, the insulator-conductor transition started at about 0.1 vol% MWCNT and was fully established in the 0.3–0.5 vol% MWCNT range and the composite reached its percolation threshold. This value is on the higher end of the reported percolation threshold in the literature [68,69]. It has been well established that entangled MWCNT fillers usually need higher concentration for percolation [70]. In this research, deconstructed segregated structures were clearly observed (Figure 1a), which is similar to the composites with entangled fillers, and therefore the higher percolation is explained.

Figure 4c shows the broadband conductivity of TPU/PZT/MWCNT composites, with different PZT contents and fixed MWCNT content at 1 vol%. Comparing with the conductivity of TPU/1 vol% MWCNT sample (constant at $3 \times 10^{-3}$ S/cm, Figure 4b), the conductivity of all the samples containing PZT (Figure 4c) decreased. It indicates that with the addition of PZT filler, the physical contacts of MWCNTs in the conductive networks were destroyed and the direct transfer of electrons were attenuated. In the case of lack of sufficient physical contact, hopping and tunneling appear to be the main charge transport mechanisms, which was again obstructed due to the presence of relatively large PZT particles, therefore lower overall conductivity is observed [36,66,71,72]. TPU/10–20 vol% PZT/1 vol% MWCNT are the only composites showing a frequency-independent behavior in low frequencies and a frequency-dependent behavior in higher frequencies. The critical frequency is approximately $2 \times 10^4$ Hz, beyond which the current from dipole reorientation overcomes the nomadic charges [30,73]. It is noted that frequency independent behavior was observed for all TPU/PZT/MWCNT composites with a MWCNT content of less than 1 vol%.

Figure 5 depicts the conductivity of TPU/PZT/MWCNT composites at various PZT and MWCNT contents, measured at a frequency of $10^5$ Hz. The abrupt variation of conductivity, which occurs at the percolation threshold of the conductive filler, only occurs in TPU/MWCNT composites (TPU/0% PZT in Figure 5). This suggests that the addition of PZT particles hindered the formation of effective long-range percolation paths. In TPU/MWCNT composites, as the MWCNT content increased from 0.3 to 0.5 vol%, the conductivity increased from $1.01 \times 10^{-4}$ to $1.77 \times 10^{-3}$ S/cm. Many studies have been performed to examine the effect of agglomeration and morphology of conductive filler in a composite [74–76]. Gbaguidi et al. [75] showed the effect of the morphology and the CNT density within the agglomerates to be of great importance. Larger agglomerates have shown to be detrimental to the electrical conductivity of the composites, while smaller bundles result in composites with higher conductivity. In the composites containing PZT, an initial mild increase was observed with the addition of the first amount of MWCNT. After that, with the addition of more MWCNT, the conductivity increased gradually and slightly, between $10^{-5}$–$10^{-4}$ S/cm for all contents. For instance, the conductivity changed from $7.3 \times 10^{-4}$ to $9.1 \times 10^{-4}$ S/cm as MWCNT content was increased 20 times (from 0.05 to 1 vol%) in TPU/20 vol% PZT/MWCNT. In addition, the conductivity of TPU/PZT/MWCNT composites slightly and consistently increased with an increase in the PZT content, which indicated the higher conductivity of PZT, compared to TPU.
which is a common characteristic in dielectric composites. As the ceramic filler has a much larger permittivity compared to the matrix, most of the permittivity increase here was originated from the frequency-independent, matrix and filler polarization are dominant mechanisms. Similarly, in TPU/MWCNT composites, all the constituents, including the matrix, filler, and their interfaces can be polarized [32,59,60,79,80]. It has been widely reported that \( \varepsilon_r \) of CPCs increases sharply at lower frequencies in the vicinity of percolation [1,29,35,40,47,81]. Filler and interface polarization are deemed responsible for \( \varepsilon_r \) increase at lower frequencies. It is suggested that the localized charge carriers overcoming the dielectric matrix barrier and the increase in the electron hopping are causing the interfacial polarization to increase, resulting in an increase in \( \varepsilon_r \) at lower frequencies [59]. As the insulating polymer layer thickness decreases by adding more conductive filler to the composite, the hopping mechanism becomes more efficient. At the same time, the interfacial area increases as the filler load is increased. In other words, as the MWCNT content increases, approaching the percolation threshold, interfacial polarization is signified, triggering the frequency-dependent permittivity [5]. Therefore, in TPU/PZT composites, where the permittivity is largely frequency independent, matrix and filler polarization are dominant mechanisms. Similarly, in TPU/MWCNT composites with low MWCNT contents (0.05–0.1–0.3 vol%), the MWCNT-rich areas are so far apart that the potential energy is very high and electron hopping is not feasible; therefore, \( \varepsilon_r \) is low and frequency-independent. In other words, interfacial polarization is not significant. On the other hand, at TPU/MWCNT composites with high MWCNT contents (0.5–1.0 vol%), the percolation threshold has been reached (Figure 4b) and the interfacial polarization is dominant and, thus, the broadband \( \varepsilon_r \) appears as frequency-dependent, especially at low frequencies where the relaxation times are high.

**Figure 5.** Electrical conductivity of TPU/PZT/MWCNT composites as a function of MWCNT contents at various PZT contents, measured at a frequency of 10^5 Hz.

### 3.3. Dielectric Properties

Complex relative permittivity (\( \varepsilon \)) is a mathematically convenient way of combining the two components of the relative permittivity of a dielectric, the real part (\( \varepsilon' \)) and the imaginary part (\( \varepsilon'' \)). The absolute permittivity is a measure of the ability of a material to store electric charge, as energy per unit volume, and is the ratio of electric displacement to field strength. Relative permittivity (real part of \( \varepsilon \)) is the same property of the material independent of the field strength. The imaginary part of the complex relative permittivity is called the dielectric loss factor and is the energy dissipation of a dielectric. The most commonly used parameter for expressing the lossy nature of a dielectric is the dissipation factor, otherwise known as loss tangent (\( \tan \delta \)), which is the ratio of the imaginary part to the real part of relative permittivity [77,78]. The combined results of these two values determines the ability of a dielectric for charge storage.

Figure 6a,b show the relative permittivity of TPU/PZT and TPU/MWCNT composites, respectively, as a function of frequency. TPU/PZT composites showed a relatively frequency-independent behavior, which is a common characteristic in dielectric composites. As the ceramic filler has a much larger permittivity compared to the matrix, most of the permittivity increase here was originated from the rule of mixture.

TPU/MWCNT composites, however, exhibited some regions of high sensitivity to frequency at high filler loads (Figure 6b). In conductive composites, all the constituents, including the matrix, filler, and their interfaces can be polarized [32,59,60,79,80]. It has been widely reported that \( \varepsilon_r \) of CPCs increases sharply at lower frequencies in the vicinity of percolation [1,29,35,40,47,81]. Filler and interface polarization are deemed responsible for \( \varepsilon_r \) increase at lower frequencies. It is suggested that the localized charge carriers overcoming the dielectric matrix barrier and the increase in the electron hopping are causing the interfacial polarization to increase, resulting in an increase in \( \varepsilon_r \) at lower frequencies [59]. As the insulating polymer layer thickness decreases by adding more conductive filler to the composite, the hopping mechanism becomes more efficient. At the same time, the interfacial area increases as the filler load is increased. In other words, as the MWCNT content increases, approaching the percolation threshold, interfacial polarization is signified, triggering the frequency-dependent permittivity [5]. Therefore, in TPU/PZT composites, where the permittivity is largely frequency independent, matrix and filler polarization are dominant mechanisms. Similarly, in TPU/MWCNT composites with low MWCNT contents (0.05–0.1–0.3 vol%), the MWCNT-rich areas are so far apart that the potential energy is very high and electron hopping is not feasible; therefore, \( \varepsilon_r \) is low and frequency-independent. In other words, interfacial polarization is not significant. On the other hand, at TPU/MWCNT composites with high MWCNT contents (0.5–1.0 vol%), the percolation threshold has been reached (Figure 4b) and the interfacial polarization is dominant and, thus, the broadband \( \varepsilon_r \) appears as frequency-dependent, especially at low frequencies where the relaxation times are high.
3.3. Dielectric Properties

Complex relative permittivity (\(\varepsilon\)) of TPU/PZT and TPU/MWCNT composites with various MWCNT contents is presented in Figure 6. In TPU/PZT composites, the real part of relative permittivity (\(\varepsilon_r\)) increased rapidly with the decrease of frequency, which is associated with the interfacial polarization of a percolated system. At higher frequencies, the available time for charge accumulation in the interfaces decrease. The large relaxation time of interfacial polarization compared to the high frequency causes the interfacial polarization effect to dwindle and eventually vanish [73]. After that, permittivity stayed constant with frequency. This is the characteristic of a conductive composite and suggests that the charge transfer functioned more effectively in 10–20 vol% PZT composites with 1 vol% MWCNT. In the case of 30 vol% PZT, the large amount of PZT filler fully blocked the conductive paths, more than that of 10 and 20 vol% PZT contents. Therefore, the interfacial polarization was weak.

Figure 6c,d depict the broadband \(\varepsilon_r\) of the TPU/PZT/MWCNT composites, at 0.05 vol% and 1 vol% MWCNT content, respectively. In the composites with 0.05 vol% MWCNT, \(\varepsilon_r\) was relatively frequency independent and consistently increased with an increase in PZT content. As explained earlier, the frequency dependency in conductive composites occurs at the vicinity of percolation threshold, which was far from 0.05 vol% MWCNT. \(\varepsilon_r\) was increased from ~7 of neat TPU to ~150 for TPU/30 vol% PZT/0.05 vol% MWCNT, accounting for more than 20 times increase. The introduction of 0.05 vol% MWCNT to TPU/30 vol% PZT also accounts for a 7.5 times increase in its \(\varepsilon_r\) (from ~20 to ~150). It is worth noting that \(\varepsilon_r\) of TPU/0.05 vol% MWCNT was only ~7.4 (Figure 6b). A significant synergistic effect was thus observed upon combining PZT and MWCNT. It is believed that the unique microstructure with CNT-rich and PZT-rich areas (Figures 2 and 3) acted as a micro-capacitor providing a platform for an effective polarization of PZT particles.
in this composite and did not affect the broadband $\varepsilon_r$. In the case of 5 vol% PZT, the PZT content was probably not high enough to effectively disentangle some of the nanotubes in the MWCNT-rich area, as it did with a higher PZT content. Therefore, the MWCNT-rich areas were bigger, with more of the insulating TPU-PZT layer in between, and electron hopping could not be accomplished.

Figure 7a shows the broadband dielectric loss of TPU/PZT composites as a function of frequency at various PZT contents. Overall, $\tan \delta$ was approximately frequency-independent and decreased with the addition of PZT. The decrease is because the dielectric loss of PZT used in this study was probably not high enough to effectively disentangle some of the nanotubes in the MWCNT-rich area, as it did with a higher PZT content. Therefore, the MWCNT-rich areas were bigger, with more of the insulating TPU-PZT layer in between, and electron hopping could not be accomplished.

Figure 7a shows the broadband dielectric loss of TPU/PZT composites as a function of frequency at various PZT contents. Overall, $\tan \delta$ was approximately frequency-independent and decreased with the addition of PZT. The decrease is because the dielectric loss of PZT used in this study was smaller (0.016) that of neat TPU (0.1). Figure 7b depicts the broadband $\tan \delta$ of the TPU/MWCNT composites. The dielectric loss slightly increased with the addition of MWCNT and was relatively frequency independent for MWCNT contents less than 1 vol%. In the TPU/1 vol% MWCNT samples, the conductive paths were fully formed and therefore the ohmic loss is very high. Similar to permittivity, in lower frequencies the $\tan \delta$ of conductive composites increases, which is due to the high relaxation time, which causes loss of more charge carriers. Except for the TPU/1 vol% MWCNT sample, $\tan \delta$ was surprisingly low. This is a result of the disassembled segregated structures and the unique morphology of these composites (Figure 1), wherein the nanotubes still help with the transfer of nomadic charge but a network is not completely formed. A relatively high and frequency-dependent $\tan \delta$ hinders the application of the composite for charge storage.

![Figure 7a](image1)

**Figure 7a.** Broadband dielectric loss of TPU/PZT composites as a function of frequency.

**Figure 7b.** Broadband dielectric loss of TPU/MWCNT composites as a function of frequency.

**Figure 7c.** Broadband dielectric loss of TPU/PZT/1 vol% MWCNT composites.

Figure 7c exhibits the broadband $\tan \delta$ of the TPU/PZT/MWCNT composites at 1 vol% MWCNT. The 30 vol% PZT samples show no remarkable dielectric relaxation like other percolative systems [30,59,82,83], which once again proves that all the charge transfer mechanisms were effectively blocked in these samples. However, the composites with 10–20 vol% PZT contents demonstrated a frequency-dependent behavior, which was initiated from the ohmic loss in conductive networks, as explained before. This indicates the overall influence of conductivity on the dielectric loss [73]. The composite with 5 vol% PZT had a mild frequency dependency.
Table 1. A summary of dielectric parameters in recent literature.

| Work          | Matrix               | Filler                                      | Frequency (Hz) | Relative Permittivity (-) | Dielectric Loss (-) | \(\varepsilon/\tan \delta\) |
|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| This work     | TPU                  | 30 vol% PZT + 1.0 vol% MWCNT                | 10^5           | 200.9                    | 0.072               | 2790.3                      |
| This work     | TPU                  | 30 vol% PZT + 0.05 vol% MWCNT               | 10^5           | 162.5                    | 0.066               | 2462.2                      |
| Kim [1]       | Bisphenol A          | CNT (coated with TiO₂): 5 wt%               | 10^9           | 10                       | 0.06                | 166.6                       |
| Ameli [5]     | PP                   | MWCNT: 0.34 vol%                            | 10^2           | 30                       | 0.06                | 500.0                       |
| Dang [30]     | Polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) | MWCNT (modified with TFP): 0.08 vol%         | 10^3           | 550                       | 2.2                 | 250.0                       |
| Wang [34]     | Epoxy                | MWCNT: 0.5 wt%                              | 1              | 465                      | 0.7                 | 664.2                       |
| Arjmand [35]  | PVDF                 | MWCNT-graphene nanoribbon: 1.5 wt%          | 10^3           | 41.4                     | 0.91                | 45.4                        |
| Ameli [36]    | PVDF                 | Nitrogen-doped CNT: 3.5 wt%                 | 10^3           | 23.12                    | 0.05                | 462.4                       |
| Zhu [40]      | PVDF                 | Polydopamine coated CNT: 0.2 wt%            | 10^3           | 315                      | 0.35                | 900.0                       |
| Wan [41]      | PVDF                 | Barium titanate coated graphene oxide: 8 wt%| 10^3           | 56.3                     | 0.058               | 970.6                       |
| Decker [25]   | PP                   | 70 wt% PZT + 1.4 wt%CNT                      | 10^3           | 80                       | 2.3                 | 34.8                        |
| Vyas [26]     | PVA                  | 50 vol% PZT + 1 vol% CNT                     | 10^3           | 65                       | 0.1                 | 650                          |
| Ma [44]       | Epoxy                | 1.5 g CNT per 100 g epoxy + 100 g PZT-PMN per 100 g epoxy | 10^6 | 30                      | 0.3                 | 100                          |
| Guan [27]     | PVDF                 | 50 vol% PZT + 0.8 vol% CNT                   | 10^3           | 95                       | 7.0                 | 1.36                         |
| Sakamoto [28] | PU                   | 49 vol% PZT + 1% graphite                   | 10^3           | 30                       | 0.8                 | 37.5                         |
| Zeraati [46]  | PMMA                 | Silver/manganese dioxide nanowire: 2–1 vol% | 8.2 \times 10^9 | 64                       | 0.31                | 206.4                       |
| Arjmand [73]  | PVDF                 | Nitrogen-doped CNT: 1 wt%                   | 10^3           | 22                       | 0.03                | 733.3                       |
| Zeraati [43]  | PVDF                 | CNT-MnO₂NW: 3–4.5 wt%                       | 8.2 \times 10^9 | 50.6                     | 0.7                 | 72.2                        |
| Wu [85]       | PVDF                 | Chlorinated graphene oxide: 0.2 vol%        | 10^3           | 364                      | 0.077               | 4727.2                      |
| Xie [86]      | PVDF-HFP-GMA         | Barium titanate: 30 vol%                    | 10^6           | 20                       | 0.16                | 125.0                       |
| Hu [84]       | Cyclic olefin copolymer (COC) | Barium strontium titanate: 25 vol%          | 10^9           | 7.3                      | 0.0023              | 3173.9                      |
| Yang [87]     | S-SEBS               | Titanium dioxide: 6.4%                      | 10^4           | 3                        | 0.01                | 300.0                       |
| Luo [88]      | Epoxy                | 3D Barium titanate: 30 vol%                 | 10^3           | 200                      | 0.14                | 1428.5                      |
| Chen [81]     | PVDF                 | Core-shell Bi_2Te_3@Al_2O_3: 10 vol%         | 10^3           | 140                      | 0.05                | 2800.0                      |
| Zhu [47]      | Epoxy                | Core-shell Ni@BaTiO_3 scaffolds (4 vol%)     | 10^3           | 6397                     | 0.04                | 159,925                     |
| Akhina [89]   | PVC                  | Graphene oxide: 2.66 vol%                   | 10^3           | 10.83                    | 1.15                | 9.4                         |
| Ji [90]       | PVDF                 | Ni(OH)_2: 10 wt%                            | 10^2           | 19.6                     | 0.066               | 297                          |
| Zhou [29]     | PVDF                 | Core@double-shell Al: 50 wt%                | 10^3           | 37                       | 0.05                | 740                          |
| Zhang [91]    | PVDF                 | Aligned BZCT@SiO_2: 3 vol%                  | 10^1           | 16                       | 0.03                | 533.3                       |
| Yang [92]     | Epoxy                | hollow structured BaTiO_3: 5 vol%           | 10^4           | 22                       | 0.032               | 687.5                       |
Figure 8a,b show the relative permittivity and dielectric loss, respectively, for all the samples, measured at $10^5$ Hz, as a function of MWCNT content. The relative permittivity experienced an enormous jump with the addition of 0.05 vol% MWCNT, then continued to increase with MWCNT content with a much smaller slope. The composites with a low PZT content (5–10 vol%) showed more sensitivity to the MWCNT content, because some local MWCNT networks could still be formed as the nanotube amount was increased. However, with 20–30 vol% PZT, the composites had excessive amounts of PZT filler that could disrupt the networks independent of the nanotube amount and therefore the slope of the increase was not as remarkable. For instance, TPU/10 vol% PZT composite experienced a 30% increase in $\varepsilon_r$ going from 0.3 to 0.5 vol% MWCNT, while that increase for TPU/30 vol% PZT was only 4%. This suggests that the synergistic effect of PZT and MWCNT on the charge storage performance in hybrid composites depends on their relative volume content.

![Figure 8](image)

**Figure 8.** (a) Relative permittivity, $\varepsilon_r$, (b) dielectric loss, $\tan \delta$, and (c) $\varepsilon_r/\tan \delta$ ratio of TPU/PZT/MWCNT composites as a function of MWCNT content at various PZT loadings at a frequency of $10^5$ Hz.

Another important requirement for charge storage is low dielectric loss. As seen in Figure 8b, the dielectric loss for all of the composites, except the percolative cases of 10–20 vol% PZT + 1 vol% MWCNT, remained similar to or lower than that of pure TPU. This characteristic was realized by creating MWCNT-rich and PZT-rich zones where effective micro-capacitors could be built to enhance permittivity and, at the same time, prevent the formation of conductive networks and result in low loss.

A brief review of the literature shows that many studies mainly report the permittivity of the resultant materials as a figure of merit. However, that alone does not paint a full picture as the dielectric loss is also important. Ameli et al. [5] suggested that since a high $\varepsilon_r$ together with a low $\tan \delta$ is the pursued combination for dielectrics, the ratio of the two ($\varepsilon_r/\tan \delta$) may be used as a simple figure of merit to indicate the overall performance in the material design for charge storage. Figure 8c compares this ratio for TPU/PZT, TPU/MWCNT and TPU/PZT/MWCNT samples. Overall, the massive increase...
of $\varepsilon_r/\tan \delta$ from TPU/PZT and TPU/MWCNT to TPU/PZT/MWCNT samples perfectly illustrates the synergistic effect of combining PZT and MWCNT fillers in achieving the highest storage capacities. When adding 30% PZT to TPU, the $\varepsilon_r/\tan \delta$ ratio is increased by a factor of 4.18 and the introduction of 1 vol% MWCNT to TPU enhances the $\varepsilon_r/\tan \delta$ ratio by a factor of 1.34. However, when the two fillers are introduced concurrently with the formation of proper microstructure, $\varepsilon_r/\tan \delta$ ratio is increased by a factor of 29.6.

Table 1 summarizes some of the recent results on the polymer-based composites for charge storage applications. The method used in this work is very simple and scalable with no further modifications needed for commercially available fillers, and the obtained results exceed the majority of previously reported values. Some works have reported values higher than those in this work, however, their works often use methods which are not suitable for large scale applications, e.g., costly and complicated methods [47,81], expensive base materials [84], or harsh experimental conditions using toxic chemicals [85].

4. Conclusions

Composites of TPU/PZT/MWCNT with 0–5–10–20–30 vol% PZT and 0–0.05–0.1–0.3–0.5–1 vol% MWCNT were fabricated. A deconstructed segregated structure exhibiting higher dielectric permittivity and lower dielectric loss is reported. All composites were prepared by solution casting. In order to provide a baseline for the TPU/PZT/MWCNT samples, composites of TPU/PZT and TPU/MWCNT were also fabricated and analyzed. Electrical conductivity, relative permittivity and dielectric loss ($\tan \delta$) of the samples were measured and the microstructure was characterized using scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

SEM micrographs revealed two main regions in the morphology of the samples: a PZT-rich region and an MWCNT-rich region. As a result of this structure, the TPU/PZT/MWCNT samples showed a lower and frequency-dependent conductivity. The conductivity of TPU/10–20 vol% PZT/1 vol% MWCNT exhibited a frequency-independent conductivity in lower frequencies, which suggested that the percolative networks had been initiated and formed. In ternary composites, only samples with high MWCNT content (0.5–1 vol%) showed a frequency-independent conductivity, which confirms the deconstructed segregated structure.

The addition of the first 0.05 vol% MWCNT to the TPU/PZT samples resulted in a massive increase in the relative permittivity, while the dielectric loss did not change to a great extent. The dielectric permittivity of all samples showed a tenfold increase, from the TPU/PZT composite to the composite with the same PZT content and 1 vol% MWCNT. The dielectric loss of almost all samples proved to be relatively independent of the fillers, however, the TPU/10–20 vol% PZT/1 vol% MWCNT samples showed an increase in $\tan \delta$, which is related to the formation of conductive networks and the increase in electrical conductivity.

The results of this work unveil a new structure with a very high relative permittivity and a low dielectric loss, which results in an optimum $\varepsilon_r/\tan \delta$. These composites can be effectively utilized for charge storage applications.
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