Sequence amplification via cell passaging creates spurious signals of positive adaptation in influenza virus H3N2 hemagglutinin
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Abstract

Clinical influenza A virus isolates are frequently not sequenced directly. Instead, a majority of these isolates (~70% in 2015) are first subjected to passaging for amplification, most commonly in non-human cell culture. Here, we find that this passaging leaves distinct signals of adaptation, which can confound evolutionary analyses of the viral sequences. We find distinct patterns of adaptation to Madin–Darby (MDCK) and monkey cell culture absent from unpassaged hemagglutinin sequences. These patterns also dominate pooled datasets not separated by passaging type, and they increase in proportion to the number of passages performed. By contrast, MDCK–SIAT1 passaged sequences seem mostly (but not entirely) free of passaging adaptations. Contrary to previous studies, we find that using only internal branches of influenza virus phylogenetic trees is insufficient to correct for passaging artifacts. These artifacts can only be safely avoided by excluding passaged sequences entirely from subsequent analysis. We conclude that future influenza virus evolutionary analyses should appropriately control for potentially confounding effects of passaging adaptations.
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1. Introduction

The routine sequencing of clinical isolates has become a critical component of global seasonal influenza virus surveillance (World Health Organization Global Influenza Surveillance Network, 2011). Analysis of these viral sequences informs the selection of future vaccine strains (Stöhr et al., 2012; WHO Writing Group et al., 2012), and a wide variety of computational methods have been developed to identify sites under selection or immune-escape mutations (Koelle et al., 2006; Nelson et al., 2006; Wolf et al., 2006; Blackburne et al., 2008; Suzuki, 2008), or to predict the short-term evolutionary future of influenza virus (Łuksza and Lässig, 2014; Neher et al., 2014). However, sites that appear positively selected in sequence analysis frequently do not agree with sites identified experimentally in hemagglutination inhibition assays (Tusche, Steinbrück, and McHardy, 2012; Meyer and Wilke, 2015; Kratsch et al., 2016), and the origin of this discrepancy is unclear. Here, we argue that a major cause of this discrepancy is widespread passaging of influenza virus before sequencing.

Clinical isolates are often passaged in culture one or more times to amplify viral copy number, as well as to introduce virus into a living system for testing strain features such as vaccine response, antiviral response, and replication efficiency (World Health Organization Global Influenza Surveillance Network, 2011; Kumar and Henrickson, 2012). A variety of culture systems...
are used for virus amplification. Cell cultures derived from Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells are by far the most widely used system, with the majority of sequences in influenza repositories deriving from viruses that has been passaged through an MDCK or modified MDCK cell culture (Balish et al., 2013; Bogner et al., 2006). Influenza virus may also be passaged through monkey kidney (RhMK or TMK) cell culture or injected directly into egg amnions. Alternatively, complete influenza virus genomes can be obtained from PCR-amplified influenza samples without intermediate passaging (Katz, Wang, and Webster, 1990; Lee et al., 2013a).

Several experiments have demonstrated that influenza virus hemagglutinin (HA) accumulates mutations following rounds of passaging in both cell (Wyde et al., 1977; Ilyushina et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2013b) and egg culture (Robertson et al., 1993). The decreased number of mutations in MDCK-based cell culture is the main argument for use of this system over egg amnions in vaccine production (Katz and Webster, 1989), with MDCK cells expressing human SIAT1 having the highest fidelity to the original sequence and reduced host adaptation (Hamamoto et al., 2013; Hamamoto et al., 2013). Viral adaptations to eggs were recently linked to reduced ginal sequence and reduced host adaptation (Hamamoto et al., 2013). Several studies have demonstrated that influenza virus hemagglutinin (HA) accumulates mutations following rounds of passaging in both cell (Wyde et al., 1977; Ilyushina et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2013b) and egg culture (Robertson et al., 1993). The decreased number of mutations in MDCK-based cell culture is the main argument for use of this system over egg amnions in vaccine production (Katz and Webster, 1989), with MDCK cells expressing human SIAT1 having the highest fidelity to the original sequence and reduced host adaptation (Hamamoto et al., 2013; Hamamoto et al., 2013). Viral adaptations to eggs were recently linked to reduced ginal sequence and reduced host adaptation (Hamamoto et al., 2013)

### Table 1

**Parsing of passage-annotated FASTA sequences into passage history groups.** For each passage group, we defined a regular expression that could reliably identify sequences with that passage history. Regular expressions were applied through the built-in python library ‘re’. SIAAT1 and non-SIAAT1 cell culture regular expressions were applied to the subset of sequences identified as generic cell culture sequences. The three middle columns list the number of sequences we identified for each passage group, for years 2014 only, 2015 only, and 2005–2015. The two furthest right columns list the number of single and multiply passaged sequences from 2005 to 2015 for each condition.

| Passage group               | Regular expression                                                   | Number of sequences | Rounds of passage |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|                             |                                                                       | 2014    | 2015    | 2005–2015 | Single | Multiple |
| Chicken egg amnions         | AM[1–9]|E[1–7]|AMN|E|AM|[1–9]               | 6      | 0      | 79      | 1      | 79      |
| Monkey cell culture         | TMK|R|MX|R|M|T|K|R[1–9]|MX                      | 366    | 290    | 917     | 904    | 13      |
| Generic cell culture        | SI[1–9]|S|X|M|T|A|D|M|C|K|C|X|C|[1–9]|MX|X|[1–9]|\$                       | 794    | 787    | 3,041   | 867    | 2,158   |
| SIAT1                      | SI[1–9]|\$|S|X|\$|SIAT2_SIAT1|SIAT3_SIAT1               | 389    | 626    | 1,046   | 459    | 587     |
| Non-SIAT1 cell culture      | not SIAT|S|X|[1–9]                             | 297    | 56     | 1,755   | 408    | 1,331   |
| Unpassaged                 | LUNG|P|O|OR|ORIGINAL|CLINICAL|DIRECT             | 249    | 506    | 1,703   | N/A    | N/A     |
| Pooled                     |                                                        | 1,508   | 1,601   | 6,873    | 1,772  | 2,317   |

Comparison of passaged to circulating virus.

Here, we compare patterns of adaptation in North American seasonal H3N2 influenza virus HA sequences derived from passaged and unpassaged virus. We divide viral sequences by their passaging history, distinguishing between unpassaged clinical samples, egg amnions, RhMK (monkey) cell culture, and generic/MDCK-based cell culture. For the latter, we also distinguish between virus passaged in MDCK-SIAT1 cell culture (SIAT1) and in unmodified MDCK or unspecified cell culture (non-SIAT1). We find clear signals of adaptation to the various passaging conditions, and demonstrate that passaging artifacts become more severe with additional rounds of passaging. These signals are strongly present in the tip branches of the phylogenetic trees but can also be detected in internal and trunk branches. We demonstrate the accumulation of these passaging artifacts with additional rounds of serial passaging in non-SIAT1 cells. Finally, we demonstrate that the identification of antigenic escape sites from sequence data has been confounded by passaging adaptations, and that the exclusion of passaged sequences allows us to use sequence and structural data to highlight regions involved in antigenic escape.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1 Influenza sequence data

Non-laboratory strain H3N2 HA sequences collected in North America were downloaded from The Global Initiative for Sharing Avian Influenza Data (GISAID) (Bogner et al., 2006) for the 1968–2015 influenza seasons. We used exclusively North American sequences to reduce regional variation between influenza virus strains. Non-complete HA sequences were excluded. Sequences were trimmed to open reading frames, filtered to remove redundancies, and aligned by translation–alignment–back-translation using MAFFT (Katoh and Standley, 2013) for the alignment step. Sequence headers of FASTA files were standardized into an uppercase text format with non-alphanumeric characters replaced by underscores. As H3N2 strains have experienced no persistent insertion or deletion events, we deleted sequences that introduced gaps to the alignment. To ascertain overall data quality, we built a phylogenetic tree of the entire sequence set (using FastTree 2.0 compiled for short branch lengths; Price...
excluded sequences whose record IDs matched the regular expression 'ˆ X_$. and doubly passaged sequences using the regular expression '2/1_C1'. The remaining sequences were only passaged a single time.

We next constructed phylogenetic trees for each passage group as well as one tree for a pooled dataset combining all individual passage groups and other sequences. All phylogenetic trees were constructed using FastTree 2.0 (Price et al., 2010). We calculated site-specific $dN/dS$ values using a one-rate Single-Likelihood Ancestor Counting (SLAC) model implemented in HyPhy (Pond et al., 2005). One rate models fit a site-specific $dN$ and a global $dS$, where the global $dS$ is the mean site-wise $dS$ for a given condition (Spielman et al., 2016). Among different one-rate, site-specific models, SLAC performs nearly identically to other approaches (Spielman et al., 2016), and it was chosen here due to its speed and ease of extracting $dN/dS$ estimates along internal and tip branches. To obtain internal and tip branch-specific estimates, we extracted the $dN/dS$ values calculated by the SLAC algorithm. We manually calculated $dN/dS$ along trunk branches by counting the number of synonymous and non-synonymous substitutions at each trunk site. We defined the trunk as the sequence of branches from the root to the penultimate node before a randomly chosen terminal sequence from the most recent year represented in the tree.

We chose sequences from 2005 to 2015 as our sample set due the low number of available sequences prior to this period. As $dn/ds$ estimates can be confounded by sample size (Spielman et al., 2016), we sought to limit this effect by downsampling each experimental set to match the number of sequences in the smallest group being considered in a particular analysis (Table 1). To reduce season-to-season variation in the comparison of unpassaged, SIAT1, and non-SIAT1 cell culture, we performed one analysis with sequences from only 2014, which is the year that maximizes sequences available from all three conditions ($n = 249$ each).

2.3 Geometric analysis of $dn/ds$ distributions

For each amino acid site $i$ in HA, we computed the inverse Euclidean distance to each amino acid site $j$ ($j \neq i$) in the 3D crystal structure. For each site $i$, we then correlated the list of inverse distances to sites $j$ with site-wise $dn/ds$ values at sites $j$. This procedure resulted in a correlation coefficient for each site $i$, and we mapped these correlation coefficients onto the corresponding sites $i$ in the 3D structure model of HA. In this analysis, sites spatially closest to positively selected regions in the protein yielded the highest correlation coefficients. Thus, this approach allowed us to visualize regions of increased positive selection. As the correlation coefficient for site $i = 224$ is consistently highest for sets of sequences undivided by passage history, we chose this site as a reference to compare passage conditions. See Meyer and Wilke (2015) for additional discussion of this approach.

We processed the HA PDB structure to allow for easy alignment with site-wise measures as discussed previously (Meyer and Wilke, 2015). We provided a renumbered and formatted H3N2 HA structure derived from PDBID:2YP7 (2YP7clean.pdb) (Lin et al., 2012) with our data analysis code (see below). Noting that the HA protein and gene numbering is offset by 16, all site numbering in this article refers to the protein site position. The alignment of gene and protein numbering schemes to amino acid sequence is available in each Supplementary Data File.

Figure 1. Schematic of influenza A virus sequence collection and analysis. (A) Typical processing steps of influenza A virus clinical isolates. Virus collected from patients may be passaged a single time or multiple times prior to PCR amplification and sequencing in a variety of different environments (Ex: canine cell culture, monkey cell culture, egg amniotes). However, some clinical virus is not passaged and is sequenced directly. (B) Phylogenetic tree of H3N2 HA sequences from the 2005-2015 seasons. The inset shows a small clade of sequences from the 2006/2007 season, with colored dots representing sequences with passage annotations (red virion: unpassaged, blue dog: canine cell culture, gray hen: egg amniote, unlabeled: missing or unclear passage-history annotation).
2.4 Local Branching Index analysis

We used the framework and code ([GitHub link](https://github.com/rneher/FitnessInference)) from Neher et al. (2014) to rank sequences according to their Local Branching Index (LBI), a metric that uses branching density to predict progenitor lineages. To build our sample set we divided sequences by year and passage history. We then down-sampled alignments to 70% of the available sequences, up to a maximum of 100 sequences. We repeated each down-sampling 50 times for each condition. We then ranked sequences in each sample according to the LBI algorithm (script `rank_sequences.py` available from [GitHub link](https://github.com/rneher/FitnessInference)) and calculated the Hamming distance of the
top ranked sequence from each condition to the ancestrally reconstructed root sequence of the following year’s unpassaged and pooled trees. The Hamming distance derived from the top ranked sequence was divided by the Hamming distance of a randomly chosen sequence from the same condition, to assess if a predicted progenitor was better than a randomly chosen sequence. These ratios were averaged over all possible choices of the randomly chosen sequence and over the 50 trials, to yield the mean ratio score for a particular year and passage condition. A mean ratio score < 1 indicates that the LBI algorithm performs better than random chance.

2.5 Statistical analysis and data availability

Raw influenza sequences used in this analysis are available for download from GISAID (http://gisaid.org) using the parameters ‘North America’, ‘H3N2’, and ‘1976–2015’. Acknowledgements for sequences used in this study are available in Supplementary File 1. The complete, processed dataset used in our statistical analysis is available in Supplementary Data 10, including protein and gene numbering, computed evolutionary rates, relative solvent accessibility (RSA) for the HA trimer, and site-wise distance to protein site 224. RSA of the HA trimer was taken from Meyer and Wilke (2015). Site-wise Euclidean distances between all amino acids in the HA structure PDBID:2YP7 were recalculated from structural coordinates using the python script distances.py (Supplementary File 2). Statistical analysis was performed using R (Ihaka and Gentleman, 1996), and all graph figures were drawn with the R package ggplot2 (Wickham, 2009). Throughout this work, * denotes a significance of $0.01 < p < 0.05$, ** denotes a significance of $0.001 < p < 0.01$, and *** denotes a significance of $p < 0.001$.

Linear models between site-wise $d_\text{n}/d_\text{s}$ and RSA or inverse distance were fit using the lm() function in R. Correlations were calculated using the R function cor() and significance determined using cor.test().

Our entire analysis pipeline, scripts, instructions for running analyses, and raw data (except initial sequence data per the GISAID user agreement) are available at the following Github project repository: https://github.com/wilkelab/influenza_H3N2_passaging.

3. Results

Many influenza virus samples collected from patients are first passaged through one or more culturing systems (Table 1) prior to PCR amplification and sequencing (Fig. 1A). Samples may be passaged either once or serially (Table 1), even though a single passage is generally sufficient to obtain adequate amounts of genetic material for sequencing. Reconstructed trees of influenza virus evolution contain a mixture of passage histories at
During passaging, influenza virus genomes accumulate adaptive mutations, and the effect of these mutations on evolutionary analyses of influenza virus sequences is not well understood.

3.1 Site-wise evolutionary rate patterns differ between passage groups

To quantify any evolutionary signal that may be introduced by passaging, we assembled, from the GISAID database (Bogner et al., 2006), a set of North American human influenza virus H3N2 HA sequences collected between 2005 and 2015. We initially sorted these sequences into groups by their passage history: (1) unpassaged, (2) egg-passaged, (3) generic cell-passaged, and (4) monkey cell-passaged (Table 1). To assess evolutionary variation at individual sites, we calculated site-specific \( \frac{dN}{dS} \) values, using SLAC. Specifically, we calculated one-rate \( \frac{dN}{dS} \) estimates, i.e., site-specific \( dN \) values normalized by a global \( dS \) value (see ‘Methods’ section for details). In addition to considering groups of sequences with specific passage histories, we also calculated \( dN/dS \) values by pooling all sequences into one combined analysis. This pooled group corresponds to a typical influenza virus evolutionary analysis for which passage history has not been accounted.

We first correlated the site-wise \( dN/dS \) values we obtained for virus sequences derived from different passage histories. If passage history did not matter, then the \( dN/dS \) values obtained from different sources should have correlated strongly with each other, with \( r \) approaching 1. Instead, we found that correlation coefficients ranged from 0.68 to 0.87, depending on which specific comparison we made (Fig. 2A). In this analysis, and throughout this work, we down-sampled alignments to the smallest number of sequences available for any of the conditions compared, to keep the samples as comparable as possible overall. The analysis of Fig. 2 used \( n = 917 \) randomly drawn sequences for each condition. Unpassaged \( dN/dS \) correlated more strongly with cell and pooled \( dN/dS \) (correlations of 0.77 and 0.79, respectively) than with monkey-cell \( dN/dS \) (0.68). Note that the \( dN/dS \) values from the pooled group, which corresponds to a typical dataset used in a phylogenetic analysis of influenza virus, more closely correlated with the \( dN/dS \) values from the generic cell group \( (r = 0.87) \) than from the unpassaged group \( (r = 0.79) \). Egg-derived sequences were excluded from this
analysis due to low sequence numbers \( n = 79 \), however evolutionary rates from this condition correlated particularly poorly with those of random draws of 79 unpassaged sequences (Supplementary Fig. 1). This result is consistent with previous conclusions (Bush et al., 2000; Suzuki, 2006; Gatherer, 2010) that egg-derived sequences show specific adaptations not found otherwise in influenza virus sequences.

Because the common ancestor of any two passaged influenza viruses is a virus that replicated in humans, we expected that any adaptations introduced during passaging would not extend into the internal branches of a reconstructed tree. Therefore, we additionally subdivided phylogenetic trees into internal branches and tip branches, and calculated site-specific \( dN/dS \) values separately for these two sets of branches. In fact, Bush et al. (2000) recommended the use of internal branches to reduce variation seen between egg and cell culture-passaged virus. As expected, we found that \( dN/dS \) calculations were restricted to the internal branches and correlations between the passage groups increased overall (Fig. 2B), even though distinct differences between the passage groups remained. Conversely, when we only considered tip branches, correlations among most groups were relatively low (Fig. 2C), with the exception of cell-passaged sequences compared to the pooled sequences. This finding emphasizes once again that the pooled sample is most similar to the cell-passaged sample. We conclude that different passaging histories leave distinct, evolutionary signatures of adaptation to the passaging environment.

In aggregate, these results show that both generic-cell-passaged sequences and monkey-cell-passaged sequences yield different site-wise \( dN/dS \) patterns relative to unpassaged sequences (Fig. 2A–C), with \( dN/dS \) values derived from monkey-cell-passaged sequences being the least similar to \( dN/dS \) from unpassaged sequences (Fig. 2A–C). The pooled group of sequences, which corresponds to a typical dataset used in evolutionary analyses of influenza virus, describes evolutionary rates of specifically cell-passaged virus and poorly matches evolutionary rates of unpassaged virus.

### 3.2 Adaptations to cell and monkey-cell passage display characteristic patterns of site variation

We next asked whether adaptations to passage history were located in specific regions of the HA protein. To address this question, we employed the geometric model of HA evolution we recently introduced (Meyer and Wilke, 2015), where structural measurements explain variation in \( dN/dS \). For H3N2 HA, this model explains over 30% of the variation in \( dN/dS \) using two simple physical measures, the RSA of individual residues in the structure (Tien et al., 2013) and the inverse linear distance in 3D space from each residue to protein site 224 in the HA monomer. Notably, the geometric model was previously applied to a pooled sequence set including sequences of various passaging histories. To what extent it carries over to sequences with specific passaging histories is not known.
We first considered the correlation between $dN/dS$ and RSA (Fig. 3A). We found that for all passage groups, $R^2$ values ranged from 0.10 to 0.16 in the full tree, consistent with our earlier work (Meyer and Wilke, 2015). The high congruence among $R^2$ values for internal branches and all branches suggests that RSA imposes a pervasive selection pressure on HA, independent of passing adaptations. Thus, RSA represents a useful structural measure of a persistent effect of $dN/dS$ with stronger correlations in the full tree and internal branches than in tip branches.

Next we considered the correlation between $dN/dS$ and the inverse distance to site 224 to each site in the HA structure (Fig. 3B). In contrast to RSA, correlations here were systematically higher in tip branches, suggesting a recent adaptive signal. We found virtually no correlation for unpassaged sequences, while a low correlation existed for monkey-cell cultured sequences and a higher correlation for cell-passaged and pooled sequences. To confirm that differences between pooled and unpassaged correlations were not simply due to variation from random sampling, we created a null distribution of correlations from 200 random draws of pooled sequences. The correlation for unpassaged sequences was significantly lower than it was for pooled sequences ($z = -4.22, p = 1.2\times 10^{-5}$, Supplementary Fig. 2). Correlations from pooled sequences mirrored cell-culture correlations and persisted through internal branches. Thus, the correlation of $dN/dS$ with the inverse distance to site 224 seems to be primarily an artifact of cell passage, even though its effect can be seen along internal branches as well. This cell-specific signal dominates the pooled dataset. Further, this cell-specific signal is partially attenuated along internal branches and amplified along tip branches, as we would expect from a signal caused by recent host-specific adaptation. Even though this signal is a true predictor of influenza virus evolutionary rates for virus grown in cell culture, it does not transfer to unpassaged sequences and therefore has no relevance for the circulating virus. This finding serves as a strong demonstration of passage history as a confounder in analysis of HA evolution, not just for egg passage as previously demonstrated, but also for cell and monkey-cell passage.

Table 2. Evolutionary rates and inverse distance correlations of residues responsible for antigenic change. For each site, we determined $dN/dS$ and the correlation between $dN/dS$ and inverse distance for unpassaged sequences collected between 2005 and 2015 ($n = 1703$). 5/7 residues linked to antigenic changes have inverse-distance correlations above the 90th percentile, while only 1/7 have $dN/dS$ values above the 90th percentile. Sites were experimentally determined by Koel et al. (2013).

| Site | Raw $dN/dS$ | Inv.-dist. correlation |
|------|--------------|------------------------|
| Gene | Protein | $dN/dS$ | Percentile | $R$ | Percentile |
| 161  | 145    | 0.672 | 0.823 | 0.082 | 0.883 |
| 171  | 155    | 0     | 0     | 0.077 | 0.867 |
| 172  | 156    | 0.672 | 0.832 | 0.1317 | 0.971 |
| 174  | 158    | 1.36  | 0.958 | 0.1797 | 0.996 |
| 175  | 159    | 0.49  | 0.75  | 0.1837 | 1     |
| 205  | 189    | 0.474 | 0.763 | 0.0887 | 0.905 |
| 209  | 193    | 0.672 | 0.845 | 0.098 | 0.936 |

We first considered the correlation between $dN/dS$ and RSA (Fig. 3A). We found that for all passage groups, $R^2$ values ranged from 0.10 to 0.16 in the full tree, consistent with our earlier work (Meyer and Wilke, 2015). The high congruence among $R^2$ values for internal branches and all branches suggests that RSA imposes a pervasive selection pressure on HA, independent of passing adaptations. Thus, RSA represents a useful structural measure of a persistent effect of $dN/dS$ with stronger correlations in the full tree and internal branches than in tip branches.

Next we considered the correlation between $dN/dS$ and the inverse distance to site 224 to each site in the HA structure (Fig. 3B). In contrast to RSA, correlations here were systematically higher in tip branches, suggesting a recent adaptive signal. We found virtually no correlation for unpassaged sequences, while a low correlation existed for monkey-cell cultured sequences and a higher correlation for cell-passaged and pooled sequences. To confirm that differences between pooled and unpassaged correlations were not simply due to variation from random sampling, we created a null distribution of correlations from 200 random draws of pooled sequences. The correlation for unpassaged sequences was significantly lower than it was for pooled sequences ($z = -4.22, p = 1.2\times 10^{-5}$, Supplementary Fig. 2). Correlations from pooled sequences mirrored cell-culture correlations and persisted through internal branches. Thus, the correlation of $dN/dS$ with the inverse distance to site 224 seems to be primarily an artifact of cell passage, even though its effect can be seen along internal branches as well. This cell-specific signal dominates the pooled dataset. Further, this cell-specific signal is partially attenuated along internal branches and amplified along tip branches, as we would expect from a signal caused by recent host-specific adaptation. Even though this signal is a true predictor of influenza virus evolutionary rates for virus grown in cell culture, it does not transfer to unpassaged sequences and therefore has no relevance for the circulating virus. This finding serves as a strong demonstration of passage history as a confounder in analysis of HA evolution, not just for egg passage as previously demonstrated, but also for cell and monkey-cell passage.
Surprisingly, the correlation we found here between $dN/dS$ and inverse distance to site 224 for pooled sequences ($R^2 = 0.067$) was less than half of the value previously reported (Meyer and Wilke, 2015) (Fig. 3B). However, using a dataset of sequences more temporally matched to the previously published analysis (2005–2014 instead of 2005–2015), we recovered the earlier higher correlation. This finding suggests that there is some feature in the additional 2015 sequences that changes the pooled data’s relationship with inverse distance to site 224. In 2015, unpassaged and SIAT1 sequences each doubled in number compared to 2014, while the number of non-SIAT1 cell cultured sequences dropped dramatically (Table 1). SIAT1, an MDCK cell line which overexpresses human-like 6-linked sialic acids over native 3-linked sialic acids (Matrosovich et al., 2003) has higher sequence fidelity than unmodified MDCK (Hamamoto et al., 2013). Therefore, we next investigated whether the drop in correlation from 2014 to 2015 could be attributed to the recent reduction in cell culture using non-SIAT1 cells.

### 3.3 Adaptation to passage in SIAT1 cells is weak or absent

In the preceding analyses, we lumped all cell cultures except monkey cells into the same category. However, there are more subtle distinctions in cell passaging systems, and they can exert differential selective pressures on human adapted virus (Oh et al., 2008; Hamamoto et al., 2013). As our generic cell culture group was composed of a mixture of wild type MDCK, SIAT1, and unspecified cell cultures, we next investigated whether any one culture type was the source of the high cell-culture signal seen in Fig. 3B.

SIAT1 is currently the dominant system for passaging of influenza virus in North America, with approximately half of the 2015 influenza virus sequences currently available from GISAID deriving from serial passaging through SIAT1 cells. Experimental analysis of SIAT1 demonstrates improved sequence fidelity and reduced positive selection over unmodified MDCK cell culture (Oh et al., 2008; Hamamoto et al., 2013). We...
sought to determine if the apparently cell-culture-specific correlation of site-wise evolutionary rates and inverse distance to site 224 extended to SIAT1 cell culture. To compare cell-culture varieties, we created sample-size-matched groups of non-SIAT1 cell culture, SIAT1 cell culture, and unpassaged sequences collected between 2005 and 2015 (n = 1,046), excluding sequences that had been passaged through both a non-SIAT1 and a SIAT1 cell culture.

All groups showed similar correlations between dN/dS and RSA, regardless of whether dN/dS was calculated for the entire tree, for internal branches only, or for tip branches only (Fig. 4A). By contrast, inverse distance to site 224 uniquely correlated with dN/dS from non-SIAT1 cultured virus (Fig. 4B). This effect was strongest along tip branches ($R^2 = 0.139$), but it was almost as strong along the entire tree ($R^2 = 0.129$). The correlation was reduced, though still significant, among internal branches ($R^2 = 0.075$). Thus, we conclude that the correlation between dN/dS and the inverse distance to site 224 represents a unique signal of adaptation to passaging in non-SIAT1 cells. In other words, a non-SIAT1-specific signal can completely dominate all signals of positive adaptation when a dataset contains a sufficiently high number of sequences passed in non-SIAT1 cells. In our analysis (Fig. 3B), the high correlation of non-SIAT1 cell dN/dS with inverse distance to site 224 is suppressed in the pooled condition because the number of unpassaged and SIAT1-passaged sequences grew substantially in 2015. This difference in sample composition explains the lower than expected correlations in Fig. 3B for pooled dN/dS.

As these three conditions were somewhat temporally separated (most non-SIAT1 cell culture sequences were pre-2015, and most unpassaged and SIAT1 culture sequences were post-2014), we controlled for season-to-season variation by drawing 249 sequences from each group from 2014. We again considered site-wise dN/dS correlations among passaging groups, and we found that overall, unpassaged and SIAT1-passaged sequences appeared the most similar (Supplementary Fig. 3A–C).

### 3.4 Signals of passaging adaptation accumulate with additional rounds of passaging in non-SIAT1 cells

Having identified non-SIAT1 cell culture as the source of the contaminating signal in analyses of inverse distance to site 224, we next investigated the source of this signal at the single amino acid level. We expected that a signal of adaptation to a passaging system would strengthen with additional exposure to that system. Thus, we compared the magnitude of the site-wise dN/dS values in sequences that had never been passaged, had been passaged once, passaged twice, or passaged 3–5 times (Fig. 5A). For this analysis, we only considered passage in non-SIAT1 cells. This analysis revealed distinct regions of increasing positive selection along the HA molecule (arrows in Fig. 5A) and a strong relationship between the magnitude of these signals and the number of times influenza viruses were passaged. Further, we found an overall increase in dN/dS with increased numbers of passages in non-SIAT1 cells (Fig. 5B). The strongly selected sites 221 and 225 are adjacent to site 224, explaining the specific pattern of concordance with antigenic sites when mapping dN/dS directly onto the structure (Fig. 7A). Sites in this region are known to be involved in antigenic escape. In fact, many of the highlighted regions contain amino acid positions where substitution led to antigenic change (Table 2). We found a similar pattern of concordance with antigenic sites when mapping dN/dS values directly onto the structure (Fig. 7B). The inverse-distance correlations, however, performed better at identifying antigenic residues than did raw dN/dS values. When considering the 90th percentile (top 10% highest scored sites) by either metric, the inverse-distance correlations recovered 5 of 7 sites while dN/dS alone recovered only 1 of 7 sites (Table 2). Additionally, while several sites involved in antigenic change had very low dN/dS, all had inverse-distance correlations above the 86th percentile.

### 3.5 Evolutionary variation in sequences from unpassaged virus predicts regions involved in antigenic escape

Our preceding analyses might suggest that the inverse distance metric for describing regions of selection only captures effects of adaptation to non-SIAT1 cell culture. However, this is not necessarily the case. Importantly, inverse distance needs to be calculated relative to a specific reference point. Site 224 was previously used as the reference point because it yielded the highest correlation for the dataset analyzed (Meyer and Wilke, 2015). For a different dataset, one that doesn’t carry the signal of adaptation to non-SIAT1 cell culture, a different reference point may be more appropriate.

We thus repeated the inverse distance analysis of Meyer and Wilke (2015) for a size-matched sample of 1,046 sequences from non-SIAT1, pooled, SIAT1, and unpassaged virus collected between 2005 and 2015 (Fig. 6). In brief, for each possible reference site in the HA structure, we measured the inverse distance in 3D space from that site to every other site in the structure (see ‘Methods’ section for details). We then correlated the inverse distances with the dN/dS values at each site, resulting in one correlation coefficient per reference site. Finally, we mapped these correlation coefficients onto the HA structure, coloring each reference site by its associated correlation coefficient. If inverse distances measured from a particular reference amino acid have higher correlation with the site-wise dN/dS values, then this reference site will appear highlighted on the structure.

For non-SIAT1-passaged and pooled virus, this analysis recovered the finding of Meyer and Wilke (2015) that the loop containing site 224 appeared strongly highlighted (Fig. 6A). However, this signal was entirely absent in unpassaged and SIAT1 passaged virus (Fig. 6B), with no sites in that loop working well as a reference point. These results suggested that this loop was specifically involved in adaptation of HA to non-SIAT1 cell culture, explaining the non-SIAT1-specific signal shown in Fig. 4A. Globally, the pattern of correlations from pooled sequences strongly resembled the non-SIAT1 pattern, in contrast to the resemblance of SIAT1 to unpassaged. Thus, the inverse distance metric is useful for differentiating regions of selection particular to different experimental groups.

Therefore, we next asked what residual patterns of positive selection remained once the adaptation to non-SIAT1 cells was removed. Even though site-wise correlations are relatively low for unpassaged virus compared to the ones observed for non-SIAT1-passaged virus, we could still recover relevant patterns of HA adaptation after rescaling our coloring. In particular, we found that sites opposite to the loop-containing site 224 lit up for unpassaged virus compared to the ones observed for non-SIAT1-passaged virus (Fig. 6B), with no sites in that loop working well as a reference point. These results suggested that this loop was specifically involved in adaptation of HA to non-SIAT1 cell culture, explaining the non-SIAT1-specific signal shown in Fig. 4A. Globally, the pattern of correlations from pooled sequences strongly resembled the non-SIAT1 pattern, in contrast to the resemblance of SIAT1 to unpassaged. Thus, the inverse distance metric is useful for differentiating regions of selection particular to different experimental groups.
3.6 Passaging artifacts extend deep into reconstructed trees

Passaging adaptations could reasonably be expected to only affect peripheral clades of influenza virus evolutionary trees, as they represent recent signals of adaptation that should not penetrate far into the tree or significantly affect tree structure (Kryazhimskiy and Plotkin, 2008; Strelkowa and Lassig, 2012). Surprisingly, however, we found signals of passaging adaptations in season-to-season fixed mutations and branch density.

To capture mutations that became fixed across seasons, we calculated \( dN/dS \) along the trunks of trees constructed from sequences of difference passage histories (Fig. 8A). To time-calibrate our trunk, we limited this analysis to passage types that had sequences at least in 2005 and in 2015, which excludes SIAT1 sequences. Trunk \( dN/dS \) measures season-to-season adaptation and might be expected to be robust to the effects of passaging. However, recurring adaptations to passaging conditions as samples were processed across seasons could falsely appear as trunk mutations.

For pooled sequences, trunk \( dN/dS \) appeared to be generally free of artifacts, resembling the trunk \( dN/dS \) of unpassaged sequences (Fig. 8A). In contrast, for datasets composed entirely of passaged sequences we found artifacts extending into the trunk. When trees were constructed from only cell-passaged sequences or only non-SIAT1 sequences, we observed a general inflation in \( dN/dS \) as well as several spurious sites of high \( dN/dS \) that do not occur in the unpassaged condition. Together, this result shows that the relative proportion of passaged to unpassaged sequences in a sample matters; when sequences with passaging artifacts are overrepresented compared to unpassaged sequences, there is a risk that a spurious signal will be found in the trunk. For example, a trunk \( dN/dS \) analysis of mainly non-SIAT1 sequences would direct attention to site 261, even though this site does not appear to be positively selected on the unpassaged tree trunk. This analysis demonstrates the ability of sequences containing major passaging artifacts to confound both deep and peripheral analyses of influenza virus evolution.

We next investigated the effect of passaging on a tree-topology based metric, LBI (Neher et al., 2014). Notably, this metric is entirely independent of \( dN/dS \) values. The LBI algorithm uses the degree of local branching around a terminal node to predict sequences similar to progenitors of the following season’s strain. As a read-out of the algorithm’s performance, we calculated the mean ratio score, as described (Neher et al., 2014) (see also ‘Methods’ section). Mean ratio scores <1 indicate that the algorithm performs better than random chance. The lowest possible mean ratio score corresponds to the mean ratio score of the sequence with the lowest Hamming distance to the following year’s progenitor (i.e., the theoretical best possible prediction from sequences in a condition).

We saw clear differences in accuracy of predictions made using trees composed of non-SIAT1, pooled, or unpassaged sequences (Fig. 8B and C). We could not examine SIAT1 patterns, as these sequences were not consistently available across seasons until 2013. As a general trend, predictions from unpassaged sequences seemed to be more accurate (both less likely to exceed 1 and more likely to be closer to the best possible prediction) than predictions from either passaged or pooled sequences.

4. Discussion

We find that serial passaging of influenza virus introduces a measurable signal of adaptation into the evolutionary analysis of natural influenza virus sequences. There are unique, characteristic patterns of adaptation to egg passage, monkey cell passage, and non-SIAT1 cell passage. Monkey-cell-derived sequences show different molecule-wide evolutionary rate patterns. Non-SIAT1 cell-derived sequences instead display a hotspot of positive selection in a loop underneath the sialic-acid binding region. This hotspot has been previously noted (Meyer and Wilke, 2015) but no explanation for its origin was available. Additional passages in non-SIAT1 cell strengthen this artifact. Further, we find that virus passaged in SIAT1 cells seems to accumulate only minor passaging artifacts. Throughout our analyses, we find limited utility in subdividing phylogenetic trees to internal and terminal branches. While signals of passage adaptation are consistently elevated along terminal branches and attenuated along internal branches, evolutionary rates along internal branches remain confounded by passaging artifacts. Additionally, passaged adaptation can resemble fixed season-to-season mutation along trunk branches and alter topology-based predictions of sequence fitness. Finally, we can accurately recover the experimentally determined antigenic regions of HA from evolutionary-rate analysis by using a dataset consisting of only unpassaged viral sequences.

Previous studies (Bush et al., 2001; Suzuki, 2006) suggest the use of internal branches to alleviate passage adaptations. However, we find here that this strategy is insufficient, because the evolutionary signal of passage adaptations can often be detected along internal branches. This finding may seem counter-intuitive, as internal nodes should exclusively represent human-adapted virus. We suggest that passaging adaptations in internal branches may be homoplasies caused by convergent evolution; if different clinical isolates converge onto the same adaptive mutations under passaging, then these mutations may incorrectly be placed along internal branches under phylogenetic tree reconstruction. Additionally, although the use of only internal branches removes some differences between the passage groups, the exclusion of terminal sequences can obscure recent natural adaptations and thus obscure actual sites under positive selection. Therefore, analysis of internal branches is not only insufficient for eliminating artifacts from passaging adaptations but also suboptimal for detecting positive selection in seasonal H3N2 influenza virus.

The safest route to avoid passaging artifacts is to limit sequence datasets to only unpassaged virus, although this approach limits sequence numbers. The human-like 6-linked sialic acids in SIAT1 (Matrosovich et al., 2003) greatly reduce observed cell culture-specific adaptations, particularly in the loop of HA which contains site 224. This lack of selection concords with multiple experiments finding low levels of adaptation in this cell line (Oh et al., 2008; Hamamoto et al., 2013). As our analysis only detects minor differences between unpassaged and SIAT1 passaged virus, we posit that this passage condition is an acceptable substitute for unpassaged clinical samples. Even so, our findings do not preclude the existence of SIAT1-specific adaptations that may confound specific analyses.

Over half of the passed HA sequences in the GISAID database from 2005 to 2015 were passaged more than once. Multiple passages in non-SIAT1 cells cause increasing accumulation of passaging artifacts, and we would expect that any yet unknown passaging effects might accumulate similarly. Nevertheless, even a single passage in non-SIAT1 cells introduces noticeable artifacts of adaptation. Influenza virus is often passaged multiple times to improve viral titers for hemagglutination inhibition assays, and thus, we expect that multiply passaged viruses
will continue to be deposited for the foreseeable future. We recommend that such viruses be used with care when studying the evolutionary dynamics of influenza virus strains circulating in the human population.

Although the majority of the sequences from the year 2015 are SIAT1-passaged or unpassaged, several hundred sequences from that year derive from monkey cell culture. The use of monkey cell culture surged in 2014 and 2015 compared to previous years. We recommend that these recently collected sequences be excluded from influenza virus evolutionary rate analysis, in favor of the majority of unpassaged and SIAT1-passaged sequences. As passaging is a useful and cost effective method for amplification of clinically collected virus, unpassaged viral sequences are unlikely to completely dominate influenza virus sequence databases in the near future. However, new defined epithelial cell culture systems for influenza virus passaging (Ilyushina et al., 2012) could soon provide an ideal system that both amplifies virus and protects it from non-human selective pressures.

Passage history should routinely be considered as a potential confounding variable in future analyses of influenza virus evolutionary rates. Future studies should be checked against unpassaged samples to ensure that conclusions are not based on adaptation to non-human hosts. We recommend the exclusion of viral sequences that derive from serial passage in egg amnions, monkey kidney cell culture, and any unspecified cell culture. Prior work that did not consider passaging history may be confounded by passaging adaptations, as occurred in our previous publication (Meyer and Wilke, 2015). In that article, we concluded that sites under positive selection differ from sites under negative selection. However, the evolutionary markers of influenza virus determined in (Belanov et al., 2015) be reevaluated to ensure these sites are not artifacts of viral passaging. Similarly, many of the earlier studies (Bush et al., 1999; Suzuki, 2006, 2008; Shih et al., 2007; Pan and Deem, 2011; Tusche, Steinbrück, and McHardy, 2012; Meyer and Wilke, 2013, 2015) performing site-specific evolutionary analysis of HA likely contain some conclusions that can be traced back to passaging artifacts. Additionally, even though passage artifacts do not appear to be sufficiently strong to affect clade-structure reconstruction (Bush et al., 2000), they do have the potential to cause artificially long branch lengths, due to dN/dS inflation, or misplaced branches, due to convergent evolution under passaging. We find samples composed of non-SIAT1 appear to behave differently than unpassaged samples under the LBI metric. Thus, future phylogenetic predictive models of influenza virus fitness and antigenicity, as in (Bedford et al., 2014; Łuksz and Lassig, 2014; Neher et al., 2014), should also be checked for robustness to passaged signals. Finally, while it is beyond the scope of this work to investigate passaging history effects in other viruses, we suspect that passaged-derived artifacts could be a factor in their phylogenetic analyses as well. The use of datasets free of passage adaptations will likely bring computational predictions of influenza positive selection more in line with corresponding experimental results.

Sequences without passage annotations are inadequate for reliable evolutionary analysis of influenza virus. Yet, passage annotations are often completely missing from strain information, and, when present, are often inconsistent; there is currently no standardized language to represent number and type of serial passage. We note, however, that passage annotations from the 2015 season are greatly improved when compared to previous seasons. Several major influenza repositories, including the Influenza Research Database (Squires et al., 2012) and the NCBI Influenza Virus Resource (Bao et al., 2008), do not provide any passing annotations at all. Additionally, passage history is not required for new sequence submissions to the NCBI Genbank (Benson et al., 2012). The EpiFlu database maintained by the GISAID (Bogner et al., 2006) and OpenFluDB (Liechti et al., 2010), however, stand apart by providing passage history annotations for the majority of their sequences. Of these, only the OpenFluDB repository allows filtering of sequences by passaging history during data download. Our results demonstrate the strength of passaging artifacts in evolutionary analysis of influenza virus. The lack of a universal standard for annotation of viral passage histories and a universal standard for serial passaging experimental conditions complicate the analysis and mitigation of passaging effects.
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