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Abstract: The world including Thailand is facing up to a rapidly growing ageing population. In order to reduce the need of care for elderly people, they must be enabled to carry out basic activities at home, which are associated with safe and independent daily living. The purpose of this study was to examine the ability of elderly people in performing their daily activities while living at home in the community of Namprae and Sanklang villages, Chiang Mai, Thailand. The Activities of Daily Living (ADL) assessment was developed to measure functional status based on the International Classification of Functioning (ICF) concept. Data collection included interviews with the subjects and observation of their performance at their home and in the community. The study found that all of the elderly people communicated (spoke, produced non-verbal messages and conversed) independently. The majority also acted independently in eating and drinking, but they needed some assistance from people or equipment in activities such as driving, preparing meals, doing housework, moving around, washing and walking. Most of the home-bound elderly people performed ADL independently, whereas, they depended on transportation and driving while living at their home. Thai people, especially in the elderly section, have been influenced strongly by religion (Buddhism) in a spiritual dimension, but about half of the participants in this study had limitations in religion and spirituality. This situation created an environmental barrier that caused the limitation of physical function in elderly people when performing ADL.
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Introduction

In 2005, Thailand entered the global trend of growing ageing populations (NCE, 2013) which will level out by 2021 (Prasartkul, 2013). The rapid growth of elderly people in Thailand is of concern and needs a significant response. Age-related changes frequently have an adverse impact on health and quality of life, which need more in the way of assistance. Thus, effective healthcare intervention should encourage elderly people to stay healthy throughout their old age, which is a strategy ideal for reducing the financial pressures and demands on the healthcare service. “Ageing in place” is a popular term used for healthy ageing and living safely and independently in the places where these people live (Taira and Carlson, 2009). It is a multiple interacting process that operates from housing elderly people to their living in the community with independence and autonomy (Wiles et al., 2012; Hillcoat-Nallétatamby, 2014; Putthinoi et al., 2015). Successfully performing Activities of Daily Living (ADL) is required for “ageing in place”, which maintains the quality of life for elderly people in the community. ADL performance also shows evidence of healthy ageing (Lawton, 1990; Fausset et al., 2011). Elderly people should retain the ability to perform ADL independently in their own home as long as possible.

The World Health Organization (WHO; 2004; 2007) has an ageing framework to enhance the quality of life in all areas of the community in age-friendly regions. Furthermore, the WHO (2008) report on renewal of Primary Health Care (PHC) focuses on building and strengthening the community health system. Thus, the
main function is to enable elderly people to live effectively in their own home at the community level. Reform of community health in Thailand has been initiated in line with government decentralization in order to strengthen primary healthcare by the community or local governments (Maeseneer et al., 2008). Therefore, the Thai healthcare system has shifted some responsibility to local authorities at the provincial level (municipal and general hospitals), which focus on primary healthcare in the community such as community health posts and village and sub-district primary healthcare centers (Sakunphanit, 2015). Promotion of elderly people living independently in their community is within the scope of primary healthcare services under responsibility of the local authority. This research was carried out to strengthen primary healthcare at the village level and support the ageing population in Thailand. Understanding the ability of elderly people to perform their daily activities at the community level is needed in order to develop solutions that provide care, support and well-being within the “aging in place” concept.

The International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) is a conceptual framework used as a multiple interaction between the health of a person and environmental and personal factors (WHO, 2013). It has been used in many different contexts as a tool for statistical, research, clinical, social policy and educational purposes and applied, not only in the health sector, but also for many different purposes worldwide (Stucki et al., 2003; Reed et al., 2005; Bostan et al., 2014). It has the potential to determine functional status on activities and participation components that are associated with living independently, with “aging in place” and primary healthcare at the village level. The primary care service for elderly people is divided into three groups: Well, home-bound and bed-bound. Providing care for home-bound elderly people focuses on them living independently in their own home (Peachpansri et al., 2014). The aim of this study was to apply ICF as a tool to evaluate the ADL performance of elderly people living at home. This study can shape the development of better primary healthcare services that are suitable for home-bound elderly people in the community.

Materials and Methods

This study was approved by the local ethical committee of the Faculty of Associated Medical Sciences, Chiang Mai University. A cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted in the community of Namprae and Sanklang villages, Chiang Mai, Thailand over a 12 month period. Two settings, with a district health promotion hospital located in the same province, conducted home visits to provide healthcare services for elderly people. These settings were selected for convenient sampling of community dwellings for an on-going community-based study and representation of an urbanized area. Moreover, only two communities were chosen because the researcher could contact and work easily with all of the study participants, who were elderly people living in the community and aged 60 years and older. The names and addresses of all the home-bound elderly people in the community were listed from the database at the district health promotion hospital. Elderly people, without severely impaired cognitive function, were considered as home-bound if they needed help in leaving their home. In which case, a letter was sent inviting them to participate in this study and a total of 97 elderly people consented to take part, with 46 and 51 of them from Namprae village and Sanklang village, respectively. After receiving the letter, consenting home-bound elderly people were contacted by the researcher and an appointment to meet at their home was arranged. Of 97 participants, 73 completed responses and measurements because 10 were transferred to hospital, 11 changed to bed-bound status and 3 moved to other villages, meaning 24 participants were excluded.

An assessment tool was divided into two parts: (1) socio-demographic information on age, gender and marital status, condition of health, comorbidities and physical disability and (2) ADL assessment. Assessment of ADL performance was based on a list in the ICF, Disability and Health framework. Providing care for elderly people in the community included community people, community-based health professionals, local government, the health workforce and people from sectors outside health or non-governmental organizations. The ICF could provide all categories of demands from elderly people living in community as well as a common language for communicating between various groups in community services. Thus, this study used the ICF to develop its assessment tool.

The first version of assessment was developed based on the ICF categories from activities and participation components and covered the full range of life areas represented by the letter “d” (from Chapter d1 to d9). The numerical code started with the chapter number (one digit, “d1”), followed by the second level (two digits, “d1xx”) and third level (one extra digit, “d115x”), which were arranged in a coded hierarchy (Chapter and Category domain), as shown in Fig. 1. This means that a high level was wider than the lower one.

A content validation step was followed by a panel of five experts (Lynn, 1986), in order to select the ICF with the highest consensus (4 in 5) being the final version. Of the five experts, three were teaching and/or practicing in areas of ICF, Disability and Health and two worked in the area of elderly people in the community. The final version contained 30 activities/items that were more specific to home-bound elderly people in the Thai community context, as shown in Table 2. The rating was modified from a generic qualifier to a two-point scale: (1) independent (no problem) and (2) dependent (mild, moderate, severe and complete problem).
Assessment of each elderly person was conducted for ADL performance by two trained research assistants, who were fourth-year occupational therapy students. Data on all of the accepted participants were collected from interviews and by direct observation of the ADL performance at the home of the elderly people. The home-bound elderly people served as major informants, while family members and village healthcare volunteers served as secondary informants. The performance of ADL was interpreted by whether the subjects were dependent or independent. Demographics and ADL performance were analyzed by using descriptive statistics to calculate frequency and percentage.

**Results**

Overall, the 73 home-bound elderly participants (75.26% of the target population) were investigated thoroughly in the community. The results were divided into two parts: (1) Demographic profile and (2) ADL performance.
Table 1. Characteristics of the home-bound elderly people (N = 73)

| Demographic data                  | Number of respondents | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| **Age (years)**                   |                       |                |
| 60-69                             | 14                    | 19.18          |
| 70-79                             | 21                    | 28.77          |
| 80-89                             | 31                    | 42.47          |
| > 90                              | 7                     | 9.59           |
| **Gender**                        |                       |                |
| Male                              | 21                    | 28.77          |
| Female                            | 52                    | 71.23          |
| **Marital status**                |                       |                |
| Single                            | 13                    | 17.81          |
| Married                           | 31                    | 42.47          |
| Other                             | 29                    | 39.73          |
| **Religion**                      |                       |                |
| Buddhist                          | 73                    | 100.00         |
| **Living arrangements**           |                       |                |
| Living with one or more young adults | 66              | 90.41          |
| Living alone                      | 7                     | 9.59           |
| **Chronic health conditions**     |                       |                |
| No                                | 2                     | 2.74           |
| Yes                               | 71                    | 97.26          |
| **Physical disabilities**         |                       |                |
| No disabilities                   | 14                    | 19.18          |
| Mobility impairment               | 44                    | 60.27          |
| Visual impairment                 | 13                    | 17.81          |
| Hearing impairment                | 3                     | 4.11           |
| * More than one answer            |                       |                |

Table 2. Performance of ADL in the home-bound elderly people (N = 73)

| Activities of daily living                              | Independent number (%) | Dependent number (%) |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| **d2. General tasks and demands (general aspects of carrying out tasks)** |                         |                      |
| d230 Carrying out daily routine                         | 15 (20.55)              | 58 (79.45)           |
| **d3. Communication (general and specific features of communicating)** |                         |                      |
| d310 Communicating with-receiving-spoken messages       | 73 (100.00)             | -                    |
| d315 Communicating with-receiving-nonverbal messages    | 63 (86.30)              | 10 (13.70)           |
| d330 Speaking                                           | 73 (100.00)             | -                    |
| d335 Producing nonverbal messages                       | 73 (100.00)             | -                    |
| d350 Conversation                                       | 73 (100.00)             | -                    |
| **d4. Mobility**                                       |                         |                      |
| d430 Lifting and carrying objects                       | 40 (54.79)              | 33 (45.21)           |
| d440 Fine hand use (picking up, grasping, manipulating, releasing) | 57 (78.08)              | 16 (21.92)           |
| d450 Walking (short distances, long distances, on different surfaces, etc.) | 43 (58.90)              | 30 (41.10)           |
| d4600 Moving around within the home                     | 43 (58.90)              | 30 (41.10)           |
| d4601 Moving around within buildings other than home    | 33 (45.21)              | 40 (54.79)           |
| d465 Moving around using equipment                      | 55 (75.34)              | 18 (24.66)           |
| d470 Using transportation (car, bus, train, plane, etc.) | -                      | 73 (100.00)          |
| d475 Driving (riding bicycle and motorbike, driving car, etc.) | 13 (17.81)              | 60 (82.09)           |
| **d5. Self-care**                                      |                         |                      |
| d510 Washing oneself (bathing, drying, washing hands, etc.) | 23 (31.51)              | 50 (68.49)           |
| d520 Caring for bodily parts (skin, teeth, hair, fingernails, etc.) | 57 (78.08)              | 16 (21.92)           |
| d530 Toiletting                                         | 55 (75.34)              | 18 (24.66)           |
| d540 Dressing                                           | 57 (78.08)              | 16 (21.92)           |
| d550 Eating                                             | 72 (98.63)              | 1 (1.37)             |
| d560 Drinking                                           | 72 (98.63)              | 1 (1.37)             |
| d570 Looking after one's health                         | 12 (16.44)              | 61 (83.56)           |
| **d6. Domestic life**                                  |                         |                      |
| d620 Acquisition of goods and services (shopping, etc.) | 15 (20.55)              | 58 (79.45)           |
| d630 Preparation of meals (simple and complex meals)    | 13 (17.81)              | 60 (82.09)           |
| d640 Doing housework (cleaning house, washing dishes and laundry, ironing, etc.) | 13 (17.81)              | 60 (82.09)           |
| d650 Caring for household objects                       | 13 (17.81)              | 60 (82.09)           |
| **d7. Interpersonal interactions and relationships**    |                         |                      |
| d710 Basic interpersonal interactions                   | 51 (69.86)              | 22 (30.14)           |
| d760 Family relationships                               | 45 (61.64)              | 28 (38.36)           |
| **d9. Community, social and civic life**                |                         |                      |
| d910 Community life                                     | 42 (57.53)              | 31 (42.47)           |
| d920 Recreation and leisure                            | 42 (57.53)              | 31 (42.47)           |
| d930 Religion and spirituality                          | 42 (57.53)              | 31 (42.47)           |
The characteristics and ADL ability of the participants are presented in Table 1 and 2, respectively. Almost all of the home-bound elderly (97.2%) had a chronic health condition that included any one of the following: heart disease, high blood pressure, arthritis, asthma or diabetes. A majority of them (60.27%) had physical disabilities that limited their ability to carry out ADL independently. ADL performance of the elderly was analyzed as independent or dependent in ICF, Disability and Health categories, as shown in Table 1. Description of ADL performance with scales of 0, 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100% is presented in Fig. 2. A total of 20 from 30 ICF categories of activities and participation were reported (over 50% of participants) as significantly independent. The majority (79.45%) of the home-bound elderly people were dependent in d230 in carrying out their daily routine and in the sublevel of d2 (general tasks and demands); they needed assistance in completing a daily routine such as making plans for activities throughout the day. The elderly participants were significantly independent (at least 86.30%) in d3 (communication), in that they had no problem with basic actions in general and specific features of communication in order to perform more complex tasks in socially collaborative situations. The main problems according to frequencies were reported as dependent in d6 (domestic life).

Discussion

This study identified ADL performance under the ICF activities and participation categories by home visit evaluation. The study sample represented elderly people in two villages in order to identify their functioning without severely impaired cognition. Thirty categories of ICF activities and participation categories were validated for more specificity to this particular group of people. ICF Core Sets were applied to describe the functioning of persons with many conditions such as stroke (Algurén et al., 2010), chronic widespread pain, low back pain (Schwegler et al., 2012) and multiple sclerosis (Karhula et al., 2013). This finding enabled the use of ICF, Disability and Health as a tool for distinguishing between independence and dependence among elderly people living in the community.

All of the participants could perform communication tasks independently in d310 (receiving-spoken messages), d330 (speaking), d335 (producing nonverbal messages) and d350 (conversation). The functional communication skill was a critical tool that linked to other functions in daily life, including social and leisure activities, community participation and personal relationships (Yorkston et al., 2010). Although decline in communication is associated with ageing, the elderly people in this study had the ability to communicate successfully, which was advantageous in obtaining primary healthcare in the community. Limited communication is usually common, especially in a hospital setting (Ebert and Heckerling, 1998). Elderly people should preserve and enhance their ability to communicate in order to maintain social roles and identity and access needed services such as healthcare.

Surprisingly, all of the participants could not use d470 (transportation) independently. Furthermore, d475 (driving) was a problem for most of the elderly people (82.09%). The reasons for this might include barriers, such as lack of public and affordable transportation, poor access to transportation, physical geographic problems and poor public bus services. Transportation is a primary function in conjunction with other purposes, for example, maintaining social contact, travelling to appointments and shopping (Cho et al., 2010). It is a strong link to satisfaction with life (Coughlin, 2001). Providing accessible transportation to enable active ageing people to travel is a challenge and various policy options are needed to close the gap of transportation in Thailand.

Dependence was frequently seen mostly in d570 (looking after one’s health), d650 (caring for household objects), d640 (doing housework), d630 (preparation of meals), d620 (acquisition of goods and services), d230 (carrying out daily routine) and d510 (Washing oneself). The elderly people could not participate in community life activities because achieving these tasks concerns the ability of personal engagement in the living context. There are more complex tasks involved with domestic life and community participation. These problems may need the responsibility of building community strength in order to provide service systems for home-bound elderly people living independently. Fricke (2010) similarly reported that domestic and community activities usually have limitations in old age.

The following six categories; d550 (eating), d560 (drinking), d520 (caring for bodily parts), d540 (dressing), d315 (receiving-nonverbal messages) and d440 (fine hand use), were not a problem in almost all of the participants. Fundamental self-care activities of daily living can be classified into basic ADL such as personal care; for example, eating, drinking and dressing. These activities relate to active ageing (Dale et al., 2012) and are very critical for elderly people when being discharged from hospital and entering the community (Turcotte et al., 2015). Thus, successfully performing basic ADL tasks is very important for achieving quality of life in daily living within the community.

In the perspective of d460 (moving around in different locations), around half of the participants were dependent in d450 (walking), d4600 (moving around within the home) and d4601 (moving around within buildings other than their home), whereas more participants (75.34%) had no problem with d465.
(moving around by using equipment). Home-bound elderly people had limitation in mobility mainly when living in their home. They need assistance from caregivers or health volunteers in performing this activity. However, equipment or assistive devices such as a wheelchair or walker can facilitate moving around within their home and within buildings other than their home. Most elderly people, who live in the community, commonly use devices (cane, walker, wheelchair and scooter) to overcome their limitation in mobility (Freedman et al., 2005). The ability of elderly people to move around in various places is a basic to more complex activity such as transportation. Mobility restriction contributes to a decline in social participation and quality of life (Whelan et al., 2006). Thus, health professionals can make home visits to provide additional support services and enable mobility to function.

A surprisingly large number of participants (75.34%) was independent in d530 (toileting), which involved planning and carrying out and disposing of human waste (urine and feces), as well as cleaning oneself afterwards (WHO, 2001). Respect for seniority has been an integral part of Thai culture. Toileting with no privacy can cause great discomfort and embarrassment as Thai elderly people are sensitive emotionally (Israsena Na Ayudhya et al., 2007). Thus, these values encouraged participants to use assistive technology such as raised toilet seats, grab bars and anti-slip rubber mats to help them be independent. However, this activity needed assistance from caregivers, when the participants had chronic health conditions and physical disabilities.

Almost half of the participants had limitations in d9 (community, social and civic life). Regarding limitations in d910 (community life), d920 (recreation and leisure) and d930 (religion and spirituality), the actions and tasks required are associated with engagement in organized social life outside the family, in the community and in social and civic areas of life (WHO, 2001). Several reasons for these limitations are associated with personal values and interests as well as individual contexts. However, these tasks are related to higher quality of life by feeling part of the community and leading a more active and healthier existence (Iwasaki and Mannell, 2000). Although there is a gradual decline in the health and functioning of elderly people, socially active community life is important in helping them cope with stress or overall mental health by creating a sense of balance.

Approximately 93.6% of the Thai population are Buddhist (NSO, 2009), as were all of the participants in this study. Thus, beliefs, values and traditions in Thai society come from Buddhism. The Thai Buddhist society is generated as “space” for the elderly people within the belief system. Most Thai elderly people play leading roles in religious practices, which are accepted well within the community (Sasiwongsaroj et al., 2012). Engaging in religious or spiritual activities involves attending a temple and offering food to monks on a Buddhist holy day/during Buddhist lent/religious day. However, d930 (religion and spirituality) was limited in about half of the participants in this study. Limitations in the performance of religious activities were caused by related tasks such as transportation, preparing meals and mobility. Nevertheless, religious space should overcome these limitations and support the ageing population in enhancing their well-being.

**Conclusion**

The 30 ICF activities and participation categories can be used for assessing and structuring ADL performance in functioning and disability. This study focused on the importance of ageing in place, in which elderly people are living at home. Almost all home-bound elderly people can perform activities independently in the community context in Thailand, where categories of d3 (communication), for example, d310 (receiving-spoken messages), d330 (speaking), d335 (producing nonverbal messages) and d350 (conversation) and those of d5 (self-care); d550 (eating) and d560 (drinking), apply. Unfortunately, the most frequently reported limitations comprised d470 (using transportation), d570 (looking after one’s health), d650 (caring for household objects), d640 (doing housework), d630 (preparing meals) and d475 (driving). Dysfunctions of ADL performance in ageing are considered to be activity limitations within the ICF, Disability and Health framework. Practical feasibility of the ICF Core Set could become a helpful tool in developing potential solutions for primary healthcare professionals to promote healthy ageing and support “ageing in place”. The further analysis, cross-tabulation of the socio-demo characteristics, i.e., disability with the ADL of home-bound elderly people could be provided to better understand the ADL problems.
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