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Abstract Complexing agents are extensively applied in many fields of industry. They are used to provide effective controlling trace metal ions in cleaning industries, textile, pulp and paper production, water treatment, agriculture, food industries, etc. Recently, the low biodegradability of these ligands and their accumulation in the environment has become a cause for concern. Therefore, replacement of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid and diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid by more environmentally friendly chelating agents is highly desirable. So far, these acids and their salts have been applied as components of household chemistry, cosmetics, modern microelement fertilizers and agrochemicals. This paper reviews the sorption of heavy metal ions such as Cu(II), Zn(II), Cd(II) and Pb(II) in the presence of the above-mentioned complexing agents on commercially available anion exchangers of different matrix. The obtained sorption results were fitted using the Langmuir and Freundlich sorption isotherm models. The kinetic data were also analysed using the Lagergren, Ho and McKay sorption kinetic equations. The studies were carried out considering the effects of such important parameters as phase contact time, initial concentration, pH and temperature.
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Introduction

Aminopolycarboxylates (APCA) have been used since the end of the 1940s as effective complexing agents in various branches of industry. For example EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid), NTA (nitrilotriacetic acid) and DTPA (diethylene-triaminepentaacetic acid), among others, can be used in industrial cleaning, household detergents and cosmetics, in pulp and paper, nuclear, photographic, pharmaceuticals, textile, leather and rubber industries.

Forming stable complexes with metal ions, they can mobilize contaminating metal ions adsorbed in sediments, solubilize radioactive metal ions and increase their environmental mobility, contribute to water eutrophication as they contain nitrogen that could be available to aquatic microbiota and redissolve calcium and iron phosphates, releasing phosphorous and as ligand–metal complexes may significantly increase the bioavailability of extremely dangerous heavy metals, for example the Cu(II)-EDTA and Cd(II)-EDTA complexes are more toxic than the respective free metals. Another important argument for abandoning traditional complexones is the lack of their biodegradability. It has been reported that the biodegradability of aminopolycarboxylic group complexing agents depends on the character as well as the number of substituents and nitrogen atoms in the molecule. Thus tetra-(EDTA) or penta-(DTPA) substituted derivatives with two or more tertiary nitrogen atoms and carboxymethyl groups are highly stable and are reported to be photodegradable only as their Fe(III) complexes.

However, new complexing agents introduced at the end of the twentieth century are an important alternative for the sparingly biodegradable chelates used so far mainly in such fields as detergents, modern liquid microelement fertilizers and agrochemicals. In this group, the following complexing agents should be mentioned: IDS (N-(1,2-dicarboxyethyl)-D, L-aspartic acid (iminodisuccinic acid), DS (polyaspartic acid),
EDDS (N,N’-ethylenediaminedisuccinic acid), GLDA (N,N-bis(carboxymethyl)-L-glutamic acid) and MGDA (methylglycinediaceatic acid). All of these are readily biodegradable, although in the case of IDS or EDDS, the biodegradability depends significantly on the isomeric form of the compound (Knepper 2003; Nowack 2007).

In 1997/1998, *iminodisuccinic acid* (IDS) was introduced by Bayer AG (now Lanxess) as Baypure CX 100 (Brochure of Baypure CX 100; Kolodyńska 2011). Its production is based on the reaction of maleic anhydride with ammonia and sodium hydroxide. The isomeric mixture of IDS consists of 25 % [S,S], 25 % [R,R] and 50 % [R,S] forms (Cokesa et al. 2004a; Vasilev et al. 1996, 1998). After 7 days, it was found that 80 % of IDS underwent biodegradation. IDS is also characterized by excellent calcium binding properties, stability over a wide pH range, good complexation of heavy metal ions and low environmental impact due to low toxicity and good biodegradability (Cokesa et al. 2004a, b).

In 2005, the Polish company ADOB, in cooperation with Bayer AG, developed a process to produce readily biodegradable chelates (IDHA-brand) that are applied as foliar sprays in agriculture and horticulture, in soil applications as well as for hydroponics and fertigation (Brochure of ADOB 2012).

*EDDS* (N,N'-ethylenediaminedisuccinic acid) is a structural isomer of EDTA (Schowanek et al. 1997; Kolodyńska 2011). EDDS exists in the form of four isomers: S,S- (25 %), R,R- (25 %) and S,R- (50 %). The S,S-isomer of EDDS produced by some bacteria and fungi (Nishikiori et al. 1984; Takahashi et al. 1999) is easily biodegradable, in contrast to the R,R- and S,R-isomers (Takahashi et al. 1997; Luo et al. 2011). Biodegradation of EDDS complexes strongly depends on the type of metal and is not related to the stability constant of the chelate complex (Vandevivere et al. 2001a, b).

From the late 1990s, many investigations have examined the suitability of EDDS as a substitute for EDTA for many purposes, not only in laundry detergents where it is used at a low level (<1 %) but also in cosmetics, pulp and paper, photographic industries as well as in purification of contaminated soils and phytoremediation (Jones and Williams 2002; Brochure of Enviomet™ 2009; Wu et al. 2004).

*GLDA* (tetrasodium of N,N'-bis(carboxymethyl) glutamic acid) also known as Dissolvine GL-38 was introduced on the commercial scale by AkzoNobel Functional Chemicals (Kolodyńska 2011). Its production is based on the flavour enhancer monosodium glutamate (MSG) from fermentation of readily available corn sugars (Seetz 2007; Seetz and Stanitzek 2008). GLDA is characterized by good solubility over a wide range of pH. Over 60 % of the L-GLDA degrades within 28 days. It should be mentioned that Dissolvine GL-38 consists only of the L-form because the D-form is not biodegradable. Due to its thermal stability, GLDA is used in boilers water treatment systems to reduce the effect of hard water (Brochure of Dissolvine GL-38, 2007). Siegert (2008) found that the new generation complexing agents such as GLDA, IDS or EDDS can boost the effect of preservatives such as phenoxethanol/ethylhexylglycerin (Euxyl PE 9010). GLDA has also further potential uses in the production of micronutrient fertilizers (Borowiec and Hoffmann 2005; Borowiec et al. 2007). The structural formulae of the above complexing agents as well as some traditional ones are presented in Fig. 1.

The great progress observed in the field of complexing agents (Fig. 2) is the result, among others, of legislative changes. In September 2002, the European Commission adopted a regulation proposal for detergents and their ingredients such as EDTA, non-biodegradable surfactants, alklyphenolethoxylates (APEO) or chlorine-based bleach. Therefore, the following proposals for substitution were made: polycrylate should be replaced by polyaspartates (such as Baypure DS 100), citrate by iminodisuccinate (Baypure CX 100) and phosphonate by iminodisuccinate (Baypure CX 100; Environmental Risk Assessment of Complexing Agents 2001).

To remove heavy metal ions, many physical and chemical methods including such common ones as chemical precipitation, coagulation, filtration, ion exchange, membrane processes and adsorption can be applied. Adsorption methods based on complexing agents together with ion exchangers give new prospects for removal of heavy metal ions.

To understand the metal ion removal, it is important to know the metal ion–ligand interactions. The complexation can be regarded as the equilibrium reaction between the ligand and the metal ions:

\[
M^{m+} + L^{n-} \rightleftharpoons ML^{(m-n)}
\]

where \(M\) is the metal ion \((e^-\) pair acceptor\), \(m\) is the charge of \(M\), \(L\) is the ligand \((e^-\) pair donor\) and \(n\) is the charge of ligand.

According to the principle of mass action, the activities of \(M\), \(L\) and \(ML\) are as follows:

\[
K_{ML} = \frac{[ML]^{(m-n)}}{[M]^{m+}[L]^{n-}}
\]

where \(K_{ML}\) is the equilibrium constant (also denoted as the stability constant). In the case when \(pH\) should be taken into account, the conditional stability constant \(K_{\text{cond}}\) can be defined as:

\[
\log K_{\text{cond}}(pH) = \log K - \log \alpha_{HL} - \log \alpha_M
\]

where \(K_{\text{cond}}\) is the conditional stability constant, \(K\) is the stability constant (equals \(K_{ML}\)), \(\alpha_{HL}\) is the coefficient of ligand protonation and \(\alpha_M\) is the coefficient of side reactions competing with the ligand for the metal ions (formation of metal hydroxides, effects of buffers and forming of MLH or MLOH species).
It should be remarked that the amount of free $L^{n-}$ increases with the increasing pH value. The single steps of protonation are described by the equilibrium constants $K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_n$ and $\alpha_{HL}$ can be defined as:

$$\alpha_{HL} = 1 + [H^+] K_1 + [H^+]^2 K_1 K_2 + \ldots + [H^+]^n K_n!$$

whereas $\alpha_M$ can be expressed as:

$$\alpha_M = 1 + s_1 \text{[OH$^-$]} K_1 + s_1 \text{[OH$^-$]}^2 K_1 K_2 + \ldots + s_n \text{[OH$^-$]}^n K_n!$$

where $s$ is the factor which determines if species $n$ exists ($s=1$) or not ($s=0$) and $K_1$ and $K_2$ are the equilibrium constants for insoluble metal hydroxides formation.

The conditional stability constant gives a relationship between the concentrations of the solvated complex formed (ML), the concentration of the unreacted metal ($M$) and the concentration of the unreacted cleaning agent ($L$). Figure 3 shows the comparison of the conditional stability constant values of some complexes of metals with EDTA and EDDS, IDS and GLDA. It was also found that these constants pass for all metal complexes through a maximum as a function of the pH value (Treichel et al. 2011).

Only a few examples of the application of ion exchange for removal of heavy metal ions and/or chelating ligands and anion exchangers have been published. One of the first papers by Nelson et al. (1960) dealt with the separation of alkaline earth and Mn(II), Co(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) ions in the presence of EDTA on the anion exchanger Dowex 1×4 in the EDTA form. For some years, complexones were also used for the determination of, among others, Cu(II), Zn(II), Cd(II), Ni(II) and Co(II) in solution and metallurgical
wastes as well as in studies of complexation reactions and determination of stability constants of complexones (Hering and Morel 1990).

The pioneer papers by Dyczyński dealt with the application of EDTA and DCTA to the separation of microquantities of rare earth elements (Dybczyński 1964; Wódkiewicz and Dybczyński 1968). Non-monotonic affinity series were used by Hubicka and Hubicki (1992) for separation of chosen pairs of rare earth element complexes with NTA, HEDTA and IMDA in the macro-microcomponent system. The studies of the application of such complexing agents as EDTA, NTA and citric acid in heavy metal ions removal were begun by Bolto, Dudzińska, Clifford or Juang (Dudzinska and Clifford 1991/1992; Juang and Shiau 1998). The authors showed the superiority of the polycrylic anion exchangers over polystyrene ones. Moreover, the works of Juang and co-workers prove that Cu(II) removal is possible in the presence of EDTA and formaldehyde (Juang et al. 2005). Additionally, in a paper (Juang et al. 2003) on the removal of Co(II), Ni(II), Mn(II) and Sr(II) ions from the solutions containing EDTA, NTA and citric acid, it was shown that the process is affected not only by pH solutions but also by the kind of complexing agent and the molar complexing agent–metal ion ratio. Therefore, the polycrylic anion exchangers were also used for the removal of Cu(II) with IDA, NTA and EDTA (Hubicki and Jakowicz 2003; Juang et al. 2006).

In this paper, the optimization of the removal of Cu(II), Zn(II), Cd(II) and Pb(II) from waters and waste waters using the ion exchange method and the possibility of applying a new generation of biodegradable complexing agents is presented. For this study, the complexing agents IDS, EDDS and GLDA were selected. As anion exchangers, Lewatit MonoPlus M 800 and Ionac SR7 were chosen. It should also be mentioned that these compounds are not well known and the existing literature on the subject is not systematic and is usually connected with specific needs. Therefore such investigations are of considerable importance.

**Experimental**

**Materials and anion exchangers**

In the investigations, polystyrene anion exchangers produced by Lanxess (Lewatit MonoPlus M 800) and Sybron Chemical Inc. (Ionac SR7) were used. The resins were selected by their good sorption properties. In the case of Ionac SR7, its high selectivity toward nitrate ions (three times higher the selectivity for nitrates of any commercially available anion exchangers) was taken into account. Prior to the use, the resin was washed with 1 M NaOH and 1 M HCl as well as with distilled water several time to remove organic and inorganic impurities from its synthesis and finally air dried. The physicochemical properties and short specification of these anion exchangers are presented in Table 1.
The solutions of Cu(II), Zn(II), Cd(II) and Pb(II) complexes with IDS, EDDS and GLDA were prepared by mixing the appropriate metal salts with Baypure CX100 (Lanxess), Enviomet C140 (Innospec) and Dissolvine GL-38 (Akzo Nobel) solutions. The pH values of the obtained solutions were as follows: for IDS complexes — Cu(II) 6.7, Zn 6.5, Cd 6.9 and Pb(II) 7.5; for EDDS complexes — Cu(II) 4.2, Zn(II) 4.5, Cd(II) 5.1 and Pb(II) 4.7; and for GLDA complexes — Cu(II) 8.7, Zn(II) 9.0, Cd(II) 9.3, and Pb(II) 9.3.

Sorption kinetics studies

Sorption was carried out by the static method. The effects of some important parameters such as phase contact time, initial concentration, pH and temperature on these systems were studied. Ion exchanger (0.2 g) and 20 ml of a suitable solution containing Cu(II), Zn(II), Cd(II) and Pb(II) complexes with IDS, EDDS and GLDA and also the same systems with the addition of accompanying ions, i.e. Cl$^-$, NO$_3^-$ and SO$_4^{2-}$, were put into 100 ml conical flasks tightly closed with a silicone stopper. Then they were placed in a mechanical shaker for a definite time with a constant shaking rate and vibration amplitude. After a fixed time (1, 3, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 60 and 120 min), heavy metal ions were determined by the atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS). All experiments were made in triplicate and the results were taken as the average value.

The amounts of metal ions adsorbed at time $t$ ($q_t$, mg g$^{-1}$) were calculated from the mass balance equation:

$$q_t = (c_0 - c_t)V/m$$

where $c_0$ is the initial concentration of metal ion, mg L$^{-1}$; $c_t$ is the concentration of metal ion at time $t$, mg dm$^{-3}$; $V$ is the volume of the solution, L$^{-3}$; $m$ is the mass of hybrid ion exchanger, g.

Sorption isotherms

The adsorption isotherms of the above-mentioned metal complexes for the anion exchangers Lewatit MonoPlus M 800 and Ionac SR 7 were determined by shaking 0.2 g of ion exchanger and 20 ml of the suitable solution containing Cu(II), Zn(II), Cd(II) and Pb(II) complexes with IDS, EDDS and GLDA in 100 ml flasks. The process took place at the concentration rate of $1 \times 10^{-3}$ – $2.5 \times 10^{-2}$ M and a constant pH value 6.5 for IDS complexes, 6.0 for EDDS complexes and 9.0 for GLDA complexes for 24 h. After shaking, the content was filtered off and metal ions were determined in the raffinate as in the case of sorption kinetics studies.

### Table 1: Physicochemical characteristics of anion exchangers used in the investigations

| Anion exchanger       | Lewatit MonoPlus M 800 | Ionac SR 7 |
|-----------------------|------------------------|------------|
| Matrix                | PS-DVB, microporous    | PS-DVB, macroporous |
| Active groups         | $\text{N}^+(\text{CH}_3)_3$ | $\text{N}^+(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3)_3$ |
| Delivery form         | Cl$^-$                 | Cl$^-$     |
| Appearance            | yellow, translucent    | yellow, opaque |
| Total capacity, eq L$^{-1}$ | 1.4                  | 0.8        |
| Bead size, mm         | 0.59 (±0.05)           | 0.3–1.25   |
| Max. temperature, K   | 343                    | 313        |
| pH range              | 0–12                   | 0–14       |
| BET surface, m$^2$g$^{-1}$ | 2.68                  | 2.52       |
| Average pore diameter, nm | 5.82                  | 4.94       |

![Fig. 3](image.png) Comparison of conditional stability constant values of some complexes of metals with EDTA and a EDDS, b IDS and c GLDA.
The equilibrium data have been analysed using the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms and the characteristic parameters for each isotherm have been determined. The linear form of the Langmuir model (Langmuir 1916) can be expressed as:

\[
\frac{c_e}{q_e} = \frac{c_e}{q_0} + \frac{1}{K_L q_0}
\]

where \(c_e\) is the equilibrium concentration of metal ions (mgL\(^{-1}\)), \(q_e\) is the amount of the metal ions adsorbed on the hybrid sorbent (mgg\(^{-1}\)), \(q_0\) and \(K_L\) are the Langmuir constants related to the adsorption capacity (mgg\(^{-1}\)) and the equilibrium constant (Lg\(^{-1}\)), respectively.

The adsorption equilibrium data was also applied to the Freundlich model (Freundlich 1906) given below:

\[
\log q_e = \log K_F + \frac{1}{n} \log c_e
\]

where \(K_F\) and \(n\) are the Freundlich constants related to the adsorption capacity and the adsorption intensity, respectively.

The pseudo first order model (PFO) was expressed as (Blanchard et al. 1984):

\[
\log (q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - (k_1/2.303)t
\]

where \(q_e\) is the amount of metal ions adsorbed on the hybrid sorbents at time \(t\) and \(k_1\) is the rate constant (min\(^{-1}\)). The rate constant, \(k_1\) was obtained from the slope of linear plots of \(\log(q_e - q_t)\) against \(t\).

The adsorption data was also analysed in terms of the pseudo second order mechanism (PSO) as described by Ho and McKay (1998):

\[
tq = \frac{1}{h} + \frac{1}{q_e}t
\]

and the initial rate of adsorption \(h\) is:

\[
h = k_2 q_e^2
\]

where \(k_2\) is the rate constant of PS-order adsorption (gmgmin\(^{-1}\)) and \(h\) is the initial rate of adsorption (mggmin\(^{-1}\)).

![Fig. 4 Effect of the phase contact time on the adsorption of Cu(II) and Cd(II) complexes with IDS, EDDS and GLDA adsorption at different initial concentrations on Lewatit MonoPlus M 800 and Ionac SR7](image-url)
The constants \( q_e, h \) and \( k_2 \) can be determined from the plots of \( t/q_t \) against \( t \).

The laboratory shaker Elpin type 357 (Elpin-Plus, Poland) was used for shaking. The pH values were measured with a PHM 84 pH meter (Radiometer, Denmark) with the glass REF 451 and calomel pHG 201-8 electrodes. The accuracy of the pH meter is ±0.01 pH units. The concentrations of heavy metals were measured with the AAS spectrometer SpectrAA 240FZ (Varian, Australia).

### Results

The sorption capacity of heavy metals is influenced by many factors, including the properties of metal ions such as their radius and valence, their concentration in aqueous solution, experimental conditions (pH, temperature, phase contact time, interfering ions presence and initial concentration of metal and complexing agent).

One of the most important parameters is pH. As follows from the distribution diagrams of IDS, EDDS and GLDA known from the literature data (Kołodyńska 2009, 2010; Crouch et al. 2001), the existing species can be denoted as \( H_4L, H_3L^−, H_2L^2−, HL^3− \) and \( L^4− \). At very low pH (acidic medium), the protonated forms (\( H_4L \)) are predominant. In contrast, at high pH (basic medium), fully deprotonated forms (\( L^4− \)) predominate. As the pH values change from low to high, other forms predominate in certain pH values.

Therefore, in Fig. 4, the adsorption and kinetic characteristics of the monodisperse polystyrene strongly basic anion exchanger Lewatit MonoPlus M 800 and Lewatit SR-7 towards Cu(II) and Cd(II) complexes with IDS, EDDS and GLDA are presented. For all concentrations, the adsorption of Cu(II) complexes with IDS, EDDS and GLDA on Lewatit MonoPlus M 800 and Ionac SR7 was a very fast process, reaching the maximum adsorption capacity after about 10–20 min for the anion exchanger with the trimethylene functional groups and after about 30–40 min for the anion exchanger with the tripropylene functional groups. In the same way, the adsorption of Cd(II) complexes was fast, reaching about 98 % of saturation in 30–40 min. Fast kinetics is desirable in the waste

### Table 2 Pseudo first order and pseudo second order parameters for the sorption of Cu(II) complexes with IDS, EDDS and GLDA on Lewatit MonoPlus M 800 and Ionac SR7

| System                  | PFO model | PSO model |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|                         | \( q_1, \) mg g\(^{-1} \) | \( k_1, \) min\(^{-1} \) | \( R^2 \) | \( q_2, \) mg g\(^{-1} \) | \( k_2, \) g mg min\(^{-1} \) | \( h, \) mg(gmin)\(^{-1} \) | \( R^2 \) |
| Lewatit MonoPlus M 800  |           |           |         |                       |           |           |         |
| Cu(II)-IDS=1:1          | 2.78      | 0.225     | 0.9210  | 2.78                  | 0.225     | 0.9210    | 2.78    |
| Cu(II)-EDDS=1:1         | 3.31      | 0.165     | 0.9478  | 3.31                  | 0.165     | 0.9478    | 3.31    |
| Cu(II)-GLDA=1:1         | 1.85      | 0.122     | 0.7945  | 1.85                  | 0.122     | 0.7945    | 1.85    |
| Ionac SR7               |           |           |         |                       |           |           |         |
| Cu(II)-IDS=1:1          | 3.55      | 0.192     | 0.9481  | 3.55                  | 0.192     | 0.9481    | 3.55    |
| Cu(II)-EDDS=1:1         | 2.72      | 0.041     | 0.7689  | 2.72                  | 0.041     | 0.7689    | 2.72    |
| Cu(II)-GLDA=1:1         | 1.115     | 0.069     | 0.8692  | 1.115                 | 0.069     | 0.8692    | 1.115   |

### Table 3 Pseudo first order and pseudo second order parameters for the sorption of Cd(II) complexes with IDS, EDDS and GLDA on Lewatit MonoPlus M 800 and Ionac SR 7

| System                  | PFO model | PSO model |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|                         | \( q_1, \) mg g\(^{-1} \) | \( k_1, \) min\(^{-1} \) | \( R^2 \) | \( q_2, \) mg g\(^{-1} \) | \( k_2, \) g mg min\(^{-1} \) | \( h, \) mg(gmin)\(^{-1} \) | \( R^2 \) |
| Lewatit MonoPlus M 800  |           |           |         |                       |           |           |         |
| Cd(II)-IDS=1:1          | 2.76      | 0.109     | 0.9730  | 2.76                  | 0.109     | 0.9730    | 2.76    |
| Cd(II)-EDDS=1:1         | 4.23      | 0.131     | 0.9747  | 4.23                  | 0.131     | 0.9747    | 4.23    |
| Cd(II)-GLDA=1:1         | 2.703     | 0.096     | 0.9899  | 2.703                 | 0.096     | 0.9899    | 2.703   |
| Ionac SR7               |           |           |         |                       |           |           |         |
| Cd(II)-IDS=1:1          | 1.75      | 0.082     | 0.9850  | 1.75                  | 0.082     | 0.9850    | 1.75    |
| Cd(II)-EDDS=1:1         | 1.65      | 0.095     | 0.9355  | 1.65                  | 0.095     | 0.9355    | 1.65    |
| Cd(II)-GLDA=1:1         | 1.33      | 0.183     | 0.9974  | 1.33                  | 0.183     | 0.9974    | 1.33    |
waters treatment because it provides high adsorption capacities in short time.

In addition, the variation in the amount of the Cu(II) and Cd(II) complexes removed by these two anion exchangers could be related to the nature and concentration of the functional groups (active sites) responsible for interaction with the copper complexes. It is evident that for Lewatit MonoPlus M 800 the effectiveness of the anion exchanger process is greater than for Ionac SR7. For the initial concentration of $3 \times 10^{-3} \text{M}$ it was found that the amount of the sorbed Cu(II)-IDS, Cu(II)-EDDS and Cu(II)-GLDA complexes in the M(II)-L=1:1 system for Lewatit MonoPlus M 800 was equal to 28.09, 21.47 and 27.81 mg g$^{-1}$ whereas for Ionac SR7 to 21.95, 18.42 and 22.97 mg g$^{-1}$, respectively. It was also found that the sorption process depends on the type of the complexes formed. In the case of EDDS the effectiveness is the lowest, probably due to the formation of large species which can be partially excluded from the resin phase (sieve effect). The obtained results are in agreement with those obtained for the other anion exchangers such as Lewatit MonoPlus M 500 and Lewatit MonoPlus M 600 (Kolodyńska et al. 2009). Moreover, the important parameter is also the monodispersity of the used anion exchangers. In the preparation process, the precise control and optimization of the reaction parameters can result in highly crosslinked particles with a narrow or even monodisperse size distribution. Therefore the monodispersive anion exchangers of this type are characterized by much better physicochemical properties compared to the traditional heterodispersive anion exchangers. It is worth noting that the main aim in production of monodisperse ion exchangers was to improve kinetic parameters and already in the production stage the grain size from 0.6 mm and the heterogeneity coefficients in the range 1.1–1.2 are obtained. Additionally, due to uniform column packing the monodisperse ion exchangers are characterized by over 12% large ion exchange capacities, quicker exchange of kinetics and much higher mechanical resistance, which is essential from the economical point of view.

In the studies two kinetic models are employed to fit the experimental kinetic data of adsorption of Cu(II) and Cd(II) complexes with IDS, EDDS and GLDA on these anion exchangers, i.e. the pseudo first order (PFO) and the pseudo second order (PSO). The model of higher values of $R^2$ indicates that it describes the adsorption kinetics more successfully. From the kinetic model analysis using the determination coefficient, the PSO model was the best in describing the transport of the Cu(II) and Cd(II) complexes from the bulk solution onto the surface of the anion exchangers (Tables 2 and 3).

The adsorption equilibrium of species can be correlated using several adsorption isotherms. The most popular is the Langmuir adsorption model. It assumes that all adsorption

![Fig. 5 Adsorption isotherms of the Cu(II)-IDS complexes on Lewatit MonoPlus M 800 and Ionac SR7](image)

![Fig. 6 Adsorption isotherms of the Zn(II)-EDDS complexes on Lewatit MonoPlus M 800 and Ionac SR7](image)
sites are equivalent, there are no interactions between the adsorbed molecules and the adjacent sites and adsorption is of the monolayer type. By comparison, the Freundlich equation is valid for heterogeneous sorption on the surface containing several sites.

The equilibrium adsorption isotherms for Cu(II), Zn(II), Cd(II) and Pb(II) complexes with IDS, EDDS and GLDA were measured at room temperature (295 K) and a controlled solution pH value 6.5 for IDS complexes, 6.0 for EDDS complexes and 9.0 for GLDA complexes. Under these conditions, based on the spatial diagrams of IDS, EDDS and GLDA, sorption of [ML]$_2^-$ or [MHL]$^-$ type complexes (where: L=ids$_4^-$, edds$_4^-$ and glda$_4^-$) should proceed. It can be presented using the following equations:

\[
2RCl/C_0 + ML/C_1^2 /C_0 ! R/C_1^2 ML/C_1^2 /C_0 + 2Cl/C_0
\]

\[
2RCl/C_0 + MHL/C_0 ! R/C_0 MHL/C_0 + Cl/C_0
\]

where R is the anion exchange matrix with the functional group.

Table 4 Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm constants for adsorption of Cu(II), Zn(II), Cd(II) and Pb(II) in the presence of the complexing agents IDS, EDDS and GLDA on Lewatit MonoPlus M 800 and Ionac SR7

|                      | Lewatit MonoPlus M 800 | Ionac SR7 |
|----------------------|------------------------|-----------|
|                      | Cu(II) | Zn(II) | Cd(II) | Pb(II) | Cu(II) | Zn(II) | Cd(II) | Pb(II) |
| q$_{e,exp}$          |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Langmuir             |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| q$_0$                | 38.91  | 41.76  | 111.11 | 112.79 | 12.04  | 14.15  | 86.16  | 85.47  |
| K$_L$                | 0.008  | 0.026  | 0.012  | 0.016  | 0.016  | 0.010  | 0.003  | 0.014  |
| R$_L$                | 0.071  | 0.038  | 0.029  | 0.036  | 0.037  | 0.089  | 0.114  | 0.138  |
| R$^2$                | 0.9976 | 0.9999 | 0.9949 | 0.9987 | 0.9992 | 0.9984 | 0.9814 | 0.9981 |
| Freundlich           |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| K$_F$                | 1.92   | 3.79   | 8.80   | 8.42   | 2.31   | 3.03   | 2.12   | 1.73   |
| n                    | 2.29   | 2.46   | 2.79   | 2.38   | 4.14   | 4.58   | 2.01   | 3.08   |
| R$^2$                | 0.8875 | 0.8656 | 0.7219 | 0.8871 | 0.9399 | 0.8983 | 0.9762 | 0.9546 |
|                      |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| M(II)-EDDS=1:1       |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| q$_{e,exp}$          | 36.63  | 54.90  | 78.53  | 83.45  | 12.80  | 14.99  | 46.71  | 51.22  |
| Langmuir             |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| q$_0$                | 41.67  | 64.52  | 81.30  | 82.57  | 12.74  | 16.18  | 47.17  | 49.88  |
| K$_L$                | 0.005  | 0.010  | 0.013  | 0.011  | 0.034  | 0.015  | 0.003  | 0.012  |
| R$_L$                | 0.122  | 0.092  | 0.026  | 0.086  | 0.018  | 0.062  | 0.120  | 0.036  |
| R$^2$                | 0.9906 | 0.9170 | 0.9967 | 0.9987 | 0.9993 | 0.9991 | 0.9916 | 0.9964 |
| Freundlich           |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| K$_F$                | 1.04   | 4.26   | 8.26   | 7.81   | 5.09   | 1.46   | 3.32   | 3.14   |
| N                    | 1.88   | 2.48   | 3.11   | 2.22   | 7.58   | 2.65   | 3.13   | 4.12   |
| R$^2$                | 0.9175 | 0.9825 | 0.6343 | 0.7893 | 0.9358 | 0.8575 | 0.9593 | 0.9637 |
|                      |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| M(II)-GLDA=1:1       |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| q$_{e,exp}$          | 43.89  | 42.45  | 101.42 | 105.73 | 23.90  | 25.82  | 76.54  | 78.79  |
| Langmuir             |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| q$_0$                | 43.05  | 45.25  | 101.01 | 102.48 | 24.21  | 26.39  | 79.37  | 78.56  |
| K$_L$                | 0.041  | 0.028  | 0.013  | 0.036  | 0.538  | 0.069  | 0.006  | 0.019  |
| R$_L$                | 0.016  | 0.035  | 0.026  | 0.028  | 0.001  | 0.015  | 0.056  | 0.047  |
| R$^2$                | 0.9998 | 0.9903 | 0.9869 | 0.9978 | 0.9999 | 0.9991 | 0.9918 | 0.9999 |
| Freundlich           |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| K$_F$                | 6.37   | 5.09   | 16.44  | 15.97  | 5.21   | 5.31   | 4.72   | 3.68   |
| N                    | 3.27   | 2.69   | 4.16   | 3.33   | 4.21   | 3.58   | 2.62   | 2.88   |
| R$^2$                | 0.8919 | 0.6992 | 0.7082 | 0.8554 | 0.7656 | 0.6375 | 0.9565 | 0.9647 |

The isotherms for the Cu(II)-IDS and Zn(II)-EDDS complexes on Lewatit MonoPlus M 800 and Ionac SR7 are presented in Figs. 5 and 6. The calculated Langmuir and Freundlich parameters are collected in Table 4.

As follows from the obtained results, the Langmuir equation fits better the experimental data than the Freundlich equation. The values of the separation factor, R$_L$, indicating the nature of the adsorption process are also presented in Table 4. They can be classified by R$_L$>1 unfavourable, R$_L$=1 linear; 0<R$_L$<1 favourable; R$_L$=0 irreversible. In the present study, these values are lower than 1, which indicates that the sorption process is favourable for all systems. Moreover, based on the obtained maximum sorption capacities for heavy metal complexes with IDS, EDDS and GLDA, the following affinity series can be arranged for Lewatit MonoPlus M 800 and for Ionac SR 7: Pb(II)>Cd(II)>Zn(II)>Cu(II).

It was also found that the sorption of Cu(II) complexes with IDS, EDDS and GLDA is strongly affected by the accompanying ions presence. In the case of the system without Cl$^-$, NO$_3^-$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ ions, Lewatit MonoPlus M...
800 and Ionac SR7 are characterized by high affinity for anionic complexes of [ML]^{2-} or [MHL]^{+} types. At the initial concentration of 1×10^{-3} M, almost 100 % sorption of these complexes was observed (the data are presented as Supplementary Material). However, in the presence of the above-mentioned ions (concentration 100 mgL^{-1}), it was found that SO_4^{2-} ions noticeably decrease the sorption capacity (by about 14 % for Lewatit MonoPlus M 800 and 22 % for Ionac SR7). It is in agreement with the fact that strongly and moderately basic anion exchangers exhibit great affinity for sulfate(VI) and nitrate(V) ions (according to the series SO_4^{2-}\rightarrow NO_3^{-}).

Conclusions

Heavy metal complexes of Cu(II), Zn(II), Cd(II) and Pb(II) with IDS, EDDS and GLDA were removed from the aqueous solution using the commercially available strongly basic anion exchangers Lewatit MonoPlus M 800 and Ionac SR7. Comparing the types of the used anion exchangers, the strongly basic one of type 1 (Lewatit MonoPlus M 800) was found to be much better than the anion exchanger of type 3 (Ionac SR 7). The sorption capacity sequence was: Pb(II)\rightarrow Cd(II)\rightarrow Zn(II)\rightarrow Cu(II). The equilibrium data were analysed using the Langmuir and Freundlich sorption isotherm models and the first was found to be the most appropriate. The experimental data were well described by the pseudo second order mechanism. Increasing the contact time and the initial metal ions in the presence of IDS, EDDS and GLDA concentrations resulted in an increase in their sorption efficiency. The pH of the solutions has an obvious effect on the sorption of Cu(II), Zn(II), Cd(II) and Pb(II) complexes with used complexing agents. In the case of the anion exchange process, pH value should be maintained above 4.0 in order to enable the anionic complexes sorption.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.
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