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Abstract

We study the dynamics of a one-dimensional classical particle in a space and time dependent potential with randomly chosen parameters. The focus of this work is a quasi-periodic potential, which only includes a finite number of Fourier components. The momentum is calculated analytically for short time within a self-consistent approximation, under certain conditions.

We find that the dynamics can be described by a model of a random walk between the Chirikov resonances, which are resonances between the particle momentum and the Fourier components of the potential. We use numerical methods to test these results and to evaluate the important properties, such as the characteristic hopping time between the resonances. This work sheds light on the short time dynamics induced by potentials which are relevant for optics and atom optics.

I. INTRODUCTION

Random potentials have been studied broadly for over a 100 years [1]–[9]. In particular, random potentials that vary in both time and space were investigated extensively [9]–[11]. Despite the great interest that this type of potentials attract, only partial understanding of the effect of random potentials which fluctuate in both space and time currently exists. The understanding of quasi-periodic [11]–[12] potentials of this type is even less satisfactory.

In the simplified case in which the potential is time independent, Anderson localization [13]–[15] for waves is found [16]–[17]. In the more general scenario in which the random potentials also depend on time, the arguments for Anderson localization break and it is not generally clear what would be the type of dynamics in these systems.

The study of random potentials which modulate in space and time is greatly motivated by experimental setups. A common example for such potentials are optical setups. In optics experiments, the analogy to the Schrödinger equation is achieved by means of the paraxial approximation [18] and a slowly varying envelope approximation [19]. Under these approximations, fluctuations of the refractive index in the longitudinal direction can be described by random potentials which depend on time. It follows that a viable description of light propagation in fluctuating media requires understanding of the effect of random potentials which depend on both time and space. In particular, many optical experiments found that intriguing effects can be generated by quasi-periodic potentials [20]–[21]. Thus, understanding the effect of fluctuating potentials which are quasi-periodic in both space and time is an important goal.

In the present work we consider the classical dynamics in a quasi periodic potential of the form

\[ V(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{m=-N}^{N} A_m e^{-(k_m x - \omega_m t + \phi_m)} + c.c. \]

(1)

where \( \{A_m\}, \{k_m\} \) and \( \{\omega_m\} \) are real random numbers.

The phases \( \{\phi_m\} \) are independent random variables, uniformly distributed between 0 to 2\( \pi \).

This is the natural form of random potentials that are introduced in optics [6]–[10] and in atom optics [17]. In the \( N \to \infty \) limit, Eq.(1) can be considered as a random potential, while for finite \( N \), it is quasi-periodic. As \( N \) increases, the potential appears more random.

It is believed that for high momentum, classical treatment of such systems is appropriate for the description of some aspects of their wave dynamics. This is based on the correspondence principle. Following this approach, we will focus on classical particles.

The effect of Eq.(1) was recently studied in the large \( N \) limit [6]–[9]. It was obtained that the dynamics of this system over large time scales can be described by a Fokker-Planck equation with momentum dependent coefficients, yielding anomalous diffusion in phase space. However, the scenario in which \( N \) is not large in Eq.(1) was not studied. Thus, it is not well understood what is the type of dynamics in such a scenario.

In the present paper, on the other hand, we study the behavior of Eq.(1) over short time scales, in the small \( N \) limit, in which Eq.(1) is quasi-periodic.

As a result of the action of the quasi periodic potential (1), the phase space is mixed and in some parts the motion is chaotic, while in other parts it is regular [23]–[24].

We demonstrate that under certain assumptions, the trajectories of classical particles in this system are composed of segments in which momentum oscillates around constant values that are related to the Chirikov resonances, which are resonances between the momentum and the Fourier components of the potential [1] [25]. We calculate the rate of change of the position in these segments as self consistent approximation and establish that this type of dynamics can be described by a model of random walk between resonances in phase space. We then use numerical methods to solve the equations of motion, to evaluate important characteristics of this system, such as the hopping time between resonances, and to validate our results. Furthermore, we demonstrate numerically that our results are applicable to much stronger potentials then has been previously considered [6] [8] [26]–[27].
The outline of this paper is as follows. In Sec. [II] the model is defined, and the relevant regime is characterized. In Sec. [III], the model is analyzed analytically over short time scales, and these scales are estimated, while in Sec. [IV] it is explored for larger time scales. In Sec. [V], the validity of the approximate results of the previous sections is tested numerically. The results are then summarized in Sec. [VI], and their relevance to the general field is discussed.

II. THE MODEL

We investigate a specific model system and study the dynamics of a classical particle, described by the Hamiltonian

\[ H(x, t) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(x, t), \]

where \( V(x, t) \) is a one dimensional potential of the form [1]. For convenience, we write Eq. [1] in the form

\[ V(x, t) = \frac{2A}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \cos(k_m x - \omega_m t + \phi_m), \]

and take the mass in Eq. [2] to be unity.

Early work by Chirikov et. al. [4, 25, 28] studied the dynamics of Eq. [2] in the limit of extremely small amplitudes. It was predicted that the dynamics of this system is governed by the structure of the “Chirikov resonances” in phase space. These resonances, denoted as \( \{P_m^{\text{res}}\} \) are defined through the stationary phase condition,

\[ 0 = \frac{d}{dt}(k_m x - \omega_m t + \phi_m) = k_m P_m^{\text{res}} - \omega_m. \]

Equation (4) can be simplified to

\[ P_m^{\text{res}} = \frac{\omega_m}{k_m}. \]

The resulting equations of motion are

\[ \dot{p} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = \frac{2A}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} k_m \sin(k_m (x - P_m^{\text{res}} t) + \phi_m), \]

\[ \dot{x} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} = p. \]

Note that the transformation \( x(t) \rightarrow x(t) + P_m^{\text{res}} t \) is a Galilean transformation into the frame of the \( m^{th} \) term in Eq. (3). We order the resonances by writing

\[ P_m^{\text{res}} \ldots \leq P_m^{\text{res}} \leq P_m^{\text{res}} \leq \ldots \leq P_N^{\text{res}}. \]

The phase space distance between two adjacent resonances \( P_m^{\text{res}} \) and \( P_m^{\text{res}} \) is defined as \( \Delta_m = P_m^{\text{res}} - P_m^{\text{res}} \). The initial conditions are chosen such that the initial momentum is near a Chirikov resonance \( P_n^{\text{res}} \), and the position is such that the argument of the cosine with \( n = m \) in (3) is small in a way that is precisely defined before Eq. (24). We will demonstrate that these initial conditions lead to the result (26).

According to the Chirikov description, when the momentum of the particle approaches a resonance, it initiates an oscillatory motion around this resonance. We can obtain this result by assuming that the main contribution to the force comes from the resonant term and omitting the remaining Fourier components in Eq. (3). For an isolated resonance the system can be reduced to a mathematical pendulum by a simple Galilean transformation. The momentum is then found to be

\[ p(t) = P_n^{\text{res}} + p_{osc}(t). \]

where \( p_{osc}(t) \) is the oscillatory component. The width of the resonances, is determined from energy conservation as

\[ \Delta \approx \sqrt{\frac{4A}{\sqrt{N}} [\cos \pi - \cos 0]} = \sqrt{\frac{8A}{\sqrt{N}}}, \]

such that to first order in \( \Delta \), the momentum oscillates between \( P_n^{\text{res}} + \Delta \) and \( P_n^{\text{res}} - \Delta \). \( P_n^{\text{res}} \) therefore “traps” the momentum of the particle, in the sense that when \( p(t) \) approaches \( P_n^{\text{res}} \) at a time \( t \), it remains close to \( P_n^{\text{res}} \) at later times.

This description is only valid in the limit of small resonance’s widths,

\[ \Delta < \tilde{\Delta} \forall k. \]

In this case, the resonances of Eq. (3) are separated in phase space. Thus, when the momentum of a particle is close to a resonance \( P_n^{\text{res}} \), it is distant from the other resonances.

Assuming that the effect of the non-resonant terms is negligible as described by [4, 25, 28], the effect of \( P_n^{\text{res}} \) on the momentum can be expected to be similar to that of an isolated resonance. In the present work, however, this only holds for a limited time since the resonances considered here are not completely isolated. One should remember that in the studied system (\( N \) is finite and small) the potential \( V(x, t) \) oscillates with several frequencies, therefore the Chirikov resonance picture for our model differs from the one found in some earlier approximations [28].

In the present work, we study the dynamics generated by (2) for finite and small number of terms in (3) and for a weak overlap between the resonances. Concretely, we assume that \( \tilde{\Delta} \), the width of the Chirikov resonances, is smaller or of the order of their separation,

\[ \frac{\Delta}{\Delta_m} < 1, \]

where \( \langle \Delta_m \rangle \) is the characteristic phase space distance between adjacent resonances. In such a situation the
overlap between resonances is small and vanishes in the leading order of the theory [1][25], but not exactly. Thus, in the present work the resonances are not isolated, but overlap weakly.

III. SHORT TIME SCALES

We first focus on the dynamics at the time $0 < t < t_{\text{hop}}$, in which the momentum remains in the proximity of a resonance $P_n^{\text{res}}$. We will demonstrate that at this time interval, the trajectory of the particle is governed by $P_n^{\text{res}}$. The dynamics in the other time intervals is found to be similar.

We write $x(t)$ as a sum of linear and oscillating components

$$x(t) = p_{\text{stat}}t + \xi(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $\xi$ is the oscillating component of the trajectory.

Note that Eq. (12) does not uniquely define $p_{\text{stat}}$ and $\xi(t)$. We will impose conditions that ensure a well defined value of $p_{\text{stat}}$ in later parts of this section.

For the time being, we focus on the case in which $p_{\text{stat}}$ is extremely close to the $n$th resonance, namely

$$\varepsilon = \frac{|p_{\text{stat}} - P_n^{\text{res}}|}{\min \{\Delta_n, \Delta_{n-1}\}} \ll 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Note that $\varepsilon$ depends on the initial conditions and can in principal receive any value. Yet, we verify by numerical methods (see Sec. (V)) that the inequality (13) typically holds (see Fig. 4 a)) under the given assumptions.

Since the resonances are well separated, $P_n^{\text{res}}$ is isolated from the remaining resonance spectrum. This is consistent with the condition

$$\eta = \max \left\{\frac{\Delta_n}{\Delta_n}, \frac{\Delta_{n-1}}{\Delta_n}\right\} \ll 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

$\eta$ is the inverse of the minimum phase space separation between $P_n^{\text{res}}$ and the other resonances. The small parameters of this problem are $\varepsilon$ and $\eta$, where we assume $\varepsilon \approx \eta \ll 1$. We will calculate the dynamics to the leading order of these parameters.

We will focus on a small scale, where the short time scale condition is formulated as

$$\omega_n t \leq O\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

We denote the separation of $p_{\text{stat}}$ from the $n$th resonance by

$$\delta p_n = p_{\text{stat}} - P_n^{\text{res}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

It follows that

$$k_n \delta p_n t \leq O(\varepsilon).$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

The condition (17) follows directly from (13) and (15). To demonstrate this, we use (13) and the equality $P_n^{\text{res}} = \frac{\Delta_n}{k_n}$ to write (17) in the form

$$\frac{\Delta_n}{k_n} \omega_n t \leq O(\varepsilon).$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

Since the resonances are well separated (but not completely), we can in general expect that $\Delta_n / k_n \leq O(\eta)$ and (17) is therefore satisfied.

In this section we focus on short time scales, in which (17) holds, while in the following section we obtain a description for the dynamics over longer time scales. In particular, we will use the point in time which satisfies (17), as an estimate for the time in which momentum is no longer in the vicinity of the resonance $P_n^{\text{res}}$.

We continue to extract an expression for $p_{\text{stat}}$ from the equations of motion, Eq. (6). With the help of Eq. (12), Eq. (6) takes the form

$$\dot{\xi} = -\frac{2A}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_m \frac{1}{\delta p_m} \sin(k_m (\delta p_n t + \chi_m(t))) .$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

where $\chi_m(t) = \xi(t) + \phi_m$. We can write Eq. (19) in the form

$$\dot{\xi} = \frac{2A}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_m k_m \left\{\sin(k_m \delta p_n t) \cos(k_m \chi_m) + \cos(k_m \delta p_n t) \sin(k_m \chi_m)\right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

We then integrate Eq. (20) in parts to obtain

$$p_{\text{stat}} + \dot{\xi}(t) = \xi(0) + \frac{2A}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_m \frac{1}{\delta p_m} \left\{\cos(k_m \chi_m(0) + \phi_m) - \cos(k_m \delta p_n t) \cos(k_m \chi_m(t)) + \sin(k_m \delta p_n t) \sin(k_m \chi_m(t)) - k_m \int_0^t \cos(k_m \delta p_n t') \sin(k_m \chi_m(\chi_m(t) - k_m \delta p_n t') - k_m \int_0^t \sin(k_m \delta p_n t') \cos(k_m \chi_m(\chi_m(t) - k_m \delta p_n t')). \right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

This can be brought to the form

$$p_{\text{stat}} + \dot{\xi} = \xi(0) + \frac{2A}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_m \frac{1}{\delta p_m} \left\{\cos(k_m \chi_m) - k_m \int_0^t \sin(k_m (\chi_m + \delta p_n t')) \dot{\xi} dt' - \cos(k_m (\chi_m + \delta p_n t'))\right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

Equation (22) is exact for any general decomposition of the form (12). The contribution of the non-resonant terms, $m \neq n$, to the RHS Eq. (22) is of $O(\eta)$. This last statement can be made clear by using the condition $\varepsilon \ll 1$ to replace $\frac{2A}{\sqrt{N} \delta p_m}$ with $\frac{2A}{\sqrt{N} \Delta_n} = \Delta x O(\eta)$ in these terms.

We now expand the RHS of Eq. (22) in powers of $\varepsilon$. To first order in $\varepsilon$, this procedure consists of omitting the non-resonant terms with $m \neq n$ from the RHS of Eq. (22), which then takes the form

$$p_{\text{stat}} + \dot{\xi} = \xi(0) + \frac{2A}{\sqrt{N} \delta p_n} \left\{\cos(k_n \xi(0)) - k_n \int_0^t \sin(k_n (\xi(t') + \delta p_n t')) \dot{\xi} dt' - \cos(k_n (\xi(t) + \delta p_n t))\right\} + O(\eta).$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)
We will assume \( k_n \xi(t) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \), \( \frac{\xi}{\Delta} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \), and proceed to estimate Eq. (23) as a self consistent approximation that will be justified in what follows (subsection III A),

\[
p_{\text{stat}} = -\frac{2A}{\sqrt{N}} \frac{1}{\Delta} \cos(k_n \delta_p t) + \mathcal{O}(\eta) = -\frac{2A}{\sqrt{N}} \frac{1}{\Delta} \cos(\xi) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) + \mathcal{O}(\eta),
\]

leading to

\[
p_{\text{stat}} \left( P_{n}^{\text{res}} - p_{\text{stat}} \right) = \frac{2A}{\sqrt{N}} \frac{1}{\Delta} \cos(\xi) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) + \mathcal{O}(\eta).
\]

By solving to leading order, we obtain

\[
p_{\text{stat}} = P_{n}^{\text{res}} - \frac{2A}{\sqrt{N}} \frac{1}{\Delta} \cos(\xi) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) + \mathcal{O}(\eta)
\]

which is a closed expression for \( p_{\text{stat}} \). Note that under the self-consistent assumptions \( \frac{\Delta}{\Delta_n} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \) and \( k_n \chi_n(t) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \), Eq. (26) is unique at the short time interval, defined by \( \delta t \).

Another important result is that at the limit \( A \to 0 \) we have \( p_{\text{stat}} \to P_{n}^{\text{res}} \), in agreement with (23). A significant notion is that \( p_{\text{stat}} \) only depends on the details of the potential, and not on the initial conditions, provided the initial momentum is sufficiently close to one of the Chirikov resonances. Explicitly, the assumptions on the initial conditions are that the momentum is in the vicinity of a resonance \( P_{n}^{\text{res}} \) and \( k_n \chi_n(0) \) is smaller of \( \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \) (\( \chi_n \) is defined after Eq. (19)).

Let us now examine the case in which momentum varies significantly from all resonances. We can assume that in this case, \( \frac{\Delta}{\Delta_n} \) and \( \min_{m} \{ \delta_p m \} \) are comparable in magnitude, such that the phase space distance between \( p(t) \) and the resonances is of the same order as the distance between resonances. It follows that the terms \( \frac{2A}{\sqrt{N}} \frac{1}{\Delta} \delta_p \) on the RHS of Eq. (22) are of \( \mathcal{O}(\eta) \). Then, to first order in \( \eta \),

\[
p(t) = p(t = 0) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon).
\]

**IV. Finite Time Scales**

The dynamics described so far is only valid for a short time interval, in which (15) is satisfied. Since the resonances are not completely isolated, the momentum will eventually approach a different resonance \( P_{n}^{\text{res}} \neq P_{m}^{\text{res}} \) at a time point denoted by \( t_{\text{hop}} \), leading to the breaking of Eq. (26). Nevertheless, the above description can be generalized to longer time scales \( t > t_{\text{hop}} \), as explained in what follows.

Assuming that at a short time interval after \( t_{\text{hop}} \), \( t_{\text{hop}} < t < t_{\text{hop}} + \delta t \), where \( k_n \delta_p \delta t = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \), the phase space distance between the momentum of the particle and the new resonance \( P_{m}^{\text{res}} \) is of \( \mathcal{O}(1) \), \( P_{n}^{\text{res}} \) governs the motion of the particle at this time interval. We can then apply the analysis presented in the previous section (Sec. III) with \( \varepsilon_{m} = \frac{|p_{\text{stat}} - P_{m}^{\text{res}}|}{\min \{ \Delta_{m}, \Delta_{m-1} \} } \) in the role of the small parameter \( \varepsilon \). Thus, at the time interval \( t_{\text{hop}} < t < t_{\text{hop}} + \delta t \), \( x(t) \) can be described by Eq. (12), where \( p_{\text{stat}} \) is now given by (25) with \( P_{n}^{\text{res}} \) in the role of \( P_{n}^{\text{res}} \). Within the Chirikov picture, this is analogous to a "hop" between two resonances in phase space.

By applying a similar treatment, we can deduce that over longer time scales, the momentum hops between the phase space resonances, such that between two consecutive hops, it remains close to a single resonance. Note
that the hopping process is governed by the oscillatory component $\xi$, which depends on the non resonant terms in Eq. (3). The hopping process is therefore stochastic, such that the hopping between the phase space resonances appears random. Thus, the dynamics in phase space is analogous to a random walk between resonances.

According to this picture, the trajectory of the particle in real space is a composition of linear segments, where at each segment $x(t)$ weakly oscillates around $p_{stat}t$. $p_{stat}$ is given by Eq. (26) and is therefore determined by the magnitude of $A$ and of the resonance $P_{\text{res}}^m$. The magnitude of the oscillations is determined by the phase space distance between the resonances and by the magnitude of $\xi_m$.

Following the assumption that the resonances are well (but not completely) separated, we can expect that the rate of hopping between resonances is finite. Furthermore, the hopping time, $t_{\text{hop}}$, can be expected to be of the same order as the point in time in which the description given in Sec. III breaks down (see (17)). We can therefore obtain an estimation for the order of magnitude of $t_{\text{hop}}$ by inserting Eq. (24) into (17). This procedure for the $n^{\text{th}}$ resonance, yields $t_{\text{hop}} \propto \frac{\xi}{Np_{\text{res}}^n}$. Using a result from Sec. III (below Eq. (22)), we can conclude,

$$t_{\text{hop}} = \frac{1}{k_m \Delta} \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{\xi}{\eta} \right). \quad (31)$$

Equation (31) is the important relation between parameters of the system $(\eta, k_n, \Delta)$, the initial conditions (which determine $\xi$) and the hopping time.

This description is strongly supported by numerical results, as explained in the following section.

V. NUMERICAL STUDY

In this section we study the dynamics of Eq. (2) by numerical methods. We randomly choose values of $k_m, \omega_m$ and $\phi_m$ in Eq. (3), and solve the corresponding equations of motion by direct numerical integration. We repeat this procedure over many realizations of the random parameters and over different initial conditions.

We focus on uniform distribution of $k_m, \omega_m$ and $\phi_m$, such that $k_m \in [-k_0, k_0]$, $\omega_m \in [-\omega_0, \omega_0]$ and $\phi_m \in [0, 2\pi)$. We take the number of terms in (3) to be $N \leq 10$, in order to ensure that we are far from the continuum limit. We choose the parameters $k_0, \omega_0$ and $A$ to satisfy the condition

$$\frac{k_0}{\omega_0} \sqrt{\frac{8A}{N}} \leq 1, \quad (32)$$

which is consistent with (11). We have repeated this procedure for a large variety of parameters. The results that are presented here were obtained for the parameter set $A = 10, k_0 = \omega_0 = 0.1, N = 10$.

Figure 1 depicts an example of a trajectory in this system. We find that, in agreement with the main result of Secs. III and IV the trajectories of particles in these setups are composed of linear segments, in which momentum weakly oscillates around constant values. The length of each interval where the slope is approximately a constant corresponds to the previously defined $t_{\text{hop}}$ (see Sec. IV Eq. (31)). The distribution of the values of $t_{\text{hop}}$ near the $m$th resonance, multiplied by $k_m \Delta$ is depicted in Fig. 2. We find that $t_{\text{hop}}k_m \Delta$ has an average which is typically $\mathcal{O}(10^{-1})$, as shown in Fig. 2. This result suggests that the rate of hops is small, as implied in Sec. IV.
numerical results, where $E_r$ is given by Eq. (33).

In Sec. III we have argued that the oscillatory component of the momentum, $\xi$, can be taken self consistently to satisfy $\frac{\xi}{\Delta} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ and $k_n \xi = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$. We examine this assumption numerically; for each segment, we subtract the calculated slope of the segment and examine the remaining oscillatory component. Fig. 4 depicts the probability density function of $\frac{\xi}{\Delta}$. We find that indeed, the distribution of $\frac{\xi}{\Delta}$ is strongly localized around an average of $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$, and is therefore commonly a small parameter. A similar result is found for $k_n \xi$.

VI. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this work we calculated the trajectories of classical particles under the action of the potential $V(r)$, by estimating the momentum of particles near Chirikov resonances. We found that for short time scales the momentum satisfies Eq. (26), provided the conditions (11), (13) and (14) are satisfied. For these time intervals the position is such that $\xi(t)$ is of order $\epsilon$, satisfying (13). If the initial conditions are such that the momentum is near a resonance and $k_n \chi(0)$ is of order $\epsilon$ the trajectory will remain near a resonance for a time interval of the order $t_{\text{hop}}$, otherwise it moves chaotically until it approaches the vicinity of a region in which these conditions are satisfied. It is the main result of this work. This was verified numerically, and in particular, it was demonstrated that there is a wide range of parameters for which Eq. (26) holds. We find that on longer time scales, hopping between Chirikov resonances takes place.

It is interesting to compare our results to previous studies, which examined Eq. (3) in two different limits: extremely small amplitudes and infinite number of overlapping resonances.

| Numerical | Analytic | $P_n^{\text{res}}$ |
|-----------|----------|------------------|
| -10.9149  | -10.4243 | -8.4742          |
| -0.8898   | -0.9152  | -0.93063         |
| 0.1423    | 0.1251   | 0.1266           |
| -9.4296   | -10.4642 | -8.5484          |
| -0.3535   | -0.3577  | 0.2533           |
| -1.0523   | -1.2855  | 0.5440           |
| -7.0634   | -6.3443  | -5.1400          |

Table I: Comparison between the numerical value of the slopes of the segments which compose the trajectory presented in Fig. 1 and the analytic estimation (29). For comparison, we provide the value of the corresponding $P_n^{\text{res}}$ for each segment.

Figure 2: Distribution of the numerical values of the hopping time, $t_{\text{hop}} k_m \Delta$ (see Eq. (31)).

and the slopes of the linear segments which compose the trajectory, see for example Fig. 1 and table I. We repeat this procedure over many realizations and calculate the relative error between the numerical results and Eq. (26).

$$E_r = \left| \frac{p_{\text{stat}} - p_{\text{num}}}{p_{\text{stat}}} \right|. \tag{33}$$

The distribution of $E_r$ is presented in Fig. 3.

We turn to validate the assumptions of this work. The analysis presented in Sec. IV relies on the assumption that the resonances are separated, (see (14)). We therefore calculate $\eta$ for different resonances for many different realizations. We find that for the studied parameter space, the distribution function of $\eta$ is concentrated around 0.05, as shown in Fig. 4. We can therefore conclude that (14) is satisfied for the parameter space considered in our work.

Figure 3(b) depicts the distribution of $\epsilon$, the second small parameter in this work, evaluated over many different linear segments. We find that the distribution of $\epsilon$ is strongly localized near 0, with a standard deviation which is typically $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$. This result is consistent with (13) and supports the assumption by which $\epsilon$ is a small number. Figure 5 depicts a scatter of $\epsilon$ and $\eta$, which allows a comparison between the relative magnitudes of the small parameter. The scatter of the values of $\eta$ and $\epsilon$ is presented in Fig. 5.

In Sec. III we have argued that the oscillatory component of the momentum, $\xi$, can be taken self consistently to satisfy $\frac{\xi}{\Delta} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ and $k_n \xi = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$. We examine this assumption numerically; for each segment, we subtract the calculated slope of the segment and examine the remaining oscillatory component. Fig. 4 depicts the probability density function of $\frac{\xi}{\Delta}$. We find that indeed, the distribution of $\frac{\xi}{\Delta}$ is strongly localized around an average of $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$, and is therefore commonly a small parameter. A similar result is found for $k_n \xi$. 

Figure 3: The distribution of the deviation between analytical and numerical results, where $E_r$ is given by Eq. (33).
In the limit of extremely small amplitudes, $A_m \to 0$, \( \forall m \) in Eq. (3), it was predicted \[4, 25\] that the momentum of a particle will remain localized in phase space. This outcome can be derived from our random walk model by taking the limit of an extremely weak potential \( A \to 0 \) and correspondingly, $\eta \to 0$. In this scenario, no overlap between resonances exists and the hopping is suppressed. As a result, the random walk is replaced with localization in phase space such that \( p(t) = p_{stat} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \) remains localized around a single resonance in phase space. This result is reflected in Eq. (31), as in the limit $\eta \to 0$ and fixed $\varepsilon$, one finds that $t_{\text{hop}} \to \infty$.

Earlier work \[8, 9\] focuses on the behavior of for long time and large $N$. In this limit the number of resonances becomes infinite, and the motion of the particle was found to obey anomalous diffusion in phase space. Since in the large $N$ limit, the resonances become dense in phase space and the rate of hopping between resonances becomes rapid. In this case, the high-rate random walk results in diffusion in phase space \[20\].

The result of the present work can be a starting point of the analysis of the case where there are few weakly overlapping resonances, which is opposite to the one studied in previous work \[6\]. This is a mixed system, where the motion in some parts of the phase space is regular, while in other parts it is chaotic \[22, 24\]. The Chirikov theory \[4, 25\] is not applicable for this case. In addition, the Poincaré-Birkhoff scenario for generation of chaos \[22\] is not applicable here, since this system is time dependent with incommensurate periods.

The results of this work provide a more complete picture of the dynamics in potentials of the form \[1\].
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