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Abstract: The high dimensional dataset with irrelevant, redundant and noisy features has much influence on the performance of machine learning problems. In this work, an existing Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) based feature selection algorithm is modified by attaching a dimensionality reduction method as a data pre-processing step. This is achieved by introducing the concept of Centre of Gravity (CoG) of a set of points. After reducing the dimension, the ACO algorithm is used to generate the optimal subset of features. The performance of the proposed algorithm is evaluated using Artificial Neural Network (ANN) classifier. The performance comparison using various datasets shows that the proposed method outperforms the existing ACO based feature selection methods.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The process of selecting relevant feature subset from a dataset for building strong learning models is known as the feature selection (FS) technique. In data pre-processing, FS is used as a method for reducing the dimension of the dataset. The high dimension of the dataset greatly affects the performance of machine learning algorithms. The existing Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) [1] can be considered as a suitable algorithm for selecting the salient features from a dataset. The ACO algorithm is implemented by a completely connected graph called the construction graph. The nodes in the graph represents features and the edges represent the choice of selecting next feature. The best possible feature subset is then obtained by the traversal of the ant through the graph by visiting minimum number of nodes and satisfying the stopping criterion. In this work, initially, the original high dimensional dataset is modified by reducing the number of records considerably. This is achieved by applying a Mathematical concept of Centre of Gravity (CoG) of a set of points. CoG is considered as a representative vector for a group of objects. Here we consider a dataset with $k$ classes and $n$ objects. These $n$ objects are grouped according to the class attribute value. After this grouping, the objects in each group are further divided into several slices by selecting a suitable size for the slice. Each of these slices are then replaced with the corresponding CoG of that class. This is repeated for all $k$ classes and the outcome of this process is a modified dataset with a considerable reduction in the number of records. This modified dataset is then used for feature selection. For selecting significant features from the modified dataset, ACO algorithm is used and artificial neural network (ANN) classifier is used to measure the significance of selected features. To compare the efficiency of the proposed method, from the original dataset features are selected using the same ACO algorithm. The selected features are then evaluated with the help of ANN classifier used in the proposed method. The experimental result show that the dataset modification has a significant effect in selecting the features efficiently. The experiment is performed on six different datasets from UCI machine learning repository. The remaining sections of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, a review of the feature selection procedure is given. Section 3 briefly overview the dimensionality reduction based on CoG. Feature Selection using Ant Colony Optimization is outlined in section 4. Section 5 illustrates the proposed work. Experimental results and Analysis is given in section 6. Discussion is given in section 7 followed by a conclusion.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Feature selection problem is closely related to ‘the curse of dimensionality’. Before performing any datamining task, redundant features are to be removed from the given dataset in order to get a better result. The main objective behind feature selection is to map the original high dimensional dataset to a dataset with manageable size by preserving the semantics of the data. The end result is the better performance of the machine learning algorithms when they are used in generating knowledge. FS is considered as a pre-processing technique for the dimension reduction of a dataset. To determine the quality of each feature that is to be included in the feature subset, FS algorithms are classified into filter based approaches [5], wrapper based approaches [6] and hybrid approaches [7]. A predefined training algorithm is used to evaluate the quality of features and the corresponding classification accuracy is determined in wrapper approach. In filter approach, the FS process is independent of machine learning algorithms. The properties of both filter and wrapper methods are used in hybrid approach. The review of various feature selection methods are given in this section.

In order to perform non-correlated feature selection, Naraei and Sadeghian [3] used principal component analysis (PCA) and ANN classifier. The analysis has been performed on traumatic brain injury patients and the results shows good accuracy with a less mean absolute error. Nasution et al. [4] used a feature reduction frame work to simplify high dimensional data to a dataset with less dimension by using PCA. Information Gain [8] is one of the earliest measures used to rank attributes for FS and is measured based on the entropy of the system. Attributes that provide a better gain, receive a higher score and attributes that fall under a prespecified threshold are removed and the rest are kept.
Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) [9] is an effective population-based method for feature selection problems. A binary PSO algorithm based on rough set theory was proposed by X Wang et al.[10] for feature reduction. Here the rough set dependency degree is used to evaluate each particle which represent prospective solution. Shunmugapriya and Kannanib [11] proposed a hybrid algorithm called AC-ABC Hybrid for optimizing features. A feature selection method based on PSO along with a learning algorithm was proposed by Huang and Dun [12] and the optimization of features is achieved by combining the discrete and continuous PSO methods. A Unler et al.[13] proposed a feature subset selection method by combining the inter-feature information is utilized which reduces the redundancy in the final feature set. A criterion called maximum projection and minimum redundancy feature selection for unsupervised learning is proposed by W Shiping et al. [15]. Here FS is formalized with the use of projection matrices and then characterized equivalently as a matrix factorization problem.

A novel feature selection algorithm based on ACO [16] consider the performance of the classifier and length of the feature vector as heuristic information to ACO. A novel feature selection technique based on rough sets and ACO is given by Yumin Chen et al. [17] which starts from the core and uses mutual information based feature significance to search through the feature space for optimal solutions. A graph clustering approach is introduced by Parham and Mehrdad [18] for feature selection. FS with fuzzy criteria are given by M Susana et al. [19]. Here the fuzzy objective functions are proven to be more accurate than classical objective functions.

III. DIMENSIONALITY REDUCTION BASED ON COG

The efficiency of machine learning algorithms and analysis of the relationship between data or features are much influenced by the high dimension of the dataset. The process of reducing the dimension of the dataset is called dimensionality reduction. It is a pre-processing step in datamining to improve computational efficiency and accuracy. In this paper, an existing ACO based feature selection algorithm is modified by attaching a method to reduce the size of the dataset as a data pre-processing step. To reduce the size of the dataset, the concept of Centre of Gravity (CoG) of a set of points is introduced. The CoG is a representative vector for a group of objects. The CoG vector representing a set of points $\{a_1, a_2, ..., a_n\}$ of a given class $r$ is obtained by selecting all feature values $\alpha_x(i), i = 1, 2, ..., p$ from the selected set of objects which minimizes the following sum of differences.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} | \alpha_j(i) - \alpha_x(i) | , x = 1, 2, 3, ..., n$$

ie

$$\text{CoG} = (c_1, c_2, ..., c_p)$$

where $c_i = \alpha_x(i)$ minimizing

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} | \alpha_j(i) - \alpha_x(i) | , x = 1, 2, 3, ..., n \right)$$

(1)

IV. FEATURE SELECTION USING ANT COLONY OPTIMIZATION

In the area of machine learning, FS is an important problem. In real-world problems, because of the abundance of noisy, irrelevant or misleading features, it is necessary to perform feature selection. The motivation of FS in data mining and machine learning algorithms is to reduce the dimensionality of feature space [2]. ACO is considered as a modern algorithm for selecting significant features from a dataset. ACO was introduced by M Dorigo et al. [1] in 1992. It is an optimization technique and takes inspiration from the foraging behavior of ant colonies for their food. The communication between ants takes place through a volatile substance called pheromone. While moving towards the food source the ant leaves a pheromone trail on the ground. Since they can smell pheromone, when selecting their way, they select the path with high pheromone concentration. When an ant identifies a food source, it evaluates the quality and quantity of the food and during its return trip to the nest, the amount of pheromone that they deposit depends on the quality and quantity of the food. Thus the basic principle behind ACO is the pheromone laying and pheromone following behavior of real ants.

A construction graph shown in Fig.1 represents the problem domain for feature selection using ACO. In this graph, the features are represented by the nodes and choice of selecting the next feature by the distance between nodes. The computation of the shortest path with a minimum number of nodes and satisfying the optimality condition is equivalent to the search for the optimal feature set. In Fig.1 the ant is placed currently at node $a_1$ and the ant has a choice of adding the next feature to it. The dotted lines represent the choice of selection. Using the probability rule given in (2), it adds features $a_2$, then $a_3$ and finally $a_4$. When it reaches $a_4$, the current subset $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$ is evaluated and reaches the stopping condition for ant traversal, ie, high classification accuracy is achieved with the feature subset. Once an ant completes the solution construction, the amount of pheromone to be deposited on the path is determined by that ant and is updated. This process is repeated until all iterations are completed and the best feature subset is generated.

Fig 1. ACO problem representation
The probability rule [1] of an ant $k$ at feature $i$ is calculated using (2)

$$P_{ij}^k (t) = \frac{[\tau_{ij}(t)]^\alpha [\eta_{ij}]^\beta}{\sum_{l \in N_i^k} [\tau_{il}(t)]^\alpha [\eta_{il}]^\beta} , \quad j \in N_i^k$$

$$0 , \quad j \notin N_i^k$$

Where $P_{ij}^k (t)$ is the probability of the $k^{th}$ ant to move from node $i$ to node $j$, $N_i^k$ is the set of nodes in the neighborhood of the $k^{th}$ ant in the $i^{th}$ node. $[\tau_{ij}(t)]^\alpha$ is the pheromone amount on the arc connecting node $i$ and node $j$. $[\eta_{ij}]^\beta$ is the heuristic value of the arc connecting node $i$ and node $j$. $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are weight parameters that control the relative importance of pheromone and heuristic information.

When an ant made the solution after traversing the graph, the ant updates the pheromone on the edges of the selected path separately. The pheromone trail [20] of ant $k$ at each edge $(i,j)$ at time $t+1$ is updated as

$$\tau_{ij}(t+1) \leftarrow (1-\rho) \cdot \tau_{ij}(t) + \Delta \tau_{ij} (t), \quad 0 \leq \rho \leq 1$$

where $\Delta \tau_{ij} (t) = \sum_{k=1}^{r} (\gamma'(S^k)/|S^k|)$

$S^k$ is the feature subset constructed by $k$ ants and $\gamma'(S^k)$ is the classification performance.

V. PROPOSED WORK

In this work, a novel ACO based FS process suitable for the multiclass high dimensional dataset is proposed. As the dimensionality of the dataset increases, data analysis becomes significantly harder. To reduce the dimension of the dataset horizontally a mathematical idea of CoG of a set of points is used in this proposed method. To apply this concept consider the high dimensional dataset having $r$ classes $(P_1, P_2, ..., P_r)$. $(P_1, P_2, ..., P_r)$ represents a partition of the Universe and each partition contains $n$ objects $[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$. Now, these $n$ objects are further divided into $q$ slices by selecting a suitable slice size $c$. If $c$ is a divisor of $n$, then all the slices are of equal size otherwise the last slice is of less objects than $c$. By using the concept of CoG given in (1), each of these slices is replaced with the corresponding CoG of that slice. This process is repeated for all $r$ classes and thus the horizontal dimension of the data set gets reduced considerably. After this slice reduction, the number of records in the modified dataset becomes $\sum_{r=1}^{r} c_r$, where $c_r$ represents the number of CoG vectors in class $r$. Then for selecting the significant features, the reduced dataset obtained using CoG is given to the ACO algorithm. By considering the features and its values contained in the preprocessed dataset, feature selection is performed using ACO algorithm. The algorithm initially assigns $p$ ants where $p$ is the total number of features in the dataset. By considering these features, a construction graph is considered to represent the problem domain. An ant is then placed randomly at a given node (feature) in the construction graph and it has the choice of adding next feature to its path based on the probability rule given in (2). Each ant starts constructing the path at different feature in the construction graph. The subset of features thus generated are evaluated using the ANN classifier. The best solution obtained in the first iteration is compared with the global best solution and interchange with the global best if the generated is best. The procedure is repeated until it satisfies the predefined condition. If the condition fails, then the pheromone is updated and a set of new ants are generated and the process is repeated. The proposed CoGACO algorithm:

Input : High dimensional dataset with $r$ classes

Output : Optimal Feature set with significant features.

Step 1 : Input the original high dimensional dataset and size of slice

Step 2 : Modify the dataset by applying steps 3 to 6 until all groups are processed

Step 3 : Group all records based on class attribute value.

Step 4 : Slice each group based on slice size value

Step 5 : For each slice, generate CoG vector using (1)

Step 6 : Replace each slice with the corresponding CoG vector

Step 7 : Initialize all parameters of ACO

Step 8 : Repeat steps 9 to 14 until all iterations are over

Step 9 : Place all ants randomly to all features

Step 10 : Construct all solutions using probability rule using (2).

Step 11 : Evaluate the generated solutions using ANN classifier.

Step 12 : Select the Best solution and compare with a global solution

Step 13 : Update pheromone trail refer to (3)

Step 14 : If the generated solution is best, then store it as the global best.

Step 15 : Output the best solution

VI. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

The effectiveness of the proposed method is tested using six real-world data sets taken from the UCI machine learning repository. The various properties of these datasets like name, number of attributes, number of classes and size/instance are given in Table I. Table II shows the dataset with reduced size after applying the CoG approach, the dataset with the original size and the percentage of reduction in the dataset occurred by applying the proposed method. After reducing the size of the dataset using the proposed approach, it is applied in the existing ACO algorithm to generate the optimal feature set. The number of features in the optimal feature set obtained using the proposed method and the number of features in the optimal feature set from the original dataset are tabulated in Table III. Table IV shows the classification accuracy of the feature subset generated from the original dataset and the classification accuracy of the feature set generated using the proposed approach. This result shows that our proposed method is more accurate than the existing methods of feature selection.
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Fig. 2 shows the pictorial representation of the classification accuracies of the feature subset generated from the original dataset and using the proposed method. The percentage of reduction occurred in the resultant dataset and the number of instances in both original and reduced dataset are given in Fig. 3.

| Name of Dataset | No. of Attributes | No. of classes | Size of dataset |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Breast cancer   | 10                | 2              | 683            |
| Heart disease   | 13                | 2              | 304            |
| Pima Diabetes   | 8                 | 2              | 768            |
| Ionosphere      | 35                | 2              | 351            |
| Vehicle         | 19                | 4              | 846            |
| Vowel           | 13                | 11             | 990            |

Table I: Details of the dataset used

| Name of Dataset | Size of Original dataset | Size of Reduced dataset | Percentage of reduction |
|-----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Breast cancer   | 683                      | 69                      | 89.89                   |
| Heart disease   | 304                      | 31                      | 89.80                   |
| Pima Diabetes   | 768                      | 77                      | 89.97                   |
| Ionosphere      | 351                      | 36                      | 89.74                   |
| Vehicle         | 846                      | 85                      | 89.95                   |
| Vowel           | 990                      | 99                      | 90                      |

Table II: Size of original and reduced datasets with percentage of reduction

| Name of Dataset | Number of features in the Original feature subset | Number of features in the Reduced feature subset |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Breast cancer   | 6                                                | 5                                             |
| Heart disease   | 9                                                | 4                                             |
| Pima Diabetes   | 6                                                | 5                                             |
| Ionosphere      | 19                                               | 13                                            |
| Vehicle         | 14                                               | 12                                            |
| Vowel           | 9                                                | 8                                             |

Table III: Number of features in the optimal feature subset of Original and Reduced dataset

| Name of Dataset | Classification Accuracy of the original dataset | Classification Accuracy of the reduced dataset |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Breast Cancer   | 96.19                                           | 100                                           |
| Heart Disease   | 90.75                                           | 100                                           |
| Pima Diabetes   | 80.20                                           | 100                                           |
| Ionosphere      | 96.86                                           | 100                                           |
| Vehicle         | 80.73                                           | 94.18                                         |
| Vowel           | 49.49                                           | 68.68                                         |

Table IV: Classification accuracies of both reduced and original dataset

VII. DISCUSSION

From the experimental results tabulated in Table IV and presented in Fig.2, it is observed that the classification accuracy of the proposed method is much greater than the accuracy obtained with the high dimensional dataset. Using this proposed approach, from Table II and Fig. 3, nearly 90% of data reduction is occurring for each of the dataset used in this experiment. This follows that the proposed method is appropriate in feature selection due to high classification accuracy.

VIII. CONCLUSION

The efficiency of machine learning algorithms and analysis of the relationship between data or features are much influenced by the high dimension of the dataset. The process of reducing the dimension of the dataset is called dimensionality reduction. In this work, an existing ACO based feature selection algorithm is modified by attaching a dimensionality reduction method as a data pre-processing step. To reduce the size of the dataset, the concept of Centre of Gravity of a set of points is introduced.
This reduced dataset is then used for feature selection. For selecting significant features from this modified dataset, an ACO algorithm is used. To measure the significance of selected features an efficient ANN classifier is used. To compare the efficiency of the proposed method, features are selected from the original dataset using the same ACO algorithm and the selected features are evaluated using the ANN classifier. In this proposed method, we are getting high classification accuracy for the reduced dataset compared to the original dataset. The various dataset from the UCI repository is utilized in this work.
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