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ABSTRACT

The presence of neutron stars in at least three ultraluminous X-ray sources is now firmly established and offers an unambiguous view of super-critical accretion. All three systems show long-time-scale periods (60-80 d) in the X-rays and/or optical, two of which are known to be super-orbital in nature. Should the flow be classically super critical, i.e. the Eddington limit is reached locally in the disc (implying surface dipole fields that are sub-magnetar in strength), then the large scale-height flow can precess through the Lense-Thirring effect which could provide an explanation for the observed super-orbital periods. By connecting the details of the Lense-Thirring effect with the observed pulsar spin period, we are able to infer the moment of inertia and therefore equation of state of the neutron star without relying on the inclination of or distance to the system. We apply our technique to the case of NGC 7793 P13 and demonstrate that stronger magnetic fields imply stiffer equations of state. We discuss the caveats and uncertainties, many of which can be addressed through forthcoming radiative magnetohydrodynamic (RMHD) simulations and their connection to observation.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The majority of ultraluminous X-ray sources (ULXs; see Kaaret, Feng & Roberts 2017) are now thought to provide a window into the nature of super-critical accretion on to stellar remnants (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973). Direct insights can be obtained via the shape of the X-ray spectra (Stobbart, Roberts & Wilms 2006; Gladstone, Roberts & Done 2009; Poutanen et al. 2007), coupled spectral-timing evolution (Sutton, Roberts & Middleton 2013; Middleton et al. 2015a), and mass and energy loss in the equatorial winds (Middleton et al. 2014, 2015b; Pinto, Middleton & Fabian 2016; Walton et al. 2016a) and via jets (Cseh et al. 2014, 2015; Miller-Jones et al. in preparation). This information can then be directly compared to the outputs of 3D RMHD simulations (Ohsuga et al. 2009; Jiang, Stone & Davis 2014; Sadowski et al. 2014; Sadowski & Narayan 2016). As theory and observation begin to converge, there is hope that we will obtain a better understanding of the growth of the most massive quasars at very high redshift (Fan et al. 2003; Wu et al. 2015) which are required to have grown at super-critical rates (e.g. Volonteri & Rees 2005), and of tidal disruption events (e.g. Begelman & Volonteri 2017). With the recent discovery that a number of ULXs host neutron stars (Bachetti et al. 2014; Fuerst et al. 2016; Israel et al. 2017a, 2017b), new possibilities have arisen, including the possibility of constraining the equation of state (EoS) in these ultraluminous pulsars (ULPs).

Determining the neutron star EoS is a major goal of observational astronomers and theoretical nuclear physicists alike (see the
review of Lattimer & Prakash 2016) as exemplified by NASA’s new NICER mission (see Gendreau et al. 2016). From an observational standpoint, studying the photospheric radius expansion (PRE) subset of type I X-ray bursts can allow for simultaneous mass and radius measurements (Steiner, Lattimer & Brown 2010; Nättiäli et al. 2016) although this typically requires that the photospheric radius be the same as that of the stellar radius which may not be correct. Arguably, the most promising means of obtaining constraints on the EoS relies on determining the radius of the neutron star via the cool surface emission in quiescent LMXBs (Heinke et al. 2003, 2006; Webb & Barret 2007) and obtaining corresponding mass estimates via independent means. While the largest uncertainty in this technique is potentially the distance estimate (while source variability, absorption by interstellar hydrogen and the effect of the neutron star atmosphere are secondary effects), this can be significantly improved upon by studying sources in globular clusters where the distance is known in some cases to 3 per cent accuracy (Heinke et al. 2014). Here we explore the implications for obtaining estimates of the EoS via a new approach: Lense-Thirring precession of the wind in ULPs which, while dependent on a number of observational quantities, does not depend on distance or inclination (which can often be hard to measure accurately).

2 LENSE-THIRRING PRECESSION AS A MEANS TO CONSTRAIN THE EQUATION OF STATE

Lense-Thirring precession (see Bardeen & Petterson 1975) is a general relativistic effect which occurs when an orbiting particle (or mass of particles in a fluid) is displaced vertically from a rotating body’s equatorial axis such that frame-dragging and then induces oscillations about the ecliptic and periaxis. This has recently been shown to occur in the weak-field limit around the Earth by the Gravity Probe B experiment (Everitt et al. 2015) and in the strong-field limit in black hole binaries (BHs) at accretion rates below the Eddington limit, where the relatively large scale-height corona of hot thermal electrons in the inner regions (Ichimaru 1977; Esin, McClintock & Narayan 1997; Poutanen, Krolik & Ryde 1997) precesses as a solid body (Fragile et al. 2007). In so doing, the Lense-Thirring precession of the corona self-consistently explains the ubiquitous low-frequency quasi-periodic oscillations (QPOs; see Stella & Vietri 1998; Ingram, Done & Fragile 2009; Ingram & Done 2012; Ingram et al. 2016, 2017), where the characteristic QPO time-scale depends on the outer radius of the corona (i.e. the time-scale of precession increases with increasing truncation radius; see Done, Gierliński & Kubota 2007). This mechanism fundamentally requires the rotation axis of the compact object to be misaligned with that of the binary orbit, although this is expected to be a common outcome of a supernova (Fragos et al. 2010). As the Lense-Thirring torque in this regime (where the viscosity parameter, α, is less than the scale height of the flow) is communicated via bending waves propagating outwards at the gas sound speed, a further key requirement is that the sound crossing time in the flow is shorter than the precession time-scale (Fragile et al. 2007). Should the various requirements be met at accretion rates exceeding the Eddington limit, there is no theoretical reason why Lense-Thirring precession should not also occur in this regime.

When the accretion rate exceeds the Eddington limit (we say it is super-critical; Shakura & Sunyaev 1973) the structure of the accretion flow changes at the spherization radius \( r_{\text{sph}} \) due to the intense radiation pressure. Within this radius, the scale height \( H/R \) – where \( H \) is the height of the disc from the mid-plane at a radius \( R \) of the flow tends to unity, which it retains down to the innermost edge of the accretion disc (Poutanen et al. 2007). In the classical picture, the flow cools via advection (Abramowicz et al. 1988) and the launching of winds from the surface of the disc (Poutanen et al. 2007). While the latest 3D RMHD simulations (Jiang, Stone & Davis 2014; Sadowski et al. 2014) have confirmed much of this classical picture, they also reveal that vertical advection of flux due to magnetic buoyancy acts to increase the radiative efficiency (thought to be very low in super-critical flows) even in the presence of radial advection.

In super-critical flows, \( H/R > \alpha \) out to \( r_{\text{sph}} \), and this entire region can therefore precess as a solid body. As the characteristic radius is large (and sound crossing time is long), the precession time-scale is correspondingly long. As presented in Poutanen et al. (2007), the position of \( r_{\text{sph}} \) is given by:

\[
\frac{r_{\text{sph}}}{r_{\text{isco}}} \approx 1.34 - 0.4\epsilon_{\text{wind}} + 0.1\epsilon_{\text{wind}}^2 - (1.1 - 0.7\epsilon_{\text{wind}}) \frac{M}{\dot{M}}^{-2/3},
\]

where all radii are in units of the gravitational radius \( R_g = GM/c^2 \), where \( M \) is the mass of the compact object and \( r_{\text{isco}} \) is assumed to be the radius of the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO, and therefore depends on the compact object’s angular momentum). In the above formula, \( \dot{m} \) is the mass accretion rate through the outer disc in units of the Eddington mass accretion rate, i.e. \( \dot{m} = M/M_{\text{Edd}} \), where \( M_{\text{Edd}} = L_{\text{Edd}}/\eta c^2 \), \( L_{\text{Edd}} \approx 1.26 \times 10^{38} M/\dot{M} \) erg/s and \( \eta \) is the radiative efficiency (which in the case of super-critical flows is thought to be low and we assume \( \eta = 1 \) percent throughout unless stated otherwise), such that in super-critical accretion, \( \dot{m} > 1 \).

Finally, \( \epsilon_{\text{wind}} \) is the fraction of radiative energy spent in launching the wind relative to that observed and can be determined observationally from \( \epsilon_{\text{wind}} = 1 + L_{\text{rad}}/L_{\text{wind}} \), where \( L_{\text{rad}} \) is the observed radiative luminosity and \( L_{\text{wind}} \) is the kinetic luminosity of the wind. In the super-critical model of Poutanen et al. (2007), \( m \) is related to the observed (colour) temperature of the quasi-blackbody emission at \( r_{\text{sph}} \):

\[
T_{\text{col}} \approx 1.5 f_{\text{col}} \sqrt{\dot{m}/2 \dot{M}}^{1/4} (\text{keV}).
\]

In the above formula, \( m = M/M_\odot \) and \( f_{\text{col}} \) is the colour temperature correction factor which accounts for the scattering and absorption of photons escaping from the inflow and which modifies the intrinsic temperature \( T_{\text{int}} \) to what we instead observe \( T_{\text{col}} \). For electron scattering opacity alone, \( f_{\text{col}} \) is often taken to be \( \sim 1.7 \) (Shimura & Takahara 1995), however, an accurate determination of this value must account for both absorption and scattering opacities and theoretically saturates at \( \sim (72/T_{\text{int}})^{0.9} \) (Davis, Done & Blaes 2006). In the spectrum of ULXs, the emission at soft X-ray energies is thought to be associated with the spherization radius (Poutanen et al. 2007; Middleton et al. 2015a) allowing an estimate of the combination of mass and accretion rate to be obtained with relative ease.

The precession time-scale of the disc at \( r_{\text{sph}} \) depends on the surface density profile of the inflow which is commonly assumed to go as \( \Sigma \propto r^{-1} \) – in the case of super-critical discs, \( \xi \) can be obtained analytically from standard super-critical disc theory incorporating mass loss (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973; Poutanen et al. 2007) which indicates that \( \dot{m} \propto r \). The surface density is related to \( \dot{m} \) by \( \dot{m} = \Sigma 2\pi r v_r \), where \( v_r \) is the radial infall velocity; assuming viscous infall, \( v_r = r/t_{\text{visc}} \), where \( t_{\text{visc}} \) is the viscous time-scale and is proportional to the dynamical time-scale (\( t_{\text{dyn}} \)). As \( t_{\text{dyn}} \propto r^{3/2} \) we then find that \( \Sigma \propto r^{\xi} \), i.e. \( \xi = -0.5 \). From the formula of
Fragile et al. (2007), we then obtain the formula for the precession period \( P_{\text{prec}} \) at \( r_{\text{sph}} \):

\[
P_{\text{prec}} = \frac{GM\pi}{3c^3a_s} r_{\text{sph}}^3 \left( 1 - \frac{\sin \theta_{\text{sph}}}{h n \frac{r_{\text{sph}}}{r_n}} \right)^\frac{3}{2} \quad \text{s},
\]

(3)

where \( a_s \) is the dimensionless spin value (= \( Jc/GM^2 \), where \( J \) is the angular momentum of the compact object) and \( r_n \) is the inner edge of the disc. While this is the precession period associated with the inflow, radiatively driven outflows launched from the disc should conserve angular momentum and remain optically thick (and therefore present an effective obscuring envelope) out to a radius at which they become optically thin. Poutanen et al. (2007) determine this ‘photospheric’ radius to be:

\[
r_{\text{sph}} \approx \frac{3c^3 \psi m^{3/2} R_{\text{sco}}}{\psi \phi},
\]

(4)

where \( \psi \) is the the ratio of asymptotic wind velocity \( (v_{\text{wind}}) \) to the Keplerian velocity at \( r_{\text{sph}} \) (and so is a function of both \( m \) and \( a_s \)) and \( \phi \) is the cotangent of the opening angle of the wind cone. Accounting for conservation of angular momentum then allows us to obtain the precession period of the optically thick envelope:

\[
P_{\text{prec}} = \frac{GM\pi}{3c^3a_s} r_{\text{sph}}^3 \left( 1 - \frac{\sin \theta_{\text{sph}}}{h n \frac{r_{\text{sph}}}{r_n}} \right)^\frac{3}{2} \times \left( \frac{r_{\text{out}}}{r_{\text{sph}}} \right)^2 \quad \text{s}
\]

(5)

By inspection of the above, it is clear that Lense-Thirring precession, if occurring in ULXs, could be a powerful tool to determine bounds on the mass and spin parameter space which is especially relevant when the primary is a black hole (due to the lack of complicating surface effects). When the compact object is a neutron star, we may also have a spin period \( P_{\text{spin}} \) revealed via pulsations which is connected to both \( a_s \) and – crucially – the neutron star moment-of-inertia \( (I) \) by:

\[
a_s = \frac{2\pi I c}{P_{\text{spin}} GM^2}
\]

(6)

We therefore have a series of steps to estimate the moment-of-inertia (which is connected to the EoS) for a ULX hosting a neutron star:

(i) Estimate \( m \) from the X-ray spectrum (equation 2) for a given compact object mass.

(ii) From \( m \) and equations (1 and 4), estimate \( r_{\text{sph}} \) and \( r_{\text{out}} \) for a given set of physical wind values (i.e. \( v_{\text{wind}} \), \( v_{\text{wind}} \) and \( \phi \) which we discuss in Section 3.2 for one ULP and should be considered on a case-by-case basis.

(iii) For an observed \( P_{\text{prec}} \), obtain a range in \( a_s \), using equation (5) and the mass in (i).

(iv) For each mass we then have a corresponding value of \( a_s \), which allows us to estimate \( I \) from equation (6) for an observed \( P_{\text{spin}} \).

Although there are a number of sources of uncertainty in this approach (as we discuss in Section 4), it is notable that neither distance nor inclination is present in the above formulae. Finally, we note that the method is applicable only to black holes and neutron stars with low-to-moderate surface dipole field strengths which we discuss further in Section 3.

3 APPLICATION TO THE ULP NGC 7793 P13

To date there are three reported ULPs: M82 X-2 (\( P_{\text{spin}} = 1.37 s \); Bachetti et al. 2014), NGC 5907 ULX1 (\( P_{\text{spin}} = 1.13 s \); Israel et al. 2017a) and NGC 7793 P13 (\( P_{\text{spin}} = 0.42 s \); Fuerst et al. 2016; Israel et al. 2017b). Both NGC 5907 ULX1 and NGC 7793 P13 (referred to as P13 hereafter) have a well-constrained period in the X-rays and/or optical bands lasting 60–80 d (Motch et al. 2014; Walton et al. 2016b; Hu et al. 2017) while M82 X-2 has a reported period of \( \sim 62 d \) (Kaaret, Simet & Lang 2006; Pasham & Strohmayer 2013; Kong et al. 2016; Brightman et al. submitted). These periods have been interpreted as orbital or super-orbital in nature; however, a shorter (day time-scale) period in M82 X-2 has been identified with the binary orbit (Bachetti et al. 2014), consistent with the presence of a high mass companion star. In the case of P13, it is argued that the He II line – which is claimed to follow the periodicity is not necessarily associated with the orbit of the companion star. Of the three ULPs, P13 is by far the easiest to study given its high X-ray flux and ease with which it can be spatially resolved by X-ray telescopes (i.e. it is not confused with nearby sources), we therefore apply our new technique to this source to explore possible constraints on the EoS assuming Lense-Thirring precession drives the 63.52 d period.

3.1 X-ray spectroscopy

X-ray observations of NGC 7793 P13 were obtained in November 2013 by ESA’s XMM–Newton. We extract the spectral products for all three EPIC cameras (PN, MOS1 and MOS2) using SAS v 15.0 and 3 arcsec extraction regions following standard procedures as outlined in the user’s manual after subtracting periods of soft proton flares in the high-energy (>10 keV) background. Subsequent spectral fitting was performed on re-binned data (to have 20 counts/spectral bin for chi-square fitting) using the XSPEC package (Arnaud 1996).

As shown in Middleton et al. (2015a), the X-ray spectra of ULXs can be well described by the combination of quasi-thermal disc emission (parametrized using the model DISKBB; Mitsuda et al. 1984) and thermal Compton up-scattering (parametrized using the model NTHCOMP; Zdziarski, Johnson & Magdziarz 1996; Zycki, Done & Smith 1999) with the seed photons tied to those of the DISKBB component (see Middleton et al. 2015a for the physical reasoning behind this). We apply these model components to the spectral data of P13 and account for neutral absorption using TRABS with appropriate abundance tables (Wilms, Allen & McCray 2000) and lower limit set to the Galactic column in the direction of NGC 7793 (1.2 × 10^21 cm^-2; Dickey & Lockman 1990). Finally, a multiplicative constant is added to account for any differences in the response of the instruments. The statistical quality of the resulting fit, shown in Fig. 1 (and re-binned for clarity), is very good: 653/703 degrees of freedom (with the parameters and 1σ errors shown in Table 1). Notably the temperature of the soft component is very well constrained – this is important as \( T_{\text{sys}} \) enters into our calculation of the spherization radius (via \( m - \text{equation 2} \)). The cross-normalization across all three instruments is consistent with unity to within 5 per cent.

1 https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/xmm/sas/USG/
reported for many years and were initially interpreted as emission lines from collisionally excited plasma associated with star formation local to the ULX. We now know from high-resolution imaging of an archetypal ULX that it cannot be associated with gas beyond \sim 25 pc of the source (Sutton, Roberts & Middleton 2015) and in Middleton et al. (2014) the residuals were re-interpreted as low-resolution, blue-shifted atomic features from an outflowing wind for the first time. This was subsequently confirmed in a high-energy-resolution spectral analysis using XMM–Newton’s RGS at soft energies (Pinto et al. 2016) and a CCD-energy-resolution spectral analysis at higher energies in a combined XMM–Newton/NuSTAR study (Walton et al. 2016a). The features at low (CCD-quality) energy-resolution appear ubiquitous in the population of bright ULXs and in one well-studied case decrease in strength with spectral hardness (Middleton et al. 2015b), thought to indicate an equatorial (i.e. non-polar) wind geometry. Although high-energy-resolution data are not yet available to confirm our assertion that the residuals to the best-fitting model for P13 are due to an outflow, given the firm association with atomic features in other ULXs, we take this as a tentative evidence that winds are also present in this source, concordant with the He II lines seen in the optical (Motch et al. 2014).

Associating the spectral residuals in P13 with unresolved atomic lines in emission and absorption resulting from an outflow (Middleton et al. 2014, 2016; Pinto et al. 2016; Walton et al. 2016a) also allows us to explain the periodic brightening in the optical by precession of the wind cone. Although the companion star is always illuminated by the accretion flow on to the neutron star, we should only see this for orbital phases when the latter is approaching inferior conjunction, with the brightest occurrence when the wind cone is tilted towards the companion star. In this picture, the reprocessed optical emission would peak on the time-scale of the precession while the orbital period would appear as a modulation on shorter time-scales. This has the attraction that it can explain why the He II lines (associated with the wind; Fabrika et al. 2015) are out of phase with the optical brightness (Motch et al. 2014); the observed line velocity is a function of the inclination of the wind to the observer (e.g. maximum blue-shifted when the wind travels directly towards us) and so the chance association of the maximal illumination of the secondary with maximum observed wind velocity is small. Such a mechanism would also predict that any modulation in the X-rays be out of phase with the optical modulation as appears to be the case (Motch et al. 2014). Finally we note that precession of a wind-cone may naturally lead to increased optical emission on the precession time-scale regardless of illuminating the secondary star due to increased low-energy emission at more edge-on (to the wind) phases due to scattering through the optically thick wind/inflow – again, this would predict out-of-phase correlations between the optical brightness, optical lines and X-ray emission. In future we will investigate the impact of the system parameters on the correlations between different bands but for now we restrict ourselves to a discussion of the implications of the observed super-orbital periods being driven by Lense-Thirring precession.

### 3.2 Mass-spin constraints

From the X-ray spectral fitting described above, we have a best-fitting temperature for the soft X-ray component of 0.385 keV; from this we can determine \( m \) for a given mass (equation 2) by assuming the soft component traces the spherization radius (Poutanen et al. 2007). This requires an estimate for the colour temperature correction factor \( \langle f_{\text{col}} \rangle \); while the actual value must depend on as-yet-unknown details of the accretion flow, we should expect an

---

**Figure 1.** Upper panel: XMM–Newton spectral data points (PN: black, MOS1: red and MOS2: green) for P13 (re-binned for clarity). The best-fitting, unfolded model of TBABS*(DISKBB+NTHCOMP) is shown as a solid line (see Table 1 for parameters and 1σ errors) with the DISKBB component shown as a dashed line and NTHCOMP as a dotted line. The blue, dot–dashed bounding box indicates the region of interest for the study of residuals to the model. Lower panel: 0.5-2 keV residuals to the best-fitting spectral model (same colour scheme as the upper panel). These cannot be adequately described by a constant at unity (null hypothesis probability <0.05) but resemble the residuals seen in other ULXs (Middleton et al. 2015b). For reference, the blue line indicates the smoothed residuals of the ULX NGC 1313 X-1 (Middleton et al. 2015b) which have since been unambiguously resolved into emission and absorption features associated with a relativistically outflowing wind (Pinto et al. 2016; Walton et al. 2016a).

| Model parameter            | value (1 σ error) |
|----------------------------|-------------------|
| nH (×10^{20}cm^{-2})      | 8.5 ± 0.4         |
| kT_{e} (keV)              | 0.385 ± 0.003     |
| norm DISKBB               | 0.69 ± 0.01       |
| \Gamma                    | 1.215 ± 0.004     |
| kT_{r} (keV)              | 1.57 ± 0.10       |
| norm NTHCOMP (×10^{-5})   | 4.88 ± 0.07       |
| \chi^2/d.o.f.            | 653/703           |

*Notes: Best-fitting model parameters for the fit to the PN, MOS1 and MOS2 data of NGC 7793 P13 (see Fig. 1). Errors are quoted at 1σ.*

As shown in Fig. 1, residuals to the best-fitting spectral model of P13 indicate the same overall pattern as seen in other ULXs at soft energies (Middleton et al. 2015b) and a fit with a constant (set at unity) to these over the 0.5–2 keV energy range is statistically excluded at >2σ (null hypothesis probability <0.05). Such residuals to the best-fitting continuum models of ULXs have been
approximate lower limit to be given by \((72/T_{\text{spin}})^{1/9}\), which is \(\approx 1.79\) and we use this value throughout, investigating the impact of uncertainties in Section 4.3 (noting that improved values for \(f_{\text{col}}\) may be possible in future).

We can estimate \(\dot{m}\) and the positions of \(r_{\text{sh}}\) and \(r_{\text{out}}\) (for a given mass) using equations (1, 2 and 4), and thereby calculate a predicted precession period for a given compact object mass and \(a_*\). This also requires estimates for the physical parameters of the wind, namely \(\psi, \phi, \epsilon_{\text{wind}}\) and \(v_{\text{wind}}\). \(\psi\) is determined from the ratio of the wind velocity to the Keplerian velocity at \(r_{\text{sh}}\) for each mass and accretion rate; given that we detect low-energy-resolution line features (Fig. 1), similar in overall shape and energy to those seen in other ULXs (see Middleton et al. 2014, 2015b) we assume \(v_{\text{wind}} \approx 0.1c\) to be broadly consistent with modelling of the features in these other sources (Middleton et al. 2014, 2015b; Pinto et al. 2016) and discuss the impact of different wind speeds in Section 4.5. We assume the opening angle of the wind cone in P13 to be consistent with predictions from 3D RMHD simulations where the opening angle is \(\approx 55^\circ\) and does not change substantially until \(\dot{m} \approx 200\) (which is above the range of mass accretion rates inferred here: \(\dot{m} \lesssim 50\)); this gives an estimate for \(\phi\) of 0.7, which we discuss further in Section 4.7.

It is possible to estimate the kinetic luminosity of the wind from the absorption lines (Pinto et al. 2016); however, this requires a value for the ionizing luminosity which is non-trivial to obtain. Instead we assume that \(\epsilon_{\text{wind}}\) is limited by the approximate theoretical values found in 3D RMHD simulations of \(\approx 0.25–0.5\) (Jiang, Stone & Davis 2014; Sadowski et al. 2016, and depends on mass accretion rate) and assume \(\epsilon_{\text{wind}} = 0.5\) in the following analysis, addressing the uncertainty in this value in Section 4.6.

Given that we detect pulsations in P13 (\(P_{\text{spin}} = 0.42\) s; Fuerst et al. 2016), it is safe to assume that the inner edge of the disc does not reside at the star’s surface and instead must lie around the magnetospheric radius (\(R_m\)) which, for a thin disc, depends on the dipole field strength, accretion rate and neutron star mass according to the commonly used formula (Davidson & Ostriker 1973):

\[
R_m = 2.9 \times 10^8 M_7^{2/7} m_{\text{NS}}^{-1/7} \mu_{30}^{4/7} \text{[cm]},
\]

where \(M_7\) is the mass accretion rate at the truncation radius in units of \(10^{17} \text{g/s}\), \(m_{\text{NS}}\) is the neutron star mass in units of \(M_\odot\) and \(\mu_{30} = BR_{\text{NS}}^2/10^3 \text{G cm}^3\) (where \(R_{\text{NS}}\) is the neutron star radius in units of cm). For magnetar fields strengths (typically \(B > 10^{13} \text{G}\)) and a canonical neutron star radius of 10 km this might imply that the disc truncates before reaching the spherization radius (see e.g. Mushotzkov et al. 2015). However, in our Lense-Thirring model, we require that \(r_m < r_{\text{sh}}\) (where \(r_m = R_m\) in units of the gravitational radius) which would be broadly consistent with the sub-magnetar field strengths invoked by several authors (e.g. Kluzniak & Lasota 2015; Christodoulou, Kazanas & Laycock 2016; King & Lasota 2016) for ULPs observed to date. In the specific case of P13, for the mass accretion rates we infer (based on a sensible mass range for the neutron star of \(< 2.5 M_\odot\) and based on the above formula for the magnetospheric radius, we restrict our analysis to field strengths \(< 10^{13} \text{G}\) to ensure \(r_m < r_{\text{sh}}\) (although see also Israel et al. 2017b and the prospects of strong quadrupole field components but weaker dipole fields).

In applying our technique to P13 – when the inner edge of the disc does not necessarily sit at the ISCO – we set \(r_m = r_{\text{sh}}\) in equation (5). We then determine \(\dot{M}\) at \(r_m\) by fixing the mass accretion rate at the ISCO to be Eddington limited (even though this radius is not actually reached by the inflow due to truncation). This approach makes the fundamental assumption that the position of \(r_m\) (determined from equation 7) does not deviate substantially when the disc is thick (as it must be for \(r < r_{\text{sh}}\)) – this assumption is as yet untested but given the increasing interest in simulating this regime of accretion on to neutron stars, we can hope to gain a better understanding in the near future. In Table 2 we provide example parameter values from our model and in Fig. 2 we show an example of the precession period as a function of mass and \(a_*\) assuming a dipole field strength of \(1 \times 10^{12} \text{G}\) to be consistent with that inferred from spin-up (Fuerst et al. 2016) using the formulae of Ghosh & Lamb (1979 – which we

| Model parameter | 1 M_\odot | 1.5 M_\odot | 2 M_\odot |
|-----------------|---------|------------|---------|
| \(m_7\) (\(M_\odot\)) | 9 | 14 | 17 |
| \(r_{\text{sh}} (R_\odot)\) | 323 | 536 | 659 |
| \(r_{\text{out}} (R_\odot)\) | 2419 | 4036 | 4859 |
| \(r_m (R_\odot)\) | 25 | 50 | 75 |
| \(P_{\text{prec}}\) (days) | 44 | 88 | 132 |

Notes: Example model parameters for obtaining the precession period of the wind for a range of neutron star masses and dipole field strengths assuming \(a_* = 0.001, f_{\text{col}} \approx 1.79, \epsilon_{\text{wind}} = 0.5, v_{\text{wind}} = 0.1c\) and \(\phi = 0.7\).
LT precession as a means to constrain the EoS

3.3 Moment-of-inertia/EoS constraints

Following the steps outlined in Section 2, we obtain values for the moment-of-inertia for a range of surface dipole field strengths (see arguments above) versus a range in neutron star mass; these are shown in Fig. 3 overlaid on to a set of theoretical EoS (from Lattimer & Schutz 2005). As might be expected from inspection of equations (5 and 6), higher field strengths lead to stiffer EoS while lower field strengths lead to increasingly soft EoS.

The 1σ statistical errors shown in Fig. 3 result from the uncertainties on $T_{\text{ph}}$ propagated into our limit on $f_{\text{col}}$, $\dot{m}$, and subsequently $\mathcal{I}$ and $\theta_{\text{nuc}}$. Although we do not consider errors on the measured $P_{\text{prec}}$ (we use the best-fitting result obtained by Motch et al. 2014), from Fig. 2 it is clear that for such long periods, the uncertainty in $a_e$ (and therefore $\mathcal{I}$) is small even for large uncertainties in $P_{\text{prec}}$ of several days (see Section 4.4 for a more detailed demonstration).

It is important to note that there is no a priori expectation for our technique to yield sensible moment-of-inertia values which could potentially be seen as an argument for Lense-Thirring precession driving the super-orbital period (although we consider alternative origins in Section 5). While these constraints on the EoS rely on a number of key assumptions, as we discuss in the following section, these can be addressed in future.

4 MAJOR SOURCES OF UNCERTAINTY

While we can estimate or directly measure the parameter values entering into the formulae for Lense-Thirring precession (Section 2), we must also explore the effect of known statistical errors and potentially unknown systematics. In the case of the parameters entering into the super-critical disc model (Sections 4.1–4.7), the uncertainty is independent of dipole field strength and we provide examples for $B = 1 \times 10^{12}$ G (once again noting that this estimate originates from spin-up assuming a thin disc geometry). Conversely, the impact of additional sources of torque from the secondary star and possible precession of the neutron star’s magnetic dipole (Section 4.8) are both functions of the field strength and we take care to account for this in our discussion of the uncertainties.
4.1 \( r_{\text{sph}} \) and \( r_{\text{out}} \)

Poutanen et al. (2007) determine that their formula for \( r_{\text{sph}} \) (equation 1) is accurate to within 2 per cent of their numerical calculations. However, this formula does not account for unknown systematic errors and we explore the impact on the moment-of-inertia values of a 10 per cent and 20 per cent uncertainty on \( r_{\text{sph}} \) and \( r_{\text{out}} \). The result is shown in Fig. 4; while the implied error is clearly much smaller in the case of \( r_{\text{sph}} \) than for \( r_{\text{out}} \), for lower dipole field strengths where the solution lies amongst many families of EOS, we may still struggle to differentiate between them for a 10 per cent uncertainty in either radius. However, in future we can hope to improve on the accuracy of these radial positions through the combination of broad-band (UV to X-ray) spectroscopy and utilization of post-processed spectral models from 3D RMHD simulations (e.g. Narayan, Sądowski & Soria 2017).

4.2 \( r_{\text{m}} \)

In the preceding sections we have used the formula for \( r_{\text{m}} \) assuming a thin disc solution for the flow (Davidson & Ostriker 1973); this is likely to be inaccurate given the accretion rates inferred for these objects and the abundance of radiation pressure leading to a large-scale-height flow within \( r_{\text{sph}} \). The exact solution will require a full prescription for the vertical and azimuthal stresses in the MHD flow and is beyond the scope of this paper and so we investigate the impact of a 10 per cent and 20 per cent error on \( r_{\text{m}} \) in Fig. 4. We find that the uncertainty in the moment-of-inertia solutions is similar in magnitude to those for \( r_{\text{sph}} \) (see above).

4.3 \( f_{\text{col}} \)

As the temperature of the disc at \( r_{\text{sph}} \) is above \( 10^{5} \) K, we can be confident that the opacity of the inflow is dominated by electron scattering. However, the true value of \( f_{\text{col}} \) is subject to substantial uncertainty; while the formula of Davis et al. (2006) reproduces consistent values even in the case of AGN (Ross, Fabian & Mineshige 1992; Done et al. 2012), any additional Comptonization in the outflow (potentially due to turbulent motion; e.g. Kaufman, Blea & Hirose 2017) may lead to changes in the observed temperature and our inferred values for \( m \).

As increasing \( f_{\text{col}} \) increases \( m \), the radii \( (r_{\text{sph}} \text{ and } r_{\text{out}}) \) also increase, resulting in a slower precession. As can be inferred from equation (5), to reach the observed precession period then requires a larger spin value and larger moment of inertia. As an example of the resulting uncertainty on the moment-of-inertia values, we show the impact of a 5 per cent (lower and upper) and 10 per cent (upper only, as we do not expect \( f_{\text{col}} < 1.7 \)) error in our limiting value of \( f_{\text{col}} \) in Fig. 5. We note that at \( f_{\text{col}} \geq 2 \) most EoS are excluded, however this may well be balanced by the impact of uncertainties in other parameters (e.g. \( \epsilon_{\text{wind}} \) which we have also set at a limiting value; see Section 4.6).

While we do not yet have a reliable estimate for \( f_{\text{col}} \) through improvements in simulations of the accretion flow (e.g. Jiang, Stone & Davis 2014) and by direct comparison to observations (e.g. Narayan et al. 2017) we can hope to obtain better constraints in the near future. Finally, we note that any additional uncertainty on \( T_{\text{sph}} \) (introduced by a different modelling of the continuum; e.g. Walton et al. 2018) is directly equivalent to an error in our limiting value of \( f_{\text{col}} \).

4.4 \( P_{\text{prec}} \)

Although we have used a value for the precession period of P13 derived from observations in optical bands (Motch et al. 2014) and quoted without errors, we now investigate the change in the moment-of-inertia values for errors of 5 and 10 d on the observed super-orbital period, with the results plotted in Fig. 5. Clearly, even for a large uncertainty in \( P_{\text{prec}} \), the implied error on the moment of inertia is small as we would expect from inspection of Fig. 2. We note that should we have instead used the recently reported X-ray and UV periods for P13 (both lying between 64-65 d with errors of \( \sim 0.1 \) d; Hu et al. 2017) there would be little to no effect on the derived moment-of-inertia values.

4.5 \( v_{\text{wind}} \)

In determining the moment-of-inertia values we have assumed \( v_{\text{wind}} \approx 0.1c \) to be broadly consistent with observations of canonical ULXs (see e.g. Pinto et al. 2017). However there is a range in the reported wind velocities (Pinto et al. 2016) with some closer to 0.2c;
even in these cases, the observed velocity must be a lower limit on
the true velocity unless we happen to observe the wind directly face-
on. In Fig. 6 we show the impact of increasing the outflow velocity to
0.15c and 0.2c; as expected, changing the velocity changes the value
of \( \psi \) which is equivalent to a fractional change in \( r_{\text{out}} \) (see preceding
sub-section). By inspection, a faster wind therefore results in a
smaller \( r_{\text{out}} \) and so a faster (smaller) precession period. To reach the
larger observed period then requires a smaller spin value and lower
moment of inertia while the converse is true for slower wind speeds.
While having a major impact on the EoS constraints, our estimate
for \( v_{\text{wind}} \) will no doubt be improved upon in follow-up work using
higher energy-resolution spectroscopy (e.g. Pinto et al. 2016).

4.6 \( \epsilon_{\text{wind}} \)

In the preceding sections we assumed a value for \( \epsilon_{\text{wind}} \) at its approx-
imate limiting value of 0.5 from simulations (Sadowski et al. 2014).
At extremely high accretion rates (\( \dot{m} \sim 1000 \)), this value may in-
crease substantially (Jiang, Stone & Davis 2017), however, at rates
consistent with our estimate for P13, \( \epsilon_{\text{wind}} \) may well be < 0.5 (Jiang,
Stone & Davis 2014) and in Fig. 6 we show the impact of changing
\( \epsilon_{\text{wind}} \) to 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4, respectively. Clearly the resulting moment-
of-inertia values are highly sensitive to this parameter. As with
\( v_{\text{wind}} \) we can hope to improve upon the estimate for \( \epsilon_{\text{wind}} \) via high
energy-resolution spectroscopy and detailed modelling.

4.7 \( \phi \)

We have assumed the opening angle of the wind cone to be \( \approx 55^\circ \) to
be consistent with the results of simulations in this accretion regime
(Jiang, Stone & Davis 2014). We can determine the impact of un-
certainties in this parameter from inspection; \( r_{\text{out}} \propto 1/\phi \), where \( \phi \)
is the cotangent of the opening angle so a change of 5° from our
assumed value then corresponds to \( \approx 20 \) per cent error in \( r_{\text{out}} \), which
we have explored above. The impact of this uncertainty may be
mitigated via future constraints on the opening angle from studies
of precession and direct modelling of the light curve (see Dauser,
Middleton & Wilms 2017).
For a sensible binary period range of 1–10 d and secondary mass periods of days (e.g. Corbet & Krimm 2013 and as seen in M82 yet been reported for P13 (we have good reason to suspect the 64-d ratio decreases with decreasing field strength. Clearly the Lense-Thirring torque is expected to dominate the flow.

4.8 Additional torques

Up until this point we have assumed that the Lense-Thirring torque is pre-dominant, however, there are undoubtedly competing stresses in the system and we now consider the impact on our EoS constraints by the most relevant of these.

4.8.1 Tidal torque from the secondary star

While the Lense-Thirring effect is an unavoidable consequence of general relativity for vertically misaligned orbiting particles, the size of the resultant torque for the small spin values we must have in slowly rotating neutron stars such as P13 is correspondingly small (Fragile et al. 2007) and, in principle, the torque from the tidal interaction of the secondary star could instead dominate. The ratio of the respective torques (Fragile et al. 2007) is given by:

\[
\frac{\tau_{\text{tidal}}}{\tau_{\text{LT}}} = \left(\frac{m_s}{d^2} \frac{r_{\text{in}}^{7/2}}{m} \right) \times \left( \frac{a_s m_s^{5/2} \ln \left( \frac{r_{\text{in}}}{r_m} \right)}{r_{\text{in}}^{3/2}} \right)^{-1},
\]

where \(m_s\) is the mass of the companion star (in units of \(M_\odot\)) and \(d\) is the binary separation (in units of gravitational radii). We note that, due to the dependence on \(r_m\), this ratio is also a function of dipole field strength (i.e. when \(r_m = r_{\text{in}}\)). While no binary period has yet been reported for P13 (we have good reason to suspect the 64-d period to be super-orbital; see Section 3), supergiant HMXBs have periods of days (e.g. Corbet & Krimm 2013 and as seen in M82 X-2; Bachetti et al. 2014), allowing the separation to be calculated according to Frank, King & Raine (2002):

\[
d = 2.9 \times 10^9 m^{1/3} \left(1 + q\right)^{1/3} P_d^{2/3} \frac{c^2}{GM} \left[R_e\right]
\]

where \(q = m_1/m_2\) and \(P_d\) is the binary period in units of days. For a sensible binary period range of 1–10 d and secondary mass estimated to be between 18 and 23 \(M_\odot\) (Motch et al. 2014) we obtain the range in torque ratios shown in Fig. 7 for \(B = 1 \times 10^{12} \text{G}\) (once again assuming the field strength inferred from spin-up; Fuerst et al. 2016). Clearly the secondary star’s influence is far less than that of Lense-Thirring for all masses and decreases with increasing orbital period (we also note that the impact decreases with decreasing field strength as \(r_m\) decreases concordantly).

4.8.2 Magnetic torques

It is important to consider the effect of the torque arising from the interaction between the neutron star’s dipole field and the accretion disc when the neutron star’s spin axis and magnetic axis are both misaligned with respect to the angular momentum vector of the binary orbit (see Lipunov & Shakura 1980; Lai 1999). The former must occur for Lense-Thirring precession to take place while we know that the spin axis and magnetic axis are misaligned as we see pulsations; it is then not a huge leap for the magnetic axis to also be misaligned with that of the binary orbit. The discontinuity between magnetic field strengths above and below the disc plane leads to an induced radial surface current and the interaction of this with the external magnetic field from the neutron star then leads to a ‘magnetic torque’ (Lai 1999, 2003). Where the magnetic torque dominates over the viscous warping and precession is instigated (Lai 2003; Pfiffer & Lai 2004), the latter being retrograde with respect to the rotation of the neutron star (i.e. the magnetic torque is in opposition to the Lense-Thirring torque which leads to prograde precession with respect to the neutron star spin). It is crucial to note that simulations studying the role of magnetic torques have only been carried out in the thin-disc limit; appropriate simulations of a thick disc (as we must have for such high mass accretion rates) have not yet been performed. However, we can obtain the most conservative estimate of the impact from the ratio of magnetic torque to the Lense-Thirring torque (Lai 2003; Fragile et al. 2007) assuming the disc to be thin:

\[
\frac{\tau_B}{\tau_{\text{LT}}} = \frac{B_s^6 \sin(\beta) r_{\text{in}}^3 (r_{\text{out}}^3 - r_{\text{in}}^3)}{48 \pi^3 a_s (GM)^{5/2} c^{-3} \Sigma_{\text{in}} \sin(\beta) r_{\text{in}}^{3/2} \ln(r_{\text{out}}/r_{\text{in}})}
\]

where \(B_s\) is the magnetic field strength near the inner edge of the precessing region (i.e. \(r_{\text{in}}\)), \(\beta\) is the pitch angle of the magnetic field, \(\Sigma_{\text{in}}\) is the surface density of the disc at the inner edge, and \(\beta\) is the tilt of the disc with respect to the spin axis of the neutron star. The surface density at \(r_{\text{in}}\) can be derived from considering the inflow to be Eddington limited at the ISCO and the subsequent scaling of \(\dot{m} \propto r\) (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973):

\[
\Sigma_{\text{in}} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{M_{\text{Edd}}}{1000 r_{\text{ISCO}}^3} \sqrt{\frac{r_{\text{in}}}{GM}}
\]

According to standard theory, \(B_s \approx (B_{\text{NS}}/2) \times (R_{\text{NS}}/r_{\text{in}})\), where \(B_{\text{NS}}\) is the surface dipole field strength and the factor 1/2 arises from considering the equatorial rather than polar field. Assuming \(\sin(\beta) \sim 1\), \(\eta = 1/2\) per cent and \(\alpha = 0.01\) we then calculate the torque ratio for a range of dipole field strengths for canonical values of \(M = 1.4 M_\odot, R_{\text{NS}} = 10 \text{ km},\) and \(a_s = 0.001\) (an appropriate value for this source; see Fig. 2). The results are plotted in Fig. 8 and indicate that, for field strengths above \(\sim 10^{10} - 10^{11} \text{G}\), the flow is heavily influenced or dominated by magnetic torques which will act to slow or mitigate the Lense-Thirring precession. However, we stress that this is likely to be a highly conservative picture as the induced surface current is a function of the scale height of the disc (for a fixed magnetic field change across the disc) and so we should expect a decrease in the effective magnetic torque by a factor \((H_{\text{in}}/H_{\text{out}})_{\text{E}}\) (i.e. the ratio of the heights of the thin and thick
disc, respectively, at the same radius) which implies a potential decrease of more than two orders of magnitude (thereby allowing Lense-Thirring torques to dominate for higher surface dipole field strengths). We therefore expect that future 3D RMHD simulations exploring the induced current and torque will show that the magnetic torque is heavily diminished in the case of a super-critical disc.

5 ALTERNATIVE ORIGINS FOR PRECESSION

Super-orbital periods on the time-scales of tens to hundreds of days have been reported for a number of Galactic HMXBs (see e.g. Corbet & Krim 2013) with a clear correlation between orbital and super-orbital period. Should ULPs be fed via Roche Lobe overflow (as expected in the case of the thermal expansion phase of a He core star), then the approximate super-orbital periods we see are a good match to those of other HMXBs. If, on the other hand, ULPs are efficiently fed via a powerful wind, filling the companion’s Roche lobe – which could be the case given the identification of supergiant companion stars with a number of ULXs (Heida et al. 2016) and the expected high mass loss rates from such stars ($>10^{-4} \ M_{\odot} \ yr^{-1}$; Matsuura et al. 2016), then the observed super-orbital periods would be outliers when compared to wind-fed Galactic systems, potentially implying a different origin.

As discussed in Kotze & Charles (2012), there are a number of alternative mechanisms to generate super-orbital periods in HMXBs, the most relevant of which we now consider as an alternative origin for the $\sim 64 \ d$ period in P13.

5.1 Radiative warping

A well-established theoretical means of warping the accretion disc is via the back pressure of radiation, emitted following illumination by a central source (Pringle 1996). Such non-linear, radiative warps can have a major impact on the structure of the disc at large radii which must then propagate inwards on a viscous time-scale to misalign the entire flow. Ogilvie & Dubus (2001) determine regions of stability and instability for such warps (based on the binary mass ratio and binary separation) which are well matched to observations of the super-orbital periods of a number of HMXB systems (e.g. Kotze & Charles 2012), most notably Her X-1’s 35 d period (Petterson 1977).

Based on the instability criterion presented in Pringle (1996), we can determine where a super-critical disc should be subject to radiative warps from the ratio of vertical to azimuthal viscosity (noting that this ignores the back pressure from any additional thermally induced wind launching, which acts to reduce the warp radius; Pringle 1996). Fig. 9 shows the ratio of Maxwell stresses in the polar and azimuthal directions from the simulations of Jiang, Stone & Davis (2014). In this simulation, the authors set the primary to be a black hole with $M \approx 7 \ M_{\odot}$ and $m = 40$; although the simulation does not account for the presence of a neutron star, we do not envisage a substantial change in the viscous stresses in the flow (assuming $r > r_{m}$). The simulation captures all of the expected physics of the flow including magnetic buoyancy (Socrates & Davis 2006; Blaes et al. 2011; Jiang, Stone & Davis 2013), which leads to vertical advection of radiation. Clearly the ratio of stresses shown in Fig. 9 is far less than unity which could potentially place the warp within the spherization radius. However, the 3D RMHD simulations never encounter a warp – an obvious reason for this is that incident flux will likely encounter the optically thick outflow rather than the underlying inflow; when this occurs, any reprocessed emission is advected away with the outflow and so there is no re-emission and back-pressure exerted on the inflow itself. Beyond $r_{\text{gb}}$, the disc is expected to be classically geometrically thin (Poutanen et al. 2007) and, so we expect, would be subject to radiative warps for viscosity ratios of order unity (Pringle 1996). However, the most luminous, inner regions are shielded from view by the large scale-height inflow/outflow. In addition, the radiative flux is advected with the outflow and simulations of the photosphere show that little of the radiation makes it to the outer disc – it would therefore seem that radiative warps are unlikely when the disc is classically super-critical (although we note that simulations exploring the stability of the outer disc in this regime of accretion are yet to be performed).
Should the neutron star possess a strong enough dipole field such that \( r_m > r_{\text{obs}} \), then radiative warps are entirely possible if not inevitable. In this situation, an accretion column is expected to have a fan-beam geometry or an accretion envelope is created within \( r_m \) (which we note can also explain the smooth pulse profile seen in ULPs and may be able to re-create the thermal spectrum at high energies; Mushotzky et al. 2017); both of these scenarios lead to a luminous, vertically extended inner region which can then irradiate the outer regions of the disc leading to a warp. The precession timescales of this warp may then be similar to those seen in other Roche lobe overflowing HMXBs (although as we noted previously, this may not necessarily be the feeding mechanism).

5.2 Magnetic precession

As presented in Lipunov & Shakura (1980), precession of the neutron star (and its dipole field) can lead to super-orbital variability that depends sensitively on the field strength (see also Section 4.8.2). As discussed in Mushotzky et al. (2017), very high field strengths \( (> 10^{14} \text{ G}) \) can lead to precession periods ~months-year which has the attraction that it can self-consistently explain other features where very high field strengths are invoked – although see Section 6 for a discussion on the uncertainty on the magnetic field strength in P13 (and in ULPs as a source class).

5.3 Disc precession

Resonance between particle orbits in the disc and the orbit of the secondary star can lead to precession of the disc and readily explain the presence of super-humps in the light curves of certain cataclysmic variables (Warner 1995). However, this mechanism requires \( q < 0.33 \) (Whitehurst & King 1991), which is highly unlikely to be the case in ULPs where the donor is expected to be high mass (e.g. Motch et al. 2014).

6 DISCUSSION

The prospect of determining the neutron star EoS from easy-to-isolate, long time-scale trends in ULP light curves is naturally compelling, yet clearly there are several sources of uncertainty which may influence the outcome or mitigate the Lense-Thirring torque altogether. However, in future we can realistically hope to address some of the uncertainties; we may better localize the photospheric radius \( (r_{\text{out}}) \) from studies in the optical-UV band (where the peak in flux is at higher energies than the companion star), the spherization radius \( (r_{\text{sp}}) \) and an estimate for \( f_{\text{r}} \) from X-ray data. Vital to making the necessary progress is the application of physically motivated, post-processed spectral models from 3D RMHD simulations (e.g. Narayan et al. 2017), providing they subvert a sufficiently large parameter space. As is clear from Sections 4.5 and 4.6, one of the major potential sources of error in our technique comes from our lack of tight constraints on \( v_{\text{wind}} \) and \( \epsilon_{\text{wind}} \); we can expect to improve on estimates for these parameters from high-energy-resolution studies (see Pinto et al. 2016), especially with the advent of such facilities as XARM and ATHENA. It is interesting to note however that should our present estimates for these parameter values be close to correct, the current estimate of a moderate dipole field strength in NGC 7793 P13 (\( \sim 1 \times 10^{12} \text{ G} \); Fuerst et al. 2016) would yield stiffer EoS, consistent with the discovery of high mass neutron stars (\( \sim 2 \text{ M}_\odot \)) in millisecond pulsars (e.g. Demorest et al. 2010) which disfavours the softer EoS.

Clearly one of the outstanding issues in interpreting the behaviour of ULPs (and the applicability of this technique) remains the as-yet unknown surface dipole field strength (see the discussions of King, Lasota & Kluzniak 2017). In our analysis we have chosen to focus on sub-magnetar field strengths \( (< 10^{13} \text{ G}) \), consistent with the findings of multiple authors across the three identified ULPs to date (e.g. Kluzniak & Lasota 2015; Christodoulou, Kazanas & Laycock 2016; Fuerst et al. 2016; King & Lasota 2016). In addition, Walton et al. (2018) show explicitly the phase-averaged spectrum of P13 which appears consistent with that of other non-pulsed ULXs (see also Motch et al. 2014; Pintore et al. 2017) while we have tentative evidence for the presence of a radiatively driven outflow as seen in archetypal ULXs (Middleton et al. 2015b) – P13 would therefore appear to show all the hallmarks of a canonical ULX. Consistent with these observations, it has been suggested that a large component of the ULX population could be composed of super-critically accreting neutron stars (see King et al. 2001; King & Lasota 2016; Middleton & King 2017) which then prompts us to ask whether the ULX class as a whole could be consistent with a picture where the super-Eddington luminosities arise from a pencil/fan beam/accretion curtain geometry and very high dipole field strength neutron stars. There are certainly compelling reasons to consider extremely high field strengths, notably the possibility of forming the emergent spectrum via an accretion curtain (see Mushotzky et al. 2017) and the possibility of precession via the motion of the neutron star’s dipole field (e.g. Lipunov & Shakura 1980) or a radiative warp (Pringle 1996).

Where accretion is taking place on to a high dipole field strength neutron star, the soft X-ray emission is associated with the accretion disc, peaking at \( r_m \) and the hard X-rays within this radius (i.e. from the accretion column/curtain). The variability associated with the flow is presumed to be a consequence of local (MRI induced) turbulence and viscous propagation and is observed to emerge from the accretion cap (e.g. Uttley 2004). The limiting time-scale is associated with the position of \( r_m \) – a larger truncation radius limits the available variability time-scales to lower frequencies and reduces the sum total rms in the observable bandpass. It is well known that in ULXs the most variable sources are those in which the vast majority of the source flux emerges in the soft X-ray band (see Middleton et al. 2011,2015a; Sutton et al. 2013) while the variability is suppressed in the spectrally harder ULXs (see also Heil, Vaughan & Roberts 2009). In order to satisfy this observational criterion, the accretion disc in a highly magnetized neutron star would need to move to smaller radii – thereby allowing for more variability to enter our observable frequency range – this would push the temperature of the soft X-ray component to higher temperatures. However, the most variable ULXs have the coldest soft components (see Middleton et al. 2015a) and so cannot be immediately reconciled within this picture. Conversely the coupled spectral-timing properties of classical super-critical flows can fully account for this behaviour (Middleton et al. 2015a). We therefore have additional reason to believe that \( r_m < r_{\text{sp}} \) (which in turn would imply sub-magnetar dipole field strengths) although we stress that only an unambiguous measure of the field strength (via cyclotron lines – although higher multipole fields may influence such features) or an independent estimate of the truncation radius (e.g. via Fe Kα emission lines, although such features have yet to be detected in ULXs; see Walton et al. 2013) will solve this issue.

Irrespective of the uncertainty on the surface dipole field strength, it is clear that magnetic torques may result from the interaction of the neutron star’s field with the disc which may in turn lead to dilution of the Lense-Thirring torque. As we have noted, the impact
of this effect – based on thin-disc formulae – is likely to be highly overestimated as the disc structure differs substantially when supercritical. Given the flurry of activity in simulating the accretion flow in this new object class, we can realistically hope to understand the role of torques in the disc more fully in the near future.

7 CONCLUSIONS

Whileewe cannot yet say with certainty what drives the super-orbital periodicity in ULPs, direct constraints on the surface dipole field strength will help resolve this issue, with weaker magnetic fields likely supporting Lense-Thirring precession. Although we cannot rule out a scenario where a radiative warp is generated in the outer disc, this would seem to demand that any LT precession as a means to constrain the EoS would be overestimated as the disc structure differs substantially when supercritical. Given the flurry of activity in simulating the accretion flow in this new object class, we can realistically hope to understand the role of torques in the disc more fully in the near future.
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