A Phospholipid Substrate Molecule Residing in the Membrane Surface Mediates Opening of the Lid Region in Group IVA Cytosolic Phospholipase A2

The Group IVA (GIVA) phospholipase A2 associates with natural membranes in response to an increase in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) along with increases in certain lipid mediators. This enzyme associates with the membrane surface as well as binding a single phospholipid molecule in the active site for catalysis. Employing deuterium exchange mass spectrometry, we have identified the regions of the protein binding the lipid surface and conformational changes upon a single phospholipid binding in the absence of a lipid surface. Experiments were carried out using natural palmitoyl arachidonyl phosphatidylcholine vesicles with the intact GIVA enzyme as well as the isolated C2 and catalytic domains. Lipid binding produced changes in deuterium exchange in eight different regions of the protein. The regions with decreased exchange included Ca\(^{2+}\) binding loop one, which has been proposed to penetrate the membrane surface, and a charged patch of residues, which may be important in interacting with the polar head groups of phospholipids. The regions with an increase in exchange are all located either in the hydrophobic core underneath the lid region or of phospholipids. The regions with decreased exchange in many inflammatory processes (6–8), thereby confirming the key role of the GIVA enzyme.

The enzyme is composed of two domains, a Ca\(^{2+}\) binding C2 domain and a \(\alpha/\beta\)-hydrolase domain that contains the catalytic site (9). Crystal structures of both the intact enzyme (PDB 1CJY) (10) and the C2 domain alone (11) (PDB 1RLW) have been solved. For the enzyme to be active, it must be sequestered to a phospholipid interface. Binding Ca\(^{2+}\) to the C2 domain accomplishes this as does the binding of two different lipid mediators, e.g. ceramide 1 phosphate (12, 13) and phosphatidylinositol-(4,5) bis-phosphate (14, 15).

The \(\alpha/\beta\)-hydrolase domain of the catalytic domain that is present on many different lipid-binding proteins (16). Ca\(^{2+}\) binding to this domain sequesters the protein to the lipid surface. Extensive studies have been carried out on the C2 domain using a variety of techniques to determine how Ca\(^{2+}\) binding accomplishes lipid surface binding. These studies have included x-ray reflectivity, site-directed mutagenesis, NMR, EPR, and computational methods (17). These studies have shown (18–23) that lipid binding entails the penetration of Ca\(^{2+}\) binding loops one and three, composed of amino acids 35–39 and 96–98, into the interface. However, these studies only deal with C2 binding to the membrane, not the intact cPLA\(_2\) enzyme. How the presence of the \(\alpha/\beta\)-hydrolase domain affects surface binding has not been determined in detail due to the difficulties of working with such a large protein.

Unlike the C2 domain, the binding of the catalytic domain of the enzyme to the lipid surface has not been extensively studied. Numerous studies using site-directed mutagenesis of the intact protein have localized amino acids that are important for lipid binding sites of the enzyme to the lipid surface.

\(\text{GIVA}^3\) phospholipase A\(_2\) (GIVA PLA\(_2\)) is a member of the superfamiliy of phospholipase A\(_2\) enzymes (1, 2) that cleave fatty acids from the sn-2 position of membrane phospholipids. This enzyme was initially isolated from human platelets, and it is specific for phospholipids containing arachidonic acid in the sn-2 position (3, 4). The release of arachidonic acid is the critical first step in the biosynthesis of eicosanoids, which are potent mediators of inflammation and pain (5). There are a number of enzymes and routes by which arachidonic acid can be released from phospholipids, but experiments with GIVA PLA\(_2\) knock-out mice have demonstrated its importance in many inflammatory processes (6–8), thereby confirming the key role of the GIVA enzyme.

The on-line version of this article (available at http://www.jbc.org) contains two supplemental figures.
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binding and activation by secondary lipid mediators, as well as activation by phosphorylation of specific residues, especially residue Ser-505 (24, 25). We have also shown that there is an increase in activity upon binding phosphatidyl-inositol-(4,5) bis-phosphate-containing lipids in a specific lysine binding pocket (14, 15). The enzyme has been shown to be minimally active on monomeric lipid substrates but has substantial activation upon binding an interfacial surface (9). The enzyme also contains a lid region spanning amino acids 415–432 that covers the active site. Many different lipase proteins exist in a closed lid conformation, and upon lipid binding, the enzyme shifts to an open lid conformation, causing interfacial activation (26–28). Many of these open lid conformations have been crystallized in the presence of an inhibitor binding in the active site (PDB 1K8Q) (26). Other than the crystal structure of the native GIVA PLA₂ with the lid region obstructing the active site, there has been no evidence for this mechanism in the GIVA enzyme. The technique of deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (DXMS) is well equipped to examine the issues of the catalytic domain binding the lipid surface, as well as examining the conformational changes upon the lid region opening. We have recently demonstrated for the first time that DXMS can be used to characterize membrane lipid binding to a PLA₂ (29).

Peptide amide hydrogen deuterium exchange analyzed via liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry has been widely used to analyze protein–protein interactions (30, 31), protein conformational changes (32, 33) and protein dynamics (34). We have recently conducted deuterium exchange studies on the GIVA enzyme showing intradomain interactions of the enzyme as well as structural changes caused by Ca²⁺ binding (35). This technique is an excellent way to probe the effects of lipid binding across the entire enzyme. The present study represents a continuation of our studies of PLA₂ binding a lipid surface (29). We have now identified specific regions of the GIVA PLA₂ that bind the lipid surface, specifically regions 28–39, 268–279, and 466–470. We have now also demonstrated conformational changes in regions 391–397, 481–495, and 543–553 hypothesized to be due to the conversion from the closed to the open lid conformation of the enzyme induced by natural phospholipid as well as the specific inhibitor MAFP binding to the active site of the enzyme.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All reagents were analytical reagent grade or better. Protein Expression and Purification—C-terminal His₆-tagged GIVA PLA₂, and the C2 and catalytic domains were expressed using recombinant baculovirus in a suspension culture of Sf9 insect cells. The cell pellet was lysed in 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol, and 2 mM EGTA, and then the insoluble portion was removed by centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 30 min. The supernatant was passed through a column comprised of 6 ml of nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid agarose (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The protein in the native state was eluted in the “protein buffer” (25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 125 mM imidazole, and 2 mM dithiothreitol). The protein concentration was measured using the Bradford assay (Bio-Rad) to the manufacturer’s standards, and the activity was assayed using mixed micelles in a modified Dole assay (36) using the same conditions employed for deuterium exchange experiments. Purified GIVA PLA₂ (2 mg/ml) was stored in the protein buffer on ice for DXMS experiments. Experiments were performed immediately after elution from the nickel column.

Preparation of Lipid Vesicles—Lipid vesicles were prepared by evaporating solutions of 1-palmitoyl 2-arachidonic phosphatidyl-choline in chloroform under argon to dryness. The lipid film was resuspended in 100 mM KCl and allowed to sit in a 40 °C water bath for 30 min. The lipid solution was then probe sonicated five times for 30 s each with 30-s breaks in ice between sonications. This solution was then centrifuged at 5,000 × g to remove large lipid aggregates and titanium particles released from the probe sonicator. This solution was then used immediately for deuterium exchange studies. Small unilamellar vesicles were chosen for this study because kinetic studies performed with both small unilamellar vesicles and large unilamellar vesicles with the GIVA PLA₂ have shown similar kinetics (25, 37). We have previously used this technique with small unilamellar vesicles on the GIA PLA₂ to study lipid binding (29), and we chose to expand on this using GIVA PLA₂.

Preparation of Deuterated samples for On-Exchange Experiments—D₂O buffer contained 10 mM Tris (pD 7.5), 50 mM NaCl in 98% D₂O. Hydrogen/deuterium exchange experiments were initiated by mixing 20 μl of GIVA PLA₂, or the C2 domain or catalytic domain (containing 40 μg) in protein buffer with 60 μl of D₂O buffer to a final concentration of 73% D₂O at pH 7.5. In lipid vesicle and MAFP binding experiments, the GIVA PLA₂ in protein buffer was preincubated in the presence of 60 μM MAFP added from a 1 mM stock dissolved in ethanol and/or 2 mM PAPC vesicles in a 23 °C water bath for 1 min. The final concentration of ethanol was 0.25% for experiments with MAFP and MAFP controls. MAFP was allowed to incubate with the enzyme for 3 h on ice followed by 10 min at room temperature pre-D₂O incubation. The D₂O buffer was then added, and the samples were incubated at 23 °C for an additional 10, 30, 100, 300, 1,000, 3,000, or 10,000 s. For experiments with lipid present, time points were only taken while the percentage of hydrolysis of the lipid vesicles was under 10%. The activity of the enzyme against these lipid vesicles was measured using a modified Dole assay that exactly matched deuterium exchange conditions (33). The deuterium exchange was quenched by adding 120 μl of ice-cold quench solution (0.96% formic acid, 1.66 mM guanidine hydrochloride (GdHCl)) that acidified the sample to a final pH = 2.5 and concentrations of formic acid of 0.58% and 1 mM GdHCl. The samples were placed on ice for 10 min to partially denature the protein and obtain optimal peptide maps. Vials with frozen samples were stored at −80 °C until analysis, usually within 3 days.

Proteolysis-Liquid Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry Analysis of Samples—All steps were performed at 0 °C as described previously (30, 32). The samples were hand-thawed on melting ice and injected onto and passed through a protease column (66-μl bed volume) filled with porcine pepsin (Sigma) and immobilized on Poros 20 AL medium (Applied Biosystems) at 30 mg/ml following the manufacturer’s instructions, at a flow rate of 100 μl/min with 0.05% trifluoroacetic acid. The eluate from the pepsin column was directly loaded onto a C18 column.
The deuteration level of each peptide was calculated by the ratio of the incorporated deuteron number to the maximum possible deuteration number. Peptide deuteration levels in replicate samples, measured by our DXMS methods, have been found to vary by less than 10%, and we therefore regard changes greater than 10% as significant (30). All experiments were performed at least twice, and representative data are shown. Trends in the data were similar from experiment to experiment, but total deuterium content varied by roughly 5–10% in similar experiments carried out weeks apart. For all regions that showed a greater than 10% increase or decrease, other peptides that include some or all of the regions of interest are provided in the supplemental data.

**RESULTS**

**GIVA PLA₂ Coverage Map of Pepsin Fragmentation**—The protein digestion procedure was optimized to produce a peptide map that yielded the best coverage of GIVA PLA₂ as described previously (35). The optimized condition gave 157 distinct peptides that gave 92% coverage of the GIVA PLA₂ sequence. The same condition was used to digest the C2 domain, which generated 32 peptides covering 89% of the sequence (Fig. 1).

Sixty-one peptide fragments are shown that cover 82% of the protein, and 17 peptide fragments for the C2 domain, covering 89% of the sequence, were analyzed for the data shown in the figures. These peptides are shown in Fig. 1 as bold lines. In this report, when we use the term “peptide,” we are referring to an actual peptide that was identified in the pepsin digestion. When we use the term “region,” we are referring to a section of the protein for which the deuterium exchange has been calculated, but it may or may not correspond to an actual pepsin peptide.

**C2 Lipid Binding Experiments**—On-Exchange experiments were performed on the isolated C2 domain containing amino acids 12–140. C2 domain lipid binding has been extensively studied by other techniques, and these studies served as an important control to compare this technique against previously published results obtained with other approaches. C2 on-exchange experiments were performed under five different conditions (Fig. 2). The change in exchange upon binding to 500 μM lipid vesicles was tested in the presence of 0, 200, and 1000 μM Ca²⁺. Significant decreases upon exposure to the lipid sur-
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Deuterium exchange of the isolated C2 domain binding the phospholipid membrane in the presence of Ca²⁺. DXMS was performed on the C2 domain under the following three conditions: 0 and 1 mM Ca²⁺ without PAPC, as well as 1 mM Ca²⁺ with PAPC. The numbers of incorporated deuterons for three regions are shown: 28–35, 36–39, and 51–71. Changes in deuterium exchange between the 1 mM Ca²⁺ deuteron and 1 mM Ca²⁺ + 500 μM PAPC condition greater then 10% are represented in color on the crystal structure (PDB 1RLW) (see legend).

- C2 alone
- C2 Ca²⁺ (1 mM)
- C2 Ca²⁺ (1 mM) PAPC (500 μM)

FIGURE 2. Deuterium exchange of the isolated C2 domain binding the phospholipid membrane in the presence of Ca²⁺. DXMS was performed on the C2 domain under the following three conditions: 0 and 1 mM Ca²⁺ without PAPC, as well as 1 mM Ca²⁺ with PAPC. The numbers of incorporated deuterons for three regions are shown: 28–35, 36–39, and 51–71. Changes in deuterium exchange between the 1 mM Ca²⁺ and 1 mM Ca²⁺ + 500 μM PAPC condition greater then 10% are represented in color on the crystal structure (PDB 1RLW) (see legend).

Surface were only achieved in the presence of 1 mM Ca²⁺ (Fig. 2) and not with 0 or 200 μM Ca²⁺ (data not shown). The changes in exchange upon Ca²⁺ binding were exactly the same as the changes observed with intact full-length cPLA₂ (35) and included regions 28–35, 51–71, 101–106, and 125–129 as well as a new region 41–48 (data not shown). This new region 41–48 is part of the C2 domain that shows very slow exchange rates in the full enzyme and faster exchange in the C2-only domain (35). A significant decrease in exchange due to binding of lipid vesicles was seen in the region 36–39, as well as a small change in the region 28–35 in the presence of 1 mM Ca²⁺ and PAPC lipid vesicles (Fig. 2). These regions include the hydrophobic residues Phe-35, Met-38, and Leu-39 present on the first Ca²⁺ binding loop. Previous work has also implicated region 96–98 in lipid binding (17–23), but no peptides were identified that contained these amino acids. These results agree with the previous experiments demonstrating lipid binding of the C2 domain alone.

cPLA₂ Lipid Binding Experiments—On-Exchange experiments were performed on the intact cPLA₂ enzyme in the presence of a phospholipid membrane to determine how the catalytic domain interacts with the surface and to determine whether it changed membrane binding of the C2 domain. These experiments were carried out in the presence of 500 μM PAPC and 200 μM Ca²⁺ for time points varying from 10 to 300 s. The literature Kd values of this enzyme interacting with membranes varies from 1 to 90 μM depending on the presentation of the lipid substrate (25, 37). Generation of product interfering with membrane binding was a concern in these experiments. For this reason, these experiments were carried out at shorter time points with low levels of Ca²⁺ to keep the hydrolysis of phospholipids at the lipid membrane below 10%. Experiments were carried out testing lipid binding in the presence of 1 mM EGTA to test binding without Ca²⁺. No changes greater then 10% were observed upon lipid binding without Ca²⁺ present.

Activity assays were done under the same experimental conditions as the deuterium exchange experiments to measure the levels of arachidonic acid release. In the presence of 200 μM Ca²⁺, the percentage of hydrolysis at 10 s was ~0.2%, and the percentage of hydrolysis proceeded to 6% at 300 s. Samples taken at 1000 s showed 16% hydrolysis, and we decided to limit our time course to only 300 s. The specific activity of the enzyme under our conditions was ~20 nmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹, which is consistent with literature values under similar protein to lipid ratios (25). Four regions of the protein (28–39, 258–265, 268–279, and 466–470) exhibited decreased exchange in the presence of lipid vesicles (Fig. 3). Multiple additional peptides covered portions of these regions, and peptide data for these regions is shown in supplemental Fig. 1. Region 28–39 exhibited similar changes in deuterium exchange as did the isolated C2 domain. Changes were seen due to membrane surface binding in the intact enzyme in the presence of 200 μM Ca²⁺ with 500 μM PAPC vesicles that required 1 mM Ca²⁺ to be seen in the C2 domain alone. It is important to note that there is a large change in exchange induced upon Ca²⁺ binding in region 28–39 and a much smaller change induced upon lipid binding. Once again, no peptides spanning region 96–98 were identified, so no data on lipid interactions of that region were available. No other regions on the C2 domain showed changes upon lipid binding.

The region 268–279 showed a 10–15% decrease in exchange upon membrane binding but no change upon Ca²⁺ binding alone. This region contains a group of charged amino acids, Lys-273, Arg-274, and Glu-277 as well as the polar Gln-270 that are oriented to possibly interact with the zwitterionic head groups of a lipid surface. There are also multiple hydrophobic residues including Val-272, Tyr-275, and Leu-279 pointing toward the catalytic site of the enzyme that may interact with fatty acyl chains of the substrate. The region 466–470 is located on the same face as 268–279 and has a similar decrease in exchange, with a decrease of around 10–15% at all time points. This area contains a charged group at Arg-467 and one hydrophobic residue Ile-469.

There was also a smaller decrease going from 5 to 10% over the time course in the region from 256 to 265 that contains a group of four leucines at 262–265 that have extensive hydrophobic contacts with other non-polar residues on the interior of the protein. There are no specific residues that would obviously interact with the membrane surface, so this decrease could be due to a conformational shift upon the membrane binding to
the lipid surface or interactions between the leucines and the fatty acyl chains of the substrate. There are two regions that show increases in exchange upon lipid binding, and these are 391–397 and 543–553. Region 391–397 has a 10% increase at early time points, with no change in exchange at 300 s. Region 543–553 has a larger increase of ~25% at early time points with almost no change in exchange seen at 300 s. These rates imply that these regions of the protein are becoming more accessible to solvent. These regions contain hydrophobic residues that are part of a channel that leads to the active site residues Ser-228 and Asp-549. These residues are all in contact with the lid region spanning 415–432. These increases may be caused by a lid opening caused by substrate binding or membrane surface binding. Region 543–553 also contains the active site Asp-549. Experiments were also performed using the isolated catalytic domain in the presence of lipid vesicles, but no changes in deuteration greater than 10% were observed (data not shown).

**On-Exchange Results Using MAFP-inhibited GIVA PLA2**—MAFP is a potent irreversible inhibitor of the GIVA PLA2 enzyme (39). Inhibitor-bound α/β-hydrolases have been used to crystallize the open lid conformation of various lipases (26). MAFP was used to determine whether substrate binding caused opening of the lid region or whether interfacial binding of the lipid surface caused this change. Using MAFP allowed us to separate substrate binding effects from membrane surface binding effects. MAFP was selected due to its similarity to natural substrate because it contains an arachidonic acid in a similar position to the sn-2 fatty acid chain of the natural substrate, although there is no phospholipid head group, or sn-1 fatty acid tail in MAFP. This acts as an excellent mimic to the lysophospholipase and acyl transferase activity of cPLA2 (40, 41). MAFP binding was tested at seven time points from 10 to 10,000 s at a concentration of 15 μM. There were five regions that saw changes upon MAFP binding. Multiple peptides covered these regions, and additional peptide data for these regions are shown in supplemental Fig. 2.

Two regions of the enzyme 256–265 and 268–279 showed decreases in exchange upon MAFP binding (Fig. 4). The region from 268 to 279 showed a decrease in deuteration of >10%, which is less then observed with phospholipid binding experiments. The region from 258 to 265 had a >10% decrease in exchange similar to the phospholipid binding experiments. This indicates either that these regions are binding the arachidonic acid tail of the MAFP molecule or that conformational change that occurs when the lid opens blocks access to these regions.
residues or rigidifies the protein structure in this region. Other hydrophobic residues that should bind MAFP in the active site funnel have very low levels of exchange even in the inhibitor free samples, so no changes could be recorded upon inhibitor binding.

All of the regions that had increases upon lipid binding had increases upon MAFP binding. These increases were of the same magnitude as with lipid binding. Region 391–397 has a constant 5–15% increase in exchange over all time points. Other peptides overlapping this region (supplemental Fig. 2) show that the majority of this increase is localized to region 394–397. The region 543–553 has a 25% increase at early time points with less of an increase at later time points. The region 481–495 also had an increase in exchange upon exposure to MAFP. This region had a 10–20% increase in exchange across all time points. All of these regions are partially located under the lid region spanning 415–432. MAFP binding closely mimics natural phospholipid binding in the increases seen in the catalytic domain of the protein, even without a membrane surface.

**On-Exchange Results Using MAFP-inhibited GIVA PLA2 Binding Phospholipid Membrane**—On-Exchange experiments were performed with both MAFP and PAPC vesicles in an attempt to identify those changes due to the presence of an interface as opposed to those due to the binding of the pseudosubstrate in the catalytic site. The presence of MAFP in the active site should block any binding of phospholipid in the active site, so the effects should only be from the enzyme binding to the phospholipid surface and not from conformational changes induced by substrate binding. This also acted as an important control to see effects of lipid binding without product generation. The interface experiments were performed for time courses ranging from 10 up to 1,000 s. These experiments were performed in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ to activate membrane binding. An experiment was also performed in the presence of EGTA with lipid vesicles to see whether any changes were seen without Ca$^{2+}$ present. Experiments with EGTA showed no significant changes in deuterium exchange upon exposure to lipid vesicles without Ca$^{2+}$ present (data not shown).

There were four regions of the protein that showed decreases in exchange upon MAFP-inhibited lipid binding (Fig. 5). Multiple peptides covered these regions, and peptide data for these regions are shown in supplemental Fig. 3.

The regions 28–35 and 36–39 are located on the C2 domain. Exchange at peptide 28–35 is decreased significantly by the presence of Ca$^{2+}$, but even so, the changes induced by lipid were greater than 10%. The greatest change was seen in region 36–39 located on the hydrophobic helix proposed to penetrate the membrane surface. These peptides showed no changes in the presence of lipid without Ca$^{2+}$ present, demonstrating that these changes caused by lipid binding are Ca$^{2+}$-
dependent. These changes were almost identical to those seen with uninhibited enzyme mixed with lipid.

The region from 268 to 279 on the catalytic domain also showed a >10% decrease in exchange. This area is also one of the regions that show a significant decrease upon MAFP binding. This region appears to both bind the interfacial surface, as well as bind the single phospholipid molecule in the active site. The region from 466 to 470 that had decreases upon non-inhibited lipid binding shows a similar exchange pattern upon inhibitor-bound lipid binding. These results suggest that the C2 domain, as well as the region of the catalytic domain containing regions 466–470 and 268–279, are important in binding the lipid surface. The regions that increased due to lipid binding in regions 391–397 and 543–553 showed no changes in exchange between the MAFP-inhibited enzyme and the inhibited enzyme incubated with lipid vesicles (supplemental Fig. 3).

DISCUSSION

C2 domain binding to membrane of the GIVA PLA2 has been extensively studied (17–23). However, how the intact enzyme binds a lipid surface and the allosteric changes caused by this binding have been much more difficult to determine. This is the first study to examine the structural basis of the intact enzyme binding to the lipid surface as well as conformational changes upon binding a pseudo-substrate in the active site with deuterium exchange mass spectrometry. Ca2+ -mediated C2 binding to the membrane surface has been shown to be mediated by hydrophobic residues in the Ca2+ binding loop one 35–39 and residues in the Ca2+ binding loop two 96–98 (17–23). No peptides existed that spanned region 96–98, so information about how Ca2+ binding loop two penetrates the surface was unavailable. Our results with deuterium exchange of the isolated C2 domain with lipid show decreases in regions 28–35 and 36–39, which are consistent with these regions penetrating the lipid interface. These experiments on the C2 domain alone were used as a control to demonstrate that we could examine membrane surface binding using the DXMS technique.

The results of the intact cPLA2 enzyme binding to the lipid surface showed similar changes to the C2 domain alone, which showed lipid binding at regions 28–35 and 36–39 but with less Ca2+ required to see effects with the intact enzyme in deuterium exchange. This corresponds well with previous work showing an increased residence time of the intact GIVA PLA2 on the membrane surface of the Golgi as compared with the C2 domain alone (38). This implies that the presence of the catalytic domain helps bind the enzyme to the lipid surface or causes changes in the binding of Ca2+ in the intact enzyme. The hydrophobic core of the protein around the active site gave rise to significant increases in exchange upon Ca2+ -mediated lipid binding. This region contains many hydrophobic residues that are blocked from solvent exposure in the closed form of the enzyme. When lipid binds in the active site, there must be a change in the structure that causes the lid to reorient in some way that increases solvent accessibility to the hydrophobic core. The other decreases in deuterium in the catalytic
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domain upon Ca²⁺-mediated lipid binding were in regions that could be caused by interactions with either the membrane surface or the fatty acyl chains of the substrate.

We used the inhibitor MAFP to differentiate lipid surface binding effects from conformational changes induced by the enzyme binding substrate in the active site. Previous work has used inhibitors to force lipases into an open lid conformation (26). MAFP gave rise to the same increases in the hydrophobic core as seen with phospholipid vesicles (supplemental Fig. 3), except for a slightly greater increase in solvent accessibility in region 481–495 as compared with lipid binding results. The decreases in exchange seen in regions 256–265 and 268–279 of the catalytic domain were very similar to those seen with only lipid binding. MAFP covalently modifies the active site Ser-228 with an arachidonic acid and is very similar to the sn-2 fatty acid of a natural phospholipid substrate. The decreases in exchange in regions 256–265 and 268–279 upon MAFP binding without a lipid surface present suggest that residues are interacting with the arachidonic acid fatty acid. Residues Leu-264, Leu-267, and Tyr-275 could be in the correct orientation to interact with the fatty acid tail of substrate. The other hydrophobic amino acids that would bind to substrate are in the hydrophobic core of the protein that has very low levels of exchange (35), and therefore no changes in exchange can be seen. The increases in exchange seen upon inhibitor binding are almost certainly caused by a shift of the lid region from 415 to 432 as shown in Fig. 6.

There have been very few studies that have looked at how the intact enzyme binds the surface. The enzyme is assumed to shift to an “open conformation” in which the lid region has moved out of the way of the catalytic site. This hypothesis was suggested due to the inability to otherwise model a phospholipid in the active site (10) but was not based on or verified by experiment. The DXMS results reported here with natural phospholipids and the inhibitor MAFP clearly demonstrate this conformational change.

The lid region of 415–432 has amphipathic character with amino acids involved in hydrophobic interactions with amino acids underneath and many charged amino acids exposed to solvent. There are significant increases in exchange in areas of the protein directly covered by the lid region. There are significant hydrophobic contacts seen in the crystal structure (PDB 1CJY) between the lid region and areas with an increase in exchange upon MAFP binding. There are contacts between four amino hydrophobic amino acids on the lid, Met-417, Leu-421, Ile-424, and Ile-429, and amino acids in the regions with increases in exchange, Ala-396, Phe-397, Ala-486, Val-548, and Leu-552. The breaking of these hydrophobic contacts between the lid region and the regions underneath would explain the increase in deuterium exchange seen in this region. This increase in exchange could be due to the lipid substrate binding to the hydrophobic residues at Phe-397, Ile-399, Leu-400, Val-404, and Leu-405 and causing the lid region to undergo a conformational shift opening up the active site. Previous studies using mutants of the GIVA PLA₂, specifically mutants of Ile-399 and Leu-400, showed a vast decrease in activity, membrane affinity, and membrane penetration (24). The proposal was that these amino acids were mediating penetration of the membrane surface. This is unlikely due to the many charged residues that would also need to penetrate the membrane surface to allow Ile-399 and Leu-400 to penetrate. It is possible that these residues are mediating substrate binding, and this substrate binding helps mediate activity and surface binding by moving the lid region. These increases in exchange are seen with natural phospholipid substrate and with the MAFP substrate analog. This implies that the presence of an inhibitor in the active site closely mimics the open form of the enzyme that works on natural phospholipid substrate.

Our results using MAFP-inhibited enzyme binding to the lipid surface were used to show how the enzyme binds to the lipid surface without complicating effects caused by conformational changes upon substrate binding since those changes have already occurred due to MAFP in the active site. Upon the addition of phospholipid to the MAFP-inhibited enzyme, the majority of decreases are seen in the region from 28 to 35 and 36 to 39, which contains the hydrophobic α helix thought to penetrate the membrane surface. However, there is still a significant change in exchange in regions 268–279 and 466–470. These regions contain a group of charged amino acids Lys-271, Lys-273, Arg-274, Arg-467 as well as the polar Gln-270 that could all interact with zwitterionic PC head groups. Lys-27, Arg-274, and Arg-467 are the only residues from the crystal...
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We plan to study the interactions of various other lipid activators of this enzyme using these same techniques and to further characterize the structure of the open lip form of the enzyme. This work suggests interesting possibilities such as being able to isolate the open conformation of the enzyme by using inhibitor-enzyme complexes. Further study using deuterium exchange along with crystallography and molecular modeling techniques offers the potential to further define the interaction of the enzyme with membrane surfaces.

This work represents the first DXMS study of an intracellular lipase binding a lipid surface. This study shows lipid binding effects of the entire enzyme instead of only the C2 domain as has been previously demonstrated with other techniques. It also uses inhibitor binding to probe the structure of the open conformation of the enzyme.
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