Installing the "magic methyl" – C–H methylation in synthesis
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The selective and efficient C–H methylation of sp² and sp³ carbon centres has become a powerful transformation in the synthetic toolbox. Due to the potential for profound changes to physicochemical properties attributed to the installation of a "Magic Methyl" group at a strategic site in a lead compound, such techniques have become highly desirable in modern drug discovery and synthesis programmes. This review will cover the diverse techniques that have been employed to enable the selective installation of the C–Me bond in a wide range of chemical structures, from simple building blocks to complex drug-like architectures.

In a second example, Pfizer discovered that installation of a cis-methyl group at the γ-oxy position of a morpholine-containing lead compound, gave rise to a 45-fold potency increase as a mineralocorticoid receptor (MR) agonist. 6 Computational and single crystal X-ray diffraction experiments revealed that the presence of a methyl group cis to the phenyl group locked the arene in the axial position (> 5 kcal mol⁻¹). This conformation was suggested to cause burial of both the phenyl and methyl groups into hydrophobic pockets within the active site, leading to the observed boost in potency.

The examples above are two in a wide library of documented "Magic Methyl" effects demonstrating the potential for marked improvements in drug properties via the strategic exchange of a C–H to a C–Me group. 7 It is worth noting that a literature survey from 2012 found that 8% of all methyl installations led to a potency boost of 10-fold or more, increasing further to > 100-fold in 0.4% of cases. Despite this statistically low chance of success, the potential for rapidly increasing potency upon formal C–H methylation cannot be understated. 7 In addition, C–Me introduction is accompanied by negligible effects on the lipophilicity (Δc log P ≈ 0.3) and molecular weight (ΔMW = 14 g mol⁻¹) of a lead compound. This is especially pronounced when compared to the medicinally relevant trifluoromethyl group (Δc log P ≈ 0.9 & ΔMW = 68 g mol⁻¹), the installation of which leads to marked changes in the physical properties of a compound. When considering Lipinski's rules on small-molecule drug candidates, 10 such increases can prove immensely costly, and even critical, if a lead compound is already lipophilic or of high molecular-weight. 11

For these reasons, the ability to incorporate the Me group at specific points in a structure–activity-relationship (SAR) programme would be of high value to medicinal chemistry.
Despite this, the C–H methylation of both sp² and sp³ centres has been traditionally limited to deprotonation of acidic C–H bonds followed by alkylation with electrophilic methyl sources, such as methyl iodide. Accordingly, in the absence of such acidic C–H bonds at the target position (for example an enolisable carbonyl functional group), the methyl subunit must be incorporated at the early stages in the synthetic route before building further complexity (Fig. 2A). In one notable case, during a SAR investigation towards mGluR5 antagonists, the discovery team at GSK explored the exchange of C–H to C–Me at two separate positions of a lead compound. While an impressive >754-fold boost in potency was observed when the methylation pattern was optimal, all of the compounds studied required de novo synthetic routes from methylated feedstocks. Such approaches often lack divergence and, in turn, are time- and resource-consuming for drug discovery programmes, where route efficiency, sustainability, and atom economy are of critical importance.

To this end, the functionalisation of C–H bonds for downstream C–C bond formation has become an influential tool in streamlining complex synthetic routes. However, the challenge of differentiating sterically and electronically similar C–H bonds (such as in an aromatic ring or an alkyl chain), especially in complex biologically relevant molecules, remains ever-present. Consequently, a concerted effort in modern synthesis has sought to overcome these hurdles, enabling chemo-, regio-, and enantio-selective C–H functionalisation. Within the context of C–H methylation, however, the efficient and selective methylation of C–H bonds faces additional challenges often attributed to the small size of the methyl unit, such as heightened regioselectivity issues and in the undesired over-functionalisation of one or more sites (Fig. 2B).

Accordingly, technologies for the selective and efficient installation of a methyl group in a strategic position, especially in the late-stage functionalisation of drug-like compounds, have wide-ranging and potentially immediate applications in
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This review will cover the diverse strategies and techniques that have achieved the C–H methylation of C(sp²)–H and C(sp³)–H bonds, with specific focus on the recent influx of research efforts that have answered Cernak’s call for the discovery of new C–H methylation reactions in 2013. Transition-metal directed C–H activation, direct radical addition approaches, and complementary two-electron activation pathways will be covered. However, we will primarily turn our attention away from methods which have relied on traditional deprotonation then alkylation/aldol sequences, and for those reasons, elegant recent developments in transition-metal-catalysed hydrogen borrowing methodology will not be discussed.

2. Directed C(sp²)–H methylation

2.1 4d transition metal-catalysed C(sp²)–H methylation

Transition metal-catalysis has undoubtedly become one of the most powerful tools in organic synthesis for C–C bond formation. Among the myriad of transformations that have been developed, 4d transition metals (namely Pd, Rh, and Ru) have continuously demonstrated their versatility in an impressive array of these reactions, particularly in the field of C–H activation.

Despite this, coupled with their renowned chemical inertness, the selective activation and functionalisation of specific aromatic C–H bonds remains a persistent challenge. One approach that has been employed to tackle this problem is the use of directing groups (DGs), which generally consist of a Lewis basic coordinating moiety that directs the metal centre to enable C–H activation at a specific site (Scheme 1). A DG-facilitated C–H activation strategy in the context of methylation was reported in 1984 by Tremont and Rahman, who harnessed the ortho directing capability of acetanilides using stoichiometric Pd(OAc)₂ and methyl iodide as the methylation reagent. The proposed mechanism involved a Pd II/Pd IV cycle, in which the oxidation of Pd II to Pd IV by methyl iodide was later supported by X-ray crystallography (Scheme 2).

A comprehensive overview of the types of DGs used in recent metal-catalysed C–H functionalisation was described previously and, due to the vast array available, only those recently applied to C–H methylation will be discussed herein.
2.1.1 Pd-Catalysed C(sp²)–H methylation with nitrogen-based directing groups. Nitrogen-based heteroarenes and amides have become stalwart directing groups in the advancement of directed C–H functionalisation. A number of these, including both mono- and bidentate groups, have proved their efficiency for directed C–H methylation at the ortho position.

Although the use of methyl halides in this transformation has remained popular, attractive alternatives have also been reported. A prominent class of alkylating agents which have been employed in directed C–H methylation are boronic acid-derived reagents, disclosed by Yu in 2006. In this work, ortho C–H methylation of substituted arenes bearing a pyridyl or pyrazole DG was achieved with trimethylboroxine or methylboronic acid (Scheme 3). This was reported as the first protocol for the Pd-catalysed alkylation of sp² and sp³ C–H bonds with such methylating reagents.

Peroxides have similarly been deployed as valuable methylating reagents. Their use in directed C–H methylation was described by Li in 2008, using dicumyl peroxide (DCP) to install a methyl group at the ortho position of arenes bearing a pyridyl or amidic DG (Scheme 3). The mechanism was subsequently investigated by Sunoj.

In 2011, Youn revisited the Pd-catalysed ortho methylation of acetanilides to reveal the reaction could be performed at room temperature with methyl iodide (Scheme 4A). The use of pseudo alkyl halides was detailed more recently by Bach, where methyl mesylate and sodium bromide were utilised in the ortho alkylation of methoxyarenes (Scheme 4B). A cyano-pyrrole DG was employed, and methylation was demonstrated in good yield. Additionally, methyl iodide was used by Roy & Kundu in their ortho methylation of arenes bearing a 2-pyridone unit (Scheme 4C). In this instance, water was used as the solvent.

An extensive array of both aromatic and vinyllic C–H methylation examples was disclosed by Yu in 2014, where a ligand-promoted alkylation approach was demonstrated using methyl iodide (Scheme 5). 9-Methylacridine (L1) was selected after a rigorous ligand survey, facilitating effective methylation of sp² centres bearing an amidic DG. The broad scope included methylation of substituted arenes, thiazoles, alkenes, and sp³ centres.

Further developments to methyl boronic acid derived methylation reagents came in 2013, when Sanford described a methylation procedure with MeBF₃K and used MnF₃ as the oxidant (Scheme 6A). Two different mechanisms were proposed that notably bypassed more traditional Pd⁵⁰ reductive eliminations,
opting for operation of either a Pd\textsuperscript{III}/Pd\textsuperscript{I} or Pd\textsuperscript{IV}/Pd\textsuperscript{II} manifold facilitated by MnF\textsubscript{3} oxidation.

Ding & Jiang employed methylboronic acid as the methylating reagent in a pyridyl- and pyrimidyl-directed C\textsubscript{2}-methylation of indoles, which required high temperatures and 0.5 equivalents of benzoquinone (BQ) in order to promote the slow reductive elimination step [Scheme 6B].\textsuperscript{36}

As the use of a stoichiometric oxidant is generally required in this type of transformation, Mei recognised that an electrochemical strategy could provide an atom-economic alternative. Accordingly, in 2017 the Pd-catalysed \textit{ortho}-C–H methylation of ketoximes using MeBF\textsubscript{3}K was documented using electrochemical oxidation to facilitate catalyst turnover (Scheme 6C).\textsuperscript{37} This approach was applied to a range of functionalised arenes in moderate to good yields. Mei, Li & Wu more recently adapted this procedure to use pyridyl-DGs and an undivided cell, which improved the general accessibility and applicability of electrochemical synthetic set-ups (Scheme 6D).\textsuperscript{38}

Through use of peroxide-based methylating reagents, Cai developed an interesting condition-dependent selective C–H/ N–H methylation procedure using DCP or di-\textit{tert}-butyl peroxide (DTBP) (Scheme 7).\textsuperscript{39} By altering the methylating agent and catalyst, the authors effectively controlled whether N–H or C–H methylation occurred, where the DGs were sulfonamides and methanamides.

In 2018, Nova\textsuperscript{c}k successfully prepared a number of S-alkyl-dibenzothiophenium salts that were effective electrophiles for Pd-catalysed C–H alkylation, further expanding the repertoire of methylating reagents available (Scheme 8). With these in hand, \textit{ortho} methylation of simple acetanilides was achieved, and aromatic ureas were equally applicable.\textsuperscript{40} The thiophenium salts could be synthesised via the alkylation of dibenzothiophene with formate esters in strong acid.

The use of 8-aminoquinoline (8-AQ) as a DG was pioneered by Daugulis in 2010,\textsuperscript{41} and has since received significant synthetic interest in both directed C(sp\textsuperscript{2})–H and C(sp\textsuperscript{3})–H functionalisation. Chen achieved condition-dependent mono- and di-selective methylation using substrates bearing an 8-AQ DG, enabled simply by adjusting the amount of NaHCO\textsubscript{3} used (Scheme 9A).\textsuperscript{42} Qi identified that similar quinolinamide (QA) or picolinamide (PA) bidentate groups could enable the selective
mono-methylation of the C8-position of 1-naphthylamine scaffolds, following their previous success with QA-directed C8-arylation of the same scaffold (Scheme 9B).

8-AQ was also applied in the ortho di-methylation of cobalt and iron sandwich complexes, where Elias was successful in forming novel palladacycles through the C–H activation of the cyclopentadienyl (Cp) rings (Scheme 9C). These examples showcased the continued use of N-based bidentate ligands in the C–H methylation of arenes and heteroarenes, highlighting their robustness and versatility.

Bidentate DGs have also been applied in the synthesis of bespoke amino acid analogues (Scheme 9D). Ma described an ortho-selective di-methylation of (S)-N-Boc-tyrosine, using picolinamide and other amide-based groups. Di-methylation occurred in excellent yield, offering an appealing means of acquiring methylated tyrosine analogues.

2.1.2 Pd-Catalysed C(sp 2 )–H methylation directed by carboxylic acids.

The versatile and accessible nature of carboxylic acids render their use as DGs a significant development. Yu has researched extensively within this field, and has advanced the scope of directed C–H alkylation by developing an expansive set of conditions for the functionalisation of benzoic acids. Expanding this chemistry, in 2013, Yu & Baran developed a Pd-catalysed benzoic acid-directed C–H methylation procedure using amino acid-derived ligands; these were shown to be crucial to reactivity, exhibiting a profound ligand-acceleration effect (Scheme 10A).

This C–H methylation strategy was successfully applied as the penultimate step in an elegant total synthesis of (+)-hongoquercin A (Scheme 10B). In this instance, the carboxylic acid directed two sequential site-selective C–H functionalisation events – C–H methylation followed by C–H oxidation at the second ortho position. An alternative route via an amidic-DG was equally applicable, and markedly increased the yield of the C–H oxidation step. The divergent design allowed for the generation of a small library of related analogues, showcasing its applicability to diversity-oriented synthesis.
In the same year, Yu described a similar approach for the ortho C–H alkylation of arylacetic acid derivatives and benzoic acids (Scheme 10C). After selecting amino acid-derived ligand Boc-Thr(t-Bu)–OH from a comprehensive ligand screen, electron-rich and electron-poor phenylacetic acids were shown to be compatible substrates in the C–H alkylation chemistry. Notably, C–H methylation occurred in almost quantitative conversion and high yield. Mechanistic studies supported the possibility of a radical process, and the rate-determining step appeared to be highly dependent on the electronics of the substrate. Furthermore, the methylation protocol was applied in the late-stage methylation of medicinally relevant compound BMS-98947-055-01.

A similar protocol was more recently reported by Cheng, who employed DTBP as both the methylating agent and oxidant. This protocol allowed the ortho C–H methylation of benzoic acids to occur under external oxidant-free and ligand-free conditions (Scheme 11).49

2.1.3 Pd-Catalysed C(sp2)–H methylation using temporary or transient directing groups. Utilising a modifiable or transient DG-strategy has emerged as an effective means of widening the synthetic efficiency of directed C–H functionalisation. A modifiable (or removable) DG is classified as one in which the group can be readily transformed in additional synthetic steps; these are distinct from those that cannot undergo further versatile transformations, that may limit structural diversity.50 Alternatively, transient groups are able to form reversible linkages with substrates in situ, with the installation, functionalisation, and removal occurring in one pot.23,50

To this end, a variety of silane DGs, that are able to serve as downstream functional handles, have been developed.51 Gevorgyan has previously documented the removable and modifiable pyridyl-diisopropylsilyl (PyDipSi) and pyridyl-diisopropylsilyl (PyrDipSi) DGs, and in 2016 applied PyrDipSi in the ortho C–H alkylation of arenes. The example of C–H methylation was mono-selective, and occurring in good yield (Scheme 12).52 Such Si-tethered arenes possess impressive versatility and can be transformed into a number of functional groups including aryl halides, phenols, biaryls, and boronic ester derivatives, with the opportunity to enable a streamlined C–H methylation and diversification platform.

Alternatively, the use of transient directing groups (TDGs) has positioned itself as an extremely appealing method for expediting syntheses.53 An early report of this approach was described by...
Jun in 1997,⁵⁴ and was notably exemplified by Yu in the identification of an amino acid transient DG for the functionalisation of C(sp³)-H bonds in 2016.⁵⁵

Within the context of C–H methylation methodology, Chen & Sorensen harnessed this approach in 2018, where the Pd-catalysed ortho methylation (and fluorination) of benzaldehydes was enabled (Scheme 13).⁵⁶

Scheme 10 (A) ortho methylation of benzoic acids. (B) The total synthesis of (+)-hongoquercin A. (C) C–H methylation of arylacetic acid derivatives and medicinally relevant compound BMS-98947-055-01. ¹ 1.5 eq. MeBF₃K, ligand = Boc-Thr(t-Bu)–OH, 110 °C, 2 h. ² 3.0 eq. MeBF₃K, ligand = Boc-Phe–OH, 90 °C, 12 h.

Scheme 11 ortho methylation of benzoic acids employing DTBP as the methylating reagent.

Scheme 12 ortho methylation using the modifiable and removable directing group PyrDipSi.
In this work, ortho methylation was achieved using orthanilic acid-analogue TDG1, and the protocol was demonstrated on a variety of benzaldehydes in good yields. Crystallographic evidence was obtained of the TDG forming a multidentate cyclometalated species, supporting the proposed mechanism. This work not only offered a novel TDG, but also expanded the scope of catalytic C–H methylations of aldehydes. The approach was extended to other alkyl groups in an Ir-catalysed ortho-alkylation of heteroaromatic aldehydes, but in this instance, C–H methylation was only demonstrated in low yield.\(^5^7\)

### 2.1.4 Rh-Catalysed C(sp\(^2\))–H methylation

Rh-Catalysis has proven to be valuable in directed C–H functionalisation method development. In 2013, Sun & Shi described a Rh-catalysed methylation of a 2-arylpyridine, interestingly, using acetic acid as the methyl source.\(^5^8\) This was a singular example of methylation in a study focused on C–H arylation (Scheme 14). Many of the reports that followed this work adopted the MeBF\(_3\)K methylating reagent discussed previously. In 2015, Li reported the Rh-catalysed ortho-selective C–H methylation directed by a suite of N-based DGs (Scheme 15).\(^5^9\) MeBF\(_3\)K was used as the methyl source, resulting in the ortho methylation of a variety of arenes and the C2 methylation of indoles. Mechanistic studies suggested that a radical process was not operational, and thus transmetalation followed by C–C bond-forming reductive elimination was proposed as the likely mechanism (Scheme 15A).

In 2017, Ding developed a general method for the ortho methylation of 2-aryl(benzo)thiazoles, using MeBF\(_3\)K as the methyl source, albeit with limited selectivity between mono- and di-functionalisation (Scheme 15A).\(^6^0\) Similar conditions were also used in the regioselective C8 C–H methylation of quinoline N-oxides by Liu (Scheme 15A),\(^6^1\) as well as in the selective directed C–H methylation of 2,4-diarylquinazolines described by Peng.\(^6^2\)

Liu also took advantage of the catalytic reactivity of Rh, in order to access the 6-position of a number of pyridones (Scheme 15B), facilitating C–H methylation enabled by a pyridyl directing group.\(^6^3\) A related transformation was more recently described by Walsh, using acetic anhydride as the methylation agent in a C6-selective decarbonylative alkylation of pyridones (Scheme 15C).\(^6^4\)

An exciting recent development disclosed by Pilarski in 2020, was the ability to perform this Rh-catalysed transformation using mechanochemistry (Scheme 16).\(^6^5\) The ball-milling approach\(^6^6\) was not only solvent-free, but also highly regioselective. Interestingly, they developed a frequency-dependent regioselective C–H methylation protocol on an indoline substrate (C7 vs. C2 insertion), and explicitly highlighted the advantages of using this ball-milling approach in the preparation of rhodacycles. C–H methylation was also achieved on a number of biologically-relevant compounds, thus highlighting the applicability of this mechanochemical approach in late-stage functionalisation.

### 2.1.5 Ru-Catalysed C(sp\(^2\))–H methylation

In contrast to the transition metals discussed previously, to date there have been fewer recent developments using Ru-catalysis to enable C–H methylation.

Despite this, Ackermann – a pioneer in Ru-catalysed C–H functionalisation\(^6^7\) – documented the Ru(II)-catalysed C–H methylation of both indoles and pyrroles using a pyridyl DG appended to the indole nitrogen (Scheme 17). In line with other reports, MeBF\(_3\)K was applied as the methyl source and silver salts proved to be optimal as the terminal oxidant. The reaction also proved successful for the methylation of a tryptophan derivative, occurring in excellent yield.\(^6^8\)
2.2 3d transition metal-catalysed \( C(\text{sp}^2) \)-H methylation

In contrast to the transition metals discussed previously, the application of the earth-abundant 3d transition metals offers an attractive alternative. Such 3d transition metal catalysts, namely Co, Fe, Ni or Mn, are generally readily available, inexpensive, relatively non-toxic, and can also possess unique catalytic capabilities.\(^{15}\)

As with the previously discussed 4d transition metal systems, selective C–H activation using 3d transition metals is often facilitated by the use of an appropriate DG. Typically, such transformations rely on the use of a proximal DG to assist in the activation of C–H bonds, with the C–H bonds at the ortho position to the group most commonly activated.\(^{23}\) The directing moieties that allow this reactivity have evolved from highly privileged auxiliaries to commonplace functional groups, including primary amides and ketones; these advancements have considerably improved the practicality of this approach. The following section will highlight the most recent advances in directed \( C(\text{sp}^2) \)-H methylation catalysed by the 3d transition metals.

2.2.1 Co-Catalysed \( C(\text{sp}^2) \)-H methylation.

The use of cobalt complexes in C–H alkylation has been well-established for a number of years. An early example from Shi in 2010 involved the C–H alkylation of benz[\( h \)]quinoline with Grignard reagents, using 2,3-dichlorobutane (2,3-DCB) as the oxidant (Scheme 18).\(^{69}\)

In this instance, the nitrogen atom of the benz[\( h \)]quinoline scaffold coordinated to the Co catalyst and directed the desired alkylation, featuring a single example of methylation in good yield. In the absence of catalyst, the C2-position on the pyridine...
ring was alkylated, demonstrating a powerful catalyst-driven switch in regioselectivity.

Soon after, Nakamura disclosed the Co-catalysed C–H alkylation of secondary benzamides, also using simple Grignard reagents (Scheme 18). In a singular example, the ortho methylation of N-methyl-1-naphthalenecarboxamide was demonstrated in good yield.

Co-catalysed C–H methylation reactions have more recently expanded to include methodologies featuring other nitrogen-centred DGs, together with a variety of electrophilic and nucleophilic methyl sources. In 2016, Xu demonstrated the use of AlMe₃ as the methyl source for the 8-AQ-directed C–H methylation of a variety of substituted arenes (Scheme 19). This methylation procedure was selective for the less sterically-hindered ortho position on meta-substituted benzamides and exclusively displayed mono-selectivity. This was attributed to steric interactions in the key cobaltacycle complex with an already-present methyl moiety in the ortho position. The authors

Scheme 16 A mechanochemical approach to C–H methylation, including in the methylation of biologically-relevant compounds (MM = mixer mill).

Scheme 17 Methylation of indoles utilising Ru-catalysis, applied in the methylation of a tryptophan derivative.

Scheme 18 Early work featuring Co-catalysed C–H methylation, effected by superstoichiometric amounts of Grignard reagents.
also reported the methylation of 8-AQ protected 1-methylcyclohexane-1-carboxamide at the terminal methyl group, demonstrating the applicability of the methodology in C(sp^3)-H methylation.

Complementary to the use of nucleophilic methyl sources, Yoshikai successfully utilised methyl tosylate (MeOTs) for Co-catalysed C–H methylation (Scheme 19). The reaction was demonstrated with a variety of nitrogen-centred DGs, including N-aryl imines, N–H imines, and 2-pyridines. Conveniently, under suitably acidic conditions, imine hydrolysis could be conducted to recover the corresponding C–H methylated ketones. Neopentyl magnesium bromide was selected as a base in this procedure, and a mechanism invoking a single electron transfer (SET) for the activation of MeBr (formed in situ from MeOTs and L/HBr) was proposed.

With MeOTs serving as the preliminary methyl source, high value CD_{3} groups were conveniently appended by the use of CD_{3}OTs. In 2017, Butenschoen documented the Co-catalysed ortho methylation of metallocenes, constituting a welcome addition to the expanding field of Co-catalysed methylation strategies (Scheme 20). The reaction was amenable to ferrocenes bearing a variety of nitrogen-based DGs such as oxazolines, 8-AQ and triazolyl-dimethyl (TAM) carboxamides. Both mono- and di-methylated products were isolated, but only the di-methylated product was observed for substrates bearing an 8-AQ DG.

Peroxides have also been used as methylating reagents in Co-catalysed C–H methylation reactions. In 2016, Li & Lu reported the methylation of a wide variety of aryl amides bearing a 2-pyridinylisopropyl (PIP) directing group using DCP as both the methyl source and the oxidant (Scheme 21). The proposed catalytic cycle of Co-catalysed C–H methylation using organic peroxides as methylating agents.
mechanism involved a Co\textsuperscript{II}/Co\textsuperscript{III}/Co\textsuperscript{IV} catalytic cycle, where the Co centre coordinated to the amide and PIP DG nitrogen atoms. After substrate coordination to the cobalt complex, C–H activation led to formation of the cobaltacycle. This was then followed by a methyl transfer from the oxygen-centred cumyloxy radical generated from DCP and an accompanying oxidation of Co\textsuperscript{III}. Reductive elimination and ligand exchange subsequently liberated the methylated product. A similar catalytic cycle was suggested to hold true for a Co-catalysed methylation described by Cai in 2019,\textsuperscript{75} as well as in an 8-AQ-directed Ni-catalysed C–H methylation reported by Chatani using DCP as the methyl source (vide infra).\textsuperscript{76}

Cai disclosed a mechanistically-related Co-catalysed C–H methylation strategy for anilides using a CoBr\textsubscript{2}/PCy\textsubscript{3} catalytic system together with DTBP as the methyl source (Scheme 21).\textsuperscript{75} Not only did the method circumvent the need for elaborate DGs and the use of precious metals, it also made use of inexpensive DTBP. The reaction was also amenable to the use of more commonplace functional groups such as aryl ketones, amides and esters as directing groups.

A major development in the field of arene C–H methylation, and 3d transition metal-catalysed C–H functionalisation in general, was achieved in 2020 when Johansson & Ackermann developed an impressive Co-catalysed late-stage C–H methylation protocol (Scheme 22).\textsuperscript{77} Me\textsubscript{3}B\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{3} was employed as the methyl source, and the highly electrophilic Cp*Co(PhH)(PF\textsubscript{6})\textsubscript{2} was shown to be the optimal catalyst for this transformation. Using this combination, directed C–H methylation was shown to be achievable using a plethora of Lewis basic functional groups.

This wide and versatile selection of DGs included nitrogen-containing heterocycles and primary amides, but also traditionally “weakly” coordinating groups such as ketones and aldehydes. To further demonstrate the power of the approach, the late-stage methylation of an array of complex drug molecules was achieved, enabling the potential investigation of the “Magic Methyl” effect in a convenient, late-stage manner.

The proposed mechanism for this reaction involved a Co\textsuperscript{I}/Co\textsuperscript{III} cycle, with the heteroatom lone pair of the DG coordinating to the catalyst. Subsequent C–H cobaltation followed by transmetalation with Me\textsubscript{3}B\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{3} introduced the methyl group to the metal centre. After reductive elimination liberated the methylated product, Ag\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} was able to regenerate the active Co\textsuperscript{III} catalyst.

2.2.2 Fe-Catalysed C(sp\textsuperscript{2})–H methylation. A breakthrough in the area of Fe-catalysed C(sp\textsuperscript{2})–H methylation came in 2015, when both Ackermann (Scheme 23) and Ilies & Nakamura...
Alternative to Grignard reagents, Ackermann also described the use of MeI as an electrophilic methyl source. Notably, when coupled with an Fe-catalysed TAM-directed reaction system, excellent mono-selectivity was achieved (Scheme 23B).79

In 2017, Ackermann further reported an alternative set of conditions for Fe-catalysed ortho di-methylation of benzalmines. In this methodology, tri-substituted 1,2,3-triazole (TST) acted as the DG, MeMgBr as the methyl source and 2,3-DCB as the oxidant (Scheme 23C).80 Like TAM, the TST directing group was easily removed post-methylation. Pleasingly, it was demonstrated that the presence of the TST group did not affect the stereochemical integrity of the product formed from an enantoienriched substrate.

Ilies & Nakamura’s complementary approach from 2015 opted for the use of a benzamide bearing an 8-AQ DG under similar conditions (Scheme 24A).81 In agreement with Ackermann, ZnMeCl was proposed as the active methylating reagent. They also suggested that a catalytic quantity of ZnMeCl was used to generate the active organoiron(n) species responsible for C–H activation. Excellent mono-selectivity was observed at the less hindered ortho C–H site.

In the same year, Ilies & Nakamura described a related methylation of amine substrates bearing a PA DG, and of benzamides bearing an 8-AQ DG (Scheme 24B).82 In this case, AlMe3 was used as the methyl source with 2,3-DCB as the oxidant. An alternative protocol was also disclosed using the air-stable bis-(trimethylaluminum) 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane adduct (DABAL-Me3) as the methyl source, albeit requiring longer reaction times. The use of a milder Al-based methyl source – when compared to Grignard reagents – was proposed to prevent premature reduction of the reactive organoiron species in the catalytic cycle, allowing for catalyst turnover numbers as high as 6500. Exclusive mono-methylation was observed for substrates bearing a meta substituent on the aromatic ring, which suppressed methylation at the neighbouring ortho site. However, ortho di-methylated products were observed to be the major products for unsubstituted or para-substituted substrates. For acyclic alkene carboxamides, Z to E isomerization occurred to generate a mixture of isomers of the methylated products. It was again noted that the presence of a PA group did not affect the stereochemical integrity of the product formed from an enantio-enriched substrate.

This technique was later expanded by Ilies & Nakamura, in the carbonyl-directed ortho methylation of arenes using AlMe3 as the methyl source (Scheme 24C).83 DABAL-Me3 proved to be an effective alternative methyl source, albeit with lower reactivity. Employing a simple carbonyl group as the DG complemented the amicid DGs discussed previously, and allowed for the C–H methylation of readily available aromatic ketones, carboxylic acids, esters and amides. Furthermore, functional groups including boronates and enolisable ketones were tolerated. Tridentate phosphine ligand 4-(bis[2(diphenylphosphino)phenyl]-phosphanyl)-N,N-dimethylaniline (Me₂N-TP) was found to be key for reactivity in this transformation.

In 2019, Yoshikai further expanded the repertoire of Fe-catalysed ortho C–H methylations (Scheme 24D).84 Pivalophenone N–H imines were used as monodentate directing groups given their downstream value as intermediates towards ketones and nitriles.
This method also exclusively displayed mono-methylation, supposedly due to steric repulsions between the \( t\)-Bu group and the initially introduced methyl group.

### 2.2.3 Ni-Catalysed C(sp\(^2\))–H methylation

Unlike many of the Co- and Fe-catalysed processes, most of which use nucleophilic methyl sources, Ni-catalysed C–H methylation occupies a unique position among base-metal catalysed strategies owing to its favourable compatibility with electrophilic methyl sources. To date, 8-AQ amides have been shown to be viable substrates for selective Ni-catalysed C–H methylation. In 2015, Chatani reported a Ni-catalysed \textit{ortho} methylation of aromatic amides employing MeOTs and NaI to generate MeI \textit{in situ} (Scheme 25A).\(^{85}\) In the following year, Chatani applied quaternary ammonium salt PhMe\(_3\)NI as a methyl source in the otherwise similar \textit{ortho} methylation of 8-AQ-appended arenes (Scheme 25B).\(^{86}\) The authors proposed that \textit{ortho} methylation occurred instead of the competing \textit{ortho}-arylation as the active Ni\(^{II}\) catalytic species was not sufficiently nucleophilic to participate in the oxidative addition of the Ph–N bond. In contrast, the Me–N bond was suitable for oxidative addition through an S\(_{N}\)\(^2\)-type mechanism. An alternative proposal was the decomposition of PhMe\(_3\)NI to generate MeI, which would then participate in an oxidative addition/reductive elimination manifold. As all the methylation substrates in both reactions bore \textit{ortho}- or \textit{meta}-substituents, for the case of the \textit{meta}-substrates, the less hindered \textit{ortho} C–H bond was shown to undergo C–H methylation. Both reactions featured a Ni\(^{II}\)/Ni\(^{IV}\) catalytic cycle, in which the Ni\(^{II}\) species effected both C–H activation and oxidative addition.

Further expanding the repertoire of methyl sources applicable in Ni-catalysed C–H methylation reactions, Chatani later documented the use of DCP as a methyl source, in a proposed radical-based mechanism (vide supra) involving a Ni\(^{II}/Ni^{III}/Ni^{IV}\) catalytic cycle (Scheme 25C).\(^{76}\) Similarly to the previously discussed reports, \textit{meta}-substitution enforced methylation only at the less hindered \textit{ortho} C–H bond. Mechanistic experiments suggested that C–H bond cleavage was reversible and that reductive elimination was likely to be rate-determining.

In 2019, Tan & Chen developed a robust Ni-catalysed \textit{ortho} C–H methylation using DTBP. Conveniently, the methodology did not require the use of an external base or ligand, nor demanded moisture-free or inert atmospheric conditions (Scheme 25D).\(^{87}\) Additionally, the use of DTBP afforded acetone.
as a by-product, aiding the isolation of the methylated product. In agreement with Chatani, a radical-based mechanism involving a Ni\textsuperscript{II}/Ni\textsuperscript{III}/Ni\textsuperscript{IV} catalytic cycle was proposed.

### 2.2.4 Mn-Catalysed C(sp\textsubscript{2})–H methylation.

Manganese has become highly valuable in catalysis in recent years due to its low toxicity, and often unique reactivity when compared with other 3d transition metals.\textsuperscript{15} However, there remain only a few reports of Mn-catalysed C–H methylation using similar substrates to those already discussed.

In 2017, Ackermann developed a TAM-directed MnCl\textsubscript{2}-catalysed C–H methylation procedure with MeMgBr (Scheme 26).\textsuperscript{88} Unlike the previously-reported triazole-directed Fe-catalysed approach,\textsuperscript{78–80} the transition to a Mn-catalysed system obviated the need for a phosphine ligand or a zinc additive. The suggested mechanism involved a Mn\textsuperscript{II}/Mn\textsuperscript{III}/Mn\textsuperscript{I} catalytic cycle where in this case intermediary radical generation was suggested to be unlikely.

Ilies & Nakamura described the use of MnCl\textsubscript{2}/C\textsubscript{2}LiCl for C–H methylation. The reaction was shown to operate at room temperature with MeMgBr as the methyl source, 1-bromo-2-chloroethane as the oxidant, and without an external ligand (Scheme 26).\textsuperscript{89} This process boasted low catalyst loadings, with a catalytic turnover of up to 5900. A diverse range of DGs including amides, pyridines, oxazolines, pyrazoles, nitriles and methylsulfoxides were applicable, rendering this method a substantial addition to previously-reported directed C–H methylation methods. Unlike their previous Fe-catalysed methylation protocol with AlMe\textsubscript{3},\textsuperscript{82} this Mn-catalysed reaction was not amenable to bidentate directing groups. The proposed mechanism for this reaction involved a Mn\textsuperscript{II}/Mn\textsuperscript{III}/Mn\textsuperscript{I} catalytic cycle where in this case intermediary radical generation was suggested to be unlikely.

### 2.3 Catellani-type strategies for C(sp\textsubscript{2})–H methylation

Building upon a wealth of established cross-coupling literature, the Catellani reaction has gained significant attention as a powerful difunctionalisation strategy.\textsuperscript{90} A necessary feature of the reaction is the norbornene (NBE) co-catalyst. After an initial oxidative addition to the C–X bond to furnish intermediate I, NBE acts as a transient mediator to direct Pd to the ortho position via carbopalladation and palladacycle formation. The resulting intermediate II can then undergo oxidative addition with a suitable methyl electrophile to generate intermediate III, which is capable of reductive elimination to position the methyl group at the ortho position to the original position of the C–X bond (Scheme 27).

Subsequent retro-carbopalladation and norbornene disassociation affords intermediate IV. Attesting to the high modularity of the Catellani reaction, various termination protocols can be exploited in the final ipso functionalisation. Di-methylation is also possible in the instance that both ortho positions are unsubstituted, allowing for tri-functionalisation in a singular step. The following section details recent developments in C(sp\textsubscript{3})–H methylation that capitalise on Catellani-type Pd/NBE cooperative catalysis. While initial reports have focused solely on aryl substrates, recent advancements extending to vinyl substrates will also be discussed here.

#### 2.3.1 ortho-Directed C(sp\textsubscript{3})–H methylation

Prior to 2018, protocols detailing Catellani-type ortho-C(sp\textsubscript{3})–H methylation...
were rarely reported, and typically offered only a single example in a wider study. In 2007, Lautens demonstrated the use of a Catellani reaction to conduct ortho-C–H alkylation terminating via ipso cyanation, featuring a di-methylation that occurred in 37% yield (Scheme 28A). This work was followed by a report from Wilson, which included a singular example of ortho C–H methylation with a Heck reaction as the termination, similarly utilising methyl iodide as the methyl source (Scheme 28B). This constituted a standalone example in a study focused on a complementary ortho C–H amination/ipso C–I methylation protocol using Catellani methodology. Here, methylvoronic acid was used as a terminating reagent to formally replace the ipso-iode handle with a methyl group. Dong developed a similar methodology in 2018, where methylation followed by a Heck reaction with tert-butyl acrylate occurred in excellent yield on a simple arene (Scheme 28C).93

Noting the volatility of methyl iodide, Zhou identified MeOTs and trimethyl phosphate (PO(OMe)3) as effective methylating agents for Catellani reactivity (Scheme 29).90 Furthermore, the use of CD3OTs was equally effective in appending high-value CD3 groups. The authors extended the chemistry to a vast substrate scope, attesting to the robustness of the methodology. Impressively, this protocol was shown to be amenable to a host of termination strategies, where various cross-couplings, cyanation, borylation and hydrogenation were viable. Applicability to late-stage methylation was also demonstrated, resulting in the synthesis of methylated fenofibrate and ezetimibe analogues.

In a separate study, a complementary Catellani-type ortho methylation/ipso borylation strategy was demonstrated by Smith, where methyl iodide was employed to enable the C(sp2)–H methylation/borylation of 2-iodotoluene in 60% yield.94

The methodology reported by Zhou (vide supra) was also successfully performed on several aryl bromides, an uncommon but synthetically useful development. Dong similarly conducted a Catellani-type C(sp2)–H methylation of 2-bromoanisole, in which methyl 4-nitrobenzenesulfonate (MeONs) was utilised as the methylating agent (Scheme 30).93

2.3.2 meta-Directed C(sp2)–H methylation. In 2015, Yu showcased a novel meta C–H methylation protocol which elegantly combined a Catellani approach with directed ortho C–H activation (Scheme 31).95 While the Catellani reaction was traditionally employed for ortho-C(sp2)–H activation, this methodology showed the ease of tuning an ortho-selective reaction into a meta-selective extension.

In this protocol, the N2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro-4-trifluoromethylphenyl amide directing group facilitates the initial ortho-C–H palladation to afford intermediate I. Subsequent 1,2-migratory insertion of norborne was enabled through the use of pyridine-based ligands, with ligand L2 eventually chosen after a rigorous screening process. Following NBE insertion, intermediate II can then undergo methylation at the meta position. Intermediate II was noted to be potentially susceptible to an undesirable C–C reductive elimination which would generate the benzocyclobutane side product. A subsequent computational study conducted by Yang demonstrated the importance of ligand L2 in shifting the energetics of the reaction pathway to favour the observed oxidative addition to the alkyl iodide.96 Unlike traditional Catellani reactions, where a secondary termination event takes place, protodemetalation of intermediate IV occurred to deliver the meta-C–H methylated product. This methodology was also shown to be a general strategy for meta C(sp2)–H activation – the use of alternative coupling partners such as benzyl bromides

Scheme 27 General mechanism of the Catellani reaction in the case of C–H methylation.

Scheme 28 Catellani-type ortho C(sp2)–H methylations utilising methyl iodide.
and aryl iodides allowed for the generation of alternative alkylation and arylation products respectively. Via prudent selection of the aryl ipso handle and optimised reaction conditions, this innovative strategy introduced a powerful, new approach to meta functionalisation employing Catellani-type reactivity. Using the same directing group strategy, Yu subsequently demonstrated that the benzylsulfonamide moiety, prevalent in pharmaceuticals, could also act as an ortho DG (Scheme 32). Ding later showed that nosyl-protected phenylalanines were also suitable substrates for similar meta-methylation (Scheme 32). With each directing group, a survey of suitable NBE derivatives, as well as pyridine- and quinoline-derived ligands, allowed for optimisation of reaction efficiency. The methylation protocol was successfully applied to an L-phenylalanine substrate, with no observed racemisation of the amino acid stereogenic centre.

2.3.3 Alkenyl C(sp2)–H methylation. Compared with conventional (hetero)arenes, the increased reactivity of vinylic substrates renders premature reaction termination – via a 3-exo-trig carbopalladation pathway – a concerning possibility in Catellani-type functionalisations. In 2019, Dong further expanded the scope of Catellani-type C(sp2)–H methylation by demonstrating an ortho methylation/ipso alkenylation of a cyclohexenyl substrate (Scheme 33). Key to this strategy was the use of amide-substituted norbornene NBE6, which was suggested to be a crucial component in inhibiting the undesired cyclopropanation pathway. Additionally, 5-trifluoromethyl-2-pyridinol L3 was identified as an essential additive, and was hypothesised to be critical for concerted metalation deprotonation (CMD). Beyond methylation, this methodology was also amenable to a number of electrophiles and nucleophiles, acting as a general strategy towards synthetically challenging tetrasubstituted olefins.

Expanding upon this vinylic functionalisation, Dong extended the approach to distal functionalisation via directed C–H activation and Pd/NBE cooperative catalysis (Scheme 34). While principally focussed upon arylation, C(sp2)–H methylation was achieved with both cyclic and conformationally flexible acyclic alkenes systems in modest yields, under either oxime or 2-amino-pyridine direction – a remarkable feat given the challenging nature of the transformation.

3. Innate/direct C(sp2)–H methylation

3.1 One-electron strategies

3.1.1 Radical additions to heteroarenes – the Minisci reaction. Heteroarenes are the backbone of the modern pharmaceutical
chemical space. The ubiquity of these structures is evidenced by heteroaromatic fragments constituting 41% of the most commonly occurring N-heterocyclic motifs. As such, the decoration and manipulation of these moieties, and in particular the controlled construction of C–C bonds around them, has become a goal of paramount importance in modern drug discovery campaigns.

A profound development in the field came following pioneering work from Minisci, in which radicals generated via a Ag(II)-mediated oxidative decarboxylation were observed to add to nitrogen-containing aromatic bases followed by subsequent rearomatisation (Scheme 35). Bolstered by the development of directing group methodologies, such transformations have been expanded to a wide range of substrates and functional groups, facilitating the synthesis of complex molecules with multiple reactive sites.

Scheme 31 meta C–H methylation of aryl C(sp²)–H bonds, combining a directing group approach and Catellani-type Pd/NBE catalysis.

Scheme 32 Alternative directing groups utilised to conduct meta-directed C(sp²)–H methylations.
of new radical generation manifolds, the now-eponymous reaction – which notably complements well-established Friedel–Crafts reactivity – has become a powerful tool in the construction of C–C bonds in a plethora of heteroaromatic substrates.102

Despite initial challenges, such as the harsh conditions required for radical generation101,c,g,103 and the generally unpredictable behaviour of the resulting radicals, the development of this mechanistic framework as a C–H methylation tool has enjoyed a recent expansion, with numerous exciting and valuable methodologies being reported. These will be the subject of the following section and have been categorised according to the nature of methyl radical/methyl radical surrogate generation.

3.1.2 Radical generation via the decomposition of peroxides.

Organic peroxides have found widespread application as radical precursors, owing to the low bond dissociation energy (BDE) of the O–O single bond (Scheme 36).104 The resulting oxygen-centred radicals (OCRs) – such as in the case of the tert-butoxy radical – are capable of further decomposition via β-scission to generate an alkyl radical, which is in turn able to engage a heteroarene.105

The power of this approach as a C–H methylation strategy was highlighted in a seminal report from DiRocco in 2014, in which a photocatalytic manifold was employed to initiate the decomposition of tert-butyl peracetate (Scheme 37A).106 A high-throughput screen was performed to establish a set of optimal conditions which were later applied to the C–H methylation of a number of complex biologically-active heterocycles. Despite modest yields in some cases, the methodology was developed in a manner that rendered it of great value to medicinal chemistry programmes.

While this chemistry enabled the generation of methyl radicals under mild conditions, an alternative approach focuses on the facile, thermolytic cleavage of organic peroxides. Such routes have been exemplified in the methylation of a number of privileged heterocyclic scaffolds such as pyridine N-oxides,107 pyrimidines108 and imidazo[1,2-a]pyridines109 (Scheme 37B). Lin & Yan further developed their methylation of imidazo[1,2-a]-pyridines to enable the methylation of quinoxalin-2(1H)-ones by the use of tert-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP) instead of DCP.109

Zeng & Zou demonstrated that the use of additives can further widen the scope of these methylation strategies. They employed a Cu(I)/Cu(II) catalytic cycle to enable the methylation of coumarins utilising DTBP as the methyl source.110 A distinct approach from Gu & Xia used a Na2SO3/I2 couple to act as an external oxidant, via the gradual release of I-radicals capable of triggering rearomatisation of the Minisci adduct.111

In a 2017 report from Bao, this approach has found further application in the methylation of styrene derivatives (Scheme 37C).112 The adoption of Fe(OTf)3 enabled both the fragmentation of a wide range of organic peroxides and the oxidation of the benzylic radical, resulting addition of the methyl radical, to enable reformation of the alkene π-bond.

A mechanistically-related approach from Ghosh in 2018 obviated the need to use organic peroxides via the in situ generation of an iodine(m)/tert-butanol adduct, which was shown to undergo fragmentation to generate methyl radicals, thus constituting a formal C–C activation (Scheme 38).113 This system was limited to the methylation of heteroarene N-oxides, with the oxide being...
invoked as a crucial directing and activating factor in the proposed mechanism.

3.1.3 Radical generation via the activation of methanol. The ability to utilise methanol as a selective and universal C–H methylation reagent represents the apogee in the field, offering a uniquely attractive option with respect to waste, safety and cost. A tremendous advancement towards this goal was made by MacMillan in 2015 with the discovery of a dual catalytic approach to the activation of methanol for the C–H methylation of heteroarenes (Scheme 39).114

Following major developments in the fields of both hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) reactivity and photocatalysis, they elegantly entwined a photocatalytic cycle with a thiol-catalysed HAT cycle, via oxidative generation of a key thyl radical by a photocatalytically generated IrIV oxidant. The critical dehydroxylation occurred via a spin-centre shift (SCS), a mechanistic principle describing the
elimination of a leaving group adjacent to a radical which is accompanied by the transferral of the spin density to the adjacent atom.\textsuperscript{115}

A further key development to this concept was made in 2017, when Li reported a catalyst-free photoactivation of methanol (Scheme 40).\textsuperscript{116} By engaging higher energy radiation, it was observed that hydroxymethyl radical generation was feasible without an external photocatalyst, and that the efficiency of this process could be further improved by the use of dichloromethane or benzophenone as additives. The authors postulated numerous viable mechanisms for this radical generation. The protocol demonstrated a broad scope, performing well on medicinally relevant pyridines, quinolines and isoquinolines.

Furthermore, in 2017, Barriault & Scaiano demonstrated a related photo-activation of methanol (Scheme 41);\textsuperscript{117} by harnessing the power of UVA radiation the procedure avoided the use of an external photocatalytic cycle. Featuring subtly different mechanistic proposals, this work suggested the role of a sacrificial quantity of protonated substrate in forming a photo-catalytic cycle, both enabling generation of the hydroxymethyl radical and facilitating reduction of the Minisci adduct.

Following this work, Barriault described a more general alkylation procedure from alcohols which employed an iridium photocatalyst to enable the use of lower energy radiation.\textsuperscript{118} The procedure was also found to be applicable for the C–H methylation of quinolines (Scheme 41). Intriguingly, following Stern–Volmer quenching studies, the chloride ion derived from the HCl acid promoter was implicated in the photocatalytic
cycle and therefore a hydrogen abstraction by a chloride radical was proposed.

3.1.4 Radical generation via photo-decarboxylation of acetic acid and derivatives. The principal strength of the previous methods lies in the use of a feedstock chemical – methanol – as the methyl radical precursor. Equally desirable would be the application of acetic acid in the decarboxylative generation of methyl radicals which, in turn, could then be applied to Minisci-type reactions. Indeed this very transformation was achieved in early work from Minisci; however, the highly oxidative conditions (Ag+/S$_{2}$O$_{8}^{2-}$/CO) and issues with regioselectivity hampered the realisation of this procedure’s potential as a general tool for C–H methylation. Following the recent renaissance of radical chemistry, a host of milder decarboxylative strategies – largely relying on the facile reductive fragmentations of N-hydroxyphthalimide esters – have emerged. 119

These approaches, while well-established for the generation of substituted alkyl radicals, have achieved limited success in the generation and the productive application of reactive methyl radicals. An initial achievement by Shang & Fu used an iridium photocatalyst ([Ir(dF(CF$_{3}$)ppy)$_{2}$(dtbbpy)]PF$_{6}$) in the presence of a Lewis acid co-catalyst (In(OTf)$_{3}$) to selectively methylate phenanthridine in a modest 32% yield (Scheme 42). 120

Genovino & Frenette opted for the photo-reductive fragmentation of an in situ-generated hypervalent iodine species, enabling methylation of lepidine in 43% yield, using acetic acid directly as the methyl source (conditions A, Scheme 43A). 121 In contrast, Sherwood reported an in situ coupling to afford the redox-active ester which could undergo decarboxylation upon single electron reduction by an organophotocatalyst (4-CzIPN) and, subsequently, methylate lepidine in 26% yield (conditions B, Scheme 43A). 122

While effectively demonstrating proof of concept, the aforementioned methodologies were arguably not developed as broadly applicable high-yielding heterocycle methylation platforms. Directly following Genovino & Frenette’s report, Hu described the photo-induced reductive decarboxylation of hypervalent iodine dicarboxylates to afford methyl radicals which could participate in Minisci-type radical addition to quinoxalin-2-(1H)-ones (Scheme 43B). 123 In contrast to the previous examples of
decarboxylative methylation, Hu’s work showed a wide scope in the quinoxalin-2(1H)-one substrate.

Furthermore, Xu & Song applied electrophotocatalysis to either methylate or trideuteromethylate lepidine, albeit in modest yields (conditions C, Scheme 43A). Key to this strategy was a dual catalytic cycle in which a cerium photocatalyst was proposed to effect both an oxidative fragmentation of the carboxylate and then the re-oxidation of the Minisci adduct; anodic oxidation then enabled catalytic turnover by recycling Ce(III) to Ce(IV).

3.1.5 Radical generation via the activation of dimethyl sulfoxide. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) has also been explored as a viable precursor to the high-value methyl radical. Very early reports from Torsell in 1970, and then Eberhardt in 1988, detailed the treatment of Fenton’s reagent (H₂O₂ and typically FeSO₄) with DMSO for the generation of methyl radicals. This strategy was then revisited by Kasai when investigating potential mechanisms for methylation in epigenetic modification.

Recently, Antochnick developed this work to enable the trideuteromethylation of a number of quinolines and isoquinolines, demonstrating good selectivity and yields (Scheme 44). The mechanistic feature common to these protocols is the generation of a hydroxyl radical from hydrogen peroxide which then adds to DMSO-d₆ to facilitate β-scission that releases a (trideutero)methyl radical and a sulfinate salt/sulfinic acid.

An alternative strategy, reported by Glorius in 2018, elegantly employed the photo-induced reduction of in situ-generated Me₂SCl⁺. The key cationic intermediate was generated from the reaction of DMSO with an electrophilic activator (PhPOCl₂) while an iridium photocatalyst was chosen to facilitate the SET required for both methyl radical generation and subsequent oxidation of the Minisci adduct (Scheme 45). The conditions were demonstrated to be broadly applicable for the methylation and trideuteromethylation of quinolines and isoquinolines and, notably, a moderate alteration in the conditions enabled methylthiomethylation as well.

Complementary to the Minisci-type approaches described above, a base-mediated system applicable to 1,8-naphthyridines was developed by Zhu & Chen in 2019 (Scheme 46). An unusual photo-induced SET from t-BuONa to DMSO was proposed to account for the methyl radical generation. On the back of numerous deuteration experiments, a Meerwein–Pondorff–Verley-type reduction (and subsequent tautomerisation) of the 1,8-naphthyridine substrates was proposed to precede the methylation event. Auto-oxidation then enabled regeneration of aromaticity.

3.1.6 The development of bespoke methylating reagents. While the application of feedstock chemicals and solvents has been met with recent success in the methylation of heteroarenes, low yields, substrate compatibility and site selectivity have presented considerable challenges. A potential solution to this...
lies in the development of bespoke methylating reagents which show more optimal reactivity profiles.

A major development in this field came in 2014 with Baran’s report of using a novel zinc alkyl sulfinate to install a phenylsulfonylmethyl moiety, which could be smoothly converted to a methyl group under numerous reductive conditions (Scheme 47). This approach took inspiration from S-adenosylmethionine (SAM), the methylating “reagent” used by living organisms, which has been shown to methylate heteroarenes through what is likely to be an enzymatically generated methyl radical.

Zinc bis(phenylsulfonylmethanesulfinate), a free-flowing, bench-stable solid, was developed and employed in the presence of 5 equivalents of TBHP to install the phenylsulfonylmethyl group on a diverse range of heterocyclic scaffolds. The use of zinc sulfinates as radical precursors had been previously documented by Baran, where the putative mechanism involves single electron oxidation of the sulfinate by a tert-butoxy radical, generated from TBHP decomposition, which in turn triggers a desulfonylation reaction, releasing the desired radical. The greater stability of the radical in this work enabled a wider range of heteroarenes to undergo C–H methylation. In particular,

the electrophilic nature of the phenylsulfonyl-methyl radical enable efficient reaction with electron-rich heteroarenes. A related system using sodium alkyl sulfinates and phenyl-iodine(III) diacetate (PIDA) as an oxidant has also been reported by Zhang & Zhang to methylate quinoxalinones (18 examples, 45–90% yield).

A conceptually related approach was disclosed by Zard in 2018, in which a carboxylic xanthate was used to generate stabilised α-carboxymethyl radicals, capable of taking part in Minisci reactions (Scheme 48). The resulting carboxymethyl groups would then undergo a subsequent decarboxylation following heat and microwave treatment to afford the desired methyl appendage. The generation of the key α-carboxymethyl radical was initiated by the decomposition of dilauroyl peroxide (DLP). Similar to Baran’s work, the greater stability of the active radical species enabled a broad substrate scope in the carboxymethylation, of which numerous examples were then subjected to in situ decarboxylation.

From an alternative standpoint, building on the powerful recent applications of alkyl trifluoroborates as radical precursors, a study in 2016 from Chen & Liu highlighted the potential of boronic acids (and their derivatives) as methyl radical sources, following reaction with photocatalytically-generated benzoyloxy radicals (Scheme 49). In this work, numerous alkylations were described however only one example of a methylation was reported, in 46% yield on 4-chloroquinoline.

In 2020 Wang demonstrated the use of PEG-400, under an O2 atmosphere in the presence of a Brønsted acid (TsOH·H2O), as a source of α-oxy radicals which could participate in Minisci-type reactions on 3-arylquinazolin-4(3H)-ones (Scheme 50). Subsequent tandem deoxygenation and rearomatization generated the desired methylated product. This procedure enabled the methylation of a broad range of 3-phenylquinazolin-4(3H)-ones.

3.1.7 The use of methane as a methylating reagent. As an abundant, low-cost fuel gas, methane represents a highly...
attractive option in the development of methylation strategies. Despite this there are inherent challenges associated with the use of methane, particularly in the handling and reactivity of gases. An impressive, albeit exploratory, accomplishment came in Hu & Guo’s development of an alkoxy HAT catalytic system capable of hydrogen abstraction from feedstock gases such as methane (Scheme 51). They demonstrated the viability of the resulting methyl free radical in the methylation of isoquinoline under high methane pressures (5000 kPa).

3.2 Two-electron strategies for the C(sp²)–H methylation

3.2.1 C–H methylation of electron deficient N-heteroarenes.

Pyridines and other azines (6-membered heteroarenes containing one or more N atoms) possess reduced ability to participate in electrophilic aromatic substitution (S_Ar) when compared to their carbon-based arene counterparts. For this reason, nucleophilic aromatic substitution (S_NAr) is often implemented to functionalise azines of this type, however in the absence of strong electron withdrawing groups and good leaving groups, this process is rendered highly endergonic. Positions ortho and para to sp² N are the most inherently electrophilic sites (for example C2 and C4 in pyridine), yet due to the electro-positivity of hydrogen and poor leaving group ability of hydride, direct nucleophilic addition to achieve C–H methylation remains challenging.

One strategy that has been employed to raise the innate electrophilicity of the C2 and C4 positions is through N-activation. Azine-N-oxides have found extensive use as tandem activating and leaving groups for generating C–H methylated azines. These N-oxides are readily obtained from the parent N-heteroarenes under mild oxidative conditions and often obviate the considerable challenges presented by the formation of inseparable mixtures of starting material and product. An early example from Nicolaou demonstrated methylation through this sequence, utilising Tebbe’s reagent to reductively methylate three structurally simple azine N-oxides at the C2-position (Scheme 52).

Following this, Almqvist and Olsson described a single low yielding example of the addition of MeMgCl into the C2-position of 4-benzyloxypyridine-N-oxide, subsequent heating of the N-oxide with Ac₂O enabled re-aromatisation.

A problem facing the use of alkyl Grignard reagents is their highly carbanionic nature. This has been postulated to facilitate the deleterious metalation of azines, outcompeting addition of the alkyl group at the same position. Duan found that MeMgBr could be successfully added to nitropyridine-N-oxides, with retention of the N-oxide handle through 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) oxidation of the initial addition adducts. Interestingly in para-nitropyridine-N-oxides, alkyl Grignards were found to react ortho to the nitro substituent,
as opposed to aryl Grignards which preferred to react ortho to the azine N. For meta-nitropyridine-N-oxides these two directional effects were able to combine, delivering methylation in high yield (Scheme 53A). Further to this, in 2014, Larionov discovered that incorporation of catalytic CuCl and stoichiometric LiF or MgCl₂ enabled the reaction of MeMgBr with, less electronically activated, heteroarene N-oxides (Scheme 53B).¹⁴⁴ These milder reaction conditions – through employment of the key additives – allowed for a wider scope of aryl functional groups, which was exemplified in the preparation of the phenolic antimicrobial reagent chlorquinodol and a des-chloro analogue.

In 2016, Cho disclosed that the α-borylcarbanion, derived from bis[(pinacolato)boryl]methylene, was an excellent nucleophilic methyl group surrogate for the C2-selective methylation of a range of heteroarene-N-oxides (Scheme 54A).¹⁴⁵ The transition metal-free, base-promoted approach was shown to be scalable and tolerant of a variety of functional groups, including carbamates, amides, cyclic acetals, tertiary amines and aryl halides, thus allowing the preparation of a diverse set of methylated azine fragments. A powerful example of this transformation was displayed by sequential Fagnou coupling and methylation to generate a 2,6-disubstituted pyridine, a highly valuable motif within drug discovery programs.¹⁴⁶

In two recent reports, Han & Kim,¹⁴⁷ followed by Chung & Kim,¹⁴⁸ highlighted the use of methyltriphenylphosphonium salts for the C2-selective methylation of pyridine and diazine N-oxides, respectively (Scheme 54B). Believed to proceed via a formal [3+2] annulation between the azine-N-oxide and ylide, subsequent decomposition of the 1,2,5-oxazaphospholidine to extrude triphenylphosphine oxide was suggested to be the driving force for the reaction.

The initial methodology on pyridines and quinolines was found to readily translate across various diazines, with a particular focus on pyrazines. Tandem C–H activation sequences offered the opportunity for the rapid generation of value-added substrates, as showcased by the two-step amination and methylation of quinoline-N-oxide alongside the dialkylation of simple pyrazines. Furthermore, access to unsymmetric bipyridyl substrates and gram scale performance was also achieved.

The ideal C–H methylation of arenes is both direct (one-step) and, crucially, site-selective, a facet often lacking in radical approaches which often present undesirable regioselectivities. Until recently, a lone two-electron example had been reported by Sarpong,¹⁴⁹ enabling direct C6 methylation of a pyridyl alcohol with MeLi. However, in 2020 Baik & Cho succeeded in developing a reliable protocol to overcome the energy barriers associated with unactivated azine C–H methylation.¹⁵⁰ Building on previous developments in the area (Scheme 54A), the authors facilitated in situ activation-methylation of N-heteroarenes with ZnMe₂ in combination with diborylalkanes (Scheme 55). A combination of NMR, deuterium labelling and DFT studies were used to delineate the complex mechanism. These investigations suggested that complexation of the diborylmethane-derived α-borylcarbanion to the Lewis acidic MeZnO⁻Bu generated the reactive zincate intermediate, prior to a concerted C2-attack/N-activation of the azine. This zincate coordinated adduct was then believed to undergo decomposition, re-aromatising to the boromethylated azine. Subsequent protodeborylation yielded the C–H methylated product. This highly C2-selective procedure found great application on a range of quinolines, pyridines and bipyridines, tolerating a range of aryl substituents.
Scheme 54  (A) Diborylmethane addition to azine-N-oxides. (B) Phosphonium ylide addition to azine-N-oxides.

Scheme 55  Mechanism and scope of ZnMe₂-promoted direct C–H methylation of N-heteroarenes with diborylmethane.
In 2020, Kim extended the range of ylides capable of achieving azine methylation, by using sulfoxonium ylides to directly methylate pyrazinone, quinoxalinone and azauracil scaffolds (Scheme 56).\textsuperscript{151} The latter of these scaffolds, the azauracils, are of high interest in the medicinal chemistry community due to their potential to display antiviral, antitumor, and antifungal activity. Formed through deprotonation in aqueous or alcoholic media, the sulfoxonium ylides were found to exhibit nucleophilic attack exclusively at the carbon centre formally making up the imino fragment of the iminoamido heteroarene. Elimination of the sulfoxide and protonation at N generates an exo-methylene enamine which undergoes tautomerisation to furnish the C–H methylated azine. Intriguingly, no aziridine containing by-products were observed, indicating a distinct difference in reactivity to Corey–Chaykovsky aziridinations.\textsuperscript{152} The wide substrate range reported highlights the great tolerance of multiple functionalities under the basic conditions, including N-THP and N-Bn protecting groups. Diverse downstream reactivity was achieved from the newly installed methyl group, with C–H alkylation and two-step oxidation-amination being exemplified amongst other transformations. Gram-scale reactivity coupled with the use of purely aqueous media seek to further showcase the utility of sulfoxonium ylides as methylaing agents.

Very recently, Han, Hong & Kim documented an additional use for sulfur-based ylides in C–H methylation, employing trimethylsulfonium ylides for the redox neutral C2-selective methylation of azine-N-oxides with retention of the N-oxide (Scheme 57).\textsuperscript{152} Previous work from Han & Kim (Scheme 54B), focused on achieving a formal [3+2] cycloaddition between a phosphonium ylide and azine-N-oxide prior to extruding triphenylphosphine oxide to generate C2 methylated azines. In contrast, the trimethylsulfonium ylide avoids forming the analogous 1,2,5-oxathiazolidine [3+2] intermediate, the formation of which is proposed to be endergonic. Instead, the initial betaine adduct is stable and undergoes pyrrolidine-assisted E-2 elimination, as supported by DFT calculations, of dimethyl sulfide, in turn yielding C2 methylated azines with N-oxide retainment.

### 3.2.2 C–H methylation of electron rich (hetero)arenes

At the opposite end of the reactivity spectrum lie the electron rich arenes. Historically, these nucleophilic arenes have been methylated via strongly electrophilic carbon sources, such as multistep formylation and reduction, or by direct alkylation – after initial metalation – with methyl iodide or dimethyl sulfate.\textsuperscript{153} With sustainability rapidly becoming a priority in process design, the valorisation of simple and renewable feedstock chemicals is becoming ever more desirable. Building on their previous N-methylation protocol,\textsuperscript{154} Beller achieved the analogous and more challenging C–H methylation on pyrroles, indoles and other electron rich arenes utilising feedstock gases CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2} (Scheme 58).\textsuperscript{155} A catalytic reduction of CO\textsubscript{2} and subsequent nucleophilic attack on a transient ruthenium formate complex...
was proposed to deliver an intermediary hemiacetal species. *In situ* reduction by ruthenium-hydride then delivered the methyl group, generating water as the sole by-product. Numerous indoles and pyrroles were successfully methylated at their innately reactive C3-positions, alongside trimethoxybenzene analogues, the latter previously having found use in the synthesis of flavanones.156

3.2.3 Vinyl C–H methylation. There exists a great disparity within C(sp2)–H methylation methodology between aryl and vinylic systems. While the innate C–H methylation of arenes has advanced rapidly in recent years (*vide supra*), progress in the analogous C–H methylation of olefins remains limited. Alongside directed techniques,34 which proceed via oxidative addition into the olefinic C–H bond, Heck-type reactions have been deployed to forge vinylic C–Me bonds.112,157

In 2008, Brown disclosed the feasibility of Pd mediated methyl transfer from silanes to alkenes, in an olefinic Fujiwara–Moritani (or oxidative Heck) reaction (Scheme 59).158 Prepared in one step from 1,1-dimethyl-3-phenylurea, the bespoke disilylurea reagent readily underwent methyl transfer - *via* Pd - to a wide range of olefins including styrenes, acrylates and enones. A dative interaction between the proximal urea and TMS silicon centre was proposed to enable Si–Me activation, facilitating methyl transfer to PdII. Carbopalladation and subsequent β-hydride elimination then furnished the methylated-E-olefins with high geometric selectivity. E/Z-Selectivity could be reversed for olefins with adjacent and sterically hindered sp3 sites, giving access to methylated-Z-olefins.

3.3 Tandem C–H functionalisation/methylation strategies

Pre-functionalised arenes with synthetically versatile functional groups have an esteemed history in the field of C–C bond formation. The potential for divergent synthesis enabled by these handles has stimulated intensive research into methods to access them from C–H bonds. By combining state-of-the-art C–H functionalisation methodology with robust cross coupling protocols, both precise C–H selectivity and efficient C–C bond formation can be realised (Fig. 3).

For pyridyl arenes, a key challenge to address is selective C4 (or para) methylation. Both the C2 and C4 sites are innately electrophilic, and product distributions can often arise. However, C2 reactivity tends to dominate due to inductive/directional effects from the proximal N atom or N-bound activating group. Despite this, work from McNally, who has pioneered the use of azine derived arylphosphonium salts as functional handles in synthesis, presented an elegant solution to the problem at hand.159 Relying on activation of pyridine derivatives through initial N-triflation, triarylphosphines have been shown to possess...
methylate a variety of (hetero)arenes, Hartwig exploited sequential Ir and Pd/Cu catalysis to in situ both the Pd and Cu cross couplings, being elegantly generated heteroarenes where electronic effects had the greatest influence. be dominated by steric effects for carboarenes, in contrast to folds. The site selectivity of the Ir catalyst for borylation tended to the selectivity and efficiency of the well-established iridium-based approach to C–H methylation.

As part of a wider research effort, McNally recently added alkyl groups to the increasing repertoire of nucleophiles capable of substitution with the versatile phosphonium handle, detailing four examples of methylation (Scheme 60). A Co-catalysed variant of the Negishi cross coupling was developed to achieve this, with catalytic i-PrMgCl proving to be essential for efficient methylation. In the presence of C4-substituents, C2-phosphorylation, and in turn C2-selective C–H methylation, was observed.

C–H borylation chemistry to prepare versatile aryl-boron species has progressed rapidly in recent decades. In two accounts, Hartwig exploited sequential Ir and Pd/Cu catalysis to methylate a variety of (hetero)arenes via intermediary aryl-Bpin species (Scheme 61A and B). These tandem operations could be carried out as one-pot processes, requiring only a simple solvent swap between reactions. Furthermore, they showcased the selectivity and efficiency of the well-established iridium-catalysed C–H borylation of arenes, including on drug-like scaffolds. The site selectivity of the Ir catalyst for borylation tended to be dominated by steric effects for carboarenes, in contrast to heteroarenes where electronic effects had the greatest influence. Methyl iodide proved essential as the reactive methyl source in both the Pd and Cu cross couplings, being elegantly generated in situ from PO(OMe)₃ in the latter of the two methods.

Positional selectivity in the innate C–H functionalisation of arenes has remained one of the greatest challenges in the field. Inherent limits exist within sterically controlled methodologies, owing to both the limited range of the effect and similar spatial volumes of many functional groups. Subtle positional disparities in electronic structure extend across the entire arene scaffold, and subsequently, reactivity contingent on electronic directing effects has the potential to confer site selectivity between distal C–H bonds. This principle has been impressively harnessed by Ritter, demonstrating charge-transfer directed radical aromatic substitution as a means of para-selective C–H functionalisation (Scheme 61C). The highly electrophilic triethylenediamine(2⁺) (TEDA2⁺) amonium radical generated from Selectfluor-II, was found to forge C–N bonds selectively at the para-position of numerous complex carboarenes and biologically active substrates with near-absolute selectivity. Arene-to-radical charge transfer in the transition state for radical addition was postulated as the primary reason for high positional selectivity, with substitution occurring at the site from which charge transfer is the greatest. Notably, the authors were previously able to use Fukui indices as a function to predict the site of C–H functionalisation. The dicaticonic nature of the aryl-TEDA complex also allows for silica-free purification of the intermediate, prior to methylation via a Ni-catalysed Negishi reaction. Superstoichiometric TBACl or TBPB₆ were found to be critical for enabling transmetalation of the methyl group. Applications of the protocol for gram-scale reactivity and the late stage methylation of pharmaceuticals further highlight the power of this two-step approach to C–H methylation.

Understanding the utility of strongly electrophilic radical cations for precise C–H functionalisation, Ritter developed C–H thianthrenation as a means of accessing diverse downstream reactivity (Scheme 61D). Akin to the C–H TEDAylation, near absolute site selectivity was attained on formation of the C–S bond to the thianthrenium handle. Electronic directing effects were found to dominate steric factors for site differentiation in the radical C–S bond formation. A plethora of substrates ranging from simple monosubstituted arenes, to complex natural products such as strycchnine, were found to participate in the reaction delivering the bench stable thianthrenium salts. Among the myriad of downstream transformations enabled by the thianthrenium handle, methylation was shown to be possible through Ni-catalysed Negishi coupling with MeZnCl. The extreme applicability and efficacy of this transformation on both small molecular building blocks and pharmaceuticals, marks a significant milestone in arene C–H functionalisation.

4. C(sp³)–H methylation

As a consequence of the growing desire to explore 3D chemical space further, sp³-rich structures with multiple positional vectors are of ever-increasing importance in drug discovery programs. Spanning diverse structures such as saturated heterocyclic frameworks, peptidomimetics, steroidal and glycosyl fragments, these sp³-rich scaffolds readily appear within a host of medicinally relevant molecules. It has been demonstrated that increased C(sp³) character correlates with higher clinical success, through suppressed binding promiscuity (improved affinity into specific 3D binding sites) and greater stability of compounds under physiological conditions (decreased metabolite formation via cytochrome oxidation).
Scheme 61 (A + B) Methylation via C–H Borylation. (C) Methylation via C–H TEDAylation (D). Methylation via C–H Thianthrenation.
Accordingly, the desire for methodologies that enable the selective C(sp\(^3\))-H methylation of such substrates is of increasing significance. Although this transformation is synthetically challenging, several major advances in the field have been reported in recent years. The following section endeavours to cover these recent advances, and their applications in the elaboration of saturated architectures.

### 4.1 Directed C(sp\(^3\))-H methylation

The selective pin-point activation of C(sp\(^3\))-H bonds has proved more synthetically challenging than analogous C(sp\(^2\))-H centres, and accordingly functionalisation of saturated C–H bonds has relied heavily on the use of DGs. This inherent difficulty stems from the rotatable nature of sp\(^3\) hybridised bonds and the formation of weaker M–C(sp\(^3\)) bonds, rendering C–H activation events more energetically challenging.\(^{166}\) This is often coupled with deleterious potential side reactions such as β-hydride elimination and undesired alkylations.\(^{167}\) Lewis basic directing groups have been found to not only reduce the entropy change associated with C–H insertion in systems of high free rotation, such as sp\(^3\)-rich structures, but also aid in controlling the site selectivity of the C–H activation event.

The prominent role of nitrogen-based directing groups in the site-selective C–H activation of sp\(^2\) centres has been mirrored in their application in C(sp\(^3\))-H methylation (see Section 2). An early report of C(sp\(^3\))-H methylation – disclosed by Yu in 2006 as part of a wider C–H methylation project – exploited a pendant pyridyl directing group to dictate site selective methylation at the β-position to the pyridyl moiety (Scheme 62A).\(^{28}\) Good yields were achieved for the C–H methylation of terminal methyl groups, with di-methylation occurring in some instances. The methodology was also applicable to the C(sp\(^3\))-H methylation of CH\(_2\) methylene units, albeit with reduced yields. A 5-membered palladacycle, formed via a pyridyl-directed C–H activation by Pd, was proposed to be key in achieving the site selectivity observed. Methylboroxine and methylboronic acid were both found to act as efficient transmetalating agents, and the authors suggested that the addition of benzoquinone was key for the reductive elimination step. This work signified a major step towards developing C–H methylation methodologies for medicinally relevant heteroarene scaffolds, and accordingly has acted as a springboard for further reaction development.

In 2008, Yu reported a related system for the C(sp\(^3\))-H methylation of dehydroabietic acid derivatives (Scheme 62B).\(^{168}\) The use of O-methyl hydroxamic acids was demonstrated as an effective directing group in C–H methylation exclusively at the methyl appendage β to the DG. Methylation constituted a standalone example in a wider C–H alkylation study and occurred in moderate yield.

The selective activation and functionalisation of C(sp\(^3\))-H bonds saw further development when Chen described the use of a PA directing group in the C–H methylation of a diverse family of amine derivatives (Scheme 63).\(^{169}\) The methylation protocol displayed good to excellent selectivity for the...
functionalisation of unactivated C(sp³)–H bonds γ to the directing group. Interestingly, in conformationally rigid frameworks, the construction of higher order alkyl chains through sequential methylations was observed. This insight was showcased in a one-pot triple methylation of a norbornane derived substrate to install an isopropyl moiety. Following methylation, the PA directing group could be readily hydrolysed to the corresponding free amine under acidic conditions.

The 8-AQ directing group for C–H activation – which has been highlighted in the above sections – has proved equally powerful in saturated systems. In 2013, Shi170 and Chen167 contemporaneously reported the C(sp³)–H methylation of amino acid derivatives appended with the 8-AQ directing group, using methyl iodide as the methyl source (Scheme 64A), where cyclometalation proceeded via a CMD mechanism. Subsequent oxidative addition and reductive elimination forged the new carbon–carbon bond, and finally protonolysis released the C–H alkylated product, in turn regenerating the catalyst. It was hypothesised that Ag⁺ played crucial roles in the reaction mechanism: firstly, in facilitating reductive elimination and catalyst turnover by acting as an iodide scavenger, and secondly in aiding the oxidative addition through increasing the electrophilicity of the alkylating agent in an S_N2-type oxidative addition mechanism. The acidic additive, dibenzyl phosphate, employed by both groups was shown to be a key factor in improving reaction efficiency, and this – in line with earlier mechanistic proposals from Chen (Scheme 63) – was attributed to the acid acting as a solid-to-solution phase transfer catalyst for Ag⁺. Chen also reported modest to excellent diastereoselectivity with respect to the methylation of secondary β-C(sp³)–H bonds (3–15 : 1 dr), and furthermore illustrated that both CH₃ and CD₃ groups could be incorporated with this method, allowing for access to isotope labelled β-methylated α-amino acids (Scheme 64B).

Further work by Shi in 2014, introduced the use of a sulfonamide ligand L₇ to promote the C–H alkylation amino acid derivatives (Scheme 64C).171 The addition of the ligand negated the use of an external acid additive. In 2015, Chen disclosed a complementary procedure that could be carried out at room temperature, opting to retain the acidic additive – in this case trifluoroacetic acid (Scheme 64D).172 These conditions aided in improving this method’s applicability without detriment to the high yields (~90%), showcasing the robustness of this C–H
methylation protocol. The improvements also enabled the mono-
methylation of primary C(sp³)–H bonds, a feature which was not
demonstrated in their first report.

The application of finely-tuned secondary amide directing
groups, discussed in Section 2 (Scheme 5), was demonstrated
by Yu to be equally capable in the directed C–H methylation of
sp³ centres (Scheme 65).34 Notably, N-heteroaromatic ligands were
found to be crucial to reaction efficiency, where an acridine
derivative was identified as optimal amongst an array of potential
ligands.

Of the methods discussed thus far, all have exploited
palladium catalysis to enact C–H activation and subsequent
functionalisation. However, in 2020, Sharma described the
directed C(sp³)–H methylation of 8-methylquinoline structures
using a Cp*Rh III catalyst system.173 This method also allowed
for the use of MeBF₃K as a nucleophilic methyl source. While
the yields of this methylation protocol remained modest, the
demonstrated quinoline scope was notably broad (Scheme 66).
Mechanistically, the quinoline was proposed to direct C–H
activation, resulting in the formation of a five-membered rhodacycle;
this could subsequently undergo transmetalation, followed by
reductive elimination to assemble the desired mono-methylated
product.

Nitrogen-based directing groups have been shown to dom-
ninate the directed C–H methylation of sp³ centres, primarily
due to the strong binding of the N-centred lone pair to transi-
tion metal species. Despite this, in 2020, Wang & Yang reported
the use of a thioamide directing group to enable the C–H
arylation of 3-pyrroline derivatives using boronic acid nucleophiles
(Scheme 67).174 One example of methylation was exemplified,
occuring in moderate yield. Following, downstream post-synthetic
modifications, the protocol provided access to α-arylated or
methylated pyrrolidines, a motif that appears in a variety of
bioactive molecules.

4.2 Oxidative C(sp³)–H methylation with a functional group at
the α-position

The conversion of a C–H to C–Me has been shown to elicit some
of the most profound pharmacological effects when the methyl
group is installed adjacent to a heteroatom in saturated
heterocycles.1,2,175 Accordingly, the direct C(sp³)–H methylation
of saturated C(sp³)–H bonds to a heteroatom in cyclic and
acyclic systems – without the necessity of a proximal directing
group – is of great value to the synthetic community. Moreover,
the development of reaction conditions that enable the late-stage
C–H methylation of complex molecules would be of particularly
high value to medicinal chemistry programmes, obviating the
need for de novo introduction of the methyl group. Nevertheless,
such transformations remain a challenging task owing to the low
acidity of α-protons and numerous competing C–H oxidation
pathways. Despite these challenges, the oxidative C(sp³)–H
methylation at sites with α functionality has garnered recent
attention and the notable advances in this approach will be
discussed herein.

In 2017, MacMillan disclosed a pioneering development in
C–H alkylation chemistry in the polarity-match-based selective
α-C(sp³)–H alkylation of various cyclic and acyclic amines,
thiols and ethers, including two examples of C–H methylation
on N-protected pyrrolidines.176 The authors combined photo-
redox, polarity-matched HAT, and nickel catalytic cycles, where
the high positional selectivity is determined via polarity-
matched HAT (Scheme 68). Oxidation of quinuclidine by
excited Ir(III) generates an electrophilic nitrogen radical which
then abstracts a hydrogen from the most electron rich (hydridic)
C–H bond of the substrate, forming a nucleophilic α-aminoalkyl
radical in this case. The Ir(II) species formed then reduces a Ni(0)
intermediate to furnish a Ni(0) complex which can productively
combine with an $\alpha$-aminoalkyl radical to form a Ni(II)-alkyl complex. Subsequent oxidative addition to the alkylating agent forms a Ni(III) species, with reductive elimination delivering the $\alpha$-alkylated heterocycle and regenerating Ni(I). With this approach, exclusive alkylation at the most electron rich C–H site of the substrate was achieved, notably even in the presence of activated benzylic C–H bonds.

Interestingly, for the C–H methylation examples, a modification to the reaction conditions was required, with MeBr prepared in situ from MeOTs and CsBr and quinuclidine proving to be detrimental to reaction efficiency. The authors postulated that this was due to undesired reactivity of the highly electrophilic MeOTs with quinuclidine. Without quinuclidine present, it was proposed that the HAT cycle is performed via an analogous catalytic cycle with the bromide anion, bromide radical and HBr as HAT cycle components. A similar mechanism was previously described by MacMillan for photoredox-enabled C(sp$^3$)–C(sp$^3$) coupling from alkyl and aryl halide precursors.

The presence of a heteroatom can also grant access to new avenues of reactivity, which can enable selective activation of otherwise unreactive C(sp$^3$)–H bonds in heterocyclic systems. For tetrahydroisoquinolines (THIQs) and related fused heterocycles, commonly used in drug discovery programs, one of the $\alpha$ positions is further activated by an adjacent aromatic ring. As a result, single electron oxidation of the amine can selectively lead to an iminium ion at the benzylic position via an intermediary $\alpha$-amino radical. Accordingly, several groups have reported conditions to convert THIQs and related structures to the corresponding iminium ions through oxidative methods. These reactive intermediates have then been shown to react with an array of nucleophiles such as cyanide, electron-rich aromatics and boronic acids, furnishing valuable C–H functionalised products.

In 2017 Cheng detailed an oxidative approach to perform C–H methylation on 5,6,7,8-tetrahydropyrido[4,3-d]pyrimidines (THPPs) (Scheme 69A). From an initial oxidant screen, it was found that I$_2$, Co and Cu salts failed to deliver any desired products, however the use of catalytic RuCl$_3$ and NaIO$_4$ as a co-oxidant in THF/MeOH generated the desired THPP-derived hemiaminal intermediates in excellent yield. These in situ-generated hemiaminals were then activated by BF$_3$/C$_2$H$_5$OEt to form the reactive iminium ions, which upon trapping with Me$_2$Zn yielded the methylated products. The one-pot procedure was applied to a number of heterocyclic systems, furnishing benzylic $\alpha$-C–H methylated analogues.

In 2019, Sestelo & Sarandeses demonstrated a complementary oxidative approach employing organoindium compounds as mild reagents for the alkylation of electron-rich and electron-neutral THIQs (Scheme 69B). The oxidation was performed with triphenylcarbenium (trityl) salts via a putative hydride transfer
mechanism to afford the analogous iminium ions. Amine oxidation took place exclusively at the benzylic position, furnishing transient iminium ions which were trapped by organoindium species in a one-pot procedure. Notably, the use of Me₃In furnished the desired C–H methylated product in 63% yield. Electron-deficient THIQs showed no reactivity under the optimised conditions, accounted for by the deactivation of the benzylic position towards hydride transfer.

Although these methods enabled C–H methylation of C(sp³)–H bonds to a nitrogen atom, they remain limited to benzylic systems. To widen the scope of this strategy, subtle modifications to the electronics of the amine, to facilitate more favourable hydride transfer, can allow application in non-benzylic systems.

This approach was exploited by Seidel in 2019 to facilitate the α-functionalisation of cyclic secondary amines, enabled by organolithium deprotonation of the N–H bond (Scheme 70). Transient imines were generated via selective hydride transfer from the α-position of the lithium amide to a carefully-selected hydride acceptor, through a proposed 6-membered transition state organised by lithium. These intermediary imines, in combination with a Lewis acid, were subsequently intercepted by a range of organolithium or Grignard reagents, in turn generating α-functionalised secondary amines in a one-pot procedure. Of note, an α-methylated 13-membered azacycle was obtained in 68% yield using MeMgBr and TMSOTf as the Lewis acid activator.

More recently, Seidel elegantly extended the methodology to the β-functionalisation of cyclic secondary amines (Scheme 71). In this study, the imines, formed in situ via hydride transfer, were further deprotonated at the β-position, generating key endocyclic 1-azaallyl anions. A rigorous optimisation of the trapping of this intermediate with electrophilic alkyl halides, led to the development of conditions for selective β-C-alkylation over N-alkylation.

Subsequent trapping of the resulting imines with suitable nucleophiles, could then furnish α,β-disubstituted amines in a one-pot procedure, often with good-to-excellent diastereoselectivity. This procedure was applied to the 1-azaallyl anion derived from piperidine, using methyl iodide followed by 4-chlorophenyl lithium to furnish 63% of the desired methylated product.

The C(sp³)–H methylation techniques described so far give access to substituted secondary and tertiary amines. The α-C–H methylation of primary unprotected amines, however, presents unique challenges, requiring an alternative approach. Less-hindered primary amines are typically more nucleophilic and are hence incompatible with the aforementioned hydride transfer methodologies, however, harnessing this inherent nucleophilicity, Dixon reported the use of reactive imines en route to the synthesis of primary α-tertiary amines. Inspired by the enzymatic process in which metalloenzymes selectively oxidise primary amines to aldehydes via a quinone-derived imine (Scheme 72), abundant α,α-disubstituted primary amines were condensed with quinones, generating Schiff base intermediates. These species underwent a [1,5]-H-shift, generating reactive ketimine intermediates which were then intercepted with organomagnesium and organolithium reagents, or cyanide nucleophiles. After oxidative hydrolytic work-up, the hydroxyaren was detached, furnishing α-tertiary primary amines in a three step one-pot procedure and up to 95% yield. Trapping the ketimine intermediates with MeLi furnished the desired product in excellent yield, demonstrating the applicability of the method for α-C–H methylation of α,α-disubstituted primary amines.

Despite the significant progress in α-amine C(sp³)–H alkylation achieved above, the application of these methods to C–H methylation in particular has remained limited. However, in 2020, White developed a general platform for C(sp³)–H methylation,
methylating at the α-heteroatom site of numerous cyclic and acyclic systems, with the late-stage functionalisation of complex molecules also being exemplified. Similar to the approaches of Seidel and Dixon, the strategy centred on the oxidation of a substrate to a corresponding electrophilic species, which could be intercepted by a nucleophilic methyl source (Scheme 73A).\textsuperscript{175} Oxidation was performed via α-C–H hydroxylation to generate an intermediate hemiaminal or hemiacetal. This hydroxylation was enabled using hydrogen peroxide and a manganese catalyst [Mn(CF₃PDP)(MeCN)₂][SbF₆]₂, previously reported by White as a highly selective catalyst for C(sp³)–H methylene hydroxylation.\textsuperscript{185} The oxidative conditions do not involve the use of strong nucleophilic bases or the generation of an alkoxide by-product, making the substrate scope markedly tolerant of common functionalities. The resultant hemiaminals or hemiacetals could then be ionized by Lewis acids such as BF₃·OEt₂, diethylamino-sulfur trifluoride (DAST) or in some cases by esterification with TFAA and subsequent activation with TFA, to furnish the reactive iminium or oxocarbenium intermediates. The use of AlMe₃ proved to be essential in delivering C–H methylated products with high functional group tolerance.

The broad scope covers a variety of complex saturated N- and O-heterocyclic scaffolds, with varying ring sizes, epimerisable stereocenters, and nucleophilic functional groups all being well-tolerated. In the case of unsymmetrical saturated heterocycles, the least hindered α-position was found to undergo C–H methylation, and high regioselectivity was proposed to arise from catalyst control in the C–H hydroxylation step. Notably,
methylation of piperidines—the most common saturated N-heterocycle in small-molecule drugs—was achieved with high diastereoselectivity owing to a rigid half-chair conformation of the iminium ion intermediate. Furthermore, this methodology was found to be extremely applicable to late-stage functionalisation, as demonstrated by the successful methylation of numerous complex bioactive molecules, natural products and drugs such as, acetylated cromakalim and acetylated tedizolid alongside many other drug precursors and derivatives. The utility of this method in medicinal chemistry was further showcased by synthesis of a “Magic Methyl” substrate, Me-S1P1 antagonist methyl ester, which possessed a remarkable 2135-fold increase in potency when compared to the non-methylated analogue (Scheme 73B). This transformation required some modification to the standard procedure, where the transient imine was activated and methylated with TMSOTf and MeMgBr respectively, to account for the lower reactivity of imines compared to iminium ions. Moreover, it was demonstrated that oxidative C–H methylation could be extended beyond methylenic sites bound to heteroatoms, with the transformation being successfully applied to unactivated carbocycles (Scheme 73C). By increasing the catalyst loading, C(sp3)–H bond hydroxylation of an unactivated methyl analogue, a prostate cancer drug, was achieved. Subsequent activation-methylation of the intermediate alcohol with MsCl and AlMe3 furnished a Me-abiraterone analogue as a single regioisomer. The unique selectivity in this transformation is determined in the C–H oxidation step and arises from multiple factors including the strong inductive electron-withdrawing effect of the protonated pyridine on the adjacent 5- and 6-membered rings. The same site selectivity for the remote C–H oxidation of abiraterone was also observed previously with a similar catalytic system.186

Heteroatoms are not the only functionality shown to enable selective α-C(sp3)–H methylation. In 2019, Tambar exploited the allylic ene reaction, to perform copper-catalysed allylic C–H alkylation (Scheme 74).187 In this work, sulfur diimide was used both as an electrophilic oxidant and as a leaving group in the subsequent copper-catalysed alkylation step, where regioselectivity was controlled by a sterically demanding phosphine ligand. The proposed reaction mechanism begins with an ene reaction between the sulphur diimide oxidant and the terminal allyl group, generating adduct (I), which is then activated by a Grignard reagent to furnish sulfimine (II). Following this, the sulfimine serves as an allylic leaving group, facilitating oxidative addition of the CuI complex bearing the alkyl coupling partner. This step is regiodetermining and results in the formation of CuIII adduct (IV) via TS (III), with the sterically demanding ligand located on the less substituted side of the π-allyl system. Bulky ligands t-BuXPhos or (R)-SITCP were found to be necessary to promote formation of organocopper intermediate (IV), which subsequently undergoes reductive elimination to yield the branched alkylated product. Alongside the generation of racemic branched allyl products, stereoinduction was also shown to be possible by employing (R)-SITCP as the ligand. Generally, good levels of e.e. (up to 88%) were achieved, however enantioinduction proved to be poor in the case of C–H methylation.

Almost all of the techniques discussed above rely on the presence of functionality at the α- or β-position to facilitate C–H oxidation and
sp³ systems. Interestingly, with unsymmetrical systems there are highly reactive and are thus typically unselective in C–H insertions. The observed selectivity was proposed to be due to a strong adsorption of the methylene carbenes generated on the GaN surface. This interaction is believed to influence their reactivity, rendering them more selective for the weaker C–H bond to the most substituted carbon atom. This selectivity is unusual for free methylene carbenes, which are highly reactive and are thus typically unselective in C–H insertions.

In 2020, Mi & Li disclosed a novel approach to enable methylation of unactivated C–H bonds by employing highly reactive methylene carbenes (Scheme 75). The carbenes were formed by photochemical activation of MeOH on a specifically designed p-type doped GaN nanowire (NW) deposited with Cu nanoparticles. Unfunctionalised hydrocarbon feedstocks were methylated in modest yield for both sp² and sp³ systems. Interestingly, with unsymmetrical systems there was an observed preference for insertion of the carbene into the C–H bond bound to the most substituted carbon atom. This selectivity is unusual for free methylene carbenes, which are highly reactive and are thus typically unselective in C–H insertions.

The observed selectivity was proposed to be due to a strong adsorption of the methylene carbenes generated on the GaN surface. This interaction is believed to influence their reactivity, rendering them more selective for the weaker C–H bonds at the more substituted carbon centres. Indeed, when 2-methylpropane was subjected to the optimised reaction conditions at higher temperatures – suggested to promote desorption of methyl carbenes from the catalyst surface – the selectivity was reversed in favour of 1° C–H insertion in line with statistical predictions.

To date, there remains vast chemical space to be explored for developing the C–H methylation of unactivated C(sp³)–H bonds. The challenges associated with this powerful and ambitious disconnection will no doubt be grappled with for years to come.

5. Enantioselective C–H methylation

Methodology capable of facilitating both C–H alkylation and stereoselectivity has long been one of the greatest outstanding challenges in organic synthesis. Progress within the subset of enantioselective C–H methylation remains in its embryonic stage, with few examples detailed to date.

To this end, promise has been displayed in utilising directed transition metal catalysis coupled with chiral mediators to enable enantioselective C–H methylation. In 2016, Yu disclosed a lone example showcasing that the catalytic enantioselective methylation of saturated azacycles was possible, using BINOL-derived chiral phosphoric acid co-catalysts to achieve stereoinduction (Scheme 76A). The disconnection is akin to that of sparteine-mediated lithiation-methylation, an approach which remains largely limited to pyrrolidines, piperidines and piperazines with varying levels of enantioselectivity. The sterically congested TRIPP-substituted thioamide proved to be essential for both directing the ligated Pd species to the site of reactivity, and for achieving good enantioinduction. A strict exclusion of achiral anions in the Pd source was also hypothesised to remove any non-stereoselective background reactivity, with Pd₂(dba)₄ producing the highest levels of enantioselectivity. In a later account, Yu detailed another single example of asymmetric methylation, exemplifying meta C–H methylation as a means of kinetically resolving a naphthyl-alanine derivative (Scheme 76B). The impressive methodology relied on a chiral norbornene mediator transiently relaying an initial ortho C–H activation to the meta position, enabling the remote C–H methylation of one of the homobenzylamine enantiomers with marked enantiofacial selectivity (see 2.3 Catellani-type strategies for C(sp³)–H methylation).

Nature has developed highly evolved enzymatic cascades capable of forging complex natural products with near total stereoechemical control. Due to both the myriad of biological species involved and the reliance on cellular metabolism to turn over reactivity, translation of in vivo reactivity to synthetically useful in vitro reactivity remains challenging. In 2020, Seebeck managed to assemble a synthetic replica of nature’s methylation framework, allowing for the synthesis of β-methyl-α-amino acids, a prevalent motif in natural products (Scheme 76C).

Functioning through a combination of bacterially grown enzymes and co-substrates to facilitate artificial metabolism, numerous L and D β-methylated amino acids were produced on small scale with high diastereoselectivity. The mechanistic sequence began with amino acid oxidation to the achiral α-keto acid by a transaminase (TA), which was then asymmetrically methylated by a SAM-dependent methyl transferase (MT). These α-keto acids are prone to racemisation but crucially, due to the lack of additional amine acceptors in the system, the steady state concentration of the α-keto acids was kept low, limited by the initial concentration of pyridoxal-5-phosphate (PLP). The β-methyl-α-keto acids were finally re-aminated by the
transaminase, restoring the initial stereochemistry of the amino group. It was also shown that by switching from an L-TA to a D-TA, achiral α-keto acids could also be produced from D-amino acids, thus enabling efficient β-methylation of D-amino acids. A halide methyl transferase (HMT) was utilised to generate in situ S-adenosyl methionine (SAM), by combination of S-adenosylhomocysteine (SAH) and methyl iodide, to feed the reactive methyl source into the biocatalytic cascade. Although restricted to small scale reactivity, the broad scope of β-methyl-α-amino acids generated clearly demonstrates the promise of cascade biocatalysis for the enantioselective C–H methylation of biologically relevant building blocks.

6. Conclusions

Whether as part of a wider C–H alkylation platform or in bespoke C–H methylation studies, the interest and research effort invested in C–H methylation methodology has grown rapidly in recent times. This is in part due to the expanding appreciation of the "Magic Methyl" effect in medicinal chemistry programmes, where the exchange of C–H to C–Me has been demonstrated to have profound effects on potency and other pharmacological properties when installed at a strategic position.

In line with the remarkable recent advances in directed C–H functionalisation, the directed C–H methylation of both sp² and sp³ centres has witnessed profound developments covering a panoply of bespoke directing groups and methyl sources, high power catalytic systems, and, more recently, the application of commonplace functional groups as the directing moiety. Complementary to this, a comprehensive suite of methods capable of harnessing the innate reactivity of molecules have arisen for the direct C–H methylation of sp² centres. While one-electron approaches have advanced Minisci-type reactivity, largely fuelled by modern radical generation techniques, two-electron processes have exploited and developed a notably diverse set of methylating reagents and – often – highly varied chemistries. These approaches have found marked success when constituting two-step tandem processes, employing methyl surrogates or appropriate functional handles. Furthermore, given the numerous examples in which a pronounced "Magic Methyl" effect has been observed at the α-position of a heteroatom in a saturated ring system, the recent developments in oxidative α-C–H methylation have particularly powerful implications in drug development.
Finally, despite the pioneering reports discussed above, the enantioselective C–H methylation of both C(sp²)–H and C(sp³)–H bonds remains conspicuously underdeveloped. For direct applications in medicinal chemistry programmes, which are endeavouring to expand further into 3D chemical space, the ability to selectively install a methyl group with enantiocontrol is of great importance. To this end, there remains hope that the recent growth in methodology for C–H methylation will in turn foster new approaches towards enantioselective variants.
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