Thromboprophylaxis – A Neglected Front in Hospitalised Patients with COVID-19 in Pakistan
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As of June 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic has swept across 188 countries, infecting more than 10 million people worldwide.1 Despite its proximity to China, Pakistan’s death toll from the virus, as of the end of June 2020, has fortunately remained under 5,000;1 however, the reported number of cases continues to increase and the death toll is estimated to exceed 42,000 by October 2020,2 in spite of the government’s efforts to curb the spread by implementing lockdowns and promoting social distancing measures. As more data emerge concerning the various manifestations of the illness, guidelines regarding the management of hospitalised patients continue to change to ensure comprehensive patient care on all fronts. Although the emphasis is largely on the management of respiratory failure and in the search for targeted therapy against the virus, various multicentre studies have reported an increased incidence of thrombotic events in hospitalised patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection, calling for an escalated dose of thromboprophylaxis in this subset of patients.

Despite venous thromboembolism (VTE) being one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality in hospitalised patients, apart from at a few major private centres, historically, the administration of thromboprophylaxis is not a routine part of admission orders in most hospitals in Pakistan.3 Despite the awareness of the importance of VTE prevention, the practice of thromboprophylaxis in hospitalised patients, apart from at a few major private centres, historically, the administration of thromboprophylaxis is not a routine part of admission orders in most hospitals in Pakistan.3

Currently, due to lack of financial resources, many admission orders that are essential in the provision of high-quality patient care. Important factors to consider are poverty, socioeconomic conditions, and the absence of comprehensive health insurance programmes at a national level.3 A major portion of the annual healthcare budget is spent to provide medical services at a subsidised cost in public hospitals;6 and due to lack of financial resources, many admission orders that are essential in the West, including thromboprophylaxis, are not considered cost-effective and hence are generally not implemented.

Similarly, despite global recognition, the burden of disease from thromboembolic events for patients with COVID-19 has not been fully realised by healthcare facilities in Pakistan, which may lead to increased mortality in hospitalised patients with COVID-19. Studies are suggesting that the risk of a thrombotic event may be as high as 25–30% in patients with severe infection, particularly those who require admission into the intensive care unit (ICU) because of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS).7
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Despite venous thromboembolism (VTE) being one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality in hospitalised patients, apart from at a few major private centres, historically, the administration of thromboprophylaxis is not a routine part of admission orders in most hospitals in Pakistan. Numerous clinical trials over the past three decades have shown that the use of unfractionated heparin and low-molecular-weight heparin is safe and cost effective in reducing the risk of deep-vein and pulmonary embolism in hospitalised patients. Based on these studies, weight-based thromboprophylaxis has widely been adopted as a part of the general admission order set in Europe and North America in adult patients who do not have increased bleeding risk and are expected to be immobile for the majority of their hospital stay. Furthermore, in patients with a higher risk of thrombotic events, such as post-orthopaedic or bariatric surgery, an escalated dose of thromboprophylaxis is preferred. This practice, for logistical reasons or otherwise, is generally not undertaken in the majority of Pakistani hospitals because of multiple barriers to high-quality patient care. Important factors to consider are poverty, socioeconomic conditions, and the absence of comprehensive health insurance programmes at a national level. A major portion of the annual healthcare budget is spent to provide medical services at a subsidised cost in public hospitals; and due to lack of financial resources, many admission orders that are essential in the West, including thromboprophylaxis, are not considered cost-effective and hence are generally not implemented.
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Several factors synergistically contribute to making patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection more prone to both arterial embolism and VTE. The evidence of coagulopathy is indicated by markedly increased levels of D-dimers amongst patients and an erratic pattern of deranged prothrombin time and other coagulation indicators. Moreover, it is also theorised that the virus can directly or indirectly cause damage to the endothelial cells lining the small pulmonary vessels. This may explain why patients with diabetes and hypertension are at a higher risk of severe disease, as the endothelial lining of blood vessels is already compromised in such individuals. The damaged endothelial cells then initiate the coagulation cascade, creating a prothrombotic microenvironment. The isolation of patients in confined places leads to venous stasis due to immobilisation, and as an added insult is seen in critically ill patients in the ICU who are sedated and on mechanical ventilation. Secondly, the state of impaired pulmonary gas exchange and severe pulmonary inflammation results in hypoxia from acute lung injury, which in turn leads to the activation of endothelial cells and macrophages, causing a surge in various pro-inflammatory interleukins and tumour necrosis factor-alpha, forming a cytokine storm-like condition, aiding coagulation. Moreover, patients with COVID-19 who progress to develop ARDS are usually elderly and their baseline advanced age-related morbidities put them at a greater risk for VTE. A large multicentre study by Wang et al. of over 1,000 hospitalised patients with COVID-19 showed that 40% of them were at high risk of VTE. Eleven per cent of the high-risk patients who were not on thromboprophylaxis developed a VTE during the hospital stay.

Similarly, a study by Tang et al. of nearly 450 patients with COVID-19 demonstrated that, among the subset of patients with a sepsis-induced coagulopathy score ≥4 or D-dimer ≥6 times the normal upper limit, those who were on low-molecular-weight heparin had a significantly lower 28-day mortality rate compared with those not on anticoagulation. Klok et al. evaluated 184 patients in ICU with COVID-19, all of whom had evidence of deep venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism in patients with head and neck cancer: a tertiary care experience in Pakistan. Int Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2015;19:200–4.

Of these patients, only those with a clinical suspicion of VTE, such as leg swelling and/or acute hypoxia, underwent diagnostic imaging for further evaluation. The results showed nearly 30% of patients had VTE and 3.7% of patients had arterial thrombosis confirmed by imaging, indicating the need for escalated dose thromboprophylaxis in severely ill patients with COVID-19. Moreover, the thrombogenicity of COVID-19 has also been further elucidated by autopsy studies showing severe endothelial injury with alveolar capillary microthrombi and angiogenesis in patients with severe disease.

Based on these studies, VTE prophylaxis for patients with COVID-19 has been formally incorporated into different international guidelines, such as the Swiss consensus statement and the United Kingdom’s National Health Service (NHS) model. The American Society of Hematology also supports the use of thromboprophylaxis in these patients.

Most centres in high-income countries have formed their anticoagulation algorithms for treatment of patients with COVID-19, aiming for escalated dose thromboprophylaxis in patients with markedly deranged inflammatory markers, e.g. D-dimer ≥3 times the normal upper limit, or patients requiring ICU admission; with some centres using full-dose anticoagulation in cases with a high suspicion of thrombotic event, where imaging cannot be performed to confirm the diagnosis.

The routine use of thromboprophylaxis in the management of patients with COVID-19 in hospitals across Pakistan may lead to added costs associated with the use of unfractionated heparin/low-molecular-weight heparin and imaging for VTE, which can increase the financial burden on the healthcare system, especially in the government sector. However, it will help lower the mortality rate and possibly shorten hospital stays. The lack of advanced treatment options, such as catheter-associated thrombolysis and extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for massive pulmonary embolism, further justifies the use of routine thromboprophylaxis in hospitalised patients as part of good standard practice.