INTRODUCTION
Reconstruction of posttraumatic calvarial bone defects in pediatric patients remains a challenge to craniofacial surgeons. The aim is to restore the anatomic structure and function to the greatest degree possible. The growth of the pediatric calvaria and the associated continuous contour changes, in addition to the higher rate of infection and extrusion, put more limitations for using alloplastic materials for reconstruction. Autogenous cranioplasty with calvarial bone grafts for pediatric calvarial defects provides the optimal results in terms of biocompatibility and accommodating growth. The advantages of using autologous bone graft include biocompatibility, strength, elasticity, easy availability, and marked resistance to infection compared with alloplastic prostheses. Furthermore, autogenous grafts accommodate a rapidly growing brain, promote osteoconduct, osteoinduction, and osteogenesis, and are therefore preferred over alloplastic materials in pediatric populations.

Summary: Reconstruction of posttraumatic calvarial bone defects in pediatric patients is a challenge due to the growing brain and limited autogenous bone supply. Traditional techniques such as split calvarial and particulate bone grafts are associated with prolonged operative time and significant blood loss, which is a major concern in children under the age of 3 years. Bone transport distraction osteogenesis has proven efficacy and safety in the reconstruction of other craniofacial deformities. This procedure is less invasive and requires shorter operative times and hospital stay. We report our experience with 2 cases of bone transport distraction osteogenesis for the reconstruction of large posttraumatic calvarial defects in pediatric patients. (Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open 2019;7:2201; doi: 10.1097/GOX.0000000000002201; Published online 16 May 2019.)
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CASE 1
A 2-year-old male patient with road traffic accident experienced extradural hematoma and was left with a right frontotemporal bone defect of 7 × 5 cm² (Fig. 1). At 4 months following injury, he underwent transport DO for defect reconstruction. The defect was exposed through the previous incisions and all fibrous attachments around the edges of the defect were released. Design of full-thickness bone flap to be distracted was 1–2 mm less than the width of the defect, to facilitate its mobilization during distraction.
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tractor activation (Fig. 2). Care was taken to keep a broad attachment of the bone flap to the underlying dura and avoiding dural injury. A cranial distractor was applied. Distraction was initiated after a 5-day latency period with 0.5 mm distraction twice daily for 50 days, followed by a 3-month consolidation period. Clinical and intraoperative findings at the removal of distractor showed complete ossification to the original site of the transferred bone segment and the original defect (Fig. 3). The same findings are obvious in CT 3D except for tiny defects mostly nonlamellar bone not apparent in x-ray (Fig. 4).

CASE 2

A 20-month-old female with a history of decompressive craniectomy for an acute traumatic subdural hematoma. She presented 3 months after the initial trauma with 3D CT scanning showing a large 8 × 3.8 cm² occipitoparietal defect involving part of the lambdoid suture. We had reconstructed the defect through bone transport DO. This was approached through the previous scarring with complete release of dura around the defect; a bone flap designed to be distracted was 1–2 mm less than the width of the defect without any underlying dural dissec-

Fig. 1. 3D CT image, anterior view, of a male patient 28 months with a right frontotemporal bone defect of 7 × 5 cm².

Fig. 2. Intraoperative photograph after the release of all fibrosis around the defect and then the design of the bone flap to be transpor-
ted through DO with 1–2 mm less than the defect width using the Piezo electric saw, keeping the bone flap attached to the under-
lying dura, then fixation of the distractor.

Fig. 3. Intraoperative photograph at the time of distractor removal showing complete ossification at the site of the transferred segment and the original defect with a little movement in the anterior part of the distracted bone which is fixed by 1 microplate for more stabilization.

Distraction started after a 5-day latency period with 0.5 mm distraction twice daily for 38 days. After the 3-month consolidation period, the distractor was removed upon clinical and intraoperative findings of complete ossification to the original site of the transferred bone segment and the original defect (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

Reconstruction of posttraumatic calvarial defects in children is challenging with limited sources of autogenous grafts. Although small defects can heal spontaneously with the osteogenic potential of the dura, large defects require reconstruction. Autologous cranial bone is the ideal mate-

Fig. 4. Intraoperative photograph after the release of all fibrosis around the defect and then the design of the bone flap to be trans-
tported through DO with 1–2 mm less than the defect width using the Piezo electric saw, keeping the bone flap attached to the under-
lying dura, then fixation of the distractor.
Traditional reconstructive approaches including split calvarial and particulate bone grafts have limitations, as discussed above.\(^6,7\) Split calvarial bone grafts have the advantage of using autologous bone in reconstruction and replacing like-with-like but add morbidity to a donor site and result in 2 reconstructions both with reduced thickness and stability.\(^8\) Also, it is reported that bone grafts over the donor and recipient sites do not heal to the accurate full thickness.\(^8,9\) Although harvesting the split calvarial grafts in children less than 3 years old is a controversial issue, recently Vercler et al\(^10\) debunked this surgical myth with their experience in safe harvesting split cranial grafts in a large series of patients less than 3 years old. However, it should be noted that splitting bone grafts in that setting (vault reconstruction in craniosynostosis) was used for limited defect sizes compared with massive cranial defects where split cranial grafts may not be a practical exercise or may be due to the hyperossification which is an affixed fact in craniosynostosis.

Recently, particulate bone grafts have been shown to effectively heal cranial defects in children with minimal donor site morbidity\(^11\); however, they do not afford the structural integrity or resistance to resorption till complete healing, particularly in absence of rigid fixation which is the mainstay in bone graft integration.\(^12\)

Bone distraction was first introduced by Codvilla 110 years ago and was popularized during the 1940s by Ilizarov.\(^13–16\) Although DO has been used by orthopedic surgeons for several decades to reconstruct long bone defects, the procedure has only gained popularity for correction of craniofacial deformities in the past 2 decades. There is growing evidence of the reliability of applying bone transport DO experimentally in the management of calvarial defects in animals. Song et al\(^17\) demonstrated the effectiveness and the promising results of bone regeneration (clinically, histologically, and using 3D CT) using transport DO combined with recombinant human bone morphogenic protein-2 in dog models with large calvarial defects.

Also, Gerety et al\(^18\) conducted almost similar study in sheep animal model without using any growth enhancement factors; the outcomes were evaluated and focused on radiological, histological, and mechanical properties of the resultant newly formed bone. They concluded that bone transport DO is a promising technique for cranioplasty that may be readily translated, and the distraction rates did not have significant effects on regenerate quantity or quality.\(^18\)
Bone transport DO is a simple procedure for reconstruction of pediatric calvarial defects. It offers numerous advantages: (1) it is a less invasive procedure with shorter operative time and hospital stay, requiring less tissue dissection and bone manipulation, and resulting in decreased blood loss; (2) there is less risk of growth restriction compared with bone grafting and plate fixation; and (3) there is theoretically less risk of bone resorption, particularly in large defects with a scarred soft tissue bed. Disadvantages of using DO include prolonged time to achieve a complete reconstruction, cost of the device, possible device malfunction (not observed in our cases), and the need for a second procedure to remove the device.

Our first 2 clinical cases confirmed our expectations for feasibility and outcomes of using bone transport DO as a primary approach for the reconstruction of calvarial defects in children.
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