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Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus are the most abundant free-living photosynthetic microorganisms in the ocean. Uncultivated lineages of these picocyanobacteria also thrive in the dimly illuminated upper part of oxygen-deficient zones (ODZs), where an important portion of ocean nitrogen (N) loss takes place via denitrification and anaerobic ammonium oxidation. Recent metagenomic studies revealed that ODZ Prochlorococcus have the genetic potential for using different N forms, including nitrate and nitrite, uncommon N sources for Prochlorococcus, but common for Synechococcus. To determine which N sources ODZ picocyanobacteria are actually using in nature, the cellular \( ^{15}N \) natural abundance \( (\delta^{15}N) \) and assimilation rates of different N compounds were determined using cell sorting by flow cytometry and mass spectrometry. The natural \( \delta^{15}N \) of the ODZ Prochlorococcus varied from \(-4.0\%\) to \(13.0\%\) \( (n = 9) \), with 50% of the values in the range of \(-2.1\% - 2.6\%\). While the highest values suggest nitrate use, most observations indicate the use of nitrite, ammonium, or a mixture of N sources. Meanwhile, incubation experiments revealed potential assimilation rates of ammonium and urea in the same order of magnitude as that expected for total N in several environments including ODZs, whereas rates of nitrite and nitrate assimilation were very low. Our results thus indicate that reduced forms of N and nitrite are the dominant sources for ODZ picocyanobacteria, although nitrate might be important on some occasions.

ODZ picocyanobacteria might thus represent potential competitors with anammox bacteria for ammonium and nitrite, with ammonia-oxidizing archaea for ammonium, and with nitrite-oxidizing bacteria for nitrite.
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Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus are the most abundant free-living photosynthetic microorganisms in the euphotic zone of oligotrophic tropical and subtropical ocean waters (Partensky et al. 1999a,b). These picocyanobacteria represent ~ 25% of marine primary productivity (Flombaum et al. 2013) and their successful colonization of the global ocean has been attributed to their small size and ability to take up nutrients at high rates (Chisholm 1992), as well as their high within species genetic diversity (Scanlan 2003; Biller et al. 2014).

The structure and pigment content of their photosynthetic apparatus differs between the two picocyanobacteria: Synechococcus uses a phycobilisome as the main light-harvesting antenna while Prochlorococcus lacks phycobilisomes and uses a complex of chlorophyll-binding proteins (Pcb) (Partensky et al. 1999b). The Pcb complex contains divinyl chlorophyll \( a \) (Chl \( a_2 \)) and divinyl chlorophyll \( b \) (Chl \( b_2 \)). This complex allows them to capture light more efficiently at greater depths (Moore and Chisholm 1999). Indeed, some lineages of Prochlorococcus with high ratios of Chl \( b_2/a_2 \) content are able to grow at extremely
low irradiances (< 10 mol quanta m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)), where low Chl \(b_2/\Delta t_2\) lineages are incapable of such growth. Conversely, low Chl \(b_2/\Delta t_2\) lineages are able to grow maximally at higher light intensities where high Chl \(b_2/\Delta t_2\) isolates are inhibited (Moore and Chisholm 1999). Thus, the depth distributions of \textit{Prochlorococcus} lineages are consistent with these characteristics: members of the high light (HL) ecotypes are found mainly in the nutrient-depleted surface waters of the ocean, while members of the low light (LL) ecotypes are dominant at the base of the euphotic zone where nutrients are replete (Goericke et al. 2000; West et al. 2001; Rocap et al. 2003; Johnson et al. 2006; Lavin et al. 2010). While \textit{Prochlorococcus} shows a clear vertical partitioning of ecotypes related principally with light and the availability of nutrients, \textit{Synechococcus} lineages do not show a clear spatial partitioning with depth (Moore et al. 1998; Biller et al. 2014).

As for other phytoplankton, the assimilation of N is central to picocyanobacteria nutrition, with ammonium (NH\(_4^+\)) as the preferred N source due to the low energy needed for its assimilation into organic N (Moore et al. 2002). There are indications that \textit{Prochlorococcus} and \textit{Synechococcus} lineages have diverged in the ability to use also other forms of N that are available in the ocean, such as nitrate (NO\(_3^-\)) and nitrite (NO\(_2^-\)) (Moore et al. 2002; Martiny et al. 2009), cyanate (Fuhrman 2003; Kamennaya and Post 2011), and amino acids (Zubkov et al. 2003). Initially, \textit{Prochlorococcus} was considered unable to use nitrate (NO\(_3^-\)), because the original cultured isolates could not use it (Moore et al. 2002). Nevertheless, more recent studies have demonstrated genomic (Martiny et al. 2009; Batmalle et al. 2014; Berube et al. 2015) and indirect physiological (Casey et al. 2007) evidence of NO\(_3^-\) assimilation, in both cultured \textit{Prochlorococcus} and natural assemblages of \textit{Prochlorococcus}.

Analyzing the genomes of different strains of \textit{Prochlorococcus}, Berube et al. (2015) showed that the genomic configuration of the genes related to the NO\(_3^-\) assimilation differed among \textit{Prochlorococcus} strains. They presented evidence of acquisition, loss, and horizontal transfer of NO\(_3^-\) assimilation related genes for some HL strains, as well as evidence of retention of those genes in some members of the LL ecotypes during the evolutionary diversification from their common ancestor with \textit{Synechococcus}.

The most basal \textit{Prochlorococcus} lineages found to date are noncultured representatives (LLV and LLVI) inhabiting the secondary chlorophyll maximum (SCM) in the oxygen-deficient waters of the eastern tropical North and South Pacific (ETNP and ETSP), which are characterized as adapted to very low-light and nutrient-rich conditions (Lavin et al. 2010; Ulloa et al. 2012). These SCMs have been found specifically in the oxygen-deficient zones (ODZs), also known as anoxic marine zones (AMZs), that are distinguished from other oxygen minimum zones by the accumulation of nitrite and the complete absence of detectable oxygen using the most sensitive detectors such as the STOX oxygen sensor (detection limits 1–10 nmol L\(^{-1}\); Revsbech et al. 2009; Thamdrup et al. 2012; Ulloa et al. 2012). Nevertheless, these \textit{Prochlorococcus} have recently been shown to drive a cryptic oxygen cycle that possibly fuels aerobic processes such as NO\(_2^-\)-oxidation (García-Robledo et al. 2017).

In the ODZs, there is an accumulation of NO\(_2^-\), mainly due to dissimilatory nitrate reduction (Ward et al. 2009; Lam and Kuyper 2011) that only takes place when oxygen levels are below 50 nmol L\(^{-1}\) (Thamdrup et al. 2012). Within the ODZs, there are high concentrations of inorganic nutrients and a very active nitrogen (N) cycle mediated by anaerobic microorganisms (Lam and Kuyper 2011). The forms and abundance of bioavailable N present, as well as the sources of energy supporting their assimilation, are important factors controlling the growth of \textit{Prochlorococcus} and \textit{Synechococcus}. Any inorganic N taken up must be converted to NH\(_4^+\) for incorporation into vital compounds such as amino acids or nucleic acids (Berges and Mulholland 2008), so assimilation of reduced forms of N such as NH\(_4^+\) or urea is metabolically favored when they are available (García-Fernández et al. 2004; García-Fernández and Diez 2004; Berges and Mulholland 2008). However, the most common forms of N in such extremely ODZs are NO\(_3^-\) and NO\(_2^-\) (Ulloa et al. 2012) with very low (nmol L\(^{-1}\)) to undetectable concentrations of NH\(_4^+\) and undetectable concentrations of urea using standard methods (Thamdrup et al. 2006; Hamersley et al. 2007; Widner et al. 2018b). Thus, in contrast to the picocyanobacteria in the primary chlorophyll maximum (PCM) above the oxycline, the ODZ cyanobacteria inhabit a high NO\(_3^-\) and NO\(_2^-\) environment.

Reconstruction of a metagenome using environmental samples collected from the SCM in the ETSP showed that these ODZ lineages have the genetic potential to assimilate urea and NO\(_3^-\) (Astorga-Eló et al. 2015), having a full repertoire of genes involved in NO\(_3^-\) transport (\textit{nupA}), NO\(_3^-\) assimilation (\textit{narB}), and biosynthesis of the Mo-cofactor (\textit{moeA} and \textit{moba}) necessary for the \textit{narB} function (Flores and Herrero 2005). Astorga-Eló et al. (2015) also suggested that this pathway was retained during \textit{Prochlorococcus} divergence from \textit{Synechococcus} rather than a secondary horizontal gain (Astorga-Eló et al. 2015). This scenario is similar to what occurred in lineage LLIV, a lineage that appears not to be affected by the genome reduction documented in the more recently diverged lineages of \textit{Prochlorococcus} (e.g., HL strain MED4) (Partensky and Garciccarek 2010). Lately, Widner et al. (2018a) showed that LLIV \textit{Prochlorococcus} inhabiting the ODZ also have genes for the utilization of NH\(_4^+\) and NO\(_2^-\), although no cyanate assimilation related genes were found.

The capacity for utilization of NO\(_3^-\) or NO\(_2^-\) might confer to ODZ \textit{Prochlorococcus} an ecological advantage over \textit{Prochlorococcus} lineages that do not have the genes needed to assimilate these compounds, allowing them to take advantage of the high NO\(_3^-\) concentrations (with respect to NH\(_4^+\) and/or urea) present in the SCM in the ODZs. This capability might also allow ODZ \textit{Prochlorococcus} to avoid competition for NH\(_4^+\) with important groups of microorganisms recycling N in these regions, such as anammox bacterial and ammonia-oxidizing archaea. Thus,
a further knowledge about the metabolic capabilities and physiology of ODZ Prochlorococcus and the less abundant, but present, Synechococcus-like lineages inhabiting the SCM within the ODZ is essential for understanding their role in ODZs and how they may use this niche as an advantage over other lineages that cannot consume NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−}. Therefore, in this work, we focus on testing the hypothesis, based on apparent genomic potential, that Prochlorococcus uses NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} as a N source for its assimilative metabolism.

Stable isotopes analysis is a powerful tool for investigating this hypothesis. \(\delta^{15}\text{N}\) notation represents the deviation between the ratio of the two stable isotopes of N (\(^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}\)) contained in the samples (particulate organic nitrogen and/or selected sorted picoplanktonic groups) compared with the ratio of these same two stable isotopes of N contained in the atmospheric N\textsubscript{2} (standard) (Owens 1987). Comparing the \(\delta^{15}\text{N}\) of the organisms that inhabit an environment with the \(\delta^{15}\text{N}\) of the different N sources available for assimilation in a specific environment (e.g., \(\delta^{15}\text{N}\) of NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} and NO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{−}) can help determine which sources of N are being used by the organisms. Previous work has coupled the use of stable isotopes and cell sorting, allowing the characterization of the N content and the natural abundance \(\delta^{15}\text{N}\) signature of distinct components of the particulate nitrogen suspended in Sargasso Sea surface waters (Fawcett et al. 2011) and specific uptake rates of different \(\delta^{15}\text{N}\)-labeled sources of N by Prochlorococcus (Casey et al. 2007). In this study, we analyzed the natural abundance of \(\delta^{15}\text{N}\) of suspended particulate organic nitrogen (PON\textsubscript{sus}) and Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus-like cells sorted from the microbial community inhabiting the SCM (and PCM when SCM was present) at several stations in the ODZ in both ETNP and ETSP. These \(\delta^{15}\text{N}\) data were compared with literature values of \(\delta^{15}\text{N}\) natural abundance signatures of different sources of N. Complementary experimental evidence for the uptake of N compounds was derived from onboard tracer incubations in which natural seawater samples collected from the SCM were amended with \(^{15}\text{N}\)-labeled NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−}, NO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{−}, NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+}, and urea to measure potential assimilation rates in flow cytometrically sorted groups of picoplankton.

**Materials and methods**

**Sampling site and field collection**

Samples were obtained from the ODZs of the ETNP and ETSP, during four cruises: NH1410 (May 2014) and RB1603 (April 2016) for the ETNP, and NBP1305 (June 2013) and AT2626 (January 2015) for the ETSP (see station map in Fig. 1). Samples were taken from two depths, one from the PCM and the other from the SCM. The collection of water samples at both depths was performed using a pump profiler system (PPS): an instrument that pumps water directly from the desired depth while profiling the water column with an attached conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) system (Seabird SBE-19 plus for ETNP and Seabird SBE-25 for ETSP), which provides continuous determinations of salinity, temperature, depth, as well as dissolved oxygen (Seabird SBE 43 oxygen sensor; all cruises) and in vivo fluorescence (WETStar for ETNP cruise and ECO-AFL/FL for ETSP cruises, both WET Labs fluorometers).

NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−}, NO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{−}, and urea samples were run on an Astoria Pacific autoanalyzer using standard colorimetric methods according to the manufacturers specifications. NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} was determined using the fluorometric method of Holmes et al. (1999). In April 2016 (ETNP; cruise RB1603), seawater was pumped into the laboratory and connected to an auto analyzer for nutrients continuous profiles for NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−}, NO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{−}, and NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+}, binned to one measurement per second.

**\(\delta^{15}\text{N}\) natural abundance**

PON\textsubscript{sus} (0.3–3 \(\mu\text{m}\) size fraction) \(\delta^{15}\text{N}\) natural abundance was determined by filtering 2–3 L of seawater though a 3-\(\mu\text{m}\) pore size polycarbonate membrane filter and collecting the microbial biomass on a precombusted (500°C for 6 h) 0.3-\(\mu\text{m}\) glass fiber filter (Sterilite Gf-75; 0.3 \(\mu\text{m}\) nominal pore size). The GF-75 filters were dried onboard or frozen in liquid nitrogen. Once in the laboratory, GF-75 filters were fumed with HCl vapors for 8 h to drive off inorganic C and then dried and encapsulated in tin capsules for analysis at the University of California Davis Stable Isotope Facility (mass detection limit 20 \(\mu\text{g}\) of N).
For determining δ¹⁵N natural abundance of sorted groups of cells, 4 L of seawater from the PCM and SCM depths were collected in plastic carboys protected from sunlight. This water was immediately filtered at a very low speed using a peristaltic pump in order to concentrate the cells of this community on 0.4 µm pore size polycarbonate filters after a 3-µm pore size polycarbonate prefilter. To fix the cells, the filters were placed in 4-mL cryovials with 200 µL of 10% formaldehyde solution and filled to 4 mL using 0.22-µm prefiltered seawater (0.5% formaldehyde final concentration). After 1 h of incubation in the dark at 4°C, the samples were gently agitated and stored in liquid nitrogen until reaching land, upon which the samples were stored at −80°C until processing.

Incubation experiments

For incubation experiments, water was collected in a 20-liter glass bottle and purged for 20 min with a mixture of 800 ppm CO₂ balanced He in order to avoid any oxygen contamination during the sampling. This water was siphoned to 6–12 custom-made incubation bottles (1.1 liter) for anoxic experiments. These bottles were previously purged with the same mixture of He/CO₂ and were filled overflowing the water approximately 1.5 times their volume. Finally, the bottles were placed in an incubator inside a temperature-controlled cold van (see diagram in Supporting Information Fig. S1). The incubator was composed of a temperature-controlled water bath, two blue LED panels with controlled intensities of light, as well as of magnetic stirrers that prevent stratification of the water inside each incubation bottle. The incubation conditions were set to simulate in situ temperature (ranging between 14°C and 16°C depending on the station) and light intensities (10–30 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹). Four different ¹⁵N-labeled compounds (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories) were used to assess the potential N assimilation rates in the picoplanktonic community. Because environmental nutrient concentrations were measured only after incubations were started, the enrichment of the N sources exceeded 10–15% -the enrichment recommended for in situ assimilation rates and so represents potential assimilation rates (Dugdale and Wilkerson 1986). Measured final concentrations of ¹⁵N-NO₃ and ¹⁵N-NO₂ were 5.5 µmol L⁻¹ and 0.2 µmol L⁻¹, respectively. These concentrations represented enrichments of 19.6–27.2% for ¹⁵N-NO₃ and 47.6% for ¹⁵N-NO₂. The in situ concentrations of urea were undetectable (detection limit 70 nmol L⁻¹) and in the nmol L⁻¹ ranges for NH₄⁺ (detection limit 10 nmol L⁻¹). The additions of ¹⁵N-Urea and ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺ were 0.2 µmol L⁻¹ and 0.18 µmol L⁻¹, respectively, representing an enrichment of 74.1% for ¹⁵N-urea and 88.2% for ¹⁵N-NH₄⁺. Since urea was undetectable, the detection limit of the method (70 nmol L⁻¹) was used for the enrichment calculation. After 12 h of incubation, the picoplankton from each bottle was concentrated by filtration and stored as described above for ¹⁵N natural abundance measurements.

Flow cytometric cell sorting

Both the natural abundance and isotopically enriched samples were analyzed following Fawcett et al. (2011) with some modifications: samples were thawed to room temperature and were gently stirred to detach the cells from the filter. When necessary, samples were diluted using 0.22 µm filtered NaCl (3.5% by weight). Isolation of groups was performed using an InFlux® Flow Cytometer-Cell Sorter (formerly Cytopeia, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, U.S.A.) equipped with five lasers (488-nm [200 mW], 457-nm [300 mW], 532-nm [150 mW], 355-nm [100 mW], and 640-nm [50 mW]) using an 86-µm ceramic black nozzle tip and a sheath pressure of 227.5 kPa. Sheath fluid was prepared daily using molecular biology grade NaCl (3.5% by weight) and purified (Milli-Q water), filtered through 0.22-µm Steripak filter unit (Merck Millipore, SPGPM20RJ) and passed through an in-line 0.22-µm Sterivex filter unit (Merck Millipore, SVGVL10RC). Ultra rainbow fluorescent particles (1 and 3 µm, Spherotech, Lake Forest, IL, U.S.A.) were used for alignment and calibration. For picophytoplanktonic cells, sort gates were optimized based on the autofluorescence of each group. Prochlorococcus cells were gated based on their red fluorescence (692/40 nm; for each fluorescence emission filter, the center wavelength and band-pass width are given) using a combination of the 485 and 488 nm blue lasers. Synechococcus-like cells were gated based on their orange fluorescence (530/40 nm) using the 488 (blue) and 532 nm (green) lasers and photosynthetic picophytoeukaryotes (PPEs) were gated based on their red fluorescence (692/40 nm) using the 488 nm blue laser. Events were triggered on the forward light scatter. Nonpigmented cells were stained with Sybr Green I as described in Marie et al. (1999). Events were triggered based on green (530/15) fluorescence excited by the 488 nm laser and cells detected by their green fluorescence (530/15 nm) and absence of red fluorescence. Samples were run at an average flow rate of 30 µL min⁻¹, monitored with a liquid flowmeter (Sensirion, U.S.A.) and the event rate was 10,000–15,000 events s⁻¹. Cells were sorted in purity mode using two tubes configuration, the drop delay was calculated using the calibration procedure with the Spigot Software and an epifluorescence microscope. Cytometry files were analyzed with the FlowJo Software (FlowJo, Ashland, OR, U.S.A.) and plotted using R software (ggplot package). The groups isolated were filtered on 0.3 µm pore size precombusted glass fiber filters (Steriltech GF-75; 0.3 µm nominal pore size) and dried in an oven at 40°C. These filters were placed in precombusted aluminum envelopes and stored free of humidity until persulfate oxidation.

Persulfate oxidation and denitrifier method

The filters containing both natural abundance and ¹⁵N-enriched cells were placed in 4-mL precombusted (500°C for 5 h) glass vials. Two milliliters of persulfate-oxidation reagent (POR; 3 g of NaOH in 60 mL of deionized water + 3 g of 5X recrystallized persulfate in 60 mL of deionized water) was added to each vial. Isotopic reference for organic-bound
nitrogen (USGS40, USGS41, L-glutamic acid) and blanks filters were treated with the same 2 mL of POR. All samples, standards, and blanks were autoclaved at 121°C for 30 min. At this point, all the organic nitrogen content was oxidized to NO³ and its concentration was measured by reduction to nitric oxide and detection in a chemiluminescent detector (Teledyne model #200 EU; Garside 1982). To obtain δ¹⁵N values, we used the “denitrifier method” (Sigman et al. 2001; 10–20 nmol N optimal), which is based on the isotopic analysis of nitrous oxide (N₂O) generated by the action of denitrifying bacteria that convert NO³ to N₂O and lack N₂O-reductase. The isotopic composition of the N₂O was measured by gas chromatography-isotope ratio mass spectrometry using a modified ThermoFinnigan GasBench II and Delta V. Final measurements were corrected by blanks.

**N assimilation rates calculations**

The cell-specific uptake rates (ρDINcells; fg N cell⁻¹ h⁻¹) of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) were calculated for each N source. The equations used are provided in Dugdale and Wilkerson (1986) with some modification applied to cell-specific assimilation rates:

\[ V = \frac{R_{\text{cells}}}{R_{\text{DIN}}} \times T \]  

where \( V \) is the specific uptake rate (h⁻¹); \( R_{\text{cells}} = \delta^{15}N \) atom percent in the cells at the end of the incubation; \( R_{\text{DIN}} = \delta^{15}N \) atom percent in the cells initial; \( T \) represents the incubation time (hours); \( N \) represents the amount of N in the analyzed sorted cells (fg N); and \( CS \) = number of sorted cells for isotope analysis (cells).

Group-specific uptake rates (ρDIN group; nmol L⁻¹ d⁻¹) were calculated as follows:

\[ \rho_{\text{DIN group}} = \rho_{\text{DIN cells}} \times ISA \]  

where ISA is the average in situ abundance of each group of sorted cells (cells L⁻¹).

When the tracer additions resulted in initial enrichments exceeding 50%, rates should be considered as potential uptake.

The detection limit of each uptake rate was calculated in order to determine if those rates were significantly different from zero. The detection limit was calculated following the specifications of Santoro et al. (2013) as the N (NH₄⁺, urea, NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻) uptake rate necessary to cause a 1% increase in δ¹⁵N of sorted cells from the initial value (δ¹⁵N natural abundance). The 1% value represents twice the precision of δ¹⁵N analysis using the denitrifier method (precision = 0.5‰; Sigman et al. 2001; McIlvin and Casciotti 2011).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical differences among natural δ¹⁵N for PONsus and sorted groups of cells (Pro, Syn) were tested using Wilcoxon and the Kruskal-Wallis test. Statistical significance was set at the 0.05 level. The correlations between δ¹⁵N of Prochlorococcus, δ¹⁵N Synechococcus-like, and environmental factors (nutrient concentrations and light %) were calculated using Pearson’s correlation analysis. The variables were logarithmic transformed as Log₁₀ (X + 1) and the Pearson’s correlation coefficients were tested for significance at a = 0.05 using XLStat software (AddinSoft SARL).

**Results**

**Water column structure and nutrient content**

The structure of the water column at all experimental stations exhibited a SCM in the ODZ like the examples represented in Fig. 2 (summarized in Tables 1–2). The O₂ profile shows the typical distribution with a surface oxygenated layer ranging in depth between 22 and 80 m for the ETNP, and 34 and 89 m for the ETSP, with O₂ concentrations ranging from 197 to 215 µmol L⁻¹ for the ETNP and 208 to 267 µmol L⁻¹ for the ETSP. Below the mixed layer, O₂ concentrations decreased rapidly, reaching anoxia at 62–130 m depth (depending of the station and proximity to the coast). The SCM was found within the upper anoxic layer, with the peak at average depths of 114 m (SD = 28 m; min = 90 m; max = 160 m) for the ETNP and 100 m (SD = 21 m; min = 68 m; max = 130 m) for the ETSP. The SCM varied in intensity (see examples in Fig. 2A,D) with a maximum fluorescence equaling or almost doubling that of the PCM at some stations (Table 1).

NH₄⁺ concentrations (detection limit = 10 nmol L⁻¹) for the SCM presented no major differences between the two regions, reaching an average of 18.4 nmol L⁻¹ for the ETNP (SD = 28.3 nmol L⁻¹; min = bdl; max = 72.4 nmol L⁻¹) and 27.5 nmol L⁻¹ for the ETSP (SD = 29.2 nmol L⁻¹; min = bdl; max = 88.4 nmol L⁻¹). NH₄⁺ concentrations in the PCM tended to be higher, reaching on average 36.2 nmol L⁻¹ (SD = 62.3 nmol L⁻¹, min = bdl, max = 191.9 nmol L⁻¹) in the ETNP and one order of magnitude more abundant in the ETSP with 481.2 nmol L⁻¹ (Table 2). Samples for urea determination were obtained from both oceans in two cruises (ETNP-RB1603 and ETSP-AT2626), but almost all measurements for the SCM were below the detection limit of the method (70 nmol L⁻¹) with only two stations of the cruise RB1603 reaching concentrations of 0.22 µmol L⁻¹ (Sta. 9) and 1.02 µmol L⁻¹ (Sta. 10). NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻ profiles (Fig. 2B,E) showed the typical structure for an ODZ (Ulloa et al. 2012). In the surface, both nutrients were depleted, with an accumulation for NO₂⁻ below the oxycline and of NO₃⁻ in anoxic waters. In the ETNP (Fig. 2, upper panels), the NO₂⁻ accumulation (and secondary drop in NO₃⁻) was below the Prochlorococcus SCM, while in the ETSP (Fig. 2, lower panels), the SCM was small and NO₂⁻ accumulated (and NO₃⁻ decreased) within the
SCM. Nutrient concentrations within the PCM and SCM for all station sampled are shown in Table 2.

Flow cytometric analysis of picoplankton composition

Flow cytometric analysis showed that the picophytoplanktonic communities in anoxic subsurface waters differed consistently from those in oxic surface waters in both abundance and composition (see examples in Fig. 2C,F): picocyanobacteria were numerically dominant in the PCM, while PPEs were also present with abundances ranging between 800 and 96,000 cells mL\(^{-1}\) (Supporting Information Table S1). In contrast, SCM communities were mainly composed of *Prochlorococcus* cells and to a lesser extent of *Synechococcus*-like cells (yellow/orange fluorescent), with almost no detectable PPE.
Table 1. Flow cytometric analysis of the microbial community at the peak depth of the SCM for the ETNP and ETSP, including: cruise name; station; position (latitude and longitude); peak depth of the SCM reported in meters; the percent of incident light at the SCM peak depth (light%); Prochlorococcus (Pro), Synechococcus-like (Syn), PPE, fluorescent picoplankton (Fluor. Picoplank. = Pro + Syn + PPE), NFP, total picoplanktonic community (Total Picoplank. = Pro + Syn + PPE + NFP) abundances (10^3 cells mL^-1); Prochlorococcus relative abundance (in %) to the fluorescent picoplankton and to the total picoplankton; fluorescence of SCM relative to PCM. ND indicates none were detected.

| Cruise | Station | Lat. (N) | Long. (W) | Peak depth (m) | Light (%) | Pro (×10^3 cells mL^-1) | Syn (×10^3 cells mL^-1) | PPE (×10^3 cells mL^-1) | Fluor. Picoplank. (×10^3 cells mL^-1) | NFP (×10^3 cells mL^-1) | Total picoplankton (×10^3 cells mL^-1) | Pro Rel. Ab. to Fluor. Picoplank. (%) | Pro Rel. Ab. to Total Picoplank. (%) | Fluor. of SCM relative to PCM |
|--------|---------|----------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| ETNP   | NH1410  | T9       | 18.200    | 105.199      | 90        | 1.39                    | 70                     | 7.4                     | 0.09                            | 77.5            | 476                                  | 553                         | 90                          | 12.7                     |
|        | RB1603  | 6        | 18.688    | 104.417     | 90        | 0.01                    | 53                     | 1.3                     | 0.00                            | 54.3            | 372                                  | 426                         | 98                          | 12.4                     |
|        | RB1603  | 7        | 17.500    | 102.700     | 93        | 0.02                    | 78                     | 2.0                     | 0.00                            | 80.0            | 664                                  | 744                         | 98                          | 10.5                     |
|        | RB1603  | 8        | 16.251    | 100.843     | 97 ND     | ND                      | 58                     | 1.8                     | 0.00                            | 59.8            | 586                                  | 646                         | 97                          | 9.0                      |
|        | RB1603  | 9        | 15.000    | 99.000      | 98 ND     | 40                      | 0.9                    | 0.00                    | 40.9                            | 511             | 552                                  | 98                          | 72                          | 7.2                      |
|        | RB1603  | 10       | 15.471    | 101.503     | 128 ND    | ND                      | 13                     | 0.5                     | 0.00                            | 13.5            | 671                                  | 684                         | 96                          | 1.9                      |
|        | RB1603  | 11       | 15.903    | 103.800     | 115 ND    | 70                     | 2.1                    | 0.00                    | 72.1                            | 421             | 493                                  | 97                          | 14.2                        | 0.90                     |
|        | RB1603  | 12       | 16.316    | 106.092     | 156 ND    | ND                      | 22                     | 0.2                     | 0.00                            | 22.2            | 402                                  | 424                         | 99                          | 5.2                      |
|        | RB1603  | 13       | 16.778    | 108.397     | 160 ND    | 7                      | 0.2                    | 0.00                    | 7.2                             | 398             | 405                                  | 97                          | 1.7                         | 0.17                     |
|        |         | Min      | —         | —            | 90         | —                      | 7                      | 0.2                     | 0.00                            | 7.2             | 372                                  | 405                         | 90                          | 1.7                      |
|        |         | Max      | —         | —            | 160        | —                      | 78                     | 7.4                     | 0.09                            | 80.0            | 671                                  | 744                         | 99                          | 14.2                    |
|        |         | Average  | —         | —            | 114        | —                      | 46                     | 1.8                     | 0.01                            | 47.5            | 500                                  | 548                         | 97                          | 8.3                      |
|        |         | SD       | —         | —            | 28         | —                      | 26                     | 2.2                     | 0.03                            | 27.9            | 116                                  | 123                         | 2                           | 4.6                      |
| ETSP   | NBP1305 | H9       | 13.002    | 82.199      | 110       | 0.35                   | 17                     | 0.5                     | 0.00                            | 17.5            | 673                                  | 691                         | 97                          | 2.5                      |
|        | NBP1305 | H21      | 21.500    | 70.582      | 79        | 0.69                   | 7                      | 0.7                     | 0.00                            | 7.7             | 436                                  | 444                         | 91                          | 1.6                      |
|        | NBP1305 | BB2      | 20.526    | 70.712      | 80        | 0.31                   | 13                     | 1.8                     | 0.04                            | 14.8            | 896                                  | 911                         | 88                          | 1.4                      |
|        | AT2626  | 1        | 20.002    | 74.005      | 105       | 0.01                   | 15                     | 2.0                     | 0.00                            | 17.0            | 217                                  | 234                         | 88                          | 6.4                      |
|        | AT2626  | 3        | 18.798    | 76.200      | 98        | 0.01                   | 144                    | 37.6                    | 3.98                            | 185.6           | 5220                                 | 5406                        | 78                          | 2.7                      |
|        | AT2626  | 8        | 11.502    | 81.411      | 112       | 0.01                   | 98                     | 1                      | 0.00                            | 99.0            | 661                                  | 760                         | 99                          | 12.9                     |
|        | AT2626  | 9        | 11.999    | 84.001      | 130 ND    | 24                     | 2                      | 0.00                    | 26.0                            | 329             | 355                                  | 355                         | 92                          | 6.8                      |
|        | AT2626  | 10       | 11.998    | 81.198     | 110       | 0.07                   | 46                     | 1                      | 0.82                            | 47.8            | 196                                  | 244                         | 96                          | 18.9                     |
|        | AT2626  | 12       | 12.545    | 77.593      | 127 ND    | 28                     | 0.2                    | 0.00                    | 28.2                            | 497             | 525                                  | 99                          | 5.3                         | 0.15                     |
|        | AT2626  | 14       | 14.198    | 77.499     | 78 ND     | 18                     | 1.1                    | 0.00                    | 19.1                            | 492             | 511                                  | 94                          | 3.5                         | 0.02                     |
|        | AT2626  | 18       | 15.591    | 75.335      | 68        | 0.05                   | 20                     | 1.0                     | 0.00                            | 21.0            | 878                                  | 899                         | 95                          | 2.2                      |
|        |         | Min      | —         | —            | 68         | —                      | 7                      | 0.2                     | 0.00                            | 7.7             | 196                                  | 234                         | 78                          | 1.4                      |
|        |         | Max      | —         | —            | 130        | —                      | 144                    | 37.6                    | 3.98                            | 185.6           | 5220                                 | 5406                        | 99                          | 18.9                    |
|        |         | Average  | —         | —            | 100        | —                      | 39                     | 4.4                     | 0.44                            | 44.0            | 954                                  | 998                         | 93                          | 5.8                      |
|        |         | SD       | —         | —            | 21         | —                      | 43                     | 11.0                    | 1.20                            | 53.3            | 1434                                 | 1481                        | 6                           | 5.5                      |
Table 2. Nutrient analysis at the peak depth of the PCM and SCM for stations sampled in the ETNP and ETSP. Cruise name, station, peak depth, and NH$_4^+$, urea, NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$ concentrations. NA indicates no available data and bdl is below detection limit.

| Cruise | Station | Peak depth (m) | NH$_4^+$ (nmol L$^{-1}$) | Urea (μmol L$^{-1}$) | NO$_3^-$ (μmol L$^{-1}$) | NO$_2^-$ (μmol L$^{-1}$) | Peak depth (m) | NH$_4^+$ (nmol L$^{-1}$) | Urea (μmol L$^{-1}$) | NO$_3^-$ (μmol L$^{-1}$) | NO$_2^-$ (μmol L$^{-1}$) |
|--------|---------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| ETNP   | NH1410 T9 | 40             | 191.9                   | NA                   | NA              | NA              | 90             | 63.0                    | NA                   | NA              | NA              |
|        | RB1603 6  | 30             | 14.6                    | NA                   | 0.1             | 0.0             | 90             | bdl                     | NA                   | 28.2            | 0.0             |
|        | RB1603 7  | 49             | 25.7                    | bdl                  | 5.4             | 0.8             | 93             | bdl                     | bdl                  | 26.5            | 0.4             |
|        | RB1603 8  | 35             | bdl                     | bdl                  | 0.1             | 0.2             | 97             | bdl                     | NA                   | 29.4            | 0.2             |
|        | RB1603 9  | 45             | 70.7                    | 0.01                 | 0.0             | 0.5             | 98             | 72.4                    | 0.22                 | 27.6            | 1.0             |
|        | RB1603 10 | 76             | 9.4                     | 0.10                 | 0.1             | 0.0             | 128            | 11.0                    | 1.02                 | 26.8            | 0.2             |
|        | RB1603 11 | 78             | bdl                     | bdl                  | 0.1             | 0.1             | 115            | bdl                     | bdl                  | 24.9            | 0.2             |
|        | RB1603 12 | 95             | bdl                     | 0.07                 | 0.0             | 0.1             | 156            | bdl                     | bdl                  | 26.8            | 0.2             |
|        | RB1603 13 | 69             | bdl                     | bdl                  | 0.1             | 0.1             | 160            | bdl                     | bdl                  | 26.2            | 0.1             |
|        | Min      | 30             | bdl                     | bdl                  | 0.0             | 0.0             | 90             | bdl                     | bdl                  | 24.9            | 0.0             |
|        | Max      | 95             | 191.9                   | 0.10                 | 5.4             | 0.8             | 160            | 72.4                    | 1.02                 | 29.4            | 1.0             |
|        | Average  | 57             | 36.2                    | 0.06                 | 0.7             | 0.2             | 114            | 18.4                    | 0.62                 | 27.0            | 0.3             |
|        | SD       | 23             | 62.3                    | 0.05                 | 1.9             | 0.3             | 28             | 28.3                    | 0.57                 | 1.3             | 0.3             |
| ETSP   | NBP1305 H9| 25             | 225.5                   | NA                   | 1.5             | 0.1             | 110            | bdl                     | NA                   | 9.9             | 8.3             |
|        | NBP1305 H21 | 23          | 504.4                   | NA                   | 2.9             | 0.1             | 79             | 88.4                    | NA                   | 8.2             | 5.1             |
|        | NBP1305 BB2 | 24          | 570.0                   | NA                   | 3.9             | 0.2             | 80             | 63.0                    | NA                   | 10.9            | 3.9             |
|        | AT2626 1  | 41             | 108.2                   | NA                   | 1.0             | 0.1             | 105            | 56.7                    | NA                   | NA              | 0.4             |
|        | AT2626 3  | 35             | 513.2                   | bdl                  | NA              | 0.3             | 98             | 22.8                    | bdl                  | 14.6            | 0.1             |
|        | AT2626 8  | 40             | 668.7                   | bdl                  | 8.8             | 2.0             | 112            | bdl                     | bdl                  | 24.2            | 0.5             |
|        | AT2626 9  | 34             | 598.7                   | bdl                  | 5.5             | 0.5             | 130            | bdl                     | bdl                  | 29.3            | 0.2             |
|        | AT2626 10 | 50             | 237.9                   | bdl                  | 15.4            | 0.3             | 110            | bdl                     | bdl                  | 17.8            | 5.2             |
|        | AT2626 12 | 25             | 567.7                   | bdl                  | 4.6             | 0.1             | 127            | 11.1                    | bdl                  | 25.6            | 1.5             |
|        | AT2626 14 | 20             | 476.3                   | bdl                  | 1.0             | 0.2             | 78             | 22.2                    | bdl                  | 20.6            | 1.2             |
|        | AT2626 18 | 29             | 822.7                   | bdl                  | 7.1             | 1.6             | 68             | 24.1                    | bdl                  | 5.0             | 14.4            |
|        | Min      | 20             | 108.2                   | bdl                  | 1.0             | 0.1             | 68             | bdl                     | bdl                  | 5.0             | 0.1             |
|        | Max      | 50             | 822.7                   | bdl                  | 15.4            | 2.0             | 130            | 88.4                    | bdl                  | 29.3            | 11.4            |
|        | Average  | 31             | 481.2                   | bdl                  | 5.6             | 0.6             | 100            | 27.5                    | bdl                  | 16.6            | 3.4             |
|        | SD       | 9              | 211.2                   | bdl                  | 4.4             | 0.7             | 21             | 29.2                    | bdl                  | 8.2             | 3.8             |
The abundance of *Prochlorococcus* at the peak of the SCM varied among stations (Table 1). On average, the abundance of *Prochlorococcus* was $46 \times 10^3$ cells mL$^{-1}$ (SD = $26 \times 10^3$ cells mL$^{-1}$) for the ETNP and $39 \times 10^3$ cells mL$^{-1}$ (SD = $43 \times 10^3$ cells mL$^{-1}$) for the ETSP, representing 8.3% and 5.8% of the total picoplanktonic community respectively. Moreover, *Prochlorococcus* represented 97% and 93% of the total fluorescent picoplankton for the ETNP and ETSP, respectively (Table 1). Despite this variability, *Prochlorococcus* were always one order of magnitude more abundant than *Synechococcus*-like within the SCM. The remaining 91.7–94.2% of the picoplankton community is referred to as nonfluorescent picoplankton (NFP) and is composed of heterotrophic or chemoautotrophic bacteria and archaea.

**δ$^{15}$N of PON$_{sus}$ and sorted components of the PCM and SCM picoplanktonic community**

The natural abundance δ$^{15}$N-PON$_{sus}$ in both the PCM and SCM showed a high variability ranging from 5.8‰ to 18.9‰ in the PCM and from 4.3‰ to 16.6‰ in the SCM (Fig. 3). These δ$^{15}$N values were always positive, and, in the case of the SCM, far from the δ$^{15}$N previously measured for NO$_2^-$ (negative) (Table 3), but in some cases as high as those reported for NO$_3^-$ (Table 3; Peters et al. 2018).

**Fig. 3.** Boxplot of the δ$^{15}$N natural abundance from PON$_{sus}$ (0.3–3.0 μm size fraction) and the δ$^{15}$N of sorted picoplankton components from the PCM (top panel) and SCM (bottom panel): *Prochlorococcus* (Pro) and *Synechococcus*-like (Syn), PPE, and NFP. n is the number of measurements per group and n/a indicates that data were not available.
Using a flow cytometer cell sorter, we were able to identify and sort different groups comprising the picophytoplanktonic community inhabiting the SCM. Once the groups were identified, we sorted them and analyzed them to obtain the natural abundance $\delta^{15}N$ for each group (Fig. 3; see summary of the oceans, cruises, stations, and chlorophyll peaks of the samples considered in this study and the isotopic analyses available in each one in Supporting Information Table S2). The values of $\delta^{15}N$ for sorted Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus-like also showed a high variability among different stations in both the PCM and SCM (Fig. 3 and Supporting Information Table S2). Prochlorococcus variability in the SCM was 23‰ higher than the variability shown by PONsus (the total seston community from which Prochlorococcus was sorted) whereas Synechococcus-like variability was 8% lower. The $\delta^{15}N$ for Prochlorococcus inhabiting the SCM ranged from $-4.0\%^{\circ}$ to $13.0\%^{\circ}$ (Fig. 3). However, the distribution of the data shows that 50% of the values ($n = 9$) ranged from $-2.1\%^{\circ}$ to $2.6\%^{\circ}$, with a median of $-0.6\%^{\circ}$ and an average of 1.2‰. In the case of Synechococcus-like, $\delta^{15}N$ values presented a similar distribution to Prochlorococcus, with total values ranging from $-4.0\%^{\circ}$ to $8.1\%^{\circ}$ and 50% of the data ($n = 7$) were distributed between $-1.9\%^{\circ}$ and $2.9\%^{\circ}$, with a median of $-0.2\%^{\circ}$ and an average of 0.9‰. Despite the similar distribution, the highest value of $\delta^{15}N$ for Synechococcus-like was not as high as the highest $\delta^{15}N$ value for Prochlorococcus (Fig. 3). The multiple-pairwise comparisons among $\delta^{15}N$-PONsus, $\delta^{15}N$-Pro, and $\delta^{15}N$-Syn for the SCM indicate that there are significant differences between $\delta^{15}N$-PONsus vs. $\delta^{15}N$-Pro and $\delta^{15}N$-PONsus vs. $\delta^{15}N$-Syn at a 95% confidence level. However, no significant differences were found between $\delta^{15}N$-Pro vs. $\delta^{15}N$-Syn (Supporting Information Table S3). Only three measurements of $\delta^{15}N$ were available for Prochlorococcus inhabiting the PCM, and these measurements present no significant differences with the measurements available for Prochlorococcus inhabiting the SCM (Supporting Information Table S4). PPEs were only found in the PCM and exhibited $\delta^{15}N$ values ranging from $-0.3\%^{\circ}$ to $2.4\%^{\circ}$, while 50% of the data ($n = 5$) ranged from 0.5‰ to 1.2‰. Few measurements for $\delta^{15}N$-NFP were obtained from the PCM and SCM, with average values of $-0.9$ ($n = 3$) and $-1.8$ ($n = 2$), respectively. The NFP was not included in the statistical analysis due to the low number of measurements available for this group.

### Nitrogen assimilation rates

All N uptake rates were above the detection limit (for detection limit results, see Supporting Information Table S5). In the case of the oxidized N forms (Fig. 4A; Table 4), Prochlorococcus showed the lowest cell-specific uptake rates of oxidized N forms while NFP showed a preference for NO$\text{\textsubscript{3}}^{-}$, assimilating up to 7.1 times more NO$\text{\textsubscript{3}}^{-}$ than NO$\text{\textsubscript{2}}$. This rate was approximately 7.6 times higher than the NO$\text{\textsubscript{3}}^{-}$ uptake rates calculated for Prochlorococcus and almost 4.3 times higher than rates calculated for Synechococcus-like. Prochlorococcus showed very low uptake rates for both NO$\text{\textsubscript{3}}^{-}$ and NO$\text{\textsubscript{2}}$. In contrast, while NO$\text{\textsubscript{3}}^{-}$ uptake rates by Synechococcus-like were low, NO$\text{\textsubscript{2}}$ uptake rates were 11.4 and 12.5 times higher than those observed for Prochlorococcus and NFP, respectively.

The potential cell-specific uptake rates of reduced N forms (Fig. 4B; Table 4) show that NFP and Prochlorococcus have higher uptake rates for NH$\text{\textsubscript{4}}^{+}$ compared with urea. In the case of NFP, uptake of NH$\text{\textsubscript{4}}^{+}$ exceeded uptake of urea by 2.0-fold, while in Prochlorococcus NH$\text{\textsubscript{4}}^{+}$ uptake exceeded urea uptake by only 1.2-fold. Synechococcus-like showed a strong preference for urea uptake and rates were 5.2 times the urea uptake rates measured for NFP and 4.1 times those of Prochlorococcus.

We also estimated the group-specific uptake rates (ng N L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$) by multiplying the cell-specific uptake rates (fg N cell$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) by the average in situ abundance of each group (cells L$^{-1}$) observed in the SCM (Table 4). As the NFP were the most abundant group (5.39 × 10$^8$ cell L$^{-1}$) compared with Prochlorococcus (5.53 × 10$^7$ cell L$^{-1}$) and Synechococcus-like (1.05 × 10$^7$ cell L$^{-1}$), they dominated uptake rates for all forms of N measured (Table 4). The uptake rates of oxidized forms of N were 14.07 ng N L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ for NO$\text{\textsubscript{3}}^{-}$ and 1.86 ng N L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ for NO$\text{\textsubscript{2}}$, 2–3 orders of magnitude higher

---

**Table 3.** $\delta^{15}N$ ($^{\circ}$) for different dissolved N sources in the ODZs and kinetic isotope effect $\epsilon$ ($^{\circ}$) during the assimilation of these sources.

| N source | $\delta^{15}N$ ($^{\circ}$) | Study area | Reference | $\epsilon_{\text{assim}}$ ($^{\circ}$) | Reference |
|----------|-----------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Nitrate  | 12.4 ± 0.6 and 17.2 ± 0.6 (ETNP) | TOP ODZ | Casciotti and McIlvin (2007) (ETNP) | 2–5 | Granger et al. (2010) |
|          | 8.2–30.1 (ETSP) | K. Casciotti pers. comm. (ETSP) | | | |
| Nitrite  | $-16.0 \pm 2.6$ and $-17.8 \pm 0.9$ | TOP ODZ ETNP | Casciotti and McIlvin (2007) | 1 | Sigman and Casciotti (2001) |
| Ammonium* | Estimated by $-7.1 \pm 4.2$ (PON$_{\text{sus}}$) | TOP ODZ ETNP/ETSP | This study | 20 | Waser et al. (1998) |
|          | Estimated by $-5.1$ (PON$_{\text{sk}}$) | | Fuchsman et al. (2018) (ETNP) | | |
| Urea*    | Estimated by $-7.1 \pm 4.2$ (PON$_{\text{sus}}$) | TOP ODZ ETNP/ETSP | This study | 0–0.7 | Waser et al. (1998) |
|          | Estimated by $-5.1$ (PON$_{\text{sk}}$) | | Fuchsman et al. (2018) (ETNP) | | |

*No measurements are available in literature. The estimations are on base of the average obtained by suspended PON in the SCM (PON$_{\text{sus}}$ ~10$^{10}$) minus $\epsilon < 3$‰ estimated for degradation (Sigman and Casciotti 2001) More details are available in Supporting Information Table S1.
than the uptake rates measured for Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus-like groups. The potential uptake rates of reduced forms of N by NFP were 313.74 ng N L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ for NH$_4^+$ and 154.84 ng N L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ for urea, 1–2 orders of magnitude higher than those estimated for Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus-like. For Prochlorococcus, the potential uptake rates for NH$_4^+$ and urea were similar to each other, at 23.23 ng N L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ and 20.16 ng N L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$, respectively. Synechococcus-like had a potential uptake rates similar to Prochlorococcus for urea (15.53 ng N L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$) but lower for NH$_4^+$ (5.91 ng N L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$).

δ$^{15}$N vs. environmental variables

Pearson correlation analyses revealed a strong negative correlation between the δ$^{15}$N of Prochlorococcus and NO$_3^-$ concentrations ($r = -0.82$; p value = 0.01) and positive correlation with NH$_4^+$ concentrations ($r = 0.72$; p value = 0.03) (Supporting Information Table S6). However, there were no significant correlations between δ$^{15}$N of Prochlorococcus and percent light or NO$_2^-$ concentrations. There were no significant correlations between the δ$^{15}$N of Synechococcus-like inhabiting the SCM and any of the tested variables.

Discussion

Oceanographic conditions and SCM

Previous studies that analyzed SCMs found conditions within the range observed here (Lavin et al. 2010; Garcia-Robledo et al. 2017; Widner et al. 2018a,b). Dissolved oxygen shows saturation conditions at the surface layer, followed by a pronounced oxycline. Between 62 and 130 m depth (depending on the station) oxygen decreases to undetectable levels. Below this depth, a SCM develops in oxygen-depleted and NO$_3^-$ rich conditions, but with low concentrations of reduced N as NH$_4^+$ or urea (Table 2; Fig. 2).

![Fig. 4. Cell-specific uptake rates (fg N cell$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) for NFP, Prochlorococcus (Pro), and Synechococcus-like (Syn) collected from the SCM: (A) NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$ uptake and (B) NH$_4^+$ and urea uptake.](image)

Table 4. Cell-specific (fg N cell$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) and group-specific (ng N L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$) uptake rates of oxidized (NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$) and reduced (NH$_4^+$ and urea) N forms measured in this study for each group of sorted cells: NFP, Prochlorococcus (Pro), and Synechococcus-like (Syn). Group-specific uptake rates were calculated multiplying the cell-specific uptake rates (fmol cell$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$) by the in situ average abundance of cells of each group (cell L$^{-1}$).

| Group | NO$_3^-$ | NO$_2^-$ | NH$_4^+$* | Urea* |
|-------|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| NFP   | 0.0022   | 0.0003   | 0.0243    | 0.0120 |
|       | SD = 0.0003 | SD = 0.0003 | SD = 0.0042 | SD = 0.0008 |
|       | n = 4    | n = 2    | n = 2     | n = 2  |
| Pro   | 0.0003   | 0.0003   | 0.0175    | 0.0152 |
|       | SD = 0.0003 | SD = 0.0003 | SD = 0.0008 | SD = 0.0008 |
|       | n = 2    | n = 2    | n = 2     | n = 2  |
| Syn   | 0.0005   | 0.0044   | 0.0235    | 0.0616 |
|       | SD = 0.0004 | SD = 0.0004 | SD = 0.0004 | SD = 0.0004 |
|       | n = 2    | n = 2    | n = 2     | n = 2  |

| Group | NO$_3^-$ | NO$_2^-$ | NH$_4^+$* | Urea* |
|-------|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
| NFP   | 14.07    | 1.86     | 313.74    | 154.84 |
|       | SD = 0.19 | SD = 0.02 | SD = 5.52 | SD = 1.04 |
|       | n = 4    | n = 2    | n = 2     | n = 2  |
| Pro   | 0.18     | 0.21     | 23.23     | 20.16  |
|       | SD = 0.19 | SD = 0.02 | SD = 5.52 | SD = 1.04 |
|       | n = 4    | n = 2    | n = 2     | n = 2  |
| Syn   | 0.06     | 0.56     | 5.91      | 15.53  |
|       | SD = 0.05 | SD = 0.05 | SD = 0.05 | SD = 0.05 |
|       | n = 2    | n = 2    | n = 2     | n = 2  |

*Tracer additions resulted in initial enrichments > 50%, rates should be considered as potential uptake.
Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus-like numerically dominated the SCM picophytoplankton community and there were almost no detectable PPE. Apparently this pattern is a persistent characteristic of this particular environment as shown by the few previous studies in ODZs (Lavin et al. 2010; Astorga-Eló et al. 2015; Garcia-Robledo et al. 2017). The relative abundance of Prochlorococcus compared to the total picoplanktonic community was consistent with previously reported ranges (> 5.3%) (Lavin et al. 2010). Although the lineages of Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus-like composing the SCM community were not analyzed as part of this study, they have been previously identified using cloning and sequencing, and terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism analyses applied to the 16S–23S rRNA internal transcribed spacer region (Lavin et al. 2010). Lavin and colleagues found that > 90% of total Prochlorococcus in the SCM was composed by the lineage LLIV and two novel lineages termed LLV and LLVI. On the other hand, Synechococcus was mainly represented by clade I and VI, but with very low abundances.

Assimilative N metabolism in ODZ picocyanobacteria

Natural abundance samples

The measurements of δ¹⁵N-NO₃⁻ reported in the literature for the ODZs range from 12.4‰ to 17.2‰, and from −16.0‰ to −17.8‰ for δ¹⁵N-NO₂⁻, showing a difference up to 35‰ between both nitrogen sources (Casciotti and McIlvin 2007). These differences are considered a consequence of isotope fractionation during the processes of denitrification (Casciotti and McIlvin 2007) and nitrite oxidation (Peters et al. 2016). NH₄⁺ and urea exhibit very low (nanomolar) concentrations in the ocean (for our study sites, see Table 2) and it has not been possible to measure their δ¹⁵N to date, as the most sensitive methods require concentrations of at least 0.5 μmol L⁻¹ (Zhang et al. 2007). However, NH₄⁺ and urea would have an estimated value close to 7‰ (min = 1.3‰; max = 14.4‰; SD = 4.2%) considering the average of 10‰ for PONsus in the SCM (Supporting Information Table S7) or close to 5.1‰ if the NH₄⁺ and urea come mainly by the degradation of sinking material as fecal pellets and/or marine snow (PONsk ~ 8.1‰ in the ODZ; Fuchsman et al. 2018) (Table 3).

The δ¹⁵N-PONsus for the SCM samples was always positive, with values ranging from 4.3‰ to 16.6‰ (Fig. 3). The explanation for these relative high δ¹⁵N could be the result of the assimilation of high δ¹⁵N (as NO₃⁻) by the community composing the SCM or the release of low δ¹⁵N (as the case of ammonium or denitrification). Thus, the δ¹⁵N-PONsus for the SCM represents a mixture of the δ¹⁵N of diverse source pools, both living and nonliving. The relative contributions of the different components vary among stations, with δ¹⁵N values that can be low for some components and high for others.

Another source of variability of δ¹⁵N-PONsus from SCMs at different stations may due to the potential variability in the composition of NFP. Although we identified a very abundant and consistent picophytoplankton component (Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus-like) in samples collected from the SCM, NFP including heterotrophic or chemoautotrophic bacteria and archaea were far more abundant and may vary greatly in functional composition in SCM samples from different stations (e.g., ETNP/ETSP or coastal/oceanic). Differences in the bacterial or archaeal composition may be reflected in differences in the nutritional preferences of the dominant bacterial or archaeal members and this may be reflected in their δ¹⁵N. As the δ¹⁵N-PONsus in the SCM presented much higher values than their components (Fig. 3), part of the variability presented and heavy isotopic signature could also be due to the contribution of nonliving particulate material sinking from the surface with high δ¹⁵N. That could be the case for stations sampled in the ETSP, where measurements of δ¹⁵N-PONsus for the SCM exceeding by 6.5‰ (10.0‰; 3.3‰), and 3.5‰ the values measured for the δ¹⁵N-PONsus in the PCM (Supporting Information Table S7, bold font). Thus, sinking particles can be experiencing degradation by both bacteria and zooplankton, which preferentially degrade low δ¹⁵N-PON to NH₄⁺, leaving the residual PON enriched in ¹⁵N. Accordingly, these results emphasize the importance of the use of flow cytometry cell sorting to distinguish the living and nonliving components of the community and their contribution to the bulk δ¹⁵N signature.

The δ¹⁵N observed for sorted Prochlorococcus cells from the SCM ranged from −4.0‰ to 13.0‰ (range = 17‰), higher than the range previously observed for Prochlorococcus cells from the Sargasso Sea with values ranging from −4‰ to −1‰ (range = 3‰) (Fawcett et al. 2011). The smaller range in δ¹⁵N values from the Sargasso Sea Prochlorococcus group may be due to a more stable nutrient environment relative to an ODZ SCM. Prochlorococcus from the Sargasso Sea preferentially assimilates recycled N sources such as NH₄⁺ or amino acids (Fawcett et al. 2011). The highest δ¹⁵N observed in ODZ Prochlorococcus was only seen once in a coastal station in the ETSP (cruise NBP1305, Sta. BB2) and may have been due to use of NO₃⁻ (Table 3) as the main N source. The lowest δ¹⁵N value (−4.0‰) for Prochlorococcus was observed at a more oceanic station in the ETSP (cruise AT2626, Sta. 8). Since neither Prochlorococcus nor Synechococcus has the genes to fix N₂ (Latesheva et al. 2012), low δ¹⁵N values could be evidence of NO₂⁻ assimilation, as NO₂⁻ is the only N source with negative δ¹⁵N values. Fifty percent of the Prochlorococcus δ¹⁵N values ranged from −2.1‰ to 2.6‰ (median of −0.6‰), suggesting that ODZ SCM Prochlorococcus is most likely assimilating a mixture of N sources with positive δ¹⁵N as ammonium, urea, and/or NO₃⁻, while assimilation of N sources with negative δ¹⁵N such as NH₄⁺ may lower cellular δ¹⁵N. Similar to Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus-like cells isolated from the ODZ SCM had δ¹⁵N values ranging from −4.0‰ to 8.1‰ (range = 12.1) and had a broader range than values observed for Sargasso Sea Synechococcus, which ranged from −3‰ to −1‰ (range = 2) (Fawcett et al. 2011). Apparently both picocyanobacteria have similar nutritional preferences in the ODZ SCM.
No photosynthetic picoeukaryotes were observed in the ODZ SCM, while those found in the PCM presented $\delta^{15}N$ values ranging from −0.3‰ to 2.4‰, with 50% of the data ($n = 5$) ranging from 0.5‰ to 1.2‰. These values are one-order of magnitude lower than those reported for PPE in the Sargasso Sea at 100 m depth ($\delta^{15}N = 12.7$‰), where PPE seems to be assimilating upwelled NO$_3^-$ from below the euphotic zone, but close to those reported for lower depths ($\delta^{15}N$ between 1‰ and 5‰) where most phytoplankton growth is thought to be supported by NH$_4^+$ (Fawcett et al. 2011).

The question remains whether the high variability $\delta^{15}N$ of Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus-like might be explained by environmental factors such as light and/or nutrient concentration. It can be hypothesized that higher light availability might increase the probability that Prochlorococcus uses NO$_3^-$ because it would have more energy available needed for NO$_3^-$ assimilation. However, there was no significant correlation of $\delta^{15}N$ with light percentage, but instead a significant negative correlation with NO$_3^-$ and positive correlations with NH$_4^+$ (Supporting Information Table S6). A negative correlation

Table 5. Comparison of cell-specific uptake rates (fg N cell$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) by picophytoplankton in various regions of the ocean. ND indicates it was not detected.

| Microorganism       | Urea     | NH$_4^+$ | NO$_3^-$ | NO$_2^-$ | Estimated total N rates* | Environment | Reference                  |
|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| Prochlorococcus     | 0.0152   | 0.0175   | 0.0003   | 0.0003   | —                        | ODZ         | This study                 |
| Synechococcus-like  | 0.0616   | 0.0235   | 0.0005   | 0.0044   | —                        | ODZ         | This study                 |
| NF picoplankton     | 0.0120   | 0.0243   | 0.0022   | 0.0003   | —                        | ODZ         | This study                 |
| Picophytoplankton   | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.0711                   | SCM ODZ     | Garcia-Robledo et al. (2017) |
| Picophytoplankton   | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.0326                   | SCM ODZ     | Garcia-Robledo et al. (2017) |
| Picophytoplankton   | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.1304                   | SCM ODZ     | Garcia-Robledo et al. (2017) |
| Picophytoplankton   | —        | —        | —        | —        | ND                       | SCM ODZ     | Garcia-Robledo et al. (2017) |
| Picophytoplankton   | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.6521                   | SCM ODZ     | Garcia-Robledo et al. (2017) |
| Picophytoplankton   | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.2304                   | SCM ODZ     | Garcia-Robledo et al. (2017) |
| Picophytoplankton   | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.2642                   | SCM ODZ     | Garcia-Robledo et al. (2017) |
| Picophytoplankton   | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.3098                   | SCM ODZ     | Garcia-Robledo et al. (2017) |
| Picophytoplankton   | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.2260                   | SCM ODZ     | Garcia-Robledo et al. (2017) |
| Picophytoplankton   | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.6711                   | SCM ODZ     | Garcia-Robledo et al. (2017) |
| Picophytoplankton   | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.2785                   | SCM ODZ     | Garcia-Robledo et al. (2017) |
| Picophytoplankton   | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.2144                   | SCM ODZ     | Garcia-Robledo et al. (2017) |
| Prochlorococcus     | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.0150                   | ST. ALOHA, 75 m | Björkman et al. (2015) |
| Prochlorococcus     | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.0650                   | ST. ALOHA, 75 m | Björkman et al. (2015) |
| Prochlorococcus     | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.0038                   | North Atlantic, 60 m | Li (1994) |
| Prochlorococcus     | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.0338                   | North Atlantic, 60 m | Li (1994) |
| Prochlorococcus     | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.1013                   | North Atlantic, 1 m | Li (1994) |
| Prochlorococcus     | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.1500                   | Northeast Atlantic, surface | Jardillier et al. (2010) |
| Prochlorococcus     | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.0463                   | Atlantic Northern Gyre, 20 m | Hartmann et al. (2014) |
| Prochlorococcus     | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.1063                   | Atlantic equatorial region, 20 m | Hartmann et al. (2014) |
| Prochlorococcus     | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.0550                   | Atlantic Southern Gyre, 20 m | Hartmann et al. (2014) |
| Prochlorococcus     | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.0625                   | Atlantic southern temperate waters, 20 m | Hartmann et al. (2014) |
| Synechococcus       | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.0238                   | North Atlantic, 60 m | Li (1994) |
| Synechococcus       | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.1025                   | North Atlantic, 60 m | Li (1994) |
| Synechococcus       | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.9600                   | North Atlantic, 1 m | Li (1994) |
| Synechococcus       | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.4250                   | Northeast Atlantic, surface | Jardillier et al. (2010) |
| Synechococcus       | —        | —        | —        | —        | 2.1375                   | Northeast Atlantic, surface | Jardillier et al. (2010) |
| Synechococcus       | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.6188                   | Atlantic Northern Gyre, 20 m | Hartmann et al. (2014) |
| Synechococcus       | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.8338                   | Atlantic equatorial region, 20 m | Hartmann et al. (2014) |
| Synechococcus       | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.5675                   | Atlantic Southern Gyre, 20 m | Hartmann et al. (2014) |
| Synechococcus       | —        | —        | —        | —        | 0.2800                   | Atlantic Southern temperate waters, 20 m | Hartmann et al. (2014) |
between $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of Prochlorococcus with NO$_3^-$ concentration might be explained by the isotope discrimination during the NO$_3^-$ assimilation. In general, at the top of the ODZ, where the SCM is developed, the NO$_3^-$ concentration is always high, although variable among stations. At lower NO$_3^-$ concentrations, the NO$_3^-$ remaining would be enriched in $^{15}\text{N}$ due to fractionation during assimilation, enriching the signal in Prochlorococcus that are still assimilating this NO$_3^-$. This possibility is supported by the results of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ for Prochlorococcus in the coastal Sta. BB2 where the SCM is shallower (68–88 m depth) and the concentration of NO$_3^-$ is lower compared with the oceanic stations where the SCMs are found at greater depths with higher concentration of NO$_3^-$ (see Supporting Information Fig. S3). In the case of Synechococcus-like, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ did not have any significant correlations with either the percentage of light or the concentrations of nutrients. In summary, our $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ natural abundance data from sorted groups of Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus-like suggest that these groups are using a mixture of different sources of N, mainly as NH$_4^+$ and urea, while in some instances NO$_2^-$ or NO$_3^-$ may be used preferentially to satisfy their N requirements.

**Nitrogen assimilation rates**

The cell-specific uptake rates of oxidized and reduced N forms for the different sorted groups (Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus-like and NFP) were compared with total N uptake rates reported for picophytoplankton in several study sites (Table 5). The results indicate that Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus-like are using NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$ in the SCM at extremely low rates for their N requirements and that the potential rates for NH$_4^+$ and urea are comparable at least to some of the study sites listed in Table 5. It is also important to point out that this list in Table 5 shows high variability in the uptake rates (see Garcia-Robledo et al. 2017 for measurements for different stations in the SCM) and that our results are within this variability. The uptake rates obtained for the reduced N forms also support the data obtained for the natural abundance values of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, where most of the natural abundance data for the picoycyanobacteria were consistent with the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of NH$_4^+$/urea or a mixture of these sources including NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$ . However, the results here are not yet sufficient to provide understanding of what controls the use of the different N sources.

Our results indicate that although ODZ Prochlorococcus have retained the capacity to use NO$_3^-$ (Astorga-Eló et al. 2015; Widner et al. 2018a), they appear not to rely on NO$_3^-$ as a principal N source. This suggests that ODZ Prochlorococcus are not under the high pressure for genome streamlining that other picocyanobacterial lineages experience. These findings are consistent with the basal characteristc of ODZ Prochlorococcus lineages linking this group with marine Synechococcus (Lavin et al. 2010), which has also not experienced significant genome streamlining (Dufresne et al. 2005; Partensky and Garczarek 2010). Thus, the ecological and evolutionary basis for the apparently strong niche preference of the ODZ Prochlorococcus for the low-light anoxic conditions of the SCM remains mysterious.

**Implications for the ODZs**

The fate of all nitrogen sources taken up by cyanobacteria is to be metabolized to NH$_4^+$, which is finally incorporated into the carbon backbones through glutamine synthetase-glutamate synthetase pathway, a key step to conversion from inorganic N forms to organic N forms such as amino acids or nucleic acids (García-Fernández and Diez 2004; Flores and Herrero 2005). Since all N sources other than NH$_4^+$ require intracellular conversion to NH$_4^+$, it is usually assumed that the most reduced forms of N (NH$_4^+$, urea, amino acids) will be the preferred N sources by cyanobacteria, as they require a lower energy expenditure for use. This is even more important in zones where the energy available is limited, as in the SCM (<0.1% of the incident light) and where the oxidized N sources are abundant and the reduced ones are scarce. Then the selection of one or another N source is a balance between the energy needed to utilize that source and N availability in the environment.

ODZs present an active N cycle where processes like denitrification, anammox, and nitrification are known for the use and/or production of different forms of N (Lam and Kuypers 2011; Stewart et al. 2012). The low NH$_4^+$ concentrations have been explained by a coupling between the NH$_4^+$ produced during organic matter respiration by heterotrophic denitrification and a high anammox activity that converts NH$_4^+$ to N$_2$ (Richards et al. 1965; Devol 2003). However, it has recently been demonstrated that ODZ Prochlorococcus can contribute to aerobic metabolisms in the ODZs, such as nitrification and aerobic organic matter oxidation, through cryptic O$_2$ production in these zones (Garcia-Robledo et al. 2017). In that study, (meta)transcriptional analysis indicated a low anammox activity (possibly inhibited by Prochlorococcus O$_2$ production) and high NO$_3^-$ and organic matter oxidation. These results can be interpreted as a possible NH$_4^+$ production due to organic matter oxidation, a decreased NH$_4^+$ consumption by the anammox bacteria and/or archaea (low transcripts), leaving available NH$_4^+$ for Prochlorococcus assimilation with no accumulation of NH$_4^+$ in the water column and explaining the high uptake rates of reduced N forms. However, NH$_4^+$ is still scarce, so NO$_2^-$, which is most abundant in the SCM, could represent the source of N needed for at least a percentage of the group of Prochlorococcus inhabiting the ODZ. Therefore, the uptake of NO$_2^-$ by Prochlorococcus would mean an eventual competition for this nutrient with the highly active nitrite oxidizing bacteria in the SCM (Garcia-Robledo et al. 2017).

**Conclusions**

In summary, our results suggest that ODZ Prochlorococcus cyanobacteria are using a mixture of reduced and oxidized forms of N to satisfy their requirements. Nevertheless when NH$_4^+$ and urea are available, Prochlorococcus preferentially use
those nutrients even though their genetic repertoire permits the use of oxidized forms. The selection of reduced forms of N can be explained by the low energy needed to assimilate those N forms, important in the SCM (<0.1% of the incident light), but not for the availability of these nutrients in the SCM since they are scarce in ODZ. Perhaps the oxygen production by Prochlorococcus is stimulating aerobic respiration by heterotrophic bacteria and producing the NH₄⁺ that Prochlorococcus needs with no accumulation in the SCM. Finally, our results suggest that ODZ picocyanobacteria might thus represent potential competitors with anammox bacteria or ammonia-oxidizing archaea for NH₄⁺ and/or with nitrite oxidizing bacteria for NO₂⁻.
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