A novel data mining application to detect safety signals for newly approved medications in routine care of patients with diabetes
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Abstract

Background: Clinical trials are often underpowered to detect serious but rare adverse events of a new medication. We applied a novel data mining tool to detect potential adverse events of canagliflozin, the first sodium glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT2 inhibitor) in the United States, using real-world data from shortly after its market entry and before public awareness of its potential safety concerns.

Methods: In a U. S. commercial claims dataset (29 March 2013–30 Sept 2015), two pairwise cohorts of patients over 18 years of age with type 2 diabetes (T2D) who were newly dispensed canagliflozin or an active comparator, that is a dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitor (DPP4) or a glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonist (GLP1), were identified and propensity score-matched. We used variable ratio matching with up to four people receiving a DPP4 or GLP1 for each person receiving canagliflozin. We identified potential safety signals using a hierarchical tree-based scan statistic data mining method with the hierarchical outcome tree constructed based on international classification of disease coding. We screened for incident adverse events where there were more outcomes observed among canagliflozin initiators than expected by chance, after adjusting for multiple testing.

Results: We identified two pairwise propensity score variable ratio matched cohorts of 44,733 canagliflozin vs. 99,458 DPP4 initiators, and 55,974 canagliflozin vs. 74,727 GLP1 initiators. When we screened inpatient and emergency room diagnoses, diabetic ketoacidosis was the only severe adverse event associated with canagliflozin initiation with \( p < .05 \) in both cohorts. When outpatient diagnoses were also considered, signals for female and male genital infections emerged in both cohorts (\( p < .05 \)).

Conclusions and relevance: In a large population-based study, we identified known but no other adverse events associated with canagliflozin, providing reassurance on its safety among adult patients with T2D and suggesting the tree-based scan statistic method is a useful post-marketing safety monitoring tool for newly approved medications.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Identifying adverse events of a newly approved medication is initially based on the results of clinical trials.1-4 This can be problematic since medications are typically approved based on 1 or 2 pivotal clinical trials that may enrol less than 1000 patients per drug and often select healthier patients than in usual care.5,6 While the pre-approval trials may provide information on common adverse events, rare serious adverse events may go undetected.7,8 Until additional safety data are actively reported (eg voluntary reports regulators) or published in the scientific literature (eg observational studies), clinicians rely on relatively scarce data to evaluate a medication’s safety.

Detecting drug-related adverse events, in particular rare events, generally requires a large sample size and prior knowledge of the potential association with a specific adverse event.9,10 However, prior knowledge is often limited when a drug first enters the market. To detect unsuspected adverse reactions, data mining tools are advantageous as they are hypothesis-free10,11 and can leverage information for millions of patients and thousands of potential outcomes when used in the context of longitudinal data sources such as healthcare claims data.12,13

Tree-based scan statistics are a data mining approach implemented by the free TreeScan™ software (www.treescan.org), which can evaluate a wide range of health outcomes, arranged in a hierarchical tree, while adjusting for multiple testing.10,11,14-16 In pharmacovigilance, TreeScan was initially used to evaluate vaccine safety and was recently implemented by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to monitor the short-term safety of the human papillomavirus vaccine.10,14,15 TreeScan has also been used to determine if it can identify well-established side effects of widely used medications, including diabetes medications and antifungal medications that have been in use for decades.10 However, whether a more recently proposed method that combines TreeScan with propensity score-matched analysis in the context of a new-user active comparator study design can be used to reliably identify drug-related adverse events among patients with diabetes using newly approved medications remains unknown.17 Thus, we sought to evaluate whether TreeScan combined with propensity score matching and a new-user active comparator design could help identify incident adverse events of a newly approved diabetes medication shortly after its market entry. This was implemented in a cohort study of adult patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D) initiating canagliflozin, the first marketed sodium glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors in the United States, compared to two active comparators between March 2013 and September 2015.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study population

We conducted a population-based, new-user, cohort study using data from the IBM MarketScan database.12 This database includes patient demographics and longitudinal, patient-level data on healthcare utilization, inpatient and outpatient diagnostic tests and procedures, and pharmacy dispensing of drugs to over 50 million patients in the United States.12

We compared adults with T2D who were newly prescribed canagliflozin or one of two comparators: a dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) inhibitor (ie sitagliptin, saxagliptin, linagliptin, alogliptin) or a glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP1) receptor agonist (ie exenatide, lixisenatide, albiglutide, dulaglutide) in two pairwise comparisons between 29 March 2013 (date of approval of canagliflozin in the United States) and 30 September 2015 (last available data) (Figure 1). We focused on canagliflozin because it made up more than 90% of SGLT2 prescribing during this time period. Patients with diabetes mellitus type 2 were identified using the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9) codes similar to previous studies.18 New users of canagliflozin or a DPP4 inhibitor were defined as those without a prior prescription for an SGLT2 inhibitor or a DPP4 inhibitor in the preceding 180 days. Similarly, new users of canagliflozin or a GLP1 agonist were defined as those without a prior prescription for an SGLT2 inhibitor or a GLP1 agonist in the preceding 180 days. Cohort entry date was the date of first prescription. DPP4 inhibitors and GLP1 agonists were chosen as the comparator medications because during the study period they were considered as a second-line treatment for diabetes, similar to SGLT2 inhibitors.7

Patients receiving both canagliflozin and a comparator on the cohort entry date were excluded. Patients with any of the following characteristics in the 180 days prior to cohort entry were also excluded: insufficient enrolment (ie less than 180 days of baseline data), end-stage renal disease or cancer. The latter two were identified using ICD9 codes similar to prior studies.18 The Brigham and Women’s Hospital Institutional Review Board provided ethics approval and a valid data use agreement for the IBM MarketScan (‘MarketScan’) database was in place.

2.2 | Cohort follow-up

Follow-up began on the day after cohort entry and continued until the first occurrence of the end of the study period (ie the first of: 30 September 2015, 180 days after the index date, end of continuous health plan enrolment, discontinuation of the initial medication or switching to or adding one of the comparator medications, or death). The follow-up period was truncated at 180 days since we were interested in acute rather than long-term adverse reactions.10 A medication was considered discontinued if 60 days elapsed after the expiration of the last prescription’s supply.7,18

2.3 | Baseline covariates

Patient demographics and characteristics were assessed during the 180 days before cohort entry. The characteristics were selected based on diagnoses and procedures covered: chronic medical
2.4 Hierarchical tree of potential outcomes

The potential outcomes to be included in our hierarchical classification system (‘tree’) were developed using ICD-9 diagnosis codes. We removed outcomes that were unlikely to represent an acute drug-related adverse event: ICD9 140 – 239 (neoplasms), ICD9 630 – 679 (pregnancy), ICD9 740 – 759 (congenital), but included all other ICD9 codes. There are five levels to ICD9 diagnosis codes. Level 1 is the broadest category and spans entire disease categories (eg ICD9 codes 001–139 [Infectious and parasitic diseases]). Level 2 includes subgroups of disease or injury ICD9 categories (eg ICD9 codes 130–136 [other infections and parasitic diseases]). Level 3 includes individual ICD9 codes without a decimal value (eg 010 [primary tuberculosis infection]), and level 4 generally includes conditions, markers of diabetes severity, healthcare utilization, diabetes medications and non-diabetes-related medications.
ICD9 codes with one decimal value (eg 010.0 [primary tuberculosis complex]), while level 5 generally includes ICD9 codes with more than one decimal value (eg 010.00 [Primary tuberculous infection, unspecified]). The increasing level of specificity from level 1 to level 5 creates a hierarchical tree structure.

2.5 | Incident outcomes

We defined an incident outcome as the first inpatient or emergency department diagnosis code that occurred during a patient’s available follow-up time for which there was not another inpatient, emergency department or outpatient diagnosis with the same ICD-9 code during the 180 day period. Specifically, in the tree looking at second level outcomes, if the exact second level outcome occurred in the preceding 180 days (in addition to the 180 days before the index date), then this event would not be counted. This step was purposeful to increase the likelihood of identifying real incident events, rather than pre-existing chronic medical conditions. If there were more than one potential incident outcome on the same day, we selected the one that was less common based on the frequency of the code in our dataset. This approach is in line with prior studies applying TreeScan because a key aim of this approach is to detect rare adverse events and has the goal to reduce the likelihood of false signals.

Incident outcomes were assessed at the second, third, fourth and fifth level of the ICD9 hierarchical tree. Potential outcomes at level 1 of the tree were not considered because of the broad nature of these categories. In secondary analyses, we also explored incident outcomes based on outpatient diagnoses in addition to inpatient and emergency visits. This was to assess signals for potential adverse events that may be managed in an outpatient setting without requiring a hospitalization or an emergency department visit.

2.6 | Statistical analysis

Propensity score (PS) matching methodology was used to adjust for confounding using a nearest neighbour matching within a caliper of 0.05. The probability of initiating canagliflozin versus a DPP4 inhibitor or a GLP1 agonist was calculated through a multivariable logistic regression model which contained all of the potential confounders at baseline. The estimated PS was used to match initiators of canagliflozin with initiators of a comparator, using variable ratio matching with up to 4 comparators to each canagliflozin initiator. Covariate balance between the matched cohorts was assessed using standardized differences. A standardized difference of 0.1 or less indicates negligible differences between groups. The standardized differences were calculated for each of the two pairwise comparisons.

The TreeScan method tests the null hypothesis of no difference in risk of adverse events in any outcome node in the tree against a one-sided alternative that there is at least one outcome node where the risk of adverse events is higher in the exposed group than in the comparator group. When screening potential multiple outcomes for signal identification, it is critical to control the rate of false positives. TreeScan generates multiplicity-adjusted \( p \)-values that accurately reflect the type I error rate in the absence of confounding.

That is, if there is not a single outcome with an excess risk, we have a 95% probability of finding zero signals.

We used the unconditional Bernoulli tree-based scan statistic. To meet the assumptions of this statistic, all patients within each matched set were censored at the end of follow-up of the canagliflozin initiator or at the end of follow-up of the uncensored comparator initiator with the longest follow-up, whichever came first. Failing to do so would result in differential follow-up time making it challenging to know if an observed signal is related to a true adverse event or is instead related to a longer follow-up period for detection. The log-likelihood ratio for each node was calculated based on the number of cases in the exposed (ie canagliflozin) or comparator group (ie DPP4 inhibitors or GLP1 agonists) as well as the probability of being in the exposed group (Appendix Figure A1). For our matched cohort, this probability was set to the proportion of patients receiving canagliflozin or a comparator. Since the distribution of the tree-based scan statistic method is unknown, we derived multiple testing adjusted \( p \)-values non-parametrically using Monte Carlo hypothesis testing where permutations of the data are generated under the null hypothesis. The multiple testing adjusted \( p \)-value was determined by ranking the test statistics from 9,999 datasets simulated under the null and the observed dataset from largest to smallest. The \( p \)-value was calculated as the rank of the observed dataset test statistic divided by 10,000 (9,999 simulated datasets +1 observed dataset). The multiplicity-adjusted \( p \)-values were interpreted as the probability of seeing an association of the observed magnitude or one more extreme if the null hypothesis was true. Together with the relative risk estimates, these \( p \)-values were used as a means to prioritize alerts for further investigation (Appendix Figure A2). Specifically, we rank ordered the signals by their \( p \)-value from lowest to highest \( p \)-value. As a surveillance method to detect potential problems, the alerts should not determine whether there is an association without such a follow-up investigation. Rate ratios and rate differences per 1,000 person years were calculated nominally.

The cohort was generated using R version 3.4.2 in the validated Aetion platform. The hierarchical tree was built using SAS Version 9.4 and scanned using the free TreeScan v9.4 software available at: www.treescan.org.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Study population

After the application of the study selection criteria (Figure 1), we identified 44,733 PS matched patients who were newly prescribed canagliflozin and 99,458 PS matched patients who were newly prescribed a DPP4 inhibitor in the canagliflozin vs. DPP4 inhibitors...
Canagliflozin vs. GLP−1RA

nologist (11% vs. 15%). (Table 1). The average duration of follow-up was approximately 19 weeks.

Across the two pairwise cohorts, study participants had average age of 55 years, 9% had history of ischaemic heart disease, and 4% had a recent hospitalization. Patients included in the canagliflozin vs. DPP4 inhibitor pairwise cohort were more frequently males compared with patients included in the canagliflozin vs. GLP1 agonists pairwise cohort (53% vs. 50%), and they were more frequently treated with metformin (63% vs. 56%), less frequently treated with insulin (23% vs. 26%) and had less frequent visits with an endocrinologist (11% vs. 15%). (Table 1). The average duration of follow-up was approximately 19 weeks.

TABLE 1 Baseline patient characteristics of canagliflozin initiators vs. initiators of other diabetes drugs in two pairwise propensity score-matched cohorts

| Patient characteristics | Canagliflozin vs. DPP4i | Canagliflozin vs. GLP−1RA |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
|                         | (n = 44,733)            | (n = 55,974)             | (n = 74,727)             |
| Age (years), mean (SD)  | 54.9 (9.6)              | 54.7 (10.9)              | 54.7 (9.9)              |
| Male, %                 | 23,689 (53.0)           | 23,698 (53.0)            | 27,963 (50.0)           |
| Diabetes severity       |                         |                          |                          |
| Diabetic nephropathy, % | 1.666 (3.7)             | 1.654 (3.7)              | 2.276 (4.1)             |
| Diabetic retinopathy, % | 1.822 (4.1)             | 1.812 (4.1)              | 2.336 (4.2)             |
| Diabetic neuropathy, %  | 3.872 (8.7)             | 3.862 (8.6)              | 5.281 (9.4)             |
| Number of diabetes      | 1.1 (0.9)               | 1.1 (0.8)                | 1.2 (0.9)               |
| medications, mean (SD)  |                         |                          |                          |
| Metformin, %            | 27,952 (62.5)           | 28,148 (62.9)            | 31,655 (56.6)           |
| Insulin, %              | 10,356 (23.2)           | 10,054 (22.5)            | 14,700 (26.3)           |
| GLP1 agonists, %        | 3,649 (8.2)             | 3,262 (7.3)              | 9,388 (16.8)            |
| DPP4 inhibitors, %      | –                       | –                        | 9,293 (16.6)            |
| Other conditions        |                         |                          |                          |
| Hypertension, %         | 28,037 (62.7)           | 27,935 (62.4)            | 34,956 (62.5)           |
| Ischaemic heart disease | 3,899 (8.7)             | 3,796 (8.5)              | 4,944 (8.8)             |
| Stroke, %               | 511 (1.1)               | 493 (1.1)                | 629 (1.1)               |
| Heart failure, %        | 918 (2.1)               | 877 (2.0)                | 1,147 (2.0)             |
| Peripheral vascular disease, % | 1,412 (3.2) | 1,400 (3.1) | 1,745 (3.1) |
| Non-diabetic kidney disease, % | 2,365 (5.3) | 2,281 (5.1) | 3,224 (5.8) |
| Measures of healthcare utilization | | | |
| Previous hospitalization, % | 1,775 (4.0) | 1,703 (3.8) | 2,199 (3.9) |
| Emergency room visit, % | 5,054 (11.3)           | 5,062 (11.3)             | 6,388 (11.4)           |
| Endocrinologist visit, % | 5,176 (11.6)           | 5,094 (11.4)             | 8,546 (15.3)           |
| Number of total medications, mean (SD) | 2.3 (2.2) | 2.3 (2.2) | 2.5 (2.3) |

Abbreviations: DPP4i, dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors; GLP-1RA, glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists; SD, standard deviation; St. diff., standardized difference.

Measured during the 180-day period prior to canagliflozin, DPP-4i or GLP-1RA initiation.

Weighted estimates based on 1:4 variable ratio propensity score matching.

### 3.2 | TreeScan-detected signals for potential adverse events

When assessing potential serious incident adverse events based on inpatient or emergency room diagnoses, TreeScan identified signals for a potential increased risk of diabetes ketoacidosis associated with canagliflozin initiation compared with the initiation of a comparator medication in both pairwise cohorts (Table 2). Specifically, signals emerged at the fourth level of the ICD9 hierarchical tree in the canagliflozin vs. DPP4 inhibitor cohort (p = .043) and at the fourth and fifth level in the canagliflozin vs. GLP1 agonist cohort (p = .0006 and p = .032, respectively). A complete list of potential signals is provided in the appendix.

When we considered potential adverse events based on any diagnoses, including outpatient diagnoses, TreeScan detected signals compatible with a potential increased risk of female and male genital

When we considered potential adverse events based on any diagnoses, including outpatient diagnoses, TreeScan detected signals compatible with a potential increased risk of female and male genital
infections associated with the use of canagliflozin compared with the use of a comparator medication in both cohorts (Table 3). Signals emerged at all investigated levels of the ICD9 hierarchical tree and included specific clinical conditions (eg candidiasis of vulva and vagina, balanoposthitis, vaginitis and vulvovaginitis), as well as aspects pertaining to symptoms, laboratory findings or aspects of care related to genital infections (eg pruritus of genital organs, glycosuria, gynecological examination).

No other clinical entities generated signals that were deemed to require further investigation (see Appendix Table A2-A5 for all TreeScan generated results).

4 | DISCUSSION

In this large population-based study of adult patients with T2D, TreeScan consistently identified diabetic ketoacidosis and genital infections as potential adverse events associated with the initiation of canagliflozin compared with the initiation of other T2D medications. These represent known adverse events associated with canagliflozin and provide a proof of principle that TreeScan may help monitor the safety of new medications.

The most common adverse event with canagliflozin, and other SGLT2 inhibitors, is yeast infections of the genitalia. This is based on data from both observational studies and a recent meta-analysis of clinical trial data. In total, approximately 6% of patients who are started on an SGLT2 inhibitor experience a yeast infection. Another recognized adverse event with canagliflozin and other SGLT2 inhibitors is diabetic ketoacidosis. Based on clinical trial data and observational studies, it can affect up to 1% of patients started on an SGLT2 inhibitor.

Two other potential adverse events with canagliflozin as identified in the CANVAS trial are bone fracture and amputation. While neither were detected in our current study, our findings are consistent with other observational studies suggesting these risks are perhaps restricted only to patients at highest risk (eg older adults with significant comorbid conditions).29 Because our study primarily included middle aged adults with relatively few comorbid conditions, this may partially account for why neither adverse event was detected by TreeScan.

The ability of TreeScan to identify recognized adverse events of canagliflozin is relevant for other newly approved medications, especially now that approximately 60% of new medications approved by the FDA undergo an expedited pathway based on shorter and smaller clinical trials, some of which are non-randomized, relative to the non-expedited approval pathway.30

The FDA identifies drug safety as its highest priority, and after a drug is approved its safety is primarily monitored through spontaneous reports. Reports can be submitted by healthcare professionals, patients, drug manufacturers and lawyers.31,32 Since spontaneous reports are voluntary, the quality is variable and underreporting is common.33 In 2017, the FDA released 'Sentinel Initiative: Final Assessment Report' which outlined how it would modernize the process of post-market drug safety surveillance, including the use of canagliflozin compared with the use of comparator medications in both cohorts. Signals emerged at all investigated levels of the ICD9 hierarchical tree and included specific clinical conditions (eg candidiasis of vulva and vagina, balanoposthitis, vaginitis and vulvovaginitis), as well as aspects pertaining to symptoms, laboratory findings or aspects of care related to genital infections (eg pruritus of genital organs, glycosuria, gynecological examination).

No other clinical entities generated signals that were deemed to require further investigation (see Appendix Table A2-A5 for all TreeScan generated results).

TABLE 2  Signals for potential adverse events based on inpatient or emergency department diagnoses among canagliflozin initiators vs. initiators of other diabetes drugs in two pairwise propensity score-matched cohorts

| Potential adverse event (ICD-9 code) | Canagliflozin vs. DPP4i | Canagliflozin vs. GLP-1RA |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                       | Treelevel | N events | N events | RR | RD¹ | LLR | p    | N events | N events | RR | RD¹ | LLR | p    |
| Diabetes with ketoacidosis (250.1)    | 4         | 66       | 60       | 2.2 | 2.4 | 8.0 | .043 | 92       | 53       | 2.3 | 3.1 | 13.0 | .0006 |
| Diabetes, type 2 with ketoacidosis (250.12) | 5         | 41       | 32       | 2.6 | 1.7 | 6.6 | .230 | 56       | 30       | 2.4 | 2.0 | 8.4  | .032  |

Abbreviations: DPP-4i, dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors; GLP-1RA, glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists; LLR, log-likelihood ratio; p, p-value; RD, rate difference; RR, rate ratio.

¹Based on inpatient or emergency department diagnoses (any position).

²Per 1,000 person years.
### TABLE 3  Signals for potential adverse events based on any diagnoses among canagliflozin initiators vs. initiators of other diabetes drugs in two pairwise propensity score-matched cohorts

| Potential adverse event (ICD−9 code) | Tree level | N events Canagliflozin | N events DPP4i | RR   | RD<sup>b</sup> | LLR   | p     | N events Canagliflozin | N events GLP−1RA | RR   | RD<sup>b</sup> | LLR   | p     |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|---------------|------|------------|-------|-------|------------------------|----------------|------|------------|-------|-------|
| Mycoses (110–118)                    | 2         | 1,861                  | 2,741         | 1.4  | 33.4       | 44.7  | .0001 | 2,217                  | 2,041         | 1.4  | 38.6       | 63.9  | .0001 |
| Candidiasis (112.xx)                 | 3         | 872                    | 635           | 2.7  | 37.7       | 137.8 | .0001 | 1,047                  | 525           | 2.6  | 38.3       | 172.9 | .0001 |
| Candidiasis of vulva and vagina (112.1) | 4    | 498                    | 254           | 3.9  | 25.2       | 137.8 | .0001 | 606                    | 232           | 3.4  | 25.5       | 143.1 | .0001 |
| Candidiasis of other urogenital sites (112.2) | 4    | 61                     | 34            | 3.6  | 3.0        | 16.8  | .0001 | 60                     | 29            | 2.7  | 2.2        | 8.4   | .0681 |
| Candidiasis of unspecified site (112.9) | 4    | 163                    | 86            | 3.8  | 8.2        | 40.8  | .0001 | 198                    | 86            | 3.0  | 7.9        | 41.2  | .0001 |
| Balanoposthitis (607.1)               | 4         | 88                     | 70            | 2.5  | 3.6        | 15.8  | .0001 | 83                     | 35            | 3.1  | 3.3        | 14.4  | .0002 |
| Inflammatory disease of female pelvic organs (614–616) | 2    | 640                    | 544           | 2.4  | 25.0       | 81.8  | .0001 | 785                    | 489           | 2.1  | 24.4       | 106.1 | .0001 |
| Inflammatory disease of cervix vagina and vulva (616.x) | 3    | 606                    | 492           | 2.5  | 24.5       | 87.2  | .0001 | 751                    | 422           | 2.3  | 25.4       | 119.6 | .0001 |
| Vaginitis and vulvovaginitis (616.1x) | 4         | 519                    | 363           | 2.9  | 23.0       | 98.8  | .0001 | 661                    | 308           | 2.8  | 25.3       | 135.2 | .0001 |
| Vaginitis and vulvovaginitis, unspecified (616.10) | 5    | 507                    | 356           | 2.8  | 22.4       | 95.6  | .0001 | 642                    | 299           | 2.8  | 24.5       | 131.6 | .0001 |
| Other disorders of female genital tract (617–629) | 2    | 2,099                  | 3,465         | 1.2  | 24.9       | 9.0   | .033  | -                      | -             | -    | -          | -     | -     |
| Pruritus of genital organs (698.1)    | 4         | 64                     | 33            | 3.9  | 3.2        | 16.7  | .0001 | 88                     | 31            | 3.7  | 3.8        | 21.2  | .0001 |
| Glycosuria (791.5)                    | 4         | 92                     | 53            | 3.5  | 4.5        | 26.7  | .0001 | 104                    | 40            | 3.4  | 4.4        | 23.8  | .0001 |
| Gynaecological examination (V72.3x)   | 4         | 1,785                  | 2,950         | 1.2  | 21.1       | 9.7   | .015  | -                      | -             | -    | -          | -     | -     |
| Routine gynaecological examination (V72.31) | 5    | 1,782                  | 2,941         | 1.2  | 21.2       | 9.9   | .013  | -                      | -             | -    | -          | -     | -     |

Abbreviations: DPP-4i, dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors; GLP-1RA, glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists; LLR, log-likelihood ratio; p, p-value; RD, rate difference; RR, rate ratio.

<sup>a</sup>Based on inpatient, emergency department, or outpatient diagnoses (any position).

<sup>b</sup>Per 1,000 person years.
implementation of TreeScan and other data mining tools. Our study provides a framework for how TreeScan might be applied to identify potential adverse events of newly marketed medications. We have identified four important methodologic aspects to using TreeScan which we will discuss individually.

First, an appropriate comparator should be selected. Identifying an appropriate comparator requires expertise in the clinical domain being studied. We identified DPP4 inhibitors and GLP1 agonists as two potential active comparators since both were second-line medications for T2D at the time of this investigation. Using two separate active comparators allowed us evaluate the robustness of our results, but there are many clinical scenarios where only one active comparator exists. In our study, regardless of the active comparator used, diabetic ketoacidosis and genital infection were consistently observed associated adverse events of canagliflozin.

Second, a tree of diagnoses is required. Using ICD9 codes is one approach because the data to construct the hierarchical tree are publicly available. How the tree should then be pruned depends on the clinical context. We excluded groups of diagnoses that were unlikely to represent acute drug reactions (eg congenital diagnoses) to limit false signals. Another approach is to include an unpruned tree that includes all diagnoses, but this slightly decreases power to detect effects of exposure. One potential approach is to include an unpruned tree as a sensitivity analysis, but this can lead to spurious findings.

Another important consideration for sensitivity analyses is the level of the tree to be included. Focusing on the 5th level of the tree alone is similar to just focusing on individual diagnostic codes, whereas including the 4th level accounts for related codes which can improve statistical power. For example, there is a 4th level code for diabetic ketoacidosis and then 5th level codes stemming from that on the type of diabetic ketoacidosis. By including the 4th level code, the statistical power to detect diabetic ketoacidosis is improved because grouping at the 4th level accounts for the individual 5th level codes.

Third, studies applying TreeScan thus far have focused on relatively short time horizons of a month or two. We selected a maximum duration of 180 days based on prior literature which identified that the median duration of follow-up for adults with diabetes who newly start a diabetes medication within the MarketScan database is approximately 180 days. Shorter or longer durations can be used depending on the clinical context.

Fourth, multiple testing adjusted p-value are determined through ranking of the test statistics from datasets simulated under the null and the observed dataset from largest to smallest. We ran 9,999 simulations, as fewer simulations would provide less stable ranking. Together with risk estimates, these p-values are used as a means to rank and prioritize alerts for further investigation.

While TreeScan is a powerful data mining tool, it has important limitations. First, the emergence of specific safety signals, that is diabetic ketoacidosis and genital infections, in our study does not necessarily mean canagliflozin is safe with regard to other potential adverse events. Instead, the results need to be interpreted within the context of the healthcare database. For example, we used the MarketScan database which typically includes adults under the age of 65 and thus our results might not generalize well to patients who are older than 65 years of age. Second, potential adverse events of canagliflozin that lacked a specific diagnosis code (eg light headedness) may have not been identified because of limitations with diagnostic codes. Third, intrinsic to all data mining tools, the observed signals require replication, ideally with a focused pharmacoepidemiologic study to evaluate individual signals of interest. This is particularly important since 95% confidence intervals cannot be calculated using TreeScan. Replication of these signals was confirmed by our study team in two such targeted pharmacoepidemiological investigations.25

5 | CONCLUSION

In a large population-based study, we identified known but no other adverse events associated with canagliflozin, providing reassurance on its safety among adult patients with T2D. The results of our study demonstrate that TreeScan may aid in the process of studying the safety of newly approved medications soon after approval, providing information on signals for potential adverse events in near real time. Additional studies will be necessary to understand the settings where this approach works well and settings where it may not.
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Additional details on follow up time.

For GLP, exposed mean time is 134 days (standard deviation [SD] = 53). Unexposed mean time is 132 days (SD = 53). Unexposed weighted mean time is 130 days (SD = 47). Overall unweighted mean time is 134 days (SD=54), and overall weighted mean time is 134 days (SD 50).

For DPP exposed mean time is 136 days (SD = 54), unexposed mean time is 135 days (SD = 53), and unexposed weighted mean time is 132 days (36). Overall unweighted mean time is 135 days (54), and overall weighted mean time is 134 days (43).
Table A1  Baseline characteristics prior to matching

|                          | Canagliflozin (N = 54540) | DPP4 inhibitor (N = 130325) | St. diff |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------|
| Age (SD)                 | 54.5 (9.6)                 | 59.1 (12.2)                | -0.42    |
| Male                     | 28783 52.8%                | 70038 53.7%                | -0.02    |
| Diabetic Nephropathy     | 2175 4.0%                  | 5933 4.6%                  | -0.03    |
| Diabetic Retinopathy     | 2453 4.5%                  | 4938 3.8%                  | 0.04     |
| Diabetic Neuropathy      | 5340 9.8%                  | 10527 8.1%                 | 0.06     |
| Hypertension             | 34485 63.2%                | 81027 62.2%                | 0.02     |
| Stroke                   | 564 1.0%                   | 3126 2.4%                  | -0.11    |
| Ischemic Heart Disease   | 4665 8.6%                  | 16202 12.4%                | -0.13    |
| Heart Failure            | 1039 1.9%                  | 6189 4.7%                  | -0.16    |
| Non-Diabetic Renal Disease | 2658 4.9%               | 14588 11.2%                | -0.23    |
| Peripheral Vascular Disease | 1762 3.2%              | 4841 3.7%                  | -0.03    |
| Metformin Use            | 34723 63.7%                | 74416 57.1%                | 0.13     |
| Insulin Use              | 16523 30.3%                | 15340 11.8%                | 0.47     |
| GLP1 Use                 | 10153 18.6%                | 3458 2.7%                  | 0.54     |
| Endocrinologist          | 9507 17.4%                 | 7450 5.7%                  | 0.37     |
| Number of Diabetes Medications (SD) | 1.2 (0.9) | 1.0 (0.8) | 0.26 |
| Number of Total Medications (SD) | 2.4 (2.1) | 2.1 (2.2) | 0.15 |
| Emergency room visit     | 6014 11.0%                 | 18140 13.9%                | -0.09    |
| Previous hospitalization | 1971 3.6%                  | 10925 8.4%                 | -0.20    |

|                          | Canagliflozin (N = 70123) | GLP1 Agonist (74820) | St. Diff |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------|
| Age (SD)                 | 55.1 9.8                   | 54.5 10.3            | 0.06     |
| Male                     | 38790 55.3%                | 34020 45.5%          | 0.20     |
| Diabetic Nephropathy     | 2597 3.7%                  | 3523 4.7%            | -0.05    |
| Diabetic Retinopathy     | 3001 4.3%                  | 3036 4.1%            | 0.01     |
| Diabetic Neuropathy      | 6355 9.1%                  | 7276 9.7%            | -0.02    |
| Hypertension             | 44693 63.7%                | 45317 60.6%          | 0.07     |
| Stroke                   | 736 1.0%                   | 878 1.2%             | -0.01    |
| Ischemic Heart Disease   | 6152 8.8%                  | 6824 9.1%            | -0.01    |
| Heart Failure            | 1293 1.8%                  | 1803 2.4%            | -0.04    |
| Non-Diabetic Renal Disease | 3456 4.9%              | 5448 7.3%            | -0.10    |
| Peripheral Vascular Disease | 2366 3.4%              | 2195 2.9%            | 0.03     |
| Metformin Use            | 38629 55.1%                | 42971 57.4%          | -0.05    |
| Insulin Use              | 16616 23.7%                | 21175 28.3%          | -0.11    |
| DPP Use                  | 14902 21.3%                | 10437 13.9%          | 0.19     |
| Endocrinologist          | 10041 14.3%                | 11708 15.6%          | -0.04    |
| Number of Diabetes Medications (SD) | 1.2 (1.0) | 1.1 (0.9) | 0.12 |
| Number of Total Medications (SD) | 2.5 (2.3) | 2.5 (2.3) | 0.00 |
| ER Visit                 | 7354 10.5%                 | 9283 12.4%          | -0.06    |
| Hospitalizations in previous 180 days | 2437 3.5% | 3503 4.7% | -0.06 |

Abbreviations: DPP4i, dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors; GLP-1RA, glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists; SD, standard deviation; St. diff., standardized difference.
### Table A2  Signals for potential adverse events based on inpatient or emergency department diagnoses among canagliflozin initiators vs. initiators of DDP4 inhibitors in a propensity score matched cohort

| Potential adverse event (ICD−9 code)\( ^b \) | Tree level | N events Canagliflozin | N events DPP4i | LLR | p   |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------|------------------------|----------------|-----|-----|
| 250.1x: Diabetes with ketoacidosis            | 4          | 66                     | 60             | 8.0 | .04 |
| 250.12: Diabetes with ketoacidosis, type II or unspecified type, uncontrolled | 5          | 41                     | 32             | 6.6 | .23 |
| 806.x: Fracture of vertebral column with spinal cord injury | 3          | 4                      | 0              | 6.0 | .43 |
| 464.0x: Acute laryngitis                      | 4          | 7                      | 1              | 5.4 | .64 |
| 464.x: Acute laryngitis and tracheitis         | 3          | 9                      | 3              | 4.8 | .89 |
| 996.66: Infection and inflammatory reaction due to internal joint prosthesis | 5          | 5                      | 0              | 4.7 | .92 |
| 620.1: Corpus luteum cyst or hematoma         | 5          | 4                      | 0              | 4.7 | .94 |
| 620.1x: Corpus luteum cyst or hematoma        | 4          | 4                      | 0              | 4.7 | .94 |
| 410.3x: Acute myocardial infarction of inferoposterior wall | 4          | 7                      | 2              | 4.6 | .94 |
| 110–118: Mycoses                              | 2          | 66                     | 79             | 4.5 | .96 |
| 336.x: Other diseases of spinal cord          | 3          | 8                      | 2              | 4.5 | .96 |
| 410.31: Acute myocardial infarction of inferoposterior wall, initial episode of care | 5          | 6                      | 1              | 4.5 | .97 |
| 782.6x: Pallor and flushing                   | 4          | 4                      | 0              | 4.3 | .99 |
| 464.00: Acute laryngitis without mention of obstruction | 5          | 6                      | 1              | 4.2 | .99 |
| 784.4x: Voice disturbance                     | 4          | 6                      | 1              | 4.1 | .99 |
| 112.xx: Candidias                             | 3          | 50                     | 61             | 4.1 | .99 |
| 411.0: Postmyocardial infarction syndrome     | 5          | 3                      | 0              | 4.0 | .99 |
| 411.0x: Postmyocardial infarction syndrome    | 4          | 3                      | 0              | 4.0 | .99 |
| 402.0x: Malignant hypertensive heart disease  | 4          | 6                      | 1              | 4.0 | .99 |
| 624.x: Noninflammatory disorders of vulva and perineum | 3          | 4                      | 0              | 3.9 | .99 |
| 534.xx: Gastrojejunal ulcer                   | 3          | 3                      | 0              | 3.8 | .99 |

Abbreviations: DPP-4i: dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors; LLR: log-likelihood ratio; p: p-value.

\( ^b \) Based on inpatient or emergency department diagnoses (any position).
Table A3  Signals for potential adverse events based on any diagnoses among canagliflozin initiators vs. initiators of DDP4 inhibitors in a propensity score matched cohort

| Potential adverse event (ICD−9 code)² | Tree level | N events Canagliflozin | N events DPP4i | LLR | p     |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------------------------|----------------|-----|-------|
| 112.xx: Candidiasis                  | 3          | 872                    | 635            | 154.8 | .0001 |
| 112.1: Candidiasis of vulva and vagina | 4          | 498                    | 254            | 137.8 | .0001 |
| 112.1x: Candidiasis of vulva and vagina | 5          | 498                    | 254            | 137.8 | .0001 |
| 616.1x: Vaginitis and vulvovaginitis | 4          | 519                    | 363            | 98.8  | .0001 |
| 616.10: Vaginitis and vulvovaginitis, unspecified | 5          | 507                    | 356            | 95.6  | .0001 |
| 616.x: Inflammatory disease of cervix vagina and vulva | 3          | 606                    | 492            | 87.2  | .0001 |
| 614–616: Inflammatory Disease Of Female Pelvic Organs | 2          | 640                    | 544            | 81.8  | .0001 |
| 110–118: Mycoses                     | 2          | 1861                   | 2741           | 44.7  | .0001 |
| 112.9: Candidiasis of unspecified site | 5          | 163                    | 86             | 40.8  | .0001 |
| 112.9x: Candidiasis of unspecified site | 4          | 163                    | 86             | 40.8  | .0001 |
| 791.5: Glycosuria                    | 5          | 92                     | 53             | 26.7  | .0001 |
| 791.5x: Glycosuria                   | 4          | 92                     | 53             | 26.7  | .0001 |
| 112.2: Candidiasis of other urogenital sites | 5          | 61                     | 34             | 16.8  | .0001 |
| 112.2x: Candidiasis of other urogenital sites | 4          | 61                     | 34             | 16.8  | .0001 |
| 698.1: Pruritus of genital organs    | 5          | 64                     | 33             | 16.7  | .0001 |
| 698.1x: Pruritus of genital organs   | 4          | 64                     | 33             | 16.7  | .0001 |
| 607.1: Balanoposthitis               | 5          | 88                     | 70             | 15.8  | .0001 |
| 607.1x: Balanoposthitis              | 4          | 88                     | 70             | 15.8  | .0001 |
| V72.31: Routine gynaecological examination | 5          | 1782                   | 2941           | 9.9   | .013  |
| V72.3x: Gynaecological examination  | 4          | 1785                   | 2950           | 9.7   | .015  |
| 617–629: Other Disorders Of Female Genital Tract | 2          | 2099                   | 3465           | 9.0   | .033  |
| 110.3: Dermatophytosis of groin and perianal area | 5          | 70                     | 75             | 7.1   | .27   |
| 110.3x: Dermatophytosis of groin and perianal area | 4          | 70                     | 75             | 7.1   | .27   |
| 460–466: Acute Respiratory Infections | 2          | 4892                   | 8723           | 6.7   | .36   |
| 783.21: Loss of weight               | 5          | 203                    | 309            | 6.4   | .46   |
| 627.xx: Menopausal and postmenopausal disorders | 3          | 605                    | 982            | 6.4   | .47   |
| 739.xx: Nonallopatic lesions not elsewhere classified | 3          | 1232                   | 2054           | 6.4   | .48   |
| 847.0: Sprain of neck                | 5          | 225                    | 322            | 6.3   | .53   |
| 847.0x: Sprain of neck               | 4          | 225                    | 322            | 6.3   | .53   |
| 783.2x: Abnormal loss of weight and underweight | 4          | 203                    | 311            | 6.2   | .58   |
| 605.x: Redundant prepuce and phimosis | 4          | 46                     | 39             | 6.1   | .64   |
| 605.x: Redundant prepuce and phimosis | 3          | 46                     | 39             | 6.1   | .64   |
| 605: Redundant prepuce and phimosis  | 5          | 46                     | 39             | 6.1   | .64   |
| 623.5: Leucorrhoea, not specified as infective | 5          | 105                    | 112            | 6.0   | .66   |
| 623.5x: Leucorrhoea, not specified as infective | 4          | 105                    | 112            | 6.0   | .66   |
| 623.x: Noninflammatory disorders of vagina | 3          | 159                    | 195            | 5.9   | .69   |
| 847.x: Sprains and strains of other and unspecified parts of back | 3          | 585                    | 941            | 5.3   | .92   |
| 577.x: Diseases of pancreas          | 3          | 253                    | 393            | 5.2   | .93   |
| 410.31: Acute myocardial infarction of inferoposterior wall, initial episode of care | 5          | 7                       | 1              | 5.0   | .96   |
| 487.1: Influenza with other respiratory manifestations | 5          | 234                    | 344            | 4.9   | .98   |
| 487.1x: Influenza with other respiratory manifestations | 4          | 234                    | 344            | 4.9   | .98   |
| 696.1x: Other psoriasis              | 4          | 171                    | 236            | 4.7   | .99   |
| 696.1: Other psoriasis               | 5          | 171                    | 236            | 4.7   | .99   |

(Continues)
### Table A3 (Continued)

| Potential adverse event (ICD-9 code) | Tree level | N events Canagliflozin | N events DPP4i | LLR   | p  |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------------------|----------------|-------|----|
| 900.xx: Injury to blood vessels of head and neck | 3          | 4                      | 0              | 4.7   | .99|
| 577.1x: Other psoriasis             | 4          | 41                     | 43             | 4.7   | .99|
| 577.1: Other psoriasis              | 5          | 41                     | 43             | 4.7   | .99|
| 373.12: Hordeolum internum          | 5          | 47                     | 53             | 4.6   | .99|
| 461.x: Acute sinusitis              | 3          | 1674                   | 2870           | 4.6   | .99|
| 526.4x: Inflammatory conditions of jaw | 4          | 10                     | 4              | 4.6   | .99|
| 526.4: Inflammatory conditions of jaw | 5          | 10                     | 4              | 4.6   | .99|
| 461.9x: Acute sinusitis, unspecified | 4          | 1226                   | 2100           | 4.4   | .99|
| 461.9: Acute sinusitis, unspecified | 5          | 1226                   | 2100           | 4.4   | .99|
| V76.4x: Special screening for malignant neoplasms of other sites | 4          | 763                    | 1322           | 4.3   | .99|
| 526.xx: Diseases of the jaws        | 3          | 24                     | 22             | 4.2   | .99|
| 900.9x: Injury to unspecified blood vessel of head and neck | 4          | 3                      | 0              | 4.2   | .99|
| 900.9: Injury to unspecified blood vessel of head and neck | 5          | 3                      | 0              | 4.2   | .99|
| 470–478: Other Diseases Of Upper Respiratory Tract | 2          | 2788                   | 4992           | 4.1   | .99|
| 730.37: Periostitis, without mention of osteomyelitis, ankle and foot | 5          | 8                      | 2              | 4.1   | .99|
| 686.1: Pyogenic granuloma of skin and subcutaneous tissue | 5          | 27                     | 23             | 4.1   | .99|
| 686.1x: Pyogenic granuloma of skin and subcutaneous tissue | 4          | 27                     | 23             | 4.1   | .99|
| 487.x: Influenza                    | 3          | 253                    | 386            | 4.1   | .99|
| V88.02: Acquired absence of uterus with remaining cervical stump | 5          | 3                      | 0              | 4.0   | .99|
| 598.0: Urethral stricture due to infection | 4          | 3                      | 0              | 4.0   | .99|
| 349.9x: Unspecified disorders of nervous system | 4          | 15                     | 9              | 4.0   | .99|
| 349.9: Unspecified disorders of nervous system | 5          | 15                     | 9              | 4.0   | .99|

**Abbreviations:** DPP-4i: dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitors; LLR: log-likelihood ratio; p: p-value.

*aBased on inpatient, emergency department or outpatient diagnoses (any position).*
Table A4  Signals for potential adverse events based on inpatient or emergency department diagnoses among canagliflozin initiators vs. initiators of GLP1 agonists in a propensity score matched cohort

| Potential adverse event (ICD−9 code)b | Tree level | N events Canagliflozin | N events GLP−1RA | LLR  | p     |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------------------|-------------------|------|-------|
| 250.1x: Diabetes with ketoacidosis  | 4          | 92                     | 53                | 13.0 | .0006 |
| 250.12: Diabetes, type 2 with ketoacidosis | 5      | 56                     | 30                | 8.4  | .032  |
| 574.50: Calculus of bile duct without mention of cholecystitis, without mention of obstruction | 5 | 13                     | 2                 | 6.2  | .33   |
| 112.x: Candidiasis                  | 3          | 59                     | 42                | 6.0  | .39   |
| 110–118: Mycoses                    | 2          | 74                     | 58                | 5.4  | .65   |
| 278.1: Localized adiposity          | 5          | 5                      | 0                 | 5.2  | .71   |
| 278.1x: Localized adiposity         | 4          | 5                      | 0                 | 5.2  | .71   |
| 112.1x: Candidiasis of vulva and vagina | 4     | 19                     | 7                 | 5.1  | .76   |
| 112.1: Candidiasis of vulva and vagina | 5     | 19                     | 7                 | 5.1  | .76   |
| 574.3x: Calculus of bile duct with acute cholecystitis | 4 | 6                      | 0                 | 4.9  | .85   |
| 967.xx: Poisoning by sedatives and hypnotics | 3 | 6                      | 0                 | 4.9  | .85   |
| 788.1x: Dysuria                     | 4          | 40                     | 26                | 4.8  | .89   |
| 788.1: Dysuria                      | 5          | 40                     | 26                | 4.8  | .89   |
| 969.x: Poisoning by psychotropic agents | 3     | 10                     | 4                 | 4.5  | .95   |
| 426.10: Atrioventricular block, unspecified | 5   | 5                      | 0                 | 4.4  | .97   |
| 847.9: Sprain of unspecified site of back | 5   | 33                     | 20                | 4.3  | .98   |
| 847.9x: Sprain of unspecified site of back | 4   | 33                     | 20                | 4.3  | .98   |
| 574.30: Calculus of bile duct with acute cholecystitis, without mention of obstruction | 5 | 5                      | 0                 | 4.2  | .99   |
| 443.0x: Raynaud's syndrome          | 4          | 4                      | 0                 | 4.2  | .99   |
| 443.0: Raynaud's syndrome           | 5          | 4                      | 0                 | 4.2  | .99   |
| V70.x: General medical examination  | 3          | 17                     | 7                 | 4.1  | .99   |
| 799.89: Other ill-defined conditions | 5   | 16                     | 7                 | 3.9  | .99   |
| 799.8x: Other ill-defined conditions | 4   | 16                     | 7                 | 3.9  | .99   |
| V68.89: Encounters for other specified administrative purpose | 5 | 3                      | 0                 | 3.7  | .99   |
| V68.8x: Encounters for other specified administrative purpose | 4 | 3                      | 0                 | 3.7  | .99   |

Abbreviations: GLP-1RA: glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists; LLR: log-likelihood ratio; p: p-value.
bBased on inpatient or emergency department diagnoses (any position).
Table A5  Signals for potential adverse events based on any diagnoses among canagliflozin initiators vs. initiators of GLP1 agonists in a propensity score matched cohort

| Potential adverse event (ICD−9 code) | Tree level | N events Canagliflozin | N events GLP−1RA | LLR   | p     |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------------------------|------------------|--------|-------|
| 112.xx: Candidiasis                  | 3          | 1047                   | 525              | 172.9  | .0001 |
| 112.1: Candidiasis of vulva and vagina| 4          | 606                    | 232              | 143.1  | .0001 |
| 112.1x: Candidiasis of vulva and vagina| 5         | 606                    | 232              | 143.1  | .0001 |
| 616.1x: Vaginitis and vulvovaginitis | 4          | 661                    | 308              | 135.2  | .0001 |
| 616.10: Vaginitis and vulvovaginitis, unspecified | 5 | 642 | 299 | 131.6 | .0001 |
| 616.x: Inflammatory disease of cervix vagina and vulva | 3 | 751 | 422 | 119.6 | .0001 |
| 614–616: Inflammatory Disease Of Female Pelvic Organs | 2 | 785 | 489 | 106.1 | .0001 |
| 110–118: Mycoses                     | 2          | 2217                   | 2041             | 63.9   | .0001 |
| 112.9: Candidiasis of unspecified site | 4          | 198                    | 86               | 41.2   | .0001 |
| 112.9x: Candidiasis of unspecified site | 5          | 198                    | 86               | 41.2   | .0001 |
| 791.5: Glycosuria                    | 4          | 104                    | 40               | 23.8   | .0001 |
| 791.5x: Glycosuria                   | 5          | 104                    | 40               | 23.8   | .0001 |
| 698.1x: Pruritus of genital organs   | 4          | 88                     | 31               | 21.2   | .0001 |
| 698.1: Pruritus of genital organs    | 5          | 88                     | 31               | 21.2   | .0001 |
| 607.1x: Balanoposthitis              | 4          | 83                     | 35               | 14.4   | .0002 |
| 607.1: Balanoposthitis               | 5          | 83                     | 35               | 14.4   | .0002 |
| 112.2x: Candidiasis of other urogenital sites | 4 | 60 | 29 | 8.4 | .068 |
| 112.2: Candidiasis of other urogenital sites | 5 | 60 | 29 | 8.4 | .068 |
| 250.12: Diabetes, type 2 with ketoacidosis | 5 | 62 | 36 | 8.4 | .071 |
| 623.5x: Leucorrhoea, not specified as infective | 4 | 131 | 105 | 8.0 | .10 |
| 623.5: Leucorrhoea, not specified as infective | 5 | 131 | 105 | 8.0 | .10 |
| 698.x: Pruritus and related conditions | 3 | 352 | 343 | 7.4 | .20 |
| V72.31: Routine gynaecological examination | 5 | 2293 | 2929 | 7.4 | .21 |
| V72.3x: Gynaecological examination | 4 | 2295 | 2935 | 7.2 | .25 |
| 458.x: Hypotension                   | 3          | 297                    | 302              | 6.9    | .32   |
| 372.30: Conjunctivitis, unspecified  | 5          | 199                    | 186              | 6.8    | .36   |
| 117.9x: Other and unspecified mycoses | 4 | 33 | 18 | 6.6 | .41 |
| 117.9: Other and unspecified mycoses | 5 | 33 | 18 | 6.6 | .41 |
| 307.46: Sleep arousal disorder       | 5          | 8                      | 0                | 6.1    | .66   |
| 577.x: Diseases of pancreas          | 3          | 273                    | 280              | 5.9    | .74   |
| 372.3x: Diseases of pancreas         | 4          | 218                    | 212              | 5.8    | .74   |
| 250.1x: Diabetes with ketoacidosis   | 4          | 120                    | 105              | 5.6    | .81   |
| 788.4x: Frequency of urination and polyuria | 4 | 621 | 678 | 5.4 | .88 |
| 458.0x: Orthostatic hypotension      | 4          | 90                     | 74               | 5.1    | .96   |
| 458.0: Orthostatic hypotension       | 5          | 90                     | 74               | 5.1    | .96   |
| 577.1: Chronic pancreatitis          | 5          | 49                     | 32               | 5.0    | .97   |
| 577.1x: Chronic pancreatitis         | 4          | 49                     | 32               | 5.0    | .97   |
| 736.72: Equinus deformity of foot, acquired | 5 | 53 | 34 | 4.8 | .99 |
| 727.02: Giant cell tumour of tendon sheath | 5 | 6 | 0 | 4.7 | .99 |
| V86: Oestrogen Receptor Status       | 2          | 24                     | 10               | 4.6    | .99   |
| V86.xx: Oestrogen receptor status    | 3          | 24                     | 10               | 4.6    | .99   |
| 070: Viral hepatitis                  | 5          | 6                      | 0                | 4.5    | .99   |
| 070.x: Viral hepatitis                | 4          | 6                      | 0                | 4.5    | .99   |
| 117.x: Other mycoses                 | 3          | 33                     | 22               | 4.4    | .99   |

(Continues)
### Table A5 (Continued)

| Potential adverse event (ICD−9 code)\(^a\)                                      | Tree level | N events Canagliflozin | N events GLP−1RA | LLR  | \(p\) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------------|------|-------|
| 783.2x: Abnormal loss of weight and underweight                                | 4           | 239                    | 239               | 4.4  | .99   |
| 783.21: Loss of weight                                                         | 5           | 239                    | 239               | 4.4  | .99   |
| V70.1x: General psychiatric examination, requested by the authority           | 4           | 4                      | 0                 | 4.3  | .99   |
| V70.1: General psychiatric examination, requested by the authority            | 5           | 4                      | 0                 | 4.3  | .99   |

Abbreviations: GLP-1RA: glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists; LLR: log-likelihood ratio; \(p\): p-value.

\(^a\)Based on inpatient, emergency department, or outpatient diagnoses (any position).