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Abstract

Let $\ell$ be a prime number different from the residue characteristic of a non-archimedean local field $F$. We give formulations of $\ell$-adic local Langlands correspondences for connected reductive algebraic groups over $F$, which we conjecture to be independent of a choice of an isomorphism between the $\ell$-adic coefficient field and the complex number field.

Introduction

The local Langlands correspondence for a connected reductive algebraic group over a non-archimedean local field $F$ is usually formulated with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$ because of its relation with automorphic representations. On the other hand, when we discuss a realization of the local Langlands correspondence in $\ell$-adic cohomology, we need a correspondence over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, where $\ell$ is a prime number different from the residue characteristic of $F$. We can take an isomorphism $\iota : \mathbb{C} \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ and use it to transfer the local Langlands correspondence over $\mathbb{C}$ to a local Langlands correspondence over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. However, the obtained correspondence over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ depends on the choice of $\iota$. In [BH06], Bushnell–Henniart formulated an $\ell$-adic local Langlands correspondence for $GL_2$, which is independent of a choice of an isomorphism $\mathbb{C} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ by making some twists of L-parameters. The $\ell$-adic local Langlands correspondence is suitable to describe the non-abelian Lubin–Tate theory in the sense that it is canonically defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ (cf. [IT22, 5]).

In this paper, we discuss formulations of $\ell$-adic local Langlands correspondences for general connected reductive groups. A natural idea is to make similar twists as $GL_2$-case for L-parameters. However, we can not make such twists in general as explained in Example 2.1. A problem is that we do not have enough space inside the Langlands dual group to make an appropriate twist. To overcome this problem, we have two approaches. One is to introduce $\ell$-adic C-parameters using C-groups, which make spaces for twists. Another is to introduce Tannakian $\ell$-adic L-parameters that incorporate necessary twists in the realizations. Using these parameters, we formulate $\ell$-adic local Langlands correspondences, which we conjecture to be independent of a choice of an isomorphism $\mathbb{C} \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. We show that two conjectures formulated by using $\ell$-adic C-parameters and Tannakian $\ell$-adic L-parameters are equivalent. Further, we confirm that the conjectures are true for $GL_n$ and $PGL_n$. The formulation of the $\ell$-adic...
local Langlands correspondence using Tannakian \( \ell \)-adic L-parameters is motivated by
the Kottwitz conjecture for local Shimura varieties in [RV14, Conjecture 7.4]. In
the number field case, a relation between C-groups and the Kottwitz conjecture for
Shimura varieties is discussed in [Joh13].

In Section 1 we recall various versions of L-parameters and explain their relations.
In Section 2, after explaining the problem, we give formulations of the \( \ell \)-adic local
Langlands correspondences introducing the \( \ell \)-adic C-parameter and the Tannakian
\( \ell \)-adic L-parameter.

After we put a former version of this paper on arXiv, related papers [Ber20] and
[Zhu20] appeared on arXiv. [Ber20] also explains a relation between C-groups and the
local Langlands correspondence. In a similar philosophy as this paper, formulations
of Satake isomorphisms using C-groups are explained in [Ber20] and [Zhu20].
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Notation

For a field \( F \), let \( \Gamma_F \) denote the absolute Galois group of \( F \). For a non-archimedean
local field \( F \), let \( W_F \) and \( I_F \) denote the Weil group of \( F \) and its inertia subgroup. For
a homomorphism \( \phi: G \to H \) of groups and \( g \in G \), let \( \phi^g \) denote the homomorphism
defined by \( \phi^g(g') = \phi(gg'g^{-1}) \) for \( g' \in G \). For a group \( G \), let \( Z(G) \) denote the center
of \( G \). For a group \( G \), a subgroup \( H \subset G \) and a subset \( S \subset G \), let \( Z_H(S) \) denote the
centralizer of \( S \) in \( H \). Let \( \text{Ad} \) denote the adjoint action of a group, and \( \text{ad} \) denote the
adjoint action of a Lie algebra.

1 Langlands parameters

1.1 Over fields of characteristic zero

Let \( F \) be a non-archimedean local field of residue characteristic \( p \). Let \( q \) be the number
of elements of the residue field of \( F \). Let \( v_F: F^\times \to \mathbb{Z} \) be the normalized valuation of
\( F \). Let

\[
\text{Art}_F: F^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} W_F^{ab}
\]

be the Artin reciprocity isomorphism normalized so that a uniformizer is sent to a lift
of the geometric Frobenius element. For \( w \in W_F \), we put

\[
d_F(w) = v_F(\text{Art}_F^{-1}(\overline{w})), \quad |w| = q^{-d_F(w)},
\]

where \( \overline{w} \) denotes the image of \( w \) in \( W_F^{ab} \).

Let \( G \) be a connected reductive algebraic group over \( F \). Let \( C \) be a field of
characteristic 0. Let \( \hat{G} \) be the dual group of \( G \) over \( C \). Let \( \hat{L}G = \hat{G} \rtimes W_F \) be the
L-group of \( G \) defined in [Bor79, 2.3]. We say that an element of \( \hat{L}G(C) \) is semisimple
if its image in \( \hat{G}(C) \rtimes \text{Gal}(F^\ell/F) \) is semisimple for any finite Galois extension \( F^\ell \) over
\( F \) that splits \( G \). Let \( p_{\hat{G}}: \hat{L}G(C) \to \hat{G}(C) \) denote the projection map.
Definition 1.1. Let $H$ be a group with action of $W_F$. Let $\phi: H \rtimes W_F \to L^G(C)$ be a homomorphism of groups over $W_F$.

(1) We say that $\phi$ is semisimple if all the elements of $\phi(W_F)$ are semisimple.

(2) We say that $\phi$ is relevant if any parabolic subgroup $P$ of $L^G$ containing $\text{Im} \phi$ is relevant in the sense of [Bor79, 3.3].

We say that two homomorphisms $H \rtimes W_F \to L^G(C)$ of groups over $W_F$ are equivalent if they are conjugate by an element of $\hat{G}(C)$.

We say that a map from $W_F$ to a set is smooth if it is locally constant.

Lemma 1.2. Let $\phi: W_F \to L^G(C)$ be a homomorphism of groups over $W_F$ such that $p_G \circ \phi$ is smooth. Let $\sigma_q \in W_F$ be a lift of the $q$-th power Frobenius element. Assume that $\phi(\sigma_q)$ is semisimple. Then $\phi$ is semisimple.

Proof. We write $w \in W_F$ as $\sigma^m \sigma$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\sigma \in I_F$. Since $(p_G \circ \phi)(I_F)$ is finite, there is a positive integer $d$ such that $\phi((\sigma^m \sigma)^d) = \phi(\sigma^d)$. Then the claim follows, because $\phi(w)$ is semisimple if and only if $\phi(w)^d$ is semisimple. \hfill $\square$

Let $WD_F = \mathbb{G}_a \rtimes W_F$ be the Weil–Deligne group scheme over $\mathbb{Q}$ for $F$ defined in [Del73, 8.3.6].

Definition 1.3 (cf. [Bor79, 8.2]). (1) An $L$-homomorphism of Weil–Deligne type for $G$ over $C$ is a homomorphism

$$\xi: WD_F(C) \to L^G(C)$$

of groups over $W_F$ such that $\xi|_{\mathbb{G}_a(C)}$ is algebraic and $(p_G \circ \xi)|_{W_F}$ is smooth.

(2) An $L$-parameter of Weil–Deligne type for $G$ over $C$ is a semisimple relevant $L$-homomorphism of Weil–Deligne type for $G$ over $C$.

Let $\mathcal{L}_C^{WD}(G)$ denote the set of equivalence classes of $L$-homomorphisms of Weil–Deligne type for $G$ over $C$. Let $\Phi_C^{WD}(G)$ denote the set of equivalence classes of $L$-parameters of Weil–Deligne type for $G$ over $C$.

Definition 1.4. (1) A Weil–Deligne $L$-homomorphism for $G$ over $C$ is a pair $(\tau, N)$ of a homomorphism $\tau: W_F \to L^G(C)$ of groups over $W_F$ and $N \in \text{Lie}(\hat{G})(C)$ such that $p_G \circ \tau$ is smooth and $\text{Ad}(\tau(w))N = |w|N$ for $w \in W_F$. The second component $N$ of a Weil–Deligne $L$-homomorphism $(\tau, N)$ is called a monodromy operator. We say that two Weil–Deligne $L$-homomorphisms for $G$ over $C$ are equivalent if they are conjugate by an element of $\hat{G}(C)$.

(2) A Weil–Deligne $L$-parameter for $G$ over $C$ is a Weil–Deligne $L$-homomorphism $(\tau, N)$ for $G$ over $C$ such that $\tau$ is semisimple and any parabolic subgroup $P$ of $L^G$ containing $\tau(W_F)$ and satisfying $N \in \text{Lie}(P \cap \hat{G})(C)$ is relevant.

Let $\mathcal{L}_C^{M}(G)$ denote the set of equivalence classes of Weil–Deligne $L$-homomorphisms for $G$ over $C$. Let $\Phi_C^{M}(G)$ denote the set of equivalence classes of Weil–Deligne $L$-parameters for $G$ over $C$. 

3
Remark 1.5. Let \( \iota: C \rightarrow C' \) be an isomorphism of fields of characteristic 0. Then \( \iota \) induces bijections \( L_C^{WD}(G) \simeq L_{C'}^{WD}(G) \), \( \Phi_C^{WD}(G) \simeq \Phi_{C'}^{WD}(G) \), \( L_C^M(G) \simeq L_{C'}^M(G) \) and \( \Phi_C^M(G) \simeq \Phi_{C'}^M(G) \).

Lemma 1.6. Let \( (\tau, N) \) be a Weil–Deligne L-homomorphism for \( G \) over \( C \). Then \( N \) is a nilpotent element of \( \text{Lie}(\hat{G}^{\text{der}}(C)) \).

Proof. We take a finite separable extension \( F' \) of \( F \) that splits \( G \). By the condition \( \text{Ad}(\tau(w))N = |w|N \) for \( w \in W_F \), we have \( N \in \text{Lie}(\hat{G}^{\text{der}}(C)) \). Further, the adjoint endomorphism \( \text{ad}(N) \in \text{End}(\text{Lie}(\hat{G}^{\text{der}}(C))) \) satisfies \( \text{Ad}(\tau(w)) \circ \text{ad}(N) = |w| \text{ad}(N) \circ \text{Ad}(\tau(w)) \) for \( w \in W_F \). Hence \( N \) is a nilpotent element.

Proposition 1.7. For an L-homomorphism of Weil–Deligne type \( \xi \) for \( G \) over \( C \), we put \( \tau_\xi = \xi|_{W_F} \) and \( N_\xi = \text{Lie}(\xi|_{G_a(C)})(1) \). Then \( \xi \mapsto (\tau_\xi, N_\xi) \) induces bijections \( L_C^{WD}(G) \simeq L_C^M(G) \) and \( \Phi_C^{WD}(G) \simeq \Phi_C^M(G) \).

Proof. Let \( (\tau, N) \) be a Weil–Deligne L-homomorphism for \( G \) over \( C \). By Lemma 1.6, there is a unipotent radical \( U \) of a Borel subgroup of \( \hat{G} \) such that \( N \in \text{Lie}(U(C)) \). Then there is an exponential map \( \exp: \text{Lie}(U(C)) \rightarrow U(C) \) as in [Ser96, 2.2]. We define \( \xi_{(\tau, N)}: WD_F(C) \rightarrow L_G(C) \) by \( \xi_{(\tau, N)}(a, w) = \exp(aN)\tau(w) \). Then \( (\tau, N) \mapsto \xi_{(\tau, N)} \) defines the inverses.

1.2 Over \( \mathbb{C} \)

Assume that \( C = \mathbb{C} \) in this subsection.

Definition 1.8 (cf. [AG91, I.2]). An L-parameter for \( G \) is a semisimple relevant continuous homomorphism

\[ \phi: SU_2(\mathbb{R}) \times W_F \rightarrow L_G(\mathbb{C}) \]

of groups over \( W_F \).

Let \( \Phi(G) \) denote the set of equivalence classes of L-parameters for \( G \).

Definition 1.9 (cf. [Lan83, IV.2]). An L-parameter of SL_2-type for \( G \) is a semisimple relevant continuous homomorphism

\[ \phi: SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times W_F \rightarrow L_G(\mathbb{C}) \]

of groups over \( W_F \) such that \( \phi|_{SL_2(\mathbb{C})} \) is algebraic.

Let \( \Phi^{SL}(G) \) denote the set of equivalence classes of L-parameters of SL_2-type for \( G \).
Proposition 1.10. The map $\Phi^{SL}(G) \to \Phi(G)$ induced by the restriction with respect to $SU_2(\mathbb{R}) \subset SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is a bijection.

Proof. Let $\phi: SU_2(\mathbb{R}) \times W_F \to L^G(\mathbb{C})$ be an L-parameter for $G$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the centralizer of $\phi(W_F)$ in $\hat{G}$. Then $\mathcal{H}$ is reductive by [Kot84b, 10.1.1 Lemma]. We take a compact real form $\mathcal{H}_c$ of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\phi(SU_2(\mathbb{R})) \subset \mathcal{H}_c(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\phi_{SU_2}: SU_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{H}_c(\mathbb{R})$ be the restriction of $\phi$ to $SU_2(\mathbb{R})$. The continuous homomorphism $\phi_{SU_2}$ extends to an algebraic homomorphism $\phi_{SL_2}: SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ uniquely by [OV90, 5.2.5 Theorem 11], since any continuous homomorphism between real Lie groups are differentiable. Let $\phi^{SL}: SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times W_F \to L^G(\mathbb{C})$ be a homomorphism defined by $\phi_{SL_2}$ and $\phi|_{W_F}$. Then $\phi \mapsto \phi^{SL}$ induces the inverse of the map $\Phi^{SL}(G) \to \Phi(G)$. \hfill \Box

Lemma 1.11. Let $H$ be a compact topological group. Let $\phi: H \to L^G(\mathbb{C})$ be a continuous homomorphism. Then the centralizer of $\phi(H)$ in $\hat{G}$ is reductive.

Proof. Let $K$ be the image of $\phi(H)$ under $Ad: L^G \to Aut(\hat{G})$. Then $\hat{G}^K$ is reductive as in the proof of [Kot84b, 10.1.2 Lemma]. Hence we obtain the claim. \hfill \Box

The following is a slight generalization of [Hei06 Proposition 3.5] and [KL87 2.4].

Lemma 1.12. Let $G$ be a reductive group over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $s$ be a semisimple element of $G(\mathbb{C})$ and $u$ be a unipotent element of $G(\mathbb{C})$ such that $s u s^{-1} = u^q$. Then there is an algebraic homomorphism $\theta: SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \to G(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\theta \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = u, \quad \theta \left( \begin{pmatrix} q^\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \right) s^{-1} \in Z_{G(\mathbb{C})}(\text{Im } \theta).$$

Further, such $\theta$ is unique up to conjugation by $Z_{G(\mathbb{C})}(\{s, u\})$.

Proof. The first claim is proved in the same way as [Hei06 Proposition 3.5]. We recall the argument briefly. We take an algebraic homomorphism $\theta: SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \to G(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\theta \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = u$.

We put $G' = G \times G_m$. We define

$$S_{G'(\mathbb{C})}(u) = \{ (g, z) \in G'(\mathbb{C}) \mid g u g^{-1} = u^s \},$$

$$S_{G'(\mathbb{C})}(\theta) = \left\{ (g, z) \in G'(\mathbb{C}) \mid \text{Ad}(g) \circ \theta = \theta \circ \text{Ad} \left( \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right) \right\}.$$

Then we can see that $S_{G'(\mathbb{C})}(\theta)$ is a maximal reductive subgroup of $S_{G'(\mathbb{C})}(u)$ as in the proof of [BVS5 Proposition 2.4]. Then any maximal reductive subgroup of $S_{G'(\mathbb{C})}(u)$ is conjugate to $S_{G'(\mathbb{C})}(\theta)$ by [Hei81 VIII. Theorem 4.3] (cf. [Mos56 Theorem 7.1]). Hence, by replacing $\theta$ by its conjugate under $S_{G'(\mathbb{C})}(u)$, we may assume that $(s, q^\frac{1}{2}) \in S_{G'(\mathbb{C})}(\theta)$. Then $\theta$ satisfies the conditions in the first claim.

The second claim is proved in the same way as [KL87 2.4 (h)]. \hfill \Box

Let $\phi: SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times W_F \to L^G(\mathbb{C})$ be an L-parameter of $SL_2$-type for $G$. We define $\xi_\phi: WD_F(\mathbb{C}) \to L^G(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\xi_\phi(a, w) = \phi \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |w|^\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & |w|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, w \right).$$
We define $\Xi: \Phi^\text{SL}(G) \to \Phi^\text{WD}(G)$ by $\Xi([\phi]) = [\xi_\phi]$.

The following proposition is proved in [GR10, Proposition 2.2]. We give a different proof here.

**Proposition 1.13.** The map $\Xi$ is a bijection.

**Proof.** Let $\xi$ be a Weil–Deligne L-parameter for $G$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the centralizer of $\xi(I_F)$ in $\hat{G}$. Then $\mathcal{H}$ is reductive by Lemma [L.11]. Take a lift $\sigma_q \in W_F$ of the $q$-th power Frobenius element. Then $\mathcal{H}$ is stable under conjugation by $\xi(\sigma_q)$, since $I_F$ is a normal subgroup of $W_F$. Take a positive integer $m_0$ such that $\sigma_q^{m_0}$ commutes with $\hat{G}$ in $L\text{G}$ and

$$(p_{\hat{G}} \circ \xi)(0, \sigma_q^{m_0} \sigma_q^{-m_0}) = (p_{\hat{G}} \circ \xi)(0, \sigma)$$

for any $\sigma \in I_F$. Then $(1, \sigma_q^{m_0})^Z$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{H} \cdot \xi(\sigma_q)^Z \subset L\text{G}$. We put

$$\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{H} \cdot \xi(\sigma_q)^Z) / (1, \sigma_q^{m_0})^Z.$$  

Then $\mathcal{G}$ is a reductive group, because the identity component of $\mathcal{G}$ is equal to the identity component of $\mathcal{H}$. We view $\mathcal{H}$ as an algebraic subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$. Let $s$ be the image of $\xi(0, \sigma_q)$ in $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C})$. Since $s$ is semisimple, the element $s$ is semisimple. Let $u \in \hat{G}(\mathbb{C})$ be the image of $1 \in \mathcal{G}_a(\mathbb{C})$ under $\xi$. Then $u$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$. Hence we can view $u$ as an element of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C})$. By Lemma [L.12] there is a morphism $\theta: \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\theta\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = u, \quad \theta\left(\begin{pmatrix} q^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}\right) s^{-1} \in Z_{\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C})}(\text{Im} \theta).$$  

(1.1)

Since $\theta$ factors through the identity component of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C})$, it factors through $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$. Hence $\theta$ determines a morphism $\theta_{\hat{G}}: \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to \hat{G}(\mathbb{C})$. We note that

$$\theta\left(\begin{pmatrix} q^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

commutes with $\xi(W_F)$, because it commutes with $s$ by the latter condition in [L.11] and we have $\text{Im} \theta_{\hat{G}} \subset \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$. We define $\phi_\xi: \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \times W_F \to L\text{G}(\mathbb{C})$ by $\phi_\xi|_{\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} = \theta_{\hat{G}}$ and

$$\phi_\xi(1, w) = \theta_{\hat{G}}\left(\begin{pmatrix} |w|^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & |w|^\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}\right) \xi(0, w).$$

Let $\theta'$ be another choice of $\theta$. Then $\theta' = \text{Ad}(g') \theta$ for some $g' \in Z_{\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C})}(\{s, u\})$ by Lemma [L.12]. Since we have

$$\theta\left(\begin{pmatrix} q^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}\right) s^{-1} \in Z_{\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C})}(\text{Im} \theta) \cap Z_{\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C})}(\{s, u\})$$

by (1.1), we may replace $g'$ and assume that $g' \in Z_{\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})}(\{s, u\})$. Then $g'$ commutes with $\xi(W_F)$. Hence $[\phi_\xi]$ is independent of the choice of $\theta$. We can see that $\xi \mapsto [\phi_\xi]$ induces the inverse of $\Xi$. 

**Remark 1.14.** The bijectivity in Proposition [1.13] does not hold in general if we drop the Frobenius semisimplicity conditions from the both sides (cf. [BMY22, Example 3.5]).
1.3 Over \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \)

Let \( \ell \) be a prime number different from \( p \). Assume that \( C = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \) in this subsection.

**Definition 1.15.** (1) An \( \ell \)-adic L-homomorphism for \( G \) is a continuous homomorphism

\[
\varphi : W_F \to ^LG(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)
\]

of groups over \( W_F \). We say that an \( \ell \)-adic L-homomorphism \( \varphi \) for \( G \) is Frobenius-semisimple if \( \varphi(\sigma_q) \) is semisimple for any lift \( \sigma_q \in W_F \) of the \( q \)-th power Frobenius element.

(2) An \( \ell \)-adic L-parameter for \( G \) is an Frobenius-semisimple relevant \( \ell \)-adic L-homomorphism for \( G \).

Let \( \mathcal{L}_\ell(G) \) denote the set of the equivalence classes of \( \ell \)-adic L-homomorphisms. Let \( \Phi_\ell(G) \) denote the set of the equivalence classes of \( \ell \)-adic L-parameters of \( G \).

Let \( t_\ell : I_F \to \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1) \) be the \( \ell \)-adic tame character. We take an isomorphism \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1) \cong \mathbb{Z}_\ell \) and let

\[
t'_\ell : I_F \to \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1) \cong \mathbb{Z}_\ell.
\]

Let \( \xi \) be an L-homomorphism of Weil–Deligne type for \( G \) over \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \). We take a lift \( \sigma_q \in W_F \) of the \( q \)-th power Frobenius element and define \( \varphi_\xi : W_F \to ^LG(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \) by

\[
\varphi_\xi(\sigma_q^m \sigma) = \xi \left( t'_\ell(\sigma), \sigma_q^m \sigma \right)
\]

for \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( \sigma \in I_F \).

**Lemma 1.16.** The equivalence class \([\varphi_\xi] \in \mathcal{L}_\ell(G)\) of \( \varphi_\xi \) constructed above is independent of choices of \( \sigma_q \) and an isomorphism \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1) \cong \mathbb{Z}_\ell \).

**Proof.** Let \( \sigma'_q \) be another choice of a lift of the \( q \)-th power Frobenius element. Then \( \sigma_q' = \sigma_q \sigma' \) for some \( \sigma' \in I_F \). We define \( \varphi_\xi' \) similarly as \( \varphi_\xi \) using \( \sigma_q' \) instead of \( \sigma_q \). We put

\[
g = \xi \left( t'_\ell(\sigma'), \frac{1}{q-1}, 1 \right).
\]

Then we have

\[
Ad(g)(\varphi_\xi(\sigma)) = \xi(t'_\ell(\sigma), \sigma) = \varphi_\xi'(\sigma)
\]

for \( \sigma \in I_F \), and

\[
Ad(g)(\varphi_\xi(\sigma_q)) = g \left( Ad(\varphi_\xi(\sigma_q))(g^{-1}) \right) \varphi_\xi(\sigma_q) = \xi(-t'_\ell(\sigma'), \sigma_q) = \varphi_\xi'(\sigma_q).
\]

Hence we have \([\varphi_\xi] = [\varphi_\xi']\).

Let

\[
t''_\ell : I_F \to \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1) \cong \mathbb{Z}_\ell
\]

be a homomorphism obtained from another choice of an isomorphism \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1) \cong \mathbb{Z}_\ell \). Then we have \( t''_\ell = ut'_\ell \) for some \( u \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times \). Take a positive integer \( m_0 \) such that \( \sigma_q^{m_0} \) commutes with \( \hat{G} \) in \( ^LG \) and

\[
(p_{\hat{G}} \circ \xi)(0, \sigma_q^{m_0} \sigma_q^{-m_0}) = (p_{\hat{G}} \circ \xi)(0, \sigma)
\]

(1.2)
Hence we can take $\xi$ induced by the adjoint action is surjective, because $\Phi_\ell$ for any $\xi \in G_a(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$. Let $H_\ell$ be the algebraic subgroup of $\hat{G}$ defined by $\xi(G_a(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}))$. Let $H$ be the intersection of the normalizer of $U_\ell$ in $\hat{G}$ and the centralizer of $\xi(W_F)$ in $\hat{G}$. We have

$$\Ad(h_0)(\xi(a, 1)) = \Ad(\xi(a))\xi(a, 1) = \xi(q^{\mu_0}a, 1)$$

for $a \in G_a(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$ and

$$\Ad(h_0)(\xi(0, \sigma_q)) = \Ad((1, \sigma_q^{-\mu_0}))\Ad(\xi(0, \sigma_q)) = \xi(0, \sigma_q),$$

$$\Ad(h_0)(\xi(0, \sigma)) = \Ad((1, \sigma_q^{-\mu_0}))\Ad(\xi(0, \sigma_q))\Ad(\sigma_q^{-\mu_0}) = \xi(0, \sigma)$$

for $\sigma \in I_F$ using (1.2). Hence we have $h_0 \in H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$. The morphism

$$f : H \to \text{Aut}(U_\ell) \simeq \mathbb{G}_m$$

induced by the adjoint action is surjective, because $f(h_0) = q^{\mu_0}$ is not of finite order. Hence we can take $h \in H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$ such that $f(h) = u$. Then we have

$$\Ad(h)(\xi(t'(t(\sigma), \sigma_q^{\mu_0}))) = (t'^{(t'(\sigma), \sigma_q^{\mu_0})})$$

for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\sigma \in I_F$. Therefore $[\varphi_\ell]$ is independent of a choice an isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}/(1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/(\ell)$. 

We define $\Theta : L_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^{WD}(G) \to L_{\ell}(G)$ by $\Theta([\xi]) = [\varphi_\ell]$. 

**Proposition 1.17.** The map $\Theta$ is a bijection. Further it induces a bijection $\Phi_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^{WD}(G) \to \Phi_{\ell}(G)$.

**Proof.** Let $\varphi$ be an $\ell$-adic L-homomorphism for $G$. Take a finite Galois extension $F'$ of $F$ such that $G$ splits over $F'$. Take a representation

$$\eta : L_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(G) \to \text{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$$

which factors through a faithful algebraic representation

$$\overline{\eta} : \hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}) \rtimes \text{Gal}(F'/F) \to \text{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}).$$

Applying Grothendieck’s monodromy theorem (cf. [ST68 Appendix, Proposition]) to $\eta \circ \varphi$, we obtain a homomorphism

$$\xi_{GL_n} : WD_F(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}) \to \text{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$$

such that $\xi_{GL_n}|G_a(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$ is algebraic, $\xi_{GL_n}|_{WF}$ is smooth and

$$\xi_{GL_n}(t'(\sigma), \sigma_q^{\mu_0}) = (\eta \circ \varphi)(\sigma_q^{\mu_0})$$

for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\sigma \in I_F$. Take a finite separable extension $F''$ of $F'$ such that $\xi_{GL_n}|_{F''}$ is trivial. Since $t'(I_{F''})$ is Zariski dense in $G_a(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$, the algebraic morphism $\xi_{GL_n}|G_a(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$ factors through the inclusion

$$\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}) \to \hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}) \rtimes \text{Gal}(F'/F) \to \text{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$$
via an algebraic morphism $\alpha: G_a(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \to \hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. We define a homomorphism

$$\xi_\varphi: WD_F(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \to L^G(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$$

by

$$\xi_\varphi(a, \sigma_q^n \sigma) = \alpha(a - t'_\ell(\sigma))\varphi(\sigma_q^n \sigma)$$

for $a \in G_a(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\sigma \in I_F$. Then $\varphi \mapsto \xi_\varphi$ induces the inverse of $\Theta$. The bijection $\Theta$ induces a bijection $\Phi^{WD}(G) \to \Phi_\ell(G)$ by Lemma 1.2 and Lemma 1.16.

**Corollary 1.18.** Let $\sigma_q \in W_F$ be a lift of the $q$-th power Frobenius element. Then an $\ell$-adic $L$-homomorphism $\varphi$ for $G$ is Frobenius-semisimple if $\varphi(\sigma_q)$ is semisimple.

**Proof.** By Proposition 1.17, we take an $L$-homomorphism $\xi$ of Weil–Deligne type for $G$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ such that $[\varphi] = [\varphi_\xi]$, where $\varphi_\xi$ is defined using $\sigma_q$. Then $\xi|_{W_F}$ is semisimple by Lemma 1.2 because $\xi(0, \sigma_q) = \varphi_\xi(\sigma_q)$ is semisimple. Let $\sigma'_q \in W_F$ be another lift of the $q$-th power Frobenius element. We define $\varphi'_\xi$ using $\sigma'_q$. Then $[\varphi] = [\varphi'_\xi]$ by Lemma 1.16. Hence $\varphi(\sigma'_q)$ is semisimple, because $\varphi'_\xi(\sigma'_q) = \xi(0, \sigma'_q)$ is semisimple.

## 2 Local Langlands correspondence

### 2.1 Problem

Let $\text{Irr}(G(F))$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible smooth representations of $G(F)$ over $\mathbb{C}$. The conjectured local Langlands correspondence is a surjective map

$$\text{LL}_G: \text{Irr}(G(F)) \to \Phi(G)$$

with finite fibers satisfying various properties (cf. [Bor79, 10], [Kal16, Conjecture G]). We assume the existence of the local Langlands correspondence in the sequel.

Let $\text{Irr}_\ell(G(F))$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible smooth representations of $G(F)$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. If we fix an isomorphism $\iota: \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, we have a surjection

$$\text{LL}_{G,\ell}': \text{Irr}_\ell(G(F)) \to \Phi_\ell(G)$$

sending $[\pi] \in \text{Irr}_\ell(G(F))$ to the image of $\text{LL}_G([\pi \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell-1} \mathbb{C}])$ under the bijection

$$\Phi(G) \simeq \Phi^\text{SL}(G) \simeq \Phi^\text{WD}(C) \Phi^\text{WD}_\ell(G) \simeq \Phi^\text{WD}_\ell(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \simeq \Phi_\ell(G),$$

where $\Phi^\text{WD}_\ell(G)$ is a bijection induced by $\iota$ as in Remark 1.5. However, $\text{LL}_{G,\ell}'$ depends on the choice of an isomorphism $\iota: \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$.

In [RH06, 35.1], an $\ell$-adic local Langlands correspondence for $\text{GL}_2$ is constructed. We recall the construction here. For $[\tilde{\phi}] \in \Phi(\text{GL}_2)$, we define $[\tilde{\phi}] \in \Phi(\text{GL}_2)$ by

$$\tilde{\phi}(g, w) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} |w|^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & |w|^{-1/2} \end{array} \right) \phi(g, w).$$

We define a bijection $\Lambda: \Phi(\text{GL}_2) \to \Phi(\text{GL}_2)$ by $[\phi] \mapsto [\tilde{\phi}]$. We define

$$\text{LL}_{\text{GL}_2,\ell}: \text{Irr}_\ell(\text{GL}_2(F)) \to \Phi_\ell(\text{GL}_2)$$
by sending $[\pi] \in \text{Irr}_\ell(\text{GL}_2(F))$ to the image of $\text{LL}_{\text{GL}_2}([\pi \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell, -1])$ under the bijection

$$
\Phi(\text{GL}_2) \overset{\Lambda}{\simeq} \Phi(\text{GL}_2) \simeq \Phi_{\text{SL}}(\text{GL}_2) \simeq \Phi_{\text{WD}}(\text{GL}_2) \simeq \Phi_{\text{WD}}(\text{GL}_2) \simeq \Phi_{\ell}(\text{GL}_2)
$$

using an isomorphism $\iota : \mathbb{C} \sim \mathbb{Q}_\ell$. Then $\text{LL}_{\text{GL}_2, \ell}$ is independent of the choice of $\iota$.

We can not make a similar twist for an $L$-parameter of a general connected reductive group $G$ as the following example suggests.

**Example 2.1.** We have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Irr}(PGL_2(F)) & \xrightarrow{\text{LL}_{PGL_2}} & \Phi(PGL_2) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Irr}(GL_2(F)) & \xrightarrow{\text{LL}_{GL_2}} & \Phi(GL_2)
\end{array}
$$

by functoriality. On the other hand, there does not exist a map

$$
\text{LL}_{PGL_2, \ell} : \text{Irr}_\ell(PGL_2(F)) \to \Phi_\ell(PGL_2)
$$

which makes the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Irr}_\ell(PGL_2(F)) & \xrightarrow{\text{LL}_{PGL_2, \ell}} & \Phi_\ell(PGL_2) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Irr}_\ell(GL_2(F)) & \xrightarrow{\text{LL}_{GL_2, \ell}} & \Phi_\ell(GL_2)
\end{array}
$$

because $(\det \circ p_{\text{GL}_2} \circ \varphi)(w) = |w|$ for $w \in W_F$ if $[\varphi] \in \Phi_\ell(GL_2)$ is the image under $\text{LL}_{GL_2, \ell}$ of an element of $\text{Irr}_\ell(GL_2(F))$ coming from $\text{Irr}_\ell(PGL_2(F))$ by the construction of $\text{LL}_{GL_2, \ell}$ and [Bor79, 10.1].

### 2.2 $\ell$-adic C-parameter

A C-group is constructed in [BG14, Definition 5.38] for a connected reductive group over a number field. We recall the construction here in our setting. Let $G^{\text{ad}}$ be the adjoint quotient of $G$, and $G^{\text{sc}}$ be the simply-connected cover of $G^{\text{ad}}$. Let $\gamma : Z(G^{\text{sc}}) \to \mathbb{G}_m$ be the restriction to $Z(G^{\text{sc}})$ of the half sum of the positive roots of $G^{\text{sc}}$, where we take a maximal torus $T^{\text{sc}}$ and a Borel subgroup $B^{\text{sc}}$ of $G^{\text{sc}}_F$ such that $T^{\text{sc}} \subset B^{\text{sc}}$ to define the positive roots, but $\gamma$ is independent of the choice. By pushing forward the exact sequence

$$
1 \to Z(G^{\text{sc}}) \to G^{\text{sc}} \to G^{\text{ad}} \to 1
$$

by $\gamma$, we obtain an extension

$$
1 \to \mathbb{G}_m \to G^1 \to G^{\text{ad}} \to 1.
$$

By taking the pullback of this extension along the natural morphism $G \to G^{\text{ad}}$, we obtain an extension

$$
1 \to \mathbb{G}_m \to \tilde{G} \to G \to 1.
$$

We define the C-group $^C G$ of $G$ as the L-group $^L \tilde{G}$ of $\tilde{G}$. 

The character \(G^\times \times \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathbb{G}_m; (g, z) \mapsto z^2\) induces a character \(G^1 \to \mathbb{G}_m\), since \(\gamma^2 = 1\) by the construction of \(\gamma\). It further induces a character \(\tilde{G} \to \mathbb{G}_m\) by taking composition with the natural morphism \(\tilde{G} \to G^1\). Hence we obtain a morphism
\[
\tilde{G} \to G \times \mathbb{G}_m. \tag{2.1}
\]
We take a Borel subgroup \(B \subset G_F\) and a maximal torus \(T \subset B\) over \(\overline{F}\). Let \(\rho_G\) denote the half sum of positive roots of \(G\) with respect to \(T\) and \(B\). Then \(2\rho_G\) defines a cocharacter \(\delta_G: \mathbb{G}_m \to \hat{T}\). We put \(z_G = \delta_G(\cdot)^{-1}\).

Then \(z_G\) is central in \(\hat{\tilde{G}}\) and independent of choices of \(B\) and \(T\) as in [BG14, Proposition 5.39]. By the independent of choices, we see that \(z_G \in Z(\hat{\tilde{G}})\).

Then the morphism (2.1) induces the isomorphism
\[
(\hat{\tilde{G}} \times \mathbb{G}_m/\langle (z_G, -1) \rangle) \rtimes W_F \simeq \mathbb{C}^G \tag{2.2}
\]
as in [BG14 Proposition 5.39]. We sometimes express a point of \(\mathbb{C}^G\) as \([\cdot]\) using the above isomorphism.

**Definition 2.2.** An \(\ell\)-adic C-parameter for \(G\) is an \(\ell\)-adic L-parameter \(\varphi\) for \(\tilde{G}\) such that \((t_{\mathbb{C}_m} \circ \varphi)(w) = |w|\).

Let \(\Phi^C_\ell(G)\) denote the equivalence classes of \(\ell\)-adic C-parameters for \(G\). We take \(c \in \overline{Q}_\ell\) such that \(c^2 = q\). We define \(i_c: \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathbb{C}^G\) by \(i_c(g, w) = [(g, c^{-d_F(w)}, w)]\). For an \(\ell\)-adic L-parameter \(\varphi\) for \(G\), we put \(\varphi_c = i_c \circ \varphi\).

**Lemma 2.3.** We have a bijection between the set of the \(\ell\)-adic L-parameters for \(G\) and the set of the \(\ell\)-adic C-parameters for \(G\) given by sending \(\varphi\) to \(\varphi_c\). Further, this induces a bijection \(\Phi^C_\ell(G) \simeq \Phi^C_\ell(G)\).

**Proof.** The first claim follows from the definitions. If two \(\ell\)-adic L-parameters for \(\tilde{G}\) are conjugate by an element of \(\tilde{G}(\overline{Q}_\ell)\), then they are conjugate by an element of \(\tilde{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)\), since \(\tilde{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)\) is generated by \(\tilde{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)\) and \(Z_{\tilde{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)}(\mathbb{C}^G(\mathbb{Q}_\ell))\). Hence the second claim follows from the first one.

We define a map
\[
\mathcal{C}_{G,\ell}: \Phi^C_\ell(G) \to \Phi^C_\ell(G)
\]
by sending \([\varphi]\) to \([\varphi_c]\). Then \(\mathcal{C}_{G,\ell}\) is a bijection by Lemma 2.3. For an isomorphism \(\nu: \mathbb{C} \sim \overline{Q}_\ell\), we define
\[
\text{LL}^{\mathbb{C}}_{G,\ell}: \text{Irr}(G(F)) \to \Phi^C_\ell(G)
\]
as \(\text{LL}^{\mathbb{G}_m}_{G,\ell} \circ \text{LL}^{\mathbb{C}}_{G,\ell}\).

**Conjecture 2.4.** The map \(\text{LL}^{\mathbb{C}}_{G,\ell}\) is independent of a choice of \(\nu: \mathbb{C} \sim \overline{Q}_\ell\).
2.3 Tannakian $\ell$-adic L-parameter

Assume that $C = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$. For a topological group $H$, let $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(H)$ be the category of continuous finite dimensional representations of $H$ over $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$. For an algebraic group $H$ over a field, a character $\chi$ of $H$ and a cocharacter $\mu$ of $H$, we define $(\chi, \mu)_H \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$(\chi \circ \mu)(z) = z^{(\chi, \mu)_H}.$$  

For a cocharacter $\mu \in X_s(T)$ of a torus $T$ over $\mathbb{F}$, let $\hat{\mu} \in X^*(\hat{T})$ denote the corresponding character of the dual torus $\hat{T}$.

Let $\mathcal{M}_G$ be the conjugacy classes of cocharacters $\mathbb{G}_m \to G_{\mathbb{F}}$. Let $[\mu] \in \mathcal{M}_G$. We put

$$d_G([\mu]) = (2\rho_G, \mu)_T,$$

where we take a Borel subgroup $B \subset G_{\mathbb{F}}$, a maximal torus $T \subset B$ defined over $\mathbb{F}$ and a dominant representative $\mu \in X^*(T)$. Let $E_{[\mu]}$ be the field of definition of $[\mu]$. Let $r_{\hat{G},[\mu]}$ be the irreducible representation of $\hat{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ of highest weight $\hat{\mu}$ viewed as a dominant character of a maximal torus of $\hat{G}$.

We take $c \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ such that $c^2 = q$. For an integer $m$, let

$$\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)_c$$

denote the twist by the character $W_F \to \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ sending $w$ to $e^{-md_F(w)}$.

Let $\text{Rep}^{\text{alg}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(L_G)$ denote the category of continuous finite dimensional representations of $L_G(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ over $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ whose restrictions to $\hat{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ are algebraic. Let $r: L_G(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \to \text{Aut}(V)$ be an object in $\text{Rep}^{\text{alg}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(L_G)$. Then we have a decomposition

$$V = \bigoplus_{[\mu] \in \mathcal{M}_G} V_{[\mu]}$$

as representations of $\hat{G}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ where $V_{[\mu]}$ is the $r_{\hat{G},[\mu]}$-typic part of $V$. For an $\ell$-adic L-parameter $\varphi$, we define $(r \circ \varphi)_c: W_F \to \text{Aut}(V)$ by

$$V = \bigoplus_{[\mu] \in \mathcal{M}_G} V_{[\mu]} \left(\frac{d_G([\mu])}{2}\right)_c,$$

which means that we twist $r \circ \varphi: W_F \to \text{Aut}(V)$ by

$$\left(\frac{d_G([\mu])}{2}\right)_c$$

on each direct summand $V_{[\mu]}$. This is well-defined, because $d_G(w[\mu]) = d_G([\mu])$ for $w \in W_F$.

For an $\ell$-adic L-parameter $\varphi$ for $G$, we define a tensor functor

$$\mathcal{F}_{\varphi,c}: \text{Rep}^{\text{alg}}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(L_G) \to \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}(W_F)$$

by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\varphi,c}(r) = (r \circ \varphi)_c.$$
Definition 2.5. A Tannakian $\ell$-adic $L$-parameter for $G$ is a functor

$$\mathcal{F}: \text{Rep}^{\text{alg}}(L_{G}) \to \text{Rep}^{\text{alg}}(W_F)$$

which is equal to $\mathcal{F}_{\varphi,c}$ for an $\ell$-adic $L$-parameter $\varphi$ for $G$. We say that two Tannakian $\ell$-adic $L$-parameters $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ are equivalent if there is $g \in \widehat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ such that, for all $r \in \text{Rep}^{\text{alg}}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(L_{G})$, we have $\mathcal{F}(r) = \mathcal{F}'(r)^{r(g)}$.

Lemma 2.6. The set of the Tannakian $\ell$-adic $L$-parameters for $G$ is independent of a choice of $c \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ such that $c^2 = q$.

Proof. We have

$$\hat{\mu}(z_G) = (-1)^{[G: \mathbb{Q}]} \hat{\mu} = (-1)^{d_G(|\mu|)}$$

(2.3)

for $\mu \in X_s(T)$. Let

$$\omega_{z_G}: W_F \to Z(\widehat{G})^{\Gamma_F}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \hookrightarrow L_{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$$

be the character sending $w$ to $z_{G}^{d_F(w)}$. By (2.3), we have

$$(r \circ \varphi)_c = (r \circ (\omega_{z_G}\varphi))_c$$

(2.4)

for an $\ell$-adic $L$-parameter $\varphi$ and $r \in \text{Rep}^{\text{alg}}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(L_{G})$. Since $\omega_{z_G}\varphi$ is also an $\ell$-adic $L$-parameter, the claim follows. □

Let $\Phi^T_{\ell}(G)$ be the set of equivalence classes of Tannakian $\ell$-adic $L$-parameters for $G$. This set is independent of a choice of $c$ by Lemma 2.6.

Lemma 2.7. We have a bijection between the set of the $\ell$-adic $L$-parameters for $G$ and the set of the Tannakian $\ell$-adic $L$-parameters for $G$ given by sending $\varphi$ to $F_{\varphi,c}$. Further, this induces a bijection $\Phi^T_{\ell}(G) \simeq \Phi^T_{\ell}(G)$.

Proof. We show the first claim. The map is surjective by Definition 2.5. Assume that $\varphi$ and $\varphi'$ are different $\ell$-adic $L$-parameters for $G$ and $F_{\varphi,c} = F_{\varphi',c}$. We take $w \in W_F$ such that $\varphi(w) \neq \varphi'(w)$. Further, we take a finite Galois extension $F'$ of $F$ such that $G$ splits over $F'$ and the images of $\varphi(w)$ and $\varphi'(w)$ in $\widehat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \rtimes \text{Gal}(F'/F)$ are different. By considering a representation of $L_{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ which factors through a faithful algebraic representation of $\widehat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \rtimes \text{Gal}(F'/F)$, we have a contradiction to $F_{\varphi,c} = F_{\varphi',c}$. Hence the map is injective.

The second claim follows from the first one. □

For a Tannakian $\ell$-adic $L$-parameter $\mathcal{F}$ for $G$, we take an $\ell$-adic $L$-parameter $\varphi$ for $G$ such that $\mathcal{F} = F_{\varphi,c}$. Then the centralizer $S_\varphi = Z_{\widehat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)}(\text{Im} \varphi)$ is independent of a choice of $c$ by (2.4). We write $S_{\varphi}$ for $S_\varphi$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ naturally factors through

$$\mathcal{F}_S: \text{Rep}^{\text{alg}}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(L_{G}) \to \text{Rep}^{\text{alg}}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(S_{\varphi} \times W_F).$$

For a finite separable extension $F'$ of $F$, we define the restriction

$$\mathcal{F}|_{F'}: \text{Rep}^{\text{alg}}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(L_{G_{F'}}) \to \text{Rep}^{\text{alg}}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(W_{F'})$$

of $\mathcal{F}_S$.
of $\mathcal{F}$ to $F'$ by the usual restriction to $W_{F'}$ of an $\ell$-adic $L$-parameter for $G$ and bijections given by Lemma 2.7. Let

$$\mathcal{F}_S|_{F'} : \text{Rep}_{\overline{Q}_\ell}^\text{alg}(LG_{F'}) \to \text{Rep}_{\overline{Q}_\ell}(S_F \times W_{F'})$$

be the composition of

$$(\mathcal{F}|_{F'})_S : \text{Rep}_{\overline{Q}_\ell}^\text{alg}(LG_F) \to \text{Rep}_{\overline{Q}_\ell}(S_{F|_{F'}} \times W_{F'})$$

and the natural functor

$$\text{Rep}_{\overline{Q}_\ell}(S_{F|_{F'}} \times W_{F'}) \to \text{Rep}_{\overline{Q}_\ell}(S_F \times W_{F'})$$

induced by the restriction with respect to $S_F \subset S_{F|_{F'}}$.

We define a map

$$T^c_{G,\ell} : \Phi_\ell(G) \to \Phi^T_\ell(G)$$

by sending $[\varphi]$ to $[\mathcal{F}_{\varphi,c}]$. Then $T^c_{G,\ell}$ is a bijection by Lemma 2.7. For an isomorphism $\iota : \mathbb{C} \overset{\sim}{\to} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, we define

$$LL^T_{G,\ell} : \text{Irr}_\ell(G(F)) \to \Phi^T_\ell(G)$$

as $T^{c(\frac{1}{\iota})} \circ LL^T_{G,\ell}$.

**Conjecture 2.8.** The map $LL^T_{G,\ell}$ is independent of a choice of $\iota : \mathbb{C} \overset{\sim}{\to} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$.

**Remark 2.9.** Conjecture 2.8 is motivated by the Kottwitz conjecture for local Shimura varieties in [RV14, Conjecture 7.4]. Let $(G, [b], [\mu])$ be a local Shimura datum as [RV14, Definition 5.1]. Let $M_{G, [b], [\mu], K}$ be the local Shimura variety over the reflex field $E_{[\mu]}$ attached to $(G, [b], [\mu])$ and $K \subset G(F)$, which is constructed in [SW20, 24.1]. Let $J$ denote the $\sigma$-centralizer of $b$. Let $[\rho] \in \text{Irr}_\ell(J)$. We put

$$H^\bullet((G, [b], [\mu]))[\rho] = (-1)^{d_G([\mu])} \sum_{i,j \geq 0} (-1)^{i+j} H^{i,j}((G, [b], [\mu]))[\rho]$$

where

$$H^{i,j}((G, [b], [\mu]))[\rho] = \lim_{K} \text{Ext}^j_{J(F)}(H^i \mathcal{M}_G, [b], [\mu], K, \mathbb{E}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}, [\rho]).$$

We put

$$[\mathcal{F}^\ast] = LL^T_{J,\ell}([\rho]).$$

For $[\pi] \in \text{Irr}_\ell(G)$ such that $LL^T_{G,\ell}([\pi]) = [\mathcal{F}^\ast]$, let $\delta^\ast_{\pi, \rho}$ be the representation of $S_F$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ determined by $\iota$ and $\tau_{\pi, \rho} \otimes \tau_{\rho}$ constructed in [RV14, p. 312] (cf. [HKW22, 2.3] for a construction in a more general case), where $\pi' = \pi \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}, \iota} \mathbb{C}$ and $\rho' = \rho \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}, \iota} \mathbb{C}$. Let $r_{[\mu]}$ be an extension of $r_{G, [\mu]}$ to $LG_{E_{[\mu]}}$ constructed by [Kot84a, (1.1.3), (2.1.2)] using [Bor79, 2.4 Remark (3)]. Then the Kottwitz conjecture says that

$$H^\bullet((G, [b], [\mu]))[\rho] = \sum_{[\pi]} [\pi] \boxtimes \text{Hom}_{S_F}((\delta^\ast_{\pi, \rho}, \mathcal{F}^\ast_\ell)_{E_{[\mu]}}(r_{[\mu]})),$$

where $[\pi]$ runs $[\pi] \in \text{Irr}_\ell(G)$ such that $LL^T_{G,\ell}([\pi]) = [\mathcal{F}^\ast]$. If the Kottwitz conjecture is true, then the isomorphism class of the $W_{E_{[\mu]}}$-representation

$$\text{Hom}_{S_F}((\delta^\ast_{\pi, \rho}, \mathcal{F}^\ast_\ell)_{E_{[\mu]}}(r_{[\mu]}))$$
is independent of $\iota$, since the $\ell$-adic cohomology of local Shimura varieties and their group actions are independent of $\iota$. If Conjecture 2.8 is true, $F_\iota$ and $S_\iota$ are independent of $\iota$. By the observation above, we conjecture also that $\delta_{\pi,\rho}^\iota$ is independent of $\iota$.

2.4 Comparison

Theorem 2.10. There is a canonical bijection

$$C T_{G,\ell}: \Phi^C_{\ell}(G) \to \Phi^T_{\ell}(G)$$

such that for any square root $c$ of $q$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Phi_\ell(G) & \xrightarrow{c^C_{G,\ell}} & \Phi^C_{\ell}(G) \\
\downarrow{T^C_{G,\ell}} & & \downarrow{C T_{G,\ell}} \\
\Phi^T_{\ell}(G) & & \\
\end{array}$$

is commutative.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\varphi}$ be an $\ell$-adic C-parameter for $G$. We construct an $\ell$-adic T-parameter $F_\tilde{\varphi}$ for $G$. Let $r: {}^L G(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \to \text{Aut}(V)$ be an object in $\text{Rep}^{\text{alg}}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(G)$. Then we have a decomposition

$$V = \bigoplus_{[\mu] \in \mathcal{M}_G} V_{[\mu]}$$

as representations of $\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ where $V_{[\mu]}$ is the $r_{\hat{G},[\mu]}$-typic part of $V$. We extend $r$ to $\tilde{r} \in \text{Rep}^{\text{alg}}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell}(G)$ by letting $\mathbb{G}_m$ act on $V_{[\mu]}$ by $z \mapsto z^{dG([\mu])}$ using (2.2), where the extension is well-defined by (2.3). Then we define $F_{\tilde{\varphi}}$ by

$$F_{\tilde{\varphi}}(r) = \tilde{r} \circ \tilde{\varphi}.$$ 

If two $\ell$-adic C-parameters for $G$ are conjugate by an element of $\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, then they are conjugate by an element of $\hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. Hence,

$$C T_{G,\ell}([\tilde{\varphi}]) = [F_{\tilde{\varphi}}]$$

is well-defined. We have the commutative diagram in the claim by

$$\tilde{r} \circ \varphi_c = \tilde{r} \circ i_c \circ \varphi = F_{\varphi_c}(r).$$

Then $C T_{G,\ell}$ is a bijection because $C^C_{G,\ell}$ and $T^C_{G,\ell}$ are bijections by Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.7.

Corollary 2.11. Conjecture 2.4 and Conjecture 2.8 are equivalent.

Proof. We have

$$C T_{G,\ell} \circ LL^C_{G,\ell} = LL^T_{G,\ell}$$

for any $\iota: \mathbb{C} \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ by Theorem 2.10. Since $C T_{G,\ell}$ is a canonical bijection independent of $\iota$, the claim follows.
Corollary 2.12. Conjecture 2.4 and Conjecture 2.8 are true for \( \GL_n \).

Proof. Recall that the local Langlands correspondence for \( \GL_n \) is known by LRS93 and [HT01]. By Corollary 2.11 it suffices to check Conjecture 2.4. Assume that \( \LL_{\GL_n,\phi}^C([\pi]) = [\varphi'] \). Note that \( z_{\GL_n} = (-1)^{n-1} \), because

\[
\delta_{\GL_n} : \mathbb{G}_m \to \hat{T}_n \subset \widehat{\GL}_n; \quad z \mapsto \begin{pmatrix}
    z^{n-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
    0 & z^{n-3} & \cdots & 0 \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    0 & 0 & \cdots & z^{1-n}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where we define \( \delta_{\GL_n} \) using the diagonal maximal torus \( T_n \) of \( \GL_n \) and the Borel subgroup of the upper triangular matrices in \( \GL_n \). Let

\[
\tilde{\tau} : C_{\GL_n} \to \widehat{\GL}_n; \quad [(g, z, w)] \mapsto gz^{n-1}.
\]

We show that \([\tilde{\tau} \circ \varphi']\) is independent of \( \iota \) in a similar way as the proof of [BH06 35.1 Theorem]. For \([\rho] \in \Irr(\GL_n)\), we write \( \LL_{\GL_n,\phi}^C([\rho]) \) for the image of \( \LL_{\GL_n}([\rho]) \) under \( \Phi(\GL_n) \simeq \Phi_C^WD(\GL_n) \), and define \( \tau_n([\rho]) \) as the twist of \( \LL_{\GL_n}^C([\rho]) \) by \((a, w) \mapsto q^{-(n-1)d_F(w)/2}\). Then \( \tau_n([\pi] \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_{p-t}} \mathbb{C}) \) and \([\tilde{\tau} \circ \varphi']\) correspond under the identification by

\[
\Phi_C^WD(\GL_n) \simeq \Phi_{C_n}^WD(\GL_n) \simeq \Phi_{C_\ell}(\GL_n).
\]

Therefore it suffices to show that \([\rho] \mapsto \tau_n([\rho])\) is compatible with twists by \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}) \). By [Hen02 1.8 Corollaire], \( \{\tau_n\}_{n \geq 1} \) is characterized by the compatibility of \( \tau_1 \) with the local class field theory and the equalities

\[
L \left( [\rho] \times [\rho]', s + \frac{n+n'}{2} - 1 \right) = L(\tau_n([\rho]) \otimes \tau_n([\rho']) , s),
\]

\[
\varepsilon \left( [\rho] \times [\rho]', s + \frac{n+n'}{2} - 1, \psi \right) = \varepsilon(\tau_n([\rho]) \otimes \tau_n([\rho']) , s, \psi)
\]

for \( n' < n, [\rho] \in \Irr(\GL_n) \) and \([\rho'] \in \Irr(\GL_{n'})\), where \( \psi \) is a non-trivial character of \( F \). The compatibility with twists by \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}) \) follows from this characterization, [BH00 3.2 Theorem] and [BH06 35.3].

Let \( \iota' : C \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} \) be another choice. Taking a conjugation of \( \varphi' \) by an element of \( \GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}) \), we may assume that \( \tilde{\tau} \circ \varphi' = \tilde{\tau} \circ \varphi' \). Hence there is a map \( \chi : W_F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^\times \) such that

\[
\varphi'(w) = \varphi'(w)[(\chi(w)^{1-n}, \chi(w), 1)]
\]

for \( w \in W_F \). By Definition 2.2 we have \( t_{\mathbb{G}_m} \circ \varphi' = t_{\mathbb{G}_m} \circ \varphi' \). Hence we have \( \chi(w)^2 = 1 \) for \( w \in W_F \). This implies that \( \varphi' = \varphi' \) by \( z_{\GL_n} = (-1)^{n-1} \) and (2.2). Hence Conjecture 2.3 is true.

Remark 2.13. We can also show Corollary 2.12 using the geometric realization of the local Langlands correspondence for \( \GL_n \) in the \( \ell \)-adic etale cohomology of the Lubin–Tate spaces after the reduction to the supercuspidal case in the same spirit as Remark 2.7 (cf. [HT01 Lemma VII.1.6]). Here we gave a proof by the characterization without appealing to such a geometric realization.
Corollary 2.14. Conjecture 2.4 and Conjecture 2.8 are true for $\text{PGL}_n$.

Proof. By Corollary 2.11, it suffices to check Conjecture 2.4. This follows from Corollary 2.12 and the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Irr}_\ell(\text{PGL}_n(F)) & \xrightarrow{\text{LL}_{\text{PGL}_n,\ell}} & \Phi_\ell(\text{PGL}_n) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Irr}_\ell(\text{GL}_n(F)) & \xrightarrow{\text{LL}_{\text{GL}_n,\ell}} & \Phi_\ell(\text{GL}_n)
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Phi_\ell(\text{PGL}_n) & \xrightarrow{C_{\text{PGL}_n,\ell}} & \Phi_\ell^C(\text{PGL}_n) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Phi_\ell(\text{GL}_n) & \xrightarrow{C_{\text{GL}_n,\ell}} & \Phi_\ell^C(\text{GL}_n)
\end{array}
$$

since the vertical injections are independent of $\ell$. □
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