Meta-analysis of epigenome-wide association studies of major depressive disorder
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Epigenetic mechanisms have been hypothesized to play a role in the etiology of major depressive disorder (MDD). In this study, we performed a meta-analysis between two case–control MDD cohorts to identify differentially methylated positions (DMPs) and differentially methylated regions (DMRs) in MDD. Using samples from two Cohorts (a total of 298 MDD cases and 63 controls with repeated samples, on average ~ 1.8 samples/subject), we performed an EWAS meta-analysis. Multiple cytosine-phosphate-guanine sites annotated to TNNT3 were associated with MDD reaching study-wide significance, including cg08337959 (p = 2.3 × 10⁻¹¹). Among DMPs with association p values less than 0.0001, pathways from REACTOME such as Ras activation upon Ca²⁺ influx through the NMDA receptor (p = 0.0001, p-adjusted = 0.05) and long-term potentiation (p = 0.0002, p-adjusted = 0.05) were enriched in this study. A total of 127 DMRs with Sidak-corrected p value < 0.05 were identified from the meta-analysis, including DMRs annotated to TNNT3 (chr1: 1948933 to 1949130 [6 probes], Sidak corrected P value = 4.32 × 10⁻⁴⁴), S100A13 (chr1: 153599479 to 153600972 [22 probes], Sidak corrected P value = 5.32 × 10⁻¹⁷), NRXN1 (chr2: 50201413 to 50201505 [4 probes], Sidak corrected P value = 1.19 × 10⁻¹¹), IL17RA (chr22: 17564750 to 17565149, Sidak corrected P value = 9.31 × 10⁻⁸), and NPFFR2 (chr4: 72897565 to 72898212, Sidak corrected P value = 8.19 × 10⁻⁷). Using 2 Cohorts of depression case–control samples, we identified DMPs and DMRs associated with MDD. The molecular pathways implicated by these data include mechanisms involved in neuronal synaptic plasticity, calcium signaling, and inflammation, consistent with reports from previous genetic and protein biomarker studies indicating that these mechanisms are involved in the neurobiology of depression.

Previous genome-wide association studies and integrated genomic analysis have implicated the roles of synaptic structure especially excitatory synaptic pathways, neurotransmission, calcium signaling, and frontal brain region in depression. In addition to genetic mechanisms, epigenetic mechanisms that alter chromatin structure and/or modulate gene expression patterns also play a role in the disease etiology. Early life adversity is a major risk factor for major depressive disorder (MDD) and influences crosstalk among multiple mechanisms of genomic regulation, including histone marks, DNA methylation, and the transcriptome. An increase in histone H3 acetylation and decrease in histone deacetylase 2 (HDAC2) in the nucleus accumbens, a limbic brain region implicated in reward processing, was reported in both preclinical mouse model of depression (chronic social defeat stress paradigm) and post-mortem brain of depressed patients. Infusion of HDAC inhibitors into the nucleus accumbens increases histone acetylation and exerts antidepressant-like effects in the social defeat stress paradigm, which is accompanied by a reversal of gene expression pattern induced by chronic social defeat and mimicking the effect of antidepressant fluoxetine. Another mechanism of epigenetic regulation is DNA methylation which also regulates gene expression changes. Genome-wide changes in the DNA methylation pattern reflect complex interactions between environment and genetics. Epigenome-wide association study (EWAS), also known as Methylome-wide association study (MWAS), is a promising complement to genome-wide association study (GWAS) and chromatin remodeling by histone acetylation.

Aberg et al. conducted an EWAS study using methyl-CG binding domain sequencing (MBD-Seq) and MDD cases and controls from both blood (N = 1132) and postmortem brain tissues (N = 61 samples from the medial prefrontal cortical region of Brodmann Area 10 [BA10]), and showed significant overlap (p = 5.4 × 10⁻⁵) between the EWAS findings in blood and brain (i.e., BA10). Several EWAS studies of MDD have been conducted using
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blood samples. EWAS using blood samples comparing current vs. never MDD status was performed among World Trade Center responders (trauma-exposed)\textsuperscript{12}. Clark et al. conducted an MWAS using MBD-Seq and 581 blood samples with current MDD at baseline and assess the profile with current MDD diagnosis status in year 6 and identified themes on cellular responses to stress and signaling mechanisms linked to immune cell migration and inflammation\textsuperscript{13}. Postpartum depression (PPD) was also studied including both prepartum euthymic and prepartum depressed samples and a cross-species translational mouse model (17β-estradiol (E2)) which implicated hippocampal synaptic plasticity in PPD\textsuperscript{12}. A small pilot study was also performed to study ECT response (n = 12)\textsuperscript{14}. The largest EWAS study to date used self-reported antidepressant use as a surrogate for depression and used 6,428 samples from the Generation Scotland (GS) database and 2449 samples from the Netherlands Twin Registry and identified ten DMPs in the GS Cohort but only one of these DMPs was statistically confirmed in the meta-analysis between these two Cohorts\textsuperscript{14}. In contrast, few EWAS studies have been conducted in brain tissue samples from depressed patients studied postmortem. One other EWAS study using brain samples for late-life depression status was conducted using brain samples from the ROSMAP Cohort\textsuperscript{15}. A table summarizing the previously reported EWAS is provided in Supplementary Table 1.

Inspired by the brain-blood correlation, we set out to perform EWAS in two MDD Cohorts and performed a meta-analysis between these two Cohorts. Both DMPs and DMRs were identified, and the results were discussed in the context of enriched pathways.

## Results

### Differentially methylated positions in peripheral blood.

Samples used in the EWAS analyses were described in Supplementary Table 2. 78.5% of the MDD cases and 34.4% of the healthy controls from cohort 1 were of European ancestry. In cohort 2, 79.7% of the MDD cases and 79.4% of the healthy controls from cohort 1 were of European ancestry. In the EWAS meta-analysis between the two cohorts, eight CpG sites including six annotated to TNNT3 (cg08337959 p = 2.29 × 10\textsuperscript{-11}, cg01821149 p = 3.06 × 10\textsuperscript{-10}), were associated with MDD case status passing Bonferroni correction threshold (p < = 0.05/740, 121 ~ 6.76 × 10\textsuperscript{-8}, Supplementary Fig. 1A [Cohort 1 Q–Q plot], 2A [Cohort 1 Manhattan plot], genomic inflation factor lambda (\( \lambda_{\text{cohort1}} \)) = 1.048; Supplementary Fig. 1B [Cohort 2 Q–Q plot], 2B [Cohort 2 Manhattan plot], genomic inflation factor lambda (\( \lambda_{\text{cohort2}} \)) = 1.115; Fig. 1 [Meta-analysis Manhattan plot], Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 1C [Meta-analysis Q–Q plot]). cg08337959 was associated with MDD in both cohort 1 (b = −0.52, p = 2.37 × 10\textsuperscript{-4}) and cohort 2 (b = −0.71, p = 2.20 × 10\textsuperscript{-8}). Hypomethylation of CpG sites annotated to TNNT3 was associated with MDD case status in both cohorts (Fig. 2, cg08337959, b = −0.52, p = 2.37 × 10\textsuperscript{-4} in cohort 1 and b = −0.71, p = 6.46 × 10\textsuperscript{-8} in cohort 2; Supplementary Fig. 3, cg01821149 b = −0.24, p = 7.15 × 10\textsuperscript{-4} in cohort 1 and b = −0.27, p = 1.02 × 10\textsuperscript{-7} in cohort 2, Table 1) and these associations remained unchanged after correcting the CpG probe cg05575921 annotated to AHRR, which serves as a surrogate for smoking status. Corrections for genetic population substructure or medication status did not significantly change the significance. A full list of DMPs with p value less than study wide significance threshold in individual cohorts or p value < 1 × 10\textsuperscript{-4} in the meta-analysis are provided in Supplementary Tables 3 and 4, respectively.

Among the ten CpG sites significantly associated with self-reported antidepressant use reported from the Generation Scotland cohort\textsuperscript{14}, two of them (cg03864397 annotated to CASP10 implicated in innate immune response, b = −0.23, p = 0.03; cg26277237 annotated to KANK1, b=0.24, p = 0.03) were nominally significant in this meta-analysis (p < 0.05) and with consistent direction in effect size (Supplementary Table 5). The correlation of effect size/beta coefficient between the overlapping CpG sites (70 for cohort 1 and 71 for cohort 2) used for methylation score calculation\textsuperscript{14} and the individual cohort was insignificant, but the directionality was consistent for cohort 2 (r = 0.15, p = 0.21, Supplementary Fig. 4). The methylation score calculated using the same overlapping CpG sites weighted by the effect size did not distinguish cases from controls in a linear mixed model (b = 0.013, p = 0.18) but the directionality was consistent in cohort 2. For cohort 1, the methylation score for MDD was lower than controls (b = −0.018, p = 0.04), suggesting that the weights of the methylation score could benefit from an even bigger study or EWAS meta-analysis in the future.

### Pathway enrichment analysis.

Pathway enrichment analysis using logistic regression adjusting for the number of CpG sites per gene on the EPIC arrays using methylglm from methylglm and DMP with association p value less than 1 × 10\textsuperscript{-4} revealed enrichment of neuroligin family protein binding (p = 1.30 × 10\textsuperscript{-30}, adjusted p value = 1.78 × 10\textsuperscript{-32}), low voltage-gated calcium channel activity (p = 1.99 × 10\textsuperscript{-18}, adjusted p value = 1.37 × 10\textsuperscript{-25}), chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 1 production (p = 7.53 × 10\textsuperscript{-7}, adjusted p value = 6.14 × 10\textsuperscript{-8}) (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 6).

### Differentially methylated region (DMR) analysis.

DMR analysis enabled the identification of regions in the genome consisting of ≥ 3 probes with consistent signals associated with MDD. Overall, the analyses performed using the comb-p algorithm identified 127 DMRs as being significantly associated with MDD at the Sidak-corrected p value < 0.05 from the meta-analysis. These results included DMRs annotated to TNNT3 (chr11: 1,948,933 to 1,949,130 [6 probes], Sidak corrected P value = 4.32 × 10\textsuperscript{-4}, Fig. 4A), Si100 calcium-binding protein A13 (Si100A13, chr1: 153599479 to 153600972 [22 probes], Sidak corrected P value = 5.32 × 10\textsuperscript{-18}, Fig. 4B), neurexin 1 (NRXN1) (chr2: 50201413 to 50201505 [4 probes], Sidak corrected P value = 1.19 × 10\textsuperscript{-11}, Fig. 4C), interleukin 17 receptor A (IL17RA) (chr22: 17564750 to 17565149, Sidak corrected P value = 9.31 × 10\textsuperscript{-8}, Supplementary Fig. 5), and neuropetide FF receptor 2 (NPFFR2) (chr1: 72897565 to 72898212, Sidak corrected P value = 8.19 × 10\textsuperscript{-9}). For IL17RA, one of the CpG sites cg07191900 giving rise to the DMR was hypermethylated in MDD (b = 0.55, p = 4.41 × 10\textsuperscript{-7}), while two other CpG sites were likewise hypermethylated (cg07358542 b = 0.45, p = 4.62 × 10\textsuperscript{-5}; cg13359439 b = 0.21, p = 0.06). A full list of DMRs with Sidak-corrected p value < 0.05
Figure 1. Manhattan plot of DMP analysis of MDD case–control EWAS meta-analysis.

Table 1. MDD EWAS meta-analysis genome wide significant CpG sites. beta, estimated coefficients of the model; k, number of studies included in the analysis; pval, corresponding p values; tau2, estimated amount of (residual) heterogeneity; I² statistics, estimates (in percent) how much of the total variability in the observed effect sizes (which is composed of heterogeneity plus sampling variability) can be attributed to heterogeneity among the true effects.
**Figure 2.** Association of cg08337959 annotated to TNNT3 with MDD case–control status in (A) cohort 1, (B) cohort 2.

**Figure 3.** Enriched gene sets from the GO database (min gene set size = 4) among DMP associated with MDD case status with a $p$ value less than $1 \times 10^{-4}$ in the EWAS meta-analysis.
is available in Supplementary Table 7. The probes underlying each DMR are also provided in Supplementary Table 8.

**Discussion**

In the meta-analysis across two cohorts, CpG sites annotated to TNNT3 passed the genome-wide significance threshold. There was no prior report linking TNNT3 to psychiatry conditions. We additionally identified 127 DMRs associated with MDD, among which several of the implicated genes warrant additional discussion.

Among the DMPs associated with MDD in the meta-analysis, neuroligin family protein binding was among the top pathways enriched in this study. These proteins of the neuroligin family are neuronal cell surface proteins. They act as splice site-specific ligands for β-neurexins and may be involved in synaptogenesis. Neurexin 1 variants were previously implicated as risk factors for suicide death based on shared chromosomal segment analysis. A functional genomic experiment showed that two Neurexin variants increased binding to the postsynaptic binding partner LRRTM2 in vitro. Other variants (SNVs and CNVs) in NLGN1 and/or other family members NLGN3 and NLGN4 were previously associated with suicide, PTSD, autism, obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD), and depression. The variant rs6779753 in NLGN1 underlying the gene-based PTSD association was also associated with the intermediate phenotypes of higher startle response and greater functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) activation of various brain regions including the amygdala and orbitofrontal cortex in response to
fearful face. A rare variant in NLGN1 was also implicated in autism. Presynaptic NRXN1, NRXN2, NRXN3, and cytoplasm partners SHANK1, SHANK2, SHANK3, EPAC, MDGA, DLG4, and DLGAP2 were also implicated in autism, mental retardation, and/or schizophrenia. Overall, there is substantial genetic evidence implicating the NRXN-NLGN pathway in suicide and other psychiatric conditions. In addition, transcriptional activity in neurexin and neuroligin genes is regulated by methylation. Sleep deprivation has caused a shift in methylation patterns in both neurexin and neuroligin in animals. NLGN1 was also implicated in the animal model of depression. Herein we additionally provide epigenetic evidence in the involvement of this pathway by demonstrating differentially methylated region in NRXN1 in peripheral blood and the pathway enrichment of neuroligin family protein binding.

Among the other DMRs associated with MDD, S100A13 plays a role in the central nervous system (CNS) development and it is especially expressed in the developing human hippocampus and temporal cortex and is differentially expressed in the orbitofrontal cortex of suicide victims. Neuroinflammation and T-helper 17 (Th17) cells and IL17-A have been implicated in depression. Th17 cells increased in preclinical depression animal models (learned helplessness and chronic restraint stress paradigms) and blockage of Th17 cell differentiation by a deficiency in retinoic acid receptor-related orphan receptor (ROR)γT transcription factor and inhibition of RORγT transcription factor pharmacologically or using IL17-A antibody rendered the animal resistant to learned helplessness. IL1b and TGFb are required for Th17 cell differentiation and Th17 cells produce IL17, IL21, and IL22. Both TGFb and IL17 levels were reported to be elevated in depressed patients in a small study (41 MDD patients vs. 40 healthy controls). Another small study (40 MDD patients and 30 healthy controls) also showed increased peripheral Th17 cell count and reduced T-reg cell count (hence imbalance of Th17/Treg ratio), higher mRNA level of RORγT transcription factor, and increased serum IL17 in MDD patients compared to healthy controls.

Figure 4. (continued)
IL17RA encodes a low-affinity receptor for IL17A. IL17A and its receptor could play a pathogenic role in many inflammatory and autoimmune diseases including multiple sclerosis, autism spectrum disorders, epilepsy, Alzheimer’s disease, and rheumatoid arthritis. We herein provide epigenetic evidence for a DMR annotated to IL17RA although the underlying probes were hypermethylated in MDD, which could result in down-regulation of IL17RA. This could be a reflection of a compensatory mechanism to combat inflammation in MDD. Other inflammatory pathways such as chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 1 production were also enriched in this study.

There are several limitations of this study that merit comment. First, the healthy control sample size is relatively small despite the sample size for MDD cohorts being moderate. Secondly, the MDD cases were pooled together for meta-analysis to enhance the power, but there was heterogeneity between cohort 1 and cohort 2. Cohort 1 came from a naturalistic longitudinal follow-up study where samples at baseline were recently responding to antidepressant treatment (within three months), but approximately one-quarter of the samples relapsed during the follow-up period. Cohort 2 came from an antidepressant treatment study, and therefore samples at baseline were acutely ill, and samples at week 8 may or may not be responding to the treatment. In addition, although we attempted to control for medication status as a sensitivity analysis and the kind of medication exposed in cohort 2, we cannot rule out there is an influence of methylation status from prior medication exposure despite there was a wash-out period prior to subsequent treatment exposure. Treatment naïve samples would be in a better position to address this caveat. Lastly, early life adversity is known to influence DNA methylation. It is possible that the surrogate variables included in the statistical model capture some aspects of the systematic
changes induced by early-life adversity. Systematically collecting this environmental factor for direct modeling of early life adversity in the epigenetic analysis will enable interaction analysis to study the impact of disease pathology and environmental factor simultaneously. Future epigenetic studies and meta-analyses with other MDD cohorts in the scientific community will further elucidate the epigenetic mechanisms associated with depression.

Methods

Study cohorts. Cohort 1. A total of 191 blood samples from 112 patients with MDD were collected up till the interim analysis from an observational clinical study OBSERVEMDD0001 (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02489305), where a patient must have met DSM-V criteria for nonpsychotic, recurrent MDD within the past 24 months (ie, the start of the most recent major depressive episode (MDE)) must be ≤ 24 months before screening); have a Montgomery Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) total score ≤ 14 at screening and baseline visits; have evidence of recent response (within the past 3 months) to an oral antidepressant treatment regimen (taken at an optimal dosage and for an adequate duration, and be currently taking and responding to an oral antidepressant treatment regimen. The samples from the participants with MDD could have been obtained from either a baseline visit or a follow-up visit. 32 samples from 32 healthy controls self-reported to be free of MDD were collected by BioIVT and used as control samples for this Cohort. The institutional review boards of all participating clinical trial sites reviewed and approved the study and patients provided informed consent for DNA sample collection.

Cohort 2. A total of 359 MDD samples from 186 patients were drawn from the Molecular Biomarkers of Antidepressant Response study45,46, where a patient must have had a diagnosis of a current major depressive episode (MDE) as per the SCID-I and Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HAM-D-21) ≥ 20, while 68 control samples from 31 patients were recruited through advertisement. Two or more samples from the same patient could have been collected (Supplementary Table 2).

The OBSERVEMDD0001 study was approved by the respective local or central Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) overseeing the clinical sites participating in the study, these included the University of Pennsylvania Office of Regulatory Affairs IRB, University of Iowa IRB, Baylor College Of Medicine IRB, University of Michigan IRB, University of Cincinnati IRB, Sharp HealthCare IRB, Springfield Committee for Research Involving Human Subjects (SCRIHS), Western IRB, University of Kansas School of Medicine—Wichita Human Subjects Committee, Rush University Medical Center IRB, Hartford Hospital IRB, University of Massachusetts Medical School IRB, and Sterling IRB. In addition, the BioIVT samples were collected with IRB approval from Schuman IRB. Lastly, the Molecular Biomarkers of Antidepressant Response study was approved by Douglas Hospital Research Ethics Board. All clinical studies and sample collections were carried out following the ethical principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki and are consistent with Good Clinical Practices and applicable regulatory requirements. All patients provided written informed consent before entry into the study.

Genotyping of samples. All samples from both cohorts were genotyped in a single batch using PsychArray (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA). Standard QC was applied to remove samples with call rate less than 95%, variants with call rate less than 95%, minor allele frequency less than 1%, and variants deviating from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium. Variants were thinned using PLINK v1.97,48 using parameters “--indep-pairwise 1500 150 0.2” and variants in long-range linkage disequilibrium (LD) regions in chromosomes 6, 8, 5, and 11 reported previously were removed.49 The remaining variants were used to derive population substructure using eigenstrat v6.1.49,51 using default parameters except adding the options of “nsnpIdregress: 3 and maxdistldregress: 1” without outlier removal to preserve as many samples as possible since genetic ancestry does not seem to influence epigenetic profile significantly. The first two principal components were included as additional covariates in a sensitivity analysis described later.

DNA methylation profiling. Whole blood samples were collected, and DNA was extracted for methylation profiling. DNA methylation was measured using Infinium® MethylationEPIC BeadChip (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) at 850 000 CpG sites throughout the genome. The assay for each cohort (both cases and controls) was performed in one batch. Genomic DNA samples were bisulfite-converted using the EZ-DNA Methylation Kits (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA) and subsequently analyzed using the Illumina Infinium® HD methylation protocol on the HiScan™ system (Illumina, Inc, San Diego, CA, USA).

Data pre-processing. Epigenetic data was analyzed separately for each Cohort. Quality control of the EPIC array data was performed using R package ChAMP52. Probes with detection p value ≥ 0.01 in one or more samples (nCohort1 = 14,421 and nCohort2 = 22,386, respectively), or with bead count less than 3 in at least 5% of samples (nCohort1 = 8999 and nCohort2 = 2104), non-CG probes (nCohort1 = 2625 and nCohort2 = 2586), probes with known SNP sites or with cross-reactivity53 (nCohort1 = 93,722 and nCohort2 = 93,024), probes align to multiple locations on the genome54 (nCohort1 = 15 and nCohort2 = 11), as well as probes located on the sex chromosomes (nCohort1 = 16,532 and nCohort2 = 16,186) were filtered out.

The methylation levels were normalized using the Dasen method in the R package wateRmelon55. The blood cell composition was estimated using the estimateCellCounts function in minfi56 which used a reference blood dataset of fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) sorted CD8T, CD4T, NK, B cell, monocytes, granulocytes, and eosinophils57. Surrogate variables are covariates inferred from high-dimensional data that are used in subsequent analyses to adjust for unknown and/or unmodeled sources of noise58,59. We used R package sva (v3.38)60,61 to estimate surrogate variables for unknown sources of variation to remove artifacts in the epigenetic profile experiments. Removing batch effects using surrogate variables before downstream differential analysis has been
shown to improve reproducibility\(^2\). One sample from cohort 2 with discrepant gender between case report form (CRF) and what was inferred based on epigenetic data was excluded from downstream analysis.

**Identification of DMPs.** \(M\)-value, which provides higher detection rates and true positive rates for both highly methylated and unmethylated CpG sites and is considered statistically more valid than \(beta\)-value\(^3\), was used to identify DMPs using the R package limma\(^4\). However, the model fit using \(beta\)-value was also fit to report the effect size in \(beta\)-value only to ease biological interpretation. The primary analysis used the statistical model, adjusting for age, sex, cell composition, and surrogate variables (5 for cohort 1 and 10 for cohort 2) aiming to capture systematic technical variations was used to generate the contrast between MDD cases and healthy controls. Alternative statistical models additionally correcting for (1) smoking status using AHRR probe cg05575921\(^6\), (2) population substructure as represented by the first two principal components of the corresponding genetic data, (3) medication status (for the second cohort only) as an additional covariate was implemented as well as a sensitivity analysis. In all scenarios, sample relatedness was corrected by using the duplicate-Correlation function in limma. This was followed by a meta-analysis between the 2 Cohorts using the R package metafor\(^8\). DMPs with association \(p\) values less than the Bonferroni correction threshold (i.e. \(0.05/\text{number of CpG sites passing QC included in the analysis}\)) were considered study-wide significant. The discovered DMPs were assessed for consistency in three ways: (1) the top DMPs discovered in a recent largest MWAS study\(^\text{9}\) were used to look for replication evidence from this study; (2) the effect sizes from this study were compared with the reported penalized regression coefficient\(^\text{14}\) from the full sample; (3) methylation score based on the same penalized regression coefficient\(^\text{14}\) was calculated and contrast between MDD cases and controls was assessed via a linear mixed model using R package lme4.

**Identification of DMRs.** DMRs in the genome consisting of \(\geq 3\) probes were identified using comb-p\(^\text{77}\) with a distance of 500 bp and a seeded \(p\) value of \(1.0 \times 10^{-4}\). The DMRs with Sidak corrected \(p\) value less than 0.0001. Gene ontology databases used included KEGG database\(^6\) and c2.cp (a superset of BIOCARTA, KEGG, and REACTOME\(^7\) and a few other data sources) subsets of Molecular signatures database (MSigDB, v7.0)\(^7\).

**Gene set enrichment analysis.** Gene set enrichment analysis was performed using methylGlm function within R package methylGSA that accounts for length bias correction using logistic regression\(^\text{14}\) and DMPs with association \(p\) value less than 0.0001. Gene ontology databases used included KEGG database\(^8\) and c2.cp (a superset of BIOCARTA, KEGG, and REACTOME\(^7\) and a few other data sources) subsets of Molecular signatures database (MSigDB, v7.0)\(^7\).

**Data availability.** Data used in the preparation of this article could be obtained from NCBI GEO under accession number GSE198904.
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