Dual RNA-seq reveals a type 6 secretion system-dependent blockage of TNF-α signaling and BicA as a Burkholderia pseudomallei virulence factor important during gastrointestinal infection
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ABSTRACT

Melioidosis is a disease caused by the Gram-negative bacillus *Burkholderia pseudomallei* (*Bpm*), commonly found in soil and water of endemic areas. Naturally acquired human melioidosis infections can result from either exposure through percutaneous inoculation, inhalation, or ingestion of soil-contaminated food or water. Our prior studies recognized *Bpm* as an effective enteric pathogen, capable of establishing acute or chronic gastrointestinal infections following oral inoculation. However, the specific mechanisms and virulence factors involved in the pathogenesis of *Bpm* during intestinal infection are unknown. In our current study, we standardized an *in vitro* intestinal infection model using primary intestinal epithelial cells (IECs) and demonstrated that *Bpm* requires a functional T6SS for full virulence. Further, we performed dual RNA-seq analysis on *Bpm*-infected IECs to evaluate differentially expressed host and bacterial genes in the presence or absence of a T6SS. Our results showed a dysregulation in the TNF-α signaling via NF-κB pathway in the absence of the T6SS, with some of the genes involved in inflammatory processes and cell death also affected. Analysis of the bacterial transcriptome identified virulence factors and regulatory proteins playing a role during infection, with association to the T6SS. By using a *Bpm* transposon mutant library and isogenic mutants, we showed that deletion of the *bicA* gene, encoding a putative T3SS/T6SS regulator, ablated intracellular survival and plaque formation by *Bpm* and impacted survival and virulence when using murine models of acute and chronic gastrointestinal infection. Overall, these results highlight the importance of the type 6 secretion system in the gastrointestinal pathogenesis of *Bpm*.

Introduction

*Burkholderia pseudomallei* (*Bpm*) is a Gram-negative bacterium and the causative agent of human melioidosis. Melioidosis is becoming a health issue due to its increased global awareness and the recognition of the disease as an underreported neglected tropical disease. For example, human melioidosis in northeast Thailand ranks as the third most common cause of death due to infectious diseases (after HIV and tuberculosis), while in other parts of the world, melioidosis is being recognized as endemic in countries located within the tropics. Worldwide, human and animal infections by this pathogen are significantly underreported, while the number of cases continues to increase. A comprehensive epidemiological study estimated that 165,000 melioidosis cases and 89,000 deaths occur every year around the world. While clinical manifestations of the disease are diverse, including acute sepsis, chronic localized pathology, or a latent infection that can reactivate decades later. Further disease surveillance is hindered by a limited understanding of the disease and the association of nonspecific symptoms that often mimic other persistent infections. Community acquired cases of melioidosis are likely a
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consequence of the saprophyte bacterium in contaminated soil or water entering the host through cuts or skin abrasions, ingestion, or inhalation.\textsuperscript{2,15} Treatment of the disease is difficult and includes parenterally delivered ceftazidime or carbapenem for 10–14 days, followed by oral trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole for 12–20 weeks.\textsuperscript{2,16}

*Bpm* can bind and infect phagocytic and non-phagocytic cell types\textsuperscript{17,18} upon coming in contact; however, most of the pathogen-host interactions at the epithelial interface have focused on those infections occurring at the abraded skin or the respiratory mucosal surface, during percutaneous inoculation or respiratory infection.\textsuperscript{2,19} For example, attachment to human respiratory epithelial cells was initially thought to be mediated by capsular polysaccharides\textsuperscript{20} and type IV pili.\textsuperscript{21} However, the function of the capsule in the internalization process has been questioned, and the role of the type IV pili in adherence has only been demonstrated during infection of the respiratory tract.\textsuperscript{2,21} In contrast, the exact mechanism of entry and dissemination using other ports of entry remain relatively unexplored and an in-depth analysis of the bacterial mechanisms mediating gastrointestinal (GI) infection have not been undertaken. One prior study investigated whether *Bpm* was able to cause GI infection in mice and it was reported that *Bpm* is capable of infecting intestinal cells following oral inoculation and that chronically colonized GI cells might be the reservoir for dissemination to extra-intestinal sites.\textsuperscript{22} This has been further validated in non-human primates where it was demonstrated that *Bpm* ingestion serves as the route for disseminated infection.\textsuperscript{23} Due to the intracellular nature of this pathogen, it is critical to investigate the molecular mechanisms mediating GI invasion and dissemination to target organs, and we have previously found that the type I fimbriae is involved in initial attachment to the intestinal cells, while the type 6 secretion system participates in cell-to-cell spread.\textsuperscript{24}

Although the exact mechanism of *Bpm* invasion to epithelial cells, particularly intestinal cells, remains unknown, it has been demonstrated that inhibition of actin polymerization reduces the level of entry and invasion.\textsuperscript{2,25} *Bpm* possesses multiple secretion systems, which enable the translocation of proteins into the host cells, favoring invasion and cell-to-cell spread. Rearrangement of the host actin cytoskeleton is induced by effector proteins injected by the type 3 secretion system (T3SS) and autotransporter proteins that mediate actin-based motility.\textsuperscript{2,19,26,27} The T3SS is crucial for vesicle escape, while the autotransporter BimA interacts with monomeric actin in one pole of the bacteria, resulting in formation of actin tails promoting *Bpm* intracellular motility.\textsuperscript{25} Once *Bpm* moves freely around the host cytosol, it approaches neighboring cells, stimulating cell fusion and the formation of multinucleated giant cells (MNGCs).\textsuperscript{28} *Bpm* is believed to manipulate host cells by utilizing the type 6 secretion system (T6SS), forming MNGCs for intercellular spread and to avoid interaction with the immune system.\textsuperscript{29} Interestingly, *Bpm* possess six T6SS clusters, which share similarities with other T6SS of Gram-negative pathogens.\textsuperscript{30} However, only the T6SS-1 cluster (or also known as the T6SS-5) is utilized by *Bpm* to manipulate the host cells for intracellular spread\textsuperscript{29} and to stimulate the formation of MNGC, being cell fusion the pathogenic hallmark of *Bpm* and of a small group of intracellular bacterial pathogens.-\textsuperscript{28,29,31} The remaining five clusters, although their function has not been fully elucidated, are speculated to function during interbacterial competition.\textsuperscript{29,30}

We have previously demonstrated, using a human intestinal epithelial cell line and mouse primary intestinal epithelial cells (IEC) that *Bpm* adheres, invades, and forms MNGCs, ultimately leading to cell toxicity.\textsuperscript{24} Further, we demonstrated that *Bpm* requires a functional T6SS for full virulence, bacterial dissemination, and lethality in mice infected by the intragastric route.\textsuperscript{24} However, several important questions remain unsolved including the mechanism underlying T6SS activity and its physiological role during infection, particularly during GI cell-to-cell spread. Therefore, in this paper we utilized a dual RNA-Seq analysis of infected IECs with wild type (WT) *Bpm* and a type 6 secretion mutant (Δhcp1) to determine which host and pathogen genes are differentially expressed during infection. Several genes up- and down-regulated were identified while comparing the *Bpm* WT and mutant strain and to further validate our analysis, we showed a dysregulation in the TNF-α signaling via NF-κB pathway in the host cells. Further, we demonstrate a regulatory
control of the BicA protein, a T3SS, as a potential virulence factor during GI infection by Bpm, and an associated virulence factor with bacterial invasion of IECs.

**Results**

**Defining intestinal host IECs-Bpm transcriptomics using Dual RNA-Seq**

To start understanding the molecular mechanism that governs Bpm and the host cells during infection of intestinal epithelial cells, we performed a dual RNA-seq analysis to define the impact of expressing the T6SS in the intracellular host environment, using the transcriptome of the pathogen and that of the host cell as readout. Dual RNA-seq has been previously successfully used to profile gene expression simultaneously of an intracellular pathogen and its infected host cell.\(^{32-35}\) Therefore, we performed a fully validated dual RNA-seq experiment and then focused our analysis in subsets of bacterial and host proteins differentially expressed during intracellular infection of the Bpm wild type K96243\(^{36}\) and its Δhcp1 mutant.\(^{24}\)

Briefly, primary intestinal epithelial cells (IECs) derived from rat were selected as host cells and the bacterial infections were performed using Bpm WT and Bpm Δhcp1 at an MOI of 10 at 6- and 12-h (Figure 1, step 1). Total RNA was collected from infected cells (step 2) both timepoints and pure RNA samples (bacterial or host rRNA-depleted) were used for subsequent analyses (step 3). The genomic libraries were prepared and sequenced using next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology (step 4) and the sequences were mapped to the rat (step 5) or Bpm K96243 (step 6) reference genomes. Finally, we profiled different expression patterns between Bpm WT and Bpm Δhcp1 with high resolution, after infection of IECs. Differences in expression profiles are presented as heatmap (step 7) and volcano plots (Figures 2 and 4).

**Differential host gene expression during Bpm infection and in the absence of a T6SS**

The IECs were infected with Bpm WT and Bpm Δhcp1 for 6 and 12 h and the differential global gene expression were determined (Figure 2(a)). Panel A shows the host transcriptomic gene

---

**Figure 1. Workflow of dual RNA-seq experiment.** High-quality RNA was collected from primary intestinal epithelial cells (IECs) and enriched for either host or bacteria RNA transcripts before creating cDNA libraries. 1) The IECs were infected with Bpm WT K96243 and a Bpm Δhcp1 mutant for 6 and 12 h using an MOI of 10. 2) Total RNA was isolated from infected cells and purified RNA samples used for downstream analysis. 3) Bacterial or host rRNA was depleted using an RNA purification kit. 4) Purified RNA samples were sequenced using Illumina technology. 5) Host RNA libraries were mapped against a parent genomic sequence. 6) Bacterial RNA libraries were mapped against a parent Bpm sequence. 7) Differences in expression profiles were presented as heatmap and volcano plots.
Figure 2. Global host transcriptomic changes in the presence or absence of a T6SS. A. Heat map analysis summarizing the transcriptomic differences in the presence or absence of a functional Bpm T6SS, showing the 400 most differentially expressed host genes at 12 h post infection. Genes in box 2 indicated an upregulation in the absence of T6SS as compared to WT in box 1. This suggested a transcriptional repression associated to the T6SS (box 2 vs 1). Genes in panel 4 are downregulated as compared to panel 3, suggesting a transcriptional activation associated to the T6SS. B. Using ingenuity pathway analysis, hallmark gene association suggests a role for the most differentially expressed genes to be associated with TNF-α signaling via NF-κB. In addition, gene ontology analysis suggests a role of differentially expressed genes linked to cell proliferation, cell death, among other metabolic processes. C. The volcano plot demonstrates specific differential expression of genes during Bpm IEC infection generated with p-values less than 0.05 in the presence (Bpm WT) or absence of a functional T6SS (Δhcp1).

Differences during infection with Bpm in the presence or absence of a functional T6SS at 6 and 12 h post infection. As depicted in the boxes, genes in box 2 are upregulated in the absence of a functional T6SS (Δhcp1) as compared to the WT strain (box 1) and suggesting that a transcriptional gene repression occurs during expression of the T6SS. Conversely, genes in box 4 are downregulated in comparison with those found in box 3, suggesting that a transcriptional activation of those genes occur during expression of the T6SS. This further suggests that Bpm infection modulates several signaling events upon infection of IECs as early as 6 h post infection, and further implicates the T6SS in driving transcriptional activation or repression of many signaling events.

To elucidate the potential pathways implicated in Bpm infection of IECs, we performed gene ontology (GO) and ingenuity pathway analysis (IPA) on the differentially expressed genes after 6 and 12 h of infection. To further establish the association between expression of the T6SS and transcriptional changes in the host cell, 400 of the most differentially expressed genes at 12 h post infection in IECs were further classified using GO (Figure 2(b), upper panel) and IPA (Figure 2(b), lower panel). Our IPA results identified an association of Bpm infection of IECs with several signaling pathways, particularly those related to TNF-α signaling via NF-κB, hypoxia, and inflammation (Figure 2(b), upper panel). Our GO analysis revealed that several of the differentially expressed genes during Bpm infection of IECs are group in clusters associated with cell proliferation, apoptosis, cell death, and signal transduction events (Figure 2(b), lower panel). Further, we found that dysregulation of these genes occurs in a T6SS-dependent manner (Figure 2(c)). Among the most significant differentially expressed genes, we noticed a downregulation of genes associated with cell death during infection, including FosL1, Mt-Co3, and Mt-Co1, among others (Figure 2(c)). On the other hand, we observed an upregulation in genes associated with inflammation such as STAT2, GBP4, or cytoskeleton integrity such as Stmn1 (Figure 2(c)). Of note, we observed that core responses of Bpm interacting with IECs were dominated by a proinflammatory response such as those reported with other cultured epithelial cells.37,38 We decided to further analyze these genes because they are found in meliodosis patients and recommended as useful biomarkers of disease progression,39 but also implicate the T6SS-dependent induction of inflammatory
response which could be a critical defense mechanisms of IECs against Bpm.

Establishing differential host cell responses to Bpm WT and Δhcp1 strains

Based on our global differential gene expression analysis, we wanted to further understand specific genes associated with cell signaling pathways affected by the T6SS of Bpm. Our volcano plot analysis further confirmed the involvement of the NF-κB signaling pathway (Figure 2(c)); therefore, we evaluated whether Bpm blocks TNF-α-induced NF-κB activation in a T6SS-dependent manner. We first evaluated the biological relevance of NF-κB signaling during Bpm infection by imaging NF-κB nuclear translocation in the presence or absence of T6SS (Figure 3(a)). Our results indicated that Bpm sequestered NF-κB in the cytoplasm of IECs, and sequestration is dependent on a functional T6SS, without affecting the intracellular survival of Bpm.

We then measured the phosphorylation of NF-κB by TNF-α activation by Western blot, where we observed that Bpm inhibits NF-κB activation despite TNF-α stimulation post infection (Figure 3(b)). We further observed that this inhibition is time dependent and requires a functional T6SS (Figure 3(b)). Further, we quantified phosphorylation of p65 by densitometry and our results confirmed that this phosphorylation of p65 is partially rescued by 6 h post infection with Bpm Δhcp1 in the presence or absence of TNF-α (Figure 3(c)). These results indicate a NF-κB blockade by the T6SS of Bpm during late IEC infection. It is well recognized that various virulence factors activated by bacteria during epithelial infection are targets of NF-κB, and often recruit inflammatory cells to facilitate dissemination. In melioidosis infections, it is known that TNF-α and some other interleukins are involved in the early inflammatory response, and NF-κB is the key transcription factor that modulates their expression.

These results suggest a role of the T6SS system in controlling NF-κB blockage, and it is dependent on additional factors that may be related to early infection events, such as the T3SS. Together, our results, along with other studies, confirmed that Bpm prevents the initiation of the NF-κB, thus reducing host inflammatory responses by utilizing T6SS proteins.

Defining Bpm transcriptomics during IECs infection

We used principal component analysis (PCA) plots of the dual RNA-Seq data comparing Bpm WT and Bpm Δhcp1 to show that different conditions cluster together (data not shown). Then, a volcano plot of the 200 most differentially expressed bacterial genes associated with Bpm IEC infection was generated (genes with p-value < 0.05; Figure 4(a)). We observed a dysregulation in gene expression associated with two important virulence mechanisms namely, the T3SS, associated genes bopC (BPSS1516), bicA (BPSS1533), bprD (BPSS1521), bopA (BPSS1524), bpspV (BPSS1406), bsaN (BPSS1546) and the T6SS tssD (BPSS1498), tssM (BPSS1512), tssG (BPSS1501), tagL-2 (BPSS0096) (Figure 4(a)). To determine whether the gene products participate in Bpm intracellular survival or cell-to-cell spread, we used a Bpm transposon mutant library (library comprised of a total of 8,729 unique transposon mutants in the Bpm 1026b strain) and evaluated several of the mutants for their ability to form plaques (Figure 4(b)). We included some transposon mutants associated with the T3SS (bpspV, bopC, bopA, bicA), T6SS (tagL-2) and other genes (bhuR, hfg, etc.) and determined whether they affected plaque formation. We confirmed that a T6SS (Tn::tagL-2) mutant was unable to form plaques, but also found that some T3SS mutants reduced plaque formation (Figure 4(b)).

Of notice, we identified one protein encoded by the bicA gene that impacted cell-to-cell spread. The BicA protein forms a complex with BsaN to regulate the expression of T3SS effectors, but it has also been proposed as a regulator affecting the expression of the T6SS. To corroborate our results, we wanted to further investigate the function of BicA as a virulence factor during IECs infection.

Defining the function of BicA as a virulence factor during IEC infection

To validate our global gene expression analysis, we focus our attention in one protein that is proposed as a chaperone/regulator interacting with BsaN, which is known to participate in the regulation of the T3SS expression, but has also been associated
Figure 3. Bpm blocks TNF-α-induced NF-κB activation which is dependent on a functional T6SS. A. Immunofluorescence of NF-κB nuclear translocation in IECs in the presence or absence of Bpm T6SS (Δhcp1), showing sequestration of cytoplasmic NF-κB. The IECs were infected with either Bpm WT or Bpm Δhcp1. After 12 h of infection, cells were fixed, stained, and imaged. Actin cytoskeleton and nuclei were stained with rhodamine phalloidin and DAPI, respectively. For immunofluorescence imaging, treated cells were fixed, permeabilized, and blocked with 1% BSA. NF-κB subunit p65 was detected using an rabbit anti-mouse p6S antibody, followed by goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody conjugated to Alexa-488 fluorophore. Images to the right of bottom two rows represent a magnified view of merged images. Magnified areas are denoted by squares. B. To confirm blockage of NF-κB translocation during Bpm infection and dependency of T6SS, the phosphorylation of NF-κB by TNF-α activation was measured by Western blot. Cell lysates from infected IECs were collected after 6 and 12 h of infection with Bpm WT or Δhcp1. In addition, separate infected cells were treated for 10 min with TNF-α (20 ng/mL) and subjected to lysis. An anti-phosphorylated p65 antibody was used followed by an HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG. As a positive control, cells were stimulated with TNF-α only. C. Phosphorylation of p65 was quantified for each infection and presented as a ratio phosphorylation p65/actin.
Figure 4. Differential expression profile of Bpm in the absence of a T6SS, reveals a role for BicA as a potential virulence factor associated with IEC infection. A. Volcano plot of the 200 most differentially expressed genes associated with Bpm IEC infection generated with genes with a p-values less than 0.05. The plot shows dysregulation of gene expression associated with two important mechanisms of virulence, namely, T3SS and T6SS. B. Using a transposon library, several mutants dysregulated during IEC infection were tested for their ability to form plaques. C. A ΔbicA mutant and a complemented ΔbicA::bicA were generated and tested for plaque assays (MOI 0.1 or 1) at 24 h. D. IECs were infected with Bpm WT, ΔbicA or ΔbicA::bicA for 1 h using an MOI of 10. After infection, extracellular bacteria were killed using 1 mg/mL of kanamycin for 1 h. Intracellular bacterial replication was quantified by CFU enumeration at 3, 6, 12 and 24 h. Error bars of the mean represent the average ± standard error of two experiments with three
with the expression of T6SS genes. Therefore, we constructed a Bpm ΔbicA mutant and ΔbicA::bicA complemented strains and confirmed the plaque phenotype observed with the bicA::Tn (Tn::1629) mutant, at two different MOIs (Figure 4(c)). Our results showed that the ΔbicA mutant was unable to form plaques, a phenotype reversible by complementation. Then, we also evaluated whether the ΔbicA mutant had an impact on intracellular replication. The IECs were infected with the Bpm strains for 1 h, followed by kanamycin treatment to kill extracellular bacteria and then incubated at different time points. Our results at 3, 6, 12 and 24 h post-infection demonstrated that the ΔbicA mutant displayed a survival defect in the intracellular compartment and that a functional bicA gene restores the ability of Bpm to survive intracellularly (Figure 4(d)). Finally, we used immunofluorescence analysis to visualize the intracellular replication and dissemination of the different Bpm strains. We showed that the Bpm WT and complemented strains invade, disseminate, and spread from cell-to-cell, inducing the formation of MNGCs (Figure 4(e)). In contrast, the ΔbicA mutant was unable to disseminate, spread from cell-to-cell or mediate MNGC formation (Figure 4(e)). Overall, we have supported the dual-RNA-Seq analysis by showing a specific role for BicA in the induction of plaques on IECs suggesting that BicA may play a role in controlling T6SS expression, resulting in the inability of the ΔbicA mutant to disseminate within IECs.

**Bpm ΔbicA mutant can colonize the GI tract but is unable to disseminate to target organs in acute and chronic mouse models of infection**

We have previously developed and optimize murine models of acute and chronic melioidosis infection and used to assess virulence of Bpm WT, ΔbicA or ΔbicA::bicA strains when delivered by the intragastric route. To validate the significance of BicA, as well as the importance of the pathways identified by our RNA seq analysis on rat cells, we performed in vivo animal mouse infection experiments. Cells used for the RNA sequencing experiment were commercially acquired as mouse primary IECs; however, the sequencing results found that the DNA reads were closer associated with the rat genome. For the acute GI murine model, we infected animals with 2.5 LD<sub>50</sub> of Bpm WT, ΔbicA or ΔbicA::bicA strains and evaluated survival for 21 days (Figure 5(a)). Three days after infection, animals infected with Bpm WT showed 75% survival and consistent weight loss (Figure 5(b)) that was recovered as the infection progress. In contrast, 100% survival was observed with the ΔbicA or ΔbicA::bicA strains (Figure 5(b)). To assess colonization of the GI tract or dissemination by the different Bpm strains, we collected segments of the GI tract (stomach, small intestine, colon, cecum) and spleen to quantify bacterial loads, respectively (Figure 5(c)). Animals infected with Bpm WT had recoverable bacteria from stomach, colon, cecum, and spleen, with a limit of detection of 1 CFU. We were unable to recover the ΔbicA mutant from stomach or small intestine, and only a few bacteria were recovered from the spleen of infected animals (Figure 5(c)). Interestingly, the ΔbicA mutant as well as the Bpm WT strain colonized the colon and cecum. Complementation of the ΔbicA mutant restored colonization to wild type levels.

We further assessed whether the Bpm strains disseminate to distal organs during a longer infection course using a chronic melioidosis GI infection model. Animals were inoculated with a sublethal dose (~1 LD<sub>50</sub>) of Bpm WT, ΔbicA or ΔbicA::bicA strains and survival was assessed for 35 days (Figure 5(d)). Animals inoculated with Bpm WT or complemented ΔbicA::bicA strains lost some weight early during infection, which was recovered with time (Figure 5(d)). Mice inoculated with Bpm WT biological replicates. Statistical analysis was done using a one-way ANOVA followed by a Sidak multiple comparison test. *p < .05, **p < .01, ****p < 0.001, ns, not significant. E. Primary IECs were infected with Bpm WT, ΔbicA or ΔbicA::bicA for 6 or 12 h at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, using an MOI of 10. After infection, cells were washed with PBS, fixed, and stained, prior to microscopic examination (20×). Bacterial cells were stained with sera collected from mice that immunized with Bpm PBK001 live attenuated vaccine, followed by an Alexa fluorophore 488 goat anti-mouse IgG, IgM, IgA (H + L) secondary antibody. Actin was stained using rhodamine-phalloidin and cell nuclei with DAPI. Magnified views (×5) are shown on the bottom panels. Images were processed and analyzed using ImageJ analysis software.
and ΔbicA::bicA strains exhibited 62.5% or 87.5% survival, respectively, at 35 days post-infection (dpi), while 100% of animals that were inoculated with Bpm ΔbicA mutant survived to the end of the study (Figure 5(e)). Bacterial load was evaluated by collecting individual sections of the GI tract as well as the liver and spleen which were processed for CFU enumeration (Figure 5(f)). Animals inoculated with Bpm WT or ΔbicA::bicA complemented strain exhibited persistent colonization of the stomach, colon and cecum, while the ΔbicA::bicA strain was also able to persist in the small intestine (Figure 5(f)). We were unable to recover the ΔbicA mutant strain in any of the intestinal locations tested. Bpm WT was able to disseminate and replicate in the liver while the ΔbicA::bicA strain was also recovered in the liver and spleen (Figure 5(f)). Like the GI tract segments evaluated, the ΔbicA mutant was not recovered neither from the liver nor from the spleen of any infected group (Figure 5(f)).

**Figure 5. Functional BicA is required for full pathogenesis of Bpm in acute and chronic gastrointestinal mouse models of melioidosis infection.** Animals (n = 8/group) were infected via gavage with a high- (A – C. acute) or low- (D – F. chronic) dose equivalents of 2.5 LD₅₀ (~7.5 × 10⁶ CFU/mouse) or 1 LD₅₀ (2.5 × 10⁶ CFU/mouse), respectively, of Bpm WT, ΔbicA or ΔbicA::bicA. A and D. Weight changes of the infected animals were evaluated for 21 or 35 days, respectively. B and E. Survival curves of animals infected with each strain in either the acute or chronic infection models. C and F. Bacterial loads in gastrointestinal tract (stomach, small intestine, colon, and cecum), liver and spleen of the acute and chronic studies were determined for CFU per tissue. Error bars of the mean represent the average ± standard error. **p < .001, ***p < .0001, n.s. non-significance.
Overall, our data demonstrate the ability of Bpm to colonize the GI tract and disseminate to target organs and this bacterium requires of a functional bicA gene to exert its full virulence phenotype.

**Discussion**

Although some progress has been done understanding the pathogenesis of this remarkable intracellular bacterium, not much progress has been done deciphering the host-pathogen interactions that occur during Bpm infection, and little information is available about transcriptional changes occurring during the intracellular lifestyle of Bpm of IECs. We have recently shown the importance of gastrointestinal melioidosis as an understudied route of infection and identified some Bpm virulence factors participating in the GI infection and pathogenic process of this intracellular pathogen. Now, using dual RNA-seq, we were able to identify host and bacterial genes that are differentially expressed during Bpm infection and dissemination within gastrointestinal epithelial cells. We were able to identify a handful of host genes that primarily encode for proteins involved in the inflammatory response to infection, but also gene products that mediate Bpm regulatory control of secretion systems involved in bacteria entry to the host cell as well as those involved in cell-to-cell spread and eventually MNGC formation. Although the commercially available cells purchased for the RNA-seq experiment were acquired as mouse primary IECs, our sequencing results identified closer sequence similarities to the rat genome. However, the cells used in this analysis also demonstrate a consistent phenotype in vitro in their ability to permit rapidly multiplying intracellular bacteria and induction of MNGC formation. Therefore, these results allowed us to identify BicA as an important virulence factor in the pathogenesis of Bpm.

As presented, the GI tract remains an underreported and understudied point of entry for Bpm, particularly in cases of unexplained origin of the disease. However, recent evidence in non-human primates demonstrated that indeed, ingestion serves as a route for disseminated infection. Our laboratory has made significant progress in the development of in vitro and in vivo GI pathogenesis murine models of Bpm infection and have identified the Type 6 Secretion System (T6SS) as one of the virulence factors used during GI infection. We now offer evidence indicating that the T6SS is linked to the host inflammatory response which is dampened during Bpm intestinal infection. Although it is unclear whether the immune suppressive effect is true across different Bpm strains, our observation indicating that this may be true given that these virulence mechanisms are found in different Bpm strains.

A previous study demonstrated that NF-κB activation during Bpm infection occurs in a Toll-like (TLR) receptor and MyD88 independent manner which requires the T3SS gene cluster 3 (T3SS3) effector proteins. However, the study was not able to demonstrate that the T3SS directly activates NF-κB, but the authors found that this system facilitates bacterial escape into the cytosol where the host is able to sense the presence of the pathogen leading to NF-κB activation. Further, another study using Bpm-infected primary human macrophages implicated the T3SS-3 dependent inflammasome activation and IFN-γ induced immune mechanisms as a defense mechanism against the pathogen, although reduced intracellular Bpm loads were observed, the inflammatory response was not completely abolished. Now, we present evidence that a functional T6SS is required to block NF-κB activation during Bpm infection of IECs and this system seems to work in conjunction with the T3SS to modulate the inflammatory response observed during infection of host cells. One intriguing future direction would be to understand the role BicA plays in T6SS function or a regulatory mechanism controlling the T3SS and T6SS. Furthermore, understanding this mechanism would provide a deeper understanding controlling how the pathogen can disseminate from cell to cell before activation of an effective inflammatory response, allowing the pathogen to reach other target organs.

As demonstrated here and elsewhere, Bpm survives and replicates inside host phagocytic and non-phagocytic cells and the mechanisms by which bacterial virulence factors, particularly the T6SS, interfere with host cell signaling are largely unknown. A significant gap in knowledge exists regarding the bacterial regulatory mechanisms
that participate in Bpm intracellular survival, cell-to-cell spread, and MNGC formation in epithelial cells. The completion of the dual RNA-seq analysis provided us with the opportunity to understand the molecular consequences of expressing the T6SS in the intracellular host environment. Further, we were able to identify a mutant Bpm strain in the bicA gene that was defective in plaque formation. The BicA protein has been proposed to form a complex with the regulator BsaN, controlling the expression of genes encoding the T3SS-3, but they have also been proposed as regulators affecting the expression of the T6SS.\(^{41}\) In this study, we wanted to understand the function of differentially regulated genes during Bpm infection in the context of controlling the T6SS, its role on cell-to-cell spread, and MNGCs formation; therefore, we further analyzed BicA and its role affecting T6SS-mediated intracellular virulence.

As any other large transcriptomic analysis that tries to elucidate host-bacterial interactions, our study has some limitations. The first difficulty to interpret our data is that we cannot distinguish between differential host responses due to Bpm disseminating within the cell cytoplasm and those spreading from cell to cell. However, inclusion of the Δhcp1 mutant and subsequent construction of a ΔbicA mutant, allowed us to start dissecting the host responses elicited by the bacteria that gets trapped within the intracellular environment versus the WT strain that quickly hampered the inflammatory response to escape and disseminate to other organs. A second limitation in the interpretation of our data is that the Bpm WT replicates more effectively in the intracellular space than the Δhcp1 mutant, producing higher number of reads analyzed in the RNA-Seq analysis. As a result of this difference, we spent time normalizing the different samples and validating our findings with other in vitro and in vivo experiments to confirm the differences in the virulence phenotypes. Finally, our Bpm infection of IECs was relatively high using an MOI 10, which is significantly higher than a natural infection, but this level of infection guarantees that the differences that we are observing between the Bpm WT and Δhcp1 mutant are identified by the RNA-Seq experiment. As demonstrated in our manuscript, the differential expression of host or bacteria genes were further validated with more in-depth experiments that are helping us to have a better picture about the interactions occurring during intracellular survival of Bpm in IECs.

The advantage of targeting BicA as the putative regulator that has been implicated in control of the T6SS, was to provide us with a better understanding of the genes identified in the dual RNA-Seq but also to establish possible mechanism that Bpm is exerting to control T3SS and T6SS expression and which occurs during intracellular conditions in the intestinal epithelial cells. To start defining the role of BicA in Bpm virulence, we constructed a ΔbicA in the Bpm K96243 background and the initial characterization indicated that this putative regulator represses T6SS genes during host cell invasion. The BicA-mediated regulation of the T6SS was rescued by complementation of the ΔbicA mutant to mimic wild-type levels. Future studies will investigate the details of the regulatory cascade controlling T6SS expression and how this secretion system interacts with the host immune mechanisms for instance, by screening different Bpm strains and applying genome- or transcriptome-wide association studies on the bacterial side, or through genetic manipulation or the application of immunomodulating agents on the host side. Our results about the Bpm pathogenesis in vivo demonstrate the ability of this pathogen to cause disease in animals infected via the oral route. Furthermore, the role of BicA controlling the T3SS during early stages of infection is recapitulated in our acute infection model. Although we did not see the same effect in a chronic infection model, this suggest that other virulence factors, or a well-orchestrated regulatory mechanism controlling secretion systems takes place during the different stages of infection. BicA has been demonstrated to activate the T6SS-associated proteins VirA and VirG, which are both important for the polymerization of actin, thus important for bacterial intercellular motility.\(^{45}\)

In summary, we have used dual RNA-seq to gain insights into the transcriptome structure and mechanisms of gene regulation used by the complex intracellular pathogen, Bpm during GI infection. We provide evidence for the strict regulatory control of bacterial genes whose products are associated in hampering the host inflammatory response. We confirmed a relationship between inhibition of NF-κB activation via TNF-α and T6SS expression. These
findings are opening the door to further studies on the regulation of gene expression in Bpm and its mechanisms of pathogenesis. In general, our study is only one of very few studies characterizing the host-pathogen transcriptome of intracellular bacteria that can inform and provide insights into to the design of novel therapeutics and vaccines that can prevent melioidosis disease.

Methods and materials

Bacterial strain and growth conditions

All bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. B. pseudomallei wild-type (1026b or K96243), mutant, and complemented strains were routinely grown in LB agar plates and broth at 37°C. E. coli NEB-5a and S17-1 λpir were grown in LB agar plates and broth at 37°C, and when appropriate Kanamycin (50 or 100 μg/ml) was added for plasmid selection. For the counter selection, co-integrants were grown in YT medium supplemented with 15% sucrose.

Transposon library

We used transposon mutants constructed in the prototype Bpm strain 1026b. The Bpm transposon library was recently acquired from BEI Resources but this library was originally constructed by Dr. Herbert Schweizer, in collaboration with Dr. Brad Borlee and Dr. Colin Manoil. This collection of mutants was generated using a comprehensive two-allele sequence-defined transposon mutagenesis of Bpm strain 1026b and such library has been used in multiple studies.

Dual RNA-Seq testing and analysis of the data

Bacterial Infection, RNA extraction, and DNase treatment. For RNA-seq analysis, primary IECs were infected as described above with an MOI of 10 for 6 and 12 h with either Bpm WT or Bpm Δhcp1. After infection, cells were detached, lysed, and total RNA was extracted from whole-cell lysates using Direct-zol RNA Kit (Zymogen, USA) following manufacturer’s instructions. To remove contaminating genomic DNA, samples were treated with 0.25 U of DNase I (Fermentas) per 1 μg of RNA for 45 min at 37°C. If applicable, RNA quality was checked on the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies).

tlRNA depletion. Bacterial or eukaryotic host tRNA were removed using the RiboMinus™ Human/Mouse Transcriptome Isolation Kit or Bacterial RiboMinus™ Transcriptome Isolation Kit, respectively. Following the manufacturer’s instructions, approx. 500 ng of total, DNase-I-treated RNA from infection samples was used as an input to the ribosomal transcript removal procedure. tRNA-depleted RNA was precipitated in ethanol for 3 h at −20°C.

cDNA library generation and dual RNA Seq analysis

Bacterial and eukaryotic host tRNA was depleted using the RiboMinus™ Human/Mouse and the

| Table 1. Bacterial strains, primers and plasmid used in this study. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Bacterial strains | Relevant features | Reference |
| B. pseudomallei K96243 wild type | Human clinical isolate from Thailand; ‘lpm’ Caz’ Chl’ Cip’, Augmentin’ Min’ Gen’ Tmp-Smx’ Str’ | 45 |
| B. pseudomallei 1026b wild type | Human clinical isolate from septicemic melioidosis from Thailand | 46 |
| B. pseudomallei K96243 Δhcp1 | Unmarked intragenic deletion in BPSS1498 | 47 |
| B. pseudomallei K96243 ΔtbcA | Unmarked deletion of BPSS1533 | This study |
| B. pseudomallei K96243 ΔtbcA+ΔlicA | Unmarked deletion of BPSS1533, complemented by an unmarked insertion of BPSS1533 | This study |
| B. pseudomallei ΔtollR/ΔhclP (PBK001) | Live-attenuated vaccine strain | This study |
| E. coli NEB-5a | Competent DH5α-derived E. coli strain | New England Biolab |
| E. coli S17-1 λpir | Donor strain contain: TpR SmR recA, thi, pro, hsdR-M+ RP4: 2-Tc Mu: Km Tn7 λpir | |
| Primers | Sequence | Reference |
| pMo130-Forward | GACGAAAGGCCTCCTGTGATAC | This study |
| pMo130-Reverse | TCCGGCGTTCGTTAGAT | This study |
| 1533-3′-Upstream-Forward | GTGATTCGAAACACGCGGAGCGCTACTGGCACTG | This study |
| 1533-3′-Upstream-Reverse | CTCTGGTCGCCGGCAGCCTCCTGTGATTC | This study |
| 1533-Downstream-Forward | AGTTGACGGCCACACACGGAGCGCTACTGGGAAGTCGAGG | This study |
| 1533-Downstream-Reverse | TATCAGAGCGCCCTCGTCGCAGGGGAGC | This study |
| Plasmid | Relevant feature | Reference |
| pMo130 | Suicide vector for allelic exchange in Burkholderia; ColE1 ori, RK2 oriT, xylE, sacB, Km | 46 |
Bacterial RiboMinus™ Transcriptome Isolation Kits (ThermoFisher, Inc). Following the manufacturer’s instructions, approx. 500 ng of total, DNase-I-treated RNA from infection samples was used as an input to the ribosomal transcript removal procedure. Sequencing libraries were prepared from the depleted RNAs with the TruSeq Stranded RNA Prep Kit (Illumina, Inc) following the manufacturer’s protocol, pooled, and sequenced on a NextSeq 550 High-Output Flow cell using the single-end 75 base protocol.

Host cell reads were mapped and quantified using STAR version 2.7.5c\(^3\) using the parameters recommended by the ENCODE consortium. The STAR genome index was built with the ENSEMBL Rnor_6.0 reference genome and the version 101 annotation file. Read counts were obtained with the quantMode GeneCounts STAR option. To obtain pathogen read counts the reads were mapped to the \textit{B. pseudomallei} K96243 reference (GCF_000011545.1) using Bowtie2 version 2.3.4.1 with the – local option.\(^4\) Reads per gene were quantified with FeatureCounts, v2.0.1, from the subread software suite,\(^5\) using the version 132 annotation file obtained from the \textit{Burkholderia} Genome Database.\(^6\) Differential gene expression for the host and pathogen was estimated using the DESeq2 software package, version 1.28.1 following the authors’ vignette.\(^7\)

**Construction of bicA mutant and strain complementation**

All cloning methods were performed using the Gibson assembly system and primers used in this study are listed in Table 1. For the mutant construction, upstream (530 bp) and downstream (530 bp) of \textit{Bpm} K96243 of bicA gene (BPSS1533) were amplified from genomic DNA and purified before assembly into a linearized PCR product of the suicide vector, pMo130 following the manufacturer’s recommendations. Assembled products were transformed into \textit{E. coli} NEB-5a (NEB, Massachusetts) for clonal screening and the plasmids were confirmed by Sanger sequencing at GENEWIZ. The plasmid containing the fusion of upstream and downstream regions of BPSS153 were ultimately transformed into \textit{E. coli} S17-1 λpir donor strain. Mobilizable vector was introduced into \textit{Bpm} K96243 by biparental mating. Overnight culture (500 μl) of donor \textit{E. coli} S17-1 λpir containing upstream-downstream/pMo130 plasmid and 12 h culture of a recipient \textit{Bpm} K96243 (500 μl) were centrifuged separately or combined with equal volume then resuspended the pellet with 100 μl of PBS. The conjugation mixture was spotted on LB agar and incubated at 37°C for 8 h. Following conjugation, the spots were scraped and resuspended with 1 ml of PBS before plating on LB agar supplemented with 500 μg/ml kanamycin and 30 μg/ml of polymyxin B for \textit{Bpm} transformant selection. Plates were incubated for 48 h at 37°C and the isolated colonies were exposed to 0.45 M pyrocatechol for merodiploid screening. Selected yellow colonies were sub-cultured in LB containing 100 μg/ml of kanamycin then incubated at 37°C with shaking for 12 h. Transformant colonies were grown in YT broth supplemented with 15% sucrose for 4 h at 37°C with shaking followed by serial dilution and plating onto YT agar +15% sucrose for resolved \textit{Bpm} co-integrand selection. Following 48 h incubation at 37°C, resulting white colonies after exposure to pyrocatechol as mentioned above were analyzed by PCR, and sequenced to confirm gene deletion.

The \textit{in cis} complementation of the \textit{Bpm} bicA mutant was performed by inserting the \textit{bicA} gene back into \textit{Bpm ΔbicA} strain using similar manner as the \textit{bicA} mutant construction. Purified PCR amplifier of upstream-BPSS153-downstream was assembled to linearized pMo130 vector PCR product using Gibson assembly then transformed to \textit{E. coli} NEB-5a followed by sub-cloning to \textit{E. coli} S17-1 λpir donor strain. The upstream-BPSS153-downstream/pMo130 plasmid was introduced into \textit{Bpm ΔbicA} strain by biparental mating as described above. The clonal selection of complemented \textit{Bpm bicA} mutant was confirmed by PCR and sequencing. Furthermore, the phenotype of both \textit{bicA} mutant and complemented \textit{bicA} was confirmed by plaque formation assay.

**In vitro primary epithelial cells infection, plaque formation assay and immunofluorescence microscopic analysis**

C57BL/6 mouse primary intestinal epithelial cells (Cell Biolistics, Chicago, IL product No. C57-6051), identified by RNAseq results as rat-derived cells
were grown in complete primary cell culture medium (Cell Biologics, product No. M6621) following manufacturer’s protocol. Cells were seeded $5 \times 10^5$ cells/well in gelatin (Cell Biologics, product No. 6950) coated 24-well culture-plate for survival assay, $1 \times 10^6$ cells/well 12-well culture-plate for plaque formation and $2 \times 10^5$ cells/well into pre-gelatin coated cover slips in 12-well culture-plate overnight at 37°C, 5% CO$_2$ incubator. Cells were infected with $Bpm$ K96243 wild type, ΔbicA and the complemented ΔbicA strain at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) 10 for 1 h, then infected cells were washed twice before incubation with media supplemented with 1 mg/ml kanamycin for an additional 1 h for extracellular bacterial killing. After 1 h, cells were washed and media without antibiotic was replaced then incubated for 3, 6, 12 and 24 h. For survival determination of intracellular bacterial cells, cells were washed twice and lysed with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS prior serial diluted and plated onto LB agar. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 48 h then CFUs were quantified and % intracellular replication was calculated by comparing to input of each bacterial strain. For plaque formation assay, cells at 24 h infection were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 30 min and stained with 500 μl Giemsa stain (Gibco) for 30 min. After staining, cells were washed with PBS followed by water before imaging. For immunofluorescence microscopic analysis, after infection, media was removed and replaced with 4% PFA for 30 min. Cells were washed twice with PBS and coverslips were transferred to a new 12-well plate. Fixed cells were lysed with 0.25% Triton X-100 in PBS for 7 min and washed twice with PBS. $Bpm$ bacteria were stained with sera collected from mice previously immunized with $Bpm$ PBK001$^{48}$ live attenuated vaccine at 1:1,000 at RT for 1 h followed by 1:5,000 dilution of goat anti-mouse IgG, IgM, IgA (H + L) secondary antibody conjugated to Alexa Flour 488 (Invitrogen) for an additional 1 h. After washing, actin and DNA of cells were stained with 1:10,000 rhodamine phalloidin and DAPI, respectively, for 1 h. For NF-κB visualization, treated cells were fixed, permeabilized with 0.25% TritonX-100, blocked with 1% Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA), and NF-κB subunit p65 was detected using an anti-p65 antibody (Cell Signaling Technology), followed by Alexa fluorophore 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody (Thermofisher Scientific). Images to the right of bottom two rows represent a magnified view of merged images. Magnified areas are denoted by squares. Coverslips were mounted onto microscopic slides using Prolong diamond antifade mountant (Invitrogen). Slides were visualized with Olympus BX51 upright fluorescence microscope and further analyzed using an ImageJ software, National Institutes of Health.

**Ethics statement**

All manipulations of $Bpm$ were conducted in CDC/USDA-approved and registered biosafety level 3 (BSL3) facility at the University of Texas Medical Branch (UTMB) in accordance with approved BSL3 standard operating practices. The animal studies were carried out humanely in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals by the National Institutes of Health. The protocol (IACUC #0503014D) was approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of UTMB.

**Animal studies**

Female 6-to-8-week-old C57BL/6 mice (n = 48) were purchased from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA) and maintained in an Animal Biosafety Level 3 (ABSL3) facility. Animals were housed in microisolator cages under pathogen-free conditions with food and water available *ad libitum* and maintained on a 12 h light cycle.

**Acute (high-dose) and chronic (low-dose) infection models**

Food was restricted 12 h before infection but was administered throughout the remainder of the study. For the acute model of infection (n = 8/group), animals were infected with $2.5 \times 10^6$ CFU (1 LD$_{50}$) of $Bpm$ WT (K96243), $Bpm$ ΔbicA or $Bpm$ ΔbicA::bicA strain using a plastic oral gavage needle. For the chronic infection model, mice (n = 8/group) were infected with $7.5 \times 10^6$ CFU (3 LD$_{50}$). Infected mice were monitored survival and weight for 21- and 35-days post infection. At the study end point, mice were humanely
euthanized, and the gastrointestinal tract (stomach, small intestine, colon, and cecum), liver and spleen of surviving animals were collected for bacterial enumeration. All organs were homogenized in 1 mL of PBS, serially diluted, and plated in either LB agar (Liver and spleen) or Ashdown selective medium (GI tract) to quantify bacterial loads.

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analysis was done using GraphPad Prism software (v8.0). P-values of <0.05 will be considered statistically significant. Quantitative data is expressed as the mean ± standard error. All data were analyzed for normality before running the corresponding test. Intracellular replication and percent weight change were analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Sidak multiple comparison and Kruskal–Wallis test.
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