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Abstract The severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus (CoV) was identified as the etiological agent of an acute respiratory disease causing atypical pneumonia and diarrhea with high mortality. Different types of SARS-CoV vaccines, including nonreplicative and vectored vaccines, have been developed. Administration of these vaccines to animal model systems has shown promise for the generation of efficacious and safe vaccines. Nevertheless, the identification of side effects, preferentially in the elderly animal models, indicates the need to develop novel vaccines that should be tested in improved animal model systems. Live attenuated viruses have generally proven to be the most effective vaccines against viral infections. A limited number of SARS-CoV attenuating modifications have been described, including mutations, and partial or complete gene deletions affecting the replicase, like the nonstructural proteins (nsp1 or nsp2), or the structural genes, and drastic changes in the sequences that regulate the expression of viral subgenomic mRNAs. A promising vaccine candidate developed in our laboratory was based on deletion of the envelope E gene alone, or in combination with the removal of six additional genes nonessential for virus replication. Viruses lacking E protein were attenuated, grew in the lung, and provided homologous and heterologous protection. Improvements of this vaccine candidate have been directed toward increasing virus titers using the power of viruses with mutator phenotypes, while maintaining the attenuated phenotype. The safety of the live SARS-CoV vaccines is being increased by the insertion of complementary modifications in genes nsp1, nsp2, and 3a, by gene scrambling to prevent the rescue of a virulent phenotype by recombination or remodeling of vaccine genomes based on codon deoptimization using synthetic biology. The newly generated vaccine
candidates are very promising, but need to be evaluated in animal model systems that include young and aged animals.

1 The Disease

The SARS-CoV was identified as the etiological agent of an acute respiratory disease causing atypical pneumonia and diarrhea with an average mortality of 10% [1]. SARS-CoV is a zoonotic virus that crossed the species barrier, most likely originating from bats, and has been grown in other species, notably civets [2–4]. The virus emerged in Guangdong Province, China, in late 2002, and rapidly spread to 32 countries [5–10]. After July 2003, only a few community-acquired and laboratory-acquired SARS cases have been reported (http://www.who.int/csr/sars/en/). Of the human CoVs (HCoVs), such as HCoV-229E, HCoV-OC43, SARS-CoV, HCoV-NL63, and Hong Kong University 1 (HKU1)-CoV, SARS-CoV causes the most severe disease [11, 12].

SARS-CoV–like viruses are circulating in bats from different continents [4, 13–15]. In addition, SARS-CoV could be used as a biological weapon, and it has been declared as a category C priority pathogen by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Biodefense (http://www.niaid.nih.gov/Biodefense/bandc_priority.html). A defined efficacious therapy is not yet available for SARS, and the possibility of a reemergence of the virus due to the presence of a high number of bats in different continents infected with SARS-CoV ancestors is a realistic one. Therefore, the design of an effective and safe vaccine to protect against SARS is still high priority.

2 Types of SARS-CoV Vaccines and Prospects of Protection Against SARS by Vaccination

Several types of SARS vaccines have been developed, including inactivated viruses, subunit vaccines, virus-like particles (VLPs), DNA vaccines, heterologous expression systems, and live attenuated vaccines derived from the SARS-CoV genome by reverse genetics (for recent reviews see [16–19]). This chapter will focus on live attenuated vaccines, i.e., on the development of safe, live, recombinant vaccines based on attenuated SARS-CoV, including biosafety safeguards that can be engineered to assure attenuation.

A preliminary issue is whether previous and current attempts for developing a vaccine to protect against SARS have provided promising results. We believe that this is the case based on the results obtained with different types of vaccines [17–19]. Nevertheless, the vaccines developed so far have not been tested in humans for obvious reasons and, in addition, the animal models used to evaluate
the experimental vaccines do not fully reproduce the clinical signs observed in the natural host. In addition, with few exceptions, the evaluation of these vaccines has been made in young animals, and it has been shown that the outcome of challenge experiments, although positive in young animals, frequently showed side effects when performed in old mice [20, 21]. Recently, animal models have been considerably improved, reproducing most of the pathology observed in humans [22, 23]. In particular, a mouse-adapted SARS-CoV model, selected after fifteen passages in mice (SARS-CoV-MA15), reproduces most clinical signs observed in human infections during the SARS epidemic in 2003, including death of infected mice. This animal model is considered the best available. Therefore, vaccine candidates developed so far may have to be reevaluated in this model using young and aged mice.

2.1 Inactivated and Vectored Vaccines Developed to Prevent SARS

Vaccines based on whole purified inactivated virus have the benefit of presenting a complete repertoire of viral antigens, although inactivated vaccines do not in general provide longlasting immunity. These vaccines provide good protection in mice [24], hamster [25], and partial protection in ferrets [25, 26].

In rhesus monkeys, a formaldehyde-inactivated SARS-CoV vaccine showed partial protection [27, 28]. An inactivated SARS-CoV vaccine was also administered to humans. This vaccine was safe and induced neutralizing antibodies, but no efficacy data have been reported [29]. Overall, inactivated vaccines, based on whole purified virus, induced neutralizing antibodies, were apparently safe at least in young animal models and provided good protection.

Subunit vaccines have the advantage of their simplicity, chemical definition, and lack of potential variability [30]. In the case of SARS-CoV, a great advantage is that well-defined S protein domains binding the cell receptor human angiotensin converting enzyme (hACE2) have provided full immune protection [31–34]. This concept is reinforced by the observation that monoclonal antibodies specific for the receptor binding domain elicited protection in several animal models, including African green monkeys [35–41].

In addition to S protein–derived domains or peptides, protein 3a, a large protein of SARS-CoV exposed on its envelope, also elicits virus neutralizing antibodies [42] and could be useful in improving subunit vaccines. Furthermore, immunity to SARS-CoV has also been demonstrated with virus-like particles (VLP) [43]. Overall, the results obtained with subunit vaccines strongly suggest that protection against SARS by vaccination is feasible.

DNA vaccines are safe and nonexpensive but, often, are not very efficient in large mammals. DNA vaccines induce SARS-CoV neutralizing antibodies and protection in mice [44–47].
The use of viral vectors to protect against SARS has been extensively explored. Adenovirus induced good protection in mice [25]. Modified vaccinia Ankara (MVA) provides protection in mice [35] and ferrets [48] although induction of antibody-dependent enhancement of disease (ADED) was reported. Adeno-associated virus induces long-term protection against SARS-CoV [34]. Parainfluenza virus elicits protection in hamsters and monkeys [49, 50]. Recombinant measles viruses expressing the S protein of SARS-CoV induces neutralizing antibodies and immune responses against SARS-CoV [51]. Newcastle disease recombinant virus expressing the S protein of SARS-CoV induces neutralizing antibodies in African green monkeys immunized via the respiratory tract [52]. A recombinant attenuated vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) protects mice against SARS-CoV challenge 4 months after vaccination [53, 54]. Venezuelan equine encephalitis (VEE) virus expressing the S protein of SARS-CoV induces protection against challenge with virulent virus in the mouse model [20]. Overall, these results indicate that there is a very good prospect for the development of an efficacious and safe vaccine to prevent SARS. Nevertheless, there are relevant aspects that need to be improved in order to achieve a vaccine that can be fully protective and free of side effects both in young and in elderly people.

3 The Virus

SARS-CoV is an enveloped, single-stranded positive-sense RNA virus with a genome of 29.7 kb that belongs to Genus β of the Coronaviridae [55–57] (Fig. 1a). The replicase gene is encoded within the 5’ two-thirds of the SARS-CoV genome, including two overlapping open reading frames (ORF) named ORFs 1a and 1b. The latter is translated by a ribosomal frameshift upstream of the ORF 1a stop codon [58, 59] (Fig. 1b). Translation of both ORFs in the cytoplasm of infected cells results in the synthesis of two polyproteins, pp1a and pp1ab, that are processed by two viral proteinases to yield 16 functional nonstructural proteins (nsps) [60, 61]. These nsps are the components of the membrane-anchored replication–transcription complex [62]. All CoVs encode species-specific accessory genes in their downstream ORFs, with a remarkably conserved order: replicase/transcriptase, spike (S), envelope (E), membrane (M), and nucleocapsid (N). The lipid bilayer envelope contains at least three proteins: E and M that coordinate virion assembly and release, and the large peplomer S (Fig 1a). This glycoprotein is located on the virion surface, conferring the virus characteristic corona shape. S is the main mediator of host cell attachment and entry. SARS-CoV ORFs 3a, 6, 7a, and 7b encode additional virus membrane proteins [63–67]. Other accessory proteins are 8a, 8b and 9b. The functions for most of the accessory proteins are still unclear; however, it is known that some of these proteins influence virus–host interaction and viral pathogenesis [68–70].

For SARS-CoV, hACE2 molecule serves as a receptor [71]; CD209L has also been implicated as an alternative receptor in entry [72].
4 Generation of Recombinant SARS-CoV Vaccines Based on the Deletion or Modification of Genes

Live attenuated viruses have generally proven to be the most effective vaccines against viral infections. The production of effective and safe live attenuated vaccines for animal CoVs has not been satisfactory, largely because vaccine strains are insufficiently immunogenic and, in addition, may recombine, resulting in novel viruses with increased virulence [73–75]. Several groups, including ours, have described modifications to the SARS-CoV that are attenuating. These “domesticated” viruses may be useful platforms to develop inactivated or live vaccines.

In general, for RNA viruses, it is essential to develop a reverse genetic system to develop a virus with an attenuated phenotype. This is certainly the case for coronaviruses that have the largest genome known (around 30 kb) for an RNA virus, increasing the technical difficulty of generating an infectious cDNA. We have developed efficient transmissible gastroenteritis CoV (TGEV) and SARS-CoV reverse genetics systems, by inserting infectious cDNA clones of these viruses into bacterial artificial chromosomes (BACs) [76–80] (Fig. 2a). In this system, the
genomic RNA is expressed in the cell nucleus under the control of a cytomegalovirus promoter (first amplification by the cellular polymerase II), with subsequent amplification in the cytoplasm by the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. This reverse genetics system is highly efficient because it implies two amplification steps. In addition, cDNA stability in the BAC is very high. Soon after the BAC technology was applied to assemble an infectious coronavirus cDNA clone, alternative strategies were developed, including (1) a system to assemble a full-length cDNA construct of the TGEV genome by using adjoining cDNA subclones that have unique, flanking, interconnecting junctions [81]. Transcripts derived from the TGEV cDNA assembled using this approach can be used to derive infectious recombinant virus; (2) a system in which the cloning vector is a poxvirus. Using the genome of this poxvirus including the genome cDNA copy as a template, the viral genome is transcribed in vitro, and infectious virus is recovered from transfected cells [82]; (3) a modified procedure was described in which the coronavirus genomic RNA is transcribed inside cells using a poxvirus genome as a template. To this end, the viral genome is cloned under the control of T7 promoter, and the poxvirus DNA including the infectious cDNA is transfected into cells that are infected with a poxvirus expressing T7 polymerase [83]. The generated transcript reconstitutes an infectious CoV.

In the case of SARS-CoV, several genes have been deleted in order to generate viruses with attenuated phenotypes. Nevertheless, deletion of one or more accessory genes did not significantly attenuate SARS-CoV [88]. Fortunately, we showed that deletion of the E gene, encoding the envelope protein, led to a viable SARS-CoV, indicating that E protein is not essential for virus replication. Interestingly, viruses

---

**Fig. 2** Structure of the infectious SARS-CoV cDNA cloned in a bacterial artificial chromosome and the derived deletion mutants. (a) Schematic structure of the SARS-CoV infectious cDNA of the Urbani strain, cloned in a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC). The infectious cDNA is expressed under the control of the cytomegalovirus promoter (CMV); L leader; the cDNA includes genetic markers (T10338C and T11163A), introduced to differentiate the engineered clone from the wild-type Urbani strain genome; acronyms on the top of the bar indicate gene names, as in Fig. 1. (b) Table with the genes deleted in three SARS-CoV recombinant viruses. Deletion mutants without E gene led to viruses with attenuated phenotypes.
lacking E protein are attenuated, grow in the lung, and are immunogenic in different animal models [79, 85–87].

Modification or deletion of other SARS-CoV genes has also been considered in the design of vaccines to prevent SARS. Some of these genes (nsp1, nsp14, S, and N) are essential for virus replication, while others (3a, 6, 7a, 7b, 8a, 8b, 9b) are nonessential for virus growth in cell culture or in vivo. The design of SARS vaccines based on deletion of SARS-CoV genes is described below. Nevertheless, most attention is given to the deletion or modification of E, nsp1, nsp2, and 3a genes.

SARS-CoV deletion mutants lacking each of ORFs 3a, 3b, 6, 7a, or 7b did not significantly influence in vitro and in vivo replication efficiency in the mouse model [88, 89]. All recombinant viruses replicated to similar wild-type levels, suggesting that either the group-specific ORFs play a limited role in in vivo replication efficiency or that the mouse model used in the evaluation does not meet the requirements to discriminate the activity of group-specific ORFs in disease [88]. In fact, it was unexpected that the deletion of ORFs such as 3a, 7a, and 7b which encode structural proteins [64, 67, 88, 90, 91] would show little influence on virus replication in the mouse model. Only deletion of ORF 3a showed a minor decrease (below tenfold) in virus growth. Furthermore, deletion of combinations of genes, such as deletion of ORFs 3a and 3b, and ORF6, showed a 10–30-fold titer reduction in Vero cells, but showed a limited effect on virus growth in the murine model at day 2 postinfection. Moreover, the simultaneous deletion of larger combinations of group-specific genes such as 6, 7a, 7b, 8a, 8b, and 9b has led to the production of an infectious SARS-CoV deletion mutant that propagated in cell culture with a titer similar to that of the parental wild-type virus and was not attenuated in transgenic mice that expressed the SARS-CoV receptor (hACE2) [85]. Therefore, the effect of SARS-CoV gene deletions needs to be tested in more relevant animal models.

Interestingly, the deletion of the E gene alone, or in combination with the removal of genes 6–9b, led to mutant viruses that seem to be promising vaccine candidates [79, 85–87], and is described next.

### 4.1 Vaccines Based on the Deletion of E Protein

The E gene was nonessential for the genus β MHV CoV [92], although elimination of this gene from the MHV genome reduced virus growth in cell culture more than 1,000-fold. In contrast, for the group 1 TGEV coronavirus, expression of the E gene product was essential for virus release and spread. Propagation of E gene–deleted TGEV (TGEV-ΔE) was restored by providing E protein in trans [93, 94]. A recombinant SARS-CoV (rSARS-CoV) that lacks the E gene, generated from a BAC (Fig. 2b), was recovered in Vero E6 cells with a relatively high titer (around 10^6 pfu/ml) and also from Huh-7 and CaCo-2 cells with low titers, indicating that SARS-CoV E protein is not essential for virus replication in cell culture [79]. Electron microscopy observation of Vero E6 cells infected with the SARS-CoV
wt or the ΔE deletion mutant showed a higher efficiency of assembly and release for the wt virus (Fig. 3). In this respect, SARS-CoV-ΔE behaves like MHV, although SARS-CoV-ΔE grows to a considerably higher titer. Vaccine viability and efficacy require the production of viruses with high titers. Interestingly, adaptation of the rSARS-CoV-ΔE virus to grow in Vero cells after 16 passages led to an increase of virus titers reaching values almost identical to those displayed by the full-length virus (around $10^7$ pfu/ml) [87]. This titer is close to those required for a competitive live attenuated vaccine.

### 4.2 Evaluation of SARS-CoV-ΔE Vaccine Candidate in Different Animal Model Systems

While SARS-CoV infects and replicates in several species, including mice, ferrets, hamsters, and nonhuman primates, most of these animals only develop inapparent or mild disease [95]. An ideal animal model that completely reproduces human...
clinical disease and pathological findings has not been identified. To evaluate the rSARS-CoV-ΔE vaccine candidate, we have used three animal model systems: hamster, transgenic mice expressing the hACE2 receptor for human SARS-CoV, and conventional mice challenged with the mouse-adapted virus [22, 23, 79, 85–87, 96–98]. These animal model systems are complementary.

The hamster model has been used to study SARS-CoV-ΔE virus pathogenicity, because it demonstrates elements present in human cases of SARS-CoV infections including interstitial pneumonitis and consolidation [79, 96, 97]. The hamster model reproducibly supports SARS-CoV replication in the respiratory tract to a higher titer and for a longer duration than in mice or nonhuman primates. Virus replication in this model is accompanied by histological evidence of pneumonitis, and the animals develop viremia and extrapulmonary spread of virus [96]. Although overt clinical disease is absent, the hamster model is a useful model for the evaluation of SARS-CoV infection. Titers of recombinant SARS-CoV (rSARS-CoV) achieved in the respiratory tract of hamsters were similar to those previously reported for the wild-type virus [96] and were at least 100-fold higher than titers of the rSARS-CoV-ΔE virus, suggesting that this mutant virus is attenuated. Histopathological examination of lungs from infected hamsters showed reduced amounts of viral antigen and pulmonary inflammation in rSARS-CoV-ΔE infected than in rSARS-CoV infected animals, indicating that rSARS-CoV-ΔE is attenuated in vivo [79]. In fact, reduction of SARS-CoV titers in patients has been associated with a considerable reduction in pathogenicity and increase in survival rates [99, 100]. rSARS-CoV-ΔE immunized hamsters remained active following wild-type virus challenge while mock immunized displayed decreased activity [86].

The transgenic mice model is based on the production of mice expressing the hACE2, the receptor for human SARS-CoV. Transgenic mice models have been obtained in different laboratories by expressing the hACE2 under the control of different promoters [98, 101]. These mice develop moderate respiratory disease, but overwhelming neurological disease with 100% mortality after intranasal infection with SARS-CoV. As such, they are very useful to assess attenuation and vaccine safety and efficacy. We previously showed that infection of these highly susceptible mice with rSARS-CoV-ΔE, or rSARS-CoV with E and several group-specific protein genes 6, 7a, 7b, 8a, 8b, and 9b deleted (rSARS-CoV-[ΔE,6–9b]) resulted in neither weight loss nor death, even after inoculation with very high virus doses [85].

The mouse-adapted SARS-CoV model used in the evaluation of the rSARS-CoV-ΔE and rSARS-CoV-Δ[E,6–9b] was based on the recent isolation of a SARS-CoV adapted to growth in mice or rats [22, 102, 103]. This model provided a useful system for vaccine evaluation because some strains of mice and rats infected with these viruses develop severe respiratory disease and even death. A mouse-adapted strain was isolated after 15 passages through the lungs of BALB/c mice (MA15 strain) and, unlike the parental Urbani strain of virus, intranasal inoculation with this virus results in signs of respiratory disease with substantial mortality [22]. We showed that immunization with rSARS-CoV-ΔE or SARS-CoV-Δ[E,6–9b] almost completely protected BALB/c mice from fatal
respiratory disease caused by mouse-adapted SARS-CoV (Fig. 4), and partly protected hACE2 transgenic mice from lethal disease [87].

In summary, the immunogenicity and protective efficacy of rSARS-CoV-ΔE has been shown in the three animal model systems described above, hamsters, highly susceptible transgenic mice expressing the hACE2 receptor for human SARS-CoV and conventional mice challenged with the MA15 virus. Interestingly, both homologous and heterologous protection was observed. In fact, hamsters and hACE2 transgenic mice immunized with rSARS-CoV-ΔE developed high serum neutralizing antibody titers and were protected from replication of homologous (SARS-CoV Urbani) and heterologous SARS-CoV (GD03) in the upper and lower respiratory tract [86, 87]. The relevance of this observation is that the GD03 strain of SARS-CoV is one of the serologically most divergent human SARS-CoV identified, in relation to the Urbani strain. In addition, it has been shown that the GD03 strain is closely related to the isolates obtained from animals and if SARS-CoV were to reemerge, it would probably have an animal origin. Despite being attenuated in replication in the respiratory tract, rSARS-CoV-ΔE virus is an immunogenic and efficacious vaccine in hamsters and two mouse models.

4.3 SARS-CoV E Gene Is a Virulence Gene

E gene deletion mutants SARS-CoV-ΔE and SARS-CoV-Δ[E,6–9b] were attenuated in two animal model systems, hamster and transgenic mice, expressing the ACE-2 receptor, as indicated above. In fact, infection with both deletion mutants led to no weight loss, death, or lung immune histopathology, in contrast to infection with virulent SARS-CoV [79, 85–87] (Fig. 5). In addition, a more refined test for virus
virulence was performed with hamsters using the activity wheel, and no decrease of hamster activity was detected 7 days after hamster infection with the SARS-CoVs lacking the E gene, in contrast to those infected with a virus with full-length genome. Furthermore, rSARS-CoV-ΔE did not infect the brain of infected transgenic mice, in contrast to the wt virus. Overall, these data indicate that E is a virulence gene [79, 85].

The potential mechanism of E gene product in virulence has been investigated in our laboratory. We have shown that the expression of E gene drastically reduced the expression of genes involved in stress and unfolded protein responses [104]. A reduction in stress responses has been associated with a decrease in the innate and specific immune responses [105–108]. As a consequence, we have postulated that deletion of the E gene leads to an increased immune response to the virus, reducing its apparent pathogenicity.

4.4 Future Improvement of rSARS-CoV-ΔE Vaccine

Three complementary strategies are being applied to improve the rSARS-CoV-ΔE vaccine:

4.4.1 To Increase Virus Titers While Maintaining the Attenuated Phenotype

To generate an efficient inactivated or live modified vaccine, virus titers need to be high in order to obtain an economically competitive vaccine. To increase virus titers, we propose a novel approach based on previous findings showing that coronavirus genomes encoding a mutated nsp14 3'-5'-exonuclease (ExoN) display...
a mutator phenotype [109]. The engineered SARS-CoV with a mutated or deleted E protein will be modified to include an ExoN that causes the accumulation of mutations throughout the viral genome. The mutated viruses will be passed in cell culture by infecting cells with the highest virus dilution possible. These dilutions should contain only those mutant viruses with the highest titer. Therefore, we expect that serial passages of these dilutions will select virus clones with high titers. Once the desired virus titers have been achieved, it will be confirmed that the high titer viruses are still attenuated in vivo. Virus evolution will be reverted to standard levels by replacing the mutator nsp14 by the native one using the infectious cDNA clone [110]. Selected viruses will be tested for protection as previously described.

4.4.2 Deletion of a Second Gene That Interferes with Host-Immune Response

We have previously shown that rSARS-CoV-ΔE elicited protective immune responses [86, 87]. At the same time, we and others have also shown that it was possible to delete additional nonessential genes to generate viable SARS-CoV [85, 88]. Some of the additionally deleted genes are involved in the inhibition of IFN activation [68, 111]. We propose to delete some of these genes and determine whether removal of any of them increases the immune response to the vaccine candidate.

4.4.3 Construction of rSARS-CoV Mutants with Modified E Protein (E*) Eliciting Higher Immune Responses to the Virus Than rSARS-CoV Without E Protein

SARS-CoV E protein reduced stress, unfolded protein, and immune responses to the virus. We have postulated that efforts to enhance assembly (and levels of viral protein) without diminishing the stress response, which is increased in the absence of E, might increase immunogenicity without compromising safety. As a consequence, we propose the construction of rSARS-CoV mutants with modified E protein (E*) eliciting higher immune responses to the virus than rSARS-CoV-ΔE. In these mutants, an E* coding gene fully functional in virus morphogenesis is inserted within the viral genome. The approach is based on the previous identification of host proteins binding SARS-CoV E protein, influencing virus-induced stress response and the immune response to the virus. E protein ligands were identified by co-immune precipitation and mass spectrometry studies, as we have previously reported [112], and by yeast two-hybrid technologies [113]. The effect of these proteins on the stress and immune response has been identified. We propose to modify specific E protein domains, in order to prevent virus–host cell interactions that counteract the induction of a strong immune response by rSARS-CoV vaccines.
4.5  **Live SARS-CoV Vaccines Based on Viruses Attenuated by Modification of Structural or Nonstructural Proteins**

We will focus on the modification of three SARS-CoV proteins, as previous findings on these proteins indicate that they are not fully essential for virus viability, and that their modification may lead to attenuated viruses.

4.5.1  **Modification of the Replicase nsp1 Gene**

Most of the experimental information on the influence of coronavirus replicase protein modification in attenuation has been obtained changing nsp1 and nsp2 [114–119]. In the case of SARS-CoV, it has been shown that nsp1 significantly inhibited IFN-dependent signaling by decreasing the phosphorylation levels of STAT1 while having little effect on those of STAT2, JAK1, and TYK2 [115]. A modification of SARS-CoV nsp1 (mutations R124S and K125E) resulted in a virus that replicated as efficiently as wild-type virus in cells with a defective IFN response, while its replication was strongly attenuated in cells with an intact IFN response [115]. Thus, it is likely that nsp1 mutants will lose virulence and have a reduced pathogenicity.

Alternatively, mutations or deletions in the nsp1 gene could be introduced, similar to those described in the MHV replicase [114, 116] that led to an attenuated CoV phenotype. These types of mutants could be investigated for their relevance in the generation of attenuated SARS-CoV phenotypes that could be tested for vaccine candidates.

4.5.2  **Modification of Replicase nsp2 Gene**

Deletion of nsp2 in MHV and SARS-CoV viruses caused 0.5–1 log10 reductions in peak titers in single-cycle growth assays, as well as a reduction in viral RNA synthesis and growth [117, 119]. These findings indicate that nsp2 is not essential for virus replication and that its deletion may lead to viruses with an attenuated phenotype. In addition, recent studies with MHV and HCoV-229E suggest that this protein may have functions in pathogenesis [117, 120]. Therefore, nsp2 seems a promising candidate to complement the safety of a rSARS-CoV-ΔE vaccine.

4.5.3  **Modification of Protein 3a**

This O-glycosylated accessory protein of 274 amino acids forms a K⁺-permeable channel-like structure [91]. It is not essential for growth in tissue culture cells, but deletion of the 3a gene leads to a small (5–10-fold reduction) virus titer reduction both in vitro and in vivo [88]. Protein 3a may also be involved in triggering high levels of proinflammatory cytokine and chemokine production [121–123], and its deletion may reduce SARS-CoV virulence. Gene 3a maps at a distal position from
genes nsp1 or nsp2. Therefore, a recombination event that restores the wild phenotype for gene 3a and genes nsp1 or nsp2 in one event seems very unlikely.

5 Development of a SARS-CoV Vaccine by Modification of the Transcription-Regulating Sequences

Coronavirus transcription is regulated by highly conserved sequences preceding each gene. These transcriptional regulatory sequences (TRSs) are almost identical to sequences located at the 5' end of the genome, just downstream of the leader sequence. The TRS preceding each gene encodes a complementary sequence in the newly synthesized RNA of negative polarity. These RNAs have to hybridize with the TRS located next to the leader in the process of discontinuous RNA synthesis, typical of CoVs. An alternative approach for developing safer, recombination-resistant live coronavirus vaccines has been developed by Baric’s group [84]. The novel procedure involves the modification of the TRSs in a SARS-CoV vaccine strain, to a sequence incompatible with the TRS of any known circulating CoVs. It was postulated that recombinant events between wt coronaviruses and TRS remodeled SARS-CoV would result in genomes containing incompatible mixed regulatory sequences that block expression of subgenomic mRNAs. Using a molecular clone, the SARS-CoV TRS network was remodeled from ACGAAC to CCGGAT [84]. This rewiring of the genomic transcription network allows efficient replication of the mutant virus, icSARS-CRG. The icSARS-CRG recombinant virus replicated to titers equivalent to wt virus and expressed the typical ratios of subgenomic mRNAs and proteins. It has been shown that this vaccine candidate provides protection against challenge with virulent SARS-CoV.

6 Potential Side Effects of SARS-CoV Vaccines

Previous studies using animal CoVs have provided experimental evidence for humoral [124–133] and T cell–mediated responses to animal coronaviruses that exacerbate disease [134], as previously summarized [17]. This safety concern was increased in the case of SARS-CoV by two studies. In one report [135], antibodies that neutralized most human SARS-CoVs also enhanced virus entry mediated by two civet cat SARS-CoVs. These viruses had S glycoproteins related to the SARS-CoV GD03 isolate. In a second report, it has been shown that the administration of MVA-based SARS-CoV S vaccine into ferrets, but not MVA alone, followed by live SARS-CoV challenge, resulted in enhanced hepatitis [136]. Nevertheless, these side effects have not been described in other studies with SARS-CoV in mice, hamster, ferrets, and African green monkeys [24, 35, 36, 44, 96, 137–140].

In general, immunization with vaccine candidates has resulted in the absence of side effects. Nevertheless, there are still three concerns that remain unaddressed.
One is that specific viral proteins, such as SARS-CoV N expressed by a Venezuelan Equine Encephalitis (VEE) virus vector has resulted in enhanced immunopathology following viral challenge [20], similar to the immune pathology observed following vaccination with formalin-inactivated respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) [141–143]. A second main concern is the observation that SARS-CoV vaccines that provide protection in the absence of side effects in young mice show immunopathological complications in aged mice [20]. A third consideration is that most vaccine candidates have been tested in animal models that do not fully reproduce the clinical symptoms observed in humans, and, with one exception, no phase I clinical trials in humans have been performed. Therefore, SARS vaccine candidates would require additional rigorous clinical and immunological evaluation, using the SARS-CoV mouse-adapted virus model, and potential side effect assessment both in young and in aged animals.

7 Future Trends to Increase Biosafety of Live Modified SARS-CoV Vaccines

Live virus vaccine formulations should include rational approaches to minimize the potential reversion to the wt phenotype and simultaneously resist recombination repair. In principle, a combination of SARS-CoV genome modifications could lead to viruses with an attenuated phenotype that could be considered safe and effective vaccine candidates.

While rSARS-CoV-DE or the selected rSARS-CoV-E* will be attenuated, in principle, reversion to the virulent phenotype could take place by the reintroduction of the E gene into the virus, by recombination with a closely related coronavirus present in the environment. Furthermore, it cannot be excluded that compensatory mutations increasing virus fitness could cause reversion to the virulent phenotype. To minimize these possibilities, additional modifications have to be introduced into the final vaccine candidate, including the modifications of ORFs encoding proteins nsp1, nsp2, or 3a, described above. The advantage of combining deletions or mutations in the E protein with those in nsp1 or nsp2 ORFs reside in that these genes map into distal positions of the genome (more than 20 kb 5' separation), making it very unlikely that a single recombination event could restore the wt virus phenotype. In addition, other creative reorganizations of the virus genome have been described that could increase SARS-CoV safety (described below).

7.1 Gene Scrambling to Prevent the Rescue of a Virulent Phenotype by Recombination

CoVs have a characteristic, strictly conserved genome organization with genes occurring in the order 5’-Pol-S-E-M-N-3’. MHV virus mutants with the genes encoding the structural proteins located in a different order were constructed, and
it was shown that the canonical coronavirus genome organization is not essential for
in vivo replication [144]. Some of the mutants showed an attenuated phenotype.
Interestingly, rearrangement of the viral genes may be useful in the generation of
CoV with reduced risk of generating viable viruses by recombination with circulating
field viruses. In fact, potential recombination between viruses with different
gene orders most likely will lead to nonviable viruses lacking essential genes.

7.2 Vaccines Based on Codon Deoptimization of Viral Genome

As a result of the degeneracy of the genetic code, all but two amino acids in the
protein coding sequence can be encoded by more than one synonymous codon. The
frequencies of synonymous codon used for each amino acid are unequal and have
coevolved with the cell’s translation machinery to avoid excessive use of subopti-
mal codons, which often correspond to rare or otherwise disadvantaged tRNAs
[145, 146]. This results in a phenomenon termed “synonymous codon bias” which
varies greatly between evolutionarily distant species [147]. While codon optimiza-
tion by recombinant methods has been widely used to improve cross-species
expression, the opposite direction of reducing expression by intentional introduc-
tion of suboptimal synonymous codons has seldom been chosen [146].

De novo gene synthesis with the aim of designing stably attenuated polioviruses
and SARS-CoV is a novel strategy to construct virus variants containing synthetic
replacements of virus coding sequences by deoptimizing synonymous codon usage.
Infection with equal amounts of poliovirus particles revealed a neuroattenuated
phenotype and a striking reduction of the specific infectivity of poliovirus particles
[145]. Similar attempts have been made by Baric’s group to design SARS-CoV
vaccines. These vaccine candidates provide protection in the mouse model system
after challenge with virulent virus (Ralph Baric, personal communication). Due to
the distribution effect of many silent mutations over large genome segments,
codon-deoptimized viruses should have genetically stable phenotypes, and they
may prove suitable as attenuated substrates for the production of vaccines.

8 Concluding Remarks

The production of effective and safe vaccines for animal coronaviruses, previously
reported, has not been satisfactory [17, 18, 73, 74]. In contrast, the production of
inactivated, subunit, vaccines based on DNA and recombinant vectors or vaccines
generated by reverse genetics using SARS-CoV genomes seem more promising.
Vaccine candidates need to be tested in the SARS-CoV mouse-adapted model, and
in macaques, in all cases using both young and aged animals. Later, the absence of
side effects and safety has to be assessed in human phase I clinical trials.
Vaccine manufacturers have the tendency to use well-defined inactivated vaccines. Unfortunately, this approach has limited efficacy and elicits immune responses with relatively short immunological memory. A possible balance between efficacy and safety is the development of RNA replication-competent propagation-defective vaccine candidates, based on viral replications that can generate one-cycle viruses using packaging cell lines [148].
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