Modified a Colony Forming Unit Microbial Adherence to Hydrocarbons Assay and Evaluated Cell Surface Hydrophobicity and Biofilm Production of Vibrio scophthalmi
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Abstract

Vibrio scophthalmi has been considered as an opportunistic pathogen of the flat fish. There is little information available on V. scophthalmi adherence to the host, an important step in the initial infection process. The objectives of this study were to (1) develop a modified Microbial Adherence to Hydrocarbons (MATH) assay using Colony Forming Unit (CFU) method and evaluate the cell surface hydrophobicity of V. scophthalmi, (2) detect slime layer and biofilm production of V. scophthalmi and (3) investigate the motility and antibiotic susceptibility associated with biofilm production of V. scophthalmi. The results showed that cell surface hydrophobicity of V. scophthalmi was moderate by Salt Aggregation Test (SAT) and MATH assay. The fraction partitioned to the hydrocarbon phase (%Adh) was determined significantly higher by modified CFU MATH assay than that by classical spectrophotometer MATH assay and microscope MATH assay. V. scophthalmi produced slime layer and biofilm. The optimal biofilm production conditions for V. scophthalmi were: BHI media or TSB supplemented with 2% NaCl and 0-0.5% glucose and incubation for 24 h. The level of biofilm production is related with the pathogenicity of V. scophthalmi and antibiotics susceptibility. V. scophthalmi was motile with flagellum-mediated swimming and type IV pilus-mediated twitching, but no swarming.
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Introduction

Vibrio scophthalmi has been found widely in sea water and in many marine fish, such as common dentex (Dentex dentex L.) [1,2], olive flounder (Paralichthys olivaceus) [3] and turbot (Scophthalmus maximus) [4]. V. scophthalmi is an opportunistic pathogen of flat fish, primarily infecting olive flounder and turbot [2,5]. There is little information available on the virulence factors of V. scophthalmi, such as adhesion to the host, survival ability in fish serum and skin mucus and extracellular proteins.

Microbial adhesion to surfaces is an important step in the initial infection process [6]. Cell surface hydrophobicity and cell surface charge of bacteria have been recognized as measurable physicochemical variables for evaluating the attachment of bacteria to host cells [7,8]. The hydrophobic cell surface may provide advantageous associating sites to bacteria in vivo by increasing resistance to phagocytosis or favoring colonization in tissues of the host. A variety of techniques are applied to evaluate the cell surface hydrophobicity. The Salt Aggregation Test (SAT) uses a salting-out agent to induce aggregation of cells for determining bacterial cell surface hydrophobicity [9]. Another commonly used technique is the Microbial Adherence to Hydrocarbons (MATH), previously called BATH (Bacterial Adherence to Hydrocarbons) by Rosenberg et al. [10]. The MATH protocol involves vortexing bacterial suspension in the presence of liquid hydrocarbon. During this process, the hydrocarbon breaks up into small droplets. Some microorganisms adhere to the droplets and then rise with the hydrocarbon droplet during droplet coalescence and phase segregation. The fraction of adhering microorganisms is evaluated by comparing the initial and final absorbance values of the aqueous microbial suspension. MATH can measure complicated interplay of long-range van der Waals, electrostatic forces and various short-range interactions [11]. Although the classical MATH assay is widely used, it correlates poorly with other hydrophobicity assays [12]. Recently, Zoueki et al. [13] developed a modified microscope MATH assay instead of the classical MATH assay. It can reduce the negative effect associated with the presence of oil droplets in the aqueous suspension in the classical MATH assay. In the modified microscope MATH assay, microscopic examination of the aqueous suspension and direct cell counts provides cell concentrations that are free of interference from hydrocarbon droplets [13]. However, the assay is time consuming and assay results are sometimes influenced by the bacteria which moved quickly under microscope. To avoid inaccurate enumeration in the microscope MATH assay, a more accurate and time saving assay is needed for determination of hydrophobicity. The objective of this study was to develop a modified MATH assay using Colony Forming Unit (CFU) method and evaluate the cell surface hydrophobicity of V. scophthalmi through SAT and MATH assays. In addition, biofilm is a determining factor in the adhesive process and survival of pathogens in cells [14]. A second objective of this study was to evaluate slime layer and biofilm production of V. scophthalmi. Ultimately, the study was conducted to investigate the motility and antibiotic susceptibility associated with biofilm production of V. scophthalmi.
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Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and culture media

Two strains A19008 and A19010 were isolated from kidney and spleen of cultured diseased olive flounder using Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA) supplemented with 2% NaCl (ST) and Thioulate-Citrate-Bile-Sucrose (TCBS) at 27°C and preserved under -80°C at the Fish Disease and Prevention Laboratory, Pukyong National University, Korea. These 2 strains were identified as *V. scophthalmi* according to phenotypic characteristics, 16S rRNA and DNAJ gene sequences analysis in our previous study. Other reference strains *E. coli* JM089, *V. scophthalmi* CECT 1797 and Escherichia coli J 089 (ATCC 29522) were used as controls in this study. Bacteria were routinely grown in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB) or ST at 27°C and preserved under -80°C at the Fish Disease and Prevention Laboratory, Pukyong National University, Korea. These 2 strains were identified as *V. scophthalmi* according to phenotypic characteristics, 16S rRNA and DNAJ gene sequences analysis in our previous study. Other reference strains *E. coli* JM089, *V. scophthalmi* CECT 1797 and Escherichia coli J089 (ATCC 29522) were used as controls in this study. Bacteria were routinely grown in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB) or ST at 27°C and *E. coli* J089 in TSA at 37°C for 24 h prior to the experiments.

Bacterial autoagglutination assay

Cell autoagglutination ability was detected as described by Gogra et al. [15]. Briefly, the cultured shaking medium was vortexed and allowed to stand at room temperature for 2 h. Changes in absorbance were monitored at 600 nm using the microtiter plate reader Infinite 200 (Tecan, Switzerland) by taking the upper culture medium into a 96-well polystyrene flat plate. Autoagglutination ability was determined as percent autoagglutination (%AAg) using the formula %AAg = 1 - (A/A0)], where A and A0 were the absorbencies of the cultured media at 0 and 2 h, respectively. Strains with %AAg ≥70%, 50-70% and <50% were arbitrarily designated as high, moderate and low autoagglutination, respectively.

Salt aggregation test

Bacterial suspensions and ammonium sulfate (NH₄)₂SO₄ solutions used in the Salt Aggregation Test (SAT) were prepared in phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH6.8). Molarities of ammonium sulfate ranged from 4.0 to 0.002 mol l⁻¹ [9]. The SAT value was recorded as the lowest molarity of ammonium sulfate giving visible bacterial aggregation when evaluating bacterial cell surface hydrophobicity. Strains with SAT values >4.0 mol l⁻¹, 2.0-4.0 mol l⁻¹, 1.0-2.0 mol l⁻¹ and 0.0-1.0 mol l⁻¹ were designated as no, low, moderate and high hydrophobicity, respectively.

Microbial adhesion to hydrocarbons (MATH) assay

MATH assay was performed with hydrophobic hydrocarbon n-hexadecane (Sigma, purity >99%). The adherence was measured by recording the absorbance changes (classical spectrophotometer MATH assay, SPE) [16] at 600 nm, by counting bacterial cell number changes with haemocytometer (modified microscope MATH assay, MIC) [13] or by counting CFU in ST plate (TSA medium supplemented with 2% NaCl). For the modified CFU MATH assay, the bacterial concentration was evaluated by CFU instead of measuring absorbance or counting bacterial cells under the microscope. The fraction partitioned to the hydrocarbon phase (%Adh) was calculated as: %Adh = [1 - (Caqueous phase/ Coriginal bacterial suspension)] × 100, where C means the absorbance (OD₆₅₀) or bacterial cell number (cell ml⁻¹) or CFU ml⁻¹ of the suspension. Strains with % Adh ≥70%, 50-70% and <50% were arbitrarily classified as high, moderate and low hydrophobicity, respectively. All tests were repeated three times.

Slime layer production assay

Qualitative detection of slime layer production was studied by culturing strains on Congo Red Agar plates (CRA) [17]. All strains were inoculated onto the surface of CRA plates, incubated at 27°C for 24 h and then incubated overnight at room temperature [18]. The bacteria producing slime layer appeared as black colonies, whereas non-slime producers remained non-pigment [19].

Biofilm production assay

Biofilm production was assessed on polystyrene microtiter plates as described by Stepanovic et al. [20,21]. The biomass of biofilm was quantified by measuring the absorbance of the solubilized dye at 570 nm. The optical density (ODs) obtained by the mean of the absorbance from nine wells of three times was compared with the absorbance of negative controls (ODnc), ODnc = average OD₅₇₀ of negative control + (3 × SD of negative control). Final ODs value of the assayed strain was expressed as average OD₅₇₀ value of the strain reduced by ODnc value (ODs = average OD₅₇₀ of a strain - ODnc). ODnc value was calculated for each microtiter plate separately. The levels of biofilm production were defined as: ODs ≤ ODnc, no; ODnc < ODs ≤ 2ODnc, weak; 2ODnc < ODs ≤ 4ODnc, moderate; and ODs > 4ODnc, strong biofilm

| Characteristics | Strains |
|-----------------|---------|
| Adhesion        | A19008  | A19010  | CECT 4638 | CAIM 1797 | JM 089 |
| Hydrophobicity  |         |         |          |           |        |
| Autoagglutination (%) | 29.6 ± 5.7 (L) | 10.5 ± 4.8 (L) | 1.36 ± 1.2 (L) | 4.3 ± 3.5 (L) | 22.9 ± 8.3 (L) |
| SAT (mol l⁻¹)   | 0.2 (S) | 0.9 (S) | 0.9 (S)  | 0.9 (S)   | 0.9 (S) |
| MATH (% partition) | 47.3 ± 1.4 | -1.1 ± 6.1 (L) | -42.2 ± 6.2 (L) | -71.1 ± 2.9 (L) | -74.9 ± 3.5 (L) |
| MIC             | 23.4 ± 6.9 (L) | 19.2 ± 6.1 (L) | 36.7 ± 19.1 (L) | 26.3 ± 19.4 (L) | 32.5 ± 10.6 (L) |
| CFU             | 56.7 ± 3.2 (M) | 81.9 ± 2.3 (S) | 82.5 ± 2.6 (S) | 75.9 ± 2.6 (S) | 51.9 ± 3.1 (M) |
| Slime layer     | +       | +       | +        | +         | +       |
| Biofilm production (OD₅₇₀) | 1.23 ± 0.14 | 1.20 ± 0.41 | 1.12 ± 0.24 | 1.09 ± 0.18 | Overflow |
| Motility        |         |         |          |           |        |
| Swimming (mm)   | 9.0 ± 0.3 (+) | 5.6 ± 1.0 (+) | 3.3 ± 0.6 (+) | 4.1 ± 0.6 (+) | ND     |
| Swarming        | -       | -       | -        | -         | -       |
| Twisting        | ++      | +       | +        | +         | +       |
| MIC (norfloxacin) (µg ml⁻¹) | 10.1     | 2.0     | 2.0    | 2.0     | ND     |
| MBC (norfloxacin) (µg ml⁻¹) | 16.9     | 16.9    | 16.9   | 16.9    | 16.9   |

SAT: Salt Aggregation Test; MATH: Microbial Adhesion to Hydrocarbons; SPE: Spectrophotometer; MIC: Microscope; CFU: Colony Forming Unit; MIC: Minimum Inhibitory Concentration; MBC: Minimum Bactericidal Concentration; L: Low; M: Moderate; S: Strong; ND: Not Done; +: Positive; ++: Strong Positive; -: Negative; Data are expressed as mean ± SD.

Table 1: Characteristics of live cells of *Vibrio scophthalmi*. 
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production. The optimal biofilm production conditions including incubation time, culture media, NaCl and glucose concentrations, were determined.

**Motility assay**

Swimming: Tryptone swimming plates were made up of 1% tryptone, 0.5% NaCl and 0.3% agar. Plates were inoculated with a bacterial colony with a sterile toothpick and plates were incubated at 25°C for 18 h. Motility was then assessed qualitatively by examining the circular turbid zone formed by the bacterial cells migrating away from the point of inoculation.

Swarming: Swarming plates were composed of 0.5% Bacto Agar and 8 g of nutrient broth/liter (both from Difco, Mich.) supplemented with 0.5% glucose (w:v) and 2% NaCl and dried at room temperature overnight [22]. Plates were inoculated with a sterile toothpick and the plates were incubated at 25°C for 24 h.

Twitching: Plates were stabbed with a toothpick through a thin (approximately 3 mm) LB agar layer (1% agar) to the bottom of the petri dish. After incubation at 25°C for 24 h, a zone of growth at the interface was examined by removing the agar, washing unattached cells and then staining the attached cells with 1% crystal violet solution (w:v) for 15 min and washing with water until colorless.

**Determination of multiple antibiotic resistances**

The assay was conducted using the disk-diffusion technique of National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standard [24]. The antibiotics and concentrations were listed in Table 2. According to antibiotic resistance assay, all strains were sensitive to norfloxacin. To further demonstrate the antibiotic susceptibility of these strains with different biofilm production, the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) of norfloxacin against these strains were evaluated separately. MIC was recorded as the minimum concentration of norfloxacin at which microbial growth was inhibited and MBC determined after cultured on Mueller Hinton Agar (MHA, Difco) at 27°C for 48 h.

**Results**

**Bacterial autoagglutination ability**

The percent autoagglutination of 4 strains (V. scophthalmi A19008, A19010, CECT 4638, CAIM 1797 and E. coli JM089) were 29.6, 10.5, 1.3, 4.3 and 22.9%, respectively (Table 1). All strains showed low autoagglutination ability.

**SAT value**

SAT values of strain V. scophthalmi A19008, A19010, CECT 4638, CAIM 1797 and E. coli JM089 were 0.2, 0.9, 0.9, 0.9 and 0.9 mol l⁻¹ (Table 1), showing strong positive cell surface hydrophobicity.

| Growth conditions | Biofilm production (OD₅₇₀) |
|-------------------|--------------------------|
|                   | Negative | A19008 | A19010 | CECT 4638 | CAIM 1797 | JM089 |
| Culture medium    |          |        |        |           |           |       |
| BHB   | 0.10 ± 0.03 | 2.23± 0.28 | 2.01± 0.39 | 2.21± 0.37 | 1.31± 0.28 | 2.78± 0.20 |
| LB    | 0.09 ± 0.03 | 0.83± 0.19 | 0.82± 0.16 | 0.74± 0.26 | 0.48± 0.11 | 0.49± 0.11 |
| M63   | 0.09 ± 0.02 | 0.12± 0.01 | 0.11± 0.01 | 0.10± 0.01 | 0.14± 0.02 | 0.16± 0.02 |
| NB    | 0.13 ± 0.13 | 0.30± 0.10 | 0.24± 0.03 | 0.33± 0.12 | 0.19± 0.01 | 0.46± 0.16 |
| TSB   | 0.10 ± 0.02 | 2.73± 0.14 | 2.00± 0.41 | 2.03± 0.24 | 2.44± 0.15 |          |
| Culture time (h) |          |        |        |           |           |       |
| 0     | 0.22 ± 0.04 | 0.21± 0.02 | 0.25± 0.05 | 0.24± 0.02 | 0.22± 0.04 | 0.23± 0.02 |
| 6     | 0.12 ± 0.01 | 0.11± 0.01 | 0.10± 0.00 | 0.10± 0.00 | 0.11± 0.00 | 0.32± 0.05 |
| 12    | 0.12 ± 0.01 | 0.14± 0.02 | 0.12± 0.01 | 0.12± 0.01 | 0.12± 0.01 | 2.09± 0.68 |
| 18    | 0.11 ± 0.03 | 0.36± 0.04 | 0.12± 0.04 | 0.10± 0.28 | 1.29± 0.01 |          |
| 24    | 0.12 ± 0.01 | 1.62± 0.03 | 0.60± 0.14 | 1.13± 0.09 | 1.54± 0.41 |          |
| 36    | 0.11 ± 0.01 | 0.31± 0.06 | 0.34± 0.10 | 0.85± 0.14 | 0.34± 0.20 |          |
| 48    | 0.10 ± 0.01 | 0.22± 0.17 | 0.27± 0.05 | 0.86± 0.08 | 0.30± 0.15 |          |
| NaCl concentration (%) | 0.5 | 0.07 ± 0.01 | 0.08± 0.00 | 0.07± 0.04 | 0.06± 0.00 | 0.06± 0.00 |
| 1     | 0.07 ± 0.01 | 0.08± 0.00 | 0.08± 0.00 | 0.11± 0.00 | 0.08± 0.06 | 2.33± 0.11 |
| 2     | 0.07 ± 0.01 | 1.43± 0.17 | 0.75± 0.32 | 1.32± 0.26 | 0.59± 0.26 |          |
| 3     | 0.07 ± 0.01 | 0.07± 0.01 | 0.07± 0.01 | 0.07± 0.00 | 0.07± 0.02 |          |
| 4     | 0.07 ± 0.01 | 0.14± 0.03 | 0.11± 0.02 | 0.08± 0.01 | 0.09± 0.01 | 0.09± 0.01 |
| Glucose concentration (%) | 0.05 | 0.07 ± 0.01 | 1.99± 0.15 | 0.70± 0.14 | 0.99± 0.18 | 1.57± 0.24 |
| 0.20 | 0.12 ± 0.03 | 1.39± 0.15 | 1.41± 0.34 | 0.36± 0.23 | 1.69± 0.27 | 2.48± 0.15 |
| 0.50 | 0.11 ± 0.03 | overflow | overflow | overflow | overflow | overflow |
| 0.75 | 0.07 ± 0.01 | 1.10± 0.04 | 1.28± 0.11 | 1.14± 0.01 | 1.10± 0.02 | 1.13± 0.04 |
| 1    | 0.10 ± 0.03 | 1.23± 0.06 | 1.20± 0.13 | 1.12± 0.32 | 1.09± 0.09 | overflow |
| 1.5  | 0.10 ± 0.03 | 1.35± 0.02 | 0.40± 0.03 | 0.20± 0.22 | 0.65± 0.14 | 1.34± 0.12 |

BHB: Brain-Heart Infusion Broth (BBL, USA); LB: Luria-Bertani broth; M63 was made as follows: 68 g l⁻¹ KH₂PO₄, 10 g l⁻¹ (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.246 g l⁻¹ MgSO₄ • 7H₂O, 2.5 mg l⁻¹ FeSO₄ • 7H₂O, 2 g l⁻¹ D-glucose; NB: Nutrate Broth (Difco, USA); TSB: Tryptic Soy Broth (Bacto, USA). All the above media were supplemented with final 2% NaCl. Data are expressed as mean ± SD. Means in the same strain with different superscript are significantly different (p < 0.05) between growth conditions for one treatment (e.g. medium).

Table 2: Biofilm production of Vibrio scophthalmi under the different growth conditions.
MATH assay

**Classical SPE MATH assay:** Fraction partitioned to hydrocarbon (%Adh) was 47.3% for *V. scophthalmi* A19008, -1.1% for A19010, -42.2% for CECT 4638, -71.1% for CAIM 1797 and -74.9% for *E. coli* JM089, respectively (Table 1).

**Modified MIC MATH assay:** The %Adhs for *V. scophthalmi* A19008, A19010, CECT 4638, CAIM 1797 and *E. coli* JM089 were 23.4%, 19.2%, 36.7%, 26.3% and 32.5%, respectively (Table 1). The %Adh obtained from the modified MIC MATH assay was higher than that by classical SPE MATH method.

**Modified CFU MATH assay:** Although bacterial cells were counted under a microscope, it was difficult to obtain accurate counts since bacterial cells moved rapidly. To avoid the erroneous effect caused by hydrocarbon droplets in the classical SPE MATH assay and by the motility of bacterial cells in the modified MIC MATH assay, the modified CFU MATH assay was used for directly counting of non-adhered bacteria through plate culture. The %Adh in CFU MATH assay was significantly higher than the former two MATH assays. The %Adhs of 5 strains *V. scophthalmi* A19008, A19010, CECT 4638, CAIM 1797 and *E. coli* JM089 was 56.7%, 81.9%, 82.5%, 79.5% and 51.9%, respectively (Table 1).

**Comparison of different methods for assessing hydrophobicity**

Hydrophobicity depended considerably on the method used. For example, *V. scophthalmi* A19008 showed strong hydrophobicity using the SAT assay, low hydrophobicity using the classical SPE and modified MIC MATH assays and moderate hydrophobicity when using the modified CFU MATH assay. In order to find why results were different, the bacterial suspension was observed under the microscope after mixing with hexadecane. A microscopic image of a suspension containing droplets placed on a glass slide was shown in Figure 1. The image demonstrated: (i) hydrocarbon droplets and bacterial cells could be easily distinguished (Figure 1A and B); (ii) droplets appeared in the aqueous bacterial suspension (Figure 1A); (iii) bacteria appeared in the upper

### Table 3: Results of antibiotics susceptibility of *Vibrio scophthalmi*.

| Antibiotics (content: μg slip⁻¹) | Diameter of inhibiting ring (mm) (antibacterial activity) |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
|                                 | A19008 | A19010 | CECT 4638 | CAIM 1797 |
| Tetracycline (30)               | 21.0 (S) | 34.5 (S) | 47.2 (S) | 19.0 (S) |
| Oxytetracycline (30)            | 22.3 (S) | 34.6 (S) | 32.3 (S) | 22.1 (S) |
| Doxycycline (30)                | 18.3 (S) | 32.0 (S) | 32.1 (S) | 24.5 (S) |
| Kanamycin (30)                  | 13.5 (R) | 20.0 (S) | 14.0 (I) | 14.1 (I) |
| Gentamycin (10)                 | 14.6 (I) | 21.0 (S) | 22.1 (S) | 12.1 (I) |
| Norfloxacin (10)                | 21.3 (S) | 27.4 (S) | 14.2 (I) | 14.2 (I) |
| Nalidixic acid (30)             | 12.0 (R) | 18.9 (I) | 35.7 (S) | 18.1 (I) |
| Ciprofloxacin (5)               | 18.8 (I) | 22.3 (S) | 28.7 (S) | 15.0 (I) |
| Oxacillin (1)                   | 7.4 (R)  | 7.5 (R)  | 8.1 (R)  | 7.9 (R)  |
| Ampicillin (10)                 | 20.5 (R) | 31.2(S)  | 27.9 (I) | 23.1 (I) |
| Amoxicillin (30)                | 22.1 (S) | 25.3 (S) | 30.5 (S) | 19.2 (S) |
| Erythromycin (15)               | 15.2 (I) | 18.0 (I) | 22.2 (I) | 15.0 (I) |
| Sulfisoxazol (25)               | 20.3 (S) | 30.1(S)  | 34.1 (S) | 9.1 (R)  |
| Enrofloxacin (5)                | 15.9 (I) | 32.1 (S) | 39.1 (S) | 15.0 (S) |
| Chloramphenicol (30)            | 24.0 (S) | 34.1 (S) | 45.1 (S) | 21.0 (S) |
| Nitrofurantoin (300)            | 19.2 (S) | 23.1 (S) | 34.1 (S) | 14.1 (I) |
| Novobiocin (5)                  | 10.7 (R) | 24.2 (S) | 34.2 (S) | 18.0 (I) |

S: Sensitive; I: Intermediate; R: Resistant

Figure 1: Microscope images of hydrocarbon droplets (× 400). A: microscope image of hydrocarbon droplets stabilized in the aqueous bacterial suspension placed on the glass slide; B: microscope image of hydrocarbon droplets stabilized in upper hexadecane. White arrows point to bacterial cells; Black arrows point to hydrocarbon droplet.
hexadecane phase (Figure 1B). This explained why the absorbance in the aqueous bacterial suspension increased and minus %Adh was obtained in the classical SPE MATH assay. Based on the fast motility of *Vibrio* species, it is difficult to get an accurate count in the modified MIC MATH assay. This study exploited the modified CFU MATH assay and directly counted non-adhered bacteria through plate culture. Since *V. scophthalmi* A19008 showed moderate positive hydrophobicity in both SAT and MATH assays, *V. scophthalmi* A19008 was considered as moderate positive hydrophobicity and other 3 strains as strong positive hydrophobicity.

**Determination of slime layer production**

Strains of *V. scophthalmi* (A19008, A19010, CECT 4638 and CAIM 1797) formed black colonies on CRA plates, whereas, *E. coli* JM089 could not form slime layer.

**Biofilm production**

The absorbances of strain *V. scophthalmi* A19008, A19010, CECT 4638, CAIM 1797 and *E. coli* JM089 were 1.23, 1.20, 1.12, 1.09 and overflow, respectively after incubation in TSB supplemented with final 2% NaCl and 1% D-glucose for 24 h, suggesting strong biofilm production (Table 1). Moreover, the incubation time, culture media, NaCl and glucose concentrations were evaluated to see their effects on the biofilm production. The optimal biofilm production conditions for *V. scophthalmi* were: BHIB or TSB media supplemented with 2% NaCl and 0-0.5% glucose and incubation for 24 h (Table 2).

**Motility**

All strains of *V. scophthalmi* were motile with swimming and twisting, no swarming.

**Antibiotics susceptibility**

All strains of *V. scophthalmi* were sensitive to tetracycline, oxytetracycline, doxycycline, minocycline, amoxicillin and chloramphenicol, resistant to oxacillin. Strain A19008 was resistant to kanamycin, nalidixic acid, ampicillin and novobiocin, but another 3 strains showed different susceptibility (intermediate or sensitive) (Table 3). The MIC of strain A19008, A19010, CECT 4638 and CAIM 1797 to norfloxacin was 10.1, 2.0, 2.0 and 2.0 µg ml⁻¹, respectively. The MBC was the same with 16.9 µg ml⁻¹ for all strains.

**Discussion**

The bacterial adhesion to the external surfaces and tissues of a host is an essential initial step in the infection of a host and subsequent occurrence of disease [25]. It is widely accepted that hydrophobicity and biofilm production are major factors in the adhesive process and survival of pathogens in cells [14]. Hydrophobic microorganisms are capable of adhering to the oil/water interface and utilizing oil components. The biofilm formation requires some bacterial activities and antibiotics are better for the adhesion, survival and infection. Slime layer formation has been known as one of the virulent factors of *V. harveyi* [30]. Won and Park [30] assayed the eight strains of *V. harveyi* and found that the most virulent strain FR-2 exhibited highest biofilm production. In this study, strain A19008 showed the highest value of biofilm production among all tested strains of *V. scophthalmi* and was most virulent to olive flounder (personal communication). The initial attachment and development of the biofilm production requires some bacterial movements or surface structures such as flagella-mediated swimming, swarming or competition/virulence factors such as type IV pilus-based twitching motility [31]. This study investigated three types of motilities and found that *V. scophthalmi* moved with swimming and twitching. Among strains investigated in this study, strain A19008 showed the highest level of motilities. The biofilm production was related with competition/virulence factors. The biofilm production is influenced by environmental conditions and bacterial challenges [32]. In the present study, we evaluated the effect of incubation time, growth media, NaCl and glucose concentrations on biofilm production. The BHIB or TBS media supplemented with 2% NaCl and 0.05-0.5% D-glucose were the most suitable conditions for biofilm production. Biofilm are proved to be associated with the high levels of tolerance to prolong antibiotic therapy in human and veterinary infections [33]. This study surveyed the antibiotic sensitivity of the tested strains and demonstrated that strain A19008 was the highest biofilm producer and more resistance to antibiotics. Furthermore, MIC and MBC of strain A19008 against norfloxacin were also higher. The pathogens with strong resistance to antibiotics are better for the adhesion, survival and infection. Slime layer production is related with the biofilm production [29] and considered as one of the virulence properties of *Aeromonas* spp. [34,35].

In conclusion, this study modified a MATH assay using CFU method and evaluated the adhesion of *V. scophthalmi* on the cell surface hydrophobicity. The modified CFU MATH assay will be recommended for the fast motile bacteria due to its accurate results and it is easily conducted. *V. scophthalmi* showed moderate/high cell surface hydro-
phobicity and biofilm production and demonstrated its possibility for adhesion to host surfaces. The level of biofilm production is related to the pathogenicity of *V. scophthalmi* and antibiotics susceptibility, but other virulence factors in pathogenesis may also exist in the pathogenic bacteria.
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