Pliability Identification of Elastic Support for Elastic Cantilevered Rod Based on Eigenfrequencies of its Oscillations
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Abstract—Currently, modern turbomachines, for example, aircraft gas turbine engines are equipped with highly intelligent automated monitoring and control systems, including a large number of sensors that control various parameters of the product being operated. In particular, there are sensors that monitor the oscillation frequencies of the most critical structural elements. This paper estimates the ability to determine the support stiffness of a cantilever rod under the two first eigenfrequencies of its oscillations. A similar problem arises in the non-destructive testing of the axial compressor blade root of a gas turbine engine when a certain decision of its further operation possibility or changing its operation mode can be made on the basis of the blade oscillation eigenfrequencies spectrum. As a result of the implementation of the paper proposed method it was obtained that the identification error does not go beyond 28%, which is quite acceptable for assessing the technical condition of the support in the engine corresponding to the range of existing engines.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The majority of damages occurs in the blades of aircraft gas turbine engines (GTE) are associated with the action of alternating stresses arising from vibrations and are fatigue in nature. The blade oscillations produce the large additional dynamic stresses and cause the material fatigue phenomena. As a result, cracks appear in various places of the blades over time, which is the reason of their destruction. As a rule, the damage of one blade leads to an avalanche-like process of damaging or destroying other blades, disturbing the rotor balance, and other serious engine damage. In order to prevent vibration damages the blade oscillations are investigated in the step of engine design and development.

The blade as any elastic structure has a spectrum of eigenfrequencies and modes of vibration. These indicators are decisive because they fully represent the dynamic properties of the blades, their ability to respond to different types of external influence and determine the blade oscillation. While calculating and studying the eigenfrequency spectra and blade vibration modes, it should be taken into account that unacceptable negative changes may occur in the supports in the operation, that lead to changes in their pliability, which in turn will have a negative effect on the magnitude of eigenfrequency of the GTE compressor blade.

The blade axial compressor is considered in the form of a cantilevered elastic rod with elastic supports at its root when analyzing the oscillations.

Currently, analytical methods have been developed for calculating the natural oscillation frequencies of straight rods with constant cross sections [1-3].

To determine the eigenfrequencies of oscillations for the blade, it is necessary to turn to numerical methods as the blade has a variable cross section. The one of the most effective is the spline method [4-27], the identification of boundary conditions is considered in [28, 29].

In view of the above, it is very important to develop methods for estimation of the mechanical state of certain product structural elements that based on the spectrum of eigenfrequencies for oscillations arising in the structure.

II. PROBLEM DEFINITION

Consider a straight rod of length $l$ with a constant cross
section, defined by the area $A$ and the minimum axial moment of inertia $I_0$. The rod made of the material with Young’s modulus $E$ and density $\rho$. The rod is elastically fastened at the left end and free at the right end (Fig. 1).

The support of the rod has two types of elastic properties: when the fixing point moves vertically $\Delta$ from the support side a force $R$ is acting on the rod, and when the cross-section of the rod is rotated at an angle from the support side a moment of a couple $M$ is acting on the rod (Fig. 1):

$$ R = \Delta / r, \quad M = 0 / s_0. \quad (1) $$

The coefficients $r, s$ in (1) are the support compliance coefficients. During the working this type structures the unacceptable changes may occur in the support, which will lead to a change in the value of the support compliance coefficients $r, s$. It is very important to be able to timely record the occurrence of this state directly during the product operation.

Based on this, this paper sets the task of developing and putting into practice the method of estimation the support pliability $r, s$ of a cantilevered straight rod by the known first two eigenfrequencies $\omega_1, \omega_2$ of its vibrations.

III. EQUATIONS FOR DETERMINING THE EIGENFREQUENCIES OF THE TRANSVERSE OSCILLATIONS OF A ROD

Consider a straight rod of length $l = 0.2$ m, having a rectangular cross section of width $b = 0.03$ m and height $h = 0.01$ m. The rod made of the material with Young’s modulus $E = 2 \times 10^{11}$ Pa and density $\rho = 7.85$ kg/m$^3$. The minimum axial moment of inertia $I_0 = bh^3/12 = 2.5 \times 10^{-9}$ m$^4$, the cross-sectional area $A = bh = 3 \times 10^{-4}$ m$^2$.

The eigenfrequencies of the rod oscillations are determined [1] – [3] from the equation

$$ E l \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} - \mu \omega^2 w = 0, \quad \mu = A \rho, \quad (2) $$

where $W = W(x)$ – a function describing the form of natural oscillations. With notation:

$$ \begin{align*}
  x &= l \bar{x}, \quad x \in [0,1], \\
  W &= W_0 \bar{w}, \quad \bar{w} \in [0,1],
\end{align*} \quad (3) $$

where $W_0$ – the scale factor with the dimension of a displacement.

Let’s introduce the notation for the reduced parameter eigenfrequency $\bar{\omega}$ of the rod:

$$ \bar{\omega}^2 = \frac{\mu w^4}{EI} \omega^2 \quad (4) $$

then we get the equation (2) in a dimensionless form.

$$ \frac{\partial^4 \bar{w}}{\partial \bar{x}^4} - \bar{\omega}^2 \bar{w} = 0. \quad (5) $$

The given boundary conditions take the form:

$$ \bar{w} + \bar{C}_1 \bar{w}^3 = \bar{C}_2 e^{-\bar{x}^2} + \bar{C}_3 \sin \bar{\xi} \bar{x} + \bar{C}_4 \cos \bar{\xi} \bar{x}, \quad (6) $$

where the dimensionless pliability of supports are brought into consideration at $\bar{x} = 0$.

$$ \bar{r} = r \frac{EI}{l^3}, \quad \bar{s} = s \frac{EI}{l}. \quad (7) $$

IV. ALGORITHM FOR DETERMINING SUPPORT Pliability

The general solution of the reduced equation for oscillations (5) has the form [15] – [18]:

$$ \bar{w} = \bar{C}_1 e^{\bar{\xi} \bar{x}} + \bar{C}_2 e^{-\bar{\xi} \bar{x}} + \bar{C}_3 \sin \bar{\xi} \bar{x} + \bar{C}_4 \cos \bar{\xi} \bar{x}, \quad (8) $$

where $\bar{C}_1, \bar{C}_2, \bar{C}_3, \bar{C}_4$ – constants of integration, determined from the given boundary conditions, $\bar{\xi}$ – some unknown magnitude.

Let’s write the partial derivatives of the function for the reduced forms of natural oscillations:

$$ \begin{align*}
  \bar{w} &= \bar{C}_1 e^{\bar{\xi} \bar{x}} + \bar{C}_2 e^{-\bar{\xi} \bar{x}} + \bar{C}_3 \sin \bar{\xi} \bar{x} + \bar{C}_4 \cos \bar{\xi} \bar{x}, \\
  \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial \bar{x}} &= \bar{C}_1 \bar{\xi} e^{\bar{\xi} \bar{x}} + \bar{C}_2 \bar{\xi} e^{-\bar{\xi} \bar{x}} + \bar{C}_3 \cos \bar{\xi} \bar{x} - \bar{C}_4 \bar{\xi} \sin \bar{\xi} \bar{x}, \\
  \frac{\partial^2 \bar{w}}{\partial \bar{\xi} \partial \bar{x}} &= \bar{C}_1 \bar{\xi}^2 e^{\bar{\xi} \bar{x}} + \bar{C}_2 \bar{\xi}^2 e^{-\bar{\xi} \bar{x}} - \bar{C}_3 \bar{\xi} \sin \bar{\xi} \bar{x} - \bar{C}_4 \bar{\xi} \cos \bar{\xi} \bar{x}, \\
  \frac{\partial^3 \bar{w}}{\partial \bar{\xi} \partial \bar{x}^2} &= \bar{C}_1 \bar{\xi}^3 e^{\bar{\xi} \bar{x}} + \bar{C}_2 \bar{\xi}^3 e^{-\bar{\xi} \bar{x}} - \bar{C}_3 \bar{\xi}^2 \cos \bar{\xi} \bar{x} - \bar{C}_4 \bar{\xi}^2 \sin \bar{\xi} \bar{x}, \\
  \frac{\partial^4 \bar{w}}{\partial \bar{\xi} \partial \bar{x}^3} &= \bar{C}_1 \bar{\xi}^4 e^{\bar{\xi} \bar{x}} + \bar{C}_2 \bar{\xi}^4 e^{-\bar{\xi} \bar{x}} + \bar{C}_3 \bar{\xi}^3 \sin \bar{\xi} \bar{x} + \bar{C}_4 \bar{\xi}^3 \cos \bar{\xi} \bar{x}, \\
  \frac{\partial^5 \bar{w}}{\partial \bar{\xi} \partial \bar{x}^4} &= \bar{C}_1 \bar{\xi}^5 e^{\bar{\xi} \bar{x}} + \bar{C}_2 \bar{\xi}^5 e^{-\bar{\xi} \bar{x}} - \bar{C}_3 \bar{\xi}^4 \cos \bar{\xi} \bar{x} - \bar{C}_4 \bar{\xi}^4 \sin \bar{\xi} \bar{x}.
\end{align*} \quad (9) $$

Substitute (9) into the differential equation (5) and then we get:

$$ \bar{\xi}^4 (\bar{C}_1 e^{\bar{\xi} \bar{x}} + \bar{C}_2 e^{-\bar{\xi} \bar{x}} + \bar{C}_3 \sin \bar{\xi} \bar{x} + \bar{C}_4 \cos \bar{\xi} \bar{x}) - \bar{\omega}^2 (\bar{C}_1 e^{\bar{\xi} \bar{x}} + \bar{C}_2 e^{-\bar{\xi} \bar{x}} + \bar{C}_3 \sin \bar{\xi} \bar{x} + \bar{C}_4 \cos \bar{\xi} \bar{x}) = 0, \quad (10) $$

$\bar{\xi} \in [0,1]$. 
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By transforming (10), the formula for calculating the eigenfrequency of oscillation of the reduced parameter rod:

$$\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\xi}^2.$$  

Based on (11) let’s write:

$$\tilde{\xi} = \sqrt{\tilde{\omega}}.$$  

Substituting (9) into (6) we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
(C_1 + C_2 + C_4) + \tilde{\xi} \tilde{\omega}^3 (C_1 - C_2 - C_3) &= 0, \\
(C_1 C_2 + C_3) + \tilde{\xi} \tilde{\omega}^3 (-C_1 - C_2 + C_4) &= 0, \\
\tilde{\xi} e^{\xi} + C_2 e^{-\xi} - C_3 \sin \tilde{\xi} - C_4 \cos \tilde{\xi} &= 0, \\
\tilde{\xi} e^{-\xi} - C_2 e^{\xi} - C_3 \cos \tilde{\xi} + C_4 \sin \tilde{\xi} &= 0.
\end{align*}
$$

Let’s write (13) in matrix form:

$$PC = 0,$$

where $C$ – column vector with $4 \times 1$ size:

$$C = (C_1, \ C_2, \ C_3, \ C_4)^T,$$

$P$ – matrix with $4 \times 4$ size:

$$P = (P_{ik}, \ i = 1, ..., 4, \ k = 1, ..., 4),$$

with components determined on the basis of (13):

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{11} &= 1 + \tilde{\xi} \tilde{\omega}^3, & P_{12} &= 1 - \tilde{\xi} \tilde{\omega}^3, \\
P_{13} &= -\tilde{\xi} \tilde{\omega}^3, & P_{14} &= 1, \\
P_{21} &= 1 - \tilde{\xi} \tilde{\omega}^3, & P_{22} &= -1 - \tilde{\xi} \tilde{\omega}^3, \\
P_{23} &= 1, & P_{24} &= \tilde{\xi} \tilde{\omega}^3, \\
P_{31} &= e^{\xi}, & P_{32} &= e^{-\xi}, \\
P_{33} &= -\sin \tilde{\xi}, & P_{34} &= -\cos \tilde{\xi}, \\
P_{41} &= e^{-\xi}, & P_{42} &= -e^{\xi}, \\
P_{43} &= -\cos \tilde{\xi}, & P_{44} &= \sin \tilde{\xi}.
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (17) has a nonzero solution only when the determinant consisting of the matrix $P$ components is equal to zero:

$$D = \det(P_{ik}) = \begin{vmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} & P_{13} & P_{14} \\ P_{21} & P_{22} & P_{23} & P_{24} \\ P_{31} & P_{32} & P_{33} & P_{34} \\ P_{41} & P_{42} & P_{43} & P_{44} \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$  

(18)

When substituting (17) into (18) and the subsequent deployment of the determinant we obtain the equation with the notation $x = \tilde{\xi}$, $y = \tilde{\xi}$:

$$p_{11} x^2 + p_{10} x + p_{01} y + p_{00} = 0,$$  

(19)

where $p_{ij}$, $i, j = 0, 1$ – are some coefficients determined on the basis of matrix $P$ components.

Equation (19) contains two unknowns. To determine the unknowns it is necessary to construct at least two equations of the form (19).

Let’s assume that for the specific rod we know the first two eigenfrequencies $\tilde{\omega}_1, \tilde{\omega}_2$ of oscillations.

This allows constructing two equations of the form (19) according to the above method.

$$
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
a_{11} x + a_{10} y + a_{01} y + a_{00} = 0, \\
b_{11} x + b_{10} y + b_{01} y + b_{00} = 0.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

(13)

with known coefficients $a_{ij}$, $i, j = 0, 1$ and $b_{ij}$, $i, j = 0, 1$.

If the first equation is subtracted from the second equation of system (20) then we get:

$$(b_{11} - a_{11}) x + (b_{10} - a_{10}) y + (b_{01} - a_{01}) y = 0.$$  

(21)

From (21) we get:

$$y = k_0 + k_1 x,$$  

(22)

where

$$k_0 = \frac{b_{01} - a_{01}}{a_{10} - b_{10}}, \quad k_1 = \frac{b_{11} - a_{11}}{a_{10} - b_{10}}.$$  

(23)

If we substitute (23) into the first equation of system (20) then we obtain a quadratic equation relatively the coefficient $x$:

$$c_2 x^2 + c_1 x + c_0 = 0.$$  

(24)

where

$$
\begin{align*}
c_0 &= a_{00} + a_{01} k_0, \\
c_1 &= a_{10} + a_{01} k_1 + a_{11} k_0, \\
c_2 &= a_{11}.
\end{align*}
$$

(25)

We find two roots by solving equation (24):

$$x_{1,2} = \frac{-c_1 \pm \sqrt{c_1^2 - 4c_0 c_2}}{2c_2},$$  

(26)

then we define two roots on the base of (23)

$$y_{1,2} = k_0 + k_1 x_{1,2}.$$  

(27)

As a result, we obtain two pairs of roots and, accordingly, two pairs of possible stiffness imposed towards the rod.
V. THE CALCULATION RESULTS OF PLIABILITY SUPPORT FOR
THE ROD WITH A CONSTANT CROSS SECTION
The analysis of the root rigidity for GTE blades when
dimensions close to the size of the considered rod shows that
in their operational state for the reduced parameter
compliance the range is characterized by intervals:

\[ 0 \leq \bar{r} \leq 1 \times 10^{-3}, \; 0 \leq \bar{s} \leq 1 \times 10^{-1}. \]  
(28)

Based on this, the exact values of the reduced parameter
compliance \( \bar{r}_1, \bar{s}_1 \) were given in Table 1 and the first two
reduced eigenfrequencies \( \bar{\omega}_1, \bar{\omega}_2 \) of oscillations were
calculated. Further already on \( \tilde{\omega}_1, \tilde{\omega}_2 \) the calculated values
of pliability \( \bar{r}, \bar{s} \) were determined, which are summarized
in Tab. 1.

| Number of | A Rod with Constant Cross Section                           | Exact Pliability | Calculated Pliability |
|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Experiment|                                                             | \( \tilde{r} \) | \( \tilde{s} \) | \( \bar{r} \) | \( \bar{s} \) |
| 1         |                                                             | 0.0000          | 0.0000               | 0.935 10^{-17} |
| 2         |                                                             | 1.10^{-3}       | 1.10^{-3}           | 1.0007 10^{-4} | 0.9987 10^{-3} |
| 3         |                                                             | 1.10^{-2}       | 1.10^{-2}           | 1.021 10^{-2} | 0.954 10^{-2} |
| 4         |                                                             | 1.10^{-3}       | 1.10^{-3}           | 1.14 10^{-1} | 0.997 10^{-1} |
| 5         |                                                             | 1.10^{-2}       | 1.10^{-2}           | 1.23 10^{-2} | 0.952 10^{-2} |

Tab. 1 shows that the calculated values for the pliability
support are very close to the exact ones for a rod with a
constant cross section.

VI. EXTENSION OF THE METHOD FOR A ROD WITH
A VARIABLE CROSS SECTION
Real blades of GTE compressors have a variable cross-
section along the length; therefore, it is necessary to estimate
the proposed method possibilities for rods of variable cross-
section. In this case, the eigenfrequencies are determined
from the equation [7, 17]:

\[
EI \frac{\partial^4 W}{\partial x^4} + 2EI \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x^2} + E \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x^2} - \mu \omega^2 W = 0. 
\]  
(29)

where \( E = \text{const} \), parameters \( \mu, I \) – are functions of \( x \)-coordinate: \( \mu = \mu(x), \; I = I(x) \).

From [7, 17] let’s define in exponential form the
expressions for a rod’s mass per unit length \( \mu \) and the axial
moment of inertia \( I \) of its cross section:

\[
\mu = \mu_0 e^{-\delta x}, \; I = I_0 e^{-\delta x}. 
\]  
(30)

where \( l \) – length of a rod, \( \mu_0, I_0 \) and \( \delta \) – some constants.

On the base of (30) we define:

\[ \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial x^2} = I_0 \frac{\partial^2 \delta^x}{\partial x^2}, \; \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial x^2} = I_0 \left( \frac{\partial \delta^x}{\partial x} \right)^2. \]  
(31)

Substituting (30) and (31) into (29) we get:

\[
EI \frac{\partial^4 W}{\partial x^4} + 2EI \frac{\partial^2 \delta W}{\partial x^2} + EI \frac{\partial^2 \delta W}{\partial x^2} - \mu_0 \omega^2 W = 0. 
\]  
(32)

With notation (3) the equation for oscillation (32) is been
leading to a dimensionless form.

\[ \frac{\partial^4 \bar{W}}{\partial x^4} + 28 \frac{\partial^3 \bar{W}}{\partial x^3} + \delta^2 \frac{\partial^2 \bar{W}}{\partial x^2} - \mu_0 \bar{\omega}^2 \bar{W} = 0, \; \bar{x} \in [0, 1]. \]  
(35)

With notation

\[ \lambda_1 = \sqrt{\delta + \frac{\delta^2}{4}}, \; \lambda_2 = \sqrt{\delta - \frac{\delta^2}{4}}. \]  
(36)

The exact solution of the equation (35) has the form [17]:

\[ \bar{W} = e^{-\delta x} F(\bar{x}), \]  
(37)

where

\[ F(\bar{x}) = C_1 e^{i \lambda_1 \bar{x}} + C_2 e^{-i \lambda_1 \bar{x}} + C_3 \sin(\lambda_2 \bar{x}) + C_4 \cos(\lambda_2 \bar{x}). \]  
(38)

Let’s calculate derivatives for function \( \bar{W} = \tilde{W}(\bar{x}) \) at \( \bar{x} \)
up to the third order inclusive on the base of (37)
substituting the expressions (39) into (6), we obtain a system of equations for the structure similar to (14) where:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{w}}{\partial x^2} &= -\frac{\delta^2}{4} e^{\frac{\delta}{2} F(\tilde{x})}, \\
\frac{\partial^3 \tilde{w}}{\partial x^3} &= -\frac{\delta^3}{8} e^{\frac{\delta}{2} F(\tilde{x})} + \frac{3\delta^2}{4} e^{\frac{\delta}{2} F(\tilde{x})}, \\
\frac{\partial^4 \tilde{w}}{\partial x^4} &= -\frac{\delta^4}{8} e^{\frac{\delta}{2} F(\tilde{x})} + \frac{3\delta^3}{4} e^{\frac{\delta}{2} F(\tilde{x})} + e^{\frac{\delta}{2} F(\tilde{x})}, \\
\end{align*}
\]

Then the desired values of support pliability are found by formulas (18) - (27).

VII. THE CALCULATION RESULTS OF PLIABILITY SUPPORT FOR A ROD WITH A VARIABLE CROSS SECTION

The analysis of the root rigidity for GTE blades when dimensions close to the size of the considered rod shows that in their operational state for the reduced parameter compliance the range is characterized by intervals (28).

The variable section of the rod is setting by different values of the parameter \( \delta = -2; -1; 1; 2 \).

Bared in mind this, the exact values of the reduced parameter compliance \( \tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\eta} \) were given in Table 2, Tab. 3, Tab. 4 and Tab. 5 and the first two reduced eigenfrequencies \( \tilde{\omega}_1, \tilde{\omega}_2 \) of oscillations were calculated. Further already on \( \tilde{\omega}_1, \tilde{\omega}_2 \) the calculated values of pliability \( \tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\eta} \) were determined, which are summarized in Tab. 2, Tab. 3, Tab. 4 and Tab. 5.

The calculation results for a rod of a variable cross section at \( \delta = -1 \) are presented in Tab. 2.

| Number of Experiment | A Rod with Variable Cross Section at \( \delta = 1 \) |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
|                      | Exact Pliability                               | Calculated Pliability |
|                      | \( \tilde{\gamma} \)                           | \( \tilde{\eta} \)     | \( \tilde{\tau} \) |
| 1                    | 1.000 \times 10^{-3}                          | 1.000 \times 10^{-3}  | 1.000 \times 10^{-3} |
| 2                    | 1.000 \times 10^{-3}                          | 1.000 \times 10^{-3}  | 1.000 \times 10^{-3} |
| 3                    | 1.000 \times 10^{-3}                          | 1.000 \times 10^{-3}  | 1.000 \times 10^{-3} |
| 4                    | 1.000 \times 10^{-3}                          | 1.000 \times 10^{-3}  | 1.000 \times 10^{-3} |
| 5                    | 1.000 \times 10^{-3}                          | 1.000 \times 10^{-3}  | 1.000 \times 10^{-3} |

The calculation results for a rod of variable cross section at \( \delta = 1 \) are presented in Tab. 3.
The calculation results for a rod of variable cross section at $\delta = -2$ are presented in Tab. 4.

### TABLE IV. VALUES OF THE PREDICTED PARAMETERS OF SUPPORT’S PLIABILITY FOR A ROD WITH VARIABLE CROSS-SECTION

| Number of Experiment | A Rod with Variable Cross Section at $\delta = -2$ | Exact Pliability | Calculated Pliability |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
|                      | $\tilde{r}_i$, $\tilde{s}_i$, $\tilde{r}$, $\tilde{s}$ |                   |                       |
| 1                    | 0, 0, 0                                           | $-6.55 \times 10^{-17}$ | $2.54 \times 10^{-15}$ |
| 2                    | $1 \times 10^{-3}$, $1 \times 10^{-3}$           | $1.002 \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.991 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 3                    | $1 \times 10^{-2}$, $1 \times 10^{-2}$           | $1.03 \times 10^{-4}$  | $8.79 \times 10^{-2}$  |
| 4                    | $1 \times 10^{-3}$, $1 \times 10^{-1}$           | $1.24 \times 10^{-3}$  | $9.90 \times 10^{-4}$  |
| 5                    | $1 \times 10^{-2}$, $1 \times 10^{-1}$           | $1.28 \times 10^{-3}$  | $8.79 \times 10^{-4}$  |

The calculation results for a rod of variable cross section at $\delta = -2$ are presented in Tab. 5.

### TABLE V. VALUES OF THE PREDICTED PARAMETERS OF SUPPORT’S PLIABILITY FOR A ROD WITH VARIABLE CROSS-SECTION

| Number of Experiment | A Rod with Variable Cross Section at $\delta = -2$ | Exact Pliability | Calculated Pliability |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
|                      | $\tilde{r}_i$, $\tilde{s}_i$, $\tilde{r}$, $\tilde{s}$ |                   |                       |
| 1                    | 0, 0, 0                                           | $-6.55 \times 10^{-17}$ | $2.54 \times 10^{-15}$ |
| 2                    | $1 \times 10^{-3}$, $1 \times 10^{-3}$           | $1.002 \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.991 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 3                    | $1 \times 10^{-2}$, $1 \times 10^{-2}$           | $1.03 \times 10^{-4}$  | $8.79 \times 10^{-2}$  |
| 4                    | $1 \times 10^{-3}$, $1 \times 10^{-1}$           | $1.24 \times 10^{-3}$  | $9.90 \times 10^{-4}$  |
| 5                    | $1 \times 10^{-2}$, $1 \times 10^{-1}$           | $1.28 \times 10^{-3}$  | $8.79 \times 10^{-4}$  |

From Tab. 2, Tab. 3, Tab. 4 and Tab. 5, it can be seen that for a rod with a variable cross section the calculated values of the support’s pliability are also very close to the exact.

### VIII. ANALYSIS OF THE CALCULATION RESULTS

Comparison $\tilde{r}_i$, $\tilde{s}_i$ and $\tilde{r}$, $\tilde{s}$ in Tab. 1, Tab. 2, Tab. 3, Tab. 4 and Tab. 5 shows the values $\tilde{r}_i$, $\tilde{s}_i$ and $\tilde{r}$, $\tilde{s}$ practically do not differ for absolutely rigid support at $\tilde{r} = 0$, $\tilde{s}_i = 0$.

Increasing support pliability, the discrepancies increase, but even with the maximum allowable values of pliability from the interval (28) when $\tilde{r}_i = 1 \times 10^{-3}$, $\tilde{s}_i = 1 \times 10^{-1}$ we have similar values $\tilde{r} = 1.28 \times 10^{-3}$, $\tilde{s} = 0.870 \times 10^{-1}$ that differ by no more than 28%.

### IX. CONCLUSION

This paper has developed the method for identifying the compliance coefficients of the elastic support for a cantilevered rod with constant and variable cross-section based on the first two eigenfrequencies of its oscillations.

The mathematical experiment was realized for estimation of the abilities of a cantilevered rod support, which is similar in properties to GTE blades of a real axial compressor.

As a result of the implementation of the paper proposed method it was obtained that the identification error does not go beyond 28%, which is quite acceptable for assessing the technical condition of the support in the engine corresponding to the range of existing engines.
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