Hybrids Provide More Options for Fine-Tuning Flowering Time Responses of Winter Barley
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Crop adaptation requires matching resource availability to plant development. Tight coordination of the plant cycle with prevailing environmental conditions is crucial to maximizing yield. It is expected that winters in temperate areas will become warmer, so the vernalization requirements of current cultivars can be desynchronized with the environment’s vernalizing potential. Therefore, current phenological ideotypes may not be optimum for future climatic conditions. Major genes conferring vernalization sensitivity and phenological responses in barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) are known, but some allelic combinations remain insufficiently evaluated. Furthermore, there is a lack of knowledge about flowering time in a hybrid context. To honor the promise of increased yield potentials, hybrid barley phenology must be studied, and the knowledge deployed in new cultivars. A set of three male and two female barley lines, as well as their six F1 hybrids, were studied in growth chambers, subjected to three vernalization treatments: complete (8 weeks), moderate (4 weeks), and low (2 weeks). Development was recorded up to flowering, and expression of major genes was assayed at key stages. We observed a gradation in responses to vernalization, mostly additive, concentrated in the phase until the initiation of stem elongation, and proportional to the allele constitution and dosage present in VRN-H1. These responses were further modulated by the presence of PPD-H2. The duration of the late reproductive phase presented more dominance toward earliness and was affected by the rich variety of alleles at VRN-H3. Our results provide further opportunities for fine-tuning total and phasal growth duration in hybrid barley, beyond what is currently feasible in inbred cultivars.
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INTRODUCTION

Higher and more stable crop yields are the main targets for cereal breeders. This goal is increasingly challenging in temperate regions, where major crops face growing threats from the impact of climate change, particularly from drought and heat events at critical developmental milestones during the crop cycle (Olesen et al., 2010; Porter et al., 2014; Trnka et al., 2014). Tight coordination of plant cycle to environmental conditions to match resource availability with the most sensitive
growth stages is crucial for crop adaptation (Craufurd and Wheeler, 2009), and has a major effect on yield (Bolaños and Edmeades, 1993; Evans, 1996; González et al., 1999; Cockram et al., 2007; Tondelli et al., 2014; Flohr et al., 2018; Wiegmann et al., 2019). In this context, the current variety formats for cultivation should be re-assessed, as they may no longer be the highest-yielding ones. Further research on crop plasticity is necessary to adapt cereal crops to the range of future climatic conditions (Fatima et al., 2020). Winters in the temperate zone are projected to be warmer, so the vernalization requirement of current winter cultivars may be excessive, i.e., may not be met on time, due to a lower vernalizing potential of the environment (Saadi et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2019). Future ideotypes will have to combine specific vernalization and photoperiod responses fine-tuned to the projected climatic conditions prevalent for each region (Stratonovitch and Semenov, 2015; Gouache et al., 2017; Tao et al., 2017). Allelic variation at the VRN-H1 gene already induces a gradation of vernalization needs to the barley plants, which have had a large impact on barley adaptation to regional climates (Casao et al., 2011b; Contreras-Moreira et al., 2019). Breeders must aim at deploying appropriate phenology gene combinations to optimize the crop foundation phase (vegetative and early reproductive), and construction phase (late reproductive) growth periods, as well as avoiding abiotic stresses at critical developmental stages, thus optimizing yield potential in target environments (Gouache et al., 2017).

Nowadays, there is growing interest in breeding hybrid cereal varieties, including barley. Hybrids have shown greater yield potential than inbred lines, due to exploitation of heterosis, greater yield stability under fluctuating environmental conditions, and the ease of pyramiding strategic combinations of dominant major genes (Longin et al., 2012; Mühleisen et al., 2013, 2014). Therefore, optimizing phenology in hybrid cultivars is a strategy to improve yields under current and future climatic conditions. However, there is a lack of knowledge about flowering time gene action in a hybrid context.

Flowering time in barley is tightly regulated by genetic networks that respond predominately to day-length (photoperiod) and prolonged exposure to cold temperature (vernalization). Barley is a facultative long-day plant, flowering earlier under increasing day-lengths, and characterized by two major growth types, namely, winter and spring. Winter barleys need vernalization for timely flowering (Campoli and von Korff, 2014).

Vernalization genetic control is based on the epistatic system composed of flowering inducer VRN-H1 (Treviskis et al., 2003; Yan et al., 2003) and repressor VRN-H2 (Yan et al., 2004). VRN-H1 corresponds to gene HvBMSA5, an orthologue of MADS-box AP1 from Arabidopsis (Treviskis et al., 2007), whereas VRN-H2 has no clear correspondence in Arabidopsis. Winter barleys carry the functional dominant VRN-H2 allele, accompanied by a cold-sensitive VRN-H1 allele. Activation of VRN-H1 is quantitative, with long cold treatments inducing higher levels of expression (von Zitzewitz et al., 2005; Sasani et al., 2009), which results in an earlier transition to the reproductive phase (Sasani et al., 2009). It presents a large number of alleles, which are defined by the length of the first intron (11 kb in the wild-type vrn-H1), and present a gradation of responses to vernalization (Takahashi and Yasuda, 1971; Súcs et al., 2007), roughly proportional to the first intron length (Súcs et al., 2007; Hemming et al., 2009; Casao et al., 2011a; Oliver et al., 2013; Guerra et al., 2021).

Regarding the gene action of the VRN-H1 allelic series, the accepted model states that the winter allele is recessive, while the rest are dominant (Takahashi and Yasuda, 1971; Haas et al., 2020). VRN-H3 (HvFT1) is the key flowering inducer that integrates the photoperiod and vernalization pathways (Yan et al., 2006; Faure et al., 2007; Kikuchi et al., 2009), whose expression is induced under long-day conditions and promotes flowering (Turner et al., 2005; Hemming et al., 2008), and is an orthologue of FTT1 in Arabidopsis (Yan et al., 2006). Ample allelic variation at VRN-H3 has been described, arising from sequence polymorphisms in the promoter and first intron (Yan et al., 2006; Hemming et al., 2008; Casas et al., 2011, 2021), and copy number variation (Nitcher et al., 2013; Loscos et al., 2014), as summarized in Fernández-Caldeja et al. (2021), but there is no information on its gene action. According to the currently accepted model, during autumn, when temperate cereals germinate, VRN-H2 represses VRN-H3 expression. During winter, vernalization induces VRN-H1 expression, resulting in VRN-H2 repression in leaves and, consequently, activation of VRN-H3 transcription in spring, which promotes the transition from the vegetative to the reproductive stage (Treviskis et al., 2006; Distelfeld et al., 2009). At the whole plant level, this transition is visible as the appearance of the first node at the main stem, and the beginning of stem elongation (jointing stage). Besides the VRN genes, HvODDSOC2 also plays a repressor role in the vernalization pathway (Greenup et al., 2010). This gene is the monocot orthologue of Arabidopsis thaliana FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC, Ruelens et al., 2013). It is downregulated by prolonged cold exposure, was identified as a binding target of the VRN1 protein in barley, together with VRN-H2 and VRN-H3 genes (Deng et al., 2015), and plays a repressor role in absence of full vernalization (Monteagudo et al., 2019b). Genes PPD-H1 and PPD-H2 control photoperiod sensitivity. PPD-H1 (HvPrr37, orthologue of PRR7 in Arabidopsis) is the major determinant of long photoperiod response in barley (Turner et al., 2005). Its activity causes an increased expression of VRN-H3 after vernalization fulfillment, promoting flowering under long-day conditions (Turner et al., 2005; Campoli et al., 2012; Mulki and von Korff, 2016). PPD-H2 (HvFT3), which belongs to the FT1 gene family, induces early reproductive development in short-day conditions, or even long-day conditions when vernalization requirements have not been fully satisfied (Laurie et al., 1995; Faure et al., 2007; Casao et al., 2011a,b; Mulki et al., 2018). Phylogeographic and genetic studies suggest an adaptive role for this gene in winter barleys (Kikuchi et al., 2009; Casao et al., 2011b).

Barley breeding for the near future requires understanding the genetic mechanisms of adaptation, including vernalization responses, in a hybrid context. This work is intended to explore the inheritance and effect of several major flowering genes in heterozygosis, and their dynamics in relation to insufficient vernalization. For this purpose, an experiment with different vernalization treatments was designed aiming to evaluate the phenology and gene expression of key genes in the plant cycle.
In this study, eleven barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) genotypes were used: two female parents (Female A and Female B), three pollinators (Male 1, Male 2, and Male 3), and six hybrids derived from their crosses (Hybrid A1, Hybrid A2, Hybrid A3, Hybrid B1, Hybrid B2, and Hybrid B3). The female parents are cytoplasmic male sterile (CMS) inbred lines used in the development of 6-row winter barley hybrids for Europe by Syngenta® (Basel, Switzerland). The pollinators are advanced inbred lines developed in the framework of the Spanish Barley Breeding Program (Gracia et al., 2012), well adapted to the Mediterranean conditions, and without fertility restorer genes. The resultant offspring are male-sterile hybrids (female hybrids, F1F), an intermediate step in the production of a three-way hybrid, after further crossing with a fertility restorer genotype. The genotypes studied present different VRN-H1 alleles, which are defined by the length of the first intron of the gene, and have different vernalization requirements, ranking from low to high cold needs. These alleles are VRN-H1-4, which presents a vernalization requirement of around 2 weeks, VRN-H1-6 requires approximately 30 days, and vrn-H1 requires not less than 7 weeks (Casao et al., 2011a). These alleles represent the main allelic diversity that is spread across Western European six-row winter barley. The strict winter allele (vrn-H1) prevails in North-western European barleys, whereas alleles VRN-H1-4 and VRN-H1-6 correspond to the two largest germplasm groups found in Spanish barley landraces (Casao et al., 2011a). The geographical distribution of these two alleles coincides with the harshness of winters in the Iberian region (Yahiaoui et al., 2008; Casao et al., 2011a; Contreras-Moreira et al., 2019). VRN-H1-4 predominates in Southern and coastal Spanish landraces, while VRN-H1-6 is frequently found in the continental inlands of Spain. Besides, the genotypes studied also present different alleles at other major genes involved in the control of vernalization responses and day-length sensitivity (Table 1).

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Plant Material

In this study, eleven barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) genotypes were used: two female parents (Female A and Female B), three pollinators (Male 1, Male 2, and Male 3), and six hybrids derived from their crosses (Hybrid A1, Hybrid A2, Hybrid A3, Hybrid B1, Hybrid B2, and Hybrid B3). The female parents are cytoplasmic male sterile (CMS) inbred lines used in the development of 6-row winter barley hybrids for Europe by Syngenta® (Basel, Switzerland). The pollinators are advanced inbred lines developed in the framework of the Spanish Barley Breeding Program (Gracia et al., 2012), well adapted to the Mediterranean conditions, and without fertility restorer genes. The resultant offspring are male-sterile hybrids (female hybrids, F1F), an intermediate step in the production of a three-way hybrid, after further crossing with a fertility restorer genotype. The genotypes studied present different VRN-H1 alleles, which are defined by the length of the first intron of the gene, and have different vernalization requirements, ranking from low to high cold needs. These alleles are VRN-H1-4, which presents a vernalization requirement of around 2 weeks, VRN-H1-6 requires approximately 30 days, and vrn-H1 requires not less than 7 weeks (Casao et al., 2011a). These alleles represent the main allelic diversity that is spread across Western European six-row winter barley. The strict winter allele (vrn-H1) prevails in North-western European barleys, whereas alleles VRN-H1-4 and VRN-H1-6 correspond to the two largest germplasm groups found in Spanish barley landraces (Casao et al., 2011a). The geographical distribution of these two alleles coincides with the harshness of winters in the Iberian region (Yahiaoui et al., 2008; Casao et al., 2011a; Contreras-Moreira et al., 2019). VRN-H1-4 predominates in Southern and coastal Spanish landraces, while VRN-H1-6 is frequently found in the continental inlands of Spain. Besides, the genotypes studied also present different alleles at other major genes involved in the control of vernalization responses and day-length sensitivity (Table 1).

### Plant Growth Conditions, Phenotyping, and Sampling

A study under controlled conditions was designed to assess differences in development and gene expression in key genes controlling the duration of the plant cycle, after three vernalization treatments: complete (8 weeks of cold period, V8), moderate (4 weeks, V4), and low (2 weeks, V2).

Genotypes were exposed to treatments of 14 (low), 28 (intermediate), and 58 days (full vernalization) at 6 ± 2°C, under a short-day regime (8 h light/16 h dark). After vernalization, seedlings were transferred to a growth chamber with conditions set to long photoperiod (16 h light/8 h night), 220 μmol m−2 s−1 light intensity, and 20°C day/16°C night temperatures. The duration of the vernalization treatments was set according to previous experiments. Fourteen days are enough for genotypes carrying the VRN-H1-4 allele, 58 days are sufficient for cultivars with strict winter growth habits, and 28 days is an intermediate condition.

The study was carried out in two stages, growing plants independently for gene expression and phenotyping, and using the same growth chamber. For phenotyping, plants were grown in trays of 12 cells (650 cc per cell) from sowing until flowering. The number of days to the appearance of the first node at the base of the main stem, or stage 31 on the Zadoks scale (Z31), and the number of days to awn tipping or Z49 (Zadoks et al., 1974) were recorded. Four plants were assessed for each genotype and treatment. After discarding dead plants and outliers, data from the best three plants per treatment were kept. The phenotyping experiment lasted 130 days.

The gene expression experiment was carried out in two batches due to growth chamber capacity, split according to the females, due to space limitations. One subset comprised the hybrids derived from Female A and respective parents (Batch A), and the other subset included the hybrids coming from Female B and respective parents (Batch B). Therefore, the three male parents were present in the two batches. Plants were grown in trays of 35 cells (200 cc per cell), from sowing until sampling. In the second stage (phenotyping), undisturbed plants from all eleven genotypes were simultaneously assessed for developmental traits.

The last expanded leaf of four independent plants was sampled 17 and 35 days after the entry in the growth chamber (i.e., after the end of the respective vernalization treatment), 14 h into the light period (2 h before the end of the day). Samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen, homogenized (Mixer Mill model MM400, Retsch, Haan, Germany) and conserved at −80°C until RNA isolation. In principle, three plants were analyzed. If they were
clearly dissimilar, the fourth plant was also analyzed, and the best three were kept for further analysis.

**Gene Expression Analysis**

Using the Total RNA Mini Kit for Plants (IBI Scientific, Dubuque, IA, United States) RNA extraction was carried out following manufacturer instructions. Total RNA (1 μg) was employed for cDNA synthesis using SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, United States) and oligo (dT) 20 primer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, United States). RT PCR quantification (ABI 7500, Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, United States) was performed for samples from each time point and vernalization treatment. Four plants (biological replicates) per sampling time, treatment, and genotype were sampled. Three biological replicates and two technical replicates were tested per sample and pair of primers (VRN-H1, VRN-H2, VRN-H3, PPD-H1, HvODDSOC2, and PPD-H2). When outliers were detected, the fourth plant was also analyzed, and the three replicates which showed the best agreement were kept. Primer sequences and conditions are specified in Table 1. Gene expression levels were normalized to Actin expression, considering primer efficiencies. The average of the two technical replications of ΔCt (Ct Actin−Ct target gene) was used as the experimental unit for statistical analyses, to protect against small pipetting errors.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were carried out using R software (R Core Team, 2013). Differences in Z31, Z49, and the lag between the latter stages (Lag Z31−Z49) between genotypes and treatments were evaluated using the ANOVA procedure in R. The ANOVA model included genotype, vernalization treatment, and genotype by treatment interaction, all taken as fixed factors. The three plants sampled per genotype were considered biological replicates. The genotype factor was broken down into the most informative contrasts: hybrids vs. parents, females vs. males, and finally, hybrids from Female A vs. hybrids from Female B. Multiple comparisons were obtained by Fisher’s protected least significant differences (LSD) with the R package “emmeans” (Lenth et al., 2018).

Using the same statistical procedure, differences in vernalization sensitivity for Z31, Z49 and lag Z31−Z49 between genotypes and treatments (V8−V4, V4−V2, and V8−V2) were tested. Vernalization sensitivity comparing V8 and V4 treatments was calculated by subtracting the value for an individual observation in the 8-week vernalization treatment from the mean value of that genotype in the 4-week vernalization treatment, for each suitable variable. The same procedure was followed for each variable considering the V4−V2 or V8−V2 treatments.

For gene expression results, Batch A and Batch B were analyzed separately. The ANOVA model included genotype, treatment, sampling time, and factorial interactions. The analyses of variance were performed considering all factors (genotype, sampling time, and treatment) as fixed. The three biological replications were considered replicates. The contrasts defined were hybrids vs. parents, hybrids vs. females, hybrids vs. males, and females vs. males. Means were compared using the LSD test ($P < 0.05$).

A correlation network analysis was carried out with the R package “qgraph” (Epskamp et al., 2012). We performed a multiple factorial analysis (Pagès, 2002) using R packages “FactoMineR” (Lê et al., 2008) and “factoextra” (Kassambara and Mundt, 2017). This method summarizes and displays a complex data table in which individuals are described by several sets of variables (quantitative and/or qualitative) structured into groups. It requires balancing the influences of each set of variables. Therefore, the variables are weighted during the analysis. Variables in the same group are normalized using the same weighting value, which can vary from one group to another. In our case, we summarized the observations described by a set of variables structured into four groups (Treatment, Genotype, Development, and Gene expression). Genotype and treatment are groups based on categorical variables specifying the genotype identity of each individual and the vernalization treatment to which they were subjected. Development and gene expression quantitative variables were considered as active groups and their contribution was considered to define the distance between individuals. Each variable within a group was equally weighted, so the influence of each set of variables in the analysis was balanced.

**RESULTS**

Insufficient vernalization markedly extended the growth cycle of plants. At the complete vernalization treatment (V8), the duration of the two phases considered (time until Z31 and lag Z31−Z49) was rather similar. With increasingly insufficient vernalization, time to awn tipping (Z49) raised progressively. Most of this lengthening occurred in the period until the first node appearance (Z31), although additional delays were observed in the late reproductive phase (lag Z31−Z49), particularly for the female genotypes (Figures 1, 2).

**Vernalization Response of Parent and Hybrid Genotypes**

Differences in the length of developmental phases between genotypes were also detected (Supplementary Tables 2, 6). Male parents (the Mediterranean adapted) were earlier than female parents. In general, hybrids showed an intermediate phenotype between both parents for days to reach the jointing stage (Z31), and days to awn tipping in all vernalization treatments. However, the length of the late reproductive phase of the hybrids was closer to that of the male parents (Figures 1, 2 and Supplementary Table 3).

Parents and hybrids presented different vernalization responses (Figure 2). This differential behavior was mostly explained by changes in the duration of the phase until jointing, which was associated with the VRN-H1 allele present (negative correlation indicated in Supplementary Figure 1), and their dosage in the case of the hybrids. Increasing vernalization treatments minimized these differences between genotypes, especially in those triads (female, hybrid, male) where different vernalization alleles were crossed (Figure 2).
With complete vernalization (V8), all genotypes reached the Z31 stage in a similar range, although some differences were still evident. Male 1 and Male 2, carrying low (VRN-H1-4) and medium (VRN-H1-6) vernalization requirement alleles, were the earliest until Z31. Winter parents (vrn-H1), Male 3 and Female A, and Female B (VRN-H1-6), showed intrinsic lateness, reaching the Z31 stage 10 days later than the other male parents did. All six hybrids reached first node appearance at approximately the same time, independently of their VRN-H1 allele, and significantly earlier than their female parents (Figure 2).

When vernalization was moderate (V4), the differences in days to first node appearance between genotypes were accentuated (Figure 2). Male 1 (VRN-H1-4) maintained a short Z31 phase, only 8 days longer compared to V8. Male 2 (VRN-H1-6) and Male 3 (vrn-H1) were more affected by the reduction of the cold treatment, although they only delayed their Z31 date around 15 days compared to the V8 treatment. The female parents, in contrast, experienced a remarkable delay in days to first node appearance, particularly large for the winter Female A (40 days, Supplementary Figure 2). Interestingly, both Male 3 and Female A carry the strict winter vrn-H1 allele, but they differed almost 30 days at Z31 for the V4 treatment (Figure 2). This finding indicates that early alleles of Male 3 at genes other than VRN-H1 are having a shortening effect on the Z31 phase. This gene could be PPD-H2, as will be discussed later. For hybrids, in general, we observed intermediate phenotypes between the behaviors of their parents. Hybrids A1 and B1, carrying one copy of the VRN-H1-4 allele, were the least affected by the reduction in the cold treatment, reaching Z31 earlier than any other hybrid. Hybrids from Male 2 and Male 3 showed a longer delay in Z31 with reduced vernalization (Figure 2).

When vernalization was low (V2), the Z31 phase was prolonged by 15 days or more for most genotypes (compared to V4). The exception was the genotypes carrying the VRN-H1-4 allele, which reached Z31 considerably earlier than the rest of the genotypes (Figure 2) and had a low vernalization sensitivity (Supplementary Figure 2). Winter genotypes suffered the largest changes in time until Z31 when comparing V4 and V2, particularly Male 3 and its crosses. The differences between the females were even more pronounced in this treatment (V2), Female A reached Z31 30 days later than Female B (Figure 2). This agrees with the alleles that these genotypes carry at VRN-H1. Female A carries the winter allele (vrn-H1), characterized by a higher sensitivity to vernalization than the VRN-H1-6 allele of female B. This dissimilarity in Z31 dates between females translated into differences in Z31 duration also between A and B hybrids (Figure 2). For instance, Hybrid A2 (vrn-H1/VRN-H1-6) delayed the jointing stage 16 days more than Hybrid B2 (VRN-H1-6/VRN-H1-6) when reducing the vernalization treatment from 4 to 2 weeks (Supplementary Figure 2), indicating a dosage effect of winter VRN-H1 alleles. Particularly interesting in this treatment is the change in ranking observed in the slower developing parents. Male 3, which bears the active allele at PPD-H2, reached Z31 earlier than both female parents under moderate (V4) to complete (V8) vernalization. By contrast, at V2, Male 3 (vrn-H1) delayed significantly its early development, reaching the Z31 stage later than Female B (VRN-H1-6) and almost at the same time as Female A (vrn-H1) (Figure 2). The striking reduction in time to jointing of Male 3 and Hybrid B3 with moderate vernalization, but not in the low vernalization treatment, agrees well with the hypothesis that PPD-H2 needs some cold to come into play (Monteagudo et al., 2019b).

In summary, the Z31 phase was clearly the most sensitive period to the cold treatment. Vernalization sensitivity differed between genotypes (Supplementary Tables 2, 7), and seemed related to the VRN-H1 allele present and proportional to the VRN-H1 allele dosage. Genotypes carrying the low vernalization requirement allele VRN-H1-4 reached the jointing stage earlier than the rest of the genotypes regardless of the vernalization treatment. In contrast, genotypes carrying winter vrn-H1 alleles increased steeply the time to reach Z31 stage in the low vernalization treatment. Genotypes with a VRN-H1-6 allele delayed jointing stage under insufficient vernalization, but not as much as strict winter types. We recoded VRN-H1 alleles as a...
FIGURE 2 | Developmental differences of triads of genotypes (Female, Hybrid, and Male) grown under 16 h light, in response to different vernalization treatments (V2: 2 weeks of vernalization, V4: 4 weeks of vernalization, V8: 8 weeks of vernalization; 4–8°C, 8 h light). Days to first node appearance (Z31) are represented as the height of the orange bars, days to awn tipping (Z49) are represented as the total height of the bars, and the lag period between Z31 and Z49 (LagZ31–Z49) is represented as the segment in blue. Genotypes from Batch A are shown in the upper part of the graph, whereas genotypes from Batch B are shown in the bottom part of the graph. Each of the three columns of facets represents a vernalization treatment, low on the left, moderate in the middle, and complete on the right. Each subplot within each facet contains one triad of genotypes composed of one female parent on the left, the male parent on the right, and the hybrid in the middle. Error bars are 95% CIs for Z31 and LagZ31–Z49. For each developmental variable, bars with a different letter are significantly different at \( P < 0.05 \). Letters in red represent the comparison of the means for Z49.

categorical variable with integer numbers roughly proportional to their associated vernalization response (1-2-3, for VRN-H1-4, VRNH1-6, and vrn-H1, respectively). We calculated a synthetic vernalization score by adding the values for the two alleles carried by each genotype. A regression of vernalization sensitivity (in this case, the difference V8–V2 for Z31) on the genotypic score produced a very good fit (Figure 3), supporting the dosage effect of VRN-H1.

The differences observed for the jointing phase were maintained until awn tipping but modulated by the effect of other genes on the late reproductive phase, likely VRN-H3 and PPD-H2 (Supplementary Figure 1). Male 1 and its crosses showed a constant and rather long lag Z31–Z49 phase across cold treatments. Conversely, Male 2 and its hybrids stood out for a consistent short late reproductive phase across treatments, probably because they carry the fast vrn-H3c(1) allele at VRN-H3. This additional precocity allowed them to be the fastest genotypes in reaching Z49 in V8, among parents and hybrids, respectively, even earlier than VRN-H1-4 carriers. Male 3 and its crosses also showed a particularly short lag Z31–Z49 phase, but only at V8. Interestingly, female parents differed in their late reproductive phase duration patterns. Female A showed a constant duration of the late reproductive phase across treatments, whereas Female B showed a lag Z31–Z49 phase increasingly long with decreasing vernalization treatments (Figure 2). This observation was not translated into the hybrids, as they more closely resembled their male parents in the duration of the late reproductive phase.

Focusing on inheritance, hybrids derived from Male 1 and Male 2 showed an intermediate phenotype for Z31 and Z49, between the early male parents and the late female parents, in all vernalization treatments. Nevertheless, the lag Z31–Z49 phase of Male 2 hybrids was as short as that of their male parent.
FIGURE 3 | Regression of vernalization sensitivity (duration until Z31 at V2 minus duration until Z31 in V8) on the allelic constitution at VRN-H1, coded as 1 (VRN-H1-4), 2 (VRN-H1-6), or 3 (vrn-H1), proportional to the vernalization requirement induced by each allele. The number within brackets indicates the vernalization requirement score of the genotype, according to their VRN-H1 alleles.

(Figure 2), indicating the dominance of the Male 2 early allele controlling this phase. Hybrids A3 and B3, in contrast to the other hybrids, did not show a consistent intermediate phenotype between their parents. In the low and complete vernalization treatments, hybrids A3 and B3 headed Z49 as early as the early parent, due to a dominant short late reproductive phase similar to that of Male 3 (Figure 2).

Gene Expression

Differences among genotypes were detected for the expression of all genes tested (Supplementary Tables 4, 5, 8, 9).

In all genotypes, VRN-H1 expression increased gradually with increasing duration of vernalization (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 3), although differences between VRN-H1 alleles were evident. Male 1 and its hybrids, carrying VRN-H1-4, were the only genotypes showing upregulated VRN-H1 expression after just 2 weeks of vernalization. After vernalization for 4 weeks, VRN-H1 expression also reached high levels in Male 2 and Hybrid B2, both carrying the medium vernalization requirement allele VRN-H1-6. The rest of the parents and hybrids required 8 weeks of cold to show high VRN-H1 transcript levels (Figures 4A,D). However, not all differences in VRN-H1 expression levels were due to allelic differences. For instance, both Female B and Male 2 carry the VRN-H1-6 allele but present different VRN-H1 expression at V4 (Figures 4A,D). Hybrid B2 had the same (higher) VRN-H1 expression as Male 2, indicating higher repression of VRN-H1 in Female B, which is lost in the hybrid. In general, VRN-H1 expression levels paralleled plant development patterns across genotypes and treatments.

When vernalization was complete, all parents and hybrids showed a high VRN-H1 expression, probably caused by saturating vernalization requirements. With incomplete vernalization, however, VRN-H1 expression in hybrids was, in general, intermediate between their parents (Figures 4A,D). Additive gene action caused by a dosage effect of VRN-H1 was visible at the gene expression level, supporting the hypothesis that the duration of the phase until jointing is related to the effect of this gene. This was apparent when comparing Hybrid A2 (vrn-H1/VRN-H1-6), which did not peak until V8 (Figure 4A), with Hybrid B2 (VRN-H1-6/VRN-H1-6), in which VRN-H1 expression peaked already with 4 weeks of vernalization (Figure 4D).

All lines carried the active VRN-H2 allele, but differences in its expression were observed (Figures 4B,E). As expected, VRN-H2 expression decreased with increasing duration of the vernalization treatment, and inversely correlated to the expression of VRN-H1. A similar trend was observed for HvODDSOC2 (Supplementary Figures 4B,E). Nevertheless, there were some differences in VRN-H2 expression among genotypes carrying the same winter VRN-H1 allele. Indeed, at V4 and V8 treatments, the repression of VRN-H2 in Male 3 and Hybrid A3 was higher than in the Female A (Figure 4B), despite all being winter types. This result agrees with an antagonistic relationship between VRN-H2 and PPD-H2 (present only in Male 3 and its hybrids).

With increasing duration of vernalization (Figures 4C,F), VRN-H3 expression increased, and followed closely that of VRN-H1. There were no apparent differences in expression between VRN-H3 alleles. Again, we could observe differences in expression among the two winter parents (Female A and Male 3), with Male 3 showing the highest VRN-H3 expression in all vernalization treatments.

Across vernalization treatments and genotypes, PPD-H1 expression was consistently high. All genotypes assessed in
the experiment carry the photoperiod-sensitive PPD-H1 allele (Supplementary Figure 4D).

Expression of PPD-H2 was detected in all genotypes that carried the gene, i.e., Male 3, Hybrid A3, and Hybrid B3 (Supplementary Figures 4, 5). PPD-H2 expression was detected after 4 and 8 weeks of cold, but not in the 2-week vernalization treatment, confirming that a cold period is needed to induce its expression in winter genotypes. Expression in Male 3 and Hybrid B3 in the V4 and V8 treatments was similar, indicating the dominance of the active PPD-H2 allele (Supplementary Figure 5F).

Associations Between Developmental Phases and Flowering Time Genes Expression
We performed a multiple factorial analysis (MFA) to examine patterns of relationships between developmental phases and gene expression averaged over the two sampling dates (Supplementary Figure 6). The expression of flowering inducers VRN-H1, PPD-H1 (ns), VRN-H3, and PPD-H2 showed a negative correlation with the length of developmental phases (Figure 5 and Supplementary Figure 1), i.e., higher expression of gene inducers was related to earliness. On the contrary, the expression of flowering repressors VRN-H2 and HvODDSOC2 showed a positive correlation with the length of developmental phases and negative correlations with the flowering inducers, positioned in the opposite semicircle (Figure 5). The first dimension, accounting for almost 60% of the variance, summarized the time to reach the jointing stage and awn tipping, and the expression of the regulators involved in the control of the length of these periods, i.e., vernalization genes VRN-H1 and VRN-H2, and HvODDSOC2 and VRN-H3. The closeness of Z49 and Z31 vectors is explained by a correlation coefficient of 0.98 between the two variables. The duration of the lag Z31–Z49 had large loadings on the two dimensions and was relatively independent

FIGURE 4 | Relative expression levels, at 35 days of growth in each treatment, of VRN-H1 (A,D), VRN-H2 (B,E), and VRN-H3 (C,F) assayed by qRT-PCR in triads of barley genotypes (Female, Hybrid, Male) grown under 16 h light, in response to different vernalization treatments (V2: 2 weeks of vernalization, V4: 4 weeks of vernalization, V8: 8 weeks of vernalization; 4–8°C, 8 h light). Plots (A–C) correspond to Female A crosses (Batch A). Plots (D–F) correspond to Female B crosses (Batch B). Each plot is divided into three facets, each of them containing gene expression assayed for one vernalization treatment, and the three triads of genotypes composed of one female parent in blue, the male parent in gray, and the hybrid in yellow. The triads are represented as abbreviations of the crosses between the parents, e.g., FA × M1: Female A × Male 1. The results shown are normalized to the level of the housekeeping gene Actin for each genotype and treatment. Mean of 3 biological replicates. Error bars represent the SEM. For each gene and batch, bars with a different letter are significantly different at P < 0.05, according to ANOVA that included genotypes and all treatments.
of the duration until Z31. The second dimension (12% of the variance) was related to the duration of the lag Z31–Z49, the expression of PPD-H2 and, to a lesser extent, VRN-H2 and HvODDSOC2, reflecting the negative correlation between PPD-H2 and the duration of the lag Z31–Z49. The variable PPD-H1 was located close to the origin, indicating a poor representation on the factor map.

When plotting the individuals in the MFA, we could observe that the first axis mainly opposed the genotypes in the V8 and V2 treatments (Supplementary Figure 7). The genotypes Female A and Hybrid A3, carrying winter alleles at VRN-H1, showed the highest positive coordinates in the x-axis, which were positively correlated with a longer Z31 phase and a lower expression of VRN-H1. In contrast, genotypes characterized by a lower vernalization sensitivity, Male 1 and Male 2, showed the lowest negative coordinates, indicating shorter periods until the first node appearance and higher expression of VRN-H1. The second axis was essentially associated with genotypes Hybrid A2, Hybrid B3, Male 2, and Male 3, characterized by a short lag Z31–Z49 phase and low values of VRN-H2 expression. On the opposite side of this axis, genotypes Female B and Hybrid A1 showed a consistently long late reproductive phase.

We detected variation in the length of the late reproductive phase, and its response to vernalization, which seemed related to the VRN-H3 allele. We observed that those genotypes carrying the vrn-H3c(1) allele presented a short late reproductive phase independently of vernalization. Male 2 and its hybrids carry this allele and are represented by horizontal ellipses located on the positive side of the y-axis of the individuals’ MFA for genotypes (Supplementary Figure 8). In contrast, those genotypes carrying one or two copies of the vrn-H3d(1) allele (Female A, Male 1, and derived hybrids) showed a constant and long duration of the late reproductive phase across treatments, and their ellipses are located in the negative coordinates of the y-axis (Supplementary Figure 8). Besides, Male 1 and Hybrid A1 were represented by small ellipses, pointing out a reduced variance in their responses across vernalization treatments. In contrast, Hybrid B3 showed a more vertical distribution, indicating higher variance for the second axis, related to lag Z31–Z49 phase, PPD-H2, and VRN-H2 expression (Supplementary Figure 8).

**DISCUSSION**

Climate change is challenging current agricultural practices, posing questions about the best combinations of genotype × environment × management options for the near future (Cooper et al., 2021). Sheehan and Bentley (2021) recently pointed out the need for greater flexibility in varietal flowering time to sustain United Kingdom wheat productivity, a view that can be easily extended to barley, and to other geographical areas. Other adaptation strategies that extend the catalog of possibilities include shifts in the sowing date. Recent studies suggest shifting toward earlier sowings to offset climate change impacts and increase cereal yields in the future scenario (Zheng et al., 2012; Hunt et al., 2019). Phenological adjustment of barley hybrids requires acquiring detailed knowledge of the functioning of major flowering time genes in heterozygosis. Our experiment supposes the first step in this direction. We exposed a set of hybrids and their parents to a range of vernalization conditions, which has provided some new insights for phenology management in hybrid barley breeding.

**Flowering Time in Hybrids Is Intermediate Between Parents**

The Mediterranean-adapted lines used as pollinators were earlier than the central European elite lines used as female parents, whereas the hybrids were intermediate. This was true for both Z31 (jointing) and Z49 (awn tipping) stages, across all vernalization treatments, indicating the presence of additive inheritance. Additivity was not complete, however, as hybrids reached the Z31 stage significantly later than the average of parental lines (1.33 days, p-value < 0.001). In contrast, hybrids headed significantly earlier than the parental average (1.21 days, p-value < 0.01), due to a shorter late reproductive phase of hybrids, compared to the parental average (2.55 days, p-value < 0.001). The addition of the two phases resulted in slight heterosis toward earliness for Z49, which is a common finding in cereals, as reported for wheat (Borghi et al., 1988; Barbosa-Neto et al., 1996; Ahmed et al., 2000; Corbellini et al., 2002; Dreisigacker et al., 2005; Longin et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2014; Al-Ashkar et al., 2020), triticale (Oettler et al., 2001), and barley (Zali and Allard, 1976; Oury et al., 2000; Bernhard et al., 2017). However, we
revealed a distinct gene action at each developmental stage, with the prevalence of additivity in the foundation phase (up to jointing), and a trend toward dominance for earliness in the construction phase. This was not unexpected, as the different genetic control of the length of the preanthesis phenological phases in winter cereals is well supported by strong experimental evidence (Slafer and Rawson, 1994; Miralles and Richards, 2000; González et al., 2002; Gol et al., 2017; Ochagavia et al., 2018).

**Vernalization Mostly Affects the Foundation Growth Period, Which Is Controlled by Allelic Variation at VRN-H1/VRN-H2 Genes**

The vernalization treatments reduced the duration of the time until Z31 (72% on average, comparing V8 with V2), and lag Z31–Z49 (23% on average). Therefore, the sensitivity to vernalization mostly affected the vegetative and early reproductive phases, largely in agreement with the literature (Flood and Halloran, 1984; Griffiths et al., 1985; Roberts et al., 1988; Slafer and Rawson, 1994; Whitechurch et al., 2007), although strong effects of vernalization on the duration of the construction phase have also been reported (González et al., 2002). We observed this last effect only for the females.

Despite testing very different genotypes, the agreement between phenological development and gene expression supported our assumption that the range of responses to vernalization can largely be traced to the effect of the alleles present in VRN-H1. The multifactorial analysis indicated that the length until the reproductive transition (Z31) was associated with the pattern of expression of VRN-H1 and VRN-H2 genes, whose epistatic interaction controls the response to vernalization (von Zitzewitz et al., 2005). A novel finding of this study is that the length of the cold treatment needed to induce the expression of VRN-H1, as well as the degree of promotion toward flowering, depended on the allele constitution and dosage at VRN-H1. The dynamics of expression of VRN-H1 alleles in the parents responded to the expectations of the gradual vernalization requirements induced by the three alleles. Thus, parents carrying the VRN-H1-4 allele showed higher VRN-H1 expression and accelerated development after just 2 weeks of cold; VRN-H1-6 parents needed at least 4 weeks to reach the same point, whereas those carrying the vrn-H1 allele required 8 weeks. The comparisons between homozygotes (parents and hybrids) indicated gradually decreasing vernalization requirements induced by alleles vrn-H1, VRN-H1-6, and VRN-H1-4, which confirms the gradation in the strength of flowering promotion displayed by the allelic series at VRN-H1 (Takahashi and Yasuda, 1971; Szücs et al., 2007; Casao et al., 2011a).

**Additive Inheritance of VRN-H1 Winter Alleles**

The use of parental lines with different VRN-H1 alleles provided the opportunity to assess the gene action at this locus. When VRN-H1 alleles were confronted in the crosses, we observed intermediate Z31 and Z49 phenotypes (and VRN-H1 expression) between the early and late alleles indicating additivity of the effect of winter VRN-H1 alleles. In triads where there was no variation for VRN-H1, the phenotypic differences between genotypes were small, regardless of the vernalization treatment, and most likely due to other genes.

The prevalent view among geneticists indicates the dominance of the spring growth habit over the winter type (Takahashi and Yasuda, 1971; Dubcovsky et al., 2005; Fu et al., 2005). This view is supported by a dominant inheritance of the gene VRN-H1 at the expression level in spring × winter crosses (Haas et al., 2020). Our results challenge this view. In fact, a review of the literature finds other results in agreement with ours. Some studies found hybrids with intermediate flowering dates between parents carrying spring VRN-H1 and winter VRN-H1 alleles. While complete dominance may occur in particular environmental conditions, experiments covering a wider and more realistic range of conditions revealed that additivity is the rule more than the exception in the vernalization process (Kóti et al., 2006; Szücs et al., 2007). The additivity in the inheritance of winter VRN-H1 alleles has agronomic implications. It expands the range of barley flowering time and vernalization responses available using hybrid combinations and can be used by breeders to fine-tune varietal vernalization needs to the target environments.

A reduced vernalization requirement, matching winter harshness level, may cause timely flowering and enhance yield. In fact, earliness conferred by VRN-H1-4 was associated with increased grain yield in warm sites prone to the occurrence of terminal water stress (Mansour et al., 2014). Therefore, it seems a good choice to deploy in barley breeding for future scenarios in which current vernalization potential will be reduced, either in homozygosis or in hybrid combinations with other alleles.

**The Construction Growth Period Shows a Dominant Inheritance Controlled by FT-Family Genes**

Effects of VRN-H1, VRN-H2, and PPD-H2 have been associated mainly with the length of the vegetative and early reproductive phases (Gol et al., 2017; Mulki et al., 2018). VRN-H3 and PPD-H1, however, seem to affect the length of the late reproductive phase (Alqudah et al., 2014). In this experiment, PPD-H2 expression apparently affected the duration of the jointing phase, but mostly the late reproductive phase, as also noticed by Casao et al. (2011).

We observed that the presence of PPD-H2 modulated the responses of the VRN-H1 alleles. In the two genotype triads involving a functional PPD-H2 allele (including Male 3), we detected differences in the duration of development until the initiation of the jointing phase, which did not match the expectations based solely on their VRN-H1 alleles. Male 3 and its hybrids showed a steeper reduction in development time, in response to moderate and complete vernalization than the female parents (both carrying a non-functional ppd-H2 allele). However, this effect was absent in the low vernalization treatment: Female
B (VRN-H1-6) was earlier at Z49 than Male 3 (vrn-H1) at V2, but this order was reversed at V4. Concurrent with this crossover of cycle duration, we detected PPD-H2 expression, in the male or the hybrid, only after 4 and 8 weeks of cold, but not in the 2-week vernalization treatment. This suggests that PPD-H2 responds not only to photoperiod, but also to vernalization, and helps to accelerate development only after some vernalization has occurred (between 2 and 4 weeks in this case). This result agrees with Monteagudo et al. (2019b) who found that PPD-H2 expression required some developmental trigger (either a cold period or advanced plant age) in winter barleys. Moreover, the earliness effect of PPD-H2 was highly conspicuous in the shortening of the late reproductive phase of the hybrid and male when fully vernalized. As a result, hybrids carrying PPD-H2 flowered at least as early as the earlier parent when vernalization was fully satisfied, indicating the dominance of the PPD-H2 functional allele.

Boosting the development by ensuring timely completion of the cycle, PPD-H2 is predominant in spring barleys. However, its agronomic merit in winter barley is not clear. Previous studies indicate that this gene acts as a safeguard mechanism in winter barleys, promoting spikelet initiation under short days, and reducing vernalization requirement under long days (Casao et al., 2011b; Mulki et al., 2018). Also, PPD-H2 seems to have an adaptive role, confirmed by its influence on key agronomic traits (Cuesta-Marcos et al., 2009; Mansour et al., 2018; Sharma et al., 2018; Monteagudo et al., 2019a). We have shown that one single functional PPD-H2 allele in a winter barley hybrid does accelerate flowering under insufficient vernalization (provided a minimum vernalization threshold is supplied). Therefore, our data support the role of PPD-H2 as a source of earliness, to promote timely growth in warm winters with incomplete vernalization, which can be included in the formulation of hybrids for areas with mild winters.

On the other hand, VRN-H3 allelic variation also contributed to differences in the length of the late reproductive phase. The vrn-H3c(1) allele was associated with a short late reproductive phase independently of vernalization, showing partial dominance in the hybrids. This allele combines an early promoter with an early intron haplotype and has been associated with the earliest flowering in both, a landrace collection of predominantly winter barleys (SBCC) (Casas et al., 2011), and a cross of two spring cultivars (Casas et al., 2021). In contrast, the vrn-H3d(1) allele, characterized by a late promoter and early intron haplotype, seems to confer a long and constant duration of the reproductive phase, independently of vernalization. The late reproductive phase determines the potential number of grains; therefore, this allele could be a stable resource for breeders aiming at high-yielding varieties.

Suggestions and Perspectives
This work shows the wide range of vernalization responses and flowering times that barley, and in particular hybrids, can display. From this information, the breeder can choose the earliness combination that best suits the conditions of each target environment. Here are some suggestions for allelic combinations that might work well, depending on the environment.

In climates where winters are long and cold, similar to our V8 treatment, and where we could expect that the vernalization needs will be completely satisfied, any of the tested hybrids would reach heading in a suitable date. However, to maximize yield, the breeder should choose a hybrid that matches the length of the LRP with the resource availability. In this sense, in environments prone to terminal stress, hybrids with a short LRP would have a better chance of escaping stress, which could be achieved with the vrn-H3c(1) allele or the PPD-H2 allele. In contrast, in those environments where the end of the cycle benefits from optimal conditions, hybrids with a long LRP could enhance yield. In this scenario, the vrn-H3d(1) or vrn-H3a(1) allele could provide the effect we are looking for.

In those climates where winters are becoming warmer, comparable to our V4 treatment, where the cold needs might be compromised, the hybrid options that would flower on time are reduced. Hybrids carrying a dominant PPD-H2 allele would be a suitable option when at least 4 weeks of cold were ensured. However, if the vernalization period decreased under 4 weeks, the delay in flowering time could negatively affect yield. A similar situation could be expected in hybrids with the VRN-H1-6/vrn-H1 allelic combination. These could stand a certain decrease in the cold period duration, but not less than 4 weeks.

Under those circumstances, the safest option to ensure prompt flowering in the climate change scenario would be to use a hybrid with at least one VRN-H1-4 allele or two VRN-H1-6 alleles. Using these hybrid combinations should guarantee to maintain a suitable flowering even when the cold period is reduced under 4 weeks (V2 treatment).

In conclusion, although based on a small set of genotypes, we have demonstrated that hybrids can show a more nuanced response to insufficient vernalization than inbred lines. We also show that these phenotypic responses agree with the expression levels of main developmental genes. New options are proposed to manage time to flowering based on specific alleles and, particularly, the duration of developmental phases that build yield potential in hybrid barley. Our results highlight that hybrid combinations extend the available catalog of genetic responses to vernalization, which would be useful for adaptation to environmental conditions representing expected climate change trends.
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