Attenuated diphtheria toxin mediates siRNA delivery
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Toxins efficiently deliver cargo to cells by binding to cell surface ligands, initiating endocytosis, and escaping the endolysosomal pathway into the cytoplasm. We took advantage of this delivery pathway by conjugating an attenuated diphtheria toxin to siRNA, thereby achieving gene downregulation in patient-derived glioblastoma cells. We delivered siRNA against integrin-β1 (ITGB1)—a gene that promotes invasion and metastasis—and siRNA against eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit b (eIF-3b)—a survival gene. We demonstrated mRNA downregulation of both genes and the corresponding functional outcomes: knockdown of ITGB1 led to a significant inhibition of invasion, shown with an innovative 3D hydrogel model; and knockdown of eIF-3b resulted in significant cell death. This is the first example of diphtheria toxin being used to deliver siRNAs, and the first time a toxin-based siRNA delivery strategy has been shown to induce relevant genotypic and phenotypic effects in cancer cells.

INTRODUCTION

Pathogens produced by bacteria have evolved over millions of years to achieve highly sophisticated mechanisms of penetrating cells, responding to intracellular cues to guide their trafficking, and to deliver their payload to a desired site of action. In particular, “AB”-type toxins, such as anthrax toxin (AT) and diphtheria toxin (DT), bind to the cell surface, are endocytosed, escape the endosomal pathway, and translocate into the cytosol (1). These toxins are made up of three domains: an active (toxic) domain, “A,” that can be mutated to attenuate its toxicity for delivery applications (i.e., “a”) (2); a translocation domain, “T,” that facilitates escape from the endosomes; and a receptor binding domain, “R,” that binds a receptor on the cell surface for targeting and inducing endocytosis (Fig. 1A) (3). Together, the T and R domains are referred to as one “B” subunit that brings the A domain into cells, hence the terminology AB toxins. Taking advantage of the cell entry mechanism of AB toxins through cargo-toxin fusion constructs has been explored for delivery of diverse protein cargos including peptides, effector proteins, and antibody-like proteins (2, 4). There has been only one example of oligonucleotide delivery with attenuated AT reported; however, this preliminary study did not demonstrate any functional effects of gene knockdown (5).

Oligonucleotides, such as small interfering RNAs (siRNAs), are powerful tools to regulate gene expression in diseased cells, with the potential to return them to a normal phenotype (6). However, therapeutically siRNA delivery represents a significant clinical challenge because of the many physiological barriers that must be overcome for efficacy, as well as the toxicity and immunogenicity of many currently used delivery vehicles (7, 8). Commonly, siRNAs are delivered via liposomal or polymeric nanoparticle formulations, which are reviewed extensively elsewhere (9). One of the biggest barriers to siRNA delivery is entrapment in the endolysosomal pathway after endocytosis, quickly leading to degradation of the fragile, nuclease-prone siRNA (10). Strategies that enhance the endosomal escape of siRNA have recently attracted much attention (11, 12). Many of these strategies use liposomal formulations for the delivery of siRNA; however, the biodistribution of these nanoparticles is largely limited to clearance organs such as the liver and kidney (13). To overcome biodistribution challenges, targeting proteins such as antibodies have been explored to deliver siRNAs to specific tissues with some success (14, 15); however, only a very small percentage of antibody-siRNA conjugates escape the endosomal pathway, limiting their efficacy (16). AB toxins, such as DT, have the capacity for both endosomal escape and specific targeting (17) and are thus attractive candidates for siRNA delivery into the cytoplasm (Fig. 1B).

We explored whether attenuated DT (aDT) could be used as a delivery vehicle for siRNAs against two cancer gene targets: (i) eIF-3b, a transcription factor that is overexpressed in many cancers and the inhibition of which slows growth and initiates apoptosis (18), and (ii) ITGB1, which is involved in the formation of focal adhesions and activation of actin rearrangement, the inhibition of which reduces cellular invasion and metastasis (19). We chose to focus on the delivery of siRNA to glioblastoma (GBM) cells, and specifically GBM stem cell (GSC)–enriched cultures, because of the following: (i) DT has been reported to cross the blood brain barrier, making it an attractive vehicle against intractable diseases like GBM (20); however, this has yet to be tested with a DT-siRNA conjugate. (ii) The DT receptor, heparin-binding epidermal growth factor (HBEGF), is widely expressed in the central nervous system (21) and is overexpressed in at least a subset of malignant gliomas (22). (iii) There is a growing body of evidence that the aggressiveness of GBM is, at least in part, caused by the GSC subpopulation (23). (iv) The GSCs are rapidly dividing and highly invasive (24), allowing functional readouts for both cell viability and invasiveness. For the purposes of these studies, we chose to use Dicer-substrate siRNAs, 27-mer siRNAs that are processed by the Dicer enzyme within the cell and are typically more potent than the standard 21- to 23-mer siRNA equivalent (25).

We conjugated an engineered aDT to Dicer-substrate siRNAs against eIF-3b and ITGB1 using azide-alkyne click chemistry. There are many conjugation strategies available to conjugate cargo to proteins; however, the essential disulfide bond linking the A and T domains of DT precluded the use of reducing agents during synthesis
of the aDT-siRNA conjugate (26). The click chemistry approach that we used was efficient, bio-orthogonal, produced no by-products (27), and maintained the integrity of the rest of the protein by avoiding the use of reducing agents. We examined the biological effects of these conjugates by measuring mRNA expression levels, assessed cell viability and invasiveness, and thus demonstrate this platform strategy in vitro with both gene knockdown and functional assays in primary human GBM cells.

**RESULTS**

**Conjugation of siRNA to aDT**

For aDT-mediated siRNA delivery, we used an engineered aDT containing a cysteine for chemical modification, a SUMO tag for increased stability, and a His tag for purification (Fig. 2A). We modified this aDT-cysteine variant with a maleimide-modified cross-linker containing a dibenzocyclooctyne (DBCO) as a handle for further chemical modification (Fig. 2B). Successful synthesis of aDT-DBCO was demonstrated by absorbance, with clear peaks at 280 nm (representing the DT) and 309 nm (representing the DBCO). The peak at 309 nm was absent in aDT alone (Fig. 2C). We then reacted Dicer-substrate siRNAs containing an azide functionality at the 3′-end of the sense strand with the aDT-DBCO to form the aDT-siRNA conjugate (Fig. 2D). Previous studies have shown that conjugation of Dicer-substrate siRNAs through the 3′-end of the sense strand leads to more potent gene suppression than conjugation at the 5′-end of the sense strand or either terminus of the antisense strand (28).

High (5 to 10) equivalents of the siRNA were required to obtain an adequate (~50%) conjugation efficiency at 37°C (fig. S1). However, we found that we could achieve 55% conjugation of aDT to siRNA with only one equivalent of siRNA by adapting a method by Takemoto et al. (29) wherein the aDT-siRNA mixture was incubated for 1 hour at room temperature, frozen at −20°C, and then thawed for 1 hour at 4°C (Fig. 2E). We purified the aDT-siRNA by conjugating it to nickel beads via the His tag on the aDT and washing away the excess siRNA, with only a small amount (~15%) of unbound siRNA remaining (Fig. 2F). The aDT-siRNA demonstrated similar stability to siRNA against serum nucleases (fig. S2), which would be encountered both in the extracellular environment and inside the endolysosomal pathway.

**GSCs express HBEGF**

The GSCs used in this study were patient-derived GBM cells grown under stem cell conditions, as previously described (30). We verified that aDT was a good candidate for targeting and internalization in GSCs by both staining the cells for the DT receptor, HBEGF, which was abundantly evident (green staining in Fig. 3), and measuring the half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) of the wild-type (toxic)
DT against these cells to ensure the correct mechanism of action. The IC$_{50}$ of the wild-type DT in the GSCs was 6.0 ± 1.0 pM (fig. S3A), indicating high sensitivity to the toxin and a conserved internalization and translocation mechanism therein. We confirmed that the sensitivity of GBM cells to the DT could be generalized to other GBM cell lines (fig. S3B). All further experiments were conducted with a non–wild-type DT with an insignificant level of toxicity as previously reported (2).

**aDT-siRNA conjugate down-regulates ITGB1 and reduces cellular invasion**

We conjugated aDT to Dicer-substrate siRNA against two relevant gene targets: ITGB1 (to make aDT-ITGB1) and eIF-3b (to make aDT–eIF-3b). We treated the GSCs with the aDT-ITGB1 conjugate and observed a significant reduction in the target mRNA compared to negative controls of siRNA only (without Lipofectamine) and aDT conjugated to a nontargeting siRNA (aDT-NT) at 50 nM (Fig. 4A). siRNA-only negative control indicates that delivery to the cells is mediated by the DT via the HBEGF receptor and that the small amount of unbound siRNA in the system is not affecting gene knockdown. To ascertain whether the reduction in ITGB1 expression would correspond to a phenotype of either reduced invasion or adhesion, we seeded the cells on top of a cross-linked hyaluronic acid–based three-dimensional (3D) hydrogel, which the cells normally invade (Fig. 4B) (31). Impressively, we observed a notable decrease in invasiveness in aDT-ITGB1–treated cells compared to untreated or aDT-NT controls (Fig. 4, C and D).
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**Fig. 2. siRNAs can be conjugated to aDT.** (A) aDT was engineered to contain a free cysteine as a functional handle (aDT-SH), protected by a SUMO tag and purified using a histidine (His) tag. (B) aDT was reacted with a PEG cross-linker containing both maleimide and DBCO functional groups to obtain DBCO-modified aDT (aDT-DBCO). (C) The presence of the DBCO modification on aDT was confirmed by measuring the absorbance at 280 nm (aDT) and 309 nm (DBCO). Curves shown are aDT before modification (blue) and after DBCO modification (red), $A_{280}$ absorbance at 280 nm. (D) Azide-modified siRNA was reacted with the DBCO-functionalized aDT to obtain the aDT-siRNA conjugate. RT, room temperature. (E) Modification of the aDT with the siRNA was confirmed via polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) stained with Coomassie blue to localize the DT protein. Lane 1 shows the aDT-DBCO starting material ($M_r$~72,000), and lane 2 shows the aDT-siRNA conjugate ($M_r$~90,000) alone with some unreacted starting material. (F) Purification of the excess siRNA was confirmed via PAGE stained with GelRed to localize the siRNA. Lane 3 shows the aDT-siRNA conjugate along with unreacted siRNA; lane 4 shows the aDT-siRNA conjugate after nickel column purification, with only a small amount of excess siRNA left over.

![Fig. 3. GSCs express HBEGF, the native receptor for DT.](image)

**Fig. 3. GSCs express HBEGF, the native receptor for DT.** Representative confocal images are shown for HBEGF (anti-HBEGF antibody; green) and nucleus (Hoechst; blue). The secondary antibody–only control confirms lack of nonspecific binding. Scale bars, 50 μm.
affect the reduced cell invasion observed with aDT-ITGB1 treatment (Fig. 4, E and F).

**aDT-siRNA conjugate down-regulates eIF-3b and reduces cell viability**

aDT–eIF-3b–treated GBM cells exhibited significant down-regulation in the target mRNA compared to the relevant negative controls of siRNA only (without Lipofectamine) and aDT-NT at 50 nM (Fig. 5A). We confirmed that the eIF-3b siRNA could reduce cell viability in the GSCs by complexing eIF-3b siRNA and NT siRNA with a commercially available transfection reagent and observing a significant difference in cell viability with eIF-3b siRNA–mediated knockdown (fig. S4). Furthermore, we observed a significant (albeit modest) reduction of cell viability with an aDT–eIF-3b concentration of 100 nM (Fig. 5B). These data also demonstrate that aDT (as aDT-NT) is non-toxic at a concentration of up to 100 nM (Fig. 5B). A competition
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nature of DT-mediated drug delivery and its potential as a previously
stable treatment strategy for aggressive cancers such as GBM. 
adt is an advantageous siRNA delivery vehicle for GBM treatment
for two main reasons: (i) targeting via the receptor binding domain
and (ii) endosomal escape via the translocation domain. HBEFG proved
to be a good target for siRNA delivery, as it is expressed in the GSCs
that are used in this study and is widely expressed in brain tissue
(21). It is essential to develop strategies that can target the GSCs in
addition to the bulk tumor, as GSCs may be responsible for tumor recurrence (32).

Endosomal escape is important for the delivery of siRNAs to avoid
trafficking into the late endosomes/lysosomes and subsequent degrada-
tion by nucleases (33). aDT has been reported to translocate out
of the endolysosomal pathway at a relatively early endosomal stage
(pH, ~6.5), protecting its cargo from the harsh degradation envi-
ronment of the lysosomes (34). The aDT and its cargo translocate
through a flexible α-helical pore (2), making endosomal escape
difficult to assay directly because most current methods measure
widespread membrane leakage (35). However, the gene knockdown
and subsequent phenotypic effects of siRNA delivery that we ob-
served confirmed successful aDT-mediated translocation, as previous
work has shown that without translocation and endosomal escape,
the aDT cannot deliver cargo (2). We confirmed the robust
nature of the aDT-siRNA system by down-regulating two genes of
interest in the target cells. In comparison, in systems that do not
have inherent endosomal escape capabilities, such as antibody-siRNA
conjugates, delivery has been shown to be highly target dependent
(16). While AT was used for siRNA delivery previously, knockdown
of only a proof-of-concept target was shown without downstream
functional effects (5). Using our aDT conjugate system, we observed
phenotypic changes in both cell invasion and growth.

Diffuse tumor cell infiltration is a hallmark of GBM, and recent
findings have suggested that GSCs play important roles in migration
and invasion (24). It has been reported that inhibiting GSC invasion
is essential to slowing the progression of GBM (36). ITGB1 is in-
volved in cellular binding to many extracellular matrix components,
including fibronectin (37), and ITGB1 knockdown has been shown
to reduce invasion in cancer cells (38). Thus, we hypothesized that
ITGB1 knockdown would reduce the invasive behavior of GSCs. We

demonstrated the effectiveness of the aDT-ITGB1 conjugate in
reducing cell invasion using a previously validated 3D hydrogel
platform (31), confirming a functional effect of siRNA-mediated knockdown. Moreover, as GSCs have also been shown to drive
tumor growth (39), we were also interested in using our treatment
strategy to reduce cellular proliferation. We used an siRNA targeted
against elf-3b, which is known to reduce protein synthesis, leading to
a reduction in cell growth and viability (40). Using the aDT-elf-3b
conjugate, we successfully reduced elf-3b expression and observed
a corresponding change in cell viability. Thus, we demonstrated func-
tional effects of gene knockdown in two different pathways, indicat-
ing that this aDT-siRNA can be considered a platform strategy.

We demonstrated a robust platform for siRNA delivery to GSCs
using an aDT as a delivery vehicle with an inherent endosomal es-
cape mechanism. In future work, this conjugate will be used for siRNA
delivery in vivo to orthotopic models of GBM from multiple patient-
derived cell strains. Potential in vivo study designs would compare
intracranial injection to intravenous delivery while using chemically
stabilized siRNAs to avoid nuclease degradation (41). A major out-
come of this in vivo study would be determining the ability of the
aDT-siRNA conjugate to cross the blood brain barrier and diffuse
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**DISCUSSION**

We show the first example of siRNA delivery mediated by DT.
Exploiting the sophisticated trafficking mechanism of proteins, such
as that of aDT, has the potential to overcome many of the barriers
to siRNA delivery. Using our aDT-siRNA conjugate, we were able
to down-regulate two distinct genetic targets and observe significant
changes in cell proliferation and invasion, supporting the robust
nature of aDT-mediated drug delivery and its potential as a previously

![Fig. 5. aDT-siRNA down-regulates elf-3b expression in GSCs and reduces cell viability. (A) aDT-elf-3b (light red bar) down-regulates elf-3b mRNA expression compared to negative controls: aDT-NT (black bar) and elf-3b siRNA only without Lipofectamine (blue bar) at 24 hours after treatment. Positive control is transfected siRNA with Lipofectamine (dark red bar). Data are shown as n = 3, are means ± SD, and are normalized to an untreated control. Data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey’s correction on the logarithmic data (**P < 0.05 and ***P < 0.01). (B) aDT-elf-3b (red bars) reduces cell viability of GSCs at 48 hours after treat-
ment compared to aDT-NT (black bars) at 100 nM. Data are shown as n = 3, are means ± SD, and are normalized to an untreated control. Data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA, followed by Sidak’s correction (**P < 0.05).**

assay with cells treated with a 10× excess of aDT further confirmed
that delivery is mediated by aDT via the HBEFG receptor (fig. S5).
into the brain tissue. We expect the protein conjugate to diffuse some distance into the brain tissue based on previous studies (42). Further extensions of this work could include combination with chemotherapy, or altering the receptor binding domain to bind to other targets (43, 44), to develop innovative treatments for a broad range of cancers and other diseases.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell lines**

Patient-derived glioma neural stem cells (GNS-411) were a gift from the laboratory of P. Dirks, with Research Ethics Board approval at the Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto and the University of Toronto. The characterization of these cells as GSC-enriched cultures is presented in several publications by Park et al. and Dolma et al. (45, 46). These cells are referred to as GSCs throughout the manuscript. Cells were maintained in an incubator (37°C, 5% CO₂, and 95% humidity) grown in Corning Primaria flasks (Corning, 353808) coated with poly-1-ornithine (PLO; Sigma-Aldrich, P4957) and laminin (Sigma-Aldrich, 11243217001). GSC growth media contained serum-free NeuroCult NS-A Basal Medium (STEMCELL Technologies, 05750) supplemented with N2, B27, EGF, fibroblast growth factor, and heparin as previously described for neural stem cells (47).

**Attenuated DT**

A DT was expressed as previously described (2). Briefly, a DT was expressed in *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3) cells, induced with 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside for 4 hours at 37°C using the Champion pET SUMO Expression System (Invitrogen). Cells were harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in lysis buffer [20 mM tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 0.5 M NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, Benzonase, lysozyme, and protease inhibitor cocktail], and lysed by an Emulsiflex C3 microfluidizer (Avestin) at 15,000 psi. The lysates were centrifuged at 18,000g for 20 min. The His-tagged DT was purified by nickel affinity chromatography using a HisTrap FF column (GE Healthcare).

**siRNAs**

Dicer-substrate siRNAs were purchased as annealed duplexes from Integrated DNA Technologies. All siRNAs were suspended at a concentration of ~50 nM using nuclease-free duplex buffer (Dharmacon, B-002000-UB-100). Concentrations were verified by measuring the absorbance at 260 nm. Sequences of Dicer-substrate siRNAs used were as follows: eIF-3b sense, 5′-rGrG rGrUrA rCrGrC rUrUrA rGrCrA rUrCrUrArUrArA CT-azide-3′; eIF-3b antisense, 5′-rArG rUrUrU rCrArU rArGrA rUrGrCr rUrArUrCrArA rG-3′; ITGB1 sense, 5′-rGrA rGrUrG rUrUrU rGrArA rGrArC rUrArC rUrArG rUrArCT TT-azide-3′; and ITGB1 antisense, rArA rGrUrA rCrUrA rGrUrA rCrUrC rArArA rCrArG rUrCrAr rC-3′.

**Polymerase chain reaction primers**

Primers were used were purchased from ACGT Corp. for eIF-3b (48) (forward, 5′-TGTGAAAGATCTTGTAC-3′ and reverse, 5′-AAT-AGGCAAATGGCGTACG-3′) and ITGB1 (49) (forward, 5′-GAAGA-CAGGGATATGCAGAACCT-3′ and reverse, 5′-CAGCCAT-CAGTGATCCCAAT-3′).

**Immunocytochemistry (HBEGF)**

GSCs were plated to reach 60 to 80% confluency on chambered cover glass slides coated with PLO and laminin. Cells were then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) and stained using an antibody specific for HBEGF (Abcam, ab66792), followed by a fluorescematically labeled secondary antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A-11001). The cells were then counterstained with Hoechst 33342, and images were captured on an Olympus FV1000 confocal microscope.

**Preparation of aDT-siRNA conjugate**

aDT was modified with a DBCO-(ethylene oxide)₄-maleimide cross-linker (DBCO-PEG₄-Mal; Sigma-Aldrich, 760676) by adding 4 equivalents of a 10 mM solution in dimethyl sulfoxide of the DBCO-PEG₄-Mal cross-linker to a ~10 μM solution of the protein in 20 mM tris, 150 mM NaCl, and 5% glycerol (pH 7.5) and incubating at room temperature for 30 min. The aDT-DBCO conjugate was then purified by dialysis against tris (pH 7.5) and 1% glycerol for 48 hours to remove any excess cross-linker. The aDT-DBCO conjugate was characterized by absorbance to confirm a presence of a peak at 309 nm, representing the incorporation of the DBCO moiety (75% yield). The aDT-DBCO conjugate was then mixed with an azide-containing siRNA at a 1:1 ratio, followed by a 1-hour incubation at room temperature, overnight incubation at ~20°C, and 1-hour incubation at 4°C. The conjugate was then bound to nickel beads for 1 hour at 4°C, eluted from the beads in 500 mM imidazole, and buffer-exchanged into tris (pH 7.5) and 1% glycerol. Successful conjugation was confirmed by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis analysis, and conjugation efficiency was quantified using ImageJ software (45% total yield; 80% recovery of DT, 55% siRNA conjugation efficiency).

**Gene knockdown assays—Treatment with aDT-siRNA conjugate**

aDT-siRNA was mixed with Opti-MEM for a final concentration of 50 nM and added to 24-well Primaria plates coated with PLO/laminin. Cells were then added to the plates with the conjugate at a density of 50,000 cells per well. Twenty four hours following treatment, cells were collected and lysed. RNA was purified from the cells, and gene expression was analyzed via quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qPCR).

**Gene knockdown assays—Positive control treatment with Lipofectamine RNAiMAX**

Transfection complexes with Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 13778075) were prepared according to the manufacturer’s protocol in a reverse transfection procedure. Briefly, siRNA and Lipofectamine were mixed in Opti-MEM serum reduced media (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 31985062) and added to 24-well Primaria plates (Corning, 353847) coated with PLO/laminin. Cells were then added to the plates with the treatment at a density of 150,000 cells per well. Twenty four hours following transfection, cells were collected and lysed. RNA was purified from the cells, and gene expression was analyzed via qPCR.

**Invasion assay**

Hydrogels were synthesized as previously described by Tam et al. (31) with the following modifications: 1% w/v hyaluronan–methyl furan, 2.3 mM matrix metalloproteinase–cleavable cross-linker, and 400 μM fibronectin-derived peptide with sequence Mal-SKAPHSRNGRDSPG. Cells were plated on hydrogels at a density of 3500 cells per hydrogel and allowed to adhere for 24 hours. Cells were then treated with aDT-ITGB1 conjugates at a concentration of approximately 50 nM. Forty-eight hours after treatment, fresh medium was added to each
well, and cells were fixed with 4% PFA 4 to 5 days after treatment. Cells were stained with Hoechst to identify cell nuclei, and 15-µm fluorescent beads were added to each well to label the surface of the hydrogels. Hydrogels were imaged using confocal microscopy, taking images every 20 µm on the z axis, and depth of invasion was analyzed using a custom MATLAB script. Normalized invasion depth was determined by setting the untreated control value to an average of 100% invasion so that four sets of experiments could be pooled together.

**Adhesion assay**

aDT-siRNA was mixed with Opti-MEM for a final concentration of 50 nM and added to 24-well Primaria plates coated with PLO/laminin. Cells were then added to the plates with the conjugate at a density of 50,000 cells per well. Twenty-four hours after treatment, cells were lifted off the 2D plates using Accutase and repleted on hydrogels at a density of 15,000 cells per hydrogel. One hour after replating on the hydrogels, cells were washed five times with phosphate-buffered saline and adhered cells were fixed with 4% PFA, stained with Hoechst, and imaged using confocal microscopy. The number of adhered cells was quantified using custom computational software.

**Cell viability assays following treatment with aDT–eIF-3b**

aDT–eIF-3b was mixed with Opti-MEM for the desired final concentration and then added to 96-well plates coated with PLO/laminin. Cells were then added to the plates with the conjugate at a density of 8000 cells per well. Fresh medium was added to each well 24 hours following treatment. At 48 hours, cell viability was measured via PrestoBlue viability assay and quantified as a percentage of untreated controls.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/6/18/eaaz4848/DC1

View/request a protocol for this paper from Bio-protocol.
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