Developing an Instrument of Performance Assessment to Measure Problem-Solving Skills of Senior High School Students in Physics Inquiry-Based Learning

Alda Novita Sari\textsuperscript{a}, Undang Rosidin\textsuperscript{b}, Abdurrahman\textsuperscript{c}

\textsuperscript{a}Physic Education Study Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, University of Lampung, Lampung, Indonesia
\textsuperscript{b}Physic Education Study Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, University of Lampung, Lampung, Indonesia
\textsuperscript{c}Physic Education Study Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, University of Lampung, Lampung, Indonesia

\textsuperscript{a}Corresponding author: Jl. Puntai Jaya, Kotabumi, Tanjung Harapan, Lampung Utara, Lampung, 34517, Indonesia. E-mail addresses: aldanovitas07@gmail.com

\textbf{Article history:}
Received: 13 December 2019
Received in revised form: 25 December 2019
Accepted: 05 May 2020
Available online: 30 June 2020

\textbf{Keywords:}
Inquiry-based learning
Performance assessment
Physics
Problem-solving skill

\textbf{Abstract}

The assessment of students’ learning results has not been fully implemented. Whereas, the importance of assessing students is to find out the development of learning abilities in solving problems, but many obstacles are still faced by educators at SMAN (high school) 5, SMAN (high school)15, and SMAN (high school) 16 Bandar Lampung. This study aims to produce a valid product and determine the feasibility of construction, substance, and language. Sugiyono's research design development model was divided into (1) potential and problem, (2) data collection, (3) product design, (4) design validation, (5) design revision. The product is valid because the expert validator accepts the instrument in terms of both content and format, including instrument outline, learning scenario, rubric, and scoring guideline. The construction aspect score was 3.59 while the substance aspect score was 3.56, and the language aspect score was 3.58. The validation test result meets very sufficient quality criteria. Therefore, the product performance assessment instrument to measure problem-solving skills in physics inquiry-based learning of senior high school students can be used.
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1. \textbf{Introduction}

21st Century or the globalization era is an era with many changes covering several fields such as science, technology, and education. Regarding the change of education paradigm in the 21st century, (BSNP, 2010) in the "21st Century Partnership Learning Framework," there are competencies and expertise that must be possessed by Human Resources, one of them is problem-solving Skills. The choice of physics learning models is very influential in the process of students' problem-solving to be successful. Solving problems requires freedom of thinking about and finding conclusions (Uus, 2017). The general strategy in solving problems consists of 5 steps which are identification, representation, strategy, implementation, and evaluation (Patnani, 2013). Meanwhile, according to (Rostika & Junita, 2017), the problem-solving stages are understanding, compiling, implementing, and re-examining the results. The problem-based learning stage started from orienting, organizing, guiding, presenting, and evaluating (Yusi, 2016). An alternative to this problem is the inquiry learning model.
Based on the definition of (Aisyah & Wasis, 2015), the inquiry in learning is an activity carried out by students. According to (Puspita & Jatmiko, 2013), through the implementation of inquiry, students are expected to actively solve problems, then they can find answers from teachers. Problem-solving is an individual effort using knowledge and skills to find solutions from a new problem or situation that has not been known yet (Farisa et al., 2017). Physics can be delivered directly through laboratory work and demonstrations (Ihsany & Margono, 2017). A practicum is interpreted as a learning method that functions to clarify concepts and improve students' intellectual skills (Yeni, 2017). The preliminary research in SMAN 5, SMAN 15, and SMAN 16 Bandar Lampung on six teachers and 99 students, shows that 83% of teachers used physics material applied in the student practicums, but none of them measured problem-solving skills.

The inquiry in practical activities carried out by students must be assessed by teachers (Amelia, 2015). Assessment makes it easy for teachers in the learning process to measure students’ all competencies (Safitri et al., 2017). Knowledge, ability, and experience needed in solving problems are necessary to be built in the stages of building students’ knowledge base (Putri & Sutarno, 2012). In line with the opinions above, Suprananto and Kusaeri (2012) explain that assessment of a systematic process includes the activity of collecting, analyzing, and interpreting information to determine the characteristics of students in achieving the learning objectives. Learning must take the form of interactions between teachers and students so that they can harmonize the integrated activities (Sundari, 2014).

Students’ learning results during the practicum will be observed if teachers conduct an inquiry-based performance assessment on students' practicum activities. Performance assessment is very suitable to assess the competency achievement that requires students to perform certain tasks, such as practice in the laboratory (Rosidin, 2016). Toheri and Yeni (2014) also explain that the performance assessment is the result of observing student activities as they occur. Psychomotor aspects show the ability, especially the level of laboratory inquiry classified as skilled in conducting practicum (Sulistiawan et al., 2017). According to Wenning (2011), the inquiry lab has a role in encouraging students actively to be involved in learning activities, such as observation, opinion, generalization, verification, result, and conclusion.

Inquiry learning is a series of activities emphasizing analytical processes to find answers from problems that are questioned (Laksana & Dasna, 2017). As explained by Saiful (2017),
the inquiry emphasizes more on student learning activities. Students can develop the skill aspect by formulating questions. The facts prove that there are no teachers from these schools having assessed all aspects, but each aspect is supposed to be assessed based on certain percentages 53% affective, 17% psychomotor, and 30% subjective. Performance assessment is an alternative that provides an assessment of a process to raise skills, attitudes, and products (Dewi & Rosana, 2017).

One important component of performance assessment is the scoring plan, including rubrics. The rubric is an assessment guide describing the teachers’ criteria in assessing the level of students’ work (Widya & Novianti, 2016). The analytic rubric requires the teacher to produce several scores at the beginning, then followed by the total final assessment score. The holistic rubric that will be used in this product requires the teachers to assess and give a score on the performance of students for once (Mertler, 2001).

Performance appraisal can make students perform during practical work and improve their abilities (Eka, 2015). 83% of teachers used assessment tools to assess. However, the effort to assess students' performance was considered very low, as only 21 % of physics teachers assessed students’ performance while observing them around during the practicum process. The limited number of assessments done by the teachers affects the ability of students to perform their practicums. Thus, the relevant assessment and its instruments are urgently needed. All physics teachers of these schools had difficulty in making and evaluating through performance assessment instruments.

The results of the distribution of needs analysis questionnaire to 6 teachers and 99 students in SMAN 5, SMAN 15, and SMAN 16 Bandar Lampung, show that 100% of teachers and 93% of students agree with the necessity to develop inquiry-based performance assessment instruments in practicum activities to measure problem-solving. This study aims to create a valid development product and determine the feasibility of construction, substance, language in instrument performance assessment to measure problem-solving skills in physics inquiry-based learning of Senior High School students. The significance of implementing an instrument of the performance assessment product development can be used as a guide to evaluating the psychomotor aspects of students during the practicum process. This instrument can also be used as a reference for making other performance assessment instruments.
2. Method

This research was conducted to develop a valid performance assessment instrument to measure problem-solving skills in physics inquiry-based learning of Senior High School students. This development used the methods included in the research and development. These development research methods were used to produce a product and to validate it in terms of the appropriateness of content, construction, and language to be useful for teachers in assessing students. The research subject in this development was the instrument performance assessment measuring problem-solving skills in physics inquiry-based learning. The experts testing this subject were the lecturers of Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, University of Lampung. At the data collection stage, the data was obtained from the questionnaire filled out by the teachers and students regarding the availability of learning assessment tools. The instruments used in this study were the needs analysis questionnaire, construction validation questionnaire, substance validation, and language validation test questionnaire.

The research design refers to Sugiyono (2018) in terms of the development research in which the stage is a cycle that includes a study of various findings in the field related to the product to which will be created but it is limited to the design revision stage adjusting the needs accordingly.

The product development procedure is shown in Figure 1.

![Research and Development (R&D) Method Referring to Research Design (Sugiyono, 2018)](image)

Based on the data obtained from the results of the expert validation, the feasibility will be determined according to the scores shown in Table 1.
Quality statements are used to determine the feasibility of the product being developed. The quality obtained determines the researcher in following up the product. The researchers determined the scale and rubric of the performance assessment, as described in Table 2.

Table 2. Scale and Instrument Rubric

| Scale | Rubric |
|-------|--------|
| 0     | Does not show any understanding of the problem. |
| 1     | Shows a limited understanding of the problem. Many task requirements are not visible in the response. |
| 2     | Shows a partial understanding of its understanding. Most task requirements are included in the response. |
| 3     | Demonstrates a sufficient understanding of the problem. All task requirements are included in the response. |
| 4     | Shows a complete understanding of the problem. All task requirements are included in the response. |

This product is equipped with a scoring guideline to decide the quality of students, as shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Criteria for Rating Quality Letters

| Final Score | Quality       |
|-------------|---------------|
| >75         | Very Good (A) |
| 66-75       | Good (B)      |
| 56-65       | Sufficient (C)|
| 50-55       | Insufficient (D) |
| <50         | Very Insufficient (E) |

3. Result and Discussion

This development research produced a product in the form of a performance assessment instrument to measure problem-solving skills in of Senior High School students in physics inquiry-based learning. The stages of the development procedure are: 1) potential and problem, 2) information and data collection, 3) product design, 4) design validation, 5) design revision. Based on the analysis of 99 students and six physics teachers at SMAN 5, SMAN 15, and SMAN 16 Bandar Lampung, researchers found potentials and problems in this study as described in Table 4.

Table 4. Potential and Problem...
Potential

| Potential                             | Problem                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| In the practicum process, there were  | The teachers evaluating the psychomotor aspects in the practicum       |
| 92% of students showed good           | process was only 17%, which was still relatively low in assessing       |
| performance.                          | students’ performance.                                                  |
| 86% of teachers gave the topic of     | In subjective assessment, there are 50% of teachers assigned to         |
| physics problems for students to be    | determine students’ performance.                                        |
| solved.                               |                                                                         |
| There were 92% of teachers assessing  | 100% of the teachers find it difficult in making performance            |
| students’ practicum processes.        | assessment instruments.                                                 |

Similarly, Ariani (2016) emphasizes the importance of identifying the potential and problems to measure the achievement of students’ learning results. Teachers’ feedback is needed to improve the learning process. Based on the assessment of potential and problems, students can obtain information about their weaknesses and strengths. However, many teachers do not conduct a comprehensive assessment yet. Therefore, the researchers developed an instrument of the performance assessment to measure the problem-solving skills of senior high school students in physics inquiry-based learning. In short, this instrument can be used to facilitate the process of assessing students.

Information and data collection were obtained from questionnaire analysis of teachers’ and students’ needs, literature review from several books, and journals, in Table 5.

Table 5. Information and Data

| Information                                      | Data                                                                    |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Assessment can provide an overview of the level of| The physics teachers develop a performance appraisal device which is   |
| student’s success (Uno & Koni, 2012).            | approved by 93% of students.                                           |
| Performance assessment is very suitable to assess | 89% of students agreed on the work assessment device which is           |
| the achievement of students’ competencies, such  | implemented by the teachers                                            |
| as laboratory practice (Rosidin, 2016).           |                                                                         |
| Inquiry-based learning emphasizes active students | It was found that 100% of teachers agreed that a performance          |
| to grow their ability to solve problems (Saiful, | assessment instrument needs to be developed.                           |
| 2017).                                           |                                                                         |

At the stage of product design, the researcher analyzes the content that would be applied in the product development presented in Table 6.
Table 6. Content Analysis

| No | Content |
|----|---------|
| 1  | Basic Competency Mapping: This mapping activity is carried out to obtain an overall picture of the competency standards, basic competencies, and indicators. In assessing learning results, some aspects should be examined, such as knowledge, attitudes, and skills. The instruments are related to the skill aspects of students. |
| 2  | Basic Competencies and Materials: The activity of elaborating the basic competency standards of physics learning materials can be seen in the achievement of skill aspects, namely based competencies 4.2. The activity can conduct experiments on the elasticity of material following the presentation of results and their physical meaning. |
| 3  | Indicator: Student can conduct an experiment or observation of elasticity and Hooke's law. Then, they formulate a hypothesis related to elasticity experiments and Hooke's law. In the next step, they try to process and present experimental data of elasticity and Hooke's law. After that, they associate the gathered result and elasticity graphs experiments and Hooke's law. Finally, they sum up the results of Hooke's elasticity experiments and laws. |
| 4  | Instrument: The activity of assessing students' skills of conducting an experiment of the elasticity of material followed by the presentation of the result. Then, the performance assessment instrument is applied to assess the achievement of competencies that require students to show their performance and evaluate the quality of students. |

The instrument of the performance assessment applies an outlined questionnaire containing the indicators to be observed during the learning process, in Table 7.

Table 7. Product Grid

| Classification of inquiry activities | Rated aspect | Problem-solving indicator outline | Problem-solving indicator | Psychomotor Bloom taxonomy |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Observations, Opinions, Generalizations, Verifications, Results, and Conclusions. | Preparation, Implementation, Reporting. | Identifying, Developing a Plan, Implementing a Plan, Explaining the results. | Observing, Taking notes, Making formulation of problems, Revealing ideas, Hypothesizing, Writing out results, Drawing graphics, Analyzing, Making conclusions. | Imitation, Manipulation, Precision, Articulation, Naturalization. |

The researcher compiles the results of the learning scenario and the task of the instrument performance assessment, in Table 8.

Table 8. Learning Scenarios and Performance Tasks

| Learning scenarios | Performance task |
|--------------------|------------------|
| The learning process consists of core competencies, basic competencies, indicators of competency achievement, learning objectives, materials, methods, media. | The task includes the process of observing, recording various facts, and making problem statements. |
| The learning steps are preliminary activities, core activities (the process of observing, asking, trying, associating, communicating), and closing activities. | The task includes the activities of checking the completeness of the material tools, hypothesizing, arranging experimental tools, measuring and writing the results, organizing the tools, group participation, analyzing data, making conclusions, and communicating the results in practical activities. |

Validation of this development is a review of the product that has been made as explained in Table 9.
Table 9. Validation of Instrument Product Design

| Validated aspect | Description of validated aspects                                                                 |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Construction     | Clarity of instructions, completeness of ideas in instructions for using instruments, selection   |
|                  | of indicators, clarity of scoring guidelines, and clarity of rubrics.                            |
| Substance        | The suitability of the skills indicators with CC and BC, the suitability of the observed skill    |
|                  | aspects with the indicators on the grid, the suitability of the rating scale used, and the rubric |
|                  | suitability (scoring guidelines).                                                                |
| Language         | Communication of the language used, the use of Indonesian, completeness of ideas in sentences,   |
|                  | use of the number of words in sentences, and the sentences are independent of the provided       |
|                  | statements.                                                                                      |

Design validation is tested by the four validators to obtain scores in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Instrument Product Design Validation Score](image)

The validators gave the average scores on the aspects of construction, substance, and language presented in Figure 3.

![Figure 3. Average Results of Construction, Substance, and Language Validator Scores](image)

The product development improvement results are called prototypes. The validation test process took place in the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, University of Lampung, and SMA Muhammadiyah 2 SMA Bandar Lampung from 2 to 21 September 2019. The product validators are two lecturers of the Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, University of Lampung, one teacher of SMA Muhammadiyah 2 Bandar Lampung, and one teacher of SMAN 1 Banjar Agung and the experts as well as linguists. The results of the
prototype product developed to measure the inquiry-based problem-solving skills based on the set indicators of the intended activities of the students, such as observing, taking notes, formulating problems, expressing ideas, hypothesizing, writing results, drawing graphs, analyzing, making conclusions. The overall improvements suggested by the four validators encompass several aspects as elaborated further in Table 10.

Table 10. Instrument Product Design Revision

| Product components                  | Criticism and suggestions                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Instrument Grid                     | Add instrument lines to reporting aspects.                                                 |
| Learning Scenarios and Task Performance | It was improving learning objectives by using the ABCD component, namely                  |
|                                    | Participants (learners), Behavior (observed behaviour), Condition (requirements that     |
|                                    | need to be fulfilled), Degree (a measure of achieving goals).                             |
|                                    | It is adding preliminary activities in several phenomena as well as interesting videos    |
|                                    | that are closely related to real life. Thus, students’ learning interest can increase.    |
|                                    | You were making your own graphic images on the material to describe better about the      |
|                                    | force applied to the additional extension of the metal.                                   |
|                                    | They are erasing the massive data from tables that do not need to be filled. So, students |
|                                    | fill in according to the process of the practicum activity.                               |
|                                    | They are repeating the experiment at least three times. Thus, the time allocation of      |
|                                    | practical learning is more effective, and the assessment objectives are met.              |
|                                    | Replacing photos sourced from the internet with their photos taken from the tools         |
|                                    | and materials of the Physics Education laboratory of Faculty of Teacher Training and      |
|                                    | Education, University of Lampung                                                        |
|                                    | Changing the series of experiments by using springs. Please be advised if schools do      |
|                                    | not have these devices, just use wire.                                                   |
|                                    | Adding references to the material elasticity and Hooke’s law.                            |
|                                    | They are improving authorship in accordance with improved spelling provisions.           |
|                                    | Writing taxonomic numbering on the instrument.                                           |
| Scales, Rubrics and Scoring         | Making measurable items of the instruments                                              |
| Guidelines                          | Adding all subject captions to the grading rubric.                                       |

The instrument performance was revised several times as adjusted to the critics and suggestions given by the validators. As according to Fraenkel et al. (2012), instrument is declared valid depends on the expert. The expert was free to assess whether or not this instrument was valid. The indicator of a valid instrument is that the experts accept the instrument regarding both its content and format without any improvement. If the expert still asked for a revision, the revision still needs to be done until the experts accept the instrument unconditionally.

Based on the results of the validation as well as the experts’ opinion, it was concluded that the developed product was valid since the instrument of the performance assessment that was tested by the four expert validators had been approved in terms of contents and formats. This approval included the instrument outline, learning scenarios, rubrics, and scoring guidelines.
The product of development was feasible to use because it was valid for measuring problem-solving skills of Senior High School students in the physics inquiry-based learning.

Kimberlin and Winterstein (2008) state that are constructing validity is based on accumulated scores from several studies using certain measuring instruments, and then the validity is described theoretically according to the constructed variables measured by the instrument. According to Mardapi (2012), there are five important validity proofs which are the test content-based evidence, the response process-based evidence, internal structure-based evidence, testing-consequences-based evidence, and the evidence-based on relationships with other variables. The feasibility of the instrument in terms of language must satisfy three requirements, namely employing communicative language according to the level of respondents’ education, using the standardized Indonesian language, and avoiding taboo language (Matondang, 2010).

The construction test results score was 3.59 while the substance score was 3.56, and the language score was 3.58. This means that the three aspects were very feasible. Quality criteria were based on the feasibility indicators suggested by Suyanto and Sartinem (2009) in which the indicators of feasibility aspects including construction, substance, language can be accepted if they meet the variables measured by the score of answer choices ranging from 3.26-4.00 (very feasible), 2.51-3.25 (feasible), 1.76-2.50 (less feasible), and 1.01-1.75 (not feasible). Based on these results, the performance assessment instrument to measure problem-solving skills was very feasible to use.

The instrument of performance assessment is easy to use to measure students’ problem-solving skills. The indicators can serve as benchmarks for improving students’ learning processes when students examine, take notes, formulate problems, express ideas, hypothesize, write results, draw graphs, analyze, and make conclusions. In the inquiry-based practicum using this instrument product, students were able to carry out a set of given tasks covering the preparation, implementation, and reporting.

The advantage of the product is that it makes it easier for teachers to assess objectively, especially on the psychomotor aspects of students listed in the instrument of performance assessment. As this product is student-centred, it helps hone the ability of problem-solving performance by analyzing through the sequence of learning scenarios. Also, it is easy for teachers to assess the contribution of each student in understanding the learning process using the provided assessment guidelines.
The development of performance appraisal product that has been made by Almuflichan and Tjalla (2016) who develop an instrument measuring the performance of junior high school students in the physics practicum activities on vibration lessons. A comparison of development product designed by the researchers is the instrument of performance assessment that maximizes the ability of problem-solving in physics inquiry-based learning. As a result, the teachers find it easier to measure the abilities possessed by each student.

Similarly, Farisa et al. (2017) believe that problem-solving is individuals’ initial awareness of certain difficulties that must be resolved at a particular time and situation. When an individual affords something, he uses his knowledge, skills, and understanding to find solutions for the unfamiliar problem/situation to improve the quality of his performance. This product also has some weaknesses regarding its implementation. Firstly, it gives more burdens for teachers in terms of efforts and time allocation. Secondly, students will experience difficulties in fulfilling all demands in the assessment. Lastly, it is less economical since the instrument is made for each student. Therefore, the more students learn in a class, the more instrument the teachers must prepare.

4. Conclusion

The product was tested by the four expert validators who have accepted the instrument both in terms of the content and format. The approval of this product includes the learning outline, learning scenarios, rubrics, and scoring guidelines. The development product was appropriate because it was valid. Construction, substance, and language aspects had fulfilled the feasibility with an average score of 3.59 for the construction aspect, a score of 3.56 for the substance aspect, and a score of 3.58 for the language aspect. Based on the given scores, the quality of these three aspects was very feasible. Thus, the instrument of the performance assessment can be used to measure the problem-solving skills of senior high school students in physics inquiry-based learning.
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