Immune response elicited by the oral administration of an intermediate strain of IBDV in chickens
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Abstract

The immune response elicited by the oral inoculation of an intermediate strain of infectious bursal disease virus was studied in chickens. A strong over expression of IL-6, IL-8, IFN\textsubscript{\gamma}\textsubscript{97} and IFN\textsubscript{\gamma}\textsubscript{103} was observed in bursa at 3 days post inoculation together with an increase in splenic NO\textsubscript{2} release. An influx of T-lymphocytes was also detected.
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Infectious Bursal Disease Virus (IBDV), member of the \textit{Birnaviridae} Family of the genus \textit{Avibirnavirus}, is an endemic agent in most poultry producing areas worldwide. IBDV causes an acute, highly contagious, immunosuppressive disease in chickens (Eterradossi and Saif, 2008).

IBDV is a bisegmented double-stranded RNA virus whose genome is enclosed within a nonenveloped icosahedral capsid. The virus infects and destroys dividing IgM bearing B-lymphocytes. It has been demonstrated that both humoral and cellular immunosuppressions are observed in chickens infected with IBDV. Humoral immunosuppression is associated with the lysis of B-lymphocytes (Sharma \textit{et al.}, 1989). Cellular immunosuppression is evidenced by the ability of bursal T cells from IBDV infected chickens to inhibit concanavalin A (ConA)-mediated \textit{in vitro} proliferation of normal splenocytes (Kim and Sharma, 2000). However, there is still need for discussion on the mechanism of this inhibition.

To date, two serotypes of the virus have been described. Serotype 1 IBDVs cause clinical signs and they are classified as mild, intermediate, intermediate plus, classical virulent and very virulent strains. Among them, mild, intermediate and intermediate plus viruses are used as live virus vaccines (Van den Berg, 2000). On the other hand, serotype 2 viruses may infect chickens and turkeys but they are non-pathogenic to both species (Jackwood \textit{et al.}, 1982; McFerran \textit{et al.}, 1980; McNulty and Saif, 1988).

IBDV infects chickens by the oral route and may initially replicate in cells of the gut-associated lymphoid tissues (Vervelde and Davison, 1997). Rautenschlein \textit{et al.} (2003) compared the immunopathogenesis of different strains of IBDV. In their research, they included an intermediate strain (IBDV-B2), among other viruses studied, and they characterized the virus ability to replicate in bursal and extrabursal locations and to stimulate B and T cell immunity. Their study indicated that the ability of IBDV to induce T cell immunity may be important in protection and lasting immunologic memory and that extrabursal replication and persistence of the virus may determine the extent to which the cellular immune system gets stimulated (Rautenschlein \textit{et al.}, 2003). Eldaghayes \textit{et al.} (2006) studied the bursal cytokine profile induced at early times by the intra-nasal inoculation of a classical virulent strain and a very virulent strain of IBDV and showed the induction of a pro-inflammatory response together with IFN\textsubscript{\gamma} production. More recently, we developed a real time quantitative reverse transcription PCR assay to quantify the expression of different chicken cytokines and we characterized the cytokine profiles induced in bursa, spleen and duodenum of chickens intramuscularly (i.m.) inoculated with an intermediate strain of IBDV (Carballeda \textit{et al.}, 2011). In our previ-
ous study, we found an inflammatory effect in different organs of chickens at short times after i.m. inoculation, showing similarity with previous results described for virulent IBDV strains (Carballeda et al., 2011). The aim of the present work was to study the immune response elicited by the oral inoculation of an intermediate strain of IBDV and to find out if the inoculation route influences the response observed.

Specific-pathogen-free White Leghorn chickens (eggs were purchased from Rosenbusch S.A., CABA, Argentina) were kept in individual cages with provision of food and water ad libitum. All procedures involving the use of animals were performed in agreement with institutional guidelines and approved by the Institutional Committee for the care and use of experimental animals (CICUAE - CICVya - INTA. Authorization reference number: 3/2011). Twenty four chickens of 3 weeks of age were randomly designated into two groups. The experimental group contained IBDV strains (Carballeda et al., 2011). Twenty four chickens of 3 weeks of age were randomly designated into two groups. The experimental group was orally inoculated with 200 µL of an intermediate strain of IBDV [IBDV 10^6 egg infectious dose 50 (EID50)], which is approximately 10 times greater than the vaccination dose; purchased from Laboratorios Inmuner, Entre Ríos, Argentina]. Chickens inoculated with an equal volume of sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) were used as negative control (mock-inoculated group).

At 1, 3 and 5 days post inoculation (dpl), 3 chickens from each group were bled and euthanized. The 3 remaining birds of each group were bled weekly during a period of 28 days to measure specific antibodies against IBDV. At that time point, they also were sacrificed and their bursas processed. Thirty-milligram pieces of spleen, duodenum and bursa of Fabricius were excised from animals euthanized at 1, 3 and 5 dpi and kept immediately in RNA later solution (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA) and RNA from each piece of tissue was obtained with the RNaseq kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA was treated with DNase I and reverse transcription was performed using SS III Reverse transcription kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and random hexamers. Oligonucleotides used to amplify fragments of different chicken cytokines and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) control genes were previously reported (Carballeda et al., 2011). For IL-1β amplification, primers IL-1βFw: GGCTAACATTGCGCTGTAC and IL-1βRv: CCCCATTAGCTGTAGGTTGCG were used.

Amplification and detection of mRNA levels were carried out using equivalent amounts of RNA from each tissue. Preparation of constructs and creation of standard curves for all cytokine genes used in this study, as well as for GAPDH gene, were performed as previously described (Carballeda et al., 2011). The results obtained are shown in the Figure 1. One of the cytokines studied was IFNγ, which is a key Th1 cytokine that can activate macrophages to produce inflammatory factors such as IL-6 and iNOS, among other functions. We found IFNγ mRNA over-expressed (10 to 23 fold increase) mainly in spleen and bursa at 3 dpi. Also, plasmatic IFNγ was measured by ELISA in plasma samples of treated chickens (CytoSet Kit, Biosource, CA, USA) and it could be detected at 1 and 3 dpi in IBDV-inoculated animals (data not shown); however, the highest levels were observed at 3 dpi. Concomitantly, oral administration of IBDV induced a mild upregulation of the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-6 in spleen at 1, 3 and 5 dpi and in duodenum at 3 dpi. By contrast, a very strong upregulation (200-fold increase) of this cytokine was observed in bursa at 3 dpi.

The chemokine IL-8, which serves as a chemical signal that attracts heterophils to the site of inflammation, accompanied the strong IL-6 and IFNγ mRNA upregulation found in bursa of IBDV-treated chickens at 3 dpi. Unexpectedly, IL-1β, another pro-inflammatory cytokine, was not strongly upregulated (only a slight upregulation was observed in the spleen of IBDV-inoculated chickens at 1 and 3 dpi). This result is opposite to the findings of Khatri and coworkers (2005) who showed that virulent IBDV (IM strain) infected chickens suffered a strong IL-1β upregulation (65-fold) at 3 dpi in bursal macrophages. LITAF, a transcription factor associated with TNFα, was 6 fold upregulated only in the bursa of IBDV-treated animals at 5 dpi. Overall, we observed that the cytokine production modifications when using the oral route were detected at later times than when animals were inoculated by the i.m. route (Carballeda et al., 2011). This fact could be due to an earlier availability of the virus and the concomitant induction of the immune response when using the i.m. route.

Previous reports demonstrated an absence of upregulation of IFNα when chickens were infected with classical virulent and very virulent IBDV strains (Eldaghayes et al., 2006). In another study, Rauf et al. (2011) showed that the oral inoculation of IBDV classical strains promotes a downregulation of IFNα mRNA at 3 dpi in the bursa, but variant strains (that produce less pronounced bursal damage, inflammatory response and infiltration of T cells than classical strains) promote an upregulation of this gene at the same time point. In accordance with Rauf et al. (2011), we found an upregulation of IFNα in duodenum and bursa at 3 dpi, which, in our work, was also detected at 1 dpi. This result could partially explain the difference in the virulence degree between strains as animals infected with high virulent strains seem to fail in the production of IFNα, a very important antiviral cytokine. In a recent work, Li et al. (2013) demonstrated that VP4 viral protein from a virulent strain of IBDV caused suppression of type 1 IFN expression by interacting with GILZ protein of host cells. In the present study, the animals treated with an intermediate strain of IBDV were able to produce IFNα, probably involved in the interruption of viral dissemination.

Chicken IL-15 was shown to act as a T cell growth factor (Lillehoj et al., 2001). We observed an increment in...
IL-15 levels in spleen, bursa and duodenum, mainly at 5 dpi. In general, IL-15 mRNA expression is increased when microbial activators of macrophages are present (Doherty et al., 1996). As IBDV infects and replicates in macrophages leading to the production of pro-inflammatory and Th1 cytokines (Khatri and Sharma, 2006) selective up regulation of IL-15 could have been enhanced by the presence of the virus.

Another piece of the same spleens harvested aseptically were used for NO2 assay, since splenic nitrite production is considered a macrophage activation marker (Jeurissen et al., 2000). Briefly, splenocytes were resuspended in RPMI medium supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, 10 mM HEPES, 50 μM 2-mercaptoethanol and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). One million cells per well were seeded on 96 well-culture plates in the presence or absence of ConA (5 mg/mL) and incubated for 24 h at 41 °C in a 5% CO2 atmosphere. Culture supernatants were collected and nitrite concentration was measured by the Griess reaction (Tsikas, 2007). When compared to PBS-inoculated chickens, IBDV-treated birds showed a significant increase (p < 0.05) in NO2 production in both ConA- (26.03 ± 1.21 against 9.20 ± 0 μM NO2) and mock-stimulated (14.48 ± 0.20 against 4.21 ± 0.20 μM NO2) splenocytes at 1 dpi and only in ConA-stimulated (33.3 ± 2.42 against 6.99 ± 0.30 μM NO2) splenocytes at 3 dpi. We have previously demonstrated that ConA-stimulated splenocytes obtained from chickens inoculated i.m. with the same strain of IBDV, at 3 and 5 dpi, ex-

---

**Figure 1** - Transcriptional pattern of cytokine genes. Total RNA was extracted from bursa, spleen and duodenum of IBDV- or mock-inoculated chickens at 1, 3 and 5 dpi and cDNA was synthesized. mRNA levels were determined by Quantitative Real Time PCR using specific primers and SYBR®Green method. The expression level of each mRNA was calculated in relation to the expression level of GAPDH gene. Each bar represents the ratio of the mean ± SEM of 2 replicates of each sample obtained from pools of 3 IBDV-inoculated chickens and the mean ± SEM of 2 replicates of each sample obtained from pools of 3 mock-inoculated chickens. The Students t test was used to determine significant differences between mock-infected and IBDV-infected chickens. A value of p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.
hibited less NO₂ production than stimulated splenocytes from control animals. In the same way, we observed macrophage population decay in spleen (Carballeda et al., 2011). This difference could be due to the way each immunization route acts over the immune system.

Remaining bursas were used to study mononuclear cell populations by flow cytometry as described by Carballeda et al. (2011). Briefly, bursas were cut and mechanically disrupted in RPMI 1640. Then, cellular suspensions were passed through a 40 μm mesh (Cell Strainer, BD) and mononuclear cells were isolated by centrifugation over Histopaque density gradient. Cells were recovered from the interface, washed, and live cells were counted using trypan blue exclusion. Subsequently, cells were diluted in staining buffer (PBS 1x, 10% FBS, 0.1% Sodium Azide) and 1×10⁶ cells per well were seeded on 96 well-plates (V-shape) and washed twice with the same buffer. Staining was performed by resuspending cells of each well with 100 μL of staining buffer containing different combinations of antibodies or individual ones as single-color staining for compensation. Monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) (CD3-SPRD, CD4-PE, CD8α-FITC, CD8β-PE) were purchased from Southern Biotech. (Birmingham, AL). Cell suspensions were analyzed with a FACS Calibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) and CellQuest software. The lymphocyte gate was defined by the forward/side scatter characteristics of the cells and 30,000 events were analyzed for each sample. Results are presented in the Table 1. At 1 and 3 dpi, no changes were observed in bursal T-lymphocytes population. However, at 5 dpi a notable infiltration of T lymphocytes was observed in the bursa of animals inoculated with IBDV. The table shows the frequency of total CD3⁺, CD4⁺ and CD8αβ⁺ lymphocytes revealing an important increase in the bursa of IBDV treated animals. Similar results were obtained when the virus was inoculated by i.m. route (Carballeda et al., 2011), suggesting that the virus causes the same effect when arrives at bursa independently of the inoculation route. In addition, T lymphocytes values returned to the basal level at 28 dpi.

Animals sacrificed at 28 dpi were bled weekly in order to measure specific antibodies against IBDV. Samples were analyzed using FlowChek IBDV Kit (IDEXX, Maine, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. IBDV treatment promoted an adequate adaptive immune response evidenced by a gradual increase in the anti-IBDV antibodies titers, reaching the highest value at 28 dpi (2384 ± 888 compared to 110 ± 154 in the mock-infected group, being this last value negative for the used assay).

The comparison of our data with the results reported by others demonstrates that different strains of IBDV have, overall, the same effect on the response parameters studied (i.e., an inflammatory effect in different organs of chickens at short times after inoculation). Nevertheless, the degree of virulence and harm produced by the different pathotypes is noticeable. Since IFNα is a strong antiviral agent, the ability of the intermediate strain of IBDV to induce the production of IFNα could contribute to the dissemination restriction of this virus. However, we cannot rule out other factors contributing to its reduced virulence compared to classical virulent and very virulent IBDV strains. The present work contributes to augment the knowledge about the immune parameters involved in the response of chickens to infection with an intermediate strain of IBDV.
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