Blockade of nociceptin/orphanin FQ signaling facilitates an active copying strategy due to acute and repeated stressful stimuli in mice
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ABSTRACT

The role of stress in the etiology of depression has been largely reported. In this line, exogenous glucocorticoids are employed to mimic the influence of stress on the development of depression. The N/OFQ-NOP receptor system has been implicated in the modulation of stress and emotional behaviors. In fact, the blockade of NOP receptors induces antidepressant effects and increases resilience to acute stress. This study investigated the effects of the NOP receptor blockade on dexamethasone-treated mice exposed to acute and prolonged swimming stress. Swiss and NOP(+/+) and NOP(−/−) mice were treated with dexamethasone, and the protective effects of the NOP antagonist SB-612111 (10 mg/kg, ip) or imipramine (20 mg/kg, ip) were investigated in three swimming sessions. The re-exposure to swim stress increased immobility time in Swiss and NOP(+/+), but not in NOP(−/−) mice. Acute and repeated dexamethasone administration induced a further increase in the immobility time, and facilitated body weight loss in Swiss mice. Single administration of SB-612111, but not imipramine, prevented swimming stress- and dexamethasone-induced increase in the immobility time. Repeated administrations of SB-612111 prevented the deleterious effects of 5 days of dexamethasone treatment. Imipramine also partially prevented the effects of repeated glucocorticoid administration on the immobility time, but did not affect the body weight loss. NOP(−/−) mice were more resistant than NOP(+/+) mice to inescapable swimming stress, but not dexamethasone-induced increase in the immobility time and body weight loss. In conclusion, the blockade of the NOP receptor facilitates an active stress copying response and attenuates body weight loss due to repeated stress.

1. Introduction

Stress is a nonspecific response of the organism to any factor, somatic or mental, that compromises the individual’s homeostasis (Selye, 1976). The responses to stress may include changes in behavior and physiological functions, which are related to decision making, emotions, learning and memory as well as hormonal and autonomic responses (Joëls and Baram, 2009). Additionally, responses to the same stressors are strikingly different across individuals. In fact, a subject may develop an active coping strategy to a given stressor, showing intentional efforts to minimize physical, psychological or social damage of a stressor associated with real or perceived factors (Russo et al., 2012); this strategy is associated with a resilient phenotype. By contrast, another individual subjected to the same stressor may develop a passive coping strategy that is characterized by avoidance and helpless behavior, and it is related to vulnerability to stress (Southwick et al., 2005; Wood and Bhatnagar, 2015).

Specific brain regions controlling the autonomic nervous system and the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPA) are sensible to stressors (Ulrich-Lai and Herman, 2009). The activation of sympathetic nervous system has been implicated in the modulation of stress and emotional behaviors. In fact, the blockade of NOP receptors induces antidepressant effects and increases resilience to acute stress. This study investigated the effects of the NOP receptor blockade on dexamethasone-treated mice exposed to acute and prolonged swimming stress. Swiss and NOP(+/+) and NOP(−/−) mice were treated with dexamethasone, and the protective effects of the NOP antagonist SB-612111 (10 mg/kg, ip) or imipramine (20 mg/kg, ip) were investigated in three swimming sessions. The re-exposure to swim stress increased immobility time in Swiss and NOP(+/+), but not in NOP(−/−) mice. Acute and repeated dexamethasone administration induced a further increase in the immobility time, and facilitated body weight loss in Swiss mice. Single administration of SB-612111, but not imipramine, prevented swimming stress- and dexamethasone-induced increase in the immobility time. Repeated administrations of SB-612111 prevented the deleterious effects of 5 days of dexamethasone treatment. Imipramine also partially prevented the effects of repeated glucocorticoid administration on the immobility time, but did not affect the body weight loss. NOP(−/−) mice were more resistant than NOP(+/+) mice to inescapable swimming stress, but not dexamethasone-induced increase in the immobility time and body weight loss. In conclusion, the blockade of the NOP receptor facilitates an active stress copying response and attenuates body weight loss due to repeated stress.

Abbreviations: ACTH, adrenocorticotropic hormone; CRF, corticotropin releasing factor; GR, glucocorticoid receptor; HPA, hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MR, mineralocorticoid receptor; N/OFQ, nociceptin/orphanin FQ; NOP, nociceptin/orphanin FQ peptide receptor; POMC, opiomelanocortin; SPF, specific pathogen-free.
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The glucocorticoids are physiologically secreted in a pulsatile and circadian fashion, but stressors are also able to trigger the corticosteroids release that is superimposed on these rhythms (Young et al., 2004). It is widely known that glucocorticoids act through both mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid receptors (MRs and GRs, respectively). These steroid hormones have high affinity for MRs and, consequently, when circulating hormone levels are low such receptors are mostly occupied (De Kloet et al., 2005). In contrast, glucocorticoids affinity for GRs is ten-fold lower, thus under basal conditions, GRs are only partially occupied. However, the GRs become progressively occupied and stimulated as cortisol dexamethasone in mice subjected to repeated forced swimming (Holanda et al., 2016, 2018); and (c) NOP receptor knockout mice and N/OFQ is a neuropeptide acting as the endogenous ligand for the NOP receptor on acute and prolonged exposure to the synthetic glucocorticoid dexamethasone in mice subjected to repeated forced swimming and tail suspension tests (Redrobe et al., 2002; Gavioli et al., 2003, 2004; Rizzi et al., 2007; Witkin et al., 2016; Post et al., 2017). Imipramine has been previously used to reverse the depressogenic-like effect caused by prolonged exposure to the CD-1 strain. NOP(+/−) and NOP(−/−) littermates were obtained by mating NOP(+/−) mice. The procedure for mouse genotyping was described in details by Holanda et al. (2019). NOP(+/−) and NOP(−/−) mice were housed in a specific pathogen-free (SPF) animal facility, in 425 × 266 × 155 mm polycarbonate cages (Tecniplast, VA, Italy), 3–5 mice/cage, under standard conditions (22 °C, 55% humidity, 12 h light-dark cycle, lights on at 7.00 a.m.) with food (4RF, Mucedola, MI, Italy) and water ad libitum. A mouse red house (Tecniplast, VA, Italy) and nesting materials were present in each cage. Swiss mice were housed in a standard animal facility, maximum 10 mice/−polypropylene cage (410 × 340 × 160 mm), under standard conditions (22 ± 2 °C, 12 h light-dark cycle, lights on at 6.00 a.m.) with food (Nuvilab CR, Colombo, PR, Brazil) and water ad libitum. In this study a total number of 62 male Swiss mice and 38 male NOP(+/-) and 36 NOP(−/−) mice were used in the experiments. Animals were used for only one experimental series as described below. Mouse body weight was assessed in the mornings on day 1 and day 5 prior to the behavioral tests. The variation of mouse body weight was assessed using the following formula: % mouse body weight = [(body weight_Day 5 - body weight_Day 1)/body weight_Day 1]x100.

2.2. Drugs and treatments

Dexamethasone (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), the NOP antagonist SB-612111 (Tocris Bioscience, Bristol, UK) and the antidepressant imipramine (Novartis Biociencias S.A., Sao Paulo, Brazil) were used in this study. Imipramine is a tricyclic antidepressant drug that acts by blocking the reuptake of 5-HT and noradrenaline. Additionally, imipramine has been previously used to reverse the depressogenic-like effects of dexamethasone (Wrobel et al., 2014). Concerning the SB-612111, it is a selective NOP antagonist, which is systemically available (Rizzi et al., 2007). Dexamethasone was solubilized in 100% ethanol and it was stored at −20 °C (stock solution: 2 mg/ml), and administered subcutaneously (sc). The doses of dexamethasone (0.3, 0.07 and 0.01 mg/kg) were selected based on previous studies aimed to investigate the effects of the glucocorticoid in the forced swimming test (Wrobel et al., 2014). A stored aliquot of dexamethasone was freshly solubilized before experiments in saline (NaCl 0.9%) in a final concentration not exceeding 1.5% ethanol (control group was treated with 1.5% ethanol). Stock solutions of SB-612111 were prepared in 100% dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), stored at −20 °C and dissolved in saline just before the experiments; the final concentration of DMSO did not exceed 1% (control group was treated with 1% DMSO) and administered intraperitoneally (ip) at 10 mg/kg. Previous studies showed the antidepressant-like effects of SB-612111 (10 mg/kg) in mice exposed to distinct stressful conditions (Rizzi et al., 2007; Medeiros et al., 2015; Holanda et al., 2016). Additionally, when administered prior to acute stress.
inescapable stress, SB-612111 (10 mg/kg) facilitated an active stress copying strategy in mice (Holanda et al., 2019). Imipramine (20 mg/kg, ip) was solubilized in saline (control group was treated with saline). The dose of imipramine was selected based on a dose-response study developed in mice exposed to the forced swimming test (Kulkarni and Dhir, 2007). All drugs were injected in a volume of 10 ml/kg. Mice were randomly assigned to the groups. Control groups were treated with the same vehicle, route and volume of administration as drug-treated groups. Administrations of dexamethasone (were given 60 min before the forced swimming sessions. Additionally, single and repeated administrations of imipramine and SB-612111 were daily given 15 min before dexamethasone injections.

2.3. Forced swimming stress

The forced swimming stress was performed with modifications as previously described by Porsolt et al. (1977) for rats. The protocol uses repeated swimming sessions, which naturally evokes an increase in the immobility time. The increase in the time that animals spent immobile after re-exposure to swim stress reflects the switch between an active strategy toward a passive response to stress (De Kloet and Molendijk, 2016). Mice were placed individually in glass cylinders (height 18 cm; diameter 17 cm) containing 12 cm of water at 24 ± 1 °C, for three forced swimming sessions: day 1 (training session) - a 15-min session; day 2 (test session) - a 5-min session; day 5 (retest session) - a 5-min session. The immobility time (i.e., the time spent floating in the water without struggling) was manually recorded in seconds by an experienced observer blind to the treatments and genotype conditions. The immobility time registered in each session was relative to the first 5-min of forced swimming. All behavioral tests were performed at the light phase between 7:00 a.m. and 12:00 p.m.

2.4. Experimental design

2.4.1. Effects of single dexamethasone administration prior to swimming training session

In order to evaluate the effect of a single administration of dexamethasone prior to forced swimming stress, Swiss mice were injected with dexamethasone (0.01, 0.07 and 0.3 mg/kg; sc) or vehicle 60 min before the training session (Fig. 1). Twenty-four hours later mice were re-exposed to a swimming test session. Time spent immobile was registered during the first 5 min of both swimming sessions.

2.4.2. Effects of single imipramine or SB-612111 administration in acutely dexamethasone-treated mice prior to swimming training session

The acute effects of SB-612111 (10 mg/kg, ip) or imipramine (20 mg/kg, ip) before a single dexamethasone (0.07 mg/kg, sc) administration in mice exposed to the forced swimming sessions were investigated. The dose of dexamethasone used was selected based on the behavioral effects observed in the previous dose-response experiment. Two separate series of experiments were performed in this step: 1) animals were treated with imipramine or vehicle 15 min prior to dexamethasone injection; 2) animals were treated with SB-612111 or vehicle 15 min before dexamethasone injection. In both experimental series, 60 min after the treatment with the glucocorticoid, mice were exposed to a swimming training session, and 24 h later, they were subjected to the test session. The immobility time was registered during the first 5 min of each swimming session (Fig. 2).

2.4.3. Effects of subchronic imipramine or SB-612111 administration in repeatedly dexamethasone-treated mice exposed to swimming sessions

This experimental series investigated the effects of subchronic (5 days) administrations of SB-612111 (10 mg/kg/day, ip), imipramine (20 mg/kg/day, ip) or vehicle before repeated dexamethasone (0.01 mg/kg, sc) injections. A pilot series of experiments indicated that dexamethasone 0.01 mg/kg was able to induce behavioral alterations.
only after repeated treatment (for details see: Wróbel et al., 2014). On day 1, dexamethasone was injected 60 min before the training session. On day 2, mice were firstly exposed to the forced swimming session, and then they were treated with dexamethasone. On days 3 and 4, dexamethasone was administered sc as previously described, and mice returned to the animal facility. On day 5, mice were again treated with dexamethasone and 60 min later, they were re-exposed to the forced swimming stress (retest session). SB-612111 (10 mg/kg, ip), imipramine (20 mg/kg, ip) or vehicle were injected daily 15 min before dexamethasone administration (Fig. 3). Immobility time was registered during the first 5 min of the training, test and retest swimming sessions.

2.5. Data analysis

Determination of the animal number to be used in each experimental series was carried out to ensure that the minimal number was used. Sample sizes were calculated performing the power analysis with the G*Power software 3.0.10. Specifically, for some experimental series, the number of animals to be used has been calculated to avoid type I errors with an alpha level < 0.05 and type II errors at a statistical power of 0.8. Generally, for Swiss mice, taking account the effect size of 4.0 (based on Holanda et al., 2019) and two-way ANOVA tests, a sample size of six animals/group was calculated. For NOP receptor knockout mice, considering the effect size of 1.8 (based on Gavioli et al., 2003) and ANOVA tests, a sample size of nine mice/group was estimated. However, lower size samples were accepted in experimental series without previous literature support. Data are presented as mean ± SEM of n animals. Data sets were initially checked for normality and homogeneity of variance before using parametric statistical tests. Differences on the immobility time between swimming sessions and dexamethasone treatment or mouse genotype were detected using repeated measures two-way ANOVA (with one between variable – treatment or genotype condition, and one within variable – swimming sessions) followed by Tukey’s post hoc test. Differences on the immobility time and % mouse body weight of distinct treatment groups were evaluated through the two-way ANOVA (independent factors: dexamethasone and drug treatments or mouse genotype) followed by Tukey’s post hoc test. Differences were considered statistically significant when P < 0.05. For the statistical analysis, the GraphPad Prism software version 6.0 (Graph Pad
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3. Results

3.1. Effects of single dexamethasone administration prior to swimming training session

The effects of a single administration of dexamethasone (0.01, 0.07 and 0.3 mg/kg, sc) before swimming training session are showed in Fig. 1. The re-exposition to swimming sessions significantly increased the immobility time of mice (Fig. 1; session effect: $F(1,40) = 123.75$, $P < 0.05$). The administration of dexamethasone augmented immobility time (Fig. 1; treatment effect: $F(3,40) = 50.35$, $P < 0.05$). No significant interaction between factors (i.e., dexamethasone and re-exposition to swimming stress) was observed ($P > 0.05$). Post-hoc analysis indicated that the higher doses of the glucocorticoid (0.07 and 0.3 mg/kg) significantly increased the immobility time in Swiss mice.

3.2. Effects of single imipramine or SB-612111 administration in acutely dexamethasone-treated mice prior to swimming training session

In two distinct series of experiment, we evaluated the effects of a single administration of imipramine (20 mg/kg, ip) or SB-612111 (10 mg/kg, ip) prior to dexamethasone (Dexa, 0.01 mg/kg, sc)-injection in the immobility time assessed in forced swimming sessions and mouse body weight. Data are presented as mean ± SEM of 7 mice/group. *$P < 0.05$ vs. its respective vehicle; †$P < 0.05$ vs. vehicle/Dexa; ‡$P < 0.05$ vs. IMP/Dexa, two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test.

Software Inc., San Diego, USA) was used.
compared to vehicle (Fig. 2A and B; P < 0.05). Notably, the systemic administration of imipramine, given before the training session, did not change the immobility time during both swimming sessions.

Concerning the effects of the NOP antagonist, two-way ANOVA revealed an interaction between the independent factors (NOP antagonist and dexamethasone) in the training (Fig. 2C; F(1,16) = 16.97, P < 0.05) and test (Fig. 2D; F(1,16) = 13.93, P < 0.05) sessions. Dexamethasone increased per se the immobility time in both swimming sessions (Fig. 2C and D; P < 0.05). The systemic administration of SB-612111 per se prevented the increase in the immobility time during the test session (Fig. 2D; P < 0.05). However, one single administration of SB-612111 before the training session blocked dexamethasone-induced increase in the immobility time in both swimming sessions (Fig. 2C and D; P < 0.05).

### 3.3. Effects of subchronic imipramine or SB-612111 administration in repeatedly dexamethasone-treated mice exposed to swimming sessions

In a pilot set of experiments, the effects of repeated administration of dexamethasone (0.01 mg/kg, sc, for 5 consecutive days) were assessed in Swiss mice subjected to swimming stress. At this dose, dexamethasone further increased the immobility time only in the retest session (Fig. 1S, P < 0.05) while it was inactive during the training and test sessions (Fig. 1S, P > 0.05).

The effects of the tricyclic antidepressant imipramine (20 mg/kg, ip, 5 days) or the NOP antagonist SB-612111 (10 mg/kg, ip, 5 days) prior to repeated dexamethasone injections were investigated in this study (Fig. 3A-D). No significant effects for dexamethasone, imipramine or SB-612111 treatments were observed in the training session (Fig. 3A; P > 0.05). Two-way ANOVA revealed a significant effect for the treatment with imipramine or SB-612111 in the test session (Fig. 3B, F(2,36) = 22.26, P < 0.05). It is noteworthy to mention that the acute administration of SB-612111 (on day 1) also prevented the increase in the immobility time induced by an inescapable stressful situation in the test session assessed on day 2 (Fig. 3B, P < 0.05). In the retest session, a significant effect for the treatment with imipramine, NOP antagonist (Fig. 3C; F(2,36) = 84.59, P < 0.05), dexamethasone (Fig. 3C, F(1,36) = 29.73, P < 0.05), and an interaction between independent factors (drug treatments and dexamethasone; Fig. 3C, F(2,36) = 5.79, P < 0.05) was observed in the immobility time. Dexamethasone (0.01 mg/kg) increased immobility time exclusively in the retest session after 5 consecutive days of treatment (Fig. 3C, P > 0.05). Repeated administrations of imipramine or SB-612111 prevented dexamethasone and vehicle-induced increase in the immobility time in the retest session.
Repeated administrations of dexamethasone, but not imipramine or SB-612111, induced a statistically significant reduction of mouse body weight compared to vehicle-treated animals (Fig. 3D). Additionally, the SB-612111 and dexamethasone (Fig. 3D; $F(2,36) = 10.98, P < 0.05$). Repeated administrations of dexamethasone, but not imipramine or SB-612111, induced a statistically significant reduction of mouse body weight compared to vehicle-treated animals (Fig. 3D). Additionally, the treatment with SB-612111, but not imipramine, prevented dexamethasone-induced body weight loss in Swiss mice (Fig. 3D). The body weight (g) of mice pretreated with imipramine (IMP 20 mg/kg, ip) or SB-612111 (10 mg/kg, ip) 15 min before the administration of dexamethasone (0.01 mg/kg, sc) during 5 days from the start (day 1) and at the end (day 5) of the experimental series is detailed in Table 1S.

3.4. Effects of acute and repeated administrations of dexamethasone in NOP($+/−$) mice exposed to swimming sessions

First of all, the behavioral phenotype of NOP($+/−$) mice was investigated after repeated exposure to forced swimming sessions (Fig. 2S). Repeated measures two-way ANOVA revealed the effects of genotype and time as source of variation (Fig. 2S, time factor: $F(2,45) = 5.52, P < 0.05$; phenotype factor: $F(1,45) = 27.11, P < 0.05$). Knockout mice for the NOP receptor displayed a significant reduction in the immobility time compared to wild-type animals. Additionally, the re-exposition to swimming sessions increased immobility time in NOP($+/−$) mice, but not in NOP($−/−$) mice.

Fig. 4 illustrates the effects of acute and repeated administrations of dexamethasone in NOP($+/−$) and NOP($−/−$) mice. Two-way ANOVA indicated genotype as source of variation in the training (Fig. 4A, genotype factor: $F(1,66) = 38.03, P < 0.05$), test (Fig. 4B, genotype factor: $F(1,66) = 37.48, P < 0.05$) and retest (Fig. 4C; genotype factor: $F(1,40) = 46.03, P < 0.05$) sessions, and an effect for dexamethasone treatment only in the retest session (Fig. 4C; treatment factor: $F(3,40) = 3.02, P < 0.05$). In all swimming sessions, NOP($−/−$) mice displayed a significant reduction in the immobility time compared with NOP($+/−$) mice. Additionally, unlike Swiss mice, a single administration of dexamethasone, at the doses used in our study, did not affect the immobility time of NOP($+/−$) and NOP($−/−$) mice. However, repeated injections of the glucocorticoid increased immobility time in NOP($+/−$) and NOP($−/−$) mice at the highest dose tested (i.e., 0.3 mg/kg). Concerning mouse body weight, two-way ANOVA revealed genotype (Fig. 4D; $F(1,40) = 46.11, P < 0.05$) and dexamethasone treatment (Fig. 4D; $F(3,40) = 3.19, P < 0.05$) as source of variation. As compared to wild-type animals, the genetic blockade of NOP receptor protects mice from body weight loss due to the swimming stress. Moreover, repeated administrations of dexamethasone at higher doses (0.07 and 0.3 mg/kg) significantly reduced mouse body weight compared to vehicle of both genotypes. The body weight of NOP($+/−$) and NOP($−/−$) mice from the start (Day 1) and at the end (Day 5) of the experimental series is summarized in Table 2S.

4. Discussion

Previous study showed a clear relationship between the N/OFQ-NOP receptor system and resilience to stress (Holanda et al., 2019). In order to extend available information about the effects of the blockade of NOP receptor signaling under exposure to stressful stimuli, we evaluated herein the consequences of pharmacological (selective antagonist) or genetic blockade (knockout mice) of NOP receptors on dexamethasone-treated mice exposed to swimming stress. Then, we demonstrated that blockade of the NOP receptor signaling facilitates the acquisition of an active behavioral response due to repeated swimming stress and exogenous dexamethasone.

In this study, re-exposition to swim stress increased the time that mice spent immobile in the water. Pursolt et al. (1977) proposed two swimming sessions for the screening of antidepressant drugs in rats: a first 15-min training session and a second 5-min test after one day. It is widely showed that rodents develop immobility much faster during the second session. The frequency/duration of immobility increases with the time spent in the water (Lino-de-Oliveira et al., 2005) and over several re-test sessions with a parallel decrease in the latency to immobility (Mezadri et al., 2011). This increase in immobility time across re-exposures might reflect the switch between an active strategy toward a passive response to stress, and it allows us to examine the mechanistic underpinning of coping with inescapable stressors (Molendijk and De Kloet, 2019). Additionally, the repeated swimming session protocol has been previously employed in mice for investigating the antidepressant effects of NOP ligands (Gavioli et al., 2003, 2004; Asth et al., 2016; Holanda et al., 2018, 2019).

Our findings showed that the increase in the immobility time due to the re-exposition to swim sessions was: i) prevented by the administration of the NOP antagonist SB-612111 after single or repeated administrations, and ii) no longer evident in NOP($−/−$) mice. Holanda et al. (2019) showed that the administration of NOP antagonist SB-612111 before inescapable stress reduced the development of helpless mice, and slowed up the acquisition of an immobile posture when mice were forced to swim. Similar findings have also been reported for NOP($+/−$) mice and rats in the swimming stress (Gavioli et al., 2003; Rizzi et al., 2011) and for NOP($+/−$) mice subjected to inescapable footshock stress (Holanda et al., 2019). Altogether, our findings and the aforementioned studies convincingly support the hypothesis that pharmacological and genetic blockade of NOP receptors facilitate the acquisition of an active coping strategy, which is related to a resilient phenotype (Russo et al., 2012).

The administration of dexamethasone was employed in this study to mimic a stressful stimulus which is able to evoke depressive-like behaviors in mice (Wróbel et al., 2014). Dexamethasone is more potent, longer lasting, and more selective for the GR than the endogenous hormone corticosterone (Czock et al., 2005) since natural corticosteroids have higher affinity for MR than GR at low plasma levels (De Kloet et al., 2005). As endogenous corticosteroid levels increase, for instance, after stress, GR receptors become more occupied/stimulated by these hormones (Pavilides et al., 1995). It is described that an imbalance in MR x GR activation may induce HPA axis dysregulation, leading to impaired behavioral adaptation which in turn enhances the susceptibility to stress-related mental disorders (De Kloet, 2014). In this sense, dexamethasone facilitates an imbalance of MR x GR activation, thus promptly contributing to the endocrine scenario of HPA axis dysregulation reported in depressive patients (Baes et al., 2014; Jurueña et al., 2015).

We showed that a single administration of dexamethasone, in a dose-dependent manner, further increased the immobility time in mice. In addition, repeated administrations of lower doses of dexamethasone, which were inactive as acute treatment, further increased the mouse immobility time in the retest session. These results are in line with literature findings demonstrating that dexamethasone increased immobility time in mice in a dose- and time-depended manner (Wróbel et al., 2014). It is important to mention that NOP($+/−$) mice, which were backcrossed in the CD-1 strain, naturally display lower propensity to immobility time increase in response to forced swimming in comparison with Swiss mice. Moreover, repeated administrations of dexamethasone, only at the higher dose tested (i.e., 0.3 mg/kg), increased the time that NOP($+/−$) mice spent immobile in the water. Previous findings from our research group have shown CD-1 mice more resistant to stress than Swiss mice (Holanda et al., 2019). Thus, in the learned helplessness model, a 2 consecutive inescapable footshock sessions were required for 50% of CD-1 mice display a helpless phenotype, while only
one session was enough for Swiss mice (Holanda et al., 2019). The strain-dependent resistance to stress reinforces the importance to test the depressogenic-like effects of dexamethasone in dose-response studies.

Our findings showed that single and repeated administrations of the NOP antagonist SB-612111, prior to dexamethasone, inhibited the glucocorticoid effects in the training, test and retest swimming sessions. However, the treatment with imipramine for 5 days significantly prevented the effects of dexamethasone only in the retest session. We hypothesized that such effect is possibly due to the elevated mean half-life of imipramine (i.e., $t_{1/2}=19$ h), which may contribute to cumulative effects after repeated administrations. No information about the pharmacokinetic profile of SB-612111 is still available in the literature, but an antidepressant with a $t_{1/2}$ similar to SB-612111 would be ideal to a fair comparison of effects. Dexamethasone also facilitated body weight loss while a protective effect was observed after repeated administrations of SB-612111, but not imipramine. A significant positive correlation between weight loss and plasma and cerebrospinal fluid cortisol levels were also observed in humans (Casper et al., 1987), thus reinforcing the relevance of measuring the body weight in dexamethasone-treated mice. Previously, SB-612111 did not significantly affect food intake in feeding freely and in food-deprived mice (Rizzi et al., 2007), but when injected in Long Evans rats eating a high fat/high sugar diet, SB-612111, dose-dependently reduced food intake and body weight gain (Witkin et al., 2014). Collectively, the present study demonstrates for the first time the beneficial effects of a NOP antagonist to protect from behavioral and physiological responses to repeated stress, and brings new evidence about the effectiveness of NOP antagonists compared to conventional antidepressants in promoting the development of a resilient phenotype.

Evidence from the literature showed that knockout mice for the NOP receptor under immunological stress promptly restored circulating corticosterone plasma levels to baseline when compared to NOP(+/-) mice (Mallimo et al., 2010). In this study, NOP(-/-) mice were more resistant than wild-type animals to the effects of repeated inescapable swimming stress. In contrast to the effects of SB-612111 that promoted a temporary blockade of the NOP receptor in an adult animal, the genetic blockade of NOP receptor did not protect animals from the development of dexamethasone-induced increase in the immobility time. It is relevant to mention that NOP(+/-) mice displayed a similar sensitivity to dexamethasone as NOP(-/-) mice (i.e., only at the highest dose increased immobility time). A possible explanation for these differences in response to dexamethasone can be due to the fact that NOP(-/-) mice developed under the depletion of the NOP receptor signaling, thus adaptive processes took place to allow these individuals surviving.

The increased resilience to depression due to the blockade of the NOP receptor can be explained considering the close relationship between N/OFQ – NOP receptor system and the modulation of adaptive responses to stress. Firstly, the N/OFQ-NOP receptor system is expressed in the HPA axis and limbic structures involved in the modulation of emotions and stress responses (Boom et al., 1999; Molleroue and Mouledous, 2000) as well as stressful situations facilitate N/OFQ release (Devine et al., 2005; Nativio et al., 2012). Furthermore, an increase in the NOP mRNA was also observed in the amygdala and periventricular nucleus of hypothalamus under acute stress (Green et al., 2009; Ciccioccioppo et al., 2014). In humans, positron emission tomography studies conducted with a NOP receptor radiotracer have demonstrated that binding to NOP receptors in human women was facilitated, instead of impaired, acquisition and retention of the immobility posture in the swimming stress. These facilitatory effects of dexamethasone (and other glucocorticoids) on memory are relevant to investigate the mechanisms of stress coping strategies (De Kloet and Molendijk, 2016). Additionally, NOP antagonists did not affect the acquisition of a given task, as assessed in the Morris Water Maze (Redrobe et al., 2000; Kuzmin et al., 2009), and inhibitory avoidance (Liu et al., 2007). By contrast, NOP(-/-) mice displayed a promnestic understanding the possible alterations in the peptide expression and its receptor under chronic stress.

One of the consequences of the stress-induced increase in the NOP receptor signaling is the further activation of the HPA axis. A growing body of evidence showed that central injections of N/OFQ in naïve mice increase corticosterone and ACTH plasma levels (Devine et al., 2001; Fernandez et al., 2004; Brocardo et al., 2005; Vitale et al., 2006; Filippetti et al., 2007; Green et al., 2007), CRF mRNA in paraventricular hypothalamic nucleus and pro-opiomelanocortin (POMC) mRNA in the anterior pituitary (Leggett et al., 2006). On the other hand, administration of NOP antagonists did not change glucocorticoid and ACTH plasma levels or CRF and POMC expression levels in naïve rats (Leggett et al., 2006; Vitale et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2015). However, the blockade of the NOP receptor exerted by specific antagonists restored circulating corticosterone plasma levels to baseline in stressed rodents (Leggett et al., 2009; Vitale et al., 2009, 2017; Delayne et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2015). It is plausible to assume that stress, via glucocorticoids, increases the N/OFQ (Devine et al., 2005; Nativio et al., 2012) and NOP receptor expression (Green et al., 2009; Ciccioccioppo et al., 2014) in rodents, leading to an enhancement of the endogenous N/OFQ system. This could also partially explain the beneficial effects of the NOP antagonist SB-612111 in preventing the dexamethasone- and swimming stress-induced depressive-like behaviors in mice. Therefore, glucocorticoids might play a role as mediators of the effect of stress in the expression of N/OFQ peptide and its receptor, as previously suggested by Nativio et al. (2012). This hypothesis is in part supported by the existence of several glucocorticoid-responding elements on the pNP/OFQ human gene (Xie et al., 1999). Studies aimed to investigate the presence of glucocorticoid-responding elements in the NOP receptor gene are still needed.

Contrasting to the pro-stress effects of the N/OFQ system herein presented, a large amount of evidence supports anti-stress properties of N/OFQ. This current idea comes from the ability of N/OFQ to act as a functional antagonist for the CRF. It has been shown that N/OFQ within the bed nucleus of stria terminals and the central amygdala prevents the anxiogenic (Rodi et al., 2000; Uchiyama et al., 2008; Ciccioccioppo et al., 2014; Filaferro et al., 2014) and anorectic effects of CRF and stress (Ciccioccioppo et al., 2001; Ciccioccioppo et al., 2003). Furthermore, ex vivo electrophysiological recording from brain slices showed that the treatment with N/OFQ avoids the CRF ability to stimulate GABAergic neurotransmission in the central amygdala (Cruz et al., 2012; Ciccioccioppo et al., 2014). In summary, the contrasting actions of N/OFQ on stress (i.e., anti-stress x pro-stress profile) can be explained based on the effects of N/OFQ in activating: i) the HPA axis, which mimics a stress-like response, and ii) the extrahypothalamic sites, where NOP receptor activation evokes anti-stress properties (at least under acute stress). It is also possible to suppose that the N/OFQ-NOP receptor system is part of the stress-coping mechanisms associated with physiological responses to stress (for a review see: Witkin et al., 2014).

Locomotor activity and memory are two important confound variables for the forced swimming task. Despite the pharmacological inter- vention in systems (i.e., glucocorticoid and N/OFQ) that in some extension influence memory and locomotor processes, it is unlike that these factors are biasing our findings. Firstly, it is very well established that prolonged use of glucocorticoid (in higher doses) induces impairment in learning and memory process, mainly in declarative and working memory (for review: Brown, 2009). In this study, acute or repeated administration of dexamethasone induced severe memory deficits in naive rats, which were facilitated, instead of impaired, acquisition and retention of the immobility posture in the swimming stress. These facilitatory effects of dexamethasone (and other glucocorticoids) on memory are relevant to investigate the mechanisms of stress coping strategies (De Kloet and Molendijk, 2016). Additionally, NOP antagonists did not affect the acquisition of a given task, as assessed in the Morris Water Maze (Redrobe et al., 2000; Kuzmin et al., 2009), and inhibitory avoidance (Liu et al., 2007). By contrast, NOP(-/-) mice displayed a promnestic understanding the possible alterations in the peptide expression and its receptor under chronic stress.
profile, by showing improvement of acquisition in spatial memory tasks (Manabe et al., 1998; Nagai et al., 2007), and in retention of inhibitory avoidance (Manabe et al., 1998) and contextual memory (Mamiya et al., 2003), which could theoretically be a confound variable to the present study. Nevertheless, both NOP(−/−) mice and the treatment with SB-612111 counteracted the stress stimuli-induced increase in the immobility time. Ultimately, these actions could be interpreted as impairment, not improvement, on the memory acquisition of the most natural response (i.e., increase in the immobility time) when mice were forced to swim. Finally, as showed previously, neither the blockade of NOP receptor nor dexamethasone influences locomotor activity and muscle strength of rodents (Gavioli et al., 2007; Rizzi et al., 2007, 2011; Arcuri et al., 2016; De Souza et al., 2019; Silva et al., 2019). Altogether, the reduction in the immobility time induced by pharmacological and genetic blockade of the NOP receptor are not possibly influenced by confound variables such as memory and locomotion. In conclusion, our study clearly demonstrates that pharmacological and genetic blockade of NOP receptors acts on stress coping responses disturbing the switch between active to passive strategies induced by dexamethasone and swimming stress. In fact, acute and subchronic treatment with the NOP antagonist SB-612111 attenuated the consequences to dexamethasone treatment and repeated swimming stress in mice. Similarly, NOP(−/−) mice seem to be protected from the swimming stress-induced increase in the immobility time and body weight loss. Finally, this study provides further evidence about the potential therapeutic effects of NOP antagonists as a preemptive treatment in patients with severe risk factors for depression.
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Appendix A

Fig. 1S - Effects of single (training and test sessions) and repeated (retest sessions) administrations of dexamethasone (Dexa, 0.01 mg/kg, sc) before the swimming sessions in mice. Data are presented as mean ± SEM of 5 mice/group. *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle, Repeated measure two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test.

Fig. 2S – Behavioral phenotype of NOP(+/+)/NOP(−/−) mice exposed to repeated forced swimming sessions. Data are presented as mean ± SEM of the immobility time in the training and test sessions of 9 NOP(+/+)/NOP(−/−) mice and retest session of 6 NOP(+/+)/NOP(−/−) mice. *P < 0.05 vs. training; #P < 0.05 vs. NOP(−/−) mice. Repeated measure two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test.
Table 2S

Body weight (g) of NOP(+/-+) and NOP (---/--) mice from the start (day 1) and at the end (day 5) of the experimental series.

| Genotype and drug treatment | Day 1 Body weight (g) | Day 5 Body weight (g) | Sample size |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| NOP(+/+) vehicle            | 48.3 ± 1.8            | 46.2 ± 1.7            | 6           |
| NOP(+/+) Dexta 0.01 mg/kg   | 46.4 ± 2.7            | 43.8 ± 2.4            | 5           |
| NOP(+/-) Dexta 0.05 mg/kg   | 49.0 ± 1.6            | 45.0 ± 1.2            | 7           |
| NOP(+/-) Dexta 0.3 mg/kg    | 47.2 ± 2.0            | 43.5 ± 2.3            | 6           |
| NOP(--/-) vehicle           | 43.9 ± 1.0            | 43.7 ± 0.7            | 7           |
| NOP(--/-) Dexta 0.01 mg/kg  | 40.8 ± 1.9            | 39.7 ± 2.1            | 6           |
| NOP(--/-) Dexta 0.07 mg/kg  | 42.0 ± 1.9            | 39.7 ± 1.9            | 6           |
| NOP(--/-) Dexta 0.3 mg/kg   | 44.2 ± 1.8            | 41.2 ± 1.7            | 6           |

Data are presented as mean ± SEM.
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