Mps1 regulates spindle morphology through MCRS1 to promote chromosome alignment
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ABSTRACT Accurate partitioning of chromosomes during mitosis is essential for genetic stability and requires the assembly of the dynamic mitotic spindle and proper kinetochore–microtubule attachment. The spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) monitors the incompleteness and errors in kinetochore–microtubule attachment and delays anaphase. The SAC kinase Mps1 regulates the recruitment of downstream effectors to unattached kinetochores. Mps1 also actively promotes chromosome alignment during metaphase, but the underlying mechanism is not completely understood. Here, we show that Mps1 regulates chromosome alignment through MCRS1, a spindle assembly factor that controls the dynamics of the minus end of microtubules. Mps1 binds and phosphorylates MCRS1. This mechanism enables KIF2A localization to the minus end of spindle microtubules. Thus, our study reveals a novel role of Mps1 in regulating the dynamics of the minus end of microtubules and expands the functions of Mps1 in genome maintenance.

INTRODUCTION Faithful chromosome segregation is highly dependent on the integrity of the mitotic surveillance system, the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC; Godek et al., 2015; Sacristan and Kops, 2014; Musacchio, 2015). In response to unattached or erroneously attached kinetochores, the SAC is activated and initiates the assembly of the mitotic checkpoint complex (MCC), consisting of BubR1, Bub3, Mad2, and Cdc20, at the kinetochore. The MCC in turn inhibits the anaphase promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C) to delay anaphase. This delay provides a time window for the establishment of proper kinetochore–microtubule attachment. The kinase monopolar spindle 1 (Mps1) plays an important role in initiating and maintaining SAC signaling. It is recruited to unattached kinetochores via the Ndc80 complex and phosphorylates various downstream substrates, including KNL1, Bub1, and Mad1 (Hiruma et al., 2015; Ji et al., 2015; Faesen et al., 2017). Phosphorylation of KNL1 recruits the Bub1–Bub3 complex to the kinetochores for activating the SAC signaling cascade (London et al., 2012; Shepperd et al., 2012; Yamagishi et al., 2012), whereas phosphorylated Bub1 and Mad1 facilitates MCC formation and APC/C inhibition (London and Biggin, 2014; Mora-Santos et al., 2016; Ji et al., 2017).

In addition to its well-established roles in the SAC, Mps1 has been implicated in centrosome duplication, DNA repair, and chromosome alignment (Kasbek et al., 2007; Jelluma et al., 2008; Santaguida et al., 2010; Liu and Winey, 2012; Yu et al., 2016). Several groups have reported that Mps1 actively promotes chromosome alignment during metaphase. Mps1 enhances centromeric Aurora B localization for the correction of erroneous kinetochore–microtubule attachment (van der Waal et al., 2012). In addition, Mps1-dependent phosphorylation of CENP-E relieves the autoinhibition of its motor activity, allowing CENP-E to promote chromosome alignment (Espeut et al., 2008; Lan and Cleveland, 2010). In a recent chemical proteomic study, Ska3 was identified as another...
key downstream target of Mps1 for efficient chromosome alignment at metaphase (Maciejowski et al., 2017). Mps1 regulates its own binding to kinetochores. Inactivation of the Mps1 catalytic activity has been shown to stabilize Mps1 binding to kinetochores and hinder normal kinetochore–microtubule attachment (Hewitt et al., 2010; Dou et al., 2015). Thus, Mps1 phosphorylates various downstream targets at kinetochores to achieve efficient chromosome alignment during mitosis.

Microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs) play important roles in chromosome segregation through regulating dynamics of the mitotic spindle. The kinesin-13 family of microtubule motors facilitates microtubule disassembly at the plus and minus ends to coordinate accurate chromosome segregation. In mammals, the three members of this family, KIF2A, KIF2B, and KIF2C/MCAK, play nonoverlapping roles at various mitotic structures, including kinetochores, centrosomes, and the midbody (Manning et al., 2007; Welburn and Cheeseman, 2012). KIF2A first localizes to the mitotic spindle near centrosomes and later moves to the midbody. KIF2C/MCAK, however, localizes to the kinetochore at early mitosis and moves to the centrosome and midbody later. Although all kinesin-13 family members function to destabilize microtubules, double depletion of KIF2A and KIF2C rescues the defect of single KIF2A depletion, suggesting their antagonistic roles (Ganem and Compton, 2004; Rogers et al., 2004). More studies are needed to clarify how different kinesin-13 family members function in mitosis and how they are regulated by mitotic kinases (Jang et al., 2009; Uehara et al., 2013).

The microspherule protein 1 (MCRS1), also known as MSP58, is a MAP that regulates mitotic spindle dynamics. First identified as an interacting partner of the proliferation-related nucleolar protein p120 (Ren et al., 1998), MCRS1 has been implicated in many different biological processes, such as transcriptional regulation, cellular proliferation, senescence induction, and mitotic progression (Lin and Shih, 2002; Okumura et al., 2005; Hirohashi et al., 2006; Andersen et al., 2010; Meunier and Vernos, 2011; Hsu et al., 2012; Fawal et al., 2015; Peng et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2016). During interphase, MCRS1 localizes to the nucleus and nucleolus to regulate gene expression, but it moves to the minus ends of kinetochore microtubules during mitosis to regulate their dynamics (Meunier and Vernos, 2011; Cavazza and Vernos, 2015; Yang et al., 2015). Recent studies have shown that MCRS1 mitotic localization and function are regulated by the KANSL1/3 complex and the Aurora A kinase (Meunier et al., 2015, 2016).

Here, we show that Mps1 interacts with and phosphorylates MCRS1. Phosphorylation of MCRS1 enhances recruitment of KIF2A, a member of the kinesin-13 family, to the minus end of spindle microtubules and facilitates precise chromosome segregation. This finding reveals a novel molecular mechanism of the Mps1 kinase in chromosome alignment and microtubule dynamics to maintain genomic stability.

RESULTS
Mps1 interacts with MCRS1
To further identify downstream targets of Mps1 in chromosome segregation, we performed an in vitro kinase assay with the recombinant full-length Mps1 protein purified from SF9 cells (Figure 1C). The in vitro translated full-length MCRS1 and MCRS1−ΔS bound to the recombinant Mps1 protein, but not to the recombinant Bub1–Bub3 complex, confirming the Mps1–MCRS1 interaction and the important contributions of the FHA and coiled-coil domains of MCRS1. MCRS1−Δ3 binding was inconclusive due to its nonspecific binding to all baits.

We then asked whether the two proteins interacted in vivo. When endogenous MCRS1 was immunoprecipitated by MCRS1 antibodies from mitotic HeLa cell lysates, it was coprecipitated with endogenous Mps1 (Figure 1D). When MCRS1 truncations were examined for their interaction with Mps1 in mitotic HeLa cells, MCRS1−Δ4 and ΔS5 coprecipitated Mps1, but MCRS1−Δ1 did not. MCRS1−Δ2 and Δ3 could not be examined because of their instability in cells (Figure 1E and Supplemental Figure S1A). Interestingly, MCRS1−Δ4 in HeLa cells coprecipitated Mps1, indicating that the middle region of MCRS1 also contributes to Mps1 interaction in HeLa cells. Thus, we concluded that MCRS1 interacts with Mps1 mainly through the middle and C-terminal regions of MCRS1. We also examined whether their binding could be detected in late G2 (Supplemental Figure S1B). Mps1 was efficiently coprecipitated with MCRS1 in G2-arrested cells, suggesting that the interaction of these two proteins was not specific to mitosis.

Mps1 phosphorylates MCRS1
Because Mps1 binds MCRS1, we wanted to examine whether Mps1 phosphorylated MCRS1 in an in vitro kinase assay. In this assay (Figure 2A), Mps1 efficiently phosphorylated MCRS1, but not Nse1, a negative control protein. Furthermore, this phosphorylation was decreased by the presence of the Mps1 inhibitor, reversine, indicating its specificity.

To map the phosphorylation sites of MCRS1, we performed mass spectrometry analysis of MCRS1 in vitro phosphorylated by the Mps1 kinase and identified various serine and threonine sites (Supplemental Table S1). Among them, DEES (27), VESS (65), and EDQT (268) matched the Mps1 phosphorylation consensus sequence, which prefers an acidic amino acid at −2 or −3 positions (Hennrich et al., 2013). We further examined whether any of these sites were phosphorylated in mitotic HeLa cells by a similar approach. As revealed by mass spectometry, the VESS peptide containing both S64 and S65 was indeed found to be phosphorylated in vivo, but it was not possible to pinpoint which serine was phosphorylated (Figure 2, B and C). Because Mps1 prefers an acidic amino acid at −2 or −3 position for phosphorylation, we predicted S65 as the in vivo phosphorylation site, and attempted to develop phosphospecific antibodies against VESSpS (S65). Unfortunately, this attempt was not successful. The antibody could not detect in vivo phosphorylation of
MCRS1 overexpression during clonal development. Again, cells inducible promoter to circumvent any potential harmful effect of HeLa cell lines where MCRS1 expression was controllable by an examine their mitotic timing (Figure 3, D and E). We developed clonal variation, we generated additional clones of MCRS1 and verify that the mitotic defect of MCRS1-S64A/S65A was not due to mitotic defect similar to control cells, and stayed longer in mitosis. To contrast, HeLa cells expressing MCRS1-S64A/S65A exhibited mitosis longer than 3 h and resulted in mitotic exit without division after MCRS1 RNAi (Supplemental Figure S2B). Many cells stayed in mitosis greater than 3 h and resulted in mitoticexit without division after MCRS1 RNAi (Figure 3, A and B). The delayed chromosome alignment was likely the cause of the longer mitotic duration of the cells, as misaligned chromosomes were often observed in those cells (Supplemental Figure S1C). These cells eventually segregated their chromosomes after realigning chromosomes and exited mitosis. When cells were treated with taxol or nocodazole to examine the functionality of the SAC, most MCRS1-depleted cells arrested in mitosis, indicating that the SAC was not apparently compromised by MCRS1 depletion (Supplemental Figure S1, D and E). Inactivation of Mps1 has been shown to interrupt normal chromosome alignment in MG132-arrested metaphase cells (Hewitt et al., 2010; Santaguida et al., 2010; Dou et al., 2015). When we inactivated Mps1 with the small molecule inhibitor AZ3146 together with MG132, scattered chromosomes resulting from abnormal chromosome alignment were frequently observed in mitotic cells (see Figure 5, A and B, later in the paper). Thus, both MCRS1 and Mps1 are required for proper chromosome alignment in human cells, raising the possibility that their interaction or the phosphorylation of MCRS1 by Mps1 is functionally important for this process.

Phosphorylation of MCRS1 at S65 by Mps1 facilitates chromosome alignment

To test the function of MCRS1 S64/S65 phosphorylation in chromosome alignment, we developed HeLa cell lines stably expressing RNAi-resistant MCRS1 wild type or S64A/S65A (Supplemental Figure S2A). Reverse transcription-PCRs (RT-PCRs) and Western blots showed that the levels of endogenous MCRS1 mRNA and protein were greatly reduced by RNAi but those of exogenous MCRS1-GFP were not. MCRS1-GFP predominantly localized to the nucleus and nucleolus during interphase, but was enriched at the spindle poles, near the minus end of microtubules, from prophase to anaphase (Figure 3C). This is consistent with earlier findings that MCRS1 localizes to the minus end of microtubules during mitosis (Meunier and Vernos, 2011).

We then examined mitotic timing of the stable HeLa cell lines after MCRS1 RNAi (Supplemental Figure S2B). Many cells stayed in mitosis longer than 3 h and resulted in mitotic exit without division or mitotic cell death. Unlike control HeLa cells, HeLa cells stably expressing MCRS1-WT did not exhibit gross mitotic defect. By contrast, HeLa cells expressing MCRS1-S64A/S65A exhibited mitotic defect similar to control cells, and stayed longer in mitosis. To verify that the mitotic defect of MCRS1-S64A/S65A was not due to clonal variation, we generated additional clones of MCRS1 and examine their mitotic timing (Figure 3, D and E). We developed HeLa cell lines where MCRS1 expression was controllable by an inducible promoter to circumvent any potential harmful effect of MCRS1 overexpression during clonal development. Again, cells

MCRS1 functions in chromosome alignment during mitosis

It was previously reported that MCRS1 facilitates metaphase chromosome alignment by regulating the minus-end kinetochore microtubule dynamics (Meunier and Vernos, 2011). Consistent with the earlier study, our time-lapse live-cell imaging showed that the mitotic duration of AS49 cells was significantly extended when MCRS1 was
We then turned our attention to the mitotic spindle structure because of earlier reports implicating MCRS1 in spindle organization (Meunier and Vernos, 2011; Meunier et al., 2016). Interestingly, we noticed that the two centrosomes in S65A-expressing cells depleted of endogenous MCRS1 were often farther separated from each other than those in wild-type–expressing cells (Figure 4A and Supplemental Figures S3A and S4A). Because MCRS1 is known to regulate microtubule dynamics at the minus end (Meunier and Vernos, 2011), this defect might be caused by deregulation of microtubule dynamics. Next, we measured the intercentrosome distance in metaphase cells arrested by MG132 (Figure 4, C and D, and Supplemental Figures S3B and S4A). In control cells, the intercentrosome distance was ∼5–6 μm, but it was extended to ∼8–9 μm after MCRS1 RNAi. Expression of MCRS1-WT or S65D reduced the distance to the control level, but expression of MCRS1-S65A did not. Therefore, phosphorylation of MCRS1 regulates the organization of the mitotic spindles at metaphase.

A recent study has shown that the MLL complex regulates intercentrosome distance through kinesin-13 family member, KIF2A (Ali et al., 2017). To test whether KIF2A was a downstream effector of MCRS1, we checked KIF2A localization in our stable cell lines. The level of KIF2A at the minus end of microtubules near the spindle poles was greatly reduced by MCRS1 depletion (Figure 4, C and E, and Supplemental Figures S3, C and D, and S4A), even though the total level of KIF2A protein was not altered (Figure 4F). Expression of MCRS1-WT or S65D restored KIF2A recruitment to the spindle poles, but expression of MCRS1-S65A did not. Consistently, we have detected a weak interaction between MCRS1 and KIF2A during mitosis (Figure 4F). KIF2A inactivation has been previously shown to result in chromosome segregation defects (Ganem and Compton, 2004; Rogers et al., 2004; Jang et al., 2009; Tanenbaum et al., 2009). Thus, we wondered whether depletion of KIF2A by small interfering RNA (siRNA) causes chromosome alignment defects. KIF2A was efficiently depleted by the siRNA (Figure 4F). Its depletion greatly increased cells with misaligned chromosomes (Supplemental Figure S5, A and B), further supporting KIF2A as a downstream effector of MCRS1 for chromosome alignment. We then tested whether the reduction of KIF2A by MCRS1 depletion is mediated by the delocalization of the MLL complex at the mitotic spindles. The localization of MLL1 at the mitotic spindle was not altered by MCRS1 depletion (Supplemental Figure S5, C and D), suggesting that MCRS1 does not control MLL localization.

Because Mps1 phosphorylates MCRS1 at S65 (Figure 2, B–D) and this phosphorylation regulates KIF2A localization to the minus end of the mitotic spindles (Figure 4E and Supplemental Figure S3D), we examined whether Mps1 inhibition alters KIF2A localization and intercentrosome distance. Inactivation of Mps1 by either the chemical inhibitor AZ3146 or RNAi reduced the level of KIF2A at the minus end of microtubules near spindle poles and resulted in an increased intercentrosome distance (Figure 5, A, C, and D, and S4D).

Mps1 regulates microtubule dynamics at the minus end through KIF2A

We next explored how phosphorylation of S65 contributed to chromosome alignment. We first asked whether phosphorylation regulates the subcellular localization of MCRS1. Our immunofluorescence results showed that the MCRS1-S65A protein properly localized to the nucleus and nucleolus during interphase and to the vicinity of spindle poles during mitosis (Figure 4, A and B, and Supplemental Figure S2, D and E). Thus, MCRS1 phosphorylation does not play a major role in its localization.
Supplemental Figure S6A). Next, we asked whether overexpression of MCRS1-S65D rescued chromosome alignment defect after Mps1 inhibition. Consistently, overexpression of MCRS1-WT or S65A did not rescue the alignment defect but that of MCRS1-S65D did (Figure 5E), strongly arguing that MCRS1 is a downstream target of Mps1 for chromosome alignment function. We also examined whether overexpression of KIF2A rescued the chromosome alignment defect of Mps1 inhibition or MCRS1 inactivation because KIF2A recruitment seems to be an important functional consequence of MCRS1 phosphorylation. Overexpression of KIF2A, however, did not rescue the alignment defect of Mps1 inhibition or MCRS1 inactivation, possibly due to the complex roles of KIF2A in chromosome segregation. Nonetheless, the level of centrosomal KIF2A was found to be anti-correlated to the intercentrosome distance, which further supports the role of KIF2A to regulate intercentrosome distance and chromosome alignment (Supplemental Figure S6B). Taken together, these results strongly suggest that MCRS1 phosphorylation by Mps1 regulates KIF2A localization and mitotic spindle dynamics to facilitate efficient chromosome alignment and proper segregation.

DISCUSSION

Mps1 is a potential molecular target of cancer chemotherapy, because of its myriad functions in mitosis (Salmela and Kallio, 2013; Dominguez-Brauer et al., 2015). In-depth studies of the SAC revealed detailed mechanisms by which Mps1 initiates the SAC signaling (Hiruma et al., 2015; Ji et al., 2015, 2017; Faesen et al., 2017). The molecular functions of Mps1 are not limited to the SAC, and include centrosome duplication, DNA damage repair, and chromosome alignment (Kasbek et al., 2007; Jelluma et al., 2008; Santaguida et al., 2010; Liu and Winey, 2012; Yu et al., 2016). Recent studies have shown that Mps1 plays an important regulatory role in chromosome alignment in metaphase by facilitating the localization of CENP-E, Aurora B, and Ska3 to kinetochores (Hewitt et al., 2010; van der Waal et al., 2012; Maciejowski et al., 2017). Our findings for 1 h. Metaphase cells were counted either normal or abnormal, based on DNA and mitotic spindle distribution. Results from three independent experiments counting ~60 cells each were combined and are shown here as mean ± SEM. Two-tailed P value was calculated similarly as in panel B. Bar: 5 μm.

FIGURE 3: MCRS1 S65 phosphorylation-deficient mutant exhibits a defect in chromosome segregation. (A) Time-lapse live-cell images. A549 cells expressing H2B-CFP were transfected with MCRS1 siRNA. Cells were then arrested at G2 by RO3306 and released into fresh medium for monitoring chromosome segregation. Time after nuclear envelope breakdown was counted by minutes. Misaligned chromosomes in MCRS1 siRNA cells were magnified in a rectangle. Bar: 5 μm. (B) Mitotic duration of MCRS1 depleted cells. Results from three independent experiments of panel A were combined and are shown together as mean ± SEM (total 120 mitotic cells). Two-tailed P value was calculated by unpaired Student’s t test. **** means P value is less than 0.0001, *** less than 0.001, and ** less than 0.01. NS stands for not significant. (C) Immunofluorescence of mitotic cells. HeLa Tet-on cells stably expressing MCRS1-GFP were fixed and processed for immunofluorescence using GFP antibody. DNA was stained by Hoechst 33342. Bar: 5 μm. (D) Stable cell line expressing MCRS1-GFP WT, S65A, or S65D. HeLa Tet-on cells were transfected with MCRS1 siRNA and processed for Western blot to examine MCRS1 protein level. (E) Mitotic duration of stable cell lines. Stable cell lines were transfected with siRNA and mitotic duration was counted by live-cell DIC microscope movie. Results from two independent experiments counting ~40 cells were combined and are shown here as mean ± SEM. Two-tailed P value was calculated similarly as in panel B. (F) Abnormal chromosome alignment at metaphase. Stable cell lines were transfected with siRNA and treated with MG132

here further extend the functions of Mps1 to the regulation of microtubule minus-end dynamics at metaphase. Mps1 phosphorylates MCRS1 and targets it to spindle poles for proper regulation of the mitotic spindle. Mps1 and MCRS1 may act upstream of KIF2A, as Mps1 inhibition by AZ3146 reduces KIF2A localization and increases the length of the mitotic spindle.

It has been previously suggested that MCRS1 inhibits the recruitment of MCAK/KIF2C to the minus end of microtubules to prevent their inappropriate disassembly during early mitosis (Meunier and Vernos, 2011). Our study now reveals an active role of MCRS1 in recruiting KIF2A to the minus end of microtubules near the spindle poles. Our results seem to contradict the previous study, because KIF2A and KIF2C are members of the kinesin-13 family of microtubule motors, which facilitates the disassembly of microtubules. Although the two kinesins play similar roles in microtubule dynamics, they exhibit antagonistic effects on the assembly of the mitotic spindle and cell viability (Ganem and Compton, 2004; Rogers et al., 2004). Therefore, it is possible that MCRS1 may simultaneously inhibit MCAK and activate KIF2A at the minus end of microtubules near the spindle poles. It is also possible that KIF2C may play a major role in determining the length of kinetochore microtubules, whereas KIF2A may primarily regulate the length of intercentrosome microtubules.

MCRS1 plays multiple roles during the cell cycle. It localizes to the nucleus and nucleolus to regulate gene expression as a member of the NSL complex in interphase and relocates to the minus end of kinetochore microtubules to regulate their dynamics (Lin and Shih, 2002; Okumura et al., 2005; Hirohashi et al., 2006; Andersen et al., 2010; Meunier and Vernos, 2011; Hsu et al., 2012; Fawal et al., 2015; Peng et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2016). The NSL complex is known to acetylate histone H4 to activate gene expression in interphase. Several members of this complex, including MCRS1, move to the minus ends of microtubules and regulate their dynamics (Meunier et al., 2015). It is noteworthy that the MLL complex, which mediates histone H3 methylation to activate gene expression, has also been shown to regulate mitotic spindle dynamics and share certain subunits with the NSL complex, including WDR5 and MCRS1 (Dou et al., 2005; Ali et al., 2017). Our study has now established an
important Mps1-dependent regulatory mechanism that promotes MCRS1 function during mitosis.

MCRS1 is often overexpressed in multiple tumors, and its overexpression facilitates anchorage-independent growth and metastasis in those tumors (Bader et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2014). It will be interesting to test whether the mitotic function of MCRS1 and its regulation by Mps1 described herein are defective during tumorigenesis and whether these defects can be exploited for cancer therapy.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell culture and transfection**

HeLa Tet-on cells (Clontech) and A549 (American Type Culture Collection) cells were grown at 37°C in DMEM (Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Life Technologies) in a humid atmosphere with 5% CO₂. For mitotic arrest, cells were treated with 330 nM nocodazole (Sigma) or 100 nM taxol (Sigma) for 12–14 h. For chromosome alignment assay, 10 μM MG132 was treated for 1–2 h. For the generation of stable cell lines, HeLa Tet-On cells were transfected with pIREs-puro or pTRE2-hyg vectors encoding siRNA-resistant wild-type or mutant MCRS1-GFP transgenes. Clones of cells were selected in regular media containing 1 μg/ml puromycin (Mesgen) or 200–400 μg/ml hygromycin (Mesgen) and maintained in media with 0.8 μg/ml puromycin or 100 μg/ml hygromycin. Doxycycline (5 μg/ml; Mesgen) was used for induction of MCRS1 expression. For RNAi experiment, cells were transfected with siRNA oligonucleotides (GenePharma) using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) for 24–48 h. The sequences of the MCRS1 siRNAs were 5′-gcgugugaaagagauaa-3′. Plasmid transfection was carried out by Effectene reagent (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Yeast two hybrid**

The Yeast two-hybrid screen was performed with the full-length Mps1 cloned in frame with the GAL4 DNA-binding domain of vector pGBKT7 (Clontech). The yeast cells were transformed with pGBKT7-Mps1 and the human Jurkat cDNA library (a gift of Michael White, Department of Cell Biology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX) and screened by growth in selective media. The positive clones were subsequently retested in fresh yeast cells, and the identities of preys were determined by DNA sequencing.

**In vitro kinase assay**

For IP-kinase assays, Strep-Mps1 was immunoprecipitated by Strep-Tactin Superflow resin (IBA Lifescience) in lysis buffer (25 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM KCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol [DTT], 0.2% NP-40, 5% glycerol, 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, protease inhibitor cocktail [Sigma], and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail [Mesgen]) from SF9 cells and the Strep IPs were incubated with substrates in kinase buffer (25 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 0.15 M KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 10 mM NaF, 5 mM β-glycerophosphate, 5% glycerol) containing 0.2 mM ATP and 0.1 μCi/μl γ³²P ATP for 1 h at room temperature (RT) with vortex. The reaction mixture was quenched with SDS sample buffer, separated on SDS–PAGE, and analyzed by autoradiography. For inhibition of Mps1, 10 μM of reversine (Sigma) was added in the kinase reactions.

**Direct binding assay**

For protein-binding assays, purified Strep-Mps1 or Strep-Bub1-Nt/Bub3 were immobilized on Strep-tactin beads in binding buffer (25 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 0.15 M KCl, 0.2% NP-40, 5% glycerol, 1 mM DTT) containing 5% nonfat dry milk. After being washed twice, the beads were incubated with MCRS1 proteins translated in vitro by rabbit reticulocyte lysate (Promega) containing 0.5 μCi/μl [³⁵S]methionine and the Strep IPs were incubated with substrates in kinase buffer (25 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 0.15 M KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 10 mM NaF, 5 mM β-glycerophosphate, 5% glycerol) containing 0.2 mM ATP and 0.1 μCi/μl γ³²P ATP for 1 h at room temperature (RT) with vortex. The reaction mixture was quenched with SDS sample buffer, separated on SDS–PAGE, and analyzed by autoradiography. For inhibition of Mps1, 10 μM of reversine (Sigma) was added in the kinase reactions.
for 1 h at RT. After being washed two times, bound proteins were eluted with SDS sample buffer and analyzed by SDS–PAGE followed by autoradiography.

**Antibodies and immunoprecipitation**

The following primary antibodies were used for immunofluorescence: anti-β-tubulin (CWBiO; 1:500), anti-GFP (Proteintech; 1:300), and anti-KiF2A (Proteintech; 1:300). The following primary antibodies were used for Western blot: anti-Myc (Proteintech; 1:1000), anti-HA (Roche; 1:1000), anti-GAPDH (Mesgen; 1:5000), anti-actin, anti-Mps1, anti-H3pS10 (Cell Signaling; 1:1000), and anti-MCRS1 (Proteintech; 1:2000). The following secondary antibodies were used for immunofluorescence and Western blot: goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin G (IgG), horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugate (Proteintech; 1:5000), goat anti-rabbit IgG, HRP conjugate (Proteintech; 1:5000), goat–anti-rabbit IgG, FITC conjugated (CWBiO; 1:300), goat–anti-rabbit IgG, TRIR conjugated (CWBiO; 1:300), goat–anti-mouse IgG, FITC conjugated (CWBiO; 1:300), and goat–anti-mouse IgG, TRITC conjugated (CWBiO; 1:300). Anti-pS65-MCRS1 antibody was generated by immunizing rabbits with the following peptide: ELVES-pS-LAKSScys.

Mitotic cells coexpressing HA-Mps1 and Myc-MCRS1 were incubated with lysis buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 1 mM EDTA, 5% glycerol) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma) and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Mesgen) on ice for 5 min before scraping and cell lysates were cleared by centrifugation. The supernatants were incubated with anti-c-Myc magnetic beads (Pierce) at RT for 30 min and proteins bound to beads were released with SDS loading buffer, resolved in SDS–PAGE, and analyzed by Western blotting.

**Immunofluorescence and imaging**

Cells grown on coverslips were preextracted in PHEM buffer (60 mM PIPES, 25 mM HEPES, 10 mM EGTA [ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid], 4 mM MgSO₄, pH 7.0) containing 0.05% digitonin for 5 min and fixed by 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at RT for 10 min. Cells were then permeabilized with PBST (phosphate-buffered saline containing 0.1% Triton X-100) and blocked with PBST containing 3% bovine serum albumin for 30 min. Primary antibodies were incubated at RT for 2 h and secondary antibodies for 1 h. DNA was stained by Hoechst 33342 (1 μg/mL) for 1 min. Cell images were acquired either by a 20x objective lens in a Nikon Eclipse Ti-E microscope for statistics and by a 60x objective lens in a Zeiss confocal microscope or a 100x objective lens in a Nikon Eclipse Ti-E microscope for representative images. The average of total protein intensity in small areas of two centrosomes subtracted by similar areas of mitotic cytoplasm in the same cell was used for quantification statistics. Law images from Nikon microscope were deconvoluted by NIS-Elements (Nikon) software and processed with ImageJ and Photoshop (Adobe). Fluorescence time-lapse imaging of A549 cells expressing CFP-H2B was recorded every 10 min for a total duration of 24 h with a 10x objective in a Nikon Eclipse Ti-E microscope equipped with a temperature- and CO₂-controlled stage incubation unit (Okolab).

**Mass spectrometry analysis**

MCRS1 proteins were purified from HeLa Tet-on cells stably expressing MCRS1-GFP-Strep by Strept-Tactin beads (IBA Lifescience) and digested by LysC enzyme (Promega). Peptides were separated and analyzed on an Easy-nLC 1000 system coupled to a Q Exactive (both from Thermo Scientific). About 2 μg of peptides was separated in a homemade column (75 μm × 15 cm) packed with C18 AQ (5 μm, 300A; Michrom BioResources) at a flow rate of 300 nl/min. Mobile phase A (0.1% formic acid in 2% ACN [acetonitrile]) and mobile phase B (0.1% formic acid in 98% ACN) were used to establish a 60-min gradient composed of 2% of B, 40 min of 5–30% B, 6 min of 30–45% B, 2 min of 45–90% B, and 10 min of 90% B. Peptides were then ionized by electrospray at 2.3 kV. A full MS spectrum (300–1800 m/z range) was acquired at a resolution of 120,000 at m/z 200 and a maximum ion accumulation time of 20 ms. Dynamic exclusion was set to 30 s. Resolution for HCD MS/MS spectra was set to 30,000 at m/z 200. The AGC setting of MS and MS² were set at 3E6 and 1E5, respectively. The 20 most intense ions above a 1.3E4-count threshold were selected for fragmentation by HCD with a maximum ion accumulation time of 80 ms. Isolation width of 1.6 m/z units was used for MS². Single and unassigned charged ions were excluded from MS/MS. For HCD, normalized collision energy was set to 30%. The underfill ratio was defined as 1%.

The raw data were processed and searched with MaxQuant 1.5.4.1 with MS tolerance of 4.5 ppm, and MS/MS tolerance of 20 ppm. The MCRS1 protein sequence and database for proteomics contaminants from MaxQuant were used for database searches. Reversed database searches were used to evaluate the false discovery rate (FDR) of peptide and protein identifications. Two missed cleavage sites of Lys-C were allowed. Oxidation (M), acetyl (protein N-term), deamidation (NQ), and phosphorylation (STY) were set as variable modifications. The FDR of both peptide identification and protein identification is set to be 1%. The option of “Second peptides,” “Match between runs,” and “Dependent peptides” was enabled.
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