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ABSTRACT

The noncollisional interaction between conducting obstacles and magnetized plasma winds can be found in different scenarios, from the interaction occurring between regions inside galaxy clusters to the interaction between the solar wind and Mars, Venus, and active comets, or even the interaction between Titan and the Saturnian magnetospheric flow. These objects generate, through several current systems, perturbations in the streaming magnetic field leading to its draping around the obstacle’s effective conducting surface. Recent observational results suggest that several properties associated with magnetic field draping, such as the location of the polarity reversal layer of the induced magnetotail, are affected by variations in the conditions of the streaming magnetic field. To improve our understanding of these phenomena, we perform a characterization of several magnetic field draping signatures by analytically solving an ideal problem in which a perfectly conducting magnetized plasma (with frozen-in magnetic field conditions) flows around a spherical body for various orientations of the streaming magnetic field. In particular, we compute the shift of the inverse polarity reversal layer as the orientation of the background magnetic field is changed.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The interaction between a magnetized plasma flow and a conducting obstacle constitutes a problem of primary interest in space plasma physics. This phenomenon is observed in a wide range of scenarios, from the solar wind interaction with unmagnetized planets like Mars or Venus, the solar wind interaction with comets, and the Saturnian magnetospheric flow interaction with Titan, to the flow of interstellar winds past stars or the interaction between different spatial regions within galaxy clusters.

There are several numerical studies which have improved our understanding of the physics involved in these environments. Dursi & Pirommer (2008) studied the morphology and the dynamical properties of the streaming magnetic field perpendicular to the flow moving around a spherical object. In particular, they have shown that these characteristics have important consequences for galaxy cluster physics because they can suppress hydrodynamic instabilities at the interface of bubbles of active galactic nuclei. In addition, it provides an explanation for the so-called cold fronts, since it allows merging cores to sustain the strong temperature and density gradients that would otherwise be smoothed out by thermal conduction and diffusion. In the context of our own solar system, Ma et al. (2002) applied a three-dimensional multispecies magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) model aimed at studying and characterizing the interaction between Mars and the solar wind. More than 10 yr before this study, Schmidt & Wegmann (1991) resolved and described the main properties of the solar wind flow past an active comet by means of a global MHD model. Specifically, they studied the deceleration of the solar wind outside the bow shock and the cometary tail in the downstream region. The properties associated with the surrounding environments of these objects show interesting similarities between themselves and those of Venus and Titan (Spreiter & Stahara 1992; Bertucci et al. 2011). In particular, three-dimensional MHD simulations characterizing the interaction between Titan and Saturn’s magnetospheric plasma can be found in Ledvina & Cravens (1998). Basically, the presence of an unmagnetized body surrounded by an atmosphere (such as Venus, Mars, Titan, and the comets) in a flow of collisionless magnetized plasma (the solar wind or the Saturnian magnetospheric plasma) produces (through several ionizing mechanisms) a perturbation in the plasma and the magnetic field in the region upstream from the object. These perturbations in the external streaming magnetic field are generated by currents originating in the differential motion between the external and the planetary or satellite particles. Because of this, these environments are called “induced magnetospheres,” in order to differentiate them from the intrinsic magnetospheres where the perturbation is due to the planet’s intrinsic magnetic field. In spite of their differences (atmospheric composition, size, and upstream magnetic field/flow conditions), these unmagnetized atmospheric objects perturb the streaming magnetized plasma in a similar fashion, thus suggesting that common physical processes might be present. In the regions where the collisionless regime holds, the external magnetic field frozen into the plasma piles up around the stagnation region of the flow, and drapes around the object as the flow diverts around the body. This establishes an induced magnetic tail formed by two lobes of opposite magnetic polarity separated by a current sheet (Bertucci et al. 2011). Several properties of the induced magnetospheres depend on the direction of the external magnetic field. In particular, recent observational results around Titan provided by Simon et al. (2013) suggest that the location and shape of the so-called polarity reversal layer (located between both magnetic lobes) are affected by this parameter. The polarity reversal layer (hereafter PRL) contains the points where the magnetic field component in the direction of the flow changes sign. In the case where the external magnetic field is strictly perpendicular to the direction of the flow, the induced magnetic tail has a flat structure and the mirror symmetry is maintained. As the background
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magnetic field departs from being perpendicular to the flow, the mirror symmetry breaks down and the polarity reversal layer is shifted.

Previous theoretical studies on these topics have been performed by several authors (e.g., Chacko & Hassam 1997; Dursi & Pfrommer 2008). In the first paper, the authors derive an analytical solution to the problem of a magnetized plasma flowing around a conducting sphere in steady state conditions focusing on two asymptotic regimes: very close to and very far from the sphere. They showed that when resistivity is included, the magnetic field drapes around the sphere, forming a layer of very intense magnetic field with a scale size of the order of \(O(\eta^{1/2})\), where \(\eta\) is the magnetic diffusivity. In the second paper, the authors follow an analytical approach to study the physical processes occurring when an MHD fluid with frozen-in magnetic field conditions flows around a sphere and analyze the characteristics of the magnetic field near the surface of the body. These studies are restricted to the particular case where the angle between the background magnetic field and the flow velocity at infinity is 90°.

In this paper, we derive for the first time the analytical solution for the electric and magnetic fields associated with a perfectly conducting magnetized plasma flowing around a spherical body for an arbitrary orientation of the background magnetic field. We also identify an additional layer, here called the inverse polarity reversal layer (IPRL). This layer is characterized by a change of sign in the magnetic field component parallel to the flow which is opposite to that in the PRL. Finally, we characterize the location and shape of the IPRL as a function of the tilt of the background magnetic field.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we derive the convective electric field related to the interaction between an MHD plasma flow and an unmagnetized conducting sphere under steady-state conditions. In Section 3, we obtain the magnetic field associated with this problem for any possible angle between the velocity field and the background magnetic field at infinity. In Section 4, we characterize the main properties of both polarity reversal layers and briefly discuss the changes produced by the inclusion of resistivity effects. Finally, in Section 5, we present a discussion of the results along with our conclusions.

2. DETERMINATION OF THE ELECTRIC FIELD

Let us consider an MHD flow with frozen-in magnetic field conditions whose velocity field \(V(r)\) is flowing around a sphere of radius \(R\) under steady-state conditions. First of all, it is important to note that this problem is inherently three-dimensional. If the external plasma is not magnetized, then the problem still has a symmetry about the flow axis; however, when we consider an arbitrary magnetic field direction frozen to the flow, this symmetry is lost. It is also relevant to take into account that the frozen-in magnetic field condition is, in general, justified in the environments we are interested in, because of the very high magnetic Reynolds numbers that are typical in astrophysical flows. Therefore, in a first approximation, we determine the electric and magnetic fields neglecting the effects of resistivity. After this and in order to see the range of validity of this assumption, we heuristically consider the main changes introduced by resistivity in Section 4.

The equations of ideal MHD with infinite conductivity are the following:

\[
\nabla \times (V \times B) = 0
\]

Figure 1. Definition of the reference frame. The polar angle \(\theta\) is the angle between the \(+z\) axis and a particular position \(r\) and it takes values between 0 and \(\pi\). The azimuthal angle \(\phi\) is contained in the \((x, y)\) plane and takes values between 0 and \(2\pi\), where \(\phi = 0\) corresponds to the direction of the \(x\) axis.

\[
\nabla \cdot B = 0, \quad (2)
\]

where

\[
E = -V \times B, \quad (3)
\]

where \(V, B,\) and \(E\) are the flow velocity, and the magnetic and electric fields, respectively.

We solve this set of equations outside the sphere for a given stationary velocity field which is associated with an ideal incompressible flow around the sphere. For simplicity, we neglect any change in the flow pattern caused by the back-reaction of the magnetic field. We choose the origin of our coordinate system to be at the center of the sphere and the \(z\) axis to be anti-parallel to the fluid velocity at infinity. We assume a homogeneous magnetic field \(B_0\) at infinity whose component perpendicular to the fluid velocity \(V_0\) points toward the positive \(y\)-coordinate axis. Figure 1 shows the adopted reference frame.

Therefore, the flow velocity field in spherical coordinates results in the following:

\[
V = -(1 - R^3/r^3)V_0 \cos(\theta) \hat{e}_r + (1 + R^3/2r^3)V_0 \sin(\theta) \hat{e}_\theta, \quad (4)
\]

where \(r, \theta,\) and \(V_0\) are the radial distance, the polar angle, and the intensity of the velocity field at infinity, respectively. It is also important to note that this field satisfies the conditions \(\nabla \cdot V = 0\) and \(\nabla \times V = 0\).

We define the impact parameter \(p\) of a given line of flow, that is, the distance \(p\) of a streamline from the \(z\) axis for \(r \to \infty\), as

\[
p = \sqrt{1 - R^3/r^3} \ r \ \sin(\theta). \quad (5)
\]

Equations (1) and (3) allow us to express the electric field in terms of the electrostatic potential \(\Phi(E = -\nabla \Phi)\), and therefore

\[
V \cdot \nabla \Phi = 0. \quad (6)
\]

The boundary conditions associated with Equation (6) are that the surface of the sphere is an equipotential surface, and that at large distances from the object the electric field goes to an asymptotic uniform value, which in our case points along the negative \(x\)-axis (see Equation (3)). Therefore, the solution has to fulfill the following boundary condition:

\[
\Phi(r \to \infty) = E_0 r \ \sin(\theta) \ \cos(\phi), \quad (7)
\]

where \(\phi\) is the azimuthal angle related to the spherical coordinate system considered in this study. The solution to Equation (6), consistent with the stated boundary condition (Equation (7)), is

\[
\Phi = E_0 r \sqrt{1 - R^3/r^3} \sin(\theta) \ \cos(\phi) = E_0 \ p \ \cos(\phi). \quad (8)
\]
Finally, using Equation (8), the convective electric field in spherical coordinates results in the following:

\[ E_r = -E_0 \frac{[1 + R^3/2r^3]}{\sqrt{1 - R^3/r^3}} \cos(\phi) \sin(\theta) \]  
(9)

\[ E_\theta = -E_0 \sqrt{1 - R^3/r^3} \cos(\phi) \cos(\theta) \]  
(10)

\[ E_\phi = E_0 \sqrt{1 - R^3/r^3} \sin(\phi). \]  
(11)

Equation (3), together with the flow velocity and the electric field, allows us to determine the magnetic field components perpendicular to \( \mathbf{V} \) at each point. However, in order to obtain the total magnetic field, we need to take into account Equations (1) and (2) simultaneously.

3. DETERMINATION OF THE MAGNETIC FIELD

In order to determine the magnetic field topology for any possible (uniform) background magnetic field orientation, we decomposed the problem in two separate contributions: (1) the problem in which the angle between the background magnetic field \( \mathbf{B}_0 \) and the velocity flow at infinity \( \mathbf{V}_0 \) is 90°; and (2) the problem in which the angle between \( \mathbf{B}_0 \) and \( \mathbf{V}_0 \) at infinity is 0°. Because of the linearity of the idealized problem with respect to \( \mathbf{B} \) (having fixed the velocity field \( \mathbf{V} \)), the general solution for any possible angle between both fields is simply a linear combination between these two solutions. Hence, we decompose the magnetic field at infinity as

\[ \mathbf{B}_0 = \mathbf{B}_{0||} + \mathbf{B}_{0\perp}. \]  
(12)

We first consider the case of a uniform background magnetic field pointing toward the positive \( \hat{y} \)-coordinate system axis \( \mathbf{B}_{0\perp} \). In spherical coordinates, this field can be written as

\[ (\mathbf{B}_{0\perp})_r = B_{0\perp} \sin(\theta) \sin(\phi) \]  
(13)

\[ (\mathbf{B}_{0\perp})_\theta = B_{0\perp} \cos(\theta) \sin(\phi) \]  
(14)

\[ (\mathbf{B}_{0\perp})_\phi = B_{0\perp} \cos(\phi). \]  
(15)

The spherical components of the differential Equations (1) and (2) are

\[ \nabla \times (\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B})_r = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} [\sin(\theta) (V_r B_\theta - V_\theta B_r)] + \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (V_r B_\phi) = 0 \]  
(16)

\[ \nabla \times (\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B})_\theta = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} [r (V_r B_\theta - V_\theta B_r)] - \frac{1}{\sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (V_\theta B_\phi) = 0 \]  
(17)

\[ \nabla \times (\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B})_\phi = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r V_r B_\phi) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (V_\theta B_\phi) = 0 \]  
(18)

\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 B_r) + \frac{1}{r \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} [\sin(\theta) B_\theta] + \frac{1}{r \sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial \phi} = 0. \]  
(19)

For the flow velocity field \( \mathbf{V} \) given in Equation (4) and from Equation (18), we can derive the differential equation for \( B_\phi \):

\[ \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial r} + \frac{V_\theta}{r V_r} \frac{\partial B_\phi}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{3 B_\phi R^3}{2r(r^3 - R^3)}. \]  
(20)

On the other hand, to determine \( B_r \) and \( B_\theta \), we multiply Equation (16) by \( r \) and add Equation (17) multiplied by \( \sin(\theta) \), to obtain

\[ \frac{\partial K}{\partial r} + \frac{V_\theta}{r V_r} \frac{\partial K}{\partial \theta} = 0, \]  
(21)

where \( K = r \sin(\theta) (V_r B_\theta - V_\theta B_r) \).

The resulting linear inhomogeneous first-order partial differential Equations (20) and (21) can be solved by the method of characteristics. To this end, we consider \( r \) as a parameter in the characteristic equations and express the variables \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) in terms of \( r \). Therefore, along a streamline:

\[ \frac{d}{dr} \frac{\partial K}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{V_\theta}{r V_r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \]  
(22)

since \( V_\phi = 0 \) (see Equation (4)).

Along a streamline with impact parameter \( p \) at infinity, Equation (20) can be rewritten as

\[ \frac{dB_\phi}{dr} = -\frac{3 B_\phi R^3}{2r(r^3 - R^3)}, \]  
(23)

while Equation (21) becomes

\[ \frac{dK}{dr} = 0. \]  
(24)

We integrate Equation (23) using the boundary condition for the magnetic field at infinity (Equation (15)). Therefore,

\[ B_{\phi\perp} = \frac{B_{0||} \cos(\phi)}{\sqrt{1 - R^3/r^3}}, \]  
(25)

while from Equation (24) we obtain that

\[ K = -p V_0 B_{0\perp} \sin(\phi). \]  
(26)

Note that Equations (25) and (26) are intimately related to Equations (9), (10), and (11). We can check the results regarding the electric field (obtained in Section 2) in the following way: having determined \( B_\phi \) and \( K \), we calculate

\[ E_r = -V_0 B_\phi = -\frac{(1 + R^3/2r^3)V_0 \sin(\theta)}{\sqrt{1 - R^3/r^3}} \frac{B_{0||} \cos(\phi)}{\sqrt{1 - R^3/r^3}} \]  
\[ = -E_0 \frac{[1 + R^3/2r^3]}{\sqrt{1 - R^3/r^3}} \cos(\phi) \sin(\theta) \]  
(27)

\[ E_\theta = V_r B_\phi = -\frac{(1 - R^3/r^3)V_0 \cos(\theta)}{\sqrt{1 - R^3/r^3}} \frac{B_{0||} \cos(\phi)}{\sqrt{1 - R^3/r^3}} \]  
\[ = -E_0 \sqrt{1 - R^3/r^3} \cos(\phi) \cos(\theta) \]  
(28)

\[ E_\phi = -(V_r B_\theta - V_\theta B_r) = -\frac{K}{r \sin(\theta)} = \frac{p V_0 B_{0\perp} \sin(\phi)}{r \sin(\theta)} \]  
\[ = E_0 \sqrt{1 - R^3/r^3} \sin(\phi), \]  
(29)

where \( E_0 = B_{0\perp} V_0 \).
Equation (26) couples the magnetic field components $B_r$ and $B_\theta$. Making use of Equations (25), (26), and (19) and applying the method of characteristics along streamlines, we obtain the following differential equations for $B_r$ and $B_\theta$ (see also Dursi & Pfommer 2008 for a similar derivation):

$$\frac{dB_r}{dr} + \left[ \frac{2}{r} - \frac{2r^3 + R^3}{2r(r^3 - R^3)} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\cos^2(\theta)} \right) \right] B_r = \frac{B_{0\perp}}{r} \frac{\sin(\phi) \sin(\theta)}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{R^3}{r^3} \cos^2(\theta)}},$$

(30)

$$\frac{dB_\theta}{dr} + \left[ \frac{2}{r} - \frac{2r^3 + R^3}{2r(r^3 - R^3)} \left( 2r^3 + R^3 \right) \right] B_\theta = \frac{2 B_{0\perp}}{r} \frac{\sin(\phi) (r^3 + 2R^3)}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{R^3}{r^3} \cos(\theta)(2r^3 + R^3)}},$$

(31)

The resulting linear inhomogeneous first-order ordinary differential equations are solved by an integrating factor which is obtained from the homogeneous equations. Finally, the magnetic field components $B_r$ and $B_\theta$ are

$$B_{r\perp} = \frac{(r^3 - R^3)}{r^3} \cos(\theta) \left\{ C_1 \mp B_{0\perp} \sin(\phi) \right\} \times \int_{\infty}^{r} \frac{p^2 r^4 \, dp}{(r^3 - R^3 - p^2 r)^{3/2}} \sqrt{r^3 - R^3}$$

(32)

$$B_{\theta\perp} = \frac{2r^3 + R^3}{r^3 \sqrt{r^3 - R^3}} \left\{ C_2 \pm 2 B_{0\perp} \sin(\phi) \right\} \times \int_{\infty}^{r} \frac{r^3 (r^3 + 2R^3) \sqrt{r^3 - R^3} \, dr}{(r^3 - R^3 - p^2 r)^{3/2}(2r^3 + R^3)^2},$$

(33)

where the upper signs refer to the region $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2$ and the lower sign to $\pi/2 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, and $C_1$ and $C_2$ are integration constants determined to satisfy the boundary conditions upstream from the object.

To obtain the magnetic field configuration associated with the more general case of an oblique (uniform) background magnetic field at infinity, we now consider the case of $B_0 = B_{0\|}$ (therefore, $B_{0\perp} = 0$). Note that $\nabla \cdot B = \nabla \cdot V = 0$, $\nabla \times (V \times B) = 0$ and the boundary conditions (fields at infinity) $B_0 = B_{0\|}$, $V = V_{0\|}$ are fields that point in the direction of the positive/negative $z$ axis, respectively. Because of this, as long as we are restricted to a uniform magnetic field at infinity in the $z$ direction, the $-V$ and $B$ fields are characterized by the same topology at any point in space. Therefore, the resulting magnetic field components in this case are

$$B_{r\|} = (1 - R^3/r^3) B_{0\|} \cos(\theta)$$

(34)

$$B_{\theta\|} = -(1 + R^3/2r^3) B_{0\|} \sin(\theta)$$

(35)

$$B_{\phi\|} = 0.$$  

(36)

As we previously pointed out, the general solution for any possible orientation of the magnetic field at infinity is simply a linear combination between the two solutions proposed in

Figure 2. (a) Predicted magnetic field lines around the sphere for $B_0 \perp V_0$. (b) Predicted magnetic field lines around the sphere for $\theta_0 = 30^\circ$. (c) Predicted magnetic field lines around the sphere for $\theta_0 = 60^\circ$.  

Equation (12). If $\theta_0$ is the angle between the background magnetic field and the $z$ axis (see Figure 1), then $|B_{0\|}| = B_0 \cos(\theta_0)$ and $|B_{0\perp}| = B_0 \sin(\theta_0)$.

Figure 2(a) shows the magnetic field lines around the spherical obstacle on the $y$–$z$ plane, for the case where $B_0 \perp V_0$.
polarity. For one of these layers, the PRL is located in the $Y = 0$ plane, just as it is observed in the strictly perpendicular $B_0$, case. In contrast to this, the location and shape of the IPRL varies with $\theta_0$. In the next section, we perform a more detailed analysis of the properties of each of these layers.

Finally, Figure 3 shows the magnetic field lines in the vicinity of the spherical obstacle associated with different equipotential surfaces. Note that because of $\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \Phi = 0$, each magnetic field line is contained in a surface in which the electrostatic potential $\Phi$ (Equation (8)) is constant (see Gombosi 1998).

4. POLARITY REVERSAL LAYERS

All of the previous results were obtained under the assumption that resistivity effects were negligible. While the resistivity effects are really important in the surroundings of the PRL, they are not expected to affect the location and shape of the IPRL significantly. This difference is due to the fact that while the intensity of the magnetic field is infinite at both sides of the PRL, in the proximity of the IPRL it remains finite and varies rather smoothly.

4.1. Polarity Reversal Layer

Chacko & Hassam (1997) showed that the divergent behavior of the magnetic field in certain locations is due to the absence of resistivity effects, which therefore need to be taken into account. They found that the electrostatic potential remains unaffected only at length scales such that $p/R \gg O(R_m^{-1/4})$, where $R_m$ is the magnetic Reynolds number. Moreover, they identified a boundary layer that is totally contained in the tail region inside of which the resistivity effects are relevant. As shown in Section (3), this is the case for the PRL since it is always located in the $Y = 0$ plane. The reader interested in the analytical computation of the magnetic field in this region (with the inclusion of diffusivity effects) is referred to Chacko & Hassam (1997). Cassini observations at Titan (see Simon et al. 2013) suggest an observational correlation between $\theta_0$ and the vertical displacement of the PRL. In most cases, it was found that the PRL displacement becomes smaller for larger $\theta_0$.

4.2. Inverse Polarity Reversal Layer

Since the resistivity effects are not essential in the region near the IPRL, we determine its position and shape for different values of $\theta_0$, using the theoretical approach presented in the previous section. Figure 4 shows the location and geometry of the IPRL in the $Y-Z$ plane for $\theta_0 = 45^\circ$, $65^\circ$, and $85^\circ$. For small values of the $z$ coordinate (i.e., $z$ such that $-1R_T < Z_{IPRL} < 2R_T$), the IPRL is well draped around the obstacle. For positions

Figure 3. Magnetic field lines in the vicinity of the spherical obstacle associated with different equipotential surfaces.

(hence $B_0$ parallel to the $y$-axis.) We can observe the following properties:

1. There is an increase in the magnetic field intensity at the surface of the sphere and also in the downstream region where the largest values are reached in the surroundings of the $Y = 0$ plane.
2. The magnetic field structure consists of two mirror symmetric magnetic hemispheres separated by a flat polarity reversal layer located on the plane $Y = 0$ (the thick black bar in Figure 2). This change of the polarity of the flow-aligned component of the magnetic field as well as the increase of the magnetic field strength close to the surface of the sphere are main features associated with a draping configuration.

Figures 2(b) and (c) show the magnetic field lines around the spherical obstacle (on the $y-z$ plane) for two orientations of the background magnetic field ($\theta_0 = 30^\circ$ and $\theta_0 = 60^\circ$, respectively). For cases of nonperpendicular magnetic field and flow direction, the above mentioned symmetry is broken, giving rise to an IPRL. The IPRL observed in Figures 2(b) and (c) corresponds to the set of points where $B_z = 0$ right above the PRL. Therefore, in these cases, there are two layers where the flow-aligned component of the magnetic field reverses its
further downstream \((z < -3R_T)\), the IPRL becomes aligned with the flow. Additionally, in the downstream part with flow-aligned IPRL, this layer is clearly shifted to larger distances from the \(Y = 0\) plane for larger values of the angle \(\theta_0\). Figure 5 shows the \(y\) coordinate corresponding to the location of the IPRL in the downstream region (in particular, for \(Z_{\text{IPRL}} = -3R_T\)) for \(\theta_0\) in \([0^\circ, 90^\circ]\). As can be seen, the tendency mentioned above (larger shift for larger \(\theta_0\)) is observed for all \(\theta_0\) angles.

5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We calculated the analytical solution for both the electric and magnetic fields associated with an ideal plasma flowing around a spherical obstacle for an arbitrary orientation of the background magnetic field. The motivation for this article follows from observations in different spatial environments that show, in spite of their differences, significant similarities in the electric and magnetic field topologies. Among other examples, we find the interaction between the solar wind and planetary plasmas and atmospheric nonmagnetized objects, the interaction between regions with different temperature or densities within galaxy clusters; and even in the coupling between interstellar winds and stars.

Based on the high magnetic Reynolds numbers associated with these environments, we first obtained the electric potential and the corresponding boundary condition, \(\Phi\) and then we calculated the corresponding electric field components shown in Equations (9), (10), and (11). At this point, it is important to realize that the electric field remains the same regardless of the orientation of the background magnetic field as long as \(B_{\|}\) does not change.

To calculate the total magnetic field, we needed to consider Equations (1) and (2) simultaneously. Therefore, we integrated the partial differential equations for each magnetic field component using the method of characteristics. The results presented in Figure 2(a) show a classical draping configuration where the magnetic field frozen into the flow piles up at the stagnation point and drapes around the sphere while the flow is diverted around the body. This generates an induced magnetic tail composed of two lobes of opposite magnetic polarity separated by a polarity reversal layer located at the \(Y = 0\) plane. The magnetic field strength in the surroundings of this layer and in the surface of the sphere is infinitely large. Figures 2(b) and (c) show the magnetic field topologies when the background magnetic field at infinity is tilted with respect to the \(z\) axis by \(30^\circ\) and \(60^\circ\), respectively. Comparing these figures, we note similarities and differences compared with the strictly perpendicular case: among the similarities, we find the increment of the magnetic field strength around the obstacle and in the surroundings of the \(Y = 0\) plane. We also identify a corresponding polarity reversal layer at this plane and the induced tail formed by two lobes of opposite polarity. However, a significant difference is found: a second polarity reversal layer (the IPRL) exists in the downstream region and its location changes in response to variations in the background magnetic field orientation. The \(B_{\|}\) component gives rise to this layer since, at these locations, the magnetic field contribution associated with the \(B_{\perp}\) component is cancelled by the contribution of the field related to the \(B_{\|}\) component. This layer is always present since the field associated with \(B_{\|}\) does not change the sign of its component along the \(z\) axis at any point. Therefore, it is always cancelled by one of the two lobes produced by the perpendicular component of \(B_{\perp}\). The results presented in Figures 4 and 5 show that the larger \(\theta_0\) is, the larger the distance between the IPRL and the \(Y = 0\) plane.

Moreover, the infinitely large magnetic field strength close to the sphere and in the tail region (derived for the nonresistive case) are smoothed out by the inclusion of resistivity effects (see Chacko & Hassam (1997)), giving rise to the formation of a magnetic boundary layer (in regions where \(p/R < O(R_m^{-1/4})\)). These effects are relevant for determining the location and shape of the PRL, but they are not essential to characterize the IPRL.

It is interesting to analyze these results in the context of the exchange of energy between the external flow and the obstacle, as the mapping of the convective electric field into
the ionospheres of solar system planets is usually invoked to be the driver of the planetary plasma dynamics (Gombosi 1998).

As a result of the convection of the external magnetic field, the convective electric field is responsible for the onset of currents on the obstacle’s conducting surface. In the absence of dissipation effects, the sphere will act as a simple means to accumulate magnetic flux upstream and around the magnetic tail neutral sheet. The inclusion of a finite conductivity in a region adjacent to the sphere will lead to a resistive heating at the expense of the magnetic energy in that region. This heating is then the result of the action of the convective electric field onto the sphere in the presence of dissipative effects.

In summary, in this study, we find that the interaction between a spherical conductor and an ideal magnetized plasma flow generates perturbations in the background magnetic field that are consistent with a classical draping configuration. Moreover, we find that the draping configuration downstream from the obstacle becomes asymmetric when \( B_0 \) and \( V_0 \) are not perpendicular and that there is a clear correlation between the direction of the external magnetic field and the location and geometry of the IPRL.
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