Aesthetic reconstruction of the upper antihelix in external ear with banner pull-through flap
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: We can use pre auricular and post auricular skin as a pull through flap for upper antihelix defects reconstruction. Patients and Methods: This was a prospective case-series study, which was done in the Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery Ward. In this study, 15 patients (3 women, 12 men), ranging from 45 to 72 years old (mean, 58 years) underwent operation with pull through banner flap for reconstruction of upper antihelix (7 cases) and triangular fossa with superior and inferior crura (5 cases) caused by BCC or SCC excision. Reconstruction of upper antihelix defects up to 20 × 30 mm with post auricle pull through flap and reconstruction of triangular fossa up to 20 × 20 mm with pre auricle pull through flap were done. Results: Our study showed that pull through flap with superior pedicle from post auricular and preauricular area was an effective method for reconstruction of upper ear antihelix defects. Conclusion: We advocate that the pull through flap with superior pedicle from post auricle and pre auricle is an excellent choice for reconstruction of upper antihelix ear reconstruction in both crura and triangular fossa.
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INTRODUCTION

The auricle is composed of tightly adherent skin over a cartilaginous framework. Between the skin envelope and underlying cartilage lies a layer of adipose tissue that is present on the posterior surface of the auricle but absent on the anterior surface. The position of the external ear predisposes it to both traumatic injury and malignancy.\(^3\)

Reconstruction of nonmarginal defects of the upper part of the ear while maintaining ear shape and length is a challenging problem.\(^3\) Defects of the auricle frequently occur within the context of congenital deformities, trauma, and in particular, the surgical excision of benign and malignant tumors.\(^3\) Postauricular scalp-to-anterior auricle pull-through subcutaneous pedicle flap should be considered for defect of the scaphoid fossa and antihelix; it is performed as a one-stage procedure.\(^6\)

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This was a prospective case-series study, which was conducted in the Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery in our hospital in Tehran, Tehran Province, Iran between 2012 and 2014. All patients were referred by the dermatologist after incisional biopsy of antihelix lesions and confirmation of malignancy. The procedures of ear antihelix reconstruction was explained to the patients, the advantages and disadvantages of
pull-through flap from postauricular and preauricular areas were described to all the patients, and all of them filled the consent form before operation. This study protocol was approved by the ethics committee in Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences (BMSU) University of Medical Sciences.

In these patients, excision of antihelix tumor with a safe margin of 4-6 mm was performed; also, in all patients underlying cartilage adjacent to the tumor was excised with the tumor.

In this study, 15 patients (3 women, 12 men), with an age range of 45-72 years (mean: 58 years) underwent operation with banner pull-through flap for reconstruction of the upper antihelix (7 cases) and triangular fossa with superior and inferior crura (5 cases) caused by basal cell carcinoma (BCC) or squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) excision [Table 1]. Reconstructions of the upper antihelix defects up to 20 × 30 mm with postauricular pull-through flap and reconstruction of triangular fossa up to 20 × 0 mm with preauricular pull-through flap were done.

We did not use any cartilage under the flap. The special operation result form was completed for all patients, and aesthetic and functional aspects were evaluated. Photography was taken before and after the operation of all patients.

### Designing of flap

The postauricular flap with superior based pedicle was designed in the upper postauricular neck skin [Figure 1]. The base of the flap was deepithelialized superficially, only including the epidermis in that portion that had to be tunneled in the upper antihelix. The diameter of the tunnel was a little larger than the width of flap in the deepithelialized portion. Designing of flap in the preauricular portion was similar to the posterior one [Figure 2]; both flaps had a banner pattern and transposed through the tunnel for ear reconstruction. In

### Table 1: Demographic characteristics of patients in whom the upper part of ear antihelix was reconstructed with preauricular and postauricular pull-through flaps

|   | Age | Sex | Tumor location | Type of tumor | Type of flap |
|---|-----|-----|----------------|---------------|-------------|
| 1 | 45  | m   | Upper antihelix | BCC           | Post auricular |
| 2 | 48  | f   | Upper antihelix | SCC           | Post auricular |
| 3 | 51  | m   | Upper antihelix | BCC           | Post auricular |
| 4 | 55  | m   | Upper antihelix | BCC           | Post auricular |
| 5 | 72  | m   | Upper antihelix | BCC           | Post auricular |
| 6 | 69  | m   | Triangular fossa | SCC          | Pre auricular |
| 7 | 45  | m   | Upper antihelix | BCC           | Post auricular |
| 8 | 57  | m   | Upper antihelix | BCC           | Post auricular |
| 9 | 51  | m   | Upper antihelix | SCC           | Post auricular |
| 10| 53  | m   | Triangular fossa | BCC          | Pre auricular |
| 11| 57  | m   | Triangular fossa | BCC          | Pre auricular |
| 12| 61  | m   | Triangular fossa | BCC          | Pre auricular |
| 13| 63  | f   | Upper antihelix | SCC           | Pre auricular |
| 14| 45  | f   | Triangular fossa | SCC          | Pre auricular |
| 15| 68  | m   | Upper antihelix | SCC           | Post auricular |

Figure 1: (a and b) Designing of postauricular pull-through flap (c) Intraoperative view of flap (d) Postoperative view of flap
posterior defects, postauricular design is suitable and in anterior defects between the antihelix crura preauricular design was better. This is a single-stage operation. Donor site of flap in both areas closed primarily and it was hidden in the postauricular or preauricular area; the size of tunnel was a little larger than the width of flap in the base. The thickness of the flaps was similar to the thickness of the defects.

RESULTS

Fifteen patients (3 women, 12 men), with an age range of 45-72 years (mean age: 58 years), were included in this survey [Table 1]. Our study showed that banner pull-through flap with superior pedicle from postauricular and preauricular areas was an effective method for reconstruction of upper ear antihelix defects (both crura and triangular fossa); if defect is in the posterior part, postauricular design is suitable [Figure 1] and in anterior defect between crura, preauricular design [Figure 2] is better. We used this flap without any complication in our patients. The technique leads to excellent correction of ear skin defects in these areas. All the patients were satisfied, and antihelix skin defects were corrected in all cases with good aesthetic and functional results. The donor site of flaps was closed primarily with good aesthetic result. There were no complications such as ischemia, infection, necrosis, or bulging of flap. Long-term results were good.

DISCUSSION

Postauricular area is an excellent donor source for full-thickness skin graft or skin flap to close the soft tissue defects in the face. The postauricular surface is mainly supplied by auricular branches of the postauricular artery. The postauricular area is an ideal donor site for reconstruction of the anterior surface of the external ear because it is closer to defect, and therefore, color, texture, and thickness match the defect, and its scar is more inconspicuous. Malignant lesions of the external ear account for approximately 6% of all head and neck skin cancers. These cancers usually occur in older men with history of excessive sun exposure. The auricle is especially susceptible to actinic injury because of its projection and exposure. The most common malignancies are basal cell cancer, squamous cell cancers, and rarely melanoma.

The human ear is one of the most complex three-dimensional structures of the external body. Auricular defects can be classified according to anatomical location, whether affecting the conchal bowl, antihelix, antitragus, helical rim, or lobule. Additionally, the involved tissues need to be considered. Several articles categorize the defects of the auricle to aid reconstruction based on anatomic location, extent, and depth of the defect.
Surgical reconstruction of an almost normal ear is a difficult challenge.[12] Local anesthesia block with epinephrine was the method of choice for resection and some reconstructions following ear cancer excision; except for large neglected tumors that need general anesthesia. There are several options for antihelix and concha reconstructions including primary repair, different local flaps, skin graft. Full-thickness skin grafts can harvested from the postauricular, preauricular, supraclavicular, or lateral neck regions. [8] Various chondrocutaneous reconstruction methods have been described for defects up to one-third of the auricle. These flaps are based on the redistribution of the ear elements to cover the defect and try to maintain ear size and shape.[8]

The retroauricular skin may well be considered a “flap bank” for ear reconstruction. The ingenious idea of passing a retroauricular flap through a tunnel in the cartilaginous framework to reach the anterior auricular surface has stimulated the interest in retroauricular skin for reconstruction of anterior defects of the ear.[13]

Similar to retroauricular skin, we can use preauricular skin as a pull-through flap with a superior base for the upper part of the antihelix and concha reconstruction after skin and cartilage excisions due to skin malignancy. This flap had a good shape, texture, and color and finally very good aesthetic results compared to skin graft. We must be careful about the thickness of the flap. The best thickness is similar to the thickness of the native skin and cartilage that have been excised.

CONCLUSIONS
We advocate that the banner pull-through flap with superior pedicle from postauricle and preauricle is an excellent choice for reconstruction of the upper antihelix ear reconstruction in both the crura and triangular fossa, especially after antihelix tumor excisions. This is a desirable method because its color, texture, and thickness match the defect functionally and aesthetically. In addition, the donor site of the flap in both the postauricle and preauricle has no visible scar.
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