Localization length versus level repulsion in 1-D driven disordered quantum wires
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We study the level repulsion and its relationship with the localization length in a disordered one-dimensional quantum wire excited with monochromatic linearly polarized light and described by the Anderson-Floquet model. In the high frequency regime, the linear scaling between the localization length divided by the length of the system and the spectral repulsion is the same as in the one-dimensional Anderson model without driving, although both quantities depend on the parameters of the external field. In the low frequency regime the level repulsion depends mainly on the value of the amplitude of the field and the proportionality between level repulsion and localization length is lost.

I. INTRODUCTION

Coherent control through time-dependent periodic external fields is an exciting field in condensed matter physics with promising applications in many different areas like quantum transport \cite{1,4}, spintronics \cite{5,6}, control of many-body phases \cite{7,8} and topological properties \cite{9,10}. Some of its guiding principles have already been demonstrated experimentally in different setups \cite{11,12}. A new and exciting development in the field is the existence of Floquet time crystals where a discrete translational time symmetry is spontaneously broken in systems with strong disorder and interaction \cite{13,14}.

One of the seminal works in the field is the discovery of dynamical localization by Dunlap and Kenkre and the high frequency related phenomenon of coherent destruction of tunneling \cite{15,16}. The hopping between sites in a lattice subject to a time-dependent potential is renormalized by a Bessel function depending on the field amplitude. At the zeros of this Bessel function the band width of the system goes to zero, the group velocity of a wave-packet vanishes and the particle becomes effectively localized. Experimentally, this effect was first observed as a suppression of current at some amplitudes of the AC electric field \cite{17}. Many of the proposed protocols for coherent control in different quantum systems are based on versions of this effect \cite{18}. In particular, in the case of a disordered one-dimensional lattice Holthaus et al. showed that using the interplay of dynamical localization and disorder, it is possible to control the degree of Anderson localization in the system \cite{19}.

In mesoscopic systems, where many of the applications of coherent control through time-periodic fields are envisioned, disorder determines or influences most physical properties, and studying the combined effects of disorder and the periodic field becomes crucial for real-world applications. In spite of that, not many works treat both effects on the same footing, probably because of the numerical and theoretical difficulties of ensemble averaging in Floquet theory, the main theoretical tool for studying quantum systems with time-periodic Hamiltonians.

When describing the properties of disordered systems there are two quantities of special interest, namely, the localization length \( l_\infty \) measuring the exponential decay of the wave functions in space, and the repulsion parameter \( \beta \) measuring the repulsion between neighbouring levels in the energy spectrum. The localization length is directly related to the conductance in the open system \cite{20}, while \( \beta \) is usually related to the chaotic behaviour of the classical system \cite{21}. For chaotic systems \( \beta \) takes the same universal value as the classical random-matrix ensembles depending on the symmetry of the system, \( \beta = 1 \) for orthogonal symmetry, \( \beta = 2 \) for unitary symmetry, and \( \beta = 4 \) for symplectic symmetry \cite{22}. However, in disordered lattice models, there is no simple classical analogue but the \( \beta \) parameter is still very useful to study localization and can even be used to define the metal-insulator transition \cite{23,24}.

In the 1-D Anderson model there is a simple linear relationship between \( l_\infty / L \) and \( \beta \) (\( L \) is the total length of the system), implying that both quantities are different measures of the same property \cite{25}. The parameter \( \beta \) in finite Anderson chains separates from its value in the random-matrix ensembles and takes all possible values between 0 and \( \infty \) depending on disorder and chain length. A pictorial explanation of different kinds of spectra is shown in Fig. 1. This linear relationship has also been found experimentally studying the vibrations of disordered aluminum rods \cite{26}.

In time-periodic models the localization length can be defined using a generalization of the definition for the time-independent case as a single quantity \cite{27}. The behavior of the localization length has been studied for the Anderson-Floquet model \cite{28}. An increase in the localization length \( l_\infty \) has been found for low-frequency driving, while \( l_\infty \) is generally reduced in the high frequency case due to the interplay of disorder and dynamical localization. The implication of these results for the conductance distribution has also been explored \cite{29,30}. On the other hand, the spectral statistics have not been studied with the same detail, probably because of the difficulties in treating the time-dependent disordered models with large enough sizes to ensure the validity of the usual techniques of unfolding the spectra \cite{31}.

In this work we fill that void by studying short chains but using an ensemble unfolding, that is, we calculate the average energy difference between neighboring levels for a given energy as the average over an ensemble of Anderson chains of a certain size. In this way we can make a proper unfolding for small system sizes, as small...
as 10 sites long, and take into account the dependence of the spectral statistics on the excitation energy. Focusing on small system sizes, contrary to most works on the topic, we are able to compute spectral statistics for a wide range of frequencies and amplitudes of the driving field, including as many sidebands as needed for good convergence. In the Appendix we show that this kind of unfolding works extremely well for the Anderson model and allows us to recover the linear relationship between $\beta$ and $l_\infty/L$ with very good agreement with previous works in the numerical computation of the slope. This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II we introduce the Anderson-Floquet model and discuss the Floquet formalism we use to calculate the localization length. In Sec. III we explain the numerical results for different energies and values of disorder distinguishing between the high and low frequency regimes. As an independent estimation of the localization length we also compute the time evolution of a $\delta$-wave packet whose width as a function of time shows periodic oscillations for long times in the low frequency regime. In Sec. IV we summarize our results. In the Appendix we apply the same ensemble average method to the Anderson model without driving in order to show that it reproduces extremely well the average method to the Anderson model without driving results. In the Appendix we apply the same ensemble average method to the Anderson model without driving in order to show that it reproduces extremely well the average method to the Anderson model without driving results. In the Appendix we show that this kind of unfolding works extremely well for the Anderson model and allows us to recover the linear relationship between $\beta$ and $l_\infty/L$ with very good agreement with previous works in the numerical computation of the slope.

II. ANDERSON-FLOQUET MODEL

We describe a one-dimensional quantum wire through a simple single-band tight-binding Hamiltonian. We assume incident monochromatic light coming from a distant source and polarized in the direction of the axis of the wire with a wavelength longer than its length. In this framework, the dipolar approximation is valid and we can write a Hamiltonian with the following expression

$$H(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ (\varepsilon_i + A \cos(\omega t)) |i\rangle \langle i| \right] - J(|i\rangle \langle i+1| + |i+1\rangle \langle i|).$$

(1)

where $\varepsilon_i$ represents the on-site energy for site $i$ which will be considered a random variable uniformly distributed over the range $[-W/2, W/2]$. $J$ is the hopping matrix element between neighboring sites, $A$ is the amplitude of the incident field, and $\omega$ is the frequency of the field (throughout this work we use units such that $\hbar = 1$, we fix $J = 1$ and measure all energies in units of $J$).

Under these conditions we need to solve the complete Schrödinger equation:

$$H(t) |\varphi(t)\rangle = i\hbar \frac{\partial |\varphi(t)\rangle}{\partial t}.$$  

(2)

For that purpose we can make use of the Floquet theorem that states that for time-periodic Hamiltonians all solutions have the following structure:

$$|\varphi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\varepsilon_0 t / \hbar} |\varphi_{\alpha}(t)\rangle,$$

(3)

with $|\varphi_{\alpha}(t)\rangle$ being periodic in time with the same period as $H(t)$, $|\varphi_{\alpha}(t)\rangle = |\varphi_{\alpha}(t+T)\rangle$, $T = 2\pi / \hbar \omega$, and $\varepsilon_\alpha$ being the quasienergy, a conserved quantity playing a role similar to the energy in the static Schrödinger equation. We can expand $|\varphi_{\alpha}(t)\rangle$ in Fourier series as

$$|\varphi_{\alpha}(t)\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} C_{s,n}^{\alpha} e^{-i\omega s t} |n\rangle.$$  

(4)

Substitution of these terms into the Schrödinger equation transforms the equation into an eigenvalue problem for the coefficients $C_{s,n}^{\alpha}$ and the quasienergy $e_n^\alpha$:

$$(\varepsilon_k - m \hbar \omega) C_{\alpha}^{m,k} - j(C_{\alpha}^{m,k+1} + C_{\alpha}^{m,k-1}) + \frac{1}{2} A k (C_{\alpha}^{m-1,k} + C_{\alpha}^{m+1,k}) = e_n^\alpha C_{\alpha}^{m,k}.$$  

(5)

So we can study an effective Floquet Hamiltonian $H_F$. In matrix form,

$$H_F = \begin{pmatrix}
\ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
\varepsilon & (A) & (\varepsilon + \hbar \omega) & (A) & \ddots \\
\ddots & (A) & (\varepsilon) & (A) & \ddots \\
\ddots & \ddots & (A) & (\varepsilon - \hbar \omega) & \ddots \\
\ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{pmatrix}$$  

(6)
where \( A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} A & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \ldots & 1 & \frac{1}{2} A \end{bmatrix} \) and

\[
(\varepsilon + n\hbar \omega) = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 + n\hbar \omega & -J & \ldots & 0 \\ -J & \varepsilon_2 + n\hbar \omega & -J & \ldots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & \ldots & -J & \varepsilon_N + n\hbar \omega \end{bmatrix}
\]

This matrix \( H_F \) is infinite dimensional by virtue of the \( m \)-label denoting the different modes of the expansion, so we need to truncate this matrix for computations. We discuss the actual criteria of convergence in the next section but we have checked that the quantity of interest in the calculations (the repulsion parameter \( \beta \), for example) was stable as the number of modes included in the Hamiltonian was increased.

Without loss of generality we can restrict ourselves to the calculation of the level repulsion and the localization length of the states in the first Floquet-Brillouin zone. There are then two distinct regimes depending on whether or not the energy of a photon of the external field is sufficient to take all the states outside the energy band of the undriven system. In the former case there is an energy gap between the different Floquet-Brillouin bands while there is no gap in the later. Throughout this work we study both, the high frequency regime \((\omega > (W + 4J)/2)\) and the low frequency regime \((\omega < (W + 4J)/2)\).

### III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

#### A. Computation of level repulsion

In order to compute the level repulsion we diagonalize the Floquet Hamiltonian \( H_F \). The number of modes needed for convergence depends on system size, frequency and amplitude of the field. We have used a very strict criterion for convergence. The relative error in the spacings between the results in the central Floquet band and the two adjacent ones is smaller than 10\(^{-4}\) for all spacings.

In our model we find empirically that \( N_{\text{modes}} \sim k \frac{AL}{\omega} \) with \( 2 < k < 3 \). For example, the number of modes we have used for \( A = 4 \omega = 1 \) and \( L = 10 \) is 103 (\( k \approx 2.575 \)), which amounts to the diagonalization of matrices of dimension \( d = 1030 \).

To construct the nearest-neighbor spacing distribution \( P(s) \) we include the results for 10000 realizations for each value of the disorder strength, frequency and amplitude of the field. We are most interested in the repulsion parameter \( \beta \) defined through the behavior of \( P(s) \) for small spacings \( P(s) \sim_s \rightarrow 0 \) \( s^\beta \). However, we obtain a very good estimation of \( \beta \) through fits of the whole distribution to the following model:

\[
P(s) = \begin{cases} 
  a(s^\beta - cs^{1+\beta}), & 0 < \beta < 1 \\
  a\beta e^{-bs^2}, & \beta > 1,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( c, a, \) and \( b \) are \( \beta \)-dependent normalization constants that are obtained through the conditions \( \int ds P(s) = 1 \) and \( \int ds sP(s) = 1 \). For the classical Random Matrix Ensembles, GOE (Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble), GUE (Gaussian Unitary Ensemble), and GSE (Gaussian Symplectic Ensemble) \( \beta = 1, 2, \) and 4 respectively while in the Poisson case \( \beta = 0 \). As previously mentioned for the finite-size Anderson model, however, \( \beta \) can take all values from \( \beta = 0 \) for very large values of disorder to \( \beta = \infty \) in the clean system. The continuous Gaussian ensemble or Hermite Ensemble has been proposed for describing the properties of systems with continuous values of the level repulsion parameter with a similar description of the \( P(s) \)\(44–46\). This proposed formula produces high-quality fits for the whole range of spacings as we show in some examples below. The part for \( \beta < 1 \) is the widely used Brody distribution, which is normally used to fit the spacing distribution in the transition between integrability and chaos\(39\). The part for \( \beta > 1 \) is a generalization of the Wigner distribution usually applied for comparison with the classical Random Matrix Ensembles. Other distributions can be used that fit the whole range of values for the repulsion parameter, for example, the Izrailev distribution\(44–46\). For our model they produce very close results with fits of similar quality but are more complex to use as the normalization constants do not have a closed analytical form. In Fig. 2 several representative examples of these fits are shown in the low and high frequency regimes. The high quality of the fits is remarkable even if the fitting function is only phenomenological and gives further justification for the use of the ensemble unfolding.

#### B. Computation of the localization length

For the computation of \( l_\infty \) we use a Floquet-Green function formalism developed by D. F. Martinez\(44\). A Floquet-Green operator corresponding to \(\hat{H}_F\) can be defined as

\[
(\mathcal{E} + \hbar \omega - \mathcal{E})\hat{G}^m_{1L} - \hat{V}(\hat{G}^{m-1} \hat{G}^{m+1}) = \delta_{m,0}
\]

The different quantities \( \hat{G}^m_{1L} \) are associated with the probability of a process where an electron starts with an energy \( E \) at site 1 and ends at site \( L \) with energy \( E + \hbar \omega \). The transport properties of driven systems have been formulated in terms of this Floquet-Green operator, which plays a role similar to the Green’s function in the Landauer formalism for conduction\(44\). The definition of localization length in terms of the Green’s function\(44\) can be generalized for the Floquet-Green function operator\(44–46\) where the double overline
means the average over different realizations: But it can be shown that in the thermodynamic limit ($L \to +\infty$) the values of $l_{\infty}^m(E)$ for all different values of $m$ are the same. That is, localization lengths become independent of $m$ (see [39,40]), so from now on, we focus our attention on $l_{\infty}(E)$, and we rename it $l_{\infty}(E)$ for the sake of simplicity.

In solving (5) for $G_{1L}^0(E)$ we can use matrix continued fractions [39,40]. In this case, we get

$$G_{1L}^0(E) = [E - (\varepsilon) - \hat{V}_{\text{eff}}(E)]^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

with

$$\hat{V}_{\text{eff}}(E) = \hat{V}_{\text{eff}}(E)^+ + \hat{V}_{\text{eff}}(E)^-$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

and

$$\hat{V}_{\text{eff}}(E)^\pm = \hat{V} \frac{1}{E \pm \hbar \omega - (\varepsilon) - \hat{V}} \frac{1}{E \pm 2\hbar \omega - (\varepsilon) - \hat{V} \frac{1}{E \pm 2\hbar \omega - (\varepsilon) - \hat{V} \frac{1}{E \pm 2\hbar \omega - (\varepsilon) - \hat{V}}} \ldots$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

As with the computation of level repulsion, the number of modes needed to ensure convergence of (11) increases linearly with the parameter $AL/\omega$ and was explored in previous work [39,40]. Examples of the numerical results involved in computing $l_{\infty}(E)$ are shown in Fig. 3. In the low frequency cases there is an exponential decrease of the value of the Green’s function $G_{1L}^0(E)$ signature of Anderson localization. From a fit of the slope in log scale we extract the value of the localization length. In the high frequency cases the exponential decrease is modulated by a Bessel function due to the dynamical localization and the fit has to be done according [39]. The position of the zeros of the Bessel function is clearly seen in Fig. 3.

C. Temporal evolution of an impulse wave-packet in the Anderson-Floquet model

We have also calculated the temporal evolution of a $\delta$-impulse through the system. These calculations serve two different purposes: they are a consistency test of the results obtained using $G_{1L}^0(E)$ for computing $l_{\infty}(E)$, and they present new results by themselves as in the low frequency regime we observe oscillations that persist in the asymptotic limit. As the Hamiltonian (1) is such that it does not commute for different times ($[H(t_0), H(t_1)] \neq 0$) we compute the evolution operator $U(t, 0)$ numerically. We evolve an initial $\delta$-impulse positioned at time $t = 0$ at the middle site of the system. In order to estimate $l_{\infty}$ from time evolution, we represent the average over 1000 realizations of the mean-square displacement

$$\langle x(t) \rangle = \langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x \rangle^2$$

as a function of time. Since all eigenstates of the Hamiltonian (1) are exponentially localized, we expect an absence of diffusion for long times when $\Delta x \sim l_{\infty}$. However, the initial state has components at different energies, so the localization length that is probed by these simulations is a mixture of the actual energy-dependent localization length $l_{\infty}(E)$. For a discussion concerning how to relate localization length to the mean-square displacement in time-independent one-dimensional systems, see for example [39].

D. High frequency regime

The localization length has been well studied in the high frequency regime [39,10]. The Floquet-Anderson model in this regime is known to behave as a 1-D Anderson model with a renormalized hopping constant that shuts off the tunneling between the sites $i - 1$ and $i$ at the zeros of the Bessel function $J_0(\frac{\pi}{2})$, a manifestation of coherent destruction of tunneling. In particular, $l_{\infty}(E)$ can be obtained from the results of the Anderson model without driving by increasing the disorder by this factor. In the high frequency regime, the repulsion parameter
\(\beta\) has the same dependence on the parameters of the model as \(l_\infty\), as shown in Fig. 4.

Figure 4. *High frequency regime* for different values of the adimensional parameter \(A/\omega\). Top panel: \(\beta\) vs Energy. Bottom panel: \(l_\infty/L\) vs Energy.

As a consequence, there is a simple linear relationship between the \(\beta\) parameter and \(l_\infty/L\). Moreover, this is (up to small numerical errors) the same relationship as in Anderson’s hopping model (see 37 and the Appendix). In essence, there is a renormalization of the hopping by the Bessel function \(J_0(A/\omega)\) which amounts to a renormalization of the effective disorder strength \(W_{\text{eff}} = W/J_0(A/\omega)\) that affects equally the localization length and the spectral statistics.

There is some subtle question regarding the \(E \approx 0\) states that we should mention now. It is well known that close to the band edges and band center of the Anderson model Single Parameter Scaling no longer holds and this leads to anomalous localization values 55,56. In our numerical results there is a small difference in the slope of the \(\beta - l_\infty/L\) relation for these zero-energy states so they are not shown in Fig. 5. In this sense, we found a relation \(\beta \approx 2.3 l_\infty/L\) for zero energy states, instead of \(\beta \approx 2.2 l_\infty/L\). Determining whether this small difference is caused by the anomalous behavior near \(E \approx 0\) or not would require further analysis and is not essential for our discussion.

Fig. 6 shows the time evolution of a \(\delta\)-wavepacket for different representative cases in the high frequency regime. The values obtained for \(\Delta x(80T)\) are in very good agreement with the calculations of \(l_\infty(E)\) using the Floquet-Green function method. The values for the mean-square displacement reach a value close to the maximum for the localization length corresponding to \(E = 0\). However, because of the energy dependence in \(l_\infty(E)\) the limiting value of \(\Delta x\) represents an average value for \(l_\infty(E)\) over the different energy eigenstates that form the initial \(\delta\)-wave packet.

---

**E. Low frequency regime**

The study of the low frequency regime is the most challenging since analytical results do not exist and the numerical convergence as a function of the number of modes
6

Figure 7. Low frequency regime for different values of the adimensional parameter $A/ω$ ($ω = 0.5$). Top: $β$ vs. energy. Bottom: $l_∞$ vs energy.

Figure 8. Low frequency regime: $Δx$ as a function of time measured in periods $T$ of the external field for different values of the disorder strength $W$ and of the adimensional parameter $A/ω$. The insets show a zoom of the oscillations within a period for some of the cases considered.

is slower. Nonetheless, low frequency behavior is richer, as we show in this section.

In Fig. 7 we plot $β$ and $l_∞$ as a function of the energy for different values of $A/ω$ and disorder strength $W$. An analysis of the examples shown in the figures reveals several features of the low frequency regime. The values of $β$ and $l_∞$ become nearly Energy-independent. Low frequency fields tend to delocalize (increase $l_∞$) compared to high frequency fields, at least for not too high values of the field amplitude $A$. This is in perfect agreement with previous results. In contrast, disorder has little influence on $β$ compared with the influence of the external field amplitude $A$, especially, for high amplitudes. So, in determining level repulsion, the incident field is the dominant term, compared to disorder.

We have also calculated the time evolution of the $δ$-wavepacket in the low frequency regime. Results for some representative cases are shown in Fig. 8. As for the high frequency regime, the results obtained for $Δx(80T)$ are in very good agreement with those obtained for $l_∞(E)$. In this case, however, the time evolution is more complex than that in the high frequency case. Above a certain value of the field amplitude $A ≳ 0.02$ the low frequency evolution exhibits periodic oscillations of the mean-square displacement with period $T = 2π/ω$. The amplitude of these oscillations is nearly independent of the field strength but small enough that it does not affect the estimation of the localization length from the temporal evolution. The origin of such oscillations can be understood as an effect of the matching of two characteristic times, the hopping time related to $1/J$ and the characteristic period of the field $T = 2π/ω$. At low frequencies when the period is larger than the typical hopping time, the wave packet undergoes a quasi-adiabatic evolution that causes periodic reflections of the wave packet that decrease the mean-square displacement.

At low frequency, the simple linear relation between $β$ and $l_∞$ does not apply any more due to the different influences of disorder and amplitude of the field in localization and level repulsion. In Fig. 9 we show the relationship between $β$ and the ratio $l_∞/L$ in the low frequency regime. In the main panel we show how this relationship evolves as we reduce frequency for disorder strength $W = 5$, while in the inset we show the relationship between $β$ and $l_∞$ for two different values of the disorder parameter, $W = 5, 10$, at the same frequency $ω = 0.5$. In both panels the linear relationship for the high-frequency case is also shown for comparison. The points are joined by a guide to the eye, and increasing amplitudes follow a counterclockwise direction in this line. For $ω = 1$ and $ω = 0.5$ the values of $β$ increase with increasing amplitude until they are close to $β = 0.8$ for $A/ω = 4$. The value $ω = 3$ is close to the high frequency limit, and the results are closer to the high-frequency line. The localization length increases with amplitude until $A/ω ≈ 1$ and then decreases again for higher field amplitudes. The resulting curves present higher curvature for smaller values of disorder strength.

Looking at Eq. (6), it is easy to realize that this system can be interpreted as a 2-D tight binding model with different longitudinal ($J$) and transverse ($A$) hopping constants, as has been discussed previously in the literature. Different chains correspond to different
The $\beta - l_\infty$ relation in the low frequency regime for disorder strength $W = 5$ and different values of the adimensional parameter $A$. The linear relationship in the high frequency regime is shown as an orange line. The inset shows the results for two values of the disorder strength $W = 5, 10$ and only one value of the frequency $\omega = 0.5$. Different values of the energy are mixed in the plot.

Figure 10. $\beta$ as a function of $A/\omega$ for different frequencies $\omega = 0.5, 1, 3$. The inset shows $l_\infty$ as a function of $A/\omega$ for the same values of $\omega$. $E = 0$ in these examples.

Floquet modes. The energy separation between these modes depends on the frequency of the field, so the incident electron has more effective paths to get through the system as the frequency decreases. Then, we expect the localization length to increase in the low frequency regime compared to the case without driving. However, these paths can interfere constructively or destructively, and the actual value of $l_\infty$ depends on the ratio $A/\omega$. In the inset of Fig.10 we see how $l_\infty$ reaches a maximum at certain value of $A/\omega \sim 1$ and then starts to decrease with increasing amplitude. A more detailed discussion with a fit to a model with an effective number of channels has been provided by Martinez and Molina.\(^{39}\)

In understanding why the level repulsion parameter $\beta$ behaves in such a different way from $l_\infty$, we need to understand the structure of the quasi-energy spectrum. The quasi-energy spectrum is periodic with period $\omega$. When $\omega$ is smaller than the bandwidth of the undriven system, the spectrum has to be wrapped into itself in such a way that states coming from different parts of the spectrum can now neighbor each other. The results are then nearly energy independent, as has been mentioned before. These different parts of the spectrum are much less correlated than neighboring parts in the original undriven systems. For $A = 0$ they can be considered as having different symmetries corresponding to a different number of photons. They start mixing and repelling each other only due to the amplitude of the external field, which results in quasi-energy eigenstates with components in the different Floquet modes. The effects of this are clearly seen in the small amplitude results in Fig. 10 where in all cases we start from a very small value of $\beta$ close to the Poisson limit irrespective of the original value in the undriven system. For larger amplitudes of the external field the level repulsion starts to increase, reaching a maximum at the same value of $A/\omega$ where a maximum of the localization length occurs. We see two different types of behavior. For values of the frequency $\omega$ not far from the boundary between the high and low frequency regimes ($\omega = 3$ in Fig.10) we see a sharp peak before a slow decay to the asymptotic value. For these frequencies the localization length and the level repulsion are still correlated as seen in the curve of Fig. 9 corresponding to $\omega = 3$. Deeper in the low frequency regime, we observe only a very slow decay from the maximum value of $\beta$ without a distinct peak.

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

A linear scaling law between the spectral repulsion parameter $\beta$ and the wave function localization length $l_\infty$ holds in the 1-D Anderson model. If we include a periodic driving in the system, the same relationship holds only in the high-frequency regime when $\omega > (W + 4J)/2$. The Anderson-Floquet model in the high frequency regime behaves as the one-dimensional Anderson model without driving but with a renormalized hopping rate. This effect is caused by the coherent destruction of tunneling and has been known since the early 1990s. We have confirmed here its effects on the spectral statistics.

The low frequency regime is much richer. The different Floquet bands overlap. For small values of the field amplitude the bands almost do not hybridize, and the effect on the spectral statistics amounts to having a spectrum with mixed symmetries tending to Poisson. As the interaction between the states increases with the field strength, the spectral statistics go to the GOE limit. The localization length, on the contrary, tends to increase in the low-frequency limit, at least for not too high values of the driving field amplitude. It is then clear that the properties of the system would depend not only on the localization length of the states but also on the frequency.
and amplitude of the driving field. In the low-frequency regime the parameters of the external time-dependent field change the effective dimensionality of the system, as already seen for the conductance distribution. We lose, then, the Single Parameter Scaling fundamental to the scaling theory of Anderson localization.
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