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INTRODUCTION

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a clonal expansion of plasma cells, characterized by the production of a monoclonal protein, as well as end-organ damage. Virtually all cases of MM are preceded by an indolent, premalignant disease known as monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) that can evolve to asymptomatic (or smoldering) MM and later to symptomatic MM. The bone marrow microenvironment is thought to play a central role in the development of MM, but in the late stages of the disease, the malignant plasma cells become independent of this interaction by further acquiring new abnormalities that allow them to survive outside of the bone marrow, circulate in peripheral blood or migrate to other tissues, leading to plasma cell leukemia or extramedullary plasmacytomas, both considered more advanced stages of the disease.

MM is a biologically complex disease, with great heterogeneity, reflected by its wide panel of genetic alterations and the individual differences in overall response and survival of patients receiving the same treatment. Genetic alterations, such as point mutations or translocations and deletions, as well as epigenetic alterations, such as aberrant DNA and histone methylation or abnormal microRNA (miRNA) expression, are found to contribute to the pathogenesis of MM. Based on chromosomal alterations that demethylation of 5-methylcytosine is possible. The initial step occurs. Thus, TET enzymes are suggested to ensure DNA demethylation fidelity by keeping promoters of actively transcribed genes free of methylation. In addition, hydroxymethylation of DNA can be an alternative to demethylation, and it is now well known that DNA methylation of promoter CpG islands results in transcriptional inhibition and sometimes permanent gene silencing (Figure 1). The enzymes catalyzing the transformation of cytosine to 5-methylcytosine are known as DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs); DNMT3a and DNMT3b catalyze de novo DNA methylation, whereas DNMT1 catalyzes the maintenance of the DNA methylation after each cell division, assisted by DNMT3a and DNMT3b.

A wealth of research has been performed to elucidate the genetic aberrations seen in MM, but here we will focus on epigenetic abnormalities that are also central players in the disruption of common cancer pathways. It must be stressed that genetics and epigenetics are tightly connected and directly affect each other. It is now well established that DNA methylation and histone modifications can alter and control gene expression and, conversely, mutations affecting the function of epigenetic enzymes are described in numerous diseases, including MM. This review will focus on three common epigenetic mechanisms (aberrant DNA methylation, histone modifications and noncoding RNA expression) that cause abnormal signaling via critical pathways in MM, controlling cell cycle and apoptosis, and we will also briefly discuss the potential of epigenetic therapies in the context of MM.

THE ROLE OF EPIGENETICS IN NORMAL CELLS AND IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF MM

DNA methylation

The methylation of cytosine in the CpG (cytosine-phosphodiester bond-guanine) dinucleotide is the most studied epigenetic modification. Regions rich in CpG sites are known as CpG islands, and are often found in the promoter region and first exon of genes, as well as in repetitive elements, and it is now well known that DNA methylation of promoter CpG islands results in transcriptional inhibition and sometimes permanent gene silencing (Figure 1). The enzymes catalyzing the transformation of cytosine to 5-methylcytosine are known as DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs); DNMT3a and DNMT3b catalyze de novo DNA methylation, whereas DNMT1 catalyzes the maintenance of the DNA methylation after each cell division, assisted by DNMT3a and DNMT3b.

The transcriptional silencing caused by DNA methylation was initially thought to be permanent, but recent studies have shown that demethylation of 5-methylcytosine is possible. The initial step is the addition of a hydroxyl group by the TET (ten-eleven translocation) proteins, and after additional covalent modifications and activation of base excision repair mechanisms, demethylation occurs. Thus, TET enzymes are suggested to ensure DNA methylation fidelity by keeping promoters of actively transcribed genes free of methylation. In addition, hydroxymethylation of cytosine might also play a role as a distinct epigenetic mark, but its precise function is yet to be elucidated. The regulation of gene expression by DNA methylation plays an essential role in cellular differentiation and tissue-specific gene expression, X-chromosome methylation...
inactivation, genomic imprinting and silencing of transposable elements. In healthy cells, most CpG islands at transcription start sites are unmethylated, allowing gene expression, whereas methylation in gene bodies is often observed, and is related to increased transcriptional activity. Abnormal DNA methylation patterns are observed in most malignancies, where global DNA hypomethylation and gene-specific promoter hypermethylation is the main pattern.

Several studies of DNA methylation in MM have revealed promoter hypermethylation of known tumor suppressor genes (Table 1). Genome-wide studies have confirmed global hypomethylation in MM with gene-specific hypermethylation, a common finding in most cancers. Although the data on the global DNA methylation patterns in MGUS seem to be conflicting, all studies seem to agree that throughout the course of the disease, the DNA methylation in promoter areas increases and reaches its height in plasma cell leukemia and human myeloma cell lines.

The cause of aberrant DNA methylation patterns in MM is currently unknown. The expression of DNMT1 is higher in MM compared with normal plasma cells and is increasing through the disease course. However, it is unknown whether the levels of DNMTs cause increased DNA methylation or is simply a marker of increased cell proliferation. MM has low expression of DNMT3a compared with normal plasma cells. This however is not explained by mutations or single nucleotide polymorphisms, but DNMT3A promoter hypermethylation has been reported in one study. In contrast to these findings, miR-29b, which is known to target DNMT3b, is frequently downregulated in MM, resulting in upregulation of DNMT3b, and an in vivo study in mice reported that transfection with synthetic miR-29b resulted in reduction of global DNA methylation and tumor growth inhibition.

The function of TET proteins is regulated by a network that includes IDH1/2 (isocitrate dehydrogenase), which catalyzes the formation of α-ketoglutarate, a co-factor for TET2, and miR-22, which directly targets TET2. Mutations in TET2 and IDH1/2 (isocitrate dehydrogenase) are frequent in glioblastoma and myeloid malignancies, but not reported in MM. However, Zhou et al. found upregulation of miR-22 in MM by global miRNA expression, but this result is not confirmed in other studies.

Histone modifications

Histones are proteins found in all eukaryotic cells, and when packed with DNA they form units known as nucleosomes. The nucleosome consists of a histone octamer (H2A, H2B, H3 and H4, two pairs of each) around which 147 bp of DNA are wrapped, resulting in a tight DNA packaging, and it is now known that the positioning of nucleosomes is yet another epigenetic modification that regulates gene expression. The role of epigenetics in MM is currently unknown. The expression of DNMT1 is higher in MM compared with normal plasma cells and is increasing through the disease course. However, it is unknown whether the levels of DNMTs cause increased DNA methylation or is simply a marker of increased cell proliferation. MM has low expression of DNMT3a compared with normal plasma cells. This however is not explained by mutations or single nucleotide polymorphisms, but DNMT3A promoter hypermethylation has been reported in one study. In contrast to these findings, miR-29b, which is known to target DNMT3b, is frequently downregulated in MM, resulting in upregulation of DNMT3b, and an in vivo study in mice reported
Histone acetylation is a major regulatory mechanism of gene transcription and is balanced by the counteractive activity of two types of enzymes: histone acetyltransferases (HATs) and histone deacetylases (HDACs). HATs transfer an acetyl group from acetyl-CoA to the lysine residues of histones, resulting in neutralization of their positive charge and interaction with the negatively charged phosphate groups of DNA, hence creating a less condensed chromatin form that allows binding of transcriptional factors; histone acetylation is thus associated with active gene expression. In contrast, HDACs remove acetyl groups from histone lysine residues back to acetyl-CoA, resulting in a condensed chromatin structure that is more condensed and transcriptionally inactive. A total of 11 HDACs have been discovered, and together with other proteins known as sirtuins, comprise a major mechanism of gene silencing (Figure 1). The histone acetylation marks are variable and dependent on the function and activity of the genomic area. For example, H3K4me3 and H3K79me3 are shown to be more prominent in active promoters, H3K4me1 is more often found in enhancer regions, whereas H4K20me1 and H3K36me3 locate to gene bodies of actively transcribed genes. However, recent data support the theory that individual histone changes are rarely adequate to influence gene expression, and it is their combinatorial patterns that regulate transcription. The first study to address this issue revealed S1 distinct chromatin states across human genome. Two other recent studies have reported over 800 different combinatorial patterns of histone changes, confirming the joint effect of histone modifications on gene expression.

Abnormal patterns of histone modifications are common findings in malignant cells. Mutations of histone-modifying enzymes and other epigenetic regulators have been reported in numerous cancers. Whole genome sequencing in MM has also revealed mutations in epigenetic regulators including NSD2, UTX, MLL, WHSC1, all resulting in an almost universally increased expression of HOX9, thus providing a novel therapeutic target. In MM, EZH2 upregulation can be mediated by interleukin-6 (IL-6), by c-Myc activation, or be a consequence of miR-26a downregulation (which directly targets EZH2). Together with the aforementioned inactivating mutations of UTX, all these mechanisms can explain the increased levels of the H3K27me3 silencing signature at PRC2-targeted genes. Downregulation of PCAF (p300-CBP-associated factor), a histone acetyltransferase involved in the transcriptional control of several genes, including TP53, is caused by upregulation of the miR-106b-25 cluster in MM. However, the most characteristic and probably most interesting histone-modifying enzyme abnormality in the context of MM is the upregulation of MMSET (multiple myeloma SET domain) in all cases with t(4;14), accounting for 15% of all patients. The MMSET gene (also known as NSD2 or WHSC1) is ubiquitously expressed in all tissues, and produces mainly two transcripts, known as MMSET-1 and MMSET-2. The MMSET-2, which is the longer transcript, comprises four domains: PWWP (proline-tryptophan-tryptophan-proline) domain, a HMG (high mobility group) domain, a PHD (plant homeodomain) zinc finger and a SET domain. Early studies found MMSET to interact with both H3 and H4, and catalyzing H4K20 trimethylation, but now it is clarified that MMSET not only catalyzes the dimethylation of H3K36, but also enhances the function of HDAC1 and HDAC2, and the histone demethylase LSD1 (Table 2). The result is global alterations of histone methylation patterns, with accumulation of H3K36me2 marks causing transcriptional activation of oncogenic loci, and a global reduction of H3K27me3 marks. This is in line with previous data showing that active histone marks, including H3K36me2, prevent binding of EZH2 to DNA.

Increased expression of MMSET is also reported to contribute to the constitutive activation of nuclear factor-kB, a commonly

---

**Table 1.** The different classes of histone deacetylases (HDACs) and their functions

| Classification | Localization | Comments |
|----------------|--------------|----------|
| Class I        |              |          |
| HDAC1          | Nucleus      | Ubiquitously expressed, transcriptional regulation |
| HDAC2          | Nucleus      | Participate in | |
| HDAC3          | Nucleus      | Transcriptional regulation |
| HDAC8          | Nucleus/cytoplasm |          |
| Class IIa      |              |          |
| HDAC4          | Nucleus/cytoplasm | Tissue-specific functions |
| HDAC5          | Nucleus/cytoplasm |          |
| HDAC7          | Nucleus/cytoplasm |          |
| HDAC9          | Nucleus/cytoplasm |          |
| Class IIb      |              |          |
| HDAC6          | Cytoplasm    | Formation of aggresome and autophagy |
| HDAC10         | Cytoplasm    |          |
| Class III (sirtuins) | | |
| SIRT1          | Nucleus      | Nicotine adenine |
| SIRT2          | Cytoplasm    | dinucleotide NAD -- |
| SIRT3          | Mitochondria | Dependent lysine |
| SIRT4          | Mitochondria | Deacetylases |
| SIRT5          | Mitochondria |          |
| SIRT6          | Nucleus      |          |
| SIRT7          | Nucleus      |          |
| Class IV       |              |          |
| HDAC11         | Cytoplasm    | Role in interleukin (IL)-10 expression |

H3K27me3 refers to trimethylation of lysine on position 27 of histone 3. Histone acetylation is a major regulatory mechanism of gene transcription and is balanced by the counteractive activity of two types of enzymes: histone acetyltransferases (HATs) and histone deacetylases (HDACs). HATs transfer an acetyl group from acetyl-CoA to the lysine residues of histones, resulting in neutralization of their positive charge and interaction with the negatively charged phosphate groups of DNA, hence creating a less condensed chromatin form that allows binding of transcriptional factors; histone acetylation is thus associated with active gene expression. In contrast, HDACs remove acetyl groups from histone lysine residues back to acetyl-CoA, resulting in a condensed chromatin structure that is more condensed and transcriptionally inactive. A total of 11 HDACs have been discovered, and together with other proteins known as sirtuins, also showing HDAC activity, are divided in four subclasses (Table 1).

Histone methylation, on the other hand, is a more complex epigenetic mark than the ‘on–off’ acetylation changes. First, it exists as mono-, di- or tri-methylation of lysine residues, and as mono-, di- and tri-methylation of arginine residues on histones. Second, the level of methylation at a particular residue defines the function of the mark. For example, H3K4me3, H3K36me3, H3K79me3, H4R3me1 and H4K20me1 are associated with active gene transcription, whereas H3K9me3 and H3K27me3 are associated with gene silencing (Figure 1). The histone methyltransferase that catalyzes the methylation of H3K27me3, EZH2, is part of a protein complex known as polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2), and it is now acknowledged that PRC2 comprises a major mechanism of gene silencing. Even though earlier studies have also shown an interaction between EZH2 and DNMTs, possibly linking DNA methylation with H3K27me3, these data are not confirmed and gene silencing through PRC2 and H3K27me3 is now considered a DNA methylation-independent mechanism of gene silencing (Figure 1). The distribution of histone methylation marks and the nucleosome positioning is also variable and dependent on the function and activity of the genomic area. For example, H3K4me3 and H3K79me3 are shown to be more prominent in active promoters, H3K4me1 is more often found in enhancer regions, whereas H4K20me1 and H3K36me3 locate to gene bodies of actively transcribed genes. However, recent data support the theory that individual histone changes are rarely adequate to influence gene expression, and it is their combinatorial patterns that regulate transcription. The first study to address this issue revealed S1 distinct chromatin states across human genome. Two other recent studies have reported over 800 different combinatorial patterns of histone changes, confirming the joint effect of histone modifications on gene expression. A summary of the enzymes catalyzing the addition of epigenetic marks (writers), their removal (erasers) as well as of the enzymes that can bind and translate the epigenetic marks (readers) is given in Table 2.

Although the DNA methylation marks are relatively constant, the landscape of histone modifications is dynamic and constantly changing, and specific histone modifications influence each other, as for example ubiquitination of H2B is required for the methylation of H3K4me3. In addition, several studies have shown that DNA methylation and histone modifications often interact to regulate gene expression. DNA methylation allows the binding of methyl-CpG-binding protein 2 that then recruits HDACs that further deactivate gene transcription by condensing chromatin, and it is now clear that DNA methylation and histone acetylation interact closely to regulate gene expression (Figure 1).

Abnormal patterns of histone modifications are common findings in malignant cells. Mutations of histone-modifying enzymes and other epigenetic regulators have been reported in numerous cancers. Whole genome sequencing in MM has also revealed mutations in epigenetic regulators including UTX, MLL, WHSC1, all resulting in an almost universally increased expression of HOX9, thus providing a novel therapeutic target.
disrupted pathway in MM, and inhibition of MMSET in vitro reduces cell growth of the malignant cells, providing a potential therapeutic target.35,37,39

Noncoding RNAs
It has been shown that although only 1–2% of the human genome consists of protein-encoding DNA, up to 75% of our DNA is transcriptionally active.42 This DNA, which was previously considered ‘junk-DNA’, produces noncoding RNA (ncRNA) molecules, some of which play central regulatory roles in gene expression, and control important cellular functions. The ncRNAs are divided into two major groups, according to the size of the transcript: long ncRNAs (> 200 nt) and small ncRNAs (18–200 nt). The latter category comprises miRNAs, small interfering RNAs, small nuclear RNAs, PIWI-interacting RNAs and vault RNAs. The most extensively studied group of ncRNAs is miRNAs, small transcripts (18–22 nt) originating from either intragenic or intergenic DNA loci, and they are known to post-transcriptionally regulate gene expression.43 The biogenesis of miRNAs in mammals is complex and reviewed in detail elsewhere.5

The importance of miRNAs in the regulation of functions such as cell differentiation, development and apoptosis was highlighted early after their discovery, and it is now known that aberrant miRNA expression is seen in many human diseases, including cancer, where miRNAs can act as oncogenic miRNAs or as tumor suppressors. Even though miRNAs are not considered a true epigenetic regulatory mechanism, they interact closely with other critical epigenetic regulators, for example the miR-29 family with DNMT3A and DNMT3B, miR-26a with EZH2 and miR-449a with HDAC1.32,44,45 On the other hand, epigenetic regulation of miRNAs is extremely common, and epigenetic silencing of miRNAs in MM through promoter hypermethylation has been reported.46–48 The role of miRNAs in the development of MM has been highlighted in several studies.19,33,49,50 Commonly deregulated miRNAs in MM include miR-21, the miR-17–92 cluster, the miR-15a/16 cluster and the miR-29 and miR-34 families, all of which are also found deregulated in other malignancies. Data indicate that MGUS and MM share a common miRNA signature that distinguishes them from normal plasma cells, but MM cells exhibit additional deregulated miRNAs compared with MGUS.33 In addition, some miRNAs are associated with drug resistance in MM.

Table 2. Epigenetic modifiers divided into categories according to their function (‘writers’, ‘erasers’ and ‘readers’) and the epigenetic mark they are related to

| Modification         | Writers                  | Erasers                  | Readers                  |
|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| DNA methylation      | DNMTs                    | TET2, IDH1, IDH2         | MeCP2, MBDs              |
| Histone lysine acetylation | HATs                    | HDACs, SIRTs             | Bromodomain proteins (BRD) |
| Histone lysine methylation | KMTs                    | KDMs                     | Chromodomains (CHD)      |
| H3K4                 | KMT2A (MLL)              | KDM1A (LSD1)             |                          |
|                      | KMT2B (MLL4)             | KDM1B (LSD2)             |                          |
|                      | KMT2C (MLL3)             | KDM2B (CXXC2, JHDM1B)    |                          |
|                      | KMT2D (MLL2)             | KDM5A (JARID1A)          |                          |
|                      | KMT2E (MLL5)             | KDM5B (JARID1B, PLU1)    |                          |
|                      | KMT2F (SEDT1A)           | KDM5C (JARID1C)          |                          |
|                      | KMT2G (SEDT1B)           | KDM5D (JARID1D)          |                          |
|                      | KMT3C (SMYD2)            | NO66 (MAPJD)             |                          |
|                      | KMT3D (SMYD1)            |                          |                          |
|                      | KMT3E (SMYD3)            |                          |                          |
|                      | KMT7 (SEDT7)             |                          |                          |
| H3K9                 | KMT1A (Suv39H1)          | KDM1A (LSD1)             |                          |
|                      | KMT1B (Suv39H2)          | KDM3A (JMJ1A, JHDM2A)    |                          |
|                      | KMT1C (EHMT2, G9a)       | KDM3B (JMJ1B, JHDM3B)    |                          |
|                      | KMT1D (EHMT1)            | KDM4A (JMJ2A, JHDM3A)    |                          |
|                      | KMT1E (SEDT1)            | KDM4B (JMJ2B)            |                          |
|                      | KMT1F (SEDT2B)           | KDM4C (JMJ2C, JHDM3C)    |                          |
|                      | KMT8 (PRDM2)             | KDM4D (JMJ2D)            |                          |
|                      |                          | KDM7 (JHDM1D)            |                          |
|                      |                          | PHF2 (JHDM1E)            |                          |
|                      |                          | PHF8 (JHDM1F)            |                          |
| H3K27                | KMT6A (EZH2)             | KDM6A (UTX)              |                          |
|                      | KMT6B (EZH1)             | KDM6B (JMJ3)             |                          |
|                      |                          | KDM7 (JHDM1D)            |                          |
| H3K36                | KMT2H (ASHH1)            | KDM2A (CXXC8, JHDM1A)    |                          |
|                      | KMT3A (SEDT2)            | KDM2B (CXXC2, JHDM1B)    |                          |
|                      | KMT3B (NSD1)             | KDM4A (JMJ2A, JHDM3A)    |                          |
|                      | KMT3C (SMYD2)            | KDM4B (JMJ2B)            |                          |
|                      | NSD2 (MMSET)             | KDM4C (JMJ2C, JHDM3C)    |                          |
|                      | SETMAR (Metnase)         | KDM4D (JMJ2D)            |                          |
|                      |                          | KDM8 (JMJ5)              |                          |
|                      |                          | NO66 (MAPJD)             |                          |
| H3K79                | KMT4 (DOT1L)             | —                        |                          |
| H4K20                | KMT5A (SEDT8)            | PHF8 (JHDM1F)            |                          |
|                      | KMT5B (Suv420H1)         |                          |                          |
|                      | KMT5C (Suv420H2)         |                          |                          |

Abbreviations: DNMT, DNA methyltransferase; HAT, histone acetyltransferase; KDM, lysine demethylase; KMT, lysine methyltransferase; MBD, methyl-CpG-binding domain; MeCP2, methyl-CpG-binding protein 2.
myeloma, possibly through downregulation of key antimyeloma
drug targets; alternatively, downregulation of miRNAs throughout
disease progression may lead to upregulation of critical myeloma-
related genes.51

The role of other types of ncRNAs in MM has barely been studied.
Ronchetti et al.52 recently reported deregulation of small nucleolar
RNAs in MM, and were able to correlate differential small nucleolar
RNA expression within previously defined gene expression profiling
subgroups. However, little is known about the role of long ncRNAs
in myeloma, a subject that could be of interest.

EPIGENETICS ALTER CRITICAL REGULATORY NETWORKS IN MM
Each of the aforementioned alterations of the epigenetic
machinery may result in dysregulation of critical oncogenic
pathways that contribute to the pathogenesis of MM. A
description of the most commonly involved networks and their
disruption through abnormal DNA methylation, histone modifica-
tions or altered miRNA expression is as follows.

Cyclin/CDK/Rb pathway
Tight control of cell cycle is essential for normal cellular growth,
and disrupted cell cycle regulation may promote carcinogenesis.
In the G1 phase, CDK4 and CDK6 (cyclin-dependent kinases
4 and 6) get activated and form complexes with Cyclin D
molecules (D1, D2 and D3), causing phosphorylation and
deactivation of the Rb (retinoblastoma) protein, an event that
activates the CDK2/Cyclin A/E complex and members of the E2F
family, finally resulting in the transition from G1 to S phase
(Figure 2). Negative regulators of this process are called CKIs
(cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors) and include members of the
INK4 family (p16INK4a encoded by CDKN2A, p15INK4b encoded
by CDKN2B, p18INK4c encoded by CDKN2C and p19INK4d
encoded by CDKN2D) that inhibit CDK4/6, and members of the
Cip/Kip family (p21Cip1 encoded by CDKN1A, p27Kip1 encoded by
CDKN1B, and p57Kip2 encoded by CDKN1C) that inhibit CDK2.

The CDKN2A gene has two different coding frames: the INK4A
that encodes p16INK4a by splicing of exons 1α, 2 and 3, and ARF
(alternative reading frame) with its own promotor, encoding
p14ARF (after splicing of exons 1β and 2). In contrast to p16INK4a,
p14ARF is not directly involved in the control of cyclin D/CDK4/6,
but interacts with MDM2, resulting in stabilization of p53 and cell
cycle arrest via p21/CDK2/cyclin E inhibition.53

Disruption of this fine regulatory system has been associated
with cancer development, and several tumors exhibit over-
expression of cyclin D members and CDK4, or loss of Rb.54 Like
Rb, all CKIs are considered to be tumor suppressors, and their
functional loss can contribute to tumorigenesis. Among all CKIs,
p16INK4a is the most widely studied in cancer, and point
mutations, deletions and epigenetic silencing are seen in a
variety of human cancer cell lines and primary tumors.55

In myeloma, overexpression of cyclin D members occurs in most
cases, and is considered to be a common and early pathogenic
event in the development of the disease. Translocations including
the IGH locus on 14q32 can result in direct upregulation of cyclin
genes when involving 11q13 (cyclin D1) or 6p21 (cyclin D3).56 No
genetic or epigenetic alterations are reported for CDK4 or other
CDks in MM, but miR-29b and the miR-34 family, which under
normal circumstances regulate the levels of CDK6, cyclin D1 and
CDK4, are reported to be downregulated in MM, resulting in
upregulation of the cyclin D/CDK4/6 complex (Figure 3).48,57
Interestingly, the downregulation of the miR-34b/c in many cases
of MM, especially later in the course of the disease, is found to be
a result of promoter hypermethylation of the MIR34B/C gene.47
Furthermore, it is shown that the miR-17–92 cluster, which is
upregulated in MM, targets E2F1, allowing increased cell
proliferation.33,58 Whereas Rb deletions associated with del(13q)
are detected in up to half of the cases, downregulation of
RB1 by promoter hypermethylation is not seen in MM.59

Several studies have addressed the issue of downregulation
of CKIs by promoter hypermethylation in MM. Inactivating mutations
or deletions of CDKN2A are rare in MM, but promoter hyper-
methylation of the INK4A promoter occurs in ~40% of the patient
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**Figure 2.** The cyclin/CDK pathway. The entry in G1 phase is characterized by the assembly of CDK4/6 and cyclin D proteins, a process
controlled by the INK4 family of CKIs. The cyclin D/CDK4/6 complex phosphorylates and deactivates Rb, allowing E2F to activate transcription
of CDK2 and cyclins A and E that are needed for the transition to the S phase. The cyclin A/E/CDK2 complex is inhibited by the Cip/Kip family
of CKIs.
samples with newly diagnosed MM, although the reported methylation frequency is extremely variable (Table 3) probably because of different methodologies. Similarly, promoter hypermethylation of CDKN2B is reported in MM, ranging from just above 10% to over 80% of the MM patient samples examined, whereas promoter methylation of CDKN2C or members of the Cip/Kip family is infrequent in MM (Table 3).

It is unclear whether INK4A promoter methylation is associated with biological or clinical features of MM, as its association with overall survival disease stage has yielded conflicting data.\textsuperscript{60–63} Moreover, it seems that promoter methylation of INK4A is not the only contributor to p16 downregulation, as low expression is seen in almost all cases of MM, even those without promoter methylation.\textsuperscript{61,64} This suggests that either the promoter methylation of the CDKN2A does not have any biological significance in MM, being a ‘secondary’ epigenetic event, or that there are mechanisms that downregulate p16 other than promoter hypermethylation, such as ncRNAs, histone modifications or lack of the appropriate transcription factors.

**THE WNT/\(\beta\)-CATENIN PATHWAY AND E-CADHERIN**

Wnt signaling controls multiple functions including cell migration during embryonic development, hematopoiesis and tissue

| Table 3. Tumor suppressor genes whose promoter is found to be hypermethylated in MGUS/MM in two or more studies |
|---|---|---|---|
| Gene | Chromosomal location | Function | Methylation frequency |
| | | | MGUS | Primary MM |
| INK4A\textsuperscript{a} | 9p21.3 | Cell cycle control | 0–39% | 0–52.9% |
| ARF | 9p21.3 | Cell cycle control | 0–29% | 0–29% |
| CDKN2B | 9p21.3 | Cell cycle control | 0–50% | 13.5–75% |
| CDKN1C | 11p15.5 | Cell cycle control | 0–17% | 0–5.5% |
| TP73 | 1p36.3 | Cell cycle control | 21–33% | 0–45% |
| DAPK | 9q21.33 | Apoptosis and autophagy | 15–50% | 5.9–77% |
| BNIP3 | 10q26.3 | Proapoptotic protein of Bcl2 family | 4% | 5–21% |
| SFRP1 | 8p11.21 | Inhibitor of Wnt pathway | — | 14–35% |
| SFRP4 | 7p14.1 | Inhibitor of Wnt pathway | — | 13–14% |
| SFRPS | 10q24.1 | Inhibitor of Wnt pathway | — | 4–6.9% |
| VHL | 3p25.3 | Loss of hypoxic response | 0% | 0–33% |
| CDH1 | 16q22.1 | Cell adhesion | 0% | 27–87% |
| MGMT | 10q26.3 | DNA repair | 7–8% | 0–40% |
| ER | 6q25.1 | Estrogen receptor | 15.8% | 0–40.6% |
| RARB | 3p24.2 | Retinoic acid receptor | 0% | 0–11.8% |
| RASSF1 | 3p21.3 | Ras effector protein | 0–14% | 2–15% |
| SOCS-1 | 16p13.13 | Inhibitor of Jak/STAT pathway | 14% | 0–74.5% |
| SHP1 | 12p.13 | Inhibitor of Jak/STAT pathway | 31.6% | 14.7–84.4% |

Abbreviations: Bcl2, B-cell lymphoma 2; Jak/STAT, Janus kinase/signal transducer and activator of transcription; MGUS, monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance; MM, multiple myeloma. The chromosomal location, function and methylation frequency of the tumor suppressor genes are shown. The references for these data can be acquired from the authors of this article. \textsuperscript{a}Only studies with primary myeloma samples were included.
homeostasis. It consists of the β-catenin-dependent pathway, involved in cell cycle control, and the β-catenin-independent pathway, involved in planar cell polarity pathway and intracellular calcium regulation (Wnt–calcium pathway). Disruption of the Wnt pathway, especially the β-catenin-dependent pathway, is associated with a series of developmental diseases, and also cancer.

Activation of the Wnt pathway results in intranuclear accumulation of β-catenin, allowing its binding with T-cell factor/lymphoid enhancer factor 1 (LEF1) and formation of a transcription factor complex that activates the transcription of several genes. Some of the known target genes that are transcriptionally activated by β-catenin/transforming growth factor (TGF)/LEF1 include CCND1 (coding for cyclin D1), STAT3 and MYC, all known to be upregulated in MM. Finally, the β-catenin/TGF/LEF complex is found to downregulate the expression of p16INK4a (suggesting another mechanism for p16 downregulation apart from methylation), as well as of miR-15a/16, resulting in both further upregulation of cyclin D1 and increased angiogenesis in MM.

The Wnt pathway is inhibited by several proteins, divided into two subclasses: the sFRP (secreted Frizzled-related protein) class, including the sFRP family, WIF-1 (Wnt inhibitory factor 1) and Cerberus, all of which bind directly to Wnt ligands, and the Dickkopf class, which includes the Dickkopf (Dkk) protein family that inhibit the Wnt pathway by binding to LRP5/6. DKK1 is of special interest in MM, as it has been shown to be associated with myeloma bone disease. Promoter hypermethylation of SFRP1, SFRP2, SFRP3, SFRP5, DKK3, APC and WIF1 and subsequent activation of Wnt pathway in MM patients has been described in MM (Table 3). Moreover, it is shown that miR-34a, which is often downregulated in MM (though not by promoter hypermethylation), is a direct inhibitor of the Wnt pathway. MiR-203 is another miRNA that inhibits the Wnt pathway and whose promoter has been found to be hypermethylated in MM. Interestingly, even though miR-21 and miR-200a are also known to act as inhibitors of the Wnt signaling pathway, both are found upregulated in MM. However, it is well-known that the function of miRNAs is highly cell type dependent. HDACs are also reported to interfere with β-catenin signaling; HDAC1, HDAC2 and HDAC7 inhibit whereas HDAC3 and HDAC6 activate the Wnt pathway.

Apart from the cytoplasmic pool of β-catenin that regulates gene transcription through the Wnt pathway, β-catenin is also bound to the cytoplasmic domain of E-cadherin (ECAD), a protein responsible for intercellular adhesion. Loss of CDH1 (the gene coding for ECAD) is associated with increased tumor invasion and contributes to metastases. Furthermore, there is a dynamic interaction between cadherin/catenin complex and Wnt/β-catenin, and recent studies confirm that cadherin-bound β-catenin can be released into the cytoplasm and act as a transcriptional activator.

Promoter hypermethylation of the CDH1 is not detected in patient samples with MGUS, whereas the methylation frequency in MM is reported to be 27–92% in primary samples, suggesting that methylation of CDH1 might contribute to the development of MM from MGUS (Table 3). In some of these studies, higher frequency of CDH1 promoter hypermethylation is reported in relapsed MM and plasma cell leukemia, and it is furthermore shown to be an acquired event through the disease course. It is unknown whether the epigenetic inactivation of ECAD also results in constitutive Wnt signaling in MM. Targeting of ECAD by miRNAs has also been reported; ECAD is downregulated by miR-23a in lung cancer cells, a miRNA also found upregulated in MM (Figure 3).

IL-6 AND JAK/STAT SIGNALING

It is now well defined that increased secretion of IL-6 with consequent activation of the IL-6 receptor and Janus kinase/signal transducer and activator of transcription (JAK/STAT) pathway is essential for the antiapoptotic properties of malignant plasma cells in the development of MM. Known inhibitors of the JAK/STAT3 pathway include among others the protein tyrosine phosphatases, the SOCS (suppression of cytokine signaling) and PIAS (protein inhibitor of activated STAT) protein families.

Promoter hypermethylation of genes coding for inhibitors of the JAK/STAT pathway, including SHP1, SOCS1 and SOCS3, is reported in varying frequencies in MM (Table 3). Another mechanism of increased JAK/STAT signaling in MM is the upregulation of the miR-17–92 cluster that directly targets SOCS-1 and SOCS-3. There is, to date, no reported promoter hypermethylation of the genes coding for the PIAS protein family; however, PIAS3 is a direct target of miR-21, a miRNA that is directly upregulated in MM by both nuclear factor-κB and STAT3, leading to further JAK/STAT3 activation in plasma cells and resistance to apoptosis (Figure 3).

Finally, HATs and HDACs are also shown to regulate JAK/STAT signaling; as STAT3 is reported to be activated by acetylation catalyzed by the HAT CBP/p300, and activated STAT3 regulates gene expression through recruitment of HDAC1 that in turn inhibits STAT3 in a negative regulatory loop.

DAPK/P14ARF/p53 PATHWAY

The death-associated protein kinase (DAPK) family includes three members: DAPK1 (or DAPK), DAPK2 and ZIPK (or DAPK3), all of which control apoptosis and autophagy. The most extensively studied protein of this family is DAPK, as it is often downregulated in cancer, allowing malignant cells to escape apoptosis. The antiapoptotic function of DAPK is closely related to p53. Oncogenic stimuli such as c-Myc or E2F2 have been shown to initially activate p53, leading to transcriptional activation of DAPK that in turn stabilizes p53 in a positive feedback loop through upregulation of p14ARF, finally resulting in cell cycle arrest and apoptosis.

As mentioned above, promoter hypermethylation of ARF is not reported in either MGUS or MM, but DAPK promoter hypermethylation is commonly seen in MM (Table 3). TP53 mutations are rare in MM, and are only seen in patients with del(17p), whereas promoter hypermethylation is also infrequent and has not been reported in patient samples. However, several miRNAs seem to regulate the p53 axis in MM, including the miR-106b-25 cluster and miR-192, miR-194 and miR-215. The last three miRNAs target MDM2, an inhibitor of p53, and they are downregulated in MM by promoter hypermethylation.

EPI-THERAPEUTICS: A NOVEL TREATMENT MODALITY IN MM

The past decades have seen a massive development of new cancer drugs, some of them acting by changing the epigenome in the malignant cells. The DNMT inhibitors 5-azacytidine and 5-aza-2’-deoxycytidine are already approved for the treatment of higher-risk myelodysplastic syndromes and acute myeloid leukemia with low blast counts. Although in vitro studies have confirmed antomyeloma activity of 5-azacytidine, the in vivo effects of DNMT inhibitors in MM are yet to be examined in clinical trials.

Another class of epigenetic drugs thoroughly examined in the treatment of myeloma are the HDAC inhibitors (HDACis). The specificity of HATs and HDACs for histones is not high, and they are known to modify the acetylation status of other proteins, such as nuclear factor-κB, p53 and STAT proteins, thus affecting their stability and functions. Accordingly, it is clear that HDACis not only have an effect on transcriptional regulation through histone modifications, but also influence the activity of several transcription factors in pathways that are disrupted in MM, as shown in numerous functional studies. However, clinical trials have failed to show a clinical benefit with HDACis as single-agent...
therapy, with the exception of Panobinostat; nevertheless, a synergistic effect of HDACis with bortezomib and immunomodulatory drugs has been reported.90–92 The inefficacy of single-agent HDACi treatment is not only seen in myeloma, but also in several malignancies, and could be because of the inability of HDAC inhibition alone to induce apoptosis in vivo. More likely, HDACis allow the transcription of genes that are necessary for other drugs to function. Thus, the synergy between proteasome inhibitors or immunomodulatory drugs could be a result of increased DNA damage and apoptosis in the malignant plasma cells. Alternatively, HDACi may further enhance the inhibition of HDAC expression caused by bortezomib.

An interesting therapeutic target is HDAC6, a class IIb cytoplasmic HDAC that plays a central role in the degradation of misfolded proteins through autophagy, an alternative mechanism to proteasome degradation.93 Simultaneous inhibition of the proteasome function and HDAC6 can thus lead to accumulation of misfolded proteins and apoptosis, and could possibly explain the synergy between bortezomib and HDACis. A selective HDAC6 inhibitor, ACY-1215, is a promising epigenetic targeted therapy for myeloma that has shown in vitro and in vivo effectiveness94 and is now tested in two ongoing phase 1–2 clinical trials (Clinical-Trials.gov. NCT01323751 and NCT01583283).

Histone methylation-modifying drugs (lysine demethylase inhibitors and/or lysine methyltransferase inhibitors) are under development, and their efficacy has not yet been studied in in vivo models.95 An interesting target is MMSET in the myeloma subtype with t(4;14), as it is upregulated in all these patients. MMSET exhibits its activity through its PHD domain, as artificial mutations in the PHD domain inactivated the methyltransferase activity of MMSET.96 Thus, targeting of the PHD domain of MMSET may be attractive in the development of new epigenetic drugs. However, no known MMSET inhibitors are available, but their development could potentially alter the standard treatment and prognosis of myeloma cases with t(4;14).

Finally, novel drugs targeting the ‘readers’ of epigenetic marks are under development. A promising example is JQ1, an inhibitor of the bromodomain protein BRD4, that in a recent study was found to be active in vitro, as it induced downregulation of genes critical for the development of MM, including MYC.97 The upregulation of MYC, detected either by fluorescent in situ hybridization, gene expression or immunohistochemistry, is seen in up to 50% of MM and it has been associated with the development of MM from MGUS, as well as late disease progression.98 There are, to date, no known MYC-targeting drugs, and given the importance of MYC upregulation in not only MM but also other tumors, JQ1 could be a future cancer therapy if its efficacy gets confirmed in in vivo models.

Furthermore, miRNA-based therapy is a rapidly evolving field, and development of synthetic oligonucleotides that can either mimic or inhibit miRNAs is widely examined.99 Synthetic miRNAs have proven to be effective in MM in both in vitro and in vivo mouse models.100,101 However, systemic administration of miRNAs is a challenging task because of not only the endonuclease cleavage, but also unknown efficacy of delivery to the target cells, issues that can partly be overcome with miRNA nanoparticle conjugation or use of a viral transfection system.102 Lastly, there are data supporting that curcumin, a recipient of the Asian spice turmeric, acts as a universal epigenetic modulator, and has antitumor activity in vitro and in vivo.100,101 There are now ongoing trials evaluating its efficacy in MM, as well as other cancer forms (NCT00113841 and NCT00927485).

Despite the recent progress in understanding the pathogenesis of MM and the development of novel treatment strategies with longer remission duration, the disease is still considered incurable with the current approaches. Epigenetic aberrations play an important role to the pathogenic events leading to MM, and further studies are needed to elucidate the precise role of, for example, histone modifications and miRNA expression patterns and evaluate their clinical perspective. It is possible that better understanding of the genetic and epigenetic interactions in MM may reveal new understanding of MM pathogenesis, new disease biomarkers and hopefully the development of novel, individualized treatment strategies, contributing to a more effective disease control.
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