Sun exposure and melanoma prognostic factors
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Abstract. Previous studies have reported an association between sun exposure and the increased survival of patients with cutaneous melanoma (CM). The present study analyzed the association between ultraviolet (UV) light exposure and various prognostic factors in the Italian Clinical National Melanoma Registry. Clinical and sociodemographic features were collected, as well as information concerning sunbed exposure and holidays with sun exposure. Analyses were performed to investigate the association between exposure to UV and melanoma prognostic factors. Between December 2010 and December 2013, information was obtained on 2,738 melanoma patients from 38 geographically representative Italian sites. A total of 49% of the patients were >55 years old, 51% were men, 50% lived in the north of Italy and 57% possessed a high level of education (at least high school). A total of 8 patients had a family history of melanoma and 56% had a fair phenotype (Fitzpatrick skin type I or II). Of the total patients, 29% had been diagnosed with melanoma by a dermatologist; 29% of patients presented with a very thick melanoma (Breslow thickness, >2 mm) and 25% with an ulcerated melanoma. In total, 1% of patients had distant metastases and 13% exhibited lymph node involvement. Holidays with sun exposure 5 years prior to CM diagnosis were significantly associated with positive prognostic factors, including lower Breslow thickness (P<0.001) and absence of ulceration (P=0.009), following multiple adjustments for factors such as sociodemographic status, speciality of doctor performing the diagnosis and season of diagnosis. Sunbed exposure and sun exposure during peak hours of sunlight were not significantly associated with Breslow thickness and ulceration. Holidays with sun exposure were associated with favorable CM prognostic factors, whereas no association was identified between sunbed use and sun exposure during peak hours of sunlight with favorable CM prognostic factors. However, the results of the present study do not prove a direct causal effect of sun exposure on melanoma prognosis, as additional confounding factors, including vitamin D serum levels, may have a role.

Introduction

Approximately 200,000 patients are diagnosed with cutaneous melanoma (CM) annually worldwide, and 46,000 succumb to
the disease (1). The incidence of CM has steadily increased over the last 50 years in the majority of fair-skinned populations (2-5), even though the great majority of the increase in melanoma incidence has been suggested to be due to an increase in the diagnosis of thin lesions that possess an excellent prognosis (6,7), and a previous study demonstrated a change in the trend suggesting that the most recent generation are at a lower risk of developing melanoma (8).

Mortality rates have not been observed to parallel incidence rates. In Australia, mortality rates peaked in 1985 and then stopped rising (9), while in the USA, between 1992 and 2006, mortality rates increased only in patients >65 years old (10). In Europe, melanoma mortality doubled in men, but remained unchanged in women (11).

The discrepancy between incidence and mortality trends has been discussed and certain studies attribute this trend to the detection of melanoma at earlier stages in women compared with men (12), or in general to the over-diagnosis of thin slow melanoma. Other studies have suggested that part of the melanoma epidemic is comprised of non-life-threatening melanomas that may be promoted by sun exposure (13).

Solar radiation is an established skin carcinogen (14,15), however, sun exposure is additionally the primary source of vitamin D, and it has been demonstrated that vitamin D is associated with a reduced risk of cancer and overall mortality (16-19). Thus, the main cause of melanoma may be intentional ultraviolet (UV) exposure, as a continuous pattern of sun exposure may not be significant risk factor, as it has been shown in a previous meta-analysis (14). However, intermittent sun exposure and sunbed use are consistently associated with an increased risk of melanoma (20).

In a cohort of Swedish women, overall mortality was significantly reduced by 30% among those who had taken vacations featuring exposure to sun more than once a year over 3 decades. Conversely, solarium use one or more times per month for at least a decade significantly increased the risk of all causes of mortality and cancer-associated mortality (16-19). Therefore, the main cause of melanoma may be intentional UV exposure, as a continuous pattern of sun exposure may not be significant risk factor, as it has been shown in a previous meta-analysis (14). However, intermittent sun exposure and sunbed use are consistently associated with an increased risk of melanoma (20).

Study variables. A self-administered questionnaire, which collected information on sociodemographic variables (age at melanoma diagnosis, gender and level of education), body mass index (BMI), host factors (phenotype), UV exposure (holidays with sun exposure in the previous 5 years), sun exposure during peak hours of sunlight (11:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.) in the previous 2 years, sunbed use (prior to the age of 30), geographical residence, season of diagnosis and site of melanoma (head and neck, trunk, upper limb and lower limb). Skin sensitivity to UV was measured using the Fitzpatrick classification, with a six-level scale ranging from subjects who always tan and never burn to subjects who always burn when exposed to sun (24).

Statistical analysis. Associations between categorical variables at baseline and Breslow thickness were evaluated using non-parametric median two-sample tests that evaluated differences in median Breslow thickness. Associations between categorical variables and frequencies of patients with holidays with sun exposure were evaluated using the \( \chi^2 \) test or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate.

Multivariate analyses were performed with Breslow thickness as the response variable, and also to investigate variables associated with sunbed use and holidays with sun exposure. Information on lesion thickness was investigated and patients were grouped into two categories, thin and thick melanoma (Breslow thickness, ≤1.00 and >1.00 mm, respectively), considering very thin melanoma (Breslow thickness, >2.00 mm) and additionally evaluating Breslow thickness as a continuous measure. Multivariate logistic models were utilized to evaluate the associations for thick and very thick melanoma. Multivariate random effects models, with center considered as a random factor, were introduced, transforming Breslow thickness in order to achieve a normal distribution of residuals. All possible confounding factors, including age, gender, educational and professional level, phenotype, residence, season of diagnosis and speciality of diagnosing doctor were evaluated in the multivariate models.
All analyses were performed with SAS software version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) and R software, version 2.12.2 (http://www.r-project.org). All reported P-values were two-sided (P<0.05).

Results

Characteristics of the patient cohort. Patients from 38 centers were included in the present study: 50% from the north, 11% from the center and 40% from the south of Italy and its islands. A total of 33% of the centers were dermatology units. For the present analysis, patients exhibiting CM with information on melanoma thickness were selected. From 3,111 patients, the following cases were excluded: Acral lentiginous melanoma (n=12; 0.4%), mucosal lentiginous melanoma (n=14; 0.5%), vulvar and anorectal melanoma (n=18; 0.6%), in situ melanoma (n=41; 1%) and retrospective melanoma (n=288; 9%). Following exclusion, a final cohort of 2,738 patients diagnosed between December 2010 and December 2013 remained, and 99% of these patients exhibited first primary melanoma. The median patient age was 55 years (interquartile range, 43-68 years). In total, 51% (n=1,398) of patients were men and 57% (n=1,553) had a high level of education (at least high school). Furthermore, 9% (n=234) of the patients had melanoma familiarity and 56% (n=1,527) exhibited a fair phenotype (Fitzpatrick skin type I or II). A total of 50% (n=1,375) of patients exhibited a thin melanoma (Breslow thickness, ≤1 mm), and 29% percent (n=806) had a very thick melanoma (Breslow thickness, >2 mm). Additionally, 25% (n=694) of patients had ulcerated melanoma, and 1% (n=34) exhibited distant metastases, with lymph node involvement in 13% (n=357) of patients. A total of 28% (n=774) of patients were diagnosed by a dermatologist and significantly (P<0.001) higher numbers of thin melanoma cases were diagnosed by dermatologists (53%) compared with other types of medical doctor (46%).

Table I. Odds ratio and 95% confidence interval for use of sunbeds and holidays with sun exposure from the multivariate model.

| Variable           | Category          | Odds ratio | 95% confidence interval | P-value<sup>a</sup> |
|--------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Age, years         | ≥55 vs. <55       | 0.29       | 0.22-0.38               | <0.0001             |
| Gender             | Men vs. women     | 0.44       | 0.34-0.57               | <0.0001             |
| Body mass index    | ≥25 vs. <25       | 0.64       | 0.50-0.82               | 0.0004              |
| SES<sup>b</sup>    | High vs. low      | 1.20       | 1.01-1.32               | 0.0003              |
| Sun exposure       | Yes vs. no        | 2.19       | 1.64-2.93               | <0.0001             |

<sup>a</sup>P-values from multivariate logistic model with sunbed use as the response variable. <sup>b</sup>Socioeconomic status (SES) score, including educational and professional level. <sup>c</sup>P-values from multivariable logistic model with sun exposure as response variable.

A number of factors are associated with sunbed use and holidays with sun exposure. Sunbed use was significantly associated with age (P<0.0001), gender (P<0.0001), BMI (P=0.004), social economic status (SES; P=0.0003) and holidays with sun exposure (P<0.0001), with an increased prevalence among younger women (<55 years) with low BMI (<25) (Table I). However, holidays with sun exposure were associated with SES (P<0.0001) and residence (P<0.0001), but not with gender or BMI (Table I).

Table II presents the associations between patient characteristics and holidays with sun exposure. Thick melanoma was less frequent among patients taking holidays with sun exposure compared with those who did not take holidays with sun exposure (57 vs. 46%; P<0.0001). Patients with a history of holidays with sun exposure in the 5 years prior to diagnosis were younger (patients ≥55 years old demonstrated 39 vs. 66%, for holidays with sun exposure vs. holidays with no sun exposure; P<0.0001), had a higher educational and professional level (P<0.0001), and possessed a fair phenotype (54 vs. 61%, for holidays with sun exposure vs. holidays with no sun exposure; P=0.004) and low BMI (49 vs. 59% BMI ≥25, for holidays with sun exposure vs. holidays with no sun exposure; P<0.0001).

A number of factors are associated with Breslow thickness and ulceration. Table III presents sociodemographic characteristics that were identified to be significantly associated with Breslow thickness in a multivariate random effects model. As expected, men, patients >55 years old and patients with a low education had a significantly greater Breslow
thickness compared with women, younger patients and more educated patients (Table III). BMI was also independently identified to be significantly associated with Breslow thickness.

In a multivariate logistics model, evaluating the association with >1 mm Breslow thickness, and adjusting for age, gender, residence, socioeconomic factors (education and profession), skin awareness/screening indicators (specialization of medical doctor performing the diagnosis and season of diagnosis) and BMI, holidays with sun exposure remained significantly associated with Breslow thickness (P=0.01) (Table IV; Fig. 1), whereas sunbed use and exposure during peak hours of sunlight were not significantly associated with Breslow thickness. Similar results were obtained considering ulceration as a response variable; holidays with sun exposure remained significantly inversely associated with ulceration (P=0.009; Table IV), as well as number of weeks of holidays with sun exposure (P=0.011; data not shown).
Table II. Association between patient characteristics and holidays with sun exposure.

| Variable                              | Total   | Holidays with sun exposure | Holidays with no sun exposure | P-value<sup>a</sup> |
|---------------------------------------|---------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Total patients, n                     | 2673<sup>b</sup> | 1678 | 995                         |                    |
| Breslow thickness, n (%)              |         |                             |                              |                    |
| <1 mm                                 | 1329 (50) | 902 (54) | 427 (43)                    | <0.0001            |
| ≥1 mm                                 | 1344 (50) | 776 (46) | 568 (57)                    |                    |
| Missing                               | 0       | 0                          | 0                            |                    |
| Gender, n (%)                         |         |                             |                              | 0.29               |
| Men                                   | 1391 (52) | 860 (51) | 531 (53)                    |                    |
| Women                                 | 1282 (48) | 818 (49) | 464 (47)                    |                    |
| Missing                               | 0       | 0                          | 0                            |                    |
| Age, years, n (%)                     |         |                             |                              | <0.0001            |
| <55                                   | 1351 (51) | 1018 (61) | 333 (33)                    |                    |
| ≥55                                   | 1318 (49) | 658 (39) | 660 (66)                    |                    |
| Missing                               | 4       | 2                          | 2                            |                    |
| Education level, n (%)                |         |                             |                              | <0.0001            |
| Low                                   | 1046 (39) | 501 (30) | 545 (55)                    |                    |
| High                                  | 1550 (58) | 1140 (68) | 410 (41)                    |                    |
| Missing                               | 77      | 37                         | 40                           |                    |
| Profession level, n (%)               |         |                             |                              | <0.0001            |
| Low                                   | 1507 (56) | 848 (51) | 659 (66)                    |                    |
| High                                  | 1166 (44) | 830 (49) | 336 (34)                    |                    |
| Missing                               | 0       | 0                          | 0                            |                    |
| Skin type<sup>c</sup>, n (%)         |         |                             |                              | 0.004              |
| Dark                                  | 1149 (43) | 765 (46) | 384 (39)                    |                    |
| Fair                                  | 1523 (57) | 913 (54) | 610 (61)                    |                    |
| Missing                               | 1       | 0                          | 1                            |                    |
| Season of diagnosis, n (%)            |         |                             |                              | 0.217              |
| Winter                                | 1269 (47) | 818 (49) | 451 (45)                    |                    |
| Summer                                | 694 (26) | 422 (25) | 272 (27)                    |                    |
| Autumn                                | 710 (27) | 438 (26) | 272 (27)                    |                    |
| Missing                               | 0       | 0                          | 0                            |                    |
| Residence, n (%)                      |         |                             |                              | 0.0004             |
| North                                 | 1298 (49) | 775 (46) | 523 (53)                    |                    |
| Center                                | 296 (11) | 218 (13) | 78 (8)                      |                    |
| South                                 | 1058 (40) | 672 (40) | 386 (39)                    |                    |
| Missing                               | 21      | 13                         | 8                            |                    |
| Doctor specialty, n (%)               |         |                             |                              | 0.0174             |
| Oncologist                            | 1484 (56) | 904 (54) | 580 (58)                    |                    |
| Dermatologist                         | 774 (29) | 503 (30) | 271 (27)                    |                    |
| Other                                 | 378 (14) | 257 (15) | 121 (12)                    |                    |
| Missing                               | 37      | 14                         | 23                           |                    |
| Sunbed use, n (%)                     |         |                             |                              | <0.0001            |
| None                                  | 2253 (84) | 1329 (79) | 924 (93)                    |                    |
| Few                                   | 338 (13) | 280 (17) | 58 (6)                      |                    |
| Often                                 | 72 (3) | 61 (4)                      | 11 (1)                      |                    |
| Missing                               | 10      | 8                          | 2                            |                    |
| Body mass index, n (%)                |         |                             |                              | <0.0001            |
| <25                                   | 1262 (47) | 853 (51) | 409 (41)                    |                    |
| ≥25                                   | 1406 (53) | 823 (49) | 583 (59)                    |                    |
| Missing                               | 5       | 2                          | 3                            |                    |

<sup>a</sup>χ<sup>2</sup> test. <sup>b</sup>For 65 patients, sun exposure data was missing. <sup>c</sup>‘Fair’ corresponds to stage I/II and ‘Dark’ to stage III/V Fitzpatrick skin type categories.
Median Breslow thickness values are presented in Fig. 2 for a number of categorical variables that may be potentially associated with sun (or UV) exposure [holidays with sun exposure in the previous 5 years, sun exposure during peak hours of sunlight (11:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.), sunbed use and frequency of sunbed use]. Median thickness values were identified to be significantly lower among patients who took holidays with sun exposure. Random effects model analysis revealed that this difference remained significant following adjustment for confounding factors (including age, gender,
education, profession, BMI and season of diagnosis; P<0.001 and P=0.006 for holidays with sun exposure and weeks of holiday with sun exposure, respectively).

In Fig. 3, frequencies of very thick melanoma (Breslow thickness, >2.0 mm) are presented with the number of weeks of holiday with sun exposure. Frequencies of very thick melanoma were significantly lower in patients with a history of 1-2 weeks and >2 weeks of holiday with sun exposure compared with patients with no history of holidays with sun exposure in the 5 years prior to diagnosis (P<0.0001, from multivariate logistic model adjusting for confounding variables).

Discussion

The present study of 2,738 melanoma patients suggested that holidays with sun exposure prior to diagnosis and number of weeks of holiday with sun exposure were significantly inversely associated with Breslow thickness and ulceration, whereas sunbed use and sun exposure during peak hours of sunlight were not identified to be associated with CM prognostic factors.

The analysis of skin awareness indicators (CM family history, visit to a dermatologist rather than a general medical doctor, diagnosis during summer and phenotype) was taken into account and results were confirmed. The present study additionally evaluated socioeconomic factors, as certain previous studies have demonstrated that low socioeconomic status may be significantly associated with the survival of melanoma patients (25). Previous holidays with sun exposure and number of weeks of holiday with sun exposure appear to be associated with a beneficial effect on disease status, in the form of less aggressive melanoma.

Solar radiation is a well-established skin carcinogen (14,15), however, sun exposure is additionally the primary source of vitamin D. In a previous meta-analysis it was demonstrated that a continuous pattern of sun exposure was not a significant risk factor for melanoma, whereas intentional sun exposure and sunbed use were consistently associated with an increased risk (14). Furthermore, the results of the present study are in line with previous studies, suggesting a beneficial effect of sun exposure on melanoma patient survival (26) and overall survival (21). In an Italian

| Table IV. Results from multivariate logistic model for thick and ulcerated melanomas. |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------------|
| Variable                        | Category                        | Odds ratio | 95% confidence interval | P-value |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|----------------|------------------------------|
| **A, Results from multivariate logistic model for thick melanoma** | | | | |
| Holidays with sun exposure       | Yes vs. no                       | 0.79       | 0.65-0.95       | 0.014 |
| Gender                          | Men vs. women                    | 1.26       | 1.06-1.50       | 0.010 |
| Age, years                      | ≥55 vs. <55                      | 1.58       | 1.31-1.90       | <0.0001 |
| Educational level               | High vs. low                     | 0.74       | 0.61-0.89       | 0.002 |
| Professional level              | High vs. low                     | 0.83       | 0.69-1.00       | 0.048 |
| Body mass index                 | ≥25 vs. <25                      | 1.34       | 1.12-1.59       | 0.001 |
| Area of residence in Italy      | North vs. south                  | 0.52       | 0.43-0.62       | 0.005 |
|                                 | Center vs. south                 | 0.45       | 0.33-0.62       | 0.002 |
| Season of diagnosis             | Winter vs. autumn                | 1.31       | 1.07-1.61       | 0.054 |
|                                 | Summer vs. autumn                | 1.25       | 0.99-1.56       | 0.388 |
| Specialty of diagnosing doctor  | Oncologist vs. other             | 0.91       | 0.71-1.17       | 0.360 |
|                                 | Dermatologist vs. other          | 0.70       | 0.53-0.93       | 0.005 |
| Sunbed use                      | Yes vs. no                       | 0.83       | 0.64-1.06       | 0.132 |
| Exposure during peak sunlight hours | Yes vs. no                     | 1.00       | 0.83-1.21       | 0.979 |

| **B, Results from multivariate logistic model for ulcerated melanoma** | | | | |
| Variable                        | Category                        | Odds ratio | 95% confidence interval | P-value |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|----------------|------------------------------|
| Holidays with sun exposure       | Yes vs. no                       | 0.76       | 0.61-0.93       | 0.009 |
| Gender                          | Men vs. women                    | 1.41       | 1.17-1.71       | 0.0004 |
| Age, years                      | ≥55 vs. <55                      | 1.47       | 1.19-1.81       | 0.0003 |
| Educational level               | High vs. low                     | 0.70       | 0.57-0.85       | 0.0004 |
| Area of residence in Italy      | North vs. south                  | 0.57       | 0.47-0.70       | 0.157 |
|                                 | Center vs. south                 | 0.45       | 0.31-0.66       | 0.004 |
| Specialty of diagnosing doctor  | Oncologist vs. other             | 0.78       | 0.59-1.02       | 0.413 |
|                                 | Dermatologist vs. other          | 0.72       | 0.52-0.98       | 0.093 |
| Sunbed use                      | Yes vs. no                       | 0.77       | 0.56-1.06       | 0.108 |
| Exposure during peak sunlight hours | Yes vs. no                     | 0.97       | 0.78-1.19       | 0.739 |
population-based case-control study, multivariate models suggested an inverse association between holidays with sun exposure prior to diagnosis and melanoma-associated mortality, in a dose-dependent manner (27). An international population-based study of 3,578 melanoma cases revealed that a high recent UVB dose was associated with a significant 35% reduction in overall mortality (28).

One hypothesis is that the increased number of primarily thin melanoma cases and the decrease in recurrence may be associated with sun exposure, due to a potential link with vitamin D (29). It has been hypothesized that sun-associated vitamin D synthesis may have a beneficial effect on total mortality (16,30-32) and the incidence of certain types of cancer (17,33). Additional observational studies identified an inverse association between vitamin D serum levels and melanoma prognosis (31,32,34). However, the hypothetical role of vitamin D in the present study has been extrapolated by declared sun exposure, and such extrapolation should be performed with caution, particularly as sun exposure is a well-known risk factor for melanoma.

One novel hypothesis is that a percentage of the increase in the incidence of melanoma is comprised of non-life-threatening melanoma cases, which may be promoted by sun exposure (35,36). Intense recent sun exposure may be able to trigger melanoma with little malignant potential. If this is true, then there is a requirement to develop an improved understanding of what triggers aggressive melanoma.

Population-based registries with clinical data on melanoma are few in Italy, and the Clinical National Melanoma Registry (CNMR) does not have the typical aim of cancer registries to estimate incidence data. The registry is a multi-center collection of clinical and epidemiological data, with the aim of improving collaboration between hospitals and research centers in order to obtain homogeneous data collection of epidemiological and clinical data on a large data scale. Being able to increase the statistical power and obtain homogeneous data, particularly for a rare disease like melanoma, is important when the aim is to evaluate associations between melanoma prognostic factors and epidemiological and clinical data, taking into account multiple confounding variables. CNMR is not a tumor registry and it does not possess the aim of estimating Italian melanoma incidence rates; however, the data are comparable with results identified in population-based tumor registries, for example, significantly higher Breslow thickness values were observed at an advanced age, among men and among patients of higher socioeconomic levels (37).

Even if the present study adjusted for educational and professional level, it may be supposed that the association with holidays with sun exposure may remain confounded by socioeconomic factors that are not easily recorded. For example, the present study did not record data on salary and economic factors, as well as information on lifestyle factors and changes in profession over time. Furthermore, melanoma cases in highly educated individuals may be diagnosed at a thinner stage due to more frequent skin screening and increased access to medical doctors; however, thinner melanomas may additionally be occurring at an increased rate in the more affluent population that are able to travel and take holidays abroad. However, holidays with sun exposure remained significantly associated with Breslow thickness and ulceration following adjustment for level of education and skin awareness indicators.

In conclusion, additional efforts are necessary to improve public and medical education concerning early detection and prompt surgical treatment, which is known to be the most effective treatment modality for CM. Men of a lower educational level should be the focus of future prevention campaigns, and this may be achieved by promoting more frequent full body skin examinations for older men. Investigation of the hypothesis of a possible role of vitamin D in melanoma survival in a clinical trial setting has the potential to be an interesting and useful future research area (38,39).
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