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ABSTRACT

We present the optical and X-ray properties of 68 galaxy clusters selected via the Sunyaev–Zel’dovich (SZ) effect at 148 GHz by the Atacama Cosmology Telescope (ACT). Our sample, from an area of 504 deg² centered on the celestial equator, is divided into two regions. The main region uses 270 deg² of the ACT survey that overlaps with the co-added ugriz imaging from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) over Stripe 82 plus additional near-infrared pointed observations with the Apache Point Observatory 3.5 m telescope. We confirm a total of 49 clusters to z ≈ 1.3, of which 22 (all at z > 0.55) are new discoveries. For the second region, the regular-depth SDSS imaging allows us to confirm 19 more clusters up to z ≈ 0.7, of which 10 systems are new. We present the optical richness, photometric redshifts, and separation between the SZ position and the brightest cluster galaxy (BCG). We find no significant offset between the cluster SZ centroid and BCG location and a weak correlation between optical richness and SZ-derived mass. We also present X-ray fluxes and luminosities from the ROSAT All Sky Survey which confirm that this is a massive sample. One of the newly discovered clusters, ACT-CL J0044.4+0113 at z = 1.1 (photometric), has an integrated XMM-Newton X-ray temperature of kT_X = 7.9 ± 1.0 keV and combined mass of M_{200c} = 8.2^{+3.3}_{−2.5} \times 10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{⊙}, placing it among the most massive and X-ray-hot clusters known at redshifts beyond z = 1. We also highlight the optically rich cluster ACT-CL J2327.4−0204 (RCS2 2327) at z = 0.705 (spectroscopic) as the most significant detection of the whole equatorial sample with a Chandra-derived mass of M_{200c} = 1.9^{+0.6}_{−0.4} \times 10^{15} h_{70}^{-1} M_{⊙}, placing it in the ranks of the most massive known clusters like El Gordo and the Bullet Cluster.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Clusters of galaxies are the cosmic signposts for the largest gravitationally bound objects in the universe. Their formation and evolution as a function of look-back time provides a measurement of cosmological parameters that complements those obtained from observations of the cosmic microwave background (CMB; e.g., Komatsu et al. 2011; Dunkley et al. 2011; Reichardt et al. 2013), Type Ia Supernovae (e.g., Hicken et al. 2009; Sullivan et al. 2011; Suzuki et al. 2012) and baryon
acoustic oscillations (e.g., Percival et al. 2010). The number of clusters as a function of redshift, as demonstrated by X-ray and optically selected samples (e.g., Vikhlinin et al. 2009; Rozo et al. 2010), provides a strong constraint on both the expansion history of the universe and the gravitational growth of structure within it (for a recent review, see Allen et al. 2011).

The hot gas in galaxy clusters leaves an imprint on the CMB radiation through the Sunyaev–Zel’dovich (SZ) effect (Sunyaev & Zeldovich 1972). The SZ effect has a frequency dependence that produces temperature shifts of the CMB radiation corresponding to a decrement below and an increment above the “null” frequency near 220 GHz (see Birkinshaw 1999; Carlstrom et al. 2002 for recent reviews).

Several experiments are now able to carry out large-area cosmological surveys using the SZ effect. The Atacama Cosmology Telescope (ACT) and South Pole Telescope (SPT) are providing samples of galaxy clusters over hundreds of square degrees at all redshifts (Staniszewski et al. 2009; Hincks et al. 2010; Menanteau et al. 2010a; Marriage et al. 2011a; Vanderlinde et al. 2010; Williamson et al. 2011; Reichardt et al. 2013), while the Planck satellite probes the full sky for clusters up to redshifts of $z \approx 0.55$ (Planck Collaboration et al. 2011a).

Although modest in size, the new SZ cluster samples have proven useful for constraining cosmological parameters (Vanderlinde et al. 2010; Sehgal et al. 2011; Reichardt et al. 2013; Hasselfield et al. 2010b) and have opened a new window into the extreme systems, the most massive clusters at high redshift (e.g., Foley et al. 2011; Menanteau et al. 2012), prompting studies that match their observed numbers with the abundance predictions of the standard ΛCDM cosmology (e.g., Hoyle et al. 2011; Mortonson et al. 2011; Waizmann et al. 2012). The detection of the kinematic SZ effect (Hand et al. 2012), and the detection of the SZ effect (Hand et al. 2011), the first luminous red galaxies (LRGs; Hand et al. 2011), the first detection of the kinematic SZ effect (Hand et al. 2011), and the cross-correlation of the ACT CMB lensing convergence maps (Das et al. 2011) and quasars (Sherwin et al. 2012).

While the number density of SZ-selected clusters is a potentially strong cosmological probe, the confirmation of candidates as true clusters and the determination of their masses is the first and most fundamental step. In this paper, we provide the optical and near-infrared (NIR) confirmation of SZ cluster candidates from 504 deg$^2$ of the 148 GHz ACT 2009–2010 maps of the celestial equator. Over the ACT survey area that overlaps with S82 (270 deg$^2$), we use the ugriz optical images, supplemented with targeted NIR observations, to identify 49 clusters up to $z \approx 1.3$. For targets outside S82, we use the regular-depth SDSS data from Data Release 8 (DR8; Aihara et al. 2011) to confirm 19 clusters. The contiguous coverage provided by SDSS allows us to investigate potential offsets between the clusters optical and SZ centroid position as well as the relation between optical richness and SZ signal. In a companion paper (Hasselfield et al. 2013), we present a full description of the SZ cluster selection technique as well as cosmological implications using the cluster sample. Recently, Reese et al. (2012) presented high-resolution follow-up observations with the Sunyaev–Zel’dovich Array (SZA) for a small sub-sample of the clusters presented here.

Throughout this paper, we quote cluster masses as $M_{500c}$ (or $M_{500}$), which corresponds to the mass enclosed within a radius where the overdensity is 200 (500) times the average (critical) matter density. We assume a standard flat ΛCDM cosmology with $\Omega_m = 0.27$ and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.73$, and $E(z) = \sqrt{\Omega_m(1+z)^3} + \Omega_\Lambda$. We give relevant quantities in terms of the Hubble parameter $H_0 = 70 \, h_{70} \, \text{km s}^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$. The assumed cosmology has a small effect on the cluster mass, for example, if we assume a canonical scaling of $M \propto E(z)^{-1}$, then this implies a 2%–3% increase between $\Omega_m = 0.27$ and $\Omega_m = 0.30$ for a flat cosmology at $0.4 < z < 0.8$. In our analysis, we convert masses with respect to average or critical at different overdensities using scalings derived from a Navarro et al. (1997, hereafter NFW) mass profile and the concentration–mass relation, $c(M, z)$, from simulations (Duffy et al. 2008). All of the magnitudes are in the SDSS ugriz AB system and all of the quoted errors are 68% confidence intervals unless otherwise stated.

2. OBSERVATIONS

The detection of cluster candidates was performed from matched-filtered ACT maps at 148 GHz, while confirmation of the clusters was performed using a combination of optical and near-infrared (NIR) imaging, and archival ROSAT X-ray data. In the following sections, we describe the procedure followed.

2.1. SZ Observations

ACT operates at three frequency bands centered at 148 GHz (2.0 mm), 218 GHz (1.4 mm), and 270 GHz (1.1 mm), each band having a dedicated 1024-element array of transition-edge-sensing bolometers. The 270 GHz band is not as sensitive as the lower frequency channels and the analysis of it is ongoing although not yet complete. ACT has concluded four seasons of observations (2007–2010) surveying two sky areas: the southern strip near declination $-55^\circ$ (hereafter “the southern strip”). Our previous work studied 23 high-significance clusters from the southern strip (Marriage et al. 2011a) with optical confirmations (Menanteau et al. 2010a). One of the highlights of this previous work was the discovery of the spectacular El Gordo (ACT-CL J0102–4915) cluster merger system at $z = 0.87$ (Menanteau et al. 2012).

During the 2009 and 2010 seasons, ACT mainly surveyed a long, narrow region of the celestial equator that nearly completely overlaps with the publicly available optical co-added images from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) of Stripe 82 (hereafter S82; Annis et al. 2011). SDSS provides an immediate optical follow-up of clusters that is of high quality, uniform, and at a depth sufficient to detect massive clusters to $z \approx 1$. This is currently unique for high-resolution SZ experiments. Furthermore, the uniform SDSS coverage of S82 has allowed combined CMB–optical studies such as the detection of the SZ decrement from low-mass (few 10$^{14} M_\odot$) halos by stacking luminous red galaxies (LRGs; Hand et al. 2011), the first detection of the kinematic SZ effect (Hand et al. 2012), and the cross-correlation of the ACT CMB lensing convergence maps (Das et al. 2011) and quasars (Sherwin et al. 2012).
spans 20h40m < R.A. < 4h00m and −1°15′ < decl. < +1°15′ and covers 275 deg². The effective overlap between the S82 imaging and the ACT maps is 270 deg² and corresponds to the deepest section of the ACT data in the equatorial survey. This constitutes the core of the data we use in this paper to characterize the SZ selection function. In the ACT region of the maps beyond the S82 coverage, we use the normal-depth legacy survey from SDSS DR8. The effective beam for the 148 GHz band for the 2009 and 2010 combined seasons has an FWHM of 1.4.

Here, we highlight the principal aspects of the SZ cluster detection procedure described in Hasselfield et al. (2013) to provide context for the characterization of the cluster sample. After subtracting bright sources from the ACT 148 GHz source catalog (corresponding to 1% of the map area), the map is match-filtered in the Fourier domain using a set of signal templates based on the Universal Pressure Profile (UPP) of Arnaud et al. (2010) modeled with a generalized NFW profile (Nagai et al. 2007, Appendix A) as a function of physical radius. We use signal templates with FWHM of 0′.4 to 9′.2 in increments of 0′.4 (23 sets) to match-filter the ACT 148 GHz maps to optimize the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) on cluster-shaped objects with an SZ spectral signature. Cluster candidates are identified in the filtered maps as pixels with $S/N > 4$ using the core scale in which the cluster was most significantly detected. The catalog of cluster candidates contains positions, central decrements ($\Delta T$), and the local map noise level. Candidates seen at multiple filter scales are cross-identified if the detection positions are within 1′.

2.2. SDSS Optical Data

The main optical data set used for the SZ cluster confirmation is the S82 optical imaging that almost completely overlaps with the deepest region of the ACT equatorial maps with an effective area coverage of 270 deg². ACT’s survey over S82 is unique for high resolution SZ experiments, since it provides immediate optical follow-up of an extremely high and uniform quality at a depth sufficient to detect massive clusters to $z \approx 1$. Beyond this common region, we use the shallower single-pass data from DR8 to confidently report cluster identification to $z \approx 0.5$.

The S82 survey is a 275 deg² stripe (represented by the red box in Figure 1) of repeated ugriz imaging centered on the Celestial Equator in the Southern Galactic Cap, as described in Annis et al. (2011). The multi-epoch scanning of the 2′5 wide SDSS camera provides between 20 and 40 visits for any given section of the survey which, after aligning and averaging (i.e., co-adding), results in significantly deeper data. The co-added S82 images reach $\sim 2$ magnitudes deeper than the single-pass SDSS data and have a median seeing of $\sim 1′.1$ with a reported 50% completeness for galaxies at $z = 0.1$ and allow it to passively evolve with redshift. We show in gray the 50% completeness limits for the SDSS/S82 and DR8 data for galaxies from Annis et al. (2011) reaching $r = 23.5$ and $r = 21.5$, respectively. For comparison, we also show as gray circles the observed r-band magnitudes for the BCGs in the SZ southern sample from the imaging reported in Menanteau et al. (2010a).

Figure 1. ACT equatorial survey coverage with the SDSS Stripe 82 deep optical survey region indicated as red box. The red squares are the 18 clusters in the pure sample in S82 (see Section 5.1). Gray squares represent the rest of the confirmed clusters in the S82 region. Circles are other confirmed ACT SZ clusters outside S82. The blue box represents the total area (504 deg²) covered by ACT.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 2. Observed r-band magnitudes of $L^\ast$, $0.4L^\ast$, and $4L^\ast$ (BCG) early-type galaxies as a function of redshift. We use $L^\ast$ as defined in Blanton et al. (2003) for the population of red galaxies at $z = 0.1$ and allow it to passively evolve with redshift. We show in gray the 50% completeness limits for the SDSS/S82 and DR8 data for galaxies from Annis et al. (2011) reaching $r = 23.5$ and $r = 21.5$, respectively. For comparison, we also show as gray circles the observed r-band magnitudes for the BCGs in the SZ southern sample from the imaging reported in Menanteau et al. (2010a).

S82 images reach $\sim 2$ magnitudes deeper than the single-pass SDSS data and have a median seeing of $\sim 1′.1$ with a reported 50% completeness for galaxies at $r = 23.5$ and $i = 23$, while for DR8 this completeness level is reached at $r = 21.5$ (Annis et al. 2011). Photometric calibration has a typical variation of 0.5% for griz and 1%–2% for u across the survey. In Figure 2, we show the detection limits for the S82 and DR8 photometry as compared to the observed magnitudes of early-type galaxies of different luminosities at different redshifts.

The co-added, photometrically calibrated images and catalogs for S82 were released in 2008 October as part of the SDSS Data Release 7 (DR7; Abazajian et al. 2009) and are available at the SDSS Data Archive Server (DAS) and the Catalog Archive Server (CAS), respectively. The co-added data were run through the SDSS pipelines; the standard SDSS flag set is available for all objects.

We retrieved Galactic-extinction-corrected modelMag photometry in all five bands for all of the galaxies from the PhotoObj table designated from runs 106 and 206 under the
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20 http://das.sdss.org
21 http://casjobs.sdss.org/casjobs
CAS Stripe82 database to create galaxy catalogs, which we split into 0′20′′ wide tiles in right ascension with no overlap between them to avoid object duplication. As the Stripe82 database does not include spectroscopic information, for each galaxy we used the DR8 CAS database for a spectroscopic redshift, which was ingested into the catalogs if available (Aihara et al. 2011). In order to optimize and speed up our cluster identification, we fetched all *ugriz* fits images for S82 from run numbers 100006 (north) and 200006 (south) and stored them locally to query later. The pixel scale of the co-added images is 0′396 pixel−1 for all of the bands.

We compute photometric redshifts for all of the objects in the S82 photometric catalog using the spectral energy distribution (SED) based Bayesian Photometric Redshift code (BPZ; Benítez 2000) with no prior. We use the dust-corrected *ugriz* model magnitude magnitudes and the BPZ set of template spectra described in Benítez et al. (2004), which in turn is based on the templates from Coleman et al. (1980) and Kinney et al. (1996). This set consists of El, Sbc, Scd, Im, SB3, and SB2 and represents the typical SEDs of elliptical, early/intermediate-type spiral, late-type spiral, irregular, and two types of starburst galaxies, respectively. For the targets with NIR follow-up observations, the catalogs are augmented by including the $K_\text{s}$-band imaging. The final results are catalogs with photometric redshifts for all galaxies in S82 augmented by spectroscopic redshifts as available.

For a fraction of the SZ cluster candidates outside the common area between the ACT equatorial maps and S82, we use regular-depth SDSS imaging from DR8 to confirm clusters. We also retrieved *ugriz* Galactic-extinction-corrected model magnitude magnitudes for galaxies, but, unlike for the S82, we only query the DR8 CAS database within a radius of 1′ of each candidate. Similarly, we only fetched and combined images from tiles surrounding each candidate to create 10′ fits images in all five bands. Given that the DR8 CAS database provides well-tested training-set-based photometric redshifts, we do not compute our own SED-based estimates, as we did for S82, and instead we rely on the ones available in the database. In Section 3.2, we discuss the accuracy of the photometric redshift measurements.

### 2.3. Near-infrared Imaging

Additional pointed follow-up NIR observations with the Near-Infrared Camera and Fabry-Perot Spectrometer (NICFPS) on the ARC 3.5 m telescope of the Apache Point Observatory (APO) aided the confirmation of five high-redshift clusters with S/N $\sim$ 4.7. These clusters did not have a secure optical cluster counterpart in the deep S82 area. The observations were carried out on UT 2010 October 27–28, UT 2011 November 2, and UT 2011 November 6 when the seeing varied between 0′′9 and 1′′4. NICFPS is equipped with a 1024 × 1024 Hawaii-I RG array with 0′′273 pixels and a 4.58 square field of view (FOV). We obtained between 1800 and 3870 s of integration in the $K_\text{s}$ band on each candidate, using 30 s exposures with eight Fowler samples per exposure (Fowler & Gatley 1990), in a repeating 5-point dither pattern with box size 20′. The individual exposures were dark subtracted, distortion corrected, flat fielded (using a sky flat made from the science frames), and sky subtracted (using a running median method). SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) was used to produce object masks used in constructing the sky flat and sky images used in the latter two processing steps. The individual exposures were then astrometrically calibrated using SCAMP (Bertin 2006) and, finally, median combined using SWARP (Bertin et al. 2002). The photometric zero point (on the Vega system) for each image was bootstrapped from the magnitudes of UKIDSS LAS (Lawrence et al. 2007) sources in each field and transformed into the AB system for consistency with the SDSS data. The estimated uncertainty on the zero point spans the range 0.01–0.06 mag, with median 0.02 mag. The final images reach 5σ depth 18.8–20.2 mag (median 19.4 mag; measured within a 3′′ diameter aperture), estimated by placing 1000 apertures in each image at random positions where objects are not detected. In Table 1, we summarize the NIR observations for the confirmed clusters, which we also discuss in Section 4.1.

For the clusters with NIR imaging, we registered the $K_\text{s}$ and optical data to create a detection image from the $\chi^2$ quadratic sum combination of the $i$ and $K_\text{s}$-bands using SWARP. Source detection and photometric catalogs were performed using SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) in dual-image mode in which sources were identified on the detection images using a 1.5σ detection threshold, while magnitudes were extracted at matching locations from all of the other bands. For clusters with NIR imaging, we use the isophotal magnitudes in the new catalogs to compute photometric redshifts using the same procedure described in Section 3.2, with the only variation being the use of six filters instead of five.

### 2.4. ROSAT X-Ray Observations

We extracted X-ray fluxes for all of the optically confirmed ACT equatorial clusters using the ROSAT All-Sky Survey (RASS) data following the same procedure as in Menanteau & Hughes (2009) and Menanteau et al. (2010a). The raw X-ray photon event lists and exposure maps were downloaded from the MPE ROSAT Archive22 and were queried with our own custom software. At the ACT SZ position of each cluster, RASS count rates in the 0.5–2 keV band (corresponding to PI channels 52–201) were extracted from within radii of 3′ for the source emission and from within a surrounding annulus (5′−25′ inner and outer radii) for the background emission. The background-subtracted count rates were converted to X-ray luminosity (in the 0.1–2.4 keV band) assuming a thermal spectral ($kT_X = 5$ keV) and the Galactic column density of neutral hydrogen ($N_\text{HI}$) appropriate to the source position, using data from the Leiden/Argentine/Hamburg survey (Kalberla et al. 2005). In Tables 4 and 5, we show the X-ray fluxes and luminosities for all ACT clusters, regardless of the significance of the RASS detection. Uncertainties are estimated from the count rates and represent statistical errors.

### 3. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Our analysis provides a sample of optically confirmed SZ clusters from the ACT cluster candidates at 148 GHz found in the maps on the celestial equator described in Hasselfield et al. (2013). As an important part of this process, we measure the

---

22 ftp://ftp.xray.mpe.mpg.de/rosat/archive/
“purity” of the ACT SZ candidate population over S82, that is, the fraction of real clusters as a function of SZ detection significance.

3.1. Cluster Confirmation Criteria

Our confirmation procedure builds upon our previous work on the ACT southern sample (Menanteau et al. 2010a) and takes advantage of the contiguous and deeper optical coverage available from S82, which allows the systematic and rapid investigation of all SZ cluster candidates, unlike for the 2008 ACT data and associated follow-up. The procedure consists of searching for an optical cluster associated with each candidate’s SZ decrement. This is relatively straightforward, since in concordance ΛCDM cosmology, the halo mass function (e.g., Tinker et al. 2008) predicts that around 90% of massive clusters (i.e., $M_{200a} > 3 \times 10^{14} h^{-1} M_\odot$), such as the ones that make up the current generation of SZ samples, will lie below $z \approx 0.8$ and are therefore accessible for intermediate-depth optical imaging such as in the S82 data set.

The optical confirmation requires the detection of a brightest cluster galaxy (BCG) and an accompanying red sequence of cluster members, which are typically early-type galaxies with luminosities less than $L^*$ (the characteristic Schechter luminosity). In Section 3.3, we discuss our richness criterion for optical confirmation of the sample. We use the completeness limits estimated from simulations by Annis et al. (2011) to determine how far in redshift we can “see” massive clusters in S82. For this, we compare the completeness limits of S82 observations to the expected and observed apparent magnitudes of galaxies in clusters as a function of redshift. We estimated the expected apparent galaxy $r$-band magnitude as a function of redshift using $L^*$ as defined for the population of red galaxies by Blanton et al. (2003) at $z = 0.1$ and allowing passive evolution according to a solar metallicity (Bruzual & Charlot 2003) $\tau = 1.0$ Gyr burst model formed at $z_f = 5$. We show this relation in Figure 2 for a range of luminosities ($0.4L^*, L^*, 4L^*$) aimed at representing the cluster members from the faint ones to the BCG. We also show as different gray levels the 50% completeness level as determined by the simulations for the S82 and DR8 samples (Annis et al. 2011). Figure 2 also shows, for comparison, the apparent $r$-band magnitude of BCGs in the ACT southern cluster sample (Menanteau et al. 2010a).

We conclude that we can comfortably detect cluster BCGs in S82 up to $z > 1$ and outside S82 to $z = 0.7$. A cluster red sequence will be confidently detected to somewhat lower redshifts, $z \approx 0.8$ (S82) and $z \approx 0.5$ (outside S82), thus satisfying our criteria for optical cluster confirmation. In summary, we search for a BCG and associated red sequence around each SZ candidate; if this condition is satisfied, then we estimate the redshift and richness for the cluster. If the cluster richness satisfies the minimum richness criteria (see Section 3.3), then we list the candidate as a real cluster.

3.2. Cluster Redshift Determination

In practice, we perform the cluster confirmation by working on $10' \times 10'$ wide images centered on the position of the SZ candidate that are created from stitching together nearby S82 tiles in all five SDSS bands. Our inspection relies on a custom-created automated software that enables us to interactively search for a BCG and its red cluster sequence using our own implementation of the MaxBCG cluster finder (Koester et al. 2007) algorithm, as described in Menanteau et al. (2010b) for the Southern Cosmology Survey (SCS; Menanteau et al. 2009). Although our implementation of the MaxBCG cluster algorithm represents our best effort to replicate the method as described in Koester et al. (2007), the measured richness values should not be expected to be exactly as in the original MaxBCG implementation due to slight differences in the handling of photometric errors and background subtraction. This consists of visually selecting the BCG and from that recorded position iteratively choosing cluster member galaxies using the photometric redshifts and a $\sigma$ clipping algorithm within a local self-defined color–magnitude relation (CMR). For candidates with APO $K_s$ follow-up imaging, we use six bands, which are limited to the $\sim 5' \times 5'$ FOV of NICFPS, but otherwise the procedure is the same. Our software aids the precise determination of the BCG by visually flagging all early-type galaxies (i.e., galaxies SED types 0 and 1 from BPZ) that are more luminous than $4L^*$ galaxies, with $L^*$ as defined above. Once the BCG has been established, the next step in the optical confirmation is to define the cluster redshift and color criteria to be used in selecting cluster members as these are required to estimate the richness of the cluster.

The determination of the cluster redshift is an iterative process, using our custom-developed tools, that starts with the redshift of the BCG as the initial guess for the cluster’s redshift and center. It then estimates the redshift as the mean value of the $N$ brightest early-type galaxies (with $N = 7$) within an inner radius of $250 h^{-1}$ kpc (with $H_0 = 100$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$ as defined by MaxBCG) and the redshift interval $\Delta z = 0.045(1+z_c)$, where $z_c$ is the redshift of the cluster. For redshift determination, we use the $N$ brightest early-type galaxies, rather than the BCG alone, to mitigate against biased photometric redshifts resulting from BCGs with peculiar colors, such as in cool core clusters. The new redshift is used as input and the same procedure is repeated until convergence on the redshift value is achieved, which usually occurs in three iterations or less. The selection of $N = 7$ was informed by optimizing cluster redshifts for systems with known spectroscopic redshifts. Uncertainties in the cluster redshifts are determined via bootstrap resampling (10,000 times) of the galaxies selected for the redshift determination. We also exploited estimating errors using Monte Carlo realizations of the sample which provided similar results. We note that although our catalogs contain the spectroscopic redshifts available from SDSS, in the procedure described here we only make use of the photometric redshifts, in order to make a direct comparison with the spectroscopic information.

Another important advantage of the overlap of ACT with S82 and SDSS is that for all clusters at $z < 0.3$, the BCG was spectroscopically targeted by SDSS and has a spectroscopic redshift. Moreover, as BCGs are very luminous objects, in several cases it was possible to match them with a spectroscopic redshift from SDSS to $z \approx 0.5$. There are 25 ACT clusters in S82 for which a spectroscopic redshift was available from SDSS for the BCG or the next brightest galaxy in the cluster. For the ACT area outside S82, the CAS DR8 database provides imaging but no spectroscopic redshifts are available from SDSS on this region. Additionally, within the sample presented in this paper, 21 (18 are on S82) S/N > 4.5 SZ clusters have multi-object spectroscopic follow-up observations using GMOS on Gemini-S as part of our program aimed at obtaining dynamical masses for ACT clusters at $z > 0.35$ (Sifón et al. 2012). The observations were carried out as part of our ongoing programs (GS-2011B-C-1, GS-2012A-C-2, and GS-2012B-C-3) and processed using our custom set of tools as described in
must have the SED of an early type according to BPZ; (2) it must be brighter than 0.4L*; (3) it must have the right luminosity, dimmer than the BCG and within the 68% C.L. uncertainties on the cluster photometric redshift. Bars show the BPZ-defined early-type galaxies within the same 250 kpc matching radius and found that a number of them are well-known z < 0.35 clusters reported as part of the Abell (Abell 1958), ROSAT All-Sky Galaxy Cluster Survey.

Figure 3. Spectroscopic redshift vs. photometric redshift for the sub-sample 37 of ACT equatorial clusters with known spectroscopic redshifts. Circles represent clusters from the S82 area while squares are system outside the S82 area. Error bars show the 68% C.L. uncertainties on the cluster photometric redshift.

Sifón et al. (2012). The full description of the ACT equatorial sample follow-up with Gemini will be described in a future paper (C. Sifón et al., in preparation). In Figure 3, we show that the photometric and spectroscopic redshifts are in good agreement. Thus, for clusters without spectroscopic redshifts, up to z ≈ 0.8, we confirm that our photometric ones will be quite accurate. For clusters at z > 0.9, due to the lack of spectroscopic redshifts, we can only assume that the SDSS well-calibrated photometry provides robust estimates. Both photometric and spectroscopic redshifts for the full cluster sample are given in Tables 2 and 3.

3.3. Defining Cluster Membership

In order to have a richness measurement that is useful to compare across the SZ cluster sample, one must define cluster membership. We follow a procedure similar to that in Menanteau et al. (2010b). Once the redshift of the cluster is determined, we use BPZ-defined early-type galaxies within the same 250 h−1 kpc radius and redshift interval Δz = 0.045(1 + zc) as above to obtain a local self-defined CMR for each color combination, g − r, r − i, and i − z (z − Ks when available) for all cluster members, using a 3σ clipping algorithm. For the determination of cluster members, we use the spectroscopic redshift when available to define zc. We use these spatial and color criteria to determine Ngal, the number of galaxies within 1 h−1 Mpc of the cluster center as defined by Koester et al. (2007). Formally, we compute Ngal = N1Mpc by including those galaxies within a projected 1 h−1 Mpc from the cluster center and within Δz = 0.045(1 + zc) that satisfy three conditions: (1) the galaxy must have the SED of an early type according to BPZ; (2) it must have the appropriate color to be a cluster member (i.e., colors within 3σ of the local CMR for all color combinations); and (3) it must have the right luminosity, dimmer than the BCG and brighter than 0.4L*. Additionally, we designate cluster members according to the estimated cluster size R200, defined as the radius at which the cluster galaxy density is 200Ωm0.7 h−3 times the mean space density of galaxies in the present universe. We estimated the scaled radius R200 using the empirical relation from Hansen et al. (2005), R200 = 0.156h0.6Mpc/h−1 Mpc, which is derived from the SDSS. Hence, N200 is the number of galaxies satisfying the above conditions within R200. We note, however, that our ability to uniformly select cluster members to 0.4L* depends on the imaging depth of the data available. From Figure 2, we infer that we can detect 0.4L* galaxies to z ≈ 0.6 and z ≈ 0.4 for clusters inside and outside of the S82 region, respectively. Beyond this redshift range, our richness values underestimate the true values. We caution the reader that beyond this redshift range our richness values underestimate the true values as we do not attempt to correct for the incompleteness of detecting galaxies expected above 0.4L* at our limiting magnitude.

For our richness measurements, we estimated the galaxy background contamination and implemented an appropriate background subtraction method following the same procedure described in Menanteau et al. (2009; see Section 3.1). We use a statistical removal of unrelated field galaxies with similar colors and redshifts that were projected along the line of sight to each cluster. We estimate the surface number density of ellipticals in an annulus surrounding the cluster (within 4 h−1 Mpc < r < 9 h−1 Mpc) with the same Δz as above and the same colors as the cluster members. We measure this background contribution around the outskirts of each cluster and obtain a corrected value Ngal that is used to compute R200 and then a corresponding value of N200. The magnitude of the correction ranges between 10%–40% depending on the cluster richness. For the clusters confirmed using APO observations, we choose a conservative 40% correction factor. In the few cases where the cluster is located near the edge of the optical coverage of S82 and the projected area of a 1 h−1 Mpc aperture is not fully contained within the optical data, we scale up Ngal by the fraction of the missing area. We will refer to the corrected values hereafter.

The measured richness value, Ngal > 15. In practice, this additional constraint resulted in the removal of only one candidate. In Tables 2 and 3, we present the Ngal estimated for the S82 and DR8 sample, respectively.

4. THE ACT EQUATORIAL SZ CLUSTER SAMPLE

Our optical confirmation of SZ candidates has resulted in a new sample of 68 clusters: 49 systems are located in the area overlapping with S82 and 19 clusters on the area that overlaps with the shallower DR8 data.

4.1. Clusters in Stripe 82

In Table 2, we present the 49 clusters in the 270 deg2 area in S82 along with their redshift information, BCG positions, and optical richness. In Figures 4 and 5, we show eight examples of z < 1 clusters confirmed using the S82 imaging alone, while in Figure 6, we show examples of clusters confirmed using the additional Ks-band APO imaging. Optical and NIR images for the full sample are available at http://pemuno.rutgers.edu/act/S82. We used NED23 to search for cluster counterparts for our sample using a 500 h−1 kpc matching radius and found that a number of them are well-known z < 0.35 clusters reported as part of the Abell (Abell 1958), ROSAT All-Sky Galaxy Cluster Survey.

23 http://ned.ipac.caltech.edu
### Table 2

Optically Confirmed ACT Equatorial Clusters on Stripe 82

| ACT Descriptor | R.A. (J2000) | Decl. (J2000) | z-spec | z-photo | N_{gal} (1 h^{-1} Mpc) | S/N (148 GHz) | BCG Distance (Mpc, h_{70}^{-1}) | Alternative Name |
|----------------|--------------|---------------|--------|---------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| ACT-J0222.2−0036 | 00:22:13.0 | −00:36:33.8 | 0.805\(^a\) | 0.80 ± 0.03 | 65.9 ± 8.1\(^b\) | 9.1 | 0.014 | GMBCG J051.70814-00.73104\(^d\) |
| ACT-J0326.8−0043 | 03:26:49.9 | −00:43:51.7 | 0.448\(^c\) | 0.45 ± 0.03 | 41.7 ± 6.5 | 9.1 | 0.026 | A2067\(^f\) |
| ACT-J0152.7+0100 | 01:52:41.9 | +01:00:25.5 | 0.230 | 0.23 ± 0.02 | 67.2 ± 8.2 | 9.0 | 0.026 | RX J1219.6+0005\(^g\) |
| ACT-J0509.1−0049 | 05:09:08.5 | −00:50:05.7 | 0.786\(^a\) | 0.77 ± 0.03 | 41.0 ± 6.4\(^b\) | 8.4 | 0.064 | GMBCG J003.72543-00.95236\(^b\) |
| ACT-J2337.6+0016 | 23:37:39.7 | +00:16:16.9 | 0.275\(^a\) | 0.28 ± 0.01 | 57.8 ± 7.6 | 8.2 | 0.036 | A263\(^f\) |
| ACT-J1219.6+0005 | 21:29:39.9 | +00:05:21.1 | 0.234\(^a\) | 0.24 ± 0.01 | 35.0 ± 5.9 | 8.0 | 0.028 | RX J1219.6+0005\(^g\) |
| ACT-J0014.9−0057 | 00:14:54.1 | −00:57:08.4 | 0.533\(^c\) | 0.52 ± 0.02 | 56.2 ± 7.5 | 7.8 | 0.070 | GMBCG J003.72543-00.95236\(^b\) |
| ACT-J0206.2−0114 | 02:06:13.4 | −01:14:17.0 | 0.676\(^a\) | 0.68 ± 0.02 | 68.4 ± 9.5\(^b\) | 6.9 | 0.123 | |
| ACT-J0340.2+0105 | 03:42:02.1 | +01:05:07.5 | ... | 1.07 ± 0.06 | 41.6 ± 6.3\(^b\) | 5.9 | 0.248 | |
| ACT-J1215.4−0049 | 21:54:32.3 | −00:49:00.4 | 0.488\(^a\) | 0.48 ± 0.02 | 56.9 ± 7.5 | 5.9 | 0.090 | WHL J215432.2-004905\(^i\) |
| ACT-J0218.2−0041 | 02:18:16.8 | −00:41:41.8 | 0.672\(^a\) | 0.67 ± 0.02 | 39.2 ± 6.3\(^b\) | 5.8 | 0.262 | |
| ACT-J0223.1−0056 | 02:23:10.0 | −00:57:08.9 | 0.663\(^g\) | 0.64 ± 0.04 | 50.5 ± 7.1\(^b\) | 5.8 | 0.159 | in GMB2011 |
| ACT-J2050.5−0015 | 20:50:29.7 | −00:55:40.6 | 0.622\(^c\) | 0.60 ± 0.03 | 38.6 ± 6.2\(^b\) | 5.6 | 0.099 | in GMB2011 |
| ACT-J0044.4+0113 | 00:44:25.6 | +01:12:48.7 | ... | 1.11 ± 0.03 | 73.0 ± 8.5\(^b\) | 5.5 | 0.258 | |
| ACT-J0215.4+0023 | 02:15:28.5 | +00:30:37.3 | 0.865\(^c\) | 0.73 ± 0.03 | 29.5 ± 3.8\(^b\) | 5.5 | 0.046 | |
| ACT-J0256.5+0006 | 02:56:33.7 | +00:06:28.8 | 0.363\(^c\) | 0.37 ± 0.01 | 39.8 ± 6.3 | 5.4 | 0.113 | RX J0256.5+0006\(^i\) |
| ACT-J0012.0−0046 | 00:12:01.8 | −00:46:34.5 | ... | 1.36 ± 0.06 | 29.2 ± 5.3\(^b\) | 5.3 | 0.313 | |
| ACT-J0241.2−0018 | 02:41:15.4 | −00:18:41.0 | 0.684\(^a\) | 0.68 ± 0.03 | 50.5 ± 7.1\(^b\) | 5.1 | 0.040 | |

Notes. R.A. and decl. positions denote the BCG location in the optical images of the cluster from our confirmation procedure. The SZ position was used to construct the ACT descriptor identifiers. Spectroscopic redshifts are reported when available and come from the DR8 spectroscopic database and our own follow-up with GMOS on Gemini South. The horizontal line denotes the demarcation for the SZ cluster sample with 100% purity. Values of S/N are from Hasselfield et al. (2013).

- \(^a\) Spectroscopic redshift from GMOS/Gemini (C. Sifón et al., in preparation).
- \(^b\) Denotes clusters at z > 0.6 for which the 0.4L\(^*\) limit was not reached and hence richness values are underestimated.
- \(^c\) Spectroscopic redshift from which GMOS/Gemini and SDSS.
- \(^d\) From Hao et al. (2010).
- \(^e\) Spectroscopic redshift from SDSS.
- \(^f\) From Abell (1958).
- \(^g\) From Böhringer et al. (2000).
- \(^h\) From Koester et al. (2007).
- \(^i\) From Wen et al. (2009).
Table 3
Optically Confirmed ACT Equatorial Clusters Outside Stripe 82, with DR8 Coverage

| ACT Descriptor | R.A. (J2000) | Decl. (J2000) | z-spec | z-photo | N$_{gal}$ ($1 h^{-1}$ Mpc) | S/N (148 GHz) | BCG Distance (Mpc h$_{70}^{-1}$) | Alternative Name |
|----------------|--------------|---------------|--------|---------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| ACT-CL J2327.4−0204 | 23:27:27.6 | −02:04:37.4 | 0.705 | 0.69 ± 0.04 | 61.7 ± 7.9$^b$ | 13.1 | 0.028 | RCS2 2327$^b$ |
| ACT-CL J2135.2+0125 | 21:35:18.7 | +01:25:27.0 | 0.231$^a$ | 0.25 ± 0.01 | 57.6 ± 7.6 | 9.3 | 0.184 | A2355$^d$ |
| ACT-CL J2039.8−0134 | 02:39:53.1 | −01:34:56.0 | 0.375$^a$ | 0.35 ± 0.03 | 84.0 ± 9.2 | 8.8 | 0.121 | A0570$^d$ |
| ACT-CL J2058.8+0123 | 20:58:56.0 | +01:22:22.2 | 0.32 ± 0.02 | 76.9 ± 8.8 | 8.3 | 0.361 | | |
| ACT-CL J2050.7+0123 | 20:50:43.1 | +01:23:29.2 | 0.333$^f$ | 0.35 ± 0.03 | 56.5 ± 7.5 | 7.4 | 0.104 | RXC J2050.7+0123$^f$ |
| ACT-CL J2128.4+0145 | 21:28:23.4 | +01:35:36.4 | 0.385$^d$ | 0.39 ± 0.03 | 87.2 ± 9.3 | 7.3 | 0.165 | | |
| ACT-CL J2052.5+0030 | 20:52:12.7 | +00:31:33.8 | ... | 0.34 ± 0.02 | 56.6 ± 7.5 | 6.4 | 0.235 | | |
| ACT-CL J0008.1+0201 | 00:08:10.4 | +02:01:12.3 | 0.153 | 0.17 ± 0.02 | 75.5 ± 8.7 | 6.1 | 0.027 | ZwCl 2305.0+0114$^b$ |
| ACT-CL J2116.1+0123 | 21:16:08.5 | +01:23:27.3 | 0.224$^g$ | 0.21 ± 0.02 | 64.9 ± 8.1 | 6.0 | 0.094 | A2397$^d$ |
| ACT-CL J0301.6+0155 | 03:01:38.2 | +01:55:14.6 | 0.167$^a$ | 0.19 ± 0.01 | 49.4 ± 7.0 | 5.8 | 0.069 | RXC J0301.6+0155$^f$ |
| ACT-CL J2051.1+0215 | 20:51:12.2 | +02:15:58.3 | 0.321$^f$ | 0.35 ± 0.02 | 56.4 ± 7.5 | 5.2 | 0.221 | RXC J2051.1+0216$^f$ |
| ACT-CL J0303.3+0155 | 03:03:21.1 | +01:55:34.5 | 0.153$^a$ | 0.17 ± 0.01 | 26.1 ± 5.1 | 5.2 | 0.060 | A0409$^d$ |
| ACT-CL J0156.4−0123 | 01:56:24.3 | −01:23:17.3 | 0.45 ± 0.04 | 37.9 ± 6.2$^a$ | 5.2 | 0.011 | | |
| ACT-CL J0219.9+0129 | 02:19:52.1 | +01:29:52.2 | 0.35 ± 0.02 | 66.0 ± 8.1 | 4.9 | 0.154 | | |
| ACT-CL J0240.0+0116 | 02:40:01.7 | +01:16:06.4 | 0.62 ± 0.03 | 31.9 ± 5.7$^a$ | 4.8 | 0.077 | | |
| ACT-CL J0008.1+0201 | 00:08:10.4 | +02:01:12.3 | 0.36 ± 0.04 | 44.8 ± 6.7 | 4.7 | 0.028 | | |
| ACT-CL J0193.9−0128 | 01:39:16.7 | −01:28:45.2 | 0.70 ± 0.03 | 26.9 ± 5.2$^a$ | 4.3 | 0.549 | | |

Notes. R.a. and decl. positions denote the BCG location in the optical images of the cluster from our confirmation procedure. The SZ position was used to construct the ACT descriptor identifiers. Spectroscopic redshifts are reported when available and come from the DR8 spectroscopic database and our own follow-up with GMOS on Gemini South. Values of S/N are from Hasselfield et al. (2013).

$^a$ Denotes clusters at $z > 0.4$ for which the 0.4$L^*$ limit was not reached and hence richness values are underestimated.

$^b$ From Gralla et al. (2011).

$^c$ Spectroscopic redshift from Sarazin et al. (1982).

$^d$ From Abell (1958).

$^e$ Spectroscopic redshift from Struble & Rood (1991).

$^f$ Spectroscopic redshift from GMBCG/Gemini (C. Sifón et al., in preparation).

$^g$ Spectroscopic redshift from Böhringer et al. (2000).

$^h$ From Zwicky et al. (1963).

(NORAS; Böhringer et al. 2000), and MaxBCG (Koester et al. 2007) catalogs. Also using NED, we found matches for $z < 0.55$ systems in the GMBCG (Hao et al. 2010) and WHL (Wen et al. 2009) optical cluster catalogs. The GMBCG catalog is an improved version of the MaxBCG method which used the SDSS DR7. In Table 2, we designate the first reported alternative name for each system. For higher redshift systems, we compared our sample with the catalog from Geach et al. (2011, GMB2011) which uses a cluster red sequence algorithm on the same deep co-added SDSS data used in this analysis to detect clusters. We searched for counterparts using the same match radius and found five previously reported GMB2011 systems at $0.50 < z < 0.65$. Beyond $z > 0.65$, all SZ confirmed clusters in S82 represent new discoveries, highlighting the power of the SZ effect to discover massive galaxy clusters at high redshift. In summary, of the 49 ACT SZ-selected clusters from S82, 22 are new and lie at $z > 0.54$.

Our APO follow-up campaign aided in the confirmation of five new clusters at $z > 1$ over the S82 region by the addition of the $K_s$ imaging, described in Section 2.3, to the five optical bands. In Figures 6 and 7, we show the optical and NIR composite images of the five clusters at $z > 1$. In Table 1, we present a summary for the five new clusters confirmed with the help of the NIR imaging.

4.2. Additional Clusters Outside Stripe 82

In Table 3, we present the sample of 19 optically confirmed clusters using the ugriz imaging from the SDSS DR8 where we provide the same information as for the S82 sample above. The shallower coverage over the area beyond S82 only allows us to present optical confirmations for an incomplete subsample. As we see from Figure 2, the imaging depth of the DR8 data set can only “see” $L^*$ galaxies up to $z \approx 0.5$. Moreover, the DR8 footprint does not fully cover the ACT equatorial region. Within this sky region, which contains 10 new clusters, is located the most significant SZ detection of the whole ACT equatorial sample, ACT-CL J2327.4−0204, which we discuss in detail in Section 4.3.2.

An approved dedicated optical and NIR follow-up program using the SOAR 4.1 m and APO 3.5 m telescopes in 2012B will provide a more uniform and complete cluster sample for the remaining area outside S82.

4.3. Notable Clusters

In the following sections, we provide detailed information on a selected few individual clusters that are worthy of special attention.

4.3.1. ACT-CL J0044.4+0113

ACT-CL J0044.4+0113 appeared serendipitously in an archival XMM-Newton observation targeting the SLAC lens object SDSSJ0044+0113 (Auger et al. 2009) taken on 2010 Jan 10 (PI: Treu, ObsID: 0602340101). After flare rejection, we obtained exposure times of 21 ks for each MOS and 15 ks for the pn. Our analysis used version 12.0.1. In Figure 7, we show the composite optical/NIR color image for ACT-CL
Figure 4. Composite color images for four ACT SZ clusters optically confirmed using the S82 imaging. The horizontal bar shows the scale of the images, where north is up and east is left. White contours show the 148 GHz SZ maps with the minimum and maximum levels, in μK, displayed between brackets. The yellow cross shows the location of the centroid of the SZ detection.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

J0044.4+0113 with the overplotted XMM-Newton X-ray surface brightness contours in the 0.5–4.5 keV band shown in white. The cluster is clearly extended and the X-ray surface brightness is above background up to a radius of ∼50″ (439 h\(^{-1}\) kpc). Fits to the integrated spectrum to \(R_{500c}\) from a region of radius 1.5, using a local annular region (covering 2:1–4:2), results in a best-fit gas temperature of \(kT_X = 7.9 ± 1.0\) keV and 0.5–2.0 keV band luminosity of \(L_X = (4.2 ± 0.15) \times 10^{44} h_{70}^{-2}\) erg s\(^{-1}\), which assumes the cluster’s photometric redshift of \(z = 1.11\).

We use the Arnaud et al. (2005) \(M_{500c}–T_X\) scaling relation based on XMM-Newton observations to estimate the mass for the cluster,

\[
M_{500c,T_X} = \frac{M_0}{E(z)} \left( \frac{T_X}{5 \text{ keV}} \right)^{\alpha} h_{70}^{-1} M_\odot
\]

with \(M_0 = (3.84 ± 0.14) \times 10^{14}\), \(\alpha = 1.71 ± 0.09\). The measured cluster temperature yields a mass of \(M_{500c,T_X} = (4.7 ± 1.1) \times 10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_\odot\). This mass is converted to the mass with respect to the average density, \(M_{200c} = 8.2^{+3.3}_{-2.5} \times 10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_\odot\), after scaling from critical to average density using \(M_{200c} = 1.77^{+0.26}_{-0.17} \times M_{500c}\). This conversion factor was derived.
using an NFW mass profile and the concentration–mass relation, $c(M, z)$, from simulations (Duffy et al. 2008) at $z = 1.11$ for the mass of the cluster. The reported uncertainties in the conversion factor reflect the $\sigma_{\log c} = 0.15$ scatter in the log-normal probability distribution of $c(M, z)$.

The X-ray temperature and inferred mass estimates make ACT-CL J0044.4+0113 a remarkable system that is among the most massive and X-ray-hot clusters known beyond $z = 1.11$. The mass and temperature of ACT-CL J0044.4+0113 are comparable to the X-ray-discovered cluster XMMU J2235.3–2557 ($z = 1.39$) with $kT_X = 8.6 \pm 1.3$ keV and $M_{200a} = (8.23 \pm 1.21) \times 10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_\odot$ (Rosati et al. 2009; Lee et al. 2009) and two recent SZ-discovered clusters: SPT-CL J2106–5844 ($z = 1.13$) with $kT_X = 11.0^{+2.6}_{-1.9}$ keV and $M_{200a} = (1.27 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{15} h_{70}^{-1} M_\odot$ (Foley et al. 2011), and SPT-CL J0205–5829 ($z = 1.32$) with $kT_X = 8.7^{+1.0}_{-0.8}$ keV and $M_{500c} = (4.9 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_\odot$ (Stalder et al. 2013).

4.3.2. ACT-CL J2327.4–0204 (RCS2 2327)

ACT-CL J2327.4–0204 is the cluster with the highest significance detection and the strongest SZ signal in the full ACT equatorial sample. The cluster has also been reported as RCS2 2327 by Gralla et al. (2011). Although the cluster is not in S82, the system is rich and bright enough to be detected on the shallower DR8 imaging from which we obtained an accurate photometric redshift estimate of $z = 0.69 \pm 0.04$ and optical richness $N_{\text{gal}} = 59.2 \pm 7.7$. We searched for archival

Figure 5. Composite color images for four ACT SZ clusters optically confirmed using the S82 imaging. The horizontal bar shows the scale of the images, where north is up and east is left. White contours show the 148 GHz SZ maps with the minimum and maximum levels, in $\mu$K, displayed between brackets. The yellow cross shows the location of the centroid of the SZ detection.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
data and found imaging and spectroscopy from Gemini/GMOS and X-ray observations from Chandra and XMM-Newton. We processed the single GMOS pointing (offset 2′ from the cluster center) in g (4 × 300 s) and r (4 × 300 s) taken on UT 2007 August 7 and UT 2007 December 26 (GS-2007B-Q-5, PI: Gladders) using our GMOS custom pipeline (Sifón et al. 2012) to create astrometrically corrected co-added images. The GMOS imaging of the central region of the cluster, shown in Figure 8, confirms the picture from DR8 that ACT-CL J2327.4−0204 is a very rich cluster, and reveals the presence of several strong lensing features. We also processed the spectroscopic data from the single mask available taken with the B600 grism for a total integration time of 14.4 ks, of which we were able to process 7.2 ks. Unfortunately, the setup of the spectroscopic observations only covers the 4000–6800 Å wavelength range, hence putting the Ca II K–H absorption doublet (rest-frame \( \lambda_{0} = 3950 \) Å) used to secure the redshift of early-type galaxies at the limit of the detector. Nevertheless, we were able to extract redshifts for three cluster galaxies (two of them with [O II] emission), for which we obtain a mean redshift of \( z = 0.705 \).

A 25 ks Chandra observation (PI: Gladders, ObsID: 7355) was taken in August of 2008 using the ACIS-S array in VFAINT mode. We processed the data using CIAO version 4.4, applying the latest calibrations (CALDB version 4.5.0). VFAINT background rejection was implemented. X-ray point sources were identified and compared to the locations of their optical counterparts, which established that the absolute astrometry of this Chandra observation was good (1′). Background was subtracted using the blank-sky background files supplied by the CXC. The process included applying an appropriate filter to the source data.
Figure 7. *XMM-Newton* archival X-ray observations (contours) that serendipitously contain ACT-CL J0044.4+0113 overlaid on the composite optical (S82) and NIR (APO) pseudo-color image. The X-ray observations show its extended nature with a best-fit temperature of $kT_X = 7.9 \pm 1.0$ keV for the photometric redshift of $z = 1.11$.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 8. *Chandra* X-ray observation for ACT-CL J2327.4−0204, which shows its extended nature, is overlaid as white contours on the gr optical pseudo-color composite image from GMOS. The X-ray contours cover a dynamic range of a factor of 100 from the peak to the minimum.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

To remove time intervals of high background. This observation was devoid of any background flares.

To make images, point sources were removed and replaced with Poisson distributed counts based on the surrounding level of background or source emission. Exposure maps were created to remove time intervals of high background. This observation was devoid of any background flares.

We follow the prescriptions in Vikhlinin et al. (2009) and apply the $T_X - M_{500c}$ scaling law to the *Chandra* data and obtain a mass of $M_{500c,T_X} = 9.7^{+1.1}_{-1.8} \times 10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_\odot$. We also investigated the cluster mass from $M_{\text{gas}}$ using the scaling law for $M_{500c} - M_{\text{gas}}$ at redshift $z = 0.6$ from Kravtsov et al. (2006), which yields a value of $M_{500c,M_{\text{gas}}} = (9.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_\odot$ and implies a gas mass fraction $f_{\text{gas}} = 0.12$. in the soft (0.5−2 keV) band. Figure 8 shows the surface brightness contours of the background-subtracted, exposure-corrected, adaptively smoothed *Chandra* X-ray data in the 0.5−2 keV band. The X-rays show a strongly peaked distribution centered very close to the BCG. The cluster X-ray isophotes are modestly elliptical with an axial ratio of ~1.2 and little centroid shift. We detect X-ray emission out to a mean radius of ~0.8 (670 h$^{-1}$ kpc).

We use this observation to measure the gas temperature of the cluster. An absorbed phabs*mekal model yielded a best-fit (source frame) temperature of $kT_X = 11.0^{+2.0}_{-1.3}$ keV from the core-excised *Chandra* spectrum (covering from 0.15$R_{500c}$ to 0.50$R_{500c}$ after iterating to obtain $R_{500c}$). We use this value with Equation (5) in Vikhlinin et al. (2009) to estimate the cluster temperature within $R_{500c}$, which can then be used in the $T_X - M_X$ scaling law. We have also obtained an integrated spectrum (covering the full cluster out to a radius of ~0.8). From this, we obtain a bolometric luminosity of $L_{\text{bol}} = (6.6 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{45} h_{70}^{-2}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Figure 9 shows the $L_{\text{bol}}$–$T_X$ relation with ACT-CL J2327.4−0204 added as the red point.

The smaller gray points show the sample of Markevitch (1998), while the white square and large gray circle show the closest comparison clusters, 1E0657−56 and El Gordo. Similarly, the X-ray luminosity of ACT-CL J2327.4−0204 in the 0.5−2.0 keV band is $L_X = 1.39 \pm 0.05 \times 10^{45} h_{70}^{-2}$ erg s$^{-1}$.

We also investigated the cluster mass from $M_{\text{gas}}$ using the scaling law for $M_{500c} - M_{\text{gas}}$ at redshift $z = 0.6$ from Kravtsov et al. (2006), which yields a value of $M_{500c,M_{\text{gas}}} = (9.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_\odot$ and implies a gas mass fraction $f_{\text{gas}} = 0.12$.
Both X-ray derived masses are in good agreement and confirm the view of an exceptional and massive cluster. We use the weighted-average of the $T_X$ and $M_{\text{gas}}$ mass estimates to obtain a combined mass for ACT-CL J2327.4–0204 of $M_{500c} = (1.0 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{15} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}$. We convert the combined mass with respect to the average density using the same procedure as for ACT-CL J0044.4+0113 in Section 4.3.1, with the scaling $M_{200a} = 1.86_{-0.30}^{+0.33} \times M_{500c}$, which produces a mass $M_{200a} = 1.92_{-0.4}^{+0.6} \times 10^{15} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}$. This mass is also consistent with the velocity dispersion of $\approx 1400$ km s$^{-1}$ presented by Yee et al. (2009)$^{24}$, which indicates a dynamical mass of $M_{200a,\text{dyn}} \approx 2.6 \times 10^{15} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}$ when applying the same procedure as described below (Section 4.3.3). In summary, the high SZ signal, gas temperature, gas mass, and velocity dispersion, taken together, establish ACT-CL J2327.4–0204 as one of the two most massive clusters known at $z > 0.6$, the other being El Gordo.

4.3.3. ACT-CL J0022.2–0036

ACT-CL J0022.2–0036 is the highest significance SZ detection for the S82 region of the ACT equatorial sample and has been extensively targeted for our follow-up observations. Reese et al. (2012) recently presented SZA observations of the cluster, while Miyatake et al. (2013) estimated its mass from weak-lensing measurements using Subaru. As part of our spectroscopic follow-up with Gemini (C. Sifón et al., in preparation), we have secured redshifts for 44 members from which we obtain a redshift of $z = 0.8054 \pm 0.0013$ and velocity dispersion of $\sigma_{\text{gal}} = 1213 \pm 155$ km s$^{-1}$. We use the $M_{200a} - \sigma_{\text{DM}}$ scaling relation from Evrard et al. (2008) to convert the measured velocity dispersion into a dynamical mass estimate,

$$M_{200a} = \frac{10^{15}}{0.7E(z)} \left( \frac{\sigma_{\text{DM}}}{\sigma_{\text{DM,15}}} \right)^{1/\alpha} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot},$$

(2)

where $\sigma_{\text{DM,15}} = 1082.9 \pm 4.0$ km s$^{-1}$, $\alpha = 0.3361 \pm 0.0026$, and $\sigma_{\text{DM}}$ is the velocity dispersion of the dark matter halo. The latter is related to the observed galaxy velocity dispersion by the velocity bias parameter, $b_v = \sigma_{\text{gal}}/\sigma_{\text{DM}}$. The latest physically motivated simulations (see Evrard et al. 2008 and references therein) indicate that galaxies are essentially unbiased tracers of the dark matter potential, $b_v = 1.00 \pm 0.05$. Using a bias factor of $b_v = 1$ for the velocity dispersion for all galaxies, we obtain a dynamical mass of $M_{200a,\text{dyn}} = 1.5^{+0.6}_{-0.7} \times 10^{15} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}$, using the conversion factor $M_{200a} = 1.17^{+0.08}_{-0.06} M_{200c}$ with the same prescription as described in Section 4.3.1. This value is consistent with the Subaru weak-lensing mass of $M_{200c,\text{WL}} = 1.1^{+0.6}_{-0.5} \times 10^{15} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}$ recently reported by Miyatake et al. (2013).

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. The Purity of the S82 Sample

The purity for an SZ sample is defined as the ratio of optically confirmed clusters to SZ detections (Menanteau et al. 2010a). In Figure 10, we show the purity from the sample of 155 SZ cluster candidates with $S/N > 4.0$ within the S82 region as a function of $S/N$. A notable improvement from our previous work on the southern sample (Menanteau et al. 2010a) is that for the S82 region, we were able to examine every cluster candidate regardless of its $S/N$. The inset plot shows the cluster cumulative distribution as a function of $S/N$ for the optically confirmed cluster sample. We achieve 100% purity for $S/N > 5.1$ where there are 19 clusters. This drops to a purity value of 80% for an $S/N > 4.0$. For SZ candidates down to an $S/N > 4.6$, the sample purity is 60% (31/52). Below this $S/N$ value, we find a purity value of only 30% down to an $S/N = 4.0$. It is important to mention that we did not perform targeted follow-up in the NIR for all of the SZ candidates without a clear optical identification. We obtained $K_s$ for all of the candidates above $S/N > 5.1$, but only for a fraction of those above $S/N > 4.7$. Therefore, some of the clusters that were not optically confirmed could potentially be at $z > 1$. The purity of the S82 sample is consistent with the purity we found for the ACT southern sample.

5.2. Cluster X-Ray Properties

For consistency with our previous work (Menanteau et al. 2010a) and to establish qualitatively that the ACT clusters indeed comprise a massive sample, we present the RASS X-ray fluxes and luminosities for all of the confirmed ACT clusters, regardless of the significance of the RASS detection in Tables 4 and 5. The soft RASS X-ray fluxes as a function of redshift are plotted in Figure 11. We indicate the region at the high-end flux that approximately corresponds to the flux limit of the RASS bright source catalog (Voges et al. 1999). In cases of no significant detection, we indicate upper limits. Clusters detected with higher significance ($S/N > 4.5$) in the ACT 148 GHz data are shown as black squares, while the others are represented by gray circles. The low statistical quality of the RASS data for most of these clusters precludes making accurate estimates of cluster masses from the X-ray data. However, for reference, we show curves of the expected (observed-frame) X-ray fluxes for clusters with assumed masses of $M_{200a} = 4 \times 10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}$ (dashed) and $1 \times 10^{15} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}$ (solid) using the X-ray luminosity versus mass scaling relation in Vikhlinin et al. (2009). We use their Equation (22), which includes an empirically determined redshift evolution. We convert their X-ray band (emitted: 0.5–2 keV band) to ours (observed: 0.1–2.4 keV) assuming a thermal spectrum at the estimated cluster temperature determined using the mass–temperature

24 http://malaysia09.nottingham.ac.uk/
Table 4

| ACT Descriptor     | $z$ | $t_{\text{exp}}$ (s) | $N_H$ \((10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2})\) | $R$ \((h_{\text{Mpc}}^{-1})\) | $F_{\text{X}}^a$ (0.1–2.4 keV) | $L_X^b$ (0.1–2.4 keV) |
|-------------------|-----|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| ACT-CL J0012.0–0046 | 1.36 | 369 | 3.22 | 1514 | 0.01 ± 0.97 | 0.11 ± 7.59 |
| ACT-CL J0014.9–0057 | 0.533 | 357 | 3.06 | 1136 | 5.81 ± 2.07 | 5.30 ± 1.89 |
| ACT-CL J0017.6–0051 | 0.211 | 351 | 2.93 | 619 | 3.30 ± 1.75 | 0.39 ± 0.21 |
| ACT-CL J0018.2–0022 | 0.75 | 372 | 2.69 | 1321 | 1.12 ± 1.22 | 2.23 ± 2.43 |
| ACT-CL J0022.2–0036 | 0.806 | 398 | 2.76 | 1355 | 2.38 ± 1.54 | 5.59 ± 3.61 |
| ACT-CL J0044.4+0113 | 1.11 | 304 | 1.86 | 1473 | 0.67 ± 1.31 | 3.29 ± 6.39 |
| ACT-CL J0051.1+0055 | 0.69 | 365 | 2.41 | 1278 | 0.28 ± 0.96 | 0.46 ± 1.58 |
| ACT-CL J0058.0+0030 | 0.76 | 384 | 2.82 | 1324 | 3.70 ± 1.68 | 7.50 ± 3.40 |
| ACT-CL J0059.1–0049 | 0.77 | 356 | 3.33 | 1336 | 4.34 ± 1.84 | 9.29 ± 3.92 |
| ACT-CL J1014.8+0002 | 0.277 | 428 | 3.31 | 758 | 7.27 ± 2.05 | 1.54 ± 0.43 |
| ACT-CL J1019.9+0055 | 0.72 | 425 | 3.12 | 1300 | <0.49 | <0.89 |
| ACT-CL J1027.2+0020 | 0.379 | 431 | 2.90 | 935 | 6.33 ± 1.90 | 2.69 ± 0.81 |
| ACT-CL J1052.7+0100 | 0.230 | 432 | 2.75 | 661 | 21.49 ± 3.33 | 3.04 ± 0.47 |
| ACT-CL J2026.2–0114 | 0.68 | 388 | 2.53 | 1268 | 7.02 ± 2.15 | 11.01 ± 3.37 |
| ACT-CL J2045.8–0042 | 0.33 | 431 | 2.90 | 935 | 6.33 ± 1.90 | 2.69 ± 0.81 |
| ACT-CL J2045.8+0042 | 0.72 | 388 | 2.53 | 1268 | 7.02 ± 2.15 | 11.01 ± 3.37 |
| ACT-CL J2129.6+0005 | 0.71 | 277 | 3.63 | 670 | 46.33 | <1.41 |
| ACT-CL J2229.7+0042 | 0.57 | 372 | 2.69 | 1321 | 1.12 ± 1.22 | 2.23 ± 2.43 |
| ACT-CL J2337.6+0016 | 0.275 | 379 | 3.55 | 754 | 20.21 ± 3.46 | 4.23 ± 0.72 |
| ACT-CL J2351.7+0009 | 0.99 | 376 | 3.48 | 1438 | <0.57 | <2.13 |

Notes. $N_H$ represents the Galactic column density of neutral hydrogen at the cluster location and $R$ represents the physical radius corresponding to $3\theta$ at the cluster’s redshift.

---

relation, also from Vikhlinin et al. (2009). This too has a redshift dependence, so the estimated temperatures vary in the ranges 2.9–5.0 keV and 5.2–9.0 keV over $0.0 < z < 1.1$ for the two mass values we plot (solid and dashed lines). We use conversion factors assuming redshift-averaged temperatures of 4 keV and 7 keV, since the difference in conversion factor over the temperature ranges is only a few percent, negligible on the scale of Figure 11. The mass values in Vikhlinin et al. (2009) are defined with respect to an overdensity of 500 times the critical density of the universe at the cluster redshift. As we have done before, we convert to an overdensity of 200 times the average density following the procedure in Section 4.3.1. This mass conversion factor is approximately 1.8 averaged over redshift, varies from 2.2 to 1.7 over $0.0 < z < 1.1$, and depends only
expected X-ray fluxes for clusters with masses 4.\times10^{14}\,\, h_{70}^{-1}\, M_{\odot}$ and $1\times10^{15}\, h_{70}^{-1}\, M_{\odot}$, respectively, using scaling relations from Vikhlinin et al. (2009). The gray area at the top corresponds approximately to the regime of the RASS bright source catalog (Voges et al. 1999).
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Figure 11. Soft X-ray flux (in the observed band of 0.1–2.4 keV) from RASS archival data as a function of redshift for ACT SZ equatorial clusters. Clusters with S/N $> 5.1$ are shown as boxes, circles represent clusters with $4.5 < \text{S/N} < 5.1$, and triangles represent clusters with S/N $< 4.5$. White filled symbols represent objects outside the S82 deep region. For non-detection sources, we show upper limits. The dashed and solid curves represent the expected X-ray fluxes for clusters with masses $M_{200c} = 4 \times 10^{14}\, h_{70}^{-1}\, M_{\odot}$ and $1 \times 10^{15}\, h_{70}^{-1}\, M_{\odot}$, respectively, using scaling relations from Vikhlinin et al. (2009). The gray area at the top corresponds approximately to the regime of the RASS bright source catalog (Voges et al. 1999).

5.3. Mass Estimation

In order to obtain consistent estimates across the full ACT equatorial sample, we use the $\tilde{\gamma}_0 - M_{200c}$ scaling relation from Sifón et al. (2012), which relates the fixed aperture central Compton decrement $\tilde{\gamma}_0$ as described by Hasselfield et al. (2013) and $M_{200c}$ (critical) for the cluster as

$$M_{200c} = 10^{11.05\pm0.07} \left( \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_0}{5 \times 10^{-5}} \right)^{0.74\pm0.11} \, h_{70}^{-1}\, M_{\odot}. \quad (3)$$

We use the $\tilde{\gamma}_0$ measurements from Table 3 from Hasselfield et al. (2013) to estimate $M_{200c}$ for the sample, which we in turn transform to $M_{200a}$ (average) using the same procedure described in Section 4.3.1. For a comparison with the dynamical masses from Sifón et al. (2012) and the effects of different models of gas pressure profile in cluster SZ mass, see Hasselfield et al. (2013).

In Figure 12, we show the SZ-derived masses for the whole sample as a function of their redshift using the above mass-scaling relation. We also compare the masses of the cluster sample with the $M_{200a}(z)$ exclusion curves from Mortonson et al. (2011), for which a single cluster with mass $M_{200a}$ above the corresponding curve would conflict with ΛCDM and quintessence at 95% confidence level, including both sample and cosmological parameter variance. In other words, the exclusion curves represent the mass threshold as a function of the redshift for which any cluster is less than 5% likely to be found in a survey region for 95% of the ΛCDM parameter variance. In order to address the rarity of any of our clusters, in Figure 12 we plot the exclusion curves for the full sky and the region analyzed for the ACT survey (959 deg$^2$). We note, however, that Harrison & Hotchkiss (2012) have recently suggested that these curves tend to overestimate the amount of tension with cosmological models because they underestimate the number of massive clusters due to an inadequate a
of bias due to miscentering. We compute the offsets between the BCG and SZ centroid position for the high-significance sample ($S/N > 5.1$) and the full sample (Figure 13) for the S82 region. We find that the typical distance to the BCG is less than 0.3 Mpc $h^{-1}$ for both samples, with mean distance values of 0.12 Mpc $h^{-1}$ and 0.17 Mpc $h^{-1}$ for the high-significance and the full sample, respectively. We therefore find no significant evidence to suggest that the amount of misalignment required to explain the discrepancy between $Y_{SZ}$ and $N_{200}$ by Planck is a physical offset, as opposed to an algorithmic offset, between the BCG and the gas center. We note that the typical positional uncertainty of the ACT SZ centroid for the cluster is $\approx 1.4/S/N$, which for $S/N = 4.5$ corresponds to 0.12 $h^{-1}$ Mpc and 0.16 $h^{-1}$ Mpc at $z = 0.5$ and $z = 1.0$, respectively. Thus, the observed level of offset can be accounted for by the uncertainty in the ACT cluster centering.

5.5. SZ–Optical Richness Relation

The continuous optical coverage provided by SDSS over S82 and DR8 for our cluster sample also enables a direct and independent investigation of the relation between optical richness and SZ signal. For this purpose, we use our own computed $N_{200}$ values for clusters as described in Section 3.3 and compare them with their SZ-derived $M_{200m}$ masses (using the same values as above in Section 4.3). We only consider clusters at $z < 0.65$ within S82 and $z < 0.40$ outside S82 to take into account the different flux limits of the two samples to ensure unbiased values of $N_{200}$, and hence allow for a meaningful comparison. In Figure 14, we show the results with symbols keyed to the location of the clusters (inside or outside S82) and color-keyed to their redshifts. A simple examination of the individual points hints at a weak correlation with high scatter between the optical richness with SZ mass. For this data set, we calculate a Pearson’s correlation coefficient of $r = 0.52$ and a probability of an uncorrelated system producing a correlation at least as extreme as the one computed of $p = 0.0004$, which indicates a real correlation but with significant scatter. In order to bring visual clarity in the scatter, we estimate the mean-weighted SZ mass as a function of $N_{200}$ in bins of size $\Delta N_{200} = 30$ which we also show in Figure 14. The errors were estimated as the quadratic sum of the weighted errors and the error in the mean. We conclude that optical richness estimates such as $N_{200}$ for modest-sized samples like this one do not provide precise mass estimates.

6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We present the optical/NIR confirmation and physical properties of a new sample of 68 SZ detected clusters from ACT over the celestial equator. Our study takes advantage of the wide and deep coverage over S82 which, with additional pointed NIR observations, enabled the characterization of 49 clusters up to $z \approx 1.3$. Although this is a well-studied region of the sky, 22 of the 49 clusters on the S82 region are newly discovered systems all lying at $z > 0.55$, highlighting the power of the SZ effect to discover massive clusters at high redshift. Moreover, five of these clusters are at $z \geq 1$. Outside the S82 region, we use the regular-depth SDSS data from DR8 to confirm 19 additional clusters at $z \approx 0.7$, with 10 systems discovered by ACT. We have also analyzed RASS data for the sample, which confirm that this is a high-mass cluster sample.

The S82 optical data provide a powerful complement as it allows us to study every SZ cluster candidate down to
any ACT S/N desired. Preliminary inspection of lower S/N candidates finds several rich optical systems, suggesting that improved multi-wavelength cluster-finding algorithms may allow for additional discoveries.

We investigate differences in the location of the BCG and the SZ centroid positions and we find no evidence for significant offsets between them. We also study the relation between the optical richness and cluster mass and find only a weak correlation between both quantities.

As with the ACT southern sample, we find some spectacular systems in the ACT equatorial sample. We report on the discovery of ACT-CL J0044.4+0113, at $z = 1.1$, with a mass and X-ray temperature that put it in a league with the extreme clusters at $z > 1$. We also present a multi-wavelength analysis of the rich cluster ACT-CL J2327.4−0204 at $z = 0.705$. This is the cluster with the highest SZ significance in the whole ACT equatorial sample and is comparable to systems like El Gordo and the Bullet Cluster.

Based on currently available mass estimates, there is no tension between ΛCDM and the sample’s mass–redshift distribution. El Gordo and ACT-CL J2327.4−0204 are the most extreme clusters in the joint ACT sample, and more detailed, multi-wavelength follow-up studies will aid in further constraining the masses and physical properties of these clusters, as well as their cosmological implications.
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