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Abstract—In view of the defects of existing evaluation index systems (EIS) for the teaching quality of public PE such as it’s difficult for them to process fuzzy and uncertain information, this paper analyzed factors that can affect the teaching of public PE and extracted the key evaluation indices; then, it proposed a set of improved EISs for the teaching quality of public PE and constructed an evaluation model based on fuzzy system theory. Moreover, this paper put forward a few countermeasures for the improvement of public PE teaching quality, in the hopes of providing a good support for the said matter.
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1 Introduction

Public PE lessons are an important part of professional physical education, which plays an important role in improving students’ comprehensive physical quality and cultivating high-level sports professionals [1-3]. As modern society is developing rapidly and its demand for high-quality sports professionals is becoming increasingly urgent, modern education is also heading towards the direction of quality-orientated education, and more attention has been paid to public PE lessons [4-5]. In this context, the teaching of modern public PE lessons has become a hotspot in the field of pedagogy, and research results concerning the teaching mode, teaching method, teaching tool and teaching content of public PE have been achieved [6-7]. For example: Liu [8] discussed the process assessment of public PE lessons in colleges and universities in the context of the Sun Sports Program in China, and gave corresponding suggestions. Jiang and Yang [9] took Dali University as the research object to analyze current public PE lesson performance evaluation systems and discussed the countermeasures. Zhu [10] conducted a research on the teaching quality evaluation system of public PE courses in higher vocational colleges, and discussed the construction of public PE teaching quality evaluation system. With some local institutions of
higher-learning as subjects, Liu et al. [11] discussed the construction of PE teacher teaching ability evaluation system, which provided a good reference for the research on public PE.

However, considering the complexity of the teaching process of public PE and the diverse and fuzzy features of the influencing factors of the teaching quality, there’re still rooms for the research on the effective evaluation of public PE. Due to the existence of uncertain fuzzy information, the evaluation of public PE is a complex and fuzzy decision-making process. Although the existing decision-making methods have already achieved some typical engineering applications [12-15], due to the different application conditions and ranges, these methods usually have certain limitations. For this reason, based on previous research results and theoretical analysis, this paper probes deep into the problem of public PE lesson teaching system combining AHP [16-17] and fuzzy system theory [18-19], and discussed a fuzzy evaluation model of public PE.

The research content of this paper consists of 6 parts. The first part is a summary of the teaching of public PE lessons and its evaluation; the second part analyzed existing problems with public PE and its evaluation; the third part discussed a new evaluation system for the teaching of public PE lessons and gives specific indices; the fourth part explores a public PE lesson teaching evaluation model based on fuzzy system theory and proposes the implementation details; the fifth part analyzes some countermeasures of the improvement of the teaching quality of public PE lessons, which provides a good support for the improvement of public PE lesson quality; and the sixth part is the conclusion.

2 Problems in Public PE Teaching and Evaluation

2.1 Evaluation purpose and PE connotation mismatching

The purpose public PE in schools is to enable students to better enjoy sports activities, build up physical fitness, and cultivate perseverance and optimism. Through public PE lessons, students could obtain not only the basic sports knowledge, but also a healthy body and mentality. However, due to the influence of the traditional examination-oriented education philosophy, current PE teachers often put more emphasis on the exam scores, while neglecting the physical qualities and skills of students. As a result, not only did the public PE fail to achieve its due effect, worse still, sometimes it increases physical and psychological burdens on students. Moreover, in some schools, the entrance examinations include the sports performance. If the exams are only based on the students’ physical fitness, it’ll be helpful for improving their body health; however, if the exams are based on scores, such exam-orientated education mode that doesn’t consider students’ congenital physical differences will overburden the students. Therefore, the ultimate purpose of public PE is let students develop good physical exercise habits, strengthen their body functions and physical literacy; it shouldn’t become a mere test form that increases burdens on students, and deprive them of the fun of sports, and this is just opposite to the original purpose of public PE.
2.2 Deviations in PE teachers’ PE cognition

An important purpose of the evaluation of PE is to supervise and assess the teaching work of PE teachers, so that they can find out their shortcomings during the teaching process in a timely manner, and understand the teaching links and content that should be improved. This has pointed out a clear direction for the improvement of the teaching work of PE teachers, and it’s conductive to the design of teaching goals and content. Another purpose of PE evaluation is to examine students’ learning situations and physical fitness, and make them realize of their own issues and aspects to be improved. However, the current PE evaluation is mostly result-oriented, the evaluation results are usually taken as the performance goal of PE teaching, and the teaching content of public PE is prepared just for the teaching assessment. This utilitarian tendency can make public PE boring and tedious; it will take away the fun of sports from students, affecting their participation and enthusiasm, thus lowering the teaching quality of public PE.

2.3 Imperfect evaluation form

Current PE evaluation process often uses one-time final evaluation to determine students’ learning performance and teachers’ teaching effect, although this evaluation form is simple and easy-to-operate, it has a few limitations. One is that it cannot reflect the learning situation of students in a timely manner, especially some students do not have a serious attitude towards learning during the semester, they only make efforts at the last minute; although a few of them might still get good scores, their learning is not systematic and the effect won’t last. The second limitation is that it cannot truly reflect the teaching effect of public PE. As we all know, public PE is a systematic teaching and learning process. The teaching effect is reflected in multiple aspects such as teaching content, plan, organization, form and tool, etc.; and in some aspects, the effect is hidden and invisible. Therefore, one single evaluation form cannot fully reflect the teaching effect of public PE, and reliable evaluation results can only be obtained through comprehensive, systematic, and logical analysis.

2.4 Inflexible evaluation standards

The traditional evaluation standards of public PE are inflexible. On the one hand, they overemphasize the teaching results and teaching forms, while neglecting the evaluation of the teaching process; with the constant development of modern education technology, the requirements for sports education are changing all the time, and modern PE is attaching more importance to the link of teaching implementation; from the specific implementation process of teaching activities, we can more easily and timely discover the weak links of PE teaching and the major influencing factors of students’ learning and then take targeted measures, and this is of great significance for improving the teaching quality of PE, the teaching ability of PE teachers and the teaching system of public PE lessons. On the one hand, traditional evaluation standards of public PE only focus on the learning results, while neglecting the individual...
differences of students. When formulating the evaluation standards, quantitative assessment is valued more than quality assessment. As we all know, the students’ learning result is an important manifestation of the teaching effect, considering the student’s individual physical differences, although their exam scores are different, the improvement of their learning effect might be the same, and such improvement can reflect the teaching effect and teaching level of PE teachers. Therefore, when formulating the evaluation standards of public PE, it is necessary to take into account the dynamic development of public PE and the differences in the assessment objects, so that the evaluation standards of PE could have good adaptability.

3 Construction of the Teaching Evaluation System of Public PE

The teaching evaluation of public PE is a very complex system project, which involves not only many influencing factors, but also multiple evaluation perspectives, and this situation has resulted in that different education stages usually have different evaluation content and standards, and the components of the teaching evaluation system are dynamic and developing. In view of the different evaluation perspectives and standards, this paper holds that, a complete teaching evaluation system for public PE should have four parts, namely the teacher perspective, student perspective, administrator perspective, and society perspective; and the evaluation content should have three parts, namely the preparation, implementation, and effects.

3.1 Public PE teaching evaluation system based on the perspective of teachers

| Evaluation system                          | Evaluation aspects                  | Evaluation indices                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| The public PE teaching evaluation system  | Preparation                         | Professional quality of PE teachers                                               |
|                                           |                                     | Teaching preparation before class                                                  |
|                                           |                                     | Teaching planning                                                                  |
|                                           |                                     | Teaching goal formulation                                                         |
|                                           |                                     | Syllabus and teaching scheme preparation                                          |
|                                           |                                     | Cognition of teaching tasks and knowledge of student situations                   |
|                                           | Implementation                      | Imparting of teaching content                                                     |
|                                           |                                     | Application of teaching methods                                                   |
|                                           |                                     | Use of intelligent teaching tools                                                 |
|                                           |                                     | Interactive teaching                                                              |
|                                           |                                     | Teaching feedback                                                                 |
|                                           | Effects                             | Teaching task completion                                                          |
|                                           |                                     | Excellent rate of students                                                        |
|                                           |                                     | Pass rate of students                                                             |
|                                           |                                     | Excellent textbooks                                                              |
|                                           |                                     | Excellent courses                                                                |
|                                           |                                     | Teaching environment/atmosphere                                                    |
|                                           |                                     | Teaching reform                                                                  |
The public PE teaching evaluation system based on the perspective of teachers analyzes the teaching of public PE lessons from the perspective of PE teachers, its specific content is shown in Table 1.

### 3.2 Public PE teaching evaluation system based on the perspective of students

The public PE teaching evaluation system based on the perspective of students analyzes the teaching of public PE lessons from the perspective of PE students, its specific content is shown in Table 2.

**Table 2. Public PE teaching evaluation system based on the perspective of students**

| Evaluation system | Evaluation aspects | Evaluation indices |
|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                   | Preparation       | Teaching content is fully prepared |
|                   |                    | Teaching plan is systematic |
|                   |                    | Teaching direction is extensible |
|                   | Implementation    | Teaching content reception |
|                   |                    | Professional content expansion |
|                   |                    | Good classroom atmosphere |
|                   |                    | Increase in learning interest |
|                   |                    | Timely problem solving |
|                   | Effects            | Ability to master professional knowledge |
|                   |                    | Sports skill level |
|                   |                    | Ability to master technical movements |
|                   |                    | Innovation ability |
|                   |                    | Autonomous learning ability |
|                   |                    | Knowledge absorption |
|                   |                    | Coordination ability |
|                   |                    | Learning rhythm control |
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3.3 Public PE teaching evaluation system based on the perspective of administrators

| Evaluation system | Evaluation aspects | Evaluation indices |
|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Preparation       | Ability to regulate syllabus |                   |
|                   | Matching of teaching content and teaching goals |                   |
|                   | Teaching knowledge reserve |                   |
|                   | Teaching work preparation |                   |
| Implementation    | Classroom environment and teaching effect |                   |
|                   | Knowledge about students’ learning situations |                   |
|                   | Rich teaching content |                   |
|                   | Scientific teaching methods |                   |
|                   | Intelligent teaching tools |                   |
|                   | Logical teaching tasks |                   |
|                   | Correct teaching attitude |                   |
| Effects           | High-level teaching reform programs undertaken |                   |
|                   | High-level teaching awards received |                   |
|                   | Employment rate of PE students |                   |
|                   | Loss rate of PE students |                   |
|                   | Times of participation in high-level sports competitions of students |                   |
|                   | Awards of high-level sports competitions received by students |                   |
|                   | Satisfaction of teaching supervisors |                   |
|                   | Sports competitiveness and reputation |                   |

The public PE teaching evaluation system based on the perspective of students analyzes the teaching of public PE lessons from the perspective of PE administrators, its specific content is shown in Table 3.

3.4 Public PE teaching evaluation system based on the perspective of society

The public PE teaching evaluation system based on the perspective of society analyzes the teaching of public PE lessons from the perspective of the society, its specific content is shown in Table 4.
The public PE teaching evaluation system based on the perspective of the society $S_i$

| Evaluation system | Evaluation aspects        | Evaluation indices                                                                 |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Preparation       | Advanced teaching idea    | The teaching goal has the features of the times                                    |
|                   | Faculty construction      |                                                                                     |
| Implementation    | The teaching content has the features of the times                                |
|                   | Ability to combine theory with practice                                           |
|                   | Ability to integrate teaching with research                                       |
|                   | Ability to satisfy social requirements                                           |
|                   | Competitiveness in the field of profession                                       |
| Effects           | Social contribution of students                                                   |
|                   | Students can match with the requirements of social development                    |
|                   | Social satisfaction                                                           |
|                   | Conversion rate of teaching results                                               |
|                   | Ability to improve comprehensive quality of sports talents                        |

4 Establishment of Teaching Evaluation Model for Public PE

4.1 Evaluation index set and scheme set for public PE

To evaluate the teaching quality of public PE of multiple evaluation objects, reasonable evaluation standards and indices should be selected according to the objective situations of the teaching of public PE. Based above-mentioned guidance principles and the constructed evaluation index systems, here it’s assumed that there are $n$ evaluation indices of the teaching quality of public PE, the $j$-th index is represented by $u_j$, then the evaluation index set $U$ of the teaching quality of public PE is:

$$U = \{u_1, \ldots, u_j, \ldots, u_n\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)  

Assume there are a total of $m$ objects participating in the evaluation of the teaching quality of public PE, then each evaluation object can be regarded as a decision-making plan about the evaluation index set, therefore, the scheme set $C$ of the evaluation objects of public PE can be expressed as:

$$C = \{C_1, \ldots, C_j, \ldots, C_m\}$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

4.2 Weights of evaluation indices

In the evaluation index set $U$, each evaluation index has different effects on the evaluation result; in order to evaluation these different effects, it’s necessary to assign different weight values to different evaluation indices of public PE. The AHP method has the characteristics of simple calculation, reliable results, and the calculation data are easy to obtain, therefore, this paper adopted AHP \cite{20-22} to obtain the weight...
values of the evaluation indices of public PE. The specific implementation process is as follows.

First, using the expert scoring method, the degrees of the importance of any two evaluation indices were compared in pairs, and the importance of the i-th evaluation index with respect to the j-th evaluation index is marked as $a_{ij}$, then, a judgement matrix $A$ of the evaluation indices of public PE can be obtained as:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

(3)

where, $n$ is the number of evaluation indices of public PE, it satisfies $1 \leq i,j \leq n$.

Under normal conditions, the value of $a_{ij}$ is assigned using 1-9 scales, and it satisfies $a_{ij} = 1/a_{ji}$, $a_{ii} = 1$.

Second, the weight sequence $W$ of the evaluation indices of public PE could be obtained as:

$$W = \left( w_1, \ldots, w_i, \ldots, w_n \right)^T$$

(4)

where,

$$w_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{a_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij}}}$$

(5)

Then, judgement matrix $A$ is subject to consistency check, and the maximum eigenvalue $\lambda_{max}$ of judgement matrix $A$ could be obtained as:

$$\lambda_{max} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(A \ast W)_i}{w_i}$$

(6)

If it satisfies:

$$CR < 0.1$$

(7)

wherein,

$$CI = \frac{\lambda_{max} - n}{n-1}$$

(8)

$$CR = CI / RI$$

(9)
The value of random consistency index $RI$ can be selected from the corresponding table according to the value of $n$.

If it satisfies:

$$CR \geq 0.1$$

It indicates that the judgement matrix $A$ does not meet the requirements of the consistency check, the obtained weight value of the evaluation index is invalid, the judgement analysis needs to be conducted again.

4.3 Normalization of evaluation indices of public PE

Because the values of the obtained evaluation indices are often fuzzy values, this paper adopted the triangular fuzzy numbers to express the fuzzy values. Assume $V_{ij}$ is the initial value of the evaluation index of the i-th evaluation object with respect to the j-th evaluation index, it can be expressed as:

$$V_{ij} = \left\{ v_{ij}^{LE}, v_{ij}^{MI}, v_{ij}^{RI} \right\}$$

where, $v_{ij}^{MI}$ represents the most accurate value or the optimal value of the i-th evaluation object with respect to the j-th evaluation index, $v_{ij}^{LE}$ is the left deviation of $v_{ij}^{MI}$, $v_{ij}^{RI}$ is the right deviation of $v_{ij}^{MI}$.

The initial judgment data matrix can be obtained as:

$$V = \begin{bmatrix}
\{ v_{11}^{LE}, v_{11}^{MI}, v_{11}^{RI} \} & \cdots & \{ v_{1n}^{LE}, v_{1n}^{MI}, v_{1n}^{RI} \} \\
\{ v_{21}^{LE}, v_{21}^{MI}, v_{21}^{RI} \} & \cdots & \{ v_{2n}^{LE}, v_{2n}^{MI}, v_{2n}^{RI} \} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\{ v_{m1}^{LE}, v_{m1}^{MI}, v_{m1}^{RI} \} & \cdots & \{ v_{mn}^{LE}, v_{mn}^{MI}, v_{mn}^{RI} \}
\end{bmatrix}$$

If the j-th public PE evaluation index is a benefit-type index, after it has been subject to the dimensionless processing, the normalized value $U_{ij}$ is obtained as:

$$U_{ij} = \{ u_{ij}^{LE}, u_{ij}^{MI}, u_{ij}^{RI} \} = \left( \frac{u_{ij}^{LE}}{\max_{i \in \text{Ei}} v_{ij}^{RI}}, \frac{u_{ij}^{MI}}{\max_{i \in \text{Ei}} v_{ij}^{RI}}, \frac{u_{ij}^{RI}}{\max_{i \in \text{Ei}} v_{ij}^{RI}} \right)$$

If the j-th public PE evaluation index is a cost-type index, after it has been subject to the dimensionless processing, the normalized value $U_{ij}$ is obtained as:

$$U_{ij} = \{ u_{ij}^{LE}, u_{ij}^{MI}, u_{ij}^{RI} \} = \left( \min_{i \in \text{Ei}} v_{ij}^{LE}, \min_{i \in \text{Ei}} v_{ij}^{MI}, \min_{i \in \text{Ei}} v_{ij}^{RI} \right)$$
After normalization, the judgment matrix can be obtained as:

\[
\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix}
\{u_{11}^{\text{LE}}, u_{11}^{\text{MI}}, u_{11}^{\text{RI}}\} & \cdots & \{u_{1n}^{\text{LE}}, u_{1n}^{\text{MI}}, u_{1n}^{\text{RI}}\} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\{u_{m1}^{\text{LE}}, u_{m1}^{\text{MI}}, u_{m1}^{\text{RI}}\} & \cdots & \{u_{mn}^{\text{LE}}, u_{mn}^{\text{MI}}, u_{mn}^{\text{RI}}\}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(15)

4.4 Fuzzy evaluation process of public PE

According to the fuzzy system theory, for the j-th public PE evaluation index, the corresponding fuzzy membership degree function \(\delta(U_{ij})\) can be expressed as:

\[
\delta(U_{ij}) = \begin{cases}
0, & U_{ij} \notin [u_{ij}^{\text{MI}}(O) - u_{ij}^{\text{LE}}(O), u_{ij}^{\text{MI}}(O)] \\
1, & U_{ij} = u_{ij}^{\text{MI}}(O) \\
\frac{u_{ij}^{\text{MI}}(O) + u_{ij}^{\text{RI}}(O) - U_{ij}}{u_{ij}^{\text{RI}}(O) - U_{ij}} - U_{ij}, & U_{ij} = u_{ij}^{\text{MI}}(O) + u_{ij}^{\text{RI}}(O)
\end{cases}
\]

(16)

where, \(v_{ij}^{\text{MI}}(O)\) represents the optimal value of the j-th evaluation index, \(v_{ij}^{\text{LE}}(O)\) is the left deviation of \(v_{ij}^{\text{MI}}(O)\), \(v_{ij}^{\text{RI}}(O)\) is the right deviation of \(v_{ij}^{\text{MI}}(O)\).

Then for the j-th evaluation index of public PE, the fuzzy distance \(D_{ij}\) between the i-th evaluation object and the optimal triangular fuzzy number [23-26] is:

\[
D_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left( (d_{ij}^{\text{LE}})^2 + (d_{ij}^{\text{MI}})^2 + (d_{ij}^{\text{RI}})^2 \right)
\]

(17)

where,

\[
\begin{align*}
(d_{ij}^{\text{LE}}) &= (u_{ij}^{\text{MI}}(O) - u_{ij}^{\text{LE}}(O)) - (u_{ij}^{\text{MI}}(O) - u_{ij}^{\text{LE}}(O)) \\
(d_{ij}^{\text{MI}}) &= u_{ij}^{\text{MI}}(O) - u_{ij}^{\text{MI}}(O) \\
(d_{ij}^{\text{RI}}) &= (u_{ij}^{\text{MI}}(O) + u_{ij}^{\text{RI}}(O)) - (u_{ij}^{\text{MI}}(O) + u_{ij}^{\text{RI}}(O))
\end{align*}
\]

(18)

According to the physical meaning of fuzzy distance, greater \(D_{ij}\) value indicates longer distance between the i-th evaluation object and the optimal triangular fuzzy number; and smaller \(D_{ij}\) value indicates shorter distance between the i-th evaluation object and the optimal triangular fuzzy number. Based on this, the fuzzy nearness \(\tau_{ij}\) between the i-th evaluation object and the optimal triangular fuzzy number could be obtained as:
\[ \tau_{ij} = 1 - D_{ij} \]  

(19)

Then, the weighted fuzzy comprehensive correlation degree \( \sigma_i \) of the i-th evaluation object of public PE can be expressed as:

\[ \sigma_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (w_j \times \tau_{ij}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (w_j \times (1 - D_{ij})) \]  

(20)

According to the establishment process of the model, the greater the value of \( \sigma_i \), the better the public PE teaching quality of the i-th evaluation object, and vice versa. Therefore, according to the size of \( \sigma_i \), the optimal evaluation object of public PE could be obtained.

5 Measures to Improve the Teaching Quality of Public PE

Using the above-established index systems and model, we can evaluate the public PE teaching quality of evaluation objects and obtain the corresponding analysis results. For those evaluation objects with inadequate public PE teaching quality, targeted strategic analysis and proper improvement measures are required to improve their teaching effects and level of public PE. This paper holds that, such improvement measures need to consider two aspects: the quality of theoretical teaching, and the quality of practical teaching. Public PE lessons generally involve the content of multiple subjects, including sports basics, sports techniques, and sport sociology, etc.; and the teaching content covers a wide range, such as basic sports knowledge, sport morphology, exercise physiology, sports biochemistry, sports biomechanics, sports medicine, sports psychology, sport pedagogy and philosophy, sport statistics, and sports history, etc. For this reason, to do a good job in the theoretical teaching of public PE, this paper proposes to take measures from the following aspects:

1. Increase knowledge reserves of sports students and enhance knowledge absorption:

   For sports students, their test scores are generally composed of two parts: the physical test scores and cultural class scores. Besides cultural lessons, students have to spend a lot of time on sports training, especially for students with specialties in sports, the time they spent on this aspect is quite different from others, which has resulted in that their cultural knowledge reserve is relatively deficient, and thus affecting their learning of many professional courses; in particular, when they are learning theoretical knowledge in public PE lessons, they would have difficulty in learning specialized knowledge such as sports morphology knowledge, sports physiology knowledge, sports biochemistry knowledge, sports biomechanics knowledge, sports medicine knowledge, sports psychology knowledge, sport pedagogy and philosophy knowledge, sport statistics knowledge, and sports history knowledge, etc. By setting up preparatory courses before the PE course, the knowledge shortage problem can be solved using online teaching methods, students can use their vacation time, after school hours or spare times for learning; we can input the information of the students...
into intelligent online learning platforms such as chaoxing.com, MOOC, ke.qq.com, and Flipped Classroom, etc., and assign course learning tasks for them. Moreover, the online and offline teaching methods could be integrated to increase interaction between teachers and students and enhance knowledge absorption.

2. Consider the employment of students based on the teaching of sports knowledge:

Generally speaking, sports students do not have much career choices. Some of them do not have a clear development direction or proper employment orientation when they just enrolled, which has resulted in that some of them get lost in the learning process of public PE lessons and waste their learning time. Students usually have questions and doubts about the courses during the learning process of public PE lessons, therefore, it’s suggested to give more detailed explanations when they choose their PE courses, it’ll be better if examples of real cases could be provided, in such case, sports students could select their PE lessons more flexibly and independently according to their own development directions, not just blindly follow the choice of others or make choices out of inaccurate first impression of the course names. At the same time, when formulating sports student training plans, the schools should fully consider the students’ employment directions, and formulate the teaching schemes based on their employment expectations; when arranging the lessons, they should consider both the students’ characteristics and the social requirements. For instance, the sports major can refer to the student training plans of some engineering majors, arrange basic courses and professional basic courses in earlier stages, and subdivide the disciplines in later stages, one example is that the sports major can be subdivided into sports teachers, coaches, professional athletes, rehabilitation trainers, etc., and different training plans should be formulated for these different subdivisions.

3. Reasonably plan the public PE lessons and improve teaching methods:

The course content of public PE is relatively rich; therefore, its teaching plans have the special features of the sports profession, and it requires to combine more theoretical and practical knowledges. Since the knowledge teaching of PE should be systematic and logical, it needs a gradual process to lay a solid foundation for the cultivation of high-level sports professionals. Only by reasonably and scientifically planning the public PE lessons can they become a systematic and logical teaching system. In addition, proper teaching methods should be adopted from two aspects, on the one hand, from the PE teachers’ perspective, they should think hard and figure out how to make the course more vivid and interesting, so as to trigger students’ interest in learning and make them have a correct learning attitude; for example, teachers can use multiple teaching methods such as classroom discussion, homework assignment, social investigation, and multimedia teaching; and the assessment methods should not be limited to paper examinations; other examination forms such as oral examinations, open-book examinations and practical exercises are all assessment methods that are suitable for PE, and they can truly stimulate the potential of students, and understand their learning status. On the other hand, PE teachers should reasonably arrange the course time, different from other disciplines, sports lessons are greatly affected by the environ-
ment, therefore, it needs suitable seasons, venues, and equipment to form a good teaching environment; moreover, besides the learning of theoretical knowledge, sports students need to do professional exercises, and reasonably arranged class time allows them to keep a good learning state and concentrate on learning.

4. Do a good job in the practice link of public PE and improve the ability of system training:

Besides theoretical learning, the most important part of the practice teaching of PE is the professional training of the students, no doubt sports students have to pass a series of selections to be enrolled in sports major, before entering the learning of next stage, they generally have their respective specialties, and this has determined that before entering colleges, they have already had excellent physical and professional qualities. However, some sports students get slack in their training and fail to take the opportunity provided by colleges, they haven’t continued the systematic training, which resulted in that their basic physical qualities and skills had not been effectively improved. For this reason, it is necessary to set sports training as a compulsory course for sports majors in every semester, so as to ensure that they could effectively improve their basic physical qualities and skills in the learning process of public PE; meanwhile, we can also consider to set a few selective professional training courses, as well as some curriculum activities such as fun games to encourage students to learn and train.

5. Increase resource input in public PE and improve the teaching quality:

An important measure to improve the teaching competitiveness of public PE is to increase the input of teaching resources, which is a basic guarantee for the teaching activities of public PE. This paper holds that, to increase resource input in public PE, we must do a good job in the following aspects: first, strengthen the faculty of public PE, introduce or cultivate high-quality and competent professional teachers, and construct a teaching team of public PE with a good hierarchical structure; second, strengthen the construction of supporting facilities for public PE, thereby providing a good support for PE teaching and effectively improving the learning effect of PE lessons; third, increase material, financial and manpower input in the curriculum planning of public PE, so as to provide effective support for teaching activity implementation, teaching scheme planning, and teaching result transformation of public PE lessons, and thereby promoting the completion of teaching tasks and plans and improving the teaching quality of public PE. Moreover, besides these measures, we can also consider to improve the teaching concept, teaching management system, curriculum system construction and student management of public PE combining with the characteristics of the times.
6 Conclusion

The works of this paper are mainly reflected in the following aspects: first, it analyzed factors that can affect the teaching quality of public PE and constructed a few improved evaluation index systems from multiple perspectives, which provided an important support for the perfection of the evaluation index system of public PE; second, based on the fuzzy system theory, it adopted AHP to propose a teaching quality evaluation model for public PE, which realized the processing of fuzzy information during the teaching evaluation process; third, it gave a few measures to improve the teaching quality of public PE, which has good guidance and reference significance.
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