Taxonomic Position and Phylogeny of the Genus *Vargasiella* (Orchidaceae, Vandoideae) Based on Molecular and Morphological Evidence
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**Abstract**

Since the description of the Neotropical genus *Vargasiella* in 1952, its taxonomic position has remained unclear, mainly due to a lack of sufficient data. In this study, the taxonomic position of *Vargasiella* was revised based on the outcomes of macro- and micromorphological studies, analyses of selected molecular markers and ecological methods of niche distribution modeling. The phylogenetic relationships were inferred using three DNA markers: *matK*, *trnL-F* and ITS sequences. The morphological studies included the analysis of macromorphological features of herbarium specimens as well as micromorphological examination of preserved flowers. The ecological niche modeling was applied to identify the distribution of the suitable niches of the studied taxa. The relationships between *Vargasiella* and most similar taxa remain unresolved based on the molecular analysis. The outcomes from the morphological studies indicated significant differences between *Vargasiella*, *Warrea* and *Warreopsis*. Moreover, a niche shift in response to changing climate after the last glacial maximum is observed in *Vargasiella*, while no substantial changes in the occupied habitats were identified in the other related taxa. The clocktree of the Zygopetaleae estimated from the *matK* gene indicated that the most recent common ancestors of *Vargasiella*, *Warrea* and *Warreopsis* originated in the Miocene, while the divergence time for *Vargasiella* and *Warrea* was assessed at approximately 5.4 Ma ago. *Vargasiella* seems to be an outshoot of the main branch of evolution of the Zygopetaleae. It is noteworthy that the *Vargasiella-Warrea* dichotomy could have taken place later than the divergence of *Warreopsis* from the mutual lineage. The molecular analysis and morphological data suggest that *Vargasiella* and *Warrea* could have evolved from a common ancestor. Accumulation of morphological differences and acceleration of the evolution of *Vargasiella* were more intensive than in other Warreinae and this could probably be synchronized with adaptation to different climatic conditions.
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**Introduction**

The concept of the Neotropical orchid genus *Vargasiella* C.Schweinf. was proposed by Schweinfurth in 1952, along with the description of *Vargasiella peruviana* C. Schweinf., the only species known at that time. Six years later Schweinfurth [1] described another species, *Vargasiella venezuelana* C. Schweinf. Currently, the genus comprises only two species with a disjunctive distribution. They are terrestrial plants growing in wet, dense, submontane or montane forest, rich in epiphytes and lianas (Fig. 1). Populations of *Vargasiella peruviana* occasionally form quite large clumps in seasonally inundated meadows next to the forest edge. This plant’s habit is unusual for orchids (Fig. 2a). Its stem is long, reaching about 1 m in length, creeping and climbing to nearby woody plants or robust grass, rooted occasionally. It appears to be monopodial which could be misleading; however, it becomes very clear that the stem consists of 15–25 cm-long segments if studied carefully. Each segment succeeds one arising from the apical part of the preceding one. The segments do not form any pseudobulbs, each of them is enclothed with 3–5 leaves. The leaf blades are oblong- or elliptic-lanceolate, convolute, thin-textured with 3–5 prominent, longitudinal nerves on the underside. The leaf blade is set on a short petiole, sheathed basally. The inflorescence is produced in the upper part of the segments (Fig. 2b). The peduncle is much longer than the laxly few-flowered raceme, the flowers are medium-sized and, in *V. peruviana*, rather attractive. The basic color is a mixture of deep purple and pink. The sepals and petals of *V. peruviana* are subsimilar, whereas in *V. venezuelana* the petals are wider than the sepals. The lip in both species is slightly different; in *V. peruviana* it is ovate-lanceolate to ligulate, undulate along upper margins with a thickened disc (Fig. 2c). The lip of *V. venezuelana* is elliptic-ovate to almost elliptic-oriculbar, undulate above the cordate base, without any thickening.

The only other orchid genus that might possibly be confused with *Vargasiella* is *Palnorchis* Barb. Rodr.; however, this is possible
only when exclusively vegetative characteristics are considered. Both genera are easily separable at the anthesis.

Since the description of the genus, the taxonomic position of *Vargasiella* has remained vague. Dressler [2] classified *Vargasiella* in his broadly defined tribe Maxillarieae Pfitzer, in the subtribe Zygopetalinae Schltr., into which he included a further 25 genera in four closely related alliances. He stated, however, that the relationship of monopodial *Vargasiella* to other genera of the subtribe is uncertain. Later, he suggested raising *Vargasiella* to subtribal rank [3], but upheld its position in the Maxillarieae. Simultaneously, he expressed doubts regarding the monopodial type of growth reported in that genus. Such a subtribe was validated in the same year by Romero and Carnevali [4]. In turn, Senghas [5] placed *Vargasiella* in the subtribe Liparidinae Lindl. ex Miq., based on the presence of naked pollinia which had already been reported by Schweinfurth [6], but without taking into consideration, however, the numerous differences in the gynostemium organization noted in these two taxa. In 1996, Senghas [5] placed *Vargasiella* in the subtribe Liparidinae Lindl. ex Miq., based on the presence of naked pollinia which had already been reported by Schweinfurth [6], but without taking into consideration, however, the numerous differences in the gynostemium organization noted in these two taxa. In 1996, Senghas [7] included the genus in the subtribal rank of the tribe Oncidieae Pfitzer, along with, inter alia, Dichacinae Schltr., Pachyphyllinae Pfitzer, Ornithocephalinae Schltr. and Oncidiinae Benth. Szlachetko [8] into coming to the conclusion that Vargasiellinae, Dichacinae and Pachyphyllinae might be cognate and he accepted the tribe Dichaeae Pfitzer. Pridgeon et al. [9] included Vargasiellinae in the broadly defined Cymbidieae Pfitzer.

While the taxonomy of numerous orchid genera are still discussed and their systematic position is debated by scientists, especially when morphological data are in conflict with molecular analyses, the inconsistency in the classification of *Vargasiella* has mostly been related to the lack of material for genetic studies and the insufficient number of specimens for morphological examination. Both *Vargasiella* species have been growing in remote areas of Bolivia, Peru and Venezuela. Unfortunately, all efforts to keep them alive via cultivation have failed. During the scientific expeditions (2007, 2008) conducted to the Peruvian Departments of Loreto and Amazonas, we had the opportunity to study specimens of *Vargasiella peruviana* in situ. Based on macro- and micromorphological studies, analyses of selected molecular markers and ecological niche distribution modeling methods, we made an attempt to clarify the taxonomic placement of *Vargasiella*.

### Material and Methods

#### Field study

The population of *Vargasiella peruviana* has been found in the Peruvian District Molinopampa (Amazonas, Prov. Chachapoyas), about 25 km E of Chachapoyas, at the altitude of about 2400 m, in the mountainous area covered by patches of low forest separated by pasture and wet meadows. The plants have been found growing both inside and along edges of a forest. The standard measurements of vegetative parts and photographic documentation was taken in the field. The samples of the specimens collected in the Departments of Loreto and Amazonas for morphological and molecular analyses were collected thanks to the courtesy of Mr. Manolo Arias and his Peruana Orchid Nursery.

#### Molecular study

**DNA Isolation.** Fresh leaf samples were preserved in silica gel. Total genomic DNA was extracted from 20 mg of dried leaves [11] using the DNA Mini Plant (A&A Biotechnology, Poland) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Plant tissues were homogenized in a sterilized mortar and kept at ~65°C for 12 hours.

**Amplification.** Nuclear ITS region (ITS1-5.8S-ITS2) was amplified by Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) method using the primers 17SE and 26SE [12] in a T1 thermocycler (Biometra, Germany).

---

**Figure 1. Habitat of Vargasiella peruviana C.Schweinf., Peru, Molinopampa (T. Kusibab).**

**Figure 2. Vargasiella peruviana C.Schweinf.** (A) Plant in situ; stem marked with red arrows. (B) Inflorescence. (C) Lip closeup. Photos: T. Kusibab.
Table 1. Statistics for one of the most parsimomous trees from each analysis (CI - consistency index; RI - retention index).

| matrix                | matK | trnL-F | ITS | matK/trnL-F/ITS |
|-----------------------|------|--------|-----|-----------------|
| No. of taxa           | 85   | 82     | 85  | 85              |
| Included positions in matrix | 1327 | 1389   | 842 | 3558            |
| Variable site         | 233  | 286    | 374 | 1392            |
| Parsimony-informative sites | 134  | 132    | 263 | 529             |
| Trees (MPT)           | 48   | 416    | 3258| 10000           |
| Fitch tree length     | 374  | 995    | 818 | 1640            |
| CI                    | 0.72 | 0.77   | 0.77| 0.68            |
| RI                    | 0.83 | 0.86   | 0.86| 0.84            |

Germany). PCR was carried out in a volume of 50 µl. The PCR mixture contained: ddH2O, 5 µl 10x Polymerase buffer with 15 mM MgCl2, 2 µl of 5 mM mix of each dNTP (200 µM), 0.3 µl of 20 mM primers, 2 µl DMSO, 2.0 units of Taq DNA Polymerase (EURx, Gdańsk, Poland) and genomic DNA. The thermal cycling protocol of the PCR consisted of 5 min initial denaturation at 94°C, 28 cycles, each with 45s denaturation at 94°C, 45 s annealing at 53°C and an extension of 60 s at 72°C, ending with an extension of 5 min at 72°C.

The matK gene (about 1300 bp) was amplified with the following two primers: - 19F [13] and 1326R [14]. The PCR mixture contained: ddH2O, 5 µl 10x Polymerase buffer with 15 mM MgCl2, 1 µl of 10 mM mix of each dNTP (200 µM), 0.3 µl of 20 mM primers, 2 µl MgCl2 [50 mM], 2.0 units of Taq DNA Polymerase and genomic DNA. The thermal cycling protocol comprised 28 cycles, each with 45 s denaturation at 94°C, 45 s annealing at 53°C, an extension of 2 min 30 s at 72°C, concluding with an extension of 5 min at 72°C.

The trnL-F region containing the trnL intron and the trnL-trnF intergenic spacer was amplified using primers trnL-c and trnL-F as described by Taberlet [15]. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplifications were carried out in a total volume of 25 µl containing 5 µl 5x buffer, 1 µl 50 mM MgCl2, 1 µl 5 mM dNTPs, 0.5 µl of 10 µM of each primers, and 1.0 unit of Blue Perpetual DNA polymerase (EURx, Gdańsk, Poland). The thermal cycling protocol of the PCR consisted of 5 min initial denaturation at 90°C, 30 cycles, each with 60 s denaturation at 94°C, 60 s annealing at 51°C and an extension of 2 min at 65°C, ending with an extension of 7 min at 65°C. Amplified products were cleaned with High Pure PCR Product Purification Kit (Roche Diagnostic GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) following the manufacturer protocol.

Sequencing. Cycle sequencing was carried out directly on the purified product using a Big Dye Terminator v 3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, Cheshire, UK); 2 µl of sequencing buffer, 2 µl of Big Dye terminator with 2 µl of 0.08 mM primer [1.6 pmol], 2–4 µl of amplified product (30–90 ng/µl), and ddH2O in a total reaction volume of 10 µl. The PCR primers were used to sequence both strands of ITS and trnL-F. Two PCR primers (~19F, 1326R) and one internal primer (390F) were used [14] to sequence the matK gene. Cycle sequencing condition for two strands (all regions) were as follows: 20 s initial denaturation followed by 25 cycles each with 15 s denaturation (94°C), 5 s annealing (50°C) and 4 min elongation (60°C) using T1 thermocycler (Biometra, Germany). Sequencing reactions were purified with an ExT terminator Kit (A&A Biotechnology, Gdynia, Poland) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Pelleted samples were sequenced on an Applied Biosystems 377 automated sequencer. Both strands were sequenced to ensure accuracy in base calling. A Sequence Navigator (Applied Biosystems) was used to edit the sequences and each individual base position was examined for agreement between the two strands using AutoAssembler (Applied Biosystems) software. The matK, trnL-F (containing trnL intron and trnL-trnF intergenic spacer), and ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 (nuclear ribosomal DNA; referred to as ITS) sequences for Vargasella peruviana were deposited in the International Nucleotide Sequence Databases (INSD) under accession numbers KF938587/KF938588/KF938586 respectively.

Phylogenetic analysis. To enlarge the dataset for all representatives of the subtribe Zygopetalinae, additional 84 sequences were taken from INSD. All sequences for matK, trnL-F, and ITS are derived from the publication of Whitten [10] (INSD P0200482/580042546/580042630 respectively) except for Warrea warreana (AF239417 – matK, AF239513 – trnL, AF239321 – ITS). The list of analysed sequences downloaded from INSD is provided in Annex S1. The DNA sequences were aligned by ClustalX [16] and adjusted by eye using Seaview [17]. Maximum parsimony analyses were undertaken using heuristic searches in PAUP* version beta 10 [18] with tree-hisecion Reconconnection (TBR) branch swapping and the MULTREES (holding multiple trees) option in effect with 1000 replicates of random sequence addition. Only 10 trees were saved for each replicate to reduce the time spent in swapping large numbers of suboptimal trees. All characteristics were treated as unordered and as equally weighted [19]. Tree length, consistency index (CI) and retention index (RI) were estimated. The internal support of clades was evaluated using non-parametric bootstrapping [20] with 1000 replicates and the same settings as above, except for the simple sequence addition. Incongruence between data sets was tested using the incongruence-length difference (ILD) test [21] using PAUP*.

Time calibration. The matK matrix was used to estimate the calibration point for MRCA (most recent common ancestor) of the Warrea-Vargasella clade. The Bayesian uncorrelated relaxed molecular clock approach implemented in BEAST [22] was used. The age for the root of the tree was set to a normal prior distribution with a mean of 19 Ma and a standard deviation of 5.0 (giving a 95% CI ranging from c. 11–27 Ma) corresponding to the resulting age estimate for the Oncidium-Lycaste clade from a calibration based on the analysis of matK+trnL for Orchidaceae [23]. The Yule process was chosen as the speciation process for the matK data matrix. The
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Akaike Information Criterion in a ModelTest v.3.7 [24] was used to choose the best-fitting evolutionary model for matK (GTR+Γ+I). Runs were performed in BEAST with 20 million generations each. Log files were analysed with Tracer v1.5 [25]. All resulting trees were then combined with LogCombiner v1.7.3 [22], with a burn-in of 20%. A maximum credibility tree was then produced using TreeAnnotator v1.5.3 [22].

Macromorphological features

The examined plant material was obtained from the AMES, MO, UGDA and USM herbaria. Moreover, the observations and remarks made during two scientific expeditions (2007, 2008) to the Peruvian departments of Loreto and Amazonas were included into the analysis. The herbarium material was prepared according to the standard classical taxonomy procedure and examined under a stereomicroscope. The morphological descriptions were based on the observation of the type and non-type specimens deposited at AMES and preserved in liquid (UGDA, USM). The following vegetative characters of individual plants were analysed: stem (height, type of growth), leaves (number, size, shape), sheaths (number, shape, size), inflorescence (size, density), floral bracts (shape), flowers taken from the middle part of the inflorescence (size and surface of pedicel and ovary, presence of mentum, size and shape of perianth parts), as well as gynostemium (size and shape of the column, presence of column foot). The most discriminative characters have been selected and used in both phenetic as well as cladistic analyses (Annex S2, Annex S3). Particular parts of the flower were softened in boiling water prior to dissection followed by stereomicroscope examination and drawing. The database of the line-drawings and photographs of all studied specimens is available in the first author’s archives. A key for determination of the Vargasiella species is provided. The line drawings were prepared using CorelDRAW Graphics Suite 12.

Macromorphological analyses

To create hierarchic phenograms and cladograms, the PAST program [26] was used. A distance matrix was created using the most common Euclidean measure and middle links rule unweighted pair-group average (UPGMA) as an amalgamation rule. Neighbor joining is a bottom-up clustering method for the creation of phylogenetic trees proposed by Saitou and Nei [27]. The principle of this method is to find pairs of taxa (neighbors) that minimize the total branch length at each stage of the clustering of taxa. Finally, a character-based cladistical method - maximum parsimony with Fitch maximum parsimony algorithm – was used, which rapidly and precisely evaluates the minimum number of changes. This method infers a phylogenetic tree by minimizing the total number of evolutionary steps required to explain a given set of data or, in other words, by minimizing the total tree length (Occam’s razor). Vargasiella was treated as an output group and for searching for the optimal tree structure we used heuristic algorithm tree bisection and reconnection (TBR), that detaches

---

**Figure 3.** One of the most parsimonious trees in the ITS, matK and trnL-F analyses for Zygopetalinae Schltr. The Vargasiella peruviana C.Schweinf. is highlighted in bold. The numbers above branches are Fitch branch lengths. Bootstrap percentages >50 are given for supported clades below branches. Arrows indicate clades that collapse in the strict consensus tree. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098472.g003

**Figure 4.** Clocktree of Zygopetalinae estimated from the matK gene. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098472.g004
a subtree from the main tree at an interior node and then attempts all possible connections between branches of the two trees thus created. For phylogenetic estimation, we used a bootstrap calculation with 1000 sampling.

**Micromorphological study**

Fresh flowers were gathered and preserved in Kew Mixture in the following proportions: 70% of 95% ethanol, 5% of glycerin, 5% of formaldehyde and 20% of distillate water. The plant material was examined using a Nikon SMZ 1500 light microscope with a Nikon Digital Sight DS-Fi1 camera. For observations in the scanning electron microscope (SEM) the samples kept in the Kew mixture were dehydrated through ethanol series. To preserve the surface structure of the specimens, the procedure of critical-point drying in an Emitech K850 Critical Point Dryer apparatus was applied prior to mounting the samples on SEM stubs with an SPI Carbon Conductive Double Sided Adhesive Disc. Then, the plant material was gold-coated (Sputter Coater Spi-Module). The samples were examined and imaged in a Phillips XL-30 Scanning Electron Microscope operating at an accelerating voltage of 15kV.

**Ecological niche modeling**

The ecological niche modeling (ENM) was applied to locate the glacial refuge areas and to estimate the potential range of *Vargasiella* and *Warrea* based on the suitable habitat distribution. Because the biogeographic data about both studied taxa are poor, we decided to include *Warreopsis* into the ENM analysis as an additional datum. The list of localities used in the analysis was compiled based on the data taken from the herbarium specimens’ labels complemented by information from the electronic database of Missouri Botanical Garden (available at www.tropicos.org). Only those localities which could be precisely placed on the map were used in the ecological niche modeling. Six locations of *Vargasiella*, 19 *Warrea* and 10 *Warreopsis* populations were used (Annex S4), which is more than the minimum number required to obtain reliable predictions in the MaxEnt application [28]. As input data of 19 climatic variables in 2.5 arc minutes (±21.62 km² at the equator) developed by Hijmans and others [29] as well as the elevation data were used (Table S1). The bioclimatic data for the last glacial maximum (LGM, between 26500 and 19000–20000 years ago) were developed and mapped by Paleoclimate Modeling Intercomparison Project Phase II [30]. To the accuracy of the modeling, the maximum iterations was set to 10,000 and convergence threshold to 0.00001. For each run 20% of the data were used to be set aside as test points [31]. The "random seed" option which provided random test partition and background subset for each run was applied. Each run was performed as a bootstrap with 1000 sampling and the output was set to logistics. Models were evaluated using the threshold-independent area under the Receiver Operator Characteristic curve (AUC), which is

---

**Figure 5. Results of phenetic analysis.** (A) UPGMA dendrogram, Euclidean distance (B) Neighbor joining dendrogram, Euclidean distance (C) Parsimony heuristic search TBR cladogram, Fitch optimization with bootstrap.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098472.g005
| Character | Vargasiella | Warrea | Warreopsis | Maxillaria | Oncidium |
|-----------|------------|--------|------------|------------|----------|
| Pseudobulbs | absent | homoblastic | homoblastic | heteroblastic | heteroblastic |
| Leaves | convolute | convolute | convolute | conduplicate | conduplicate |
| Inflorescence | subapical, many- | subbasal, many- | subbasal, many- | basal, single- | basal, many- |
| | flowered | flowered | flowered | flowered | flowered |
| Lip | simple, oblong to elliptic | simple, flabellate | flabellate, | prominently | variously |
| | sessile to sessile | sessile to clawed | clawed | 3-lobed, lobed | sessile |
| Callus | obscure | radiating | prominent, from the base | simple, oblong | complex |
| | along nerves | flabellate | | | |
| Gynostemium | erect, rather short | elongate, slightly short | slightly short, slender | short, slender or erect, rather | short, slender or erect, robust, or stout, slightly robust |
| | robust, gently | elongate or slightly arched | short, slender | or erect, rather | or erect, rather |
| Column foot | short, short | short, but robust, gently | short, but | robust, gently as long as | robust, gently as long as |
| | robust, narrowing | robust | narrowing | robust, narrowing | narrowing |
| | towards the apex | towards the apex | | | |
| Stigma | large, deeply concave | rather large, deeply concave | rather large, deeply concave | large, elliptic, deeply concave | large, ovate to elliptic, deeply concave |
| | concave | deeply concave | deeply concave | concave | concave |
| | partially hidden by rostellum | partially hidden by rostellum | | | |
| Anther | ventral, flattened | ventral, flattened | ventral, subapical, flattened | subapical, flattened | subapical, flattened |
| | transversely incumbent, ellipsoid- | incumbent, ellipsoid- | incumbent, ellipsoid- | incumbent, ellipsoid- | incumbent, ellipsoid- |
| | dorsiventrally ovoid- | dorsiventrally ovoid- | dorsiventrally ovoid- | dorsiventrally ovoid- | dorsiventrally ovoid- |
| Pollinia | 4 in two compressed | 4, in two compressed | 4, in two compressed | 4, in two compressed | 2, subglobose, compressed |
| | pairs, unequal in dorsiventrally | pairs, almost unequal in dorsiventrally | pairs, almost unequal in dorsiventrally | pairs, almost unequal in dorsiventrally | pairs, almost unequal in dorsiventrally |
| | subequal, unequal in | superposed, unequal in | superposed, unequal in | superposed, unequal in | superposed, unequal in |
| | ovoid- | size, | size, | size, | size, |
| | ellipsoid- | size, | size, | size, | size, |
| | compressed, dorsiventrally | compressed, dorsiventrally | compressed, dorsiventrally | compressed, dorsiventrally | compressed, dorsiventrally |
| | ovoid- | ovoid- | ovoid- | ovoid- | ovoid- |
| | ventral | ventral | ventral | ventral | ventral |
| | hard | hard | hard | hard | hard |

Table 2. Comparison of main morphological characters between Vargasiella, Warrea, Warreopsis, Maxillaria and Oncidium.
a measure of discrimination. All operations on the GIS data were carried out on ArcGis 9.3 [32].

Range and niche overlap
The overlapping of the ranges and niches calculated for LGM and present time were determined using ENMTools application.

Table 2. Cont.

| Character         | Vargasiella | Warrea  | Warreopsis | Maxillaria | Oncidium |
|-------------------|-------------|---------|------------|------------|----------|
|                   |             |         |            |            |          |
|                   |             |         |            |            |          |
|                   |             |         |            |            |          |
|                   |             |         |            |            |          |
|                   |             |         |            |            |          |
| Tegula            | single,     | single, | single,    | single,    | single,  |
|                   | oblong,     | oblong, | oblong,    | elliptic-ovate | thin, lamellate |
|                   | lamellate   | widest near | attenuate | to crepiform, |          |
|                   |             | the base, towards acute | thin, |          |          |
|                   |             | narrowed, apex, | lamellate |          |          |
|                   |             | gradually lamellar |          |          |          |
|                   |             | towards the |          |          |          |
|                   |             | apex, thin, | lamellar |          |          |
| Tegula            |             |         |            |            |          |
|                   |             |         |            |            |          |
|                   |             |         |            |            |          |
|                   |             |         |            |            |          |
|                   |             |         |            |            |          |
| Viscidium         | elliptic-  | single, | single,    | single,    | single,  |
|                   | cordate,   | elliptic-ovate | elliptic-ovate | narrow, | elliptic, |
|                   | distinctly 2- | or elliptic- | lamellar | transversely | fleshy |
|                   | lobed at the | rhombic, | elliptic, |          |          |
|                   | apex       | attenuate | triangular or |          |          |
|                   | towards the |           |           |          |          |
|                   | apex and   |           |           |          |          |
|                   | base, lamellar |         |           |          |          |
|                   | thin       |           |           |          |          |
| Rostellum         | short and  | relatively | dome-like, | short, conical- |          |
|                   | broad      |           |           |           |          |
|                   | lobed, the | dome-like, 3- | short, | digitate in the |          |
|                   | middle lobe | lobed, the | shallowly |          |          |
|                   | ligulate- | middle lobe | sinuous in |          |          |
|                   | triangular, | ligulate, side | front |          |          |
|                   | acute, side | lobes obscure |          |          |          |
|                   |           | lobes |          |          |          |
|                   |           | obscure, |          |          |          |
|                   |           | separated by |          |          |          |
|                   |           | shallow sinus |          |          |          |
|                   |           | from the |          |          |          |
|                   |           | middle lobe |          |          |          |
| Rostellum remnant | with short | 3-lobed, the | 3-lobed | deeply | bilobulate at |
|                   | apiculus in | middle lobe | notched at | the middle, |          |
|                   | the middle | subulate, | the apex | with oblique |          |
|                   |           | acute, rigid, | shallowly |          |          |
|                   |           | both side | concave plate |          |          |
|                   |           | lobes much | between acute |          |          |
|                   |           | reduced, | lobules |          |          |
|                   |           | obtuse |          |          |          |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098472.t002
Since the ecological amplitude of the studied taxa seems to be narrow, the threshold used in the calculation of the range overlap was 0.8. The niche overlap was measured using Schoener’s D (D) [33] and I statistic (I) [34]. Schoener’s D was initially developed to compare diet and microhabitats and here it is used with the assumption that direct measures of local species density are comparable with each other. The I statistic is based on the Hellinger distance and measures the ability of the model to estimate the true suitability of a habitat. Both metrics range from 0 (niches completely different) to 1 (total overlap).

**Results**

**Molecular analyses**

The result of the individual and combined analyses are presented in one of the most parsimonious trees. Bootstrap support (BS) above 50% is given for supported clades below branches. Branches that collapse in the strict consensus tree are indicated by arrows. Statistics for one of the most parsimonious trees from each analysis is shown in the Table 1. Statistics for matK, trnL-F, ITS and combined data matrices are separated by “/”. The number of analysed taxa were 85/82/85/85 respectively. The aligned matrix comprised 1327/1389/842/3558 characters of which 233/286/374/1392 were variable and 134/132/263/529 were potentially parsimony informative. The number of the most parsimonious trees were 48/416/3258/10,000. Tree-length was 374/995/818/1640, consistency index (CI) = 0.72/0.77/0.77/0.68, and retention index (RI) = 0.83/0.86/0.86/84. Results from the partition homogeneity test indicate incongruence between all (plastid and nuclear partitions): matK/trnL-F P = 0.01; matK/ITS P = 0.01; trnL-F/ITS P = 0.01; matK/trnL-F/ITS P = 0.01. A visual comparison of the topology and bootstrap support between plastid and ITS data sets shows incongruence between low supported clades. In this case combined analyses were performed. On a combined ITS-matK-trnL-F tree (Fig. 3), the analysed genera are arranged in groups congruent with Szlachetko’s subtribes [8], i.e. Huntleyinae Schltr. (pseudobulbs lacking or obscure), Zygopetalinae s.str. (heteroblastic pseudobulbs) and Warreinae Szlach. (homoblastic pseudobulbs). Dichaeinae (monopodial plants) and Cryptarrheninae Dressl. (pseudobulbs obscure or absent) are nested in this clade as well. In all analyses, Vargasiella composes a highly supported subclade together with Warrea and Warreopsis (ITS 97 BS; matK 95 BS; trnL-F 80 BS (only Warrea-Vargasiella); combined 100 BS). The subclade is situated at the base of the Zygopetalinae sensu lato clade and it is sister to the rest of the taxa (except for the matK tree where it forms...

---

Figure 6. *Vargasiella venezuelana* C. Schweinf. (A) Lip with central fleshy disc divided into two thickenings. (B) Lip base. (C) Details of (B): the irregularly sized rounded cells to obpyriform and conical papillae, visible cuticle swellings on the cells. (D) Lip middle part and apex. (E) Detail of (D). Surface between thickenings built by the obpyriform to slightly conical papillae (F). (G) Conical papillae present on the thickenings; Visible cuticle swellings on the cells (F-G). (H) The involute undulate margins built by groups of elongated conical papillae. (I) Papillae (detail of H) covered by undulated cuticle, cuticle swellings also present.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098472.g006

---
a basal polytomy with the other subclades). The relationships between Warrea, Warreopsis and Vargasiella are not resolved. In all strict consensus trees (not shown) the Warrea-Vargasiella clade present in the matK and combined trees is collapsed.

Tree calibration

The topology of the tree within Zygopetalinae estimated from the matK gene are generally congruent with those from the parsimony analysis (Fig. 4). The most recent common ancestor of Vargasiella, Warrea and Warreopsis originated in the Miocene around 7.5 Ma ago. The divergence time for Vargasiella and Warrea was estimated for approximately 5.4 Ma ago (Miocene/Pliocene).

Macromorphological characters

Regardless of the method of clustering Vargasiella is well separated from all other taxa used in used in phenetic and cladistic analyses (Fig. 5). The genus either occupies the basal position in Zygopetalinae s.l. comprising a branch (UPGMA based phenogram) or the basal position of the whole tree (Neighbor joining and Maximum parsimony), which clearly indicates that there is a morphological gap between Vargasiella and other genera. In Table 2 we present the most important morphological characters of Vargasiella, Warrea and Warreopsis. Admittedly, both taxa could be regarded as related as far as molecular analyses are concerned, however, based on morphological characters, their relation can be questioned.

Micromorphological features

The characteristic feature of the lip of Vargasiella peruviana is the presence of the central fleshy disc which is divided into two calli (Fig. 6A) starting from the base (Fig. 6A-B, D). The epidermis of the lip base is built by irregularly sized rounded cells to obpyriform and conical papillae (Fig. 6C). Between the thickenings (Fig. 6D-E), obpyriform to slightly conical papillae are noticed (Fig. 6E-F). The bigger conical papillae are distinctly visible on the calli (Fig. 6G). The cuticle swellings are noticed on the whole inner lip surface (Fig. 6C, F, G, I). The involute and undulate margins (Fig. 6H) consist of groups of elongated conical papillae, covered by undulated cuticle (Fig. 6I). The stout, short gynostemium with a large, deltoid, deeply concave stigma (Fig. 7A-C) is covered by flat cells with a regularly ridged cuticle (Fig. 7E-G) or conical papillae (Fig. 7D-F). The rounded to conical papillae occurring on the edge of the clinandrium (Fig. 7C-D) are covered by a cuticle with thick ridges. Under the stigma, close to the column margins, a few longer papillae are noticed (Fig. 6E) with visible drops of secretory remnants on their surface (Fig. 7F). The paracytic type of stomata is present (Fig. 7G). The four obovoid-ellipsoid pollinia are grouped in two unequal pairs.

Figure 7. Vargasiella venezuelana C.Schweinf. (A-C) The stout short gynostemium with large, deltoid, deeply concave stigma (A: LM; B-C: SEM). (D) Rounded to conical papillae occurring on the edge of clinandrium covered by ridged cuticle. (E) Surface under the stigma covered by flat cells with regularly ridged cuticle (also visible in F-G); a few longer conical papillae noticed close to the column margins (details in F) with visible drops of secretory remnants on surface. (G) Paracytic type of stomata. (H) The four obovoid-ellipsoid pollinia grouped in two unequal pairs.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098472.g007
Several differences were observed in the morphology of the lip and gynostemium between *V. peruviana* (Fig. 7A-H), *Warrea costaricensis* Schltr. (Fig. 8A-D) and *W. warreana* (Lodd. ex Lindl.) C. Schweinf. (Fig. 8E-F). In both *Warrea* species the central, single callus starts from the smooth lip base (Fig. 8A, E), but the surface of the lip apex is deeply undulate (Fig. 8A, F). The gynostemium is erect, rather short, clavate (Fig. 8B, G, Fig. 9), with a short column foot. The tegula is thin, narrowing gradually towards the apex (Fig. 8B-C, G-H, Fig. 9C, D, E). The rather large, elliptic and deeply concave stigma is partially hidden by the rostellum (Fig. 8B-C, G-H). The four ellipsoid-ovoid pollinia are arranged in two unequal pairs (Fig. 8D, I, Fig. 9G).

**Ecological niche modeling evaluation and the distribution factors**

All repeated ecological niche models received AUC values above 0.9 (Table S2), which confirms the very high sensitivity of the analysis - the models accurately predict the value of an
observational response [35]. The high AUC scores are partially related to the small sample size, especially in Warreopsis models; however, the low standard deviation for all studied taxa observed between the replicate runs for all the studied taxa indicates that all the created models are clustered closely to the mean.

From the studied bioclimatic variables the isothermality (bio3) and altitude (alt) were the most influential bioclimatical factors for Vargasiella distribution. Some contribution to the models derived also from the temperature seasonality.

The variables limiting the distribution of Warrea and Warreopsis species are similar; however, a larger impact is observed in the case of precipitation (upon the impact of the elevation recognized in Vargasiella). The potential range of Warrea warreana and Warreopsis pardina is related to the amount of rainfall in the warmest quarter of the year (bio18), while the total annual precipitation (bio12) defines the geographical limits of Warrea costaricensis and Warreopsis parviflora. A comparison of the percentage contribution of the three most important variables to the models created for the studied taxa is given in Table 3.

**Postulated LGM refuge areas**

The most suitable habitats for Vargasiella during the last glacial maximum (LGM) were probably distributed in the montane regions from the southern part of the Colombian Central Cordillera to the Bolivian Cordillera Real (Fig. 10A). Some potentially available but less suitable niches were probably also located around Pico da Neblina on the border between Venezuela and Brazil as well as near the Brazilian Mato Grosso do Sul. All the identified areas correspond, during LGM, to rock deserts and semi-deserts characterized by low vegetation cover [36].

On the other hand the most suitable habitats for both Warrea species were located in the northern part of the South American

![Figure 9. Warrea hookeriana (Rchb.f.) Rolfe.](doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098472.g009)

**Table 3.** Contribution of most important bioclimatic variables to the ecological niche models of the studied taxa.

| Taxon               | Model            | Var_1 (% contribution) | Var_3 (% contribution) | Var_3 (% contribution) |
|---------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Vargasiella sp.     | LGM model        | Bio3 (56.5)             | alt (22.8)              | Bio4 (8.4)              |
| Vargasiella sp.     | Present-time model| Bio3 (53.4)             | alt (22.5)              | Bio4 (8.5)              |
| Warrea costaricensis| LGM model        | Bio12 (26.1)            | Bio4 (22.9)             | Bio3 (14.7)             |
| Warrea costaricensis| Present-time model| Bio12 (31.9)            | Bio4 (18.9)             | Bio3 (12.8)             |
| Warrea warreana     | LGM model        | Bio3 (44.2)             | Bio18 (13.9)            | Bio4 (13.1)             |
| Warrea warreana     | Present-time model| Bio3 (45)               | Bio18 (16.2)            | Bio4 (12)               |
| Warreopsis pardina  | LGM model        | Bio3 (49.6)             | Bio4 (17.1)             | Bio18 (12.9)            |
| Warreopsis pardina  | Present-time model| Bio3 (54.1)             | Bio4 (15.4)             | Bio18 (9.4)             |
| Warreopsis parviflora| LGM model        | Bio3 (24.6)             | Bio12 (18.8)            | Bio4 (18)               |
| Warreopsis parviflora| Present-time model| Bio3 (23.6)             | Bio4 (21.9)             | Bio12 (17.8)            |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098472.t003
Pacific coast (W. warreana, Fig. 9C) and along the Panamanian Isthmus (W. costaricensis, Fig. 10B). The designated refuge areas were covered in LGM with the tropical rainforest that corresponds to Olsons’ seasonal tropical forest and broad-leaved humid forest (including swamp forest).

The estimated glacial refugia of Warreopsis species differ significantly between the studied species. The suitable ecological niches of W. pardina were limited to the lower parts of the Andean slopes from Peru to Colombia (Fig. 10D), which correspond to Olsons’ savannas and woodlands [36] characterized by the presence of leaf cover above 80 cm from the ground. The suitable habitats for W. parviflora were more widespread and they were located both in the lower montane regions (Andes, Santa Marta, Talamanca) and highlands (Guiana Highlands) as well as in lowland areas (Darién Gap, Fig. 10E). The estimated refugia were covered by Olsons’ [36] woodlands, seasonal tropical forest and swamp forest.

Based on the niche overlap statistics the habitats occupied by Vargasiella species varied significantly from all other studied taxa. The most similar niches were occupied by Warrea warreana (D = 0.4830, I = 0.7632) while the greatest differences are observed in comparison with Warreopsis parviflora (D = 0.2148, I = 0.4565). The glacial habitats of both Warrea species were similar (D = 0.4753, I = 0.7436) whereas the suitable niches of Warreopsis varied slightly between the studied species (D = 0.3404, I = 0.6065).

All calculations of the similarities between the geographical distribution of the niches occupied in LGM by all studied taxa are given in Tables 4–5.

Current potential range

Compared to the LGM range, the current distribution of the habitats suitable for the occurrence of the Vargasiella species is significantly limited both longitudinally as well as latitudinally. The available niches are concentrated from the Ecuadorian and Peruvian Andes to the Altiplano in the south. There is no additional suitable location outside the Andean region (Fig. 11A).

The current potential ranges of both studied Warrea species are discontinuous. The suitable niches of W. costaricensis are distributed along lower parts of Cordillera de Talamanca to Cordillera de Salamanca (Fig. 11B). The other available habitats are located in the Darién region and the northern foothills of the Western Andes Cordillera. The most suitable habitats for W. warreana are located on the Pacific coast of northern South America; however, the essential part of the Amazon basin is characterized by somewhat less favorable, but possibly tolerable bioclimatic conditions (Fig. 11C).

The suitable habitats for Warreopsis pardina are restricted to the lower parts of the northern Andean range from Colombia to the Chamaya river in the south, but the species has not been reported from Peru so far (Fig. 11D). The niches of Warreopsis parviflora are distributed irregularly along the northern Andes and Cordillera de Talamanca. Additional potentially available habitats are located in the Santa Marta mountains (Colombia) and Guiana Highlands (Fig. 11E). It is noteworthy that so far this species has only been collected in Central America.

The similarities between the geographical distribution of the suitable niches of all studied taxa are given in Tables 6–7.

Range and niche shift

While the current and LGM estimated distribution of the suitable habitats seem to be similar, the calculated values indicate the significant differences in both, ranges and niches occupied by Vargasiella in the studied time periods. The whole potential range overlap value is just 0.361 and the niche overlap statistics are: D = 0.279, I = 0.572. The same calculations made for models of the studied Warrea and Warreopsis species revealed a rather insignificant shift in the occupied areas and niches between LGM and the present time (Table 8).

---

**Table 4. The glacial niche overlap statistics – Schoener’s D statistic.**

|                | W. warreana | W. costaricensis | Vargasiella sp. | Warreopsis pardina | Warreopsis parviflora |
|----------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| W. warreana    | x           | 0.4753           | 0.4830          | 0.2713             | 0.2770                |
| W. costaricensis| 0.4753      | x                | 0.2901          | 0.2779             | 0.4737                |
| Vargasiella sp.| 0.4830      | 0.2901           | x               | 0.3125             | 0.2148                |
| Warreopsis pardina | 0.2713       | 0.3125           | x               | 0.3404             |
| Warreopsis parviflora | 0.2770       | 0.2148           | 0.3404           | x                  |

---

Figure 10. Distribution of the suitable habitats of Vargasiella (A), Warrea costaricensis (B), Warrea warreana (C), Warreopsis pardina (D) and Warreopsis parviflora (E) during LGM.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098472.g010
Additionally, the genera in question are distinguishable by the receptive surface is broad, easily accessible to pollen mass. The tegula is becomes transformed into the viscidium and tegula, and when removal of the pollinarium is 3-lobed, the middle lobe is subulate, obtuse. In the rostellum of \textit{Vargasiella} is short and broad, dome-like and the stigma is relatively large, not hidden by the rostellum. Both rostellar products are similar to those of \textit{Vargasiella}, i.e. the tegula is rather small and the viscidium distinctly bilobed, hipprocrepiform and does not form a sheath. The number of known populations of \textit{Vargasiella} is very small, and the information about its ecological interactions and habitat requirements is equally limited. The quick organic matter decomposition in the tropical regions together with the anatomical structure of the studied species (i.a. the thin coat of the microscopic seeds, and thin cuticle) have made them undetectable in fossil material and this precludes conducting traditional paleobotanic research including palynological procedures.

### Table 5. The glacial niche overlap statistic - I statistic.

|            | \textit{W. warreana} | \textit{W. costaricensis} | \textit{Vargasiella} sp. | \textit{Warreopsis pardina} | \textit{Warreopsis parviflora} |
|------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| \textit{W. warreana} | x                    | 0.7436                  | 0.7632                  | 0.5138                      | 0.5610                        |
| \textit{W. costaricensis} | 0.7436              | x                       | 0.5429                  | 0.5377                      | 0.7677                        |
| \textit{Vargasiella} sp. | 0.7632              | 0.5429                  | x                       | 0.5800                      | 0.4565                        |
| \textit{Warreopsis} pardina | 0.5138              | 0.5377                  | 0.5800                  | x                           | 0.6065                        |
| \textit{Warreopsis} parviflora | 0.5610              | 0.7677                  | 0.4565                  | 0.6065                      | x                             |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098472.t005

### Discussion

The taxonomic position of \textit{Warrea} and \textit{Warreopsis} is rather obvious. Almost all orchid taxonomists classify them usually within the variously defined \textit{Zygopetalinae} ([2][3][7][9]). However, Szlachetko [8] separated \textit{Warrea}, \textit{Otostylis} Schltr., \textit{Warrella} Schltr., and \textit{Warreopsis} Garay from \textit{Zygopetalinae} and united them in the subtribe \textit{Warreinae}. This subtribe has been proposed as a taxon clustering those genera different from all other \textit{Zygopetalinae sensu Szlachetko} [8] by having slender, homoblastic pseudobulbs and connate, plicate leaves. All the genera share a similar gynostemium structure, i.e., usually a short column foot, 4 superposed, unequal pollinia, a long rostellum, lamellae tegula and viscidium forming together a kind of sheath around the rostellum core.

\textit{Warrea/Warreopsis} and \textit{Vargasiella} differ one from another in their stem architecture, and leaf and lip structure. Even though they are characterized by the presence of four superposed pollinia arranged in two pairs, the rostellum structure is essentially different. In \textit{Warrea}, \textit{Warreopsis} and other genera of \textit{Zygopetalinae sensu Szlachetko} [8] the rostellum is 3-lobed, with the middle lobe being ligulate-triangular, acute, springy and obscure lateral lobes, separated from the middle one by a shallow sinus. The tegula and viscidium are thin, lamellae, more or less elongate and together they form a sheath around the rostellum core. The stigma is very narrow, hidden in the most part by the rostellum. In contrast, the rostellum of \textit{Vargasiella} is short and broad and its major part becomes transformed into the viscidium and tegula, and when removed with the pollinia drops out as accessories. The tegula is small and the viscidium even smaller, and distinctly bilobed. The receptive surface is broad, easily accessible to pollen mass. Additionally, the genera in question are distinguishable by the form of the rostellum remnant. In \textit{Warrea}, the rostellum after removal of the pollinarium is 3-lobed, the middle lobe is subulate, acute, rigid, both lateral lobes are much reduced, obtuse. In the closely related \textit{Warreopsis} the rostellum remnant is similar, with the middle lobe being shorter. In contrast in \textit{Vargasiella}, the rostellum remnant consists of a short, fleshy apiculus in the middle. The question is why we consider the rostellum structure in detail? The rostellum evolved from an altered middle lobe of the stigma and it plays a crucial role in the pollination of orchid flowers. First, it produces important accessories for pollinia, i.e., the viscidium and tegula, the structures that enabled their transfer by a pollinator. Secondly, in many species the rostellum forms a kind of barrier between the anther and the receptive surface suppressing autogamy. The rostellum of \textit{Warrea} and \textit{Warreopsis} are such cases. The short and erect rostellum of \textit{Vargasiella} is not a sufficient barrier to prevent autogamy. A similar type of gynostemium is found in \textit{Maxillaria}. The rostellum is short and broad, dome-like and the stigma is relatively large, not hidden by the rostellum. Both rostellar products are similar to those of \textit{Vargasiella}, i.e. the tegula is rather small and the viscidium distinctly bilobed, hipprocrepiform and does not form a sheath.

The pollinators' observations would be useful to understand the function of these floral structures. Unfortunately, nothing is known about pollination biology of \textit{Vargasiella}, or that of \textit{Warrea} or \textit{Warreopsis}. Pupulin [37] considered that \textit{Warrea} is probably pollinated by male Euglossine bees. Based on the flower colour and its morphology (Fig. 12) and the knowledge that most \textit{Zygopetalinae} are pollinated by euglossine bees [38], we can predict that \textit{Vargasiella} are exploits the same pollinators. The cuticular swellings visible on the lip surface may be the fragrance which is collected by male euglossine bees, but this hypothesis needs more detailed studies.

The number of known populations of \textit{Vargasiella} is very small, and the information about its ecological interactions and habitat requirements is equally limited. The quick organic matter decomposition in the tropical regions together with the anatomical structure of the studied species (i.a. the thin coat of the microscopic seeds, and thin cuticle) have made them undetectable in fossil material and this precludes conducting traditional paleobotanic research including palynological procedures.

---

Figure 11. Current distribution of the suitable habitats of \textit{Vargasiella} (A), \textit{Warrea costaricensis} (B), \textit{Warrea warreana} (C), \textit{Warreopsis pardina} (D) and \textit{Warreopsis parviflora} (E).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098472.g011
The presented results of the ENM analysis based on presence-only data clearly indicate the Central Andes as the most probable glacial refugium of *Vargasiella*. The statistical calculations of the ranges and niches overlap between models for the studied time periods indicate not only the current regression of *Vargasiella* range, but also the niche shifting in response to warming climate after the LGM. This kind of range reduction is primarily the result of distributional shifts and fragmentation of primary habitats as well as the expansion of unsuitable climatic conditions exceeding species’ ecological tolerance [39] [40]. The exact opposite situation is observed with respect to the other two studied genera. The estimated suitable habitats for the occurrence of *Warrea* and *Warreopsis* species during LGM were probably located in warmer lowland to lower montane regions of Mesoamerica and Northern South America and no significant changes in their geographic ranges or occupied niches are observed in the present time. The finding is important especially in terms of plant speciation. Wright [41] suggested that ecological shifts are the most likely basis for the origin of genera and these shifts seem to be punctuational rather than gradual [42] [43].

We decided to include the results of niche modeling in this study, despite the fact that we are aware that the results of ENM analysis may overestimate the distribution of the suitable niches of the studied taxa, mainly due to the small sample size. The models created for the present time are rather consistent with the occurrence data of the species, even though not all of them were included in the analysis because it was not possible to georeference their locations. We believe that in the case of poorly known taxa, such as *Vargasiella*, which are untraceable in fossil material, the use of the algorithms for computing the distribution of their suitable niches in the past is the only method to recognize their areas of historical stability and hereby to analyse the changes in their ecological niches.

*Vargasiella* species can be defined as psychrophytes usually growing in wet and fairly cool conditions, in submontane and montane areas, about 2100–3400 m a.s.l. The occurrence of *V. venezuelana* has been reported from stunted cloud forest (Foster & Smith 9994, MO; Gentry & Smith 33584, MO). *Warrea* species occupy dense, rain and moist forest, growing in decaying leaf litter in the shade, usually below 1500 m a.s.l, and often near rivers and streams (Binacional 1104, MO; Dressler 3250, MO). *Warreopsis* species are found in lower montane and montane areas growing terrestrially (rarely epiphytically) in wet and very wet forests (Haber & al. 4510, 4484, MO; Davulcu & Pohl 16921, MO).

The ENM results indicate that the occurrence of *Vargasiella* and *Warrea* species is limited by similar bioclimatic variables, mainly by isothermality. However, the distribution of *Vargasiella* is seriously influenced by the elevation above sea level and this is probably the crucial environmental factor resulting in the separation of suitable habitats and thereby the ranges of the studied taxa. The habitat requirements of *Vargasiella* clearly differ from those of *Warrea* and *Warreopsis*, as indicated by the scores of the niche overlap test. The clocktree of Zygopetalinae indicated that the most recent common ancestor of *Vargasiella*, *Warrea* and *Warreopsis* could probably originate from around 7.5 Ma ago, while the divergence time for *Vargasiella* and *Warrea* was estimated for approximately 5.4 Ma ago. These events may be easily linked to the major geological changes in South America. The rapid rise of the Andean plateau took place in the late Miocene (10.4–5 Ma ago) and this was related with the establishment of dramatic precipitation gradients perpendicular to the orogen, and changes in tectonic processes in the Andean orogenic wedge [44]. These changes also influenced the Amazon region and during the Pliocene the lowland fluvial systems of southwestern Amazonia became isolated from the Andes by the newly formed fluvial systems (Ucayali and Madre de Dios). In the early Pliocene the Amazon fluvial system integrated regionally and acquired its present appearance [45].

### Table 6. The niche overlap statistics – Schoener’s D statistic.

| Vargasiella sp. | Warrea warreana | Warrea costaricensis | Warreopsis pardina | Warreopsis parviflora |
|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Vargasiella sp. | x               | 0.3952              | 0.3812            | 0.2590              | 0.2576              |
| Warrea warreana | 0.3952          | x                   | 0.5650            | 0.2085              | 0.3272              |
| Warrea costaricensis | 0.3812 | 0.5650              | x                 | 0.2300              | 0.5434              |
| Warreopsis pardina | 0.2590 | 0.2085              | 0.2300            | x                  | 0.3859              |
| Warreopsis parviflora | 0.2576 | 0.3272              | 0.5434            | 0.3859              | x                   |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098472.t006

### Table 7. The niche overlap statistics - I statistic.

| Vargasiella sp. | Warrea warreana | Warrea costaricensis | Warreopsis pardina | Warreopsis parviflora |
|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Vargasiella sp. | x               | 0.6754              | 0.6459            | 0.5219              | 0.4840              |
| Warrea warreana | 0.6754          | x                   | 0.8001            | 0.4337              | 0.5863              |
| Warrea costaricensis | 0.6459 | 0.8001              | x                 | 0.4779              | 0.8145              |
| Warreopsis pardina | 0.5219 | 0.4337              | 0.4779            | x                  | 0.6610              |
| Warreopsis parviflora | 0.4840 | 0.5863              | 0.8145            | 0.6610              | x                   |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098472.t007
Conclusions

In the genetic analyses Vargasiella is placed within a highly supported subclade together with Warrea and Warreopsis that is situated at the base of the Zygopetalinae sensu lato clade. However, the relationships between Warrea, Warreopsis and Vargasiella are not resolved.

Significant differences in the presence of storage organs, leaf structure, inflorescence type and lip form were observed in the macromorphological studies of Vargasiella and related taxa. Moreover, differences in the micromorphology of the lip and gynostemium between V. peruviana, Warrea costaricensis and W. warreana were found.

The ecological niche modeling suggests regression of Vargasiella range since LGM. Moreover, unlike in Warrea and Warreopsis a niche shift in response to postglacial climate changes was observed in Vargasiella.

The genus Vargasiella appears to be an outshoot of the main branch of evolution of Zygopetalae. Interestingly, the Vargasiella-Warrea dichotomy could have taken place ca 5.4 Ma ago, later than the divergence of Warreopsis from the mutual lineage (ca 7.5 Ma ago, Fig. 4). Considering the morphological data and the results of molecular analyses we therefore formulate a hypothesis that Vargasiella and Warrea may have evolved from a common ancestor. Accumulation of morphological differences and acceleration of the evolution of Vargasiella were faster than in other Warreinae, a feature which could probably be synchronized with adaptation to cooler and wetter conditions. This can be supported by the observations made on Warrea and Warreopsis, both inhabiting similar ecological niches. Both are more reminiscent of one another considering morphological characters than could be speculated based on molecular analysis outcomes.

Taxonomic Treatment

**Vargasiella** C.Schweinf., Bot. Mus. Leafl., Harvard Univ. 15: 150, 1952. Type: Vargasiella peruviana C.Schweinf.

The genus includes two species, they can be distinguished as follows:

1. Sepals and petals subsimilar, lip sessile, base truncate-subcordate, ovate-lanceolate, with calli ……………… V. peruviana

1. Sepals and petals dissimilar, lip clawed, base cordate, elliptic-suborbicular, ecallose ………… V. venezuelana

**Vargasiella peruviana** C.Schweinf., Bot. Mus. Leafl., Harvard Univ. 15: 150, tab. 47. 1952; Type: Peru, Convención, hills of Pintobamba, in humus forest, perianth white with pinkish lip. Vargas 3288 (holotype AMES! - type illustration). Cuzco: Paucartambo, Pillahuata, floral segments white lined with pink. Vargas 3010 (paratype AMES!).

- Plant epiphytic or terrestrial, slender. Stem elongate, decumbent, producing scattered fibrous, short, densely tomentose and stout roots in the lower part, leafy in the upper part, entirely concealed by tubular sheaths.
- Leaves 5.6–13.5 cm long, 2–2.5 cm wide, several, distichous, convolute, elliptic to oblanceolate, acuminate, cuneate below, sessile or indistinctly petiolated, articulated to close tubular sheaths, membranaceous, 3- to 5-nerved. Inflorescence up to 33.5 cm long, arising from the axil of an upper leaf, erect, racemose; peduncle 21.5 cm long with several remote, tubular below and lanceolate above sheaths; raceme loosely up to 15-flowered. Flowers medium-sized, subfleshy, perianth white with pinkish lip. Floral bracts up to 14 mm long, oblong, acute, spreading. Ovary prominently 6-sulcate.
- Dorsal sepal 13.2 mm long, 6 mm wide, ovate-oblong, acute to mucronate, concave, 5-nerved, with margins very minutely cellular-erose. Lateral sepals 14.5 mm long, 7 mm wide, similar.

**Table 8. Overlapping of the ranges and occupied niches between LGM and present time.**

| Taxon          | Range overlap test | D   | I   |
|---------------|-------------------|-----|-----|
| Vargasiella   | 0.361             | 0.279 | 0.572 |
| W. costaricensis | 0.761          | 0.716 | 0.924 |
| W. warreana   | 0.934             | 0.930 | 0.994 |
| W. pardina    | 0.748             | 0.708 | 0.922 |
| W. parviflora | 0.620             | 0.592 | 0.831 |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098472.t008

**Figure 12. Flowers of Vargasiella peruviana (A) and Warrea warreana (B-C).** Photos: T. Kusibab.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098472.g012
ovate-oblong, acute, cymbiform, dorsally carinate with the keel produced into a conspicuous mucronate apex, 5- or 6-nerved, lightly oblique. Petals 12 mm long, 6 mm wide, elliptic-ovate, acute to apiculate, 5-nerved. Lip 10 mm long, 6 mm wide, simple, arcuate-recurved and parallel to the column with the sides erect in natural position, articulated to the column foot, with the anterior margins strongly undulate, disc when expanded ovate-oblong, ciliate at base, rounded and acute or apiculate at apex, furnished with two fleshy thickening in the lower half. Gynostemium 7 mm long, stout, with a narrow fleshy wing on each side throughly, subtruncate above. Anther relatively small, 1-celled, galeate. Pollinia 4, in two unequal pairs, without appendages, strongly complanate-subglobose, waxy.

Flowering time: Throughout the year.

Distribution: Peru, Bolivia. Elev. 2400–3400 m a.s.l.

**Vargasiella venezuelana** C.Schweinf., Bot. Mus. Leafl., Harvard Univ. 18: 219, tab. 44. 1958; Type: Venezuela, Bolivar. Chimantá Massif, northwestern part of summit of Asácapa-tepui, in Bonnetia forest. **Steyermark 74914** (holotype AMES! - type illustration, isolate type!)

Plant terrestrial, robust, up to 187.5 cm long with decumbent stem, sparsely rooting. Roots solitary, very few, fibrous, rather stout, sparsely pubescent. Stem entirely concealed by appressed, imbricating, evanescent, leaf-bearing, tubular sheaths; the lower ones scarious and disintegrating into fibers, the middle and the upper ones green and leaf-bearing. Leaves 14–17 cm long, up to 3.3 cm wide, elliptic to elliptic-oblong, acuminate, long-narrowed below to an articulated case, submembranaceous, plicate, 5- to 7-nerved. Inflorescence up to 52 cm long, arising from the axil of one of the middle leaves; peduncle 43 cm long, dull lavender, glabrous, remotely concealed with six short, tubular, acute sheaths; raceme up to 9 cm long, very loosely few-flowered. Flowers purple, subshelly, with the sepals projecting backwards and the petals erect. Floral bracts small, narrowly lanceolate, concave, equaling about half of the pedicellate ovary. Ovary up to 23 mm long. Dorsal sepal 15 mm long, 4.4 mm wide, lanceolate-oblong, obtuse to acute. Lateral sepals 16 mm long, 6 mm wide, similar, obliquely lanceolate-oblong, obtuse to acute. Petals 12 mm long, 7 mm wide, distinctly shorter and broader than the sepals. Lip 11 mm long, 10 mm wide, simple, clawed, with involute undulate margins; claw short but distinct, abruptly dilated from an oblong base, 3 mm long, furnished with a central fleshy callus dividing into two branches; lamina gently recurved, triangular-ovate, rounded at the apex, conspicuously ciliate at the base, fleshy thickened in the middle. Gynostemium 5 mm long, stout.

Flowering time: April.

Distribution: Venezuela. Elev. 2125–2300 m a.s.l.

**Supporting Information**

**Table S1** Variables used in the modelling.

(DOC)

**Table S2** The average training AUC for the replicate runs (AUC - area under the curve, SD – standard deviation).

(DOC)

**Annex S1** List of analysed taxa downloaded from International Nucleotide Sequence Databases. INSDb accession numbers for DNA sequences are listed in following order: ITS matK trnL-F.

(DOC)

**Annex S2** Set of the characters used in the phenetic analysis.

(DOC)

**Annex S3** Morphological character matrix.

(DOC)

**Annex S4** Localities of the specimens collection of *Vargasiella* C.Schweinf. and *Warrea* Lindl. used in the ENM analysis.

(DOC)

**Acknowledgments**

The curators and staff of the cited herbaria are thanked for their kind hospitality and assistance during visits and for making specimens for making specimens available on loan.

**Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: DS MG MK AK. Performed the experiments: DS MG MK JM-E AK PR TK. Analyzed the data: DS MG MK JM-E AK PR TK. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: DS MG MK JM-E AK PR TK. Wrote the paper: DS MG MK JM-E AK.

**References**

1. Schweinfurth Ch (1958) Two additions to the Orchid flora of Venezuela. Harv Univ Bot Mus Leafl 18: 219–228.

2. Dressler RL (1981) The Orchids: Natural History and Classification. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

3. Dressler RL (1993) Phylogeny and classification of the orchid family. Portland: Dioscorides Press.

4. Romero GA, Carnevali G (1993) Reappraisal of subtribe Vargasiellinae (Maxillariae, Orchidaceae). Novon 3: 79.

5. Senghas KH (1985) Subtribus Liparidinae. In: Brieier FG, Maatsch R, Senghas K-, editors. Die Orchideen. R. Schlechter. Berlin-Hamburg: Paul Parey Verlag.pp. 800–831.

6. Schweinfurth Ch (1952) Orchidaceae Peruvianae IX. Harv Univ Bot Mus Leafl 15: 139.

7. Senghas KH (1996) 64. Subtribus Vargasiellinae. In: Brieger FG, Maatsch R, Senghas K-, editors. Die Orchideen. R. Schlechter. Berlin-Hamburg: Paul Parey Verlag.pp. 1692–1693.

8. Solach et al. (1995) Systema Orchidaceae. Fragm Florist Geobot, Suppl 3: 1–152.

9. Pringle AM, Cribb PJ, Chase MW, Rasmussen FN (2009) Genera Orchidacearum: Epidendroidae (Part Two), Vol. 5. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

10. Whitem MK, Williams NH, Dressler RL, Gerlach G, Pupulin F (2005) Generic relationships of Zygoptepetaleae (Orchidaceae: Cymbidieae); combined molecular evidence. Lankeasteria 5: 87–108.

11. Chase MW, Hans HH (1991) Silica gel: an ideal material for field preservation of leaf samples for DNA studies. Taxon 40: 215–220.

12. Sun Y, Skinner DZ, Lang GH, Hulbert SH (1994) Phylogenetic analysis of Sorghum and related taxa using internal transcribed spacers of nuclear ribosomal DNA. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 89: 26–32.

13. Molvray M, Koers PJ, Chase MW (2000) Polyphyly of mycoheterotrophic orchids and functional influences on floral and molecular characters. In: Wilson KL, Morrison DA (eds). Monocots: systematics and evolution. Melbourne: CSIRO Publishing. pp. 441–448.

14. Cuioud P, Savolainen V, Chatrou LW, Powell M, Grayar RJ, et al. (2002) Molecular phylogenetics of Caryophyllales based on nuclear 18S rDNA and plastid rbcL, atpB, and matK DNA sequences. American Journal of Botany 89: 132–144.

15. Taberlet P, Giedy L, Pantou G, Bouret J (1991) Universal primers for amplification of three noncoding regions of chloroplast DNA. Plant Mol Biol 17: 1105–1109.

16. Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG (1997) The ClustalX windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aimed by quality analysis tools. Nuclei Acids Research 24: 4876–4882.

17. Gauthier N, Gouy M, Gauthier C (1996) SeaView and Phylo_win, two graphic tools for sequence alignment and molecular phylogeny. Comput Appl Biosci 12: 543–548.

18. Swolfford DL (2002) PAUP*. Phylogentic Analysis Using Parsimony *(and Other Methods), Version 4. Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer Associates.

**Taxonomic Position and Phylogeny of the Genus Vargasiella**
19. Fitch WM (1971) Toward defining the course of evolution: minimal change for a specific tree topology. Syst Zool 20: 406–416.
20. Felsenstein J (1985) Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39: 783–791.
21. Farris JS, Kallersjo M, Kluge AG, Bult C (1995) Constructing a significance test for incongruence. Syst Biol 44: 570–572.
22. Drummond AJ, Rambaut A (2007) BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees. BMC Evolutionary Biology 7: 214.
23. Gustafsson ALS, Verola CF, Antonelli A (2010) Reassessing the temporal evolution of orchids with new fossils and a Bayesian relaxed clock, with implications for the diversification of the rare South American genus Hoffmannseggella (Orchidaceae: Epidendroideae). BMC Evolutionary Biology 10: 177.
24. Posada D, Crandall KA (1998) Modeltest: testing the model of DNA substitution. Bioinformatics 14: 817–818.
25. Rambaut A, Drummond AJ (2007) Tracer v1.5. Available: http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tracer/.
26. Hammer Ř, Harper DAT, Ryan PD (2003) PAST: Paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. Palaeontol Electron 4(1): 9 pp. Available at: http://palaeo-electronica.org/2001_1/past/issue1_01.htm
27. Saitou N, Nei M (1987) The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol Biol Evol 4(4): 406–425.
28. Pearson RG, Rayner C, Nakamura M, Townsend Peterson A (2006) Predicting species distributions from small numbers of occurrence records: a test case using cryptic geckos in Madagascar. J Biogeogr 34(1): 102–117.
29. Hijmans RJ, Cameron SE, Parra JL, Jones PG, Jarvis A (2005) Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. Int J Climatol 25: 1963–1978.
30. Braconnot P, Otto-Bliesner B, Harrison S, Joussaume S, Peterchmitt J-Y, et al. (2007) Results of PMIP2 coupled simulations of the Mid-Holocene and Last Glacial Maximum - Part 2: feedbacks with emphasis on the location of the ITFCZ and mid- and high latitudes heat budget. Clim Past 3: 279–296.
31. Urbina-Cardona JN, Loyola RD (2008) Applying niche-based models to predict endangered-hyrid potential distributions: are neotropical protected areas effective enough? Trop Conserv Sci 1(4): 417–445.
32. ESRI (2009) ArcGIS Desktop: Release 10. Redlands, CA: Environmental Systems Research Institute.
33. Schoener TW (1968) Anolis lizards in Bimini: resource partitioning in a complex fauna. Ecology 49: 704–726.
34. Warren DL, Glor RE, Turelli M (2008) Environmental niche equivalency versus conservatism: Quantitative approaches to niche evolution. Evolution 62(11): 2868–2883.
35. Homer DW, Leneshow S (2000) Applied Logit Regression. 2nd ed. New York: Wiley.
36. Olson JS, Watts JA, Allison LJ (1983) Carbon in live vegetation of major world ecosystems. Report DOE/NBB-0037 for US Dept. of Energy. Washington: Carbon Diox. Res. Div.
37. Populin P (2009) Zygopetalinae. In: Pridgeon AM, Cribb PJ, Chase MW, Rasmussen FN, editors. Genera Orchidacearum: Epidendroideae (Part Two), Vol. 5. Oxford: Oxford University Press pp. 436–547.
38. Davies KL, Szczygelska M (2011) Comparative labellar micromorphology of Zygopetalinae (Orchidaceae). Ann Bot 108: 945–964.
39. Hewitt GM (1996) Some genetic consequences of ice ages, and their role in divergence and speciation. Biol J Linn Soc 58: 247–276.
40. Hewitt GM (2004) Genetic consequences of climatic oscillations in the Quaternary. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society: Biological Sciences 359: 183–195.
41. Wright S (1982) Character change, speciation, and the higher taxa. Evolution 36: 427–443.
42. Eldredge N, Gould SJ (1972) Punctuated equilibria: an alternative to phyletic gradualism. In: Eldredge N, Gould SJ (eds). Models in Paleobiology. San Francisco: Freeman. pp. 82–115.
43. Bateman RM (1999) Integrating molecular and morphological evidence of adaptive radiation. In Hollingsworth PM, Gornall RJ, editors. Molecular systematics and plant evolution. London: Taylor & Francis. pp. 432–471.
44. Garzione CN, Molnar P, Libarkin J, MacFadden B (2006) Rapid late Miocene rise of the Bolivian Altiplano: evidence for removal of mantle lithosphere. Earth Planet Sci Lett 241: 543–556.
45. Latrubesse EM, Cozzuol M, Da Silva-Caminha SAF, Rigby CA, Abys ML, et al. (2010) The Late Miocene Paleogeography of the Amazon Basin and the evolution of the Amazon River system. Earth Sci Rev 99: 99–124.