Optimization of Time and Temperature Gelatin Extraction from Pink Perch (Nemipterus bathybius) Head using Response Surface Methodology (RSM)
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Abstract
Gelatin from fisheries byproducts was very potential to be used as an alternative gelatin substitute for bovine and porcine gelatin. In this study, optimization of pink perch head gelatin extraction was carried out based on temperature and extraction time. Factorial design and Response Surface Methodology (RSM) were used to optimize the gelatin extraction process of pink perch (Nemipterus bathybius) head. The purpose of this research was to determine the optimum condition of the pink perch head extraction process based on the temperature and time of extraction. The extraction temperature (50°C-90°C), and the extraction time (3-7 hours) were the independent variables. The response variables of this study were the yield of gelatin (%), gel strength (g.bloom) and viscosity (cP). The optimum extraction conditions were obtained at the extraction temperature of 74.40°C for 5.42 hours with a yield of 5.31%, the gel strength of 311.01 g.bloom and the viscosity of 5 cP. Considering its similarity to the commercial gelatin, particularly on their chemical characteristics, it can be suggested that the head of pink perch is a potential alternative of gelatin source.
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INTRODUCTION
Gelatin is a polypeptide obtained from collagen degradation of animal’s bone and skin which functions as an emulsifier, foam-former, gel-former and edible-film [1,2]. Gelatin is generally derived from bone and skin of bovine or porcine. However, the issue of BSE (Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy) disease and differences in consumer belief is a problem for consuming bovine or porcine gelatin. Therefore, gelatin obtained from the industrial waste of fish processing can be used as an alternative source of gelatin [3].

The fish head is a waste produced by fish in the processing industry. Most of the waste is disposed and used to feed livestock [4]. Considering the chemical components of the fish head, its utilization as a source of gelatin production can effectively increase the value of waste economically and reduce environmental pollution as well [5].

To date, fish gelatin tends to be sub-quality compared to both bovine and porcine gelatin [6]. One of the factors that can affect the physicochemical properties of gelatin is the temperature and time of gelatin extraction. Several studies show that higher temperature and longer time of extraction can increase yield but decrease gel’s strength and viscosity [7,8]. Therefore, the extraction condition of gelatin production needs optimization. Gelatin extraction with a variation of temperature and time has been reported for clown featherback [9], African catfish [10], seabass [2], wami tilapia [7] and channel catfish [11]. To date, there is few published works reported for gelatin extraction of pink perch head originated from Indonesia. The purpose of this research is to optimize temperature and extraction time of gelatin from pink perch (Nemipterus bathybius) head. Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was to establish an optimum condition of yield, gel strength and viscosity of gelatin. The optimum product then compared with commercial gelatin.

MATERIAL AND METHOD
Materials
The head of the pink perch (Nemipterus bathybius) was obtained from fisherman in the sea of Mimbo, Situbondo, East Java, Indonesia. The fish head was stored at -25°C until it was used. The chemical used are HCl (37%) Pro Analysis (PA).

Preparation of Raw Materials
Pink perch head separated from the body using a knife. Head washed with flowing tap water to remove dirt on the fish head and drain and then degreased by tumbling it in warm water.
at the temperature of 80°C for 20 minutes. Meat separated from the head, and then the pink perch head crushed using a knife to enlarge surface contact area.

**Pre-treatment**

Frozen pink perch head was thawed and drained. It was then weighed 100 g using analytical balance (Denver Instrument M-310) and soaked for 48 hours into 2.98% HCl (1:3 w/v) solution obtained from the previous study. The mixture was filtered using filter cloth. The collected residue (ossein) was washed by aquadest until reaching neutral pH and then it was ready for gelatin extraction.

**Gelatin Extraction**

The ossein obtained from the pre-treatment process was weighed using analytical balance (Denver Instrument M-310) and diluted into distilled water (1:3 w/v) and covered with aluminum foil. Sample was extracted using water bath with variation of extraction temperature (41.7, 50, 70, 90, 98.3°C) and extraction time (2.17, 3, 5, 7, 8.22 hours). The filtrate was filtered using filter cloth and dried inside a cabinet dryer at 50–55°C for 48 hours.

**Table 1.** Experimental Design of Gelatin Extraction

| Independent Variable | Range and Level |
|----------------------|-----------------|
| Extraction temperature (°C) (\(X_1\)) | 41.7 50 70 90 98.3 |
| Extraction time (hour) (\(X_2\)) | 2.17 3 5 7 8.22 |

**Experimental Design**

Central composite design (CCD) response surface methodology (RSM) was applied to analyze the relationship between independent variables and dependent variables on the gelatin extraction of pink perch head. The independent variables were extraction temperature (\(X_1\), °C) and extraction time (\(X_2\), hour), while the dependent variable was yield (\(Y_1\), %), gel strength (\(Y_2\), g.bloom) and viscosity (\(Y_3\), cP). The experimental design is shown in Table 2. Statistically, the results of the central composite design experiments were analyzed with Design Expert 7.1.5. The purpose of optimization of gelatin extraction is to explain the effect of extraction temperature and time on yield, gel strength, and viscosity of gelatin from Pink perch head. Table 2 shows the result of the experiment from 2 factors with 5 levels of central composite design, as the model of response surface method resulted from each response variable is shown on Table 3.

**Yield of Gelatin**

The yield was calculated by the ratio of the dry weight of produced gelatin and raw material used in the extraction process. The formula for yield as follows.

\[
Yield = \frac{\text{dry weight of gelatin}}{\text{weight of raw material}} \times 100\% \quad \text{................................... (1)}
\]

**Determination of Gel Strength**

Gel strength measurement was prepared based on Ratnasari method [13]. Gelatin was dissolved in 60°C distilled water to a concentration of 6.67% (w/v). The mixture was stirred using magnetic stirrer (type MS200) until the gelatin was completely dissolved. The solution was incubated at 4°C for 18 h before analysis. Gel strength was measured by Tensile Strength Instrument (Imada/ZP-200N) Digital Imaging Model, load cell used 5 kg and 1 mm diameter Teflon Plunger Cylinder. The speed of the plunger was 0.5 mm.s\(^{-1}\). Maximum strength (in grams) was taken at a penetration distance of 4 mm.

**Table 2.** The Experimental Design and Results for Gelatin Yield, Gel Strength, and Viscosity

| Treatment | \(X_1\) | \(X_2\) | Temperature(°C) | Time(hour) | Yield(%) | Gel Strength(g.bloom) | Viscosity(cP) |
|-----------|--------|--------|----------------|------------|----------|-----------------------|---------------|
| 1         | 1.00   | -1.00  | 50             | 3          | 1.01     | 57.78                 | 3.33          |
| 2         | 1.00   | -1.00  | 90             | 3          | 6.66     | 88.37                 | 3.00          |
| 3         | -1.00  | 1.00   | 50             | 7          | 2.39     | 163.15                | 3.67          |
| 4         | 1.00   | 1.00   | 90             | 7          | 8.10     | 47.59                 | 2.67          |
| 5         | -1.414 | 0.00   | 41.70          | 5          | 1.04     | 2.67                  | 3.40          |
| 6         | 1.414  | 0.00   | 98.30          | 5          | 8.85     | 0.00                  | 2.33          |
| 7         | 0.00   | -1.414 | 70             | 2.17       | 1.95     | 149.56                | 3.33          |
| 8         | 0.00   | 1.414  | 70             | 7.83       | 5.45     | 214.14                | 3.67          |
| 9         | 0.00   | 0.00   | 70             | 5          | 4.52     | 309.31                | 5.33          |
| 10        | 0.00   | 0.00   | 70             | 5          | 5.04     | 302.51                | 4.67          |
| 11        | 0.00   | 0.00   | 70             | 5          | 4.90     | 319.51                | 5.00          |
| 12        | 0.00   | 0.00   | 70             | 5          | 4.81     | 316.11                | 5.67          |
| 13        | 0.00   | 0.00   | 70             | 5          | 4.50     | 305.91                | 4.67          |
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Table 3. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for the Response Surface Model of Yield, Gel Strength and Viscosity

| Responses   | Source | Sum of squares | df | Mean Square | F-Value | p-value Prob > F |
|-------------|--------|----------------|----|-------------|---------|-----------------|
| Yield       | Model  | 72.17          | 5  | 14.43       | 113.09  | < 0.0001        |
|             | \(X_1\) | 62.75          | 1  | 62.75       | 491.66  | < 0.0001        |
|             | \(X_2\) | 7.54           | 1  | 7.54        | 59.11   | < 0.0001        |
|             | \(X_1X_2\) | 9.030E-004   | 1  | 9.030E-004  | 7.075E-003 | 0.9353 |
|             | \(X_1^2\) | 0.16           | 1  | 0.16        | 1.24    | 0.3028          |
|             | \(X_2^2\) | 1.55           | 1  | 1.55        | 12.16   | 0.0102          |
|             | Residual | 0.89           | 7  | 0.13        | 1.67    | 0.22            |
|             | Lack of Fit | 0.67         | 3  | 0.22        | 3.89    | 0.1112          |
|             | Pure Error | 0.23           | 4  | 0.057       | 9.03    | 0.4136          |
| Total       |        | 73.06          | 12 |             |         |                 |

| Gel Strength | Model  | 1.912E+005     | 5  | 38245.53    | 238.29  | < 0.0001        |
|              | \(X_1\) | 984.48         | 1  | 984.48      | 6.13    | 0.0424          |
|              | \(X_2\) | 3038.88        | 1  | 3038.88     | 18.93   | 0.0033          |
|              | \(X_1X_2\) | 5339.96      | 1  | 5339.96     | 33.27   | 0.0007          |
|              | \(X_1^2\) | 1.677E+005    | 1  | 1.677E+005  | 1044.80 | < 0.0001        |
|              | \(X_2^2\) | 29393.57      | 1  | 29393.57    | 183.14  | < 0.0001        |
|              | Residual | 1123.50        | 7  | 160.50      | 1.67    | 0.22            |
|              | Lack of Fit | 924.67        | 3  | 308.22      | 60.50   | 0.0551          |
|              | Pure Error | 198.83         | 4  | 49.71       | 9.03    | 0.4136          |
| Total       |        | 1.924E+005     | 12 |             |         |                 |

| Viscosity   | Model  | 12.58          | 5  | 2.52        | 22.44   | < 0.0001        |
|             | \(X_1\) | 1.01           | 1  | 1.01        | 9.01    | 0.0199          |
|             | \(X_2\) | 0.030          | 1  | 0.030       | 0.27    | 0.6203          |
|             | \(X_1X_2\) | 0.11          | 1  | 0.11        | 1.00    | 0.3504          |
|             | \(X_1^2\) | 8.50           | 1  | 8.50        | 75.79   | < 0.0001        |
|             | \(X_2^2\) | 4.32           | 1  | 4.32        | 75.79   | < 0.0001        |
|             | Residual | 0.78           | 7  | 0.11        | 0.16    | 0.9353          |
|             | Lack of Fit | 0.032         | 3  | 0.011       | 0.19    | 0.9353          |
|             | Pure Error | 0.75           | 4  | 0.19        | 0.057   | 0.9796          |
| Total       |        | 13.37          | 12 |             |         |                 |

Determination of Viscosity

Viscosity was determined following the method done by Ratnasari [13]. Gelatin was dissolved in distilled water (6.67% w/v). The solution was heated in a water bath at 60°C for 30 minutes. 20 ml of the gelatin solution was tested using Brookfield LV DV-II viscometer (Brookfield Engineering Laboratories Ltd., Middleboro, MA) with a small sample adapter and equipped with the No.1 spindle at 90 rpm.

Fourier Transform Infra Red (FTIR)

Fourier Transform Infrared spectra were determined using FTIR Spectrophotometer 8400S/Shimadzu within range of wavelength 400 - 4000 cm⁻¹.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Yield

The yield of gelatin from pink perch head was obtained between 1.01% until 8.85%. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) on yield suggests that the response following quadratic model as shown by \(R^2 = 0.9878\). The result of Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) (Table 3) shows that variables \(X_1\), \(X_2\), and \(X_1^2\) have a significant effect on the response of gelatin yield with \(P < 0.0001\) and 0.0102. There is no interaction between treatment of extraction time and temperature (\(P = 0.9353\)). The equation below is the response of gelatin’s yield with quadratic model:

\[ \text{Yield} = 4.75 + 2.80X_1 + 0.97X_2 + 0.015X_1X_2 + 0.15X_1^2 - 0.47X_2^2 \]

The equation showed that the yield of gelatin increased alongside the increase of extraction temperature and time extraction respectively (Fig. 1). This result also reported on the previous research by using clown featherback [9], browband bamboo shark and blacktip shark [14], seabass [2], Atlantic cod, salmon and Atlantic herring [15].

Higher temperature increases kinetic energy required to hydrolyze triple helix bond of collagen which is water insoluble into watersoluble gelatin with \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) chain. Lengthening extraction time may increase the energy supply to hydrolyze collagen [2,15].

Gel Strength

The gel strength of gelatin extracted from Pink perch head was 0.00 g.bloom (gel isn’t formed) until 319.51 g.bloom. The chosen model
in the analysis of variance was a quadratic model because of its significance on the response of gelatin’s gel strength, moreover, it had highest value of $R^2 (R_1^2 = 0.9942)$ and insignificant lack of fit ($P = 0.0551$).

![Figure 1. Surface Profile of Yield](image)

The results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) on gel strength with quadratic model shows that variables $X_1$, $X_2$, $X_1X_2$ significantly affect gelatin’s gel strength with P-value were 0.0424, 0.0033 and 0.0007. The value of $X_1^2$ and $X_2^2$ also have significant effects with $P < 0.0001$ (Table 3). The equation of gelatin’s gel strength with quadratic model is:

$$\text{Gel strength} = 310.67 - 11.09X_1 + 19.49X_2 + 36.54X_1X_2 - 155.26X_1^2 - 65X_2^2$$

![Figure 2. Surface Profile of Gel Strength](image)

The strength of gelatin’s gel will decrease with the increase of temperature and time extraction. Low extraction temperature and time also will produce gelatin with low gel strength. High temperature and time of extraction will result in overly degraded protein, thus producing protein fragment with low gel strength properties. Gelatin’s molecules with short-chain cannot form strong junction zone, especially hydrogen bonds or weaker bonds such as hydrophobic bond and ionic bond [9,16]. Chemically, the formation of gel begins with the formation of Junction Zone within the three-dimension network of gelatin chain. Gelatin with low molecular weight will disrupt renaturation of helix bond; renaturation is a recombining process of gelatin strains into helical structure upon cooling. Renaturation is a part of junction zone formation, high molecular weight gelatin with branched structure and non-liner chain can slow down the renaturation process. Gelatin with high molecular weight is suspected of being produced from partial hydrolysis due to a lower temperature and shorter time of extraction. Higher or lower temperature than the optimum condition will weaken the gel strength of gelatin. [17]. The graph of contour plot and surface response profile of gel strength is shown in Figure 2.

**Viscosity**

The viscosity of gelatin extracted from pink perch Head was around 2.67 cP until 4.67 cP. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) of viscosity used quadratic model because of high value of $R^2 = 0.8993$ and significant model ($P < 0.0001$) with insignificant value of lack of fit ($P$-value = 0.9796). The result of Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) on the response of gelatin’s viscosity shows that quadratically variables $X_1$, $X_1^2$, $X_2^2$ give significant effect on viscosity with each P-values as following 0.0199, <0.0001 and 0.0004. Variable $X_2$ and $X_1X_2$ have no significant effect on the viscosity of gelatin with the P-value = 0.6203 and 0.3504 (Table 3). The equation of the response of gelatin’s viscosity with quadratic model is:

$$\text{Viscosity} = 5.07 - 0.36X_1 + 0.0617X_2 + 0.17X_1X_2 - 1.11X_1^2 - 0.79X_2^2$$

![Figure 3. Surface Profile of Viscosity](image)
The viscosity of the gelatin tends to increase when the temperature and time of extraction increase, but the viscosity will start to decrease back at certain high extraction temperature and time. It has been reported that viscosity of Pink perch’s skin and bone are 8.47 cP and, 6.8 cP [18]. Viscosity can be affected by molecular weight and hydrodynamic interaction of intermolecular of open-chain polypeptide [19,20]. A low number of the β chain in the gelatin molecule caused low viscosity of gelatin from tilapia fish [21]. The graph of contour plot and surface response profile of gelatin’s viscosity is shown in Figure 3.

Multiple Response Optimization

Optimization was done after a mathematic formula had been obtained for each response. The purpose of the optimization was to minimize the required effort and to maximize expected results. From this approach, the optimum condition was stabilized by desirability value. Determination of optimum point criteria is shown in Table 4.

After determining the criteria of optimum conditions, Design Expert program decided optimum solution with the highest value of desirability (approaching 1), only one optimum solution came out which is shown in Table 5. The next step was the verification of the results of the optimum solution’s prediction (Table 5).

The optimum values of the gel strength and viscosity were compared to those of commercial gelatin. Gel strength of gelatin from pink perch was 311.01 g.bloom, while the gel strength of commercial gelatin was 364.54 g.bloom. Based on the result of independent t-test, the gel strength of gelatin from pink perch and commercial gelatin are significantly different with P-value = 0.023 (P< 0.05). Statistically, there is no significant difference in viscosity of the pink perch gelatin and commercial gelatin with P-value = 0.059 (P> 0.05).

Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR)

The results of functional group characterization using FTIR (Fourier Transform Infra Red) showed specific vibration which was emitted from each functional groups within a certain wavelength. Gelatin from pink perch had similar spectra of FTIR to that of commercial gelatin (e.g Fig.4 and Table 6). Amida A band of gelatin from pink perch was found in the frequency of 3300 cm⁻¹ and commercial gelatin was in the frequency of 3293 cm⁻¹. Amida A band shows there is a stretching vibration of the N-H group. Frequency of free N-H group is around 3400 – 3440 cm⁻¹ [14]. The frequency will decrease when the N-H group is involved with the formation of ahydrogen bond from α-chain gelatin with a range of frequency 3289 – 3304 cm⁻¹ [2,23].

Amida B band of gelatin from pink perch and commercial gelatin were detected in the frequency of 3080 cm⁻¹ and 3074 cm⁻¹. Amida B band shows stretching vibration of an asymmetric group of C-H and NH+. Some of the previous research mentioned that Amida B band was in the frequency of 3071 – 3079 cm⁻¹ [2] and 3080 – 3087 cm⁻¹, the low frequency of Amida B band was suspected as a result of interaction between NH₂⁺ group with peptide chain [24]. Therefore, the low frequency of the Amida B band from pink perch gelatin is due to the interaction between NH₂⁺ group with the peptide chain.

![Figure 4. FTIR. A) FTIR spectra of commercial gelatin; B) FTIR spectra of pink perch gelatin](image-url)
Table 4. Criteria of Optimum Condition

| Criteria                              | Goal                  | Limit Values |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Extraction Temperature (°C)           | In range              | 41.7 - 98.3  |
| Extraction Time (hours)               | In range              | 2.17 - 7.83  |
| Yield of Gelatin (%)                  | Maximize              | 1.01 - 8.85  |
| Gel Strength of Gelatin (g.bloom)    | Maximize              | 0.00 - 319.51|
| Viscosity of Gelatin (cP)             | Maximize              | 2.33 - 5.67  |

Table 5. Optimal condition for Gelatin Extraction from Pink Perch Head

| Condition for Gelatin Extraction | Independent Variable | Responses         | Desirability |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|
|                                  | Extraction Temperature (°C) | Extraction Time (hours) | Yield (%) | Gel Strength (g.bloom) | Viscosity (cP) |          |
| Optimum Solution                 | 74.40                | 5.42              | 5.56        | 300.21 | 4.91 |          |
| Verification                     | 74.40                | 5.42              | 5.31        | 311.01 | 5.00 | 0.749    |
| Precision Level                  |                      |                   | 95.50%      | 97.48% | 98.17%|          |

Table 6. Functional Group of FTIR Spectra

| NO | Functional Group                              | Frequency                  |
|----|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1  | Amida A (N-H Stretching vibration)          | 3293 cm⁻¹                  |
|    |                                             | 3300 cm⁻¹                  |
|    |                                             | 3289-3304 cm⁻¹             |
| 2  | Amida B ([C-H and NH₄] Asymmetric Stretching vibration) | 3074 cm⁻¹                  |
|    |                                             | 3080 cm⁻¹                  |
|    |                                             | 3071-3087 cm⁻¹             |
| 3  | Amida I (C=O Stretching vibration)          | 1653 cm⁻¹                  |
|    |                                             | 1649 cm⁻¹                  |
|    |                                             | 1632-1653 cm⁻¹             |
| 4  | Amida II (N-H Bending and C-N Stretching)   | 1541 cm⁻¹                  |
|    |                                             | 1539 cm⁻¹                  |
|    |                                             | 1540-1543 cm⁻¹             |
| 5  | Amida III (C-N stretching and deformation of N-H group) | 1238 cm⁻¹                  |
|    |                                             | 1233 cm⁻¹                  |
|    |                                             | 1233-1239 cm⁻¹             |

*Sources: (1) Doyle and Chemistry, 1975 [23]; Sinthusamran, et al., 2014 [2]. (2) Sinthusamran, et al., 2014 [2]; Nagarajan, et al., 2012 [24]. (3) Nagarajan, et al., 2012 [24]. (4) Sinthusamran, et al., 2014 [2]. (5) Sinthusamran, et al., 2014 [2]; Kittiphattanabawon, et al., 2010 [14].

Amida I band of commercial gelatin was found in the frequency of 1653 cm⁻¹, as the one of gelatin from pink perch was in the frequency of 1649 cm⁻¹. Amida I band shows there is stretching vibration of C=O group in the secondary structure of the protein [14]. The range of frequency band length of Amida I is 1632 – 1635 cm⁻¹ [24]. The frequency of Amida I can be affected by the molecular weight of gelatin. A large number of low molecular weight gelatin will make the C=O more exposed and more reactive thus the frequency of Amida I band will increase. This happens during high extraction time.

The frequency of Amida II band in commercial gelatin was 1541 cm⁻¹ and the frequency of gelatin from pink perch was 1539 cm⁻¹. Amida II shows bending vibration of the N-H group and stretching vibration of C-N group. The range of frequency of Amida II band is 1540 – 1543 cm⁻¹ [2]. Amida III band of commercial gelatin was 1238 cm⁻¹, and the frequency of gelatin from pink perch was 1233 cm⁻¹. The previous research mentioned that Amida III band was in the frequency of 1233 – 1234 cm⁻¹ [2] and 1237 – 1239 cm⁻¹ [14]. Amida III band shows a combination of C-N and N-H vibration stretching movements which are deformed, and it also shows the wagging vibration of CH₂ end-chain of glycine and side-chain of proline. Amida III band explains the degradation of triple helixstructure of collagen into gelatin with a much simpler structure [19, 25, 26].

Aside of Amida band frequency, the peak was found in commercial gelatin with quite high intensity in the frequency 1163 cm⁻¹, 1080 cm⁻¹, 1030 cm⁻¹, and 974 cm⁻¹, and the frequency of pink perch gelatin were 1138 cm⁻¹, 1067 cm⁻¹, and 988 cm⁻¹. The bands showed stretching vibration of C=O group in short peptide chain, and an indication of peptide chain degradation [25, 26].

CONCLUSION

This study showed that optimum extraction condition from pink perch head was obtained at 74.40°C for 5.42 hours. The results of characterization using FTIR (Fourier Transform Infra Red) found specific vibration from Amida A, Amida B, Amida I, II, and III.
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