Quenching of Impurity Spins at Cu/CuO Interfaces: An Antiferromagnetic Proximity Effect
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It is observed that the magnetoconductance of bilayer films of copper (Cu) and copper monoxide (CuO) has distinct features compared to that of Cu films on conventional band insulator substrates. We analyze the data above 2 K by the theory of weak antilocalization in two-dimensional metals and suggest that spin-flip scatterings by magnetic impurities inside Cu are suppressed in Cu/CuO samples. Plausibly the results imply a proximity effect of antiferromagnetism inside the Cu layer, which can be understood within the framework of Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yoshida (RKKY) interactions. The data below 1 K, which exhibit slow relaxation reminiscent of spin glass, are consistent with this interpretation.

PACS numbers: 72.15.Rn, 73.40.-c, 75.70.Cn

As the technology to synthesize high-quality thin films and thin film interfaces steadily improves, there has been an extensive search for novel physical properties in thin film heterostructures in the condensed matter physics community. In fact, numerous heterostructure interfaces have been found to exhibit unique phenomena that are not present in bulk materials. Some prominent examples include the exchange bias effects in antiferromagnet/ferromagnet interfaces \cite{1}, high-mobility two-dimensional electron gases in semiconductor and complex oxide heterostructures \cite{2, 3}, and various proximity effects. The proximity effect at solid state interfaces can be defined as a mutual induction of certain physical properties from one material into an adjacent one across their interface. The most famous example is that of superconductivity, where superconducting pairs are induced in a neighboring normal metal while normal electrons in the metal permeate the superconductor \cite{3, 4}.

At the interfaces between a metal and a non-superconducting material, especially an insulator, one might naively expect no proximity effect besides a simple transfer of charges and development of a Schottky barrier. In this paper, however, we present evidence for a new proximity effect that arises between a normal metal and an antiferromagnetic (AF) charge-transfer insulator. Specifically, we show evidence for the creation of AF spin ordering in a normal metal due to the proximity effect through spin-spin interactions with an AF charge transfer insulator. The existence of such a proximity effect has been anticipated theoretically \cite{6}. The heterostructure of a copper (Cu) thin film and a copper monoxide (CuO) thin film was synthesized as a potential model system for such a proximity effect \cite{7}. This Cu/CuO bilayer exhibits distinct features in magnetotransport compared to a Cu thin film on a conventional band insulator substrate. The magnetoconductance of both films above 2 K can be analyzed by the theory of weak antilocalization and indicates the quenching of spin-flip scatterings by magnetic impurities inside the Cu in proximity to CuO. This non-local effect in magnetotransport by an AF insulator can be naturally interpreted as a consequence of AF spin ordering induced in the Cu.

Smooth thin Cu/CuO bilayer films were synthesized on magnesium oxide (MgO) substrates. We first cleaned a MgO (001) substrate and deposited a 21 nm CuO film as described in the supplementary material \cite{8}. After the deposition of CuO, the sample was cooled down to room temperatures under plasma-excited atomic oxygen flux. We then turned off the atomic oxygen flux and deposited a 3 nm Cu film in vacuum by electron beam evaporation. As a comparison, we also synthesized 3 nm Cu films using the same Cu source on several different band insulator substrates (MgO, Al₂O₃, Si), which we collectively call Cu/BI films because all the films behaved in the similar way in the transport measurements. The transport properties were measured with a Quantum Design Physical Property Measurement System.

Figs. 1 (a) and (b) represent the sheet resistance of Cu/CuO and Cu/MgO films, respectively, as functions of temperature. While the resistance of both films above 50 K increases with temperature as expected for a simple metal, both samples have a minimum in the sheet resistance about 50 K. In order to further examine the transport properties of the two films, we show in Figs. 2 (a) and (b) the sheet conductance as a function of external magnetic field \(H\) perpendicular to the films at different temperatures between 2 K and 10 K. In both samples, the magnetoconductance is negative at fields lower than \(\sim 0.4\) T and positive at higher fields, which become more evident at lower temperatures.

These transport properties in two-dimensional metallic systems like Cu are well known and were extensively examined since the late 1970s and attributed to a weak localization/antilocalization effect \cite{6, 12}. In fact, since CuO and band insulators have much higher resistance at these temperatures than Cu, the current must predomi-
nantly flow inside the Cu. This consideration, together with the fact that both Cu films were deposited from the identical, fully melted Cu source using the same e-beam system, reasonably suggests that there should be no big difference between the transport properties of Cu/CuO and Cu/BI films. However, Fig. 2 also shows a large difference in detailed shapes of the curves: The negative component of the magnetoconductance at magnetic fields lower than 0.4 T is much more prominent in the Cu/CuO film than in the Cu/MgO film. This is the essential experimental finding in this paper.

In order to examine the origin of this difference, we analyze the data by fitting the magnetoconductance curves to the theoretical equation for the weak antilocalization effect \[^{[4,11,14]}\]:

\[
\frac{\Delta \sigma(H)}{\sigma_0} = -\frac{3}{2} \left\{ \ln \frac{4}{3} H_1 + \frac{H_2}{H} + \psi \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3} \frac{H_1}{H} \right) \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \ln \frac{H_2}{H} - \psi \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{H_2}{H} \right) \right\}
\]

In the equation above, \(\Delta \sigma(H)\) is the difference in sheet conductance between the value under a magnetic field \(H\) and the zero-field value. There are two fitting parameters \(H_1 \equiv H_{so} - H_s\) and \(H_2 \equiv H_1 + 2H_s\), where \(H_1 \equiv h/4eD\tau_i\) is the effective field proportional to the inelastic scattering rate \(1/\tau_i\), \(H_s \equiv h/4eD\tau_s\) is proportional to the spin-flip scattering rate \(1/\tau_s\), and \(H_{so} \equiv h/4eD\tau_{so}\) is proportional to the spin-orbit scattering rate \(1/\tau_{so}\).

\(\sigma_0 \equiv e^2/\pi h \approx 1.23 \times 10^{-5}\) S is a constant with the unit of conductance, \(D\) is the diffusion constant for electron motion inside Cu films, and \(\psi\) is the digamma function. We emphasize that this formula and its relatives have been successfully applied to many metallic thin films \[^{[10,11]}\] as well as two-dimensional electron gas systems \[^{[15,16]}\], which supports the reliability of our analysis.

We examine the temperature dependence of the different scattering rates by fitting the experimental curve at each temperature by the theoretical equation. Figure 3 (a) represents the temperature dependence of \(H_1\), which is related to the spin-orbit and spin-flip scattering rates. The two films have similar values in \(H_1\), which do not seem to have significant temperature dependence. Since both the spin-orbit scattering and the spin-flip scattering are expected to be temperature independent \[^{[17]}\], the experimental results that \(H_1\) does not exhibit large temperature dependence assure the validity of our analysis.

On the other hand, the temperature dependence of \(H_2\), as shown in Fig. 3 (b), demonstrates the clear difference in transport properties between Cu/CuO and Cu/MgO films. While at temperatures higher than 10 K both films show similar decrease of \(H_2\) as temperature decreases, the decrease of \(H_2\) of the Cu/MgO becomes much slower than that of the Cu/CuO film below 10 K. In fact, the saturation of the decrease in \(H_2\) in thin metallic films including Cu has been observed in previous studies by other researchers \[^{[10,11]}\]. Since \(H_2\) is a weighted sum of inelastic and spin-flip scattering rates, the saturation has been attributed to the presence of small amount of magnetic impurities which contributes to the spin-flip scattering. It is therefore natural to speculate that our Cu films also have magnetic impurities. In fact, using the secondary ion mass spectrometry \[^{[8]}\], we observed several trace magnetic impurities (Cr, Fe, Mn, Ni, and Co) in a much thicker Cu film deposited from the same Cu source. What is unexpected, however, is that, even though we deposited Cu on CuO from the identical Cu source, we do not see the saturation of the decrease of \(H_2\) in the Cu/CuO film.

We further observe that \(H_2\) of the Cu/CuO film between 2 K and 4 K is roughly proportional to temperature, though this is not very conclusive due to the narrow range of the measurements. Since theoretically the inelastic scattering rate by electron-electron scattering in disordered metals is also expected to roughly scale as \(\sim T^1\) \[^{[18,19]}\], this observation implies that \(H_2\) in this sample is dominated not by the spin-flip scattering but by the inelastic scattering.

By plotting \(H_2\) as a function of temperature in a linear scale (Fig. 3 (d)) and linearly extrapolating each curve down to 0K, the spin-flip scattering time \(\tau_s\) of each sample can be estimated. We can then use the value of \(H_1\) (Fig. 3 (c)) to obtain the spin-orbit scattering time. The results of the analysis are summarized in Table 1 for reference. Table 1 clearly demonstrates that \(\tau_s\) of the
TABLE I. Summary of the magnetoconductance analysis on our films. $d$ and $R_s$ represent the thickness and the minimum sheet resistance, respectively. The thickness $d$ is estimated from $dR_s/dT$ (the slope of Fig. 1) between 150 K and 200 K. For the discussion on why $d$ is smaller than the nominal thickness (3 nm), please refer to the supplementary material [8]. Spin-orbit, inelastic, and spin-flip scattering times are evaluated using the data in Fig. 3. The error range of each value is simply estimated by the standard error of the linear regression. For the calculation of the scattering times, we adopted the following parameters for Cu: electron mass = $9.1 \times 10^{-31}$ kg; fermi velocity = $1.6 \times 10^6$ m/s; and carrier density = $8.5 \times 10^{28}$ m$^{-3}$.

|        | $d$ [nm] | $R_s$ [Ω/□] | $\tau_{so}$ [$10^{-12}$s] | $\tau_T$ [$10^{-11}$s•K] | $\tau_s$ [$10^{-12}$s] |
|--------|----------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Cu/CuO | 1.3      | 312         | 1.4                       | 2.7                      | (7.1±0.4)×10$^7$        |
| Cu/MgO | 1.4      | 208         | 1.3                       | 2.7±1.5                  | 4.6±0.8                |
| Cu/Al$_2$O$_3$ | 1.5 | 138       | 2.1±0.3                   | 3.3±2.8                  | 6.1±1.6               |

FIG. 3. Temperature dependence of (a) $H_1$ in a log scale, (b) $H_2$ in a log scale, (c) $H_1$ in a linear scale, and (d) $H_2$ in a linear scale. In each figure, squares represent the Cu/CuO film, while triangles represent the Cu/MgO film.

Cu/CuO film is anomalously long compared to that of the Cu/BI films. On the other hand, the fact that $\tau_{so}$ of each film agrees well further confirms the validity of our analysis. We note that, depending on the thickness of the films, the spin-orbit scattering times of copper films in the literature roughly range from $10^{-12}$ to $10^{-11}$ s [6, 12], which is consistent with our results. Table I also shows the results of analysis in a slightly thicker and less disordered Cu/Al$_2$O$_3$ film for comparison. Although the data are more noisy, $\tau_s$ of this film is very similar to that of the Cu/MgO film. This observation safely excludes the possibility that the magnetic impurities originate from a surface of any particular BI substrate.

All the experimental results presented so far suggest a single story: while both Cu films contain magnetic impurities, the spin-flip scattering by the magnetic impurities in the Cu/CuO film is suppressed due to the adjacent CuO layer. We can understand this phenomenon in the following way. Since the spins in CuO are antiferromagnetically aligned below its Néel temperature ($\sim$ 200 K) as depicted in Fig. 4, each nearly-free electron in the Cu is spin-polarized by the superposition of RKKY interactions [21–23] from all the spins on the surface layer of the CuO [20], which results in an AF alignment of spins inside the Cu. In this situation, the spin of each magnetic impurity feels the spin-polarization of mobile electrons around it through a conventional exchange interaction. Such an interaction with polarized spins naturally creates an energy cost for the spin-flip process of the magnetic impurity. When the temperature is lower than this energy cost, the spin-flip scattering by the magnetic impurity is exponentially suppressed.

The two copper films measured in a dilution refrigerator exhibit another characteristic feature below about 1 K, a hysteresis of magnetoconductance. While the data are presented elsewhere, we here note that the presence of the hysteresis implies the extended relaxation time in spin glass [11] and is probably due to RKKY interactions between magnetic impurity spins inside the Cu films [24]. We emphasize that the two copper films with different substrates have similar magnitudes of hysteresis. This observation suggests that the type of magnetic impurities and their concentration are similar in both films, and is
consistent with our interpretation of the results above 2 K explained above.

In conclusion, through the magnetotransport study above 2 K, spin-flip scattering is found to be suppressed in Cu/CuO films, whereas the results in Cu/Bl films clearly indicate the presence of magnetic impurities. We propose that the observations are indirect evidence of the proximity effect of antiferromagnetism in the metal.

Even though the effect presented in this paper is subtle, it might find some interesting applications in the future. For example, spintronics utilizes the electron’s spin and magnetic moment to affect electrical transport. A common problem in this context is the undesired relaxation of spin-polarized carriers, possibly due to magnetic impurities. Therefore, our experimental results could have implications for future spintronic experiments.
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TABLE II. Summary of the SIMS measurement in ppm.

| 51V | 52Cr | 55Mn | 56Fe | 58Ni | 59Co | 102Ru |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| 0   | 0.1  | 1    | 2    | 2    | 4    | 0     |

SYNTHESIS AND CHARACTERIZATIONS OF FILMS

Our copper monoxide (CuO) film was synthesized on a magnesium oxide (001) substrate by electron-beam evaporation in a vacuum chamber with the base pressure at least better than 1 × 10⁻⁸ torr. In order to prepare smooth and clean substrate before deposition, an ultrasonically cleaned MgO (001) substrate was annealed first at 500°C in vacuum for a few hours and further at 750°C under RF-excited atomic oxygen flux [1] for 10 minutes. A few nanometers of homoepitaxial MgO was then deposited by pulsed laser deposition using a Mg target at 750°C under the atomic oxygen flux, which yielded a very smooth and chemically clean MgO surface. Following the cleaning procedure, the substrate was cooled down to 500°C, where Cu was deposited using the electron beam evaporation under the atomic oxygen to synthesize a 21 nm CuO film.

X-ray diffraction study has shown that the (111) direction of our CuO film is aligned parallel to the (001) direction of the MgO substrate, in the similar manner to the works in the literature [2]. Although the CuO film is not single crystalline due to the twinning of CuO with respect to MgO, the (111) peak of the x-ray diffraction is sharp with the FWHM of the rocking curve smaller than 0.2°, suggesting a good crystalline quality. In addition, the atomic force microscope measurement has shown that the RMS roughness of the surface is only about 0.5 nm, which makes it possible to synthesize a ultrathin continuous Cu film on top of the CuO.

We also looked for trace magnetic impurities in a 300 nm-thick Cu film deposited from the same Cu source by using a secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) [3]. Table [1] shows that Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni, and Cr were found out of the 7 elements investigated. The total concentration of these magnetic elements is ~10 ppm. We note that the measured concentration is accurate only up to a factor of ~2, due to our rough estimate of sensitivity factors.

INTERPRETATIONS OF TRANSPORT DATA

When one observes a minimum of sheet resistance in a thin metallic film, there are at least 3 possible explanations for the minimum: the weak localization/antilocalization, the Kondo effect [4], or the electron-electron interaction in a disordered system [5]. It is therefore sometimes difficult to determine which one is significant. In our study, however, we believe that our magnetoconductance analysis focusing only on the weak localization/antilocalization is valid at least above 2 K from the following reasons.

If the Kondo effect played a major role in our data, the magnetoconductance would not depend strongly on the direction of the applied magnetic field. Moreover, the minimum of resistivity due to the Kondo effect would occur at the same temperature regardless of the thickness of the film. Neither turned out to be true for our films.

As for the effect of electron-electron interactions, it is probable that, as shown by [6], the temperature dependence of sheet resistance in Fig. 1 does include a significant contribution from this effect. However, it does not affect the results of our analysis using the low-field magnetoconductance.

Finally, the result in Fig. 3 that shows temperature-independence of $H_1$ strongly implies that our analysis is valid without taking either the Kondo effect or the electron-electron interaction into account.

ORIGIN OF MAGNETIC IMPURITIES

Even though we found the trace magnetic impurities in our Cu source by the SIMS measurement, it may not be a sufficient proof that they are the only source of the spin-flip scattering. In particular, it is known [6] that oxidation of Cu by moisture in air does occur and can affect the transport properties. We could therefore imagine that oxygen atoms cause the spin-flip scattering as well. The latter interpretation is consistent with the observation that the effective thickness we noted in the Table I is much smaller than the nominal thickness, probably due to the oxidation of the surface of the films.

We actually attempted to prevent the oxidation of our Cu samples by depositing an additional capping layer. However, the choice of the best material for the capping layer turned out to be difficult. The first material we tested was Al₂O₃, but we found that it reduced the reproducibility of sheet resistance, probably because of the oxidation of Cu during the growth of the capping layer. We also deposited Si as the capping layer, but it was found that Si layer deposited at room temperatures could easily conduct current and confuse the interpretation of the data.

We however emphasize that, since $H_1$ is correctly estimated to be temperature-independent, our analysis of the magnetoconductance by utilizing the weak antilocalization formula seems valid, regardless of the oxidation of our samples. In addition, our main conclusion is independent of the source of spin-flip scatterings, because it relies only on the comparison between the Cu/CuO and the Cu/Bi films.
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