Experimental Determination of the Characteristics of a Positron Source Using Channeling.
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Abstract
Numerical simulations and 'proof of principle' experiments showed clearly the interest of using crystals as photon generators dedicated to intense positron sources for linear colliders. An experimental investigation, using a 10 GeV secondary electron beam, of the SPS-CERN, impinging on an axially oriented thick tungsten crystal, has been prepared and operated between May and August 2000.

After a short recall on the main features of positron sources using channeling in oriented crystals, the experimental set-up is described. A particular emphasis is put on the positron detector made of a drift chamber, partially immersed in a magnetic field. The enhancement in photon and positron production in the aligned crystal have been observed in the energy range 5 to 40 GeV, for the incident electrons, in crystals of 4 and 8 mm as in an hybrid target. The first results concerning this experiment are presented hereafter.

1 INTRODUCTION

The enhancement of radiation observed, in channeling conditions, in a crystal with respect to bremsstrahlung, makes crystal targets interesting for obtaining large positron yields: the high rate of photons generated along a crystal axis produces a corresponding high positron yield in the same crystal target [1]. Association of crystals, where intense radiation takes place and amorphous targets, where photons are materialized in e+e- pairs, have also been investigated [2]. The insertion of this kind of target in a typical scheme for a positron facility of a linear collider has also been considered [3]. The high current of the incident electron beam on the target leading to important energy deposition in the target and to possible crystal damages, mainly caused by Coulomb scattering of the electron beam on the nuclei, these two aspects have been studied. Simulations of warm crystals, heated by the energy deposited, were provided in actual working conditions using the JLC (Japanese Linear Collider) conditions [3]. Concerning the radiation damages, a beam test has been performed with the SLC beam: fluences as high as 2 · 10^{18} mm^{-2}, corresponding to hundred hours of continuous working of a linear collider as JLC, appeared harmless [4]. Proof of principle experiments (1992-93, Orsay) [5] and (1996, Tokyo) [6] showed photon and positron yields enhancements. An experimental verification of the yield, energy spectrum and transverse emittance of a crystal positron source should give definite answers on the useable positron yield for a LC (Linear Collider) [7]. A beam test on the transfer lines of the SPS-CERN started this spring. After a description of the experimental set-up, with some emphasis on the positron detector, the first results are provided.

2 THE EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP

The experiment is using multi-GeV electron beams of the SPS. The electrons, after passing through profile monitors and counters (trigger) impinge on the targets with energies from 5 to 40 GeV (mainly at 10 GeV). Photons, as well as e+e- pairs are produced in the target. These particles come mainly in the forward direction and travel across the magnetic spectrometer, consisting of the drift chamber and positron counters inserted between the poles of a spectrometer magnet (MBPS). The most energetic photons and charged particles come out nearly in the forward direction. The charged ones are swept by a second magnet (MBPL) after which the photons reach the photon detector made of preshowers and a calorimeter (see figure 1).

3 THE MAIN ELEMENTS OF THE SET-UP

The beam: the SPS bursts are made of 3.2 seconds duration pulses with a 14.4 seconds period. 10^4 electrons/burst are usually obtained at 10 GeV electron energy.

The trigger: the channeling condition requires that...
the incident electron direction angle, with respect to the crystal axis, be smaller than the Lindhard critical angle, \( \Psi_c = \sqrt{2 \cdot U/E} \) where \( U \) represents the potential well of an atomic row and \( E \) the incident electron energy. In order to fulfil it we installed a trigger system made of scintillator counters. For 10 GeV (c) and \( < 111 > \) axis for the tungsten crystal, \( \Psi_c = 4.5mrad \). Taking into account the presence of crystal effects at angles slightly larger than the critical angle, the acceptance angle for the trigger has been chosen at 0.75mrad. That gives typically a rate of "good events" in the experimental conditions of 1%.

The target: two kinds of tungsten targets have been installed on a 0.001 degree precision goniometer: a 8 mm thick tungsten crystal and an hybrid target made of 4 mm crystal and 4 mm amorphous. Mosaic spreads of both crystals are less than 0.5mrad.

The positron detector: the drift chamber is made of hexagonal cells, filled with a gas mixture \( H_2(90%)CH_4(10%) \) and presents two parts: the first part (DC1), with a cell radius of 0.9 cm, is escaping mainly the magnetic field of the bending magnet MBPS. It allows the measurement of the position and exit angle of the emitted pairs. The second part (DC2), with a cell radius of 1.6 cm, is submitted to the magnetic field. It allows the measurement of the positron momentum. Two values of the magnetic field are used, 1 and 4 kGauss, to investigate the two momenta regions: from 5 to 20MeV/c and from 20 to 80MeV/c.

Signal and field wires are short (6 cm) and made of gold plated tungsten and titanium, respectively. Counters (scintillators) are put on the lateral walls in order to define the useful region. The drift chamber exhibits 21 layers and the resolution is of 300 microns. The maximum horizontal angle being accepted is 30 degrees. The limited vertical size sets the overall acceptance of the chamber to 6%. The choice of Helium provides a small multiple scattering (0.001X0).

The electronics: for drift time measurements the electronics detects the leading edge of the signal, coming from the sense wire, and digitize the time with 3ns resolution. Front end electronics is made of preamplifier, shaper and ECL discriminator. The TDC (Time to Digital Converter) have a scale range of 1.5 microseconds. A common stop is used.

The photon detector: Photon multiplicity is rather high: about 200 photons/event for a 8 mm thick tungsten crystal oriented along its \( < 111 > \) axis. The angular acceptance of the photon detector is 5.5 mrad (half cone angle). That gives 2000 triggered photons in an SPS burst. The photon detector is made of: 2 preshowers giving the relative photon multiplicity (one with nominal 5.5 mrad acceptance and the other with reduced 1.5 mrad acceptance), a Nal calorimeter providing the energy radiated. The role of the photon detector is essential for operating the crystal orientation.

4 FIRST RESULTS

![Figure 2: Rocking curve measured on preshower for the hybrid target.](image2)

Enhancement in photon production. On the \( < 111 > \) axis of the tungsten crystals, the ultrarelativistic electrons radiate more photons than by classical bremsstrahlung. The preshower provides the relative photon multiplicity with respect to the crystal orientation. We reported, on figure 2, the associated rocking curve for the 8 mm hybrid

![Figure 3: Rocking curves measured on DC counter 1 for the hybrid target with two values of the magnetic field.](image3)
Figure 4: Rocking curve measured with number of wires in DC for the hybrid target, for an incident energy of 10 GeV. The enhancement is about 1.8.

**Enhancement in positron production.** A corresponding enhancement in positron production is observed in channeling conditions as can be seen on figure [3]. Rocking curves for the positrons use the informations from the two counters put on the lateral walls of the Drift Chamber. Counter 1 is receiving low energy positrons strongly deflected by the magnetic field (1 and 4 kGauss) and counter 2, the high energy positrons (and the electrons) weakly deflected. It can be seen that the ratio aligned/random is about 2, for an incident energy of 10 GeV, in agreement with the simulations (Counter 1). The enhancement in positron production has also been observed with the number of hitted wires in the Drift Chamber (see figure 4).

![Figure 4](image4.jpg)

**Positron tracks.** Positron tracks, for different running conditions (Beam energy, crystal thickness, magnetic field) have been reconstructed. We present on figure [3] an example of these tracks reconstructed separately in the two parts of the chamber on the basis of the signals delivered by the Drift Chamber.

**Momentum distribution.** Preliminary momentum distribution has been determined for the accepted positrons in the Drift Chamber (see for example figure 6). Such distribution has also been obtained for the two values of the magnetic field: 1 and 4 kGauss for several energies (from 5 GeV to 40 GeV).

**Incident energy dependence.** Variation of the incident energy from 5 to 40 GeV, clearly shows enhancement in photon as in positron production with growing energy; At 40 GeV, the ratio axis/random is more than 4.

## 5 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS
These first results on positron production in channeling conditions with high energy electrons clearly show an enhancement in photon as in positron production in agreement with the simulations. For the positrons the enhancement takes place mainly at low energy. This aspect is of particular importance for the positron capture in the accelerator channel. The Drift Chamber operates properly to determine the positron track and, hence to provide the exit angle and positron momentum. These first results, which give a clear confirmation for the interest of using this kind of sources will be completed by an appropriated analysis in the next months.
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