OpenPneu: Compact Platform for Pneumatic Actuation with Multi-Channels
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Abstract—This paper introduces OpenPneu, a compact system designed to facilitate pneumatic actuation for multi-chamber soft robots. In this system, micro-pumps are utilized to generate airflow, eliminating the need for additional compressed air input. OpenPneu is characterized by its modular design, allowing for excellent scalability, as evidenced by a ten-channel prototype. Each air channel in OpenPneu is equipped with both inflation and deflation capabilities, enabling a wide range of pressure supply from positive to negative, with a maximum flow rate of 1.7 L/min. The system incorporates high-precision closed-loop pressure control, ensuring stable and efficient dynamic performance during actuation. Additionally, an open-source control interface and Python-based API are provided. The functionality of OpenPneu is demonstrated through its application in three different soft robotic systems, involving up to 10 chambers.

I. INTRODUCTION

Soft robotics is an emerging topic in the robotics area due to its flexibility and adaptability to the complex environment [1]. As a feature of soft robot systems, external power sources such as cable force, liquid, pressurized air, and electronic voltage are generally applied to provide actuation [2]. This feature enables soft robots to achieve a high power-to-weight ratio [3]. Throughout different types of actuation, pneumatic is environment-friendly and easy to use, which is now widely applied in soft robotic research [4]. The safety and efficiency of pneumatic-driven soft robots have been proved in applications such as fruit harvesting and minimally invasive surgeries.

While novel designs and control strategies for pneumatic-driven soft robots have been sufficiently studied [1], [16], their performance also relies on the development of an efficient actuation platform that can effectively drive chambers to the required pressures [4]. For example, to drive two soft hands to the gestures of the rock-paper-scissors game illustrated in Fig. 1, the actuation system needs to rapidly and simultaneously change the pressures in ten air chambers. On the other hand, we see a trend of soft robot designs that require both positive and negative pressures to achieve better functionalities (e.g., to obtain larger workspace [6] or variable stiffness [17]). To support the development of novel pneumatic-driven soft robots, an actuation system should have the following properties:

1) A modular design to realize good scalability for soft robots with multiple chambers.
2) Ability to provide positive/negative pressures as output with stable and efficient dynamic performance.

Simultaneously achieving all these properties on the same actuation system has not been found in prior research. Pneuduino [9] and PneumaticBox [10] are two integrated solutions with high-pressure compressed airflow as the input. Soft Robotics Toolkit [13], is another well-known low-cost solution built with off-the-shelf components, however, have limited scalability for multi-channel pressure supply. FlowIO [15] developed by Ali Shtarbanov has good portability, however only supports rapid pressure change in one channel at one time. A review of existing systems with a comprehensive comparison of their properties is presented in Sec. II and Table I. Nevertheless, there have been limited studies that have concentrated on creating universal and open-source pneumatic actuation system. We see researchers in this field generally build their own actuation devices from scratch with a time-consuming building process (e.g., [6]–[8]).

In this paper, we present a self-contained pneumatic actuation platform named OpenPneu that can provide all the aforementioned properties to drive soft robots. OpenPneu features a modular design that facilitates multi-channel output, powered by micro-pumps, where each channel has the ability to provide both positive and negative pressures ranging between [−50, 80] kPa. Specially designed mechanical structure and customized PCBs are built to allow good scalability of the system. We verify the effectiveness of OpenPneu on various soft robots fabricated by silicon rubbers [18],

Fig. 1. We present OpenPneu, an open-source platform with excellent mobility and scalability that can provide pressures for multi-channels at the same time. Both positive and negative pressures are realized, and the average response time is around one second when actuating two soft hands with ten fingers.

3) Ease to be reproduced with a user-friendly programming interface to support soft robotic applications.
with a presented example prototype that supports up to ten chambers being actuated simultaneously. The static and dynamic performance of the system are tested, and the ability to provide pressure compensation under external disturbance is demonstrated. Important data including the mechanical and circuit design, the firmware program, the instruction set and the coding interface are made publicly accessible at https://openpneu.github.io/OpenPneu.

II. RELATED WORK

Existing pneumatic actuation solutions can be categorized as volume-based and airflow-based systems. An illustration of the working principles is shown in Fig. 2.

A. Volume-Based System

The volume-based systems are widely used in soft robotics research [6]–[8] since they are easy to control and able to provide continuous pressure changes. As shown in Fig. 2(a), the pressure supplement is usually driven by the volume variation of cylinders or syringes and controlled by the motion of linear actuators. The volume-based actuation systems have good scalability in both mechanical and control design. Marchese et al. [5] have demonstrated the ability to drive a manipulator with 16 chambers by volume-based actuation. However, those systems have limited mobility because of heavy linear actuators and large syringes with nearly the same volume as the maximally inflated chamber. Meanwhile, as a closed system without compensated airflow, it can lose functionalities after driving the system with large cycles [8], especially when chambers have air-leakage issues. however, This has less influence on our airflow-based system (a comparison is shown in Sec. V-C).

B. Airflow-Based System with Compressed Air

When the soft robot systems require working pressures higher than 2 bar, airflow-based systems with an external supply of compressed air are generally used. These systems usually contain a proportioning valve [12] (e.g., proportional-pressure regulators from FESTO or SMC) or a high-frequency electromagnetic valve with a personalized controller [9]–[11] to realize fast and efficient pressure control. Nevertheless, due to their reliance on compressed air as an input, these systems face challenges in generating negative pressure and exhibit limited mobility.

C. Airflow-Based System with Micro-pump

As a self-contained system, the solution that uses micro-pumps to provide airflow has the ability to generate both positive and negative pressures as output. Based on this design, researchers build cost-efficient systems with off-the-shelf components [13], [14], [19]. An open-source system named FlowIO with a highly integrated design is presented in [15], which is now being used in many soft robotic applications. However, it can only sequentially adjust the pressure change on various air channels, which limits its usage in parallel soft robot systems that require several chambers to be actuated together [10]. Instead, the OpenPneu prototype demonstrated in this work shows its ability to provide up to ten pressure outputs simultaneously with a fast response performance.

III. HARDWARE

We first present the hardware of OpenPneu which contains a set of compact modules for pressure regularization and a

| TABLE I: COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT PNEUMATIC ACTUATION SYSTEMS |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Pneumatic Actuation Systems**                               |
| Property                                                      |
| Volume-based system                                           |
| Airflow-based system with compressed air                       |
| Airflow-based system with micro-pump                          |
| Source                                                        |
| [5]–[8]                                                       |
| [9]                                                           |
| [10]                                                          |
| [11]                                                          |
| [12]                                                          |
| [13]                                                          |
| [14]                                                          |
| [15]                                                          |
| Ours                                                          |
| Airway numbers†                                                |
| up-to 16                                                      |
| [5]                                                           |
| High Pressure Output‡                                          |
| ✗                                                             |
| Support Vacuum                                                |
| ✗                                                             |
| Free of External†                                              |
| ✗                                                             |
| Compactness                                                   |
| ✗                                                             |
| Open Source                                                   |
| ✗                                                             |
| Rough Cost ($$)                                                |
| ≥1000                                                         |
| N/A                                                           |
| 2020                                                          |
| 830                                                           |
| 6600                                                          |
| 510                                                           |
| 2650                                                          |
| 130                                                           |
| 600                                                           |
| 1000                                                          |
| 400                                                           |

†Independent number of air channels that can be handled simultaneously by the actuation system. ‡Indicates whether the actuation system needs the external pressure source. † Indicates whether the actuation system is open source.
The control architecture of OpenPneu is presented in this section, which contains low-level firmware and high-level Application Programming Interface (API) functions. These together support an effective driver and communication with the circuit board to control pumps and valves. A Python-based coding interface are created to guarantee a full access to the system functionalities, which support the development of soft robotic applications.

A. Firmware and Low-level Pressure Control

The firmware of OpenPneu is illustrated in Fig. 4(b) and developed within Arduino IDE based on STM32duino. Pressure control for each channel is achieved by using the proportional–integral–derivative (PID) controller with sensor signal as feedback. The target pressure is taken as

B. Circuit Design

Since the OpenPneu is designed to support pressure supply for multiple channels, the circuit board should be able to provide multiple signals to control valves and pumps under high power load especially when all the channels are being operated. As there is no existing commercial Printed Circuit Board (PCB) solution available for integrated pressure sensing and regulation for multiple air channels, a new PCB has been personalized to meet all requirements.

The PCB of OpenPneu is illustrated in Fig. 4(a) which contains various modules. STM32F103 is selected as the microcontroller (MCU) for operating all input commands. Information on the main components used to build the system can be found in Table II. One of the main modules is for sensing the pressure, which contains a set of pressure sensors connected with an I/O expansion chip acting as a pressure selector to efficiently provide information to the MCU. Note that the end-user is able to change the type of pressure sensors (e.g., pressure range and precision) based on different applications. For the demonstration purpose, we select five high-precision positive sensors and another five sensors that have the ability to detect both positive and negative pressures. The other two main modules are used to drive the pumps and valves, where both are equipped with pulse-width modulation (PWM) drivers to generate adjustable independent 16 PWM signals at a resolution of 4096 bits. The main circuit of the PCB board is designed to withstand a maximal working current at 20A, which is sufficient enough for an extension of 12 modules (i.e., 24 air channels).

Our system can achieve smooth control of pressure output with the range of [−50, 80] kPa, which fundamentally resolves the air-leaking problem in the soft robot system (see the experiment shown in Sec. V-C). As the modular design has been considered for both the mechanical and the electrical aspects of the system, users are able to customize the number of air channels and the range of pressure output to meet the needs of particular applications. The total cost of the prototype we demonstrated in this work with 10 channels is less than $550 (see the summary in Table II), where 60% of the total cost from the pressure sensors and the micro air pumps are used to realize high-precision pressure control. This cost is less than most of the existing solutions based on the comparison presented in Table I.

IV. SOFTWARE
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The control architecture of OpenPneu is presented in this section, which contains low-level firmware and high-level Application Programming Interface (API) functions. These together support an effective driver and communication with the circuit board to control pumps and valves. A Python-based coding interface are created to guarantee a full access to the system functionalities, which support the development of soft robotic applications.

A. Firmware and Low-level Pressure Control

The firmware of OpenPneu is illustrated in Fig. 4(b) and developed within Arduino IDE based on STM32duino. Pressure control for each channel is achieved by using the proportional–integral–derivative (PID) controller with sensor signal as feedback. The target pressure is taken as
input and commands are sent to MCU through the USB port or Bluetooth module via serial communication. MCU computes the pressure difference to the target and sends signals to speed controllers which generate PWM waves to guide pump and valve drivers with desired voltages. In our implementation, the PID controller is run in timer interrupt function on MCU rather than in a computer program to achieve fast and stable pressure regularization. The frequency of MCU is at 50Hz.

B. Coding Interface

In our system, the instruction set is built as cross-platform commands to control the OpenPneu system through serial communication. To provide a simple and user-friendly coding interface for researchers, both the application programming interface (API) and the graphical user interface (GUI) are provided. Example Python code of using API to individually control a single channel or all channels together is demonstrated in Fig. 5(a). The GUI shown in Fig. 5(b) is based on PyQt5, which allows users to interactively adjust target pressure in each channel. As shown in Fig. 5(c), the current pressure and airflow rate could be visualized in the selected channels. All functions supported by our coding interface can be found in the documentation on GitHub.

V. CASE STUDIES AND APPLICATIONS

We have implemented the proposed hardware and software, and a prototype of OpenPneu is shown in Fig. 1. The dimensions of the system equipped with ten air channels are $360 \times 230 \times 120$ mm. All customized mechanical components are fabricated by 3D printing and PCBs are fabricated through JLC-PCB company with surface mount technology. The assembly time of the whole system is around 3 hours for all parts. To test the performance and verify the usage of OpenPneu in practical applications, we conduct three case studies with different soft robotic setups. We quantitatively tested both static and dynamic performance of the system when providing positive and negative pressures. The performance of the OpenPneu on soft robot applications can also be found in the supplemental video of this paper.

---

Fig. 4. (a) PCB design for OpenPneu with integrated sensing and driving modules. (b) Illustration of firmware architecture: The main loop of MCU is designed for communication while the PID-based pressure controller is operated in the timer interrupt function.

Fig. 5. (a) Example Python code to control the pressure output of OpenPneu system. (b) An interactive user interface is provided to directly adjust the pressure on each channel. (c) The pressure and flow rate can be visualized in real-time.

Fig. 6. The performance of the proposed OpenPneu system has been tested on a soft robotic finger with bidirectional deformations. (a) Step response for pressure control. (b) Response analysis for continuous target pressure variation. (c) Robustness to handle disturbance on the actuated chamber.
A. Soft Robotic Hands

We first demonstrate the performance of OpenPneu on two soft robotic hands with ten fingers. Each finger is designed with teeth-like structures and can be actuated by both positive and negative pressures. The finger is fabricated by Smooth-On Dragon Skin 30 silicon rubber and can bend bidirectionally to 120 degrees. The step response and continuous pressure tracking performance of our system are tested, with the result plotted in Fig. 6(a) and Fig. 6(b), respectively. Our platform shows good stability for both tasks, with an average settling time of 0.9 second and a steady-state error of 0.07 kPa. This response time is fast enough to rapidly inflate soft robotic gripper. When the target of the system is changing frequently, OpenPneu can also effectively control the airflow to reach the target pressure. However, the system becomes less stable when the pressure continuously goes down (see the portion with oscillation on the curve in Fig. 6(b)). This is because the micro-valve can only switch between discrete states and show less ability to discharge the airflow. We also test the case when the disturbance is applied to the soft finger (i.e., human interaction applied as shown in Fig. 6(c)). The system takes an average of 1.5 seconds to recover. Note that the silicon rubber used to fabricate soft fingers is extremely soft and with a low stiffness and damping coefficient.

We also test the performance difference between individual modules when driving ten soft fingers simultaneously with both positive and negative target pressure. As shown in Fig. 7(a), soft hands are controlled to play the 'Rock Paper Scissors' game and are able to change the configuration around one second. Also, we could see the pressure curves in different chambers overlapped with each other in Fig. 7(c), and therefore shows nearly the same performance.

B. Soft Robotic Gripper

The application of using OpenPneu to drive a soft robotic gripper with multi-chambers is presented in Fig. 7(b). The soft gripper consists of three manipulators fabricated by Smooth-On Ecoflex 0030 silicon rubber. Each manipulator has three parallel chambers and is able to deform in 3D space [20]. The pressure changes in all nine channels are visualized in Fig. 7(d). It can be observed that different
modules cooperate well with each other to drive the soft gripper to grasp and rotate a small basketball with maximum pressure at 30 kPa. The average response time for all actions is 0.9 seconds. When the gripper contacts the object, the pressure values inside the chambers will change and our system can still successfully handle the interaction. On average, the system requires approximately 1.6 seconds to stabilize, taking into account the significant nonlinear deformation it undergoes.

C. Soft Robotic Mannequin

In the third case study, OpenPneu is used to actuate a soft robotic mannequin [8] consisting of four chambers as illustrated in Fig.8. Both the OpenPneu-based (Fig. 8(a) and the syringe-based systems (Fig. 8(b)) are tested to deform the mannequin into a target shape according to the given shape of a human body. It can be found that our system can only take 20% of the time (3.6 sec. vs. 18.0 sec.) as shown in Fig. 8(c)) to reach the target pressure compared with a syringe-based system. Moreover, to demonstrate the performance with a large system interruption, we test the actuation system in one chamber which has air leakage. It can be clearly found in Fig. 8(c) that the syringe-based setup [8] cannot keep a constant pressure in the long term since no complement air flow is provided. Instead, our OpenPneu setup can always keep the target pressure with a steady state error at 0.2 kPa, which is 0.3% of the positive pressure range.

D. Discussion

While case studies presented in this section show the ability of our system to drive soft robots fabricated by silicon rubbers with multi-chambers, OpenPneu also has its limitations in performance – such as the maximal pressure and the relative slow response on the exhaust process. Since micro air pumps are selected in building a compact system, only limited airflow is provided to the system thus becoming less effective and responding when actuating a system requires high air pressure (i.e., higher than 2 bar). On the other hand, OpenPneu cannot precisely track the continuously decreased target pressure as discussed in Sec. V-A. This can be solved by replacing solenoid valves with expensive proportional piezo valves. However, this will significantly increase the cost of the system. The response time of our system is also affected by the volume of the chambers designed in soft robots. Those drawbacks could be solved by replacing main components based on application. The presented OpenPneu prototypes provide cost-effective modular solutions, which are easy to be reproduced and used by soft robot researchers.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We present OpenPneu, a highly-integrated pneumatic actuation system that efficiently provides multiple pressure supplies. As a self-contained device, it exhibits excellent compatibility without the need for compressed air input. The system's modularity, both in its mechanical and electronic components, enables scalability, as demonstrated by our ten-channel prototype. We have designed customized PCB and firmware to effectively drive the system and achieve rapid and stable closed-loop pressure control. Through three case studies in soft robotic applications, we have demonstrated OpenPneu’s capabilities, showcasing its impressive static and dynamic performance. All documentation related to OpenPneu is openly available to researchers, and we provide a user-friendly Python coding interface to facilitate ease of use.
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