Synaptopathies: synaptic dysfunction in neurological disorders – A review from students to students
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Abstract
Synapses are essential components of neurons and allow information to travel coordinately throughout the nervous system to adjust behavior to environmental stimuli and to control body functions, memories, and emotions. Thus, optimal synaptic communication is required for proper brain physiology, and slight perturbations of synapse function can lead to brain disorders. In fact, increasing evidence has demonstrated the relevance of synapse dysfunction as a major determinant of many neurological diseases. This notion has led to the concept of synaptopathies as brain diseases with synapse defects as shared pathogenic features. In this review, which was initiated at the 13th International Society for Neurochemistry Advanced School, we discuss basic concepts of synapse structure and function, and provide a critical view of how aberrant synapse physiology may contribute to neurodevelopmental disorders (autism, Down syndrome, startle disease, and epilepsy) as well as neurodegenerative disorders (Alzheimer and Parkinson disease). We finally discuss the appropriateness and potential implications of gathering synapse diseases under a single term. Understanding common causes and intrinsic differences in disease-associated synaptic dysfunction could offer novel clues toward synapse-based therapeutic intervention for neurological and neuropsychiatric disorders.
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dysfunction in the aforementioned disorders and discuss possible causes for synapse failure as putative common denominators. Understanding the molecular underpinnings leading to synaptic dysfunction will aid in the development of tailored synapse-targeted therapies for neurological disorders.

Synapse structure and function

Synapses constitute the basic information transfer units in the nervous system and can be divided into two groups: electrical and chemical synapses. Electrical synapses allow for the direct transfer of charged ions and small molecules through pores known as gap junctions, mostly found in glial cells (reviewed in Rouach et al. 2002). Within the chemical synapse, electrical activity is unidirectionally transferred from one neuron (pre-synaptic terminal) to another (post-synaptic terminal) through chemical mediators. Action potentials travel along axons to induce release of neurotransmitters from vesicles in the pre-synaptic bouton into the synaptic cleft; the activation of specific ionotropic receptors by the neurotransmitters is then again transduced into an electrical signal that depolarizes the post-synaptic cell and is transmitted downstream.

Synapse function involves highly specialized molecular machineries at the pre- and post-synapses. However, its homeostasis and plasticity also require the contribution of surrounding glia end feet and the extracellular matrix (ECM). Therefore, the classical concept of a synapse, as consisting of the neuronal pre- and a post-synapse, needs to be extended to engulf glial cells and the ECM, thereby creating the term of the quadripartite synapse (reviewed in Sykova and Nicholson 2008).

Chemical synapses transduce either excitatory or inhibitory signals that increase or decrease the likelihood of firing action potentials in target cells, respectively. The most abundant excitatory neurotransmitter in the central nervous system is glutamate. GABA is the main inhibitory neurotransmitter in the adult forebrain, whereas glycine mediates inhibitory neurotransmission mainly in the brainstem and spinal cord (Fogarty et al. 2016).

Pre-synaptic specializations

The structure of the pre-synaptic terminal is essentially the same at excitatory and inhibitory synapses. The main difference, however, lies in neurotransmitter-synthesizing enzymes and transporters. Basically, the signal to release neurotransmitter vesicles consists of a steep rise in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) levels as a result of pre-synaptic voltage-dependent calcium channels, mainly Ca\(_{V}\)2.1 and Ca\(_{V}\)2.2, which are activated upon action potential-dependent depolarization of the pre-synaptic membrane (reviewed in Catterall and Few 2008). Increased Ca\(^{2+}\) levels are sensed by the synaptic vesicle protein synaptotagmin I, which leads to conformational changes in the Soluble NSF Attachment Protein Receptor (SNARE) protein complex to mediate fusion of the vesicle with the plasma membrane. The pre-synaptic scaffold, more specifically the cytomatrix at the active zone, consists of a protein meshwork ensuring fast but adjustable release of neurotransmitters. Bassoon, piccolo, munc-13, Rab3a interacting molecule (RIM), and RIM-binding proteins are prominent components of the cytomatrix at the active zone and anchor pre-synaptic membrane proteins, such as ion channels and cell-adhesion molecules (Ackermann et al. 2015). The efficacy of neurotransmitter release is regulated upon overall changes in network activity to maintain neuronal activity in a physiological range, in a process termed homeostatic plasticity, which modulates the expression of pre-synaptic scaffold proteins (Lazarevic et al. 2011, 2013).

Post-synaptic specializations

As compared with pre-synapses, the molecular composition of post-synaptic elements of excitatory and inhibitory synapses comprises more intrinsic differences. Excitatory post-synapses are mostly formed on dendritic spines, which are protrusions from dendrites, and contain the post-synaptic density (PSD), an electron-dense structure at the post-synaptic site (Gray 1959). The presence of a visible PSD allows one to morphologically distinguish between asymmetric (mainly excitatory) and symmetric (inhibitory) synapses (Colonnier 1968). The PSD is formed by a multilayered scaffold of densely organized proteins underneath the post-synaptic membrane that anchors cell surface proteins, neurotransmitter receptors, and cell-adhesion molecules. Furthermore, the PSD harbors intracellular signaling molecules and cytoskeletal filaments. Classes of scaffold proteins comprise homer (homer 1–3), the ProSAP/Shank family (Shank 1, 2, 3), and the membrane-associated guanylate kinase family, including PSD95 and SAP102. PSD95 anchors ionotropic glutamate receptors (iGluRs) at synaptic sites, whereas homer which interacts with metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs), along with the ProSAP/shank family build a scaffold in the deeper layer of the PSD, which is linked to actin filaments and is involved in spine growth (reviewed in Sheng and Kim 2011).

Glutamate activates a number of different cognate receptors within the post-synaptic membrane of excitatory synapses. The group of iGluRs, which are ligand-gated heterotetrameric ion channels, consists of the pharmacologically defined \(\alpha\)-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methylisoxazole-4-propionate (AMPA)-, NMDA-, and kainate-type receptors. The subunit composition of these receptors is cell type and brain region specific, and in part developmentally regulated (Traynelis et al. 2010). AMPA receptors (AMPARs) mediate the fast depolarizing currents, whereas NMDA receptors (NMDARs) are more important in modulating synaptic responses as a result of their voltage-dependent opening mechanism, their higher permeability for Ca\(^{2+}\), and their coupling to intracellular signaling effectors, such as CaMKII (Strack and Colbran 1998). mGluRs are subdivided into three
classes, depending on their coupled G-protein (reviewed in Niswender and Conn 2010). The subunit composition of glutamate receptors defines their functionality and, hence, receptor composition at individual synapses is crucial for synaptic transmission properties.

Conversely, inhibitory post-synapses are usually formed directly at the soma or dendritic shaft. The scaffold of inhibitory post-synapses contains gephrin, which anchors neurotransmitter receptors, and collybistin, that regulates the clustering of gephrin at GABAergic synapses. There are two described ionotropic GABA receptors: GABA\(_{\alpha}\)- and GABA\(_{\gamma}\)-Rs, which form chloride channels to mediate fast hyperpolarization of post-synaptic membranes (Lujan et al. 2005). In contrast, the metabotropic GABA\(_{\beta}\)Rs are coupled via G-proteins to potassium channels, leading to slow inhibitory post-synaptic currents (reviewed in Gassmann and Bettler 2012). As GABA\(_{\beta}\)Rs mediate their inhibitory effect via potassium channels, they always act as inhibitory receptors, in contrast to the GABA\(_{\alpha}\) – and GABA\(_{\gamma}\)Rs, which depend on the equilibrium potential of chloride.

Glycine receptors (GlyRs) are heteropentameric ionotropic receptors that are permeable to chloride and mediate inhibitory neurotransmission. GABA and glycine can be co-released in the brainstem and in the spinal cord, and a subset of hippocampal interneurons and pyramidal neurons present glycine receptor clusters at GABAergic synapses (Jonas et al. 1998; Levi et al. 2004). It is worth mentioning that glycine can also act as a co-agonist to NMDARs at excitatory synapses in the forebrain (Johnson and Ascher 1987).

Integration of synapses across the cleft

Cell-adhesion molecules (CAMs) physically connect pre- and post-synaptic elements across the synaptic cleft. Synaptic CAMs include cadherins, Ig-CAMS, neurexins, neureligins (NLGNs), ephrins (Eph), and Eph receptors (reviewed in Benson and Huntley 2012). CAMs not only maintain the structure of mature synapses but also play roles in synapse development. As interaction between CAMs is not merely structural but provides indispensable molecular clues for the formation of new synapses, CAM mutual recognition is required for synaptogenesis (reviewed in Bukalo and Dityatev 2012).

The best-studied pair of synaptic CAMs is constituted by the pre-synaptic neurexin and its post-synaptic partner neurelgin (Dean et al. 2003). This heterophilic interaction is essential for synaptic structure and trans-synaptic signaling (Varoqueaux et al. 2006). Over-expression or suppression of neureligins leads to an increase or decrease in the total amount of synapses in neurons, respectively (Dahlhaus et al. 2010; Shipman et al. 2011), highlighting their importance for synaptogenesis. CaMKII-dependent phosphorylation of neurelugins is necessary for their synaptogenic properties (Bemben et al. 2014), which offers a putative activity-dependent regulation of synapse enhancement in a neurelgin-dependent manner (Chubykin et al. 2007).

The tetrapartite synapse

In addition to the pre- and post-synapse, the model of a tetrapartite synapse includes glial end feet and the ECM. Astrocytes are closely associated with synapses and actively control synaptic transmission. They monitor neuronal activity by increasing intracellular levels of calcium and respond by secretion of a variety of molecules (Chung et al. 2015b; reviewed in Bezzi and Volterra 2001). Secretion of D-serine, for example, is essential for glutamatergic transmission, as it acts as a co-agonist for NMDARs (Schell et al. 1995). Furthermore, astrocytes play an important role in synaptogenesis, by secreting thrombospondin, a major factor for synapse formation (Christopherson et al. 2005).

The ECM wraps synapses and matures postnatally to form dense, net-like structures on a subset of cells, mainly parvalbumin-positive interneurons. Hyaluronic acid, which acts as a backbone to coordinate proteoglycans and glyco-proteins, is a major component of ECM (Frischknecht and Seidenbecher 2008). Furthermore, ECM-modifying enzymes, such as matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), and a disintegrin and metalloproteinase with thrombospondin motifs proteins are present in the brain (Porter et al. 2005; Sbai et al. 2008). Substantial evidence has indeed suggested significant roles for MMPs, especially MMP-9, in neurotransmitter receptor availability and synapse function (reviewed in Michaluk et al. 2009; Lepeta and Kaczmarek 2015; Vafadari et al. 2015). Remodeling ECM influences plasticity processes in functional and structural levels and affects memory (Frischknecht et al. 2009; Gogolla et al. 2009; de Vivo et al. 2013; Valenzuela et al. 2014). In addition to the hyaluronic acid-based ECM, there are several other extracellular molecules including laminins, reelin, agrin, thrombospondins, and many more that influence synaptic function (Heikkinen et al. 2014).

Synaptic plasticity

Synaptic plasticity refers to the ability of synapses to modify their structure and tonus after persistent electrical activity and/or signaling. This property can occur in both pre- or post-synaptic compartments, allowing synapses to adapt to different contexts and, importantly, enabling learning and memory processes (reviewed in Bailey et al. 2015; Kandel et al. 2014; Citri and Malenka 2008).

As a prototypic form of synaptic plasticity, long-term potentiation (LTP) induction by repeated synaptic activity promotes the activation of NMDARs and subsequent Ca\(^{2+}\) influx. NMDARs function as coincidence detectors in synaptic activity, as they require both pre-synaptic release of glutamate and basal depolarization of the post-synaptic membrane initiated by AMPARs. Such mechanisms instigate
changes in the kinase/phosphatase balance and gene expression that are important for the persistent modification of synapse structure and function (reviewed in Citri and Malenka 2008; Kandel et al. 2014; Rosenberg et al. 2014). In addition to LTP, there is evidence for additional forms of long-term synapse plasticity. Long-term depression (LTD) can be induced by low-frequency stimulation and is thought to contribute to refining memory engrams in the brain (reviewed in Dietz and Manahan-Vaughan 2016). Synaptic scaling relates to a homeostatic plasticity that globally adjusts synaptic strength in a given neuron in response to prolonged stimuli (reviewed in Tatavarty et al. 2013; Turrigiano 2012). Metaplasticity is another form of adaptation that utilizes the strength of synaptic activity as a mechanism of calibration for the threshold of a synapse to express its plasticity (Lee et al. 2010).

**Synaptic dysfunction as risk for brain disorders**

The complexity of human brain functions is linked to the accelerated evolution of synapses in primates. Synapses can operate with a certain degree of autonomy from the cell body. The complexity results in a great variety of possible synaptic alterations. Synaptic dysfunction can result either from alterations in their intrinsic synaptic molecular mechanisms or be secondary to changes in additional biochemical processes in the surrounding environment.

Mutations in genes encoding synaptic proteins have been shown to result in altered protein levels/function in a number of neurological disorders. In addition, impaired synapse function is a feature of several neurological disorders not fully explained by genetic mutations, such as Alzheimer and Parkinson disease. Moreover, decreased synapse density and function often occur prior to neuronal death. Thus, it is tempting to speculate that molecular elements of synapses are key candidates to contribute to or even cause brain malfunction. In the following sections, we will review central aspects of synapse dysfunction in prevalent neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative disorders.

**Synapse dysfunction in neurodevelopmental disorders**

**Autism spectrum disorders**

ASD form a group of diverse neurodevelopmental conditions defined by two core symptoms: social deficits that include communication and interaction impairments; and stereotypical, repetitive, and restricted behaviors. One of the main features of ASD is the high level of heterogeneity resulting in complexity both when considering symptoms and causative factors. It is in fact likely that every single disorder within the group has its unique mechanisms and consequences, with environmental and genetic factors playing roles in the etiology of ASDs. Around 600 genetic variations, many of which affect synaptic protein genes, have been related to ASD and, despite a wide diversity in these genes, some of them belong to a common pathway, suggesting that core mechanisms may exist (reviewed in Huguet et al. 2013). The imbalance between excitation and inhibition in neocortical areas has been proposed as a key process underlying ASD pathogenesis (reviewed in Baudouin et al. 2012). Here, we will focus on changes in synaptic protein synthesis and plasticity caused by alterations of glutamate receptors.

**mGluRs**

It has been recently demonstrated that alterations in signaling, expression, and function of group I mGluRs are related to neurodevelopmental disorders (reviewed in D’Antoni et al. 2014). Group I mGluRs comprising mGluR1 and mGluR5 have been proposed as key regulators of syndromic and non-syndromic forms of ASD, making them possible therapeutic targets.

mGluR5 signaling was shown to be affected in opposing directions in both Fragile X syndrome (FXS) and tuberous sclerosis syndrome (TSC): FXS is the most common monogenic form of ASD and inherited intellectual disability (ID). It is caused by a mutation in the FMR1 gene that encodes the Fragile X mental retardation protein (FMRP), which regulates trafficking, stability, and translation of many RNAs for proteins implicated in synaptic plasticity (reviewed in Budimirovic and Kaufmann 2011). Mark Bear and colleagues proposed that FMRP suppresses translation downstream of mGluR5 and that absence of FMRP lead to exaggerated synthesis of proteins required for mGluR-dependent LTD, thereby enhancing its magnitude (Bear et al. 2004). Following this hypothesis, different approaches were used to rescue disease phenotypes in Fmr1-deficient mice, including allosteric inhibition and genetic ablation of mGluR5 (Auerbach et al. 2011).

TSC is another genetic syndrome associated with ASD and ID. The syndrome is caused by heterozygous mutations in genes of the TSC1/2 complex involved in an mTOR-mediated signaling pathway that couples cell surface receptors to protein synthesis (Auerbach et al. 2011). Several mouse models of TSC exhibit decreased mGluR5-mediated LTD caused by impaired protein synthesis. Consistently, treatment with an allosteric mGluR5 agonist was able to restore mGluR5-mediated LTD (Auerbach et al. 2011).

**NMDARs**

The implication of NMDARs in the etiology of ASD has been supported by both clinical and non-clinical studies. Clinical studies have identified genetic variants in the GRIN2A and GRIN2B genes encoding the GluN2A and GluN2B subunits of the NMDAR, respectively (O’Roak et al. 2012; Kenny et al. 2014). It is highly plausible that differences in subunit composition affect functional properties of NMDARs and/or NMDAR-dependent plasticity.
(reviewed in Paoletti et al. 2013) This notion is supported by the fact that mice with constitutively reduced expression of the GluN1 subunit present core symptoms of ASD, such as defective communication and sociability in addition to repetitive behavior. Furthermore, disruption of NMDARs causes auditory-evoked response endophenotypes that are often observed in individuals with ASD (Gandal et al. 2012).

A role for NMDARs in ASD is further supported by the fact that social withdrawal and repetitive behavior in individuals with ASD can be alleviated by the NMDAR co-agonist D-cycloserine (Posey et al. 2004; Urbano et al. 2014). By contrast, NMDAR antagonists memantine and amantadine improve ASD-related symptoms including social deficits, inappropriate language, stereotypy, cognitive impairments, lethargy, irritability, inattention, and hyperactivity in humans (reviewed in Hosenbocus and Chahal 2013). Thus, ASD could result, at least in part, from deviations in hormeric NMDAR responses.

**Shank**

Several genetic studies have reported alterations in the gene encoding of the post-synaptic scaffold protein shank in ASD. Shank2−/− mice, lacking exons 6 and 7, show reduced hippocampal NMDAR function, whereas mice lacking only exon 7 show up-regulation of NMDARs in synaptosomes, increased NMDAR/AMPAR ratio, and enhanced NMDAR-dependent LTP (Schmeisser et al. 2012; Won et al. 2012). However, both Shank2−/− models described show very similar social deficits, which support the notion that deviation in NMDAR function in either direction can result in ASD-like phenotypes. Interestingly, aberrant NMDAR function and behavioral deficits observed in those mice could be normalized with systemic D-cycloserine and administration of the positive modulator of mGluR5 3-Cyano-N-1, 3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-ylbenzamide (CDPPB) (Won et al. 2012). Shank1 has similarly been associated with autism-like behavioral changes. Shank1−/− mice display increased anxiety-like behavior and deficits in contextual fear learning but improvements in spatial learning, which corresponds well with the cognitive changes observed in many individuals with ASD. In addition, Shank1−/− mice show smaller dendritic spines in CA1 pyramidal hippocampal neurons and weaker synaptic transmission (Hung et al. 2008), supporting recent evidence that dendritic spine abnormalities are associated with ASDs (Hung et al. 2008; Fang et al. 2014).

**Neuroligin**

Several mutations in genes encoding NLGNS have been associated with ASD (Jamain et al. 2003; Laumonnier et al. 2004). Knocking in the ASD-associated R451C substitution into the endogenous NLGN3 locus caused a prominent decrease in NLGN3 levels that resulted in impaired social behaviors, enhanced spatial learning, and increased synaptic inhibition in the mouse somatosensory cortex. In the hippocampus, this mutation caused an increase in AMPAR-mediated excitatory synaptic transmission, up-regulation of GluN2B, and strengthened LTP (Etherton et al. 2011). Another ASD-associated mutation in NLGN3, R704C, did not alter synapse formation but caused a selective decrease in AMPAR-mediated synaptic transmission in mice, whereas NMDAR-driven synaptic transmission and pre-synaptic neurotransmitter release were not affected (Chanda et al. 2013). These results suggest NLGN3 as a key player in synaptic transmission by modulating the recruitment of AMPARs to post-synaptic sites at excitatory synapses (Eherton et al. 2011). Similarly, mice lacking NLGN1 showed ASD-like behavior and reductions in NMDAR function that could be reversed with D-cycloserine (Blundell et al. 2010) or memantine (Chung et al. 2015a). Interestingly, reduced LTD is a shared feature between a syndromic form of autism (e.g. FXS) and a non-syndromic form of autism partly caused by mutations in Nlgn genes in mice (Baudouin et al. 2012).

**Toward shared pathways in ASD**

ASD is a very complex group of disorders associated with aberrant synaptic transmission and plasticity. A range of animal models has demonstrated that scaffolding and adhesion proteins such as Shank and NLGNS play a key role in the regulation of NMDARs and AMPARs. Altered expression, trafficking, and function of these two groups of receptors are postulated to play a crucial role in the development of ASD. Moreover, evidence suggests mGluR signaling as a shared pathway in disorders associated with ID and ASD. However, the molecular mechanism leading to alterations in group I mGluRs in ASD remains to be elucidated.

Overall, the different animal models have been very useful for the understanding of the neurobiological pathways affecting mGluRs and iGluRs in ASD; however, the models have their limitations as they cannot reproduce all characteristics of the human disorder and only allow studies of ASD at reduced genetic complexity. Most animal models represent alterations in only one single gene, whereas individuals with ASD often have several gene alterations. Understanding how synapse dysfunction develops in ASD, including the associated molecular machinery, remains a challenge that needs to be overcome in search for effective and safe pharmacological treatment for this diverse group of disorders.

**Down syndrome**

Down syndrome (DS) is the most common genetic form of ID and occurs in ~10 of 10 000 live births (Khoshnood et al. 2011). Individuals with DS show deficits in learning and memory, language and executive functions since early childhood, resulting from the presence of an extra copy of chromosome 21 (Hsa21). DS patients exhibit an age-
dependent reduction in dendritic branching and spine density that likely involves impaired reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton in neurons (Marin-Padilla 1976; Takashima et al. 1981). Several cytoskeleton-related genes are located in Hsa21, including DSCR1, DYRK1A, and S100B, and have been proposed as candidates to contribute to the neuronal architecture abnormalities observed in DS (Dierssen et al. 2009). In addition, DS patients show early onset Alzheimer-like neurodegeneration (reviewed in Lott and Dierssen 2010).

Multiple studies support that an over-inhibition of synapses by increased GABAergic circuitry may be the main force for synaptic dysfunctions in DS as it affects the excitation–inhibition balance in the brain (Kurt et al. 2004; reviewed in Fernandez and Garner 2007; Hanson et al. 2007), which may alter synaptic connectivity and cognitive function. Thus, it is plausible to speculate that altered synapse structure and function induced by aberrant expression of Hsa21 and some non-Hsa21 genes underlie cognitive symptoms in DS. One such gene recently emerged as modulating the excessive inhibitory tone. It encodes the type 2 G-protein-activated inwardly rectifying potassium channel (GIRK2). Elevated expression of GIRK2 has been found in the hippocampus of the Ts65Dn mouse, which is the most widely used DS model. Enhanced GIRK2 levels result in a more hyperpolarized resting potential, cognitive deficits, reduced reversal of potentiation, and enhanced LTD in the Ts65Dn mice (reviewed in Cramer and Galdzicki 2012). Augmented coupling between GABA receptors and GIRK2 channels in Ts65Dn hippocampus may potentially account for the impaired expression of LTD in the hippocampus (Kleschevnikov et al. 2004; Siarey et al. 2006). Several mechanisms are thought to mediate the excitatory–inhibitory imbalance, namely, the decreased numbers of excitatory synapses, excessive GABA drive as a result of higher synaptic vesicle release probability, and/or increased number of inhibitory interneurons in the neocortex and hippocampus (Chowdhury et al. 2010).

Ts65Dn mice represent the only model that has been used in the pre-clinical evaluation of drugs for improvement in learning and memory. It has been criticized as ~ 45% of Hsa21 orthologous genes do not show trisomy in those mice. Furthermore, this model bears a extra trisomic Mmu17 region, which carries genes that are not orthologs of Hsa21 genes. Despite these limitations, such mice survive until adulthood and present cognitive deficits, structural, and functional alterations that recapitulate the ones observed in DS individuals (Reeves et al. 1995; Escoriuela et al. 1998). Ts65Dn mice present age-associated shifts in the excitation–inhibition balance and showed a 30% reduction in the numbers of asymmetric synapses in the temporal cortex, whereas both symmetric and asymmetric synapses appear significantly larger (Kurt et al. 2000). In addition, Ts65Dn synaptic structures show reduced activity-dependent synaptic plasticity, as they are less responsive to stimulation (Dierssen et al. 2003). Electrophysiological abnormalities include deficits in GABAergic and glutamatergic transmission in the hippocampus and cerebellum; among them are age- and cell type-specific deficits in hippocampal LTP and LTD (Siarey et al. 1999; Belichenko et al. 2009).

One of the key molecules governing neural circuitry formation in the developing brain is Down syndrome cell-adhesion molecule (DSCAM), a member of the immunoglobulin superfamily that has been linked to neurodevelopmental deficits in DS. It is expressed in various brain regions during development and its levels decrease after birth (Yamakawa et al. 1998; Agarwala et al. 2001). DSCAM is necessary for axon guidance and proper refinement of neuronal networks (Blank et al. 2011) and its different variants mark neurons with individual identity, thus fostering self-recognition during establishment of neuronal networks (Hattori et al. 2007; Fuerst et al. 2009). Increased levels of DSCAM in DS lead to abnormally large pre-synaptic arbors (Kim et al. 2013) and impair precise synaptic targeting and neural circuit function (Cvetkovska et al. 2013).

Recent studies point to endocytic dysfunction as an early pathological change in DS (Cataldo et al. 2000, 2008). Altered levels of two Hsa21-encoded proteins – intersectin and synaptoporin (encoded by ITSNI and SYNJ1, respectively) – impair the recycling of synaptic proteins, interfering with the pre-synaptic vesicle machinery in DS. In addition, DSCR1 and DYRK1A provide an upstream control for ITSNI and SYNJ1 function in regulation of vesicle endocytosis; thus, in DS vesicle trafficking is deregulated at multiple levels (reviewed in Garner and Wetmore 2012). Another key gene triplicated in DS encodes amyloid-β precursor protein (APP). The extra APP copy results in elevated β-amyloid production, likely explaining the accelerated Alzheimer-like phenotype observed in DS patients and perhaps limiting synapse function and cognition during adulthood (reviewed in Head et al. 2016). Alterations in the endocytic pathway in DS contribute to amyloidogenesis and further promote β-amyloid deposits and tau pathology in DS brains (Cataldo et al. 2008).

Approaches targeting altered neurotransmitter systems and synaptic function, including the excitation–inhibition imbalance, have been proposed as therapeutic interventions to ameliorate cognitive deficits in DS. Chronic administration of non-competitive GABA\textsubscript{A}R antagonists picrotoxin and pentylenetetrazole separately rescued compromised LTP and improved learning and memory in DS mice (Fernandez et al. 2007). Because of patient safety concerns regarding the seizure-inducing potential of pentylenetetrazole and higher susceptibility of DS individuals to seizures (Lujic et al. 2011), other compounds with similar blocking capabilities but reduced seizure-inducing features have now been under investigation, among which are molecules targeting specific subunits of GABA\textsubscript{A} receptors (Braudeau et al. 2011). These
actions of GABA<sub>A</sub> antagonists support the over-inhibition hypothesis by GABAergic circuitry in DS.

As a result of the high prevalence of early onset Alzheimer disease in DS, pharmacotherapies for DS based on drugs approved for Alzheimer disease have been proposed and tested in both mice and humans. Memantine, an uncompetitive antagonist of NMDA receptors, has also been proposed as a therapeutic approach to the management of DS because of the interaction of NMDAR with proteins encoded by Hsa21 genes (Siddiqui et al. 2008). Recent studies have shown that epigallocatechin gallate, a green tea catechin that promotes neuroprotection and neuroplasticity inhibits the kinase activity of DYRK1A, up-regulated in DS. Furthermore, epigallocatechin gallate promotes the non-amyloidogenic processing of APP (Fernandez et al. 2010), which would also ameliorate the amyloid burden in DS. In addition to pharmacological therapies, a number of non-pharmacological approaches have been tested in the attempt to alleviate DS structural and cognitive alterations. The enhancement of physiological neuroplasticity by early intervention programs, special education and cognitive stimulation, have been proposed for DS individuals as environmental enrichment is able to profoundly affect the brain structure and function at the molecular level resulting in the improvement of cognitive function in euploid people (reviewed in Baroncelli et al. 2010; Sale et al. 2009).

**Hyperekplexia (Startle Disease)**

Hyperekplexia, also termed startle disease, is a rare hereditary neurological disorder caused by dysfunction of glycineric transmission. Hyperekplexia is characterized by the exaggeration of the normal physiological startle reflex to auditory, or other stimuli (Suhren 2010; Sale et al. 2012). Babies generally present with a phenotype (Rees et al. 2006). Mice with mutated GlyT2 also show a lethal phenotype (Rees et al. 2012). Mutations in GLRB give rise to more severe phenotypes than those seen in patients with GLRA1 mutations, although the underlying mechanisms remain unclear. The spastic mouse (spa) harbors a mutation within the GlyR β subunit, developing a mild or severe phenotype depending on the genetic background strain (Kingsmore et al. 1994; Mulhardt et al. 1994; Becker et al. 2012).

Mutations in glycineric signaling are postulated as the second major cause of hyperekplexia (Rees et al. 2006). Mice with mutated GlyT2 also show a lethal phenotype (Rees et al. 2006; Harvey et al. 2008). In addition, mutations in genes encoding the GlyR- and GABA<sub>B</sub>-R-interacting protein gephyrin (GPHN) (Rees et al. 2003) and the exchange factor collybistin (ARHGEF9) (Harvey et al. 2004) have also been identified in hyperekplexia patients.

**Autoantibodies**

In 2008, a phenotype similar to classical hyperekplexia was described after discovery of autoantibodies against GlyR subunits in patients from 14 months to 65 years old (Damasio et al. 2013; Carvajal-Gonzalez et al. 2014). In addition to loss-of-function mutations, autoimmune antibodies could thus represent a novel mechanism of defective glycineric
signaling in hyperekplexia. Although the underlying pathways are not clearly understood thus far, several case reports have been published favoring enhanced internalization of GlyRs as one of the likely pathomechanisms (Carvajal-Gonzalez et al. 2014). In line with this, patients with the stiff-person syndrome, closely related to hyperekplexia (Khasani et al. 2004), may produce autoantibodies against amphiphysin and show compromised central inhibition. Amphiphysin is involved in clathrin-mediated endocytosis. As GABAergic interneurons show fast neurotransmission, they need compensatory endocytosis, which is impaired by the autoantibodies against amphiphysin, leading to network disinhibition (Werner et al. 2016). Clonazepam, an allosteric potentiator of GABA<sub>A</sub>Rs, is commonly used as effective therapy for hyperekplexia patients with different types of mutations (Andermann et al. 1980; Ryan et al. 1992; Bakker et al. 2009; James et al. 2013; Thomas et al. 2013). Thus, enhancing GABA-mediated inhibitory neurotransmission successfully counteracts deficits in the glycinergic neurotransmission, although the molecular mechanisms behind such effects remain unclear.

**Epilepsy**

Epilepsy comprises a group of common neurological disorders characterized by the occurrence of epileptic seizures, which are defined as uncontrolled and excessive synchronized electrical activity of central neurons. Several conditions, including infection, stroke, and traumatic brain injury, have been reported to increase the susceptibility of developing epilepsy, although a mechanistic understanding of neural events driving epileptogenesis is still limited (reviewed in Pitkänen and Lukasik 2009; Zelano et al. 2015; Pitkanen et al. 2016). Temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE) is the most frequent form of epilepsy, with the hippocampal formation and surrounding cortical regions being regarded as the most prevalently affected areas in the human brain (reviewed in Pitkanen et al. 2015). Epileptogenesis is an ongoing process, with substantial progress after the first seizure. In support of this notion, the first seizure episode acutely increases the risk of sequential events, and some studies have reported that human TLE can be progressive (reviewed in Pitkanen et al. 2015; Cardoso et al. 2006).

In addition, epilepsy can be triggered by genetic mutations affecting key modulators of neuronal function, including sodium channels, neurotransmitter transporters, and synaptic proteins (reviewed in Noebels 2015). The growing list of epilepsy-related mutations poses new questions as to how single gene mutations and acquired conditions, such as traumatic brain injury, could converge to similar epileptic phenotypes (reviewed in Staley 2015). It is worth mentioning that, although epileptogenesis usually initiates at early stages of life, epilepsy has a neurodegenerative component, facilitating aberrant synapse morphology and function that could result in cognitive failure and increased risk for dementia (Wittner et al. 2002; Leite et al. 2005; Keezer et al. 2016).

While the underlying cause of epilepsy remains largely unknown, current evidence supports a hypothesis in that an altered balance of excitatory and inhibitory circuits facilitates the occurrence of the first seizure episode, which in turn elicits cellular and molecular changes that may lower thresholds for sequential episodes. It is thought that, in TLE models, glutamatergic neurotransmission is enhanced (Peret et al. 2014; Soukupova et al. 2015), whereas GABA release is decreased (Soukupova et al. 2014), leading to aberrant signaling and an overall increase in excitatory neuronal transmission. Furthermore, alterations in neuronal morphology, glial cells, and ECM have been related to the onset and development of epilepsy (Wetherington et al. 2008; Quirico-Santos et al. 2013; Singh et al. 2013).

As a disorder affecting neurotransmission, it is reasonable to speculate that synapse function is compromised by epilepsy. Indeed, animal models of status epilepticus (SE) and epileptic patients present reduced dendritic branching, dendritic spine loss (von Campe et al. 1997; Isokawa 2000; Singh et al. 2013), and altered neurotransmitter receptor composition (Lopes et al. 2015; Zhou et al. 2015) at remaining synapses. Alterations in the subunit composition and distribution of AMPARs and NMDARs likely contribute to abnormal excitatory tonus during seizures (Lopes et al. 2015; Zhou et al. 2015). Likewise, it is conceivable that reduced inhibitory tonus arising from malfunctioning hippocampal interneurons may further exacerbate SE (Staley 2015).

Accumulating evidence indicates that molecules that act as neuromodulators could also participate in the pathogenesis of epilepsy. Indeed, neuropeptides and small molecule agonists known to regulate network excitability and transmission tonus have been investigated for their potential to enhance seizure threshold, and gene therapy has been proposed as a treatment approach (Riban et al. 2009). Among neuropeptides, brain-derived neurotrophic factor appears to promote epileptogenesis via TrkB activation (Liu et al. 2013; Gu et al. 2015), but neuropeptide Y was shown to suppress abnormal excitatory currents in human epileptic tissue (Ledri et al. 2015). In addition, disruption of adenosine and D-serine signaling mechanisms associates with post-synaptic molecular changes and lower seizure threshold (Klatte et al. 2013; Boison 2016). Finally, very recent evidence has shown a role for the endocannabinoid system in regulating susceptibility to epilepsy (reviewed in Soltesz et al. 2015). In fact, experimental observations have demonstrated that components of endocannabinoid signaling pathways can be deregulated in epilepsy, thereby dampening protective effects of tonic inhibition against seizures (reviewed in Soltesz et al. 2015).

Studying a very common disorder, that affects around 50 million people worldwide (as determined by the World Health Organization; http://www.who.int/mediacentre/fact-sheets/fs999/en/), the epilepsy research community is in dire
need of animal models that fully recapitulate human disease progression. This is mainly because of the underlying causes being largely unknown. Most in vivo experimental observations to date have been obtained from chemically induced SE in mice (reviewed in Pitkanen et al. 2015), in which drugs such as kainic acid or other neurotoxic agents are used to induce epileptic seizures. Nevertheless, such models have revealed several features that may contribute to the understanding of how normal networks start to seize.

Current therapeutics for epilepsy include anti-convulsants and anti-epileptics, such as valproate, which increases the amount of the inhibitory GABA neurotransmitter, and phenytoin, which prolongs inactivation of Na⁺ channels. Levetiracetam is another commonly used anti-epileptic agent thought to act by binding to the pre-synaptic protein SV2a and reducing neurotransmitter release at glutamatergic synapses (reviewed in Lynch et al. 2004). The multivariate nature of epilepsy highlights the need to establish the full range of synapse/network modifications that develop in epileptic brains. Dissecting the awry components that mediate epileptogenesis might foster the amplification of available treatments, and may possibly reduce the current fraction of intractable cases.

Synapses and neurodegenerative diseases

Alzheimer disease

Alzheimer disease (AD) is a neurodegenerative disorder that accounts for around 60% of all dementia cases (Barker et al. 2002; Ferri et al. 2005). AD is a highly sporadic and idiopathic disease, with only a small fraction of cases (1–5%) clearly attributable to familial mutations. The most common AD-linked mutations are located in the amyloid-β precursor protein (APP) gene and in gene regions encoding the catalytic cores of the gamma-secretases presenilin 1 (PSEN1) or presenilin 2 (PSEN2) (Goate et al. 1991; Levy-Lahad et al. 1995; Sherrington et al. 1995). For sporadic AD, many genetic susceptibility factors have been described to date, the most important being apolipoprotein E (APOE) allele 4 (APOEε4) (Corder et al. 1993).

AD brains contain extracellular deposits of the amyloid beta (Aβ) peptide, termed amyloid plaques, and intracellular neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs), mostly composed of aggregated tau protein (Selkoe et al. 2012). Notably, NFTs appear to precede amyloid plaque deposition during AD progression in humans (Braak and Braak 1997). Several pathogenic hypotheses have been put forward in AD, the most common being the amyloid cascade hypothesis (reviewed in Hardy and Higgins 1992; Hardy and Selkoe 2002) and the tau hypothesis (Gray et al. 1987), linking the histopathological hallmarks to AD initiation. These paradigms have been substantially improved over the years after findings that neither Aβ plaques nor NFT volume correlate well with the severity of dementia, whereas the loss of nerve endings and synaptic dysfunction more closely associates with cognitive impairment (Terry et al. 1991; Nagy et al. 1995; Kril et al. 2002).

Conversely, soluble Aβ oligomers, instead of deposited plaques, are now thought to underlie widespread neurotoxicity and synaptic loss (reviewed in Ferreira et al. 2015) and, indeed, the degree of dementia in patients correlates well with the levels of oligomers in post mortem brains (Lue et al. 1999; McLean et al. 1999; Gong et al. 2003; Bilousova et al. 2016). Levels of Aβ oligomers are increased in the brains of AD patients over control brains (Gong et al. 2003; Xia et al. 2009), and their synaptic presence is linked to poorer cognition regardless of classical neuropathology (Bjorklund et al. 2012; Bilousova et al. 2016). Studies in transgenic animal models of AD have shown that synaptic and cognitive impairments are associated with the elevation in soluble oligomeric Aβ species prior to the appearance of plaques and tangles (Mucke et al. 2000; Billings et al. 2005; Tu et al. 2014), and studies in rodents suggest that soluble Aβ oligomers, but not monomers, can disrupt hippocampal LTP and can also impair memory (Walsh et al. 2002).

The precise mechanisms of Aβ toxicity are not fully understood, but it is believed that oligomers can interact with a number of synaptic proteins including mGluRs and iGluRs (De Felice et al. 2007; Renner et al. 2010; Um et al. 2013), α7-nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (Wang et al. 2000), p75 neurotrophin receptor (Yaar et al. 1997; Sothibundhu et al. 2008), NLGN-1 (Dinamarca et al. 2011), cellular prion protein (Lauren et al. 2009), and PSD95 (Pham et al. 2010). The synaptic action of Aβ triggers aberrant activation of NMDARs (Wu and Hou 2010), Ca²⁺ deregulation (Lazzari et al. 2015), and cellular stress, which in turn leads to synaptic dysfunction and neuronal loss (reviewed in Ferreira et al. 2015). Soluble Aβ further exacerbates neurotransmitter release at excitatory synapses, including glutamate and the NMDAR co-agonist D-serine, thereby triggering post-synaptic neurotoxicity and synapse failure (Paula-Lima et al. 2013; Talantova et al. 2013; Madeira et al. 2015). The fact that cognitive decline appears in patients before extensive neuronal loss is detected reinforces the notion that synapse dysfunction is likely to be an early cause for memory loss in AD (reviewed in Selkoe 2002; Ferreira et al. 2015).

Accumulating evidence indicates that mechanisms of cellular stress and apoptosis could be overactive in AD (reviewed in D’Amelio et al. 2012; Lourenco et al. 2015) and some reports have postulated that such processes could also be locally activated to prune synapses or limit their function (Li et al. 2010; Jo et al. 2011; Lourenco et al. 2013; Erturk et al. 2014). For example, the activation of stress-related kinases has been recently implicated in synapse and cognitive dysfunction in AD (reviewed in Lourenco et al. 2015), and this might relate to impaired local translation at synapses (Lourenco et al. 2013; Ma et al. 2013). Another example arises from the non-apoptotic role of caspases in limiting synapse function (Li et al. 2010; Jo et al. 2011; Erturk et al. 2016).
Notably, impairments in synapse plasticity initiated by Aβ are thought to require caspase 3 activation (Jo et al. 2011).

Recent data has also implicated epigenetic alterations as mediators of AD-related synapse and memory defects. AD brains present altered epigenetic/epigenomic signatures (Gräff et al. 2012; Gjoneska et al. 2015; Shen et al. 2016), and increased activity of histone deacetylases contribute to disturbances in brain transcriptional profiles and memory in animal models of AD (Gräff et al. 2012; Benito et al. 2015). Consistently, histone deacetylases inhibitors were shown to attenuate memory impairment in AD mice (Ricobaraza et al. 2009, 2010; Kilgore et al. 2010). Additional evidence has further suggested a role for neuronal cell cycle re-entry in AD-linked pathological changes (McShea et al. 2007; Varvel et al. 2008; Bonda et al. 2009; Bhaskar et al. 2014; Shen et al. 2016).

In addition to Aβ, PSEN function was also shown to be important for synaptic transmission, as conditional knock out of presenilin was shown to impair NMDA receptor function and LTP, and PSEN mutations were demonstrated to cause abnormal calcium handling in neurons (Zhang et al. 2010). Several other protein defects have also been described in AD pathology, including protein phosphatase 2A family of proteins and their regulators, which have been linked to amyloidogenesis, tau hyperphosphorylation, and synapse deficits (Sonntag and Sonntag 2014). Furthermore, post-transcriptional regulation by regulatory microRNAs (miRNAs) is altered in AD patients. For example, miR-132, a regulator of tau (Lau et al. 2013) and acetylcholinesterase (Shaked et al. 2009), is drastically down-regulated in AD patients (Lau et al. 2013) leading to elevated tau aggregation (Smith et al. 2015). Importantly, tau pathology appears to be itself a substantial contributor of synapse dysfunction in AD, and has been recently proposed to propagate across brain structures, in a manner similar to Aβ (reviewed in Spire-Jones and Hyman 2014).

For many years, molecular explanations of AD progression have relied on isolated and competing hypotheses that would not intersect (as evidenced in disputes between the so-called BAPtist and TAUist groups, and reviewed in Selkoe et al. 2012). Nevertheless, a novel molecular understanding of AD has integrated these opposing views in a converging scenario toward synapse failure as underlying cause of cognitive decline. As expected for a complex disease, Aβ, tau, and APOE4 features appear to interact to promote cognitive decline and neurodegeneration in AD, whereas they may independently contribute to synapse malfunction and cognitive impairment (Shankar et al. 2008; Zhu et al. 2012; Min et al. 2015). APOE4 is known to stimulate brain Aβ deposition (Reiman et al. 2009) and, in turn, Aβ promotes abnormal tau phosphorylation (De Felice et al. 2008; Jin et al. 2011) to mediate synapse and memory defects in mouse models of AD (Roberson et al. 2007, 2011; Ittner et al. 2010). Notably, APOE4 appears to impair synapses, at least in part, through the action of Aβ oligomers and tau (Andrews-Zwilling et al. 2010; Koffie et al. 2012). Thus, multiple factors could act as initiators of common signaling pathways that ultimately lead to synapse impairment and AD neuropathology.

Current pharmacological treatments, largely based on enhancing cholinergic signaling or attenuating NMDAR function at glutamatergic synapses, only provide modest symptomatic relief and do not treat the underlying cause or delay disease progression (reviewed in Selkoe 2011). Observations arising from two Phase III anti-amyloid clinical trials have been somewhat disappointing, and have strengthened the notion that combating deposited amyloid would not represent the most effective strategy toward AD therapy (Doody et al. 2014; Salloway et al. 2014). Although synapses do not appear to be the starting point for AD pathology, the notion that several upstream pathogenic mechanisms act synergistically to promote synapse dysfunction/loss indicate that strategies aimed at preventing synapse failure could provide greater therapeutic benefit for cognitive decline in AD.

**Parkinson disease**

Parkinson disease (PD) is characterized by progressive degeneration of nigrostriatal dopaminergic neurons, leading to loss of motor function, rigidity, postural instability, tremor, and bradykinesia. Both familial (5–10% of all cases) and acquired (90–95% of all cases) forms of Parkinsonism are usually caused by defects in dopamine (DA) metabolism. PD leads to profound immobility and disability that usually affects people over the age of 60. Decreases in DA inputs from basal ganglia result in impaired control of motor circuits that ultimately leads to clinical symptoms. Deposition of Lewy bodies (LB), protein aggregates-containing alpha-synuclein (α-syn) often found in remaining dopaminergic neurons, is regarded as central to the pathogenic mechanisms underlying PD (Spillantini et al. 1997). Notably, synapse dysfunction contributes to the progression of PD, with impairments in protein trafficking, autophagy, and mitochondrial function (Lynch-Day et al. 2012; Hunninghake et al. 2015; Pickrell and Youle 2015). Although most PD cases are idiopathic, some autosomal-dominant genetic causes have been identified, enabling the investigation of possible pathogenic mechanisms, including PARK1 – PARK8 mutations.

Dopaminergic neurons within the substantia nigra (SN) preferentially degenerate within PD pathogenesis. A neuropsychoanatomical analysis of SN indicated that susceptible neurons have 10–100 times the number of synapses of neighboring neurons, with relatively large axonal lengths (~50 mm in rats) (reviewed in Bolam and Pissadaki 2012). This poses a large demand for efficient trafficking of organelles and vesicles within these neurons and may explain the selective vulnerability of these cells in PD (Giehm et al. 2011).
Synaptic dystrophy characterizes the early stages of PD neuropathology (Janezic et al. 2013). SNARE protein complexes, which mediate the membrane fusion of exocytosis vesicles, are prone to misfolding and non-specific interactions, thus depending on efficient chaperones to remove them from the synapse (Chandra et al. 2005). α-syn is one such oligomerization-prone chaperones that cause mislocalization of SNARE complexes associated with decreased DA release and synaptic dystrophy (Tokuda et al. 2010; Winner et al. 2011; Rockenstein et al. 2014). It is thus possible that dysfunctional dopaminergic synapses contribute to disease progression even before evident neurodegeneration takes place.

Leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2) is a large multidomain protein, whose mutations relate to autosomal-dominant forms of PD, and variations in LRRK2 have been associated with up to 40% of sporadic PD cases in defined cohorts (Piccoli et al. 2011). The function of LRRK2 has not been completely understood, although growing evidence implicates roles in intracellular trafficking, microtubule stability, vesicular recycling, and autophagy (reviewed in Berwick and Harvey 2013; Law et al. 2014; Berwick and Harvey 2011). As expected, mutated LRRK2 correlates with decreases in synaptic plasticity and neurogenesis (Matta et al. 2012; Berwick and Harvey 2013). LRRK2 has also been shown to interact with other genes associated with sporadic PD susceptibility, including rab-7-like protein 1 (RAB7L1) and cyclin-G-associated kinase (MacLeod et al. 2013; Belina et al. 2014), proteins that promote the removal of vesicles through the autophagy–lysosome pathway. LRRK2-positive membrane compartments are involved in pre-synaptic vesicle trafficking and autophagy, as demonstrated by localization of LRRK2 to synaptic vesicles (Xiong et al. 2010; Piccoli et al. 2011), electrophysiological defects following knock-down or over-expression of LRRK2 in cultured neurons (Shin et al. 2008; Piccoli et al. 2011), and biochemical interaction...
between LRRK2 and the early endosomal marker Rab5b (Shin et al. 2008). Defective autophagy appears to play a significant role in synaptic dysfunction in the PD neurodegenerative cascade (reviewed in Plowey and Chu 2011). Functional loss of autophagy induces PD-linked features and modifies synapse structure and function (Ahmed et al. 2012). In addition to LRRK2 (Nakamura et al. 2011), a number of PD-associated proteins, including α-syn (Lynch-Day et al. 2012) and PINK1 (Li et al. 2005), have been linked to autophagy.

Research in the field of PD pathogenesis has mostly focused on dopaminergic defects in the SN, ultimately corresponding to PD motor outcomes. However, recent evidence has indicated that other neurotransmitter systems become affected in PD, and may respond to comorbid alteration in patients. For instance, patients and experimental models of PD present altered serotonergic and adrenergic transmission (Buddhala et al. 2015; Deusser et al. 2015). Deficits in both dopamine and serotonin-dependent mechanisms might further explain the depressive behavior frequently reported in PD. In addition, PD mice present impaired synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus, which might correlate with cognitive deficits (Sweet et al. 2015).

Hence, rather than being restricted to SN, synapse dysfunction appears to be present at several brain regions and in different neurotransmitter systems, likely explaining the complex phenotype in PD.

Significant advances have been made in discovering therapeutic options to minimize motor impairment in PD. In fact, administration of dopamine precursors, such as L-DOPA, or agents that stimulate dopamine synthesis have been clinically in use and effectively rescue motor impairment in humans. Still, etiological components, as well as the molecular points of convergence in PD, remain to be established to optimize therapeutic approaches. Cellular mechanisms that directly impact synaptic function, including autophagy, endosomal trafficking, and mitochondrial homeostasis, likely constitute effective future interventional targets in PD therapy. In this regard, a deeper understanding of the common bases of neurotransmission and synapse dysfunction triggered in both sporadic and familial forms of PD might offer new possibilities for treating this debilitating disorder.

**Concluding remarks**

The intricate assembly of molecular machineries at the synapse works coordinately to mediate proper synaptic

Fig. 2 Schematic overview of inhibitory synapse-linked disease mechanisms. Functional overview on the inhibitory synapse with main proteins and pathways affected in hyperekplexia, Down syndrome (DS), and epilepsy. Proteins and pathways are color coded for each disorder (hyperekplexia – orange; DS – blue; epilepsy – purple). Dashed line divides the scheme into glycinergic and GABAergic synapse. The major cause of hyperekplexia is defective glycinergic signaling resulting from mutations in genes involving subunits of GlyRs, glycine transporter, or GlyR-interacting proteins. Excitation--inhibition imbalance underlies deficient synapse function in epilepsy and DS. In epilepsy, abnormal excitatory tonus results from decreased GABA release and concurrent enhanced glutamatergic neurotransmission. In DS, an over-inhibition of synapses by increased GABAergic circuitry and endocytic dysfunction is considered the main synaptic hallmark of the disease.
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activity in the brain. Impairing the function of a single component in this convoluted system can compromise synapse function, ultimately leading to a group of brain diseases termed synaptopathies. The discovery of several synapse-linked gene mutations associated with different brain disorders has opened the possibility that synapse-targeted therapeutics could be of assistance in such disorders. More recently, the notion of synaptopathies has been extended to include not only diseases originated at the synapse but also all those that present synapse defects as a leading route to clinical symptoms. Thus, genetic or sporadic conditions that lead to abnormal excitatory (summarized in Fig. 1) or inhibitory (Fig. 2) synapse function could pave the way for neurodevelopmental or age-related disorders to manifest.

Whereas traditional therapeutic options for synapse-linked diseases have mostly focused on pharmacological approaches to correct neurotransmitter signaling, recent paradigm shifts in the field of synaptopathies could offer novel translational perspectives that consider additional components of synapses. In this regard, large-scale projects have been devoted to understand how alterations in single synaptic components could result in major symptoms of neurological diseases (Bayés et al. 2010; Grant 2012). Thus, understanding these neurological diseases through ‘synaptic glasses’ could result in perspectives that likely yield novel therapeutic approaches for neurodevelopmental and/or neurodegenerative disorders.
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