Electrostatic deflector studies using small-scale prototype electrodes
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The search for electric dipole moments of particles in storage rings requires the development of dedicated deflector elements with electrostatic fields. The JEDI prototype-ring design consists of more than 50 electric deflectors of 1 m length with 60 mm spacing between the plates with electric field gradients of 10 MV m⁻¹. This paper presents studies of scaled-down uncoated prototype electrodes of 10 mm in diameter made from stainless steel. The electric field at distances from 1 mm down to 0.05 mm increased from 15 to 90 MV m⁻¹. In future investigations we will also study different materials and coatings at similar distance between the electrodes. Preparations are underway to study also large deflector elements.

I. INTRODUCTION

The JEDI collaboration is searching for permanent electric dipole moments (EDM) of charged particles, such as protons and deuterons. One of the technical challenges is the development of electric bending elements that provide high electric fields. A purely electrostatic EDM ring of 30 m radius, for instance, requires electric fields of about 17 MV m⁻¹. The present limit for the electric field of bending elements at accelerators is below 10 MV m⁻¹. The electrostatic separators at CESR and Fermilab Tevatron, and the CERN septa are routinely operated at smaller electric fields.

In order to study different electrode materials and coatings, the investigations described here made use of scaled-down prototypes and a dedicated UHV test stand installed inside a clean room at RWTH Aachen University. The operation in the laboratory with respect to radiation protection was simplified, because the applied voltages were always below 30 kV. Nevertheless, by scaling down the applied voltage and by reducing at the same time the spacing between the electrodes, large electric fields could be obtained.

In Sec. II the experimental setup is described. General considerations of the deflector development are given in Sec. II A. The electrical scheme using a high-voltage power supply is discussed in Sec. II B and the set up of the vacuum system inside a clean room is described in Sec. II C. The electrodes are presented in Sec. III and the measurements in Sec. IV. The results are summarized in Sec. V.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

A. Small-scale prototype electrodes

Initial investigations about the shape of electrostatic deflectors were based on existing elements used at the Fermilab Tevatron. The plates of the Tevatron electrostatic deflectors were designed to provide a field of 6 MV m⁻¹ at distances of 40 – 60 mm with a length of about 2.5 m. The transverse profile of the Tevatron separator represents a Rogowski profile. For a specific electrode configuration (i.e., plate separation and height) the surface contour of the electrodes is designed to follow the equipotential lines. Such a profile ensures a high homogeneity of the electric field in the flat region between the deflector plates, and, according to Refs., a discharge will occur outside of that region.

In order to simplify the mechanical production of prototype elements for the test setup, all elements were manufactured with round corners rather than with Rogowski profiles. The smallest elements consist of half-spheres with a radius of R = 10 mm. Small test samples also served to minimize weight which eliminated the need for a sophisticated support structure.

B. Electrical scheme

Numerical simulations of the electric field E, performed with the QuickField FEA software, showed that for our studies there is essentially no difference between using the same but opposite potentials U₀ between the electrodes or having one of the electrodes powered with twice the voltage 2 U₀, while the other one is grounded (see Fig. 1).

The option with one grounded electrode (see Fig. 1(b)) is more attractive, because the measurements can then be performed with a single high-voltage power supply. In addition, common ground for every device minimizes the measurement noise and makes the dark current detection with a picoammeter more reliable.

The electrical circuit, shown in Fig. 2, consists of two discharge protection elements. The 100 MΩ resistor serves to limit the current to ground during HV breakdown. The gas discharge boxes and the low-leakage diode protect the picoammeter from high currents during a discharge.

To ensure safe operation, the high-precision high-voltage power supply was equipped with a rapid discharge circuit. A fast discharging capacitor within the power supply reduces the voltage to less than 1% of the applied value in less than 1 s. The measured voltage ripple of the power supply was below the specified value of 10⁻⁴ at 30 kV and was stabilized to better than 0.05%
C. Clean room and vacuum system

To perform the test measurements, a 25 m² class ISO 21 clean room was installed in the experimental hall at RWTH Aachen University with a gateway and a strip curtain for rolling the test apparatus (see Fig. 3). The clean area inside was sufficiently large to place a few tables besides the test stand for the prototypes. A dust-free vacuum system was designed and built using UHV components, mounted on a movable support for easy access and flexibility during the tests measurement (see Fig. 4(a)). An oil-free turbomolecular pump with 300 ℓ/s pumping speed and air cooling, backed by a dry scroll pump with 15 m³/h⁻¹ pumping speed allowed us to reach good vacuum conditions within a few minutes. Simultaneous heating of the chamber to the maximum operating temperature of the turbomolecular pump (80 °C) removed water from the stainless-steel walls of the vacuum chamber and brought the pressure down to about 10⁻⁹ mbar.

A 300 ℓ/s ion-getter pump installed directly on the vacuum chamber, equipped with its own heater, was used to activate the ion-getter pump at the same time when the vacuum chamber was baked out. After activation of the ion-getter pump, the vacuum chamber was isolated from the scroll and turbomolecular pumps using a UHV gate-valve (see Fig. 4(b)), and the pressure reached about 10⁻¹¹ mbar. During the tests the scroll and turbomolecular pumps were turned off to minimize vibrations. The pressure in the vacuum chamber, measured directly by the ion-getter pump, was typically of the order of 10⁻¹⁰ mbar.

III. TEST ELECTRODES

The electrodes for the tests were made of stainless steel in two different sizes. The small ones are half-spheres of radius R = 10 mm. The large electrodes additionally possess a flat central region of 20 mm diameter. Based on the experience reported in [26,27] for further investigations a set of stainless-steel samples prepared in the same way was coated by TiN (see Fig. 5). The results of the
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(a) Photograph of the experimental test bench.

(b) Schematic of the vacuum system.

FIG. 4. Experimental test bench in the clean room to study small scale prototype electrodes at RWTH Aachen University.

measurements using stainless steel and aluminum coated with TiN will be described in a forthcoming publication.

The test electrodes were produced and mechanically polished in the RWTH Aachen workshop. The average roughness of the surface was smaller than 0.10 µm with a maximum nonuniformity of 1.17 µm. Prior to installation into the vacuum chamber, all parts were cleaned in an ultrasonic propanol bath.

For precise positioning, each measurement started by mechanically setting the distance between the electrodes to zero. This was accomplished by applying a small voltage and observation of a large current at the picoammeter when the electrodes touched each other. From there, one of the electrodes was moved to the measurement position using a manual UHV-compatible linear drive with 0.01 mm positioning accuracy.

The electric field between the two half-spherical electrodes can be written as

$$E_{\text{max}} = \frac{U}{S} \cdot F$$

where $F$ denotes the so-called field enhancement factor, $U$ the voltage, and $S$ the spacing between the electrodes. The field enhancement factor $F$ can be calculated for known shapes. For half-spherical electrodes with radius of curvature $R$,

$$F = \frac{1}{4} \left[ 1 + \frac{S}{R} + \sqrt{\left( 1 + \frac{S}{R} \right)^2 + 8} \right] ,$$

where $S$ denotes the spacing between the two spheres, so that the distance between the centers of the half-spheres is given by

$$D = S + 2R .$$

At the employed distances between 0.1 and 1 mm, the field enhancement factor $F$ changes only by about 3% (see Fig. 6).
IV. DARK CURRENT MEASUREMENTS

For the measurements the experimental setup was transferred to the COSY hall at Forschungszentrum Jülich. The first high-voltage tests were performed with well-polished stainless-steel half-sphere electrodes over a wide range of distances ranging from $S = 30$ mm to 0.05 mm (see Fig. 7). Being limited by the 30 kV power supply, the discharges mainly happened in the test conditions with small gaps between the electrodes. No discharge was observed at a distance $S = 10$ mm with an applied voltage of 30 kV which leads to $E_{\text{max}} \approx 4.1$ MV m$^{-1}$. Discharges at larger distances can only be observed when higher voltages are applied which requires a new experimental setup.

For completeness, tests were also carried out by replacing one of the half-sphere electrodes with the larger stainless-steel electrodes with flat surface (see Fig. 5). In that case, the measured electric field behaved in a similar way and reached values which likely correspond to vacuum breakdown conditions.

The measured minimal dark currents were compatible with zero to a tens of a picoampere (see Fig. 7). The maximum values of the electric field $E_{\text{max}}$, shown in Fig. 8, and calculated using Eq. (1) and $F$ from Eq. (2), are taken at the measurement points when the dark current was still compatible with zero within errors. The measurements showed that with half-sphere electrodes of 10 mm radius at distances of less than a millimeter, electric fields above the required values of $E = 17$ MV m$^{-1}$ could be reached. The maximum electric fields obtained at a distance $S = 0.05$ mm, however, are still an order of amplitude smaller than achieved elsewhere at much smaller distances of $S = 0.02$ mm. It should be noticed that with respect to the development of electrostatic deflector elements for the future EDM ring, the region of interest ranges from a few cm to about 10 cm distance, which can be studied only with large deflectors and much higher applied voltages.

V. SUMMARY

Mechanically polished stainless-steel electrodes at distances less than a millimeter demonstrate that electric fields close to the breakdown limit in ultra-high vacuum can be reached. The maximum electric fields obtained in the measurements using scaled-down electrodes look promising. They are clearly above the required values for an electrostatic deflector of 17 MV m$^{-1}$ for a future EDM ring of 30 mm radius. The improvement of the HV breakdown capability using different electrodes materials and coatings as well as gas conditioning will be further investigated in the future.

We will now move on to measurements with real-size deflector elements of a length $\ell = 1020$ mm at distances of $S \approx 20 - 120$ mm between the plates. A suitable experimental infrastructure with two 200 kV power supplies is presently set up at IKP of Forschungszentrum Jülich.
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