Biocide leaching during field experiments on treated articles
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Abstract

Background: Biocidal products can be sources of active substances in surface waters caused by weathering of treated articles. Marketing and use of biocidal products can be limited according to the European Biocidal Products Regulation if unacceptable risks to the environment are expected. Leaching of active substances from treated articles was observed in field experiments to obtain information on leaching processes and investigate the suitability of a proposed test method.

Results: Leaching under weathering conditions proceeds discontinuously and tends to decrease with duration of exposure. It does not only mainly depend on the availability of water but is also controlled by transport processes within the materials and stability of the observed substances. Runoff amount proved to be a suitable basis to compare results from different experiments. Concentrations of substances are higher in runoff collected from vertical surfaces compared to horizontal ones, whereas the leached amounts per surface area are higher from horizontal surfaces. Gaps in mass balances indicate that additional processes such as degradation and evaporation may be relevant to the fate of active substances in treated articles. Leached amounts of substances were considerably higher when the materials were exposed to intermittent water contact under laboratory conditions as compared to weathering of vertically exposed surfaces.

Conclusions: Experiences from the field experiments were used to define parameters of a procedure that is now provided to fulfil the requirements of the Biocidal Products Regulation. The experiments confirmed that the amount of water which is in contact with exposed surfaces is the crucial parameter determining leaching of substances.
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Background

Recent studies demonstrated that the application of material preservatives can cause input of active substances into surface waters. Wittmer et al. [1] studied the dynamics of selected biocides and pesticides in catchments that represent different types of land use. The observed concentration patterns indicate that urban areas can be sources of biocides in water samples. Burkhardt et al. detected active substances that were leached from façades and bitumen membranes at different sampling sites in a separate sewer network in Zurich [2], and described the leaching dynamics of active substances from façade renders that were applied to a model house [3]. Evidence of active substances in a suburban stormwater catchment was related to the buildings in the investigated Danish settlement by Bollmann et al. [4]. Gromaire et al. [5] investigated runoff from different roof materials that were cleaned using biocidal products. The concentration of the active substance increased in runoff even 1 year after its application. Occurrence of biocides in stormwater in Berlin was related to the actual urban structure by Wicke et al. [6]. Increased amounts of carbendazim, diuron, terbutryn and mecoprop in stormwater were observed in an area with a large number of recently renovated buildings and therefore attributed to construction materials. This input of biocides into water bodies can be caused by leaching processes if treated articles are exposed to
precipitation. Substances from the materials can reach runoff water and can be transported into surface water.

Marketing and use of biocidal products can be limited according to the European Biocidal Products Regulation (BPR) [7] if unacceptable risks to the environment are expected. However, restrictions depend on reliable knowledge on the expected release into the environment.

Leaching processes from treated articles were investigated both under laboratory and field conditions during a research project that was initiated and co-financed by the Federal Environment Agency. One of the project tasks was to develop and prove the suitability of test methods that can be provided as guidance for producers in order to support data provision for the authorization of biocidal products. It was also intended to further develop mathematical modelling of leaching data to support the development of harmonized assessment procedures.

During this project, seventeen different treated articles were investigated under laboratory conditions. Test specimens of six different paints and pieces of an impregnated textile were exposed to natural weathering at three different locations. Experiments on one of the paints were started three times at intervals of 3 months on the same location in order to investigate initial phases of leaching processes under different meteorological conditions. Test specimens from another paint and the impregnated textile were horizontally and vertically oriented (see Table 1 for the experiments that are considered in this presentation). Data on four active substances with different chemical structures and physico-chemical characteristics were obtained from runoff samples (see Table 2).

Data on three paints and the impregnated textile were selected to exemplary illustrate the main observations on leaching of biocides from treated articles during the field experiments. The complete dataset is presented in a research report [8].

Results and discussion

Precipitation—driving rain—runoff

Construction products and treated articles that are used outdoors are occasionally exposed to water contact. Exposure differs for horizontally and vertically exposed surfaces. Horizontal surfaces are exposed to the total amount of precipitation. However, a part of the water cannot be collected as runoff due to splashing and evaporation. Vertical surfaces are only exposed to a part of overall precipitation depending on wind direction and velocity that determine the amount of driving rain. Runoff from these surfaces is considerably lower. This is illustrated in Table 3 for test specimens of two selected types of materials. The amount of driving rain reaching the surface is additionally affected by building geometries in real structures. The retention period of water and therefore water uptake of the materials can also be different for real structures and small test specimens. This affects the ratio between runoff and driving rain. Small test specimens obtain higher amounts of driving rain than large surfaces. Comprehensive information on

| Treated article | Initial amount of a.s. $^a$ [mg/m$^2$] | Experiments | Orientation | Code | Start | Duration [months] |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|------|-------|-----------------|
| Paint A on wood | Diuron, 250  OIT, 130  Terbutryn, 250 | MPA Eberswalde | Vertical |      |       | 29.05.2012  25  |
| Paint B on wood | Diuron, 390  OIT, 200  Terbutryn, 390 | MPA Eberswalde | Vertical | M-1a | 29.05.2012  25 |
|                 |                                     | MPA Eberswalde |             | M-1b | 29.05.2012  25 |
|                 |                                     | MPA 1 km outside Eberswalde $^b$ |             | M-1c | 29.05.2012  18 |
|                 |                                     | MPA Eberswalde |             | M-2  | 29.08.2012  22 |
|                 |                                     | MPA Eberswalde |             | M-3  | 29.11.2012  19 |
|                 |                                     | BAM Berlin    |             |       |       | 29.08.2013  23 |
| Paint C on fibre cement | OIT, 300  Terbutryn, 560 | MPA Eberswalde | Horizontal |      |       | 29.05.2012  18 |
| Impregnated textile | Carbendazim, 700 | MPA Eberswalde | Vertical $^c$ and horizontal |      |       | 29.08.2012  15 |

$^a$ Initial amounts of active substances were confirmed by chemical analysis of the treated articles

$^b$ Hill in open landscape (Drachenkopf)

$^c$ Test specimens of the impregnated textile were oriented towards SE, SW, NE and NW
Emission of active substances during rain periods

Emission of active substances into runoff proved to be a discontinuous process. The emissions per surface area tend to decrease with time of exposure. Maximum and minimum emissions occurred simultaneously for all investigated active substances from different treated articles (see Fig. 1). There were a number of rain events that caused runoff from vertical surfaces but very low emission of active substances. However, these samples usually represent small runoff volumes.

Figure 2 illustrates that emissions from vertical surfaces depend on runoff rather than the amount of rain. Emission curves related to the amount of runoff slightly deviate from regular curves, indicating that additional parameters may affect leaching processes. Emission of OIT almost stops after a certain period of time. It is assumed that this is caused by depletion of this substance in the outermost layers of the coating which can be caused by degradation and evaporation.

Repeatability of field experiments

Emissions into runoff water were recorded for three active substances with different structures and physicochemical properties (see Table 2) for six experiments on paint B (see Table 1 and Fig. 3). The amounts of active substances detected in runoff water during the whole periods of the experiments differed between 3.4 and 5.4 % of the original amount of diuron, between 5.3 and 9.9 % of the original amount of OIT, and between 1.0 and 1.3 % of the original amount of terbutryn during 18–25 months of outdoor exposure. Precision of the field experiments mainly depends on measurement uncertainty of the analytical methods (see “Methods”). Average uncertainty of the analytical results for the runoff samples is assumed to be about 5 %. Different emission curves are interpreted as actual differences in the leaching processes and are not related to measurement uncertainty.

Some differences were observed between the emission curves for single experiments on paint B. The emission curves are related to the volume of collected runoff water, i.e. similar exposure of the test specimens to runoff water. The observed differences indicate that the amount of leached substances does not only depend on the amount of runoff water but is affected by additional parameters. This is further supported by the fact that differences were not only observed for data on the same active substance from different experiments but also between curves for different active substances from the same test specimen. For instance, the initial emissions of terbutryn were higher during the experiment that was started at the end of November (M-3) compared to experiments that were started at the end of May (M-1a, b and c) and at the end of August (M-2). This effect is not visible for diuron. The large differences between emission curves for OIT are probably caused by different degrees of depletion. It is assumed that additional parameters affect transport processes of the substances to the material surfaces as well as losses due to degradation and evaporation of the active substances.

### Table 2 Active substances investigated during the field experiments

| Active substance | CAS-No.   | Function          | Molar mass g/mol | Water solubility (pH 7) mg/l | Log $K_{ow}$ | Reference |
|------------------|-----------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Carbendazim      | 10605-21-7| Fungicide         | 191.2            | 8                           | 1.51        | [17]      |
| Diuron           | 330-54-1  | Algicide          | 233.1            | 37                          | 2.85        | [17]      |
| OIT$^a$          | 26530-20-1| Fungicide, bactericide | 213.3            | 480                         | 2.45        | [18]      |
| Terbutryn        | 886-50-0  | Algicide          | 241.4            | 22                          | 3.65        | [17]      |

$^a$ 2-Octylisothiazol-3(2H)-one

### Table 3 Precipitation, driving rain towards the test specimens and runoff from the test specimens during field experiments at MPA Eberswalde

| Treated article | Orientation | Duration Months | Precipitation mm | Driving rain l/m² | Runoff l/m² |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Paint A and paint B on wood | Vertical (SW) | 25              | 1370             | 269               | 44          |
| Paint C on fibre cement | Horizontal | 18              | 1049             | 818               |             |
| Impregnated textile | Vertical (SW) | 15              | 749              | 127               | 23          |
| Impregnated textile | Horizontal | 15              | 749              |                   | 657         |
Emissions depending on the orientation of test specimens

The emissions per surface area were higher for horizontally exposed test specimens compared to vertically exposed ones. Emissions of active substances were 26 mg/m² OIT and 66 mg/m² terbutryn of the horizontally exposed test specimen compared to 6.0 mg/m² OIT and 8.6 mg/m² terbutryn of the vertically exposed test specimen in the experiments on paint C. Higher emissions of carbendazim were also observed for a horizontally exposed test specimen compared to vertically exposed ones of the impregnated textile (see Table 4). However, concentrations of active substances in eluates were higher in runoff samples from vertically compared to horizontally exposed test specimens (see Table 5). In contrast to the observations from repeated experiments on paint B, the emission curves for carbendazim from the piece of impregnated textile strongly depend on the amount of runoff, irrespective of the orientation towards different directions, the test location and starting time of the experiment. Emissions from the horizontally exposed test specimen are also in line with the other emission curves (see Fig. 4). This is somewhat unexpected, since similar amounts of runoff were collected within about 1 month from the horizontally oriented test specimens compared to 15 months from the vertically exposed test specimens. That means that vertically oriented test specimens were much longer exposed to environmental influences, and degradation of carbendazim could occur.
Probably, carbendazim is relatively stable towards degradation. This is supported by laboratory studies that indicate that carbendazim is stable towards artificial UV radiation in paints, renders and the impregnated textile [8, 10].

Mass balances
Mass balances were calculated for test specimens after outdoor exposure (see Table 4). Part of the active substances was transported from the coatings into the wooden substrate, probably depending on water solubility of the observed substances (see water solubility data in Table 2). The differences between the original amounts of active substances and the amounts detected in the runoff samples and the test specimens are high. This indicates that additional processes also control the fate of diuron, OIT and terbutryn. Evaporation and degradation are assumed to contribute to the fate and behaviour of these substances in the environment. In contrast, the original amount of carbendazim was almost completely detected in the runoff and the test specimens after outdoor exposure of the impregnated textile. Obviously, carbendazim in the piece of impregnated textile is less affected by competing processes. Leaching of carbendazim from pieces of the impregnated textile was relatively high. This was unexpected since leaching of carbendazim from paints and renders was observed to be relatively low, i.e. in the range of data for terbutryn [2, 10]. It was assumed that the textile impregnation itself is affected by water contact and UV radiation. Both effects were demonstrated in laboratory tests. Water repellency was decreasing, and water uptake was increasing after exposure to water, and leaching of carbendazim increased after artificial UV radiation of the impregnated textile [8].

Comparison of emissions in laboratory and field experiments
Leaching of active substances from the same treated articles was also investigated according to EN 16105 [11]. Test specimens are exposed to intermittent water contact during nine immersion cycles of 1-h immersion, 4-h drying and 1-h immersion within 3 weeks under controlled laboratory conditions. Altogether sixteen emission curves for four different active substances from six different paints and one impregnated textile from field experiments were compared with laboratory test results. Leaching of diuron, OIT and terbutryn from the investigated paints was considerably higher during the laboratory tests than that during 23 months of outdoor exposure. This is exemplary illustrated for paint B in Fig. 5.
Information on possible mechanisms that control leaching processes can be obtained from emission curves presented as double-logarithmic graphs (see Fig. 6). Leaching of diuron, OIT and terbutryn from paint B appears to be mainly controlled by diffusion in the laboratory test. In contrast, only certain periods of field experiments on paint B are controlled by diffusion processes. Solution of the active substances on the coating surface probably causes faster emission at the beginning of the field experiment, whereas the process slows down due to depletion of these substances on the surface after a period of mainly diffusion-controlled emission. Styszko et al. [12] investigated transport processes of active substances through acrylate and silicone renders. They concluded that transport depends on the availability of water, and diffusion is probably the dominant mechanism under the applied experimental conditions.

**Conclusions**

Possible environmental impact of biocides that are applied in materials and exposed to weathering can only be assessed if leaching processes are understood. This requires data from appropriate tests. These tests should be harmonized, not only to define requirements but also to enable harmonized procedures to interpret test results. The applied test procedure is based on the NT build 509 [13] procedure that was released for testing of preservative-treated timber by the Nordic Innovation Centre. The procedure became the basis for harmonization within CEN, resulting in the Technical Report CEN/TR

---

**Table 4** Mass balances for active substances from field experiments at MPA Eberswalde

| Treated article | Orientation | n | Active substance | Recovery of the original amount of active substance | Gap in mass balance |
|-----------------|-------------|---|------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Paint A on wood | Vertical (SW) | 1 | Diuron          | 2.6 25                                        | 5 73              |
|                 |             |   | OIT             | 1.7 20                                       | 10 79             |
|                 |             |   | Terbutryn       | 0.3 8                                        | 0.3 92            |
| Paint B on wood | Vertical (SW) | 4b | Diuron          | 4.4 34                                       | 6 55              |
|                 |             |   | OIT             | 4.7 12                                       | 14 70             |
|                 |             |   | Terbutryn       | 1.3 27                                       | 0.7 71            |
| Impregnated textile | Vertical | 4c | Carbendazim     | 9.0 75                                       | 16                |
|                 | Horizontal  | 1 |                 | 46 40                                       | 14                |

n number of test specimens

* Data represent content in either paint layers and wood (sum) or the impregnated textile

b Data were obtained from experiments M-1a, M-1b, M-2 and M-3 (see Table 1)

c Data were obtained from experiments at MPA Eberswalde (see Table 1)

**Table 5** Concentration ranges of active substances in runoff samples depending on the orientation of the test specimens

| Treated article | Orientation | n | Duration of sampling | Active substance | Concentration in runoff samples |
|-----------------|-------------|---|----------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|
|                 |             |   |                      |                  | Minimum µg/l  | Median µg/l | Maximum µg/l |
| Paint C on fibre cement | Vertical | 26 | 4.5 | OIT              | 4 503 1991  |
|                  | Horizontal | 24 | 4.5 | Terbutryn        | 9 354 1049  |
| Impregnated textile | Vertical | 70c | 8  | Carbendazim      | 22 2752 7545  |
|                  | Horizontal | 19 |     |                  | 51 713 4288  |

n number of runoff samples

* Data represent single rain periods. Runoff samples from single rain periods were only analysed during the first few months of the experiment

b Data for horizontally exposed paint C on fibre cement and impregnated textile were obtained from experiments at MPA Eberswalde, data for vertically exposed paint C on fibre cement were obtained from the experiment at BAM (see Table 1)

c Data originate from four test specimens that were oriented to different directions (see Table 1)
that also refers to preservative-treated wood. The basic principle of this procedure was tested for treated articles that contain other biocidal products than wood preservatives (PT 8, as defined in the BPR) during the research project and proved to be suitable for coatings that include film preservatives (PT 7) and a textile including a PT 9 product (fibre, leather, rubber and polymerized materials preservative). Therefore, a guidance document [15] was prepared and adopted by European competent authorities together with guidance on a laboratory method [16] for testing of biocidal products that belong to PT 7, PT 9 and PT 10 (construction material preservatives).

The performed tests demonstrated that the amount of water that is in contact with the exposed surfaces is the crucial parameter that determines leaching of substances. The availability of water is not necessarily defined by the amount of precipitation but depends on driving rain in the case of vertically exposed surfaces. Consistency of emission curves is best if the results are related to the amount of runoff. Differences between emission curves from repeated experiments indicate influence of additional meteorological parameters. It is assumed that these parameters affect transport processes within the materials and losses due to degradation and evaporation. The observed gaps in mass balances also indicate considerable influence of competing processes on the fate and behaviour of the investigated substances.

Horizontally oriented surfaces are exposed to higher amounts of precipitation than vertically oriented ones. Therefore, total emissions from these surfaces are higher,
whereas concentrations of substances in runoff can be higher from vertically exposed surfaces.

Emissions of substances can be considerably higher in laboratory tests based on intermittent water contact than in field experiments. Field experiments on vertically exposed test specimens can be considered to be conservative compared to practical conditions. This is caused by (1) the orientation of the test specimens towards directions that are exposed to high amounts of driving rain and (2) the use of relatively small test specimens. This causes relatively high amounts of contact water compared to materials in the intended use conditions.

Methods

Treated articles

Information on the investigated treated articles and active substances is given in Tables 1 and 2. The paints were all waterborne and either based on acrylates (paint A and C) or polymer dispersions (paint B). Paints A and B are the paints for wood, whereas paint C is a roof paint. Mixtures of active substances were added to commercially available paints by producers according to the requirements of this study. Therefore, liquid formulations were used although a number of modern paints now contain microencapsulated active substances. The textile was treated in an industrial procedure by the producer using an impregnation material that contains biocides. The contents of the active substances were confirmed by chemical analysis of the product.

Preparation of test specimens

Paints A and B were applied to birch plywood boards (dimensions: 76 × 74 × 1 cm³). The side faces of the plywood boards were sealed using ‘Pyrotect Lack’. Paint C was applied to fibre cement boards (dimensions: 76 × 74 × 1 cm³). Paint C was also applied to the side faces of the fibre cement boards. All paints were applied according to the manufacturer’s instructions referring to the amount of paint per surface area, application of ground coats and the duration of drying phases. Paints dried at room temperature were protected from direct radiation for a period of 5 days until the field experiments were started. Textile samples were fixed to wooden frames of 76 × 74 cm lateral lengths.

Leaching experiments

Field experiments were performed based on the procedure described in NT build 509 [13]. An overview on the field experiments is given in Table 1. Test specimens were installed at different test sites and oriented either vertically or horizontally. Runoff water was sampled at least once a week in case of rain events during this period. Each runoff sample was analysed for the active substances during the first 4.5–8 months of the experiments in Eberswalde and for the whole duration of the experiments performed at BAM. Later, aliquots of the runoff samples from the experiments performed at MPA Eberswalde were stored and combined for analysis. The samples were analysed according to the scheme given in NT build 509 [13]. One additional sample was analysed within the defined sampling periods. The amount of driving rain was measured at both test sites of MPA Eberswalde. Driving rain was collected in rain gauges that were installed immediately adjacent to the test specimens facing in the same direction. The amount of collected water was determined by weighing and related to the receiving surface area of the rain gauges. The test sites belong to areas that are only moderately affected by driving rain according to DIN 4108-3 [19].
Meteorological data from weather stations near the test sites were kindly provided by the Faculty of Wood Science and Technology (Hochschule für Nachhaltige Entwicklung) Eberswalde, German Weather Service (Deutscher Wetterdienst) Potsdam and the Institute of Meteorology of the Free University Berlin.

Laboratory leaching tests were performed according to EN 16105 [11]. Test specimens of about 100 cm² exposed surface area were arranged either in polystyrene containers or in beaker glasses and covered with 25 l/m² water per surface area of the test specimen. Immersion cycles included 1-h immersion, 4-h drying and 1-h immersion. Nine immersion cycles were performed within 3 weeks. The tests were performed at 20 ± 2 °C. The test specimens were dried at a relative humidity of 65 ± 5 % between the immersion events. The eluates of the two immersion events of each immersion cycle were merged and analysed.

Analysis of runoff samples and eluates
The active substances were analysed in the runoff samples and the eluates from the laboratory tests by ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography coupled with UV detection at BAM, and in runoff samples by liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry at MPA Eberswalde. Intra-laboratory precision was determined for eluates from laboratory experiments. Measurement uncertainties were 2−5 % for diuron, 2−7 % for OIT, 2−8 % for terbutryn and 1−6 % for carbendazim depending on the concentration of the analytes. The values were higher at low concentrations that were in the range of the LOQ. Two runoff samples from a field experiment were analysed in both laboratories. The results for diuron, OIT and terbutryn differed by 9, 2 and 15%, respectively. For further details on the analytical methods, see Schoknecht et al. [8].
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