How the Lung Can Resist Edema Formation
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Opinion

One generally thinks of the lung as being an extremely fragile structure to accomplish its main job, namely to assure gas diffusion, for which an extremely thin air-blood barrier, about 0.3µm thick, is required. Yet, the idea of a delicate and fragile structure does not hold when thinking of another function of the lung, namely that of keeping the interstitial space and the alveoli “dry”, thus preventing edema formation. From this standpoint the lung appears quite a robust structure indeed. The pulmonary interstitium includes a fairly rigid fibrillar component (mostly collagen and elastin) and abundant “linking molecules” (from proteoglycan family) filling the frame of the fibrillary component, providing further rigidity to the lung tissue and keeping capillary permeability (the leakiness of the endothelium for water and solute) quite low [1]. A minimum volume of extravascular water is achieved by maintaining the pressure of the interstitial water at a sub-atmospheric pressure, about -10cm H₂O [2], reflecting the balance between extravascular fluid filtration and fluid drainage along pulmonary lymphatics. There is proof that lymphatics can indeed generate such negative pressure [3]. Fluid fluxes involved in these exchanges are incredibly low in physiological conditions, being in the range of 4• 10⁻⁸/(cm²•24h), about six order of magnitude (10⁶!) less than oxygen diffusion fluxes. Minimal fluid exchanges reflect the very low permeability of pulmonary capillaries. Therefore, keeping water fluxes at minimum allows to optimize gas diffusion. How can then the lung maintain this condition when edemagenic conditions are established?

Edemagenic conditions occur:

a) When micro vascular permeability increases; this is the most common consequence of infectious and of “sterile” inflammation (widely overlooked) such as hypoxia exposure, surgery, trauma [4].

b) Lung over distension [5] as occurring during aggressive mechanical ventilation or re-expansion in the chest of a resected lung [6-8].

c) Increase in capillary pressure.

This last point has been frequently invoke based on wedge pressure measurements, but, as we shall see later, this occurs as a consequence of increased pulmonary vascular resistances due to capillary de-recruitment caused by developing edema [9].

Which mechanisms are then set in place by the lung to prevent edema formation?

i. The first, and most important, is the remarkable increase in interstitial pressure, from -10 up to about 5cm H₂O (so called “safety factor”) that buffers further filtration in the initial phase of interstitial edema formation reflecting the remarkable rigidity of the tissue structure [10]. In fact, degradation and fragmentation of the macromolecular interstitial structure due to inflammation abolishes the “safety factor” and inevitably leads to severe edema [11-13].

ii. For reasons still largely unknown, edema formation in the lung is patchy, revealing different proneness to increase micro vascular filtration [14]. In regions where interstitial edema develops, the increase in interstitial pressure leads to compression of the capillary network [9]; since each unit of blood flow implies a corresponding unit of micro vascular filtration, this mechanical event evidently reduces filtration. It actually will favor reabsorption of interstitial fluid when capillary pressure approaches zero. Thus, controlling regional capillary blood flow allows, in turn, to control interstitial fluid balance. When blood flow is diverted from edematous to non edematous regions [9,14] an increase in vascular resistances in pulmonary artery pressure may occur due to a decrease in extension of perfused vascular bed.

iii. Vasoconstriction of pre-capillary arterioles [15] is a further mechanism occurring in edemagenic condition preventing the increase in capillary pressure.

All these mechanisms are set in place when the increase in extravascular water volume does not exceed 10% [16]. In practice, lung extravascular water volume is so strictly controlled that it is difficult to detect initial deviations from the
physiological state. As interstitial edema is on the edge between recovery and progression towards severe edema, it would be of great help to have a sensible diagnostic tool. CT scan fails to have a resolution to detect such a small increase in extravascular water; yet, evidence has been provided that measuring respiratory impedance by Forced Oscillation Technique might be a sensitive method to detect the early phase of developing edema [17,18].
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