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ABSTRACT

Previous studies have shown positive outcomes associated with the incorporation of cloves into broiler chicken diets. This study aimed to evaluate carcass characteristics, meat quality, and sensory attributes of broiler chickens fed diets supplemented with different clove seed levels. A total of 240 day-old Ross 308 broiler chicks were fed 1 of seven dietary treatments. The control group diet contained 0% clove seeds, whereas the treatment group diets contained up to 6% clove seeds. The chickens’ final BW was significantly different between the treatments, which decreased linearly with increasing levels of clove seed inclusion. Broiler chickens fortified with clove seeds did not significantly affect the chickens’ carcass characteristics and body composition. However, an increment in weights of carcass components (liver, heart, and gizzard) was observed in low clove seed levels. In addition, water-holding capacity, cooking loss percentages, and tenderness of the meat were improved owing to clove seed inclusion (1 or 2%) compared with the control group. Further studies are warranted to optimize the outstanding use of cloves toward broiler chicken performance enhancement and to produce a high quality of meat.
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INTRODUCTION

Since 2006 until now, there is a rising demand for elimination of the antibiotics used as growth promoters in poultry production because of its residuals in the final meat products (Muaz et al., 2018; Hussein et al., 2020) and the development of antibiotic-resistant bacteria strains in consumers (El-Shall et al., 2020). The wide-ranging consumer refusal of synthetic additives has been rising in current times (Kirkpinar et al., 2014). For these aforementioned reasons, supplementation of poultry rations with natural components to enhance production is extensively assumed in the world (Abou-Elkhair et al., 2020). Consequently, the herb spices and their bioactive constituents have gained importance in poultry production because of their valuable impacts on the growth, production, immune status, and meat quality without leaving residues in the product or the rearing environment (El-Hack and Alagawany, 2015; El-Hack et al., 2015; Abd El-Hack et al., 2016; Hussein et al., 2019). Cloves (Syzygium aromaticum) are aromatic flower buds from an evergreen tree belonging to the Myrtaceae plant family (Mbaveng and Kuete, 2017). They are considered medicinal plants in addition to nutritional spices in China and Eastern countries against several bacterial diseases (Wankhede, 2015). This 8- to 12-m plant grows in tropical climates such as Indonesia, India, the West Indies, Brazil, Sri Lanka, Tanzania, and Madagascar (Bhowmik et al., 2012).

Clove buds contain approximately 15 to 20% volatile essential oils dominated by eugenol (70–85%) (Pino et al., 2001; Lutterodt et al., 2010; Ramadan et al., 2013; El-Maati et al., 2016). Clove, and its essential oil, is one of the plant extracts found useful in poultry to improve growth performance by enhancing the intestinal microbiota population (Mohammadi...
et al., 2014). Thus, several studies had been demonstrated to evaluate the effect of broiler chicken diets supplemented with cloves on performance, carcass, and production traits of broilers. Mohammadi et al. (2014) found that broiler chicken diets fortified with 100 and 300 mg/kg of clove oil enhanced the performance and feed conversion ratio via increased gastrointestinal villus height and crypt depth. In addition, Agostini et al. (2012) investigated using several quantities of clove oil as a dietary supplement for broiler chickens, citing improvements in feed efficiency and growth performance for all experimental groups of chickens. In another study, Salman and Ibrahim (2012) reported that feed diets supplemented with 0.8% clove powder and a 0.8% clove flower oil combination and drinking water treated with 0.4% aqueous clove extract improved the performance of broiler chickens exposed to heat stress. Similar results by Mukhtar (2011) indicated that chicken feed diet supplemented with several clove oil concentrations (200, 400, and 600 mg/kg diet) promotes live BW, feed intake, feed conversion ratio, and carcass yield, as well as reduces mortality rates. Besides, significant improvements in live BW gain, total feed intake, and feed conversion ratio and decreased total cholesterol levels were observed in the chicken group fed 450 mg/kg clove oil compared with other treated groups (Azadegan et al., 2014). In addition, a diet supplemented with 400 mg/kg clove extract could be useful as a natural growth promoter for poultry instead of antibiotics and enhance the performance parameters (Boyraz and Özcan, 2006).

Dietary spices containing essential oils can also be responsible for improving digestion (Lee et al., 2004). Several studies have stated the encouraging effect of spices or their bioactive compounds on the digestibility process (Murugesan et al., 2015; Mustafa, 2016; Mandey et al., 2019). They have been revealed to promote bile salt hydrolase enzymes and other digestive enzyme secretion from the intestinal mucosa and pancreas (Jang et al., 2007). It is hypothesized that improvement in nutrients digestibility, intestinal microbiota population, and the intestinal mucosa structure are involved in the clove’s mode of action. However, little is known about the dietary clove seeds in poultry-produced meat. Thus, our work aimed to gain more information about the effect of clove seed administration within broiler diets on carcass traits, quality, and sensory attributes of produced meat.

This study used 420 day-old commercial Ross 308 broiler chicks. The chicks were feather-unsexed, individually weighed, and randomly allocated equally into 7 groups, each assigned to an experimental treatment. Each treated group had 10 replicates involving 6 birds per each (60 chicks per group). The chickens were housed in electrically heated battery cages. Lighting was incandescent and continuous throughout the experimental period, by following Schwean-Lardner and Classen (2010) lighting program instructions.

The experimental chickens were fed a starter diet (23% protein and 3,000 ME kcal/kg) until 21 d of age. At 21 d, the chickens were fed 1 of seven dietary treatments, as shown in Table 1. The diets containing 6 levels of cloves were isonitrogenous and isocaloric. The control group contained 0% clove seeds, while the rest of the treatments contained 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6% clove seeds, respectively. Feed and water were provided ad libitum. The chicken weights and feed intake were measured every wk during the experimental period.

**Slaughter and Carcass Measurements**

By following the study by Shafey et al. (2014), on day 39 of the experiment, 3 birds were randomly selected per pen for carcass and meat quality characteristic measurements as previously described by Chen et al. (2013). The jugular vein was cut using a sharp knife to guarantee maximum and rapid blood loss for humane slaughtering after 10 h of feed and water deprivation. Then, the feathers, heads, shanks, viscera, and abdominal fat were removed, and the remaining carcasses were dissected.

Data collected from carcass weight, abdominal fat, and cut parts (breast and leg) were recorded. The maximum safe level of clove seed for maximum carcass weight was estimated to be 1.61% ± 0.28 (R² = 0.99). The dressing percentage was calculated as a result of dividing hot carcass weight over final live weight. The left breast from each chicken was retained for later meat quality analyses.

**Meat Quality Parameters**

Initial and final pH values were recorded 20 min and 24 h postmortem (P.M.) using a pH meter (Model pH 211; Hanna Instruments, Woonsocket, Rhode Island). The initial internal breast muscle temperature was measured 20 min P.M. using a portable thermocouple (EcoScan Series, Temp JKT; Eutech Instruments, Singapore, Singapore). The color coordinates of the CIE-LAB Color System, L* (lightness), a* (redness), and b* (yellowness) were determined on breast muscles 20 min and 24 h P.M. using a CR-400 Chroma Meter (Konica Minolta, Tokyo, Japan). The breasts were then frozen at −80°C for future analyses. The frozen muscles were thawed overnight in a fridge at 4°C before the examination. The water-holding capacity (WHC) was measured as per the technique outlined by Wilhelm et al. (2010). Cooking loss (CL) was determined as the difference between the initial and final weights, expressed as a

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Chickens, Feed, and Management**

This study approved and followed the rearing chickens’ ethical instruments and sampling collection of the live animals’ ethical guidelines by the Research Ethics Committee, College of Food and Agricultural Sciences, King Saud University, Saudi Arabia (NO: KSU-SE-20-35).
were cooled to room temperature (21°C). The myofibril fragmentation index (MFI) of the breast muscle was determined as described by Al-Owaimer et al. (2014). A 4-g muscle sample was scissor-minced, then homogenized (Ultra Turrax; IKA-Werke, Staufen, Germany) with 40 mL of cold isolating MFI buffer. The absorbance of a 0.5 mg/mL solution was determined at 540 nm using HACH DR/3000 Spectrophotometer, and then, MFI was determined by multiplying the absorbance value by the dilution factor.

As per juiciness, tenderness, flavor intensity, and overall acceptability on an 8-point category scale, the meat samples used for sensory evaluation tests were grouped based on the category scaling method. The frozen samples were thawed overnight at 4°C, then wrapped in an aluminum foil, and cooked in an oven at 200°C to an internal temperature of 70°C. After that, the cooked samples were cut into small pieces of approximately 2 cm³ (1.27 cm in diameter) were removed from each muscle sample parallel to the muscle fibers’ longitudinal orientation using a manual coring device. Shear force was determined as the maximum force (kg) perpendicular to the fibers using a TA.HD Texture Analyzer (Stable Micro Systems, Surrey, UK) fitted with a Warner-Bratzler attachment. The crosshead speed was set at 200 mm/min.

The texture profile analysis variables were determined after breast muscle samples being cooked by following Wilhelm et al. (2010) procedure. Then, subsamples were obtained by scoring the muscles parallel to the longitudinal direction of the muscle fibers with a handheld corer’s aid. The texture profile analysis was conducted using the TA.HD Texture Analyzer (Stable Micro Systems) equipped with a compression-plate attachment. Each sample underwent 2 cycles of 80% compression. The variables determined were as follows: hardness, which is the maximum force needed to compress the sample; cohesiveness, which is a ratio between the total energy required for the first and second compression; springiness, which represents the ability of a sample to recover to its original form after removal of the compressing force; and chewiness, which is defined as the product of springiness x hardness x cohesiveness.

Table 1. Treatments, ingredient proportions and chemical composition.

| Ingredients (%) | Treatment group (clove seeds level) | C (0%) | T₁ (1%) | T₂ (2%) | T₃ (3%) | T₄ (4%) | T₅ (5%) | T₆ (6%) |
|----------------|------------------------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Corn           | 57.25                              | 58.60  | 57.36   | 56.11   | 54.87   | 53.62   | 52.38   | 51.48   |
| Soybean meal   | 30.00                              | 30.80  | 31.12   | 31.44   | 31.76   | 32.08   | 32.40   | 32.00   |
| Wheat bran     | 0                                  | 2.50   | 2       | 1.50    | 1       | 0.50    | 0       | 0       |
| Corn gluten meal | 6                                  | 0      | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| Clove seeds    | 0                                  | 0      | 1       | 2       | 3       | 4       | 5       | 6       |
| Choline chloride | 0.05                              | 0.05   | 0.05    | 0.05    | 0.05    | 0.05    | 0.05    | 0.05    |
| Palm oil       | 2.19                               | 4.40   | 4.82    | 5.24    | 5.66    | 6.08    | 6.50    | 6.80    |
| Dicalcium phosphate | 2.80                           | 1.93   | 1.94    | 1.96    | 1.97    | 1.98    | 1.99    | 1.99    |
| Limestone      | 0.70                               | 0.57   | 0.57    | 0.56    | 0.56    | 0.55    | 0.55    | 0.55    |
| Salt           | 0.40                               | 0.21   | 0.21    | 0.21    | 0.21    | 0.21    | 0.21    | 0.21    |
| VM Mix¹        | 0.50                               | 0.50   | 0.50    | 0.50    | 0.50    | 0.50    | 0.50    | 0.50    |
| DL-Methionine  | 0.18                               | 0.17   | 0.17    | 0.17    | 0.17    | 0.18    | 0.18    | 0.18    |
| Lysine-HCL     | 0.32                               | 0.14   | 0.13    | 0.13    | 0.13    | 0.12    | 0.12    | 0.11    |
| Threonine      | 0.11                               | 0.13   | 0.13    | 0.13    | 0.13    | 0.13    | 0.13    | 0.13    |
| Total          |                                    | 100    | 100     | 100     | 100     | 100     | 100     | 100     |

| Chemical composition | Treatment group | C (0%) | T₁ (1%) | T₂ (2%) | T₃ (3%) | T₄ (4%) | T₅ (5%) | T₆ (6%) |
|----------------------|-----------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| ME (kcal/kg)         | 3,000           | 3,100  | 3,100   | 3,100   | 3,100   | 3,100   | 3,100   | 3,100   |
| CP (%)               | 23              | 20     | 20      | 20      | 20      | 20      | 20      | 20      |
| Methionine (%)²      | 0.51            | 0.46   | 0.46    | 0.46    | 0.46    | 0.46    | 0.46    | 0.46    |
| Lysine (%)³          | 1.28            | 1.13   | 1.13    | 1.13    | 1.13    | 1.13    | 1.13    | 1.13    |
| Sulfur amino acids (%)² | 0.95        | 0.86   | 0.86    | 0.86    | 0.86    | 0.86    | 0.86    | 0.86    |
| Threonine (%)²       | 0.86            | 0.74   | 0.74    | 0.74    | 0.74    | 0.74    | 0.74    | 0.74    |
| Calcium (%)          | 0.96            | 0.84   | 0.84    | 0.84    | 0.84    | 0.84    | 0.84    | 0.84    |
| Phosphorus (%)        | 0.47            | 0.41   | 0.41    | 0.41    | 0.41    | 0.41    | 0.41    | 0.41    |

¹Vitamin-mineral premix contains in the following per kg: choline chloride, 70%; betain HCL, 98%; dicalcium, 1%; KEMzyme; vitamin A, 20000000 IU; vitamin D3, 6000000 IU; vitamin E, 60,000 mg; vitamin K3, 4,000 mg; vitamin B1, 4,000 mg; vitamin B2, 12,000 mg; vitamin B6, 8,000 mg; vitamin B12, 20,000 mg; nicotinamide, 80,000 mg; biotin, 200,000 mg; folic acid, 2,000 mg; Ca d-pantothenate, 20,000 mg; manganese, 150,000 mg; zinc, 120,000 mg; iron, 96,000 mg; copper, 20,000 mg; Iodine, 2,000 mg; selenium, 400 mg.
²Amino acids were presented in a digestible form.
³The obtained results were statistically analyzed by applying 1-way ANOVA using SPSS software (IBM Corp. Released 2017. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 24.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.)
the same pattern as the 6% clove seeds group. The breast weights showed the largest weights in all body components except the leg. The most substantial breast weights were observed in the control group, while the smallest breast weights were found in the 1% clove seed group. The breast weights showed the same trend as the control group. The dressing percentages varied between the treatment groups. The control group (0%) showed the highest (27.25°C) initial temperature, whereas the (3%) treatment group reflected the lowest value.

The initial and final pH values were significantly (P < 0.05) different between the treatment groups. The control group showed the highest initial and final pH values. Generally, the pH value is taken 24 h P.M. which decreased with increasing clove seed inclusion levels, up to 5% clove seeds. The 1% clove seed group showed the lowest value (6.25) of initial pH, while the all treated group with clove seed showed the lowest value (6.03) of final pH. Lightness (L*) values increased with increasing clove seed inclusion, up to 3% clove seeds at 1 h P.M.; the L* values 24 h.

According to our study result, postmortem generally decreased with increasing clove seed levels. The redness (a*) value was significantly (P < 0.05) different between the treatment groups at 1 h P.M. but not so at 24 h P.M. At 1 h P.M., the 6% group showed the highest value (7.47) of a*, whereas the 1% group showed the lowest value (5.32). This result indicated that redness (a*) values were increased as the level of clove seeds increased. At 24 h P.M., the (6%) treatment group also attained the highest (P > 0.05) value (6.87) of a* color coordinate, while the (3%) group attained the lowest value (5.64). Yellowness (b*) color coordinate was significantly (P < 0.05) different between the treatment groups at both 1 and 24 h P.M. The control group

### Physical Meat Properties

The physical meat properties of broiler chickens fed different levels of clove seeds are presented in Table 3. The initial temperature taken 20 min P.M. differed significantly (P < 0.05) between the treatment groups. The control group (0%) showed the highest (27.25°C) initial temperature, whereas the (3%) treatment group reflected the lowest value.

The initial and final pH values were significantly (P < 0.05) different between the treatment groups. The control group showed the highest initial and final pH values. Generally, the pH value is taken 24 h P.M. at 1 h P.M., the 6% group showed the highest value (7.47) of a*, whereas the 1% group showed the lowest value (5.32). This result indicated that redness (a*) values were increased as the level of clove seeds increased. At 24 h P.M., the (6%) treatment group also attained the highest (P > 0.05) value (6.87) of a* color coordinate, while the (3%) group attained the lowest value (5.64). Yellowness (b*) color coordinate was significantly (P < 0.05) different between the treatment groups at both 1 and 24 h P.M. The control group

### Carcass and Body Components

The carcass and body components of broiler chickens affected by the diets supplemented with different quantities of clove seeds are shown in Table 2. The chickens’ final BW were significantly (P < 0.05) varied between the treated groups. The control group (0% clove seeds) obtained the highest final live weights, while the 6% clove seed group obtained the lowest final live weights. The last live weights of the chickens decreased linearly as the levels of clove seed inclusion increased. Although the 1 and 2% clove seed groups had lower final live weights than the control group, the differences between them were not significant.

Carcass weights were also significantly (P < 0.05) different between the treatment groups, following the same trend as the final live weights, where the control and 6% clove seed groups attained the highest and lowest weights, respectively. Once again, the 1 and 2% clove seed groups showed lower carcass weight values than the control group, and the differences between them were not significant. The dressing percentages differed significantly (P < 0.05) between the treatment groups. The control group achieved the highest dressing value, whereas the 5% clove seed group achieved the lowest value.

The treatment groups differed significantly (P < 0.05) in all body components except the leg. The most substantial breast weights were observed in the control group, while the smallest breast weights were found in the 6% clove seeds group. The breast weights showed the same pattern as the final live weights, carcass

### Table 2. Carcass and body components of broiler chickens fed different levels of clove seeds.

| Parameter          | 0%       | 1%       | 2%       | 3%       | 4%       | 5%       | 6%       | SEM | Linear | Quadratic |
|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----|--------|-----------|
| Final live weight  | 2.42a    | 2.34a    | 2.36a    | 2.17b    | 1.87c    | 1.69d    | 1.49e    |     | 0.023  | 0.001     |
| (kg)               |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |     |        |           |
| Carcass weight     | 1.61a    | 1.52a    | 1.52a    | 1.35b    | 1.17c    | 1.02d    | 0.91d    |     | 0.045  | 0.012     |
| (kg)               |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |     |        |           |
| Dressing (%)       | 66.54a   | 64.73a   | 64.68a   | 62.28b   | 62.50b   | 60.59b   | 60.68b   |     | 0.015  | 0.031     |
|                    |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |     |        |           |
| 1Body components   |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |     |        |           |
| Leg (%)            | 29.03    | 30.24    | 29.74    | 29.85    | 30.72    | 30.90    | 30.94    |     | 0.23   | 0.458     |
|                    |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |     |        |           |
| Breast (%)         | 41.98a   | 40.43b   | 41.28a   | 40.51b   | 38.89c   | 38.71b,c | 37.99c   |     | 0.31   | 0.002     |
|                    |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |     |        |           |
| Liver (%)          | 3.01b    | 3.10b    | 3.23b    | 3.63b    | 3.41b    | 3.95c    | 3.90c    |     | 0.08   | 0.024     |
|                    |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |     |        |           |
| Gizzard (%)        | 3.79c    | 3.74c    | 4.01b,c  | 4.13b,c  | 4.29b,c  | 4.65b,c  | 5.19c    |     | 0.11   | 0.015     |
|                    |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |     |        |           |
| Heart (%)          | 0.63b    | 0.67c,b  | 0.68c,b  | 0.73c,b  | 0.71b,c  | 0.80b,c  | 0.87b    |     | 0.02   | 0.023     |
|                    |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |     |        | 0.524     |
| Abdominal fat %    | 1.53b    | 2.03a    | 1.52b    | 1.66b    | 1.61a,b  | 1.57b    | 1.13b    |     | 0.10   | 0.031     |
|                    |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |     |        | 0.045     |

*aMean values of different superscripts on the same row are significantly different at (P < 0.05, 0.01, or 0.001).

1Body components were computed as a ratio to the carcass weight.
(0%) showed the highest value of b* at both 1 h P.M. (5.37) and 24 h P.M. (6.91). On the other side, the (4%) treatment group showed the lowest values of b* at 1 h P.M. (3.96), whereas the (3%) group showed the lowest value (5.38) at 24 h P.M.

**Meat Quality Characteristics**

Table 4 reveals the results of meat quality characteristics of broiler chickens fed different levels of clove seeds. The treatment groups were significantly (P < 0.05) different in all meat parameters except MFI and cohesiveness. Generally, WHC, CL, and MFI decreased with increasing clove seed inclusion. The most favorable values for WHC, CL, and MFI were obtained by 3, 6, and 0% treatment groups, respectively. The 3% group achieved the best WHC ratio (0.29) compared with the other treatment groups followed by 0 and 2.46.1%. The most convenient CL value (22.47%) was obtained by the 6% group. Contrary, the worst value (31.75%) of CL was obtained by group 0% (control).

The least shear force (1.21 kg), which indicates most tenderness, was reported by the 6% clove seed group, followed by the control group (1.39 kg). The highest value of shear force (1.77 kg), which is an indication of tough meat, was shown by the 5% treatment group. The 4 and 5% clove seed groups showed the lowest values for hardness (0.72) and chewiness (2.10), respectively, while the 2% clove seeds group showed the highest values (1.67 and 4.96) for these parameters, respectively.

**Sensory Indices Evaluation**

Subjective evaluation of the samples from the different treatment groups did not indicate significant differences in meat qualities (juiciness, flavor intensity, overall acceptability) except for tenderness (Table 5). All sensory evaluation attributes were not significantly different between the treatment groups except tenderness. The highest rating scores 4.58, 5.60, and 5.42 of juiciness, tenderness, and flavor intensity, respectively, were for the 1% group. In comparison, the highest (5.85) overall acceptability rating score was for the 4% group, which was immediately followed by the 1% group that obtained 5.83. The difference between groups 4 and 1% was not significantly different.

**DISCUSSION**

The expansion of antibiotic-resistant pathogenic bacterium has always been the side effect of its use in the poultry diet of subtherapeutic antibiotic concentration (Hussein et al., 2020). In addition, consumers are worried about using antibiotics in animal diets, including residue contamination of final poultry products and development of antibiotic-resistant pathogens (Agyare et al., 2018). Unlike traditional

---

**Table 3.** Meat physical properties of broiler chickens fed different levels of clove seeds.

| Parameter       | Clove seed level | SEM | Linear | Quadratic |
|-----------------|------------------|-----|--------|-----------|
| Temperature (°C) | 27.25<sup>a</sup> | 0.20 | 0.018  | 0.003     |
| pH<sub>initial</sub> | 6.43<sup>b</sup> | 0.02 | 0.010  | 0.241     |
| pH<sub>final</sub> | 6.26<sup>c</sup> | 0.01 | 0.041  | 0.022     |
| a*              | 5.99<sup>b</sup> | 0.15 | 0.044  | 0.152     |
| b*              | 5.37<sup>b</sup> | 0.13 | 0.013  | 0.295     |

*Mean values of different superscripts on the same row are significantly different at (P < 0.05, 0.01, or 0.001).

**Table 4.** Meat quality characteristics of broiler chickens fed different levels of clove seeds.

| Parameter       | Clove seed level | SEM | Linear | Quadratic |
|-----------------|------------------|-----|--------|-----------|
| WHC             | 0.25<sup>b</sup> | 0.01 | 0.032  | 0.012     |
| Cooking loss%   | 31.75<sup>b</sup> | 0.02 | 0.012  | 0.001     |
| MFI             | 77.68            | 0.96 | 0.821  | 0.049     |
| Shear force (kg) | 1.39<sup>b</sup> | 0.06 | 0.034  | 0.005     |
| Texture profile analysis | | | | |
| Hardness (kg)   | 1.00<sup>b</sup> | 0.06 | 0.018  | 0.001     |
| Springiness     | 0.55<sup>b</sup> | 0.01 | 0.024  | 0.013     |
| Cohesiveness    | 0.49<sup>a</sup> | 0.01 | 0.058  | 0.062     |
| Chewiness       | 2.70<sup>b</sup> | 0.19 | 0.022  | 0.028     |

*Mean values of different superscripts on the same row are significantly different at (P < 0.05, 0.01 or 0.001).

Abbreviations: MFI, myofibril fragmentation index; WHC, water-holding capacity.
production, antibiotic usage is not permissible in organic systems. Thus, organic and conventional poultry production requires alternative strategies to enhance poultry growth and performance (Diaz-Sanchez et al., 2015). Herbs, spices, and various other natural plant compounds are being assessed as effective alternatives to antibiotics and approximately do have promoting growth effects, antibacterial properties, and other health-related advantages (Ionescu, 2019; Abou-Elkhair et al., 2019). The results obtained in this study concurred with those obtained by Mohammadi et al. (2014), reporting lower growth performance of broiler chickens fed high levels of clove. In contrast, Ertas et al. (2005) revealed that supplementing a broiler diet with a 200 mg/kg mixture of oregano, clove, and anise oils enhanced the daily weight gain. Moreover, Mukhtar (2011) found that clove oil (600 mg/kg) improved broilers’ final BW compared with the control and antibiotic-treated groups. The study of Azadegan et al. (2014) confirmed that BW gain was significantly enhanced in broilers that received 450 mg/kg clove oil from 23 to 42 d of age. Kaur et al. (2019) found that clove buds contain the highest values from saponin and the lowest oxalate value. Concerning biological role, saponin has been defined as an antinutritional agent in most cases (Chaudhary et al., 2018). In some cases, it has been maintained to decrease feed intake, inhibit growth rate in poultry, and show some toxicologic effects with higher levels in diets (Miah et al., 2004).

In our study result, the dressing percentage decreased with increasing quantities of clove seeds supplemented in the diet. Inline, Azadegan et al. (2014) did not observe any significant improvement in carcass traits for broilers fed with the diet supplemented with clove oil. Contrary to this finding, Tariq et al. (2015) cited an increase in dressing percentage and breast weight of chickens fed clove. This discrepancy could be ascribed to the high level of clove seed inclusion applied in our study. In addition, Abd El-Hack et al. (2015) mentioned that carcass traits were better than those of the control group in Japanese quails fed with the clove oil–enriched diet. The variation may be owing to the difference in the supplementation form of clove. This investigation was in line with Dalkılıç and Güler (2009) study that feed diets fortified up to 0.04% clove extract could be applied as an effective growth promoter for broiler alternative to antibiotics.

The results of abdominal fat obtained in this study agreed with that of Dalkılıç and Güler (2009) who reported a significant \( P < 0.05 \) reduction in abdominal fat content in cooperation with the high level of clove extract within the broiler diet. In contrast, Chowdhury et al. (2018) concluded that clove oil administration in poultry diets did not affect carcass characteristics. Researchers stated that saponins from different plant resources had decreased meat and serum cholesterol level in broiler chickens (Matsuura, 2001; Afrose et al., 2010; Gaurav, 2015; Chaudhary, 2017). In addition, the interaction between saponin and bile acids in the gastrointestinal tract encourages the development of large mixed micelles, enhancing high cholesterol excretion (Oakenfull, 1986) and finally decreasing serum, organ, and meat cholesterol levels.

Although there were significant differences between the treatment groups in initial carcass temperature, this result could be attributed to the carcass preparation method rather than the treatment. The traditional scalding and defeathering process used in this study involves dipping chickens into a boiling tank with temperatures at different batches. The gap between them relied mainly on how fast the processing steps (Macagnan et al., 2012).

Although the shear force and texture profile analysis results showed inconsistent patterns concerning the supplemented clove seeds, the higher inclusion of clove seeds in groups 6 and 4% resulted in the best value of shear force and hardness, respectively. It was also true for springiness and cohesiveness. The sensory evaluation results showed an overall improvement in palatability as a consequence of clove seed inclusion. It could be ascribed to the antioxidant properties of clove seeds that inhibited lipid oxidation of meat, which is known to be the main reason behind meat quality spoiling resulting in rancidity and formation of unacceptable odors and flavors (Amaral et al., 2018). Generally, there was an improvement in meat tenderness expressed in response to clove seed inclusion. Thus, meat quality could be improved by adding natural antioxidants, and clove has the highest antioxidant capacity related to its high phenolic content (Velasco and Williams, 2011). However, some studies have reported that natural antioxidants have no impact on the sensory attributes of meat. For example, Hernández et al. (2010), Shan et al. (2005), and Wheeler et al. (2001) concluded that supplementation with clove essential oil did not alter any adverse effect on chicken meat quality.

### Table 5. Sensory evaluation of broiler chickens fed different levels of clove seeds.

| Parameter           | 0%        | 1%        | 2%        | 3%        | 4%        | 5%        | 6%        | SEM   | \( P \) Value |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|--------------|
| **Clove seed level**|           |           |           |           |           |           |           |       |              |
| Juiciness           | 4.42      | 4.58      | 3.90      | 4.10      | 4.35      | 4.44      | 4.10      | 0.11  | 0.047        |
| Tenderness          | 4.96\textsuperscript{a,b} | 5.60\textsuperscript{a} | 4.50\textsuperscript{a} | 4.67\textsuperscript{b} | 5.13\textsuperscript{a,b} | 5.06\textsuperscript{a,b} | 4.67\textsuperscript{b} | 0.13  | 0.025        |
| Flavor intensity    | 4.98      | 5.42      | 4.67      | 4.83      | 5.06      | 5.27      | 4.73      | 0.11  | 0.345        |
| Overall acceptability| 5.21      | 5.83      | 5.02      | 5.31      | 5.85      | 5.81      | 4.85      | 0.14  | 0.965        |

\( ^a \) Mean values of different superscripts on the same row are significantly different at \( P < 0.05, 0.01, \) or 0.001.

Meat samples were rated from 1 to 8, with 8 points being the most desirable level.
CONCLUSION

From the obtained results, we concluded that broiler diets fortified with a small amount of clove seed (up to 1.91% ± 0.20) could significantly promote the final BW, quality, physical and sensory attributes traits of meat, and some carcass characteristics. Conversely, the high level of clove seed supplemented within broiler diets up to 6% significantly increased internal organ weight (liver, gizzard, and heart). Hence, broiler chicken diets supplemented with clove seeds could be a safe alternative to antibiotics as growth promoters and produce harmless meat with numerous beneficial effects on consumer health.
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