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ABSTRACT

If SUSY exists at the TeV scale, finding it at the LHC should be quite easy. The
more difficult problem is to separate the various SUSY channels and to measure masses
and other parameters. Substantial progress on this has been made within the ATLAS and
CMS Collaborations.
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1 Introduction

If SUSY exists at TeV scale, it should be quite easy to discover at the LHC. The main problem is not to discover SUSY but to make precise measurements of masses and other parameters so as to understand the SUSY model. Over the last several years, the ATLAS and CMS Collaborations have studied potential to make such measurements for minimal SUGRA models, minimal GMSB models, and $R$-parity violating models. What can be achieved depends on the whole pattern of production and decay of SUSY particles and hence is very model dependent. This paper describes a few of the many possibilities.

2 Determination of Masses at SUGRA Point 5

“Point 5” is a minimal SUGRA model with $m_0 = 100$ GeV, $m_{1/2} = 300$ GeV, $A_0 = 300$ GeV, $\tan \beta = 2.1$, and $\text{sgn} \mu = +$. It was chosen to give cold dark matter consistent with $\Omega = 1$. For the point there are two characteristic $\tilde{\chi}^0_2$ decays:

\[ \tilde{\chi}^0_2 \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}_R \ell^- \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}^0_2 \ell^+ \ell^- \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\chi}^0_2 \rightarrow \chi^0_1 h \rightarrow \chi^0_1 b \bar{b}. \]

The “effective mass”, $M_{\text{eff}} = \sqrt{E_T^2 + p_T^2}$, provides a measure of the hardness of the interaction. The Standard Model background is small with the cuts $N_{\text{jet}} \geq 4$ with $p_T > 100, 50, 50$ GeV; $M_{\text{eff}} > 400$ GeV; and $E_T > \max(100 \text{ GeV}, 0.2 M_{\text{eff}})$.

If events are also required to have two opposite-sign, same-flavor leptons with $(\ell = e, \mu)$ $p_T > 10$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$, then the decays $\tilde{\chi}^0_2 \rightarrow \bar{\ell}_R \ell$ dominate and produce an endpoint at

\[ M_{\ell\ell}^{\text{max}} = M_{\tilde{\chi}^0_2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{M_{\ell R}^2}{M_{\chi^0_2}^2}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{M_{\ell\ell}^2}{M_{\ell R}^2}} = 108.93 \text{ GeV}. \]

This is clearly seen in Figure 1. Forming the combination $e^+ e^- + \mu^+ \mu^- - e^+ \mu^+$ removes the remaining small background from two independent decays and allows the endpoint to be measured to about 0.1% at high luminosity. The measurement is sensitive to any $\bar{\ell}_R - \mu_R$ mass difference at a similar level.

The main source of dileptons is the decay chain $\tilde{q}_L \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}^0_2 q \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}_R^+ \ell^- q \rightarrow \chi^0_1 \ell^+ \ell^- q$. Four-body phase space gives an $\ell\ell q$ endpoint and an $\ell q$ endpoint with functional forms similar to $M_{\ell\ell}^{\text{max}}$. These can be measured by plotting the smaller $\ell q$ mass using the two hardest jets, and then by plotting the $\ell q$ mass for events with only one
The $\ell\ell q$ mass below 600 GeV. The $\ell\ell q$ mass distribution is shown in Figure 2 together with a fit used to extract the position of the edge. While the resolutions is only $\sim 10\%$, it is possible to fit these edges with good precision. However, there are not enough constraints to determine all the masses involved. If a lower limit is set on the $\ell\ell$ mass, $M_{\ell\ell} > M_{\ell\ell}^{\text{max}}/\sqrt{2}$, then there is also a lower limit on the $\ell\ell q$ mass. This can be measured by plotting the larger of the two masses formed by the $\ell\ell$ pair and one of the two hardest jets; see Figure 2. A fit with the resolution constrained to that obtained in the other jet measurements gives $M_{\ell\ell q}^{\text{min}} = 283.7^{+1.4}_{-1.5}$ GeV.

By combining all these measurements the $\tilde{q}_L$, $\tilde{\chi}_0^0$, $\tilde{\ell}_R$, and $\tilde{\chi}_0^1$ masses can all be determined using only kinematics. The masses are highly correlated, as can be seen in the scatter plot in Figure 3. The projection of this scatter plot, also shown
in Figure 3, determines the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ mass to about 12%, assuming a 2% error on the $\ell\ell q$ lower edge. A fit of these measurements to the minimal SUGRA model gives very small errors: $m_0 = 100.0 \pm 1.4 \text{ GeV}$ (1.4%), $m_{1/2} = 300.0 \pm 2.7 \text{ GeV}$ (0.9%), $\tan \beta = 2.00 \pm 0.11$ (5.5%), and $\mu = +1$. However, $A_0$ is not constrained — the weak scale $A_t$ is insensitive to it. Also, if one allows the 5 and 10 scalar masses to be different at the GUT scale, then the 5 mass is also poorly constrained: it is hard to measure $\ell_L$ at the LHC. This is an obvious place where a future linear collider could contribute.

### 3 $\tau$ Signatures for large tan $\beta$

For $\tan \beta \lesssim 10$ usually have at least one of $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$, $\ell^\pm \ell^\mp$, or $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 h$ is generally available. But for $\tan \beta \gg 1$ the only allowed two-body decay, and hence the dominant decay, may be $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tau^\mp \rightarrow \chi_1^0 \tau^+ \tau^-$. “Point 6” is a minimal SUGRA point with $m_0 = 200 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{1/2} = 200 \text{ GeV}$, $A_0 = 0$, $\tan \beta = 45$, and $\text{sgn} \mu = -$ for which this is the case.

A clean sample of SUSY events can be selected with multi-jet, $M_{\text{eff}}$, and $E_T$ like those above. An algorithm to reconstruct $\tau$ hadronic decays with tracking and electromagnetic calorimetry was developed using the full simulation of ATLAS. This algorithm is biased towards high-mass decays to optimize the $\tau\tau$ mass measurement rather than $\tau$ identification. It gives $\langle M_{\text{visible}} \rangle = 0.66 M_{\tau\tau}$ with $(\sigma/M)_{\text{visible}} = 0.12$. The rejection factor for light jets is about 15. The $\tau^+ \tau^- - \tau^\mp \tau^\pm$ mass distribution is shown in Figure 4. If the $\tau$'s could be measured perfectly, there would be a sharp edge like that in Figure 4 at 59.64 GeV. While the edge is smeared, a clear structure remains. The position of this edge can be determined to an estimated 3 GeV for $10 \text{ fb}^{-1}$. This can then be used as a starting point for further partial reconstructions. A case like this one is clearly more difficult and should be studied.
Decays into $\tau$'s can also be studied by comparing the $e^+e^- + \mu^+\mu^-$ and $e^\pm\mu^\mp$ distributions. As $\tan\beta$ is increased, the $\tau$ branching ratios become larger, leading to more nearly equal distributions at low mass. This is illustrated in Figure 5, which compares four values of $\tan\beta$ for $m_0 = 100$ GeV, $m_{1/2} = 190$ GeV, $A_0 = 0$, and $\text{sgn} \mu = +$. The mixing between gauginos and Higgsinos also increases, leading to more heavy gaugino decays. These heavy gauginos can decay both into $Z$'s and into $\tilde{\ell}^\pm\tilde{\ell}^\mp$, giving the higher-mass structure in Figure 5.

4 GMSB Models

The phenomenology of GMSB models depends on the nature and lifetime of the NLSP. Prompt $\tilde{\chi}^0_1 \to \tilde{G}\gamma$ or $\tilde{\ell} \to \tilde{G}\ell$ decays give longer decay chains and hence more constraints. A long-lived NLSP $\tilde{\ell}_R$ allows full reconstruction. In this case it is important to reconstruct muon-like tracks with $\beta < 1$ and to measure their mass with time of flight or $dE/dx$. A long-lived NLSP $\tilde{\chi}^0_1$ has signatures qualitatively like SUGRA. In this case it is important to search for rare $\tilde{\chi}^0_1 \to \tilde{G}\gamma$ decays, since these measure the true SUSY breaking scale. The ATLAS EM calorimeter provides good angular measurements for photons and might be sensitive to decay lengths up to 100 km. All of these are discussed in much more detail in Reference 1.

5 Status and Outlook

The LHC produces many SUSY channels and a wide variety of signatures involving combinations of $\slashed{E}_T$, jets, heavy flavors, leptons, and $\tau$'s. The main background for these signatures is other SUSY processes, not Standard Model ones. Given the many complex possibilities, it is hard to draw general conclusions, but we reasonably expect to make many precise measurements. It also seems likely that some aspects of SUSY will be difficult to study at the LHC. The linear collider studies should...
put particular emphasis on those aspects, including heavy Higgs bosons, heavy Higgsinos, sneutrinos and sleptons with $M_{\tilde{\nu}^\pm} > M_{\tilde{\chi}^0_2}$, and dominant $\tau$ decays.
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