Optimizing soil nitrogen balance in a potato cropping system through legume intercropping
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Abstract Negative nitrogen balance represents a major factor causing low potato yield in potato growing areas of Kenya while its excessive surplus poses a significant environmental concern. In order to synchronize this tradeoff, a field trial integrating potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) with lima bean (Phaseolus lunatus L.) and dolichos (Lablab purpureus L.) in intercropping system was conducted in the upper midland (1552 m above sea level (masl)), lower highland (1894 masl) and upper highland (2552 masl) agro-ecological zones of Kenya. Nitrogen gains from mineralization, fertilization, biological fixation, and outputs from biomass accumulation, leaching, volatilization and soil erosion were quantified using standard procedures. Soil N balance ranged from −10.7 to −18.1 kg N ha⁻¹ for sole potato, 4.1 to 6.6 kg N ha⁻¹ for intercropping and 2.9 to 22.3 kg N ha⁻¹ for sole legumes. The intermediate range of polyphenol and lignin contents in intercropping enhanced N mineralization with peak N release of 8 to 9 kg N ha⁻¹ matching with peak N uptake by potato (19.9 to 31.2 kg N ha⁻¹). Nitrate was leached below the active root zone in sole potato cropping (4.2 to 46.6 kg N ha⁻¹), a process that was diverged by the
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deep root systems of legume intercrops. These results suggest that legume intercropping can provide a means of balancing the nitrogen retained in the biomass and soil, thus offering a mechanism for optimizing the soil N balance in smallholder potato farming systems.
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**Introduction**

Nitrogen is one of the most important nutrients constraining potato production in subtropical smallholder farming systems (Sanchez 2002; Henao and Baanante 2006; Burke 2017). The N balances in these systems are on average negative as the N outflows often exceed the N inflows. The N inflows in a potato production system are majorly from inorganic fertilizers, organic manures or additions through biological nitrogen fixation where legumes are integrated in rotation or intercropping systems (Shekofteh et al. 2013; Je´go et al. 2008). The outflow processes include N removal by soil erosion and in harvested biomass, denitrification during prolonged periods of wet soil, and volatilization of ammonia (NH₃) in high pH soils after surface granular urea application (Loomis and Connor 1992). Leaching occurs mainly where nitrates leave the soil in drainage water (Arregui and Quemada 2006).

Defining an appropriate fertilizer application rate that matches N supply with crop N demand is thus necessary in smallholder potato farming systems to avoid N mining. This is only possible if knowledge of the quantity of N that will be supplied by the crop residues is known. This process is defined by the soil temperature and soil water contents, residue chemical composition: lignin (L), polyphenol (P), carbon (C), nitrogen (N) and their ratios such as C-to-N, L-to-N, and L + P-to-N (Tian et al. 1993; Mitchell et al. 2000; Palm and Sanchez 1991; Becker and Ladha 1997). Where decomposed organic matter exhibits high C-to-N, lignin-to-N, and polyphenol-to-N ratios, N supply will not be sufficient to satisfy N requirements of soil microorganisms, resulting in net N immobilization (Lupwayi and Haque 1999; Lupwayi et al. 2006). The production and retention of available N often increases with increasing soil moisture and soil temperature to a threshold and thereafter declines (Ballock et al. 2018).

In Kenya, the low soil N content is aggravated by the poor potato cropping systems that majorly entail sole cropping with limited capacity to provide adequate soil cover and protect soil from erosion (Nyawade et al. 2018b; Gitari et al. 2018a, b, 2019; Muthoni et al. 2013). The nitrogen input not assimilated by potato crop accumulates in the soil as nitrate that is leached through irrigation and rainwater or lost through volatilization or denitrification (Gentile et al. 2009). To compensate for these N losses, a number of farmers have opted to do heavy application of inorganic N fertilizer (Kmetu et al. 2006; Muthoni et al. 2013). This strategy is too expensive for the smallholder farmers and may contribute to increased environmental pollution through eutrophication. In addition, majority of potato produced in Kenya is rainfed and grown under conditions where availability of water defines the potential productivity. In such conditions, provision of an appropriate supply of N should be synchronized with the temporal demand for the crop over a growing season. This is true as N makes up 1–4% of potato dry matter while too much N results in vigorous early vegetative growth leading to haying-off under water-limited conditions (van Herwaarden et al. 1998).

There is therefore a growing need to design low-cost and efficient integrated nitrogen management systems compatible with smallholder farmers’ socioeconomic status. In this context, legumes or nitrogen-rich plant residues present promising alternatives to the mineral fertilizers. These crops can be simultaneously introduced into potato cropping systems. The organic residues from these systems must decompose fast enough for N to become available to potato crop. In this way, synchronization of N supply and crop N demand for a given target yield level may reduce N losses and increase N use efficiency. Intercropping that includes deep rooted crops can reduce nitrate leaching due to their ability to effectively take up residual nitrogen, converting it into crop biomass which is later mineralized for nitrogen release (Plaza-Bonilla et al. 2015; Constantin et al. 2012).

Intercropping potato with high nitrogen fixing legumes can alleviate the high cost of inorganic soil nitrogen inputs (Burke 2017). Sanginga and Woomer (2009) reported that velvet bean (*Mucuna pruriens* L.) accumulated about 160 kg N ha⁻¹ in 12 weeks when
it was intercropped with maize, while Eaglesham et al. (1981) found that the nitrogen fixed by companion cowpea was about 41 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) in maize-cowpea intercropping system. Roots of legumes can decompose and release nitrogen into the soil where it is made available for subsequent crops (Giller 2015). Legume cover crop integration into potato cropping systems can appreciably reduce nitrogen losses due to soil erosion thereby increasing efficiency of nitrogen use (Xing et al. 2011).

Intercropping is however practiced by only about 5–12% of the smallholder farmers in Kenya and mostly with maize (\textit{Zea mays} L.) intercrop (Muthoni et al. 2013). We therefore conducted a two-year field experiment to compare the soil nitrogen content of sole potato and potato intercropped with lima bean (\textit{Phaseolus lunatus} L.) or dolichos (\textit{Lablab purpureus} L.) in three agro-ecological zones of Kenya (upper midland, lower highland and upper highland). We hypothesized that (1) legume intercropping increases the amount of dry matter available for nitrogen mineralization thus increasing the soil nitrogen content available for uptake by potato crop and (2) that legume intercropping minimizes the amount of N lost to leaching and soil erosion thus increasing the soil N balance.

Materials and methods

Site description

The trials were carried out during the four rainy seasons of 2017 and 2018 in three agro-ecological zones of Kenya; upper midland-Kirinyaga [1552 m above sea level (masl)], lower highland-Kabete (1854 masl) and upper-highland-Nyandarua (2553 masl). Nyandarua site lies along latitude 0° 14’ 39.08” S and longitude 36° 17’ 18.99” E, Kirinyaga, 0° 29’ 35.71” S and 37° 20’ 55.29” E and Kabete 1° 14’ 45.00” S and 36° 44’ 19.51” E. These areas exhibit bimodal distribution of rainfall, with the long rains occurring from early March to late May and the short rains from mid-October to late December (Jaetzold et al. 2012). Nyandarua receives mean annual temperature of 18.2 °C with an annual rainfall amount of 1500 mm. Kabete receives average temperature of 21.2 °C and annual rainfall of 1100 mm. Kirinyaga exhibits relatively lower annual rainfall amount ranging between 600 and 1000 mm and mean annual temperature of 24.4 °C. During the study period, total rainfall amount of 345, 184, 328 and 216 mm was received respectively during the 2017 long rains, 2017 short rains, 2018 long rains and 2018 short rains in the upper highland agro-ecological zone (AEZ). This is compared to rainfall amount of 388, 211, 372 and 269 mm received in the lower highland AEZ, and 399, 209, 369 and 234 mm respectively in the upper highland AEZ. Across the seasons, the mean air temperatures were higher in the upper midland (15.7–27.9 °C), intermediate in the lower highland (13.1–25.4 °C) and lowest in the upper highland (11.1–22.3 °C) AEZs.

The soils in Kabete are dark red friable clay, with clear, smooth boundaries classified as Humic Nitisol (Jaetzold et al. 2012), while the Kirinyaga soils are well drained, shallow to very deep, dark reddish brown silty loam classified as Rhodic Ferralsol. The soils in Nyandarua are dark brown to very dark brown firm clay to silt loam clay classified as Ferric Luvisol. Details of the measured soil properties (0–1.2 m depth) before the experiment are provided in online resource material 1.

Experimental design and crop husbandry

The trials were laid out in a randomized complete block design with four replications. The plots were 6.2 m long by 3.0 m wide and were separated by 1 m path. The treatments comprised of sole potato (\textit{Solanum tuberosum} L.), sole lima bean (\textit{P. lunatus} L.), sole dolichos (\textit{Lablab purpureus} L.) and intercrop of potato with either lima bean or dolichos. The potato cultivar used in this study is a heat and water stress tolerant locally known as Unica (CIP 392797.22) (CIP 2008). Intercropping was done in 1 row of potato alternating with 1 row of legumes. Potatoes were planted at a uniform depth of 0.1 m on pre-hilled ridges. Pre-hilling practice was informed by its ability to optimize soil temperatures and soil moisture distribution and due to its capacity to enable the legumes to be intercropped with ease of weeding, hilling and harvesting (Nyawade et al. 2018a). Two legume bean seeds were planted per hole at within row spacing of 0.2 m and inter-row spacing of 0.75 m between potato and legume strips, and 0.5 m between 2 legume strips.
Fertilizer application was based on soil analysis and was adjusted seasonally taking into account the amount of mineral N in the soil prior to planting. On average, this activity consisted of basal application of 50 kg ha\(^{-1}\) N, 90 kg P ha\(^{-1}\), 100 kg K ha\(^{-1}\) and single topdressing with 50 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) in the form of calcium ammonium nitrate (26-0-0). Topdressing was done 15–25 days after potato emergence depending on the general soil moisture conditions. Legumes received only one basal phosphorus applications (triple super phosphate, 0-46-0) at rates averaging 20 kg P ha\(^{-1}\).

Weeding was performed at 14–21 days after potato emergence by hand hoeing, and entailed earthing up the soil around potato vines to about 0.2 m high and slight tamping of soil around legumes’ stem base. The legumes were sprayed with Duduthrin 1.7 EC (Lambda-cyhalothrin 17.5 g L\(^{-1}\)) alternating with Bestox 100 EC (Alpha-cypermethrin 50 g L\(^{-1}\)) to control aphids while potato crops were sprayed alternately with Ridomil Gold MZ 68WG (Mefenoxam 40 g kg\(^{-1}\) + Mancozeb 640 g kg\(^{-1}\) and Dithane-M (Mancozeb) to control potato late blight disease. Supplemental irrigation (water obtained from local water ponds) was supplied uniformly to the treatments with the use of sprinklers. Irrigation scheduling was done when the soil matric potential in the pure potato plots dropped below – 30 kPa (field capacity).

Potatoes were harvested at maturity (90–112 days after planting) by digging out the tubers using hand hoes while legumes were left growing in the field (for a period of about 45 days after potato harvest) until they attained physiological maturity. The biomass from each plot was weighed, chopped and together with the potato residues, incorporated back into the soil in the subsequent seasons.

Soil sampling and analyses

Soil samples were taken in 10 replicates from interrows of each plot with a 15 mm inner-diameter soil piston auger at soil depth 0–0.3, 0.3–0.6, 0.6–0.9 and 0.9–1.2 m. The soil samples were mixed into a composite for each depth, transported in cooler boxes and stored at 4 °C until analysis. Subsamples of 20 g fresh soil weight were extracted in 1 M KCl for 1 h (1 soil: 2 solution) and centrifuged. The supernatant was analyzed for NH\(_4\)^{+}–N and NO\(_3\)^{−}–N by standard colorimetric methods using Auto Analyzer 3 (Bran + Luebbe, Germany). The N content was converted to kg ha\(^{-1}\) basis using soil bulk density values measured with soil cores (0.03 m inside diameter by 0.2 m long) for each sampling depth.

Soil moisture, rainfall and ambient temperature

Soil moisture content was measured every 0.3 m to depth of 1.2 m using neutron moisture meters installed in each plot. The soil moisture in the top 0.3 m soil layer was measured gravimetrically in all replications before planting to calibrate the neutron moisture meter and to estimate the amount of water required for irrigation. Rainfall amount of each experimental site was recorded using onsite rain gauges while air temperatures were obtained from HOBO temperature sensors installed within the experimental sites.

Determination of leaf area index

Leaf area index (LAI) was estimated using Sunfleck Ceptometer (Decagon Devices, Pullman, WA, USA) at different potato growth stages. This measurement was used to assess the effect of vegetal cover on soil erosion and temporal variability of soil moisture content under different cropping systems. All the measurements were taken on clear-sky days between 1130 and 0130 h to eliminate the effect of solar elevation on light interception. For each measurement, LAI readings were taken at an angle of 60° across the crop rows to ensure that more leaf area was exposed to the light sensors.

Root sampling and estimation of root length density

Root length density was estimated and related with the amount of N leached. Briefly, root samples at different potato growth stages were extracted using metal cores (Bohm 1979) with dimensions of 0.085 m diameter and length of 0.1 m (giving a volume of 0.00057 m\(^3\)). The cores were driven within the root-zones at 0–0.3, 0.3–0.6, 0.6–0.9 and 0.9–1.2 m depths. After the excavations, the working surface of the soil profile was back-filled with the soil and gently smoothened. Soil cores containing the roots were placed in a bucket of water and gently agitated to break down larger soil particles, and to remove debris and dead roots. The
roots were arranged and floated on shallow water in 0.4 m × 0.4 m glass tray and then scanned using Epson Expression 1680 Scanner (Seiko Epson Corp., Tokyo, Japan) and analyzed for root length density using WinRHIZO Root Analyzer System (Regent Instruments Inc., Quebec, Canada) [Eq. (1)].

\[
\text{Root length density (m m}^{-3}\) \\
= \frac{\text{Root length (m)}}{\text{Soil volume of corresponding depth (m}^3\)}
\]  

(1)

Computation of soil nitrogen balance

Soil nitrogen balance was computed as the difference between N input and output pools within the crop root zone (0–1.2 m depth) (OECD 2001). Taking into considerations the major nitrogen input and output pathways in smallholder potato cropping systems, soil nitrogen balance was computed using Eq. (2):

\[
\text{N balance} = \left[ N_{\text{fert}} + N_{\text{init}} + N_{\text{res}} + N_{\text{min}} + N_{\text{fix}} \right] - \left[ N_{\text{uptake}} + N_{\text{ero}} + N_{\text{run}} + N_{\text{leach}} + N_{\text{vol}} \right].
\]  

(2)

where inputs: \( N_{\text{fert}} = N \) gains from fertilizer; \( N_{\text{init}} = \) soil \( N \) available at start of the season; \( N_{\text{res}} = \) available soil \( N \) after harvest; \( N_{\text{min}} = N \) mineralization from crop residues, and the outputs; \( N_{\text{uptake}} = \) nitrogen accumulated in the plant; \( N_{\text{ero}} = N \) carried by eroded sediment; \( N_{\text{run}} = N \) lost in runoff; \( N_{\text{leach}} = N \) leached beyond the active root zone; \( N_{\text{vol}} = N \) volatilized.

The contributions of biological nitrogen fixation to total \( N \) accumulation was estimated by the \( N \) difference method (Peoples et al. 2002) which is based on the fact that the sources of \( N \) for fixing crops are soil, fertilizer and the atmosphere (Eq. 3).

\[
\%N_{\text{dfa}} = 100 - (\%N_{\text{dfs}} + \%N_{\text{diff}})
\]  

(3)

where \( N_{\text{dfs}} \) is nitrogen derived from the fertilizer; \( N_{\text{diff}} \), nitrogen derived from the soil and \( N_{\text{dfa}} \), nitrogen derived from the atmosphere.

The amount of \( N \) leached was analyzed from the soil solution extracted using SoluSAMPLER located at vertical depths of 0.3, 0.6, 0.9, 1.2 and 1.5 m (Biswas and Schrale 2007). In this study, soil \( N \) beyond 1.2 m soil depth was considered leached, as no active roots were found beyond this depth. Nitrogen content from the sampled soil solution was determined by UV/vis spectrophotometer and indigotic colorimetric method. The \( N \) contents in soil were converted to kg \( N \) ha\(^{-1}\) basis using soil bulk density values measured for each sampling depth.

Nitrogen uptake by potato and legumes was computed at potato vegetative growth, tuber initiation, bulking, and maturation stages. For potatoes, samples were separated into tubers, roots and shoot while the legumes were partitioned into shoot, roots, and into grains for sampling conducted at maturity. The samples were dried in an oven at 70 °C for 48 h, weighed, and subsamples analyzed for \( N \) content using Kjeldhal digestion method (Keeney and Nelson 1982). The total \( N \) uptake per plant was calculated as the product between plant part \( N \) concentration and biomass weight.

The litterbag method (Anderson and Ingram 1993; Verhoef 1995) was used to measure \( N \) mineralization from crop residues. The bags measured 0.3 m by 0.3 m and were made of plastic with 1 mm mesh. At harvest of each crop, 8 bags corresponding to the number of sampling times were filled with about 250 g of crop residues from each treatment after they had been chopped into pieces of about 50 mm long. About 250 g soils without crop residue amendments were also added in litterbags as controls. The bags were buried at a depth of 0.3 m at a distance of 0.3 m between the bags along the potato–legume rows. The bags were retrieved and sampled at 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14 and 16 weeks after residue incorporation. At each sampling time, plant materials remaining in the litter bags were oven dried at 60 °C to a constant weight. The oven-dried samples were weighed separately to determine dry matter (DM) losses using Eq. (4).

\[
\text{Weight loss} = 100 \times \left( \frac{M_0 - M_t}{M_0} \right)
\]  

(4)

where \( M_0 \) is the initial plant dry matter (DM) (g) in the litterbag; \( M_t \) is the plant DM mass (g) in the bag at time \( t \) when the bags were retrieved.

At each litter-sampling time, soil samples were taken at 0–0.3 m on the spots where the litterbags were laid and in control plots, and analyzed for mineral \( N \). The samples were put in airtight polythene bags, stored in the laboratory at 4 °C, and analyzed within 2 days of sampling. Briefly, 20 g soil samples (passed through 0.5 mm sieve) were extracted in 60 mL 1 M KCl. The mixture was shaken on an end-over-end shaker for 1 h, centrifuged and the clear supernatant...
transferred into 100 mL flasks. The supernatant was analyzed for total N using Kjeldahl method. The N content was converted to kg ha$^{-1}$ basis using soil bulk density values measured for each sampling interval. Net N mineralization was calculated as the difference between the amounts of mineral N released between the amended soil and the control soil.

The amount of N mineralized over time of sampling was calculated by the first-order model [Eq. (5)] (Jones 1984).

$$N_m = N_0(1 - e^{-kt}) + N_1$$ (5)

where $N_m$ (mg kg$^{-1}$) is the amount of N mineralized at time $t$ (d); $N_0$, the initial mineralizable N content (mg kg$^{-1}$); $k$, the first-order rate constant (d$^{-1}$) and $N_1$ (mg kg$^{-1}$), the zero-order constant (mg kg$^{-1}$), i.e., N mineralized at time 0.

A subsample of 25 g soil was dried in an oven at 105 °C for 24 h to determine the soil moisture content at the time of sampling. In addition, the initial materials added to the bags (0 week sampling time) were analyzed for organic C using the wet digestion procedure (Nelson and Sommers 1996). Lignin was assessed by the acid detergent fibre method (Goering and van Soest 1970), while the water-soluble polyphenols were quantified using procedures outlined by Folin–Denis (King and Heath 1967).

Ammonia volatilization was measured using ventillation chambers placed at inter-rows of each plot (Jantalia et al. 2012). In 2017 SR, samples were collected at 4, 11, 18, 26, 34, 50, and 64 days after planting (DAP) and at 6, 13, 23, 30, 40, 59, 70 and 82 DAP in 2017 LR. In 2018 SR, the data were collected at 8, 22, 29, 36, 44, 51, 58, 71, and 86 DAP and at 6, 13, 20, 28, 40, 52, 61, 70, and 83 DAP in 2018 LR. At each sampling date, the polyfoam strips and acid solution placed in plastic cups from each chamber were collected in 125 mL of 2 M KCl solution. Fifty (50) mL of this solution was analyzed using an automated ammonia analyzer (TL 2800, Timberline Instruments). NH$_3$ volatilization during consecutive sampling dates (mg NH$_3$–N m$^{-2}$) was determined using Eq. 6.

$$\text{NH}_3 \text{ volatilization} = \frac{\text{NH}_3-\text{N conc (mg mL}^{-1}) \times \text{total vol of solution (250 mL)}}{\text{Surface area of the soil covered by the trap (0.90 m}^{2})}$$ (6)

Cumulative NH$_3$ volatilization (kg N ha$^{-1}$) was determined by summing up the amount of NH$_3$ volatilized during each sampling period throughout the growing season using Eq. (7).

$$\text{Cumulative } \text{NH}_3 \text{ emission} = \sum_{i}^{n} \frac{X_i + X_{i+1}}{2} (X_{i+1} - t_i)$$ (7)

where $X_i$ is the NH$_3$–N flux measurement on day $t$, $X_i + 1$ is the succeeding NH$_3$–N flux measurement on day $ti + 1$, and $n$ is the final date of NH$_3$–N flux measurement.

Runoff and sediment samples were collected using soil sedimentation containers installed at the lowest edge of each experimental plot. The runoff water collected was overflowed into a second container with a weir. The water level in this container was monitored at 30 min intervals by a GY-type sensor (UIZ-ECH20, UIZIN, Tokyo, Japan) and converted into water discharge to determine water runoff. The runoff water samples (about 15 mL) were transferred into 20-mL vials followed by a drop of 0.5 M sulfuric acid and transported in ice water (4 °C) within 24 h to the laboratory and chilled at −4 °C till analyses [Eq. (8)]. The sediments were weighed after drying in an oven at 70 °C. A sample of air-dried sediment from each plot (about 100 g) was collected in 100 mL can and analyzed for N [Eq. (9)].

$$\text{N}_{\text{runoff}} (\text{kg ha}^{-1}) = \text{Runoff} \times (\text{mg L}^{-1}) \times \text{runoff depth (mm)} \times 0.253$$ (8)

$$\text{N}_{\text{eroded sediment}} (\text{kg ha}^{-1}) = \text{soil loss (kg ha}^{-1}) \times \text{sediment } N$$ (9)

Residual soil nitrogen was determined by collecting soil from the center of each plot at 0–0.3 m at tuber harvesting. The samples were transferred to the laboratory at 4 °C, stored at −18 °C, and analyzed for N within 1 week following Kjeldhal method (Nelson and Sommers 1996). Corresponding bulk density of soil was measured from an intact soil core (0.03 m inside diameter by 0.2 m long) and used to convert mg of N kg$^{-1}$ soil to kg N ha$^{-1}$. 
Data analysis

The data was analyzed using R software, version 3.5.2. The treatment effects on soil water content, soil temperature and N balance were tested using general linear model analysis. Whenever interaction of cropping system and season was found significant, data were analyzed in separate seasons. Tukey’s honest significant difference test was applied for multiple mean comparisons between treatments and tests with \( p < 0.05 \) were considered statistically significant. To derive the mineralization rate constants \((k)\), \(N\) mineralization data was fitted to the kinetic model by data processing software KyPlot (KyensLab Inc., Tokyo, Japan) using nonlinear least-square technique. An exponential function was fitted to give the relative predictive power of \(N\) mineralization as a function of root length density with an equation of the form \(y = abx\), where \(a \neq 0\).

Results

Leaf area index

Leaf area index (LAI) was significantly greater in intercropping \((0.13–3.9)\) compared to pure potato stands \((0.10–3.43)\) irrespective of the agro-ecological zones (AEZs) (Fig. 1). Generally, LAI was greater in the upper highland \((0.31–3.90)\), intermediate in the lower highland \((0.18–3.15)\) and lowest in the upper midland AEZ \((0.12–2.96)\). In the upper highland AEZ, LAI was significantly higher in pure potato than in either sole dolichos or potato–dolichos intercropping. Leaf area index peaked 1 week earlier in the upper midland AEZ relative to the upper highland and lower highland agro-ecological zones.

Runoff and sediment yield

Mean seasonal soil loss and runoff differed significantly among the treatments and were consistently highest in sole potato, intermediate in intercropping and lowest in sole legumes (Fig. 2). Compared to sole potato plots, cumulative soil loss in the upper highland AEZ reduced by 122.9 t ha\(^{-1}\) in potato–lima bean intercropping. Similarly, soil loss in the upper midland and lower highland AEZs reduced by 38.9–81.6 t ha\(^{-1}\) in potato–dolichos intercropping. Cumulative runoff showed a similar trend.

Spatiotemporal distributions of soil water content

Soil water content (SWC) showed no significant differences among the treatments and across the AEZs at vegetative stage of potato development, and

![Fig. 1 Development of leaf area index by different treatments in the upper midland (a), lower highland (b) and upper highland (c) agro-ecological zones. Vertical bars indicate standard error of means. Values are 4 replicates expressed as averages over the four seasons](image-url)
generally increased with increasing soil depth (Fig. 3a). At tuber bulking stage, SWC at soil depth 0.30 m was significantly higher in intercropping compared to pure potato stand (Fig. 3b), but greater in pure potato plots at soil depths 0.3–0.6, 0.6–0.9 and 0.9–1.2 m. In the upper highland AEZ, the SWCs was significantly greater in pure potato plots than in intercropping at soil depth 0.60 m, but showed no significant differences among the treatments at soil depths 0.9 m and 1.2 m.

Influence of potato–legume intercropping on soil temperature

Soil temperature in the 0–0.3 m depth was significantly influenced by cropping system and was highest in pure potato plots (21.7–28.1 °C) and lowest in potato–dolichos intercropping (17.9–22.5 °C) in the upper midland and lower highland AEZs (Table 1 and online resource material 9). In the upper highland AEZ, potato–lima bean intercropping exhibited the lowest soil temperatures (17.5–18.9 °C) while monocultures of potato and dolichos showed the highest soil temperatures.

Residue yield and biochemical composition

Biomass yield differed significantly among the cropping systems and was greatest in pure legume stands (range of 4.4–7.5 t DM ha\(^{-1}\)) followed by intercropping (3.4–6.6 t DM ha\(^{-1}\)) and lowest in sole potato cropping (1.8–3.9 t DM ha\(^{-1}\)) (Table 2). Residue total organic carbon content varied from 275 to 385 mg g\(^{-1}\) in pure potato to 473–557 mg g\(^{-1}\) in pure legumes. Intercropping showed intermediate range of residue OC composition, ranging between 358 and 496 mg g\(^{-1}\) across the AEZs. The highest concentration of total N was observed in pure legumes (28–33 mg g\(^{-1}\)) followed by intercropping (18–26 mg g\(^{-1}\)) and lowest in pure potato (11–14 mg g\(^{-1}\)). Lignin contents were significantly higher in pure potato (65–71 mg g\(^{-1}\)) relative to intercropping (41–50 mg g\(^{-1}\)) and lowest in pure legumes (35–51 mg g\(^{-1}\)).
Nitrogen mineralization and uptake

Net N mineralized ranged between 6.9 and 9.0 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) in sole potato, 39.3–56.0 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) in intercropping and 39.9–64.9 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) in pure legumes (Fig. 4a). Peak N release in intercropping system was 8–9 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) within 8–10 weeks of residue decomposition and matched with peak N uptake by potato (19.9–31.2 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) that occurred at this time. This is in contrast to pure potato which obtained peak net mineralization of 2.6–3.6 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) at 12–14 weeks of residue decomposition.
decomposition. Nitrogen uptake at this period was relatively low ranging between 4.3 and 25.4 kg N ha\(^{-1}\). Sole legumes exhibited peak mineralization at 6–8 weeks after residue incorporation. Nitrogen uptake by potato, though high at this period, was not at its peak. Cumulatively, intercropped potato showed significantly higher N uptake per plant compared to sole potato and was lowest in the sole legumes irrespective of AEZs (Fig. 4b). This N uptake ranged from 87.5 to 171.2 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) in intercropping and 53.1–79.5 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) in sole legumes. In the upper highland AEZ, N uptake by dolichos grown either in pure stand or in intercropping was significantly lower than that of lima bean.

Nitrogen balance

Soil N depletion occurred in pure potato stands (\(-38\) to \(-24.5\) kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) while surplus occurred in monocultures of dolichos (5.5–58.2 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) and lima bean (19.7–55.5 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)) (Table 3 and online resource material 6). Intercropping showed intermediate soil N balance (3.1–9.5 kg N ha\(^{-1}\)). The main component of soil N input was mineralization followed by residual N and N\(_2\) fixation across the cropping systems and AEZs. Leaching, erosion and runoff accounted for 0.3–36.9 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) of the N output in the upper highland and were highest in sole potato stands, intermediate in intercropping and lowest in sole legumes. Volatilization contributed 0.7–34.4 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) of N output in the upper midland AEZ and was highest in pure potato stands and lowest in sole legumes.

The amount of N leached decreased with increasing root length density (0.53 ≤ R\(^2\) ≤ 0.90) (Fig. 5). The relationship was strongest in pure potato plots (R\(^2\) = 0.90) followed by potato + lima bean (R\(^2\) = 0.65), pure dolichos (R\(^2\) = 0.63) and lowest in sole lima bean (R\(^2\) = 0.53).

| Agro-ecological zone | Cropping system | DM (t ha\(^{-1}\)) | OC (mg g\(^{-1}\)) | Total N (mg g\(^{-1}\)) | Lignin (L) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) | Polyphenol (P) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) | C:N | L:N | P:N | (L + P):N |
|----------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|----------|
| Upper midland        | Potato + dolichos | 5.3d            | 378b            | 20b              | 54bc            | 15a             | 18.9a| 2.7b| 0.8ab| 1.7b     |
|                      | Potato + lima bean | 3.4b            | 358b            | 18b              | 58bc            | 20a             | 19.9a| 3.2b| 1.1b| 2.4c     |
|                      | Sole dolichos     | 7.5e            | 518c            | 32c              | 41a             | 14a             | 16.2a| 1.2a| 0.4a| 0.5a     |
|                      | Sole lima bean    | 4.9c            | 473c            | 28c              | 51ab            | 18a             | 16.9a| 1.9a| 0.7a| 0.9a     |
|                      | Sole potato       | 1.8a            | 275a            | 11a              | 71c             | 22a             | 25.0b| 6.4c| 2.1c| 7.8d     |
| Lower highland       | Potato + dolichos | 6.6d            | 496bc           | 26b              | 48b             | 16a             | 19.1a| 1.9ab| 0.6a| 1.0b     |
|                      | Potato + lima bean | 4.8b            | 453b            | 23b              | 54c             | 19a             | 19.7a| 2.4b| 0.8a| 1.4b     |
|                      | Sole dolichos     | 8.9e            | 557d            | 33c              | 35a             | 15a             | 16.9a| 1.1a| 0.5a| 0.5a     |
|                      | Sole lima bean    | 6.3c            | 531cd           | 30c              | 47b             | 18a             | 17.7a| 1.6a| 0.6a| 0.7a     |
|                      | Sole potato       | 2.9a            | 344a            | 13a              | 67d             | 21a             | 26.5b| 5.2c| 1.6b| 5.2c     |
| Upper highland       | Potato + dolichos | 5.8b            | 442b            | 22bc             | 50b             | 16a             | 20.1a| 2.3b| 0.8a| 1.4b     |
|                      | Potato + lima bean | 6.6c            | 480c            | 25cd             | 50b             | 18a             | 19.2a| 2.0b| 0.7a| 1.1b     |
|                      | Sole dolichos     | 4.4a            | 529d            | 28d              | 40a             | 15a             | 18.9a| 1.4a| 0.6a| 0.7a     |
|                      | Sole lima bean    | 8.1d            | 551d            | 33e              | 48b             | 16a             | 16.7a| 1.5a| 0.5a| 0.6a     |
|                      | Sole potato       | 3.9a            | 385a            | 14a              | 65c             | 19a             | 27.5b| 4.7c| 1.4b| 4.3c     |

Means followed by the same letter down the column within an agro-ecological zone do not differ significantly by Tukey’s HSD at p ≤ 0.05.
Discussion

Influence of potato–legume intercropping on canopy growth and soil erosion

Legume intercropping increased leaf area index as legumes exhibited rapid growth which enhanced canopy overlap thus covering the empty spaces in the inter-rows of potato and legumes (see online resource 7). This reduced the raindrop hitting force and slowed down the velocity of runoff. While the low canopy of dolichos reduced the raindrop falling height and minimized the raindrop hitting force, the effective canopy overlap by lima bean enhanced the capability of leaves to resist bending thus augmenting stem flow. This increased the effective rain-receiving area which eliminated erosion and runoff generation. The canopy of dolichos was extended after potato harvest to the subsequent season and was thus effective in controlling post-harvest runoff and soil erosion. The canopy closure of potato was effective only after 40 to 45 days treatments without residue amendments were included during decomposition for comparisons and for computation of net N mineralization. Values are 4 replicates expressed as averages over the four seasons (see online resource 8) and diminished at physiological maturity when plants began to senesce. Jégé et al. (2008) noted that the shorter period of growth characterizing potato intensifies soil erosion especially after the plants begin to senesce.

The low sediment yield and runoff generation under potato-dolichos intercropping in the upper midland and lower highland AEZs resonates with the high LAI observed in this treatment. This observation suggests a greater soil stabilizing effect of dolichos which may be ascertained to the continuity of groundcover throughout the year compared to other treatments which were left bare soon after potato harvest. In the upper highland AEZ, growth of dolichos was suppressed by the low ambient temperatures (Cook et al. 2005). In similar studies, reduction of sediment yield, runoff generation and associated N loss under potato–dolichos intercropping has been adduced to the canopy heterogeneity contributed by differences in plant heights (Nyawade et al. 2019a, b). The first raindrops that hit the canopy is intercepted and dispersed by dolichos

Fig. 4  Accumulations of residue N mineralized (a) and N taken up by the crops (b) after 16 weeks of residue decomposition and potato planting respectively in the upper midland, lower highland and upper highland agro-ecological zones. Soil control treatments without residue amendments were included during decomposition for comparisons and for computation of net N mineralization. Values are 4 replicates expressed as averages over the four seasons
| Cropping system | Nitrogen inputs | Nitrogen outputs |
|-----------------|----------------|------------------|
|                 | Fertilizer | Mineralization | N₂ fixation | Residual N | Initial N | Crop N uptake | Leaching | Runoff | Erosion | Volatilization | ΔN |
| kg N ha⁻¹       |            |                |             |            |           |              |          |        |         |                |    |
| Upper midland   |            |                |             |            |           |              |          |        |         |                |    |
| Pure potato     | 90.0c      | 9.3a            | –           | 1.6a       | 1.0a       | 94.3b        | 6.6c     | 1.4d   | 2.2c    | 34.4a          | – 37.0a |
| Pure lima bean  | 0.0a       | 34.7b           | 2.7a        | 21.5b      | 1.0a       | 36.1a        | 2.4b     | 0.5a   | 0.3a    | 0.9d           | 19.7c |
| Pure dolichos   | 0.0a       | 39.2b           | 12.3c       | 24.5b      | 0.8a       | 40.9a        | 1.7ab    | 0.2a   | 0.1a    | 0.7d           | 33.2d |
| Potato + lima bean | 52.0b   | 48.7c           | 6.8b        | 19.8b      | 0.9a       | 92.4b        | 1.3a     | 1.3cd  | 1.2b    | 28.8b          | 3.1b  |
| Potato + dolichos | 52.0b   | 51.6c           | 21.9d       | 23.7b      | 1.1a       | 116.9c       | 1.2a     | 1.0bc  | 0.9ab   | 21.7c          | 8.6b  |
| Lower highland  |            |                |             |            |           |              |          |        |         |                |    |
| Pure potato     | 90.0c      | 25.7a           | –           | 6.9a       | 1.1a       | 106.3b       | 28.8b    | 3.8c   | 2.2c    | 20.6a          | – 38.0a |
| Pure lima bean  | 0.0a       | 62.0c           | 4.2a        | 29.1bc     | 1.1a       | 38.6a        | 6.6a     | 0.2a   | 0.3a    | 0.4c           | 50.4c |
| Pure dolichos   | 0.0a       | 73.7d           | 14.9c       | 33.2c      | 0.9a       | 58.4a        | 5.2a     | 0.2a   | 0.2a    | 0.4c           | 58.2c |
| Potato + lima bean | 45.0b   | 55.4bc          | 8.9b        | 24.3b      | 0.8a       | 115.7bc      | 4.4a     | 1.6b   | 2.0c    | 7.7b           | 3.0b  |
| Potato + dolichos | 45.0b   | 67.4cd          | 16.5c       | 28.2bc     | 0.9a       | 135.8c       | 3.9a     | 0.6ab  | 1.3b    | 5.7b           | 10.7b |
| Upper highland  |            |                |             |            |           |              |          |        |         |                |    |
| Pure potato     | 100.0c     | 26.7a           | –           | 16.5b      | 2.8a       | 116.9d       | 36.9     | 4.0b   | 3.8c    | 8.8a           | – 24.5a |
| Pure lima bean  | 0.0a       | 80.1c           | 5.2c        | 25.4c      | 2.6a       | 46.0b        | 10.9a    | 0.5a   | 0.3a    | 0.1d           | 55.5c |
| Pure dolichos   | 0.0a       | 43.3b           | 1.8a        | 8.8a       | 2.5a       | 24.8a        | 24.3     | 1.0a   | 0.3a    | 0.5d           | 4.5b  |
| Potato + lima bean | 50.0b   | 82.1c           | 7.9d        | 18.7b      | 2.1a       | 134.1e       | 11.7a    | 1.6a   | 1.7b    | 2.2c           | 9.5b  |
| Potato + dolichos | 50.0b   | 45.1b           | 3.3b        | 9.9a       | 2.5a       | 71.0c        | 20.9b    | 4.0b   | 2.1b    | 5.5b           | 7.3b  |

ΔN indicates the soil nitrogen balance. Values followed by different letters within a column denote significant differences at Tukey’s p ≤ 0.05. Values are 4 replicates expressed as averages over the four seasons.
which exhibits greater height relative to potato. Pure potato stands due to their low uniform canopy height, converge the smaller raindrops into bigger drops renewing their erosion potential.

Changes in soil temperature and soil water contents

Generally, the variations in soil water contents (SWCs) at 0–0.3 m soil depth between the sites reflected variability in rainfall distribution. Intercropping exhibited high groundcover which conferred shade to the soil thus lowering soil evaporation and increasing the SWC. In related studies, vegetation cover has been shown to act as a thermal insulator allowing the soil to become neither too hot during the day nor too cold during the night (Jimenez et al. 2007; Onwuka and Mang 2018). Soils covered with heavy canopy are characterized by high soil water infiltration and thus exhibit stable soil moisture contents (Nyawade et al. 2018a; Gitari et al. 2018b). Low soil moisture contents coupled with high ambient temperatures in the upper midland AEZ accelerated leaf senescence thus reducing the impact of crop cover on soil temperature moderation. In the upper highland AEZ where SWC was high and soil temperatures were low, plants developed root systems mainly in the upper soil layer (0–0.3 m). In the upper midland and lower highland AEZs, the SWC at 0.6–0.9 m depth was highly depleted by the legume intercrops which developed deep root systems thus enhancing root water uptake.

Residue N mineralization and N uptake

The N mineralization process was influenced by residue biochemical composition, the effect of which was greatest under sole legumes indicating that legumes enhanced supply of N to the soil. This observation was indicated by the ratios of residue C-to-N, lignin-to-N, polyphenol-to-N and lignin + polyphenol-to-N which were low in intercropping relative to pure potato stands. This observation agrees with previous findings which showed that legumes provide high amounts of N-rich residues thus enhancing N mineralization and supply (Palm and Sanchez 1991; Tian et al. 1992; Handayamoto et al. 1994).

Generally, N mineralization was lowest within the first 2 weeks of residue decomposition under sole potato residue but increased sharply at the 6th week. During this period (6th week), the potato tissues had less than 10 g kg\(^{-1}\) N with C-to-N ratio of approximately 30, indicating that this concentration and ratio are reasonable critical levels for initial net mineralization of potato residue. With legume intercropping, immobilization was observed within the first 2 weeks of residue incorporation probably due to the low build-up of microbial population which are involved in residue degradation (Ruijter et al. 2010).
The increase in residue N mineralization after the 4th week of residue decomposition irrespective of treatments could be due to release of soluble and readily decomposable N-containing biochemical compounds like amino sugars, nucleic acids and proteins (Paul and Clark 1989). The peak N release at 6–10 weeks across the treatments after residue incorporation was consistent with the residue mass loss and signified intense organic matter degradation at these times. At later stages of decomposition, little extra N was released, an observation we attribute to the decrease in residue mass which was the source of microbial substrates.

The differences in N mineralization patterns between the sites was attributed to the seasonal variations in soil temperature and soil moisture contents. Moisture and temperature control decomposer activity and thus influence plant residue decomposition (Tian et al. 1993; Mitchell et al. 2000). The lower temperatures in the upper highland AEZ could have lowered the respiration rates thus delaying net mineralization (Tian et al. 1997). The low mineral N during the wet seasons in this study could therefore be attributed to the generally low soil temperatures which lowered the decomposition rate of crop residues.

The occurrence of peak residue N mineralization and N uptake in intercropping indicated synchrony of N supply and demand. At week 4 after potato planting, pure legumes showed higher cumulative N uptake due to their ability to grow fast and take up the N mineralized. A comparatively slow mineralization from sole potato residues resulted in low initial N supply as greater amount of N was immobilized in potato residue at this time. The increase in N uptake by intercropped potato could have also been due to root facilitative interactions such as rhizodeposition and N-transfer (Jensen 1996). Whitbread et al. (2011) showed the ability of dolichos to capture N from the subsoil and pump it to the surface soil strata thus making it available for the shallow rooted crops.

Soil N balance and its components

A significantly higher N balance was recorded in intercropping relative to sole potato reflecting the effect of legumes on N dynamics. Intercropping increased groundcover across the seasons and agroecological zones which greatly conferred shading to the soil. This lowered the soil temperature and reduced ammonia volatilization while minimizing N losses to soil erosion and runoff. Nitrogen being highly mobile in its nitrate form was quickly leached below the potato-root zone (see online resource 5) whereas the legume intercrops developed deep root systems that enhanced the recovery of N leached below the potato root-zone.

The greater N fixation by intercropped legumes (see online resource 3) was perhaps in response to increased soil N competition by potato grown in association. This, according to previous studies permits legumes’ greater reliance on symbiotic N₂ fixation (Inal et al. 2007). Alonso-Ayuso et al. (2014) found that high competition with barley for soil N forced vetch to rely on N₂ fixation for its N requirement, and in return accumulated large amount of N compared to sole vetch. Therefore, in conditions that enabled the growth of both companion species, the increased uptake of N by potato caused a temporary decrease in soil N thereby increasing the proportion of N₂ fixed by the legume. Hauggaard-Nielsen et al. (2009) argued that the high N₂ fixation potential of legumes grown in intercropping is due to the high degree of complementarities arising from species interaction that enables natural regulation mechanisms between the intercrop components. Such mechanisms include improved N capture in mixed crops as a result of complementarity in foraging strategies in space (soil profile) and time (growth period of the crop) (Lithourgidis et al. 2011). Snoeck et al. (2000) showed that up to 30% of N₂ fixed by legumes was transferred to the associated non-legume under field conditions. They attributed this to the interactions of roots and secretion of root exudates. Similarly, the legumes in this study had deeper rooting depth which enabled them to explore greater soil volume and diverge the N leached beyond the active root zone.

The generally higher N₂ fixation by dolichos over lima bean could be ascribed to the genetic differences among crop cultivars as interactions between legume microsymbionts are highly specific (Qiang et al. 2003). In addition, the continued accumulation of biomass by dolichos days after lima bean senesced may explain the differences in relative contribution of fixed N₂ between the two legumes. Cook et al. (2005) noted that dolichos is able to take up N from up to 1.5–2.4 m depth after a relative short growth period. Studies conducted in central Kenya indicated that the
N contribution of dolichos is in the range of 8–25 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) while that of lima bean is in the range of 1.6–7 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) (Chemining’wa et al. 2007a, b).

The greater N uptake by dolichos than lima bean in the lower highland and upper midland agro-ecological zones (see online resource 4) may be related to the enhanced N\(_2\)-fixation. This mechanism directly affects N uptake and metabolism (Wyeh and Rains 1978). The converse was observed in the upper highland AEZ due to the low temperatures that dolichos could not tolerate. Chemining’wa et al. (2007a, b) recorded higher nodule numbers per plant for dolichos than for lima bean under elevated temperature conditions. This was attributed to dolichos’ high adaptation to water stress. The notably lower crop nitrogen uptake in the upper midland AEZ relates to the low soil moisture and high ambient temperatures.

Nitrogen volatilization was lowest in sole legumes because these treatments were not fertilized with N, and thus lacked the N emission triggered by N fertilization. The differences in cumulative ammonia volatilization between the study sites were attributed to the differences in temperature and rainfall. The lower temperatures coupled with the higher rainfall amounts in the upper highland AEZ may have decreased ammonia volatilization (Whitehead et al. 1988) while the high ambient temperatures and low soil moisture in the upper midland AEZ favored net volatilization.

**Conclusion**

These results give an insight on the potential role of potato–legume intercropping in enhancing N inputs into the soil while minimizing the output pathways, thus offering a mechanism for optimizing soil nitrogen content in smallholder farming systems. Reduction of nitrogen loss in this manner could be an effective measure to improve soil productivity and increase the efficiency of nutrient use while dampening nitrogen losses to water bodies. Even though the observed biological N\(_2\)-fixation contribution by the legumes in this study seemed insufficient to cover the total potato N need, this amount of nutrient remains important to the resource-constrained farmers. These contributions will better be understood if linkages between the litter fauna and residue biochemical composition is assessed and established under different temperature and moisture regimes.
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