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Abstract: To research the effect of temperature on mechanical properties and binding energy of JOB-9003 explosive, the crystal model of JOB-9003 explosive was established by Materials Studio (MS). Molecular dynamics simulation was applied to investigate the mechanical properties and binding energy of explosive by COMPASS (condensed-phase optimized molecular potentials for atomistic simulation studies) force field at different temperatures (195 K, 245 K, 295 K, 345 K, 395 K, 445 K). The results show that with the increasing of temperature, the mechanical properties (tensile modulus, shear modulus, bulk modulus and Cauchy pressure) of JOB-9003 explosive decrease gradually, which indicates that the rigidity and hardness of the explosive becomes worse, while the toughness and plastic property becomes better and it agrees with theoretical analysis result, thus illustrating that MD simulation can be used to predict the mechanical properties of JOB-9003 explosive. The results of binding energy show that the binding energy decreases with the increasing of temperature, thus indicating that the stability of explosive becomes worse. In other words, the increasing of temperature has a negative effect on mechanical properties and binding energy of JOB-9003 explosive. This paper could provide some theoretical references and technological support for the comprehensive assessment of mechanical properties and stability of explosives.
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1. Introduction

As well known, JOB-9003 explosive mainly consists of octahydro-1, 3, 5, 7-tetranitro-1, 3, 5, 7- tetrazocine (HMX) and it has notable merits such as high energy density, excellent detonation performance, improved mechanical properties and so on. Therefore, JOB-9003 explosive is one of the most important polymer-bonded explosives (PBXs) and it has been widely used in both military and industry areas for a long time.

During the production, storage and transportation process, the external temperature may be changed and it would influence the mechanical properties and stability of JOB-9003 explosive. Influenced by this, the comprehensive performance and safety of the weapons will be affected. Therefore, it is of great significance and importance to research the effect of temperature on mechanical properties and binding energy of explosive.

To calculate the mechanical properties and binding energy of JOB-9003 explosive, the mainly used software is Materials Studio (MS) [1] and molecular dynamics (MD) simulation is the commonly used method. At present, MD method has played an important role in investigating the high energy density materials and it blazes a new way for the development of new energetic materials. Xiufang Ma et al [2] researched the structure and properties of HMX based four components composite material by molecular dynamics method. Fang Chen et al [3] investigated the effects of polymer concentration and temperature on mechanical properties and binding energies of ε-CL-20-based polymer bonded explosives (PBXs). Dongxu Wang et al [4] investigated the mechanical behavior of 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12-hexaminitro-2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12-hexaaazaisowurtzitane (ε-HNIW)/F2311 inter-face structure. Dongmei Liu et al [5] explored and compared the progressive changes of structure, energetic and mechanical
properties of cyclotrimethylene trinitramine (RDX) and cyclotetramethylene tetranitramine (HMX) crystals at different temperatures by molecular dynamics simulation. What’s more, a lot of researchers have used MS to conduct investigations on molecular structures, mechanical properties, sensitivity, stability, binding energy and detonation performance of energetic materials and high energy density explosives and a great deal of achievements have been got [6-14].

In this article, crystal model of JOB-9003 explosive was established in MS and MD method was applied to calculate the mechanical properties and binding energy of explosive at different temperatures. The main aim of the research was to investigate the effect of temperature on mechanical properties and binding energy of explosive. The results could provide some references for the comprehensive performance assessment of explosives.

2. Calculation Model and Method

2.1. Single Molecular Model

JOB-9003 explosive consists of octahydro-1, 3, 5, 7-tetranitro-1, 3, 5, 7-tetrazocene (HMX), 1, 3, 5-triamino-2, 4, 6-trinitrobenzene (TATB), fluorine rubber (F_{2311}) and paraffin wax (PW). F_{2311} is copolymerized from vinylidenedifluoride and chlorotrifluoroethylene with the molar ratio of 1:1 and F or H atoms are used to saturate the end groups according to their types. The mass ratio of HMX, TATB, F_{2311} and PW in JOB-9003 explosive is 87%, 7%, 4.2% and 1.8%, respectively.

The molecular models of HMX, TATB, F_{2311} and PW are shown in Figure 1 to Figure 4, respectively. The crystal polymorph of HMX is chosen as \( \beta \)-HMX, because among the four known polymorphic forms, \( \beta \)-HMX is the most stable phase at room temperature [15-16]. The molecular formula of F_{2311} and PW is determined based on the mass ratio in JOB-9003 explosive. By calculation, we can confirm that the molecular formula of F_{2311} is C_{20}H_{10}F_{26}Cl_{6} and it is C_{29}H_{60} of PW.

2.2. Crystal Model of JOB-9003 Explosive

Primary simulation cells containing 72 molecules were established in MS for \( \beta \)-HMX, corresponding to 36 (4 \times 3 \times 3) unit cells. Then, the crystal model was put in a box with size \( a=2.822 \text{ nm}, b=3.816 \text{ nm}, c=3.110 \text{ nm}, \alpha=90^\circ, \beta=124.3^\circ, \gamma=90^\circ \) [2, 17]. Next, 6 HMX molecules in Z axis direction was replaced with TATB molecules. After that, the F_{2311} and PW which have been optimized were put into the other two crystal surfaces and the initial crystal model of JOB-9003 explosive was got. A total of 2143 atoms were included in JOB-9003 explosive.

After the crystal has been established, MD simulations will be performed in Discover and Forcite modules to optimize the energy and structure of the primary crystal model. Through optimization, the crystal parameters of JOB-9003 explosive is \( a=2.624 \text{ nm}, b=3.414 \text{ nm}, c=2.719 \text{ nm}, \alpha=90^\circ, \beta=124.3^\circ, \gamma=90^\circ \) and the density of explosive is 1.853 g/cm\(^3\). The crystal model of JOB-9003 explosive was shown in Figure 5.

To investigate the differences among the properties of various crystalline surfaces, the crystal model was cleaved along three crystalline surfaces (1 0 0), (0 1 0), and (0 0 1), respectively to keep its integrality. Then, MD simulations will be conducted at different temperatures and we can get the mechanical properties and binding energy of JOB-9003 explosive in different conditions.
2.3. Calculation Conditions

This paper mainly researches the mechanical properties and binding energy of JOB-9003 explosive at different temperatures and investigates the effect of temperature on mechanical properties and binding energy of explosive. The temperature is set as 195 K, 245 K, 295 K, 345 K, 395 K and 445 K, respectively. MD simulations are carried out in the NVT (constant number of particles, volume, and temperature) ensemble with the COMPASS (condensed-phase optimized molecular potentials for atomistic simulation studies) force field [18-20]. The step size is 1 fs and the total simulation time is $2 \times 10^5$ fs. The systems are first integrated for $1 \times 10^5$ time steps in all equilibration runs, which are necessary to attain the mechanical and thermal equilibrium, and then followed by the production runs of $1 \times 10^5$ time steps, during which data are collected for subsequent analysis. One frame is saved per 1000 steps, and totally 100 frames are saved to make analysis of static mechanical properties and binding energy.

3. Results Analysis

3.1. Equilibrium of System

To analyze the static mechanical properties of JOB-9003 explosive, the system must reach the equilibrium state. The equilibrium of system is ascertained by the equilibrium in temperature and energy simultaneously; that is to say, when the temperature and energy fluctuate in the range of ±5~10%, the system is believed to have reached the equilibrium state. Take the (1 0 0) crystal surface as an example, when the temperature is 295 K, the temperature and energy curve versus time is shown in Figure. 6 and Figure. 7, respectively. What can be seen from Figure. 6 and Figure. 7 is that the temperature and energy increases at the beginning and then both of the temperature and energy fluctuate at a certain range and the system equilibrates in less than $5 \times 10^5$ fs. At last, the temperature fluctuates within ±15 K and the energy fluctuates within ±5~10%, which indicates that the system has reached the equilibrium state. For other conditions, the equilibrium state of the system is based on these two principles.

3.2. Mechanical Properties

The relation between stress ($\sigma$) and strain ($\varepsilon$) of a material follows the generalized Hooke’s law [21-22] as follows:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_1 \\
\sigma_2 \\
\sigma_3 \\
\sigma_4 \\
\sigma_5 \\
\sigma_6 \\
\end{bmatrix} = 
\begin{bmatrix}
C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & C_{14} & C_{15} & C_{16} \\
C_{21} & C_{22} & C_{23} & C_{24} & C_{25} & C_{26} \\
C_{31} & C_{32} & C_{33} & C_{34} & C_{35} & C_{36} \\
C_{41} & C_{42} & C_{43} & C_{44} & C_{45} & C_{46} \\
C_{51} & C_{52} & C_{53} & C_{54} & C_{55} & C_{56} \\
C_{61} & C_{62} & C_{63} & C_{64} & C_{65} & C_{66} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_1 \\
\varepsilon_2 \\
\varepsilon_3 \\
\varepsilon_4 \\
\varepsilon_5 \\
\varepsilon_6 \\
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(1)

Where, $C_{ij}$ (i, j=1, 2, ..., 6) is the matrix of elastic coefficients. The matrix is symmetric due to the existence of strain energy, therefore, 21 coefficients are required to describe the relationship between stress and strain for materials.

The mechanical properties for a material mainly include tensile modulus ($E$), bulk modulus ($K$), shear modulus ($G$), Poisson’s ratio ($\nu$) and Cauchy pressure ($C_{12} - C_{44}$). Tensile modulus, bulk modulus, and shear modulus can be used to assess the hardness, rigidity or stiffness, tensile strength, fracture strength, and elongation in tension of a material. Besides, mechanical properties can also be used to balance the ability for a material to resist elastic deformation. Meanwhile, the $K$ value can also represent the rupture strength, that is, the greater the value of $K$ is, the more energy will be required for a material to rupture. Cauchy pressure ($C_{12} - C_{44}$) can be used as a criterion to evaluate the brittleness of a material. As a rule, the value of $(C_{12} - C_{44})$ for a ductile material is positive; on the contrary, it is negative for a brittle material. Besides, the more positive the $(C_{12} - C_{44})$ value is, the more ductile the material is. All the mechanical properties can be obtained from the Lamé coefficients ($\lambda$ and $\mu$) according to the following formulas:

$$
E = \frac{\mu(3\lambda + 2\mu)}{\lambda + \mu}
$$

(2)

$$
\nu = \frac{\lambda}{2(\lambda + \mu)}
$$

(3)
\[ G = \mu \]  
\[ K = \lambda + \frac{2}{3} \mu \]  

3.2.1. Mechanical Properties of JOB-9003 Explosive at Different Temperatures

When the system reaches the equilibrium state, calculations will be conducted under the Forcite modules in MS and we can get the mechanical properties of explosive. For example, the elastic coefficients and mechanical properties (tensile modulus, Poisson’s ratio, bulk modulus, shear modulus and Cauchy pressure) of JOB-9003 explosive at different temperatures are presented in Table 1.

| T (K) | 195  | 245  | 295  | 345  | 395  | 445  |
|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|       |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| C_{11} | 17.198 | 13.340 | 15.216 | 15.067 | 16.118 | 16.617 |
| C_{12} | 15.088 | 16.266 | 16.600 | 17.358 | 14.222 | 18.958 |
| C_{13} | 15.690 | 15.321 | 13.023 | 15.451 | 12.125 | 11.267 |
| C_{44} | 2.672 | 2.771 | 2.868 | 3.162 | 3.221 | 3.047 |
| C_{66} | 3.829 | 3.839 | 4.267 | 3.458 | 1.487 | 3.985 |
| C_{16} | 3.759 | 4.256 | 3.534 | 3.982 | 4.118 | 2.132 |
| C_{12} | 10.084 | 10.044 | 9.823 | 9.480 | 9.357 | 8.864 |
| C_{13} | 10.230 | 9.702 | 10.233 | 10.268 | 10.522 | 9.939 |
| C_{22} | 9.587 | 9.496 | 8.968 | 9.173 | 8.614 | 9.711 |
| C_{13} | -0.112 | -0.726 | -0.417 | -1.039 | -0.113 | -1.325 |
| C_{33} | -0.317 | 0.158 | -0.248 | -0.454 | 0.326 | -0.259 |
| C_{44} | 0.371 | 0.612 | -0.082 | -0.179 | -0.807 | 0.161 |
| C_{66} | 0.095 | -0.021 | 0.681 | 0.032 | 0.455 | -0.067 |
| Tensile Modulus (E) | 9.468 | 9.099 | 8.288 | 8.184 | 7.653 | 5.125 |
| Poisson’s Ratio (\(\gamma\)) | 0.368 | 0.379 | 0.380 | 0.370 | 0.382 | 0.382 |
| Bulk Modulus (K) | 11.917 | 11.689 | 11.503 | 11.396 | 10.825 | 7.208 |
| Shear Modulus (G) | 3.462 | 3.320 | 3.003 | 2.965 | 2.768 | 1.855 |
| Cauchy Pressure (C_{12}−C_{13}) | 7.412 | 7.273 | 6.955 | 6.318 | 6.036 | 5.817 |

Table 1. Elastic coefficients and mechanical properties of JOB-9003 explosive at different temperatures\(^a\).

What can be clearly seen from Table 1 is that the temperature has a direct influence on the elastic coefficients and mechanical properties of JOB-9003 explosive. With the increasing of temperature, the mechanical properties decrease gradually and it is more obvious for the tensile modulus and shear modulus. When the temperature is 195 K, the tensile modulus is 9.468 GPa, the shear modulus is 3.462 GPa; when the temperature is 445 K, the tensile modulus is 5.125 GPa and the shear modulus is 1.855 GPa. The decreasing extent of tensile modulus and shear modulus is 45.87%, 46.42%, respectively. While the decreasing of bulk modulus is 39.51% and it is 21.52% of Cauchy pressure. The decreasing of mechanical properties implies that the rigidity and hardness of the explosive is decreased, i.e., the ability to resist elastic deformation is decreased, while the decreasing of Cauchy pressure indicates that the plastic property is weakened. Based on the MD simulation results, we can conclude that the mechanical properties of explosive is decreased influenced by the temperature, which indicates that the mechanical properties become worse.

3.2.2. Mechanical Properties of Different Crystal Surfaces

The mechanical properties of three crystal surfaces at different temperatures are shown in Table 2.

From Table 2, we can conclude that the mechanical properties of three crystal surfaces decreases obviously with the increasing of temperature, which implies that the mechanical properties would be affected by temperature. The result is consistent with the conclusion drawn above. These variations also illustrates that when subjected to external force, the explosive will deform more easily owing to the decreasing of shear modulus and bulk modulus. Therefore, the temperature has a negative influence on mechanical properties and the effect of temperature on mechanical properties should be taken into consideration in practice. What’s more, to make the explosive keep its favorable mechanical properties, the explosive should be manufactured, stored and transported at a relatively low temperature.

| T (K) | 195  | 245  | 295  | 345  | 395  | 445  |
|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|       |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| (1 0 0) Tensile Modulus (E) | 9.741 | 9.349 | 8.393 | 7.554 | 6.921 | 5.386 |
| Poisson’s Ratio (\(\gamma\)) | 0.361 | 0.357 | 0.362 | 0.366 | 0.370 | 0.365 |
| Bulk Modulus (K) | 11.674 | 10.868 | 10.136 | 9.395 | 8.873 | 6.649 |
| Shear Modulus (G) | 3.579 | 3.446 | 3.081 | 2.765 | 2.526 | 1.973 |
| Cauchy Pressure (C_{12}−C_{13}) | 5.891 | 5.723 | 5.188 | 5.063 | 4.717 | 4.566 |
| (0 1 0) Tensile Modulus (E) | 9.566 | 9.175 | 8.475 | 7.321 | 6.876 | 5.882 |
| Poisson’s Ratio (\(\gamma\)) | 0.365 | 0.367 | 0.370 | 0.372 | 0.368 | 0.366 |
| Bulk Modulus (K) | 11.810 | 11.499 | 10.866 | 9.532 | 8.681 | 7.317 |
| Shear Modulus (G) | 3.504 | 3.356 | 3.093 | 2.668 | 2.513 | 2.153 |

Table 2. Mechanical properties of different crystal surfaces\(^b\).

\(^a\) Units for all the elastic coefficients and mechanical properties is GPa except for Poisson’s Ratio.

\(^b\) The mechanical properties of three crystal surfaces at different temperatures are shown in Table 2.
3.3. Binding Energy

Binding energy ($E_{\text{bind}}$) between explosive and a polymer is an important criterion for evaluating their miscibility and compatibility. The miscibility of polymer blends plays a crucial role on the mechanical properties of PBXs. Besides, binding energy can well reflect the capacity of the two components blended with each other. Binding energy is defined as the negative value of the intermolecular interaction energy, namely, $E_{\text{bind}} = -E_{\text{inter}}$. The intermolecular interaction energy can be evaluated by the total energies of the composite and each component in the equilibrium state. Previous studies [23-25] have shown that binding energy can be used to assess the stability and compatibility of PBXs and the better the compatibility between explosive and polymer is.

Binding energy between polymer and explosive can be figured out as follows:

$$E_{\text{bind}} = -E_{\text{inter}} = -\left[E_{\text{total}} - (E_{\text{explosive}} + E_{\text{poly}})\right] \quad (6)$$

Where, $E_{\text{total}}$ is the total energy of PBXs, $E_{\text{inter}}$ is the intermolecular interaction energy, $E_{\text{explosive}}$ and $E_{\text{poly}}$ are the total energies of explosive (including HMX, TATB and PW) and fluorine polymer (F2311), respectively.

Based on the MD simulation results, we can get the binding energy between F2311 and JOB-9003 explosive at different temperatures, the results are shown in Figure. 8.

From Figure. 8, we can conclude that with the increasing of temperature, the binding energy of the three crystal surfaces decreases monotonously, which implies that the compatibility between F2311 and explosive becomes worse and the stability of explosive decreases. Besides, on the whole, it is obvious that the binding energy between F2311 and different crystallographic surfaces changes in the order of (0 0 1) > (1 0 0) > (0 1 0), thus illustrating that the intermolecular interaction energy between F2311 and (0 0 1) crystal surface is stronger and the stability of (0 0 1) crystal surface is better.

### 4. Conclusions

In this article, we mainly researched the mechanical properties and binding energy of JOB-9003 explosive at different temperatures and investigated the effect of temperature on mechanical properties and stabilities of explosive by molecular dynamics simulation method. The results show that:

1. With the increasing of temperature, the mechanical properties (tensile modulus, shear modulus, bulk modulus and Cauchy pressure) decrease monotonously, which implies that the toughness, hardness and plastic property of JOB-9003 explosive becomes worse.

2. The binding energy between F2311 and JOB-9003 decreases with the increasing of temperature, which indicates that the compatibility and stability of explosive is weakened. Besides, the binding energy changes in the order of (0 0 1) > (1 0 0) > (0 1 0), thus illustrating that the intermolecular interaction energy between F2311 and (0 0 1) crystal surface is stronger, therefore, the stability of JOB-9003 explosive with F2311 in the (0 0 1) crystal surface is better.

In other words, with the increasing of temperature, both of the mechanical properties and binding energy of explosive decrease, which further implies that the temperature has a negative effect on mechanical properties and thermal stability of explosive. Consequently, the influence of temperature on mechanical properties and stability should be taken into consideration in practice. Besides, the explosive should be manufactured, stored and transported at a relatively low temperature to keep its favorable mechanical properties and stability.
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