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ABSTRACT... Introduction: Diabetes Mellitus is a metabolic disorder which occurs due to the deficiency of insulin and results in increased blood glucose levels. Diabetic Retinopathy is a major complication of diabetes which can lead to blindness. Objectives: To assess the knowledge, attitude and practices regarding Diabetic Retinopathy in diabetic patients admitted in tertiary care centers of Peshawar. Study Design: Cross sectional study. Setting: Medical and Surgical wards of Rehman Medical Institute and Hayatabad Medical Complex, Peshawar. Period: December 2016 to April 2017. Materials and Methods: 351 patients admitted in medical and surgical wards of Rehman Medical Institute and Hayatabad Medical Complex, Peshawar. Diabetics were selected by convenience sampling technique and data were collected through interview based questionnaire. Result: The study included 351 patients, 65.2% were males and 34.8 % females. Average age was 52 years with 76 % of the respondents having positive family history and 66 % having diabetes from the last 10 years. 87.2% agreed that diabetes can cause diabetic retinopathy. The mean knowledge score was 13.48. Knowledge was significantly associated with education levels and male gender with P < 0.001 and 0.02 respectively. The correlation analysis between knowledge and attitude showed weak negative but significant relationship with P < 0.002. 80.9% agreed that diabetic retinopathy can be prevented if diabetes is controlled early on, with only 30 % getting their check up on annual basis and 26.5 % on bi annual basis. Conclusion: Mass awareness campaigns using population and high risk strategies as a primary prevention is need of the hour. Illiterates and women are more susceptible to the complication of diabetes in particular diabetic retinopathy. Involvement of general physicians and ophthalmologists for susceptible patient screening is recommended in the light of this study.
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INTRODUCTION
Diabetes Mellitus is a chronic metabolic disorder in which there is a decrease production of insulin by the pancreas or decreased effectiveness of the insulin produced. This results in increased blood glucose levels. The increased blood glucose levels hinder with the normal functioning of tissue organs of the body causing both micro and macro vascular complication. Small vessels that supply organs are affected more and hence, contribute to the various micro vascular complications (retinopathy, neuropathy and nephropathy) caused by diabetes.

During the past decade, there has been a drastic rise in prevalence of diabetes globally. The total number of diabetics worldwide is expected to rise from 171 million in 2010 to 366 million in 2030.2 According to International Diabetes Federation (IDF), the total number of diabetics in Pakistan is expected to rise from 6.6 million to 14.5 million by year 2025.2 If preventive and policy measures are not taken timely; it is predicted that Pakistan will have fourth largest diabetic population by 2025.

A major complication of Diabetes is Diabetic Retinopathy (DR). It is the leading cause of
blindness among working age population around the world. The disease primarily effects the blood vessels of retina. In 2010, among 285 million cases of diabetes, over 1/3rd reported signs of diabetic retinopathy. Older age, male, gender, family history, hypertension, hyperglycemia and smoking are significantly linked with the incidence of retinopathy in type 2 diabetic patients. If timely and effective management is provided, present treatment options can prevent as much as 98% of visual complications because of diabetic retinopathy.

To create awareness among the community, it is important to know the extent of their knowledge, attitudes and practices about diabetes and diabetic retinopathy.

In a KAP study in Saudi Arabia, 75.6% patients were aware that diabetes can cause eye disorders, and about 95% of all the participants went for regular ocular examinations.

In a study in U.S 55.3% adults of age 40 and above with diabetic macular edema reported that they had not been informed by a doctor that they had retinopathy. 46.7% reported they had not been to a diabetes nurse educator, dietician or nutritionist for their diabetes and 39.3% did not receive an eye exam in the last year.

In a South Indian Study, good knowledge was observed in 40.7% diabetics, and 57.6% had good practice patterns but only 9.6% had screening for retinopathy. The study showed that education was associated with higher KAP scores about diabetes and diabetic retinopathy. In another study in South Africa the majority of the respondents had poor practice of annual eye checkups and 24% believed that diabetes can be cured.

In a study done in Karachi, 48.12% were totally unaware about diabetes. Among the remaining approximately half of the respondents; 30% failed to show any knowledge of various aspects of diabetes. 70% had some knowledge about clinical and scientific aspects of the disease.

RATIONAL OF STUDY
There is a prominent rise in the occurrence of diabetes in Pakistan which is resulting in severe complications such as diabetic retinopathy. The purpose of this study is to know about the awareness and practice patterns of diabetic patients about diabetic retinopathy. This could guide the implementation of strategies to reduce the prevalence and severity of ocular complications.

OBJECTIVES
The objectives of this study are to assess the knowledge, attitude and practices of diabetics regarding Diabetic Retinopathy in patients admitted in tertiary care public and private hospitals of Peshawar.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The comparative cross sectional study was conducted on diabetic patients in medical and surgical wards of Rehman Medical Institute (RMI) and Hayatabad Medical Complex (HMC) from December 2016 to April 2017. Public and private health facilities were selected in order to minimize the bias due to socio-economic status. The calculated sample size was 351, and data were collected by employing convenience sampling technique. Hospitalized adults with type 2 diabetes were included in the study. Patients less than 18 years of age, patients with type 1, gestational or other types of diabetes, and those with eye problems were excluded from the study.

A structured closed ended questionnaire to assess the knowledge, attitude and practice of diabetic patients about diabetic retinopathy was used. A pilot study was conducted to test the validity of questionnaire. The questionnaire was approved by ophthalmologist and was translated into standard Pashto for patient's convenience. Informed consent was obtained from the patients. Patients' demographic and disease information data like age, gender, education level, time since diagnoses of diabetes, family history and source of diabetes information were incorporated in the questionnaire. Patients’ response categories were in two formats; Binary (Yes - No) and three format (Agree, Uncertain and Disagree) items. For
binary responses, each correct answer was given a score of “one” and wrong answer was scored as “zero”. For other questions, agree had a score of 2, uncertain had a score of 1 and disagree was denoted with zero. 14 questions were aimed at assessing knowledge of the patients with 3 and 4 questions each to assess their attitude and practice.

Data were entered and analyzed using SPSS v.23. Descriptive statistics were used to study the characteristics of the study population. Categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages and their analysis were done using Chi-square test. Continuous variables were described as means and standard deviation and analysis was done using independent t-test and one way ANOVA. A P-value of < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS
For data collection, 351 questionnaires were filled from diabetic patients admitted in medical and surgical wards of RMI (44.2 %) and HMC (55.8 %), Peshawar. Out 351 respondents, 229 (65.2%) were males and 122 (34.8 %) were females. 86 (24.5 %) patients were in age group of 20-40 years, 178 (50.7 %) in 41-60 years, and 87 (24.8 %) patients were in the age group of 60 years and above. 66 % of the sample were diabetics from the last 10 years, 22.7 % were having 11 to 20 years of duration of diabetes followed by 11.1 % of the sample with more than 20 years of duration of diabetes.

Regarding education level, 43.6 % individuals were uneducated, 18.2 % graduates and 14.5 % were post-graduates. Table-I summarizes the results.

Table-II is showing frequencies of responses regarding knowledge of various risk factors for diabetic retinopathy. The frequency results show that the study sample had good knowledge about the risk factors for diabetic retinopathy. However, an independent t-test between knowledge and individuals’ attitude regarding the same shows that uncontrolled sugar level, time duration of diabetes and elevated cholesterol levels did not statistically differ among the response groups with respect to their attitude. The remaining variables were significantly associated with attitude score. Table-II summarizes the association results.

| Variables                  | N   | Percentage (%) |
|----------------------------|-----|----------------|
| Health Facility            |     |                |
| Public – HMC               | 196 | 55.8           |
| Private – RMI              | 155 | 44.2           |
| Gender                     |     |                |
| Male                       | 229 | 65.2           |
| Female                     | 122 | 34.8           |
| Age in Categories          |     |                |
| 20-40 years                | 86  | 24.5           |
| 41-60 years                | 178 | 50.7           |
| 60 years and above         | 87  | 24.8           |
| Family History             |     |                |
| Yes                        | 267 | 76.1           |
| No                         | 84  | 23.9           |
| Time Since Diagnosis of Diabetes |   |                |
| 1 – 10 years               | 233 | 66             |
| 11 - 20 years              | 80  | 22.9           |
| 21 - 30 years              | 33  | 9.6            |
| 31 – 40 years              | 5   | 1.5            |
| Education Level            |     |                |
| Uneducated                 | 153 | 43.6           |
| Primary                    | 6   | 1.7            |
| Secondary                  | 13  | 3.7            |
| Matric                     | 40  | 11.4           |
| Intermediate               | 24  | 6.8            |
| Graduate                   | 64  | 18.3           |
| Post – graduate            | 51  | 14.5           |

Table-I. Characteristics of the study sample
Similarly Table-III summarizes association of knowledge with individuals’ attitude about treatment options available for diabetic retinopathy. The frequencies for various response categories are mentioned in the table. Overall, the patients had good knowledge regarding the general preventive measures, as compared to laser surgical and intra ocular injection treatments for diabetic retinopathy. The treatment options were statistically non-significant with respect to patient’s attitude.

**Association of Attitude with Knowledge regarding Treatment Options For Diabetic Retinopathy**

| Treatment Options                                    | Agree | Uncertain | Disagree | P-Value |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|----------|---------|
| No treatment available                               | 58    | (16.5%)   | 151      | (43%)   | 142      | (40.5%)   | P < 0.001 |
| Modification in Diets and Life Style                 | 257   | (73.2%)   | 85       | (24.2%) | 9        | (2.6%)    | 0.004     |
| Good Glycemic and Diabetic Control                   | 264   | (75.2%)   | 76       | (21.7%) | 11       | (3.1%)    | P < 0.001 |
| Laser Surgical Procedures                            | 114   | (32.5%)   | 176      | (50.1%) | 61       | (17.4%)   | 0.91      |
| Intra Ocular Injection treatment                     | 167   | (47.6%)   | 141      | (40.2%) | 43       | (12.3%)   | 0.16      |

**Table-III. Knowledge about treatment options for diabetic retinopathy and its association with attitude**

Total knowledge score for 14 knowledge questions was 20 (the maximum score). The mean knowledge score for our sample was 13.48 + 2.86 and score range of 14. A score of equal < 10 was regarded as Poor Knowledge, Scores between 11 and 15 as Satisfactory Knowledge and scores > 16 were regarded as having good knowledge about diabetic retinopathy. An independent t-test was used for group comparison of health facility, gender and family history with total knowledge score while ANOVA analysis was used to compare education level with the same. Detail of analysis is given in Table-IV. Male gender and higher education levels were found significantly associated with good knowledge about diabetic retinopathy.

Mean Attitude Score in this study was 2.60 + 1.25. The association of knowledge with attitude question; “Should a person with diabetes have regular eye checkup” and “Do you regard eye checkup as wastage of time and money” is significant at P < 0.001, whereas the question “there is no need to visit ophthalmologist, if diabetes is in control” was not associated with knowledge about the diabetic retinopathy, the research groups differed in their opinion.

The correlation analysis between knowledge and attitude score shows a weak negative (- 0.16) relation between the two scores, statistically significant with a p – value of 0.002.

Regarding the Practice, 126 (35.9 %) of the sample were on oral anti diabetics and 133 (38 %) were using both oral anti-diabetics and Insulin. 104 (29.6 %) were of the opinion that eye checkup shall be done immediately after diagnosis then six monthly, and 114 (32.5 %) said checkup shall be done when one suspects some eye problem.

In respondents 293 (83.5%) out of 351 considers that an ophthalmologist shall be consulted incase if an eye problem develops, with 58 (16.5%) remarked any doctor can be helpful in this situation.

The chi-square test between practice questions, how often eye check should be done and how
often you go for eye checkup was found highly significant for groups with knowledge about diabetic retinopathy and positive family history (Table-V).

In this study, 24.5% of the sample were regarded as having good knowledge. By good knowledge, it was meant that they were aware of diabetes, its risk factors and available treatment modalities. 16% were having poor knowledge and 59% were having satisfactory level of knowledge about diabetes. This percentage of good knowledgeable diabetics about diabetic retinopathy, its risk factors and treatment options is approximately similar to the studies done in Karachi by Memon et al showing 35.2%, Indian studies by Rani et al12, and Hussain et al8 reported 37% and 40.7% respectively. However, this percentage is low as compared to the study done on diabetics in Saudi Arabia with 75.6% of the population aware of diabetic retinopathy and its complications7, similar trend (72%) was observed in a study done on diabetics in Oman.13 In the current study, higher education level affected the knowledge score of the patients about diabetic retinopathy, and the association was found significant. This finding is similar to the studies done in India and Australia13. Knowledge score categories i.e poor, satisfactory and good were not in agreement on the knowledge regarding treatment of diabetic retinopathy, only 24.5% of diabetics considered dietary and life style modification, and good glycemic control as a measure to control diabetes and diabetic retinopathy development and progression; similar results were noted for diabetics who regarded laser treatment as a modality to treat diabetic retinopathy. Results of this study are comparable to the study done in Oman showing that 18% of the study population knew about laser treatment (13), However, Rani et al who reported that 50% of the participants had knowledge about the treatment options, which is better than the results of this study. The studies done in Iran14, Australia15 and Saudi Arabia16 showed similar knowledge trend about treatment options for diabetic retinopathy.

The present study is hospital based, KAP study to ascertain the level of knowledge, attitude and practice of the admitted sample of diabetic patients in a public and private hospitals of district Peshawar. 351 diabetic patients were enrolled in the study with male to female ratio of 1.8:1.

DISCUSSION
Diabetes Mellitus (DM) is the most prevalent non-communicable disease and a global public health concern. The incidence and prevalence of the disease is on the rise in Pakistan. Since it is a chronic disease, uncontrolled diabetic levels prone person to its complications. Out of 39 million global blindness, 1.8 million (4.8%) is attributed to Diabetic Retinopathy.10

The risk of complications due to Diabetes in particular Diabetic Retinopathy can be reduced by good diabetic and blood pressure control, regular screening and laser treatment.11
The knowledge score categories were very closely related to attitude scores, although those with poor knowledge had better attitude than those with good knowledge. This explains the weak negative correlation point described in the result section, that knowledge was not correlated with attitude. Only one fourth of the study population had positive attitude, Hussain et al mentioned half of the study population having positive attitude in his study\(^8\), similar findings were reported by study in Oman\(^1^3\); however, this finding differs from the study concluded by Rani et al, who mentioned association of knowledge with positive attitude and practice.\(^1^2\)

The Practice patterns seen in our population of diabetics reveal that 83 % were of the opinion that ophthalmologist shall be consulted in case if some eye problem develops, and 66 % respondents remarked that eye checkups shall be done immediately after diagnosis but only 30 % got their checkup done on annual basis and 26.5 % bi-annually. 20 % never visited an ophthalmologist and 8 % only once in their life time. Al Zarea in Saudi Arabia reported 95 % of individuals going for regular eye checkups\(^7\) as compared to 72 % in present study. These results were higher than the studies done by Memon et al\(^5\) and Khandekar et al\(^1^3\) where 57 % visited ophthalmologist. The percentage of patients who never visited an ophthalmologist is also very high i.e 19 %, a study in India reported 14 % non-compliant individuals who were not getting their periodic eye checkups.\(^1^7\)

**STUDY LIMITATIONS**

Patients attending only two tertiary care hospitals of Peshawar were incorporated in this study, sample size was limited and only type 2 – diabetics were included in the study. Hence, results cannot be generalized onto the general population. The present study is providing the trends of knowledge, attitude and practice patterns of our patients. The questionnaire was close ended and hence, there was an element of “Leading Answers” in some parts of the questionnaire.

**CONCLUSION**

Blindness because of diabetic retinopathy resulting from uncontrolled diabetes is entirely preventable. The results of this study showed that female diabetic patients and illiterates were more prone to complications of diabetes than males and more educated counterparts. This effect was independent of their duration of diabetes and family history of diabetes. As in this study, Lack of knowledge cannot be compensated by positive attitude only. Hence, the need for Mass Awareness using population and high risk strategies is important to aware people about the hazards of diabetes and its complications.
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