Removal of Cr(VI) from Aqueous Solutions Using Powder of Potato Peelings as a Low Cost Sorbent
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Potato peels which are a low cost, renewable agroindustry by-product were used for the removal of hexavalent chromium from aqueous effluents. Batch experiments were carried out with an artificial effluent comprising of potassium dichromate in deionised water. The effects of the initial hexavalent chromium concentration, dose of biosorbent, and removal kinetics were explored. An adsorbent dosage of 4 g/L was effective in complete removal of the metal ion, at pH 2.5, in 48 minutes. The kinetic process of Cr(VI) adsorption onto potato peel powder was tested by applying pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order models as well as the Elovich kinetic equation to correlate the experimental data and to determine the kinetic parameters. The adsorption data were correlated by the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms. A maximum monolayer adsorption capacity of 3.28 mg/g was calculated using the Langmuir adsorption isotherm, suggesting a functional group limited adsorption process. The results confirmed that potato peels are an effective biosorbent for the removal of hexavalent chromium from effluent.

1. Introduction

Wastewater from industries such as chrome leather tanning, metallurgy, chrome plating, textiles, ceramics, photography, and photoengraving contains moderate to excessive amounts of hexavalent chromium compounds beyond the conventional statutory limit of 0.1 mg/L. Remediation of these effluents is necessary because in humans Cr(VI) causes lung cancer, ulcers, nasal septum perforations, and damage to the kidneys.

Established methods for the removal of chromium from wastewaters include precipitation, electrochemical reduction, ion exchange, electrodeposition, membrane technology, and adsorption. Adsorption remains one of the most economical and widely used method for the removal of toxic pollutants from wastewater and the most widely used Cr(VI) sorbent is activated carbon although it is expensive and has high running costs since it requires regeneration after sorption. Therefore the potential exists for Cr(VI) removal by a lower cost biosorbent. The use of nonliving biomass as metal binding compounds has gained popularity over the use of live biomass. This is mainly because living cells are subject to toxic effects of heavy metals, resulting in cell death. Living cells also require the addition of nutrients and hence increase the BOD and COD in the effluent. Dead cells on the other hand require little care and maintenance, are cheaper, and can be easily regenerated and reused.

The adsorption of Cr(VI) by a number of materials such as agroindustry waste residues [1–4], forestry waste [5, 6], fruit peelings and leaves [7–10], fungi [11, 12], dead bacterial, and diatom biomass [13–15] has been reported in the literature.

This study investigated the use of potato peels which are widely available in many countries as a biosorbent for a low cost Cr(VI) removal technology. The Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms were employed to analyse the equilibrium data. The Elovich, pseudo-first-order, and pseudo-second-order kinetic models were used for the kinetic interpretation of the adsorption data. The effects of varying concentration of the chromium, contact time, and adsorbent dose were investigated.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Preparation of Chromium Solution and Analysis. A stock solution (500 mg/L) was prepared by dissolving 1.4144 g of
AR grade potassium dichromate in one liter of deionised water. Experimental solutions of the desired concentrations were obtained from the stock solution by appropriate dilutions with deionised water. Cr(VI) was quantified using diphenylcarbazide (DPC) which forms a red violet complex whose intensity was read at 540 nm using a Hach Spectrophotometer (model DR2010) as outlined in the Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater handbook [16]. All glassware was cleaned with 6 mol/L HCl and then rinsed in deionised water.

2.2. Preparation of Biosorbent. Potato peels, referred to as biosorbent, were collected from a local food chain (Chicken Inn) free of charge and washed in deionized water and then rinsed in 0.1 M HCl. In acidic media the surface of the sorbent is expected to be protonated to a large extent resulting in a stronger attraction for chromium oxyanions in the solution (in acidic media Cr(VI) exists in the form of oxyanions such as HCrO₄⁻, CrO₄²⁻, Cr₂O₇²⁻, Cr₃O₁₀²⁻). The biosorbent was left to dry at approximately 103–110°C overnight in an oven. The dried biosorbent was then ground to 100% passing 75 µm and stored in airtight plastic bottles prior to batch tests.

3. Batch Biosorption Studies

For all the batch biosorption studies blank experimental runs with only the adsorbent in 100 mL of distilled water were conducted simultaneously at similar conditions to account for any adsorbate leached by the adsorbents and adsorbed by the glass container walls. All batch experiments were carried out at pH 2.5 and all mixtures were stirred by a magnetic stirrer at 300 rpm. The value of the pH was chosen based on previous research that reported that the point of zero charge for potato peel biomass is at pH 6.59 [17], meaning that the biomass has an overall positive charge for pH values below 6.59 and starts to acquire an overall negative charge for pH values above 6.59. At pH values that are too low it is expected that hydronium ions, H₃O⁺, would associate with the adsorbent surface sites thus restricting access to the surface sites by the metal ions through repulsive forces. A pH value of 2.5 was chosen to avoid metal ion precipitation. All experiments were conducted at ambient temperature (27°C).

3.1. Effect of Absorbent Dose. Absorbent dose, varied from 0.5 to 4.0 g/L, was added to 100 mL of 40 mg/L K₂Cr₂O₇ in 100 mL volumetric flasks. The pH was adjusted to 2.5 using 0.1 M HCl. Approximately 10 mL of solution for analysis was withdrawn from each flask at 24-minute intervals and filtered. The filtrate from each flask was then analyzed for residual Cr(VI) concentration.

3.2. Effect of Initial Cr(VI) Concentration with Time. The initial concentration of the potassium dichromate solution was varied from 20 to 120 mg/L. A constant absorbent dose of 4 g/L was used for this set of experiments. The pH was adjusted to 2.5 using 0.1 M HCl. The equilibrium curve was plotted from Cr(VI) percentage removal obtained after a time period of 148 minutes.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Effect of Absorbent Dose and Contact Time. Percentage removal of Cr(VI) from solution increased steadily with increase in absorbent dose and contact time (Figures 1 and 2). Complete removal is attained after only 48 minutes with a dosage of 4 g/L, whilst a dosage of 0.5 g/L requires 120 minutes to attain 96 ± 2% removal.

4.2. Effect of Initial Cr(VI) Concentration on Percent Removal. Cr(VI) sorption was studied in batch experiments (pH 2.5) using different initial Cr(VI) concentrations of 20, 40, 60, 100, and 120 mg/L (Figure 3). Complete removal of Cr(VI) was attained for solutions up to 40 mg/L. The “final equilibrium curve,” was plotted from percentage removal obtained after a time period of 148
Figure 3: Effect of initial Cr(VI) concentration, pH 2.5, and absorbent dose of 4 g/L.

minutes. The equilibrium curve shows that the overall percent removal of Cr(VI) from solution decreases with an increase in initial Cr(VI) concentration. This may be attributed to lack of sufficient surface area to accommodate much more metal available in the solution. It is evident that the amount of chromium removed from solution increases with an increase in concentration of Cr(VI). This is probably due to the higher interaction between the metal ions and metal sequestering sites of the biosorbent. The final percentage removal for an initial chromium concentration of 120 mg/L was 74.84% whilst initial concentrations of 100 and 60 mg/L saw a removal of 87.79% and 93.31%, respectively.

5. Adsorption Isotherm Investigation

Cr(VI) uptake was calculated from mass balance, the difference between initial and final chromium concentrations:

\[ q \text{ (mg g}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{[C_0 - C] \text{ (mg/L)}}{m \text{ (g)}} V \text{ (L)}, \] (1)

where \( q \) is the metal uptake; \( C_0 \) and \( C \) are the initial and final Cr(VI) concentration. \( m \) is the mass of biosorbent and \( V \) is the volume of solution used.

5.1. Langmuir Isotherm. The Langmuir equation refers to a monolayer sorption onto surfaces containing a finite number of accessible sites:

\[ q_e = \frac{q_m b C_e}{1 + b C_e}, \] (2)

\( b \) and \( q_m \) are constants related to the apparent energy of sorption and the sorption capacity, respectively. \( q_e \) is the amount absorbed per unit mass of the absorbent (mg g\(^{-1}\)) with an equilibrium concentration of \( C_e \) (mg L\(^{-1}\)). The values of \( b \) and \( q_m \) were calculated from the slope and intercept of the linear plot of \( C_e/q_e \) versus \( C_e \):

\[ \frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{b q_m} + \frac{C_e}{q_m}. \] (3)

The regression equation obtained is

\[ y = 0.305x + 0.680, \]

\[ R^2 = 0.973, \] (4)

the constants \( q_m = 3.28 \text{ mg/g}, b = 0.448 \text{ L/mg}. \)

The essential feature of the Langmuir isotherm model can be expressed by means of a separation factor or equilibrium parameter \( R_L \) which is calculated as

\[ R_L = \frac{1}{1 + b C_0}. \] (5)

The value of \( R_L \) indicates the type of biosorption isotherm to be linear \((R_L = 1)\), favourable \((0 < R_L < 1)\), unfavourable \((R_L > 1)\), and irreversible \((R_L = 0)\).

It can be noted that for this work, \( R_L \) is favourable since both \( b \) and \( C_0 \) are positive values, which indicates favourable biosorption of chromium by potato peel powder.

5.2. Freundlich Isotherm. The Freundlich isotherm model was applied to study the biosorption behavior assuming a heterogeneous adsorption surface and active sites with different energy and its linearized equation is

\[ \log q_e = \log K_f + n_f \log C_e. \] (6)

The Freundlich constants \( K_f \) and \( n_f \) are related to adsorption capacity and intensity, respectively, and were calculated from the slopes and intercept of the linear plot of \( \log q_e \) versus \( \log C_e \).

The linear regression equation obtained is given below with the calculated constants:

\[ y = 0.667x - 0.525, \]

\[ R^2 = 0.974, \]

\[ n_f = 0.667, \quad K_f = 0.2985. \] (7)

\( n_f \) lies between 0 and 1 indicating also favourable biosorption.

Both the Langmuir and the Freundlich adsorption models had a good fit to the equilibrium data which suggests that both monolayer and heterogeneous surface adsorption affect the biosorption.

6. Kinetic Modelling

6.1. Pseudo-First-Order Equation. Consider

\[ \frac{dq_e}{dt} = k_1 (q_e - q_t) \] (8)
Pseudo first-order kinetics

\[ \log(q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - \frac{k_1}{2.303} t, \]

where \( q_t \) (mg/g) is the metal uptake at time \( t \) (min.), \( q_e \) is the metal uptake at equilibrium, and \( k_1 \) is the pseudo-first-order kinetic model constant (min.). The values of \( k_1 \) and \( q_e \) can be obtained from the slope and intercept of the plot of \( \log(q_e - q_t) \) versus \( t \), respectively (Figure 4). The results are summarised in Tables 1, 2(a), and 2(b).

6.2. Pseudo-Second-Order Equation. Consider

\[ \frac{dq_t}{dt} = k_2(q_e - q_t)^2. \]

And the linear form is

\[ \frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{1}{q_e} \cdot t, \]

where \( k_2 \) is the pseudo-second-order kinetic constant (g mg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)). \( k_2 \) and \( q_e \) can be calculated from the slope and intercept of the plot of \( t/q_t \) versus \( t \). The results are summarised in Table 1. Low correlation coefficients for the pseudo-second-order kinetics model suggest that the model is not applicable to Cr(VI) adsorption onto potato peel powder, implying that the rate limiting step is not chemical adsorption.

6.3. Elovich Kinetic Equation. The Elovich kinetic equation is for general application to chemisorptions kinetics. It suggests that the active sites are heterogeneous in nature and therefore exhibit different activation energies for chemisorption. The equation is based on the adsorption capacity of the sorbent:

\[ \frac{dq_t}{dt} = \alpha \exp(-\beta q_t). \]

where \( q_t \) (g/kg) and \( q_e \) is the metal uptake at equilibrium (mg/g), \( \alpha \) is the initial adsorption rate (mg g\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)), and \( \beta \) is the desorption constant (g mg\(^{-1}\)).

Simplification is attained by making the assumption, \( \alpha \beta \gg t \ [18, 19] \).

With boundary conditions \( q_t = 0, t = 0, q_t = q_e, t = t \),

\[ q_t = \frac{1}{\beta} \ln(\alpha \beta) + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln t. \]

The constants can be calculated from the slope and intercept of the plot of \( q_t \) versus \( \ln t \) (Figure 5). The results are summarised in Tables 1, 2(a), and 2(b).

7. Comparison of the Present Study with Literature

The data in Table 3 shows that there is a wide variation in the maximum absorption capacity of Cr(VI) by biosorbents (based on the Langmuir isotherm). The maximum adsorption capacity in this study is lower than that reported elsewhere in the literature [4].
Table 1: Regression equations and correlation factors using pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order, and Elovich kinetic models for the biosorption of Cr(VI) by waste potato peel.

| [Cr(VI)] mg/L | Pseudo-first-order kinetics | Pseudo-second-order kinetics | Elovich kinetics |
|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| 40            | \(y = -0.01x + 0.274\)     | \(y = -0.005x + 0.80\)      | \(y = -0.002x + 0.257\) |
| \(R^2 = 0.842\)                        | \(R^2 = 0.153\)                        | \(y = 0.418x - 1.217\) | \(R^2 = 0.965\) |
| 60            | \(y = -0.005x + 0.80\)      | \(y = 0.146x + 94.60\)      | \(y = 0.084x + 46.70\)                        |
| \(R^2 = 0.882\)                        | \(R^2 = 0.122\)                        | \(y = 0.380x + 0.149\) | \(R^2 = 0.902\) |
| 100           | \(y = -0.005x + 0.80\)      | \(y = 0.114x + 36.64\)      | \(y = 0.0046 min^{-1}\)                        |
| \(R^2 = 0.906\)                        | \(R^2 = 0.139\)                        | \(y = 0.546x - 0.218\) | \(R^2 = 0.956\) |
| 120           | \(y = -0.005x + 0.80\)      | \(y = 0.114x + 36.64\)      | \(y = 0.0046 min^{-1}\)                        |
| \(R^2 = 0.870\)                        | \(R^2 = 0.139\)                        | \(y = 0.546x - 0.218\) | \(R^2 = 0.956\) |

Table 2: (a) Kinetic parameters for the adsorption of Cr(VI) onto potato peel powder at \(T = 27^\circ C\). (b) Averaged kinetic parameters for the adsorption of Cr(VI) onto potato peel powder at \(T = 27^\circ C\).

(a)

| Kinetic model | Linear equation | Initial Cr(VI) concentration, mg/L | Reaction constant | \(R^2\) |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Pseudo-first-order | \(\log(q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - \frac{k_1}{2.303}t\) | 40 | \(k_1 = 0.0230 min^{-1}\) | 0.842 |
|                |                 | 60 | \(k_1 = 0.0115 min^{-1}\) | 0.882 |
|                |                 | 100 | \(k_1 = 0.0115 min^{-1}\) | 0.906 |
|                |                 | 120 | \(k_1 = 0.0046 min^{-1}\) | 0.870 |
| Elovich | \(q_t = \frac{1}{\beta} \ln (\alpha \beta) + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln t\) | 20 | \(\alpha = 0.0081 mg \cdot g^{-1} \cdot min^{-1}\) | 0.907 |
|            |                 | 40 | \(\beta = 11.11 g/mg\) | 0.965 |
|            |                 | 60 | \(\alpha = 0.0227 mg \cdot g^{-1} \cdot min^{-1}\) | 0.861 |
|            |                 | 100 | \(\beta = 2.3923 g/mg\) | 0.902 |
|            |                 | 120 | \(\alpha = 0.3663 mg \cdot g^{-1} \cdot min^{-1}\) | 0.956 |
|            |                 |                 | \(\beta = 1.8315 g/mg\) |        |

(b)

| Kinetic model | Linear equation | Reaction constant |
|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Pseudo-first-order | \(\log(q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - \frac{k_1}{2.303}t\) | \(k_1 = 0.01267 \pm 0.00764 min^{-1}\) |
| Elovich | \(q_t = \frac{1}{\beta} \ln (\alpha \beta) + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln t\) | \(\alpha = 0.25763 \pm 0.3440 mg \cdot g^{-1} \cdot min^{-1}\) |\(\beta = 2.3236 \pm 0.27054 g/mg\) |

8. Conclusions

When applying the Langmuir isotherm to the adsorption data, a maximum monolayer adsorption capacity of 3.28 mg/g suggests a functional group limited adsorption process. Indeed potato peel has been reported [23] to be a source of phenolic compounds, glycoalkaloids, and cell wall polysaccharides. These compounds would be the source of the functional groups. The value of the adsorption energy, \(b\), was found to be 0.448 L/mg. When the adsorption data are modeled using the Freundlich isotherm, the equilibrium constant, \(K_f\), is found to be 0.2985 ((mg/g) (L/mg)^1/n). The value of \(n\) was 2/3, which is between 0 and 10, suggesting relatively strong adsorption of Cr(VI) ions onto the surface of the potato peel powder. Low correlation coefficients for the pseudo-second-order kinetics model suggest that the model is not applicable to Cr(VI) adsorption onto potato peel powder, implying that the rate limiting step is not chemical adsorption. Relatively high correlation coefficients \((R^2)\) for the pseudo-first-order model and the Elovich kinetic equation suggest that these models can adequately describe the kinetic process of Cr(VI) adsorption onto potato peel powder. Applicability of the Elovich model implies that the active sites of the potato peel powder are heterogeneous in nature and therefore exhibit different activation energies for chemisorption. In general, it may be concluded that the use of potato peel powder is an effective method for the abatement of Cr(VI) aqueous contaminants.
Table 3: Comparison between the results of this work and other results found in the literature for the monolayer maximum adsorption capacity of Cr(VI) ions with various adsorbents.

| Biosorbent                        | Q_m (mg/g) | Reference |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| Agave lechuguilla                 | 33.55      | [18]      |
| Brown seaweed                     | 0.629      | [20]      |
| Maize husk                        | 28.49      | [2]       |
| Maize bran                        | 312.52     | [3]       |
| Potato peel waste                 | 8.012      | [4]       |
| Psidium guajava leaves powder     | 4.762      | [8]       |
| Modified pomegranate peel         | 13.01      | [9]       |
| Formaldehyde modified pomegranate peel | 22.28   | [9]       |
| Powder of mosambi fruit peel      | 7.51       | [10]      |
| Acacia nilotica leaf              | 69.4       | [5]       |
| Pine cone and oak cups            | Ranges from 4.19 to 7.48 | [6] |
| Pine leaves                       | 0.198      | [7]       |
| Sawdust                           | 0.470      | [7]       |
| Raw and modified palm branches    | 55.0       | [21]      |
| Dried biomass of cyanobacterium   | 103.09     | [13]      |
| Oscillatoria lutevirens           | 93.45      | [14]      |
| Diatom Planothidium lanceolatum   | 44.8       | [15]      |
| Biomass of Trichoderma gansii     | 265.0      | [22]      |
| Dead biomass of green algae Spirogyra spp. | 265.0 | [22] |
| Tea fungus                        | 58.0       | [11]      |
| Trametes versicolor Polyporus fungi | 125.0     | [12]      |
| Potato peel powder                | 3.28       | Present Study |
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