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Abstract

Brachymyrmex cordemoyi Forel, 1985 is a small ant species native to the Neotropics, but it has been introduced into Africa, Madagascar, the Arabian Peninsula and some tropical oceanic islands. We report on a non-native Brachymyrmex population that has been discovered indoors in the vicinity of Euskirchen (Germany). Specimens were identified morphologically and subjected to DNA barcoding; molecular data of the new population was analyzed jointly with morphologically verified GenBank entries. Morphological identification and phylogenetic inference based on a fragment of the mitochondrial gene Cytochrome Oxidase subunit 1 gave congruent results. The population was clearly assigned to B. cordemoyi and represents the second report of this species from Europe, with a recent record from the Netherlands. In Germany the species has only been found indoors, and the here reported population has been exterminated. This new record represents one of many indoor introductions of the genus Brachymyrmex, likely via tropical plants. We present a diagnosis of the species and additionally review all known introductions of the genus which have been identified to the species level.
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Introduction

Global trade in general, and of plants and plant materials in particular, can lead to the anthropogenic dispersal of animals that upon establishment in new regions outside of their native range may constitute a first step towards biological invasion (Hulme 2009; Simberloff and Rejmanek 2011). Especially small and stress-resistant species may survive long-distance transport and may establish in new environments. Such new environments may have a special micro-climate, such as houses, greenhouses or swimming pools, where the invader may thrive locally, without escaping into natural environments (Kenis et al. 2007).

Insects and arachnids contain various species that are commonly dispersed via plants or plant products (Hulme et al. 2008; Roques 2010). The most publically known invaders are perhaps banana spiders (Blick et al. 2006), but a large number of small insects from various taxonomic
groups are commonly imported (Malumphy 2012). Another group containing various common invaders are ants, which may become pests upon colonization (e.g. MacGown et al. 2007; Klotz et al. 2008; Gotzek et al. 2015). Whereas most non-native species cause little harm, some others may pose direct threats to biodiversity or economy and may be considered pests. Prominent examples are the fire ant *Solenopsis invicta* and the Argentinian ant *Linepithema humile*, which cause severe ecological problems as they replace the local fauna (Kenis et al. 2009). Another example is the pharaoh ant (*Monomorium pharaonis*), which represents an important household pest (Wetterer 2010).

In Germany 25 species of non-native ants have been recorded (Geiter et al. 2002). Several of these have become established and some are now considered to be pests, whereas others have a more restricted impact. Several species of the genus *Brachymyrmex* belong to such invaders. The genus *Brachymyrmex* is originally distributed in the Neotropics and consists of 40 valid species, subspecies and varieties (Ortiz-Sepulveda et al. 2019). Several species of *Brachymyrmex* have been recorded outside of their native ranges and *B. patagonicus* is even considered to be a pest (e.g. MacGown et al. 2007; Klotz et al. 2008; www.antmaps.org summarizes these records). In their native habitat most *Brachymyrmex* species nest in soil or rotten wood, but in urban areas they also may nest in flower pots (Dash et al. 2005) and in this way they have invaded greenhouses (Forel 1907).

Currently, *Brachymyrmex patagonicus* Mayr, 1868 appears to be the most successful invader of the genus; it has successfully settled in the United States and has been introduced to Japan, Madagascar, Mauritius, the Netherlands and Germany (Ortiz and Fernandez 2014; Terayama et al. 2014; Boer and Vierbergen 2008; MacGown et al. 2007; Geiter et al. 2002; Smith and Fischer 2009). Other species of the genus recorded outside their native ranges are *Brachymyrmex heeri* Forel, 1874 (e.g. Eichler 1952), *B. obscurior* Forel, 1893 (e.g. Klotz et al. 2008; Boer and Vierbergen 2008), *B. longicornis* Forel, 1907 (Weidner 1972), *B. minutus* Forel, 1893 (e.g. Clouse 1999) and *B. cordemoyi* Forel, 1895 (e.g. Sharaf et al. 2016), the latter of which has been described from Réunion (Forel 1895) (see Table 1). Yet, many records may need to be re-evaluated in the future, as *Brachymyrmex* species are often misidentified (Boer et al. 2018). A recent example is the record of *B. patagonicus* from Hong Kong (Guénard 2019), which displays the diagnostic features of *B. cordemoyi* (Ortiz-Sepulveda, pers. obs.).

Three species of the genus have so far been recorded in Germany (*B. longicornis*, *B. patagonicus* and *B. heeri*). Here, we present the first record of *B. cordemoyi* from Germany. Specimens of this species were identified using diagnostic morphological features and the identification was subsequently verified with DNA barcoding. We also summarize all literature records of *Brachymyrmex* introductions where a species-level
Table 1. Records of invasive *Brachymyrmex* species with indication of the country and year of introduction, and the respective reference. Most records were obtained from http://antmaps.org.

| Genus       | Species      | Country      | Reference                  |
|-------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------------|
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Germany      | this study                 |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Netherlands  | Boer et al. 2018           |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Comoros      | Fisher 2014a               |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Comoros      | Forel 1907                 |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Comoros      | Wheeler 1922               |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Madagascar   | Fisher 1997                |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Madagascar   | Fisher 2003                |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Madagascar   | Fisher 2014b               |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Mascarene Islands | Donisthorpe 1949          |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Mascarene Islands | Emery 1895               |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Mascarene Islands | Fisher and Blard 2014      |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Mascarene Islands | Fisher 1997              |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Mascarene Islands | Fisher and Suarez 2014    |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Mascarene Islands | Forel 1895               |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Mascarene Islands | Mamet 1954               |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Mascarene Islands | Santschi 1923            |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Mascarene Islands | Wheeler 1922             |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Seychelles   | Dorow 1996                 |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Seychelles   | Fisher 1997                |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Seychelles   | Fisher 2014c               |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Seychelles   | Forel 1912a               |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Seychelles   | Forel 1912b               |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Seychelles   | Gaigher et al. 2012       |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Seychelles   | Gerlach 2004              |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Seychelles   | Santschi 1923             |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Seychelles   | Wheeler 1922              |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Tanzania     | Pape and Swennings 2014    |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Saudi Arabia | Sharaf et al. 2016        |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *heeri*     | Azores       | Borowiec 2014             |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *heeri*     | France       | Bernard 1967              |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *heeri*     | France       | Borowiec 2014             |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *heeri*     | Germany      | Eichler 1952              |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *heeri*     | Switzerland  | Emery 1878                |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *heeri*     | Ukraine      | Bernard 1967              |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *heeri*     | Ukraine      | Borowiec 2014             |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *longicornis* | Germany      | Weidner 1972             |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *minutus*   | USA: FL      | Clouse et al. 1999        |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *minutus*   | USA: FL      | Deyrup 2003               |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *minutus*   | USA: FL      | Deyrup 2016               |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *minutus*   | USA: FL      | Deyrup and Davis 2000     |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *minutus*   | USA: FL      | Deyrup et al. 2014        |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *minutus*   | USA: FL      | Ferster and Prusak 1994   |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *minutus*   | USA: FL      | Moreau et al. 2014        |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *obscurator* | Netherlands  | Boer and Vierbergen 2008  |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *patagonicus* | Great Britain | Donisthorpe 1915          |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *patagonicus* | Germany      | Eichler 1952              |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *patagonicus* | Japan        | Terayama et al. 2014      |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *patagonicus* | Netherlands  | Boer and Vierbergen 2008  |
| *Brachymyrmex* | *patagonicus* | Spain        | Espadaler and Pradera 2016|
| *Brachymyrmex* | *cordemoyi* | Hong Kong    | Guénard 2019              |

Identification was made, although we have not been able to confirm the identifications for some of these records.
Materials and methods

Specimens were collected indoors by an insect exterminator in the vicinity of Euskirchen (50°40′02.3″N; 6°48′10.7″E) in Germany (the population was exterminated after sampling). Voucher specimens are deposited in the collection of the Zoological Museum Hamburg (ZMH) under accession number ZMH 2018/7. Individuals were morphologically identified by CMOS following the identification key of Ortiz-Sepulveda et al. (2019).

Genomic DNA was extracted from one specimen using a standard Chelex protocol (Walsh et al. 1991). PCR was performed using the general barcoding primers (HCO-LCO, Folmer et al. 1994), DreamTaq Polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) and a standard protocol with an annealing temperature of 50 °C in a 10 μl volume. PCR products were checked on a 1% agarose gel stained with GelRed (Biotium, Fremont, Ca, USA), purified with a mix of Exonuclease and Shrimp Alkaline Phosphatase before sequencing by Macrogen Europe (Amsterdam, Netherlands).

The obtained sequence was inspected, aligned with species level data from public databases (see below) and subjected to phylogenetic analysis with Bayesian Inference (BI). We only included GenBank specimens for which voucher images are available that allow unambiguous identification (Table 2). In total, the analysis was performed with 28 specimens covering seven *Brachymyrmex* species and one specimen of *Myrmelachista* (the sister-genus of *Brachymyrmex* (Blaimer et al. 2015; Ortiz-Sepulveda et al. 2019)) as outgroup taxon. Several of these sequences are currently unpublished although they are available in GenBank (provided by the International Barcode of Life Consortium and P. E. Hanish).

Sequences were aligned using MAFFT v. 7 (Katoh and Standley 2013); the alignment was inspected in MESQUITE v. 2.10 (Maddison and Maddison 2017) to determine codon positions. Models of sequence evolution were fit with PartitionFinder v. 1.1.1 (Lanfear et al. 2012) taking into account potential differences in substitution models for the various codon positions. The fit of the various models was evaluated with a Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). Subsequently, the data was phylogenetically analyzed with Bayesian Inference (BI) in MrBayes v. 3.2.6 (Ronquist et al. 2012) using the CIPRES Science Gateway v. 3.3 (Miller et al. 2010). Two independent Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) runs were conducted for 30 million generations sampling every 1,000 generations. Each run was distributed across four chains with a heating parameter of 0.2 and 25% of the samples were discarded as burn-in. Convergence was checked by average split frequencies being below 0.01 and by checking the effective sample sizes (ESS) in Tracer v. 1.6. (Rambaut et al. 2013). As all ESS values were above 200, the maximum clade credibility tree was visualized with FigTree v. 1.4.2 (Rambaut 2012).
Table 2. Species identification, locality and NCBI Genbank accession numbers for the COI sequences of the taxa studied in the phylogenetic analysis. GenBank sequences generated by the International Barcode of Life consortium (iBoL) were only included if voucher images allowed unambiguous identification. One GenBank sequence from P.E. Hanish of a specimen of *B. cordemoyi* was also included in our phylogenetic analysis. Note that these sequences have been publicly deposited, but that the current study is their first report in the scientific literature.

| Collection code | Specimen code | Taxon        | Country  | COI     | From     |
|-----------------|---------------|--------------|----------|---------|----------|
| ACGAD611_10     | ACGAD611_10   | *B. heeri*   | Costa Rica | HQ545883 | iBoL     |
| ACGAB032_09     | 08COSTA-0544  | *B. heeri*   | Costa Rica | GU708679 | iBoL     |
| PBA0605         | BCPBA0605     | *B. patagonicus* | Ecuador | KU985505 | iBoL     |
| Wm-C-07-1-10    | CASENTO613756 | *B. cavernicola* | Honduras | JN270706 | iBoL     |
| Wa-C-04-2-06    | CASENTO617100 | *B. cavernicola* | Honduras | JN270709 | iBoL     |
| ACGAB179_09     | 08COSTA-0691  | *B. cavernicola* | Costa Rica | GU709009 | iBoL     |
| ACGAB340_09     | 08COSTA-0852  | *B. cavernicola* | Costa Rica | GU709019 | iBoL     |
| ASPNA1434-10    | PKSP5218      | *B. bruchi*  | United States | HQ551103 | iBoL     |
| ASANE612_10     | CASENTO191351-D01 | *B. bruchi* | United States | HQ925273 | iBoL     |
| ASMA667_05      | CASENTO057422-D01 | *B. cordemoyi* | Mauritius | EF609727 | iBoL     |
| ASMA136_05      | CASENTO059705-D01 | *B. cordemoyi* | Mauritius | EF609722 | iBoL     |
| ASMA288_05      | CASENTO060378-D01 | *B. cordemoyi* | Mauritius | EF609763 | iBoL     |
| ASANP101_09     | CASENTO146383-D01 | *B. cordemoyi* | Comoros | GU710679 | iBoL     |
| n.a.            | MACN-Bar-Ins-et02961 | *B. cordemoyi* | Argentina | MF925900 | P.E. Hanish |
| MEKOU_024319    | MEKOU_024319  | *B. minutus* | Panama   | KM224720 | iBoL     |
| MEKO011361      | MEKO011361    | *B. minutus* | Panama   | JF863683 | iBoL     |
| BYT391          | YT391         | *B. minutus* | Ecuador  | KY442006 | iBoL     |
| ACGAB608_09     | 08COSTA-1120  | *B. minutus* | Costa Rica | GU709339 | iBoL     |
| ACGAD507_10     | 10COSTA-0506  | *B. minutus* | Costa Rica | HQ545796 | iBoL     |
| ACGAD507_10     | 10COSTA-0050  | *B. minutus* | Costa Rica | HM919664 | iBoL     |
| ACGAH028_11     | BIOUG01155-C04 | *B. minutus* | Costa Rica | KC418964 | iBoL     |
| SMTPP4104_14    | BIOUG16155-F11 | *B. depilis* | Canada   | KR884779 | iBoL     |
| SMTPP3340_13    | BIOUG0536-B03 | *B. depilis* | Canada   | KR873789 | iBoL     |
| BBHYA3116_12    | BIOUG02686-B02 | *B. depilis* | United States | KM996364 | iBoL     |
| USFOR340_10     | BIOUG09BBUSAF0340 | *B. depilis* | United States | HK989402 | iBoL     |
| CNKJP241_14     | BIOUG12967-H07 | *Myrmelachista zeledoni* | Honduras | MK992402 | Ortiz-Sepulveda et al. (2019) |
| JTL6972         | CX-06         | *Myrmelachista zeledoni* | Honduras | MK992402 | Ortiz-Sepulveda et al. (2019) |
| ZMH2018/7       | ZMH2018/7     | *B. cordemoyi* | Germany  | MK803279 | this study |

Results and discussion

The *Brachymyrmex* population from Germany was morphologically identified as *B. cordemoyi* (Figure 1). *Brachymyrmex cordemoyi* strongly resembles *B. obscurior* and to lesser extent also *B. patagonicus*. These three species have scapes that touch the posterior cephalic margin, or extend beyond it, but by a distance that is smaller than the maximal diameter of the eye; in lateral view their mesonotum does not bulge out dorsally above the pronotum, and the metanotal groove is narrower than the diameter of the methathoracic spiracles. However, *B. cordemoyi* has a longer pronotum and mesonotum than *B. obscurior*, more ommatidia along the maximal diameter of the eye, and lighter-colored pubescence, which is denser on the dorsum of the entire body and appressed on the gaster (instead of decumbent in *B. obscurior*); the gaster also bears several scattered and sub-erect hairs mainly, but not exclusively along the edges of the segments. *Brachymyrmex cordemoyi* differs from *B. patagonicus* by having considerably denser pubescence on the gaster. It can also be confused with *B. termitophilus*, from which it differs in the body color (*B. cordemoyi* is dark brownish, whereas *B. termitophilus* is yellowish – Ortiz-Sepulveda et al. 2019).
The phylogenetic analysis supports the morphological identification. The individual from Germany constitutes a well-supported monophyletic clade with other specimens of *B. cordemoyi* (BPP = 0.99, Figure 2). However, the deep split between the specimens of *B. cordemoyi* from the
Comoros, Mauritius and Argentina and that from Germany, as well as the long branch-length towards the German specimen are noteworthy. In this respect it is important to highlight that *B. cordemoyi* has several diagnostic features, but also considerable intraspecific variation in various traits and that our current concept of *B. cordemoyi* may include several biological species (Ortiz-Sepulveda et al. 2019). Our data shows the value of DNA barcodes to identify species of *Brachymyrmex* as long as comparative sequences are available for well-identified individuals. Genetic resources are especially important considering the uncertain taxonomy of this genus and the ambiguity that long existed on putatively diagnostic morphological traits (Ortiz and Fernández 2014; Creighton 1950; Ortiz-Sepulveda et al. 2019). However, studies with additional genetic markers are required to better understand phylogenetic relationships within *Brachymyrmex*.

In Germany only *Brachymyrmex heeri*, *B. patagonicus* and *B. longicornis* have previously been reported (Table 1). The specimens of *B. longicornis* held at the ZMH are poorly preserved, however, they have been reported as syntypes in the past (Weidner 1972). *Brachymyrmex longicornis* is now considered to be a junior synonym of *B. australis* (Ortiz-Sepulveda et al. 2019).

Our new record of *B. cordemoyi* raises the number of exotic *Brachymyrmex* species in Germany to four, i.e. one species less than for Europe altogether (*B. obscurior* has been reported from the Netherlands). It is likely that additional species have been reported under other names or have just been overlooked or misidentified (Boer et al. 2018). Furthermore, considering the ease with which species of the genus *Brachymyrmex* can be transported, i.e. given their small size and their nesting habits, we can expect additional introductions in the future. The rise in global temperatures may even result in the establishment of perennial populations in natural environments in the future, at least in southern and central Europe.
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