A comparative study of 35% glycolic acid peel versus modified Kligman’s regimen in treating Melasma in patients with dark skin
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Abstract

Introduction: Melasma is the most common cause of facial Melanesia classically presenting as hyperpigmented macules to patches on the face causing great psychological stress. The pathogenicity of it is not yet completely understood. Many treatment options are available but nothing is satisfactory, especially in black patients. The study aims to compare the serial 35% Glycolic Acid (GA) Peels versus a topical modified Kligman’s regimen in treating Melasma in patients with dark skin.

Materials and methods: Prospective and comparative study was done on 100 cases of Melasma, dividing them into two groups with 50 patients each. One group received topical modified Kligman’s formula (MKF) daily and the other group received 35% glycolic acid peels once in 4 weeks for 12 weeks. Response was assessed by MASI score.

Results: At the end of 12 weeks good to very good response was seen i.e. 95% on MKF treated patients whereas 85% on glycolic acid peel patients. Burning sensation and redness was observed in many patients in glycolic acid group whereas cuneiform eruptions in MKF group.

Conclusion: Both glycolic acid peels and modified Kligman’s formula are effective in treating Melasma in dark skinned patients both used in combination gives an accelerated response.
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Introduction

Melasma (Greek: Melas = black) is a common acquired Hypermelanosis that typically affects sun exposed areas mainly the forehead, cheeks, temples, nose, upper lips & chin and occasionally over the forearms & V area of neck [1]. It is clinically presents as medium to dark brown macules to patches with definite borders. Melasma can be seen in all races & ethnic groups. People with darker skin i.e. Fitzpatrick IV – V skin types, [2] are prone to get Melasma and more common in those residing in places of high intensity of UV radiation. It mostly affects women (more than 90%) of child bearing age [3].

Based on distribution on face, [3] clinical patterns Centro facial, Malar and Mandibular are seen. A rare pattern localized to forearms is observed in women taking progesterone exogenously. The Centro facial pattern is the most common seen in nearly 2/3rd cases of Melasma [4] but malar type is commoner in dark skinned individuals. Based on woods lamp examination, Melasma is classified into four types. They are Epidermal, Dermal, Mixed and Indeterminate [2].

The precise cause of Melasma remains elusive. Genetic predisposition, exposure to sunlight, pregnancy, oral contraceptive use, endocrine dysfunction, hormonal treatment (estrogen - progesterone therapy), cosmetics usage, phototoxic and antiepileptic medication are all the triggering agents.

Melasma is difficult to manage as it is recurrent and resistant. Therapies have included various combinations of topical hydroquinone, corticosteroids, retinoid, atelic acid 15-20%, Kojic acid, glycolic acid, Arbitron etc [6] and chemical peeling using alpha Hydroxy acids and lasers. Despite many options, treating Melasma is very difficult & frustrating both for the dermatologist and the patient. [7]

Kligman’s formula, which is a combination of dexamethasone 0.1% (steroid), 0.1 % retinoid and hydroquinone 5% in a cream base has been in use for more than 2 decades for the
treatment of melasma [8]. Later several modifications done and one among them includes 4% hydroquinone, 0.05% retinoid and 1% hydrocortisone acetate. Hydroquinone is most commonly prescribed bleaching agent. Retinoic facilitates pigment removal by accelerating keratinocyte turn over and enhancing hydroquinone penetration whereas corticosteroid reduces inflammation caused by both hydroquinone and retinoid [9].

Chemical peeling with Glycolic acid which is a α Hydroxy acid improves skin appearance by exfoliating part or entire epidermis and its subsequent resurfacing. Deposition of glycosaminoglycan’s in dermis leads to remodel collagen and elastin fibers which in turn improves skin texture. [10]

In general, Melasma of short duration shows better response compared to longstanding cases and in the epidermal type of Melasma faster response is seen than the dermal and mixed type [11].

Materials and methods

The present study is a Prospective and Comparative study which includes 100 patients, attending a tertiary care hospital in south India. Duration of the study is one year from January 2019 to December 2019. Approval is taken from the ethical committee.

All patients diagnosed to have Melasma attending the Dermatology OPD at ESIC medical college Hospital, Hyderabad were included in this study. Patients with history of known hypersensitivity to Glycolic acid, Hydroquinone, Retinoic, active herpes simplex, warts, moll scum contagious, Keloidal tendency or unrealistic expectations were excluded; Pregnant and breast feeding women were not taken into this study. Skin diseases like atopic or seborrhea dermatitis, psoriasis, perioral dermatitis were first treated and controlled and later taken into study.

100 patients were recruited into two groups, each having 50. First group received modified Kligman’s formula (Hydroquinone-4%, Tretinoin-0.05%, Hydrocortisone acetate-1%) daily and the second group received 35% glycolic acid peels serially once in 4 weeks for a period of 12 weeks. The history in detail, including demographic data, onset, disease duration, progression, triggering factors, other associated systemic illness, family history, past treatment history were recorded in the proforma. The area to be peeled was examined, paying attention to the tone, texture and quality of the skin. Clinical response is assessed by taking photographs before starting the therapy and then at every visit. A written informed consent was taken prior to application.

Response was assessed by MASI score, clinical photographs, and subjective assessment by the patient, clinical assessment (size and depth of pigmentation). All patients were advised sun protection strictly and to apply broad spectrum sunscreen during the study period.

Masi Score: [12]

Total MASI score: Forehead 0.3 (D+H) A + right malar 0.3 (D+H) A + left malar 0.3 (D+H) A + chin 0.1 (D+H) A.

D is darkness graded from 0 to 4, H is homogeneity graded from 0 to 4, A is percentage area of the face affected graded from 0 to 6.

Results

In the present study of 100 cases of Melasma, the age of the patients ranged from 14-55 years and the mean age was 31.54 years. Most of the patients (82%) were in third and fourth decades. Predominance of females (64%) was observed.

The main precipitating factor accounting for 57% was the sun exposure, followed by pregnancy (20%) and drugs (10%). Drugs implicated were mostly Oral Contraceptive pills and Phenytoin in 2 patients. However multiple factors were involved in causation of Melasma in 35% of patients (table 2).

Table 1: Clinical types of Melasma (N=100)

| S.No | Age (Years) | No. of Cases (%) |
|------|-------------|------------------|
| 1    | Malar       | 75 (75%)         |
| 2    | Centro facial | 25 (25%)       |

Most of the patients (75%) had malar type.

Table 2: Precipitating factors in Melasma (N=100)

| S.No | Factors | No. of Patients (%) |
|------|---------|---------------------|
| 1    | Sun Exposure | 57 (57%)           |
| 2    | Pregnancy   | 20 (20.0%)         |
| 3    | Drugs       | 10 (10%)           |
| 4    | Cosmetics   | 3 (3%)             |
| 5    | Idiopathic  | 10 (10%)           |

Table 3: Disease Duration in Melasma (N=100)

| S.No | Duration of the Disease in Years | No. of Patients (%) |
|------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1    | < 0.5                            | 9 (9%)              |
| 2    | > 0.5 – 1                        | 22 (22%)            |
| 3    | > 1 – 3                          | 42 (42%)            |
| 4    | > 3 – 10                         | 24 (24%)            |
| 5    | > 10                             | 3 (3%)              |

73% of the patients had disease duration up to 3 years.

Table 4: Comparison of Mean MASI Scores

| Period                  | Modified Kligman’s formula (N = 50) | Glycolic acid (N = 50) | Z value | P value |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|---------|---------|
|                         | Mean score (S.D)                     | Mean score (S.D)       |         |         |
| Pre treatment           | 10.87(3.15)                          | 8.14(2.92)             | 0.847   | > 0.05  |
| Post treatment MASI (12 weeks) | 3.04(1.41)                          | 2.96(1.12)             | 7.06    | < 0.05  |
| Difference between pretreatment and post peel | 7.83(1.74) | 5.18(1.80) | 10.48 | < 0.05 |

From table 4, the mean MASI score decreased after treatment in the two groups, but more on MKF group and is found to be statistically significant.

Table 5: Clinical Response in Melasma

| S.No | Grade of Improvement | Percentage decrease in MASI at end of 4 peels | MKF No of cases (%) | GA No of cases (%) |
|------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 1    | Mild                 | up to 25                                      | -                   | 2(5%)             |
| 2    | Moderate             | 26 – 50                                       | 2(5%)               | 5(10%)            |

The mean percentage improvement in MASI score was 71.43% in MKF group and 62.68% in GA group.
Response to treatment is better with shorter duration of disease (<3 years) i.e in 73% of cases and is found to be statistically significant (p<0.05). Good to very good response is seen in short duration of disease i.e <10 years with both MKF and GA peel.

Table 6: Response in Relation to duration of disease (N=50)

| S.No | Duration of disease | Response to MKF | Response to GA |
|------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 1    | <6 months           | Mild, Moderate  | Mild           |
| 2    | 6 months – 1 year   | 1               | 3              |
| 3    | >1-3 years          | 5               | 9              |
| 4    | >3-10 years         | 4               | 6              |
| 5    | >10 years           | 5               | 4              |

Burnishing sensation and erythema was observed in most of the patients in glycolic acid group and cuneiform eruptions were seen in most cases in MKF group.

Discussion
Melasma is one of the pigmentary disorders of greatest concern for the patients particularly in females. Despite having many treatment modalities, the treatment of Melasma is not satisfactory but also disappointing and unsuccessful.

100 patients with Melasma over face attended the dermatology department in the ESI medical college were included in the study.

In this study mean age of onset is 31.54 years which is similar to studies done by Griffiths CEM et al.\cite{13}, Kalla et al.\cite{14} and Javaheri et al.\cite{15} with average age of 30 years of onset. A study done by Ameen Basel et al.\cite{16} showed an average of 39 years at onset. Female preponderance (64% is seen) which is similar to studies done by Ravalji et al.\cite{17}, Nanda et al.\cite{18} and Ameen Basel et al. Preponderance is mainly attributed to hormonal factors.

75% of patients showed malar paten followed by Centro facial pattern with 25%, which is similar to Ravalji et al.\cite{17}, Ameen Basel et al.\cite{16} where malar pattern is seen in 68% and 42% respectively. In contrast, Centro facial was predominant in studies done by Griffiths CEM et al.\cite{13} Grover and Reddy et al.\cite{19} variable results could be because of different sample sizes.

In the present study, the main precipitating factor is sun exposure (57%) followed by pregnancy (20%), drugs (10%) idiopathic (10%), and cosmetics (3%). Drugs implicated are OC pills (8 patients), phenytoin (2 patients). However multiple factors were involved in causation of Melasma in 35% of patients. Variable results may be because of the rural background of the patients and most of them being agricultural workers.

Wood's lamp examination although used in this study, it was not of much help as most of the patients were of skin type 3–6 and hence differentiation of depth was not perceptible. Therefore no grading of Melasma on the basis of depth was done in this study. Lawrence et al.\cite{20} showed that wood's lamp is not helpful to assess the response to treatment. However assessment of pigmentation was done on clinical grounds, MASI scoring and photo documentation.

In the present study, response to treatment was better in patients having shorter duration of disease (<3 years) in both the groups. In patients with long duration of disease, response with MKF was more as compared to glycolic acid peel. Similar results were found in other studies\cite{21}.

In the present study, the decrease in MASI score was compared with the scores before beginning the study i.e pretreatment scores. In the two groups the MASI scores were reduced. The total clinical and therapeutic response based on percentage decrease in mean MASI scores and grades of improvement, was observed better in MKF group and was found to be statistically significant (p<0.05).

In the present study, most of the patients under GA group complained of burning sensation and redness (erythema) whereas cuneiform eruptions were observed in patients of GA group. This observation is in concordance with other studies done by Bari AU et al.\cite{22} and Gupta RR et al.\cite{23}.

Hypertrichosis was observed in both the sides. This may be due to the counter irritant effect of the peeling agents.

Allergic sensitization, hypochromic of normal skin and post inflammatory hyper pigmentation were not encountered in any of our patients. No systemic side effects were recorded. Only the side effects interfered with the peeling process in any patient. This finding is in concordance with the study done by Bari AU et al.\cite{22}.

Follow up in this study was done for 2 months. The relapse rate was 5% in MKF group. No relapse was found in GA group. This finding is similar to the study done by Kalla et al.\cite{14}, Javaheri et al.\cite{15} and Sarkar et al.\cite{24}.

Conclusion
The present study shown that the total clinical and therapeutic response at the end of the study was better on MKF group compared to GA group and the analysis was found to be statistically significant (p<0.05). The response to treatment was better with shorter duration of disease. Side effects were minimal and did not interfere with therapy. Relapse was observed in very few cases of MKF group. The clinical response can be accelerated when superficial glycolic acid peel is used in combination with topical therapy in treating Melasma with patients with dark skin.
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