Winter seal-based observations reveal glacial meltwater surfacing in the southeastern Amundsen Sea
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Determining the injection of glacial meltwater into polar oceans is crucial for quantifying the climate system response to ice sheet mass loss. However, meltwater is poorly observed and its pathways poorly known, especially in winter. Here we present winter meltwater distribution near Pine Island Glacier using data collected by tagged seals, revealing a highly variable meltwater distribution with two meltwater-rich layers in the upper 250 m and at around 450 m, connected by scattered meltwater-rich columns. We show that the hydrographic signature of meltwater is clearest in winter, when its presence can be unambiguously mapped. We argue that the buoyant meltwater provides near-surface heat that helps to maintain polynyas close to ice shelves. The meltwater feedback onto polynyas and air-sea heat fluxes demonstrates that although the processes determining the distribution of meltwater are small-scale, they are important to represent in Earth system models.
Floating ice shelves buttress the Antarctic Ice Sheet and decelerate the flow of its grounded ice. However, many ice shelves around Antarctica are thinning rapidly due primarily to basal melting. The strongest melt has been reported in West Antarctic ice shelves such as the Pine Island Ice Shelf (PIIS) and Thwaites Ice Shelf where the deep (below about 450 m) intrusion of warm modified Circumpolar Deep Water (mCDW) transports heat southward via bathymetric troughs crossing the continental shelf. The warm mCDW (about 3 °C above freezing) then enters the ice-shelf cavity (i.e. ocean beneath the ice shelf), circulates beneath the ice shelf providing heat for basal melting, and forms a relatively fresh meltwater-rich water mass that is colder than mCDW but warmer than the surrounding Winter Water (WW). The fate and impact of the meltwater-rich water depends greatly on the depth at which it achieves neutral buoyancy and leaves the region flowing along density surfaces. If the relatively fresh meltwater-rich water rises, it could offset the brine rejected by sea ice formation and reduce occurrences of deep convection and bottom-water formation. The relatively warm meltwater-rich water may also melt the sea ice in front of the ice shelf.

The resulting polynya would allow air-sea heat exchange and feedback onto ice-shelf basal melt by influencing the upper-ocean heat content. The outflow of meltwater may boost the overturning circulation resulting in an enhanced heat flux into the ice cavity. The meltwater-rich water is also a key supply of iron for continental shelf seas. Therefore, although the volume of meltwater produced is small in comparison with the volumes of Antarctic shelf seas, it is believed to exert a disproportionate influence on regional and circumpolar circulation and climate.

PIIS is one of the most rapidly melting ice shelves. Although meltwater has been identified in front of PIIS, its spatial pattern is complex and poorly observed and understood. Meltwater is usually detected by its signature in hydrographic (i.e., temperature and salinity) observations. Nonetheless, as solar warming in the near-surface layer (upper 200 m) can be mistaken for the signal of relatively warm meltwater exiting the ice-shelf cavity, the apparent near-surface meltwater-rich layer deduced from astral summer hydrographic observations is usually thought to be an artefact of solar warming and is therefore neglected. Thus, to date, the primary scientific focus in front of warm-cavity ice shelves has been on the deep meltwater layer with a maximum concentration at about 200–400 m. However, in astral winter, when there can be no effect of solar radiation on the calculation of meltwater, the vertical meltwater distribution has hitherto been completely unknown due to the lack of available observations. The cyclonic Pine Island Bay (PIB) gyre is well defined from the sea surface to about 700-m depth in front of PIIS in both observational data and model outputs, but the sparse observations have not yet clearly revealed the influence of the PIB gyre on the meltwater distribution. Introducing new datasets and approaches in this region is therefore important for ocean, cryosphere and climate research.

In this study we turn to newly available Antarctic winter observations (July–September 2014) to determine the distribution of near-surface meltwater exiting from beneath PIIS in winter. In winter, heat loss to the atmosphere and wind mixing lead to a mixed layer of cold WW with no summertime warm water remaining near the sea surface. The basal meltwater is much warmer (up to about 1 °C above freezing) than other upper-ocean water masses which have temperatures near the freezing point (about −1.9 °C) in winter. In this way we unambiguously distinguish ice-shelf basal meltwater from the ambient water masses. Here we present a set of 625 novel wintertime full-depth profiles of salinity and temperature collected by sensors attached onto three seals (see Methods), yielding four sections across PIB. A meltwater signal is apparent in all sections in both winter and summer. Our winter observations reveal clear signals of meltwater both at depth and near surface, connected by distinct meltwater-rich columns, while much of the WW layer remains meltwater-poor. This spatial heterogeneity is in contrast to the relatively high and horizontally-uniform upper-ocean meltwater content indicated by summer ship-based hydrography and previously-unpublished near-surface noble gas measurements. We argue that in winter, compared with the cold and dense ambient water, the meltwater-rich water has sufficient buoyancy to rise to near surface without undergoing intense lateral mixing. The winter processes revealed by our study are likely important for bringing nutrients to the near-surface layer prior to the spring bloom, and for bringing heat to the surface to prevent sea ice from forming and thus maintaining the polynyas in front of the ice shelves.

Results

Meltwater distribution in summer. Meltwater content values calculated from ship-based hydrographic and noble gas data are fairly consistent below about 150 m but significantly different above 150 m (Fig. 2a), where higher values from hydrographic data might be partly an artefact related to solar warming. Noble gas tracers are considered a more reliable indicator of meltwater as no known processes other than glacial ice melting can generate the noble gas signal. Although noble gas can be lost to the atmosphere, which can slightly decrease the value of the calculated meltwater content, this uncertainty is small.

**Fig. 1 Map of the study region.** Positions of the seal-tag hydrographic profiles collected in winter (July–September) 2014 are indicated by solid dots and diamonds coloured by the conservative temperature, θ, above freezing at 2 dbar (upper colour scale in red and blue). Positions of ship-based hydrographic profiles collected by conductivity-temperature-depth sensor in February 2014 are indicated by green diamonds aligned perpendicular and along PIIS. Positions of profiles collected by vertical-microstructure profiler in February 2014 are indicated by the thick black line along PIIS. Ship-based conductivity-temperature-depth sensor profiles overlay seal-tag profiles, vertical-microstructure-profiler profiles are at the bottom. Thick grey arrows show the schematic of PIB gyre. Rectangles enclose the profiles (diamonds) used for sections used in Figs. 3, 4, 5, 6. Bathymetry from RTOPO is shaded with grey colour scale on the left-hand side and ice photography (MODIS image from the 11 February, 2014) is shaded in blue with coastline in black. Inset map shows the location of southeastern Amundsen Sea.
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compared with the uncertainties caused by air-sea interaction on the hydrographic tracers\(^26,27\). We therefore mask the meltwater content located above the \(27\)\(^{\circ}\)\(C\) isopycnal (approximately the upper 200 m) that is calculated from hydrographic data in summertime in Figs. 3d, 4d. In the following text, for the meltwater content in the masked region (Figs. 3d, 4d), we only discuss results calculated from noble gas data.

The hydrographic data reveal a strongly stratified water column above the \(27.55\) isopycnal (approximately the upper 200 m) that is calculated from hydrographic data in summer in Figs. 3d, 4d. In the following text, for the meltwater content in the masked region (Figs. 3d, 4d), we only discuss results calculated from noble gas data.

The hydrographic data reveal a strongly stratified water column above the \(27.42\) isopycnal (approximately the upper 200 m) that is calculated from hydrographic data in summer in Figs. 3d, 4d. In the following text, for the meltwater content in the masked region (Figs. 3d, 4d), we only discuss results calculated from noble gas data.

The summertime meltwater content above \(27.55\) isopycnal is always greater than \(5 \text{ g kg}^{-1}\), which makes the horizontal variability of meltwater content relatively small in summer (Figs. 2a, 2c, 3d, 4d). Note that there is no indication of meltwater-poor layers nor columns above 400 m in summer from either the noble gas or hydrographic data in front of PIIS (Figs. 2a, 2c, 3d, 4d).

We identify a clear isopycnal dome centred at about 32 km from PIIS (Fig. 4b) that may be associated with the cyclonic PIB gyre\(^21\). The meltwater content is highest in front of PIIS and decreases with distance from PIIS until the centre of the PIB gyre, where it reaches its minimum value (Fig. 4d). The meltwater content then increases with distance from the gyre centre to the northwestern edge of the PIB gyre along Section 2 (Fig. 4d). This suggests that the PIB gyre is transporting meltwater from PIIS cyclonically to the outer PIB.

Unambiguous meltwater signal in winter. In austral winter, the consistently negative air-sea heat flux leads to a cold WW layer occupying the upper 400 m (Figs. 3a, 4a, 5a, 6a). However, Fig. 1 reveals a striking near-surface warm signature in front of PIIS spreading from the northeastern end of PIIS toward the southwest and along the coast toward Thwaites Ice Shelf, probably following the cyclonic PIB gyre. This water is about 0.6 °C above freezing, suggesting the existence of a heat source, which can only be the warm meltwater rising from the ice-shelf cavity that forms a warm near-surface meltwater-rich layer. Unlike summertime temperature measurements, which need noble gas data to confirm a near-surface meltwater content\(^5,6,18,19\), wintertime temperature data themselves are robust enough to allow us to unambiguously identify the presence of meltwater from the cold ambient water. Seal-tag profiles to the east of Thwaites Ice Shelf and in front of Dotson Ice Shelf, further west in the Amundsen Sea, also show a similar surface warming feature (Supplementary Fig. 6). We propose that this near-surface warming induced by the rising meltwater is a common but previously unidentified feature for warm-cavity ice shelves.

Close to PIIS (within about 5 km), unlike the relatively spatially uniform summertime meltwater distribution (Figs. 2a, 3d, 4d),
the wintertime meltwater distribution is highly heterogeneous both horizontally and vertically (Figs. 2a, 3c, 4c, 5b, 6b). A near-surface meltwater-rich layer spreading above the cold WW (between the surface and the 27.45 isopycnal at about 250 m) and a deep meltwater-rich layer at around 450 m spreading below WW (near the 27.55 isopycnal) in front of PIIS, are clearly identified in all sections in winter (Figs. 3c, 4c, 5b, 6b). There are meltwater-rich columns connecting the deep and near-surface meltwater-rich layers through the WW layer, while a large proportion of the WW remains meltwater-poor (Figs. 2a, 2b, 3c, 4c, 5b, 6b). The meltwater-rich columns may be produced by sub-ice channels, which have been observed along the underside of the ice shelf\(^9\)–\(^{11,28}\). These channels can potentially focus the glacial meltwater outflow as an inverted stream of buoyant freshwater that is trapped beneath the ice shelf until it exits the cavity in the form of plumes\(^9\)–\(^{11,28}\).

To characterise the meltwater spreading away from PIIS in winter, we examine three sections that start from PIIS (Figs. 4c, 5b, 6b). Section 2 starts at the southwestern end of PIIS and extends across the whole PIB gyre toward the northwest (green rectangle in Fig. 1). The isopycnal doming associated with the PIB gyre is about 25 km further northwest in winter than summer in 2014 (Figs. 4c, 4d). Similar to the meltwater distribution pattern in summer (Fig. 4d), the meltwater distribution pattern in winter along Section 2 is concentrated near the ice-shelf front and the northwestern edge of the PIB gyre, and decreases towards the gyre centre (Fig. 4c). Section 3 also starts from the southwestern end of the ice-shelf front, but extends to the west downstream in the PIB gyre (blue rectangle in Fig. 1). In Section 3, we detect a meltwater distribution pattern very similar to Section 1 (Fig. 3c), characterised by the two-layer structure and scattered meltwater-rich columns (Fig. 5b). The similarity of the meltwater distribution between Sections 1 and 3 suggests that large amounts of meltwater are transported westwards, which may impede the meltwater flowing toward the gyre centre and therefore cause the

**Fig. 3** Section 1, along the PIIS calving front, from southwest to northeast. **a** Conservative temperature (\(\Theta\)) above freezing (red-blue colour scale) and potential-density isopycnals (in kg m\(^{-3}\)) contours from Section 1 (pink rectangle in Fig. 1) collected by seals in austral winter (Jul-Sep) 2014, with positions of profiles marked as triangles at the top of the panel. **b** Same as **a**, but for data from hydrographic profiles collected by ship-based vertical-microstructure profiler in February 2014. **c** Same as **a** and **b**, but coloured by meltwater content (blue colour scale). **d** Supplementary Fig. 2a, 2b show the same plots as **a** and **b**, but coloured by absolute salinity.

**Fig. 4** Section 2, from the southwestern end of the PIIS calving front towards the northwest. **a** Conservative temperature (\(\Theta\)) above freezing (red-blue colour scale) and potential-density isopycnals (in kg m\(^{-3}\)) contours from Section 2 (green rectangle in Fig. 1) collected by seal in winter 2014, with positions of profiles marked as triangles at the top of the panel. **b** Same as **a**, but for data from ship-based hydrographic profiles collected by conductivity-temperature-depth sensor in February 2014. **c** Same as **a** and **b**, but coloured by meltwater content (blue colour scale). **d** Supplementary Fig. 4a, 4b show the same plots as **a** and **b**, but coloured by absolute salinity.

**Fig. 5** Section 3, starts from the southeastern end of PIIS calving front and oriented following to the PIB gyre direction. **a** Conservative temperature (\(\Theta\)) above freezing (red-blue colour scale) and potential-density isopycnals (in kg m\(^{-3}\)) contours from Section 3 (blue rectangle in Fig. 1) collected by seals in winter 2014, with positions of the profiles marked as triangles at the top of the panel. **b** Same as **a**, but coloured by meltwater content (blue colour scale). **c** Supplementary Fig. 5a, 5b show the same plots as **a** and **b**, but coloured by absolute salinity.
meltwater-poor gyre centre identified in Section 2 (Fig. 4c). Section 4 starts at the northeastern end of PIIS calving front and extends to the northwest against the direction of rotation of the PIIB gyre (orange rectangle in Fig. 1). The near-surface meltwater from PIIS is apparent up to about 23 km along Section 4 (extends against the PIIB gyre circulation, Fig. 6b), about 35 km along Section 2 (almost across the PIIB gyre centre, Fig. 4c) and at least 70 km along Section 3 (extends along the PIIB gyre circulation, Fig. 5b). These differences may further imply that the PIIB gyre plays an important role in the distributions of meltwater and its associated heat, and probably also nutrients such as iron, in PIIB.

Unlike the summertime strongly stratified upper ocean, surface cooling and wind stirring lead to a homogeneous mixed layer above the 27.55 isopycnal in winter in regions where the meltwater and the heat it carries are absent (Figs. 3a, 4a, 5a, 6a). Therefore, the water above mCDW is denser in winter than in summer, which may allow meltwater-rich water to be comparably more buoyant and rise to a shallower depth in winter than in summer.

**Discussion**

The near-surface meltwater-rich layer appears to spread less far from PIIS than the deep meltwater-rich layer in Sections 2 and 4 in winter (Figs. 4c, 6b). Wintertime surface air-sea-ice interaction processes could be partially responsible for this apparent rapid loss of near-surface meltwater content. As soon as the meltwater exits the cavity and reaches the surface (and prior to the measurements being recorded by seals), some of the meltwater-related warm signal is likely to be quickly eroded by the strong surface cooling and likewise, the meltwater-related fresh signal may be obscured by brine rejected during sea ice formation7. Thus, the actual heat and freshwater supply from the meltwater could be larger than implied by our surface temperature and salinity measurements. Figure 2b reveals that both an increase of salinity and a decrease of temperature can lower the value of calculated meltwater content. Typical wintertime heat29 and salt fluxes30,31 induced by air-sea-ice interactions in front of PIIS might cause a decrease in meltwater content on the order of 2 g kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ (about 20% of the averaged wintertime near-surface meltwater content, see Methods).

Although the meltwater content calculated by wintertime hydrographic data may be decreased by surface processes, we suggest that it provides a reliable lower bound of the meltwater content rising to near surface in winter, because the observed meltwater signal near surface cannot be an artefact caused by solar warming, but only generated by meltwater itself. Noble gas measurements during both winter and summer would be necessary to accurately determine the extent of meltwater spreading20,32 but would be challenging to obtain in a region covered in sea ice for 10 months each year.

As mentioned above, there are meltwater-poor columns and two meltwater-rich layers in winter but not in summer (Figs. 3c, 3d, 4c, 4d, 5b, 6b). We hypothesise that the seasonal meltwater distributions found in the WW layer are caused by the seasonal stratification (Fig. 7). Previous research suggests that, in summer, when solar warming, sea ice melting and surface runoff stratify the WW layer (Figs. 3b, 4b), the rising meltwater can tilt isopycnals and trigger a centrifugal instability and related intense lateral mixing15. In contrast, in winter when surface cooling and wind mixing generate a homogeneous WW layer (Figs. 3a, 4a, 5a, 6a), we propose that rising meltwater can penetrate through WW via the meltwater-rich columns without undergoing intense lateral mixing (Fig. 7a). Therefore, in summer, the rising meltwater mixes intensively with the ambient water and spreads throughout the WW layer (Figs. 3d, 4d), whereas in winter, it spreads through meltwater-rich columns in the WW layer and leaves the rest of the WW layer meltwater-poor (Figs. 3c, 4c, 5b, 6b). Consequently, the spatial and temporal inhomogeneities of meltwater distribution are reduced in summer but strongly maintained in winter.

Near the outflow region of the Totten Ice Shelf, a warm-cavity ice shelf in East Antarctica, an unstratified upper ocean, similar to the WW layer in front of PIIS in winter, was observed when sea ice blocks solar warming in summer33. Just as we identify in front of PIIS in winter, the meltwater distribution near Totten Ice Shelf is variable33, which may suggest that the mixing between the meltwater-rich water and the ambient water is also weak in the
unstratified near-surface layer near the Totten Ice Shelf. This supports our hypothesis that upper-ocean stratification strongly influences the upward motion of basal meltwater from warm-cavity ice shelves.

The two layers of meltwater-rich water in winter suggest that different mechanisms create and/or control them. The melt rate of PIIS can vary by more than one order of magnitude within a month and might form meltwater-rich water with different buoyancies. As the wintertime upper ocean dominated by WW is uniform, meltwater-rich water with density greater than WW (about 1027.56 kg m\(^{-3}\)) remains in the pycnocline below the WW layer while the remaining less dense meltwater-rich water can rise. Process model results show that meltwater-rich water with a buoyancy of 2 × 10\(^{-3}\) m s\(^{-2}\) equivalent to a density of about 1027.36 kg m\(^{-3}\) will be trapped in stratified water and cannot surface. Therefore, the pycnocline at the mCDW-WW interface year-round may trap part of the rising meltwater and contribute to the deep meltwater-rich layer, while the meltwater-rich water with a density less than 1027.36 kg m\(^{-3}\) is likely to surface.

The seasonal meltwater distribution may vary interannually due to a range of processes. For example, in high-melting years, meltwater tends to be more buoyant and more likely to rise across isopycnals and reach a shallower depth. In addition, inter-annual variability of the temperature and volume of mCDW might change the meltwater distribution by changing the melt rate and the pycnocline depth, where the deep meltwater-rich layer is located. The presence of sea ice blocking solar radiation could also alter the summertime meltwater distribution by weakening the solar-warming-related stratification of the upper ocean, as occurs near Totten Ice Shelf. The spatially uniform meltwater distribution therefore may not be apparent in summer every year. However, due to the persistently negative net heat flux and strong wind stirring in winter, the wintertime upper-ocean stratification tends to be consistently weak. Thus, the highly variable meltwater distribution and weak lateral mixing of the rising meltwater seen in winter 2014 are likely to be representative of winter in other years.

We acknowledge several caveats to these results. First, we only have one year of seal-tag data in front of PIIS. One year is not enough to capture the long-term mean wintertime meltwater distribution nor its interannual variability in response to the large-scale forcing from, for example, El Niño–Southern Oscillation and the Southern Annular Mode, which may affect the meltwater distribution as the ocean state in front of PIIS is changed. More data in winter are required. Second, the value of calculated meltwater content is sensitive to the choice of end-points (see Methods). Meltwater-poor profiles were identified in front of PIIS in summer 2009 and 1994. However, a meltwater calculation using the 2009 and 1994 data with the same end-points as our study cannot reproduce the same summertime meltwater-poor feature. The values of end-points of 2014 summertime WW are determined based on the WW formed in the calculation using the 2009 and 1994 data with the same end-points (see Methods).

If rising meltwater penetrates through the WW layer with less intense mixing, it can rise to near surface nearly unmodified. Wintertime meltwater is significantly more concentrated near surface than at depth, which may maintain more iron in the euphotic zone (i.e. upper 200 m) to boost productivity in the following spring. The heat transported by the meltwater can increase the temperature of the near-surface layer up to about 1 °C above freezing (Fig. 1). Previous studies have pointed out that the residual meltwater near surface could maintain polynyas. We therefore argue that the heat from meltwater that we observe is likely to prevent sea ice formation, allow melting of sea ice and thus increase the spatial extent of local polynyas in front of ice shelves. The strong offshore wind near the ice-shelf front may also transport warm near-surface water further away from PIIS and expand the meltwater-influenced region. The enlarged polynyas can then lead to enhanced air-sea fluxes and have further impacts on iceberg calving and ice-shelf melting. The rising of meltwater might even reinforce the water mass exchanges and local ventilation near the ice shelf, as well as the reported overturning circulation to bring more warm water into the ice cavity to melt the ice shelf. Because meltwater is critical to local ventilation and sea ice conditions, representation of meltwater in climate models as uniform layers at specific depths without seasonality could lead to significant biases in the representation of ocean circulation, ocean-ice-shelf interaction, and surface heat exchanges.

**Methods**

**Ship-based datasets.** We used conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) profiles, vertical-microstructure profiler (VMP-2000) temperature and salinity profiles, and noble gas measurements obtained during expedition JR294/295, which took place on the RRS James Clark Ross under the Ocean2Ice project of the UK’s Ice Sheet Stability programme (ISTAR, http://www.istar.ac.uk). The CTD was a Sea-Bird SBE 911 with two sensor pairs of conductivity and temperature. Temperature was calibrated using a Sea-Bird SBE 35 deep thermometer while salinity was calibrated using a Guildline Autosal salinometer. CTD data were averaged into 2-dbar pressure bins. We follow the Thermodynamic Equations of Seawater-10 standard for all hydrographic data. Full details of ship-based measurements can be found in the JR294/295 cruise report at https://www.bodc.ac.uk/resources/inventories/cruise_inventory/reports/jr294.pdf.

Nine CTD stations were occupied along PIIS calving front (see diamonds in the pink rectangle in Fig. 1, the median and mean distances from PIIS to the locations of CTD stations were both 0.5 km) on 11 February 2014 and seven additional CTD stations were occupied perpendicularly to PIIS towards northwest from 9 to 20 February 2014. Fifty-eight VMP profiles of temperature and salinity were obtained along PIIS calving front (thick black line in the pink rectangle in Fig. 1, the median and mean distances from PIIS to the locations of VMP stations were about 1 and 1.5 km, respectively) deployed on 12 and 13 February 2014. Salinity data collected from VMP were calibrated against the calibrated salinity data from CTD. VMP data were averaged into 0.25-dbar pressure bins.

Seventy-one noble gas samples were collected at the CTD stations along PIIS calving front in copper tubes and sealed by crimping at both ends. Noble gas samples were analysed in the Isotope Geochemistry Facility at Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution. Dissolved gas extracted from the water is captured into thin-walled dilute glass bulbs that are maintained at a liquid nitrogen bath at −196 °C. Then the bulbs are attached to a dual mass spectrometer system and analysed for He, Ne, Ar, Kr and Xe. The noble gases are isolated on two cryogenic traps and selectively warmed to sequentially release each gas into the Hiden Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer for measurement by peak height manometry. The reproducibility from 1.8% and the analytical precision for noble gas is about 0.5–1‰. Noble gas are reported in micromoles per kilogram. We only use neon, argon, krypton and xenon in this study as the helium content can be influenced by mantle sources.

**Seal-tag hydrographic dataset.** Seven southern elephant seals (Mirounga leonina) and seven Weddell seals (Leptonychotes weddellii) were captured and tagged with CTD-Satellite Relayed Data Loggers during the same cruise under the same project (iSTAR) around the Amundsen Sea in February 2014. Three tagged seals along the western side of the island were retained to keep measuring the data during winter. For our study, we defined four seal-tag CTD sections: Section 1 is oriented along the PIIS calving front (pink rectangle in Fig. 1, Section 2 extends from the southeastern end of the ice-shelf front towards the northwest (green rectangle in Fig. 1), Section 3 extends from the southeastern end of the PIIS calving front towards the west along the coast downstream with the PIB gyre circulation (blue rectangle in Fig. 1), and Section 4 extends from the northeastern end of the PIIS calving front towards the northwest upstream opposing the PIB gyre circulation (orange rectangle in Fig. 1). All measurements included in these four sections were collected by the same female southern elephant seal (EF8388). The median and mean distances from PIIS to the locations of seal-tag CTD measurements are about 1.5 and 2 km, respectively.

We bin-average the seal-tag profiles along each section using a horizontal bin size of 200 m. Most bins contain only one profile (Section 1 has 41 bins, including 6 bins that contain two profiles and the 35 bins that contain one profile; Section 2 has 12 bins, including 1 bin that contains two profiles and 11 bins that contain one profile; Section 3 has 30 bins, including 2 bins that contain two profiles and 28 bins that contain one profile; Section 3 has 30 bins, including 3 bins that contain three
Quantifying the effect of wintertime surface cooling on derived meltwater values. In front of PIIS, the net heat flux calculated from ERA5 reanalysis data \(^{29}\) from 2014 winter (July–September) is on the order of \(3 \times 10^5 \text{ J m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}\) and the 10-year climatology (2004–2013) net salt flux in winter produced by Tamura et al. \(^{11}\) is on the order of 1 kg m \(^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}\). Note that, the datasets of surface fluxes we use here may contain substantial uncertainties in front of PIIS. If we assume that the surface cooling and brine rejection can only affect the mixed layer and the mixed-layer depth is 400 m, the heat and salt fluxes can cause a temperature increase on the order of 0.02 °C day\(^{-1}\) and a salinity increase on the order of 3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ g kg}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1} , which would be approximately equivalent to an apparent 2 g kg \(^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1} \) decrease (equivalent to about 20% of the averaged wintertime near-surface meltwater content) in derived meltwater in front of PIIS.

Calculation of meltwater content from noble gas datasets. The water masses used in this calculation are mCDW, air equilibrated water (AEW) and glacial meltwater. AEW is directly affected by the atmosphere and represents surface saturation values of the NG\(^{24}\). The end-points used for mCDW, AEW and meltwater chosen following previous research are: 8.12 \times 10^{-3} , 8.43 \times 10^{-3} and 91.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ g kg}^{-1} for Ne; 16.42, 17.52 and 44.66 \text{ g kg}^{-1} for Ar; 4.01 \times 10^{-3} , 4.43 \times 10^{-3} and 5.84 \times 10^{-3} \text{ g kg}^{-1} for Kr; 0.604 \times 10^{-3} , 0.660 \times 10^{-3} and 0.414 \times 10^{-3} \text{ g kg}^{-1} for Xe.

As the number of NG-tracer constraints plus mass conservation are more than the number of water masses to be identified in this calculation, we use Optimum Multiparameter Analysis to calculate the water mass fractions\(^{9,24}\). This calculation uses a least-squares regression with a nonnegativity constraint for our overdetermined equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
N_{\text{F}} & = \begin{pmatrix} N_{\text{mCDW}} & N_{\text{AEW}} & N_{\text{meltwater}} \end{pmatrix}^T \Phi \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\text{mCDW}} & \alpha_{\text{AEW}} & \alpha_{\text{meltwater}} \end{pmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\Phi_{\text{water}}\) is the mass fraction of water masses and names of noble gas with subscripts are the observed values or the characteristic properties (i.e. end-points) of water masses.

We chose the end-points following previously published research. The values of W W end-points (−1.86 °C of \(\Theta_{\text{WW}}\) and 34.32 kg \(m^{-3}\) of \(S_{\text{WW}}\)) were derived by Biddle et al. \(^{25}\) ("pure WW" of Biddle et al., 2019) and do not vary seasonally. When we define the end-points for the meltwater, we consider it as an ice cube rather than a water parcel—as the meltwater comes from the interaction between the mCDW and the ice shelf. The meltwater is calculated from the far-field ice temperature (between −20 and −15 °C) to the melting temperature and the latent heat of fusion\(^{31}\). The end-points for the meltwater used in this study are therefore −90.8 °C for \(\Theta_{\text{meltwater}}\) and 0 kg \(m^{-3}\) for \(S_{\text{meltwater}}\), and do not vary seasonally\(^{19}\). The values of mCDW end-points (1.12 °C of \(\Theta_{\text{mCDW}}\) and 34.87 kg \(m^{-3}\) of \(S_{\text{mCDW}}\)) come from hydrographic data collected in PIB in summer\(^{19}\). Our seal-tag data from PIB reveal the \(\Theta_{\text{mCDW}}\) to be about 0.03 °C higher in summer (1.15 °C) than in winter (1.12 °C), which would be approximately equivalent to a 0.05 °C kg \(^{-1}\) summer–winter difference.
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