Maternal gestational weight gain and objectively measured physical activity among offspring
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Abstract

Objective

Animal studies have suggested that maternal weight-related factors during pregnancy can program offspring physical activity in a sex-dependent manner. However, there is limited evidence in humans. The purpose of this study was to investigate the association between maternal gestational weight gain (GWG) and offspring total physical activity (TPA) level and to determine whether these associations are moderated by sex of offspring or maternal pre-pregnancy weight status.

Method

We studied 56 boys (mean age = 3.7 years, standard deviation (SD) 0.5) and 57 girls (mean age = 3.5±0.5 years) enrolled in licensed childcare centers. TPA was objectively measured using Actical® accelerometers. Information on pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI), GWG, and other maternal factors were collected with a maternal health questionnaire. Associations between GWG, as a continuous variable or categorically (inadequate, adequate, and excessive), and offspring TPA were analysed using linear mixed models to take into account the intraclass correlation between the clusters (childcare centers). Models were adjusted for gestational age, accelerometer weartime, socioeconomic status, and pre-pregnancy BMI status.

Results

We found a significant sex interaction (P-value = 0.009). In boys, greater GWG was associated with decreased offspring TPA (β = -3.2 counts 1000⁻¹/d, 95% confidence intervals (CI) = -6.4–0.02, P-value = 0.049). In girls born to mothers categorized as overweight or obese, the association between the GWG and TPA followed an inverted U-shape curve (β for GWG squared = -0.1 counts 1000⁻¹/d, 95% CI = (-0.2 —-0.04), P-value = 0.005). In contrast, a U-shaped curve was found in girls born to mothers classified as lean (pre-pregnancy BMI<25
kg/m²) (β for GWG squared = 0.7 counts 1000⁻¹/d, 95% CI = 0.2–1.2, P-value = 0.011). In boys, TPA in offspring was higher among women with inadequate GWG compared to adequate GWG (P-value = 0.0137), whereas no significant differences were found in girls (P-value = 0.107).

**Conclusion**

Maternal GWG can be an important biological marker of offspring TPA. These findings support the sex-dependent early developmental programming influence of GWG on TPA.

**Introduction**

Physical activity (PA) has been linked to numerous health benefits in children [1,2]. Unfortunately, awareness of these health benefits has not translated into sufficient increases in PA, as a substantial proportion of children do not meet the PA and sedentary behavior guidelines [3]. The current Canadian early years movement guidelines for infants, toddlers, and preschool-aged children call for volume and do not specify intensity [4]. Unfortunately, different intervention strategies and approaches aimed at increasing children’s volume of PA have proven to be relatively ineffective [5,6]. Further information is required about factors that regulate children’s PA behaviour to find more effective strategies to increase their PA levels [7,8].

Like many other complex traits, PA originates from a multitude of interactions between the genome, epigenome, environment, as well as the function and structure of different bodily systems [9]. In addition, the notion that early life exposures can alter our tendency to be physically active in later life has gained popularity [9]. According to the developmental origins of health and disease (DOHaD) concept, the *in utero* and early childhood environment can influence the growth and development of an embryo, fetus, and thus child, in essence "programming" their future life trajectory [10].

Much of the research focusing on the developmental programming paradigm has converged on the long-term risk for non-communicable diseases. Substantial evidence from multiple birth cohort studies has shown that factors such as maternal pre-pregnancy weight status, gestational weight gain (GWG), and birth weight, a surrogate marker for the intrauterine environment, are associated with increased risk of developing non-communicable diseases among offspring [11–13]. Some human studies have also investigated the association between birth weight and offspring self-reported [14] or objectively measured PA later in life [15]. In fact, a meta-analysis of data from 13 birth cohorts found that both low and high birth weight was associated with decreased PA in adult offspring compared to the normal birth weight category [14]. However, studies applying objective PA measurements have not been able to confirm an association between birth weight and PA [15–17].

Although the association between birth weight and PA has not been well established, animal studies have linked a maternal high-fat diet during pregnancy with a reduction in offspring PA [18,19], possibly in a sex-dependent manner [20]. Interestingly, in humans, maternal fat intake during pregnancy may be more strongly related to GWG than to birth weight [20]. GWG has also shown to be independently associated with elevated levels of leptin in fetal cord blood [21]. Subsequently, leptin has been linked to the developmental programming of energy balance regulation [22] and regulation of PA [8]. While the link between GWG and offspring risk for obesity in childhood [23], asthma [24], and several cardio-metabolic risk factors [25] has been established, less is known about whether it is associated with health-
related behaviors. Thus, we hypothesized that in our current obesogenic environment, where up to 60% of women exceed current evidence-based guidelines for GWG [26] and children are largely physically inactive [27], GWG could represent a potential modifiable biological candidate marker for children’s PA.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the relationship between maternal GWG and objectively measured daily total PA (TPA) in preschool-aged boys and girls. We also aimed to evaluate whether this association is moderated by sex of offspring or maternal pre-pregnancy weight status.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

This study uses data collected from the Activity Begins in Childhood (ABC, ISRCTN Registry, ISRCTN94022291) trial [28]. The ABC-trial was a cluster randomized controlled trial that investigated the effect of a 6-month childcare PA intervention with or without a home component on preschoolers’ TPA. The participants were recruited from 18 childcare centers located in Ottawa (Ontario, Canada) and surrounding area. In the present study, we utilized the baseline PA data of preschoolers baseline PA data thus eliminating any potential intervention effects. Our final analyses included 113 singleton children (56 boys and 57 girls). One mother/child dyad was not included due to abnormal maternal GWG (-15 kg) relative to the pre-pregnancy weight (65 kg). The Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario Research Ethics Board approved this study and parents provided written informed consent before participation.

**Measurements**

**Total physical activity.** TPA was measured for a 7-day period with omni directional Actical® accelerometers (Phillips–Respironics, Ore., USA). Study staff secured the Acticals onto an elasticized belt with frontal clip closure and taught parents and childcare teachers how to correctly position the Actical upright and over the right hip of the children. They were also instructed to remove the Actical during bathing or swimming and to record on a log sheet when the child put on and took off their Actical each day. Trained educators provided the accelerometers to the children at their arrival to the childcare center on day 1 and study staff collected these at the end of the measurement period. Data were collected in 15-second epochs during the waking hours. Continuous zero counts for longer than 60 minutes were considered non-wear time. Days were considered valid if children had at least four hours of accelerometer wear time during childcare hours (from 8:30 am to 4:30 pm) [29] and one hour of wear time during hours outside of childcare (weekdays) or at least 5 hours of wear time during a weekend day. Children were included in the analysis if they had at least 5 hours of accelerometer data per day for at least 3 of the 7-day measurement period [3]. The variability in accelerometer counts and wear time for each valid day is described in Table 1. TPA was expressed as a sum of total daily counts during valid days divided by the number of valid days and reported as counts per day. Accelerometer data was analyzed with a combination of a specific data analysis support tool (SAS, ACCEL+ version 1.0) that has been previously used in the Canadian Health Measures Surveys [30] and a customized Stata program.

**Children’s anthropometrics.** Child height was measured with a portable stadiometer (Seca GmBH & Co Kg, Hamburg Germany). Weight was measured with a calibrated portable digital scale (ProFit Precision Personal Health Scale, UC-321, A&D Medical, San Jose, CA) to the nearest 0.1 kg. Both height and weight were measured using the standard Canadian Society for Exercise Physiology–Physical Activity Training for Health (CSEP-PATH) protocol [31]. The scale and stadiometer were placed on a clean flat surface. The stadiometer was set-up
according to manufacturer’s guidelines that specified using the extension arm to brace against a wall to ensure the stadiometer was entirely upright. The CSEP-PATH protocol specifies that shoes are to be removed and light clothing worn. Each measure was taken twice, and if the measures were within two decimal places of the initial measure, the average was recorded. If any discrepancy beyond two decimal places existed, the participant was re-measured. Child body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing weight in kilograms by height in meters squared. Information about child birth weight was based self-report of the mother.

Maternal factors. Mothers’ self-reported GWG was measured with a questionnaire. In addition to continuous GWG, we categorized GWG into inadequate, adequate, and excessive according to the Institute of Medicine IOM guidelines [32]. A questionnaire was also administered to collect information about pre-pregnancy height and weight, pregnancy complications, current height and weight, and gestational age at term. The retrospective self-report of pre-pregnancy weight, GWG, and other pregnancy-related factors have been fairly reliable and valid [33–35]. Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI was calculated by dividing pre-pregnancy weight in kilograms by height in meters squared.

Socioeconomic status. Socioeconomic status (SES) was defined by household income which was measured with a questionnaire modified from the one used in the Canadian Health Measures Survey and divided income into three categories ($0–29 999, $30 000–99 999, ≥ $100 000) [36].

Statistics. Data are reported as mean and standard deviations (SD) or 95% confidence intervals (CI) unless otherwise stated. Baseline characteristics between the boys and girls were compared with a chi-squared test (nominal), Mann-Whitney U test (ordinal), or a t-test (continuous). To account for the clustered design, we used a linear mixed effect model with a random effect for childcare center to investigate the association between the GWG and offspring TPA. A significant sex x GWG interaction effect on TPA was found (P = 0.009). Thus analyses were performed separately for boys and girls. Furthermore, we performed sex-specific analyses stratified by maternal pre-pregnancy BMI. Pre-pregnancy BMI was grouped into only two

Table 1. The variability in accelerometer counts and weartime for each valid day.

| Day | n (%) | Counts 1000⁻¹ | Weartime |
|-----|-------|---------------|----------|
| Boys | | | |
| 1   | 56 (100) | 192.8 (68.8) | 683.1 (99.6) |
| 2   | 56 (100) | 230.9 (98.1) | 762.0 (162.4) |
| 3   | 56 (100) | 219.1 (87.8) | 741.2 (138.4) |
| 4   | 55 (98) | 216.1 (87.1) | 753.7 (141.9) |
| 5   | 52 (93) | 205.2 (85.8) | 759.6 (167.5) |
| 6   | 39 (70) | 230.9 (113.8) | 795.3 (198.1) |
| 7   | 16 (29) | 193.1 (97.9) | 720.5 (203.7) |
| Girls | | | |
| 1   | 57 (100) | 156.5 (62.4) | 643.6 (134.4) |
| 2   | 57 (100) | 204.2 (67.6) | 727.9 (154.2) |
| 3   | 57 (100) | 191.9 (98.2) | 671.5 (183.3) |
| 4   | 54 (95) | 182.4 (80.3) | 668.0 (135.3) |
| 5   | 52 (91) | 192.2 (72.3) | 719.4 (160.2) |
| 6   | 44 (77) | 197.3 (79.2) | 677.9 (159.1) |
| 7   | 21 (37) | 179.5 (67.0) | 696.8 (182.5) |

Data are shown as mean (standard deviation) unless otherwise stated

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180249.t001
categories, as we had a limited number cases of underweight or obese mothers. For the first category we pooled underweight and normal weight categories (0 = maternal pre-pregnancy BMI $< 25.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$), and for the second category, we pooled overweight and obese weight categories (1 = maternal pre-pregnancy BMI $\geq 25.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$). In addition to linear models, we investigated the non-linear quadratic models by including a quadratic term ($GWG^2 = GWG$ times GWG) into the model, and significant models with higher-order terms were reported. Similar linear mixed effects models were also used to investigate the association between inadequate, adequate, and excessive GWG and offspring TPA based on the aforementioned GWG guidelines [32]. In the case of violation of assumptions (e.g. non-normality), the generalized robust sandwich estimators were used to calculate the standard errors. All models were adjusted for accelerometer wear time, gestational age, and SES. Additionally, when applicable, models were further adjusted for maternal pre-pregnancy BMI status. Analyses were not adjusted for birth weight as it was not significantly associated with TPA in boys ($P = 0.219$) or girls ($P = 0.957$). Data were considered statistically significant when $p$-values were $< 0.05$. All analyses were performed with Stata 13.1 SE (StataCorp LP, TX, USA).

Results
Baseline characteristics of participants are presented in Table 2. As shown in Table 2, boys were significantly older, taller, heavier, and were more active than girls. No significant differences were found between sexes in maternal GWG, although mothers with male offspring were more likely to exceed GWG guidelines. We found no significant sex differences in child’s current BMI, maternal pre-pregnancy weight, gestational age, pregnancy complications, or SES. There were no significant differences in age, gestational age, birth weight, body height, body weight, BMI, TPA, or accelerometer wear time between boys and girls included in the analysis ($n = 113$) and those who were excluded ($n = 54$) ($P > 0.05$). Children who were excluded were those who had PA data but were missing data for other variables. SES status, which was only available from 28 (52%) of the excluded children, was significantly higher among included children ($\chi^2 = 11.6, df = 1, P = 0.001$).

A greater maternal GWG was significantly and linearly associated with decreased TPA in all boys when adjusted for maternal pre-pregnancy BMI, gestational age at term, accelerometer wear time, and SES (Table 3, Fig 1A). We found no significant GWG by maternal pre-pregnancy BMI interaction on male offspring TPA ($P$ for interaction = 0.561). The association between GWG and TPA was similar regardless whether boys were born to mothers who were normal weight or overweight/obese (Table 3, Fig 1B and 1C).

In analyses including all girls, maternal GWG was not significantly (neither linearly or non-linearly) associated with TPA in their offspring (Table 4, Fig 1D). However, in girls, we found a significant GWG squared by maternal pre-pregnancy BMI interaction on offspring TPA ($P$ for interaction = 0.016). When analyses were stratified by maternal pre-pregnancy BMI, GWG was significantly and non-linearly associated with offspring TPA both in girls born to mothers who were lean or overweight/obese (Table 4). Among girls born to lean mothers, the relationship between GWG and offspring TPA followed a U-shaped curve (Fig 1E). GWG at the vertex, the lowest point of a quadratic curve, was 11 kg and TPA was 167.6 counts$\cdot 1000^{-1}/d$. In contrast, among girls born to mothers who were overweight or obese the association between GWG and TPA followed a significant inverted U-shaped curve (Fig 1F). At the vertex, the highest point of a quadratic curve, GWG was 18 kg, and TPA was 197.9 counts$\cdot 1000^{-1}/d$.

TPA was significantly different between the inadequate, adequate, and excessive GWG categories in boys when adjusted for gestational age at term, accelerometer wear time, and SES (Fig 2A). In boys, TPA was higher in the inadequate GWG category compared to the adequate
Table 2. Subject characteristics.

| Characteristics            | Boys                |          | Girls               |          | P-value |
|----------------------------|---------------------|----------|---------------------|----------|---------|
|                            | n                   | Mean (SD)| n                   | Mean (SD)|         |
| Children                   |                     |          |                     |          |         |
| Age (years)                | 55                  | 3.7 (0.5)| 57                  | 3.5 (0.5)| 0.031   |
| Gestational age (weeks)    | 56                  | 39.0 (2.0)| 57                  | 38.9 (1.9)| 0.951   |
| Birth weight (g)           | 53                  | 3556 (689)| 57                  | 3240 (554)| 0.009   |
| Height (cm)                | 55                  | 101.7 (6)| 57                  | 97.7 (5.3)| <0.001  |
| Weight (kg)                | 55                  | 17.0 (2.5)| 57                  | 15.4 (1.9)| <0.001  |
| BMI (kg/m²)                | 55                  | 16.4 (1.3)| 57                  | 16.1 (1.4)| 0.326   |
| TPA (counts 1000⁻¹/d)      | 56                  | 213.6 (69.6)| 57                  | 183.8 (48.9)| 0.010   |
| Wear time (min/d)          | 56                  | 742.1 (106.2)| 57                  | 679.3 (104.7)| 0.002   |
| Mothers                    |                     |          |                     |          |         |
| Pre-pregnancy weight (kg)  | 56                  | 65.2 (10.2)| 57                  | 67.9 (13.5)| 0.234   |
| Pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m²)  | 56                  | 24.0 (3.8)| 57                  | 24.6 (4.6)| 0.460   |
| Pre-pregnancy BMI categories|                    |          |                     |          |         |
| Underweight, n (%)         | 56                  | 0 (0)    | 57                  | 2 (4)    | 0.202   |
| Normal weight, n (%)       | 56                  | 42 (75)  | 57                  | 33 (58)  |         |
| Overweight, n (%)          | 56                  | 8 (14)   | 57                  | 11 (19)  |         |
| Obese, n (%)               | 56                  | 6 (11)   | 57                  | 11 (19)  |         |
| GWG (kg)                   | 56                  | 13.9 (5.7)| 57                  | 12.7 (5.6)| 0.302   |
| GWG categories             |                     |          |                     |          | 0.011   |
| Inadequate, n (%)          | 56                  | 12 (21)  | 57                  | 23 (40)  |         |
| Adequate, n (%)            | 56                  | 26 (46)  | 57                  | 25 (44)  |         |
| Excessive, n (%)           | 56                  | 18 (32)  | 57                  | 9 (16)   |         |
| Pregnancy complications    |                     |          |                     |          | 1.000   |
| Pre-term delivery, n (%)   | 56                  | 3 (5)    | 57                  | 4 (7)    |         |
| IUGR, n (%)                | 56                  | 0 (0)    | 57                  | 3 (5)    | 0.243   |
| GDM, n (%)                 | 56                  | 2 (4)    | 57                  | 4 (7)    | 0.679   |
| PIH, n (%)                 | 56                  | 1 (2)    | 57                  | 3 (5)    | 0.618   |
| Other, n (%)               | 56                  | 17 (30)  | 57                  | 18 (32)  | 1.000   |
| Household income           |                     |          |                     |          | 0.465   |
| ≤ $29,999, n (%)           | 56                  | 2 (4)    | 57                  | 5 (9)    |         |
| $30,000 – $99,999, n (%)   | 56                  | 9 (16)   | 57                  | 9 (16)   |         |
| ≥ $100,000, n (%)          | 56                  | 45 (80)  | 57                  | 43 (75)  |         |

BMI, body mass index; TPA, total physical activity; GWG, gestational weight gain; IUGR, intrauterine growth restriction; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; PIH, pregnancy induced hypertension

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180249.t002

Table 3. The relationship between the maternal gestational weight gain and preschool-age male offspring TPA (counts 1000⁻¹/d) stratified by maternal pre-pregnancy body mass index.

| Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI | Independent variable | β (95% CI) | P |
|----------------------------|----------------------|------------|---|
| All women                  | GWG                  | -3.2 (-6.4 —0.02) | 0.049 |
| Normal (BMI <25 kg/m²)     | GWG                  | -2.5 (-5.6— 0.5)  | 0.105 |
| Overweight or obese (≥25 kg/m²) | GWG    | -8.9 (-20.8—3.1)  | 0.148 |

BMI, body mass index; GWG, gestational weight gain

For all women n = 56, n for normal n = 42, and for overweight or obese n = 14

All models are adjusted for maternal pre-pregnancy BMI status (only all), gestational age at term, accelerometer wear time, and socioeconomic status.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180249.t003
Fig 1. The relationship between the maternal gestational weight gain (GWG) and physical activity in offspring. (A) In all preschool-age boys (n = 56). (B) In preschool age boys born to mothers with pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI) < 25 kg/m$^2$ (n = 42) (C) In preschool age boys born to mothers with pre-pregnancy BMI ≥ 25 kg/m$^2$ (n = 14) (D) In all preschool-age girls (n = 57). (E) In preschool-age girls born to mothers with pre-pregnancy BMI < 25 kg/m$^2$ (n = 35). (F) In preschool-age girls born to mothers with pre-pregnancy BMI ≥ 25 kg/m$^2$ (n = 22). Adjusted for maternal pre-pregnancy BMI (only all), gestational age at term, accelerometer weartime, and economic status.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180249.g001
Table 4. The relationship between the maternal gestational weight gain and TPA in preschool-age female offspring (counts 1000⁻¹/d) when stratified by maternal pre-pregnancy body mass index.

| Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI | independent variable | \( \beta \) (95% CI) | P |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---|
| All women                  | GWG                  | 1.7 (-0.6–4.0)        | 0.147 |
| Normal weight (BMI <25 kg/m²) | GWG squared | 0.7 (0.2–1.2)        | 0.011 |
| Overweight or obese (>25 kg/m²) | GWG squared | -0.1 (-0.2–0.04) | 0.005 |

BMI, body mass index; GWG, gestational weight gain
For all women \( n = 57 \), for normal weight \( n = 35 \), and for overweight or obese \( n = 22 \)
All models are adjusted for maternal pre-pregnancy BMI status (only all), gestational age at term, accelerometer wear time, and socioeconomic status.

(mean difference = -41.6 counts-1000⁻¹/d, 95% CI = -74.6–8.5, P-value = 0.014) or excessive GWG (mean difference = -36.7 counts-1000⁻¹/d, 95% CI = -90.6–17.1, P-value = 0.181) categories. No significant differences were found in girls (Fig 2B).

Discussion

In this study, we found that maternal GWG was independently associated with preschool-age offspring TPA in a sex-dependent manner. In boys, greater GWG was associated with decreased TPA independent of maternal pre-pregnancy BMI status. However, in girls, maternal pre-pregnancy BMI was found to moderate the relationship between GWG and TPA. In mothers who were overweight or obese, the association between the GWG and TPA followed an inverted U-shaped curve, which reached its highest point when GWG was equal to 18 kg. In contrast, a U-shaped curve, which peaked when GWG was equal to 11 kg, was found in mothers who were underweight or normal weight before pregnancy. Additionally, in boys, TPA was found to be higher among the offspring of mothers with inadequate GWG. In girls, TPA did not differ between inadequate, adequate, excessive GWG categories. Findings from the present study support the sex-dependent role of maternal GWG as a predictor of offspring TPA.

We are unaware of any previous human studies that have investigated the relationship between maternal GWG and objectively measured PA in offspring. There are however several

![Fig 2. The association between the inadequate, adequate, and excessive gestational weight gain categories and TPA in offspring. (A) Preschool-age boys (n = 56). (B) Preschool-age girls (n = 57).](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180249.g002)
animal studies that have linked experimental maternal under- or overnutrition to the developmental programming of PA or locomotor behavior [18,37,38]. In humans, GWG, which has been associated with increased energy, fat and carbohydrate intake [21,39], can be used as a surrogate marker of nutritional status during pregnancy. Although the direct comparison of animal and human studies is difficult, our findings seem consistent with a previous animal study reporting reduced PA in male offspring exposed to the maternal over-nutrition induced by high-fat diet during pregnancy [18]. Furthermore, in female rodents, both a high lard diet [40] and maternal obesity during pregnancy combined with fetal growth restriction [41], have been associated with decreased PA. These results support the inverted U-shaped association found in girls born to overweight or obese mothers (Fig 1F). However, it does not support the U-shaped association found in girls born to lean mothers (Fig 1E). Unfortunately, we were unable to investigate the factors that could explain these differences, and we suspect they could be related to the maternal diet or PA during pregnancy. Previous studies have reported a better diet quality [42–44] and greater volume of PA [45] during pregnancy among lean women compared to the overweight or obese women. Further studies are required to examine, whether there is a specific maternal micro- or macronutrient or PA behavior that could help explain the relationships reported.

In the present study, we also compared the differences in offspring TPA between the inadequate, adequate, and excessive GWG categories as recommended by IOM [32]. In boys, inadequate GWG was associated with higher TPA in offspring, which is consistent with the results from continuous GWG. We did not find significant differences in girls, which could be related to the fact this association may be modified by pre-pregnancy BMI. Unfortunately, we had too few cases to stratify these analyses by maternal pre-pregnancy weight status. Overall, because of the limited sample size, our finding related to GWG categories should be interpreted with caution. In the future, larger studies with objectively measured PA data are required to verify these initial but novel findings. Nevertheless, our current data support maternal GWG as a possible biomarker of sex-specific programming of PA in offspring.

According to a recent review article, the exact mechanisms for sex differences in developmental programming remain to be determined. Then again, these differences may be related to differences in placenta function [46]. Work by our group has recently demonstrated differential expression of placental nutrient transporters in male offspring of women who are obese vs. lean and those who exceed GWG guidelines [47]. Also, maternal obesity has been linked to the sex-specific methylation of the placenta LEP gene, which has also been positively associated with neurobehavioral profiles marked by lethargy, hypotonicity, non-optimal reflexes, and low excitability in males [48]. Collectively, the literature demonstrates that there is considerable sexual dimorphism in placenta, that placentas from male and female offspring behave differently and likely alter the nutrient and hormonal milieu passed to the fetus. Although the exact mechanisms behind the developmental programming of PA remain to be determined, it could be related to the adverse development of neuronal circuitry in the hypothalamus induced by altered circulating levels of hormones, e.g. insulin or leptin [49]. In animal studies, maternal obesity and overfeeding have been directly linked to hypothalamic leptin resistance, reduced leptin signalling, and altered hypothalamic neurodevelopment towards orexigenic pathways [50], each having been associated with regulation of PA [51]. Hypothalamic leptin resistance could also affect an individual’s PA by down-regulating nescent helix-loop-helix 2 (Nhlh2) transcription factor [52]. Nhlh2 has been associated with increased spontaneous PA and voluntary exercise in mice possibly by increasing one’s motivation to exercise by up-regulating b-endorphin and/or dopamine levels [53]. Although such mechanisms cannot be directly investigated in humans, there is evidence showing that maternal obesity during pregnancy and GWG are associated with fetal hyperleptinemia and insulin resistance [21,54] and that high
leptin levels at birth are linked to the head circumference, supporting the role of leptin in brain development [55]. In addition to the morphological changes of the brain, suboptimal maternal diet could affect PA indirectly by reducing skeletal muscle mass or strength [56]. It is also likely that these mechanisms interact highly with epigenetic processes [9].

Our findings support the developmental programming of children’s TPA. It is easy to postulate that one of the possible influences that optimizing GWG may have on offspring TPA, and subsequently on children’s health, is related to childhood obesity. In the dataset used in the present study, offspring TPA was not linked to children’s BMI Z score ($\beta = -0.00005 \text{ counts}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1} \text{t}^{-1} ; 95\% \text{ CI} = -0.003–0.003$) when adjusted for accelerometer weartime and SES. While the relationship between TPA and BMI, or obesity prevention, has been shown quite conclusively in older children, at the pre-school age this has been less consistent [57]. Similar to Carson et al. [57] we were unable to identify this relationship in our pre-school aged children, but if the current PA patterns were to continue over time, it is possible that these children would be at risk of obesity. In the future, larger prospective cohort studies are required to investigate a possible influence of GWG related programming of TPA on BMI and other health indicators in offspring.

A notable strength of our study is that it focuses on humans, a rarity when studying fetal programming of PA. An additional strength is the use of objectively measured TPA of at least five hours per day over 3 or more days, which has previously shown to be representative of preschoolers’ daily PA [3]. There are limitations that should be considered when interpreting the findings. Maternal weight-related factors were based on maternal recall, which is susceptible to bias. That said, pregnancy represents a very memorable period of time within a woman’s life, and there are data to suggest that women recall GWG quite reliably between 4–12 years post-pregnancy ($r = 0.63$) [35]. Recognizing the impact of potential misclassification, efforts have been made by McClure et al. [35] to develop a regression equation to correct estimates of GWG based on retrospective self-report data. Using this technique, the correction of recalled GWG did not alter the main outcomes in our study (Fig 1). Furthermore, our findings in boys may have been affected by a limited number of cases at each end of the GWG distribution. Thus, these data should be interpreted with caution and require replication with a larger dataset. Also, our data were limited to the maternal weight-related factors during pregnancy. Consequently, we were unable to investigate the role or maternal PA, dietary intake, or other behavior-related data from mothers before, during, or after pregnancy, which may have altered our findings [58,59]. In the future, the role of maternal diet, PA, and GWG on different stages of pregnancy needs to be studied as they may be related to offspring PA. Lastly, our study sample included children who attended licensed childcare centers. Use of this captive group may have resulted in a sample that is more focused on families with higher SES (Table 2). In Ontario, Canada, childcare centers are relatively costly. Not only that, but the full-time positions are generally filled with children whose parents both work, thus potentially having a higher household income. Replicating these findings with children from families with lower SES will be necessary.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the findings of the present study provide preliminary evidence that maternal GWG is independently associated with TPA in preschool-aged offspring in a sex-dependent manner. Our data suggest that GWG, which has been previously associated with risk of downstream disease in offspring [22–24], could be a risk factor for physical inactivity. Our findings support future intervention research to determine whether managing GWG in hopes of optimizing developmental programming will have a positive impact on offspring PA.
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