Four New Sesquiterpenoids from the Roots of Diarthron Tianschanica with Their Antineoplastic Activity
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Abstract: Four new sesquiterpenoids, known as diarthronchas A–D (1–4), and one known daphnauranol B (5) were isolated from the methanol extract of the roots of Diarthron tianschanica. The compounds structures were determined on the basis of spectroscopic data. All of the isolated compounds were profiled for their antineoplastic activity.

Keywords: thymelaeaceae; Diarthron tianschanica; sesquiterpenoids; antineoplastic activity

1. Introduction

Diarthron tianschanica (Pobed.) Kit Tanis, a member of the genus Diarthron (Thymelaeaceae), is only observed in the Zhaosu County of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region, China [1]. The roots of D. tianschanica have been used in folk medicine to cure a wide variety of ailments, including coughs; asthma [2]; bronchitis [3]; and tuberculosis of the skin, bone, and epididymis [4]. Previously, our group examined the chemical constituents of D. tianschanica, resulting in the identification of lignans, coumarins, and diarylpentanols [5,6]. As part of our ongoing phytochemical study, we further investigated the chemical constituents from the roots of D. tianschanica and obtained four new sesquiterpenoids, known as diarthronchas A–D (1–4), and one known daphnauranol B (5) [7] (Figure 1). In this paper, we elucidate the structure of these new compounds and their antineoplastic activity.

Figure 1. Structures of compounds diarthronchas A–D (1–4) and daphnauranol B (5).
2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Purification of Compounds 1–4

The roots of D. tianschanica (10 kg) were soaked with MeOH at room temperature and extracted three times under reflux. The sesquiterpenoids were isolated and purified via silica gel chromatography, Sephadex LH-20 gel chromatography, and semi-preparative high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).

2.2. Structure Elucidation of Compounds 1–4

Compound 1 was obtained as a white powder. This compound’s molecular formula was established to be C\textsubscript{15}H\textsubscript{22}O\textsubscript{3}, based on the HRESIMS quasimolecular ion at \( m/z \) 273.1485 [M + Na]\(^{+}\) (Calcd for. 273.1467 C\textsubscript{15}H\textsubscript{22}O\textsubscript{3}Na). The infrared (IR) and ultraviolet (UV) spectra revealed absorptions for the hydroxyl (3365 cm\(^{-1}\)) and an \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated ketone moiety (216 nm; 1724 cm\(^{-1}\)) \[8\]. The \( ^{1}H \)-NMR spectrum (Table 1) showed three tertiary methyl groups as singlets at \( \delta \text{H} \) 1.19 (3H, s, H\textsubscript{3}-15), 1.54 (3H, s, H\textsubscript{3}-14), and 1.72 (3H, s, H\textsubscript{3}-13), and an exo-methylene as two broad doublets at \( \delta \text{H} \) 4.65 (1H, d, \( J = 1.8 \) Hz, H-12a) and 4.71 (1H, d, \( J = 1.8 \) Hz, H-12b). The \( ^{13}C \)-APT nmR spectrum revealed 15 carbon signals due to three methyls, five methylenes, one methine, and six quaternary carbons. Among these signals, the carbon signals at \( \delta \text{C} \) 136.8 (C-10), 172.1 (C-1), and 205.3 (C-9) indicated the presence of an \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated ketone group, and the carbon signals at \( \delta \text{C} \) 20.1 (C-13), 108.7 (C-12), and 151.0 (C-11) revealed the existence of an anisopropenyl group \[8\]. The results described above suggested that compound 1 contained a guaiane-type skeleton \[8\]. All the signals and functional groups were confirmed by HSQC, HMBC, and \( ^{1}H^{-1}H \) COSY spectra. In the HMBC spectrum (Figure 2A), the protons of \( \delta \text{H} \) 1.54 (s, H\textsubscript{3}-14) were correlated with \( \delta \text{C} \) 136.8 (C-10), 172.1 (C-1), and 205.3 (C-9), indicating that the connection of H\textsubscript{3}-14 is at C-10 and the presence of an \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated ketone group. Moreover, the proton signal at \( \delta \text{H} \) 1.19 (s, H\textsubscript{3}-15) had long-range correlations with carbon signals at \( \delta \text{C} \) 39.5 (C-3), 73.9 (C-4), and 83.3 (C-5), suggesting that the methyl group of H\textsubscript{3}-15 was located at the quaternary carbon C-4. The double bond protons at \( \delta \text{H} \) 4.65 (1H, d, \( J = 1.8 \) Hz, H-12a) and 4.71 (1H, d, \( J = 1.8 \) Hz, H-12b) and the methyl protons at \( \delta \text{H} \) 1.72 (3H, s, H-13) were connected with \( \delta \text{C} \) 42.2 (C-7) and 151.0 (C-11), respectively, suggesting the presence of an isopropenyl group at C-7. Furthermore, the HMBC correlations from \( \delta \text{H} \) 4.31 (1H, br s) to \( \delta \text{C} \) 73.9 (C-4) and \( \delta \text{H} \) 5.27 (1H, br s) to \( \delta \text{C} \) 83.3 (C-5) indicated that two OH groups were attached to C-4 and C-5, respectively. The relative stereochemistry of compound 1 was determined by the Nuclear Overhauser Effect Spectroscopy (NOESY) experiment (Figure 2B), in which correlations were observed between the following protons (OH-4/OH-5, H-7/OH-5). Finally, because of the n–\( \pi \) electron transition effect of the \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated ketone group, the CD spectra of compound 1 showed the cotton effects at 325 nm (\( \Delta \varepsilon \) -0.7) and 250 nm (\( \Delta \varepsilon \) + 2.0); thus, the C-5 is in the S configuration \[9\]. Based on these results, compound 1 was elucidated as described and given the trivial name of diarthroncha A.

| Position | \( \delta \text{C} \) Type | \( \delta \text{H} \) (\( J \) in Hz) | Position | \( \delta \text{C} \) Type | \( \delta \text{H} \) (\( J \) in Hz) |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1        | 172.1           |                 | 1        | 162.6           |                 |
| 2        | 27.6            | 1.50 (1H, dd, 10.8, 9.6) | 2        | 39.9           | 2.26 (2H, m)    |
|          | 1.52 (1H, dd, 10.8, 2.4) |                 |          |                 |                 |
| 3        | 39.5            | 1.99 (1H, m)    | 3        | 34.0           | 2.48 (2H, m)    |
|          | 2.48 (1H, m)    |                 |          |                 |                 |
| 4        | 73.9            |                 | 4        | 145.5          |                 |
Table 1. Cont.

| Position | δc Type | δH (J in Hz) | Position | δc Type | δH (J in Hz) |
|----------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|--------------|
| 5        | 83.3    |              | 5        | 144.9   |              |
| 6        | 34.7    | 2.53 (1H, m) | 6        | 39.6    | 2.48 (2H, m) |
| 7        | 42.2    | 2.67 (1H, m) | 7        | 41.5    | 3.28 (1H, m) |
| 8        | 47.9    | 2.16 (1H, dd, 12.0, 1.8) | 8        | 49.6    | 2.81 (2H, m) |
| 9        | 205.3   |              | 9        | 199.0   |              |
| 10       | 136.8   |              | 10       | 134.1   |              |
| 11       | 151.0   |              | 11       | 147.3   |              |
| 12       | 108.7   | 4.65 (1H, d, 1.8) | 12       | 110.8   | 4.77 (1H, d, 1.8) |
| 13       | 20.1    | 1.72 (3H, s) | 13       | 20.1    | 1.75 (3H, s) |
| 14       | 7.9     | 1.54 (3H, s) | 14       | 2.3     | 1.80 (3H, s) |
| 15 OH    | 27.5    | 1.19 (3H, s) | 15 OH    | 58.8    | 4.15 (1H, dd, 11.5, 5.4) |

Figure 2. (A) Key HMBC (arrows) and (B) NOESY (arrows) correlations of compound 1.

Compound 2 yielded the molecular formula of C_{15}H_{20}O_{2}, based on the HRESIMS analysis of m/z 255.1348 [M + Na]^+ (Calcd for. 255.1361 C_{15}H_{20}O_{2}Na). Its $^1$H and $^{13}$C-NMR (Table 1) data were very similar to 1, except for the additional double bond and hydroxymethyl groups in 2. In contrast to 1, the signal for C-4 and C-5 of compound 2 showed strong downfield chemical shifts to δC 145.5 (C-4) and 144.9 (C-5), indicating a sp² double bond between C-4 and C-5 in 2. Additionally, in the HMBC spectrum, the methylene protons at δH 4.15 (H-15a) and 4.24 (H-15b) had direct correlations with δC 145.5 (C-4), indicating that the methyl group at C-4 in 1 was oxidized to a hydroxymethyl group in 2. Thus, the structure of 2 was determined as shown and named diarthroncha B.

Compound 3 was obtained as a white powder with the molecular formula of C_{15}H_{20}O_{2}, in agreement with the positive HRESIMS ion peak at m/z 255.1349 [M + Na]^+ (Calcd for. 255.1361 C_{15}H_{20}O_{2}Na). Comparison of this compound’s $^1$H and $^{13}$C-NMR data (Table 2) revealed that its structure was similar to that of oleodaphnoic acid [10], except for the additional hydroxyl group at C-9 and methyl group at C-10 in 3. In the HMBC spectrum, the correlations from δH 4.33 (1H, m, H-9) to δC 41.0 (C-8) and 130.4 (C-10), together with the signal for C-9, revealed a powerful downfield shift to δ 70.0 (+ 44.4 ppm), confirming the presence of a hydroxy group at C-9. Additionally, the HMBC correlations of δH 1.87 (H_3-14) with δC 138.2 (C-1), 70.0 (C-9), and 130.4 (C-10) suggested that the
carboxyl group at C-10 in the oleodaphnoic acid was reduced to a methyl group in 3. In the NOESY spectrum, the cross-peaks of H-7 and H-9 indicated the 9-OH was in α,β-orientation. Therefore, the structure of 3 was established as shown and named diarthroncha C.

Table 2. $^1$H (600 MHz) and $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz) spectral data for compounds 3 and 4 (in DMSO-$d_6$).

| Position | $\delta c$ Type | $\delta H$ (J in Hz) | Position | $\delta c$ Type | $\delta H$ (J in Hz) |
|----------|----------------|---------------------|----------|----------------|---------------------|
| 1        | 138.2          |                     | 1        | 47.6           | 2.33 (1H, m)        |
| 2        | 2.90 (1H, m)   | 3.20 (1H, m)        | 2        | 29.1           | 1.09 (1H, m)        |
| 3        | 202.8          |                     | 3        | 30.9           | 1.43 (1H, m)        |
| 4        | 137.3          |                     | 4        | 34.7           | 2.56 (1H, m)        |
| 5        | 165.5          |                     | 5        | 78.4           |                     |
| 6        | 35.3           | 2.63 (1H, m)        | 6        | 35.5           | 1.76 (2H, m)        |
| 7        | 37.2           | 2.65 (1H, m)        | 7        | 154.3          |                     |
| 8        | 41.0           | 1.93 (1H, m)        | 8        | 124.5          | 5.63 (1H, d, 1.2)   |
| 9        | 70.0           | 4.33 (1H, m)        | 9        | 202.1          |                     |
| 10       | 130.4          |                     | 10       | 84.3           |                     |
| 11       | 149.8          |                     | 11       | 146.3          |                     |
| 12       | 110.1          | 4.71 (1H, s)        | 12       | 125.6          | 6.09 (1H, d, 1.2)   |
|          |                | 4.76 (1H, s)        |          |                | 6.12 (1H, d, 0.6)   |
| 13       | 20.2           | 1.75 (1H, s)        | 13       | 165.8          |                     |
| 14       | 17.0           | 1.87 (1H, s)        | 14       | 15.9           | 1.92 (3H, s)        |
| 15       | 8.2            | 1.66 (1H, s)        | 15       | 22.2           | 0.90 (3H, d, 7.2)   |
|          |                | MEO                 |          | 51.7           | 3.62 (3H, s)        |

Compound 4 was obtained as a white powder. The compound’s molecular formula of C$_{16}$H$_{22}$O$_5$ was determined via HRESIMS analysis of $m/z$ 317.1348 [M + Na]$^+$ (Calcd for. 317.1365 C$_{16}$H$_{22}$O$_5$Na). The $^1$H-NMR spectrum (Table 2) showed two methyl groups as signals at $\delta H$ 1.92 (3H, s, H$_3$-14) and 0.90 (3H, d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, H$_3$-15), an exo-methylene resonance as two broad doublets at $\delta H$ 6.09 (1H, d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, H-12a) and 6.12 (1H, d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, H-12b), an olefinic proton signal at $\delta H$ 5.63 (1H, s, H-8), and a methoxy signal at $\delta H$ 3.62 (3H, s, 13-OMe). Aside from the single methoxy group, the $^1$H and $^{13}$C-NMR data of 4 were characteristic of the guaiane-type skeleton with an α,β-unsaturated ketone ($\delta c$ 124.5, 154.3, 202.1) and an acrylic ester group ($\delta c$ 125.6, 146.3, 165.8). In the HMBC spectrum, the correlations of $\delta H$ 6.09 (1H, d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, H-12a) and 6.12 (1H, d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, H-12b) with $\delta c$ 146.3 (C-11), 154.3 (C-7), and 165.8 (C-13) suggested that the isopropenyl group at C-7 in the reported guaiane-type skeletons had been oxidized to an acrylic ester group in 4 [8]. Moreover, the proton of $\delta H$ 5.63 (1H, s, H-8) was interrelated with $\delta c$ 154.3 (C-7), and 202.1 (C-9) revealed the presence of an α,β-unsaturated ketone moiety at C-7/8/9. Furthermore, the $^1$H-$^{13}$C long-range signals from $\delta H$ 3.62(-OCH$_3$) to $\delta c$ 165.8 (C-13) and from $\delta H$ 1.92 (H$_3$-14) to $\delta c$ 84.3 (C-10) placed the methoxy group at C-13 and the methyl group at C-10. The downfield chemical shifts of C-5 ($\delta c$ 78.4) and C-10 ($\delta c$ 84.3), together with the molecular formula above, indicated the presence of OH groups at C-5 and C-10. The CD spectrum of 4 displayed strong cotton effects at
334 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon - 1.2$) and 287 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon + 2.8$), which corresponded to the $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ and $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transitions of the unsaturated dienone. On the basis of the CD excitation chirality method for the unsaturated dienone, C-10 possessed the $S$ absolute configuration. Taken together with the NOESY spectrum, the structure of 4 was defined as shown and given the trivial name diarthroncha D.

2.3. Antineoplastic Activity of Compounds 1–5

All of the isolated compounds were tested in vitro for their cytotoxic activity against HepG-2, MCF-7, and HeLa human cancer cell lines, with paclitaxel serving as a positive control. The results (Table 3) showed that compounds 1, 3, and 5 were moderately cytotoxic against HepG-2 cells with inhibitory concentration 50% (IC$_{50}$) values at 18.9, 22.5, and 20.3 $\mu$M, respectively, while compound 2 was weakly cytotoxic with an IC$_{50}$ value of 41.3 $\mu$M. Furthermore, compounds 2 and 5 exhibited weak cytotoxicity against HeLa cells with IC$_{50}$ values of 39.6 and 29.6 $\mu$M, respectively. None of the compounds had activity against MCF-7 cell lines.

### Table 3. IC$_{50}$ values of compounds 1–5 against HepG-2, MCF-7, and HeLa.

| Compound | HepG-2 (µM) | MCF-7 (µM) | HeLa (µM) |
|----------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| Paclitaxel | 1.80 ± 0.26 | 3.80 ± 0.31 | 4.25 ± 0.52 |
| 1        | 18.9 ± 0.02 | 48.7 ± 0.39 | >50       |
| 2        | 41.3 ± 0.13 | >50        | 39.6 ± 0.53 |
| 3        | 22.5 ± 0.09 | >50        | >50       |
| 4        | >50         | >50        | >50       |
| 5        | 20.3 ± 0.24 | >50        | 29.6 ± 0.61 |

* IC$_{50}$ = inhibitory concentration 50%.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. General Experimental Procedures

Optical rotation data were obtained using a Perkin-Elmer 341 digital polarimeter (PerkinElmer, Norwalk, CT, USA). CD spectra were obtained using a JASCO J-815 spectropolarimeter (JASCO, Easton, Md., USA). UV and IR spectra were obtained using Shimadzu UV2550 and FTIR-8400S spectrometers (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan), respectively. nmR spectra were obtained using a Bruker AV III 600 nmR spectrometer (Bruker, Billerica, German) with chemical shift values presented as $\delta$ values and TMS (Tetramethylsilane) as the internal standard. HRESIMS was performed using an LTQ-Orbitrap XL spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Boston, MA, USA). Column-chromatography (CC) was performed using silica gel (100–200 mesh, Qingdao Marine Chemical Plant, Qingdao, China) and Sephadex LH-20 (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden). Precoated silica gel GF254 plates (Zhi Fu Huang Wu Pilot Plant of Silica Gel Development, Yantai, China) were used for TLC. All of the solvents used were of analytical grade (Beijing Chemical Plant, Beijing, China).

3.2. Plant Material

The roots of Diarthron tianschanica were collected in September 2013 from Zhaosu city, Xinjiang Autonomous Region, China, and were identified by Prof Xiao-Guang Jia, Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Xinjiang Institute of Chinese and Ethnic Medicine. A voucher specimen (NO. 13094) was deposited at the Xinjiang Institute of Chinese and Ethnic Medicine.

3.3. Isolation and Purification of Compounds 1–5

The roots of D. tianschanica (10 kg) were soaked with MeOH at room temperature ($3 \times 40$ L, 3 h each) and were extracted three times under reflux. Removal of the MeOH under reduced
pressure yielded a methanol extract (2189 g). The residue was dissolved in water and extracted with petroleum ether (3 × 1000 mL), chloroform (3 × 1000 mL), ethyl acetate (3 × 1000 mL), and n-butanol (3 × 1000 mL), successively. The petroleum ether fraction (108 g) was subjected to CC (column chromatography) over a silica gel (100~200 mesh, 15 × 60 cm) eluting with a stepwise gradient of CH2Cl2-MeOH (from 1:0 to 0:1, that is, 100:0, 100:1, 50:1, 30:1, 20:1, 15:1, 0:1, v/v) to yield fractions A–H. Fr. B was prepared using a Sephadex LH-20 column with MeOH to remove pigments, and purified by semi-preparative HPLC of MeOH-H2O (60:40, v/v) as the mobile phase to yield compounds 1 (5.8 mg, tR = 18.8 min) and 2 (2.5 mg, tR = 31.2 min). Fr. C was purified by semi-preparative HPLC with MeOH-H2O (70:30, v/v) as the mobile phase to yield compounds 3 (3.1 mg, tR = 16.7 min), 4 (4.2 mg, tR = 28.3 min), and 5 (3.5 mg, tR = 37.5 min).

3.4. Characterization of Compounds 1–4

Diarthroncha A (1): white powder (MeOH); [α]D 20 + 11.6 (c 0.1, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λmax (logε): 216 (3.62) nm, CD (MeOH) 325 nm (Δε -0.7) and 250 nm (Δε + 2.0); IR (film) νmax: 3365, 2923, 2845, 1724, 1782 cm−1; 1H and 13C-NMR data (DMSO-d6), (see Table 1); HR-ESI-MS m/z 273.1485 [M + Na]+ (Calcd for. 273.1467 C15H22O3Na).

Diarthroncha B (2): white powder (MeOH); [α]D 20 + 16.4 (c 0.1, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λmax (logε): 214 (4.83) nm; IR (film) νmax: 3372, 2930, 2848, 1721, 1776 cm−1; 1H and 13C-NMR data (DMSO-d6), (see Table 1); HR-ESI-MS m/z 255.1348 [M + Na]+ (Calcd for. 255.1361 C13H20O2Na).

Diarthroncha C (3): white powder (MeOH); [α]D 20 + 14.2 (c 0.1, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λmax (logε): 212 (3.71) nm; IR (film) νmax: 3368, 2932, 2846, 1729, 1786 cm−1; 1H and 13C-NMR data (DMSO-d6), (see Table 2); HR-ESI-MS m/z 255.1349 [M + Na]+ (Calcd for. 255.1361 C15H20O2Na).

Diarthroncha D (4): white powder (MeOH); [α]D 20 + 17.5 (c 0.1, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λmax (logε): 210 (4.56) nm; CD (DMSO-d6) 334 nm (Δε -1.2) and 287 nm (Δε + 2.8); IR (film) νmax 3364, 2927, 2839, 1736, 1783, 1233 cm−1; 1H and 13C-NMR data (DMSO-d6), (see Table 2); HR-ESI-MS m/z 317.1348 [M + Na]+ (Calcd for. 317.1365 C16H22O2Na).

3.5. Cytotoxicity Assay of Compounds 1–5

The tested human cancer cell lines were seeded in 96-well plates (104 cells/well), and the compounds were added at various concentrations (2.5, 5, 10, 25, and 50 µM, respectively). Keeping the treatment on for 48 h, MTT (0.5 mg/mL) solution was added to each well, which were incubated for a further 4 h at 37 °C. The supernatant was removed, and the formazan crystals were dissolved in DMSO (150 µL) with gentle shaking at room temperature. Finally, the optical density of each well was measured at 570 nm with a microplate reader.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, five sesquiterpenoids were isolated and characterised by spectrometric analysis (1 and 2D-NMR, HRESIMS). Among the isolated compounds, compounds 1, 3, and 5 showed moderate cytotoxicity against HepG cells with IC50 values at 18.9, 20.3, and 22.5 µM, respectively. Therefore, we believed that this plant was an important source for the diverse structure of sesquiterpenoids and should be further investigated for biological activities.
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