HMOX1 promotes lung adenocarcinoma metastasis by affecting macrophages and mitochondrion complexes
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Background: Metastasis is the leading cause of lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) patient death. However, the mechanism of metastasis is unclear. We performed bioinformatic analyses for HMOX1 (Heme oxygenase-1), aiming to explore its role in LUAD metastasis.

Methods: Pan-cancer analysis was first used to identify the metastasis-associated role of HMOX1 in LUAD. HMOX1-related genomic alterations were then investigated. Based on functional enrichment, we systematically correlated HMOX1 with immunological characteristics and mitochondrial activities. Furthermore, weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) was applied to construct the HMOX1-mediated metastasis regulatory network, which was then validated at the proteomic level. Finally, we conducted the survival analysis and predicted the potential drugs to target the HMOX1 network.

Results: HMOX1 expression was significantly associated with epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and lymph and distant metastasis in LUAD. High HMOX1 levels exhibited higher macrophage infiltration and lower mitochondrial complex expression. WGCNA showed a group of module genes co-regulating the traits mentioned above. Subsequently, we constructed an HMOX1-mediated macrophage-mitochondrion-EMT metastasis regulatory network in LUAD. The network had a high inner correlation at the proteomic level and efficiently predicted prognosis. Finally, we predicted 9 potential drugs targeting HMOX1-mediated metastasis in LUAD, like chloroxine and isoliquiritigenin.

Conclusions: Our analysis elaborates on the role of HMOX1 in LUAD metastasis and identified a highly prognostic HMOX1-mediated metastasis regulatory network. Novel potential drugs targeting the HMOX1 network were also...
**Introduction**

Lung cancer is histologically divided into small cell lung cancer (SCLC, 15% patients) and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC, 85% of patients). NSCLC has been classified into three types: adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, and large cell. Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) is the most common subtype of NSCLC and represents nearly 40% of all lung cancers (1). Frustratingly, only 26% of LUAD and squamous cell carcinoma patients survive 5 years after diagnosis (2). LUAD is often detected at the metastatic stage with prevalence in bone and nervous system (3), and metastasis is the cause of most LUAD patient deaths. Even with therapy, the 5-year relative survival rate for patients suffering metastasis is approximately 6% (2). Unfortunately, the mechanism of LUAD metastasis remains largely unknown, limiting the development of therapeutic drugs.

The process of cancer metastasis mainly consists of 5 essential steps: invasion, intravasation, circulation, extravasation, and colonization (4). At the metastasis initiation stage, epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), a dynamic process where cells abandon their epithelial features and transform into a more mesenchymal phenotype, exerts a vital role (5). EMT is considered as a common characteristic for disseminated tumor cells and circulating tumor cells (6), and EMT cells modulate immune system processes. Cells undergoing EMT can alter the tumor immune microenvironment, which in further induces the EMT process. Many immune cells, like macrophages, neutrophils, T cells, and others, have the potential to promote EMT and further accelerate tumor metastasis (7). In addition, EMT requires a huge energy supply from mitochondria, and inhibition of the mitochondrial complex can hinder tumor growth and metastasis (8).

Heme oxygenase-1 (HMOX1) is an inducible intracellular enzyme to degrade heme, expressed in both malignant tumor cells and tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) (9). At the level of organelles, HMOX1 mainly localizes to the endoplasmic reticulum, mitochondrion, and others (10, 11). Intrinsically, HMOX1 activity provides cytoprotective effects, including anti-oxidation, anti-apoptosis, and heme clearance. However, HMOX1 has also been demonstrated to promote tumor progression and metastasis in multiple cancers such as glioma, colorectal cancer, melanoma, breast cancer, and others (9). In addition, HMOX1 is functionally associated with TAM polarization and mitochondrial dysfunction (12, 13).

This study aimed to elaborate on the role of HMOX1 in LUAD metastasis through multi-omics analyses including genomics, transcriptomics, and proteomics. The association between HMOX1 and LUAD metastasis was first identified by its relationship to EMT, lymph, and distant metastasis. Next, we investigated associations with the immune microenvironment and mitochondrial pathways and constructed an HMOX1-mediated macrophage-mitochondrion-EMT metastasis regulatory network by weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA). A prognostic model of the regulatory network was then developed. Potential drugs, like chloroxine and isoliquiritigenin, were also predicted to inhibit the HMOX1-mediated LUAD metastasis.

**Methods**

Supplemental Figure 1 shows the workflow of this research.

**Data collection and preprocessing**

The transcriptome data: the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) pan-cancer data and 4 Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) LUAD cohorts (GSE11969, GSE31210, GSE42127, GSE68465) with their clinical information were separately downloaded from the UCSC Xena and the GEO data portals (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). The FPKM value of TCGA sequencing data was transformed into the TPM value. GEO microarray data had 3 different platforms: Illumina, Agilent, and Affymetrix. The normalized microarray data from Illumina maintained the original format. The raw microarray data from Agilent and Affymetrix were processed using the “limma” and “oligo” packages, separately. In addition,
the LUAD genome data, including somatic copy number alterations (CNAs) and mutations, which corresponded to the cases with the transcriptome data, were downloaded from the TCGA database. The proteomic data with paired mRNA datasets were obtained from the National Cancer Institute’s Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium (CPTAC) portal (https://proteomics.cancer.gov/data-portal). The detailed information of these datasets was listed in Table 1.

**Tumor metastasis**

Tumor metastasis potential was evaluated by analysis of EMT, lymph metastasis, and distant metastasis. We collected 77 EMT signatures from a previous study (14). Mesenchymal and epithelial phenotypes were identified by signature gene expression and quantified by Gene Set Variation Analysis scores (GSVA) (15). Furthermore, we identified the lymph and distant metastasis according to pathological TMN staging (N0: no lymph metastasis, N1 – 3: lymph metastasis; M0: no distant metastasis; M1: distant metastasis). To confirm the role of HMOX1 in modulating tumor metastasis, we analyzed the correlation between HMOX1 and metastasis characteristics mentioned above in the terms of pan-cancer and LUAD. In addition, we investigated the HMOX1 expression disparity in primary tumors and multiple metastasis sites in the Human Cancer Metastasis Database (HCMDB, http://hcmdb.i-sanger.com/index).

**Genomic alteration**

We used cBioPortal (http://www.cbioportal.org/) to identify the CNA and mutation frequency of HOMX1 in the LUAD. Subsequently, we compared the genomic features of LUAD patients with different HMOX1 expression levels in the TCGA database. Arm- and focal-level somatic CNAs were calculated using GISTIC 2.0 (https://cloud.genepattern.org/). Significantly mutated genes and their interaction effect were identified using maftools.

**Immune infiltration**

Immune cells can contribute to tumor EMT, invasion, and metastasis. We first investigated 7 cancer immunity cycles in LUAD as previously reported (16). Next, the immunocyte infiltration was estimated at the Cancer Immunome Atlas (TCIA, https://tcia.at/home) and TIMER2.0 (http://timer.cistrome.org/). To reduce the estimation mistakes, we comprehensively applied 9 different algorithms: GSEA (NES>0, FDR<0.1; TCIA website), CIBERSORT, CIBERSORT-ABS, QUANRISEQ, XCELL, TIDE, EPIC, TIMER, MCPCOUNTER (TIMER2.0 website). In addition, we also investigated the expression of immunocyte effector genes and 22 immune checkpoint markers according to the previous work (16). To explore the role of HMOX1 in LUAD immune regulation, we performed the correlation analysis between the HMOX1 and immune characteristics with respect to the above.

**Mitochondrion**

Mitochondria play a crucial role in tumor cell migration, invasion, and metastasis (17). We downloaded 41 mitochondria-related pathways from the MITOCARTA3.0 database (https://www.broadinstitute.org/mitocarta/mitocarta30-inventory-mammalian-mitochondrial-proteins-and-pathways). The enrichment scores of these pathways were calculated using the “GSVA” package (15). Subsequently, we further analyzed the expression of 5 mitochondrial complexes in LUAD by feature genes and pathway enrichment.

**Co-regulatory network construction**

To explore the correlation between HMOX1-mediated metastasis and other functional phenotypes, we performed weighted gene co-expression network analysis using the “WGCNA” package. A power of β = 6 and a scale-free R² = 0.98 was set to attain a scale-free topology network. We extracted the closest-associated module with HMOX1 expression, EMT

**Functional annotation**

To explore other HMOX1-related functional phenotypes, we performed functional annotation for HMOX1. The 33.3th and 66.6th percentiles of HMOX1 expression were set as the cutoffs to divide LUAD patients into low, medium, and high groups. The differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between the low and high groups were screened using the “limma” package (P < 0.05). Subsequently, the biological functions of DEGs were annotated by Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG).

**Table 1** The datasets used in this study.

| Data source | Omics category | Platform          | Sample count |
|-------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|
| TCGA (pan-cancer) | mRNA | Illumina HiSeq 2000 | 10277        |
| TCGA (LUAD) | mRNA | Illumina HiSeq 2000 | 515          |
| TCGA (LUAD) | genome | Affymetrix SNP 6.0 | 516          |
| GSE11969 | mRNA | Agilent Homo 2.16 K | 90           |
| GSE31210 | mRNA | Affymetrix Plus 2.0 | 226          |
| GSE42127 | mRNA | Illumina WG-6 V3.0 | 133          |
| GSE68465 | mRNA | Affymetrix U133A | 441          |
| CPTAC | mRNA | Illumina HiSeq 4000 | 111          |
| CPTAC | protein | Tandem mass tags | 111          |
traits, macrophage infiltration, and mitochondrial complex level, which was assumed to be the key module involved in LUAD metastasis. The gene function of the key module was analyzed by GO enrichment.

Next, we intersected the module genes in the key module and phenotype feature genes. The signature genes of EMT and mitochondrial complex have been mentioned above. The macrophage signature genes were derived from the top 5 macrophage terms in the GO enrichment. The intersected genes were then imported into the STRING database with the minimum required interaction score of 0.4 (https://www.string-db.org/). Protein-protein network was further visualized by Cytoscape software (version 3.9.0).

**Proteomic analysis**

For proteomics, we first performed a differential protein expression analysis between the high and low HMOX1 groups (the student’s t-test, \( P < 0.05 \)). GO functional enrichment was then conducted. In terms of the paired sample mRNAs, we estimated the expression level of EMT, mitochondrial complex, and macrophage using the aforementioned methods. After that, we applied the disparity comparison and correlation analysis to the phenotype scores and feature gene expression, to explore the inner correlation of the HMOX1-mediated macrophage-mitochondrion-EMT network at the protein level.

**Survival analysis**

The TCGA-LUAD cohort was randomly divided into the training set and internal validation set, with a ratio of 7:3. The 4 GEO cohorts were selected as external validation sets. In the training set, we conducted the univariate Cox regression in the HMOX1-mediated metastasis regulatory network and its neighbor genes. Next, the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (Lasso) algorithm was used to screen genes with optimal prognosis prediction ability. We then developed risk scores using these genes as follows: \( S = \sum \text{regression coefficient} \times \text{gene expression} \). The optimal cut-off was ascertained to divide the high and low groups by the surv_cutpoint function of the “survminer” packages. Kaplan-Meier curves with the log-rank test were then used to compare survival rates between the two groups. The predictive ability of risk scores was also assessed by the AUC values.

Furthermore, univariate and multivariate Cox regressions were applied to assess the independent prognostic ability of the risk score and other clinical variables. A nomogram consisting of independent prognostic factors was developed using the “rms” package and internally and externally validated by calibration and ROC curves.

**Small molecular drug analysis**

The Connectivity Map (CMAP) website (https://clue.io/) was applied to explore small molecule drugs with the potential to inhibit HMOX1-mediated LUAD metastasis. The genes in the co-regulatory network were uploaded. The drugs with negative Raw_cs and high fdr_q_nlog10 values were considered as potential therapeutic agents because they could suppress the expression of these genes.

**Statistical analysis**

For continuous variables, the Wilcoxon test was used to examine the difference between binary groups while the Kruskal-Wallis test was employed for multiple groups. Categorical variables were compared using Fisher’s exact test. In addition, the correlation between variables was examined by the Spearman coefficient. All statistical tests were two-sided and \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis and data visualization was conducted using R version 4.1.2, Matlab version 2021, and Sangerbox version 3.0 (http://vip.sangerbox.com/home.html).

**Results**

HMOX1 is correlated to metastasis across cancer types and in LUAD

Pan-cancer analyses were performed to investigate the role of HMOX1 in metastasis regulation. Our findings showed that HMOX1 was positively associated with a mesenchymal-like phenotype and was negatively associated with an epithelial phenotype in most tumors, including LUAD (Figures 1A–C). In addition, tumors with lymph node and/or distant metastasis had significantly higher HMOX1 expression than tumors without metastasis, including prostate adenocarcinoma (PRAD) and LUAD (Figures 1D, E). HCMDB data unveiled that HMOX1 was extensively differentially expressed in the multiple types of metastasis comparisons (primary tumors with metastasis vs. primary tumors without metastasis; primary tumors vs. metastatic tumors; metastatic tumors with different metastasis sites) in the various tumors, including LUAD (Figure 1F).

Next, we focused on LUAD and divided the patients into low, medium, and high groups according to the HMOX1 expression. Heatmap and violin plots showed that the high HMOX1 group had higher expression of the mesenchymal phenotype, followed by the medium and low groups. The opposite trend was observed for the epithelial phenotype (Figures 2A, B). Furthermore, we compared the clinical traits and found that the proportions of patients with squamoid
subtype, lymph node metastasis, and distant metastasis in the high and medium HMOX1 groups were higher than those in the low group (Figure 2C). Survival analysis suggested that low HMOX1 samples showed a better disease-specific survival (DSS) prognosis than medium and high samples in LUAD. However, we failed to observe the statistical difference among the three groups in overall survival (OS), disease-free interval (DFI), and progress-free interval (PFI) comparisons (Figure 2D).
HMOX1 is associated with novel genomic alterations in LUAD

cBioPortal data showed that the frequency of HMOX1 CNAs and mutations was only 1.5% in the LUAD (Figure 3A). Therefore, we did not focus on self-genomic alterations of HMOX1, and investigated the HMOX1-related alterations in the high, medium, and low HMOX1 groups. A global arm-and-focal-level CNA profile was obtained by comparing the three groups (Figures 3B, C). The enriched mutation landscape of the three groups was displayed in waterfall plots. The missense mutation was the predominant mutation type (Figure 3D). Next, we compared the differentially mutated genes between the high and low HMOX1 groups. High HMOX1 groups had significantly higher frequency of mutations to HECW1, THSD7A, TRPM6, FRAS1, PTPRC, and others. Low HMOX1
groups had significantly higher frequency of mutations in EGFR, OVCH1, KEAP1, and PTPRT (Figure 3E). The strongest co-occurrence pairs of gene mutation in the high HMOX1 group were PTPRC - TEX15 and PTPRC - SLITRK4 (Figure 3F). In addition, we displayed the mutation frequency distribution of these genes in the three groups (Figure 3G).

**HMOX1-high LUAD is functionally enriched in immune and mitochondrial pathways**

To explore other HMOX1-related phenotypes that may affect LUAD metastasis, we performed functional enrichment for DEGs between the high- and low-HMOX1 groups. In the GO analysis, DEGs were notably enriched in macrophage activation, macrophage migration, regulation of mitochondrion organization, apoptotic mitochondrial changes, and similar pathways (Supplemental Figure 2A). Among KEGG pathways, the most enriched were phagosome, Th17 cell differentiation, antigen processing and presentation, and others (Supplemental Figure 2B). Therefore, we investigated immune infiltration and mitochondrial processes in LUAD.

**HMOX1 is correlated with immune infiltration and immune checkpoint in LUAD**

For the high HMOX1 group, activities of the majority of the cancer-immune cycles were found to be up-regulated, including release of cancer antigens (step1), cancer antigen presentation (step 2), priming and activation (step 3), trafficking of immune cells to tumors (step 4, like CD4 T cell, CD8 T cell, macrophage, monocyte, Treg cell), and infiltration of immune cells into tumors (step 5). Interestingly, no statistical difference in the killing of cancer cells (step 7) was observed among the three HMOX1 groups (Figure 4A). Next, we calculated the level of immunocyte infiltration in LUAD by 9 algorithms. GSEA algorithms of TCIA showed that more immune cells, like type 2 T helper cells, regulatory T cells, macrophages, central memory CD8 T cells, activated CD4 T cells, and others were up-regulated in the high HMOX1 group, followed by the medium- and low-groups (Figure 4B). Another 8 algorithms showed that HMOX1 was positively associated with the infiltration level of macrophages, but not CD4 T cells, CD8 T cells, Tregs, or neutrophils (Figure 4C, and Supplemental Figures 3-7). Similarly, HMOX1 was found to be positively correlated to the effector genes of macrophages (Figure 4D). In addition, we found that HMOX1 expression was positively associated with a majority of immune checkpoint inhibitors, including LAIR1, TIM-3, CD86, and PD-L1 (Figure 4E).

**Mitochondrial complexes are altered in HMOX1 high LUAD**

We then proceeded to investigate the correlation between mitochondrial characteristics and HMOX1 expression in LUAD. Followed by the medium and low HMOX1 groups, the high HMOX1 group had significantly up-regulated expression of cytochrome C and apoptosis, and down-regulated expression of OXPHOS assembly factors, complex V, fission, translation, mtRNA metabolism, carbohydrate metabolism, and others (Figure 5A). Owing to the crucial role of mitochondrial complexes in tumor metastasis (8), we next focused on the analysis of mitochondrial complexes. The heatmap showed the expression disparity of feature genes for five mitochondrial complexes in the three HMOX1 groups (Figure 5B). The radar diagrams display the correlation between the feature gene and HMOX1 expression (Figure 5C). Overall, the high HMOX1 group had significantly less expression of complex I, complex II, and complex IV compared to the medium group, and significantly less expression of complex V compared to the low and medium group (Figure 5D).

**Construction of an HMOX1-mediated macrophage-mitochondrion-EMT metastasis regulatory network**

Considering that mitochondrion complex, macrophage, and metastasis are all related to HMOX1 expression, we sought to perform the WGCNA co-expression analysis to identify the relationship among these. A power β = 6 was selected as the software threshold for scale-free network construction (Figure 6A). Twenty-one modules were identified by clustering dendrogram (Figure 6B). HMOX1, EMT, macrophage, and the mitochondrial complex had the same highly correlated module – brown, indicating strong associations among these traits (Figure 6C). The brown module was positively associated with HMOX1, mesenchymal phenotype, and macrophages, and negatively correlated to epithelial phenotype and mitochondrial complex (Figure 6D). GO enrichment indicated that genes in the brown module mainly focused on macrophage activation, macrophage migration, mitochondrial calcium ion homeostasis, mesenchymal cell differentiation, and the like (Figure 6E).

After identifying the correlation, we used the brown module genes to construct a PPI network among these phenotype traits. In the HMOX1-mediated metastasis regulatory network, a total of 8 genes were involved in EMT, 5 were correlated to mitochondrial complex, and 67 were associated with macrophage (Figure 6F). By analyzing the PPI interaction, we found that HMOX1 connected the macrophage and mitochondrial complex, the mitochondrial complex interacted...
FIGURE 3
Genomic features of different HMOX1 groups. (A) The frequency of HMOX1 copy number alterations (CNAs) and mutations in the cBioPortal database. (B) Comparison of arm-level amplification and deletion frequencies among the high, medium, and low HMOX1 groups. (C) Comparison of focal-level amplification and deletion frequencies among the high, medium, and low HMOX1 groups. (D) The waterfall plots showing the mutation landscapes in the high, medium, and low HMOX1 groups. (E) The forest plot listing the top 20 most differentially mutated genes between the high and low HMOX1 groups. (F) The heatmap showing the concurrence or mutual exclusivity of these 20 mutated genes between the high and low HMOX1 groups. (G) The ternary plot showing the mutation frequency distribution of these 20 genes among the high, medium, and low HMOX1 groups. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01.
with macrophage, and macrophage linked to EMT. However, the interaction between EMT and mitochondrial complex was not observed. (Figure 6G).

**Proteomic analysis for the HMOX1-mediated macrophage-mitochondrion-EMT metastasis regulatory network**

By comparing the high and low HMOX1 group, 1481 down-regulated proteins and 1559 up-regulated proteins were obtained (Figure 7A). GO analysis showed that these differentially expressed proteins were enriched in the electron transport chain, mitochondrial respiratory chain complex assembly, macrophage activation, epithelial to mesenchymal transition, and similar pathways (Figure 7B). Next, we validated the relationship between HMOX1 and EMT, mitochondrial complex, and macrophage at the protein level. HMOX1 was positively associated with macrophage and mesenchymal phenotype, and negatively correlated to epithelial phenotype and mitochondrial complex III (Figures 7C, D). Furthermore, we analyzed the genes of the regulatory network at the protein level. The high HMOX1 group had higher protein expression of mesenchymal phenotype and macrophage, and lower protein...
expression of mitochondrial complexes, followed by the medium and low HMOX1 groups (Figure 7E). Correlation analysis found that mitochondrial complex protein AIFM1 was dramatically negatively correlated to the protein expression of mesenchymal phenotype and macrophage (Figure 7F).

Prognostic significance for the HMOX1-mediated macrophage-mitochondrion-EMT metastasis regulatory network

Using LASSO and Cox algorithms, 26 regulatory-network-related genes were screened to have the best predictive ability for prognosis in LUAD (Figures 8A–C). A risk score was then developed according to the gene expression and Cox regression coefficients of these genes. As shown in Figures 8D, E, the high-risk group had a significantly worse prognosis than the low-risk group in the TCGA training and validating cohorts. External GEO validating cohorts also confirmed this result (Supplemental Figure 8A). The real-time AUC values of our risk score were also generally higher than similar prognostic scoring systems (Dian Guo (18), Jie Ren (19), Jie Zhu (20), Lei Zhang (21), Yingqing Zhang (22)) in LUAD (Figures 8D, E). Next, we identified the independent prognostic factors by Cox analysis, including risk score and pathological stage (Supplemental Figures 8B, C). A nomogram model was...
Weighted gene coexpression network analysis (WGCNA) for the construction of an HMOX1-mediated metastasis regulatory network in LUAD.

(A) A scale-free network construction (power threshold $\beta = 6$).

(B) Gene dendrogram generating gene modules.

(C, D) Correlation analysis between modules and pathophysiological traits. HMOX1, EMT, macrophage, and mitochondrial complex had the same high correlation module (MEbrown).

(E) Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment for the MEbrown genes.

(F) The construction of protein-protein interaction (PPI) network among HMOX1, macrophage, mitochondrial complex, and EMT using the MEbrown module genes.

(G) The simplified interaction network among HMOX1, macrophage, mitochondrial complex, and EMT. Solid lines indicate interactions. Dashed lines indicate missing interactions.
constructed using these factors (Figure 8F). Calibration plots indicated that observed and predicted probabilities for 1-, 3-, and 5-year overall survival (OS) had excellent concordance (Figure 8G and Supplemental Figure 8D). ROC curves further confirmed the excellent predictive power of the nomogram (AUC: 0.789 in training TCGA, 0.854 in validating TCGA, 0.765 in GSE11969, 0.877 in GSE31210, 0.639 in GSE42127) in both training and validating cohorts (Figure 8H and
Supplemental Figure 8E). Regrettably, GSE68486 could not be used to validate the nomogram model, owing to a lack of the variable for pathological grade.

Prediction of novel targeting modalities for the HMOX1-mediated macrophage-mitochondrion-EMT metastasis regulatory network

To predict the drugs with the potential to inhibit HMOX1-mediated LUAD metastasis, we uploaded the regulatory network genes to the CMAP online tools. We identified 9 drugs (chloroxine, tetrabenazine, TPCA-1, aminopentamide, coumaric-acid, zosuquidar, lupanine, isoliquiritigenin, pilocarpine) with the negative Raw_cs and the top fdr_q_nlog10 values, which suggested they could inhibit the gene expression in the regulatory network (Table 2 and Supplemental Figure 9).

Discussion

Emerging evidence has indicated the effect of HMOX1 in tumor metastasis, and HMOX1 is attractive as a potential prognostic biomarker and therapeutic target. Since the role of HMOX1 in LUAD metastasis still remains unclear, we performed large-scale and multi-omics bioinformatic analyses to elucidate HMOX1 in LUAD metastasis. In our study, we first demonstrated that HMOX1 contributed to the metastasis of multiple tumors, especially LUAD. Next, we found that HMOX1 was also correlated with the expression of macrophages and the mitochondrial complex. Importantly, by co-expression analysis, we identified a group of genes co-regulating the phenotypes mentioned above, and constructed the HMOX1-mediated macrophage-mitochondrion-EMT metastasis regulatory network. Based on the PPI network and existing studies, we speculated that HMOX1 may mediate the LUAD metastasis by regulating macrophages and the mitochondrial complex. Finally, the survival analysis and drug analysis were performed for HMOX1-mediated metastasis in LUAD.

HMOX1 has been found to be involved in the multiple steps of tumor metastasis including invasion, extravasation, colonization. Previous studies have indicated that the inhibition of HMOX1 reversed tumor EMT and impaired the invasion and migration of tumor cells (23). HMOX1 activity could also facilitate transendothelial migration of tumor cells, which implicates a potential role for HMOX1 in invasation and extravasation events (24). In addition, myeloid HMOX1 promoted the extravasation and colonization of tumor cells at the metastatic loci (25). Similar to the previous studies, our results demonstrate that HMOX1 expression is positively associated with the EMT and lymph node and distant metastasis in multiple types of tumors, especially LUAD. The high HMOX1 group also had higher proportions of the squamoid subtype, which is a worse LUAD subtype with predilection for brain metastasis in the early stages (26). HMOX1-mediated LUAD metastasis has been preliminarily reported. Tsai et al. found that NSCLC patients with high HMOX1 expression had higher metastatic rates and HMOX1 overexpression enhanced the migratory ability of LUAD cells (27). However, the detailed mechanism of HMOX1-mediated LUAD metastasis still remains largely unknown.

Genomic alteration drives tumor metastasis (28). HMOX1 self-mutation is rare in LUAD. Therefore, we aimed to understand the HMOX1-related mutational burden and identified 16 differentially mutated genes in patients with high HMOX1 expression. Among these, FRAS1, PTPRC, MACF1 and MYH8 mutations have been found to be more enriched in other metastatic tumors (29–32). SMARCA4 mutation was reported to promote the LUAD early metastasis (33). SMARCA4-deficient LUAD had higher aggressive behavior and metastasis potential to the pleura (34). In addition, Kim et al. found that SMARCA4 depletion induced the EMT process in triple-negative breast cancer (35).

Macrophages, as a major immunocyte of the tumor microenvironment, play a vital role in tumor metastasis. The size, shape, metabolism, and M2 polarization of macrophages affect their metastasis (36, 37). At the primary site, macrophages can activate the EMT process and increase tumor cell migration and invasion (7, 38). At the metastatic loci, macrophages can prepare the target tissue for the arrival of tumor cells, and promote tumor cell extravasation, survival, and subsequent growth (38). Our result showed that HMOX1 was positively associated with macrophage recruitment, expression, and activity in LUAD. Similarly, some studies have demonstrated that HMOX1 was critically involved in macrophage polarization (12). In addition, a recent study has identified a novel macrophage subtype, endowed with high heme catabolism by HMOX1, as a pro-metastatic tumor microenvironment remodeling factor favoring EMT (39). Therefore, we retained the macrophage as the candidate constituent for the HMOX1 metastasis regulatory network.

The mitochondria supply the energy for tumor cell migration, invasion, and metastasis (40). Five complexes of the mitochondrial electron transport chain can affect tumor metastasis (8). Emergent evidence indicates that down-modulation of certain complex subunits by genetic or pharmacologic may promote EMT and metastasis (8, 41–43). In our study, we found down-regulated expression of mitochondrial complexes in the high HMOX1 group at the RNA and protein levels. Previous studies have reported the close correlation between HMOX1 and mitochondrion. Bansal et al. showed that mitochondria-targeted HMOX1 could induce mitochondrial dysfunction in macrophages (44). Song et al. found that HMOX1 up-regulation enhanced the oxidative mitochondrial damage in astroglia (45). Therefore, we speculate that the mitochondrion complex may affect the HMOX1-mediated metastasis in LUAD.

To further identify the correlation between the HMOX1, metastasis, macrophages, and mitochondrion, we performed the
Developing a prognosis prediction model using the LASSO COX regression.

(A) LASSO coefficient profiles of 307 prognosis-related genes, which were selected from the HMOX1-mediated metastasis regulatory network and its neighbor genes.

(B) Cross-validation for tuning parameter screening in the LASSO regression model. Optimal genes with the best discriminative capability (26 in number) were selected for developing the risk scores.

(C) Forest plot of the risk score gene-expression profiles in univariate analysis.

(D) Development of risk score in the TCGA training cohort and its predictive accuracy for survival in comparison to additional 5 signatures.

(E) Validation of risk score in the TCGA validating cohort.

(F) A nomogram integrating pathological stage, risk score, and OS probability in the TCGA training cohort. (G) Calibration plots showing the correlation between actual and predicted OS rates in the TCGA training cohort. (H) ROC curve plot evaluating the nomogram model, risk score, and pathological stage in the TCGA training cohort ***P < 0.001.
WGCNA analysis and found a group of module genes co-regulating these traits. The module genes had a highly positive correlation with HMOX1, mesenchymal phenotype and macrophages, and a highly negative association with epithelial phenotype, and mitochondrial complexes. Based on the module genes, we constructed an HMOX1-mediated macrophage-mitochondrion-EMT metastasis regulatory network. The network showed a high inner correlation at the proteome level and high predictive ability for survival prognosis in LUAD patients. According to the network and existing studies, we speculate that HMOX1 may mediate the EMT and further LUAD metastasis by regulating mitochondrial complex and macrophage. Interestingly, the network lacks the connection between mitochondrial complex and EMT. Considering the EMT process needs large amounts of energy from the mitochondrion, we speculate that the missing connection may be attributed to the low number of studies on the interaction between mitochondrial complexes and EMT, which deserves further study.

Previous studies have also identified the association between mitochondrion and macrophages. Many mitochondria activities, including tricarboxylic acid cycle, oxidative metabolism, membrane potential, and others, are vital for macrophage activation, differentiation, and survival (46). Among these, mitochondrial fission-induced mtDNA stress could promote tumor-associated macrophage infiltration and ultimately lead to tumor progression (47). Targeting mitochondrial complex I and blocking macrophage-mediated adaptive responses could play a synergistic role to induce cancer indolence (48).

Pharmacological inhibition of HMOX1 is considered a promising therapeutic approach to hinder tumor metastasis. In this research, we predicted nine small molecule drugs targeting the HMOX1-mediated metastasis regulatory network. Among these, chloroxine, belonging to opioid receptor antagonists, has been proven to suppress metastasis in multiple types of tumors, including melanoma (51), breast cancer (52), liver cancer (53), renal cell carcinoma (54), and others. Of note, a previous study showed that isoliquiritigenin reversed the EMT process to inhibit ovarian cancer metastasis (55).

In conclusion, our analyses elaborate on the role of HMOX1 in LUAD metastasis, and identify an HMOX1-mediated macrophage-mitochondrion-EMT metastasis regulatory network. Furthermore, a network-related prognostic model was established, and potential drugs targeting the HMOX1 regulatory network were also proposed, which may inhibit LUAD metastasis. One major limitation was the lack of a single-cell location analysis for HMOX1 expression. Another limitation was the lack of intercellular interaction analysis between macrophage and malignant tumor cells, which we expect to improve in subsequent studies. Additionally, the regulatory network will require further experimental validation.
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## Glossary

| Abbreviation | Full Form |
|--------------|-----------|
| CPTAC | Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium |
| CMAP | Connectivity Map |
| CNA | Copy number alternation |
| DEG | Differentially expressed gene |
| DFI | Disease-free interval |
| DSS | Disease-specific survival |
| EMT | Epithelial-mesenchymal transition |
| GEO | Gene Expression Omnibus |
| GO | Gene Ontology |
| GSVA | Gene Set Variation Analysis |
| HMOX1 | Heme oxygenase-1 |
| HCMDB | Human Cancer Metastasis Database |
| KEGG | Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes |
| Lasso | Least absolute shrinkage and selection operator |
| LUAD | Lung adenocarcinoma |
| NSCLC | Non-small cell lung cancer |
| PRAD | Prostate adenocarcinoma |
| ROC | Receiver operating characteristic |
| SCLC | Small cell lung cancer |
| TCGA | The Cancer Genome Atlas |
| TCIA | The Cancer Immunome Atlas |
| WGCNA | Weighted gene co-expression network analysis |