The COG complex interacts directly with Syntaxin 6 and positively regulates endosome-to-TGN retrograde transport
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The conserved oligomeric Golgi (COG) complex has been implicated in the regulation of endosome to trans-Golgi network (TGN) retrograde trafficking in both yeast and mammals. However, the exact mechanisms by which it regulates this transport route remain largely unknown. In this paper, we show that COG interacts directly with the target membrane SNARE (t-SNARE) Syntaxin 6 via the Cog6 subunit. In Cog6-depleted cells, the steady-state level of Syntaxin 6 was markedly reduced, and concomitantly, endosome-to-TGN retrograde traffic was significantly attenuated. Cog6 knockdown also affected the steady-state levels and/or subcellular distributions of Syntaxin 16, Vti1a, and VAMP4 and impaired the assembly of the Syntaxin 6–Syntaxin16–Vti1a–VAMP4 SNARE complex. Remarkably, overexpression of VAMP4, but not of Syntaxin 6, bypassed the requirement for COG and restored endosome-to-TGN trafficking in Cog6-depleted cells. These results suggest that COG directly interacts with specific t-SNAREs and positively regulates SNARE complex assembly, thereby affecting their associated trafficking steps.

Introduction

Retrograde transport from the endosomal compartments (late and early/recycling endosomes) to the TGN is implicated in diverse cellular, developmental, and pathological processes (Johannes and Popoff, 2008). It is required for the transport of lysosomal acid hydrolases and the recycling of various membrane proteins and signaling receptors. It is also involved in the transport of certain processing peptidases, SNAREs, and transporters as well as bacteria and plant toxins (Bonifacino and Rojas, 2006). The delivery of Shiga toxin, cholera toxin, and ricin, for example, is dependent on this trafficking route. Similarly, the recycling of the mannose 6–phosphate receptors (MPRs), the transmembrane peptidase furin, the TGN resident protein TGN38/46, the t-SNARE Stx6 (Syntaxin 6), and the v-SNARE VAMP4 also requires this transport route (Ghosh et al., 2003; Bonifacino and Rojas, 2006; Tran et al., 2007; Johannes and Popoff, 2008).

Numerous studies have shown that several distinct pathways mediate endosome-to-TGN transport (Sannerud et al., 2003; Pfeffer, 2009). These pathways use different Rab GTPases, tethering factors, and SNARE complexes. Transport from the late endosomes to the TGN is regulated by the Stx10–Stx16–Vti1a–VAMP3 SNARE complex and requires the Rab9 GTPase (Ganley et al., 2008), whereas transport from early/recycling endosomes to the TGN is mediated by the Stx6–Stx16–Vti1a–VAMP4 SNARE complex and requires the Rab6A/Rab11 GTPases (Mallard et al., 2002). The Stx5–GS28–Ykt6–GS15 SNARE complex, which regulates intra-Golgi retrograde transport, has also been implicated in retrograde transport from early/recycling endosomes to the Golgi complex (Mallard et al., 2002; Tai et al., 2004; Amessou et al., 2007). These SNARE complexes cooperate with multiple tethering factors, including the elongated coiled-coil tethers of the Golgin family: Golgin 97, Golgin 245, GCC185, and GCC88. It has been shown that Golgin 97, Golgin 245, and GCC185 are required...
for efficient retrograde trafficking of the Shiga toxin B subunit (STxB), whereas GCC88 is required for the retrieval of TGN38/46 to the TGN (Luke et al., 2003; Yoshino et al., 2005; Lieu et al., 2007). The multisubunit tethering complex (MTC) Golgi-associated retrograde transport protein (GARP) complex is also essential for retrograde transport of STxB as well as for the retrieval of TGN38/46 and the cation-independent (CI) MPR (Pérez-Victoria et al., 2008). This MTC is involved in the assembly of the Stx6–Stx16–Vti1a–VAMP4 SNARE complex, thereby regulating the fusion of endosome-derived vesicles with the TGN membrane (Pérez-Victoria and Bonifacino, 2009). The conserved oligomeric Golgi (COG) complex has also been implicated in endosome-to-TGN retrograde transport.

COG is an evolutionally conserved Golgi-associated tethering complex composed of eight subunits (Cog1–Cog8), which can be divided into two structurally and functionally distinct subcomplexes, lobe A (Cog1–4) and lobe B (Cog5–8) (Walter et al., 1998; Whyte and Munro, 2001; Ram et al., 2002; Ungar et al., 2002; Loh and Hong, 2004). Subunits of the first lobe are essential for cell growth in yeast and, therefore, are considered as essential components of the complex (Wuestehube et al., 1996; VanRheenen et al., 1998; Whyte and Munro, 2001). Mutations in the different COG subunits severely distort the Golgi glycosylation machinery and result in substantial alterations in global cell surface glycoconjugates (Reddy and Krieger, 1989; Wuestehube et al., 1996; Chatterton et al., 1999; Oka et al., 2005; Shetchakova et al., 2006). The profound effect of COG on the Golgi glycosylation machinery and its association with congenital disorders of glycosylation in humans (Hu et al., 2004; Foulquier et al., 2006, 2007; Kranz et al., 2007; Zeevaert et al., 2008) suggest that COG is involved in the transport, retention, and/or retrieval of Golgi glycosylation enzymes. Indeed, genetic and biochemical studies in yeast and mammalian cells suggest that COG functions as a tethering factor for vesicles that recycle within the Golgi apparatus, thereby regulating intra-Golgi retrograde transport and, consequently, the proper localization of Golgi glycosylation enzymes (Walter et al., 1998; Suvorova et al., 2001, 2002; Bruinsma et al., 2004; Ungar et al., 2006).

Like other MTCs, COG is thought to bridge the transport vesicle with its target membrane through binding of Rab GTPases, SNAREs, and/or vesicle coats. Consistent with this mode of action, COG was found to interact directly with the small GTPase Ypt1p (Rab1), with the γ-COP subunit of the COPI coat complex, and with the Golgi t-SNARE Sed5/Stx5 (Suvorova et al., 2002; Shetchakova et al., 2007). COG interacts with Stx5 via its Cog4 subunit and appears to stabilize the intra-Golgi Stx5–GS28–Ykt6–GS15 SNARE complex (Shetchakova et al., 2007; Laufman et al., 2009). Previously, we found that COG also interacts directly with the SM (Sec1/Munc18-like) protein Sly1 via its Cog4 subunit and that the Cog4–Sly1 interaction is required for Stx5–GS28–Ykt6–GS15 SNARE complex assembly and, consequently, for retrograde transport from the Golgi complex (Laufman et al., 2009).

In addition to its key role in intra-Golgi retrograde transport (Suvorova et al., 2002; Bruinsma et al., 2004), COG was also proposed to tether vesicles that recycle to the Golgi complex from the endosomal compartments (VanRheenen et al., 1999). Mutations in the yeast COG complex impair the sorting of vacuolar hydrolase carboxypeptidase Y and the retrieval of the v-SNARE Snc1p from the endosomal system back to the Golgi complex (Wuestehube et al., 1996; Speibrink and Nothwehr, 1999; Whyte and Munro, 2001). Likewise, depletion of certain COG subunits by RNAi in mammalian cells impairs endosome-to-TGN retrograde transport of STxB and of subtilase cytotoxin (Zolov and Lupashin, 2005; Sun et al., 2007; Smith et al., 2009). These observations suggest that COG is involved in both intra-Golgi and endosome to Golgi retrograde transport. However, it remains unclear whether COG directly regulates endosome-to-TGN traffic or indirectly influences this pathway as a result of its major effect on intra-Golgi retrograde transport.

Here, we show that COG directly and positively regulates endosome-to-TGN retrograde transport by specific and direct interaction with the endosome-to-TGN fusion machinery. We found that COG interacts directly with the v-SNARE Stx6 via its Cog6 subunit. In Cog6-depleted cells, endosome-to-TGN retrograde transport of STxB and TGN38 is markedly attenuated, and concomitantly, the steady-state distribution of TGN46, CI-MPR, and γ-adaptin is impaired. Cog6 knockdown (KD) also affects the steady-state distribution of Stx16, Vti1a, and VAMP4 and enhances their steady-state levels. In contrast, the steady-state level of the Stx6 protein is substantially reduced in Cog6-depleted cells, and its TGN localization is apparently abolished. Yet, overexpression of Stx6 fails to restore endosome-to-TGN transport in Cog6-depleted cells. Overexpression of the v-SNARE VAMP4, however, bypasses the requirement for COG and restores both the TGN localization of Stx6 and endosome-to-TGN retrograde transport in Cog6-depleted cells. These results suggest that COG positively regulates both the stability and the recycling of Stx6, thereby affecting SNARE complex assembly at the TGN and, consequently, endosome-to-TGN retrograde trafficking.

Results

Endosome-to-TGN retrograde transport is attenuated in Cog6-depleted cells

A previous study has shown that KD of the Cog3 subunit in mammalian cells substantially inhibited retrograde transport of STxB to the Golgi complex (Zolov and Lupashin, 2005). To examine the influence of other COG subunits on the retrograde transport of STxB, we depleted the expression of the Cog6 subunit in HeLa cells by short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs; Fig. S1 A). As shown, KD of Cog6 distinctly affected the steady-state levels of the different COG subunits (Fig. S1 B) but had minor effects on both the Golgi morphology and the Golgi localization of the first lobe’s subunits (Cog1–4; Fig. S1 C). However, it almost completely abrogated the Golgi localization of Cog5 and Cog7 subunits and markedly attenuated both retrograde transport from the Golgi complex (Fig. S1, D and E) and retrograde transport of STxB to the TGN. As shown in Fig. 1 A, the binding of STxB to the cell surface at 4°C was unaffected by Cog6 depletion. However, 45 min after shifting the temperature to 37°C, STxB was localized to the Golgi complex in the majority of the control cells (98%; n = 200) but could hardly
be detected in the Golgi of Cog6-depleted cells (5%; n = 200). Instead, it was predominantly distributed in various punctate cytosolic structures (Fig. 1 A), which displayed weak colocalization with the TGN marker Golgin 97 (11 ± 7% in Cog6-depleted cells as compared with 66 ± 12% in control cells; n = 30). These structures were partially colocalized with the early endosomal marker EEA1 (Fig. S2 A), consistent with the transport of STxB from the early/recycling endosomes to the TGN (Mallard et al., 1998). At 2 h after internalization, STxB was localized mainly to the TGN of Cog6-depleted cells but could also be detected in punctate structures throughout the cytosol, suggesting that depletion of Cog6 markedly attenuates endosome-to-TGN trafficking of STxB.

To further assess the effect of Cog6 KD on endosome-to-TGN transport, we examined the trafficking of TGN38 from the cell surface to the TGN using an antibody uptake assay (Reaves et al., 1993). TGN38/46 is a resident TGN protein that constitutively cycles between the TGN and the plasma membrane via early/recycling endosomes (Ghosh et al., 1998). Control and Cog6-depleted HeLa cells expressing a HA-tagged TGN38 were incubated with the anti-HA antibody for various periods of time, fixed, and double immunostained for TGN38 and either the TGN marker Golgin 97 or the early endosomal marker EEA1. As shown, TGN38-HA rapidly internalized into the cells and reached the Golgi complex of the control cells within 15–30 min (Figs. 1 B and S2 B). At 30 min of antibody uptake, the colocalization between TGN38 and Golgin 97 reached to 60 ± 15% in the control cells (n = 30). In contrast, only 15 ± 10% of TGN38 fluorescence was colocalized with Golgin 97 in Cog6-depleted cells (n = 30), and instead, extensive colocalization between TGN38 and EEA1 was detected (Fig. 1 B). These results suggest that the COG complex is essential for retrograde transport of both STxB and TGN38/46 from early/recycling endosomes to the TGN.

Figure 1. Endosome-to-TGN retrograde transport of STxB and TGN38 is attenuated in Cog6-depleted cells. (A) Endosome-to-TGN transport of STxB. Control (pSUPER-puro) and Cog6-depleted (KD) HeLa cells were incubated with recombinant purified His-tagged STxB (1 µg/ml) for 30 min at 4°C and either fixed (Time 0) or transferred to 37°C for the indicated time periods. The cells were then fixed, double immunostained with anti-His (red) and anti-Golgin 97 (Gol.97; green) antibodies, and analyzed by confocal microscopy. Shown are representative confocal images at the indicated time points. (B) Endosome-to-TGN transport of HA-tagged TGN38. Control and Cog6-depleted HeLa cells expressing HA-TGN38 were incubated at 37°C with the anti-HA monoclonal antibody for various time periods (Fig. S2 B). The localizations of TGN38-HA (red) and Golgin 97 (green) were determined by immunostaining and confocal microscopy analysis. Shown are representative confocal images of control and Cog6-depleted cells at 3 and 30 min of antibody uptake. Bars: (A and B, main images) 10 µm; (B, zoom) 5 µm.
was localized mainly in the TGN, consistent with previous studies (Bock et al., 1997; Klumperman et al., 1998; Wendler and Tooze, 2001). In Cog6-depleted cells, however, Stx6 lost its characteristic TGN localization and was observed in very faint cytosolic structures that could hardly be detected. These structures failed to colocalize with the early endosomal marker EEA1, suggesting that depletion of Cog6 affects the recycling of Stx6 to the TGN.

Next, we examined the effect of Cog6 KD on the steady-state distribution of other SNAREs of the Stx6–Stx16–Vti1a–VAMP4 SNARE complex. As shown in Fig. 3 B, the Golgi localization of Stx16 was markedly reduced in Cog6-depleted cells as compared with control HeLa cells. Yet, a residual Golgi staining of Stx16 could be observed. Depletion of Cog6 also impaired the Golgi localization of VAMP4, and it was mainly detected in various cytosolic structures. In contrast, Vti1a was localized to the Golgi of Cog6-depleted cells, similar to its location in control cells. Nevertheless, Vti1a as well as Stx16 and VAMP4 lost their colocalization with Stx6, implying that depletion of Cog6 impairs the assembly of the Stx6–Stx16–Vti1a–VAMP4 SNARE complex. Collectively, these results suggest that Cog6 KD strikingly affects the steady-state distribution of Stx6 and also influences the distribution of its associated SNAREs. It is worth mentioning that Cog6 KD had no detectable effects on the Golgi localization of either Stx5 or GS28 (Fig. S3). Yet, it abrogated the colocalization of GS15 with

To confirm these transport assays results, we examined the steady-state distribution of various endogenous proteins that recycle between the early/recycling endosomes and the TGN, including the CI-MPR, TGN46, and γ-adaptin, a component of the AP-1 complex, which is required for endosome-to-TGN transport of MPRs (Meyer et al., 2000). As shown in Fig. 2, CI-MPR, TGN46, and γ-adaptin predominantly localized to the TGN of the control cells, consistent with previous studies (Reaves et al., 1993; Ghosh et al., 1998; Saint-Pol et al., 2004). Their TGN localization, however, was markedly reduced in Cog6-depleted cells, and instead, they were predominantly seen in faint cytosolic structures. These results suggest that the trafficking of endogenous CI-MPR, TGN46, and γ-adaptin from early/recycling endosomes to the Golgi apparatus is perturbed in the absence of an intact COG complex, and consequently, their Golgi localization is affected.

Depletion of Cog6 affects the TGN localization of Stx6 and its colocalization with Stx16, Vti1a, and VAMP4

The profound effect of Cog6 KD on the steady-state distribution of CI-MPR, TGN46, and γ-adaptin (Fig. 2) led us to examine its influence on the subcellular distributions of SNAREs that regulate endosome-to-TGN trafficking. The localization experiments shown in Fig. 3 A demonstrate the striking effect of Cog6 KD on the steady-state distribution of Stx6. In control cells, Stx6 was localized mainly in the TGN, consistent with previous studies (Bock et al., 1997; Klumperman et al., 1998; Wendler and Tooze, 2001). In Cog6-depleted cells, however, Stx6 lost its characteristic TGN localization and was observed in very faint cytosolic structures that could hardly be detected. These structures failed to colocalize with the early endosomal marker EEA1, suggesting that depletion of Cog6 affects the recycling of Stx6 to the TGN.

Next, we examined the effect of Cog6 KD on the steady-state distribution of other SNAREs of the Stx6–Stx16–Vti1a–VAMP4 SNARE complex. As shown in Fig. 3 B, the Golgi localization of Stx16 was markedly reduced in Cog6-depleted cells as compared with control HeLa cells. Yet, a residual Golgi staining of Stx16 could be observed. Depletion of Cog6 also impaired the Golgi localization of VAMP4, and it was mainly detected in various cytosolic structures. In contrast, Vti1a was localized to the Golgi of Cog6-depleted cells, similar to its location in control cells. Nevertheless, Vti1a as well as Stx16 and VAMP4 lost their colocalization with Stx6, implying that depletion of Cog6 impairs the assembly of the Stx6–Stx16–Vti1a–VAMP4 SNARE complex. Collectively, these results suggest that Cog6 KD strikingly affects the steady-state distribution of Stx6 and also influences the distribution of its associated SNAREs. It is worth mentioning that Cog6 KD had no detectable effects on the Golgi localization of either Stx5 or GS28 (Fig. S3). Yet, it abrogated the colocalization of GS15 with
Stx5 (Fig. S3), consistent with the established role of COG in Stx5–GS28–Ykt6–GS15 SNARE complex assembly (Shestakova et al., 2007; Laufman et al., 2009).

Depletion of Cog6 affects the steady-state level and distribution of Stx6, its associated SNAREs, and SNARE complex assembly

To further confirm the immunostaining results shown in Fig. 3, we assessed the steady-state levels and the subcellular distribution of Stx6, Stx16, Vti1a, and VAMP4 by Western blotting (Fig. 4 A) and by subcellular fractionation (Fig. 4 C), respectively. As shown in Fig. 4 A, a substantial decrease (~50%) in the steady-state level of Stx6 was observed in Cog6-depleted cells, which is consistent with the faint immunostaining results (Fig. 3 A). In contrast, the steady-state levels of Vti1a, Stx16, and VAMP4 increased by ~40–50%. Interestingly, the steady-state level of the v-SNARE GS15 was also increased in Cog6-depleted cells, whereas the levels of its associated t-SNAREs, Stx5, GS28, and Ykt6, were unaffected by Cog6 depletion (Fig. 4 B). These results suggest that depletion of Cog6 distinctly affects the steady-state levels of SNAREs of the Stx6–Stx16–Vti1a–VAMP4 SNARE complex.

We next examined the subcellular distribution of these SNAREs by cell fractionation applying differential centrifugation. Cell homogenates were fractionated into heavy membranes, light membranes, and cytosol and analyzed by Western blotting and densitometry for SNARE distribution. As seen in Fig. 4 C, Stx6 was distributed almost equally between the heavy and light membrane fractions of the control cells. In contrast, it was highly enriched in the light membrane fraction (~70%), which contains various vesicles, in Cog6-depleted cells. Its association with the heavy membrane fraction, containing the Golgi membranes, could hardly be detected in Cog6-depleted cells, consistent with the immunostaining results (Fig. 3 A) and its overall reduced steady-state level (Fig. 4 A). The distribution of Stx16, Vti1a, and VAMP4 was also affected by Cog6 KD, and these three SNARE proteins were enriched in the light membrane fraction compared with control cells (Fig. 4 C). A high level of Vti1a was also detected in the heavy membrane fraction of Cog6-depleted cells, which is consistent with its Golgi association shown in Fig. 3 B and its increased steady-state level (Fig. 4 A). Collectively, these results show that KD of Cog6 enhances the association of all these SNAREs with vesicles or other light membranes but selectively reduces the steady-state level of Stx6.

This marked effect of Cog6 depletion on SNARE distribution could affect SNAREpin assembly and, consequently, endosome-to-TGN retrograde transport. We therefore examined the effect of Cog6 KD on SNARE complex assembly by applying a coimmunoprecipitation assay. Control and Cog6-depleted HeLa cells were treated with N-ethylmaleimide (NEM), which inhibits NSF, thereby preventing SNARE complex disassembly. Cells were then solubilized and subjected to immunoprecipitation with the anti-Vti1a antibody. The association of Vti1a with Stx6, Stx16, and VAMP4 was determined by immunoblotting with the corresponding antibodies. As shown in Fig. 4 D, the four SNARE proteins could be detected in Vti1a immunocomplexes.
As shown, MG132 restored the level of Stx6 in Cog6-depleted cells, suggesting that Stx6 is abnormally degraded by the proteasome in the absence of Cog6 subunit (Fig. 4E). Interestingly, KD of either the Cog4 or Cog8 subunits had no marked effect on the steady-state level of Stx6 (Fig. S4A). Likewise, depletion of Cog6 had no detectable effects on the steady-state levels of other cellular components that regulate endosome-to-TGN transport, including Golgin 97, Golgin 245, or the GARP subunits Vps52 and Vps53 (Fig. S4B), suggesting that depletion of Cog6 subunit selectively reduces the level of Stx6.

Cog6 interacts directly with the SNARE domain of Stx6 via its N-terminal coiled-coil domain.

The profound and unique effect of Cog6 KD on the steady-state level of Stx6 implies that the presence of the Cog6 subunit is crucial for Stx6 stability. This could be explained, at least in part, by a physical interaction between these two proteins. We therefore examined whether Cog6 interacts with Stx6 using of control cells, suggesting that SNARE complexes are assembled and can be isolated by this method. However, Stx6 and VAMP4 could hardly be detected in the Vti1a immunocomplexes of Cog6-depleted cells, suggesting that KD of Cog6 markedly affects the steady-state level of Stx6 and, consequently, impairs the assembly of the Stx6–Stx16–Vti1a–VAMP4 SNARE complex.

It was previously shown that depletion of different COG subunits affects the steady-state levels of a subset of type II Golgi membrane proteins known as GEARs, including the Golgi SNAREs GS28 and GS15. These GEARs (GS28, GS15, GPP130, CASP, giantin, and Golgin 84) are mislocalized in COG-deficient cells, and some are abnormally degraded by the proteasome (Oka et al., 2004, 2005). The marked effect of Cog6 KD on the steady-state level of Stx6 implies that it may also undergo abnormal proteasomal degradation. To explore this possibility, Cog6-depleted cells were treated with either the proteasome inhibitor MG132 or the lysosomal inhibitor chloroquine, and the level of Stx6 was examined by Western blotting. As shown, MG132 restored the level of Stx6 in Cog6-depleted cells, suggesting that Stx6 is abnormally degraded by the proteasome in the absence of Cog6 subunit (Fig. 4E). Interestingly, KD of either the Cog4 or Cog8 subunits had no marked effect on the steady-state level of Stx6 (Fig. S4A). Likewise, depletion of Cog6 had no detectable effects on the steady-state levels of other cellular components that regulate endosome-to-TGN transport, including Golgin 97, Golgin 245, or the GARP subunits Vps52 and Vps53 (Fig. S4B), suggesting that depletion of Cog6 subunit selectively reduces the level of Stx6.

Cog6 interacts directly with the SNARE domain of Stx6 via its N-terminal coiled-coil domain.

The profound and unique effect of Cog6 KD on the steady-state level of Stx6 implies that the presence of the Cog6 subunit is crucial for Stx6 stability. This could be explained, at least in part, by a physical interaction between these two proteins. We therefore examined whether Cog6 interacts with Stx6 using
we coexpressed GFP-tagged Stx6 and the different COG subunits in HEK293 cells and assessed their interactions by co-immunoprecipitation assay. The results shown in Fig. 5 B clearly demonstrate the strong interaction between Cog6 and Stx6. To further characterize this interaction, we mapped the binding site of Stx6 in Cog6 using a set of Cog6-truncated mutants. These mutants were prepared according to the predicted secondary structure of Cog6 and were expressed either as Myc-tagged proteins (Fig. 5 C) or GST fusion proteins (Fig. 5 D).
protein, whereas the N-terminal region of Cog6 (aa 1–200) was expressed as a GST fusion protein. The interaction between these recombinant proteins was assessed by a pull-down experiment using GST-Cog6 (aa 1–200) immobilized on glutathione-agarose beads. The results shown in Fig. 5 F strongly suggest that Stx6 interacts directly with Cog6.

We next examined the interaction between endogenous Cog6 and Stx6 by coimmunoprecipitation. As shown in Fig. 5 C, a mutant containing the first 165 aa of Cog6 interacts strongly with Stx6, similar to the full-length Cog6. Further truncation narrowed down the binding site of Stx6 to aa 81–165 (Fig. 5 D) at the N-terminal amphipathic helical region of Cog6 (Whyte and Munro, 2001, 2002). Collectively, these results suggest that Cog6 binds Stx6 via its N-terminal coiled-coil domain.

Next, we performed the reciprocal experiment and mapped the binding site of Cog6 in Stx6. Several Stx6 truncated mutants were expressed as GST fusion proteins in bacteria, and their interaction with Cog6 was assessed by GST pull-down experiments. As shown in Fig. 5 E, Cog6 interacts with the entire cytoplasmic region of Stx6 (aa 1–234) as well as with its SNARE domain (aa 161–234) but fails to interact with the N-terminal region (aa 1–160) consisting of a trihelical bundle Habc domain and a flexible linker region. These results suggest that Cog6 interacts with the SNARE domain of Stx6 via its N-terminal coiled-coil domain (aa 81–165).

To demonstrate that Cog6 interacts directly with Stx6, we used recombinant proteins expressed in bacteria. The cytoplasmic region of Stx6 (aa 1–234) was expressed as a His-tagged protein, whereas the N-terminal region of Cog6 (aa 1–200) was expressed as a GST fusion protein. The interaction between these recombinant proteins was assessed by a pull-down experiment using GST-Cog6 (aa 1–200) immobilized on glutathione-agarose beads. The results shown in Fig. 5 F strongly suggest that Stx6 interacts directly with Cog6.

We next examined the interaction between endogenous Cog6 and Stx6 by coimmunoprecipitation. As seen in Fig. 5 G, Stx6 was found in Cog6 immunocomplexes as expected but could also be detected in Cog4 immunocomplexes. These results suggest that the entire COG complex interacts with Stx6 via its Cog6 subunit.

**Overexpression of VAMP4 restores the Golgi localization of Stx6 and endosome-to-TGN retrograde transport in Cog6-depleted cells**

The remarkable effect of Cog6 KD on both the steady-state level and the subcellular distribution of Stx6 (Figs. 3 and 4) implies that the Cog6–Stx6 interaction is involved in both Stx6 stabilization and its TGN localization. However, these two
potential roles could be interdependent. Degradation of Stx6 in the absence of Cog6 would reduce its total steady-state level and, consequently, affect its TGN localization. If this is indeed the case, stabilization of Stx6 should restore the TGN localization of Stx6 in Cog6-depleted cells. To mimic a stabilized Stx6, we ectopically overexpressed it in Cog6-depleted cells and examined its subcellular localization. As shown in Fig. 6 A, HA-tagged Stx6 was mainly localized to the TGN of the control cells, consistent with the localization of the endogenous Stx6 protein (Fig. 3 A). In contrast, it was localized mainly to various punctate cytosolic structures in Cog6-depleted cells and could hardly be detected in the TGN. These results are consistent with the effect of Cog6 KD on the distribution of endogenous Stx6 and its enrichment in the light membrane fraction (Fig. 4 C).

Yet, KD of Cog6 also affects the TGN localization of Stx16 and VAMP4 (Fig. 3 B) and also induces their redistribution into the light membrane fraction (Fig. 4 C). These light membranes may represent endosome-derived transport vesicles that fail to tether with the TGN membranes. This possibility is consistent with the role of COG as a tethering factor (Lupashin and Sztul, 2005) and the steady-state distribution of Stx6 and its associated SNAREs (Figs. 3 and 4). Hence, conditions that could overcome the requirement for tethering might restore the recycling of Stx6 and its associated SNAREs and, consequently, their TGN localization in Cog6-depleted cells.

Previous experiments suggest that overexpression of a v-SNARE enhances its interaction with t-SNAREs on the target membranes by mass action, thereby bypassing the requirement for tethering factors (Pfeffer, 1996). Overexpression of the v-SNARE Bet1, for example, suppresses a temperature-sensitive mutation of the tethering factor Uso1p (Sapperstein et al., 1995, 1996). Similarly, high-copy numbers of the v-SNARE Sncl2p suppressed the temperature sensitivity of the sec35-1 strain, which carries a mutation in the Cog2 subunit (VanRheenen et al., 1998). We therefore examined whether overexpression of the v-SNARE VAMP4 could restore the TGN localization of Stx6 in Cog6-depleted cells.

Cog6-depleted cells were transiently transfected with GFP-VAMP4, and the localization of Stx6 was examined by immunofluorescence analysis. As seen in Fig. 6 B, strong immunostaining of Stx6 was observed in the Golgi of Cog6-depleted cells overexpressing the GFP-VAMP4, and colocalization between Stx6 and GFP-VAMP4 was detected. This effect was specific for VAMP4, as neither overexpression of the t-SNAREs Stx16 and Vti1a nor the v-SNARE GS15 could restore the TGN localization of Stx6 in Cog6-depleted cells (Fig. S5 B).

The colocalization between GFP-VAMP4 and Stx6 (Fig. 6 B) suggests that overexpression of VAMP4 restored trans-SNARE complex assembly and, as a consequence, may also restore endosome-to-TGN retrograde trafficking. To explore this possibility, we overexpressed GFP-VAMP4 in Cog6-depleted cells and examined its effect on endosome-to-TGN retrograde transport of STx-B. As shown in Fig. 6 C, overexpression of GFP-VAMP4 rescued the effect of Cog6 KD on STx-B retrograde transport, and 45 min after internalization, STx-B was localized to the Golgi in the majority (~65%; n = 200) of Cog6-depleted cells. Overexpression of neither Stx6 nor GS15 (Fig. 6 D) could restore retrograde transport of STx-B in Cog6-depleted cells. Collectively, these results suggest that COG plays two major roles: it is involved in the tethering of endosome-derived vesicles with the TGN membranes, thereby affecting the recycling of SNAREs to the TGN, promoting their assembly, and positively regulates endosome-to-TGN retrograde trafficking. In addition, it stabilizes Stx6 at the TGN via its direct interaction with the Cog6 subunit, thereby stabilizing and/or promoting the assembly of a functional t-SNARE complex on the TGN, which provides a template for v-SNARE binding.

Discussion

In this study, we have identified a novel and direct interaction between the COG complex and the t-SNARE Stx6 and demonstrated that this interaction is mediated by the Cog6 subunit (Fig. 5). In Cog6-depleted HeLa cells, the steady-state level of Stx6 was markedly reduced (Fig. 5 A), the assembly of the Stx6–Stx16–Vti1a–VAMP4 SNARE complex was impaired (Fig. 5 D), and concomitantly, endosome-to-TGN retrograde traffic was substantially inhibited (Figs. 1 and 2). These results suggest that the Cog6–Stx6 interaction stabilizes Stx6, thereby affecting its steady-state level, SNARE complex assembly, and consequently, endosome-to-TGN transport. Consistent with this interpretation, we showed that Stx6 undergoes abnormal proteasomal degradation in Cog6-depleted cells (Fig. 5 E) and that depletion of neither the Cog4 nor Cog8 subunit reduced the steady-state level of Stx6 (Fig. S4 A).

Abnormal proteasomal degradation of the Golgi SNAREs GS28 and GS15 was also observed in cells depleted of different COG subunits (Oka et al., 2004, 2005; Zolov and Lupashin, 2005; Laufman et al., 2009). However, a direct interaction between these SNAREs and the COG has not yet been identified. On the other hand, a direct interaction between certain SM proteins and t-SNAREs was found to stabilize their cognate t-SNAREs through a putative chaperone-like activity of SM proteins. Sly1, for example, interacts directly with the ER t-SNARE Ufe1p, a yeast homologue of Stx18, and protects it from ER-associated degradation (Braun and Jentsch, 2007). Likewise, the SM protein Vps45p interacts with Tlg2p, the yeast homologue of Stx16, and protects it from proteasomal degradation (Bryant and James, 2001). Hence, it could be that Cog6 also induces conformational changes of its associated t-SNARE Stx6, thereby regulating its stability.

Nevertheless, SM proteins generally interact with the N-terminal regions of syntaxins (Südhof and Rothman, 2009), whereas Cog6 interacts with the SNARE domain of Stx6 (Fig. 5E) via its N-terminal coiled-coil domain (aa 81–165) (Fig. 5 D). Interestingly, the N-terminal coiled-coil domain of Cog4 also interacts with the SNARE domain of Stx5 (Shestakova et al., 2007), whereas the N-terminal coiled-coil domains of the Vps53 and Vps54 subunits of the mammalian GARP complex interact with the SNARE motifs of Stx6, Stx16, and VAMP4 (Pérez-Victoria and Bonifacino, 2009). These N-terminal coiled-coil domains of GARP and COG subunits share sequence similarity with components of the exocyst complex (Whyte and...
Stx6 remains in an unbound form and is subjected to rapid degradation. This could explain why the steady-state level of Stx6 is selectively reduced in Cog6-depleted cells despite the fact that all the other SNAREs are also enriched in intermediate transport elements (Fig. 4 C). Consistent with this dual role, we showed that overexpression of VAMP4 could bypass the requirement for COG (Fig. 6, B and C), suggesting that COG indeed functions as a tethering factor.

Previous experiments suggest that both tethering factors and SNAREs can contribute to vesicle docking and that overexpression of SNAREs can compensate for tethering defects (Wiederkehr et al., 2004). Perhaps the most relevant example is related to the sec35 mutation, which can be bypassed by overexpression of the v-SNARE protein Snc2p (VanRheenen et al., 1998). Snc2p is very similar to Snc1p (Protoporov et al., 1993), the yeast homologue of VAMP3, and is functionally related to VAMP4 (Paumet et al., 2001). These genetic studies in yeast and the observations described in this study strongly suggest that COG is involved in the tethering of endosome-derived vesicles to the TGN membranes. Overexpression of VAMP4 could bypass the requirement for COG because of the presence of multiple, locally concentrated v-SNARE proteins. These locally concentrated v-SNAREs could interact directly with the residual t-SNAREs (Stx16, Stx6, and Vti1a) on the TGN and, consequently, enable the fusion of endosome-derived vesicles. Over time, the fusion of these vesicles would restore the TGN localization of the t-SNAREs and, consequently, rescue the transport defects. Collectively, our findings strongly suggest that COG is involved in both the stabilization of the t-SNARE complex at the TGN and in the tethering of endosome-derived vesicles with the TGN membranes, thereby affecting endosome-to-TGN retrograde trafficking.

The capability of a given tethering factor, the COG, to regulate both intra-Golgi and endosome-to-TGN retrograde transport, could provide a mechanism for coordination between the two trafficking pathways. These two transport routes must be tightly coordinated to maintain the structural and functional integrity of the Golgi complex. Although the Stx5–GS28–Ykt6–GS15 SNARE complex has been implicated in the regulation of both intra-Golgi and endosome to Golgi retrograde transport, we show here that overexpression of VAMP4, but not of GS15, could restore the endosome-to-TGN transport defect of Stx-B in Cog6-depleted cells (Fig. 6, C and D). These results strongly suggest that the Stx6–Stx16–Vti1a–VAMP4 complex cooperates with COG in endosome-to-TGN trafficking, whereas the Stx5–GS28–Ykt6–GS15 SNARE complex cooperates with COG in intra-Golgi retrograde transport. This capability of COG is mediated, at least in part, by direct interaction of COG subunits with different components of the fusion machinery: Cog4 interacts directly with Stx5 and Sly1 (Shestakova et al., 2007; Laufman et al., 2009), whereas Cog6 interacts directly with Stx6. Whether other COG subunits interact directly with other components of the fusion machinery of these trafficking pathways is yet to be determined.

An important question emerging from our experiments is related to the multiple tethering factors that regulate endosome-to-TGN transport and, in some cases, even use the same fusion machinery. It could be that multiple tethering factors are
required for efficient recycling of SNAREs that regulate this transport route. Components of the Stx6–Stx16–Vti1a–VAMP4 SNARE complex are involved in different transport steps and are assembled into different SNARE complexes. VAMP4, for example, is a multifunctional v-SNARE that is required in the endocytic pathway as well as for the fusion of secretary vesicles with the plasma membrane (McNew et al., 2000; Hong, 2005). Similarly, Stx6 appears to take part in a variety of membrane fusion events as part of different SNARE complexes (Wendler and Tooze, 2001). Hence, multiple tethering factors could provide overlapping backup mechanisms that ensure efficient recycling of these SNAREs, thereby contributing to the coordination of distinct fusion events. Consistent with this role, KD of either GAPC, GCC88, GCC185, or COG, as shown here, attenuates rather than abolishes endosome-to-TGN transport of certain cargoes. Yet, it could be that different tethering factors are responsible for the transport of different cargos using the same SNARE complex. Identification of additional cargo molecules that use this trafficking route and elucidating the mechanisms by which different tethering factors regulate their transport would greatly contribute to the current understanding of fusion events at the TGN.

**Materials and methods**

**Antibodies, reagents, and chemicals**

Polyclonal antibodies against Cog3, Cog4, Cog7, and Golgin 97 as well as the monoclonal antibody against GS28 were previously described (Subramaniam et al., 1995; Lu et al., 2004; Laufman et al., 2009). Polyclonal antibodies against Cog2 (aa 500–578), Cog6 (aa 103–230), and Stx6 (aa 1–225) were raised in rabbits immunized with recombinant GST fusion proteins consisting of the indicated aa residues. Polyclonal antibodies against Cog5 and Cog8 were provided by D. Ungar (York University, York, England, UK), and a polyclonal antibody against Cog1 was provided by M. Krieger (Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA). Polyclonal antibodies against Stx1 and Stx3 were a gift from B.L. Tang (National University of Singapore, Singapore) and from Z. Elazar (Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel), respectively. Polyclonal anti-vAMP4 and anti-Vti1a antibodies were purchased from Synaptic Systems and from Proteintech Group, Inc., respectively. Monoclonal anti-GS15, anti-Stx6, anti-EEA1, and anti-v-αdaptin antibodies were purchased from BD. Monoclonal anti–CHMP4 and anti-His antibodies and anti–β-actin antibody (clone A54) and anti–CMP4 antibodies were purchased from Abcam. The sheep anti-TGN46 antibody was purchased from ABD Serotec. The monoclonal anti–KDEL receptor (KDEL) antibody was obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., and the polyclonal anti–mannosidase II antibody was obtained from the University of Georgia. Polyclonal antibodies against Vps52 and Vps53 and the polyclonal anti-Arp1 antibody were provided by J. Bonifacino (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD) and by B. Areti (Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel), respectively. The mouse anti–α-tubulin antibody was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Alexa Fluor 488 donkey anti–mouse and anti–rabbit IgGs were purchased from Invitrogen. Cy3 (cyanine 3)-conjugated goat anti–rabbit and goat anti–mouse IgGs as well as the Cy3-conjugated goat anti–rabbit IgG were purchased from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.

**Protein A-agarose beads** were purchased from Repligen Corp. Ni-nitrilotriacetic acid agarose beads were obtained from QIAGEN, whereas the anti–mouse IgG conjugated to agarose beads, Hoechst 33342, MG132, and chloroquine was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

**DNA constructs**

The DNA constructs encoding the different Myc-tagged COG subunits have been described previously (Loh and Hong, 2002, 2004). Truncated Cog6 mutants were produced by subcloning of the corresponding PCR products into either the pCMV-neomycin-Myc and the mammalian GST expression vectors or the pGEX-4T-1 bacterial expression vector, as indicated in the legend of Fig. 5. The following sense and antisense primers have been used for PCR amplifications: Cog6 (aa 53–657), 5′-AACGGACTCTGAGTIGTAAGGCTCTCAAGGGC-3′ and 5′-AATGGTACCTCCGGAAAAAGGCCTTCGCAC-3′; Cog6 (aa 1–165), 5′-AAAGGATCCGCTGAGGAGGAGGGGCGG-3′ and 5′-AACCTGGAATACGTACCTGGG-3′; and Cog6 (aa 1–200), 5′-GAACGCTGAGGAGGAGGGGCGG-3′ and 5′-AGGAGTCTCATGCGCAAGGGGAGGGG-3′. The mammalian expression vector encoding the GFP-Stx6 was provided by J.E. Pessin (Stony Brook University, New York, NY). Mammalian expression vectors encoding the cytosolic fragments of the human Stx6, Stx16, and Vti1a were provided by S.R. Pfeffer (Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA). These fragments were subcloned into the pGEX-4T-1 bacterial expression vector. The truncated Stx6 mutants were produced by subcloning of the corresponding PCR products into either pGEX-4T-1 or pCDPdue-1 bacterial expression vectors. The following sense and antisense primers have been used for PCR amplifications: Stx6 (aa 1–160), 5′-AGAAGGATCTCCATCGAGGAGGCCCTTCTTTG-3′ and 5′-AAACCTCTGACCTGCTGCTTCGCCTAAAG-3′; and Stx6 (aa 161–234), 5′-AAGCGATCCACGAGCAAGCTGATGGGAA-3′ and 5′-AAACCTCTGACCTGTTGCCTATGCTTGTGCCGAC-3′. The mammalian expression vector encoding GFP-VAMP4 has been previously described (Zeng et al., 2003). Truncated VAMP4 lacking its transmembrane domain was produced by PCR and subcloned into pGEX-4T-1. The following primers were used for PCR amplification: 5′-AACGGATCCATGGCCAAATGCGAAGGACGAGATCGTGGAAC-3′ and 5′-AACCTGGAATACGTACCTGGG-3′.

**shRNA constructs.** The mammalian pSUPER-puro vector was used for expression of shRNAs corresponding to nucleotides 1,242–1,260 (5′-GAGGCTAATTGCTTATTATATA-3′) of the human Cog4 DNA and nucleotides 1,512–1,531 (5′-GACCTTTGTCCTGATTTAAT-3′) of the human Cog8 DNA. Two shRNAs have been used to knock down the expression of Cog6 shRNA1 and shRNA2 corresponding to nucleotides 1,591–1,609 (5′-GACCTTTGTCCTGATTTAAT-3′) and 348–346 (5′-GATAAGCACAAGTCGCTTG-3′) of the human Cog8 DNA, respectively. shRNA1 has been chosen for further analysis shown in the figures in this paper.

**Cell culture, transfection, and immunofluorescence microscopy**

HEK293 and HeLa cells were grown in DME supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 µg/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. The cells were transfected using either the calcium-phosphate method or transfection reagent (FuGENE HD; Roche). Stable HeLa cell lines depleted of the Cog6 subunit were established using the pSUPER-puro vector encoding shRNA1 (nucleotides 1,591–1,609) as previously described for Cog6 (Laufman et al., 2009). A stable HeLa cell line harboring an empty pSUPER-puro vector was established and used as a control. These stable HeLa cell lines were used in all the transport assays and rescue experiments described in this paper (Figs. 1 and 6). Transient transfections with the Cog6 shRNA1 construct or the pSUPER-puro empty vector were performed in all the localization experiments and the biochemical analysis. In brief, HeLa cells grown on coverslips or in 90-mm tissue culture dishes were transiently transfected with the Cog6 shRNA1 construct or pSUPER-puro vector using FuGENE HD. 24 h after transfection, the cells were either incubated with regular medium for 72 h and then analyzed by immunofluorescence or were incubated with 1 µg/ml puromycin for 72 h and then analyzed by the indicated biochemical assay as described in the legends of Figs. 4, S1, and S4. For immunofluorescence analysis, control and Cog6-depleted HeLa cells were grown on coverslips, washed with PBS, and fixed in 1% paraformaldehyde in either PBS or KM buffer (10 mM 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid, pH 6.2, 10 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, and 2.5% glycerol) for 20 min at room temperature. Fixed cells were then permeabilized with either 0.1% Triton X-100 or 0.03% saponin (VAMP staining) in PBS and immunostained at room temperature essentially as previously described.
In brief, the fixed cells were incubated for 30 min in blocking buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 2% BSA, 1% glycine, 10% goat serum, and 0.1% Triton X-100 or 0.03% saponin) and then for 1 h with the indicated primary antibodies diluted in the blocking buffer as described in Figs. 1, 2, 3, and 6 and in their legends. The cells were then washed with PBS and incubated with the appropriate fluorescence-labeled secondary antibodies for 1 h. After washing in PBS, the cells were incubated in 1 mg/ml of Hoechst 33342 in PBS and mounted on microscopic slides using mounting media (10 mM phosphate buffer, pH 8.0, 16.6% wt/vol Mowiol 4-88, and 33% glycerol).

The specimens were analyzed by a confocal laser-scanning microscope (LSM 510; Carl Zeiss) equipped with a 63×/1.4 oil differential interference contrast M27 objective lens (Plan Apochromat; Carl Zeiss) using separate filters for Cy3, Cy5, and either Cy2 or Alexa Fluor 488 fluorescence, and either 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Hoechst) or Cy5, respectively. Images were acquired using the LSM 510 software.

Cell extracts were prepared by solubilizing HEK293 or HeLa cells, as indicated in the legends of Figs. 4, 5, S1, S4, and S5, in lysis buffer (1% Triton X-100, and analyzed by Western blotting, in pH 7.5, 10 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM PMSF, 10 µg/ml leupeptin, and 10 µg/ml aproprivin) for 1 h on ice. Cell lysates were centrifuged at 15,000 g for 15 min at 4°C. Protein concentration of the supernatants was determined by Bradford assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories), and equal protein amounts were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting using antibodies against the indicated SNAREs or the COG subunits to determine their steady-state levels as described in Figs. 4 (A and B), S1 B, and S4 A. Immunoprecipitations were performed essentially as described previously (Amarioli et al., 2005). In brief, cell lysates were incubated for 3 h at 4°C with either aggarose–protein A (Repligen Corp.) or aggarose–anti–mouse IgG beads bound to the indicated polyclonal or mouse monoclonal antibodies, respectively. The beads were washed three times with lysis buffer, boiled in SDS sample buffer, and separated by SDS-PAGE. Immune precipitats of SNARE complexes were performed after treatment with NEM as previously described (Pérez-Victoria and Bonifacino, 2009). In brief, control or Cog6-depleted HeLa cells were incubated in medium containing 1 mM NEM for 15 min on ice, washed with PBS, and then incubated in medium containing 2 mM DT for 15 min on ice. The cells were then incubated in complete medium for 30 min at 37°C, solubilized on ice in lysis buffer, and subjected to immunoprecipitation for 3 h at 4°C with aggarose–protein A beads bound to a rabbit anti-Vti1a antibody. The beads were washed three times with lysis buffer, boiled in SDS sample buffer, and separated by SDS-PAGE.

Pull-down experiments were performed as described previously (Laufman et al., 2009). In brief, GST and GST fusion proteins were expressed in bacteria and purified by standard procedures (GE Healthcare) using glutathione-agarose beads. The beads were then incubated with cell lysates of HEK293 cells expressing the indicated proteins for 2 h at 4°C. The samples were washed twice in buffer containing 20 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 250 mM NaCl, and 1 mM DTT and, finally, with buffer containing 20 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, and 1 mM DT. The bound proteins were analyzed by Western blotting. For direct binding assays, His-tagged Stx6 (aa 1–234) was purified from bacteria and analyzed by immunoprecipitation of SNARE complexes to determine their steady-state levels as described in Fig. S5 shows that Cog6 does not interact with VAMP3, VAMP4, or Stx8 subunits and that overexpression of the Cog6 subunit affects retrograde transport of STx-B and TGN38 to the TGN. Fig. S3 shows that depletion of Cog6 has no effect on the Golgi localization of Stx6 and S628. Fig. S4 shows that depletion of either Cog4 or Cog8 subunits has no marked effect on the steady-state level of Stx6 and that depletion of the Cog6 subunit has no effect on the steady-state levels of cellular regulators of endosome-to-TGN trafficking. Fig. S5 shows that Cog6 does not interact with VAMP3, VAMP4, or Stx10 and that overexpression of Stx1, Vti1a, or Gb15 failed to restore the TGN localization of Stx6 in Cog6-depleted cells. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201102045/DC1.
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