Psychosocial stress, standing time and overweight are risk factors for pregnancy induced hypertension: A case control study
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Abstract
Objective:
To determine the risk factors for pregnancy induced hypertension (PIH).

Methods:
This was a case control study, carried out at Castle Street Hospital for Women during August to September 2006. Hundred mothers newly diagnosed as having PIH were chosen as cases and 100 mothers with uncomplicated pregnancies as controls. Both cases and controls had a period of amenorrhoea of >20 weeks. Psychosocial stress was measured using General Health Questionnaire 30 (GHQ) and the Modified Life Event Inventory (MLEI). Maternal socio-demographic information and other data were collected using an interviewer administered questionnaire and a record sheet. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was applied to control for confounders and the results were expressed as odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95%CI).

Results:
After adjusting for confounding effect experience of ≥2 life events during pregnancy (OR:2.1, 95%CI:1.06–10.9), a maternal BMI of ≥25 kg/m² (OR:2.4, 95%CI:1.1–5.0), maternal age of ≥28 years (OR:3.9, 95%CI:2.0–7.5), history of ante partum haemorrhage (OR:3.4, 95%CI:1.1–10.9) and standing for >1.5 hours at one stretch (OR:3.4, 95%CI:1.6–7.4) had statistically significant associations with developing PIH.

Conclusions:
Psychosocial stress during pregnancy, being an overweight or obese women, age ≥28 years, history of antepartum haemorrhage and standing ≥1.5 hours at one stretch were risk factors for PIH.
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Introduction
Hypertensive disorders are the most common complication experienced by pregnant mothers throughout the world. Its incidence varies from 6% to 8% of all pregnancies.¹ Pregnancy induced hypertension (PIH) is a clinical syndrome characterized by the development of hypertension after 20 weeks period of amenorrhoea. PIH could be associated with proteinuria (pre-eclampsia) or without proteinuria. Incidence of PIH was 4.1% in United States (USA)², 1.4% Taiwan³ and 2.8% in Canada.⁴

PIH is one of the leading causes for maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality.⁴ Mothers will experience a spectrum of complications such as cerebral haemorrhages, hepatic lesions leading to jaundice and liver failure, also renal lesions, placental abruption and lastly maternal death⁵ according to the severity of the PIH. When considering maternal deaths, hypertensive disorders accounts for 17.6% and 46% in USA and United Kingdom⁶ respectively. Neonatal complications such as preterm delivery, growth retardation and foetal death are common among PIH mothers.⁴ PIH is also accounts for 65% of the foetal deaths in United Kingdom.⁵

Many studies have reported that psychosocial stress is a risk factor for PIH.²,³,⁶ Psychosocial stress has been measured using different methods. The most commonly used instrument is the Modified Life Events Inventory, which assesses the number of stressful events experienced²,⁷ during pregnancy. The General Health Questionnaire has been used in some studies⁷ to measure psychological distress experienced during pregnancy. Also several other risk factors have been identified to be having association with PIH such as socio demographic factors like maternal age⁷-⁹, level of maternal education¹⁰,¹¹, social class¹¹ and employment during pregnancy.²,¹¹ With the recent socio demographic changes, people of higher social classes adopt more sedentary life style. Also with the increased consumption of high fat diet and lack of exercise had caused obesity in population. Studies conducted in Malaysia¹², USA¹³ and Israel¹⁴ had reported that pre pregnancy body mass index (BMI) more than 25kg/m² was a risk factor for PIH. Some of the other described risk factors are primiparity⁷,¹⁰,¹¹,¹⁵, previous history of pre-eclampsia⁷,⁸,¹⁵,¹⁶ and family history of pre-eclampsia.⁸,¹⁷ However, the effect of psychosocial stress, social class and level of maternal education on PIH had shown controversial findings.²,⁶,¹⁵
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Further identifying such risk factors at booking visit is helpful so that close follow up of high risk mothers will help to identify PIH at initial stages before developing complications. This is a very cost effective strategy to reduce maternal morbidity as follow up of mothers with risk factors can be done with using a sphygmomanometer which is available at any primary care level medical institution. No studies have been conducted in Sri Lanka to determining the risk factors for PIH. Therefore the objective of the study was to determine the risk factors for PIH while paying emphasis on psychosocial stress and socio demographic factors.

Methods
A cases control study was conducted at Castle Street Hospital for Women in Colombo from August 2006 to September 2006. PIH was defined as systolic blood pressure of 140mmHg or more or a diastolic blood pressure of 90mmHg or more, taken by two readings at least four hours apart. One hundred newly diagnosed PIH women at more than 20 weeks of gestation were recruited from the antenatal clinics. One hundred controls were chosen from the women who attended the same antenatal clinics with an uncomplicated pregnancy with their systolic blood pressure below 140mmHg and diastolic blood pressure below 90mmHg and without proteinuria. Controls were women having the next registration number to a case who fulfil the criteria for controls. Women with heart disease, diabetes, epilepsy, twin pregnancy were excluded from both cases and control groups.

Pre tested four study instruments were used for the study. The first instrument was an interviewer administered questionnaire which gathered information on socio demographic data and details of current and past pregnancies. The second was also an interviewer administered questionnaire which included “Modified Life Event Inventory” (MLEI). The third instrument was the General Health Questionnaire–30 (GHQ) which was a self administered questionnaire. Both the MLEI and GHQ measured the psychosocial stress. The fourth instrument was a record sheet which used to obtain information on current and past obstetric history from the mother’s pregnancy record card issued by the obstetric unit and pregnancy record card issued by the village antenatal clinic. The data collection was done by principal investigator in a confidential setting after obtaining informed written consent from the women. Ethical clearance was obtained from the Ethical Review Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya.

Data analysis was performed by using SPSS windows 16th version. After entering the data it was rechecked to see the accuracy of data. Statistical analysis included bivariate logistic regression, which was carried out to determine the odds ratio (OR) for PIH in terms of each of the socio-demographic and maternal variables and for psychosocial stress. Cutoff values with regard to the height was determined by using the Receiver Operator Characteristic curves in order to obtain a more accurate estimate of the association and cut off values for pre pregnancy weight, and time of standing were taken according to their median. Unconditional multiple logistic regression was carried out to control the confounding factors. Eligibility for including the variables into the regression model was based on both statistical basis (p-value <0.25) as well as biological plausibility. The initial model contained all variables and it was modified by concurrent addition and deletion of variables until the most suitable model was accomplished.

Results
Mean age of the study population was 27 years with a standard deviation of 3.9 years. Majority 73.5% (147) were between 20–29 years of age followed by the age groups 30-40 years and 18-19 years with the percentages 24.4% (48) and 2.5% (5) respectively. Of the study population 48.5% (97) were primiparous, 51.5% (103) multiparous and 91.5% (183) Sinhalese. Majority 52% (104) of the women were educated up to grade 6-10.

Experience of ≥2 life events during pregnancy, GHQ score of >5, exposure to passive smoking, standing >1.5 hours at the stretch, pre pregnancy BMI ≥25 kg/m², age >28 years, history of antepartum haemorrhage, family history of PIH, past history of PIH, and previous miscarriage were found to be significantly associated with PIH in the bivariate analysis (Table 1). In multivariate analysis, experience of ≥2 life events during pregnancy, standing >1.5 hours at the stretch, pre pregnancy BMI ≥25 kg/m², age >28 years, history of antepartum haemorrhage, were found to be significantly associated with PIH (Table 2).
| Exposure variables                              | Cases (n=100) | Controls (n=100) | Odds Ratio | 95% CI    | P value |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|------------|-----------|---------|
| Experience of ≥ 2 life events                  | 43            | 26               | 2.15       | 1.18 – 3.9 | 0.01    |
| GHQ score > 5                                  | 15            | 6                | 2.76       | 1.18 – 3.90 | 0.04    |
| Age ≥ 28 years                                 | 61            | 28               | 4.02       | 2.2 – 7.3  | < 0.001 |
| Educational level grade ≤ 10                  | 56            | 53               | 1.13       | 0.65 – 1.97 | 0.67    |
| Employment during pregnancy                   | 31            | 24               | 1.42       | 0.76 – 2.65 | 0.27    |
| Lower social class                            | 41            | 44               | 0.88       | 0.50 – 1.55 | 0.67    |
| Per capita monthly income ≤ 5000 SLRs         | 56            | 52               | 1.17       | 0.67 – 2.05 | 0.57    |
| Pre pregnancy height ≥ 153 cm                 | 42            | 50               | 0.72       | 0.41 – 1.26 | 0.26    |
| Pre pregnancy weight ≥ 56 Kg                  | 56            | 48               | 1.37       | 0.79 – 2.41 | 0.26    |
| BMI ≥ 25 Kg/m²                                 | 36            | 18               | 2.56       | 1.33 – 4.96 | 0.004   |
| Primiparous                                   | 50            | 47               | 1.13       | 0.65 – 1.96 | 0.67    |
| History of antepartum hemorrhage              | 18            | 5                | 4.17       | 1.48 – 11.73 | 0.004   |
| Previous miscarriage                          | 18            | 8                | 2.52       | 1.04 – 6.18 | 0.03    |
| Past history of PIH                           | 17            | 3                | 8.56       | 2.33 – 31.62 | 0.001   |
| Family history of PIH                         | 21            | 6                | 4.21       | 1.61 – 10.97 | 0.002   |
| Exposure to passive smoking                   | 26            | 14               | 2.16       | 1.05 – 4.43 | 0.03    |
| Standing ≥ 1.5 hours at the streach           | 36            | 15               | 3.19       | 1.61 – 6.32 | 0.001   |
| Sleeping ≤ 8 hours.                            | 60            | 65               | 0.81       | 0.45 – 1.43 | 0.46    |
Discussion

From this study it was evident that women who experienced ≥2 life events during pregnancy was a risk factor for PIH. Further psychological distress measured by GHQ score of >5 was not significantly associated with PIH in multivariate analysis, however the P value was 0.054. Three studies^2,3,6 had also reported that psychosocial work stress during pregnancy was significantly associated with PIH. All above studies^2,3,6 considered the stress caused by job. In the present study, psychosocial stress was assessed by two methods. Experiences of life events indicate stressful conditions in the past where as GHQ measure the psychosocial during the past two weeks.

Standing ≥1.5 hours at a stretch was a risk factor for PIH. Our finding was consistent with the finding of one other study^19 which showed that standing ≥2.5 hours per day during first or second or both trimesters was a risk factor for maternal complications including PIH. Further the above study^19 also reported that high energy expenditure during the second trimester was a risk factor for maternal complications. In the present study sleeping ≤8 hours per day was not significantly associated with PIH. It was consistent with the results of a study^20 reported that less sleeping hours per day was not associated with adverse maternal outcomes including PIH in any of the trimesters.

We found that overweight and obese women had high risk of developing PIH. This study supported previous findings^3,9,19 that body mass index of >25Kg/m<sup>2</sup> was a risk factor for PIH. However, social class was not a risk factor for developing PIH and it was consistent with the study reported by Lawlor.22 In contrast to our findings Mireles^11 had reported that high social class was a risk factor for PIH. Mireles^11 categorised the social class according to place where the delivery of the child took place (private hospital/ public hospital), where as in the current study social class was based on British Social Class Classification.

In the current study low maternal education (less than 10 years of schooling) was not associated with PIH. Our finding was consistent with the study reported by Lee^7, yet other two studies contradicted our finding.7,10 The latter two studies7,10 defined educated up to grade five as low educational level. Our sample size was not enough to analysed data considering cut off as up to primary educational level.

According to current study being employed during pregnancy was not associated with PIH. This was consistent with the result reported by Funai^10 but two other studies7,11 showed contradictory results. Proportion of working females among 20-40 year age group in Sri Lanka amounts to 47%^21 In the current study it was 31% and 24% for cases and controls respectively. The fact that not having a significant association could be due to ‘employed during pregnancy’ was defined to be working throughout pregnancy in the current study where as women who had worked more than three months during pregnancy had been considered as employed during pregnancy in the study reported by Mireles.11

We found that women’s age of >28 years was a risk factor for PIH. This finding supports the findings of three previous studies that advanced maternal age was a risk factor for PIH.

When considering the limitations of this study it was conducted at a government hospital. Women who were followed up at private hospitals might differ in socio-demographic characteristics where they might show a different association with PIH. Further it might compromise the generalisability of the findings. As a case control study recall bias is inevitable. Further women who were chosen as controls might have a possibility of developing PIH in the course of their pregnancy. Ideally controls should have been followed up to their delivery and should exclude mothers who develop PIH.

In conclusion psychosocial stress during pregnancy, maternal age ≥28 years, being an overweight or obese woman, history of antepartum hemorrhage in current pregnancy and standing for ≥1.5 hours during pregnancy at a stretch were risk factors for PIH.
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