Effect of the Interaction between Elevated Carbon Dioxide and Iron Limitation on Proteomic Profiling of Soybean
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Abstract: Elevated atmospheric CO₂ (eCO₂) and iron (Fe) availability are important factors affecting plant growth that may impact the proteomic profile of crop plants. In this study, soybean plants treated under Fe-limited (0.5 mM) and Fe-sufficient (20 mM) conditions were grown at ambient (400 µmol mol⁻¹) and eCO₂ (800 µmol mol⁻¹) in hydroponic solutions. Elevated CO₂ increased biomass from 2.14 to 3.14 g plant⁻¹ and from 1.18 to 2.91 g plant⁻¹ under Fe-sufficient and Fe-limited conditions, respectively, but did not affect leaf photosynthesis. Sugar concentration increased from 10.92 to 26.17 µmol g FW⁻¹ in roots of Fe-sufficient plants and from 8.75 to 19.89 µmol g FW⁻¹ of Fe-limited plants after exposure to eCO₂. In leaves, sugar concentration increased from 33.62 to 52.22 µmol g FW⁻¹ and from 34.80 to 46.70 µmol g FW⁻¹ in Fe-sufficient and Fe-limited conditions, respectively, under eCO₂. However, Fe-limitation decreases photosynthesis and biomass. Pathway enrichment analysis showed that cell wall organization, glutathione metabolism, photosynthesis, stress-related proteins, and biosynthesis of secondary compounds changed in root tissues to cope with Fe-stress. Moreover, under eCO₂, at sufficient or limited Fe supply, it was shown an increase in the abundance of proteins involved in glycolysis, starch and sucrose metabolism, biosynthesis of proteins, and primary metabolism. Our results revealed that proteins and metabolic pathways related to Fe-limitation changed the effects of eCO₂ and negatively impacted soybean production.
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1. Introduction

Atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentrations are projected to increase to at least 700–1000 µmol mol⁻¹ at the end of this century [1]. The trend in crop responses under elevated CO₂ (eCO₂) is supposed to have a noticeable influence on the global food chain and may threaten human nutrition [2]. The impact of eCO₂ varies among different crop species, but eCO₂ generally improves the photosynthesis of the C3 plants [3] by repressing the oxygenase activity of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate and by improving carbon assimilation used for plant growth [4]. Nevertheless, plant-based responses to eCO₂ are affected by nutrient bioavailability, including iron (Fe) [5]. Iron is considered a limiting factor and plays a key role in biomass production and seed yield in crops including tomato [5], spinach [6], potato, and rice [7]. The mineral element is of particular significance because of its role in photosynthetic CO₂ fixation, which utilizes Fe as a crucial element to ensure photosynthetic efficiency [4]. Anyway, Fe is the most frequently deficient micronutrient in the human diet, affecting an estimated 2 billion people [8]. While eCO₂ promotes plant growth, the interaction with Fe-limitation has not been well documented. Due to the increase in plant growth, the plant requirement for nutrients also increases, and the restriction of macro and micronutrient content at eCO₂ generally inhibits the increase in...
plant biomass [4]. Accordingly, low nitrate supply limits shoot growth and hormonal responses to eCO$_2$ [2], involving alterations in protein synthesis and metabolic pathways associated with eCO$_2$ and nitrate. The proteomic analysis demonstrated an increase in the expression of many proteins due to eCO$_2$ under adequate nitrate levels involved with cell cycle and proliferation, transcription and translation, photosynthesis, amino acids synthesis, sucrose, and starch metabolism, and ABA signaling pathways. Proteomic analysis showed that eCO$_2$ affected various metabolic processes and pathways, such as photosynthetic carbon fixation, respiratory metabolism, cellular growth, and stress defense [9,10]. Yu et al. [11] also demonstrated that eCO$_2$ improved heat tolerance in bermudagrass attributed to metabolic pathways during which proteins and metabolites were upregulated, including light reaction and carbon fixation of photosynthesis, glycolysis and TCA cycle, and amino acid metabolism.

Iron is an essential micronutrient that impacts plant productivity, and its bioavailability to plants is often limited in calcareous soils [12], which account for ~30% of the world’s agricultural soil. In tomato plants grown in Fe-limited and Fe-sufficient conditions, eCO$_2$ increased plant biomass and root-to-shoot ratio compared to plants grown in ambient CO$_2$ (aCO$_2$) conditions. The percentage increase in biomass was higher in Fe-limited plants compared to Fe-sufficient plants. Therefore, shoot fresh weight increased under eCO$_2$ conditions by 22% and 44% in Fe-sufficient and Fe-limited conditions, respectively, and fresh root weight by 43% and 97% [5]. Likewise, eCO$_2$ induced biomass production in barley grown at Fe-sufficient and Fe-deficient conditions and increased shoot Fe concentration and Fe-acquisition mechanisms in Fe-deficient plants, indicating an improved internal Fe utilization [13]. The proteomic characterization of Fe deficiency responses in cucumber roots revealed that most of the increased proteins belong to glycolysis and nitrogen metabolism, and proteins with low expression levels were related to the metabolism of sucrose, structural carbohydrates, and proteins [14]. In addition, proteins associated with stress adaptation, reactive oxygen species-related proteins, and mitochondrial proteins were differentially expressed under long-term Fe-restriction on the roots of different pea cultivars [15]. López-Millán et al. [16] revealed some common elements in proteome under Fe-limitation involving several plant species. Oxidative stress and defense-related proteins, C and N metabolism-related proteins, cell wall proteins, secondary metabolism-associated proteins, energy-related proteins, transport, and protein metabolism have been identified as differentially accumulated proteins among plant species.

Therefore, we consider that it is relevant to discover the complex response mechanisms of soybean plants to future climatic conditions, such as eCO$_2$ and Fe-limitation. This study aimed to understand how soybean plants respond to eCO$_2$, Fe-stress, and their combination at the level of plant growth, carbohydrate content, photosynthesis, and the expression profile of the leaf and root proteome. At the same time, it was our purpose to investigate if eCO$_2$ can mitigate the adverse effects of Fe-limitation in soybean plants and provide several insights into improving stress tolerance in the future environment.

2. Results

2.1. Interactive Effects of eCO$_2$ and Fe-Limitation on Plant Biomass

Both eCO$_2$ and Fe-limitation showed significant effects ($p < 0.05$) on plant biomass with a significant CO$_2$ x Fe interaction (Table 1). Elevated CO$_2$ increased plant dry weight from 2.14 to 3.14 g plant$^{-1}$ under Fe-sufficient conditions and from 1.18 to 2.91 g plant$^{-1}$ under Fe-limited conditions. Furthermore, Fe-limitation significantly decreased plant biomass at aCO$_2$ ($p < 0.05$) but not at eCO$_2$ ($p > 0.05$).
### Table 1. Effects of eCO$_2$ and Fe-limitation on biomass, sugar content, and gas exchange parameters in soybean plants.

| Measurements           | Treatments               | Two-Way ANOVA |
|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
|                        | Fe+ELE  | Fe+AMB  | Fe-ELE | Fe-AMB | d.f. | CO$_2$  | Fe | CO$_2 \times$ Fe |
| Total biomass (g plant$^{-1}$) | 3.14 ± 0.19 $^a$ | 2.14 ± 0.15 $^b$ | 2.91 ± 0.12 $^a$ | 1.18 ± 0.08 $^c$ | 1 | 94.29; <0.001 | 18.04; <0.001 | 6.59; 0.021 |
| Pn (µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | 11.21 ± 0.43 $^a$ | 11.43 ± 0.55 $^a$ | 9.21 ± 0.40 $^b$ | 8.90 ± 0.80 $^b$ | 1 | 0.006; 0.939 | 15.17; <0.001 | 0.21; 0.654 |
| gs (µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | 0.13 ± 0.01 $^a$ | 0.37 ± 0.03 $^b$ | 0.12 ± 0.01 $^a$ | 0.30 ± 0.02 $^c$ | 1 | 85.74; <0.001 | 6.568; 0.021 | 5.42; 0.033 |
| Tr (µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | 2.46 ± 0.19 $^a$ | 5.22 ± 0.36 $^b$ | 2.28 ± 0.16 $^a$ | 4.13 ± 0.29 $^d$ | 1 | 54.19; <0.001 | 3.84; 0.068 | 4.44; 0.051 |
| Sugar (roots, µmol g FW$^{-1}$) | 26.17 ± 3.72 $^a$ | 10.92 ± 1.12 $^b$ | 19.89 ± 2.36 $^c$ | 8.75 ± 0.92 $^b$ | 1 | 9.90; 0.009 | 4.82; 0.051 | 1.85; 0.201 |
| Sugar (leaves, µmol g FW$^{-1}$) | 52.22 ± 4.03 $^a$ | 33.62 ± 1.21 $^b$ | 46.70 ± 2.54 $^a$ | 34.80 ± 2.44 $^b$ | 1 | 37.71; <0.001 | 0.761; 0.406 | 1.82; 0.210 |

Note: Fe+AMB, Fe-sufficient + aCO$_2$; Fe+ELE, Fe-sufficient + eCO$_2$; Fe-AMB, Fe-limitation + aCO$_2$; Fe-ELE, Fe-limitation + eCO$_2$; Data are mean ± SE (n = 5). Different lowercase superscript letters in the same row indicate the significant difference at p < 0.05 level. CO$_2$, Fe, and CO$_2 \times$ Fe indicate CO$_2$ treatment, Fe treatment, and the interaction of CO$_2$ by Fe treatment, respectively. Results from the analysis of variance with degrees of freedom (d.f.), F ratios, and probabilities ($p$) for some parameters. Significant effects are shown in boldface.

2.2. Interactive Effects of eCO$_2$ and Fe-Limitation on Photosynthesis Parameters

Fe-limitation showed a significant effect on Pn ($p < 0.05$), whereas at eCO$_2$ ($p < 0.05$, Table 1), a significant effect was not detected. The decrease in Pn due to Fe-limitation was −21.7% and −28.4% under eCO$_2$ and aCO$_2$ conditions, respectively. Elevated CO$_2$ significantly decreased gs under both Fe supplies ($p < 0.05$). Moreover, under Fe-limitation, gs was reduced at aCO$_2$ ($p < 0.05$) and at eCO$_2$ conditions ($p < 0.05$). The transpiration rate (Tr) was decreased by eCO$_2$ ($p < 0.05$) and by Fe-stress at aCO$_2$ conditions ($p < 0.05$).

2.3. Interactive Effects of eCO$_2$ and Fe-Limitation on Sugar Content

Elevated CO$_2$ showed a significant effect on sugar concentration in the root ($p < 0.05$, Table 1) and leaf tissues ($p < 0.05$) but was not affected by Fe-limitation ($p > 0.05$). Sugar concentration increased from 10.92 to 26.17 µmol g FW$^{-1}$ in the roots of Fe-sufficient plants and from 8.75 to 19.89 µmol g FW$^{-1}$ in the roots of Fe-limitated plants after exposure to eCO$_2$. In leaves, sugar concentration increased from 33.62 to 52.22 µmol g FW$^{-1}$ in Fe-sufficient conditions and from 34.80 to 46.70 µmol g FW$^{-1}$ in Fe-limited conditions.

2.4. Functional Categories of Differentially Expressed Proteins

Tables S1 and S2 go into depth about the entire list of proteins that were found and measured. Proteins with a p-value below 0.05 and a fold change ratio above 1.2 or below 1/1.2 were not considered as DEPs (Figure 1 and Tables S3 and S4). Among the identified proteins, 705 were differentially expressed in root tissues considering a fold change ratio of above 1.2 or below 1/1.2 ($p < 0.05$, Figure 1A). We found 27 and 136 proteins that were upregulated under eCO$_2$ (Fe+ELE/Fe+AMB) and Fe-limitation (Fe-AMB/Fe+AMB), respectively, with an overlap of one protein (Fe2OG dioxygenase domain-containing protein, Figure S1). Furthermore, 167 and 110 proteins were downregulated by eCO$_2$ and Fe-limitation, respectively, with an overlap of two HMA domain-containing proteins, one superoxide dismutase and one 2-isopropylmalate synthase. Compared to control plants, 141 proteins were up and 79 downregulated by the interaction of eCO$_2$ with Fe-limitation (Fe+ELE/Fe+AMB). We also found 139 up and 119 downregulated proteins in Fe+ELE/Fe-AMB conditions. Protein expression profiles could be correctly distinguished between treatments using the heat map of differentially expressed proteins (Figure 1B). The metabolism overview maps showed changes at the protein level obtained using the MapMan software. Proteins associated with redox regulation and cell wall metabolism decreased the expression levels under eCO$_2$, and photosynthetic light reactions proteins were upregulated by Fe-limitation, as shown in Figure 2.
protein level obtained using the MapMan software. Proteins associated with redox regulation and cell wall metabolism decreased the expression levels under eCO₂, and photosynthetic light reactions proteins were upregulated by Fe-limitation, as shown in Figure 2.

Figure 1. Soybean root proteome profiles under eCO₂ and Fe-limitation. (A) The number of upregulated and downregulated proteins in soybean root in response to eCO₂ and Fe-limitation; (B) Cluster analysis of all differently regulated proteins among different treatments. Fe+AMB, Fe-sufficient + aCO₂; Fe+ELE, Fe-sufficient + eCO₂; Fe-AMB, Fe-limitation + aCO₂; Fe-ELE, Fe-limitation + eCO₂.
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Figure 2. MapMan metabolism overview maps showing changes in DEPs in root tissues under eCO₂ and Fe-limitation. Fe+AMB, Fe-sufficient + aCO₂; Fe+ELE, Fe-sufficient + eCO₂; Fe-AMB, Fe-limitation + aCO₂; Fe-ELE, Fe-limitation + eCO₂. Boxes represent log2 expression values, genes in red are upregulated, and those in blue are repressed.

In addition, proteins related to photosynthetic light reactions, glycolysis, and redox regulation were upregulated in Fe-ELE/Fe+AMB conditions. Enzymes associated with secondary metabolism, glycolysis, and redox regulation were upregulated in Fe-ELE/Fe-AMB conditions. The number of differentially regulated proteins, according to functional categories and cellular localization, is summarized in Figure 3.

Most enzymes in root tissues with assigned functions were “protein-related,” “stress-related,” “secondary metabolism-related,” and “RNA-related,” and were mostly downregulated in response to eCO₂. Protein synthesis, photosynthesis-related, and redox-related enzymes were mainly upregulated under Fe-limited conditions. In Fe-ELE/Fe+AMB conditions, most proteins were associated with photosynthesis, hormone metabolism, redox regulation, signaling, and glycolysis and upregulated. In addition, most enzymes related to protein synthesis were downregulated, and enzymes associated with secondary metabolism, hormone metabolism, stress, and glycolysis were upregulated in the Fe-ELE treatment compared with Fe-AMB. According to their cellular location, most proteins identified were in the cytosol, followed by the extracellular space and nucleus.

In leaves, a total of 589 proteins were differentially expressed (fold change ratio > 1.2 or <1/1.2 and \( p < 0.05 \)). As shown in Figure 4, the gene expression profile between treatments could be distinguished and included 72 and 101 proteins that were up and downregulated, respectively, under eCO₂.
Figure 3. Numbers of DEPs identified from soybean roots at different CO\textsubscript{2} levels under sufficient and limited Fe-supply according to functional categories and subcellular compartments by MapMan. Fe+AMB, Fe-sufficient + aCO\textsubscript{2}; Fe+ELE, Fe-sufficient + eCO\textsubscript{2}; Fe-AMB, Fe-limitation + aCO\textsubscript{2}; Fe-ELE, Fe-limitation + eCO\textsubscript{2}.

However, Fe-limitation resulted in 146 up and 104 downregulated proteins. In upregulated, an overlap of 14 proteins (Figure S2), whereas, in downregulated proteins, an overlap of 27 proteins was observed between eCO\textsubscript{2} and Fe-limitation. The interaction of Fe-limitation and eCO\textsubscript{2} resulted in 83 and 76 proteins being upregulated and downregulated, respectively. From the upregulated proteins, the interaction induced an overlap of 28 proteins with Fe-limitation or 27 proteins with eCO\textsubscript{2}. Regarding downregulated proteins, plants grown under Fe-limitation and eCO\textsubscript{2} had an overlap of 41 proteins with Fe-stress and 36 proteins with eCO\textsubscript{2}. Furthermore, 74 and 136 proteins were upregulated and downregulated in the Fe-ELE/Fe-AMB conditions. Figure 5 displays the metabolism overview maps in the leaf tissues, and eCO\textsubscript{2} increased the expression of enzymes involved in glycolysis, photosynthesis, and redox homeostasis, while Fe-limitation decreased the expression of proteins involved in photosynthetic light processes.
In leaves, a total of 589 proteins were differentially expressed (fold change ratio > 1.2 or <1/1.2 and \( p < 0.05 \)). As shown in Figure 4, the gene expression profile between treatments could be distinguished and included 72 and 101 proteins that were up and downregulated, respectively, under eCO\(_2\). However, Fe-limitation resulted in 146 up and 104 downregulated proteins. In upregulated, an overlap of 14 proteins (Figure S2), whereas, in downregulated proteins, an overlap of 27 proteins was observed between eCO\(_2\) and Fe-limitation. The interaction of Fe-limitation and eCO\(_2\) resulted in 83 and 76 proteins being upregulated and downregulated, respectively. From the upregulated proteins, the interaction induced an overlap of 28 proteins with Fe-limitation or 27 proteins with eCO\(_2\). Regarding downregulated proteins, plants grown under Fe-limitation and eCO\(_2\) had an overlap of 41 proteins with Fe-stress and 36 proteins with eCO\(_2\). Furthermore, 74 and 136 proteins were upregulated and downregulated in the Fe-ELE/Fe-AMB conditions. Figure 5 displays the metabolism overview maps in the leaf tissues, and eCO\(_2\) increased the expression of enzymes involved in glycolysis, photosynthesis, and redox homeostasis, while Fe-limitation decreased the expression of proteins involved in photosynthetic light processes.

In Fe-ELE/Fe+AMB conditions, the expression of proteins involved in glycolysis and redox homeostasis increased. However, in Fe-ELE/Fe-AMB, enzymes related to glycolysis and carbohydrate metabolism were increased. The number of DEPs, according to functional categories and cellular compartments, is described in Figure 6. Elevated CO\(_2\) increases the proteins involved in stress, photosynthesis, and glycolysis while downregulating the enzymes involved in signaling and protein synthesis. Furthermore, protein synthesis and secondary metabolism-related proteins exhibited high expression levels, while photosynthesis-related proteins had low levels under Fe-limitation. Most glycolysis-related proteins were downregulated in Fe-ELE/Fe+AMB. In addition, most proteins associated with carbohydrate metabolism, photosynthesis, stress, and glycolysis were upregulated, and protein synthesis-related enzymes were downregulated in Fe-ELE compared with Fe-AMB. Concerning their cellular location, most of the proteins identified were in the cytosol, followed by the plastid and extracellular space (Figure 6).

### 2.5. Metabolic Pathways Related to the Interaction of eCO\(_2\) and Fe-Limitation

To gain a better understanding of the DEPs between different treatments, pathway enrichment analysis was conducted and shown in Tables 2 and 3. The photosynthesis-related pathways (bin 1) were upregulated in the Fe-AMB vs. Fe+AMB and Fe-ELE vs. Fe+AMB treatments in the root. Glycolysis (bin 4.1) was not affected by eCO\(_2\) and Fe-limitation but was upregulated under Fe-ELE treatment compared to Fe+AMB and Fe-AMB. Cell wall organization (bin 10) and metal binding (bin 15.2) were not affected by eCO\(_2\) but downregulated by Fe-limitation and the interaction of eCO\(_2\) and Fe-limitation. The secondary metabolism (bin 16) was negatively downregulated by Fe-limitation and upregulated by eCO\(_2\) under Fe-limitation (Fe-ELE vs. Fe-AMB). Enzymes involved in hormone metabolism (bin 17.6) had a higher level of expression at eCO\(_2\) under Fe-sufficient and Fe-limited conditions. Proteins associated with stress responses (bins 20.1 and 20.2.1) had low expression under Fe-stress. Moreover, an increase in ascorbate and glutathione metabolism (bin 21.2.1.3), which is an effective mechanism of plant detoxification, was induced by Fe-ELE compared with Fe+AMB conditions. Peroxidases (bin 26.12), phosphatases (bin 26.13), and oxygenases (bin 26.14) were not affected by CO\(_2\) enrichment but downregulated by
Fe-limitation. The expression of glutathione S-transferase proteins (bin 26.9) was higher in Fe-limited plants. Inhibition of gene expression in RNA (bin 27) and protein synthesis (bin 29) pathways occurred under eCO₂.
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Figure 5. MapMan metabolism overview maps showing changes in DEPs in leaf tissues under eCO\textsubscript{2} and Fe-limitation. Fe+AMB, Fe-sufficient + aCO\textsubscript{2}; Fe+ELE, Fe-sufficient + eCO\textsubscript{2}; Fe-AMB, Fe-limitation + aCO\textsubscript{2}; Fe-ELE, Fe-limitation + eCO\textsubscript{2}. Squares represent log2 expression values, and genes in red are upregulated, and those in blue are repressed.
In Fe-ELE/Fe+AMB conditions, the expression of proteins involved in glycolysis and redox homeostasis increased. However, in Fe-ELE/Fe-AMB, enzymes related to glycolysis and carbohydrate metabolism were increased. The number of DEPs, according to functional categories and cellular compartments, is described in Figure 6. Elevated CO₂ increases the proteins involved in stress, photosynthesis, and glycolysis while downregulating the enzymes involved in signaling and protein synthesis. Furthermore, protein synthesis and secondary metabolism-related proteins exhibited high expression levels, while photosynthesis-related proteins had low levels under Fe-limitation. Most glycolysis-related proteins were downregulated in Fe-ELE/Fe+AMB. In addition, most proteins associated with carbohydrate metabolism, photosynthesis, stress, and glycolysis were upregulated, and protein synthesis-related enzymes were downregulated in Fe-ELE compared with Fe-AMB. Concerning their cellular location, most of the proteins identified were in the cytosol, followed by the plastid and extracellular space (Figure 6).

Figure 6. Numbers of DEPs identified from soybean leaves at different CO₂ levels under sufficient and limited Fe-supply according to functional categories and subcellular compartments by MapMan. Fe+ELE, Fe-sufficient + eCO₂; Fe+AMB, Fe-sufficient + aCO₂; Fe-AMB, Fe-limitation + aCO₂; Fe-ELE, Fe-limitation + eCO₂.

Table 2. Pathway enrichment analysis of DEPS in roots of soybean plants under eCO₂ and Fe-limitation.

| Bin Code | Bin Name                      | Fe+ELE vs. Fe+AMB p-Value | Fe-AMB vs. Fe+AMB p-Value | Fe-ELE vs. Fe-AMB p-Value | Fe-ELE vs. Fe+AMB p-Value |
|----------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1.1.1    | PS. Light reaction. Photosystem II | -                         | UP 2.9 × 10⁻⁵             | UP 1.7 × 10⁻⁵             | -                         |
| 1.1.2    | PS. Light reaction. Photosystem I | -                         | UP 1.5 × 10⁻²             | UP 3.8 × 10⁻⁵             | -                         |
| 1.3      | PS. Calvin cycle               | -                         | -                         | UP 4.4 × 10⁻⁴             | -                         |
| 4.1      | Glycolysis. Cytosolic branch   | -                         | -                         | UP 1.5 × 10⁻³             | UP 1.7 × 10⁻²             |
| 10       | Cell wall                      | -                         | DOWN 5.6 × 10⁻⁷           | DOWN 1.6 × 10⁻³           | -                         |
| 13.1.3.4 | Amino acid metabolism. Synthesis. Aspartate family. Methionine | -                         | -                         | DOWN 6.9 × 10⁻³           | -                         |
Table 2. Cont.

| Bin Code | Bin Name                                                                 | Fe+ELE vs. Fe+AMB | p-Value | Fe-AMB vs. Fe+AMB | p-Value | Fe-ELE vs. Fe+AMB | p-Value | Fe-ELE vs. Fe-AMB | p-Value |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| 15.2     | Metal handling, Binding, chelation, and storage                           | -                 | -       | DOWN              | 3.3 × 10^{-3} | DOWN              | 4.3 × 10^{-7} | -                 | -       |
| 16.1     | Secondary metabolism. Isoprenoids                                         | -                 | -       | DOWN              | 8.3 × 10^{-3} | -                 | UP      | 1.6 × 10^{-4}     |         |
| 16.2.1   | Secondary metabolism. Phenylpropanoids. Lignin biosynthesis               | -                 | -       | DOWN              | 1.8 × 10^{-3} | DOWN              | 2.6 × 10^{-2} | -                 | -       |
| 16.8     | Secondary metabolism. Flavonoids                                         | -                 | -       | DOWN              | 1.4 × 10^{-2} | -                 | UP      | 3.1 × 10^{-4}     |         |
| 17.6     | Hormone metabolism. Gibberellin                                          | UP                | 1.4 × 10^{-4} | DOWN              | 8.3 × 10^{-3} | -                 | UP      | 3.6 × 10^{-4}     |         |
| 20.1     | Stress. Biotic                                                           | -                 | -       | DOWN              | 5.6 × 10^{-3} | -                 | UP      | 2.1 × 10^{-3}     |         |
| 20.2.1   | Stress. Abiotic. Heat                                                    | -                 | -       | DOWN              | 4.6 × 10^{-2} | -                 | -       | -                 |         |
| 21.2.1.3 | Redox. Ascorbate and glutathione. Ascorbate. 1-galactose-1-phosphate     | -                 | -       | -                 | -       | UP                | 2.1 × 10^{-2} | -                 | -       |
|          | phosphatase                                                              |                   |         |                   |         |                   |         |                   |         |
| 26.9     | Misc. Glutathione S-transferases                                         | -                 | -       | UP                | 1.5 × 10^{-2} | -                 | DOWN              | 1.3 × 10^{-3} |         |
| 26.12    | Misc. Peroxidases                                                         | -                 | -       | DOWN              | 2.7 × 10^{-3} | -                 | UP      | 1.3 × 10^{-3}     |         |
| 26.13    | Misc. Acid and other phosphatases                                        | -                 | -       | DOWN              | 6.1 × 10^{-3} | -                 | UP      | 3.6 × 10^{-4}     |         |
| 26.14    | Misc. Oxygenase                                                          | -                 | -       | DOWN              | 4.2 × 10^{-2} | -                 | -       | -                 |         |
| 27.3     | RNA. Regulation of transcription                                         | DOWN              | 9.4 × 10^{-3} | -                 | -       | -                 | -       | -                 |         |
| 27.4     | RNA. RNA binding                                                         | DOWN              | 4.4 × 10^{-2} | -                 | -       | -                 | -       | -                 |         |
| 29.2.1   | Protein. Synthesis. Ribosomal protein                                    | DOWN              | 4.2 × 10^{-2} | UP                | 2.9 × 10^{-5} | -                 | DOWN              | 1.0 × 10^{-14} |         |
| 34       | Transport                                                                | -                 | -       | UP                | 3.1 × 10^{-2} | -                 | DOWN              | 3.7 × 10^{-2} |         |

The expression levels of transport proteins (bin 34) involved in the translocation of solutes across membranes were not affected by eCO₂. Regarding leaf tissues, listed photosynthesis (bin 1) associated pathways were downregulated by Fe-stress. Uregulation of sucrose and starch biosynthesis (bin 2.1) genes occurred at eCO₂ under Fe-limited conditions, while downregulation was noticeable in low-Fe supply. Furthermore, glycolysis (bin 4.1) involved in the breakdown of sugars was upregulated by eCO₂ under Fe-limited and Fe-sufficient conditions and not affected by Fe-stress. Stimulation of fatty acid degradation was apparent by eCO₂ under Fe-limited conditions. Flavonoid biosynthesis (bin 16.8) was induced by Fe-stress and persisted unchanged under eCO₂ conditions. Proteins related to stress response (bin 20.1) were upregulated by eCO₂, Fe-limitation, and interaction of eCO₂ with Fe-limitation. Furthermore, several heat-shock proteins (bin 20.2.1) were downregulated by Fe-limitation. Glutathione S-transferases (bin 26.9) and peroxidases (bin 26.12) were upregulated by Fe-limitation and not affected by eCO₂. In addition, several enzymes involved in protein synthesis (bin 29) had lower expression levels at eCO₂ under Fe-sufficient and Fe-limited conditions. Cysteine protease degradation (bin 29.5.3) was upregulated by Fe-limitation and by the interaction of eCO₂ and Fe-limitation.
Table 3. Pathway enrichment analysis in leaves of soybean plants under eCO$_2$ and Fe-limitation.

| Bin Code | Bin Name                                                                 | Fe+AMB vs. Fe-ELE | p-Value       | Fe+AMB vs. Fe-AMB | p-Value       | Fe+AMB vs. Fe-AMB | p-Value       | Fe+AMB vs. Fe-AMB | p-Value       |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| 1.1.1    | PS. Light reaction. Photosystem II                                       | DOWN              | $1.9 \times 10^{-4}$ | -                 | -             | -                 | -             |
| 1.1.4    | PS. Light reaction. Photosystem I                                        | DOWN              | $3.0 \times 10^{-2}$ | -                 | -             | -                 | -             |
| 2.1      | Major CHO metabolism. Synthesis                                           | DOWN              | $1.0 \times 10^{-2}$ | -                 | -             | UP                | $1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 3.4      | Minor CHO metabolism. Myo-inositol                                       | -                 | -              | -                 | -             | UP                | $2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 4.1      | Glycolysis. Cytosolic branch                                              | UP                | $2.0 \times 10^{-3}$ | -                 | -             | -                 | -             | UP                | $2.0 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 11.9.3.3 | Lipid metabolism. Lipid degradation. Lysophospholipases. Glycerophosphodiester phosphodiesterase | DOWN              | $1.7 \times 10^{-3}$ | -                 | -             | UP                | $3.5 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 13.2.4   | Amino acid metabolism. Degradation. Branched chain                        | UP                | $3.3 \times 10^{-2}$ | -                 | -             | -                 | -             |
| 16.8     | Secondary metabolism. Flavonoids                                          | UP                | $6.1 \times 10^{-4}$ | -                 | -             | -                 | -             |
| 20.1     | Stress. Biotic                                                           | UP                | $6.1 \times 10^{-3}$ | UP                | $3.7 \times 10^{-4}$ | UP                | $1.6 \times 10^{-7}$ | -             |
| 20.2.1   | Stress. Abiotic. Heat                                                     | -                 | -              | -                 | -             | UP                | $2.7 \times 10^{-3}$ | -             |
| 26.9     | Misc. Glutathione S-transferases                                         | UP                | $3.8 \times 10^{-2}$ | -                 | -             | -                 | -             |
| 26.12    | Misc. Peroxidases                                                        | -                 | -              | -                 | -             | UP                | $1.8 \times 10^{-5}$ | -             |
| 28.1.3   | DNA. Synthesis/chromatin structure. Histone                               | DOWN              | $1.5 \times 10^{-2}$ | UP                | $4.1 \times 10^{-2}$ | -                 | -             | DOWN              | $2.4 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 29.2.1   | Protein. Synthesis. Ribosomal protein                                     | DOWN              | $2.8 \times 10^{-14}$ | UP                | $1.9 \times 10^{-13}$ | -                 | -             | DOWN              | $9.5 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| 29.2.2   | Protein. Synthesis. Ribosome biogenesis                                   | DOWN              | $9.8 \times 10^{-3}$ | -                 | -             | -                 | -             | DOWN              | $3.8 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 29.3.4   | Protein. Targeting. Secretory pathway                                     | -                 | -              | -                 | -             | -                 | -             | DOWN              | $2.8 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 29.5.1   | Protein. Degradation. Subtilases                                         | -                 | -              | -                 | -             | -                 | -             | UP                | $2.3 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 29.5.3   | Protein. Degradation. Cysteine protease                                   | -                 | -              | UP                | $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ | UP                | $4.1 \times 10^{-3}$ | -             |
| 30.5     | Signaling. G-proteins                                                     | -                 | -              | -                 | -             | DOWN              | $4.2 \times 10^{-2}$ | -             |
| 33.1     | Development. Storage proteins                                            | UP                | $6.5 \times 10^{-6}$ | UP                | $8.3 \times 10^{-3}$ | -                 | -             | DOWN              | $1.4 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 34.9     | Transport. Metabolite transporters at the mitochondrial membrane           | DOWN              | $7.8 \times 10^{-3}$ | -                 | -             | -                 | -             | -                 | -             |

3. Discussion

To cope with Fe-stress and eCO$_2$, soybean plants have evolved complex signaling and metabolic processes at the cellular, organ, and whole-plant levels. Elevated CO$_2$ promoted plant growth under Fe-sufficient and Fe-limited conditions, as shown in Table 1. Similarly, this “fertilization effect” was reported in tomato [5] and barley plants [13] grown under low levels of Fe supply and eCO$_2$. However, plant growth decreased under Fe-limitation, particularly at aCO$_2$, suggesting that eCO$_2$ could mitigate the Fe deficiency responses. Moreover, Pn was not affected by eCO$_2$ but reduced in Fe-limited plants promoting leaf chlorosis (Table 1). The statistical analysis also revealed a significant interaction between eCO$_2$ and Fe-stress on plant growth but not in Pn. Elevated CO$_2$ significantly increased the sugar content in root and leaf tissues (Table 1). At eCO$_2$, plants might surpass what they are capable of, using or distributing to sinks, increasing the
carbohydrate content and possibly leading to feedback inhibition of photosynthesis [17]. Sugars are recognized to cross-talk with hormones acting on gene regulation and therefore modify nutrient uptake and transport, among other functions [17]. Lin et al. [18] suggested that sucrose acts as a signaling molecule, causing an increase in auxin and a subsequent increase in nitric oxide, leading to the FIT-mediated transcriptional regulation of FRO2 and IRT1 genes inducing Fe-uptake mechanisms. Although physiological aspects of soybean responses to eCO$_2$ or Fe-limitation are well studied, proteomic profiling helps understand the molecular basis of soybean adaptation to the upcoming changing climate. Thus, several DEPs were found, in root and leaf tissues, due to eCO$_2$ and Fe-limitation (Figures 1 and 4). Exposure to eCO$_2$ and Fe-stress leads to changes in the expression of genes involved in the carbon metabolism in soybean seedlings, especially the expression of genes related to glycolysis, starch and sucrose metabolism, and Myo-inositol metabolism. Elevated CO$_2$ under Fe-limited conditions stimulated starch and sucrose biosynthesis. Therefore, protein abundance of most enzymes involved in starch (e.g., starch synthase, granule-bound starch synthase, starch-branching enzyme, and 1,4-alpha-glucan branching enzyme) and sucrose biosynthesis (e.g., sucrose-phosphate synthase), were upregulated by eCO$_2$ conditions (Table 3). The central role of glycolysis is to break down glucose, produce ATP and generate precursors such as fatty acids and amino acids for anabolism [19]. Enzymes involved in glycolysis, such as enolase, pyruvate kinase, phosphofructokinase, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, and phosphoglucomutase, were upregulated by eCO$_2$ under Fe-limited conditions (Figure S3). Together, these findings led us to suppose that increased energy production via carbohydrate metabolism maintains energy homeostasis. Therefore, eCO$_2$ increased the transcript levels of genes encoding enzymes involved in foliar cellular respiration, suggesting an improved flux through glycolysis driven by higher carbohydrate bioavailability (starch and sucrose) at eCO$_2$. Ainsworth et al. [20] also found that growth at eCO$_2$ led to the stimulation of foliar respiration in soybean plants. This adaptive balance enables plant growth even in the case of Fe-limitation.

In the present study, we found evidence of photosynthesis-related genes in roots (Table 2). DEPs enriched in photosynthesis were upregulated by Fe-limitation and by the interaction of eCO$_2$ with Fe-limitation. Similarly, Kobayashi et al. [21] reported increased levels of transcription factors responsible for the coordinated expression of genes in chloroplast biogenesis in the roots of Arabidopsis thaliana. Accumulation of two golden-2-like transcription factors known to improve phototrophic performance and increase photosynthesis-related proteins in wheat roots under Fe deficiency was reported by Kaur et al. [22]. We hypothesize that this might be a strategy to cope with Fe-stress, thereby increasing CO$_2$ fixation and demonstrating the possibility of root photosynthesis to improve plants’ carbon utilization. In leaves, consistent with protein expression levels, Fe-limitation depressed leaf photosynthesis, which agrees with other studies [4,23–25]. The Fe-AMB treatment produced a higher reduction in plant biomass when compared to the Fe-ELE treatment (Table 1). These results indicated that eCO$_2$, particularly under Fe-limitation, increased the accumulation of photoassimilates due to eCO$_2$-induced sugar metabolic pathways. This process is stimulated during adaptation to eCO$_2$ to generate energy used to maintain leaf growth.

We found many genes involved in flavonoid biosynthesis upregulated after exposure to Fe-stress in leaves and some downregulated in soybean roots. The modulation of genes involved in the biosynthesis of flavonoids suggests that secondary metabolism also plays a role in Fe-stress responses. Several studies reported the effect of various stresses on secondary metabolism in plants [26,27]. Flavonoids are secondary plant products that are biologically active and perform different functions in plants as defense mechanisms against abiotic and biotic stresses [28]. Ahmed et al. [29] demonstrated that drought stress induced the expression of flavonoid biosynthesis genes in hybrid poplar plants and increased the accumulation of phenolic and flavonoid compounds with antioxidant activity. Iron deficiency also increased the expression of crucial enzymes in the flavonoid pathway in Arabidopsis thaliana roots [30]. Anyway, the emission of phenolic complexes into the rhizosphere,
involved in Fe deficiency-induced responses, is considered a component of the strategy I plants. It was demonstrated in Arabidopsis roots that coumarins participate in Fe-chelation under Fe deficiency [31]. We found overexpression of feruloyl-CoA 6′-hydroxylase and coumarin synthase involved in coumarin biosynthesis under Fe-limitation in root tissues (Table S3). The above results reinforced the role of coumarins in plant responses to Fe-stress. Table 2 also reveals that a considerable fraction of the carbon flowing through the glycolysis pathway is diverted to secondary metabolism, particularly flavonoid and isoprenoid biosynthesis, which increased at eCO$_2$ under Fe-limited conditions in root tissues. Under Fe-stress, most proteins included in lignin biosynthesis, such as phenylalanine ammonia-lyase and peroxidase, were downregulated in the roots of soybean plants. Lignin provides mechanical strength to the plant’s secondary cell walls, which protect cells from abiotic stresses and serve as the structures that first perceive and respond to environmental stresses. Hence, the downregulation of phenylpropanoid biosynthesis (Figure S4) could save carbon and energy for other metabolic processes [32].

The capacity of cellular redox regulation is crucial to maintaining the activity of many physiological processes [33]. Oxidative stress is often neutralized by enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidative systems [34]. The expression of glutathione S-transferase proteins was higher in soybean plants exposed to Fe-limitation (Tables 2 and 3). Glutathione S-transferases are involved in several plant functions as detoxification of xenobiotics, secondary metabolism, growth and development, tetrapyrrole metabolism, and against biotic and abiotic stresses [35,36]. Höhner et al. [37] showed that Fe deficiency increased the expression levels of glutathione S-transferases in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Similarly, Fe deficiency-induced changes in the protein profile of Arabidopsis thaliana roots and glutathione S-transferases were considered highly expressed proteins [30]. Moreover, the interaction of eCO$_2$ and Fe-limitation induced the ascorbate-glutathione pathway in roots. Among the antioxidant defense mechanisms, the ascorbate-glutathione pathway is crucial in mitigating further damage to soybean plants caused by reactive oxygen species and derivatives produced during metabolic activity.

Plant growth and development occur due to the global balance between protein synthesis and degradation [33]. Our proteomic analysis showed that many enzymes involved in protein synthesis were downregulated by eCO$_2$, under Fe-sufficient and Fe-limited conditions, in root and leaf tissues. These results could be associated with the fact that increased levels of carbohydrates can affect gene expression through their role as signaling molecules. Sugars could be involved in photosynthetic acclimation, whereby the additional carbohydrates formed under eCO$_2$ conditions might cause the downregulation of photosynthetic gene transcripts and suppress protein synthesis [17]. However, photosynthetic acclimation does not always completely negate the positive results eCO$_2$ has on plant growth. The positive effects of eCO$_2$ on plant growth are well studied, but the role of hormone pathways in regulating the growth responses under eCO$_2$ is slightly understood. Gibberellins are a class of diterpenoid hormones involved in several growths and developmental processes, including stem elongation, leaf expansion, flower development, and germination [38]. Biosynthesis of gibberellins includes 2-oxoglutarate/Fe(II)-dependent dioxygenases that are upregulated at eCO$_2$, under Fe-limited and Fe-sufficient conditions, in this study. An increase in gibberellins expression under eCO$_2$ has also been reported in species such as *Ginkgo biloba* [39], *Arabidopsis thaliana* [40], and *Populus tomentosa* [41]. Our finding suggests that eCO$_2$ might play a role in signaling, allowing higher plant growth rates.

Most enzymes involved in the stress responses, including heat-shock proteins, had low expression levels under Fe-limitation in soybean plants. The role of heat-shock proteins is to manage protein folding and promote cellular protection, protein homeostasis, and cell survival against several environmental and metabolic stresses [14,42]. We infer that soybean plants had lower levels of protein structure protection under Fe-limited conditions. In addition, proteins involved in cell-wall organization, including UDP-glucose 6-dehydrogenase, cellulose synthase, and xylanoglucan endotransglucosylase, were downregulated by Fe-stress and by the interaction of eCO$_2$ with Fe-stress in root tissues (Table S3). The reduced level
of cell wall-modifying genes could limit cell expansion as plant growth decreased under Fe-limitation. The extent and severity of abiotic stresses or the crops selected are crucial in determining the effects of eCO$_2$ and Fe-stress. Therefore, eCO$_2$ and Fe-stress influence the growth and yield of plants and their subsequent adaptation to future climate changes and should require more attention from the scientific community.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plant Material and Growth Conditions

A previously identified highly-CO$_2$ responsive soybean variety Wisconsin Black [43], was used as plant material. This study was performed at the Biotechnology School of Catholica University (Portugal). Plants were grown under hydroponic conditions in black plastic pots (5 L) filled with an aerated, full-strength nutrient solution with the following composition: 1.2 mM KNO$_3$, 0.8 mM Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$, 0.3 mM MgSO$_4$.7H$_2$O, 0.2 mM NH$_4$H$_2$PO$_4$, 25 µM CaCl$_2$, 25 µM H$_3$BO$_3$, 0.5 µM MnSO$_4$, 2 µM ZnSO$_4$.H$_2$O, 0.5 µM CuSO$_4$.H$_2$O, 0.5 µM MoO$_3$, 0.1 µM NiSO$_4$, and 20 µM Fe(III)-EDDHA. The solution was buffered by MES (1mM, pH 5.5) addition and changed every 3 d. The growth chamber was controlled to maintain the temperature at 25 °C (day period) and 20 °C (dark period), relative humidity at 75 %, and photosynthetic photon flux density at 325 µmol s$^{-1}$ m$^{-2}$ (daytime light). After 7 days of pre-treatment in the complete nutrient solution under aCO$_2$, plants were transferred to a nutrient solution with Fe(III)-EDDHA at 0.5 µM (Fe-limited) or 20 µM (Fe-sufficient). Then, half of the plants were grown at 400 ppm (aCO$_2$), and the other half were grown at 800 ppm (eCO$_2$) in independent growth chambers for 12 days. The CO$_2$ concentration was continuously monitored and maintained by an automated CO$_2$ control system, which measured and adjusted the CO$_2$ concentration from soybean planting to the end of the experiment.

4.2. Evaluation of Plant Biomass

At the end of the experiment, plants were dried in an oven at 70 °C until constant weight for total plant biomass determination.

4.3. Leaf Gas Exchange Parameters

Leaf gas exchange measurements were performed on day 17 after the beginning of the experiment. We randomly selected the first expanded trifoliate leaf from 3 plants ($n =$ 9), and the photosynthetic rate was measured using a portable photosynthesis system (LI-6400XT; LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA). The CO$_2$ in the leaf chamber was set to match the CO$_2$ treatment, with a photosynthetic photon flux density of 500 µmol photon m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at 25 °C. Moreover, the transpiration rate and stomatal conductance were determined.

4.4. Root and Leaf Carbohydrates

Root and leaf samples ($n =$ 5) were evaluated for carbohydrate analysis at the end of the experiment. The extraction protocol was described by López-Millán et al. [44]. About 100 mg of plant material was grounded using liquid nitrogen, suspended in 5 mM H$_2$SO$_4$, vortexed for 30 s, and then boiled for 30 min. Samples were centrifuged at 2320× g for 10 min, supernatant was filtered through a 0.45 mm PTFE filter, and the volume was adjusted to 2 mL and stored at $-80$ °C until further analysis. The HPLC system consisted of an ion exchange aminex HPX-87H Column (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) maintained at 40 °C. The mobile phase was 5 mM H$_2$SO$_4$ at a flow rate of 0.6 mL min$^{-1}$.

4.5. Protein Extraction and LC–MS/MS Analysis

The protein extraction was based on the protocol developed by Wu et al. [45]. We used three biological replicates to perform the proteome analysis. From each sample, 250 mg of frozen plant tissue was ground in liquid nitrogen. The powdered tissue was dissolved in cold acetone ($-20$ °C) with TCA (10% wt/vol), homogenized with acid-washed sand, and centrifuged at 15,000× g for 5 min at 4 °C to collect the precipitated proteins. The
pellet was resuspended in cold TCA/acetone, vortexed, and centrifuged at 15,000× g for 5 min at 4 °C to collect proteins and repeated until the pellet turned white. Then, the pellet was resuspended twice in 1.5 mL of cold acetone, centrifuged at 15,000g for 5 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant was discarded. Next, the pellet was air-dried in a fume hood and resuspended in an SDS extraction buffer for 1.5 h. Then, centrifuged at 15,000× g for 10 min, the supernatant was collected into new Eppendorf tubes, mixed with an equal volume of Tris-buffered phenol, and vortexed for 3 min. The mixture was centrifuged at 15,000× g for 5 min, and the lower phenol phase was collected into a new Eppendorf tube. An equal volume of washing buffer I was added to the phenol phase, vortexed by 3 min, and centrifuged at 15,000× g for 5 min at room temperature. The upper phase (phenol phase) was collected into a new Eppendorf tube, and 0.1 M ammonium acetate in methanol was added to a final volume of 2.0 mL. The mixture was vortexed for 30 s and stored at −20 °C for 2 h to precipitate the phenol-extracted proteins. The solution was centrifuged at 15,000× g for 10 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant was discarded. The protein pellets were resuspended with 0.1 M ammonium acetate in methanol and centrifuged at 15,000× g for 5 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant was rejected. Therefore, the pellets were resuspended in 80% (v/v) acetone, centrifuged at 15,000× g for 5 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant was removed. The protein pellets were air-dried at room temperature and resuspended in 100 µL of rehydration buffer (50 mM ammonium carbonate, 8 M urea). The concentrations of the protein extracts were determined by the Bradford assay [46].

Each sample was processed for proteomic analysis following the solid-phase-enhanced sample-preparation (SP3) protocol and enzymatically digested with Trypsin/LysC as previously described [47]. Protein identification and quantitation were performed by nanoLC-MS/MS following an already published procedure [48] using a nanoLC flow rate of 300 nL/min. For protein identification and quantification, the UniProt database was considered for the Glycine max Proteome (2020_01). The proteomics data analysis was performed with the Proteome Discoverer 2.4.0.305 software (Thermo Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA.

4.6. Database Search and Protein Quantification

Only high-confidence peptides and proteins with at least two unique peptides detected in all three replicates were used in quantification. For all quantified proteins, only those showing a fold change of above 1.2 or below 1/1.2 (for the mean of the three replicates) in the quantitative ratios and \( p < 0.05 \) were considered as differentially expressed proteins (DEPs). Protein sequences were submitted to the online Mercator 4 annotation tool [49] for proteome annotation. Protein functions were categorized using Mapman bin codes, and the protein abundance ratio was visualized through MapMan software [50]. Pathway enrichment analysis of DEPs was performed using Fisher’s exact test. Information about the subcellular location was accomplished from the location available from SUBA4 [51].

4.7. Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS software (SPSS version 26.0). Analysis of variance (2way ANOVA) was used to determine differences among different treatments after data normality and equal variance analysis. The means ± SE were calculated for each parameter.

5. Conclusions

Elevated CO\(_2\) and Fe-stress had profound effects on plant biomass, sugar content, and \( P_n \), with interactive effects of eCO\(_2\) and Fe-stress on plant biomass. Overall, the CO\(_2\)-induced increase in biomass was not significantly different between Fe+ELE and Fe-ELE treatments. We performed proteomic analysis to analyze DEPs affected by the interaction of Fe-limitation and eCO\(_2\) in soybean plants. Overall, root and leaf tissues contained 705 and 589 DEPs, respectively. Based on pathway enrichment analysis, cell wall organization, glutathione metabolism, photosynthesis, stress-related proteins, and biosynthesis of secondary compounds changed in roots to cope with Fe-stress. Moreover,
the enhanced plant growth by eCO2 supplied with sufficient or insufficient Fe was associated with the increased abundance of proteins involved in glycolysis, starch and sucrose metabolism, biosynthesis of gibberellins, and decreased levels of protein biosynthesis. The understanding of plant productivity and adaptation to future climate changes will also improve through future studies created to compare responses across various cultivars and during different periods of stress exposure.
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