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Malignant primitive epithelioid sarcoma with features of rhabdoid tumor presenting in utero with diffusely metastatic disease
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Abstract

Diagnosis of a tumor in utero is a rare occurrence and poses diagnostic and therapeutic challenges. In cases of tumor-associated hydrops, there is significant risk of fetal demise, and prenatal intervention may be considered to avoid this outcome when possible. When fetal intervention is unlikely to improve survival, information can be useful for counseling families. We present a rare case of fetal diagnosis of a primary renal malignancy with widespread metastases and hydrops, with unique immunohistochemical findings consistent with malignant primitive epithelioid sarcoma with features of rhabdoid tumor.

1. Introduction

Fetal tumors are rare. Autopsy evaluation of stillborn fetuses has revealed a 0.5% rate of fetal tumors [1]. Of liveborn children, prenatally diagnosed masses are most commonly lymphatic malformations [2] and sacrococcygeal teratomas [3,4]. Cervical teratomas, although accounting for only 3% of teratomas diagnosed in the neonatal period, can cause airway compromise and hydrops, and may be managed with ex-utero intrapartum treatment (EXIT) [4]. Fetal renal tumors are less common, occurring in 7 of 100,000 live births [5], and there have been reports of fetal neuroblastoma as well [6]. Fetal tumors with associated hydrops portend a particularly poor prognosis, with survival rates below 20% [7]. Early prenatal diagnosis is essential for identifying patients who may benefit from fetal, rather than postnatal intervention, as is the case for certain teratomas. However, in cases where fetal intervention is unlikely to improve survival, knowledge of the wide differential diagnosis of a fetal tumor can significantly improve education and counseling for families.
We report a case of a fetus diagnosed with a large renal mass with diffuse metastatic lesions and hydrops.

2. Case report

A 34-year-old G5P2002 woman who presented to labor and delivery at 30 weeks and 4 days gestation with several days of abdominal pain and tightness. She had been referred for an ultrasound by her midwife after measuring larger than dates. The ultrasound was concerning for a fetal mass and polyhydramnios, and she was referred to Labor & Delivery for evaluation. Repeat ultrasound at our institution showed fetal hydrops with scalp edema, pericardial and pleural effusions, and a solid 10.4 × 8.2 cm mass with vascular flow extending from the right cheek into the right chest (Fig. 1). There was evidence of polyhydramnios with an amniotic fluid index (AFI) of 27.4 cm. The fetal stomach and airway were not visualized, raising concern for obstruction by the mass. Fetal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) confirmed a large 9.8× 8.9× 8.4 cm soft tissue mass involving the right lateral neck, right axilla, right upper extremity, and right chest wall (Fig. 1), with notable compression of the airway. Multiple additional small subcutaneous nodules were identified throughout the fetal torso and extremities, including a 1.8 × 2.8 cm lesion on the left thigh, a 2.7 × 2.5 cm lesion on the right cheek, and a 2.9 × 2.1 cm lesion on the right buttock. Hydrops was confirmed. A fetal echocardiogram was done which showed normal cardiac anatomy, normal biventricular systolic function, diffuse skin edema, bilateral pleural effusions, and a trivial pericardial effusion. The combined cardiac index was normal at 430 ml/min/kg.

The differential diagnosis for this large soft tissue tumor presenting in a fetus was broad (Table 1). Initially, there was concern primarily for a cervical teratoma or lymphatic malformation causing airway impingement and hydrops, and consideration was given to in utero resection to alleviate the hydrops. Ex-utero intrapartum treatment (EXIT) was also a potential management option. The findings of widely disseminated lesions on MRI, however, indicated that the fetus likely did not have a cervical teratoma or lymphangioma amenable to surgical resection. The multiplicity of the lesions raised concern for widely metastatic neoplasm or multiple vascular malformations. Although the fetus was not in imminent distress, the findings of fetal hydrops portended an extremely poor prognosis. In addition, the rapidly accumulating polyhydramnios was physically disabling for the mother. Given the severity of these findings, extensive multidisciplinary discussions were held between the patient, maternal fetal medicine, and the fetal surgery teams. There was no identifiable fetal or postnatal intervention for the fetus, and given the high likelihood of morbidity and fetal or neonatal death, it was decided to proceed with elective termination via intra-fetal cardiac potassium chloride injection followed by induction of labor to avoid the maternal morbidity of a Cesarean section.

On hospital day 3, the fetus was delivered vaginally. Immediately notable were innumerable subcutaneous masses (Fig. 2). On autopsy, the primary tumor was found to arise from the right kidney (2.3 cm) with extensive subcutaneous and internal metastatic disease. The largest metastatic focus was the originally identified tumor on the right chest, extending to the right back, measuring 10.5 cm in largest dimension. Additional metastatic subcutaneous...
disease was identified on the face, extremities, and abdominal wall (Table 2). Microscopic
eexamination revealed sheets of tumor cells invading the soft tissue, muscle, lymph nodes,
right kidney, adrenal glands, thymus, heart, and liver. Tumor cells demonstrated epithelioid
to spindle cell morphology in a loose fibrous stroma arranged in sheets and scattered nests.
There were variable amounts of eosinophilic cytoplasm, round to oval nuclei, and prominent
nucleoli. Additionally, rhabdoid cells were present with abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm,
eccentric nuclei, and scattered intracytoplasmic inclusions. Intravascular and perineural
tumor was noted in multiple sections.

Immunohistochemistry revealed near diffuse positivity for cytokeratin (AE1/AE3), diffuse
positivity for vimentin, focal CD34 staining, retained SMARCA4 (patchy nuclear positive)
and SMARCB1 (INI-1). However, within the tumor cells there was focal loss of SMARCB1/
INI-1. Of note, WT1 had patchy positivity in the cytoplasm but was not found in the
nucleus. Staining for synaptophysin, CD99, HMB45, myogenin, MYOD1, SMA, desmin,
SALL4, Glypican-3, and PHOX2B were negative. The results of immunohistochemical
analysis were consistent with the diagnosis of malignant primitive epithelioid sarcoma with
features of malignant rhabdoid tumor.

3. Discussion

This is the first report of a fetal diagnosis of malignant primitive epithelioid sarcoma with
features of malignant rhabdoid tumor in the literature. Additionally, retention of SMARCB1/
INI-1 expression is exceedingly rare in epithelioid sarcoma. Congenital malignancies are
extremely uncommon, affecting fewer than 13 per 100,000 live births, and the most
prevalent etiologies include teratomas, neuroblastoma, soft tissue tumors, or leukemia [8].
Malignant sarcomas represent 3.5% of all neonatal tumors [9]. Epithelioid sarcoma is a rare
malignant neoplasm of mesenchymal origin most commonly seen in young adults and
occasionally young children. It is most often slow-growing, painless, and difficult to
diagnose given its ambiguous nature. Histologically, it demonstrates epithelioid morphology
with deletion of SMARCB1/INI-1 [10]. SMARCB1/INI-1 is a tumor suppressor gene. Its
native function is poorly understood, although it is known to be a member of a family of
genes encoding chromatin-remodeling complex. Loss of SMARCB1/INI-1 expression is
seen in the majority of epithelioid sarcomas and the vast majority of rhabdoid tumors. In one
series of rhabdoid tumors, only 16% had retained expression of SMARCB1/INI-1 [11].
Another series of two siblings with classic rhabdoid tumor also retained expression of
SMARCB1/INI-1, suggesting that rhabdoid tumor may arise by a separate mutational locus
[12]. One additional case of epithelioid sarcoma with retained SMARCB1/INI-1 expression
has been reported in a 15-month old infant presenting with an isolated heel mass [10].

Malignant rhabdoid tumor is a highly aggressive subtype of sarcoma. It is most commonly
seen in young children and originates in the kidneys, central nervous system (CNS), or soft
tissue [10], but has been previously reported in fetal and neonatal patients. When diagnosed
in utero, the mean gestational age is around 33 weeks [13]. Rhabdoid tumor is identified
histologically by the presence of rhabdoid tumor cells with round vesicular nuclei,
prominent nucleoli, and eosinophilic inclusions within the cytoplasm [13], and usually with
deletion of the SMARCB1/INI-1 gene on chromosome 22q11 [14]. They exhibit positivity
for cytokeratins AE1/AE3, vimentin, and epithelial membrane antigen (EMA), although there is some variability [16,17]. In a 40-year review of the literature, 12 fetal cases and 60 neonatal cases were identified [13]. Most (45.8%) were of renal origin without CNS involvement, one-third were extrarenal tumors not involving the CNS, and 16.7% were of CNS origin. Overall survival was 9.7%. More than half (57%) had distant metastasis at diagnosis, and all patients with metastatic disease at diagnosis died. Multiple subcutaneous nodules resembling the “blueberry muffin” presentation of neuroblastoma were present in this case as well as several others [13]. Placental metastases may also be seen, but all reports describe placental metastases of the tumor without evidence of maternal disease [8,17,18]. This tumor is aggressive and rapidly fatal with survival ranging from a few minutes to 3 months in one case series of 8 fetuses and neonates with malignant rhabdoid tumor [17].

Treatment options are extremely limited. There is no known effective regimen for this rare malignancy. Several case reports describe treatment regimens involving surgical resection with adjuvant chemotherapy or radiation treatment; however, survival rates are exceedingly low [13]. The presence of disseminated metastases, present in many patients at diagnosis, precludes surgical resection, and in most cases no treatment is able to be offered due to the critical nature of the neonate [13–18].

4. Conclusion

We present the first known case of a fetal presentation of malignant primitive epithelioid sarcoma with features of malignant rhabdoid tumor with preserved expression of SMARCB1/INI-1. Like many cases in the literature, the fetus presented with widely metastatic disease and no viable treatment options. This presentation, in the setting of fetal hydrops, was incompatible with life. Although rare, this tumor should be on the differential for fetuses presenting with ultrasound findings concerning for mass lesions with disseminated metastases.
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Fig. 1.
(a) Fetal ultrasound demonstrating vascular mass (white arrow) extending from right cheek to right chest wall. (b) Fetal MRI demonstrating mass (black arrow) arising from right chest wall.
Fig. 2.
Stillborn fetus demonstrating multiple subcutaneous nodules, largest arising from the right chest, as well as right cheek and bilateral lower extremities.
Table 1

Differential diagnosis.

| Teratoma          |
|-------------------|
| Vascular lesions  |
| Lymphatic malformation |
| Hemangioma        |
| Lymphangioma      |
| Neuroblastoma     |
| Rhabdomyosarcoma  |
| Metastatic neoplasm |
**Table 2**

Locations of primary tumor and metastases.

| Subcutaneous tumors | Internal tumors |
|---------------------|-----------------|
| **Location**        | **Size**        | **Location** | **Size** |
| Right chest/back    | 10.5 cm         | Right kidney (primary) | 2.3 cm |
| Right forearm       | 4 cm            | Thymus, multiple    | 0.1–0.8 cm |
| Anterior left thigh | 3.5 cm          | Bilateral adrenal glands | 0.3–0.5 cm |
| Right cheek         | 3 cm            | Liver, multiple     | Up to .3 cm |
| Right buttock       | 2 cm            | Left epicardium     | 0.3 cm |
| Midline upper abdominal wall | 1.5 cm | Spinal column, peritoneal surface, multiple | Up to 0.3 cm |
| Posterior right thigh | 1.5 cm      | Diaphragm, bilateral, multiple | Up to 0.2 cm |
| Posterior left leg  | 1.5 cm          |                   |         |
| Posterior right leg | 1.2 cm          |                   |         |
| Right palm          | 1 cm            |                   |         |