Coloseminal vesicle fistula after low anterior resection: Report of a case and review of the literature
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A B S T R A C T
INTRODUCTION: A rectoseminal vesicle fistula after a low anterior resection for rectal cancer is a rare complication despite their anatomic proximity. From a Medline search from 1966 to date, a total of twenty-one previous cases of coloseminal vesicle fistula have been reported. From these cases, eleven were a complication of laparoscopic low anterior resection for rectal cancer.

DESCRIPTION OF THE CASE: This report presents the case of a 63-year-old patient who was readmitted to the hospital on the fifteenth postoperative day after his surgical intervention for fever, abdominal pain, dysuria and pneumaturia. A sinography with water-soluble contrast revealed a tract between the rectum and the seminal vesicle. The condition was treated conservatively with antibiotics, urinary catheter and a transanastomotic Malecot probe for absciss drainage. The fistula had completely recovered on postoperative day 71 and the patient is still symptom free, six months after the complication developed.

DISCUSSION: This case reinforces the presumed link between anastomotic leakage and rectoseminal vesicle fistula in cases of low anterior resection while reviewing and summarizing similar previously reported cases on the course of the disease, diagnostic procedures and treatment options.

CONCLUSION: Seminal vesicle are susceptible to fistula in oncological resection of rectum. Both CT scan with water-soluble contrast or sinography are effective diagnostic examinations. Depending on the characteristics of the fistula, conservative approach may be adequate and benefits much less morbidities than the surgical options.

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Fistulas between the colon and the seminal vesicles are rarely seen in patients. From a Medline search from 1966 to date, a total of twenty-one cases have been reported [1–18]. The etiologies of this type of fistula vary among Crohn’s disease [1,2,5], acute diverticulitis [9,11,12], operative complications of prostate [6,7], direct invasion by rectal cancer [8], iatrogenic perforation with transrectal drainage of seminal vesicle abscess [10] and finally, eleven of these reported cases are a direct complication of low anterior resection for rectal cancer [3–5,13–18].

From the previous reported cases, it seems confirmed that anastomotic leakage in patients who had a low anterior resection is a clear risk factor for rectoseminal vesicle fistula. Therefore, with those patients, who may have symptoms of pneumaturia, fever, abdominal tenderness and possibly scrotal swelling and testicular pain, with negative investigations for rectovesical fistula, it is necessary that we keep our level of suspicion high for a rectoseminal vesicle fistula.

The intention of this report is to present a case of rectoseminal vesicle fistula and to review and analyze the presumed course of the disease, diagnostic procedures and treatment options, according also to the background literature.

This work has been reported in line with the SCARE criteria [21].

2. Case report

A 63-years-old male was referred to our tertiary center for treatment of an adenocarcinoma of the rectum, 2 cm from the anal verge. The cancer was diagnosed by colonoscopy after a positive history of melena. The preoperative staging was T2N0M0. The patient did not receive any neoadjuvant therapy.

A low anterior resection was performed by laparoscopy with end-to-end anastomosis and loop ileostomy. The inferior mesenteric artery was resected at its origin and a CEA 28 mm was used to perform the anastomosis. The tissue rings were intact and the air test was negative at the time of the operation. The final pathology revealed an adenocarcinoma of the rectum pT2N0 (0/28) with com-
plete mesorectum and negative margins. The postoperative period was uneventful and the patient was discharged on the third day.

At time of the discharge the patient still had abdominal and anal pain. Then, on postoperative day 8, he developed dysuria, urinary retention and low grade fever. Then, on postoperative day 15, he developed pneumaturia and was readmitted to the hospital. A short colonoscopy confirmed the anastomotic leakage and antibiotic therapy was initiated. The patient was brought to the OR for drainage and installation of transanastomotic Malecot probe. The investigations for rectovesical fistula were negatives (urethrocystoscopy & cystography). The antibiotics were stopped and patient was discharge on postoperative day 21 with a urinary catheter and his transanastomotic Malecot probe.

On follow up appointment, 6 weeks after the initial surgery, a sinography by the transanastomotic Malecot probe with water-soluble contrast finally diagnosed the rectoseminal vesicle fistula by opacification of both seminal vesicles, the bladder, the right ejaculatory duct, right vas deferens and proximal urethra (Figs. 1 and 2). The urinary catheter and Malecot probe were removed and the patient was kept on antibiotic prophylaxis. A water-soluble contrast enema done one month later showed resolution of the anastomotic leakage. Antibiotics were stopped and patient underwent closure of his loop ileostomy 4 months after initial surgery. As of today, the patient is still complication free of his rectoseminal vesicle fistula.

3. Discussion

Rectoseminal vesicle fistula is a rare complication of low anterior resection for rectal cancer. Patients present with symptoms of epididymitis, low urinary tract infection and pneumaturia with negative investigations for rectovesical fistula. Many factors increase the risks of such complication but anastomotic leak seems to be the stronger element (Table 1).

Anastomosis insufficiency is still the more frequent complication of low anterior resections, accounting for 6% of cases [17]. The conditions associated with anastomotic leakage are male sex, neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy, low anastomotic level and patients’ comorbidities such as obesity, diabetes and preoperative intake of steroid and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medication [19,20]. The use of a diverting stoma however, is associated with a decreased risk of anastomotic leakage [19].

More than anastomotic leak, it seems that it is the rupture of the pelvic abscess that causes the fistula, which is supported by the late onset of this complication (mean value of 27 days after initial surgery within all reported cases in the literature, Table 1).

Another element linked with seminal vesicle fistula in cases of low anterior resections is the removal of Denonvilliers’s fascia when performing total mesorectum excision [16]. This rectoprostastic fascia is composed of several layers of fibromuscular tissue that covers the seminal vesicles by dividing the prostate and urinary bladder from the rectum beneath the peritoneal reflection. Therefore, with a low anastomotic level and Denonvilliers’s fascia resected, the seminal vesicles are susceptible of fistula when exposed to inflammation and infection. Inflammation can be caused also by antibiotic-induced colitis as reported in two other cases [3,18].

Moreover, iatrogenic fistula by the resection of the seminal vesicles themselves without prophylactic ligation is another risk factor for rectoseminal vesicle fistula [16].

One question that has not been asked however is whether there is a link between anastomotic leakage and ligation of the inferior mesenteric artery from its origin instead of ligaturing only the superior rectal artery while performing the surgery. Is there an impact on the vasculature that causes low grade ischemia at the level of the anastomosis that results in leakage in the subsequent postoperative days? Except this case, none of the previous ones in the literature give enough operative details to make such an assumption.

The diagnosis of rectoseminal vesicle fistula cannot be performed with the usual investigations for rectovesical fistula, such as cystoscopy and cystography. Therefore, with corresponding clinical signs, a high level of suspicion is indicated and either sinography or CT scan with water-soluble contrast to demonstrate the coloseminal vesicle fistula [3–5,14–18]. While a vasogram could be used, it is invasive, expensive and it has not been proven to be superior to the

Fig. 1. Sinography. Opacification of rectum and distal colon via transanastomotic Malecot probe. Anterior to the rectum, the right seminal vesicle is identified (star). The linear structure with an oblique path corresponds to the right vas deferens (arrow).

Fig. 2. Lateral view showing opacification of the seminal vesicles (star), right vas deferent (thin arrow), right ejaculatory duct (curved arrow), proximal urethra (thick arrow) and bladder (triangle).
Table 1
Rectoseminal vesicle fistula after Low anterior resection (LAR).

| Author & year of publication | Anastomotic leak | Abscess formation | Cause | Symptoms | Onset | Diagnostic examination | Initial treatment | Recurrence | Recurrence treatment |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------|----------|-------|------------------------|-------------------|------------|----------------------|
| Goldman (1989)                | Yes              | Yes               | LAR + antibiotic-induced colitis | Pneumaturia, bacteriuria, right testicular pain | 30th post op day | Water-soluble contrast enema | Antibiotic therapy + cutaneous vasostomy | Low grade urinary sepsis | Antibiotics |
| Kollmorgen (1994)             | Yes              | Yes               | LAR   | Urethral discharge, dysuria, fever | 10th postop day | Sinography              | Abscess drainage + antibiotic therapy + finasteride | No          | Not applicable |
| Carlin (1998)                 | Yes              | Yes               | LAR   | None     | 60th post op day | CT scan + water-soluble contrast enema | Surgical drainage | Yes        | APR |
| Placer (2007)                 | Not specified    | Not specified     | LAR   | Right testicular pain, pneumaturia, fekaluria | 60th postop day | MRI                  | Antibiotic therapy + finasteride | No          | Not applicable |
| Kawasaki (2008)               | Yes              | Yes               | LAR   | Dysuria, fever, pneumaturia | 15th postop day | Water-soluble contrast enema + CT scan | Diverting loop colostomy | No          | Not applicable |
| Hiraki (2012)                 | Yes              | Yes               | LAR   | Fever, pollakiuria | 14th postop day | Water-soluble contrast enema + CT scan | Diverting loop ileostomy | No          | Not applicable |
| Nakajima (2012)               | Yes              | Yes               | LAR   | Left testicular pain, fever, pneumaturia, scrotal swelling | 37th postop day | Vasogram + fistulography + CT scan | Urinary catheter + antibiotic therapy | No          | Not applicable |
| Suspected but unproven        | No               | Rent definitive removal of inflated urinary catheter | LAR + bilateral resection of seminal vesicles | Fever and fekaluria | 10th postop day | Water-soluble contrast enema + CT scan | Urinary catheter + antibiotics | Yes        | Diverting transverse colostomy |
| Sykora (2012)                 | Yes              | No                | LAR   | Fever, scrotal swelling, right testicular pain | 11th postop day | CT irrigoscopy         | Antibiotic therapy + suprapubic urinary catheter | 1 episode of epididymitis 5 months later | Antibiotics |
| Kitazawa (2014)               | Yes              | Yes               | LAR + antibiotic-induced colitis | Pneumaturia, fever | 16th postop day | CT scan | Total parenteral nutrition + antibiotics | No          | Not applicable |
| Our case (2017)               | Yes              | Yes               | LAR   | Pneumaturia, abdominal and anal pain, fever | 15th postop day | Sinography         | Abscess drainage + urinary catheter + antibiotics | No          | Not applicable |
other means of investigation [16]. Moreover, one of the reported cases is for the use of MRI for the diagnosis [13]. However, as it has been described before, a potential problem with MRI is that the signal intensity of the seminal vesicles and the fluid within the fistulous tract are very similar making the visualization of the communication laborious [5].

Intestinal fistula usually requires surgical resection of the diseased bowel. This is especially true in the presence of complicated fistula: sepsis, foreign body, tumor or epithelialization of tract. [5]. This type of fistula on the other hand is extraperitoneal in localization and commonly low output (<200 mL/24 h). They have a good prognosis with conservative approach [3–5,13,16–18] depending on the severity of the anastomotic leak, the extend of the abscess formation and whether there is passage of urine through the fistula [16]. The case reported here supports conservative management as the patient is still symptoms free six months after the treatment. This therapeutic option consists of transanastomotic abscess drainage, the use of a urinary catheter for helping urinary gradient pressure and antibiotics therapy for treatment of urinary infection while the fistulous tract heals spontaneously. This kind of regimen is also supported by many of the previously reported cases (see Table 1). Imaging control is suggested before discontinuing the treatment. With failure of the conservative approach however, a surgical intervention has to be considered (focal diversion, urinary diversion, intestinal resection, muscle/omenta lflaps – vasostomy) [11]. Finally, the use of finasteride with a conservative approach has been described in the literature to help healing of the fistulous tract as it reduces the seminal secretions [4,13].

4. Conclusion

Despite negative air test at time of operation, anastomotic leakage still complicates six percent of the low anterior resections done nowadays. This generally leads to pelvic abscess formation which has the potential of forming fistulous tracts with adjacent organs. While most fistula are in relation with the bladder, when facing negative cystoscopy and cystography, a fistula between the anastomotic rectum and seminal vesicles is to be investigated, especially in the oncologic context when the Denovilliers’s fascia has been removed with the total mesorectum excision required. Both CT scan with water-soluble contrast or sinography are effective diagnostic examinations. Depending on the characteristics of the fistula, conservative approach may be adequate and benefits much less morbidities than the surgical options.
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