Geographic mosaic of selection by avian predators on hindwing warning colour in a polymorphic aposematic moth
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**Geographic mosaic of selection by avian predators on hindwing warning colour in a polymorphic aposematic moth**

**Abstract**

Warning signals are predicted to develop signal monomorphism via positive frequency-dependent selection (+FDS) albeit many aposematic systems exhibit signal polymorphism. To understand this mismatch, we conducted a large-scale predation experiment in four countries, among which the frequencies of hindwing warning coloration of the aposematic moth, *Arctia plantaginis*, differ. Here we show that selection by avian predators on warning colour is predicted by local morph frequency and predator community composition. We found +FDS to be the strongest in monomorphic Scotland and lowest in polymorphic Finland, where the attack risk of moth morphs depended on the local avian community. +FDS was also found where the predator community was the least diverse (Georgia), whereas in the most diverse avian community (Estonia), hardly any models were attacked. Our results support the idea that spatial variation in predator communities alters the strength or direction of selection on warning signals, thus facilitating a geographic mosaic of selection.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The survival strategy of aposematism, wherein prey use warning signals that predators learn to associate with their unprofitability and subsequently avoid, has stimulated biological studies for centuries (Wallace, 1867; Poulton, 1890; Cott, 1940; Mappes et al., 2005; Merrill et al., 2018). In aposematism, prey benefit from lowered costs of predator education by carrying a common signal, whereas predators reduce risks by not attacking defended prey. This results in selection for local similarity in warning signals, a view that has been corroborated by theoretical approaches (e.g. Müller, 1878; Mallet and Joron, 1999; Sherratt, 2008; Aubier and Sherratt, 2015), laboratory experiments (e.g. Greenwood et al., 1989; Lindström et al., 2001; Rowland et al., 2007) and field studies (e.g. Mallet and Barton, 1989; Kapan, 2001; Borer et al., 2010; Dell’aglio et al., 2016; Chouteau et al., 2016). Nevertheless, phenotypic variation and polymorphism in aposematic organisms are widespread in nature (e.g. frogs: Rojas, 2017; Siddiqi et al., 2004; newts: Beukema et al., 2016; Mochida, 2011; butterflies: Merrill et al., 2015; moths: Brakefield and Liebert, 1985; bumblebees: Plowright and Owen, 1980; beetles: Bocek and Bocak, 2016; Brakefield, 1985; locusts: Nabours, 1929; myriapods: Marek and Bond, 2009; nudibranchs: Winters et al., 2017), which requires an evolutionary explanation.

Given that the association between prey warning signal and defence should be learned by each generation of predators (Mappes et al., 2014), the benefit of signal sharing depends on how often predators encounter the signal. The encounter rate then depends on both the frequency (Müller, 1879; Heino et al., 1998) and density (Müller, 1879; Sword, 1999; Rowland et al., 2007; Endler and Rojas, 2009) of prey carrying the signal. Thus, it is expected that selection on aposematism is positively frequency-dependent (+FDS), with predators avoiding the most common warning signal in a locality (Sherratt, 2008; Comeault and Noonan, 2011; Chouteau and Angers, 2011; Chouteau et al., 2016; Ruxton et al., 2018).

On the other hand, several mechanisms have been proposed to counterbalance selection for signal monomorphism and facilitate warning colour polymorphism (reviewed in Briolat et al., 2018). For example temporally and spatially varying interspecific interactions can result in geographically variable patterns of polymorphism (McLean & Stuart-Fox 2014), particularly when coupled with limited amounts of gene flow between differentially selected populations (e.g. Merilaita, 2001; Gordon et al., 2015; Aubier and Sherratt, 2015). Often these mechanisms are thought to act simultaneously, or alternate in time or space (Mallet and Joron, 1999; Gray and McKinnon, 2007; Stevens and Ruxton, 2012) creating a geographic mosaic of selection (Thompson, 2005). Although both theoretical (e.g. Gordon et al., 2015; Aubier and Sherratt, 2015; Holmes et al., 2017) and experimental work (e.g.
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Willink et al., 2014; Aluthwattha et al., 2017) have identified several mechanisms that allow multiple morphs to persist, there is no conclusive evidence from the field and the relative importance of different selective agents is not well understood (Stevens and Ruxton, 2012; Chouteau et al., 2016). Alas, there is little empirical evidence as to the role of predator communities on local or global morph frequencies of aposematic prey.

The variation in the degree of warning colour polymorphism shown by the wood tiger moth (Arctia plantaginis) across the Western Palaearctic provides an excellent system to study how warning signal variation is maintained in the wild (Hegna et al., 2015). At a local scale, predator community structure (Nokelainen et al., 2014) and sexual selection (Nokelainen et al., 2012; Gordon et al., 2015) have been found to alter the direction of selection on white and yellow male morphs, but no previous studies have addressed selection on a wide geographical scale and including A. plantaginis females, which are red or yellow. We exposed artificial moths representing the three hindwing colour morphs (white, yellow, red), to local predators in a field experiment spanning across four countries, while monitoring the abundance and community structure of local predator species. We tested whether (1) selection by predators favours the locally common morph; (2) the community structure of avian predators is associated with the predation pressure on different morphs; and (3) there is variation in the direction of strength of selection among populations, matching the local morph frequencies. Variable selection pressure is one of the main candidate mechanisms for the maintenance of polymorphism. By our work, we provide the best-documented case to date of a geographic mosaic of selection on warning signals at broad spatial scales.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study system

Adult wood tiger moths, Arctia plantaginis (Erebidae: Arctiinae; formerly Parasemia; see Rönkä et al., 2016 for classification), show conspicuous warning colours and possess a chemical defence fluid, which contains pyrazines (Rojas et al., 2017; Burdfield-Steel et al., 2018b) and is a deterrent to avian predators (Rojas et al., 2017; Burdfield-Steel et al., 2018a). Their warning colouration varies throughout their Holarctic distribution, but local polymorphism is common too (Hegna et al., 2015). In the Western Palaearctic male hindwing colour is either white or yellow, or varies more continuously between yellow and red as seen in females. We selected four study locations that represent the colour variation continuum from monomorphic to polymorphic Arctia plantaginis populations in the Western Palaearctic (Fig. 1). For the purposes of this study, we assigned both sexes to belong to the white, yellow or red morph based on their hindwing colour, and simplified the study populations (Central-Finland, Estonia, Scotland and Georgia, were calculated as the average frequencies from all data available. Morph frequencies for yellow and red females were based on netting data, as the pheromone traps only lure male moths. Because our data set was thus biased towards male moths, we corrected the morph frequencies according to a sex ratio of 45 females to 156 males, based on a mark–release–recapture study spanning two years in Central Finland (Gordon et al., unpublished data). This sex ratio was used, as it is likely to depict the detectability of each morph more accurately than an even 1:1 sex ratio. The higher frequency of males to females is supported by two observations: male wood tiger moths live longer and fly more actively than females, and the adult sex ratio immediately after eclosion is slightly biased towards males even in laboratory conditions (K. Suisto, personal communication). The concluding morph frequencies (Fig. 1a and 2a) are consistent with museum samples (Hegna et al., 2015) and laboratory stocks originating from the four study populations (Central-Finland, Estonia, Scotland and Georgia).

Predation experiment

To estimate the attack risk of white, yellow and red hindwing colour morphs by local predators in the wild we used artificial moth models, resembling real Arctia plantaginis morphs. Models with plasticine (Caran D’Ache Modela 0259.009 Black) bodies attached to printed waterproof (Rite in the Rain ©, JL Darling Corporation, Tacoma, WA, USA) paper wings were prepared following methods described in Nokelainen et al. (2014). Models were constructed using pictures of one white moth hindwing and two forewings, one with a typical European pattern and another with a typical Caucasian (Georgian) pattern, which were copied and assembled in GIMP 2.8.16 SOFTWARE (GNU Image manipulation program) to create six models representing the white, yellow and red morphs in Europe and Georgia (Fig. 1b). A locally common forewing type was used to reduce potential novelty effect caused by the forewing pattern (Hegna and Mappes, 2014). Resemblance of the artificial models to the real moths was verified by taking measurements of reflectance from the black and coloured areas of real moth wings and printed wings with a Maya2000 Pro spectrometer (Ocean Optics) using a PX-2 Pulsed Xenon Light Source (Ocean Optics) for illumination and adjusting the model colours with Gimp (2.8.16) to match the natural wing colour as closely as possible with a calibrated (HP Colour LaserJet CP2025) printer (spectral match between printed moths and real wings was inspected by visual comparison of reflectance curves as in Rönkä et al., 2018, where identical models were used, and a detailed avian vision model with JNDS’ of all three morphs on different backgrounds is reported in Henze et al., 2018). Thus, we can expect all avian
predators to see the moth dummies similarly as they would see the real moths, regardless of birds’ visual properties, which may vary among species. As our study focused on the hindwing coloration, all other variables such as wing size and pattern were kept constant.

We set up 60 predation transects across the four study populations (15 in each country) in open, semi-open and closed natural habitats where the wood tiger moth and its potential avian predators were known or presumed to occur. The predation transects were set at least 500 m apart to avoid birds having overlapping territories between the transects. Along each 900 m transect 20 white, 20 yellow and 20 red artificial moth models were set individually every 15 meters using a randomised block design, so that two models of the same colour would never be next to each other. Models were pinned directly on natural vegetation, either to green leaves large enough to support their weight, or to tree trunks, as visibly as possible. All models were left in the field for a maximum of 6 days (2–6 days, 4 days on average), during the A. plantaginis flight season in 2014 (May 31st – July 6th in Estonia, May 26th–July 6th in Finland, June 15th–July 30th in Scotland and July 12th–August 3rd in Georgia). Predation events were recorded every 24 hours except for days of heavy rain (as birds were likely not active). For practical reasons (i.e. accessibility of mountain roads and weather conditions) the protocol was modified in Georgia. The 20 white, 20 yellow and 20 red models were set every 10 m totalling up to 600 m, left in the field for three consecutive days (72 h), and checked only once.

Attacks were recorded based on imprints on the plasticine body and fractures in the wings (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures). Only clear avian attacks were included in the analyses (Tables S1 and S2). Missing and attacked models were replaced with a new model of the same colour to ensure constant morph frequency during the experiment. Excluding or keeping consecutive attacks on the replaced models in the analyses did not markedly change the outcome, reported here (Table 1) for the data set including replaced models (4004 observations) and for the data set including original models only (3600 observations; in Supplemental Table S3). Therefore, we kept the replaced models in for all of the analyses, as it increased the sample size.

Measures of predator community
To estimate the abundances of different insect-feeding birds, which are the most likely predators of wood tiger moths, we counted birds belonging to the orders Passeriformes and Piciformes (Supplemental Table S3). These counts were done once, either before or during the predation experiment, along...
Table 1: Positive frequency-dependency of the estimated attack risk

| (a) Model selection | Δ d.f. | LRT     | Pr(Chi)    | model AIC |
|---------------------|--------|---------|------------|-----------|
| colour * morph frequency |        |         |            | 3957.0    |
| colour + morph frequency | 2      | 0.1949  | 0.907      | 3953.2    |

(b) Model 1a

| Random effects | Variance | SD |
|----------------|----------|----|
| transect within country | 0.3315   | 0.5758 |
| country          | 0.1633   | 0.4041 |

Fixed effects

| (Intercept) | Estimate | SE  | Z-value | P-value |
|-------------|----------|-----|---------|---------|
| colour[w]  | −3.0433  | 0.2275 | −13.376 | < 0.001 |
| colour[y]  | −0.923   | 0.0940 | −9.82   | 0.3259  |
| colour[r]  | −0.0841  | 0.0925 | −0.909  | 0.3633  |
| morph frequency | −0.3728 | 0.1071 | −3.481 | 0.0005  |

The asterisk (*) denotes both main effects and interaction terms used.

(a) The model including only main effects of morph frequency and morph colour (underlined) was selected because we did not find a significant interaction. (b) Estimates of Model 1a. Values of significance level < 0.05 are bolded. Δ d.f. denotes change in model degrees of freedom.

RESULTS

Positive frequency-dependent selection

Altogether, we observed a total of 718 bird attacks on the 4004 artificial moths (Table S1). The relative attack risk of each colour morph was lower when the natural frequencies of the respective morph were higher in relation to the others (Table 1, Fig. 2). Also, the morphs with intermediate local frequencies show corresponding levels of attack risk (Fig. 2). This effect did not depend on colour morph itself (Table 1, Table S2), as expected if the local predator avoidance depends more on local morph frequency than on morph colour.

Predator community

The attacks were not evenly distributed across countries or transects (Fig. 2c). Predation pressure varied between and within countries, being highest in Scotland and lowest in Estonia (Fig. 2c). Georgia had the lowest amount of insect feeding birds observed (2.1 per 100 meters) compared to Finland (2.6), Scotland (4.0) and Estonia (4.4) respectively. Georgia also had the least diverse predator community measured with Shannon–Wiener diversity index (Fig. 3) as calculated using R package ‘vegan’ 2.5-6 (Oksanen et al. 2013).

Statistical analyses

To investigate how local predator community affects the direction and strength of selection on wood tiger moth morphs, we constructed generalised linear mixed models. Because the artificial moths were presented to predators over a different number of days in each transect, the attack risk (attacked or not) within a day exposed was used as the response variable for all analyses, modelled with a binomial distribution and a logit link function. First, we tested whether predators select for wood tiger moth warning colours in a frequency-dependent manner across populations (Fig. 2). For this, we used local morph frequency calculated from field monitoring data and its interaction with morph colour as the explanatory variables in Model 1 (Table 1). Transect ID, nested within country, was set as the random factor to account for the nested spatial structure of the study design.

To test for predator community composition effects, the dimensions of the bird count data, consisting of 12 genera, was first reduced with a principal component analysis using the R function ‘princomp’. To avoid overparameterisation, the main effects of the first three resulting components (explaining 44.7 %, 33.7 % and 8.5 % of the variation in predator community), and their three-way interactions with morph colour and country, were included by one by one as explanatory variables in three separate GLMMs (Table 2). Country was included as an explanatory variable to test for local differences in selection and thus transect ID alone was set as a random effect to each model.

Statistical models were simplified using a backward stepwise deletion method based on significance of terms in the models. Variables were excluded one by one from the full models, until only main effects or significant interactions were left in each model. All analyses were performed with R (RCR Team, 2013) in RStudio 0.99.491 (RStudio Team, 2015), using the package lme4 (Bates et al. 2015).

Significant association between predator community structure and selection

A consecutive analysis, where the effect of predator community on the attack risk of each moth colour morph was addressed, revealed a significant three-way-interaction between moth colour, country and PC1 (Model 2, Table 2a, Fig. 3). This significant interaction means that the variation in predator community structure captured by PC1 is associated with predation pressure on different colour morphs, but the...
direction of the association is different between countries (i.e. between local communities). PC2 and PC3 were not significantly associated with predation pressure (Table 2c and 2d).

DISCUSSION

Our experiment is among the few experimental approaches integrating community-level interactions into the study of selection on warning signals (Mochida, 2011; Valkonen et al., 2012; Nokelainen et al., 2014; Aluthwattha et al., 2017), and the first to do so on such a large geographical scale. With a wide-ranging field experiment spanning populations varying in their degree of polymorphism, we demonstrate that local bird predators avoid locally common morphs, but also that both the strength and direction of selection on warning colour varies geographically. We found that changes in local predator communities drive geographical variation in selection despite positive frequency-dependence. Local predator-prey interactions are thus contributing to the maintenance of both geographical variation and local polymorphism in warning signals.

Local avian predators appear as key in driving warning colour evolution, which can take different evolutionary trajectories over a geographical scale. Here, the effect of predator community on attack risk towards each morph varied significantly across countries. The first component from the principal component analysis, explaining 44.7% of the variation in the abundances of insectivorous birds in different families, significantly affected estimated risk of attack. However, it did so differently across countries. Interpreting the component loadings and model estimates (Table 2, Fig. 3), the Paridae (e.g. tits) and Prunellidae (consisting of only one species, the dunnock, Prunella modularis) selected for different morphs in different countries. Our results corroborate the predator community effects found by Nokelainen et al. (2014), as we also found that in Finland the
yellow morph is better protected in communities characterised by Paridae, whereas the white morph is favoured in communities characterised by Prunellidae. In contrast, an opposite effect was found in Scotland where the yellow morph dominates, suggesting that local predators can select for different colours depending on the communities they are exposed to. Our experiment showed that across countries locally dominating colour morphs were attacked least, as predicted by + FDS. Thus, warning signal efficacy is enhanced with increasing frequency of similarly signalling individuals as predicted due to the number-dependence of predator learning and memorisation. Nonetheless, we found geographical variation in the strength of predator-induced selection. Comparison with previous experiments in those study areas that overlap (Nokelainen et al., 2014) also reveal temporal differences. We found high overall predation pressure in Scotland where the yellow morph was in favour compared to other study locations. Although Nokelainen et al. (2014) did not detect positive frequency dependency, they also found much higher overall attack rates in Scotland compared to Southern Finland and Estonia. On the other hand, Nokelainen et al. (2014) found that yellow males were significantly less attacked than white males in Southern Finland, whereas in our study the yellow morph tended to have more attacks than the other morphs. Interestingly, the frequency of yellow and white morphs varies in Southern Finland in a biannual cycle (Galarza et al., 2014), and the yellow morph was more common during Nokelainen et al.’s (2014) study, whereas in contrast the white was more common during our experiment, suggesting again that the locally most common morphs have an advantage. Temporal fluctuations in local predator–prey interactions could therefore plausibly explain why estimates of predation pressure on different colour morphs conducted in different years have varied.

All morphs were attacked at equally low levels in Estonia, which implies spatial variation in the strength of selection or even locally relaxed natural selection on the warning signal. The low predation pressure is not explained by a low number of predators, as there were more insectivorous birds in Estonia than in any other study site (Table S4). The bird community composition in Estonia differed from the other countries though, being most diverse and characterised by Sylviidae, Fringillidae, Muscicapidae, Turdidae, Trogloidyidae and Oriolidae, suggesting that the strength of selection was lower in

### Table 2: The interaction effect of predator community and location (country, C) on the attack risk towards the wood tiger moth colour morphs

| Model selection with PC1 | Δ d.f. | LRT | Pr (Chi) | Model AIC |
|-------------------------|--------|-----|----------|-----------|
| PC1*colour*C            | 3      | 3956.1 |
| PC1 + colour*C + PC1:colour + PC1:colour-C   | 6   | 14.35 | 0.026  | 3958.4    |
| C + colour*C           |        |      |          |           |

(b) Model 2

| Random effects | Variance | SD  |
|----------------|----------|-----|
| Transect       | 0.2779   | 0.5272 |

Fixed effects

- (Intercept): colour[w], C[Finland] -3.556 0.438 -8.126 < 0.001
- colour[y] 0.869 0.407 2.134 0.033
- colour[r] 0.370 0.440 0.840 0.401
- PC1 0.139 0.088 1.590 0.112
- C[Estonia] -0.309 0.621 -0.498 0.619
- C[Georgia] 0.618 0.647 0.955 0.340
- C[Scotland] 0.843 0.477 1.766 0.077
- PC1: colour[y] -0.164 0.083 -1.989 0.047
- PC1: colour[r] -0.115 0.089 -1.299 0.194
- C[Estonia]: colour[y] -0.463 0.606 -0.765 0.444
- C[Estonia]: colour[r] 0.297 0.618 0.481 0.631
- C[Georgia]: colour[y] -0.773 0.592 -1.306 0.192
- C[Georgia]: colour[r] -0.041 0.616 -0.067 0.947
- C[Scotland]: colour[y] -1.169 0.445 -2.624 0.009
- C[Scotland]: colour[r] -0.345 0.472 -0.730 0.466
- PC1: C[Estonia] -0.123 0.097 -1.273 0.203
- PC1: C[Georgia] -0.143 0.111 -1.280 0.201
- PC1: C[Scotland] -0.159 0.103 -0.551 0.512
- C[Estonia]: PC1: colour[y] 0.197 0.093 2.115 0.035
- C[Estonia]: PC1: colour[r] 0.176 0.099 1.781 0.075
- C[Georgia]: PC1: colour[y] 0.105 0.105 0.991 0.322
- C[Georgia]: PC1: colour[r] -0.033 0.113 -0.294 0.769
- C[Scotland]: PC1: colour[y] 0.256 0.100 2.550 0.011
- C[Scotland]: PC1: colour[r] 0.091 0.102 0.892 0.372

(c) Model selection with PC2

| Model selection with PC2 | Δ d.f. | LRT | Pr (Chi) | Model AIC |
|-------------------------|--------|-----|----------|-----------|
| PC2*colour*country      | 3      | 3962.6 |
| PC2 + colour*C + PC2:colour + PC2: 6 4.413 0.621 3955.0 |
| C + colour*C           |        |      |          |           |
| PC2 + colour*C + PC2:colour + colour:C 3 3.334 0.343 3952.4 |
| PC2 + colour*C + colour:C 2 3.923 0.141 3952.3 |
| PC2 + colour*C 6 16.737 0.010 3957.0 |
| Colour*country         | 1      | 0.545  | 0.460  | 3950.8    |

(d) Model selection with PC3

| Model selection with PC3 | Δ d.f. | LRT | Pr (Chi) | Model AIC |
|-------------------------|--------|-----|----------|-----------|
| PC3*colour*country      | 3      | 3963.6 |
| PC3 + colour*C + PC3:colour + PC3: 6 5.190 0.520 3956.8 |
| C + colour*C           |        |      |          |           |
| PC3 + colour*C + PC3:colour + colour:C 3 1.400 0.706 3952.2 |
| PC3 + colour*C 2 4.599 0.100 3952.8 |
| PC3 + colour*C 6 16.726 0.010 3957.5 |
| Colour*country         | 1      | 0.034  | 0.854  | 3950.8    |

(continues)
diverse communities as opposed to when Paridae (e.g. tits) characterised the community.

Other properties of the predator community that can affect the strength of selection on warning signals include the relative abundance of naïve vs. experienced predators (Mappes et al., 2014), predators’ capacity to learn many different signals (Beatty et al., 2004), broad generalisation between the morphs (Balogh and Leimar, 2005; Sherratt, 2008), conflicting selection by different predators (Valkonen et al., 2012; Noke- lainen et al., 2014), and the spatial arrangement of predators in relation to prey (Endler and Rojas, 2009). It is also possible that moth behaviour (e.g. flight activity) varies between habitats, sexes or colour morphs, leading to differences in exposure to potential predators (see e.g. Rojas et al., 2015). While long distance mate attraction is achieved through female pheromone signalling, there is evidence of differential mating success between the white and yellow male morphs in Finland (Nokelainen et al., 2014), and the spatial arrangement of predators in relation to prey (Endler and Rojas, 2009). There is also possible that moth behaviour (e.g. flight activity) varies between habitats, sexes or colour morphs, leading to differences in exposure to potential predators (see e.g. Rojas et al., 2015).

In temperate regions, most insectivorous birds are migratory and prey population sizes are highly variable due to interseasonal weather variability. This is likely to cause variation in the relative abundances of naïve predators across the breeding season. Furthermore, local seasonal communities are continuously changing, altering the direction and/or strength of selection on warning signals (Mappes et al., 2014). Siepielski et al. (2013) reviewed directional selection on phenotypes, and found that selection tends to vary more in strength than in direction between populations, with the majority of their examples coming from mid-latitudes in the northern hemisphere. Most experimental evidence of +FDS in the wild, however, comes from tropical systems (Mallet and Barton, 1989; Comeault and Noonan, 2011; Chouteau and Angers, 2011), where the prey and predator community composition is temporally less variable (Mittelbach et al., 2007). In such communities, strong +FDS can lead to very accurate mimicry between warning coloured prey, whereas in more variable conditions, higher levels of variation and polymorphism can be maintained.

The paradoxical maintenance of local polymorphism despite +FDS could thus be explained by spatial and temporal variation in morph survival combined with individuals migrating between the subpopulations (Gordon et al., 2015; McLean & Stuart-Fox 2014; Joron et al., 1999). Differences in the level of population isolation, and thus gene flow between them, could explain part of the geographical variation in wood tiger moth warning colours. Population genetic evidence supports this theory: the red-dominated Georgian subspecies A. p. caucasica occurring in the mountains of Caucasus is genetically differentiated from all other sampled European populations, having a distinctive genomic composition from the rest of Western Palaearctic samples (Hegna et al., 2015; Rönkä et al., 2016; Yen et al., 2020). The Finnish and Estonian populations clustered together away from the Scottish population, as would be predicted by effects of isolation by distance (Yen et al., 2020). Thus, predator selection against rarity and genetic isolation of Georgian and Scottish populations can explain the monomorphism in those populations. Furthermore, the long-term co-existence of multiple morphs and the low genetic differentiation among populations in temperate regions, most insectivorous birds are migratory and prey population sizes are highly variable due to interseasonal weather variability. This is likely to cause variation in the relative abundances of naïve predators across the breeding season. Furthermore, local seasonal communities are continuously changing, altering the direction and/or strength of selection on warning signals (Mappes et al., 2014). Siepielski et al. (2013) reviewed directional selection on phenotypes, and found that selection tends to vary more in strength than in direction between populations, with the majority of their examples coming from mid-latitudes in the northern hemisphere. Most experimental evidence of +FDS in the wild, however, comes from tropical systems (Mallet and Barton, 1989; Comeault and Noonan, 2011; Chouteau and Angers, 2011), where the prey and predator community composition is temporally less variable (Mittelbach et al., 2007). In such communities, strong +FDS can lead to very accurate mimicry between warning coloured prey, whereas in more variable conditions, higher levels of variation and polymorphism can be maintained.

The paradoxical maintenance of local polymorphism despite +FDS could thus be explained by spatial and temporal variation in morph survival combined with individuals migrating between the subpopulations (Gordon et al., 2015; McLean & Stuart-Fox 2014; Joron et al., 1999). Differences in the level of population isolation, and thus gene flow between them, could explain part of the geographical variation in wood tiger moth warning colours. Population genetic evidence supports this theory: the red-dominated Georgian subspecies A. p. caucasica occurring in the mountains of Caucasus is genetically differentiated from all other sampled European populations, having a distinctive genomic composition from the rest of Western Palaearctic samples (Hegna et al., 2015; Rönkä et al., 2016; Yen et al., 2020). The Finnish and Estonian populations clustered together away from the Scottish population, as would be predicted by effects of isolation by distance (Yen et al., 2020). Thus, predator selection against rarity and genetic isolation of Georgian and Scottish populations can explain the monomorphism in those populations. Furthermore, the long-term co-existence of multiple morphs and the low genetic differentiation among...
polymorphic populations in Finland with yearly variation in genetic structure (Galarza et al., 2014) indicate a role for gene flow along with varying predation pressure in maintaining local populations at different frequencies. Despite the genetic similarity and gene flow between Estonian and Finnish populations, Estonian moth populations are principally monomorphic white, whereas Finnish populations are polymorphic. Although the populations are connected, bird communities are remarkably different between them. Thus, we suggest that relaxed predator selection in Estonia together with sexual selection that seems to favour white morphs, especially when common, (see Nokelainen et al., 2012; Gordon et al., 2015) may explain the white dominance of this population.

As recently noted by several authors (e.g. Nokelainen et al., 2014; Skelhorn et al., 2016; Chouteau et al., 2016), more experimental work is needed to clarify predator–prey interactions at the community level in order to understand how selection is driving the evolution of warning signals in diverse natural ecosystems. Our experiment is so far the most comprehensive analysis showing how spatio-temporal variation in predator–prey communities affects the maintenance of within-species variation and evolutionary pathways to biodiversity. It shows that, while +FDS is acting in most populations, spatial variation in predator and prey communities alters the strength or direction of selection on warning signals, thus facilitating a geographical mosaic of selection which can maintain polymorphism.
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