Facile synthesis of an urchin-like Sb₂S₃ nanostructure with high photocatalytic activity†
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Herein, an urchin-like Sb₂S₃ nanostructure has been synthesized without a surfactant via a wet chemical method. The crystal structure, morphology, composition and optical properties were characterized using XRD, TEM, SEM, EDS, Raman spectroscopy, and diffuse reflectance absorption spectroscopy. The factors, including the reaction time, temperature, and ratio of the raw materials, influencing the evolution of the urchin-like morphology have been discussed, and a plausible formation mechanism for the urchin-like Sb₂S₃ has been proposed. The urchin-like Sb₂S₃ micro/nanostructure exhibits high catalytic performance towards the degradation of MB under visible light irradiation. The photodegradation ratio of MB is up to 99.32% under visible light irradiation of 130 min. Our synthesis method will be extended to prepare other photocatalysts.

In recent years, nanomaterials with controllable size and novel morphologies have been extensively studied due to their unique physical and chemical properties.3–5 For instance, AgBr nanoplates,6 AgCl octahedrons7 and NaTi₂(PO₄)₃ nanocubes8 show unique photocatalytic activities and electrochemical performances. Hierarchical flower-like SnO₂ nanospheres9 or TiO₂ nanorod arrays10,11 exhibit highly efficient gas sensing and photoelectrochemical properties.

As an important V–VI compound, antimony sulfide (Sb₂S₃) is considered as a promising material for energy conversion due to its suitable band gap (1.5–2.2 eV), which covers the range of the solar spectrum.11–13 To the best of our knowledge, Sb₂S₃ nanomaterials with different morphologies have been mainly synthesized using various surfactants.14 Surfactants can regulate the morphology and structure of the nanoparticles effectively by parceling on the surface of the particles through the coordination or charge effect.15 By adding surfactants such as cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB),16 polyethylene glycol (PEG),17 dodecyltrimethylammonium bromide (DTAB)18 and polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP),19–21 some nanostructures including straw-tied-like, nanorod22,23, nanosheet,24 nanotube, dandelion-like, double cauliflower-like,25 bar,26 dumbbell-like, and nanowire bundle structures have been successfully prepared, which show potential applications in photocatalysis and energy storage areas. However, how to effectively synthesize Sb₂S₃ crystals and control their morphology via a simple method is still challenging for materials scientists. Herein, we report a simple wet chemical method to synthesize an urchin-like Sb₂S₃ nanostructure without any surfactant. Moreover, we have examined the photocatalytic activity of Sb₂S₃ by the degradation of MB under simulated visible light irradiation. Our study presents an Sb₂S₃ nanostructure with good applications in the visible-light photocatalytic field.

The morphology and size of the products were revealed by the SEM and TEM images. Fig. 1a shows the SEM image of the urchins-like Sb₂S₃ prepared under refluxing conditions at 160 °C for 5 h. The as-prepared Sb₂S₃ products mainly consist of the uniform urchin-like structure. The magnified SEM image shows that the urchin-like structure consists of nanorods stretching radially from the same central point (Fig. 1b). The TEM image further confirms that the urchin-like Sb₂S₃ is made up of many individual nanorods with lengths about 10 μm and diameters of 100 nm (Fig. 1b and c). The measured spacing of the crystallographic planes is 0.309 nm, which is consistent with the (320) plane lattice distance of orthorhombic Sb₂S₃ (Fig. 1d).

The XRD pattern of the obtained sample is shown in Fig. 1e. All the diffraction peaks in the XRD pattern can be readily indexed to orthorhombic Sb₂S₃ (JCPDS no. 42-1393) with the calculated lattice parameters of a = 1.120 nm, b = 1.128 nm and c = 0.383 nm. No characteristic peaks for impurities are observed. The fact that the reflection peaks of Sb₂S₃ are strong and sharp indicates that Sb₂S₃ is highly crystalline (Fig. 1e). The EDS spectrum reveals that the as-prepared products consist of S and Sb elements, and the observed C and Cu peaks are due to the carbon-coated Cu grid27 (Fig. 1f). Furthermore, the elemental distribution ratio of S and Sb in the compound was...
found to be about 3 : 2 through the quantification calculation of the EDS peaks, which was consistent with the chemical formula of antimony sulfide.

The effects of the reaction time, reaction temperature and the ratio of the raw materials on the as-obtained products were carefully studied to investigate the formation of the urchin-like morphology. Fig. 2 reveals the SEM images of the as-obtained samples prepared at different reaction times. Initially, no product was formed. When the reaction time was prolonged to 2 h, amorphous antimony sulfide was formed (Fig. 2a). After 3 h, single units with slight splitting were observed (Fig. 2b). Upon increasing the reaction time, uniform urchin-like $\text{Sb}_2\text{S}_3$ was obtained. When the reaction time was further increased to 6 h, the urchin-like structure was transformed into a rod-flower structure in which the thorns of the urchin-like structure were thicker (Fig. 2c). As shown in Fig. 2d and e, after 9 h, the rod-flower structure began to rupture and finally converted into irregular nanorods with lengths of about 15 $\mu$m, as shown in Fig. 2f.

The reaction temperature also has a significant effect on the morphologies of the final products. As shown in Fig. 3a, amorphous nanoparticles with an irregular shape were formed at 140 °C. When the temperature was increased to 180 °C, a simple splitting phenomenon appeared. Upon further increasing the temperature, the urchin-like micro/nanostructure was formed. A further increase in the reaction temperature led to the formation of rod flowers. When the reaction temperature was 180 °C, some rod-like structures appeared.

We examined the effect of the ratio of the raw materials on the product. Only rod particles were observed at a ratio of S/$\text{Sb} = 1 : 1$ (Fig. 4a). When the ratio was increased to 1 : 3, the urchin shapes were observed. A further increase in the concentration of the sulfur source led to the appearance of nest structures. Irregular spherical particles were finally generated when the ratio of the raw materials was 1 : 7.

Based on the experimental results, a plausible reaction for the synthesis of the $\text{Sb}_2\text{S}_3$ crystals can be interpreted as follows:

\begin{equation}
\text{CH}_3\text{CSNH}_2 + 2\text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{S}^{2-} + \text{CH}_3\text{COO}^- + \text{NH}_4^+ \quad (1)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
[\text{Sb}_2(\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_6)_2]^{2-} \rightarrow 2\text{Sb}^{3+} + 2(\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_6)^{3-} \quad (2)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
2\text{Sb}^{3+} + 3\text{S}^{2-} \rightarrow \text{Sb}_2\text{S}_3 \downarrow \quad (3)
\end{equation}

According to the abovementioned experimental results, we speculated the formation mechanism of the urchin-like $\text{Sb}_2\text{S}_3$ structure. $\text{Sb}_2\text{S}_3$ is a highly anisotropic semiconducting material.
with infinite ribbon-like (Sb₄S₆) polymers and layers in its orthorhombic crystal structure.\textsuperscript{29,30} As already reported in the literature, Sb₂S₃ tends to easily form one-dimensional nanorods along the \( c \)-axis due to intermolecular attraction between the antimony and sulfur atoms.\textsuperscript{31–34} Because of the fast and anisotropic crystal growth, many newborn clusters agglomerate together to deposit on a particular particle face, causing crystal splitting when the solution is in the excess saturation state.\textsuperscript{35,36} Then, each of the nanorods starts to grow into urchin-like crystals. This is similar to the chain-like crystalline structure of Bi₂S₃; this further ascertains that Sb₂S₃ has a strong splitting ability.\textsuperscript{37,38} Our research suggests that the degree of splitting is increased when the reaction time is prolonged. In the subsequent stage, the nanorods are detached from the urchin-like structures; this results in well-dispersed nanorods due to the instability of the surface energy.\textsuperscript{39} The whole process of this morphological evolution is shown schematically in Fig. 5. The amorphous nanoparticles of Sb₂S₃ appeared with no splitting. Upon increasing the reaction time, the Sb₂S₃ nanostructures change from small sheaves with simple splitting to urchin-like structures.

The fact that the optical properties of the as-prepared Sb₂S₃ are determined using the absorption spectrum (Fig. 6a) provides a simple and effective method to estimate the band gap of the urchin-like Sb₂S₃.\textsuperscript{40,41} The band gap value of 1.64 eV determined by other researchers is quite comparable to the values reported in the bibliographical information.\textsuperscript{42} Furthermore, it can be seen that optical absorption occurs in nearly all the visible light region; this suggests that the as-synthesized Sb₂S₃ can be stimulated by visible light. Raman spectrum of the urchin-like Sb₂S₃ is shown in Fig. 6b. The appearance of sharp peaks at 147, 198, 257, 305, and 452 cm\(^{-1}\) suggests the formation of a highly crystalline product, which is consistent with the XRD results.\textsuperscript{43}

Due to suitable band gap of urchin-like Sb₂S₃, the photocatalytic activity of urchin-like Sb₂S₃ was assessed by depositing an organic dye (MB) in an aqueous solution under visible light irradiation. The concentration of MB dye was monitored at 665 nm using an UV/vis spectrophotometer. From the blank experiment (Fig. S2), we can see a slight drop in the curve due to self-degradation of MB dye under visible light irradiation. Therefore, we can conclude that the dye is almost photo-stable under these conditions. It can be clearly observed from Fig. 6c that the organic dye is slightly degraded by Sb₂S₃ in the dark. According to Fig. 6d, the organic dye could be degraded by only 23.1% using H₂O₂, whereas the degradation efficiency for MB dye was 99.34% in the presence of Sb₂S₃ and H₂O₂ after 130 min; this indicated that the degradation of the organic dye was mainly attributed to the excellent
The urchin-like Sb$_2$S$_3$ was measured using the same photocurrent measurement method. The corresponding crystal splitting formation mechanism of urchin-like Sb$_2$S$_3$ was tentatively suggested. The narrow band gap of the urchin-like Sb$_2$S$_3$ was evaluated to be 1.64 eV, making it a perfect photocatalyst to efficiently absorb and utilize visible-light energy. As is known, the urchin-like nanostructure has been extensively investigated due to its potential applications in many areas such as in varistors, electronic devices, UV-absorbers, and catalysis.$^{47,48}$ This kind of nanostructure has a high specific surface area, which endows it with a large contact area with the dye and superior light harvesting efficiency in the field of photocatalysis.$^{49}$ Moreover, the single crystalline nanorod-assembled urchin-like nanostructure is favorable for fast electron transfer and thus facilitates electron and hole separation.$^{46,50,51}$ In particular, when urchin-like Sb$_2$S$_3$ is irradiated by visible light of energy greater than its band gap, electron–hole pairs will be generated and partially separated. The electrons and holes react with the adsorbed surface substances, such as O$_2$ and OH$^-$, to form the reactive species ·O$_2$ and ·OH, which are the major oxidative species in the decomposition of organic pollutants. Then, the oxidative species degrade the organic pollutant into small molecules such as CO$_2$ and H$_2$O.$^{44,45}$ p-Benzoquinone (BQ) and isopropyl alcohol (IPA) were used as additive agents to trap the active species ·O$_2$ and ·OH, respectively. Fig. S2† shows the degradation curves for MB after the addition of the different capture agents. It can be seen that IPA has a significant inhibitory effect on the degradation of MB, whereas the effect of BQ is less; this indicates that ·OH plays a major role in the photocatalytic process.

The degradation of the organic dye was accomplished via a series of parallel and consecutive redox reactions as shown in eqn (2.1)-(2.5).

$$\begin{align*}
\text{Sb}_2\text{S}_3 + h\nu &\rightarrow \text{Sb}_2\text{S}_3 (h\nu^+ + e^-) \quad (2.1) \\
\text{O}_2 + e^- &\rightarrow \cdot\text{O}_2^- \quad (2.2) \\
\text{H}_2\text{O} &\rightarrow \text{H}^+ + \cdot\text{OH}^- \quad (2.3) \\
\cdot\text{OH} + h\nu^+ &\rightarrow \cdot\text{OH} \quad (2.4) \\
\text{MB} + \cdot\text{OH} + \cdot\text{O}_2^- &\rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \ldots \quad (2.5)
\end{align*}$$

In summary, an urchin-like Sb$_2$S$_3$ nanostructure was successfully prepared without surfactants using a wet chemical method. The corresponding crystal splitting formation mechanism of urchin-like Sb$_2$S$_3$ was tentatively suggested. The narrow band gap of the urchin-like Sb$_2$S$_3$ was evaluated to be 1.64 eV, which was close to the best photoelectric conversion value reported to date. In addition, urchin-like Sb$_2$S$_3$ exhibited excellent photocatalytic performance; the degradation efficiency for the organic dye was 99.34% after exposure to visible-light irradiation for 130 min. The high photocatalytic activity of Sb$_2$S$_3$ was mostly due to its narrow band gap and wide absorption range of visible light. Our investigation demonstrates that Sb$_2$S$_3$ with urchin-like nanostructures has great potential to be applied in the degradation of organic contaminants.
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