Revealing a peculiar supernova remnant G106.3+2.7 as a petaelectronvolt proton accelerator with X-ray observations
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Graphical abstract

Public summary
- Nonthermal X-ray emission is discovered from the SNR G106.3+2.7
- X-ray observations indicate a high velocity of the SNR shock in the tail region, which is expanding in a low-density hydrogen cavity
- The high shock velocity enables the acceleration of PeV protons, which are also needed to interpret the multiwavelength spectrum of the tail region
- SNR G106.3+2.7 is likely the long-sought source of Galactic PeV cosmic rays
Supernova remnants (SNRs) have long been considered as one of the most promising sources of Galactic cosmic rays. In the SNR paradigm, petaelectronvolt (PeV) proton acceleration may only be feasible at the early evolution stage, lasting a few hundred years, when the SNR shock speed is high. While evidence supporting the acceleration of PeV protons in young SNRs has yet to be discovered, X-ray synchrotron emission is an important indicator of fast shock. Here, we report the first discovery of X-ray synchrotron emission from the possibly middle-aged SNR G106.3+2.7, implying that this SNR is still an energetic particle accelerator despite its age. This discovery, along with the ambient environmental information, multiwavelength observation, and theoretical arguments, supports SNR G106.3+2.7 as a likely powerful proton PeV accelerator.

**Keywords:** cosmic rays; supernova remnants; nonthermal radiation

**INTRODUCTION**

Cosmic rays (CRs) are high-energy charged particles moving through space at almost the speed of light. They serve as free experimental samples (with a composition of 90% protons, 9% helium nuclei, and 1% heavier elements) to study particle physics and high-energy astrophysics. However, it is impossible to localize the origin of CRs from arrival directions because they are charged particles whose paths have been deflected by magnetic fields intervening between their sources and Earth. Where and how CRs are accelerated remain open questions. The local CR proton spectrum roughly maintains a power law shape up to the so-called knee around $10^{15}$ eV, indicating the existence of powerful proton petaelectronvolt accelerators (PeVatrons) residing in our Galaxy. However, despite decades of efforts, no Galactic sources have been firmly identified as proton PeVatrons except for the indication of a candidate at the Galactic Center. In the supernova remnant (SNR) paradigm of the origin of Galactic CRs, the maximum achievable particle energy is sensitive to the speed of SNR shock. Thus, only SNRs younger than a few hundred years old are considered capable of accelerating PeV protons. However, observational evidence has yet to be discovered.

SNR G106.3+2.7 was originally identified in a radio survey of the Galactic plane. As shown in Figure 1, it appears as a cometary structure with a compact "head" of high surface brightness located in the northeastern part of the system and an elongated "tail" of low surface brightness extending toward the southwest. The northern part of the head region is associated with the "Boomerang" pulsar wind nebula (PWN), which is powered by the energetic pulsar PSR J2229+6114 with a high spin-down luminosity of $2.2 \times 10^{37}$ erg s$^{-1}$. The pulsar’s characteristic age, i.e., 10 kiloyears (kyr), is usually considered as a representation of the system’s age, although the true age of the pulsar could be younger (Figure S1). The PWN is enveloped by a small H ii shell, implying that the PWN is either pushing the H ii gas outward or ionizing the atomic hydrogen gas in its vicinity. A dense, shell-like H ii structure is also present in the southeastern boundary of the head region, this is likely caused by the encounter of the SNR shock with the dense ambient medium. This scenario is supported by the shell-like enhanced radio emission in the southeastern part of the head region. Based on the central velocity of the H ii emission, the distance of the system is considered as $d=800$ pc, whereas a larger distance is suggested by Halpern et al. In contrast, the tail region appears to be expanding in a low-density hydrogen bubble that may have been excavated by the stellar winds of the previous generation of massive stars.

In the last decade, this region has attracted a lot of attention from the gamma-ray community. Milagro detected TeV emission up to 35 TeV with a large spatial uncertainty covering the entire system. VERITAS and Fermi-LAT detected gamma-ray emission in the range of $0.9–16$ TeV and $3–500$ GeV, respectively, from the tail region. More recently, the HAWC and Tibet AS detected experiments extended the spectrum up to 100 TeV with consistent spatial position of the source from the VERITAS and Fermi-LAT regions as shown in Figure 1. The AS data indicate that the measured emission centroid deviates from the pulsar’s location, with a confidence level of 3.1$\sigma$, although one cannot rule out the possibility that a small fraction of the emission originates from the PWN. Such measurements indicate that very energetic particles are present in the tail region. In principle, both multi-hundred-TeV electrons and PeV protons can produce hundred-TeV gamma-ray photons, but the predicted X-ray emission is different in the leptonic and hadronic scenarios.

**RESULTS**

The Boomerang Nebula is a bright point source surrounded by diffuse emission in the X-ray band with a hard nonthermal spectrum of the photon index 1.5. It shows a centrally peaked morphology in the X-ray band, with 29% of the emission coming from PSR J2229+6114. The emission is largely confined within a radius of 100”, spatially coinciding with the shell structure in the radio band, as revealed by an early research with $\sim 10$ ks Chandra exposure, as well as by the observations of ASCA and ROSAT. Currently, the X-ray data from the region of the SNR-PWN complex are much more abundant (summarized in Table S1), with exposure of more than 100 ks by Chandra, XMM-Newton, and Suzaku, respectively.

We analyze the X-ray data from these instruments in the relevant regions as shown in Figure 2 and find the X-ray emission in all the regions of the SNR dominated by a nonthermal component, with a spectrum...
being consistent with a featureless power law function (see Figure S2 and Table 1). No convincing evidence of line features was identified in the spectral data. The analysis of the Boomerang region in this study (with ten times more Chandra exposure) confirms the results of the previous study, and detects fainter nonthermal X-ray emission permeating the entire head region outside the PWN. Similar to the X-ray surface brightness profile (SBP) inside the Boomerang Nebula that is within 100° from the pulsar, the average radial profile of the extended component in the SNR head decreases with the distance from the pulsar, although in a shallower manner, and is accompanied by a gradual softening of the spectrum. Such features are also observed in other PWNe in the X-ray band, suggesting that the extended X-ray emission in the head region is related to the Boomerang Nebula and probably produced by the electrons escaping the PWN. The decline in the X-ray surface brightness and softening in the spectral index are maintained roughly until the boundary between the SNR head and the tail, as shown by the orange dashed arc in Figure 2. They then become constant in the tail region, as measured by XMM-Newton. The transition indicates that the X-ray-emitting electrons have a different origin in the tail region compared with those in the head region. Since the X-ray-emitting electrons cool rapidly, these electrons in the tail region should be accelerated in situ. The most plausible accelerator in the tail region is the SNR shock. This scenario is corroborated by the fact that a decline in brightness begins immediately outside the northwest boundary of the SNR tail, as shown in Figure 3B (see also the intensity contrast of the TX, TS1, and TS2 regions with the OX1 and OX2 regions in Table 1), demonstrating that fresh X-ray-emitting electrons are produced inside the SNR.

X-ray synchrotron emission has been widely considered as an important indicator of efficient particle acceleration. Previous studies have demonstrated that X-ray synchrotron emission only appears in young SNRs (a few thousand years old) in which the SNR shock speed exceeds a few thousand kilometers per second. From a theoretical point of view, the particle acceleration timescale for a particle of energy $E$ (predicted by the diffuse shock acceleration theory) strongly depends on the shock speed. It can be estimated by

$$t_{acc} \approx \frac{3D}{v_{s}^2} = \eta^{-1} \left( \frac{E}{1 \text{ TeV}} \right) \left( \frac{B}{10 \mu \text{G}} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{v_{s}}{3000 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^{-2} \text{ yr},$$  

(Equation 1)

where $D = \eta^{-1} r_{e} c / 3$ is the spatial diffusion coefficient of the particle, $r_{e}$ is the particle’s Larmor radius, $c$ is the speed of light, $B$ is the magnetic field near the shock front, and $\eta (\leq 1)$ represents the deviation of the diffusion coefficient.

Figure 1. Gas around SNR G106.3+2.7 The blue color represents the distribution of H I gas, and red color shows the CO emission of a dense molecular cloud. The green contours are the 1.4 GHz radio continuum of the SNR. The white plus marks the PSR J2229+6114. The magenta ellipse, cyan circle, and orange circle represent the gamma-ray emission detected by VERITAS, Fermi-LAT, and Tibet ASγ, respectively. The yellow cross shows the best-fit position of the HAWC source. RA and Dec are in J2000.

Figure 2. X-ray images of SNR G106.3+2.7 The major panel shows the combined Chandra and XMM-Newton images in 1–7 keV with instrumental background subtracted and exposure corrected. The green curve outlines the SNR observed by the 1.4 GHz radio continuum. The cyan circle has a radius of 100° centered at the position of PSR J2229+6114, characterizing the boundary of the Boomerang Nebula. The dashed orange arc marks the approximate boundary between the head and the tail of the SNR in the X-ray band, based on the SBP extracted from a series of annular sectors centered at PSR J2229+6114 out to the position marked with the solid orange arc (see Figure 3A). The magenta box is the intensity extraction region that shows the brightness change at the boundary of the SNR (see Figure 3B). The three small panels show, respectively, Chandra, XMM-Newton, and Suzaku images in 1–7 keV with marked regions for spectral analysis in Table 1. H is short for the head, T is for the tail, and O is for outside the SNR; C is for Chandra, X is for XMM-Newton, and S is for Suzaku. The same green contour outlines the SNR.
from the "Bohm diffusion". This study considers it as the acceleration efficiency. The acceleration of electrons is generally limited by the cooling of synchrotron radiation. Equating the acceleration timescale to the synchrotron frequency, the maximum achievable electron energy in the cooling-limited scenario is obtained and the synchrotron spectral cutoff energy is subsequently derived \[^{33,34}\] i.e.,

\[ \epsilon_{\text{syn, max}} = 7 \eta (v_s / 3000 \text{km s}^{-1})^2 \text{ keV}, \]  

(Equation 2)

which is only dependent on the shock speed \(v_s\) and \(\eta\). The continuation of the X-ray spectrum up to 7 keV without a clear spectral cutoff suggests that the SNR shock in the tail region has a high speed, that is \(v_s \geq 3000 \eta^{1/2} \text{km/s}\).

In general, the inferred high shock speed is unlikely for a middle-aged SNR. However, considering that the tail region is formed by the SNR shock breaking out into a low-density cavity, it is possible that the shock is not significantly decelerated and maintains a high speed. In other words, the SNR in the tail region may not have entered the Sedov-Taylor phase. In addition, the projected length of the tail region is \(L_T = 14 (d / 800 \text{ pc}) \text{ pc}\) assuming that the supernova explosion center is close to the position of PSR J2229+6114.\[^1\]

Therefore, the mean projected shock speed in the tail region can be inferred independently as \(v_s \sim L_T / (T_T \approx 1500 \text{ km s}^{-1} (d / 800 \text{ pc}) (T_T / 10 \text{ kyr})^{-1}\). This velocity is generally consistent with the estimate from the X-ray spectrum. Note that the true shock velocity can be several times higher since the velocity inferred in this way is only the component projected on the plane of the sky. In addition, if a shorter age and a larger distance of the system are considered, a larger mean velocity may be obtained.

The high shock speed empowers the tail region to accelerate high-energy protons. Unlike electron acceleration, the proton acceleration is age limited\[^2\] because the energy loss rate of protons is much slower than that of equal-energy electrons. Substituting the formulae for the maximum synchrotron cooling timescale, the maximum achievable electron energy in the cooling-limited scenario is obtained and the synchrotron spectral cutoff energy is subsequently derived \[^{33,34}\].
The Innovation
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m is not expected to be weaker than that in ISM of a typical total strength of 5 G, usually given by a broken power law function with a high-energy cutoff parameters. For middle-aged SNRs, the emitting electron spectrum is the sum of all these components. The green-yellow curves represent the expected spectral index before the break break energy magnetic field is not too weak. Generally, the magnetic field in an SNR shock is not expected to be weaker than that in ISM of a typical total strength of 5–10 G. We followed this description and found that when the radio and X-ray data in the tail region are well reproduced with the synchrotron radiation of electrons, the gamma-ray spectrum cannot be explained solely by electrons of the same population, and the GeV data disfavor a magnetic field much weaker than $B = 6 \mu G$. As shown in Figure 4, the GeV-TeV gamma-ray emission may or may not be reproduced by the inverse Compton (IC) radiation of electrons, depending on the assumed magnetic field. However, the spectrum beyond 30 TeV cannot be interpreted by the IC radiation, because the Klein-Nishina effect suppresses the cross-section of IC scattering at high energies and softens the spectrum (Figure S3).

As a result, a hadronic gamma-ray component that arises from the interactions between accelerated CR protons and gas must be introduced to explain the spectrum of the tail region at least above 10 TeV, because there are no other reasonable particle accelerators in this region. In this scenario, the protons need to be accelerated to 1 PeV or higher to explain the detection of 100 TeV photons. The tail region is spatially coincident with a dense molecular cloud (Figure 1) with an average atom density of 50 cm$^{-3}$, which can serve as the target for the hadronuclear interactions of CR protons escaping from the SNR. The gamma-ray spectrum can be interpreted well with a proton spectrum of a broken power law with a high-energy exponential cutoff. The spectral break may be caused by the CR confinement in the SNR because lower-energy CRs are more difficult to escape. Therefore, the spectrum above the break energy is close to the theoretical expectation of shock acceleration with a power law spectral index $\gamma > 2$. The required total energy for escaping CRs that are interacting with the molecular cloud is less than $10^{47}$ ergs. This is reasonable as it constitutes only a tiny fraction of an SNR’s energy budget. The flux of co-produced (anti-)muon neutrinos in the hadronuclear interactions would result in a detection of 0.4 track-like events above 50 TeV for the 10-year operation of the IceCube neutrino telescope. This is consistent with the non-detection of neutrino events from the direction of the source.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of this study indicate that the tail region of SNR G106.3+2.7 is likely a long-sought source of PeV Galactic CRs. Even though it is probably already in the middle-age stage, the nonthermal X-ray radiation from the tail region indicates that its shock velocity still remains at several thousand kilometers per second. This velocity is conducive to the sustained acceleration of protons to PeV energy. The inferred high shock velocity is supported by the expansion of the tail into a low-density cavity. This is consistent with the elongated morphology of the tail. In addition, the multiwavelength spectrum data of the tail region further indicates the necessity of the hadronic component produced by energetic protons with a spectrum extending up to 1 PeV or beyond. This scenario is corroborated by the presence of a dense molecular cloud that spatially coincides with both the tail region and the centroid of the gamma-ray emissions. On the other hand, from a theoretical point of view, SNRs expanding in stellar wind cavities have been suggested as promising accelerators of PeV protons because a quasi-perpendicular SNR shock may form in this environment, with an acceleration efficiency comparable with that in the Bohm limit (i.e., $\eta \sim 1$). These various arguments consistently support that the tail region of SNR G106.3+2.7 is likely a Galactic proton PeVatron. In addition to the tail region, the highly asymmetric morphology of the SNR also leaves a clue to study the co-evolution of the SNR–PWN complex system. In-depth theoretical studies on the dynamics, the particle acceleration, and transport processes would facilitate a comprehensive understanding of the system.

SNR G106.3+2.7 is peculiar. To date, it is probably the first and the only middle-aged SNR detected with X-ray synchrotron emission. It is located in a special environment that favors particle acceleration. Its shock velocity is much higher when compared with other middle-aged SNRs, so a high particle acceleration rate is expected. It has experienced a much longer lifetime when compared with young SNRs of fast shock, offering sufficient time for the CRs.

**Figure 4.** Modeling the spectral energy distribution of the tail region Since the magnetic field is not clearly known, the magnetic fields of $B = 20 \mu G$ (A) and $B = 6 \mu G$ (B) are considered. In both panels, the dotted blue curves represent the synchrotron radiation, and the dashed blue curves represent the IC radiation of electrons. The dashed red curves show the pionic gamma-ray emission from pp collisions of CR protons while the dot-dashed red curves show the emission of secondary electrons and positrons co-produced in the pp collisions. The thick solid black curves show the sum of all these components. The green-yellow curves represent the expected energy $E_{\text{p, max}}$ or Equation (2) into the formula of the acceleration timescale, the maximum proton energy achievable in the SNR shock of a dynamical age of $T_{\text{age}}$ can be given by:

$$E_{\text{p, max}} = 3 \left( \frac{T_{\text{age}}}{10 \text{ kyr}} \right) \left( \frac{B}{1 \mu G} \right) \left( \frac{E_{\text{p, max}}}{7 \text{ keV}} \right) \text{ PeV.} \quad \text{(Equation 3)}$$

In principle, the tail region may serve as a proton PeVatron as long as the magnetic field is not too weak. Generally, the magnetic field in an SNR shock is not expected to be weaker than that in ISM of a typical total strength of 5–10 G. On the other hand, the spectral energy distribution of the tail region provides information on the magnetic field and even on the necessity of the acceleration of PeV protons in the tail region. In the modeling of the spectrum, $d = 800$ pc and $T_{\text{age}} = 10$ kyr are employed as the fiducial parameters. For middle-aged SNRs, the emitting electron spectrum is usually given by a broken power law function with a high-energy cut-off.
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to be gradually accelerated up to PeV energies. This discovery challenges the present SNR paradigm of CR origin. In the future, multicolour observations by high-performance instruments, such as LHAASO, CTA, and eROSITA will largely promote the discovery and identification of more PeVatrons. Hopefully, these observations will unravel the century-old puzzle of the origin of Galactic CRs.
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