Solar wind turbulent spectrum from MHD to electron scales
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Abstract. Turbulent spectra of magnetic fluctuations in the free solar wind are studied from MHD to electron scales using Cluster observations. We discuss the problem of the instrumental noise and its influence on the measurements at the electron scales. We confirm the presence of a curvature of the spectrum \( \sim \exp \sqrt{k_{e}p_{e}} \) over the broad frequency range \( \sim [10, 100] \) Hz, indicating the presence of a dissipation. Analysis of seven spectra under different plasma conditions show clearly the presence of a quasi-universal power-law spectrum at MHD and ion scales. However, the transition from the inertial range \( \sim k^{-1.7} \) to the spectrum at ion scales \( \sim k^{-2.5} \) is not universal. Finally, we discuss the role of different kinetic plasma scales on the spectral shape, considering normalized dimensionless spectra.
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INTRODUCTION

Space plasmas are usually in a turbulent state, and the solar wind is one of the closest laboratories of space plasma turbulence, where in-situ measurements are possible thanks to a number of space missions [1]. It is well known that at MHD scales (frequencies below \( \sim 0.3 \) Hz, at 1 AU) the spectrum of magnetic fluctuations in the solar wind has a Kolmogorov’s power law shape \( \sim f^{-5/3} \). However, the characteristics of turbulence in the vicinity of the kinetic plasma scales (such as the inertial lengths \( \lambda_{i,e} = c/\omega_{pi,e} \), \( c \) being the speed of light and \( \omega_{pi,e} \) the plasma frequencies of ions and electrons, respectively, the Larmor radii \( \rho_{i,e} = V_{i,e}/\omega_{pi,e} \) and the cyclotron frequencies \( \omega_{ci,e} = eB/m_{i,e} \)) are not well known experimentally and are a matter of debate. It was shown that at ion scales the turbulent spectrum has a break, and steepens to \( \sim f^{-s} \), with a spectral index \( s \) that is clearly non-universal, taking on values between 2 and 4 [2, 3]. These indices were obtained from data covering a range of scales which did not extend very much above the spectral break, typically up to \( \sim 1 \) Hz. In [4] we show that at ion scales, for a wider range of frequencies (up to 10 Hz), magnetic spectra measured under different plasma conditions (but always for an angle between the mean magnetic field \( B \) and solar wind velocity \( V \) close to 90 degree) display a quasi-universal power-law spectrum with \( s = 2.8 \). In the present paper we verify this result and we clarify the difference with [3].

At electron scales, the observations are difficult and our knowledge is very poor. The first results on the magnetic turbulence at these small scales were provided recently by the Cluster observations in the solar wind and in the Earth’s magnetosheath [5, 6, 7, 8, 4]. Solar wind observations of Sahraoui et al. [8] show that at \( kp_e \sim k\lambda_e = 1 \) there exists a second spectral break with a steep power-law \( \sim f^{-4} \) at smaller scales. Solar wind observations by Alexandrova et al. [4] show that for \( kp_e \sim [0.1, 1] \) the spectrum is no longer of the power law type but appears to follow an exponential dependence. At scales \( kp_e \sim k\lambda_e > 1 \) the spectrum deviates from the exponential.

Finally, we consider normalized dimensionless spectra and we discuss the role of different kinetic plasma scales.

TURBULENT SPECTRUM AT ELECTRON SCALES

In the solar wind plasma at 1 AU, the electron scales are usually of the order of a few km, and in the frequency spectra we find them around \( 30 – 300 \) Hz. The STAFF-Spectrum instrument of the Cluster mission [9] can in principle cover such frequencies. However, the turbulence level at \( 100 – 300 \) Hz is below \( 10^{-7} \) nT²/Hz, i.e., very close to the instrumental noise level. We will analyze in details the instrumental noise and its influence on the turbulent spectrum.

In our analysis we use measurements of the STAFF-Spectrum Analyser (SA) which provides four seconds averages of the power spectral density (PSD) of the mag-
magnetic fluctuations at 27 logarithmically spaced frequencies, between 8 Hz and 4 kHz.

To estimate the noise of STAFF-SA instrument, we use measurements in the magnetospheric lobes. In this region the natural magnetic activity is negligible and it may safely be assumed that what is measured is only the instrumental noise [9]. We use lobe data on the 27 January 2004, between 19:00 and 20:00 UT. The measured power spectral density of a random noise is itself a random variable. The left (dotted) histogram of Figure 1 shows that the distribution \( H(p_{\text{lobe}}) \) of the total power spectral density in the lobe, \( p_{\text{lobe}} \), at a fixed frequency 56 Hz has a log-normal distribution, that is compatible with a multiplicative noise [P. Kellogg, private communication]. The expectation value of this distribution is \( \langle p_{\text{noise}} \rangle = \int p_{\text{lobe}} H(p_{\text{lobe}}) dp_{\text{lobe}} = 1.3 \times 10^{-8} \text{nT}^2/\text{Hz} \). At the other frequencies of STAFF-SA we observe similar histograms, but with different mean PSD. The right histogram shows the distribution \( H(p_{\text{sw}}) \) of the same quantity and for the same frequency 56 Hz but measured during one hour in the free solar wind, with a rather important level of turbulence. The expectation value of this last histogram is \( \langle p_{\text{sw}} \rangle = \int p_{\text{sw}} H(p_{\text{sw}}) dp_{\text{sw}} = 6.1 \times 10^{-7} \text{nT}^2/\text{Hz} \). One can see that even if the expectation value of the solar wind distribution is much higher than the one of the lobe distribution, there is an intersection of the histograms, and the measurements of the mean solar wind turbulence energy can be affected by the instrumental noise. Let us now discuss this aspect of the measurement in more details.

The distribution \( H(p_{\text{sw}}) \) is a superposition of the distribution of the turbulent signal \( H(p_{\text{turb}}) \) and of the noise one \( H(p_{\text{noise}}) \). It is well known that the expectation value of the sum of two random variables is the sum of the expectation values of these variables. Therefore, supposing the independence of the noise from the physical signal, the mean PSD of the turbulent signal at each frequency can be determined as the difference between the corresponding expectation values

\[
\langle P_{\text{turb}} \rangle = \langle P_{\text{sw}} \rangle - \langle P_{\text{noise}} \rangle.
\] (1)

In Figure 2(a) the solid line represents the mean solar wind spectrum \( \langle P_{\text{sw}} \rangle \) from 8 Hz to 4 kHz, the thin dotted line indicates \( \langle P_{\text{noise}} \rangle \) and black dots show the resulting turbulent spectrum \( \langle P_{\text{turb}} \rangle \) (in the legend and caption of the plot, the brackets (\( \langle \)\) are omitted). Panel (b) shows the ratios \( \langle P_{\text{sw}} \rangle/\langle P_{\text{noise}} \rangle \) (solid line) and \( \langle P_{\text{turb}} \rangle/\langle P_{\text{noise}} \rangle \) (dots). From these two upper panels of Figure 2 one can see that for \( f \geq 10^3 \) Hz, the solar wind spectrum is identical with the noise spectrum, here \( \langle P_{\text{sw}} \rangle/\langle P_{\text{noise}} \rangle = 1 \). At \( f < 10^3 \) Hz, \( \langle P_{\text{sw}} \rangle \) is above the noise; however, already at 140 Hz, where \( \langle P_{\text{sw}} \rangle/\langle P_{\text{noise}} \rangle = 10 \), \( \langle P_{\text{sw}} \rangle \) is...
affected by the noise, as it starts to deviate from $\langle P_{\text{turb}} \rangle$. The noise becomes important for $f \geq 300$ Hz, where $\langle P_{\text{sw}} \rangle / \langle P_{\text{noise}} \rangle \approx 2$. Thus, we cannot just use the measured spectrum up to the frequency where its level meets the noise level, i.e. $\langle P_{\text{sw}} \rangle / \langle P_{\text{noise}} \rangle = 1$, as the solar wind turbulent spectrum; we need to take into account the effect of the instrumental noise. A meaningful solar wind turbulence spectrum is the corrected spectrum when it remains above the noise. So, in our particular case of Figure 2 the maximal frequency is 300 Hz (see the vertical dotted line in Figure 2(b) and (c)).

Now, let us focus on the spectral shape. The dashed-dotted line in Figure 2(a) gives an exponential fit $\sim \exp(-a\sqrt{f}/f_p)$. This is the best fit with $\langle P_{\text{sw}} \rangle$ and $\langle P_{\text{turb}} \rangle$ in the frequency range [8, 100] Hz, where the noise doesn’t affect the observed spectrum and both spectra are identical. The important result is that the spectrum is no more a power-law but curved over a broad frequency range $\sim [10, 100]$ Hz: this implies a deviation from self-similarity and the possible role of a dissipative process competing with non-linearities. The exponential fit can seem to be arbitrary. However, the compensated spectra shown in Figure 2(c) are indeed very flat for the whole decade: that confirms the exponential spectral shape.

**SPECTRUM AT MHD AND ION SCALES**

Now, let us consider the combination of STAFF-SA spectra with spectra measured by FGM [10] and STAFF-SC [9] instruments at lower frequencies. We have analyzed such combined spectra in [4]. It was shown that for a quasi-perpendicular configuration between the mean solar wind velocity $\mathbf{V}$ and the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$, under different plasma conditions, magnetic spectra show a quasi-universal form: $\sim f^{-5/3}$--power law at MHD scales and $\sim f^{-2.8}$--power law at ion scales. At electron scales, the spectrum is no more a power-law but is curved, as we confirm here with Figure 2.

This quasi-universal spectrum was obtained by a superposition of seven spectra using (i) the Taylor hypothesis $k = 2\pi f / V$, $P(k) = P(f) / V / 2\pi$ and (ii) an intensity factor $Q_0(j) = (P_1(k)/P_1(\ell))$, where $P_1(k)$ is a reference spectrum and $\ell$ a mean over the range of wave vectors covering MHD and ion scales. Let us now superpose the spectra independently at MHD scales and at ion scales. For this we define two different factors, $Q_1$ and $Q_2$. $Q_1$ is determined within an interval of the inertial range $[0.5, 2] \times 10^{-3}$ km$^{-1}$ indicated by vertical dotted lines in Figure 3(a). Here, the spectra are clearly superposed, following a $k^{-1.7}$ power law. At higher $k$, the spectra first spread past the break point, around the spatial ion scales $k_\lambda = 1/\lambda_i$, $k_\rho = 1/\rho_i$, and then, starting at $k \approx 0.04$ km$^{-1}$, the spectra appear to be parallel to each other again. In the range where the spectra are parallel, we chose an interval $k = [0.04, 0.1]$ km$^{-1}$ (between vertical dashed lines) to define $Q_2$. Normalization on $Q_2$ gives us Figure 3(b), where the seven spectra are superposed perfectly and form one clear power law $\sim k^{-2.7}$, with a very small spectral index dispersion of 0.1. That is close to the results obtained in [4], but more precise.

The analysis presented in Figure 3 shows that turbulent spectrum at MHD and ion scales can be characterized within three spectral ranges: (1) a quasi-universal power-law $\sim k^{-5/3}$ at MHD scales, (2) spectral spread around the break point and (3) a quasi-universal spectrum $\sim k^{-2.7}$ at $k > k_\rho$. Note that the spectral range (2) corresponds to $[0, 5, 2]$ Hz, approximately the range where Smith et al. [3] observe the dispersion of the spectral index as well. The spectral range (3) corresponds to $f \approx [2, 10]$ Hz, and it has not been observed before because of the white noise at FGM instruments. Here we observe it thanks to a high sensitivity of the STAFF-SC instrument at these frequencies.

It was recently shown that ion instabilities observed in the solar wind [11] can locally generate magnetic...
fluctuations at scales close to the break of the solar wind turbulent spectrum \[12\]. It will be interesting to understand the role of these instabilities in the variability of the spectra around the break.

**DIMENSIONLESS SPECTRA**

It is interesting to compare turbulent spectra under different plasma conditions not only at the same \( k \) in \( \text{km}^{-1} \), as we did in the previous section, but at the same \( k \) or \( f \) normalized with the characteristic plasma scales, such as \( \rho_{ci}, \lambda_{ci} \) and \( f_{ci} \). If \( r \) is a characteristic plasma scale, we apply the following change of variables:

\[
k \rightarrow kr, \quad P(k) \rightarrow P(kr) = P(k) \frac{1}{r}
\]

Such spectra have the dimension of \( nT^2 \). Normalization over \( B^2 \) yields dimensionless spectra presented in Figure 4. Here panel (a) shows the normalized spectra as a function of \( k\rho_c \), (b) \( k\lambda_c \), (c) \( kp_e \) and (d) \( f/f_{ci} \).

An advantage of this representation is that such turbulent spectra in the solar wind can be directly compared with any magnetic spectrum of different astrophysical or plasma device turbulent systems and without any assumptions on turbulent models.

It is a long standing problem to distinguish between different plasma scales: which of them is responsible for the spectral break at ion scales and which of them plays the role of the dissipation scale in space plasmas. From Figure 4(a) and (b) it is still difficult to say which of the ion scales is responsible for the spectral break: the break is observed closer to \( k\lambda_i = 1 \) than to \( k\rho_i = 1 \), but this is not enough to make a conclusion. It is possible that both scales are crucial for the change of the turbulence nature at the limit of the MHD description [M. Velli, private communication].

Now, let us consider the \( k\rho_e \)–normalization, Figure 4(c). All the spectra nearly collapse at the spectral break at ion scales \( (k\rho_e \approx 10^{-2}) \), and at higher \( k\rho_e \) the spectra are very close to each other. This distinguishes electron gyro-radius from the other spatial plasma scales. A similar but less clear collapse is observed in panel (d), where the spectra \( P(f/f_{ci})/B^2 \) are shown. These observations confirm our results presented in [4].

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper we analyze in details the problem of the noise of the STAFF-SA instrument. We show that it influences the solar wind measurements when the signal to noise ratio is less than 10. The meaningful spectrum is the corrected spectrum where it remains above the noise.
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