Carbon nanotube bumps for the flip chip packaging system
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Abstract
Carbon nanotube [CNT] interconnection bump joining methodology has been successfully demonstrated using flip chip test structures with bump pitches smaller than 150 μm. In this study, plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition approach is used to grow the CNT bumps onto the Au metallization lines. The CNT bumps on the die substrate are then ‘inserted’ into the CNT bumps on the carrier substrate to form the electrical connections (interconnection bumps) between each other. The mechanical strength and the concept of reworkable capabilities of the CNT interconnection bumps are investigated. Preliminary electrical characteristics show a linear relationship between current and voltage, suggesting that ohmic contacts are attained.

Keywords: CNT bumps, interconnects, flip chip, packaging

Introduction
Flip chip technology is one of the off-chip interconnect methodologies used in electronic packaging. According to the International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductor, the forecasted requirement for flip chip bump pitches will be shrinking them beyond 150 μm [1]. However, traditional solder bumps had difficulties downscaling beyond the 100-μm pitch size due to the high diffusive and softening nature of the solder [2]. Carbon nanotubes [CNTs] show excellent electrical, thermal, and mechanical properties and have been viewed as one of the emerging choices for future flip chip interconnect [3]. As compared with metal, CNTs possess a higher current carrying capacity (10^9 A/cm²), and theoretical studies had also shown that CNTs have a negligible skin depth effect and are free from the high-frequency current crowding issue due to their large kinetic inductance and negligible magnetic inductance [3]. These advantages motivate the researchers to evaluate the performance of the CNT bump for interconnect usage in both direct current [DC] and high frequency applications [3,4].

CNT bumps had been demonstrated by several groups as potential off-chip interconnects [4-6]. Soga et al. have shown the bumps’ good mechanical flexibility and low bundle resistance of 2.3 Ω (for a 100-μm diameter bump) [5]. Hermann et al. demonstrated a reliable electrical flip chip interconnect using CNT bumps over 2,000 temperature cycles [4]. CNT bumps for practical applications such as high-power amplifier application had also been demonstrated [6]. In all the mentioned works, the CNT bumps were grown using the chemical vapor deposition [CVD] approach. The mechanism for vertical alignment during the CVD approach is achieved by the van der Waals forces between the walls of CNTs, resulting in tubes that are not exactly ‘aligned’ [7]. The poor ‘alignment’ forms bends, reduces the mean free path [m.f.p.], and increases the resistance of CNTs [8]. Plasma-enhanced CVD [PECVD] is able to resolve this issue by the introduction of electric field to achieve alignment as well as lower growth temperature [9].

In the flip chip scenario, the as-grown CNT bumps are usually pressed onto pre-patterned conductive adhesive [5] or solder materials [10] to form the connections. This approach requires heating up the structure to a minimum of 200°C in order to reflow the materials to obtain good contacts. Yung et al. demonstrated a large-scale assembly process using the CNT interconnection bump showing that CNTs adhere well to each other by van der
Waals force interactions [11]. However, no work using the CNT interconnection bump was reported for CNT bump pitches below 150 μm which is a requirement for the future flip chip technology.

For the first time, we demonstrated the CNT interconnection bump joining methodology for pitches smaller than 150 μm. The fabrication methodology can be divided into two parts: (1) the growth of CNT bumps on both sides of the substrate using the PECVD approach and (2) the alignment and ‘insertion’ of the CNT bumps into each other using a flip chip bonder machine. Moreover, we discuss the technological aspects of developing the test structure and present the initial DC behavior of small-scale CNT interconnection bumps.

**Methods**

**Design and fabrication of test structure and CNT bumps**

The flip chip test structure used in this experiment consisted of a carrier and a die structure as shown in Figure 1. The dimension of the carrier is approximately 6 × 5 mm, while the die is 4 × 4 mm. The choice of barrier layer to grow CNTs on Au metallization and the detailed fabrication details were described elsewhere [12]. Briefly, e-beam evaporation was used to deposit Au (1 μm) onto what would serve as the metallization layer while a second layer of TiN (50 nm) barrier and Ni (15-nm metal catalyst for CNT growth) were deposited onto the predefined patterns above the Au metallization using a lift-off technique.

The wafers were diced to their specified dimensions and cleaned in deionized water before they were transferred to a PECVD chamber where the CNT growth will be performed. The CNT growth was conducted at 750°C with a plasma power of 85 W for 30 min. The growth pressure was at 6 mbars with a gas ratio of 1:5 (C2H2/NH3). CNT bumps with a height of approximately 20 μm were obtained as shown in Figure 2. Each of these CNT bumps comprised multiwall CNTs [MWCNTs] with an average diameter of around 100 nm. Subsequently, a Panasonic flip chip bonder machine (Panasonic Factory Solutions Company, Rolling Meadows, IL, USA) was used to perform the die alignment and attachment. A load setting of 0.5 kg with a bonding time of 30 s was used as the bonding parameter. No bonding temperature was used in our experiment.

In order to compensate for the alignment accuracy of +/-10 μm of the bonder machine, the CNT bumps on the metallization lines were deliberately fabricated to be rectangular. For example, the size of one bump on the carrier is 120 × 100 μm, while that on the die is 100 × 120 μm. This enlarged bump area helped to decrease the occurrence of open circuitry and reduced the connection resistance due to misalignment [13]. In order to improve the mechanical support between CNTs and metal contacts, nonconducting adhesives are usually employed [4]. In contrast, we introduced rows of dummy CNT bumps positioned at the sides of the chip and die to increase the densities of CNT bumps. The dummy bumps provided additional mechanical support to hold the weight and leveled the attached die.

Another area of concern is that the growth temperature of CNTs used in this work is higher than that in the typical CMOS backend process (400°C) [14]. Lowering the growth temperature of the CNTs increased the defects and decreased the degree of crystallinity of the
CNT structure, which affected the electrical characteristics of CNTs [15,16]. Improving the quality of the CNTs at low temperature is still under investigation, and the variation of metal resistance before and after the CNT growth process will be taken into consideration. In our previous work, the change in Au metal line resistance was found to be insignificant as the Au resistance is smaller than the measured CNT bump resistance, as reported in the latter part of this report [12].

Results and discussion

To demonstrate the feasibility of using PECVD approaches to achieve fine pitch CNT bumps, three different sets of test structures comprising (structure 1) 170 × 150 μm, (structure 2) 120 × 100 μm, and (structure 3) 70 × 50 μm CNT bump sizes were designed (Figure 3). The scanning electron microscopy [SEM] images in Figure 3 show the CNT bumps grown using the PECVD approach which allowed pitches to downsize to 80 μm in our experiment. A homogenous CNT bump height can also be observed throughout the carrier and die (dummy and CNT bumps on electrodes). Larger catalyst pattern geometry would result in longer CNT length due to the differences in partial pressure of carbon feedstock gas [17]. The effect of catalyst pattern geometry was not significant in this experiment, and the height of all CNT bumps was assumed to be 20 μm regardless of the bumps' dimensions.

For the first time, CNT interconnection bump joining methodology for fine pitch bump had been achieved, as depicted in Figure 4. In Figure 4a, the flip chip test structure was observed at an angle of 75° under the SEM. A gap of approximately 20 μm, which is equivalent to the CNT height, can be observed in Figure 4b. The bonding load of 0.5 kg was sufficient to cause the CNTs from the bottom carrier to 'insert' and touch the top die, as observed in Figure 4c. The load of 0.5 kg, which is equivalent to 4.5 N or 3.125 kg/cm², is much lower than those applied in previous reported flip chip experiments [4-6]. In this joining methodology, the CNT bumps are ‘inserted’ through the air gaps of opposite CNT bumps thus requiring smaller force. Due to equipment limitations, microphotographs of the CNT bumps during the bonding and release processes could not be captured to demonstrate the mechanical flexibility of CNT bumps as observed by Soga et al. [5]. However, based on the SEM images in Figure 4b, the vertical alignment of the CNT bumps can still be observed, which is likely due to the mechanical flexibility of the CNT bumps.

In this experiment, structures 1 and 2 were tilted to 75° in the SEM to observe the gap between test structures. This is remarkable as no bonding temperature or adhesive was used during this bonding process to mechanically bond the die to the carrier. However, for structure 3, the die tended to slide off from the carrier during movement of the SEM’s stage. The dummy bumps in structures
1 and 2 were effective in holding the weight of the die (0.033 g), but not in structure 3. Assuming a perfect alignment, the area occupied by a total of 74 CNT bumps on structure 2 is 0.74 mm² out of 16 mm² (die area). On the other hand, the area occupied by CNT bumps in structure 3 is 0.185 mm² out of 16 mm². This is logical because the dummy bumps in structure 3 were smaller as compared with those in structures 1 and 2. Based on structure 3, future designs using the die area will require more than 1.2% to be converted for the implementation of the CNT bump area for sufficient mechanical support. This is to ensure that the bonding forces present within the CNT interconnection bumps is greater than the die’s weight to ensure mechanical stability. A study based on total energy and molecular dynamic calculation on molecular CNT to CNT joining methodology shows that the type of bonding present between CNT’s is very strong, and a force larger than 3 nN will be required to disengage the joining structure [18]. Yung et al. suggested the van der Waals forces between CNTs to be the bonding mechanism when CNTs were inserted into each other [11]. In this work, only the dimensions of bumps (area) were varied; the influence of densities and diameter of CNTs within a bump may also be an important consideration to CNT bonding mechanisms.

The attached die was subsequently removed from the carrier using tweezers to observe the effect of the CNT bumps after bonding. The carrier and die were then loaded into the SEM chamber with the same orientation to observe the conditions of CNT bumps that were in contact with each other, as shown in Figure 5. A portion of the CNT bump appeared to be smeared as seen from Figure 5a, but a high percentage of CNT bumps retained their original structure. This is similar to the observations of Yung et al. for large-scale CNT to CNT interconnect structure which demonstrated that the bonding process is reworkable [11].

To verify the CNT interconnection bundle resistances as well as the concept of reworkable capabilities of the joining methodology, the die of test structure 1 was removed and was bonded to the same carrier again to measure the I-V characteristics. Using a two-point probe, the DC measurement was performed across the input and output nodes (two CNT interconnection bumps) as described in Figure 1. I-V measurements in Figure 6 displayed a similar DC behavior which demonstrated the concept of a reworkable process. The slight deviation of the second attempt could be caused by the differences in die placement due to the limited alignment accuracy of the bonding machine. The current increased linearly with the voltage applied, indicating that ohmic contact has been achieved between CNTs and metallization [19]. The line of the 'first attempt' was fitted linearly to obtain a slope of $4.20 \times 10^{-4} \text{A/V}$. This
indicates that a CNT interconnection bundle resistance of 1,190 Ω was achieved.

The equivalent circuit of the CNT interconnection bundle resistance is represented in Figure 6b, and three major resistance components account for the observed I-V characteristic: metal-to-CNT resistance \( R_{\text{CNT/metal}} \), CNT intrinsic resistance \( R_{\text{CNT}} \), and CNT-to-CNT interface resistance \( R_{\text{CNT/CNT}} \). Since the CNTs were grown directly on metallization, the type of bonding formed between CNTs and the metal is strong, and \( R_{\text{CNT/metal}} \) is insignificant and can be neglected [20]. The m.f.p. of MWCNTs is in the order of approximately

Figure 4 SEM images of the CNT interconnection bump demonstrated using a flip chip concept. The dimensions of CNT bumps were 100 × 100 μm. (a) Die attached to the carrier at a tilted angle of 75°. (b) Magnified view of two CNT interconnection bumps. (c) CNT from the bottom carrier was observed to be touching the die substrate which is indicative of the connections made.
25 μm, and $R_{\text{CNT}}$ scales with the length above the m.f.p. [3]. The length of our MWCNTs is less than the m.f.p. and does not account for the differences in the CNT bump resistances as seen across various devices (not shown). As such, the only possible reason for the measured resistance is likely to be cause by $R_{\text{CNT/CNT}}$

$R_{\text{CNT/CNT}}$ is affected by the chirality of CNTs, the interface area, the gap between CNTs, and the interface imperfections [21,22]. It is now common knowledge that the properties of the CNTs within the CNT bump cannot be identical and that each CNT varies in terms of diameters and chirality. However, the work functions for CNTs are
identical as they share the same graphene band structure [21]. For MWCNTs, majority of the current only passes through the outermost layer of MWCNTs, and a metallic behavior is expected [23]. Even if the MWCNT is not metallic, the bandgap of semiconducting CNTs can be estimated as $E_g = 0.9 \text{ eV/diameter}$, approximating a band-gap of 9 meV for a CNT diameter of 100 nm [16]. In this case, the Fermi level of the CNT in contact with another CNT will align within the bandgap of the semiconducting CNT. The resulting band structures have a negligible Schottky barrier height due to the small bandgap. Thus, the metal-to-CNT and CNT-to-CNT interfaces are similar to the metal-to-metal junctions. The major transport mechanism for electron transport is through tunneling across atomic thick air gap, resulting in an ohmic and linear $I$-$V$ behavior observed in Figure 6a [22].

From the literature, a typical resistance between individual metallic CNT to metallic CNT separated by van der Waals distance of 0.34 nm is 200 k$\Omega$ [21]. In our work, the gap may be much larger than 0.34 nm, resulting in a huge tunneling resistance through the air gap and absorbents [24]. Nevertheless, the results obtained from other experiments involving CNT-to-CNT contacts displayed positive results and outlook for use as alternatives to solve the high contact resistance between the CNT tip and metal [11,20]. More work will be required to study the formation of reliable and high-performance small-scale CNT interconnection bumps for use as vertical interconnects in the future.

**Conclusion**

The feasibility to use the PECVD approach to achieve CNT bump pitches smaller than 150 $\mu$m has been studied. The introduction of the TiN barrier layer between the Ni catalyst and Au metallization allows an efficient growth of CNT bumps directly on Au while maintaining
good electronic connections between the CNT and Au electrodes. The successful growth of CNTs on Au metallization opens up opportunities to evaluate the performance of vertically aligned CNT bundles in very high frequency domain. By increasing the densities of CNT bumps, the first demonstration of CNT-to-CNT joining methodology with bump pitches smaller than 150 μm had been successful. The preferred densities of CNT bumps (area) to the die area must be higher than 1.2% to achieve sufficient mechanical support. Preliminary DC characterization suggests an ohmic contact behavior with a CNT interconnection bundle resistance of 1 kΩ. Most importantly, the CNT bumps were not damaged after repeated bonding, and similar V-I measurement had been achieved.
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