Tinnitus patients and the internet: impact on physician–patient relationship
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Introduction
The internet has become a trustable and increasingly available source for medical information. Internet use by patients as a source of information on health and disease is expanding rapidly, with obvious effects on the doctor–patient relationship. Many of these effects remain undocumented or are poorly understood [1]. When patients explore the internet, their attitude toward their selected physician and his medical decisions becomes distorted and biased by the medical information they get from the web.

In Egypt, the internet use as a source of information is fast growing all over the country. Egypt’s internet penetration rate grew from less than 1% in 2000 to 5% in 2004 and to 24% in 2009 [2]. More than 200 internet and data service providers operate in Egypt, making its asymmetric digital subscriber line (ADSL) services among the cheapest in Africa. The number of internet users in 2011 was 29 236 766 (35.62% of the total population of Egypt) (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_countries_by_number_of_Internet_users). Internet use in Egypt kept growing to reach 32 million users in January 2013, for a penetration rate of 39.2% (Fig. 1; http://www.mcit.gov.eg/Upcont/Documents/Publications_1162013000_EN_ICT_Indicators_in_Brief_May.pdf).

Tinnitus is defined as the perception of sound in the absence of a corresponding external acoustic stimulus. It is a common problem that markedly impairs the quality of life of about 1% of the general population. There are many ways to treat chronic tinnitus, and new treatments are now being developed. As tinnitus has many causes and can be associated with many different comorbid disturbances, multidisciplinary diagnostic evaluation and treatment are important [3]. For many tinnitus patients, long-term therapeutic success depends on the maintenance of a therapeutic relationship

Figure 1

Egyptian internet users’ growth rate as indicated by the Egyptian Ministry of Communications and Information Technology.
with the treating physician, so that the physician and the
patient can work together to give careful consideration to
each newly proposed diagnostic test or treatment step [4].

Unfortunately, whenever a physician starts a counseling
session with her/his patients, he faces a mind loaded with
different ideas obtained from the web. Sometimes this
information is helpful for both the physician and the patient
to achieve better communication. At other situations, it is
not. Therefore, this study was designed to address the effect
of medical data available on the web on the relationship
between tinnitus patients and their physician in charge.

Patients and methods
A total of 106 patients who had tinnitus, for any cause
and regardless of tinnitus duration, participated in the
current study.

Inclusion criteria
(1) A level of education enough for them to be able to
use the internet.
(2) No detected abnormalities after full ENT
evaluation.

All of them were referred from the ENT outpatient
clinic to the audiology clinic at Sohag University
Hospitals. At the audiology clinic, a diagnostic and
management scheme was followed that was quoted from
Tinnitus Research Initiative in 2011 (Appendix I) [5].
All patients filled in the Tinnitus Handicap Inventory
(THI) in Arabic that was quoted from Dabbous
et al. [6]. A treatment protocol was tailored for every
patient according to the possible etiology and severity
of tinnitus. The treatment protocol was explained for
each patient and her/his queries were answered.

At the end of the counseling session, only half of the
patients, that is 53 in number, were randomly selected
(using randomized closed envelopes in each patient’s
folder) and were advised to try Google search engine,
using these keywords in Arabic language (tinnitus
treatment fx4 fx5 fx6). All patients were given an
appointment after 2 weeks to start the treatment
protocol. Each patient who came back after 2 weeks

Appendix I:
answered a questionnaire that was designed to outline the patients’ choice among available treatment options. The questionnaire was written in Arabic. Patients who used the internet answered the two parts of the questionnaire. In contrast, those who did not use the internet answered only the first part of the questionnaire. It was translated into English language at Sohag University Center for English Language (Appendix II).

Statistical analysis was mostly descriptive.

Results
A total of 106 tinnitus patients participated in the current study. Half of them (53 patients) were intentionally instructed to use the internet (group I), whereas the other half was not (group II). There was no significant difference between the study groups with respect to age, sex, level of education, score of the THI, or own internet access ($P > 0.5$; Table 1). Forty-three patients of group I followed the instructions and searched the web about tinnitus, whereas 10 patients did not. In group II, 10 patients used the internet without receiving any instructions, whereas 43 did not (Fig. 2). Those 10 patients used keywords that were comparable with the default keywords given to the patients in group I, and thus got similar websites on Google. The patients in both groups who used the internet (53 patients = 43 instructed + 10 not instructed) were allowed to complete the questionnaire that was designed for the current study.

I preferred this method of demonstration to allow the reader to pick up the question examined and the answers of the study group opposite to it (notice that the group that did not use the internet also did not complete part II of the questionnaire). Some questions have only ‘Yes’ and ‘No’ answers, whereas others have four answers. This fact made a presentation of a regular table less likely.

Discussion
Over the past three decades, internet has changed from an information technology, only used by universities and governments, to a gigantic information communication network, which links millions of people worldwide. Although the population of internet users has grown exponentially, the number one reason why people use the internet has not changed, namely to seek information. According to Levy and Strombeck [7], the five most popular subjects online, ranked in order of popularity, are news, travel, weather, health, and medicine. Actually, many tinnitus patients attracted our attention toward the internet as a source of their medical information about tinnitus and its treatment.

| Demographics | Group I | Group II |
|--------------|---------|----------|
| Gender       |         |          |
| Females      | 20      | 22       |
| Males        | 33      | 31       |
| Age          | 38.98 ± 11.84 | 36.91 ± 12.48 |
| Educational level |       |          |
| Basic level  | 2       | 5        |
| Secondary level | 12    | 10       |
| University level | 37    | 35       |
| Postgraduate level | 2     | 3        |
| THI score    | 52.19 ± 10.27 | 50.49 ± 9.98 |
| Own internet access |       |          |
| Available    | 27      | 21       |
| Not available| 26      | 32       |

THI, Tinnitus Handicap Inventory.

Use of the internet in both groups before the first planned appointment.
Appendix II:

Questionnaire:
Name: __________________________ Age: __________
Date: ____/____/____

Part I

1) Do you have your own access to the Internet?
   - Yes.
   - No.

2) Have you ever used the internet as a source for information about tinnitus and its treatment?
   - Yes, I did in the last 2 weeks as I was instructed.
   - Yes, I did it myself without medical instructions.
   - No, I never did.

If YES,

Part II:

3) Did you trust the internet as a sole source of medical information about tinnitus and its treatment?
   - Yes.
   - No.

4) Was the information you got from the internet readily accessible and easy to understand?
   - Yes.
   - No.

5) Did you trust your physician as a sole source of medical information about tinnitus and its treatment?
   - Yes.
   - No.

6) Was the information you got from your physician readily accessible and easy to understand?
   - Yes.
   - No.

7) Did you look for information on the treatment of tinnitus prescribed to you on the Internet?
   - Yes.
   - No.

8) Which type of information did you like to follow as regards tinnitus and its treatment?
   - Information obtained from your physician.
   - Information obtained from the internet.
   - Information obtained from both.
   - None is trustable.

9) Did the information you collected on the Internet impact the decisions you made upon the treatment given to you by your physician as regards tinnitus and its treatment?
   - Yes.
   - No.

10) Will you use the Internet in the future as a source for medical information about tinnitus and its treatment?
    - Yes.
    - No.
In the current study, patients were divided into two groups: group I that received the default instructions for internet use and group II that did not receive any instructions. Demographics of patients in both groups were comparable. There was no significant difference with respect to age and THI scores. All patients were allowed to answer the first two items in the questionnaire. Only those who used the internet in either group were included in the next step of the study.

Ten patients (18.9%) in group I did not use the internet. Moreover, 10 patients (18.9%) in group II used the internet searching for a treatment for tinnitus. In the former case, patients reported that they realized that the medical service that they received was satisfactory and enough. They did not believe that the internet could take the role of the physician. The latter group was further investigated (Table 2). Most of them did not trust the internet as a sole source of medical information; most of them looked up the treatment that was prescribed to them; most of them trusted their physicians; and finally 90% of them will use the internet again. Although they preferred interacting with their physician, they liked to use the internet to get more information about the items that the physician's time was not enough to explain. The demographics of both groups were compared and similarity dominated except for age (Table 3). The latter group was much younger.

Noteworthy, own access to the internet was available to about 45% of the patients. However, this did not mean that internet was not available everywhere in the vicinity (internet cafes, relatives, and friends). Own access reflected ease rather than possession. As all patients had an acceptable level of education, internet use was a matter of either self-intent or a requirement before the launch of the treatment protocol. In their sample of 93 patients, Budzr and Witt [8] in 2002 found that 39% had internet access and half of those patients had used the internet for health-related searches.

The majority did not trust the internet as a sole source of medical information (Fig. 3; Table 2 – items 3 and 4). This could be attributed to their inability to understand this medical information, unknown sources of this information, or lack of interaction between the patient and the website. In contrast, most patients trusted their physician as a sole source of information and reported better understanding of the supplied data (Table 2 – items 5 and 6). Interaction between a qualified physician and patient was an eminent advantage. Some patients reported that some websites talked about the use of garlic oil or onion juice as ear drops to treat tinnitus. Others described some religious acts as a remedy. All of these facts decreased the internet credibility as a source of medical information.

Table 2 Questionnaire part II (items: 3 to 10)

| (3) Did you trust the internet as a sole source of medical information about tinnitus and its treatment? |
|------------------------------------------------|
| ( ) Yes | 11 (20.8%) |
| ( ) No  | 42 (79.2%) |

| (4) Was the information you got from the internet readily accessible and easy to understand? |
|------------------------------------------------|
| ( ) Yes | 7 (13.2%) |
| ( ) No  | 46 (86.8%) |

| (5) Did you trust your physician as a sole source of medical information about tinnitus and its treatment? |
|------------------------------------------------|
| ( ) Yes | 48 (90.6%) |
| ( ) No  | 5 (9.4%) |

| (6) Was the information you got from your physician readily accessible and easy to understand? |
|------------------------------------------------|
| ( ) Yes | 50 (94.3%) |
| ( ) No  | 3 (5.7%) |

| (7) Did you look for information on the treatment of tinnitus prescribed to you on the internet? |
|------------------------------------------------|
| ( ) Yes | 35 (60%) |
| ( ) No  | 18 (40%) |

| (8) Which type of information did you like to follow with respect to tinnitus and its treatment? |
|------------------------------------------------|
| ( ) Information obtained from your physician | 32 (60.4%) |
| ( ) Information obtained from the internet | 7 (13.2%) |
| ( ) Information obtained from both | 13 (24.5%) |
| ( ) None is trustable | 1 (1.9%) |

| (9) Did the information you collected on the internet impact the decisions you made upon the treatment given to you by your physician with respect to tinnitus and its treatment? |
|------------------------------------------------|
| ( ) Yes | 28 (52.8%) |
| ( ) No  | 25 (47.2%) |

| (10) Will you use the internet in the future as a source for medical information about tinnitus and its treatment? |
|------------------------------------------------|
| ( ) Yes | 45 (84.9%) |
| ( ) No  | 8 (15.1%) |

Whole group that used the internet (53 patients) are represented in bold. The 10 patients who were included from group II are underlined.

Table 3 Demographics of the patients who were excluded from group I and those who were included from group II

| Demographics | Excluded from group I | Included from group II |
|--------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Gender       |                       |                        |
| Females      | 4                     | 3                      |
| Males        | 6                     | 7                      |
| Age          | 44.6 ± 12.32          | 34.3 ± 12.46           |
| Educational level |                 |                        |
| Basic level  | 0                     | 0                      |
| Secondary level | 1                   | 2                      |
| University level | 9                   | 6                      |
| Postgraduate level | 1                | 2                      |
| THI score    | 52.8 ± 8.65           | 52.4 ± 9.01            |
| Own internet access |             |                        |
| Available   | 6                     | 7                      |
| Not available | 4                    | 3                      |

THI, tinnitus handicap inventory.
Astonishingly, most patients probed the prescribed treatment protocol on the internet (Fig. 3; Table 2 – item 7). Most of the drugs used to treat tinnitus treat other diseases as well, such as epilepsy and depression. This did not affect the credibility of the physician in charge (Table 3 – item 8). The impact of the internet on the patients’ decisions could be assumed to be both positive and negative (Fig. 3; Table 2 – item 9). This was because they did not affect the physician–patient mutual interaction and patient’s compliance to the planned treatment protocol. Most patients recorded their will to use the internet in the future (Fig. 3; Table 2 – item 10).

These results agree with the results of Craan and Oleske [9] who assumed that health-information seekers primarily use the internet for the following reasons:

1. to find out general or specific information about particular diseases or treatments,
2. to obtain information to help them select specialists,
3. to find new therapies or alternative therapies,
4. to understand the causes and prognosis of a given disease,
5. to look for adverse effects of a given drug,
6. to be aware of complications of a disease or treatment,
7. and to locate addresses of support groups.

**Conclusion**

Use of the internet among Egyptian tinnitus patients is a complimentary rather than a basic source of medical information. Its impact on the physician–patient relationship could be both positive and negative. However, it did not hamper the physicians’ credibility and/or reliability.
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