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Abstract

After two papers on weak cubical categories and collared cospans, respectively, we put things together and construct a weak cubical category of cubical collared cospans of topological spaces. We also build a second structure, called a quasi cubical category, formed of arbitrary cubical cospans concatenated by homotopy pushouts. This structure, simpler but weaker, has lax identities. It contains a similar framework for cobordisms of manifolds with corners and could therefore be the basis to extend the study of TQFT’s of Part II to higher cubical degree.

Introduction

This is a sequel to two papers, cited as Part I [6] and Part II [7]. A reference I.2, or I.2.3, relates to Section 2 or Subsection 2.3 of Part I. Similarly for Part II.

In Part I we constructed a cubical structure of higher cospans $\mathcal{Cosp}_*(X)$ on a category $X$ with pushouts, and abstracted from the construction the general notion of a weak cubical category.

An $n$-cubical cospan in $X$ is defined as a functor $u: \wedge^n \to X$, where $\wedge$ is the category

$$\wedge : \quad -1 \to 0 \leftarrow 1 \quad \text{(the formal cospan).}$$

These diagrams form a cubical set, equipped with compositions $u +_i v$ of $i$-consecutive $n$-cubes, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Such cubical compositions are computed by pushouts, and behave ‘categorically’ in a weak sense, up to suitable comparisons.

To make room for the latter, the $n$-th component of $\mathcal{Cosp}_*(X)$

$$\mathcal{Cosp}_n(X) = \mathbf{Cat}(\wedge^n, X),$$

is not just the set of functors $u: \wedge^n \to X$ (the $n$-cubes of the structure), but the category of such functors and their natural transformations $f: u \to u': \wedge^n \to X$ (the $n$-maps of the structure). The comparisons are invertible $n$-maps; but general $n$-maps are also important, e.g. to define limits and colimits (I.4.6, II.1.3). Thus, a weak cubical category has countably many weak (or cubical) directions $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \ldots$ all of the same sort, and one strict (or transversal) direction, which is generally of a different sort.
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Truncating cubes and transversal maps at cubical degree 1, we get the weak double category $\operatorname{Cosp}(X) = 1\operatorname{Cosp}_1(X)$, consisting of cospans and their natural transformations, with one strict composition (the transversal one) and one weak composition (by pushouts). Truncating at cubical degree 2, we get a structure related to Morton’s construction for 2-cubical cospans (cf. [21] and [17]).

Now, the weak cubical category $\operatorname{Cosp}_*(\mathbf{Top})$ of cubical cospans of topological spaces is not well suited for Algebraic Topology. Indeed, the composition by ordinary pushouts is not homotopically stable, and is not preserved by (co)homotopy or (co)homology functors, even in a weak sense.

This is why, in Part II, working in cubical degree 1, we considered collarable cospans, forming a weak double category $\operatorname{Chlc}(\mathbf{Top}) \subset \operatorname{Cosp}(\mathbf{Top})$. Indeed, a push-out of collarable maps is a homotopy pushout (Thm. II.2.5). Therefore, cohomotopy functors induce ‘functors’ from collarable cospans to spans of sets, providing - by linearisation - topological quantum field theories (TQFT) on manifolds and their cobordisms (II.3). Similarly, (co)homology and homotopy functors take collarable cospans to relations of abelian groups or (co)spans of groups, yielding other algebraic invariants (II.4).

Notice that, as motivated in II.1.6, the definition of a collarable cospan is more general than one might expect. Indeed, we want to include the degenerate cospan $e_1(X) = (\text{id}_X, \text{id}_X)$, instead of replacing it with the cylindrical degeneracy $E_1(X)$, as usually done for cobordisms:

$$E_1(X) = (d^- : X \to X \times [0, 1] \leftarrow X : d^+) \quad d^-(x) = (x, 0), \quad d^+(x) = (x, 1). \quad (3)$$

The main problem is that such degeneracies do not satisfy an axiom of cubical sets: we only get $E_1E_1(X) \cong E_2E_1(X)$ (see [32]).

Therefore, according to our definition in Part II, a collarable cospan decomposes into a sum of a trivially collarable part (a pair of homeomorphisms) and a 1-collarable part; only the second does admit collars (which are embeddings of cylinders, with disjoint images). However, $e_1(X)$ and $E_1(X)$ are weakly equivalent, as defined in II.2.8 (and here, in [53]): homotopy invariance of functors on topological cospans is defined with respect to this notion.

In the present paper, we combine the previous Parts. The first main construction, in Sections 2-4, is a weak cubical category $\operatorname{Cc}_*(\mathbf{Top})$ of collared cospans. It extends to unbounded cubical degree a weak double category $\operatorname{Cc}(\mathbf{Top})$, which is a variant of $\operatorname{Chlc}(\mathbf{Top})$ where collars are assigned.

The second main framework, in Section 5, is simpler, but satisfies weaker axioms on degeneracies and requires more comparisons. We work now with arbitrary cospans (not supposed to have collars) and replace:

- the ordinary degeneracies $e_i$ with the cylindrical ones, $E_i$,
- the ordinary concatenations with the cylindrical ones, constructed by means of homotopy pushouts.

Notice that the latter is, in itself, a homotopy-invariant operation, which explains why here collars are not needed. As a price for this simplicity, we obtain a symmetric quasi cubical category $\operatorname{COSP}_*(\mathbf{Top})$, where degeneracies only satisfy the cubical relation mentioned above up to isomorphism, and behave as lax identities: the (left and right) unit comparisons are not invertible. An extensive study of motivations
and goals of the homotopical weakening of identities can be found in J. Kock [18]; see also Joyal-Kock [15] (and other papers in preparation, by the same authors).

This new structure is made precise at the end, in Section 7, while in Section 6 we construct the quasi cubical category \( \mathcal{C}ob_\ast(k) \subset \mathcal{C}osp_\ast(\text{Top}) \) of \( k \)-manifolds and their cubical cobordisms, based on the notion of manifolds with corners [4, 19, 13]. Extending the cohomotopy functors to these structures (after II.3) should yield higher TQFTs, but this is not dealt with here. The 2-cubical truncation of our construction is related with the construction of Morton and Baez [21, 1] (which use cylindrical identities and pushout-concatenation).

As discussed in 6.1, we do not endeavour to construct a weak cubical category \( \mathcal{C}ob_\ast(k) \subset \mathcal{C}ob_\ast(\text{Top}) \) based on the first main construction. It should be possible, but so heavy that the goal of getting a better behaviour of degeneracies might not justify its complication; moreover, considering the importance of ‘units-up-to-homotopy’ in modelling homotopy types [18, 15], one may question the interest of this goal. (Notice also that in Part II we defined a weak double subcategory \( \mathcal{C}ob(k) \subset \mathcal{C}ob(\text{Top}) \) of \( k \)-dimensional manifolds and their cobordisms, based on the fact that ‘cobordisms are always collarable’.)

Size problems can be dealt with as in Part I, using a hierarchy of two universes, \( \mathcal{U}_0 \in \mathcal{U} \). Small category means \( \mathcal{U}_0 \)-small. The constructions \( \mathcal{C}osp_\ast(\cdot), \mathcal{C}ob_\ast(\cdot) \), etc. apply to the small category \( \mathcal{U}_0 \)-small spaces. \( \text{Cat} \) is the 2-category of \( \mathcal{U}_0 \)-small categories, to which \( \text{Top} \) belongs. Finally, the index \( \alpha \) takes values \( \pm 1 \), written \( \pm \) in superscripts, and \( IX \) denotes the standard cylinder \( X \times [0,1] \) on a space \( X \).

1. The symmetric weak cubical category of cospans

We begin by recalling cubical cospans, from Part I; we also introduce symmetric quasi cubical sets, which will be used later to define quasi cubical categories.

1.1. Symmetric cubical and quasi cubical sets

A cubical set \( ((A_n), (\partial^n_i)), (e_i)) \) has faces \( (\partial^n_i) \) and degeneracies \( (e_i) \)

\[
\partial^n_i : A_n \rightarrow A_{n-1} : e_i \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n; \alpha = \pm),
\]

satisfying the cubical relations:

\[
\partial^n_i \partial^n_j = \partial^n_j \partial^n_{i+1} \quad (j \leq i),
\]

\[
e_j e_i = e_{i+1} e_j \quad (j \leq i),
\]

\[
\partial^n_i e_j = e_j \partial^n_{i-1} \quad (j < i), \quad \text{or id} \quad (j = i), \quad \text{or} \quad e_{j-1} \partial^n_i \quad (j > i).
\]

As in I.2.2, a symmetric cubical set is a cubical set which is further equipped with transpositions

\[
s_i : A_n \rightarrow A_n \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n-1),
\]

satisfying the Moore relations (see Coxeter-Moser [3], 6.2; or Johnson [14], Section 5, Thm. 3)

\[
s_i s_i = 1, \quad s_i s_j s_i = s_j s_i s_j \quad (i = j - 1), \quad s_i s_j = s_j s_i \quad (i < j - 1),
\]

\[
(9)
\]
and the following equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_j^\alpha.s_i &= s_{i-1}.\partial_j^\alpha \quad & \partial_{i+1}^\alpha \quad & \partial_i^\alpha \quad & s_i.\partial_j^\alpha \\
 s_i.e_j &= e_{j-1}.s_{i-1} \quad & e_{i+1} \quad & e_i \quad & e_j.s_i.
\end{align*}
\] (10)

We will speak of a symmetric quasi cubical set when the axiom (6), on pure degeneracies, is omitted, which will be important for ‘cylindrical degeneracies’ (cf. 5.2).

Actually, the presence of transpositions makes all faces and degeneracies determined by the ones belonging to a fixed direction, e.g. the 1-directed ones, \(\partial_1\) and \(e_1\). In fact, from \(\partial_{i+1}^\alpha = \partial_i^\alpha.s_i\) and \(e_{i+1} = s_i.e_i\), we deduce that:

\[
\partial_i^\alpha = \partial_i^\alpha.s_i' \quad e_i = s_i.e_i \quad (i = 2, ..., n; \alpha = \pm),
\] (11)

where we are using the inverse ‘permutations’:

\[
s_i = s_{i-1}.....s_1 \quad s_i' = s_1.....s_{i-1}.
\] (12)

1.2. A reduced presentation

These relations lead to a more economical presentation of our structures.

**Proposition 1.** A symmetric quasi cubical set can be equivalently defined as a system

\[
A = ((A_n), (\partial_i^\alpha), (e_1), (s_i)), \quad \partial_i^\alpha : A_n \rightarrow A_{n-1} : e_1, \quad s_i : A_{n+1} \rightarrow A_n \quad (n \geq 1),
\] (13)

under the Moore relations (9) and the axioms:

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_i^\alpha.\partial_j^\beta &= \partial_i^\alpha.\partial_j^\beta.s_1, & \partial_i^\alpha.e_1 &= \text{id}, \\
s_i.\partial_i^\alpha &= \partial_i^\alpha.s_{i+1}, & e_1.s_i &= s_{i+1}.e_1.
\end{align*}
\] (14)

For a symmetric cubical set one adds the axiom:

\[
e_1.e_1 = s_1.e_1 \quad (\text{symmetry of second-order degeneracies}).
\] (15)

**Proof.** Defining the other faces and degeneracies by (11), one can prove the global cubical relations.

For instance, letting \(j \leq i\), we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_i^\alpha.\partial_j^\beta &= \partial_i^\alpha.(s_1.....s_{i-1}).\partial_j^\beta.(s_1.....s_{j-1}) = \partial_i^\alpha.\partial_j^\beta.(s_2.....s_i).s_j' = \partial_i^\alpha.\partial_j^\beta.(s_i's_{i+1}s_j'), \\
\partial_j^\beta.\partial_{i+1}^\alpha &= \partial_j^\beta.(s_1.....s_{j-1}).\partial_{i+1}^\alpha.s_{i+1} = \partial_j^\beta.\partial_{i+1}^\alpha.(s_2.....s_j).s_{i+1}' = \partial_j^\beta.\partial_{i+1}^\alpha.(s_j's_{j+1}'s_{i+1}).
\end{align*}
\]

Now, it suffices to verify that the two operators at the end of these equalities coincide. In fact, in the symmetric group \(S_n\) of permutations of the set \(\{1, ..., n\}\), \(s_i'\) is identified with the permutation:

\[
\sigma_i = (i, 1, ..., i, ..., n).
\]
Thus, always for $j \leq i$, the operators $s_{i+1}s_j$ and $s_{j+1}s_{i+1}$ correspond to the permutations:

\[
s_{i+1}s_j = (i + 1, j, 1, \ldots, \hat{j}, \ldots, (i + 1), \ldots, n),
\]

\[
s_{j+1}s_{i+1} = (j, i + 1, 1, \ldots, \hat{j}, \ldots, (i + 1), \ldots, n),
\]

and the transposition $s_i$ turns one permutation into the other.

1.3. A setting for cospans

The model of our construction of cubical cospans, in Part I, is the formal cospan category $\wedge$ and its Cartesian powers $\wedge^n (n \geq 0)$

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
(-1, -1) & \rightarrow & (0, -1) & \rightarrow & (1, -1) & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
-1 & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & 1 & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
\wedge & \rightarrow & (0, 0) & \rightarrow & (1, 0) & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
(1, 1) & \rightarrow & (0, 1) & \rightarrow & (1, 1) & \\
\end{array}
\]

(Identities and composed arrows are always understood in such diagrams of finite categories.) Thus, an $n$-cospans in the category $X$ is a functor $u: \wedge^n \rightarrow X$. But, in order to be able to compose them, in direction $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we need (a full choice of) pushouts in $X$.

More generally, according to the terminology of Part I, a pt-category, or category with distinguished pushouts, is a ($\mathcal{U}$-small) category where some spans $(f, g)$ have one distinguished pushout $(f', g')$ (in a symmetric way, of course)

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
g & \rightarrow & f \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
g' & \rightarrow & f'
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
x & \rightarrow & x' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
f & \rightarrow & 1
\end{array}
\]

and we assume the following unitarity constraints:

(i) each square of identities is a distinguished pushout,

(ii) if the pair $(f, 1)$ has a distinguished pushout, this is $(1, f)$ (as in the right diagram above).

A pt-functor $F: X \rightarrow Y$ is a functor between pt-categories which strictly preserves the distinguished pushouts. We speak of a full (resp. trivial) choice, or of a category $X$ with full (resp. trivial) distinguished pushouts, when all the spans in $X$ (resp. only the spans of identities) have a distinguished pushout.

The category $\text{ptCat}$ of pt-categories and pt-functors is $\mathcal{U}$-complete and $\mathcal{U}$-cocomplete. For instance, the product of a family $(X_j)_{j \in J}$ of pt-categories indexed on a $\mathcal{U}$-small set is the Cartesian product $X$ (in $\text{Cat}$), equipped with those (pushout) squares in $X$ whose projection in each factor $X_j$ is a distinguished pushout. In
particular, the terminal object of $\text{ptCat}$ is the terminal category $\mathbf{1}$ with the unique possible choice: its only square is a distinguished pushout.

$\mathbf{Cat}$ embeds in $\text{ptCat}$, equipping a small category with the trivial choice of pullbacks (a procedure which is left adjoint to the forgetful functor $\text{ptCat} \to \mathbf{Cat}$). Limits and colimits are preserved by this embedding. Our construction requires this sort of double setting $\mathbf{Cat} \subset \text{ptCat}$, with ‘models’ $\Lambda^n$ having a trivial choice and cubical cospans $\Lambda^n \to X$ living in categories with a full choice (which is necessary to compose them).

Notice that the category $\Lambda^n$ has all pushouts; however, should we use the full choice suggested by diagram (16), a pt-functor $\Lambda^2 \to X$ would only reach very particular 2-cubical cospans. Notice also that, in the absence of the unitarity constraint (i) on the choice of pushouts, the terminal object of $\text{ptCat}$ would still be the same, but a functor $\mathbf{1} \to X$ could only reach an object whose square of identities is distinguished. On the other hand, condition (ii) just simplifies things, making our units work strictly.

1.4. The structure of the formal cospan

The category $\Lambda$ has a basic structure of formal symmetric interval, with respect to the Cartesian product in $\mathbf{Cat}$ (and $\text{ptCat}$). This structure consists of two faces $(\partial^-, \partial^+)$, a degeneracy ($e$) and a transposition symmetry ($s$)

$$\begin{align*}
\partial^n : \mathbf{1} &\rightrightarrows \Lambda, & e : \Lambda &\to \mathbf{1}, & s : \Lambda^2 &\to \Lambda^2 \quad (\alpha = \pm 1), \\
\partial^n(*) &= \alpha, & s(t_1, t_2) &= (t_2, t_1). 
\end{align*}$$

(A functor with values in the ordered set $\Lambda^n$ is determined by its value on objects). Composition is - formally - more complicated. The model of binary composition is the pt-category $\Lambda_2$ displayed below, with one non-trivial distinguished pushout

Now, the commutative square at the right hand above is not a pushout; in fact, in $\mathbf{Cat}$ or $\text{ptCat}$, the corresponding pushout is the subcategory $\Lambda_{(2)}$ lying at the bottom of $\Lambda_2$ in the diagram above:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & \rightarrow & 1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
a & \leftarrow & b & \leftarrow & c & \leftarrow & 1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & \Lambda & \rightarrow & \Lambda_2 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\{\ast\} & \rightarrow & \Lambda & \rightarrow & \Lambda_{(2)} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\ast & \rightarrow & \Lambda & \rightarrow & \Lambda_{(2)} \\
\end{array}$$

But the relevant fact is that a category $X$ with full distinguished pushouts ‘believes’ that the square above is (also) a pushout. Explicitly, we have a - so to say - para-universal property of $\Lambda_2$:

(a) given two cospans $u, v : \Lambda \to X$ in a category with full distinguished pushouts, with $\partial^+ u = \partial^- v$, there is one pt-functor $[u, v] : \Lambda_2 \to X$ such that $[u, v].k^- = u$ and $[u, v].k^+ = v$. 


The concatenation map

\[ k: \land \to \land_2, \]  

(21)

is an embedding, already displayed above by the labelling of objects in \( \land_2 \).

As usual in formal homotopy theory, the functors \( (-)^\alpha_1 = \land^{i-1} \times - \times \land^{n-i} \); pt\(\text{Cat} \to \text{ptCat} \) produce the higher structure of the interval, for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) and \( \alpha = \pm 1 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial^+_i: \land^{n-1} &\to \land^n, \\
\partial^-_i: (t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}) &\mapsto (t_1, \ldots, \alpha, \ldots, t_{n-1}), \\
e_i: \land^n &\to \land^{n-1}, \\
e_i(t_1, \ldots, t_n) &\mapsto (t_1, \ldots, \hat{t}_i, \ldots, t_n), \\
s_i: \land^{n+1} &\to \land^{n+1}, \\
s_i(t_1, \ldots, t_{n+1}) &\mapsto (t_1, \ldots, t_{i+1}, t_i, \ldots, t_n).
\end{align*}
\]

(22)

Moreover, acting on (19) and \( k \), these functors yield the \( n \)-dimensional \( i \)-concatenation model \( \land^{ni}_2 \) and the \( n \)-dimensional \( i \)-concatenation map \( k_i: \land^n \to \land^{ni}_2 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\land^{n-1} &\xrightarrow{\partial_1^+} \land^n \xrightarrow{\partial_i^-} \land^{ni}_2 \\
\land^n &\xrightarrow{k_i^-} \land^{ni}_2 \\
\land^n &\xrightarrow{k_i^+} \land^{ni}_2
\end{align*}
\]

(23)

Again, the square above is not a pushout, but \( X \) (having full distinguished pushouts) believes it is.

1.5. The symmetric pre-cubical category of cospans

A symmetric pre-cubical category

\[
\Lambda = ((A_n), (\partial^+_i), (e_i), (s_i), (+_i)),
\]

(24)

is a symmetric cubical set with compositions, satisfying the consistency axioms (cub.1-2) of I.1.2, where the transpositions \( s_i \) agree with the compositions \( +_i \) (see I.2.3). Notice that we are not (yet) assuming that the cubical compositions \( +_i \) behave in a categorical way or satisfy interchange, in any sense, even weak.

For a category \( X \) with full distinguished pushouts, there is such a structure \( \Lambda = \text{Cosp}_n(X) \). (Below, we will use a different notation for the weak cubical category \( \text{Cosp}_n(X) \), a richer structure whose components are categories instead of sets.) An \( n \)-cube, or \( n \)-cubical cospans, is a functor \( u: \land^n \to X \); faces, degeneracies and transpositions are computed according to the formulas (22) for the formal interval \( \land \)

\[
\text{Cosp}_n(X) = \text{Cat}(\land^n, X) = \text{ptCat}(\land^n, X),
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial^+_i(u) &= u, \partial^-_i: \land^{n-1} \to X, \\
\partial^+_i(u)(t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}) &= u(t_1, \ldots, t_{i-1}, \alpha, \ldots, t_{n-1}), \\
e_i(u) &= u, e_i: \land^n \to X, \\
e_i(u)(t_1, \ldots, t_n) &= u(t_1, \ldots, \hat{t}_i, \ldots, t_n), \\
s_i(u) &= u, s_i: \land^{n+1} \to X, \\
s_i(u)(t_1, \ldots, t_{n+1}) &= u(t_1, \ldots, t_{i+1}, t_i, \ldots, t_{n+1}).
\end{align*}
\]

(25)

The \( i \)-composition \( u +_i v \) is computed on the \( i \)-concatenation model \( \land^{ni}_2 \) (23), as

\[
u +_i v = [u, v] k_i: \land^n \to \land^{ni}_2 \to X \quad (\partial^+_i(u) = \partial^-_i(v)).
\]

(26)
A symmetric cubical functor \( F : A \to B \) between symmetric pre-cubical categories is a morphism of symmetric cubical sets which preserves all composition laws.

For an ordinary (i.e., 1-cubical) cospan \( u : \wedge \to X \), we write \( u = (u^-, u^+) : X^- \to X^+ \) to specify its cubical faces (notice the dot-marked arrow).

1.6. The weak cubical category of cospans

Now, starting from a category \( X \) with full distinguished pushouts, we have a symmetric weak cubical category \( \text{Cosp}_*(X) \) (as defined in I.4), which is unitary (under the unitarity constraint \( 1.3(i)-(ii) \) in \( X \)). It consists of the following data.

(a) Our previous \( \text{Cosp}_*(X) \) forms the symmetric pre-cubical category of cubical objects.

(b) A transversal n-map \( f : u \to u' \), is a natural transformations of \( n \)-cubes \( f : u \to u' : \wedge^n \to X \), or equivalently an \( n \)-cube in the pt-category \( X^2 \) of morphisms of \( X \) (equipped with the coherent choice of distinguished pushouts). Transversal maps form a symmetric pre-cubical category \( \text{Cosp}_*(X^2) \), with:

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial^\alpha_i(f) &= f.\partial^\alpha_i : u.\partial^\alpha_i \to u'.\partial^\alpha_i : \wedge^{n-1} \to X \\
e_i(f) &= f.e_i : u.e_i \to u'.e_i : \wedge^n \to X \\
s_i(f) &= f.s_i : u.s_i \to u'.s_i : \wedge^{n+1} \to X \\
f +_i g &= [f,g].k_i : \wedge^n \to X^2 \\
(27)
\end{align*}
\]

Notice that an \( n \)-map should be viewed as \((n+1)\)-dimensional, and will also be called an \((n+1)\)-cell.

(c) The symmetric pre-cubical functors of transversal faces \( \partial^\alpha_0 \) and transversal degeneracy \( e_0 \) simply derive, contravariantly, from the obvious functors linking the categories 1 and 2

\[
e_0 : \text{Cosp}_*(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Cosp}_*(X^2) : \partial^\alpha_0 \\
(\partial^\alpha_0 : 1 \xrightarrow{\cong} 2 : e_0, \alpha = \pm).
\]

(d) The composition \( hf : u \to u'' \) of transversally consecutive \( n \)-maps is the composition of natural transformations. It is categorical and preserves the symmetric cubical structure.

(e) The cubical composition laws behave categorically up to suitable comparisons for associativity and interchange, which are invertible special transversal maps. (A transversal \( n \)-map \( f \) is said to be special if its \( 2^n \) vertices \( f(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \) are identities, for \( \alpha_i = \pm \); see I.4.1.)

These comparisons are explicitly constructed in I.4.4, after a study of the structure of the models \( \wedge^n \) (see I.3.5, I.3.6).

1.7. Truncation

Truncating everything at cubical degree \( n \), we get the symmetric weak \((n+1)\)-cubical category \( n\text{Cosp}_*(X) \), which contains the \( k \)-cubes and \( k \)-maps of \( \text{Cosp}_*(X) \) for \( k \leq n \) (I.4.5). Indeed, its \( n \)-maps are ‘actually’ \((n+1)\)-dimensional cells.
In the 1-truncated case $1\text{Cosp}_*(X) = \text{Cosp}(X)$ there is only one cubical direction and no transposition, and we drop the term ‘symmetric’: a weak 2-cubical category amounts, precisely, to a weak (or pseudo) double category, as studied in $[9]-[12]$: a structure with a strict ‘horizontal’ composition and a weak ‘vertical’ composition, under strict interchange.

The 2-truncated structure $2\text{Cosp}_*(X)$, a symmetric quasi 3-cubical category, is related to Morton’s constructions $[21]$: a ‘double bicategory’ of 2-cubical cospans. Loosely speaking, and starting with $2\text{Cosp}_*(X)$, one should omit the transposition and restrict transversal maps to the special ones. See also $[1]$.

2. Collarable and collared cospans

After recalling collarable (ordinary) cospans, from Part II, we define now collared cospans, a variation of the former (already hinted at in II.2.2), where collars are part of the data instead of just existing. We begin with the weak double category of pre-collared cospans $\text{Pcc(Top)}$ and single out within the latter the weak double subcategory $\text{Cc(Top)}$ of collared cospans. Higher cubical degree is deferred to Section 4.

2.1. Topological embeddings.

Let us recall that a topological embedding is an injective map $f: X \to Y$ in $\text{Top}$, where the space $X$ has the pre-image topology. A closed injective map is necessarily an embedding, and will be called a closed embedding. Open embeddings are similarly defined, but not explicitly used here.

It is easy to see that a pushout of topological embeddings (resp. closed embeddings) in $\text{Top}$ consists of topological embeddings (resp. closed embeddings) and is also a pullback.

Decomposing a space $X$ into a categorical sum $X = \sum X_j$ (in $\text{Top}$) amounts to giving a partition of the space $X$ into a family of clopens (closed and open subspaces).

2.2. Collarable maps

Now, we recall from Part II the construction of the weak double subcategory $\text{Cc(Top)} \subset \text{Cosp(Top)}$ of collarable cospans. Motivations for these definitions have been recalled in the Introduction.

To begin with, a collarable map $f: X \to Y$ (II.2.1) is a continuous mapping which can be decomposed into a sum of two maps, so that:

$$f = f_0 + f_1: X_0 + X_1 \to Y_0 + Y_1$$

(collarable decomposition),

(29)

(i) $f_0: X_0 \to Y_0$ is a homeomorphism, also called a trivially collarable, or 0-collarable map,

(ii) $f_1: X_1 \to Y_1$ is a 1-collarable map, i.e. it admits a collar $F$ which extends it

$$F: IX_1 \to Y_1, \quad f_1 = F(\cdot, 0): X_1 \to Y_1,$$

(30)

to the cylinder $IX_1 = X_1 \times [0, 1]$ and is a closed embedding $[21]$, with $F(X_1 \times [0, 1])$ open in $Y_1$. 

Both conditions are only satisfied by \( \text{id} \emptyset \). Omitting empty components, an irreducible collarable decomposition is uniquely determined. Collarable maps are also closed embeddings; they are not closed under composition in \( \textbf{Top} \) (II.2.9).

2.3. Collarable cospans

Limits and colimits in the weak double category \( \text{Cosp}(\textbf{Top}) \) are recalled in II.1.3. As defined there, a sub-cospan of a topological cospan

\[
u = (u^- : X^- \to X^0 \leftarrow X^+ : u^+), \tag{31}\]

is a regular subobject of \( \nu \), i.e. an equaliser of two 1-maps \( u \to v \) in \( \text{Cosp}(\textbf{Top}) \). It amounts to assigning three subspaces \( (Y^-, Y^0, Y^+) \) such that

\[
Y^t \subset X^t, \quad u^\alpha(Y^\alpha) \subset Y^0 \quad (t \in \wedge; \alpha = \pm). \tag{32}\]

We say that the sub-cospan is open (resp. closed) in \( \nu \) if so are the three subspaces \( Y^t \subset X^t \). The sub-cospans of \( \nu \) form a complete lattice, which is a sublattice of \( \mathcal{P}(X^-) \times \mathcal{P}(X^0) \times \mathcal{P}(X^+) \).

Thus, to give a decomposition \( \nu = \sum u_j \) into a sum of cospans amounts to give a clopen partition \( (u_j) \) of \( \nu \), i.e. a cover of \( \nu \) by disjoint sub-cospans, closed and open in \( \nu \) (II.1.3).

A collarable cospan (II.2.2) of topological spaces is a topological cospan \( \nu : X^- \to X^+ \) which admits a collarable decomposition, i.e. can be decomposed in a binary sum, where

\[
u = \nu_0 + \nu_1 = (X^-_0 + X^-_1 \to X^0_1 + X^+_1 \leftarrow X^+_0 + X^-_1), \quad \nu_0 = (u^-_0 : X^-_0 \to X^-_1 \leftarrow X^+_0 : u^+_0), \quad \nu_1 = (u^-_1 : X^-_1 \to X^+_1 \leftarrow X^+_1 : u^+_1), \tag{33}\]

(i) \( \nu_0 = (u^-_0, u^+_0) \) is a pair of homeomorphisms, also called a trivially collarable, or 0-collarable cospan,

(ii) \( \nu_1 = (u^-_1, u^+_1) \) is a 1-collarable cospan; by this we mean that it admits a collar cospan (or, simply, a collar), i.e. a cospan \( (U^-, U^+) \) formed of a pair of collars of its maps having disjoint images. In other words, we have two disjoint closed embeddings

\[
u = (U^- : IX^-_1 \to X^0_1 \leftarrow IX^+_1 : U^+), \quad \nu^\alpha_1 = U^\alpha(-, 0) : X^\alpha_1 \to X^0_1, \tag{34}\]

where \( U^\alpha(X^\alpha_1 \times [0, 1]) \) is open in \( X^\alpha_1 \).

Both conditions are only satisfied by the empty cospan \( e_1(\emptyset) \). Omitting empty components, an irreducible collarable decomposition is uniquely determined. The maps \( \nu^\alpha \) are also closed embeddings; in the 1-collarable case, they have disjoint images.

Cubical faces and degeneracy are inherited from \( \text{Cosp}(\textbf{Top}) \)

\[
\partial^\alpha \nu = X^\alpha, \quad e_1(X) = (\text{id} : X \to X \leftarrow X : \text{id}) \quad (\alpha = \pm 1). \tag{35}\]

We have proved (Thm. II.2.4) that collarable cospans are closed under concatenation: given a consecutive collarable cospan \( v : Y^- \to Y^+ \) (with \( X^+ = A = Y^- \)), the cospan \( w = u + v \) decomposes in a trivial part, computed by a pushout of homeomorphisms (over the clopen subspace \( X^-_0 \cap Y^-_0 \) of \( A \) on which \( u \) and \( v \) are both 0-collarable), and a 1-collarable part, computed by a 1-collarable pushout (over
the complement clopen subspace of \( A \). The latter pushout is homeomorphic to a standard homotopy pushout (Thm. II.2.5). Topological spaces, collarable cospans and their transversal maps form thus a transversally full weak double subcategory

\[ \mathbb{Cblc}(\textbf{Top}) \subset \mathbb{Cosp}(\textbf{Top}). \]

Notice that a topological map, even if collarable, can not be viewed as a collarable cospan, generally: the category \( \textbf{Top} \) is transversally embedded in \( \mathbb{Cblc}(\textbf{Top}) \), sending a map \( f : X \to Y \) to the same 0-map.

### 2.4. Pre-collared cospans

A pre-collared (topological) cospan \( U = (u; U^-, U^+) \) will consist of a cospan \( u = (u^- : X^- \to X^0 \leftarrow X^+ : u^+) \) of topological embeddings equipped with two pre-collars \( U^\alpha \); these are maps which extend to the cylinder the corresponding maps \( u^\alpha \) of \( u \)

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
IX^- & \xrightarrow{U^-} & X^0 & \xleftarrow{U^+} & IX^+ \\
\downarrow{d^-} & & \downarrow{u^-} & & \downarrow{u^+} \\
X^- & & X^0 & & X^+ \\
\end{array}
\]

\[ U^\alpha : IX^\alpha \to X^0, \]

\[
u^\alpha(x) = U^\alpha(x, 0).
\]

Notice that these pre-collars need not be injective, nor have disjoint images, and we are not (yet) assuming the existence of a ‘collared decomposition’. The underlying cospan of \( U \) is \( \left| U \right| = u \). Faces and degeneracies are defined as follows, consistently with the procedure \( \left| - \right| \):

\[
\partial^0 U = \partial^0 u = X^\alpha, \quad e_1(X) = (X \to X \leftarrow X; eX : IX \to X \leftarrow IX : eX).
\]

Pre-collared cospans form a 1-cubical set, with topological spaces in degree 0.

Every collarable cospan \( u = (u^- : X^- \to X^0 \leftarrow X^+ : u^+) \) underlies some pre-collared cospan \( U \). In fact, if \( u \) is 1-collarable, it admits a collar-cospan (2.3); if \( u \) is 0-collarable, i.e., a pair of homeomorphisms, then it admits a trivial pre-collar, similar to a degenerate one, with \( U^\alpha = u^\alpha.eX^\alpha : IX^\alpha \to X^\alpha \to X^0 \). In the general case, \( u \) has a collarable decomposition \([X^\alpha]\), and it suffices to take the topological sum of the previous solutions - also because the cylinder functor preserves sums.

### 2.5. The weak double category of pre-collared cospans

Let us suppose we have two pre-collared cospans, \( U = (u; U^\alpha) \) as above (in \([37]\)) and

\[ V = (v; V^-, V^+), \quad v = (v^- : Y^- \to Y^0 \leftarrow Y^+ : v^+), \]

which are consecutive: \( X^+ = A = Y^- \). As in the collarable case (Part II), to define their concatenation \( W = U \circ V \), we concatenate their underlying cospans getting a cospan \( w = u_+ v \) (of topological embeddings, by \([21]\) and then form the new
pre-collars using two of the old ones, namely $U^-$ and $V^+

\[
\begin{array}{c}
IX^- \xrightarrow{U^-} X^0 \xleftarrow{d^0} Y^0 \xrightarrow{V^+} IY^+ \\
A \xleftarrow{u^+} Y^- \xrightarrow{d^+} U^+ \\
X^- \xleftarrow{d^-} U^- \\
\end{array}
\]

$U + V = (w; W^\alpha)$,

\[
w = u + v
\]

(40)

A *transversal map* of pre-collared cospans

\[
f = (f^-, f^0, f^+): U \to V, \quad f^t: X^t \to Y^t \quad (t \in \Lambda),
\]

is a triple of maps $f^t$ which commute with the pre-collars of $U, V$, via their cylindrical extensions $F^\alpha = I f^\alpha: IX^\alpha \to IY^\alpha$. The *underlying transversal map* $[f]: |U| \to |V|$ has the same components $f^t$.

Faces, degeneracies and transpositions of transversal maps are defined in the obvious way, consistently with domains and codomains. Finally, the comparison for underlying cospans in the weak double category $\mathbb{Cosp}(\text{Top})$, which is easily seen to satisfy the condition of consistence with pre-collars.

We have thus defined the *weak double category* $\text{pCc}(\text{Top})$ of pre-collared cospans and equipped it with a forgetful double functor

\[
| - |: \text{pCc}(\text{Top}) \to \mathbb{Cosp} (\text{Top}),
\]

which is the identity on objects and faithful on transversal maps. (Concatenation is strictly preserved, since we are using one choice of distinguished pushouts in $\text{Top}$ to concatenate cospans, in both structures.)

### 2.6. Collared cospans

Let $U = (u; U^\alpha)$ be a pre-collared cospan, as in (37). We say that $U$ is *collared* if $u$ can be decomposed into a (uniquely determined) binary sum, where:

\[
u = u_0 + u_1 = \left( \sum u^-_i : \Sigma X^-_i \to \Sigma X^0 \to \Sigma X^+_i : \Sigma u^+_i \right) \quad (i = 0, 1),
\]

(43)

\[
U^\alpha (IX^0) \subset X^0_0, \quad U^\alpha (IX^i) \subset X^i_0,
\]

(44)

so that the restrictions $(U^-_i, U^+_i)$ form a pre-collar cospan of $u_i$; more precisely, we want that:

(i) $u_0 = (u^-_0, u^+_0)$ is a pair of homeomorphisms and $U^\alpha_0 = u^-_0 \cdot \epsilon X^0_0: IX^0_0 \to X^0_0$;

(ii) the pair $(U^-_1, U^+_1)$ is a $1$-collar of $u_1 = (u^-_1, u^+_1)$, i.e. it consists of two disjoint closed embeddings (extending the maps $u^+_i$) and $U^\alpha_1 (X^0_1 \times [0, 1])$ is open in $X^1_1$.

Collared cospans form the transversally full *weak double subcategory* $\mathbb{Cc}(\text{Top}) \subset \text{pCc}(\text{Top})$. The fact that they are closed under concatenation in the latter is proved as in Part II for collarable cospans (Thm. II.2.4).
We have thus a commutative triangle of double functors

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
C_c(\text{Top}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & pC_c(\text{Top}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
C_{osp}(\text{Top}) & \xrightarrow{|-|} & C_{osp}(\text{Top})
\end{array} \] (45)

which are transversally faithful (the inclusion is also transversally full).

3. Pre-collars in cubical degree 2

We define here the weak 3-cubical category \(2pC_c(\text{Top})\) of 2-cubical pre-collared cospans. The collared case will be treated directly in unbounded cubical degree, in the next section.

3.1. Notation for cubical cospans

Let \(t = (t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in \Lambda^n\) be a multi-index with coordinates \(t_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}\). If \(t_i \neq 0\), we write \(t^i = (t_1, \ldots, t_{i-1}, 0, t_{i+1}, \ldots, t_n)\), the point of \(\Lambda^n\) obtained by annihilating the \(i\)-th coordinate.

A cubical cospan \(u: \Lambda^n \to \text{Top}\) will be written as follows

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
X^- & \xrightarrow{u(1-)} & X^0 & \xrightarrow{u(1+)} & X^+ \\
X^- & \xrightarrow{u(1-)} & X^0 & \xrightarrow{u(1+)} & X^+ \\
X^- & \xrightarrow{u(1-)} & X^0 & \xrightarrow{u(1+)} & X^+ \\
X^- & \xrightarrow{u(1-)} & X^0 & \xrightarrow{u(1+)} & X^+ \\
X^- & \xrightarrow{u(1-)} & X^0 & \xrightarrow{u(1+)} & X^+ \\
X^- & \xrightarrow{u(1-)} & X^0 & \xrightarrow{u(1+)} & X^+ \\
X^- & \xrightarrow{u(1-)} & X^0 & \xrightarrow{u(1+)} & X^+ \\
X^- & \xrightarrow{u(1-)} & X^0 & \xrightarrow{u(1+)} & X^+ \\
\end{array}
\] (48)

Note, in the latter, the simplified notation of the central arrows: \(u^- = u(1,-,0)\), and so on.

For a fixed \(i\), there are \(2.3^{n-1}\) maps \(u(i, t)\) in direction \(i\). Globally, there are \(2n.3^{n-1}\) maps in \(u\) and \(n.3^{n-1}\) cospans. Of course, when we speak of a cospan of \(u\) we always mean two maps with the same codomain and the same direction, i.e. a pair \((u(i, t))\), with \(t_i = \pm 1\) (the other coordinates being fixed, as well as \(i\)).
An $n$-cubical cospan $u$ can also be viewed as a cospan (in direction 1) of $(n - 1)$-cubical cospans (in directions 2, ..., $n$)

$$u: \wedge \to \text{Top}^{\wedge^{n-1}}, \quad u = (u^-: X^- \to X^0 \leftarrow X^+: u^+),$$

$$X^t = (X(t, t), u(i, t, t)), \quad u(i, t, t): X(t, t) \to X(t, t^{2i}),$$

where $i = 1, ..., n - 1$ and $t = (t_2, ..., t_n) \in \wedge^{n-1}$, $t_i \neq 0$. (Other presentations of $u$ can be obtained from the transposed cospans $u^*$, using the transposition symmetries $s_i: \wedge \to \wedge$, cf. [1.4].)

### 3.2. Square cospans and pre-collars

Let $u: \wedge^2 \to \text{Top}$ be a 2-cubical topological cospan, with the previous notation (in (49)).

As considered above (in degree $n$), $u$ will also be viewed as a cospan (in direction 1) of cospans (in direction 2), as follows

$$u: \wedge \to \text{Top}^\wedge, \quad u = (u^-: X^- \to X^0 \leftarrow X^+: u^+),$$

$$X^t = (u(2, t, -): X^{t-} \to X^{t0} \leftarrow u(2, t, +)),$$

$$u^\alpha = (u(1, \alpha, -), u(1, \alpha, 0), u(1, \alpha, +)) \quad (t \in \wedge, \alpha = \pm).$$

The symmetric presentation of $u$ can be obtained as above from the transposed cospan $s_1(u) = us: \wedge^2 \to \text{Top}$, using the transposition symmetry $s: \wedge \to \wedge$ (1.4).

Let us assume that all maps of $u$ are topological embeddings. A family of pre-collars of $u$ in direction 1 consists of six maps

$$U(1, \alpha, t): IX^\alpha t \to X^0t \quad (\alpha = \pm, \ t \in \wedge),$$

so that:

(i) each of them is a pre-collar of the corresponding map $u(1, \alpha, t)$, i.e. $u(1, \alpha, t) = U(1, \alpha, t)(-\,0)$ (2.4);

(ii) the diagram (54) commutes and its four squares are pullbacks.

Symmetrically, a family of pre-collars of $u$ in direction 2 amounts to the previous notion for the transposed 2-cospan $s_1(u) = us$. It consists thus of six maps

$$U(2, t, \alpha): IX^\alpha t \to X^0t \quad (\alpha = \pm, \ t \in \wedge),$$
which satisfy symmetric conditions. In particular, they form four pullbacks

\[
\begin{array}{c}
IX^- & \xrightarrow{u(1-)} & IX^0- & \xrightarrow{u(1+)} & IX^+ \\
\downarrow U(2-) & & \downarrow U^0- & & \downarrow U(2+) \\
X^- & \xrightarrow{u^-} & X^0 & \xrightarrow{u^+} & X^+
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
IX^- & \xleftarrow{u(1-)} & IX^0+ & \xleftarrow{u(1+)} & IX^+ \\
\downarrow U(2-) & & \downarrow U^{0+} & & \downarrow U(2++) \\
X^- & \xleftarrow{u^-} & X^0 & \xleftarrow{u^+} & X^+
\end{array}
\]

(56)

A pre-collared topological 2-cospan \( U = (u; U(1, \alpha, t), U(2, t', \beta)) \) will be a 2-cospan \( u: \Lambda^2 \to \text{Top} \) of topological embeddings, equipped with a family of pre-collars, i.e. a pair of such families in both directions. Its underlying 2-cospan is \( |U| = u \).

One can note that, because of the pullback condition (ii), all the pre-collars are determined by the collar-cross, consisting of the 4 central collars, i.e. those which reach the central object

\[
\begin{array}{c}
IX^- & \xrightarrow{U^-} & X^0 & \xrightarrow{U^+} & IX^+ \\
\downarrow U^- & & \downarrow U^+ & & \downarrow U^+
\end{array}
\]

(57)

3.3. Faces and degeneracies

The faces of the pre-collared 2-cospan \( U \) are the following pre-collared 1-cospans

\[
\partial^\alpha_1 U = (\partial^\alpha_1 u; U(2, \alpha, -) : IX^\alpha- \to X^\alpha0 \leftarrow IX^\alpha+ : U(2, \alpha, +)),
\]

\[
\partial^\beta_2 U = (\partial^\beta_2 u; U(1, -\beta) : IX^-\beta \to X^0\beta \leftarrow IX^+\beta : U(1, +\beta)).
\]

(58)

For instance, the two faces \( \partial^\alpha_1 U \) can be pictured as follows:
The degeneracies $e_1 U, e_2 U$ of a pre-collared 1-cospan $U = (u; U^\alpha)$ are defined as follows

$$e_1 U = (e_1 u; eX^t, U^\beta), \quad e_2 U = (e_2 u; U^\alpha, eX^t).$$

In particular, $e_1 U$ has pre-collars forming the following two diagrams of pullbacks

$$(\partial^-_1 U) \quad (\partial^+_1 U)$$

The degeneracies $e_1 U, e_2 U$ of a pre-collared 1-cospan $U = (u; U^\alpha)$ are defined as follows

$$e_1 U = (e_1 u; eX^t, U^\beta), \quad e_2 U = (e_2 u; U^\alpha, eX^t).$$

In particular, $e_1 U$ has pre-collars forming the following two diagrams of pullbacks

$$(\partial^-_1 U) \quad (\partial^+_1 U)$$

3.4. Concatenating pre-collared 2-cospans

**Theorem 1.** Pre-collared 2-cospans have well-defined concatenation in both directions, consistently with their underlying 2-cospans.

**Proof.** It suffices to prove two points:

(A) Families of pre-collars in direction 1 can be concatenated in direction 1,

(B) Families of pre-collars in direction 2 can be concatenated in direction 1.

Point (A) is proved as in Thm. II.2.4, working in $\text{Top}^\wedge$ instead of $\text{Top}$ (cf. (51)). We only have to check that the new squares built by pushouts are indeed pullbacks. This is done in the following lemma, (55).

For point (B), let us assume that $u, v$ are equipped with pre-collars in direction 2, and prove that their concatenation $w = u_+ v$ can be canonically so equipped. Below, ‘in direction 2’ is understood most of the time.

Consider the cospan $(h; H^-, H^+) = \partial^+_1 u = \partial^-_1 v$ along which the concatenation is computed.
The 1-faces of $w$ (whose maps are in direction 2) belong to $u$ or $v$

$$w(2, -, \alpha) = u(2, -, \alpha), \quad w(2, +, \alpha) = v(2, +, \alpha),$$

(62)

and are already equipped with pre-collars $(U(2, -, \alpha))$ and $(V(2, +, \alpha))$.

Furthermore, we have a central cospan $(w^0-, w^0+) = (w(2, 0, -), w(2, 0, +))$

which is computed in the left diagram below, via three pushouts

Here, the notation of some maps is simplified:

$$u^\alpha = u(1, \alpha, +), \quad v^\alpha = v(1, \alpha, -), \quad h^\alpha = u(2, +, \alpha) = v(2, -, \alpha),$$

and similarly for their collars, denoted by the corresponding capital letters.

Now, for this last cospan $(w^0-, w^0+)$. we have to construct pre-collars, consistently with the previous collars $(U(2, -, -), U(2, -, +))$ and $(V(2, +, -), V(2, +, +))$.

The cylinder functor $I: \text{Top} \to \text{Top}$ preserves pushouts, as a left adjoint. Therefore, the right diagram above allows us to define - consistently - the vertical arrows $(W^0-, W^0+)$, on the basis of the consistent collars appearing in the other vertical arrows. They are also topological embeddings, and the new squares built in the right diagram above are pullbacks, again by 3.5.

3.5. A diagrammatic lemma

We end this section with a diagrammatic property, which has already been used in the proof of the previous theorem. (In that case, the bottom square of the diagram below is a pushout of embeddings, which is also a pullback.)

**Lemma 1.** Let us suppose we have, in $\text{Top}$, a commutative cubical diagram of topological embeddings:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Y & \rightarrow & Z \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A & \rightarrow & X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A' & \rightarrow & X'
\end{array}$$

(64)
If the front and bottom squares are pullbacks, and the top square is a pushout, then also the back square is a pullback.

**Proof.** Since our maps are topological embeddings, we can forget topologies and work in the category of sets. The pasting of the front and bottom squares is a pullback. Therefore, the pasting of the top and back squares is also a pullback (the same). We have thus a commutative diagram of sets

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \rightarrow & Y \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X & \rightarrow & Z
\end{array}
\]

where the left square is a pushout and the outer rectangle a pullback. Knowing that all maps are injective, it is quite easy to check, on elements, that the right square is also a pullback.

4. Collared cubical cospans

We extend the previous constructions to unbounded cubical degree, constructing the symmetric weak cubical category of pre-collared cubical cospans \( \text{pCc}_*(\text{Top}) \) and its weak cubical subcategory \( \text{Cc}_*(\text{Top}) \) of collared cubical cospans.

4.1. Pre-collared cubical cospans

We extend now the previous definitions (2.4, 3.2) to higher cubical degree, using the notation for cubical cospans introduced in 3.1.

A pre-collared \( n \)-cubical cospan \( U = (u; U(i, t)) \) consists of an \( n \)-cubical cospan \( u = |U| \) of topological embeddings, equipped with a family of \( 2n.3^{n-1} \) pre-collars \( U(i, t) \) of its maps

\[
u = |U| = (X(t), u(i, t)), \quad U(i, t) : IX(t) \rightarrow X(t^{i\sharp}),
\]

\[
u(i, t)(x) = U(i, t)(x, 0) \quad (i = 1, ..., n, \ t = (t_1, ..., t_n) \in \Lambda^n, \ t_i \neq 0).
\]

Moreover, the following squares must (commute and) be pullbacks

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
IX(t) & \rightarrow & IX(t^{i\sharp}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X(t^{i\sharp}) & \rightarrow & X(t^{i\sharp j})
\end{array}
\]

where \( i \neq j, \ t_i \neq 0, \ t_j \neq 0 \).

Faces and degeneracies are defined as follows, forming a symmetric cubical set (consistently with the forgetful procedure \( |−| \)), where \( i^{\gamma j} \) is \( i \) if \( i < j \), and \( i + 1 \) if \( i \geq j \):

\[
\partial^n_i U = (\partial^n_i U, U(i^{j\sharp}, \partial^n_j t)) \quad (i = 1, ..., n - 1; \ t \in \Lambda^{n-1}),
\]

\[
e_j U = (e_j u, e_j U)(i, t),
\]

\[
e_j U(i, t) = U(i, e_j t) \quad (i \neq j),
\]

\[
e_i U(i, t) = eX(t) : IX(t) \rightarrow X(t),
\]

(68)
4.2. A weak cubical category

We can now form the symmetric weak cubical category $p\mathbb{C}_*(\text{Top})$ of pre-collared cubical cospans.

The operation of $i$-concatenation of pre-collared $n$-cubical cospans is defined as in [3,4] for $n = 2$: point (A) and (B) specify, respectively, how to construct the new collars in direction $i$ and $j \neq i$.

A transversal map of pre-collared $n$-cubical cospans, also called an $(n+1)$-cell,

$$f: U \to V, \quad U = (u, U(j, t)), \quad V = (v, V(j, t)), \quad (69)$$

is a transversal map $|f|: |U| \to |V|$ of the underlying $n$-cubical cospans which commutes with the collars, via the cylindrical extensions

$$F(t) = I f(t): IX(t) \to IY(t) \quad (t \in \wedge^n). \quad (70)$$

Faces, degeneracies and transpositions of transversal maps are defined in the obvious way, consistently with domains and codomains. The comparisons for associativity and interchange derive from the comparisons of $\text{Cosp}_*(\text{Top})$.

We have also defined a cubical forgetful functor

$$|−|: p\mathbb{C}_*(\text{Top}) \to \text{Cosp}_*(\text{Top}), \quad (71)$$

which is transversally faithful: given two transversal maps $f, g: U \to V$, the condition $|f| = |g|$ implies $f = g$.

4.3. Cubical collared cospans

Let $U = (u, U(i, t))$ be a pre-collared $n$-cubical cospans.

We say that $U$ is collared in direction $i (= 1, ..., n)$ if the underlying cubical cospan $u$ can be decomposed into a binary sum, coherently with its pre-collars

$$u(i, t) = u_0(i, t) + u_1(i, t),$$

$$u_j(i, t): X_j(t) \to X_j(t^{j, i}) \quad (j = 0, 1; \ t_i \neq 0), \quad (72)$$

$$U(i, t)(IX_0(t)) \subset X_0(t^{j, i}), \quad U(i, t)(IX_1(t)) \subset X_1(t^{j, i}), \quad (73)$$

so that the restrictions

$$U_0(i, t): IX_0(t) \to X_0(t^{j, i}), \quad U_1(i, t): IX_1(t) \to X_1(t^{j, i}), \quad (74)$$

yield a collared decomposition of each ordinary cospan $(u(i, t); t_i = \pm)$.

By [2,6] this means that:

(i) each cospan $(u_0(i, t); \ t_i = \pm)$ is a pair of homeomorphisms with trivial collars

$$U_0(i, t) = u_0(i, t) \cdot eX_0(t): IX_0(t) \to X_0(t) \to X_0(t^{j, i});$$

(ii) the pair $(U_1(i, t); t_i = \pm)$ is a 1-collar of $(u_1(i, t); \ t_i = \pm)$, i.e. it consists of two disjoint closed embeddings (extending the maps $u_1(i, t)$) and $U_1(i, t)(X_1(t) \times [0, 1])$ is open in $X_1(t^{j, i})$.

We say that $U$ is collared if it is collared in every direction.
4.4. Concatenating collared cubical cospans

Theorem 2. Collared cubical co-spans are stable under concatenation in all directions, in $pC_{\ast}(\text{Top})$.

Proof. Since transpositions permute directions, it suffices to consider a concatenation $W = U +_1 V$ in direction 1 and prove that:

(A) The new cospans in direction 1 produced by 1-concatenation are collared in direction 1,

(B) The same are collared in direction 2.

Point (A) is proved as in Thm. II.2.4, working in $\text{Top}^{n-1}$ instead of $\text{Top}$.

For point (B), we use the notation of 3.4, and we only write the indices in directions 1, 2 (which amounts to working in $\text{Top}^{n-2}$). Collared will mean: collared in direction 2.

Consider the cospan $H = (h; H^-, H^+) = \partial^+_1 U = \partial^-_1 V$ along which the concatenation is computed, with collar-pair $H^-$: $IA^- \to A^0 \leftarrow IA^+: H^+$, $H = H_0 + H_1$. (75)

(B′) First, let us consider the case $h \neq e_1(\emptyset)$, so that in the collared decomposition $h = h_0 + h_1$ both components are non trivial. Accordingly, we can split the concatenation $w$ into the sum

$$ w = w_0 + w_1 = (u_0 +_1 v_0) + (v_1 +_1 v_1), \quad (76) $$

of the concatenations of the 0- and 1-collared parts (in direction 2). Plainly, the first component is 0-collared. We can forget it, assuming that $u, v$ are 1-collared and prove that $w = u +_1 v$ is also.

We proceed now as in the proof of 3.4, point (B). The 1-faces of $w$ (see (62)) are already 1-collared, and we construct the new pre-collars $(W^0_0, W^0_+)$ as in (63). We end point (B′) proving that the latter are indeed 1-collars.

Some lengthy calculations are needed to show that they are disjoint; essentially, this depends not only on the fact that the old collars are disjoint, but also on the pullback-hypothesis (67). First, since the top and bottom squares are pushouts

$$ \text{Im}(W_0^0) = \text{Im}(W_0^0 \cdot x^0) \cup \text{Im}(W_0^0 \cdot y^0) = x^0(\text{Im}U^0_0) \cup y^0(\text{Im}V^0_0). \quad (77) $$

We have thus to consider four intersections; by symmetry, it is sufficient to prove that:

$$ x^0(\text{Im}U^0_-) \cap x^0(\text{Im}U^0_+) = \emptyset, \quad x^0(\text{Im}U^0_-) \cap y^0(\text{Im}V^0_+). \quad (78) $$

The first fact is obvious, because $x^0$ is injective and the collars $U^0_0$ are disjoint. For the second, we will use the fact that the square of $(u^0, v^0, x^0, y^0)$ is a pullback (as a pushout of embeddings) and the square around $H^-$ is also (by hypothesis). Therefore:

$$ x^0(\text{Im}U^0_-) \cap y^0(\text{Im}V^0_+) \subset x^0(\text{Im}U^0_-) \cap \text{Im}y^0 $$

$$ = x^0(\text{Im}U^0_- \cap \text{Im}u^0) = x^0(\text{Im}(u^0 H^-)) = \text{Im}(x^0 u^0 H^-), $$
and symmetrically
\[ x^0(\operatorname{Im}U^0) \cap y^0(\operatorname{Im}V^0+) \subset \operatorname{Im}(y^0v^0H^+). \]

We conclude noting that \( x^0u^0 = y^0v^0 \), and that the collars \( H^\alpha \) are disjoint.

(B”) Finally, let us examine the degenerate case \( h = e_1(\emptyset) \). If, in direction \( 2 \), the cospan \( u \) and \( v \) are both 0-collared or both 1-collared, we come back to the previous argument. But here one of them can be 0-collared, say \( u \), and the other 1-collared. Then the cospan \((u^0-, u^0+)\) is the sum of a 0-collared component \((u^0-, u^0+)\) and a 1-collared component \((v^0-, v^0+)\), which means that it is collared.

\[ (79) \]

4.5. The structure of collared cubical cospans

We can now define the transversally full weak cubical subcategory of collared cubical cospans

\[ \mathcal{C}_c^*(\mathsf{Top}) \subset \mathcal{P}_c^*(\mathsf{Top}). \]

Its \( n \)-cubes are the collared \( n \)-cospans, as defined above. Its transversal maps are all the natural transformations \( f: u \to u': \Lambda^n \to \mathsf{Top} \) between collared \( n \)-cospans.

These data are plainly closed under faces and degeneracies. They are also closed under concatenation in any cubical direction, as proved in 4.4. The comparisons of the weak structure are inherited from \( \mathcal{P}_c^*(\mathsf{Top}) \), since they are (invertible special) transversal maps - and we are taking all of them between collared cubes.

5. Cylindrical degeneracies and cylindrical concatenation

We define a new framework, \( \mathcal{COSP}_c^*(\mathsf{Top}) \), from which we will abstract the notion of a symmetric quasi cubical category (Section 7).

5.1. Comments

We come back to arbitrary topological cospans and begin a different construction, which does not use collars but cylindrical degeneracies and cylindrical concatenations (by homotopy pushouts). Notice that the latter grants, by itself, a homotopy-invariant concatenation.
With respect to the symmetric weak cubical category \( \mathbb{COSP}_s(\text{Top}) \), the new structure \( \mathbb{COSP}_s(\text{Top}) \) differs with respect to degeneracies, concatenations and comparisons, and satisfies weaker axioms: degeneracies behave now in a weaker way and - for instance - are just lax identities. We get thus a symmetric quasi cubical category, as defined in Section 7. (The importance of weak units in homotopy theory is discussed in [18] and references therein.)

With respect to \( \mathbb{C}_s(\text{Top}) \), the new framework is simpler and can easily be restricted to manifolds with faces and their cobordisms (see the next section). This is actually the main reason for using here cylindrical degeneracies instead of the restricted to manifolds with faces discussed in [18] and references therein.

5.2. Cylindrical degeneracies

Let us come back to the symmetric weak cubical category \( \mathbb{COSP}_s(\text{Top}) \), recalled in Section 1.

After the ordinary degeneracy \( e_1(X) = (\text{id}_X : X \to IX \leftarrow X : \text{id}_X) \) of a space \( X \), we also have a cylindrical degeneracy:

\[
E_1(X) = (d^- : X \to IX \leftarrow X : d^+), \quad d^-(x) = (x, 0), \quad d^+(x) = (x, 1).
\]

One degree up, a cospan \( u = (u^- : X^- \to X^0 \leftarrow X^+ : u^+) \) has two cylindrical degeneracies, \( E_1(u) \) and \( E_2(u) = E_1(u)_s \) (with \( \partial_i^0 E_1(u) = u \))

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{E}_1(u) & \quad \text{E}_2(u) = \text{E}_1(u)_s. \\
\end{align*}
\]

While ordinary degeneracies satisfy the cubical relation \( e_1 e_1 = e_2 e_1 \) (1.1), the 2-cospans \( E_1 E_1(X) \) and \( E_2 E_1(X) = E_1 E_1(X)_s \) are different, since \( E_1 E_1(X) \) is not symmetric.
However, the transposition symmetry $s: I^2 \to I^2$ induces an invertible special comparison

$$\sigma_1 X: E_1 E_1(X) \to E_2 E_1(X) \quad (E_2 E_1 = s_1 E_1)$$

which replaces the ordinary cubical relations for degeneracies.

In general, an $n$-cospan $u = (X(t), u(j, t))$ (with the notation of 3.1) has an $i$-directed cylindrical degeneracy (for $i = 1, \ldots, n$), which is an $(n + 1)$-cospan:

- $E_i(u) = (X(e_i t), E_i(u)(j, t))$,
- $E_i(u)(j, t) = u(j^{ii}, e_i t)$

where $e_i: \wedge^{n+1} \to \wedge^n$ omits the $i$-th coordinate (see (22)) and we let:

$$j^{ii} = j \quad (\text{for } j \leq i), \quad j^{ii} = j - 1 \quad (\text{for } j > i).$$

Finally, we have an invertible special symmetry comparison for cylindrical degeneracies. For every $n$-cube $u$, we have an invertible special $(n + 2)$-map $\sigma_1(u)$, which is natural on $n$-maps and has the following faces

$$\sigma_1 u: E_1 E_1(u) \to E_2 E_1(u)$$

(symmetry 1-comparison),

$$\partial^1_1 \sigma_1(u) = \partial^2_2 \sigma_1(u) = \text{id}(E_1 u), \quad \partial^2_{j+2} \sigma_1(u) = \sigma_1(\partial^2_j u).$$

Via transpositions, $\sigma_1$ generates all the other symmetry comparisons $E_{j+1} E_j \to E_{i+1} E_i \ (j \leq i)$.

5.3. Weak equivalences and homotopy invariance

Extending a previous definition on ordinary topological cospans (II.2.8), we say that a transversal $n$-map $f: u \to v$ between cubical topological cospans is a weak equivalence if it is special (16) and all its components are homotopy equivalences in $\text{Top}$.

Thus, for every cubical cospan $u = (X(t), u(j, t))$, we have an obvious weak equivalence

$$p_i(u): E_i(u) \to u$$

consisting of the special transversal map whose non-identity components are the projections $IX(e_i t) \to X(e_i t)$. In cubical degree 1, $p_1: E_1(X) \to e_1(X)$ has components $(1, eX, 1)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{d^-} & IX \\
\| & & \| \\
X & \xleftarrow{id} & X \\
\| & & \|
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xleftarrow{d^+} & X \\
\| & & \| \\
X & \xrightarrow{e} & IX \\
\| & & \|
\end{array}$$

(89)

We have already seen that such maps can not be considered as ‘homotopy equivalences’ in $\text{Cosp(Top)}$, because there are no transversal maps backwards (II.1.6).

We say that two $n$-cubical topological cospans $u, v$ are weakly equivalent if there exists a finite sequence of weak equivalences connecting them: $u \to u_1 \leftarrow u_2 \to$
... → u_n = v. Then, they must have the same vertices: u(α_1, ..., α_n) = v(α_1, ..., α_n), for α_i = ±.

A weak double functor \( F : \text{Cosp}_*(\text{Top}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A} \), with values in an arbitrary weak cubical category, will be said to be \textit{homotopy invariant} if:

(i) it sends weak equivalences \( f : u \rightarrow v \) between topological cospans to invertible (special) cells of \( \mathbb{A} \), and therefore weakly equivalent \( n \)-cubical cospans to isomorphic \( n \)-cubes of \( \mathbb{A} \).

5.4. Review of homotopy pushouts

The new concatenations will use a fundamental notion of homotopy theory, introduced by Mather \[20\] (and also used in Part II).

Let \( f : A \rightarrow X \), \( g : A \rightarrow Y \) form a span in \( \text{Top} \). The \textit{standard homotopy pushout from} \( f \) \textit{to} \( g \) is a four-tuple \((P; u, v; \lambda)\) as in the left diagram below, where \( \lambda : uf \rightarrow vg : A \rightarrow P \) is a homotopy satisfying the following universal property (as for co comma squares of categories), which determines the solution \textit{up to homeomorphism}

\[
\begin{align*}
A & \xrightarrow{g} Y \\
\downarrow f & \downarrow v \\
X & \xleftarrow{u} P
\end{align*}
\]

(a) for every homotopy \( \lambda ' : u'f \rightarrow v'g : A \rightarrow W \), there is precisely one map \( h : P \rightarrow W \) such that \( u' = hu \), \( v' = hv \), \( \lambda ' = h\lambda \).

(Writing \( h\lambda \) we are using the obvious \textit{whisker composition} of homotopies and maps.) In \( \text{Top} \), the solution always exists and can be constructed as the ordinary colimit of the right-hand diagram above. This construction is based on the cylinder \( IA = A \times [0, 1] \) and its faces

\[
d^-, d^+ : A \rightarrow IA, \quad d^-(a) = (a, 0), \quad d^+(a) = (a, 1) \quad (a \in A).
\]

Therefore, the space \( P \) is a pasting of \( X \) and \( Y \) with the cylinder \( IA \), and can be realised as a quotient of their topological sum, under the equivalence relation which gives the following identifications:

\[
P = (X + IA + Y)/\sim, \quad [f(a)] = [a, 0], \quad [g(a)] = [a, 1] \quad (a \in A).
\]

The term ‘standard homotopy pushout’ will generally refer to this particular construction. Notice that, if \( f \) and \( g \) are topological embeddings, the spaces \( X \) and \( Y \) are embedded in \( P \).

As a crucial feature, this construction always has strong properties of homotopy invariance (e.g., see \[5\], Section 3), which an ordinary pushout need not have. Notice also that the cylinder \( IA \) is itself the standard homotopy pushout from idA to idA.
5.5. Cylindrical concatenation

By definition, the cylindrical $i$-concatenation $u \otimes_i v$ of $i$-consecutive $n$-cospans is computed on the $i$-concatenation model $\Lambda^2_i$ (of (24)), as

$$u \otimes_i v = [(u, v)], k_i: \Lambda^n \rightarrow \Lambda^n_i \rightarrow \text{Top} \quad (\partial^+_i(u) = \partial^-_i(v)), \quad (93)$$

where $[(u, v)]: \Lambda^2_i \rightarrow \text{Top}$ sends all distinguished pushouts into standard homotopy pushouts (and, obviously, restricts to $u$ and $v$ on $k^n_i: \Lambda^n \rightarrow \Lambda^{ni}$).

(One could further formalise this by introducing the category $\text{hptCat}$ of $h$-categories with distinguished homotopy pushouts, where an $h$-category - or a category with homotopies - is a category enriched on reflexive graphs with a suitable monoidal structure, as defined in 5.)

5.6. Comparisons for identities, associativity and interchange

The new structure $\text{COSP}_s(\text{Top})$ comes with various comparison maps, which make it a symmetric quasi cubical category, according to a definition which can be found in the last section.

(a) First, there are lax comparisons for identities, which are generally not invertible (but weak equivalences)

$$\lambda_i u: E_i(\partial^-_i u) \otimes_i u \rightarrow u, \quad \rho_i u: u \otimes_i E_i(\partial^+_i u) \rightarrow u. \quad (94)$$

They are defined in the obvious way: for $\lambda_i u$, one collapses to its basis the two cylinders on $\partial^-_i u$ which we have pasted with $u$ (i.e., the one appearing in $E_i(\partial^-_i u)$ and the one produced by the cylindrical concatenation $\otimes_i$).

Notice that $E_i(X) \otimes_i E_i(X) \cong E_i(X)$, but $\lambda E_i(X)$ and $\rho E_i(X)$ are different and not invertible: they collapse different parts of the resulting cylinder on $X$. On the other hand, ordinary degeneracies work even worse (with homotopy pushouts): $e_i(X) \otimes_i e_i(X) \cong E_i(X)$, which is only weakly equivalent to $e_i(X)$.

(b) Second, the cubical relation for pure degeneracies (which, in the presence of transpositions, can be reduced to the identity $e_1.e_1 = e_2.e_1$) does not hold. It is replaced with an invertible symmetry comparison, defined in 5.2

$$\sigma_1 u: E_1 E_1(u) \rightarrow E_2 E_1(u), \quad \partial^+_i \sigma_1(u) = \partial^+_2 \sigma_1(u) = \text{id}(E_1 u), \quad \partial^+_{i+2} \sigma_1(u) = \sigma_1(\partial^+_i u), \quad (95)$$

which, via transpositions, generates all the other ones, $E_j E_i \rightarrow E_{i+1} E_j (j \leq i)$.

(c) Associativity of cylindrical concatenations works up to isomorphism (as in the weak cubical case): $\kappa_i(u, v, w): u \otimes_i (v \otimes_i w) \rightarrow (u \otimes_i v) \otimes_i w. \quad (96)$

This is expressed by the following computation (for ordinary cospans):

$$[X^0 + IA + [Y^0 + IB + Z0]] \cong [X^0 + IA + Y^0 + IB + Z0] \cong [[X^0 + IA + Y^0] + IB + Z^0]. \quad (97)$$

where the brackets $[...]$ stand for a quotient modulo the adequate equivalence relations.

(d) Middle-four interchange also works up to isomorphism

$$\chi_1(x, y, z, u): (x \otimes_1 y) \otimes_2 (z \otimes_1 u) \rightarrow (x \otimes_2 z) \otimes_1 (y \otimes_2 u): \Lambda^2 \rightarrow \text{Top}. \quad (98)$$
Indeed, the following diagram of pastings shows that both quaternary operations above are isomorphic to a symmetric one, denoted as $\otimes_{12}(x, y, z, u)$

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
  x & E_1a & y & E_2b \\
  E_2h & E_2c & E_2h' & E_2d \\
  z & E_1b & u & z & E_1b & u \\
\end{array}
\]

\[(x \otimes_1 y) \otimes_2 (z \otimes_1 u) \quad (x \otimes_2 z) \otimes_1 (y \otimes_2 u) \quad \otimes_{12} (x, y, z, u). \quad (99)\]

Above, we have written:

\[
a = \partial^+_{1} x = \partial^-_{1} y, \quad b = \partial^+_{1} z = \partial^-_{1} u, \quad h = \partial^+_{2} x \otimes_1 \partial^+_{2} y, \\
c = \partial^+_{2} x = \partial^-_{2} z, \quad d = \partial^+_{2} y = \partial^-_{2} u, \quad h' = \partial^+_{1} x \otimes_1 \partial^+_{1} z, \quad (100)\]

where $v = \partial^+_{1} \partial^+_{2} x$ is the $(n - 2)$-cospan common to the four given items $x, y, z, u$.

The symmetric property of the operation $\otimes_{12}$ is:

\[
\otimes_{12} (x, y, z, u).s_1 = \otimes_{12} (xs_1, zs_1, ys_1, us_1). \quad (101)\]

(e) Finally, we have an invertible nullary interchange comparison, for 1-consecutive $n$-cubes $x, y$

\[
\iota_1(x, y) : E_1(x) \otimes_2 E_1(y) \rightarrow E_1(x \otimes_1 y). \quad (102)\]

It can be constructed using the isomorphic construction of $E_1(x \otimes_1 y)$ displayed below, and the symmetry isomorphism \[95\] (again, we write $a = \partial^+_{1} x = \partial^-_{1} y$)

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
  z & E_1x & x & E_1x \\
  E_1a & E_2E_1a & E_1a & E_1a \\
  E_1a & E_1a & E_1a & E_1a \\
  y & E_1x & y & E_1y \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
E_1(x) \otimes_2 E_1(y) \quad E_1(x \otimes_1 y) \quad (103)\]

5.7. Cylindrical collared degeneracies

We end this section by remarking that a sort of ‘cylindrical degeneracies’ also exist for collared cospans, in $\mathbb{C}c_\ast(\text{Top})$. 

Beginning at cubical degree 0, every space $X$ has a *cylindrical collared degeneracy* (not to be confused with the cylindrical degeneracy $E_1(X)$ considered above)

$$E_1(X) = (X, IX, X; \quad E^{-} : IX \rightarrow IX \leftarrow IX : E^{+}),$$

$$E^{-} (x, t) = (x, t/3), \quad E^{+} (x) = (x, 1 - t/3). \quad (104)$$

Then, every collared cospan $U = (X^-, X^0, X^+; \quad U^{-} : IX^- \rightarrow X^0 \leftarrow IX^+ : U^+)$ has two cylindrical collared degeneracies $E_1(U)$, $E_2(U) = E_1(U)$.s, determined by the following collars

\[
\begin{align*}
E_1(U) & \quad E_2(U) = E_1(U).s. \quad (105)
\end{align*}
\]

Within manifolds and cobordism, $E_1(X)$ is generally used as the degenerate cobordism on the manifold $X$ (cf. [21]). But again the cubical relation $e_1 e_1 = e_2 e_1$ is not satisfied: $E_1 E_1(X)$ and $E_2 E_1(X)$ are different (and isomorphic):

\[
\begin{align*}
E_1 E_1(X) & \quad E_2 E_1(X). \quad (106)
\end{align*}
\]

6. **Cobordisms**

We obtain here a *quasi* cubical category of $k$-manifolds and cubical cobordisms, based on the notion of a differentiable manifold with faces [4, 13, 19].

6.1. **Goals and problems**

We construct now the *quasi* cubical subcategory $\text{COB}_*(k) \subset \text{COSP}_*(\text{Top})$ of $k$-manifolds and cubical cobordisms, with cylindrical degeneracies and concatenation.

The 2-cubical truncation $\text{2COB}_*(k)$ of our construction is related with the construction of Morton and Baez [21, 1], which works with assigned collars, cylindrical
degeneracies and concatenation by pushout. But notice that, here, \( k \) denotes the topological dimension of the objects, while papers dealing with a 2-cubical or 2-globular structure generally refer to the dimension \( k + 2 \) of the highest cobordisms which appear in the structure itself. In the unbounded cubical (or globular) case, there is no upper bound for such dimensions.

Likely, working with assigned collars, one can also construct a weak cubical category \( \text{Cob}_n(k) \subset \mathcal{C}_n(\text{Top}) \). However, the technical aspects of this construction seem to be so heavy, that one wonders whether such complication would be justified by the advantage of obtaining a less weak structure (satisfying all cubical axioms and having all comparisons invertible).

### 6.2. Manifolds with corners

We begin by recalling some basic definitions. A differentiable manifold with corners \([4, 19]\) is a second-countable Hausdorff space \( X \) which admits a differentiable atlas of charts

\[
\varphi_i : U_i \to \mathbb{R}^n_+ = [0, \infty)^n.
\]

(These charts are homeomorphisms from open subspaces of \( X \) onto open subspaces of the euclidean sector \( \mathbb{R}^n_+ \), and the changes of charts \( \varphi_i \varphi_j^{-1} \) are diffeomorphisms, in the obvious sense.)

Every point \( x \in X \) has a well-defined index \( c(x) \) between 0 and \( n \), which counts the number of null coordinates of \( \varphi_i(x) \), for whichever chart \( \varphi_i \) defined at \( x \). Thus, \( c(x) = 0 \) means that \( X \) is locally euclidean at the point \( x \). By definition, a connected face of \( X \) is the closure of a connected component of the subset of points of index 1; \( \partial X \) is the union of all connected faces.

A manifold with faces \([13, 19]\) is a manifold with corners where every point \( x \) belongs to precisely \( c(x) \) connected faces. Every manifold with boundary is a manifold with faces, where the highest possible index is 1. A compact cube is also a manifold with faces: it has six connected faces, vertices have index 3, the other edge-points have index 2 and the remaining face-points index 1. A face of a manifold with faces is a union of disjoint connected faces, and is still a manifold with faces; for instance, a compact cube has three non-connected faces.

Finally, a manifold with \( n \) (distinguished) faces \( X = (X; \partial_1 X, \ldots, \partial_n X) \) \([13, 19]\), where it is called an \( \langle n \rangle \)-manifold) is a manifold with faces equipped with an indexed family of \( n \) faces which cover \( \partial X \) and such that \( \partial_i X \cap \partial_j X \) is always a face of \( \partial_i X \) (for \( i \neq j \)). Plainly, \( n \) is at most equal to the number of connected faces of \( X \); if it is less, the structure we are considering is not determined by \( X \).

A morphism \( f : X \to Y \) of such manifolds, with values in \( Y = (Y; \partial_1 Y, \ldots, \partial_m Y) \), will be a continuous mapping which sends each face of \( X \) into some face of \( Y \). (The papers referred to above consider differentiable maps; the present choice will simplify the relations with topological cospans.)

The categorical sum is:

\[
X + Y = (X + Y; \partial_1 X, \ldots, \partial_n X, \partial_1 Y, \ldots, \partial_m Y).
\]
6.3. Cubical cospans of manifolds

On this basis, it is easy to define the transversally full quasi cubical subcategory $\mathcal{COB}_* (k) \subset \mathcal{COSP}_* (\text{Top})$ of $k$-manifolds.

A *cubical cospan* of $k$-manifolds $u: \wedge^n \to \text{Top}$ is a topological cospan

$$u = (X(t), u(i, t)),$$

determined by a manifold with faces $X = (X; (\partial^o_t X))$, as made explicit below; $X$ has dimension $k + n$ and $2n$ distinguished faces, which are pairwise disjoint: $\partial^-_i X \cap \partial^+_i X = \emptyset$.

Namely, the central space $X(0,...0)$ of $u$ is $X$ itself, all the other spaces are intersections of the assigned faces (and faces as well) and all maps of $u$ are inclusions

$$X(t) = \partial^1_1 X \cap \ldots \cap \partial^1_n X \quad \text{and} \quad u(i, t) : X(t(\bar{t})) \subset X(t^i) \quad (t_i \neq 0),$$

where we let $\partial^0_i X = X$, for all $i$. Thus, the central cospan in each direction $i$ is given by the inclusion in $X$ of its two $i$-faces

$$\partial^-_i X \to X \leftarrow \partial^+_i X,$$

Notice that such a particular topological cospan $u: \wedge^n \to \text{Top}$ fully determines $X$ and the family $(\partial^o_i X)$ of its faces. Moreover, all the squares in $u$ along two arbitrary directions are pullbacks.

By definition, a *transversal map* $f: u \to v$ of such cubical cospans is an arbitrary natural transformation $f: u \to v: \wedge^n \to \text{Top}$; as a consequence, it has an underlying morphism $f: X \to Y$ of manifolds with distinguished faces (a continuous mapping).

Plainly $\mathcal{COB}_* (k)$ is closed in $\mathcal{COSP}_* (\text{Top})$ under faces, (cylindrical) degeneracies, transpositions and concatenations. Being transversally full, it automatically contains the comparisons for identities, associativity and (cubical) interchanges; recall that the comparisons of identities are lax.

Since manifolds with faces have collars ([19], Lemma 2.1.6), we always have $E_i(u) \otimes_1 u \cong u$. But this isomorphism depends on the choice of collars for $u$, and is not natural (in the present structure). On the other hand, the natural comparison inherited from $\mathcal{COSP}_* (\text{Top})$ ‘collapses cylinders’ and is not invertible.

7. Symmetric quasi cubical categories

We make precise the definition of a symmetric *quasi* cubical category, extending the notion of a symmetric *weak* cubical category. (The latter, introduced in Part I, is recalled here in Section 1).

7.1. Symmetric quasi pre-cubical categories

A symmetric quasi pre-cubical category

$$\mathbb{A} = ((A_n), (\partial^o_i), (e_i), (s_i), (+_i)),$$

is a symmetric quasi cubical set ([13], [12]), equipped with the following additional operations.
7.2. Introducing transversal maps.

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, the $i$-concatenation $x+i\,y$ (or $i$-composition) of two $n$-cubes $x, y$ is defined when $x, y$ are $i$-consecutive, i.e. $\partial_i^0(x) = \partial_i^0(y)$, and satisfies the following ‘geometrical’ interactions with faces and transpositions

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_i^-(x+i\,y) &= \partial_i^-(x), & \partial_i^+(x+i\,y) &= \partial_i^+(y), \\
\partial_j^0(x+i\,y) &= \partial_j^0(x) +_{i-1} \partial_j^0(y) & (j < i), \\
&= \partial_j^0(x) +_i \partial_j^0(y) & (j > i), \\

s_{i-1}(x+i\,y) &= s_{i-1}(x) +_{i-1} s_{i-1}(y), & s_i(x+i\,y) &= s_i(x) +_{i+1} s_i(y), \\
s_j(x+i\,y) &= s_j(x) +_i s_j(y) & (j \neq i-1,i).
\end{align*}
\] (112)

Again, we are not (yet) assuming categorical or interchange laws for the $i$-compositions. Our structure is a symmetric pre-cubical category (as defined in I.3.4) if its degeneracies satisfy the cubical relations (6) (or (114)) and agree with concatenations:

\[
e_j(x+i\,y) = e_j(x) +_{i+1} e_j(y) \quad (j \leq i \leq n), \\
= e_j(x) +_i e_j(y) \quad (i < j \leq n+1).
\] (113)

The presence of transpositions allows us to reduce condition (114) to:

\[
e_1(x+1\,y) = e_1(x) +_2 e_1(y).
\] (115)

7.2. Introducing transversal maps.

As in I.4.1, we introduce now a richer structure, having $n$-dimensional maps in a new direction 0, which can be viewed as strict or ‘transversal’ in opposition with the previous weak or ‘cubical’ directions. The comparisons for units, associativity and interchange will be maps of this kind.

Let us start with considering a general category object $\mathcal{A}$ within the category of symmetric quasi pre-cubical categories and their functors

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{A}^0 & \xrightarrow{\partial_0^0} & \mathcal{A}^1 \\
\downarrow{e_0} & & \downarrow{e_1} \\
\mathcal{A}^2 & \leftarrow & \mathcal{A}^3
\end{array}
\] (116)

We have thus:

(qcub.1) A symmetric quasi pre-cubical category $\mathcal{A}^0 = ((A_n),(\partial_n^0),(e_i),(s_i),(+_i))$, whose entries are called $n$-cubes, or $n$-dimensional objects of $\mathcal{A}$.

(qcub.2) A symmetric quasi pre-cubical category $\mathcal{A}^1 = ((M_n),(\partial_n^0),(e_i),(s_i),(+_i))$, whose entries are called $n$-maps or $n$-dimensional maps of $\mathcal{A}$.

(qcub.3) Symmetric cubical functors $\partial_0^0$ and $e_0$, called 0-faces and 0-degeneracy, with $\partial_0^0,e_0 = \text{id}$.

Typically, an $n$-map will be written as $f : x \to x'$, where $\partial_i^0 f = x$, $\partial_i^+ f = x'$ are n-cubes. Every $n$-dimensional object $x$ has an identity $e_0(x) : x \to x$. Note that $\partial_0^0$ and $e_0$ preserve cubical faces ($\partial_n^0$, with $i > 0$), cubical degeneracies ($e_i$), transpositions ($s_i$) and cubical concatenations ($+_i$). In particular, given two $i$-consecutive $n$-maps $f,g$, their 0-faces are also $i$-consecutive and we have:

\[
f +_i g : x +_i y \to x' +_i y' \quad (f : x \to x', \ g : y \to y'; \ \partial_i^+ f = \partial_i^+ g).
\] (117)
A composition law \(c_0\) which assigns to two 0-consecutive \(n\)-maps \(f: x \to x'\), \(h: x' \to x''\) an \(n\)-map \(hf: x \to x''\) (also written \(h.f\)). This composition law is (strictly) categorical, and forms a category \(A_n = (A_n,M_n,\partial_0^\alpha,e_0,c_0)\). It is also consistent with the symmetric quasi pre-cubical structure, in the following sense

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_0^\alpha(hf) &= (\partial_0^\alpha h)(\partial_0^\alpha f), \\
e_i(hf) &= (e_i h)(e_i f), \\
s_i(hf) &= (s_i h)(s_i f), \\
(h + i,k).f + ig &= hf + ig, \\
(h + i,k).f + ig &= hf + kg.
\end{align*}
\] (118)

The last condition, represented in the diagram above, is the (strict) middle-four interchange between the strict composition \(c_0\) and any weak one. An \(n\)-map \(f: x \to x'\) is said to be special if its \(2^n\) vertices are identities

\[
\partial_0^\alpha f: \partial_0^\alpha x \to \partial_0^\alpha x', \quad \partial_0^\alpha = \partial_0^{1^\alpha_1} \partial_2^{1^\alpha_2} \ldots \partial_n^{1^\alpha_n} \quad (\alpha_i = \pm).
\] (119)

In degree 0, this just means an identity.

### 7.3. Comparisons

Extending I.4.2, we can now define a symmetric quasi cubical category \(\mathbb{A}\) as a category object within the category of symmetric quasi pre-cubical categories and symmetric cubical functors, which is further equipped with special transversal maps, playing the role of comparisons for units, symmetry, associativity and cubical interchange, as follows. (We only assign the comparisons in direction 1; all the others can be obtained with transpositions. Notice also that the unit comparisons are not assumed to be invertible.)

\(\text{(qcub.5)}\) For every \(n\)-cube \(x\), we have special \(n\)-maps \(\lambda_1 x\) and \(\rho_1 x\), which are natural on \(n\)-maps and have the following faces (for \(n > 0\))

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda_1 x: (e_1 \partial_1^- x) +_1 x &\to x \\
\partial_i^- \lambda_1 x &= e_0 \partial_i^0 x, \\
\partial_i^0 \lambda_1 x &= \lambda_1 \partial_i^0 x \quad (\text{left-unit } 1\text{-comparison}), \\
\partial_j^0 \lambda_1 x &= \lambda_1 \partial_j^0 x \quad (1 < j \leq n). 
\end{align*}
\] (120)
\[ \rho_1 x : x + 1 (e_1 \partial^+_1 x) \to x, \quad (\text{right-unit 1-comparison}), \]
\[ \partial^+_1 \rho_1 x = e_0 \partial^0 x, \quad \partial^+_j \rho_1 x = \rho_1 \partial^+_j x \quad (1 < j \leq n), \quad (121) \]

(Notice that the 0-direction of \( \rho_1 x \) is reversed, with respect to I.4.2 - where \( \rho_1 x \) is invertible and its direction is inessential.)

(qcub.6) For every \( n \)-cube \( x \), we have an invertible special \( (n + 2) \)-map \( \sigma_1 x \), which is natural on \( n \)-maps and has the following faces (for \( n > 0 \))

\[ \sigma_1 x : e_1 e_1 (x) \to e_2 e_1 (x) \quad (\text{symmetry 1-comparison}), \]
\[ \partial^0 \sigma_1 (x) = \partial^2 \sigma_1 (x) = \text{id}(e_1 x), \quad \partial^j_{j+2} \sigma_1 (x) = \sigma_1 (\partial^j p x). \quad (122) \]

(qcub.7) For three 1-consecutive \( n \)-cubes \( x, y, z \), we have an invertible special \( n \)-map \( \kappa_1 (x, y, z) \), which is natural on \( n \)-maps and has the following faces

\[ \kappa_1 (x, y, z) : x + 1 (y + 1 z) \to (x + 1 y) + 1 z \quad (\text{associativity 1-comparison}), \]
\[ \partial^-_1 \kappa_1 (x, y, z) = e_0 \partial^-_1 x, \]
\[ \partial^+_j \kappa_1 (x, y, z) = \kappa_1 (\partial^+_j x, \partial^j y, \partial^+_j z) \quad (1 < j \leq n), \quad (123) \]
Given four $n$-cubes $x, y, z, u$ which make the concatenations below well-formed, we have an invertible special $n$-map $\chi_1(x, y, z, u)$, which is natural on $n$-maps and has the following faces (partially displayed below)

\[
\chi_1(x, y, z, u) : (x + 1 y) + 2 (z + 1 u) \rightarrow (x + 2 z) + 1 (y + 2 u) \text{ (interchange 1-comparison)},
\]

\[\partial_1^+ \chi_1(x, y, z, u) = e_0(\partial_1^- x + 2 \partial_1^- z), \quad \partial_1^+ \chi_1(x, y, z, u) = e_0(\partial_1^+ y + 2 \partial_1^- u),\]

\[\partial_2^+ \chi_1(x, y, z, u) = e_0(\partial_2^- x + 1 \partial_2^- y), \quad \partial_2^+ \chi_1(x, y, z, u) = e_0(\partial_2^- x + 1 \partial_2^- y),\]

\[\partial_j^+ \chi_1(x, y, z, u) = \chi_1(\partial_j^- x, \partial_j^- y, \partial_j^- z, \partial_j^- u) \quad (2 < j \leqslant n),\]

Moreover, the nullary interchange $e_1(x) + 2 e_1(y) = e_1(x + 1 y)$ (of the weak cubical case \[112\]) is replaced with an invertible special $(n + 1)$-map $\iota_1(x, y)$, which is defined when $x, y$ are $1$-consecutive, is natural on $n$-maps and has the following faces (partially displayed below)

\[
\iota_1(x, y) : e_1(x) + 2 e_1(y) \rightarrow e_1(x + 1 y) \quad \text{(nullary interchange)},
\]

\[\partial_1^+ \iota_1(x, y) = \partial_1^- \iota_1(x, y) = e_0(x + 1 y),\]

\[\partial_j^+ \iota_1(x, y) = e_0(e_1 \partial_j^- x), \quad \partial_j^+ \iota_1(x, y) = e_0(e_1 \partial_j^- y),\]
Finally, these comparisons must satisfy some conditions of coherence (see (qcub.9)).

We say that $A$ is unitary if the comparisons $\lambda, \rho$ are identities.

### 7.4. Coherence

Extending I.4.3, the coherence axiom (qcub.9) means that the following diagrams of transversal maps commute (assuming that all the cubical compositions make sense):

(i) **coherence pentagon for $\kappa = \kappa_1$ (writing $+ = +_1$):**

$$
\begin{align*}
(x + ((y + z) + u)) & \xrightarrow{1+\kappa} x + (y + (z + u)) \\
(x + (y + z)) + u & \xrightarrow{\kappa \downarrow} (x + y) + (z + u) \\
(x + (y + z)) + u & \xrightarrow{\kappa + 1} ((x + y) + z) + u
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) **coherence hexagon for $\chi = \chi_1$ and $\kappa = \kappa_1$ (always writing $+ = +_1$):**

$$
\begin{align*}
(x + (y + z)) +_2 (x' + (y' + z')) & \xrightarrow{\kappa + \chi} ((x + y) + z) +_2 ((x' + y') + z') \\
(x +_2 x') + ((y + z) +_2 (y' + z')) & \xrightarrow{\chi} ((x + y) +_2 (x' + y')) + (z +_2 z') \\
(x +_2 x') + ((y +_2 y') + (z +_2 z')) & \xrightarrow{\kappa} ((x +_2 x') + (y +_2 y')) + (z +_2 z')
\end{align*}
$$

(iii) **coherence conditions of the interchanges $\chi = \chi_1$, $\iota = \iota_1$ with the unit comparisons $\lambda = \lambda_1$ and $\rho = \rho_1$ (writing $+ = +_1$, $e = e_1$ and $\partial = \partial_1^n$):**


7.5. Comments
The symmetric quasi cubical category $A$ is a symmetric weak cubical category if the unit-comparisons $\lambda, \rho$ are invertible, the symmetric comparison $\sigma_1$ is an identity and a further coherence axiom holds:

(iv) coherence triangle for $\lambda_1, \rho_1, \kappa_1$:

\[
(x + 1 (e_1 \partial_1 + 1 y)) \xrightarrow{\kappa} (x + 1 e_1 \partial_1 + 1) y \xleftarrow{1 + \lambda} (x + 1) e_1 \partial_1 + x + 1 y \xleftarrow{\rho + 1} (x + 1) e_1 \partial_1 + x + 1 y
\]

Notice that the latter does not hold in $\text{COSP}_* (\text{Top})$ nor in $\text{COB}_* (k)$, where the maps $1 + \lambda$ and $(\rho + 1)\kappa$ collapse different cylinders. In Part I, also the nullary interchange $\iota_1$ was taken to be an identity - which works in the situations studied there; the present more general definition seems to be preferable, from a formal point of view.
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