A State-of-the-Art Review on Core–Shell Pigments Nanostructure Preparation and Test Methods

Kwok Wei Shah, Ghasan Fahim Huseien * and Harn Wei Kua *

Abstract: Uses of novel technologies for improving the durability and lifespan of the construction materials have emerged as viable solutions toward the sustainable future wherein the coating industry plays a significant role in economy growth and better livelihoods. Thus, the continual innovation of various technologies to introduce diverse market products has become indispensable. Properties of materials like color stability under UV, elevated temperatures and aggressive environments, and skid and abrasion resistance are the main challenges faced by commercial coating materials, leading to more demand of natural materials as sustainable agents. Lately, nanostructured core–shell pigments with unique compositions have widely been utilized in composite materials to enhance their properties. Core–shell particles exhibit smart properties and have immense benefits when combined with building materials. Based on these facts, we comprehensively overviewed the state-of-the-art research of core–shell nanomaterials in terms of their preparation and performance evaluation methods, as well as feasible applications. The first part of this article discusses effective shell materials, including most common silica and titanium oxides. In addition, nanotechnology enabling the production and patterning of low-dimensional materials for widespread applications is emphasized. The second part deals with various potential core materials used to achieve core–shell nanostructures. The third part of this paper highlights some interesting mechanisms of core–shell structures in the modified systems that display high stability, durability, efficiency, and eco-friendliness. Finally, different applications of these core–shell nanostructures are underscored together with their test methods to evaluate their performances.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, synthetically colored pigments have been introduced in various market products. Consequently, they have been the subject of extensive scientific investigation. The most common uses of pigments are in paints, varnishes, printing inks, plastics and textiles, leather decoration, building materials, rubber, paper, ceramic glazes, and so forth [1,2]. The durability of pigments refers to their capacity to withstand weathering processes and avoid deterioration when exposed to an external environment [3]. Studies have revealed that efficient uses of energy for construction materials production can protect the environment [4]. Thus, the development of durable and sustainable pigments has become fundamental in the construction industry. Diverse methods have been introduced to improve the durability of pigments, especially the core–shell approach for smart/functional materials preparation [5–8].

Earlier, different chemical techniques have been developed to prepare high-quality core–shell nanostructures. The multicomponent materials were shown to have better properties due to their diverse compositions and structures, making them versatile for widespread applications [9–12]. Owing to their distinct properties, core–shell structures...
have received intense research attention. These structures are more advantageous than other composite materials. For example, they can strengthen or generate new chemical and physical capabilities, facilitate the structural integrity desirable for self-maintenance, stop the core from breaking up into large particles, and ensure effective dispersion. In addition, they offer other benefits, like typical multifunctional compositions and structures. A higher performance can be achieved in the presence of synergy between the shells and cores [13].

The properties of materials obtained from core–shell particles can be finely tuned, making them fundamentally interesting in the fields of science and technology [1,14,15]. In every core/shell particle, there is a core structural domain cloaked by a shell domain. Various types of materials can constitute the core and shell domains, such as metals, polymers, and inorganic solids. It is easy to modify the structure, size, and composition of these particles to customize their mechanical, optical, magnetic, thermal, catalytic, electrical, and electro-optical attributes. To create hollow spheres and reduce the expenditure of these precious materials, core/shell morphology can be employed, in which the materials with relatively cheaper cores are coated with expensive materials [16,17].

Nanoparticles are defined as particles with diameters below 0.1 μm, and such particles are attractive for diverse functional applications. In essence, these nanoparticles can be considered as smart materials due to their distinctive properties. Nanoscale systems are more advantageous than microscale, macroscale, and bulk materials because of their large surface area-to-volume ratios and quantum size effects [18,19]. The development of new and advanced synthesis methods has enabled the production of symmetrical (spherical) and various other shaped nanoparticles (e.g., cube, prism, hexagon, wire, rod, and tube) [20–22]. Nonetheless, the preparation and characterization of differently shaped nanoparticles have only been performed recently. Some reports have indicated that it is relatively easier to make non-spherical nanoparticles than other shapes [23–25]. It is important to note that the properties of these nanoparticles are both size- and shape-dependent. For instance, some properties of magnetic nanocrystals (including blocking temperatures, permanent magnetization, and magnetic saturation) are determined by their particle size, whereas the coercivity of such a system is decided by their shape due to its impact on the surface anisotropy. For this reason, nanoparticles are often used to improve the pigment performance [26].

Nanotechnology has developed rapidly in recent years, and consequently, core–shell nanoparticles (NPs) have emerged as the key functional material. Currently, many researchers have been investigating core–shell NPs with different functional compositions. They find a wide range of applicability in various fields, including biomedicine, electronics, optics, catalysis, and pharmaceuticals [27]. Core–shell nanoparticles have distinctive physiochemical traits and, thus, attract substantial research interests [22,28]. The key advantages of core–shell nanoparticles are enhanced levels of protection, encapsulation, and controlled release. Different types of core/shell nanoparticles have been identified and applied for various practical purposes. However, it remains challenging to classify all the available core/shell nanoparticles based on their industrial applications due to their wide varieties. Some studies were conducted on the pigments made from core–shell nanoparticles to outline their production methods and the nature of their core and shell materials, as well as their uses. Considering the immense benefits of core–shell nanostructures, this paper will analyze the key features and properties of such nanosystems. The features of inorganic materials and their fabrication methods and common uses are underscored. First, a brief overview of the different methods for the production of these particles is underlined. Next, different classes of existing core–shell materials and their uses are highlighted. Later, various latest techniques for the synthesis of core–shell pigment nanocapsules, followed by the use of core–shell nanoparticles in road paints, are explored. Finally, the paper is concluded, followed by some recommendations for further research and development within this field. Figure 1 provides the overall structure of this paper.
2. Core–Shell Nanoparticle: Synthesis Approach and Importance

Nanotechnology refers to the atomic-level manipulation of materials that can be performed by combining the engineering, chemical, and biological approaches. Several techniques can be used to synthesize nanoparticles, like chemical, biological, physical, and even hybrid approaches (Figure 2). Generally, two key types of approaches are used for the production of nanostructures: “top-down” and “bottom-up”. In the former (“top-down”) approach, traditional workshops and microfabrication techniques are used in addition to externally controlled equipment to cut, mill, shape, and mold materials into their desired forms. The most common top-down methods are the lithographic techniques (e.g., UV, electron or ion beam, optical near-field scanning, laser beam processing, and scan probing) and mechanical methods (i.e., machining, grinding, and polishing) [29–32]. Conversely, the “bottom-up” approaches focus on the manipulation of the chemical properties of molecules, forcing them to self-assemble into a new and more beneficial form with emergent traits. The most commonly employed bottom-up approaches include chemical synthesis, laser-induced assembly, chemical vapor deposition, colloidal aggregation, self-assembly, and film deposition and growth [33,34]. Both approaches have strengths and weaknesses. Nonetheless, one key advantage of the bottom-up approach is that it can create much smaller particles and may be more cost-effective than the top-down approach due to its absolute precision, minimal energy loss, and complete control over the whole process. With regards to the synthesis of core/shell nanoparticles, ultimate control is needed to create a uniform coating around the core materials while the particles are forming. In this respect, a bottom-up approach would be more appropriate. In addition, a hybrid approach can also be used. For example, a top-down approach may be used to make the core, and a bottom-up approach can be subsequently employed to maintain the shell’s thickness. As water droplets can serve as nanoreactors, the use of microemulsion is also recommended to regulate the size and thickness of shells.

Nowadays, several researchers are turning their attention towards core/shell nanostructures because of their great benefits in a raft of fields, including chemistry, electronics, pharmaceuticals, optics, biomedicine, and catalysis. Additionally, these nanoparticles are highly functional and show specialized properties, wherein the characteristics of the core or shell materials can be entirely different. It is possible to modify these properties by altering the materials or the core-to-shell ratio [35]. Interestingly, the reactivity and thermal stability of the core materials can be changed by modifying the shell coating, thereby enhancing the stability and dispersion of the core particles. This, in turn, results in unique properties of each material employed, wherein the resultant product has an inherent ability to modify
the surface functions based on the environment when implemented [36]. Some benefits of coating the core particles include the facilitation of surface modifications; enhanced functionality, stability, and dispersion of core particles; the significant reduction of expensive material consumption; and controlled release of the core.

![Nanoparticle synthesis diagram](image)

**Figure 2.** The synthesis of nanoparticles using different approaches [37].

### 3. Materials Based Shell Component

Core–shell nanoparticles are made up of multiple materials, including metals and biomolecules, with one forming the central core and the other covering the core in the form of a shell. Core–shell nanostructures have excellent thermal and chemical stabilities, high levels of solubility, low toxicity, and greater permeability toward certain target cells, making them immensely useful for a variety of uses. Micro-nanoscale core/shell particles have distinct compositions that make them unique compared to other types of particles. They effectively bring together the properties of the materials used in the core and shell, as well as the smart properties generated through the individual component materials. Of late, increasing research attention has been paid to the production and use of core–shell structures [38], especially in the pigment industry. To be more specific, core–shell materials have widely been applied to improve the durability of pigments (Table 1). To meet the ongoing demand, diverse organic and inorganic materials have been produced to achieve the optimum core–shell nanostructure. For example, Cao et al. [39] examined inorganic–organic hybrid pigments using an inorganic mixture of precipitated SiO$_2$ and TiO$_2$. Mesoporous soft template synthesis has been used to fabricate a dye core@silica shell structure [40]. At this point, it is customary to describe some beneficial inorganic materials used to produce the shells in core–shell structures, particularly SiO$_2$ and TiO$_2$.

#### 3.1. SiO$_2$-Based Shells

The encapsulation of individual pigment nanoparticles using a compact and durable shell is the most common approach. Several researchers have also put forward a reverse silica pigment topology [41–46]. Silicon is a very good material to use for core–shell coatings, because it is transparent, inert, and available in abundance. For this reason, it has been selected for use in many pigment encapsulation processes, such as in situ sol–gel reactions (with silica products used as precursors, including potassium/sodium silicate solutions) [47,48], various silanes with fumed silica [49], or tetraethoxysilane (TEOS), which is perhaps the most commonly used silica precursor [50]. A ball-milling treatment using silica nanoparticles can also be used for this purpose [51]. A layer-by-layer method of
pre-synthesizing silica nanoparticles is also popular [52]. Silica is an excellent inorganic material to use for coating core–shell particles and useful in diverse fields, including biotechnology, medicine, and biomedical sensing. Distinct properties of silica, such as its high chemical stability, low cost, and great formability, enable the production of microscale particles from larger spherical particles.

Lu et al. [53] used the sol–gel method to hydrolyze tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) to cover the iron oxide nanoparticles in uniform shells. By changing the sol–gel precursor concentration, the thickness of these silica shells was modified. Fluorescent dyes were added to these silica shells via the process of covalent coupling, in which the organic dyes and sol–gel precursor joined together. Silica (SiO$_2$)-coated ceria (CeO$_2$) nanoparticles were grown [54] via the water-in-oil microemulsion process. The SiO$_2$-covered CeO$_2$ nanoparticles were synthesized using cyclohexane (99%) as the organic phase, wherein reverse micelles on polyoxyethylene (15) cetyl ether were formed. The surfactant used in the organic solution had a concentration of 0.5 mol/L. Additionally, CeO$_2$ was sourced from cerium nitrate and cerium chloride (cerium nitrate hexahydrate, Ce(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O, purity 99% and cerium chloride, CeCl$_3$, purity 99.9%). Subsequently, a liquid phase (4.0 mL, represented as aq.) of cerium ions (Ce(NO$_3$)$_3$ aq. and CeCl$_3$ aq.) was added to the organic surfactant solution with the concentration of 0.14 mol/L (100 mL). The resultant mixture was magnetically stirred at 50 °C, allowing the formation of a microemulsion solution. Either an ammonium solution (NH$_4$OH aq., 2.70 mol/L, 3.0 mL) or oxalic acid solution ((COOH)$_2$ aq., 1 mol/L, 3.0 mL) was used as the CeO$_2$ precursor agent. The SiO$_2$ was obtained from tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS; 0.86 mol/L of microemulsion solution) and used to produce a NH$_4$OH solution (2.70 mol/L, 15.0 mL). Then, it was added to the microemulsion with the CeO$_2$ precursor nanoparticles, followed by hydrolysis of the solution for one hour at 50 °C. Throughout the TEOS hydrolysis process, the water-to-surfactant molar ratio was maintained at 23. It was impossible to accurately measure the pH of the microemulsion. An electric pH gauge was used to make an approximation with the meter reading ranging from 11.0 to 11.5. The solution was centrifuged after removing the CeO$_2$ precursor nanoparticles from SiO$_2$, followed by bath in propanol, and then left at 80 °C to dry overnight. Finally, it was calcined for 5 h at 400 °C to eliminate the surfactant.

The chemical liquid deposition technique was used to produce aluminum pigments covered in SiO from the tetraethyl silicate precursor (TEOS) [55]. The SiO$_2$-coated aluminum particles were grown using 10 g of aluminum flakes inserted into 100 mL of pure ethanol followed by ultrasonic treatment for half an hour. A well-dispersed aluminum suspension was obtained, and then, TEOS was added. Subsequently, the aluminum suspension was put into a three-neck flask of 1000-mL capacity and then placed in a water bath before being stirred at a rate of 500 rpm at 40 °C. After, a solution consisting of 28% ammonia was supplemented together with a certain quantity of distilled water, plus 16 mL of pure ethanol. Next, TEOS was diluted using 12 mL of pure ethanol. The used mole ratios of TEO9-to-Al throughout the experiment were 1:44, 1:22, and 1:11. Moreover, the mole ratio of distilled water-to-TEOS was kept constant at 30:1. The solutions discussed above were then placed into an aluminum suspension via two continuous flow pumps at a constant flow rate, with a feeding time of 1 h. The newly produced suspension was then stirred for 5 h at 40 °C. The precipitate was filtrated and then was washed multiple times with pure ethanol. It was then placed in an electric oven at 120 °C for 12 h and at 200 °C for another 2 h for drying.

Ahmed et al. [56] used zinc, calcium, and strontium nitrate solutions to produce ferrite/silica core–shell pigments, wherein ferric nitrate were mixed with silica fume. After the solution was stirred for 60 min at 100 °C, the resultant paste was heated in an oven at 400 °C. Finally, the paste was ground and calcined at 750 °C. The Stöber method was used [57] to grow crystals of SiO$_2$-covered Sr$^{2+}$-doped red γ-Ce$_2$S$_3$ (Sr$^{2+}$-doped γ-Ce$_2$S$_3@$Ce-SiO$_2$) pigments. In this process, amorphous SiO$_2$ (a-SiO$_2$) sol and Sr$^{2+}$-doped CeO$_2$ were used as precursors. The original materials used in this experiment were Si(OH)$_2$H$_2$O (TEOS), Sr(NO$_3$)$_2$, Ce(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O, (NH$_4$)$_2$CO$_3$, ammonia water, and ethanol.
The following process was conducted to acquire the Sr$^{2+}$-doped CeO$_2$ pigment precursor. First, the placement of Sr(NO$_3$)$_2$ and Ce(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O with a Sr$^{2+}$/Ce$^{3+}$ mole ratio of 0.15 was incorporated into deionized water to dissolve the particles, producing a homogeneous solution. Second, the precipitant (NH$_4$)$_2$CO$_3$ was added to the solution to produce some white precipitate. Next, the precipitate was filtered and washed several times using water before being left at 80 °C for 12 h to dry. Afterward, it was calcined for 1 h in a muffle furnace at 1000 °C. Finally, it was left to cool down naturally, producing Sr$^{2+}$-doped CeO$_2$. Later, TEOS was dissolved in a solution containing water and alcohol, whilst ammonia water (25.0 wt%) was used to change the solution’s pH level to 11.00. The solution was then stirred for 0.5 h and kept in an 80 °C water bath for six hours to produce SiO$_2$ sol. The resultant Sr$^{2+}$-doped CeO$_2$ was added to the SiO$_2$ sol at a Ce$^{3+}$/Si$^{4+}$ mole ratio of 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, or 1 and stirred for 0.5 h before being left to dry at 80 °C. This produced the precursor Sr$^{2+}$-doped γ-Ce$_2$S$_3$@c-SiO$_2$ red pigments in powder form. Afterward, this powder was treated in a tubular furnace with a gas flow containing both argon and CS$_2$ (100 mL/min). The vulcanization temperatures of the furnace were changed intermittently (880 to 920 °C) over a period of two hours. Once sulfurization occurred, the powder was subjected to calcination in argon gas at various temperatures (1100 to 1250 °C) for 60 min and left to cool down naturally to room temperature. The process yielded Sr$^{2+}$-doped γ-Ce$_2$S$_3$@c-SiO$_2$ red pigments, which were later treated with 2-M HCl for 6 h. Finally, the colors of the samples were compared before and after acid etching.

Mjejri et al. [58] fabricated core–shell Zn$_{1-x}$Co$_x$O@SiO$_2$ nanoparticles with green pigments using SiO$_2$-based shell materials. The main objective was to create particles with high chemical stability and low cytotoxicity. A polyol process was used to form ZnO doped with Co$^{2+}$, a well-known substitute for chromium-based inorganic pigments. Additionally, to source the zinc and cobalt needed in the experiment, zinc acetate dihydrate (Zn(CH$_3$COO)$_2$·2H$_2$O) and cobalt nitrate (Co(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O) were utilized, wherein diethylene glycol (H$_2$O.C$_2$.H$_2$.O) was used as the template. Upon adding zinc and cobalt precursors into the solution at a stoichiometric proportion, 1 g of Zn$_{1-x}$Co$_x$O powder (2.924 g) was obtained. Subsequently, the powder was supplemented with 250 mL of H$_2$O.C$_2$.O$_3$. The resultant mixture was then continually stirred for 1 h before being heated at 180 °C to produce a green sol. Next, the precipitate was centrifuged and then washed multiple times in pure ethanol to eliminate the residual organic product. Then, it was oven-dried at 80 °C. Later, tetraethoxysilane (TEOS and Si(OCH$_3$)$_4$) was subjected to hydrolysis, followed by condensation in an alcohol solution (EtOH). The Stöber experiment was conducted to produce Zn$_{1-x}$Co$_x$O@SiO$_2$ core shells. In this process, about 100 mg of powder was added to 150 mL of EtOH and 6.4 mL of NH$_4$OH as a hydrolysis–condensation catalyst. To vary the thicknesses of the SiO$_2$ shells, various quantities of TEOS were added slowly to the solution as a prepared suspension. Subsequently, the suspension was kept at room temperature for two hours under constant agitation. Once the solution got matured, a few drops of HCl liquid solution (0.2 M) were added to ensure the complete dissolution of the ZnO cores, producing some porous silica shells.

The hydrothermal Stöber techniques were used effectively to produce α-Fe$_2$O$_3$@SiO$_2$ reddish pigments with core–shell structures [28]. The reddish pigments of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ acted as chromophores and tetraethoxysilane (TEOS, AR) as the source of the silica. First, 0.05 g of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP-30) were dissolved in 100 mL of deionized water and then subjected to ultrasonication for 15 min. This produced a homogeneous solution, which was stirred at room temperature continually for 12 h. The resultant solution was centrifuged multiple times to collect the PVP-modified α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ following three times bathing in ethanol and deionized water. Later, the as-obtained α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ was mixed in 100 mL of ethanol and subjected to an ultrasound for 5 min until the solution became homogeneous. Afterward, various contents of ammonia (0.5, 1.5, and 2.5 mL) and tetraethoxysilane (0.1, 0.3, and 0.5 mL) were supplemented into the solution with continuous stirring. Table 1 explains the experimental conditions of each sample. The resultant solution was stirred for 6 h at room temperature, forming α-Fe$_2$O$_3$@SiO$_2$ NPs.
The as-prepared $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$@SiO$_2$ NPs were left for 12 h at 70 °C to dry before being calcined at 1000 °C for 1 h. Figure 3 illustrates the process of fabricating $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$@SiO$_2$ pigments with core–shell structures.

Fabjan et al. [48,59] produced multilayered SiO$_2$ core–shell pigments by adding ethoxylated alcohol (EA) and hexadecyl-trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) to distilled water to fabricate a surfactant solution. The red pigment was then incorporated into the solution to create a pigment dispersion of 1 wt%. Meanwhile, a mild basic solution was used by Yu et al. [60] to coat the SiO$_2$ shells in order to produce $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$@SiO$_2$ nanoparticles. First, $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles in spindle shapes were fabricated that served as the core materials. Next, these nanoparticles were covered by silica through the sol–gel technique. The original materials used (obtained from Aldrich) in the process were FeCl$_3$·6H$_2$O (97%), tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS of 99.99% purity), cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB, 99%), and NH$_4$OH (28% in water of 99.99% purity). Additionally, deionized water was employed, and the Stöber method was applied to treat the hematite with silica. Then, the silica shells were selectively etched using the NH$_4$OH solution. The resultant silica-coated hematite powder was then placed in distilled water (10 mL), whilst 1 mL and 10 mL of NH$_4$OH solution were poured into the hematite solutions to change the etchants’ concentrations. The resultant mixtures were centrifuged, and the solutions were kept at 80 °C in vacuum to dry. The samples were then subjected to heat treatments at either room temperature or higher temperatures (300 and 1000 °C) for sixty minutes before the physical properties of each sample were compared. This enabled the researcher to assess the coloration and heat stability of each etched sample.

Wang et al. [61] conducted a study to grow ZnS@SiO$_2$ core–shell nanoparticles using a homogeneous deposition method, in which deionized water (1 mL) and ammonia (0.5 mL) were mixed with 30 mL of ZnS (0.5–2 wt%) colloidal solution, and the obtained solution was stirred for 60 min. Subsequently, TEOS (0.5–2 g) was incorporated in the silica-coated material, and the reactions occurred at room temperature over a period of 5 h. After the reactions were completed, the resultant core–shell particles were collected and subjected to centrifugation in order to purify them. Subsequently, the particles were redispersed in water and ethanol multiple times. Lui et al. [62] treated $\gamma$-Ce$_2$S$_3$ red pigments with a silica layer using the Stöber technique combined with a hydrogen–argon atmosphere heat treatment (700 °C). Figure 3 shows the experimental procedure for the silica-coated $\gamma$-Ce$_2$S$_3$ pigments’ fabrication. In this process, first, untreated $\gamma$-Ce$_2$S$_3$@SiO$_2$ pigments (2.0 g) and CTAB (0.2 g) particles were stirred into a solution containing 200 mL of ethanol and 250 mL of deionized water for a period of 24 h. Next, the solution was centrifuged to separate the particles, allowing the collection of pretreated, uncoated $\gamma$-Ce$_2$S$_3$@SiO$_2$ pigments. Second, a solution containing water and ethanol was made with an established volume ratio, and then, ammonia water was added to change the pH value to approximately 10.5. The pretreated uncoated $\gamma$-Ce$_2$S$_3$@SiO$_2$ pigments were then placed into the solution. Later, TEOS was added to the solution using a continuous flow pump, producing a sol mixture that was stirred for 3 h at 40 °C. Afterward, the sol mixture was centrifuged, washed in ethanol several times, and left in an oven at 70 °C to dry, yielding a SiO$_2$ xerogel-coated $\gamma$-Ce$_2$S$_3$. Finally, the SiO$_2$ xerogel-coated $\gamma$-Ce$_2$S$_3$ particles were inserted into a sealed double crucible and annealed in a hydrogen–argon gas mixture at 700 °C for 2 h in order to fabricate $\gamma$-Ce$_2$S$_3$@SiO$_2$ pigments.
Colloidal silica nanoparticles were used in a sol–gel process to coat the natural pigment of hematite (red ocher, \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)) [63], wherein the main objective was to produce a long-lasting hematite pigment of the Fe\(_2\)O\(_3@\)SiO\(_2\) nanocomposite that may be used to preserve artefacts. To achieve this, 2.2 wt\% of pure \(\alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) in the silica sol was charged over a prolonged time and continually stirred. The process of coating the Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) particles with SiNP lasted for 60 min. Finally, a Buchner funnel was used to gather the resultant Fe\(_2\)O\(_3@\)SiO\(_2\), which was initially washed using absolute ethanol and, then, by deionized water before being left to dry at 135 °C.

All-inclusive analyses of the previous literature revealed that SiO\(_2\) as the shell of a metal oxide core decreases the bulk conductivity and increases the suspension stability of the prepared core–shell particles. Moreover, the optical transparency of SiO\(_2\) allows a spectroscopic investigation of the core. The SiO\(_2\) shell is also helpful in increasing the thermal stability of the core materials. The toxic nature and ease of preparation of SiO\(_2\) recommends this material-based shell for the production of high-quality core–shell nanostructures with customized properties.

3.2. TiO\(_2\)-Based Shells

TiO\(_2\) is another type of shell-coating material that can be used to fabricate core–shell nanoparticles. Xue et al. [64] used it to improve the color stability of the resultant product. First, PS@TiO\(_2\) and Air@CTiO\(_2\) core–shell nanoparticles were created. Then, liquid NaOH (5 wt\%) was applied to purify the styrene (St) and methacrylic acids. This process was repeated three times before being placed in a refrigerator. These experiments were conducted using titanium butoxide (TBOT), acetic acid (99\%), ethanol, potassium persulfate, sulphuric acid (98\%), and hydrogen peroxide (H\(_2\)O\(_2\)) (28–30\%). In addition, deionized water was purified through a specialized water purification system possessing a resistivity in excess of 18 M\(\Omega\) cm. Besides, other analytical-grade reagents were used without any further purification. Subsequently, the soap-free emulsion polymerization and sol–gel methods were used to create PS@TiO\(_2\) spheres. Specifically, a hydrolysis reaction between TBOT and ethanol was performed for this purpose. PS (0.8 g) was manufactured in the laboratory and redistributed into an acetonitrile (10 mL) and ethyl alcohol (70 mL) solution. Next, ammonia (0.5 mL) was added to the PS dispersion for half an hour (500 rpm). A solvent containing a specified quantity of TBOT (2 mL), acetonitrile (10 mL), and pure alcohol (10 mL) was added to the PS solution drop by drop and continuously stirred for 2 h. The same process was repeated for 5, 10, and then 15 h, resulting in the formation of PS@TiO\(_2\) core–shell nanoparticles. The obtained PS@TiO\(_2\) nanoparticles were calcined twice to create APSs of hollow Air@C@TiO\(_2\) shells. First, PS@TiO\(_2\) nanoparticles were calcined at a rate of 1 °C/min at 500 °C and then stored in a nitrogen atmosphere for 2 h. The solutions were then left to cool down to room temperature, yielding dark Air@C@TiO\(_2\) shells. In the next step, as-prepared cupped shells were subject to calcination at a rate of 1 °C/min and at a temperature of 280 °C. The sample was kept under these conditions
for 10 min. The remaining products were left to cool down to room temperature naturally inside the furnace. The resultant dyes showed complete spherical Air@C@TiO₂ shells with suitable saturation levels. The same conditions were utilized to produce PS@TiO₂ spheres of various sizes via the calcination process.

Sadeghi-Niaraki et al. [65] produced Fe₂O₃@TiO₂ nanoparticles using TiO₂. A dual-functional nanostructured Fe₂O₃@TiO₂ pigment (sometimes referred to as a cool pigment) with unique photocatalytic properties and NIR reflection was achieved. Fe₂O₃@TiO₂ nanoparticles were created using different proportions of Fe₂O₃@TiO₂ at 0.06, 0.62, and 1.24. Furthermore, a coprecipitation method was used in the presence of Fe³⁺ precursors to fabricate Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles. Next, the sol–gel technique was used to coat them in TiO₂. To create Fe₂O₃@TiO₂ nanoparticles, various materials like ethanol, acetone, NH₃, TBOT, and Fe₂O₃ were used. Various ratios of Fe₂O₃:TiO₂ (0.02, 0.06, 1.0, 0.62, and 1.24) and different contents of Fe₂O₃ (0 for 0.05, 0.05, 0.05, 0.5, 1, and 0 g) were used. About 0.05 g of Fe₂O₃ was dispersed in solutions containing 25 mL of acetone, 25 mL of ethanol, and 0.15 mL of ammonia. To ensure the even dispersion of the solution, it was sonicated for 30 min before being supplemented with 3 mL of TBOT with intense stirring at 600 rpm. The reaction lasted for 2 h, and the remaining products were centrifuged at 3000 rpm and then rinsed with DI water, as well as ethanol. Eventually, they were left to air-dry at 80 °C for 12 h. The resultant Fe₂O₃@TiO₂ nanoparticles were then air-calcined for 2 h at 600 °C. Figure 4 depicts the schematic presentation of the Fe₂O₃@TiO₂ core–shell particle fabrication process.

Dandan et al. [66] used the hydrothermal technique to make homogeneous α-Fe₂O₃@TiO₂ core–shell nanoparticles. In this process, the photocatalytic performance was found to be enhanced when TiO₂ was used. To prepare the α-Fe₂O₃@TiO₂ particles, α-FeOOH nanoparticles were subjected to a hydrothermal process. To grow the homogeneous TiO₂ shell, TBOT was employed, and a sol–gel reaction was performed for 24 h at 45 °C. A two-stage solution preparation process was performed to produce α-Fe₂O₃@amorphous TiO₂ core–shell particles. The first stage involved the preparation of α-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles using the surfactant-free solvothermal method [67]. In this method, 14.5 mL of deionized water was magnetically stirred to dissolve 0.055 mol of FeCl₃·6H₂O, producing a yellowish substance. This substance was placed onto a Teflon-lined autoclave capable of holding 20.0 mL and left for 4 h in an electric oven at 180 °C. Once the reaction was finished, the autoclave was turned off and left to cool down to room temperature. The resultant specimen was centrifuged to achieve red precipitate, which was rinsed in distilled water and pure ethanol before being left to dry at room temperature. Then, about 0.02 g of the α-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles were placed in 30 mL of ethanol to get a suspension. Next, an ethanol mixture containing tetrabutyl titanate (Ti(BuO)₄) (volume ratio V_{Ti(BuO)₄}/V_{ethanol} = 0.3 mL/10 mL) was added into the suspension and stirred intensely for 2 h. Thereafter, a mixture made from 0.2 mL of water and 5.0 mL of ethanol was added to the solution. Subsequently, the solution was stirred for an extra 3 h at room temperature before being centrifuged to separate the precipitates. Later, the precipitates were washed multiple times with water.

Figure 4. Schematic illustration of the Fe₂O₃@TiO₂ core–shell particles’ preparation and formation [65].
and pure ethanol and left to air-dry. Finally, the obtained α-Fe₂O₃@TiO₂ core–shell particles were placed in a muffle furnace and heated at 450 °C for 2 h for calcination.

Using a chemical vapor deposition method, Wang et al. [67] produced β-FeOOH nanorod arrays. This also enhanced the process of separating photogenerated electron hole pairs using simulated solar light. First, the FTO substrates coated with β-FeOOH nanorod arrays were placed in the PECVD chamber. Then, a glass flask containing a titanium precursor was attached to the reaction system. To ensure the sufficiency of the vapor pressure of the precursor, the glass flask was heated at 70 °C. Subsequently, the chamber pressure was reduced to less than 0.5 Pa, and 20 sccm of O₂ was added to the chamber. The PECVD approach (100 W of plasma power with different durations) was used to produce β-FeOOH nanorod arrays with varying TiO₂ shell thicknesses. After the post-annealing treatment for two hours at 750 °C, the Fe₂O₃@TiO₂ core–shell nanorod arrays were obtained. Zhang et al. [68] also used this approach to fabricate α-Fe₂O₃@TiO₂ particles with porous structures, wherein the main objective was to improve the photocatalytic activity of the achieved products.

To create highly dispersed NiTiO₃@TiO₂ yellow pigments in a core–shell form, the precursors acquired from the Ni²⁺ present on the surface of TiO₂ particles [69,70] were calcined. The first step of this two-stage process involved the controlled hydrolysis of TBOT (tetra butyl titanate). In this process, 300 mL of ethanol and 1.0 mL of KCl solution (0.4 mM) were mixed and stirred for 20 min, and then, 5 mL of TBOT was added with continual stirring for 10 min. After stirring was discontinued, the reactions were completed, and the resultant powder was obtained via centrifugation. The obtained powder was washed multiple times with water and ethanol. The as-prepared white powder was heated in a vacuum oven (60 °C) for 12 h to dry. Second, 0.2 g of as-prepared TiO₂ powder was dispersed into 200 mL of distilled water, followed by ultrasonication. Next, Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O and CO(NH₂)₂ were added to the suspension, and the solution was stirred for 30 min. A water bath at 80 °C was then used to heat the suspension following continual stirring for 6 h. After cooling, the suspension was centrifuged to collect the precipitates. The precipitates were then washed multiple times with water and ethanol and stored at 60 °C overnight to produce green powders. Furthermore, the NiTiO₃@TiO₂ yellow pigments were produced by calcinating it for three hours at different temperatures in the range of 500–950 °C. The samples were labeled as NiTiO₃-x@TiO₂ (x-range was from 0.25 to 1.0 wt%).

Table 1. Core–shell nanostructure pigment synthesis methods.

| No. | Core–Shell NPs | Synthesis Method | Synthesis Details (Brief) | Ref. |
|-----|----------------|------------------|--------------------------|------|
| 1   | Fe₂O₃@SiO₂     | Sol–gel method   | The sol–gel method to hydrolyze tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) in an attempt to cover iron oxide nanoparticles in uniform shells. By changing the sol–gel precursor concentration, it was possible to modify the thickness of these silica shells. | [53] |
| 2   | CeO₂@SiO₂      | Sol–gel method   | To synthesize the SiO₂-covered CeO₂ nanoparticles, cyclohexane (99%) was applied as the organic phase in order to perform reverse micelles on polyoxyethylene (15) cetyl ether. Amorphous SiO₂ (a-SiO₂) sol and Sr²⁺-doped CeO₂ were employed as precursors. The original materials used in this experiment were Si(OC₂H₅)₄ (TEOS), Sr(NO₃)₂, Ce(NO₃)₃·6H₂O, (NH₄)₂CO₃, ammonia water, and ethanol. | [54] |
| 2   | Sr²⁺-doped γ-Ce₂S₃@c-SiO₂ | Stöber method | Amorphous SiO₂ (a-SiO₂) sol and Sr²⁺-doped CeO₂ were employed as precursors. The original materials used in this experiment were Si(OC₂H₅)₄ (TEOS), Sr(NO₃)₂, Ce(NO₃)₃·6H₂O, (NH₄)₂CO₃, ammonia water, and ethanol. | [57] |
| No. | Core–Shell NPs                  | Synthesis Method                  | Synthesis Details (Brief)                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Ref. |
|-----|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 3   | $\text{Zn}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x\text{O}_2@\text{SiO}_2$ | Stöber method                    | A polyol process was used to form ZnO doped with Co$^{2+}$, a well-known substitute for chromium-based inorganic pigments. Additionally, to source the zinc and cobalt needed in the experiment, zinc acetate dihydrate (Zn(CH$_3$OO)$_2$·2H$_2$O) and cobalt nitrate (Co(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O) were employed, with diethylene glycol (H$_4$C$_8$O$_3$) being used as a template. The Stöber method was carried out to fabricate the reddish pigments using $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ as chromophore particles and tetraethoxysilane (TEOS, AR) to source the silica. | [58] |
| 4   | $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3@\text{SiO}_2$            | Hydrothermal and Stöber methods  | The original materials used in the process were FeCl$_3$·6H$_2$O (97%), tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS 99.99%), cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB, 99%), and NH$_4$OH (28% in water, 99.99%), which were obtained from Aldrich. Additionally, DI water was employed. | [28] |
| 5   | $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3@\text{SiO}_2$             | Sol–gel method                   | Silica nanoparticles were used in a sol–gel process to coat the natural pigment of hematite (red ocher, $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$), the objective of which was to produce a long-lasting hematite pigment of the Fe$_2$O$_3$@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite that could be used to preserve artefacts. To achieve this, 2.2 wt% of pure $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ in the silica sol was charged over a period of time and continually stirred. | [60] |
| 6   | ZnS@SiO$_2$                                  | Homogeneous deposition method     | The $\gamma$-Ce$_2$S$_3$ red pigments with a silica layer using the Stöber technique combined with hydrogen–argon atmosphere heat treatment (700 °C). Silica nanoparticles were used in a sol–gel process to coat the natural pigment of hematite (red ocher, $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$), the objective of which was to produce a long-lasting hematite pigment of the Fe$_2$O$_3$@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite that could be used to preserve artefacts. To achieve this, 2.2 wt% of pure $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ in the silica sol was charged over a period of time and continually stirred. | [61] |
| 7   | $\gamma$-Ce$_2$S$_3@\text{SiO}_2$            | Stöber method                    | The $\gamma$-Ce$_2$S$_3$ red pigments with a silica layer using the Stöber technique combined with hydrogen–argon atmosphere heat treatment (700 °C). Silica nanoparticles were used in a sol–gel process to coat the natural pigment of hematite (red ocher, $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$), the objective of which was to produce a long-lasting hematite pigment of the Fe$_2$O$_3$@SiO$_2$ nanocomposite that could be used to preserve artefacts. To achieve this, 2.2 wt% of pure $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ in the silica sol was charged over a period of time and continually stirred. | [62] |
| 8   | $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3@\text{SiO}_2$            | Sol–gel process                  | The experiments were conducted using titanium butoxide (TBOT), acetic acid (99%), ethanol, potassium persulfate, sulphuric acid (98%), and hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) (28–30%). To create Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$ nanoparticles, various materials were used, including ethanol, acetone, NH$_3$, TBOT, and Fe$_2$O$_3$. The following ratios of Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$ were also used: 0.02, 0.06, 1.0, 0.62, 1.24, and 0 for 0.05, 0.05, 0.05, 0.5, 1, and 0 g of Fe$_2$O$_3$, respectively. Usually, 0.05 g of Fe$_2$O$_3$ are dispersed in solutions containing 25 mL of acetone, 25 mL of ethanol, and 0.15 mL of ammonia. | [63] |
| 9   | PS@TiO$_2$ and Air@C@TiO$_2$                  | Soap-free emulsion polymerization and sol–gel methods | To create Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$ nanoparticles, various materials were used, including ethanol, acetone, NH$_3$, TBOT, and Fe$_2$O$_3$. The following ratios of Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$ were also used: 0.02, 0.06, 1.0, 0.62, 1.24, and 0 for 0.05, 0.05, 0.05, 0.5, 1, and 0 g of Fe$_2$O$_3$, respectively. Usually, 0.05 g of Fe$_2$O$_3$ are dispersed in solutions containing 25 mL of acetone, 25 mL of ethanol, and 0.15 mL of ammonia. The $\alpha$-FeOOH nanoparticles were subjected to a hydrothermal process. Additionally, to create the homogeneous TiO$_2$ shell, a TBOT was employed, and a sol–gel reaction was performed for 24 h at 45 °C. A two-stage solution preparation process was then carried out to produce $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$@amorphous TiO$_2$ core–shell particles, the first stage of which involved preparing $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles through the performance of a surfactant-free solvothermal method. | [64] |
| 10  | Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$                           | Sol–gel method                   | To create Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$ nanoparticles, various materials were used, including ethanol, acetone, NH$_3$, TBOT, and Fe$_2$O$_3$. The following ratios of Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$ were also used: 0.02, 0.06, 1.0, 0.62, 1.24, and 0 for 0.05, 0.05, 0.05, 0.5, 1, and 0 g of Fe$_2$O$_3$, respectively. Usually, 0.05 g of Fe$_2$O$_3$ are dispersed in solutions containing 25 mL of acetone, 25 mL of ethanol, and 0.15 mL of ammonia. The $\alpha$-FeOOH nanoparticles were subjected to a hydrothermal process. Additionally, to create the homogeneous TiO$_2$ shell, a TBOT was employed, and a sol–gel reaction was performed for 24 h at 45 °C. A two-stage solution preparation process was then carried out to produce $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$@amorphous TiO$_2$ core–shell particles, the first stage of which involved preparing $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles through the performance of a surfactant-free solvothermal method. | [65] |
| 11  | $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3@\text{TiO}_2$            | Hydrothermal method/sol–gel method | To create Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$ nanoparticles, various materials were used, including ethanol, acetone, NH$_3$, TBOT, and Fe$_2$O$_3$. The following ratios of Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$ were also used: 0.02, 0.06, 1.0, 0.62, 1.24, and 0 for 0.05, 0.05, 0.05, 0.5, 1, and 0 g of Fe$_2$O$_3$, respectively. Usually, 0.05 g of Fe$_2$O$_3$ are dispersed in solutions containing 25 mL of acetone, 25 mL of ethanol, and 0.15 mL of ammonia. The $\alpha$-FeOOH nanoparticles were subjected to a hydrothermal process. Additionally, to create the homogeneous TiO$_2$ shell, a TBOT was employed, and a sol–gel reaction was performed for 24 h at 45 °C. A two-stage solution preparation process was then carried out to produce $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$@amorphous TiO$_2$ core–shell particles, the first stage of which involved preparing $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles through the performance of a surfactant-free solvothermal method. | [66] |
| 12  | $\beta$-FeOOH nanorod@TiO$_2$             | Plasma-assisted chemical vapor deposition | To create Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$ nanoparticles, various materials were used, including ethanol, acetone, NH$_3$, TBOT, and Fe$_2$O$_3$. The following ratios of Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$ were also used: 0.02, 0.06, 1.0, 0.62, 1.24, and 0 for 0.05, 0.05, 0.05, 0.5, 1, and 0 g of Fe$_2$O$_3$, respectively. Usually, 0.05 g of Fe$_2$O$_3$ are dispersed in solutions containing 25 mL of acetone, 25 mL of ethanol, and 0.15 mL of ammonia. The $\alpha$-FeOOH nanoparticles were subjected to a hydrothermal process. Additionally, to create the homogeneous TiO$_2$ shell, a TBOT was employed, and a sol–gel reaction was performed for 24 h at 45 °C. A two-stage solution preparation process was then carried out to produce $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$@amorphous TiO$_2$ core–shell particles, the first stage of which involved preparing $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles through the performance of a surfactant-free solvothermal method. | [67] |
Table 1. Cont.

| No. | Core–Shell NPs | Synthesis Method                  | Synthesis Details (Brief)                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Ref.  |
|-----|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| 13  | α-Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$ | Plasma-assisted chemical vapor deposition | Similar to the above approach in point 12, the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$ particles were fabricated with porous structures, the key objective of which was to improve the photocatalytic activity. After which, 5 mL of TBOT was added. It was then stirred for 10 min. Secondly, 0.2 gas-prepared TiO$_2$ powder was dispersed into 200 mL of distilled water ultrasonically, and then, Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and CO(NH$_2$)$_2$ were added to the suspension, and the solution was stirred for 30 min. | [68]  |
| 14  | NiTiO$_3$@TiO$_2$ | Sol–gel method                     | First stage, 300 mL of ethanol and 1.0 mL of KCl liquid solution (0.4 mM) were mixed and stirred for 20 min; after which, 5 mL of TBOT was added. It was then stirred for 10 min. Secondly, 0.2 gas-prepared TiO$_2$ powder was dispersed into 200 mL of distilled water ultrasonically, and then, Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and CO(NH$_2$)$_2$ were added to the suspension, and the solution was stirred for 30 min. | [69,70] |

4. Core Materials

4.1. Ferric Oxide

The inorganic compound ferric oxide (also known as iron oxide) represented by the formula Fe$_2$O$_3$ is one of the three oxides of iron, along with iron (II) oxide (FeO) (relatively rare compound) and iron (II, III) oxide (Fe$_3$O$_4$), a naturally occurring substance found in mineral magnetite. Fe$_2$O$_3$ is also known as hematite, which is a primary material used to source iron in the steel industry. Generally, iron oxides, including FeO, α-Fe$_2$O$_3$, γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$, and Fe$_3$O$_4$, are applied in cosmetics, electronic materials, pigments, abrasives, and coatings [71–73]. α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ is an important inorganic red pigment and is safe to use because of its nontoxic nature [26]. Over the last few years, an increasing number of researchers have been investigating nanoscale magnetic materials due to their wide array of potential applications, including information storage, magnetic refrigeration, separation, magneto-optical solid devices, and magnetic resonance imaging, in addition to many conventional applications (i.e., plastic reinforcement and fluid rheological additives). These nanoparticles are also suitable for making high-performance devices. Various chemical techniques have been used to fabricate these nanoparticles with narrow-sized distributions and tailored morphologies, as well as structures. These techniques include flame-spray pyrolysis, sol–gel, hot-soap, plasma chemical vapor deposition (CVD), microemulsion, and electrospay pyrolysis.

Many researchers [26,74–77] have used hematite (Fe$_2$O$_3$) to produce Fe$_2$O$_3$@SiO$_2$ pigments. For instance, an α-Fe$_2$O$_3$@SiO$_2$ composite core–shell was produced to serve as a protective coating for pigments [63]. Ri et al. [77] used core–shell technology to enhance the thermal properties of pigments by coating them with Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles. Silica was used to coat both β-FeOOH and α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles with tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS), and cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) was used as a surface modifier. The physical properties of the two samples were compared. Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ nanoparticles were prepared [76] using the coprecipitation method. In the air atmosphere, a FeCl$_3$ (0.5 M) and FeSO$_4$ (0.5 M) solution with a molar ratio of 1.75 to 1 was produced to make magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs). After this, 10 mL of liquid ammonia was added to the mixture and stirred intensely, and extra ammonia was added until a pH value of 9 was achieved. The solution was then stirred for another 30 min. Lastly, magnetite was used to obtain the precipitates, which were rinsed multiple times with deionized water and alcohol to reduce the pH to 7.0. To adjust the surface of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ particles, MNPs were reacted with sodium citrate. In this process, 1 g of Fe$_3$O$_4$ MNPs was combined with 200 mL of sodium citrate (0.5 M) in a flask. Then, the solution was ultrasonically irradiated for 30 min in order to bring the MNPs together. Next, it was kept at 60.8 °C and stirred whilst being protected by the air atmosphere. Magnetite was then used to collect the precipitates, which were rinsed in acetone to eliminate any remaining sodium citrate. The new MNPs with modified surfaces were dispersed again in 100 mL of deionized water to create the ferrofluids (FF).
Subsequently, 2 mL of the FF was placed in 50 mL of deionized water and ultrasonically irradiated for 30 min. The obtained suspension was added to 150 mL of ethanol plus 5 mL of NH₃·H₂O and stirred intensively at 40 °C. Later, 4 mL of TEOS were added to the solution for 12 h to complete the reaction. Lastly, the solution was filtrated to collect the precipitates. The collected precipitates was rinsed with ethanol and deionized water before being left to dry for 12 h in a vacuum.

Chen et al. [28] used Fe₂O₃ as the core material to synthesize Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ core–shell particles. A hydrothermal process was used to fabricate the highly dispersed α-Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles. First, 2.89 g of FeCl₃·6H₂O and 3.39 g of Na₂CO₃ were placed in 80 mL of deionized water with constant stirring. This produced an orange solution that was stirred for 15 min before being placed in a stainless-steel autoclave of 100-mL capacity and maintained at 180 °C for 8 h, then left to cool down to room temperature. The obtained mixture was centrifuged to get a red precipitate, which was washed three times in water and deionized water. The sample was then stored at 70 °C for 5 h to let it dry.

Repeated experiments verified that iron oxide can be mixed with other inorganic elements to form core–shell particles. Rahimi et al. [78] used the hydrothermal process to fabricate nano-hollow Zn-Fe₂O₃ microspheres where the chemical reagents of ZnCl₂, FeCl₃·6H₂O, and ammonium acetate were mixed. In this process, a mechanical stirrer was used to mix the solution containing 70 mL of ethylene glycol, iron (III) chloride, and zinc chloride for further purification. About 2.312 g of NH₄Ac was added to the mixture with constant stirring, wherein the solution slowly turned a dark-yellow color. The obtained solution was sonicated for 40 min, followed by oven heating at 215 °C for 4 h in order to produce some black precipitates. Finally, the ZnO nanoparticles were immobilized on the surface of the Zn-ferrite spheres to form Zn-Fe₂O₄@ZnO core–shell structured spheres, which was achieved through a sol–gel approach.

4.2. Silver

In recent times, many researchers have been focusing on the syntheses and characterizations of various metallic nanoparticles (NPs) to serve as core–shell pigments for diverse industrial applications. These NPs possess many unusual properties, including an enhanced resistance to harsh environments and increased color stability desirable for widespread applications. Silver (Ag) is an example of a metallic nanoparticle that has been widely used as a core–shell composite in the manufacturing industry. In an attempt to identify a material that can be used in marine settings to prevent corrosion, Zhang et al. [79] produced Ag@SiO₂ core–shell nanoparticles. In this process, 5 mL of 0.1-M liquid hydrazine was immersed in 400 mL of aqueous solution (0.146 g, C₁₆TMABr) and stirred vigorously at room temperature for 2 min; after which, 10 mL of 0.05-M AgNO₃ solution was added to the solution drop by drop to prepare the Ag colloids. This caused the solution to turn a reddish-brown color. Thereafter, 100 mL of ethanol and 4 mL of liquid ammonia (25 wt%) were added to the silver colloids. Finally, 0.5 mL of TEOS was put into the solution and stirred for two more hours to produce a suspension containing monodisperse Ag@SiO₂ core–shell nanoparticles. Figure 5 presents the various steps of the preparation.

![Figure 5. Schematic illustration for Ag@SiO₂ core–shell nanoparticle synthesis.](image-url)
4.3. Ceric Oxide

Ceric oxide is a pale-yellow/white powder derived from the rare-earth metal cerium and has the chemical formula CeO₂. It is an important commercial product and plays a significant role in purifying elements from their ores. In recent years, increasing research focus has been paid to produce CeO₂@SiO₂ core–shell nanoparticles due to their several benefits [80,81]. Tago et al. [54] implemented a CeO₂-based core to fabricate a core–shell nanoparticle. Yu et al. [43] successfully produced different g-Ce₂S₃@SiO₂ pigments through a process of sulfurizing their existing CeO₂ coatings with SiO₂ shells of varying thicknesses. In this preparation method, 2 g of Ce(NO₃)₃·6H₂O was ultrasonically dissolved in 2 mL of deionized water in order to create the CeO₂ nanoparticles, and then, 2 mL of CH₃COOH and 60 mL of glycol were added. The whole mixture was stirred for 30 min to produce a homogeneous solution and then placed in a sealed Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave for 200 min, followed by a heat treatment at 180 °C. The obtained mixture was centrifuged to separate the precipitates, followed by rinsing with ethanol and water and air-drying at 60 °C. Figure 6 shows the process of coating CeO₂ with SiO₂ using the sol–gel technique.

![Image](image-url)

**Figure 6.** Formation of g-Ce₂S₃@SiO₂ nanoparticles [43].

Many studies were conducted to wrap the pigments in a clear material to enhance the temperature stability and antioxidant properties of the γ-Ce₂S₃ pigments. For instance, an amorphous SiO₂ (a-SiO₂)-coated γ-Ce₂S₃ (γ-Ce₂S₃@a-SiO₂) was produced [82]. Chen et al. were able to raise the anti-oxidization temperature of the γ-Ce₂S₃@a-SiO₂ pigments to 450 °C [42]. Despite the fact that coating the surface of γ-Ce₂S₃ pigments with SiO₂ can enhance the pigments’ temperature stability, the extent of this enhancement is still limited, which may be due to the low density of the a-SiO₂ cladding layer. An alternative to this would be to coat the γ-Ce₂S₃ pigments with a dense crystal SiO₂ (c-SiO₂) layer, which would significantly improve the temperature stability of γ-Ce₂S₃. In this rationale, Li et al. [57] used Ce₂S₃@SiO₂ composites to successfully increase the temperature and acid stability of red pigments.

4.4. Cetrimonium Bromide

Cetrimonium bromide (CTAB) has been widely applied to fabricate nanoparticles and pigments. An experiment was performed [83] using the electrostatic self-assembly technique to create yellow SiO₂/Hansa pigment composite particles in a liquid solution. In this method, cetyltrimethylammonium bromide served as the coupling agent that positively charged the yellow pigment particles. Next, the particles were coated with a thin layer of SiO₂ through the hydrolysis of Na₂SiO₃, which improved their charge load and optical and mechanical properties. The process of coating colloids with silica is generally two-fold. The first step usually involves the adsorption of CTAB and growth of the silica shell following the hydrolysis of Na₂SiO₃.

Figure 7 shows the process of synthesis. First, a glass of 500-mL volume was filled with a solution containing 0.18 g of CTAB and 150 g of water. Then, the mixture was stirred for 10 min at room temperature to form the CTAB solution. About 1.0 g of organic pigment was subsequently added to the CTAB solution and stirred ultrasonically for 60 min to create a stable organic pigment suspension. CTAB thus served as the dispersing and coupling
agent. Once the yellow pigments were added to the CTAB solution, the system temperature was increased to 92 °C. Thereafter, 20 mL of Na2SiO3 solution (3.5 g of Na2SiO3·9H2O) and 40 mL of H2SO4 solution (1.2 g of H2SO4) were placed into the pigment emulsion drop by drop, followed by the addition of the Na2SiO3 solution (at a pH of 9). Then, the pH of the H2SO4 solution was increased to 9 to 10. It was very important to keep the time interval between the two different drops over 5 s. The entire process took approximately 1 h to complete. Later, the temperature and reaction conditions were kept the same for another 5 h. Finally, the H2SO4 solution was utilized to reduce the pH to 2 to 3 and was kept the same for 2 h. The resulting solution was centrifuged to obtain the precipitates, which were then washed multiple times with pure ethanol and placed in a vacuum at 60 °C for 4 h to dry.

![Figure 7. Mechanism of composite electrophoresis particle formation [83].](image)

4.5. Titanium

Green pigments made with titanium dioxide or titania (TiO2) are frequently used in the field of plastic production, building materials, glass coatings, and ceramics. Zou and Zhang [84] applied TiO2 as the core material to adjust the color properties of complex TiO2@CoTiO3 green pigments. To synthesize TiO2, 20 mL of TiCl4 was placed on ice blocks and stirred intensively. Once the blocks were fully melted, deionized water was added to dilute the solution to form 1 mL of precursor solution. Then, a dispersant (1 wt% of PEG10000) was placed into the mixture, which was refluxed for 4 h at 100 °C. About 10% of liquid ammonia was added to the solution to modify its pH value. The solution was washed multiple times and dried for 4 h at a temperature of 90 °C in order to collect the resultant TiO2 powder. Subsequently, as-prepared TiO2 powder was reinserted into the distilled water and stirred vigorously to produce 5 wt% of the suspension. After adding cobalt chloride to the mixture, 2 mL of sodium hydroxide solution was applied to increase the pH to 10. This yielded the precipitate, which was filtered and washed multiple times. After drying at 105 °C for 4 h, the powder was calcined at different temperatures (600–1000 °C) for 60 min, producing the final complex pigments.

5. Core–Shell Synthesis Methods

As aforementioned, core–shell particles are particles that consist of a shell and a core, wherein both can be made using the same or different materials [45,85,86]. Figure 8 shows various core/shell particles, wherein the core and shell are marked in different colors. The core can be in the form of a single sphere (Figure 8a) or a collection of many small spheres (Figure 8b). Moreover, there may be a hollow shell with a small sphere inside, which appears in a yolk–shell structure (Figure 8c) [87]. The shell structure may be in the form of a continuous layer (Figure 8a–c), multiple smaller spheres accumulated onto a larger core sphere (Figure 8d,e), or a simple collection of core spheres (Figure 8f) [88]. In fact, the insertion of smaller spheres into the shell can be performed to produce more intricate core/shell structures (Figure 8g) [89]. Alternatively, the same process can be carried out using multiple shells (Figure 8h) [90,91]. Varieties of chemical or physical methods can be used to synthesize core/shell nanoparticles, including wet chemistry and chemical/physical vapor deposition. Generally, multiple steps are involved in core–shell particle production. Typically, core particles are synthesized first; after which, a shell is created around the particle. However, the methods used to achieve these nanoparticles vary according to the type of core and shell materials under consideration [21]. The primary objective of core–shell particle preparation is to bring together the desired properties of
diverse materials and structures to produce a synergistic effect, stabilize the active particles, and generate biocompatible properties [92]. Figure 8 illustrates various types of core–shell structures, depending on the type of core and shell materials, preparation method, and applications.

![Figure 8. Schematic representation of different types of core–shell particles](image)

Core–shell nanoparticles have become a fundamental nanomaterial for various industrial usages. During the preparation of core–shell NPs, it is important to identify a simple, quick, and cost-efficient method with the least-possible negative impacts on the environment. To meet this target, several methods have been developed, including the microemulsion, sol–gel, microwave synthesis, multistep reduction, epitaxial growth, electrochemical dealloying, sonochemical processing, and Stöber methods. Alternatively, these methods can be combined to create a hybrid method. Amongst all these approaches, the sol–gel method is most common for core–shell NP preparation. This method is a relatively new approach for preparing nanoparticles that allows for more control over the reaction processes involved in synthesizing solid materials. Homogenous multicomponent systems are easy to acquire, especially homogenous mixed oxides that can be prepared through the mixing of molecular precursor solutions. The sol–gel method is used in materials science to transform small molecules into solid materials. It is often applied to produce metal oxides like SiO₂ and TiO₂. To achieve this, the monomer must be converted into a colloidal solution (sol), which then serves as a precursor for a combined network consisting of network polymers or discrete particles. Generally, the metal alkoxides are used as precursors. During the chemical reaction, a colloidal solution called a “sol” is produced and gradually forms a gel-like diphasic substance consisting of a liquid and a solid phase. The morphologies of these phases can be in the form of discrete particles or continuous polymer networks. With regards to the colloid, it may be necessary to remove vast amounts of liquid if the volume fraction of the particles (or particle density) is extremely low in order to evoke the gel-like properties. It can be achieved in several ways, wherein the easiest one is to allow sufficient time for the sedimentation to occur. Subsequently, the remaining fluid can be poured away. Conversely, phase separation can be sped up through a process called centrifugation. As a wet chemical process, the sol–gel method is widely applied to produce core–shell nanoparticles [93–95].

Microemulsions are isotropic liquid mixtures consisting of water, surfactant, oil, and often, cosurfactants thermodynamically stable and clear in appearance. There may be salt present in the aqueous phase, as well as various other ingredients. The “oil” may actually be an intricate mix of various different hydrocarbons. As opposed to normal emulsions, microemulsions are created by mixing components, and no high shear conditions are required to produce them. There are three main types of microemulsions—namely, direct (oil dispersed in water, o/w), reversed (water dispersed in oil, w/o), and bi-continuous.
Microemulsions are ternary systems in which two separate immiscible phases (water and “oil”) occur with a surfactant, and the surfactant molecules can create a monolayer between the oil and water. Additionally, the surfactant molecules have hydrophobic tails that dissolve during the oil phase, whilst hydrophilic head groups dissolve in the liquid phase. To synthesize gold and silver core–shell bimetallic nanoparticles quickly, two-step microwave irradiation is often used. This strategy requires the creation of a bilayer organic barrier around the core, which is achieved using citrate and ascorbic acids, which serve as capping agents. In turn, this enables a well-defined boundary layer to be established between the core and the shell materials. The boundary layer plays a vital role in the process of synthesizing various core–shell particles, which results in the production of modifiable bimetallic NPs with clearly defined core/shell structures. These nanoparticles may have spherical or triangular seed cores.

Another technique that can be used to create core–shell materials such as carbon nanotubes is high-pressure chemical vapor deposition. This method was developed by Nikolaev et al. [96] with the main focus of creating a single-wall carbon nanotube by combing CO with a small quantity of Fe(CO)₅ and passing the mixture through a heated reactor. El-Gendy et al. [97] synthesized carbon-coated Fe, Co, Ni, FeRu, CoRu, NiRu, NiPt, and CoPt nanoparticles using high-pressure chemical vapor deposition and manipulating the temperature and pressure inside the reactor. In these experiments, metal–organic precursors known as metallocenes (carbon-rich metal elements) were used. The precursors were inserted into a thermostatic sublimation chamber; after which, argon gas was passed through the chamber to push the vapor into the reactor’s hot zone. The precursors could then break down the nanoparticles in the cooling finger. The precursor entered the gas phase in the hot zone to perform a supersaturation. Subsequently, the nanoparticles were nucleated when the supersaturation was achieved. The extent of a supersaturation can be changed by controlling the temperature inside the sublimation chambers and the pressure/temperature inside the chemical vapor deposition reactor. High pressure increases collisions between gas atoms, which ultimately reduces the rate at which atoms diffuse away from the original location. However, it is important to note that supersaturation cannot be achieved if the diffusion rate is poor, and this will result in single atoms or tiny clusters of atoms being deposited on the cooling finger.

The wet chemical technique was used [98] to synthesize Fe₂O₃ coated with graphene shells. A solution containing oleic acid and 1-octadecene was heated to 320 °C in a reflux reactor to dissolve purified iron oleate. Subsequently, ethanol and acetone were applied to wash the solution in order to generate iron oxide particles. Additionally, the Stöber process is commonly used to prepare silica (SiO₂) particles [99] of controllable and uniform size [100], and these particles can be used for many purposes in the field of material science. This method was proposed by Werner Stöber et al. in 1968 [99] and is still the most common wet chemistry synthetic approach employed to prepare silica nanoparticles [101]. This technique is a sol–gel process, in which a molecular precursor (typically tetraethylorthosilicate) is placed in water and reacted with an alcoholic solution. This causes the newly produced molecules to join together and create bigger structures.

Du et al. [102] used a sol–gel reaction to coat Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles with a SiO₂ shell, yielding a core/shell structure. To synthesize the core/shell nanocomposites, a two-step procedure was used. First, the coprecipitation method was used to acquire Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles; after which, electrostatic interactions with tetramethylammonium hydroxide (TMAOH) were performed to disperse the particles in a liquid solution. Secondly, the produced SiO₂ during the hydrolyzation of tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) was used to cap Fe₃O₄. Figure 9 presents the sol–gel technique used by Li et al. [103] to produce ZnSiO₃/ZnO core–shell nanoparticles. In this experiment, they combined the sol–gel with an annealing technique to synthesize zinc silicate-zinc oxide (Zn₂SiO₄@ZnO) core–shell nanoparticles with a high band gap energy. First, the concentrations of the Na₂SiO₃/NaCl₂ precursors were modified to coat ZnO nanoparticles with ZnSiO₃ shells of various thicknesses; after which, a low annealing temperature (780 °C) was set. This
caused the amorphous ZnSiO₃ to react with ZnO and to produce a crystalline Zn₂SiO₄ shell. The sol–gel technique was also used by Chai et al. [93] to produce core/shell-structured Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ nanoparticles. They employed a two-step process to create silica-coated magnetite (Fe₃O₄@SiO₂) core–shell nanoparticles. Firstly, they used a solvothermal technique to fabricate Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles. Subsequently, SiO₂ produced during the hydrolyzation of tetraethyl orthosilicate was used to coat the Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles.

A two-step reduction method was used [104] to produce epitaxial Au@Ni core/shell nanocrystals. The first step involved mixing octahedral, triangular and hexagonal platelike, decahedral, and icosahedral crystals; after which, HAuCl₄ was reduced in ethylene glycol (EG) and heated in a microwave with polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) added as a polymer surfactant. This enabled the core seeds to be produced. After this, oil bath heating was carried out to reduce Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O in EG with NaOH and PVP using oil bath heating in order to overgrow the Ni shells on the Au core seeds. Similarly, Fan et al. [105] employed a two-step seed-mediated growth technique using Au cores to test the synthesis of bimetallic core–shell nanocubes in liquid phases. The formation of heterogeneous core/shell structures for four typical noble metals (i.e., Au, Ag, Pd, and Pt) was systematically assessed. The findings of these experiments highlighted the growth modes and general requirements for achieving conformal epitaxial growth, as well as the heterogeneous nucleation and formation of different noble metals. It identified two types of growth for heterogeneous metal shells on gold cores—namely, conformal epitaxial growth (Au@Pd and Au@Ag nanocubes) and heterogeneous nucleation and island growth (Au@Pt nanospheres). Based on their findings, it was concluded that the two metals should have comparable lattice constants, with mismatches being below 5%. Other researchers also confirmed the validity of these findings (Au@Ag (lattice mismatch, 0.2%), Au@Pd (4.7%), and Pt@Pd (0.85%)) [106–108].

Tsuji et al. [109] used a one-pot polyol technique to synthesize Ag@Cu core–shell nanoparticles with a high yield. In this method, bubbling Ar gas was used. Chemical reagents such as AgNO₃ and Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O were added to serve as the reagents. First, AgNO₃ was reduced in ethylene glycol (EG) to synthesize Ag@Cu particles via a two-step process. To start, Ag cores particles were separated from AgNO₃; after which, Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O was added to produce Cu shells. However, this was ineffective and produced no Cu@Ag core--shell particles; rather, Cu/Ag bi-compartmentalized particles were produced. In this work, Ag@Cu particles were prepared using different methods at various reaction temperatures and heating times. It was observed that adding the two reagents in reverse was the most effective way of producing Ag@Cu particles. To start the process, 8 mL of 15.9-mM Cu (OAc)₂·H₂O in EG and 8 mL of 477-mM poly(vinylpyrrolidone) (PVP; MW: 55,000 monomer units) were placed in EG, and the solution was mixed in a 100-mL three-necked flask. To eliminate all of the oxygen from the solution, Ar gas was bubbled for 10 min at room temperature. The solution was soaked in an oil bath at 180 °C. Subsequently, the temperature was increased to 175 °C, and the solution was left to bubble in Ar for several minutes; after which, 2 mL of 15.7-mM AgNO₃ was added to the reagent solution and kept for 20 min at 175 °C. The concentrations of the final yields were 7.0 mM for Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O, 1.7 mM for AgNO₃, and 212 mM for PVP. The influence of different
reaction times was tested on the reagent solution to further investigate the Ag@Cu growth process.

A modified Stöber technique was used by Chae et al. [93] to produce Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$. A sol–gel method was employed to prepare the Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ nanoparticles. The synthesis method involved the addition of 4 g of Fe$_3$O$_4$ particles and 4 mL of tetraethyl orthosilicate to 40 mL of deionized water, followed by ultrasonication to mix the solution, forming a stable emulsion. Thereafter, the emulsion was inserted into a mixture containing 50 mL of ethanol and 12 mL of NH$_3$·H$_2$O. The resultant solution was stirred for 4 h at room temperature at a rate of 400 rpm, followed by centrifugation to separate the core–shell structure of Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$. Figure 10 demonstrates the procedure for Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ synthesis.

![Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ synthesis](image)

**Figure 10.** The Stöber method for Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ nanoparticle synthesis [93].

Sharma et al. [110] showed that core/shell particles can be fabricated through precipitation without a surfactant. They investigated the impacts of different surfactants and various concentrations on core–shell particle development. To investigate this, anionic and nonionic surfactants were tested. Additionally, fly ash was employed to create nanoTiO$_2$ for shell coating [110]. The main purpose of using surfactants was to enhance nanotitania shells’ adhesion to fly ash core. It was concluded that, to determine the optimum impacts of TiO$_2$ adhesion onto fly ash, various surfactants must be tested. One test was carried out without a surfactant, whilst other tests employed anionic and nonionic surfactants. The particles produced with the addition of an anionic surfactant were found to have better pigment properties and reflectance in the near-infrared area than the one obtained without a surfactant. Thus, such systems were claimed to be used effectively as a pigment in cool coatings. Fly ash was placed in a solution containing 70% ethanol supplemented with an anionic (SDS) or nonionic surfactant (TX-100). Next, titanium isopropoxide was added to the solution, followed by stirring for two hours. After two hours, the solution was dried in the range of 50–600 °C, and the resultant dry powder was collected. An experiment was conducted [111] using the semi-batch emulsion polymerization method to synthesize PUA hybrid emulsion PA/PU with a ratio of 20/80. A 250-mL four-neck glass flask containing a reflux condenser, digital thermometer, nitrogen gas inlet, and mechanical stirrer was used in this synthesis process. To prepare the pre-emulsion, SDS (2.0 g per 100 g of the acrylic and PU content) was dissolved in water. Subsequently, 5.0 g of MMA, 5.0 g of BA, and 0.015 g of AA (0.15 wt% of the overall MMA and BA weights) were added gradually to the emulsifier mixture and constantly stirred. The emulsion was then mixed for another 0.5 h. First, the reactor vessel was charged to achieve 111.3-g PU emulsion dispersion and 10% of the monomers. Then, it was set at 80 °C with constant stirring. Later, 10% of the initiator solution (0.4 g of KPS per 100-g acrylic monomers) was added to the solution and stirred for half an hour. Then, at a constant flow rate, the leftover monomer pre-emulsion and initiator solution was poured into the flask for a total of 4 h while the temperature was increased by 5 °C, keeping the solution at 85 °C for half an hour. The emulsion was then stirred continuously while left to cool. After adding NaHCO$_3$, the pH value was kept within the predetermined range.

In brief, all the developed methods to date have aimed for the green and effective synthesis of core–shell NPs with controllable sizes, compositions, architectures, and properties through rational molecular design and material preparations. Despite substantial advances in the methods of preparation of core–shell nanostructures, the one-step/pot strategy in the preparation of core–shell nanostructures is still in its infancy and remains
challenging. For example, the facet control of metal@metal oxide crystalline nanoparticles in a one-step co-reduction is limited compared to those prepared through a two-step seed-coating process.

6. Efficiency and Test Methods
6.1. Structure and Morphology

Various characterization tools can be used to evaluate the properties of synthesized core–shell nanoparticles. These techniques include XRD, SEM, TEM, FTIR, BET, LC-MS, XPS, Raman spectroscopy, ultraviolet-visible-NIR absorption spectroscopy, NIR reflectance, and photoluminescence spectroscopy [45,61,63,70,84,86,95,112–116]. For example, XRD, SEM, TEM, FTIR, and XPS tests were carried out [28] to assess the structure, morphology, and chromaticity of α-Fe2O3@SiO2 fabricated pigments. Figure 11a presents the XRD patterns of the reddish pigments α-Fe2O3, α-Fe2O3@SiO2 NPs, and α-Fe2O3@SiO2. The diffraction peak of α-Fe2O3@SiO2 particles were wide (2θ = 15–25°) once the core–shell structures formed, suggesting that there may be amorphous SiO2 present. Moreover, the diffraction peak changed to approximately 22° after calcination at 1000 °C, which suggested that the amorphous silica shell entered into the cristobalite phase to produce a reddish pigment. In addition, the α-Fe2O3 diffraction peak became weaker once the core–shell structure was formed. Figure 11b displays the FTIR results for α-Fe2O3, α-Fe2O3@SiO2 NPs, and α-Fe2O3@SiO2 reddish pigments. The bands at 3423.50 and 1627.85 cm⁻¹ corresponded to the stretching of hydroxyl (–OH), and the bands at 536.19 and 466.75 cm⁻¹ were due to the O–Fe–O bond vibration of α-Fe2O3. After covering α-Fe2O3 in SiO2, bands were developed at 1091.66 and 470 cm⁻¹. The bands were due to the bending and stretching of O–Si–O, indicating that a coating was created on the α-Fe2O3 surface. Furthermore, the intensity of the O–Si–O band became stronger once it was calcined, improving the interaction between the core and shell materials. XPS was used to further assess the reddish pigments, and the results are presented in Figure 11c,d. The high-resolution XPS spectrum showed intense O 1s peaks, verifying the presence of Fe–O bonds and Si–O bonds in the pigment (Figure 11c). Meanwhile, a band was observed at 103.5 eV corresponding to the Si 2p XPS signal, which is commonly seen in pure silica.

Li et al. [95] recorded the TEM images of synthesized γ-Ce2S3@SiO2 core–shell materials. Figure 12 shows the formation of the silica shell with different coating times. γ-Ce2S3 was covered in a clear layer that was not present on the uncoated samples, thereby support-
ing the findings of the SEM analysis. Figure 12b–d showed that, with the increase of the coating times, the thickness of the coating layer also increased. For example, the coating layer thicknesses of the particles coated once, twice, and three times were 70 nm, 100 nm and 140 nm, respectively. These disclosures indicated that, by varying the coating times, the thickness of the coating layers can be modified.

![Figure 12. TEM images of (a) uncoated \(\gamma\)-Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\) and (b) once, (c) twice, and (d) three times-coated \(\gamma\)-Ce\(_2\)S\(_3@SiO_2\) core–shell particles [95].](image)

Liu et al. [62] fabricated \(\gamma\)-Ce\(_2\)S\(_3@SiO_2\) materials and characterized them using the TEM, FTIR, EDS, and XRD tests to determine their structures and morphologies. First, the TEM image analyses were performed to measure the thickness of SiO\(_2\)-coated \(\gamma\)-Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\). Figure 13 shows the TEM micrographs of the uncoated \(\gamma\)-Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\) pigments and SiO\(_2\) xerogel-coated \(\gamma\)-Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\) prepared with different water-to-ethanol volume ratios. Figure 13a shows that uncoated \(\gamma\)-Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\) pigments take the form of irregularly shaped large chunks, with small particles deposited at the surface. The EDS spectra detected the presence of Zn, meaning that the uncoated \(\gamma\)-Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\) pigments were changed via ZnO, enhancing the color stability and lowering the H\(_2\)S emissions of the pigments. Figure 13b–d displays all the pigment particles with core–shell structures following the coating process. The EDS spectra (Figure 13f) revealed the presence of Si in the structure. These findings evidently suggested that the coating layer deposited on the surface of \(\gamma\)-Ce\(_2\)S\(_3\) was SiO\(_2\) xerogel. Figure 13b,c revealed a relatively uniform shell size when the water-to-ethanol volume ratios of 15:105 (48 nm) and 20:100 (60 nm) were employed. Conversely, the shell thickness seemed to be nonuniform when this ratio was changed to 25:95 (Figure 13d). This was mainly due to the speeding up of TEOS hydrolysis when the water volume was increased. It seems that the silica nuclei and surface nuclei began competing with each other during the coating process, thus resulting in nonuniform shell thicknesses.
Earlier, different chemical techniques have been developed to prepare high-quality core–shell nanostructures. The multicompone nt materials were shown to have better properties due to their diverse compositions and structures, making them versatile for widespread applications [9–12]. Owing to their distinct properties, core–shell structures have received intense research attention. These structures are more advantageous than other composite materials. For example, they can strengthen or generate new chemical and physical capabilities, facilitate the structural integrity desirable for self-maintenance, stop the core from breaking up into large particles, and ensure effective dispersion. In addition, they offer other benefits, like typical multifunctional compositions and structures. A higher performance can be achieved in the presence of synergy between the shells and cores [13].

The properties of materials obtained from core–shell particles can be finely tuned, making them fundamentally interesting in the fields of science and technology [1,14,15]. In every core/shell particle, there is a core structural domain cloaked by a shell domain.

6.2. Reflectivity

The results showed that the crystallization of Fe$_2$O$_3$ (CF) and TiO$_2$ (CT) highly enhances NIR reflectance. Figure 14 shows the reflectance spectra of CT, CFT2, CFT4, CFT5, and the CF sample [65]. In the CT sample, the crystallinity was increased in the rutile phase, which ultimately enhanced the reflectivity at all wavelengths. Moreover, the crystallization of the sample seems to increase the reflectance values following the calcination process. The observed darker hues of the samples in Figure 14c were due to the Fe$_2$O$_3$ content, reducing the NIR reflectance. The NIR solar reflectance of samples CT, CFT2, CFT4, CFT5, and CF...
were 76%, 73%, 68.8%, 68.4%, and 39.3%, respectively. Figure 14d shows the mechanism of IR reflectance in the Fe$_2$O$_3$ and Fe$_2$O$_3$–TiO$_2$ particles.

**Figure 14.** Reflectance spectra of the (a) fabrication of TiO$_2$ (T), Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$ with 0.02 ratio of Fe$_2$O$_3$ to TiO$_2$ (FT2), Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$ with 0.62 ratio of Fe$_2$O$_3$ to TiO$_2$ (FT4), Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$ with 1.24 ratio of Fe$_2$O$_3$ to TiO$_2$ (FT5), and Fe$_2$O$_3$ (F) samples. (b) The crystallite of TiO$_2$ (CT), Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$ with size 31.4 nm (CFT2), Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$ with size 28.4 nm (CFT4), Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$ with size 13.3 nm (CFT5), and Fe$_2$O$_3$ (CF) samples. (c) Photographs of the CT, CFT2, CFT4, CFT5, and CF samples. (d) The proposed mechanism of IR reflectance in Fe$_2$O$_3$ and the Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$ composites [65].

6.3. Tolerance of High Temperatures

The effects of Ce$_2$S$_3$@SiO$_2$-based core–shell materials on red pigment tolerance at high temperatures were assessed [57]. Figure 15 shows the XRD patterns of γ-Ce$_2$S$_3$@c-SiO$_2$ samples produced at different calcination temperatures. No typical SiO$_2$ diffraction peaks were identified at calcination temperatures between 1100 and 1150 °C. In addition, the diffraction peaks were evidenced in the γ-Ce$_2$S$_3$ crystalline phase, suggesting that SiO$_2$ did not crystallize. Nonetheless, when the temperature was increased to 1200 °C, the typical c-SiO$_2$ diffraction peak started to emerge, indicating that SiO$_2$ started to crystallize at 1200 °C in the Ar gas atmosphere. The intensity of the c-SiO$_2$ diffraction peak was almost constant when the temperature was increased to 1250 °C. At 1200 °C and in an Ar gas atmosphere, c-SiO$_2$ seemed to develop excellent crystallinity. Li et al. [113] carried out an XRD test to analyze the thermal resistance of γ-Ce$_2$S$_3$ red pigments.
improved color stability of the core@shell composite. For pure Fe$_2$O$_3$, the crystallite size was increased linearly with the rise of the calcination temperature. The increase in the Fe$_2$O$_3$ crystallite size in the Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$ (FT-2) composite pigment was smaller than that of pure Fe$_2$O$_3$ due to the increase in the calcination temperature, and the crystallite size was decreased at 800 °C. Concisely, the growth of the Fe$_2$O$_3$ crystals depended significantly on the heat treatment temperature when coated on the TiO$_2$ surface, and the Fe$_2$O$_3$ crystals did not grow anymore at 800 °C or higher.

Figure 15. XRD patterns of the γ-Ce$_2$S$_3$@c-SiO$_2$ samples at different sintering temperatures in an Air gas atmosphere [57].

The effect of the Fe$_2$O$_3$-to-TiO$_2$ ratio and calcination temperatures on the color stability of Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$-modified red pigments was evaluated [116]. With an increase of the Fe$_2$O$_3$ content with Fe$_2$O$_3$-to-TiO$_2$ ratios of 0.5 (FT-1), 1.0 (FT-2), and 1.5 (FT-3) at the same heat treatment temperature, the color of the Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$ powder approached the color of pure Fe$_2$O$_3$. When observed with the naked eye at a fixed Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$ ratio, the color of the pigment did not change significantly as the heat treatment temperatures were increased from 500 °C to 700 °C. However, at 800 °C, the FT-2 and FT-3 powder colors showed darker reds than the ones calcined at low temperatures, wherein FT-1 and pure Fe$_2$O$_3$ changed completely to different colors (Figure 16). It was concluded that, to get a red color Fe$_2$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ pigment powder like pure Fe$_2$O$_3$, the weight ratio of Fe$_2$O$_3$ to TiO$_2$ should be 1.0 or higher, and the post-heat treatment temperature should be 700 °C or lower. The XRD test was used to explain the effect of the Fe$_2$O$_3$-to-TiO$_2$ ratio on the improved color stability of the core@shell composite. For pure Fe$_2$O$_3$, the crystallite size was increased linearly with the rise of the calcination temperature. The increase in the Fe$_2$O$_3$ crystallite size in the Fe$_2$O$_3$@TiO$_2$ (FT-2) composite pigment was smaller than that of pure Fe$_2$O$_3$ due to the increase in the calcination temperature, and the crystallite size was decreased at 800 °C. Concisely, the growth of the Fe$_2$O$_3$ crystals depended significantly on the heat treatment temperature when coated on the TiO$_2$ surface, and the Fe$_2$O$_3$ crystals did not grow anymore at 800 °C or higher.
improved color stability of the core@shell composite. For pure \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \), the crystallite size was increased linearly with the rise of the calcination temperature. The increase in the \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) crystallite size in the \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3@\text{TiO}_2 \) (FT-2) composite pigment was smaller than that of pure \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) due to the increase in the calcination temperature, and the crystallite size was decreased at 800 °C. Concisely, the growth of the \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) crystals depended significantly on the heat treatment temperature when coated on the \( \text{TiO}_2 \) surface, and the \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) crystals did not grow anymore at 800 °C or higher.

Figure 16. The effect of calcination temperature on the color stability of \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3@\text{TiO}_2 \) [116].

7. Applications of Core–Shell Pigments

Core–shell pigments can be used to decorate or delineate features in public streets, which can ultimately enhance public safety and satisfaction. The development of good infrastructures and clear frameworks can encourage individuals to walk, cycle, and use Personal Mobility Devices (PMDs), which, in turn, lowers the number of short car journeys being made and, thus, promotes a healthier lifestyle. In Figure 17, the various uses of red pigments on pedestrian roads in Singapore are presented. Material failures remains a significant concern throughout the construction industry, despite the improved understanding of its causes and effects. High-performance coatings are typically applied to enhance exterior durability, whilst pigments are usually selected based on a combination of color and performance [114]. High-quality pigments are used almost exclusively in the paint industry, where optimal, uniform particle sizes are crucial, as they impact the gloss, hiding power, lightening capacity, and tinting strength of the paint. What is more, nanoscale pigment particles are required in applications such as coloring and UV coatings on luminescent materials.

Colored asphalt and red concrete are often preferred over conventional materials, since the latter has poor esthetic properties from an architectural design perspective [115]. Significant advancements have been made in nanotechnology and nanomaterials over the last few years, enabling the synthesis of core–shell nanoparticles to create sustainable pigments with high color stability and resistance to harsh environmental conditions. The emergence of new, durable pigments has increased the potential uses of colored concrete and asphalt by creating alternatives that can be used in challenging architectural designs that have high color stability and resistance to abrasions. These features combined with the existing aesthetic and decorative features of traditional colored concrete can create attractive alternative materials.
8. Conclusions

In recent times, sustainable pigments produced via advanced nanotechnologies and core–shell technologies have become useful in construction industries worldwide. An all-inclusive overview of the relevant literature on nanotechnology-based core–shell pigments allowed us to draw the following conclusions:

i. The ability to manipulate particle structures at the nanoscale has led to the emergence of a new class of engineered hybrid NPs and core–shell NPs.

ii. With the rapid development of synthetic chemistry and colloid and interfacial science, as well as devices, many core–shell nanostructures have been successfully fabricated using different strategies.

iii. All the fabrication processes aim for the green color core–shell NPs with controllable sizes, compositions, architectures, and properties through rational molecular design and appropriate materials (inexpensive and environmentally friendly).

iv. Using core–shell NPs, it is possible to enhance a pigment’s durability, performance, and sustainability, which is demanded for several applications.

v. Owing to their efficiency and distinct traits, SiO₂ and TiO₂ are the most-recommended materials for shell formation in core–shell nanostructures.

vi. The TEM, SEM, EDX, FTIR, and XRD tests can reliably be used to measure and evaluate various characteristics of core–shell nanostructures.

vii. Greener and sustainable synthetic methods can reduce environmental pollution to some extent, while attaining economic growth via green chemistry.

viii. Each method for core–shell nanostructure growth has some merits and demerits. Thus, it is difficult to recommend a particular method. The recent trends show that the one-step synthesis technique, due to its simplicity and low cost, is more viable for the commercial-scale production of the various core–shell nanostructures required for widespread application.
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