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Abstract: TiO₂ is widely used in biomaterial implants. The topography, chemical and structural properties of titania surfaces are an important aspect to study. The size of TiO₂ nanoparticles synthetized by sol–gel method can influence the responses in the biological environment, and by using appropriate heat treatments different contents of different polymorphs can be formed. Protein adsorption is a crucial step for the biological responses, involving, in particular, albumin, the most abundant blood protein. In this theoretical work, using molecular mechanics and molecular dynamics methods, the adsorption process of an albumin subdomain is reported both onto specific different crystallographic faces of TiO₂ anatase and also on its ideal three-dimensional nanosized crystal, using the simulation protocol proposed in my previous theoretical studies about the adsorption process on hydrophobic ordered graphene-like or hydrophilic amorphous polymeric surfaces. The different surface chemistry of anatase crystalline faces and the nanocrystal topography influence the adsorption process, in particular the interaction strength and protein fragment conformation, then its biological activity. This theoretical study can be a useful tool to better understand how the surface chemistry, crystal structure, size and topography play a key role in protein adsorption process onto anatase surface so widely used as biomaterial.
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1. Introduction

Biomaterials are defined as materials intended to interface with biological systems to evaluate, treat, augment or replace any tissue, organ or function of the body [1–4]. The cells–biomaterials interactions are mediated by non-covalent protein-biomaterials interactions, a very fundamental aspect that should be taken into account in order to better understand the biocompatibility property and, eventually, the success of an implant in a human body [5–9]. In many different fields of nanomedicine, nanobiotechnology, biomaterial science [10–12], protein–biomaterials interactions are a central aspect in a broad range of applications such as drug delivery [13], tissue engineering [14–17], proteomics [18,19]. Biomaterials are composed by several different materials such as ceramics, polymers, metals and metal oxides, and of their combinations in interesting new biocompatible composite materials [20–24].

Metals and their alloys are often used as biomaterials due to their good mechanical stability, catalytic properties and biocompatibility [25–29]. It is well known that the size, crystal structure and surface properties of titanium-based biomaterials affect the biological...
response. The titania surfaces can be amorphous or crystalline, and crystalline TiO$_2$ often comprises mixed-crystalline phases with different content of its polymorphs, in particular anatase and rutile phases, but also brookite [30–33]. In various biomedical applications, the anatase or rutile crystal phases are preferred over amorphous TiO$_2$ [34]. The temperature is an important factor both for the protein adhesion process on the solid surface and for the specific heat treatment to obtain the desired biomedical polymorph. Sit et al. were the first to show that there are differences in the temperature-dependent structural changes of proteins adsorbed on TiO$_2$ nanoparticles surfaces [35]. These changes depend on the initial interaction with the nanoparticles' surfaces, indicating then that it is important, also at theoretical level, to study both the initial interaction stage of the adsorption process and the final conformation assumed by protein adsorbed on biomaterial surfaces at longer periods. The heat treatment of the materials is also an important step for the production of biomaterials in a specific composition. The different TiO$_2$ polymorphs can form nanoparticles or nanocrystals [36–38]. Titanium oxide is also very interesting in biomedical applications as flat coating for biomedical devices. Heat treatment in a conventional furnace is a commonly applied method of the crystallization of titania nanosurfaces but it is time-consuming. Using the sol–gel dip-coating method Bakri et al. [39] showed that the TiO$_2$ films obtained crystallize in an anatase crystal structure and transform to a rutile phase at 900 °C. Recently, Catauro et al. [40,41] synthetized TiO$_2$ nanoparticles demonstrating that the sol–gel method is an ideal technique to prepare titania nanoparticles at low temperature, characterizing the presence of different chemical structures of coating and how it is possible to increase the particle size due to aggregation at higher temperature. Then, thanks to heat treatment using the sol–gel method, it is possible to synthetize TiO$_2$ nanoparticles at different content of two more common crystalline phases. Possible different interactions with a biological environment can take place and they must be studied more thoroughly, changing the composition and the size of the surface exposed to biological environment. In fact, the large surface area of nanoparticles with small size (<100 nm) enhances the cellular adhesion promoting the interaction between nanoparticles and tissues or cells, thus allowing penetration of the nanoparticles through the cell membrane [12]. Interestingly, Giordano et al. showed that electrochemical procedures may promote the formation of anatase, and high voltage anodization treatments promote osteoblasts and fibroblasts proliferation [42].

It is increasingly important for the biocompatibility of biomedical devices to study how the surface chemistry, morphology and nanotopography affect the adhesion process of the proteins. It is important to compare experimental results [43] and theoretical studies about the protein adsorption process, considering for example the same protein and as substrate different hydrophobic or hydrophilic, flat or curved surfaces. About twenty years ago, I began modeling the adsorption process of an albumin subdomain on ordered hydrophobic graphene-like surfaces with different curvature or chirality, such as graphite or achiral and chiral single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNT), or the adsorption in the inner and outer carbon nanotubes surfaces at different curvature and on hydrophilic amorphous polymeric polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) surface [44–48]. These theoretical studies have shown their importance for understanding the phenomena of protein adsorption on the surfaces of biomaterials with interesting comparison with experimental data.

Protein adsorption plays a key role for the continuous dialogue with the biological environment. In many cases, biomaterials get into direct contact with blood. Human serum albumin (HSA) is the most abundant blood protein. HSA is in general a soft protein. Experimentally it was found that after immobilization or adsorption on TiO$_2$ surfaces [49] this soft blood protein undergoes conformational changes induced by the surface topography affecting also its tertiary structure, then the functionality [50–58]. These studies confirm the importance not only of the similar surface chemistry of TiO$_2$ surfaces but also the influence of the surface curvature on the protein–biomaterial interaction process. Possible conformational changes can take place after adsorption depending on the curvature of the specific solid surface [44]. Bulk properties and surface properties, order or disorder and wettability can play an important role and they must be better investigated.
also theoretically in order to better highlight the key factors for conformational changes, denaturation or reversible adsorption–desorption process [57–64]. Atomistic simulations can be a useful tool to describe well the surface chemistry and particular nanotopography of different crystallographic faces.

The theoretical study of albumin fragment adsorption on biomaterial surfaces at atomistic level was started by considering HSA subdomains [65,66]. Using the same methodology, it was found that on different TiO$_2$ polymorphs [67], the initial and final interaction energy and conformational changes are different for different titania polymorphs, but the key of the different interaction and conformational changes highlights that it is due to different particular surface chemistry considered. In fact, similar surface chemistry of specific crystalline face of different polymorphs can exhibit similar interaction and protein adsorption process.

It is crucial to focus the attention at atomistic level on the microscopic properties in order to better understand the macroscopic ones. The aim of this work is the theoretical study and characterization of HSA in terms of the interaction strength and conformational changes in the secondary and tertiary protein structure after the physisorption and competitive surface adsorption on TiO$_2$ anatase crystalline faces and its 3D-nanosized crystal. In particular, in order to study how the adsorption process of organic molecules can change considering different crystallographic faces of same TiO$_2$ polymorph, in particular the anatase, I began a theoretical study considering the quinoline molecule [68,69]. Quinoline molecules are much smaller with respect to the HSA subdomain studied in previous theoretical work. Using molecular mechanics (MM) and molecular dynamics (MD) methods I tried to understand the role of topography effects, how it is important for competitive adsorption both on different single TiO$_2$ anatase crystallographic faces separately considered. In particular, the TiO$_2$ anatase hydroxylated (0 0 1) face, the (1 0 0), the (0 0 −1) and the (1 0 1) faces and an ideal TiO$_2$ anatase nanosized crystal formed also by three of these different faces; in this case the (0 0 1), the (1 0 0), and the (0 0 −1) facets were studied. In the literature, Mashatooki et al. investigated the interaction between a potential anticancer RNA aptamer and TiO$_2$ anatase (1 0 1), (1 0 0) and (1 1 0) crystallographic faces, confirming how particular crystallographic faces can influence the adsorption process [70]. Interestingly, Yan et al. investigated how concentration-dependent diffusion is central in the accurate regulation of a desirable ratio of TiO$_2$ mixed anatase facets when growth of an anatase crystal itself occurs, indicating that facet engineering highlights the fundamental understanding of kinetic growth of facets with capping agents [71]. The basic function of a capping agent is realized by preferential binding on a certain facet using its functional groups. Additionally, the proteins may be capping agents for this kind of study, but the simulations considering them at different concentration are very time-consuming due to the larger number of atoms in proteins or its fragments.

In the present work the idea to start the competitive adsorption process on an ideal TiO$_2$ anatase 3D-nanocrystal is also reported, compared with the adsorption on single facets separately considered to have a larger surface area. Furthermore, the dimension of the specific facet influences the strength of the possible protein–biomaterial non-covalent interactions, and then the conformational changes and possible spreading are more evident in the final tertiary structure, that is, the structure affecting the final functionality of the protein adsorbed on solid surface.

2. Materials and Methods

This theoretical study is based on molecular mechanics (MM) and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. All MM and MD simulations were performed with Materials Studio, Discovery Studio packages and Analysis Module in InsightII/Discover program (Accelrys Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) [72,73]. Using the same simulation protocol proposed in previous work all calculations are performed with COMPASS force field [74], with a Morse potential for the bonded atoms, in implicit water using a distance-dependent dielectric constant and by keeping fixed all the TiO$_2$ atoms [67,68]. Different facets of crystalline TiO$_2$ anatase were
prepared from the available templates in module Builder in Materials Studio packages containing the structure of pure anatase and the lattice parameters of the original crystal unit cell $a = b = 3.776$ Å, $c = 9.486$ Å, $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^\circ$. The size of the TiO$_2$ anatase surfaces studied both for the (0 0 1) and the (0 0 $-1$) face is equal to $11.3 \times 56.6 \times 85.4$ Å multiplying the crystallographic axes $a, b, c$ by 3, 15, 9, respectively. For the (1 0 0) TiO$_2$ crystallographic face the dimension of the solid surface is equal to $90.6 \times 67.9 \times 18.9$ Å multiplying the $a, b, c$ crystallographic axes by 24, 18, 2, respectively. Finally, the exposed surface of the (1 0 1) crystallographic face, built using Materials Studio packages (Build, Cleave Surface), is equal to 95.0 Å $\times$ 65.0 Å. The ideal TiO$_2$ anatase 3D-nanosized crystal was modelled with an idealized shape, multiplying the crystallographic axis $a, b, c$ by 15, 15, and 6, respectively, forming a cubic-shaped nanocrystal of side equal to 57.0 Å.

The coordinates of HSA fragment (human serum albumin (HSA), 1AO6 [74]) were taken from the experimental results obtained by single-crystal X-ray analysis and deposited with the Protein Data Bank (PDB). The fragment protein is considered charged at physiological pH = 7.4 using Biopolymer module of InsightII/Discover [72]. The HSA subdomain, its structure and hydropathy are described in detail in previous work [65].

All energy minimizations were carried out up to an energy gradient lower than $4 \times 10^{-3}$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ Å$^{-1}$. The MD simulations were performed at a constant temperature (300 K), controlled through the Berendsen thermostat. Integration of the dynamical equations was carried out with the Verlet algorithm using a time step of 1 fs, and the instantaneous coordinates were periodically saved for further analysis or geometry optimization. The MD simulations lasted 10 ns for the adsorption on the different TiO$_2$ anatase surfaces, and 30 ns when the competitive adsorption on the ideal 3D nanocrystal was considered (see Section 3.2). Within the MD runs, the time changes of the total and potential energy together with its components, in particular the van der Waals contribute, were monitored using the module Forcite in Materials Studio packages. In general, these quantities showed an initial large decrease, and then fluctuated around a constant value, indicating achievement of equilibrium or of a stable adsorption state that present slow conformational changes after maximizing the interaction with the anatase surface. The conformational analysis (radii of gyrations, $R_g$, and solvent-accessible surface area, SASA) was made using Discovery Studio packages. The subset of amino acids within 6 Å from the solid surface was defined by atoms near the surface within 6 Å and then counting all different specific amino acids in contact with the surface, as in previous work about the adsorption on TiO$_2$ polymorphs [67].

All simulations in the last twenty years have been performed at temperature equal to 300 K. Often in literature, room temperature or 300 K is the temperature of the MD runs, the temperature of the adsorption process of protein on solid surface, not the body temperature. It will be possible to start the same simulations at body temperature (which incidentally is higher than 300 K by only a few degrees), in order to study the interaction strength and possible conformational changes of protein in an adsorption process on the solid surface at this specific temperature.

3. Results and Discussion

This theoretical study is based on the simulation protocol for protein adsorption on biomaterial surfaces proposed in previous work [19–22,65] that involves three sequential steps:

(i) at first, the initial energy minimization considering different initial trial geometries of interaction albumin fragment-specific solid TiO$_2$ surface (see Section 3.1.1);
(ii) the MD runs at constant temperature until the potential energy, the van der Waals contribute and the conformational changes achieve an equilibrium state, starting from both the initial geometry with the lowest potential energy and the initial metastable geometry displaying the highest initial potential energy (see Section 3.1.2);
(iii) the final geometry optimizations of the final configuration assumed by the adsorbed protein fragment at the end of the MD run (see Section 3.1.2).
Steps (i) and (iii) respectively yield the initial and the final adsorption optimized geometries.

The adsorption on TiO$_2$ anatase 3D-nanocrystal is reported in the Section 3.2. In this case, three albumin $A$-subdomains are initially placed near three different TiO$_2$ anatase facets of the ideal 3D-nanocrystal and a MD run lasting 30 ns is performed. In the next sections, all results are presented and discussed.

3.1. Adsorption of HSA Fragment on Different TiO$_2$ Crystallographic Anatase Faces

Using theoretical methods based on molecular mechanics (MM) and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, the interaction between HSA $A$-subdomain and both single different crystallographic faces and three dimensional (3D) ideal nanocrystal of TiO$_2$ anatase were investigated.

The four different crystallographic TiO$_2$ anatase faces studied in this work are reported in Figure 1 panels (a–c), together with a model of a nanosized crystal (panel d). The (0 0 1) TiO$_2$ anatase surface exposes hydroxyl groups, the (0 0 $-1$) TiO$_2$ facet exposes titanium atoms, the (1 0 0) TiO$_2$ surface exposes both titanium atoms and bridging oxygen atoms; the (1 0 1) TiO$_2$ anatase face exposes hydroxyl groups, titanium atoms and bridging oxygen atoms. The albumin fragment studied in previous work [65] at physiological pH is reported in panel (e). This fragment is composed by three $\alpha$-helices A1A, A2A, A3A connected as in the chemical structure reported in PDB [74]. At first, in Section 3.1, this HSA fragment will interact separately with the individual four crystallographic surfaces reported in panels (a–c) mentioned above. In the second step, in Section 3.2, the protein fragment adsorption will be considered on a model 3D nanocrystal of anatase exposing the three (0 0 1), (0 0 $-1$) and (1 0 0) faces, as previously studied about competitive adsorption of small quinoline molecules important for a photocatalytic activity [68,69].

3.1.1. Initial Adsorption on Different TiO$_2$ Anatase Faces

The initial adsorption was simply modeled by energy minimization of the protein placed close to the selected surfaces as described at the beginning of Section 3.

In Figure 2, the initial most stable optimized geometries after MM calculation for the four TiO$_2$ anatase crystallographic surfaces are reported. In all geometries the secondary structure changes in order to maximize the interaction with the specific TiO$_2$ solid surface. For all initial energy minima, the interaction energy, $E_{\text{int}}$, and the strain energy, $E_{\text{strain}}$, were calculated [65–67].

The interaction energy is defined as $E_{\text{int}} = (E_{\text{free}} + E_{\text{TiO}_2\text{anatase face}}) - E_{\text{tot}}$. In all simulations the anatase surface atoms are kept fixed, as just considered for all atoms of solid surfaces studied in the adsorption process of the same HSA fragment on graphite, SWCNTs surfaces [44,45]. Then, $E_{\text{TiO}_2\text{anatase face}} \equiv 0$, although of course all TiO$_2$ atoms do correctly interact with the HSA subdomain. The $E_{\text{free}}$ is the potential energy of the free, isolated native subdomain in the optimized geometry. According to this definition, the $E_{\text{int}}$ value corresponds to the energy released upon adsorption due to favorable interaction with the solid surface. Instead, $E_{\text{int}} > 0$ is the energy cost to detach the protein fragment favorable adsorbed on the surface, while the energy released upon adsorption is given by $- E_{\text{int}}$ (i.e., with the opposite sign). The strain energy is defined as $E_{\text{strain}} = E_{\text{frozen}} - E_{\text{free}}$, where $E_{\text{frozen}}$ is the energy of the isolated HSA $A$-subdomain in the frozen minimized geometry they adopt upon adsorption. This interesting energy contribute explains the energy cost for the albumin fragment to maximize the favorable interactions with the solid surface breaking the hydrogen bonds (H-bond) and the other intramolecular interactions in the native conformation. When the interaction energy is more favorable, more conformational changes near the surface occur in order to optimize the favorable adsorption process. Whereas, when the initial interaction energy is less favorable and some H-bonds between protein fragment and the atom exposed by the surface are possible, fewer protein conformational changes take place [65,66]. It will be interesting to understand how different topographies and exposed atoms can induce different behavior and different intrinsic energy, $E_{\text{intrinsic}}$. 
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calculated as the interaction energy normalized by the number of residues in contact with the anatase surface [67]. Additionally, the nature of amino acids in contact with the surface, thanks to their more or less hydrophobic or hydrophilic nature, can influence the strength of the interaction, then, the final tertiary structure of protein.

Figure 1. In panels (a–c) the modeled TiO$_2$ anatase surfaces are shown. In panel (a) both the (0 0 1) and (0 0 −1) crystallographic faces are reported, while in panels (b,c), the (1 0 0) and (1 0 1) surfaces are reported, respectively. The color code is the following: the oxygen atoms are in red, the titanium atoms in grey, nitrogen atoms in blue, carbon atoms in dark grey, hydrogens in white. Panel (d) shows the scheme of a 3D ideal TiO$_2$ anatase nanocrystal with all the different crystallographic faces indicated. In panel (e), the human serum albumin (HSA) fragment is reported. For the albumin fragment the carbon atoms are in dark grey, the nitrogens in blue, the oxygens in red, the hydrogens in white. In the secondary structure, the backbone in $\alpha$-helix is in red ribbon, the random coil strands are reported in grey, while the turns are in green.
The initial most stable optimized geometries after molecular mechanics (MM) calculation for the four TiO$_2$ anatase crystallographic surfaces considered, the (0 0 1), (0 0 −1), (1 0 0), (1 0 1) facets in panels (a–d), respectively, are reported. In particular, in panel (c), the initial optimized geometry is the same geometry as reported in previous work [67], shown here for comparison. For the color code, see Figure 1.

Both the protein–surface interaction energy and the conformational changes that take place in the initial adsorption state were studied for all different initial trial geometries (see Figure S1) of HSA A-subdomain near the four different crystallographic faces reported in Figure 1. Some residues display an α-helix in the secondary structure as in the native state. Interestingly, again a linear dependence was found between the interaction energy and the number of amino acids in contact with the TiO$_2$ anatase facets as found in previous work [67].

The initial energy minima provide also an estimate of the interaction strength through the number of amino acids in contact with the surface, with interesting comparison with data just published both on TiO$_2$ polymorphs and also on hydrophobic graphite surface and carbon nanotubes and on hydrophilic surfaces such as amorphous poly(vinyl alcohol) surface. In Figure 3, the interaction energy and the strain energy are reported as a function of the number of the amino acids in contact with the surface at a distance of less than 6 Å.
Taking into account the different starting orientations of the protein subdomain producing different optimized adsorption geometries corresponding to a local energy minimum, $E_{\text{int}}$ turns out to be linearly correlated with the number of amino acids that are in contact with the surface as in previous work about the adsorption of the same HSA fragment on graphite [65,66], SWCNT [44], PVA surface [48]. $n_{6\AA}$ is the number of amino acids in contact with the surface, where 6 Å is conveniently taken as the upper distance for a contact interaction as reported in previous work about the adsorption on TiO$_2$ rutile, anatase and brookite [67]. The linear dependence, due to the additive nature of the attractive interactions, shown in Figure 2 together with the best-fitting lines through the origin, is given by:

\[
E_{\text{int}} = (28.0 \pm 1.1) \times n_{6\AA} \, \text{kJ/mol on TiO}_2 \text{ anatase (0 0 1) face} \quad R^2 = 0.987 \tag{1}
\]
\[
E_{\text{int}} = (45.8 \pm 2.3) \times n_{6\AA} \, \text{kJ/mol on TiO}_2 \text{ anatase (0 0 -1) face} \quad R^2 = 0.980 \tag{2}
\]
\[
E_{\text{int}} = (41.5 \pm 1.0) \times n_{6\AA} \, \text{kJ/mol on TiO}_2 \text{ anatase (1 0 0) face} \quad R^2 = 0.995 \tag{3}
\]
\[
E_{\text{int}} = (43.3 \pm 1.2) \times n_{6\AA} \, \text{kJ/mol on TiO}_2 \text{ anatase (1 0 1) face} \quad R^2 = 0.993 \tag{4}
\]

The simulation results of the initial adsorption stage on the isolated surfaces indicate a less favorable interaction with the TiO$_2$ (0 0 1) surface, the most hydrophilic crystallographic face having hydroxylated groups exposed; the adsorption on the crystallographic (0 0 $-1$) face display the most favorable interaction strength, while the adsorption on TiO$_2$ anatase (1 0 1) face and on (1 0 0) face show a similar, favorable behavior.

As for the strain energy, it is interesting to note that for all initial geometries considered for the (1 0 1) and (0 0 $-1$) surface, all strain energy values are negatives, for the (0 0 1) six geometries, the $E_{\text{strain}}$ values are negative. For the (1 0 0) surface, some geometries have positive values, while other ones have some negative values. As found about the adsorption on TiO$_2$ polymorphs [67], a linear dependence between the strain energy and...
the number of amino acids in contact with the surface was not found. Regardless, in keeping with previous results, it was found that the strain energy is always lower than the interaction energy, suggesting possible conformational changes at room temperature in order to maximize the favorable interaction with the titania surfaces.

In Figure 4 an interesting comparison is shown regarding the theoretical results of the adsorption process of HSA A-subdomain calculated only on TiO$_2$ anatase (0 0 −1) and the (0 0 1) crystallographic facets, and the theoretical results reported in my previous work of HSA and fibronectin subdomains with an unlike secondary structure on hydrophobic graphite and on hydrophilic PVA, and of the HSA A-subdomain on external surface of SWCNT at different curvature. The TiO$_2$ anatase (0 0 −1) face exposing titanium atoms displays a favorable interaction with albumin fragment, while the TiO$_2$ anatase (0 0 1) face exposing more hydrophilic hydroxyl groups displays a less favorable interaction, similar to that exhibited by the same fragment on amorphous PVA.

![Figure 4](image_url)

Figure 4. The initial interaction energies calculated as a function of the number of residues in contact with the external surfaces in the initial geometries reported in Figure S1 considered for different materials: the hydrophobic flat graphite surface (black symbols, for two albumin subdomains and fibronectin modules [65,75]), external surface of the hydrophobic curved (10,10) and (8,8) SWCNT surfaces (blue and red symbol respectively, calculated for the A-subdomain [44]), hydrophilic amorphous PVA surface (green symbols, for albumin subdomains and fibronectin modules [48]) and the two TiO$_2$ crystallographic anatase faces studied in present work, in particular the (0 0 −1) in yellow symbols and the more hydrophilic (0 0 1) face in fuchsia symbols. The common best-fit lines through the origin for the only $E_{\text{int}}$ values are reported.

It is important to highlight that the results obtained in the first theoretical work [65] using the Consistent Valence Force Field (CVFF) considering the albumin A-subdomain and graphite surface are also obtained using the COMPASS force field, that is well parametrized for the graphite surface and TiO$_2$ anatase surface here studied, thus confirming both the initial and final albumin fragment conformational changes and the strength of the interaction. Therefore, the comparison in Figure 4 for results obtained using these different force fields is not only qualitative but also quantitative.

In the next section, the results obtained at the end of MD run starting from both the more and the less stable geometries calculated for all four TiO$_2$ anatase faces are reported and discussed.
3.1.2. Final Adsorption on Different TiO₂ Anatase Faces

After a MD runs lasting 10 ns starting from the less stable and the most stable initial geometries calculated in the initial interaction stage on single TiO₂ anatase crystallographic faces and after energy minimization of the final conformation assumed by the albumin A-subdomain the final optimized geometries are studied (see Figures 5 and 6). As found on graphite surface [63,74] and on amorphous PVA [48], in most cases, the tendency of this soft protein is to maximize the interaction with the surface overcoming the energy barrier due to conformational changes in the secondary structure. After adsorption, the increased favorable interaction with TiO₂ surfaces induce a formation of a monolayer of amino acids in contact with the surface with part of backbone in ordered parallel strands [64,67]. In particular, on the hydroxylated TiO₂ anatase (0 0 1) surface numerous H-bonds are formed with the surface, then the surface coverage is relatively less extended thanks to the H-bonds in contact with the surface (see Figure S2) that prevents the full surface spreading and stabilize the adsorbed protein conformation. On the three other TiO₂ crystallographic facets, the formation of a monolayer of amino acids is observed near the surface (see Figure 5), forming very few or no H-bonds with the surface (see Figure S2). The HSA A-subdomain exhibits an extensive nanopatterning with the protein backbone in parallel strands and some hairpin arrangements as they are found on the more hydrophobic surface such as graphite and SWCNTs.

![Final adsorption on different TiO₂ anatase crystallographic faces](image)

**Figure 5.** Side view of the final most stable optimized geometries after a MD run lasting 10 ns of the albumin A-subdomain adsorbed on the four TiO₂ anatase crystallographic surfaces considered, the (0 0 1), (0 0 –1), (1 0 0), (1 0 1) faces in the panel (a–d), respectively. For the color code, see Figure 2.
Both the interaction and the strain energy values calculated in the initial and in the final adsorption stage for the most stable and the less stable optimized geometries shown in Figure 5 are reported in Tables 1 and 2, respectively, together with the final intrinsic energy, the radius of gyration, the volume occupied and the solvent-accessible surface area of the adsorbed HSA A-subdomain. Figure S3 reports the Ramachandran plots of the albumin fragment in the four most stable final adsorption state near all four TiO$_2$ anatase faces shown in Figure 5. In these plots, the values of dihedral angles $\Psi$ and $\Phi$ are similar to disposition of backbone in parallel strand as found on TiO$_2$ polymorphs [67]. In the final adsorption state, all three $\alpha$-helices are disrupted in order to maximize both the interaction with the solid surface and intramolecular interactions with strands of backbone, now in a parallel arrangement.

**Table 1.** The initial and final $E_{\text{int}}$ and $E_{\text{strain}}$ values, final values of the intrinsic energy, $E_{\text{intrinsic}}$, of the radius of gyration ($R_g$), of the volume occupied and of the solvent-accessible surface area (SASA) calculated for the HSA fragment after MD run in the most stable geometries found on the four specific TiO$_2$ anatase faces.

| TiO$_2$ Anatase Crystallographic Face | $E_{\text{int}}$ (kJ/mol) | $E_{\text{strain}}$ (kJ/mol) | Final $E_{\text{int}}$ (kJ/mol) | $E_{\text{strain}}$ (kJ/mol) | $E_{\text{intrinsic}}$ (kJ/mol) | $R_g$ (Å) | Volume (Å$^3$) | SASA (Å$^2$) |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|----------------|----------------|
| (0 0 1)                               | 837.6                       | −86.3                         | 2000.0                         | −561.6                      | 54.1                           | 14.2     | 7133           | 3699           |
| (0 0 −1)                              | 1639.2                      | −32.7                         | 2738.5                         | −104.4                      | 54.8                           | 20.0     | 7055           | 4541           |
| (1 0 0)                               | 1529.8                      | 12.2                          | 3103.0                         | 228.0                       | 51.7                           | 21.3     | 6854           | 5005           |
| (1 0 1)                               | 1491.2                      | −84.3                         | 2861.2                         | −8.158                      | 54.0                           | 17.6     | 6961           | 4613           |
Table 2. The initial and final $E_{\text{int}}$ and $E_{\text{strain}}$ values, final values of the intrinsic energy, $E_{\text{intrinsic}}$, of the radius of gyration ($R_g$), of the volume occupied and the solvent-accessible surface area (SASA) calculated for the HSA fragment after MD run in the less stable geometries found on the four specific TiO$_2$ anatase faces.

| TiO$_2$ Anatase Crystallographic Face | Initial $E_{\text{int}}$ (kJ/mol) | Initial $E_{\text{strain}}$ (kJ/mol) | Final $E_{\text{int}}$ (kJ/mol) | Final $E_{\text{strain}}$ (kJ/mol) | $E_{\text{intrinsic}}$ (kJ/mol) | $R_g$ (Å) | Volume (Å$^3$) | SASA (Å$^2$) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|----------------|--------------|
| (0 0 1)                              | 336.6                             | −60.6                               | 1959.8                            | −578.7                            | 56.0                             | 13.5       | 7433           | 3466         |
| (0 0 −1)                             | 787.2                             | −173.0                              | 2574.4                            | −311.4                            | 59.9                             | 15.4       | 7290           | 3873         |
| (1 0 0)                              | 731.2                             | −7.98                               | 2716.0                            | −209.5                            | 59.0                             | 15.1       | 7127           | 4060         |
| (1 0 1)                              | 622.4                             | −39.8                               | 2853.0                            | −75.65                             | 54.9                             | 16.8       | 7012           | 4506         |

Interestingly, the larger interaction energy and larger radius of gyration are calculated after adsorption on TiO$_2$ anatase (1 0 0) facet, displaying larger spreading due to favorable interaction with the surface. Moreover, a positive $E_{\text{strain}}$ value indicates the energy cost to disrupt the intramolecular H-bonds of the protein fragment in the native state. In the other three different optimized geometries, the new arrangements assumed by protein fragment near the surface display a more stable conformation with respect to the native state with the backbone in ordered parallel strands following the nanotopography of the surface. In the adsorbed final conformation, all or almost all amino acids are in contact with the surface. Larger values of $E_{\text{intrinsic}}$ are calculated for the final less stable optimized geometries indicating very similar value for the (1 0 1), (1 0 0) and (0 0 −1) faces, a lower value is calculated for the TiO$_2$ anatase (0 0 1) facet that displays lower $R_g$, therefore a smaller spreading on the surface. Near the surface, all hydrophilic and hydrophobic residues are in contact with the surface, and not only the residues that best interact with this specific hydroxylated crystalline surface, because of the topological connectivity [75].

In previous theoretical studies about the adsorption of the same HSA A-subdomain on PVA surface [48], the H-bonds between protein fragment and polymeric surface exposing hydroxyl groups prevent spreading on the surface, as it is found here after adsorption on the hydroxylated TiO$_2$ anatase crystalline (0 0 1) face.

The final theoretical results about the adsorption process on TiO$_2$ anatase 3D crystal are discussed in the next Section 3.2.

3.2. Adsorption on Ideal TiO$_2$ Anatase 3D Nanocrystal

In this section, the competitive adsorption process of HSA A-subdomain in TiO$_2$ anatase 3D nanocrystal just studied in a previous work [68] is described considering in particular three different crystallographic facets, namely, the TiO$_2$ anatase (0 0 1) face, the (0 0 −1) face and four (1 0 0) faces (see Figure 7). These facets were simultaneously present considering the ideal TiO$_2$ anatase 3D-nanocrystal, in order to better understand the competitive protein adsorption process. In previous work the adsorption process of molecules smaller than the albumin fragment was studied, such as quinoline molecules considered at small and at large concentration. The MM and MD methods have been demonstrated to be a very useful tool to distinguish the influence in the adsorption process of the chemical structure and topography exposed by the specific crystallographic surface. In panels (a,b) of Figure 7, the initial geometry and the final optimized geometry obtained after a MD run lasting 30 ns are reported, respectively.
Figure 7. In panel (a) three albumin A-subdomains in the initial non-optimized geometry near the (0 0 1), (0 0 −1) and (1 0 0) TiO$_2$ anatase facets of the ideal 3D nanosized crystal, respectively on the top, on the left and at the bottom, are reported. In panel (b) the optimized system at the end of MD run lasting 30 ns is shown. See the color code in Figure 2.

The potential energy and the van der Waals contribution calculated during the MD run are reported in Figure 8.
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**Figure 7.** Adsorption on 3D-nanocrystal of TiO$_2$ anatase

- **a)** time = 0 ns
- **b)** time = 30 ns

![Figure 8](image)

**Figure 8.** The potential energy (blue symbols) and the van der Waals contribution (green symbols) calculated during the MD lasting 30 ns at a temperature of 300 K.

At the end of the MD run, the optimized conformations of the three HSA A-subdomains adsorbed on three different TiO$_2$ anatase faces display fewer conformational changes with
respect to those calculated after 10 ns on larger TiO$_2$ anatase surfaces (see values of the solid surface size in the Section 2 Materials and Methods). This fact is very important, as not only the specific surface chemistry of specific crystalline polymorphs exposed to the protein affects the adsorption process, but also the relative size of the crystalline facets considered with respect to the dimension of protein or its fragment. The surface size influences the adhesion process, in particular at longer time. The three different final optimized conformations of the HSA A-subdomains adsorbed on ideal TiO$_2$ anatase 3D nanosized crystal are reported in Figure 9. These conformations can be compared with the similar ones reported in Figure 6, related to the secondary structure of the albumin fragment calculated after the adsorption on single TiO$_2$ anatase facets. Table 3 reports the information about the radius of gyration, the volume occupied together with the solvent-accessible surface area calculated at the end of MD run lasting 30 ns for the final optimized geometries shown in Figure 9.

**Figure 9.** Final optimized geometries of HSA A-subdomain adsorbed on three TiO$_2$ anatase faces simultaneously present on the ideal TiO$_2$ anatase nanocrystal, in particular, the TiO$_2$ anatase (0 0 1), (0 0 −1) and (1 0 0) faces in panels (a–c), respectively. On the top, all atoms of HSA fragment with its secondary structure is reported. Bottom, the solvent-accessible surface area colored by atoms is reported. See the color code in Figure 2.

**Table 3.** Values of radius of gyration ($R_g$), the volume occupied and the solvent-accessible surface area calculated for by HSA fragment in the final adsorption stage after a MD run lasting 30 ns after the adsorption on specific TiO$_2$ anatase faces of the ideal TiO$_2$ anatase 3D nanocrystal.

| Crystalllographic TiO$_2$ Face | $R_g$ (Å) | Volume (Å$^3$) | Solvent-Accessible Surface Area (Å$^2$) |
|-------------------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| (0 0 1)                       | 13.7      | 7388          | 3668                                  |
| (0 0 −1)                      | 16.4      | 7053          | 4473                                  |
| (1 0 0)                       | 16.3      | 7137          | 4119                                  |
The radii of gyration values calculated on the more hydrophilic TiO$_2$ anatase (0 0 1) face after 10 ns on a separate surface and after 30 ns on the ideal TiO$_2$ anatase 3D nanocrystal are very similar (see Tables 2 and 3). The H-bonds with the anatase surface (see Figure S2 and Figure 9) stabilize the protein conformation yielding a smaller spreading on the surface, as found on hydrophilic amorphous PVA. Instead, larger radii of gyration are calculated after the MD run lasting 30 ns on TiO$_2$ (0 0 $-1$) and (1 0 0) facets of this ideal 3D anatase nanocrystal, displaying a minor surface coverage after the protein adsorption with respect to the same geometry calculated at the end of MD run on single crystalline surfaces separately considered. In particular, concerning the solvent-accessible surface area of the adsorbed protein fragment, the values calculated in the most stable geometries shown in Table 1, are similar in particular for the TiO$_2$ anatase (0 0 1) and (0 0 $-1$) faces, is smaller for the (1 0 0) face. In fact, in this case, less spreading takes place. Therefore, a good interaction energy and a smaller surface spreading of the adsorbed HSA A-subdomain are calculated in the final adsorption stage on the TiO$_2$ anatase 3D-nanocrystal having smaller surface size exposed to the albumin fragment.

In conclusion, the surface size can be very important in the adsorption process together with possible more or less favorable interaction when the interactions take place and a possible spreading process occurs during the time.

4. Conclusions

The surface chemistry, crystal structure, size and topography of the solid surface exposed to the biological environment influence the strength of the protein–biomaterial interactions and the possible conformational changes of proteins, hence the biocompatibility. Together with the strength of the interaction energy, the size of the surface on which the protein can adsorb, considered relative to both its overall shape in the native state and after the adsorption process, is an important factor that must be taken into account. In this theoretical work based on MM and MD methods using the simulation protocol proposed in previous studies about the adsorption process on hydrophobic graphene-like ordered surfaces [46,65] or hydrophilic amorphous PVA surface [48], and on TiO$_2$ polymorphs [67], the adsorption process of HSA A-subdomain is reported on both different crystallographic faces of TiO$_2$ anatase, as well as on its ideal 3D-nanosized crystal. Interestingly, it was found the most favorable initial interaction energy on the TiO$_2$ anatase (0 0 $-1$) crystallographic face which exposes titanium atoms, while a similar, though smaller, strength was calculated for the TiO$_2$ anatase (1 0 0) and (1 0 1) surfaces that expose titanium and bridging oxygen atoms, mimicking the similar behavior calculated for more hydrophobic curved SWCNTs surfaces. A weaker interaction energy with respect to the number of amino acids in contact with the surface was calculated for the hydroxylated TiO$_2$ anatase (0 0 1) face, exposing hydroxyl groups, displaying similar behaviors rather similar to that calculated for the hydrophilic polymeric amorphous PVA surface. In all these cases, in the initial interaction stage, some conformational changes in the secondary structure near the surface take place with local ordering. After performing the MD run, the protein well interacts with the TiO$_2$ anatase surface. As a result, the surface spreading of this soft protein and the surface coverage occur with extensive nanopatterning displaying the protein backbone in a parallel strand and some hairpin arrangements, similar to those found on ordered hydrophobic crystalline graphite surface [44–46] by maximizing both intermolecular and intramolecular interactions.

Concerning the competitive adsorption on a TiO$_2$ anatase 3D-nanocrystal, after the MD run fewer conformational changes take place and the protein adsorption process is kinetically slower, than the previously studied larger separate crystalline TiO$_2$ faces. This result indicates that the size of the smaller exposed surface influence the protein–surface interaction, the possible surface spreading and coverage. Therefore, the size of the crystalline surface affects the kinetics of the protein adsorption process, the overall surface coverage and the possible surface spreading, producing a relatively more spherical and less flattened final protein structure.
In general, proteins or their fragments can be considered as a capping agent as well as small molecules at different concentration adsorbed on anatase surfaces [68] in order to kinetically control the growth mechanism and the fine-tuning of mixed facets on a single crystal increasing preferential facets on metal oxides. Then, the interaction energy and the surface covering of crystallographic TiO$_2$ anatase facets by proteins is an important aspect not only in order to better understand the protein interaction with the biological environment, hence the biocompatibility, but also from a technological point of view in order to promote the growth of one crystalline anatase surface over another. Combining experimental data and theoretical results describing at the atomistic level the protein and the specific surface chemistry, its nanostructure and topography, it will be possible to optimize the production of device composed preferentially by anatase and the enrichment of this specific TiO$_2$ polymorph structure more biocompatible.

It will be important to also study different metal oxides and, in general, new and interesting hybrid materials synthetized by sol–gel methods. In the last decade, not only titania surfaces but also different metal oxides have been studied as interesting materials for biomedical applications, such as ZrO$_2$, SiO$_2$ or ZnO, also as coating of titania surfaces. It will be important to continue the exploration of new hybrid materials in order to enhance specific properties such as biocompatibility, chemical stability, antibacterial activity [77–81], both using the best processing technology and theoretical study in order to have new interesting applications and knowledge.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/coatings11040420/s1. Figure S1. Scheme of the eight different initial non-optimized geometries discussed in Section 3.1.1, considering the three α-helices of the HSA A-subdomain considered near the TiO$_2$ anatase surface, using a simulation protocol proposed in previous work [65]. Figure S2. The Albumin A-subdomain in the final adsorption stage on the four different TiO$_2$ crystallographic faces reported in Figure 5, displaying the H-bonds between the protein fragment and the solid surface. Figure S3. The Ramachandran plot of the albumin A-subdomain in the final adsorption stage on the four different TiO$_2$ crystallographic faces reported in Figure 5.
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**Abbreviations**

| Abbreviation | Description                        |
|--------------|------------------------------------|
| MM           | Molecular mechanics                 |
| MD           | Molecular dynamics                  |
| HSA          | Human serum albumin                |
| PDB          | Protein Data Bank                   |
| 3D           | Three-dimensional                   |
| SWCNT        | Single-walled carbon nanotube      |
| PVA          | Polyvinyl alcohol                   |
| H-bond       | Hydrogen bond                       |
| $E_{\text{int}}$ | Interaction energy               |
| $E_{\text{strain}}$ | Strain energy                   |
| $E_{\text{intrinsic}}$ | Intrinsic energy             |
| $R_g$        | Radius of gyration                  |
| HOPG         | Highly oriented pyrolytic graphite |
| SASA         | Solvent-accessible surface area     |
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