What Is the Evidence Base for a Potassium Requirement?
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Increased intake of potassium should be promoted to reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease and stroke and to protect against bone loss, but confidence in recommended intakes depends on the strength of the evidence. All public health recommendations are considerably higher than current average intakes. Evidence on which current potassium intake recommendations for the United States, Europe, and globally have limitations. More recent evidence reviewed by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality affirms that more evidence is needed to define specific values for optimal potassium intakes. Potassium requirements undoubtedly vary with a number of factors including energy needs, race, and intake of sodium. Nutr Today. 2018;53(5):184–195

Potaassum is an essential nutrient of concern according to recent Dietary Guidelines for Americans Advisory Committees.1–3 Current recommendations for the United States and Canada, established by the Institute of Medicine (IOM), are given as an Adequate Intake (AI) of 4700 mg/d for individuals older than 14 years,4 but the evidence supporting this has been challenged. The average potassium intake of US adults participating in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2011–2012 was 2795 ± 34 mg/d, with less than 3% of the population meeting the AI.5 This low intake was recently confirmed by 24-hour urinary potassium excretion from National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2014; the mean was 2155 mg and was lower in older adults aged 45 to 69 years compared with younger adults aged 20 to 44 years.6 With this large gap between recommended intakes and consumption, it is important to carefully evaluate the strength of the evidence for the current recommendations, especially in the context of the whole diet (eg, energy and other minerals), to determine the priority for manipulating the food supply and altering public health messages.

Evaluating potassium requirements is a timely nutrition topic as the National Academies of Science, Engineering, and Medicine have convened a panel to update the Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs) for potassium and sodium. To assist them, a systematic review of the relation between potassium and sodium intake and chronic disease was undertaken by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ).7 Of great interest is whether the DRI panel will conclude that there is now sufficient evidence to move from an AI to an Estimated Average Requirement (EAR), Reference Dietary Allowance (RDA), and Tolerable Upper Intake Level (UL), for either potassium or sodium. Alternatively, if an AI is retained, will the values change? To set an EAR (the most important reference point), evidence of a dose-response effect on an outcome of interest in a representative population is needed to determine distribution of response.

In this article, we review the basis for the current recommendations for potassium determined by the IOM for the United States and Canada, by the European Food Safety...
Administration (EFSA) for Europe, and by the World Health Organization (WHO) for global recommendations. We also evaluate the quality of trials that exist to inform the panel charged with setting the DRIs. Lastly, we consider translating the recommendations to current food intake in the context of the whole diet.

**DIETARY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR POTASSIUM IN NORTH AMERICA**

**Establishing the DRI for Potassium**

The DRI committee, tasked with establishing the DRIs for potassium in 2005, reviewed the scientific evidence from both epidemiological and intervention studies that assessed the relationship between potassium intake and various health outcomes. When the DRIs were first published, the committee concluded that sufficient evidence existed to establish an AI, but not an EAR (or RDA). Although the AI and RDA for any nutrient are established using different criteria, both the AI and RDA are intended to serve as recommended levels of intake that should reduce the risk of developing a negative health condition in otherwise healthy individuals. For potassium, the health conditions considered included potassium balance and hypokalemia, elevated blood pressure, decreased bone mineral density (BMD), and kidney stones. Severe potassium deficiency can cause hypokalemia, but this is rare in relatively healthy individuals. In addition, hypokalemia is rarely caused by low potassium intake alone, but usually develops secondary to other conditions such as severe vomiting or diarrhea. Potassium insufficiency, however, can occur even at intakes above those required to prevent hypokalemia. Indeed, the DRI report discusses evidence suggesting that even with a potassium intake minimally sufficient to maintain balance, a chronic intake at this level results in other negative clinical consequences related to chronic disease risk. Therefore, the DRI report focused mainly on these chronic disease outcomes when establishing the AI for potassium.

Based on the available data, the committee focused mainly on elevated blood pressure and kidney stones as meaningful pathological end points that may result from insufficient potassium intake, as hypertension is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. More than one-third of US adults have hypertension, and it is second only to smoking as a preventable cause of death in the United States. Thus, a potassium intake sufficient to prevent these conditions, as determined using current evidence, served as the basis for setting the AI.

The AIs established for each age/life stage group as well as the scientific basis for setting each AI are summarized in Table 1. For adults, the AI of 4700 mg/d was set based on the evidence suggesting that potassium intake of this level lowered blood pressure, especially in salt-sensitive individuals, and prevented kidney stones. Although many studies were considered in setting this AI, a study by Morris and colleagues is cited throughout the DRI document. The aim of this study was to assess the association between potassium intake and blood pressure and the role of salt sensitivity in healthy, normotensive black and white adult men (n = 38). Moderate salt sensitivity was defined as a salt-induced increase of mean arterial blood pressure of 3 mm Hg or greater, and severe salt sensitivity was defined as an increase of 10 mm Hg or greater. Salt sensitivity occurs more frequently in blacks (as compared with whites), but the researchers in this study hypothesized that this is only true when dietary potassium is low, as blacks also have lower potassium intakes than do whites. For the first 2 weeks of the 6-week controlled feeding study, participants consumed a basal diet providing 1200 mg/d of potassium and 350 mg/d of sodium. For the last 4 weeks, sodium was

| Age/Life Stage Group | AI, g/d | Basis for Establishing AI |
|----------------------|---------|---------------------------|
| Infants              |         |                           |
| 0–6 mo               | 0.4     | Average potassium in human milk that is consumed |
| 7–12 mo              | 0.7     | Intake from human milk and complementary foods |
| Children             |         |                           |
| 1–3 y                | 3.0     | Extrapolated from adult recommendations, adjusted by energy intake |
| 4–8 y                | 3.8     |                                             |
| 9–13 y               | 4.5     |                                             |
| 14–18 y              | 4.7     |                                             |
| Adults               |         |                           |
| 19–50 y              | 4.7     | Blood pressure reduction, blunting salt sensitivity in African American men, kidney stone prevention |
| 50+ y                | 4.7     | Extrapolated from young adult recommendations; increased risk of elevated blood pressure with age |
| Pregnancy            | 4.7     | Little evidence suggesting requirements are different from nonpregnant requirements |
| Lactation            | 5.1     | Potassium content of human milk + AI |

---

**TABLE 1** Summary of the Current US AI and Basis for Establishing Adequate Intake (AI) for Potassium in All Age/Life Stages
loaded (5700 mg/d), and for the last 3 of those 4 weeks, potassium intake was manipulated with either a placebo or a potassium bicarbonate supplement, making total potassium intake either 1200, 2700, or 4700 mg/d. Salt loading induced a significant increase in blood pressure in blacks only, with salt sensitivity occurring in 79% of blacks as compared with 36% of whites. Five blacks, but no whites, demonstrated severe salt sensitivity, and salt loading induced a significant increase in blood pressure in blacks only. At a moderate potassium intake (2700 mg/d), moderate salt sensitivity was attenuated to the same extent in both blacks and whites, although the frequency was still significantly greater in blacks compared with whites. In addition, blood pressure decreased in blacks, although it remained lower in whites. At a high potassium intake (4700 mg/d), blood pressure decreased further, and the frequency of salt sensitivity in blacks reached the same level as that observed in whites with an intake of 2700 mg/d, with moderate salt sensitivity eliminated. Taken together, these results suggest that a potassium intake of 2700 mg/d was effective for reducing blood pressure and salt sensitivity in whites, but in blacks an intake of 4700 mg/d provided even more heart-health benefits. This would justify setting the AI at 4700 mg/d, but potassium intakes between 2700 and 4700 mg/d were not tested.

While the study by Morris et al supports higher potassium intake, using it as the primary foundation for our current AI is questionable because of several important limitations. First, the sample size was small (n = 38) and relatively homogeneous. The sample included both black and white participants, but only men between the ages of 31 and 65 years, which prevents broader generalizability. Extrapolating the results from such a small and homogeneous sample to other age groups, especially children, is problematic because there is no direct evidence that an intake this high is necessary for everyone. Another limitation is that this was not a crossover study, which precludes any intrapersonal comparisons with different levels of potassium intake. This reduces the power to detect differences in blood pressure and salt sensitivity, which otherwise may have been observed at the moderate level of potassium intake (2700 mg/d), especially in blacks. Finally, a large percentage of participants were determined to be salt-sensitive. The introduction of the article by Morris et al describes salt sensitivity as a precursor to hypertension, which might suggest that salt sensitivity is a disease state in its own right. This is problematic because, by definition, the AI should meet the needs of an otherwise healthy population. Therefore, this begs the question: Is 4700 mg/d an appropriate AI for everyone, or rather an intake that could be recommended on an individual basis to those who are at increased risk of salt-sensitive hypertension?

**POTASSIUM: RECOMMENDATIONS FROM AROUND THE WORLD**

Other countries often derive and compare their dietary recommendations to the North American DRIs or to global recommendations developed by the WHO (summarized in Table 2). However, as illustrated in Figure, there are large global variations in potassium consumption, with few countries meeting the WHO recommendation and none meeting the IOM recommendation.

**The First Guidelines**

The first published reports of potassium guidelines were produced by the Scientific Committee for Food (SCF) and a joint committee convened by the WHO and Food and Agriculture Organization. These reports were comprehensive

| Organization | Year Established | Recommended Daily Intake | Strength of Evidence | Reference |
|--------------|------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| SCF          | 1993             | 3100 mg/d (80 mmol/d)    | Population Reference Intake | Scientific Committee on Food |
| WHO/FAO      | 2002             | 70–80 mmol/d (2750–3100 mg/d) | Convincing | World Health Organization |
| IOM          | 2005             | 4700 mg/d (120 mmol/d)   | Adequate Intake       | Food and Nutrition Board, Institute of Medicine |
| WHO          | 2012             | 90 mmol/d (3500 mg/d)    | Conditional Recommendation | World Health Organization |
| EFSA         | 2016             | 3500 mg/d (90 mmol/d)    | Adequate Intake       | European Food Safety Administration |

Abbreviations: EFSA, European Food Safety Administration; IOM, Institute of Medicine (now National Academies of Medicine); SCF, Scientific Committee for Food; WHO, World Health Organization; WHO/FAO, Joint World Health Organization/Food and Agriculture Organization Expert Consultation.

*aTarget intake for healthy adults aged 18 to 65 years.
*bSee text for definition of terms.
*cBased on a 1:1 sodium to potassium ratio; see text for details.
in nature, aimed at giving a brief overview of all nutrients and their recommended levels in the context of a healthy diet. The SCF proposed a Population Reference Intake (PRI, equivalent to North American RDA) for potassium of 3500 mg/d (80 mmol/d) to lower blood pressure and assist with sodium excretion. Similarly, the WHO/Food and Agriculture Organization Joint Commission recommended potassium intakes of 70 to 80 mmol/d (2750–3100 mg/d) to lower blood pressure and protect against cardiovascular disease (CVD). Ironically, this recommendation was designed to keep the Na:K molar intake ratio at approximately 1:1, an idea that is gaining popularity again today. These reports were instrumental in creating a baseline for dietary intakes, allowing later reports to examine the evidence for individual nutrients in greater depth and refine these initial recommendations.

**WHO Recommendations**

At the request of member states, the WHO convened 3 meetings in 2011 to 2012 to examine evidence for linking potassium intake to noncommunicable diseases. As a result of these meetings, 2 major documents were produced. The first contained the official recommendations of the WHO, whereas the second detailed the systematic review and meta-analysis upon which the recommendations were based. After evaluating the evidence, WHO made a *strong recommendation* for increasing potassium intake to reduce blood pressure, CVD, stroke, and CHD. In addition, they made a *conditional recommendation¹* to consume at least 90 mmol/d (3500 mg/d) of potassium to achieve these benefits. These recommendations point to potassium’s clear ability to lower blood pressure in hypertensives and consistent blood pressure-lowering effects. Furthermore, when stratified by sodium intake, the strongest blood pressure-lowering effects were noted in those consuming the highest levels of sodium, suggesting that the effects of sodium and potassium on blood pressure may be inversely linked. Although outside the scope of guidelines on potassium, WHO commented that following their guidelines for both sodium and potassium results in a molar intake ratio of approximately 1:1 of Na:K.

After evaluating the evidence, WHO made a *strong recommendation* for increasing potassium intake to reduce blood pressure, CVD, stroke, and CHD. In addition, they made a *conditional recommendation¹* to consume at least 90 mmol/d (3500 mg/d) of potassium to achieve these benefits. These recommendations point to potassium’s clear ability to lower blood pressure in hypertensives and consistent blood pressure-lowering effects. Furthermore, when stratified by sodium intake, the strongest blood pressure-lowering effects were noted in those consuming the highest levels of sodium, suggesting that the effects of sodium and potassium on blood pressure may be inversely linked. Although outside the scope of guidelines on potassium, WHO commented that following their guidelines for both sodium and potassium results in a molar intake ratio of approximately 1:1 of Na:K.
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**FIGURE.** Current potassium intakes in populations around the world. Few countries meet the reference guidelines put forth by World Health Organization and European Food Safety Administration, and none meet the Institute of Medicine recommendation.¹²,¹³,¹⁵–¹⁷

*¹A strong recommendation indicates the “development group is confident that the desirable effects of adherence outweigh the undesirable effects.” In addition, a strong recommendation means that most patients would benefit from following this guidance, clinicians should give this advice to their patients, and policy makers can adopt these guidelines in most situations.

*²A conditional recommendation indicates the “development group is not confident about the trade-off.” Conditional recommendations imply that many patients would benefit from following this advice, although many will not, and policy makers must involve stakeholders before adopting the recommendation as policy.
charged with examining the PRI set by SCF\textsuperscript{12} in the context of maintaining optimal health through nutrition.\textsuperscript{15}

In their review, EFSA expanded upon the meta-analysis conducted by the WHO and considered evidence for potassium’s effect on additional health end points, including cardiovascular (stroke, CHD, and CVD) end points, diabetes type 2, bone health, and kidney stones.\textsuperscript{15} A number of randomized controlled trials (RCTs), observational cohort studies, and meta-analyses were considered.

The strongest evidence was in the reduction of cardiovascular end points, particularly blood pressure and stroke. After reviewing the available evidence, EFSA concluded that there is a strong blood pressure–lowering effect of potassium in hypertensive, but not normotensive, individuals.\textsuperscript{15} Subgroup analyses revealed that the effect was greater for hypertensive individuals not on any other antihypertensive treatments and that the effect was greatest for those consuming 3500 to 4700 mg/d (90–120 mmol/d) of potassium. Although current data precluded the setting of an Average Requirement (equivalent to the United States and Canadian EAR), they determined that there were sufficient data to set the AI at 3500 mg/d (90 mmol/d). To move from the AI to a PRI, EFSA recommended further research on potassium’s interaction with other nutrients (particularly sodium and chloride) and its impact on cardiovascular end points.\textsuperscript{15}

**NEWER EVIDENCE TO INFORM POTASSIUM RECOMMENDATIONS**

**Conclusions From the AHRQ Report**

A systematic review of the evidence for potassium and sodium intakes and chronic disease risk reduction was undertaken by the AHRQ\textsuperscript{7} in preparation for the DRI update panel for these nutrients. The review evaluated the evidence from potassium intervention studies on the association between potassium intake with intermediate outcomes, that is, blood pressure and kidney stone formation, or final outcomes, that is, CVD, CHD, stroke, kidney disease, and mortality.

The AHRQ report concluded there was moderate strength evidence supporting the benefit of increased potassium intake from supplements on both systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) in adults, based on 10 parallel RCTs and 8 crossover RCTs. The report also concluded that a moderate strength of evidence exists to support the effect of increased potassium from either supplements or foods on blood pressure (both SBP and DBP) in individuals with prehypertension or hypertension based on 18 RCTs (11 parallel, 7 crossover). In contrast, a low strength of evidence, based on only 3 RCTs (2 parallel, 1 crossover), suggests that increased potassium does not affect blood pressure in normotensive individuals. The report cited insufficient evidence for the moderating effects of age, sex, race/ethnicity, comorbidities (diabetes, kidney disease, obesity), intake of other minerals (calcium, magnesium, sodium), and potassium form (potassium chloride vs others) in relation to potassium and BP. There is also insufficient evidence to suggest an effect of increased potassium intake on blood pressure in children and adolescents, nor is there sufficient evidence to suggest a reduction in the formation of kidney stones with increased potassium intake. Perhaps of most interest to the field of nutrition science, the AHRQ report found insufficient evidence on the effect of increasing potassium via dietary changes alone on blood pressure in adults. Only 3 of the 26 trials reviewed for this topic consisted of any type of dietary potassium manipulation, highlighting the need for more dietary interventions to properly answer this important question.

**Dietary Potassium Intervention Trials**

Numerous meta-analyses conducted over the past 30 years support the findings of the AHRQ, generally concluding that there is a positive relationship between increased potassium supplementation and a reduction in blood pressure in adults.\textsuperscript{24–28} In contrast, overall findings on the effect of increased dietary potassium intake and blood pressure have been conflicting. The majority of these systematic reviews and meta-analyses included dietary interventions and supplement trials, despite the fact that only a few dietary trials exist. Because RCTs related to dietary intake will have the greatest weight for the DRI panel recommendations, it is important to evaluate these trials separately for their scientific quality and effect size.

**The 2018 AHRQ report examined the effects of potassium from both food and supplement sources.**

An early dietary intervention trial\textsuperscript{29} assessed the effects of both an increase in dietary potassium and a reduction in dietary sodium on blood pressure in hypertensive individuals (DBP between 90 and 100 mm Hg) from an Australian population.\textsuperscript{29} Two hundred twelve subjects (aged 52.3 ± 0.8 years; 181 males and 31 females) were placed in 1 of the following 4 diet groups: normal diet (control), high-potassium diet (>100 mmol/3900 mg K/d), reduced-sodium diet (50–75 mmol/1150–1725 mg Na/d), or high-potassium/low-sodium diet. During the 12-week intervention, subjects were regularly counseled on how to adequately modify their food choices based on their group (e.g., avoiding salt/high sodium foods or increasing fruit and vegetable intake). Both SBP and DBP were significantly reduced in each dietary intervention group compared with control subjects, but no significant differences were observed.
between groups. Reductions in the high-potassium group were 7.7 ± 1.1 and 4.7 ± 0.7 mm Hg for SBP and DBP, respectively. This study showed no additive effect of increased potassium and sodium reduction.

A more recent study based in the United Kingdom assessed the effects of increased potassium intake from both dietary sources and supplements on blood pressure in untreated prehypertensive individuals (DBP 80–100 mm Hg). In a crossover design, subjects (n = 48, 22–65 years old) completed four 6-week dietary interventions including a control diet, an additional 20 or 40 mmol K/d (780 or 1560 mg/d) from fruit and vegetables, and 40 mmol potassium citrate per day in capsule form. Similar to Chalmers and colleagues’ study, nutrition coaching was used to regulate participant food choice during each dietary intervention with a focus on increasing fruit and vegetable intake. However, this study found no significant changes in blood pressure (ambulatory and supine blood pressure) between the control group and any of the dietary or supplement interventions. A smaller increase in dietary potassium (increase of 780–1560 mg/d compared with 3900 mg/d) as well as lower baseline blood pressure (SBP 137.7 vs >150 mm Hg, DBP 88.6 vs >95 mm Hg) may explain some of the contrasting findings between these 2 trials. The focus on only increasing dietary potassium from fruit and vegetable sources in the study of Berry et al compared with controlling both potassium and sodium intake in Chalmers and colleagues’ study could also be the reason for the disparity in their findings.

However, similar to the study of Berry et al, Miller and colleagues31 found null results from an RCT designed to assess the effect of a potassium-focused Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diet on blood pressure in an urban African American population. Subjects (N = 123) were primarily female (71%) with controlled hypertension (SBP 120–140 mm Hg, DBP 80–90 mm Hg) on stable doses of antihypertensive medication. In a parallel design, the intervention group (DASH-plus) received extensive nutrition coaching geared toward increasing the purchase and consumption of high-potassium foods (eg, fruits, vegetables, beans, nuts), whereas the control group received initial instruction on how to adopt the DASH diet to improve health, but minimal dietary advice thereafter. Despite an estimated intake of 3700 mg/d of potassium (2300 mg/d over baseline), there was no significant difference in SBP (1.5 mm Hg [95% confidence interval, −2.6 to 5.6 mm Hg]; P = .48) or DBP (1.3 mm Hg [95% confidence interval, −1.3 to 3.9 mm Hg]; P = .33) in the DASH-plus intervention group compared with the control group. The authors pointed out that the lack of observed effect may have been due to medication changes, which occurred in a large percentage of participants despite a design aimed for a population on stable doses. The primary concern with studies designed around nutrition coaching is the ability of the participants to follow the diet correctly and report their dietary choices accurately. While adherence can be measured with modest accuracy in these trials (via spot urine collections in the study of Miller et al31 and 24-hour urine collection in the 2 previously mentioned studies), one of the primary limiting factors in these dietary nutrition counseling interventions is the lack of a controlled diet.

Controlled feeding interventions are almost nonexistent except for the high-quality DASH studies. The DASH intervention revealed that a dietary pattern rich in fruit and vegetables, fiber, and low-fat dairy products, with reductions in saturated and total fat and sodium, could significantly reduce blood pressure in normotensive hypertensive individuals compared with the average American diet. Although the initial DASH trial diet led to a dramatic increase in potassium consumption (DASH diet = 4101 md/d, DASH combination diet [DASH diet + low-fat dairy, low saturated and total fat] = 4415 md/d, control diet = 1752 md/d) and reduction in blood pressure, because of other dietary modifications these beneficial effects cannot be attributed to potassium alone. A subsequent study, the DASH collaborative research group assessed the effects of the DASH diet plus reduced dietary sodium on blood pressure. Investigators randomly assigned participants (SBP/DBP >120/80 mm Hg, not taking antihypertensive drugs) to a control diet (n = 204; aged 49 ± 10 years), similar to typical intake in the United States, or the DASH diet (n = 208; aged 47 ± 10 years), which as in the previous study aimed for a high potassium intake level (=4700 md/d). Within each diet, participants consumed foods at levels of high (150 mmol/d, 3450 md/d), intermediate (100 mmol/d, 2300 md/d), and low (50 mmol/d, 1150 mg/d) sodium for 30 consecutive days each, in a random-order crossover design. Researchers found that the DASH diet resulted in a significantly lower SBP at every sodium level and a significantly lower DBP at the high and intermediate sodium levels. Further, the combination of the DASH diet and low sodium lowered SBP by 11.5 and 7.1 mm Hg in participants with and without hypertension, respectively. Findings were similar in an Australian study utilizing dietary advice and sodium supplements in a crossover design to assess the effects of high versus low sodium on blood pressure in the context of a high potassium diet (=3400 md/d). Despite sodium supplementation up to 120 mmol/d (2760 md/d), 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure and resting auscultatory SBP were reduced by 2.9 mm Hg, 1.4 mm Hg, and 5.5 mm Hg compared with baseline measurements, respectively. Low sodium reduced blood pressure more than did high-sodium diets, similar to the DASH study. The study also lacked a low potassium control arm, making it difficult to conclude if the effects were related to potassium intake.

**Potassium and Bone**

The AHRQ7 did not review evidence for potassium interventions or intakes and bone health outcomes. More than
200 million people worldwide suffer from osteoporosis, including 30% of postmenopausal women in both the United States and Europe.46

Cross-sectional observational studies show a consistent bone benefit with increased fruit and vegetable intakes in adolescent boys and girls,37–40 premenopausal and postmenopausal women,39,41 and elderly men and women.37,42 Higher potassium intakes have also been associated with reduced urinary calcium excretion and improvement in overall calcium balance.43 Current and past diet history including 30% of postmenopausal women in both the United States and Europe.36

200 million people worldwide suffer from osteoporosis, including 30% of postmenopausal women in both the United States and Europe.36

Higher potassium intakes have also been associated with reduced urinary calcium excretion and improvement in overall calcium balance.43 Current and past diet history including 30% of postmenopausal women in both the United States and Europe.36

Clinical dietary intervention trials assessing the impact of potassium on bone are lacking. In an ancillary study to the main DASH trial, Lin and colleagues45 examined the effects of 2 dietary patterns (DASH vs control) and 3 sodium levels (1150, 2300, 3450 mg/d) on bone metabolism in a subset of 186 individuals (23–76 years). The DASH diet significantly reduced bone turnover from baseline (osteocalcin by 8%–11% and C-telopeptide by 16%–18%) compared with the control group, whereas a reduction in sodium intake decreased calcium excretion for both diet groups.45 Findings from potassium supplementation trials typically show persistent hypocalciuria with treatment in both men and women.46,47 In a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled study, men and women (n = 52) randomly assigned to 6 months of 60 or 90 mmol/d (2340 or 3510 mg/d) of potassium citrate supplementation had decreases in urinary calcium and net acid excretion, resulting in positive calcium balance in the group on the highest dose (90 mmol/d) compared with control (0 mmol/d).47 Serum C-telopeptide, a marker of bone turnover, also decreased significantly in both potassium groups. Similar studies have also found decreases in both net acid and calcium excretion, as well as a positive influence on bone biomarkers with increases in potassium supplementation.48,49

There have been few studies examining the relationship between potassium supplementation and BMD and/or bone microarchitecture. In a randomized, prospective controlled trial, Jehle et al50 investigated the effect of 30 mmol/d of potassium chloride versus potassium citrate on spine (L2–L4) and hip BMD in postmenopausal women (N = 161, 58.6 ± 4.8 years) for 12 months. Women taking potassium citrate showed significant increases in spine BMD from baseline at 3, 9, and 12 months, reaching an increase of 0.89% (P < .05) at month 12, whereas women in the potassium chloride group showed a decreased spine BMD of −0.98% (P < .05). In a second study, the same group examined the effect of 60 mEq potassium citrate/d for 24 months on bone outcomes in 201 elderly (>65 years old) men and women. A significant 1.6% difference in spine BMD and significant improvements in trabecular thickness, trabecular number, and FRAX index score in the potassium citrate supplementation group compared with the control group showed the potential for potassium to improve bone health in the elderly.51

**CONSIDERATIONS FOR REVISED RECOMMENDATIONS**

**Diet, Supplements, or Fortification?**

Increasing potassium intake can occur through dietary choices that include potassium-rich foods or through potassium salts provided as supplements, fortifiers, or salt replacers. Which is most effective and which is most easily achieved? The AHRQ report concluded that more dietary interventions looking specifically at the effects of increasing potassium from food sources are needed to understand the effect of dietary potassium on blood pressure and other CVD outcomes. Studies need to be conducted in different subgroups to determine differential effects by sex, age, race, and those with vulnerable conditions. Design considerations influencing study quality include control and duration of the intervention and best assessment measures of exposure and outcomes. When these factors vary greatly from one study to the next, it is difficult to pool the data for meaningful interpretation. For example, the range in duration of potassium supplementation trials reviewed by the AHRQ was from 4 weeks to 36 months, compared with dietary interventions of 4 to 12 weeks. A recent analysis of the time course of diet-induced changes in blood pressure showed effects were achieved by 1 week.52 Trials with bone outcomes require long interventions (years) to see structural changes.

**Giving recommendations for potassium (and sodium) on the basis of energy intake would make translation of the recommendation intakes more practical.**

‡mEq = mmol for potassium.
Recommendations in the Context of Energy

Giving recommendations for potassium (and sodium) on the basis of energy intake would make translation of the recommendation into practice more practical. Both potassium and sodium concentrations in foods are highly correlated with the energy concentration ($r = 0.72$ for potassium and $r = 0.81$ for sodium).\(^5\)\(^3\) Recommending a single absolute value for potassium (or sodium) intake makes meal planning difficult for families or groups in which there is a broad range of energy requirements. It may be time to consider a linear model (such as 1.8 mg/kcal for potassium) for these nutrients (Table 3).\(^5\)\(^4\) The DRI panel should minimally adjust recommendations for broadly different subgroups with different energy requirements, such as men versus women, adults versus children, and increased energy needs in pregnancy and lactation. This has been the approach for B vitamins, the need for which varies in proportion to energy metabolism.

There is no currently accepted recommendation for Na:K intake ratio.

Potassium Versus Na:K Ratio

The 2005 DRI panel recognized that both potassium and sodium effects depend on the intake of the other electrolytes. This is logical given their interdependency and the need to keep their concentrations within narrow limits to achieve fluid balance. Dietary potassium can blunt the effect of sodium on blood pressure, but high levels of salt can blunt the hypocalciuric effect of potassium. However, the evidence for adjusting recommendations for sodium intake based on the intake of potassium and other ions or recommending a particular Na:K ratio was considered too weak. The recent AHRQ review\(^7\) concluded that there is still insufficient evidence to recommend a specific Na:K ratio.

| Energy, kcal/d | Potassium, mg |
|---------------|--------------|
| 1600          | 2880         |
| 2000          | 3600         |
| 2400          | 4320         |
| 2800          | 5040         |
| 3200          | 5760         |

\(^a\)Lin et al.\(^5\)\(^4\)

Safety

The safety concerns with consuming potassium for the general public are few due to the kidney being able to rapidly increase its rate of excretion in response to potassium loading. The maximum excretion rate in healthy adults after adaptation to high intake was estimated to be 31.3 g (800 mmol/d),\(^6\)\(^2\) which is approximately 10-fold higher than the average American intake from food. The 2005 DRI committee concluded that there is no evidence that a high level of potassium from foods has adverse effects in healthy individuals and did not set a UL for potassium.\(^4\) However, the committee noted 2 areas of caution. First, potassium in supplement form can lead to acute toxicity, even in generally healthy adults. The administered potassium was in the form of potassium chloride in all but 1 study, which examined potassium citrate,\(^6\)\(^3\) and the intake level ranged from 511 to 3067 mg (20–150 mmol/d). Two of the 6 trials were conducted in healthy individuals,\(^6\)\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^6\)\(^5\) and one of these trials found no difference in gastrointestinal distress between the intervention and the control groups.\(^7\) The remaining 4 trials included either only adults with hypertension\(^6\)\(^5\)–\(^6\)\(^8\) or only patients with abnormal renal calcium.

There is no currently accepted recommendation for Na:K intake ratio. Some comparisons and suggestions are presented in Table 4.\(^5\)\(^5\),\(^5\)\(^6\) The molar ratio of the sodium UL (100 mmol) divided by the potassium AI (120 mmol) is much lower than the current average molar ratio intakes of 2.18 in US adults.\(^3\) The UL for sodium was used rather than the AI because it is the current target given the AI is not practically achievable with our food supply.\(^5\)\(^7\) Processed foods have added sodium as a preservative and have greatly increased the Na:K intake ratio from pre-agriculture revolution times.\(^5\)\(^8\) The range in Na:K intake is wide as discovered in the INTERSALT assessment of 32 countries. Various groups have recommended an intermediate target intake ratio between 1 and 2.

Additional reports have found positive associations between the Na:K ratio and blood pressure.\(^5\)\(^9\),\(^6\)\(^0\) Thus, the evidence continues to accumulate. Achieving both increased potassium and reduced sodium intakes, relative to current intakes, has significant challenges.\(^5\)\(^1\) It may be more practical to achieve a more moderate increase in potassium and more moderate reduction in sodium than to focus on either in isolation. This calls for research on safety and efficacy of a range in Na:K ratios.
A separate meta-analysis of 22 RCTs in individuals with normal kidney function that specifically searched for and recorded all available data on potential adverse effects concluded that there were no increased adverse effects, minor complaints, or major adverse events in the increased-potassium groups compared with the control groups in both adults and children.24 The intake of potassium in these trials ranged from less than 3500 to greater than 6000 mg (90 to 155 mmol/d) and was from both diet and supplements.

The second area of caution noted by the 2005 DRI committee was in individuals with renal impairment (patients with diabetes type 1, chronic renal insufficiency, end-stage renal disease, severe heart failure, and adrenal insufficiency), as consumption of high levels of potassium may lead to hyperkalemia (serum potassium concentration >5.5 mmol/L) and, subsequently, an increased risk of potentially lethal cardiac arrhythmias.4 This statement was based on 3 cases of hyperkalemia and 1 case of cardiac arrhythmia reported in patients taking potassium-containing salt substitutes or potassium supplements to replace potassium losses induced by spironolactone or angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors.4,24 In these patients, safety concerns associated with potassium supplementation require medical supervision. Otherwise, in healthy adults who are the target of any UL value, there have been no reports of hyperkalemia.4 Clinical trials including potassium supplementation as high as 15 600 mg (400 mmol/d) for several weeks and 4500 mg (115 mmol/d) for up to a year reported increased plasma concentrations but not beyond the reference range (3.5–5 mmol/L).69,70 Similar results were observed in a recent RCT comparing the bioavailability of potassium from potatoes and potassium supplement in healthy men and women. Supplementation with 2500 mg (60 mmol) of potassium gluconate added to a controlled diet containing 2300 mg (60 mmol/d) of potassium increased the plasma concentration from 3.6 to 4.1 mmol/L, but the increase was transient, lasting for approximately 4 hours, and followed immediately by increased renal potassium excretion.71 These findings suggest that the body is able to efficiently adapt to high potassium intake and that potassium supplementation may be a potential strategy to mitigate the deleterious effects of high sodium intake in Americans. Nevertheless, the adverse effects of potassium loading have not been previously evaluated in the context of diets low in sodium. Most RCTs administering potassium supplements used them in the setting of high sodium intake.4 Additional evidence is needed to determine if potassium supplements are equally safe when consumed as part of diets with low sodium-to-potassium ratio.

### MEETING THE POTASSIUM REQUIREMENT

A diet abundant in fruits and vegetables has been regarded for many years as optimal for maintaining overall health. Fruits and vegetables are rich in the minerals (ie, potassium, calcium, magnesium, etc) required for normal cardiovascular health and the development and maintenance of healthy bone and may also provide various bioactive constituents (eg, phytochemicals, including polyphenols and carotenoids) that help to further regulate favorable heart and bone health outcomes.58,72

The inadequate intake of potassium in the United States has not gone unnoticed by our federal agencies. The 2015–2020 Dietary Guidelines for Americans, which are
published by the US Department of Agriculture and the Department of Health and Human Services, include a section on underconsumed nutrients and nutrients of public health concern, and one of the nutrients discussed is potassium. The Dietary Guidelines cite low intakes of vegetables, fruits, and dairy foods as the causative factor for low potassium intake. They recommend choosing specific fruits, vegetables, and dairy products that are high in potassium to improve intake and provide a list of such foods. The public health concern of deficient potassium intake has also been recognized by the Food and Drug Administration, which is the governing body that regulates food labeling. In 2016, they passed new regulations for the nutrition facts label on food products, which will now require that the potassium content of foods be displayed on the label. They cite the known link between potassium intake and blood pressure as well as the inadequate population intake of potassium as the rationale for implementing this change. The combined efforts of the US Department of Agriculture/Department of Health and Human Services and the Food and Drug Administration in promoting awareness of potassium intake for the public are important steps in increasing intake to align with the AI.

CONCLUSIONS

There is still much to learn about the effect of dietary potassium, both alone and in the context of the whole diet, on overall health. Improving the evidence for efficacy and safety for all population groups is needed to refine intake recommendations and public health messages, as well as to strategize how to best achieve increased levels in our diet.

Evidence is substantial for a benefit of potassium between 3500 and 4700 mg/d for lowering blood pressure. However, further discrimination within this range (or even lower) to recommend intakes greater than 3500 mg/d is not possible with existing data. Recommendations that consider differences in intake due to energy needs, racial differences in metabolism, and intake of other minerals, especially sodium, would be more practically translatable and should be a continuous emphasis of further research.
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