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Abstract

We present the first high-resolution (500m × 500m) gridded methane (CH$_4$) emission inventory for Switzerland, which integrates the national emission totals reported to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) and recent CH$_4$ flux studies conducted by research groups across Switzerland. In addition to anthropogenic emissions, we also include natural and semi-natural CH$_4$ fluxes, i.e., emissions from lakes and reservoirs, wetlands, wild animals as well as uptake by forest soils. National CH$_4$ emissions were disaggregated using detailed geostatistical information on source locations and their spatial extent and process- or area-specific emission factors. In Switzerland, the highest CH$_4$ emissions in 2011 originated from the agricultural sector (150 Gg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$), mainly produced by ruminants and manure management, followed by emissions from waste management (15 Gg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$) mainly from landfills and the energy sector (12 Gg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$), which was dominated by emissions from natural gas distribution. Compared to the anthropogenic sources, emissions from natural and semi-natural sources were relatively small (6 Gg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$), making up only 3 % of the total emissions in Switzerland. CH$_4$ fluxes from agricultural soils were estimated to be not significantly different from zero (between −1.5 and 0 Gg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$), while forest soils are a CH$_4$ sink (approx. −2.8 Gg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$), partially offsetting other natural emissions. Estimates of uncertainties are provided for the different sources, including an estimate of spatial disaggregation errors deduced from a comparison with a global (EDGAR v4.2) and a European CH$_4$ inventory (TNO/MACC). This new spatially-explicit emission inventory for Switzerland will provide valuable input for regional scale atmospheric modeling and inverse source estimation.

1 Introduction

Most of the atmospheric methane (CH$_4$) produced in Switzerland results from anthropogenic activities. These emissions are well documented in the Swiss Greenhouse...
Gas Inventory (SGHGI, FOEN, 2013) that is updated and communicated to the UNFCCC on an annual basis. In contrast, the latest estimate for natural CH$_4$ fluxes including lakes, wild animals, wetlands and forest soils (SAEFL, 1996) is outdated and was never compared with actual measurements taken in Switzerland. In 2011, the agricultural sector contributed 84.6% to the total anthropogenic CH$_4$ emissions of 178 Gg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$, while the waste management and the energy sector added another 8.3% and 6.8%, respectively (FOEN, 2013). Since 1990, CH$_4$ emissions have decreased by about 20% in Switzerland (FOEN, 2013). One reason is the decline in livestock numbers over the last 20 yr, mainly caused by changes in federal legislation. Emissions from natural gas distribution decreased due to the replacement of old infrastructure (Xinmin, 2004). However, this replacement process is now completed (Xinmin, 2004) and, combined with the projected higher demand for natural gas due to the new Swiss energy strategy (SFOE, 2012a), emissions are expected to increase again. Disposal of combustible waste in landfills has been prohibited since 2000 in Switzerland and therefore emissions from this source are decreasing (FOEN, 2013). Counteracting this trend, emissions from biogas production have more than doubled since 1990 and are projected to rise even further (FOEN, 2013). Thus, a reliable base-line inventory for CH$_4$ emissions from anthropogenic activities and natural processes is urgently needed.

Inventory estimates such as those presented in the SGHGI are based on numerous assumptions and statistical data that are associated with large uncertainties in several categories. Hence, validation by independent means is essential. Attempts have been made to constrain regional or national CH$_4$ emissions by atmospheric concentration measurements using boundary-layer budgets (Gallagher et al., 1994; Choularton et al., 1995; Fowler et al., 1996; Beswick et al., 1998; Wratt et al., 2001), inverse emission modeling (Vermeulen et al., 1999; Bergamaschi et al., 2005; Manning et al., 2011; Polson et al., 2011; Wennberg et al., 2012), or by discriminating individual sources with help of the isotopic signature of CH$_4$ (Levin et al., 1999; Lowry et al., 2001; Miller, 2005). Studies of CH$_4$ fluxes in Switzerland mainly concentrated on measurements at a few selected sites and typically focused on improving process-level understanding.
rather than on providing representative numbers for national emission budgets. Only one single attempt has so far been made to upscale CH$_4$ flux measurements to national totals or to validate the Swiss CH$_4$ inventory with atmospheric measurements (Hiller, 2012).

In order to model the influence of CH$_4$ emissions on atmospheric concentrations, spatially-explicit inventories are needed in addition to total national emissions (Bun et al., 2010). To disaggregate emissions to a higher spatial resolution, detailed knowledge on the location and the activity of each source is required, leading to additional uncertainty (Ciais et al., 2010). In recent years, the increasing targeting of the atmospheric and inverse modeling community on the regional and urban scale led to a clear trend towards high-resolution inventories. Currently, four different CH$_4$ inventories include Switzerland. EDGARv4.2, EDGAR-HTAP and TNO-MACC focus on anthropogenic emissions, NatAir considers only natural and biogenic emissions (details are shown in Table 1); thus, no inventory combines all CH$_4$ sources. Although these inventories have benefited from a considerable increase in resolution (e.g. EDGAR changed in 2009 from $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ to $0.1^\circ \times 0.1^\circ$), they are still limited to cell sizes of about 10 km $\times$ 10 km. For a spatially heterogeneous country such as Switzerland, this resolution is still too coarse to capture local variations.

The goal of this study was to produce the first gridded, high-resolution (500 m $\times$ 500 m) CH$_4$ inventory for Switzerland. Anthropogenic emission estimates followed the methodologies of the SGHGI (FOEN, 2013). National totals were either spatially disaggregated across Switzerland using respective correlated geostatistical data with at least 500 m $\times$ 500 m spatial resolution, or generated in a bottom-up approach using emission factors (EFs). The SAEFL (1996) fluxes were updated and total emissions for all relevant categories are reported together with their uncertainties. The additional uncertainty at the grid level introduced by the spatial disaggregation was estimated by comparing different inventories. For each source category, a brief review of recent research studies in Switzerland is presented and the results from field studies are compared with the inventory estimates where possible.
2 Data collection and processing

Our spatially-explicit inventory is based on the SGHGI for anthropogenic emissions and additionally comprises natural fluxes. National emission totals were distributed onto a 500 m × 500 m grid according to correlated geostatistical data. Natural sources without up-to-date national totals available were up-scaled and spatially attributed using geostatistical data. Emission factors were adapted from the literature, including dedicated studies for Switzerland. The spatially-explicit inventory was generated for the year 2011, which is the latest year available from the SGHGI. Sources are represented by positive numbers, sinks by negative numbers.

2.1 Swiss anthropogenic greenhouse gas inventory (SGHGI)

The latest submission of the SGHGI to the UNFCCC on 15 April 2013 reports greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by sources and removals by sinks between 1990 (base year) and 2011 (FOEN, 2013). A detailed description of the institutional arrangements for inventory preparation, data sources and methodologies, uncertainty evaluations as well as quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) activities are given in the SGHGI (FOEN, 2013). The inventory preparation follows the reporting guidelines developed by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) (IPCC, 1997, 2000, 2003, 2006). To estimate GHG emissions and removals following the IPCC methodology, three approaches differing in complexity (so-called tiers) can be used. The Tier 1 methodology uses generalized default equations and parameters provided by the IPCC guidelines. Tier 2 employs country-specific input data, providing more detail of the underlying processes with regional specificities. Tier 3 is the most complex approach in terms of capturing dynamic processes and their spatial stratification, involving domestic measurements and/or modeling. The UNFCCC encourages parties to develop Tier 3 methods for large sources and sinks as well as for those with temporal trends, the so-called key categories (IPCC, 2000). Switzerland is currently working to include more country-specific information for the next commitment period 2013–2020.
For the spatially-explicit inventory, the eight strongest CH\textsubscript{4} sources out of a total of 620 listed in the SGHGI (FOEN, 2013) were selected, adding up to about 90\% of all anthropogenic CH\textsubscript{4} emissions. These eight sources include emissions from the agricultural sector (41\% from enteric fermentation of dairy cattle, 17\% from young cattle, 5\% from suckler cows, 2\% from sheep, 9\% from manure of dairy cattle and 5\% of swine), the waste sector (5\% from landfills), and the energy sector (5\% from losses from natural gas distribution), where percentages in parentheses represent the share of the total 2011 anthropogenic emission estimate of 178 Gg. Additionally, we also compiled a spatial inventory of the emissions from wastewater treatment plants as these act as strong local sources.

2.1.1 Agricultural sector

The largest agricultural source in the SGHGI is 4.A Enteric Fermentation followed by 4.B Manure Management (the headings and numbers correspond to the official nomenclature for reporting, see Table 2). Agricultural residue burning is only a small source in Switzerland and reported in the sector 6. Waste, whereas emissions from agricultural soils, rice production, and burning of savannas are negligible.

The 57,600 registered farms manage about one third of Switzerland’s area (15,000 km\textsuperscript{2} including alpine pastures; FSO, 2013) and rear animals equivalent to 1,316,600 livestock units (FSO, 2011).

Agricultural CH\textsubscript{4} emissions from livestock result from the microbial degradation of carbohydrates present in the rumen of ruminants, and to a lesser extent also in the hindgut of all herbivores (Jensen, 1996). Additionally, carbohydrates that are not digested and thus are excreted as volatile solids can subsequently be converted to CH\textsubscript{4} during manure management. Overall, the CH\textsubscript{4} production from enteric fermentation is primarily related to feed intake, standardized by using gross energy intake (GE) for inventory purposes. Intake differences quite reliably reflect variations in animal weight and performance (milk yield, growth, and pregnancy) and corresponding differences in CH\textsubscript{4} emissions (Soliva, 2006). However, variation in feed composition, i.e. in the sub-
strates for the methanogenic archaea, is not accounted for. CH$_4$ production is assumed to decline when forage is partially replaced with concentrate in the ruminant diet (Beauchemin et al., 2008), but this reduction is often smaller than assumed, and about one third of this reduction may be subsequently compensated by correspondingly higher manure-derived CH$_4$ emissions (Hindrichsen et al., 2006). Since Swiss ruminant diet types are mostly forage-based, CH$_4$ conversion rates measured in Switzerland are higher than IPCC (2006) default values (Zeitz et al., 2012). On the other hand, experiments on CH$_4$ emissions from Swiss manure management result in lower emissions than currently estimated in the SGHGI using IPCC (2006) default values (Zeitz et al., 2012). In particular, emissions from liquid manure systems tend to be lower than those currently reported. The influence of animal genotype on the CH$_4$ emission potential is currently discussed at the global level, but Swiss studies do not indicate significant differences between dairy breeds (Münger and Kreuzer, 2006). In conclusion, preliminary analyses suggest no significant change in CH$_4$ emissions from livestock by applying Swiss-specific EFs (Zeitz et al., 2012), as different under- and overestimates compensate each other. However, this conclusion does not yet consider the potential to reduce GHG emissions using different feeding measures (addition of lipids, plant secondary compounds, etc.; see e.g., Beauchemin et al., 2008; Staerfl et al., 2012).

For our spatially-explicit inventory, emissions were calculated from livestock numbers in 2007, aggregated by farm (agricultural establishment census 2007; FSO, 2009), and multiplied with animal-specific EFs from the Swiss national air pollution database (EMIS, Federal Office for the Environment). Emissions for 2007 were then scaled to the 2011 value reported in the SGHGI. Following the Swiss husbandry practice, most emissions were assumed to be produced in the stall (80 % for cattle, 20 % for sheep, 100 % for swine) and the remaining fraction on the pastures. The agricultural establishment census contains the location of the main farm building at one hectare resolution and was assumed identical to the stable and manure storage location (Kupper et al., 2010). Emissions on pastures were attributed to all grid cells covered by this land use type (Swiss land use statistics; FSO GEOSTAT, 2009) within the community of the re-
spective farm. As part of the Swiss farming practice, part of the livestock is moved to alpine pastures in summer. Consequently, the CH₄ emissions produced there were also allocated on those alpine pastures (≈ 4% of the agricultural emissions included in the spatially-explicit inventory).

2.1.2 Waste management

Within the waste management sector, CH₄ emissions originate mainly from 6.A Solid Waste Disposal on Land, 6.B Wastewater Handling, and 6.D Other processes, including composting, digestion of organic waste, and biogas up-grading.

Landfills

Gas production by decomposition of organic material in the anoxic waste body (typically 50–70% CH₄ (v/v), 30–50% CO₂, and trace amounts of other gases; Farquhar and Rovers, 1973) leads to advective and diffusive gas transport within the landfill pore system and eventually to emissions to the atmosphere (e.g. Franzidis et al., 2008).

Recent research activities related to landfill-derived CH₄ in Switzerland are limited to the municipal waste landfill Lindenstock near Liestal. This 12 ha landfill received ≈ 3.2 × 10⁶ m³ of household, construction, and commercial waste between 1949 and 1994. Following closure, the waste was capped with a 2 to 2.5 m thick cover soil consisting primarily of silty loam, and a gas-collection system was installed constructed of vertical and horizontal, partially-screened, high density polyethylene pipes. However, gas collection has not been attempted in recent years, and gas outlets remain closed with screw-cap lids. This is a unique feature of this landfill as gas-collection systems on several other Swiss landfills are either in continuous operation or absent.

Experiments at Lindenstock compared CH₄ fluxes obtained by different methods (Gómez et al., 2009; Eugster and Plüss, 2010; Schroth et al., 2012) at or above the cover-soil surface, as well as below-ground fluxes. Results indicated that the studied section of the landfill was predominantly a net source of CH₄, with highest emis-
Emissions close to the gas-collection outlets (daily mean fluxes ranging between 0.05 and 1.5 g CH₄ m⁻² d⁻¹) (Henneberger et al., 2012; Schroth et al., 2012). A net flux of up to −0.002 g CH₄ m⁻² d⁻¹ (uptake) was usually observed away from the gas-collection outlets. CH₄ efflux from the waste body was highly variable over short distances and time. CH₄ oxidation activity in the cover soil was generally high, mitigating most of the produced CH₄, but also exhibiting substantial spatial variability (estimated to −1.92 to −64 g CH₄ m⁻² d⁻¹ in 2010) being strongest where efflux from the waste body was highest. Mitigation of landfill-derived CH₄ in the Lindenstock cover soil is mediated by a highly diverse, abundant methanotrophic community (Henneberger et al., 2012, 2013). Similar experiments during winter indicated stronger net CH₄ emissions (up to 2.5 g CH₄ m⁻² d⁻¹) from the studied landfill section (Ugolini et al., 2009). This was primarily attributed to a decrease in oxygen availability within the cover soil as a result of increased soil water content at shallow depths, but also to a decrease in temperature, which both adversely affected the CH₄ oxidation activity.

These results from Lindenstock are not sufficiently representative for estimating total landfill emissions in Switzerland, but they broadly agree with previous studies on landfills in Europe and the USA, reporting oxidation activities in a similar but higher range. Hence, cover soils in general provide an effective buffer for landfill-derived CH₄, mitigating emissions to the atmosphere as a result of the activity of methanotrophs (Whalen et al., 1990; Boeckx et al., 1996; Börjesson et al., 1998; Chanton et al., 2009; Gebert et al., 2009; Park et al., 2010).

Characteristics of the individual landfills, such as waste composition, dumping period, etc., were not available for Switzerland. Therefore, the national emissions of 8.6 Gg CH₄ yr⁻¹ as reported in the SGHGI FOEN (2013) were proportionally distributed to the hectares classified as landfills in the land use statistics (FSO GEOSTAT, 2009) for our spatially explicit inventory.
Wastewater treatment

CH$_4$ is produced in the sewage system as well as in the anoxic part of the wastewater treatment plant and the upgrading of sewage gas. To our knowledge, no direct wastewater CH$_4$ measurements exist for Switzerland; however, the CH$_4$ emissions can be estimated from the organic load in the wastewater. The chemical oxygen demand (COD) ranges from 100 to 110 g COD person$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$, with one third each being aerobically respired, converted to CH$_4$, and remaining in the sewage sludge. A large part of the CH$_4$ produced is used for power and heat supply of the wastewater plant, and only about 10% is directly emitted to the environment. The resulting EF of 0.9 g CH$_4$ person$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ lies within the range of reported conversion rates by Dealman et al. (2012) of 0.08% to 1.2% of kg CH$_4$ (kg COD)$^{-1}$. The amount of released CH$_4$ also depends on the sewage system (higher with long pipes at low inclination) and the plant type (higher with uncovered anoxic post-digester). Using an average EF of 0.9 g CH$_4$ person$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ and a 12 million population equivalent (Swiss population plus industrial wastewater load converted to additional population), annual emissions from wastewater collection and treatment result in about 4 Gg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$. However, a recent publication proposes a higher EF of 1.5 g CH$_4$ person$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$, arguing that CH$_4$ production in the sewage system was underestimated (Wunderlin et al., 2013). The resulting CH$_4$ emissions would increase by 50% to about 6 Gg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$. In contrast, the SGHGI is based on a completely different method reporting only 0.48 Gg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$, because the emissions are estimated from loss rates within the individual plant units and the total CH$_4$ used for energy or biogas production. Hence, emissions from tanks that are not connected to the gas system and emissions in the sewage are not included. To be consistent with the SGHGI, we proportionally distributed the 0.48 Gg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$ to the 854 plants in Switzerland based (Foen, 2012) on their capacity expressed in population equivalents. However, emissions might turn out up to a factor twelve higher using alternative estimation approaches.
2.1.3 Energy sector

Total CH$_4$ emissions in the energy sector are divided into the subcategories 1.A Fuel Combustion, where most emissions originate from road transportation and residential heating, and 1.B Fugitive Emissions from Fuels. The latter emissions largely occur during the transmission of natural gas in pipelines (Category 1.B.2 Oil and Natural Gas; FOEN, 2013).

In Switzerland, 12.2% of the total energy consumption was covered by natural gas in 2011 (SFOE, 2012b). Gas distribution in Switzerland includes $\approx 19000$ km of pipelines, from which 12% are operated at pressures $>5$ bar, 23% between 1 and 5 bar, and 65% < 1 bar. Another $\approx 6000$ km of pipes guarantee the final distribution to the end user (SGWA, 2012). A large proportion of the transported gas transits Switzerland on the way from the production sites in northern Europe to Italy (Xinmin, 2004).

In the SGHGI, fugitive emissions of natural gas are estimated based on the amount of transported gas as well as on the infrastructure, namely the length, type and pressure of the gas pipelines (FOEN, 2013). Most emissions are assumed to occur during final distribution and consumption, while emissions from welded high-pressure pipes are assumed to be low (Xinmin, 2004). Therefore, emissions reported in the SGHGI were distributed close to the gas consumers for our spatially-explicit inventory. Based on the national buildings and dwellings survey (FSO, 2010), the national emissions were proportionally distributed to those areas where natural gas is used for heating, i.e., to each 1 ha grid cell where at least two houses are heated with natural gas. The emissions were subsequently aggregated onto the 500 m $\times$ 500 m grid.

2.2 Natural and semi-natural CH$_4$ sources and sinks

The SGHGI only reports anthropogenic CH$_4$ emissions while natural and semi-natural fluxes are omitted, except for wildfires in the Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF) sector. CH$_4$ flux estimates reported in the SAFEL report (1996) were up-
dated based on new EF and compiled into our spatially-explicit inventory as described below.

2.2.1 Lakes and reservoirs

Approximately 3.5% of Switzerland (1450 km²) is covered by lakes and reservoirs (FSO GEOSTAT, 2009), which can emit significant amounts of CH₄ (Bastviken et al., 2011). These CH₄ emissions can occur via four main pathways: (1) standard gas exchange at the air–water interface; (2) ebullition (bubbling) from aquatic sediments; (3) turnover of a stratified water column with storage of CH₄ in (anoxic) bottom water; and (4) transport by plants in the shallow littoral zones (Chanton and Whiting, 1995; Bastviken et al., 2004). Hydropower reservoirs have an additional fifth emission pathway as they release water for energy production. Often the turbine intakes of a hydropower dam are located in the CH₄-rich bottom water of a stratified reservoir, thus CH₄ can be emitted via degassing at the turbine or along the downstream river to which the water is released (Kemenes et al., 2007). The most important sink for CH₄ in aquatic environments is oxidation, which occurs mostly at oxic/anoxic boundaries in the sediment (e.g. Frenzel et al., 1990) or water column (e.g. Schubert et al., 2010) and can account for a significant reduction of total CH₄ produced by decomposition of organic material in a lake before the CH₄ reaches the atmosphere.

Measuring all of these CH₄ transport pathways and their spatiotemporal variability in a single lake requires immense effort. Thus, often only a subset of all possible pathways is directly measured while others are either neglected or estimated from literature data. Truly accurate and validated models for estimating CH₄ emissions via all these pathways do not exist. An approach that can be used when attempting to estimate CH₄ emissions from a large amount of lakes without direct measurements is to use the equations proposed by Bastviken et al. (2004), which estimate diffusion, ebullition, and storage emissions based on comprehensive measurements from a collection of North American and European lakes (see Supplement for details). Bastviken et al. (2004) found significant relationships between the CH₄ emission estimates and measurable
variables, such as lake area, dissolved organic carbon and phosphorus concentrations, water depth, and volume of the anoxic fraction of the water column.

Following Bastviken et al. (2004), we estimated diffusion, ebullition and storage emissions of CH$_4$ from the lake areas of all major Swiss water bodies, but made the following modifications. (1) We tripled CH$_4$ emissions from lakes and reservoirs shallower than 30 m based on direct measurements of emissions from a small, shallow lake in the low alpine region which indicated high rates of ebullition (Schubert et al., 2012). (2) For the hydroelectric reservoir Lake Wohlen on the Swiss Plateau, we directly used the emission estimate of DelSontro et al. (2010) that was based on a year-long measurement study and results in a value ten times higher than that obtained with the method of Bastviken et al. (2004). No adjustments to any other reservoirs were made as Lake Wohlen may not be a representative system within Switzerland. (3) We provided a rough temporal variability of ebullition emissions by assuming that ebullition occurs only during the warmest half of the year as DelSontro et al. (2010) found a strong correlation between emissions and seasonal water temperatures. (4) Finally, we assumed ebullition not to be a relevant process in high alpine lakes at altitudes above 1500 m a.s.l. since they receive only little organic input, have low water temperature, and quite low CH$_4$ concentrations in the water column (Diem et al., 2012). The resulting emission factors are summarized in Table S1. The above presented modifications suggest that other factors in addition to those proposed by Bastviken et al. (2004) may need to be considered for estimating CH$_4$ emissions from lakes and reservoirs in the future.

The locations and areas of Swiss lakes and reservoirs were taken from the primary surfaces of the digital version of the Swiss topographical map at 1:25 000 scale in vector format (VECTOR25; Swisstopo, 2004), while the depths for lakes > 0.1 km$^2$ were obtained from FOEN (2007a). We included all lakes that are contained in the Swiss water bodies information system (GEWISS; FOWG, 2000). Water depth data could not be found for 652 out of 798 lakes. Therefore, we assumed that the depth of lakes < 0.2 km$^2$ (678 lakes) is less than 30 m. Lake altitude was taken from the digital ele-
2.2.2 Wetlands

Wetlands are the largest natural source of CH$_4$ globally, where it is produced by microbial decomposition of organic material under anoxic conditions. However, wetlands have become rare in Switzerland (0.5 %, 200 km$^2$, of the land area today compared to 6 % in 1800; FOEN, 2007b). In our study, we also considered wetland areas of a mixed ecosystem type and hence a ten times larger area (see Table S2). They are classified as wetland on the basis of their high biodiversity, protected by the Swiss legislation on the protection of mires, rather than by their hydrogeological properties that would better reflect their characteristics in terms of CH$_4$ fluxes.

Most information on wetland CH$_4$ fluxes originate from the arctic, boreal, and tropical zones, and it is not trivial to translate those results to Swiss wetlands. An important complication is the fact that even in moist environments the vegetated surface may act as a net sink for atmospheric CH$_4$ when water saturation in the soil is limited to deeper layers or when drainage ditches lower the average water table (e.g. Moore and Roulet, 1993). In most cases it can be expected that periods where wetlands are a sink for CH$_4$ are restricted to a few warm and dry weeks a year, which reduces the overall annual CH$_4$ emissions from such ecosystems compared to permanently waterlogged wetlands.

In Swiss fens, CH$_4$ emission rates ranging from 100 to 330 mg CH$_4$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ have been reported for the summer months (alpine fen at Göschener Alp, Liebner et al., 2012). Constant emissions between 0.12 and 31 mg CH$_4$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ were also found from glacier forefields with calcareous bedrock (Nauer et al., 2012), while mires on siliceous bedrock were either a weak source of CH$_4$ (38 % of all cases), neutral (31 %), or a CH$_4$ sink (31 %; −0.14 to −1.1 mg CH$_4$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$; Nauer et al., 2012). Even in the case of
large emissions from calcareous glacier forefields, Nauer et al. (2012) observed that roughly 90 % of the CH\textsubscript{4} produced in the deeper soil was oxidized before it reached the soil surface and the atmosphere. This agrees with other studies which indicate that in the top centimeters of the soil above the water table, where oxygen is abundant, most of the CH\textsubscript{4} produced by methanogenic archaea is oxidized and hence the flux of CH\textsubscript{4} to the atmosphere is substantially lower than what microorganisms produce (e.g. King et al., 1998).

For our spatially-explicit inventory, CH\textsubscript{4} emissions from Swiss wetlands were estimated from the wetland areas in Switzerland and literature-based EFs available for different wetland types as summarized in Table S2. Different types of wetland areas were determined from the national inventories of raised bogs, fens and mires (FOEN, 2008b, 2010) as well as of riparian landscapes (FOEN, 2008a). Additionally, the wetland core and sprawl areas reported in the national ecological network (FOEN, 2011b) were included in the analysis since these contain additional wetlands of regional and local importance. For the emission estimate, polygons were mapped to a 100 m × 100 m raster. Grid cells classified by one of the different types of wetlands were subsequently multiplied with the corresponding EF. If a grid cell belonged to more than one wetland type, the one with highest priority was selected. The priority refers to the level of detail of the data set (e.g. specification of different zones within a wetland) and the importance of a wetland type for CH\textsubscript{4} emissions. As a final step, the data were averaged to the 500 m × 500 m grid. In total, Swiss wetlands are estimated to emit approximately 2.3 Gg CH\textsubscript{4} yr\textsuperscript{-1}.

2.2.3 Wild animals

Red and roe deer, alpine chamois and alpine ibex are the most abundant wild living ruminants in Switzerland. CH\textsubscript{4} emissions from these wild animals were estimated from the animal population estimates at cantonal (state) level in 2011 (except for Canton of Jura: 2006 and Canton of Vaud: 2009) (FOEN, 2011a). We multiplied these animal populations by the respective species dependent EF in SAEFL (1996) (see Table S3). The
spatial distribution depends on the habitat of the animals. While red deer prefer dense and open forest, row deer prefer dense forest. Alpine chamois prefer unproductive vegetation as well as rocks and scree, while Alpine ibex mainly thrive on rocks and scree. The respective land cover types were selected from the Swiss land-use statistic (FSO GEOSTAT, 2009). Additionally, the locations of these alpine habitats were restricted to altitudes above 1500 m a.s.l. (FSO GEOSTAT, 2006).

The number of large wild animals (260,000 red and roe deer, alpine chamois and alpine ibex) in Switzerland (FOEN, 2011a) is substantially less than the 1,580,000 cattle (FSO, 2011) in the agricultural sector. Moreover, wild animals are smaller in size and show a smaller energy uptake than cattle. This results in a comparatively low emission estimate of 1.1 Gg CH\textsubscript{4} yr\textsuperscript{-1}.

SAFEL (1996) also reported substantial emissions of 2.8 Gg CH\textsubscript{4} yr\textsuperscript{-1} from rodents. Radar measurements of the mice density on Swiss fields resulted in an average of 9000 mice km\textsuperscript{-2} (AGFF, 2012), while the rabbit density was estimated as 2.7 rabbits km\textsuperscript{-2} (Zellweger-Fischer, 2012). Scaled to the 10,500 km\textsuperscript{2} agricultural area in Switzerland (FSO, 2011), this translates to ≈ 94.5 mio. mice and 28,350 rabbits. Multiplied with the EFs of 0.26 g CH\textsubscript{4} mouse\textsuperscript{-1} yr\textsuperscript{-1} (Jensen, 1996) and 80 g CH\textsubscript{4} rabbit\textsuperscript{-1} yr\textsuperscript{-1} (IPCC, 2006), the annual emissions result in 0.027 Gg CH\textsubscript{4} yr\textsuperscript{-1}, which is far less than previously assumed and does not represent a significant contribution to the emissions from wild animals. Hence, rodents were not included in our spatially-explicit inventory.

### 2.2.4 Agricultural soils

Two counteracting processes – methanogenesis and methanotrophy – drive the net exchange of CH\textsubscript{4} between agricultural soils and the atmosphere. In Switzerland, the agricultural sector comprises typical crop production on arable land (18 %) and comparatively large areas of grasslands (49 %) and alpine summer pastures (33 %), adding up to 15,000 km\textsuperscript{2}, corresponding to more than one third of the total area of Switzerland (FSO GEOSTAT, 2009; FSO, 2011). Several studies conducted at managed grasslands
and alpine pastures in Switzerland have reported small CH$_4$ uptake rates by soils (Hartmann et al., 2010; Stiehl-Braun et al., 2011; Imer et al., 2013; Merbold et al., 2013), which is also supported by other studies (Mosier et al., 1991; Flessa et al., 1998; Ineson et al., 1998; van den Pol-van Dasselaar et al., 1999; Kammann et al., 2001). The CH$_4$ fluxes depend on multiple drivers such as water filled pore space, soil and air temperatures, nutrient availability, management activity such as fertilizer application or tilling, and soil texture. These drivers are site-specific, but also change temporally at a single site. Recent results from three grassland sites in Switzerland reveal large temporal and spatial variations in CH$_4$ fluxes from managed ecosystems (Imer et al., 2013) ranging from a small sink ($-1.37$ mg CH$_4$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) to a slight source ($0.59$ mg CH$_4$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) on a daily timescale, and averaging to an annual mean flux of $-0.21$ mg CH$_4$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ and $-0.30$ mg CH$_4$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ for two sites with almost year-round measurements (Imer et al., 2013). To the best of our knowledge, these are the only two year-round data sets that exist in Switzerland, leading to large uncertainties when up-scaling to the total area of managed agroecosystems. We expect the annual net CH$_4$ flux for Switzerland to range between 0 and $-1.5$ Gg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$ (EF: $-0.14 \pm 0.14$ mg CH$_4$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$; Freibauer, 2003) for the 10 500 km$^2$ agricultural land excluding Alpine pastures, being a small sink. This is comparable in magnitude to other natural fluxes, but does not significantly contribute to the total CH$_4$ budget of Switzerland. Since CH$_4$ uptake across the agricultural areas is highly spatially variable, we did not attempt to spatially distribute this small CH$_4$ sink across Switzerland in our study.

2.2.5 Forest soils

The net CH$_4$ flux of forest soils is again dominated by the two counteracting processes, methanogenesis and methanotrophy. The available literature suggests that forests soils generally are a larger CH$_4$ sink than agricultural soils due to higher soil gas diffusivity in these systems (Smith et al., 2000). CH$_4$ fluxes over Swiss forest soils have been investigated only very recently (Frey et al., 2011; Gundersen et al., 2012; Hiltbrunner
et al., 2012). Interestingly, uptake rates of $-1.5 \text{mg CH}_4 \text{m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ for forest soils were found, which changed to a CH$_4$ source of up to $2 \text{mg CH}_4 \text{m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ when soils were compacted by forestry machinery (Frey et al., 2011). These soil emissions persisted for several years (S. Zimmermann, personal communication, 2012), but were limited to relatively small areas compared to the total forest extent. Effects of soil compaction were therefore not considered in our spatially-explicit inventory.

To estimate the CH$_4$ uptake by Swiss forests, we followed a method developed by Hobi et al. (2011). Forest cover was derived from the land-use statistics (FSO GEOSTAT, 2009), and forest type information was taken from the $25\text{m} \times 25\text{m}$ forest mixture data set (FSO GEOSTAT, 2004) and thereafter aggregated to $100\text{m} \times 100\text{m}$. CH$_4$ uptake rates differ significantly between evergreen ($-0.46 \pm 0.27 \text{mg CH}_4 \text{m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$) and deciduous forest soils ($-1.12 \pm 68 \text{mg CH}_4 \text{m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$), according to the literature reviewed by Hobi et al. (2011). Forest areas were therefore multiplied with the uptake rate appropriate for the type of forest at a 1 ha resolution. For mixed forests an average rate was used. Finally, the data were averaged to a $500\text{m} \times 500\text{m}$ grid. Overall, our estimate of CH$_4$ net flux of forest soils is $-2.8 \text{Gg CH}_4 \text{yr}^{-1}$ for 2011.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Spatially-explicit CH$_4$ inventory

Anthropogenic emissions are strongly dominating total Swiss CH$_4$ emissions and mostly originate from agriculture (see Table 2). Hence, the highest emissions are observed in the southern part of the Swiss Plateau, an area dominated by livestock farming between the pre-Alps to the south and the Jura mountains to the north, covering approx. 30% of Switzerland (Figs. 1 and 2a). Due to the proximity to the Alps, this region receives more precipitation than the rest of the Swiss Plateau and is therefore less suited for production of vegetable and cereal, which are mainly cultivated in the northern and western parts of the Swiss Plateau. The central and northern parts of
the Swiss Plateau are densely populated and consequently less land is dedicated to agriculture, which corresponds to relatively low emissions in this region. In the Alps, agricultural activity is concentrated on the valley floors. During the summer months, part of the livestock is moved to Alpine pastures for grazing to save the resources in the valley for the winter. This practice is part of the traditional Swiss three-stage farming system (Bätzing, 2003) and therefore CH$_4$ emissions can also be found in relatively remote areas of the Alps.

CH$_4$ emissions from waste management (Fig. 2b) are more abundant in regions with high population density. This also applies to the energy sector (Fig. 2c), where highest CH$_4$ emissions occur in urban areas because natural gas is distributed to private households for cooking and heating.

Natural and semi-natural CH$_4$ emissions from lakes, wetlands, and wild animals (Fig. 2d–f) as well as the uptake by forest soils (Fig. 2f) are considerably lower than anthropogenic emissions (Table 2). Natural lakes in Switzerland are remnants from previous glaciations. The largest lakes are located in the lowlands, while many small lakes are found throughout the country. Reservoirs are mainly situated in Alpine areas to exploit the descent for hydropower generation (Fig. 2f). Since the large wetlands in the floodplains were drained for agricultural use in the 19th and 20th centuries, highest emissions from this ecosystem type are limited today to shore areas and to hilly landscapes where agriculture is less favorable (Fig. 2d). Wild animals are more abundant in rural areas with continuous forests, the preferred habitat for many species. Alpine ibex and chamois also populate remote and sparsely vegetated mountainous areas (Fig. 2e). Forests cover mountain slopes up to the timberline, protecting from natural hazards. At lower elevations, forests were often converted into agricultural land during the last centuries, but some remained and are protected today by law. While wild animals living in the forest are a source of CH$_4$, the forest soil acts as a sink. Deciduous forests are limited to lower elevations whereas evergreen forests dominate in higher elevations. Due to the lower uptake rate of evergreen forests, CH$_4$ uptake by forest soil tends to decrease with elevation.
3.2 Comparison with other inventories

The EDGAR v4.2 inventory and the TNO/MACC inventory in Fig. 3 show spatial distributions of total anthropogenic CH$_4$ emissions over Switzerland for the latest available years of 2008 and 2009, respectively. These maps can be qualitatively compared with the total emissions of our inventory presented in Fig. 1, where anthropogenic emissions make up more than 95%.

Total emission of EDGAR v4.2 clipped to the domain of Switzerland amount to 233 Gg for 2008 consistent with the country total of 236 Gg reported by EDGAR v4.2 for Switzerland. This total is almost 30% higher than the 183 Gg reported in the SGHGI for the same year. The TNO/MACC inventory adds up to 189 Gg over the domain of Switzerland in 2009, which is very close to the 180 Gg in the SGHGI for 2009 (FOEN, 2013). The difference between EDGAR and TNO/MACC likely reflects the fact that EDGAR is an independent inventory applying its own methodologies for the collection of activity data, application of emission factors, and spatial allocation. The TNO/MACC inventory, in contrast, is scaled to total emissions reported by the individual countries. In both inventories, the spatial allocation of the emissions is based on different and less detailed geostatistical information than available in our study. Emissions in the EDGAR inventory are higher in densely populated regions but lower in agriculturally-dominated regions compared to our inventory (Fig. 3c), suggesting that the EDGAR inventory is too dependent on population density. Differences are less pronounced between the TNO/MACC inventory and our inventory (Fig. 3d). The TNO/MACC inventory correctly identifies the regions of farming in the southern parts of the Swiss Plateau but the emissions tend to be higher in these areas and lower in the mountains compared to our inventory. The spatial differences are further assessed in Sect. 3.3.1 to obtain a rough estimate of the uncertainty associated with the spatial disaggregation.

For natural CH$_4$ emissions, we only compare our country totals with numbers reported in an earlier study for Switzerland (SAEFL, 1996). Compared to that study, our estimates are considerably lower. Forests were considered a significant CH$_4$ source...
(50 Gg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$) in the former study, which was based on much more limited information. However, in the past two decades, no evidence for such strong CH$_4$ emissions could be found in Switzerland, and hence our updated estimate suggests that forests are a net CH$_4$ sink instead (net flux of $-2.3$ to $-3.2$ Gg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$) (Hobi, 2011). Moreover, contributions from small wild animals, namely rodents, are estimated to be much lower (0.027 Gg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$) than previously (2.8 Gg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$). Our findings indicate that agricultural soils may act as a small net sink (net flux of $-1.5$ Gg to 0 CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$), while emissions of up to 2.1 Gg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$ were previously attributed to this type of ecosystem. In contrast, lakes had been estimated to be CH$_4$ neutral, whereas our findings suggest that they are a source of 2.3 Gg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$. Only the previous estimates for large wild animals (0.9 Gg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$) and wetlands (1.2 Gg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$) compare well with our study (1.1 Gg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$ and 2.3 Gg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$, respectively). Overall, the natural and semi-natural CH$_4$ emissions estimated in our study (5.7 Gg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$) are only about 10% of those reported by SAEFL (1996), but equates to 3% of the total CH$_4$ emissions in Switzerland.

### 3.3 Uncertainties of the inventory

For many purposes, and in particular for inverse modeling studies in which emission inventories are used as a priori estimates, it is important to quantify not only the distribution of the emissions but also its uncertainty. In the SGHGI (FOEN, 2013), an uncertainty is determined for each emission category based on errors associated with the activity data and the EFs. The combined uncertainties are listed in Table 2 together with the mean emissions for 2011. The uncertainty of the annual total emissions can then be computed as the square root of the sum of squares of the individual uncertainties, assuming uncorrelated errors. The uncertainty of the total Swiss anthropogenic CH$_4$ emissions is estimated to only 16% (see Table 2), which is largely due to the low uncertainty of 18% assigned by the SGHGI to the main emission source 4.A Enteric.
It is interesting to note that this uncertainty is smaller than the difference between the SGHGI and the EDGAR v4.2 inventory.

For the uncertainty of emissions of a given grid cell at a given time, additional errors need to be considered, including errors associated with the spatial disaggregation and with the temporal variability as described in the following.

### 3.3.1 Spatial uncertainty

Uncertainties associated with the spatial disaggregation are difficult to assess. They depend on the accuracy of the spatial data sets, on quantization errors due to the use of discrete classes, and on the often crude assumptions made for spatial disaggregation. Therefore, we adopted a pragmatic approach by comparing the spatial distribution in our inventory with those of the EDGAR v4.2 and TNO/MACC inventories shown in Fig. 3 (see also Table 1). All inventories were first linearly scaled to the same country total. To determine a representative error correlation length scale, we analyzed the variogram of the residuals $R$, where $R = E$ (this study) − $E$ (REF), the difference between emissions in our spatially-explicit inventory $E$ (this study) and emissions in a reference inventory $E$ (REF) (EDGAR or TNO/MACC) (Fig. 4). A variogram describes the variance of the difference of a spatial variable $R$, i.e. $\text{var}(R(x) - R(x + h))$ as a function of the distance $h$ (Cressie, 1993). The standard deviation of the residuals is larger for EDGAR than for TNO/MACC, which also results in a higher sill (see Fig. 4). As described in Lin and Gerbig (2005), a correlation length scale $L$ can then be derived by fitting an exponential variogram model to the raw variogram. The length scale $L$ obtained in this way was 13.0 km for EDGAR v4.2 and 8.0 km for TNO/MACC, which is close to the grid sizes of the two inventories, suggesting that their limited resolution is a constraining factor and that the true correlation length may be even smaller.

For each grid cell, the uncertainty was assumed to be a fraction $f$ of the absolute emission in that cell. The fraction $f$ was then determined based on the requirement that the uncertainties add up, following Gaussian error propagation, to the 16% uncertainty of the total country emissions. Thereby, the correlation length scale $L$ was...
used to compute the error covariances. Using this approach, the uncertainty of the individual 500 m × 500 m grid cells in our inventory was estimated as 110% (mean of 85% and 130% determined for the two different length scales derived for EDGAR and TNO/MACC). Emissions in individual grid cells thus have a large uncertainty and could well be double or half as large as estimated.

3.3.2 Temporal variability

Our spatially-explicit inventory only includes annual mean emissions, but no seasonal and diurnal cycles due to a lack of suitable data. Nevertheless, we will briefly discuss the available temporal information relevant to our inventory to estimate the importance of temporal variability.

In the agricultural sector, livestock numbers are reported once a year in April, and seasonal fluctuations are only on the order of ±3%, with census data slightly above the annual mean (Bretscher, 2010). Within the traditional Swiss three-stage farming system, cattle is moved to Alpine meadows in summer to save the fertile valley floor for crop and winter fodder production. Hence, the spatial allocation of CH₄ emissions from ruminants changes between summer and winter. In addition, CH₄ emissions from ruminants depend on animal metabolism; thus, emissions peak following feed intake with a delay of a few hours and therefore display a diurnal pattern. Kinsman et al. (1995), for example, reported an average 20% higher emission during the day than during the night, while Gao et al. (2011) observed emissions peaks following the feeding rhythm.

Emissions from manure are lowest at low temperatures and increase with longer storage duration, peaking only after about two months (Hindrichsen et al., 2005, 2006; Klevenhusen et al., 2010). The storage period before application is typically longer in winter, but lower storage temperatures likely dominate the influence on CH₄ production. Manure storage practice further influences CH₄ emissions (Külling et al., 2001, 2002, 2003). Higher than average emissions from farmyard manure are compensated by lower emissions from urine-rich slurry, and on average do not differ significantly
compared to complete slurry. Hence, these differences are of no relevance compared to the effects of storage period and temperature.

Landfills in Switzerland are covered by a soil layer; therefore, no large seasonal temperature fluctuations are expected within the deposited waste. However, the cover soil, where CH$_4$ is oxidized by methanotrophs, undergoes seasonal temperature fluctuations. More importantly, moisture positively influences CH$_4$ production in the waste body and inhibits CH$_4$ uptake in the cover soil (Chanton and Liptay, 2000). Both factors are expected to lead to higher CH$_4$ emissions in winter than in summer (Klusman and Dick, 2000). Nevertheless, the available information is insufficient to quantify seasonal fluctuations of CH$_4$ emissions from Swiss landfills in general.

A large proportion of natural gas is used for heating and therefore consumption is more than four times higher in January than in July (VSG, 2012). CH$_4$ emissions from leaking pipelines are therefore expected to be higher in winter than in summer, but no reliable data are available for proposing a seasonal cycle of these emissions.

Lake CH$_4$ emissions may exhibit a strong seasonal cycle similar to that found in a Swiss reservoir where emissions were positively correlated with water temperature (DelSontro et al., 2010). In addition, turnover of a seasonally stratified water column can also significantly contribute to the annual CH$_4$ emissions from lakes (Schubert et al., 2010), a quite common process in Swiss lakes. Thus, both processes lead to a pronounced seasonal cycle in CH$_4$ emissions from water bodies in temperate zones with higher emissions during summer than during winter (Sect. 2.2.1).

The above listed diurnal and seasonal cycles indicate that observed CH$_4$ fluxes on a single day at a given time may significantly differ from the annual mean fluxes reported in our spatially explicit inventory, but at present the available information is not sufficient to provide source-category specific time functions.
4 Conclusions

A spatially-explicit high resolution CH$_4$ inventory was developed for Switzerland for the year 2011. This is the first comprehensive inventory at national level synthesizing most of the available Swiss datasets on anthropogenic as well as on natural and semi-natural fluxes. Anthropogenic emissions of 177 Gg yr$^{-1}$ in 2011 are by far larger than the emissions from all natural and semi-natural sources, which were estimated to only 5.7 Gg yr$^{-1}$, an order of magnitude less than an estimate reported in an earlier study on natural sources in Switzerland (SAEFL, 1996). Forest soils are estimated to be a net sink with a net flux of $-2.8$ Gg yr$^{-1}$ and agricultural soils are estimated to be CH$_4$ neutral or a small sink with a net flux between $-1.5$ and 0 Gg yr$^{-1}$ for agricultural soils, partially offsetting the natural emissions. In total, Switzerland acted as a net CH$_4$ source of 180 Gg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$ in 2011. With a share of nearly 85%, agricultural emissions are by far the most important anthropogenic source in Switzerland, followed by the waste and energy sectors. The uncertainty of the total anthropogenic emissions is estimated to be only 16 %, which is largely a result of the low uncertainty assigned to the largest single CH$_4$ source – enteric fermentation of ruminants. Detailed geospatial information is available for Switzerland, thereby allowing the spatial allocation of the individual emission sources. Information on temporal variability of CH$_4$ emissions, however, is very sparse and currently insufficient for prescribing diurnal and seasonal variations, an aspect that should be better addressed in future studies.

This inventory will provide invaluable input for regional-scale atmospheric modelling and inverse source estimation, which are urgently needed for independent validation of inventories based on atmospheric measurements. The spatial disaggregation of other CH$_4$ sources currently not covered by this inventory, especially from biogas production and composting, might become more critical in the future with the expected increase of the relative importance of these sources.
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Table 1. Existing high resolution CH$_4$ inventories that include Switzerland.

|                | EDGARv4.2                             | EDGAR-HTAP                        | TNO-MACC 2009                              | NatAir                                      |
|----------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| **Aim**        | Emission database for global atmospheric research | Emission database for global atmospheric research | Monitoring Atmospheric Composition and Climate (FP7) | Improving and Applying Methods for the Calculation of Natural and Biogenic Emissions and Assessment of Impacts on Air Quality (FP6) |
| **Spatial resolution** | $0.1^\circ \times 0.1^\circ$ | $0.1^\circ \times 0.1^\circ$ | $1/8^\circ \times 1/16^\circ$ | $10\text{km} \times 10\text{km}$ |
| **Spatial coverage** | Global | Global | Europe | Europe |
| **Temporal coverage** | 1970–2008 | 2000–2005 | 2003–2007 and 2009 | 1997, 2000, 2001 and 2003 at hourly to annual time resolution |
| **Included emissions** | Anthropogenic emissions | Anthropogenic emissions | Anthropogenic emissions | Natural and biogenic emissions |
| **Approach** | Bottom-up inventory of internationally reported emissions | Official regional inventories like EMEP, gap filled with EDGAR v4.1 | EMEP country totals checked for consistency, distributed according to geostatistical proxies | Bottom-up estimate |
| **Reference** | [http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/index.php (last access: 1 Nov 2012)](http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/index.php) | [Janssens-Maenhout et al. (2012)](http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/index.php) | [Pouliot et al. (2012)](http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/index.php) | [http://natair.ier.uni-stuttgart.de/ (last access: 1 Nov 2011), Friedrich (2007)](http://natair.ier.uni-stuttgart.de/) |
Table 2. Swiss CH$_4$ emissions in 2011, uncertainty estimate, and changes from 1990 to 2011 for the major source categories listed by the official Nomenclature for Reporting (NFR) codes. The provided uncertainty estimates follow the Tier 1 methodology (IPCC, 2000), represent half of the 95% confidence interval expressed in percent (IPCC, 1997), and accounts for uncertainties in emission factors (EFs) and activity data for the individual level or category. Total national emissions exclude the Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry sector (LULUCF in italics) as well as International Bunkers (not shown) in accordance with the reporting requirements under the UNFCCC. Methods applied and EFs used are indicated (D = IPCC Default, T1 = IPCC Tier 1, T2 = IPCC Tier 2, T3 = IPCC Tier 3, CR = CORINAIR, CS = Country-specific). All data for the anthropogenic sources are taken from the national Greenhouse Gas Inventory (FOEN, 2013), while CH$_4$ fluxes from the natural categories base on estimates presented in this study. The categories indicated with an asterisk are included in our spatially explicit inventory.

| CH$_4$ Source and Sink Categories | 2011 [Ggyr$^{-1}$] | Uncertainty [%] | Change since 1990 [%] | Methods | EFs |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------|-----|
| Anthropogenic                    |                    |                 |                       |         |     |
| 1. Energy                        | 177.73             | 16              | −20.2                 |         |     |
| 1.a Fuel Combustion              | 12.14              | 35              | −58.9                 |         |     |
| 3.b Transport; Road Transportation – Gasoline | 3.89            | 35              | −65.9                 | CS, T2, T3, CR, CS |
| 4.b Other Sectors; Residential – Biomass | 1.00               | 35              | −79.3                 | CS, T3, D |
| 2. Industrial Processes (Chemical Industry) | 1.43        | 48              | −68.7                 | CS, T1, D |
| 3. Solvent and Other Product Use | NO                 |                 |                       |         |     |
| 4. Agriculture                   | 150.43             | 18              | −4.5                  |         |     |
| 6. Waste                         | 14.72              | 48              | −58.0                 |         |     |
| 6.a Solid Waste Disposal on Land | 8.61              | 58              | −73.7                 | CS, D, CS, D |
| 6.b Wastewater Handling          | 0.48               | 30              | 115.6                 | D, CS, D |
| 6.d Other                        | 5.04               | 100             | 253.2                 | CS, CS  |
| 7. Other (Fire Damage in Buildings and Motor Vehicles) | 0.03            | 30              | 3.9                   | T1, CS  |
| Natural and semi-natural         | 5.7/−2.8           | NA              | NA                    |         |     |
| Lakes and reservoirs             | 2.3                | NA              | NA                    | See Sect. 2.2.1 |
| Wetlands                         | 2.3                | NA              | NA                    | See Sect. 2.2.2 |
| Wild animals                     | 1.1                | NA              | NA                    | See Sect. 2.2.3 |
| Agricultural soils               | −1.5 to 0          | NA              | NA                    | See Sect. 2.2.4 |
| Forest soils                     | −2.8               | NA              | NA                    | See Sect. 2.2.5 |
Fig. 1. Our spatially-explicit Swiss CH$_4$ emission inventory including both anthropogenic and natural CH$_4$ sources.
Fig. 2. Individual layers of the inventory presented in Fig. 1. Note that the scale for anthropogenic fluxes of the agricultural sector (a), the waste sector (b) and the energy sector (c) are a factor 10 to 100 larger than that for natural and semi-natural fluxes from wetlands (d), wild animals (e), and forest soil and lakes (f).
Fig. 3. Total anthropogenic CH$_4$ emissions over Switzerland according to the EDGAR v4.2 inventory for the year 2008 (a) and the TNO/MACC inventory for the year 2009 (b). Panels (c) and (d) are absolute differences from the total anthropogenic emissions in our inventory (Fig. 1).
Fig. 4. Semivariogram of the differences between the EDGAR v4.2 and TNO/MACC inventories and our inventory. Also shown are exponential fits to the data (see text for further details).