ASYMPTOTIC RESULTS FOR THE EQUATIONS
\[ x^4 + dy^2 = z^p \text{ AND } x^2 + dy^6 = z^p \]
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Abstract. Let \( f \) and \( g \) be two different newforms without complex multiplication having the same coefficient field. The main result of the present article proves that a congruence between the Galois representations attached to \( f \) and to \( g \) for a large prime \( p \) implies an isomorphism between the endomorphism algebras of the abelian varieties \( A_f \) and \( A_g \) attached to \( f \) and \( g \) by the Eichler-Shimura construction. This implies important relations between their building blocks. A non-trivial application of our result is that for all prime numbers \( d \) congruent to 3 modulo 8 satisfying that the class number of \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d}) \) is prime to 3, the equation \( x^4 + dy^2 = z^p \) has no non-trivial primitive solutions when \( p \) is large enough.

We prove a similar result for the equation \( x^2 + dy^6 = z^p \).

INTRODUCTION

Let \( f \in S_2(\Gamma_1(N)) \) and \( g \in S_2(\Gamma_1(\tilde{N})) \) be two newforms satisfying the following two conditions:

1. The coefficient field \( K_f \) of \( f \) matches the coefficient field \( K_g \) of \( g \).
2. There exists a large prime \( p \) such that the Galois representations \( \rho_{f,p} \) and \( \rho_{g,p} \) are isomorphic.

By Eichler-Shimura’s construction, there exist abelian varieties \( A_f \) and \( A_g \) defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \) of dimension \( [K_f : \mathbb{Q}] \) attached to each of the eigenforms with the property that

\[ L(A_f, s) = \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Hom}(K_f, \mathbb{C})} L(\sigma(f), s), \]

and a similar relation for \( g \). Let \( L/\mathbb{Q} \) be a finite extension.

Question 1: is there a relation between \( \text{End}_{L}(A_f) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) and \( \text{End}_{L}(A_g) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \)?

One of the main results of the present article (Theorem 1.2) is a positive answer to the question when the forms \( f \) and \( g \) do not have complex multiplication. The ideas used to prove such a result could be used to prove a similar result for modular forms with complex multiplication; however, because of the applications we have in mind, in the present article we restrict to the case of modular forms without complex multiplication.

The proof is based on results of Ribet on the splitting of a modular \( \text{GL}_2 \)-type abelian variety \( A_f \) over a number field \( L \) (as developed in \([14, 15, 16]\)). In such articles, the author constructs endomorphisms of \( A_f \) in terms of properties of Fourier coefficients of the form \( f \). Then to provide an answer to Question 1 it is enough to relate the Fourier coefficients of \( f \) to those of \( g \). A key fact here is that a congruence between two newforms for a large prime \( p \) gives equality of their first Fourier coefficients.
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By a result of Hecke, if two modular forms \( f \) and \( g \) satisfy that \( a_n(f) = a_n(g) \) for sufficiently many values of \( n \), then they must coincide. Applying these two facts in a clever way allows us to give an isomorphism between the algebra of endomorphisms of \( A_f \) and that of \( A_g \). Furthermore, our results states that \( \End_L(A_f) \otimes \Q \) is isomorphic to \( \End_L(A_g) \otimes \Q \) not just as \( \Q \)-algebras, but also as \( \Gal(L/\Q) \)-modules. This in particular implies that the splitting (up to isogeny) of the abelian variety \( A_f \) matches that of \( A_g \) over any field extension \( L/\Q \).

Here is a very interesting application of our main result to the study of Diophantine equations (the original motivation for this article) following the modular approach. Consider the equation

\[
x^4 + dy^2 = z^p,
\]

for a fixed positive integer \( d \). A solution \((a, b, c)\) of a Fermat-type equation such as \( (1) \) is called \textit{primitive} if \( \gcd(a, b, c) = 1 \), and is also said to be \textit{trivial} if \( abc = 0 \). To a putative primitive solution \((a, b, c)\) one attaches an elliptic curve \( E_{(a,b,c)} \) defined over the imaginary quadratic field \( K := \Q(\sqrt{-d}) \) given by the equation

\[
E_{(a,b,c)} : y^2 = x^3 + 4ax^2 + 2(a^2 + \sqrt{-db})x.
\]

The curve \( E_{(a,b,c)} \) turns out to be a \( \Q \)-curve hence in particular (by a result of Ribet in [17]), there exist a character \( \chi \) such that the twisted representation

\[
\rho := \rho_{E_{(a,b,c)}} \otimes \chi : \Gal_K \to \GL_2(\Q_p)
\]

extends to a representation \( \hat{\rho} \) of the whole absolute Galois group \( \Gal_{\Q} \). By Serre’s conjectures and some Taylor-Wiles result, \( \hat{\rho} \) is modular, i.e. there exist a modular form \( f \in S_2(N, \varepsilon) \) and a prime \( p \) in the coefficient field \( K_f \) dividing \( p \) such that \( \hat{\rho} \simeq \rho_{f,p} \). By Eichler-Shimura, \( f \) has associated an abelian variety \( A_f \) of dimension \([K_f : \Q]\).

The curve \( E_{(a,b,c)} \) has multiplicative reduction at all odd primes dividing \( c \) (since the solution is primitive, if a prime divides \( c \), it cannot divide \( d \)), so by a result of Hellegouarch together with Ribet’s lowering the level result, there exists a newform \( g \) of level \( N \) (only divisible by primes dividing \( 2d \)) congruent to \( f \) modulo \( p \). Then one is led to compute the space \( S_2(N, \varepsilon) \) and prove that no newform can be related to a non-trivial primitive solution of \( (1) \). By an idea due to Mazur, one can discard all forms \( g \) whose coefficient field \( K_g \) does not match that of \( f \), which justifies our hypothesis in Question 1. However, the newforms \( g \) whose coefficient field \( K_g \) matches \( K_f \) in general pass this elimination procedure. There is a plausible situation that might appear (because \( K \) is an imaginary quadratic field) which is that the building block of \( A_g \) might have dimension two (i.e. is related to a “fake elliptic curve”, namely an abelian surface with quaternionic multiplication). While studying Fermat’s original equation over number fields, the way to discard this unpleasant situation is to use the existence of a prime of potentially multiplicative reduction (see for example [3]), which unfortunately we do not have.

Note that the abelian variety \( A_f \) has a 1-dimensional building block, namely the elliptic curve \( E_{(a,b,c)} \). Suppose once again that the newform \( g \) does not have complex multiplication.

**Question 2:** Is it true that the newform \( g \) also has a building block \( E_g \) of dimension 1? If so, what is the minimum field of definition of the building block?

The answer to this second question is also positive when \( p \) is large enough (see Theorem 2.4), and we will furthermore prove that the building block \( E_g \) can be defined over the
quadratic field $K$ (see Theorem 2.4). A non-trivial consequence is that actually there exists a building block $E_g$ defined over $K$ such that the residual Galois representations $\overline{\rho}_{E(a,b,c),p}$ and $\overline{\rho}_{E_g,p}$ are isomorphic (see Theorem 2.11).

For proving non-existence of non-trivial primitive solutions of (1), we are led to prove that there are no elliptic curves with the same properties as $E_g$ defined over $K$. The key property that $E_g$ satisfies is that it has conductor supported on primes dividing 2 and it has a $K$-rational point of order 2. Some quite recent results on Diophantine equations depend on results of non-existence of elliptic curves over number fields whose conductor is supported at a unique prime (see for example [7]). Here is an instance of such a result that we prove in the present article.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $d \neq 3$ be a prime number such that $d \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, and 3 does not divide the class number of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$. Then the only elliptic curves defined over $K$ having a $K$-rational point of order 2 and conductor supported at 2 are those that are base change of $\mathbb{Q}$.

As a Corollary we can prove the following asymptotic result.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let $d$ be a prime number congruent to 3 modulo 8 and such that the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ is not divisible by 3. Then there are no non-trivial primitive solutions of the equation

$$x^4 + dy^2 = z^p,$$

for $p$ large enough.

A similar approach works while studying the Diophantine equation

$$x^2 + dy^6 = z^p.$$  

To a putative solution $(a, b, c)$ one can attach the elliptic curve

$$(4) \quad \tilde{E}_{(a,b,c)} : y^2 + 6b\sqrt{-d}xy - 4d(a + b^3\sqrt{-d})y = x^3,$$

over the quadratic field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$. The curve $\tilde{E}_{(a,b,c)}$ is again a $\mathbb{Q}$-curve with a $K$-rational point of order 3 (namely the point $(0,0)$). Such equation was also studied in [11]. Once again, there is a character $\kappa$ such that the twisted representation $\overline{\rho}_{E(a,b,c),p} \otimes \kappa$ extends to an odd representation of $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. The main difference with equation (1) is that the elliptic curve $\tilde{E}_{(a,b,c)}$ has bad additive reduction at all primes of $K$ dividing $d$ (extra care must be taken at primes dividing 6, see [11, Lemmas 2.13, 2.14 and 2.15]), while the curve $E_{(a,b,c)}$ had only bad reduction at primes dividing 2. We will prove the following result.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let $d$ be a prime number congruent to 19 modulo 24 and such that the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ is not divisible by 3. Then there are no non-trivial primitive solutions of the equation

$$x^2 + dy^6 = z^p,$$

for $p$ large enough.

The article is organized as follows: the first section recalls the definition and main properties of inner twists as developed by Ribet in [14]. It also contains the proof of Theorem 1.2 providing an answer to the first posed question. In Section 2 we apply the theory of inner twists to the abelian variety $A_f$ attached to the (non-quadratic twist of the) elliptic curve...
$E_{(a,b,c)}$ coming from a putative solution $(a, b, c)$ of (1). In particular, we compute explicitly the group of inner twists of $A_f$ and use this information to answer the second aforementioned question (and its consequences). The last section is devoted to prove Theorem 3.1 on non-existence of elliptic curves over $K$ with a 2-torsion point and bad reduction only at the prime 2. It also contains the proof of Theorem 3.4 and of Theorem 3.5. The code used to prove Theorem 3.5 is available at https://github.com/lucasvillagra/Asymptotic-results.
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1. Inner Twists

As mentioned in the introduction, due to the applications we have in mind, during this article we will restrict to modular forms without complex multiplication. Recall the following definition of [14].

Definition. Let $f$ be a newform without complex multiplication, and let $K_f = \mathbb{Q}(a_p(f))$ denote its coefficient field. The group of inner twists of $f$ is defined as

$$\Gamma_f := \{ \gamma \in \text{Hom}_\mathbb{Q}(K_f, \mathbb{C}) : \exists \chi, \gamma \text{ a Dirichlet character with } \gamma(a_p(f)) = \chi_{\gamma}(p)a_p(f) \text{ for almost all } p \}.$$  

By assumption $f$ does not have complex multiplication, so given $\gamma$ there exists a unique character $\chi_{\gamma}$. For this reason, we denote the elements of $\Gamma_f$ by pairs $(\gamma, \chi)$. 

Example. If $f$ is a newform in $S_2(N, \varepsilon)$, and the Nebentypus $\varepsilon$ is not trivial, then the coefficient field $K_f$ is a CM extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, and the pair $(c, \varepsilon^{-1})$, where $c$ denotes complex conjugation, is an element of $\Gamma_f$ (see [14, Example 3.7]).

Let us recall some properties of the group $\Gamma_f$.

- For $\gamma \in \Gamma_f$, $\gamma(K_f) \subset K_f$ (by [14, Proposition 3.2]). In particular, $\Gamma_f$ is a subset of $\text{Aut}_\mathbb{Q}(K_f)$.
- The set $\Gamma_f$ is in fact an abelian group (by [14, Proposition 3.3]).

By $\text{End}^0(A_f) := \text{End}(A_f) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ we denote the algebra of endomorphisms defined over the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$. If $L$ is an extension of $K$, we denote by $\text{End}^0_L(A_f)$ the endomorphisms defined over $L$. One of the main results of [14] is that the endomorphism algebra $\text{End}^0(A_f)$ can be computed in terms of the inner twists of $f$. More concretely, in the proof of [14, Theorem 5.1], Ribet constructs for each inner twist $(\gamma, \chi)$ an endomorphism (denoted by $\eta_{\gamma}$ in [14]) via the following formula

$$\eta_{\gamma} := \sum_{u \pmod{r}} \chi^{-1}(u) \circ \alpha_{u/r},$$

where $r$ is the conductor of $\chi$, $\chi(u)$ is viewed as an element of the endomorphism algebra of $A_f$, and $\alpha_{u/r}$ is the endomorphism given by slashing by the matrix $\left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \phi \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$.

As explained in Ribet’s article, if $\chi$ has conductor $r$, then the endomorphism $\eta_{\gamma}$ is defined over the field of $r$-th roots of unity (because the map $\alpha_{u/r}$ is defined over such a field). The
Lemma 1.1. The endomorphism $\eta_\gamma$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}^\chi$.

Proof. We know that $\eta_\gamma$ is defined at least over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)$ (the field of $r$-th roots of unity). Let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q})$, say $\sigma(\zeta_r) = \zeta_r^i$, so the endomorphism $\sigma(\alpha_{u/r}) = \alpha_{\sigma(u)/r}$. Then

$$\sigma(\eta_\gamma) = \sum_{u \pmod{r}} \chi^{-1}(u) \circ \sigma(\alpha_{u/r}) = \chi(i) \sum_{v \pmod{r}} \chi^{-1}(v) \circ \alpha_{v/r}.$$

The result follows from the fact that $\chi(i) = 1$ if and only if $\sigma$ restricted to $\mathbb{Q}^\chi$ is the identity.

Theorem 1.2. Let $f \in S_2(\Gamma_1(N))$ and $g \in S_2(\Gamma_1(\tilde{N}))$ be newforms without complex multiplication, such that:

1. The coefficient field $K_f$ of $f$ equals the coefficient field $K_g$ of $g$.
2. There exists a large prime $p$ such that the Galois representations $\overline{\rho}_{f,p}$ and $\overline{\rho}_{g,p}$ are isomorphic.

Let $A_f$ and $A_g$ be the abelian varieties attached to $f$ and $g$ by the Eichler-Shimura construction. Then for any field extension $L/K$ the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras $\text{End}_L^0(A_f)$ and $\text{End}_L^0(A_g)$ are isomorphic. Moreover, if $L/K$ is Galois, then the algebras are isomorphic as $\text{Gal}(L/K)$-modules as well.

Proof. By Ribet’s result, the endomorphisms of the abelian variety $A_f$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is the algebra generated by the endomorphisms $\eta_\gamma$, where $\gamma \in \Gamma_f$, and by Lemma 1.1 the endomorphism attached to the pair $(\gamma, \chi)$ is defined over the field $\mathbb{Q}^\chi$. If we prove that the sets $\Gamma_f$ and $\Gamma_g$ are the same, then the stated isomorphism sends the endomorphism $\eta_\gamma$ of $A_f$ to the endomorphism $\eta_\gamma$ of $A_g$, which is clearly Galois equivariant. The key point to prove that the inner twists groups are the same is the fact that the group $\Gamma_f$ is defined in terms of a property of Fourier coefficients.

Let $(\gamma, \chi) \in \Gamma_f$ and let’s prove that it also belongs to $\Gamma_g$. By definition, for almost all primes $q$,

$$\gamma(a_q(f)) = \chi(q)a_q(f).$$

The second hypothesis then implies that there exists a prime ideal $p$ in $\mathcal{O}_f$ (the ring of integers of $K_f$) such that for all primes $q$ (but finitely many),

$$(7) \quad \gamma(a_q(g)) \equiv \chi(q)a_q(g) \pmod{p}.$$

By the Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture (see [4]), for all embeddings $\sigma : K_f \to \mathbb{C}$, $|\sigma(a_q(g))| \leq 2\sqrt{q}$. Then, if $p$ is large enough (with respect to $q$), the congruence (7) implies that both numbers are in fact equal, i.e. taking $p$ large enough, $\gamma(a_q(g)) = \chi(q)a_q(g)$ for all small primes $q$.

It was already known to Hecke (see [9], p. 811, Satz 1 and Satz 2) that two modular forms whose first coefficients coincide (up to an explicit bound $B$ depending on the level and the weight) must be equal. In particular, the forms $\gamma(g)$ and $g \otimes \chi$ (the twist of $g$ by the character $\chi$) are the same, so $\gamma \in \Gamma_g$ as claimed. The other containment follows by replacing $f$ with $g$ and vice-versa. \qed
Remark 1. Note that the prime $p$ in the previous result depends on both $N$ and $\tilde{N}$, since we need to use Hecke’s bound twice. However, the same proof gives that if we fix $\tilde{N}$, then there exists a bound $B$ (depending only on $\tilde{N}$) such that $\text{End}_{L}^{0}(A_f) \subset \text{End}_{L}^{0}(A_g)$ if $p$ is larger than $B$. We will make use of this fact later.

2. Decomposing the abelian variety attached to $E_{(a,b,c)}$

Let $(a,b,c)$ be a non-trivial primitive solution of $(1)$ for $d \neq 1$ and let $E_{(a,b,c)}$ be the elliptic curve over $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ defined in $(2)$.

Lemma 2.1. The curve $E_{(a,b,c)}$ does not have complex multiplication if $p > 2$.

Proof. Since $K$ is an imaginary quadratic field, if $E_{(a,b,c)}$ has complex multiplication, then its $j$-invariant must be a rational number (in particular, a real one).

The $j$-invariant of the elliptic curve $E_{(a,b,c)}$ equals $j = \frac{64(5a^2 - 3b\sqrt{-d})^3}{c^6(a^2 + b\sqrt{-d})}$. Since $(a,b,c)$ is a non-trivial solution, $a$ and $b$ are non-zero, so $j$ is a real number if and only if

\[
\begin{align*}
jc^p &= 8000a^4 - 8640db^2 \\
jc^p &= -14400a^4 + 1728db^2.
\end{align*}
\]

Subtracting them gives the relation

$$175a^4 = 81db^2,$$

hence

$$\frac{a^2}{b} = \pm \frac{9}{7} \sqrt{d/7}.$$

Since $d$ is square-free, and both $a$, $b$ are integers, $d = 7$ and $(a,b) = (\pm 3, \pm 5)$. Since $c^p = a^4 + db^2 = 256 = 2^8$ we get that $p = 2$ and $c = \pm 16$. \hfill \square

As explained in the introduction, there exists a finite order Hecke character $\kappa$ (whose description is given in [11]) of $K$ such that the twisted representation $\rho_{E_{(a,b,c)},0} \otimes \kappa$ extends to a representation $\tilde{\rho} : \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Let $f \in S_2(N, \varepsilon)$ be the newform attached to such a representation (see [11] for a description of the Nebentypus $\varepsilon$) and $A_f$ be the $\text{GL}_2$-type abelian variety constructed via the Eichler-Shimura map. Over $\mathbb{Q}$ the variety $A_f$ is isogenous to a product of simple abelian varieties, $A_f \sim B_1 \times \cdots \times B_r$. Each variety $B_i$ is called a building block of $A_f$. In the particular case of abelian varieties coming from newforms, all building blocks are isogenous to each other, so in particular $A_f \sim B^r$ (see [13]).

In our particular case, the building block of $A_f$ is clearly the elliptic curve $E_{(a,b,c)}$ defined over the quadratic field $K$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $r = [K_f : \mathbb{Q}]$. Then the endomorphism algebra $\text{End}^{0}(A_f)$ is isomorphic to $M_r(\mathbb{Q})$.

Proof. Follows from the fact that the building block of $A_f$ is the elliptic curve $E_{(a,b,c)}$, of dimension 1 and which does not have complex multiplication. \hfill \square

Remark 2. Since the center of $\text{End}^{0}(A_f)$ is the field of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$, Ribet’s result ([14, Theorem 5.1]) implies that the field generated by the numbers $a_p(f)^2\varepsilon(p)^{-1}$ for $p$ not dividing the level of $f$ is the rational one. Let’s just verify that this is indeed the case (because a similar computation will be needed later).

A key property of the character $\kappa$ and $\varepsilon$ is that as characters of the respective idèle group, they satisfy the relation $\kappa^2 = \varepsilon \circ N$. Consider the following two cases:
If the prime \( p \) splits, say \( p = \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{p} \), then the previous relation translates into \( \varkappa(p)^2 = \varepsilon(p) \). Then
\[
a_p(f)^2 \varepsilon(p)^{-1} = (a_p(E) \varkappa(p))^2 \varepsilon(p)^{-1} = a_p(E)^2 \in \mathbb{Q}.
\]

If the prime \( p \) is inert, \( \varkappa(p)^2 = \varepsilon(p^2) = \varepsilon(p)^2 \). Then using the relation between \( a_p(f) \) and \( a_p(E) \) we get that
\[
a_p(f)^2 \varepsilon(p)^{-1} = a_p(E) \varkappa(p) \varepsilon(p)^{-1} + 2p = \pm a_p(E) - 2p \in \mathbb{Q}.
\]

The elliptic curve \( E_{(a,b,c)} \) has discriminant \( \Delta(E_{(a,b,c)}) = 512(a^2 + b\sqrt{-d})e^p \), which is a \( p \)-th power outside 2. In particular, if \( q \mid c \), then the curve \( E_{(a,b,c)} \) has multiplicative reduction at the primes dividing \( q \) (in \( K \)), and the residual representation \( \overline{\rho}_{E,\mathfrak{p}} \) is unramified at (the primes dividing) \( q \) by a result due to Hellegouarch.

There exists an explicit bound \( N_\mathbb{K} \) such that the residual Galois representation \( \overline{\rho}_{E_{(a,b,c)},\mathfrak{p}} \) is absolutely irreducible for all primes \( p > N_\mathbb{K} \) (see [11, Theorem 5.1] and [6, Proposition 3.2]). Then by Ribet’s lowering the level result, there exists a newform \( g \in S_2(\bar{N}, \varepsilon) \), where \( \bar{N} \) is a positive integer only divisible by 2 and by the primes dividing \( d \), such that \( \overline{\rho}_{f,\mathfrak{p}} \simeq \overline{\rho}_{g,\mathfrak{p}} \). Note in particular that the value of \( \bar{N} \) is independent of the solution \((a, b, c)\) we started with.

Assume from now on that the newform \( g \) does not have complex multiplication. Let \( A_g \) be the abelian variety attached to the newform \( g \) by Eichler-Shimura’s construction. An immediate consequence of Theorem 1.2 is the following result.

**Proposition 2.3.** Suppose that \( K_f = K_g \). Then there exists \( B \) (depending only on \( \bar{N} \)) such that if \( p > B \), \( \text{End}^0(A_g) \simeq \text{End}^0(A_f) \). In particular, the building block of the abelian variety \( A_g \) has dimension 1.

**Proof.** Theorem 1.2 and Remark 1 imply that \( \text{End}^0(A_f) \subset \text{End}^0(A_g) \) (over \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \)), so \( M_r(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \text{End}^0(A_g) \), where \( r = [K_f : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim(A_g) \). Since the form \( g \) does not have complex multiplication, the previous inclusion is actually an equality. In particular, the building block of \( A_g \) has dimension 1. \( \square \)

It is a natural problem to determine the minimal field of definition (if it exists) of a building block of \( A_g \) and whether it matches that of \( A_f \) (namely \( K \)).

**Theorem 2.4.** There exists a 1-dimensional building block \( E_g \) for \( A_g \) defined over the quadratic field \( K \).

**Proof.** Let \( E_g \) denote any building block of \( A_g \) (which is 1-dimensional by the last proposition). Recall that \( E_g \) is a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-curve, i.e. the curve \( E_g \) is defined over a Galois number field \( \mathbb{L} \) satisfying that for all \( \sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{Q}) \), the curve \( \sigma(E_g) \) is isogenous to \( E_g \). Let \( \mu_\sigma \) denote such an isogeny. Abusing notation (as in Ribet’s article [14]) we can attach to \( E_g \) a map \( c: \text{Gal}_\mathbb{Q} \times \text{Gal}_\mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q}^\times \) given by
\[
c(\sigma, \tau) = \mu_\sigma \circ \sigma(\mu_\tau) \circ \mu_{\sigma \tau}^{-1},
\]
which is an element of \( \text{End}^0(E_g) \simeq \mathbb{Q}^\times \). The map \( c \) is actually a cocycle (by [14] (5.7)). In particular, its class is an element of \( H^2(\text{Gal}_\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}^\times) \), whose order is at most 2 (see [14, Remark 5.8] and [16, Proposition 3.2]). Then by [12, Proposition 5.2] the building block \( E_g \) is isogenous (over \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \)) to a building block defined over a field \( F \) if and only if \([c]\) lies in the kernel of the restriction map \( \text{Res}: H^2(\text{Gal}_\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}^\times) \to H^2(\text{Gal}_F, \mathbb{Q}^\times) \).\]
By a result of Ribet ([16, Corollary 4.5]) the curve $E_g$ does have a minimum field of definition. Furthermore, it can be explicitly described (as done in the proof of [16, Theorem 3.3]): consider the natural isomorphism
\[ \mathbb{Q}^\times \simeq \{ \pm 1 \} \times \mathbb{Q}^\times / \{ \pm 1 \}, \]
where now the second factor is a free group that can be identified with the group of positive rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}_+^\times$. This induces an isomorphism
\[ H^2(\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}^\times)[2] \simeq H^2(\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}_+^\times)[2] \times H^2(\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \{ \pm 1 \}). \]
The short exact sequence
\[ 1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\times \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^2} \mathbb{Q}_+^\times \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_+^\times / (\mathbb{Q}_+^\times)^2 \longrightarrow 1, \]
induces an isomorphism of the cohomology groups $H^2(\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}^\times)[2] \simeq \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}_+^\times / (\mathbb{Q}_+^\times)^2)$. Our cocycle class $[c]$ then decomposes as a product (following Ribet’s notation) $(\overline{c}, c_\pm)$, where $\overline{c} \in H^2(\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}_+^\times)[2]$ and $c_\pm \in H^2(\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \{ \pm 1 \})$. The minimum field of definition $K_{\text{min}}$ for a building block equals the fixed field of $\overline{c}$.

There is a second way to define the cocycle $[c]$ in terms of the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $\text{End}^0(A_g)$ (see [12, Chapter 1]). Let $K_g$, as before, denote the coefficient field of $g$ (which also equals $\text{End}^0(\text{Gal}(A_g))$) and let $\psi \in \text{End}^0(A_g)$. If $\sigma \in \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, it acts on the set $\text{End}^0(A_g)$. Let us denote by $\sigma \psi$ the action of $\sigma$ on an endomorphism $\psi$. Skolem-Noether’s theorem implies the existence of an element $\alpha(\sigma) \in K_g^\times$ such that $\sigma \psi = \alpha(\sigma) \circ \psi \circ \alpha(\sigma)^{-1}$ for every $\psi \in \text{End}^0(A_g)$. We can then define a second cocycle
\[ c(\sigma, \tau) = \alpha(\sigma) \alpha(\tau) \alpha(\sigma \tau)^{-1}. \]
Then by [12, Theorem 4.6], both definitions coincide. But by Proposition 2.3, the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras $\text{End}^0(A_f)$ and $\text{End}^0(A_g)$ are isomorphic as $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$-modules, hence with this second definition it is clear that the cocycle attached to $A_f$ matches the one attached to $A_g$, and in particular the minimum field of definition of both building blocks coincide.

**Remark 3.** Even when the building block $E_g$ is defined over $K$, it is not true (in general) that if $L/K$ is a field extension where the abelian variety $A_g$ has a 1-dimensional building block $E$, then $E$ is isogenous to $E_g$ (this will become clear while proving Theorem 2.11). Here is an example (that will be explained in detail while proving such theorem): let $L = K \cdot \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then there exists an elliptic curve $E$ defined over $L$ (actually $E = E_{(a,b,c)} \otimes \mathbb{R}$) such that the abelian variety $A_f$ is isogenous over $L$ to $E^r$ (where $r = \dim(A_f)$). However, the building block $E$ is not defined over $K$ and is not isomorphic (nor isogenous) to $E_g$ over $L$ (although they clearly are isomorphic over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$).

A natural question (whose answer will be needed later) is the following:

**Question 3:** Suppose that $L$ is a number field, and suppose that an abelian variety $A$ is isogenous over $L$ to $E^r$ for some building block $E$. When is $E$ the base change of a variety (up to isogeny) that is defined over a smaller field $K$?

Note that if $E$ is defined over $K$, then the cocycle $c$ attached to $E$ in the proof of the last theorem is trivial while restricted to $\text{Gal}_K$ (not just cohomologically trivial). In [17, Theorem 8.2], Ribet proves that the converse is also true, i.e. he proves that if the cocycle $c$ is trivial on $\text{Gal}_K$ then there exists an abelian variety $\tilde{E}$ defined over $K$ such that $E$ is isogenous to $\tilde{E}$ over $L$. 
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To relate the Galois representation of $E_g$ to that of our original elliptic curve $E_{(a,b,c)}$, we need some understanding on the coefficient field $K_f$.

**Lemma 2.5.** Following the previous notation, let $M$ be the order of the character $\kappa$. Then $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M) \subset K_f$, where $\zeta_M$ denotes a primitive $M$-th root of unity.

**Proof.** The set of unramified primes $p$ of $K$ with inertial degree 1 over $\mathbb{Q}$ have density one, so by Chebotarev’s density theorem, there exists a set $S$ of primes with inertial degree 1 of positive density (in the set of all primes of $K$) such that $\kappa(p)$ is a primitive $M$-th root of unity for all prime ideals $p \in S$. Our assumption that the curve $E_{(a,b,c)}$ does not have complex multiplication implies that for some prime $p \in S$, the value $a_p(E) \neq 0$. In particular, for such a prime (of norm $p$), it holds that

$$a_p(f) = \kappa(p)a_p(E_{(a,b,c)}),$$

is non-zero. The result follows from the fact that $\kappa(p)$ is a primitive $M$-th root of unity. \hfill \Box

Let $K^\kappa$ denote the abelian extension of $K$ fixed by the kernel of the character $\kappa : \text{Gal}_K \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ and similarly let $\mathbb{Q}^\varepsilon$ be the field fixed by the kernel of the character $\varepsilon$.

**Lemma 2.6.** With the previous notations, $K \cdot \mathbb{Q}^\varepsilon \subset K^\kappa$ with index 2. Moreover, we have the following field diagram.

![Field Diagram](image)

**Proof.** Follows from the fact that as a Galois character, $\varepsilon|_{\text{Gal}_K} = \kappa^2$. \hfill \Box

**Proposition 2.7.** Let $M$ be the order of the character $\kappa$. Then the coefficient field $K_f$ is either:

1. The field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M)$, or
2. A quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M)$.

Moreover, $K_f = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M, a_p(f))$, where $p$ is any prime inert in $K/\mathbb{Q}$, not dividing the level of $f$, for which $a_p(f) \neq 0$.

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.5, $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M) \subseteq K_f$. If $p$ is a rational prime which is split in $K$, say $p = p\bar{p}$, then

$$a_p(f) = a_p(E_{(a,b,c)})\kappa(p) \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M).$$

On the other hand, if $p$ is an inert prime, we have the formula

$$a_p(f)^2 = a_p(E_{(a,b,c)})\kappa(p) + 2p\varepsilon(p).$$
Recall that \( \varepsilon^2 = \varepsilon \circ N \), so \( \varepsilon(p) = \pm \varepsilon(p) \). Thus \( a_p(f)^2 \in \mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon) \), the field obtained by adding to \( \mathbb{Q} \) the values of \( \varepsilon \). Formula (10) also implies that for a fixed inert prime \( p \), the extension \( L = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M)(a_p(f)) \) has degree at most two over \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M) \), and clearly \( L \subseteq K_f \).

Let \( \ell \) be a rational prime, and let \( \lambda \) be a prime in \( L \) dividing it and let \( \mathfrak{l} = \lambda \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M) \). In the usual basis, the twisted representation \( \rho_{E(a,b,c),\ell} \otimes \varepsilon \) takes values in \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M)_{\mathfrak{l}}) \). To extend it to a representation \( \tilde{\rho} \) of \( \text{Gal}_\mathbb{Q} \) it is enough to define it on an element \( \sigma \in \text{Gal}_\mathbb{Q} \) which is not in \( \text{Gal}_K \), for example a Frobenius element \( \text{Frob}_p \).

To ease notation, let \( t = a_p(f) = \text{Tr}(\tilde{\rho}(\text{Frob}_p)) \) and \( s = p\varepsilon(p) = \text{det}(\tilde{\rho}(\text{Frob}_p)) \). Assume that \( a_p(f) = t \neq 0 \). The matrices \( \rho_{E(a,b,c),\ell}((\text{Frob}_p^2)^2) \otimes \varepsilon(\text{Frob}_p^2) \) and \( \left( \begin{array}{cc} -s & st \\ t & t^2 - s \end{array} \right) \) are diagonalizable, have the same trace and the same determinant, hence there exists a matrix \( W \in \text{GL}_2(L) \) such that

\[
W(\rho_{E(a,b,c),\ell}(\text{Frob}_p^2))^2(\varepsilon(\text{Frob}_p^2))^2W^{-1} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} -s & st \\ t & t^2 - s \end{array} \right).
\]

Conjugating the representation \( \rho_{E(a,b,c),\ell} \otimes \varepsilon \) by \( W \), we can assume, without loss of generality, that this twisted representation takes values in \( \text{GL}_2(L) \) and that

\[
(11) \quad \rho_{E(a,b,c),\ell}(\text{Frob}_p^2) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} -s & st \\ t & t^2 - s \end{array} \right).
\]

We claim that then (since \( t = a_p(f) \neq 0 \))

\[
\tilde{\rho}(\text{Frob}_p) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & -s \\ 1 & t \end{array} \right).
\]

The reason is that if \( A \) is any \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix with different eigenvalues, and \( B \) is another \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix satisfying that

\[
A^2 = B^2, \quad \text{Tr}(A) = \text{Tr}(B) \neq 0,
\]

then \( A = B \) (which follows from an elementary computation, assuming that \( A \) is diagonal). This implies that the representation \( \tilde{\rho} \) can be chosen to take values in \( \text{GL}_2(L) \). In particular, for any prime \( q \), \( \text{Tr}(\tilde{\rho}(\text{Frob}_q)) = a_q(f) \in L_\lambda \) for all primes \( \lambda \in L \), hence \( a_q(f) \in L \) for all primes \( q \) so \( K_f \subseteq L \).

\( \Box \)

Remark 4. The first case of the last result can occur. For example, let \( E \) be a rational elliptic curve attached to a rational modular form \( f \), and let \( \varepsilon \) be any quadratic character of \( K \) which does not come from \( \mathbb{Q} \). Let \( \tilde{E} := E \otimes \varepsilon \) be the twist of \( E \) by \( \varepsilon \). Then coefficient field of \( E \) equals \( K_f = \mathbb{Q} \), which is the trivial extension of \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_2) = \mathbb{Q} \).

An important fact of the character \( \varepsilon \) (and also of \( \varepsilon \)) is that by construction it has order a power of two (although this is not explicitly stated in [11], it follows from its construction given in the proof of Theorem 3.2).

Lemma 2.8. Suppose that \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M) \not\subseteq K_f \). Then the extension \( K_f/\mathbb{Q} \) is an abelian Galois extension. Furthermore, the field \( K_f \) is the compositum of a quadratic extension of \( \mathbb{Q} \) with \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M) \).

Proof. As proved in the last proposition, the quadratic extension \( K_f/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M) \) is obtained by taking the square root of the coefficient \( a_p(f) \) for an inert prime \( p \) satisfying that \( a_p(f) \neq 0 \). Recall that if \( p \) is an inert prime, then \( \varepsilon^2 = \varepsilon(p^2) \), so \( \varepsilon(p) = \pm \varepsilon(p) \). Replacing this equality in (10), we get that

\[
(12) \quad a_p(f)^2 = \varepsilon(p)(\pm a_p(E(a,b,c)) + 2p).
\]
The order of \( \varepsilon \) is a power of two which equals the degree of the extension \( \mathbb{Q} : \mathbb{Q} \). Since the character \( \varepsilon \) is even, its fixed field is a totally real number field, so \( \mathbb{Q} \cap K = \mathbb{Q} \). In particular, \( [\mathbb{Q} : \mathbb{Q}] = [K : \mathbb{Q}] \), which by Lemma 2.6 is a proper divisor of \( M \), the order of \( \kappa \). Since \( M \) is also a power of two, \( \varepsilon(p) \) is a square in \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M) \), hence \( K_f = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M)[\sqrt{\pm a_p(E_{(a,b,c)}) + 2p}] \) as claimed.

The last lemma implies that if \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M) \subseteq K_f \), then the Galois group \( \text{Gal}(K_f/\mathbb{Q}) \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \times (\mathbb{Z}/M)\). An interesting phenomena in our situation is that all elements of such Galois group correspond to an inner twist.

**Theorem 2.9.** Let \( M \) be the order of the character \( \kappa \) and let \( \delta_K \) denote the quadratic Dirichlet character giving the extension \( K_f/\mathbb{Q} \). Write \( K_f = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M) \cdot F \), where \( F/\mathbb{Q} \) is at most a quadratic extension, as in the previous lemma. Then all inner twists of \( A_f \) are the following:

- If \( \sigma_j \in \text{Gal}(K_f/\mathbb{Q}) \) satisfies that \( \sigma_j(\zeta_M) = \zeta_M^j \) and \( \sigma_j \) acts trivially on \( F \), then \( (\sigma_j, \varepsilon^{(j-1)/2}) \) is an inner twist.
- If \( \sigma_j \in \text{Gal}(K_f/\mathbb{Q}) \) satisfies that \( \sigma_j(\zeta_M) = \zeta_M^j \) and \( \sigma_j \) does not act trivially on \( F \), then \( (\sigma_j, \delta_K \varepsilon^{(j-1)/2}) \) is an inner twist.

**Proof.** Let \( p \) be a rational prime not dividing the level of \( f \). If \( p \) splits in \( K/\mathbb{Q} \), then \( a_p(f) = \kappa(p)a_p(E_{(a,b,c)}) \), so

\[
\sigma_j(a_p(f)) = \kappa^j(p)a_p(E_{(a,b,c)}) = \kappa^{-1}(p)(\kappa(p)a_p(E_{(a,b,c)})) = \varepsilon^{(j-1)/2}(p)a_p(f),
\]

where the last equality comes from the fact that \( \kappa^{-1}(p) = (\kappa^2(p))^{(j-1)/2} \), because \( j \) is odd.

On the other hand, if \( p \) is inert in \( K \), it is enough to study the case when \( a_p(f) \neq 0 \). By (12)

\[
a_p(f)^2 = \varepsilon(p)(\pm a_p(E_{(a,b,c)}) + 2p),
\]

where \( \varepsilon(p) \) is a square in \( \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M) \), say \( \varepsilon(p) = \zeta_M^{2r} \). To ease notation, let \( \eta = \pm a_p(E_{(a,b,c)}) + 2p \). Then \( a_p(f) = \zeta_M^{2r} \) (for the right choice of the square root), so applying \( \sigma_j \) we get

\[
\sigma_j(a_p(f)) = \zeta_M^{2r}\sigma_j(\sqrt{\eta}) = a_p(f)\sigma_j(\sqrt{\eta})/\sqrt{\eta} = a_p(f)\varepsilon(p)^{(j-1)/2}\sigma_j(\sqrt{\eta}).
\]

If \( \sigma_j(\sqrt{\eta}) = \sqrt{\eta} \) (i.e. \( \sigma_j \) acts trivially on \( F \)), then equations (13) and (14) imply that \( (\sigma_j, \varepsilon^{(j-1)/2}) \) is an inner twist, while if \( \sigma_j(\sqrt{\eta}) = -\sqrt{\eta} \) (i.e. \( \sigma_j \) does not act trivially on \( F \)), then both equations imply that \( (\sigma_j, \delta_K \varepsilon^{(j-1)/2}) \) is an inner twist.

As an immediate application, using Lemma 1.1, we get the following result.

**Corollary 2.10.** All the endomorphisms of \( A_f \) are defined over the field \( K \cdot \mathbb{Q} \).

**Theorem 2.11.** In the previous notation, and under the assumption that \( K_f = K_g \) and that \( p \) is large enough, there exists a building block \( E_g \) defined over the quadratic field \( K \) such that \( \overline{pE_{(a,b,c)}} \sim \overline{pE_{g,p}} \).

**Proof.** Since all endomorphisms of \( A_f \) are defined over \( L := K \cdot \mathbb{Q} \) (by Corollary 2.10), \( \text{End}_L^A(A_g) \) contains \( M_r(\mathbb{Q}) \) by Theorem 1.2 and Remark 1, where \( r = \dim(A_f) \). Then, over \( L \) both varieties are isogenous to \( r \)-copies of an elliptic curve. Let us explain in more detail the situation for \( A_f \). Over \( \mathbb{Q} \), \( E_{(a,b,c)} \) is a building block, but it is not a factor of its splitting
over $L$. The reason is that by construction, the Galois representation of $A_f$ restricted to $\text{Gal}_K$ is isomorphic either to the direct sum
\begin{equation}
\rho_{A_f,p}|_{\text{Gal}_K} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{M/2} \rho_{E_{(a,b,c)},p} \otimes \chi^{2i-1},
\end{equation}
or to twice such a sum (depending on whether $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M)$ equals $K_f$ or not). The key point is that $\chi$ restricted to $\text{Gal}_L$ is a quadratic character (by Lemma 2.6), so while restricted to $\text{Gal}_L$, the representation is isomorphic to $r$-copies of $\rho_{E_{(a,b,c)},p} \otimes \chi$. In particular, the variety $A_f$ over $L$ is isogenous to $(E_{(a,b,c)} \otimes \chi)^r$. The problem is that the elliptic curve $E_{(a,b,c)} \otimes \chi$ is not defined over $K$. For that purpose, we look at the variety $A_f$ over $K_\kappa$, and it is true that over such a field, $A_f$ is isogenous to $(E_{(a,b,c)} \otimes \kappa)^r$. In particular, the cocycle $c$ attached to $E_{(a,b,c)}$ over $K_\kappa$ is trivial while restricted to $\text{Gal}_K$. By Theorem 2.4 (and Remark 3), there exists a building block $E_g$ of $A_g$ defined over $K$ such that $A_g$ is isogenous (over $K_\kappa$) to $E_g^r$. In particular, the residual Galois representations $\overline{\rho}_{E_{(a,b,c)},p}$ and $\overline{\rho}_{E_{(a,b,c)},p}$ are isomorphic while restricted to $\text{Gal}_{K_\kappa}$. The extension $K_\kappa/K$ is abelian, and the characters of $\text{Gal}(K_\kappa/K)$ are precisely powers of $\chi$. Since $E_g$ is defined over $K$, we have that
\begin{equation}
\text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}_{K_\kappa}}^{\text{Gal}_K} (\rho_{E_g,p}|_{\text{Gal}_{K_\kappa}}) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{M} \rho_{E_{(a,b,c)},p} \otimes \chi^i.
\end{equation}
Since the curve $E_{(a,b,c)}$ is also defined over $K$, a similar splitting holds for $\text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}_{K_\kappa}}^{\text{Gal}_K} (\rho_{E_{(a,b,c)},p}|_{\text{Gal}_L})$. Then
\begin{equation}
\bigoplus_{i=1}^{M} \rho_{E_g,p} \otimes \chi^i \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{M} \rho_{E_{(a,b,c)},p} \otimes \chi^i.
\end{equation}
Note that since $\overline{\rho}_{E_{(a,b,c)},p}$ is absolutely irreducible, the same must hold for $\overline{\rho}_{E_g,p}$. In particular, $\overline{\rho}_{E_{(a,b,c)},p}$ must be a summand of the left-hand side, i.e.
\begin{equation}
\overline{\rho}_{E_{(a,b,c)},p} \simeq \rho_{E_{(a,b,c)},p} \otimes \chi^i,
\end{equation}
for some exponent $i$. Taking determinants on both sides, it follows that either $\chi^i = 1$, or $\chi^i$ is a quadratic character. Note that since $p$ is odd, and $\chi$ has order a power of two, $\chi$ and $\chi$ have the same order, so either $\chi^i$ is trivial, or it is a quadratic character. If $\chi^i = 1$ then the result follows, while if $\chi^i \neq 1$, then the elliptic curve $E_g \otimes \chi^i$ is another building block defined over $K$ satisfying the required property. 

3. Applications

3.1. The Diophantine equation $x^4 + dy^2 = z^p$. Let us start with a general result on non-existence of elliptic curves over quadratic fields with a 2-torsion point.

Theorem 3.1. Let $d$ be a positive integer larger than 3 such that the field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ satisfies the following properties:

- The prime 2 is inert in $K/\mathbb{Q}$ (i.e. $d \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$),
- The class number of $K$ is prime to 6.
Then the only elliptic curves defined over $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ having a $K$-rational point of order 2 and conductor supported at the prime ideal 2 are those that are base change of $\mathbb{Q}$.

**Proof.** Let $E/K$ be an elliptic curve satisfying the hypothesis. Since $K$ does not have (in general) trivial class group, there is no reason for the curve $E$ to have a global minimal model. However, it always has what is called a “semi-global” minimal model, i.e. a model which is minimal at all primes dividing the conductor of the curve, but is not minimal at at most one extra prime $p$ (which we assume is odd), and the discriminant valuation at $p$ equals 12. Our assumption that $E$ has only bad reduction at the prime ideal (2) implies that $\Delta(E) = 2^r p^{12}$. In particular, $p^{12}$ is a principal ideal. Our assumption that the class number of $K$ is prime to 6 then implies that $p$ is principal, hence $E$ does have a global minimal model.

Since $E/K$ has a $K$-rational point of order 2, we can take a model (which might not be minimal at 2 but is minimal at all other prime ideals) given by an equation of the form

$$E : y^2 = x^3 + ax^2 + bx,$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-d}]$. Minimality at all odd primes implies in particular that its discriminant $\Delta(E) = 2^r b^2(a^2 - 4b)$ is a power of the prime ideal 2, i.e. $\Delta(E) = (2)^r$, for some $r \geq 0$. The hypothesis $K \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ implies that the only roots of unity in $K$ are $\pm 1$. Then

$$b^2(a^2 - 4b) = \pm 2^{r-4}.$$

Since $K$ is a Dedekind domain, it has unique factorization in prime ideals, so in particular

$$b = \pm 2^t,$$

for some $t \geq 0$, and in particular $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ (and since $\Delta(E)$ is an algebraic integer, $2t + 4 \leq r$).

Substituting in (17) we get that

$$a^2 = \pm 2^{r-4-2t} \pm 2^{t+2} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Suppose that $a = \frac{a_1 + a_2 \sqrt{-d}}{2}$, with $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ (and $a_1 \equiv a_2 \pmod{2}$). Since $a^2$ is a rational number, $a_1a_2 = 0$. If $a_2 = 0$ then both $a, b$ are rational numbers and hence $E$ is a rational elliptic curve as claimed.

Suppose then that $a_2 \neq 0$ and $a_1 = 0$, i.e, $a = a_2 \sqrt{-d}$ for some integer $a_2$. Write $a_2$ in the form

$$a_2 = 2^s \tilde{a},$$

where $s \geq 0$ and $2 \nmid \tilde{a}$. Substituting in (18) we obtain the equation

$$-d\tilde{a}^2 = \pm 2^{r-4-2t-2s} \pm 2^{t+2-2s},$$

where the exponents are non-negative integers and at least one of them must be zero (as the left-hand side is odd). The left-hand side is a negative integer which is congruent to 5 mod 8. All solutions of the equation $\pm 1 \pm 2^m \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ are

$$\begin{cases} 1 + 2^2 & \equiv 5 \pmod{8} \\ -1 - 2 & \equiv 5 \pmod{8} \end{cases}$$

Note that in both cases, the non-zero exponent is at most 2, so $d$ is at most 3, which contradicts our assumption $d > 3$. □
Remark 5. The result is not true over \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}) \), since for example the curve 2.0.3.1-4096.1-a1 has conductor 2\(^6\), a 2-torsion point, but is not defined over the rationals (nor is isogenous to a rational elliptic curve). It is however a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-curve.

Remark 6. The last result is similar to [7, Theorem 1], in the case \( \ell = 2 \), although in such an article the authors impose to the curve the condition that it has multiplicative reduction at \( \ell \) (while our curve has additive reduction). In particular the condition on the class group being odd is the natural one (which matches theirs). The method of proof is completely different though.

Remark 7. The hypothesis on the class group being odd is equivalent to \( d \) being a prime number (under the assumption \( d \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \)). This was already discovered by Gauss (see for example [2, \$6]). The Cohen-Lenstra heuristics ([1, (C2)] and also page 58 of such an article) imply that the number of imaginary quadratic fields of prime discriminant, where 2 is inert, and whose class group is not divisible by 3, should have density 56.013% (so there should be many of them).

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) be two elliptic curves over a number field \( K \). Let \( q \) be a prime of \( K \) of good reduction for \( E_1 \). Let \( p > \max\{N(q)+1+2\sqrt{N(q)}, 4N(q)\} \) be a prime number such that \( \bar{\rho}_{E_1,p} \simeq \bar{\rho}_{E_2,p} \). Then \( E_2 \) also has good reduction at \( q \) and \( a_q(E_1) = a_q(E_2) \).

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that of [8, Theorem 1.4]. Since \( p > 3 \), the curve \( E_2 \) must have either good or multiplicative reduction at \( q \) (by [8, Remark 6]). If the reduction is multiplicative, we are in a “lowering the level” case, hence
\[
(19) \quad a_q(E_1) \equiv \pm(N(q)+1) \pmod{p}.
\]
But Hasse’s bound implies that \(|a_q(E_1)| \leq 2\sqrt{N(q)}\). Since the difference of the right and the left-hand side of (19) is non-zero, then \( p \) must be smaller than their difference, which contradicts the hypothesis \( p > 1 + 2\sqrt{N(q)} + N(q) \).

Once we know that both curves have good reduction at \( q \), we get the congruence
\[
a_q(E_1) \equiv a_q(E_2) \pmod{p}.
\]
If both numbers are different, \( p \) must divide their difference, which by Hasse’s bound is at most \( 4N(q) \), giving the result.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( (a, b, c) \) a primitive solution of (1), where \( p \) is large enough so that \( \bar{\rho}_{E_{(a,b,c)},p} \) is absolutely irreducible. Let \( q \) an odd prime, then there exists a bound \( B \) (depending only on \( d \)) such that if \( p > B \) then \( q \nmid c \).

**Proof.** If \( q \mid c \) then the curve \( E_{(a,b,c)} \) has multiplicative reduction at \( q \), but it does not divide the conductor of the residual representation \( \bar{\rho}_{E_{(a,b,c)},p} \). In particular, the form \( f \) has level divisible by \( q \), but the form \( g \) does not, i.e. we are in what is called the “lowering the level case”. In particular,
\[
(20) \quad p \mid N(\varepsilon^{-1}(q)(q + 1)^2 - a_q(g)^2).
\]
Note that \( \varepsilon \) depends only on \( d \) and there are finitely many possibilities for the value \( a_q(g) \) (since the form \( g \) is a newform in the space \( S_2(\tilde{N}, \varepsilon) \) which does not depend on \( (a, b, c) \), so it is enough to prove that the right-hand side of (20) is non-zero for any newform \( g \). By the Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture, \(|a_q(g)|^2 \leq 4q < (q + 1)^2\), so the difference cannot be zero. \qed
Theorem 3.4. Let $d$ be a prime number congruent to 3 modulo 8 and such that the class number of $\mathbb{Q} (\sqrt{-d})$ is not divisible by 3. Then there are no non-trivial primitive solutions of the equation

$$x^4 + dy^2 = z^p,$$

for $p$ large enough.

Proof. The case $d = 3$ was proven in [5], so we can restrict to values $d > 3$. Let $(a, b, c)$ be a non-trivial primitive solution and consider the elliptic curve

$$E_{(a,b,c)} : y^2 = x^3 + 4ax^2 + 2(a^2 + \sqrt{-db})x,$$

as in the introduction. The assumption $(a, b, c)$ being non-trivial and primitive implies that $E_{(a,b,c)}$ does not have complex multiplication (by Lemma 2.1).

The discriminant of $E_{(a,b,c)}$ equals $512(a^2 + b\sqrt{-d})c^p$, which is a perfect $p$-power except at the prime 2. Furthermore, all odd primes dividing the conductor of $E_{(a,b,c)}$ are of multiplicative reduction, so the residual representation $\rho_{E_{(a,b,c),p}}$ is unramified outside 2.

The elliptic curve $E_{(a,b,c)}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-curve, hence there exists a Hecke character $\varkappa$ (unramified outside primes dividing $2d$) such that the twisted representation $\rho_{E_{(a,b,c),p}} \otimes \varkappa$ extends to a representation $\tilde{\rho}$ of the whole Galois group $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. By Serre’s conjecture together with an appropriate Taylor-Wiles result, the representation $\tilde{\rho}$ is modular, i.e. there exists a modular form $f \in S_2(N, \varepsilon)$ such that $\rho_{f,p} \simeq \tilde{\rho}$. The level $N$ depends on $(a, b, c)$, but the conductor of the Nebetypus $\varepsilon$ is supported only at primes dividing $2d$ by construction of the Hecke character $\varkappa$ (see [11, Theorem 4.2]).

By [11, Theorem 5.1] and [6], there exists a bound $B_1$ (depending only on $K$) such that if $p > B_1$ the residual representation $\rho_{E_{(a,b,c),p}}$ is absolutely irreducible. In particular, for such primes $p$ we are in the hypothesis of Ribet’s lowering the level result. Then there exists a newform $g \in S_2(N, \varepsilon)$ such that the residual representation of $\rho_{g,p}$ is isomorphic to that of $\tilde{\rho}$, where $N$ is only divisible by primes dividing $2d$. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.3, we can assume that $c$ is not divisible by 3. Looking at (1) modulo 8 it follows that $c$ is not divisible by 2 either, hence it is divisible by some prime number $q$ larger than 3. By Ellenberg’s large image result ([6, Theorem 3.14]) the projective residual image of $\rho_{E_{(a,b,c),p}}$ is surjective, so it cannot be congruent to a modular form with complex multiplication. In particular, the form $g$ does not have complex multiplication either so we can apply our previous results.

Let $g$ be any newform in the space $S_2(N, \varepsilon)$ without complex multiplication and suppose that it is related to a solution $(a, b, c)$ of (1). Let $g^{BC}$ denote its base change to $K$. Let $\ell$ be an odd prime not dividing $2d$ and define

$$S_\ell = \{ (\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}) \in \mathbb{F}_\ell^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\} : \tilde{a}^4 + d\tilde{b}^2 = \tilde{c}^p \}.$$

In practice, since $p$ will be a larger prime (compared to $\ell$), raising to the $p$-th power is a bijection of $\mathbb{F}_\ell$. For each point $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}) \in S_\ell$, consider the curve $E_{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c})}$ over $\mathbb{F}_\ell$. Let $l$ be a prime of $K$ dividing $\ell$. Then, either:

1. The curve $E_{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c})}$ is non-singular, in which case if $(a, b, c)$ is an integral solution reducing to $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c})$, we must have that $a_l(E_{(a,b,c)}) = a_l(E_{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c})})$ and furthermore

$$\varkappa(1)a_l(E_{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c})}) \equiv a_l(g^{BC}) \pmod{p},$$

or
(2) The curve $E_{(a,b,c)}$ has bad reduction at $I$ in which case we are in the lowering the level hypothesis, and
\[ a_\ell(g) \equiv \pm \varepsilon^{-1}(\ell)(\ell + 1) \pmod{p}. \]
Given $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}) \in S_\ell$, let $B(\ell, g; \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c})$ be given by
\[
B(\ell, g; \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}) = \begin{cases} 
N(a_\ell(E_{(a,b,c)})) \varepsilon(\ell) - a_\ell(g) & \text{if } \ell \nmid \tilde{c} \text{ and } \ell \text{ splits in } K, \\
N(a_\ell(g)^2 - a_\ell(E_{(a,b,c)})) \varepsilon(\ell) - 2\ell \varepsilon(\ell) & \text{if } \ell \nmid \tilde{c} \text{ and } \ell \text{ is inert in } K, \\
N(\varepsilon^{-1}(\ell)(\ell + 1)^2 - a_\ell(g)^2) & \text{if } \ell | \tilde{c}.
\end{cases}
\]
If $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c})$ belongs to case (2), then clearly $p \mid B(\ell, g; \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c})$. If $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c})$ belongs to case (1), the well known formula for the Fourier coefficients of $g^{BC}$ in terms of those of $g$, imply that
\[
\begin{cases} 
\varepsilon(\ell) a_\ell(E_{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c})}) \equiv a_\ell(g) \pmod{p} & \text{if } \ell \text{ splits,} \\
\varepsilon(\ell) a_\ell(E_{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c})}) \equiv a_\ell(g)^2 - 2\ell \varepsilon(\ell) \pmod{p} & \text{if } \ell \text{ is inert.}
\end{cases}
\]
In all cases, it holds that
\[
p \mid \prod_{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}) \in S_\ell} B(\ell, g; \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}), \tag{21}
\]
As previously explained, the Ramanujan–Petersson conjecture implies that the third row value in the definition of $B(\ell, g; \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c})$ is never zero. If the coefficient field $K_g$ does not match the coefficient field $K_f$, then there exists some prime $\ell$ for which the first or the second row (depending on whether $\ell$ is split or inert) is non-zero, so the right-hand side of (21) is non-zero, giving finitely many possibilities for the value of the prime $p$ (this is an idea of Mazur). Then to finish the proof we are left to discard the newforms $g$ whose coefficient field $K_g$ matches the coefficient field $K_f$ of $f$.

By Theorem 2.11, if $p$ is large enough, there exists an elliptic curve $E_g$ defined over $K$, whose conductor divides $\tilde{N}$ such that $\overline{p_{E_g,p}} \simeq \overline{p_{E_{(a,b,c),p}}}$. A priori, the curve $E_g$ has bad reduction at primes dividing $\tilde{N}$ (i.e. at primes dividing $2d$), but the curve $E_{(a,b,c)}$ has good reduction at all odd primes dividing $d$, so in particular the same must be true for the curve $E_g$ if $p > 3$ (see [8, Proposition 1.1] and also Remark 7).

The residual representation $\overline{p_{E_g,2}}$ has image lying in $S_3$. Under the isomorphism $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2) \simeq S_3$, the elements of order 1 or 2 are precisely the ones of trace 0, while the ones of order 3 have trace 1. In particular, the image of the residual representation (isomorphic to one that) lies in the Borel subgroup (i.e. the curve $E_g$ has a $K$-rational point of order 2) if and only if the trace of any Frobenius element is even if and only if the image does not have elements of order 3. Let $T$ denote the fixed field of the kernel of $\overline{p_{E_g,2}}$, so the extension $T/K$ is unramified outside 2 (by the Nerón-Ogg-Shafarevich criterion) and is of degree at most 6. A well known result of Hermite and Minkowski states that there are finitely many field extensions of a given degree and bounded discriminant. In particular, our field $T$ is one of a finite list, say $\{T_1, \ldots, T_n\}$ of at most degree 6 extensions of $K$ unramified outside \{2\}. Suppose that $[T_i : K]$ is divisible by 3 for some index $i$. Then an explicit version of Chebotarev’s density theorem (see for example [20] and the references therein) proves the existence of a bound $B$ and a prime $q \in \mathcal{O}_K$ (the ring of integers of $K$) of norm at most $B$ such that $\text{Frob}_q$ has order 3 in $\text{Gal}(T_i/K)$. In particular, if $[T : K]$ is divisible by 3, there
exists a prime whose norm is bounded by $B$ (independently of the original solution $(a, b, c)$) such that

$$a_q(E_g) = \text{Tr}(\rho_{E_g,2}(\text{Frob}_q)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$ 

But Lemma 3.2 implies that if $p$ is large enough (where the bound depends on the norm of the prime $q$, which is bounded by $B$) then $E_{(a,b,c)}$ has good reduction at $q$ and furthermore $a_q(E_g) = a_q(E_{(a,b,c)})$. Recall that $E_{(a,b,c)}$ has a 2-torsion point, so $a_q(E_{(a,b,c)})$ is even, giving a contradiction. Then $T/K$ has degree 1 or 2 and the residual representation $\bar{\rho}_{E_g,2}$ has image in a Borel subgroup, so the curve $E_g$ also has a $K$-rational 2-torsion point.

Theorem 3.1 then implies that the elliptic curve $E_g$ is in fact defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, so in particular $a_q(E_g) = a_q(E_g)$ for all primes $q$ splitting in $K/\mathbb{Q}$, a property that the curve $E_{(a,b,c)}$ does not satisfy, giving a contradiction. □

3.2. The Diophantine equation $x^2 + dy^6 = z^p$.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let $d$ be a prime number congruent to 19 modulo 24 and such that the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ is prime to 6. Then there are no non-trivial primitive solutions of the equation

$$x^2 + dy^6 = z^p,$$

for $p$ large enough.

**Proof.** The proof mimics that of Theorem 3.4, in particular all results of Section 2 hold for $\tilde{E}_{(a,b,c)}$, with the following important observation:

1. The curve $\tilde{E}_{(a,b,c)}$ does not have complex multiplication if $p > 3$ by [8, Lemma 3.2].

2. The curve $\tilde{E}_{(a,b,c)}$ has additive reduction at the prime $\sqrt{-d}$, and acquires good reduction over the extension $K(\sqrt{-d})$ (see [11, Remark 2]). In particular, if $\tilde{E}_g$ denotes the elliptic curve defined over $K$ that is obtained after applying the lowering the level result to $\tilde{E}_{(a,b,c)}$ (whose existence is warranted by Theorem 2.11), it also acquires good reduction over the extension $K(\sqrt{-d})$, hence its minimal discriminant valuation at the prime $\sqrt{-d}$ must be even.

3. The curve $\tilde{E}_{(a,b,c)}$ has a $K$-rational 3-torsion point, so we would like to know that the same is true for $\tilde{E}_g$. Since the curve $\tilde{E}_{(a,b,c)}$ has a point of order 3, for all prime ideals $p$ of good reduction, $a_p(\tilde{E}_{(a,b,c)})$ is divisible by 3. Using Lemma 3.2 we know that $a_p(\tilde{E}_{(a,b,c)}) = a_p(\tilde{E}_g)$ for all small prime ideals $q$. In particular, $a_q(\tilde{E}_g) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ for all small prime ideals, hence by the so called “Sturm” bound (see for example Corollary 9.20 of [19]), the congruence holds for all prime ideals of good reduction. Then by [10, Theorem 2] there exists a curve $E'$ over $K$ which is isogenous to $\tilde{E}_g$ over $K$ which has a rational point.

Under our hypothesis ($d \equiv 19 \pmod{24}$), the primes 2 and 3 are inert in $K/\mathbb{Q}$. The hypothesis on the class number of $K$ being odd implies in particular that $d$ is a prime number. Then there must exists an elliptic curve $E'$ defined over $K$ with the following properties:

- The conductor of $E'$ is supported at the prime ideals dividing $6d$.
- If the model $E'$ is minimal at the prime ideal $(\sqrt{-d})$, then the discriminant $\Delta(E')$ of $a E'$ has even valuation at $(\sqrt{-d})$.
- The curve $E'$ has a $K$-rational 3-torsion point.
Take a semi-global minimal model for $E'$ which is minimal at all primes except one extra prime ideal $p$ which does not divide $6d$. After a translation (a transformation which preserves the discriminant of the equation) we can assume that the rational 3-torsion point is the origin $(0, 0)$. The fact that $(0, 0)$ is now an inflection point of the cubic implies that the tangent line is of the form $y = ax$ for some integral element $a$, so the change of variables $y' = y - ax$, $x' = x$ (which preserves the discriminant) sends the tangent line to the line $y' = 0$. In particular, we can (and do) assume that our semi-global minimal model is of the form

$$E' : y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3,$$

where $a_1, a_3 \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1+\sqrt{-d}}{2}]$. In particular,

$$\Delta(E') = a_3^3(a_1^3 - 27a_3) = 2^r3^q(\sqrt{-d})^{2s}p^{12}.$$ 

The even exponent at $(\sqrt{-d})$ comes from the fact that the model is minimal at $(\sqrt{-d})$ and the second condition. In particular, the ideal $p^{12}$ is a principal ideal, so under our assumption on the class number of $K$ being prime to 6, $p$ is principal and hence $E'$ does have a global minimal model (of the same form). In particular, since the only roots of unity in $K$ are $\pm 1$, for the minimal model it holds that

$$\Delta(E') = a_3^3(a_1^3 - 27a_3) = \pm 2^r3^q d^s.$$ 

If $(\sqrt{-d})$ does not divide the gcd of the two middle factors (as elements of $K$), $a_3$ must be a rational number. Then $a_1^3$ is also a rational number and hence $a_1$ is rational. On the other hand, if $(\sqrt{-d})$ divides the gcd of the two middle factors, then the minimality condition of the model $E'$ implies that $v_{(\sqrt{-d})}(a_3) \leq 2$, so it is either 1 or 2. If it happens to be 2, then $a_3$ is once again a rational number, and the same proof as before implies that $a_1$ is rational as well.

Suppose then that $a_3 = \sqrt{-d} \cdot \beta$ for some $\beta$ not divisible by $(\sqrt{-d})$, and that $a_1 = \sqrt{-d} \cdot \alpha$ for some algebraic integer $\alpha$. Then the valuation at $(\sqrt{-d})$ of the middle term in (22) is 4, hence $s = 2$ and we get the equation

$$\beta^3(d\alpha^3 + 27\beta) = \pm 2^r3^q.$$ 

Once again, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ must be integers. Recall that by Ogg’s formula, because the primes 2 and 3 are unramified at $K/\mathbb{Q}$, $r \leq 16$ and $q \leq 13$ (see [18] Theorem 10.4, Theorem 11.1 and Table 4.1 in page 365). Then we can run over all possible exponents on the right-hand side within this bound, and verify for which values, we get a divisor $\beta$ such that $\pm 2^r3^q/\beta^3 - 27\beta$ is a prime times a perfect cube. Furthermore, we discard the solutions for which the curve $E'$ does not have additive reduction at both primes 2 and 3 (since the curve $\tilde{E}_{(a,b,c)}$ has this property). We get only four non-rational candidates, all of them defined over the quadratic field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-547})$, corresponding to the values

$$(\alpha, \beta) \in \{(−6, 2), (−12, 16), (6, −2), (12, −16)\}.$$ 

Clearly there are only two non-isomorphic pairs (the map $(x, y) \to (x, −y)$ gives an isomorphism between a pair $(a_1, a_3)$ and a pair $(-a_1, -a_3)$). It is easy to verify that for the two isomorphism classes of curves, the quotient by the 3-torsion point is a rational elliptic curve, hence the curve $E'$ is isogenous to a base change, which (as in Theorem 3.4) contradicts the fact that $\tilde{E}_{(a,b,c)}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-curve (not isogenous to a rational one, since $(a, b, c)$ is non-trivial).
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