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Abstract
The existence of a ship project carried out with a tender system by the LPSE allows all shipyard industries to bid on the project, this causes the chances of winning to become smaller, the determination of the tender price greatly determines the size of the profit that can be obtained and the percentage of the possibility of winning the project in a shipping industry. Therefore, the strategy of determining the bid price is very important. The statistical method used is multi discrete distribution, and multi normal distribution, while the bidding model uses Friedman (1956) and Ackoff & Sasieni (1968) models.

The results obtained the best bid price strategy to win an auction or tender is the model that produces the lowest optimum mark-up, namely the Friedman model with multi normal distribution, while for Ackoff & Sasieni it produces a higher bid than the Friedman model except in certain company conditions.
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I. INTRODUCTION
Currently, the number of shipyards in Indonesia has reached 250 companies, of which five are government-owned enterprises. Shipyards in Indonesia are currently capable of building various types and sizes of ships up to 50,000 DWT and repairing ships with a capacity of 150,000 DWT. However, out of 250 national shipyards, only 10 companies have a production capacity of more than 10,000 DWT with the largest graving dock facility of 300,000 DWT located in Batam and Banten (Ministry of Industry, 2015).

Indonesian Association of Shipbuilding and Offshore Facilities (Iperindo)’s data shows that since 2018 the condition of the shipbuilding industry is considered unfavorable because there is almost no procurement of government shipbuilding. The purchasing power of new ships from the private sector is also still weak. The shipyard utility rate throughout the year is only about 30% of the installed capacity of 1.2 million GT. (Bisnis.com, 2019).

The development of the shipping industry in Indonesia has led to increasingly fierce business competition. In an effort to get a job (project) in the construction and ship repair service sector which is the main job in the shipping industry, almost always go through a process called an auction (tender). This process is very important for the shipping industry, because the continuity of its business depends on the success or failure of the process.

The determination of the auction price (tender) is determined by various considerations and sometimes only based on business sense. The determination of the tender price greatly determines the size of the profit (profit) that can be obtained and the percentage of the probability of winning a project in a shipping industry. Therefore, the strategy of determining the bid price becomes very important and strategic. (Ali, 2020)

Since 2008 the procurement of goods/services has started using the Electronic Procurement Service (LPSE) system. In this system, each contractor can participate in a tender after the package and tender specifications are announced by the relevant agency as the project owner. Thus the process of determining the winner of the tender becomes open and free from fraud. The more participants who take part in the tender, the smaller the chance to win the tender. If you don't use the right bidding strategy, it will be very difficult to win the tender.

The estimated mark-up value implemented in the project bid can be used as
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a reference in submitting the bid price, where the mark-up value obtained is the mark-up value calculated through previous bidding data in an area with a certain time span. The mark-up calculation approach model is a tool for the shipping industry in formulating its strategy in dealing with competitive bidding system tenders, so as to find out the best opportunity to participate in tenders or get the optimum opportunity to win projects and obtain optimum profits. (Ali, 2020)

In this study, two approaches to statistical methods were used, namely multi discrete distribution, and multi normal distribution. While the bidding model uses the Friedman (1956) and Ackoff & Sasieni (1968) models.

II. METHODOLOGY

The research methodology shown at Figure 1.
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The following are the stages of the methodology in this research.

Stage 1: Preparation

a. Problem Formulation
   Every company can join the tender that held by LSPE so the winning probability became smaller to win the tender, so the company need to make the strategy how to mark up the bid document.
   
b. Literature review
   This stage is carried out by collecting literature review in order to obtain references that support the research process. The literature used in research is based on journals, books related to risk management and books related to loading and unloading.

Stage 2: Data Collection

The data required is secondary data obtained from the Ministry of Transportation's Electronic Procurement Service (LPSE), which can be accessed online via http://lpse.dephub.go.id/.

Stage 3: Data processing and analysis

- Data processing with a statistical approach is to determine the method used, Multi Discrete Distribution and Multi Normal Distribution Method.
- Calculate the maximum expected profit and determine the optimum mark-up using two bidding models, the Friedman model, and the Ackoff & Sasieni model.

Stage 4: Conclusions and Suggestions

At this stage a conclusion is drawn according to the problem. While advice is given with the aim to provide recommendations for further research.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. Companies bidding Data

Based on LPSE’s data from 2018 to 2019 that meet the requirements of this research, there are 31 ship repair auctions with 42 contractor companies which are the result of selection based on problem constraints. The terms of the project taken are ship repair work projects with a minimum of 2 (two) competitors contractors and with a minimum project price of Rp. 1.000.000.000,00 (one billion rupiah). Companies selected to participate in tenders at least 2 (two) times in ship repair tenders during 2018-2019. Obtained. The number of companies makes the data conditions not ideal to be tested so that data selection is carried out which will only be used for further research.

B. Model Friedman

1. Multi Discrete Distribution

The results of the calculation of the probability of winning and the expected profit with a multi discrete distribution for the Friedman model can be seen in Table 1.
Table 1. Probability of Win and Expected profit with Multi Discrete Distribution for Friedman Model Model.

| Mark-up (%) | R  | P. Win | Expected Profit |
|-------------|----|--------|-----------------|
| 25.00       | 1.25 | 0.64130 | 16.03256        |
| 24.00       | 1.24 | 0.62603 | 15.02481        |
| 23.00       | 1.23 | 0.61101 | 14.05322        |
| 22.00       | 1.22 | 0.59623 | 13.11701        |
| 21.00       | 1.21 | 0.58169 | 12.21541        |
| 20.00       | 1.20 | 0.56738 | 11.34767        |
| 19.00       | 1.19 | 0.55332 | 10.51301        |
| 18.00       | 1.18 | 0.53948 | 9.71072         |
| 17.00       | 1.17 | 0.52588 | 8.94004         |
| 16.00       | 1.16 | 0.51252 | 8.20024         |
| 15.00       | 1.15 | 0.49937 | 7.49062         |
| 14.00       | 1.14 | 0.48646 | 6.81044         |
| 13.00       | 1.13 | 0.47377 | 6.15902         |
| 12.00       | 1.12 | 0.46130 | 5.53564         |
| 11.00       | 1.11 | 0.44906 | 4.93963         |
| 10.00       | 1.10 | 0.43703 | 4.37030         |
| 9.00        | 1.09 | 0.42522 | 3.82697         |
| 8.00        | 1.08 | 0.41362 | 3.30898         |
| 7.00        | 1.07 | 0.40224 | 2.81567         |
| 6.00        | 1.06 | 0.39107 | 2.34640         |
| 5.00        | 1.05 | 0.38010 | 1.90051         |
| 4.00        | 1.04 | 0.36935 | 1.47738         |
| 3.00        | 1.03 | 0.35879 | 1.07638         |
| 2.00        | 1.02 | 0.34844 | 0.69689         |
| 1.00        | 1.01 | 0.33830 | 0.33830         |
| 0.00        | 1.00 | 0.32835 | 0.00000         |
| -1.00       | 0.99 | 0.31859 | -0.31859        |
| -2.00       | 0.98 | 0.30904 | -0.61807        |
| -3.00       | 0.97 | 0.29967 | -0.89902        |
| -4.00       | 0.96 | 0.29050 | -1.16200        |
| -5.00       | 0.95 | 0.28152 | -1.40758        |
| -6.00       | 0.94 | 0.27272 | -1.63632        |
| -7.00       | 0.93 | 0.26411 | -1.84876        |

Source: Data processed, 2020

From Table 1, the optimum mark-up value is 25% with the expected profit obtained is 16.03256%. The correlation between expected profit and mark up in the multi discrete distribution for the Friedman model shows that the expected profit increases along with the increase in the mark up value applied, which can be seen in Figure 2.

Figure 2. The corelation between Expected Profit and Mark Up Using Multi Discrete Distribution for Friedman Model

2. Multi Normal Distribution

The results of calculating the probability of winning and expected profit with multi normal distribution for Friedman's model for Company A can be seen in Table 2.

Table 2 Probability of Win and expected profit with Multi Normal Distribution for Friedman Model

| Mark-up (%) | R  | P. Win | Expected Profit |
|-------------|----|--------|-----------------|
| 25.00       | 1.25 | 0.00000 | 0.00003         |
| 24.00       | 1.24 | 0.00000 | 0.00006         |
| 23.00       | 1.23 | 0.00001 | 0.00012         |
| 22.00       | 1.22 | 0.00001 | 0.00023         |
| 21.00       | 1.21 | 0.00002 | 0.00044         |
| 20.00       | 1.20 | 0.00004 | 0.00081         |
| 19.00       | 1.19 | 0.00008 | 0.00146         |
| 18.00       | 1.18 | 0.00014 | 0.00255         |
| 17.00       | 1.17 | 0.00025 | 0.00432         |
| 16.00       | 1.16 | 0.00045 | 0.00712         |
| 15.00       | 1.15 | 0.00076 | 0.01140         |
| 14.00       | 1.14 | 0.00126 | 0.01771         |
| 13.00       | 1.13 | 0.00205 | 0.02670         |
| 12.00       | 1.12 | 0.00326 | 0.03906         |
| 11.00       | 1.11 | 0.00504 | 0.05541         |
| 10.00       | 1.10 | 0.00761 | 0.07613         |
| 9.00        | 1.09 | 0.01125 | 0.10122         |
| 8.00        | 1.08 | 0.01625 | 0.12997         |
| 7.00        | 1.07 | 0.02296 | 0.16072         |
| 6.00        | 1.06 | 0.03177 | 0.19062         |
| 5.00        | 1.05 | 0.04306 | 0.21530         |
| 4.00        | 1.04 | 0.05721 | 0.22885         |
| 3.00        | 1.03 | 0.07456 | 0.22369         |
| 2.00        | 1.02 | 0.09539 | 0.19078         |

From Table 2, the optimum mark-up value is 15% with the expected profit obtained is 0.00761%. The correlation between expected profit and mark up in the multi normal distribution for the Friedman model shows that the expected profit increases along with the increase in the mark up value applied, which can be seen in Figure 2.
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Source: Data processed, 2020

From Table 2, the optimum mark-up value is 4% with an expected profit of 0.22885%. The correlation between expected profit and mark-up on the multi normal distribution for the Friedman model can be seen in Figure 3.

Based on Figure 3, it is not recommended if Company A applies a mark up below 0% because it will cause losses to the company. And it is also not recommended if you apply a mark up above 15% because the expected profit generated is very small, even close to 0%.

Table 3 Probability of Winning with Multi Discrete Distribution for Ackoff and Sasieni Models

| Mark-up (%) | R | P. Win | Expected Profit |
|-------------|---|--------|-----------------|
| 25.00       | 1.25 | 0.83200 | 20.80009 |
| 24.00       | 1.24 | 0.82535 | 19.80834 |

Source: Data processed, 2020

From Table 3 it can be seen that the optimum mark-up obtained is 25% with an expected profit of 20.80009% which is further illustrated in Figure 4.

C. Model Ackoff dan Sasieni

The Ackoff & Sasieni method only takes one data which is the company with the lowest bid during the auction range.

1. Multi Discrete Distribution

Bidding analysis is performed on company A. The results of the probability of winning and Expected profit are shown in Table 3.
It can be seen in Figure 4, the correlation between expected profit and mark up in the multi discrete distribution for the Ackoff and Sasieni model shows that the expected profit increases along with the increase in the value of the applied mark up. It is not recommended if Company A applies a mark up below 0% because it will cause losses to the company.

2. Multi Normal Distribution

In the multi normal distribution, one company is also taken which is the company with the lowest bid. The results of the calculation of the probability of winning and the expected value will be shown in Table 4.

From the calculation results in Table 4, it can be seen that the optimum mark-up value is 9% with an expected profit of 2.59603% at an R value of 1.09. The graph of the correlation between expected profit and mark up for multi normal distribution using the Ackoff & Sasieni model is shown in Figure 5.

Table 4. Probability of Winning and Expected Value with Multi Normal Distribution for Ackoff and Sasieni Models

| Mark-up (%) | R   | P. Win | Expected Profit       |
|-------------|-----|--------|-----------------------|
| 25,00       | 1.25| 0.013970| 0.349248632          |
| 24,00       | 1.24| 0.018052| 0.433246536          |
| 23,00       | 1.23| 0.023118| 0.531712614          |
| 22,00       | 1.22| 0.029331| 0.645291527          |
| 21,00       | 1.21| 0.036873| 0.774327184          |
| 20,00       | 1.20| 0.045930| 0.918598757          |
| 19,00       | 1.19| 0.056694| 1.077189166          |
| 18,00       | 1.18| 0.069353| 1.248362765          |
| 17,00       | 1.17| 0.084086| 1.429462143          |
| 16,00       | 1.16| 0.101052| 1.616834346          |
| 15,00       | 1.15| 0.120386| 1.805796491          |
| 14,00       | 1.14| 0.142189| 1.990649425          |
| 13,00       | 1.13| 0.166519| 2.164745803          |
| 12,00       | 1.12| 0.193386| 2.320615856          |
| 11,00       | 1.11| 0.222741| 2.450150236          |
| 10,00       | 1.10| 0.254484| 2.54483511           |
| 9.00        | 1.09| 0.288448| 2.596030339          |
| 8.00        | 1.08| 0.324410| 2.595277604          |
| 7.00        | 1.07| 0.362089| 2.534622137          |
| 6.00        | 1.06| 0.401155| 2.406929601          |
| 5.00        | 1.05| 0.441236| 2.206178945          |

Source: Data processed, 2020

Figure 5 shows the correlation between expected profit and mark up on the multi normal distribution for the Ackoff and Sasieni model in Company A. It is not recommended if Company A applies mark up below 0% because it will cause losses to the company. And it is also not recommended if you apply a mark up that is too high because it will form a gentle valley on the normal distribution graph or show a very small expected profit, even close to 0%.

D. Analysis Expected Profit

From the analysis of the overall bidding model that has been carried out, it can be concluded that the optimum mark-up value with maximum expected profit at Company A is shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Optimum Mark Up Results and Maximum Expected Profit

| Type of Distribution | MODEL       | MARK-UP OPTIMUM (%) | EXPECTED PROFIT |
|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Multi Discrete Distribution | Friedmann     | 25                   | 16.03256        |
|                       | Ackoff & Sasieni | 25                   | 20.80009        |

Figure 5. The Relationship Between Expected Profit and Mark Up Using Multi Normal Distribution for Ackoff and Sasieni Models.
IV. CONCLUSION

From this research, the following conclusions can be drawn:

a. The best bid price strategy to win an auction or tender is the model that produces the lowest optimum mark up, namely the Friedman model with multi normal distribution, while Ackoff & Sasieni produces a higher bid than the Friedman model except in certain company conditions.

b. In developing a bid strategy to win the tender, the Friedman model can be used as an illustration in determining the bid price by analyzing past data, while the Ackoff & Sasieni model only analyzes data from the lowest company so that it cannot provide an overview in determining the bid price.

Suggestions from this research
Further research on bid strategy models, bid pricing and cost estimation using other models or using different case studies to compare contractor behavior is needed.
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