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Comparative analysis of drug response and gene profiling of HER2-targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitors
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BACKGROUND: Human epidermal growth factor 2 (HER2/ERBB2) is frequently amplified/mutated in cancer. The tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) lapatinib, neratinib, and tucatinib are FDA-approved for the treatment of HER2-positive breast cancer. Direct comparisons of the preclinical efficacy of the TKIs have been limited to small-scale studies. Novel biomarkers are required to define beneficial patient populations.

METHODS: In this study, the anti-proliferative effects of the three TKIs were directly compared using a 115 cancer cell line panel. Novel TKI response/resistance markers were identified through cross-analysis of drug response profiles with mutation, gene copy number and expression data.

RESULTS: All three TKIs were effective against HER2-amplified breast cancer models; neratinib showing the most potent activity, followed by tucatinib then lapatinib. Neratinib displayed the greatest activity in HER2-mutant and EGFR-mutant cells. High expression of HER2, VTCN1, CDK12, and RAC1 correlated with response to all three TKIs. DNA damage repair genes were associated with TKI resistance. BRCA2 mutations were correlated with neratinib and tucatinib response, and high expression of ATM, BRCA2, and BRCA1 were associated with neratinib resistance.

CONCLUSIONS: Neratinib was the most effective HER2-targeted TKI against HER2-amplified, -mutant, and EGFR-mutant cell lines. This analysis revealed novel resistance mechanisms that may be exploited using combinatorial strategies.
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BACKGROUND

The human epidermal growth factor 2 (HER2/ERBB2) is frequently amplified or mutated in cancer.1 HER2 gene amplification and/or protein overexpression has been well described in breast and other cancers, and numerous HER2-targeting therapies have been developed and approved. More recently, the advent of next-generation sequencing has facilitated the discovery of a spectrum of somatic HER2 gene mutations that serve as oncogenic drivers and can be therapeutically targeted.2,3 Several HER2-targeted therapies have been developed and approved for the treatment of HER2-positive (HER2+) breast cancer over the past three decades. HER2-targeted therapies can be broadly divided into three categories: the monoclonal antibodies trastuzumab (Herceptin) and pertuzumab (Perjeta), the antibody–drug conjugates trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1, Kadcyla) and trastuzumab deruxtecan (DS-8201, Enhertu), and small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs).4–7 Trastuzumab plus pertuzumab and chemotherapy are the established first-line therapy for early and advanced HER2+ breast cancer.3 Three HER2-targeted TKIs, lapatinib (Tykerb/Tyverb), neratinib (Nerlynx), and tucatinib (Tukysa), have been approved for the treatment of HER2+ breast cancer after progression following HER2-targeted therapy.7,9,10 These drugs are all orally available and target the kinase domain of HER2. Lapatinib, the first Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved HER2-targeted TKI, is a reversible inhibitor of both epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and HER2 and is approved for the treatment of advanced HER2+ breast cancer following progression on previous therapy, in combination with capecitabine.9 Neratinib is an irreversible inhibitor of EGFR, HER2, and HER4 and is FDA approved for the adjuvant treatment of early-stage HER2+ breast cancer after 1 year of trastuzumab treatment.10 Neratinib also received FDA approval for the treatment of metastatic HER2+ breast cancer in patients who received two prior lines of HER2-directed therapies.11 Tucatinib was recently approved by the FDA for the treatment of patients with metastatic HER2+ breast cancer in combination with trastuzumab and capecitabine.12 Tucatinib displays a higher selectivity for HER2 over the other HER family members, in comparison to lapatinib and neratinib.12 However, neratinib, an irreversible pan-HER inhibitor, is more potent in biochemical assays. Lapatinib is a reversible inhibitor like tucatinib but has the advantage of targeting both EGFR and HER2, unlike the HER2-specific tucatinib.9,13 As the number of therapies available to treat first-line treatment-refractory HER2+ breast cancer grows, it is important to understand the factors that differentiate these clinically approved TKIs.
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Currently, the only patient selection and clinical marker of response to these TKIs is HER2+ disease and there is known heterogeneity within HER2+ tumours.14 Mutations in HER2 have also been linked to differential sensitivity to lapatinib and neratinib and resistance to trastuzumab.15 This study aims to provide a direct comparison of anti-proliferative activity of the three TKIs clinically approved for HER2+ breast cancer and assess the activity of lapatinib, neratinib, and tucatinib across multiple cancer types in a 115 cell line panel to identify novel potential biomarkers of TKI response outside of HER2 amplification. Novel predictive drug response biomarkers were identified through cross-analysis of drug response with mutation, copy number variation, and gene expression data. These biomarkers could be used to further personalise treatment for HER2+ breast cancer patients or uncover new indications for HER2-targeting TKIs.

METHODS

Chemical inhibitors
Neratinib was made available by Puma Biotechnology, Inc. Lapatinib (L-4899) was purchased from LC Laboratories. Tucatinib (200291) was acquired from MedKoo. Compounds were stored as dry powders at room temperature in the dark and dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) before experiments. For dose–response testing, the compound stock was diluted in 1/10-fold steps in DMSO to obtain a 9-point dilution series, followed by further dilution in aqueous buffer and culture medium.

Cancer cell lines
A cancer cell line panel of 115 cancer cell lines was utilised in this study (Supplementary Table S1: overview of 115 cell lines and 102 cell lines that were used for comparative profiling and 99 cell lines that were used for gene expression analysis). All cancer cell lines were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) (Manassas, VA), except II-18, which was obtained from RIKEN BioResource Research Center (Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan), and HEC-1, HEC-6, and HEC-251, which were purchased from the Japanese Collection of Research Bioresources Cell Bank (JCRB) (Ibaraki city, Osaka, Japan). All cell lines were propagated in the cell culture media as recommended by the providers of the cell lines. The experiments were carried out within ten passages of the original vials.

Cell line authentication and DNA sequence analysis
The authenticity of the ATCC cell lines has been confirmed by short tandem repeat analysis at ATCC and sequence analysis of 25 cancer genes from samples generated at Netherlands Translational Research Center B.V.16 In addition, in 24 cell lines, including the RIKEN and JCRB cell lines, the mutation status of the relevant target genes EGFR, HER2, and HER3 was determined by Illumina next-generation sequence analysis of genomic DNA isolated from the cell lines at the same passage at which the proliferation assays were performed. To cover all known mutations, as reported in the Broad Institute Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE),17 a total of 18 regions in EGFR, HER2, and HER3 were selected, and custom oligonucleotides were designed and synthesised at Illumina (Eindhoven, The Netherlands; oligonucleotide sequences detailed in Supplementary Table S2). Genomic DNA was extracted using the AllPrep DNA/RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, cat. no. 80204), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. DNA was quantified with Quant-iT Picogreen (Thermo Fischer, cat. no. P11496). Amplicons of the selected regions, with an average length of 138 bp, were prepared by PCR and subsequently partly digested using the Ampliciseq Library Plus Kit (Illumina, cat. no. 20019102) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. A sequencing library was prepared after ligation of the amplicons to sample specific bar-coded dual indexing sequencing adaptors (Illumina, cat. no. 20019105). Sequencing was performed on a MiniSeq System (Illumina, San Diego, CA) at 150 bp read length in paired-end mode. Mutation analysis was performed using the FastQC and DNA Amplicon software packages from the BaseSpace Sequence Hub application of Illumina.

Cell proliferation assays
Sensitivity to neratinib, lapatinib, and tucatinib was examined in cell proliferation assays as previously described.16 In brief, cells were seeded in 384-well plates and incubated overnight. Serial dilution of drug was added to the plate and cells were incubated for a further 72 h. Cell proliferation was measured by ATPlite 1Step (PerkinElmer). Luminescence was quantified on an Envision multimode reader (PerkinElmer). Percentage growth was calculated relative to untreated controls. IC50 values were calculated by non-linear regression using IDBS XLife 5. For all analyses, 10lgIC50 values (in nmol/L) were used.

Comparative clustering analysis of HER2-targeted TKI and other targeted therapy responses
The 10lgIC50 values of neratinib, lapatinib, and tucatinib on 102 cancer cell lines (Supplementary Table S1) were compared to the profiles of 168 anti-cancer agents that have been profiled on the same cell panel.16 Correlations were calculated by the Pearson method in R and visualised in a network tree using the Fruchterman–Reingold algorithm, in the package igraph. Two profiles with Pearson correlation (r) >0.5 are considered similar and are connected with a line in the network tree. Compounds connected to neratinib, lapatinib, or tucatinib were retained. Hierarchical clustering was performed using the Ward method and 1–p as measure of distance as described.16 Different colours in the network tree represent different clusters identified by the hierarchical clustering.

Drug sensitivity distribution across disease types
Cell line tissue and disease types were annotated according to the Cellosaurus knowledge resource18 and grouped according to the OncoTree classification. The colorectal cell lines were further divided according to a consensus classification based on gene expression.20 Drug sensitivity data were visualised in boxplots for disease types represented by at least two cell lines.

Genetic biomarker analysis
Mutation analysis was carried out in order to determine markers of inhibitor response. Cell lines were classified as genetically ‘altered’ if at least one allele was altered by point mutation, insertion, deletion, or copy number variation, that is, gene amplification or deletion of essential parts of a gene. The mutation status of cell lines was obtained from the Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer (COSMIC) and CCE database. In order to ensure that alterations reported by the databases were relevant to cancer growth and drug response, all mutations must be reported as a hotspot mutation in Cancer Hotspots, described as oncogenic, gain of function, or being involved in drug response in OncokB or Jax CKB, or be described as pathogenic in the literature. Mutation status of EGFR, HER2, and HER3 were confirmed by targeted sequencing. The relationship between drug sensitivity and genetic alterations was examined by four different methods. First, a type II analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed in R. The ANOVA analysis covered 40 genetic alterations, including the most commonly altered mutations in oncogenes and tumour-suppressor genes.19 Cell lines harbouring either EGFR mutations/amplifications or HER2 mutations/amplifications were analysed as four separate groups. Second, Mann–Whitney U test in R was performed to determine correlations between cell line sensitivity and all cancer gene mutations that occur in at least three cell lines. This resulted in 117 different cancer genes, plus EGFR and HER2 mutation/amplification. An adjusted p value of <0.2 was considered significant. Third, the effect on sensitivity of the presence of 20 clinically actionable genes with validated hotspot mutations or
copy number variations was examined by type II ANOVA analysis. All p values were subjected to Benjamini–Hochberg multiple testing correction. The first three types of analysis are unbiased.

The fourth method specifically analysed HER-mutated cell lines by Mann–Whitney U test to determine whether HER alterations alone are markers of response to each HER2-targeted TKIs.

Gene expression analysis
Gene expression profiles of 99 of the 115 cell lines (Supplementary Table S1) for 18,900 genes were obtained from CCLE. Pearson correlations were calculated between \( \log_{10} \text{IC}_{50} \) values and gene expression, with associated p values determined. In order to understand whether a significant correlation is specific to the particular inhibitor, a Sigma (\( \Sigma \)) score was calculated based on the correlations for each gene with 168 reference compounds that were previously screened in the same cell line panel.18 Correlations were validated with the CCLE RNAseq,21 COSMIC CLP (v86), and the Genentech mRNA expression databases, which contain data for 104, 105, and 79 cell lines, respectively.

Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA)
The ranked list of 18,900 correlations was used as input for pre-ranked GSEA using the GSEA 4.0.3 software.23 Settings were set to default, and the MSigDB 7.1 CGP set was selected as gene set collection.

Table 1. HER2-targeted TKI response in HER-altered cancer cell lines. Comparison of the IC_{50} values for neratinib, lapatinib, and tucatinib in the 22 EGFR-mutant, EGFR-amplified, HER2-mutant, HER2-mutant, and HER3-mutant cancer cell lines.

| Cell line  | EGFR       | HER2       | HER3       | Neratinib IC_{50} value (nM) | Lapatinib IC_{50} value (nM) | Tucatinib IC_{50} value (nM) |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| II-18      | L858R      |            |            | 123                         | 10410                        | 825                         |
| NCI-H1975  | L858R + T790M |            |            | 79                          | 8813                         | 24517                       |
| RL95-2     | A289V      |            |            | 172                         | 110                          | 1761                        |
| SW48       | G719S      |            |            | 9                           | 97                           | 17373                       |
| HEC-6      | A289V      | E928G      |            | 166                         | 6201                         | 14810                       |
| SNU-C2B    | R165Q      | R678Q      |            | 251                         | 8395                         | 31600                       |
| 5637       | S310F      |            |            | 405                         | 2220                         | 8877                        |
| J82        | R678Q      |            |            | 2399                        | 18157                        | 31600                       |
| HEC-1      | V842I + T798I | R475W      |            | 84                          | 31600                        | 13943                       |
| HEC-1-B    | V842I + T798I | R475W      |            | 100                         | 31600                        | 1620                        |
| HEC-251    | R475W      |            |            | 602                         | 1073                         | 17286                       |
| DLD-1      | N126K + R667H + P1142H |          |            | 2035                        | 14634                        | 23218                       |
| FaDu       | D297H      |            |            | 216                         | 245                          | 14075                       |
| HCT-15     | N126K + R667H + P1142H |          |            | 2078                        | 11829                        | 16660                       |
| UM-UC-3    | M91I       |            |            | 123                         | 31600                        | 8398                        |
| AU-565     | AMP        | E952Q      |            | 20                          | 294                          | 125                         |
| SK-BR-3    | AMP        | E952Q      |            | 7                           | 152                          | 22                          |
| BT-474     | AMP        |            |            | 59                          | 262                          | 29                          |
| HCC1954    | AMP        |            |            | 138                         | 1426                         | 2122                        |
| A388       | AMP        |            |            | 143                         | 1201                         | 15920                       |
| BT-20      | AMP        |            |            | 4303                        | 15208                        | 28834                       |
| MDA-MB-468 | AMP        |            |            | 1539                        | 10000                        | 8881                        |
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correspondence to the CCLE data, including highly similar frequencies of the mutant alleles in the cell lines. This confirms the use of the same original source of the cell lines at NTRC and the Broad Institute (i.e., ATCC or JCRB) and that genetic variation was minimal. The only exception was an I655V mutation in HER2, which was identified in six cell lines and is not reported in CCLE. The most likely explanation for this discrepancy is that CCLE performs filtering to remove germline variants.27 The functional consequence of the I655V mutation has been the subject of intense debate. Whereas no effect of the mutation on cell proliferation or viability was demonstrated in vitro,28 a recent consequence of the I655V mutation has been the subject of (Fig. 1a incorporated cell lines derived from 25 different tumour tissues

HER2 TKIs and other anti-cancer agents, we compared their IC50 (Table 1) and Supplementary Fig. S1). The two cell lines (DLD-1 and HCT-15) that have three TKIs are approved for clinical use in the treatment of HER2 breast cancer, the anti-proliferative inhibitory potency of the TKIs breast cancer subtypes (Fig. 3). As expected, all three TKIs showed preferential activity against HER2+ cell lines. The MDA-MB-453 cell line was the only HER2+ cell line that had a poor response to each TKI. Neratinib was more potent than lapatinib in all but one (MDA-MB-453) of the cell lines and more potent than tucatinib in 11 of the 12 breast cancer cell lines, with BT474 being the exception. Cell lines with genetic alterations in HER family genes are more sensitive to neratinib than wild-type cells

Cell lines with alterations in the HER genes, i.e., having amplification of or mutations in EGFR, HER2, or HER3 genes, were significantly more sensitive to neratinib compared to HER wild-type cell lines (p < 0.0001; Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. S1). Lapatinib and tucatinib were also significantly more potent in cell lines with genetic alterations in the HER genes compared to wild type (p = 0.006 and 0.0002, respectively; Fig. 3b, c). As expected, all HER2-amplified cell lines, which do not include the HER2+, yet non-HER2-amplified, MDA-MB-453 breast cancer cell line, were highly responsive to the HER2-targeted TKIs (Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. S1). Neratinib was on average the most potent TKI in proliferation assays with HER2-amplified cell lines, with lapatinib 11-fold and tucatinib 4-fold less sensitive. In HER2-mutant cell lines, neratinib showed nanomolar potencies, whereas

lapatinib and tucatinib were active in the micromolar range. The juxtamembrane HER2R678Q mutant bladder cancer cell line J82 was the only cell line that achieved a neratinib IC50 value >1 μM. Interestingly, cell lines harbouring point mutations in EGFR were almost 11 times more sensitive to neratinib (geometric mean neratinib IC50 values = 93.1 nM, n = 6) than EGFR-amplified cell lines (geometric mean neratinib IC50 value = 981.2 nM, n = 3). This includes the lung cancer cell line NCI-H1975 that has an EGFR 7990M mutation, which is associated with resistance to first- and second-generation EGFR inhibitors. Cell lines with mutations in HER3 varied considerably in sensitivity to neratinib. Of the ten HER3-mutant cell lines, five harboured an EGFR mutation or HER2 mutation or amplification as well (Table 1). Two of the three HER3R475W cell lines (HEC-1 and HEC-1-B) showed good response to neratinib. However, both cell lines also had HER2 mutations. Likewise, both cancer cell lines (SKBR3 and AU565) that were HER3E952Q mutant were highly sensitive to neratinib as well as HER2 amplified. HER3M991UM-UC-3 and HER3D297TH FaDu cell lines were moderately sensitive to neratinib, whereas the two cell lines (DDL-1 and HCT-15) that have three mutations in HER3 were relatively resistant to all three HER2-targeted TKIs. Therefore, the role of HER3 mutations in neratinib sensitivity remains unclear.

Analysis of genetic biomarkers of response to HER2-targeting TKIs In order to identify potential genetic markers of response to the TKIs across the 115 cell line panel, the growth response to each TKI was correlated in an unbiased manner to mutations or copy number variations in 38 well-known oncopgenes and tumour-suppressor genes, including EGFR and HER2, by a type II ANOVA.16 HER2 amplification was identified as a drug response marker for all three TKIs, as expected for HER2-targeted therapies (Fig. 4a). In addition, cell lines with EGFR mutations were significantly more sensitive to neratinib than EGFR wild-type cell lines. FBXW7, ZFHX3, BRCA2, and SMARCA4 mutant cell lines were also associated with sensitivity to tucatinib to a lesser degree. APC-altered cell lines emerged as less responsive to tucatinib. PBRM1 and SMAD4 alterations were both identified as sensitivity markers of lapatinib response. When a reduced list of clinically relevant genes was examined, HER2 mutations emerged as a significant sensitivity marker for neratinib (Fig. 4b).

Analysis was then performed on a larger set of 117 cancer genes that included genes where genetic alterations occurred in at least three cell lines in the panel to reveal possible response markers. The analysis confirmed HER2 amplification as a drug response marker for all three TKIs (Fig. 4c). Mutation in EGFR, HER3, SMC1A, and BRCA2 were identified as response markers for neratinib. In this analysis, EGFR-mutant cell lines were also more sensitive to lapatinib, whereas HER3- and BRCA2-mutant cell lines were relatively more sensitive to tucatinib, similar to neratinib. NEGR1, STAG2, and PPP2R1A were identified as resistance markers of lapatinib.

Correlation analysis of gene expression and HER2-targeting TKIs The drug response of the three TKIs in the cell line panel was correlated to the expression of 383 clinically actionable genes, with the aim of identifying new gene expression biomarkers of drug response. To filter out frequently correlated genes, that is, ‘false positives’, Pearson correlations of gene expression and TKI sensitivity were cross-compared to the correlations identified with the 168 anti-cancer agents that were also used for the comparative profiling. Data on basal gene expression levels of 99 of the 115 cell lines in the panel were available at the CCLE and downloaded for the analysis. To validate the identified gene expression-based biomarkers, analyses were repeated with three different independently generated data sets. HER2 expression correlated with sensitivity to all three TKIs and high EGFR levels were associated with the two EGFR-targeting TKIs, lapatinib and neratinib (Fig. 5). In addition, expression of
VTCN1, CDK12, and RAC1 correlated with sensitivity to all three compounds in the analysis, within all three independent data sets. Furthermore, several potentially actionable markers of resistance were also found. High levels of CDK6 correlated with resistance to tucatinib and lapatinib. High expression levels of DNA repair-associated genes such as ATM, BRCA1, and BRCA2 correlated with insensitivity to neratinib. BRCA2 expression was also associated with tucatinib resistance.

Expression of individual genes might not fully explain the TKI cellular inhibition profiles. GSEA was therefore performed to relate

**Fig. 1** Cellular profiling of HER2 TKIs. Profiling of Neratinib (a), Lapatinib (b), and Tucatinib (c) across a panel of 115 cancer cell lines encompassing 25 different cancer types. Cancer types are ranked from most to least sensitive to Neratinib by $10^{\log C_{50}}$ values. Black dotted line indicates 1 µM.
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Fig. 2 IC50 value fingerprint profiling of HER2 TKIs. Comparison of the cellular inhibition profile of 12 kinase inhibitors, including EGFR and HER2 inhibitors. Neratinib (blue), Lapatinib (orange), and Tucatinib (green) were tested on 115 cancer cell lines. The other inhibitors were other than HER2.13,31 The three TKIs also have varying side effect and tucatinib are reversible inhibitors) and the affinity of neratinib (EGFR and HER4) and lapatinib (EGFR) for HER family members other than HER2.13,31 The three TKIs also have varying side effect profiles, most notably due to on-target EGFR inhibition, which causes higher frequency of gastrointestinal adverse events.32 Previous studies have shown that neratinib has greater activity than lapatinib in HER2-amplified and HER2-mutant models.6,15 Our study confirms this finding across multiple cancer types (Fig. 1) and provides data showing that neratinib is more effective than tucatinib in HER2-amplified breast cancer cell lines and in HER2-altered cancer cell lines regardless of tumour tissue type (Supplementary Table S1). Biomarker analysis identified potential markers of response and resistance to all three TKIs.

Tucatinib is the most recently approved of the three TKIs and there are limited data comparing all three compounds in vitro. This study is the first comparison of the three HER2-targeted TKIs in 115 cancer cell lines. Three recent studies have carried out smaller-scale direct comparisons between neratinib, lapatinib, and tucatinib in cell line models. Nagpal et al. compared the anti-proliferative effects of neratinib, afatinib, lapatinib, and tucatinib and found that neratinib was most effective against the HER2 human breast cancer cell line SKBR3 and the mouse-derived HER2 + cell line TBCP-1, followed by tucatinib.33 Brásó-Maristany et al. found that neratinib was more potent than lapatinib or tucatinib in the (HER2+ oestrogen receptor-negative (ER—)) SKBR3 and (HER2+ER+) BT474 breast cancer cell lines.34 The study also showed that the addition of trastuzumab enhanced the efficacy of all three TKIs. Similarly, Li et al. examined the three TKIs in combination with T-DM1.35 Co-treatment with neratinib and T-DM1 in HER2-amplified or HER2-mutated cell lines enhanced HER2 ubiquitination and internalisation, thereby increasing T-DM1 activity. This seems to be specific to the irreversible inhibitor, as the addition of lapatinib or tucatinib to T-DM1 did not increase HER2 ubiquitination in vitro.36

The HER2+ breast cancer cell lines in our study were sensitive to all three TKIs, with HER2 amplification resulting in the strongest correlation with response in all the analyses carried out (Fig. 4). Neratinib was the most potent growth inhibitor in HER2+ breast cancer cell lines, and in the panel as a whole, while tucatinib was more effective than lapatinib in four of the five HER2+ breast cancer cell lines. The three breast cancer cell lines that showed the greatest sensitivity to the HER2-targeted TKIs (SKBR3, BT474, AU-565) have also previously shown significant in vitro trastuzumab sensitivity.36 MDA-MB-453 was the least TKI-responsive HER2+ breast cancer cell line. Historically, the HER2 status of MDA-MB-453 is based on HER2 overexpression but MDA-MB-453 is not HER2-amplified, as we have confirmed (Supplementary Table S3). MDA-MB-453 cells overexpress androgen receptor and could also be characterised as an apocrine subtype breast cancer cell line, perhaps partly explaining the relative insensitivity of this cell line to HER2-targeted TKIs.37

The genetic mutation analysis only included HER mutations that have previously demonstrated oncogenic potential in preclinical or clinical investigations. There may therefore be mutations relevant to response to the three studied TKIs that are present in the cell lines but were not included in the analysis. HER4 mutations, for instance, were not included in the analyses due to a lack of validated oncogenic activity. However, two HER4 mutations (L1227M and I1226T) were present in C-33A, a cervical cancer cell line that was potently inhibited by neratinib (Supplementary Table S1). HER4 mutations are prevalent in melanoma (4.3%), oesophago-gastric (4.4%), and endometrial (3.2%) cancers and may warrant
investigation as potential biomarkers of response to pan-HER TKIs. Three of the HER2 mutations in the cell line panel (S310F, R678Q, V842I) occur in known HER2 hotspots associated with sensitivity to HER2-targeted agents. The T798I mutation present in two cell lines is a 'gatekeeper' mutation that is reported to confer resistance to neratinib. Against this background, neratinib displayed nanomolar anti-proliferative activity against all HER2-mutant cell lines tested, proving more potent than lapatinib or tucatinib. Tucatinib has not yet been examined clinically against HER2-mutated cancers and lapatinib showed poor efficacy in a small basket trial (0/8 HER2-mutant NSCLC patients responded). The SUMMIT basket trial investigated neratinib in patients with solid tumours that had a HER2 or HER3 mutation. Of the 141 patients in this trial, encompassing 21 different cancer types, 125 had HER2 mutations and 16 had HER3 mutations. The SUMMIT trial provided clinical evidence (32% overall response rate at 8 weeks in breast cancer) that neratinib has actionability against several oncogenic HER2 mutations. Neratinib and lapatinib response significantly correlated with EGFR mutation (Table 1 and Fig. 4). Our results suggest that EGFR mutation is a better biomarker of response to TKIs than EGFR amplification (Table 1), which is supported by clinical observations. Withing the five cell lines with mutations in HER3 but no other family members, neratinib proved the most potent inhibitor (Table 1 and Fig. 4). HER3 was a marker of response to neratinib and tucatinib (Fig. 4b); however, HER3 mutations did not correlate with any TKI response in ANOVA analysis (Fig. 4a). Beyond the HER family, this study highlights several potential novel mutation and gene expression markers of TKI response and resistance. Mutations in two chromatin re-modellers that epigenetically regulate gene expression correlated with sensitivity to lapatinib and tucatinib (PBRM1 and SMARCA4, respectively; Fig. 4a). These two genes are frequently mutated in cancers, with SMARCA4 mutations prevalent in small cell ovarian cancer and PBRM1 altered in renal cell carcinoma. SMARCA4-mutated cancers may depend on SMARCA2 and are therefore susceptible to synthetic lethality with SMARCA2 inhibition. This may be a potential combinatorial strategy to further enhance tucatinib response. Our analysis also suggested that APC mutations are a marker of

---

**Fig. 3** Response of breast cancer cell lines. a $^{10}\text{LogIC}_{50}$ values of Neratinib (blue), Lapatinib (orange), and Tucatinib (green) in the panel of breast cancer cell lines. Cell lines are ranked from most to least sensitive to Neratinib. b Dose–response curves of Neratinib (blue), Lapatinib (orange), and Tucatinib (green) in HER2-positive breast cancer cell lines and corresponding IC$_{50}$ values.
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None of the genes examined in the expression analysis were associated with resistance to all three TKIs (Fig. 5b). CDK6 expression was significantly correlated with both lapatinib and tucatinib resistance. Three CDK4/6 inhibitors are approved for the treatment of oestrogen receptor-positive (ER+) breast cancer and our results support further preclinical examination of a CDK4/6 inhibitor in combination with lapatinib or tucatinib. High expression of several DNA damage repair genes, including ATM, BRCA1, and BRCA2, was associated with TKI insensitivity. In addition, inactivating BRCA2 mutations correlated with resistance to both neratinib and tucatinib (Fig. 4b). The addition of olaparib has been shown to enhance the effect of neratinib in triple-negative breast cancer cell line models and niraparib enhanced neratinib effectiveness in ovarian cancers.51,52 This suggests that TKI/poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitors may be a promising combinatorial strategy in this setting.

CONCLUSIONS
This study provides comprehensive profiling of three HER2-targeted TKIs in a large panel of cancer cell lines. Neratinib

panned b is also

applied to breast cancer, resulting in activation of the Wnt pathway, loss of cellular polarity, and cell migration.36 SMC1A alterations were correlated with neratinib response. SMC1A mutations and overexpression have previously been associated with colorectal cancer aggression.37

The expression of four genes positively correlated with response to all three TKIs and may be markers of resistance to HER2-targeted TKIs: HER2, RAC1, CDK12, and VTCN1 (Fig. 4a). VTCN1, CDK12, and RAC1 represent three novel markers of HER2-targeted TKI sensitivity. VTCN1 encodes the protein B7-H4 and has been previously associated with immunotherapy response in breast cancer, particularly in HER2+ breast cancer.38 CDK12 has been shown to induce trastuzumab resistance and stimulate HER2 signalling.49 Likewise, RAC1 has been implicated in resistance to chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and targeted therapies, such as trastuzumab.49 This may suggest that HER2-targeted TKIs, alone or in combination with CDK12 or RAC1 inhibition, could be a more appropriate therapeutic strategy than trastuzumab for high CDK12- or RAC1-expressing HER2+ breast cancer.

Fig. 4 Genomic biomarker analysis. a ANOVA analysis of 38 cancer-associated genes in the cancer cell line panel. Genes significantly associated with drug sensitivity are coloured in green (IC50 value ratio <1) and those with resistance in red (IC50 value ratio >1). An adjusted p value < 0.2 was deemed significant. EGFR and HER2 alterations were divided into amplified and mutated to further delineate effect. b A shortlisted gene list that were identified as clinically relevant or activating was also examined by ANOVA analysis. Genes of which alterations were significantly correlated with Neratinib, Lapatinib, and Tucatinib response were determined by Mann–Whitney U test analysis with Benjamini–Hochberg multiple testing correction analysis of 119 cancer-related genes from COSMIC CLP v80 or CCLE.

tucatinib resistance. APC mutations are most commonly associated with the initiation of colorectal cancer, but APC is also sporadically mutated or lost in breast cancer, resulting in activation of the Wnt pathway, loss of cellular polarity, and cell migration.36 SMC1A alterations were correlated with neratinib response. SMC1A mutations and overexpression have previously been associated with colorectal cancer aggression.37

The expression of four genes positively correlated with response to all three TKIs and may be markers of resistance to HER2-targeted TKIs: HER2, RAC1, CDK12, and VTCN1 (Fig. 4a). VTCN1, CDK12, and RAC1 represent three novel markers of HER2-targeted TKI sensitivity. VTCN1 encodes the protein B7-H4 and has been previously associated with immunotherapy response in breast cancer, particularly in HER2+ breast cancer.38 CDK12 has been shown to induce trastuzumab resistance and stimulate HER2 signalling.49 Likewise, RAC1 has been implicated in resistance to chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and targeted therapies, such as trastuzumab.49 This may suggest that HER2-targeted TKIs, alone or in combination with CDK12 or RAC1 inhibition, could be a more appropriate therapeutic strategy than trastuzumab for high CDK12- or RAC1-expressing HER2+ breast cancer.
Fig. 5 Gene expression-based biomarker analysis. Pearson correlation analysis of cell line response to Neratinib, Lapatinib, and Tucatinib with gene expression levels in 99 of 115 cancer cell lines. Correlations for each respective drug (Neratinib—blue dots, Lapatinib—orange dots, Tucatinib—green dot) were compared to 168 reference compounds (grey dots). These correlations were normalised to a Σ-score. A negative score indicates a higher gene expression correlates to sensitivity to the drug. A positive score represents high gene expression correlates to insensitivity. The top 20 clinically actionable genes significantly associated with a sensitivity and b resistance are shown. Correlations of the CCLE microarray gene expression data set were validated using CCLE RNAseq, COSMIC, and Genentech mRNA expression databases. Non-black genes are considered validated, i.e. significantly correlated in at least two out of three additional gene expression data sets. In addition, genes in red and light green are validated for three out of three and two out of three HER2 TKIs, respectively. Genes in purple are validated only for the corresponding compound.
displayed the greatest anti-proliferative activity against HER2-mutant and EGFR-mutant models compared to the other HER2-targeted TKIs and was the most potent of the three TKIs in breast cancer models. Tucatinib showed excellent selectivity for HER2-amplified cell lines; however, it had minimal effect on HER2-mutant cell lines. A list of genetic markers of response and resistance were identified for each TKI that confirm the specificity of the drugs for their targets and provide new avenues of investigation regarding potential combination strategies.
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