Assessment of carotid artery intima media thickness in prehypertension
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ABSTRACT

Introduction and Aim: Increased carotid artery intima media thickness (CIMT) has been linked to the development of cardiovascular disease (CVD). CVD is a major cause of mortality and morbidity in India. Increased CIMT has been documented in Hypertension (HT), thus putting them at a higher risk of CVD. Early identification and awareness of risk factors leading to increased morbidity and mortality could help in reducing its incidence. The aim of the study was to measure CIMT in prehypertensive Indian population.

Materials and Methods: In this analytical observational study, common carotid artery IMT was measured using B mode ultrasonography in 46 individuals having blood pressure in the prehypertensive range and 46 individuals with normal blood pressure. Cardiovascular risk factors like body mass index (BMI), fasting blood glucose (FBS), and lipid profile, which are known to influence CIMT, were also assessed and compared between the two groups.

Results: CIMT was significantly higher in prehypertensives as compared to subjects having normal blood pressure. BMI, FBS, and lipid profile was found to be comparable between the two groups.

Conclusions: The presence of increased CIMT in prehypertensives as compared to controls indicates an increased risk of adverse cardiovascular events.
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INTRODUCTION

Carotid intima medial thickness (CIMT) is a measure of the combined thickness of the intima and media layers of the carotid artery. It is a non-invasive, reproducible and inexpensive tool that can be used to identify target organ damage. Increased CIMT is due to factors that cause the development and progression of atherosclerosis (1). CIMT has been reported as a marker of subclinical and asymptomatic atherosclerotic vascular diseases. CIMT is raised in hypertensive subjects which puts them at a greater risk of adverse cardiovascular events (2). Measurement of CIMT in subjects with BP in the prehypertensive range has shown varied results, with some studies reporting an increase in CIMT as compared to normotensives while another showing no significant difference (3-5).

The term Prehypertension was first introduced in “The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on the Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure” (JNC 7) in the year 2003. It is defined as the presence of systolic blood pressure (SBP) between 120 mmHg to 139 mmHg and/or a diastolic BP (DBP) between 80 mmHg and 89 mmHg (6). Prevalence of prehypertension in the urban south Indian population is found to be high, with some studies reporting it to be as high as 55% (7). Prehypertension holds clinical relevance as it is seen to be a precursor to hypertension (HT) and is also associated with increased morbidity and mortality from cardiovascular disease (CVD) (8,9). However, not all studies have shown a significant association; with a 12 year follow up cohort study not finding prehypertension to be independently associated with increased all-cause or CVD mortality after adjustment for age, gender, race, and other CVD risk factors (10).

Thus, in this study, we aim to measure CIMT in prehypertensives. We also evaluated other cardiovascular risk factors like body mass index (BMI), Fasting blood sugar (FBS) and Lipid profile in prehypertensives.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This analytical observational study was initiated after approval from the institutional ethical committee. Patients attending medicine OPD, health check-up patients, as well as inpatient were screened to identify subjects with BP in the prehypertensive range (SBP -120-139mm of Hg and/or DBP- 80-89mm Hg). These formed the cases. Age and sex-matched subjects with normal BP (SBP< 120mm of Hg, DBP<80mm of Hg) formed the control group. Subjects with hypertension or previously on antihypertensive drugs, coronary artery diseases, on lipid-lowering drugs, diabetes and having any renal pathology were excluded. The subjects were explained about the study in their own language and written informed consent was taken. A total of 46 subjects were inducted into both groups. The sample size of 46 was determined using, 95% confidence interval and 90% power (3).

These subjects underwent detailed clinical examinations, biochemical investigations and CIMT
thickness assessment. Clinical examination consisted of history taking for the presence of risk factors for CVD, medications taken and presence of any symptoms suggestive of CVD.

Anthropometric examinations to determine body mass index (BMI) were conducted. Height (ht) was measured using a standard stadiometer with the subjects standing in an erect posture. The readings were taken to the nearest 0.1 cm. Weight (wt) was measured using a calibrated weighing machine. The BMI was calculated using the formula, wt (kg)/ht (m²).

This was followed by systemic examinations and measurement of blood pressure. After a rest period of 5 minutes, BP recordings were taken till 2 readings were within 5 mm of Hg of each other. BP was measured in the right arm in sitting position, using a mercury sphygmomanometer. Average blood pressure was measured using a calibrated weighing machine. The BMI of Hg was calculated using a calibrated weighing machine. The BMI was calculated using the formula, wt (kg)/ht (m²).

Under aseptic precautions, venous blood was drawn to estimate FBS and lipid profile. FBS was estimated using the hexokinase method. Serum total cholesterol was estimated by cholesterol oxidase/peroxidase method, serum triglyceride (TG) by enzymatic kinetic and glycerol phosphate method, serum HDL cholesterol by direct method polymer/detergent, serum total cholesterol/HDL cholesterol ratio by calculation and serum VLDL by calculation from TG values.

Common carotid artery intima media thickness was evaluated bilaterally in the distal segment of the artery using B mode ultrasound with high-frequency linear transducer by a skilled radiologist who was unaware of the clinical status of the subject (blinded). The subject was made to lie down in supine position, the neck was extended by placing it above a pillow and head was turned to the opposite side of the recording. The CIMT was taken as the distance between the leading edge of the lumen-intima interface and the leading edge of the media-adventitia interface of the distal common carotid artery. CIMT was measured on both sides. Philips Affiniti 70 machine with e L 18-4 linear probe was used to measure the CIMT.

The study subjects were further divided into subgroups - elevated blood pressure (SBP 120-129mm of Hg and DBP <80mm of Hg) and stage I HT (SBP130-139mm of Hg or DBP 80-89mm of Hg).

Mean, standard deviation, 't' test and chi-square test were used to compare the data between the two groups. Bonferroni test was used to compare CIMT between the elevated BP subgroups and controls, between subgroup stage I HT and controls.

RESULTS

We have compared CIMT in prehypertensives (cases) with age and sex-matched subjects with normal BP (control). Table 1 compares the age, gender and family history of diabetes mellitus (DM) and HT between the two groups. The groups were comparable on these parameters.

Table 2 Compares the BMI, BP, FBS, lipid profile and CIMT between the two study groups. The mean systolic blood pressure (SBP) in cases was found to be 127.09±6.11 mm of Hg and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) was 81.83±3.70 mm of Hg. In controls, SBP and DBP were 113.43±4.69 mm of Hg and 73.87±4.22 mm of Hg respectively. CIMT was found to be significantly higher in prehypertensives, BMI, FBS and lipid profile was comparable to the normotensives. p-value of < 0.05 was considered significant.

Table 1: Comparison of age, sex, family history of HT and DM between cases and controls

| Parameters          | Cases     | Control    |
|---------------------|-----------|------------|
|                     | Count     | Column N % | Count | Column N % |
| Age                 | 20 - 30   | 3          | 6.5   | 3          | 6.5       |
|                     | 31 - 40   | 8          | 17.4  | 16         | 34.8      |
|                     | 41 - 50   | 10         | 21.7  | 14         | 30.4      |
|                     | Above 50  | 25         | 54.3  | 13         | 28.3      |
|                     | Total     | 46         | 100.0 | 46         | 100.0     |
| Sex                 | Female    | 19         | 41.3  | 22         | 47.8      |
|                     | Male      | 27         | 58.7  | 24         | 52.2      |
|                     | Total     | 46         | 100.0 | 46         | 100.0     |
| Family h/o HT       | Yes       | 14         | 30.4  | 11         | 23.9      |
|                     | No        | 32         | 69.6  | 35         | 76.1      |
|                     | Total     | 46         | 100.0 | 46         | 100.0     |
| Family h/o DM       | Yes       | 12         | 26.1  | 14         | 30.4      |
|                     | No        | 34         | 73.9  | 32         | 69.6      |
|                     | Total     | 46         | 100.0 | 46         | 100.0     |
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Table 2: BMI, BP, FBS, Lipid profile and CIMT between cases and controls. Values expressed in mean±standard deviation. P<0.05 considered significant

| (n=46)                  | Cases                  | Controls               | P value |
|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| BMI (kg/m²)             | 25.41±4.78             | 24.71±3.45             | 0.42    |
| SBP (mm of Hg)          | 127.09±6.11            | 113.43±4.69            | <0.001* |
| DBP (mm of Hg)          | 81.83±3.70             | 73.87±4.22             | <0.001* |
| FBS mg/dl               | 100.63±7.16            | 101.37±13.02           | 0.73    |
| S. Total cholesterol (mg/dl) | 198.30±40.00 | 187.91±33.15           | 0.17    |
| S. LDL cholesterol (mg/dl) | 142.86±41.49 | 134.56±32.58           | 0.28    |
| S. Triglycerides (mg/dl) | 134.00±57.74       | 137.07±77.09           | 0.83    |
| S. HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) | 47.00±14.03      | 44.43±11.48            | 0.34    |
| Serum total cholesterol/HDL ratio | 4.62±1.80    | 4.48±1.26              | 0.66    |
| Serum VLDL (mg/dl)      | 26.37±13.22            | 25.57±13.49            | 0.77    |
| CIMT (mm) right common carotid artery | 0.54±0.16    | 0.45±0.12              | 0.004*  |
| CIMT (mm) left common carotid artery | 0.58±0.22   | 0.45±0.12              | <0.001* |

*statistically significant

DISCUSSION

In our study, CIMT was found to be significantly higher in prehypertensives as compared to individuals with normal blood pressure. Other cardiovascular risk factors like BMI, FBS, and lipid profile were found to be comparable between the two groups. We further divided the subjects into subgroups - elevated blood pressure group, stage I HT and normal BP group (controls). CIMT was found to be comparable between elevated BP and controls (p-value - 1), a significantly higher CIMT was found in stage I HT when compared to controls (p-value < 0.001).

Our findings were similar to a study by Manios et al., where prehypertensives had higher CIMT than normotensives even after adjusting for baseline characteristics (3). Another study on young asymptomatic men with SBP in the range of 130-140mm of Hg or DBP 80-89mm of Hg reported higher CIMT (4). CIMT was found to be higher in prehypertension across age groups, both in young and middle-aged individuals (11,12). However, a study has reported unfavourable metabolic profile - significantly higher body mass and waist circumference, higher TC, HDL-C and TG values in prehypertensives but CIMT in them was comparable to normotensive individuals, this is in contradiction to our findings (5). A 12yr cohort study also did not find prehypertension to be associated with increased all-cause or CVD mortality after adjustment for age, gender, race, and other CVD risk factors (10).

Keeping these inconsistencies in mind we measured CIMT between age and sex-matched prehypertensives and normotensives. As values of

Fig. 1: Measurement CIMT of the common carotid artery using B mode ultrasonography
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CIMT are influenced by factors such as age, gender and ethnicity both groups in our study were matched for age and gender to ensure comparability (13).

CIMT was found to be 0.54±0.16mm in the right common carotid artery and 0.58±0.22mm in the left common carotid artery in prehypertensives and 0.45±0.12mm right common carotid artery and 0.45±0.12mm in the left common carotid artery in individuals with normal blood pressure. Average and maximum values of 0.67mm and 0.70mm have been reported in healthy Indian adults and values above 1mm is known to be associated with a significant risk of coronary heart disease across age groups (14, 15). Although prehypertensives in our study had significantly higher CIMT as compared to normotensives, it was still within the normal range for the Indian population.

When we further classified the study subjects based on New American College of Cardiology/ American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) guidelines into elevated blood pressure (SBP 120-129mm of Hg and DBP <80mm of Hg) and stage 1 HT (SBP 130-139mm of Hg or DBP 80-89mm of Hg), significant difference in CIMT was found only between stage I HT and controls. ACC/AHA published their guidelines in 2017 for arterial HT where they have reduced the cut off for HT to 130 and/or 80 mmHg however recommended antihypertensive medication remained the same in the general population (16). Reducing the cut off for diagnosis of HT but not for treatment could be a method to introduce regular follow up and lifestyle modifications which could help to reduce the incidence of HT and its complications. Thus, importance is now being laid on prevention of the disease rather than palliation.

We also evaluated other cardiovascular risk factors like BMI, FBS, lipid profile in prehypertensives, which was found to be comparable to the normotensives, and no significant changes were observed. Obesity, elevated blood sugar, unfavourable lipid profile act as confounding factors and are known to independently influence CIMT. Unfavourable BMI and significantly higher total cholesterol and triglyceride values in prehypertensives have been reported in other studies (5, 17). A study by Lankarani et al., CIMT was reported to be significantly higher in patients with higher BMI, higher waist circumference and higher triglyceride levels (18). As our study groups were comparable in these above parameters, it eliminated their confounding influence (TO REMOVE as it is a repetition).

Prehypertension is the interest of our study as it has a high prevalence in India. A prevalence of 32.3% was found in the upper socioeconomic north Indian population (19). Another study done in south Indian reported the prevalence to be 36.1%, prevalence as high as 55% has also been reported (20,7). It was observed that 23.4% of prehypertensives developed HT at the end of a 2 year follow up in a study done in Kerala (8). Apart from developing HT, studies have found prehypertensives to be associated with left ventricular remodelling, diastolic dysfunction and also hypertrophy (21). Long term follow up of prehypertensive patients have found them to be at higher risk of cardiovascular disease and stroke (22). It was shown that for each 0.1mm increase in common CIMT, an 11% increase in the risk of myocardial infarction was noticed (23).

A rapid increase in the prevalence of prehypertension and HT in India has necessitated the development of aggressive strategies for its prevention. Identifying at-risk individuals accurately is the cornerstone of preventative strategies. Measurement of CIMT could be used as a screening tool to identify at-risk individuals. Focusing the health care resources on these high-risk individuals to prevent the occurrence of HT is essential. Also, awareness of the risk for the development of HT could improve the patient’s lifestyle and health-seeking behaviour.

Limitations
As our study design is cross-sectional, the cause and effect relationship between prehypertension and carotid artery intima media thickness could not be established.

CONCLUSION
We in our study have shown increased CIMT in prehypertensive individuals compared to normotensives. Increased CIMT is an indicator of target organ damage. Since there exists a controversy regarding the use of medication for the treatment of prehypertension, CIMT can be used as a tool for cardiovascular risk stratification and identifying at-risk individuals.
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