Oxygen-alloyed poly-Si passivating contacts for high-thermal budget c-Si heterojunction solar cells
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Abstract
Crystalline silicon solar cells with passivating contacts based on doped poly-Si exhibit high optical parasitic losses. Aiming at minimizing these losses, we developed the oxygen-alloyed poly-Si (poly-SiO_x) as suitable material for passivating contacts. From passivation point of view, poly-SiO_x layers show excellent passivation quality and carrier selectivity for both n-type (iV_{OC,flat} = 740 mV, contact resistance μc = 0.7 mΩ/cm², iV_{OC,textured} = 723 mV) and p-type (iV_{OC,flat} = 709 mV, μc = 0.5 mΩ/cm²). Optically, due to the incorporation of oxygen, the absorption coefficient of poly-SiO_x becomes much lower than that of doped poly-Si at long wavelength. Both n-type and p-type poly-SiO_x layers are concurrently deployed in front/back-contacted (FBC) solar cells with a front indium tin oxide (ITO) layer to facilitate the lateral transport of carriers and minimize cell’s reflection. A high cell FF of 83.5% obtained in double-side flat FBC solar cell indicates an efficient carrier collection by these passivating contacts. An active-area cell efficiency of 21.0% featuring J_{SC,EQE} = 39.7 mA/cm² is obtained in front-side textured poly-SiO_x FBC cell, with the potential of further improvement in both V_{OC} and FF. The optical advantage of poly-SiO_x over poly-Si as passivating contact is also observed with a 19.7% interdigitated back-contacted (IBC) solar cell endowed with poly-SiO_x emitter and back surface field. Compared to the reference 23.0% IBC solar cell with poly-Si passivating contacts, the one based on poly-SiO_x passivating contacts shows higher IQE at wavelengths above 1100 nm. This indicates that for both FBC and IBC cells, poly-SiO_x passivating contacts hold potential in enhancing the cell J_{SC} by maximizing the cell spectral response.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Great progresses in improving the performance of c-Si solar cells have been achieved by photovoltaic (PV) research institutes and industries by using poly-Si-based carrier-selective passivating contacts, which feature excellent passivation quality and carrier selectivity. With such passivating contacts, record efficiencies were obtained with both front/back-contacted (FBC) and interdigitated
back-contacted (IBC) solar cell architectures.\textsuperscript{1,2} Even though in most FBC cases the poly-Si passivating contact layers are placed at the back side of the cell structures,\textsuperscript{3–5,7,10,18–23} solar cells with a poly-Si passivating contact layer at the front side are also under investigation.\textsuperscript{25–28} Their potential performance is not as high as compared to those with the poly-Si passivating contact(s) only deployed at the cell back side. This is mainly due to the high absorption of highly doped poly-Si materials, especially when placed at the illuminated side of solar cells.\textsuperscript{25} Studies show that when using the poly-Si passivating contact layer at the front side of the cell, about 0.4–1 mA/cm\textsuperscript{2} is lost in $J_{SC}$ for every 10 nm of poly-Si material depending on the layer properties and solar cell structures.\textsuperscript{29–31} Three main approaches are proposed within the PV community to minimize this optical loss. The first is by simply thinning the poly-Si layer thickness. This approach could solve this optical problem only partially due to the high absorption coefficient of the poly-Si layer. Further, it leads to more challenges on the solar cell electrical performances such as the selection of transparent conductive oxide (TCO) layers and the deployment of specific metallization steps, for example, the firing through process. The second approach is by modifying the solar cell structure, for example, by etching away the poly-Si materials between the metal fingers, which is also called as poly-finger\textsuperscript{32} or Passivated Rear and Front ConTacts (PeRFeCT) structure.\textsuperscript{33} This approach optically solves the poly-Si absorption issue without influencing the poly-Si metallization processes. However, the complexity of such solar cell demands additional processing steps, including the alignment between the poly-Si fingers and the metal fingers, which are not preferred in the mass production. The third approach is by using more transparent passivating contact materials. The main reasons for the high absorption of the poly-Si passivating contact materials are (i) the low bandgap (similar to c-Si) and (ii) the large free carrier absorption (FCA) due to the heavy doping within the materials.\textsuperscript{29,30} However, the high doping level of poly-Si is one of the key factors for achieving high field-effect passivation, limiting the solutions for lowering the FCA. On the compositional side, passivating contact materials minimizing this absorption loss can also be achieved by widening the optical bandgap by alloying the poly-Si with carbon or oxygen.\textsuperscript{34–36} Studies on the poly-SiC\textsubscript{x}\textsuperscript{y} alloys as passivating contacts demonstrate excellent passivation and low contact resistivity,\textsuperscript{2,34,37} while a lower oxygen concentration can also be achieved by widening the bandgap by alloying the poly-Si with carbon or oxygen.\textsuperscript{34–36} Therefore, research and development of such materials for solar cell application is attractive for PV community. In fact, a detailed scientific study, in which passivation properties of the poly-SiO\textsubscript{x\textendash}H passivating contacts are related to process parameters, is required to successfully implement this new material in the solar cell process flow. In this contribution, we elaborate on the application of wide-bandgap poly-SiO\textsubscript{x\textendash}H-based passivating contact in high-efficiency FBC and IBC solar cells. The poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} was fabricated by crystallizing at high temperature the in situ doped a-SiO\textsubscript{x\textendash}H layers realized with plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD). First, we aim at understanding the relation between the PECVD parameters for depositing a-SiO\textsubscript{x\textendash}H and the structural, optical, and passivation properties of the obtained poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} materials. Then, we study the relation between the annealing thermal budget and the passivation properties of poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} passivating contacts. The carrier transport properties of our doped poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} layers are further investigated in double-side flat FBC solar cells. By means of our newly developed liftoff patterning process, we also implement doped poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} passivating contacts in IBC solar cells. Finally, we address the optical enhancement obtained using poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} passivating contacts with respect to standard poly-Si counterparts applied in both FBC and IBC solar cells.

2 | EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The c-Si bulk material used in this work is n-type double-side polished (DSP) FZ c-Si wafers (orientation: <100>, resistivity: 1–5 \ohm\cm). The thickness of the wafers before texturing with trimethylammonium hydroxide (TMAH) is 280 ± 20 \um. For sample preparation, the c-Si wafer is first cleaned in HNO\textsubscript{3} (99%) to remove eventual organic contaminations and then in HNO\textsubscript{3} (68%, at 110\celsius) to remove inorganic contaminations. A dip in 0.55% HF is used to remove the SiO\textsubscript{2} layer grown during such cleaning steps. The ultrathin tunneling SiO\textsubscript{x} is prepared with nitric acid oxidation of silicon (NAOS) approach, which results a ∼1.4-nm-thick NAOS–SiO\textsubscript{x}.\textsuperscript{42} To prepare poly-SiO\textsubscript{X} passivating contacts, the PECVD a-SiO\textsubscript{X}\textendash}H layers deposited on top of the NAOS–SiO\textsubscript{x} contain two separate sub-layers, a 10-nm-thick intrinsic a-SiO\textsubscript{X}\textendash}H layer capped with a doped a-SiO\textsubscript{X}\textendash}H layer with variable thickness and doping level. This bilayer configuration is needed because the intrinsic layer acts as a buffer layer for the diffusion of dopants from the doped layer, leading to a higher passivation quality.\textsuperscript{39,43–45} The temperature is 180\celsius during the PECVD; CO\textsubscript{2} is used as O source, and PH\textsubscript{3} (2% in H\textsubscript{2} carrier gas) or B\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6} (2% in H\textsubscript{2} carrier gas) gases are used as doping sources for the doped layers. To characterize the optical properties and microstructure of the poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} materials, the intrinsic/doped a-SiO\textsubscript{x}–H stack is deposited on the quartz glass substrate, as shown in Figure 1A. For passivation test, the symmetrical sample processes together with the variables are shown in Figure 1B. For both the intrinsic and doped a-SiO\textsubscript{x–}H layers, the same CO\textsubscript{2} gas flow ratio, $R_{CO2} = [CO2]/[[CO2]/[SiH4]]$, is used. A subsequent high-temperature annealing, operated at 850\celsius, is used to crystallize the materials and drive in the dopants beneath the PECVD intrinsic SiO\textsubscript{X} layer. Variable annealing time is used to optimize the passivation qualities of the poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} passivating contacts. For the double-side textured (DST) samples, the same process flow is performed with TMAH textured wafers. To improve the passivation quality of the poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} passivating contacts, the high-temperature annealed samples are capped with 75-nm-thick PECVD SiN\textsubscript{X} layer before annealing at 400\celsius for 30 min in 10\% H\textsubscript{2} in N\textsubscript{2} atmosphere, which is normally referred as forming gas annealing (FGA).
the application of the developed poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} passivating contacts in solar cells, rear-junction FBC solar cells with both flat and textured n\textsuperscript{+} poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} on the front side and flat p\textsuperscript{+} poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} on the rear are prepared (see Figure 1C). At the front side of the cell, a sputtered 75-nm-thick indium tin oxide (ITO) is used as TCO and antireflection coating before the e-beam evaporated Al contacts on both sides. On the other hand, the IBC solar cell with poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} passivating contacts (see Figure 1D) is processed with the flow as described in our previous work\textsuperscript{35}.

The crystallinity of the poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} material and its nanostructure are characterized with Raman spectroscopy and transmission electron microscopy (TEM), respectively. Spectroscopic ellipsometry is used to evaluate their optical properties. The transmission line method (TLM) is used to extract the contact resistivity of the SiO\textsubscript{x}/poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} contact stack to the c-Si bulk. The passivation qualities of the developed poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} passivating contacts, the lifetime curves and implied open-circuit voltage (i\textsubscript{V\textsubscript{OC}}), are quantified by using a Sinton WCT-120 lifetime tester applying the transient measurement mode.\textsuperscript{46} Sinton Suns-V\textsubscript{OC}-150 illumination-voltage tester is used to extract the pseudo-FF (pFF) and SunsV\textsubscript{OC}. The current–voltage (I–V) performance of the solar cell is measured by using a AAA class Wacom WXS-156S-L2 solar simulator, where we obtained the solar cell parameters V\textsubscript{OC}, FF, and J\textsubscript{SC}. External quantum efficiency (EQE) measurements were performed to evaluate wavelength-dependent optical response. The measurement is done on a special designed area aside the solar cell, where the metal fingers’ spacing is large enough to fit the light spot. The J\textsubscript{SC,EQE} is calculated by integrating the EQE spectrum with the reference photon flux of air mass 1.5 within the wavelength range of interest (300–1200 nm). The reflectance of solar cells was also measured via the PerkinElmer Lambda 1050 system.

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 | Structural and optical properties

Alloying O into silicon film induces variation of structural, optical, and electrical properties. To study this influence on the poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} materials, we deposited a stack of 10-nm-thick intrinsic SiO\textsubscript{x}:H capped with 30-nm-thick n-type a-SiO\textsubscript{x}:H layer on quartz glass substrate. Before Raman spectroscopy measurement, these samples were annealed at high temperature of 850°C for 30 min in N\textsubscript{2} atmosphere. The Raman crystallinity\textsuperscript{50} of these samples with respect to the gas ratio R\textsubscript{CO2} during the PECVD are shown in Figure 2A. With alloying more O within the poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} films, the crystallinity of the annealed thin films decreases from 97% for sample with R\textsubscript{CO2} = 0 to 35% for sample with R\textsubscript{CO2} = 0.84. This decrease in crystalline fraction with increasing the R\textsubscript{CO2} is mainly due to the formation of amorphous phase of SiO\textsubscript{x} tissue within the polycrystalline silicon material.\textsuperscript{36} The nanostructure of the material is evaluated by TEM with a poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} film (R\textsubscript{CO2} = 0.62) deposited on NAOS–SiO\textsubscript{x} coated c-Si substrate, which underwent the same annealing as the quartz-based samples. As shown in the inset of Figure 2A, the poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} sample with R\textsubscript{CO2} = 0.62 shows nanometer-scale (around 10-nm) silicon crystals, which are, in most cases, contacted with each other and surrounded by the amorphous tissue. From the nanostructure point of view, because of its high crystallinity, this material is between the nanocrystalline SiO\textsubscript{x} and the poly-Si. For sake of simplicity, we name it poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} to simply highlight its evolution from poly-Si upon alloying with oxygen. Between the poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} layer and the c-Si bulk, the thin NAOS–SiO\textsubscript{x} layer is observed to be around 1.4 nm thick.

We also studied the optical properties of the poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} films by measuring the samples with spectroscopic ellipsometry measurement.
The Raman crystallinity as a function of gas ratio, \( R_{\text{CO}_2} = [\text{CO}_2]/([\text{CO}_2]/[\text{SiH}_4]) \), for \( n^+ \) poly-SiO\(_x\) samples, which were deposited on quartz glass and underwent annealing at 850°C for 30 min. The inset is a transmission electron microscopy (TEM) picture measured on sample with \( R_{\text{CO}_2} = 0.62 \) at the poly-SiO\(_x\)/NAOS-SiO\(_x\)/c-Si interfaces. (B) The absorption coefficient (\( \alpha \)) curves of \( n^+ \) poly-Si samples with \( R_{\text{CO}_2} = 0.62 \) and 0.84 as a function of wavelength; the \( \alpha \) curves related to \( n^+ \) poly-Si,\(^{47}\) a-Si:H,\(^{48}\) and c-Si (n)\(^{49}\) are used as references.

Figure 2B shows the absorption coefficient (\( \alpha \)) curves of \( n^+ \) poly-SiO\(_x\) samples with \( R_{\text{CO}_2} = 0.62 \) and 0.84 as a function of wavelength. The \( \alpha \) curves of \( n^+ \) poly-Si, n-type a-Si:H, and n-type c-Si are used as references. The results are in line with those obtained with photothermal deflection spectroscopy and transmittance/reflectance measurements. More details on the measurements and data fitting were presented in our previous publication.\(^{2,28}\) Even though the data fitting is complicated by the mixed-phase nature of the poly-SiO\(_x\) material, we found that with increasing the oxygen alloying ratio, the absorption coefficient in the short-wavelength light region is increased with respect to that of poly-Si material. We attribute this change to the higher content of amorphous phase in the poly-SiO\(_x\) materials when increasing the oxygen content in the material, which can be seen from the Raman spectroscopy. Besides, due to such an increase, the bandgap of the poly-SiO\(_x\) material is expected to be higher. That is why we found the rapid decrease of the absorption coefficient for poly-SiO\(_x\) with \( R_{\text{CO}_2} = 0.84 \) compared to the one with \( R_{\text{CO}_2} = 0.62 \) and the c-Si reference, as illustrated in Figure 2B. On the other hand, we find much higher absorption coefficients for the \( n^+ \) poly-Si and poly-SiO\(_x\), with \( R_{\text{CO}_2} = 0.62 \) at the long wavelength range with respect to the c-Si reference, which is attributed to the high FCA\(^{28}\) of these two films. However, the FCA is found to be lower in the \( n^+ \) poly-SiO\(_x\) material than in \( n^+ \) poly-Si reference.

### 3.2 | Passivation properties

#### 3.2.1 | Effect of the oxygen alloy ratio

We found that the amount of O alloyed within the poly-SiO\(_x\) layer plays an important role not only on the structural and optical properties but also on its passivation quality. In this work, the amount of O alloying is controlled by varying the \( R_{\text{CO}_2} \) during the PECVD while keeping the rest of the deposition parameters constant. After deposition, the samples were annealed at 850°C for 30 min before the lifetime measurements. Note that this annealing condition might not be the optimum for all the samples with different O alloy ratio. No hydrogenation processes were applied so far on these samples. The effective lifetime curves of both \( n^+ \) poly-SiO\(_x\) on DST wafers and \( p^+ \) poly-SiO\(_x\) DSP wafers as function of \( R_{\text{CO}_2} \) values are shown in Figure 3A,B, respectively. In general, with increasing the \( R_{\text{CO}_2} \), the passivation quality decreases in both \( n^+ \) and \( p^+ \) poly-SiO\(_x\) samples. For DST \( n^+ \) poly-SiO\(_x\) samples with lower \( R_{\text{CO}_2} \) (≤0.62) show much higher passivation quality compared to the samples with higher \( R_{\text{CO}_2} \) (≥0.71). For DSP \( p^+ \) poly-SiO\(_x\) samples, when \( R_{\text{CO}_2} \) is in the range of [0.55, 0.71], the \( \nu_{\text{OC}} \) values are at ~673 mV. Like \( n^+ \) poly-SiO\(_x\), a higher \( R_{\text{CO}_2} \) induces a lower passivation quality in \( p^+ \) poly-SiO\(_x\), while lower \( R_{\text{CO}_2} \) values (≤0.38) results in better passivation. We attribute the dependence of the passivation quality on the \( R_{\text{CO}_2} \) values to the active doping level of the poly-SiO\(_x\) materials. The profile of the active dopants within the poly-SiO\(_x\) contacts could not be accurately measured by our electrochemical capacitance-voltage (ECV) setup due to the uneven etching behavior by the currently used etchant. However, at a certain point when the poly-SiO\(_x\) was completely etched, the doping level of the c-Si surface region was detectable. We found for \( n^+ \) poly-SiO\(_x\) samples with \( R_{\text{CO}_2} = 0.83 \) one order of magnitude lower phosphorus concentration than for the samples with \( R_{\text{CO}_2} = 0.50 \), indicating a lower phosphorus concentration within the \( n^+ \) poly-SiO\(_x\) for \( R_{\text{CO}_2} = 0.83 \) sample. This is in line with our previous studies on the a-SiO\(_x\):H thin film materials; with the increase of the O alloy ratio in the silicon films, their conductivity decreases, which indicates a lower doping level in the film.\(^{51,52}\) When deploying the poly-SiO\(_x\) films...
as passivating contacts, the higher the doping level in the poly-SiOₓ films is, the stronger the induced electrical field is, resulting in improved passivation. However, according to the optical measurement of the poly-SiOₓ layers, to achieve device-level optical quality the chosen \( R_{CO2} \) value should be high enough to minimize the absorption coefficient. Therefore, taking the passivation quality and the optical properties into consideration, we select \( R_{CO2} = 0.62 \) and 0.55 for preparing \( n^+ \) and \( p^+ \) poly-SiOₓ passivating contacts, respectively, in the following sections of this work.

3.2.2 Influence of doping ratio

For passivating contacts based on poly-Si alloy, the doping level within the poly-Si alloy layer and the doping profile across the poly-Si alloy/SiOₓ/c-Si interface are the main factors influencing the quality of the field-effect passivation. There are some parameters that can control the doping level and profile for such PECVD prepared passivating contacts: (i) the phosphorus or boron content in the PECVD a-SiOₓ:H layers, (ii) the thicknesses of the intrinsic and doped PECVD a-SiOₓ:H layers, (iii) the thermal budget of high-temperature annealing process, and (iv) the thickness and quality of the ultrathin SiOₓ layers. In this work, the effect of these parameters, namely, the influence of the PECVD a-SiOₓ:H passivating layer doping level and the high-temperature annealing on the poly-SiOₓ:H passivating contact passivation quality, will be discussed in the following sections.

Because of the bilayer approach (10 nm thick intrinsic coated with doped a-SiOₓ layers) used in this work when preparing the poly-SiOₓ passivating contacts, the doping level can be modified by varying the doping concentration and thickness of the doped a-SiOₓ:H layer during the PECVD. During the a-SiOₓ:H deposition, the doping is controlled by varying the doping gas flow ratio, \( R_{doping} \), which is defined as \( R_{doping} = \frac{[\text{doping gas}]}{[\text{doping gas}]+[\text{SiH}_4]} \), while the thicknesses of \( n^+ \) and \( p^+ \) type doped a-SiOₓ:H are fixed to be 20 and 10 nm thick, respectively. The effect of \( R_{doping} \) on the passivation quality of the poly-SiOₓ passivating contacts is shown in Figure 4A. For both \( n^+ \) and \( p^+ \) poly-SiOₓ passivating contacts, their passivation properties first increase and then decrease with increasing the doping level of the PECVD doped layers. It means that there is an optimum \( R_{doping} \) for both DST \( n^+ \) and DSP \( p^+ \) poly-SiOₓ passivating contacts. On the other hand, when fixing the optimum \( R_{doping} \) for both \( n^+ \) and \( p^+ \) a-SiOₓ:H layers, while only varying the thicknesses of the doped layer, we find that there is a minimum thickness to obtain the maximum passivation quality. A too thick doped layer leads to lower passivation quality, as shown in Figure 4B. We observe that when the amount of dopants in the doped a-SiOₓ:H layer is too low (whether too low \( R_{doping} \) or too thin doped layers), the passivation worsens. We speculate that the doping concentration differences at the poly-SiOₓ/SiOₓ/c-Si interfaces is not high enough to induce a strong field-effect passivation at these interfaces. On the contrary, when the amount of dopants within the a-SiOₓ:H layers is too high (whether too high \( R_{doping} \) or too thick doped layers), the following high-temperature annealing will drive a too high amount of dopants inside the c-Si bulk through the NAOS-SiOₓ. This induces high Auger recombination beneath the surface region of the c-Si wafer and decreases the doping concentration differences at the poly-SiOₓ/SiOₓ/c-Si interfaces, which weakens the field-effect passivation. These two facts affect the overall passivation quality of the poly-SiOₓ passivating contacts.

3.2.3 Influence of the high-temperature annealing

The results discussed in the previous sections provide, by modifying the doping processes, guidelines for optimizing the deposition conditions of the DST and DSP \( n^+ \) and DSP \( p^+ \) poly-SiOₓ passivating contacts. In this section, we studied the influence of the high-
temperature annealing time on the passivation quality for these poly-SiOₓ passivating contacts. After high-temperature annealing, the samples were hydrogenated by 75-nm-thick PECVD SiNx capping which was followed by FGA at 400°C for 30 min before lifetime measurements. The  \( \text{iV}_{\text{OC}} \) values are shown in Figure 5. For both the DSP n\textsuperscript{+} and p\textsuperscript{+} poly-SiOₓ passivating contacts, we observe an optimal annealing time of 15 and 30 min at 850°C annealing temperature that maximizes the \( \text{iV}_{\text{OC}} \) to 740 and 709 mV, respectively. The high-temperature annealing step is used to crystallize both the intrinsic and doped a-SiOₓ:H layer and diffuse the dopants from in situ doped a-SiOₓ:H layer into the intrinsic layer to form a uniformly doped poly-SiOₓ. If the annealing time is too short, the dopants do not receive enough energy to diffuse through the intrinsic poly-SiOₓ layer; therefore, the doping profile is expected to be very shallow within the poly-SiOₓ material. On the other hand, if the annealing time is too long or the temperature is too high, more dopants are expected to diffuse through the intrinsic and the NAOS-SiOₓ layers into the c-Si bulk to form a deep junction within the c-Si bulk. As discussed in section 3.2.1, the doping level of the poly-SiOₓ layer could not be extracted by our ECV measurements. However, both cases are expected to lead to low passivation qualities due to the weakening of field-effect passivation at the c-Si/NAOS-SiOₓ/(doped) poly-SiOₓ interfaces and/or the enhanced Auger recombination within the bulk. Similar to the poly-Si case,\textsuperscript{6} an optimal passivation quality requires a doping profile that has
a sharp doping level drop at the c-Si surface at the c-Si/NAOS-SiO\textsubscript{x}/
(doped) poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} interfaces, which induces a strong electrical field at
this interface, therefore enhancing the passivation quality. Results show that the
optimal time of annealing temperature leads to such an
optimal doping profile. In this work, based on the n\textsuperscript{+} poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} results
shown in Figure 5, it is found that the optimum annealing time for tex-
tured samples is longer than that for flat samples. We speculate that
the difference between polished and textured samples is due to the
NAOS–SiO\textsubscript{x} layer property differences when grown on the polished
<100> and textured <111> c-Si surfaces.\textsuperscript{13} Interestingly, for the DSP
p\textsuperscript{+} poly-SiO\textsubscript{x}, the same optimum annealing time as DST n\textsuperscript{+} poly-SiO\textsubscript{x}
is observed. Accordingly, we can integrate at device level both layers
sharing the same optimal annealing time.

### 3.3 Contact resistivity and solar cell performance

Before applying the developed poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} passivating contacts into c-
Si solar cells, we measured the contact resistivity (\(\rho_{C}\)) of the optimum
passivating contact stack to the c-Si bulk via TLM. The measured \(\rho_{C}\) of
the samples together with corresponding passivation performances of
these samples are listed in Table 1. For both n\textsuperscript{−} and p\textsuperscript{+} poly-SiO\textsubscript{x}, pas-
sivating contacts, \(\rho_{C}\) values below 1 m\(\Omega\)/cm\textsuperscript{2} are obtained. As the
annealing temperature of 850°C is relatively low for this technology
of passivating contacts, we did not observe pinholes at the NAOS-
SiO\textsubscript{x} layer in any of the 40 TEM images that were made on n\textsuperscript{+} poly-
SiO\textsubscript{x} samples. Therefore, we expect that the obtained low \(\rho_{C}\) values indicate good tunneling of carriers through the NAOS-SiO\textsubscript{x} layer. Besides, these \(\rho_{C}\) values are at the same level as our previously devel-
oped ion-implanted LPCVD poly-Si passivating contacts (see Table 1),
which led to IBC solar cell FF of 81%.\textsuperscript{55} Considering the similar passiv-
ation and contact resistivity characteristics of the poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} as
the poly-Si passivating contacts, we anticipate similar carrier collection
behavior by these two kinds of passivating contacts.

We firstly fabricated double-side flat FBC solar cell with ITO on
both sides. As shown in Table 2, this cell achieves a FF as high as
83.5%, which proves an effective carrier collection by the poly-SiO\textsubscript{x}
passivating contacts. However, the co-annealing of the poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} is
done at 850°C for 30 min. This condition ensures the flat p\textsuperscript{+} poly-Si
performs the best at the expenses of the flat n\textsuperscript{+} poly-SiO\textsubscript{x}. Therefore,
after finalizing the cell with ITO and metal, the cell exhibited a \(V_{OC}\)
of only 681 mV. With the same process condition, when the front side is
replaced with n\textsuperscript{+} poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} deposited on textured c-Si surface, which
performs a better passivation than the flat one with the same
annealing condition, an \(iV_{OC}\) of 707 mV was measured after hydroge-
nation with Si\textsubscript{N\textsubscript{4}} capping and FGA. After the Si\textsubscript{N\textsubscript{4}} capping was

| Nr. | Base Si | Growth | Doping | Type | Thickness [nm] | \(J_{0}\) (fA/cm\textsuperscript{2}) | \(iV_{OC}\) (mV) | \(\rho_{C}\) (m\(\Omega\)/cm\textsuperscript{2}) |
|-----|---------|--------|--------|------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1 | n-FZ, DSP | PECVD | In situ, B | p\textsuperscript{+} poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} | 20 | 16 | 709 | 27 |
| 2 | n-FZ, DSP | PECVD | In situ, P | n\textsuperscript{−} poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} | 35 | 3.0 | 740 | 18 |
| 3 | n-FZ, DST | PECVD | In situ, P | n\textsuperscript{−} poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} | 35 | 11.0 | 718 | 23 |
| 4 | n-FZ, DSP | LPCVD | B implantation | p\textsuperscript{+} poly-Si | 250 | 11 | 716 | 24 |
| 5 | n-FZ, DSP | LPCVD | P implantation | n\textsuperscript{−} poly-Si | 250 | 4.5 | 735 | 13 |

Note: The ion-implanted poly-Si passivating contacts from ref.\textsuperscript{55} are also listed as reference.
\textsuperscript{a}Ion-implanted LPCVD poly-Si passivating contacts with doping activation at a temperature of 950°C.

| Solar cell | Passivating contacts | Area (cm\textsuperscript{2}) | \(V_{OC}\) (mV) | \(J_{SC}\)\textsuperscript{a} (mA/cm\textsuperscript{2}) | \(J_{SC, EQE}\) (mA/cm\textsuperscript{2}) | FF (%) | \(\eta\) (%) |
|------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------|-------|
| FBC (DSP, best cell) | Poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} | 2 | 681 | 33.4 | 34.5 | 83.5 | 19.6\textsuperscript{b} |
| FBC (front tex.) (avg.) | Poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} | 2 | 688 ± 3 | 38.9 ± 0.3 | 76.1 ± 0.5 | 20.5 ± 0.4 |
| FBC (front tex.) (best) | Poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} | 2 | 691 | 39.3 | 39.7 | 76.4 | 21.0\textsuperscript{b} |
| Ref. FBC (front tex.)\textsuperscript{c} | Poly-Si | 2 | 668 ± 3 | 38.0 ± 0.1 | 38.0 | 78.6 ± 0.4 | 20.0 ± 0.2 |
| IBC (front tex.) | Poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} | 9 | 650 | 39.3 | ~ | 77.0 | 19.7 |
| Ref. IBC\textsuperscript{55} | Poly-Si | 1 | 682 | 41.6 | ~ | 81.0 | 23.0 |

Notes: Results of FBC and IBC solar cells with ion-implanted LPCVD poly-Si passivating contacts\textsuperscript{7} are also listed as references in the table. For the FBC
cells, the average results are based on three different solar cells.
\textsuperscript{a}Busbars on the four edges of the cell are not illuminated.
\textsuperscript{b}The efficiency is calculated with \(J_{SC, EQE}\).
\textsuperscript{c}Front-side textured FBC cell with ~20 nm n\textsuperscript{−} poly-Si on the front side and 250 nm p\textsuperscript{+} poly-Si on the rear side.\textsuperscript{56}
The EQE and the reflectance curves of FBC solar cells with poly-SiOx as passivating contacts for both polarizations. Inset: The sketches for solar cell with poly-SiOx as passivating contacts. The n\textsuperscript{+} poly-SiOx and p\textsuperscript{+} poly-SiOx are 35 and 20 nm thick, respectively. The FBC cell also features 75-nm- and 120-nm-thick ITO layers on the front and rear side, respectively. The $J_{SC,\text{EQE}}$ computed from the EQE spectrum is 39.7 mA/cm\textsuperscript{2}.

removed with BHF (1:7), the $V_{OC}$ drops to 699 mV. A further decrease to 695 mV was observed after ITO sputtering due to the sputtering damage. After the deposition of the e-beam evaporated Al, due to the exposure to the e-beam radiation, the final cell $V_{OC}$ of 691 mV was achieved. On the other hand, compared to the double-side flat solar cell, the solar cell FF drops to 76.4%. Considering the similar $pFF$, ~84%, measured for both double-side flat and front-side textured cells, we attribute this drop in FF mainly to the resistive loss from the metal fingers, which is e-beam evaporated Al on the textured Si surface. With the same evaporation process, the thickness of Al finger on the textured surface facades is ~1.2 μm, which is 1.7 times thinner than that deposited on the flat surface (~2 μm). We compared this solar cell to an FBC cell based on poly-Si with similar cell structure but different in the thicknesses of the passivating contact layers: 20-nm-thick n\textsuperscript{+} poly-Si on the front textured surface which is covered with an ITO layer and 250-nm-thick p\textsuperscript{+} poly-Si on the flat rear side which was contacted by ITO/Ag56. Due to the thinner poly-Si layer on the front side, the backend metallization processes induced a higher $V_{OC}$ loss which led to a lower $V_{OC}$ for the poly-Si cell with respect to the poly-SiOx cell. From an optical point of view, even though the 35-nm-thick n\textsuperscript{+} poly-SiOx layer used at the front side is thicker than the 20-nm-thick n\textsuperscript{+} poly-SiOx layer in the FBC cells, the final $J_{SC,\text{IV}}$ of the FBC cells based on poly-SiOx is ~1.3 mA/cm\textsuperscript{2} higher than that of the cells based on poly-Si. These two results indicate the optical potential of both poly-SiOx passivating contacts when used in the solar cells. To address the optical performance of poly-SiOx passivating contacts when applied on solar cells, we measured the EQE and the reflectance of the front-side textured FBC solar cell, see Figure 6. We observe that the n-type poly-SiOx passivating contact together with the ITO layer, which yields a parasitic loss in photogenerated current density within the c-Si bulk of around 1.40 mA/cm\textsuperscript{2}, induces some parasitic absorption at short wavelengths otherwise minimal in a PERC cell.57 Nevertheless, a high $J_{SC,\text{EQE}}$ of 39.7 mA/cm\textsuperscript{2} was achieved, resulting in a cell efficiency of 21.0%. This front textured FBC solar cell endowed with poly-SiOx passivating contacts exhibits an illuminated area $J_{SC,\text{IV}}$ value (39.3 mA/cm\textsuperscript{2}) that is in the same range of that reported for state-of-the-art, large-area, double-side textured, low-thermal-budget classical heterojunction (39.5 mA/cm\textsuperscript{2}).58 This result puts well in perspective the optical potential of poly-SiOx passivating contacts.

Considering the short-wavelength-range parasitic absorption of poly-SiOx layers when used at the front side of the cell, the best approach to apply both n\textsuperscript{+} and p\textsuperscript{+} poly-SiOx passivating contacts in one solar cell is to deploy the IBC solar cell architecture. Since the rear side of an IBC device is hardly reached by short-wavelength light, the optical potential of both n\textsuperscript{+} and p\textsuperscript{+} poly-SiOx passivating contacts placed there could be exploited. Following the process flow described in our previous work,35 IBC solar cell based on poly-SiOx passivating contacts with efficiency of 19.7% is manufactured, as sketched in Figure 7A. In this work, we compare its optical performance to that obtained by the reference IBC cell featuring passivating contacts based on poly-Si,55 as depicted in Figure 7B. The performances of both IBC cells are listed in Table 2. Due to the not yet optimized gap isolation between n\textsuperscript{+} and p\textsuperscript{+} poly-SiOx fingers, the $V_{OC}$ is limited to only 650 mV by the recombination, which hinders cell’s overall performance, especially its spectral response. Comparing the IQE curves of poly-SiOx IBC to the poly-Si IBC, this recombination loss is considered as the main reason for the lower IQE values in the wavelength shorter than 1100 nm. However, a higher IQE is observed at long wavelengths (>100 nm) for cells with poly-SiOx passivating contacts than in case of IBC cells with poly-Si ones, as reported in Figure 7C. We attribute this IQE gain to the higher transparency of the poly-SiOx passivating contacts in that wavelength range. In fact, for these two IBC cell architectures, long-wavelength photons reaching the rear passivating contacts will be (i) partly absorbed by the passivating contacts, (ii) partly absorbed by the metal at the passivating contact/Al interface, and (iii) partly reflected from the rear contact interfaces. Due to the lower absorption coefficient of the poly-SiOx than poly-Si, the parasitic absorption by the poly-SiOx passivating contacts is expected to be lower than that by the poly-Si ones. Besides that, a lower reflective index of the poly-SiOx layers58 than poly-Si also enhances the light reflection at their interfaces into the c-Si bulk, minimizing the chances that light is absorbed by the passivating contacts. At the rear of poly-Si IBC solar cells, there is a SiN\textsubscript{x} spacing layer between metal contact and the poly-Si layers with an area coverage of 75%. It increases the light reflection at its interfaces to the poly-Si and bulk, which can be seen from the higher R values of the solar cell, shown in Figure 7C. However, the enhanced light reflection also enhances the absorption of poly-Si passivating contacts, when considering the much higher absorption of the poly-Si than c-Si bulk. Due to
the same metal finger design for both cells, the transmittance (T) of the cell through the metal finger gaps is the same. Therefore, a lower 1-R-T spectrum is observed in the poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} IBC cell in the wavelength range above 1100 nm than that for poly-Si IBC cell, which enhances the light absorption in the bulk, as shown in Figure 7C. As a consequence, within this wavelength range, a higher IQE spectrum is observed for poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} IBC cell with respect to that of the poly-Si IBC cell. By optimizing the patterning process of IBC solar cells based on poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} passivating contacts, an overall higher EQE response is expected with respect to the IBC cells based on poly-Si passivating contacts.

4 | CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we presented the optimization and characterization of carrier-selective passivating contact based on doped poly-SiO\textsubscript{x}. Their optical and electrical behavior when applied in both FBC and IBC c-Si solar cells is examined. The poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} material is a mixed-phase material with nanometer-scale Si crystals. The nanostructure is found to be closely related to the PECVD parameters, especially the amount of oxygen alloy ratio. To achieve excellent passivation and carrier selectivity, we found that there is an optimum PECVD process window on the oxygen alloy ratio and doping ratio for poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} passivating contacts. For both n\textsuperscript{+} and p\textsuperscript{+} poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} passivating contacts, outstanding passivation qualities (\textit{iV}_{OC,flat,n\textsuperscript{+}} = 740 mV; contact resistance \( \rho_{c} = 0.7 \ \text{m\Omega/cm}^{2}; \text{iV}_{OC,flat,p\textsuperscript{+}} = 709 mV; \rho_{c} = 0.5 \ \text{m\Omega/cm}^{2} \)) are achieved by optimizing the deposition, post-annealing, and the hydrogenation processes. Besides the low contact resistivity, the high cell FF of 83.5% obtained in double-side flat FBC solar cells with poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} as both polarities indicates their highly efficient carrier collection capability. Optically, due to the bandgap widening induced by O alloying, the absorption coefficient of poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} becomes lower than that of poly-Si passivating contacts at long wavelengths. This optical advance of poly-SiO\textsubscript{x} against poly-Si as passivating contact is also demonstrated at solar cell level in a 21.0% FBC solar cell and in a 19.7% IBC cell with higher long-wavelength IQE response compared to the reference poly-Si IBC cell with similar cell structure.
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