Simulation study of calcaneal insertion in the treatment of children’s flat foot
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ABSTRACT

One of the correction methods of children’s flat foot is calcaneal insertion. The purpose of this work is to determine the performance of calcaneal stop by using two biocorrosion materials. The Finite Element Method (FEM) is used. The material analysis is AISI 316L steel and Ti-6Al-4V titanium alloy. Using Cuban anthropometric models, loads were calculated based on foot biomechanics and body weight of boys and girls aged 10 and 12. The results show that the implant model ensures the mechanical strength of the two materials. The difference between the two stresses is 4.44 MPa, accounting for 5% of the difference. Both materials have enough mechanical strength reserves because the maximum stress is less than the elastic limit of the material and ensures the mechanical strength of the calcaneal stop design.
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1. Introduction

The foot is an important part of the body because it ensures our flexibility and balance. One of the most common causes affecting the foot is known as flat feet. Some conservative alternatives, such as the use of orthopedic shoes, brackets and insoles, have been used for flat foot correction. And only in cases where the previous treatments do not work, surgical correction is indicated[1,2].

One known technique is the so-called calcaneal stop, which includes placing a screw in the tarsal sinus (bone) to maintain the formation of the plantar fornix[1,3]. The purpose of using calcaneal insertion implant is to correct the flat foot immediately after surgery. Orthopedic surgeons have evaluated its results as a good treatment option with less complications and good surgical effect[4,5].

There are various types of calcaneal insertion implants, also known as prostheses, screws or devices. The mechanical properties of the element made of the human body must meet the conditions of its exposure. Titanium and its alloys and some stainless steel are used for this implant. Research on new materials such as those that are absorbed by
the body are among the current trends\cite{6,7}. The mechanical strength of these orthopedic implants can be evaluated by biomechanical research and numerical methods, in order to further improve the design or material substitution decision-making.

Among these methods, finite element method (FEM) is an indispensable modeling tool in many engineering fields and other branches of science and technology. In the past decade, the use of FEM in health fields such as disease prognosis and glaucoma has increased\cite{8,9}, in examining the stress and deformation of dental implants\cite{10}, and fatigue analysis of spinal pedicle screws\cite{11}. Research on feet using FEM appears in specialized scientific literature, such as fractures and injuries in this part of the human body\cite{12,13}, work related to gait level\cite{14} and diseases related to diabetic feet\cite{15,16}. For the benefit of preventive medicine, research prior to the use of new screws is convenient. Therefore, the purpose of this work is to evaluate the behavior of stress, deformation and displacement in calcaneal implant stopper using FEM for children’s flat foot correction, so as to make decisions based on the evaluation of mechanical strength.

2. Methods and materials

The finite element method is used as a modeling method to study stress, strain and displacement. The implementation steps of FEM are composed of geometric model, load model, material model and grid model\cite{17}. Then it describes the process of obtaining components from the model.

2.1. Geometric model

Patent US 8267977 B2\cite{18} was selected as a result of the search for patents related to calcaneal implant stop to evaluate the characteristics, performance and technical specifications that best meet the needs of the study. According to the recommendations of orthopedic experts, this geometry makes the surgical process simpler and less invasive to bone and surrounding tissues, as shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Geometric model of calcaneal insertion.](image)

The head of the device is a smooth cone, which serves as a buffer for the heel and is used to correct the flat foot. The latter has a hexagon inside for screw implantation. Screws are the type used for cancellous bone to ensure the self-tightness of bone and prevent screw loosening. The tip has three 120° displacement cuts. This type of tip replaces the use of drill bit. Through a through-hole intubation at the longitudinal end, the screw can be placed correctly, and only a small incision needs to be made on the skin. Finally, the angle of the screw end ends at an angle of 90°, which helps to place the implant at the beginning of implantation.

2.2. Load model

The load on the implant depends on the child’s weight. There is a relationship between boys’ weight and age. According to the percentile data and chart of Cuban boys’ weight from male and female age groups\cite{19}, the maximum percentile weight of boys and girls aged 10 and 12 was selected to ensure the maximum load on the implant.

On the other hand, the plantar dome has three arches and supporting points. The load on the support point is uneven. In the upright, vertical and stationary position, 50% or 60% of the weight can
affect the calcaneus, while 50% or 40% of the weight can affect the anterolateral and anterolateral support. It is considered that in an upright, vertical and immobile position, 50% or 60% of the weight can be placed on the calcaneus, while in the antero-external and antero-internal supports 50% or 40%\textsuperscript{[20,21]}. Figure 2 shows the support point of the foot and the load distributed according to the weight of the person. The authors believe that the force acting on the implant is 60% of the child’s body weight. Table 1 shows the weight, load and percentage information corresponding to the calcaneus. The maximum load is 330.7 N, which is suitable for 12-year-old girls. It is the load used to analyze the strength and stiffness of implants.

![Figure 2. Foot support points and load distribution\textsuperscript{[20,21].}]

| Age (y) | Weight (kg) | Masculine | Feminine | Total load (N) | Masculine | Feminine | 60% (N) |
|---------|-------------|-----------|----------|---------------|-----------|----------|---------|
| 10      | 43.7        | 45.5      | 428.6    | 446.2         | 257.2     | 267.7    |
| 12      | 49.2        | 56.2      | 482.5    | 551.1         | 289.5     | 330.7    |

During the simulation, it should be considered that the screw is screwed on the calcaneus and the head is in contact with the calcaneus to form the plantar dome and correct the flat foot. Figure 3a. Therefore, the screw will be embedded in the screw area to simulate a consistent connection with the bone, while a distributed load is applied to the head, the value of which is discussed in the previous paragraph. Figure 3b shows the model loads and boundary conditions applied to the model.

![Figure 3. The load model of the calcaneal implant stops according to the position of the foot.](a) The insertion mode of the implant to realize that the plantar dome curls on the calcaneus and the head performs the cushioning function. (b) The representation of the load condition, which applies the distributed load on the head and is embedded into the screw. (c) The load model is represented in the simulation software, and the green arrow eliminates the movement.

2.3. Material model

The materials used for modeling are titanium alloy (Ti-6Al-4V) and AISI 316L stainless steel. Because of their mechanical strength, elastic limit, fatigue strength, wear resistance and fracture toughness, these two metal materials are widely used in the manufacture of orthopedic implants to replace or fix bones or joints. Both are bio-compatible and are accepted by living tissues.

According to ASTM standards, titanium alloy containing 6% aluminum and 4% vanadium is classified as grade 5, which is the most ductile alloy in grade 5. This is why it is one of the most recommended and commercialized implant manufacturing because of its high corrosion resistance and relatively low density than steel\textsuperscript{[22]}. In addition, compared with other biocompatible metal materials, AISI 316L stainless steel of surgical quality is suitable for the manufacture of implants because of its durability and low cost. Among other properties, it has been widely studied and has many international standards that specify its chemical composition, recommendations on which implant to use, and mechanical properties determined according to the method of acquisition, Annealing by plastic deformation\textsuperscript{[23]}. These materials are homogeneous and linearly isotropic, and their most common properties are shown in Table 2.
### 2.4. Grid model

Mesh generation is very important for element analysis and research. For this type of parts, the software recommends using solid mesh type (tetrahedron) and standard mesh (automatic three-dimensional). There are 9,934 elements and 16,479 nodes in total, as shown in **Figure 4**.

![Figure 4. Insertion point of calcaneal reticular implant.](image)

### 3. Results and discussion

The study used two of the most commonly used surgical implant materials to study the mechanical strength of implants. The highest von Mises equivalent stresses have a value of 88.73 MPa for the implant made of AISI 316L steel (**Figure 5a**) and 84.29 MPa for those made of Titanium Ti-6Al-4V alloy (**Figure 5b**). The difference between the two stresses is 4.44 MPa, accounting for 5%. Therefore, according to the engineering standard, the difference is not significant. As shown in **Figure 5**, the maximum equivalent stress is located between the screw head and the screw transition zone. At this point, the diameter of the cone head is small and is the end of the depression. This result is consistent with the behavior of the cantilever beam, in which the stress increases towards the outer edge of the geometry, and the maximum value appears in the area near the bottom. This is a key part of this work.

![Figure 5. Calcaneal implant insertion and other effects.](image)

The elastic limit of titanium alloy can reach 795 MPa[22], while the elastic limit of AISI 316L steel depends on its state (annealing or plastic deformation), ranging from 200 MPa to 500 MPa[24]. Both materials have very good design factors because in all possible cases, the stress is less than the elastic limit of the material and ensures the mechanical strength of the calcaneal stopper design.

The deformations have a maximum value of 3.741x10^-4 for 316L steel (**Figure 6a**) and 7.024x10^-4 for Ti-6Al-4V titanium alloy (**Figure 6b**). These deformations occur in the transition region between the screw head and the screw, which matches the distribution of equivalent stress. The deformation of alloy steel is about half that of titanium alloy.

![Figure 6. The load deformation diagram of calcaneal implant dead center.](image)

This is because the elastic modulus of titanium alloy is twice that of alloy steel, as shown in **Table 2**. Therefore, the unit deformation of titanium should be twice that of stainless steel. The equivalent

| Attribute               | AISI 316L steel | Ti-6Al-4V Alloy |
|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Modulus of elasticity (MPa) | 200,000        | 110,000        |
| Shear modulus (MPa)     | 82,000          | 41,023.81      |
| Elastic limit (MPa)     | 200-500         | 795            |
| Poisson coefficient     | 0.265           | 0.31           |
| Maximum deformation (%) | 55-60           | 10             |
| Density (g/cm³)         | 7.9             | 4.5            |

![AISI 316L stainless steel 3,741x10^-4 (b) titanium alloy Ti-6Al-4V 7,024x10^-4](image)
stress difference between the implant and the two materials is less than 5%. Therefore, according to the law of elasticity, the proportional relationship between stress and strain is satisfied.

The maximum displacement of AISI 316L steel (Figure 7a) is 3.913 µm and that of Ti-6Al-4V titanium alloy (Figure 7b) is 7.394 µm. This displacement occurs at the end of the screw head, in the area of the maximum diameter of the cone. The results show that the stiffness of titanium alloy implant is low. These two displacements are insignificant compared to the displacement printed on the calcaneus by the implant. In order to form the plantar fornix, the calcaneus and calcaneus need to be separated by a few millimeters.

![Figure 7](image_url)

**Figure 7.** Displacement diagram of insertion stop of calcaneus.

From the modeling results, AISI 316L stainless steel and titanium alloy can be used because they can resist the working conditions to which the implant will be exposed, because the elastic limit of both materials is much higher than the stress generated in the implant. It should be noted that AISI 316L stainless steel implants should be removed after the correction period, because the corrosion of these liquids will degrade the material after long-term exposure to body fluids[25].

The position of the maximum equivalent stress close to the screw thread is consistent with the report in[11], which analyzes the analysis of Ti-6Al-4V titanium alloy pedicle internal fixation. Different loading conditions are analyzed, and the fillet part of the thread is restrained concave.

The main limiting factor of the analyzed model is that it does not include the influence of fluctuating load during walking. However, AISI 316L has a minimum safety factor of 2.38, so it can be assumed that the screw can resist fatigue conditions. The safety factor of titanium alloy Ti-6Al-4V is greater than 9. In addition, in further analysis, the screw bone interaction after the screw is inserted into the foot model should be considered, and the friction effect between bone and screw should be considered.

4. Conclusions

The implant model ensures the mechanical strength of the studied material. The maximum equivalent stress of AISI 316L steel implant is 88.73 MPa, and that of Ti-6Al-4V titanium alloy implant is 84.29 MPa. The percentage difference between the two tensions is 5%. Both materials have enough reserves in terms of mechanical strength, because they are far less than the elastic limit of the material and ensure the mechanical strength of the calcaneal stopper design.
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