The Role of Pain Catastrophizing in the Prediction of Acute Procedure Related Pain
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ABSTRACT

Background: Aim of this study was to assess preoperative pain catastrophizing scale (PCS) scores and its predictive value regarding acute access-site pain after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).

Methods: Patients who received PCI via radial artery enrolled in a prospective observational study. Patients filled out PCS questionnaire prior to procedure. Pain intensity was assessed using numeric rating scale during PCI and 2 hours, 12 hours, 24 hours, 48 hours, 1 week and 1 month after PCI.

Results: Median PCS score was 15 (8.0-22.0), female score (18.0 (10.0-29.5)) was higher than male (14.0 (6.5-20.0) (P = 0.030). Magnification scores were higher among females P = 0.018). Patients aged 75 and older had highest PCS scores (20.0) and rumination scores (P = 0.04 and P = 0.006 respectively). Female pain scores during procedure (2.5 (0.0-5.0) and 2 hours after procedure (4.0 (2.0-5.0) were higher than male (P = 0.024 and P = 0.013 respectively). Significant correlation was found between gender and pain during PCI (r = 0.219, P = 0.022), 2 hours after PCI (r =0.219, P = 0.022). We didn’t find any correlation or predictive value of PCS for acute pain. Female sex predicted pain 1 month after PCI (OR = 3.143 95% CI (1.063-9.296), P = 0.038).

Conclusion: PCS had no significant associations with pain after PCI. Females were more likely to report higher PCS and pain scores than males. Patients aged 75 and over reported higher PCS scores. Further research is needed to evaluate the importance of psychological factors regarding acute postprocedural pain.

Introduction

Myocardial revascularization by either percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) or coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) combined with pharmacotherapy remains the main approach in coronary artery disease treatment, PCI being a more preferred revascularization method in most cases [1-3]. Radial artery approach is generally favored over femoral artery approach, for the reason that transradial PCI has a lower incidence of access site complications, bleeding, lower risk of all-cause mortality and higher patient satisfaction compared to approach via femoral artery [4,5]. Despite numerous transradial approach benefits, patients undergoing PCI via radial artery experience pain during and after the procedure. There are several risk factors contributing to pain intensity during transradial access PCI, such as female sex, diabetes, smoking, low body mass index [6]. None of them have a direct connection to mental state and there are very limited data regarding the psychological risk factors for higher pain levels during and after PCI.

Nevertheless, various studies have shown the important role of depression, anxiety and pain catastrophizing as relevant independent risk factors of pain intensity experienced by patients in...
multiple surgical procedures [7,8]. Catastrophizing is described as a tendency to aggravate possible outcomes when subjected to pain-related stimuli. It is considered a result of dysfunctional cognitive and emotional adaptation mechanism and consists of three main components: rumination, helplessness and magnification [9]. Pain catastrophizing causes higher pain intensity, which in turn requires a more aggressive approach to postsurgical analgesia. Furthermore, it can lead to the development of chronic postsurgical pain, contribute to anxiety, depression or other negative emotional dysfunctions, overall, negatively affecting patient’s quality of life. Pain catastrophizing scale (PCS) is widely used for objectifying rather abstract components of catastrophizing and is considered a standard measuring tool, as it is proven to be accurate, consistent and reliable [8,10].

The primary aim of this study is to evaluate the relationship between pain catastrophizing and acute access site pain intensity after percutaneous coronary intervention via radial artery and determination whether pain catastrophizing scale score can be used as a predictive factor of acute pain after transradial PCI. Secondary aims include evaluating gender and age differences regarding PCS scores as well as pain scores up to 1 month after the procedure.

Methods

The Regional Ethics Committee approved the trial (approval number BE-2-7, 2018-02-26). 137 patients who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention via radial artery were enrolled in a prospective observational study (between 2018 and 2020).

Inclusion Criteria

a. Patients hospitalized in Lithuanian university of health sciences hospital Kaunas clinics Department of Cardiology for a percutaneous coronary intervention via radial artery (PCI).

b. Patients of both sexes and over the age of 18.

c. Conscious patients, who gave written informed consent to participate in this study.

Due to missing data a total of 28 patients were excluded of whom 26 did not complete the PCS and 2 were unavailable for the follow-up pain assessment, leaving 109 patients for analysis (Figure 1). A prospective study with follow-up questions up to 1 month after the intervention was performed. Patients who were scheduled for PCI and agreed on participating in this study gave written informed consent.

The participants were aware that the information collected was confidential. Patient characteristic data including sex, age, BMI and comorbidities were collected. The patients were asked to fill out a questionnaire prior to the intervention on Pain Catastrophizing Scale (PCS). The PCS is consisted of thirteen remarks considering what the patient may experience when they are in pain. Patients have to rate their thoughts on each item from 0 (“not at all”) to 4 (“all the time”) (Table 1) [11]. The pain intensity was assessed by using the numeric rating scale (NRS), where 0 = no pain and 10 = worst pain imaginable 12. Patients were asked to rate their pain during the procedure and at 2 hours, 12 hours, 24 hours, 48 hours, 1 week and 1 month after the PCI. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS 23.0. Visual inspections of Q-Q plots and Shapiro-Wilk goodness-of-fit tests were used to determine whether or not the data were normally distributed. Normally distributed continuous variables are presented as means with standard deviation and categorical data are presented as frequency and percentage.
used to examine the associations between catastrophizing, pain and age and to study the associations between pain scores and age. Point-Biserial correlation test was used to examine the correlation between gender and pain scores. To investigate the association between preprocedural pain catastrophizing and postprocedural pain intensity and to determine whether PCS could be used as a predictive factor for pain intensity after the procedure, we used a linear regression test with postoperative pain intensity as the dependent variable. Univariate logistic regression analysis was carried out to examine PCS, sex and age as prognostic factors for acute pain. The results were considered statistically significant at P < 0.05.

**Table 1: Pain Catastrophizing Scale.**

| 0 – not at all, 1 – to a Slight Degree, 2 – to a Moderate Degree, 3 – to a Great Degree, 4 – all the time | When I’m in pain ... |
|---|---|
| 1. I worry all the time about whether the pain will end. | |
| 2. I feel I can’t go on. | |
| 3. It’s terrible and I think it’s never going to get any better. | |
| 4. It’s awful and I feel that it overwhelms me. | |
| 5. I feel I can’t stand it anymore. | |
| 6. I become afraid that the pain will get worse. | |
| 7. I keep thinking of other painful events. | |
| 8. I anxiously want the pain to go away. | |
| 9. I can’t seem to keep it out of my mind. | |
| 10. I keep thinking about how much it hurts. | |
| 11. I keep thinking about how badly I want the pain to stop. | |
| 12. There’s nothing I can do to reduce the intensity of the pain. | |
| 13. I wonder whether something serious may happen. | |

Rumination: Sum of items 8, 9, 10, 11

Magnification: Sum of items 6, 7, 13

Helplessness: Sum of items 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 12

**Results**

In total 109 patients were included in the statistical analysis: 73 men (67%) and 36 women (33%). Baseline and demographic characteristics are presented in (Table 2). The overall median preoperative PCS score was 15.0 (8.0-22.0). The median for females was 18.0 (10.0-29.5) and for males 14.0 (6.5-20.0). Overall PCS scores for females were statistically significantly higher than for males (P = 0.030). In addition to that, females had a statistically significantly higher magnification score in PCS than males (P = 0.018). Distributions of PCS scores were similar for all age groups, as assessed by visual inspection of a boxplot. Median PCS scores were statistically significantly different between groups, with the highest median score (20.0) being in the ≥75 years old group and the lowest (9.0) among patients younger than 55 years old (P = 0.04). Rumination score was also higher among patients older than 75 years (P = 0.006). PCS values are presented in (Table 3). The median pain score during the procedure was 1.0 (0.0-4.0).

**Table 2: Demographic characteristics of the study population (n = 109).**

| Characteristic | n (%) or mean ± SD |
|---|---|
| Age (years) | 64.29 ± 11.2 |
| Age groups | |
| <55 years | 17 (15.6) |
| 55-59 years | 12 (11) |
| 60-64 years | 26 (23.9) |
| 65-69 years | 20 (18.3) |
| 70-74 years | 13 (11.9) |
| ≥ 75 years | 19 (17.4) |
| Female sex | 36 (33) |
| Male sex | 73 (67) |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 29.07 ± 5.5 |
| Ischemic heart disease duration (years) | 4 ± 5.3 |
| Current smoker | 36 (33) |
| Diabetes mellitus | 16 (14.7) |
| Arterial hypertension | 99 (90.8) |
| Depression | 2 (1.8) |
| Dyslipidemia | 68 (62.4) |
| Emergency procedure | 19 (17.4) |
| Duration of PCI (minutes) | 33.39 ± 20.23 |
| Times PCI performed | 1.67 ± 1.001 |

**Table 3: Demographic characteristics of the study population (n = 109).**

| Characteristic | PCS, Median | Rumination, Median | Magnification, Median | Helplessness, Median |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **sex** | | | | |
| Male | 14.0 (6.5-20.0) | 4.0 (1.0-8.0) | 3.0 (1.0-5.0) | 5.0 (2.0-9.0) |
| Female | 18.0 (10.0-29.5) | 6.0 (2.5-10.5) | 5.0 (2.0-8.0) | 7.0 (4.0-11.0) |
| P = 0.030* | P = 0.056* | P = 0.018* | P = 0.051* |
| **Age group** | | | | |
| <55 years | 9.0 (7.0-22.0) | 3.0 (1.0-5.0) | 2.0 (1.0-4.5) | 5.0 (3.0-9.5) |
| 55-59 years | 17.0 (8.0-20.0) | 5.0 (2.0-8.0) | 3.0 (1.5-5.5) | 6.0 (2.5-9.0) |
The median for females was 2.5 (0.0-5.0) and for males 0.0 (0.0-3.0) being statistically significantly higher for females than for males (P = 0.024). The results of the follow-up pain score assessments showed a statistically significant difference between genders at 2 hours after the procedure, with the median pain score for females being 4.0 (2.0-5.0) and for males 3.0 (0.0-4.0) (P = 0.013). Figure 2 shows the levels of pain at 2 hours post procedure for different genders. Pain scores on other follow-up time points did not have a statistically significant difference between genders. Pearson correlation analysis revealed that there were no significant associations between catastrophizing and pain scores during the procedure or at any of the follow-up points, as well as between catastrophizing and patient age. There were also no significant correlations between patients age and pain. However, a Point-Biserial correlation test revealed that there was a positive statistically significant correlation between gender and pain scores during and at 2 hours after the procedure (r=0.219, P = 0.022 and r=0.233, P = 0.015, respectively).

A linear regression analysis revealed that PCS score had no statistically significant effect on the postprocedural pain score. The results are shown in (Table 4). Univariate regression analysis didn’t reveal any statistically significant results regarding higher pain catastrophizing scores and acute access-site pain development. However, our results showed that females had a 3.143 times greater possibility to report access-site pain 1 month after the procedure (P = 0.038) (Table 5).

**Note:** *Mann-Whitney U test for PCS differences between genders

**Kruskal-Wallis H test for PCS differences between age groups**

| Age Group | Pain Intensity at 2 Hours Post Procedure | 95% CI for B | SE B | β | Sig. | R² | ΔR² |
|-----------|----------------------------------------|-------------|------|---|------|----|-----|
| 60-64 years | 14.5 (8.0-22.0) | 5.0 (2.0-10.0) | 4.0 (2.0-7.0) | 7.0 (2.0-10.0) |
| 65-69 years | 10.0 (5.0-18.0) | 2.0 (1.0-5.0) | 2.0 (1.0-4.0) | 4.0 (2.0-6.0) |
| 70-74 years | 18.5 (11.0-27.0) | 6.5 (6.0-11.0) | 3.5 (1.5-6.5) | 8.0 (5.0-10.5) |
| ≥75 years | 20.0 (14.5-30.5) | 8.0 (5.0-12.0) | 5.0 (3.0-8.0) | 7.0 (4.5-9.5) |

Note: B = unstandardized regression coefficient; β = standardized coefficient; Sig. = significance; R² = coefficient of determination; ΔR² = adjusted R².
Table 5: Predictors for acute pain.

| Predictors      | Acute pain development                          |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------|
|                 | During                           | After 2 h | After 12 h | After 24 h | After 48 h | After 1 w | After 1 m |
|                 | OR 95% CI p                        | OR 95% CI p | OR 95% CI p | OR 95% CI p | OR 95% CI p | OR 95% CI p | OR 95% CI p |
| PCS             | 1.022 0.98-1.05 0.228              | 1.023 0.98-1.07 0.299 | 0.991 0.96-1.03 0.619 | 1.006 0.97-1.05 0.749 | 1.008 0.96-1.06 0.745 | 1.009 0.97-1.06 0.685 | 0.979 0.93-1.03 0.429 |
| Rumin.ation     | 1.074 0.98-1.18 0.119              | 1.041 0.94-1.15 0.448 | 0.980 0.90-1.07 0.656 | 1.022 0.93-1.12 0.658 | 1.068 0.94-1.21 0.315 | 1.070 0.96-1.32 0.214 | 0.952 0.84-1.08 0.458 |
| Magnification   | 1.001 0.89-1.13 0.984              | 1.120 0.96-1.30 0.142 | 0.976 0.87-1.10 0.696 | 1.000 0.88-1.14 0.995 | 1.012 0.85-1.21 0.899 | 1.023 0.88-1.19 0.777 | 0.910 0.76-1.10 0.322 |
| Helplessness    | 1.052 0.97-1.14 0.202              | 1.032 0.94-1.13 0.493 | 0.982 0.91-1.06 0.650 | 1.014 0.93-1.10 0.747 | 0.986 0.88-1.11 0.806 | 0.984 0.89-1.09 0.753 | 0.974 0.87-1.09 0.645 |
| Sex             | 0.498 0.22-1.12 0.091              | 0.464 0.17-1.27 0.135 | 0.654 0.29-1.47 0.306 | 0.668 0.28-1.57 0.353 | 0.615 0.20-1.93 0.405 | 0.476 0.17-1.30 0.149 | 1.063 9.296 0.038 |
| Age             | 1.001 0.97-1.04 0.932              | 1.013 0.97-1.05 0.513 | 1.008 0.97-1.04 0.657 | 1.012 0.97-1.05 0.520 | 1.012 0.96-1.07 0.645 | 1.018 0.97-1.07 0.462 | 1.000 0.95-1.05 0.994 |
Discussion

Our study evaluated the gender differences regarding PCS scores and pain scores during and up to 1 month after the intervention. We found that females had a statistically significantly higher PCS score than males, as well as statistically significantly higher pain scores at 2 hours after the procedure. The tests also revealed that females are more likely to have a 1.064-point higher pain score than males 2 hours after the procedure. Differences between genders regarding pain and catastrophizing have been explored in earlier studies, which often reported higher pain and catastrophizing ratings in females [13]. According to literature, women may be more sensitive and less tolerant to painful stimuli due to differences in sex hormones and immunity [12-14]. Further study is needed to explore benefit of intervention approach based on gender. Considering age and PCS scores, we found that PCS scores were statistically significantly different between age groups and the highest among those older than 75 years.

Older adults often struggle with medical comorbidities, disability, depression and anxiety and an overall impaired quality of life, and all of these factors could influence catastrophizing thoughts [15,16]. Suren et al. did not find any differences in PCS among different age groups, however Park et al. found that risk for catastrophizing increases with age, although the results were not statistically significant [16,17]. It's important to note that in the Suren et al. study the oldest patient was 69 years old, and our study showed highest catastrophizing scores in patients older than 75 years old. Therefore, further research is needed in order to investigate the relationship between age and PCS. Our primary goal of this study was to determine whether the scores of pain catastrophizing were associated with acute pain up to 1 month after PCI and whether the PCS could be used to predict the postprocedural acute pain after the percutaneous coronary intervention. We did not find significant association between PCS score and pain during and after the procedure.

Majority of the studies on postoperative pain are performed with patients after surgeries of great extent and there are limited data on PCS and postprocedural pain [18,19]. While isolated acute pain levels could be comparable between such surgeries and minor procedures, extensity of tissue trauma is considerably larger compared to that experienced by patients after transradial PCI. We came to a conclusion that this is the main reason for insignificant association mentioned above. Many researchers analyze pain catastrophizing and it's association with pain. Some studies show that pain catastrophizing could be associated with chronic pain, delayed recovery and higher disability rates [20-22]. Authors suggest that pain catastrophizing might contribute to pain sensitivity through the central nervous system processing of pain-related information, however clear mechanisms are not yet identified [21]. Nonetheless, not many studies examine the relationship between pain catastrophizing and acute pain.

Khan et al. performed a study with patients scheduled for an open heart surgery with the aim to establish the relationship between catastrophizing and postoperative pain intensity. Their results indicated that patients showing higher scores on preoperative PCS also tended to report greater postoperative pain intensity [23]. Sommer et al., who examined the association between preoperative catastrophization and acute pain after ear, nose and throat surgery, came to the conclusion, that catastrophizing is a good predictor of postoperative pain [24]. One of the reasons why our results do not demonstrate any significant association between pain catastrophization scores and postprocedural pain could be that the PCI via the radial artery is a less painful procedure than major surgeries, therefore patients reported lower pain scores. In a study, conducted by Cheng et al., patients reported access site pain level with a median rating of 9.0 (0.0-28.5) on the 100 mm long visual analogue scale 3 h after the procedure [25,26], which is in accordance to our results.

Determining which patients could be more prone to catastrophizing could come of use when deciding whether certain interventions would be of clinical value, as it has been shown that pain catastrophizing can be modified in various surgical settings [26]. Importance of such interventions can be highlighted by relationship between higher PCS scores and greater need for postoperative opioids, as found by Sacks, et al. [27]. This study has a few limitations. First, patients filled PCS only prior to the procedure, thus data was not available to examine possible correlation between pain and PCS at all time points. This approach could have been important as it is known that PCS tend to vary depending on recovery [28]. Furthermore, no other possible psychological factors, such as anxiety, were evaluated. Some authors found a significant positive correlation between preoperative anxiety and PCS scores. These psychological factors could potentially add to the prediction of acute pain intensity. Further studies should be considered to specify our findings.

Conclusion

This study found no significant associations between pain catastrophizing and pain intensity after percutaneous coronary intervention. In addition, we found that females are more likely to report higher pain catastrophizing scores and higher pain scores than males and adults aged 75 and over were found to have higher PCS scores. Further study is needed to evaluate the importance of psychological factors regarding acute postprocedural pain.
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