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Abstract: - In the context of the digital economy development, the priority component of the economic security of an enterprise is changing from material to digital, constituting an independent element of enterprise security. The relevance of the present research is driven by the need to solve the issue of modernizing the economic security of the enterprise taking into account the new risks and opportunities of digitalization. The purpose of the academic paper lies in identifying the features of preventing internal and external negative influences (threats) in order to guarantee the effective and stable functioning and dynamic social development of the enterprise in the context of digitalization. The research methods are as follows: general scientific research methods, in particular, logical analysis, theoretical substantiation, methods of induction and deduction, formalization and generalization, statistical observation. Results. It has been proposed to introduce the concept of “digital security of the enterprise” for replacing the concept of “information component of economic security” in order to bring the terminology in line with new economic realities. The implementation of the “black box” model has made it possible to identify the latest risks and threats to the economic security of an enterprise within the conditions of the digitalization that differs from the existing ones. The assessment of enterprises’ digital security of the European Union member states has revealed that the digital security level does not depend on the size of the country, however, it is influenced by the institutional environment (in particular, digital development tools in the EU) and the size of enterprises.
Also, within the framework of the research, an assessment of the digital security level of enterprises in the context of digitalization has been proposed. In order to characterize enterprises by the level of digital security, a calculation procedure using the coefficient method has been proposed.
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1 Introduction
Solving the issues of ensuring economic security in the modern realities of digitalization of socio-economic processes is an important and urgent goal for the national economy. Unsteady external and internal conditions, digital transformation of almost all spheres of life inevitably give rise to new threats and challenges, requiring a prompt response and improvement of ways to minimize risks.

The accumulated experience provides an opportunity to state that economic security is a key characteristic for the stable functioning and achievement of the necessary development indicators for both individual business entities and the society as a whole. Security of economic processes is characterized by numerous political, legal and economic mechanisms and tools that help protect economic interests. In a broad sense, economic security can be considered as the ability of the institutional and organizational system to protect the interests of economic entities on the basis of international and national legal norms with respect and observance of national traditions and values of management. Innovative information and computer technologies, constituting the basis of the digital economy, play a significant role in the development of all aspects of the society. Digitalization processes have a particularly significant impact on the economic activities of business entities, and, consequently, on ensuring their economic security.

Despite the close attention to the problems of digitalization on the part of numerous investigations, the issues of the influence of digital technologies on the economic security of enterprises have been studied and elaborated insufficiently.

Business responds best to changes in the external environment; therefore, in the context of strong uncertainty, due to the digital transformation of the society, it can act as a basic tool in matters of its sustainable development. One of the key features of 2020 was the active digitalization of various sectors of the economy during the COVID-19 pandemic. Taking into consideration the complex epidemiological situation and the transition of many organizations to a remote working mode, the level of digitalization of enterprises is becoming more relevant than ever. This has entailed a significant increase in requirements for data transmission speed, the quality of digital services provided, their availability for customers and the economic security of enterprises. The obvious fact is that the reduction of restrictive measures will not lead to a rejection of further digitalization of enterprises; therefore, the emphasis in developing and providing economic security of enterprises, on the contrary, from the point of view of the outlined trends, will remain. For all the reasons outlined, the issue of ensuring the required level of economic security of enterprises and the formation of a functioning system for identifying, assessing and minimizing information risks is relevant.

2. Literature Review
The demand in security is fundamental for individuals, enterprises, the society and the state as a whole. Some scholars have focused on national economic security (Buzan, Hansen, 2009), economic security of households (Dynan, 2016) and economic security of the individual (Hacker et al., 2014). Others have paid attention, in addition to the above, to “economic security of the region and economic security of the enterprise” (Shutiak et al., 2014). Thus, at all stages of economic development, economic security is a key element of the economy and demands protection from internal and external threats.

The analysis of the conceptual apparatus of the enterprise’s economic security has shown that this concept is considered from several points of view as follows (Ianioglo, Polajeva, 2016):

1) protection against threats (protection of scientific and technical, production and personnel potential of the enterprise) from active or passive economic threats. Hazard is defined as “a source of danger that could harm an asset” (Rausand 2011). Threats to the economic security of the enterprise are potential or actual actions of individuals or legal entities that may lead to economic or other losses up to the bankruptcy of the enterprise. “The concept of threat is closely related to the concept of danger;
Moreover, it differs from it by the fact that the latter is not aimed at exploiting vulnerabilities. After all, a threat is a danger, but a danger is not necessarily a threat” (Rausand 2011). These are mainly threats to the external environment. It is especially important to find the optimal balance between the probable losses in cases of violation of the enterprise’s interests and the acceptable cost in order to avoid or minimize losses (Suglobov et al., 2013).

2) state of efficient use of resources. Economic security ensures stable operation and dynamic scientific, technical and social development of the enterprise; it prevents internal and external negative impacts (threats) through the most efficient use of corporate resources (capital, personnel, information, technology and engineering, equipment, legal resources) and business opportunities (Pokropivnyi, 2006; Ianioglo, Parmacli, 2015). However, the economic security of the enterprise is considered from the overinclusive point of view and, in fact, is identified with the activities and efficiency of the enterprise, which, in our opinion, is not entirely true;

3) the ability to stable functioning and development. The economic security of the enterprise lies in ensuring the stability of economic activity during each cycle of production, exchange, distribution and consumption and neutralization of factors undermining the stable functioning of the economic mechanism of the enterprise (Ioan-Franc, Diamescu, 2010). The economic security of the enterprise should not be limited to neutralizing factors; however, it should provide constant protection. By the term under consideration, the authors understand the state of the economic, legal and industrial relations of the enterprise, as well as material, intellectual and information resources, expressing the ability of the enterprise towards stable functioning. The primary focus is on ensuring the stable operation of the enterprise. Herewith, it is important not only to ensure stability, but also to support the development of the enterprise;

4) availability of competitive advantage. Suglobov et al. (2013) believe that economic security is a system providing competitive advantages of the enterprise through the efficient use of resources (material, labour, financial, investment) based on the studying complex information generated in an integrated accounting and information system. In the process of ensuring economic security, the author attaches particular importance to information that, if used correctly, is able to provide benefits to the company;

5) achieving the target goals as a criterion of economic security (Zigunova et al., 2020), which characterizes the state of the enterprise based on the assessment of its ability to function properly in order to accomplish the desired goals under existing external conditions and their change within certain limits. While the first approach assumes that the enterprise is economically safe when it is protected from threats, then according to the fifth approach, ensuring economic security is a more difficult task of providing the enterprise with such qualities with which it can achieve business goals. In contrast to the first approach, activities on ensuring the economic security of the enterprise are transferred to the analytical and management level.

The economic security of the enterprise is one of the elements of national security protection (Korchevska, 2015). Strelcova et al. (2015) believe that economic security is a state in which an entity (enterprise, country, group of countries, world, person, family, etc.) is protected in such a way that it is not exposed to threats that can significantly reduce its efficiency, necessary for ensuring defence, as well as competitiveness in the domestic and foreign markets. The authors consider economic security from the standpoint of protection against threats and maintaining the competitiveness of the business entity.

Liubokhynets et al. (2020) have investigated the process of minimizing the negative impact of destabilizing factors on economic security, which leads to the need to apply various approaches and methods that allow companies to successfully and steadily develop.

The economic security of the enterprise, in particular, the formation of its supporting system, depends on changes in external and internal environments. Nowadays it is the development of the digital economy and the COVID-19 pandemic.

Lipych, Skoruk (2020), figuring out the essence and features of the digital economy, established that Denmark, Sweden and Finland have taken the leading positions in the digital economy over the past three years; the lowest level of digitization has been observed in Bulgaria, Romania and Greece. The authors determine the need to develop and implement a system of financial and economic security of an enterprise in the context of the digital economy development and the conditions of digitalization as a necessary element of the enterprise’s internal economic mechanism for both protection of activity against external and internal negative factors and introduction of innovative information technologies and the software for the purpose of its stable and dynamic development.

The investigations in the field of economic security of the enterprise within the conditions of digital transformations mostly cover the topics as
follows: the impact of information and communication technologies (hereinafter - ICT) on the conduct of business enterprises, increasing their efficiency and competitiveness (Real, Leal & Roldán, 2006), the impact of ICT on economic growth and development (Stankic, Jovanovic Gavrilovic & Soldic Aleksic, 2018), on the economy and the society as a whole (Roztocki, Soja & Weistroffer, 2019). Bouwman, van der Hooff, van der Wijngaert & van Dijk (2005) analyze the adoption, implementation, application and consequences of the use of ICT in various organizations. Basically, studies concern the impact of ICT on the enterprises’ activities; however, the impact of digital transformations on the economic security of enterprises is underrepresented in scientific investigations. Recent explorations are devoted to the implementation of the latest information systems technologies such as cloud computing and rich data analytics. The most important concepts and features of big data are discussed in the scientific work (Chroneos-Krasavac, Soldic-Aleksic & Petkovic, 2016).

Kazmin et al. (2020) consider the security of information circulating in economic information systems. Based on the system analysis of technical channels of information leakage and methods of unauthorized access, a list of typical unauthorized malicious actions against economic information systems, as well as technical channels of information leakage when intercepting confidential information contained in economic information systems, is presented. The results of the analysis have made it possible for scientists to clarify measures towards ensuring the confidentiality, integrity and accessibility of information circulating in economic information systems based on modern information technologies.

Gaspareniene et al. (2016), considering the threats to the development of the digital economy, specify the drivers of shadow digital consumption that are identified as separate threats. Kadar et al. (2014) note that increased competition is accompanied by an increase in current threats and dangers and the emergence of new ones. The management of any enterprise should be aware of this, however, the study of the human factor in digitalization security processes narrows down to the implementation of information security (Khan et al., 2011). Scholz (2017) focuses on digitalization, legal zones, etc.; along with this, the scholar does not consider the need for digital security of the enterprise.

The purpose of the academic paper lies in identifying the features of preventing internal and external negative influences (threats) in order to guarantee the effective and stable functioning and dynamic social development of the enterprise within the conditions of digitalization.

3. Materials and Methods
The research methods are as follows: general scientific research methods, in particular, logical analysis, theoretical substantiation, methods of induction and deduction, formalization and generalization, statistical observation.

The results of the research are based on EU and OECD statistics. Concerning the investigations of ICT use in EU countries, the data source is the European Digital Progress Report (EDPR), containing a digital profile of each country (Country Profile), and it is published annually by the European Commission; data of the statistical study “The use of information and communication technologies (ICT) in enterprises”, the results of which are published on the Eurostat website in the section “Digital Economy and Society”.

Considering the fact that EU member states differ significantly in their digitalization features, in particular, the research is based on the hypothesis that differences between EU member states, reflecting all aspects of their use of information and communication technologies, affect the digital security of enterprises.

4. Results
Ensuring the economic security of an enterprise is important for the implementation of a continuous reproduction process. The economic security of the enterprise includes three important elements, namely: economic independence; sustainability and development. Economic independence involves fulfilling control over one’s own resources; it is the ability to pay one’s obligations on time. It is necessary to obtain such level of production that would ensure the competitiveness of the enterprise in the market. Sustainability is understood as the stability of functioning, the financial situation in which the fulfillment of all its obligations to employees, other organizations and the state is ensured. Development involves increasing the efficiency of the enterprise and bringing it to a satisfactory condition. In case the enterprise neither develops, nor achieves efficiency, then its ability to adapt to external and internal conditions decreases, and, therefore, the survival rate decreases. Consequently, enterprises are in a state of constant improvement in order to achieve and maintain a level of economic security.
We are of the opinion that the process of digital transformation lies in shifting the emphasis from tangible assets to intangible (digital, virtual), automation of business processes through the introduction of modern information (digital) technologies and systems and creating new business models based on them. The entire complex of information, objects of informatization, information (digital) technologies and systems, the introduction of ICT and the transition of an enterprise to functioning in a digital environment bears new risks and threats that are not characteristic of traditional (non-digital) processes (Figure 1). Ensuring economic security, in point of fact, comes down to the pairing of these threats. Therefore, the organization of activities on ensuring the economic security of enterprises to a great extent depends on the method of their identification and classification.

Actually, in economic science, the concept of economic security of an enterprise is considered as a set of components, among which information is distinguished as information protection, that is, it is connected with the processes of informatization of the enterprise’s activities, which in modern conditions have taken the form of digitalization, as well as with the protection of information resources. In this regard, ensuring the economic security of the enterprise has been built only in the form of information protection system. Therefore, taking into account the modern conditions of digital transformation, it is advisable to introduce the digital security of the enterprise as a state of digitalization in economic science, instead of information one, ensuring the economic and informational interests of the enterprise in the current period of time and its strategic economic security in the long term on the basis of appropriate technologies to the current state of the industrial revolution (in this context - Industry 4.0).

Consequently, ensuring economic security in the context of digitalization should be based on digital security – that is, the formation of qualitatively new factors contributing to the participation of enterprises in a unified information system for ensuring the economic security of enterprises and reducing external and internal risks.

Fig. 1. Types of risks and threats in the context of digitalization of enterprises according to the model of the “Black Box”

Source: developed by the author

Digital transformation differs from automation and informatization in the fact that it requires systemic changes in business processes, business models and economic relations, both within and around the enterprise. Creating an environment for the digital transformation of enterprises operating in traditional sectors of the economy should include a range of specialized technological and business consultations that can be conducted by the relevant competence centres. There is also a demand in public-private collaboration on nationwide initiatives (that is, skills development and common standards) and a comprehensive financial framework in order to support enterprises. In particular, the EU has introduced the tools to increase the digital security of enterprises as follows:
- EU sectoral initiative “Digitalization of European Industry” (DEI) in the framework of the “Single Digital Market” package since 2016 and its implementation at the supranational and national
levels – Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions: Digitising European Industry Reaping the full benefits of a Digital Single Market (COM (2016);
- financing of digital transformation for small and medium enterprises (SMEs). At EU level COSME (2014 - 2020), the EU SME Competitiveness Program provides the COSME Loan Guarantee Facility (LGF), which supports the financing of SME digital transformation projects in all sectors of the economy; - Regulation (EU) №1287/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council as of December 11, 2013 establishing the Programme for the Competitiveness of Enterprises and small and medium-sized enterprises (COSME) (2014 - 2020) and repealing Decision №1639/2006/EU. In 2021 - the establishment of the Fund for Recovery and Sustainability (Recovery and Resilience Fund);
- the existence of a central body for policy development for the digital transformation of enterprises in the EU member states;
- a network of Digital Innovation Centers (DIC - European Digital Innovation Hubs (EDIHs));
- financial package for the program “Digital Europe” for the period 2021-2027 (Regulation (EU) 2021/694 of the European Parliament and of the Council as of April 29, 2021, establishing the Digital Europe Programme and repealing Decision (EU) 2015/2240), as well as a special definition for European Digital Innovation Hubs (EDIHs) has been introduced for transparent allocation of funds;
- functioning of the European Institute for Innovation and Technology (EIT), including EIT Digital, the leading European organization for digital innovation and entrepreneurship education, which is the driving force behind European digital transformation;
- digital industrial platforms facilitating the digital transformation of enterprises, in particular, the network of European Digital Innovation Hubs;
- plan “Digital Compass-2030” (Digital Compass);
- implementation of the National Broadband Plan (National Broadband Plans) - Communication from the Commission - EU Guidelines for the application of State aid rules in relation to the rapid deployment of broadband networks;
- a special website “Digital Economy and Society – Overview” (Digital economy and society – Overview);
- the program “Path to the Digital Decade” (The Path to the Digital Decade) - Communication: «2030 Digital Compass: the European way for the Digital Decade».

We propose to analyze the situation in the European Union in order to form a correct viewpoint. In particular, this applies to companies assessing digital security risks, informing their employees of digital security obligations, conducting security tests or regular backups, and also insuring against digital security incidents.

For instance, the European Commission annually publishes the results of the Digital Economy and Society Index (DESI), tracking Europe’s overall digital performance and monitoring EU countries’ progress in terms of their digital competitiveness. According to Eurostat 2021 (The Digital Economy and Society Index (DESI) (2021)), Finland, Sweden, Denmark and the Netherlands are the leaders in overall digital productivity in the EU.

The International Digital Economy and Society Index (I-DESI) shows that the above-mentioned EU countries are also world leaders. In order to achieve the Digital Compass 2030 target, at least 90% of SMEs in the EU should possess a basic level of digital intensity. In 2020, only 60% of SMEs were at this level in terms of introducing digital technologies (OECD, 2021). Denmark and Finland are already very close to the EU target of 88%, while Bulgaria and Romania lag far behind (33%). The digital compass aims for at least 75% of companies to use artificial intelligence, cloud and big data technologies by 2030. Businesses are increasingly digitalizing; however, the use of advanced digital technologies remains low. Only one in four companies uses cloud computing and 14% of big data.

Taking into consideration the multifactority and multidimensional nature of the digital security concept of the enterprise, it may be appropriate to consider the lack of a single definition and recognize the possibility of different interpretations of this concept. Herewith, in this case, other problem arises: this diversity of concepts gives rise to a variety of methodological approaches towards determining the digital security of enterprises. By the way, the assessment of the digital security of the enterprise should be mentioned, the level of which is a relative indicator reflecting the state of digitalization, which makes it possible to ensure the economy of the enterprise, measured in a certain period.

For the convenience and value of its practical use, the methodological approach should meet a number of requirements as follows:
- to reflect the key factors of digital transformation in the context of modern economic conditions;
– to provide simplicity of calculations and economic interpretation of the obtained estimation values;
– not to cause difficulties regarding the values of individual indicators that are included in the final indicator;
– to exclude excessive subjectivism in the calculated values.

Focusing on the fulfillment of the above requirements for assessing the digital security of enterprises, one can use the coefficient method of assessment. Its essence lies in calculating certain indicators characterizing the effectiveness of the applying elements of digitalization of enterprises. In this case, the final indicator comes out as the arithmetic mean of relevant coefficients. According to this approach, the digital security indicators of enterprises in EU member states are calculated. In this case, one can use the indicators of statistical bodies (in the EU - Eurostat “ICT security in enterprises”) (Table 1) at the level of enterprises in the country. According to data in 2019, 92% of EU enterprises with 10 or more employees have used at least one measure in order to ensure the integrity, reliability, accessibility and confidentiality of ICT data and systems. According to the integral assessment of enterprises’ digital security based on the coefficient method, the best positions are taken by enterprises in Denmark, Sweden, Ireland, Finland and the Netherlands, relatively small economies in the EU.

| Countries                  | Uses at least one ICT security measure | Has documents on ICT security measures, practices or procedures | Documents on enterprise ICT protection have been identified or revised in the last 12 months | Inform employees about their obligations in the field of ICT security | Suffered from ICT-related inciden ts in 2018 | Has insurance against ICT-related incidents | Digital security |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| EU-27                      | 92                                     | 33                                                           | 24                                                                 | 61                                                                  | 13                                            | 21                                              | 30,58            |
| Belgium                    | 94                                     | 34                                                           | 27                                                                 | 57                                                                  | 22                                            | 25                                              | 33,19            |
| Bulgaria                   | 85                                     | 18                                                           | 13                                                                 | 51                                                                  | 16                                            | 3                                               | 37,20            |
| The Czech Republic          | 94                                     | 32                                                           | 26                                                                 | 76                                                                  | 21                                            | 8                                               | 51,40            |
| **Denmark**                | **97**                                 | **56**                                                       | **42**                                                              | **70**                                                             | **10**                                        | **56**                                           | **66,20**       |
| Germany                    | 97                                     | 37                                                           | 27                                                                 | 68                                                                  | 11                                            | 20                                              | 52,00            |
| Estonia                    | 86                                     | 27                                                           | 18                                                                 | 55                                                                  | 8                                             | 7                                               | 40,20            |
| **Ireland**                | **93**                                 | **54**                                                       | **42**                                                              | **76**                                                             | **18**                                        | **39**                                           | **64,40**       |
| Greece                     | 74                                     | 15                                                           | 10                                                                 | 33                                                                  | 7                                             | 25                                              | 32,80            |
| Spain                      | 92                                     | 33                                                           | 25                                                                 | 54                                                                  | 12                                            | 33                                              | 49,80            |
| France                     | 94                                     | 26                                                           | 18                                                                 | 55                                                                  | 15                                            | 39                                              | 49,40            |
| Croatia                    | 90                                     | 41                                                           | 25                                                                 | 47                                                                  | 19                                            | 7                                               | 45,80            |
| Italy                      | 93                                     | 34                                                           | 28                                                                 | 73                                                                  | 10                                            | 13                                              | 50,20            |
| Cyprus                     | 83                                     | 32                                                           | 24                                                                 | 59                                                                  | 11                                            | 13                                              | 44,40            |
| Latvia                     | 98                                     | 42                                                           | 25                                                                 | 68                                                                  | 12                                            | 12                                              | 51,40            |
| Lithuania                  | 93                                     | 36                                                           | 22                                                                 | 67                                                                  | 16                                            | 4                                               | 47,60            |
| Luxembourg                 | 93                                     | 27                                                           | 22                                                                 | 52                                                                  | 17                                            | 26                                              | 47,40            |
| Hungary                    | 86                                     | 17                                                           | 13                                                                 | 48                                                                  | 15                                            | 4                                               | 36,60            |
| Malta                      | 92                                     | 32                                                           | 25                                                                 | 59                                                                  | 24                                            | 29                                              | 52,20            |
| The Netherlands            | 96                                     | 42                                                           | 32                                                                 | 56                                                                  | 11                                            | 26                                              | 52,60            |
| Austria                    | 91                                     | 36                                                           | 28                                                                 | 63                                                                  | 12                                            | 18                                              | 49,60            |
| Poland                     | 87                                     | 23                                                           | 18                                                                 | 49                                                                  | 13                                            | 11                                              | 40,20            |
| Portugal                   | 98                                     | 28                                                           | 21                                                                 | 54                                                                  | 8                                             | 10                                              | 43,80            |
policies can cover risks. As a consequence, some insurance policies or individual cyber insurance covering the risk of digital security. Traditional availability of insurance policies in the country seen as a sign of the company's serious attitude to assessment practices.

In contrast, in countries, where a large proportion of large companies perform backups, ones. In the EU member states, a significant proportion of large enterprises (40%). This is also revealed in Slovenia, although to a much lesser extent.

Source: summarized and complied by the author based on data of Eurostat

Digital security risk assessment - a periodic assessment of the probability and consequences of digital security incidents; it is the basis for digital security risk management (OECD, 2015) (Table 2).

Table 2. Features of digital security risk assessment of EU enterprises

| Indicator                                      | State                                                                 |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Proportion of companies conducting assessment of digital security risks | From 14% in Hungary to 60% in Finland. The rate increases with increasing company size (less than 1/3 among small companies, approaching 3/4 among large). |
| Risk transfer (insurance)                      | From 4% in Lithuania to over 56% in Denmark. In all EU countries, except two, the propensity to transfer risk increases with the size of enterprises. In Denmark, it is significantly higher among small enterprises (57%) compared to medium-sized enterprises (5%) and large enterprises (40%). This is also revealed in Slovenia, although to a much lesser extent |
| Proportion of enterprises that employ people who are aware of their ICT security obligations | It ranges from 1/3 in Greece to more than 3/4 in Ireland, where there is also a high concentration of business in the ICT sector. This share also increases with the size of enterprises: less than 60% among small enterprises, but more than 90% among large ones. |

Source: summarized and complied by the author according to OECD based on Eurostat (2019), Digital Economy and Society Statistics, Comprehensive Database StatLink https://doi.org/10.1787/888934192357; Eurostat (2019), Digital Economy and Society Statistics, Comprehensive Database. StatLink https://doi.org/10.1787/888934192376

In the European Union, according to the OECD data, based on Eurostat, methods for assessing digital security risks for enterprises are closely correlated with security tests or backup procedures. In general, it can be concluded that large companies carry out this activity on average much more often than small ones. In the EU member states, a significant proportion of large companies perform backups, which are independent of risk assessment practices. In contrast, in countries, where a large proportion of small and medium-sized enterprises (hereinafter referred to as SMEs) carry out risk assessments, a significant proportion of SMEs also introduce backups. This goes to prove that backup in large companies is part of the mainstream of digital security; while in SMEs it is more dependent on risk assessment practices.

In general, the tendency towards insurance can be seen as a sign of the company’s serious attitude to digital security. However, it also depends on the availability of insurance policies in the country covering the risk of digital security. Traditional insurance policies or individual cyber insurance policies can cover risks. As a consequence, some companies may think that traditional policies apply to them, but this is not the case (OECD, 2020).

Therefore, all of the above mentioned indicators, based on Eurostat data, clearly show that the tendency of enterprises towards implementing digital security measures increases with their size.

5. Discussion

The study has confirmed the research hypothesis that differences between member countries affect the level achieved in the course of introduction and implementation of information and communication technologies in enterprises of EU countries. In several studies on the use of ICT in enterprises in European countries, the efforts have been made to rank countries by their level of adoption and identify factors influencing the ICT adoption process. J. Becker, A. Becker, P. Sulikowski and T. Zdziebko, (2018) rank the countries of Central Europe, members of the European Union (Austria, the Czech Republic, Germany, Hungary, Slovakia, Slovenia) based on application of ICT in enterprises that use analytical networking process (ANP). The survey revealed that among these countries, Slovenia and Austria were the leaders in 2017 in the use of ICT in
enterprises. A. Zečević and J. Radović-Stojanović (2018) analyzed the use of ICT in enterprises in Slovenia, Croatia, the Republic of Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Macedonia and Montenegro. They have noted that investment and development of information and communication infrastructure are factors influencing the use of ICT in enterprises of these countries. In the course of the research, the conclusion has been made that EU member states, namely Slovenia and Croatia, are leading in the use of ICTs in their enterprises, especially in the implementation of advanced technologies, that is, cloud computing and e-commerce. However, our research indicates that this situation has changed in 2020, and the EU countries are in the process of increasing the digitalization of enterprises, which has a positive effect on their economic security.

6. Conclusions

The introduction of digital technologies into the business processes of enterprises bears new risks and threats that are not characteristic of traditional (non-digital) processes; they are caused by new technologies and features of the digital economy. Identifying possible risks and threats is one of the most important goals in ensuring the economic security of the enterprise in the context of the digital economy. The efficiency of the developed and applied measures towards minimizing risks and neutralizing threats to the economic security of an enterprise depends on the quality and timeliness of the goal outlined. The approach to the analysis of risks and threats of the enterprise in digital economy should be complex; it should cover all basic business processes of the enterprise both in internal and external environment.

An assessment of the enterprises’ digital security in the EU member states has shown that it is small and dynamic European economies, in particular, that are characterized by higher security indicators in the context of digitalization; they are the ones that achieve the best indicators in the implementation of ICT. They are looking for opportunities to develop ICT and often surpass large countries with advanced economies in terms of application of digital security in the enterprises. The level of the achieved economic security is an important factor due to the volume of investments in information technology, the development of an information business culture and the desire of enterprises to introduce modern ICT.

The level of economic security also influences the adoption of advanced information technologies such as cloud computing and e-business integration in enterprises. Additional investigations are required to clarify the influence of these factors and the possible identification of other factors affecting the digital security of enterprises. In particular, the issue of the impact of the regional situation of countries on the enterprises’ digital security warrants further study. Along with this, the subsequent directions of studying can be the development of methodological fundamentals for determining the level of digital security of an enterprise based on a system of indicators, in particular, the use of digital marketing, analysis of work in remote mode and the use of digital economy technologies.
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