Spectral properties of one-dimensional Fermi systems after an interaction quench
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We show that the single-particle spectral properties of gapless one-dimensional Fermi systems in the Luttinger liquid state reached at intermediate times after an abrupt quench of the two-particle interaction are highly indicative of the unusual nonequilibrium nature of this state. The line shapes of the momentum integrated and resolved spectral functions strongly differ from their ground state as well as finite temperature equilibrium counterparts. Using an energy resolution improved version of radio-frequency spectroscopy of quasi one-dimensional cold Fermi gases it should be possible to experimentally identify this nonequilibrium state by its pronounced spectral signatures.
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Closed one-dimensional (1d) Fermi systems are promising candidates to realize unusual nonequilibrium steady states. In many 1d out of equilibrium systems integrals of motion beyond energy conservation hinder that observables and the reduced density matrix of subsystems relax to values expected for one of the canonical ensembles. Even for generic models, in which those are absent, the restricted phase space available for scattering at least delays thermalization. An intensively studied question is under which conditions 1d systems prepared in the ground state of an initial Hamiltonian $H_I$ and brought out of equilibrium by time-evolving them with $H_I$ of similar form but with changed parameters eventually thermalize [1–12]. Quench protocols of this type can experimentally be realized in cold atomic gases [13, 14]. Increasing theoretical evidence was gathered that in an extended time regime following the after-quench transient one a quasi stationary state exists which does show nonthermal behavior [4, 8, 10, 12, 15]. Here we are interested in the properties of this intermediate time steady state regardless if it is the true steady state or if further relaxation sets in on a well separated larger time scale [10]. For nongeneric models which are mappable on free ones the system is stuck in this state for all further times; it can be described by a generalized Gibbs ensemble (GGE) [1, 2, 5, 16–21]. If the same holds for more complex models which cannot directly be linked to noninteracting ones but are characterized by an infinite set of local conservation laws it is usually investigated [2, 22–25].

Already in equilibrium 1d metals are peculiar. They fall into the Luttinger liquid (LL) universality class which is characterized by power-law decay of correlation functions with interaction dependent exponents [26, 27]. The Tomonaga-Luttinger model (TLM), a field theoretical model for which the exact computation of correlation functions is straightforward using bosonization, constitutes the low-energy fixed point model under a renormalization group (RG) procedure of all microscopic models falling into the LL class [26, 27]. The power-law decay of the TLM’s ground state lesser Green function $iG^<(x,t') = \langle \psi^\dagger(0,0)\psi(x,t') \rangle$, with the field operator $\psi$ in the Heisenberg picture, leads to a power-law nonanalyticity of the momentum distribution function $n(k) = \int dx e^{-ikx}iG^<(x,0)$ for momenta close to the Fermi momentum $k_F$ (instead of a jump by the quasi-particle weight in Fermi liquids; see Fig. 1(a)). For $\omega \to 0^-$, that is close to the chemical potential, the spectral function $\rho^<(\omega) = \int dt e^{i\omega t}iG^<(0,t')/(2\pi)$ approaches zero following a power law and vanishes for $\omega > 0$ (see Fig. 1(b)). The line shape of the momentum resolved spectral function $\rho^<(k,\omega) = \int dx \int dt e^{-ik(x-\omega t)}iG^<(x,t')/(2\pi)$ strongly differs from the one of a Fermi liquid (see Fig. 2). In electronic systems $\rho^<(k,\omega)$ and $\rho^<\omega$ can directly be measured using photoemission spectroscopy; for a recent such measurement on a LL see e.g. [28].

Recent studies of microscopic lattice models in their metallic phase indicate that the intermediate time nonequilibrium steady state as well as the time evolution towards it shows LL properties when performing a global quench of the two-particle interaction. The results obtained for $n(k)$ [8], the Friedel oscillations of the density, as well as the static density response [15] were consistent with typical LL power laws derived for the TLM in the steady state reached after the same type of interaction quench. Compared to their ground state counterparts the dependencies of the exponents of static correlation functions of the TLM on the LL parameter $K$ are modified [1, 16, 29, 30]. E.g. for a spinless model the densities’ Friedel oscillations off an inhomogeneity decay with exponent $-K$ in the interacting ground state but with $-(K^2+1)/2$ in the steady state for the initial state being the noninteracting ground state [15]. For weak interactions $K = 1 - U/U_c + \mathcal{O}(U^2)$, with the amplitude $U$ of the interaction and a model dependent $U_c$. Thus both exponents agree to leading order in $U$. Furthermore, for a given experimental system $K$ is usually unknown. It is therefore rather challenging to distinguish the ground and the nonequilibrium steady state based on measurements of static correlation functions. We show that the single-particle spectral properties derived from dynamical...
intermediate time steady state correlation functions \[19\] in contrast significantly differ from their ground state counterparts. In particular, \( \rho^<(\omega) \) has finite spectral weight at \( \omega \geq 0 \) (see Fig. 1(b)) with a power-law approach of the nonuniversal constant \( \rho^-(0) \) from both sides. Even more strikingly \( \rho^<(k, \omega) \) after the quench shows a prominent peak at positive frequencies when \( k > k_F \) while the ground state has vanishing spectral weight for \( \omega \geq 0 \) (see Fig. 2(b)). The spectral functions of the intermediate time steady state can as well easily be distinguished from finite temperature \( T \) spectra (see Figs. 1(b) and 2). Our results are obtained for the TLM using bosonization and supported by microscopic lattice model calculations based on analytical arguments and the numerical density-matrix RG (DMRG) \[31\].

Cold Fermi gases confined to 1d provide the most promising systems to realize the intermediate time nonequilibrium LL steady state. In such the single-particle spectral functions can be measured by radio-frequency spectroscopy \[32–34\]. After improving the energy resolution by roughly one order of magnitude it should be possible to detect the unique spectral features of the nonequilibrium LL state of correlated matter.

The TLM and bosonization—After linearizing the dispersion relation of the spinless 1d Fermi gas around \( \pm k_F \) its Hamiltonian for a translational invariant chain of length \( L \) can be written in bosonized form as \[26, 27\]

\[
H = \sum_{n>0} \left[ k_n \left( v_F + \frac{g(k_n)}{2\pi} \right) \left( b_n^\dagger b_n + b_n^\dagger b_n + b_n^\dagger b_n \right) + k_n \frac{g(k_n)}{2\pi} \left( b_n^\dagger b_n + b_n^\dagger b_n \right) \right],
\]

with bosonic ladder operators \( b_n^\dagger \) associated to the densities of left- and right-moving fermions, the Fermi velocity \( v_F \), and \( k_n = 2\pi n/L, n \in \mathbb{Z} \). For simplicity—but not affecting our main results—we assume that the intra- and interbranch two-particle potentials are equal; \( g(q) \) falls off on a scale \( q_c \). Employing a Bogoliubov transformation Eq. (1) can be written as \( H = \sum_{n \neq 0} \omega(k_n) \alpha_n^\dagger \alpha_n + E_{gs} \) with new bosonic ladder operators \( \alpha_n^\dagger \) of dispersion \( \omega(k) = v_F |k| \sqrt{1 + \hat{g}(k)} \), where \( \hat{g} = g/(\pi v_F) \).

The ground state \( |gs\rangle \) is the product of the vacua with respect to the \( \alpha_n^\dagger \) and the ground state energy \( E_{gs} = -2 \sum_{n \neq 0} \omega(k_n)s^2(k), \) with \( s^2(k) = \{1 + \hat{g}(k)/2\}/\sqrt{1 + \hat{g}(k)} - 1\}/2 \)[30].

Applying bosonization of the field operator \[26, 27\] computing the lesser Green function \( iG_{\leftarrow}^<(x, t') = \langle \psi^\dagger(0, 0)\psi(x, t') \rangle_{\rho_{GGE}} \) of the right moving fermions with the statistical operator \( \rho(t) \) obeying the von Neumann equation is straightforward. The initial conditions considered are: \( \rho(t) = |gs\rangle \langle gs| \) leading to the \( t \) independent ground state Green function, \( \rho(t) = |gs_0\rangle \langle gs_0| \) with the noninteracting ground state \( |gs_0\rangle \) the vacua product of the \( b_n \), leading to the \( t \) dependent Green function of the quenched system, and \( \rho(t) = \exp(-H/T)/Z \) with the (canonical) partition function \( Z \), leading to the temperature \( T \) equilibrium Green function \( (t \) independent). The time evolution is performed with \( H \) Eq. (1). Up to a momentum sum \( G_{\leftarrow}^<(x, x') \) can be given in closed form \[35\]. In those expressions the thermodynamic limit can be taken. In the quench protocol the time \( t \) can subsequently be sent to infinity. As the TLM can be written in free bosons the resulting steady state is the state of our interest. We have explicitly verified that the corresponding dynamical correlation function \( iG_{\leftarrow}^<(x, x') \) can as well be obtained directly by computing \( \langle \psi^\dagger(0, 0)\psi(x, t') \rangle_{\rho_{GGE}} \) with the GGE density matrix \( \rho_{GGE} = \exp(-\sum_{n \neq 0} \lambda_n \alpha_n^\dagger \alpha_n)/Z_{GGE} \), the Lagrange multipliers \( \lambda_n \) fixed such that \( \langle gs_0| N_n |gs_0\rangle = \langle N_n \rangle_{\rho_{GGE}} \) for the conserved eigenmode occupancies \( N_n = \alpha_n^\dagger \alpha_n \), and taking \( L \to \infty \)[19]. To obtain \( n(k) \) and \( \rho^<(\omega) \) we numerically perform the momentum integral as well as the corresponding Fourier integral. We assume \( g(q) = g e^{-\omega q^2/2} \) but note that our conclusions are independent of the details of the \( q \) dependence as long as \( g(q = 0) < \infty \), i.e., the interaction is (sufficently) short ranged (screened) in real space. For \( |k - k_F|/q_c, |\omega|/(v_F q_c) \ll 1 \), analytical results can be derived. To obtain \( \rho^<(k, \omega) \) we instead resort to an often applied ad hoc procedure in which \( \omega(k) \) is replaced by its lowest order expansion \( v k \)
with the renormalized velocity $v = v_F \sqrt{1 + \hat{g}(0)}$ and the momentum integral is regularized in the ultraviolet by multiplying by $e^{-|q|/a|}$. The consequences of this approximation [43] are discussed below. Then the $q$ integral can be performed analytically [35] and the remaining Fourier integrals numerically.

In Fig. 1(a) we compare the ground state, the steady state as well as the thermal $n(k)$. In the latter $T$ is chosen such that the average energy corresponds to the energy quenched into the system (see also Figs. 1(b) and 2). The ground and steady states are characterized by power laws $|k/2 - n(k)| \sim |(k - k_F)/q_c|^\gamma_{gs} = (K + K^{-1} - 2)/2$ [26, 27] and $\gamma_{ss} = (K^2 + K^{-2} - 2)/4$ [1, 29, 30], respectively, where $K^{-1} = \sqrt{1 + g(0)}$. This holds for $\gamma < 1$ and independent of the form of $g(q)$: for $\gamma > 1$ [43] as well as for $T > 0$ [44, 45] the leading dependence for $k \approx k_F$ is linear. As the analytical expression of the two exponents in terms of $K$ differ, one is tempted to conclude that measuring $n(k)$ allows to distinguish the LL ground and the steady states. However, for an experimental system $K$ is usually unknown and measuring a single exponent does thus not allow to conclude which of the states is realized. Furthermore, for small interactions the exponents only differ by a factor of two [30] and even if $K$ would be known within some bounds from the measurement of another observable distinguishing the two states would require a very precise determination of an exponent which is hardly achievable. Similar reasoning holds for other static correlation functions (see the introduction) [8, 15, 16]. We next show that the spectral properties are more suitable to distinguish the two states.

In Fig. 1(b) we compare the three $\rho^<(\omega)$. In the ground state $\rho^<(\omega) \sim |\omega/(v_F q_c)|^{\gamma_{gs}}$ [26, 27] (regardless of the size of $\gamma_{gs}$ [43]; compare to $n_{gs}(k)$). $G^<(0,t')$ is analytical in the upper-half of the complex $t'$ plane thus $\rho^<(\omega)$ vanishes for $\omega > 0$—in equilibrium ($T = 0$) only the occupied states are visible in photoemission. As discussed in [44] for $|\omega|/(v_F q_c), T/(v_F q_c) \ll 1$ one finds $\rho^<(t) \approx (c_1 \omega^2 + c_2 T^2 \omega^2)^{\omega/2}f(\omega, T)$, with the Fermi function $f$ and (dimensionful) constants $c_1, c_2$. For fixed $T$ and $|\omega|/T \ll 1$ the corrections to the finite weight at $\omega = 0$ are thus linear in $\omega$. Both, the vanishing of the weight in the ground state for $\omega > 0$ as well as the linear behavior close to $\omega = 0$ in thermal equilibrium are in stark contrast to our results for $\rho^<(\omega)$. As shown in Fig. 1(b) $\rho^<(\omega)$ has weight for all $\omega$ and is finite at $\omega = 0$. The zero frequency weight is nonuniversal; it does not only depend on $g(0)$ (as $K$, and thus $\gamma$, as well as $v$ do) but on the details of the $q$ dependence of the potential (inset of Fig. 1(b)). $\rho^<(0)$ increases with increasing $T$. If $T$ is chosen such that the average energy corresponds to the energy quenched into the system, $T$, and thus $\rho^<(0)$, increases with $\hat{g}$. In contrast, $\rho^<(0)$ is a decreasing function of $\hat{g}$. Using asymptotic analysis we find $\rho^<(\omega) - \rho^<(0) \sim |\omega|^{\gamma_{ss}}$ for $\omega \to 0^-$ and $\omega \to 0^+$ (if $\gamma_{ss} < 1$; else $\rho^<(\omega) - \rho^<(0) \sim -\omega$). In short, $\rho^<(0)$ is finite and nonuniversal, but the corrections to it show universal (‘critical’) power-law scaling. This rather unusual behavior is very indicative of the intermediate time nonequilibrium LL steady state.

An even more easily observable hallmark of the nonequilibrium state is found in $\rho^>(\omega)$. The $k - k_F < 0$ spectral functions of Fig. 2(a) are all dominated by a peak located at $\omega = v(k - k_F)$. While $\rho^<(\omega)$ vanishes for $\omega > v(k - k_F)$, $\rho^>(\omega)$ carries weight also for these energies. In fact, a weak second structure is visible at $\omega = -v(k - k_F)$ (inset of Fig. 2(a)). Due to the outlined ad hoc procedure the features at $\omega = \pm v(k - k_F)$ are power laws with exponents given in [35]. We expect that without the approximation underlying this procedure the spectra look very similar but are most likely not characterized by algebraic singularities as was discussed for the ground state in [43]. The dominating peak is broadened in the finite $T$ canonical ensemble. The most striking difference between the ground and intermediate time steady state spectra is found for $k - k_F > 0$ (Fig. 2(b)). In this case $\rho^>(\omega)$ only shows a weak bump around $\omega = -v(k - k_F)$ and vanishes for larger energies, while the dominating feature of $\rho^>(\omega)$ is a narrow peak at positive energies $\omega = v(k - k_F)$: within the ad hoc procedure it is given by a power law [35]. The peak is much more narrow than the broad one found in $\rho^<(0)$. To further emphasize the differences of $\rho^>(\omega)$ and $\rho^<(0)$ in Fig. 2(b) we show the latter for two additional $T$ smaller than the one determined by the quenched energy. To acquire a physical understanding of the positive energy peak we first discuss the threshold behavior of $\rho^>(\omega)$. The ground state corresponds to the $\alpha$-boson vacuum. For fixed $k - k_F > 0$ one has to accomodate a momentum $-(k - k_F)$ which can be done by creating $\alpha$-bosons with momenta $q \in \mathbb{R}$ summing up to this value. The lowest energy state with $|\omega| = v(k - k_F)$ is the one in which a single boson with momentum $-(k-k_F)$ is created leading
to the threshold. In contrast, the steady and the thermal states already contain $\alpha$-bosons. It is thus possible to destroy $\alpha$-bosons with positive momentum. Since the single boson state with $\omega = v(k - k_F)$ carries the largest weight [27] a peak at this energy emerges. The difference in the width follows from the different distributions of $\alpha$-bosons.

**Lattice models and the inverse quench**—We next investigate if the revealed spectral characteristics of the intermediate time nonequilibrium LL state can also be found in microscopic models and are thus universal. Analytical [22, 23, 25] or semi-analytical [15] approaches to the (intermediate time) steady state applicable to microscopic models which are not directly linked to free ones are rare. Thus one frequently resorts to numerics and results can only be obtained up to a certain time or for small systems (restricting the time for which the results are of relevance due to recurrence effects). The computation of spectral functions would require to perform two time evolutions up to sizable times to reach the intermediate time steady state (intermediate $t$) and to be able to perform the Fourier transform with sufficient energy resolution (large $t'$). For a general setup this is currently out of reach. We thus resort to a particular one, which, however, shows the spectral characteristics discussed above.

Instead of performing the above quench we consider the inverse one by starting in the interacting ground state and *time evolving with the noninteracting Hamiltonian*. Exploiting translational invariance it is straightforward to show that $G_\omega(x, t')$ of an arbitrary model does not depend on $t$ and is given by $iG_\omega(x, t') = \sum_k e^{-i(\varepsilon_k t' + kx)}n_i(k)/L$ with the single-particle dispersion $\varepsilon_k$ of the given model, the momentum distribution function in the initial state $n_i(k)$, and $x$ being the position on the line or a discrete lattice site. The $t$ independence is particular to the one-particle Green function; other observables and correlation functions depend on $t$. Fourier transformation leads to $\rho_\omega(z) = \sum_k n_i(k)\delta(\omega - \varepsilon_k)/L$. The complexity of computing $\rho_\omega(z)$ for the inverse quench is thus comparable to the one of computing $n_i(k)$ in the interacting ground state of microscopic models from the LL class. The latter was recently achieved with high precision using DMRG [45]. As $\rho_\omega(z)$ ‘samples’ the equilibrium $n_i(k)$ and for any model from the LL class $1/2 - n_i(k) \sim |k - k_F|^{1/2}$ [26, 27] it is evident that the main characteristics of $\rho_\omega(z)$ are the same as the ones discussed above: a finite weight at $\omega = 0$ which is approached for $\omega \to 0^+$ following a power law with interaction dependent exponent (for $\gamma_s < 1$; else $\rho_\omega(z) = \rho_\omega(0) \sim -\omega$). This similarly holds for $\rho_\omega(k, \omega) \sim n_i(k)\delta(\omega - \varepsilon_k)$ in which a positive frequency peak shows up for $k - k_F > 0$ as $n_i(k > k_F)$ takes a nonvanishing value (LL property).

In Fig. 3(a) we show $\rho_\omega(z)$ after the inverse quench for the lattice model of spinless fermions with nearest neighbor interaction $H = -J \sum c_i^+ c_{i+1} + \text{H.c.} + U n_i n_{i+1}$, with $n_j = c_i^+ c_i - 1/2$, at half filling. We did not use $n_i(k)$ but equally efficiently computed $\rho_\omega(z)$ by time-dependent (T)DMRG [31] (in $t'$ at $t = 0$ relying on the $t$ independence) and numerical Fourier transformation exploiting that the time evolution is a free one [35]. This allows us to reach times $t'J = O(10^3)$ much larger than usual in TDMRG.

We finally study the inverse quench for our lattice model assuming open boundaries. Then $G_\omega(x, j, t')$ depends on $t$ (as well as on $j$ and $j'$ and not only $j - j'$). Exploiting again that the time evolution is a free one using TDMRG we can compute $G_\omega(x, j, t')$ at finite $t$ for $t'$ so large that Fourier transformation is meaningful. For $t \to \infty$ the steady state local spectral function $\rho_\omega(z)$ can be accessed computing the average of $c_i^+ c_i(t')$ with the GGE density matrix $\rho_{\text{GGE}} = \exp(-\sum_k \lambda_k c_i^+ c_k)/Z_{\text{GGE}}$ and the Lagrange multipliers $\lambda_k$ fixed such that $\langle gs | n_k | gs \rangle = \langle n_k | \rho_{\text{GGE}} \rangle$. For the time evolution with an interaction free Hamiltonian this was shown explicitly in Ref. [21]. This leads to $\rho_\omega(z) = \sum_k \sin^2(k)/L \sum_j \sin(kj)c_j$. For the time evolution with an interaction free Hamiltonian this was shown explicitly in Ref. [21]. This leads to $\rho_\omega(z) = 2 \sum_k \sin^2(k)/L \sum_j \sin(kj)c_j$. For the time evolution with an interaction free Hamiltonian this was shown explicitly in Ref. [21]. This leads to $\rho_\omega(z) = 2 \sum_k \sin^2(k)/L \sum_j \sin(kj)c_j$. For the time evolution with an interaction free Hamiltonian this was shown explicitly in Ref. [21]. This leads to $\rho_\omega(z) = 2 \sum_k \sin^2(k)/L \sum_j \sin(kj)c_j$. For the time evolution with an interaction free Hamiltonian this was shown explicitly in Ref. [21]. This leads to $\rho_\omega(z) = 2 \sum_k \sin^2(k)/L \sum_j \sin(kj)c_j$. For the time evolution with an interaction free Hamiltonian this was shown explicitly in Ref. [21]. This leads to $\rho_\omega(z) = 2 \sum_k \sin^2(k)/L \sum_j \sin(kj)c_j$. For the time evolution with an interaction free Hamiltonian this was shown explicitly in Ref. [21]. This leads to $\rho_\omega(z) = 2 \sum_k \sin^2(k)/L \sum_j \sin(kj)c_j$. For the time evolution with an interaction free Hamiltonian this was shown explicitly in Ref. [21]. This leads to $\rho_\omega(z) = 2 \sum_k \sin^2(k)/L \sum_j \sin(kj)c_j$. For the time evolution with an interaction free Hamiltonian this was shown explicitly in Ref. [21]. This leads to $\rho_\omega(z) = 2 \sum_k \sin^2(k)/L \sum_j \sin(kj)c_j$. For the time evolution with an interaction free Hamiltonian this was shown explicitly in Ref. [21]. This leads to $\rho_\omega(z) = 2 \sum_k \sin^2(k)/L \sum_j \sin(kj)c_j$. For the time evolution with an interaction free Hamiltonian this was shown explicitly in Ref. [21]. This leads to $\rho_\omega(z) = 2 \sum_k \sin^2(k)/L \sum_j \sin(kj)c_j$. For the time evolution with an interaction free Hamiltonian this was shown explicitly in Ref. [21]. This leads to $\rho_\omega(z) = 2 \sum_k \sin^2(k)/L \sum_j \sin(kj)c_j$. For the time evolution with an interaction free Hamiltonian this was shown explicitly in Ref. [21]. This leads to $\rho_\omega(z) = 2 \sum_k \sin^2(k)/L \sum_j \sin(kj)c_j$. For the time evolution with an interaction free Hamiltonian this was shown explicitly in Ref. [21]. This leads to $\rho_\omega(z) = 2 \sum_k \sin^2(k)/L \sum_j \sin(kj)c_j$. For the time evolution with an interaction free Hamiltonian this was shown explicitly in Ref. [21]. This leads to $\rho_\omega(z) = 2 \sum_k \sin^2(k)/L \sum_j \sin(kj)c_j$. For the time evolution with an interaction free Hamiltonian this was shown explicitly in Ref. [21]. This leads to $\rho_\omega(z) = 2 \sum_k \sin^2(k)/L \sum_j \sin(kj)c_j$. For the time evolution with an interaction free Hamiltonian this was shown explicitly in Ref. [21].
be measured in ‘continuous beam’ photoemission spectroscopy [46]; $\rho^<_t$ is merely an auxiliary quantity.

In summary, we revealed spectral features which are unique to the intermediate time nonequilibrium LL steady state reached after a global interaction quench. They can be used to identify this state in quenched cold Fermi gases in future radio-frequency spectroscopy.
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I. EXACT ANALYTICAL EXPRESSIONS FOR THE LESSER GREEN FUNCTION

We here present the analytical expressions for the lesser Green function $G_t^<(x,t')$ of the TLM A. in the ground state, B. after the global quench of the two-particle interaction from zero to $g(q)$, and C. in the equilibrium canonical ensemble at temperature $T$. They are obtained by standard bosonization of the field operator [1,2]. As outlined in the main text when computing $n(k)$ and $\rho^<(\omega)$ we do not rely on the commonly used approximate ad hoc procedure which allows to analytically evaluate the remaining momentum integral in $G_t^<(x,t')$ [3].

A. Ground state

The $t$ independent lesser Green function can be expressed in terms of the noninteracting one $G_0^<(x,t')$ and an interaction dependent function $F(x,t')$ as

$$iG_t^<(x,t') = iG_0^<(x,t') e^{F(x,t')}.$$  \hfill (1)

The former is given by

$$iG_0^<(x,t') = -\frac{i}{2\pi} \frac{e^{ik_p x}}{x - v_F t' - i\eta},$$  \hfill (2)

where we have already taken the thermodynamic limit and $\eta$ must be sent to 0⁺. The interaction enters via

$$F_{gs}(x,t') = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dq}{q} \{ e^{-i[qx - \omega(q)t']} - e^{-i[qx - v_F qt']} + 2s^2(q) \left[ \cos(qx)e^{i\omega(q)t'} - 1 \right] \},$$  \hfill (3)

with $\omega(q)$ and $s^2(q)$ as given in the main text. We note that the $q$ integral is cut off in the ultraviolet by the range of the two-particle potential in momentum space $q_c$. This can explicitly be seen in the third term proportional to $s^2(q)$ as the latter approaches zero on the scale $q_c$. It is less obvious for the first two terms, which, however, cancel each other for $q/q_c \gg 1$ as $\omega(q) \rightarrow v_F q$ in this limit. Thus no further regularization is required. The same holds for the cases B. and C. discussed below.

Setting $t' = 0$ and Fourier transforming leads to

$$\left[ n_{gs}(k) - \frac{1}{2} \right] \text{sgn}(k_F - k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{2\pi} \frac{\sin(|k - k_F| x)}{x} e^{F_{gs}(x,0)}.$$  \hfill (4)

The remaining two nested integrals can easily be performed numerically. Furthermore, this expression forms the basis to prove $|n_{gs}(k) - \frac{1}{2}| \text{sgn}(k_F - k) \sim |k - k_F|^{\gamma_{gs}}$ for $\gamma_{gs} < 1$ and $n_{gs}(k) - \frac{1}{2} \sim k_F - k$ for $\gamma_{gs} > 1$ using asymptotic analysis. For $\gamma_{gs} = 1$ one finds a logarithmic corrections to the linear term [3].

As mentioned in the main text $F_{gs}(0,t')$ and thus $G_t^<(0,t')$ is analytical in the upper half of the complex $t'$ plane. This implies $\rho_{gs}^<(\omega) \sim \Theta(-\omega)$. More specifically we find

$$2\pi v_F \rho_{gs}^<(\omega) = \Theta(-\omega) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt'}{t'} \text{Im} \left[ e^{i\omega t'} e^{F_{gs}(0,t')} \right] \right\}.$$  \hfill (5)

Again the two remaining nested integrals can be performed numerically and Eq. (5) is the basis to prove $\rho_{gs}^<(\omega) \sim (-\omega)^{\gamma_{gs}}$ (for all $\gamma_{gs}$) using asymptotic analysis.
B. Quench dynamics and steady state

We next give the $t$ dependent lesser Green after the interaction quench. It can also be written as the product Eq. (1) with the noninteracting Green function Eq. (2) and

$$F_t(x,t') = \int_0^\infty \frac{dq}{q} \left\{ -4s^2(q)c^2(q) + 2c^2(q)s^2(q) [\cos(2\omega(q)t) + \cos(2\omega(q)t + t')] \right. \\
+ e^{-iqx} \left[ c^4(q)e^{-i\omega(q)t'} - e^{i\omega(q)t'} + s^4(q)e^{-i\omega(q)t'} - 2c^2(q)s^2(q) \cos(\omega(q)(2t + t')) \right] \\
+ 2e^{iqx}c^2(q)s^2(q) [\cos(\omega(q)t') - \cos(\omega(q)(2t + t'))] \right\},$$

(6)

with $c^2(q) = 1 + s^2(q)$. For large times $t$ after the quench all oscillatory terms containing $t$ drop out when performing the $q$ integral and we obtain

$$F_{ss}(x,t') = \int_0^\infty \frac{dq}{q} \left\{ -4s^2(q)c^2(q) + e^{-iqx} \left[ c^4(q)e^{-i\omega(q)t'} - e^{i\omega(q)t'} + s^4(q)e^{-i\omega(q)t'} + 2e^{iqx}c^2(q)s^2(q) \cos(\omega(q)t') \right] \right\}. \quad (7)$$

As mentioned in the main text this expression can directly be derived using a GGE [4]. To achieve this one takes the expectation value $\langle \psi'(0,0)\psi(x,t') \rangle_{\text{GGE}}$ with the GGE density matrix $\rho_{\text{GGE}} = \exp (-\sum_{\alpha\neq 0} \lambda_\alpha \hat{a}_\alpha^\dagger \hat{a}_\alpha)/Z_{\text{GGE}}$. The Lagrange multipliers $\lambda_\alpha$ are fixed such that $\langle g_0 | N_\alpha | g_0 \rangle = \langle N_\alpha \rangle_{\rho_{\text{GGE}}}$ for the conserved eigenmode occupancies $N_\alpha = \alpha^\dagger \alpha$, which leads to $s^2(q_\alpha) = [e^{\lambda_\alpha} - 1]^{-1}$. Finally, one has to take $L \to \infty$. In contrast to $F_{gs}(0,t')$, $F_{ss}(0,t')$ is not analytical in the upper half of the complex $t'$ plane and $\rho_{ss}(\omega)$ has finite weight for all $\omega$.

Based on Eqs. (1) and (7) $n_{ss}(k)$ and $\rho_{ss}(\omega)$ can be brought in forms similar to Eqs. (4) and (5), respectively, with $F_{gs}$ replaced by $F_{ss}$. In each case the remaining two nested integrals can be performed numerically. Furthermore, the respective expressions are the basis to prove $|n_{ss}(k) - \frac{1}{2}| \text{sgn}(\omega) \sim |k - k_F|^{-\gamma_{ss}}$ ($\gamma_{ss} < 1$; for the case $\gamma_{ss} \geq 1$ the same as for $n_{gs}(k)$ holds) as well as $|\rho_{ss}(\omega) - \rho_{ss}^0(0)| \sim |\omega|^{-\gamma_{ss}}$ for $\gamma_{ss} < 1$ and $\rho_{ss}(\omega) - \rho_{ss}^0(0) \sim -\omega$ for $\gamma_{ss} > 1$.

C. Canonical ensemble

Also the $t$ independent equilibrium lesser Green function in the thermal canonical ensemble can be written in the form Eq. (1). This time the noninteracting Green function is given by

$$iG_0^\dagger(x,t) = \frac{i}{2\pi} e^{ik_F x} \frac{\pi T/v_F}{\sinh [(x - v_F t' - i\eta)\pi T/v_F]} \quad (8)$$

and the interaction dependent part by

$$F_{ih}(x,t') = \int_0^\infty \frac{dq}{q} \left\{ e^{-i[qx - \omega(q)t']} - e^{-i[qx - v_F q t']} - 2b(\omega(q)/T) (1 - \cos[qx - \omega(q)t']) + 2b(v_F q/T) (1 - \cos[qx - v_F q t']) \\
+ 2s^2(q) [\cos(qx) \left( e^{i\omega(q)t'} [1 + b(\omega(q)/T)] + e^{-i\omega(q)t'} b(\omega(q)/T) \right) - (1 + 2b(\omega(q)/T))] \right\} \quad (9)$$

with $b(x) = (e^x - 1)^{-1}$. For $T > 0$, $F_{ih}(0,t')$ is no longer analytical in the upper half of the complex $t'$ plane. Thus $\rho_{ih}^{ss}(\omega)$ has nonvanishing weight also for $\omega > 0$. The nested Fourier and momentum integrals leading to $n_{ih}(k)$ and $\rho_{ih}^{ss}(\omega)$ can be performed numerically. In the respective Fourier integrals we take $v_F = 10^{-3}$. This weakly affects the momentum distribution function and momentum integrated spectral function at large $|k - k_F|$ and $|\omega|$, respectively. However, for the arguments shown in the figures of the main text further reducing $\eta$ does not change the graphs on the respective scales. In [5] it is discussed how the limiting behavior of $\rho_{ih}^{ss}(\omega)$ at $|\omega|/(v_F q_F)/T/(v_F q_F) \ll 1$ mentioned in the main text can be obtained.

II. THE AD HOC PROCEDURE

To avoid the numerical evaluation of three nested integrals when computing $\rho_{ss}^<(k,\omega)$ we resort to an often used ad hoc procedure which results in approximate but integral-free expressions of the three $G_{ss}^<(x,t')$ of interest (see [3] for the ground state). The consequences of the underlying approximations for the momentum resolved spectral function are mentioned in the main text. The procedure consists of several steps. In a first one starting from Eq. (1) the
noninteracting Green function is rewritten as a momentum integral or, at finite length \( L \), as a momentum sum. We here consider the general finite \( T \) case, from which the ground state one relevant for the ground state Green function as well as the one after the quench, can easily be obtained by taking \( T \to 0 \). Written as a sum \( G_0^s(x, t') \) reads

\[
iG_0^s(x, t') = \frac{e^{i k_{F} x}}{L} \exp \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left[ e^{i v q_{n} t'} - 2 b (v q_{n} / T) (1 - \cos [v q_{n} t']) \right] \right\}.
\] (10)

The terms appearing in the argument of the exponential function cancel corresponding ones in the expressions for the three \( F \)'s if these are written for finite \( L \), that is as sums. Next in the respective \( F \) one linearizes \( \omega(q) = v q \), with \( v = v_{F} \sqrt{1 + g(0)} \), for all \( q \) which constitutes the main approximation inherent to the ad hoc procedure. Now terms similar to the ones on the right-hand-side of Eq. (10) appear, but with \( v_{F} \) replaced by \( v \). For those the momentum sums are performed in the same way which leads from Eq. (10) to Eq. (8). Finally, in the remaining \( q \) sum appearing in the different \( F \)'s one replaces \( s^2(q) \) by \( s^2(0) \exp(-|q|/q_c) \)—as well as \( \epsilon^2(q) \) by \( 1 + \gamma_{ss}^2(0) \exp(-|q|/q_c) \) in the steady state—and this way regularizes the sum in the ultraviolet ’by hand’. All momentum sums can then be performed analytically. After this procedure \( v_{F} \) completely dropped out which explains why in Fig. 2 of the main text \( v \) instead of \( v_{F} \) appear in the scales of both the \( x \) as well as \( y \) axis.

In the thermodynamic limit the closed approximate expressions for the Green functions obtained along the given line read

\[
iG_{gs}(x, t') \approx -i \frac{e^{i k_{F} x}}{2 \pi} \frac{1}{x - vt' - i \eta} \left[ \frac{r^2}{(x - vt' - i r)(x + vt' + i r)} \right]^{s^2(0)},
\] (11)

with \( r = q_c^{-1} \), for the ground state [3],

\[
iG_{ss}(x, t') \approx -i \frac{e^{i k_{F} x}}{2 \pi} \frac{1}{x - vt' - i \eta} \left[ \frac{r^2}{(x - vt' - i r)(x + vt' + i r)} \right]^{s^2(0)} \times \left[ \frac{(2 r)^4}{(x + vt' - i 2 r)(x - vt' + i 2 r)(x - vt' - i 2 r)(x + vt' + i 2 r)} \right]^{s^2(0)},
\] (12)

for the steady state after the interaction quench, and

\[
iG_{th}(x, t') \approx -i \frac{e^{i k_{F} x}}{2 \pi} \frac{\pi T / v}{\sinh [(x - vt' - i \eta) \pi T / v]} \left[ \frac{r^2}{(x - vt' - i r)(x + vt' + i r)} \right]^{s^2(0)} \times \left[ \frac{\Gamma(a + i u_+)}{\Gamma(a - i u_-)} \frac{\Gamma(a - i u_+)}{\Gamma(a + i u_-)} \right]^{s^2(0)},
\] (13)

with \( a = 1 + T/(v q_c) \), \( u_{\pm} = (x / v + t') / v \), and the Gamma function \( \Gamma \), for the finite \( T \) equilibrium Green function [5].

In the computation of

\[
\rho_{\omega}(k, \omega) = \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt' e^{-i(k x - \omega t')} iG_{\omega}(x, t')
\] (14)

based on the approximate \( G_{\omega}(x, t') \) we substitute \( z_{\pm} = x + vt \). This way the two nested Fourier integrals factorize in all three cases. For \( G_{\omega}(x, t') \) this results in a closed analytical expression for the momentum resolved spectral function which involves the confluent hypergeometric function; for details see [6]. In the other cases the integrals can be performed numerically again setting \( \eta q_c = 10^{-3} \) (with minor effect on the spectra shown in the figures of the main text). The factorized form also constitutes the basis to show that in the ground state for \( k < k_F \), \( \rho_{\omega}^{gs}(k, \omega \approx v [k - k_F]) \sim \Theta(-\omega + v |k - k_F|)(-\omega + v |k - k_F|)^{\gamma_{ss}/2} \) and for \( k > k_F \), \( \rho_{\omega}^{gs}(k, \omega \approx v [k - k_F]) \sim \Theta(-\omega - v |k - k_F|)(-\omega - v |k - k_F|)^{\gamma_{ss}/2} \) using asymptotic analysis. Here \( \gamma_{ss} = 2 s^2(0) = (K + K^{-1} - 2) / 2 \), with the LL parameter \( K^{-1} = \sqrt{1 + g(0)} \). Similar power laws, but without the corresponding \( \Theta \)-functions, are found in the steady state. They read \( \rho_{\omega}^{gs}(k, \omega \approx v [k - k_F]) \sim | -\omega + v |k - k_F| |^{s^2(0) + 2 k_F^4} \) (for \( s^2(0) + 2 k_F^4 < 1 \)) and \( \rho_{\omega}^{gs}(k, \omega \approx v |k - k_F|) \sim | -\omega - v |k - k_F| |^{3 s^2(0) + 2 k_F^4} \) (for \( 3 s^2(0) + 2 k_F^4 < 1 \)). Using the above relation between \( s^2(0) \) and \( K \) these exponents can be written in terms of \( \gamma_{ss} = (K^2 + K^{-2} - 2) / 4 \), which, however, is not very instructive.
III. DMRG FOR THE LATTICE MODEL

We use DMRG [7] to compute $\rho^c(j,\omega)$ for the lattice model described by

$$H = -J \sum_j c_j^\dagger c_{j+1} + \text{H.c.} + U n_j n_{j+1},$$

(15)

with $n_j = c_j^\dagger c_j - 1/2$. $\rho^c(j,\omega)$ is the Fourier transform with respect to $t'$ of the correlator

$$G^c_j(j,t') = \langle \psi(t) | c_j^\dagger e^{iHt'} c_j e^{-iHt'} \psi(t) \rangle, \quad |\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iHt} |\psi(0)\rangle,$$

(16)

which can be implemented straightforwardly by first propagating $|\psi(0)\rangle$ to $|\psi(t)\rangle$ and then calculating the overlap of $e^{-iHt'} c_j^\dagger |\psi(t)\rangle$ and $c_j e^{-iHt'} |\psi(t)\rangle$.

However, for a general global quench the bond dimension of the DMRG procedure determining the numerical effort grows exponentially in time. This severely restricts the times reachable which in turn results in a low energy resolution after Fourier transforming. We are partly interested in the power-law behavior found in the spectral function for small frequencies. We thus do not want to use tools like linear prediction, which allows to extrapolate data to larger times thus implying improved energy resolution which, however, is only partly controlled [8]. Therefore, we focus on small frequencies. We thus do not want to use tools like linear prediction, which allows to extrapolate data to larger times thus implying improved energy resolution which, however, is only partly controlled [8]. Therefore, we focus on small frequencies.

Giamarchi [11] showed Fig. 4(b). Here, instead of the infinite DMRG procedure, we employed an iterative ground state search [11]. After drawing a random MPS an imaginary time evolution with decreasing trotter step size $t \sim O(10^3)$ became easily accessible.

For Fig. 3(a) of the main text showing results for the translational invariant model we used this approach to calculate the correlator Eq. (16) at $t = 0$, where the MPS was prepared by an infinite DMRG ground state search [11]. After drawing a random MPS an imaginary time evolution with decreasing trotter step size $(\Delta \tau \in \{0.2, 0.02, 0.002, 0.0002, 0.00002, 0.000002\})$ was performed until for each of these step sizes the energy was converged to the first 10 significant digits. For our problem a bond dimension $\chi = 200$ was found to be sufficient to achieve converged results. For the matrix product operator (MPO) [12] of $c_j^{(t)}$, which we propagate in time, we set the length of the operator $1 \otimes 1 \otimes \ldots c_j^{(t)} \otimes 1$ to 500 lattice sites and abort the propagation in time before the effects of the finite length are visible. As we can time evolve the operator with bond dimension $\chi = 4$ no truncation is needed. With this, our approach is free of any finite size effects.

Analogously, but with a finite system of $L = 2000$ lattice sites and open boundaries we computed the curves for finite $t$ shown Fig. 4(b). Here, instead of the infinite DMRG procedure, we employed an iterative ground state search [7] with 20 sweeps in each direction. We compared different discarded weights $\epsilon \in \{10^{-6}, 10^{-7}, 10^{-8}\}$ to monitor convergence.

In the model with open boundaries the limit $t \to \infty$ can be addressed explicitly by calculating $n_i(k)$ of the interacting ground state [13,14] and using

$$\rho(j,\omega) = \frac{2}{L + 1} \sum_{n=1}^{L} \sin^2(k_n) n_i(k_n) \delta(\omega - \epsilon_{k_n}) \xrightarrow{L \to \infty} \frac{1}{2 \sin(k^*(\omega))} W_j(k^*(\omega)), \quad (17)$$

$$k^*(\omega) = \arccos(-\omega/2), \quad (18)$$

$$W_j(k) = \sin^2(k_j) n_i(k), \quad (19)$$

$$n_i(k_n) = \frac{2}{L + 1} \sum_{j,j'=1}^{L} \sin(k_n j) \sin(k_n j') \langle gs| c_j^\dagger c_{j'} | gs \rangle, \quad (20)$$

$$k_n = \frac{\pi}{L + 1} n, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, L. \quad (21)$$

More specifically we computed the matrix elements $\langle gs| c_j^\dagger c_{j'} | gs \rangle$ by DMRG again considering $L = 2000$ lattice sites and the same numerical parameters as for the finite $t$ data. The steady state curves of Fig. 4(b) then follow from this and the above equations ($\omega$ evaluated at $k^* = k_n$).
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