Isolated signed dominating function of graphs

S. Rishitha Dayana 1* and S. Chandra Kumar 2

Abstract
An isolated signed dominating function (ISDF) of a graph $G$ is a signed dominating function (SDF) $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ such that $f(N[w]) = +1$ for at least one vertex of $w \in V(G)$. An isolated signed domination number of $G$, denoted by $\gamma_i(G)$, is the minimum weight of an ISDF of $G$. In this paper, we study some properties of ISDF and we give isolated signed domination number of disconnected graphs, cycles and paths.
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1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we consider only finite, simple and undirected graphs. The set of vertices and edges of a graph $G(p, q)$ will be denoted by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ respectively, $p = |V(G)|$ and $q = |E(G)|$. For graph theoretic terminology, we follow [7].

For $v \in V(G)$, the open neighborhood of $v$ is $N_G(v) = \{u \in V(G) : uv \in E(G)\}$ and the closed neighborhood of $v$ is $N_G[v] = \{v\} \cup N(v)$. The degree of $v$ is $\deg_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$. The minimum and maximum degree of $G$ is defined by $\delta(G) = \min_{v \in V(G)} \{\deg(v)\}$ and $\Delta(G) = \max_{v \in V(G)} \{\deg(v)\}$ respectively. A vertex of degree one is called a pendant vertex. A vertex which is adjacent to a pendant vertex is called a stem.

A function $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is called a dominating function if for every vertex $v \in V(G)$, $f(N[v]) \geq 1$ [8].

Various domination functions has been defined from the definition of dominating function by replacing the co-domain $\{0, 1\}$ as one of the sets $\{-1, 0, 1\}$, $\{-1, +1\}$ and etc. One of such example is signed dominating function [3, 4].

In 1995, J.E.Dunbar et al. [4] defined signed dominating function. A function $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ is a signed dominating function of $G$, if for every vertex $v \in V(G)$, $f(N[v]) \geq 1$. The signed domination number, denoted by $\gamma_s(G)$, is the minimum weight of a signed dominating function on $G$ [4]. The signed dominating function has been studied by several authors including [1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 11].

A subset $S$ of vertices of a graph $G$ is a dominating set of $G$ if every vertex in $V(G) - S$ has a neighbor in $S$. The minimum cardinality of a dominating set of $G$ is called the domination number and is denoted by $\gamma(G)$.

In 2016, Hameed and Balamurugan [10] introduced the concept of isolate domination in graphs. A dominating set $S$ of a graph $G$ is said to be an isolate dominating set, if $S$ has at least one isolated vertex [10]. An isolate dominating set $S$ is said to be minimal if no proper subset of $S$ is an isolate dominating set. The minimum and maximum cardinality of a minimal isolate dominating set of $G$ are called the isolate domination number $\gamma_0(G)$ and the upper isolate domination number $\Gamma_0(G)$ respectively.

By using the definition of signed dominating function and isolate domination, we introduced the concept of isolated signed dominating function. An isolated signed dominating function (ISDF) of a graph $G$ is a function $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ such that $\sum_{u \in N[v]} f(u) \geq 1$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$ and for at least one vertex of $w \in V(G)$, $f(N[w]) = +1$. The weight of $f$, denoted by $w(f)$ is the sum of the values $f(v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$. An isolated signed domination number of $G$, denoted by $\gamma_i(G)$, is the minimum weight of an ISDF of $G$.

In this paper, we study some properties of ISDF and we give...
isolated signed domination number of disconnected graphs, cycles and paths.

2. Main Results

Lemma 2.1. If a graph $G$ admits ISDF, then $\gamma_s(G) \leq \gamma_o(G)$. 

Proof. Since every ISDF is a SDF, we have $\gamma_s(G) \leq \gamma_o(G)$. 

Theorem 2.2. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer and let $G$ be a disconnected graph with $n$ components $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n$ such that the first $r \geq 1$ components $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_r$ admit ISDF. Then $\gamma_s(G) = \min\{t_i\}$, where $t_i = \gamma_s(G_i) + \sum_{j=1,j \neq i}^{n} \gamma_s(G_j)$.

Proof. With out loss of generality, we assume that $t_i = \min\{t_i\}$. Let $f_1$ be a minimum ISDF of $G_1$ and $f_i$ be a minimum SDF of $G_i$ for each $i$ with $2 \leq i \leq n$. Then $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ defined by $f(x) = f_1(x), x \in V(G_1)$, is an ISDF of $G$ with weight $\gamma_s(G_1)$ and so $\gamma_s(G) = \gamma_s(G_1) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \gamma_s(G_i)$. Let $g$ be a minimum ISDF of $G$. Then there exists an integer $j$ such that $g|G_j$ is a minimum ISDF of $G_j$ for some $j$ with $1 \leq j \leq r$. Also for each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n(i \neq j), g|G_i$ is a minimum SDF of $G_i$. Therefore $w(g) \geq \gamma_s(G_j) + \sum_{j=1,j \neq j}^{n} \gamma_s(G_i) = t_j \geq t_1$ and hence $\gamma_s(G) = \min\{t_i\}$.

Corollary 2.3. Let $H$ be any graph which does not admit ISDF. Then $G = H \cup rK_1 (r \geq 1)$ admits ISDF with $\gamma_s(G) = r + \gamma_s(H)$.

Proof. By taking $G_i \cong K_1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $G_{r+1} \cong H$ in Theorem 2.2, we can prove the result.

Lemma 2.4. Any odd regular graph does not admit ISDF.

Proof. Since $|N[v]|$ is even, $f(N[v]) \neq 1$ for any SDF $f : V \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $f$ be an ISDF of $G$ and let $S \subset V$. Then $f(S) = |S| (\mod 2)$.

Proof. Let $S^+ = \{v | f(v) = 1, v \in S \}$ and $S^- = \{v | f(v) = -1, v \in S \}$. Then $|S^+| + |S^-| = |S|$ and $|S^+| - |S^-| = f(S)$. Therefore $f(S) = |S| - 2|S^-|$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$. Then $2\gamma_2(G) - n \leq \gamma_o(G)$.

Proof. Let $f$ be a minimum ISDF of $G$. Let $V^+ = \{u \in V(G) : f(u) = +1\}$ and $V^- = \{v \in V(G) : f(v) = -1\}$. If $V^- = \phi$, then the proof is clear. If $v \in V^-$ since $f(N[v]) \geq 1$, then $v$ has at least two neighbors in $V^+$. Therefore $V^+$ is a 2-dominating set for $G$ and $|V^+| \geq \gamma_2(G)$. Since $\gamma_2(G) = |V^+| - |V^-|$, we have $\gamma_o(G) \geq 2\gamma_2(G) - n$.

Theorem 2.7. For any graph $G$ with maximum degree $\Delta$ and minimum degree $\delta$, we have $\gamma_o(G) \geq \frac{2 + (\Delta - \delta)n}{\Delta + \delta + 2}$.

Proof. Let $f$ be a minimum isolated signed domination function of $G$. Then $|V^+| + |V^-| = n$ and $|V^+| - |V^-| = \gamma_o(G)$. We have $|V^+| = \frac{n + \gamma_o(G)}{2}$ and $|V^-| = \frac{n - \gamma_o(G)}{2}$. By definition of ISDF of $G$, atleast one vertex $v \in V(G)$, we have $f(N[v]) = 1$. Then $\sum_{v \in V(G)} (d(v) + 1)f(v) \geq 1$. Therefore $\sum_{v \in V^+} (d(v)f(v) + \sum_{v \in V^-} (d(v)f(v) + \gamma_o(G)) \geq 1$. So $\Delta |V^+| - \delta |V^-| + \gamma_o(G) \geq 1$, thus $\frac{\Delta + \gamma_o(G)}{\Delta + \delta + 2}$ and $\gamma_o(G) \geq 1$, we have $\gamma_o(G) \geq \frac{2 + (\Delta - \delta)n}{\Delta + \delta + 2}$.

Theorem 2.8. For given integer $k \geq 1$, there exists a graph $G$ such that $\gamma_s(G) = \gamma_o(G) = k$.

Proof. Let $G = C_{3k}$ be a cycle of order $3k$ such that $V(G) = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{3k}\}$ and $E(G) = \{a_ia_{i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq 3k - 1\} \cup \{a_3a_{1}\}$. Let $f$ be a SDF of $G$. Since $N[a_1] = \{a_1, a_2, a_{3k}\}$ and $N[a_1] \geq 1$, any three consecutive vertices must have at least two +1 signs. Thus $f(V(G)) \geq k$. Define a function $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ by

$$f(a_i) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{when } i = 3\ell, \ell \geq 1 \\ +1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

From the above labeling it is easy to observe that $f$ is SDF and $w(f) = k$. Thus $\gamma_s(G) \leq k$. The graph $G$ admits ISDF and $\gamma_s(G) = k$ (already proved in Theorem 2.18).

Theorem 2.9. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$ in which every vertex is a pendant vertex or stem (we call such graphs as category 1 graph). Then $G$ does not admit ISDF.

Proof. Suppose there exists a SDF of $G$, say $f'$. Let $u \in V(G)$.

Case 1: If $u$ is a pendant vertex, then $f'(u) = +1$ (otherwise $f'([u]) \leq 0$).

Case 2: If $u$ is a stem, then $u$ is adjacent with some pendant vertex, say $w$. By Case 1, $f(w) = +1$. If $f(u) = -1$, then $f(N[w]) = 0$. Thus $f(u) = +1$.

Hence $f$ is a constant function with constant +1. Since $G$ is connected graph of order greater than or equal to $2, f(N[v]) \geq 2$ for $v \in V(G)$.

Thus there exist no vertex $v$ of $G$ such that $f(N[v]) = 1$, a contradiction.

Corollary 2.10. Let $H$ be any graph and $G = H \cup K_1$, then $G$ does not admit ISDF.

Proof. Since every vertex of $G$ is a stem or pendant, the proof follows from Theorem 2.9.

Lemma 2.11. If $G = nK_1 \cup B$, where $B$ is an union of some graphs from category 1 ($m \geq 1$ and $B$ may be empty), then $\gamma_o(G) = n$.
Proof. Let $f$ be an ISDF of $G$ and $u \in V(G)$.

Case 1: If $u \in V(mK_1)$, then $u$ is an isolated vertex so $f(u) = +1$.

Case 2: If $u \in V(B)$ then $f(u) = +1$ (as discussed in Theorem 2.9).

Thus $w(f) = n$ and so $\gamma_{d}(G) \geq n$. But always $\gamma_{d}(G) \leq n$ and so $\gamma_{d}(G) = n$. \hfill \Box

Lemma 2.12. Suppose $G$ admits ISDF and $\gamma_{d}(G) = n$. Then $G = mK_1 \cup B$, where $B$ is an union of some graphs from category 1 $(m \geq 1$ and $B$ may be empty).

Proof. Let $f$ be an ISDF of $G$. Since $\gamma_{d}(G) = n$, $f(v) = +1$ for every $v \in V(G)$.

Suppose there exists no isolated vertex in $G$, then $f(N[v]) \geq 2$ for every $v \in V(G)$, a contradiction to $f$ is an ISDF. Thus $G$ must have an isolated vertex, say $w$. Then $f(N[w]) = 1$.

Let $H$ be any connected component of $G$ such that $|V(H)| \geq 2$.

Suppose $H \notin \gamma_{d}$, then there exists a vertex $v \in V(H)$ such that $v$ is neither pendent nor stem. Then by relabeling the vertices of $V(H)$ by $f(v) = -1$ and $f(w) = 1$ for every $w(\neq v) \in V(H)$, we can get a SDF of $H$ such that $f(V(H)) < |V(H)|$. Then by Theorem 2.2, $\gamma_{d}(G) < n$, a contradiction. Thus $H \in \gamma_{d}$.

From Lemma 2.11 and Lemma 2.12, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.13. Let $G$ be any graph. Then $\gamma_{d}(G) = n$ if and only if $G \cong mK_1 \cup B$, where $B$ is an union of some graphs from category 1 $(m \geq 1$ and $B$ may be empty).

Remark 2.14. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ which admits ISDF. Then $\gamma_{d}(G) \neq n - 1$.

Proof. Let $f$ be a minimum ISDF of $G$. Suppose $f(u) = +1$ for all $u \in V(G)$, then $\gamma_{d}(G) = n$. Suppose $f(u) = -1$ for some $u \in V(G)$, then $\gamma_{d}(G) \leq n - 2$. \hfill \Box

Lemma 2.15. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 3$ obtained from $P_n$ or $C_n$ by adding so many pendant vertices except one vertex of degree two (say $u$), then $\gamma_{d}(G) = n - 2$.

Proof. Note that except the vertex $u$ all the vertices are either pendent or stem. As discussed in the proof of Theorem 2.9, we must have $f(v) = +1$ for all $v(\neq u) \in V(G)$ and for any ISDF $f$ of $G$. Thus $\gamma_{d}(G) \geq n - 2$.

Define a function $g : V(G) \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ by $g(u) = -1$ and $g(v) = +1$ for all $v(\neq u)$. Since $deg(u) = 2$, $f(N[u]) = 1$. Also $f(N[v]) \geq 1$ for all $v(\neq u)$. Thus $\gamma_{d}(G) \leq n - 2$. \hfill \Box

Example 2.16. The converse part of the above lemma is not true. Consider the following graph $G$. Let $V(G) = \{u_i : 1 \leq i \leq 11\}$ (as given in Figure 1). As discussed in Theorem 2.9, $f(u_i) = +1$ for all $i \neq 2$ and for any ISDF $f$. Suppose $f(u) = +1$, then $f$ is constant function with constant +1 and so $f(N[v]) \geq 2$ for every $v \in V(G)$. Thus $f(u) = -1$ and so $w(f) = n - 2$. Thus $\gamma_{d}(G) = n - 2$.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure1.png}
\caption{G}
\end{figure}

A Mob $M_n(n \geq 1)$ is a tree which is obtained from $P_4$, a path on 4 vertices by adding $n$ pendant edges with one end of $P_4$.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure2.png}
\caption{M_n}
\end{figure}

Lemma 2.17. Let $G = M_n$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 1$ which admits ISDF, then $\gamma_{d}(G) = n + 2$.

Proof. Let $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, u_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Note that except the vertex $v_1$ all the vertices $G$ are either pendent or stem. As discussed in the proof of Theorem 2.9, we must have $f(u) = +1$ for all $u(\neq v_3) \in V(G)$ and for any ISDF $f$ of $G$. Thus $\gamma_{d}(G) \geq n - 2$.

Define a function $g : V(G) \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ by $g(v_3) = -1$ and $g(u) = +1$ for all $u(\neq v_3)$. Since $deg(v_3) = 2$, $f(N[v_3]) = 1$. Also $f(N[u]) \geq 1$ for all $u(\neq v_3)$. Thus $\gamma_{d}(G) \leq n + 2$. \hfill \Box

Lemma 2.18. Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. Then the cycle $C_n$ admits ISDF with ISDN

1. $\gamma_{d}(C_n) = k$ when $n = 3k$.

2. $\gamma_{d}(C_n) = k + 1$ when $n = 3k + 1$.

3. $\gamma_{d}(C_n) = k + 2$ when $n = 3k + 2$.

Proof. Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer. Let $V(C_n) = \{a_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and $E(C_n) = \{a_i a_{i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq n - 1\} \cup \{a_0 a_n\}$. Let $f$ be an ISDF. Since $N[a_i] = \{a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}\}$ and $f(N[a_i]) \geq 1$, any three consecutive vertices must have at least two +1 signs.

--- (1)

Case 1: Suppose $n = 3k$. Then by (1), $f(V(G)) \geq k$.

Case 2: Suppose $n = 3k + 1$. Suppose $f(a_{3k+1}) = -1$. Then by (1), we get $f([a_{3k+1}, a_1, a_2]) \geq 1$, $f([a_3, a_4, a_5]) \geq 1$,
Thus, we have $f((a_6, a_7, a_8)) \geq 1, \ldots, f((a_{3(k-1)}, a_{3k-2}, a_{3k-1})) \geq 1$. Suppose $f(a_{3k}) = -1$ then $f((a_{3k}, a_{3k+1}, a_1)) \leq -1$, a contradiction to (1). Thus $f(a_{3k}) = -1$ and so $f(V(G)) \geq k+1$.

Similarly, we can get $f(V(G)) \geq k+1$ when $f(a_{3k+1}) = -1$.

**Case 3:** Suppose $n = 3k + 2$. By (1) both $f(a_{3k+1})$ and $f(a_{3k+2})$ are not simultaneously equal to $-1$.

Suppose $f(a_{3k+1}) = +1$ and $f(a_{3k+2}) = +1$, then by (1) we can get $f(V(G)) \geq k+2$.

Suppose $f(a_{3k+2}) = -1$. Then by (1), we get $f((a_{3k+2}, a_1, a_2)) \geq 1, f((a_3, a_4, a_5)) \geq 1, f((a_6, a_7, a_8)) \geq 1, \ldots, f((a_{3(k-1)}, a_{3k-2}, a_{3k-1})) \geq 1$. Suppose $f(a_{3k}) = -1$ or $f(a_{3k+1}) = -1$ then $f((a_{3k}, a_{3k+1}, a_{3k+2})) \leq -1$, a contradiction to (1). Thus $f(a_{3k}) = -1$ and so $f(V(G)) \geq k+2$. Similarly, we can get $f(V(G)) \geq k+2$ when $f(a_{3k+2}) = +1$. Define a function $f : V(C_n) \to \{-1, +1\}$ by

$$f(a_i) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{when } i = 3\ell, \ell \geq 1 \\ +1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$  

Define From the above labeling it is easy to observe that $f$ is ISDF. Also $\gamma_s(C_3k) \leq k$, $\gamma_s(C_{3k+1}) \leq k+1$ and $\gamma_s(C_{3k+2}) \leq k+2$.

**Lemma 2.19.** Let $n \geq 6$ and $k \geq 2$ be an integers. Then the path $P_n$ admits ISDF with ISDN

1. $\gamma_s(P_n) = k+2$ when $n = 3k$.
2. $\gamma_s(P_n) = 4 + (k-1)$ when $n = 3k+1$.
3. $\gamma_s(P_n) = 2 + k$ when $n = 3k+2$.

**Proof.** Let $n \geq 6$ be an integers. Let $V(P_n) = \{a_i/1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and $E(P_n) = \{a_{i+1}/1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$.

**Claim 1:** If $f(a_1) = +1$, then $f(a_2) = +1$.

Suppose $f(a_2) = -1$, then $f(N[a_1]) \leq 0$, a contradiction to $f$ is ISDF.

Similarly, we can prove that $f(a_{n-1}) = +1$, then $f(a_n) = 1$.

Let $f$ be an ISDF. Since $N[a_1] = \{a_{i+1}, a_{i+1}\}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $f(N[a_1]) \geq 1$, any three consecutive vertices must have at least two plus signs. — (1)

**Case 1:** Suppose $n = 3k$. Then by Claim 1, we get $f(a_1) = f(a_2) = f(a_{3k-1}) = f(a_{3k+1}) = +1$. Suppose $f(a_3) = -1$ for some $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Choose $j$ be the largest integer there exists $i, f(a_{3j}) = -1$ for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$. Since $f(N[a_{3j}]) \geq 1$, we have $f(a_{3j+1}) = f(a_{3j+2}) = +1$. Now $f((a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3})) \geq 1, f((a_{4}, a_{5}, a_{6})) \geq 1, \ldots, f((a_{3j-2}, a_{3j-1}, a_{3j})) \geq 1, f((a_{3j+1}, a_{3j+2}, a_{3j+3})) \geq 1, \ldots, f((a_{3k-2}, a_{3k-1}, a_{3k})) \geq 1$. Thus $f(V(G)) \geq j+3(k-j-1)$ and hence $f(V(G)) \geq k+2$.

**Case 2:** Suppose $n = 3k + 1$. Then by Claim 1, we get $f(a_1) = f(a_2) = f(a_{3k}) = f(a_{3k+1}) = +1$. Suppose $f(a_{3k}) = -1$ for some $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Choose $j$ be the largest integer there exists $i, f(a_{3j}) = -1$ for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$. Since $f(N[a_{3j}]) \geq 1$, we have $f(a_{3j+1}) = f(a_{3j+2}) = +1$. By the definition of $j, f((a_{3j+1}, a_{3j+2})) = +1$. Now $f((a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3})) \geq 1, f((a_{4}, a_{5}, a_{6})) \geq 1, \ldots, f((a_{3j-2}, a_{3j-1}, a_{3j})) \geq 1, f((a_{3j+1}, a_{3j+2})) \geq 1, f((a_{3j+1}, a_{3j+2}, a_{3j+3})) \geq 1, \ldots, f((a_{3k-2}, a_{3k-1}, a_{3k})) \geq 1$. Thus $f(V(G)) \geq j+3(k-j-1)$ and hence $f(V(G)) \geq k+2$.

Define a function $f : V(P_n) \to \{-1, +1\}$ by

$$f(a_i) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{when } i = 3\ell, 1 \leq \ell \leq k-1, \\ +1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$  

From the above labeling it is easy to observe that $f$ is ISDF. Also $\gamma_s(P_{3k}) \leq k+2$ and $\gamma_s(P_{3k+1}) \geq k+3$.

**Case 3:** suppose $n = 3k + 2$ for $k \geq 2$. Then by Claim 1, we get $f(a_1) = f(a_2) = f(a_{3k+1}) = f(a_{3k+2}) = +1$ and by (1), we get $f(V(G)) \geq k+2$. Define a function $f : V(P_n) \to \{-1, +1\}$ by

$$f(a_i) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{when } i = 3\ell, 1 \leq \ell \leq k-1, \\ +1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$  

From the above labeling it is easy to observe that $f$ is ISDF. Also $\gamma_s(P_{3k+2}) \leq k+2$.
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