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Abstract

In this paper we build an Orlik-Solomon model for the canonical gradation of the cohomology algebra with integer coefficients of the complement of a toric arrangement. We give some results on the uniqueness of the representation of arithmetic matroids, in order to discuss how the Orlik-Solomon model depends on the poset of layers. The analysis of discriminantal toric arrangements permits us to isolate certain conditions under which two toric arrangements have diffeomorphic complements. We also give combinatorial conditions determining whether the cohomology algebra is generated in degree one.

Introduction

The aim of this work is to study the combinatorics and the ring structure of the cohomology algebra of toric arrangements. Toric arrangements are a natural generalization of hyperplane arrangements. hyperplane and toric arrangements are particular cases of abelian arrangements, but very little is known in this generality: the principal results are about models of the cohomology of the complements (in [1] and [9]).

Let us briefly illustrate the state of art of toric arrangements. In 1993 Looijenga, in his article [15], used sheaf theory to compute the Betti numbers of the complement of toric arrangements. Later in [7] De Concini and Procesi gave a presentation of the cohomology module with complex coefficients using algebraic techniques and pointed out the relation between toric arrangements, partition functions and box splines. The relation just mentioned is deeply examined in their book [8], in which they emphasize the connection between hyperplanes and toric arrangements. The combinatorial aspect and the relation with arithmetic matroids were investigated in [11] and [14]. The topological approach appeared for the first time in [16] and was analyzed in [5] where the toric Salvetti’s complex is studied and the minimality and torsion-freeness of integer cohomology is shown. A deeper inspection of the Salvetti’s complex was made in [4]; this leads to a presentation of the cohomology module with integer coefficients. The wonderful model was described in [6] and the formality was proven in [10].
A purely combinatorial presentation of the ring structure of the cohomology has been given only in few particular cases in [7] (for totally unimodular arrangements) and in [14] (for weakly multiplicative centered arrangements). In this article we give a presentation of a gradation of the cohomology ring with rational and integer coefficients. In case of rational coefficients the presentation depends only on the poset of connected components of intersections. Dealing with integers is more subtle; we exhibit two toric arrangements with the same poset of layers and different cohomology algebra. In fact the presentation that we will give depends on the poset of layers and on another algebraic invariant; these two data permit to reconstruct the characters associated to the hypertori of the arrangements. The case of centered arrangements is very strictly correlated to arithmetic matroids. We obtain a uniqueness result about representations of arithmetic matroids.

The outline of this paper is the following: after an introduction to fix the notations, we study the Leray spectral sequence of the inclusion of the toric arrangement in the torus. The spectral sequence for the locally constant sheaf with integer values collapses at the second page and converges to a gradation of the cohomology ring of the toric arrangement. The filtration of this cohomology ring, used for grading, coincides with the weight filtration of the natural mixed Hodge structure of the arrangement. In the end of section 2 we construct a presentation of a bigraded algebra isomorphic to the gradation of the cohomology with integer coefficients.

In section 3, we analyze the information codified in the poset of layers and in the arithmetic matroid. The information codified in the multiplicity function permits to determine the linear relations between the characters. In the case of surjective arrangements, this implies that the arithmetic matroid has a unique representation or, in other words, the poset of layers determines the arrangement up to torus automorphism.

Combining the results from section 2 and 3 in section 4 we can give a completely combinatorial presentation of the gradation of the cohomology ring with rational coefficients.

Section 5 is devoted to analyze coverings of tori, in order to give a presentation with integer coefficients. The main result of this section is the definition of coherent element with respect to a poset of layers; the set of coherent elements is in bijection with the set of centered toric arrangements with a fixed poset, up to automorphism of the torus.

In section 6 we develop the analogue results of section 4 in the case of integer coefficients. The information needed to describe the graded ring are codified in the poset of layers together with the coherent element studied in the previous section.

In section 7, we give an example of two toric arrangements with the same poset of connected components of intersections but with different cohomology ring with integer coefficients. This shows that the coherent element associated to the arrangement is necessary to describe the cohomology ring.
on integers.

This study continues in section 8 with the introduction of discriminantal toric arrangements: we give the definition of poset isotopy (roughly speaking it consists in translating the hypertori without changing the poset of layers) and we prove that poset isotopy equivalent arrangements are diffeomorphic. Moreover we show that not all arrangements with the same characters and poset of layers are poset isotopy equivalent: this property depends on which connected component of the discriminantal arrangement they belong to.

Finally in section 9 we give a purely combinatorial criteria to determine whether the cohomology ring (with rational or integer coefficients) is generated in degree one.
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1 Notations

Let $T \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$ be a complex torus of dimension $r$ and $\Lambda$ its character group. We call *affine hypertorus* the zero locus of $1 - a\chi$ where $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ is a complex number and $\chi \in \Lambda$ is a character. A *toric arrangement* $\Delta$ is a finite collection of hypertori data $\Delta = \{(a_e, \chi_e)\}_{e \in E}$, where $E$ is a finite set. Sometimes, with an abuse of notation, we will write $\chi_e \in \Delta$. We want to study the complement of the arrangement, defined as $M(\Delta) = T \setminus \bigcup_{e \in E} V_T(1 - a_e\chi_e)$ and determine the ring structure of the cohomology of $M$. A character is *primitive* if it is not a nontrivial multiple of an element in $\Lambda$; a toric arrangement $\Delta$ is *primitive* if all its characters are primitive. It is always possible to describe the open subset $M(\Delta)$ as the complement
of a primitive arrangement $\Delta'$ (i.e. $M(\Delta) = M(\Delta')$). A toric arrangement is centered if $a_e = 1$ for all $e \in E$.

The main combinatorial object used to study $M$ is the poset $\mathcal{S}$ of connected components of intersections. Elements in $\mathcal{S}$ are called layers, so the poset $\mathcal{S}$ is often called poset of layers. Layers are ordered by reverse inclusion, so that $\mathcal{S}$ is a graded poset with gradation given by the codimension in the torus $T$; we call $\mathcal{S}_k$ the subset of $\mathcal{S}$ of codimension $k$ layers.

We use the Greek letter $\Gamma$ to denote the sublattice of $\Lambda$ generated by the characters $\chi_e$ in the arrangement $\Delta$. If $\Gamma$ has maximal rank in $\Lambda$ then we say that the arrangement is essential. The study of toric arrangements can be easily reduced to the study of essential arrangements. For an essential arrangement, the quotient $\Lambda/\Gamma$ is a finite group called $G$.

A nice property of toric arrangements is that at every point of the torus $T$, the complement $M(\Delta)$ locally looks like the complement of a hyperplane arrangement; for this reason we will use the well-known theory of hyperplane arrangements. For a general and complete reference, see [18].

For every point $x$ of the torus $T$, we can define a hyperplane arrangement, associated to $\Delta$, in the tangent space at $x$: every hypertorus $H$ passing through $x$ has as tangent space a hyperplane in $T_x T$, that we denote by $H^\perp$. We define the hyperplane arrangement

$$\Delta[x] \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{H^\perp \mid H \in \Delta, x \in H\}$$

Let $W$ a layer, we choose a point $x \in W$ and we define the hyperplane arrangement in $T_x T$ as

$$\Delta[W] \overset{\text{def}}{=} \{H^\perp \mid H \in \Delta, H \supseteq W\}$$

The choice of another point in $W$ gives a canonically isomorphic hyperplane arrangement, so the formula above is well defined. Notice that $\Delta[x] = \Delta[W]$, only for generic $x \in W$.

In the setting of hyperplanes there is a natural map called Brieskorn inclusion, defined as follows. Fix a layer $L$ in a hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ with poset of intersection $\mathcal{L}$ and let $\mathcal{A}_L$ be the arrangement given by hyperplanes containing $L$. The complement of the hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{A}$ will be denoted by $M(\mathcal{A})$. The Brieskorn inclusion is the composition

$$H^k(M(\mathcal{A}_L); \mathbb{Z}) \to \bigoplus_{L \in \mathcal{L}_k} H^k(M(\mathcal{A}_L); \mathbb{Z}) \sim H^k(M(\mathcal{A}); \mathbb{Z})$$

where the second map is the Brieskorn isomorphism (see [18, Theorem 3.26, p. 65] or [3, Lemma 3, p.27]).

2 The Leray spectral sequence

In this section we state some general results on Leray spectral sequence, see [2] for a reference. We make use a result appeared for the first time in [15]
to compute the cohomology with integer coefficients of a toric arrangement (the case of rational coefficients has been studied by Bibby in [1]). This observation leads to a nice presentation of a canonical bi-gradation of cohomology algebra of toric arrangements; as corollary we observe that the cohomology algebra is torsion free.

Let \( j : M \to T \) be a continuous map between topological spaces and let \( \mathcal{Z}_M \) be the sheaf on \( M \) of locally constant functions in \( \mathbb{Z} \). There is a natural map of sheaves \( f_{q,q} : R^q j_* \mathcal{Z}_M \otimes R^q j_* \mathcal{Z}_M \to R^{q+q'} j_* \mathcal{Z}_M \) induced by the cup product in Čech cohomology \( \check{H}^q(j^{-1}(U); \mathcal{Z}_M) \otimes \check{H}^{q'}(j^{-1}(U); \mathcal{Z}_M) \to \check{H}^{q+q'}(j^{-1}(U); \mathcal{Z}_M) \). We can define the maps

\[
\circ : \check{H}^p(T; R^q j_* \mathcal{Z}_M) \otimes \check{H}^{p'}(T; R^q j_* \mathcal{Z}_M) \to \check{H}^{p+p'}(T; R^{q+q'} j_* \mathcal{Z}_M)
\]

as the composition of \((-1)^{p}q\) times the cup product in the Čech cohomology with \( f_{q,q} \).

**Theorem 2.1.** There exists a spectral sequence \( E_{n}^{p,q} = E_2^{p,q} = \check{H}^p(T; R^q j_* \mathcal{Z}_M) \) on every page of which a cup product \( \circ \) is defined. The second page is

\[
E_2^{p,q} = \check{H}^p(T; R^q j_* \mathcal{Z}_M)
\]

and the cup product coincides with \( \circ \). It converges, as bi-graded algebra, to \( \text{Gr}_{F_n} \check{H}^*(M; \mathcal{Z}_M) \) with respect to a filtration \( \{F_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \). Moreover, the increasing filtration \( F_\bullet \) has the following properties:

- \( F_{-1} = 0 \).
- \( F_0 = j^* \check{H}^*(T; j_* \mathcal{Z}_M) \).
- \( F_n \supset H^{\leq n}(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \bigoplus_{i \leq n} H^i(M; \mathbb{Z}) \).
- \( F_n \cup F_m \subseteq F_{n+m} \).

**Proof.** The existence of the spectral sequence is proven in [2], IV, Theorem 6.1, as well as the convergence. The cup product in Leray spectral sequence is discussed in [2], IV, section 6.8.

The Leray spectral sequence is the first (or horizontal) spectral sequence of an appropriate double complex. The properties of the filtration are consequences of the properties of the first spectral sequence of double complexes [2], A, section 2.

From now on, let \( j : M \to T \) be the open inclusion of a toric arrangement in the corresponding torus, so the equality \( j_* \mathcal{Z}_M = \mathbb{Z}_T \) holds. The higher direct image functors \( R^q j_* \mathcal{Z}_M \) and \( R^q j_* \mathcal{Q}_M \) has been partially described in [15] and in [1], respectively. We follow the proof of [1], Lemma 3.1, in order to study the cup product structure.
Lemma 2.2. Let $i_W$ be the inclusion $W \hookrightarrow T$ for $W \in \mathcal{S}$. For all natural numbers $q$ there exists an isomorphism of sheaves:

$$\varphi_q : \bigoplus_{\text{rk } W = q} (i_W)_* \mathbb{Z}_W \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^q(M(\Delta[W]); \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^qj_* \mathbb{Z}_M$$

Proof. Recall that the sheaf $R^qj_* \mathbb{Z}_M$ is the sheafification of the presheaf $P$, defined by:

$$P(U) = H^q(j^{-1}(U); \mathbb{Z}_M)$$

Let $x \in T$ be a point of the torus and denote with $W_x$ the smallest layer containing the point $x$. There exists a neighborhood $V_x \subset T$ of $x$ which is diffeomorphic to the tangent space $T_x T$ and the restriction from $V_x \cap M$ into $M(\Delta[x])$ is an isomorphism. By construction we have $M(\Delta[x]) = M(\Delta[W_x])$, so the stalk of $R^qj_* \mathbb{Z}_M$ at $x$ is

$$(R^qj_* \mathbb{Z}_M)_x = H^q(M(\Delta[W_x]); \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \bigoplus_{x \in W} H^q(M(\Delta[W]); \mathbb{Z})$$

where the last isomorphism is the Brieskorn isomorphism, see [RS, Theorem 3.26, p. 65] or [3, Lemma 3, p.27]. We define a sheaf on $T$, $\epsilon_W = (i_W)_* \mathbb{Z}_W \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^{\text{rk} W}(M(\Delta[W]); \mathbb{Z})$, for all $W \in \mathcal{S}$. Let $n(U, W)$ be the set of connected components of $U \cap W$, for every open set $U \subseteq T$ we have:

$$\epsilon_W(U) = \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus n(U, W)} \otimes \mathbb{Z} H^{\text{rk} W}(M(\Delta[W]); \mathbb{Z})$$

For every $x \in T$ generic in $W$ and for every open subset $U \subseteq V_x$, we can define a map

$$H^{\text{rk} W}(M(\Delta[W]); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{\text{rk} W}(U \cap M; \mathbb{Z})$$

as the pullback of the natural inclusion $U \cap M \hookrightarrow M(\Delta[W_x])$. These maps glue to a global map of sheaves $\varphi_W : \epsilon_W \rightarrow R^{\text{rk} W}j_* \mathbb{Z}_M$. Let $\varphi_q$ be the direct sum map from $\epsilon_q = \bigoplus_{\text{rk } W = q} \epsilon_W$ into $R^qj_* \mathbb{Z}_M$.

We show that $\varphi_q$ is the desired isomorphism by checking the maps on the stalks. In fact, for $x \in T$:

$$(\varphi_q)_x : (\epsilon_q)_x = \bigoplus_{\text{rk } W = q} H^q(M(\Delta[W]); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^q(M(\Delta[W_x]); \mathbb{Z}) = (R^qj_* \mathbb{Z}_M)_x$$

is the Brieskorn isomorphism. $\square$

Define a map $b_{W,W',L} : \epsilon_W \otimes \epsilon_W \rightarrow \epsilon_L$ as follows: $b_{W,W',L}$ is the zero map if $L$ is not a connected component of $W \cap W'$, if this is not the case, we set:

$$b_{W,W',L}((\alpha \otimes a) \otimes (\gamma \otimes c)) = (\alpha|_{W'} \otimes L)(a) \otimes b_{W,L}(c)$$
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where \( b_{W,L} \) is the Brieskorn inclusion:

\[
H^{rkW}(M(\Delta[W]); \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^{rkW}(M(\Delta[L]_W); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{rkW}(M(\Delta[L]); \mathbb{Z})
\]

Consider now the direct sum map

\[
b_{q,q'} = \bigoplus_{rkW=q, rkW'=q', rkL=q+q'} b_{W,W',L}
\]

**Lemma 2.3.** The isomorphism \( \varphi \) of lemma 2.2 is compatible with \( f_{q,q'} \) and \( b_{q,q'} \), i.e. the diagram below commutes.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\epsilon_q \otimes \epsilon_q' & \rightarrow & \epsilon_{q+q'} \\
\varphi_q \otimes \varphi_q' \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_{q+q'} \\
R^d j_* \mathbb{Z}_M \otimes \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R^d j_* \mathbb{Z}_M
\end{array}
\]

**Proof.** Let \( U \subset T \) be an open set; it is sufficient to show that \( f_{q,q'} \circ \varphi_q \otimes \varphi_q' \) and \( \varphi_{q+q'} \circ b_{q,q'} \) agree on the generators of \( \epsilon_W \otimes \epsilon_W(U) \) and \( x \) a point of the torus \( T \); we check the equality on the stalk at \( x \). If \( x \) is not in \( W \cap W' \) then \( [(\alpha \otimes \alpha) \otimes (\gamma \otimes \gamma)]_x \) is zero and the assertion is obvious. Otherwise, let \( L \) be the connected component of \( W \cap W' \) containing \( x \). From the fact that

\[
b_{L,W,x} \circ (b_{W,L}(a) \cup b_{W',L}(c)) = b_{W,W',x}(a) \cup b_{W',W',x}(c)
\]

we have that both stalks are \( \alpha_x \gamma_x b_{W,W',x}(a) \cup b_{W',W',x}(c) \).

**Definition 2.4.** Let \( A^{*,*}(\Delta) \) be the bi-graded algebra whose homogeneous components are \( \bigoplus_{rkW=q} H^p(W; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z} H^q(M(\Delta[W]); \mathbb{Z}) \) and the product \( \vartriangle \) is defined on the generators \( \alpha \otimes a \in H^p(W; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z} H^q(M(\Delta[W]); \mathbb{Z}) \) and \( \gamma \otimes c \in H^p(W'; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z} H^{rkW'}(M(\Delta[W']); \mathbb{Z}) \) by the formula:

\[
[(\alpha \otimes a) \vartriangle (\gamma \otimes c)]_L = (i_{W,L}^* \alpha \cup i_{W',L}^* \gamma) \otimes (b_{W,L}(a) \cup b_{W',L}(b))
\]

where \( L \) is a connected components of \( W \cap W' \) and \( i_{W,L} \) (respectively \( i_{W',L} \)) the inclusion of \( L \) in \( W \) (respectively in \( W' \)).

**Theorem 2.5.** The second page of the Leray spectral sequence is isomorphic as bi-graded algebra to \( A^{*,*} \).

**Proof.** The isomorphism \( \varphi_q : \epsilon_q \rightarrow R^d j_* \mathbb{Z}_M \) of lemma 2.2 induces an isomorphism in cohomology:

\[
\tilde{\varphi}_q : \bigoplus_{rkW=q} H^*(W; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z} H^q(M(\Delta[W]); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow E_2^{*,q}
\]

Hence we have an isomorphism \( \tilde{\varphi} : A^{*,*}(\Delta) \rightarrow E_2^{*,*}(M) \); lemma 2.3 ensures then that \( \tilde{\varphi} \) is an isomorphism of algebras. \( \square \)
The next lemma appeared first in [4, Theorem 5.1.3]. An analogue on rational numbers was proven in [1, Lemma 3.2] in a more general setting using some Hodge theory. Our proof is the same as that in [4].

**Lemma 2.6.** The Leray spectral sequence for the inclusion $M \hookrightarrow T$ degenerates at the second page. Hence the two algebras $E^*_2$ and $\text{Gr}_F^*H^*(M;\mathbb{Z})$ are isomorphic.

**Proof.** The module $\text{Gr}_F^*H^*(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is a subquotient of $E^*_2$. By theorem 2.5 and by [18, Theorem 3.43], the second page is a free $\mathbb{Z}$ module and $\text{rk} E^*_2 p = (r-q)|N_q|$ where

$$N_q = \{(W, S) \in \mathcal{S}_q \times \mathcal{P}_q(E) \mid S \text{ is a nonbroken circuit of } \mathcal{S}_{\leq W}\}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{N}$ the (disjoint) union of all $N_q$, $q = 0, \ldots, r$. As shown for the first time by Looijenga in [15] and then by De Concini and Procesi in [7], the Poincaré polynomial of $M(\Delta)$ is:

$$P_\Delta(t) = \sum_{q=0}^{r} |N_q|(1+t)^{r-q}t^q$$

(1)

The two modules $\text{Gr}_F^*H^*(M;\mathbb{Z})$ and $E^*_2$ have the same rank, so all differentials from the second page on must be zero.

From this lemma we obtain a different proof of [5, Corollary 14].

**Corollary 2.7.** The cohomology module $H^*(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free.

**Proof.** From lemma 2.6 the algebra $\text{Gr}_F^*H^*(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free, hence $H^*(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is as well.

**Corollary 2.8.** The filtration $F^*_n$ is the submodule of $H^*(M;\mathbb{Z})$ given by $j^*H^*(T;\mathbb{Z}) \cup H^{\leq n}(M;\mathbb{Z})$.

**Proof.** We prove the equality by induction on $n$. Consider first the case $n = 0$: as it was previously observed, the sheaf $j_0\mathbb{Z}_M$ is equal to the sheaf $\mathbb{Z}_T$, so, by theorem 2.1, $F_0 = j^*H^*(T;\mathbb{Z})$. Consider next the case of a generic $n$: by induction hypothesis $F_{n-1} = j^*H^*(T;\mathbb{Z}) \cup H^{\leq n-1}(M;\mathbb{Z})$ and by lemma 2.4 $(F^*_n/F^*_{n-1})_m = E^{m-n,n}_2$. By multiplicativity condition $F_n$ contains $j^*H^*(T;\mathbb{Z}) \cup H^{\leq n}(M;\mathbb{Z})$. On the other hand, the following equality holds.

$$(j^*H^*(T;\mathbb{Z}) \cup H^{\leq n}(M;\mathbb{Z})/F^*_n)_{n-1} = H^{m-n}(T;\mathbb{Z}) \cup H^n(M;\mathbb{Z}) \simeq E^{m-n,n}_2$$

Finally, the equality $F^*_n = j^*H^*(T;\mathbb{Z}) \cup H^{\leq n}(M;\mathbb{Z})$ follows from the fact that both sides contain $F^*_{n-1}$.
The filtration $F^*$ coincides, changing the coefficients, with the one defined in [7, Remark 4.3]. From now on, we denote by $\text{Gr} H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ the bi-graded, graded commutative, $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra associated to $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ with respect to the filtration $\{F^*_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

**Theorem 2.9.** The Leray spectral sequence gives the following isomorphism of bi-graded $\mathbb{Z}$-algebras:

$$f : A^*(\Delta) \longrightarrow \text{Gr} H^*(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Z})$$

**Proof.** We combine the isomorphism $\tilde{\phi}$ of theorem 2.5 between $A^*(\Delta)$ and $E^*_2\Delta$ with the isomorphism of lemma 2.6 to obtain the desired isomorphism $f$. \hfill \Box

3 Uniqueness of representability

In this section we deal with representable arithmetic matroids and with the poset of intersections of a toric arrangement. The aim is to show, under certain suitable hypotheses, that the representation of an arithmetic matroid is unique up to change of basis and sign reverse. An analogue result is proven for posets, namely: if a poset is the poset of intersections of a toric arrangement then the associated toric arrangement is unique (up to torus automorphism). The proofs of these assertions follow the ideas in [14] with the only difference that the entries of the matrices are rational numbers, instead of integers.

**Definition 3.1.** An arithmetic matroid $(E, \text{rk}, m)$ is said to be surjective if $m(E) = 1$.

**Definition 3.2.** A toric arrangement $\Delta$ is said to be surjective if the characters $\chi \in \Delta$ generate the lattice $\Lambda$.

**Remark** 3.3. The property of toric arrangements to be surjective depends only on the poset of intersections.

Consider a vector space $V$, $B$ one of its bases and $\{v_i\}_{i=1,...,n}$ a list of vectors: we denote by $M_B(\{v_i\}_{i=1,...,n})$ the associated matrix of coordinates which is of size dim$V \times n$.

Let $(E, \text{rk}, m)$ be a representable, torsion free, arithmetic matroid; choose a basis $B \subseteq E$ and an essential representation given by the vectors $\{v_e\}_{e \in E}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^r$, where $r = \text{rk}(E)$. A basis of the vector space $Q^r \supseteq \mathbb{Z}^r$ is given by $\{v_b\}_{b \in B}$, hence we can write the vectors $\{v_e\}_{e \in E}$ as a matrix whose columns are the coordinates of each $v_e$ with respect to the basis $\{v_b\}_{b \in B}$:

$$M_B(\{v_e\}_{e \in E}) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_r & A \end{pmatrix} \in M(r, n; \mathbb{Q})$$

where we have fixed an isomorphism between $E$ and $[n]$ that sends $B \subseteq E$ into $[r] \subset [n]$. 9
Analogously, we can order the characters $\chi \in \Delta$ such that the first $r$ of them are a basis of the vector space $\Lambda_Q \overset{\text{def}}{=} \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. Set $E = [n]$ and $B = [r]$ and write all characters in coordinates to obtain a matrix $(\alpha_{i,j} | A') \in M(r, n; \mathbb{Q})$.

In the following discussion, $A \in M(r, n - r; \mathbb{Q})$ denotes the matrix associated to representable arithmetic matroids or the one associated to toric arrangements. Let $C \in M(r, n - r; \mathbb{Z})$ be the matrix with $c_{i,j} = 0$ if the corresponding element $a_{i,j}$ of $A$ is zero and $c_{i,j} = 1$ otherwise. The matrix $C$ is the incident matrix of a bipartite graph $G$ with $(r, n - r)$ vertexes. We denote the vertexes by $R_1, \ldots, R_r$ and $C_1, \ldots, C_{n-r}$; two vertexes $R_i$ and $C_j$ are connected with an edge $\alpha_{i,j}$ if and only if $c_{i,j} = 1$. Now choose a maximal forest $A$ in $G$, i.e. a maximal subgraph without cycles.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let $(E, \text{rk}, m)$ be a representable, torsion free, arithmetic matroid and consider a basis $B$, a bijection $E \simeq [n]$, a maximal forest $A$ in $G$ and an essential representation $\{v_e\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^r$, as above. Then:

1. Changing some $v_e$ with $-v_e$ we obtain another representation of the matroid which is given by a matrix $A'$ such that its element $a'_{i,j}$ is positive whenever $\alpha_{i,j} \in A$.

2. If all entries $a_{i,j}$ of $A$ such that $\alpha_{i,j} \in A$ are positive, the matrix $A$ is uniquely determined by the matroid.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let $\Delta$ be an essential toric arrangement and consider $r$ characters that form a basis of $\Lambda_Q$, an order of $\Delta$ as above and a maximal forest $A$ in $G$ as above. Then:

1. Changing some $\chi_i$ with $-\chi_i$ we obtain another toric arrangement $\Delta'$ such that $M(\Delta) = M(\Delta')$ and $\mathcal{J}(\Delta) = \mathcal{J}(\Delta')$, which associated matrix $A'$ has element $a'_{i,j}$ positive whenever $\alpha_{i,j} \in A$.

2. If all entries $a_{i,j}$ of $A$ such that $\alpha_{i,j} \in A$ are positive, then the matrix $A$ is uniquely determined by the poset $\mathcal{J}$.

**Definition 3.6.** A toric arrangement $\Delta$ is in normal form with respect to a basis $B \subset E$ and to a maximal forest $A$ if all entries $a_{i,j}$ of the matrix $A$ such that $\alpha_{i,j} \in A$ are positive.

Let $\Delta = \{(a_e, \chi_e)\}_{e \in E}$ be a toric arrangement: define a function $m$ from the subsets of $E$ to the natural numbers as follows:

$$m(I) = \# \left\{ \text{connected components of } \bigcap_{i \in I} H_i \right\} \quad \forall I \subseteq E$$

This function is determined by the poset $\mathcal{J}$, in fact it coincides with the number of elements $W$ in $\mathcal{J}_{\text{rk} I}$ such that $W > H_i$ for all $i \in I$. 
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Lemma 3.7. The absolute values of the determinants of square submatrices of $A$ are uniquely determined by the underlying matroid, if $A$ is defined by a matroid, or by the poset of layers, if $A$ is defined by a toric arrangement.

Proof. Let $A_{I,J}$ be the square submatrix of $A$ with $I \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ and $J \subseteq E \setminus \mathcal{B}$. We claim that:

$$|\det(A_{I,J})| = \begin{cases} m(B \setminus I \cup J) & \text{if } B \setminus I \cup J \text{ is a basis} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $m$ is the multiplicity function in the case of matroids and the function defined above in the case of toric arrangements.

Let $H$ be the square submatrix of $(\text{Id} \mid A)$ made by the columns indexed by $B \setminus I \cup J$. Clearly $|\det(A_{I,J})| = |\det H|$. If $B \setminus I \cup J$ is a dependent set, then $\det H = 0$, otherwise we compute $|\det H|$ using the formula

$$M_C(\{v_b\}_{b \in B}) M_B(\{v_e\}_{e \in B, I \cup J}) = M_C(\{v_e\}_{e \in B, I \cup J})$$

where $C$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{Z}^r$. Notice that $|\det M_C(\{v_b\}_{b \in B})| = m(B)$ for all basis $B$ of $E$, thus

$$m(B) |\det H| = m(B \setminus I \cup J)$$

Since $m$ depends only on the poset $\mathcal{P}$, we obtain the statement of the lemma.

Corollary 3.8. The rational numbers $|a_{i,j}|$ are uniquely determined by the arithmetic matroid (or respectively by the poset).

Proof. The number $|a_{i,j}|$ is the absolute value of the determinant of the submatrix $A_{i,j}$ of size $1 \times 1$. The result follows from lemma 3.7.

Proof of Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5. In both cases we deal with a matrix $A \in M(r, n - r; \mathbb{Q})$ and with the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{n-r}$ on $M(r, n - r; \mathbb{Q})$: this group acts with sign reverse of rows and columns. The first assertion was proven in [14, Lemma 6] in the case that $A$ has integer coefficients, but the proof never uses the fact that the coefficients are integers and works also in the case of rational coefficients.

The uniqueness was substantially proven in [14, Lemma 9], but since we are dealing with rational coefficients, we need to use corollary 3.8 and lemma 3.7 instead of [14, Lemma 7] and [14, Lemma 8], respectively.

Corollary 3.9. Let $(E, \text{rk}, m)$ be a representable, surjective, torsion free arithmetic matroid. Then there exists an unique essential representation of $(E, \text{rk}, m)$ up to sign reverse of columns and up to automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}^r$. 
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Proof. Let \( \{v_e\}_{e \in E} \) be an essential representation of \((E, \text{rk}, m)\), \(X = M_C(\{v_e\}_{e \in E})\) the matrix of coordinates with respect to the canonical basis. The statement is equivalent to showing that for any other representation \(X' = M_C(\{v'_e\}_{e \in E})\) there exist two matrices \(G \in \text{GL}(r; \mathbb{Z})\) and \(D \in \text{GL}(n; \mathbb{Z})\), such that \(D\) is diagonal with all entries \(d_{i,i} = \pm 1\) and \(X' = GXD\).

Theorem 3.4 proves the existence of the matrices \(G \in \text{GL}(r; \mathbb{Q})\) and \(D \in \text{GL}(n; \mathbb{Z})\) with \(d_{i,i} = \pm 1\); we want to show that \(G\) has integer coefficients.

The surjectivity assumption is equivalent to \(xv \in \mathbb{Z}^r\) \(xv' \in \mathbb{Z}^r\), while matrix \(G\) sends the lattice \(xv \in \mathbb{Z}^r\) isomorphically into \(xv' \in \mathbb{Z}^r\). Since \(GZ^r = Z^r\), \(G\) has integer coefficients.

Corollary 3.10. Let \(\Delta\) be a central, surjective, essential toric arrangement in \(T\) with poset of layers \(\mathcal{S}\). For any other essential toric arrangement \(\Delta'\) in \(T\) with the same poset of layers \(\mathcal{S}\), there exists an automorphism \(g\) of the torus \(T\) that sends the open set \(M_{\Delta} \subset Q^r\) homeomorphically into \(M_{\Delta'} \subset Q^r\).

The proof of corollary 3.10 is analogous to that of corollary 3.9 except for the fact that \(X = M_C(\{\chi\}_{\chi \in \Delta})\) and that we must apply theorem 3.5 instead of theorem 3.4.

Given a set of vectors \(\{v_i\}_{i=1,...,n}\) in \(Z^r\), some linear relation among them may hold; a relation can be written in the form

\[
\sum_{i \in I} c_i m_i v_i = 0
\]

for some \(I \subseteq E\), \(c_i \in \{\pm 1\}\) and some \(m_i\) positive, relatively prime integers (i.e. \(\gcd_{i \in I} (m_i) = 1\)).

Theorem 3.11. Let \((E, \text{rk}, m)\) be a representable, torsion free, arithmetic matroid, consider, as before, a basis \(B\), a bijection \(E \cong [n]\) and a maximal forest \(A\) in \(G\). Let \(\{v_e\}_{e \in E} \subset Z^r\) be an essential representation such that the element \(a_{i,j}\) of \(A\) are positive whenever \(a_{i,j} \in A\). Then the linear relations among these vectors are uniquely determined by the arithmetic matroid.

Proof. Equation \((2)\) holds if and only if

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\text{Id}_r & A
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
c_1 m_1 \\
\vdots \\
c_n m_n
\end{pmatrix} = 0
\]

or, equivalently, the vector \(w = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 m_1 \\
\vdots \\
c_n m_n
\end{pmatrix}\) is in the kernel of the linear application \((\text{Id}_r \mid A)\). Since the matrix \(A\) is uniquely determined by the matroid (theorem 3.4), this implies that so are all the relations. 

\(\square\)
Theorem 3.12. Let \( \Delta \) be an essential toric arrangement in normal form with respect to a basis \( B \) and to a maximal forest \( A \). The linear relations \( \sum_{i \in I} c_i m_i \chi_i = 0 \) among the characters \( \chi, \chi_i \in \Delta \), are uniquely determined by the poset \( \mathcal{F} \).

Proof. The relation \( \sum_{i \in I} c_i m_i \chi_i = 0 \) holds if and only if the vector \( \left( \begin{array}{c} c_1 m_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n m_n \end{array} \right) \) is in the kernel of the linear application \( \left( \text{id} \right| A \). Since the matrix \( A \) is uniquely determined by the poset (theorem 3.5), this implies that so are all the relations. \( \square \)

4 Graded toric Orlik-Solomon algebra

In this section we give a purely combinatorial presentation of the bi-graded algebra \( \text{Gr} H^*(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Q}) = \text{A^{••}}(M(\Delta)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \). We begin by defining the model \( \text{GOS}^{••}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Q}) \), then we exhibit a basis of this \( \mathbb{Q} \)-vector space and finally showing an isomorphism between the objects \( \text{Gr} H^*(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Q}) \) and \( \text{GOS}^{••}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Q}) \).

We recall the definitions of [7].

Definition 4.1. Let \( E \) be the ordered set of indexes for the elements of \( \Delta \) and let \( W \) be a layer. A \( k \)-tuple \( S = (s_1, \cdots, s_k) \) with \( s_i \in E \) is standard if the sequence is increasing. The set \( S \) is a circuit associated to \( W \) if it is a minimal dependent set and \( W \) is a connected component of \( \cap_{i \in S} H_i \). The set \( S \) is an independent set associated to \( W \) if it is independent and \( W \) is a connected component of \( \cup_{i \in S} H_i \). The set \( S \) is a nonbroken circuit associated to \( W \) if it is standard nonbroken circuit and \( W \) is a connected component of \( \cap_{i \in S} H_i \).

We recall a well-known theorem of Orlik-Solomon (in [17]) on hyperplanes arrangements. Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be a central hyperplane arrangement with hyperplanes indexed by the ordered set \( E \). Let \( W^* \) be the exterior graded algebra on generators \( \{ f_i \}_{i \in E} \) over the ring of integers. The Orlik-Solomon algebra \( \text{OS}^{••}(\mathcal{H}; \mathbb{Z}) \) is the quotient of \( W^* \) by the ideal generated by the equations:

\[
\sum_{i \in S} (-1)^i f_{S \setminus s_i} = 0
\]

for all circuits \( S \) of \( E \), where we adopt the usual notation \( f_T = f_{t_1} f_{t_2} \cdots f_{t_k} \).

Theorem 4.2 (Orlik-Solomon [17]). For every central hyperplane arrangement \( \mathcal{H} \) there is an isomorphism:

\[
\text{OS}^{••}(\mathcal{H}; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(\mathcal{H}; \mathbb{Z})
\]

Moreover a basis of \( \text{OS}^{••}(\mathcal{H}; \mathbb{Z}) \) as \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module is given by the elements \( f_S \) where \( S \) is a nonbroken circuit.
For every toric arrangement $\Delta$ in normal form, let us define a bigraded $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $V$ with basis given by $\{e_{T,y_{W,S}} \mid S$ is associated to $W\}$. We define the grade of $e_{T,y_{W,S}}$ to be $(|T|, \text{rk} W)$, or, equivalently, $(|T|, |S|)$. We will write $e_T$ instead of $e_{U,y_{W,S}}$ (where $U$ is the torus, i.e. the unique layer of rank zero) and $y_{W,S}$ instead of $e_{\emptyset,y_{W,S}}$. We endow $V$ with the product defined on the generators by

$$e_{T,y_{W,S}} \cdot e_{T',y_{W',S'}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if the tuple } SS' \text{ is dependent} \\ \sum_{W''} (-1)^{|S''|+|T''|} e_{T',y_{W''},S''} & \text{otherwise, where } W'' \text{ runs over all connected components of } W \cap W'. \end{cases}$$

The vector space $V$ endowed with product is a bigraded algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Let $J$ be the homogeneous ideal generated by the following relations:

1. $e_{T,y_{W,S}} = (-1)^{\text{sgn } \sigma + \text{sgn } \tau} e_{T,y_{W,S}}$ for all permutations $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{|S|}$ and $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{|T|}$.
2. $e_i y_{W,S} = 0$ for all $i \in S$.
3. $\sum_{i=0}^{\text{rk } W} (-1)^i y_{W,S_i} y_{W,S} = 0$ for all circuits $S = \{s_0, \ldots, s_{\text{rk } W}\}$ associated to $W$.
4. $\sum_{i \in I} c_i m_i e_i = 0$ for all $I$, $c_i$ and $m_i$, $i \in I$ appearing in the relations of theorem 3.12.

**Definition 4.3.** Let $\Delta$ be a toric arrangement in normal form. The graded Orlik-Solomon algebra for toric arrangement $\Delta$ is

$$\text{GOS}^{\text{**}}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Q}) = V / J$$

Define $I_W$ as the set of characters in $\Lambda$ that vanish on the layer $W \in \mathcal{S}$. For every layer $W \in \mathcal{S}$, we choose arbitrary $\text{dim} W$ characters in $\Delta$ such that their projection in $\Lambda/I_W \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ form a basis as $\mathbb{Q}$ vector space. Let $B_W \subset E$ be the set of the chosen characters for the layer $W$.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let $\Delta$ be an essential toric arrangement in normal form. Then a set of generators of $\text{GOS}^{\text{**}}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Q})$ as $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space is given by the elements $e_{T,y_{W,S}}$ with $S$ nonbroken circuit associated to $W$ and $T$ a subset of $B_W$.

**Proof.** It is sufficient to show that any generic element $e_{T,y_{W,S}}$ can be written as linear combination of the ones with $S'$ nonbroken circuit associated to $W'$ and $T'$ a subset of $B_W$. By relation 1 we may assume that $S$ and $T$ are standard lists and by relations 3 we can write each $e_{T,y_{W,S}}$ as sum of certain
$e_{T^I}w_{S'}$ with $S'$ a nonbroken circuit associated to $W$. By relations 4, we can write each $e_{T^I}w_{S'}$ as linear combination of some $e_{T^I}w_{S'}$ with $T^I \subseteq B_W$ or $T^I \cap S' \neq \emptyset$. In the case $T^I \cap S' \neq \emptyset$, the relations of point 2 show that $e_{T^I}w_{S'} = 0$ in $GOS^{**}$.

Let $\omega$ be a generator of $H^1(C^*; \mathbb{Z})$. The map $\chi : T \to C^*$ induces a map in cohomology $\chi^* : H^1(C^*; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(T; \mathbb{Z})$ and gives $\chi^*(\omega) \in H^1(T; \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\tilde{f}$ be the linear map $\tilde{f} : V \to A^{**} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ defined on the generators $e_{T^I}w_{S'}$ by $\tilde{f}(e_{T^I}w_{S'}) = \chi_{I^1}^*(\omega)\cdots \chi_{I^n}^*(\omega) \otimes f_S \in H^p(W; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^{rkW}(M(\Delta[W]); \mathbb{Z})$ where the canonical projection from $H^p(T; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^p(W; \mathbb{Z})$ is understood and the element $f_S$ is a generator of the Orlik-Solomon algebra of the hyperplane arrangements $\Delta[W]$.

**Lemma 4.5.** The map $\tilde{f}$ is a morphism of algebras and factors through the algebra $GOS^{**}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Q})$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
V & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & A^{**} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \\
& & \downarrow GOS^{**}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Q}) \\
& & \end{array}
$$

**Proof.** The map $\tilde{f}$ is a morphism of algebras, since

$$
\tilde{f}(e_{T^I}w_{S'} \cdot e_{T^I'}w_{S''}) = \tilde{f}(e_{T^I}w_{S'}) \Delta \tilde{f}(e_{T^I'}w_{S''})
$$

Moreover, the map $\tilde{f}$ sends the relations (14) to zero, hence the ideal $J$ is mapped into zero. □

Call $f : GOS^{**}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Q}) \to A^{**}(M(\Delta)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ the map induced by $\tilde{f}$ on the quotient.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let $\Delta$ be an essential arrangement in normal form. The map $f$ is an isomorphism of algebras.

$$
f : GOS^{**}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Q}) \to A^{**}(M(\Delta)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}
$$

**Proof.** The map $f$ is surjective because $H^\bullet(W; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is generated in degree one by the elements $\chi^*(\omega)$ with $\chi \in \Delta$.

The set of generators described in lemma (4.4) is of cardinality equal to the rank of $A^{**}$, so the map $f$ must be an isomorphism. Consequently, the elements $e_{S^I}w_{T}$ with $T$ nonbroken circuit associated to $W$ and $S \subseteq B_W$ form a basis of $GOS^{**}$ as $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space. The number of generators is equal to:

$$
\sum_{q=0}^{p} 2^{r-q}|N_q|
$$

which is exactly the value $P_\Delta(1)$ of the Poincaré polynomial (defined in eq. (11)). □
5 Coverings of tori

Let $F$ be a finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}$-module and $G$ a finite abelian group. The extensions $X$:

\[ 0 \to F \to X \to G \to 0 \]

are parametrized by $\text{Ext}^1(G, F)$ up to equivalence. We want to characterize the cardinality of the torsion subgroup $|\text{Tor } X|$. The torsion subgroup is isomorphic to its image in $G$ that we will call $H$.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let $F$ be a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module. Then the controvariant functor $\text{Ext}^1(\cdot, F)$ from finite abelian group to $\mathbb{Z}$-module is an exact functor.

**Proof.** A short exact sequence of finite abelian groups $0 \to H \to G \to K \to 0$ produces a short exact sequence

\[ 0 \to \text{Ext}^1(K, F) \xrightarrow{i_*} \text{Ext}^1(G, F) \xrightarrow{j_*} \text{Ext}^1(H, F) \to 0 \]

since $\text{Hom}(H, F) = 0$ and $\text{Ext}^2(K, F) = 0$ for all free $\mathbb{Z}$-module $F$ and all finite group $H$. \qed

**Lemma 5.2.** Let $F$ be a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module, $G$ a finite abelian group and $x$ an element of $\text{Ext}^1(G, F)$. Then:

1. There exists a unique subgroup $H \hookrightarrow G$, maximal among all subgroups $H'$ such that $i^*_{H'}(x) = 0$.

2. There exists a unique quotient $G \twoheadrightarrow K$, minimal among all quotients $K'$ such that $x \in \text{Im} p^*_K$.

Moreover, such groups form an exact sequence $0 \to H \to G \to K \to 0$.

**Proof.** Suppose that, for two subgroups $H$ and $H'$ of $G$, $i^*_H(x) = 0$ and $i^*_{H'}(x) = 0$. There is a surjection $H \times H' \to HH' \subset G$ that gives an inclusion $\text{Ext}^1(HH', F) \hookrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(H, F) \times \text{Ext}^1(H', F)$. The element $i^*_{HH'}(x)$ maps to $(0, 0)$ so it must be zero ($i^*_{HH'}(x) = 0$ in $\text{Ext}^1(HH', F)$). The arbitrariness of $H$ and $H'$ gives the first result.

The second point follows from the first making use of the following fact: for every exact sequence $0 \to H' \to G \to K' \to 0$ the element $i^*_{H'}(x)$ is zero if and only if $x \in \text{Im} p^*_K$. \qed

Let $T \to U$ be a covering of connected tori whose Galois group is the dual of $G$ (i.e. $\text{hom}(\mathbb{Z}, G)$). We want to apply the above observations to this group $G$. Call $\Lambda$ and $\Gamma$ the characters groups of $T$ and $U$ respectively, so that $G$ is isomorphic to $\Lambda/T$. Let $\Delta_U = \{(a_e, \chi_e)\}_{e \in E}$ (with $\chi_e \in \Gamma, e \in E$) be a surjective toric arrangement in $U$ and $\Delta_T$ the lifted toric arrangement (i.e. the arrangement $\{(a_e, \chi_e)\}_{e \in E}$ where $\chi_e \in \Lambda$ now are thought as characters of $T$). We have the two associated multiplicity functions $m_U$ and $m_T$ from $\mathcal{P}(E)$ to $\mathbb{N}$.
Remark 5.3. For essential toric arrangements the function $m_T$ determines the isomorphism class of the group $G$, hence it depends only on the poset of layers $\mathcal{S}$ or on the arithmetic matroid (in the centered case). In fact $G$ is the cokernel of the matrix $A$ whose columns are the coordinate of $\chi_e$ in some basis. Then by the Smith normal form, its isomorphism class depends only on the greatest common divisor of the determinants of the minors of $A$.

The group $G$ can be presented as the cokernel of $D$, where $D$ is the $r \times r$ diagonal matrix with entries $d_i = \frac{m_T(D)}{e_i-1}$, where $e_i = \gcd\{m_T(E) \mid |E| = i\}$.

We assume only the data of $\Delta_U$ (hence the datum $m_U$) and $m_T$ (the isomorphism class of $G$) are known. Our purpose is to give an answer to the following question: how many the extensions $\Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda$ giving the combinatorial data of $\Delta_T$ exist? In order to find the answers, we make the following construction: for $S \subseteq E$, define $\Gamma_S$ to be the subgroup of $\Gamma$ generated by the characters $\{\chi_e\}_{e \in S}$ and $\text{Rad}_\Gamma(\Gamma_S)$ its radical in the lattice $\Gamma$. Let $F_S$ be the quotient of $\Gamma$ by $\text{Rad}_\Gamma(\Gamma_S)$ and notice that $F_S$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Rad}_\Gamma(\Gamma_S) \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow F_S \rightarrow 0$ of free modules gives, for any finite abelian group $G$ the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(G, \text{Rad}_\Gamma(\Gamma_S)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(G, \Gamma) \overset{\pi_S}{\rightarrow} \text{Ext}^1(G, F_S) \rightarrow 0$$

Definition 5.4. Consider $x \in \text{Ext}^1(G, \Gamma)$ and call $H_S(x)$ the maximal subgroup $H$ of $G$ given by lemma 5.2 for the elements $\pi_S(x) \in \text{Ext}^1(G, F_S)$. An element $x \in \text{Ext}^1(G, \Gamma)$ is said coherent with $\Delta_U$ and $m_T$ if for all $S \subseteq E$ we have $|H_S(x)| = \frac{m_T(S)}{m_U(S)}$.

Call $C$ the subset of $\text{Ext}^1(G, F_S)$ made by all elements coherent with $\Delta_U$ and $m_T$. Recall that there is a natural left action of $\text{Aut}(G)^{op}$ on $\text{Ext}^1(G, \Gamma)$ and the set $C$ is an invariant subset for this action.

Lemma 5.5. Let $U$ be a torus and $\Gamma$ its character group. For all finite groups $G$ there exists a correspondence:

$$\text{Ext}^1(G, \Gamma) / \text{Aut}(G)^{op} \overset{1:1}{\rightarrow} \{ T \rightarrow U \mid \text{with Galois group dual to } G \}$$

where $T$ is a torus ($T$ is not necessarily connected).

Theorem 5.6. Let $\Delta_U$ and $m_T$ be as above. There exists a natural correspondence

$$C / \text{Aut}(G)^{op} \overset{1:1}{\rightarrow} \{ T' \rightarrow U \mid m_{T'} = m_T \}$$

where $m_{T'}$ is the multiplicity function of the arrangement $\Delta_U$ lifted to $T'$ and the right hand side is considered up to isomorphism over $U$. 
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Proof. A standard fact from commutative algebra is the correspondence between the group \( \text{Ext}^1(G, F) \) and the extension of the two \( \mathbb{Z} \)-modules \( F \) and \( G \):

\[
0 \to F \to X \to G \to 0
\]

up to equivalence, i.e., two extensions \( X \) and \( X' \) are equivalent if there exists a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & F \\
| & \searrow & | \\
X & \longleftarrow & G \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & X'
\end{array}
\]

Let \( x \in C \) be a element coherent with \( \Delta_U \) and \( m_T \); this element gives an extension \( 0 \to \Gamma \to \Lambda_x \to G \to 0 \). If \( S \subseteq E \) then there is a commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \\
| & \searrow & | \\
\Lambda_x & \longleftarrow & G \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & F_S / \Lambda_x / \text{Rad}_\Gamma \Gamma_S \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_x \\
0 & \longrightarrow & G
\end{array}
\]

Call \( \Lambda_S \) the quotient \( \Lambda_S / \text{Rad}_\Gamma \Gamma_S \); we will show that the group \( H_S(x) \) is the torsion subgroup of \( \Lambda_S \). The exact sequence \( 0 \to F_S \to \Lambda_S \to G \to 0 \) is represented by the element \( \pi_S(x) \), therefore for all \( G' \) subgroup of \( G \), \( i_{G'}(\pi_S(x)) \) is zero if and only if the upper short exact sequence of the next diagram splits.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & F_S \\
| & \searrow & | \\
X & \longleftarrow & G' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_S \\
0 & \longrightarrow & G
\end{array}
\]

The upper short exact sequence splits if and only if \( X \) is included in the subgroup \( F_S \times \text{Tor} \Lambda_S \) of \( \Lambda_S \). Indeed, if the upper sequence splits then \( F_S \times G' \approx X \subseteq \Lambda_S \) and \( G' \) is a torsion group, hence included in \( \text{Tor} \Lambda_S \). Vice versa, if \( X \subseteq F_S \times \text{Tor} \Lambda_S \) then the projection onto the first factor gives a retraction of \( F_S \to X \) and so the sequence splits.

Hence, the maximal subgroup \( H_S(x) \) is isomorphic to the torsion subgroup of \( \Lambda_S \), that is, \( \text{Rad}_{\Lambda_x} \Gamma_S / \text{Rad}_\Gamma \Gamma_S \). The obvious equality:

\[
\left| \frac{\text{Rad}_{\Lambda_x} \Gamma_S}{\text{Rad}_\Gamma \Gamma_S} \right| \cdot \left| \frac{\Gamma_S}{\Gamma} \right| = \left| \frac{\text{Rad}_{\Lambda_x} \Gamma_S}{\Gamma_S} \right|
\]

implies the equality \( |H_S(x)|m_U(S) = m_{T_x}(S) \), for \( T_x \) the covering of \( U \) induced by the inclusion of the character groups \( \Gamma \to \Lambda_x \). Since \( x \) is a
coherent element, we obtain the equality $m_{T_x} = m_T$. If $x$ and $x'$ in $C$ are in the same orbit, then there exist two commutative diagrams

This implies that $x$ and $x'$ induce equivalent extensions and we have a well defined map $C/\text{Aut}(G)^{\text{op}} \to \{T' \to U \mid m_{T'} = m_T\}$.

The surjectivity of the maps follows by taking a covering $T' \to U$ and the corresponding extension $\Gamma \to \Lambda'$. Our hypothesis $m_{T'} = m_T$ implies that the cokernel is isomorphic to $G$, so $\Lambda'$ is represented by a coherent element of $\text{Ext}^1(G, \Gamma)$.

The injectivity follows from the correspondence of lemma 5.5.

**Corollary 5.7.** For any centered toric arrangement, the data of the poset $\mathcal{P}$ together with $x \in C/\text{Aut}(G)^{\text{op}}$ are a complete invariant system for the arrangement up to automorphism of the torus.

**Example 5.8.** Let $M = (E = \{1, 2, 3\}, \text{rk})$ be the matroid of three distinct lines in the real plane. The function $m$ defined by:

\[
\begin{align*}
m(\emptyset) & = 1 \\
m(e) & = 1 \quad \text{for } e = 1, 2, 3 \\
m(1, 2) & = 10 \\
m(1, 3) & = 15 \\
m(2, 3) & = 25 \\
m(1, 2, 3) & = 5
\end{align*}
\]

makes the matroid $M$ an arithmetic matroid ([3], rk, $m$). This matroid is representable, indeed a possible representation is given by $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-2 & -32 & -43 \\
1 & 21 & 29
\end{array}\right)$.

Choose a basis of the matroid, e.g. $\mathcal{B} = \{1, 2\}$ consider the matrix $A \in M(2, 1; \mathbb{Q})$ representing the coordinates in the basis $\mathcal{B}$ of the third element of $E$ (definition in section 3). The absolute value of the entries of $A$ is easy to determine:

\[
|a_{1,1}| = \frac{m(2, 3)}{m(1, 2)} = \frac{5}{2}, \\
|a_{2,1}| = \frac{m(1, 3)}{m(1, 2)} = \frac{3}{2}
\]

The associated matrix $C$ (see section 3) is then $(\frac{1}{4})$, and the associated bipartite graph is:
This graph has a unique maximal tree, that we call \( A \), hence the normal form of the matrix \( A \) (in normal form) is \(
abla\begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3} \end{pmatrix}\). A representation of the matroid in normal form is given by \((\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -32 & -43 \\ 21 & 29 & \end{pmatrix})\) which is obtained from the one we had before by changing the sign of the first column.

The group \( G \) associated to the matroid is the cokernel of the matrix \( p \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix} q \), hence \( G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} \). The matrix \( \text{Id} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ A \end{pmatrix} \) describes the three vectors \( e_1, e_2, \frac{1}{2} (5e_1 + 3e_2) \) in the vector space \( \mathbb{Q}^2 \); let \( \Gamma \) be the lattice in \( \mathbb{Q}^2 \) generated by these three vectors.

The surjective arithmetic matroid associated to \( (\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})^2 \), \( \text{rk} \), \( m \) is the matroid that represents the vectors \( e_1, e_2, \frac{1}{2} (5e_1 + 3e_2) \) in \( \Gamma \). The multiplicity function \( m_U \) is defined by:

\[
\begin{align*}
m_U(\emptyset) &= 1 \\
m_U(e) &= 1 \quad \text{for } e = 1, 2, 3 \\
m_U(1, 2) &= 2 \\
m_U(1, 3) &= 3 \\
m_U(2, 3) &= 5 \\
m_U(1, 2, 3) &= 1
\end{align*}
\]

The group \( \text{Ext}^1(G, \Gamma) \) is isomorphic to \( (\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})^2 \). We look for the elements \( x \in \text{Ext}^1(G, \Gamma) \) which are coherent with the matroid. Notice that the subgroups of \( G \) are only 0 and \( G \) (this is not true in general) and imposing the coherence conditions for \( x \) yields:

\[
|H_\emptyset(x)| = \frac{m(\emptyset)}{m_U(\emptyset)} = 1 \iff H_\emptyset(x) = 0 \iff x \neq 0 \in \text{Ext}^1(G, \Gamma)
\]

\[
|H_i(x)| = \frac{m(i)}{m_U(i)} = 1 \iff H_i(x) = 0 \iff \pi_i(x) \neq 0
\]

The last implication holds for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \). If we identify \( x \in \text{Ext}^1(G, \Gamma) \) with pairs \((a, b)\) such that \( a, b \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} \) the conditions become, respectively:

\[
\pi_1(x) = b \neq 0 \quad \pi_2(x) = a \neq 0 \quad \pi_3(x) = 3a - 5b \neq 0
\]

For the remaining subsets \( S \subset [3] \), which are all of rank two, we have that \( \text{Rad}_\Gamma \Gamma_S \) coincides with the whole lattice \( \Gamma \). In particular \( \pi_S(x) = 0 \) and \( H_S(x) = G \), therefore these conditions of coherence are always satisfied.
Summing all up, the coherent elements are \( \mathcal{C} = \{(a, b)|a, b \neq 0\} \) (notice that the conditions \( a \neq 0 \) and \( 3a - 5b \neq 0 \) are equivalent) and the set \( \mathcal{C}/\text{Aut}(G)^{\text{op}} \) coincides with \( \{a \in G|a \neq 0\} \) (take a representative with \( b = 3\)).

We are going to build a representation of the matroid for each element of \( \mathcal{C}/\text{Aut}(G)^{\text{op}} \), such that any two of them are non-isomorphic. Let \( \Lambda_x \) be the lattice in \( \mathbb{Q}^2 \) generated by \( e_1, e_2, \frac{1}{3}(5e_1 + 3e_2), \frac{1}{5}(ae_1 + 3e_2) \) (recall that \( x = (a, 3) \)). A basis for \( \Lambda_x \) is given by

\[
\left\{ e_1, \frac{1}{10}(2a-5)e_1 + e_2 \right\}
\]

where \( a \in \{1, \ldots, 4\} \). The three vectors \( e_1, e_2, \frac{1}{3}(5e_1 + 3e_2) \) have coordinates in the basis above given by the matrix:

\[
C_a = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 2a - 5 & 3a - 5 \\
0 & 10 & 15
\end{pmatrix}
\]

These are all the representations of the initial matroid, up to left action of \( \text{GL}(2; \mathbb{Z}) \) and sign reverse of the columns.

In fact, the initial representation is equivalent to \( C_2 \):

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-2 & -32 & -43 \\
1 & 21 & 29
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -3 \\
-1 & 2
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 10 & 15
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
-1 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

### 6 Cohomology algebra with integer coefficients

In this section we introduce the bigraded algebra \( GOS^{\bullet \bullet}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Z}) \) of a toric arrangement \( \Delta \), which turns out to be isomorphic to \( \text{Gr} \, H^{\bullet \bullet}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Z}) \), studied in section 2. In order to define this new algebra, we will use the data given by the poset of layers \( \mathcal{F} \) and the coherent element \( x \in \mathcal{C}/\text{Aut}(G)^{\text{op}} \) associated to \( \Delta \). We will find that \( GOS^{\bullet \bullet}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Z}) \) is an analogue (with integer coefficients) of the algebra \( GOS^{\bullet \bullet}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Q}) \) we have seen in section 2 in fact, \( GOS^{\bullet \bullet}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \simeq GOS^{\bullet \bullet}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Q}) \).

Let \( \Delta = \{(a_e, \chi_e)\}_{e \in E} \) be a toric arrangement in normal form in the torus \( T \) and \( \mathcal{F} \) be its poset of layers. Consider the character group \( \Lambda \) of \( T \) and its subgroup \( \Gamma \) generated by \( \{\chi_e\}_{e \in E} \); the inclusion \( \Gamma \hookrightarrow \Lambda \) corresponds to a covering \( T \to U \), where \( U \) is the torus associated to \( \Gamma \). The group of covering automorphisms of \( T \to U \) is \( G = \Lambda / \Gamma \); the hypertori \( H_e = \mathcal{V}_U(1 - a_e \chi_e) \) are stable under the action of \( G \) and therefore each of them defines a hypertorus \( H'_e = \mathcal{V}_U(1 - a_e \chi_e) \subset U \). Call \( \Delta_U = \{(a_e, \chi_e)\}_{e \in E} \) the surjective toric arrangement given by \( H'_e \) in \( U \).

The poset \( \mathcal{F} \) allows us to determine a lattice \( \Gamma' \) and vectors \( \{v_e\}_{e \in E} \subset \Gamma' \) such that \( \Gamma \cong \Gamma' \) through an isomorphism mapping each \( v_e \) to \( \chi_e \). Since \( \mathcal{F} \) determines the isomorphism class of the group \( G \) (see, remark 5.3) and hence the conditions of coherence, the set \( \mathcal{C}/\text{Aut}(G)^{\text{op}} \) can be recovered from \( \mathcal{F} \).
Suppose now that we know the coherent element \( x \in C/\text{Aut}(G)^{op} \) corresponding to \( \Delta \). From \( x \) we build an extension \( \Lambda' \) of \( \Gamma' \) such that \( \Lambda \cong \Lambda' \) through an isomorphism that restricts to the previous one \( \Gamma \cong \Gamma' \). With a slight abuse of notation, the lattices \( \Lambda' \) and \( \Gamma' \) will be called \( \Lambda \) and \( \Gamma \) as well.

Define the free \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module \( V_\mathbb{Z} \) as the module \( \bigwedge^* \Lambda \{[y_{W,S}]_{W,S} \} \) with \( S \) circuits associated to \( W \). This module is the direct sum of some copies of the external algebra \( \bigwedge^* \Lambda \) and can be endowed with a product \( \cdot \) that coincides with the wedge product on the external algebra \( \bigwedge^* \Lambda \) and is defined on the generators by

\[
y_{W,S} \cdot y_{W',S'} = 0
\]

if the tuple \( SS' \) is dependent, or by

\[
y_{W,S} \cdot y_{W',S'} = \sum_{W''} y_{W'',SS'}
\]

otherwise, where \( W'' \) runs over all connected components of \( W \cap W' \). Let the elements of \( \Lambda \) be homogeneous of degree \((1,0)\) and \( y_{W,S} \) be of degree \((0,|S|)\), so that \( V_\mathbb{Z} \) is a bigraded \( \mathbb{Z} \)-algebra.

Define the map \( \tilde{\psi} : V_\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A^{\bullet,\bullet}(\Delta) \) (recall definition 2.4) of bigraded algebras that sends \( \lambda \in \Lambda \) to \( \lambda^*(\omega) \otimes 1 \) in the direct summand \( H^1(T;\mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^0(C^*;\mathbb{Z}) \) of \( A^{\bullet,\bullet}(\Delta) \) and \( y_{W,S} \) to \( 1 \otimes f_S \), where \( f_S \) is the generator of the Orlik-Solomon algebra isomorphic to \( H^{rk_W}(M(\Delta[W]));\mathbb{Z}) \).

Let \( J_\mathbb{Z} \) be the ideal generated by the three relations:

1) \( y_{W,S} = (-1)^{sgn(\sigma)} y_{W,S} \sigma \) for all permutation \( \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{|S|} \).

2) \( \lambda \cdot y_{W,S} = 0 \) for all \( \lambda \in \text{Rad}_A \Gamma_S \).

3) \( \sum_{i=0}^{\text{rk}_W} (-1)^i y_{W,S} |_{S_i} = 0 \) for all circuits \( S = \{s_0, \ldots, s_{\text{rk}_W}\} \) associated to \( W \).

**Definition 6.1.** The graded toric Orlik-Solomon of the toric arrangement \( \Delta \) with integer coefficients is \( GOS^{\bullet,\bullet}(M(\Delta);\mathbb{Z}) \) defined as the quotient of \( V_\mathbb{Z} \) by the ideal \( J_\mathbb{Z} \).

**Lemma 6.2.** The algebra \( GOS^{\bullet,\bullet}(M(\Delta);\mathbb{Z}) \) is a torsion free \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module.

**Proof.** The elements \( y_{W,S} \) with \( S \) nonbroken circuit associated to \( W \) generate \( GOS^{\bullet,\bullet}(M(\Delta);\mathbb{Z}) \) as \( \bigwedge^* \Lambda \)-algebra. Consequently, the module \( GOS^{\bullet,\bullet} \) is the quotient of \( \bigwedge^* \Lambda \{[y_{W,S}]_{W,S} \}_{W,S} \) by the submodule \( N \) generated by the elements \( \lambda \cdot y_{W,S} \) for all \( S \) associated to \( W \) and \( \lambda \in \text{Rad}_A \Gamma_S \) (27). The module \( N \) has the property that for all integer \( m \), if \( mx \) belongs to \( N \), then \( x \in N \). Thus \( GOS^{\bullet,\bullet}(M(\Delta);\mathbb{Z}) \) is a torsion free \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module. \( \square \)

The map \( \tilde{\psi} \) passes to the quotient by \( J_\mathbb{Z} \), in fact an easy check shows that \( J_\mathbb{Z} \) is contained in \( \ker \tilde{\psi} \). Call \( \psi \) the induced map \( GOS^{\bullet,\bullet}(M(\Delta);\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow A^{\bullet,\bullet} \).

Recall definition 4.3 of \( B_W \) for \( W \in \mathcal{S} \).
Lemma 6.3. For any toric arrangement $\Delta$, the elements $(\prod_{\lambda \in S'} \lambda) \cdot yw_s$, with $S$ nonbroken circuits associated to $W$ and $S'$ a subset of $B_W$, generate $GOS^{**}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Z})$ as $\mathbb{Z}$-module.

The proof of lemma 6.3 is analogous to the proof of lemma 4.4.

Theorem 6.4. For every toric arrangement $\Delta$ in normal form, the poset of layers $\mathcal{I}$ and an element $x \in C/\text{Aut}(G)^{op}$ determine the graded algebra $GOS^{**}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Z})$.

Moreover, the map $\psi \circ f$ is an isomorphism between $GOS^{**}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Z})$ and $\text{Gr} \, H^\bullet(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. The characters $\chi_i \in \Lambda$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ are determined, up to isomorphism, by $\mathcal{I}$ and $x$ (corollary 5.7). The incident relations among the hypertori of $\Delta$ are codified in $\mathcal{S}$. Since the construction of $GOS^{**}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Z})$ depends only on the characters and the incident relations between hypertori, the first assertion follows.

We prove the surjectivity of $\psi$ by showing the surjectivity of $\tilde{\psi}$. The image of $\tilde{\psi}$ contains $A^{1,0}$ and $A^{0,\bullet}$, because $\Lambda \approx H^1(T; \mathbb{Z})$ and the elements $f_S$ generate $H^k(W,M(\Delta[W])); \mathbb{Z})$. It is obvious that $A^{p,q} = (A^{1,0})^\bullet \cdot A^{0,q}$.

The map $\psi$ is injective since the two $\mathbb{Z}$-modules have the same rank equal to $\sum_{q=0}^r 2^{-q}|N_q|$ and $GOS^{**}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free. Therefore, $\psi$ is an isomorphism.

The composition of $\psi$ with the isomorphism $f$ of theorem 2.9 gives the desired isomorphism $GOS^{**}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Gr} \, H^\bullet(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Z})$. \hfill $\Box$

7 An example

In this section we give an example of two toric arrangements with the same poset of intersections $\mathcal{I}$ and different invariant in $C/\text{Aut}(G)^{op}$. By the theory we have developed so far, these two arrangements will have isomorphic graded cohomology ring $\text{Gr} \, H^\bullet(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Q})$ with rational coefficients and isomorphic cohomology modules with integer coefficients. Nevertheless, their cohomology rings with integer coefficients are not naturally isomorphic.

Example 7.1. Let $\Delta$ and $\Delta'$ be the central arrangements in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ given by the three characters in $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^2$ and, respectively, in $\Lambda' = \mathbb{Z}^2$:

\[
\left( \begin{array}{c|c|c} \chi_1 & \chi_2 & \chi_3 \\ \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 7 & 7 \\ \end{array} \right)
\]

\[
\left( \begin{array}{c|c|c} \chi_1' & \chi_2' & \chi_3' \\ \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 7 & 7 \\ \end{array} \right)
\]

These two arrangements have the same poset of intersections $\mathcal{I}$ and the same arithmetic matroid $(E, \text{rk}, m)$. The first two characters of $\Delta$ (respectively, of $\Delta'$) form a basis of the arithmetic matroid (or, equivalently, of the
vector space \( \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q} \). Following the ideas in section \( \text{(1)} \) we write the three characters in this chosen basis; the coordinates of \( \chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3 \) (respectively of \( \chi'_1, \chi'_2, \chi'_3 \)) are

\[
D = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

in both cases. Hence the matrix \( A = (\frac{1}{2}) \) is in normal form with respect to the unique maximal tree in the associated graph. Let \( \Gamma \) be the lattice \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \), \( U \) the two dimensional torus and \( \Delta_U \) the arrangement in \( U \) described by the matrix \( D \).

The inclusion \( \Gamma \hookrightarrow \Lambda \) related to the arrangement \( \Delta \), defined by

\[
e_1 \mapsto \chi_1
\]
\[
e_2 \mapsto \chi_2
\]
\[
e_1 + e_2 \mapsto \chi_3
\]

has cokernel \( \Lambda/\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_7 \) and the same holds for the inclusion \( \Gamma \hookrightarrow \Lambda' \) given by \( \Delta' \). We want to find an element in \( \text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}_7, \Gamma)/(\mathbb{Z}_7^* \op) \) that represents the extension \( \Gamma \hookrightarrow \Lambda \). The group \( \text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}_7, \Gamma) \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}_7 \times \mathbb{Z}_7 \) and the elements of \( \text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Z}_7, \Gamma) \) coherent with \( \Delta_U \) and \( m \) are

\[
C = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_7 \times \mathbb{Z}_7 \mid a, b, a + b \neq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_7\}
\]

hence the quotient by the natural action of \( (\mathbb{Z}_7^*) \op \) is

\[
C / (\mathbb{Z}_7^*) \op = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_7 \mid x, x + 1 \neq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_7\}
\]

The coherent element associated to \( \Delta \) is \( x = 1 \) and the one associated to \( \Delta' \) is \( x' = 2 \).

The two algebras \( \text{GOS}^{**}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Q}) \) and \( \text{GOS}^{**}(M(\Delta'); \mathbb{Q}) \) are isomorphic because, as the construction in section \( \text{(3)} \) they depend only on the poset \( \mathcal{S} \) (or on \( (E, \text{rk}, m) \) for centered toric arrangements).

**Proposition 7.2.** There is no isomorphism of algebras \( f \) between the cohomologies with integer coefficients of \( M(\Delta) \) and \( M(\Delta') \) of example 7.1 such that the following diagram commutes

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{H}^*(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{f} & \text{H}^*(M(\Delta'); \mathbb{Z}) \\
\text{H}^*(T; \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{f} & \text{H}^*(M(\Delta'); \mathbb{Z})
\end{array}
\]

**Proof.** Suppose that such an isomorphism exists, then it induces a graded isomorphism between the algebras \( \text{Gr} \text{H}^*(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Z}) \) and \( \text{Gr} \text{H}^*(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Z}) \). By theorem \( \text{(4)} \) the algebras \( \text{GOS}^{**}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Q}) \) and \( \text{GOS}^{**}(M(\Delta'); \mathbb{Q}) \) are
isomorphic as bigraded algebras. We want to study the behavior of the product of two homogeneous elements of degree \((1, 0)\) and \((0, 1)\) respectively. Consider \(\lambda \in \Lambda\) and \(\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i y_{H,i}\) with \(a_i \in \mathbb{Z}\); then

\[
\lambda \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i y_{H,i} = 0 \iff \forall i = 1, 2, 3 \quad a_i = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}\chi_i
\]

Therefore, the set

\[
\{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \text{GOS}^{0,1}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \text{GOS}^{1,1}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Z}) \text{ is injective}\}
\]

coinsides with the union of the three subgroups \(\mathbb{Z}\chi_i\), for \(i = 1, \ldots, 3\). Analogously, we can define intrinsically the three subgroups \(\mathbb{Z}\chi'_i\), for \(i = 1, \ldots, 3\), in \(\Lambda'\). We claim that there is no isomorphism between \(\Lambda\) and \(\Lambda'\) that sends each subgroup \(\mathbb{Z}\chi_i\) to the three \(\mathbb{Z}\chi'_i\).

In \(\text{GOS}^{*,*}(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Z})\) there exist two elements that generate two different subgroups \(\mathbb{Z}\chi_i\) whose sum is in the sublattice \(7H^1(T; \mathbb{Z})\) (namely \((-1) + (\frac{1}{2}) = 7(\frac{1}{2})\)), while this property does not hold for \(\Lambda'\) and the three subgroups \(\mathbb{Z}\chi'_i\). We have thus proven that the cohomology rings \(H^*(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Z})\) and \(H^*(M(\Delta'); \mathbb{Z})\) are not naturally isomorphic.

8 Discriminantal toric arrangements

We want to study the continuous deformations of a toric arrangement. Since the characters group \(\Lambda\) of a toric arrangement is a discrete set, no deformation can change the set of characters in \(\Delta\), however it is possible that some hypertorus in the arrangement are translated.

A particular nice type of deformation is the poset isotopy, which is by definition a deformation that does not change the poset of layers. Two toric arrangements are said to be poset isotopy equivalent if there exists a poset isotopy that deforms one into the other. This notion has already been defined in the context of hyperplane arrangements, see [18, Definition 5.27].

There are two natural questions:

1. Are two poset isotopy equivalent toric arrangements diffeomorphic? Are they homeomorphic? Are they homotopy equivalent?

2. Are two toric arrangements with isomorphic poset of layers and same characters poset isotopy equivalent?

In this section we will give a negative answer to the second question and a positive one to the first question.

The following example was suggested by Filippo Callegaro and it is a counterexample to the second question.
Figure 1: Representations of the two arrangements on the compact subtorus. The red subtori are the hypertori $H_4$ and $H'_4$.

**Example 8.1.** Let $\Delta$ and $\Delta'$ be the following two toric arrangements in $T^2 = \text{hom}(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{C}^*)$:

$$
\Delta = \left\{ (1, \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right)), (1, \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 7 \end{array} \right)), (1, \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right)), (\zeta, \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -1 \end{array} \right)) \right\}
$$

$$
\Delta' = \left\{ (1, \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right)), (1, \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 7 \end{array} \right)), (1, \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right)), (\zeta^2, \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -1 \end{array} \right)) \right\}
$$

where $\zeta$ is a primitive $7$th-root of unity.

These arrangements have the same poset of intersections $\mathcal{S}$ and the same characters $\chi_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 4$, therefore by theorem 6.4 their bigraded cohomology groups are isomorphic.

**Definition 8.2.** A layer $W \in \mathcal{S}$ is *generic* if there exist exactly $\text{rk}W$ hypertori containing $W$.

A poset is *generic* if all layers in $\mathcal{S}$ are generic.

A poset $\mathcal{I}$ is *nearly generic* if there exists a layer $\overline{W} \in \mathcal{I}$ such that all layers $W$ not containing $\overline{W}$ are generic.

Clearly, generic arrangements are nearly generic. We fix $n$ characters $\chi_i$ in $\Lambda$ and study the poset of layers of $\Delta = \{(a_i, \chi_i)\}_{i=1,\ldots,n}$, where $a_i$ ranges in $\mathbb{C}^\times$. Now on, we suppose all characters $\chi_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, primitive and we consider the natural surjective map from $E = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ onto $\mathcal{S}_1((a_i, \chi_i)_{i=1,\ldots,n})$, the set of atoms. We will understood that all isomorphism between these posets will be over $E$.

We adopt the convention that a list of indexes $(j_1, \cdots, j_k)$ is denoted by an underlined letter $\underline{j}$. 
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Theorem 8.3. The subset of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ given by
\[
L(\mathcal{S}) = \{ \mathbf{a} = (a_i)_i \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \mid \mathcal{S}(\{(a_i, \chi_i)\}_{i=1}^n) \cong \mathcal{S} \}
\]
is a smooth locally closed subset of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{S}$ is nearly generic then $L(\mathcal{S})$ is connected or empty.

For each $\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ define the hypertori $H_i = H_i(\mathbf{a}) = V_T(1 - a_i \chi_i) \subset T$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Lemma 8.4. For all sublists $j$ of $(1, \ldots, n)$, the subset
\[
B_j = \{ \mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \mid H_j(\mathbf{a}) \cap \cdots \cap H_k(\mathbf{a}) \neq \emptyset \}
\]
is a connected torus in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. Moreover, the intersection of $H_j, \ldots, H_k$ in $T$ is independent, up to translation, of the point $\mathbf{a} \in B_j$.

Definition 8.5. The central toric arrangement $D(\chi_1, \chi_2, \ldots, \chi_n)$ given by the sets $B_j$, for all circuits $j$, in $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ is called discriminantal toric arrangement associated to the $n$ characters $\chi_i, i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Proof of lemma 8.4. Without loss of generality we suppose $j_i = i$, for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ and study the subtorus $Y$ of
\[
(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n+r} = \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[a_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, a_n^{\pm 1}, z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_r^{\pm 1}]
\]
given by the equations $I = (1 - a_i \chi_i)_{i=1}^k$. The rings morphism
\[
\mathbb{C}[a_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, a_n^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[a_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, a_n^{\pm 1}, z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_r^{\pm 1}] / I
\]
induces a projection between the associated tori:
\[
p: Y \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^n
\]
\[
(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}) \longmapsto \mathbf{a}
\]
The image of the map $p$ is the closed subset $B$ described by the contracted ideal
\[
I^c = (1 - a_i \chi_i)_{i=1}^k \cap \mathbb{C}[a_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, a_n^{\pm 1}]
\]
Given that the intersection of $H_1(\mathbf{a}), \ldots, H_k(\mathbf{a})$ is nonempty if and only if $\mathbf{a}$ is in the image of $p$, $B$ coincides with $B_j$. The elimination ideal of a binomial ideal is still binomial: this is a standard fact about binomial ideals and Gröbner bases (for a proof see [12, Corollary 1.3]). In our case, since $I$ is a binomial ideal, $I^c$ is binomial and the closed subset $B$ is a torus. Moreover, $B$ is connected since it is the image of the connected torus $Y$ under the map $p$.

The fibers of the map $p$ are either empty or a torus of codimension $\text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & \cdots & \chi_k \end{pmatrix}$ and with $m$ connected components, where $m$ is the $k^{th}$ determinant divisor of the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 & \cdots & \chi_k \end{pmatrix}$. The fiber of a point $\mathbf{a}$ in $B$, seen as torus in $T$, is obtained from any other nonempty fiber by translations. \qed
Remark 8.6. Let \( j \) be a sublist of \((1, \ldots, n)\) of cardinality \( k \). The torus \( Y \) is of dimension \( n + r - k \), so the set \( B_j \) is of dimension \( n + r - k - \text{rk}\ j \). In particular, \( B_j \) is a hypertorus in \((C^r)^n\) if and only if \( j \) is a circuit (i.e. \( \text{rk}\ j = r - k + 1 \)).

Proof of theorem 8.3. If \( \mathcal{I} \) is not \( \mathcal{I}(\{(b_i, \chi_i)\}_{i=1,\ldots,n}) \) for some \( b_i \), there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, for each layer \( W \) of \( \mathcal{I} \), let \( j(W) \) be the ordered set:
\[
j(W) = \{ i \mid A_i \subseteq W \}
\]
where \( A_i \) is the atom of \( \mathcal{I} \) associated to \( i \).

The condition \( \mathcal{I}(\{(a_i, \chi_i)\}_{i=1,\ldots,n}) = \mathcal{I} \) is equivalent to
\[
\forall a \in (C^*)^n \exists W \in \mathcal{I} \left( a \in B_j \iff j \subseteq j(W) \right)
\]
By lemma 8.4 the set
\[
L(\mathcal{I}) = \bigcap_{W \in \mathcal{I}} B_j(W) \setminus \bigcup_{j \subseteq j(W)} B_j
\]
is locally closed in \((C^*)^n\) and open in the torus \( \bigcap_{W \in \mathcal{I}} B_j(W) \), hence it is also smooth.

If \( W \) is a generic layer, then we have that \( B_j = (C^*)^n \) for all sets \( j \) included in \( j(W) \). Let \( \mathcal{I} \) be a nearly generic poset and \( W \) non-generic maximal layer; then the equality \( L(\mathcal{I}) = B_j(\mathcal{I}) \setminus \bigcup_{j \subseteq j(W)} B_j \) holds. If \( L(\mathcal{I}) \) is nonempty, it is an irreducible set; it is connected in the Zariski topology and thus also in the euclidean one.

Example 8.7 (continuation of example 8.1). Let \( \mathcal{I} \) be the poset associated to \( \Delta \) or, equivalently, to \( \Delta' \). The discriminantal toric arrangement associated to \( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 7 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \) is the centered toric arrangement in \((C^4)^* = \text{Spec} C[\{a_i^\pm 1\}_{i=4}]\) given by the subtori
\[
B_{3,4} = \mathcal{V}(1 - a_3 a_4^{-1})
B_{1,2,3} = \mathcal{V}(1 - a_1 a_2^{-1} a_3^7)
B_{1,2,4} = \mathcal{V}(1 - a_1 a_2^{-1} a_4^7)
B_{1,2,3,4} = \mathcal{V}(1 - a_1 a_2^{-1} a_3^7, 1 - a_3 a_4^{-1})
\]
All the others \( B_j \) are equal to \((C^*)^4\) and consequently the subset \( L(\mathcal{I}) \) is:
\[
L(\mathcal{I}) = B_{1,2,3} \cap B_{1,2,4} \setminus B_{3,4} = \{ a \mid a_1^{-1} a_2 = a_3 = a_4, a_3 \neq a_4 \}
\]
Hence the set \( L(\mathcal{I}) \) is the disjoint union of six connected 2-dimensional tori. The two arrangements \( \Delta \) and \( \Delta' \) belong to different connected components so they cannot be deformed one into the other by means of translations. We have thus shown that \( \Delta \) and \( \Delta' \) have the same characters and the same poset of intersections but are not poset isotopy equivalent.
**Definition 8.8.** A deformation of a toric arrangement is a collection of \( n \) hypersurfaces \( H_i \) in \((\mathbb{C}^*)^r \times B\) (where \( B \) is an algebraic variety over \( \mathbb{C} \)) such that for every point \( b \in B \) the subset \( H_i \cap \text{pr}_2^{-1}(b) \) is a hypertorus in \((\mathbb{C}^*)^r \times \{b\}\). We call \( M_b \) the open set \((\mathbb{C}^*)^r \times \{b\} \setminus \bigcup_{i \leq n} H_i \).

A deformation is said to be a poset-preserve deformations if the poset of layers of \( M_b \) does not depend on the point \( b \in B \).

We said that two toric arrangements \( M_1, M_2 \subset T \) are poset isotopic equivalent if there exists a layers-preserve deformation in \( T \times B, B \) connected, such that the pair \((T, M_1)\) (and \((T, M_2)\)) is isomorphic to a fiber \((T \times \{b_1\}, M_{b_1})\) (and, respectively, to \((T \times \{b_2\}, M_{b_2})\)).

The next result is an analogous to the one on hyperplane arrangements of Randell [19].

**Theorem 8.9.** If the toric arrangements \( M, M' \subset T \) are poset isotopic equivalent then \( M \) and \( M' \) are diffeomorphic.

Since the group character \( \Lambda \) of a torus \( T \) is a discrete set, two poset isotopy equivalent arrangements \( M \) and \( M' \) are described by the same characters \( \chi_1, \cdots, \chi_n \). Let \( \mathcal{J} \) be the poset of layers of \( M \) or equivalently of \( M' \), the base \( B \) of the deformation can be chosen to be a connected component of \( L(\mathcal{J}) \). Call \( U \) the closure of \( B \) in \((\mathbb{C}^*)^n\), clearly \( U \) is a connected torus (possibly translated) of dimension \( m \).

Consider the torus \( T \times U \) with coordinates \((z_i, a_j)\) as before, with hypertori defined by \( 1 - a_j \chi_j(z) = 0 \) and call \( \hat{M} \) the toric arrangement \((T \times U) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1,...,n} V(1 - a_j \chi_j(z)) \). Choose a componentwise embedding of \( T \times U \) in \((\mathbb{P}^1)^r \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^m \). The main result of [6] is that there exists a smooth projective variety \( W \) obtained from \((\mathbb{P}^1)^r+m \) by means of a suitable sequence of blow-ups that contains \( \hat{M} \). Call \( W_B \) the inverse image of \((\mathbb{P}^1)^r \times B \) through the natural map \( W \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^{r+m} \).

Let \( w : W_B \to B \) be the composition of the natural map \( W_B \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^r \times B \) with the projection onto the second component.

**Lemma 8.10.** The map \( w : W_B \to B \) is a projective smooth map.

*Proof.* The morphism \( W_B \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^r \times B \) is projective and smooth by base change of a blow-up map. The projection \((\mathbb{P}^1)^r \times B \to B \) is projective and smooth. So the composition \( w \) is smooth and projective. \( \square \)

**Lemma 8.11.** The variety \( W_B \) admits a Whitney stratification whose open stratum is naturally isomorphic to \( \hat{M} \).

*Proof.* The complement \( K \) of \( \hat{M} \) in \( W_B \) is a union of some smooth divisors \( K_i, i = 1, \ldots, k \). Moreover \( K \) is normal crossing (see [6]). Consider the stratification given by the closed sets \( K_i, i = 1, \ldots, k \). Each stratum has smooth closure in \( W_B \), therefore by [19 Lemma] this is a Whitney stratification. \( \square \)
To prove of theorem 8.9 we follow the ideas of [19].

**Proof of theorem 8.9.** We apply the Thom’s isotopy theorem ([13, Section I Theorem 1.5]) to the map \( w \). Consider the Whitney stratification on \( W_B \) of lemma 8.11. Since the poset of layers is the same for every point \( b \in B \), the restriction of the map \( w : W_B \to B \) to every stratum is a submersion. Hence for all \( b \in B \), there exists a smooth stratum-preserving map \( h \) such that the diagram below commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
W_B & \xrightarrow{h} & B \times w^{-1}(b) \\
\downarrow w & & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 \\
B
\end{array}
\]

Thus for all \( b' \in B \), \( M_b \) and \( M_{b'} \) are diffeomorphic. \( \quad \Box \)

9 Cohomology generated in degree one

In this section we will analyze the property of the cohomology ring of being generated in degree one. We will show that this property depends only on the poset of intersections and we will give a combinatorial criterion to determine when this property holds.

It can be easily observed that \( H^\bullet(T; \mathbb{Z}) \) is generated in degree one, so \( H^\bullet(M; \mathbb{Z}) \) is generated in degree one if and only if \( A^{0,\bullet} \) is as well. By theorem 6.4, we work on \( GOS^{0,\bullet}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \) instead of on \( A^{0,\bullet} \). A similar argument show that \( H^\bullet(T; \mathbb{Q}) \) is generated in degree one if and only if the same holds for \( GOS^{0,\bullet}(M; \mathbb{Q}) \).

In this section we will work with the assumption that \( \Delta \) is a primitive arrangement.

**Proposition 9.1.** Let \( \Delta \) be a primitive toric arrangement and \( S \) be a standard list of cardinality \( k \). The following formula holds in \( GOS^{0,\bullet}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \):

\[
y_S = \sum_{W \in \mathcal{Z}_k \setminus S} y_{W,S}
\]

**Proof.** The formula is an easy consequence of the definition of product (definition 6.1) in the algebra \( GOS^{\bullet,\bullet}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \). \( \quad \Box \)

**Remark 9.2.** By lemma 6.3, the elements \( y_{W,S} \) can be written uniquely as linear combinations of the \( y_{W,S'} \) with \( S' \) nonbroken circuit, using the formulae \([13] \) \( \), \( \left[30\right] \):

\[
y_{W,S} = \sum_{T \text{ nonbroken}} r_{W,T}^S y_{W,T}
\]

30
The coefficients $r_{S,W,T}^S$ are uniquely determined by the poset $\mathcal{S} \subseteq W$.

We proved that if $y_S = \sum r_{S,W,T}^S y_{W,T}$, where the sum runs over all layers $W$ and all nonbroken circuits $T$ associated to $W$, then the coefficients $r_{S,W,T}^S$ are integers. We collect this data in a matrix $R_k$ whose columns are given by $(r_{S,W,T}^S)_{W,T}$ for all $S$ of cardinality $k$; these are merely the coordinates of the element $y_S$ with respect to the basis $\{y_{W,T} \mid T$ nonbroken circuit$\}$.

**Theorem 9.3.** The algebra $H^\bullet(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Q})$ is generated in degree one if and only if all the matrices $\{R^k\}_{k \leq r}$ have rank equal to $\dim_\mathbb{Q} H^k(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Q})$.

**Proof.** Fix the degree $k$. The submodule $H^k(M; \mathbb{Q})$ is included in the subalgebra generated by $H^1(M; \mathbb{Q})$ if and only if $R^k$ has a right inverse; this happens if and only if $R^k$ has rank equal to $\dim_\mathbb{Q} H^k(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Q})$. $\square$

**Definition 9.4.** The $r$-th determinant divisor of an integer matrix is the greatest common divisor of all the determinants of its minors of size $r$.

We call $d_k = \text{rk}_\mathbb{Z} H^k(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Z})$.

**Theorem 9.5.** The algebra $H^\bullet(M(\Delta); \mathbb{Z})$ is generated in degree one if and only if all the matrices $\{R^k\}_{k \leq r}$ have $d_k$-th determinant divisor equal to one.

**Proof.** As in the proof of theorem 9.3, the submodule $H^k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is contained in the subalgebra generated by $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ if and only if $R^k$ has a right inverse with integer coefficients. By the Smith normal form, this right inverse exists if and only if the $d_k$-th determinant divisor is equal to one. Notice that if $R^k$ has strictly less then $d_k$ columns, then the $d_k$-th determinant divisor is zero. $\square$
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