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Abstract

Background: Electroencephalography (EEG) is established for evaluating several acute and chronic medical conditions of neurological basis. In much of Nigeria and Africa, it is largely unavailable and underutilized due to scarcity of neurologists and high costs of the equipment. It offers a relatively simple and efficient way to help manage many encephalopathies if well utilized in trained hands. Aim: This study aimed to determine how physicians practicing in Enugu perceive and utilize electroencephalography routinely. Method: Physicians attending a statewide meeting in Enugu in August 2018 were consecutively recruited and a pretested questionnaire was administered after obtaining prior consent. Sociodemographic data as well as their knowledge, attitude and practice of electroencephalography were documented and analyzed. Results: There were 486 respondents (males 335: females 151) and 345 (71%) were specialists in various disciplines while 141 (29%) were general practitioners. Only 7 doctors (1.4%) claimed ignorance of electroencephalography and 6 (1.2%) stated it was not useful. Majority, 333 doctors (69.1%) believed it had no impact on routine patient management. This perception was highest for Dental Surgery (100%) and lowest for Internal Medicine (23%) specialists. Most doctors (425, 87.4%) agreed that neurologists should analyze recordings. Most physicians had no access to electroencephalography (61.7%) and had no interest in acquiring the machine (50.8%). Conclusion: Electroencephalography is an underappreciated investigative modality amongst physicians in Enugu, despite a high burden of neurological diseases in the population. More education, training and awareness of its utility are needed for medical students and doctors to reverse the trend.
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1. Introduction

In 1924, Hans Berger first recorded the electrical activity from the human brain through an intact skull in Germany and called it an encephalogram. This work he published in 1929 [1] [2]. Electroencephalography has been described as “one of the most surprising, remarkable, and momentous developments in the history of clinical neurology” [3]. By 1938, the EEG had become an established neuro-diagnostic tool in much of Europe and America [4].

Established indications for electroencephalography are well known (box) [5].

| Indications for electroencephalography |
|---------------------------------------|
| Diagnosis of seizure tendency          |
| Evaluation of type and location of seizure |
| Evaluation of altered mental state     |
| Evaluation of nocturnal or sleep related events |
| Evaluation of prognosis in coma        |
| Confirmation of brain death            |
| Pre-operative evaluation for epilepsy surgery |
| Monitoring brain function during carotid endarterectomy |

There is paucity of literature write-ups on studies concerning the knowledge, attitude or perceptions and practice of the use of EEG among health practitioners. However literature review concerning the knowledge and practice of doctors towards epilepsy shows that, although most doctors in their lifetime will provide care for patients with epilepsy [6], most of them do not receive further training in epilepsy [7] and therefore are not confident in managing such patients. As a matter of fact, some doctors consider their training in epilepsy care to be inadequate [8] and therefore may not be to make correct diagnosis or request for the appropriate investigations [9] of which electroencephalography is their chief.

The utilization of EEG has been suboptimal in Africa despite the huge burden of neurological disease. According to data from the World Health Organization, there is a very low physician to patient ratio for the neurosciences in Africa: 1 neurologist to 1 - 2.8 million population (versus 4/100,000 in Europe); 1 psychiatrist to 900,000 population (versus 9/100,000 in Europe) and 1 neurosurgeon to 2 - 6 million population (versus 1/100,000 in Europe). Similarly by 2004, it was estimated that there were only 79 EEG machines in Sub-Saharan Africa (excluding South Africa) [10].

Nigeria is the most populous country in the African continent and the most...
populous black nation in the world. Yet there is no published data from the
country on how knowledgeable medical doctors (specialists and general practi-
tioners) are concerning EEG or how they utilize the EEG in their routine clinical
practices. This study, set in Enugu, the major city in the South East region of
Nigeria, determined the perception and practice in a cohort of physicians who
live and practice in the vicinity.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

This descriptive study was carried out in Enugu, the major city of the South East
region of Nigeria which is principally a rain forest environment with the popula-
tion engaged principally in agriculture, public/civil service and commerce/trading.

2.2. Study Population

This comprised medical doctors with their practice in Enugu and adjoining
communities attending a mandatory meeting of the state branch of the Nigeria
Medical Association. Both general practitioners and specialists in medical/surgical
disciplines were in attendance. Informed consent was obtained from all.

2.3. Study Period

This study was carried out in August 2018.

2.4. Sampling Technique

Total sampling was utilized in recruiting study participants.

2.5. Instrument

A structured questionnaire, designed and pre-tested for clarity and adequacy,
was utilized to obtain socio-demographic data as well as assess perception and
practice towards electroencephalography in the respondents. The questionnaire
was designed by OIO, EB and ECS. The result of pre-test for clarity and adequacy
was 98.2% and 98% respectively. Section I of the questionnaire asked soci-
odemographic such as age, gender, number of years of practice, area of speciali-
ization. Section II tested the knowledge of the doctors about EEG, while section
III studied the attitude of the doctors toward EEG. Section IV asked questions
that will help to evaluate their practice of EEG.

2.6. Statistical Analysis

Analysis was done using IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version
21 (Chicago, Illinois, USA). Descriptive statistics were used to compute means
and standard deviations for numerical variables as well as frequencies for no-
minal and ordinal variables. The relationship between categorical responses and
explanatory variables were evaluated using chi-square test. In all statistical tests,
a value of p < 0.05 was considered significant.
3. Results

A total of 520 questionnaires were deployed and 486 were satisfactorily enrolled for analysis (response rate 93.5%). There were 335 male and 151 female doctors (M/F = 2.2) with a mean age of 46.7 ± 9.6 years, of which 345 (71%) were specialists while 141 (29%) were of non-specialist cadre (general practitioners and intern house officers).

The distribution of the types of medical practice of the doctors is shown in Table 1. Only 141 (29%) were of non-specialist cadre.

As shown in Table 2, despite most doctors being aware of the EEG (479, 98.6%), there was varying degrees of knowledge of its clinical utility across the cadres with doctors in Internal Medicine/Pathology according most importance to it (46.5%/60%) while those in the surgical specialties had the lowest opinion on clinical utility of EEG with dental surgeons being the worst affected (100%). Interestingly up to 82.1% of newly qualified intern doctors (House Officers) felt the EEG was not useful. Across all the cadres of doctors, the neurologist was accepted as best suited to interpret EEG recordings.

Less than 40% of doctors certainly had EEG available as a service in their hospitals of practice. This knowledge was highest for doctors in Internal Medicine (>74%) but surprisingly lowest for psychiatrists (21.7%). Though the vast majority of doctors (89.5%) indicated interest in their centres of practice having an EEG machine, just 45.7% of respondents viewed this as necessity. Table 3 provides more details.

Table 1. Distribution of types of medical practice of respondents.

| Practice type                        | Number | %   |
|--------------------------------------|--------|-----|
| Surgery                              | 90     | 18.5|
| Public health                        | 27     | 5.6 |
| Psychiatry                           | 23     | 4.7 |
| Paediatrics                          | 42     | 8.9 |
| Pathology                            | 5      | 1.0 |
| Ophthalmology                        | 18     | 3.7 |
| Obstetrics and Gynaecology           | 33     | 6.8 |
| Internal Medicine                    | 43     | 8.8 |
| Haematology                          | 9      | 1.9 |
| Family Medicine                      | 8      | 1.6 |
| Dentistry                            | 3      | 0.6 |
| Anaesthesia                          | 24     | 4.9 |
| *General Practice                    | 102    | 21.0|
| *Intern House officers               | 39     | 8.0 |
| **Total                               | 486    | 100 |

*non-specialists.
Table 2. Knowledge and perception about EEG in clinical use across the cadres.

| Cadre                  | Not heard of EEG | EEG has no impact in patient management | Who should interpret EEG |
|------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------|
|                        | Count (%)        | Count (%)                              | Count (%)                |
| Surgery                | 2 (2.2)          | 61 (67.8)                              | 83 (92.2)                |
| Radiology              |                  | 11 (55)                                | 18 (90)                  |
| Public health          | -                | 20 (74.1)                              | 25 (92.6)                |
| Psychiatry             | -                | 14 (60.9)                              | 18 (78.3)                |
| Paediatrics            | -                | 34 (81)                                | 41 (97.5)                |
| Pathology              | -                | 2 (40)                                 | 5 (100)                  |
| Ophthalmology          | -                | 12 (66.7)                              | 13 (71.1)                |
| Obstetrics and         | -                | 26 (78.8)                              | 31993.9                  |
| Gynaecology            | -                | 23 (53.5)                              | 38 (88.4)                |
| Internal Medicine      | -                | 8 (88.9)                               | 8 (88.4)                |
| Haematology            | 1 (11.1)         | 6 (85.7)                               | 1 (12.5)                |
| Family Medicine        | -                | 6 (75)                                 | 19 (91.3)                |
| Dentistry              | -                | 3 (100)                                | 3 (100)                  |
| Anaesthesia            | -                | 18 (75)                                | 19 (79.2)                |
| GP                     | 2 (2)            | 66 (64.7)                              | 86 (84.3)                |
| House Officers         | 2 (5.1)          | 32 (82.1)                              | 30 (76.9)                |
|                        | 7 (1.4)          | 336 (69.1)                             | 425 (87.4)               |

Table 3. Exploring availability and need for EEG service.

| Cadre                  | Need EEG in my center | I would like my center to get an EEG | My center has an EEG machine |
|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
|                        | Yes (%)                 | No (%)                              | Not sure (%)                |
|                        | Yes (%)                 | No (%)                              | Not sure (%)                |
|                        | Yes (%)                 | No (%)                              | Not sure (%)                |
| Surgery                | 41 (45.6)              | 48 (53.3)                           | 1 (1.1)                     |
| Radiology              | 9 (45)                 | 10 (50)                             | 1 (5)                       |
| Public health          | 14 (51.9)              | 13 (48.1)                           | 24 (88.9)                   |
| Psychiatry             | 6 (26.1)               | 15 (65.2)                           | 2 (8.7)                     |
| Paediatrics            | 18 (42.9)              | 19 (45.2)                           | 5 (11.9)                    |
| Pathology              | 4 (80)                 | 1 (20)                              | 4 (100)                     |
| Ophthalmology          | 11 (61.1)              | 5 (27.8)                            | 2 (11.1)                    |
| Obstetrics and         | 13 (39.4)              | 20 (60.6)                           | 31 (93.9)                   |
| Gynaecology            | 6 (75)                 | 2 (25)                              | 8 (100)                     |
| Internal Medicine      | 19 (44.2)              | 22 (51.2)                           | 2 (4.7)                     |
| Haematology            | 3 (33.3)               | 6 (66.7)                            | 9 (100)                     |
| Family Medicine        | 6 (75)                 | 2 (25)                              | 8 (100)                     |
| Dentistry              | 2 (66.7)               | 1 (33.3)                            | 3 (100)                     |
| Anaesthesia            | 9 (37.5)               | 15 (62.5)                           | 23 (95.8)                   |
| GP                     | 48 (47.1)              | 52 (51)                             | 2 (2)                       |
| House Officers         | 19 (48.7)              | 18 (46.2)                           | 2 (5.1)                     |
|                        | 222 (45.7)             | 247 (50.8)                          | 17 (3.5)                    |
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Reviewing individual physician experience with EEG, about one in three doctors (34%) have ever witnessed an EEG recording in progress while about 60% of the doctors have seen an EEG report for a patient. Internal Medicine physicians performed best in this category. Table 4 illustrates these findings across the cadre.

As demonstrated in Table 5, less than half (40.5%) of all responding doctors had requested for EEG as part of diagnostic workup of their patients in the prior 1 year with a similar proportion (43.5%) having never made a request for more than half a decade. Subgroup analysis shows that pediatricians had the most recent requests for EEG (84.2%).

Most of the doctors (339, 85.2%) correctly named seizures/epilepsy as an indication for EEG while an array of other suitable and unsuitable medical conditions were listed too as shown in Table 6.

**Table 4. Assessing physicians’ personal experiences with EEG.**

| Specialty                  | Yes | No  | Yes | No  |
|----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Surgery                    | 20 (22.2) | 70 (77.8) | 47 (52.2) | 43 (47.8) |
| Radiology                  | 4 (20) | 16 (80) | 7 (35) | 13 (65) |
| Public health              | 11 (40.7) | 16 (80) | 15 (55.6) | 12 (44.4) |
| Psychiatry                 | 17 (73.9) | 6 (26.1) | 21 (91.3) | 2 (78.7) |
| Paediatrics                | 16 (38.1) | 26 (61.9) | 39 (92.9) | 3 (7.1) |
| Pathology                  | 2 (40) | 3 (60) | 4 (80) | 1 (20) |
| Ophthalmology              | 7 (38.9) | 11 (61.1) | 7 (38.9) | 11 (61.1) |
| Obstetrics and Gynaecology | 9 (27.3) | 24 (72.7) | 13 (39.4) | 20 (60.6) |
| Internal Medicine          | 23 (53.5) | 20 (46.5) | 34 (79.1) | 9 (20.9) |
| Haematology                | 4 (44.4) | 5 (55.6) | 7 (77.8) | 2 (22.2) |
| Family Medicine            | 1 (12.5) | 7 (87.5) | 6 (75) | 2 (25) |
| Dentistry                  | 1 (33.3) | 2 (66.7) | 3 (100) | - |
| Anaesthesia                | 8 (33.3) | 16 (66.7) | 10 (41.7) | 14 (58.3) |

**Table 5. Time of physician’s last request for an EEG.**

| Specialty                  | <6 months | 6 months - 1 year | 1 - 5 years | >5 years | Total |
|----------------------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------|----------|-------|
| Surgery                    | 2 (2.7)   | 2 (2.7)           | 14 (19.2)   | 55 (75.3) | 73    |
| Radiology                  | 1 (7.7)   | 1 (7.7)           | -           | 11 (84.6) | 13    |
| Public health              | 2 (8)     | 4 (16)            | 5 (20)      | 14 (56)  | 25    |
| Psychiatry                 | 17 (81)   | 1 (4.8)           | 2 (9.5)     | 1 (4.8)  | 21    |
| Paediatrics                | 32 (84.2) | 3 (7.9)           | 2 (5.3)     | 1 (2.6)  | 38    |
| Pathology                  | 2 (50)    | -                 | -           | 2 (50)   | 4     |
| Ophthalmology              | 1 (6.3)   | -                 | 1 (6.3)     | 12 (75)  | 16    |
Continued

| Specialty                  | Seizure/epilepsy | Head injury | Stroke | Cerebral palsy | Psychiatric disorders | Heart failure/heart disease | Dementia | Other neurologic disorders | Sleep disorders | Hypertension | Chest pain | Loss of consciousness | Autism | Amitriptyline overdose | Total |
|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|--------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|-------|
| Obstetrics and Gynaecology | 339 (85.2)       | 12 (3)      | 10 (2.5) | 7 (1.8)       | 11 (2.9)              | 4 (1.1)                     | 3 (0.8)  | 3 (0.8)                  | 2 (0.5)        | 2 (0.5)      | 2 (0.5)   | 1 (0.3)           | 1 (0.3) | 1 (0.3)                | 398 (81.9) |
| Internal Medicine          | 1 (3.6)          | 9 (32.1)    | 14 (50) | 8 (19.5)      | 7 (17.1)              | 9 (22)                      | 8 (100)  | 1 (14.3)                 | 1 (14.3)       | 1 (14.3)     | 14 (70)  | 4 (25)            | 14 (70) | 3 (100)               | 41 (10.4) |
| Haematology                | -                | -           | -      | -             | -                     | -                           | -        | -                        | -              | -             | -        | -                 | -      | -                     | 8 (100)  |
| Family Medicine            | 5 (71)           | -           | 1 (14.3) | 1 (14.3)      | 1 (100)               | 1 (100)                     | 1 (100)  | -                        | -              | -             | -        | -                 | -      | -                     | 7 (17.1)  |
| Dentistry                  | -                | -           | -      | -             | -                     | -                           | -        | -                        | -              | -             | -        | -                 | -      | -                     | 1 (100)   |
| Anaesthesia                | -                | 2 (10)      | 4 (25) | 14 (70)       | -                     | -                           | -        | -                        | -              | -             | -        | -                 | -      | -                     | 20 (25)   |
| General Practice           | 36 (35.3)        | 17 (16.7)   | 29 (28.4) | 102 (100) | 1 (14.3)              | 9 (22)                      | 8 (100)  | 1 (14.3)                 | 1 (14.3)       | 1 (14.3)     | 14 (70)  | 4 (25)           | 14 (70) | 3 (100)               | 102 (100) |
| House officers             | 2 (66.7)         | 1 (33.3)    | -      | -             | -                     | -                           | -        | -                        | -              | -             | -        | -                 | -      | -                     | 3 (100)   |
| Total                      | 121 (30.1)       | 42 (10.4)   | 64 (15.9) | 175 (43.5)   | 402 (100)             | 402 (100)                   | 402 (100) | 402 (100)                | 402 (100)      | 402 (100)    | 402 (100)| 402 (100)       | 402 (100)| 402 (100)             | 402 (100) |

*84 participants did not answer the question.

Table 6. Physician- given indications for requesting EEG.

4. Discussion

Despite the advent of high resolution anatomic imaging modalities such as the magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computed tomography (CT) scan and positron emission tomography (PET), the EEG continues to be a valid tool for research and diagnosis. It is one of the few mobile techniques available and offers millisecond range temporal resolution, a property that is not possible with MRI, CT and (PET) [11]. From the EEG can be derived evoked potentials (EP) and event-related potentials (ERPs) which are techniques that are used in neurology and other neuroscience fields [12] [13].

There is a dearth of literature on the knowledge, attitude and practice of physicians towards the EEG especially in Africa, probably explained by the fact that though the EEG’s place in medical practice has been established for decades in much of the developed world, its role in the continent and indeed much of the developing countries has been severely hampered by both lack of equipment and
extremely few neurologists in those areas [10] [14].

This study is the first one in the South East region of Nigeria to evaluate medical doctors and the contribution of electroencephalography as a diagnostic tool. The cohort assessed comprised a broad range of both specialist and non-specialist cadres of physicians (71% vs 29% respectively) with surgical consultants (surgery, ophthalmology, obstetrics/gynaecology, dentistry and anaesthesia) making up nearly half of the specialists surveyed (48.6%).

Most physicians interviewed (85.2%), correctly identified seizures/epilepsy as indications for EEG. This proportion is important considering the high incidence of epilepsy in the African region [10] [14]. It however sharply contrasts with the findings from Laos PDR where only 38.8% of physicians regarded EEG as relevant in epilepsy management [8]. However in terms of this knowledge being transferred to practice, there was a disparity as only 42% of all doctors have had cause to refer for EEG in the last 1 - 10 years. It could be that this is a reflection of the type of practice as most Pediatricians (84.5%) and Psychiatrists (81%) were more conversant with routine referrals, perhaps reflecting the doctors who experience greater exposure to EEG requiring patients including those with epilepsy/seizures.

Physicians generally had poor exposure to EEG with only 34% ever having witnessed a recording even as medical students. For decades there was a paucity of neurologists in the region and only one institution had an EEG machine. This could explain the rather unsatisfactory level of exposure. Of recent there has been a slight increase in the number of neurologists and greater efforts in medical education at both undergraduate and postgraduate levels in neurology are being made which should hopefully change the narrative over time. The drawback to these efforts are mainly institutional as evidenced by the fact that most hospitals lack access to EEG (61.7%) and surprisingly nearly half of the physicians (46.7%) did not believe EEG was needed in their centres.

Interestingly despite this low level of exposure, most doctors have seen a patient’s EEG report (60%) and nearly all doctors (87.4%) believe only the neurologist is competent to interpret the EEG. There appears to be a consistent line of disparity in the appreciation of the EEG across the cadres with general practitioners performing worse than specialists in all assessments regarding utility of the EEG, while the surgical specialists (surgery, ophthalmology, obstetrics/gynaecology, dentistry etc.) are out-performed by their medical specialist counterparts (internal medicine physicians, pediatricians, psychiatrists etc.) in knowledge, attitude and practice towards the EEG.

Currently, electroencephalography (EEG) is the most effective diagnostic tool for non-convulsive seizures (NCS) and non-convulsive status epilepticus (NCSE) in intensive care units (ICUs) and emergency departments [5] [11]. Meanwhile, it was estimated that less than two percent of the critically ill patients in ICUs and EDs undergo EEG [15]. Despite this established fact, an uncomfortable majority of anesthetists surveyed here (75%) were of the opinion that EEG was irrelevant in their routine practice. This finding should be a matter of concern for hospital
administrators as anesthetists (as intensivists) are usually the personnel who oversee ICUs in hospitals across the region.

The under-adoption or decreased utilization of EEG originates from challenges to accommodate EEG into established practice protocols. General practitioners had been reported to have less awareness and more negative attitudes towards epilepsy [15] and this could well be the case in this region where epilepsy remains the commonest indicator for EEG. In communities in Nigeria, most patients with EEG requiring conditions are more likely to be attended to at the initial visit to a hospital or health centre by general practitioners.

5. Limitation

One of the limitations of this study is the limited number of study participants. Not all the medical practitioners in Enugu state could be reached at the time of the study. Only those who attended the meeting of the state chapter of the Nigerian Medical and Dental Association participated in the study, and even then only those that gave their consent were recruited.

Secondly, there are no previous similar studies on the topic of this manuscript done locally, in Africa and generally on the international platform. Therefore literature search on EEG studies did not yield much to form a basis for comparison, hence the scanty literature review of this paper.

6. Conclusion

This study has demonstrated that electroencephalography is an under-appreciated investigative modality amongst physicians in Enugu, despite the high burden of neurological diseases in the population. More and continuous educational activities on the utility of EEG are needed for medical students and doctors to reverse the trend.
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