Evaluation of Combinatorial Capacity of Coconut and Cocoa Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) with Biocontrol Agent *Trichoderma harzianum*

Khadeejath Rajeela TH, Alka Gupta*, Murali Gopal, Vinayaka Hegde and George V Thomas

*ICAR-Central Plantation Crops Research Institute, India*

**Received:** June 19, 2018; **Published:** July 06, 2018

*Corresponding author:* Alka Gupta, ICAR-Central Plantation Crops Research Institute, Kudlu PO, Kasaragod, Kerala-671124, India

**Abstract**

Combining PGPR and biocontrol agents that are compatible with each other is a strategic approach to enhance plant growth and development, control plant diseases and pests. A screening study was carried out to evaluate the compatibility of eight PGPR isolated from the rhizosphere and endorhizosphere of coconut (*Pseudomonas putida* KnSF208, *Bacillus licheniformis* RSB14, *Bacillus megaterium* TEB2, *Bacillus megaterium* TSB16) and cocoa (*Bacillus cereus* ASB3, *Bacillus subtilis* VEB4, *Bacillus licheniformis* KGB16, *Pseudomonas putida* KDSP23) with fungal antagonist, *Trichoderma harzianum*, under in vitro conditions. The *Trichoderma harzianum* was isolated from the rhizosphere of coconut. All the PGPR isolates were tested for compatibility with *Trichoderma harzianum* by dual culture technique on four different media. Among the coconut PGPR isolates tested, *Bacillus megaterium* TSB16 was found to be compatible with *Trichoderma harzianum* on nutrient agar and King’s B agar. However, none of the cocoa PGPR were compatible with *Trichoderma harzianum* on all the media tested. This study indicates that combined application of PGPR *Bacillus megaterium* TSB16 and *Trichoderma harzianum*, as bioinoculants, is possible for dual benefits of enhanced plant growth and soil-borne pathogen suppression.
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**Introduction**

Beneficial rhizosphere organisms are generally classified into two broad groups based on their primary beneficial effect on plant growth: (a) microorganisms with direct effects on plant growth promotion and (b) biological control agents that indirectly assist with plant productivity through the control of plant pathogens. Co-inoculation of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) and bio control agents (BCAs) is considered to be an innovative approach in plant-health management, and for the improvement of crop yield and quality. The use of formulated preparations, consisting of a single microbial species or strains as inoculants has often resulted in inconsistent performances in agriculture [1]. One of the reasons of such a failure could be that a single strain might not grow equally well in a variety of environmental conditions [2]. Thus, more emphasis was laid on the combined use of beneficial microorganisms as they will have the advantage of exercising a broad-spectrum activity, more stable rhizosphere community, enhancing the efficacy and reliability of biological control generally and ensuring greater induction of defense enzymes over individual strains [3]. Application of binary or multiple mixtures would mimic the natural situation more closely and might broaden the spectrum of biocontrol activity [1]. Combining such beneficial organisms can enhance the plant’s innate resistance level against the invading pathogens more than their individual effort. In particular, combinations of fungi and bacteria may provide protection at different times or under different conditions and occupy different or complementary niches [4]. Such combinations may overcome inconsistencies in the performance of individual isolates. It was reported that the consortia of *Trichoderma harzianum*, fluorescent *Pseudomonas* and *Glomus intraradices* against *Fusarium* wilt not only suppressed the disease incidence but also helped in sustenance and growth promotion of crop through their different plant growth enhancement and nutrient uptake properties [5]. Interestingly, several researchers have observed increased plant growth and improved disease control using microbial consortia comprising of various biocontrol organisms such as *Trichoderma*, *Pseudomonas*, *Bacillus* spp., etc. in wheat, radish, chickpea, tomato, pepper, Arabidopsis and pigeon pea [5].
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Rhizosphere facilitates growth, development and functioning of diverse microbial communities including plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR). PGPR colonize the root surfaces, promote plant growth and protect plants from phytoparasites [6]. The rhizosphere is a nutrient-rich habitat influenced by the chemical and biological processes of root, which is an ideal place for the proliferation of these microbes [7,8]. PGPR may promote plant growth by several mechanisms which entail nitrogen fixation, sequestration of iron for plants by siderophores, production of plant hormones like auxins, cytokinins and gibberellins and lowering of plant ethylene levels [9]. PGPR have the potential capability to significantly enhance the yields of various crops [10]. Trichoderma species are plant symbionts that live free in the rhizosphere [11]. The soil fungus Trichoderma harzianum is used as biocontrol agent using its antagonistic abilities against phytopathogenic fungi, although it also has direct effects on plants, increasing or accelerating their growth and resistance to diseases and tolerance to abiotic stresses.

Biocontrol by Trichoderma is achieved through several mechanisms with a combination of two or more mechanisms acting together, probably responsible for the versatility of its biocontrol. A well-known mycoparasite, it secretes cell wall-degrading enzymes and other compounds that can directly kill the target pathogen. A competent rhizosphere colonizer, it can compete for space and nutrients with other microorganisms in the rhizosphere. Depending upon the strains, the use of Trichoderma species in agriculture can provide numerous advantages viz. rhizosphere competence allowing the strains to establish rapidly within the stable microbial communities in the rhizosphere; control of pathogenic and competitive or deleterious microflora by using a variety of mechanisms; improvement of the plant health and stimulation of root growth [12]. So far, Trichoderma species are among the most studied fungal biocontrol agents and commercially marketed as biopesticides, biofertilizers and soil amendments [13]. Compatibility and effectiveness of combinations of Trichoderma with other beneficial organisms is an important issue [14]. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to investigate the compatibility of eight PGPR, isolated from the rhizosphere and roots of coconut and cocoa, to fungal antagonist Trichoderma harzianum.

Materials and Methods

Cultures

Trichoderma harzianum culture was obtained from the Crop Protection Division, Central Plantation Crops Research Institute Kasaragod, which was previously isolated from the rhizosphere of coconut [15]. Fungal cultures were maintained on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) slants from which fresh cultures were prepared for further use.

PGPR strains

The compatibility of eight PGPR was tested against the biocontrol agent Trichoderma harzianum. Of the eight PGPR, four (Pseudomonas putida KnSF208, Bacillus licheniformis RSB14, Bacillus megaterium TEB2 and Bacillus megaterium TSB16) were isolated from the rhizosphere and endorhizosphere of coconut and the other four (Bacillus cereus ASB3, Bacillus subtilis VEB4, Bacillus licheniformis KGE16 and Pseudomonas putida KDSF23) were isolated from the rhizosphere and endorhizosphere of cocoa. These PGPR were selected based on their plant growth promoting characteristics, performance based on seedlings study, green house experiments and field trials in coconut and cocoa [16,17]. The isolates were maintained on the nutrient agar slants at 4 °C for further use.

Selection of suitable medium for antagonistic studies

Eight selected Bacillus species and Pseudomonas species along with T. harzianum were inoculated on different media like Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA), Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SDA), Nutrient Agar (NA) and King’s B Agar (KBA) to select an appropriate medium which would allow both bacteria and fungus to grow well for compatibility studies.

Compatibility study

Dual culture technique was performed for evaluating the compatibility of PGPR with Trichoderma harzianum in different media viz. NA and KBA. Fungal cultures, grown on PDA plates at 30°C for 3 to 4 days, were used for the study. Bacillus and Pseudomonas species were raised in nutrient broth and King’s B broth, respectively. Twenty four hr. old bacterial cultures were streaked at four equidistant points along the periphery of the NA and KBA plates. Mycelial discs of Trichoderma harzianum were cut out from the edge of an actively growing colony with the help of a sterile 5mm diameter cork borer and placed upside down at the centre of the assay plates. Control plate was kept without bacterial inoculation. All the plates were incubated at 30°C. When the hyphal growth of Trichoderma in the control plates reached the periphery, the growth of fungus in the dual inoculation plates (Trichoderma harzianum+PGPR) were measured for assessing compatibility. The zone of inhibition was measured and percent inhibition over control was calculated using the formula R1-R2/R1x100 where, R1 is maximum radius of mycelial growth on the control plate and R2 is radius of mycelial growth directly opposite to the bacterial growth [18].

Results

Out of four media tested, PDA and SDA, though favored the growth of Trichoderma harzianum but did not suit the growth of all the bacterial isolates. Hence, they were not suitable for studying compatibility. The in vitro compatibility studies were, therefore, carried out using NA and KBA media, which supported growth of both Trichoderma harzianum and PGPR bacteria. Strains of Pseudomonas putida (KnSF208), Bacillus megaterium (TSB16 and TEB2) and Bacillus licheniformis (RSB14) isolated from coconut rhizosphere and endorhizosphere were tested in vitro for compatibility. Bacillus megaterium TSB16 overgrew Trichoderma harzianum on all the...
media tested, no inhibition zone formed between these two isolates indicating that these organisms were compatible. Coconut isolate Bacillus megaterium TEB2 was compatible with Trichoderma harzianum on KBA medium (Figure 1). The isolate showed 40% inhibition when co-cultured on nutrient agar. Among the PGPR tested, Pseudomonas putida KsSF208 showed mycelia inhibition of 40% on NA and 37% on KBA (Table 1), (Figure1). The coconut isolate Bacillus licheniformis RSB14 showed highest inhibition of 44% on nutrient agar.
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### Table 1: Compatibility of Coconut PGPR on Different Media.

| Isolate ID          | % Inhibition of Trichoderma harzianum                  |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
|                     | Nutrient agar | King’s B agar |
| Bacillus megaterium | 40 ± 4.49     | 0             |
| TSB16               |              |               |
| Bacillus megaterium | 40 ± 2.34     | 37 ± 1.68     |
| TEB2                |              |               |
| Pseudomonas putida  | 44 ± 2.96     | 40 ± 1.72     |
| KnSF208             |              |               |
| Bacillus licheniformis | 44 ± 2.96   | 40 ± 1.72     |
| RSB14               |              |               |

All the PGPR isolated from cocoa rhizosphere and endorhizosphere were incompatible with Trichoderma harzianum on NA and KBA. Of the cocoa PGPR tested, Bacillus cereus ASB3 showed maximum inhibition of mycelia growth of Trichoderma harzianum (65%) on nutrient agar. This was followed by Bacillus subtilis VEB4 which recorded 60% inhibition of Trichoderma over control on King’s B agar (Figure 1). The cocoa isolate Pseudomonas putida KDSF23 recorded the least mycelial growth inhibition of 23% on King’s B agar and 30% on nutrient agar (Table 2). As far as the medium used was concerned, maximum inhibition of hyphal growth of Trichoderma harzianum was obtained on nutrient agar as compared to King’s B agar.

### Table 2: Compatibility of Cocoa PGPR on Different Media.

| Isolate ID | % Inhibition of Trichoderma harzianum |
|------------|---------------------------------------|
|            | Nutrient agar | King’s B agar |
| Bacillus cereus ASB3 | 65 ± 2.28 | 54 ± 2.54 |
| Pseudomonas putida KDSF23 | 30 ± 3.41 | 23 ± 5.91 |
| Bacillus licheniformis KGE16 | 52 ± 2.35 | 45 ± 0.63 |
| Bacillus subtilis VEB4 | 49 ± 2.34 | 60 ± 3.41 |

**Discussion**

The PGPR isolated from coconut and cocoa rhizosphere and roots were individually screened for their compatibility with Trichoderma harzianum in dual culture test. For carrying out compatibility studies, both NA and KBA were found suitable and PGPR and the fungal biocontrol agent exhibited satisfactory growth on these media. However, all the tested isolates showed maximum inhibition of growth of Trichoderma harzianum on nutrient agar medium. Greater levels of antagonism on the nutrient agar medium could be related to more suitable conditions for synthesis of antagonistic bioactive molecules. Peptone had been reported as a key nutrient for the production of antifungal compounds by Bacillus amyloliquefaciens KC-2 [19]. Also, variation in the antagonism of the native strains against fungi was observed on different solid media [20]. Antagonistic properties of Pseudomonas species were also reported to be influenced by culture medium composition, the fungal pathogen, and its growth stages [21]. Coconut isolate, Bacillus megaterium TSB16, was found to be compatible with Trichoderma harzianum on both media tested.

A positive interaction existed between Bacillus megaterium TSB16 and the fungal antagonist, Trichoderma harzianum. It could be attributed to the existence of synergism between the metabolites produced by PGPR and Trichoderma harzianum. Our findings corroborate the report of [22], who found that the rhizobacterial strains, Bacillus subtilis, Bacillus pumilus and Bacillus cereus did not inhibit growth of Trichoderma harzianum in in vitro assays. Combinations of fungi and bacteria might provide protection at different times or under different conditions and might probably mimic the natural situation in the rhizosphere [23]. Bacillus licheniformis RSB14 isolated from the rhizosphere of coconut inhibited the mycelial growth of Trichoderma harzianum by an inhibition per cent of 44%. It had been earlier reported that the Bacillus licheniformis RSB14 had antagonistic activity against Ganoderma applanatum (57%) and Thielaviopsis paradoxa (80%) in in vitro studies George and workers [24] had also reported that the Bacillus licheniformis RSB14 had the potential to produce...
siderophore, chitinase, ammonia, β-1, 3- glucanase and salicylic acid.

Chitinases are the cell wall-degrading enzymes that degrade chitin, a common constituent of fungal cell walls that is made up of β-1, 4-linked homopolymers of N-acetylglucosamine [25]. The antifungal metabolites such as β-1,3-glucanase and β-1,4-glucanase degrade the components of fungal cell wall such as chitin, β-1,3-glucan and glucoisidic bonds [26]. Therefore, it was likely that cell wall lysis would have been due to concerted action of chitinase and β-1,3-glucanase. Generally, *Bacillus* species are capable of producing variety of fungal cell wall-degrading enzymes, such as chitinase, proteinase, cellulase and amylase [27]. Production of chitinase, β-1,3-glucanase, ammonia and siderophore by *Bacillus licheniformis* RS814 might have collectively contributed to inhibition of fungal growth. Ghasemi [28] reported that halotolerant bacterium, *Bacillus pumilus* strain SG2 produced chitinases which had antifungal activity against *Rhizoctonia solani*, *Verticillium* species, etc. It was also reported that *Bacillus megaterium* and *Bacillus subtilis* inhibited the growth of *Aspergillus niger* in plate assay by the production of antifungal substances such as chitinase, cellulase and protease [29].

_Pseudomonas putida* KDSF23 isolated from cocoa and _Pseudomonas putida_ KnSF208 isolated from coconut were also found to inhibit *Trichoderma harzianum*. The strains had the potential to produce siderophores [16, 17]. Competition for iron by siderophore production had been considered as one of the important mechanisms by which pseudomonads exert their antagonistic activity and plant growth promotion. Siderophores produced by the microorganisms could bind iron with high specificity and affinity, making the iron unavailable for other microorganisms, and thereby limiting their growth. Siderophores might play an important role in the competition between microorganisms and may also act as growth promoters [30]. In an earlier report, _Pseudomonas aeruginosa_ showed strong antagonism against two fungal pathogens, _Macrophomina phaseolina_ and _Fusarium oxysporum_ through the production of siderophores and HCN [26]. Costa and coworkers [31] found that most of the _Pseudomonas_ species displaying antifungal activity were siderophore producers.

All the cocoa isolates tested were found to be incompatible to *Trichoderma harzianum* and per cent inhibition ranged from 23% to 64% (Table 2). Among the cocoa isolates, the maximum inhibition of *Trichoderma harzianum* was shown by *Bacillus cereus* ASB3, isolated from cocoa rhizosphere, and *Bacillus subtilis* VEB4, isolated from the endorhizosphere of cocoa. A plausible reason for their antagonistic effect could be the production of secondary metabolites, such as antibiotics which resulted in inhibition of mycelial growth of *Trichoderma harzianum*. Antibiotics are low-molecular weight compounds produced by microorganisms that are deleterious to the metabolism or growth of other microorganisms. It is well known that most of the *Bacillus* strains, such as *Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus cereus*, produce antibiotics such as d-gluconic acid and 2-hexyl-5-propyl resorcinol and bioactive compounds belonging to the cyclic lipopeptides [6]. In addition *Bacillus subtilis* VEB4 was found to be an antagonist to _Phytophthora palmivora_ in an earlier study and the percent inhibition recorded was 45% over control [17].

Further, the strain was observed to produce siderophore, antibiotic and ammonia [17]. This suggested that the fungal mycelia inhibition happened not only by antiisothia but also by other antifungal metabolites such as siderophores, and gaseous product like ammonia. The cocoa isolates _Pseudomonas putida* KDSF23, _Bacillus cereus* ASB3 and _Bacillus licheniformis_ KGB16 had the potential to produce chitinases which also might have helped them to inhibit the growth of *Trichoderma harzianum*. Species of _Pseudomonas_ excrete chitinases and β-1, 3-glucanases to digest the fungal cell wall chitin and glucan, respectively, and use these as a carbon and energy source [32]. Mostly *Bacillus* species were selected to play an important role in _Trichoderma_ species inhibition [33]. Similar to our findings, _Bacillus subtilis_ and _Bacillus atrophaeus_ were reported to be inhibitory to _Trichoderma harzianum_ in dual culture studies and were found to inhibit rhizome rot pathogens [22].

**Conclusion**

Out of eight PGPR, four from coconut and four from cocoa, tested for compatibility to *Trichoderma harzianum*, *Bacillus megaterium* TSB16 isolated from the rhizosphere of coconut was found to be compatible with *Trichoderma harzianum*. Among the four media tested, nutrient agar and King’s B agar were observed to support the growth of both the fungal antagonist _Trichoderma harzianum_ and PGPR. The results of this study permit the integration of fungal antagonist and PGPR for effective rhizosphere management in future.
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