Net Carbon Dioxide Exchange Rates and Predicted Growth Patterns in *Alstroemeria* ‘Jacqueline’ at Varying Irradiances, Carbon Dioxide Concentrations, and Air Temperatures
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Abstract. The influence of irradiance, CO₂ concentration, and air temperature on leaf and whole-plant net CO₂ exchange rate (NCER) of *Alstroemeria* ‘Jacqueline’ was studied. At ambient CO₂, leaf net photosynthesis was maximum at irradiances above 600 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), while whole-plant NCER required 1200 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ PAR to be saturated. Leaf and whole-plant NCERs were doubled under CO₂ enrichment of 1500 to 2000 µl CO₂/liter. Leaf and whole-plant NCERs declined as temperature increased from 20 to 35°C. Whereas the optimal temperature range for leaf net photosynthesis was 17 to 23°C, whole-plant NCER, even at high light and high CO₂, declined above 12°C. Dark respiration of leaves and whole plants increased with a Q₁₀ of ~2 at 15 to 35°C. In an analysis of day effects, irradiance, CO₂ concentration, and temperature contributed 58%, 23%, and 14%, respectively, to the total variation in NCER explained by a second-order polynomial model ($R^2 = 0.85$). Interactions among the factors accounted for 4% of the variation in day C assimilation. The potential whole-plant growth rates during varying greenhouse day and night temperature regimes were predicted for short- and long-day scenarios. The data are discussed with the view of designing experiments to test the importance of C gain in supporting flowering and high yield during routine harvest of *Alstroemeria* plants under commercial greenhouse conditions.

*Alstroemeria* is an important greenhouse flower crop because of consumer interest, excellent vase life, high wholesale value, high productivity in greenhouses, and low energy requirements for production during winter (Blom and Piott, 1990; Healy and Wilkins, 1982b). Research on *Alstroemeria* has focused on promoting earlier winter and spring flowering (Healy and Wilkins, 1986a; Healy et al., 1982; Heins and Wilkins, 1976; Lin and Molnar, 1983) and extending harvest during warm growing conditions such as those experienced in the summer (Blom and Piott, 1990; Bridgen and Bartok, 1990; Lin, 1984, 1985). Although it has been suggested that the storage roots may be a primary CO₂ source for growth and subsequent flowering (Heins and Wilkins, 1979; Healy and Wilkins, 1982a), very little research exists on C assimilation (Leonardos et al., 1992a; 1992b) or partitioning in *Alstroemeria* (Aker and Healy, 1990; Gropper and Healy, 1990).

The growth and development of greenhouse crops depends highly on C gain, the balance between daily photosynthesis and respiratory losses (Jiao et al., 1991a; McCree, 1986). Environmental conditions that will increase photosynthetic rates and decrease photorespiration can result in increased whole-plant C gain, while reduced dark respiration can also increase final yield. Daily C gain predictions are based primarily on short-term nondestructive whole-plant net CO₂ exchange rate (NCER) measurements obtained when small populations of plants are exposed to varying environmental conditions involving altered irradiance, CO₂ concentration, and temperature (Dutton et al., 1988; Jiao et al., 1991a–c). However, as we have pointed out previously regarding another perennial greenhouse crop *Rosa hybrida* ‘Samantha’, daily C gain predictions must be supplemented with full greenhouse canopy experiments in which day and night conditions are manipulated and C partitioning is assessed with respect to cut-flower yield and quality (Jiao et al., 1991a). The NCERs and growth predictions obtained should be used primarily to reduce the number of permutations required for more upscale and costly greenhouse trials in which crop yield and quality can be assessed properly.

The purpose of this study was to measure leaf and whole-plant NCER in *Alstroemeria* under darkened (night) and irradiated (day) conditions for using the CO₂ exchange data to predict the growth potential of *Alstroemeria*, a perennial floriculture crop, in a range of environmental conditions that might be experienced in a typical greenhouse.

Materials and Methods

Plant material and cultural conditions. The crown portion of *Alstroemeria* ‘Jacqueline’ plants were divided into smaller propagules of at least one rhizome with an active growing point, a healthy root system, and three young shoots. Single rhizomes were potted in Promix-BX (Les tournières Premier LTEE, Rivière du Loup, Que.), in 15-cm (1.75-liter) and 20-cm (3.25-liter) pots for the leaf and the whole-plant studies, respectively. Plants were placed on benches in a glasshouse under natural sunlight, with temperatures set at 15 ± 3°C day and 12 ± 2°C night. Nevertheless, temperatures as high as 28°C were occasionally recorded during the summer. A commercial fertilizer solution (20N–8.7P–16.6K) (Plant Products Co., Bramalea, Ont.), at 300 mg N/liter, was applied during each watering.

Lodging was not a problem and a supporting system was unnecessary since plants were maintained at a height of 80 cm or less. Weak shoots were thinned when necessary. Whole plants with leaf canopies of ~3.0 to 4.5 leaf area index (LAI) were randomly selected from the greenhouse and moved to the laboratory to analyze individual leaf and whole-plant gases. Plants were routinely left overnight in the assimilation chambers to avoid
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potential alteration in photosynthesis and C export associated with mechanical stress (Pappas and Mitchell, 1985; Pickard and Minchin, 1990). Plants used in the leaf studies were grown during the spring and summer, and leaf CO₂ exchange measurements were taken during Summer 1991. Plants used in the whole-plant studies were grown during the summer and fall, and whole-plant CO₂ exchange measurements were taken during Fall 1992.

Leaf net CO₂ exchange measurements. Estimations of steady-state net CO₂ exchange of apical, fully expanded leaves on flowering shoots of intact Alstroemeria plants were measured using two open-flow leaf cuvette gas analysis systems described elsewhere (Proctor et al., 1982; Woodrow et al., 1988). The two analysis systems, which differed primarily in the size and design of the leaf cuvettes, provided us with virtually identical estimates of leaf NCER (see Results).

The influence of each environmental factor (irradiance, CO₂ concentration, and air temperature) on leaf NCER was evaluated by varying one factor at a time, while the other environmental factors were set at predetermined levels. A minimum of five leaves on five flowering shoots provided the data for a series of measurements at different levels of irradiance, CO₂ concentration, or temperature. Each leaf NCER measurement was taken over a 30-min period after a steady NCER had been established. Leaf dark respiration rates at different temperatures were measured shortly after turning off the lights.

Whole-plant net CO₂ exchange measurements. A whole-plant net CO₂ exchange system similar to that described by Dutton et al. (1988) was constructed. The whole-plant gas analysis system, operating in semiclosed mode, consisted of four clear acrylic (plexiglass) plant holding chambers. Each chamber measured 82 × 52 × 45 cm. The system was totally computerized with the capacity to control and analyze the environment of each chamber separately. High-pressure sodium (HPS) lamps (1000 W) (Lumalux LU1000; GTE and analyze the environment of each chamber separately. High-pressure sodium (HPS) lamps (1000 W) (Lumalux LU1000; GTE Sylvania Canada Limited, Drummondville, Que.) provided maximum photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) (400 to 700 nm) of 2000 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹, as measured by quantum sensors (Q3991-4; LI-COR, Lincoln, Neb.) placed at the top of the plant canopy.

Temperature and humidity were controlled continuously and independently by specially designed compartments attached to each chamber. Each of these compartments included a circulating fan and two heat exchangers (one cooling and one heating radiator). The circulating fan facilitated air movement through the two radiators inside the temperature–humidity compartment and through the plant canopy inside the chamber. Air temperature inside the chamber was measured with a linear thermistor (YSI 44018; Industrial Products Co., Winston-Salem, N.C.) controlled a mixing valve allowing proportional mixing of cooling solution for the cooling radiator, therefore controlling the temperature of the cooling radiator. A relay regulated the heating capacity of a heater (220100; Temro Division, Bull Canada, Winnipeg, Man.) controlling the temperature of the heating solution for the heating radiator. To avoid heat load from the light source, tempered glass and water heat filters were placed between the lamp and the top of the chamber. Humidity of the air inside the chamber was measured by a humidity transmitter (Dewtrak series; EG&G, Waltham, Mass.) and maintained at a preset saturation vapor pressure deficit (SVPD) (±0.3 kPa) by setting the temperature of the cooling radiator at the desired level to condense excess moisture.

Carbon dioxide concentration was monitored and adjusted by an infrared gas analyzer (IRGA) (Analytical Development Co., Hoddesdon, England), while the chamber was in open mode. A preset CO₂ concentration (±10 μl liter⁻¹) was maintained at 300 to 4000 μl liter⁻¹ in each chamber by adding pure CO₂ (Canox, Guelph, Ont.) with a mass flow controller (100 standard cm³ min⁻¹) (MKS Instruments, Nepean, Ont.). Carbon dioxide concentration was adjusted below ambient atmospheric levels using a CO₂ scrubber (soda lime 6 to 12 mesh; Fisher Scientific, Toronto, Ont., Canada). While estimating the CO₂ exchange rate, the chamber was in semiclosed mode. A second IRGA (LI-6262; LI-COR) monitored the CO₂ concentration, and another mass flow controller (30 standard cm³ min⁻¹) (MKS Instruments) injected pure CO₂ to compensate for the CO₂ depletion due to plant metabolic activity. NCER was calculated from initial and final CO₂ concentrations and pure CO₂ injection measurements as previously described by Dutton et al. (1988). Carbon dioxide release in the dark was used to determine whole-plant dark respiration.

The influence of irradiance, CO₂ concentration, and air temperature on whole-plant NCER were studied by varying one environmental factor at a time, while the other factors were set at predetermined levels. The NCER data obtained from these experiments on the individual effects of irradiance, air temperature, and CO₂ concentration on whole-plant net CO₂ exchange and those obtained from additional factorial experiments within the three-dimensional experimental region defined by ranges for the three factors (see below) were used in a regression analysis to predict whole-plant NCER at various environments. Measurements for each environmental challenge were normally taken over a 1- to 2-h period, during which time a steady whole-plant NCER had been established. In each experiment, the total data were provided by series of measurements on a minimum of four replicates (i.e., plant–chamber combinations) in which LAI was 3.0 to 4.5. LAI was estimated as the ratio of the leaf area of the plants, obtained using a leaf area meter (LI-3000; LI-COR), divided by the area of the chamber’s floor. The leaf area measurements were obtained destructively at the end of the daily CO₂ exchange measurements.

Whole-plant NCER model. A whole-plant NCER model was developed by fitting the NCER data obtained at the various irradiances (0 to 1650 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ PAR), air temperatures (12 to 35°C), and CO₂ concentrations (30 to 2100 μl liter⁻¹) to the following second-order polynomial function (Jiao et al., 1991a):

\[
\text{NCER} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot I + \beta_2 \cdot T + \beta_3 \cdot CO_2 + \beta_4 \cdot I \cdot T + \beta_5 \cdot I \cdot CO_2 + \beta_6 \cdot CO_2^2 + \beta_7 \cdot T \cdot CO_2 + \beta_8 \cdot I \cdot T \cdot CO_2 \]

where NCER is in μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹; I, T, and CO₂ are irradiance, air temperature, and CO₂ concentration, respectively; and \(\beta_i\) are coefficients.

The coefficients of the model were calculated using SAS’s general linear model (GLM) procedures (SAS Institute, 1988). Response surfaces were generated by SAS Graph (SAS Institute, 1988) using the second-order Eq.[1] and the estimates of the coefficients.

Irradiance and CO₂ curve fitting. For curve fitting of leaf or whole-plant NCER data to varying irradiance, a general asymptotic function was used in the form of

\[
\text{NCER} = \gamma_1 (1 - e^{-\gamma_2 I}) + \gamma_3
\]

where I is irradiance in μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ PAR; \(e\) is the base of the natural logarithms with a value of 2.718; and \(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3\) are coefficients.

Leaf or whole-plant NCER response data to varying CO₂ concentration were fitted to a rectangular hyperbola in the form of
\[ \text{NCER} = \frac{\delta_1 \cdot \text{CO}_2 \cdot \text{NCER}_{\text{max}}}{(\delta_1 \cdot \text{CO}_2 + \text{NCER}_{\text{max}})} + \delta_2 \quad [3] \]

where \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentration is in \( \mu_l \cdot \text{liter}^{-1} \); \( \text{NCER}_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum NCER at saturating \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentrations; and \( \delta_1 \) and \( \delta_2 \) are coefficients.

**Dark respiration response to temperature.** The Arrhenius equation (Johnson and Thornley, 1985) was used, as described for roses (Jiao et al., 1991a), to relate leaf or whole-plant dark respiration rates to air temperature:

\[ R_d = A \cdot e^{-E_a/R \cdot T} \quad [4] \]

where \( R_d \) is the dark respiration rate in \( \mu \text{mol CO}_2/\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{per sec} \); \( A \) is constant; \( e \) is the base of natural logarithms with a value of 2.718; \( E_a \) (cal·mol\(^{-1}\)) is the apparent activation energy of the dark respiration reaction; \( R \) (cal·mol\(^{-1}\)·K\(^{-1}\)) is the gas constant with a value of 1.987; and \( T \) (°K) is the absolute temperature.

SAS’s least squares nonlinear regression (NLIN) procedure (SAS Institute, 1988) was used to estimate the coefficients of Eqs.[2–4].

**Results**

**Influence of irradiance.** At ambient \( \text{CO}_2 \) and 17°C, leaf net photosynthesis reached saturation at irradiances above \( \approx 600 \mu \text{mol-m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \text{PAR} \), with a maximum rate of \( \approx 10.5 \mu \text{mol CO}_2/\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{per sec} \) (Fig. 1). In contrast, whole-plant NCERs at ambient \( \text{CO}_2 \) and 18°C were not saturated until the irradiance level was raised above 1200 \( \mu \text{mol-m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \text{PAR} \). Maximum whole-plant NCERs (\( \approx 5.2 \mu \text{mol CO}_2/\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{per sec} \)) were only half those observed in the leaves on a leaf area basis.

Linear regression analyses were applied to the leaf and whole-
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![Fig. 1. Effect of irradiance on leaf (Δ) and whole-plant (▲) net C exchange rate (NCER). Each symbol represents a single measurement of one leaf or one whole plant at each irradiance level. The total data represents a series of CO₂ measurements using five leaves and four whole plants. Leaves were measured at 333 μl CO₂/liter, 17°C, and 0.7 kPa saturation vapor-pressure deficit (SVPD). Whole plants were measured at 335 μl CO₂/liter, 18°C, and 1.0 kPa SVPD. The regression lines [dashed (---) for leaves, solid (―) for whole plants] were fitted using the asymptotic Eq.[2].](image)
plant NCER measurements at irradiance levels lower than 140 and 100 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ PAR, respectively (graph not shown). The quantum yield for CO₂ uptake, the estimate of the maximum photosynthetic efficiency of radiation energy conversion, was estimated as the slope of the linear regression curve line (Osborne and Garrett, 1983). The quantum yield for the Alstroemeria leaves, based on incident PAR, was 44 ± 1 mmol CO₂/mol quanta, whereas that for the whole plants was only 19 ± 2 mmol CO₂/mol quanta. The leaf light compensation point was 11 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ PAR, whereas that of the whole plants was 21 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ PAR.

Influence of CO₂ concentration. The plants used in this study were grown in greenhouses at ambient atmospheric CO₂ concentration (≈350 µl·liter⁻¹). Therefore, the data in Fig. 2 represent only the short-term response of leaves and whole plants to different CO₂ levels. Leaf net photosynthesis at 1000 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ PAR increased from 10 µmol CO₂/m² per sec at ambient CO₂ to 15 µmol CO₂/m² per sec at CO₂ levels twice ambient (750 µl·liter⁻¹) and to 18 µmol CO₂/m² per sec above 1500 µl CO₂/liter (Fig. 2A). At 1200 µmol·m⁻³·s⁻¹ PAR, whole-plant NCER was saturated above 1500 µl CO₂/liter with a maximum of 9 µmol CO₂/m² per sec, which was almost double that observed at ambient CO₂ concentration (Fig. 2A). At the lower irradiance level of 200 µmol·m⁻³·s⁻¹ PAR, typical of cloudy winter growing conditions, whole-plant NCER was saturated at 700 µl CO₂/liter. Although CO₂ enrichment increased whole-plant NCER at 200 µmol·m⁻³·s⁻¹ PAR, the increase was only 30% to 40% (Fig. 2A). At subambient CO₂

![Graph showing the effect of CO₂ concentration on leaf and whole-plant net C exchange rate (NCER).](image)

Fig. 2. Effect of CO₂ concentration on leaf (Δ) and whole-plant (■) net C exchange rate (NCER). Each symbol represents a single measurement of one leaf or one whole plant at each CO₂ level. The total data represents a series of measurements using eleven leaves and four whole plants at each irradiance level. Leaves were measured at 1000 µmol·m⁻³·s⁻¹ photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), 18C, and 0.7 kPa saturation vapor-pressure deficit (SVPD). Whole plants were measured at 1200 (Δ) and 200 (■) µmol·m⁻³·s⁻¹ PAR, 18C, and 1.2 kPa SVPD. The regression lines in A (dashed (- -) for leaves, solid (___) for whole plants at high irradiance, and chain dashed (-----) for the whole plants at low irradiance) were fitted using Eq. [3]. The shaded area in A, appearing on a larger scale in B, shows NCERs below ambient CO₂ concentrations. The linear regression lines in B were fitted to the linear part of the NCER response curves at subambient CO₂ levels.
levels, such as those that can occur during CO₂ depletion in tightly sealed greenhouses, leaf and whole-plant NCER were drastically reduced (Fig. 2). The CO₂ compensation point of leaves (40 µl CO₂/liter) was similar to that of *Alstroemeria* whole plants (50 µl CO₂/liter) (Fig. 2B).

**Influence of air temperature.** Temperature affects photosynthesis and dark respiration of leaves and the respiratory activity of nonfoliar structures. Leaf and whole-plant dark respiration increased exponentially as temperature increased (Fig. 3). The Q₁₀ values for leaves were 1.98 and 1.90 from 15 to 25°C and 25 to 35°C, respectively. Whole-plant dark respiration had similar Q₁₀ values of 1.81 at 15 to 25°C and 1.74 at 25 to 35°C. Estimates for the coefficients and the apparent activation energies of the Arrhenius Eq.[4] were A = 1.828 × 10⁸ and Ea = 11,675 cal·mol⁻¹ for the leaf and A = 3.666 × 10⁷ and Ea = 10,141 cal·mol⁻¹ for the whole plant.

The dotted line projected through the shaded area over the entire temperature range (Fig. 3) predicts the magnitude of whole-plant dark respiration at varying temperatures, corrected for CO₂ loss from a root-zone mass (i.e., rhizomes, roots, and storage roots) cooled to 12°C. The shaded areas (total) in Fig. 3 bordered by the leaf and whole-plant data sets represent the dark respiration of all components of the *Alstroemeria* plants except the leaves. The

---

**Fig. 3.** Effect of air temperature on leaf (Δ) and whole-plant (▲) dark respiration at 400 µl CO₂/liter. Thirty leaves (six for each temperature level) were used to provide the leaf data presented. The whole-plant data represents a series of measurements using four whole plants. Symbols represent the means and vertical bars represent the SE. The regression lines through the data points [dashed (---) for leaves, solid (___) for whole plants] were fitted using the Arrhenius Eq.[4]. The shaded areas (total) represent dark respiration of nonfoliar plant tissues at increasing temperatures. The dotted line projected through the shaded areas predicts the dark respiration response of whole plants with roots cooled to 12°C, but with their shoots and leaves exposed to air temperatures shown on the x axis. The difference between the solid line (measured whole-plant rates) and the dotted line (calculated whole-plant rates for plants with cooled roots) predicts the C savings, due to reduced root respiration, that might be achieved by root cooling (heavily shaded area).
difference of 0.41 µmol CO₂ released/m² per sec between the whole-plant dark respiration rate of 0.61 µmol CO₂ released/m² per sec and the leaf dark respiration rate of 0.20 µmol CO₂ released/m² per sec at 12C represents predominately the respiration of the root-zone mass. On a dry weight basis, the root-zone mass accounts for ≈80% of the nonfoliar Alstroemeria ‘Jacqueline’ structures (data not shown).

The data points in Fig. 4 are the NCERs of leaves and whole plants measured over a range of temperature at saturating irradiance and ambient CO₂. As the temperature was increased above 25C, SVPD increased from 1.2 to 2.5 kPa at 35C. Gas exchange may have been affected by increasing SVPD at high experimental temperatures (i.e., 30 to 35C) but not at temperatures below 25C. Leaf NCERs measured at 25C were unaffected by changes in SVPD (data not shown). Leaf net photosynthesis has a broad optimal range between 17 and 23C, whereas exposing the whole plant to 20 and 25C reduces NCER by 20% and 45%, respectively, relative to the rate observed at 15C (Fig. 4). A preliminary experiment (data not shown) in which LAI was 1.5 to 2.0 showed that, below 12C, whole-plant NCER was reduced and that, as temperature increased above 15C, whole-plant NCER decreased, as was observed at the higher LAI of 3.0 to 4.5 (Fig. 4).

![Graph showing the effect of air temperature on leaf and whole-plant net C exchange rate (NCER).](image)

Fig. 4. Effect of air temperature on leaf (Δ) and whole-plant (▲) net C exchange rate (NCER). The total data represents a series of measurements using six leaves and four whole plants. Symbols represent the means and vertical bars represent the SE. Leaves were measured at 750 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) and 333 µl CO₂/liter. Whole plants were measured at 1200 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ PAR and 335 µl CO₂/liter. As temperature increased above 25C, saturation vapor-pressure deficit increased gradually from 1.2 to 2.5 kPa at 35C. The dotted line without symbols predicts the NCER response of whole-plants with their root systems cooled to 12C (Fig. 3). This dotted line was created from the whole-plant NCERs by adding at each temperature the hypothetical C saving after cooling roots to 12C to reduce dark respiratory release of CO₂ from roots, as shown in Fig. 3.
Some growers cool the root zone to facilitate vernalization and flowering in *Alstroemeria*. The dotted line (i.e., without data points) in Fig. 4 represents the expected NCERs of *Alstroemeria* plants whose roots are cooled to 12°C while the aerial temperature is raised from 12 to 35°C. Even though the plants are irradiated, the assumption is that roots are still in the dark and that estimates of root respiration calculated in Fig. 3 can apply here. In spite of reduced C loss expected from cooled roots, the predicted data (i.e., the dotted line in Fig. 4) show that, when the greenhouse aerial temperature was above 15°C, whole-plant day C gain would still decline significantly.

Because temperature can affect much more than leaf metabolism and because of the problems of assessing the magnitude of mutual shading, whole-plant NCER data sets rather than leaf data sets were used to predict the behavior and growth of *Alstroemeria* crops as the aerial environment was modified.

**Whole-plant NCER model.** The analysis of variance of the whole-plant NCER model (Table 1) showed that 85% ($R^2 = 0.85$) of the total experimental variation was explained by the second-order polynomial function of Eq.[1]. All sources of variation (parameters) in the model were highly significant.

Irradiance (linear and quadratic component) was the most important factor in the model, causing 58.39% of the variation in NCER accounted by the model. The second most important factor was CO2 concentration (linear and quadratic component) causing 23.49% of the variation, and third, temperature (linear and quadratic component) with 13.84%. The interaction between irradiance and CO2 concentration was the most important interaction among the three environmental factors, contributing 4.12% to the model variation. All other interactions accounted for the remaining 0.16%. Estimates of the intercept and parameter coefficients ($\beta$) of the whole-plant NCER model are shown in Table 1.

**Whole-plant NCER response surfaces.** A series of whole-plant NCER response surfaces representing six different irradiance levels (Fig. 5) were generated to predict whole-plant NCER at various temperatures and CO2 concentrations. Whole-plant NCER increased with increasing irradiance for all combinations of temperature and CO2 concentration. Temperature had a significant effect on NCER at all irradiance and CO2 levels. From all response surfaces, it seems that temperatures below 15°C were more favorable for net C assimilation than temperatures above 15°C. Whole-plant NCER decreased as temperature increased. The decrease in whole-plant NCER with increasing temperatures was more critical at low irradiance levels (Fig. 5 a and b), at which negative NCERs were measured. An increase in CO2 concentration at the higher irradiance levels (Fig. 5 d–f) balanced somewhat the negative effect of high temperature on whole-plant NCER. High CO2 levels were more effective at the high (Fig. 5 d–f) than low irradiances (Fig. 5 a–c). Furthermore, CO2 enrichment was more efficient at stimulating CO2 fixation between 20 and 25°C at each irradiance level tested.

**Predicting daily C gain.** The day NCER data outlined in Fig. 5 b and e and the night respiration data in Fig. 3 were used to estimate daily growth rates for plants growing under different day and night conditions (Fig. 6). As discussed below, in terms of whole-plant daily C gain, the length (hours) of the night was generally less important than the length of the day in which photosynthesis occurred (Fig. 6). This concurs with the hourly rate of day C gain at ambient CO2 and 20°C, which was 17 mmol C/m2 (Fig. 5e), five times greater than the night respiratory C loss of 3.6 mmol C/m2 at 20°C (Fig. 3). Higher irradiance levels coupled with an extended duration of day irradiation (i.e., long day) and CO2 enrichment had a marked effect on daily C gain (Fig. 6b). Day temperatures above 15°C reduced daily C gain significantly, although CO2 enrichment would clearly enhance daily C gain when day temperatures are raised to 25°C.

**Discussion**

**Day C gain.** The whole-plant NCER model (Eq.[1]) provides a means of predicting *Alstroemeria* growth over a wide range of environmental conditions. Irradiance was clearly the most important variable, accounting for 58% of the variation in the NCER model. The second most important factor was CO2 concentration, contributing 23% of the variation. The third variable that significantly altered the potential for day C accumulation was temperature, which accounted for 14% of the variation in the predicted NCERs over the range of irradiance (100 to 1500 µmol·m²·s⁻¹ PAR) and CO2 (100 to 2000 µl-liter⁻¹) levels (Fig. 5). Interactions among these three factors accounted for the remaining 4% of variation.

In carnation, irradiance and CO2 concentration accounted for

---

**Table 1. Analysis of variance and coefficients of the whole-plant net C exchange rate (NCER) model.** The second-order polynomial function of Eq.[1] ($R^2 = 0.849$, CV = 26) was developed by fitting the NCER data obtained at various irradiances (0 to 1650 µmol·m²·s⁻¹ photosynthetically active radiation), air temperatures (12 to 35°C), and CO2 concentrations (30 to 2100 µl-liter⁻¹). The relative contribution of each component of the model to the total sum of squares (SS) of the model is indicated by the percentage values.

| Source            | df | SS      | $P > |T|$ | %     | Coefficient |
|-------------------|----|---------|-------|-------|-------------|
| Model             | 10 | 17825.93| 0.0000| 100.00| β₀ = 3.330847827 |
| Error             | 2471|3155.85  |        |       | β₁ = 0.010363474 |
| Corrected total   | 2481|20981.78 |        |       | β₂ = -0.356247305 |
| Intercept         |    |         |        |       |             |
| I                 | 1  | 10127.38| 0.0001| 56.81 | β₃ = 0.007072194 |
| T                 | 1  | 2447.38 | 0.0001| 13.73 | β₄ = -0.000004425 |
| CO₂               | 1  | 3632.81 | 0.0001| 20.38 | β₅ = 0.00002602 |
| F                 | 1  | 283.00  | 0.0001| 1.58  | β₆ = 0.0003515632 |
| T²                | 1  | 188.74  | 0.0001| 0.11  | β₇ = -0.00000865 |
| CO₂²              | 1  | 554.79  | 0.0001| 3.11  | β₈ = -0.000093323 |
| I × T             | 1  | 0.12    | 0.0001| <0.01 | β₉ = -0.000062058 |
| I × CO₂           | 1  | 734.76  | 0.0012| 4.12  | β₁₀ = 0.000000060 |
| T × CO₂           | 1  | 0.01    | 0.0001| <0.01 |             |
| I × T × CO₂       | 1  | 26.92   | 0.0001| 0.15  |             |
82% and 10%, respectively, of the total variance in the whole-plant net photosynthetic responses, while temperature accounted for only 1% (Enoch and Sacks, 1978). Using whole-plant NCER rose data, Jiao et al. (1991a) estimated that irradiance, CO₂ concentration, and temperature contribute 70%, 20%, and 5%, respectively, to the NCER model. Our studies with NCER of whole plants of *Alstroemeria* 'Jacqueline' clearly show a higher proportional response of day C assimilation to temperature than was noted for either carnations or roses. As shown in Fig. 4, the leaf data alone tend to underestimate the importance of temperature on whole-plant NCER in *Alstroemeria*. The root-zone mass comprises ≈80% of the weight of the nonfoliar structures in *Alstroemeria* 'Jacqueline', but in a simulation in which the root zone was cooled to 12°C, whole-plant NCER at elevated temperatures was not altered significantly (Fig. 4). Mutual shading coupled with the increased respiration at elevated aerial temperatures reduces the potential for canopy photosynthesis.

**Irradiance.** Our studies with leaves and whole plants of
**Alstroemeria 'Jacqueline'** demonstrate that irradiance level was a predominant factor in regulating photosynthesis in *Alstroemeria*. Many studies have shown that supplementary lighting with HPS lamps increased flower production in over 15 *Alstroemeria* cultivars (Blom and Piott, 1991; Euro-Floratech, 1992; Healy and Wilkins 1986a; Lin and Molnar, 1983). Thinning out weak vegetative shoots increased flower production (Heins and Wilkins, 1976). Possibly apical dominance was reduced and lateral rhizome growth was promoted because more light penetrated the canopy, thus increasing photosynthesis of young emerging shoots (Heins and Wilkins, 1979). It is believed (Healy et al., 1982) that exposure to a long-day regime and to high-intensity lighting may induce a new growth response dependent on enhanced photoassimilate production, which results in earlier flower production (Healy et al., 1982; Heins and Wilkins, 1979) and increased flower yield (Healy and Wilkins, 1986a; Lin and Monlar, 1983).

Unfortunately, in published reports, *Alstroemeria* plant density and LAI were not reported. In most greenhouse operations, LAI is frequently >3.0 to 4.5, values that we used (Fig. 1). LAI can vary greatly during the growing cycle and is clearly influenced by

---

**Fig. 6.** Predicted daily C gain (mmol C fixed/m\(^2\) leaf area) at several day and night temperature regimes. Daily C gain was predicted using the whole-plant net C exchange rate model of Eq.[1] and the Arrhenius whole-plant dark respiration model of Eq.[4] for short-day (SD) [10-h days and 14-h nights (a and c)] and long-day (LD) [14-h days and 10-h nights (b and d)] case studies at irradiance levels of 1200 (a and b) and 300 (c and d) µmol·m\(^{-2}\)·s\(^{-1}\) photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) and at ambient (empty bars) and saturated (shaded bars) CO\(_2\).
seasonal irradiance levels and thinning and harvesting flowering shoots. The leaves on the flowering shoot are usually smaller and fewer than those on vegetative shoots (Heins and Wilkins, 1979). Nevertheless, leaves on flowering and vegetative shoots have similar photosynthetic rates and respond similarly to changes in irradiance, CO₂ concentration, and temperature (Leonardos, 1993).

**Carbon dioxide.** Our studies (Fig. 2) confirm a strong interaction between CO₂ enrichment and irradiance. *Alstroemeria* has a CO₂ compensation point of 40 to 50 µl·liter⁻¹ typical of a C₃ plant. Carbon dioxide enrichment (i.e., 900 µl CO₂/liter) in conjunction with supplementary HPS lighting when solar radiation fell below 375 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ PAR increased production of *Alstroemeria* ‘Mon Lisa’ and ‘Tiara’ stems from 33 to 56 mm² and 47 to 74 mm², respectively (Euro-Florotech, 1992). The CO₂ level (900 µl·liter⁻¹) used in the European studies is consistent with the CO₂ levels (800 to 1000 µl·liter⁻¹) recommended for producing *Alstroemeria* in the greenhouse (Verboom, 1980). These observations and recommendations generally agree with the results of our data (Figs. 2 and 5 a–c) when irradiance levels are low. When the irradiance level was 1000 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ PAR or greater (Figs. 2 and 5 d–f), CO₂ levels of 1400 to 2000 µl·liter⁻¹ were required to saturate the whole-plant photosynthetic response.

There is general agreement that CO₂ enrichment is very beneficial under commercial situations, especially during winter when the greenhouses are tightly sealed and the CO₂ concentration can decline considerably below ambient levels (Porter and Grodzinski, 1985). Data in Fig. 2 indicated that CO₂ supplementation at subambient levels greatly improved C assimilation rates in *Alstroemeria* at the high and low irradiance levels tested. The response curves to different irradiance and CO₂ levels (Fig. 5) provide insight into the parameters to be tested in more elaborate and costly, full-canopy greenhouse trials. Currently, except for generalizations about the long-term response of various C₃ and C₄ crops to CO₂ enrichment (Bowes, 1993; Grodzinski, 1992), we do not have the data to predict exactly how a perennial C₃ crop like *Alstroemeria*, given a certain planting density and being harvested and pruned continually, will behave after being exposed to long periods of CO₂ enrichment. It remains to be determined how CO₂ enrichment and possibly increased storage of photoassimilates resulting from long-term CO₂ enrichment, even in warm summers, might affect the vernalization requirements for flowering in *Alstroemeria*.

There are several reasons why CO₂ enrichment might be impractical in a commercial greenhouse during the summer, unless the greenhouse is equipped with an efficient cooling system such as evaporated cooling or shading. First, temperatures above 20°C reduce *Alstroemeria* leaf and whole-plant photosynthesis. In fact, whole-plant photosynthesis was reduced above 15°C (Fig. 4). Second, *Alstroemeria* requires cool temperatures to flower prolif-erically (Healy and Wilkins, 1982a). Third, excess solar radiation during the summer will cause the canopy temperature to rise, and the need for ventilation can negate the value of CO₂ supplementation because of the loss of the added CO₂ through ventilation.

**Temperature during days and nights.** As a simple case study addressing the question of the importance of temperature, consider first a situation in which the duration of the day is extended from 10 to 14 h of lighting for two sets of *Alstroemeria* plants that are grown in the same 20°C day and 15°C night temperature regime, the same high incident irradiance level of 1200 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ PAR, and the same (ambient) CO₂ level (Fig. 6 a and b). Based on the data in Figs. 3 and 5e, the long-day plants should accumulate 213 mmol C/m² per day (Fig. 6b). During the 14-h day, 239 mmol C/m² would be accumulated, while 26 mmol C/m² would be lost during respiration at night. In comparison, the short-day plants would have only grown at 63% of this rate, since only 134 mmol C/m² per day would have been available for future metabolism and growth, when one sums net day C gain for 10 h (171 mmol C/m²) with the night respiratory losses at 15°C extended over the 14-h dark period (~37 mmol C/m²) (Fig. 6b). Although night CO₂ losses through respiration for 4 h are significant (~11 mmol C/m²), it is the absence of the C gain during a 4-h period of day photosynthesis (68 mmol C/m²) that is numerically more important.

In a slightly different case study, if the night temperature for the 10- and 14-h periods were 20°C rather than 15°C (Fig. 6 a and b), the daily C accumulation would have been 203 mmol C/m² for the long-day plants instead of 213 mmol C/m² and 121 mmol C/m² for the short-day plants instead of 134 mmol C/m². An examination of comparable data sets with and without CO₂ enrichment over a range of day and night temperature regimes shows a similar pattern in which night temperature differences of 5°C are not as important to the final estimate of daily C gain as the day temperature or the duration of daylight (Fig. 6). In all cases, when comparing within a specific day and night temperature regime (20/15°C), more growth would occur with longer light periods [i.e., long-day (14 h of light) vs. short-day (10 h of light)] and with CO₂ enrichment. The effect of CO₂ is totally consistent with the view that CO₂ enrichment suppresses photorespiration (Bowes, 1993; Grodzinski, 1992).

The estimates of growth (biomass) in Fig. 6 c and d forecast growth of *Alstroemeria* exposed to a partially overcast winter day when the irradiance level is definitely suboptimal for upper leaf and whole-plant photosynthesis (Fig. 1). The most striking feature of the data in Fig. 6 c and d is the low values compared with those in Fig. 6 a and b. At low irradiance levels, short days, and warm aerial temperatures, plants would have very little extra C to sustain new flower growth. In fact, in the two cases when the temperature regimes were 25°C day and 20°C night and 20°C day and night, daily C gain was very low, indicating that there may not be enough CO₂ assimilated daily to maintain respiration in the plant.

**Daily C gain and flowering.** Photoassimilates are required for flowering, but flowering initiation may not be controlled by current or instantaneous photosynthesis rates. Healy and Wilkins, (1982a) reported that flowering in *Alstroemeria* ‘Regina’ was hastened by forcing the plants at 21°C day and 18°C night vs. 13°C day and 13°C night air temperatures. However, the 21°C day and 18°C night forcing air temperatures reduced flower production compared to 13°C day and 13°C night. In addition, a decrease in air temperature by a few degrees only (mean days and nights of 20 and 14°C and 20 and 13°C vs. 22 and 13°C and 24 and 14°C) resulted in increased flower production of *Alstroemeria* ‘Atlas’ and ‘Monika’ (Keil-Gunderson et al., 1989). Although HPS supplementary lighting alone increased flower production in *Alstroemeria*, it was more effective when used with soil cooling (Blom and Piott, 1991; Lin, 1984,1985). Lin (1985) reported that HPS supplementary lighting had little effect on flower production when the benches on which the plant were growing were not cooled. In addition, Healy and Wilkins (1986b) concluded that flowering in *Alstroemeria* ‘Regina’ is primarily controlled by soil temperature (13 or 21°C), regardless of air temperature (13 or 21°C) or photoperiod. However, a higher percentage of flowering shoots was associated with higher root and rhizome dry weights, which were promoted when soil and air temperatures were 13°C and the night period was interrupted. Wilkins et al. (1980) also reported that the optimum temperature for growth of storage roots was at a low temperature of 15°C and that, at 20 and 25°C, root growth was fibrous with only a few enlarged storage roots, possibly due to high respiration rates. It has
been proposed (Healy and Wilkins, 1982b; Wilkins et al., 1980) that specific plant growth substances such as gibberellins may begin to accumulate in the plants and become conjugated with the stored C. Once a critical pool of metabolites and growth regulators has accumulated, flowering can occur. Future experiments must be directed at evaluating specifically how root zone C status controls flowering in a variety of greenhouse conditions.

Although the experiments conducted in this study were on *Alstroemeria* 'Jacqueline', the photosynthetic sensitivity to high temperatures was also evident for two other cultivars measured—‘Rosario’ and ‘Carmen’ (data not shown). The importance of irradiance will dominate any photosynthesis and growth model, but whole-plant and canopy experiments with other cultivars and other crop densities need to be tested. Examining the photosynthetic response to temperature of cultivars that flower year-round may provide insight into the link between the temperature dependence of photosynthesis and that of flower production.
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