Squeeze Casting of Sic,Fly-Ash Reinforced Aluminium Alloy Hybrid Composites-A Review
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Abstract: Aluminum alloys reinforced composite materials have been widely used in automobile, aeronautical and other applications. Aluminum composites are light weight material with high specific strength, specific modulus, stiffness, heat resistant, eco-friendly and have extreme properties so used in large volumes. Due to these circumstances, we have to reduce the price and weight of the composites, improve and increase physical and mechanical properties. One of the ways to reduce the cost of composites is reducing the cost of reinforcement by using cheap, weightless, and easily available materials like fly ash and improving the properties by adding sic. These properties are achieved through the properties intrinsic in them and the size, shape, orientation, weight and distribution.

Several casting methods are used by industries to manufacture the composite products, and each casting method has its own pros and cons. In traditional process, defects of pore formation due to gas, shrinkage porosity, and reduction of thermal expansion of aluminum are regular and these defects decrease the mechanical properties and integrity of the end product. To overcome such defects, comparatively better squeeze casting method can be implemented.
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1. Introduction

Metal matrix composites are the very advanced among all classes of composites and are metal dispersing the reinforced. Reinforcements give specific strength, specific modulus, stiffness, heat resistance and environmental friendly. Tensile strength, strain, hardness, wear are also increased.

Aluminum alloys have been an attractive choice as base metal and effective due to their good properties. It has reduced the weight, increased composites life and improved recyclability so that it is widely used in industries. Cost is the key factor for the wider use and is still more expensive. Cost can be reduced by advanced fabrication technique, better production rate and by cheap filler materials. Fly ash is the cheapest which is available in bulk\textsuperscript{1-3}.

Silicon Carbide (Sic), Aluminum Oxide (Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}), Titanium carbide (Tic), boron carbide (B\textsubscript{4}C), Zircon, graphite, natural minerals and fly ash should be stable and non-reactive in working temperature are the common reinforcements\textsuperscript{4-6}. Considering the increase in sic reinforcement ratio, tensile strength, hardness, density, wear resistance can be increased and reduced impact toughness is also achieved\textsuperscript{15-17}. Sic aluminum metal matrix composites (AlMMCs) shows higher wear resistance than Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} reinforced and best for brake drums\textsuperscript{18-20}. The Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} reinforcement gives good compressive strength and wear resistance and reduces fracture toughness. Boron Carbide (B\textsubscript{4}C) increases the hardness and wear resistance\textsuperscript{21-24}. Zircon is hybrid reinforcement; increases wear resistance and compressive strength of MMCS\textsuperscript{25-28}. MMCS with diamond fiber yields high thermal conductivity and low thermal expansion coefficient\textsuperscript{29-30}. Graphite is a hybrid reinforcement, particle which improves lubrication and reduces friction coefficient and wear\textsuperscript{31-34}. When the particle size of graphite is bigger, the wear of composite is smaller, and vice versa.

Fly ash, potential reinforcement for aluminum based alloys\textsuperscript{35-39}. The use it recently enhanced due to their low density and is available in bulk. Precipitator (solid particle) and cenosphere (hollow particles) types are available\textsuperscript{40-42}. Fly ash increases the electromagnetic shielding effect of the AlMMC\textsuperscript{43}. Fly ash particulate reduces tensile strength and the wear resistance of AlMMC\textsuperscript{44}. The corrosion resistance of AlMMC is found to be reduced due to enriched fly ash content\textsuperscript{45}. Addition to this filler decreases coefficient of thermal expansion of aluminum alloys; porosity levels should be minimum, improves wear resistance\textsuperscript{46}. The manufacturing of MMCS by casting is very popular as it is relatively cheap. Liquid Metallurgy Squeeze casting technique is characterized by fine microstructure. Stir casting and squeeze casting are generally used fabricating technique for fly ash reinforced Al metal.
matrix composites.\(^{47,53}\)

### 2. Advantages of squeeze casted aluminum alloys

TPD Rajan et al.\(^{54}\) Investigated on Al 356 alloy (Al-7Si-035Mg) / fly ash (average particle size 13µm) composite using various processes. Fly ash used has its density is 2.486g/cc. They found out that squeeze casting gives relatively better porous free fly ash particle dispersed composites. Squeeze casting is better than any other casting techniques.

Surface treated fly ash particles give less porosity effectively. Squeeze casting gives best distribution of fly ash compared to compo casting and stir casting. Interfacial reaction between the filler and the matrix are more in stir casting composite than in compo casting which depends on type of filler. The compressive strength of modified compo casting cumsqueeze casted Al-fly ash composite increased, tensile strength reduced.

R.Escalera-Lozano et al.\(^{55}\) Experimentally evaluated corrosion characteristics of composites made from SiC, fly ash and recycled aluminum. It is found that micro anode developed with the matrix led to localized corrosion. Besides SiO\(_2\) in fly ash prevents the adverse effect of SiC with liquid aluminum, chemical dilution happens due to formation of Al\(_2\)C\(_3\) with aluminum and carbon. The carbon’s unavailability and the existence of SiO\(_2\) avoids chemical degradation by Al\(_2\)C\(_3\) hydrolysis. In this way it will become stable, even in humid atmosphere so that composites can be prepared.

W. A. Uju et al.\(^{56}\) Carried out the study on CTE of stir casted A535, sic and fly ash reinforced composite. The reinforcements used were casted with A535 and then fabricated by stir casting showed the decrease in CTE with the presence of fly ash and Sic particles.

Anilkumar H.C et al.\(^{57}\) Conducted investigation on the influence of fly ash particle size in 6061composites, processed by stir casting using three set of material composition with filler material powder size range of 4-25µm, 45-50µm and 75-100 µm. Every set involves three kinds of composition samples having weight percentages of 10, 15 and 20%. It was found that the mechanical behaviour of Al 6061 composites decreases due to higher particle size of filler materials. Study has found that fly ash composite material is better for tribological uses.

M. N. Wahab et al.\(^{58}\) Prepared aluminum nitride reinforced composite material and understood that hardness value is increased with composite reinforced with 5 wt. % aluminum nitride powder. The wear resistance has enhanced due to higher fly ash, but reduces with rise in normal load. The Tensile and Compressive Strength are improved. Hardness enhanced and ductility of composite is decreased with increase in the percentages of reinforced filler and Tensile Strength, Compression strength, Hardness and ductility reduced with higher particle size of the filler.

G.N.Lokesh et al.\(^{59}\) Studied on characterizations of squeeze casted composite from Al- Cu alloy and flyash. Liquid metallurgy squeeze casting method results in fine micro structure. The percentage of fly ash increases porosity. Micro structure of composite showed better squeeze casting.

SEM shows good bonding between filler and matrix. Impact strength improved for higher percentage of fly ash. Fly ash with 12wt% reveal lesser porosity than gravity cast and higher compression strength.Al-4.5wt%Cu alloy and fly ash composite can be used for rolling applications.

K.S.Sreenivasan et al.\(^{60}\) Fabricated and tested Al-Sic composite and Al-Sic, Fly Ash hybrid used microwave sintering powder metallurgy method. The aluminum(44 micron), Sic(37 micron) and Fly ash(20 micron) were used in the study. 5, 10,15and 20% of Sic was used to fabricate composite and 20% of SiC-10% Flyash were used to process hybrid composite of aluminum, Sic and fly ash. Compacting load between 4000kg to 8000kg were used in making the composite. Microwave furnace sintering temperature was 600°C for one hour duration. Hardness value of 81HRB (Rockwell B-scale) was obtained in Al-20%Sic Composite corresponding to the 8000 kg load. Higher Percentage of Silicon carbide yield higher hardness. Maximum densification of 96% under compacting load of 8000kg were obtained. Uniform distributed Silicon carbide and fly ash in the aluminum were possible in the fabrication of hybrid composite than the manual mixing of powders and magnetic mixing of powders yields better hardness.

L.Zhang et al.\(^{61}\) Prepared composites with aluminum as matrix materials and sic as strengthening materials. So easy to produce and have high stiffness. They found that aluminum/Sic composites possessed better corrosion resistance than pure aluminum matrix.

N.N.Lu et al.\(^{62}\) Investigated influence between micro structure and EMI shielding effectiveness in AZ91 magnesium composite(FACs/AZ91 and M-FACs/AZ91) made by stir casting using raw FACs which was severely broken and Ca(OH)\(_2\);modified FACs ,majority which completely in the composites and were distributed effectively in the matrix found that raw FACs did not enhance the EMI shielding effect, but the modified FACs enhanced the electromagnetic shielding effect.
G. Narasaraj et al. Compared the mechanical properties of 6061 Aluminium Alloy + 10% Rice husk + 10% Fly ash composite to as-cast alloy without reinforcement. Rice husk and fly ash are characterized by high hardness and have improved properties. It can be considered as an alternative to SiC and Al₂O₃.

3 Conclusions

Various research activities and work related to reduce the price and weight of aluminum composites at the same time improving mechanical properties and microstructure are discussed here. Various processing of MMCs also described here. Inclusion of silicon carbide improves the mechanical properties of composites. Inclusion of fly ash helps to reduce weight cost and also improves the properties. Usage of fly ash will reduce environmental problems by effective managing of waste from power plant and energy and money are saved. Among various processing technique squeeze casting gives better properties and also reduces porosity, reduces wastages of raw materials.
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