Modeling a New AQM Model for Internet Chaotic Behavior Using Petri Nets
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Abstract: Formal modeling is considered one of the fundamental phases in the design of network algorithms, including Active Queue Management (AQM) schemes. This article focuses on modeling with Petri nets (PNs) a new scheme of AQM. This innovative AQM is based on a discrete dynamical model of random early detection (RED) for controlling bifurcations and chaos in Internet congestion control. It incorporates new parameters ($\alpha$, $\beta$) that make possible better stability control over oscillations of an average queue length (AQL) at the router. The PN is validated through the matrix equation approach, reachability tree, and invariant analysis. The correctness is validated through the key properties of reachability, boundedness, reversibility, deadlock, and liveness.
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1. Introduction

The Internet is a network that is designed to handle the load of streams of diverse traffic. Since its invention more than five decades ago [1,2], the Internet has become a key instrument for billions to stay in contact with everyone, and its expansion has been uninterrupted. At the time this writing, with much of the world staying at home due to the worldwide pandemic situation, the Internet is experiencing an unprecedented surge in usage. In consequence, an evident increase in Internet traffic has been caused by manifold online activities, such as remote working, distance learning, and video calls, among others. In Ref. [3], the impact on the Internet performance (latency, packet loss, etc.) of the COVID-19 lockdown is evaluated. Due to this extraordinary situation for data networks, the topic of congestion control has arisen and needs active queue management (AQM) algorithms in routers supporting Internet traffic. AQM algorithms should be able to actively control the average queue length (AQL) and thus to be able to prevent congestion as much as possible. A large increase in traffic and poor congestion control can cause network performance to partially or fully degrade and, consequently, impact applications. In addition, the design process of AQM schemes should make use of formal description techniques in the various steps of implementation. This article is an extended version of the outcomes appearing in [4]; it focuses on modeling with Petri nets (PNs) a new discrete dynamical model of AQM for congestion control, due to the chaotic behavior of Internet traffic. The PN formalism is a powerful method for modeling, and the precise nature of the graphical representation facilitates the understanding of the behavior of our AQM. For validation, we used PN mathematical methods that satisfy key properties, such as reachability, boundedness, reversibility, deadlock, and liveness.

The remainder of this article is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the related work and motivation—chaotic behavior of Internet traffic, congestion control, and formal description techniques. Section 3 introduces our dynamical model of AQM. Section 4
presents our solution based on Petri nets. Section 5 discusses the validation and correctness. Section 6 shows the numerical simulations and performance study based on the outcomes appearing in [4]. Finally, Section 7 presents the conclusions and ongoing work.

2. Related Work and Motivation

Chaos means deterministic behavior that is sensitive to its initial condition. The branch of mathematics known as dynamical systems, discrete and continuous, uses bifurcation theory [5] to study chaos in multiple fields, including engineering (see [6–10]). A bifurcation occurs when a small, smooth change made to the parameter values of a system causes a sudden qualitative or topological change in its general behavior. Generally, a bifurcation diagram shows the possible long-term values (equilibria/fixed points or periodic orbits) of a chaotic system as a function of a bifurcation parameter in the system. It is usual to represent stable solutions with a solid line and unstable solutions with a dotted line.

The majority of Internet traffic is generated and controlled by Transmission Control Protocol (TCP), which has chaotic properties: nonlinearity, determinism, order in disorder, sensitivity to initial conditions, and unpredictability. Several decades ago, these major features in Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol (TCP/IP) networks were demonstrated through the phenomenon called the fractal nature of Internet traffic [11]. Thus, it was revealed how extreme sensitivity to initial conditions (also known as the butterfly effect) and odd periodicity are inherent properties in TCP/IP networks that support fundamental applications such as web and electronic mail transmission, respectively, among others. Dynamical systems study internet behavior through bifurcation theory, modeling and reproducing the complexity of chaotic behavior of the TCP-like model for congestion control (see [11–17]).

In addition, queue management in routers plays an important role in taking care of congestion from network traffic. Thus, one of the main functions of AQM is to detect emerging congestion early, before the queues in the routed flow and the packets are dropped [18]. Numerous studies on AQM congestion control schemes have been proposed (see [19–22]), and among different taxonomies, they can be classified into the queue-based category. These schemes do not directly control the arrival rate at the queue, the congestion is observed by the average or instantaneous queue length, and the aim is to stabilize the queue length. How to stabilize the queue length around an expected target regardless of nonlinear traffic loads requires fine-tuning of when and how to govern buffering and queue management. Moreover, queue-based AQM schemes have been studied from the perspective of chaos theory that also solve congestion control problems by using bifurcation analysis (see [23–26]).

Regarding the design of new communication protocols, including AQM schemes, the literature offers various formal description techniques (FDT) [27] that cover all relevant phases from specification to validation. As evidence, the majority of worldwide institutes of standardization in telecommunications, such as the International Organization for Standardization (ISO), the International Telecommunication Union (ITU), the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI), the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), the Internet Research Task Force (IETF), and others, recognize the wide spectrum of FDT [27]: Specification and Description Language (SDL), Simple ProMeLa Interpreter (Spin), Language Of Temporal Ordering Specifications (LOTOS), Estelle, and Petri nets, among others.

Many of these FDT offer a complementary approach based on mathematical properties, which can be automatically applied to design and validate the correctness of an algorithm. In this article, we will use Petri nets (PNs) as FDT that are applied to the field of telecommunications engineering (see [28–31]. Due to space constraints in this article, the reader is directed to [28] for further explanation concerning the mathematical properties of the PNs that are used in Section 4. The next section introduces our dynamical model of queue-based AQM.
3. Dynamical Model for Congestion Control

Definition of Our Dynamical Model

Random early detection (RED) [32] is considered the pioneer queue-based AQM, and it is one of the most extensively investigated techniques for congestion avoidance. However, RED and its variants (see [33–39]), among others, suffer from parametrization problems, and community research is still ongoing to study new modifications, some of them using chaos theory (see [23–26]). The presented dynamical model of AQM is based on a generalization of the RED algorithm that improves performance. It uses the moving average of the queue length (AQL) to detect congestion and makes possible better stability control over oscillations of the AQL. When the AQL is below a minimum threshold, the packets are put into the buffer at the router. When the AQL exceeds the maximum threshold, the packets are dropped. When the AQL is between the minimum and the maximum threshold, the packets are randomly dropped according to some control parameters of our AQM. Concretely, the linear dropped packet probability distribution $p$ of typical RED is replaced by the beta distribution (Equation (1)), providing two additional parameters $(\alpha, \beta)$ with the aim of increasing the controllability of RED dynamics. Namely:

$$p(x; \alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} 
0 & x < q_{\min}, \\
\frac{p_{\max} I_z(\alpha, \beta)}{1} & q_{\min} \leq x \leq q_{\max}, \\
1 & x > q_{\max},
\end{cases}$$

\hspace{1cm} (1)

$$I_z(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{B(z; \alpha, \beta)}{B(1; \alpha, \beta)},$$

\hspace{1cm} (2)

$$B(z; \alpha, \beta) = \int_0^z t^{\alpha-1} (1 - t)^{\beta-1} dt, \quad z = \frac{x - q_{\min}}{q_{\max} - q_{\min}},$$

\hspace{1cm} (3)

where $B(z; \alpha, \beta)$ is the incomplete beta function (Equation (3)) and $I_z(\alpha, \beta)$ is the regularized incomplete beta function (Equation (2)). The value of $x$ represents the AQL; $q_{\min}$ and $q_{\max}$ are the minimum and maximum thresholds of the queue size, respectively; and $p_{\max} \in [0, 1]$ is a selected drop probability. One of the advantages of our AQM model is that for properly chosen $\alpha$ and $\beta$ values, the stability ranges extend beyond their bifurcation values in the original formulation (i.e., $\alpha = \beta = 1$), which recovers the original model of RED. In Ref. [40], we provide a further mathematical perspective of our dynamical model presented in this article. Next, the Algorithm 1 shows a high-level view of how our AQM works for each packet arrival.
Algorithm 1. Drop mechanism for each packet arrival.

**Input:** New_Pkg

**Output:** Drop = [True, False]

1: AQL = Calculate_AQL;
2: if AQL < q_{min} then
3:     Accept_packet;
4:     Fill_buffer(New_Pkg);
5:     Drop = False;
6: else
7:     if (q_{min} ≤ AQL ≤ q_{max}) then
8:         Values(α,β);
9:         Drop_probability = Calculate_Drop_probability(p_{max}, I(α,β));
10:        if Drop_probability = “Discard” then
11:            Drop_packet;
12:            Drop = True;
13:        else
14:            Accept_packet;
15:            Fill_buffer (New_Pkg);
16:            Drop = False;
17:    else  //AQL is greater than q_{max}
18:        Drop_packet;
19:    Drop = True;
20: return Drop

4. Formal Description of Our Dynamical Model Using Petri Nets

In this section, we present a formal description of our dynamical model of AQM using PNs. The precise nature of the PN graphical representation facilitates the understanding of the behavior of our AQM scheme represented in Figure 1.

To begin with, we introduce the fundamentals of PNs that are used for the formal specification of our AQM. Once the specification is introduced, PN theory and its analysis techniques based on algebraic methods are applied for verification and correctness. Due to space constraints in this article, the reader is directed to [28] for further explanation concerning the mathematical properties of PNs applied to the design and validation process.

A PN is a directed graph described by places (represented by circles) and transitions (represented by bars), which are connected to each other with arcs. Connections between nodes of the same type (i.e., place to place and transition to transition) are not allowed. The places can contain zero or more tokens (represented by dots) that fire the transitions. The dynamic structure of a PN is defined by the movements of tokens from one place to another and is referred to as marking, i.e., it represents the PN status according to the fired transitions. Our dynamical model of AQM is a PN represented by \( (P, T, F, W, G, M_0) \), where:

- \( P = \{P_1, \ldots, P_n\} \) is a finite set of \( n \) places. The places hold the token (or tokens) and show the PN marking during its evolution.
- \( T = \{T_1, \ldots, T_m\} \) is a finite set of \( m \) transitions. They are the active events of the PN, which are used to change the position of the token (or tokens) during the evolution of the PN. In addition, the transitions can have guards that can restrict their firing, and they must be evaluated as true for the transition to be fireable.
Figure 1. Petri net of our AQM scheme with initial marking.

In addition, $T$ and $P$ are disjoint sets: $P \cap T = \emptyset$, $P \cup T \neq \emptyset$.

$F \subseteq (P \times T) \cup (T \times P)$. $F$ is a set of arcs (flow relation), where $(P \times T)$ is a set $I$ of input arcs, which connect places to transitions. A place $p$ is called an input place of a transition $t$ if there exists an input arc from $p$ to $t$. Then, $(T \times P)$ is a set $O$ of output arcs, which connect transitions to places. A place $p$ is called an output place of a transition $t$ if there exists an output arc from $t$ to $p$. A transition (an event) has a certain number of input and output places representing the pre- and post-conditions of the event, respectively.

$W: F \rightarrow \{1,2,3,\ldots\}$ is a weight function. The arcs are labeled with their weights (positive integers). Labels for unity weight are usually omitted. Consequently, a PN is said to be ordinary if all of its arc weights are 1s.

$G = \{G_1,\ldots,G_m\}$ are the guards. They are Boolean expressions constructed by using the variables to enable and fire the associated transition under certain conditions.

$M_0 = \{M_1,\ldots,M_n\}$ is the initial marking. $M$ is a marking state that assigns to each place a non-negative integer. If a marking assigns to the place $p$ a non-negative integer $k$, we say that $p$ is marked with $k$ tokens. Graphically, we place $k$ tokens in place $p$. A marking is denoted by a vector $M$ of $n$ components, where $n$ is the total number of places. The $p$-th component of $M$, denoted by $M(p)$, is the number of tokens in place $p$. $M_0$ represents where the tokens are positioned initially.

Additionally, a PN follows some rules that our AQM model monitors as well. A transition $t$ is said to be enabled if each input place $p$ of $t$ is marked with at least $w(p,t)$ tokens, where $w(p,t)$ is the weight of the arc from $p$ to $t$. A firing of an enabled transition $t$ removes $w(p,t)$ tokens from each input place $p$ of $t$ and adds $w(t,p)$ tokens to each output place $p$ of $t$, where $w(t,p)$ is the weight of the arc from $t$ to $p$. Furthermore, an enabled transition $t$ may or may not fire depending on whether the guards take place.

If there is a guard $g$ in a transition $t$, the associated rules must be evaluated. For the above rules of transition enabling, there is a concept related to the capacity of a place $p$. Initially, it is assumed that each place $p$ can accommodate an unlimited number of tokens. In addition, it is natural to consider an upper limit to the number of tokens that each place can hold. Each place $p$ has an associated capacity $K(p)$, the maximum number of tokens.
that $p$ can hold. For a transition $t$ to be enabled, there is an additional condition that the number of tokens in each output place $p$ of $t$ cannot exceed its capacity $K(p)$ after firing $t$.

Once the structure and rules are presented, the PN depicted in Figure 1 represents our AQM model at initial marking $M_0 = (1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1)$. There are 10 places ($n = 10$, $P_1, \ldots, P_{10}$) and 12 transitions ($m = 12$, $T_1, \ldots, T_{12}$). Table 1 shows further details of our PN.

Table 1. Places, transitions, and guards of AQM.

| Place | Description |
|-------|-------------|
| $P_1$ | A new packet comes from the Internet (network) to the router, which is ready. |
| $P_2$ | The packet is received. |
| $P_3$ | The AQM gets the average queue length (AQL) at the router. |
| $P_4$ | The packet is accepted because the AQL is below the min. threshold. |
| $P_5$ | The AQM gets the probability $p_{max} I_z(\alpha, \beta)$. |
| $P_6$ | The packet is rejected because the AQL is over the max. threshold. |
| $P_7$ | The packet is accepted (not discard the result of $p_{max} I_z(\alpha, \beta)$). |
| $P_8$ | The packet is rejected (discard the result of $p_{max} I_z(\alpha, \beta)$). |
| $P_9$ | The packet is put into the buffer queue to be transmitted to the destination. |
| $P_{10}$ | The AQM ready for receiving the next packet. |

| Transition | Description |
|------------|-------------|
| $T_1$ | Input a new packet; the router is ready. |
| $T_2$ | Start the transmission of the packet once received. |
| $T_3$ | The AQL is below the min. threshold. Check guard $G_1$. |
| $T_4$ | The AQL is between thresholds. Check guard $G_2$. |
| $T_5$ | The AQL is over the max. threshold. Check guard $G_3$. |
| $T_6$ | Fill the buffer with the received packet. |
| $T_7$ | Drop the packet; the AQL is over the max. threshold. Do not discard the packet. Check guard $G_4$. |
| $T_8$ | Discard the packet. Check guard $G_5$. |
| $T_9$ | Do not discard the packet. Check guard $G_6$. |
| $T_{10}$ | Input the packet into the buffer. |
| $T_{11}$ | Reject the packet. |
| $T_{12}$ | Leave the buffer. The packet is transmitted. |

| Guard | Description |
|-------|-------------|
| $G_1$ | $(q_{min} < \text{AQL}) = \text{True}$. Guard associated to transition $T_3$ |
| $G_2$ | $(q_{min} \leq \text{AQL} \leq q_{max}) = \text{True}$. Guard associated to transition $T_4$ |
| $G_3$ | $(\text{AQL} > q_{max}) = \text{True}$. Guard associated to transition $T_5$ |
| $G_4$ | $\text{Not Discard} = \text{True}$. Guard associated to transition $T_8$ |
| $G_5$ | $\text{Discard} = \text{True}$. Guard associated to transition $T_9$ |

Each place shows where the token is located, and the transitions are actions of our AQM model. The guards are on transitions $T_3$, $T_4$, $T_5$, $T_8$, and $T_9$. Regarding the capacity, the places have capacity $K = 1$ to show how our AQM runs actions on the packet and router. The PN starts on $T_1$ enabled, i.e., there is an incoming packet, the router is ready ($P_1$), and AQM is ready ($P_{10}$). Once $T_1$ and $T_2$ are fired, our model gets the AQL.

As said before, transitions $T_3$, $T_4$, $T_5$, $T_8$, and $T_9$ have guards that restrict their firing. The PN fires $T_3$ or $T_5$ (fill buffer or drop packet) if the AQL is below $q_{min}$ or over $q_{max}$, respectively. If the AQL evaluates $q_{min} \leq \text{AQL} \leq q_{max}$ as true, $T_4$ starts and $P_5$ gets the probability to detect where the stabilization is at the average router queue length. The PN fires $T_8$ or $T_9$, not to discard or discard the packet according to the value from probability $p_{max} I_z(\alpha, \beta)$. $T_{10}$ and $T_{11}$ mean input buffer or reject packet—that is, whether the packet is filled into the buffer or discarded, respectively. $T_{12}$ is fired when the packet leaves the buffer, and $P_{10}$ restarts the PN for more incoming packets.

5. Validation

We used well-known methods in the literature [28] for the validation of the PN. We applies the matrix equation approach, reachability tree method, and invariant analysis.
5.1. Matrix Equation

In this approach, the validation is described by algebraic equations. First, we present the matrix equations that govern the dynamic behavior of our AQM (Figure 1). For a PN with \(n\) places and \(m\) transitions, the incidence matrix \(C = (C_{ij})\), is a matrix \((n \times m)\) represented in Equation (4) and defined by

\[
C_{ij} = C_{ij}^+ - C_{ij}^-
\]

where the post-conditions matrix \(C_{ij}^+ = w(i, j)\) is the weight of the arc from transition \(j\) to its output place \(i\), and the pre-conditions matrix \(C_{ij}^- = w(j, i)\) is the weight of the arc to transition \(j\) from its input place \(i\). The PN of our AQM is ordinary, i.e., all of its arc weights are 1s, and the interpretation is as follows:

\[
C_{ij}^+ = \begin{cases} 
1, \text{ there is arc from } t_j \text{ to } p_i \\
0, \text{ there is arc from } t_j \text{ to } p_i 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
C_{ij}^- = \begin{cases} 
0, \text{ there is arc from } p_i \text{ to } t_j \\
1, \text{ there is arc from } p_i \text{ to } t_j 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
C = C^+ - C^- = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
(4)
\]

Second, the matrix approach calculates the PN evolution as a result of firing a transition \(T\) from \(M_0\) to state \(M\). For the case of one fired transition, this is done with the equation of state:

\[
M = M_0 + C \cdot t_j,
\]

where \(M_0\) is the initial marking and \(C\) is the incidence matrix calculated in Equation (4). The vector \(t_j\) is called the trigger vector, which contains all transitions as a column. The \(j\)-th component of \(t_j\) is 1, which means that the transition \(T_j\) is fired, and the others are 0. In Equation (6), we show the evolution of our PN to the next marking when the transition \(T1\) is fired, i.e., a new packet arrives from the network to the router in ready mode.
In addition, the matrix equation approach can calculate the PN evolution with a sequence of fired transitions. Thus, a marking \( M \) is said to be reachable from \( M_0 \) if there exists a sequence of firings \( \sigma \) that transforms \( M_0 \) into \( M \). This is done with the equation of state:

\[
M = M_0 + C \cdot \sigma. \tag{7}
\]

The vector \( \sigma = t_{j_1} + t_{j_2} + \ldots + t_{j_k} \) is called the firing vector of the sequence of fired transitions \( t_{j_1}, t_{j_2}, \ldots, t_{j_k} \), where \( j_i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\} \). We show the evolution of our PN from \( M_0 \) to the marking equivalent to the dropped packet because the AQL is over the maximum threshold, i.e., the sequence of firings \( T_1, T_2, \) and \( T_5 \) and the firing vector \( \sigma(1,1,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0) \).

Occasionally, the matrix equations can show that a marking \( M \) is unreachable from \( M_0 \). The previous implication is that if Equation (7) has no natural solution, then \( M \) is unreachable from \( M_0 \) for the firing vector \( \sigma \). As evidence, we can see in our AQM that some states (places in the PN) are unreachable from \( M_0 \), e.g., the probability \( p_{\text{max}}(\alpha, \beta) \) cannot be calculated only with \( T_4 \) if the sequence of transitions \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) is not fired previously. Next, the analysis based on the reachable tree will show graphically the reachable states with the associated sequence of fired transitions using the equation of state Equation (7).

### 5.2. Reachability Tree

In this approach, the validation proves how our AQM model runs the different states once an incoming packet is received at the router. Concretely, this approach validates the PN graphically as a labeled graph in which the nodes are the different reachable markings of the PN. The nodes are connected by arcs labeled by the transition name. The reachability tree starts from the root, which is labeled with the initial marking \( M_0 \). For every new state reachable from the root, there is an arc labeled with the transition that once fired goes to that new marking of the PN. With all nodes, we proceed in a similar way as the case of the root. Figure 2 shows the reachability tree that covers every reachable state (markings at the PN) of our AQM model.
5.3. Invariance Analysis

Next, we introduce the invariance analysis of our model. Invariants look for properties that remain constant with respect to certain patterns of executing the PN. Furthermore, invariants are used later to prove the properties of our AQM, such as reversibility and boundedness. We use the two most widely studied classes of invariants for the PN [28]. First, a natural solution of the equation $C \cdot x = 0$ is called a transition invariant (T-invariant). It indicates a sequence of transitions (firing vector $x$ with one entry for each transition), which leads back to the initial marking $M_0$. Table 2 shows the T-invariants for our AQM. They are the different firing vectors that return to the initial state for the next incoming packet at the router. Figure 3 shows each T-invariant, with the transition flow colored in purple.

$$\begin{align*}
\text{T-Invariant} & \quad \text{Content} & \quad \text{Description} \\
1 & (1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,1) & \text{Accept packet, AQL below min. threshold} \\
2 & (1,1,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0) & \text{Drop packet, AQL over max. threshold} \\
3 & (1,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1) & \text{Accept packet, AQM probability function} \\
4 & (1,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0) & \text{Reject packet, AQM probability function}
\end{align*}$$

Second, a natural solution of the equation $y \cdot C = 0$ is called a place invariant (P-invariant). A P-invariant is a vector with one entry for each place. It indicates that the number of tokens in all reachable places satisfies some linear invariant. Once a P-invariant called $y$ is calculated, the following holds for every reachable state $M : y \cdot M_0 = y \cdot M$. P-invariants are more difficult to detect than T-invariants. This is because the former are involved with the entire space state and all possible executions directions of the system (AQM in our case). Table 3 shows the P-invariants of our AQM for any reachable place $M$ represented at the reachability tree of Figure 2.
Second, a natural solution of the equation \( y \cdot C = 0 \) is called a place invariant (P-invariant). A P-invariant is a vector with one entry for each place. It indicates that the number of tokens in all reachable places satisfies some linear invariant. Once a P-invariant called \( y \) is calculated, the following holds for every reachable state \( M \): \( y \cdot M_0 = y \cdot M \). P-invariants are more difficult to detect than T-invariants. This is because the former are involved with the entire space state and all possible executions directions of the system (AQM in our case). Table 3 shows the P-invariants of our AQM for any reachable place \( M \) represented at the reachability tree of Figure 2.

Table 3. P-invariants in our AQM.

| P-Invariant | Content | Description |
|-------------|---------|-------------|
| 1P-inv      | (1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0) | Router ready, received packet |
| 2P-inv      | (0,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1) | AQM in process, received packet |

For any reachable place \( M \), the vector \( y \cdot (1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0) \) of the 1P-inv is a natural solution of the equation \( y \cdot C = 0 \) and it holds the linear invariant \( y_{p1} \cdot M_0 + y_{p2} \cdot M_0 = y_{p1} \cdot M + y_{p2} \cdot M = 1 \), where \( y_{pj} = (0, \ldots, 0,1,0, \ldots, 0) \) and 1 is located in the \( j \) position. That is, the token can be only in one of the places \( y_{p1} \) or \( y_{p2} \). This P-invariant (1P-inv) indicates a similarity with the mutual exclusion behavior in our AQM. Initially, \( P1 \) holds a token, and, once transition \( T1 \) is fired, the token moves to place \( P2 \). Next, transition \( T2 \) is fired, and \( P1 \) holds the token at the same time that our AQM continues processing the packet through place \( P3 \). Figure 4 shows how the token can be in places \( P1 \) or \( P2 \) but never in both in any reachable marking place, i.e., the router is ready for a new packet once the current one has passed to AQM.
5.4.3. Reversibility

PN represented in Figure 1. Studied boundedness with \( K = \) buffer length, i.e., changing the capacity of places in the PN. Boundedness has also been proved in the analysis of P-invariants (Table 3). We also studied the P-invariant 2P-inv. For any reachable place \( M \), the vector \((0,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)\) of the 2P-inv is a natural solution of the equation \( y \cdot C = 0 \) and it holds the linear invariant

\[
y_{p2} \cdot M_{0} + y_{p3} \cdot M_{0} + y_{p4} \cdot M_{0} + y_{p5} \cdot M_{0} + y_{p6} \cdot M_{0} + y_{p7} \cdot M_{0} + y_{p8} \cdot M_{0} + y_{p9} \cdot M_{0} + y_{p10} \cdot M_{0} = y_{p2} \cdot M + y_{p3} \cdot M + y_{p4} \cdot M + y_{p5} \cdot M + y_{p6} \cdot M + y_{p7} \cdot M + y_{p8} \cdot M + y_{p9} \cdot M + y_{p10} \cdot M = 1.
\]

Figure 4 shows how the token can be only in one of the places \( y_{p2}, y_{p3}, y_{p4}, y_{p5}, y_{p6}, y_{p7}, y_{p8}, y_{p9}, \) or \( y_{p10} \), i.e., the AQM is processing the packet.

5.4. Analysis of Properties

Once our scheme was validated with different methods, we proved the major properties.

5.4.1. Reachability

Reachability is a central property that is present in our AQM model. This proves that our AQM model can execute different states once an incoming packet is received from the network and reaches the router. The reachability tree (Figure 2) shows how every state of our AQM is reachable, some of them by different vectors of transitions.

5.4.2. Boundedness

Boundedness prevents information from getting lost, i.e., our AQM runs every incoming packet using our dynamical model. In a PN, a place \( p \) is said to be \( k \)-bounded if the number of tokens does not exceed a number \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) for any marking reachable from \( M_{0} \). In this article, we used \( k = 1 \) to show how each packet is processed in our AQM. Boundedness has also been proved in the analysis of P-invariants (Table 3). We also studied boundedness with \( K = \) buffer length, i.e., changing the capacity of places in the PN represented in Figure 1.

5.4.3. Reversibility

Reversibility shows the life cycle of our AQM running each packet coming from the Internet (or network) to the router. A PN is said to be reversible if for each marking state \( M \), the initial marking \( M_{0} \) is reachable from \( M \). The reachability tree showed how the different markings (nodes in Figure 2) return to the initial node to process the next packet. Our AQM is restarted through the node \((1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)\), the P1 router ready for the next packet, and the P10 AQM is ready for receiving the next packet. Reversibility is demonstrated also by the T-invariants (Table 2), which return the AQM model to the initial state \( M_{0} \).
5.4.4. Deadlock

Deadlock is the state of a system, in our case the AQM model, in which no action can take place. A PN is said to be deadlock free if for any reachable marking state $M$, there is an enabled transition. That is, the PN is in deadlock if no transition is enabled at marking state $M$. Figure 2 shows how every reachable state (node in the reachability tree) fires a transition next. That is an important property of our AQM model, i.e., it is deadlock free.

5.4.5. Liveness

Liveness ensures that a system eventually enters into a state, i.e., the system is live. A PN is said to be alive if whatever marking state $M$ is reached from $M_0$, it is possible to enable any transition at least once through some firing sequence. Figure 2 shows how every transition can be fired. This property ensures that our solution effectively performs its intended functions.

6. Numerical Simulations

Our AQM model, programmed with Python and Mathematica, followed the overall design presented before with PNs. Next, we present the performance of our dynamical model of AQM in comparison with the traditional RED algorithm. It was validated through numerical simulations and bifurcation analysis of the parameters $\alpha, \beta$ on the AQL. This section is based on the outcomes of [4], and the reader is directed to [4] for further details.

6.1. Simulation Scenario

The simulation scenario (Figure 5) was a network where a dominant bottleneck link is shared by many connections. The scenario ran with a set of parameters, as given in [4].

![Network topology](image)

**Figure 5.** Network topology.

In this scenario, we interpreted the shared link as an intercontinental Internet link with capacity $C$, and we assumed that the set of connections $N$ uniformly have the same round-trip propagation delay $d$ (without any queueing delay). Rather than interpreting this assumption as a requirement that the connections must have the same propagation delay, we considered $d$ as the effective delay that represents the overall propagation delay of the connections, or this could describe a case where the bottleneck link has a large propagation delay that dominates the round-trip delays of the connections.
6.2. Performance Study

The aim of this section is to show numerically the advantages of introducing two new degrees of freedom in the probability function of the RED algorithm. It is well known that the combination of TCP end-to-end congestion control and RED active queue management can be modeled as a discrete-time dynamic system and that this system exhibits a variety of irregular behaviors, such as bifurcation and chaos. A great amount of research has been devoted to tune RED parameters to achieve good performance in different congestion scenarios.

For our study, we used the first-order nonlinear dynamic feedback model given in [24]. Considering this model, we performed a biparametric sweep of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ ranging from the 0.4 to 1, and for each of these values, we studied the behavior of two important characteristics that provide information about the performance and stability of the system, namely, the first bifurcation point when we consider as a bifurcation parameter the number of connections (Figure 6a) and the average queue length when the system reaches stability (Figure 6b).

![Figure 6](image)

(a) Bifurcation point when the parameter is the number of connections $N$
(b) Value of the average queue length at fixed point.

Figure 6. Results for selected $(\alpha, \beta)$-parametric sweeps in the region $[0.4, 1] \times [0.4, 1]$.

Numerical simulations showed that there are regions of the biparametric spectrum where the system behavior presents much more favorable scenarios. Therefore, the parameters can be adapted to improve the performance and stability of the network, depending on the characteristics of the congestion scenarios, such as the number of connections, the bandwidth, and the round-trip propagation delay. In Ref. [41], bifurcation diagrams for specific values in different scenarios are discussed.

7. Conclusions

The issue of congestion control is still open, and one of the most important goals for AQM schemes is how to manage the drop probability when congestion occurs. In this article, we used PNs as a formal method for the design of a new AQM model that contributes to detecting where stabilization occurs at the AQL. PN formalism is demonstrated to be a powerful method for the mathematical modeling of our AQM scheme. For the validation of our AQM scheme, the methods of PNs demonstrated to satisfy the key properties of reachability, boundedness, reversibility, deadlock, and liveness. To conclude this article, we give further details of ongoing work.

Ongoing Work

Once our AQM scheme is designed and validated mathematically, network simulation is highly recommended prior to real development. Our ongoing work is the implementation of our AQM model on the network simulator NS3 [42]. This section shows the primary
details related to the accurate preparation of the traffic to be configured in the simulator. First, the limitations of network simulators [43] when the simulation scenarios should simulate the complex reality of the Internet are well known. Second, the question of Internet traffic characterization has taken a long research history [44–47], and for a long time, the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) [48] has recognized that it has been an important challenge for network researchers and operators. The literature says also that the identification and classification of network traffic are an important pre-requisite of network management and also gives importance to the packet size distribution of typical Internet applications [47]. Thus, we study the Internet traffic behavior by running real traffic traces, and we must find a methodology that can characterize this traffic in the simulator. At the time of writing this section, we made a study of the traffic created in our campus network (see Figures 7 and 8). These graphs of statistics represent the number of TCP connections per second and the bandwidth used (megabits per second) in the link of our campus network to the Internet. The graphs were calculated with software for monitoring networks [49], and the traffic came from many TCP connections to different worldwide websites in the last week of March. We can see that the traffic is variable, since throughout the day, there are many peaks and valleys. We considered these values for different setups in the simulator, in conjunction with more parameters, such as buffer size, bandwidth delay, and packet length, among others. For the analysis of how fast our approach is, the software code of our AQM scheme is being implemented using, among others, metrics of optimal computational cost.

Figure 7. Connections; campus network.

Figure 8. Bandwidth; campus network.
Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.V., J.M.A., Â.G. and O.M.B.; formal analysis, J.V., J.M.A., A.G. and O.M.B.; software, G.D.; validation, J.V., Â.G. and O.M.B.; writing—review, J.V., Â.G. and O.M.B.; content discussion and final version, J.V., Â.G. and O.M.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research work was financially supported by FEDER/Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Agencia Estatal de Investigación, grant PID2019-108654GB-I00.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: We thank our referees for their constructive criticism. We are also grateful to José Ramón García Valdés, network administrator of the Miguel Hernández University communication network, for his support to run and supervise the network parameters discussed in the Ongoing Work section.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Kleinrock, L. An early history of the internet [History of Communications]. IEEE Commun. Mag. 2010, 48, 26–36. [CrossRef]
2. Leiner, B.; Cerf, V.; Clark, D.; Kahn, R.; Kleinrock, L.; Lynch, D.; Postel, J.; Roberts, L.; Wolff, S. The past and future history of the Internet. Commun. ACM 1997, 40, 102–108. [CrossRef]
3. Candela, M.; Luconi, V.; Vecchio, A. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the Internet latency: A large-scale study. Comput. Netw. 2020, 182, 107495. [CrossRef]
4. Duran, G.; Valero, J.; Amigó, J.M.; Giménez, A.; Bonastre, O.M. Bifurcation analysis for the Internet congestion. In Proceedings of the IEEE INFOCOM, Paris, France, 29 April–2 May 2019; pp. 1073–1074.
5. Lorenz, E. Deterministic Non periodic Flow. J. Atmos. Sci. 1963, 20, 130–141. [CrossRef]
6. Moon, F.C. Chaotic and Fractal Dynamics: An Introduction for Applied Scientists and Engineers; Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 1992.
7. Banerjee, S.; Mitra, M.; Rondoni, L. Applications of Chaos and Nonlinear Dynamics in Engineering; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2011; Volume 1.
8. Karimov, A.; Tutueva, A.; Karimov, T.; Druzhina, O.; Butusov, D. Adaptive Generalized Synchronization between Circuit and Computer Implementations of the Rössler System. Appl. Sci. 2021, 11, 81. [CrossRef]
9. Karimov, T.; Nepomuceno, E.G.; Druzhina, O.; Karimov, A.; Butusov, D. Chaotic Oscillators as Inductive Sensors: Theory and Practice. Sensors 2019, 19, 4314. [CrossRef]
10. Tutueva, A.V.; Artur, I.K.; Moysis, L.; Volos, C.; Butusov, D.N. Construction of one-way hash functions with increased key space using adaptive chaotic maps. Chaos Solitons Fractals 2020, 141, 110344. [CrossRef]
11. Veres, A.; Boda, M. The chaotic nature of TCP congestion Control. In Proceedings of the IEEE INFOCOM, Tel Aviv, Israel, 26–30 March 2000; pp. 1715–1723.
12. Leland, W.E.; Taqqu, M.S.; Willinger, W.; Wilson, D.V. On the self-similar nature of Ethernet traffic. IEEE/ACM Trans. Netw. 1994, 2, 1–15. [CrossRef]
13. Cai, L.; Li, H.; Chen, B.; Wang, J. On the Chaotic Dynamics Analysis of Internet Traffic. In Proceedings of the International Workshop on Chaos-Fractals Theories and Applications, Shenyang, China, 6–8 November 2009; pp. 361–364.
14. Kaklauskas, L.; Sakalauskas, L. Application of Chaos Theory to Analysis of Computer Network Traffic. In Proceedings of the International Conference Applied Stochastic Models and Data Analysis, Vilnius, Lithuania, 30 June–3 July 2009; pp. 407–411.
15. Yan, G. Internet Congestion Control based on the Controlling Bifurcation and Chaos algorithm. In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Mechatronics and Control, Jinhou, China, 3–5 July 2014; pp. 1500–1503.
16. Rezaie, B.; Motlagh, M.; Khorsandi, S.; Analoui, M. Analysis and control of bifurcation and chaos in TCP-like Internet congestion control model. In Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Advanced Computing and Communications, Guwahati, India, 18–21 December 2007; pp. 110–116.
17. Huang, Z.; Yang, Q.; Cao, J. The stochastic stability and bifurcation behavior of an Internet congestion control model. Math. Comput. Model. 2011, 54, 1954–1965. [CrossRef]
18. Jacobson, V. Congestion avoidance and control. ACM SIGCOMM Comput. Commun. Rev. 1998, 18, 314–329. [CrossRef]
19. Adams, R. Active queue management: A survey. IEEE Commun. Surv. Tutor. 2013, 5, 1425–1476. [CrossRef]
20. Nichols, K.; Jacobson, V. Controlling Queue Delay. ACM Queue 2012, 55, 42–50.
21. Alwahab, D.; Laki, S. A Simulation-Based Survey of Active Queue Management Algorithms. In Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Communications and Broadband Networking, Singapore, 24–26 February 2018; pp. 71–77.
22. Chitra, K.; Padamavathi, G. Classification and Performance of AQM-Based Schemes for Congestion Avoidance. Int. J. Comput. Sci. Inf. Secur. 2010, 8, 331–340.
