Millikelvin spatial thermometry of trapped ions
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Abstract. We demonstrate millikelvin thermometry of laser-cooled trapped ions with high-resolution imaging. This equilibrium approach is independent of the cooling dynamics and has lower systematic error than Doppler thermometry, with ±5 mK accuracy and ±1 mK precision. We used it to observe the highly anisotropic dynamics of a single ion, finding temperatures of <60 mK and >15 K simultaneously along different directions. This thermometry technique can offer new insights into quantum systems sympathetically cooled by ions, including atoms, molecules, nanomechanical oscillators and electric circuits.

Laser-cooled trapped ions are a nearly ideal system for investigation of quantum physics. The internal ion states are strongly decoupled from the surrounding environment and can exhibit coherence times of many seconds. In ultrahigh vacuum the motions of the ions are strongly coupled together, but otherwise exhibit good immunity to external perturbations. Precision manipulation of ions at the quantum level is readily achieved through the use of lasers and electromagnetic fields. These properties have made laser-cooled trapped ions a preferred platform for implementing experiments in quantum information processing (QIP) [1–4] and precision metrology [5, 6]. The strong Coulomb coupling makes laser-cooled trapped ions attractive for sympathetic cooling at millikelvin temperatures in investigations of fundamental physics [7], dynamics of complex molecular [8, 9] and biomolecular [10] ions, nano-mechanical oscillators [11, 12], resonant electric circuits [13] and Bose–Einstein condensates [14].

Millikelvin thermometry is a key diagnostic in all these experiments. Cooling near the Doppler limit, ~1 mK, is a precondition for studies of quantum dynamics and precision measurements. Millikelvin thermometry is crucial for quantifying the effectiveness of Doppler
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cooling. The development of new ion trapping architectures for QIP requires millikelvin thermometry to quantify high anomalous heating rates [15, 17]. Motional decoherence is a limiting factor in recent experiments, including the long-range mechanical coupling of ions [18, 19] and the realization of a phonon laser [20]. In sympathetic cooling, thermometry of the cooling ions is the primary diagnostic for the temperature of the cooled species. In the presence of a controlled heating process, thermometry of the cooling ions can be used to measure the cooling power of the sympathetic cooling process. Sympathetic cooling is a necessary precondition for mixed species experiments such as the Al+/Be+ ion clock [5] and molecular ion experiments [7, 8, 10]. These applications of thermometry are especially useful for proposed hybrid systems in which trapped ions sympathetically cool and interact with nanomechanical oscillators or electric circuits.

Existing trapped ion thermometry techniques in the millikelvin regime are spectroscopic and rely on varying the cooling laser frequency to obtain a measurement of Doppler broadening due to ion motion [21, 22]. This approach pushes the system out of thermal equilibrium, with the variation in laser frequency affecting the cooling rate and subsequently changing the temperature over the course of the measurement. Recoil heating of the ion increases its temperature and reduces the peak fluorescence when the laser detuning is less than half the linewidth from resonance. At laser detunings larger than half the linewidth the cooling rate is reduced, causing an increase in the temperature and the associated Doppler linewidth. Both of these effects produce a systematic error that leads to an overestimation of the temperature. Time-resolved techniques to quantify the effects of non-equilibrium laser cooling dynamics on the fluorescence signal have been demonstrated [17, 23] but, in their current form, depend on numerous simplifying assumptions or extensive simulation [24]. The Doppler approach is also limited to measurement of the ion motion in a single dimension fixed along the axis of the laser beam. The spectroscopic linewidth of the transition limits the minimum detectable temperature by the Doppler technique. These deficiencies are less of an issue in traps with low anomalous heating rates [15], where sub-millikelvin resolved sideband thermometry is feasible. Spatial thermometry of trapped ions at low (a few \( \mu m \)) spatial resolution was investigated in an unpublished PhD thesis [16].

We demonstrate an alternative approach to trapped ion thermometry that probes the spatial distribution rather than the velocity distribution. A key enabling technology of this technique is our recent demonstration of high-resolution imaging of trapped ions with spot sizes as small as 373 nm \( 1/e^2 \) radius (440 nm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM)) [25]. Such a high resolution enables us to measure temperatures of a few millikelvin by spatial thermometry and investigate phenomena inaccessible through spectroscopic thermometry. For a particle in a harmonic potential, the spatial extent is dependent on the temperature and the trapping frequency. Imaging an ion thus provides a measure of its temperature in two dimensions and under steady-state conditions. Other trapped ion systems typically have imaging resolutions of several \( \mu m \) and are thus limited to a spatial temperature sensitivity of a few kelvin. Our accuracy of \( \pm 5 \) mK is limited by uncertainty in the imaging resolution, while the precision of \( \pm 1 \) mK is limited by image signal to noise.

The apparatus (see figure 1) is similar to that described previously [25]. \(^{174}\)Yb+ ions were generated by isotope-selective photoionization of a Yb beam and were trapped in a radio-frequency (RF) electric quadrupole field formed by applying a potential \( V_0 \cos(\Omega_{RF}t) \), \( \Omega_{RF}/2\pi = 20 \) MHz between two needles separated by 300 \( \mu m \). We obtained ion motional frequencies between 500 and 1500 kHz. Residual electric fields at the trap center were canceled.
by applying dc voltages to compensation electrodes. The ions were laser cooled using \( \lambda = 369.5 \text{ nm} \) light resonant with the \( S_{1/2} \rightarrow P_{1/2} \) transition. The frequency of the cooling laser was stabilized using dichroic atomic vapor laser locking (DAVLL) [26]. Detuning the laser frequency changed the DAVLL offset, which in turn could be calibrated using a Fabry–Perot interferometer with a known free spectral range. The ion fluorescence was collected using a phase Fresnel lens (PFL) mounted in the ultra-high vacuum chamber, close to the trapping region [27]. The PFL has a numerical aperture (NA) of 0.64, which covered 12% of the solid angle and collected 4.5% of the total emission. The collimated fluorescence was imaged with a magnification of 596 ± 22 on to a cooled charge-coupled device (CCD) camera.

We initially performed a qualitative investigation of the spatial thermometry technique by controllably heating the ion. To heat the ion, we applied voltage with a white noise spectrum [28] and a bandwidth encompassing all motional frequencies of the ion to one of the compensation needles. This allowed us to investigate different temperature ranges in a controlled fashion. Figure 2 shows ion images for three different levels of heating: (a) no external heating, (b) low external heating and (c) high external heating \((3.3 \times \text{the noise voltage of (b)})\). There is a clear increase in the ion spot size with increased ion temperature.

From these high-resolution images, we can quantitatively calculate the temperature of the ion. We fit a two-dimensional Gaussian to the ion image in order to quantify the ion image \(1/e^2\) radii \(w_{x,y}\) for the horizontal \((x)\) and vertical \((y)\) axes. The vertical axis of the image is parallel to one of the trap axes. The motional frequencies along the other two trap axes are degenerate. Assuming a thermal distribution and no micromotion [29], the ion temperature along the \(x\)-axis is given by

\[
T_x = \frac{m \pi^2 v_x^2 \langle x^2 \rangle}{k_B},
\]
Figure 2. Images of a trapped ion for three different external heating rates. Temperatures were calculated using equation (1) from the horizontal (laser cooling direction) ion spot radii. In the case of no (a), low (b) and high (c) heating rates, we calculate temperatures of $5 \pm 5$, $30 \pm 9$ and $162 \pm 14$ mK. Errors were dominated by systematic uncertainty. All images were taken with the laser detuned $15$ MHz from resonance. The ion signal was integrated for $2$ s in all three images.

where $m$ is the mass and $k_B$ is Boltzmann’s constant, $\nu_x$ is the ion motional frequency along the $x$-axis and $\langle x^2 \rangle$ is the variance of the ion spatial distribution along the $x$-axis. Owing to the degeneracy of the other two trap axes, a similar equation holds for $y$. There is a correction due to micromotion at the trap center. The contribution is of the order of $q^2/16$, where $q$ is the ratio of motional frequency to RF drive frequency, which leads to an overestimation of the measured temperature by $\sim 1\%$ for our trapping parameters. If the ion is displaced from the trap center by a stray static electric field $E_{dc}$, there is an extra contribution of the order of $q^2 u_x^2/4$, where $u_x$ is the displacement of the ion in the $x$ direction due to $E_{dc}$. The ion displacement due to stray electric fields was bounded at $120$ nm by lowering the drive power and observing the change in ion position. The error due to the imperfect stray field compensation is found to be $\sim 5\%$.

In the low temperature limit, the finite resolution of the imaging system dominates the observed ion image radius. Using our knowledge of the imaging system, we can estimate the variance of the ion spatial distribution and hence the temperature. In our case the decay time is much faster than the oscillation period, so we can write the spatial distribution of the fluorescence as $P_f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_i(x, v) P_a(v) \, dv$, where $P_i(x, v)$ is the probability distribution of a thermal ion in a trap and $P_a(v)$ is the probability of absorbing a photon. As the phase space distribution can be written as the product of $P_i(x)$ and $P_i(v)$, $P_f(x)$ is proportional to the ion spatial distribution. To extract the variance of the ion spatial distribution we deconvolved the measured ion image radius with the imaging resolution ($w_i$) according to $\langle x^2 \rangle = (w_x^2 - w_i^2)/4$. Uncertainty in the imaging resolution leads to a systematic uncertainty in the variance of the ion spatial distribution and thus the measured temperature. We evaluate the uncertainty by considering upper and lower bounds on our imaging resolution. An ideal imaging system has a minimum $1/e^2$ radius resolution of $0.43\lambda/NA$. In our system this gives a diffraction limited lower bound on the imaging resolution of $249$ nm. The smallest spot radius observed in the horizontal axis was $373$ nm, giving an experimental resolution limited upper bound. Deconvolving the radii of the ion image shown in figure 2(a) with the lower resolution bound gives a temperature of $8 \pm 1$ mK. Here the statistical error of $1$ mK arises from the error in estimation of $w_i$ and sets the resolution limit of spatial thermometry. The spot size of this image is the smallest we have observed, so deconvolution with the upper bound of imaging resolution
gives a temperature estimate of approximately zero. The deconvolution procedure significantly improved the accuracy of our technique in the few mK temperature range. This systematic uncertainty is the primary limit to the accuracy of spatial thermometry. In the following, we state systematic uncertainties for imaging temperatures unless indicated otherwise. The intensity modulation in figure 2 is most likely caused by etalon effects in the line filter used to isolate the ion fluorescence for imaging. The modulation has been measured to be small and does not adversely affect the fitting of the ion images.

We compared the imaging thermometry technique with the established Doppler spectroscopic thermometry technique. The ion fluorescence spectrum under controlled heating was measured by sweeping the laser frequency $\nu_L$ over the cooling transition for various levels of applied noise voltage. When the laser was detuned to frequencies above resonance, the ion was rapidly heated, causing a sharp decrease in the fluorescence. The half Voigt profile that is conventionally used to fit the spectral response [30] was not able to discriminate between Lorentzian and Gaussian linewidth contributions. Instead we fit the data to a Lorentzian with a smoothed step function cutoff

$$\frac{1}{\delta \nu_L^2 + (\Gamma_T/2)^2} \arctan \left( \frac{\delta \nu_L}{\Delta_L} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\delta \nu_L$ is the laser frequency detuning, $\Delta_L$ is the linewidth of the cooling laser and $\Gamma_T$ is the FWHM spectral linewidth of the ion and the values for $\Delta_L$ and $\Gamma_T$ were obtained from the fit. To determine the temperature using the spectroscopic thermometry technique, the total spectral linewidth ($\Gamma_T$) was deconvolved into its two constituent components [31]. The Gaussian component ($\Gamma_G$) is related to the temperature of the ion by $T = (m/2k_B)(\Gamma_G \lambda)^2$ [32] and the Lorentzian component ($\Gamma_L$) is related to the linewidth of the ion at zero temperature.

A systematic uncertainty in the temperature estimated by the spectroscopic thermometry technique arises from unresolved contributions to the Lorentzian component of the spectroscopic linewidth. We calculate the upper bound for the spectroscopic temperature by assuming that $\Gamma_L$ is the natural linewidth, resulting in a maximum contribution from $\Gamma_G$. This bound assumes that broadening mechanisms such as Zeeman splitting, saturation and micromotion have no contribution to $\Gamma_L$. The lower bound on the spectroscopic temperature is calculated by assuming that $\Gamma_L$ is equal to the smallest experimentally observed linewidth.

Figure 3 compares the spectroscopic temperature results with those from the imaging method. The same three external heating rates as in figure 2 are used and imaging temperatures are obtained for several cooling laser detunings. The imaging temperatures at each detuning were calculated from the fitted ion spot sizes in the horizontal (cooling laser) direction. In all cases the imaging thermometry is far more precise and appears to be more accurate. The temperatures calculated by spectroscopic thermometry show large systematic uncertainties in all cases. The spectroscopic thermometry technique overestimates the temperature as expected from considerations of laser cooling dynamics.

Spatial thermometry is capable of revealing the laser cooling dynamics that lead to the systematic error in spectral thermometry. At large detunings an increase in temperature was observed as the scattering rate dropped, reducing the efficiency of Doppler cooling. When the cooling laser was close to resonance an increase in temperature occurred due to increased recoil heating. In figure 3(a), with no applied heating, a minimum in the ion imaging temperature was observed at $\sim 15$ MHz, corresponding to the expected optimum detuning of $\Gamma_T/2$. The systematic uncertainty in the imaging temperature dominates the measurement accuracies.
Figure 3. Ion temperature dependence on cooling laser detuning for three different external heating rates. Both spectroscopic and imaging temperatures for (a) no, (b) low and (c) high external heating are shown. The uncertainty in the imaging temperature is dominated by the systematic uncertainty in the correction for ion spatial extent by the imaging resolution. The spectroscopic temperature $1\sigma$ uncertainty band for each of the three external heating rates is shown as the gray band with the upper and the lower bound depicted as a dashed line.

for temperatures close to the Doppler cooling limit. As external heating is applied and the temperature of the ion increases, the systematic uncertainty becomes negligible. In figure 3(c), imaging temperatures for detunings greater than 70 MHz were not recoverable due to the configuration of the imaging system.

The spatial thermometry technique allows the measurement of temperature along two dimensions even when the ion dynamics are highly anisotropic. Figure 4 shows the effect of highly anisotropic laser cooling dynamics for a single ion. The cooling laser was controllably decoupled from one axis of motion by applying a dc bias to the RF needles, which caused rotation of the trap axes. When the cooling laser is decoupled from one axis of motion, the cooling power is reduced while there is no reduction in recoil heating along this axis. This rotation is believed to occur due to the difference of the RF and dc grounding. Figure 4 shows the temperature of the ion as a function of trap axis rotation, demonstrating a large disparity between the horizontal and vertical ion temperatures. Ion images in figure 4 show the ion spatial
Figure 4. Spatial thermometry of a single ion under highly anisotropic laser cooling dynamics. Top: ion images for several rotation angles. Rotations are determined from the principal axes of the fit to the ion and are referenced to the original orientation of the ion image. The contrast of the ion images has been adjusted for ease of viewing. Bottom: temperature along the weak-cooling axis (filled black squares) and the strong-cooling axis (open red circles). For rotation angles between $-3.5^\circ$ and $-2.5^\circ$ (gray shaded area), the size of the ion image is larger than the camera chip along the vertical direction. A temperature difference of a factor of 1000 was sustained without any adverse effects on the trapping. Error bars are smaller than the data points. All ion images were taken with the cooling laser tuned to the optimum cooling point (approximately 15 MHz from resonance). For hotter ions the fluorescence integration time was increased due to the decreased intensity.

extent at selected rotations. A temperature difference of a factor of 1000 was observed without any adverse effects on the trapping. This temperature difference was achieved with a maximum trap aspect ratio of 2.25. The angular width of the feature in figure 4 was much larger than the angular width of the beam used to cool the ions (0.3°). Spectral thermometry along the vertical axis would require the laser direction to have a projection along that axis, inducing laser cooling. Hence, the anisotropic dynamics studied here can only be observed by spatial thermometry.

High-resolution imaging of trapped ions was used to realize steady-state millikelvin thermometry and investigate phenomena inaccessible through conventional Doppler spectroscopy. We achieved an accuracy of $\pm 5$ mK with temperature resolutions of $\pm 1$ mK, limited by the imaging system resolution. This spatial thermometry technique is independent
of laser cooling dynamics and has been used to observe the dependence of ion temperature with laser detuning for three different heating rates. The variation of temperature with cooling laser detuning is a prominent source of systematic error in Doppler thermometry and plays an important role in estimating heating rates [24]. By rotating the trap axes with respect to the cooling laser, we investigated the ion dynamics under highly anisotropic laser cooling. Temperatures of <60 mK and >15 K were simultaneously measured along two motional axes of a single trapped ion. The latter temperature is easily accessible by optical cryostats and demonstrates the utility of this technique for investigations of sympathetic cooling in proposed hybrid trapped ion systems with nanomechanical oscillators [11, 12] or resonant electrical circuits [13]. Investigations of highly localized variations in anomalous heating rates observed in micro-fabricated ion traps developed for QIP [17] suggest a strongly anisotropic process that could be better resolved using the multi-dimensional aspects of spatial thermometry.
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