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ABSTRACT

Aims: This study aimed to design and model an off-grid SPV power plant with a storage system to meet the load required in Rwisirabo village.

Study Design: PV modules, inverter, charge controller, and Batteries have been designed, reproduced/simulated, and optimized for the rural area of Rwisirabo village in Kayonza district, Eastern Province, Rwanda.

Place and Duration of Study: The experiment has been done in the University of Rwanda/ African Centre of Excellence in Energy Studies for Sustainable Development (UR/ACE-ESD) High E-Tech Smart Grid Laboratory, Kigali, Rwanda between October 2020 and February 2021.
**Methodology:** Different methodologies have been applied to address the objective of this work. The site was identified, problems of the community were clearly stated, data required for the work was collected through various data collection mechanisms, and different literature was reviewed to identify the way to do this work. The data were collected from different sources and were analysed using a software tool (HOMER software) and simulated for getting a solution for the problems and challenged accordingly. An Off-grid Solar Photovoltaic Power Plant was established in Rwisirabo village in Kayonza District, Rwanda. This site has been chosen because, in the Mwiri sector, Kageyo cellule in Rwisirabo (Rwisirabo II) village is listed by National Electrification Plan (NEP) as the site to construct an off-grid solar PV Power Plant.

**Results:** Based on the load assessment and the design of the SPV system, the primary AC load of the village was 551,718 kWh/day with a peak load of 85.10 kW, the deferrable load was about 9.99 kWh/day and a deferrable peak load of 2.00 kW with the cost of energy (COE) $0.200/kWh were involved during optimization of the power plant. It also found that the peak demand of the community occurs from 18:00 to 20:00 hours because most of the household members would expect to be at their homes. The system items such as PV module, batteries, and inverter size have been found as an optimum system with 220 kW, 860 BAE PVS 210 batteries, and 110 kW respectively with a lifespan of 25 years of the project. The total net present cost (NPC), initial capital, operating cost, and Levelized COE for this off-grid SPV system were $903,829, $517,000, $17,522, and $0.200/kWh respectively. The monthly results of power generation in kW obtained after stimulation with software showed that the solar radiation is high in March, July, August, and September which brings more electric power generation. However, all months the power electricity remain generated. Results from simulation showed that this system generated mean power output of 220 kW and total production of 297,291 kWh/year. It approved that the system converter contributed the lowest NPC with $52,888.25 (6%), followed by PV modules that cost $244,284.28 (27%) and battery bank the first for this SPV system with a cost of $606,656.60 (67%). This optimal system uses 100% renewable energy.

**Conclusion:** It found that the implementation of an SPV system with battery storage in residential, commercial, and institutions in the area where the solar irradiance is concentrated across a country will reduce the cost of electricity and power interruption on the national grid. Therefore, further work is needed to optimize this system for rural electrification as well by integrating with other renewable sources available in the country and also extend the electrification to another area that is detached from the national grid.

**Keywords:** Design of SPV; modelling; load estimation; SPV; rural electrification; HOMER software; Rwisirabo village.

1. **INTRODUCTION**

Rwanda, is a small country in East Africa with more than 12,089,721 people on a total area of 26,338 km², with 94.7% and 5.3% of it are occupied by land and water respectively [1,2]. As of December 2019, the total access to electricity in Rwanda is 52.8% whereby 38.5% and 14.3% of all households in Rwanda are attached to the on-grid and used it through off-grid solutions respectively [3]. 82.7% of Rwandan people live in rural areas [4] and there is a major test of expanding power access especially in provincial towns. Currently, the total installed capacity to generate electricity in Rwanda is 224.6 MW from more than 40 power plants, mainly hydropower sources. Only 11% of the available capacity is imported while the rest is domestically generated (Fig. 1). By generation technology mix, 39% is from hydrological resources, followed by Methane Gas (25%) and thermal sources with 19% [5]. The majority of Rwandan people live in rural areas and they live on farming and cattle breeding but they have no electricity access and as a result, it is impossible for them to add value to their products and live a better and modern life.

As extending a national grid in Rwanda it has many challenges such as ensuring transmission for aligning with the new generation and ensuring timely maintenance and servicing of infrastructure as the maintenance and servicing are costly and challenging. Transmission lines are complex and interconnected with large infrastructure and large numbers of smaller pieces of equipment sometimes in remote areas and many of the existing equipment is decades old. So regular and planned maintenance is required continuously to ensure that it operates.
efficiently [4]. Grid extension is affected by economic constraints like settlements, which are found in hilly places, forests, or hard to reach areas, being located far away from the existing grid, a small number of population and households. Low daily energy demand probably even soon as the electrical loads are mostly lamps and telecommunication devices and also by considering low-income level, low affordability and technical skills of people live there.

Due to their remote areas, high costs are needed in grid extension, and also high losses will be increased while transporting power to isolated areas from the national grid. Therefore, to minimize this problem, the design of an off-grid solar photovoltaic (SPV) power plant that easily implemented with low cost and making all people served from this technology by installing it around their houses is required. Among the renewable energy sources, SPV systems are encouraging because electric energy generated by solar cells is not harmful to the environment and is quiet, they do not use fuel other than sunlight [5]. Photovoltaic (PV) systems do not produce any harmful air or water pollution into the environment. Solar energy is a locally available renewable resource [7]. The extensive use of photovoltaic plants for electrical power generation, ranging from large scale to solar home system, the design of truthful and reliable system approaches to monitor and analyse their production performance are required [8]. Energy from solar is mainly generated depending on the solar radiation reaching the SPV modules and their wavelengths [9]. The solar PV components such as PV modules, charge controller, inverter, and storage unit (battery bank) are put together depends on the system application, site location, and the required design or the type of SPV system. During hand calculation for sizing and designing this SPV system, the following components (PV modules, inverters, Batteries, Charger Controllers, DC and AC cables) will be focused on. The PV system’s efficiency variation is based on different factors where climate conditions, PV module’s sort, an inverter’s efficiency, and type are majors. Apart from the above-said parameters, site area is also important for a PV plant design [10]. A systematic approach is required and important when designing and modelling off-grid SPV systems. Furthermore, the extensive use of PV plants for electrical power generation, ranging from large scale to solar home system, the design of truthful and reliable system approaches to monitor and analyse their production performance are required [8]. Rwanda’s geography is represented by savannah climate with 5 kWhm²/day of solar radiation intensity, and peak sun hours nearly 5 hours per day, which indicate energy from solar systems would be abundant and reliable once properly exploited [11,12]. The range of day-by-day solar irradiation in Rwanda is 4 kWh/m² in the north region of Rwanda to 5.4 kWh/m² South of Kigali, in the Southern and Eastern regions. Assessment of all-out yearly potential is around 66.8 TWh.

![Fig. 1. Total installed capacity in Rwanda](image)
Numerous studies have been led to show where insolation is concentrated concerning the Rwandan map, how the SPV system is designed, its parts, and model [5,12,13]. Rwanda has weather parameters that allow the harvesting of enough solar energy for electricity production [14]. However, until now in this country, there are only three SPV power plants that are on-grid-connected while there are many areas in different villages located far away from the National grid which need electricity for supplying AC primary and deferrable load. This work will fill the gap by designing and modelling cost-effectively scalable storage an off-grid SPV system in Rwanda at Rwisirabo village to provide reliability and energy efficiency. The Hybrid Optimization Model for Electric Renewable (HOMER) software was used for accomplishing a task. It is exceptionally not the same as related works regarding the application, load consumption, weather parameters, and region of the chosen zone. This site has been chosen because, in the Mwiri sector, Kageyo cellule in Rwisirabo (Rwisirabo II) village is listed by National Electrification Plan (NEP) as the site to construct an off-grid solar PV Power Plant (Fig. 3). The experiment has been done in the University of Rwanda/ African Centre of Excellence in Energy Studies for Sustainable Development (UR/ACE-ESD) High E-Tech Smart Grid Laboratory, Kigali, Rwanda between October 2020 and February 2021.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Introduction

Different methodologies have been applied to address the objective of this work. The site was identified, problems of the community were clearly stated, data required for the work was collected through various data collection mechanisms and different literature were reviewed to identify the way to do this work and the data collected from different sources were analysed using a software tool (HOMER software) and simulated for getting a solution for the problems and challenged accordingly. An Off-grid Solar Photovoltaic Power plant was established in Rwisirabo village in Kayonza District, Rwanda. This site has been chosen because, in the Mwiri sector, Kageyo cellule in Rwisirabo (Rwisirabo II) village is listed by National Electrification Plan (NEP) as the site to construct an off-grid solar PV Power Plant (Fig. 3).
2.2 The Data Collection

The first data were solar radiation, wind speed, number of households, and energy equipment cost related to the proposed system configuration and technologies were collected from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). Kayonza District Administration office, and different literature respectively (Table 3). The second data was collected by conducting a field survey. During the field survey, the primary data necessary for this project were the number of religious institutes (churches), bars, unisex salons, small factories, and types of community services, such as schools, health centres, and Administration posts (Table 1).

Data sourced from NASA from surface meteorology and solar energy database using geographical coordinates through HOMER software; longitude 30°39.4'E and latitude – 1°50.4'S shows the 22 years ‘monthly average solar source of the region changes from 5.220 kWh/m²/day in February to 4.540 kWh/m²/day in November, and an average monthly global horizontal radiation and Temperature are 4.88 kWh/m²/day and 20.47°C respectively (Table 2).

2.3 Assessment of Energy required

In off-grid SPV systems, estimating the total daily energy which is required from the village and assessing the solar resource availability to the input of PV module are the most important works which have to be done properly. The load estimation is mainly concerned with calculating the power and energy demand of the community by considering different dimensions, site location, load type, and time. The variation of the load depends on time, therefore, in planning daily load variations are needed. The community’s load for Rwisirabo village have been categorized into five classes as follows:

1. Home or domestic area which incorporates with lighting, Charger, television (TV), Radio, and so forth.
2. Commercial load includes flour processing machines, smaller shops, and so forth.
3. Community loads which comprise secondary school lighting, personal computer, printer, scanner, and others.
4. Health facility which incorporates immunization cooler, correspondence radio, TV, magnifying instrument, personnel computer (PC) and printer, DVD player, and others.
5. Administration post office.

| Primary data collected          | Value | Data source   |
|--------------------------------|-------|---------------|
| Number of primary schools      | 0     | Field survey  |
| Number of secondary schools    | 1     | Field survey  |
| Number of Health Centre        | 1     | Field survey  |
| Number of the police post      | 1     | Field survey  |
| Number of churches             | 1     | Field survey  |
| Number of bars & chops         | 8     | Field survey  |
| Number of unisex salons        | 2     | Field survey  |
| Small factories                | 1     | Field survey  |

Table 1. The primary data collected
Table 2. An aerial view of Kageyo community accessed from NASA database

| Month    | Daily solar radiation horizontal kwh/m²/d | Temperature °C | Wind speed (m/s) | Clearness Index |
|----------|------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| January  | 4.930                                    | 19.850         | 2.870            | 0.481          |
| February | 5.220                                    | 20.680         | 2.970            | 0.497          |
| March    | 4.970                                    | 20.180         | 2.750            | 0.473          |
| April    | 4.830                                    | 19.720         | 2.770            | 0.479          |
| May      | 4.710                                    | 20.620         | 3.110            | 0.498          |
| Jun      | 4.830                                    | 21.130         | 3.890            | 0.531          |
| July     | 5.140                                    | 21.260         | 3.600            | 0.555          |
| August   | 4.680                                    | 22.040         | 3.440            | 0.519          |
| September| 5.070                                    | 22.160         | 2.990            | 0.491          |
| October  | 5.090                                    | 19.600         | 2.650            | 0.448          |
| November | 4.540                                    | 19.200         | 2.500            | 0.443          |
| December | 4.570                                    | 19.260         | 2.370            | 0.452          |
| Annual   | 4.88                                     | 20.470         | 3.01             |                |

Table 3. Secondary data collected for the village understudy

| Secondary data collected          | Range                        | Data source                     |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Solar Irradiance, Wind and Temperature source | July 1983-June 2005 (22 years) | NASA and Meteo Rwanda           |
| Number of households             | 500                          | District office                  |

2.3.1 Stepladders for load assessment

1. List all of the electrical appliances to be powered by the PV system.
2. Separate types of loads and enter them in the appropriate table.
3. Record the operating wattage of each item.
4. Specify the number of hours per day each item will be used.
5. Multiply steps 2, 3, and 4 to calculate the total electrical energy required per day.

2.3.2 Estimation of primary Load

Tables 4-10 show the estimation of the primary load of domestic, Administration police post, commercial loads, mini shops, unsex hair salon, health post, Community Church, secondary school, and the summary of total AC daily primary load of village respectively.

2.3.3 Deferrable load

Deferrable load is electrical demand that can be met within some period, yet the specific timing is not significant. This type of load is generally categorized as deferrable because they have some storage associated with them. Water pumping is a typical example, there is some flexibility as to when the pump essentially operates, providing the water tank does not run dry. Other examples consist of ice making and battery charging [15]. The water pumps are required for the household community, health clinic, and school, church, and police posts. For considering 100 litres/day as average water required per house and 2000 litre/day for each one of Health centre, school, church and police station [16]. For Water pump estimation, domestic animals were included in the estimation of daily water demand per family per day. The total amount of water required for 500 households will calculate as:

\[ 500 \times 100 = 50,000 \text{ litres/day} \]  

Taking 6 hours as pump operating time per day.

The Flow rate \( (Q) \) will be

\[ Q = \frac{ghQ}{\eta} \]  

by taking 20 m as height \( (h) \), and gravity force \( (g) \) as 9.81 m/s², and \( \eta \) is a pump efficiency (90 %). The energy storage capacity \( (W) \) will be calculated as follows

\[ W = \text{pump rating} \times \text{capacity of pumps storage/day} \times \text{running hours/day} \]  

Here, water storage capacity for 3 days was used in this work. Therefore, \( W \) for households is

\[ W = 0.5 \times 3 \times 6 = 9 \text{kWh} \]
### Table 4. Domestic load

| Type             | Appliance type | Rating (W) | No. of Appliance | Total Power | Run time (h/day) | Wh/day | Time Interval      |
|------------------|----------------|------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|--------|-------------------|
| **High class**   | Lamps          | 11         | 4                | 44          | 8                | 352    | 21:00-06:00       |
|                  | Lamps          | 11         | 8                | 88          | 3                | 264    | 18:00-21:00       |
|                  | TV             | 120        | 1                | 120         | 3                | 360    | 18:00-21:00       |
|                  | Radio          | 10         | 1                | 10          | 12               | 120    | 05:00-17:00       |
|                  | Mobiles        | 5          | 4                | 20          | 2                | 40     | 05:00-07:00       |
|                  | Iron           | 1000       | 4                | 1000        | 1                | 1000   | 06:00-07:00       |
|                  | Refrigerator   | 200        | 1                | 200         | 12               | 2400   | 05:00-17:00       |
|                  | DVD player     | 30         | 1                | 30          | 2                | 60     | 11:00-13:00       |
|                  | Computer       | 100        | 1                | 100         | 2                | 200    | 17:00-19:00       |
|                  | Water pump     | 0          | 0                | 0           | 0                | 0      |                   |
| **Total**        |                |            |                  | 1612        | 4796             |        |                   |
| No. of hours     |                |            |                  | 50          |                  |        |                   |
| **Total**        |                |            |                  | 80,600      | 239,800          |        |                   |
| **Middle class** | Lamps          | 11         | 2                | 22          | 8                | 176    | 21:00-05:00       |
|                  | Lamps          | 11         | 4                | 44          | 3                | 132    | 18:00-21:00       |
|                  | Mobiles        | 5          | 2                | 10          | 2                | 40     | 05:00-07:00       |
|                  | Radio          | 10         | 1                | 10          | 14               | 140    | 06:00-21:00       |
|                  | TV             | 120        | 1                | 120         | 2                | 240    | 18:00-20:00       |
| **Total**        |                |            |                  | 206         | 608              |        |                   |
| No. of hours     |                |            |                  | 200         |                  |        |                   |
| **Total**        |                |            |                  | 41,200      | 121,600          |        |                   |
| **Low class**    | Lamps          | 11         | 4                | 44          | 3                | 132    | 18:00-21:00       |
|                  | Lamp           | 11         | 1                | 11          | 9                | 99     | 21:00-05:00       |
|                  | Mobiles        | 5          | 4                | 20          | 2                | 40     | 05:00-07:00       |
|                  | Radio          | 10         | 1                | 10          | 14               | 140    | 06:00-21:00       |
| **Total**        |                |            |                  | 85          | 411              |        |                   |
| No. of houses    |                |            |                  | 250         |                  |        |                   |
| **Total**        |                |            |                  | 21,250      | 102,750          |        |                   |

### Table 5. Administration police post

| Type of Appliances | No of Appliance | Rating (W) | Total Power (W) | Run time (h/day) | Wh / day | Time Interval |
|--------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------------|----------|---------------|
| Lamps              | 10              | 11         | 110             | 3                | 330      | 18:00-21:00  |
| Lamps              | 4               | 11         | 44              | 8                | 352      | 21:00-05:00  |
| Radio              | 1               | 10         | 10              | 10               | 100      | 10:00-20:00  |
| Charger            | 7               | 5          | 35              | 2                | 70       | 15:00-17:00  |
| TV                 | 1               | 75         | 75              | 2                | 150      | 19:00-21:00  |
| Computer           | 1               | 100        | 100             | 2                | 200      | 11:00-13:00  |
| Printer            | 1               | 800        | 800             | 1                | 800      | 15:00-16:00  |
| Other              | 250             |            | 250             | 2                | 500      |               |
| **Total**          |                  |            | 1,424           |                  | 2,502    |               |
| No. of Adm. Post   |                  |            |                 |                  |          |               |
| **Total**          |                  |            | 1,424           |                  | 2,502    |               |
Table 6. Commercial loads

| Type of Appliances | No of Appliance | Rating (W) | Total Power (W) | Run time (h/day) | Wh/day | Time Interval |
|--------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------------|--------|--------------|
| Flour mill         | 1               | 1,000      | 1,000           | 2                | 2,000  | 12:00-14:00 |
|                    | 1               | 1,000      | 1,000           | 2                | 2,000  | 14:00-16:00 |
| Lamps              | 2               | 11         | 22              | 3                | 66     | 18:00-21:00 |
| Radio              | 1               | 10         | 10              | 4                | 40     | 12:00-16:00 |
| Mobile             | 7               | 5          | 35              | 2                | 70     | 12:00-14:00 |
| Others             | 250             | 250        | 3               | 750              |        | 10:00-13:00 |
| Total              |                 |            |                 |                  |        |              |
| No. of Factory     |                 |            |                 |                  | 1      |              |
| Total              |                 |            |                 |                  | 2,317  | 4,926        |

| Type of Appliances | No of Appliance | Rating (W) | Total Power (W) | Run time (h/day) | Wh/day | Time Interval |
|--------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------------|--------|--------------|
| Mini shops and unisex hair salon |
| Lamps              | 4               | 11         | 44              | 3                | 132    | 18:00-21:00 |
| Lamps              | 2               | 11         | 22              | 9                | 198    | 21:00-05:00 |
| Radio              | 1               | 10         | 10              | 10               | 100    | 10:00-20:00 |
| Charger            | 2               | 5          | 10              | 2                | 20     | 11:00-13:00 |
| Refrigerator       | 1               | 200        | 200             | 12               | 2,400  | 08:00-20:00 |
| TV                 | 1               | 75         | 75              | 3                | 225    | 18:00-21:00 |
| Computer           | 1               | 100        | 100             | 2                | 200    | 12:00-14:00 |
| Total              |                 |            |                 |                  | 1      |              |
| No. of commercial  |                 |            |                 |                  | 10     |              |
| Total              |                 |            |                 |                  | 4,610  | 32,750       |

Table 7. Health post

| Type of Appliances | No of Appliance | Rating (W) | Total Power (W) | Run time (h/day) | Wh/day | Time Interval |
|--------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------------|--------|--------------|
| Lamps              | 30              | 11         | 330             | 12               | 3960   | 18:00-06:00 |
| Charger            | 7               | 5          | 35              | 2                | 70     | 12:00-14:00 |
| TV                 | 1               | 100        | 100             | 8                | 800    | 08:00-16:00 |
| Computer           | 3               | 75         | 225             | 6                | 1350   | 08:00-14:00 |
| Printer            | 1               | 800        | 800             | 1                | 800    | 14:00-15:00 |
| Lab equipment      | 1               | 1,000      | 1,000           | 24               | 24,000 | 00:00-24:00 |
| Other              | 100             | 100        | 4               | 400              |        | 10:00-14:00 |
| Total              |                 |            |                 |                  | 2,590  | 31,380       |
| No. of Health post |                 |            |                 |                  | 1      |              |
| Total              |                 |            |                 |                  | 2,590  | 31,380       |

Table 8. Community church

| Type of Appliances | No of Appliance | Rating (W) | Total Power(W) | Run time h/day | Wh/day | Time Interval |
|--------------------|-----------------|------------|----------------|---------------|--------|--------------|
| Lamps              | 10              | 11         | 110            | 3             | 330    | 18:00-21:00 |
| Charger            | 10              | 5          | 50             | 2             | 100    | 12:00-14:00 |
| TV                 | 1               | 100        | 100            | 2             | 200    | 15:00-16:00 |
| Computer           | 2               | 75         | 150            | 2             | 300    | 12:00-14:00 |
| DVD player         | 1               | 30         | 30             | 3             | 90     | 10:00-13:00 |
| Other              | 250             | 250        | 4              | 1000          |        | 08:00-12:00 |
| Total              |                 |            |                | 690           | 2,020  |              |
| No. of church      |                 |            |                | 1             |        |              |
| Total              |                 |            |                | 690           | 2,020  |              |
Table 9. Secondary school

| Type of Appliances | No of Appliance | Rating (W) | Total Power | Run time (h/day) | Wh/ day | Time Interval |
|--------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------------|---------|---------------|
| Lamps              | 50              | 11         | 550         | 12               | 6600    | 18:00-06:00   |
| Charger            | 5               | 5          | 25          | 2                | 50      | 17:00-19:00   |
| TV                 | 1               | 100        | 100         | 3                | 300     | 18:00-21:00   |
| Computer           | 20              | 75         | 1500        | 4                | 6000    | 10:00-14:00   |
| Radio              | 2               | 10         | 20          | 2                | 40      | 06:00-08:00   |
| Other              | 250             | 250        | 4           |                  | 1000    | 08:00-12:00   |
| Total              |                 |            |             |                  | 2445    | 13,990        |
| No. of school      |                 |            |             |                  |         | 1             |
| Total              |                 |            |             |                  | 2,445   | 13,990        |

Table 10. Summary of total AC daily primary load of village

| Types                          | Number | Amount in energy required (Wh/day) | The total amount of Energy required (Wh/day) |
|--------------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Households (500)               | High class (50) | 4,796                             | 239,800                                    |
|                                | Middle class (200) | 608                              | 121,600                                    |
|                                | Low class (250)   | 411                               | 102,750                                    |
| Community Church               | 1      | 2,020                             | 2,020                                      |
| Secondary School               | 1      | 13,990                            | 13,990                                     |
| Health Post                    | 1      | 31,380                            | 31,380                                     |
| Admin Police Post              | 1      | 2,502                             | 2,502                                      |
| Small Factory                  | 1      | 4,926                             | 4,926                                      |
| Mini shops, salon,             | 10     | 3,275                             | 32,750                                     |
| Total AC Primary load          |        | 2,445                             | 551,718                                    |

Fig. 4. Daily AC primary energy profile

Table 11. Energy demand of the AC primary and deferrable load

| Energy demand                  | Amount |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| Daily Energy Use (kWh)         | 551.718|
| Monthly Energy Use (kWh)       | 16,551.54|
| Annual Energy Use (kWh)        | 198,618.48|
| Peak Sun Hour (PHS)            | 4.88 hours|
| Deferrable load in kWh         | 10.8   |
Energy storage capacity for public infrastructure is 1.8 kWh, hence the total energy storage for deferrable load is 10.8 kWh. Table 11 shows the energy demand of the AC primary and deferrable load.

2.3.4 Energy forecasting

The current electric load was taken to be 551,718 kWh/day, the following formula is used for Energy forecasting calculation [16].

\[ E_n = E_0(1 + \frac{r}{100})^n \]  

Where: \( E_n \) = electric energy at the \( n \)th year in kWh, \( E_0 \) = current electric energy demand, and \( r \) = annual electric load growth, is 10% [2]. Fig. 5 shows the approximate results of AC primary load forecasting (energy) in ten years of Rwisirabo village.

2.4 Solar Photovoltaic Power Plant Design

In this work, the input parameters are solar irradiance and temperature from the identified site which was Rwisirabo village. The main system design methods were based on the daily energy demand assessment in Rwisirabo which was 551,718 kWh/day. The work also dealt with the sizing and specifying of PV array, inverter, battery bank, and Charger controller.

2.4.1 Mathematical modelling of SPV system component

2.4.1.1 Mathematical modelling of PV system

The operation and performance of the PV module are based on its maximum power, the models that describe the PV module’s maximum power output behaviours are more practical for PV system assessment. The output power (\( P_{PV} \)) of the SPV will be calculated by using the input parameters which are the solar radiation available on the tilted surface, the ambient temperature, and the manufacturer’s data for the PV modules [17–19].

\[ P_{PV} = \eta_g \times N \times A_m \times G_t \]  

Where \( \eta_g \) = instantaneous PV generator efficiency, \( A_m \) = area of a single module (\( m^2 \)), \( G_t \) = global irradiance incident on the tilted plane (\( KW/m^2 \)), and \( N \) = number of modules.

Assuming that all energy losses in the PV array (connection loss, wiring loss) are zero.

The instantaneous PV generator efficiency is calculated (Equation 5) [18,20].

\[ \eta_g = \eta_r \times \eta_{pt} \times [1 - \beta(T_c - T_r)] \]  

Where \( \eta_g \) = PV generator reference efficiency, \( \eta_{pt} \) = efficiency of power tracking equipment equals 1 when MP tracking is being used [18], \( T_c \) = temperature of PV cell (°C), \( T_r \) = PV cell reference temperature, and \( \beta \) = temperature coefficient of efficient, ranging from 0.004 to 0.006 per °C for silicon cells.

2.4.1.2 Mathematical model of the solar charge controller

Solar charge controller (\( Q_{PV} \)) is utilized to avoid overcharging/under the discharge of a battery bank, used to detect when the batteries are completely charged and blocking the amount of energy spilling out of PV modules to the batteries. The charger regulator rating is displayed underneath [10]:

\[ Q_{PV} = I_{SC} \times N_p \times 1.3 \]  

Where 1.3 = charge controller oversizing factor, \( I_{SC} \) = Total short circuit current of PV array, and \( N_p \) = Total number of panels connected in parallel.

The energy of the charge controller is also calculated (Equation 7).

\[ E_{cc-out}(t) = E_{cc-in}(t) \times \eta_{cc} \]  

Where \( E_{cc-out}(t) \) = output energy from charge regulator in (kWh), \( E_{cc-in}(t) \) = input energy to regulator in kwh, and \( \eta_{cc} \) = charge regulator efficient.

2.4.1.3 Mathematical model of battery

The battery works as an energy source entity when discharging and a load when is charging.

The net capacity that the battery can store in Ah/day must be [10]:

\[ BC_n = \frac{E_{rot}}{V_{nom,batt}} \]
Fig. 5. Energy forecasting of village

Where $BC_r =$ net capacity of the battery, $E_{Tot} =$ Total Energy, and $V_{nom, batt} =$ Nominal battery voltage (i.e. $12V_{dc}$, $48V_{dc}$)

The number of batteries connected in parallel for meeting the Ah required capacity by the system can be calculated by using the formula (Equation 9).

$$B_p = \frac{B_c}{B_R}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Where $B_p =$ batteries connected in parallel, $B_c =$ battery capacity, and $B_R =$ required battery bank capacity in Ah

The number of batteries to be connected in series for reaching the system voltage required is calculated as fellows

$$B_s = \frac{V_{batt}}{V_{batt}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Where, $B_s =$ is the number of series-connected batteries, $V_{batt} =$ is a nominal battery voltage, and $V_{batt} =$ is battery voltage.

During the charging process, when the total output energy of generation is exceeding the load demand, the available battery bank capacity at time ($t$) can be calculated using Equation 11.

$$E_{bat}(t) = E_{bat}(t-1) - E_{cc-out}(t) \times \eta_{chg}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Where, $E_{bat}(t)$ = battery stored energy in hour $t$, kWh, $E_{bat}(t-1)$ = energy stored in battery at hour $t-1$, kWh, and $\eta_{chg} =$ battery charging efficiency.

On the other hand, when the load demand is greater than the existing energy produced, the battery bank is in discharging state. Therefore, the available battery bank capacity at time ($t$), can be expressed as (Equation 12):

$$E_{bat}(t) = E_{bat}(t-1) - E_{needed}(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Where, $E_{needed}(t)$ is the hourly load demand or energy needed at a particular period.

Let $d$ be the ratio of minimum allowable state of charge (SOC) voltage limit to the maximum SOC voltage across the battery terminals when it is fully charged. The Depth of Discharge (DOD) will be (Equation 13) [21].

$$DOD = (1 - d) \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

DOD is a measure of how much energy has been withdrawn from a storage device, expressed as a percentage of full capacity. The maximum value of SOC is 1, and the minimum SOC is determined by maximum DOD.

$$SOC_{min} = 1 - \frac{DOD}{100}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

2.4.2 Mathematical cost model of energy systems

1. The Annualized Cost of a component: The annualized cost of a component includes annualized capital cost, annualized replacement cost, annual operation and maintenance (O&M) cost, and emissions cost. Operation cost was calculated hourly on daily basis.
2. Annualized capital cost: The annualized capital cost of a system component was calculated by taking the initial capital cost multiplied by the capital recovery factor [22].

\[ C_{acap} = C_{cap} \times CRF(I, R_{proj}) \] (15)

Where: \( C_{acap} \) = annualized capital cost, \( CRF(I, R_{proj}) \) = capital recovery factor, \( I \) = interest rate, \( R_{proj} \) = project lifetime, and \( C_{cap} \) = initial capital cost of equipment.

3. Annualized Replacement cost: This is the annualized value of all the replacement costs that occur throughout the lifelong of the project minus the salvage value at the end of the project lifespan [22].

\[ C_{arep} = C_{rep} \times f_{rep} \times SFF(I, R_{comp}) - S \times SFF(I, R_{proj}) \] (16)

Where: \( C_{rep} \) = replacement cost of the component, \( SFF = \) sinking fund factor, \( R_{comp} = \) lifetime of the component, \( S = \) salvage value of the component, and \( f_{rep} = \) replacement factor.

\( f_{rep} \), a factor arising because the lifespan of a component can be different from the project lifelong.

4. Annualized operating cost \( (C_{aop}) \): The operating cost is the annualized value of all costs and revenues other than initial capital costs and is calculated as follows [22] (Equation 17).

\[ C_{aop} = \sum_{t=1}^{24} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{365} \{ C_{oc}(t) \} \right) \] (17)

Where, \( C_{oc}(t) = \) cost of the operating component.

\( \sum_{t=1}^{24} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{365} \{ C_{oc}(t) \} \right) \) is the total cost of operation for a year.

Total cost of a component = Economic cost + Environment cost

Where Economic cost = Capital cost + O&M cost and Emmissions cost = Environment cost = 0

2.4.3 Solar PV power plant components sizing

1. System Voltage Selection

The system voltage was selected based on the SPV power plant estimated total load while the system voltage increases proportionally to the daily load. In the case of this research, the system depends on the selected inverter and the estimated daily AC loads [23], 48 V system voltage was selected.

2. PV panel/module sizing

Based on the above data from load estimation, Module type: LONGI Solar RR6-72PH was being selected. Some Specifications of the selected PV Panel are shown in Table 12.

PV system design for 551,718 kWh (TEED) average AC primary daily load as has been shown in Table 10 from the previous section (section 2.3.2), the number of modules were calculated as follows

\[ E_{pv} = TEED \times 1.3 \] (18)

Where 1.3 is the energy lost in the system, \( TEED \) = total electrical energy demand, and \( E_{pv} \) = Total PV panels energy needed per day.

\[ E_{pv} = 551,718 \times 1.3 = 717233.4 \text{Wh/day} \]

\[ P_{peak} = \frac{E_{pv}}{P_{SH}} \] (19)

\[ P_{peak} = \frac{717233.4}{4.8} = 149,424 \text{W} \]

\[ N_{Panels} = \frac{P_{peak}}{P_{module}} = \frac{149,424}{370} = 404 \text{PV Panels} \]

### Table 12. PV panel specifications

| Specifications                  | Range          |
|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Maximum Power Rating STC        | 370 Watt       |
| Number of Cells per Module      | 72             |
| Maximum Power Voltage \( (V_{mp}) \) | 39.4 V        |
| Maximum Power Current \( (I_{mp}) \) | 9.39 A        |
| Open Circuit Voltage \( (V_{oc}) \) | 48.3 V         |
| Short Circuit Current \( (I_{sc}) \) | 9.84 A        |
| Efficiency                     | 19.1%          |
| Module Dimension               | 1956x991x40 mm |
| Weight                         | 22.5 kg        |
| Manufacturer                    | LONGI Solar Technology CO Ltd |
3. Inverter selection

As a maximum power demand of AC loads of this off-grid SPV system was 160 kW;

\[
\text{Inverter size (kw)} = \frac{\text{Maximum power of AC loads} \times 1.3}{160 \times 1.3} = 208 \text{ kw}
\]

250 kW pure sine wave off-grid inverter DC to AC was selected for this work. Therefore,

\[
\text{Number of Modules in Series} = \frac{\text{Open circuit voltage of each module}}{\text{maximum open circuit voltage of inverter}}
\]

12 PV Modules are connected in series and 34 are installed in parallel to make an off-grid solar photovoltaic for meeting the voltage and current according to the PV module and inverter specifications (Table 14). Now, the maximum number of PV modules required for satisfying this village demand is 408 PV modules.

4. Battery sizing

The battery capacity will depend on the following:

1. The total energy that the battery bank must supply to loads.
2. Maximum depth of discharge
3. Maximum power demand
4. System voltage
5. Charge current and recharge time.

Total Electrical Energy Demand per day is 551,718 kWh and days of autonomy is 2 days; 2 cloud days.

According to the selected Battery

\[
B_C = \frac{\text{TEED} \times \text{Day of autonomy}}{V \times \text{DOD}}
\]

\[
B_C = \frac{551,718 \times 2}{48 \times 0.85 \times 0.6} = 45,075 \text{ Ah}
\]

Hence, the capacity of the battery is 45,075 Ah, the selected battery was BAE PVS Block 210 Ah, 12 VDC. The number of batteries \(B_p\) which are connected in parallel to meet Ah required by the system will be

\[
B_p = \frac{45075}{210} = 215 \text{ Batteries}
\]

The number of batteries \(B_s\) to be connected in series for meeting the system voltage of 48 VDC, is calculated as follow (Equation 22).

\[
B_s = \frac{V_{\text{batt}}}{V_{\text{batt}}} = \frac{48}{12} = 4 \text{ Batteries}
\]

The total number of Batteries \(B\) of the whole PV system will be

\[
B = B_s \times B_p = 215 \times 4 = 860 \text{ Batteries}
\]

5. Charger controller sizing

The typical ratings of voltage controllers are capacity of voltage and amperage. It plays an important role in matching the voltage between PV arrays and batteries [23].

\[
Q_{PV} = I_w \times \text{Total number of modules connected in parallel} \times 1.3
\]

\[
Q_{PV} = 9.48A \times 34 \times 1.3
\]

Table 13. Inverter datasheet

| Specifications               | Range                                      |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Rated input voltage         | 540VDC or 600VDC                          |
| Rated input current         | 463A or 417A                              |
| Input voltage range         | 486-750V or 540-850V                      |
| Rated power                 | 250kw                                     |
| Rated output voltage        | 380V or 480                               |
| Rated output current        | 379A                                      |
| Isolation mode              | Low-frequency transformer                  |
| Number of phases            | 3 phase 4 wires                           |
| Inverter efficiency         | >93%                                      |
| Overload ability            | 150% (10s)                                |
| Cooling method              | Fan-cooled                                |
| Working temperature         | +5°F ~ +122°F (-15°C ~ +50°C)              |
maximum power point Tracking (MPPT-B (500 A)) solar charger controller 2,400W/48V was selected. In the design of this system, a total of 408 panels are required. Each panel has an area of 1956 mm x 991mm (1.938396 m²), therefore more than the 790.9 m² (408 x 1.938396 m²) land area is required for this SPV Power Plant. Fig. 6 shows the charger controller selected specifications.

Table 14 shows the equipment specifications, description of each, and the results based on the energy estimate required of the sized system which will be used in the SPV off-grid system in Rwisirabo village.

![Charger controller specification](image)

**Table 14. Results of the sized system**

| Item            | Description                        | Results         |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| **Electrical Load** | Per day consumption of the AC load | 551,718 kwh/day |
| **PV Array**     | Capacity (TEED)                     | 160 kW          |
|                  | Modules to be connected in series   | 12 PV Modules   |
|                  | Modules to be connected in parallel | 34 PV Modules   |
|                  | Total number of modules             | 408 PV Modules  |
| **Battery Bank** | The capacity of total Batteries     | 45075Ah         |
|                  | The selected Battery is BAE PVS Block 210 Ah, 12 $V_{DC}$ | 210 Ah         |
|                  | Batteries connected in series       | 4 Batteries     |
|                  | Batteries connected in parallel     | 215 Batteries   |
|                  | Total Number batteries              | 860 Batteries   |
| **Inverter**     | Capacity                            | 208 kW          |
|                  | 250 kW pure sine wave off-grid inverter | 250 kW      |
|                  | Total Number of Inverters           | 1 inverter      |
| **Charger Controller** | Capacity                  | 500A            |
|                  | Total number of controller          | 1 Controller    |
| **Land area**    | 408 x 1.938396 m²                  | 790.9 m²        |
Energy demand of 551,718 kWh/day based on the hand calculation. HOMER software was used as a tool for accomplishing this work. It did this in three main tasks such as simulation, optimization, and sensitivity analysis as is indicated in Fig. 7.

**Simulation:** It related the energy supply from the system and the load demand in 60 minutes, of the entire hours of the year. Throughout this time, it chooses either to utilize load following or dispatch methodology to work batteries and sunlight-based PV power. A system that comprises battery and SPV system requires having dispatch strategy. Dispatch techniques are two sorts, load following, and cycle charging systems.

**Optimization:** In this cycle, it simulated each extraordinary system arrangement looking for the most reduced NPC and recorded each power system that satisfies the load need. The reason for optimizing was to decide the optimal system dependent on the choice factors executed by the designer. HOMERs choice variables may incorporate; PV module size, size of the converter, amount of batteries, dispatch system, and so forth. Looking through the optimal system incorporates choosing the mix of power components such as size, amount simultaneously quantity the dispatch technique.

**Sensitivity Analysis:** It analyses the impact of outer factors and optimization for every sensitivity variable. However, first characterizing the variables that may influence the system over its whole life is obligatory to enter into the software. The optimization process was repeated after identifying the sensitive parametric variables as a contribution to the software. The sensitivity variables can be climatic information varieties, components and fuel cost, interest rate, capacity shortages, operating reserves, and others. HOMER does multiple optimizations utilizing different sensitive inputs to perceive how sensitive output of the power system. The sensitivity results from HOMER were displayed in tabular and graphical structures.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Solar Photovoltaic System Simulation and Result Analysis

3.1.1 Inputs parameters for modelling

The following are the input data used for simulating this off-grid SPV system which gave the appropriate outputs of HOMER software (Fig. 8).

where NPC: Net Present Cost and COE: Cost of Energy

3.1.1.1 Solar resources for Rwisirabo village

In SPV system design that requires solar radiation as an input parameter to converts it into electricity, having all data relating to solar radiation is very helpful. The Average Monthly Solar Global Horizontal Irradiance (GHI) data from HOMER are plotted (Fig. 9), including the daily radiation in kWh/m²/day and clearness index for every month as their values have been shown in Table 2 (section 2.2).

![Fig. 7. Three main task of HOMER software [24]](image_url)
In February the amount of 5.220 kWh/m²/day was the highest amount of irradiance and the lowest was 4.540 kWh/m²/day in November for this selected location on this work. The yearly average solar radiation was found to be 4.88 kWh/m²/day and the temperature was 20.47°C.

### 3.1.1.2 Components size and its cost

- **PV panel size** was 220 kW, with the capital cost of $1000, the replacement cost of $800 per kW, and the O&M cost per year was $10, the PV module lifespan was the same as the project which was 25 years.
- The average of the AC primary daily demand was 551,718 kWh, the peak load demand was 85.10 kW and a load factor of 0.27 while the deferrable load of 9.99 kWh/day and 2.00 kWh of peak power was entered into this system.
- The selected Battery was BAE PVS Block 210 Ah, 12 VDC that used in this work with a capital cost of $300, a replacement cost of $300 per Battery, and an O&M cost per year was $10 per year.
- 250 kW pure sine wave off-grid inverter was selected for this work with the capital cost of $300, the estimated replacement cost and O&M cost per one kW of inverter were $300 and $0 per year respectively.
- The selected charger controller for this work was MPPT-B (500 A) solar charger controller 2400W/48V with the capital cost of $400, the replacement cost of $300, and O&M cost per year is zero but it is not being modelled by HOMER.
- In the case of deferrable load, the rain season’s months of the water pump were reduced by 15%.

---

**Fig. 8. Inputs and Output of HOMER software**

**Inputs**
- Load demand
- Resources
- Component size and cost

**Outputs**
- Optimal sizing
- NPC
- COE
- Capital cost
- Capacity shortage
- Excess energy

---

**Fig. 9 Monthly global radiation for Rwisirabo village**
Based on the above primary and deferrable load estimation of Rwisirabo village, the software optimization model profile is shown as follows respectively (Fig. 12).

The peak demand of the community occurs from 18:00 to 20:00 hours because most of the household members would expect to be at their homes; lighting their houses, listen to Radio, and watching television. Additionally, iron, commercial, and small manufacturing loads make a high demand in the morning time.

3.2 Results Analysis

The optimal off-grid SPV system is the one that will supply electricity which needs to be at the lowest price so that the power plant will be having the lowest total net present value while supplying the electricity at the required level of availability. In this part, the results of the feasibility of an off-grid SPV with storage batteries were presented and discussed, the results obtained from the software simulation and the selection of components size based on discount rate were also analysed. This chapter also discussed the performance of the system, components design, the economic viability of the project, and energy management in the off-grid system. From the generated simulation results, the combination of components that has a low cost (minimum total Net Present Cost and less Cost of Energy) was considered as the selected energy system for each scenario analysis. For the off-grid electrification of Rwisirabo village, various combinations of different components such as PV modules, Batteries, Converter, and charge controller were made. Table 15 describes the simulated results which give the following information: Nominal Discount, Deferrable load minimum load ratio, and peak load, Component size, Net Present Cost, Cost of Electricity. Only a 3.00% Nominal Discount was considered in the analysis of the results of this work. Table 16 and 17 show Optimized Results of the system.
**Fig. 12. Daily primary load and monthly deferrable load profile**

**Fig. 13. Cost summary of the system by component type**

**Fig. 14. NPC percentage by components**
Table 15. All components of sensitivity results

| Nominal Discount Rate (%) | Defferable Load Minimum Load Ratio (%) | Defferable Load Peak Load (kW) | Architecture | Cost | Operating cost ($/yr) | Initial Capital ($) | Ren. Frac (%) |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-----|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------|
|                          |                                        |                                 |              |     |                       |                    |              |
| 12.0                     | 0.500                                  | 0.700                           | LF           | $667,909 | $0.254                | $16,375            | $517,000     |
| 3.00                     | 0.500                                  | 0.700                           | LF           | $903,829 | $0.200                | $17,522            | $517,000     |
| 6.00                     | 0.500                                  | 0.700                           | LF           | $794,667 | $0.246                | $17,627            | $517,000     |
| 9.00                     | 0.500                                  | 0.700                           | LF           | $719,559 | $0.298                | $17,167            | $517,000     |
| 12.0                     | 0.500                                  | 2.00                            | CC           | $666,393 | $0.353                | $16,211            | $517,000     |
| 5.00                     | 0.500                                  | 2.00                            | CC           | $899,588 | $0.199                | $17,329            | $517,000     |
| 6.00                     | 0.500                                  | 2.00                            | CC           | $791,777 | $0.245                | $17,444            | $517,000     |
| 9.00                     | 0.500                                  | 2.00                            | CC           | $717,496 | $0.297                | $16,992            | $517,000     |
| 12.0                     | 0                                     | 0.700                           | LF           | $667,909 | $0.354                | $16,375            | $517,000     |
| 3.00                     | 0                                     | 0.700                           | LF           | $903,829 | $0.200                | $17,522            | $517,000     |
| 6.00                     | 0                                     | 0.700                           | LF           | $794,667 | $0.246                | $17,627            | $517,000     |
| 9.00                     | 0                                     | 0.700                           | LF           | $719,559 | $0.298                | $17,167            | $517,000     |
| 12.0                     | 0                                     | 2.00                            | CC           | $666,393 | $0.353                | $16,211            | $517,000     |
| 3.00                     | 0                                     | 2.00                            | CC           | $899,588 | $0.199                | $17,329            | $517,000     |
| 6.00                     | 0                                     | 2.00                            | CC           | $791,777 | $0.245                | $17,444            | $517,000     |
Table 16. Overall optimization results

| Architecture | Cost | System | LRS-72 | BAE PVS210 |
|--------------|------|--------|--------|------------|
|              | NPC  | COE    | Operating cost | Initial capital | Ren Frac | Total Fuel | Capital Cost | Production | Autonomy | Annual Throughput |
| LRS-72       | $903,629 | $200 | $17,522 | $517,000 | 100 | 0 | 220,000 | 297,291 | 79.3 | 124,178 |
|              | $904,029 | $200 | $17,522 | $517,200 | 100 | 0 | 220,000 | 297,291 | 79.3 | 124,178 |
|              | $909,161 | $201 | $17,492 | $523,000 | 100 | 0 | 250,000 | 337,831 | 72.1 | 122,087 |
|              | $909,161 | $201 | $17,492 | $523,000 | 100 | 0 | 250,000 | 337,831 | 72.1 | 122,087 |
|              | $914,810 | $202 | $17,476 | $529,000 | 100 | 0 | 220,000 | 297,291 | 82.9 | 124,262 |
|              | $914,810 | $202 | $17,476 | $529,000 | 100 | 0 | 220,000 | 297,291 | 82.9 | 124,262 |
|              | $915,010 | $202 | $17,476 | $529,200 | 100 | 0 | 220,000 | 297,291 | 82.9 | 124,262 |
|              | $918,622 | $203 | $17,467 | $533,000 | 100 | 0 | 200,000 | 270,264 | 90.1 | 125,889 |
|              | $918,622 | $203 | $17,467 | $533,000 | 100 | 0 | 200,000 | 270,264 | 90.1 | 125,889 |
|              | $920,105 | $203 | $17,444 | $535,000 | 100 | 0 | 250,000 | 337,831 | 75.7 | 122,087 |
|              | $920,105 | $203 | $17,444 | $535,000 | 100 | 0 | 250,000 | 337,831 | 75.7 | 122,087 |
|              | $920,305 | $203 | $17,444 | $535,200 | 100 | 0 | 250,000 | 337,831 | 75.7 | 122,087 |
Table 17. Categorized optimization results of system

| Architecture | Cost | Syst |
|--------------|------|------|
| Converter (kW) | NPC ($ | COE ($/kWh) | Operating cost ($/y) | Initial capital ($) | Ren Frac (%) |
| 880 | 903,829 | 90200 | 17522 | 517,000 | 100 |

For each case scenario, the parameters that follow were obtained from simulation works and they are very helpful for making analysis and discussion on total NPC, Levelized cost of electricity, and operating cost of electricity.

3.2.1. Optimization analysis of system

The only single scenario of categorized optimization results was shown in Table 17 depicts the result from HOMER modelling for Rwisirabo village. The modelling simulates one year of operation and system configuration. The system with the overall in Table 16, least cost of energy is the one first on the list. The first three columns of the software results in Table 17 above show graph icons which are representing each component being used in the simulation of this system, the next columns show the optimized capacity of every apparatus, the NPC, the COE in $/kWh, the initial capital cost, renewable fraction.

3.2.1.1 Techno-economic analysis of the results

In the categorized results, only one scenario is found means that the Techno-Economic analysis of this project work was focused on a single option from Table 18, as it was only one best scenario that met the load at the low cost and this energy cost is cheaper compared to currently one from on grid.

A. Economic Analysis

As it shows in Table 18 based on HOMER modelling, the optimal system for Rwisirabo village in Categorized optimization results are the mean Power of 220 kW SPV, 880 BAE PVS210 batteries (each of 210 Ah capacity), and 110 kW DC to AC converter are required to supply the selected village. This optimal system uses a hundred percent renewable energy and the COE is $0.200/kWh Cost of while the national power grid tariff for household is $0.262/kWh. The total NPC, initial capital, operating cost, and Levelized COE for this off-grid SPV system are $903,829, $517,000, $17,522, and $0.200/kWh respectively. Fig. 13 shows the cost of the system by component type.

Fig. 14 displays the components cost flow summary in the percentage of an off-grid SPV system scheme. It is clear from the Figure that the system converter contributes the lowest NPC with $52,888.25 (6%), followed by PV modules that cost $244,284.28 (27%) and battery bank the first for this SPV system with a cost of $606,656.60 (67%).

B. Technical Analysis

The electricity generated by an off-grid SPV power plant and consumed by AC and deferrable load is illustrated in following Fig. 16, the power plant produces 297,291 kWh/year (100%), while the total electrical power consumption is 204,931 kWh/year (100%) i.e. 201,299 kWh/year (98.2%) and 3,632 kWh/year (1.77%) are consumed by the AC primary load and deferrable load respectively. Besides, excess electricity of 62,297 kWh/year (21.0%), the unmet electric load of 79.1 kWh/year (0.0386%), and a capacity shortage of 118 kWh/year (0.0574%) were experienced during the year as is shown in Fig. 16, the amount of excess electricity will be used in the irrigation system and AC future load as the forecasting energy was calculated from the previous section (Section 2.3.4) but it is also possible for transferring to the nearest villages.

The generation capacity of the SPV system varies based on the weather parameters such as solar irradiation and temperature. The monthly results of power generation in kW obtained after stimulation with software as displayed in Fig. 17, the solar radiation is high in March, July, August, and September which bring more electric power generation on those months. All months or year the power electricity remain generated. Results from the simulation show that this system generates a mean power output of 220 kW and total production of 297,291 kWh/year as is summarized in Table.
Fig. 15. Cash flow summary of the system

Fig. 16. Excess of electricity, unmet load, and Capacity shortage

Fig. 17. Monthly electric production
Table 18. SPV scheme simulation result

| Cost Summary | Cash Flow | Compare Economics | Electrical | Renewable Penetration | BAE-PVS Block 12V 210 | LONGI Solar LR6-72 | System Converter |
|--------------|-----------|-------------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Quantity     | Value | Units | Quantity | Value | Units | Quantity | Value | Units | Quantity | Value | Units |
| Rated Capacity | 220 | kW | Minimum Output | 0 | kW | |
| Mean Output | 33.9 | kW | Maximum Output | 185 | kW | |
| Mean Output | 814 | kWh/d | PV Penetration | 148 | % | |
| Capacity Factor | 15.4 | % | Hours of Operation | 4,380 | hrs/yr | |
| Total Production | 297,291 | kWh/yr | Levelized Cost | 0.0372 | $/kWh | |

Fig. 18 shows the annual operation of the PV modules, which are given to illustrate the operation control strategy according to the Rwisirabo village load demand and weather parameters.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In the design of this system for Rwisirabo village, the annual average of solar radiation and the annual average temperature are 4.88 kWh/m²/day and 20.47°C respectively with a total number of 500 households and other infrastructure (AC primary load and deferrable load). The total average energy demand was about 551,718 kWh/day with a peak of 85.10 kW. An off-grid SPV system cannot give a continuous supply of electricity without storage; a battery bank was being selected after designing appropriate PV modules, Inverter, and Charger controller. After designing all components of the system and studied their behaviours. The system has been modelled and simulated by HOMER software, the simulation was made to get the best components size that can satisfy the demand with the necessary power availability at a low cost ($0.200/kWh). The system items such as PV module, batteries, and inverter size have been found as an optimum system with 220 kW, 860 BAE PVS 210 batteries, and 110 kW respectively with a lifespan of 25 years of the project. It found that the implementation of SPV system with battery storage in residential, commercial, and institutions in the area where the solar irradiance is concentrated across a country will reduce the cost of electricity and
power interruption on the national grid. Therefore, further work is needed to optimize this system for rural electrification as well by integrating with other renewable sources available in the country and also extend the electrification to another area that is detached from the national grid.
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