A systematic review and meta-analysis of radical cystectomy in the treatment of muscular invasive bladder cancer (MIBC)
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Background: A meta-analysis was conducted to evaluate the curative effect of radical cystectomy in the treatment of muscular invasive bladder cancer (MIBC).

Methods: Chinese and English databases were searched using free combinations of the terms “bladder cancer,” “radical cystectomy,” “muscle invasive bladder cancer,” and “bladder preservation.” Review Manager 5.3 software was used for the meta-analysis.

Results: A total of 12 articles were included in the meta-analysis, most of which had low-bias risk and were of medium and high quality. A funnel chart showed that the circles of some studies were basically symmetrical with the midline, suggesting that the research accuracy was high, the publications were not biased, and the final conclusions were credible. Twelve articles analyzed patients’ 5-year survival rate in randomized controlled trials (RCTs). In these RCTs, the experimental group (expt group) comprised 775 cases and the control group (ctrl group) comprised 766 cases. A heterogeneity test using the fixed-effects model (FEM) showed Chi^2 = 2.19, df = 11, I^2 = 0%, P = 0.10 > 0.1, Z = 2.57, odds ratio (OR) = 1.30, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.06–1.59, and P = 0.01 < 0.05. 3 articles analyzed patients’ 10-year survival rates in RCTs. These trials comprised a total of 417 patients (209 in the expt group and 208 in the ctrl group). The overall heterogeneity test showed Chi^2 = 0.40, df = 2, I^2 = 0%, OR = 1.42, 95% CI: 1.09–1.84, and P = 0.16 > 0.05. 6 articles analyzed 5-year distant metastasis rates (DMRs) in RCTs. The overall heterogeneity test showed Chi^2 = 1.68, df = 5, I^2 = 0%, OR = 1.17, 95% CI: 1.13–1.81, and P = 0.09 > 0.05.

Discussion: Our meta-analysis confirmed that radical cystectomy is effective in the treatment of MIBC and is worthy of clinical promotion.
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Introduction

Bladder cancer (BC) refers to the cancerous transformation of a layer of mucosal cells covering the surface of the bladder. BC is a malignant disease with high morbidity and high mortality worldwide. In China, BC accounts for the highest number of new patients and deaths among all urinary tract tumors (1). According to research reports, the incidence of BC in China in 2015 was 580/1,000, ranking it 13th among systemic malignant tumors; the incidence among males was 883/1,000, ranking it 7th; and the incidence among females was 261/1,000, ranking it 17th (2). In 2015, the mortality rate of BC in China was 2.37 per 100,000, ranking it 13th among systemic malignant tumors; the mortality rate in males was 3.56 per 100,000, and the
mortality rate in females was 1.11 per 100,000 (3). As the data shows, the morbidity and mortality rates of males are much higher (about 3 times) than those of females. Clinically, BC can be classified into muscular invasive bladder cancer (MIBC) and non-MIBC according to the depth of tumor invasion (4). An invading detrusor tumor is referred to as MIBC (5). MIBC is prone to lymph node and other organ metastasis, and the 5-year overall survival rate is about 40–60% (6).

Radical cystectomy is the standard method of treatment of MIBC in most western countries. Like urinary diversion, physical status and life expectancy are the basic factors affecting the selection of radical cystectomy (7). Radical cystectomy is reserved for patients who have longer survival expectations, who do not have other underlying diseases, and who have a good physical status (8). Scholars have described different methods for improving urinary control and retention of sexual function; however, there is no consensus on which method best retains function. The effect of “function retention” on tumor control remains a concern (9).

To provide good guidelines for the clinical treatment of MIBC, a meta-analysis was conducted to systematically evaluate the curative effect and bladder preservation of radical cystectomy for MIBC patients as reported in articles published since the establishment of the selected databases. We present the following article in accordance with the PRISMA reporting checklist (available at https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tau-21-564).

Methods

Articles retrieval

The PubMed, CMCI, Medline, Embase, Chinese Biomedical Literature, China National Knowledge Network (CNKI), Wanfang, VIP, and Google Scholar databases were searched to retrieve articles on randomized controlled trials (RCTs) examining the use of radical cystectomy in the treatment of MIBC patients published since the establishment of the selected databases. We present the following article in accordance with the PRISMA reporting checklist (available at https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tau-21-564).

Evaluation indicators of different treatment methods

The PubMed, CMCI, Medline, Embase, Chinese Biomedical Literature, China National Knowledge Network (CNKI), Wanfang, VIP, and Google Scholar databases were searched to retrieve articles on randomized controlled trials (RCTs) examining the use of radical cystectomy in the treatment of MIBC patients published since the establishment of the selected databases. We present the following article in accordance with the PRISMA reporting checklist (available at https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tau-21-564).
survival rate, and the 5-year DMR after the surgery.

Assessment of bias risk

The bias risk of the articles was assessed by 2 researchers. If there was any dispute between them, the results were determined via a discussion between the 2 experts or an arbitration by a third expert. In this study, the Cochrane Collaboration was used as a tool to assess the bias risk of the RCTs. The evaluation criteria included random allocation plan generation, blind selection, allocation plan hiding, the completeness of data results, and the research results. Classifications of “high-bias risk,” “low-bias risk,” and “unclear-bias risk” were determined based on the above 5 criteria.

Quality evaluation

The bias risk was assessed by the 2 researchers. If there was any dispute between them, the results were determined via a discussion between the 2 experts or an arbitration by a third expert. In this study, the GRADE standard in the Cochrane Collaboration was used for quality classification. An article with a score of 6 and above was considered high quality (with a low-bias risk); an article with a score of 1 and below was considered low quality (with a high-bias risk); and an article with a score of 2–5 was considered medium quality (with an unclear-bias risk). Table 1 sets out the specific meanings of the 4 grades of the GRADE quality classification system.

### Table 1 Specific meanings of the 4 levels in GRADE

| Level   | Definition                                                                 | Score(s) |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Very low| The estimated effect value was not credible, as it differed greatly from the real value | ≤1       |
| Low     | The credibility of the estimated effect value was poor, as it differed greatly from the real value | ≤1       |
| Medium  | The credibility of the estimated effect value was sound, as it was close to the real value | 2–5      |
| High    | The credibility of the estimated effect value was high, as it was consistent with the real value | ≥6       |

Statistical analysis

StataSE12.0 software was adopted for the statistical analysis. ORs and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were used to evaluate and compare the 5-year survival rate, the 10-year survival rate, and the 5-year DMR of patients treated with radical cystectomy and bladder preservation. To evaluate the bias risk of the included articles, the assessment results of the bias risk analyses were drawn using Review Manager 5.3 software. The effect was expressed by a 95% CI. When P>0.01 and I²<50%, a fixed-effects model (FEM) was used for the meta-analysis. When P<0.01 and I²>50%, a random-effects model (REM) was used for the meta-analysis.

Results

Article retrieval results and basic information of the included articles

Two hundred and thirty-five articles were retrieved, 51 articles were excluded after checking their titles and abstract, leaving 184 articles remaining. One hundred and thirty-six articles were excluded from repeated publication and the first author could not be obtained, and 48 articles remained. After reading the full text, 36 articles were excluded and 12 articles were eventually included for meta-analysis. The specific process of article retrieval was shown in Figure 1. The main reasons for exclusion were as follows: duplicated research subjects; not the case-based randomized control analysis, no bladder cancer surgery for subjects, and available research information and data. Figure 2 shows the classification results based on the GRADE quality. Nine articles had scores ≥6, 7 articles had scores of 3–5, and 2 articles had scores ≤1.

Twelve articles, comprising 1,541 patients, met the inclusion criteria. All 12 articles were small-sample studies with sample sizes ranging from 35–92. All research subjects were aged over 18 years old. The average age, gender, number, and follow-up duration of the patients in the expt group and the ctrl group were described and analyzed in all 12 articles. Tables 2 and 3 set out the basic information of the research subjects. The 5-year survival rates of the patients are set out in Table 3.

Evaluation of bias risk of the included articles

The assessment results of the bias risk of the included articles are shown in Figures 3 and 4, which were drawn
using Review Manager 5.3 software. Among the 12 RCTs in this study, 3 articles (10-12) described the correct random allocation method, but only 2 articles (13,14) described both the correct random allocation method and the detailed allocation hiding. In addition, 1 article (15) adopted the blinding method. However, the measurement indicators in present study were laboratory indicators determined by computer; thus, all articles could be considered to have adopted the blinding method correctly.

**Analysis on publication bias**

A funnel chart (see Figure 5) was drawn using RevMan5.3. The circles of some studies were basically symmetrical with the midline, which indicated that the research accuracy was high, the publication was not biased, and the final conclusions reached were credible.

**Meta-analysis results of the 5-year survival rate**

Twelve articles analyzed the 5-year survival rate in RCTs. The total number of cases comprised 1,292 patients, including 775 patients in the expt group and 766 patients in the ctrl group. The overall heterogeneity was tested ($\chi^2 = 2.19$, df = 11, $I^2 = 0\%$, and $P=1.00>0.1$). The research results of Smith (2013) accounted for the highest percentage of the final combined results (12.9%), followed by those of Mmeje (2013) (12.1%). In addition, the horizontal line of the 95% CI of all the studies crossed the invalid vertical line. The FEM analysis results indicated that the difference between the expt group and ctrl group was not statistically significant ($Z = 2.57$, OR = 1.30, 95% CI: 1.06–1.59, and $P=0.01<0.05$; see Figure 6).

In this study, all of the 12 included articles were meta-analyzed using the REM, and changes in the combined effect value were calculated (see Figure 7). In relation to the combined 5-year survival rate, the results under the REM were as follows: OR = 1.30, 95% CI: 1.06–1.59, and $P=0.01$. A comparison of the combined effect values of the FEM and REM showed that the calculated results were almost the same under the different models, indicating that the 12 included articles showed good sensitivity. In addition, the robustness and clinical similarity of the studies were high; thus, the final conclusion was reliable.

**Meta-analysis results of 10-year survival rate**

A total of 3 articles analyzed the 10-year survival rate in RCTs. There were 417 cases in total, comprising 209 cases in expt group and 208 cases in Ctrl group. The overall heterogeneity test showed $\chi^2 = 0.40$, df = 2, $I^2 = 0\%$, and $P=0.82>0.1$. The research results of Dunst (2001) accounted for the highest percentage (41.3%) of the final combined results, followed by the research results of McConkey DJ.
The horizontal line and the invalid vertical line of the 95% CI of the 3 articles crossed; thus, the FEM was adopted for the analysis. The results revealed that the difference between the expt group and the Ctrl group was not statistically significant (see Figure 8; Z = 1.42, OR = 1.32, 95% CI: 0.90–1.94, and P = 0.16 > 0.05).

### Meta-analysis results of the 5-year DMR

A total of 6 articles analyzed the 5-year DMR in RCTs. The total number of cases was 763, comprising 383 patients in the expt group and 380 patients in the ctrl group. The overall heterogeneity test showed Chi² = 1.68, df = 5, I² = 0%,
and $P=0.89>0.1$ (see Figure 9). The research results of Mmeje (2013) accounted for the highest percentage (26.0%) of the final combined results, followed by the research results of Smith (2013) (37.7%). The horizontal line crossed the invalid vertical line of the 95% CI of the 6 articles; thus the FEM was adopted. The results showed that the difference between expt group and the ctrl group was not statistically significant ($Z=1.70$, $OR=1.28$, 95% CI: 0.96–1.71, and $P=0.09>0.05$).

**Discussion**

In this study, a meta-analysis was conducted to evaluate the curative effects of radical cystectomy and bladder
### Figure 6
Forest map for 5-year survival rate under FEM. FEM, fixed-effects model.

| Study or Subgroup     | Experimental Events | Control Events | Total Events | Weight | Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed | 95% CI       |
|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Aboumarzouk OM2012    | 18                  | 35             | 53           | 3.8%   | 1.79 [0.69, 4.65]     |              |
| Collins JW2014        | 23                  | 39             | 62           | 4.8%   | 1.36 [0.55, 3.37]     |              |
| Dunst 2001            | 27                  | 49             | 76           | 5.6%   | 1.64 [0.74, 3.63]     |              |
| Fiefer AH2011         | 26                  | 46             | 72           | 5.0%   | 1.78 [0.78, 4.08]     |              |
| Garczyk S2020         | 31                  | 58             | 90           | 7.2%   | 1.41 [0.68, 2.93]     |              |
| McConkey DJ2015       | 40                  | 74             | 114          | 10.2%  | 1.18 [0.62, 2.24]     |              |
| Mmeje CO2013          | 50                  | 89             | 139          | 12.1%  | 1.20 [0.66, 2.16]     |              |
| Pakehi J2006          | 49                  | 86             | 137          | 11.1%  | 1.29 [0.71, 2.36]     |              |
| Sherwood BT2005       | 43                  | 76             | 119          | 10.5%  | 1.11 [0.58, 2.11]     |              |
| Smith ZL2013          | 53                  | 92             | 145          | 12.9%  | 1.09 [0.61, 1.96]     |              |
| Tränkenschuh W2019    | 45                  | 86             | 131          | 11.3%  | 1.24 [0.68, 2.27]     |              |
| Williamson SR2010     | 28                  | 45             | 73           | 5.4%   | 1.44 [0.62, 3.34]     |              |

**Total (95% CI)**: 775 / 766 (100.0%)

Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 2.19, df = 11 (P = 1.00); I^2 = 0$

Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.57 (P = 0.01)$

### Figure 7
Forest map for the 5-year survival rate under the random effect model.

| Study or Subgroup     | Experimental Events | Control Events | Total Events | Weight | Odds Ratio M-H, Random | 95% CI       |
|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------|--------|------------------------|--------------|
| Aboumarzouk OM2012    | 18                  | 35             | 53           | 4.4%   | 1.79 [0.69, 4.65]     |              |
| Collins JW2014        | 23                  | 39             | 62           | 4.9%   | 1.36 [0.55, 3.37]     |              |
| Dunst 2001            | 27                  | 49             | 76           | 6.3%   | 1.64 [0.74, 3.63]     |              |
| Fiefer AH2011         | 26                  | 46             | 72           | 5.9%   | 1.78 [0.78, 4.08]     |              |
| Garczyk S2020         | 31                  | 58             | 90           | 7.6%   | 1.41 [0.68, 2.93]     |              |
| McConkey DJ2015       | 40                  | 74             | 114          | 9.7%   | 1.18 [0.62, 2.24]     |              |
| Mmeje CO2013          | 50                  | 89             | 139          | 11.6%  | 1.20 [0.66, 2.16]     |              |
| Pakehi J2006          | 49                  | 86             | 135          | 11.1%  | 1.29 [0.71, 2.36]     |              |
| Sherwood BT2005       | 43                  | 76             | 119          | 9.7%   | 1.11 [0.58, 2.11]     |              |
| Smith ZL2013          | 53                  | 92             | 145          | 11.9%  | 1.09 [0.61, 1.96]     |              |
| Tränkenschuh W2019    | 45                  | 86             | 131          | 11.1%  | 1.24 [0.68, 2.27]     |              |
| Williamson SR2010     | 28                  | 45             | 73           | 5.7%   | 1.44 [0.62, 3.34]     |              |

**Total (95% CI)**: 775 / 766 (100.0%)

Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00; \chi^2 = 2.19, df = 11 (P = 1.00); I^2 = 0$

Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.56 (P = 0.01)$

### Figure 8
Forest map for 10-year survival rate.
preservation in the treatment of MIBC. The results showed that the included studies had high accuracy and there was no bias in the publications, and the final conclusions were credible.

12 articles analyzed the 5-year survival rate in RCTs, and tested overall heterogeneity ($\text{Chi}^2 = 2.19$, $df = 11$, $I^2 = 0\%$, and $P = 1.00 > 0.1$). The analysis results of the FEM revealed that the 2 groups did not differ significantly. These results are consistent with those of Veskimäe et al. (2020) (16). A comparison of the combined effect values of the FEM and the REM indicated that the calculated results were almost the same under the different effects models, suggesting that the 12 included articles showed good sensitivity. In addition, the robustness and clinical similarity of the studies were high; thus, the final conclusions were reliable.

Three articles analyzed the 10-year survival rate in RCTs and tested the overall heterogeneity ($\text{Chi}^2 = 0.40$, $df = 2$, $I^2 = 0\%$, and $P = 0.82$). The horizontal line crossed with the invalid vertical line of the 95% CI. The analysis results of the FEM showed that the difference between 2 groups was not statistically significant (17). These findings are consistent with those of Campbell et al. (2018) (18). Six articles analyzed the 5-year DMR in RCTs and tested the overall heterogeneity ($\text{Chi}^2 = 1.68$, $df = 5$, $I^2 = 0\%$, and $P = 0.89 > 0.1$). The analysis results of the FEM indicated that the 2 groups showed no statistically observable difference, which was consistent with the findings of Almassi et al. (2020) (19).

The clinical curative effect and safety of radical cystectomy and bladder preservation in the treatment of MIBC were systematically evaluated based on the meta-analysis. The 5-year survival rate after radical cystectomy was 46.9–63.2%, and the 5-year survival rate after bladder preservation was 45.5–63.7% (20). The analysis results of each group showed that there was no obvious difference in the 5-year survival rate, 10-year survival rate, and 5-year DMR after surgery. However, it should be noted that patients in the 2 groups were at different clinical cancer stages, had different surgical options, received different radiation doses, and underwent different comprehensive chemotherapy regimens, all of which may have affected the prognosis of patients. Multi-center, large-sample RCTs need to be conducted in the future to better evaluate the curative effects of the 2 treatment methods.

Among the 12 articles included in this study, 3 articles described the correct random allocation method, 2 articles described both the correct randomized allocation method and the detailed allocation hiding, and all articles used the blinding method correctly. Under the GRADE quality classification system, 9 articles had scores $\geq 6$, 7 articles had scores of 2–5, and 2 articles had scores $\leq 1$. The sample sizes of the research studies included in this meta-analysis were small, and the quality level of the included experiments was relatively good.

### Conclusions

In this study, the Boolean logic retrieval method was adopted to select relevant articles by using compound logic retrieval to search the articles that used radical cystectomy (the expt group) or bladder preservation (the ctrl group) to treat MIBC. Twelve articles were selected for the meta-analysis to explore the curative effect of radical cystectomy for MIBC. The meta-analysis of this study confirmed that radical cystectomy had a good curative effect in the treatment of MIBC and was worthy of clinical promotion. The limitations of this study were that the quality of the research subjects was low, the heterogeneity was high, and

---

**Figure 9** Forest map of the 5-year DMR. DMR, distant metastasis rate.
the total sample size of the included articles was small. Thus, the sample sizes of clinical RCTs have to be expanded in the future for further verification. In conclusion, the results of this study provide a scientific theoretical basis for the treatment of MIBC by radical cystectomy.
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