Dimeric resorcinarene salt capsules with very tight encapsulation of anions and guest molecules
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Crystallization of N-cyclohexyl ammonium resorcinarene triflate from methanol results in a dimeric capsule capable of trapping two triflate anions and two methanol molecules within a 341 Å³ cavity with 1.4-dioxane as a guest it forms a new larger dimeric capsule with volume of 679 Å³ encapsulating four 1,4-dioxane and four water molecules, resulting in packing coefficients of 0.75 and 0.67, respectively.

The concerted utilization of multiple weak interactions such as electrostatic forces, hydrogen bonding, cation−π, −CH⋯π and π⋯π interactions in the design of functional assemblies, is a growing area of contemporary supramolecular chemistry with potential applications in biology and materials chemistry. Resorcinarenes are an important class of receptor compounds and/or building blocks in supramolecular chemistry. In their C₄ᵥ conformation, intramolecular hydrogen bonds between adjacent phenolic hydroxyl groups help maintain the bowl shape cavity suitable for guest binding. These macrocyclic host compounds manifest a multitude of molecular recognition events, resulting in host−guest assemblies such as 1:1 inclusion complexes, dimeric or hexameric capsular assemblies and nano-tubular structures in the presence of specific guest compounds under suitable conditions.

Anions have a major role in biological processes. Examples of anions or anionic compounds in biology include many enzyme substrates and cofactors. Anions are generally larger than their isoelectronic cations with wider solvation properties and are more sensitive to pH with a larger range of geometric/structural modulations. These characteristics make the binding/recognition of anions more challenging when compared to cation binding. However, anion binding receptors and their applications as sensors, or their use in ions channels is widely reported. Anions can also be used to template the formation of ionic and metal coordination cages as well as interlocked systems. The propensity of anions to form hydrogen bonds and be involved in electrostatic interactions implies, incorporating these characteristics in the design of molecular receptors, could lead to very efficient anion receptors.

The N-alkyl ammonium resorcinarene halides, NARXs, are obtained by cleaving the corresponding tetrabenzoazoxines with mineral acids (usually HCl and HBr) under refluxing conditions. The concave cavity of the resorcinarenes is maintained in these large organic halide salts through a strong circular intramolecular hydrogen bonded cation−anion (−NR₂H⁺−X−⋯)₄ seam between the ammonium groups and the halides anions. These halide salts have been shown to be excellent halogen bond acceptors and manifest themselves as deep cavity cavitands and halogen bonded capsules. We have recently investigated the binding modes with non-halide anions: picrate (aromatic planar), nitrate (trigonal planar) and triflate (ellipsoidal). While the picrate salt was synthesized from the corresponding cleavage of the tetrabenzoazoxine with picric acid, the nitrate and triflate were obtained through anion exchange of the corresponding chloride salts with silver picrate and silver triflate, respectively. Although the non-spherical anion-based NAR’s are less symmetrical, the main features such as the inherited “bowl” shape, the circular hydrogen bonded cation−anion seam, and the guest binding properties are retained. Compared to the spherical halides, the larger non-spherical anions broaden the opening of the “bowl”, which enables the capture of either larger-sized or multiple guest molecules. This “opening” of the cavity allows new guest binding motifs to the resorcinarene family.

Herein, we describe the synthesis and characterization of the N-cyclohexyl ammonium resorcinarene triflate salt (NAROTf).
The X-ray diffraction study for the crystals of NAROTf, 4(OTf)₄, obtained from pure methanol or methanol with 1,4-dioxane as a guest molecule, reveals two different dimeric capsules with different cavity sizes and encapsulation of either four or eight guests.

The 4(OTf)₄, was synthesized according to modified procedures. In the reaction, the parent tetrabenzoazine 3 is converted to the ammonium salt 4(OTf)₄ by refluxing in the presence of triflic acid in isopropanol (Scheme 1). The ¹H NMR in DMSO-d₆ reveals the salts to be as symmetrical as the corresponding halide analogues NARXs in solution. However, the effect of the anions which are participating in the intramolecular hydrogen bond seam involving the −NH₂ and −OH groups of the resorcinarenes is apparent from the signal changes of the 4(OTf)₄ protons as compared to the 4(Cl)₄/4(Br)₄ analogues (Fig. S1).

Recent studies have shown that 1,4-dioxane, small alcohols, N-alkyl and N-aryl amides as well as diamides with varying spacer lengths are suitable guests for the NARXs. Interactions such as −CH−π between the guests and the π-cavity of the hosts as well as hydrogen bond interactions between the guests and cation–anion seam of the hosts are mainly responsible for these host–guest complexes. Non-spherical anions have the ability to disrupt the cation–anion seam and subsequently affect the inner cavity of the hosts. The 1,4-dioxane, being a particularly excellent guest for the NARXs, was utilized to study the host–guest properties of 4(OTf)₄. In CDCl₃ at 300 K, the ¹H NMR of 4(OTf)₄ shows two signals for the −NH₂ protons of the host in a 1 : 3 ratio (Fig. 1a). The −NH₂ signals of the NARXs usually appear as a single broad signal under the same conditions. This indicates that one −NH₂ group has different hydrogen bonds with the triflate anion as compared to the other three −NH₂ groups, suggesting that the symmetrical cation–anion hydrogen bond seam is broken and one of the triflates resides inside the cavity. After the addition of one equivalent of 1,4-dioxane as the guest, the two separate −NH₂ signals merge into one slightly downfield signal (Fig. 1b). The downfield shift of the −NH₂ signals is attributed to the in-cavity hydrogen bond formation with the 1,4-dioxane guest. The complexation induced up-field shift of the 1,4-dioxane protons also confirms its location in the cavity of the host 4(OTf)₄. Thus in the presence of 1,4-dioxane, the in-cavity triflate is replaced by the 1,4-dioxane guest. The replaced triflate then moves to the cation–anion seam making it symmetric and thus the −NH₂ protons appear as one signal (Fig. 1).

Single crystal X-ray diffraction study gave a detailed picture of the solid state properties of the host with and without suitable guest(s) as well as the interactions between the large triflate anion and the cationic moieties of the resorcinarene core. Fortunately, suitable single crystals of 4(OTf)₄ with and without 1,4-dioxane molecule were obtained, revealing the structural changes induced by the large triflate anions and the guest molecules on the conformation of the resorcinarene salt skeleton.

Crystallization of 4(OTf)₄ from wet methanol resulted in the dimeric capsule (OTf·MeOH)₂@4(OTf)₄·MeOH₂. The symmetry of 4(OTf)₄ observed in DMSO-d₆ solution is broken in the solid state. The resorcinarene skeleton is heavily distorted and the circular (⋯NR₂H₂⋯X⋯)₄ hydrogen bond seam found in the corresponding 4(Cl)₄/4(Br)₄ analogues no longer exists in this structure. Instead, the hydrogen bond seam is now constructed by the four ammonium groups, three triflate anions and one MeOH molecule, the fourth triflate being hydrogen bonded to a MeOH molecule and included into the cavity of 4(OTf)₄·MeOH₂.

The location of the triflate inside the cavity is also supported by the NMR analysis in solution. The bound MeOH is fixed deeply in the cavity of 4(OTf)₄·MeOH by N−H⋯O⁻MeOH and O⁻MeOH⋯O hydrogen bonds just below the encapsulated triflate anion, interacting with the bottom of the host cavity via C−H⋯π interactions (Fig. 2A and B). One of the triflate anion in the cation–anion seam moves further away from the cavity and gives space to one of the N-cyclohexyl ammonium arms which then moves inwards filling up the remaining space. This N-cyclohexyl ammonium arm appears in a gauche conformation, partially capping the cavity with the −NH₂ hydrogens pointing outwards. The two in-cavity triflate anions show short F⋯F contacts with each other leading to a dimeric capsule with four (two OTf⁻ and two MeOH) included guests (Fig. 2B and C). The PLATON calculated volume of the cavity is 341 Å³. The four encapsulated guests (V_OTf = 87.1 Å³ and V_MeOH = 40.7 Å³, 2 × 87.1 + 2 × 40.87 = 255.6 Å³) occupy 75% of the cavity revealing a more dense packing within the cavity than in the crystal itself. The fact that the host cavity can be suitable for the encapsulation of the triflate anion with definite intermolecular hydrogen bonds to the hosts is particularly interesting.
since it highlights the versatility of NARXs as potential receptors for anions.

Evaporation of a wet methanol solution containing 4(OTf)₄ with a small amount of 1,4-dioxane (which is known to be excellent guest for NARXs) resulted in a capsular host–guest complex (2 × 1,4-dioxane·2 × H₂O)₂@[4(OTf)₄]₂. In the presence of 1,4-dioxane guest, the four triflate anions in the structure are located on the cation–anion seam as in the other symmetrical NARXs (Fig. 3A). The concave cavity of the resorcinarene is broadened with the opposite N–N separation of 10.466 and 10.671 Å, compared to its halide analogues. Thus the cavity is larger than normal and traps two 1,4-dioxane and two water molecules. The 1,4-dioxane at the bottom of the cavity is tightly bound from its O-atoms to the host 4(OTf)₄ via one ammonium group and to one water molecule, which is hydrogen bonded to a triflate anion and to another ammonium group on the other side of each cavity. The upper 1,4-dioxane molecule is hydrogen bonded only with one of its O-atom to the water molecules, which is hydrogen bonded to the third ammonium group and a second triflate anion (Fig. 3A). The weak C–H⋯O hydrogen bonds supplemented by intermolecular F⋯F contacts resulting in the dimerization of capsule halves, encapsulating a total of eight ordered molecules (Fig. 3B) in the confined cavity with a large cavity volume of 679 Å³. The eight guests (Vₐl₂0 = 94.3 Å³ and Vₐl₂0 = 19.4 Å³, 4 × 94.3 + 4 × 19.4 = 454.8 Å³) occupy 67% of the interior cavity, still much more dense than the often referred optimal packing of 55%.

Rebek et al. reported exceptions to the 55% rule in rare cases with a maximum of 75%, while Bruce et al. observed a higher packing efficiency of 82% with deep cavity cavitands. The (2 × 1,4-dioxane·2 × H₂O)₂@[4(OTf)₄]₂ capsule thus represents one of the most dense dimeric capsules.

Conclusions

In summary, the NAROTf, 4(OTf)₄, was synthesized by opening the tetrabenzoxazine ring with triflic acid. The NMR studies in CDCl₃ and the single crystal X-ray structure from

Fig. 2 X-ray structure of the dimeric capsule (OTf·MeOH)₂@[4(OTf)₃MeOH]₂: (A) one half of the capsule with intramolecular hydrogen bonds shown in black dotted lines. (B) The dimeric capsule with encapsulated guests in CPK mode. (C) Sliced CPK model to show the encapsulation of the guests in the dimeric capsule. The in-cavity guests are shown in gold colour.

Fig. 3 X-ray structure of the dimeric capsule (2 × 1,4-dioxane·2 × H₂O)₂@[4(OTf)₄]₂: (A) one half of the capsule with intramolecular hydrogen bonds shown in black dotted lines. (B) The dimeric capsule with encapsulated guests in CPK mode. (C) Sliced CPK model to show the encapsulation of the guests in the dimeric capsule. The in-cavity guests are shown in gold colour.
crystals obtained from wet methanol, showed the deformation of the normally existing cation–anion seam\(^1\) with an inclusion of one of the triflate anion into the cavity. Upon addition of small amount of 1,4-dioxane to this system, the \(^1\)H NMR in CDCl\(_3\) proves that 1,4-dioxane will readily occupy the resorcinarene cavity thus replacing the encapsulated triflate and driving it out from the cavity to re-from the normal circular cation–anion hydrogen bonded seam. In the solid state, the NAROTF forms two structurally different dimeric capsules. From wet methanol a six-component dimeric capsule [(OTf·MeOH)\(_2\)]\(_2\)@[4(OTf)MeOH] encapsulating two triflate anions and two methanol molecules is formed, while 1,4-dioxane being present, a 10-component dimeric capsule (2×1,4-dioxane·2×H\(_2\)O)\(_2\)@[4(OTf)]\(_2\) is formed, showing a very tight encapsulation of four 1,4-dioxane and four water molecules. Both capsules were analysed by single crystal X-ray diffraction studies. The [(OTf·MeOH)\(_2\)]\(_2\)@[4(OTf)MeOH] capsule has a packing coefficient of 0.75, induced by the enhanced short F–F contacts of the two encapsulated and hydrogenbonded triflate···H–OMe complexes. The fact that the resorcinarene salt cavity was found to be suitable for the encapsulation of the triflate anion with definite intermolecular hydrogen bonds to the hosts is particularly interesting, since it opens the possibility of these systems to recognize anions under certain conditions. The 10-component capsule (2×1,4-dioxane·2×H\(_2\)O)\(_2\)@[4(OTf)]\(_2\) has a packing coefficient of 0.67, a very high or maybe highest number of bond (eight) neutral guest molecules inside the confined space of a dimeric capsule. Weak F–F contacts were observed in both dimeric capsules. Despite their generally weak nature, they seem to be crucial for the capsule formation. The resorcinarene salts, in short NARXs, are surprisingly versatile receptors that can recognize neutral compounds, act as halogen bond acceptors and now encapsulate anions through the combination of weak interactions.
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