Laser-induced layers peeling of sputtering coatings at 1064 nm wavelength
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Large-scale layers peeling after the laser irradiation of dual ion beam sputtering coatings is discovered and a model is established to explain it. The laser damage morphologies relate to the laser fluence, showing thermomechanical coupling failure at low energy and coating layers separation at high energy. High-pressure gradients appear in the interaction between laser and coatings, resulting in large-scale layer separation. A two-step laser damage model including defect-induced damage process and ionized air wave damage process is proposed to explain the two phenomena at different energy. At relatively high energies (higher than 20 J/cm²), ionization of the air can be initiated, leading to a peeling off effect. The peeling effect is related to the thermomechanical properties of the coating materials.

Optical coatings can increase the transmittance and reflectance of optical elements such as glass and lenses, which are widely used in various laser systems1–3. Sputtered coatings have excellent mechanical properties and stability, which are suitable for use in space laser systems4,5. Generally, laser-induced damage threshold (LIDT) of dielectric coatings materials is lower than the damage threshold of bulk materials6,7. The laser damage problem of dielectric coatings is a key factor in laser systems8,9. The laser damage of the sputtered coatings is related to the launch and operation stability of the entire spacecraft mission10,11. The research on the mechanism of laser and sputtered coatings is very important.

Nanosecond laser damage is usually attributed to structural defects or absorptive defects12,13. Nanoprecursors that initially induce damage are difficult to characterize or observe14,15. Analysis of the laser damage morphology can reveal the mechanism behind the damage phenomenon16–18. Research on bulk materials such as fused silica found that the multi-longitudinal mode laser interacts with fused silica to form a ripple structure19–21, which is related to the laser excited air electrons22. Diaz et al. find that the action mechanism of fused silica in vacuum under laser irradiation is related to the ionization of SiO₂ material on the surface to form a plasma, which also has a ripple structure23,24. This phenomenon of laser-excited air or surface matter forming a plasma correlates with the wavelength of the laser25. A 1ω frequency laser is more likely to excite air than a 3ω laser26. The ripple structure does not appear when the electron beam evaporated coatings interacts with laser, and it mainly manifests as surface ablation27. The high temperature of the ionizing wave causes the surface of the evaporated coatings to ablate quickly28. The research on the interaction mechanism between the sputtered coatings and laser is rare.

In this work, different laser damage morphologies of dual ion beam sputtering coatings with high-resolution characterization reveal different damage mechanisms, one of which is large-area film separation. The damage morphologies are related to the laser energy, which show thermomechanical coupling failure at low energy and coating layers separation at high energy. The damage features of sputtering coatings are different from the ring patterns of fused silica or the surface scalding of the e-beam evaporation coatings. High-pressure gradients appear in the interaction between laser and coatings, resulting in large-scale layer separation. Different layer stress parameters make the peeling off effect different. A two-step laser damage model including defect-induced damage process and ionized air wave damage process is proposed to explain the two phenomena.
Experiments

Coatings preparation. The fused silica (HPFS 7980, Corning) substrates have no absorption band between 185 and 2500 nm. The index of refraction of substrates is 1.45 and transmittance is above 94% at 1064 nm. The cylindrical substrates have a radius of 25 mm and a thickness of 5 mm. Detailed materials and optical properties of three different coatings (Al₂O₃/SiO₂, Ta₂O₅/SiO₂ and Nb₂O₅/SiO₂) are shown in the Table 1. Before coating, ultrasonic and chemical etching should be used to clean the substrate, mainly to remove surface contamination and polishing deposition contamination of the substrates. Dual ion beam sputtering equipment (Veeco, Ltd.) is used to deposit multilayer coatings.

Laser-induced damage parameters. In the experiment, the 1-on-1 laser damage performance test is carried out according to the standard ISO 2125429. The schematic diagram of laser damage test platform is shown in Fig. 1. The incident angle of laser to three samples is 0 degree. Sample I and II are tested on laser exit surface. Sample III is tested on laser incident surface. The pulse width of Nd: YAG laser is 12 ns at 1064 nm (1ω). The facula radius of the incident laser on the coatings is about 200 μm at 1/e² of the maximum intensity. In the laser damage experiment, there are 20 points irradiated by each energy step. The online CCD (charge coupled device) and offline optical microscope can be used to evaluate whether the test area is damaged.

Experimental results and analysis

Laser damage probability. Laser damage probability distribution of the Al₂O₃/SiO₂ coatings is shown in Fig. 2. It can be obtained that within 30 J/cm² energy, the probability of laser damage is low, and is about 40% around 70 J/cm². The two-stage damage probability indicates that there are two different defects. One has a lower density but is prone to laser damage, and the other has a higher density but requires higher energy.

Laser damage morphology. Optical microscope (Leica) and optical profiler (Veeco) are used to characterize laser damage morphologies. Morphologies and damage pits depth of Al₂O₃/SiO₂ coatings are shown in Fig. 3(c) and (d) correspond to the depth distribution of (a) and (b), respectively. Figure 3(a) is single defect-induced damage, and (b) is multiple defect-induced damage. Obvious peeling off of coatings layer is observed, and no change in the color of the plasma ablation is observed. From the depth profile of Fig. 3(d), the damage depth is about 1.2 μm, which is close to the substrate. The defects of sample I is possible from interface of coatings and substrate.

Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM; Zeiss) is used to characterize the microscopic morphology of damage pits. Figure 4(a–c) show the damage morphologies of Al₂O₃/SiO₂ coatings at near damage threshold, medium energy, and high energy, respectively. Figure 4(d) and (e) are enlarged views of the central regions of (b) and (c), respectively. Laser damage near the threshold appears as thermal–mechanical coupling failure. The diameter of the damage pit is about 3 μm. The edge contour of the damage pit is clear and shows brittleness distortion, which indicates that the defects are far away from the coatings surface and the thermal effect is not obvious. The central thermal–mechanical coupling damage pit can still be observed at medium energy in Fig. 4(d), but the surrounding coatings are extensively damaged, which is manifested as peeling off. At high energy, the central damage pit is not deeper and only appears as more thermodynamic ablation. The area of ablation and damage of the surrounding peeling layers is larger.

Ta₂O₅/SiO₂ and Nb₂O₅/SiO₂ coatings also show a similar phenomenon, that is, they only show thermal damage at low energy, and at high energy, in addition to thermal damage, the coatings show peeling off effect. The critical energy density of the three coatings is about 20 J/cm².

Table 1. Three groups of coatings.

| Group name | Coating materials | Optical performance | Layers |
|------------|------------------|---------------------|--------|
| I          | Al₂O₃/SiO₂       | T > 99.5%@1064 nm   | 11     |
| II         | Ta₂O₅/SiO₂       | T > 99%@1064 nm     | 72     |
| III        | Nb₂O₅/SiO₂       | R > 99%@1064 nm     | 71     |

Figure 1. Laser damage test platform.
Figure 5 indicates that the size of the damage pit changes with the laser energy. Some damage pits of sample I and II are observed at relatively low energy, which are relatively small, especially sample I. Sample III is not damaged at relatively low energy, so no damage point was observed at relatively low energy. The damage pit size becomes significantly larger after energy above about 20 J/cm², and with the increase of energy, the development of damage pit size approaches a linear increase. Under the same laser energy, sample I has the largest damage pit size, and sample III has the smallest size. This is related to the thermodynamic properties of the film composition of the samples, which will be explained in detail later.

Figure 2. Damage probability curves of Al₂O₃/SiO₂ coatings.

Figure 3. Optical microscope morphologies and damage pits depth of Al₂O₃/SiO₂ coatings after laser damage test, (c) and (d) correspond to the depth distribution of (a) and (b), respectively.
Damage mechanism. To explain the correlation between the occurrence of the peeling effect and the laser fluence at 1064 nm. Compared with the shock wave model proposed by Fabbro et al. for the shock wave caused by the laser irradiated material\(^3\), the shock wave is generated in the solid material, and the propagation speed is the speed of sound level. The propagation speed of shock waves in solid materials is much lower than the surface destruction speed. Therefore, the model we propose is to ionize air to generate plasma, and the speed of expansion and propagation in the air is in the same order of magnitude as the speed of destruction in the experiment. The possible formation of air laser supported detonation waves (LSD) is considered\(^3\). This happens when the free electron energy\(E\) can excite the neutral substance in the medium (mainly composed of \(O_2\) and \(N_2\) molecules) to ionize\(^3\). At the beginning of ionization, the maximum energy obtained by the electrons cannot be higher than the following value\(^3\):

\[E_m[\text{eV}] \approx 4.9 (\lambda[\mu\text{m}])^2 I[\text{GW/cm}^2]\]  

(1)

Figure 4. SEM morphologies of \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{SiO}_2\) coatings after laser irradiation: (a) close to laser damage threshold; (b) middle laser fluence; (c) high laser fluence; (d) and (e) are enlarged images of the center area of (b) and (c), respectively.

Figure 5. Laser damage pit diameters as function of energy, three different coatings: I-\(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{SiO}_2\), II-\(\text{Ta}_2\text{O}_5/\text{SiO}_2\), III-\(\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5/\text{SiO}_2\).
Among the Eq. (1), $I$ is the laser light intensity, $\lambda$ is the laser wavelength, The energy of air molecules (mainly $N_2$ and $O_2$) ionized by laser is 12 eV. Equation (1) can be used to calculate the laser power density required for ionizing air as $2.14 \text{GW/cm}^2$ at 1064 nm. According to the conversion formula ($F = 0.5 \sqrt{\pi/ln2\tau}$) of laser energy density and power density, the energy density of the laser can be obtained as $27.34 \text{J/cm}^2$. Laser damage will cause the temperature of the coating material around the defect to rise sharply and the absorption will increase. The LSD wave front will absorb and reflect the laser, making the initial electron avalanche ionization energy lower than 27.34 J/cm², which is about 20 J/cm² in our experiment.

Thus, when the energy is low, the laser energy is lower than the ionization energy of the air, and no LSD wave in air can be generated. At this time, the laser and film defects interact with each other, and the defects absorb the laser energy, resulting in thermomechanical coupling damage, such as Fig. 4(a). Due to the deeper defects of the sample II compared to sample I and the strong layer binding force, the thermal effect is more obvious and the damage area is larger in the process of the sample II absorbing the thermal coupling effect of the defect. When the laser energy is greater than the ionization energy of air, LSD waves are generated in the air. Thus, the large-scale emergence of peeling off of coatings is related to a propagation of LSD wave, which is similarly with ring-pattern damage morphologies of the fused silica bulk material. The velocity of propagation of peeling off can be obtained as 21 km/s (laser energy: 70 J/cm², maximum diameter: 500 μm), which is equivalent to the speed of a surface shock wave. Multi-layer coatings deposited by dual ion beam sputtering usually possess high compressive residual stress. The temperature of laser-induced plasma is higher than 10⁴ K, and the pressure is higher than 1 GPa. The laser-induced stress wave propagates horizontally and vertically in the coatings and reflects at the boundary of the coatings, thereby changing the residual stress field of the coatings. At the same time, when the stress wave propagates far away from the center of the laser spot, it attenuates exponentially, and gradually disperses. A stress field distribution similar to the shape of Airy Pattern is formed in the coatings. At the same time, due to the high temperature gradient brought by the LSD wave, the samples are prone to peeling off. Thermodynamic parameters will affect the peeling size of the samples.

The separation of the coating layers originates from the changes in the local stress of different coating layers after the temperature rises, considering the case where the temperature has not reached the melting and vaporization of the coating layers. The change of coatings stress caused by temperature can be explained by the following formula:

$$\sigma_C = \frac{E_t}{1 - \nu} \alpha_t \Delta T,$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (2)

Among them, $\alpha_t$, $\nu$, and $E_t$ are the thermal expansion coefficient, Poisson’s ratio and Young modulus of different coating material. $\Delta T$ is the amount of change in temperature rise. Table 2 shows the mechanical parameters of SiO₂, Al₂O₃, Ta₂O₅, and Nb₂O₅ coating material. The stress change caused by the same temperature change in the Al₂O₃ layer, Ta₂O₅, and Nb₂O₅ are 63.59 times, 13.53 times, and 8.99 times that of SiO₂ layer, respectively. This explains that sample I which contains Al₂O₃/SiO₂ layers is more likely to occur peeling effect caused by temperature rise. Thus, the peeling off size of sample I is relatively larger.

Therefore, the laser-induced damage of dual ion beam sputtering coatings is mainly divided into two processes, as shown in the Fig. 6. The first step is the defect absorbing laser energy to induce damage, as can be seen in Fig. 6(a). In nanosecond laser damage realm, the distribution of defects is random, and the laser intensity is Gaussian, so the laser intensity of the defect location is random, and the size of the damage pit is also random. In the defect-induced damage process, damage morphology is also correlated with the thermomechanical parameters of the coatings, the type of defect, number of defects in the spot range, and the distribution depth of defect. In the interaction with the laser, the defect absorbs heat, and the local coatings is melted and gasified, resulting in initial damage to the film. Deeper defects require more layers to be destroyed, and the thermal coupling time is longer and the scale of the laser damage is larger.

The second step is the damage of ionized air waves, as shown in the Fig. 6(b), which only occurs at relatively high energies. Air is a wide band gap dielectric, which is basically transparent to the laser, and basically does not absorb laser energy. In the first step, the broken pieces and residual bonds become the precursor of the ionized air, providing the initial seed electrons. Electron avalanche occurs during laser irradiation, resulting in severe ionization of air and formation of plasma. Plasma almost completely absorbs laser energy. The heated gas expands to form a spherical shock wave in all directions, and the air is heated to dozens of eV. The ionization front expands, forming a temperature gradient, and then the film undergoes stress peeling off.

| Materials | $E$ (GPa) | $\nu$ | $\alpha_t(1/K) \times 10^6$ |
|-----------|----------|------|-------------------|
| SiO₂      | 73       | 0.17 | 0.55              |
| Al₂O₃     | 300      | 0.21 | 8.1               |
| Ta₂O₅     | 140      | 0.23 | 3.6               |
| Nb₂O₅     | 60       | 0.20 | 5.8               |

Table 2. Mechanical parameters of four types of different coating layers.
Conclusion

The phenomenon of peeling damage of the dual ion beam sputtering coatings was found and explained. A two-step laser damage model is proposed, including defect-induced damage process and ionized air wave damage process. At relatively high energies (higher than 20 J/cm²), ionization of the air can be initiated, leading to a peeling-off effect. The peeling effect is correlated with the thermomechanical properties of the coatings materials. For coatings with large stress differences, the peeling-off effect is more serious. This article is helpful for the analysis of the damage process of the dual ion beam sputtering coatings, which can help improve the ability to resist laser damage from a process and design perspective.
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