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ABSTRACT

Context. A large fraction of stars are found in binary systems. It is therefore important for our understanding of the star formation process, to investigate the fragmentation of dense molecular cores.

Aims. We study the influence of the magnetic field, ideally coupled to the gas, on the fragmentation in multiple systems of collapsing cores.

Methods. We present high resolution numerical simulations performed with the RAMSES MHD code starting with a uniform sphere in solid body rotation and a uniform magnetic field parallel to the rotation axis. We pay particular attention to the strength of the magnetic field and interpret the results using the analysis presented in a companion paper.

Results. The results depend much on the amplitude, $A$, of the perturbations seeded initially. For a low amplitude, $A = 0.1$, we find that for values of the mass-to-flux over critical mass-to-flux ratio, $\mu$, as high as $\mu = 20$, the centrifugally supported disk which fragments in the hydrodynamical case, is stabilized and remains axisymmetric. Detailed investigations reveals that this is due to the rapid growth of the toroidal magnetic field induced by the differential motions within the disk. For values of $\mu$ smaller $\approx 5$, corresponding to larger magnetic intensities, there is no centrifugally supported disk because of magnetic braking. When the amplitude of the perturbation is equal to $A = 0.5$, each initial peak develops independently and the core fragments for a large range of $\mu$. Only for values of $\mu$ close to 1 is the magnetic field able to prevent the fragmentation.

Conclusions. Since a large fraction of stars are binaries, the results of low magnetic intensities preventing the fragmentation in case of weak perturbations, is problematic. We discuss three possible mechanisms which could lead to the formation of binary systems namely the presence of large amplitude fluctuations in the core initially, the ambipolar diffusion and the fragmentation during the second collapse.
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1. Introduction

Understanding the fragmentation of collapsing prestellar dense cores, is of great importance to our understanding of the star formation process. In particular, determining the number of fragments, their masses and their orbital characteristics are fundamental and rather challenging problems. Most of stars are found in binary or multiple systems (Duquenoy & Mayor 1991). Many studies have investigated this issue in the context of hydrodynamical calculations (e.g. Miyama 1992, Boss 1993, Bonnell 1994, Truelove et al. 1998, Bate & Burkert 1997, Bodenheimer et al. 2000, Matsumoto & Hanawa 2003, Hennebelle et al. 2004, Goodwin et al. 2004, Banerjee et al. 2004). Although detailed conclusions appear to be very sensitive to the initial conditions (i.e. core shape, thermal, rotational and turbulent energy as well as to the equations of state), some trends can nevertheless be inferred. It is widely accepted that, under realistic initial conditions, a collapsing dense core fragments into a few objects, the exact number depending on the specific conditions. Therefore, fragmentation of a rotating collapsing dense core appears to be the most widely accepted mechanisms to explain the formation of multiple systems. Indeed, it is today the only viable mechanism since other possibilities such as fission of a rotating protostar or capture of a companion, fail in realistic conditions to produce a large fraction of binaries (Bodenheimer et al. 2000).

Due to the difficulty of the problem and despite its importance, the question of the role of the magnetic field in this process has remained little addressed. With the recent progress achieved in numerical techniques as well as the
increasing computing power, several studies have recently investigated this issue. Hosking & Whitworth (2003) using an SPH two fluids code, conclude that magnetically subcritical cores do not fragment. Machida et al. (2005) using a nested grid code performed an extensive number of calculations varying the initial core rotation and magnetic field. They find that fragmentation is possible if the rotation is sufficiently large and the magnetic field strength sufficiently small. Fragmentation of a collapsing magnetized cloud using adaptive mesh refinement techniques have also been studied by Ziegler (2005), Banerjee & Pudritz (2006) and Fromang et al. (2006) which all find that the magnetic field has a strong influence. Price & Bate (2007), using magnetized SPH techniques which ensure the nullity of the divergence of the magnetic field, find that with large initial perturbations, even for large values of the magnetic strength, the fragmentation is possible. In all these studies, it has been found that the magnetic field has a strong impact on the fragmentation of the collapsing dense core.

In this paper, we study further the fragmentation of collapsing cores, focusing mainly on the influence of the magnetic field strength and the amplitude of the initial perturbation. Using the analysis developed in the companion paper by Hennebelle & Fromang (2007), thereafter paper I, we try to understand, when possible quantitatively, the physical reasons for the various numerical results. As in paper I, we consider initially a uniform density cloud in solid body rotation, threaded by a uniform magnetic field. At this stage, we restrict the problem to the case where magnetic field and rotation axis are aligned. We note that Price & Bate (2007) have investigated the influence of initially perpendicular rotation axis and magnetic field. More realistic initial conditions, including non uniform density field, turbulent velocity field and rotation axis non aligned with the magnetic field, will be considered in future studies. In particular, we determine the lowest value of \( \mu \), the mass-to-flux over critical mass-to-flux ratio for which fragmentation is suppressed. It turns out that the results depend strongly on the amplitude of the initial perturbation.

The paper is organized as follow. In the first section, we consider the same initial conditions as used in paper I, namely a spherical uniform one solar mass dense core. The thermal over gravitational energy of the core is equal to \( \gtrsim 0.37 \) and the rotation over gravitational energy is 0.045. Note that such rotation are typical of (may be slightly larger than) values observed in dense cores (Goodman et al. 1993). The initial density is about \( \approx 5 \times 10^{-18} \, \text{g cm}^{-3} \) and the cloud radius, \( R_0 \approx 0.016 \, \text{pc} \). The freefall time is thus of the order of \( 3 \times 10^4 \) years. We use a barotropic equation of state: \( C_s^2 = (C_0^0)^2 \times (1 + (\rho/\rho_c)^{3/2})^{1/2} \), where \( C_s \approx 0.2 \, \text{km/s} \) is the sound speed and \( \rho_c = 10^{-13} \, \text{g cm}^{-3} \). This set of cloud parameters is known to give rise to a disk that unambiguously fragments in the pure hydrodynamics case. The only difference with paper I is that an \( m = 2 \) perturbation of amplitude \( A = 0.1 \) in the density field, \( \rho(r, \theta, z) = \rho_0 \times (1 + A \cos(m \theta)) \), as well as in the magnetic field, \( B_z \), is added. In the second section, we further discuss the physical interpretation of the results obtained in our simulations and we estimate analytically the critical value of \( \mu \) for which it is expected that the magnetic field stabilizes the disk. In the third section, we consider initial density perturbations of amplitude 0.5 and show that in this case, fragmentation can be obtained for a much lower value of \( \mu \).
wider range of $\mu$. The fourth section provides a discussion on possible mechanisms leading to disk fragmentation and the formation of binaries, even in the presence of magnetic fields. The sixth section concludes the paper.

2. Weak initial perturbations

We investigate the fragmentation in the case where the amplitude of the perturbations is initially $A = 0.1$. In this case it is found that without rotation no fragmentation occurs. Therefore, we call this type of initial condition, rotationally driven fragmentation. We next consider various values of the magnetic mass-to-flux over critical mass-to-flux ratio, namely $\mu = 1000$ (quasi hydrodynamical case), $\mu = 50, 20, 5, 2$ and 1.25. Recalling that $\mu = 1$ corresponds to the case of a cloud supported by the magnetic field, the last value corresponds to a strongly magnetized supercritical cloud.

In the following, we display the inner part of the collapsing cloud. The size of each plot is about $\sim R_0/10$. This corresponds to a physical size of $1.6 \times 10^{-3}$ pc or about $\sim 300$ AU.
2.1. Hydrodynamic case: fragmentation

We present the hydrodynamical case ($\mu = 1000$). Figure 1 shows three snapshots. Equatorial density and velocity field are displayed. The first snapshot is taken shortly after the formation of the first Larson core. A rotationally supported structure has formed and since it is very unstable, a strong spiral pattern is developing. This is very similar to the results obtained by other authors (e.g. Matsumoto & Hanawa 2003, Hennebelle et al. 2004, Goodwin et al. 2004). The next snapshot shows that the central bar-like structure fragments into two objects whereas the spiral pattern keeps growing and expanding due to further accretion of mass and momentum. The last snapshot shows that the centrifugally supported structure continues fragmenting and that 5 fragments have developed. Altogether, this is very similar to the results reported by many authors investigating the fragmentation of hydrodynamical rotating cores. We note that in spite of the strongly non-linear evolution, the result remains remarkably symmetric due to proper resolution of the Jeans length (10 numerical cells per Jeans length).

2.2. Weak magnetic field cases: suppression of fragmentation

We present results for the weak field cases, $\mu = 50$ and $\mu = 20$. Figure 2 shows results for $\mu = 50$. Due to the difference in the initial magnetic strengths, it is not possible to compare the simulations at exactly the same times. The first snapshot shows a spiral pattern which is similar to the one seen in the previous case. A difference however, is that the central bar-like structure appears to be much shorter. This is likely due to the central magnetic field which is strongly amplified by the rapid twisting of field lines. As a result, the cloud first fragments into three fragments instead of two. This is due to the fact that since the central bar-like structure does not fragment in two objects as in the previous case, more material and angular momentum is available in its vicinity to produce one object on each side. The next snapshots show that a symmetry breaking occurs due to one of the two satellites having merged with the central more massive fragment. The structure in the outer part ($x > 0.03R_0$) is still quite symmetric. Further fragments are forming in the outer part of the spiral pattern at $x = \pm 0.05R_0$.

From these results, one concludes that even for values of $\mu$ as large as 50, the magnetic field has a significant impact on the evolution of the centrifugally supported inner structure particularly its fragmentation. This is due to the strong amplification of the toroidal and radial magnetic field generated by the differential motions in the collapsing core and in the centrifugally supported structure (see paper I and following sections).

Figure 3 shows three snapshots for $\mu = 20$. The first snapshot shows a weak spiral pattern in the inner part. The second and third panels shows that the centrifugally supported structure grows as in the previous cases but it remains much more uniform and the spiral pattern is much less pronounced. As shown in paper I, the angular momentum profile is similar to the hydrodynamical case since the magnetic braking is very weak during the collapse phase. Therefore, the differences with the case $\mu = 50$, is not smaller angular momentum but rather a stronger magnetic field. Indeed, with a magnetic toroidal component, the velocity of the fast MHD wave, which can be loosely seen as an effective sound speed, is: $\sqrt{C_s^2 + V_a^2}$ where $V_a^2 = B_0^2/4\pi \rho$ (see section 3). Therefore, the disk is stabilized against gravitational fragmentation. As a result, no fragmentation is obtained, only one central star forms and grows by accretion. We stress that the value of the magnetic field corresponding to $\mu = 20$, is very modest, far below the values inferred from observations which indicates that $\mu = 1 - 2$ (Crutcher 1999).

Finally, to explore whether the suppression of the fragmentation depends on initial conditions, such as the values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ (the ratios of thermal and rotational over gravitational energies), we have performed runs with different $\alpha$ and $\beta$ values. First, we explore the influence of stronger and weaker rotation choosing $\beta = 0.2$ and $\beta = 0.02$ respectively keeping the value of $\alpha$ constant. The behaviour of
of these runs is very similar to the one with $\beta = 0.045$ presented here. A large disk forms but it remains well axisymmetric and does not fragment. We have also explored the effect of a smaller thermal energy, taking $\alpha = 0.2$ and keeping $\beta = 0.045$. The disk is a little more axisymmetric than in the case $\alpha = 0.37$ but still does not fragment either.

We conclude that, for small initial density perturbations, a magnetized dense core ideally coupled to the magnetic field and having $\mu = 20$ does not fragment for a large range of initial conditions.

It is worth to compare with the study of Machida et al. (2005) although it is not straightforward because their initial conditions consist in a filament and therefore are different from ours. Also they used different definitions to quantify the amount of rotation and magnetic energies in their simulations. Comparison with their Fig. 10 is worthwhile nonetheless. Their parameter $\omega$ turns out to be equal to $\sqrt{\beta}$. However, their initial state has a peak density of about $10^4$ g cm$^{-3}$ which is less dense than ours by a factor of roughly 100. Since the ratio of rotational over gravitational energies increases during the collapse, we can say that our $\beta = 0.045$ correspond to $\omega < 0.2$ in Machida et al. study for a homologous contraction $\beta \propto 1/r \propto \rho^{-1/3}$, this would indicate that $\beta$ should be divided by roughly $\simeq 4 - 5$ to be compared with Machida et al.’s results). For values of $\omega < 0.2$, they found that for $B_z/\sqrt{8\pi C_s^2 \rho_s} > 0.1$, they have no fragmentation. Comparing this value with our parameters is again not straightforward but $B_z/\sqrt{8\pi C_s^2 \rho_s}$ is about $\simeq 1/\sqrt{\alpha\mu}$. For $\alpha = 0.37$ and $\mu = 20$, $1/\sqrt{\alpha\mu} \simeq 0.1$. Therefore, our results broadly agrees with the results of Machida et al. (2005).

Comparison with the study of Price & Bate (2007) is not possible at this stage, since they use a barotropic equation of state which becomes adiabatic at $10^{-14}$ g cm$^{-3}$. Thus, even the hydrodynamical calculations they present does not fragment when the perturbation is weak (their figure 3).

### 2.3. Intermediate magnetic intensity: formation of pseudo-disks

We present results for the intermediate magnetized cases, namely $\mu = 5$ and $\mu = 2$. Figure [1] shows two snapshots for $\mu = 5$. As shown in paper I, no centrifugally supported structure forms instead a magnetized pseudo-disk develops. Pseudo-disks arise when disk-like structures form, say oblate ellipsoids, which are not supported by rotation but rather by magnetic support. According to the analysis presented in paper I, the angular momentum is lower for these values of $\mu$ primarily because the collapse occurs first along the field lines. Thus, the material within the pseudo-disk and central object was initially located along the pole and has less angular momentum. Some magnetic braking also occurs, reducing the angular momentum further. As a consequence, no centrifugally supported disk is observed and no fragmentation is occurring.

Figure [5] shows two snapshots for $\mu = 2$. The first panel shows that a filamentary structure has developed after $t = 1.51$ freefall times. We believe that this filament is due to the non-linear evolution of the initial $m = 2$ perturbation. The reason why it has a shape different than in the previous case is that since the cloud is more supported by the magnetic field, the collapse lasts 30% longer. Therefore the perturbation has more time to develop and to become non-linear. Indeed, if no perturbation is initially included, an axisymmetric pseudo-disk develops.

#### 2.4. Nearly critical core

We now consider the case of a very magnetized supercritical core having $\mu = 1.25$. As expected the collapsing time is now longer and roughly equal to two freefall times. As revealed by the two panels of Fig. [5] the collapsing dense core remains almost axisymmetric in spite of the initial $m = 2$ density perturbations. This is due to the strong magnetic support which prevents the development of the perturbation. Indeed, since the cloud is nearly supported by the magnetic field, the magnetic Jeans Mass is just slightly smaller than the cloud mass. Therefore, each peak of the $m = 2$ density perturbation is gravitationally stable. Moreover, unlike the thermal support, the magnetic support does not decrease during the collapse since the ratio of magnetic over gravitational energy stays roughly constant. Therefore the magnetic Jeans mass stays roughly constant, unlike the thermal Jeans mass.

### 3. Physical interpretation

One of the important conclusions reached in the previous section is that fragmentation can be suppressed even for large values of $\mu$, i.e. weak magnetic fields. Here we discuss the physical reason for the disk stabilization arising at low magnetic strength. We stress that since the angular momentum is nearly identical for $\mu = 20$ to the hydrodynamical case (see paper I), the reason of this stabilization is not magnetic braking.

#### 3.1. Influence of the magnetic field on the disk stability

The influence of the magnetic field on the stability of a self-gravitating disk, has first been investigated by Lynden-Bell (1966) for a uniform rotation. The dispersion relation he obtained (see his Eq. 1) entails an effective sound speed $\sqrt{C_s^2 + v_t^2}$ showing that the magnetic pressure term has a stabilizing influence. However, there is also a destabilizing contribution due to the magnetic tension term. As a result, such configurations are unstable.

Elmegreen (1987) and Gammie (1996) both consider the influence of the magnetic field on the stability of a differentially rotating system. In that case, the shear drives the growth of a toroidal magnetic component which stabilizes the disk. They both conclude that whereas in the
absence of shear the magnetic field is strongly destabilizing, it has a stabilizing influence when significant shear is present. More precisely, Gammie (1996) computes the response of the disk to nonaxisymmetric perturbations for various value of $Q$, the Toomre parameter. He shows that the response is much weaker when a substantial magnetic field is present and concludes that the stabilizing effect of the field becomes significant once the magnetic pressure is comparable to the gas pressure. This is qualitatively in good agreement with our results. In particular, we see that the disk in case $\mu = 20$ remains much more axisymmetric than in the case $\mu = 1000$ and $\mu = 50$.

In order to quantitatively verify that this mechanism is responsible of the disk stabilization, we have computed the Alfvén speed associated with the azimuthal component of the magnetic field, $B_\theta/\sqrt{4\pi \rho}$, within the disk at various times corresponding to the period during which fragmentation is taking place. Since both $B_\theta$ and $\rho$ vary, we have integrated at every radius along the z-axis through the disk. As explained in paper I, as soon as the azimuthal component of the magnetic field exceeds the ram pressure of infalling material, a magnetic tower builds up and the disk starts expanding. In this case, the disk boundaries are not clearly defined. We adopt here a density threshold to define the disk and stop the integration when $\rho < 10^{-14}$ g cm$^{-3}$. We calculate $<V_a^2> = \int B_\theta^2/4\pi \rho \times \rho dz/\int \rho dz$, which allows us to estimate $\sqrt{1+V_a^2/C_s^2}$ within the disk. Figure 7 shows $\sqrt{1+V_a^2/C_s^2}$ for $\mu = 1000$, 50 and 20. As can be seen, for the case $\mu = 1000$, the quantity $\sqrt{1+<V_a^2>/C_s^2}$ remains smaller than 1.2 at all the times displayed. Figure 1 shows that by this time, fragmentation has already occurred. The second and third panel of Fig. 7 show that $\sqrt{1+<V_a^2>/C_s^2}$ grows much more rapidly for smaller $\mu$ reaching values greater than 2. The growth is more rapid for $\mu = 20$ than it is for $\mu = 50$. We conclude that the growth of the toroidal component induced by the differential rotation, is mainly responsible, for disk stabilization.

For simplicity, the works mentioned above, have restricted the analysis to a thin disk. However, the expansion of the magnetic tower triggered by the growth of the toroidal magnetic field, removes some material from the disk and therefore reduces the disk surface density. This makes the disk even less prone to fragment. This effect which is not taken into account in the thin disk analysis, certainly contributes to further stabilize the centrifugally supported structure against fragmentation. Indeed, the mass of the disk and the mass within the tower, turn out to be roughly comparable.
interested in computing the growth of the magnetic field from initially small values, we neglect the influence of the magnetic tower on the disk vertical expansion and write: 

\[ h \simeq \sqrt{C_s^2/(4\pi G \rho)} \]

With Eq. (1) of paper I, we get \( h \simeq r/v_d \), where \( d \) is the ratio of the density over singular isothermal density.

If we assume that the disk is roughly Keplerian, we get \( V_0 \simeq \sqrt{GM_s/r} \), \( M_s \) being the mass of the star and the disk. Taking the expression of \( B_z \) stated by Eqs. (1) of paper I, we obtain:

\[ B_0 \simeq t \times \sqrt{2dH_z\sqrt{M_sC_s^2}} \]

The value of \( \tau_{\text{mag}} \), is obtained by requiring \( (B_0/\sqrt{4\pi \rho})/C_s \simeq 1 \). Using the expression of density stated by Eq. (1) of paper I, we obtain:

\[ \tau_{\text{mag}} \simeq r^{3/2}/H_z\sqrt{GM_s} \]

The dynamical time of the disk, i.e. the time relevant for fragmentation, is the rotation time:

\[ \tau_{\text{dyn}} \simeq 2\pi r^{3/2}/\sqrt{GM_s} \]

Therefore, we obtain:

\[ \frac{\tau_{\text{mag}}}{\tau_{\text{dyn}}} \simeq 1/2\pi H_z \]

To compute the value of \( H_z \), one can use Table 1 of paper I, but it is more convenient to express the result in terms of the initial cloud parameters namely \( \mu \) and \( \alpha \). The latter is the ratio of thermal over gravitational energy and is given by \( \alpha = 5/2C_s^2 R_0/(MG) \). From Eq. 4 of paper I, the value of \( H_z \) is given by \( 2\pi B_0^2 R_0/(2C_s^2) \), whereas from the definition of \( \mu \), \( B_0^2 \) can be written as \( M_0/(\pi R_0^2)\sqrt{G} \sqrt{9\pi^2/5}/(0.53\mu) \). Gathering the different expressions, we get:

\[ \frac{\tau_{\text{mag}}}{\tau_{\text{dyn}}} \simeq \sqrt{2}/3\sqrt{5\pi} \times \mu \alpha \]

We can deduce the critical value of \( \mu \)

\[ \mu_c \simeq \frac{15}{\alpha} \]

Note that this value is approximate and should be valid within a factor of a few.

For \( \alpha \simeq 0.37 \), we obtain \( \mu_c \simeq 40 \). This analytical estimate is therefore in reasonable agreement with our numerical simulation, since for \( \mu = 20 \), fragmentation is suppressed. In this case, the toroidal magnetic pressure becomes comparable to the sound speed in about half a rotation period. Since typically fragmentation is occurring over a few rotation period, such a fast growth time appears to be sufficient to prevent the disk from fragmenting. On the other hand for \( \mu = 50 \) the disk is fragmenting, confirming that this case is slightly above the critical value.

For \( \mu = 1000 \), one has \( \tau_{\text{mag}}/\tau_{\text{dyn}} \simeq 20 \). Therefore in such a case, the growth of the toroidal component is too slow to significantly influence the disk evolution.

Fig. 7. \( \sqrt{1 + V_0^2/C_s^2} \) within the disk.

### 3.2. Analytical estimate of the critical value of \( \mu \)

We estimate analytically the value of \( \mu \) at which one expects to find a significant influence of the magnetic field on the fragmentation. Following Gammie (1996), we will consider that a strong stabilizing influence is achieved when the Alfvén speed associated to the toroidal component is comparable to the sound speed.

The principle of the analysis is as follow: we compute the growth rate of the toroidal component inside the centrifugally supported structure, so that we can estimate the time, \( \tau_{\text{mag}} \), needed for the Alfvén speed to become comparable to the sound speed. We then compute the dynamical time of the disk, \( \tau_{\text{dyn}} \), over which fragmentation will occur. The critical value of \( \mu \), below which fragmentation is quenched, is obtained when \( \tau_{\text{dyn}} \) is equal to \( \tau_{\text{mag}} \).

The growth time of the toroidal magnetic component within the disk has two contributions. First the twisting of the radial component due to differential rotation proportional to \( V_0 B_r/r \) and second the wrapping of the vertical magnetic component due to the vertical gradient of \( B_z \) proportional to \( V_0 B_z/z \), where \( z \) is the disk height. The divergence constraint shows that \( B_r/r \simeq B_z/z \), indicating that the 2 contributions are comparable.

Thus, the growth of \( B_0 \) can be estimated by writing \( \partial_t B_0 \simeq B_z V_0/h \) where \( h \) is the disk height. Since we...
4. Strong initial perturbations

In this section, we investigate the effect of a density fluctuation having a larger initial amplitude, $A = 0.5$. In that case the cloud is more prone to fragment because the perturbations develop quickly. Such strong perturbations can be due either to initial conditions or to strong external triggering. Although we are keeping in this section the same amount of rotation as in the previous section, we also performed simulations with the same initial conditions but with no rotation and found similar results during the early phase of fragmentation as in the case with rotation. This indicates that with such strong perturbations, the initial cloud fragmentation is purely thermal and independent of the rotation. We refer to this situation as thermal fragmentation.

4.1. Thermal fragmentation

We present results for weak and intermediate values of magnetic strengths, namely $\mu = 20$ and $\mu = 2$. Figure 8 shows results for $\mu = 20$. The first panel shows that a filamentary structure develops in which two protostar have formed. The two protostars are well separated by roughly $\simeq 0.15 \times R_0$ as opposed to $\simeq 0.01 \times R_0$ in the case of weaker perturbations. As explained previously, the fragmentation in this case has a purely thermal origin and is simply due to the development of the initial perturbations (in the case of weak perturbations, rotationally driven fragmentation does not strongly depend on the perturbation amplitude). The second panel shows that the two fragments are approaching each other falling along the filament. The final panel shows that the two fragments have merged and that a protostellar disk forms. At this point, however, it is necessary to remember that the second collapse is not treated in the simulation and that only the first Larson core is considered. The first Larson core is considered.
Fig. 10. Same as Fig. 1 for $\mu = 1.25$ and $A = 0.5$.

4.2. Strong field: suppression of thermal fragmentation

Figure 10 shows results for $\mu = 2$. Both panels show that two fragments develop in a similar way as for $\mu = 20$. Unfortunately, the relative strong value of the magnetic intensity, makes the time steps much shorter than in the previous case and it becomes computationally expensive to follow the long range evolution. It seems however likely that a similar evolution is expected leading to the merging and the two first cores.

We conclude that, with large amplitude density fluctuations, a collapsing dense core fragments over a large range of initial conditions as long as it is not too strongly magnetized. The fragments are due to each initial seed collapsing individually. The question of the survival of the fragments should however be carefully investigated. We note that Price & Bate (2007) reach very similar conclusions. They also propose that in some cases, as when the magnetic field is perpendicular to the initial rotation axis, the magnetic field may help the fragment to survive.

5. Discussion

Since there is no question that a large fraction of stars are binaries, the efficient stabilization of the disk and the suppression of fragmentation even for modest field amplitudes may constitute a severe difficulty. We have no answer to this apparent conundrum but we discuss three possible mechanisms which may induce the fragmentation of the dense cores and the formation of binaries. We also suggest various observational tests which could discriminate between these scenarios.

5.1. Large initial density fluctuations

We start with the possibility of having sufficiently large fluctuations initially. As demonstrated in this paper and in Price & Bate (2007), fragmentation is possible in such a situation. The question is then how likely are large initial perturbations? Observationally the question is not easy to address. It is nevertheless known that the dense cores usually are not very uniform and that typically sonic velocity dispersion is observed. This is broadly compatible with the presence of density perturbations although maybe not as large as 50%. On the other hand, cores with a high aspect ratio are observed. If some of them are elongated, i.e. prolate objects, this may be equivalent to a substantial $m = 2$ perturbation. Indeed, simulations of quiescent cores having transonic turbulence, present significant fluctuations (Goodwin et al. 2004). It seems however difficult at this stage to infer quantitatively whether the perturbations generated by this weak turbulence are sufficient to produce multiple systems.
Another possibility is that the collapse may be induced by an external agent such as supernova remnant or protostellar jet. These are likely to generate large perturbations. Indeed, all simulations considering clouds evolving far from equilibrium (Bate et al. 2003, Ballesteros-Paredes et al. 2003, Hennebelle et al. 2006, Peretto et al. 2007) do find dense cores with initially strong perturbations.

Future works should specify under which conditions such initial fluctuations are likely to be produced. This may depend on the physical properties of the molecular cloud in which the dense core is embedded. For example, the answer could be different in the Taurus molecular cloud in which star formation is relatively quiescent and in the Orion molecular cloud where star formation is more active.

We stress that this mechanism constitutes a change of paradigm with respect to the standard hydrodynamical scenario (corresponding to Fig. 1). Indeed this mechanism implies that the fragmentation is the result of perturbations seeded at large scales rather than an intrinsic properties of the collapsing dense core.

An important prediction of this model is that the binary should not necessarily located in the equatorial plane of the core since the initial perturbations should likely be randomly distributed.

5.2. Ambipolar diffusion

In the present calculations, perfect coupling between gas and magnetic field is assumed. The loss of flux due to ambipolar diffusion could therefore possibly help to reduce the magnetic intensity. The ratio of the ambipolar diffusion time, $\tau_{ad}$, and the freefall time, $\tau_{ff}$, has been computed by Shu et al. (1987). When the magnetic field is just sufficient to compensate gravity, they obtain $\tau_{ad}/\tau_{ff} \simeq 8$, indicating that the ambipolar diffusion time is larger than the freefall time. When the magnetic field is weaker, the ambipolar time increases further. Therefore, it appears unlikely that magnetic field could be removed from the envelope of a supercritical collapsing core.

However, the possibility remains that ambipolar diffusion could occur in the disk allowing the toroidal magnetic field to be diffused out. To investigate this issue, we estimate the ratio of ambipolar diffusion time in the disk along the vertical direction and the growth time of the toroidal component given by Eq. (9). Here we write it as

$$\tau_{mag} \simeq \frac{\sqrt{4\pi \rho h C_s}}{B_0 V_0}. \quad (7)$$

The ambipolar diffusion time of the toroidal component along the vertical direction is:

$$\tau_{ad} \simeq \frac{\gamma \rho_i h^2}{B_0^2}. \quad (8)$$

where $\gamma = 3.5 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ is the drag coefficient and $\rho_i$ the density of ions. Since we are interested in the value of $B_0$ such that $B_0/\sqrt{4\pi \rho} \simeq C_s$, the ambipolar diffusion time becomes:

$$\tau_{ad} \simeq \frac{\gamma \rho_i h^2}{4\pi C_s^2}. \quad (9)$$

Following Shu et al. (1987), we write $\rho_i = C \sqrt{\rho}$ where $C = 3 \times 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^{-3/2} \text{ g}^{1/2}$. With $h \simeq r/\sqrt{2d}$, $V_0 = \sqrt{\rho G/r}$ and $B_0 = H_0 C_2^2/\sqrt{G}$, we obtain

$$\tau_{ad}/\tau_{mag} = \frac{\gamma C}{(4\pi)^{3/2} C_s} \sqrt{\frac{M_s}{r}} \frac{H_z}{\sqrt{2d}}. \quad (10)$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\tau_{ad}/\tau_{mag} = 3 \times \sqrt{\frac{M_s}{0.1M\odot}} \sqrt{\frac{100\text{AU}}{r}} \frac{H_z}{\sqrt{d}}. \quad (11)$$

For $\mu = 20$, $H_z \simeq 0.4$. From Fig. 2 of paper I, $d \simeq 10$. Thus, the ambipolar diffusion time is comparable to the growth time of the toroidal component. It is therefore likely ambipolar diffusion will change the picture slightly. It may help clouds with low $\mu$ to fragment more easily. We do not expect it however, to shift very significantly the value of $\mu$ for which fragmentation is occurring. Since $H_z$ is proportional to $1/\mu$, $\tau_{ad}/\tau_{mag}$ increases rapidly when $\mu$ decreases.

5.3. Fragmentation during the second collapse

Fragmentation during the second collapse has been investigated by Bonnell & Bate (1994) with the prospect of exploring whether the formation of close binary systems could be possible. They conclude that the fragmentation is indeed possible but that the binaries have to accrete most of their mass since they are initially very small.

On the other hand, Machida et al. (2007a) recently explored the magnetized second collapse taking into account the large Ohmic dissipation which is predicted to occur in the first Larson core by various models (e.g. Nakano et al. 2002). As a result, most of the magnetic flux is lost making the fragmentation easier to proceed. Indeed, the very recent study of Machida et al. (2007b) shows that fragmentation during second collapse is not only possible but a promising mechanism. Interestingly, Banerjee & Pudritz (2006) also reports the formation of a very close binary in their second collapse calculations despite the ideal mhd assumption. It therefore appears possible that fragmentation could occur during this phase possibly driven by rotation. In this case, the binary should gain sufficient angular momentum from the accretion to increase the separation between the two stars (see e.g. Goodwin et al. 2004).

The prediction of this model is that the binaries should be in the equatorial plane since the orbital angular momentum of the binary is due to the angular momentum of the accreting gas. Also the separation between the 2 stars should increase with time implying that closer binaries should be observed on average into younger cores. Note that this mechanism could possibly work even if the magnetic field is initially very strong in the core.
In order to test each of these scenarios, high resolution observations would be necessary. In this respect, ALMA will certainly be a very powerful tool as demonstrated by the synthetic observations performed by André et al. (2007) using the present calculations.

6. Conclusion

In this paper we have studied the fragmentation of a collapsing magnetized molecular dense core by performing a set of numerical simulations. We interpret the results with the analysis performed in the companion paper in which we investigate the accretion and ejection processes that take place in the simulations.

With our choice of initial conditions, and for perturbations of low amplitude, unmagnetized cores fragment, while magnetized cores having values of $\mu$ as large as 20 do not fragment. Based on an analytic estimate, we suggest that for cores having $\mu$ larger than $\simeq 15/\alpha$, the growth rate of the toroidal component is too slow to stabilize the disk. We stress that the suppression of fragmentation in this range of parameters, is not due to magnetic braking but to the rapid growth of the toroidal component of the magnetic field induced by the differential rotation within the disk. The Alfvén speed associated to this toroidal component adds up to the sound speed of the disk and stabilize it.

For values of $\mu$ smaller than 5, no big centrifugal disk forms because first the collapse occurs mainly along the field lines bringing less angular momentum and second magnetic braking removes angular momentum. This makes these cores even less prone to fragment.

The situation is different if large amplitude perturbations are initially seeded. In this case, each perturbation develops independently even without rotation. Since the strong field amplification is primarily due to differential motions in the disk, the magnetic field is unable to suppress fragmentation except if the core is almost critical, i.e. the field is initially very strong. The following evolution of these fragments requires a careful treatment of the thermodynamics of the first Larson core. It is indeed likely that if the second collapse has not occurred by the time where these fragments approach each other, they are going to merge. On the other hand, if the protostars already formed, the binary system is likely to survive.

For dense cores having rotation and magnetic strength typical of values inferred from observations, we find that fragmentation is suppressed by the magnetic field if the initial density perturbations are too small. This constitutes a severe problem, since there is no question that a significant fraction of stars are binaries. In view of this, we discuss the likelihood of having sufficient perturbations within the cores initially, the impact of ambipolar diffusion and the possibility of fragmenting during the second collapse phase. We speculate that the first may depend on the physical characteristic of the molecular cloud in which the dense core is embedded whereas the last should be relatively independent of the large scales and could work even if the magnetic field is initially very strong.
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