Stigmatization and self-perception in children with atopic dermatitis

Pavel V Chernyshov
Department of Dermatology and Venereology, National Medical University, Kiev, Ukraine

Abstract: Atopic dermatitis (AD) is one of the most common skin diseases. Prevalence of AD is highest in childhood. Because of chronicity and often visible lesions, AD may lead to stigmatization and problems with self-perception. However, problems of self-perception and stigmatization in AD children are poorly studied. Literature data on general tendencies of children's development, clinical course, and epidemiologic tendencies of AD in different age groups make it possible to highlight three main periods in the formation of self-perception and stigmatization. The first period is from early infancy till 3 years of age. The child's problems in this period depend on parental exhaustion, emotional distress, and security of the mother–child attachment. The child's AD may form a kind of vicious circle in which severe AD causes parental distress and exhaustion that in turn lead to exacerbation of AD and psychological problems in children. The second period is from 3 till 10 years of age. During this period, development of AD children may be influenced by teasing, bullying, and avoiding by their peers. However, the majority of children in this age group are very optimistic. The third period is from 10 years till adulthood. Problems related to low self-esteem are characteristic during this period. It is important to identify children with AD and their parents who need psychological help and provide them with needs-based consultation and care. Appropriate treatment, medical consultations, and educational programs may help to reduce emotional problems in AD children and their parents.
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Introduction
Atopic dermatitis (AD) is one of the most common skin diseases that affects up to one-fifth of children. AD is often the first step in the development of other atopic diseases. The European Task Force on AD defines atopy as the familial tendency to develop Th2 responses against common environmental antigens, which involves both immunoglobulin E-associated, extrinsic, and nonimmunoglobulin E-associated, intrinsic, subtypes. AD has a strong genetic and immunologic background characterized by exacerbations and remissions that need multidisciplinary and varied treatment strategies. Majority of cases with AD can be regarded as “mild”, with 10%–20% of patients suffering from severe skin lesions; this percentage seems to be higher in the adult AD population.1–7 Majority of AD patients have a positive family history of atopic diseases.8 AD is a common condition in first years of life but disappears in almost a half of children by 3 years of age.9
The marked increase of AD in “developed” countries since the second half of the 20th century caused significant socioeconomic impact. Nowadays, AD has a significant direct and indirect financial burden for health care systems and the patients’ families. A substantial number of AD patients had abstained from a specific education or a job due to AD. AD has a great influence on quality of life (QoL) because of its chronic character and the not-easy-to-resist pruritus, and consequently sleeplessness and family impact may lead to parental anxiety.

Clinical manifestations of many skin diseases are potentially discriminating. Individuals with lesions on highly visible sites of the skin are at a higher risk of discrimination. Disease-related stigmatization is a common problem in children with skin conditions and should not be ignored.

Stigmatization (in dermatology) – defined as a process in which skin appearance is negatively judged and persons who are affected experience absence of acceptance because of their visible symptoms – may have profound consequences in the life of patients, such as increased anxiety or social avoidance.

It was shown that negative children’s attitudes toward individuals with stigma are present in children as young as 3 years of age. Thus, it is possible to hypothesize that stigmatization and negative changes of self-perception in children with AD may start after this age. However, results of published studies in this field showed that even in the youngest age group of children, affected relationship within the mother–child dyad may lead to problems with stigmatization and self-perception in future life. A meta-analytic review confirmed associations between mothers’ depression and multiple domains of children’s emotional and behavioral problems. These problems showed negative correlation with the age of children. It is possible to speculate that this negative correlation with age may be explained by lower level of mother–child attachment in older children. Cohn et al showed that depression has negative effect on mother–child behavior. This effect may vary with development, chronicity, and presence of other risk factors.

Mothers of AD infants described themselves as more depressive/hopeless, anxious/overprotective, and characterized their infant as less frequently positive and more frequently negative in its emotional behavior compared to controls. In contrast, mental distress in mothers of school-aged children with AD was not higher than in controls. In the study on family QoL by Lawson et al., majority of parents of AD children reported exhaustion, frustration, guilt, resentment, and helplessness. In the same study, anxiety of AD parents was related to child’s problems at school. Meanwhile, maternal depression in the study by Goodman et al was related to children having been rated by their teachers as less popular.

Negative cognitions about the self in children may be related to maternal depression and chronic stress and to the quality of perceived and actual interactions with the mother. Finally, even electroencephalogram activity results are different in infants of depressed and nondepressed mothers.

Some researchers speculate that AD-induced inflammation from the early age may lead to changes in skin sensation, altering sensory perception and communication that subsequently may cause problems related to emotional development. Meanwhile, it looks like haptic communication with parents is crucial not only for normal development of a child but is also important for the parents and serves to improve their attachment. Behavioral problems and parental distress are important features of severe AD in early childhood. However, it was reported that AD does not diminish the security of the mother–child attachment.

As mentioned earlier, negative children’s attitudes toward individuals with stigma are present in children as young as 3 years of age. Until this age, AD disappears in a significant proportion of children, decreasing the number of those who may be stigmatized because of their skin condition. However, Magin et al in their article on teasing and bullying in AD, psoriasis, and acne presented reminiscence of the patient who had experience of teasing by older children:

I remember one instance a much older girl came up to me, she was trying to show her friends, and sort of picking on me, bullying me into showing her friends.

Level of stigmatization has been reported to be associated with AD severity. Majority of AD children have mild course of disease, and it was reported that not more than one-fifth of children with AD suffer from severe eczematous skin lesions and potentially are at a high risk of stigmatization. However, because AD is one of the most common skin diseases in childhood, even a minor proportion of patients with AD results in a high absolute number. Thus, psychological disturbance rates in children with moderate and severe AD are significantly higher than in controls. Meanwhile, in the study on QoL, it was reported that AD severity (SCORAD – scoring of AD) significantly correlated with all items of AD-specific questionnaire except the item “how much trouble have you had because of your skin with other people calling you names, teasing, bullying, asking questions or avoiding you” in Czech children.
Ring in his recent book described several aspects of stigmatization of AD children: “Adults admonitions like “Stop scratching yourself once and for all!” leads to additional isolation. Reflexes which associate any skin disease with contagion are still prevalent. Young people may be stigmatized when their skin is not “smooth and pure”, as can be seen on TV”.

AD patients confirmed these statements by personal experience: “Students can be a bit nasty, I just felt like a bit of a freak, one kid told me once I was a leper, that wasn’t very nice [and I felt] disgusting, like as if I didn’t already feel like a total freak anyway.”

Bullying is not considered as an exact synonym to aggression and may include physical and verbal components, but may not include social exclusion. Meanwhile, the process of bullying in children of school age may be slightly different from the definitional concept broadly used in scientific literature. It may be partially explained by the fact that the classic definition of bullying still does not fully include social exclusion. Bullying involves “harming” that is carried out repeatedly, over time, and within a relationship, involving a power imbalance between the bully and the bullied. Being bullied may have considerable adverse consequences, including psychological or psychiatric harm.

Formation of self-perception in AD children may in some aspects vary from their peers. In most cases, preschool children are very optimistic. In contrast, older children are more pessimistic, and correlation of their self-perception and their actual performance is much higher. Biological transformations in adolescents are characterized by many changes at different levels. The role of social relations with peers in this age group is growing significantly. A negative perception of one’s body image has the effect of lowering self-esteem, which in turn increases psychological distress. Both negative perception of body image by peers and self-perception of patient with AD may be aggravated in adolescents.

AD may affect social maturation of teenagers and their school studies. The choice of future specialty may also be influenced by AD, and many factors should be estimated to make a right choice. During primary and secondary school, patients with severe AD in childhood had a lower number of friends, and a lower percentage of children spent their leisure time with their friends. AD children less often belonged to a group of friends and more rarely participated in social events during secondary school than patients with moderate AD and, in comparison with non-AD children of the same age, less often reported to have been member of a sports club during their primary and secondary school period.

A population-based study found a significant association between mental distress and AD in adolescents. In females, elder students with AD reported more mental distress than younger ones. Meanwhile, there was no correlation of mental distress with the age of male AD students. The tendency that levels of mental distress increase with patients’ age was also reported in students with other diseases and healthy controls. Nonetheless, conditions other than AD had more severe impact on students’ distress. The majority of AD schoolchildren and adolescents had episodes of AD-related shame. Many adolescents with AD also avoided going in for sports and socialization. Missing classes and doing things on their own were typical for adolescents with AD. School-aged children with moderate and severe AD are at high risk of developing psychological difficulties, which may have implications for their academic and social development.

Skin diseases should be measured not only by symptoms, but also by physical, psychological, and social parameters. Health-related QoL (HRQoL) instruments may help to assess these parameters. Majority of the studies on HRQoL in pediatric dermatology are dedicated to AD. Most of the disease-specific HRQoL instruments created especially for children with skin diseases are AD specific. Results on how AD affects children’s friendships, self-consciousness, and social life and leads to teasing and bullying according to their self-assessment by means of the Children Dermatology Life Quality Index (CDLQI) in different studies are presented in Table 1.

Slattery et al showed that the impact of AD on HRQoL of adolescents was associated with sleep loss and depressive symptoms. The authors suggest that chronic sleep loss increases emotional and physical fatigue and alters social functioning. Chronic impairment of principal aspects of adolescents’ QoL, including relations with peers, may lead to depressive symptoms.

Two important aspects of positive mental health are self-esteem (evaluative attitude toward the self) and life satisfaction (a global judgment of one’s life). Low self-esteem and low life satisfaction are associated with poorer academic achievement and anxiety, depression, and eating pathology. Research suggests that adolescents will have worse health outcomes when they perceive their family to be lower in the socioeconomic hierarchy compared with families of peers. It was shown that low family income was associated with lower
self-esteem and life satisfaction in a representative sample of 11-year-olds from the UK. After adjustment for income, young people who perceived their family to be poorer than their peers had lower self-esteem and life satisfaction. Those who perceived their family to be richer than their peers also had worse self-esteem and life satisfaction. It was also reported that low socioeconomic status associated with a higher frequency of disturbed sleep due to AD in children. In contrast, no significant association was observed between socioeconomic status and frequency of disturbed sleep due to either asthma or rhinitis.

In those children who cannot measure their QoL by themselves, proxy instruments should be used instead of self-assessment. Development of proxy AD-specific HRQoL instrument showed that problems of self-esteem, teasing, and social acceptance do not play a significant role in the life of majority of young AD children according to their parents’ point of view. Meanwhile, AD frequently causes mood changes in young children. Despite the possibility of imperfect agreement between self-report and proxy-report, Ricci et al showed that parents perceive their child’s mood with great sensitivity and attention and are able to sense small alterations and changes in the child’s mood. In most cases, the person who fills in the proxy questionnaires is mother. However, it is better to collect results of both parents because each spouse could have alternative view. To avoid the problem of imperfect agreement between parental assessment of child’s QoL and self-assessed QoL, the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology Task Force on QoL recommends to obtain information from both children and parents whenever possible and to use proxy-rating results as a single measure only in the youngest age group.

Scores for the AD-specific Infants’ Dermatitis QoL Index (IDQoL) item for impact on the “child’s mood” showed a significant difference among the sexes in children matched by age and AD severity. The mood of young girls with AD was more affected according to proxy assessment by their parents. Chronically altered mood may influence formation of low self-esteem and lead to stigmatization in future. The impact of AD on the mood of young children according to proxy-rating results is presented in Table 2.

It was shown by the results of proxy HRQoL assessment that in some countries, parents consider AD to be more severe in older group of children. The reason for that can be due to more serious self-consciousness and stress connected with psychological and physical limitations caused by AD in older children. A recent international study on self-assessment HRQoL in children with AD revealed that the item “how

### Table 1 How AD affects children’s friendships, self-consciousness, and social life and leads to teasing and bullying according to the CDLQI results (each CDLQI question is answered on a four-point Likert scale scored from 0 to 3 in different studies).

| CDLQI items | Singapore | Czech Republic | Italy | Ukraine | UK | Malaysia | UK | Sweden | Korea | Turkey | Iran |
|-------------|-----------|----------------|-------|----------|----|----------|----|---------|-------|--------|------|
| How embarrassed or self-conscious, upset, or sad have you been because of your skin? | 0.89±0.87 | 1.18±0.98 | 0.66±0.94 | 1.23±1.10 | 1.2±1 | 1.1±1 | 0.4±1 | 0.86±0.93 | 0.9±0.9 | 1.00±1.03 | 2.4±1 |
| How much has your skin affected your friendships? | 0.57±0.65 | 0.30±0.65 | 0.26±0.60 | 0.80±0.95 | 0.3±1 | 0.8±1 | 0.2±1 | NA | 0.5±0.8 | 0.58±0.83 | 2.7±1 |
| How much has your skin trouble affected going out, playing, or doing hobbies? | 1.06±1.00 | 0.72±0.95 | 0.24±0.71 | 1.16±1.06 | 0.8±1 | 1.0±1 | 0.5±1 | NA | 0.6±0.8 | 0.56±0.78 | 2.4±1 |
| How much trouble have you had because of your skin with other people calling you names, teasing, bullying, asking questions, or avoiding you? | 1.17±0.87 | 0.44±0.64 | 0.32±0.77 | 0.95±0.91 | 0.3±1 | 1.6±1 | 0.3±1 | NA | 0.4±0.7 | 0.16±0.54 | 2.2±1 |

Note: Numeric data were adopted from figure and are approximate values. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. Abbreviations: AD, atopic dermatitis; CDLQI, Children Dermatology Life Quality Index; NA, not available.
much trouble have you had because of your skin with other people calling you names, teasing, bullying, asking questions or avoiding you” was assessed to be quite high only by children from Singapore. Meanwhile, the item “how much has your skin affected your friendships” was one of the lowest scored items in all four countries.12

Results of the self-assessment of HRQoL in AD children showed that girls were significantly more embarrassed, self-conscious, upset, or sad because of their skin disease. Meanwhile, both girls and boys with AD did not feel that AD had a high impact on their friendships and did not report teasing, bullying, avoiding, and calling names as a major problem. The fact that this item was one of the lowest scored item and had poorly correlated with SCORAD in girls indicates that the impact of AD on many aspects of girls’ life is growing together with SCORAD mostly because of their impaired self-perception. Thus, the tendency of social avoidance is higher in patients with more severe signs. Meanwhile, psychological problems increase together with disease severity in both sexes; girls in general need more attention to their AD-related psychological problems. Prevention of such avoiding behavior in girls with more severe AD and elder adolescent girls in the case of absence of teasing and bullying is an important task. Progression of such behavior may affect different aspects of everyday life in elder girls and may lead to even more serious consequences in their future life.62 Another study showed that bullying at school among 15-year-olds has been associated with AD in boys but not in girls.63

AD has significant secondary impact on patient’s family. Family QoL assessment of parents of young children with AD revealed high levels of tiredness, exhaustion, and emotional distress.58 Meanwhile, impact on personal relations was the lowest scored item in the study on dermatology-specific family QoL instrument.64

It is likely that the presence of AD lesions on the visible parts of girls’ skin causes more problems for parents than equivalent lesions on boys’ skin because they think it is more important for girls to have healthy looking facial skin. More parents of girls were found to be distressed, and this type of distress may influence their child’s mood directly or impact the parent’s assessment of the child’s mood. Higher age in the child and thus longer duration of AD was associated with an increase in emotional distress in parents, irrespective of the patient’s sex, but only in the girls’ group did higher age have severe impact on family relations.61 Parental divorce or separation may be a severe consequence of the chronic impact of daughter’s AD on family relationships. Meanwhile, parental divorce and separation were reported to be associated with higher incidence of AD in children.65 However, Walker et al66 did not find differences in parental stress levels, stability of marriages, and involvement of father with child between parents of AD children and parents of healthy 7–12-year-old children.66

Literature data on general tendencies of children’s development, clinical course, and epidemiologic tendencies of AD in different age groups make it possible to highlight three main periods in the formation of self-perception and stigmatization. The first period is from early infancy till 3 years of age. The child’s problems in this period depend on parental exhaustion, emotional distress, and security of the mother–child attachment. The child’s AD may form a kind of vicious circle in which severe AD causes parental distress and exhaustion that in turn lead to exacerbation of AD and psychological problems in their children. Negative children’s attitude toward individuals with stigma is, probably, absent in this age group.21 The second period is from 3 till 10 years of age. During this period, development of AD children may be influenced by teasing, bullying, and avoiding by their peers. However, majority of children in this age group are very optimistic.39 One study showed that parental assessment of their child’s mood did not differ between AD and non-AD children from 7 till 12 years of age.66 In contrast, mood changes of the child is one of the most common complaints in parents of young AD children.58,67 The third period starts after 10 years of age when children become more pessimistic and critical to themselves. Problems related to low self-esteem and avoidance are characteristic for this period.

Governments, nongovernmental organizations, and health institutions in many countries have launched campaigns to

### Table 2 The impact of AD on the mood of young children according to the IDQoL results (each IDQoL question is answered on a four-point Likert scale scored from 0 to 37) from different studies59,75,80,85,76–78

| Country         | Impact of AD on child’s mood |
|-----------------|------------------------------|
| UK17            | 1.10±0.99                    |
| Sweden19        | 1.00±0.86                    |
| Saudi Arabia76  | 1.84±0.65                    |
| Serbia77        | 1.93±0.82                    |
| Finland78       | 0.3±                         |
| The Netherlands98| 0.51±0.65                    |
| Brazil98        | 1.07±0.70                    |
| Korea98         | 1.02±0.90                    |
| Czech Republic67| 0.7±                        |
| Ukraine68       | 0.85±0.49                    |

Note: Numeric data were adopted from figure and are approximate values.

Abbreviations: AD, atopic dermatitis; IDQoL, Infants’ Dermatitis Quality of Life Index.
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reduce stigma related to illness.\textsuperscript{58} High prevalence of AD in children is an argument to implement basic data on this condition into school programs. I especially avoided to mention exact subjects from the school program because it may significantly vary between countries: biology, basics of health care, etc. In particular, such programs should clearly state that AD is not an infection and contagious condition. This may decrease several aspects of stigmatization.

Training programs developed to prevent distress and helplessness of the parents and for more effective management of childhood AD include sessions with psychologists on stress management.\textsuperscript{69,70} Self-assessment of children with AD showed improvement of personal relationship after joining support group.\textsuperscript{71} In mothers of children with AD, anxiety and depression levels decreased to within the range of normal values as reported in a control group after six 90-minute educational sessions.\textsuperscript{72} Grillo et al\textsuperscript{72} reported that school-age AD children learned in a different way from parents/caregivers who were responsible for care and management of an infant’s AD, and some children in this age group needed further motivation and negotiation to practice self-management. A minor proportion of AD patients and their parents need psychological help. It was shown that only 8% of families of preschool AD children were interested in psychological items during educational program.\textsuperscript{71} However, it is important to identify these people during consultations and through patient organization facilities because their problems may have severe consequences.

Appropriate treatment approaches that can decrease skin lesions, itching, and sleep problems in AD children are extremely important. Resolving of highly visible lesions should lead to reduction of various aspects of stigmatization and improvement of self-perception. Meanwhile, better sleep quality may decrease levels of stress and exhaustion in patients and their parents. Clinical trial results showed that effective topical treatment of children with AD was associated with improvement of their self-consciousness,\textsuperscript{74} parental psychosomatic well-being, and emotional coping of parents.\textsuperscript{75}

**Conclusion**

Despite a high prevalence of AD, its influence on stigmatization and self-perception in children is poorly studied. Analysis of available literature showed that problems of self-perception and stigmatization do not play a key role in majority of AD children. However, even a minor proportion of patients with AD result in a high absolute number. It is important to identify such patients and provide them with needs-based consultation and care. AD in a child may lead to exhaustion, emotional distress, and depressive symptoms in parents. These parental problems may subsequently cause difficulties with self-perception and stigmatization of their children by other people and exacerbation of AD signs.
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