Antibiotic use in animal husbandry: A mixed-methods study among general community in Boyolali, Indonesia
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Abstract

Background and Aim: Antibiotic resistance is one of the most serious problems worldwide. One predictive factor of increasing antibiotics resistance is the use of antibiotics in animals. This study aimed to explore the use of antibiotics for animal husbandry in a general community in Indonesia.

Materials and Methods: A concurrent mixed-methods study was conducted. Data were collected from 407 respondents using a questionnaire, followed by an in-depth interview in 12 respondents. Data were analyzed both quantitatively and qualitatively.

Results: Forty-two (10.32%) of 407 respondents used antibiotics for animal husbandry. Of these 42, >60% occasionally bought antibiotics from the pharmacy without prescription and 50% used their leftover antibiotics. Respondents also bought antibiotics from food stalls and animal feed shops. Tetracycline and amoxicillin were the most common antibiotics used for animal husbandry. The majority of respondents used antibiotics for therapeutic purposes and received information about using antibiotics for animal husbandry from friends and neighbors. Most of the respondents used antibiotics for animal husbandry incorrectly and had never heard of antibiotics resistance.

Conclusion: Inappropriate antibiotic use in animal husbandry was common in the community. Education on the prudent use of antibiotics for animal husbandry is needed.

Keywords: animal husbandry, antibiotic resistance, antibiotics, mixed-method.

Introduction

Antibiotic resistance is a serious problem nationally and globally. Antibiotic resistance has wide-ranging effects on health, the environment, and the economy. Resistance will cause antibiotics to be ineffective in inhibiting or killing bacteria and will result in treatment failures, longer hospital stays, increased risks of complications, and resultant increased morbidity and mortality. Misuse and overuse of antibiotics in humans are significantly related to increased resistance, and the inappropriate use of antibiotics for animal husbandry will increase the risk of resistance [1,2].

It is believed that animals raised on-farm consume nearly 50% of all antibiotics produced worldwide. Indeed, 80% of the antibiotics sold in the Netherlands and the United States were used for livestock farming (broilers, turkey, pigs, veal calves, and other cattle) [3,4]. The consumption of antibiotics on farms to treat or minimize disease outbreaks or to promote animal growth is very high. The problem will increase if antibiotics are used inappropriately in animals, for example, as prophylactics and to increase growth. The use of antibiotics for livestock growth promotion is prohibited in Europe but is still widely practiced outside Europe [2].

The use of antibiotics in animal husbandry could increase the risk of antibiotic resistance. The inappropriate use of antibiotics causes resistant bacteria to multiply. Because animals typically live in groups, the spread of resistant bacteria to other animals is increased. A study in Indonesia revealed that the antibiotic resistance of Escherichia coli isolated from chicken carcasses in Jakarta to amoxicillin and tetracycline was as high as 73% and 93%, respectively; antibiotic-resistant Staphylococcus hadar was also reported [5].

The presence of resistant bacteria in animal husbandry has an impact on human health, as this will
increase exposure to resistant bacteria in humans [2]. Antibiotic resistance in foods derived from animals has almost tripled since 2000 [6]. Some foodborne bacteria that have become resistant, such as Enterococci, Campylobacter, Salmonella, and E. coli, can transfer resistant genes to humans through food chains or direct contact [7,8]. A study in two major cities in Indonesia (Surabaya and Semarang) revealed that Staphylococcus aureus isolates from individuals in the community were resistant to tetracycline (24.9%) [9]. Another study revealed that methicillin-resistant S. aureus was found among 263 isolates from healthy people in the community [10,11]. Therefore, identification of the source of bacterial resistance to antibiotics can reduce the spread of resistance. In Indonesia, there has been no research on the use of antibiotics in animals by the general public. This study aimed to explore the use of antibiotics for animal husbandry in a general community in Indonesia.

Materials and Methods

Ethical approval and Informed consent

Ethical approval was obtained from the Medical and Health Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine of Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta (2063/B.1/KEPK-FKUMS/III/2019). Respondents were informed by the interviewers that contribution was voluntary and confidential, and provided written informed consent as proof of approval.

Study period and location

The study was conducted from December 2019 to February 2020 in Boyolali, Indonesia.

Study design

This was a concurrent mixed-methods study aiming to explore the use of antibiotics in animal husbandry in a general community in Indonesia. This study is apart from the larger study of knowledge, attitude, and practices toward antibiotics among the general community. The respondents were the general community in Boyolali, a district in Semarang, Indonesia, where antibiotic resistance has been previously reported [10,11]. The inclusion criteria for the quantitative study were members of the general community, can communicate well, and willing to participate. The inclusion criteria for the qualitative study were farmers selling animal husbandry products locally and nationally, can communicate well, and willing to participate. The exclusion criterion was an educational or occupational background related to health. The Raosoft sample size calculator was used to calculate the sample size for the quantitative analysis. The margin of error was 5%, the confidence level was 95%, and the response distribution was 50%, but no information regarding the population of residents in the Boyolali Regency was available. Raosoft recommends a population size of 20,000 in this case. The estimate for the minimum sample size was 377 respondents [12]. For the qualitative study, 12 informants were interviewed, and saturation was achieved. Saturation is characterized as a sufficient amount of information of sufficient quality, completeness, and quantity with no evidence of new information in the interviews.

Data collection

All respondents who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were included using purposive sampling. In the quantitative study, data were collected through a survey using a paper format questionnaire. Respondents who used antibiotics for animal husbandry were then interviewed in-depth using semi-structured interviews with an interview guide. Face-to-face interviews were recorded with a voice recorder. A panel of experts, including community pharmacists, a public health professional, and two physicians, reviewed the questionnaire and interview guide. Before conducting the study, the questionnaire and interview guide were tested in three community participants, but the results were not included in the analysis. Interviews were conducted at the respondent’s house, community center, or appointed place between the reviewer and the reviewee. The interview lasted 30-75 min.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed both quantitatively and qualitatively. Descriptive analysis with the mean, standard deviation, and percentages in each category of respondents and the entire sample was applied in the quantitative study. In the qualitative study, data were anonymized on transcription and analyzed with a thematic analysis approach. The transcriptions were read several times to obtain a better view and the keyword or meaning words. The meaning words were then labeled with a code. Different codes were categorized or subcategorized based on the association or similar meaning. The categories with similar meaning were created themes [13]. The transcripts were given to the respondents for clarification, who were asked about any discrepancies with their answers during the interview.

Results

Of 407 respondents who answered the questionnaire, 42 (10.32%) used antibiotics for animal husbandry; 12 of these respondents were interviewed to obtain a more in-depth understanding of how the antibiotics were used. Of the 42 respondents who used antibiotics for animal husbandry, >35% (15 respondents) always bought antibiotics from the pharmacy for animal husbandry without prescription, and 50% (21 respondents) occasionally used leftover antibiotics for animal husbandry. The types of animals kept included poultry, sheep, cow, duck, fish, and mixed, meaning that some respondents raised more than 1 type of livestock, for example, raising goats and chickens at the same time. The respondent characteristics are described in Table-1.
The result of the qualitative analysis revealed several main themes:

**Type of antibiotic used**

The most commonly used antibiotic was Supertetra (Darya-Varia Laboratoria, Indonesia), a trademark of tetracycline and amoxicillin, which was used by 28.7% of the respondents. Other antibiotics used were benzylpenicillin, gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, oxytetracycline, enrofloxacin, and doxycycline, each of which was used by 7.1% of respondents. One respondent said: “I give Supertetra® to my cattle if they are injured” (48-year-old woman, N1).

**Reasons for antibiotic use**

In this study, large-scale farmers with national-level sales and distribution of poultry explained that they had been using antibiotics as growth promoters in poultry farming for many years. The use of antibiotic growth promoters (AGPs) involves sub-therapeutic doses of antibiotics in animal husbandry, which promote growth from small size to ready to sell. However, since 2017 [14], the use of antibiotics as a feed additive in Indonesia was banned. Hence, the respondents no longer use antibiotics as a feed additive.

One respondent stated: “Before AGPs were banned, antibiotics were included in the list of poultry management programs that were fed to chicks to ready-to-harvest poultry” (35-year-old man, N9).

Aside from AGPs, antibiotics are also administered to animals to prevent disease or as prophylactics. One respondent revealed that when he started catfish farming, he was worried the catfish would be prone to illness. To prevent disease, the respondent fed Supertetra® to the catfish starting from small to the ready-to-harvest sized fish. However, in the following period, the respondent attempted to give antibiotics only to small catfish of 1 month of age because catfish aged ≤1 month are prone to infection.

The respondent stated: “When I first started catfish farming, I fed Supertetra® to my catfish from small to ready-to-harvest size so they are protected from any disease. But after comparing it with using antibiotics as needed, the result is similar. So, I gave antibiotics only to small-sized fish aged <1 month” (48-year-old woman, N1).

**Sources of information regarding the use of antibiotics for animal husbandry practices**

One of the respondents obtained information on administering antibiotics from other larger-scale and more experienced farmers. Novice farmers commonly learn about raising livestock from larger-scale and more experienced farmers. Farmers also share information regarding the use of drugs, including antibiotics, in animal husbandry. Four respondents who used antibiotics for therapeutic purposes in animal

---

### Table 1: Characteristics demographic of participants in both quantitative and qualitative studies.

| Demographic                        | Participants fulfilled questionnaire (n=407) | Participants used antibiotics for animal husbandry (n=42) | Participants in-depth interviewed (n=12) |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Sex                                |                                             |                                                          |                                         |
| Man                                | 166 (40.8%)                                 | 21 (50.0%)                                               | 9 (75%)                                 |
| Women                              | 241 (59.2%)                                 | 21 (50.0%)                                               | 3 (25%)                                 |
| Age groups (year)                  |                                             |                                                          |                                         |
| <20                                | 34 (8.4%)                                   | 7 (16.7%)                                                | -                                       |
| 20-39                              | 245 (60.2%)                                 | 25 (59.5%)                                               | 5 (41.7%)                               |
| 40-59                              | 115 (28.2%)                                 | 8 (19.0%)                                                | 5 (41.7%)                               |
| ≥60                                | 13 (3.2%)                                   | 2 (4.8%)                                                 | 2 (16.7%)                               |
| Mean (SD)                          | 35.44 (8.06)                                | 29.67 (11.86)                                            | 42.67 (10.16)                           |
| Education level                    |                                             |                                                          |                                         |
| No formal education and elementary | 34 (8.4%)                                   | 1 (2.3%)                                                 | 1 (8.3%)                                |
| Secondary                          | 275 (67.5%)                                 | 26 (61.9%)                                               | 7 (58.3%)                               |
| Undergraduate                      | 96 (23.6%)                                  | 13 (31.0%)                                               | 2 (16.7%)                               |
| Postgraduate                       | 2 (0.5%)                                    | 2 (4.8%)                                                 | 2 (16.7%)                               |
| Respondents bought antibiotics     |                                             |                                                          |                                         |
| from pharmacy without prescription |                                             |                                                          |                                         |
| Never                              | 318 (78.1%)                                 | -                                                        |                                         |
| Seldom                             | 47 (11.5%)                                  | -                                                        |                                         |
| Sometimes                          | 17 (4.2%)                                   | 17 (40.5%)                                               |                                         |
| Often                              | 10 (2.5%)                                   | 10 (23.8%)                                               |                                         |
| Always                             | 15 (3.7%)                                   | 15 (35.7%)                                               |                                         |
| Respondents gave leftover to animal husbandry |                                             |                                                          |                                         |
| Never                              | 331 (81.3%)                                 | -                                                        |                                         |
| Seldom                             | 42 (10.3%)                                  | 8 (19%)                                                  |                                         |
| Sometimes                          | 21 (5.2%)                                   | 21 (50.0%)                                               |                                         |
| Often                              | 7 (1.7%)                                    | 7 (16.7%)                                                |                                         |
| Always                             | 6 (1.5%)                                    | 6 (14.3%)                                                |                                         |

The result of the qualitative analysis revealed several main themes:

**Type of antibiotic used**

The most commonly used antibiotic was Supertetra (Darya-Varia Laboratoria, Indonesia), a trademark of tetracycline and amoxicillin, which was used by 28.7% of the respondents. Other antibiotics used were benzylpenicillin, gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, oxytetracycline, enrofloxacin, and doxycycline, each of which was used by 7.1% of respondents. One respondent said: “I give Supertetra® to my cattle if they are injured” (48-year-old woman, N1).

**Reasons for antibiotic use**

In this study, large-scale farmers with national-level sales and distribution of poultry explained that they had been using antibiotics as growth promoters in poultry farming for many years. The use of antibiotic growth promoters (AGPs) involves sub-therapeutic doses of antibiotics in animal husbandry, which promote growth from small size to ready to sell. However, since 2017 [14], the use of antibiotics as a feed additive in Indonesia was banned. Hence, the respondents no longer use antibiotics as a feed additive.

One respondent stated: “Before AGPs were banned, antibiotics were included in the list of poultry management programs that were fed to chicks to ready-to-harvest poultry” (35-year-old man, N9).

Aside from AGPs, antibiotics are also administered to animals to prevent disease or as prophylactics. One respondent revealed that when he started catfish farming, he was worried the catfish would be prone to illness. To prevent disease, the respondent fed Supertetra® to the catfish starting from small to the ready-to-harvest sized fish. However, in the following period, the respondent attempted to give antibiotics only to small catfish of 1 month of age because catfish aged ≤1 month are prone to infection.

The respondent stated: “When I first started catfish farming, I fed Supertetra® to my catfish from small to ready-to-harvest size so they are protected from any disease. But after comparing it with using antibiotics as needed, the result is similar. So, I gave antibiotics only to small-sized fish aged <1 month” (48-year-old woman, N1).

Most respondents gave antibiotics to animals with the intent of treating diseases. Eight respondents fed antibiotics to animals for therapeutic purposes. One respondent gave amoxicillin antibiotics because his poultry lost their appetite. One respondent said: “I administer antibiotics when my cow has a wound on his body” (49-year-old man, N2).

Sources of information regarding the use of antibiotics for animal husbandry practices

One of the respondents obtained information on administering antibiotics from other larger-scale and more experienced farmers. Novice farmers commonly learn about raising livestock from larger-scale and more experienced farmers. Farmers also share information regarding the use of drugs, including antibiotics, in animal husbandry. Four respondents who used antibiotics for therapeutic purposes in animal
husbandry received information from neighbors with no health education background. One respondent used antibiotics based on personal experience, one fed antibiotics to his animals after receiving information from paramedics, and another said that he generally recommended other farmers to give their animals antibiotics.

“I was told by a neighbor, if the livestock is wounded, it should be given Supertetra®” (48-year-old woman, N1).

Large-scale farmer respondents administered antibiotics to their livestock based on surgical examination of a carcass and standard operating procedures of antibiotic use. The use of antibiotics was based on the veterinarian’s suggestion.

“If the poultry are sick, I conduct a carcass surgery and send it to the team; one of them is a veterinarian and he determines the cause of death and whether antibiotics are needed or not” (35-year-old man, N9).

Sources of antibiotics

Most respondents obtained antibiotics from stalls and animal feed shops. A small percentage of participants bought antibiotics at pharmacies with no prescription. Two participants administered leftover antibiotics to their livestock. A preliminary observation conducted by researchers revealed that of 138 stalls observed, 58 (42%) sold Supertetra® antibiotics containing tetracycline. Other antibiotics sold at stalls included penicillin, ampicillin, and amoxicillin.

“I buy Supertetra® at a stall” (25-year-old woman, N6).

Antibiotic use in animal husbandry practices

Almost all respondents used antibiotics in animal husbandry practices incorrectly including the indication, dosage, route, frequency, and duration of antibiotic administration. One respondent used amoxicillin to increase the appetite of his poultry, which is not an indication for amoxicillin. The use of benzylpenicillin followed the correct dose, but the duration was not appropriate. The methods of administering antibiotics to livestock varied, including scattering into ponds, mixing with animal feed or water, sprinkling on wounds, and injecting. For respondents with >1000 poultry, if some poultry were diseased, all poultry in the cage were administered antibiotics in their drinking water, although many of the poultry were not sick.

“I open a Supertetra® capsule, I mix it with water, and sprinkle it in the pool. Sometimes, I mix it with fish feed. I feed antibiotics to fish aged <1 month for 1 month or to fish that are injured for 3-5 days” (43-year-old man, N8).

“After two rounds of antibiotics without improvement, the poultry were sold. The provision of antibiotics was not only for sick poultry but also for the other thousands of birds in the cage” (35-year-old man, N9).

To accurately determine the diagnosis and select appropriate antibiotics, a veterinary examination is very important, but only two respondents had their animals checked by a veterinarian. Some respondents stated that the reason for not undergoing checks was the cost. Easy access to antibiotics without a prescription was another reason for buying antibiotics directly. Respondents did not know that antibiotics should be obtained from a pharmacy with a physician’s prescription. Similar findings have been reported previously [15].

Knowledge of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance

Most respondents did not know the function and side effects of antibiotics. Information about antibiotics was limited to colleagues or friends with no health education background. The majority of respondents were also unaware of antibiotic resistance and the impact of the inappropriate use of antibiotics on animals and humans. Of all 42 respondents, only three who were undergraduates and postgraduates were able to correctly define the function of antibiotics, had heard of antibiotic resistance, and could define antibiotic resistance.

One respondent said: “I do not understand what resistance is. I have never heard of it” (25-year-old woman, N6).

Discussion

This study aimed to explore the use of antibiotics in animal husbandry. In this study, the respondents varied in age, education level, and the type of animal husbandry. The most commonly used antibiotics were amoxicillin and tetracycline. The study by Eltayeb et al. [16] indicated that the most common antibiotics used by farmers in Sudan were quinolone (32%) and tetracycline (25%). In the current study, two-thirds of farmers used antibiotics for therapeutic purposes. Similar to previous findings, antibiotics were predominantly used for therapeutic and preventive purposes in livestock and only 5% of farmers used antibiotics as AGPs [16].

The use of antibiotics for animal husbandry practices was primarily not based on recommendations or advice from a veterinarian. Researchers found that respondents mostly referred to personal experience, personal trial and error, and information from friends or other people with no knowledge regarding the use of antibiotics. The majority of respondents used antibiotics inappropriately. In the study by Castro-Sánchez et al. [1], as many as 16% (66/405) of respondents used antibiotics that were not appropriate for healthy livestock.

The inappropriate use of antibiotics in livestock is harmful because there is a high chance of antibiotic residues in meat, eggs, fish, or milk, which elevate potential risks of increasing antibiotic resistance in humans. The respondents reported that if the poultry were not recovering after receiving 10 days of antibiotics treatment, they were sold and consumed by the public. The poultry likely still contained traces of antibiotics, which were then consumed by humans. A study by Unusan [17] in Turkey revealed that dairy
cows treated with tetracycline and chloramphenicol had high concentrations of those antibiotics in the milk. It is also possible for fish to contain traces of antibiotics because fish cannot metabolize antibiotics effectively and excrete the residue into the environment, resulting in contaminated food supplies and increased antibiotic resistance [18].

The use of antibiotics in animal husbandry practices increases the risk of emerging zoonotic diseases and contributes to the development of antimicrobial resistance in humans and animals [7,19,20]. The use of antibiotics in animals can increase the proliferation of resistant bacteria in farm animals. Resistant bacteria can spread to other livestock, the environment, and humans through food products such as meat, eggs, and milk, and also through other routes such as the use of livestock manure for fertilization or direct contact [5,7,8,18]. Evidence indicates a relationship between the use of antibiotics in animal husbandry and the occurrence of antibiotic resistance. A study revealed that Salmonella paratyphi-infected broiler barn was resistant to tetracycline, fluoroquinolones, trimethoprim, and cefoxitin [21]. Staphylococcus sp. isolated from a dairy farm and abattoir was resistant to penicillin-G (95.3%), nalidixic acid (88.4%), cloxacillin (79.1%), vancomycin (65.1%), and cefoxitin (55.8%). Staphylococcus sp. is a bacterium that causes mastitis and wound infections in animals and humans [22]. Animal husbandry is the main source of antimicrobial resistance in infections that often occur in humans such as Salmonella, Campylobacter, E. coli, and Enterococci, which are resistant to the third-generation fluoroquinolones and cephalosporin [4].

Of the 42 respondents, only three had heard the word resistance and could define it correctly. These three respondents had undergraduate and postgraduate degrees. The possibility of a relationship between the education level and knowledge of antibiotics was confirmed in the study by Eltayb et al. [16], stating that farmers with >6 years’ education have better knowledge than those with ≤6 years’ education [13].

The inappropriate use of antibiotics by the community in this study was due to the high cost of veterinary examination, easy access to antibiotics without prescription, and lack of knowledge about antibiotics and antibiotic resistance. This result was in line with a previous report indicating that the level of education, low health literacy, high consultation fees, busy schedules, and unrestricted delivery of antibiotics were key factors associated with the inappropriate use of antibiotics [23,24]. The inappropriate use of antibiotics in animal husbandry will increase bacterial resistance and will also increase costs, reduce food health, negatively impact the environment, increase the use of animal products, and increase the risk of antibiotic side effects in sick and healthy animals [2,8]. Thus, the use of antibiotics in animal husbandry needs to be limited [8]. Strategies to reduce the extent of therapeutic antibiotic use are prevention of disease and prudent use of antibiotics. Infection can be prevented with good housing and sanitation by controlling hygiene and environmental quality, optimizing nutrition, and treating infected animals appropriately [25].

Conclusion

The inappropriate use of antibiotics in animal husbandry was common in the community. This may be due to the lack of knowledge about antibiotics and antibiotic resistance and easy access to obtaining antibiotics. Education regarding the prudent use of antibiotics in animal husbandry practices is needed to reduce the incidence of antibiotic resistance. Education of the regulation of antibiotic use in animals is also important so that the general community can use antibiotics appropriately following the correct indications, applicable laws, and regulations. The role of government is also needed in terms of monitoring the distribution and rational use of antibiotics in the community. Our current findings contribute to our understanding of the use of antibiotics in animal husbandry and provide further insight into designing strategies for health education purposes.
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