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The decay modes of the type $B \rightarrow \pi D$ are dynamically different. For the case $B_d^0 \rightarrow \pi^- D^+$ there is a substantial factorized contribution which dominates. In contrast, the decay mode $B_d^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 D^0$ has a small factorized contribution, being proportional to a very small Wilson coefficient combination. In this paper we calculate the relevant Wilson coefficients at one loop level in the heavy quark limits, both for the $b$-quark and the $c$-quark.

We also emphasize that for the decay mode $B_d^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 D^0$ there is a sizeable non-factorizable contribution due long distance interactions, which dominate the amplitude. We estimate the branching ratio for this decay mode within our framework, which uses the heavy quark limits, both for the $b$- and the $c$- quarks. In addition, we treat energetic light ($u,d,s$) quarks within a variant of Large Energy Effective Theory and combine this with a new extension of chiral quark models.

For reasonable values of the model dependent parameters of our model can account for at least $3/4$ of the amplitude needed to explain the experimental branching ratio $\simeq 2.6 \times 10^{-4}$.
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I. INTRODUCTION

There is presently great interest in decays of $B$-mesons, due to numerous experimental results coming from BaBar and Belle. Soon LHC will also provide data for such processes. $B$-decays of the type $B \rightarrow \pi \pi$ and $B \rightarrow K \pi$, where the energy release is big compared to the light meson masses, has been treated within QCD factorization [1] and Soft Collinear Effective Theory (SCET) [2]. In the high energy limit the amplitudes for such decay modes factorize into products of two matrix elements of weak currents, and some non-factorizable corrections of order $\alpha_s$ which can be calculated perturbatively. The decays $B \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \pi$ are typical heavy to light decays. It was pointed out in previous papers [3] that for various decays of the type $\bar{B} \rightarrow D \bar{D}$, which are of heavy to heavy type, the methods of [1, 2] are not expected to hold because the energy release is of order 1 GeV only. The so-called pQCD model [4] was also used for such decay modes [5]

The last two decades, $b$-quarks, and some times also $c$-quarks, were described within Heavy Quark Effective Field Theory (HQEFT) [6]. Some transitions of heavy to heavy type have, in the heavy quark limits $(1/m_b) \rightarrow 0$ and $(1/m_c) \rightarrow 0$, been studied within Heavy Light Chiral Perturbation Theory (HL$\chi$PT) [7]. Typical cases are the Isgur-Wise function for $B \rightarrow D$ transition currents [8], and $B - \bar{B}$ mixing [9]. Also other $B \rightarrow D$ transitions, where the energy gap between the initial ($B$-meson) state and the final state (including a $D$-meson) are substantial, have been analyzed within such a framework [8, 10], even if it is not ideal. Especially in cases where the factorized amplitude is almost zero, calculations of non-factorized amplitudes in terms of chiral loops or soft gluon emission estimated within a chiral quark model might be fruitful [3, 11, 12], because they are expected to give results of reasonable order of magnitude.

The HQEFT covers processes where the heavy quarks carry the main part of the momentum in each hadron. To describe processes where energetic light quarks emerge from decays of heavy $b$-quarks, Large Energy Effective Theory (LEET) was introduced [14] and used to study the current for $B \rightarrow \pi$ [15]. LEET does for energetic light quarks what HQEFT does for heavy quarks. In HQEFT one splits off the motion of the heavy quark from the heavy quark field, thus obtaining a reduced field depending on the velocity of the heavy quark. In LEET one splits off the large energy from the field of the light energetic quark, thus obtaining an effective theory for a reduced energetic light quark field depending on a light-like four
vector. It was later shown that LEET in its initial formulation was incomplete and did not fully reproduce infrared QCD physics [16]. Then LEET was further developed to include collinear gluons, and became the Soft Collinear Effective Theory (SCET) [2].

In this paper we consider decay modes of the type $B \to \pi D$. We restrict ourselves to processes where the $b$-quark decays. This means the quark level processes $b \to cd\bar{u}$. Processes where the anti-$b$-quark decays proceed analogously. The decay mode $B^0_d \to \pi^- D^+$ has a substantial factorized amplitude, given by the Isgur-Wise function for $B \to D$ transition times the decay constant for $\pi^-$. The relevant Wilson coefficient is also the maximum possible, namely of order one times the relevant Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) quark mixing factors and the Fermi coupling constant. This is in contrast to the process $B^0_d \to \pi^0 D^0$ which is color suppressed, as already pointed out in ref. [13].

First we point out that the factorized contribution to the decay mode $B^0_d \to \pi^0 D^0$, given by the $B \to \pi$ transition amplitude times the decay constant of the $D^0$ meson, is almost zero because it is proportional to a very small Wilson coefficient combination. In section III this combination will be calculated explicitly at one loop level completely within HQEFT, and scaled down to the scale $\mu \simeq 1$ GeV where perturbative QCD is matched to our long distance framework.

Second, in the present paper we construct a modified version of the LEET used in [15] to study the $B \to \pi$ current, and in the next step construct a new model which we call Large Energy Chiral Quark Model (LE$\chi$QM) [21]. The mentioned incompleteness of LEET does not concern us here because we will combine LEET with chiral quark models ($\chi$QM) [17–19], containing only soft gluons making condensates. In our model an energetic quark is bound to a soft quark with an apriori unknown coupling, as proposed in [22]. The unknown coupling is determined by calculating the known $B \to \pi$ current matrix element within the model. This will fix the unknown coupling because the matrix element of this current is known [15]. Then, in the next step, we use this coupling to calculate the non-factorized (color suppressed) amplitude contribution to $B^0_d \to \pi^0 D^0$ in terms of the lowest dimension gluon condensate, as have been done for other non-leptonic decays [9, 11, 12, 23, 24]. After the quarks have been integrated out, we obtain an effective theory containing both soft light mesons as in HL$\chi$PT, and also fields describing energetic light mesons. A similar idea with a combination of SCET with HL$\chi$PT is considered in [25]. The LE$\chi$QM is constructed in analogy with the previous Heavy Light Chiral Quark Model (HL$\chi$QM) [23] and may be
considered to be an extension of that model.

In the next section (II) we present the weak four quark Lagrangian and its factorized
and non-factorizable matrix elements. In section III we calculate the Wilson coefficients at
one loop level in the heavy quark limits for both the $b$- and the $c$-quark. In section IV we
present our version of LEET, and in section V we present the new model LE$\chi$QM to include
energetic light quarks and mesons. In section VI we calculate the non-factorizable matrix
elements due to soft gluons expressed through the (model dependent) quark condensate. In
section VII we give the results and conclusion.

II. THE EFFECTIVE LAGRANGIAN AT QUARK LEVEL

We study $\bar{B}$ decays generated by the weak quark process $b \to c\bar{u}d$ The effective weak
Lagrangian at quark level is [26, 27]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb} V_{ud}^* \left[ c_A Q_A + c_B Q_B \right],$$

(1)

where the subscript $L$ denotes the left-handed fields: $q_L \equiv L q$, where $L \equiv (1 - \gamma_5)/2$ is the
left-handed projector in Dirac-space. The local operator products $Q_{A,B}$ are

$$Q_A = 4 \bar{c}_L \gamma_\mu b_L \bar{d}_L \gamma_\mu u_L; \quad Q_B = 4 \bar{c}_L \gamma_\mu u_L \bar{d}_L \gamma_\mu b_L.$$  

(2)

In these operators summation over color is implied. In (1), $c_A$ and $c_B$ are Wilson coefficients.
At tree level $c_A = 1$ and $c_B = 0$. At one loop level, a contribution to $c_B$ is also generated, and
$c_A$ is slightly increased. These effects are handled in terms of the Renormalization Group
Equations (RGE) [26, 27].

Using the color matrix identity

$$2 t_m^a t_{ij}^a = \delta_{ij} \delta_{im} - \frac{1}{N_c} \delta_{m} \delta_{ij},$$

and Fierz rearrangement, the amplitudes for decays of $\bar{B}_d^0$ into $D \pi$ may be written as

$$\mathcal{M}_{D^+\pi^-} = 4 \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb} V_{ud}^* \left[ (c_A + \frac{1}{N_c} c_B) \langle \pi^- | \bar{d}_L \gamma_\mu u_L | 0 \rangle \langle D^+ | \bar{c}_L \gamma_\mu b_L | \bar{B}_d^0 \rangle + 2 c_B \langle D^+ \pi^- | \bar{d}_L \gamma_\mu t^a \bar{u}_L \bar{c}_L \gamma_\mu t^a \bar{b}_L | \bar{B}_d^0 \rangle \right],$$

(3)

and

$$\mathcal{M}_{D^0\pi^0} = 4 \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb} V_{ud}^* \left[ (c_B + \frac{1}{N_c} c_A) \langle D^0 | \bar{c}_L \gamma_\mu u_L | 0 \rangle \langle \pi^0 | \bar{d}_L \gamma_\mu b_L | \bar{B}_d^0 \rangle + 2 c_A \langle D^0 \pi^0 | \bar{d}_L \gamma_\mu t^a \bar{b}_L \bar{c}_L \gamma_\mu t^a \bar{u}_L | \bar{B}_d^0 \rangle \right].$$

(4)
Here the terms proportional to $2c_A$ and $2c_B$ with color matrices inside the matrix elements are the genuinely non-factorizable contributions. These will be estimated in section IV.

Since $c_A$ is slightly bigger than one and $c_B$ of order $-0.4$, we refer to the coefficients

$$c_f \equiv \left( c_A + \frac{1}{N_c} c_B \right) \simeq 1 ; \quad c_{nf} \equiv \left( c_B + \frac{1}{N_c} c_A \right) \simeq 0 ,$$

as favorable ($c_f$) and non-favorable ($c_{nf}$) coefficients, respectively. Thus, the decay mode $\bar{B}_d^0 \to D^+ \pi^-$ has a sizeable factorized amplitude proportional to $c_f$. In contrast, the decay mode $\bar{B}_d^0 \to D^0 \pi^0$ has a factorized amplitude proportional to the non-favorable coefficient $c_{nf}$ which is close to zero. In this case we expect the non-factorizable term (involving color matrices) proportional to $2c_A$ to be dominant,- i.e. the last line of eq. (4) dominates.

A substantial part of this paper is dedicated to the calculation of this non-factorizable contribution to the $\bar{B}_d^0 \to D^0 \pi^0$ decay amplitude, which in the factorized limit is proportional to the non-favored coefficient $c_{nf}$.

III. PERTURBATIVE QCD CORRECTIONS TO ONE LOOP WITHIN HQEFT

Wilson coefficients for four quark operators for non-leptonic decays have been first calculated at the one loop level [26], and later at the two loop level [27]. In [3, 11, 12] the latter were used. Here we will calculate the Wilson coefficient completely within HQEFT at one loop level. Thus the heavy quarks will be described by the HQEFT Lagrangian [6]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{HQET} = \bar{Q}_v (i v \cdot D) Q_v + \mathcal{O}(1/m_Q) ,$$

where $Q_v$ is the reduced heavy quark field (often named $h_v$ in the literature), $v$ its four velocity and $m_Q$ the mass of the heavy quark.

As usual, the renormalization of the four quark operators are performed in several steps: First, when the renormalization scale $\mu$ satisfies $m_b < \mu < M_W$, all the five quarks $b, c, s, d, u$ are considered light. Then, for scales $m_c < \mu < m_b$, the $b$-quark is considered heavy while the $c$-quark is still considered light. Going further to the case $\mu < m_c$, the $c$-quark is also considered heavy, Then the calculations are performed within strict HQEFT for both for the $b$ and the $c$ quark. By assumption the various chiral quark models works below the chiral symmetry breaking scale $\Lambda_\chi \simeq 1$ GeV. Also, HL$\chi$PT is applicable below the scale $\Lambda_\chi$ [3, 11, 18, 24, 25]. Therefore we will match the perturbative calculations with our model at
FIG. 1: QCD corrections for $Q_A$ (upper line) and $Q_B$ (lower line) when all quarks are considered light, i.e. for $\mu > m_b$. In the left column the weak interaction for an infinitely heavy $W$-boson is marked by a cross. In the right column, the weak interaction is marked by a zig-zag line. (In the lower, right diagram, the zig-zag line represent a fictitious “$W^0$” exchange.) In all cases the curly lines represent gluon exchanges.

$\mu = \Lambda$. For renormalization scales $\mu$ in the region $m_b < \mu < M_W$, where all the involved quarks are considered to be light, we obtain the well known result

$$c_A^{(0)}(\mu) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{\alpha_s(M_W)}{\alpha_s(\mu)} \right)^{6/23} + \left( \frac{\alpha_s(M_W)}{\alpha_s(\mu)} \right)^{-12/23} \right],$$

$$c_B^{(0)}(\mu) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{\alpha_s(M_W)}{\alpha_s(\mu)} \right)^{6/23} - \left( \frac{\alpha_s(M_W)}{\alpha_s(\mu)} \right)^{-12/23} \right],$$

reflecting that the anomalous dimension matrix for the operator basis $Q_{\pm} = (Q_B \pm Q_A)$ is diagonal.

For scales $\mu$ satisfying $m_c < \mu < m_b$, the $b$-quark is considered to be heavy, while the $c$-quark is still light. In this range of $\mu$ we find that some of the diagrams which contributed for $m_b < \mu < M_W$ are now zero. As a consequence, in the $(Q_A, Q_B)$ basis the anomalous dimension matrix is now (using the definition $\gamma \equiv (\alpha_s/2\pi) \hat{\gamma}$):

$$\hat{\gamma}(m_c < \mu < m_b) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is half of what it is above $\mu = m_b$. The beta function to lowest order is proportional to $b_0^{(1)} = 11 - 2 N_f/3$, where $N_f$ is the number of effective flavors. With the bottom quark
FIG. 2: QCD corrections for $Q_A$ (upper line) and $Q_B$ (lower line) in the case $\mu < m_c$, when both the $b$- and the $c$-quark are considered to be heavy. The heavy quarks are represented by double lines. The zig-zag and curly lines have the same meaning as in FIG. 1.

Integrating out, $N_f = 4$, thus $b^{(1)}_b = 25/3$. Defining the quantity

$$D(\mu) \equiv \left( \frac{\alpha_s(m_b)}{\alpha_s(\mu)} \right)^{3/25} \left( \frac{\alpha_s(M_W)}{\alpha_s(m_b)} \right)^{6/23},$$

we obtain for $m_c < \mu < m_b$ the Wilson coefficients:

$$c^{(1)}_A(\mu) = \frac{1}{2} [D(\mu) + (D(\mu))^{-2}],$$
$$c^{(1)}_B(\mu) = \frac{1}{2} [D(\mu) - (D(\mu))^{-2}],$$

(11)

For the range $\Lambda_\chi < \mu < m_c$, where the $b$- and the $c$-quark are both considered as heavy, we obtain a more non-standard anomalous dimension matrix

$$\gamma(\Lambda_\chi < \mu < m_c) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{2}{3} \omega r(\omega) \right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(12)

Then we finally get the result for $\Lambda_\chi < \mu < m_c$ the coefficients:

$$c^{(2)}_A(\mu) = c^{(1)}_A(m_c) \quad ; \quad c^{(2)}_B(\mu) = 3(1 - \tau) c^{(1)}_A(m_c) + \tau c^{(1)}_B(m_c)$$

(13)

where

$$\tau \equiv \left( \frac{\alpha_s(m_c)}{\alpha_s(\mu)} \right)^{\bar{\omega}} \quad ; \quad \bar{\omega} \equiv -\frac{1}{18} \left( 1 + \frac{2}{3} \omega r(\omega) \right),$$

(14)

the function $r(\omega)$ being the well known

$$r(\omega) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega^2 - 1}} \left( \omega + \sqrt{\omega^2 - 1} \right).$$

(15)
An analogous result for $b \rightarrow d c \overline{c}$ has been obtained in [28].

We observe that $c_A$ is not further renormalized below $\mu = m_c$, while $c_B$ and thereby $c_{nf}$ get a small additional renormalization through the factor $\tau$ for $\Lambda_\chi = \mu < m_c$. Numerically, $\tau$ is close to one. At $\mu = \Lambda_\chi \simeq 1$ GeV and the relevant value $\omega \simeq 1.6$, we have $c_A^{(2)} \simeq 1.2$ and $c_B^{(2)} \simeq -0.44$, giving $c_f \simeq 1.0$ and $c_{nf} \simeq -0.04$.

From the numerical point of view, the calculation performed in this section has not given us much new information. However, we think it is useful to have a calculation performed completely within HQEFT, and to our knowledge this is not presented anywhere else in the literature.

IV. AN ENERGETIC LIGHT QUARK EFFECTIVE DESCRIPTION (LEET$\delta$)

An energetic light quark might, similarly to a heavy quark, carry practically all the energy $E$ of the meson it is a part of (i.e. it has momentum fraction $x$ close to one). But the mass of the energetic quark is close to zero compared to $m_Q$ and $E$, which are assumed to be of the same order of magnitude. Assuming that the energetic light quark is coming from the decay of a heavy quark $Q$ with momentum $p_Q = m_Q v + k$, the momentum of the energetic quark $q$ can be written

$$p_q^\mu = E n^\mu + k^\mu, \quad |k^\mu| \ll |E n^\mu|, \quad m_q \ll E, \quad (16)$$

where $m_q$ is the light quark mass and $n$ is the light-like four vector which might be chosen to have the space part along the z-axis, $n^\mu = (1; 0, 0, 1)$, in the frame of the heavy quark where $v = (1; 0, 0, 0)$. Then $(v \cdot n) = 1$ and $n^2 = 0$. Inserting this in the regular quark propagator, we obtain

$$S(p_q) = \frac{\gamma \cdot p_q + m_q}{p_q^2 - m_q^2} = \frac{E \gamma \cdot n + \gamma \cdot k + m_q}{2E n \cdot k + k^2 - m_q^2}. \quad (17)$$

In the limit where the approximations in (16) are valid, we obtain the propagator

$$S(p_q) \rightarrow \frac{\gamma \cdot n}{2n \cdot k}. \quad (18)$$

This propagator is the starting point for the Large Effective Theory (LEET) constructed in ref.[15].

Unfortunately, the combination of LEET with $\chi$QM will lead to infrared divergent loop integrals for $n^2 = 0$ (see section V). Therefore, in the following we modify the formalism
and instead of \( n^2 = 0 \), we use \( n^2 = \delta^2 \), with \( \delta = \nu / E \) where \( \nu \sim \Lambda_{QCD} \), such that \( \delta \ll 1 \). In the following we derive a modified LEET [15] where we keep \( \delta \neq 0 \) with \( \delta \ll 1 \). We call this construction LEET\( \delta \) and define the *almost* light-like vectors

\[
\begin{align*}
n &= (1, 0, 0, +\eta), \\
\tilde{n} &= (1, 0, 0, -\eta),
\end{align*}
\]

(19)

where \( \eta = \sqrt{1 - \delta^2} \). This means that

\[
n^\mu + \tilde{n}^\mu = 2\nu^\mu , \quad n^2 = \tilde{n}^2 = \delta^2 , \quad v \cdot n = v \cdot \tilde{n} = 1 , \quad n \cdot \tilde{n} = 2 - \delta^2 .
\]

(20)

Using the above equations, we choose the set of projection operators given by

\[
P_+ = \frac{1}{N^2} \gamma \cdot n (\gamma \cdot \tilde{n} + \delta) , \quad P_- = \frac{1}{N^2} (\gamma \cdot \tilde{n} - \delta) \gamma \cdot n ,
\]

(21)

where \( N = \sqrt{2n \cdot \tilde{n}} = 2 + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2) \). We factor out the main energy dependence, just as was analogously done in HQEFT, and define the projected reduced quark fields \( q_\pm \) [15]:

\[
q_\pm (x) = e^{iE_n \cdot x} \mathcal{P}_\pm \bar{q}(x) , \quad q(x) = e^{-iE_n \cdot x} [q_+ (x) + q_- (x)] .
\]

(22)

The adjoint fields are

\[
\bar{q}_\pm = q_\pm^\dagger \gamma^0 = e^{-iE_n \cdot x} \bar{q} \mathcal{P}_\pm ; \quad \mathcal{P}_\pm \equiv \gamma^0 \mathcal{P}_\pm^\dagger \gamma^0
\]

(23)

Following the procedure of [15], we eliminate \( q_- \) and obtain for \( q_+ \equiv q_n \) the effective Lagrangian:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{LEET}\delta} = \left( \bar{q}_n \left( \frac{\gamma \cdot \tilde{n} + \delta}{N} \right) (in \cdot D) q_n + \frac{1}{E} \bar{q}_n X q_n + \mathcal{O}(E^{-2}) \right) ,
\]

(24)

which (for \( \delta = 0 \)) is the first part of the SCET Lagrangian. Equation (24) yields the LEET\( \delta \) quark propagator

\[
S_n (k) = P_+ \left[ \frac{\gamma \cdot \tilde{n} + \delta}{N} (n \cdot k) \right]^{-1} = \frac{\gamma \cdot n}{N (n \cdot k)} ,
\]

(25)

which reduces to (18) in the limit \( \delta \to 0 \). In addition, for small \( p_\perp^2 \) [2], it coincides with the corresponding SCET-propagator. Our \( \mathcal{O}(E^{-1}) \) term is given by

\[
X = -\frac{1}{2} (i\gamma \cdot D) \gamma \cdot v \left[ (i\gamma \cdot D) - \frac{\gamma \cdot \tilde{n} + \delta}{N} (in \cdot D) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \gamma \cdot (i\overleftarrow{D}) - \frac{\gamma \cdot \tilde{n} + \delta}{N} (in \cdot \overleftarrow{D}) \right] \gamma \cdot v (i\gamma \cdot D) .
\]

(26)
Based on LEET, it was found \cite{15}, in the formal limits $M_H \to \infty$ and $E \to \infty$, that a heavy $H^-$ (or maybe also $D^-$) meson decaying by a vector weak current $V^\mu$ to a light pseudoscalar meson $P$ has a matrix element $\langle P \, | V^\mu \, | H \rangle$ of the form

$$\langle P | V^\mu | H \rangle = 2E \left[ \zeta^{(v)}(M_H, E) n^\mu + \zeta_1^{(v)}(M_H, E) v^\mu \right],$$

(27)

where

$$\zeta^{(v)} = C \frac{\sqrt{M_H}}{E^2}, \quad C \sim (\Lambda_{\text{QCD}})^{3/2}, \quad \frac{\zeta_1^{(v)}}{\zeta^{(v)}} \sim \frac{1}{E}.$$  

(28)

This behavior is consistent with the energetic quark having $x$ close to one, where $x$ is the quark momentum fraction of the outgoing pion \cite{15}.

V. EXTENDED CHIRAL QUARK MODEL FOR HEAVY AND ENERGETIC LIGHT QUARKS (LE\text{\textchi}_QM)

The chiral quark model ($\chi$QM) \cite{17, 18} and the Heavy-Light Chiral Quark Model (HL\text{\textchi}_QM) \cite{23}, include meson-quark couplings and thereby allow us to calculate amplitudes and chiral Lagrangians for processes involving heavy quarks and low energy light quarks. In this section we will extend these models to include also hard, energetic light quarks.

For the pure light sector the $\chi$QM Lagrangian can be written as \cite{17, 24}:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\chi QM} = \bar{\chi} \left( \gamma \cdot (i D + V) + \gamma \cdot A \gamma_5 - m \right) \chi,$$

(29)

where $m$ is the constituent mass term being due to chiral symmetry breaking. (The small current mass term is neglected here). Here we have introduced the flavor rotated fields $\chi_{L,R}$:

$$\chi_L = \xi^\dagger q_L, \quad \chi_R = \xi q_R,$$

(30)

where $q$ is the light quark flavor triplet and:

$$\xi = \exp\{i \Pi/f\}, \quad \Pi = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{n}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{\pi^+}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{K^+}{\sqrt{2}} \\
\frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{n}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{\pi^-}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{K^0}{\sqrt{2}} \\
\frac{\pi^-}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{K^-}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-2n}{\sqrt{6}}
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

(31)

Further, $V_\mu$ and $A_\mu$ are vector and axial vector fields, given by

$$V_\mu \equiv \frac{i}{2} \left( \xi^\dagger \partial_\mu \xi + \xi \partial_\mu \xi^\dagger \right), \quad A_\mu \equiv -\frac{i}{2} \left( \xi^\dagger \partial_\mu \xi - \xi \partial_\mu \xi^\dagger \right).$$

(32)
To couple the heavy quarks to mesons there are additional meson-quark couplings within HLCQM [23]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = -G_H \left[ \bar{\chi}_a \bar{H}_v^a Q_v + \bar{Q}_v H_v^a \chi_a \right],$$

(33)

where $a$ is a SU(3) flavor index, $Q_v$ is the reduced heavy quark field, and $H_v$ is the corresponding heavy ($0^-, 1^-$) meson field(s):

$$H_v = \mathcal{P}_+(v) (\gamma \cdot P^* - i\gamma_5 P_5),$$

(34)

where $\mathcal{P}_+(v) = (1 + \gamma \cdot v)/2$ is a projection operator. Further, $P^*$ is the $1^-$ field and $P_5$ the $0^-$ field. These mesonic fields enter the Lagrangian of HLCPT:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{HLCPT}} = -Tr(\bar{H}_v^a i\nu_\mu \partial^\mu H_v^a) + Tr(\bar{H}_v^a H_v^b \nu_\mu V_{ba}^\mu) - g_A Tr(\bar{H}_v^a H_v^b \gamma_5 A_5^a),$$

(35)

where $a, b$ are SU(3) flavor indices. The quark-meson coupling $G_H$ is determined within the HLCQM to be [23] given by:

$$G_H^2 = \frac{2m_f^2 \rho}{f_\pi^2},$$

(36)

where $\rho$ is a hadronic quantity of order one.

For hard light quarks and chiral quarks coupling to a hard light meson multiplet field $M$, we extend the ideas of \chi QM and HLCQM, and assume that the energetic light mesons couple to light quarks with a derivative coupling to an axial current:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{intq}} \sim \bar{q} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 (i \partial^\mu M) q.$$ 

(37)

We combine LEET$\delta$ with the \chi QM and assume that the ingoing light quark and the outgoing meson are energetic, and we pull out a factor $\exp(\pm iE_n \cdot x)$ as in (22). To describe (outgoing) light energetic mesons, we use an octet $3 \times 3$ matrix field $M = \exp(\pm iE_n \cdot x) M_n$, where $M_n$ has the same form as $\Pi$ in (31):

$$M_n = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{n_0}{\sqrt{6}} & \pi^+_n & K^+_n \\ \pi^-_n & -\frac{\pi^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{n_0}{\sqrt{6}} & K^0_n \\ K^-_n & \bar{K}^0_n & -\frac{2n_0}{\sqrt{6}} \end{pmatrix}. $$

(38)

Here $\pi^0_n, \pi^+_n, K^+_n$ etc. are the (reduced) meson fields corresponding to energetic light mesons with momentum $\sim E n^\mu$. 
Combining (37) with the use of the rotated soft quark fields in (30) and using $\partial^\mu \to i E n^\mu$ we arrive at the following ansatz for the LE$\chi$QM interaction Lagrangian:

$$L_{\text{int}q\delta} = G_A E \bar{\chi} (\gamma \cdot n) Z q_n + h.c.,$$

where $q_n$ is the reduced field corresponding to an energetic light quark having momentum fraction close to one (see 24), and $\chi$ represents a soft quark (see Eq. (30)). Further, $G_A$ is an unknown coupling to be determined later by physical requirements. Further,

$$Z = \xi M_R R - \xi^\dagger M_L L.$$

Here $M_L$ and $M_R$ are both equal to $M_n$, but they have formally different transformation properties, This is analogous to the use of quark mass matrices $M_q$ and $M_q^\dagger$ in standard Chiral Perturbation Theory ($\chi$PT). They are in practise equal, but have formally different transformation properties.

The axial vector coupling introduces a $\gamma \cdot n$ factor to the vertex (see (39)), which simplifies the Dirac algebra within the loop integrals. In order to calculate the non-factorizable contribution, we must first find a value for the coupling $G_A$ in (39) assumed to bind a large energy light quark and a soft (anti-) quark to an energetic light meson. This will be done by requiring that our model should be consistent with the equations (27) and (28). Applying the Feynman rules of LE$\chi$QM we obtain the following bosonized current (before soft gluon emission forming the gluon condensate is taken into account):

$$J_0^\mu (H_v \to M_n) = -N_c \int d^4 k \text{Tr} \left\{ \gamma^\mu L i S_v(k) [-i G_H H_v] i S_\chi(k) [i E G_A \gamma \cdot n Z] i S_n(k) \right\},$$

where $d^4 k \equiv d^d k/(2\pi)^d$ ($d$ being the dimension of space-time), and

$$S_v(k) = \frac{P_+(v)}{v \cdot k}, \quad S_\chi(k) = \frac{(\gamma \cdot k + m)}{k^2 - m^2}, \quad S_n(k) = \frac{\gamma \cdot n}{N n \cdot k},$$

are the propagators for the reduced heavy quark fields ($Q_v$ in eq. (3)), light constituent quarks ($\chi$ in eq.(29)), and the reduced light energetic quark fields ($q_n$ in (24)), respectively. (Below we will use the leading order value $N = 2$).

It should be emphasized that for the loop diagram for $B \to \pi$ in Fig. 3 (lower part of the diagram), we have the following picture: The large energy ($M_B \simeq m_b$) of the heavy $b$-quark and the large energy ($E \simeq M_B/2$) of the hard $d$-quark are floating through the (lower part of the) loop diagram. The loop momenta of the reduced quark fields for the heavy quark,
FIG. 3: The factorized contribution to the $B^0 \to D^0\pi^0$ decay, as described in combined HLχQM and LEχQM. Double lines, single lines and the single line with two arrows are representing heavy quarks, light soft quarks and light energetic quarks, respectively. Heavy mesons are represented by a single line combined with a parallel dashed line, and the light energetic pion is represented by a dashed line with double arrow.

energetic light quark are then carrying the same soft loop momentum $k$ (with $|k| < \Lambda_{\chi} \simeq 1$ GeV) as the soft light (anti-) quark ($\bar{d}$), which justifies the use of our model.

The presence of the left projection operator $L$ in $Z$ ensures that we only get contributions from the left-handed part, that is, $Z \to -\xi^\dagger M_L L$. The momentum integrals have the form

$$K_{rst} = \int \frac{d k}{(v \cdot k)^r (k \cdot n)^s (k^2 - m^2)^t}, \quad (43)$$

$$K_{rst}^{\mu} = \int \frac{d k \, k^\mu}{(v \cdot k)^r (k \cdot n)^s (k^2 - m^2)^t} = K_{rst}^{(v)} v^\mu + K_{rst}^{(n)} n^\mu, \quad (44)$$

where $r, s, t$ are integer numbers. These integrals have the important property that $K_{rst}^{(n)}$ dominates over $K_{rst}^{(v)}$ and $K_{rst}$ with one power of $1/\delta$. In the present model, we choose $\nu = m$ which is of order $\Lambda_{QCD}$. Thus $\delta = m/E$ in the following.
The contribution in (41), corresponding to the $B \rightarrow \pi$ part of Fig. 3, with no gluon condensate contribution included, contains $K_{111}$ and $K_{111}^\mu$ and turns out to be proportional to the formally linearly divergent integral $I_{3/2}$ [23]. There are also other contributions with two emitted soft gluons making a condensate [18, 23]. To calculate emission of soft gluons we have used the framework of Novikov et al. [30]. In this framework the ordinary vertex containing the gluon field $A_\mu^a$ will be replaced by the soft-gluon version containing the soft gluon field tensor $G_{\mu\nu}^a$:
\begin{equation}
ig s t^a \Gamma^\mu A_\mu^a \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} g_s t^a \Gamma^\mu G_{\mu\nu}^a \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\nu} \ldots |_{p=0} ,
\end{equation}
where $p$ is the momentum of the soft gluon. (Using this framework one has to be careful with the momentum routing because the gauge where $x^\mu A_\mu^a = 0$ has been used.) Here $\Gamma^\mu = \gamma^\mu , v^\mu$, or $n^\mu (\gamma \cdot \tilde{n} + \delta)/N$ for a light soft quark, heavy quark, or light energetic quark, respectively. Our loop integrals are a priori depending on the gluon momenta $p_{1,2}$ which are sitting in some propagators. These gluon momenta disappear after having used the procedure in (45). It is understood that the derivatives in (45) have to be taken with respect to all propagators in the loop integral.

There is a contribution to the $H_v \rightarrow M_n$ current where two soft gluons are emitted from the light quark line. This contribution contains $K_{114}$ and $K_{114}^\mu$ and is finite. Emission from the heavy quark or light energetic quark are expected to be suppressed. This will be realized in most cases because the gluon tensor is antisymmetric, and therefore such contributions are proportional to
\begin{equation}
G_{\mu\nu}^a v^\mu v^\nu = 0 , \quad \text{or} \quad G_{\mu\nu}^a n^\mu n^\nu = 0 .
\end{equation}
However, there are also contributions proportional to :
\begin{equation}
G_{\mu\nu}^a v^\mu v^\nu \neq 0 ,
\end{equation}
 analogous to what happens in some diagrams for the Isgur-Wise diagram where there are two different velocities $v_b$ and $v_c$. In that case the corresponding contributions are proportional to $(v_b \cdot v_c - 1)$ which is zero for $v_c \rightarrow v_b$. Such contributions (proportional to $K_{331}$ and $K_{331}^\mu$) appear within our calculation when two soft gluons are emitted from the heavy quark line. (This statement is however gauge dependent. With another momentum routing such a contribution would come from another diagram. But summing all diagrams, gauge invariance is fulfilled).
Using the prescription \[18, 23, 24, 30\]

\[g^2 C_{\mu
u} G^{\rho\lambda}_{\rho\lambda} \to 4\pi^2 \langle \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 \rangle \frac{1}{12} \left( g_{\mu\nu} g_{\rho\lambda} - g_{\mu\lambda} g_{\nu\rho} \right),\] (48)

for the gluon condensate one obtains a total bosonized current of the form

\[J_{\text{tot}}^\mu (H_v \to M_n) = -i \frac{G_H}{2} (E G_A) \delta^2 \text{Tr} \left\{ \gamma^\mu L H_v \left[ R^{(v)} + R^{(a)} \gamma \cdot n \right] \xi \right\} ,\] (49)

where the relevant quantity needed is (to leading order in \(\delta\)):

\[R^{(n)} = \frac{m}{\delta} F ; \quad F \equiv \frac{1}{m} \left( -iN_c I_{3/2} + \frac{\pi}{8 \cdot 16m^3} \left[ \frac{2}{3} - 1 \right] \langle \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 \rangle \right) .\] (50)

Here the contribution \(\sim 2/3\) within the parenthesis is coming from the diagram where two gluons are emitted from the heavy quark line. This contribution is due to (47). Note that \(F\) is dimensionless.

In order to obtain the HLL\(\chi PT\) Lagrangian terms in (35), one calculates quark loops with attached heavy meson fields and vector and axial vector fields \(V^\mu\) or \(A^\mu\). Then, as explained in previous papers \[17, 18, 23, 24\], logarithmic and linearly divergent integrals \(I_2\) and \(I_{3/2}\) (as well as quadratic divergent integrals \(I_1\)) will appear. These might be regularized, say, with ultraviolet cut-offs of order \(\Lambda\) \([19, 20]\). The explicit expressions of the divergent integrals in terms of the cut-offs will depend on the details of the regularization procedure. We will however not go into these details, but simply identify the divergent integrals by appropriate quantities regarded as physical within our model. That is, we use identification \[17, 18\]

\[-iN_c I_2 = \frac{1}{4m^2} \left( f_\pi^2 - \frac{1}{24m^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 \rangle \right) \equiv \frac{f_\pi^2}{4m^2} \lambda ,\] (51)

for the logarithmically divergent integral, and \[23\]

\[-iN_c I_{3/2} = \frac{3 f_\pi^2}{8m \rho} (1 - g_A) + \frac{N_c m}{16\pi} - \frac{(8 - 3\pi)}{256m^3} \langle \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 \rangle ,\] (52)

for the linearly divergent integral. The parameter \(\lambda\) defined in (51) is of order \(10^{-1}\) and rather sensitive to small variations in the parameters \(m\) and \(\langle \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 \rangle\). Using (51) and (52) can be shown that the parameter \(\rho\) in (36) is given by \[23, 35\]

\[\rho = \frac{(1 + 3g_A)}{4(1 + \frac{m^2N_c}{8\pi f_\pi^2} - \frac{\eta_H}{2m^2 f_\pi^2} \langle \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 \rangle)} ,\] (53)

where \(\eta_H = (8 - \pi)/64\). Then we obtain for the quantity \(F\):

\[F = \frac{N_c}{16\pi} + \frac{3 f_\pi^2}{8m^2 \rho} (1 - g_A) - \frac{(24 - 7\pi)}{768m^4} \langle \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 \rangle .\] (54)
Numerically, $F \simeq 0.08$.

In order to fix $G_A$ in (39), we compare (27) with (49). In our case where no extra soft pions are going out, we put $\xi \rightarrow 1$, and $M_L \rightarrow k_M \sqrt{E}$, with the isospin factor $k_M = 1/\sqrt{2}$ for $\pi^0$ (while $k_M = 1$ for charged pions). Moreover for the $B$-meson with spin-parity $0^-$ we have $H_v \rightarrow P_+(v)(-i\gamma_5)\sqrt{M_H}$. Using this, we obtain the traces

$$\text{Tr}\{\gamma^\mu LH_v \xi^\dagger M_L\} \rightarrow -i \sqrt{M_H} (k_M \sqrt{E}) v^\mu, \quad (55)$$

$$\text{Tr}\{\gamma^\mu LH_v^{(+)} \gamma^\sigma \xi^\dagger M_L\} \rightarrow +i \sqrt{M_H} (k_M \sqrt{E}) g^{\mu\sigma}. \quad (56)$$

Then we obtain the following matrix element of the current:

$$J_{tot}^\mu (H_v \rightarrow M_n) = \frac{G_H}{2} (EG_A) \sqrt{M_H} (k_M \sqrt{E}) \delta^2 \left[-R^{(v)} v^\mu + R^{(n)} n^\mu, \right]. \quad (57)$$

where $R^{(v)}/R^{(n)} \sim \delta$, i.e. we obtain $R^{(v)}/R^{(n)} \rightarrow 0$ as $E \rightarrow \infty$, as we should according to (27) and (28). Using the equations (27), (54), and (57), we obtain

$$G_A = \frac{4\zeta^{(v)}}{m^2 G_H F \sqrt{M_H}} \frac{E}{\sqrt{E}}, \quad (58)$$

where $\zeta^{(v)}$ is numerically known [31] to be $\simeq 0.3$. Within our model, the analogue of $\Lambda_{QCD}$ is the constituent light quark mass $m$. To see the behavior of $G_A$ in terms of the energy $E$ we therefore write $C$ in (28) as $C \equiv \hat{c} m^2$, and obtain

$$G_A = \left(\frac{4\hat{c} f_\pi}{m F \sqrt{2p}}\right) \frac{1}{E^2}, \quad (59)$$

which explicitly displays the behavior $G_A \sim E^{-3/2}$. In terms of the number $N_c$ of colors, $f_\pi \sim \sqrt{N_c}$ and $F \sim N_c$ which gives the behavior $G_A \sim 1/\sqrt{N_c}$, i.e. the same behavior as for $G_H$.

**VI. NON-FACTORIZABLE PROCESSES IN LCQM**

In this section we calculate the non-factorizable contribution to $\overline{B_d^0} \rightarrow \pi^0 D^0$ in eq. (4). This will be formulated as a quasi-factorized product of two colored currents, as illustrated in Fig. 4. Then the non-factorized aspects enters through color correlation between the two parts, using eq. (48). Such a calculation within HLχQM is done previously [3] for $\overline{B_{s,d}^0} \rightarrow D^0 \overline{D^0}$, where the relevant colored current for decay of a $D$-meson was calculated.
What we will calculate here is the colored current for $B \to \pi$ with soft one gluon emission, within the LE$\chi$QM presented in the preceding section; see diagram 4.

Using the values for $G_A$ and $G_H$ from the preceding section, we find an expression for the non-factorizable $\bar{B}_d^0 \to D_0^0 \pi^0$ decay amplitude, which may be compared with experiment.

For a low energy quark interacting with one soft gluon, one might in simple cases use the effective propagator [24, 36]

\[
S^G_1(k) = \frac{g_s}{4} \frac{C^a_{\mu\nu}}{k^2 - m^2} \left( \frac{2m\sigma^{\mu\nu} + \{\sigma^{\mu\nu}, \gamma \cdot k\}}{(k^2 - m^2)^2} \right),
\]

where $\{a, b\} \equiv ab + ba$ denotes the anticommutator. This expression is consistent with the prescription in (45), and can be used for diagram 4.

Then we obtain the following contribution to the bosonized colored $B \to \pi$ current corresponding to diagram 4:

\[
J_{\mu_G}^\alpha(H_v \to M_n) = - \int d k \text{Tr} \left\{ \gamma^\mu L^a i S_v(k) \left[ -i G_H H_v \right] i S_1^G(k) \left[ i E G_A \gamma \cdot n Z \right] i S_n(k) \right\},
\]
Once more, we deal with the momentum integrals of the types in (43) and (44). Taking the color trace, we obtain a contribution of the form

\[ J_{1G}^\mu (H_v \to M_n)^a = g_s G^a_{\alpha \beta} T^{\mu;\alpha\beta} (H_v \to M_n), \]

where the contribution from the diagram 4 alone is to leading order in \( \delta \)

\[ T^{\mu;\alpha\beta} (H_v \to M_n)_4 = \frac{G H G A}{128 \pi} \epsilon^{\sigma\alpha\beta\lambda} n_\sigma \text{Tr} \left( \gamma^\mu L H_v \gamma^\lambda \xi^\dagger M_L \right), \]

where \( E \cdot \delta = m \) has been explicitly used.

There is also a diagram 5 not shown where the soft gluon is emitted from the energetic quark. This diagram is zero due to (46). Furthermore, there is a diagram 6 not shown where the gluon is emitted from the heavy quark which contains a non-zero part due to (47). In this case we have to stick to the general rule in (45). This gives the additional contribution

\[ T^{\mu;\alpha\beta} (H_v \to M_n)_6 = i \frac{G H G A}{64 \pi} v^\alpha_b n^\beta \text{Tr} \left( \gamma^\mu L H_v \gamma \cdot n \xi^\dagger M_L \right), \]

The total contribution to (62) is given by the right hand sides of (63) and (64). Below we will use all the expressions for the various \( J_{1G}^\mu (H_v \to M_n)^a \) for a decaying \( B \)-meson, i.e. we have \( v = v_b \).

The colored \( D^0 \) current was found in [3] to be

\[ (Q_{vc} e^{\alpha} \gamma^\alpha q_L)_{1G} \to J_{1G}^\mu (\overline{H}_v)^{ac} = g_s G^a_{\alpha \beta} T^{\mu;\alpha\beta} (\overline{H}_v), \]

where

\[ T^{\mu;\alpha\beta} (\overline{H}_v) = \frac{G H}{64 \pi} \text{Tr} \left[ \xi \gamma^\mu L \left( \sigma^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{2 \pi f^2}{m^2 N_c} \lambda \{ \sigma^{\alpha\beta}, \gamma \cdot v_c \} \right) \overline{H}_v \right], \]

where \( \lambda \) is defined in (51).

Now we use (48) and also include the Fermi coupling the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix elements, and the coefficient \( 2c_A \) for the non-factorizable contributions to the amplitude, where \( c_A \) is the Wilson coefficient for the \( O_A \) local operator. Then we find an effective Lagrangian at mesonic level relevant for the non-factorizable contribution to \( \overline{B}^0 \to D^0 \pi^0 \):

\[ L_{\text{Non.fact.}}^{\text{LEQM}} = \frac{4 \pi^2 c_A}{3} \left( \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb} V_{ud} \right) \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 \right) S(H_{vb} \to M_n H_{vc}) \],

where \( S(H_{vb} \to M_n H_{vc}) \) is the tensor product

\[ S(H_{vb} \to M_n H_{vc}) \equiv T^{\mu;\alpha\beta} (H_{vb} \to M_n) T_{\mu;\alpha\beta} (\overline{H}_{vc}). \]
The four vector products \( (v_b \cdot v_c), (v_b \cdot n), \) and \( (v_c \cdot n) \) can be related to physical parameters by the equations for momentum and energy conversation. From \( M_B v_b^\mu = M_D v_c^\mu + E n^\mu \), \( E = \frac{M_B^2 - M_D^2}{2M_B} \), we obtain (up to \( \mathcal{O}(\delta^2) \))

\[
(v_b \cdot v_c) = \frac{M_B^2 + M_D^2}{2M_B M_D}, \quad (v_b \cdot n) = 1, \quad (v_c \cdot n) = \frac{M_B}{M_D}.
\]  

Using \( \delta = m/E \) and \( (70) \), we find an explicit expression for \( S(H_{v_b} \to M_n H_{v_c}) \) in the case \( B^0 \to D^0 \pi^0 \):

\[
S(B^0 \to \pi^0 D^0) = \frac{G^2 H G_A}{32 \cdot 64 \pi^2} \sqrt{M_B M_D} E \left( \frac{M_B}{M_D} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{6\pi f^2_\pi}{N_c m^2} \lambda \right)^{1/2} \cdot (71)
\]

Inserting the expressions for \( G_H \) in \( (36) \) and \( G_A \) in \( (58) \), we obtain

\[
S(B^0 \to \pi^0 D^0) = \sqrt{2} \rho \frac{\zeta^{(v)}}{8 \cdot 64 \pi^2 F f_\pi} \sqrt{\frac{m}{M_D}} \frac{E M_B}{m^2} \left( 1 + \frac{6\pi f^2_\pi}{N_c m^2} \lambda \right)^{1/2} \cdot (72)
\]

We will now compare this non-factorizable amplitude for \( B^0 \to D^0 \pi^0 \) with the factorized amplitude which dominates \( B^0 \to D^+ \pi^- \):

\[
\mathcal{M}_{D^+ \pi^-} = 4 \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb} V^*_{ud} \left( c_A + \frac{1}{N_c} c_B \right) \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2} f_\pi E n^\mu \right) \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{M_B M_D} (v_b + v_c)^\mu \xi(\omega) \right), (73)
\]

where \( \xi(\omega) \) is the Isgur-Wise function.

The ratio between the non-factorized and factorized amplitudes are now

\[
r = \frac{\mathcal{M}(B^0 \to \pi^0 D^0)_{\text{Non-Fact}}}{\mathcal{M}(B^0 \to \pi^- D^+)_{\text{Fact}}} = \frac{c_A}{c_f} \frac{h}{(1 + \frac{M_B}{M_D})} \frac{\zeta^{(v)}}{\xi(\omega)} \sqrt{\frac{m}{M_B}} \cdot (74)
\]

where \( h \) is our model-dependent hadronic factor

\[
h = \frac{\sqrt{\rho} \langle \alpha_s G^2 \rangle}{96 \cdot F f^2_\pi m^2} \left( 1 + \frac{6\pi f^2_\pi}{N_c m^2} \lambda \right), \quad (75)
\]

which behaves as \( h \sim 1/N_c \) with respect to color.

It will be interesting how the ratio \( r \) scales in the limit \( M_B^2 \gg M_D^2 \gg m^2 \). Then we use the scaling of \( \zeta^{(v)} \) given in \( (28) \) with \( C = \hat{c} m^{3/2} \) as in \( (59) \). The scaling of \( \xi(\omega) \) for \( M_B^2 \gg M_D^2 \) is not so well established. Under certain assumptions \( [33] \) it is found that the IW function \( \xi(\omega) \) has the form

\[
\xi(\omega) = \left( \frac{2}{1 + \omega} \right)^\gamma \quad (76)
\]
where $\omega = v_b \cdot v_c$. In the so-called BPS-limit one obtains $\gamma = 3/2$. The IW function calculated within a bag model has the same form as in \cite{38}. Within chiral HLQCD calculations, the IW function will have terms of the type in \cite{76} for $\gamma = 1$, and some terms which for $\omega \gg 1$ scale as $\ln \omega / \omega$, \cite{19, 23, 35, 38}, where $\omega \sim (M_B/2M_D)$.

Using the simple form \cite{76} and \cite{70}, we find for of $r$ for $M_B^2 \gg M_D^2 \gg m^2$:

$$r \simeq \frac{c_A}{c_f} \frac{\hbar \hat{c}}{4^{(7-1)}} \frac{m^2}{(M_D)^\gamma (M_B)^{2-\gamma}}$$ \tag{77}$$

Anyway, our calculations show that the ratio $r$ of the amplitudes are suppressed by $1/N_c$ and by inverse powers of heavy meson masses, as expected.

Concerning numerical predictions from our model, we have to stick to eq. \cite{74}. The measured branching ratios for $B_d^0 \rightarrow \pi^- D^+$ and $B_d^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 D^0$ are $\simeq (2.68 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-3}$ and $(2.62 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-4}$, respectively \cite{32}. In order to predict the experimental value solely with the mechanism considered in this section, we should have $r \simeq 1/3$. For typical values $m \sim 200$ to 220 MeV and $\langle \alpha_s \pi G^2 \rangle \sim 300$ to 320 MeV, we find that $h \sim 3$. Further, numerically $\zeta(\omega) \simeq 1/3$ \cite{31}, and $\xi(\omega) \sim 2/3$, and $(1 + M_D/M_B) \simeq 4/3$. Thus we obtain a ratio $r$ of order $1/4$, i.e. our model can account for roughly $3/4$ of the amplitude needed to explain the experimental branching ratio.

**VII. CONCLUSION**

We have presented perturbative QCD corrections for the quark process $b \rightarrow c \bar{u}q$ calculated completely within HQEFT at one loop level, and scaled with RGE down to $\mu = \Lambda_\chi \simeq 1$ GeV. We have shown that the factorized amplitude for process $B_d^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 D^0$ is proportional to a Wilson coefficient combination close to zero. Thus the non-factorizable contribution dominate the amplitude for this decay mode. To handle the non-factorizable contributions we have extended previous chiral quark models for the pure light quark case \cite{17} used in \cite{18, 24, 29}, and the heavy light case \cite{23} used in \cite{13, 11, 12, 22, 37}, to include also energetic light quarks. Thus, within our framework, the heavy and the energetic light quarks are represented by reduced fields corresponding to the redundant soft(er) interactions obtained when we split off the hard momenta, being of order $m_b$ or $m_c$ for heavy quarks and $E \simeq m_b/2$ for the light energetic quark.

We have found that within our model we can account for $3/4$ of the amplitude needed to
explain the experimental branching ratio \[32\]. In addition to our contributions one might think of mesonic loops like for processes of the type \( B \to D \bar{D} \) \[3\] and \( B \to \gamma D \) \[12\], but for such mesonic loops one has to inserted ad hoc form factors, or they should be handled within dispersion relation techniques. In both cases such calculations are beyond the scope of this paper. Anyway, final state interactions should be present \[39\].
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