Change detection of bare-ice albedo in the Swiss Alps
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Abstract. Albedo feedback is an important driver of glacier melt over bare-ice surfaces. Light-absorbing impurities strongly enhance glacier melt rates but their abundance, composition and variations in space and time are subject to considerable uncertainties and ongoing scientific debates. In this study, we assess the temporal evolution of shortwave broadband albedo derived from 15 end-of-summer Landsat scenes for the bare-ice areas of 39 large glaciers in the western and southern Swiss Alps. Trends in bare-ice albedo crucially depend on the spatial scale considered. No significant negative temporal trend in bare-ice albedo was found on a regional to glacier-wide scale. However, at higher spatial scales, certain areas of bare ice, including the lowermost elevations and margins of the ablation zones, revealed significant darkening over the study period 1999 to 2016. A total glacier area of 13.5 km² (equivalent to about 12 % of the average end-of-summer bare-ice area in the study area) exhibited albedo trends significant at the 95 % confidence level or higher. Most of this area was affected by a negative albedo trend of about −0.05 decade⁻¹. Generally, bare-ice albedo exhibits a strong interannual variability, caused by a complex interplay of meteorological conditions prior to the acquisition of the data, local glacier characteristics and the date of the investigated satellite imagery. Although a darkening of glacier ice was found to be present over only a limited region, we emphasize that due to the recent and projected growth of bare-ice areas and prolongation of the ablation season in the region, the albedo feedback will considerably enhance the rate of glacier mass loss in the Swiss Alps in the near future.

1 Introduction

Glaciers are known to be excellent indicators of climate change (IPCC, 2013). Increasing air temperatures and changing precipitation patterns provoke snow lines to rise to higher altitudes and thus a spatially greater exposure of bare-ice surfaces. In connection with a general prolongation of the ablation season, the increased climatic forcing causes an amplification of glacier melt (Kuhn, 1993; Oerlemans, 2001). However, these changing glacier characteristics trigger feedback mechanisms, in particular positive albedo feedback, which enhances bare-ice melting (Tedesco et al., 2011; Box et al., 2012). Hence, the strongly negative mass balances of many glaciers are not solely a direct signal of atmospheric warming but result from a complex interplay of changes in climate forcing and related surface–atmosphere feedback mechanisms.

Currently, there is an ongoing debate about the occurrence and rate of glacier and ice sheet darkening worldwide. While studies like those of Oerlemans et al. (2009), Wang et al. (2014), Takeuchi (2001) or Mernild et al. (2015) observed a darkening for one or several glaciers, in the European Alps, the Chinese and Nepalese Himalayas or in Greenland’s peripheral glacierized areas over varying timescales, respectively, evidence of darkening from sectors of the Greenland Ice Sheet is less pronounced, leading to controversial discussions (cf. Box et al., 2012; Alexander et al., 2014; Dumont et al., 2014; Polashenski et al., 2015; Tedesco et al., 2016). The recalibration of the MODIS sensors leads to a reduction in spatial extent and statistical strength of albedo trends over the Greenland Ice Sheet (Casey et al., 2017). Moreover, the emergence of legacy contaminants, radionuclides or heavy
metals contained in cryoconite holes at lower elevations on Alpine glaciers (Bogdal et al., 2009; Pavlova et al., 2014; Steinlin et al., 2014, 2016; Baccolo et al., 2017) or outcropping ice containing high dust concentrations potentially associated with paleo-climatic conditions in the ablation zone of the Greenland Ice Sheet (Wientjes and Oerlemans, 2010; Wientjes et al., 2012; Goelles and Boggild, 2017) may emphasize the impact of light-absorbing impurities on ice melt. In addition, the recent recognition of the potential role of biological impurities (Cook et al., 2017; Stibal et al., 2017; Tedstone et al., 2017) further indicates an amplification of this linkage between impurities and supra-glacial processes.

To date, most long-term studies either used point data from automatic weather stations located in the ablation area of a glacier (e.g. Oerlemans et al., 2009), coarsely spaced satellite data from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) (e.g. Stroeve et al., 2013; Mernild et al., 2015), downscaled MODIS data (Dumont et al., 2012; Sirguey et al., 2016; Davaze et al., 2018) or other remote sensing data sets (e.g. Wang et al., 2014) to infer trends in ice albedo. Mostly, studies validated the satellite-derived albedo values with in situ data measured at one to several locations on the ground, which is not always ideal (Ryan et al., 2017). However, for the limited size of Alpine glaciers and the complex surrounding topography, the spatial resolution of MODIS data (500 m) is not suitable, and no appropriate high-resolution albedo product is readily available. Thus, studies focusing on alpine glaciers often base their analysis on higher-resolution data sets to obtain information about glacier surface albedo and related changes and processes (Dumont et al., 2011; Fugazza et al., 2016; Di Mauro et al., 2017) or point-measurements from automatic weather stations, such as the long-term monitoring site on Vadret da Morteratsch, which revealed a point-based mean summer albedo decrease between 1996 and 2006 of 0.17 (Oerlemans et al., 2009). However, when debating the darkening of glaciers, a clear distinction between glacier-wide vs. point-based investigations is necessary to be able to clearly separate a darkening effect due to a changing ratio of snow-covered to snow-free areas of a glacier from other processes affecting the reflectivity of glacier surfaces. Moreover, separation between albedo changes of bare ice or snow is required to correctly distinguish between differing processes and dependencies impacting snow and ice in particular ways.

In this study, we use Landsat data to obtain spatially distributed bare-ice albedo for 39 glaciers, with a total area of 480 km² (corresponding to about a quarter of the present glaciation of the European Alps), located in western and southern Switzerland over the 17-year period 1999 to 2016. We only focus on the bare-ice areas, defined as glacier surfaces covered neither by snow nor by thick debris. Snow- or debris-covered glacier surfaces affect glacier mass balance through different processes and are thus not of interest to our analysis. We examine trends and their significance to better quantify and investigate a possible darkening of glacier ice in the western and southern Swiss Alps from the local point to the regional scale. Causes and external factors that might impact bare-ice albedo and explain its spatial and temporal evolution are discussed.

2 Study sites and data

Our study focuses on 39 glaciers located in the western and southern Swiss Alps (Fig. 1). All of them are characterized by a surface area of roughly 5 km² or larger, and thus offer a large-enough spatial extent to study the evolution of bare-ice surfaces and related albedo changes. The investigated glaciers vary considerably in size, ranging from about 5 km² (Gietro, Schwarzberg) to almost 80 km² (Aletsch), and span an elevation range from about 1850 m above sea level (m.a.s.l.) to over 4500 m.a.s.l. (Table 1). According to the most recent Swiss Glacier Inventory, the 39 glaciers covered a total area of 483 km² in 2010 (Fischer et al., 2014). We used a Sentinel-2 scene (20 m spatial resolution) acquired on the 23 August 2016 to manually adjust the glacier outlines and to obtain up-to-date glacier extents totalling 442 km² (Paul et al., 2016). For our analysis, we excluded heavily debris-covered parts, such as medial moraines or debris-covered glacier tongues, as we focus on the albedo of bare ice only. Hence, the area difference of 41 km² between 2010 and 2016 does not solely stem from glacier retreat, but is also due to our exclusion of all glacier areas with thick debris cover for this study, i.e. debris-covered glacier tongues (e.g. Zmutt, Unteraar, Zinal, Oberaletsch) or medial moraines (e.g. Aletsch). The obtained glacier outlines for the year 2016 are used in all consecutive analysis; thus, the glacier outline is kept constant over the study period and does not evolve with time.

We used the Landsat Surface Reflectance Level-2 science products of the USGS for Landsat 5 and 7 (TM/ETM+) and 8 (OLI) as a basis to obtain broadband shortwave albedo (see Sect. 3.2). For Landsat TM and ETM+, the product is generated from the specialized software Landsat Ecosystem Disturbance Adaptive Processing System (LEDAPS), whereas the Landsat OLI product is based on the Landsat 8 Surface Reflectance Code (LaSRC). These data products consist of six (TM/ETM+) or seven (OLI) individual spectral bands in the wavelength range of around 440 to 2300 nm, with slight deviations of the individual bandwidths for the specific sensors. Detailed information about these products can be found in Masek et al. (2006) for Landsat TM/ETM+, and in Vermote et al. (2016) for Landsat 8, as well as in the product guidelines provided by the USGS. In the context of this study, it is important to mention that both products are corrected neither for topography nor shadow effects. Claverie et al. (2015) investigated the accuracy of retrieved surface reflectance values based on the LEDAPS algorithm by inter-comparing the product with data from the Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) and MODIS data obtained on the same day.
This comparison showed good results overall with the poorest performance in the blue band, which is known to have the greatest atmospheric sensitivity (Vermote and Kotchenova, 2008). Most importantly, they found no trend or significant year-to-year variability, suggesting this product to be highly valuable for temporal analysis. Similarly, Vermote et al. (2016) analysed the performance of the Landsat 8 surface reflectance product, concluding with high correlations between the MODIS and OLI surface reflectance values, with the worst results found again for the blue band, and a general improvement of Landsat OLI surface reflectance product over the ad hoc Landsat TM/ETM+ LEDAPS product. All 39 glaciers are represented by one scene (path 195, row 28) and we examined a total of 16 scenes between the years 1999 and 2016; however, as there were multiple available for 2013, for that year one scene was chosen per glacier individually (Table 2). To obtain maximum information about the bare-ice area of the glaciers, only scenes acquired at the end-of-summer (from August or September) were chosen. Unfortunately, no good scenes are available for the years 2001, 2007 and 2010. The restriction to choose end-of-summer scenes only hampers the investigation of seasonal changes, but favours an intercomparison over multiple years. On average, a scene comprised 119 km$^2$ of bare ice (Table 2).

Our surface type retrieval approach based on the obtained broadband shortwave albedo (see Sect. 3.2) requires a digital elevation model. We used the DHM25 with an original spatial resolution of 25 m provided by Swisstopo (Topography, 2005) and resampled it to 30 m spatial resolution to match the broadband shortwave albedo data sets derived from Landsat.

To contextualize our results, the lithology surrounding the individual glaciers based on the lithological–petrographic map of Switzerland (GK500) provided by Swiss Geological Commission (SGTK) was used; the map has a 1 : 500 000 scale, and shows the subsurface strata subdivided into 25 groups according to their formation, their mineralogical composition, their particle size and their crystallinity. Based on Kasling and Thuro (2010, see their Table 2), these groups were divided into less abrasive rocks (calcareous phyllites, limestones and marly shales, CERCHAR Abrasivity Index, CAI, 0–2) and very to extremely abrasive rocks (amphibolites, basic rocks, gneiss, granites, mica schists and syenites, CAI 2–6). Thereupon each individual glacier was assigned to one of the subgroups (CAI 0–2 or CAI 2–6).

3 Methods

3.1 Pre-processing

All reflectance data were downloaded through http://earthexplorer.usgs.gov (last access: August 2018) (Table 2). The final selection of all scenes is based on a visual check. As cloud masks provided with the science products are known to have certain limitations, in particular for bright targets such as snow and ice, but also misclassified medial and lateral moraines, we used a semi-automatic classification approach based on the spectral angle mapper (SAM, Kruse et al., 1993) implemented in ENVI to detect and delineate clouds obscuring the glacier surfaces. For each sensor (TM, ETM+, OLI) a spectral library of cloud signatures was manually compiled, which served as reference library for the respective sensor.
Table 1. Overview of all 39 study glaciers, their area (2010 according to Fischer et al., 2014, 2016 excluding thick debris coverage), elevation range and the lithology of rocks surrounding the glacier. Glaciers are ordered according to their surface area.

| Glacier name         | Area 2010 (km²) | Area 2016 (km²) | Elev. range (m a.s.l.) | Lithology               |
|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Grosser Aletsch      | 78.4            | 74.3            | 1872–4120              | mica schists, gneiss    |
| Grenz                | 40.2            | 37.3            | 2319–4536              | mica schists, gneiss    |
| Fiescher (VS)        | 29.5            | 28.2            | 2102–4082              | mica schists, gneiss    |
| Unteraar             | 22.5            | 19.5            | 2278–3925              | granites, syenites      |
| Rhone                | 15.3            | 15.0            | 2300–3621              | granites, syenites      |
| Trift                | 14.9            | 14.6            | 2191–3383              | mica schists, gneiss    |
| Corbassière          | 15.2            | 14.2            | 2496–4306              | calc. phyllites, marly shales |
| Findeilen            | 14.2            | 13.8            | 2661–3929              | mica schists, gneiss    |
| Oberaletsch          | 17.5            | 16.7            | 2456–3831              | granites, syenites      |
| Otemma               | 12.6            | 11.0            | 2607–3779              | basic rocks             |
| Kanderfirn           | 12.2            | 11.4            | 2408–3203              | granites, syenites      |
| Zinal                | 13.4            | 10.9            | 2466–4035              | granites, syenites      |
| Gauli                | 11.4            | 11.1            | 2335–3597              | gneiss                  |
| Zmutt                | 13.7            | 10.1            | 2650–4030              | amphibolites            |
| Unterer Theodul      | 9.4             | 9.1             | 2611–4155              | basic rocks             |
| Mont Miné            | 9.9             | 9.3             | 2403–3719              | granites, syenites      |
| Allalin              | 9.2             | 8.9             | 2686–4167              | gneiss                  |
| Fiescher (BE)        | 9.4             | 8.4             | 1999–4086              | limestones              |
| Ferpècle             | 9.0             | 8.6             | 2289–3659              | granites, syenites      |
| Oberer Grindelwald   | 8.4             | 8.1             | 1931–3715              | granites, syenites      |
| Plaine Morte         | 7.3             | 7.5             | 2514–2874              | calc. phyllites, marly shales |
| Lang                 | 8.3             | 7.2             | 2461–3894              | mica schists, gneiss    |
| Fee                  | 7.3             | 7.1             | 2590–4014              | basic rocks             |
| Ried                 | 7.3             | 7.0             | 2400–4247              | mica schists, gneiss    |
| Obers Ischmeer       | 7.3             | 6.8             | 2107–3880              | gneiss                  |
| Saleina              | 6.5             | 6.2             | 2320–3863              | granites, syenites      |
| Brenay               | 7.1             | 6.0             | 2728–3824              | granites, syenites      |
| Trient               | 5.8             | 5.8             | 2160–3477              | granites, syenites      |
| Mittelaletsch        | 6.9             | 5.7             | 2599–4059              | granites, syenites      |
| Stein                | 5.7             | 5.5             | 2190–3462              | amphibolites            |
| Mont Durand          | 6.1             | 5.4             | 2769–4102              | mica schists, gneiss    |
| Rosenlau            | 5.4             | 5.3             | 2102–3623              | granites, syenites      |
| Gietro               | 5.2             | 5.1             | 2792–3815              | calc. phyllites, marly shales |
| Turtmann             | 5.2             | 5.1             | 2409–4141              | granites, syenites      |
| Mont Collon          | 5.4             | 5.0             | 2532–3670              | granites, syenites      |
| Brunegg              | 5.5             | 5.0             | 2715–3796              | granites, syenites      |
| Schwarzberg          | 5.2             | 4.7             | 2728–3549              | mica schists, gneiss    |
| Moming               | 5.3             | 4.6             | 2692–4036              | basic rocks             |
| Tschingelfirm        | 5.2             | 4.4             | 2395–3318              | limestones              |

Hence, for each scene we obtained a cloud mask that was used to exclude cloud-affected pixels from all consecutive analyses. Likewise, SAM was used to obtain shadow masks for each individual scene to exclude grid cells that are affected by cloud or topographic shadow effects. Except for the scene taken on the 9 September 2013, when about 14 % of the study area was cloud covered (northeastern part of the study area), the cloud coverage was generally smaller than 5 % (Table 2). Cloud and topographic shadows were identified in up to about 8 % of the study area at the maximum (28 September 2014, Table 2).

### 3.2 Albedo retrieval

We applied the narrow-to-broadband conversion by Liang (2001) to obtain shortwave broadband albedo $\alpha_{\text{short}}$ from the surface reflectance data. The conversion is based on five of the seven individual bands, and is formulated as follows:

$$
\alpha_{\text{short}} = 0.356\alpha_1 + 0.130\alpha_3 + 0.373\alpha_4 + 0.085\alpha_5 + 0.072\alpha_7 - 0.0018,
$$

where $\alpha_i$ represents the narrowband ground reflectance of TM/ETM+ in band $i$. For Landsat OLI, the band numbers...
Table 2. Overview of Landsat scenes used. The bare-ice area is given in km$^2$ and relative to the total study area of 442 km$^2$. Cloud and shadow coverage are also given relative to the total study area.

| Landsat mission (sensor) | Date (dd.mm.yyyy) | Bare-ice area (km$^2$) | Clouds (%) | Shadows (%) |
|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|
| Landsat 7 (ETM+)         | 11.09.1999        | 119.7                  | 27.1       | 0.1        | 4.5        |
| Landsat 7 (ETM+)         | 12.08.2000        | 89.7                   | 20.3       | 0.5        | 1.4        |
| Landsat 7 (ETM+)         | 18.08.2002        | 61.0                   | 13.8       | 1.0        | 3.5        |
| Landsat 5 (TM)           | 13.08.2003        | 182.5                  | 41.3       | 0.0        | 0.4        |
| Landsat 7 (ETM+)         | 08.09.2004        | 132.0                  | 29.9       | 0.0        | 2.5        |
| Landsat 7 (ETM+)         | 10.08.2005        | 72.6                   | 16.4       | 5.9        | 1.0        |
| Landsat 5 (TM)           | 22.09.2006        | 95.8                   | 21.7       | 0.0        | 6.0        |
| Landsat 7 (ETM+)         | 18.08.2008        | 24.6                   | 5.6        | 0.1        | 0.8        |
| Landsat 5 (TM)           | 30.09.2009        | 185.2                  | 41.9       | 0.0        | 7.2        |
| Landsat 7 (ETM+)         | 12.09.2011        | 146.8                  | 33.2       | 0.4        | 2.8        |
| Landsat 7 (ETM+)         | 14.09.2012        | 22.1                   | 5.0        | 0.8        | 3.7        |
| Landsat 8 (OLI)          | 09.09.2013        | 91.1                   | 20.6       | 14.0       | 4.5        |
| Landsat 8 (OLI)          | 25.09.2013        | 91.1                   | 20.6       | 14.0       | 4.5        |
| Landsat 8 (OLI)          | 28.09.2014        | 153.5                  | 34.7       | 1.0        | 8.3        |
| Landsat 8 (OLI)          | 30.08.2015        | 214.9                  | 48.6       | 1.4        | 2.1        |
| Landsat 8 (OLI)          | 01.09.2016        | 189.4                  | 42.8       | 2.3        | 3.1        |

* For each individual glacier only one of these two scenes in 2013 is taken (based on minimal cloud and/or snow coverage).

were adjusted accordingly. This conversion was developed based on a large empirical data set and the band configurations of Landsat TM/ETM+. As shown by Naegeli et al. (2017) this albedo retrieval approach can also be applied to the most recent mission Landsat 8 and is suitable for mountain glaciers. It provides albedo products that have a high accuracy and only deviate marginally (< 0.01) from a more sophisticated albedo retrieval approach if using the same baseline data set. Uncertainties in the albedo product not stemming from the retrieval approach but caused by the input data or the general data processing, such as saturation problems over snow-covered areas or missing topographic correction on the radiometry, are elaborated in Sect. 3.5. Unrealistic albedo values, i.e. over 1 or below 0.05, are set to no data.

3.3 Surface type evaluation

The delineation of bare-ice area vs. snow-covered surfaces is based on a multi-step classification scheme of the surface albedo values (Fig. 2). The classification is thus based on a physical parameter specific for both snow and ice. First, two threshold values for “certainly snow” ($\alpha > 0.55$) and “certainly ice” ($\alpha < 0.25$) are defined (“primary surface type evaluation”, Fig. 2) based on recommendations in the literature (Cuffey and Paterson, 2010). This results in a critical albedo range ($0.25 < \alpha < 0.55$), where an unambiguous assignment of the surface type, i.e. snow or ice, is not possible without considering other parameters. Within this range of albedo values, outliers are suppressed by adjusting all albedo values ($\alpha_{corr}$) by multiplying with a constant value (SLA$_{const}$). Therefore, we take advantage of a digital elevation model available for all glaciers to evaluate the average albedo in elevation bands of 20 m within this critical albedo range. The transition between ice and snow is typically characterized by a distinct change in albedo (e.g. Hall et al., 1987; Zeng et al., 1983; Winther, 1993). We thus derive an estimate of the mean snow-line altitude (SLA) for each glacier and scene based on the greatest slope of the albedo-elevation profile. The albedo for this altitude is considered to be the site-and scene-specific albedo threshold discriminating snow and ice and is henceforth termed $\alpha_{crit}$.

Second, we use the SLA and $\alpha_{crit}$ as reference to evaluate the surface type within the range of critical albedo values, where there is ambiguity between snow and ice (“secondary surface type evaluation”, Fig. 2). Finally, all grid cells are evaluated regarding their relative position compared to the SLA within a critical radius $r_{crit}$ (“probability test to eliminate extreme outliers”, Fig. 2). Grid cells located clearly above the SLA are more likely to be snow than ice, and vice versa. An increasing positive or negative vertical distance from the SLA thus results in penalties for the likelihood of the cell within the critical albedo range of being either snow or ice. As an example, a grid cell near the glacier terminus with an albedo of 0.42, i.e. a rather high albedo for Alpine glacier ice, will be classified as ice. An albedo of, for example, 0.35 observed for the highest regions of the glacier, in contrast, will be classified as snow, as the low albedo is more likely to be explained by an erroneous albedo determination (e.g. shadows) than by actually snow-free conditions. In summary, our procedure to distinguish between snow and bare-ice surfaces relies on remotely
determined surface albedo and merges this information with surface elevation in a probability-based approach to detect outliers and to automatically adapt the classification to the site- and scene-specific conditions.

### 3.4 Trend analysis

Over the study period 1999 to 2016, one end-of-summer Landsat snapshot was available for 15 years (cf. Table 2). Unfortunately, in 3 years no end-of-summer scene is available due to obscuring by clouds. Thus, at most the albedo trend of an individual grid cell is characterized by 15 end-of-summer albedo values. However, due to cloud coverage, differing amounts of snow-covered areas in the scenes and/or sensor artefacts, fewer scenes were usually available to evaluate a temporal bare-ice albedo trend for single grid cells. We arbitrarily set the necessary number of scenes to 50 %; thus, at least eight albedo values are required for calculating the albedo trend of one individual grid cell. We used the non-parametric Mann–Kendall (MK) test (Mann, 1945; Kendall, 1975) to evaluate the confidence level of the trends (significant at the 95 %, 90 %, 80 % level, or not significant). For grid cells with significant trends, the magnitude of the trend was determined based on linear regression through all available data points. Trends are given as albedo change per decade.

### 3.5 Uncertainty assessment

Our results are subject to uncertainties arising from errors in the input data, the general data processing, the albedo retrieval approach and the availability of data as well as environmental factors. In general, the used input data, the Landsat Surface Reflectance Level-2 science products for Landsat 5 and 7 (TM/ETM+) and 8 (OLI) (see Sect. 2), are Tier 1 products offered by and suggested to be used for time series analysis at pixel level by the USGS. These data are geo-referenced with ≤ 12 m radial root-mean-square error and intercalibrated across the different Landsat sensors (Young et al., 2017). Major drawbacks of these data are the missing topographic correction on the radiometry (Young et al., 2017), the saturation problem over snow-covered areas in the TM and ETM+ data and the scan line corrector (SLC) failure in the ETM+ data post-May 2003, resulting in missing data. While the latter is negligible due to the rather small areas studied (see also Sect. 4.1), the former are of minor impact as only bare-ice areas situated in rather flat terrain on glacier tongues are considered for the analysis of temporal albedo evolution in this study. The retrieval of albedo values from the reflectance products is limited by the availability of spectral information of the input data. The application of a narrow-to-broadband equation (Eq. 1) is known to perform reliably, in general and over glacierized areas in particular as outlined by different studies (Knap et al., 1999; Liang, 2001; Greuell et al., 2002; Naegeli et al., 2017). Moreover, the impact of a missing bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF) correction scheme is negligible, but generally results in a slight underestimation of albedo values (Naegeli et al., 2017). Overall, the uncertainties stemming from the input data, the general data processing and the albedo retrieval approach are hard to quantify and, hence, no exact number is given here. However, as this study focuses on relative changes of albedo rather than absolute values, the conducted analyses based on the given input data can be considered as reliable and robust.

The general data availability is limited, and only end-of-summer albedo evolution could be analysed. For investigating sub-seasonal variations, the frequency of cloud and/or snow-free and high-quality Landsat scenes was too sparse. This lack of data throughout the entire ablation season of the glaciers is mainly caused by the occurrence of clouds, but also other environmental factors, such as fresh snowfalls, which also hinder the investigation of bare-ice albedo. Subsequently, no data were available for 3 years of the study period (see Sect. 2). The occurrence of fresh snow on the glacier surfaces is manifested in elevated albedo values and/or strongly reduced bare-ice surfaces. We checked the scenes used in...
To assess the impact of local albedo uncertainty on the determination and the robustness of potential temporal trends, we randomly perturbed the distributed bare-ice albedo values of every grid cell and scene, and for all 39 individual glaciers with the computed average uncertainty of local albedo of 0.026 (average pixel number of 3500). The re-evaluation of the long-term albedo trends significant at the 80 % level according to the MK test revealed that they were not affected by the random perturbation of the albedo values. Both a very similar area of the glacier’s bare-ice surfaces and distribution of trend magnitude was found in the perturbed data sets. However, for trends significant at the 95 % confidence level or higher, a slightly smaller area (11 km²) was detected (cf. Table 3). Within this area, the majority (77 %) of all pixels is affected by negative trends, which are highly similar as obtained by the original albedo data sets (cf. Table 4). Moreover, trends in local bare-ice albedo remained robust even if assumed uncertainties were chosen substantially higher than just the value for snapshot uncertainty.

4 Results

4.1 Spatially distributed shortwave broadband albedo

Figure 3 shows the spatio-temporal evolution of glacier-wide shortwave broadband albedo for Findelengletscher. The retrieval of meaningful albedo values is restricted by the quality of the surface reflectance data and, thus, the availability of realistic values in the individual bands needed for the narrow-to-broadband conversion. For Landsat TM/ETM+, a saturation problem over snow-covered areas exists, resulting in missing values for these regions (1999–2012 in Fig. 3). This problem is not present in the Landsat 8 data (2013–2016 in Fig. 3). Missing data in some of the Landsat ETM+ data, generated due to the SLC failure post May 2003, also occur in our albedo retrievals (e.g. 8 September 2004 in Fig. 3). We tested the impact of the SLC failure by simulating missing data for three scenes with an intact SLC for Findelen. SLC failure resulted in slightly higher mean bare-ice albedo values (1.2 % to 2.2 %, e.g. 12 August 2000 SLC-on mean bare-ice albedo 0.204 vs. SLC-off mean bare-ice albedo 0.209 indicating a difference of 2.2 %), which is a negligible impact. Although, we applied a cloud removal algorithm, our results are still impacted by cloud shadows that are harder to detect without manual effort (e.g. 18 August 2002 in Fig. 3). However, the bare-ice area is almost always well represented and inferred albedo is realistic, hence allowing for a monitoring through time.

Generally, the average albedo values for the bare-ice surfaces are rather low, ranging from 0.18 to 0.31 for individual glaciers as a mean over the entire study period. For all 39 glaciers and over the entire study period we obtained a mean bare-ice surface albedo of 0.22. Extreme years with a generally very high snow-line altitude (2003, 2011, 2015) or very

---

**Table 3.** Overview of scenes used in the snapshot uncertainty analysis. \( P_x \) refers to the number of pixels that were used to derive uncertainty. Mean (\( \sigma_{\text{mean}} \)), minimum (\( \sigma_{\text{min}} \)) and maximum (\( \sigma_{\text{max}} \)) albedo, as well as the mean (\( \sigma_{\text{mean}} \)) standard deviation of point-based bare-ice albedo for each individual scene set from each year are given.

| Year | Day   | \( P_x \) | \( \sigma_{\text{mean}} \) | \( \sigma_{\text{min}} \) | \( \sigma_{\text{max}} \) | \( \sigma_{\text{mean}} \) |
|------|-------|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 2013 | 9 Sep | 1190      | 0.204          | 0.052           | 0.370           | 0.040          |
|      | 25 Sep|           | 0.233          | 0.051           | 0.361           |               |
| 2014 | 7 Aug | 3869      | 0.213          | 0.051           | 0.382           |               |
|      | 28 Sep|           | 0.224          | 0.052           | 0.383           | 0.024         |
| 2015 | 30 Aug| 3446      | 0.174          | 0.052           | 0.403           |               |
|      | 8 Sep |           | 0.178          | 0.051           | 0.356           | 0.031         |
| 2016 | 25 Aug| 5495      | 0.152          | 0.051           | 0.315           | 0.008         |
|      | 1 Sep |           | 0.156          | 0.051           | 0.295           |               |

Mean for 2013–2016: 3500, 0.026
low snow-line altitude (2013, 2014) are linked to summers with exceptionally long and warm or rather cold and humid weather situations, and thus strong or weak ablation, respectively (Glaciological Reports, 2017).

4.2 Regional and ablation-area trends in bare-ice albedo

We averaged mean albedo over the entire bare-ice area for each year and glacier to obtain 39 individual time series for the study period 1999 to 2016. As the outlines from 2016 are consistently used over time, constant, minimal extents per glacier are evaluated. In addition, overall, yearly averages were determined based on the individual time series of the 39 glaciers (Fig. 4a).

Individual glaciers show considerable variation (up to 0.45 difference between minimum/maximum values) of mean bare-ice albedo between years. However, some glaciers show only minor interannual variability of about 0.06, such as for Grosser Aletsch and Unteraar. On average, the glaciers exhibit a range of 0.22 in minimum and maximum values in between individual years. Due to these large interannual variations, no significant trends in average glacier-wide bare-ice albedo between 1999 and 2016 for 37 out of the 39 glaciers were found. Only two (Brenay, Ferpècle) show slightly positive trends that are significant at the 95 % confidence level according to the MK test.

The yearly values of the 39-glacier average albedo time series range from 0.18 to 0.29, with a mean of 0.22. As for the individual glaciers, no significant trend was found for the averaged time series over the period 1999 to 2016.

4.3 Local trend in bare-ice albedo

As trends in bare-ice albedo for the entire ablation area of glaciers might be diluted by averaging over larger areas, or be affected by data uncertainty, we also evaluated the trend in albedo for all grid cells individually. For 114.5 km² (26 %) of the entire surface area of all glaciers, trends were significant at the 80 % level according to the MK test (Table 4). Of these, 13.5 km² (12 %) showed trends significant at the 95 % confidence level or higher. Trends were classified according to their magnitude for interpretation. Our classification is shown in Table 3. Classes with clear negative trends (class 1–3) are more abundant compared to classes with no clear or positive trends (class 4–7) at very high confidence level (95 % or higher) (Table 3). Thus, significant albedo trends at a confidence level of 95 % or higher in the bare-ice areas of the studied glaciers were only detected for grid cells with a rather strong reduction of albedo over the 17 years. Surprisingly, more than 80 % of all grid cells with significant albedo changes at the 95 % or higher confidence level showed negative trends: 25 % exhibited changes of around $-0.02 \text{ decade}^{-1}$, but almost 60 % of cells showed trends more negative than $-0.03 \text{ decade}^{-1}$. However, for some grid cells, a total of about 15 % or 2 km², positive albedo trends significant at the 95 % confidence level were also detected.

For most of the bare-ice area, the derived trends in albedo were only significant at low levels. Compared to the glacier’s overall ablation area only relatively few grid cells with trends significant at the 95 % confidence level or higher (dark blue areas in Fig. 5) are present. The cells with significant trends at high confidence levels are usually situated at the termini or along the lower margins of the glaciers and trends are mostly negative (cf. Table 4, Figs. 5–7). The darkening can be attributed to different causes. At the glacier termini, an accumulation of fine debris due to the deposition of allochthonous material and/or melt-out of englacial debris is most likely. These materials, together with the presence of organic material, usually dark and humic substances, decrease local albedo values considerably and foster the growth of algae and bacteria (Hodson et al., 2010; Yallop et al., 2012; Takeuchi, 2013; Stibal et al., 2017). However, many of these effects and interactions are still unclear. Along the glacier margins an increase in debris cover due to small collapses or input of morainic material and, hence, a deposition of rather thick debris on the bare ice is possible. Moreover, the appearance of debris-rich basal ice alongside the lower glacier margins due to the general glacier recession poses a further cause of local darkening (Hubbard and Sharp, 1995; Hubbard et al., 2009).

Along the central area of the glacier tongue, particularly in the vicinity of medial moraines (e.g. in the case of Gornergletscher, Fig. 7), a strongly negative albedo trend indicates an expanding medial moraine, changing the local area from clean to (partly) debris-covered ice. In contrast, we also find significant positive albedo trends for some locations on the glacier tongues (see Fig. 7). These might be explained by the effect of glacier flow changing the position of the medial moraine, hence leading to a transition from debris-covered to clean ice with a higher albedo for certain grid cells. Lateral shifts of the position of medial moraines are possible for retreating glaciers (Anderson, 2000). The investigation of the lithology surrounding the 39 individual glaciers and their overall albedo trend observed for the study period (Table 1) revealed that glaciers predominantly surrounded by less abrasive rocks (calcareous phyllites, limestones and marly shales, CERCHAR Abrasivity Index (CAI) 0–2 from Käsling and Thuro, 2010) exhibited a stronger negative albedo change of $-0.05 \text{ decade}^{-1}$ compared to glaciers that are located in an area of very to extremely abrasive rocks ($-0.03 \text{ albedo change per decade}$; amphibolites, basic rocks, gneiss, granites, mica schists and syenites, CAI 2–6 from Käsling and Thuro, 2010).
5 Discussion

5.1 Temporal evolution of shortwave broadband albedo

Throughout the study period of 17 years, the spatial pattern of bare-ice albedo remained relatively stable for the 39 glaciers. However, the extent of the bare-ice area exhibits a strong interannual variability (Table 2). This is mainly determined by local, temporary meteorological conditions varying strongly from year to year. The meteorological conditions prior to the acquisition dates are crucial as they considerably alter the surface characteristics and thus the observed broadband shortwave albedo. Moreover, a prolonged ablation period has a strong impact on surface properties such as surface roughness and, hence, also impacts on glacier surface albedo (Cathles et al., 2011; Rippin et al., 2015; Rossini et al., 2018). On smaller spatial and temporal scales, variations in glacier surface albedo are further evoked by meltwater redistribution of impurities (Hodson et al., 2007; Irvine-Fynn et al., 2012).

However, these complex surface–atmosphere interactions are still rather poorly constrained, in particular in the temporal dimension, and further research in this area is needed. Nevertheless, as this study focused on end-of-summer (August and September) scenes only, the relative variations between the individual years are comparable and robust.

In general, relatively low bare-ice albedo values were detected for all glaciers and over the entire study period. It is therefore conceivable that a darkening process occurred before the beginning of our observation period in 1999. How-
Table 4. Overview of classes of bare-ice albedo trends for individual grid cells between 1999 and 2016 corresponding to the confidence levels of 80 % and 95 % according to the MK test. Numbers refer to the sum of all bare-ice grid cells of all 39 study glaciers.

| Class (no.) | Albedo trend (albedo change decade\(^{-1}\)) | Confidence level 80 % (%) | Confidence level 95 % (km\(^2\)) |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1           | < −0.05                                    | 8.8                       | 10.1                          |
| 2           | −0.05 to −0.03                             | 11.2                      | 12.9                          |
| 3           | −0.03 to −0.01                             | 21.5                      | 24.6                          |
| 4           | −0.01 to 0.01                              | 26.0                      | 29.8                          |
| 5           | 0.01 to 0.03                               | 17.9                      | 20.5                          |
| 6           | 0.03 to 0.05                               | 8.4                       | 9.7                           |
| 7           | > 0.05                                     | 6.1                       | 7.0                           |
| Total       |                                            | 100                       | 114.5                         |

Figure 5. Confidence levels of bare-ice albedo trends over the study period 1999 to 2016 according to the MK test. See Fig. 1 for the location of the different panels in the Swiss Alps.

ever, unfortunately there are no data to investigate this hypothesis. The general conclusions of this study are thus valid for the investigated period, but do not exclude a possible darkening over a longer time span.

5.2 Spatial scales of trends

A clear distinction between regional or glacier-wide bare-ice and local albedo changes is necessary if temporal trends are investigated. A negative trend in glacier-wide albedo (i.e. including both the ablation and the accumulation area) does not necessarily indicate a darkening of the glacier surface but rather a shift in snow line or, in other words, an enlargement of the bare-ice area relative to the total glacier surface. This effect is particularly pronounced in times of rising air temperatures and prolonged ablation periods. In contrast, a negative trend in bare-ice albedo can be an indicator of a darkening phenomenon due to an increased abundance of light-absorbing impurities (mineral dust, organic matter, algae, soot, etc.). Similarly, a lack of trends in bare-ice albedo change at the regional scale does not necessarily exclude the presence of significant trends at the local scale for individual grid cells.

In the frame of this study, we were unable to detect a spatially widespread, regional trend in bare-ice glacier albedo at a significant confidence level. However, for certain regions of
the glaciers, such as the lowermost glacier tongues or along the lower margins, significant negative trends were found. Hence, a clear darkening was observed at the local scale for a limited number of grid cells rather than for entire ablation areas. These findings are in agreement with published literature, but also show that findings of previous studies conducted at the local scale cannot be generalized for an entire glacier or the regional scale. For example, Oerlemans et al. (2009) observed a strongly negative albedo trend at a fixed location close to the terminus of Vadret da Morteratsch, Switzerland, based on weather station data. They found an albedo reduction of 0.17, from 0.32 to 0.15, between 1996 and 2006. This trend is substantially higher than those detected in the present study over the period 1999 to 2016 (see also Table 4). Other studies investigated glacier-wide albedo trends and found negative albedo trends of around 0.1 over the period 2000 to 2013 for Mittivakkat Glacier, Greenland (Mernild et al., 2015) or up to 0.06 during the period 2000 to 2011 for nine glaciers in western China (Wang et al., 2014). However, the differing study periods, the varying observation scales and the impact of local characteristics on albedo changes make a direct comparison of albedo trends susceptible to misinterpretation.

5.3 Possible causes and dependencies of bare-ice darkening

In contrast to the quasi-continuous measurement setup of an automatic weather station, which is, however, only representative for a limited spatial extent (Ryan et al., 2017), airborne and space-borne remote sensing data sets only represent a snapshot in time. Hence, the temporal variability is only included to a certain degree and thus provokes a snapshot uncertainty in surface albedo for evolution analyses. The meteorological conditions prior to the acquisition of the remote sensing imagery are highly important for the snapshot uncertainty (Fugazza et al., 2016). Naegeli et al. (2017) highlighted this fact by cross-comparing albedo products from three different sensors with acquisition times within 1 week. If glacier-wide albedo is compared, a data set acquired later in the ablation season is expected to show a larger bare-ice area characterized by low albedo values compared to a data set acquired at the beginning of the melting period. However, this is only true if meteorological characteristics between the individual acquisition dates are relatively constant. Snowfall or heavy rainfall events might significantly alter the ice surface conditions and the associated albedo values. While fresh snow increases the albedo strongly (e.g. Brock, 2004) and decreases the extent of the bare-ice area (Naegeli et al., 2017), rain can have a two-sided effect. A heavy precipitation event can lead to a short-term (between 1 and 4 days; Azzoni et al.,
increase in albedo due to decreasing surface roughness and/or wash-out of fine debris present on the ice surface (between 5% to 20%, according to Brock, 2004 and Azzoni et al., 2016), whereas light rainfall can cause the presence of a thin water film on the glacier ice surface that absorbs radiation much stronger than the underlying ice and thus results in a decreased albedo. Similarly, a long-lasting phase with high air temperatures or intense shortwave radiation input during midday can lead to a permanent or temporary water film on the ice surface that considerably reduces reflectivity and thus shortwave broadband albedo (Cutler and Munro, 1996; Jonseil et al., 2003; Paul et al., 2005). Moreover, a remaining thin snow cover might cause slightly increased albedo values in the ablation area (still being in the typical range of glacier ice) that is difficult to recognize with remote sensing data sets only (Naegeli et al., 2017). The discussion of these uncertainties and dependencies highlights only parts of the complex spatio-temporal evolution of glacier surface albedo. While some influential factors mediating bare-ice albedo are obvious but challenging to quantify (e.g. meteorological conditions prior to the acqui-
sition of data, micro-topography of the surface, etc.) others, despite being quantifiable, are more ambiguous (glacier geometry, surface slope and aspect, surrounding lithology, etc.). Based on the presented results we therefore emphasize the need for further investigations of temporal and spatial dependencies of bare-ice albedo changes regarding various meteorological or geomorphological conditions and their interactions.

6 Conclusions

Based on 15 Landsat scenes over a 17-year study period, we assessed the spatio-temporal evolution of bare-ice glacier surface albedo for 39 glaciers in the western and southern Swiss Alps. Our results indicate that the considered spatial scale (local vs. regional) is crucial for the investigation of albedo trends and the detection of the potential darkening effect that is often referred to in recent literature (Takeuchi, 2001; Oerlemans et al., 2009; Dumont et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014; Mernild et al., 2015; Tedesco et al., 2016). While we did not find a darkening of bare-ice glacier areas at the regional scale or averaged for the ablation areas of individual glaciers, significant albedo trends (95% confidence level or higher) were, however, revealed at the local scale. These individual grid cells or small areas were mainly located at the glacier termini or along the lower glacier margins in case of negative albedo trends (84% of all significant trends), and along the central flow line further up-glacier in case of positive albedo trends (16%).

The presented study is subject to various uncertainties stemming from the input data itself, its processing and availability, the albedo retrieval approach or environmental factors. However, fortunately most of them are hard to numeralize. Nevertheless, our uncertainty assessment revealed highly similar trend patterns, thus indicating the robustness of the inferred albedo trends. We would like to emphasize the importance of the snapshot uncertainty – the limited availability of end-of-summer scenes demands recognition. Specifically, the meteorological conditions preceding the acquisition of the satellite data can influence bare-ice albedo, e.g. summer snowfall events, and so should be taken into account.

Although only snapshots of glacier surface albedo are available, the almost two-decade-long time series indicates significant trends for about 13.5 km² (corresponding to about 12% of the average end-of-summer bare-ice surface in the study area) at the local scale. Of these, almost 8 km² exhibits clear negative trends of −0.03 decade⁻¹. In contrast, only about 2 km² of all grid cells with significant albedo trends shows positive (+0.03 decade⁻¹) and about 4 km² shows weak changes in bare-ice albedo (> −0.03 and < +0.03 decade⁻¹). For the areas with negative albedo trends over the last two decades, the ice–albedo feedback enhanced melt rates which are expected to be enforced in the near future. Even though the darkening of glacier ice has been found to occur over only a limited area of the investigated glaciers, the projected enlargement of bare-ice areas characterized by low albedo coupled with the predicted prolongation of the melt season will most likely strongly impact on the glacier surface energy balance and substantially enhance glacier mass loss.
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