I. INTRODUCTION

Conformal field theories (CFTs) play a fundamental role in our understanding of the Universe with applications ranging from the discovery of the asymptotic freedom in QCD [1,2] to the potential applications in gravity in the scenario of asymptotic safety [3]. Mathematically, for a given symmetry group, CFT is specified via CFT data, i.e., the scaling dimensions of all the primary operators and the set of operator product expansion coefficients, defined as the constants appearing in the three-point functions of the theory.

In this work, we study the CFT data of the theory invariant under the hypercubic symmetry group $H_N$ realized as a group of symmetries of an $N$-dimensional hypercube. In nature, this symmetry group appears in the description of critical properties of cubic magnets, like iron [4], in which the magnetic anisotropy induced by the lattice structure is experimentally accessible. It also appears in the description of certain structural phase transitions such as the cubic to tetragonal transition in SrTiO$_3$ (strontium titanate) [5].

Hypercubic models are usually investigated in the perturbative $\epsilon$ expansion [6] using standard diagrammatic techniques, and present-day results involve the computation of anomalous dimensions ($\gamma_{\phi^4}$, $\gamma_{\phi^6}$) and beta functions to six-loop order [7,8]. Recently, this theory was also explored nonperturbatively via an exact renormalization-group equation [9] or resorting to the conformal bootstrap method [10–13]. Most of these studies have focused on $H_3$ [10–12], with the exception of Ref. [13], which computed CFT data for a composite operator with two fields and arbitrary spin at $O(\epsilon^3)$ for a generic $N$. Finally, the model was also used in Ref. [14] to investigate the generalized $F$-theorem conjecture.

The aim of this paper is to compute the spectrum of anomalous dimensions for composite operators with an arbitrary number of fields $n$ but no derivatives in the $H_N$ critical theory to $O(\epsilon)$. Our results are complementary to previous works which usually consider small values of $n$ (and/or fix $N$) but at the same time consider an arbitrary number of derivatives and higher order in the $\epsilon$ expansion. After introducing the model in Sec. II, we lay out the representation theory for $H_N$ in Sec. III with the aim to summarize it in a practical way useful for future investigations of hypercubic models. For the computation of the anomalous dimensions, we make use of recently developed techniques [15,16] based on the Schwinger-Dyson equation and CFT constraints, which we outline in Sec. IV. In Sec. V, we present our main results, providing a complete formula for anomalous dimensions of an infinite number of composite operators with arbitrary $n$ which do not mix with the others and supply an algorithm to obtain the solution to the remaining mixing problem. In Sec. VI, we show explicit results for the anomalous dimension of composite operators up to $n = 6$, and we give a qualitative description of the spectrum for $n > 6$. Section VII discusses the way to obtain the rest of the CFT data as a further step towards completing the knowledge of the theory and include the computation of some operator product expansion...
coefficients as an example. We give our conclusions in Sec. VIII.

II. O(N) MODEL WITH CUBIC ANISOTROPY

The Lagrangian for the O(N) Ginzburg-Landau model with cubic anisotropy in $d = 4 - \epsilon$ is

$$S_{GL} = \int D^d x \left( \frac{\partial \phi_i^2}{2} + \frac{g_1}{4!} (\phi_i \phi_i)^2 + \frac{g_2}{4!} \sum \phi_i^4 \right). \quad (1)$$

where the interaction terms can be rewritten in a tensor form as $rac{1}{4} V_{ijkl} \phi_i \phi_j \phi_k \phi_l$ with

$$V_{ijkl} = g_1 \left( \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} \right) + g_2 \delta_{ijkl} \quad (2)$$

and the tensor $\delta_{ijkl}$ defined by

$$\delta_{ijkl} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{when } i = j = k = l \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

The presence of the coupling $g_2$ breaks the O(N) symmetry so that the action is invariant only under $H_N \subset O(N)$, where $H_N$ is the group of symmetries of an N-dimensional hypercube.

The renormalized model predicts four fixed points (FPs) which, at the one-loop level, read

$$\begin{align*}
(g_1^G, g_2^G) & = (0, 0), \quad (g_1^I, g_2^I) = (4\pi)^2 \left( 0, \frac{\epsilon}{3} \right), \\
(g_1^O, g_2^O) & = (4\pi)^2 \left( \frac{3\epsilon}{N+8}, 0 \right), \\
(g_1^H, g_2^H) & = (4\pi)^2 \left( \frac{\epsilon}{N}, \frac{(N-4)}{3N} \epsilon \right). \quad (4)
\end{align*}$$

The first is a trivial Gaussian FP, while the second and the third correspond, respectively, to the $\phi^4$ theory (N decoupled Ising models) and to the O(N) model. The fourth, often called “cubic fixed point,” corresponds to a theory invariant under $H_N$, and the main goal of this paper is to compute the spectrum of anomalous dimensions at this last FP.

For this purpose, it is important to note that for $N = N_c = 4$ the cubic FP coincides with the O(N) symmetric one; for $N \to \infty$, it correspond to the Ising one; and for $N = 1$, there is only one coupling constant $g_1 + g_2$ and the cubic FP reduces to the free theory. As we will see later, these limits will provide nontrivial cross-checks for our results.

A further consistency check is given by the existence of a special symmetry for $N = 2$. In fact, the interaction term is invariant if we perform a $\frac{\pi}{2}$ rotation of the fields [17].

This turns the cubic FP into the Ising one.

While the values of $N$ which relate the cubic FP to the Gaussian and Ising ones do not depend on the order of calculation in perturbation theory, the value of $N_c$, for which the cubic FP coincides with the O(N) symmetric one, does depend on it. A precise determination of $N_c$ is physically of great interest because for $N < N_c$ the infrared physics is controlled by the O(N) FP while for $N > N_c$ the cubic critical regime is realized. The most recent results [7] from the six-loop calculation suggest $N_c \sim 2.9$, implying that the cubic FP could be relevant for describing the physical $N = 3$ case of cubic ferromagnets.

For our purpose, it is also useful to note that, as a consequence of the equation of motion (EOM) at the cubic fixed point,

$$\Box \phi_i = \frac{1}{3!} (g_1 \phi_i \phi_i^2 + g_2 \phi_i^3), \quad \phi^2 \equiv \sum_i \phi_i^2, \quad (7)$$

the operator $g_1 \phi_1 \phi_1^2 + g_2 \phi_1^3$, which is a primary operator in the free theory, becomes a descendant (a derivative) of the primary operator $\phi_1$ in the interacting theory, and thus its properties are entirely fixed in terms of those of $\phi_1$. This phenomenon is called conformal multiplet recombination [15], and in particular, it implies that

$$\Delta_{(\phi \phi^2 + \phi^3)} = \Delta_{\phi} + 2 \Rightarrow \gamma_{(\phi \phi^2 + \phi^3)} = 1, \quad (8)$$

where the scaling dimensions of a composite operator with $n$ fields $S_n$ is given by

$$\Delta_{S_n} = n \left( 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) + \gamma_S \epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \quad (9)$$

with $\gamma_S$ the one-loop anomalous dimension. We will use (8) as an additional check for our results.

Having introduced the model under consideration, the next step is to unveil the operator spectrum at the cubic fixed point, i.e., to find the irreducible representations of the hypercubic group.

III. IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF HYPERCUBIC GROUP $H_N$

The aim of this section is to lay out the representation theory for the hypercubic group in a way suited for a streamlined construction of the spectrum of $H_N$ composite
operators, as we are not aware of such a construction and few relevant results are somewhat scattered in the literature [18–20].

$H_N$ is a discrete subgroup of $O(N)$ and is given by the wreath product $S_N \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_2^N$. To construct the irreducible representations of $S_N \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_2^N$, we start by computing the outer tensor products of the irreducible representations of $\mathbb{Z}_2 N$ times. Labeling as $[1^2]$ and $[2]$ the two irreducible representations of $\mathbb{Z}_2$, the irreducible representations of $\mathbb{Z}_2^N$ are

$$[2]^\otimes \otimes [1^2]^\otimes \otimes, \quad \alpha + \beta = N. \quad (10)$$

In accordance with these representations, the symmetric group $S_N$ is divided into direct product $S_\alpha \times S_\beta$, and then the irreducible representations of $S_N \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_2^N$ are generated by multiplying those of $\mathbb{Z}_2^N$ in Eq. (10) with the corresponding direct product $S_\alpha \times S_\beta$ [21]. For instance, the irreducible representations of $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ are

$$[2] \otimes [1^2], \quad [2] \otimes [1^2] \otimes [1^2], \quad [2] \otimes [1^2] \otimes [1^2] \otimes [1^2], \quad (11)$$

and they have to be multiplied by the irreducible representations of $S_3$, $S_2 \times S_1$, $S_1 \times S_2$, and $S_3$, respectively. From this construction, it is clear that an elegant way to label the irreducible representations of $H_N$ is in terms of double-partitions of $N, (\alpha, \beta)$, which can be represented as ordered pairs of Young diagrams with $\alpha$ and $\beta$ boxes, respectively [20,22]. For example, the ten irreducible representations of $H_3$ are

$$(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c} \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}) \otimes$$

where $\square$ stands for the empty partition. The left partition represents $\alpha$ objects, even under $\mathbb{Z}_2$, which transform under an irreducible representation of $S_\alpha$, while the right partition represents $\beta$ objects, now odd under $\mathbb{Z}_2$, which transform under an irreducible representation of $S_\beta$.

The dimension of a given double-partition $(\alpha, \beta)$ is [22]

$$\dim(\alpha, \beta) = \binom{N}{\alpha} \times \dim(\alpha) \times \dim(\beta), \quad (12)$$

where $\dim(\alpha)$ ($\dim(\beta)$) is the dimension of the corresponding representation of the symmetric group $S_\alpha$ ($S_\beta$) obtained via the standard hook’s rule.

The defining $N$-dimensional representation of $H_N$ is given by

$$\phi_i = (N - 1, 1) = \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c} \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right)$$

and the decomposition of the tensor product of the defining representation with an arbitrary representation $(\alpha, \beta)$ can be obtained through the formula

$$(N - 1, 1) \otimes (\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{\alpha^+ \beta^-} (\alpha^+ \beta^-) \oplus \sum_{\alpha^- \beta^+} (\alpha^- \beta^+), \quad (14)$$

where $\alpha^+$ are the tableaux obtained by moving one box from $\beta$ to $\alpha$, $\alpha^-$ are the tableaux obtained by moving one box from $\alpha$ to $\beta$. The same procedure applies to $\beta^+$ and to $\beta^-$. For example, for the tensor product $\phi_i \otimes \phi_j$, we have

$$\phi_i \otimes \phi_j = \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c} \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \oplus \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c} \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \oplus \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c} \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right)$$

Now, we are in position to construct explicitly the composite operator of $H_N$ with $n$ fields and no derivatives. The first step is to find the corresponding bitableaux by computing the tensor product of the

2In the language of group theory, the irreducible representation of $\mathbb{Z}_2^N$ have to be multiplied with those of the corresponding inertia factor groups.
then returning it back to the original place. In this case, more than one composite operator of order \( n \) will correspond to that tableau, and to find the true scaling operators, it will be necessary to solve the mixing problem.

The second step is to associate to every bitableau the corresponding \( H_N \) tensor as follows. Let us assume, for the moment, that we are dealing with unique composite operator and consider some generic representation having 0 boxes in the right partition and \( N \) boxes in the left partition (\( \alpha = N, \beta = 0 \)). For example, 

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_s, \\
\emptyset
\end{pmatrix}
\]

We start by filling the left tableau with indices that we impose to be all different:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\mu_1}{i}, \frac{\mu_2}{j}, \ldots, \frac{\mu_s}{k}, \\
\emptyset
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Since the left partition represents the objects even under \( Z_2 \), then for every box in the first row, we associate an indexed field raised to the zeroth power; for every box in the second row, we associate an indexed field raised to the second power; and so on, in order of increasing even powers of the fields for subsequent rows. Finally, we have to symmetrize all these indices as usual (symmetrization over all boxes in the same row and antisymmetrization over all boxes in the same column). This leads to \( n = 8 \) in this case.

The same rules also apply to the right partition \( \beta \), but now we have to associate increasing odd powers of the fields as we increase the number of rows. For instance (with \( n = 5 \)),

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \\
\emptyset
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Certain bitableaux can also appear for the first time at a level \( n \) too low to allow the previous constructions, and this simply means that the corresponding tensor requires derivatives to be built. \(^3\) Aside from that, the most general \( H_N \) tensor will be represented by the bitableau with the left and the right partitions separately comprising the most general Young diagram associated with the corresponding symmetric group. It will have \( k \) different types of columns (distinguished by the number of boxes) that we label with the index \( i \). Each type of column can have multiplicity \( p_i \). All the indices for the fields have to be different, and the indices of the fields in each column have to be antisymmetrized. The columns associated to the left partition will contain the even powers of the fields, while the columns associated to the right partition will contain the odd ones, and we label the highest power of the field in the given column by \( m_i \). Thus, the unique hypercubic composite scaling operators corresponding to this most general bitableau can be written compactly as

\[
H_{n,(m_i),(p_i)} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} (\phi_{\mu_1}^{m_i} \phi_{\mu_2}^{m_i-2} \phi_{\mu_3}^{m_i-4} \cdots \phi_{\mu_{s_i}}^{M} )^{p_i},
\]

\[
m_i \neq m_2 \neq \ldots \neq m_{s_i},
\]

where

\[
M = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\
1 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd}
\end{cases}
\]

Now, let us assume that we are dealing with a bitableau that reappeared at the level \( n \). The corresponding mixing space can be found through the following steps:

1. Write the unique composite operator corresponding to the bitableau according to the rules above, and then multiply the result with the appropriate power of \( \phi^2 \) needed to reach the level \( n \). For instance, for \( n = 6 \), we have

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_s}{i, j, \ldots, k}, \\
\emptyset\end{pmatrix} \quad i \neq j \neq k \neq \mu_1 \neq \mu_2 \neq \ldots \neq \mu_s.
\]
(2) Then “distribute” $\phi^2$ through the rest of the tensor in all possible ways as follows:

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} N \end{array} \right) \cdots , \emptyset ) = \begin{cases} (\phi^2)^2(\phi_i^2 - \phi_j^2) & \text{i} \neq j. \\ \end{cases}$$

(3) Finally, it is also necessary to take into account the mixing between powers of $\phi_2$ and the other $H_N$ scalars. The $H_N$ scalars of order $n$ are formed by products and powers of all the operators of the form

$$\sum_i \phi_i^2 = \phi^2, \quad \sum_i \phi_i^4, \quad \sum_i \phi_i^6, \ldots, \sum_i \phi_i^n.$$

For our example, this means that $(\phi^2)^2$ will mix with $\sum_i \phi_i^4$ so that one additional operator has to be added to the mixing space:

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} N \end{array} \right) \cdots , \emptyset ) = \begin{cases} (\phi^2)^2(\phi_i^2 - \phi_j^2) \\ (\phi^2)(\phi_i^4 - \phi_j^4) \\ (\phi_i^6 - \phi_j^6) \\ \end{cases}$$

In the next section, we will show how to solve the mixing, find the true scaling operators of $H_N$, and compute their anomalous dimensions.

IV. COMPUTATION

To compute the spectrum of anomalous dimensions $\gamma$, we resort to a method first proposed in Ref. [15] (and recently generalized in Ref. [16]) which makes use of constraints from conformal symmetry combined with the Schwinger-Dyson equation (SDE) in order to obtain non-trivial consistency conditions which allow us to extract the value of $\gamma$. The key idea is to consider three-point functions of the form

$$(\Box \phi) S_n S_{n+1}$$

and use the EOM (7) to rewrite them as

$$\langle (g_1 \phi^2 + g_2 \phi_i^3) S_n S_{n+1} \rangle.$$

Here, $S_n$ is a composite operator of order $n$, i.e., a product of $n$ fields transforming under an irreducible representation of $H_N$.

Matching the results for the computation of the three-point functions, Eqs. (19) and (20), gives a recursion relation for the anomalous dimension of the scaling operators $\gamma_{S_n}$, the general solution at the cubic fixed point of which is the following eigenvalue equation:

$$\gamma_{S_n} S_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n} = \frac{n(n-1)}{32\pi^2} V_{j_1, j_2, (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n)} S_n.$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

Here, $V_{j_1, j_2, (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n)}$ is the tensor defined in Eq. (2), and the tensors $S$ are given by

$$S_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n} = \frac{1}{n!} \partial_{i_1} \partial_{i_2} \ldots \partial_{i_n} S_n.$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

Equation (21) can be recast in a more practical form,

$$DS_n = \gamma_{S_n} S_n.$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

where

$$D = \frac{1}{3N} \left( \frac{\phi^2 \partial^2}{2} + (\phi \cdot \partial)^2 - \phi \cdot \partial + N - 4 \sum_i \phi_i^2 \partial_i^2 \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

The scaling operators are found with the techniques described in the previous section. For the operators which mix, Eq. (23) determines uniquely the mixing matrix.

V. TOWERS

In order to cross-check our results for anomalous dimensions of $H_N$ operators, we need to recall the corresponding ones for $O(N)$ and Ising models.

A. $O(N)$

At the one-loop order, for $N = N_c = 4$, the cubic FP coincides with the $O(N)$ symmetric one. The $O(N)$ operators with no derivatives belong to the $O(N)$ fully symmetric space, which at the level $n$ is

4If we are interested only in the leading order in $\epsilon$, we can simply consider the classic EOM instead of the SDE.

5Equation (23) with $D$ given by Eq. (24) was already found in Ref. [23].
and the dimension of this space is given by the binomial coefficient:

\[
\binom{N + n - 1}{n}.
\]

(26)

Some of the results in Sec. III can be deduced from the representation theory for \(O(N)\). For instance, it is clear from Eq. (25) that any \(O(N)\) tableau which appears for the first time at a level \(n\) will reappear at the levels \(n + 2, n + 4, \ldots\), and the fact that we observed this happening also in \(H_N\) is a simple consequence of \(H_N \subset O(N)\). Also, the fact that some \(H_N\) tensors require derivatives to be explicitly constructed means that they do not come from the decomposition of operators belonging to the fully symmetric space of \(O(N)\).

In the \(O(N)\) model, the scaling operators with \(n\) fields and no derivatives are \(m\)-index traceless symmetric tensors \(Y_{i_1 \ldots i_m}^{(m)} \phi^{2q}\), with \(m = n - 2q\), which correspond to the \(m\) boxes \(O(N)\) tableaux with one row. Application of the CFT techniques described in Sec. IV allows the computation of one-loop anomalous dimensions for the entire "tower" of \(O(N)\) scaling operators [24–26],

\[
\gamma_{m,q} = \frac{m(m-1) + q(N + 6(q + m) - 4)}{N + 8},
\]

(27)

with the corresponding dimension given by

\[
\text{dim} = \binom{N + m - 1}{m} - \binom{N + m - 3}{m-2}.
\]

(28)

During our analysis, we found it useful to check the decomposition of \(O(N)\) tensors to those of \(H_N\) by monitoring the dimension of the representations using Eqs. (12) and (28). Explicit examples of this decomposition will be presented in Sec. VI.

### B. Decoupled Ising model

For \(N = 2, \infty\), the cubic fixed point coincides with \(N\) decoupled copies of the Ising model. In this case, only the representations coming from the smallest Young tableaux in Eq. (25) survive, which is a \(\text{Tr}\) if \(n\) is even and \(\square\) if \(n\) is odd. Since in the decoupled Ising model the field has only one component, these representations altogether simply collapse to the tower of composite scaling operators \(\phi^n\), and their leading-order anomalous dimensions in \(d = 4 - \epsilon\) are given by [15]

\[
\gamma_n = \frac{n(n-1)}{6}.
\]

(29)

### C. Hypercubic tower

Finally, we turn our attention to the anomalous dimensions at the cubic fixed point. As discussed above, at every \(n\), there will be a set of bitableaux which appear for the first time, and each such bitableau corresponds to only one scaling operator. This class of operators is given by Eq. (16), and they will compose our "hypercubic tower." The corresponding one-loop anomalous dimensions can be found by applying the differential operator \(D\) defined in Eq. (24),

\[
DH_{n,\{m_i\},\{p_i\}} = \gamma_{n,\{m_i\},\{p_i\}} H_{n,\{m_i\},\{p_i\}}.
\]

(30)

and for the most general bitableau having \(k\) different types of columns (labeled by the index \(i\)) with multiplicity \(p_i\) and the highest power of the field in the column given by \(m_i\), we obtain

\[
\gamma_{n,\{m_i\},\{p_i\}} = \frac{1}{6N} \left( 2n(n-1) + (N-4) \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i [m_i(m_i-1) + (m_i-2)(m_i-3) + \cdots] \right).
\]

(31)

This is the main result of this paper. The dimensions of the operators in this tower are given by Eq. (12). All the composite operators of order \(n\) in Eq. (16) come from the decomposition of the longest \((n\) boxes) \(O(N)\) tableau in Eq. (25). In fact, for \(N = 4\), Eq. (31) matches Eq. (27) with \(q = 0\) providing an explicit check.
and corresponds to the following tower of operators:

\[ \phi_{\mu_1} \phi_{\mu_2} \cdots \phi_{\mu_p} \quad \mu_1 \neq \mu_2 \neq \cdots \neq \mu_p. \] (33)

The dimensions and eigenvalues of the operators in this tower at the level \( n = p \) are

\[ \dim = \binom{N}{n} \quad \gamma_n = \frac{n(n-1)}{3N}. \] (34)

For a less trivial example, we consider the family of bitableaux with two rows, corresponding to \( k = 3, m_i = \{1, 2, 3\} \), and \( p_i = \{p_1, p_2, p_3\} \), where the first and second rows of the left (right) partition have respectively \( N - p_1 - p_2 - p_3 \) and \( p_2 \) (\( p_1 \) and \( p_3 \)) boxes. This defines the tower of operators

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi_{\mu_1} \phi_{\mu_2} \cdots \phi_{\mu_p} & \frac{\phi^2_{\mu_{p_1+1}} - \phi^2_{\mu_{p_1+3}}}{p_1 \text{ terms}} \frac{\phi^2_{\mu_{p_1+1}+1} - \phi^2_{\mu_{p_1+4}}}{p_1 \text{ terms}} \cdots \frac{\phi^2_{\mu_{p_1+2p_2-1}} - \phi^2_{\mu_{p_1+2p_2}}}{p_1 \text{ terms}} \\
\times & \frac{\phi^3_{\mu_{p_1+2p_2+1} - \phi^3_{\mu_{p_1+2p_2+2}}}}{p_2 \text{ terms}} \frac{\phi^3_{\mu_{p_1+2p_2+3} - \phi^3_{\mu_{p_1+2p_2+4}}}}{p_2 \text{ terms}} \cdots \frac{\phi^3_{\mu_{p_1+2p_2+2p_3-1}} - \phi^3_{\mu_{p_1+2p_2+2p_3}}}{p_2 \text{ terms}} \}, \quad \mu_1 \neq \mu_2 \neq \cdots \neq \mu_q.
\end{align*}
\] (35)

where

\[ q = p_1 + 2p_2 + 2p_3 \quad n = p_1 + 2p_2 + 4p_3. \] (36)

The dimensions and the \( \gamma \)'s of the operators in this tower at the level \( n \) are

\[
\begin{align*}
\dim &= \binom{N}{p_2} \binom{N-p_2}{2p_3+p_1} \frac{(N-p_1-2p_3-2p_2+1)(p_1+1)}{(N-p_1-2p_3-p_2+1)(p_1+p_3+1)}
\end{align*}
\] (37)

and

\[
\gamma_{n,p_1,p_2} = \frac{4n(n-1) + (N-4)(3n-2p_2-3p_1)}{12N}. \] (38)

Aside from Eq. (31), it is clear that the content of the previous sections provides an algorithmic way to compute \( \gamma \) for every nonderivative composite operator of arbitrary order \( n \). However, as we will see, at large \( n \), the mixing of operators corresponding to the same double-partition becomes complex, making the analytic progress difficult. In the next section, we will present explicit results up to \( n = 6 \).

VI. SPECTRUM OF ANOMALOUS DIMENSIONS

The indices of the operators in this section, with exception of those in Sec. VI A, have to be understood to be all different; e.g., \( \phi_i \phi_j \) means \( \phi_i \phi_j \) together with the condition \( i \neq j \).

A. \( n = 1 \)

The anomalous dimension of \( \phi_i \) appears only at \( O(\epsilon^2) \). In the spirit of Sec. IV, it can be computed at the leading order by considering the two-point function

\[ \square_x \square_y \langle \phi_i(x) \phi_j(y) \rangle \] (39)

and using the EOM (7) to rewrite it as

\[ \langle (g_1 \phi_i \phi^2 + g_2 \phi^2_j)(x)(g_1 \phi_j \phi^2 + g_2 \phi^2_j)(y) \rangle. \] (40)

Matching the evaluation of these two-point functions gives

\[ \Delta \phi_i = 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} - \frac{(N + 2)(N - 1)}{108N^2} \epsilon^2 + O(\epsilon^3). \] (41)
B. $n = 2$

The anomalous dimensions of the composite operators with two fields are

\[
\text{dim}(\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\vdots
\end{array}
\end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c}
\emptyset
\end{array}) = \binom{N}{2} \phi_i \phi_j \quad \gamma = \frac{2}{3N}
\]

\[
\text{dim}(\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\vdots
\end{array}
\end{array}, \quad \emptyset ) = (N - 1) \phi_i^2 - \phi_j^2 \quad \gamma = \frac{N - 2}{3N}
\]

\[
\text{dim}(\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\vdots
\end{array}
\end{array}, \quad \emptyset ) = 1 \phi^2 \quad \gamma = \frac{2(N - 1)}{3N}
\]

As expected, the dimensions of these representations add up to $\frac{N(N + 1)}{2}$, which is Eq. (26) for $n = 2$, while the two-index traceless symmetric $O(N)$ tensor decomposes under $H_N$ as

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\vdots
\end{array}
\end{array} = \frac{(N + 2)(N - 1)}{2} = N - 1 \oplus \frac{N(N - 1)}{2}.
\]

i.e., into a traceless diagonal symmetric tensor $\phi_i^2 - \phi_j^2$ and an off-diagonal symmetric tensor $\phi_i \phi_j$. The results in this subsection were already obtained in Ref. [13].

C. $n = 3$

For the operators with $n = 3$ fields we obtain

\[
\text{dim}(\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\vdots
\end{array}
\end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c}
\emptyset
\end{array}) = \binom{N}{3} \phi_i \phi_j \phi_k \quad \gamma = \frac{2}{N}
\]

\[
\text{dim}(\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\vdots
\end{array}
\end{array}, \quad \emptyset ) = N(N - 2) \phi_i(\phi_j^2 - \phi_k^2) \quad \gamma = \frac{2 + N}{3N}
\]

\[
\text{dim}(\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\vdots
\end{array}
\end{array}, \quad \emptyset ) = N \phi_i \phi_j^2 - 2\phi_k^2 \quad \gamma = \frac{2(N - 1)}{3N}
\]

\[
\text{dim}(\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\vdots
\end{array}
\end{array}, \quad \emptyset ) = N \phi_i \phi_j^2 + \frac{N - 4}{3} \phi_k^3 \quad \gamma = 1
\]

The dimensions listed above add up to $\frac{N(N + 1)(N + 2)}{6}$, as they should from Eq. (26). The three-index traceless symmetric $O(N)$ tensor decomposes under $H_N$ as

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
\vdots
\end{array}
\end{array} = \frac{N(N - 1)(N + 4)}{6} = N \oplus N(N - 2) \oplus \frac{N(N - 1)(N - 2)}{6}.
\]

To the best of our knowledge, the results of this subsection are new. They pass all the consistency checks as follows. For $N = 4$, they give the corresponding $O(N)$ results given by Eq. (27); for the first three operators, we take $q = 0$ and $m = 3$ as they decompose the three-index traceless symmetric $O(N)$ tensor, while for the last operator, $q = m = 1$, as it is an $O(N)$ vector. For $N = 1$, all the cubic operators vanish except the third one that gives the free theory result $\gamma = 0$. For $N = 2$ and $N = \infty$, the cubic fixed point coincides with Ising one. In this case, only last operator remains, which reproduces the Ising model result for $\gamma$ in Eq. (29). Finally, for this operator, Eq. (8), which comes from the multiplet recombination phenomenon, is also satisfied.

---

\footnote{Interestingly, the fourth operator listed does not vanish by its dimension but because the eigenvector itself becomes identically 0.}
The results for operators with four fields are

\[
\dim = \binom{N}{4} \phi_i \phi_j \phi_k \phi_l \quad \gamma = \frac{4}{N}
\]

\[
\dim = \frac{N(N-1)}{2} (\phi_i \phi_j^3 - \phi_j \phi_i^3) \quad \gamma = 1
\]

\[
\dim = \frac{N(N-3)}{2} (\phi_i^2 - \phi_j^2)(\phi_i^3 - \phi_j^3) \quad \gamma = \frac{2(N+2)}{3N}
\]

\[
\dim = \frac{N(N-1)(N-3)}{2} \phi_i \phi_j (\phi_i^2 - \phi_j^2) \quad \gamma = \frac{N+8}{3N}
\]

\[
\dim = \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \frac{12-N+\sqrt{N^2+24N-48}}{12} (\phi_i \phi_j \phi_i^2) + \phi_i \phi_j \phi_i^3 \quad \gamma = \frac{12+5N+\sqrt{N^2+24N-48}}{6N}
\]

\[
\dim = \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \frac{12-N-\sqrt{N^2+24N-48}}{12} (\phi_i \phi_j \phi_i^2) + \phi_i \phi_j \phi_i^3 \quad \gamma = \frac{12+5N-\sqrt{N^2+24N-48}}{6N}
\]

\[
\dim = (N-1) \frac{4-N}{2} (\phi_i^2 - \phi_j^2) \phi_j^2 + \phi_i^4 - \phi_j^4 \quad \gamma = 1
\]

\[
\dim = (N-1) \frac{4}{3} (\phi_i^2 - \phi_j^2) \phi_j^4 + \phi_i^4 - \phi_j^4 \quad \gamma = \frac{2(3N-2)}{3N}
\]

\[
\dim = 1 \quad (\phi_i^2)^2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi_i^4 \quad \gamma = \frac{4(N-1)}{3N}
\]

\[
\dim = 1 \quad (\phi_i^2)^2 + \frac{N-4}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi_i^4 \quad \gamma = 2
\]

The sum of the dimensions of these representations is \(\frac{N(N+1)(N+2)(N+3)}{24}\), while the decomposition of the four-index traceless symmetric \(O(N)\) tensor under \(H_N\) is

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\binom{N+6}{N+1} \binom{N+1}{N-1} = 1 \oplus \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \oplus \frac{N(N-3)}{2} \\
\oplus \frac{N(N-1)(N-3)}{2} = 1 \oplus \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \oplus \frac{N(N-3)}{2} \\
\oplus \frac{N(N-1)(N-2)(N-3)}{24}
\end{array}
\]

These results were already obtained in Ref. [23], and here we already solved the mixings explicitly. The reader may easily check the consistency of the results for \(N = 1, 2, 4, \infty\).

### E. \(n = 5\)

For the case of composite operators with \(n = 5\) fields, we have

\[
\dim = \binom{N}{5} \phi_i \phi_j \phi_k \phi_l \phi_m \quad \gamma = \frac{20}{3N}
\]

\[
\dim = \frac{N(N-1)(N-4)}{2} \phi_i (\phi_j^2 - \phi_k^2)(\phi_i^2 - \phi_m^2) \quad \gamma = \frac{2(N+6)}{3N}
\]

\[
\dim = \frac{N(N-1)(N-2)}{3} \phi_i (\phi_j^3 \phi_k - \phi_i^3 \phi_j) \quad \gamma = \frac{3N+8}{3N}
\]

\[
\dim = \frac{N(N-1)(N-2)(N-4)}{6} \phi_i \phi_j \phi_k (\phi_i^2 - \phi_m^2) \quad \gamma = \frac{16+N}{3N}
\]
to that the four-by-four mixing matrix for the vectors has one zero eigenvalue, while for

\[ \gamma = \frac{30 + 5N + \sqrt{(46 + N)(N - 2)}}{6N} \]

\[ \gamma = \begin{cases} 1/(3N) & \begin{pmatrix} 4(N + 5) & 0 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 10(N - 2) & 6(N - 4) & 4(N - 4) \end{pmatrix} \\ 4(N - 4) & 10 & 5(N + 2) & 4 \\ 4(N - 4) & 0 & 0 & 2(3N - 2) \end{cases} \]

The dimensions of these representations add up to \( \frac{N(N+1)(N+2)(N+3)(N+4)}{120} \), while the five-index traceless symmetric \( O(N) \) tensor decomposes under \( H_N \) as

\[ \bigoplus \frac{N(N - 1)(N - 4)}{2} \bigoplus \frac{N(N - 1)(N - 2)}{3} \bigoplus \left( \begin{array}{c} N \\ 3 \end{array} \right) (N - 4) \bigoplus \left( \begin{array}{c} N \\ 5 \end{array} \right) . \]  

They pass all the consistency checks in a way similar to Sec. VI C for \( N = 1, 2, 4, \infty \). Namely, for \( N = 1 \), it is easy to check that the four-by-four mixing matrix for the vectors has one zero eigenvalue, while for \( N = 2, \infty \), it has one eigenvalue equal to 10/3 as required by Eq. (29). We are not aware of any explicit results for \( n \geq 5 \) in the literature.

F. \( n \geq 6 \)

Even though the techniques described in this paper allow us to compute the whole spectrum of \( \gamma \)'s up to arbitrary \( n \), we stop giving exhaustive results and simply provide a qualitative description of the spectrum for \( n \geq 6 \).

At every \( n \), all nonmixing scaling operators together with their \( \gamma \)'s are given by Eqs. (16) and (31). For instance, at \( n = 6 \), these are\(^7\)

\[ \gamma = \frac{10}{N} \]

\[ \gamma = \frac{N + 26}{3N} \]

\[ \gamma = \frac{2(N + 11)}{3N} \]

\[ \gamma = \frac{N + 6}{N} \]

\[ \gamma = \frac{N + 6}{N} \]

\[ \gamma = \frac{2(2N + 7)}{3N} \]

\[ \gamma = \frac{7N + 2}{3N} . \]  

The first six operators listed above correspond to bitableaux with a maximum of two rows, and thus their \( \gamma \)'s can be computed using Eq. (38). In fact, there they correspond to \( \{ p_1, p_2, p_3 \} = \{ 6, 0, 0 \}, \{ 4, 1, 0 \}, \{ 2, 2, 0 \}, \{ 0, 3, 0 \}, \{ 2, 0, 1 \}, \{ 0, 1, 1 \} \) respectively.

\(^7\)Again, all the indices of the operators listed here have to be understood as being different, e.g., \( i \neq j \neq \cdots \neq m \).
Moreover, at \( n = 6 \), we find, for the first time, a unique operator for which the \( \gamma \) cannot be computed by using Eq. (38). Therefore, in order to compute its \( \gamma \), one has to resort to the full Eq. (31). This is the last operator in Eq. (46), which corresponds to the following bitableau with three rows in the left partition \((k = 1, m_i = 4, p_i = 1)\):

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
| & | & | & | & | & \emptyset \\
0 & & & & & \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(47)

Returning to the general \( n \), apart from nonmixing scaling operators, all the other irreducible representations will be given by those at the level \( n - 2 \), and all the corresponding eigenvectors can be generated as explained in Sec. III. At every even \( n \), the lowest-dimensional irreducible representations are given by the scalar sector. This is given by Eq. (18), which implies that the number of scalars which mix at a given order \( n \) is the number of partitions of \( \frac{n}{2} \). Moreover, in this scalar sector, at every level \( n \), there is at least one \( \gamma_n(N = 1) = 0 \) needed in order to obtain the free Gaussian theory. Of course, this happens also in the case of the vectorial \((\text{dim} = N)\) irreducible representations, which appear at every odd \( n \). The scalar sector for \( n = 6 \) is

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
(\phi^2)^3 \\
(\phi^2)^2 \\
\sum_i \phi_i^6
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\gamma = \frac{1}{3N} \begin{pmatrix}
2(5 + 4N) & 12(N - 4) & 15 \\
6 & 6(N + 5) & 0 \\
8(N - 4) & 0 & 15(N - 2)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

and it is easy to check that for \( N = 1 \) one eigenvalue is 0.

Further insights on the spectrum of anomalous dimensions can be gained by looking at

\[
W_n = \sum_{S_n} d_{S_n} \gamma_{S_n},
\]

where \( d_{S_n} \) and \( \gamma_{S_n} \) are the dimensions and the anomalous dimensions of the composite operators \( S_n \), respectively, and the sum runs over all the irreducible representations at the level \( n \). In fact, from Eq. (24), it follows that, for any \( n \), \( W_n \) has to be proportional to \( N - 1 \). This can be easily checked for \( n \leq 5 \) using our previous results, while for \( n = 6 \), it can be checked by noting that the only irreducible representations which contribute to \( W_6(N = 1) \) are Eq. (48) and

\[
\gamma = \frac{1}{3N} \begin{pmatrix}
2(13 + 2N) & 12 \\
4(N - 4) & 2 + 7N
\end{pmatrix},
\]

which has dimension \( 2 \times \frac{1}{2} N(N - 3) \).

Moreover, the values of \( W_n \) exhibit an interesting pattern,

\[
W_2 = \frac{2}{3} (N - 1), \quad W_3 = \frac{2}{3} (N - 1)(N + 2),
\]

\[
W_4 = \frac{1}{3} (N - 1)(N + 2)(N + 3),
\]

\[
W_5 = \frac{1}{9} (N - 1)(N + 2)(N + 3)(N + 4),
\]

which is indicative of a general formula for \( W_n \).

We conclude this section by giving the decomposition of the six-index traceless symmetric tensor of \( O(N) \) under \( H_N \):

\[
\begin{align*}
N(N - 1) & \oplus \frac{N(N - 1)(N - 3)}{2} \oplus \frac{N(N - 1)(N - 5)}{4} \\
\oplus (N - 5) \left( \begin{array}{c}
N \\
4
\end{array} \right) & \oplus \left( \begin{array}{c}
N \\
6
\end{array} \right) \oplus \left( \begin{array}{c}
N \\
4
\end{array} \right) \oplus 3 \left( \begin{array}{c}
N \\
4
\end{array} \right) \oplus (N - 3) \left( \begin{array}{c}
N \\
2
\end{array} \right) \\
& \oplus (N - 1)(N - 2) \frac{N}{2} \oplus (N - 1)(N - 2) \frac{N}{2}.
\end{align*}
\]

VII. TOWARDS COMPLETE CFT DATA

The description of the spectrum of anomalous dimensions is an important step towards the computation of complete CFT data for the hypercubic model. The other important set of data is provided by the structure constants \( C_{ijk} \) defined by

\[
\langle O_i(x)O_j(y)O_k(z) \rangle = \frac{C_{ijk}}{|x - y|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - \Delta_k} |y - z|^{\Delta_j + \Delta_k - \Delta_i} |z - x|^{\Delta_k + \Delta_i - \Delta_j}}.
\]

\[\text{(53)}\]

\[\text{We thank H. Osborn for this observation.}\]
The simplest class of $C_{ijk}$ corresponds to $C^{1112} = \langle \phi_i \phi_j S_2 \rangle$, where $S_2$ is a scaling operator of order $n = 2$. These coefficients were already computed up to $O(\epsilon^2)$ in Ref. [13] using the conformal bootstrap, while all the $C^{113}$ are trivially 0. We therefore present a novel computation for a sample of $C^{114} = \langle \phi_i \phi_j S_4 \rangle$ coefficients.

For this purpose, techniques developed in Ref. [16] and described in Sec. IV are again useful and allow the computation of several classes of leading-order structure. A plethora of examples was provided and included the explicit solution for operators with $n \leq 6$.

As another future direction, it would be interesting to compute the spectrum of anomalous dimensions in models with different symmetries, e.g., in theories invariant under the hypertetrahedral symmetry group $H_{\text{tetrahedral}} \cong S_{N+1} \otimes Z_2$ [23] or in the so-called Platonic field theories recently studied in Ref. [29].

VIII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have computed the spectrum of anomalous dimensions at the leading order in $\epsilon$ expansion in the $O(N)$ model with cubic anisotropy in $d = 4 - \epsilon$. We derived the complete closed-form expression for the anomalous dimensions of the operators which do not undergo mixing effects and delineated the structure of the general solution to the mixing problem for arbitrary $n$. We have examined the general features of the spectrum uncovering the interesting pattern of the values for the sum of the anomalous dimensions weighted by the size of the corresponding representations pointing to the deeper structure. A plethora of examples was provided and included the explicit solution for operators with $n \leq 6$.

For this purpose, in Sec. III, we have systematized, for the first time in the literature, the representation theory for $H_N$ with the aim of providing solid ground for future analyses of models with hypercubic symmetry. For instance, it can be useful for extending the conformal bootstrap analysis of model with $H_3$, recently performed in Refs. [10,11], to the general $H_N$ case. It would be also instrumental in extensions of our results to operators with derivatives and/or higher orders in $\epsilon$ expansion.

As another future direction, it would be interesting to extend this analysis to the next-to-leading order in the $\epsilon$ expansion. At the moment, this requires approaches quite different from those of Sec. IV, such as exploiting the conformal bootstrap machinery. It is an open problem to see if, by extending the EOM technique of Sec. IV to higher-order correlation functions, one can access next-to-leading order in the $\epsilon$ expansion in a relatively simple way.
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