On the Casimir scaling violation in the cusp anomalous dimension at small angle.
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Abstract: We compute the four-loop $n_f$ contribution proportional to the quartic Casimir of the QCD cusp anomalous dimension as an expansion for small cusp angle $\phi$. This piece is gauge invariant, violates Casimir scaling, and first appears at four loops. It requires the evaluation of genuine non-planar four-loop Feynman integrals. We present results up to $O(\phi^4)$. One motivation for our calculation is to probe a recent conjecture on the all-order structure of the cusp anomalous dimension. As a byproduct we obtain the four-loop HQET wave function anomalous dimension for this color structure.
1 Introduction

The cusp anomalous dimension $\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\phi)$, defined as the anomalous dimension of a Wilson loop with a cusp of angle $\phi$ [1], determines the renormalization group evolution of the Isgur–Wise function [2]. In this paper we will mostly be interested in the small $\phi$ expansion of $\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\phi)$. Such an expansion is performed for extracting the CKM matrix element $V_{cb}$ from the $B \to D^*$ semileptonic decays, see e.g. ref. [3]: The extrapolation of experimental points to $\phi = 0$ is done using the slope and curvature of the Isgur–Wise function, i.e. its $\phi^2$ and $\phi^4$ terms. The cusp anomalous dimension at small angle is also related to real gluon radiation in the case when a heavy quark slightly changes its velocity. This kind of radiation has been considered in refs. [4, 5].

The QCD cusp anomalous dimension is currently known to three loops [1, 6–9] for arbitrary angle $\phi$. Up to this order, the anomalous dimensions for Wilson lines in a given representation $R$ of the color group are related by Casimir scaling: They are given by the quadratic Casimir operator $C_R$ times a universal ($R$-independent) function. Here we explicitly demonstrate that this is not so at four loops. We consider a cusp with a small angle $\phi$, and calculate the first terms of the expansion of its anomalous dimension $\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\phi)$, namely the $\phi^2$ and $\phi^4$ terms. We consider the specific color structure $n_f C_F, 4$ with $C_F, 4 \equiv d_{abcd}F_{N_R}$, where $d_{abcd}F = \text{tr}[T^a_F T^b_R T^c_F T^d_F]$, $d_{abcd}R = \text{tr}[T^a_R T^b_R T^c_R T^d_R]$.

\begin{equation}
C_{FA} \equiv \frac{d_{abcd}F_{abcd} d_{abcd}R_{abcd}}{N_R}, \quad \text{where} \quad d^*_{abcd} = \text{tr}[T^a_F (a F b F c F d F)], \quad d^*_R = \text{tr}[T^a_R (a R b R c R d R)]. \quad (1.1)
\end{equation}

The $T_F^a$ denote the gauge group generators in the fundamental representation, the $T_R^a$ the ones in the representation $R$ of dimensionality $N_R = \text{tr}_R 1$, and the round brackets indicate symmetrization, see ref. [10]. This color structure cannot be represented as $C_R$ times a universal constant, and thus breaks Casimir scaling.

Non-zero quartic Casimir contributions are known to occur in closely related quantities, such as the static quark anti-quark potential [11, 12], which corresponds to the $\phi \to \pi$ limit of $\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\phi)$. Also in $N = 4$ super Yang–Mills (sYM) theory contributions proportional to the quartic Casimir were found in the Bremsstrahlung function [5], i.e. the $\phi^2$ term, and, very recently, in the light-like limit [13] of $\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}$. 

References
Up to three loops the cusp anomalous dimension has an interesting property \cite{8, 9}: When expressed in terms of an effective coupling constant $a$, which is defined such that the large Minkowskian $\phi$ asymptotics, i.e. the light-like limit, of $\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\phi)$ is given by the first-order $a$ term only, it becomes a universal function $\Omega(\phi, a)$ that is independent of the number of fermion or scalar fields in the theory. It has been conjectured in refs. \cite{8, 9} that this property holds to all orders of perturbation theory, simply from the intriguing empirical observation at the first three orders. In the present paper we check this conjecture at four loops. The $n_f C_F, 4$ term we are interested in contains the number of massless fermions $n_f$, and, according to the conjecture, can only arise from some $\alpha_s^n$ ($n > 1$) term in $a$. It cannot be represented as a product of lower-loop color structures, and hence it can only come from the term $c n_f C_F, 4, 4 / \alpha_s^2 (a / \pi)^4$ in $a / \pi$ inserted in the leading term $\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\phi) = C_R (a / \pi) (\phi \cot \phi - 1) + O(a^2)$. The normalization factor $c$ can be determined from the limit $\phi \to \pi$, where the four-loop $\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}$ is related \cite{8, 9} to the three-loop static potential \cite{12, 14, 15}.

We find that the analytic form of our result is different from the conjecture of refs. \cite{8, 9}. Interestingly, the numerical values are still surprisingly close to the conjectured ones. While this paper was finalized, the light-like QCD cusp anomalous dimension at four loops has been computed numerically \cite{16}. Its $n_f C_F, 4$ term is also relatively close, but different from the conjecture. This is in line with our findings here.

As a by-product of our calculation (at $\phi = 0$), we determine the $n_f C_F, 4$ term in the four-loop anomalous dimension of the HQET heavy-quark field. Currently it is only known to three loops \cite{17, 18}. Our result can serve as a non-trivial cross-check of future calculations.

The paper is organized as follows. In sec. 2, we describe our calculation. In sec. 3 we compute the heavy quark anomalous dimension and extract from it the QCD on-shell heavy-quark field renormalization constant. In sec. 4 we present the results for the cusp anomalous dimension to order $\phi^4$, and compare to the conjecture of refs. \cite{8, 9}.

## 2 Calculation

The QCD cusp anomalous dimension arises from the UV divergences of the Wilson loop

$$W = \frac{1}{N_R} \langle 0 | \text{tr}_R P \exp \left( i g \oint_C dx^\mu A_\mu(x) \right) | 0 \rangle = 1 + O(g^2), \quad (2.1)$$

where $A_\mu = A_\mu^a T^a_R$ is the gluon field, $P$ is the path-ordering operator, the trace is over (color) indices in the representation $R$ of the gauge group. The closed integration contour $C$ has a cusp at a single point and is smooth otherwise. Without loss of generality, we can choose the contour $C$ to consist of two Wilson lines along the directions $v_1^\mu$ and $v_2^\mu$ with $v_1^2 = v_2^2 = 1$ that both extend to infinity and end at the cusp point. We denote the angle between them by $\phi$, where $\phi = 0$ corresponds to a Wilson line along $v_1^\mu = v_2^\mu$ with both ends at infinity, and

$$\cos \phi = v_1 \cdot v_2. \quad (2.2)$$

The open ends of the Wilson lines are considered to be closed at infinity. They can be interpreted as heavy quark lines in HQET with $v_1^\mu$ and $v_2^\mu$ being the heavy quark velocities. We note that for real $v_1$ in Minkowski spacetime, $\phi = i \varphi$ is purely imaginary and $\cosh \varphi = v_1 \cdot v_2$. In this
configuration the cusp anomalous dimension was computed through three loops in refs. [1, 6–9] and we refer to the latter reference for details on the calculational setup.\footnote{Partial results at four loops in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang–Mills are also available, see refs. [5, 19].}

We distinguish two types of HQET Feynman diagrams contributing to the Wilson loop $W$ beyond tree-level: heavy quark self-energy and one-particle-irreducible (cusp) vertex correction diagrams. The sum of the latter depends on the angle $\phi$ and is denoted by $V(\phi)$. Via a simple Ward identity the self-energy can be related to the vertex correction at $\phi = 0$. We can thus write [6]

$$\log W = \log V(\phi) - \log V(0) = \log Z + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0), \quad (2.3)$$

where we have introduced the cusp renormalization factor $Z$. Here and throughout this paper we use dimensional regularization with $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$. The cusp anomalous dimension is then given by

$$\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\phi, \alpha_s) = \frac{d \log Z}{d \log \mu}. \quad (2.4)$$

We are interested in color structures that generate the quartic Casimir $C_{F,4}$ defined in eq. (1.1). It first appears in the QCD vertex correction $V$ at four loops and violates Casimir scaling. We will use the equality [10]

$$C_{F,4} = \frac{1}{N^2_c} \text{tr}_R \left[ T^a_R T^b_R T^c_R T^d_R \right] \text{tr}_F \left[ T^a_F T^b_F T^c_F T^d_F \right] + \ldots, \quad (2.5)$$

where the ellipsis in eq. (2.5) stands for terms that can be expressed only in terms of the quadratic Casimirs $C_R$, $C_F$ and $C_A$. Equation (2.5) also holds when the order of the adjoint color indices ($a, b, c, d$) in one of the traces on the right-hand side is interchanged arbitrarily.
The quartic Casimir $C_{F,A}$ occurs in the four-loop contribution proportional to $n_f$. The latter denotes the number of light (massless) fermions in the fundamental representation $F$. From eq. (2.5) it is clear that the four-loop Feynman diagrams involving $C_{F,A}$ must have a light fermion loop forming a box that is connected to the Wilson lines via four gluons. There are only six different diagram topologies of that type contributing to $V$. They are displayed in fig. 1. Counting also diagrams with reversed light fermion flow and left-right mirror graphs we arrive at a total of 18 diagrams that contribute to the $C_{F,A}$ term.\footnote{We note that there is one more Casimir scaling violating color structure at four loops, namely $D^4_{R,B}d^4_{A,B}/N_R$. It arises in the purely gluonic correction to $V$ \cite{9,11}. The number of involved diagram topologies is however much bigger than in the case of $C_{F,A}$.} Up to the three-loop order an analysis of all color structures and taking into account non-Abelian exponentiation \cite{20,21} makes it possible to rewrite all non-planar integrals in terms of planar integrals only \cite{9}. This is not the case for the non-planar diagrams ($b$-$f$).

Using the HQET building blocks $(i=1,2)$

$$S(k) = \frac{k}{k^2}, \quad H_i(k) = \frac{1}{k \cdot v_i - \frac{g}{2}}, \quad V_i(k) = \frac{1}{k^2} \left[ k_i \cdot \xi \frac{k}{k^2} \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.6)

associated with the fermion, heavy quark and gluon lines, respectively, we can write the diagrams of fig. 1 in generalized covariant gauge ($\xi = 0$ corresponds to Feynman gauge) in compact form. The off-shellness $\delta/2$ in the heavy quark propagators serves as an infrared regulator \cite{9} and can be interpreted as an external energy flowing in the opposite direction of the $v_i^a$ (indicated by the arrows in fig. 1). For the coefficients of the color factor $n_f C_{F,A}$ we have

$$D_a = -g^8 H_1(k_2) H_1(k_3) H_2(k_2) H_3(k_1) \text{ tr } \left[ S(k_4) V_1(k_3) S(k_4 - k_3) V_1(k_2 - k_3) S(k_4 - k_2) \right] \times V_2(k_1 - k_2) S(k_4 - k_1) V_2(-k_1),$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.7)

$$D_b = -g^8 H_1(k_2) H_1(k_3) H_1(k_1) H_2(k_1) \text{ tr } \left[ S(k_4) V_2(k_1) S(k_4 - k_1) V_1(k_2 - k_1) S(k_4 - k_2) \right] \times V_1(k_3 - k_2) S(k_4 - k_3) V_1(-k_3),$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.8)

$$D_c = -g^8 H_1(k_2) H_1(k_3) H_2(k_2) H_2(k_1) \text{ tr } \left[ S(k_4) V_1(k_2 - k_3) S(k_4 - k_2 + k_3) V_1(k_3) S(k_4 - k_2) \right] \times V_2(k_1 - k_2) S(k_4 - k_1) V_2(-k_1),$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.9)

$$D_d = -g^8 H_1(k_2) H_1(k_3) H_1(k_1) H_2(k_1) \text{ tr } \left[ S(k_2 - k_4) V_1(k_2 - k_1) S(k_1 - k_4) V_2(k_1) S(-k_4) \right] \times V_1(k_3 - k_2) S(k_2 - k_3 - k_4) V_1(-k_3),$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.10)

$$D_e = -g^8 H_1(k_2) H_1(k_3) H_2(k_2) H_2(k_1) \text{ tr } \left[ S(k_4) V_1(k_3) S(k_4 - k_3) V_2(k_1 - k_2) S(k_2 - k_3 + k_4 - k_1) \right] \times V_1(k_2 - k_3) S(k_4 - k_1) V_2(-k_1),$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.11)

$$D_f = -g^8 H_1(k_2) H_1(k_3) H_1(k_1) H_2(k_1) \text{ tr } \left[ S(k_4) V_2(k_1) S(k_4 - k_1) V_1(k_3 - k_2) S(k_2 - k_3 + k_4 - k_1) \right] \times V_1(k_2 - k_1) S(k_4 - k_3) V_1(-k_3).$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.12)

The overall minus sign in the above expressions originates from the closed fermion loop. The sum of all 18 contributions to the $n_f C_{F,A}$ term is gauge invariant and reads\footnote{Although maybe not immediately obvious in fig. 1, diagram $b$ is just as symmetric as diagrams $a$ and $c$, once both light fermion flows are taken into account. This can e.g. be seen by flipping or twisting the light quark loop. Thus there is no factor of two in front of $D_b$ from a left-right mirror graph in eq. (2.13).}

$$V(\phi) |_{n_f C_{F,A}} = 2 n_f C_{F,A} \left( D_a + D_b + D_c + 2 D_d + 2 D_e + 2 D_f \right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.13)
The gauge invariance can be seen as follows: The $n_f C_{F,A}$ contribution in eq. (2.13) is effectively QED-like, as all diagrams have the same color structure (no relative factors). Now, consider the subdiagrams consisting of the fermion loop and four off-shell gluons attached to it in all possible ways. If we pick out one of the gluon vertices and contract it with the four-momentum of the associated gluon the contributions from the 18 one-loop diagrams add up to zero owing to the Ward-Takahashi identity of QED, see e.g. ref. [22]. Despite being off-shell the gluons are therefore effectively transverse. Thus the (longitudinal) $\xi$ terms in their propagators vanish in the sum of all 18 diagrams. Moreover, renormalization group consistency requires eq. (2.13) to be at most $1/\epsilon$ divergent (for finite $\phi$), as there are no (UV) divergent subdiagrams involved. We will use these properties as strong cross-checks of our calculation.

In this paper we are interested in the expansion of the cusp anomalous dimension for small angle $\phi$. The calculation of the individual terms in this $\phi$ expansion is technically simpler than the calculation for arbitrary $\phi$, as there is only one external vector $v^\mu = v_1^\mu = v_2^\mu$ and the results are pure numbers. It can therefore be considered as a first step toward the calculation of the full angle-dependent cusp anomalous dimension, but also directly yields some relevant physical information as outlined in sec. 1.

Unlike e.g. the parallel lines limit ($\phi \to \pi$) or the light-like limit ($\phi \to i\infty$) the small angle limit is well-behaved [7, 9], i.e. we can safely expand in $\phi$ before integration over the loop momenta. By virtue of eq. (2.3) the leading order (LO) term ($\propto v$) includes a number of scalar products of the loop momenta $k_i$. For the terms with even numbers of $\phi$ limit is well-behaved [7, 9], i.e. we can safely expand in $\phi$ before integration over the loop momenta. By virtue of eq. (2.3) the leading order (LO) term ($\propto \phi^0$) vanishes. In practice the Taylor expansion of the expressions in eqs. (2.7) - (2.12) can e.g. be done as follows: We write (in Euclidean spacetime) $v_1 = v$, $v_2 = \cos(\phi) v + \sin(\phi) e_2$ with $v^2 = e_2^2 = 1$, $v \cdot e_2 = 0$ and differentiate the integrands w.r.t. $\phi$. The numerators of the resulting terms include a number of scalar products of the loop momenta $k_i^\mu$ with the unit vector $e_2^\mu$. The denominators are free of such scalar products. Upon integration therefore terms with odd numbers of $k_i \cdot e_2$, i.e. odd powers of $\phi$, vanish because of the antisymmetry of the integrand. For the terms with even numbers of $k_i \cdot e_2$ we perform a tensor reduction. After evaluation of the Dirac trace we end up with a scalar integrand that only involves the 14 independent scalar products $k_i^2$, $k_i \cdot k_j$ and $k_i \cdot v$. The result for each diagram can thus be expressed as a linear combination of integrals

$$G_{a_1,\ldots,a_{14}} = e^{4\epsilon \gamma_E} \int \frac{d^d k_1}{i \pi^{d/2}} \int \frac{d^d k_2}{i \pi^{d/2}} \int \frac{d^d k_3}{i \pi^{d/2}} \int \frac{d^d k_4}{i \pi^{d/2}} \prod_{i=1}^{14} (Q_i)^{-a_i},$$

(2.14)

with

$$Q_1 = -2k_1 \cdot v + \delta, \quad Q_2 = -2k_2 \cdot v + \delta, \quad Q_3 = -2k_3 \cdot v + \delta, \quad Q_4 = -(k_1 - k_2)^2, \quad Q_5 = -(k_1 - k_2)^2, \quad Q_6 = -(k_2 - k_3)^2, \quad Q_7 = -k_3^2, \quad Q_8 = -k_4^2, \quad Q_9 = -(k_1 - k_4)^2, \quad Q_{10} = -(k_2 - k_4)^2, \quad Q_{11} = -(k_3 - k_4)^2, \quad Q_{12} = -(k_2 - k_3 - k_4)^2, \quad Q_{13} = -(k_1 - k_2 + k_3 - k_4)^2, \quad Q_{14} = -2k_4 \cdot v + \delta,$$

(2.15)

at every order in $\phi$. The indices $a_i$ are integer numbers, which for $1 \leq i \leq 13$ can be positive (propagators), zero, or negative (numerators), while $a_{14} \leq 0$, i.e. $Q_{14}$ only appears as a numerator in our problem. Note that for brevity we have suppressed the usual $-i0$ Feynman prescription in the $Q_i$, which is needed to ensure causality in Minkowski spacetime.

The integrals $G$ contributing to the vertex function $V$ have at most 11 propagators. According to its propagator configuration each of them can be assigned to one of the integral
topologies displayed in fig. 2. The natural way to do this is to map the small angle expanded
diagrams $D_{a,d}$ onto topology 1, $D_{b,e}$ onto topology 2, and $D_{c,f}$ onto topology 3. We can now
perform an integration-by-parts (IBP) reduction [23] for the integrals of each topology sepa-

crately. We do this reduction to master integrals (MI) for all relevant integrals up to $O(\phi^4)$. To
this end, we use the public computer program FIRE5 [24] in combination with LiteRed [25, 26].
In this way, we find 32 MI for topology 1, 32 MI for topology 2 and 30 MI for topology 3. Taking
into account relations among the MI of different topologies (with less than 11 propa-
gators), the total number of independent MI across the three topologies is 43. Expressing the
$\phi$-expanded vertex function $V$ as a linear combination of these 43 MI, we explicitly verify that
the $\xi$-dependence in the coefficients of the MI drops out at $O(\phi^0)$ and $O(\phi^2)$ as required by
gauge invariance. At order $O(\phi^4)$, we restrict ourselves to the Feynman gauge for performance
reasons. We note that the extension to higher powers of $\phi$ is conceptionally straightforward,
and is only limited by computing resources.

As the final step of our calculation we have to solve the MI to sufficiently high order in $\epsilon$
in order to determine the overall $1/\epsilon$ divergence of $V$ related to the cusp anomalous dimension
via eq. (2.4). For the computation of the MI we use the HyperInt package [27]. This code
allows to automatically evaluate linearly reducible convergent Feynman integrals in terms of
multiple polylogarithms. In our case the latter reduces to transcendental numbers.\footnote{The maximal
transcendental weight appearing in $\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}$ can be roughly estimated as follows: In $N = 4$ sYM
the four-loop $\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\phi)$ is believed to have uniform transcendental weight seven, equal to the maximum degree of
divergence (two times the loop number) minus one, because it is related to the $1/\epsilon$ coefficient in $W$ ($\epsilon$ has weight
minus one). Assuming this to be the maximum weight for $\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\phi)$ in QCD and subtracting one in the limit
$\phi \to 0$ and one for the $n_f$ piece given the experience at lower loops [9], we arrive at a maximum transcendental
weight of five.}

In order to provide finite Feynman parameter integrals as an input to HyperInt we first
switch to a MI basis without divergent subintegrals (except for trivial bubble insertions that can
be integrated out.) In practice this is done by inserting a sufficient number of dots on the (off-
shell) heavy quark lines of the MI, i.e. by increasing the power of the linear propagators by an
integer amount. The resulting new basis of MI is then related to the old one by IBP reduction.
Next, we Fourier transform to position space and directly integrate out the simple bubble and
HQET self-energy subintegrals by hand. This effectively produces integrals with non-integer
$\epsilon$-dependent propagator powers, but less than four loops. In order to avoid generating new
divergent subintegrals in this process, it may be necessary to increase the powers also of some
of the involved bubble propagators beforehand. The resulting integrals all have at most one

---

\footnote{For integrals with less than 11 propagators this assignment may not be unique.}
overall UV divergence ($\propto 1/\epsilon$).

Without loss of generality we can fix the position of the left-most vertex on the Wilson line to the coordinate origin ($x_1 = 0$) and parametrize the following vertices from left to right along the Wilson line by $\rho x_2, \rho(x_2 + x_3)$, etc., where $0 \leq x_1 \leq 1, \sum x_i = 1$. The parameter $\rho$ has the dimension of a length and $0 \leq \rho \leq \infty$. We can now write down a Feynman parameter representation for the integral over the positions of the non-Wilson-line vertices as a function of the $x_i$ and $\rho$. In particular its dependence on the only dimensionful parameter, $\rho$, can be easily deduced from dimensional power counting. The position space representation of a HQET Wilson line propagator between the vertices $l$ and $m$ of arbitrary power $a$ reads

$$
\int \frac{dq}{2\pi} \frac{e^{-iq^a x_m}}{(-2q + i\delta)^a} = \left( \frac{i}{2} \right)^a \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \rho^{a-1} x_m^{a-1} e^{-i\delta \rho / 2}.
$$

(2.16)

Note that because of the causal $-i0$ prescription $\delta$ can be considered to have an infinitesimally small negative imaginary part. The remaining overall UV divergence, if present, originates from the integration region, where all vertices on the Wilson line are contracted to one point, i.e. where $\rho \to 0$, cf. ref. [9]. In our parametrization, the product of all heavy quark propagators in a diagram together with the $\rho$-dependence from the Feynman parameter integral thus yields $\rho^2 \exp(-i\delta \rho / 2)$. The power $z$ only depends on $\epsilon$ and is fixed by the dimensionality of the MI. The possibly divergent $\rho$ integral can therefore be carried out easily. We have thus factored out the UV divergences completely and are left with a convergent integral over Feynman parameters and the $x_i$. Its integrand can now safely be expanded in $\epsilon$. The individual terms in this expansion are then computed with HyperInt.

Let us illustrate this procedure for the following non-planar nine-propagator MI (number 38 in our list):

$$
\text{MI}_{38} = \int_{0}^{1-x_1} dx_2 \left[ \frac{1}{16} \rho x_2 e^{-i\delta \rho / 2} \right] \int \prod_{i=1}^{6} \frac{d\alpha_i}{\alpha_i} \frac{\pi^{-2d} \Gamma(2d - 6)}{4096} \left( \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 \alpha_6 \right) \frac{z^4}{F^6 - 2d U^{3d - 6}},
$$

(2.17)

where

$$
F = \left(-\rho^2\right)^2 \left\{ \alpha_1 \alpha_2 (\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)(1 - x_1)^2 + \alpha_6 \left( \alpha_1 \alpha_3 x_1^2 + \alpha_4 [\alpha_2 (1 - x_1)^2 + \alpha_3 x_1^2] + \alpha_5 [\alpha_1 x_2^2 + \alpha_4 x_2^2 + \alpha_2 (1 - x_1 - x_2)^2 + \alpha_3 (x_1 + x_2)^2] + \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \right) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \left( \alpha_4 \alpha_5 x_2^2 + \alpha_3 [\alpha_4 x_1^2 + \alpha_5 (x_1 + x_2)^2] \right) \right\},
$$

(2.18)

$$
U = \left( \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \right) (\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5) \alpha_6.
$$

(2.19)

We have inserted a dot on the middle heavy quark line in order to render the two- and three-loop subintegrals finite. The first expression in squared brackets in eq. (2.17) arises from the product of the three Wilson line propagators according to eq. (2.16) (for the middle one $a = 2$). The second term in squared brackets corresponds to the Feynman parameter ($\alpha_i$)
representation of the integration over the two internal space-time vertices (the ones not lying on the Wilson line). Doing the $\rho$ integral yields a factor ($\delta \rightarrow \delta - i0$)

$$
\int_0^\infty d\rho \rho^3 (-\rho^2)^{6-2d} e^{-i\delta\rho/2} = \Gamma(16 - 4d) \left( \frac{\delta}{2} \right)^{4(d-4)},
$$

which is $1/\epsilon$ (UV) divergent. The $\alpha$-integrations in eq. (2.17) are projective, see e.g. [28], and we use this freedom to choose $\alpha_1 \equiv 1$. With this choice the other Feynman parameters are integrated from zero to infinity. After expansion in $\epsilon$ we evaluate these convergent integrals together with the ones over $x_1$ and $x_2$ using HyperInt. The result is

$$
\text{MI}_{38} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{\pi^2 \zeta_3}{12} + \frac{5\zeta_5}{2} \right) + 5\zeta_5 - \frac{17\zeta_4^2}{2} + \frac{\pi^2 \zeta_3}{6} - \frac{169\pi^6}{6480} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon).
$$

Here we have set the IR regulator $\delta = 1$ for convenience. This will not affect the final result for the cusp anomalous dimension. Also the $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ term in eq. (2.21) will not contribute to $\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\phi)$ through $\mathcal{O}(\phi^4)$, as can be verified by inspection of the overall $\epsilon$-dependent coefficient of $\text{MI}_{38}$ in the IBP reduced expressions for the vertex function.

With the methods described above we have computed all MI to the relevant order in $\epsilon$. This, in particular, includes the three eleven-propagator MI corresponding to the maximal graphs (with single propagator powers) of the three integral topologies in fig. 2. For the latter already the leading term in the $\epsilon$ expansion turns out to be sufficient. We have checked our analytic results numerically with FIESTA [29]. A list of the results for the MI in electronic form can be found in the ancillary file of the present paper.

For a number of MI it is straightforward to derive four-fold Mellin–Barnes representations by applying the two-fold representation for the heavy–light vertex [30] twice. They can be transformed to a four-fold series, which can be used to obtain the expansions in $\epsilon$. We however find this method less convenient than the procedure described above.

### 3 The HQET heavy-quark field anomalous dimension

Let us denote the heavy quark self energy ($i$ times the sum of all 1PI heavy quark self-energy diagrams) by $\Sigma_h$, and the external heavy quark energy by $\omega$. In our configuration $\omega = -\delta/2$. The HQET (off-shell) Ward identity then relates $\Sigma_h$ to the vertex function at zero angle via

$$
V(0) = 1 - \frac{\partial \Sigma_h(\omega)}{\partial \omega}, \quad \text{(3.1)}
$$

In fact, we have employed this identity already in eq. (2.3). Hence, we can write

$$
\log V(0) = -\log Z_h + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0), \quad \text{(3.2)}
$$

where $Z_h$ is the (MS) heavy quark wave function renormalization factor and $V(0)$ is expressed in terms of the renormalized coupling $\alpha_s(\mu)$ and gauge parameter $\xi(\mu)$. We thus have

$$
\gamma^h_{\mu, C_{F, A}} \equiv \left. \frac{\partial \log Z_h}{\partial \log \mu} \right|_{\mu, C_{F, A}} = 8\epsilon V(0) \left|_{\mu, C_{F, A}} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \right. \quad \text{(3.3)}
$$

Note that there is a typo on the right-hand side of eq. (49) in ref. [30]. It should contain an extra factor of two from the Jacobian of the $(t_1, t_2) \rightarrow (s, t)$ transformation.
for the $n_f C_F$ term of the associated anomalous dimension $\gamma_h$, which determines the running of the renormalized HQET heavy quark field $h$ through the renormalization group equation

$$\frac{d}{d \log \mu} h(\mu) = -\frac{\gamma_h}{2} h(\mu). \quad (3.4)$$

As field renormalization is irrelevant to physical observables $\gamma_h$ can depend on the gauge. The gauge dependence has been explicitly shown at three loops, where the complete result is known [17, 18]. Unlike other parts, the four-loop $n_f C_F$ term, however, is gauge invariant for the reason discussed above. For $\phi = 0$ our calculation of the vertex function yields

$$\gamma_h\big|_{n_f C_F,4} = n_f C_F,4 \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^4 \left(-\frac{5}{4} \zeta_5 + \frac{2}{3} \pi^2 \zeta_3 + \zeta_3 - \frac{2}{3} \pi^2\right). \quad (3.5)$$

We have also calculated the $n_f C_F$ term of $\Sigma_h$ from HQET heavy quark self energy diagrams (without cusp) and checked explicitly that eq. (3.1) is fulfilled.

The $\overline{\text{MS}}$ renormalized QCD heavy-quark field $Q$ is related to the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ renormalized HQET field $h$ by the matching relation [31]

$$Q(\mu) = z(\mu)^{1/2} h(\mu) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{m}\right), \quad (3.6)$$

$$z(\mu) = Z_h\left(\alpha_s^{(n_f)}(\mu), \xi^{(n_f)}(\mu)\right) Z_Q^{\text{os}}\left(g_0^{(n_f+1)}, \xi_0^{(n_f+1)}\right), \quad (3.7)$$

The $Z_i$ and $Z_i^{\text{os}}$ denote the renormalization factors for the field $i = Q, h$ in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ and on-shell scheme, respectively. Bare quantities are labeled by a subscript 0 and $\xi(\mu)$ is the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ renormalized gauge parameter: $1 - \xi_0 = Z_A(\alpha_s(\mu), \xi(\mu))(1 - \xi(\mu))$ with $Z_A$ being the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ renormalization factor of the gluon field. The superscripts on the couplings and $\xi$ indicate the number of active flavors in the theory.

If we take all $n_f$ light flavors to be massless, we have $Z_i^{\text{os}} = 1$, because the HQET self-energy diagrams are scaleless in the on-shell limit, i.e. for $\omega \to 0$. The four-loop $n_f C_F$ term in the anomalous dimension $\gamma_Q$ is known [32]:

$$\gamma_Q\big|_{n_f C_F,4} = \frac{d \log Z_Q}{d \log \mu} \bigg|_{n_f C_F,4} = n_f C_F,4 \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^4, \quad (3.8)$$

and we can thus determine $Z_Q$. The on-shell heavy-quark field renormalization constant $Z_Q^{\text{os}}$ is known up to three loops [17]. As $z(\mu)$ must be finite in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ we then find

$$Z_Q^{\text{os}}\big|_{n_f C_F,4} = n_f C_F,4 \frac{g_0^8 m_{\text{os}}}{(4\pi)^2} \left[-8 - \left(5 \zeta_5 - \frac{8}{3} \pi^2 \zeta_3 - 4 \zeta_3 + \frac{8}{3} \pi^2 + 4\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0)\right]. \quad (3.9)$$

This result can be used as a check of a future four-loop calculation of $Z_Q^{\text{os}}$. 
4 Result for the cusp anomalous dimension

Putting all pieces together we obtain

\[ \Gamma_{\text{cusp}} |_{n_f C_F} = \alpha_s \left( \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right)^4 \left( \frac{1}{9} \phi^2 \left( -4\pi^2 \zeta_3 + \frac{5}{12}\pi^4 + \frac{5}{6}\pi^2 \right) + \phi^4 \left( -4\zeta_5 - \frac{16}{75}\pi^2 \zeta_3 + \frac{71}{25}\pi^2 - \frac{157}{900}\pi^4 - \frac{23}{100} \right) + O(\phi^6) \right) \]

We see from our result, eq. (4.1), that the relative coefficients of the \( \phi^2 \) and \( \phi^4 \) terms differ from the conjectured form, eq. (4.3). Moreover, taking into account the analytic form of the static quark anti-quark potential, we see that the latter involves transcendental constants such as \( \log 2 \) that do not appear in our four-loop MI. All of this suggests that the full function \( \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\phi) \) takes a more complicated form, so that it can reproduce these features.

Nevertheless, it is interesting to compare the numerical size of the contributions. The exact expression in eq. (4.2) and the (wrong) conjecture in eq. (4.4) are numerically remarkably close.
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