Influences of Culture Medium on Productivity and Nutrient Composition of the Housefly (Musca domestica) Maggot
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Abstract: Maggot meal, including that produced from the Housefly (Musca domestica) is a suitable substitute to fishmeal and soybean meal which are the conventional protein sources in diets of fish and livestock. Several types of culture media are used for maggot culture, but there is limited knowledge on their impact on maggot productivity and nutrient composition. The aim of this study was to access the impact of culture media on maggot productivity and nutrient composition. Maggot was cultured for 3 days in partial wooden boxes (PWB) and complete wooden boxes (CWB) using poultry manure supplemented with fish viscera. Maggot production was 0.0089±0.0002 kg and 0.0066±0.0002 kg on dry matter basis per kg poultry manure in PWB and CWB, respectively. The dry matter, crude protein, fat, crude fibre, ash, nitrogen free extract and gross energy were 85.62±0.02%, 43.80±0.21%, 4.62±0.00%, 10.66±0.06%, 10.91±0.22%, 30.14% and 4633.64±13.58 (kcal/kg), respectively for maggot cultured in PWB and 90.13±0.13%, 42.07±0.23%, 8.38±0.01%, 17.22±0.11%, 20.59% and 4534.21±12.30 (kcal/kg), respectively for maggot cultured in CWB. The mean temperature in the boxes was 32-39°C and 32-42°C in PWB and CWB, respectively. Based on maggot productivity and maggot meal nutrient composition, the PWB is the preferred medium for housefly maggot culture.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Feed cost represents 60-70% of meat production costs which is prohibitive and cannot be affordable by resource-poor farmers [1]. According to [2], the cost of feeding is a major factor affecting the development of fish farming. Based on the report of [3], the high cost of feeding is associated with the inclusion of the conventional protein sources like the fishmeal and soybeans meal which are very expensive. As a result, the focus of livestock and fish nutritionists has been to valorise alternative sustainable protein sources such as the maggot meal which can be produced easily using readily available by-products.

Maggot meal production is less costly and less tedious when compared with other sources of animal protein [4]; [5]. Maggots of the fly Musca domestica can be produced in large biomass using diverse agricultural by-products namely: pig dung, cattle blood, wheat bran, poultry manure, cattle manure [6]; [7]; [8]; [9]. However, according to [10], poultry manure is optimal for maggot culture.

Maggot meal (MM) contains nutrient values comparable to most high protein feedstuffs [11]; [9]. MM contains all the ten essential amino acids in quantities comparable to many animal proteins [6]. According to [12], MM contains higher amounts of some essential amino acids, namely cystine,
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Histidine, phenylalanine, tryptophan and tyrosine than fish meal and soybeans meal. Meanwhile, [3] reported higher amounts of lysine and methionine in MM compared to fish meal.

MM has been reported as a substitute for fishmeal in fish feeds[3]; [13]; [14]; [15] and livestock feeds [11]; [16].

However, based on the report of [17], the development of maggot can be influenced by the culture medium, but there is limited information on the impact of culture medium on the development of the Housefly maggot. The Housefly maggot are cultured using different culture media. Therefore, the crucial question was if the different culture media do not have significant impact on the culture environment, maggot productivity and maggot nutrient composition. This question was the preoccupation of this particular study, since there is limited information on these aspects, despite the fact that maggot meal constitutes an important feedstuff in fish and livestock diets in Cameroon.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. The Study Site

This study was carried out at the Institute of Agricultural Research for Development (IRAD) – Kribi located between latitude 2°58’36.137”N and longitude 9° 55’02.285”E in the Ocean Division, South Region of Cameroon. The climate of Kribi is classified as tropical. There is significant rainfall throughout the year. The average annual temperature is 25.7°C, ranging from 24.5 °C to 26.9°C. Precipitation is about 2725mm per year.

2.2. Maggot Culture Media

Two types of culture media (boxes) were used; the complete wooden boxes (CWB) and the partial wooden boxes (PWB). The CWB were constructed with plywood to cover the four sides and the bases, while the PWB were constructed with plywood to cover the four sides, but the bases were made of aluminium material. The top of all the culture boxes was covered with netting material to avoid predation by lizards and other predators.

2.3. Maggot Production

Two (2) kg each of poultry manure was moistened with clean borehole water (0.5 L) and fish viscera (fly attractant) (0.5 kg) was added to the manure; all treatments in triplicates. The content was thoroughly homogenized with gloved hands and exposed for 24 hours for natural egg deposition by flies. After exposure, all the boxes were covered with netting materials to avoid continuous deposition of eggs which could result in varied maggot sizes at harvest time and to prevent predation. The boxes were kept outdoors, under shade for three days for maggot development. During the cultivation period, water was sprinkled on the substrate on daily basis to keep it moist.

The temperature of the substrate in the different boxes was monitored twice daily (morning and evening) to the nearest 1 degree using a digital thermometer.

To harvest the maggot at the end of three days, the content of each box (substrate and maggot) was poured onto a sieve dipped in slightly hot water and the blanched maggot was scooped from the surface of the water using a sieve. After harvest, maggot was weighed and solar dried for 24 hours. The dry maggot was thereafter grounded, packaged in air-tight polythene bags and conserved at 4°C prior to proximate analysis.

2.4. Proximate Analysis

The proximate composition of maggot was determined following the method of Association of Analytical Chemists [18] by the Nutrition laboratory of the Department of Animal Production, University of Dschang, Cameroon.

The nitrogen free extract (NFE) was calculated using the formula

\[
\text{NFE} = 100 - (\text{crude protein} + \text{fat} + \text{crude fibre} + \text{ash}).
\]

2.5. Statistical Analysis

The data collected was subjected to one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20.0 and group means differences tested at 95% confidence interval.
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1. Maggot Production

Maggot production on both wet and dry matter basis and the respective temperatures for the different culture media are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Maggot production in CWB was significantly (P < 0.05) greater than the production in PWB. Similar with the study of [19], aluminium material favoured maggot development, probably due to the fact that temperature build-up was lower during the incubation process in PWB due to the insulating property of the aluminium material. Maggot yield recorded in this study was slightly higher than that reported by [10], probably due to the difference type of culture medium and fly attractant used. On the other hand, the yield in this study was lower than that of [1]. The disparity could be attributed to a shorter incubation period adopted in this study. Also, the disparity could be attributed to lower quantity of attractant used in this study; poultry manure to fish viscera ratio was 1:5 compared to 1:3 used by [1]. Also, [20] recorded higher maggot production when compared to those reported in this study, probably due to variation in culture environment [21].

The temperature recorded in this study ranged between 32.1°C and 39.3°C in the morning (8:00-9:00), and between 32.5°C and 38.5°C in the evening (17:00-18:00) for the PWB, while that for CWB ranged from between 32.1°C and 39.8°C in the morning, and between 33.3°C and 41.2°C in the evening. Mean temperature between the treatments did not differ significantly (P> 0.05). However, higher mean temperatures were recorded in CWB when compared to the PWB. The better production observed in PWB; where slightly lower temperatures were recorded indicated that the higher temperature observed in CWB was relatively less optimal for maggot development.

Table1. Wet weight of maggot/kg waste and their respective incubation temperature

| Wet weight of maggot/kg waste | Incubation material | Incubation Temperature (°C) | Production (kg) |
|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Complete wooden box (CWB)    | 36.55±2.94          | 0.0528±0.0020               |
| Partial wooden box (PWB)     | 34.54±2.46          | 0.0666±0.0016               |

Table2. Dry weight of maggot/kg waste and their respective incubation temperature

| Dry weight of maggot/kg waste | Incubation material | Incubation Temperature (°C) | Production (kg) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Complete wooden box (CWB)     | 36.55±2.94          | 0.0066±0.0002               |
| Partial wooden box (PWB)      | 34.54±2.46          | 0.0089±0.0002               |

3.2. Proximate Composition of Maggot

The dry matter of maggot produced in PWB was significantly (P< 0.05) less than that of maggot produced in CWB. Nevertheless, the dry matter contents of 85.62% and 90.62% in PWB and CWB, respectively, in this study were slightly lower than those reported by [22]; [3]; [11]. This disparity could be attributed to the quality of poultry manure used and even the culture environment. For crude protein, that of PWB was significantly (P < 0.016) greater than that in CWB. However, the crude protein contents of the 43.7% and 42.06 % recorded in this study were all lower than those of [3]; [16], but were similar to that of [23] whereby poultry manure was also used for maggot culture. The crude fat for maggot cultured in PWB, was significantly (P< 0.05) less than that of maggot cultured in CWB. Regarding crude fibre component, there was no significant (P> 0.55) difference between the treatments, although higher crude fibre contents were recorded in CWB. The ash contents of maggot produced in PWB were significantly (P< 0.05) less than that of maggot produced from CWB. Concerning the organic matter, maggot produced in PWB showed significantly (P<0.05) higher values that of maggot produced in CWB. The crude energy for maggot cultured in PWB was also significantly (P< 0.05) greater than that of maggot cultured in CWB. Table3 presents the proximate components and compositions of the two treatments. Notwithstanding, the crude fat, ash fibre and metabolizable energy contents recorded in this study differed significantly from those of [23]; [16] where maggot was cultured using poultry manure probably due to differences culture condition and the incubation period. [16] cultured maggot on the soil and harvested after four to five days as compared to this study where culture was carried out in boxes and maggots harvested after three day.
Table 3. Proximate components and compositions for the two culture milieu

| Proximate component         | Complete wooden boxes                        | Partial wooden boxes                       |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Dry matter (%)              | 90.13 ± 0.13a                               | 85.62 ± 0.02b                             |
| Crude protein (%)           | 42.07 ± 0.23a                               | 43.80 ± 0.21a                             |
| Fat (%)                     | 8.38 ± 0.01a                                | 4.62 ± 0.00b                              |
| Crude fibre (%)             | 11.81 ± 0.40a                               | 10.66 ± 0.06a                             |
| Ash (%)                     | 17.22 ± 0.11a                               | 10.91 ± 0.22a                             |
| NFE (%)                     | 20.59a                                      | 30.14b                                     |
| Gross energy (kcal/kg)      | 4534.2 ± 12.30a                             | 4633.64 ± 13.58a                          |

*Means on the same row with the same superscript are not significantly different

4. CONCLUSION

Both CWB and PWB can be used for the culture of maggot, since the nutrient composition of the maggot obtained in this study was comparable to those earlier reported. However, maggot productivity and nutritional composition was influenced by the type of culture medium used. This study revealed that CWB allowed temperature build-up within the box, which although was not significantly higher than the temperature in PWB, resulted to significant differences in maggot productivity and nutrient composition. Maggot productivity and nutritional composition in the PWB were better than in CWB. Therefore, the PWB is recommended for maggot production when compared with CWB.
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