Oxygen in human health from life to death – An approach to teaching redox biology and signaling to graduate and medical students
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Abstract

In the absence of oxygen human life is measured in minutes. In the presence of oxygen, normal metabolism generates reactive species (ROS) that have the potential to cause cell injury contributing to human aging and disease. Between these extremes, organisms have developed means for sensing oxygen and ROS and regulating their cellular processes in response. Redox signaling contributes to the control of cell proliferation and death. Aberrant redox signaling underlies many human diseases. The attributes acquired by altered redox homeostasis in cancer cells illustrate this particularly well. This teaching review and the accompanying illustrations provide an introduction to redox biology and signaling aimed at instructors of graduate and medical students.
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Oxygen and ROS sensing by the earliest life on earth

A logical assumption is that antioxidant enzymes evolved with, or after the appearance of, aerobic metabolism on earth. The...
thinking is that these enzymes became necessary only when cells had to protect themselves from increased levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) that were produced as by-products of respiration. Results from the quest for the earliest form of life on earth challenge this assumption. With modern sequencing technology, rRNAs from multiple species have been compared and used to construct a phylogenetic tree based on molecular rather than morphological similarities between organisms (Fig. 1). The tree has three domains: Bacteria, Archaea and Eucarya [1,2]. At the base of the tree is the last universal common ancestor (LUCA), which is estimated to have appeared 3.5–4 billion years ago. Carl Woese postulates that LUCA was not a single organism but a community of primitive entities with a high frequency of lateral gene transfer [3]. Collectively, this community was genetically and metabolically complex, containing the molecular origins of all present life forms. Over time, the ancestors of three major domains emerged from LUCA.

LUCA was present a billion years before the rise of oxygen levels in the atmosphere. Yet, sequence analyses suggest that it was capable of detoxifying ROS [4]. The lack of an ozone layer at
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**Fig. 1.** Oxidative stress preceded oxygen metabolism. A phylogenetic tree developed from sequence analysis of ribosomal RNAs [1,2]. The first semblance of life is at the base of the tree and is referred to as the last universal common ancestor, or LUCA. Oxygen levels in the atmosphere did not increase appreciably until the appearance of the cyanobacteria. Yet, LUCA had genetic material encoding for antioxidant defenses.

From Knoll A.H. (1999) Science 285: 1025–1026; [http://www.sciencemag.org/content/285/5430/1025](http://www.sciencemag.org/content/285/5430/1025). Reprinted with permission from AAAS.
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**Fig. 2.** Oxygen sensing and signaling in LUCA and modern-day organisms. LUCA had a hemoglobin-like protein that could have bound oxygen. Modern-day organisms have a number of regulatory systems that respond to oxygen, hydrogen peroxide or superoxide. Bacteria have redox-sensitive transcription factors that interact directly with superoxide (SoxR/SoxS) or hydrogen peroxide (OxyR, PerR) and regulate gene expression in response. In eukaryote cells, redox regulation frequently involves multiple proteins with one acting as the sensor and subsequently changing the location, activity or expression level location of a regulatory protein. The listed proteins have been shown to participate in different aspects of redox signaling.
the time of LUCA would have resulted in approximately thirty times more ultraviolet radiation reaching the earth’s surface. Radiation splits water into ROS. With a hemoglobin-like molecule to bind the small amount of oxygen present in the atmosphere and catalase and superoxide to detoxify ROS, LUCA was protected from oxidative damage. Importantly, LUCA teaches us that the ability to respond to oxygen, hydrogen peroxide and superoxide is ancient and was present well before the increase in atmospheric oxygen and evolution of aerobic respiration.

Interaction of modern-day organisms with oxygen-derived species

Modern day organisms have a number of regulatory systems that respond to changing levels of oxygen, hydrogen peroxide or superoxide (Fig. 2). The difference in structural complexity between unicellular and multicellular organism is accompanied by a difference in the complexity of these systems [5]. It could be argued that to a certain extent, bacteria respond to ROS primarily to protect themselves from potential damaging effects of these species. As relatively small, single-celled life forms, bacteria benefit from the ability to respond quickly to an increased level of ROS in the environment. Thus, bacteria use transcription factors that are modified by ROS to regulate genes that function to protect against oxidative stress.

In eukaryotic cells, redox regulation frequently involves multiple proteins with one acting as the sensor. The more complex systems seen in eukaryotes reflect evolution of redox signaling pathways, in addition to protection against oxidative stress. Oxidation (or reduction) of sensor proteins leads to changes in the location, activity or expression level location of other key regulatory molecules [5]. An example of this is regulation of protein turnover and cytoplasmic-nuclear trafficking as seen with the IkB kinase/NF-κB or KEAP/NRF2 [6] protein pairs. A multi-component system allows for greater flexibility in light of eukaryotic species having cells with multiple compartments and the higher level organization (i.e., different cell and tissue types) in multicellular eukaryotes.

Redox signaling in Bacteria

Bacteria response to increasing levels of superoxide using two proteins, SoxR and SoxS, that function in tandem to regulate gene expression [7]. SoxR acts as a sensor through a mechanism involving oxidation of an iron–sulfur group in the protein. Oxidation increases SoxS-mediated transcription of the SoxS gene by approximately 30-fold [8]. Genes regulated by SoxS function to remove superoxide and repair oxidative damage. The bacterial response to an increasing level of hydrogen peroxide involves the oxidation of critical cysteine residues in the OxyR and PerR transcription factors. Oxidation alters the proteins’ activation state. These proteins have been most well-characterized in *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis*, respectively [9–11]. Both regulate genes encoding antioxidant defense proteins (e.g., catalase and peroxiredoxin), but with OxyR functioning as an activator of gene transcription and PerR as a repressor. Oxidation of Cys-199 in OxyR leads to the formation of an intramolecular disulfide bond with Cys-208 and, as a consequence, structural remodeling of the regulatory domain. The transcription factor is thereby activated to recruit RNA polymerase. Redox regulation of PerR involves a metal-coordinated cysteine residue. The metal group (Fe or Mn) is essential for DNA-binding. A structural change in the protein upon oxidation of the critical cysteine by hydrogen peroxide causes release of the metal group followed by release of the PerR protein from sites of gene repression.

Redox signaling in Eucarya

Distinct chemical properties of ROS

A primer on redox biology and signaling in eukaryotes might well begin with a discussion of the chemical properties of the different ROS. These have important ramifications for signal transduction [12–14]. The hydroxyl radical is the most reactive, giving it the shortest half-life in tissues (10−9 s) [15]. It oxidizes virtually any cell component that it encounters and is the primary cause of toxicity due to oxidative damage. Due to this reactivity and lack of specificity, the hydroxyl radical is not thought to have a signaling role in cells. The anionic charge on superoxide limits its diffusion through membranes. Han et al. have provided evidence, however, that superoxide can be transported from mitochondria to the cytoplasm through the voltage-dependent anion channel (VDAC) [16]. Superoxide has a high affinity for iron–sulfur clusters in proteins and reacts with these at rates limited only by diffusion. This can release free iron and promote structural changes to alter protein activity. In solutions of purified proteins, superoxide reacts with cysteine residues to generate a thyl radical [17]. A subsequent reaction of the thyl radical with oxygen would regenerate superoxide that then dismutates to form hydrogen peroxide. In vivo, the rapid rate of spontaneous or enzyme-catalyzed dismutation of superoxide to hydrogen peroxide makes it unlikely that oxidation of protein thiols by superoxide is used for redox signaling. Hydrogen peroxide is the most stable ROS, with an estimated half-life in cells of approximately 1 ms. As a nonpolar molecule, it can diffuse through membranes. Membrane transport of hydrogen peroxide is further facilitated by aquaporin channels [18,19]. Thus, chemical considerations point to hydrogen peroxide as the major ROS involved in redox signaling in eukaryotes.

Cellular targets of ROS

Differences between superoxide and hydrogen peroxide are further reinforced through an appreciation of their cellular targets (Fig. 3). As mentioned above for the SoxR/S system in Bacteria, one target of superoxide is iron–sulfur clusters in proteins as is seen with superoxide-mediated inactivation of the mitochondrial enzyme, aconitase [20]. Other iron–sulfur proteins are involved in DNA replication, transcription and repair [21]. Superoxide can react with nitric oxide to produce peroxynitrite, with various sequelae [22]. The primary target of redox signaling by hydrogen peroxide is cysteine residues in proteins, as discussed further below.

Reversibility and specificity in redox signaling

One rule in signal transduction pathways is that the reactions should be reversible; that is, the signaling components have on and off states. Efforts to elucidate redox signaling pathways have focused on oxidation of the amino acid cysteine, as this can be reversed in contrast to oxidation of many other amino acids [12]. The two electron oxidation of cysteine residues by hydrogen peroxide generates sulfenic acid (Fig. 3). This intermediate can go on to form inter- or intra-molecular disulfides or glutathionylated proteins. Tyrosine phosphatases are well-recognized targets of hydrogen peroxide [23]. These proteins generally turn off kinase signaling pathways and thus, their inactivation can result in constitutive signaling.
Central questions in the redox pathway and (2) how is specificity achieved? It is worth emphasizing one key difference between redox signaling and traditional signal transduction pathways [13]. The latter begin with a ligand (e.g., growth factors, cytokines or steroid hormones) binding to a surface or intracellular receptor. This is a non-covalent interaction of large molecular structures: a lock and key mechanism. In contrast, redox signaling is initiated at the atomic level through a covalent interaction; hydrogen peroxide reacts with the sulfur group of a specific cysteine residue. The size of the cysteine proteome in cells gives a large number of potential receptors, thus raising the question of how specificity can possibly be achieved.

The limitations placed by signaling criteria provide an answer to how specificity is achieved in redox signaling: key interactions are limited to those that are fast, reversible and target a specific cysteine in the target protein [24]. The likelihood of the oxidation of a unique cysteine residue in a particular protein depends on the concentration of this residue/protein in the cell, the amino acids surrounding the cysteine, the location of the protein relative to the source of hydrogen peroxide generation and the rate of reaction with hydrogen peroxide. Proteomic approaches indeed indicate that specificity is achievable, as only a small fraction of proteins become oxidized when cells are subjected to oxidative stress [25–27].

Peroxiredoxins are a family of proteins that exhibit particularly high reaction rate constants with hydrogen peroxide, on the order of $10^5–10^7$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ [14]. They are present in cells at a relatively high concentration for proteins of approximately 20 $\mu$M. Modeling studies indicate that the unique protein environment around the peroxiredoxin catalytic cysteine residue stabilizes the transition state of the reaction to make these proteins particularly well-designed sensors of hydrogen peroxide [28]. The high reactivity, relative abundance and the distribution of peroxiredoxin family members across different cell compartments fits the expected criteria for proteins that participate in cell signaling pathways.

The location of potential downstream targets relative to the source of hydrogen peroxide generation is a further component of specificity in redox signaling. This is illustrated with the protein tyrosine phosphatase, PTP1B, and redox signaling downstream of ligand binding to tyrosine kinase receptors. PTP1B must compete with peroxiredoxins as a target for hydrogen peroxide. The reaction rate constants of hydrogen peroxide with Prx2 and PTP1B are $2 \times 10^7$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ [29] and $20$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ [23], respectively. According to the ‘flowgate model’ (Fig. 4), signaling is achieved through local inactivation of peroxiredoxins [30,31]. Ligand binding to tyrosine kinase receptors results in phosphorylation and inactivation of Prx1. Overoxidation of a critical cysteine residue in Prx2 converts the thiol group to sulfenic acid and inactivates this enzyme. As a result, sufficiently high levels of hydrogen peroxide can accumulate to target signaling pathway proteins such as PTP1B.

**ROS function at the start of life**

In animals, ROS have important functions for life from the moment of fertilization. Otto Warburg was a notable German chemist/physiologist working on the embryology of sea urchins in the early 1900s [32]. He observed a rapid rise in oxygen consumption upon fertilization of the oocytes and reasoned this was due to increased respiration needed for the ensuing embryogenesis [33,34]. Subsequent studies have determined that while some oxygen is consumed in oxidative phosphorylation, the majority is used to produce nanomolar concentrations of hydrogen peroxide at the egg surface within minutes of fertilization [35]. This is accomplished by the NADPH oxidase family member designated...
The hydrogen peroxide cross-links tyrosine residues in proteins of the extracellular matrix. The functional consequence of the oxidative burst is that the fertilization envelope is rapidly converted into a physical structure that initially prevents entry of more than one sperm cell and then protects the developing embryo (Fig. 5).

**Redox signaling for proliferation**

ROS function in signaling pathways for proliferation that are triggered by growth factors. This was first appreciated for basic fibroblast growth factor, platelet-derived growth factor, and epidermal growth factor signaling in chondrocytes, vascular smooth muscle cells and epidermoid carcinoma cells, respectively. The ROS signal is generated downstream of the growth factor receptor and upstream of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways. Ras is an adaptor protein bridging ligand-bound growth factor receptors and MAPKs (Fig. 6). It is activated upon GTP binding and deactivated by hydrolysis of the GTP.

Oncogenic forms of Ras (e.g., H-Ras) cause constitutive signaling for proliferation, independent of the binding of growth factors to their ligands. The mutations inhibit GTPase activity and thus, keep Ras in its active state. Transfection of NIH 3T3 cells...
with H-RasV12 leads to constitutive superoxide production [45] (Fig. 6). This can be prevented by treatment of the cells with a flavoprotein inhibitor, or superoxide dismutase, but not catalase. This implicates an NADPH oxidase family member downstream of oncogenic Ras. Indeed, overexpression of the NADPH oxidase, Nox1 (also called mitogenic oxidase 1 or Mox1) confers cancer cell phenotypes [46].

NADPH oxidases are widely expressed and could contribute to redox signaling in many types of tissues (reviewed in [47]). The family consists of seven members. Nox2 (originally called gp91phox) is the catalytic subunit of the NADPH oxidase found in phagocytes. It is notable for: (1) being the first of these enzymes to be discovered and (2) setting a new paradigm that ROS can be beneficial to cells [48]. The enzymes function as multi-protein complexes. The core subunit transfers electrons from NADPH on one side of the membrane to oxygen on the other side, thus generating superoxide.

**Redox signaling for death**

The idea that free radicals are responsible for the gradual decline in function seen across species, with the increasing age of individuals, was first proposed by Denhan Harmon in 1956 [49]. His proposal was based on the idea of damage caused by the hydroxyl radical. While the source of these was not known at the time, he reasoned that respiratory enzymes were most likely responsible. Denhan was not aware of cellular production of superoxide and hydrogen peroxide through electron leakage from the respiratory chain. That knowledge did not come until after the discovery of superoxide dismutases in 1968 [50,51].

The idea that damage from ROS, as by-products of aerobic respiration, contributes to aging continues to be debated as a theory of aging [52,53]. Evidence in support of the theory includes the strong correlation seen between metabolic rate or superoxide production and maximum life-span of a species [54]. A long life-span is correlated with a lower metabolic rate and low superoxide production. This fits the hypothesis that the loss of function seen with aging is due to the accumulation of molecular oxidative damage that ultimately results in cell death and aging of tissues.
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**Fig. 6.** Redox signaling downstream of the Ras oncoprotein stimulates proliferation. Ras functions within signaling pathways for proliferation. It acts as a bridge between growth factor receptors and downstream kinases that ultimately regulate gene transcription. Ras is activated by binding to GTP. Hydrolysis of the GTP turns Ras off. Cells transformed with oncogenic forms of Ras (e.g., RasV12) can progress through the cell cycle in the absence of growth factors. These cells produce increased levels of superoxide as the result of increased NADPH oxidase activity [45,46]. These findings were early evidence of redox signaling for proliferation and aberrant redox signaling in cancer cells.

**Fig. 7.** Oxygen and death – hypothesis on aging. Correlative data in support of the free radical or oxidative stress [49] and rate of living [59] theories of aging are obtained by comparing metabolic rate and superoxide production to the maximum life-span of different species [56]. A long life-span is correlated with a lower metabolic rate and low superoxide production. This fits the hypothesis that the loss of function seen with aging is due to the accumulation of molecular oxidative damage that ultimately results in cell death and aging of tissues. From Sohal R.S. and Weindruch R. (1996) Science 273: 59–63; [http://www.sciencemag.org/content/273/5271/59](http://www.sciencemag.org/content/273/5271/59). Reprinted with permission from AAAS.
A prediction of the free radical, or oxidative stress, theory of aging is that manipulations that reduce oxidative damage will extend life-span. As stated above, this prediction has been borne out in studies of transgenic flies and of caloric restriction in various species. An extensive study with mouse models, however, has resulted in many cases for which a change in the accumulation of oxidative damage does not alter maximum life-span [53]. In these experiments, transgenic mice were generated to express either decreased or increased levels of CuZnSOD (encoded by Sod1), MnSOD (encoded by Sod2), Gpx1, Gpx4 or Trx2. Additional experiments examined combinations of gene knockouts: (1) CuZnSOD and MnSOD (Sod1−/−/Sod2−/−); (2) CuZnSOD and Gpx1 (Sod1−/−/Gpx1−/−); (3) CuZnSOD and Gpx4 (Sod1−/−/Gpx4−/−); (4) MnSOD and Gpx1 (Sod2−/−/Gpx1−/−); (5) MnSOD and Gpx4 (Sod2−/−/Gpx4−/−), and (6) Gpx1 and Gpx4 (Gpx1−/−/Gpx4−/−). In each of these models, the mice showed altered resistance to oxidative stress and a difference in the accumulation of oxidative damage. For example, mice overexpressing CuZnSOD were more resistant to paraquat toxicity and overexpression of MnSOD resulted in decreased levels of protein oxidative damage. Despite these confirmations of altered antioxidant defenses, no difference in longevity was seen in 17 of the 18 models tested. Loss of CuZnSOD activity was the only manipulation that affected aging; Sod1−/− mice have a 30% reduction in mean and maximum life-span.

In the early 1900s, Rubner noted that different species expend a similar amount of energy over their lifetimes [58]. Tissues of small mammals such as mice use the energy allotment quickly and die sooner, while large mammals such as elephants live long lives expending the energy at a slow rate. This relationship forms the basis of the rate of living theory of aging proposed by Pearl in 1928 [59]. The oxidative stress theory of aging provides a mechanism, given that a high rate of metabolism speeds up the accumulation of damage from ROS as by-products.

Studies of birds provide insight into the relationship between ROS, the rate of aging and metabolism. Birds are an exception to the rate of living rule. The slope of the line correlating maximum life-span potential with body weight is similar for species of mammals and birds, but it is shifted upwards for birds [60]. Rats and pigeons have similar body weights, but maximum life-spans of 5 and 35 years, respectively. Montgomery and colleagues carried out an extensive comparison to gain insight into the 7-fold difference in longevity for these two species [61]. They measured multiple antioxidants defenses, ROS and markers of oxidative damage in seven different tissues or isolated mitochondria. The only consistent, significant difference is a lipid peroxidation index showing that membranes in rat tissues are more susceptible to oxidation than those in pigeons (Fig. 8). The variation in membrane lipid composition across species may explain the longevity of birds [62]. The findings from the study by Montgomery et al. suggest that manipulations of antioxidant defenses in mammals that do not alter lipid peroxidation rates will not extend life-span.

Moving from whole organisms to cells, there is evidence of hydrogen peroxide signaling for death through the mitochondrial apoptosis pathway. One mechanism involves the Src homology 2 (SH2) domain-containing protein called P66Shc. This protein is splice variant of two cytoplasmic adaptor proteins, p52Shc and p46Shc; the latter two proteins are involved in tyrosine kinase pathway signaling for proliferation. P66Shc has a different role in the cell. P66Shc knockout mice have increased resistance to

![Fig. 8. Differences in the susceptibility of pigeons versus rat cell membranes to oxidative damage. Species of birds do not conform to the rate of living theory of aging as exemplified by the 7-fold greater longevity of pigeons versus rats, despite their similar sizes. As a test of the oxidative stress theory of aging Montgomery and colleagues measured antioxidants defenses, reactive oxygen species and oxidative damage in seven different tissues or isolated mitochondria from rats and pigeons [61]. The only consistent, significant difference they observed is in a membrane peroxidation index indicating that the cell membranes in rat tissues are more susceptible to oxidation.](http://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0024138)
oxidative stress, live 30% longer under laboratory conditions and accumulate lower levels of markers of oxidative damage with age [63,64]. Cells from p66Shc null mice are resistant to apoptosis induced by a variety of stimuli. Studies of p66Shc have led to this model of mitochondrial-mediated apoptosis [65]. An apoptotic trigger causes p66Shc to dissociate from a multiprotein complex in the intermembrane space. It then oxidizes cytochrome c to generate hydrogen peroxide. Increased hydrogen peroxide levels ultimately affect the permeability of the outer mitochondrial membrane, leading to apoptosis.

In the intrinsic pathway to apoptosis, hydrogen peroxide can mediate cytochrome c release through a mechanism involving the mitochondrial-specific, anionic lipid, cardiolipin (Fig. 10). An early hint at this mechanism was the report from Vogelstein’s laboratory that p53-mediated apoptosis involved oxidation of mitochondrial components [66]. Cytochrome c is tethered to the outer surface of the inner mitochondrial membrane through hydrostatic and hydrophobic interactions with cardiolipin [67,68]. As levels of hydrogen peroxide increase and cardiolipin is redistributed during
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**Fig. 9.** Redox signaling for cell death mediated by p66Shc in mitochondria. Knockout mice that lack the p66Shc protein have increased longevity and accumulate lower levels of markers of oxidative damage with age [63]. Cells from p66Shc knockout mice are resistant to apoptosis induced by a variety of stimuli. Studies of p66Shc have led to this model of mitochondrial-mediated apoptosis [65]. An apoptotic trigger causes p66Shc to dissociate from a multiprotein complex in the intermembrane space. It then oxidizes cytochrome c to generate hydrogen peroxide. Increased hydrogen peroxide levels ultimately affect the permeability of the outer mitochondrial membrane, leading to apoptosis.

**Fig. 10.** Cardiolipin oxidation and cytochrome c release in the mitochondrial pathway to apoptosis. A second, complementary model for redox signaling for apoptosis involves cytochrome c and the mitochondrial-specific phospholipid cardiolipin. Under normal conditions, cytochrome c binds cardiolipin through loose, electrostatic interactions. In the presence of hydrogen peroxide, a tighter interaction develops. This partially unfolds the cytochrome c and converts it to a peroxidase with cardiolipin as the substrate. Oxidized cardiolipin has a reduced affinity for cytochrome c, which then becomes soluble in the intermembrane space. Mitochondrial membrane lipids are reorganized during apoptosis. Oxidized cardiolipin appears in the outer mitochondrial membrane and attracts the pro-apoptotic protein tBid, thus facilitating release of cytochrome c and other apoptotic proteins from the mitochondrial intermembrane space.
apoptosis, this results in partial unfolding of cytochrome c and its conversion to a peroxidase [69,70]. Cardiolipin is the target of the peroxidase activity [71]. Cytochrome c has a reduced affinity for oxidized cardiolipin and is thus released into the inter-membrane space [72,73]. Additional consequences of cardiolipin redistribution during apoptosis are the accumulation of this lipid at contact sites between the outer and inner membranes and increased negative charge of the outer membrane [74]. This facilitates the recruitment of pro-apoptotic proteins and opening of channels for the release of cytochrome c into the cytosol [75,76].

Redox biology and signaling in cancer

Aberrant regulation of proliferation, migration and invasion

Cancer is a disease marked by dysregulation of many cellular processes. Early evidence that redox biology is perturbed in this disease was the finding that tumor cell lines established from different histological types of cancer show elevated constitutive production of hydrogen peroxide [77]. The study shows that although the maximal rate of production in the tumor cells is less than what is seen after activation of NADPH oxidase in phagocytic cells, the cumulative amounts released by tumor cells over 4 hours surpasses the amount produced during an oxidative burst in activated phagocytes. Altered levels of antioxidant defenses [78,79] and higher levels of 8-hydroxy-2′-deoxyguanosine [80,81], an indicator of oxidative damage to DNA, are found in cancer tissues compared to adjacent, normal tissue. Mutations resulting from oxidative damage to nuclear or mitochondrial DNA can contribute to carcinogenesis [82–85].

As could be expected from the role of hydrogen peroxide in signaling for cell proliferation and cell death, the redox changes seen in cancer cells can impact these processes. Cancer phenotypes of RasV12–transformed cells include anchorage-independent growth, an accelerated rate of proliferation and the ability to form tumors in immunocompromised mice [86]. These properties are seen in cells transfected with Nox1 [46], consistent with increased expression of Nox1 in cells carrying the RasVal12 mutation [87]. Genetic knockdown of Nox1 is sufficient to reverse the cancer cell phenotypes [46]. The conclusion is that redox signaling downstream of Nox1 is critical for transformation by oncogenic RasV12. This signaling may involve the regulation of proteins involved in cell fate decisions, including NF-κB, AP-1 and TP53 [5].

Alterations in antioxidant defenses can allow for aberrant redox signaling in cancer cells. This has been demonstrated for MnSOD, the superoxide dismutase enzyme that is found in the mitochondrial matrix. MnSOD is encoded by the SOD2 gene at chromosome 6q25 [88]. Melanomas frequently have deletions of the long arm of chromosome 6 [89,90]. When the full chromosome 6 is restored through microcell hybridization with a melanoma-derived cell line, properties of transformed cells are diminished or lost [91]. These properties include morphological features of less differentiated cells and the ability to form colonies in soft agar and tumors in athymic mice. Transfection of melanoma cells with SOD2 alone achieves the same outcome [92]. Analogous findings have been made with SV40-transformed lung fibroblasts [93]. These studies suggest that when MnSOD levels are abnormally low in cancer cells, increasing this enzyme can suppress tumor growth. In this case, an elevated level of hydrogen peroxide may push the cells into senescence [94,95].

An increase in MnSOD expression can confer on cells the deadliest of cancer properties: the ability to invade and metastasize. The majority of cancer deaths can be attributed to metastatic disease [96]. Melendez and colleagues have investigated the role of MnSOD in tumor cell migration and invasion through gene transfections with SOD2 in the absence or presence of catalase [97]. The researchers assessed the cells’ ability to migrate using a scratch assay, in which movement from a monolayer into a cell-free region is measured. The results show that the extent of migration is positively correlated to the amount of MnSOD activity in the cell population. Furthermore, cells at the leading edge of the migrating cells show the highest MnSOD expression. The SOD2 transfectants are also more invasive, as demonstrated with a transwell assay. This assay measures cell movement through a matrix in response to growth factors as a chemoattractant. Similar results are seen with fibrosarcoma cells and a bladder tumor cell line [97]. When the cells are transfected with SOD2 and catalase, however, they exhibit similar migratory ability and invasiveness as control cells transfected with an empty vector. The latter finding indicates that increased hydrogen peroxide levels in the SOD2 transfectants enhances the cells ability to migrate and invade. This can be achieved by upregulation of collagenases that are secreted from cells and break down tissue stroma [98,99].

It may seem paradoxical that decreased levels of MnSOD favor proliferation of cancer cells, while an increased level allows for cancer cell migration and invasion. Dhar and St. Clair provide a solution to this paradox; MnSOD is regulated by different factors that may each change during cancer progression [100]. Transcription factors that regulate SOD2 include NF-κB [101,102], Sp1 [103], p53 [104,105] and FOXO3 [106]. The expression or activity of these can be dysregulated during cancer as the result of mutation, chromosomal rearrangement or loss, and epigenetic alterations. NF-κB and p53 are redox-sensitive transcription factors [107]. Changes in the redox environment during cancer progression may thus impact their regulation of SOD2. MnSOD is regulated by cytokines [108,109] that may be present at various levels, depending on the inflammatory cells present in the tumor microenvironment. The mechanism of MnSOD gene repression in early stages of cancer may involve epigenetics. Hypermethylation of the gene promoter has been reported in breast [110] and pancreatic cancer [111] along with multiple myeloma [112]. In later stages of cancer, histone hyperacetylation could allow for aberrantly high expression of MnSOD.

Oxygen, ROS and cancer stem cells

A relatively new research area is focused on cancer stem cells. These are functionally defined as the subset of tumor cells that establish new tumors when transplanted into immunocompromised mice [113]. Similar to normal stem cells, they are

![Gene Upregulation](image-url)

- NODAL - embryonic morphogen involved in tissue specification and patterning
- NOTCH - stem cell factor important for pluripotency and cell fate determination
- MYC - promotes cell cycle progression and metabolic reprogramming

Fig. 11. Oxygen, ROS and cancer stem cells. Hypoxia and aberrant activation of kinase signaling pathways in cancer lead to HIF-1 activation. Targets of the HIF-1 transcription factor include the NODAL, NOTCH and MYC genes that support stem cell properties. Cancer stem cells can self-renew or differentiate. These abilities allow cancer stem cells to populate tumors and are thought to be key for the metastatic spread of primary cancers.
undifferentiated but possess the relatively rare ability to self-renew or to differentiate. Hypoxia-inducible factors (HIF-1α and HIF-1β) are master regulators of stem cell properties [114]. These transcription factors are activated by aberrant growth factor-mediated signaling [115,116] or the hypoxic microenvironment [117] in cancer. Proteins whose expression is regulated by HIF-1 and that confer a stem cell phenotype include NODAL, NOTCH and MYC [118] (Fig. 11). Lower ROS levels have been reported in cancer stem cells, which may explain their resistance to oxidative stress-induced DNA damage [119].

**Aberrant regulation of death**

Evidence that dysregulation of redox signaling for cell death contributes to cancer comes from studies of the B cell lymphoma protein-2 (BCL-2). As the name implies, BCL-2 was discovered in B cell lymphomas [120]. A t(14;18) translocation in these lymphomas places the coding region of the gene under the control of an immunoglobulin gene promoter, thereby leading to aberrantly high levels of the protein. BCL-2 is the first oncoprotein to be discovered to work by inhibiting cell death, rather than promoting growth [121]. Early studies of BCL-2-overexpressing cells showed decreased lipid peroxidation after exposure to apoptotic triggers [122] and higher, basal levels of glutathione [123]. Bcl-2 knockout mice showed signs of chronic oxidative stress [124]. This led to the idea that BCL-2 functions like an antioxidant. The picture that has emerged from further studies is that mitochondrial ROS production is increased in cells that overexpress BCL-2 [125,126]. The cells adapt by increasing antioxidant defenses, making them resistant to oxidative stress [125,127].

BCL-2 is part of a family of proteins that regulate apoptosis via protein:protein interactions [128]. It localizes to nuclear, endoplasmic reticular and mitochondrial membranes [129]. The mitochondrion is thought to be the major site of action for BCL-2. There, it interacts with pro-apoptotic family members (e.g., BAX, BAK) to control outer membrane permeability and the release of cytochrome c and other mitochondrial intermembrane space proteins [130–133]. In the cytoplasm these proteins mediate cell destruction through activation of the caspase family of proteolytic enzymes. Caspases are distinguished by a critical cysteine residue in their active site, cleavage of substrates after an aspartate residue and a large set of target proteins that function to maintain the cell cytoskeleton, integrity of the DNA and play diverse roles in cell regulation [134].

A series of studies by Pervaiz and colleagues provides a model for how BCL-2 may confer resistance to oxidative stress and apoptosis [135–137] (Fig. 12). Under normal, non-stressed conditions, overexpression of BCL-2 in CEM leukemia cells, HCT116 colon carcinoma or HK-1 and C666-1 nasopharyngeal carcinoma cells increases the activity of cytochrome c oxidase (COX). COX is the terminal acceptor in the electron transport chain. It can influence the rate of ATP production by mitochondrial respiration and of ROS generation as the result of electron leakage from respiratory complexes I or III. The increased COX activity in BCL-2 overexpressing cells is associated with elevated oxygen consumption and superoxide generation [135]. The underlying mechanism involves enhanced transfer of the nuclear-encoded COX Va and Vb subunits to mitochondria [136]. This study shows that BCL-2 physically interacts with COX Va and thus may act as its chaperone. Intriguing findings were the differences seen when the cells were subjected to oxidative stress due to hypoxia, glucose deprivation or serum withdrawal [135]. BCL-2-overexpressing cells responded by decreasing COX activity, which kept ROS at a lower, sub-lethal level. In contrast, the response seen when cells with normal levels of BCL-2 were subjected to these stresses was increased COX activity and ROS levels.

Elucidating the roles of BCL-2 family members in mitochondria may uncover secrets as to why some cancer patients are cured with current, standard-of-care chemotherapy while others succumb to the disease due to chemoresistance [138]. Pre-treatment specimens from patients with multiple myeloma, leukemia and ovarian cancer show differences in susceptibility to the mitochondrial pathway to apoptosis [139]. Sensitivity to apoptosis is measured in vitro by incubating tumor cells with peptides from pro-apoptotic BCL-2 family members and monitoring mitochondrial depolarization. A high percent of depolarization indicates mitochondria that undergo apoptosis easily. A significant, positive correlation is seen between apoptosis susceptibility and the patients’ responses to the standard chemotherapeutic regimes for these cancers. Chemotherapy is most effective in those patients whose tumor cells are close to the threshold (i.e., primed) for apoptosis. Although the basis for the different sensitivities of tumor cell mitochondria to apoptosis has not been determined, the mechanism could well have a redox component.

**Aberrant regulation of metabolism**

Cancer cells alter their metabolism to support growth. This metabolic reprogramming takes a number of forms, depending on the particular cancer and cancer subtype [140]. In general, though, the cellular redox environment is impacted along with proliferative capacity. Otto Warburg was the first to note that cancer cells have a high avidity for glucose and produce lactic acid even in the presence of oxygen [34]. In this initial report, Warburg postulated that cancer cells relied on glycolysis due to defective respiration. Near the end of his career he modified his position, acknowledging that the idea of damaged respiration in cancer cells had led to “fruitless controversy” [32]. Current evidence indeed indicates that mitochondria still function in cancer cells but, in addition they rely on aerobic glycolysis to support the pentose phosphate pathway and glutamine to sustain the TCA cycle.
The latter process is called glutaminolysis or anaplerosis. The oxidative arm of the pentose phosphate supplies NADPH. Overall, the metabolic rewiring of cancer cells provides precursors for growth (i.e., nucleotides, amino acids and lipids) along with NADPH to counter a more oxidized redox state\(^{[143]}\) (Fig. 13).

The metabolic changes in cancer cells are brought about through oncogene activation and loss of tumor suppressors. The MYC oncoprotein increases expression of the enzyme glutaminase synthase 1\(^{[144,145]}\), which deaminates glutamine to produce glutamate. This reaction is the first step in glutaminolysis and it also supplies glutamate for the synthesis of glutathione. One function of the tumor suppressor p53 is to repress transcription of genes encoding glucose transporters\(^{[146]}\). In the cytoplasm, p53 binds to glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase and inactivates it\(^{[147]}\). This enzyme catalyzes the rate limiting step in the oxidative arm of the pentose phosphate. Thus, the loss of p53 can counter the more oxidized redox environment in cancer cells through increased glucose uptake and synthesis of NADPH.

Mitochondria and metastatic potential

Other studies have documented the contribution of mitochondria to metastases. The ability of cancer cells to metastasize in vivo is correlated with formation of colonies on soft agar media in vitro (i.e., anchorage-independent growth)\(^{[148]}\). Treatment of Ras-transformed cells with mitochondrially-targeted nitroxides that scavenge superoxide inhibits anchorage-independent growth\(^{[149]}\). Mori and colleagues used cancer cell lines to develop a gene expression signature of anchorage-independent growth; metastatic disease in melanoma, breast and lung cancer was significantly correlated with patients’ tumor specimens having this signature\(^{[150]}\). The signature is enriched in genes whose products are involved in the pentose phosphate pathway or are localized to mitochondria. A critical role for mitochondria in metastasis is further evidenced by studies of cybrids containing nuclear DNA of one cell type and mitochondrial DNA of a second cell type\(^{[151]}\). Mitochondria from highly metastatic cells are able to confer this ability onto a low metastatic potential cell type.

ROS and the hallmarks of cancer

A seminal review article by Hanahan and Weinberg was published in the first issue of the journal *Cell* in the new millennium. The authors persuasively present a case that “the vast catalog of cancer genotypes is a manifestation of six alterations in cell physiology that collectively dictate malignant growth”\(^{[152]}\). These acquired capabilities are referred to as the hallmarks of cancer: self-sufficiency in growth signals; insensitivity to anti-growth signals; evading apoptosis; limitless replicative potential; sustained angiogenesis, and tissue invasion and metastasis. While a role for ROS or redox signaling in carcinogenesis was not discussed in this review, sufficient evidence had accumulated for their role to be recognized in an updated review published by the authors\(^{[153]}\). An emerging hallmark added in the 2011 update is deregulated cellular energetics. As described above, the altered metabolism in cancer cells impacts redox homeostasis.

In addition to recognizing the functions that normal cells must acquire to become malignant, it is important to understand the means by which these capabilities are acquired. Hanahan and Weinberg discuss enabling characteristics for carcinogenesis: genomic instability and mutation, and tumor-promoting inflammation\(^{[152,153]}\). Chronic inflammatory conditions, including peptic ulcers\(^{[154]}\), inflammatory bowel diseases\(^{[155]}\) and hepatitis\(^{[156]}\) are associated with increased risks of cancer developing in the affected tissue. A possible mechanism is that inflammatory cells release ROS, which are mutagenic for the...
resident cells [157]. Genomic instability ensues when cells lose the ability to respond appropriately to DNA damage, as is seen with loss of normal p53 function [158]. Ataxia-telangiectasia mutated (ATM) kinase orchestrates cellular responses to DNA damage and oxidative stress; the mechanism for sensing ROS involves dimerization of 2 ATM monomers via a disulfide bond [159]. Inherited mutations in the ATM gene preclude dimerization and lead to genomic instability and an increased risk of cancer [160]. Thus, loss of ATM function allows for a vicious cycle whereby the inability of the cell to sense and respond to ROS leads to genome instability and accelerated carcinogenesis.

Redox homeostasis: an old and emerging cancer target

Oxidative stress is one of the earliest mechanisms to be exploited for cancer therapy. Following the discovery of radiation around the turn of the last century, its damaging effects on tissue was recognized and put to use for the treatment of cancer. Radiation generates hydroxyl radicals and causes oxidative damage in affected tissues. In the mid-part of the last century, anthracyclines were discovered to be potent chemotherapeutic drugs (reviewed in [161]). In cells, anthracyclines participate in redox cycling reactions that generate ROS [162] and lead to oxidative DNA damage [163]. This is thought to be the major mechanism of cardiotoxicity [164], which is the dose-limiting side effect of anthracycline treatment, although it is still debated as the major cause [165].

As knowledge of aberrant redox homeostasis and signaling in cancer cells grows, new approaches to redox-based chemotherapeutics are being developed (reviewed in [166,167]). The approaches can be broadly categorized as: (1) inhibiting antioxidant defenses; (2) interfering with regulatory systems that are used by cells to respond to oxidative stress and, (3) targeting specific proteins that are responsible for altered redox homeostasis or signaling. Examples in the first category include novel drugs that inhibit SODs (e.g., ATN-224) [168–170] or deplete glutathione (e.g., NOV-002 and imexon) [171,172]. Agents that target Nrf2 fit into the second category [6]; aberrant Nrf2 activation appears to be a strategy whereby cancer cells are protected from elevated oxidative stress [173,174]. The third category includes drugs being developed to antagonize BCL-2’s antiapoptotic function (e.g., ABT-737) [175].

Future directions

Knowledge of redox biology provides students with insight into mechanisms by which organisms use oxygen metabolites in the control of life and death. The role of dysregulated redox biology in all major human diseases, and not just cancer, makes this knowledge an important aspect of physician training. For scientists in training, much remains to be learned in the field of redox biology and signaling. Some of the particularly promising research areas to explore might include the cross-talk between kinase and redox signaling pathways and the redox biology of stem cells. The field is well-suited for interdisciplinary collaborations as expertise in the chemistry of ROS needs to be integrated with knowledge of protein targets at the molecular, cellular and systems biology level. This is illustrated by the pursuit of novel redox-based agents for cancer treatment. A frequently encountered idea is that agents can be developed to create a redox environment in cancer cells that triggers death. That is, it pushes these oxidatively-stressed cells past their limit [176]. One challenge to this idea, however, is that thiol redox circuits in cells may not be in equilibrium [177,178]. That is, the idea of oxidative stress as a balance of oxidants versus antioxidants is a biological oversimplification. A second challenge is our limited understanding of the mechanisms by which cancer cells developed resistance to redox signaling for cell death pathways [179]. Solving these challenges will be major scientific accomplishment and can help us unlock secrets for conquering cancer (Fig. 14). In the words of Toren Finkel, “further understanding of these pathways promises to reveal to us many more secrets regarding how life begins, why it ends, and all the myriad complexities that make up the middle [180].”
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