Etiopathological and Clinical Study of Acute Generalized Exanthematous Pustulosis: Experience from a Tertiary Care Hospital in North India

Abstract

**Background:** Acute generalized exanthematous pustulosis (AGEP) is a type of severe cutaneous adverse reaction that is characterized by the rapid development of nonfollicular, sterile pustules on an erythematous base. **Objectives:** The aim of our study was to enroll all cases of AGEP reporting to our department over a period of one year and to find out the clinical and etiological profile of the patients. **Materials and Methods:** All the patients reporting to our department with clinical features suggestive of AGEP were enrolled for the study. Careful history and examination were done to rule out other causes of pustular eruptions, which can resemble AGEP. AGEP validation score of the EuroSCAR study group was used to establish the diagnosis. **Results:** A total of 16 patients were enrolled during the study period of one year. The majority of the patients were females with a mean age of 28.4 ± 12.2 years. Twelve (75%) of the patients had a history of drug intake while 4 (25%) had developed AGEP following an insect bite. Penicillins were the causative factor in five patients followed by cephalosporins in three patients, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) in 2 patients, and terbinafine in 1 patient. Tetanus toxoid was responsible for the development of AGEP in one patient. The insect bites were all spider bites. **Conclusion:** AGEP is a rare type of severe cutaneous adverse drug reaction. We encountered 16 patients of AGEP over a period of one year. An important cause of AGEP was spider bite in our study group.
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Introduction

Acute generalized exanthematous pustulosis (AGEP) is a type of severe cutaneous adverse reaction that is characterized by the rapid development of nonfollicular, sterile pustules on an erythematous base. In the majority of the cases, the development of AGEP is attributed to drugs, especially antibiotics. Other causes include insect bite, contact dermatitis, and hypersensitivity to virus particles and mercury.[1-3] The reported incidence of AGEP is one to five cases per million people per year.[4] AGEP can occur in any age group and is found to be more common in women.[4] Various clinical patterns of AGEP have been defined. The most common presentation is the development of an acute rash with pinhead-sized pustules on an erythematous edematous base, starting mainly in the body folds (axillary, inguinal, and submammary areas) and then spreading quickly within a few hours to involve the trunk and limbs. It can sometimes be associated with itching or burning sensation.[5,6] In 20–25% of patients, mucosal involvement is seen, especially of the oral mucosa.[7] However, various atypical and overlap variants of AGEP have been defined.

**Aims and objectives**

The aim of our study was to enroll all cases of AGEP reporting to our department over a period of one year from March 2017 to February 2018 and to find out the clinical and etiological profile in our study group.

**Materials and Methods**

All the patients reporting to our department with clinical features suggestive of AGEP were enrolled for the study. Institutional ethical clearance was sought, and informed consent was taken from all patients. Careful history was taken, and a thorough examination was done to rule out other possibilities.
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causes of pustular eruptions, which can resemble AGEP like pustular psoriasis, subcorneal pustular dermatoses, etc. In all cases, a skin biopsy was done to confirm the diagnosis. Evaluation of the causative factor was done by taking an elaborate history to find out the most relevant cause. Relevant laboratory investigations were done in each case. EuroSCAR criteria were used for scoring the intensity of AGEP in each case. Table 1 shows the AGEP validation score of the EuroSCAR study group. The patients were followed up after 2 weeks of treatment to monitor the treatment response. Also, a monthly follow-up for up to 3 months was done to note any recurrences.

Results

During the stipulated time period, a total of 16 patients were enrolled in the study. Out of all patients attending the out-patient department (OPD), AGEP constituted 0.008% of the patients. Out of these 16 patients, 11 (68.75%) were females and 5 (31.25%) were males. The mean age of the patients was 28.41 ± 12.2 years with age ranging from 7 to 50 years. The majority (62.5%) of the patients were from urban areas while the rest (37.5%) were from rural areas. There was a history of drug intake in 12 (75%) out of 16 patients. In 10 patients, there was a history of intake of medication 2 to 5 days prior to the onset of symptoms. Among these 10 patients, 8 had taken antibiotics (5-amoxicillin clavulanic acid and 3-cephalosporins) and 2 had taken nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). Figure 1 shows a female patient with AGEP over her back after intake of oral antibiotics. In the rest of the patients, one had developed cutaneous rash following the intake of terbinafine for tinea corporis and tinea cruris while the other one had developed symptoms after tetanus toxoid injection. In four patients, there was a history of insect bite by spiders 2 to 4 days before onset of symptoms. In two patients, the site of the bite was the arm while in one it was on the breast and the other on abdomen. Figure 2a shows an eruption of nonfollicular pustules over the left breast in a female with the insect bite in the same area, which later became generalized [Figure 2b]. Most of the patients presented within 1 to 2 days of the onset of symptoms while some presented late after 4 to 5 days. In these 16 patients, 3 had associated systemic comorbidities in the form of diabetes mellitus (DM). Fever was present in 12 of the 16 patients. The most common sites of involvement were the arms, neck, axillae, and abdomen followed by the back, legs, chest, and flanks. None of the patients had associated mucosal involvement. Also, we did not encounter any patient of overlap with drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms (DRESS) or toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN). Only in one patient, the cutaneous eruption was accompanied by erythematous edema of the hands and face. Laboratory investigations like complete blood count (CBC),

| Variable                      | Score | Variable                      | Score |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|
| Morphology                    | Course| Mucosal involvement          |       |
| Pustules                      |       | Typical*                      | +2    |
|                               |       | Compatible**                  | +1    |
|                               |       | Insufficient***               | 0     |
| Erythema                      |       | Typical**                     | +2    |
|                               |       | Compatible                    | +1    |
| Distribution                  |       | Insufficient                  | 0     |
| Typical                       |       | Resolution <15 days           | +2    |
|                               |       | Fever >38.75°C                | +1    |
|                               |       | Histology                     | +1    |
|                               |       | Other diseases                 |       |
|                               |       | Not representative/No histology|       |
|                               |       | Exocytosis of PMN              | +1    |
|                               |       | Subcorneal and/or intraepidermal nonspongiform or NOS pustules with papillary edema or subcorneal and/or intraepidermal spongiform or NOS pustule(s) without papillary edema | +2 |
|                               |       | Spongiform subcorneal and/or intraepidermal pustule(s) with papillary edema | +3 |

Interpretation: 0=no AGEP, 1-4=Possible AGEP, 5-7=Probable AGEP, and 8-12=Definite AGEP, *Typical: typical morphology, **Compatible: not typical, but not suggestive of other disease, and ***Insufficient: lesions cannot be judged, AGEP=Acute generalized exanthematous pustulosis, PMN=Polymorphonuclear neutrophils, NOS=Not otherwise specified
including total and differential leukocyte count, absolute eosinophil count, C-reactive protein, serum calcium, kidney function test (KFT), liver function test (LFT), blood sugars, routine urine examination, chest X-ray, and electrocardiogram (ECG) were done in all cases. Ten patients had increased leukocyte count with neutrophilia. C-reactive protein was positive in eight patients. Blood sugars were deranged in three patients who had associated DM. Rest all investigations were within the normal limits. Histopathological examination was done in all cases to support the diagnosis. A fresh pustule was selected for biopsy. Figures 3-5 depict the typical histopathological features seen in cases of AGEP in our study. The AGEP validation score of the EuroSCAR study group was used to establish the diagnosis. A score between 8 and 12 for AGEP was diagnostic. All the patients in our study fulfilled the EuroSCAR validation score for AGEP as shown in Table 2.
Among antibiotics, penicillins and piroxicam, however, we found that penicillins as well as AGEP secondary to influenza vaccine has been reported more commonly in females as compared with males.[14,15] We found that penicillins followed by cephalosporins are the main causative factors in our study group. Cephalosporins have also been implicated in literature as a causative agent in AGEP[16,17] although less frequently.

Also, NSAIDs were implicating drugs in two patients. There have been previous case reports of NSAIDS causing AGEP, mainly by acetylsalicylic acid (ASA),[18] piroxicam,[19] and mefenamic acid.[20] Ibuprofen has been reported to cause acute localized exanthematous pustulosis (ALEP)[21] as well as AGEP.[22-24]

Terbinafine is an allylamine antifungal drug reported to cause adverse reactions in more than 7% of the cases. It has been associated with AGEP, pustular psoriasis,[25] and even severe reactions like Stevens–Johnson syndrome (SJS).[26] We also experienced one case of AGEP secondary to terbinafine in our study group.

Vaccines have also been rarely implicated as a cause of AGEP in few published reports. We found one case of AGEP secondary to the tetanus toxoid vaccine, which the patient received after suffering an injury with a metallic rod. There was no history of any preceding or concomitant infection or drug intake or psoriasis in this patient. So, the development of the rash was attributed to the vaccine itself and on follow-up, the pustules subsided in 2 weeks duration.

Discussion

AGEP is a rare type of severe cutaneous adverse drug reaction in which we encountered 16 patients over a period of one year. The majority (68.75%) of the patients in our study group were females. AGEP has been reported more commonly in females as compared with males.[4] The mean age of patients was 28.4 years in our cases, but AGEP has been reported in all age groups in literature.[4] The main cause of the development of AGEP in our study group was medication. An extensive list of drugs has been reported to be responsible for AGEP. Certain medications like antibiotics, mainly macrolides and beta-lactams (β-lactams), as well as quinolones and tetracyclines are the most common causative agents for AGEP. Other drugs that have also been implicated include calcium channel blockers like diltiazem and carbamazepine; antimycotics like terbinafine and pristinamycin; antimalarials; and NSAIDs.[8]

AGEP is a T cell-related Type IVd reaction in which activation, proliferation, and migration of drug-specific CD4 and CD8 T cells play an important role in the development of the disease.[9,10] Drug-specific cytotoxic T cells and cytotoxic proteins, such as granzyme B and perforin, are believed to induce the apoptosis of keratinocytes, leading to subcorneal vesicles.[10,11] Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 8 (CXCL8)/IL-8 is thought to play a central role in the formation of pustules by the recruitment of neutrophils. Also, increased levels of IL-17, IL-22, and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) are believed to lead to strong neutrophilic activity in AGEP patients.[11,12]

We found the penicillin group of antibiotics to be the major cause of AGEP, which is consistent with a study done in Taiwan, where β-lactam antibiotics were found to be the major drug class responsible for inducing AGEP in the Taiwanese population.[13] Among antibiotics, penicillins and macrolides are considered to be the most notorious for causing AGEP.[14,15] However, we found that penicillins followed by cephalosporins are the main causative factors in our study group. Cephalosporins have also been implicated in literature as a causative agent in AGEP[16,17] although less frequently.

Discontinuation of the causative agent was done in all cases developing AGEP after intake of some medication/vaccine. Supportive treatment in the form of antipyretics and topical steroids was given in all cases who had fever. Depending on the disease severity, a short course of usually 3–5 days of high dose systemic steroids in the form of dexamethasone 8 mg was given to all patients. Patients with AGEP secondary to insect bite were given supportive treatment in the form of antipyretics, antihistamines, and cold sponging along with antibiotics (azithromycin 500 mg once daily for 5 to 7 days depending on severity of bite) and systemic steroids. All patients were followed up after 2 weeks of initiation of treatment. There was the resolution of the pustules in all cases with the desquamation of the skin that was treated with the daily application of moisturizers. No difference in the duration of resolution of lesions was noticed in the drug induced and post spider bite cases. Patients were followed up monthly for 3 months to assess the recurrence of the disease. However, none of the patients developed any recurrence and there was a complete resolution of the lesions by 3 months.
Table 2: Patient characteristics of all 16 patients with EuroSCAR validation score with the grading of histopathology expanded in the footnote

| Incriminating agent | Morphology | Postpustular desquamation | Mucosal involvement | Acute onset (<10d) | Resolution <15 days | Fever >38.75°C | Neutrophils >7000/mm³ | Histology | EuroSCAR score |
|---------------------|------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Amoxicillin clavulanic acid | Pustules +2, Erythema +2, Distribution +2 | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | +1 | 10 |
| Cephalosporins | Pustules +2, Erythema +2, Distribution +2 | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | 0 | 9 |
| NSAIDs | Pustules +2, Erythema +2, Distribution +2 | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | N | 0 | 8 |
| Amoxicillin clavulanic acid | Pustules +2, Erythema +1, Distribution +2 | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | +3 | 11 |
| Insect bite | Pustules +2, Erythema +2, Distribution +2 | Y | N | Y | Y | N | Y | +2 | 10 |
| Cephalosporins | Pustules +2, Erythema +2, Distribution +2 | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | Y | +1 | 10 |
| Amoxicillin clavulanic acid | Pustules +2, Erythema +2, Distribution +2 | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | N | 0 | 8 |
| NSAIDs | Pustules +2, Erythema +2, Distribution +2 | Y | N | Y | Y | N | Y | +2 | 10 |
| Insect bite | Pustules +2, Erythema +2, Distribution +2 | Y | N | Y | Y | N | Y | +2 | 10 |
| Cephalosporins | Pustules +2, Erythema +2, Distribution +1 | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | N | +1 | 9 |
| Amoxicillin clavulanic acid | Pustules +2, Erythema +2, Distribution +2 | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | N | +2 | 10 |
| Insect bite | Pustules +2, Erythema +2, Distribution +2 | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | N | +3 | 11 |
| Insect bite | Pustules +2, Erythema +2, Distribution +2 | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | N | +3 | 11 |
| Amoxicillin clavulanic acid | Pustules +2, Erythema +2, Distribution +2 | Y | N | Y | Y | N | Y | 0 | 8 |
| Terbinafine | Pustules +2, Erythema +2, Distribution +2 | Y | N | Y | Y | Y | N | +1 | 9 |

Contd...
reported in a Japanese pregnant female.\textsuperscript{[27]} The mechanisms of AGEP reactions in response to vaccination are not fully understood. It has been assumed that hyperimmunization and/or dysregulated cytokine production is responsible for AGEP reactions after vaccination rather than an allergic response.\textsuperscript{[27]}

We also noted four cases of AGEP secondary to insect bites. In all these cases, the patients implicated the bite to spiders in their homes. Earlier also, cases of AGEP secondary to insect bites have been reported from India\textsuperscript{[3]} and also from other parts of the world.\textsuperscript{[26-33]} The exact mechanism behind the development of AGEP following spider bite is unknown, but it is postulated that the spider venom contains sphingomyelinase, which can lead to the release of various inflammatory mediators that trigger AGEP.\textsuperscript{[33,34]}

AGEP needs to be differentiated from other pustular eruptions like bacterial or fungal infections and neutrophilic dermatoses by a careful history, examination, and histopathological findings. AGEP is characterised by an acute onset of usually less than 10 days from exposure to an offending agent like medication, vaccine or bite to the appearance of symptoms. It can occur even after the first exposure to an incriminating agent. Also, acute generalized pustular psoriasis may be difficult to differentiate from AGEP as both conditions can present with the same clinical picture, and the histopathology may also not be able to differentiate between the two in some cases. The quicker resolution time seen in cases of AGEP is very helpful in diagnosis. Also, follow up of patients for resolution of the disease and any recurrence is equally important. DRESS and SJS/TEN are other entities that can be confused with severe cases of AGEP. However, the clinical picture of AGEP is dominated by pustules rather than morbilliform rash in DRESS and epidermal detachment in cases of SJS/TEN.

The pattern of AGEP cases seen in our study is primarily like other studies with medications being the most common cause. We did not find any patient with associated DRESS and SJS/TEN. However, AGEP, secondary to insect bites, was reported more commonly in our study group (25% of the cases). The exact cause of this finding is difficult to ascertain, but we hypothesize that the spiders found in our study location are relatively more toxic than in other parts of India resulting in more cases seen in our study.
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