Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio could be a promising prognostic biomarker for survival of colorectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Hong-Xin Peng1,2, Kang Lin2, Bang-Shun He2, Yu-Qin Pan2, Hou-Qun Ying1,2, Xiu-Xiu Hu1,2, Tao Xu2 and Shu-Kui Wang2

1 Medical School of Southeast University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China
2 Central Laboratory, Nanjing First Hospital, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China

Keywords
colorectal cancer; meta-analysis; platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio; prognosis

Correspondence
S.-K. Wang, Central Laboratory of Nanjing First Hospital, Medical School of Southeast University, 68 Changle Road, 210006 Nanjing, Jiangsu, China
Fax/Tel: +86 025 52887003
E-mail: shukuiwang@163.com

(Received 3 March 2016, revised 9 May 2016, accepted 10 May 2016)
doi:10.1002/2211-5463.12083

Inflammation is one of the most important causes leading to colorectal carcinogenesis, and inflammatory biomarkers such as the platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) might predict survival in colorectal cancer (CRC). However, the prognostic value of PLR in CRC patients remains controversial. The prognostic value of PLR was comprehensively analyzed in 12 articles including 3541 CRC patients (10 for overall survival (OS), seven for disease-free survival (DFS), three for recurrence-free survival (RFS), and three for cancer-specific survival (CSS)) in this study. The overall pooled hazard ratios (HRs) of PLR for OS, DFS, and CSS were significant at 1.29 (95% confidence interval, CI = 1.13–1.47, P = 0.149), 1.43 (95% CI = 1.03–1.97, P = 0.025), and 1.26 (95% CI = 1.04–1.52, P = 0.223), respectively. However, there was no evidence of significance for RFS (HR = 1.29, 95% CI = 0.98–1.70, P = 0.231) in our study. Stratified analyses indicated elevated PLR was a predictor of poor OS (metastatic patients) and DFS (Caucasian population) and was also significantly associated with OS in univariate analysis (HR = 1.35, 95% CI = 1.14–1.60, P = 0.532) and those only treated surgically (HR = 1.37, 95% CI = 1.10–1.70, P = 1.080). However, our findings indicated that elevated PLR is a promising prognostic biomarker for colorectal cancer, especially in metastatic Caucasian CRC patients.

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common cancer and the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide [1]. In 2011, approximately 310 244 newly diagnosed cases and 149 722 CRC-related deaths were reported in 2015 China cancer registry annual report, which accounted for 20% and 25% of the total in the world, respectively [2]. Nowadays, obvious improvements are developed and applied in diagnosis and treatment for CRC; however, due to the local tumor recurrence or metastasis, 5-year survival of the patients is still not promising. Thus, identification of effective early diagnostic, treatment predicting, and prognostic biomarkers are essential for survival improvement of CRC individuals.

Inflammation is one of the most important causes leading to CRC. Cancer-related inflammation could aid malignant cell in the proliferation, infiltration, metastasis, regulating the innate and adaptive immune responses, and affecting the drug effect [3]. Numerous studies have demonstrated that systemic inflammatory response counted for the development and progression of various cancers, including CRC.
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Systemic inflammatory state could be measured by many biomarkers, such as the albumin, C-reactive protein (CRP), serum procalcitonin, cytokines, leucocyte and its subsets [6–8], neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR). CRP, albumin, serum procalcitonin, and cytokines cost a lot and their prognostic values were finite [7], and elevated NLR had been verified to be a poor prognostic biomarker for many solid tumor [9–12], including CRC. PLR (platelet count divided by lymphocyte count), cheap and available, also was regarded as a high efficient prognostic biomarker, for many tumors [13–16]. However, the relationship of PLR in CRC was still at loggerheads. Some studies reported that elevated PLR could be considered as a prognostic biomarker for CRC [17–23], yet others showed that PLR was not associated with the clinical outcome of CRC [24–27].

Therefore, in this study, a meta-analysis with 12 articles including 3541 CRC patients was conducted to comprehensively analyze the relationship of PLR and CRC survival, and investigate whether PLR could be a promising prognostic biomarker for CRC.

**Materials and methods**

**Search strategy**

The relative literature was searched in PubMed and Web of Science database in accordance with following keywords: ‘PLR’ OR ‘platelet lymphocyte ratio’ OR ‘platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio’ OR ‘platelet-lymphocyte ratio’ OR ‘platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio’ AND ‘CRC’ OR ‘colorectal cancer’ OR ‘colorectal carcinogenesis’ OR ‘colorectal tumor’ OR ‘colorectal neoplasm’ from October 2000 to October 2015. Meanwhile, relative studies were also screened by manual retrieving the reference list of relative literature.

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

The eligible study was included when: (a) it published in the form of original article in English; (b) correlation of PLR with survival was reported; (c) CRC was diagnosed according to histopathological examination. Also, letter, conference abstract, review article, duplicated study, and study failed to present cut-off value of PLR or hazard ratio (HR) and its 95% confidence interval (CI) were excluded from the study.

---

**Fig. 1.** Selection of studies included in meta-analysis.
**Data extraction**

According to preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) statement and methods [28,29], two researchers (HXP and KL) screened and assessed the articles independently in accordance with inclusion and exclusion criteria and collected information using predesigned forms. The following clinical characteristics were extracted: first author of the study, year of publication, number of patients, median age, country, ethnicity, TNM stage, methods of treatment, follow-up time of enrolled patients, cut-off value of PLR, analysis method, and HR with its 95% CI. Overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) were regarded as a master outcome of interest, and others were treated as the secondary outcomes. In addition, only if multivariate analysis was not available could univariate analysis be used. Any conflicts were solved by discussion or decision by the third reviewer (HQY) before analysis.

**Statistical analysis**

Pooled HR and 95% CI were used as common measurements for assessing the strength between pretreatment PLR and survival of CRC. Cochrane Q test and Higgins I-squared statistics were performed to assess the heterogeneity of pooled studies. I-square > 50% and \( P_{HI} < 0.1 \) were considered as a measure of substantial heterogeneity among studies, then random-effects model (DerSimonian–Laird method) [30] was used to calculate the pooled HR. Otherwise, fixed effects model (Mantel–Haenszel method) [31] was performed. Subgroup analysis was conducted to explore the sources of heterogeneity. Publication bias was assessed by Begg’s funnel plot and Egger’s linear regression test [32]. The sensitivity analysis was performed to estimate the stability of outcome. All analyses were carried out by STATA 11.0 statistical software (STATA Corporation, College Station, TX, USA) and \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Eligible article**

According to the search strategy mentioned above, a total of 113 articles were identified thoroughly. After removing the duplicates, 52 records were retrieved. However, 30 records were excluded because of the title and abstract irrelevance of the inclusion criterion. After perusing the full text of the remaining 22 studies, 10 records were excluded for the following reasons: one study was from same population and nine studies failed to obtain relevant information such as survival information or cut-off value of PLR. Finally, 12 studies [17–27,33] including 3541 patients were included for this meta-analysis (Fig. 1).
Characteristics of included studies are shown in Table 1; all of included studies were published in 2012 or later, five of them were reported in Asian population, and others were all Caucasian population. There were 10 for overall survival (OS), seven for disease-free survival (DFS), three for recurrence-free survival (RFS), three for cancer-specific survival (CSS), one for cancer-related mortality (CRM), and one for time to recurrence (TTR) in the eligible studies.

**OS and PLR**

There were 10 studies containing 3150 CRC patients reporting hazard ratios for OS and the main results are described in Table 2 and Fig. 2. Elevated PLR was significantly associated with a poor OS (HR = 1.29, 95% CI = 1.13–1.47, \( P_H = 0.149 \)) in overall population. The stratified analyses showed that increased PLR was strongly associated with poor outcome in metastatic patients (HR = 1.32, 95% CI = 1.10–1.59, \( P_H = 0.287 \)). Caucasian population (HR = 1.34, 95% CI = 1.14–1.58, \( P_H = 0.338 \)), univariate analysis (HR = 1.35, 95% CI = 1.14–1.60, \( P_H = 0.532 \)), and surgery only (HR = 1.37, 95% CI = 1.10–1.70, \( P_H = 1.080 \)) subgroups. However, we did not observe the significant association between PLR and OS in nonmetastatic patients (HR = 1.35, 95% CI = 0.97–1.86, \( P_H = 0.041 \)), mixed group patients (HR = 1.19, 95% CI = 0.77–1.84, \( P_H = 0.417 \)), Asian population (HR = 1.28, 95% CI = 0.90–1.80, \( P_H = 0.088 \)), multivariate analysis (HR = 1.30, 95% CI = 0.95–1.79, \( P_H = 0.062 \)), and

| Survival Variables | No. of studies | No. of patients | \( P \)-value | Regression model |
|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|
|                   |                |                 |              | Random          | Fixed           |
| OS                | All            | 10              | 3150         | 0.149 0.001 0.162 | 1.33 (1.12–1.59) | 1.29 (1.13–1.47)* |
| Metastatic        | YES            | 3               | 557          | 0.287 0.017 –    | 1.38 (1.06–1.80) | 1.32 (1.10–1.59)* |
|                   | NO             | 5               | 1581         | 0.041 0.073 –    | 1.35 (0.97–1.86) | 1.28 (1.05–1.57) |
|                   | MIX            | 2               | 1012         | 0.417 0.429 –    | 1.19 (0.77–1.84) | 1.19 (0.77–1.84) |
| Ethnicity         | Asian          | 5               | 1751         | 0.088 0.074 –    | 1.28 (0.90–1.80) | 1.20 (0.96–1.50) |
|                   | Caucasian      | 5               | 1399         | 0.338 0.006 –    | 1.37 (1.14–1.65) | 1.34 (1.14–1.58)* |
| Analysis method   | Univariable    | 4               | 1338         | 0.532 0.001 –    | 1.35 (1.14–1.60) | 1.35 (1.14–1.60)* |
|                   | Multivariable  | 6               | 1812         | 0.062 0.103 –    | 1.30 (0.95–1.79) | 1.30 (0.95–1.79) |
| Treatment         | Operation+     | 8               | 2647         | 0.080 0.005 –    | 1.37 (1.10–1.70)* | 1.30 (1.13–1.49) |
|                   | Other*         | 2               | 503          | 0.453 0.241 –    | 1.25 (0.86–1.80) | 1.25 (0.86–1.80) |
| DFS               | All            | 7               | 1913         | 0.026 0.031 0.044 | 1.43 (1.03–1.97)* | 1.26 (1.04–1.52) |
| Metastatic        | YES            | 2               | 255          | 0.365 0.043 –    | 1.45 (1.01–2.08) | 1.45 (1.01–2.08)* |
|                   | NO             | 3               | 646          | 0.002 0.25 –     | 1.71 (0.69–4.24) | 1.11 (0.84–1.47) |
|                   | MIX            | 2               | 1012         | 0.969 0.108 –    | 1.36 (0.94–1.96) | 1.36 (0.94–1.96) |
| Ethnicity         | Asian          | 3               | 1113         | 0.015 0.406 –    | 1.36 (0.65–2.92) | 1.04 (0.79–1.38) |
|                   | Caucasian      | 4               | 800          | 0.435 0.003 –    | 1.48 (1.14–1.92) | 1.48 (1.14–1.92)* |
| Analysis method   | Univariable    | 2               | 272          | 0.132 0.102 –    | 1.66 (0.76–3.65) | 1.49 (0.93–2.39) |
|                   | Multivariable  | 5               | 1641         | 0.021 0.027 –    | 1.39 (0.94–2.04) | 1.22 (0.99–1.50) |
| Treatment         | Operation+     | 5               | 1410         | 0.006 0.079 –    | 1.58 (0.95–2.64) | 1.24 (0.98–1.57) |
|                   | Other*         | 2               | 503          | 0.736 0.121 –    | 1.30 (0.93–1.80) | 1.30 (0.93–1.80) |
| RFS               | All            | 3               | 869          | 0.231 0.179 –    | 1.27 (0.90–1.80) | 1.29 (0.98–1.70) |
| CSS               | All            | 3               | 741          | 0.223 0.102 –    | 1.29 (0.95–1.75) | 1.26 (1.04–1.52)* |

The bold and “*” represent that HR with 95% CI was used to analyze and was statistically significant results, respectively. “+” “operation” group means patients who underwent surgery alone, and “other” group means patients who underwent metastasectomy or preoperative chemoradiation. \( P_H \): \( P \)-value of heterogeneity test; \( P_e \): \( P \)-value of t-test; \( P_E \): \( P \)-value of Egger’s test; OS, overall survival; DFS, disease-free survival; RFS, recurrence-free survival; CSS, cancer-specific survival.
treatments in addition to surgery (HR = 1.25, 95% CI = 0.86–1.80, \( P_H = 0.453 \)) subgroups.

**DFS and PLR**

Seven studies containing 1913 CRC patients were included to evaluate the association between PLR and DFS in CRC patients in this study. The pooled results showed that elevated PLR was associated with a poor clinical outcome for DFS (HR = 1.43, 95% CI = 1.03–1.97, \( P_H = 0.025 \)). Stratifying overall population based on disease stage, ethnicity, analysis method, and treatment, PLR was only associated with the outcome of CRC among metastatic patients (HR = 1.45, 95% CI = 1.01–2.08, \( P_H = 0.365 \)) and Caucasian (HR = 1.48, 95% CI = 1.14–1.92, \( P_H = 0.435 \)) (Table 2).

**RFS, CSS, and PLR**

The significant association was observed between CSS and PLR (HR = 1.26, 95% CI = 1.04–1.52, \( P_H = 0.223 \)) in combination with three studies containing 741 CRC patients, whereas no significant association between RFS and PLR (HR = 1.29, 95% CI = 0.98–1.70, \( P_H = 0.231 \)) was observed in combination with three studies including 869 CRC patients.

**Sensitivity analysis**

Sensitivity analysis was used to assess the influence of the each included study on the pooled HR on OS and DFS, and our results showed that the pooled HRs were stable and robust (Fig. 3).
Publication bias
Begg’s test \( (P_B = 0.107) \) and Egger’s test \( (P_E = 0.162) \) results showed no evidence of publication bias for OS. Moreover, the shape of funnel plot showed in Fig. 4 supported this conclusion as well. However, Egger’s test indicated that there was publication bias in DFS \( (P = 0.044) \), and the funnel plot showed slightly asymmetry.

Discussion
In this study, a meta-analysis containing 12 studies with 3541 patients was conducted to estimate the prognostic effect of PLR on CRC survival, and our study showed that elevated PLR significantly affected OS, DFS, and CSS in overall and Caucasian populations. We also found that elevated PLR was not associated with DFS in CRC patients undergoing surgery alone, but it was associated with poor survival in metastatic patients, which seemed to indicate that there were significant associations between elevated PLR and OS, DFS, and progression-free survival (PFS) in the metastatic subgroup. Our observation that elevated PLR was significantly associated with poor OS and DFS in metastatic patients will need to be confirmed in further studies, as none of the enrolled studies reported on the relationship between PLR and PFS. However, our findings indicated that elevated PLR is a promising prognostic biomarker for CRC, especially in metastatic Caucasian CRC patients.

![Fig. 3. Sensitivity analysis of studies included in this meta-analysis. (A) OS; (B) DFS.](image)
Persistent infections and inflammatory responses contribute to 15–20% of cancer-related deaths worldwide [3] and inflammation is an important part of cancer progression. Lymphocyte, a member of inflammatory cells, taking part in systematic inflammatory response, has been proved to be significantly associated with the survival of various cancers [34–38], including CRC. Meanwhile, platelet count also was a promising prognostic biomarker for many cancer types [39,40]. Thus, PLR, the ratio of platelet to lymphocyte, may act as a prognostic biomarker in CRC. So, for our study, it is the first study to comprehensively estimate the association between PLR and survival of CRC patients. And the results showed that the PLR was strongly associated with OS, DFS, and CSS of CRC, indicating that elevated PLR could be a promising prognostic biomarker for CRC. At the same time, our result on the relationship between PLR and OS was consistent with the results of the previous meta-analysis [41,42], in which fewer than five of CRC relative articles were included and neither DFS nor CSS were reported.

The following reasons may explain our findings. On one hand, lymphocyte, a kind of leukocyte which played a great role in adaptive immune responses, could be recruited from peripheral circulation system to tumor tissues after chronic inflammation and then activated transcription factor of inflammatory cell and tumor cell, such as NF-KB, STAT3, and H1F1α, to promote the production of inflammatory mediators including chemokine and cytokines, such as IL-6 which is mainly released by CD4 + T lymphocyte [3]. Moreover, elevated IL-6 had been observed to be of great significance in CRC [43]. Furthermore, cytokines activated the key inflammatory mediators as well, resulting in more inflammatory mediators being produced. Because of this function of magnification, tumor microenvironments were generated [3,44], lymphocyte infiltration increased, peripheral lymphocyte decreased, and thus malignant cell escaped from immune surveillance. As a result, it promoted malignant cell to proliferate, infiltrate, and undergo metastasis. On the other hand, platelets, also a major component of peripheral blood, could secrete inflammatory mediators and growth factors, such as VEGF, TNF-α, and TXA2, which were linked with processes of hemostasis, inflammation, and tissue repair [45]. As a result, cancer-related inflammation made great contributions to the up-regulation of the ratio of platelet to lymphocyte. Meanwhile, elevated PLR also promoted the CRC progression, leading to a poor survival of CRC patients.

However, some limitations should be addressed as following: first, the summarized data were used in our study, not individual data; second, the outcome of pooled studies were slightly related to PLR and some pooled results were from univariate analysis rather than multivariate analysis; third, the evidence of publication bias was found in DFS.

In conclusion, PLR, an easy and high efficient laboratory biomarker, was closely associated with the survival outcome of CRC, and elevated PLR is a promising prognostic biomarker for CRC, especially in metastatic Caucasian CRC patients.
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