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G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) kinases (GRKs) phosphorylate activated GPCRs and initiate their desensitization. Many prior studies suggest that activated GPCRs dock to an allosteric site on the GRKs and thereby stimulate kinase activity. The extreme N-terminal region of GRKs is clearly involved in this process, but its role is not understood. Using our recent structure of bovine GRK1 as a guide, we generated mutants of sol vant-exposed residues in the GRK1 kinase domain that are conserved among GRKs but not in the extended protein kinase A, G, and C family and evaluated their catalytic activity. Mutation of select residues in strands β1 and β3 of the kinase small lobe, αD of the kinase large lobe, and the protein kinase A, G, and C kinase C-tail greatly impaired receptor phosphorylation. The most dramatic effect was observed for mutation of an invariant arginine on the most dramatic effect was observed for mutation of an invariant kinase C-tail greatly impaired receptor phosphorylation. The extreme N-terminal region of GRKs is clearly involved in this process, but its role is not understood. Using our recent structure of bovine GRK1 as a guide, we generated mutants of solvent-exposed residues in the GRK1 kinase domain that are conserved among GRKs but not in the extended protein kinase A, G, and C family and evaluated their catalytic activity. Mutation of select residues in strands β1 and β3 of the kinase small lobe, αD of the kinase large lobe, and the protein kinase A, G, and C kinase C-tail greatly impaired receptor phosphorylation. The most dramatic effect was observed for mutation of an invariant arginine on the β1-strand (∼1000-fold decrease in K_m). These residues form a continuous surface that is uniquely available in GRKs for protein-protein interactions. Surprisingly, these mutants, as well as a 19-amino acid N-terminal truncation of GRK1, also show decreased catalytic efficiency for peptide substrates, although to a lesser extent than for receptor phosphorylation. Our data suggest that the N-terminal region and the newly identified surface interact and stabilize the closed, active conformation of the kinase domain. Receptor binding is proposed to promote this interaction, thereby enhancing GRK activity.

G protein-coupled receptor kinases (GRKs) are members of the protein kinase A (PKA), G, and C (AGC) family that phosphorylate Ser/Thr residues in the cytoplasmic loops and C termini of activated G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) (1). Receptor phosphorylation facilitates the binding of arrestin, which uncouples heterotrimeric G proteins, promotes receptor internalization, and activates arrestin-dependent signaling pathways (2, 3). Although playing a beneficial role in receptor desensitization, GRKs are implicated in a range of human diseases, including retinal degeneration, hypertension, heart failure, rheumatoid arthritis, opiate addiction, and various cancers (2, 4). Seven GRKs have been identified in mammals. They can be divided into the following three subgroups based on their sequence homology: GRK1 (GRK1 and GRK7), GRK2 (GRK2 and GRK3), and GRK4 (GRK4, GRK5, and GRK6). The primary structures of the three GRK subgroups are similar, consisting of tandem regulator of G protein signaling homology (RH) and kinase domains. Less conserved sequences involved in phospholipid membrane attachment are found at their C termini (Fig. 1A).

All eukaryotic protein kinases, including GRKs, contain a core catalytic domain of ~250 amino acids that can be divided into two subdomains, called the small (or N) and large (or C) lobes. The small lobe consists of a five-stranded β-sheet (β1–5) and a conserved helix, αC, whereas the large lobe is mostly α-helical. The active site is located at their interface, with the nucleotide-binding pocket formed primarily by the small lobe and the phosphoacceptor-binding site primarily by the large lobe. In their active conformation, kinases position the hydroxyl group of the phosphoacceptor substrate in the proper orientation with respect to the γ-phosphate of ATP via a network of interactions formed by conserved structural elements from both lobes. Control of this network often underlies the molecular basis for allosteric regulation of protein kinase activity (5–9).

In GRKs, this allosteric regulation appears to be mediated by interactions with activated GPCRs. Steady-state kinetics indicate that the K_m values of receptor substrates are in the micromolar range, whereas those of peptide substrates, even those derived from receptors, are in the millimolar range (10–13). Moreover, the catalytic efficiency for peptide phosphorylation by GRKs is much lower than that for receptor phosphorylation, and it can be enhanced in the presence of activated receptors (11, 12, 14). Thus, in addition to the peptide phosphoacceptor-binding site of the large lobe, an additional allosteric receptor-docking site appears to be required to promote catalytic activity in GRKs.

The molecular basis for how GRKs interact with activated GPCRs is poorly understood. In vitro, GRKs show little specificity among GPCRs, requiring only that the receptor be in an activated conformation. For example, although GRK1 is the predominant kinase expressed in rod outer segments, GRK1, GRK2, and GRK5 all phosphorylate bovine rhodopsin in a light-dependent manner with comparable catalytic efficiencies (15–17). Therefore, it seems likely that GRKs have a common molecular mechanism for the recognition of activated GPCRs. The region of GRKs most strongly linked to efficient receptor
phosphorylation is the highly conserved N-terminal region, which is unique to the GRK subfamily and predicted to form an α-helix (Fig. 1B). Deletion of this region in GRK1, -2, or -5 abolishes receptor phosphorylation (18–20). Additionally, the binding of antibodies (18) or of recoverin (21) to the GRK1 N-terminal region inhibits receptor phosphorylation. In GRK5, it has also been suggested that the N terminus plays a role in phospholipid interactions (20). Another region that is likely involved in the allosteric regulation of GRKs is the AGC kinase C-terminal tail (C-tail), which is an extension of the kinase core domain and often plays a regulatory role in AGC kinases (22–24) (Fig. 1, B and C). The central segment of the C-tail, termed the active site tether (AST), contributes residues to the active site and is only well ordered in kinase domain structures that are in conformations resembling the active state.

To date, crystal structures representing each GRK subgroup have been reported, i.e. bovine GRK1 (bGRK1), bovine GRK2 (bGRK2), and human GRK6 (hGRK6) (25–29). Although most of these structures were co-crystallized in the presence of ATP or nucleotide analogs, none adopted the closed, active conformation expected by nucleotide-bound PKA (30), and the AST region of their AGC kinase C-tails were either partially or totally disordered. Similarly, the N-terminal region important for receptor phosphorylation was only observed in one crystal structure, namely that of one chain of the bGRK1-ATP complex. Thus, the regions believed to be most important for receptor interaction were largely disordered in these structures, leaving the molecular basis for how GPCRs interact with GRKs unclear. Because the kinase domains in these structures appear to be otherwise competent for catalysis, it is expected that activated GPCRs bind in a manner that promotes full kinase domain closure. Interactions with negatively charged lipids in the cell membrane are also expected to play a role in this transition (20, 31, 32).

In this study, we used recently determined structures of bGRK1 as a template to identify surface residues of the kinase domain that are conserved in GRKs but not in the extended AGC family. Biochemical characterization of site-directed mutants of these residues in bGRK1 identified a continuous surface on the small lobe and the AGC kinase C-tail that is critical for GRK activation by GPCRs. The residue whose mutation showed the largest effect on receptor phosphorylation is nearly as important as the N-terminal region, and the analogous residue is also critical in the other two GRK subgroups, represented by bGRK2 and hGRK6. Comparison with other AGC kinases reveals that this surface is uniquely available for protein–protein interactions in the GRK subfamily. A model for activation that involves cooperative interactions between the N-terminal region and the kinase domain is presented.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Reagents**—[γ-32P]ATP was purchased from MP Biomedicals and GE Healthcare. Peptide substrates DEEAStVSKTETSQVARR (peptide C) and RRREEEEEEAAA (RESA) were synthesized by solid-phase synthesis, and their concentrations in solution were determined by quantitative amino acid analysis at the Protein Structure Facility, University of Michigan Medical Center, Ann Arbor. Dark-adapted bovine retinas were purchased from W. L. Lawson Co., Lincoln, NE. A pcDNA3.1 vector bearing the coding sequence for human β2-adrenergic receptor (hβ2AR) with an N-terminal influenza hemagglutinin (HA) tag was a gift from Drs. Marc Caron and Larry Barak (Duke University). A pcDNA3 vector bearing the coding sequence for bGRK2 was a gift from Dr. Jeffrey Benovic (Thomas Jefferson University). Anti-GRK2 monoclonal antibodies C5/1 and R11E1 were gifts from Dr. Martin Oppermann (Georg-August-University, Germany).

**Production of GRK Mutants**—The QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) was used to introduce mutations into AGC family. Biochemical characterization of site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) was used to introduce mutations into a construct that expresses bGRK1 C-terminally truncated at residue 535 and hexahistidine (H6)-tagged (bGRK1535-H6) (29). An N-terminal deletion mutant (AN19) of bGRK1 was generated by cloning the cDNA sequence corresponding to amino acids 20–535 between the BamHI and Sall sites of pFastBacDualH6. All mutations were verified by DNA sequencing. Mutants were expressed in High Five insect cells using the Bac-to-Bac system (Invitrogen) and purified as described previously (29). Briefly, a metal-chelating affinity chromatography (nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid, Qiagen) was followed by cation exchange chromatography (Source 15S, GE Healthcare). Some mutants were further purified by size-exclusion chromatography (Superdex 200, GE Healthcare). The purity of each bGRK1535-H6 variant was >90% as judged by SDS-PAGE.

Full-length bGRK2 and residues 1–531 of hGRK6, whose C-terminal end is analogous to the last visible residue in the crystal structure of bovine GRK1, were cloned into the pFastBacDualH6 vector between the BamHI and Sall sites to create vectors that express bGRK2fl-H6 and hGRK6531-H6, respectively. The bGRK2fl-H6 (R195A) and hGRK6531-H6 (R190A) mutants were generated using the QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). Proteins were purified in the same manner as bGRK1535-H6.

All GRK proteins were stored in small aliquots at −80 °C, and their concentrations were determined by absorbance at 280 nm using estimated molar extinction coefficients of 63,830 M−1 cm−1 for bGRK1535-H6, 71,280 M−1 cm−1 for bGRK2fl-H6, and 59,820 M−1 cm−1 for hGRK6531-H6.

**Rhodopsin Phosphorylation Assay**—Urea-washed bovine rod outer segments (bROS) were purified as described previously (33). Steady-state kinetics were conducted at saturating (0.5–2 mM) [γ-32P]ATP, 0–80 μM urea-washed bROS, and 0.03–0.2 μM GRK variants in 100 mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.5, 0.15 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl2, and 1 mM EDTA (Buffer A). The reaction was initiated by addition of ATP and then incubated at room temperature (22–26 °C). Small aliquots (3–5 μl) of the reaction mixture were removed at 4–5 time points within the first 5 min of the reaction where a linear relationship holds, and less than 10% of substrate was consumed. The reactions were quenched with SDS-PAGE sample loading buffer and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. Dried gels were exposed to a storage phosphor screen, and phosphorylated rhodopsin was quantified using a Typhoon 9410 imager (GE Healthcare). Initial rates were calculated from the slope of phosphorylated rhodopsin production at various time points and fitted to the Michaelis-Menten equation to obtain $k_{\text{cat}}$ and $K_m$ (bROS) using Prism version 4.0a.
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In Vivo Receptor Phosphorylation Assays—bGRK2-R195A and N-terminal deletion (bGRK2-ΔN16) were generated in the pcDNA3-bGRK2 vector, and the hβ2AR-Y326A mutant was generated in the pcDNA3.1-hβ2AR vector. HEK293T cells (6-cm dish, ~50% confluent) were transiently co-transfected with 1 μg of HA-hβ2AR-Y326A pcDNA3.1 and 1 μg of pcDNA3 of bGRK2-WT, -R195A, -ΔN16, or empty vector using FuGENE6 (Roche Applied Science). After ~44 h of transfection, cells were treated with 1 μM isoproterenol for 20 min at 37 °C. After being washed with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline, the cells were immediately lysed in 200 μl of RIPA lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.15 M NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 1% sodium cholate, 0.1% SDS, 10 mM NaF, and protease inhibitor mixture (1836145001, Roche Applied Science) and phosphatase inhibitor mixtures (sc-45045 and sc-45065, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at the manufacturers’ recommended concentrations on ice. The lysate was then spun down at 16,000 × g for 15 min. 5 or 10 μl of the soluble fraction was analyzed by SDS-PAGE, transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membrane, and then probed with mouse anti-bGRK2 monoclonal antibody (C5/1) (10 μg/ml) and rabbit anti-actin polyclonal antibodies (Cytoskeleton AAN01) (1:1000 dilution). The rest of the soluble fraction was incubated with 1 μg of anti-HA monoclonal antibody 16B12 (Covance MMS-101P) and ~10 μl of protein A-Sepharose (16-156, Upstate) at 4 °C overnight. The beads were washed with cold phosphate-buffered saline three times and then resuspended in a total of ~40 μl of SDS-PAGE sample loading buffer. ~10 μl was then analyzed by SDS-PAGE, transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membrane, and probed with either mouse anti-β2AR monoclonal antibody R11E1 (5–10 μg/ml) or rabbit anti-Ser(P)-355,356-β2AR polyclonal antibodies (1:500 dilution) (sc-16719-R, Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies were used, and the Western blots were visualized by film after being exposed for 5–30 min.

Nucleotide Binding Assays—The dissociation constant of the WT bGRK1535-H6·AMP complex was measured on a MicroCal PC-isothermal titration calorimeter. 10 μM bGRK1535-H6·WT was placed in the sample cell and titrated by 15 injections of 20 μl of 100 μM AMP in 20 mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.5, 0.15 M NaCl, and 5 mM MgCl2 (Buffer B) at 25 °C. The data were analyzed using Origin software to estimate the dissociation constant. The dissociation constants for bGRK1535-H6·ADP variant complexes were measured using a competition fluorescence polarization assay. The dissociation constant of BODIPY® TR ADP (Invitrogen) was first determined using 0.02 μM BODIPY® TR ADP and 0–6.4 μM bGRK1535-H6 variants in Buffer B at λex = 575 nm and λem = 620 nm. Samples were incubated in the dark at room temperature for 5–10 min before the millipolarization (mP) was recorded. For background subtraction, 20 mM EDTA was then added to the wells, and the mP was recorded again after 5–10 min of incubation in the dark. Data were fitted to Equation 1,

\[ mP = \frac{mP_{\text{max}} \times [P]}{K_D + [P]} \quad (\text{Eq. 1}) \]

where mP_{max} is the maximal mP, [P]_t is the total protein concentration, and K_D is the dissociation constant.

Competition assays were conducted using 0.02 μM BODIPY® TR ADP, 1 μM of each bGRK1535-H6 protein, and 0–10 μM ADP in Buffer B at room temperature. The data were fitted to a competition model accounting for ligand depletion as shown in Equations 2 and 3,

\[ mP = \frac{mP_{\text{max}}}{1 + K_D/[P]_{\text{free}}} + mP_{\text{min}} \quad (\text{Eq. 2}) \]

\[ [P]_{\text{free}} = [P]_t - \frac{b - \sqrt{b^2 - 4 \times [P]_t \times [L_2]}}{2} \quad (\text{Eq. 3}) \]

where [L_2] is the total ADP concentration; K_D is the dissociation constant of BODIPY® TR ADP; [P]_{\text{free}} is the free protein concentration; mP_{\text{min}} is the minimal mP; and b = [P]_t + [L_2] + K_{D2}, where K_{D2} is the dissociation constant of ADP.

Peptide Phosphorylation Assay—The activity of GRKs for peptide substrates was measured by filter binding assays using either peptide C, which corresponds to the C terminus of bovine rhodopsin and contains its nascent phosphorylation sites (11), or RESA, an acidic peptide substrate with a single phosphorylation site (10). Three Arg residues located at the ends of these peptides facilitate their binding to phosphocellulose paper. The reactions contained 1–10 μM GRK variant, 100 μM [γ-32P]ATP, and 0–16 mm peptide in Buffer A. Reactions were incubated at room temperature for 30–270 min, and small aliquots (~5 μl) were quenched by addition of 15–30 μl of 15% trichloroacetic acid at various time points. Samples were pelleted in a microcentrifuge at 13,000 rpm for 10 min, and their supernatants were applied to P-81 paper (2.5-cm circles; Whatman) and washed with 2 ml of 75 mM H3PO4 at least 10–15 times (total ~20–30 ml). Filters were then air-dried, and their radioactivity was quantified by liquid scintillation counting. Initial rates were calculated from the slope of phosphorylated peptide production and fitted to the Michaelis-Menten equation to obtain apparent k_{cat} (k_{cat,app}), and K_m (K_{m,app}) values using Prism. These values are only apparent because the reactions were not performed under steady-state conditions, in that the total product concentration was less than the total enzyme concentration.

**RESULTS**

The most complete atomic model for a GRK is the structure of the bGRK1-ATP complex (PDB accession number 3C4W), in which we are able to trace structural elements that were unresolved in prior structures of bGRK2 and hGRK6 (29). Presumably due to the relatively closed conformation of the GRK1 kinase domain and to the presence of well ordered ATP in the active site, portions of the AST region of the AGC kinase C-tail were ordered. The best ordered portion was the “AST loop” (residues 472–477 of the AST region, colored in cyan in Fig. 1C), which adopts a loop conformation very similar to that observed in other AGC kinase domains (30, 34). The interactions at the base of the AST loop in this bGRK1 structure are almost identical to those in the structure of the PKB-AMP-PNP complex (34). A hydrogen bond is formed between a tyrosine
hydroxyl and a backbone amide nitrogen located at the beginning of the loop, and an aromatic side chain from H9251 Di nt h e large lobe stacks against the peptide bond that immediately follows the AST loop (Fig. 1, C and D). The AST loop, however, is four residues shorter in GRK1 than in PKB, and as a result a region of the GRK small lobe adjacent to the hinge is more solvent-exposed than in structures of either PKA or PKB (Fig. 1D). In the sole GRK structure wherein the N terminus was observed, residues 11–20 form a helix that packs adjacent to this exposed surface of the small lobe (Fig. 1, C and D). Given the location of this surface near the hinge of the kinase domain and to the observed N terminus, we hypothesized that it could be either directly or indirectly involved in docking with receptors (29).

We also anticipated that if there were residues on the surface of the GRK kinase domain that were important for GPCR dock-
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ing, then they should be conserved in GRKs but not in the broader AGC family. To test this hypothesis, we analyzed unique sequences from 44 GRKs and 542 AGC kinases, including GRKs (on-line Protein Kinase Resource) (35). Both ClustalW (36) and MUSCLE (37) were used to create alignments. Only the core kinase domain spanning from β1 of the small lobe to α1 of the large lobe (bGRK1 residues 187–445) (Fig. 1C) was used for comparisons between the GRK subfamily and the AGC family. The AGC kinase C-tail was not included because the low sequence homology of this region made alignments unreliable. Outputs from ClustalW and MUSCLE were then analyzed using ConSurf to calculate the conservation score for each residue (38, 39). The results were then mapped onto a composite model of the bGRK1 structure (Fig. 1D).

Several selection criteria were applied to identify the residues of most interest. First, an amino acid position had to have a negative normalized score (conserved) in the GRK subfamily and a positive normalized score (variable) in the broader AGC kinase family. Second, the absolute difference of these scores had to be greater than 0.5. Finally, these criteria had to be met in both ClustalW and MUSCLE alignments. A total of 24 residues met all of these criteria, including Phe190, Arg191, Arg195, Asn278, Gly393, Glu400, Val410, and Phe416 (bGRK1 numbering). Seven residues, Val192, His275, Tyr277, Gly285, Glu394, Glu397, and Arg404, met both criteria in only one alignment and one criterion in the other. Interestingly, most of these residues cluster at one of two sites on the small lobe (Fig. 1, B and D). The first is on a continuous surface formed by the β1–β3-strands (site 1) and the other as a cluster of positively charged residues primarily from the αB-helix (site 2). Site 1 was of most interest with regards to receptor coupling, as it corresponded to the same surface of the small lobe left exposed by the relatively short AST loop of GRKs. Site 2, on the other hand, seemed more likely to be involved in interacting with an autophosphorylation site (the “turn motif”) of the AGC kinase C tail (Fig. 1B) or perhaps with acidic substrates in the polypeptide-binding site. A total of 10 residues from site 1 that were not playing an obvious structural role in bGRK1 were selected for subsequent mutagenesis and kinetic analysis, including Phe190, Arg191, Arg195, Val192, Arg195, Gln205, Gly210, Leu212, Asn268, Tyr274, and His275 (Fig. 1B). Because the AST region of the AGC kinase C-tail is in close proximity to site 1, we also selected six residues from this region (Val476, Asn480, Gln482, Asp483, Val484, and Phe487) for functional analysis (Fig. 1B). For a control, we also generated a truncation mutant of bGRK1 that lacked the N-terminal 19 amino acids (AN19), as this protein is not expected to phosphorylate GPCRs. All mutations were made in the background of the bGRK1535-H6 protein and purified to homogeneity from baculovirus-infected insect cells (29).

To test the catalytic efficiency of bGRK1535-H6 mutants against a receptor, we used bROS as the substrate (Fig. 2, A and B, and Table 1). The $k_{cat}$ and $K_m$ (bROS) values for bGRK1535-H6 were 3.5 min$^{-1}$ (57 nmol/min/mg) and 4.7 μM, respectively, at room temperature. The $K_m$ value is comparable with the reported value (4 μM) for wild-type GRK1, but the $k_{cat}$ value is lower than the reported number of ~700 nmol/min/mg at 30°C (10). However, an ~2.5–3-fold lower rate is observed for $k_{cat}$ at room temperature, and we also included 0.15 M NaCl in the buffer, which lowers the $k_{cat}$ by another ~3-fold (data not shown). Although inclusion of salt affects the reactivity, we felt it was more appropriate to keep the ionic strength as close to physiological as possible, as well as constant for all of our reactions.

On the kinase small lobe, the R191A mutant showed the most dramatic effect with a decrease in $k_{cat}/K_m$ of more than 1000-fold (Fig. 2, A and B, and Table 1). Arginine is invariant at this position in GRKs. Mutation of structurally adjacent residues (F190A and L212A) also exhibited >10-fold decreases in catalytic efficiency. The Y274A mutant in αD of the kinase large lobe and the V476A and V484A mutants in the AGC kinase C-tail showed 20–50-fold decreases in $k_{cat}/K_m$. The remainder of the mutations showed <5-fold decreases in their $k_{cat}/K_m$ values (Table 1), indicating that their side chains do not significantly contribute to the process of receptor phosphorylation. They are likely conserved for other reasons. As expected, the activity of the ΔN19 truncation was severely compromised, and its activity could not be determined under the assay conditions (up to 2 μM enzyme) because there was no observed product formation above the background rate (Fig. 2B). Based on this rate, the catalytic efficiency for the ΔN19 mutant is decreased by at least 3900-fold.

We anticipated that proteins with defects in receptor docking should exhibit higher $K_m$ values. This was true for the R191A mutant, whose initial rates show no curvature in the Michaelis-Menten plot up to 40 μM ROS. However, most of the bGRK1535-H6 mutants exhibited a far more profound effect on $k_{cat}$ than $K_m$, suggesting that the primary defect is in kinase domain closure or other steps critical for the phosphorylation reaction. When the fold decrease in catalytic efficiency for each mutant was mapped onto the bGRK1 structure, residues showing significantly impaired receptor phosphorylation form a surface that spans across the site 1 on the small lobe, the AGC kinase C-tail, and the large lobe (Fig. 2C).

Because GRKs are promiscuous in their ability to phosphorylate a variety of GPCRs, we anticipated that conversion of residues in GRK1 that are important for receptor phosphorylation to their equivalents in other GRKs should generate proteins with activity close to wild type. For example, bGRK1-Phe190 is Tyr in GRK4, -5, and -6 and His in GRK2 and -3; bGRK1-Leu212 is Met in other GRKs, and bGRK1-Tyr274 is Phe in GRK4, -5, -6, and -7 (Fig. 1B). As expected, the F190Y, F190H, L212M, and Y274F mutants of bGRK1535-H6 retained catalytic efficiencies similar to those of wild type (Table 1).

Thus far, our data strongly suggested that site 1 and adjacent residues in the AGC kinase C-tail were important for GPCR phosphorylation. To confirm that this region is also important to other GRKs, we introduced mutations equivalent to bGRK1-R191A into bGRK2 and hGRK6 (R195A and R190A, respectively). To simplify protein purification, we first generated C-terminal H6-tagged versions of full-length bGRK2 (bGRK241-H6) and residues 1–531 of hGRK6 (hGRK6531-H6). Indeed, bGRK241-H6, R195A and hGRK6531-H6, R190A exhibited substantially decreased values of $k_{cat}/K_m$ compared with
their unmodified kinase equivalents (Table 1). For bGRK2F4L-H6, this corresponded to an ~900-fold decrease in catalytic efficiency. For hGRK6-235-H6-R190A, the reactivity was too low to measure accurately (no product formation above the
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ND means not detectable. No product formation above the background up to 30 μM GRK was observed. The minimum fold decrease of \( k_{cat}/K_m \) was calculated based on the uncatalyzed reaction.  
ND means not detectable. No product formation above the background up to 30 μM GRK was observed. The minimum fold decrease of \( k_{cat}/K_m \) was calculated based on the uncatalyzed reaction.  
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\( \Delta N19 \) truncation shows no product formation above background. C residues most crucial for bROS phosphorylation cluster in or adjacent to site 1 on the small lobe. The structure is in the same orientation and uses the same color scheme as Fig. 1C. Side chains of residues targeted by site-directed mutagenesis in this study are shown as spheres, which are colored in a gradient according to the fold decrease of their catalytic efficiency for bROS compared with WT from white (no change) to magenta (severely impaired). Residues whose mutation led to a ~10-fold decrease in catalytic efficiency (\( k_{cat}/K_m \)) are labeled with their fold decrease.

\( \Delta N19 \) truncation shows no product formation above background. C residues most crucial for bROS phosphorylation cluster in or adjacent to site 1 on the small lobe. The structure is in the same orientation and uses the same color scheme as Fig. 1C. Side chains of residues targeted by site-directed mutagenesis in this study are shown as spheres, which are colored in a gradient according to the fold decrease of their catalytic efficiency for bROS compared with WT from white (no change) to magenta (severely impaired). Residues whose mutation led to a ~10-fold decrease in catalytic efficiency (\( k_{cat}/K_m \)) are labeled with their fold decrease.

\( \Delta N19 \) truncation shows no product formation above background. C residues most crucial for bROS phosphorylation cluster in or adjacent to site 1 on the small lobe. The structure is in the same orientation and uses the same color scheme as Fig. 1C. Side chains of residues targeted by site-directed mutagenesis in this study are shown as spheres, which are colored in a gradient according to the fold decrease of their catalytic efficiency for bROS compared with WT from white (no change) to magenta (severely impaired). Residues whose mutation led to a ~10-fold decrease in catalytic efficiency (\( k_{cat}/K_m \)) are labeled with their fold decrease.

\( \Delta N19 \) truncation shows no product formation above background. C residues most crucial for bROS phosphorylation cluster in or adjacent to site 1 on the small lobe. The structure is in the same orientation and uses the same color scheme as Fig. 1C. Side chains of residues targeted by site-directed mutagenesis in this study are shown as spheres, which are colored in a gradient according to the fold decrease of their catalytic efficiency for bROS compared with WT from white (no change) to magenta (severely impaired). Residues whose mutation led to a ~10-fold decrease in catalytic efficiency (\( k_{cat}/K_m \)) are labeled with their fold decrease.
Activation Mechanism of GRKs

background rate using up to 30 μM enzyme). Based on this background rate, the activity of hGRK6<sub>535-H₁₀</sub>R190A is decreased at least 100-fold.

In PKA and some other AGC kinases, the residue equivalent to bGRK1-Arg<sup>191</sup> is lysine (Fig. 1A), a conservative substitution that preserves charge. In PKA, this residue (Lys<sup>172</sup>) forms a salt bridge with a residue in the AGC kinase C-tail, implying a role in stabilizing kinase domain closure. To test whether a Lys substitution at this position could preserve the activity of GRKs, we created the bGRK1-R191K mutant. Strikingly, this mutant was as inactive as bGRK1<sub>535-H₁₀</sub>R191A (Table 1), indicating that Arg<sup>191</sup> is not simply involved in a charge-charge interaction with GPCRs or phospholipid head groups. It is more likely that the guanidinium group of arginine is required for its function, such as via a specific hydrogen bond network or stacking interaction.

To confirm that this invariant arginine residue also plays a role in receptor phosphorylation in cells, we measured in vivo phosphorylation of the hβ₂AR-Y326A mutant by overexpressed bGRK2-WT, -R195A, and -ΔN16 (equivalent to bGRK1-ΔN19) mutants in the presence or absence of the agonist isoproterenol. The hβ₂AR-Y326A mutant, which is compromised in its ability to be phosphorylated by endogenous GRKs, was used in the assay to observe the effects from overexpressed GRK2 (40–42). HEK293T cells were transiently co-transfected with a vector expressing N-terminally HA-tagged hβ₂AR-Y326A and either a vector expressing either bGRK2-WT, -R195A, or -ΔN16, or vector alone. As shown in Fig. 2D, only bGRK2-WT was able to phosphorylate the receptor in the presence of the agonist isoproterenol. For detection, we used an antibody specific for phosphorylation at Ser<sup>355</sup> and Ser<sup>356</sup> of the hβ₂AR, positions that are specifically phosphorylated by GRKs (43) and that are critical for the receptor desensitization and internalization mediated by β-arrestin (44, 45). Thus, both bGRK2-R195A and -ΔN16 exhibit defects in receptor phosphorylation that will profoundly affect regulation of hβ₂ARs in cells.

Although our structure of bGRK1 suggests that the residues we mutated in site 1 do not appear to directly contribute to the active site, we wanted to confirm that the defects observed for these mutants did not result from perturbation of the active site. We therefore compared the dissociation constants of bGRK1<sub>535-H₁₀</sub> variants for ADP (Fig. 3 and Table 2). We first used isothermal titration calorimetry, which revealed the <i>K</i><sub>D</sub> of the bGRK1<sub>535-H₁₀-WT</sub>-ADP complex to be 0.89 μM (Fig. 3A). Nucleotide binding was enthalpically driven, with ∆Η and ∆T∆S values of −15.7 ± 0.3 and −7.5 ± 0.6 kcal/mol, respectively. Because this method requires large amounts of protein, nucleotide binding to each of the bGRK1<sub>535-H₁₀</sub> mutants was instead measured using a competitive fluorescence polarization assay. In good agreement with the calorimetry result, we measured a <i>K</i><sub>D</sub> of 0.90 μM for the bGRK1<sub>535-H₁₀-WT</sub>-ADP complex (Fig. 3B). The <i>K</i><sub>D</sub> value measured for each of the bGRK1<sub>535-H₁₀</sub> mutants for ADP was similar to that observed for bGRK1<sub>535-H₁₀</sub> (0.7–1.0 μM), indicating that the impaired ability of site 1 mutants to phosphorylate receptors is not because of defects in nucleotide binding.

Although residues in site 1 and adjacent regions on bGRK1 were clearly involved in phosphorylation of activated bROS, it was not known whether these residues are directly or indirectly involved in binding GPCRs. We hypothesized that if the sole function of a surface residue was to dock with activated receptors, then mutation of this residue should not affect the catalytic efficiency of peptide phosphorylation. We therefore measured the reactivity of our bGRK1<sub>535-H₁₀</sub> variants for nonreceptor substrates. For this study, we used a known GRK peptide substrate (peptide C), which contains residues 330–346 from the C terminus of bovine rhodopsin. The apparent <i>k</i><sub>cat</sub> and <i>K</i><sub>m</sub> (peptide C) for bGRK1<sub>535-H₁₀-WT</sub> was 0.29 h<sup>−1</sup> and...
Kinetic parameters for peptide C phosphorylation

| bGRK1 | \( k_{\text{cat,app}} \) | \( K_m, \text{app} \) | \( k_{\text{cat}}/K_m, \text{app} \) | Fold decrease (\( k_{\text{cat}}/K_m, \text{app} \)) |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| WT    | 0.29 ± 0.05     | 0.44 ± 0.21     | 0.66 ± 0.22     | 1                 |
| F190A | 0.020 ± 0.007   | 0.88 ± 0.61     | 0.023 ± 0.009   | 29                |
| R191A | 0.018 ± 0.005   | 1.2 ± 0.6       | 0.015 ± 0.004   | 44                |
| R191K | 0.033 ± 0.007   | 1.4 ± 0.5       | 0.023 ± 0.004   | 29                |
| L212A | 0.016 ± 0.002   | 0.41 ± 0.15     | 0.038 ± 0.010   | 17                |
| Y274A | 0.022 ± 0.005   | 1.5 ± 0.6       | 0.015 ± 0.003   | 44                |
| V476A | 0.025 ± 0.004   | 0.73 ± 0.24     | 0.033 ± 0.007   | 20                |
| V484A | 0.019 ± 0.005   | 0.89 ± 0.47     | 0.021 ± 0.006   | 31                |
| \( \Delta \text{N19} \) | 0.027 ± 0.008   | 0.53 ± 0.39     | 0.052 ± 0.025   | 12                |

Interestingly, all bGRK1\(_{535}\)-H6 mutants that showed decreased catalytic efficiency for bROS phosphorylation also displayed 10–50-fold decreased catalytic efficiency for peptide phosphorylation. Use of another GRK acidic peptide substrate (RESA) gave similar results (data not shown), indicating the decreased reactivity is not unique to peptide C. bGRK2\(_{41}\)-H6 R195A and bGRK6\(_{311}\)-H6 R190A also exhibited impaired reactivity for peptides (data not shown), indicating that the function of this invariant arginine is shared in all three GRK subgroups. We also showed that bGRK1\(_{535}\)-H6 mutants that bear tolerated amino acid substitutions (F190Y, F190H, L212M, and Y274F) could phosphorylate peptide substrates similarly to their wild-type counterparts (data not shown).

Because others have reported that deletion of the N terminus from the GRK does not affect its catalytic activity toward soluble substrates (19, 20), we also tested the \( \Delta \text{N19} \) truncation in our peptide phosphorylation assay. Surprisingly, we found that the catalytic efficiency of this protein was also defective. Because we expect that the phosphorylation of both peptide and GPCR substrates requires the kinase to adopt a similarly closed, active conformation, these results indicate that the N-terminal region and the residues we identified in site 1, the AGC kinase C-tail, and the large lobe are all involved in the allosteric transition of GRKs from an inactive, open conformation to the active, closed form. However, it is not yet clear which residues from these elements might also be involved in direct contact with GPCRs.

**Discussion**

A central theme of protein kinase activation is to allow proper positioning of the \( \gamma \)-phosphate of ATP with respect to the phosphoacceptor hydroxyl of a protein substrate. Because the principal binding sites for these substrates reside on separate domains, kinase activation often entails proper orientation of the small and large lobes of the kinase domains through modulation of allosteric sites, either directly through covalent modification or indirectly through the repositioning of structural elements that block or alter key features of the active site. Phosphorylation of the so-called activation loop in the large lobe is commonly observed in AGC and other protein kinases, allowing this loop to undergo a conformational change that helps to order the catalytic machinery. This does not occur in GRKs because their analogous loops do not contain phosphorylation sites and, despite this, these loops are well ordered in all GRK structures determined thus far. Another mechanism commonly utilized by protein kinases is to control the position of the \( \alpha \)C-helix, which bears an invariant glutamate that forms an ion pair with an invariant lysine on the \( \beta3 \)-strand in the active state. This regulatory strategy is also not used by GRKs because this ion pair pre-exists in all structures of GRKs determined so far, and structures of GRKs bound to nucleotides reveal that these residues make their expected interactions with nucleotide ligands.

A hallmark feature of the AGC family is the AGC kinase C-tail, which bears additional phosphorylation sites called the “turn” and “hydrophobic” motifs. Phosphorylation at these sites is believed to contribute to stabilization of the closed state of the kinase (46). For example, phosphorylation of the hydrophobic motif of PKB\( \beta \) and PKC\( \theta \) helps to anchor the motif to the small lobe and to configure the small lobe in an active conformation (23, 47). Such an activation mechanism is not evident for GRKs, as their analogous hydrophobic motifs do not contain phosphorylation sites and are well ordered in all structures thus far. In PKA, an important Phe side chain located within the AST region contacts the adenine ring of ATP. When mutated to alanine, activity is lost (48). The residues equivalent to this posi-

**FIGURE 4. Nonreceptor (peptide) phosphorylation.** Michaelis-Menten plots (V/|E|) are shown for peptide C phosphorylation either by bGRK1\(_{535}\)-H6 WT (closed squares), by the R191A mutant (open squares), or by the \( \Delta \text{N19} \) truncation (open circles). Both the R191A and \( \Delta \text{N19} \) variants are compromised in their ability to phosphorylate peptide C. Kinetic parameters are listed in Table 3.

0.44 mM, respectively, at room temperature (Table 3 and Fig. 4). Interestingly, all bGRK1\(_{535}\)-H6 mutants that showed decreased catalytic efficiency for bROS phosphorylation also displayed 10–50-fold decreased catalytic efficiency for peptide phosphorylation. Use of another GRK acidic peptide substrate (RESA) gave similar results (data not shown), indicating the decreased reactivity is not unique to peptide C. bGRK2\(_{41}\)-H6 R195A and bGRK6\(_{311}\)-H6 R190A also exhibited impaired reactivity for peptides (data not shown), indicating that the function of this invariant arginine is shared in all three GRK subgroups. We also showed that bGRK1\(_{535}\)-H6 mutants that bear tolerated amino acid substitutions (F190Y, F190H, L212M, and Y274F) could phosphorylate peptide substrates similarly to their wild-type counterparts (data not shown).
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Prior to this study, the region of GRKs most strongly implicated in receptor-dependent phosphorylation was the extreme N terminus. This region could potentially play many roles, including receptor or phospholipid binding, allosteric modulation of the kinase domain, or some combination thereof. It is possible that the N-terminal region serves as the principal docking site for the receptor, and then their complex docks with a second site on the kinase domain to trigger kinase domain closure. Alternatively, the N-terminal region can interact with the kinase domain and together form a receptor-docking site. In either case, there must be residues on the surface of the kinase domain that are critical for GRK activation by receptors.

We combined structure-based and bioinformatics approaches to locate hot spots for receptor coupling on the surface of the kinase domain. Subsequently, we showed that Phe$^{190}$, Arg$^{191}$, and Leu$^{212}$ on the small lobe, Tyr$^{274}$ on the large lobe, and Val$^{276}$ and Val$^{284}$ on the AGC kinase C-tail are important for receptor phosphorylation. All of these residues are solvent-exposed in crystal structures and, after mutation, retain the ability to bind ADP with affinities similar to wild type. When mapped onto the bGRK1 structure, these residues constitute a continuous surface with the most critical residue, Arg$^{191}$, located near its center (Fig. 2C). We showed that residues equivalent to bGRK1-Arg$^{191}$ in other subgroups of GRKs are critical both in vitro and in vivo. Additionally, substitution of residues physically adjacent to bGRK1-Arg$^{191}$ with amino acids found at corresponding positions in other GRKs retains wild-type catalytic efficiency. In a parallel study, mutation of residues Tyr$^{274}$, Val$^{276}$, and Ile$^{284}$ of bGRK2 (equivalent to Tyr$^{274}$, Val$^{276}$, and Val$^{284}$ of bGRK1, respectively), also show decreased catalytic efficiency for both receptor and peptide phosphorylation (49).

There are several possible mechanisms for how the residues we identified might be involved in kinase activation. First, they can simply make direct contacts with receptors. Second, they can collaborate with the N-terminal region to form a receptor-docking site. Third, they can be involved in the mechanism of kinase domain closure that is allosterically induced by GPCR binding. Because all of the positions we identified have defects in both GPCR and peptide phosphorylation, these residues cannot solely be involved in GPCR binding, ruling out the first model. However, this observation alone cannot rule out either the second or third models. Tyr$^{274}$ on αD of the kinase large lobe is likely only involved in kinase domain closure. In nucleotide-bound bGRK1, the aromatic ring of Tyr$^{274}$ stacks against the peptide bond formed between Tyr$^{277}$ and Ala$^{278}$ in the AST. It is therefore expected that this interaction contributes structurally to domain closure and to the stability of the active conformation.

It is somewhat surprising that the ΔN19 mutant of bGRK1 also exhibited a decreased reactivity for peptide phosphorylation. This is in contrast to previous studies, wherein similar truncations did not display obvious defects in soluble substrate phosphorylation, although steady-state kinetics were not evaluated in these cases (19, 20). Our data strongly suggest that this N-terminal region plays a role in kinase domain closure, even independently of receptors, because kinase domain closure must take place in order for either receptor or peptide phosphorylation to occur. We favor a model in which the N-terminal region docks with the adjacent key surface (site 1) of the kinase domain, where it may also interact with the AST, and thus drive closure of the kinase domain (Fig. 5). This model is appealing in that the cumulative interactions are concentrated near the hinge of the kinase domain and thus could help stabilize the kinase in its closed state. GPCRs likely bind to solvent-exposed structural elements in this closed configuration, thereby increasing substrate affinity by bringing its phosphoacceptor sites in proximity to the active site, while at the same time stabilizing the active GRK conformation. Such interactions are expected to enhance specificity and catalytic efficiency. Given the high sequence conservation among GRKs in their N-terminal regions and in the key surface residues we identified on the kinase domain, this model would represent a universal mechanism for GRK activation.

In summary, we have identified novel residues on the kinase domain of GRKs that constitute a continuous surface critical for receptor phosphorylation. Our data are consistent with a
model wherein the N-terminal region, both lobes of the kinase domain, and the AST region of the AGC kinase C-tail act in concert to stabilize the active state of GRKs. Our work, along with a parallel receptor-docking study in GRK2 (49), provides a framework by which we can further explore the molecular basis for the recognition of activated GPCRs by GRKs.
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