Mapping Gene Associations in Human Mitochondria using Clinical Disease Phenotypes
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Abstract

Nuclear genes encode most mitochondrial proteins, and their mutations cause diverse and debilitating clinical disorders. To date, 1,200 of these mitochondrial genes have been recorded, while no standardized catalog exists of the associated clinical phenotypes. Such a catalog would be useful to develop methods to analyze human phenotypic data, to determine genotype-phenotype relations among many genes and diseases, and to support the clinical diagnosis of mitochondrial disorders. Here we establish a clinical phenotype catalog of 174 mitochondrial disease genes and study associations of diseases and genes. Phenotypic features such as clinical signs and symptoms were manually annotated from full-text medical articles and classified based on the hierarchical MeSH ontology. This classification of phenotypic features of each gene allowed for the comparison of diseases between different genes. In turn, we were then able to measure the phenotypic associations of disease genes for which we calculated a quantitative value that is based on their shared phenotypic features. The results showed that genes sharing more similar phenotypes have a stronger tendency for functional interactions, proving the usefulness of phenotype similarity values in disease gene network analysis. We then constructed a functional network of mitochondrial genes and discovered a higher connectivity for non-disease than for disease genes, and a tendency of disease genes to interact with each other. Utilizing these differences, we propose 168 candidate genes that resemble the characteristic interaction patterns of mitochondrial disease genes. Through their network associations, the candidates are further prioritized for the study of specific disorders such as optic neuropathies and Parkinson disease. Most mitochondrial disease phenotypes involve several clinical categories including neurologic, metabolic, and gastrointestinal disorders, which might indicate the effects of gene defects within the mitochondrial system. The accompanying knowledgebase (http://www.mitophenome.org/) supports the study of clinical diseases and associated genes.

Citation: Scharfe C, Lu HH-S, Neuenburg JK, Allen EA, Li G-C, et al. (2009) Mapping Gene Associations in Human Mitochondria using Clinical Disease Phenotypes. PLoS Comput Biol 5(4): e1000374. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000374

Editor: Andrey Rzhetsky, University of Chicago, United States of America

Received October 27, 2008; Accepted March 24, 2009; Published April 24, 2009

Copyright: © 2009 Scharfe et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by a National Eye Institute grant (to R.W.D. and C.S.) and a NSC grant in Taiwan (to H.H.L.). T.K. is a member of MitoNET funded by the German ministry of education and research (BMBF). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: curts@stanford.edu

Introduction

Mitochondrial diseases are caused by an abnormal function of mitochondria. They may be the result of spontaneous or inherited mutations in the mitochondrial genome (mtDNA) or in nuclear genes that code for mitochondrial components, but may also be acquired secondary to adverse effects of drugs, infections, or other environmental causes [1–3]. The mtDNA encodes only 13 proteins of the respiratory chain [4], while most of the estimated 1,500 mitochondria proteins are nuclear-encoded [5]. Mitochondrial deficiencies often affect multiple tissues leading to multi-system diseases that present with many phenotypic features. These dysfunctions appear to be more prevalent in hereditary diseases than previously anticipated [6–8] and have also been attributed to the pathogenesis of common conditions associated with aging [3,9] including neurodegenerative diseases [10], cardiovascular disorders [11], diabetes mellitus [12], and several cancer types [13,14].

Medical case reports of specific gene defects have been crucial to our understanding of clinical phenotypes. The list of mitochondrial disease genes and case reports has grown rapidly, while methods for defining and assaying clinical phenotypes are still inadequate [15–17]. Accordingly, the accurate and systematic comparison of clinical phenotypes associated with different disease genes remains a major challenge. One limitation is the non-standardized formats of such phenotypic data in the medical literature and databases, which is difficult to overcome using automated text mining [18,19]. An example are optic nerve diseases for which multiple terms are found such as cranial nerve II diseases, neural-optic lesion, optic disk disorder, and optic atrophy. Higher-level phenome knowledgebases recently emerged in an attempt to comprehensively index human phenotype data [20–22]. The process of transforming descriptions of medical diagnoses and procedures into universal computer-readable medical code numbers involves manual reviews and annotations.
Author Summary

An important prerequisite for successful disease gene identification is the assessment, with minimal ambiguity, of a particular clinical trait or phenotype. Even with years of experience, recognizing and diagnosing mitochondrial diseases is still a major hurdle in clinical medicine. Computational tools supporting clinicians not only help identify affected individuals, but also guide studies of the genetic and biological causes of these disorders. In this study we dissect and categorize individual clinical features, signs, and symptoms of 174 disease genes and then identify gene similarities based on their shared phenotypic features. We demonstrate that genes sharing more similar phenotypes have a stronger tendency for functional interactions, proving the usefulness of phenotype similarity values in disease gene network analysis. Our study of a large functional network of mitochondrial genes revealed distinct properties that differentiate disease and non-disease genes. Disease genes showed a lower average total connectivity but a tendency to interact with each other; a finding that we used to predict 168 high-probability disease candidates. The accompanying knowledgebase allows for easy navigation between disease and gene information. We believe the open source format will support and encourage further research that will benefit this and other human phenotype projects.

of full-text articles [17]. As with other knowledgebases [23,24], catalogs of clinical phenotypes are set within the context of the existing literature, but are also limited by the inherent problems of working with an evolving literature.

In this study, we catalogued detailed information on clinical disease phenotypes of known mitochondrial gene defects that were stored in a phenotype knowledgebase. We then developed methods to analyze the clinical phenotype information, to determine associations of genes and diseases, and to compare different disease genes based on their associated phenotypes. This approach was used to predict disease gene similarities, which showed positive correlations to their functional interactions. Our analysis of a functional interaction network of mitochondrial genes revealed distinct properties for disease and non-disease genes, which we utilized to predict new disease candidate genes. Our knowledgebase (www.mitophenome.org) represents a new resource for studying links between disorders and genes. This can be integrated with a variety of systems approaches [25] with the goal of identifying disease gene variants in the individuals that carry them.

Results

Annotation of mitochondrial genes and diseases

We identified 174 nuclear-encoded mitochondrial genes (Table S1) associated with 191 diseases in the Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM) database [26]. In order to characterize these disorders in detail, we manually searched the PubMed literature for their phenotypic features such as clinical signs and symptoms, biochemical and clinical laboratory tests, and neurological imaging findings. Our annotations consisted of three steps that included the collection, definition, and classification of phenotypic features for each disease gene. Importantly, we individually matched the phenotypic features with standardized descriptors in the Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) database [27]. Within the hierarchical MeSH ontology, we localized the individual feature position and then identified, for each feature, the directly related parent descriptor or hypernym feature. Using this approach, we reviewed 1,636 full-text articles reporting defects or deficiencies in the 174 disease genes and individually extracted phenotypic features for each gene. We then matched features with MeSH descriptors and identified their hypernyms, which generated 502 features hierarchically classified within the mitochondrial phenotype ontology (Table S2). At its root, the ontology has fourteen features corresponding to fourteen major clinical categories (CC) such as cardiovascular diseases or neurological diseases. These CC are used to discriminate the more specific features in each group: for example arrhythmia in the cardiovascular CC and seizures in the neurologic CC. A subset of the features in our phenotype ontology is listed in Table 1.

Clinical categories (CC) of mitochondrial disorders

A categorical breakdown of the 502 features in their fourteen CC is shown in the inner circle of Figure 1A. While most CC were comprised of more than twenty individual features, the neurologic and metabolic CC contained the largest fraction of features (18.5% and 14.3%, respectively). We then explored the overall characteristics of mitochondrial phenotypes across all gene defects. We had annotated a total of 9,407 gene-feature pairs (Table S3) that included, for each of the 174 disease genes, features identified through our literature search and hypernyms to these features assigned through integration with the phenotype ontology (see methods). A relative breakdown of the fourteen CC across the 9,407 gene-feature pairs is shown in the outer circle of Figure 1A. This analysis revealed CC patterns similar to the categorical distribution above, with neurological (33.3%) and metabolic (13.0%) features most prominently represented. Together with the third largest CC of gastrointestinal (8.6%) diseases, these three CC account for more than half of all features in all gene-feature pairs studied. In comparison, the oncologic and endocrinologic CC contained relative large numbers of features, but these categories were associated with fewer genes and are less frequently observed in mitochondrial disorders.

The distribution of phenotypes within CC is largely consistent with the tissue distribution of energy expenditure in the resting state, or basal metabolic rate (BMR), with brain contributing to the highest proportion of the BMR (90% in newborns, 60% in infants, and 25% in adults) [28], followed by liver (20–25% BMR) and resting muscle (10–25% BMR). Mitochondria provide most of the body’s energy [3], and measurements of mitochondrial respiration have shown that brain tissue contains more active respiratory chain complexes than liver, heart, or muscle [29]. Thus, our results showing a higher proportion of neurological, metabolic, and gastrointestinal (e.g. liver diseases) features positively correlate to BMR and respiratory-chain activities. A related analysis of genes associated with the fourteen CC confirms this observation (Figure 1B). While most genes were associated with the neurologic, metabolic or gastrointestinal CC, these genes also caused more features within these CC. For example, 154 genes were associated with the neurologic CC with each gene causing on average 20.3 neurological features. Although mitochondrial defects affect many cellular processes [5], the phenotype patterns predominantly represent deficiencies in energy metabolism with the nervous system being most susceptible. Like the gene-expression patterns in the study of human phenotypic diversity [30], CC patterns may aid to characterize and distinguish phenotype groups such as mitochondrial disorders.

Clinical phenotype similarities between mitochondrial disease genes

Inherited diseases often present with multiple phenotypic features. The presence or absence of specific features is
### Table 1. Phenotypic features of human mitochondrial diseases.

| 1. Cardiovascular (110) | 7. Immunologic (78) | Neuromuscular-manifestations (129) |
|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Arrhythmia (38)        | Autoimmune-diseases (2) | Paralysis-Paresis (39) |
| Cardiomyopathy (44)    | Immune-deficiency (7) | Reflexes-abnormal (78) |
| Cardiorespiratory-arrest (81) | Infections (76) | Hearing-disorders (30) |
| Hypertension (20)      | 8. Metabolic (143) | Voice-disorders (11) |
| Hypotension (19)       | Acidosis (87) | Stroke-like-episodes (10) |
| Myocardial-ischemia (6) | Reye-like-symptoms (15) | Developmental-delay (111) |
| 2. Dermatologic (56)   | Dysplasias (14) | Polyneuropathies (37) |
| Dermatitis (7)         | Diabetes-mellitus (18) | Sleep-disorders (11) |
| Hair-diseases (15)     | Hyperglycemia (12) | 11. Oncologic (29) |
| Pigmentation-disorders (11) | Hyperinsulinism (5) | Squamous-cell-neoplasms (4) |
| Hyperhidrosis (15)     | Hypoglycemia (52) | Neuroendocrine-tumors (6) |
| Paleness (22)          | Hyperammonemia (40) | Paraganglioma (3) |
| 3. Endocrinologic (40) | Hyperbilirubinemia (23) | Leukemia-Lymphoma (9) |
| Adrenal-gland-diseases (14) | Homochromatosis (11) | Breast-neoplasms (5) |
| Adrenal-insufficiency (9) | Aminoacid-levels-abnormal (47) | Colorectal-neoplasms (4) |
| Adrenocortical-hyperfunction (7) | Water-electrolyte-imbalance (49) | Hepatocellular-carcinoma (7) |
| Gonadal-disorders (23) | Obesity (8) | Leiomyma (4) |
| Sex-differentiation-disorders (12) | Fatty-acids-abnormal (21) | Prostatic-neoplasms (4) |
| Parathyroid-diseases (5) | Organic-acids-abnormal (69) | Renal-cell-carcinoma (4) |
| Pituitary-diseases (10) | Dicarboxylic-aciduria (20) | 12. Ophthalmologic (87) |
| Thyroid-diseases (18)  | 9. Musculoskeletal (95) | Blepharoptosis (20) |
| 4. Gastrointestinal (132) | Osteoporosis (8) | Cataract (15) |
| Cholestasis (16)       | Spinal-diseases (25) | Pathologic-nystagmus (38) |
| Deglutition-disorders (29) | Pathological-fractures (9) | Ophthalmoplegia (15) |
| Gastroenteritis (28)   | Foot-deformities (20) | Optic-nerve-diseases (34) |
| Intestinal-obstruction (13) | Joint-diseases (18) | Retinal-diseases (27) |
| Gastrointestinal-hemorrhage (9) | Muscular-diseases (38) | Color-vision-defects (7) |
| Liver-diseases (79)    | Rhabdomyolysis (8) | 13. Psychiatric (51) |
| Fatty-liver (34)       | Microcephaly (39) | Aggression (15) |
| Pancreatitis (9)       | 10. Neurologic (154) | Feeding-behavior (8) |
| Abdominal-pain (31)    | Brain-diseases (135) | Anxiety-disorders (18) |
| Feeding-difficulties (67) | Intracranial-hemorrhages (17) | Dementia (18) |
| Diarrhea (37)          | Seizures (97) | Autistic-disorder (8) |
| Vomiting (80)          | Headache-disorders (21) | Depressive-disorder (19) |
| 5. Genitourinary (68)  | Leukoencephalopathy (39) | Psychotic-disorders (20) |
| Infertility-male (7)   | Cerebellar-atrophy (28) | Schizophrenia (8) |
| Hypospadias (6)        | Corpus-callosum-hypoplasia (18) | 14. Respiratory (108) |
| Cystic-kidney-diseases (7) | Choreatic-disorders (18) | Hyperventilation (40) |
| Nephrolithiasis (4)    | Dystonic-disorders (38) | Respiratory-insufficiency (51) |
| Renal-insufficiency (30) | Parkinsonian-disorders (12) | Asthma (8) |
| Uroincontinence (12)   | Tremor (36) | Pneumonia (40) |
| Menstruation-disturbances (9) | Spinal-cord-diseases (29) | Pulmonary-edema (14) |
| Pregnancy-complications (15) | Neurogenic-bladder (10) | Miscellaneous (151) |
| 6. Hematologic (75)    | Ataxia (54) | Fever (52) |
| Anemia (34)            | Speech-disorders (41) | Hypothermia (17) |
| Blood-coagulation-diseases (24) | Consciousness-disorders (65) | Exercise-intolerance (36) |
| Petechiae (4)          | Memory-disorders (13) | Failure-to-thrive (62) |
| Blood-platelet-diseases (21) | Mental-retardation (68) | Growth-deficiency (54) |
| Blood-protein-diseases (20) | Hallucinations (14) | Dysmorphisms-abnormalities (39) |
| Bone-marrow-diseases (20) | Psychomotor-agitation (34) | Odors (10) |
Correlation of phenotypic associations and gene functional interactions

We then compared disease genes with QPA and functional interactions, in order to explore the hypothesis of phenotypic similarities in functionally related genes [15,16]. We identified 1,928 gene pairs (n = 139 genes) from a recent study with Likelihood Ratios (LR) for gene functional interactions [34], and for which we had predicted QPA (Table S4). Using rank correlation, we detected positive associations with significant confidence of QPA and LR for these disease gene pairs (Kendall: p = 4.67e-7; Spearman: p = 3.72e-7). The results indicated that genes with stronger evidence for functional interaction (higher LR) displayed greater similarities in their associated disease phenotypes (higher QPA). To select gene pairs with the highest correlation of LR and QPA, we applied hierarchical clustering and identified groups of gene pairs with higher to lower levels of association (see Methods). In addition, we compared the 1,928 gene pairs with both LR and QPA to pairs predicted by only one method. Hypothesis testing revealed that these pairs showed on average higher values for LR (p = 6.86e-10) and QPA (p = 0.029) than pairs predicted by only one method (LR pairs only n = 82; QPA pairs only n = 26,010). Thus, LR and QPA in combination could be helpful in the analysis of disease gene associations.

In our next analysis we identified 39 disease genes encoding components of seven mitochondrial protein complexes and two metabolic pathways, representing nine functional modules (Table S4). For each gene within a given module, we calculated the QPA average relative to all other genes in the module (Figure 2A). While genes within some modules were associated with similar disease phenotypes (e.g. RCC1, RCC4), other modules appeared phenotypically more diverse (e.g. BCKDH, TCA). We then compared QPA of gene pairs within modules (n = 262 pairs) to pairs outside modules (n = 27,676 pairs). This analysis revealed a higher average phenotype similarity for gene pairs within versus outside the nine modules (p = 2.64e-5). We found a comparable result in the analysis of gene functional interactions, with on average higher LR for gene pairs within (n = 182) versus pairs outside these modules (n = 1,826; p = 1.86e-35). In summary, we identified positive correlations of functional (LR) and phenotypic (QPA) associations for many disease genes, with the most prominent genotype-phenotype relationships in protein complexes (Figure 2B). These results support findings of a recent study that utilized automated text mining in OMIM to identify phenotypic similarities within protein complexes [32]. However, it should be noted that OMIM often combines genes into a single disease record, if they encode subunits of the same protein complex (e.g. BCKDH - Maple syrup urine disease, #248600; GCC - Glycine encephalopathy, #605899). Potential circular reasoning in correlating phenotypes and complexes could be reduced by individual disease gene annotations. While statistically very significant, the genotype-phenotype correlation values observed in this and other studies are still rather small [33]. Possible contributing factors are the imperfect information about gene-gene and gene-disease associations and the environment.

Functional interactions of nuclear-encoded mitochondrial genes

We then expanded our analysis and identified functional interactions for 162 disease genes (DG) to 4,577 candidate genes (CG) from a recent study [34]. As for the DG, we also extracted all binary functional interactions for each CG in order to account for all genome-wide interactions of all 4,739 genes studied (Table S5). Of the CG, 531 genes had disease associations in OMIM [26] and we consequently labeled those as DG. We recorded in total more than 1.9 million gene interactions that included interactions between disease genes (DG-DG), disease and candidate genes (DG-CG, CG-DG), and candidate genes (CG-CG). We first focused on the mitochondrial gene network and identified a set of 495 mitochondrial CG through data integration of two recent studies [3,36]. These studies combined had predicted 1,200 human mitochondrial genes (Table S6). Our analysis of functional interactions of all mitochondrial CG (495) and DG (162) showed the following results: i. the total number of

| Table 1. cont. |
|----------------|
| Leukocyte-disorders (25) | Irritability (39) | RCC-deficiencies (42) |
| Lymphatic-diseases (8) | Lethargy (69) | Vitamin-responsive (24) |

The 144 features are selected from a total of 502 features (Table S2) and are caused by defects in 174 nuclear-encoded mitochondrial genes. Every feature is associated with the number of genes shown in parentheses. The hierarchical structure of features within the phenotype ontology was established using standardized MeSH descriptors (not shown). The fourteen CC in bold serve as headers for features within them. Unassigned features are grouped under ‘Miscellaneous’. 

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000374.t001
interactions \([i_{\text{all-genes}}]\), which is recorded to all human genes,
was higher for CG than for DG \((p = 7.93e-6)\); ii. the relative
number of DG interactions \([i_{\text{disease-genes}}]/[i_{\text{all-genes}}]\), which
was recorded to all known human DG, was higher for DG than for
CG \((p = 6.37e-7)\); and iii. the relative number of interactions to
human orthologs of mouse \([i_{\text{mouse-essential}}]/[i_{\text{all-genes}}]\) and
yeast \([i_{\text{yeast-essential}}]/[i_{\text{all-genes}}]\) essential genes \([33,37]\) was
higher for the DG than the CG \((p = 1.72e-4);\) yeast
\(p = 0.013)\). These results indicated that mitochondrial CG and DG
can be distinguished based on functional interaction patterns.

In a related analysis, we compared the functional interactions of
DG \((493)\) and CG \((3551)\) located outside the mitochondrial
organelle (Table 2), which showed similar results (i., ii. and iii.) as
for the mitochondrial gene groups (see Methods for data).
However, the comparison of mitochondrial and non-mitochondrial
genes revealed some surprises. The total number of
interactions for non-mitochondrial DG was higher than for
mitochondrial DG \((p = 6.5e-29)\), and the number of interactions
for non-mitochondrial CG was higher than for mitochondrial CG
\((p = 2.37e-93)\). Notably, the non-mitochondrial DG had on
average more interactions than the mitochondrial CG
\((p = 1.87e-19)\). To further investigate these differences, we
literature-annotated detailed information on intracellular localiza-
tions of gene products (Table S1). Out of the 162 DG, 115 genes
had only evidence for mitochondrial localizations, while 47 DG
also localized to additional compartments (e.g. nucleus, cyto-
plasm). In addition, we identified 38 DG out of the 4,577 CG
(Table S6) with likely mitochondrial localizations \([5,36]\). These
38 DG \((p = 0.06)\) and the 47 DG with multiple localizations
\((p = 0.51)\) tended to have more interactions than the 115
mitochrondria-only DG although both results were not statistically
significant. In summary, our analysis identified a higher average
connectivity for non-disease genes (CG) than for DG, which was
detected for both mitochondrial and non-mitochondrial genes,
and secondly, fewer functional interactions of mitochondrial than
for non-mitochondrial genes. These findings are supported by a
separate gene fraction analysis (Figure 3), where we studied the
number of interactions of genes in the different gene groups and
the distribution of these interactions over the whole network (see
Methods).

Candidate genes for mitochondrial disorders

In the last decade, several systematic studies have predicted
functional candidate genes in genomic linkage intervals of
mitochondrial diseases \([38–42]\). In principle, all genes from a
given interval are “benchmarked” against a database of annotated
proteins \([5]\), and genes identical to or functionally similar to the
reference proteins are prioritized for mutational screens in affected
individuals. Here, we build on the success of these approaches and
predict new DG from a larger list of mitochondrial CG.

Considering the identified interaction differences of disease and
non-disease genes, we performed a supervised discriminant
analysis \([43]\) of all 695 mitochondrial genes using the five
attributes of gene functional interactions (Table S5). Out of the
495 mitochondrial CG, 254 genes were predicted as DG with a
true positive rate of 80.2% based on the confirmed known DG.
In addition, 26 of the 38 DG with likely mitochondrial localization,
which we input-labeled as CG to serve as controls, were correctly
classified as DG. As an alternative tool, we ran a supervised
Bayesian network approach \([44,45]\). We first defined a training set
of 100 typical out of the 162 mitochondrial DG based on their
median of total gene interactions. Accordingly, 100 typical CG
were selected from the 495 mitochondrial CG. The network
analysis correctly identified 56.8% of the DG, 16 out of the 38

Figure 1. Distribution of clinical phenotypic features in
mitochondrial diseases. (A) The inner circle shows the distribution
of 502 phenotypic features among fourteen clinical categories (CC), plus
a ‘Miscellaneous’ category containing unassigned features. The
numbers show the fraction in % of all features in one CC compared
to all 502 features. The outer circle shows the distribution of features
related to CC within the 9,407 gene-feature pairs, with the frequency in
% of all features in one CC. (B) Number of genes with features in a
specific CC (y-axis) in correlation to the average number of CC-specific
features caused by these genes (x-axis). 154 genes caused neurological
features with an average of 20.2 neurological features per gene.
Phenotypically, most mitochondrial gene defects are related to
neurological, metabolic and gastrointestinal diseases.
doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000374.g001
Figure 2. Phenotype similarity of genes related to mitochondrial protein complexes and pathways. (A) For each gene (black dots), the average QPA (y-axis) to all other genes within a functional module was calculated. Red lines represent the median (50th percentile) of all QPA averages within a module. Boxes indicate the 25th and 75th quartiles, with minimum and maximum data points as lines that extend from each end of.
the box. The grand mean of all modules (blue line) is the QPA average across all gene pairs of all nine modules, which was significantly higher than for pairs outside modules (orange line). (B) Module gene relationships are predicted through functional (LR) and phenotypic (QPA) associations showing the usefulness of phenotype similarity scores in disease gene network analysis. The edge colors are: red – gene pairs with highest correlation of QPA and LR; blue – gene pairs with lower QPA-LR correlation; orange and light blue – gene pairs with QPA only at higher (≥0.4) and lower confidence (<0.4), respectively (see Table S4 for data). Diseases caused by the six genes labeled "∗∗" are known to respond to vitamin treatments (riboflavin, thiamine, and pyridoxine). Abbreviations: AKDH, Alpha ketoglutarate dehydrogenase; BCKDH, Branched chain alpha keto acid dehydrogenase; GCC, Glycine cleavage system; PDH, Pyruvate dehydrogenase; RCC, Respiratory chain complex; TCA, Tricarboxylic acid cycle; UC, Urea cycle.

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000374.g002

likely mitochondrial DG, and predicted 201 DG out of the 495 CG. Overlapping the two approaches predicted 168 novel mitochondrial DG with an estimated true positive rate of 85.8% (139 out of 162 DG) based on the correctly classified DG (Table S8). The newly predicted disease candidates can be prioritized from the larger set of functional CG in linkage intervals of mitochondrial disorders (Table 3).

Discussion

The creation of human phenomic databases has been suggested to systematically collect and analyze phenotypic information [15,20–22]. In this study, we established a clinical phenotype catalog of 174 mitochondrial disease genes (Table 1) that account for ~10% of all known disease genes [26]. In order to define and classify clinical phenotypes from 1,636 medical case reports, we developed a terminologic system that is based on the hierarchical MeSH ontology. Because automated text mining is limited in annotating clinical disorders from the literature [18,19], our mapping of "phenotypes to language" required the manual review of each full-text article [17]. This classification of phenotypic features for each gene allowed the comparison of disorders between different disease genes (Figure 1). To measure clinical phenotype similarity between disease genes, we calculated a numerical value (QPA, quantitative phenotypic associations) that takes into account all annotated gene-feature associations, the overlap of features between two disease genes, and the frequency of the shared feature across all genes. Thus, QPA are based on the hypothesis that the value of a feature varies inversely with the number of genes with which it is associated [16].

The analysis of disease gene pairs with QPA in comparison to Likelihood Ratios (LR) for functional interactions [34] showed positive correlations. Disease genes with stronger evidence for functional interactions (higher LR) displayed greater similarities in their clinical phenotypes (higher QPA). We discovered the most prominent phenotypic similarities within mitochondrial protein complexes (Figure 2) supporting previously predicted genotype-phenotype associations of protein complexes [32]. However, we also noted complexes with lower phenotypic similarities (e.g. BCKDH - Maple syrup urine disease; GCC - Glycine encephalopathy) highlighting the importance for individual gene inspection. Since this analysis was limited to disease genes (DG), we were interested in learning the properties of a larger network that included non-disease candidate genes (CG). Utilizing the genome-wide study by Franke et al. [34], we created a functional network of more than 1.9 million gene interactions for 162 mitochondrial DG and 4,577 CG. Our analysis identified significant differences in functional interactions for DG and CG with a higher average connectivity for CG. This difference was detected for both the mitochondrial and non-mitochondrial gene groups (Table 2). In addition, while the total number of DG interactions was similar for DG and CG, the relative fraction of DG interactions ([i[disease-genes]]/[i[all-genes]]) was higher for DG indicating that DG are more likely to interact with each other. Previous smaller scale studies (~100× fewer interactions) have predicted intermediate and peripheral positions of DG in gene functional networks with relatively fewer interactions than essential genes [33,46]. Our results expand on this hypothesis showing that essential and non-disease genes (CG) can be distinguished from DG based on gene interaction patterns (Figure 3). Furthermore, we also identified network properties differentiating mitochondrial from non-

Table 2. Molecular interactions of mitochondria and non-mitochondria genes.

| Gene group (genes/group) | i[all-genes] | i[disease-genes] | i[mouse-essential] | i[yeast-essential] | i[mito-genes] |
|--------------------------|--------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| DG mitochondria (162)    | 120.91 (62)  | 26.14 (17.5)     | 13.23 (6)         | 20.56 (8.5)       | 42.24 (22)   |
| CG mitochondria (495)    | 190.19 (114) | 23.93 (14)       | 14.75 (6)         | 36.51 (23)        | 49.81 (37)   |
| DG non-mitochondria (493)| 396.71 (154) | 48.29 (22)       | 75.01 (17)        | 29.86 (19)        | 16.91 (8)    |
| CG non-mitochondria (3551)| 459.74 (275)| 48.81 (21)       | 78.4 (21)         | 44.48 (34)        | 21.38 (10)   |
| DG mito-only local. (115)| 114.48 (67)  | 27.23 (19)       | 10.68 (6)         | 20.14 (9)         | 48.07 (25)   |
| DG mito-other local. (47)| 136.66 (56)  | 23.47 (14)       | 19.47 (7)         | 21.57 (6)         | 27.98 (18)   |
| DG mito-predict local. (38)| 192 (107)    | 31.18 (18)       | 22.03 (9)         | 36.13 (15)        | 45.76 (30)   |
| Mouse essential genes (597)| 598.22 (480)| 69.64 (52)       | 116.7 (63)        | 39.94 (30)        | 17.31 (8)    |
| Yeast essential genes (609)| 354.96 (230)| 33.51 (18)       | 40.51 (16)        | 66.15 (54)        | 37.03 (25)   |

The total 4,739 genes studied are separated into nine gene groups with the number of disease genes (DG) and candidate genes (CG) in each group in parenthesis (see Table S5 for individual gene data). DG products with intracellular localization to only mitochondria (115 genes) and mitochondria-and-other-localizations (47 genes) are subsets of the 162 mitochondrial DG. The human orthologs to mouse and yeast essential genes are subsets of all 4,739 genes. The five data columns show the average number of interactions (i) of each group to all genes in the human genome (all-genes); all known human disease genes (disease-genes); all human orthologs of essential yeast genes; and to all nuclear-encoded human mitochondrial genes (mito-genes). The numbers in parenthesis show the median number of interactions for each group and attribute, respectively. The findings indicated distinct properties in gene molecular interactions for DG and CG, as well as for mitochondria and non-mitochondria genes.

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000374.t002
mitochondrial genes. Mitochondrial genes showed a lower average connectivity, which may be due to the double-membrane structure of the organelle limiting the detection of protein-protein interactions [47]. However, the higher connectivity between mitochondrial genes may relativize this problem. Future studies will help to answer the question of the connectivity of mitochondrial genes and perhaps genes of other cellular compartments as well.

In the final part of this study we utilized the discovered interaction patterns to predict new mitochondrial DG. Using two different approaches, we identified 168 non-disease genes that resembled the characteristic interaction patterns of the 162 mitochondrial DG (estimated TP rate = 85.8%). If diseases are linked to a genomic interval, the predicted DG can be prioritized from a larger list of functional candidates for mutational screen in affected individuals (Table 3). For example, the optic atrophy 2 (OPA2) linkage interval contains seven mitochondrial genes that include three known DG of which HSD17B10 is associated with optic atrophy [48–50], and three predicted DG of which two genes (NDUFB11, TIMM17B) interact with mitochondrial DG causing optic atrophy. Our phenome knowledgebase (www.mitophenome.org) can also be applied to investigate disorders through gene network association, in particular common conditions that are caused by single gene defects in a subset of patients [51]. For example, a search for Parkinson disease returns 12 mitochondrial DG with interactions to 24 predicted DG (e.g. CCS, MECR, PRKAR2B). Similarly, seizures and mental retardation, a common combination of mitochondrial features, is caused by 59 DG that interact with 124 predicted DG. With the decreasing cost of DNA sequencing, high-throughput screens linking phenotypes with genotypes will further increase the accuracy of gene-feature associations. To this end, easy navigation between clinical phenotype and gene information promises to aid in the recognition and diagnosis of mitochondrial disorders.

Methods

Mitochondrial disease genes

We identified 174 disease genes that encode proteins targeted to mitochondria (Table S1). While most gene defects are inherited as Mendelian traits; ACSL6, BAX, BCL2, ME2, MTHFD1, PARL, PHB, UCP1, and UCP2 are disease susceptibility alleles; DLST, OGDH, and PCK2 are disease-associated protein deficiencies; and HTRA2, MTCP1, SLC25A16, and WWOX cause disorders of unknown inheritance patterns. Table S1 has also the annotations and PMID references (col. I) for intracellular protein localizations and the 39 genes encoding components of nine mitochondrial protein complexes and pathways (col. J). Additional mitochondrial genes (Table S6) were identified through integration of two studies [5,36] that had combined predicted 1,200 mitochondrial genes.

Phenotypic feature annotation

For each of the 174 disease genes, we identified individual studies and case reports describing a gene defect or deficiency and associated phenotype information. Manual extraction and annotation probably results in more specific and comprehensive data, with far fewer false-
Gene-feature pair annotation

We used 1,636 full-text articles to manually annotate 6,361 gene-feature pairs, each of which was created from at least one original PubMed article with a unique identifier (PMID). On average, we identified 2.77 PMID per gene-feature pair, and 9.87 PMID per gene. Further, we assigned each gene-feature pair to a unique OMIM disease record (e.g. 277900 for Wilson disease), which described the disorder and was referenced in many articles. We computationally integrated the 6,361 gene-feature pairs with our phenotype ontology, resulting in 10,202 gene-feature pairs (Table S3). This integration also assigned the PMID of each gene-feature pair to its directly related hypernym gene-feature pair. PMID assigned through ontology integration are labeled ‘#’ (col. G). Because gene-feature pairs may be associated with more than one OMIM disease record, we consolidated the 10,202 gene-feature pairs (and their PMID) into 9,407 unique pairs. For example, the gene POLG is associated with ophthalmoplegia and hearing disorder, polyneuropathy and hearing disorder, polyneuropathy, and foot deformities, and all associated PMID are labeled ‘#’ (col. G).

Quantitative phenotypic associations (QPA)

The association ratio for each gene-feature pair is the fraction of PMID reporting a specific feature for a specific gene out of the total number of PMID annotated for that gene. The feature Fi specific association ratio for gene pair A–B (rFi) was calculated as:

\[ r_{Fi} = \frac{\text{PMID of gene A-feature Fi}}{\text{PMID of gene A}} \]

\[ (\text{PMID for gene B-feature Fi})/\text{PMID for gene B} \]

We considered the important weight wi of feature Fi for gene pair

Table 3. Prioritizing candidate genes for mitochondrial disorders.

| Mitochondrial Disorder | OMIM | Phenotypic features | Linkage interval | Size (Mb) | Gene loci | Mitochondrial genes marked (*: if known, and #: if predicted disease gene) |
|------------------------|------|---------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| Optic atrophy 2, OPA2  | 311050 | optic nerve disease | DXS993-DXS991 | 14.5     | 352       | MAOA*, ALAS2*, HSD17B10*, MAOB*, NDUFB11*, TIMM17B*, ARAF |
| Optic atrophy 4, OPA4  | 605293 | optic nerve disease | D18S34-D18S479 | 8.8      | 58        | ATP5A1#, ACAAA2# |
| Optic atrophy 5, OPA5  | 610708 | optic nerve disease | D22S1148-D22S2583 | 10.4 | 189 | HSCB1, PISD#, TXN2#, UCRC#, TST# |
| Optic atrophy 6, OPA6  | 258500 | optic nerve disease | D857102-D857194 | 12.3     | 86        | UQCRB1, DECR1*, PMM2C*, SLC7A13*, SLC1A13, FAM82B, MTERF1 |
| Thyroid carcinoma, nonmedullary, TCO | 601992 | thyroid neoplasms | D19S884-D19S221 | 4.5       | 153       | TIMM44#, NDUFA7#, MRPL4, FDXL1, ECST |
| Paragangliomas 2, PGL2 | 601650 | neuroendocrine tumors | D11S956-PYGM | 6.0     | 193       | BAD#, PRDX5#, GLYAT#, C11orf79#, COX8A#, MRPL16, GLYAT1, GLYAT2 |
| Multiple mitochondrial dysfunction syndrome, MMDFS | 605711 | muscle weakness, seizures, lethargy, feeding difficulties, | D251337-D25441 | 8.8     | 79        | MDH1#, CCT4, ENSG0000019838 |
| Cowichuck syndrome; NADMR | 310490 | muscle weakness, mental retardation, hearing disorder, polynuropathy | DXS425-HRPT | 13.7 | 152 | NDUFA1*, AIF1M1#, GLUD2#, SLC25A14# |
| MEHMO syndrome | 300148 | mental retardation, seizures, obesity, hypogonadism | DXS363-CYBB | 15.9 | 139 | GK*, ACOT9#, PDK3#, APOO |
| Gustavson syndrome, GUST | 309555 | mental retardation, optic nerve disease, seizures, deafness | DXS458-DXS424 | 20.2 | 285 | ACSL4*, TIMM8A*, MCART6, SLC25A5, SLC25A43 |
| Spastic paraplegia, SPG9 | 601162 | paralysis-paresis, cataract, vomiting, foot deformities | D105564-D105603 | 9.4 | 166 | COX15*, ALDH18A1*, NDUFB8*, GOT1#; C10orf65, SLC25A28 |

For each mitochondrial disorder (col.1), we identified the mitochondrial candidate genes (col.7) among all gene loci (col.6) in the genomic linkage interval (col.4). The mitochondrial genes are further sorted into: (*) known disease genes with genes (in bold) causing phenotypic features (col.3) similar to features linked to the disease interval features. For completeness, the unlabeled mitochondrial genes are not known or predicted disease genes.

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000374.t003
where $N$ is the total number of genes (174) and $df_i$ is the document frequency of feature $Fi$ in all genes, which is related to the Inverse Document Frequency (IDF). IDF reflects the hypothesis that the value of a feature varies inversely with the number of genes in which it occurs [16]. To adjust for different PMID counts for a feature in different genes, we set a denominator as the maximum number of PMID in one gene associated with this feature. QPA integrated the association ratios ($r_i$) in all features $Fi$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, I$) for gene pair A-B through weights of $w_{i,max}$ which was calculated as:

$$QPA = \frac{(w_1*r_1 + w_2*r_2 + w_3*r_3 + \ldots + w_I*r_I)}{(w_1 + w_2 + w_3 + \ldots + w_I)}$$

We identified feature $Fi$ specific association ratios for 514,978 gene pairs (self-pairs removed), and computed QPA for 27,938 gene pairs with numerical values between 0.00177 and 1 (Table S4). An example of calculating QPA for specific disease genes is given under Text S1. In a related analysis, we studied associations of genes and features after removing eleven features that describe mitochondrial deficiency, RCC1-deficiency). Removing these features resulted in 9,298 versus all 9,407 gene-feature pairs, respectively ($Kendall \ Cor = 0.9978, \ Spearman \ Cor = 0.9981, \ and \ Pearson \ Cor = 0.9899$). While biochemical measurements support the hypothesis that as larger the absolute value of the slope, the more significant is the association between the feature and the disease. We calculated the values for the regression line slopes, their p-values using the R statistical package (http://www.r-project.org) and identified six gene clusters with higher to lower association of LR and QPA (Figure S2 and Table S4).

**Functional interactions of nuclear-encoded mitochondrial genes**

Out of the 174 DG, we identified functional interactions for 162 DG to 4,577 CG from a recent study [34]. As for the 162 DG, we also recorded all genome-wide binary interactions for the 4,577 CG with a total of 1,949,132 interactions. All gene interactions are non-redundant and non-self-interacting. The interactions for the 4,577 CG included interactions to additional 5,358 genes that we labeled CG interactors (CGin). We assigned the following four attributes to the total 10,097 genes that included DG, CG and CGin (Table S6): i. 1,283 disease genes identified through OMIM [26]; ii. 1,032 human orthologs to mouse essential genes [33]; iii. 977 human orthologs to yeast essential genes [37]; and iv. 863 nuclear-encoded mitochondrial genes through integrative analysis of two studies [5,36]. We then computed for each DG (162) and CG (4,577) the total number of interactions ([all-genes]); as well as all interactions to genes with the assigned attributes (i-iv), Table S5 lists all genome-wide interactions for the 4,739 genes (col. C) and interactions to genes with the four attributes (col. D-G).

**Gene fraction analysis**

We studied the number of interactions (degree) of genes in the different gene groups and the probability distribution of these interactions (degree distribution) over the whole network. For each gene group, we computed the degree distributions $P(k)$ as the fraction of the number of genes that interact with $k$ other genes, where the sum of fractions of a specific gene group is 1. Similar to the study by Goh et al. [33], we used log2$k$ as the dependent variable in Figure 3. We calculated $P(k)$ for interactions of each gene group to all human genes (3A), all human disease genes (3B) and all mitochondrial genes (3C) using data in Table S5. We then performed a fraction analysis by applying the linear regression model to the degree distributions of each gene group and attribute using the R statistical package (http://www.r-project.org) and calculated the values for the regression line slopes, their p-values and correlation coefficients (Table S7). We found that the measured trends described by the linear regression model are statistically significant for all gene groups with very small p-values ($<10^{-4}$), which we obtained by testing the null hypothesis that the slope is zero. The negative regression slopes identified for all gene groups suggested a relatively higher portion of less-connected genes and a lower tendency to form a hub structure. We then ordered the gene groups using their slope values. The order was based on the hypothesis that as larger the absolute value of the negative regression slope, the higher the probability that lower-connected genes outnumber the higher-connected genes. This comparison showed that in the interactions to all genes (3A), DG

\[ wi = \log(N/df_i)^*(PMID \text{ for gene } A-\text{feature } Fi)/ \]

\[ (\max \{ \text{PMID \text{ for feature } Fi \text{ in one gene}} \})^* \]

\[ (\text{PMID \text{ for gene } B-\text{feature } Fi})/ \]

\[ (\max \{ \text{PMID \text{ for feature } Fi \text{ in one gene}} \})^* \]
mitochondrial gene networks. From Table S5, we selected 695 mitochondrial genes and their attributes of functional interactions and labeled the 162 DG as DG, the 495 CG as CG, and the 30 likely mitochondrial DG as CG to serve as controls. The linear discriminant covariance analysis was performed using the JMP statistical software with predictions results listed in Table S8 (col. D–I). For the Bayesian network analysis (col. K–P), we first selected 100 typical DG out of the 162 DG, and 100 typical CG out of the 495 CG (col. K). The 200 genes were imported as training sets into a machine-learning algorithm and the Bayesian network package of this program was used to train the model by the method of cross validation [44]. We then imported the test set of all 695 mitochondrial genes in order to predict mitochondrial DG. The overlap of the two applied methods (col. Q) predicted 168 high-probability disease candidate genes out of the 495 non-disease CG.
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