ALDH2 Gene: Its Effects on the Neuropsychological Functions in Patients with Opioid Use Disorder Undergoing Methadone Maintenance Treatment
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Objective: Patients with opioid use disorder (OUD) have impaired attention, inhibition control, and memory function. The aldehyde dehydrogenase 2 (ALDH2) gene has been associated with OUD and ALDH2 gene polymorphisms may affect aldehyde metabolism and cognitive function in other substance use disorder. Therefore, we aimed to investigate whether ALDH2 genotypes have significant effects on neuropsychological functions in OUD patients undergoing methadone maintenance therapy (MMT).

Methods: OUD patients undergoing MMT were investigated and followed-up for 12 weeks. ALDH2 gene polymorphisms were genotyped. Connors' Continuous Performance Test (CPT) and the Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised (WMS-R) were administered at baseline and after 12 weeks of MMT. Multivariate linear regressions and generalized estimating equations (GEEs) were used to examine the correlation between the ALDH2 genotypes and performance on the CPTs and WMS-R.

Results: We enrolled 86 patients at baseline; 61 patients completed the end-of-study assessments. The GEE analysis showed that, after the 12 weeks of MMT, OUD patients with the ALDH2 *1/*2+*2/*2 (ALDH2 inactive) genotypes had significantly higher commission error T-scores (p = 0.03), significantly lower hit reaction time T-scores (p = 0.04), and significantly lower WMS-R visual memory index scores (p = 0.03) than did patients with the ALDH2 *1/*1 (ALDH2 active) genotype.

Conclusion: OUD patients with the ALDH2 inactive genotypes performed worse in cognitive domains of attention, impulse control, and memory than did those with the ALDH2 active genotype. We conclude that the ALDH2 gene is important in OUD and is associated with neuropsychological performance after MMT.
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INTRODUCTION

Opioid use disorder (OUD) is highly prevalent and leads to substantial social and health-related costs [1,2]. Compromised inhibitory control, memory, and post-error behavioral adjustment were constantly found in individuals with addiction [3]. Therefore, deficit in cogni-
tive function might have a major role in driving OUD [4]. Studies have reported that patients with OUD had impaired cognitive and executive functions [5]. Both former and current opioid abusers had impaired cognitive flexibility, inhibition control, and working memory [6] in addition to impaired processing and psychomotor speed, verbal learning, and verbal fluency [7]. The severity of cognitive impairments was also related to the treatment outcomes in patients with OUD [8,9]. However, the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying these cognitive deficits associated with long-term heroin use are still unknown. Therefore, it is important to investigate the underlying etiology for cognitive dysfunction in OUD.

In addition to affecting neurocognitive functions, genetic factors might also contribute to the development of OUD [10]. We reported that the aldehyde dehydrogenase 2 (ALDH2) gene was associated with OUD in a Han Chinese population [11]. The ALDH2 gene is on chromosome 12q24. A single nucleotide polymorphism (rs671, G→A) in exon 12 leads to an amino acid substitution from glutamic acid (G) to lysine (A) in the ALDH2 enzyme. The ALDH enzymes have been classified as class 1 (low Km, cytosolic, including ALDH1), class 2 (low Km, mitochondrial, including ALDH2) and class 3 (high-Km, including ALDH3), based on kinetic properties and sequence similarities [12]. The most important enzyme for acetaldehyde oxidation are mitochondrial ALDH2, which were expressed in a larger number of tissues than ALDH1, with highest levels in liver, kidney, muscle, and heart, and also in brain [13]. ALDH2 also metabolizes dopamine and is responsible for DOPAL (3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetaldehyde) metabolism to DOPAC (3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid) [14]. The G allele (ALDH2*1) encodes an active form of the ALDH2 enzyme to metabolize DOPAL, and the A allele (ALDH2*2) encodes an inactive form to metabolize DOPAL [15]. Other studies [16] hypothesized that the ALDH2 gene is associated with OUD through dopamine metabolism, that DOPAL accumulates in opioid users with the ALDH2*2 allele, and that this leads to opioid addiction. However, the actual mechanisms are unknown. The ALDH2 polymorphisms are related to Alzheimer’s disease, which implies an association between ALDH2 polymorphisms and memory function [17,18]. Moreover, ALDH2 polymorphisms are associated with the effects of alcohol on various neurophysiological and psychomotor functions [19,20]. All these studies [17-20] hypothesized that the ALDH2 gene polymorphisms affect aldehyde metabolism and cognitive function. We therefore hypothesize that the ALDH2 gene affects the cognitive functions in patients with OUD as it does in alcohol abusers, and that it leads to the underlying cognitive deficits in OUD.

In the present study, we investigated the effect of ALDH2 genotypes on neuropsychological performance, focus on attention, inhibition control, and memory function, all of which are central to long-term recovery in addiction [21], and in patients with OUD who have undergone methadone maintenance therapy (MMT). Because studies have reported that memory function might change after two months of MMT [22], a longitudinal study controlled for potential confounding factors is more suitable for assessing the association between changes in neuropsychological function and the ALDH2 gene polymorphisms. We therefore used a longitudinal study to assess the effects of the ALDH2 gene in changes of the cognitive performance of OUD patients who had undergone 12 weeks of MMT.

METHODS

Participants
The research protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board for the Protection of Human Subjects at National Cheng Kung University Hospital (no. B-BR-103-027-T). The study was done in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki. The procedures were fully explained to each participant before they were asked to sign an informed consent. OUD patients were recruited from the MMT program. Each patient was initially interviewed by an attending psychiatrist and then by a research team member well trained in using the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fourth edition (DSM-IV) [23] criteria and the Chinese Version of the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) [24]. The MINI has good reliability and has been widely used in clinical trials and epidemiological studies [26], and its interrater reliability in Chinese Version was approximately 0.75 in pre-
vious studies [27,28]. Inclusion criteria were being an adult male or female between 18 and 65 years old who met the DSM-IV criteria for current opioid dependence and who used opioids daily. Exclusion criteria were having a cognitive disorder, being pregnant or nursing an infant, or having a history of one or more uncontrolled major chronic illnesses like diabetes mellitus and hypertension.

We recruited 86 OUD patients at the beginning of our study. At baseline, each patient was assessed for ALDH2 genotypes and for cognitive function using the Connors’ Continuous Performance Tests (CPT) and Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised (WMS-R). Their MMT and psychosocial interventions were maintained during the follow-up. After 12 weeks of follow-up, we reassessed their CPT and WMS-R.

**Blood Samples and Genotyping**

Twenty milliliters of venous blood was drawn from each participant, and DNA was extracted. Genotyping of the ALDH2 gene was determined using protocols described elsewhere [29].

**Neurocognitive Test**

The Conners’ Continuous Performance Test (CPT) [30] was used to assess the maintenance of focused attention and inhibitory control. An adequate level of arousal, combined with executive control to resist distraction and inhibit responses to stimuli resembling targets, were required to perform correctly. Respondents are asked to press the space bar on a computer keyboard when any letter other than “X” appeared. The interstimulus intervals were 1, 2, and 4 seconds, and display time was 250 ms. The CPT produces a standard set of performance measures that include the number of errors of omission and errors of commission. (1) Errors of omission occurred when the patient did respond to the target stimulus. (2) Errors of commission occurred when the patient responded to a nontarget (X) stimulus. (3) Hit reaction time (HRT) represents the mean response time (ms) for all target responses over the full six trial blocks. (4) HRT standard error (HRT SE) represents the consistency of response times and expresses the SE response to targets [31]. The split-half reliability is 0.66–0.95, and the test-retest reliability after three months is 0.55–0.84. The CPT has good reliability and validity for Han Chinese living in Taiwan [32].

The WMS-R, a major assessment of cognitive function [33], assesses all factors of memory. The WMS-R consists of 13 subtests and five indices (General Memory, Verbal Memory, Visual Memory, Attention/Concentration, and Delayed Recall). The Information and Orientation Questions (the first subtest) were used to screen for disorientation and intact sensory functioning. Attention and working memory were assessed using the Attention/Concentration index subtests [34]. The index-score reliability coefficients range from 0.70 to 0.90 [35]. The test-retest reliability after 2 to 12 weeks is 0.62–0.82 [33]. Interrater reliability is more than 0.9 [36].

**Statistical Analysis**

The differences in the frequency of the ALDH2*1/*1 (ALDH2 active) and ALDH2*1/*2+*2/*2 (ALDH2 inactive) genotypes between patient and control groups were calculated using a χ² test (two-tailed). Fisher’s exact test was substituted for the χ² test when values were smaller than expected (< 5). Student’s t test was used to estimate differences in mean age between two groups. We assigned patients with the ALDH2*1/*2 and *2/*2 genotypes to the same group, ALDH2 inactive group, because the aldehyde metabolic effect as the ALDH2*2/*2-encoded enzyme is inactive, and the ALDH2*1/*2-encoded enzyme has an aldehyde metabolite rate of only 1/10 that of the ALDH2*1/*1 genotype [37]. Additionally, because there were repeated assessments, the generalized estimating equation (GEE) method [38] was used for multivariate linear regression in repeated-measures analyses that accommodate randomly missing data [39]. In the current study, GEE analysis was used to investigate the changes in CPT and WMS-R individual scores from baseline to endpoint, and in the correlations with the ALDH2 genotypes. Time effects during the 12-week MMT, age, sex, methadone dose, disease duration, and psychiatric comorbidities were covariates. Significance was set at p < 0.05. SPSS 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for all statistical analyses.
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### Table 1. Demographic data and neuropsychological tests in patients with opioid use disorder

| Variable                        | Baseline ALDH2 active genotype (n = 40) | ALDH2 inactive genotypes (n = 46) | p value | Endpoint ALDH2 active genotype (n = 26) | ALDH2 inactive genotypes (n = 35) | p value |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Age (yr)                        | 37.4 ± 9.2                             | 37.1 ± 5.6                        | 0.88    | 36.5 ± 9.2                             | 36.5 ± 5.7                        | 0.98    |
| Sex (male/female)               | 33/7                                   | 41/5                              | 0.38    | 24/2                                   | 30/5                              | 0.42    |
| Disease duration (yr)           | 6.7 ± 6.0a                             | 7.2 ± 5.2b                        | 0.69    | 5.7 ± 5.9                              | 6.7 ± 4.8                         | 0.49    |
| Methadone dose (mg)             | 32.13 ± 27.78                          | 34.13 ± 22.62                     | 0.71    | 39.81 ± 20.37                          | 40.06 ± 25.57                     | 0.97    |
| Psychiatric comorbidities       | 11 (27.5)                              | 12 (26.1)                         | 0.88    | 9 (34.6)                               | 11 (31.4)                         | 0.79    |
| CPT Omission T-scores           | 58.91 ± 41.68                          | 61.20 ± 32.87                     | 0.77    | 53.68 ± 15.56                          | 64.04 ± 46.89                     | 0.28    |
| CPT Commission T-scores         | 47.38 ± 11.58                          | 50.50 ± 11.38                     | 0.21    | 45.76 ± 10.97                          | 51.28 ± 11.81                     | 0.07    |
| CPT HRT T-scores                | 52.00 ± 14.48                          | 47.91 ± 14.16                     | 0.19    | 54.95 ± 14.75                          | 46.76 ± 13.31                     | 0.03*   |
| CPT HRT SE T-scores             | 53.49 ± 13.86                          | 52.80 ± 17.20                     | 0.84    | 58.35 ± 12.44                          | 53.77 ± 14.03                     | 0.19    |
| WMS-R Verbal Memory Index       | 92.90 ± 16.44                          | 90.07 ± 16.49                     | 0.44    | 101.40 ± 20.86                         | 98.18 ± 20.14                     | 0.61    |
| WMS-R Visual Memory Index       | 98.95 ± 11.75                          | 94.47 ± 14.97                     | 0.14    | 113.15 ± 16.38                         | 99.23 ± 14.62                     | 0.006*  |
| WMS-R General Memory Index      | 95.23 ± 17.56                          | 90.14 ± 16.65                     | 0.18    | 106.15 ± 19.74                         | 99.00 ± 19.94                     | 0.25    |
| WMS-R Attention/Concentration Index | 103.43 ± 15.47                  | 102.60 ± 13.39                    | 0.80    | 110.50 ± 11.82                         | 106.45 ± 15.27                    | 0.35    |
| WMS-R Delayed Recall Index      | 97.34 ± 15.77f                         | 96.11 ± 16.83g                    | 0.75    | 106.11 ± 18.22                         | 102.19 ± 21.13f                   | 0.54    |

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation, number only, or number (%). ALDH2 active genotype: ALDH2*1/*1 genotype; ALDH2 inactive genotypes: ALDH2*1/*2 and *2/*2 genotypes.

**ALDH2, aldehyde dehydrogenase 2; CPT, Conner’s continuous performance test; HRT, hit reaction time; SE, standard error; WMS-R, Wechsler memory scale-revised.**

*n = 37; †n = 41; ‡n = 35; a = 19; b = 21. *p < 0.05.

### RESULTS

We enrolled 86 participants in our study, and 61 completed the assessments. At baseline, 46.5% of the patients had the ALDH2 active genotype, and, at the endpoint, 42.6% had it. Genotype distribution of the ALDH2 loci was in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium at baseline and endpoint (p > 0.1). The demographic data of age, sex, disease duration, methadone dose, psychiatric comorbidities, and neurocognitive function were assessed using CPT and WMS-R. At baseline, there were no significant differences between the ALDH2 active and inactive genotypes in demographic data or CPT and WMS-R scores (Table 1). However, OUD patients with the ALDH2 active genotype had significantly higher HRT T-scores and WMS-R visual memory index than did patients with the ALDH2 inactive genotypes at the endpoint (p = 0.03 and p = 0.006, respectively) (Table 1).

After covarying for age, sex, methadone dose, disease duration, treatment duration, and psychiatric comorbidities, the GEE analysis showed significantly different changes of commission and HRT T-scores on the CPT and WMS-R visual memory index in OUD patients with different ALDH2 genotypes after 12 weeks of MMT (p = 0.03, 0.04, and 0.03, respectively) (Table 2).

Furthermore, OUD patients with the ALDH2 inactive genotypes had significantly higher commission T- and significantly lower HRT T-scores than did those with the ALDH2 active genotype during MMT (Figs. 1, 2, Table 2). OUD patients with the ALDH2 inactive genotypes also had significantly lower scores on the WMS-R visual memory index than did OUD patients with the ALDH2 active genotype (Fig. 3, Table 2).

### DISCUSSION

This is the first study that shows the effect of the ALDH2 gene polymorphisms on cognitive functions in OUD patients undergoing MMT. We found that OUD patients with the ALDH2 inactive genotypes performed significantly less well in the attention and memory domain than did patients with the ALDH2 active genotype. OUD patients with ALDH2 inactive genotypes might react more impulsively, make more commission errors and have lower reaction times, and have poorer visual memory function during MMT than do those with the ALDH2 active
Table 2. Comparisons of the changes in neuropsychological tests after 12 weeks of MMT in groups with different \textit{ALDH2} gene polymorphisms

| Neuropsychological tests | \textit{ALDH2} inactive genotypes | \textit{B} | Wald $\chi^2$ | $p$ value |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|--------------|----------|
| CPT                      |                               |         |              |          |
| Omission T-scores        | 7.07                          | 1.60    | 0.21         |          |
| Commission T-scores      | 4.56                          | 4.67    | 0.03*        |          |
| HRT T-scores             | -5.18                         | 4.23    | 0.04*        |          |
| HRT SE T-scores          | -2.03                         | 0.64    | 0.42         |          |
| WMS-R                    |                               |         |              |          |
| Verbal Memory Index      | -3.29                         | 1.27    | 0.26         |          |
| Visual Memory Index      | -5.42                         | 4.89    | 0.03*        |          |
| General Memory Index     | -5.01                         | 2.80    | 0.09         |          |
| Attention/Concentration Index | -0.33            | 0.02    | 0.90         |          |
| Delayed Recall Index     | -2.55                         | 0.54    | 0.46         |          |

Reference group: \textit{ALDH2} active genotype. Covarying for age, sex, methadone dose, disease duration, visits, and psychiatric comorbidities.

MMT, methadone maintenance therapy; \textit{ALDH2}, aldehyde dehydrogenase 2; CPT, Conner’s continuous performance test; HRT, hit reaction time; SE, standard error; WMS-R, Wechsler memory scale-revised.

*\textit{p} < 0.05.

Acetaldehyde is well-known for its influence on drinking behavior and for the risk of developing alcohol dependence [40,41]. \textit{ALDH2} is also one of the major \textit{ALDH} isozymes that catalyzes the oxidation of dopamine [37], which is an important neurotransmitter for addiction. Dopamine is metabolized by monoamine oxidase and forms DOPAL (3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetaldehyde), a...
DOPAL is subsequently oxidized to DOPAC (3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid) by ALDH [42,44]. Therefore, the ALDH2 gene-encoded enzyme is functionally associated with the level of DOPAL [42,44]. Patients with ALDH2 inactive genotypes might accumulate a higher level of DOPAL because the ALDH2 inactive genotypes-encoded enzymes are inactive forms that metabolize DOPAL [44]. Because ALDH2 is widely expressed in the frontal and temporal cortex, hippocampus, midbrain, basal ganglia, cerebellum, glial cells, and neurons [45-47], the accumulation of DOPAL can consequently impair neurocognitive function, e.g., memory function. Animal studies report that ALDH2 knockdown (ALDH2−/−) mice had significantly higher-than-normal hippocampal levels of toxic protein and peptides, and had age-related memory deficits and brain atrophy [17]. Human meta-analysis study also showed that ALDH2 inactive genotypes increased the risk of Alzheimer’s disease in East Asian men [48]. Consistent with other findings, we found that OUD patients with the ALDH2 inactive genotypes had poorer visual memory function. Taking all these data together supports the notion that the ALDH2*2 allele is associated with impaired memory function in OUD patients.

Impulsivity, associated with motor or response disinhibition, is commonly associated with addiction [49]. In addition, increased toxic aldehyde levels were found in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder [50], which partly suggested that the deficient ALDH2 enzyme that causes aldehyde to accumulate might be associate with inattention and impulsivity. We previously reported that impulsive personality traits like novelty seeking were affected by the ALDH2 genotypes in heroin-dependent patients [51]. Heroin-dependent patients with at least one ALDH2*2 allele (*1/*2 or *2/*2 genotype) had significantly higher novelty seeking scores [51]. We extended the scope of other studies by objectively measuring the cognitive function associated with inhibitory controls and attention. We found that, on the CPT, OUD patients with the ALDH2 inactive genotypes reacted more impulsively and had more attentional problems, more commission errors, and lower reaction times than did those with the ALDH2 active genotype. Response-inhibition deficits, specifically those related to inattention and impulsive behaviors, have been related to poorer clinical treatment outcomes in addicted patients [52]. Therefore, OUD patients with the ALDH2 inactive genotypes might have poorer outcomes after they undergo MMT.

Because the ALDH2 enzyme is required to metabolize aldehyde, it is important to highlight that ALDH2 deficiency is one of the most common enzymopathies in humans: it affects an estimated 560 million (8%) of the world population [53]. ALDH2 deficiency is endemic in East Asia and is common in China, Japan, Korea, Taiwan, and Singapore [54]. The highest ALDH2 deficiency prevalence is in Taiwan: about half of its Han Chinese population has the ALDH2*2 allele [40,41]. The ethnicity difference is important for studying the risk of developing OUD and the treatment outcomes [55,56]. Unfortunately, other studies focused only on the effects of ALDH2 gene polymorphisms in modulating alcohol-related cognitive function [19,20] and ignored the changes caused by other classes of substance abuse. Kim et al. [19] found that the psychomotor performance of patients with ALDH2*1/*2 was significantly poorer than that of those with the ALDH2*1/*1 genotype after alcohol consumption. Our study extended the research population OUD patients and agreed with the findings of Kim et al. [19], which suggested that the ALDH2*2 allele was associated with substance-abuse-related neuropsychological function impairments. Additional studies to investigate whether ALDH2 gene polymorphisms also affect cognitive functions in other classes of substance use disorders, such as stimulants, might be needed.

Limitations

Our study has some limitations. This is the first study that tests the hypothesis that the ALDH2 gene polymorphisms are associated with neuropsychological function in OUD patients undergoing MMT. The sample size was modest; larger samples are needed. Although we controlled for some factors that might affect changes in cognitive function, other factors, e.g., the severity of comorbid psychiatric disorders, might also have affected our results. In addition, all our patients were undergoing MMT, which might have affected their attention and memory performances. Although we tried to control for the methadone dose in our analysis to reduce its effect, additional studies are required to generalize our results to abstinent former opioid abusers who did not undergo MMT. We excluded those who took other psychiatric
medication, except methadone, in this study. However, some of the patients may still take other psychotropic agents by themselves without informing us, which may also affect their results in neuropsychiatric tests. Therefore, our findings should be interpreted with caution.

**Conclusion**

OUD patients with the ALDH2 inactive genotypes performed worse in cognitive domains of attention, impulse control, and memory than did those with the ALDH2 active genotype. We conclude that the ALDH2 gene is important in OUD and might be associated with MMT outcomes in OUD patients. Our findings must be replicated in larger samples and studies with longer follow-ups.
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