Comparative SEM Observation of Silver-Nitrate at Resin-Dentin Interface: Nanoleakage Study
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Abstract

Background: Most of one-bottle self-etch dental adhesives or so-called “all-in-one” system contains a mixture of hydrophilic and hydrophobic components that are dissolved in an organic solvent. This variability between the adhesives would affect their interaction with tooth structures. Flaw in this interaction, represented by poor dentin hybridization might affect the treatment success. Thus, the objective of the study was to compare between two different all-in-one self-etch adhesives, by examining the nanoleakage expression at the dentin-resin interface.

Methodology: Two all-in-one self-etch adhesives; water-based Tetric-N bond adhesive (TN; Ivoclar/Vivadent) and ethanol/water-based Tri-S bond plus (TS; Kuraray Noritake) adhesive, were used for bonding resin composite to human dentin. In order to prepare the specimen for nanoleakage evaluation, all specimens were sectioned, coated with nail-varnish and subjected to ammoniacal silver nitrate solution followed by developing solution. Then, images were taken under scanning electron microscope (SEM) and investigated by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) to trace the silver grains at the dentin-resin interface.

Result: Unlike in TS group that did not show silver deposition throughout the bonded area, the TN group showed heavy silver deposition along the hybrid layer as well as throughout the adhesive layer using SEM/EDS.

Conclusion: It can be concluded that water-based all-in-one adhesive would adversely affect the longevity of polymeric dental restoration as it showed heavy silver deposition along the dentin-resin interface.

Introduction

Nanoleakage can be defined as porosities within the hybrid layer zone of dentin-resin interface in area that was not filled with polymerized resin. Dentin hybridization is challenging as the dentine surface is a heterogeneous vital substrate with a low surface energy and outward dentinal fluid flow [1]. The quality of dentin hybridization is influenced by the formula of the functional monomers [2]. The functional monomer methacryloxydiethyl-dihydrogen-phosphate (10-MDP) has shown great binding affinity to hydroxyapatite (Ca-P) as it was reported in the literature [2,3]. Poor dentin hybridization with incomplete monomer penetration into the demineralized or hybridized dentin zone could lead to marginal discoloration, recurrent caries, loss of the restoration, and post-operative tooth sensitivity [4]. It has shown that the acid-etch technique was successful in enamel bonding and has effectively eliminated the microleakage at the enamel-restoration interface. However, dentin hybridization is more challenging as the dentine surface is a heterogeneous vital substrate with low surface energy and outward dentinal fluid flow [1]. Incomplete monomer penetration into the demineralized dentin or poor dentin hybridization could negatively affect the bonding longevity that can be measured using dye penetration tests [1,2]. The degree of dye penetration depends on the deepness of the demineralized dentin as well as the ability of the adhesive system to penetrate into the dentinal tubules [4]. In addition, the interaction of these adhesives with dentin is mainly dependent on the functional monomers and the solvents [5].

Water is an essential component in one-step self-etch adhesive or so-called “all-in-one” adhesive system. The investigator F. Tay et al. showed that incomplete water removal from the hybrid layer using one-step self-etch adhesives would result in water-tree nanoleakage pattern that leads to regional suboptimal polymerization. Moreover, even upon following manufacturer instructions, nanoleakage in some self-etch adhesives still may occur even in the absence of dentin perfusion due to the variation in the adhesive formula and their bonding approach [6-8].
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Therefore, considering the importance of different bonding strategies, composition and solvent contained in adhesive systems and the influence of these factors may have on the quality of bonding to dentin, the aim of this in-vitro study was to qualitatively compare between water-based and water/ethanol-based one-step self-etch adhesives by examining the silver nitrate uptake at dentin-resin interface. The tested hypothesis was there is no difference between water-based and water/ethanol-based all-in-one adhesives in the silver nitrate uptake.

Material and methods

The selection and usage of the ten extracted non-caries premolar teeth were carried out in this study according to the guideline approved by King Abdulaziz University ethical committees and in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975.

Experimental design

Two one-step self-etch dental adhesives; Tetric-N bond self-etch adhesive (TN; Ivoclar/Vivadent, Liechtenstein) and Tri-S bond plus adhesive (TS; Kurary Noritake, Japan) were used in this study. The composition of the restorative materials is listed in Table 1.

The teeth were stored in thymol solution for a month before use. The occlusal third of each tooth was trimmed to expose the underlying superficial dentine surface, which was later polished by 600-grit SiC paper under running water for 1 min to create a standardized smear layer.

The teeth samples were divided into two experimental groups (TN, TS). Each group (n=5) was bonded with one of the adhesives (TN, TS) and light-cured according to the manufacturer instructions. Then, Clearfil Majesty Posterior (Kurary Noritake, Japan) resin composite was placed on the occlusal surface in two layers, each within 1.5 mm thickness. Each composite layer was light-cured according to the manufacturer’s recommendations using LED light cure (1200mW/cm²).

Nanoleakage preparation

To complete resin composite setting, the samples were stored in 37°C distilled water for 24 hrs. After that, the samples were sectioned longitudinally to approximately 2 mm thickness serial slabs (3 slabs/sample) using low-speed sawing machine with water lubricant (IsoMet, Buehler, USA). The specimen slabs were polished with 1200-grit SiC paper under running water for 1 min to create a standardized smear layer.

Ammonical silver nitrate was prepared in dark room by dissolution of 25 mg of silver nitrate (Sigma Chemical, USA) in 25 ml of distilled water. Then, ammonium hydroxide was added drop by drop to titrate the black solution until it became clear. The sectioned slabs with polish nail varnish were immersed in the silver nitrate solution for 24 hrs. After that, the slabs were washed with distilled water and placed in a photo-developer solution for 8 hrs under florescent light to convert the silver/diamine silver ions into metallic silver grains [9].

The silver-stained resin-bonded specimens were trimmed by 1200-

| Table 1. Restorative materials used in this study. |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| **Material (Manufacturer)** Code | **Ingredients** | **Lot no.** | **Direction of use** |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| Tetric N- Bond Self-Etch (Ivoclar/Vivadent) TN pH: 1.5 | - Bis-acrylamide derivative | S22554 | 1- Apply a thick layer of the adhesive and brush in for at least 30 sec. |
| | - Bis-methacrylamide dihydrogen phosphate | | 2- Disperse excess amounts of the adhesive with a strong stream of air until there is no longer any movement of the material. |
| | - amino acid acrylamide | | 3- Polymerize the adhesive for 10 sec (>500 mW/cm²). |
| | - hydroxyl alkyl methacrylamide | | |
| | - diphenyl (2,4,6-trimethylbenzoyl) phosphine oxide | | |
| | - Nano-fillers (SiO₂) | | |
| | - Initiators | | |
| | - Water | | |
| | - Stabilizers | | |
| Tri-S Bond Plus (Kurary Noritake dental) TS pH: 2.3 | - 10-MDP | 3B0009 | 1- Apply bond for 10 sec. |
| | - Bis-GMA | | 2- Dry with mild pressure air flow for 5 sec. |
| | -HEMA | | 3- Light-cure for 10 sec. |
| | - Hydrophilic aliphatic dimethacrylate | | |
| | - Hydrophobic aliphatic methacrylate | | |
| | - Colloidal silica | | |
| | - Sodium fluoride | | |
| | - Photoinitiators | | |
| | - Accelerators | | |
| | - Initiators | | |
| | - Ethanol | | |
| | - Water | | |
| Clearfil Majesty Posterior composite restoration (Kurary Noritake dental) | - Bis-GMA | 0122AA | Curing for 20 sec using LED. |
| | - TEGDMA | | |
| | - Silanated silica | | |
| | - Filler | | |
| | - Silanated glass ceramics | | |
| | - Surface treated alumina microfiller | | |
| | - Hydrophobic aromatic | | |
| | - Dimethacrylate | | |
| | - Others | | |

* Abbreviations: 10-MDP; 10-methacryloyloxydecyl dihydrogenphosphate, HEMA; 2- hydroxyethyl methacrylate, Bis-GMA; bisphenol A glycerolate dimethacrylate, TEGDMA; triethylene glycol dimethacrylate.
grit SiC paper under running water for 2 min then polished using diamond pastes and polishing films in a descending order (6, 3, 1 µm) followed by ultra-sonication in a water bath for 10 min.

**Ultra-structural observation**

The dentine specimens were mounted on aluminum stubs, dehydrated, coated with gold sputter and observed under the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (JCM-6000 Plus Versatile Benchtop, Japan) equipped with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) for element analysis to detect silver deposition and the nanoleakage expression along the bonded interface. The EDS detection of the present elements Ag, P, Ca, Si at the dentin-resin interface were assessed by the EDS graphs software (SEM/EDS; JEOL, Japan).

**Results**

Characterization of the interfacial morphology of TN and TS groups and the distribution of silver grains along the resin-dentine interface by SEM/EDS are shown in Figures 2.3. The TN group showed thick adhesive layer (≥20 µm) infiltrated with distinct reticular silver depositions (Figure 2). In addition, heavy silver deposition along dentin-resin interface and extended into the hybrid layer were also perceived (Figure 2). In contrast, TS group showed thin adhesive layer (≤10 µm) and the dentin-resin interface was almost diminished of silver particles as it was confirmed by SEM/EDS (Figure 3).

**Discussion**

Many studies investigated microleakage expression at the dentin-resin interface; however, the nanoleakage expression might address very detailed concerns [1,10-13]. Nanoleakage expression is an effective method for the identification of these degradation pathways and uses silver nitrate as a nanoleakage tracer [14]. These nanoleakage tracers were successfully detected by transmission electron microscope and SEM and their existence was confirmed by EDS [11,15,16].

Many report in the literature attributed the formation of blisters or voids within the adhesive or the hybrid layer to functional monomer-hydroxyapatite interaction, decomposition of the adhesive monomer, poor resin tags and monomer infiltration as well as incomplete removal of the solvent/water from the adhesive layer [7,11,12,17-19].

Organic solvents are utilized in all-in-one adhesives to blind the hydrophobic and hydrophilic monomers within the adhesive

---

**Figure 1.** A drawing graph is showing the experimental design and sample preparation for nanoleakage evaluation.

**Figure 2.** Graphs representing SEM/EDS findings at resin-dentine interface after silver penetration of TN group. Apparently, traces of silver grains through resin-dentine interface in this group can be detected as indicated by the index pointers on SEM image and it was confirmed by EDS. CR, Composite resin; Ad, Adhesive; D, Dentin; Ag, Silver, Ag, Calcium; Si, Silica; C, Carbon; P, Phosphate.

**Figure 3.** Graphs representing SEM/EDS results at resin-dentine interface after silver penetration of TS group. The hybrid layer in TS group presented scantydeposits of silver particles throughout resin-dentine interface in this group as it wasaparent on SEM image and they wereundetectable by EDS. CR, Composite resin; Ad, Adhesive; D, Dentin; Ag, Silver, Ca, Calcium; Si, Silica; C, Carbon; P, Phosphate.
bottle. Some adhesive contains alcohol, acetone or water, while other adhesives contain a combination of these solvents. In some circumstances, prolonged adhesive application beyond the manufacturer recommendations or delayed adhesive curing could lead to rapid solvent evaporation, which could cause monomer-solvent phase separation [8,20]. Interestingly, the TN adhesive contains only water as solvent (20-30% by wt), which has a low vapor pressure (2.3 kPa at 20°C). This means it requires a prolong time to evaporate and possibly may not be completely removed from the adhesive in adhesive drying-step before curing [21]. Consequently, the water remnants will be incorporated within the hybrid layer as voids and act as suboptimal polymerization zones within the adhesive causing chemically assisted degradation and adhesive failure [6,21-23]. On the other hand, the solvents in TS adhesive are water and ethanol (vapor pressure: 5.95 kPa at 20°C). It can be speculated that the ethanol contents may contributed to the rapid water removal from the adhesive and hybrid layer before curing, which positively diminished the nanoleakage from the hybrid layer and enhanced the superior outcomes of this group [21]. The poor effect was confirmed at nano-scale using silver-nitrate contrasting agent. However, combining water with ethanol would improve adhesive interaction with hard tooth structure.

The capability of 10-MDP monomer in providing consistently stable and strong bonds to hydroxyapatite has been reported [3,24,25]. Unlike in TN adhesive, TS adhesive contains 10-MDP monomer that incorporates a chemical interaction with Ca-P in dentin and promote for superior interfacial bonding as it was observed at dentin-resin interface [2,26]. Moreover, the lack of silver infiltration in TS adhesive could be attributed to the fluoride contents in the adhesive, which may possibly enhanced the interfacial bonding with the dentin [21,23]. For TN adhesive, our findings are coinciding with previous report on the association of water-based adhesive with poor mechanical properties and heavy interfacial silver deposition along the interface [18,27]. Moreover, the low pH value of TN adhesive (1.5) facilitated monomers infiltration deep into the dentin, however incomplete water removal had led to silver deposition at deeper level of the hybrid layer.

Lastly, the manufacturer recommended applying a thick layer of TN adhesive, followed by a strong air-drying before polymerization. However, the adhesive layer was still thicker in comparison to TS adhesive (Figure 2 and 3). The increased adhesive thickness in TN group promoted more water trapping throughout the adhesive and confirm our previous speculation. Moreover, the air-drying step in TN adhesive was not controlled by a time frame, but until no movement of the material, which is subjective and based on operators’ decision.

Therefore, the tested hypothesis was rejected as the water alone has an adverse effect on the interfacial interaction between dental adhesive and tooth structure. The poor effect was confirmed at nano-scale using silver nitrate contrasting agent. However, combining water with ethanol would improve adhesive interaction with hard tooth structure.

**Conclusion**

It can be concluded that water-based all-in-one adhesive would adversely affect the longevity of polymeric dental restoration. The current study suggests that prolong air-drying for these water-based self-etch adhesives is recommended, however their performance in a long-term degradation process should be carefully evaluated.
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