Minimum 5-year Follow-up Results of Minimally Invasive Total Knee Arthroplasty Using Mini-Keel Modular Tibial Implant
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Purpose: To evaluate the minimum 5-year mid-term clinical and radiological results of minimally invasive surgery total knee arthroplasty (MIS-TKA) using a mini-keel modular tibial component.

Materials and Methods: We retrospectively evaluated 254 patients (361 cases) who underwent MIS-TKA between 2005 and 2006. The latest clinical and radiological assessments were done in 168 cases that had been followed on an outpatient basis for more than 5 postoperative years. Clinical results were assessed using the Hospital for Special Surgery (HSS) score and Knee Society score. Radiological evaluation included measurements of knee alignment.

Results: The average postoperative knee range of motion and HSS score were 134.3°±12.4° and 92.7°±7.0°, respectively. The average postoperative femorotibial angle and tibial component alignment angle were 5.2°±1.7° valgus and 90.2°±1.6°, respectively. The average tibial component posterior inclination was 4.8°±2.1°. The percentage of cases with tibial component alignment angle of 90°±3° was 96.1%, and that with the femorotibial angle of 6°±3° was 94.0%. Radiolucent lines were observed in 20 cases (12.0%): around the femur, tibia, and patella in 14 cases, 10 cases, and 1 case, respectively. However, they were less than 2 mm and non-progressive in all cases. The survival rate was 99.4% and there was no implant-related revision.

Conclusions: MIS-TKA using a mini-keel modular tibial plate showed satisfactory results, a high survival rate, and excellent clinical and radiological results in the mid-term follow-up.
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Introduction

Minimally invasive surgery total knee arthroplasty (MIS-TKA) requires downsizing or modification of surgical equipment due to the small field of view; medial/lateral or proximal/distal movement of a retractor to secure an adequate field of view; extension/flexion or medial/lateral rotation of the knee joint during surgery; and, above all, continuous development of surgical techniques and cooperation of the members of a surgical team1-5). In addition, the use of an implant designed specifically for MIS can improve the efficiency of the surgery. The mini-keel modular tibial implant (Nexgen MIS Tibial Component; Zimmer Inc., Warsaw, IN, USA) is one of those inventions that can be inserted into the knee in flexed position without anterior dislocation of the knee joint due to the presence of a short keel in the tibial component (Fig. 1)6). This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.
Materials and Methods

1. Patients
A total of 375 TKAs were performed by the same surgeon at our institution between November 2005 and October 2006. Of those, 361 TKAs were performed via minimally invasive approach in 254 patients, and surgical outcomes and intraoperative complications were investigated among these patients. Clinical and radiological assessments were performed in patients who were available for more than 5-year follow-up. In all cases, the Nexgen Legacy Posterior Stabilized Flex Fixed Bearing (LPS Flex Fixed, Zimmer Inc.) and the Nexgen MIS Tibial Component were used. The mean age of the patients was 68.8±5.6 years (range, 53 to 80 years). The mean body mass index was 27±3.7 kg/m² (range, 20.6 to 36.8 kg/m²). The indications for surgery were degenerative arthritis in 165 cases, rheumatoid arthritis in 2 cases, and osteonecrosis in 1 case. Preoperatively, the mean range of motion (ROM) was 125.2°±17.7° (range, 70° to 145°), the mean knee score was 65.0±7.6 points (range, 22 to 82 points), and the mean femorotibial angle was 4.0°±5.6° varus (range, 21° varus to 2° valgus).

Clinical and radiological assessments were conducted at the last follow-up in 168 cases that were available for more than 5-year follow-up on an outpatient basis. One hundred and forty-one cases that were available for a phone interview only in January 2013 were excluded from the clinical evaluation and so were the 79 cases (due to death in 23, nursing home admission in 10, intertrochanteric fracture in 4, distal femur fracture in 3, transtibial amputation in 1, infection-related revision in 1, immigration to a foreign country in 1, and loss of contact in 36 cases).

2. Surgical Technique and Rehabilitation
A curved skin incision was made from 5 mm above the medial patella to the superior aspect of the medial tibial tuberosity. In cases where severe skin retraction was observed or there was difficulty with the operation or implant insertion, the skin incision was extended gradually proximally or distally to avoid excessive tension on the skin. Then, the joint was excised using the minimidvastus approach: a 1.5 cm proximal incision was started superomedial to the patella in parallel to the vastus medialis muscle fibers and extended along the medial patella to 3 cm distal to the knee joint surface. Subsequently, patellar osteotomy was performed to obtain sufficient field of view. The patella was resurfaced in all cases. A 6° valgus intramedullary alignment rod attached to a distal cutting guide was inserted into the center of the knee joint where the anatomical axis of the femur passes though. With the distal cutting guide pin fixed on the medial aspect of the medial femoral condyle, a distal femoral cut was made on the medial aspect of the femur.

A proximal tibial cut was performed using an extramedullary alignment rod as in conventional TKA. Using the cutting guide, the osteotomy was initiated on the anteromedial aspect of the tibia and extended to involve approximately more than 80% of the proximal tibia except for some posterolateral and lateral areas. Due to the limited field of view, the osteotomy in the posterolateral aspect was performed using a free hand technique.

The rotational alignment of the femur was determined in reference to the posterior condylar axis. At the same time, the appearance of the ‘grand-piano sign’ on the anterior resected surface of the femur was confirmed before resection.

With the tibial component temporarily press-fitted, a 45 mm drop-down stem extension was additionally inserted to increase the contact surface between the tibial component and the tibia and fixation strength.

For the 3 postoperative days, a deep vein thrombosis (DVT) foot pump was used to prevent DVT without administration of thrombolytic agents. Immediately postoperatively, knee joint exercises using a passive motion machine were initiated. Within 2 postoperative days, ambulation was permitted depending on the
patient’s condition.

3. Clinical and Radiological Evaluation

On the postoperative clinical evaluation, the Hospital for Special Surgery (HSS) score, Knee Society score (KSS)\(^{(10)}\), and ROM were assessed at the last follow-up in the 168 cases that were available for more than 5-year follow-up. On the radiological evaluation, the femorotibial angle, tibial component alignment angle, and tibial component posterior inclination were assessed on the standing anteroposterior and lateral views taken at the last follow-up according to the Knee Society Total Knee Arthroplasty Roentgenographic Evaluation\(^{(11)}\). In addition, implant location and fixation status were assessed. To assess the implant fixation status, the bone-cement or cement-implant contact area was divided into 7 zones on the lateral view for the femoral component, whereas into 7 zones on the anteroposterior view and 3 zones on the lateral view for the tibial component. The width of radiolucent lines on 5 different zones on the skyline view was measured to assess the stability of the patellar component. Presence of a ≥2-mm-wide radiolucent line, implant subsidence, or change in the alignment was considered as a sign of radiological loosening.

4. Statistical Analysis

SPSS ver. 12.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Preoperative and postoperative clinical findings were compared using a t-test with statistical significance set at \(p<0.05\). Kaplan-Meier analysis was used to estimate the implant survival rate.

Results

1. Clinical and Radiological Results

The mean follow-up period was 6 years and 1 month (minimum, 5 years; maximum, 7 years and 7 months). The mean postoperative femorotibial angle was 5.2°±1.7° valgus (range, 2.6° to 8.1°) and the mean tibial component alignment angle was 90.2°±1.6° varus (range, 86.5° to 93.4°). The mean tibial component posterior inclination was 4.8°±2.1° (range, 1.9° to 8.1°). The femorotibial alignment angle was 6°±3° valgus in 340 of the 361 cases (94.0%) and the tibial component alignment angle was 0°±3° varus in 347 cases (96.1%) (Table 1).

The mean ROM was increased from 125.2°±17.7° preoperatively to 134.3°±12.4° postoperatively. The mean postoperative KSS was 94.5±5.9 points (range, 68 to 100 points), function score was 84.8±15.6 points (range, 54 to 100 points), and HSS was 92.7±7.0 points (range, 62 to 100 points), all of which were significantly improved after surgery (Table 2).

Table 1. Postoperative Data

| Characteristic                        | Mean±standard deviation (range)               |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Operation time (min)                  | 87.5±12.4 (57–123)                             |
| Incision length (cm)                  | 8.3±0.7 (5.8–10)                               |
| Total blood loss (mL)                 | 1076±339 (250–1810)                            |
| Femorotibial angle (°)                | 5.2±1.7 valgus (2.6 valgus–8.1 valgus)        |
| Tibial component alignment angle (°)  | 0.2±1.6 varus (3.5 varus–3.4 varus)           |
| Tibial component posterior inclination (°) | 4.8±2.1 (1.9–8.1)                             |
| Postoperative femorotibial angle in 6°±3° valgus | 94.0%                                        |
| Tibial component alignment angle in 0°±3° | 96.1%                                        |

Table 2. Minimum Postoperative 5-Year Clinical Assessment (n=168)

| Variable                     | Preoperative | Last F/U |
|-----------------------------|--------------|----------|
| Range of motion (°)         | 125.2±17.7   | 134.3±12.4 |
| Knee Society knee score     | 38.4±13.9    | 94.5±5.9  |
| Knee Society functional score | 47.9±11.6   | 84.8±15.6 |
| Hospital for Special Surgery score | 64.9±7.4    | 92.7±7.0  |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation. \(p\)-value<0.001. F/U: follow-up.

Table 3. Incidence of Radiolucent Lines in Each Radiographic Zone

| Site                | Zone (case) |
|---------------------|-------------|
|                     | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 |
| Femur               | 8  1 0 8 0 0 0 |
| Tibia               |             |
| Anteroposterior     | 9 3 0 1 1 0 1 |
| Lateral             | 0 0 2       |
| Patella             | 1 0 0       |
Revision was not necessary in all cases based on the last follow-up radiological assessment which showed no evidence of femoral/tibial component alignment change, subsidence, or loosening. Radiolucency was observed in more than one zone in the bone-implant interface in 22 cases (13.1%) at the last follow-up: in the femur and tibia both in 4 cases, in the femur only in 10 cases, in the tibia only in 7 cases, and in the patella only in 1 case. However, all of which were less than 2-mm non-progressive radiolucent lines. The components demonstrated stable fixation without signs of alignment changes or loosening in all cases. The radiolucency was observed in 1 zone in 15 cases, in 2 zones in 4 cases, and in more than 3 zones in 3 cases. In the femur, it was located in zone 1 in 8 cases, in zone 2 in 1 case, and in zone 4 in 8 cases. In the tibia, it was observed in zone 1 in 9 cases, in zone 2 in 3 cases, and in zones 4, 5, and 7 in 1 case each on the anteroposterior view whereas in zone 3 in 2 cases on the lateral view. In the patella, it was found in zone 1 in 1 case (Table 3).

2. Survivorship

The mean survival rate per Kaplan-Meier analysis was 99.4% at 6.1 years (Fig. 2). Revision was required due to component loosening in one of the 168 cases that were followed for more than 5 years.

Discussion

Determining whether MIS is an appropriate treatment option in TKA requires assessments on its conformity to standard operating principles, such as flexion/extension gap balancing, restoration of proper alignment, prevention of intraoperative complications, and achievement of expected surgical outcome.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^12\)\(^,\)\(^13\)\(^,\)\(^14\)\(^,\)\(^15\)\(^,\)\(^16\)\(^,\)\(^17\)\(^,\)\(^18\)\(^,\)\(^19\)\(^,\)\(^20\)\(^,\)\(^21\)\(^,\)\(^22\)\(^,\)\(^23\)\(^,\)\(^24\)\(^,\)\(^25\)\(^,\)\(^26\)\(^,\)\(^27\)\(^,\)\(^28\)\(^,\)\(^29\)\(^,\)\(^30\)\(^,\)\(^31\)\(^,\)\(^32\)\(^,\)\(^33\)\(^,\)\(^34\)\(^,\)\(^35\)\(^,\)\(^36\)\(^,\)\(^37\)\(^,\)\(^38\)\(^,\)\(^39\)\(^,\)\(^40\)

In the current study, the MIS-TKA demonstrated relatively high accuracy: the tibial component alignment angle was 0°±3° varus in 347 of the 361 cases (96.1%) and the femorotibial angle was 6°±3° valgus in 340 cases (94.0%). This can be attributed to the proper placement of the cutting alignment guide during surgery in spite of the limited field of view, considering that femoral and tibial alignments are dictated by the intramedullary/extramedullary alignment guide. During surgery, we expected the side cutting technique for distal femoral cut would result in minimal soft tissue damage and verified firm attachment of the distal cutting guide to the 6° valgus intramedullary alignment rod for precise osteotomy in the medial condyle of the distal femur. For lateral femoral resection, this intramedullary alignment rod should be removed: thus, the remaining distal cutting guide on the medial aspect of the medial femoral condyle can be displaced by soft tissue during the procedure. In addition, the osteotomy should be carried out in the absence of proper field of view, rendering it difficult to conduct precise resection. To overcome this obstacle, we removed the distal cutting guide as well and used a free hand technique for lateral femoral condyle resection by referring to the resected surface of the medial femoral condyle.

With experience, MIS-TKA can be performed through a small incision without applying excessive tension on the surrounding soft tissue; however, implant insertion can still be challenging if the field of view is extremely limited.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^14\)\(^,\)\(^19\)\(^,\)\(^23\)\(^,\)\(^24\)\(^,\)\(^25\)\(^,\)\(^26\)\(^,\)\(^27\)\(^,\)\(^28\)\(^,\)\(^29\)\(^,\)\(^30\)\(^,\)\(^31\)\(^,\)\(^32\)\(^,\)\(^33\)\(^,\)\(^34\)\(^,\)\(^35\)\(^,\)\(^36\)\(^,\)\(^37\)\(^,\)\(^38\)\(^,\)\(^39\)\(^,\)\(^40\)

In order to insert conventional tibial components that have long stems, the tibial resection surface should be sufficiently anteriorly dislocated relative to the femoral resection surface with the knee in flexion. This may be not feasible if the incision is small, result in complications caused by excessive tension on the adjacent tissue, or require additional soft tissue resection. The modular tibial implant (NexGen MIS Precoat Stemmed Tibial Plate & drop-down stem extensions) was designed to overcome these disadvantages by allowing implant insertion in knee flexion without anterior dislocation of the tibia through a small field of view.

The modular tibial implant is made of titanium alloy and composed of a mini-keel tibial plate and a 45 mm- or 75 mm-long modular stem (drop-down stem extension). The short keel length (range, 17 to 19 mm) in the tibial plate facilitates insertion into a flexed knee without anterior dislocation of the tibia against the femur, thus preventing tension on the surrounding tissue and additional soft tissue resection. However, care should be taken during insertion because the keel should be passed through a narrow small space in the flexed knee, and determining the exact insertion site can be challenging because the keel punch in the resected surface of the tibia is not easy to identify due to cement. Therefore, we applied sufficient traction with the knee in flexed
position during mini-keel plate insertion and used a custom made holder to securely hold and precisely insert the implant through a narrow space. Although the length of the keel of the mini-keel tibial component is short compared to that of other tibial components, it provides 5.20% wider contact surface, thus not compromising stability of the knee. However, in an attempt to obtain further stability by increasing the interface between the implant and the tibia, we additionally used a 45 mm drop-down stem extension in all knees.

Benazzo and Rossi<sup>6</sup> first reported on the results of MIS-TKA using a modular tibial implant: the 5-year survival rate was 97.9% in 200 knees during the 3-year follow-up in the prospective study. Bonutti et al.<sup>2</sup> demonstrated favorable clinical and radiological results: the 9-year survival rate was 97.1% in 90 knees. In the current study, the survival rate was as high as 99.4% at 6.1 years after MIS-TKA using a mini-keel tibial implant, which can be attributed to appropriate realignment of the tibial component, proper selection for tibial fixation site, and the use of a drop-down stem extension for improved stability.

Seo et al.<sup>5</sup> documented that surgical site healing was delayed and the incidence of infection was high after MIS-TKA that was performed under limited visualization of the operative field compared to that after conventional TKA due to severe skin traction and prolonged surgical time. However, there was no case of infection except for 1 case that required revision due to infection at 6 years and 6 months after surgery. The infection rate in our patients was significantly low compared to that in previous studies, which we believe is attributable to careful application of traction to skin and the relatively short surgical time (87.5±12.4 min).

Most publications in the literature are reports on the short-term results of MIS-TKA using the conventional implants<sup>1,4,9,13,15-17</sup>. Thus, the significance of this study can be found in the fact that we investigated more than 5-year mid-term follow-up results of MIS-TKA using a tibial component designed specifically for MIS in a relatively large study population.

The limitations of this study include that the study design was retrospective and many of the 316 cases that underwent MIS-TKA were not included for analysis due to unavailability for outpatient clinic evaluation. In addition, all the operations were performed by the same surgeon experienced in MIS-TKA. Although the procedure requires a long learning curve to achieve accuracy and reduce operation time<sup>1,8</sup>, we think that long-term studies that involve multiple institutions and various surgeons should be conducted in the near future. Furthermore, comparison with the conventional TKA implants should be addressed in future studies.

Conclusions

The more than 5-year mid-term follow-up of MIS-TKA using a mini-keel modular tibial implant in 168 cases showed high implant survivorship and satisfactory clinical and radiological results.
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