Directed evolution of CRISPR-Cas9 to increase its specificity
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The use of CRISPR-Cas9 as a therapeutic reagent is hampered by its off-target effects. Although rationally designed S. pyogenes Cas9 (SpCas9) variants that display higher specificities than the wild-type SpCas9 protein are available, these attenuated Cas9 variants are often poorly efficient in human cells. Here, we develop a directed evolution approach in E. coli to obtain Sniper-Cas9, which shows high specificities without killing on-target activities in human cells. Unlike other engineered Cas9 variants, Sniper-Cas9 shows WT-level on-target activities with extended or truncated sgRNAs with further reduced off-target activities and works well in a preassembled ribonucleoprotein (RNP) format to allow DNA-free genome editing.
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The determination of the Cas9 crystal structure enabled scientists to rationally design mutant Cas9 proteins (enhanced specificity Cas9 (eSpCas9) and Cas9-high fidelity (Cas9-HF)) with higher specificities than wild-type Cas9 (WT-Cas9).

Their design was based on the hypothesis that weakening non-specific interactions between a Cas9-RNA complex and its substrate DNA would reduce off-target activity. Since on-target activity is generally much higher than off-target activity, these mutant Cas9 variants would show higher specificities than WT while retaining on-target activity. However, it has been reported that both eSpCas9 and Cas9-HF are poorly active at some target sites, calling for alternative approaches to improve Cas9 specificity. More recently, two additional Cas9 variants, termed evoCas9 and HypaCas9, with improved specificity and activity were developed, reflecting unmet needs in this field.

In this study, we present Sniper screen, an E. coli-based selection method, to isolate SpCas9 variants with high specificity and activity and compare the resulting Sniper-Cas9 variant with other engineered SpCas9 variants.

Results
Simultaneous positive and negative selection using E. coli. We reasoned that directed evolution of Cas9 in E. coli could lead to Cas9 variants with high specificity without killing on-target activities. The system we used consists of E. coli strain BW25141 and a plasmid containing the lethal ccdB gene and the Cas9 target sequence: the disruption of the ccdB gene by Cas9-mediated plasmid DNA cleavage is essential for cell survival, creating a positive selection pressure. In addition, a Cas9 off-target sequence that differs from the on-target sequence by a few mismatches is introduced in the E. coli genomic DNA: double strand breaks (DSBs) in E. coli genomic DNA lead to cell death. We combined such negative selection pressure with ccdB plasmid-based positive selection pressure to develop “Sniper-screen,” which selects for Cas9 variants with increased specificities. Note that Cas9 variants with poor on-target activities or poor specificities cannot survive in this selection system.

We first inserted a 500-bp PCR product containing an EMX1 fragment into the genomic DNA of the BW25141 strain using a protocol involving transposase (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Figure 1). The resulting BW25141-EMX1 strain was transformed with two plasmids (Fig. 1b): a plasmid-expressing ccdB under the control of the pBAD promoter, which is induced by arabinose, and a plasmid expressing an single-guide RNA (sgRNA) under the control of the pltetO1 promoter, which is induced by anhydrotetracycline (ATC). A target sequence with mismatches relative to the EMX1 site was inserted into the ccdB plasmid and the matching guide sequence was inserted into the sgRNA plasmid. We chose these EMX1 on-target and off-target sequences because off-target activities had been carefully tested at the EMX1 site previously using a series of mismatched sgRNAs. To screen for Cas9 variants without attenuated on-target activity, a 21-mer EMX1 sgRNA with the 5’ guanine mismatched to the target sequence (gX20), which showed diminished on-target activities with engineered Cas9 variants, was used (Fig. 1b). In a Sniper screen, the resulting E. coli strain is electroporated with a pooled library expressing mutant Cas9 variants under the control of a CMV-pltetO1 dual promoter induced by ATC. There are four possible outcomes with respect to DNA cleavage in the ccdB plasmid and the genomic DNA (Fig. 1a): only a mutant variant of Cas9 that discriminates the on-target sequence present in the ccdB plasmid from the off-target sequence present in the E. coli genomic DNA can survive. Our system uses separate Cas9 and sgRNA plasmids, making it easy to change sgRNA-encoding and target sequence-containing plasmids in subsequent rounds of selection. Because Cas9 can also be expressed in mammalian cells via the CMV promoter, it is possible to check the on-target and off-target activity of the pool obtained in each round. In addition, Cas9 and sgRNA expression are controlled by the pltetO1 promoter, allowing regulation of gene expression by up to 5000-fold. By increasing the concentration of ATC, the screening conditions become more lenient for ccdB cleaving positive selection and harsher for genomic DNA cleaving negative selection. Such adjustments were necessary to find the optimum conditions at which control experiments using WT-Cas9 and a null vector showed a large window for cleavage for each target-sgRNA pair (Supplementary Figure 2).

Construction of the Cas9 library and Sniper screen. SpCas9 mutant libraries with random errors in the whole Cas9 sequence were constructed using three different kits, resulting in library complexities of up to 10^7 overall. Two independent sets of screenings were performed using the libraries (Supplementary Figure 3). The first set started with more lenient screening conditions and progressed toward more stringent conditions: DNA shuffling was performed in the middle of the process to enrich the diversity. The second set employed harsh conditions without DNA shuffling. After the final selection steps for both screening sets, the pooled libraries were tested in mammalian cells to measure the specificities of the Cas9 variants compared to WT-Cas9 (Supplementary Figure 4). The pooled libraries showed higher specificities than WT-Cas9; the first set was more specific than the other set. One hundred colonies were picked from both sets and the Cas9-encoding DNA sequences were fully sequenced. Three Cas9 variants were identified from the first set, which were designated clone-1, clone-2, and clone-3 (Supplementary Data 1). The mutations were dispersed throughout domains revealed in the crystal structure; none of them overlapped with those in rationally designed Cas9 variants (Supplementary Figure 5). Site-directed mutagenesis analyses revealed that no single-point mutation drastically improved the specificity of WT-Cas9 (Supplementary Figure 6). Since we performed the DNA shuffling reaction in the middle of our screening, we assumed that the mutant with the best combination of point mutations survived in our screening.

Clone selection and characterization. Among the three different variants, clone-1 showed the highest on-target activity in human cells (Supplementary Figure 4). Because our major goal was to select Cas9 mutants without compromised on-target activity, we chose to characterize clone-1, which was named Sniper-Cas9. Sniper-Cas9, along with rationally designed Cas9 variants (eSpCas9 (1.1), Cas9-HF1, evo-Cas9, and Hypa-Cas9) and WT-Cas9, were tested in HEK293T cells at 12 target sites. Because the nucleotide at the 5’ terminus in sgRNAs, transcribed in vitro under the control of U6 or T7 promoters, is fixed to a guanine, the 5’ guanine could be either a match (G) or mismatch (g) to a target sequence. As shown previously, other Cas9 variants showed high activities only with GX19 sgRNAs, whereas Sniper-Cas9 maintained high on-target activities comparable to WT-Cas9 not only with GX19 or gx19 sgRNAs but also with truncated and extended sgRNAs (shown as gx20 sgRNA), regardless of a match or mismatch at the 5’ end (Fig. 2a, b, Supplementary Figures 7 and 8).

Comparison of Sniper-Cas9 with other engineered Cas9s. We next compared the specificities of Sniper-Cas9 with those of other Cas9 variants by measuring indel frequencies at on-target and off-target sites via targeted amplicon sequencing and calculating the
ratios of on-target activity to off-target activity. In this analysis, we excluded Cas9 variant-sgRNA combinations with <70% on-target activity relative to WT-Cas9 in complex with 20-mer sgRNAs (Fig. 2c). Although we tested various combinations of Cas9 variants with truncated sgRNAs or extended sgRNAs to achieve the highest specificity, only Sniper-Cas9 and WT-Cas9 were compatible with these modified sgRNAs. Notably, Sniper-Cas9 achieved the highest specificity ratios in 10 out of the 12 on-target/off-target pairs. It should be noted that a specificity ratio higher than 1000 is above the detection limit of targeted deep sequencing using Illumina MiSeq (0.1%)\(^{18}\). In addition, the use of truncated sgRNAs is available for only a few targets because such sgRNAs worked at only 9 out of the 24 targets. To our knowledge, currently it is not possible to predict whether a truncated sgRNA will show any on-target activity or a higher specificity ratio prior to its design. Western blot analysis showed that expression levels of WT-Cas9 and Cas9 variants were comparable in HEK293T cells (Supplementary Figure 9). We measured specificity ratios of Sniper-Cas9 and other Cas9 variants in HeLa cells (Supplementary Figure 10). Similar results were obtained, showing that the high specificity of Sniper-Cas9 was not cell-line specific.

Comparison of Sniper-Cas9 with xCas9-3.7. More recently, Cas9 variants with broad PAM compatibility have been reported to show higher specificity\(^{19}\). We have tested 20-mer and 21-mer sgRNAs to characterize on-target and off-target activities of xCas9-3.7 (Supplementary Figure 12). xCas9-3.7 showed specificity ratios that were intermediate between those of WT-Cas9
Fig. 2 SnIper-Cas9 retains WT-level on-target activities with diminished off-target activities. a On-target and off-target activities of Cas9 variants compared to WT-Cas9 using sgRNAs with variable lengths targeting the FANCFO1 and AAVS sites. Specificity ratios were determined by dividing indel frequencies at on-target sites by those at the respective off-target sites. sgRNAs with a matched guanine at the 5′ terminus (GX17 or GX19) and those with a mismatched guanine (gX17, gX18, gX19, or gX20) are indicated. Specificity ratios were not calculated when the normalized on-target activities were <70%. b Dot plots of on-target indel frequencies normalized to those obtained with WT-Cas9 plus gX20 or GX20 sgRNAs. The gray-boxed area represents on-target activities higher than 70% of the WT-Cas9 activity. The numbers on the x axis represent the guide sequence length including the 5′ terminus; 18: gX17 or GX17, 19: gX18 or GX18, 20: gX19 or GX19, 21: gX20 or GX20. Error bars indicate s.e.m. (n = 3). c On-target and off-target activities of Cas9 variant-sgRNA combinations with >70% on-target activity relative to WT-Cas9. The numbers on the x axis represent the guide sequence length including the 5′ guanine; 18: gX17 or GX17, 19: gX18 or GX18, 20: gX19 or GX19, 21: gX20 or GX20. Error bars indicate s.e.m. (n = 12). d Dot plots of on-target indel frequencies normalized to those obtained with WT-Cas9 plus gX20 or GX20 sgRNAs. The gray-boxed area represents on-target activities higher than 70% of the WT-Cas9 activity. The numbers on the x axis represent the guide sequence length including the 5′ guanine; 20: gX19 or GX19, 21: gX20 or GX20. Error bars indicate s.e.m. (n = 10). N/A: not available.
and Sniper-Cas9 at most targets and its on-target activities were attenuated significantly at many targets with mismatched 5′ guanines (Fig. 2d). It appears that although xCas9–3.7 exhibits a broadened PAM compatibility, from NGG to NG, it lost compatibility with a 5′ guanine. A recent mechanistic study revealed that the intrinsic cleavage rates of eSpCas9 (1.1) and Cas9-HF1 are 30 and 39 times slower, respectively, than the WT rate, which contributes to the higher specificity ratios of the engineered Cas9 variants20. It is speculated that the extensive mutagenesis of other engineered Cas9 variants including xCas9–3.7 lowers the intrinsic cleavage rate, resulting in lower on-target activity and a higher specificity ratio21.

On-target and off-target activities of Sniper-Cas9 RNP. To further reduce off-target effects, we delivered Sniper-Cas9 into human cells in a preassembled RNP format22. We chose several of the on-target/off-target pairs with low specificity ratios shown in Fig. 2c that used 20-mer sgRNAs. Sniper-Cas9 RNPs were highly active and more specific than plasmids by a factor of 2–16 fold (Fig. 3).

Mismatch tolerance of Sniper-Cas9. We also tested a series of guide RNAs containing mismatches relative to the on-target sequence to investigate whether Sniper-Cas9 and other Cas9 variants tolerate single or double mismatches (Fig. 4a, Supplementary Figure 11). Among three different targets tested, direct comparisons between all of the Cas9 variants and WT-Cas9 were possible only at the FANCF01 target site owing to the low on-target activities of eSpCas9 (1.1) and Cas9-HF1 at other sites. For 13 out of 19 single mismatch positions, Sniper showed the highest specificity ratio. Sniper was outperformed by other engineered Cas9 variants in cases with mismatches at the PAM distal end (16th, 18th, and 19th). Almost no off-target activity was observed with Sniper-Cas9 combined with sgRNAs with double mismatches.

Unbiased genome-wide off-target analysis of Sniper-Cas9. Next, we performed multiplex Digenome-seq using four sgRNAs to test the genome-wide specificity of Sniper-Cas9 in the human genome23,24. Sniper-Cas9 cleaved human genomic DNA at far fewer sites than did WT-Cas9 (Fig. 4b, c and Supplementary Figure 13). We analyzed off-target effects at candidate off-target sites that were uniquely cleaved by Sniper-Cas9 and that were cleaved by both Sniper-Cas9 and WT-Cas9 using targeted amplicon sequencing. No off-target mutations were detectably induced by Sniper-Cas9 at sites that were cleaved by Sniper-Cas9 alone (Supplementary Figure 15). Next, nine candidate sites, commonly cleaved by WT-Cas9 and Sniper-Cas9, with the highest DNA cleavage scores were selected for each sgRNA and off-target effects were validated at these sites by targeted deep
sequencing (Fig. 4d, Supplementary Figure 14). Sniper-Cas9 showed lower than WT-level off-target activities at all sites that were analyzed. In conclusion, Sniper-Cas9 did not cleave any additional off-target sites in cells, compared to WT-Cas9.

Having confirmed the high genome-wide specificity of Sniper-Cas9, we further compared its specificity to that of other engineered Cas9 variants at additional GX19 targets. In this test, we measured off-target activities at validated targets from previous GUIDE-seq experiments (Supplementary Figure 16)\(^2,3,7,8\). Sniper-Cas9 showed WT-level on-target activities at all additional GX19 targets, whereas Cas9-HF1, HypaCas9, and evoCas9 showed <70% of the WT-level on-target activities at some targets. Sniper-Cas9 displayed a specificity comparable to that of other engineered Cas9 variants at most off-target loci and showed off-target activities that were under the detection limit. Sniper-Cas9 showed high off-target activities when the sgRNA mismatch was located at the PAM distal end. However, the mismatch tolerance at the PAM distal end also enables the use of truncated or extended sgRNAs with Sniper-Cas9 to achieve a higher specificity ratio at those sites, as shown previously at the HBB02, HPRT, EMX1, FANCF01, and ZSCAN2 targets (Fig. 2c).

**On-target and off-target activities of Sniper-Cas9 BE3.** We also investigated whether the mutations in Sniper-Cas9 can improve the specificity of base editors (BEs). To this end, Sniper-Cas9 mutations were introduced into BE3\(^25\) to create Sniper-Cas9 BE3, which was tested in HEK293T cells to determine its base-editing efficiency (Fig. 5). Sniper-Cas9 BE3 was as efficient as WT-BE3 at the EMX1 on-target site. At several pre-validated off-target sites that had been identified by Digenome-seq\(^26\), Sniper-Cas9 BE3 showed much reduced off-target base-editing effects (2.4–16.2 fold less) compared to WT-BE3. In addition, the use of truncated sgRNA further eradicated off-target activities to near background level without significant loss of on-target activity. This result suggests that the nickase version of Sniper-Cas9 also exhibits higher specificity than the WT Cas9 nickase, without killing its on-target activity, unlike the nickase form derived from...
Cas9-HF (HF-BE3), which shows ~70% on-target activity compared to WT-BE327. In addition, Sniper-BE3 showed WT-level activities with both GX19 and gX19 sgRNAs (Supplementary Figure 17), unlike BEs derived from Hypa-Cas9 and Cas9-HF1, which were reported to show low on-target activities with both forms28. Because the number of sgRNAs that could be used to edit specific bases in the target is limited, the use of Sniper-Cas9 would increase the number of targetable nucleotides compared to other engineered Cas9 variants by at least a factor of 4.

Sniper-Cas9 RNP as potential therapeutic modality. Finally, we tested the performance of Sniper-Cas9 RNPs as possible therapeutic agents. Primary human T cells and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSC) were used in this proof-of-principle study. Although nucleofection of Cas9-encoding plasmid DNA into primary cells is possible, the low efficiency and high toxicity of this process represent a major hurdle for clinical applications29,30.

The use of RNPs successfully resolved these issues in previous therapeutic development studies involving primary cells31–34 with the additional advantage of reducing off-target activity compared to plasmid DNA22.

The AAVS gene was selected as a hypothetical target because it is therapeutically relevant35 and its use as safe-harbor site is not limited to a particular disease type. In addition, it is the most challenging target in terms of the number of candidate genome-wide off-targets identified by Digeneome-seq (Fig. 4b) and the low specificity ratio of a validated off-target site containing a single mismatch (Fig. 2c). Finally, only Sniper-Cas9 showed WT-level on-target activity at this target locus, whereas all of the other engineered Cas9 variants failed (Fig. 2a).

We delivered into human T cells and iPSC cells the purified Sniper-Cas9 protein in complex with 5’-hydroxyl gX19 sgRNAs to further reduce toxicity caused by a triphosphate group present in vitro-transcribed sgRNAs36. After RNP electroporation, no further reduce toxicity caused by a triphosphate group present in vitro-transcribed sgRNAs36. After RNP electroporation, no further.

**Methods**

**Plasmid construction.** Each type of plasmid used in the Screener-screen contains replication origins and resistance markers that are compatible with each other. (Fig. 1b) The Dcd plasmid (p11-lacY-wtx1) was a kind gift from the Zhao lab9. It was double-digested with SphI and Xhol enzymes (Enzymomics), which was ligated into oligos (Cosmogenetech) containing target sequences (Supplementary Table 1) with T4 DNA ligase (Enzymomics). The sgRNA vector was constructed (Supplementary Figure 19) with a temperature-sensitive Psc101 replication origin12 (from pgrg36, a kind gift from Nancy Craig), tetR (from the tn10 locus of ElectroTen-Blue Electroporation Competent Cells, Agilent), a Kanamycin resistance marker, the pltetO1 promoter and the sgRNA sequence containing two BsaI sites (synthesized at Bioneer). The components were Gibson assembled (NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly kit, NEB). The guide RNA sequences to EMX1 with various mismatches (Supplementary Table 1) were cloned into the vector after Bsal digestion. The Cas9 library plasmid (Supplementary Figure 19) was derived from human codon-optimized WT-Cas9 (p3s-Cas9HC; Addgene plasmid #43945)37, dual CMV-pltetO1 (synthesized at Bioneer) and the p15a replication origin and chloramphenicol resistance marker (from the PBLC backbone, Bioneer). The components were Gibson assembled.

**EMX1 genome insertion.** Human EMX1 containing various sgRNA target sequences (~500 bp) was PCR-amplified and integrated into the pgrg36 vector between the NotI and Xhol sites (Supplementary Table 2). The cloned pgrg36-EMX1 vector was then transformed into the BW25141 strain to integrate the EMX1 sequence into the tn7 site in the genomic DNA. EMX1-BW25141 was selected using the standard pgrg36 protocol35 (Supplementary Figure 1).

**Fig. 5** Base editor (BE3) on-target and off-target activities measured in HEK293T cells. (--) indicates the absence of sgRNA. Substitutions were measured using targeted deep sequencing. Substitution of C5 (represented by green type) to T was measured. The PAM is shown in blue. Error bars indicate s.e.m. (n = 3)
Library construction. SpCas9 mutant libraries were constructed using three independent protocols. For the first library, the Cas9 library plasmid was transformed into XL1-red competent cells (Agilent), which were grown according to instructions in the vendor’s manual. For the second and third libraries, error-prone PCR was performed on whole WT-SpCas9 from Cas9 library plasmid sequences using Genemorph II (Agilent) and Diversify PCR random mutagenesis (Clontech) kits under low error rate (0–5 mutations per kb) conditions with primers designed for Gibson Assembly (Supplementary Table 1); PCR products were subsequently gel purified (4.3 kb). The purified randomly mutagenized library and the backbone of the Cas9 library plasmid (double-digested with BamHI and XbaI, followed by gel purification of the 3 kb fragment) were Gibson assembled. The assembled libraries were transformed into Endura™ electrocompetent cells (Lucigen) and incubated on chloramphenicol LB plates (12.5 μg/mL) at 37 °C overnight. A total of 3 × 10⁶ colonies were obtained for each library, resulting in a library complexity of 10⁷ overall. Pooled library plasmids were purified using a midi prep kit (NucleoBond Xtra Midi EF, Macherey-Nagel).

Plasmids encoding Cas9 and sgRNA. The WT-Cas9 encoding plasmid (p3s-Cas9HC; Addgene plasmid # 43945)⁵ and the sgRNA plasmid (pRG2; Addgene plasmid #104172; p3s-Cas9-HF1, Addgene plasmid #104173)⁴ have been described previously. Plasmids encoding human codon-optimized eSpCas9 (1.1) and Cas9-HF1 (p3s-eCas9 (1.1), Addgene plasmid #104172; p3s-Cas9-HF1, Addgene plasmid #104173)⁴ were Gibson assembled into the p3s-Cas9HC plasmid backbone to change the location of the nuclear localization signal (NLS) from the N-terminus to the C-terminus. Human codon-optimized evoCas9, HypaCas9, and xCas9–3.7 (evoCas9, Addgene plasmid #107530, HypaCas9, Addgene plasmid #101178 and xCas9–3.7, Addgene plasmid #108379) plasmids were constructed by Gibson assembly of sequences containing the necessary site mutations into the p3s-Cas9HC plasmid backbone. All constructs were confirmed by Sanger sequencing (Supplementary Data 1). Human codon-optimized WT-BE3 and Sniper-BE3 were made by exchanging WT-SpCas9 from CMV-BE3 (a kind gift from David Liu; Addgene plasmid # 73021)⁶ with WT-Cas9 and Sniper-Cas9 derived from p3s-Cas9HC.

AAVS targeting RNP in primary cells

| Specificity ratio | WT-Cas9 | Sniper-Cas9 |
|-------------------|---------|------------|
|                   | (+)     | (–)        |
|                   | 0.003   | 0.0041     |
|                   | 0.09    | 0.0105     |
|                   | 0.041   | 0.0006     |
|                   | 0.0005  | 0.0001     |

Plasmids encoding Cas9 variants and sgRNA. The WT-Cas9 encoding plasmid (p3s-Cas9HC; Addgene plasmid #43945)⁵ and the sgRNA plasmid (pRG2; Addgene plasmid #104174)⁵ have been described previously. Plasmids encoding human codon-optimized eSpCas9 (1.1) and Cas9-HF1 (p3s-eCas9 (1.1), Addgene plasmid #104172; p3s-Cas9-HF1, Addgene plasmid #104173)⁴ were Gibson assembled into the p3s-Cas9HC plasmid backbone to change the location of the nuclear localization signal (NLS) from the N-terminus to the C-terminus. Human codon-optimized evoCas9, HypaCas9, and xCas9–3.7 (evoCas9, Addgene plasmid #107530, HypaCas9, Addgene plasmid #101178 and xCas9–3.7, Addgene plasmid #108379) constructs were created by Gibson assembly of sequences containing the necessary site mutations into the p3s-Cas9HC plasmid backbone. All constructs were confirmed by Sanger sequencing (Supplementary Data 1). Human codon-optimized WT-BE3 and Sniper-BE3 were made by exchanging WT-SpCas9 from CMV-BE3 (a kind gift from David Liu; Addgene plasmid # 73021)⁶ with WT-Cas9 and Sniper-Cas9 derived from p3s-Cas9HC.

Positive and negative screening for evolving SpCas9. BW25141-EMX1 cells were plated on ampicillin (50 μg/mL)/kanamycin (25 μg/mL) LB plates, which were then incubated overnight at 32 °C. Transformants were cultured in liquid S.O.B. medium containing 0.1% glucose, ampicillin, and kanamycin until the OD600 reached 0.4 for electrocompetent cell production (Sniper-Screen). Hundred nanograms of SpCas9 from each library was transformed into 50 μL of electrocompetent Sniper-Screen cells using a Gene Pulser (Bio-Rad) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Transformed Sniper-screen cells were mixed with 250 μL of S.O.B. medium. Twenty-five microliters of transformed cells was incubated without ATC (Sigma-Aldrich) and 230 μL of cells was incubated with 10 ng/mL ATC for 1 h at 37 °C. The Sniper-screen cells recovered in the absence of ATC were plated on chloramphenicol/kanamycin LB plates (non-selective conditions) and cells recovered in the presence of ATC were plated on chloramphenicol/kanamycin/arabinose (1.5 μg/mL, Sigma-Aldrich) LB plates (selective conditions) containing 100 ng/mL ATC followed by overnight culture at 32 °C. Viable colonies were counted using OpenCFU software⁸ and the survival frequency was calculated (survival frequency = the number of colonies on a selective plate/the number of colonies on a non-selective plate x 10). Colonies on the selective plates from three libraries were pooled and incubated in chloramphenicol-containing LB medium overnight at 42 °C to clear ccdB and sgRNA plasmids. Screened SpCas9 variant plasmids were purified using a midi prep kit (Macherey-Nagel) and 10 ng of pooled library was continuously transformed into the Sniper-screen until the survival frequency reached a plateau (Supplement Fig. 3). The ATC concentration in the selective conditions was maintained as 100 ng/mL for the two-mismatch conditions and as 10 ng/mL for the one-mismatch condition (Supplementary Figure 2). Selected SpCas9 gene variants obtained from the two-mismatch conditions were shuffled to increase library diversity (DNA-Shuffling Kit, Jena Bioscience) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Screening of the shuffled SpCas9 library was performed again under the one-mismatch condition, and 100 colonies on a selective plate after six rounds of screening were individually cultured in chloramphenicol-containing LB medium at 42 °C to obtain evolved SpCas9 mutant plasmids. Each plasmid was Sanger-sequenced and the top three most frequent variants were chosen to be tested in a human cell line.
Cell culture and transfection conditions. HEK293T cells (ATCC, CRL-11268) were maintained in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% antibiotics. For WT-Cas9 and Sniper-Cas9 plasmid-mediated genome editing, HEK293T cells were seeded into 48-well plates at 70–80% confluency before transfection and transfected with Cas9 variants expression plasmids (250 ng) and crRNA plasmids (250 ng) using lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). For base editing, HEK293T cells (1.5 × 10^5) were seeded on 24-well plates and transfected at ~80% confluency with BE plasmid (Addgene plasmid #73021) (1.3 µg) of the Sniper-Cas9 expression plasmid and sgRNA plasmid (500 ng) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Genomic DNA was isolated with the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (QiaGen) 72 h post transfection.

Recombinant Cas9 protein production. Recombinant WT-Cas9 and Sniper-Cas9 proteins were produced and purified from E. coli. The Cas9 cDNA sequence was sub-cloned into PET28b (+)15. Recombinant Cas9 protein containing the NLS, the HA epitope, and the His-tag at the N-terminus was expressed in strain BL21(DE3), purified using Ni-NTA agarose beads (QiaGen), and dialyzed against 20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM KC1, 1 mM DTT, and 10% glycerol. The purified Cas9 protein was concentrated using an Ultracel 100 K cellulse column (Millipore). The purity and concentration of the Cas9 protein were analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

Preparation of guide RNAs for RNP production. RNA was in vitro-transcribed through run-off reactions with T7 RNA polymerase using a MEGASHortscript T7 kit (Ambion) according to the instructions in the manufacturer’s manual. Templates for sgRNA or crRNA were generated by annealing and extension of two complementary oligonucleotides as described previously22. Briefly, sgRNA templates were generated by annealing two complementary oligonucleotides purchased from Macrogen. These oligonucleotides were reverse-phase-purified using the vendor’s MOPC purification method and quality-checked using MALDI-TOF. sgRNA templates were incubated with T7 RNA polymerase in reaction buffer (40 mM MgCl2, 6 mM MgCl2, 10 mM DTT, 10 mM NaCl2, 2 mM spermidine, NTP, RNase inhibitor, at pH 7.9) for 8 h at 37 °C. Transcribed sgRNAs were preincubated with DNase I to remove template DNA, and purified using PCR purification kits (Macrogen). For 5’OH sgRNA generation only, the 5’- triphosphate was removed from guide RNAs with CIP (New England BioLabs) as follows: 10 µg of in vitro-transcribed RNA was treated with 250 units of CIP for 3 h at 37 °C in the presence of 100 units of RNase inhibitor (New England Biosci). Following CIP treatment (or following in vitro transcription in the case of 5’PPP sgRNA), the RNA was cleaned up using a miReNeasy Mini kit (QiaGen).

RNP delivery. To introduce DSBS in HEK293T cells using an RNP complex, 2 × 10^5 cells were transfected with WT-Cas9 protein or Sniper-Cas9 (4 µg) premixed with in vitro-transcribed sgRNA (4 µg). To make RNP complexes, Cas9 protein in storage buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, and 10% glycerol) was mixed with sgRNA dissolved in nuclease-free water and incubated for 10 min at room temperature. RNP complexes were electroporated into HEK293T cells with a Neon Transfection system (ThermoFisher) using the following settings: 1300 V, 2000 Ω, 20 µF. Following electroporation, cells were seeded at 5 × 10^5 cells per mL in 6-well plate pre-coated with vitronectin (STEMCELL Technologies) and cultured in HEK293T culture media for two more days; finally, the medium was changed to iPS cell induction medium until all the iPS colonies were harvested. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing of iPS cells (ATCC CRL-2522) was carried out as follows. iPS cells maintained on vitronectin-coated dishes (STEMCELL Technologies) in TeSR-E8 medium (STEMCELL Technologies) were detached using Gentle Cell Dissociation Reagent (STEMCELL Technologies). We used a four-dimensional nucleofector from Amaxa in combination with a P3 Primary Cell Kit for transfection. Four micrograms of recombinant Streptococcus pyogenes Cas9 (Toolgen) and 1 µg of 5’-OH sgRNA were incubated for 20 min prior to electroporation to generate Cas9-gRNA RNP complexes. A total of 5 × 10^4 iPS cells re-suspended in P3 buffer were added to the pre-incubated Cas9-gRNA RNP complexes. Cells were nucleofected using program CA-137. Electroporation-only controls were nucleofected without RNP complexes using the same conditions. One microgram of enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) messenger RNA (TriLink) was nucleofected into cells as a green fluorescent protein control under the same conditions. Cells were counted using Countess II FL (Life technologies). Images of the cells were taken using an EVOS FL Cell Imaging System (Thermo Fisher Scientific).
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