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Abstract

**Background:** *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) commonly known as tobacco caterpillar is a polyphagous pest that causes significant damage to many agricultural crops. The extensive use of chemical insecticides against *S. litura* has resulted in development of resistance. In order to find potential biocontrol agents, gut microbes were investigated for insecticidal potential. These microbes live in a diverse relationship with insects that may vary from beneficial to pathogenic.

**Results:** *Enterococcus casseliflavus*, *Enterococcus mundtii*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Pseudomonas paralactis* and *Pantoea brenneri* were isolated from adults of *S. litura*. Screening of these microbial isolates for insecticidal potential against *S. litura* showed higher larval mortality due to *K. pneumoniae* and *P. paralactis*. These bacteria also negatively affected the development of insect along with significant decline in relative growth and consumption rate as well as efficiency of conversion of ingested and digested food of insect. The bacteria significantly decreased the reproductive potential of insect. Perturbations in the composition of gut microbiome and damage to gut epithelium were also observed that might be associated with decreased survival of this insect.

**Conclusions:** Our study reveals the toxic effects of *K. pneumoniae* and *P. paralactis* on biology of *S. litura*. These bacteria may be used as potential candidates for developing ecofriendly strategies to manage this insect pest.
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Introduction

*Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), commonly known as tobacco caterpillar, is one of the most destructive polyphagous pests. It feeds on a wide range of host plants belonging to more than 40 families. Cotton, alfalfa, berseem, maize, tobacco, groundnut, summer legumes, and vegetables like cucurbits, brinjal, potato, sweet potato etc. are among the most preferred host plants [1, 2]. Besides having high reproductive potential and strong migratory ability of adults, *S. litura* can adapt to wide range of ecological conditions. Thus under favourable conditions, its population increases in large numbers and causes economic losses to many of the commercially important crops [3–5]. The female lays eggs in masses, the early instar larvae feed gregariously while later instars spread and feed voraciously causing huge crop losses. The management of this pest is primarily relied on chemical insecticides and because of polyphagous nature; it has been exposed to a number of insecticides over the years. There are reports indicating development of varying levels of resistance in *S. litura* to different groups of insecticides such as pyrethroids, organophosphates, carbamates, abamectin, emamectin,
benzoate, chlorantraniliprole and indoxacarb [6–10]. Moreover, these insecticides have potentially undesirable side effects on environment, humans and other non-target species. Thus it becomes imperative to search for alternative ecofriendly strategies of pest management. Recently, considerable emphasis is being laid on the use of biopesticides based on microorganisms or their derivatives and plant products. Microbial pesticides based on fungi, bacteria, viruses and nematodes are gaining popularity due to their species specificity and environmental safety [11, 12].

Among the biocontrol agents, entomopathogenic bacteria and their toxins have been developed as commercial formulations which are being used successfully. Many Bacillus species viz. B. popilliae, B. lentimorbus, B. larvae, B. thuringiensis, B. sphaericus have been recognised as definitive insect pathogens [13, 14]. Apart from Bacillus, there are many other bacteria such as Serratia, Photobacterium, Xenorhabdus, Streptomycetes etc. which have also been reported as insect pathogens [15–18]. Among these, B. thuringiensis (Bt) is most successful and widely used against insect pests belonging to Diptera, Coleoptera and Lepidoptera. However, there are reports indicating development of resistance to Bt in lepidopteran pests viz. Platella xyllostella (Linnaeus), Spodoptera frugiperda (JE Smith), Helicoverpa zea (Boddie) and Pectinophora gossypiella (Saunders) [19–23]. This necessitates the search for more novel bacteria with insecticidal activity. Nowadays gut microbes isolated from insects have been explored for their insecticidal potential against agricultural pests [24, 25].

Insects are associated with a variety of microbes that play an important role in contributing nutrition, digestion, detoxification etc. [26, 27]. Gut microbiota particularly in termites and cockroaches help in digestion of cellulose while the aphids depend on gut microbes for their requirement of essential amino acids [28, 29]. Besides various beneficial roles, these gut bacteria may become opportunistic pathogens due to physiological or environmental changes that lead to perturbation in the gut microbial diversity [30, 31]. Various studies revealed the pathogenicity of enteric bacteria against insect hosts such as Enterobacter cloacae isolated from S. litura and B. thuringiensis isolated from Spodoptera exigua (Hubner) [24, 32]. Flavobacterium sp. and Klebsiella sp. isolated from Spodoptera littoralis (Boisdval) when tested for their virulence against same insect host exhibited 67% and 77% mortality respectively [33]. Similarly Sevim et al. [34] reported 60% mortality in Agrotis segetum (Denis & Schiffermuller) due to its gut bacteria Enterococcus galiinant. Most of these entomopathogenic bacteria have been reported to produce diverse toxins with mode of action like B. thuringiensis [35, 36].

In order to develop ecologically sustainable strategies for pest control and to reduce the load of insecticides on environment, there is an increasing interest in finding indigenous bacterial isolates which are more pathogenic and effective against various insect pests. In this respect the present study aimed to explore the insecticidal potential of gut microbes isolated from adults of S. litura.

**Results**

**Screening bioassays**

A total of six bacteria i.e. E. casseliflavus, E. mundtii, S. marcescens, K. pneumoniae, P. paralactis and P. breneri were isolated from adults of S. litura. Screening of these bacterial isolates exhibited varying level of virulence in S. litura. In comparison to control, all the bacterial treatments showed significantly high larval mortality (Fig. 1). Among these, K. pneumoniae and P. paralactis exhibited higher larval mortality i.e. 52% and 56% respectively, thus both were selected for detailed bioassay studies.

**Dose-response experiments**

**Mortality and development period**

A significant effect was observed on survival and development of S. litura when the larvae were fed on control leaves treated with different concentrations of K. pneumoniae and P. paralactis. Both the bacteria caused significantly higher larval mortality in comparison to control. As is evident from Fig. 2, the mortality rate increased in a dose dependent manner. The larvae feeding on leaves treated with different concentrations of K. pneumoniae suffered 38.00-72.00% mortality (F =63.53, p ≤ 0.05). Similarly P. paralactis caused 42.00-70.00% mortality in S. litura larvae (F =57.36, p ≤0.05). The larval mortality started after third day of treatment at higher concentrations i.e. 3.6 × 10⁸ and 5.8 × 10⁹ cfu/ml of K. pneumoniae and at highest concentration (5.0 × 10⁹ cfu/ml) of P. paralactis. However, at lowest concentration the larval mortality started after five days in case of K. pneumoniae and seventh day after treatment in case of P. paralactis (Figs. 3 and 4). The LC₅₀ values were calculated by using Probit analysis. It was found to be 1.2 × 10⁹ cfu/ml for K. pneumoniae and 6.4 × 10⁸ cfu/ml for P. paralactis. The infected larvae showed the symptoms of sluggishness, cessation of feeding and the dead larvae became black in color, flaccid with intact integument due to pathogenic effects of these bacteria (Fig. 5a and b).

Consumption of bacteria significantly delayed the development of insect (Table 1). Significant differences were observed among the treatments in case of larval development period. In comparison to control, the larvae took 2.38 to 4.74 days more to complete their development at 1.9 × 10⁹ to 5.8 × 10⁹ cfu/ml of K. pneumoniae.
Significant effect was also detected on pupal development period. Except for the lowest concentration the total development period of *S. litura* extended significantly due to consumption of *K. pneumoniae*. Similarly *P. paralactis* influenced the development of *S. litura*. All the concentrations significantly delayed the larval development. With respect to control, the highest concentration i.e. $5.0 \times 10^9$ cfu/ml extended the larval period by 8.04 days. Although no significant effect was observed on pupal period except for the highest concentration, but the total development period of *S. litura* was prolonged significantly at all the concentrations of *P. paralactis* (Table 1).

**Adult emergence and reproductive potential**

Higher concentrations of both the bacteria significantly decreased the emergence of adults. In comparison to 91.06% in control, only 70.52 and 71.00% adult emergence was recorded due to *K. pneumoniae* and *P. paralactis* at $5.8 \times 10^9$ cfu/ml and $5.0 \times 10^9$ cfu/ml respectively (Table 1). The adults emerged from treated larvae exhibited morphological deformities such as unequal and crumpled wings (Fig. 5c and d). As is evident from Fig. 6, both the bacterial treatments also influenced the adult longevity with significant effect at higher concentrations. Fecundity of females tended to decrease under the influence of *K.*
pneumoniae, but significant effect was only detected at the highest concentration where the female laid only 556 eggs during its lifetime in comparison to 866.66 eggs/female in control. Higher concentrations of P. paralactis also significantly decreased the fecundity (Fig. 7). Viability of eggs was also adversely affected at higher concentrations of the bacterial cell suspensions (Fig. 8).

**Effect of K. pneumoniae and P. paralactis on nutritional physiology**
The results presented in Table 2, depict reduction in RCR of S. litura larvae feeding on leaves treated with different concentrations of the bacteria when compared to control. The differences were statistically significant at higher concentrations. Decrease in consumption rate further lead to decrease in relative...
growth rate of larvae. With respect to control there was 30.30 to 33.33% and 24.24 to 36.36% decrease in RGR due to different concentrations of \textit{K. pneumoniae} and \textit{P. paralactis} respectively \((F = 5.19, p \leq 0.05; \ F = 4.88, p \leq 0.05)\). Ingestion of leaves treated with both the bacteria also influenced the efficiency of conversion of ingested and digested food of \textit{S. litura}. The higher concentrations of \textit{K. pneumoniae} cell suspension resulted in 2.04 to 2.06 times decrease in ECI and 1.79 to 1.80 times decrease in ECD with respect to control. As is evident from Table 2, all the concentrations of \textit{P. paralactis} also significantly decreased the values of ECI and ECD with respect to control. However, statistically significant differences were not observed among the concentrations. Higher concentrations of \textit{K. pneumoniae} significantly decreased the approximate digestibility while no significant effect was detected due to \textit{P. paralactis} except for the highest concentration.

\section*{Effect of \textit{K. pneumoniae} and \textit{P. paralactis} on gut microflora of \textit{S. litura}}

Gut microbial diversity of control as well as larvae treated with bacterial suspension of \textit{K. pneumoniae} and \textit{P. paralactis} at their LC_{50} values i.e. \(1.2 \times 10^9\) and \(6.4 \times 10^8\) cfu/ml respectively was explored in order to see the difference in culturable bacteria. Gut microbial composition differed in control and treated larvae. \textit{E. mundtii}, \textit{E. casseliflavus} and \textit{A. hemolyticus} were isolated from control larvae having cfu count of \(7.4 \times 10^6\), \(6.9 \times 10^6\) and \(4.0 \times 10^5\) per ml respectively (Table 3). However, when the larvae were fed on castor leaves treated with \textit{K. pneumoniae}, three cultures i.e. \textit{E. mundtii}, \textit{E. casseliflavus} and \textit{K. pneumoniae} were present with \(7.0 \times 10^5\), \(7.5 \times 10^5\) and \(8.2 \times 10^7\) cfu/ml respectively (Table 3). Similarly \textit{E. mundtii}, \textit{E. casseliflavus} and \textit{P. paralactis} were present with \(7.3 \times 10^4\), \(4.2 \times 10^3\) and \(6.6 \times 10^6\) cfu/ml respectively when the larvae were fed on \textit{P. paralactis} treated leaves. However, \textit{A. hemolyticus} was absent in the larvae treated with both the bacterial concentrations. The numbers of \textit{Enterococcus} colonies were superseded by the number of colonies of \textit{K. pneumoniae} and \textit{P. paralactis} in the treated larvae.

\section*{Histological studies}

The histopathological effects of \textit{K. pneumoniae} and \textit{P. paralactis} on the midgut of \textit{S. litura} larvae were also detected. The midgut cross-sections of treated larvae showed damage of the midgut epithelial cells (Fig. 9). Midgut of larvae fed with \textit{K. pneumoniae} and \textit{P. paralactis} showed the vacuolization of the cytoplasm, brush border membrane destruction and complete destruction of membrane at some sites. In contrast, the control \textit{S. litura} larvae showed a well-preserved layer of epithelial cells with unaffected apical microvilli membrane of the midgut.

\section*{Evaluation of the presence of \textit{K. pneumoniae} and \textit{P. paralactis} in larval haemolymph}

The growth of \textit{K. pneumoniae} bacteria was observed in the hemolymph of larvae infected with \textit{K. pneumoniae}, however, no growth was observed in case of \textit{P. paralactis} treatment as well as in control larvae.
Insects live in a symbiotic relationship with various gut microbes that play an important role in nutrition and digestion, development, detoxification of secondary plant metabolites and reproduction of insects [26, 27, 37]. In the present study culturable bacteria viz. *E. casseliflavus*, *E. mundtii*, *S. marcescens*, *K. pneumoniae*, *P. paralactis* and *P. brenneri* were isolated from the gut of adults of *S. litura*. These bacteria have earlier been reported to be associated with larvae and adults of lepidopterans.
and other insects [38–40]. In order to identify new candidates for biological control, these bacteria were tested for their effect on survival and development of *S. litura*. Among these *K. pneumoniae* and *P. paralactis* induced up to 72 and 70% mortality respectively in the larvae. Pathogenicity of *K. pneumoniae* and *Pseudomonas* species have earlier been reported against the same host as well as other insects. *Klebsiella* sp. isolated from *S. littoralis* and *Bombyx mori* (Linnaeus) showed high insecticidal activity when tested against the same host [33, 41]. The pathogenicity of different strains of *K. pneumoniae* has also been documented against *Galleria mellonella* (Linnaeus) causing 100% mortality when the larvae were injected with highest concentration (10^7 cfu) after 24 h.
Table 2  Influence of different concentrations of *K. pneumoniae* and *P. paralactis* on food consumption and utilization of *S. litura* larvae

| Bacteria          | Concentrations (cfu/ml) | RGR (mg mg⁻¹d⁻¹) (Mean± S.E.) | RCR (mg mg⁻¹d⁻¹) (Mean± S.E.) | ECI (%) (Mean± S.E.) | ECD (%) (Mean± S.E.) | AD (%) (Mean± S.E.) |
|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| *K. pneumoniae*   | Control                 | 0.33±0.040b                  | 34.56±0.83c                   | 1.82±0.24d          | 8.04±0.86e          | 95.51±1.28c         |
|                   | 3.2 × 10⁸               | 0.23±0.008a                  | 29.02±1.88bc                 | 1.50±0.19ab         | 8.33±0.93b          | 91.61±0.37bc        |
|                   | 8.2 × 10⁸               | 0.25±0.006⁹                  | 27.02±1.01bc                 | 1.31±0.18⁹          | 6.53±0.46⁹          | 91.39±0.81bc        |
|                   | 1.9 × 10⁹               | 0.23±0.01b                   | 18.09±4.26b                  | 1.24±0.15⁹          | 4.47±0.67⁹          | 90.32±1.21b         |
|                   | 3.6 × 10⁹               | 0.25±0.002a                  | 13.58±4.32⁷                  | 0.89±0.06⁸          | 4.45±0.57⁹          | 87.18±0.70b         |
|                   | 5.8 × 10⁹               | 0.22±0.01a                   | 10.51±3.50⁹                  | 0.88±0.05⁸          | 4.45±0.57⁹          | 84.66±1.70a         |
|                   | F-value                 | 5.19**                       | 9.90**                        | 4.73**              | 6.90**              | 11.69**             |
| *P. paralactis*   | Control                 | 0.33±0.040b                  | 34.56±0.83c                   | 1.82±0.24d          | 8.04±0.86e          | 95.51±1.28b         |
|                   | 2.4 × 10⁸               | 0.25±0.010⁶                  | 33.19±0.61bc                 | 0.83±0.02a          | 4.15±0.72a          | 91.76±1.44bc        |
|                   | 6.8 × 10⁸               | 0.25±0.006⁴                  | 29.02±1.88bc                 | 0.88±0.05⁴          | 4.45±0.57⁹          | 91.39±0.81bc        |
|                   | 1.4 × 10⁹               | 0.25±0.005⁴                  | 29.15±0.84b                  | 0.88±0.01⁴          | 4.49±0.31⁹          | 91.69±0.65ab        |
|                   | 3.2 × 10⁹               | 0.23±0.017⁷                  | 27.02±1.01b                  | 0.80±0.04⁷          | 4.47±0.67⁹          | 90.12±1.17b         |
|                   | 5.0 × 10⁹               | 0.21±0.010⁴                  | 27.55±1.23⁹                  | 0.76±0.02⁴          | 3.75±0.39⁹          | 84.74±3.57a         |
|                   | F-value                 | 4.88**                       | 7.22**                        | 14.95**             | 6.39**              | 3.88**              |

The values (Mean± SE) followed by different letters (superscript) with in a column indicate the significant differences at Tukey's test *P* ≤ 0.05, **Significant at 1% level.**

Table 3  Effect of LC₅₀ values of *K. pneumoniae* and *P. paralactis* on culturable gut microbial diversity of *S. litura* larvae

| Treatments | Bacterial population in gut of *S. litura* larvae (cfu/ml) |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
|            | *E. mundtii* | *E. casseliflavus* | *K. pneumoniae* | *P. paralactis* | *A. hemolyticus* |
| Control    | 7.4 × 10⁶    | 6.9 × 10⁶          | -              | -              | 4.0 × 10⁵        |
| *K. pneumoniae* | 7.0 × 10⁵    | 7.5 × 10⁴          | 8.2 × 10⁷      | -              | -              |
| *P. paralactis* | 7.3 × 10⁴    | 4.2 × 10³          | -              | -              | 6.6 × 10⁶        |

Fig. 9  Longitudinal section through the midgut of 4th instar *S. litura* larvae. (a) midgut of larvae fed on control leaf diet showing intact peritrophic membrane (PM), epithelial layers (EL) and muscle layer (ML), (b) midgut of larvae fed on leaf treated with *K. pneumoniae* showing lumen (L), peritrophic membrane disruption (PMD), epithelial layers disruption (ELD) and cytoplasmic vacuolation (CV), (c) midgut of larvae fed on leaf treated with *P. paralactis* showing cytoplasmic vacuolation (CV), epithelial layers disruption (ELD) and muscle layer disruption (MLD).
of infection [42]. *K. pneumoniae* isolated from infected pupae of *G. mellonella* also caused cross pathogenicity in *Trichoplusia ni* (Hubner) larvae [40]. *Pseudomonas* is a broad-host-range entomopathogenic bacterium that exhibits insecticidal activity towards agricultural pests. *Pseudomonas* strains have been found to infect and kill larval stages of *Drosophila melanogaster* (Meigen), *S. littoralis* and *P. xylostella* [36, 43, 44]. Maciel-Vergara et al. [45] documented higher mortality in the larvae of the giant mealworm *Zophobas morio* (Fabricius) due to *P. aeruginosa* when injected into the hemocoel in comparison to oral ingestion. Other species of *Pseudomonas* viz. *P. taiwanensis*, *P. protegens* strains and *P. chlororaphis* have also been reported to have potent insecticidal activity against *G. mellonella* and *Manduca sexta* (Linnaeus) larvae [36, 46]. Contrary to this, there are reports indicating the role of these bacteria in fitness of insect. *Klebsiella oxytoca* helps to reduce the intraspecific competition in age-disparate larval offsprings by affecting the ovipositional behaviour of gravid females of *Musca domestica* (Linnaeus) [47]. Previous studies also documented the role of *Klebsiella* spp. in increasing the mating performance in *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann) [48–50]. Similarly *Pseudomonas* genus has been reported to help in digestion of cellulose, amino acid synthesis and production of siderophores for extraction of iron required in many biochemical reactions, and to overcome iron toxicosis [51–53].

The larvae treated with *K. pneumoniae* and *P. paralactis* showed the symptoms of sluggishness, cessation of feeding and the dead larvae became black in color, flaccid with intact integument. Similar symptoms have earlier been reported in other insects due to *Klebsiella* and *Pseudomonas* infection [42, 45]. Histopathological studies revealed disruption of peritrophic matrix, damage in microvilli and midgut epithelial cells of *S. litura* larvae due to *K. pneumoniae* and *P. paralactis* infection. Damage to peritrophic membrane and disruption of intestinal integrity has earlier been reported due to oral ingestion of *Pseudomonas* and Bt toxins [44, 54, 55]. The pathogenicity of bacteria may be due to toxemia or septicaemia. The larvae treated with *P. paralactis* did not show any bacterial growth in the hemolymph, indicating that the mortality of larvae may be due to toxin production and not due to its entry into the hemocoel. However, *K. pneumoniae* had been found to cross the gut epithelial barrier and invade the hemocoel causing septicaemia and ultimately death of the host. Previously Insua et al. [42] documented mortality in *G. mellonella* due to replication of *K. pneumoniae* in hemocoel. The high bacterial load present in the hemolymph cause concomitant tissue necrosis due to bacterial toxins [56, 57]. *Pseudomonas* is known to produce toxins such as extracellular proteinases and metalloproteases causing larval mortality in insects [45, 58]. The gut bacteria persist usually in low numbers inside the insect host without causing any disease; however, they may become pathogenic under stress conditions when the insect immune system gets weakened or due to alterations in the composition of microbiota [59–61]. *S. marcescens*, *Pseudomonas* and *klebsiella* are present as a part of normal gut microflora of lepidopterans and other insects [39, 62, 63]. However, the pathogenicity of these bacteria isolated from insects has also been reported against same host as well as other insects [33, 45, 64]. Present study reveals the change in gut microbial diversity between the infected and control larvae. The composition of gut microflora of control larva consists of three cultures viz. *E. mundtii*, *E. casseliflavus* and *A. hemolyticus* in uniform distribution. However, in case of larvae fed on diet treated with *K. pneumoniae* and *P. paralactis*, the number of respective bacteria increased in comparison to *E. mundtii* and *E. casseliflavus* and thus become dominant in infected larvae and inhibited the growth of *A. hemolyticus*. Similar reports have earlier been documented by Thakur et al. [32] and Broderick et al. [61] in lepidopterans pests. Perturbation in gut microbial diversity due to bacterial infection thus lead to death of the host [60, 65–67].

In addition to mortality, larval treatment with *K. pneumoniae* and *P. paralactis* also delayed the development period of *S. litura*. It is in line with the previous studies indicating delay in development of *S. litura* and *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel) due to infection of gut bacteria *Enterobacter cloaca* and *Lactobacillus lactis* [32, 68]. The results also revealed a significant negative effect of *K. pneumoniae* and *P. paralactis* on nutritional parameters of *S. litura*. The relative consumption rate of *S. litura* significantly decreased when the larvae were fed on diet treated with higher concentrations of bacterial cell suspension. Decreased RCR further led to concomitant decrease in growth rate relative to control. The treated larvae also showed reduced in efficiency of conversion of ingested and digested food and approximate digestibility of insect. Similar inhibitory effects of bacterial infection on nutritional physiology have earlier been documented on *S. litura* and *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* (Guenee) [32, 69]. The decrease in consumption rate may be due to antifeedant effect of bacteria which impairs the larva from feeding or prohibits it from making maximum utilization of the ingested diet that may lead to longer larval developmental time [68]. The bacterial infection also caused damage to epithelial membrane and peritrophic matrix which may further interrupt the digestion and nutrient absorption thereby slowing the growth of larvae as suggested by Buchon et al. [70]. The *Pseudomonas* bacteria
are known to produce chitinases that hydrolyse chitin, which is a common constituent of the insect exoskeleton and midgut peritrophic membrane [71–73]. Chitinases have been reported to disrupt the peritrophic membrane and decrease the digestive function [74–76]. An extracellular chitinase purified from B. subtilis has been found to negatively affect the nutritional parameters of S. litura [77]. The reduced adult emergence and morphological deformities in adults such as unequal and crumpled wings were observed along with the decrease in reproductive potential of adults. Olcott et al. [43] also documented delay in development as well as morphological defects in adult flies of D. melanogaster due to P. fluorescens infection. Similarly P. aeruginosa infection negatively affected the longevity of C. capitata [38]. These results show that the bacteria isolated from S. litura act as opportunistic pathogens which exert growth inhibition, antifeedant and toxic effects on S. litura.

Conclusions
In conclusion, cultivable bacteria viz. K. pneumoniae and P. paralactis isolated from the gut of S. litura adults exhibited insecticidal potential. Both these bacteria caused significantly higher mortality in S. litura larvae and delayed the development of insect. These bacteria also negatively affected the nutritional physiology and reproductive potential of the insect. Thus both these bacterial isolates appear to be significant candidates for microbial control of this pest. However, further optimization studies on mass production of bacterial cells and their testing under natural conditions need to be done.

Materials and methods
Collection and mass rearing of insect
The egg masses and larvae of S. litura were collected from cabbage and cauliflower fields around Amritsar (Punjab), India. The larvae were reared in the laboratory on fresh castor leaves in plastic jars (15 cm × 10 cm) under controlled temperature and humidity conditions of 25 ± 2°C and 60 ± 5% respectively [53]. The larval diet was changed daily till pupation. The pupae were transferred to pupation jars and freshly emerged adults were shifted to oviposition jars. The adults were provided with honey solution (1 part honey: 4 parts water v/v) soaked on a cotton swab. The oviposition jars were lined with filter paper to facilitate egg laying. The newly hatched larvae were transferred to fresh castor leaves for further maintenance of culture. Larvae from the laboratory culture were used for conducting experiments.

Bacterial isolation
The male and female adults (4 days old) obtained from laboratory culture were surface sterilised by rinsing with sterile water followed by 70% (v/v) ethanol, and then thoroughly rinsed with sterilized distilled water to remove the disinfectant. The adults were dissected aseptically with the help of sterilized micro scissors to remove the gut. The dissected guts of male and female adults were then separately homogenized in 1.0 ml Phosphate Buffer Saline (PBS) solution (pH 7.0). Homogenised samples were then serially diluted up to ten times and 100 µl of each diluted sample was then plated on Luria Bertani (LB) plates for isolation of bacteria. The plating was done by spread plate technique. The whole procedure was carried out under the laminar flow cabinet (ESCO, USA). The plates were incubated at 30°C for 72 h and the morphologically distinct isolates were obtained. The pure bacterial cultures were stored in 50% (w/v) glycerol at -80°C. Microbial isolates were identified as Enterococcus casseliflavus, Enterococcus mundtii, Serratia marcescens, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas paralactis and Pantoea brenneri (data submitted elsewhere). All these bacterial isolates were present in the females while the males harboured only E. casseliflavus, E. mundtii, S. marcescens and K. pneumoniae.

Preparation of bacterial suspension
Different bacterial cultures were inoculated into LB broth and incubated at 30°C for 48 h. After incubation each bacterial culture was centrifuged at 4000 rpm and 4°C for 10 min to obtain the pellet. The pellet was washed once with sterile distilled water and resuspended in PBS. The bacterial density was measured at optical density (OD_{600}) and adjusted to 1.89 (1.8 ± 10^6 cfu/ml approximately) and 10 ml of adjusted culture was further used in bioassays as described by Eski et al. [24] with some modifications.

Screening bioassays
The screening of bacterial cultures for their insecticidal potential was conducted on second instar larvae (6 days old) of S. litura. The larvae were randomly selected and kept in rearing vials. Fresh castor leaves were surface sterilized with 5% (v/v) NaOCl followed by washing with distilled water. These leaves (approximately 10 cm²) were treated by dipping in 10 ml bacterial cell suspension of different isolates prepared as described above. The treated leaves after air drying at room temperature were placed in rearing vials containing larvae. In order to avoid cannibalism, one larva was kept in each rearing tube. Initial screening was done with 50 larvae with 5 replications of each bacterial isolate (10 larvae per replicate). Surface sterilized castor leaves dipped in PBS buffer were fed to control group. The experimental conditions were
maintained at 25 ± 2°C temperature and 60 ± 5% relative humidity. The diet was changed after every 48 h and for that larvae were provided with fresh castor leaves treated with freshly prepared bacterial suspension until pupation. Observations were made daily on larval mortality.

**Dose response experiments**

Dose response experiments were conducted with bacterial isolates, *K. pneumoniae* and *P. paralactis* based on their higher larval mortality in *S. litura* according to screening test. Five different bacterial concentrations of each bacterial isolate were used i.e. C1 = 3.2 x 10^8 cfu/ml, C2 = 8.2 x 10^8 cfu/ml, C3 = 1.9 x 10^9 cfu/ml, C4 = 3.6 x 10^9 cfu/ml and C5 = 5.8 x 10^9 cfu/ml in case of *K. pneumoniae* and C1 = 2.4 x 10^8 cfu/ml, C2 = 6.8 x 10^8 cfu/ml, C3 = 1.4 x 10^9 cfu/ml, C4 = 3.2 x 10^9 cfu/ml and C5 = 5.0 x 10^9 cfu/ml in case of *P. paralactis* (based on their OD_{600} values). Ten ml of each concentration was used to treat the castor leaves (approximately 10 cm²). The leaves dipped in PBS only served as control. Experiments on both the bacterial cultures were conducted on 50 s instar larvae (6 days old) with 5 replications (10 larvae per replicate) for each concentration. After every 48 h diet was changed till pupation. The observations on larval mortality and developmental stages were recorded by Probit analysis using the SPSS 20.0 statistical software.

**Nutritional analysis**

To evaluate the effect of bacterial cultures, *K. pneumoniae* and *P. paralactis* on nutritional physiology, second instar larvae of *S. litura* were starved for 3–4 h. The larvae were weighed individually and released in rearing vials containing the weighed leaves treated with above mentioned bacterial concentrations. Similarly leaves dipped in PBS served as control. The experiment was performed on 50 s instar larvae in each concentration of both the bacterial cultures. After 72 h of feeding, the weight of larvae, residual diet and faecal matter was recorded and overall change in each variable was calculated with the last recorded value. The data obtained were used to calculate nutritional indices on dry weight basis following the procedure of Farrar et al. [78] and Datta et al. [79]. Relative growth (RGR) and consumption rates (RCR) were calculated as G/I (G = change in larval dry weight/day and I = initial larval dry weight) and C/I (C = change in diet dry weight/day and I = initial larval dry weight) respectively. Both are calculated as mg mg⁻¹ d⁻¹. Index of food conversion efficiency (ECI) was calculated as 100 x G/C; where G = dry weight gain of insect and C = dry weight of food consumed. Approximate digestibility (AD) and efficiency of conversion of digested food (ECD) were calculated as C – F/C x 100 (where C = change in diet dry weight/day and F = dry weight of frass/day) and G/C – F x 100 (where G = change in larval dry weight/day, C = change in diet dry weight/day and F = dry weight of frass/day, respectively). Efficiency of conversion of ingested food (ECI), approximate digestibility (AD) and efficiency of conversion of digested food (ECD) were calculated as percent.

**Effect of *K. pneumoniae* and *P. paralactis* on gut microflora of *S. litura***

To determine the effect of ingestion of bacteria on gut microbial diversity of *S. litura*, second instar larvae were fed on LC_{50} values of *K. pneumoniae* and *P. paralactis*. After 96 h of bacterial treatment, ten healthy control larvae and ten infected larvae showing the symptoms of slow growth, reduction in size, black pigmentation on integument were selected. The gut of both infected and control larvae were removed separately with the help of dissection scissors. These larval guts were homogenized in a homogenizer containing 1 ml of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) under the laminar flow cabinet. The homogenized suspension was diluted up to ten times and 100 µl of each dilution was spread on Luria Bertani (LB) agar plates with the help of spreader. The plates were incubated for 48 h at 30°C and observed for appearance of bacterial colonies and the cfu/ml of different bacteria was calculated by plate count method.

**Histological analysis**

The effect of LC_{50} values of *K. pneumoniae* and *P. paralactis* infection on histology of midgut of *S. litura* was studied on 2nd instar (6 days old) larvae. The leaves treated with bacterial suspensions were fed to larvae for 96 h. The larvae fed on leaves dipped in PBS only served as control. The temperature and humidity conditions were maintained at 25 ± 2°C and 60 ± 5% respectively. After 96 h, larvae were dissected aseptically and gut was removed in distilled water. The gut was preserved in 10% formalin until processing of tissue. After fixation, the material was washed with distilled water in a tube and process was repeated many times. Then dehydration of tissue was done by passing through 30-90% grades of alcohol. For each treatment as well as control, the tissue was fixed in paraffin wax. After solidification of wax blocks, thin ribbons from blocks were prepared using the
microtome. These thin ribbons having gut sections were placed on slide coated with very thin layer of Mayer’s egg albumin and kept on warm hot plate at 40-45°C temperature for equal spreading of wax. Again tissue section placed on slide was passed through 30-90% grades of alcohol in ascending and descending way. Then permanent staining of slides was done by using hematoxylin and eosin stain following the methodology of Verma and Srivastava [80]. The slides were observed under the microscope (Evos XL Core) at magnification 400X to study the histology of gut tissue.

Evaluation of the presence of bacteria in larval hemolymph
To evaluate the presence of bacteria in hemolymph of larvae, the second instar larvae were fed on LC50 values of K. pneumoniae and P. para lactis. After 96 h of bacterial treatment, 100µl of hemolymph was collected from ten infected larvae of bacteria treated groups and ten control larvae. The hemolymph collected was serially diluted and spread on LB agar plates with the help of spreader. Plates were incubated at 30°C and observed after 48 h for the appearance of bacterial colonies.

Statistical analysis
The larval mortality, development period, adult emergence, adult deformities and all parameters of nutritional analysis were replicated five times (10 larvae/replication) while the experiments on male and female longevities, fecundity and egg hatching were replicated three times. All the values were represented as their mean ± SE. The difference in means were compared by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey’s test at $p \geq 0.05$. SPSS 20.0 software was used for statistical analysis.
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