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1 Introduction

Our notation will be based on [9] and [15].

Let $F$ be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$. We can therefore represent $F$ as a quotient space $\Gamma \backslash H$, where $\Gamma$ is a strictly hyperbolic Fuchsian group and $H$ is the upper half-plane.

Thus, $\Gamma \subseteq PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$.

If $I$ is the fundamental polygon of $F$, then $\partial I = \alpha_1^{+} \beta_1^{+} \alpha_1^{-} \beta_1^{-} \cdots \alpha_g^{+} \beta_g^{+} \alpha_g^{-} \beta_g^{-}$, where the sides $\alpha_k$, $\alpha_k^+$ and $\beta_k$, $\beta_k^+$ are identified in pairs.

We assume that the sides of $I$ are piecewise smooth.

As it is known, the upper half-plane $H$ comes with the Poincare metric $ds = \frac{|dz|}{y}$, whose corresponding area element is $d\mu(z) = \frac{y}{2}dxdy$.

Note that the Poincare metric has Gaussian curvature $K = -1$ (see, e.g., [18]).

Suppose that $\pi : H \to F$ is the universal covering map.

By projecting the Poincare metric onto $F$ via $\pi$, $F$ becomes a compact Riemannian manifold.

Furthermore, by the Gauss-Bonnet theorem (see, e.g., [17])

$$A = \text{Area}(F) = \mu(I) = 4\pi (g - 1).$$

Note that the automorphic group $\Gamma$ is determined up to a conjugation in $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$. However, the Poincare metric on $F$ is not affected by such conjugations because of the invariance properties of the Poincare metric on $H$ (we assume through the rest of the paper that $F$ carries the Poincare metric). Furthermore, the Gaussian curvature is still $K = -1$.

In [10], the author concluded that the asymptotic distribution of the closed geodesics is highly influenced by the eigenvalues of the Laplace operator $\Delta$ on $F$, i.e., by the eigenvalues for the problem $\Delta f + \lambda f = 0$ on $F$.

The Selberg zeta function $Sel(s)$ for the group $\Gamma$ is an entire function of order 2, having a sequence of zeros at $0, -1, -2, \ldots$, with the zero at $s = 1$ simple, and having additional zeros in the critical strip $0 < \text{Re}(s) < 1$. The zeros in the critical strip are located at points which are solutions of the equations $s(s - 1) = \lambda_n$, where $\lambda_n$ ranges through the sequence of eigenvalues, omitting $\lambda_0 = 0$, for the problem $\Delta f + \lambda f = 0$ on $F$.

The multiplicity of such a zero is the same as the multiplicity of the corresponding eigenvalue (see, e.g., [13], [16]).

Note that the detailed description of the locations and the orders of the zeros of $Sel(s)$ will be given in the sequel.

In [15, p. 245, Th. 2] (see also, [11]), the author derived the following length spectrum.

If $Sel(s)$ has zeros $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$ in $(\frac{3}{4}, 1)$, then, there exist constants $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n$, such that
\[ \varphi_0 (x) = x + c_1 x^{\alpha_1} + \ldots + c_n x^{\alpha_n} + O \left( x^{\frac{3}{4}} \right) \]
as \( x \to +\infty \) (the functions \( \varphi_n (x) \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \) are introduced below).

If, however, \( Sel (s) \) has no zeros in \( \left( \frac{4}{7}, 1 \right) \), then
\[ \varphi_0 (x) = x + O \left( x^{\frac{3}{4}} \right) \]
as \( x \to +\infty \).

The main goal of this research is to derive an analogous result for the function \( \varphi_1 (x) \), i.e., a weighted form of the corresponding length spectrum.

In our earlier research [1, p. 466, Th. 1], we derived one such result applying quite complex mathematical apparatus. The obtained remainder \( O \left( x^{\frac{3}{4}} \log x \right) \), however, was much better than the classical one \( O \left( x^{\frac{3}{4}} \right) \).

Motivated by this fact, that a \( \varphi_1 \) analogue of the classical length spectrum yields a better result, we give yet another proof of Theorem 1 [1] based on application of much simpler mathematical techniques.

2 Preliminaries

We adopt the functions \( \varphi_0 (x) \) and \( \varphi_n (x) \) from [15, p. 245].

The first one is given as a sum indexed over \( \Gamma_{hyp} \), with \( Norm (\gamma_0) \leq x \), where \( \Gamma_{hyp} \) denotes the set of \( \Gamma \)-conjugacy classes of hyperbolic elements in \( \Gamma \). \( Norm (\gamma_0) = \exp (\text{len} (\text{Geo}_{\gamma_0})) \), \( \text{len} (\text{Geo}_{\gamma_0}) \) is the length of the prime geodesic \( Geo_{\gamma_0} \) associated to the conjugacy class \( \gamma_0 \) (it is known fact that prime geodesics over \( F \) correspond to the conjugacy classes of primitive hyperbolic elements in \( \Gamma \)). More precisely, if \( \gamma \in \Gamma_{hyp} \), then \( \gamma \) is an exponent of some primitive \( \gamma_0 \), with the degree \( j (\gamma) \in \mathbb{N} \).

For such \( \gamma \), \( \Phi (\gamma) \) is defined by \( \Phi (\gamma) = \text{len} (\text{Geo}_{\gamma_0}) \).

The functions \( \varphi_n (x) \), \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) are defined inductively.

Through the rest of the paper, we shall assume that \( l \) is a number, \( l \in \mathbb{N} \), and that \( d \) and \( T \) are constants which will be fixed later.

For a function \( f (x) \), we define \( \mathcal{D}_{l-1,+} \) by
\[
\mathcal{D}_{l-1,+} f (x) = f (x + (l - 1) d) - (l - 1) f (x + (l - 2) d) \\
+ \frac{(l - 1)(l - 2)}{2} f (x + (l - 3) d) - \ldots \\
+ (-1)^{l-1} f (x).
\]

By [3, p. 315, (22)], \( \mathcal{D}_{l-1,+} f (x) \) can be represented as an iterated integral (if \( f \) is a differentiable function of appropriate order).

Thus, for some \( x \leq \bar{x} \leq x + (l - 1) d \), an analogue of the estimate (23) in [3] holds also true.

The number of zeros of \( Sel (s) \) on the critical line will be denoted by \( n (t) \).

We shall apply the fact that \( n (t) \) can be estimated as \( \frac{A}{4\pi t^2} \).

3 Main result

We shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let \( F \) be a compact Riemann surface of genus \( g \geq 2 \). Then,
\[
\frac{\varphi_1 (x)}{x} = \frac{1}{2} x + \sum_{s_0 \in S_0, \Re \frac{1}{2} < \Re s_0 < 1} \text{ord} (s_0, 0) \frac{s_0^{-1}}{s_0 + 1} x^{s_0} \\
+ O \left( x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x \right)
\]
as \( x \to +\infty \), where \( S_0, \Re \) is the set of zeros of \( Sel (s) \) such that \( s_0 \in (0, 1) \), and \( \text{ord} (s_0, 0) \) is the multiplicity of \( s_0 \).

Proof. By [15, p. 245, Th. 1’], \( \varphi_1 (x) \) can be represented as the sum \( \sum_{p=0}^{1} (-1)^p W_p \), where \( W_p \) is the sum
\[
\sum_{z \in A_{p,l}} a_z W_p.
\]
Here, \( A_{p,l} \) denotes the set of poles of the corresponding function, and \( a_z \)'s are the attached residues.

In general, we may point out that the Selberg zeta function \( Sel (s + p) \) has a zero at \( 1 - p \) of order 1, a zero at \( 0 - p \) of order \( 2g - 1 \), zeros at \(-k - p \), \( k \in \{1, 2, \ldots \} \), whose orders are \( (2g - 2)(2k + 1) \).
The non-trivial zeros of $\text{Sel}(s+p)$ are all contained in the union of the interval $(0 - p, 1 - p)$ with the vertical line $\frac{1}{2} - p + i\mathbb{R}$, i.e., in $(0, 1 - p) \cup \left(\frac{1}{2} - p + i\mathbb{R}\right)$.

As we already noted, the values $-1, \ldots, -l$ are zeros of $\text{Sel}(s+p)$. Hence, these values are poles of order two of the corresponding function.

The values $-(l+1), -(l+2), \ldots$ are then the simple poles of the same function.

Finally, the value 1 is a simple pole if $p = 0$.

The set of zeros $s_p$ of $\text{Sel}(s+p)$ such that $s_p \notin \mathbb{Z}$ will be denoted by $S_p$.

In other words, $S_p \subseteq (-p, 1 - p) \cup \left(\frac{1}{2} - p + i\mathbb{R}\right)$.

Note that the values $s_p$, where $s_p \in S_p$ are also simple poles of the function in the case at hand.

Now, we determine the residues $a_{z,p,l}$'s for $z \in A_{p,l}$.

Let $z$ be a zero of $\text{Sel}(s+p)$ of multiplicity $\text{ord}(z,p)$.

Furthermore, let $q_i(z,p)$'s be the corresponding coefficients in the expansion of the logarithmic derivative with respect to $s$ of $\text{Sel}(s+p)$ near $z$.

If $z \in A_{p,l}$, and $z = s_p \in S_p$, then $a_{z,p,l}$'s are calculated in the same way as in [3, p. 314, (13)].

Suppose that $z \in A_{p,l}$, and $z = -j \in \{0, -1, \ldots, -l\}$.

For the final form of the corresponding residue $a_{-j,p,l}$ in this case, we refer to [3, (14)].

Now, suppose that $z \in A_{p,l}$, $z = -j \in \{-(l+1), -(l+2), \ldots\}$.

In this case, $a_{-j,p,l}$ is given by

$$\text{ord}(-j,p) \prod_{q=0}^{\infty} (-j + q)^{-1} x^{-j+l}.$$ 

Furthermore, if $z \in A_{0,l}$, $z = 1$, then $a_{1,0,l} = x^{1+l} / (1+l)!$.

We shall consider the following subsets of the set $S_{l}$: $S_{p,R} = S_p \cap \mathbb{R}$, and $S_{p,1/2-p} = S_p \setminus S_{p,R}$ for $p \in \{0, 1\}$.

Thus, $S_{p,R} \subseteq (-p, 1 - p)$ and $S_{p,1/2-p} \subseteq \frac{1}{2} - p + i\mathbb{R}$.

Assume that $z \in A_{p,l}$, and $z \in S_{p,1/2-p}$.

By the very definition of the operator $D_{l-1,+}$, it immediately follows that $d^{-(l-1)}D_{l-1,+}a_{z,p,l}$ can be estimated by $O \left(\frac{1}{\text{ord}(z,p)} |z|^{-1} |x|^{l+1} \right)$

On the other side, an application of the mean value theorem, yields that

$$|\text{ord}(z,p)| |z|^{-1} |z+1| \left(x + \sum_{i=2}^{l} q_i\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-p+1}$$

dominates $|d^{-(l-1)}D_{l-1,+}a_{z,p,l}|$ for some $0 \leq q_i \leq d$, $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, l\}$.

Thus, $O \left(\frac{1}{2} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$ is the second estimate for $d^{-(l-1)}D_{l-1,+}a_{z,p,l}$.

Having in mind these two estimates, one easily concludes that the sum

$$\sum_{z \in S_{p,1/2-p}} d^{-(l-1)}D_{l-1,+}a_{z,p,l},$$

sum $\sum_{p=0}^{1} (-1)^p \sum_{z \in S_{p,1/2-p}} d^{-(l-1)}D_{l-1,+}a_{z,p,l}$ are

$$O \left(x^{3 \frac{3}{2}} \log T\right) + O \left(d^{-(l-1)}x^{\frac{1}{2}+1}T^{-l+1}\right).$$

Furthermore, $\frac{1}{2} x^{2} + O(dx) + O(d^2)$ dominates $d^{-(l-1)}D_{l-1,+}a_{1,0,l}$.

Suppose that $d = x^\alpha (\log x)^\beta$ and $T = x^\gamma (\log x)^\delta$.

Then,

$$d^2 = x^{2\alpha} (\log x)^{2\beta},$$

$$x^{\frac{3}{2}} \log T = \gamma x^{\frac{3}{2}} \log x + \delta x^{\frac{3}{2}} \log \log x,$$

$$d^{-l+1}x^{\frac{1}{2}+1}T^{-l+1} = x^{-(l+1)\beta} + (l+1)\gamma (\log x)^{-(l+1)}(l+1)^\beta (l+1)^\delta.$$

Since $\log \log x$ is dominated by $\log x$, we want that

$$2\alpha = \frac{3}{2} = -(l+1),$$

$$2\beta = 1 = -(l+1),$$

$$2\gamma = 1 = -(l+1).$$

It is not so hard to conclude that $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}$, $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$, $\gamma = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{24} \frac{1}{l(l+1)}$, i.e., $d = x^{\frac{3}{2}} (\log x)^{\frac{3}{2}}$ and $T = x^{\frac{1}{4}} (\log x)^{\frac{1}{4}}$.

Since, in this case, $O(dx) = O \left(x^{\frac{7}{4}} (\log x)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$, it follows that the aforementioned remainders are all $O \left(x^{\frac{7}{4}} (\log x)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$.

Next, we consider

$$dx = x^{\alpha+1} (\log x)^\beta,$$

$$x^{\frac{3}{2}} \log T = \gamma x^{\frac{3}{2}} \log x + \delta x^{\frac{3}{2}} \log \log x,$$

$$d^{-l+1}x^{\frac{1}{2}+1}T^{-l+1} = x^{-(l+1)\alpha} (l+1)\gamma (\log x)^{-(l+1)}(l+1)^\beta (l+1)^\delta.$$
Reasoning in the same way as in the previous case, we are interested in
\[
\alpha + 1 = \frac{3}{2} = (-l + 1) \alpha + \frac{1}{2} + l + (-l + 1) \gamma, \\
\beta = 1 = (-l + 1) \beta + (-l + 1) \delta.
\]

Thus, \( \alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \beta = 1, \gamma = \frac{1}{2} \) and \( \delta = \frac{1}{1-l} \), that is,
\[
d = x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x \text{ and } T = x^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log x)^{\frac{1-l}{2}}.
\]

Also, in this case, the error term \( O(d^2) \) is
\[
O\left(x(\log x)^{2}\right).
\]

Consequently, the error terms given above are all \( O\left(x^{2}\log x\right) \).

Since the equality \( O(dx) = O(d^2) \) yields at least the error term \( O(x^2) \), the remaining two cases are not interesting for our research.

The discussion conducted above, yields that \( O\left(x^2 \log x\right) \) is the remainder we are looking for, and is established for \( d = x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x \) and \( T = x^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log x)^{\frac{1-l}{2}} \).

The sum over \( s_p \in S_{p,R} \), \( \frac{1}{2} < s_p < 1, p \in \{0,1\} \), is obviously equal to the sum over \( s_0 \in S_{0,R} \), \( \frac{1}{2} < s_0 < 1 \).

Thus, for \( d = x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x \), the sum is equal to
\[
\sum_{s_0 \in S_{0,R}} \text{ord}(s_0, 0) (s_0)^{-1} (s_0 + 1)^{-1} x^{s_0 + 1} + O\left(x^2 \log x\right).
\]

A we noted earlier, \( Sel(s + p) \) has zeros at \( -k - p, k \in \{1, 2, ...\} \) of orders \( (2g - 2)(2k + 1) \).

In particular, \( Sel(s) \) has zeros at \( -k, k \in \{1, 2, ...\} \) of orders \( (2g - 2)(2k + 1) \), while \( Sel(s + 1) \) has zeros at \( -k - 1, k \in \{1, 2, ...\} \) of orders \( (2g - 2)(2k + 1) \).

Moreover, we denoted by \( \text{ord}(z, 0) \) the multiplicity of the zero \( z \) of \( Sel(s) \).

In particular, \( \text{ord}(z, 1) \) is the multiplicity of the zero \( z \) of \( Sel(s + 1) \).

Thus, \( \text{ord}(-k, 0) = (2g - 2)(2k + 1), k \in \{1, 2, ...\} \), and \( \text{ord}(-k - 1, 1) = \text{ord}(-(k + 1), 1) = (2g - 2)(2k + 1), k \in \{1, 2, ...\} \).

Hence, \( \text{ord}(-j, 0) = (2g - 2)(2j + 1), \)
\( \text{ord}(-j - 1, 1) = (2g - 2)(2(j - 1) + 1) \),
\( \text{ord}(-j - 1, 1) = (2g - 2)(2(j - 1) + 1) = (2g - 2)(2j - 1) \).

It follows that,
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{1} (-1)^j \sum_{l=1}^{+\infty} a_{-j,p,l} \left(\frac{-1}{2j+1}\right)^l = (2g - 2)^{+\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{2j + 1}{(-j)(-j + 1)...(-j + l)} x^{-j+l} - (2g - 2)^{+\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{2j - 1}{(-j)(-j + 1)...(-j + l)} x^{-j+l} = (-1)^{l+1} (4g - 4)^{+\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{j(j - 1)...(j - l)} x^{-j+l}.
\]

Thus,
\[
\sum_{p=0}^{1} (-1)^p \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} a_{-j,p,l} \left|x^{l}\right| = (4g - 4)^{+\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{j(j - 1)...(j - l)} x^{-j+l} \leq (4g - 4)^{x^{-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{j(j - 1)...(j - l)}.
\]

Since the last series converges, we conclude that the sum of \( a_{-j,p,l} \)’s over \( j \in \{l + 1, l + 2, ...\} \), \( p \in \{0,1\} \) is \( O(x^{-1}) \).

If we apply \( D_{l-1,+} \) to this sum, the remainder \( O(x^{-1}) \) will not be changed.

Consequently, for \( d = x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x \), the error term \( O(x^{-1}) \) multiplied by \( d^{-(l-1)} \) gives us
\[
O\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log x\right)^{l-1} x^{-1} = O\left(x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log x\right)^{l-1} (1)
\]

Since
\[
\sum_{p=0}^{1} (-1)^p \sum_{j=2}^{l} d^{-(l-1)} D_{l-1,+} a_{-j,p,l} \leq x^{-1} \sum_{p=0}^{1} \sum_{j=2}^{l} |o_{-j,p,l}|,
\]

where the constant \( o_{-j,p,l} \) is given by
\[ o(-j, p, l) = (-1)^{-j \times \text{ord}(j, p)(l - j)! (j - 2)!} \times \prod_{q=0, q \neq j}^{l} (-j + q)^{-1}, \]

it follows that the corresponding sum is estimated by \( O(x^{-1}) \).

Reasoning in the same way as above (applying the main properties of \( D_{l-1, +} \)), we obtain that the sum corresponding to \( a_{-1, p, l} \), \( p \in \{0, 1\} \) resp. the sum corresponding to \( a_{0, p, l} \), \( p \in \{0, 1\} \), is \( O(\log x) \) resp. \( O(x \log x) \).

Note that the sum over \( s_p \in S_{p, \mathbb{R}}, -1 < s_p < 0, p \in \{0, 1\} \) resp. the sum over \( s_p \in S_{p, \mathbb{R}}, 0 < s_p \leq \frac{1}{2}, p \in \{0, 1\} \), is actually the sum over \( s_1 \in S_{1, \mathbb{R}}, -1 < s_1 \), is actually the sum over \( s_0 \in S_{0, \mathbb{R}}, 0 < s_0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \).

These two sums, however, are \( O(x^\frac{2}{3}) \), respectively.

Having in mind the subsets of \( A_{p, l} \) we have considered above, we may write

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{p=0}^{1} (-1)^{p} \sum_{z \in S_{p, \mathbb{R}}^{\frac{1}{2} - p}} d^{-(l-1)} D_{l-1, +} a_{z, p, l} + \\
\sum_{p=0}^{1} (-1)^{p} \sum_{s_p \in S_{p, \mathbb{R}}^{\frac{1}{2} < s_p < 1}} d^{-(l-1)} D_{l-1, +} a_{s_p, p, l} + \\
\sum_{p=0}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{p} a_{-j, p, l} + \\
\sum_{p=0}^{l} \sum_{j=2}^{l} d^{-(l-1)} D_{l-1, +} a_{j-p, l} + \\
\sum_{p=0}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{l} d^{-(l-1)} D_{l-1, +} a_{j, p, l} + \\
\sum_{p=0}^{l} d^{-(l-1)} D_{l-1, +} a_{-1, p, l} + \\
\sum_{p=0}^{l} d^{-(l-1)} D_{l-1, +} a_{0, p, l} + \\
\sum_{p=0}^{l} \sum_{z \in S_{p, \mathbb{R}}^{\frac{1}{2} < s_p < 0}} d^{-(l-1)} D_{l-1, +} a_{z, p, l} + \\
\sum_{p=0}^{l} \sum_{s_p \in S_{p, \mathbb{R}}^{1 < s_p < 0}} d^{-(l-1)} D_{l-1, +} a_{s_p, p, l}.
\end{align*}
\]

Taking into account that \( d^{-(l-1)} D_{l-1, -} \varphi_l(x) \) is not larger than \( \varphi_1(x) \), and the fact that \( \varphi_1(x) \) is not larger than \( d^{-(l-1)} D_{l-1, +} \varphi_l(x) \) \( (D_{l-1, -} \) is defined in [14] in a similar way), it follows that for \( d = x^\frac{1}{2} \log x \) and \( T = x^\frac{3}{2} \log x \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi_1(x) &= \frac{1}{2} x^2 + \sum_{s_0 \in S_{0, \mathbb{R}}^{\frac{1}{2} < s_0 < 1}} \text{ord}(s_0, 0) s_0^{-1} (s_0 + 1)^{-1} x^{s_0 + 1} \\
&+ O\left(x^\frac{3}{2} \log x\right).
\end{align*}
\]

Thus,

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\varphi_1(x)}{x} &= \frac{1}{2} x + \sum_{s_0 \in S_{0, \mathbb{R}}^{\frac{1}{2} < s_0 < 1}} \text{ord}(s_0, 0) s_0^{-1} (s_0 + 1)^{-1} x^{s_0} \\
&+ O\left(x^\frac{3}{2} \log x\right).
\end{align*}
\]

This completes the proof.

\[\square\]

4 Case \( \varphi_3(x) \)

In this section we shall consider the function \( \varphi_3(x) \). We shall apply the main properties of the operator \( D_{l-3, +} f(x) \), where \( f(x) \) is some function.

In particular, if \( z \in A_{p, l} \), and \( z \in S_{p, \frac{1}{2} - p} \), then, by the definition of \( D_{l-3, +} \), it follows that \( d^{-(l+3)} D_{l-3, +} a_{z, p, l} \) is \( O\left(d^{-(l+1)} |z|^{-l-1} x^{\frac{l}{2} + 1}\right) \).

Furthermore, if we apply the fact that \( d^{-(l+3)} D_{l-3, +} a_{z, p, l} \) can be represented as an iterated integral of some differentiable function of appropriate order, then, we obtain that \( d^{-(l+3)} D_{l-3, +} a_{z, p, l} \) is bounded by \( O\left(|z|^{-4} x^\frac{3}{2}\right) \).

Thus, combining these two estimates, we conclude that the sum of the elements \( d^{-(l+3)} D_{l-3, +} a_{z, p, l} \) \( (\text{over } p \in \{0, 1\} \text{ and } z \in S_{p, \frac{1}{2} - p}) \) is determined by the sum \( O\left(x^{\frac{3}{2} T^{-2}}\right) + O\left(d^{-(l+3)} |z|^{-l-1} T^{-l+1}\right) \), where
$T$ is a constant that will be fixed later (the constant $d$ will also be fixed later, but, for now, it is assumed that $d$ is dominated by $O(x)$).

Now we apply the operator $d^{-l+3}D_{l-3,+}$ to the residue $a_{l,0,1}$ whose position is on the right.

If we use the fact that $d^{-l+3}D_{l-3,+}$ applied to some differentiable function $f(x)$ (of appropriate order) is $f^{(l-3)}(\xi)$ for some $x \leq \xi \leq x + (l - 3) d$, then, we obtain

$$d^{-l+3}D_{l-3,+}a_{l,0,1} \text{ is given by } \frac{1}{3!} x^4 + O\left(x^3 d\right) + O\left(x^2 d^2\right) + O\left(x d^3\right) + O\left(d^4\right).$$

One should be interested to determine $d$ and $T$ such that the error term $O\left(x^3 d\right)$, and the error terms $O\left(x^2 T^{-2}\right)$, $O\left(d^{-l+3} |z|^{-l-1} T^{-l+1}\right)$ be the same.

As it is usual, denoting $d$ and $T$ by some $x^\alpha$ and $x^\beta$, respectively, we easily conclude that $3 + \alpha, \frac{7}{2} - 2\beta$ and $-\alpha (l - 3) + \frac{1}{2} + l + (\frac{3}{2} - 2\alpha) (l - 1)$ must be the same.

Solving this system, we obtain that $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}$ and $\beta = -\frac{1}{2}$. In other words $d$ is not bounded by $O(x)$, which means that the calculations obtained previously could not be valid in this case. Hence, we don’t consider this case anymore.

For our needs, it will be sufficient to determine $d$ and $T$ such that $O\left(d^3\right)$, and the error terms $O\left(x^{\frac{5}{4}} T^{-2}\right)$, $O\left(d^{-l+3} |z|^{-l-1} T^{-l+1}\right)$ be the same.

Proceeding in the same way as in the previous case, we obtain that $4\alpha, \frac{7}{2} - 2\beta, -\alpha (l - 3) + \frac{1}{2} + l + (\frac{3}{2} - 2\alpha) (l - 1)$ must be the same. It follow that $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{4}$. Hence, the condition that $d$ is dominated by $O(x)$ is provided. Thus, the $O$-terms: $O\left(x^3 d\right)$, $O\left(x^2 d^2\right)$, $O\left(x d^3\right)$, $O\left(d^4\right)$ are all dominated by $O\left(x^3 d\right)$. This error term, however, is given now by $O\left(x^{\frac{15}{4}}\right).

We may say now that we are interested in achieving the error term $O\left(x^{\frac{15}{2}}\right)$ in the final form of the corresponding weighted prime geodesic theorem.

Now, we consider the sum of the $O\left(x^3 d\right)$ for $t \in \{0, 1\}$ and $s_p \in S_{p, R}$, $0 < s_p < 1$. It is not so hard to conclude that this sum is given by

$$\sum_{s_0 \in S_0, R} \frac{ord(s_0)}{s_0} \times (s_0 + 1)^{-1} \times O\left(x^3 d\right).$$

Next, we consider the corresponding sum over $p \in \{0, 1\}$ and $j \in \{l+1, l+2, \ldots\}.

We shall apply a modified method of the method given in the previous sections. Thus, we obtain that the sum in the case at hand is actually

$$(g - 2) \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{2j + 1}{j(j-1)(j-2)} d^{j-3} \sum_{k=0}^{l+1} \frac{2j-1}{(j-1)(j-2)} d^{j-3} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{2j+1}{j(j-1)(j-2)} d^{j-3}\,$$

for some $x \leq \xi_{-j,p,l} \leq x + (l - 3) d$.

Now, it follows that

$$(g - 2) \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{2j+1}{j(j-1)(j-2)} d^{j-3} \sum_{k=0}^{l+1} \frac{2j-1}{(j-1)(j-2)} d^{j-3} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{2j+1}{j(j-1)(j-2)} d^{j-3}\,$$

Thus, the corresponding sum is $O\left(x^{\frac{15}{2}}\right)$.

The next sum is the sum over $p \in \{0, 1\}$ and $j \in \{4, 5, \ldots, l\}.

The constant $o(-j, p, l)$ from above is modified accordingly, and is

$$o(-j, p, l) = (-1)^{l-4} ord(-j, p) (l - j)! (j - 4)! \times \prod_{q=0}^{l-3} (-j + q)^{-1}.$$

Thus, the sum

$$\sum_{p=0}^{l} \sum_{j=4}^{l} o(-j, p, l) \frac{1}{x^{3j-3}}$$

is $O\left(x^{-1}\right)$.

Furthermore, the sum over $p \in \{0, 1\}$ of

$$d^{-l+3}D_{l-3,+}a_{-2, p, l} \text{ is } O\left(x \log x\right).$$

The sum of the elements $d^{-l+3}D_{l-3,+}a_{-2, p, l}$ along $p \in \{0, 1\}$ is $O\left(x \log x\right)$.

Finally, the corresponding sum of

$$d^{-l+3}D_{l-3,+}a_{-1, p, l} \text{ is } O\left(x^2 \log x\right).$$

Reasoning in the same way as above, we conclude that

$$\sum_{p=0}^{l} (-1)^{p} d^{-l+3}D_{l-3,+}a_{0, p, l} = O\left(x^3 \log x\right).$$

The last sum we have to consider is the sum of the elements $d^{-l+3}D_{l-3,+}a_{p, p, l}$ along $p \in \{0, 1\}$ and
Let \( s_p \in S_{p, \mathbb{R}}, -1 < s_p < 0 \). Obviously, this sum is the minus sum of the elements \( d^{-l+3}D_{l-3,+a_{s_1,1,l}} \) over \( s_1 \in S_{1, \mathbb{R}}, -1 < s_1 < 0 \). The last sum, however, is \( O \left( x^3 \right) \).

Combining the estimates derived above, we conclude that \( \varphi_3 (x) \) is not larger than

\[
\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d^{-l-1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} O \left( x^3 T^{-l} \right) + O \left( x^3 \log x \right).
\]

Hence, putting \( d \) and \( T \) to be as we derived above (\( x^{-3} \) and \( x^{-\frac{3}{4}} \)), it follows that \( \varphi_3 (x) \) is not larger than

\[
\sum_{s_0 \in S_{0, \mathbb{R}}} \sum_{0 < s_0 < 1} \left( s_0 + 2 \right)^{-2} (s_0 + 3)^{-1} x^{s_0+3} + O \left( x^3 \right) + O \left( x^3 \log x \right).
\]

Similarly, one concludes that the last sum in not larger than \( \varphi_3 (x) \).

Thus, we have proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let \( F \) be a compact Riemann surface of genus \( g \geq 2 \). Then, \( \frac{1}{x^g} \varphi_3 (x) \) is

\[
\sum_{s_0 \in S_{0, \mathbb{R}}} \sum_{0 < s_0 < 1} \left( s_0 + 2 \right)^{-2} (s_0 + 3)^{-1} x^{s_0} + O \left( x^{\frac{3}{4}} \right)
\]
as \( x \to +\infty \).

**5 Remarks**

In order to derive (1), we first proved that the sum of \( a_{-j,p,l} \)'s over \( j \in \{ l+1, 2, ..., \}, p \in \{ 0, 1 \}, \) is \( O \left( x^{-1} \right) \).

Note that one could also use the fact that

\[
d^{-l-1}D_{l-1,+a_{-j,p,l}} \text{ is } ord \left( x^{-1} \right).
\]

In [1], the authors also derived a weighted length spectrum for real hyperbolic manifolds with cusps. Such research represents a generalization of the researches conducted in [14], [2].

A weighted form of the result obtained in [3] (see also, [6]) is derived in [7].

For yet another proof of the main result in [15], we refer to [8].

Note that the author in [19] also considered a weighted length spectrum. His object of research were the automorphic \( L \)-functions.

A lot of useful ideas and calculations the author applied in this research are adopted from [4], [5] and [12].
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