Neuroprotective therapies in glaucoma: II. Genetic nanotechnology tools

Nafiseh Nafissi and Marianna Foldvari *

School of Pharmacy and Waterloo Institute of Nanotechnology, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON, Canada

Neurotrophic factor genome engineering could have many potential applications not only in the deeper understanding of neurodegenerative disorders but also in improved therapeutics. The fields of nanomedicine, regenerative medicine, and gene/cell-based therapy have been revolutionized by the development of safer and efficient non-viral technologies for gene delivery and genome editing with modern techniques for insertion of the neurotrophic factors into clinically relevant cells for a more sustained pharmaceutical effect. It has been suggested that the long-term expression of neurotrophic factors is the ultimate approach to prevent and/or treat neurodegenerative disorders such as glaucoma in patients who do not respond to available treatments or are at the progressive stage of the disease. Recent preclinical research suggests that novel neuroprotective gene and cell therapeutics could be promising approaches for both non-invasive neuroprotection and regenerative functions in the eye. Several progenitor and retinal cell types have been investigated as potential candidates for glaucoma neurotrophin therapy either as targets for gene therapy, options for cell replacement therapy, or as vehicles for gene delivery. Therefore, in parallel with deeper understanding of the specific protective effects of different neurotrophic factors and the potential therapeutic cell candidates for glaucoma neuroprotection, the development of non-invasive and highly specific gene delivery methods with safe and effective technologies to modify cell candidates for life-long neuroprotection in the eye is essential before investing in this field.
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Introduction

Glaucoma is one of the most common causes of blindness in the world with over 70 million people (79 million by 2020), including 400,000 Canadians, affected by this disease (Foster and Resnikoff, 2005). While preventable with proper diagnosis and continual treatment, a patient’s vision cannot be recovered once it has been affected. The underlying mechanisms associated with glaucoma progression are still under investigation, but it is well established that the damage to retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) is mainly the result of mechanical injury resulting from increased intraocular pressure (IOP) caused by disruption of the trabecular meshwork (Margalit and Sadda, 2003).

Local vascular insufficiency at the optic nerve head can also lead to a decrease in neurotrophic factors (NFs) levels (Bessero and Clarke, 2010), which results in RGC death (Fang et al., 2010).
Currently, glaucoma management relies on pharmacological and invasive surgical treatments mainly by reducing the IOP, the most important risk factor for the progression of the visual field loss.

There is strong evidences from several research groups that repeated administration of neurotrophic factors (NTFs) such as neurotrophin-4 (NT-4), brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) (Di Polo et al., 1998), ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF) (Ji et al., 2004), and glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) (Jiang et al., 2007) increased the survival of neurons in rodent models. Among the NTFs, BDNF appears to provide the highest level of protection by supporting both protective and regenerative functions (Danesh-Meyer, 2011). BDNF has a direct effect on RGCs and appears to correct problems with bidirectional transport of NTFs and by indirect influence on other retinal cells, helps direct damaged axons. Initial damage to axonal transport has already been attributed to a deficiency in NTFs. For instance, neurotrophin deprivation due to bidirectional axonal transport obstruction within RGCs has been shown to result in axonal damage (Iwabe et al., 2007). Retrograde flow at the optic nerve head prevents proteins made by RGCs from reaching their axonal extensions and perturbed retrograde transport of NTFs produced in the superior colliculus (SC) in the brain to reach the RGCs (Lim et al., 2010). Several studies have now identified the potential role of astrocytes, microglia and Müller cells in RGC survival within the optic nerve head region through their secretion of NTFs (Johnson and Morrison, 2009). This has been suggested by studies involving adenovirus-mediated intravitreal delivery targeted toward Müller cells (Di Polo et al., 1998) and after a single intravitreal injection of BDNF in a cat model (Weber et al., 2008). The targeting of regenerative factors to Müller glial cells can also stimulate their dedifferentiation into multipotent progenitor cells, which may differentiate into new RGCs or photoreceptor cells to replace the ones that became damaged during injury by high IOP. BDNF also appears to support axonal path finding to the brain (Benowitz and Yin, 2008, 2010).

It is now widely recognized that lowering IOP in the treatment of glaucoma is not enough. In addition to neuroprotective and neuroregenerative approaches, poly-therapeutic strategies may be the future. Combination treatments such as IOP-lowering drugs with neurotrophic factors and/or antioxidants and/or anti-apoptotic agents may be necessary. The common challenge to all these therapeutic possibilities lies in the delivery and maintenance of NTF levels in the retina for a prolonged period.

Neurotrophic factor-based gene therapy may meet this challenge. It could be performed either by direct transfer of transgenes coding for NTFs into the patient or by using living cells that express NTFs persistently as vehicles to transport the NTF transgenes. In general, gene delivery and prolonged or stable expression of the intended therapeutic transgenes in target cells are the key factors to a successful gene therapy approach.

In part I of this paper we discussed the supportive effects of different NTFs in glaucoma, identified different methods of NTF transgene delivery, and discussed potential cell candidates for cell-mediated therapy. Here, we focus the review on advanced techniques for production of safer and more efficient DNA vectors as well as innovative non-viral approaches for \textit{ex vivo} gene delivery/gene editing in order to provide stable and long-term expression of therapeutic genes such as NTFs in suitable candidate cells.

**RGC Rescue Therapy in Glaucoma Treatment**

Exogenous supplementation of NTFs, apoptosis inhibitors and survival factors as transgenes or their recombinant protein products is a promising approach to stop or decline RGC death in progressive glaucoma (Thumann, 2012).

Interrupting the apoptosis cascade by delivering genes encoding caspase inhibitors or expressing anti-apoptotic genes such as \textit{Bcl}-2, or interfering with the expression and activity of pro-apoptotic factors by siRNA technology have been successfully tested in preclinical studies of glaucoma treatment in animal models (Liu et al., 2009; Thumann, 2012).

Additionally, increasing NTF levels by gene delivery has been widely investigated \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}. NTFs are small proteins that are secreted by the central and peripheral nervous system and are critical in their own development and maintenance (Lim et al., 2010). NTFs are classified into several groups and among them, the nerve growth factor (NGF) family members such as GDNF, BDNF, NTs, and CNTF have been the subject of more detailed studies for gene therapy in glaucoma (Johnson et al., 2011).

NTF supply is important for RGC survival or regeneration during development, and extensive experimental strategies have been tested to supply exogenous NTF to protect and promote survival of injured RGCs in glaucoma.

Although direct gene therapy by delivering the exogenous transgenes encoding for NTFs using different viral and non-viral carriers are particularly attractive, in certain cases such as glaucoma, life-long neuroprotective support through exogenous NTF therapy is essential. Therefore, cell therapy would be a more sustainable approach \textit{via} delivering NTFs by living cells and direct replacement of growth factors and NTFs by cells that are genetically modified \textit{ex vivo}. Application of genetically modified cells as gene delivery vehicles has certain advantages such as relative simplicity of manipulation and evaluation of cells \textit{in vitro} compared to \textit{in vivo} gene modification. Furthermore, some of these modified cells continue to divide \textit{in vitro} under certain culture conditions, which facilitates expansion of these cells for further investigations. Finally, some of these engineered cells show a tendency to localize into particular tissues.

Recent studies showed that several stem and progenitor cells expressing and secreting the NTFs provide neuroprotective support when transplanted into animal models of glaucoma and other retinal diseases (Johnson et al., 2011). In this paper we focus on advanced non-viral nanotechnology tools for genetic modification of candidate cells aiming to achieve long-term expression of NTFs therapeutics.

**New Generation of DNA Therapeutics**

The necessity to generate safe and efficient DNA vectors for transgene delivery \textit{via} a variety of non-viral approaches
has spurred many different proposals. Among them bacterial sequence free DNA vectors in two forms such as supercoiled circular covalently closed and linear covalently closed DNA, termed as “minicircle” and “ministring,” respectively, are considered the most promising (Darquet et al., 1997, 1999; Chen et al., 2003; Nafissi and Slavcev, 2012; Nafissi et al., 2014; Slavcev et al., 2014; Slavcev and Nafissi, 2014). Replication and largescale production of plasmid DNA vectors is dependent on the prokaryotic backbone and specific selection markers to isolate and propagate plasmid-containing bacterial strains after bacterial transformation. However, these sequences are undesirable in clinical applications because of the following reasons: (A) the bacterial sequences are recognized as invading factors and trigger host innate immune response that leads to systematic removal of the vector (Klinman et al., 1996; Mitsui et al., 2009); (B) the horizontal transfer (importing genes from environment or from other bacteria) of antibiotic resistant genes from plasmid DNA to normal microbial flora is a risk factor for the generation of antibiotic resistant flora (Chen et al., 2008); (C) residual selection markers in the final plasmid product, due to unsuccessful removal, can cause allergic reaction and hypersensitivity in sensitive individuals after gene delivery (Cavagnaro, 2013); and (D) the bacterial sequences are reported as the main cause for heterochromatin-dependent silencing of the intended transgene (Chen et al., 2003; Mayrhofer et al., 2009). In contrast, the new generation of DNA vectors that are bacterial sequence free offer higher and more persistent expression, generally at levels 100–1000 times greater than their standard plasmid precursor (Kay, 2011). Previously, purification of miniDNA vectors from bacterial extracts was labor-intensive, time-consuming, and a multi-step process that needed digestion of the bacterial backbone by a restriction enzyme (Schakowski et al., 2001, 2007) followed by purification of miniDNA vector and removal of digested sequences by cesium chloride ultracentrifugation (Bigger, 2001; Chen et al., 2005). However, employing prokaryotic-derived site-specific recombination systems, mainly from bacterial viruses (phage) such as λ, integrase (Int), P1-derived, Cre, phiC31Int, N15-derived TelN, and PY54-derived Tel, dramatically facilitated the production and purification of miniDNA vectors (Nafissi and Slavcev, 2014). These systems show limitations that have been improved over the time (Table 1). In general, the first step in generating bacterial sequence-depleted DNA vectors with phage-derived enzymes is to engineer a bacterial cell (mainly E.coli) that express these enzymes, insert the recognition sequence of these enzymes in to the plasmid DNA vector closely upstream and downstream of the therapeutic transgene expression cassette, and transfer the plasmid into engineered E.coli cell (Nafissi and Slavcev, 2012). Consequently, the in vivo intramolecular recombination at the recognition sites results in generation of two well-characterized molecules from the single parent plasmid:(i) the miniDNA vector (circular or linear covalently closed) comprising the therapeutic transgene expression cassette, and (ii) the miniplasmid containing the bacterial backbone elements (Figure 1). Combining the endonuclease I-SceI together with its recognition site in the plasmid backbone allows simultaneous digestion of the bacterial backbone into small pieces and production of purified miniDNA vector. For instance, further purification of the minicircles by affinity-based chromatography allows the isolation of highly pure and pharmaceutical-grade minicircles by this technique (Rodriguez, 2004; Thyagarajan et al., 2008). For the first time, Darquet et al. (1997, 1999) showed that “minicircle” DNA confers much higher transgene expression levels in vitro and in vivo, respectively, compared to the parental plasmid precursors or other conventional control plasmids encoding the same transgene. This result was further confirmed, and showed an even more significant increase in the expression of the encoded transgene, when the same amount (weight-to-weight basis) of minicircle DNA and parental plasmids were delivered (Darquet et al., 2008).

### TABLE 1 | In vivo phage-derived systems for production of minicircle DNA vectors.

| Phage-derived production systems | Advantages | Limitations | Pharmaceutical scaled production |
|----------------------------------|------------|-------------|---------------------------------|
| Phage λ, attB-attP recombination | Size exclusion/sequence-specific purification; low recovery due to bidirectional recombination reaction (Nafissi and Slavcev, 2014) | Not possible |
| FLP/frt site-specific recombination | Bidirectional and fully reversible recombination reaction, results in generation of multimeric DNA structures (Sadowski, 1988) | Not possible |
| Cre/mutated loxP site-specific recombination | Size exclusion/sequence-specific purification, co purification of bacterial sequence is an issue | Not possible |
| ΦC31 integrase | Unidirectional recombination reaction, tight control of recombinase gene expression, generation of monomeric minicircle molecules (Bigger, 2001) | Not reported | Possible |

TABLE 1 | In vivo phage-derived systems for production of minicircle DNA vectors.
In vivo production of bacterial sequence-depleted DNA vectors with phage-derived enzymes is processed via insertion of the recognition sequence (attB or loxP site) of recombinase enzymes into the intended plasmid DNA vector closely upstream and downstream of the therapeutic gene expression cassette. This plasmid is then transferred into the engineered E. coli cell capable of expressing the recombinase enzyme. Consequently, the in vivo intramolecular recombination at the recognition sites results in generation of two smaller molecules from the single parent plasmid DNA: (i) the minicircle DNA vector comprising the therapeutic gene expression cargo, and (ii) the miniplasmid containing the bacterial sequences including the origin of replication and the antibiotic resistant gene.

et al., 1997, 1999; Chen et al., 2003, 2005; Vaysse et al., 2006; Jia et al., 2010). After developing the convenient production systems mentioned above, miniDNA vectors have been extensively studied by different research groups by comparative studies with the parent plasmids (Table 2). The following examples reflect the broad applicability of the new generation of DNA vectors from gene therapy to more recently in stem cell research and regenerative medicine considering dynamic aspects from formulations and optimization of miniDNA vectors complexes with synthetic vectors. Neural stem cells (NSC) that are very difficult to transfect were successfully transfected by minicircle DNA vector by microporation and showed higher transgene expression and NSC survival when compared to their plasmid counterpart (Madeira et al., 2013).

Minicircle DNA vectors have been also used as integrating vectors for recombinase-mediated cassette exchange (RMCE) (Jakobsen et al., 2013) or as a sleeping beauty transposition system (Sharma et al., 2013), and minicircles significantly improved the integration efficacy and gene modification capacity, respectively, compared to the standard plasmids. In a different study, same molar ratio and same copy numbers of the minicircle and plasmid DNA vectors were complexed with lipid-based nanoparticles and were transfected into a variety of human cell lines and in animal models. This study confirmed previous results and showed that transgene delivery by minicircle DNA vectors significantly improves transgene expression levels both in vitro and in vivo. This group also concluded that improved minicircle delivery in vivo and higher transgene expression levels are due to the compact size of minicircle-nanoparticles, better cellular uptake and cell entry, higher intercellular minicircle copy numbers in transfected cells, better intracellular trafficking toward nuclei, and better nuclear uptake. These results also showed higher mRNA transcription levels in minicircle-mediated transgene delivery compared to parent plasmid-mediated transgene delivery (Kobelt et al., 2013). They concluded that the euchromatin structure and more accessibility of bacterial-sequence-free DNA vectors to transcription machinery of host cell is a key reason for higher expression level of transgene. Even further modification of miniDNA vectors to combat intracellular barriers such as nuclear membrane would dramatically improve transgene delivery, especially in slow or non-dividing cells. For example it was shown that the addition of nuclear-targeting sequences (DTS), such as the SV40 enhancer, or karyopherins (Miller and Dean, 2009) to the DNA sequence in parallel with removing undesired bacterial sequences improved transfection efficacy and expression levels of the transgene and its protein product (Nafissi et al., 2014).

New generation of DNA vectors represent a promising alternative to conventional plasmids in terms of biosafety, bio- and immuno-compatibility, improved gene transfer, potential bioavailability and cytoplasmic diffusion due to their smaller size (Nafissi et al., 2014), and low immunogenicity due to the
lack of bacterial sequences and immunogenic motifs (Chen et al., 2003; Vaysse et al., 2006). Although miniDNA vectors provide more sustained expression of the transgene relative to standard plasmid DNA vector, in more rapidly dividing cells and tissues with higher regenerative capacity, most DNA vectors become diluted after each mitosis and eventually disappear. Therefore, permanent introduction of a therapeutic transgene followed by its sustained expression is more desirable where life-long pharmaceutical effect is needed. In particular, in the case of gene or cell mediated therapy of glaucoma and other neurodegenerative disorders that require life-long and stable expression of NTFs, tightly controlled integrating DNA vectors and strategic genetic engineering systems are required (Jandial et al., 2008; Blurton-Jones et al., 2014). Therefore, better and safer techniques of genome editing could open a new avenue to investigate and treat neurodegenerative disorders more effectively.

Permanent expression of therapeutic genes is generally carried out by three different approaches: (i) random integration (illegitimate insertion); (ii) homologous recombination (HR); or (iii) site-specific insertion of the transgene into the chromosome of an anticipated cell. Random integration is mostly carried out by viral vectors to achieve insertion of an exogenous transgene into the host human cell's genome. However, lack of control over the site and position of integration would result in undesirable side effects such as unpredictable expression level or silencing of the integrated transgene, potential mutagenesis of neighboring genes, activating oncogenes/ deactivating tumor suppressor genes, and eventually cancer. Therefore, random integration by viral vectors is not a safe method for permanent and sustained expression of therapeutic transgene in ex vivo cell-mediated therapies. HR and site-specific insertion are indeed the methods of choice for the optimal control over the site of transgene integration, the number of copies inserted per target cell, the expression level of therapeutic transgene, and to reduce the risk of oncogenesis. Safe and efficient site-specific insertion is carried out through bacteriophage integrase-mediated and transposon systems, and HR through recent and efficient techniques involving Zinc Finger Nucleases (ZFN), Transcription Activator-Like Effector Nucleases (TALENs), and Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR).

**Site-specific Recombination for Cell Therapy**

The interest in precise gene modification is growing dramatically owing to recent advances in targeted genome engineering. Targeted genetic engineering techniques allow very specific modifications such as gene insertion, deletion, and replacement. Permanent modification of cells has been extensively used in regenerative medicine as a new clinical tool.

Some examples are engineering glial progenitor cells to permanently express adhesion molecules to increase homing of these cells into the brain (Gorelik et al., 2012), or enhancing the therapeutic effects of stem cells by permanent insertion of NTF genes (Crigler et al., 2006; Janowski and Date, 2011). Here we briefly review some of the most popular and safe non-viral methods for genetic engineering of clinically relevant cells and insertion of therapeutic transgenes such as NTF encoding genes into anticipated cells by transposon systems and bacteriophage-mediated integrase systems.

**Transposon Systems**

Non-viral transposon systems have been widely used to generate genetically modified and clinically relevant human cells including but not limited to induced pluripotent stem (iPSC), embryonic stem cells (ESC), and mesenchymal and hematopoietic stem cells (Saha et al., 2015). “Sleeping Beauty transposon system (SBTS)” and “piggyback (PB) transposon system” are the two transposon systems that have been successfully used as non-viral gene delivery carriers for gene modification and generation of clinical grade human cells for gene and cell therapy. These systems have lower cost for design, construction, and production of pharmaceutical grade products on a large scale as well as stimulating low levels of innate immunity and the capacity to co-deliver multiple therapeutic transgenes compared to viral integrating systems.

**Sleeping Beauty Transposon System (SBTS)**

“Sleeping Beauty transposon system (SBTS)” is a non-viral plasmid-based integration system that combines the integration

---

**TABLE 2 | Features of miniDNA vectors compared to standard plasmid DNA vectors.**

| General features | Plasmid DNA | MiniDNA* |
|------------------|-------------|---------|
| Replicative in bacterial host | | Non-replicative in bacterial host |
| Supercoiled | | Supercoiled or circular/linear closed |
| Bacterial origin of replication | | No bacterial origin of replication |
| Bacterial selection marker (Antibiotic resistance gene) | | No bacterial selection marker (Antibiotic resistance gene) |
| Relatively high immunostimulatory unmethylated CpG dinucleotides** | | No to very few immunostimulatory unmethylated CpG dinucleotides |
| Large-scaled production is available | | Large-scaled production is available |
| Pharmaceutical-grade purification is available | | Pharmaceutical-grade purification is available |
| Medium to large size*** | | Relatively smaller than plasmids |

*Bacterial sequence depleted.
**Depends to the size.
***Depends to the size of therapeutic transgene.
benefits of viral vectors and ease of production and manipulation of naked DNA vectors and ease of delivery by nanoparticles. The SBTS consists of (A) a plasmid transposon carrying the therapeutic transgene expression cassette flanked by the terminal Inverted Repeats (IR) that contain binding sites for the transposase enzyme; and (B) a source of transposase enzyme, which is a 360-amino acid DNA-binding protein with a transposon binding domain, a nuclear localization signal (NLS), and a catalytic domain.

The SBTS systems mostly target the TA rich sites of the hosting genome to insert the intended therapeutic transgene expression cargo. The gene encoding for the transposase enzyme can be assembled either on the same plasmid that express the therapeutic transgene (cis) or can be located at a different plasmid (trans). In case of trans expression, the two DNA vectors (one carries the transposase enzyme encoding gene and the other carries the therapeutic transgene expression cassette) could be packed within the same nanoparticle carrier and co-transferred into the intended host cell. Few hours after delivery, the transposon system would reach the nucleus of the host cell, the transposase enzyme would be expressed in the cytoplasm and the NLS that has been added to its sequence would direct import of the transposase into the nucleus, cut the IR sequences, and facilitate relocation and insertion of the therapeutic transgene expression cargo from DNA vector into the host genome (Aronovich et al., 2011) (Figure 2). Similar to other technologies, SBTS system needs to be improved before entering into clinical trials. For example, in order to achieve pharmacologically relevant expression level of the therapeutic gene and just sufficient transposase expression to carry out the cut-and-paste reaction in difficult-to-transfect target cells, better delivery techniques are required. In addition, precise understanding of the target cell genome would assure insertion of the therapeutic gene into the TA sites and avoids the side effects of insertion into undesired loci.

Transposase expression level and duration of expression is critical in transposon systems because very high expression level of the transposase would cause insertion of the transgene into many different locations of the hosting genome that are rich in TA. In addition, long-term expression of the transposase is also not desirable because it might cause the excision of the integrated transgene out of genome and reverse the effect. Therefore, a good understanding of the host’s genetic background, type of tissue to be targeted, and therapeutic gene vs. transposase expression level is necessary to improve transposition efficacy (Aronovich et al., 2011). The CMV promoter would provide a high but

![FIGURE 2 | Schematic representation of the non-viral transposon-mediated site-specific gene therapy.](image-url)
short-term expression of the SB transposase enzyme in many human cells and therefore would inhibit the re-mobilization of the integrated therapeutic gene after a longer time (Hackett et al., 2011). On the other hand, tissue-specific mammalian promoters derive an alternative promoter for the therapeutic transgene for sustained expression of the transgene in the right site (Kachi et al., 2006). The SB100X “plasmid-based integrating” transposon system in combination with electroporation technology (Mátés et al., 2009) was recently applied to permanently knock-in the anti-angiogenic and neuroprotective factor PEDF into the ARPE19 human retinal pigment epithelial cells under the control of either CMV or cell specific CAGGS promoters. In this study, the PEDF positive cells were successfully transplanted into the retina to treat age-related macular degeneration (AMD) (Johnen et al., 2012).

As the proof of principle for licensed SBTS technology in clinical trials it is worth to point out few examples, even though these trials are not specifically toward the treatment of glaucoma. (1) In a in phase II clinical trial of cancer immunotherapy research, patients-derived T cells were genetically modified by SBTS system to express a chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) and were injected back to patients with B-cell malignancies in order to redirect the specificity of human T cells in these patients (Hackett et al., 2011). (2) SBTS technology provided life-long expression of Fanc-C and Fanc-A genes to treat Fanconi anemia, or Factor VIII gene to treat Hemophilia A (Nienhuis, 2008). (3) SBTS-mediated life-long expression of IL13-HSVTK was achieved to treat brain tumors (Di Matteo et al., 2012).

In the field of stem cell research, plasmid-based SB systems have been used in combination with nanoparticles for the expression of therapeutic genes. For example, four human iPSC lines were successfully generated and characterized from fetal fibroblasts using SB-based DNA vector that were combined and delivered by nanocarriers (Davis et al., 2013). Also, rat mesenchymal stem cells (rMSCs) were efficiently transfected by a nanoparticle called “liposome protamine/DNA lipolyp (LPD).” LPD was electrostatically assembled from cationic liposomes and an anionic complex of protamine, SB transposon system, and NLS targeting peptides in order to enhance cell specific targeting and nuclear uptake. This complex dramatically improved transposon-mediated gene insertion in MSCs (Ma et al., 2013). As such, the non-viral DNA-SB-based therapeutics in combination with engineered cell specific nanoparticles serve as a promising and long-lasting way to insert therapeutic genes into the chromosomes of host cells without using a viral integration systems and their consequent undesirable side effects (Aronovich et al., 2011).

**PiggyBac Transposon System**

The PiggyBac (PB) transposon derived originally from Lepidopterans is composed of two identical short inverted terminal repeats (700 bp) and a transposase-encoding sequence (coding a 594 amino-acid transposase). The PB transposase catalyzes the transposition of the therapeutic gene expression cargo that is flanked by the inverted terminal repeats carrying by a plasmid and insertion of therapeutic transgene into TTAA rich sites of the host genome. The short IR are the key elements of the PB transposition system (Figure 2). One of the benefits of PBtransposon system over SBTS is the capacity of this system to deliver larger transgenes, complex genes, or multiple therapeutic genes together with their regulatory regions. However, low transfection efficiency of large plasmids is still the major limitation of PBtransposon system (Kim et al., 2011). In terms of safety, one of the concerns about using PB systems in clinical applications in human cells is the distribution of over 2000 PB-like elements in the human genome which raises the risk of genome rearrangement and the potential for remobilization of the integrated transgene by endogenous transposase expression. However, recently the potential undesired PB-mediated genomic rearrangements have been investigated to determine the safety of PB systems in clinical applications. No genomic rearrangement and re-mobilization of the integrated transgene were observed, but it was also suggested that long-term evaluation of the safety of transposase systems in animal models is needed to reach a final conclusion (Saha et al., 2015). Therefore, despite these observations, insertional mutagenesis in unwanted sites or rearrangement of the neighboring genes and gene remobilization after integration of the therapeutic gene into host genome still remains the major limitation of PB transposon system in the precise modification of clinically relevant stem/progenitor cells (Li et al., 2013). The PB system was efficiently applied to reprogram murine and human embryonic fibroblasts and to generate pluripotent stem cells. As such, four transcription factors (c-Myc, KIf4, Oct4, and Sox2) were inserted into the genome of embryonic fibroblast cells to reprogram them and to generate pluripotent stem cells. Furthermore, applying the natural tendency of PB transposase to excise the inserted transposon, transcription factors were removed from well-established iPSC cell lines (Woltjen, 2009) post reprogramming. The PB system was also successfully applied in field of cancer immunotherapy by isolating T cells from patients with malignancies and generating genetically modified tumor-antigen-specific T cells. These cells were further injected to patients and the anti-tumor activity of modified T cells with one or multiple insertions was evaluated (Nakazawa et al., 2009). Several studies were carried out using the PB transposon plasmid DNA vectors combined with polymer- or lipid-based nanoparticles to enhance transgene delivery and insertion of the intended gene through PB transposase activity in mammalian cells (Palavesam et al., 2013; Chakraborty et al., 2014).

The miniPB system was recently generated and applied to insert the GOI into the host genome with the same integration efficiency but using a much smaller plasmid vector with the advantage of enhanced transfection efficiency in vitro and in vivo (Solodushko et al., 2014). The mini PB plasmid is a single-plasmid system (cis) carrying the transposase gene and very short inverted terminal repeats (35 bp) flanking the therapeutic transgene expression cassette (Yusa et al., 2009; Li et al., 2011).

Compared to the PB transposon system, the SB100X shows superior efficacy in most human cells including hematopoietic cells with lower safety risk of random integration and insertional mutagenesis (Aronovich et al., 2011). However, for multiple
therapeutic gene insertion, the PB system remains the method of choice (Saha et al., 2015).

ΦC31 Integrase

Site-specific DNA insertion systems are derived from prokaryotes and unicellular yeasts and a number of them have been exploited to facilitate efficient DNA exchange in human cells (Nafissi and Slavcev, 2014). In fact, all site-specific integration systems typically mediate efficient “cut-and-paste” type of DNA exchange between recognition sites in the range of 30–40 bp or longer. Invading bacterial viruses (phage) use this system to integrate their genome into their bacterial host chromosomes by a reaction catalyzed by integrase enzymes at short sequences, termed as the “phage attachment site (attP)” and “bacterial attachment site (attB)” (Nafissi and Slavcev, 2014). Calos and her colleagues showed that sequences very similar to wild type attP and attB sites are available in the human genome and called them as “pseudo attP” and “pseudo attB,” sites because an exogenous gene can be integrated specifically into these sites in presence of a phage integrase (Chalberg et al., 2006). The actinophage ΦC31 integrase, discovered in the 1990s, efficiently catalyzes a site-specific genomic integration between two DNA recognition (Groth and Calos, 2004) sequences: attB containing DNA vector and a pseudo attP site within the genome of an anticipated human cell, leading to permanent transgene expression (Chavez et al., 2011). Using this system, the insertion of a foreign DNA such as a therapeutic transgene is characterized by the following events: (1) recombination occurs at a specific site on the interacting DNA molecules: DNA vector and hosting cell genomic DNA; (2) expression and synthesis of the recombinase enzyme in the host cytoplasm using host protein synthesis machinery; (3) re-location of the integrase to the host cell nuclei using nuclear localization signals; (4) strand exchange occurs at small regions of DNA homology within the recognition sites; (5) pairing of the interacting insertion sites followed by strand exchange results in structural intermediates; and (6) resolution of intermediates followed by strand migration (Groth et al., 2000) (Figure 3). In the human genome “pseudo attP” sites show 40% similarity to wild-type attP sequence. In comparison with the “TA” and “TTAA” rich sequences that are the target sites for the SB and PB transposon systems, respectively, pseudo attP are mostly located in transcriptionally active, euchromatin sites, and exons with less frequency of introns. ΦC31 integrase provides one-copy integration of the exogenous transgene per cell without disrupting the endogenous genes. Therefore, integrase-mediated site-specific gene insertion of transcription factors offers an alternate method of producing iPS cells without interfering with endogenous gene functions (Lan et al., 2012). ΦC31 integrase-mediated insertion of exogenous gene occurs at 10-fold higher rates than random integration and often

![FIGURE 3 | Schematic representation of the non-viral integrase-mediated site-specific gene therapy.](image-url)

This diagram shows how ΦC31 integrase system benefits in gene therapy: (1) One DNA vector that carries the desired therapeutic transgene expression cargo (promoter, transgene, reporter gene, polyA signal) and also the attB site that is recognized by ΦC31 integrase enzyme and one DNA vector that carries the integrase encoding gene are co-delivered to human target cells. Both DNA vectors enter nucleus, the integrase is produced in the cytoplasm and redirected to the nucleus. (2) ΦC31 integrase enzyme binds as a dimer to the attB site on the therapeutic DNA vector and bounds at pseudo attP sites present in the human genome. (3) A cut-and-paste recombination reaction occurs at the site of integration, which results in the insertion of the therapeutic transgene expression cargo into the chromosome of human cells at the pseudo attP site. This process provides long-term expression of the transgene and production of therapeutic protein in the desired target cells.
provides higher expression levels than those inserted randomly into the human genome that possibly causes either silencing, undesired side effects of over expression of the therapeutic gene, or oncogenesis/genotoxicity (Nafissi and Slavcev, 2014). In addition, bacteriophage ΦC31 integrase catalyzes unidirectional integration of therapeutic gene into only pseudo attP sites in the human genome and eliminates the risk of excision of inserted transgene (Chalberg et al., 2006), which is the most important advantage of this system over transposon systems. Transgenic animals generated by ΦC31 integrase-mediated site-specific insertion never showed any cancer development (Calos, 2006), or the human ESC that been genetically modified by ΦC31 integrase retained their ability to differentiate normally into all three germ layers (Thyagarajan et al., 2008). In animal models, for example, hereditary tyrosinemia type I and muscular dystrophy was treated by ΦC31 integrase-mediated integration of fumarylacetoacetate hydratase and dystrophin, respectively. In these studies, plasmid DNA carrying the attB site and the therapeutic transgene were co-delivered with plasmid DNA expressing integrase by hydrodynamic tail vein injection (Held et al., 2005) or intramuscular injection followed by electroporation (Bertoni et al., 2006).

A comprehensive study confirmed the biosafety of ΦC31 integrase-mediated integration of therapeutic transgene into human umbilical cord lining epithelial cells (CLECs) as source for several stem-cell like cell types (Sivalingam et al., 2010). Any plasmid DNA-based integration system can be combined with synthetic carriers to form nanoparticles that are very efficient in transfecting human cells and transferring the DNA cargo into the nuclei. Different studies were carried out to improve this system. Very recently, Oliveira and colleagues combined polymer-based nanoparticles with integrase technology to create ΦC31-chitosan-mediated gene delivery and integration system. They have characterized the nanoparticles and significantly improved the transfection and integration efficacy in human embryonic kidney cells. They achieved higher expression levels of small and large integrated transgenes for over 10 weeks post transfection (Oliveira et al., 2015). Ease of transfection into mammalian cells using reliable synthetic formulations and variety of nanoparticles makes the DNA-based integrating systems superior compared to their viral counterparts, in particular in terms of immunocompatibility and safety with genotoxicity perspective.

**Homologous Recombination for Cell Therapy**

Unlike viral vectors that usually integrate into the host chromosome in an uncontrolled fashion, one of the most policed methods of genome modification is to insert the therapeutic transgene into the recognized and desired location by homologous recombination (Figure 4). HR was defined as critical process involved in genetic diversity and repair of DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) in pro- and eukaryotic cells (Kakarougkas and Jeggo, 2014). The process of HR is very important in maintaining the chromosome integrity and to protect and recover any open ended DNA caused by environmental assaults or invading DNA. This process involves the alignment of similar DNA sequences to form a mobile junction between four strands of DNA, termed “Holiday junctions,” which are highly conserved in both prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells. HR needs energy and cofactors from host cells (Nafissi and Slavcev, 2014) and the presence of DNA DSB in mammalian cells is the essential step to stimulate HR (West, 2003). The frequency of integration by HR appears to be generally low, about 10⁻⁶, for most mammalian cells, but it was reported that this can be increased up to 100 fold by making DSBs at the target site by restriction enzymatic reactions such as CRISPRs, TALENs, and ZFNs. Some of the drawbacks of HR system is the general low frequency of HR in mammalian cells, providing the homology arms in the donor DNA vector carrying therapeutic gene, and the resources of enzymes to generate DSB in hosting target sequences (Pan et al., 2013; Yin et al., 2014b). Their sequence-specific binding modules can recognize unique nucleotides in the genome along with the fused endonuclease such as FolK1, Cas9, or ZFN to specifically induce a double-stranded break (DSB) in the chromosome. All endonuclease systems introduce DSBs in the target DNA and lead to recruitment of the cellular repair machinery, which can drive HR with dramatically higher frequency at the cleavage site in the presence of complementary sequence arms that are cloned into HR donor plasmid DNA vectors. These systems have been applied in repair of damaged DNA, gene disruption, gene insertion, gene correction and point mutagenesis, and chromosomal rearrangements (Doudna and Charpentier, 2014; Kim and Kim, 2014). Advanced genome engineering tools are now commercially available. For example, the TALENs, ZFNs, and CRISPR technologies are available from Addgene, Sigma-Aldrich, and Life Technologies.

**Zinc Finger Nucleases**

As it was mentioned, the process of HR in human cells is indeed too inefficient to lead to a reliable therapeutic result and creating DNA breaks is the key step to increase efficacy of this process. Therefore, ZFNs have been widely used to create the gene-specific DNA breaks and enhanced the HR efficacy to several orders of magnitude (Porteus, 2006, 2008). Zinc Finger DNA Binding Proteins (ZFPs) are a class of DNA binding proteins that naturally exist in prokaryotes. Through modification of the DNA recognition and binding function of ZFPs, it is possible to direct ZFPs to a target sequence of DNA, which enables the site-specific localization of the ZFPs in a designated sequence. ZFPs have been developed to either regulate gene expression by a turning on and off mechanism, or correct genes using endonuclease enzymes (Davis and Stokoe, 2010). ZFNs are artificial proteins composed of a DNA-binding protein and a nuclease protein that is fused to the first protein. Two ZFNs protein domains are required to bind to DNA, to dimerize and activate the nuclease domain, and to create a DSB (Porteus and Baltimore, 2003). A ZFN subunit encompasses three to six zinc-fingers arranged in a tandem repeat and a catalytic domain of an endonuclease enzyme, like FolK1, in such a way that a short linker connects
FIGURE 4 | Schematic representation of the DNA vector-mediated homologous recombination in mammalian cells. The homologous recombination donor plasmid DNA vector carries four multiple cloning sites (MCS) to facilitate: (1) sub-cloning and insertion of a therapeutic gene (sub-cloned at MCS3) and its promoter (sub-cloned at MCS2) to a desired site of the host genome via two homology arms (sequences homolog to the insertion site are sub-cloned at the MCS1 and MCS4 flanking the therapeutic gene expression cargo). (2) Non-viral delivery of the HR donor DNA vector into the anticipated cell provides translocation of this vector into the nuclei. (3) Homology arms facilitate recognition of homologous sequence on the target cell’s genome. (4) HR occurs at the homology sites and the therapeutic transgene expression cargo would integrate into the host chromosome through HR. Adding the LoxP sites (red triangles) to the HR donor DNA vector would facilitate removal of homology arms, or other unnecessary sequences, after insertion of the therapeutic cassette into the host genome via expression of the Cre recombinase and its excision activity on the LoxP sites through “Cre/LoxP recombination systems.”

the two domains. At the ZFN target site located at the host genome, the two ZFN subunits are dimerized and the nuclease is activated and cuts the DNA. Precise ZFN-mediated gene targeting involves several steps as follows: (1) identify the full ZFN-binding site within the target GOI; (2) design a pair of ZFNs; (3) test the ZFN pair for activity; (4) identify a targeting construct to create the desired genomic modification; (5) co-transfer the ZFNs and the targeting vector to create the breaks and to insert the therapeutic transgene at the target site, respectively (Jamieson et al., 2003). ZFN derived HR was applied in different clinically relevant studies. In the field of neuroscience, engineered ZFP transcription factors (ZFP TFs) has been used to improve expression of endogenous glial cell-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) in a rat model of Parkinson disease and resulted in improved functional neuroprotection in the brain (Laganiere et al., 2010). Also, Lipofectamine-mediated transfection into human embryonic kidney cells indicated that ZFNs can be used to specifically target the ROSA26 safe site of the chromosome in order to effectively establish new cell lines with predictable expression level of the desired therapeutic gene (Perez-Pinera et al., 2012). In a different study, lipid-based nanoparticles were applied to deliver HR donor plasmids carrying the homologous sequence to hepatitis B virus (HBV) and specific ZFNs into cells that have been infected by HBV virus. This study confirmed the pharmaceutical application of ZFNs toward specific cleaving the invading virus genome in infected human cells as a new therapeutic approach (Cradick et al., 2010).

Like any other integrating system, application of ZFNs are limited to the very precise recognition of the target site and the homology sequence, precise design and construction of the appropriate ZFN, risk of non-specific and random binding of the ZFNs into the undesired sites with similar but not perfect
homology and consequent integration of therapeutic transgene into unwanted locations, genotoxicity, and finally, the risk of leaving open ended double strand breaks and its consequent risks such as mutation, genome rearrangement, or cell death (Wirt and Porteus, 2012). Several investigations carried on to improve the specificity of ZFNs in clinically relevant cells. Some of these studies applied lipid-based nanoparticles to target cells and deliver donor DNA vectors to the target site. For example, a modular assembly technique was described to find the most effective ZFNs after delivery (Kim et al., 2009), or the “OPEN (Oligomerized Pool ENgineering)” technology was developed to construct over 30 highly active and effective ZFN pairs to target different chromosomal regions in human cells by lipofection (Maeder et al., 2008; Fu et al., 2009).

TALEN

The TALENs are pre-designed restriction enzymes that are capable of specific cutting of a desired DNA sequence. However, similar to ZF or any other nuclease-based insertion method, customer/project-based design of these restriction enzymes is necessary and needs precise understanding and computer-based analysis of the target cell and insertion site because the off-target cleavage of potential similar sequences causes too much damage to the host genome and usually cause cell death. Very recently, applying the “Golden Gate TALEN and TAL Effector Kit” from Addgene, human embryonic kidney and human iPSC cells were genetically modified efficiently with TALEN system (Germak, 2011). The “Golden-Gate assembly system” was developed using over 400 different plasmid DNA vector carrying TAL effector and Folk1 encoding genes in order to make a TALEN library. These plasmids complexed with Lipofectamine or polyethylenimine were delivered to several human cells to monitor their activity (Kim et al., 2013). However, similar to ZFN, TAL effector proteins require protein engineering to bind to a desired DNA sequence. Other improved system has been introduced by System Bioscience Company, which facilitates the precise insertion of the gene of interest into the AA VS1 “safe site” of the human genome using the TALEN endonuclease technology. The AA VS1 site, located on chromosome 19, is a natural integration hotspot of Adeno-associated virus (AAV) type 2 (Hüser and Heilbronn, 2003). It was shown that AA VS1 site can be considered as a safe site for nuclease mediated HR and insertion of the therapeutic genes for stable and long-term expression (Sadelain et al., 2012). Recently, ZFNs and TALEN targeted to AA VS1 were also used to insert therapeutic genes into this location (Figure 5), such as insertion of the transgene encoding gp91 in iPSC cells derived from patients with X-linked chronic granulomatous disease and restore the gp91 function. These patients suffer from defective gp91 expression and are not able to produce reactive oxygen species (Zou et al., 2011). Insertion of exogenous gp91 into the AA VS1 site is a novel pharmaceutical approach to cure this disease. In a different study, the activity of several exogenous and endogenous mammalian promoters on the expression levels of the transgenes were investigated applying plasmid-based TALEN strategy combined with nanoparticle-mediated transfection to insert promoters for more transient expression and check expression of the downstream genes over time (Smith, 2008). More studies on the effect of epigenetic regulations on transgene expression level, effect of promoter silencing, and transcription factors have been done using TALEN technology. For example, TALEN plasmid and transgene plasmids were delivered into human cells using Lipofectamine and polyethylenimine nanoparticles to investigate selective activation of the endogenous oct4 pluripotency gene via TALEN technology by activating reprogramming genes and inhibiting epigenetic factors to generate induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) from somatic cells (Bultmann et al., 2012).

CRISPR

CRISPR is the name of DNA sites in bacteria containing short sequences repeated multiple times within the genome of prokaryotes. These sequences produce adaptive immunity in bacterial cells against phage and plasmid infections and protect bacterial cells against invading viruses or foreign plasmids. The endonuclease encoding locus stores snippets of foreign sequence, which eventually would be transcribed into RNAs. These RNAs would be used as a guide based on sequences complementarity to introduce site-specific double-strand break in the target DNA or to cleave invading nucleic acids (Doudna and Charpentier, 2014). The endonuclease CRISPR-associated protein Cas9 cleaves DNA according to the sequence within an RNA duplex and creates site-specific double-strand break. CRISPRs are often associated with cas genes which code for endonucleases that perform various functions related to CRISPRs such as using a guide sequence within a RNA duplex and aligning it with a target DNA sequence. Post alignment, Cas9 generates a DSB in the target DNA, which provides the DNA open ends and initiate non-homologous recombination or homologous recombination if the similar sequence has been provided by a plasmid DNA vector or any other endogenous nucleic acid (Doudna and Charpentier, 2014). Recently, the CRISPR-Cas system has been adapted as a gene editing technique in mammalian cells by applying a DNA vector carrying cas genes, therapeutic transgene, and specifically designed CRISPRs to precisely cut host human cell genomic DNA at a desired location (Mali et al., 2013). However, for few years limited progress has been made to improve Cas specificity in variety of human cells due to target cell genotype heterogeneity. Unlike other nuclease methods, the CRISPR-Cas system requires the design and synthesis of a guide RNA that simultaneously targets multiple genomic sites. In last few years, the CRISPR-Cas technology in combination with better delivery systems such as physical methods and more advanced nanocarriers dramatically improved its specificity and efficacy. The number of publications that applied this technology to edit the genome of human somatic cells, stem cells, and iPSC cells has been increased radically and several companies are offering commercially available kits and services to assist scientists with multidisciplinary backgrounds who are interested in applying this technique. The following are just few examples to demonstrate the increasing popularity of this technology among clinical and scientific researchers. CRISPR-Cas system has been used to (A) provide in-depth understanding of carcinogenesis processes through generating
The FokI endonuclease enzyme derived from the prokaryote Flavobacterium okeanokoites was found to function as two separate domains - one binds DNA in a sequence specific manner and one cleaves in a sequence independent manner that is highly specific because it needs dimerization for endonuclease activity on the target DNA. Therefore, by fusing a FokI monomer to two ZFPs or TALEs, which bind to adjacent sequences at a safe site on the human chromosome such as AAVS1 target site, it is possible to generate sequence specific DNA nuclease complexes that facilitate selective targeting and homologous recombination within human genomes (Davis and Stokoe, 2010). (1) Two ZFPs or TALEs are designed to specifically target AAVS1 site in anticipated clinically relevant target cell. These proteins are fused to a FokI cleavage domain to facilitate their nuclease activity. The ZFN or TALEN are co-delivered with the HR donor plasmid DNA vector into the cell by a non-viral gene delivery technique to reach the nuclei. (2) Inside the nucleus, ZFNs or TALENs recognize specific DNA sequences on the target cell’s chromosome. (3) Binding of two ZFNs or TALENs complex to the target site allows FokI to dimerize. (4) ZFNs or TALENs complex creates a targeted chromosome break at the AAVS1 site of the host cell, which facilitates HR between the donor DNA vector and homologous sequences. (5) After generating the DNA double strand break, the ZFNs or TALENs complex disassociate from target DNA. (6) The AAVS1 homology arms subcloned into the HR donor DNA vectors recognize the homolog sequences located on the host genome. (7) HR-mediated insertion of the therapeutic gene expression cargo occurs at the AAVS1 site of the clinically relevant host cell.

More advanced and safer techniques for genome editing have many potential applications in further understanding of neurodegenerative diseases, including (A) generating new cell lines to study basics of disease progression, cell growth, and differentiation; (B) screening, identification, and developments of novel therapeutics and their targets; (C) generating disease animal models; and (D) developing new antiviral treatments by making DSB in the provirus genomic DNA integrated into the infected host cell genome and deactivated the viral genes necessary for pathogenesis or reproduction, reassembly and release of new viruses (Ebina et al., 2013; Hu et al., 2014); and (F) facilitate precise ex vivo remodeling of stem/progenitor cells (Doudna and Charpentier, 2014).

**Conclusions**

More advanced and safer techniques for genome editing have many potential applications in further understanding of neurodegenerative diseases, including (A) generating new cell lines to study basics of disease progression, cell growth, and differentiation; (B) screening, identification, and developments of novel therapeutics and their targets; (C) generating disease animal models; and (D) developing new antiviral treatments by making DSB in the provirus genomic DNA integrated into the infected host cell genome and deactivated the viral genes necessary for pathogenesis or reproduction, reassembly and release of new viruses (Ebina et al., 2013; Hu et al., 2014); and (F) facilitate precise ex vivo remodeling of stem/progenitor cells (Doudna and Charpentier, 2014).
candidates for cell replacement or cell rescue therapies (Yin et al., 2014a).

Neurotrophic factor therapeutic genome engineering is a highly coveted clinical aspiration of glaucoma therapy, but only recent technological advances in improved delivery techniques, the new generation of bacterial sequence-depleted DNA vectors carrying only the desired therapeutic transgene expression cargo, and the availability of modern genome editing techniques could provide the necessary tools for the modification of complex genomes and gene therapy in a targeted fashion. We previously highlighted the rationale for NTFs and their transgene delivery into cell candidates and discussed the significant effects of several NTFs on the support and protection of retinal cells and other cell candidates that might be potential therapeutic options for glaucoma neuroprotective gene therapy (Nafissi and Foldvari, 2015). Here, we highlighted the rationale of genetic modifications for glaucoma therapies either as the gene therapy targets or cell replacement therapy to provide long-term neurotrophin therapeutics on-site using new generations of safer and more efficient DNA vectors and modern genetic modification techniques.

In general, compared to cell replacement therapies to replace and integrate functionally active stem/progenitor cells into the retina, it was shown that cell-based neuroprotective therapies by prolonged secretion of NTFs is a more straightforward strategy to nourish and support dying RGCs in progressive glaucoma. In addition to supplying NTFs, cell-based neuroprotective therapies may also be able to promote RGCs survival directly through modifying gene expression in surrounding cells to enhance NTFs expression on-site (Madhavan et al., 2008). Genetically modified retinal progenitor cells, that express and secrete the NTFs, have been shown to provide neuroprotective support to degenerated or injured RGCs similar to the supportive role of NTFs expressing NSC or mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) in regeneration of neurons (Akerud et al., 2001; Nomura et al., 2005; Zhu et al., 2005).

Thus, genetically modified NTFs secreting cell-based therapies provides new avenues to fight with the irreversible loss of RGCs associated with glaucoma. Currently we have efficient tools for permanent, reversible, or conditional genetic manipulations of almost any type of cells. The success of clinical trials in other disorders gives rise to similar approaches in the context of neurodegenerative diseases including glaucoma. Ultimately, a better understanding of cell development and stem cell biology at the molecular level, along with improvements in the techniques for non-viral gene delivery, advances in nanotechnology and nanomedicine for synthesis of more cell-friendly structures, and in-depth knowledge of gene modification systems at the molecular level would encourage scientists to develop novel treatments for degenerative disorders. Non-viral gene delivery techniques such as electroporation (Helledie et al., 2008) nucleofection (Dwivedi et al., 2012; Madeira et al., 2013), as well as nanotechnology tools such as application of polysaccharide nanoparticles (Deng et al., 2013), cationic liposome nanoparticles (Madeira et al., 2010), calcium phosphate nanoparticles (Cao et al., 2011), and nanoneedles (Nakamura et al., 2002), in parallel with efficient and safe therapeutic transgene insertion techniques such as ΦC31 integrase, transposons, and ZFN, TALEN, or the CRISPR/Cas9 nuclease systems (Gaj et al., 2013), are new tools for developing clinically approved cell-based therapeutics for neurodegenerative disorders like glaucoma. These systems are more reliable since they avoid the high risks associated with using viral vectors such as insertional mutagenesis and undesired immune rejection, provide life-long therapy by more policed insertion of the therapeutic gene into the desired site, target a broader range of disorders due to their capability to accommodate genes of different sizes, and finally, provides higher activity owing to their ability to target hard- to-transfect human cells. Before ex vivo modified cells can achieve therapeutic efficacy for clinical glaucoma treatment in human, many limitations remain to be overcome. A reliable and expandable source of retinal cells must be isolated, expanded, characterized and established. These cells must demonstrate appropriate engraftment within the retina. Even with the aforementioned challenges, the in vitro, ex vivo, and pre-clinical support for genetically modified cell-mediated RGC repair is undeniable, and warrants the kind of robust investigation that is currently under way. These methods, if successful in human trials, could offer several advantages over traditional pharmacological approaches. While much work remains to address the safety issues for all of the above mentioned approaches, evidently, this is a multi-disciplinary field which undeniably needs collaboration from different scientific domains to bring the bench results to the clinic.
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