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In 1971, Feynman et al. published a paper on hadronic mass spectra and transition rates based on
the quark model. Their starting point was a Lorentz-invariant differential equation. This equation
can be separated into a Klein-Gordon equation for the free-moving hadron and a harmonic oscillator
equation for the quarks inside the hadron. However, their solution of the oscillator equation is not
consistent with the existing rules of quantum mechanics and special relativity. On the other hand,
their partial differential equation has many other solutions depending on boundary conditions. It is
noted that there is a Lorentz-covariant set of solutions totally consistent with quantum mechanics
and special relativity. This set constitutes a representation of the Poincaré group which dictates the
fundamental space-time symmetry of particles in the Lorentz-covariant world. It is then shown that
the same set of solutions can be used as the mathematical basis for two-photon coherent states or
squeezed states in quantum optics. It is thus possible to transmit the physics of squeezed states into
the hadronic world. While the time-like separation is the most puzzling problem in the covariant
oscillator regime, this variable can be interpreted like the unobserved photon in the two-mode
squeezed state which leads to an entropy increase.

I. INTRODUCTION

Since Einstein’s formulation of special relativity in
1905, the most important development in physics is the
formulation of quantum mechanics resulting in Heisen-
berg’s uncertainty principle.

For solving practical problems, the Schrödinger wave
equation is commonly used. For scattering problems, we
use running-wave solutions. For bound states, we obtain
standing-wave solutions with their boundary conditions.
Indeed, this localization boundary condition leads to dis-
crete energy levels.

For scattering problems, we now have Lorentz-

covariant quantum field theory with its scattering matrix
formalism and Feynman diagrams. Since quantum field
theory was so successful that there had been attempts
in the past to understand bound-state problems using
the S matrix method. However, it was noted from the
calculation of the neutron-proton mass difference from
Dashen and Frautschti that the S-matrix method does not
guarantee the localization of the bound-state wave func-
tions [1, 2].

While the localization boundary condition is the main
problem for the bound state, the question is whether this
issue is covariant under Lorentz transformations. We
know the hydrogen has its localized wave function, but
how would this appear to the observer on a train? One
way to reduce this difficulty is to study harmonic osc-
illators, because the oscillator system has its built-in
boundary condition. For this reason, there had been
many attempts in the past to make the harmonic osc-
illator Lorentz-covariant.

In order to understand the hadronic mass spectra and
hadronic transition rates in the quark model, Feynman
et al. in 1971 published a paper containing the following
Lorentz-invariant differential equation [3].

\[
\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a_\mu} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^b_\mu} \right)^2 \right] + \frac{1}{16} (x^a_\mu - x^b_\mu)^2 + m_0^2 \right\} \phi(x^a_\mu, x^b_\mu) = 0,
\]

for a hadron consisting of two quarks bound-together harmonic oscillator potential. The space-time quark coordinates
are \(x^a_\mu\) and \(x^b_\mu\). They then wrote down the equation

They wrote down the hadronic and quark separation
coordinates as

\[
X_\mu = \frac{1}{2} (x^a_\mu + x^b_\mu),
\]

\[
x_\mu = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} (x^a_\mu - x^b_\mu),
\]

respectively, and \(\phi(x^a_\mu, x^b_\mu)\) as

\[
\phi(x^a_\mu, x^b_\mu) = f(X_\mu) \psi(x_\mu).
\]

Then the differential equation can be separated into the
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the covariant harmonic oscillator can be used for mathematical basis for two-photon coherent states or squeezed states [6]. In other words, the squeezed states can be constructed from the Lorentz-invariant differential equation of Eq.(4).
We then establish that the longitudinal and time-like excitations in the covariant harmonic oscillator system can be translated into the two-photon coherent state. We know what happens when one of the two photons is not observed. The result is an increase in entropy [6]. We can then go back to the covariant oscillator and give a similar interpretation to the time-separation variable which is not observed in the present form of quantum mechanics.
In Sec. II we introduce the set of solutions of Eq.(4) which constitutes a representation of the Poincaré group. We then study the space-time geometry of its Lorentz covariance. In Sec. III it is shown that the oscillator differential equation of Eq.(5) can serve as the starting equation for squeezed states in quantum optics, and also that this Poincaré set serves as the mathematical basis for the two-photon coherent state. In Sec. IV we give a physical interpretation to the time-separation variable in terms of Feynman’s rest of the universe, which has a concrete physical interpretation in quantum optics.

II. LORENTZ BOOSTS AS SQUEEZE TRANSFORMATIONS

In 1979, Kim, Noz, and Oh published a paper on representations of the Poincaré group using a set of solutions of the oscillator equation of Eq.(4). Later in 1986, Kim and Noz in their book [8] noted that this set corresponds to a representation of Wigner’s O(3)-like little group for massive particles. If a particle has a non-zero mass, there is a Lorentz frame in which the particle is at rest. Wigner’s little group then becomes that of the three-dimensional rotation group, which is very familiar to us.

The Lorentz-covariant solution of the Lorentz-invariant differential equation contains both space-like and time-like wave components, but we can keep the time-like component to its ground state. The wave function thus retains the O(3)-like symmetry. The solution takes the form

As for the spatial part of the differential equation, it is the equation for the three-dimensional oscillator. We can solve this equation with both the Cartesian and spherical coordinate systems. If we use the spherical system with (r, θ, φ) as the variables, the solution should take the form

The Lorentz-covariant solution of the oscillator equation of Eq.(5) [6] is also a Lorentz-invariant equation. The problem is that the set of solutions given by Feynman et al. in their 1971 paper is not consistent with the existing rules of physics. This is the reason why this paper is not well known.
However, this does not exclude other sets of solutions. The solutions can take different forms depending on the separable coordinate systems with their boundary conditions. Indeed, there is a set of oscillator solution that can constitute a representation of the Poincaré group, particularly that of Wigner’s little group which dictates the internal space-time symmetry of the particles in the Lorentz-covariant world [4, 5]. We choose to call this set of solutions the Poincaré set.
If we ignore the time-like variable in Eq.(5), it is the Schrödinger-type equation for the three-dimensional harmonic oscillator. If we ignore it, the equation loses its Lorentz invariance. The problem is how to deal with the time-separation variable, while it is not even mentioned in the present form of quantum mechanics. If we believe in Einstein, this variable exists wherever there is a spatial separation like the Bohr radius. However, we pretend to know about it in the present form of quantum mechanics.
In this report, we give an interpretation to this variable based on the lessons we learn from quantum optics. For this purpose, we show first that the Poincaré set for

for the hadronic coordinate, and

for the coordinate of quark separation inside the hadron.

The differential equation of Eq.(4) is a Klein-Gordon equation for the hadronic coordinate. The Klein-Gordon equation is Lorentz-invariant and is the starting point for quantum field theory for scattering processes with Feynman diagrams. This aspect of physics is well known.
In the present case, the solution takes the form

where

We are using here the space-favored metric where

The hadronic mass is thus determined from m_0 and λ. The λ parameter is determined from the oscillator equation of Eq.(5) for the internal space-time coordinate. The internal quark motion determined the hadronic mass, according to Feynman et al.
Indeed, the differential equation of Eq.(4) contains the scattering-state equation for the hadron, and the bound-state equation for the quarks inside the hadron. The differential equation of Eq.(5) is a Klein-Gordon equation. The problem is that the set of solutions given by Feynman et al. in their 1971 paper is not consistent with the existing rules of physics. This is the reason why this paper is not well known.
However, this does not exclude other sets of solutions. The solutions can take different forms depending on the separable coordinate systems with their boundary conditions. Indeed, there is a set of oscillator solution that can constitute a representation of the Poincaré group, particularly that of Wigner’s little group which dictates the internal space-time symmetry of the particles in the Lorentz-covariant world [4, 5]. We choose to call this set of solutions the Poincaré set.
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the following two equations.

\[
\left\{ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \right)^2 + m_0^2 + (\lambda + 1) \right\} f(X_\mu) = 0, \quad (4)
\]

for the hadronic coordinate, and

\[
\frac{1}{2} \left\{ - \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \right)^2 + x_\mu^2 \right\} \psi(x_\mu) = (\lambda + 1) \psi(x_\mu), \quad (5)
\]

We are using here the space-favored metric where

\[
P^2 = (P_x^2 + P_y^2 + P_z^2 - E^2). \quad (8)
\]

\[
- P^2 = m_0^2 + (\lambda + 1). \quad (7)
\]

\[
\psi(x, y, z) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi} \right\}^{1/4} \exp \left( - \frac{t^2}{2} \right) \psi(x, y, x). \quad (8)
\]

\[
\psi(x, y, z) = R_{\lambda, \ell}(r) Y_{\ell, m}(\theta, \phi) \exp \left\{ - \frac{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}{2} \right\}, \quad (9)
\]
where $Y_{\ell,m}(\theta, \phi)$ is the spherical harmonics, and $R_{\lambda,\ell}(r)$ is the normalized radial wave function with $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$. The $\lambda$ and $\ell$ parameters specify the mass and the internal spin of the hadron respectively, as required by Wigner’s representation theory [4, 8].

If we use the Cartesian coordinate systems, and the solution can be written as

$$\psi(x, y, z) = \left[\frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{2^{a+b+n} a! b! n!}}\right]^{1/2} H_a(x) H_b(y) H_n(z) \exp \left\{ - \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}{2} \right) \right\}, \quad (10)$$

where $H_n(z)$ is the Hermite polynomial of $z$. Since the three-dimensional oscillator system is separable in both the spherical and Cartesian coordinate systems, the wave function of Eq. (10) can be written as a linear combination of the solutions given in Eq. (10), with $\lambda = a + b + n$.

When we boost this solution along the $z$ direction, the Cartesian form of Eq. (10) is more convenient. Since the transverse $x$ and $y$ coordinates are not affected by this transformation, we can separate out these variables in the oscillator differential equation of Eq. (5), and consider the differential equation

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ - \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^2 + z^2 \right\} \psi(z, t) = n \psi(z, t). \quad (11)$$

This differential equation remains invariant under the Lorentz boost

$$z \rightarrow (\cosh \eta) z + (\sinh \eta) t, \quad t \rightarrow (\sinh \eta) z + (\cosh \eta) t. \quad (12)$$

with

$$e^n = \sqrt{\frac{1 + \beta}{1 - \beta^2}}, \quad (13)$$

where $\beta$ is the velocity parameter $v/c$.

If we suppress the excitations along the $t$ coordinate, the normalized solution of this differential equation is

$$\psi(z, t) = \left[\frac{1}{\pi 2^n n!}\right]^{1/2} H_n(z) \exp \left\{ - \left( \frac{z^2 + t^2}{2} \right) \right\}. \quad (14)$$

If we boost the hadron along the $z$ direction according to Eq. (12), the coordinate variables $z$ and $t$ should be replaced respectively by $[(\cosh \eta) z - (\sinh \eta) t]$ and $[(\cosh \eta) t - (\sinh \eta) z]$ respectively, and the expression becomes uncontrollable.

In his 1949 paper [9], Dirac introduced his light-cone variables defined as

$$u = \frac{z + t}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad v = \frac{z - t}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (15)$$

Then the boost transformation of Eq. (12) takes the form

$$u \rightarrow e^{\eta} u, \quad v \rightarrow e^{-\eta} v. \quad (16)$$

The $u$ variable becomes expanded while the $v$ variable becomes contracted. Their product

$$uv = \frac{1}{2} (z + t)(z - t) = \frac{1}{2} (z^2 - t^2) \quad (17)$$

remains invariant. Indeed, in Dirac’s picture, the Lorentz boost is a squeeze transformation.

In terms of these light-cone variables, the ground-state wave function becomes

$$\psi^0_n(x, t) = \left[\frac{1}{\pi n! 2^n}\right]^{1/2} H_n \left( \frac{u + v}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \exp \left\{ - \left( \frac{u^2 + v^2}{2} \right) \right\}, \quad (18)$$

and the excited-state wave function of Eq. (14) takes the form

$$\psi^0_n(x, t) = \left[\frac{1}{\pi n! 2^n}\right]^{1/2} H_n \left( \frac{e^{-\eta} u + e^{\eta} v}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \exp \left\{ - \left( \frac{e^{-2\eta} u^2 + e^{2\eta} v^2}{2} \right) \right\}, \quad (19)$$
for the moving hadron. If we use the $x$ and $t$ variables,

\[
\psi^m_n(x, t) = \left[ \frac{1}{\pi n! 2^n} \right]^{1/2} H_n \left( \frac{e^{-\eta(z + t)} + e^{\eta(z - t)}}{2} \right) \exp \left\{ - \left[ \frac{e^{-2\eta(z + t)} + e^{2\eta(z - t)}}{2} \right] \right\},
\]

(20)

For the ground state with $n = 0$, the wave function is a Gaussian function

\[
\psi^0_n(x, t) = \left[ \frac{1}{\pi} \right]^{1/2} \exp \left\{ - \left( \frac{e^{-2\eta z + 4\eta^2}}{4} \right) \right\}.
\]

(21)

In terms of the $z, t$ variables,

\[
\psi^0_n(x, t) = \left[ \frac{1}{\pi} \right]^{1/2} \exp \left\{ - \left[ \frac{e^{-2\eta(z + t)} + e^{2\eta(z - t)}}{4} \right] \right\}.
\]

(22)

FIG. 1: Lorentz-squeezed hadrons. Feynman’s proposal allows us to combine Dirac’s quantum mechanics and Lorentz covariance to generate Lorentz-squeezed hadrons.

Let us start with the Hamiltonian of the form

\[
H_+ = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ - \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 + x_1^2 \right\} + \left\{ - \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 + x_2^2 \right\},
\]

(23)

and the differential equation

\[
H_+ \psi(x_1, x_2) = (n_1 + n_2 + 1) \psi(x_1, x_2).
\]

(24)

This is the Schrödinger equation for the two-dimensional harmonic oscillator. This differential equation is separable in the $x_1$ and $x_2$ variables, and the wave function can be written as

\[
\psi(x_1, x_2) = \chi_{n_1}(x_1) \chi_{n_2}(x_2),
\]

(25)

where $\chi_n(x)$ is the $n$-th excited-state oscillator wave function which takes the form

\[
\chi_n(x) = \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi 2^n n!}} \right]^{1/2} H_n(x) \exp \left( -\frac{x^2}{2} \right).
\]

(26)

Thus

\[
\psi(x_1, x_2) = \left[ \frac{1}{\pi 2^{(n_1+n_2)}(n_1+n_2)!} \right]^{1/2} H_{n_1}(x_1) H_{n_2}(x_2) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left( x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right) \right\}.
\]

(27)
If the system is in the ground state with \( n_1 = n_2 = 0 \), this wave function becomes
\[
\psi(x_1, x_2) = \left[ \frac{1}{\pi} \right]^{1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (x_1^2 + x_2^2) \right\}. \tag{28}
\]

If the \( x_2 \) coordinate alone is in its ground state, the wave function becomes
\[
\psi(x_1, x_2) = \left[ \frac{1}{\pi^{n_1/2}} \right]^{1/2} H_{n_1}(x_1) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (x_1^2 + x_2^2) \right\}, \tag{29}
\]
with \( n = n_1 \). In order to squeeze this wave function, we introduce first the normal coordinates
\[
y_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (x_1 + x_2), \quad y_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (x_1 - x_2). \tag{30}
\]

In terms of these variables, the wave function of Eq.(28) can be written as
\[
\psi_0^0(x_1, x_2) = \left[ \frac{1}{\pi^{n_1/2}} \right]^{1/2} H_{n_1} \left( \frac{y_1 + y_2}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \exp \left\{ -\frac{\eta_1 y_1^2 + \eta_2 y_2^2}{2} \right\}, \tag{31}
\]

Let us next squeeze the system by making the following coordinate transformation.
\[
y_1 \rightarrow e^{\eta} y_1, \quad y_2 \rightarrow e^{-\eta} y_2. \tag{32}
\]

This transformation is equivalent to
\[
x_1 \rightarrow [(\cosh \eta)x_1 + (\sinh \eta)x_2], \quad x_2 \rightarrow [(\sinh \eta)x_1 + (\cosh \eta)x_2], \tag{33}
\]
like the Lorentz boost given in Eq.(12).

The wave function then becomes
\[
\psi_0^0(x_1, x_2) = \left[ \frac{1}{\pi^{n_1/2}} \right]^{1/2} H_{n_1} \left( \frac{e^{-\eta} y_1 + e^{\eta} y_2}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \exp \left\{ -\frac{e^{-2\eta} y_1^2 + e^{2\eta} y_2^2}{2} \right\}. \tag{34}
\]

If we use the \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) variables, this expression becomes
\[
\psi_0^0(x_1, x_2) = \left[ \frac{1}{\pi^{n_1/2}} \right]^{1/2} H_{n_1} \left( \frac{e^{-\eta} (x_1 + x_2) + e^{\eta} (x_1 - x_2)}{2} \right) \exp \left\{ -\frac{e^{-2\eta} (x_1 + x_2)^2 + e^{2\eta} (x_1 - x_2)^2}{2} \right\}. \tag{35}
\]

This transformed wave function does not satisfy the eigenvalue equation of Eq.(27). It is a linear combinations of the eigen solutions \( \chi(x_1) \) and \( \chi(x_2) \) defined in Eq.(26). The linear expansion takes the form \[8, 15\]
\[
\psi_0^0(x_1, x_2) = \left( \frac{1}{\cosh \eta} \right)^{(n+1)} \sum_k \left[ \frac{(n+k)!}{n!k!} \right]^{1/2} (\tanh \eta)^k \chi_{n+k}(x_1) \chi_k(x_2), \tag{36}
\]
In quantum optics, the eigen functions \( \chi_{n+k}(x_1) \) and \( \chi_k(x_1) \) correspond to the \( (n+k) \)-photon state of the first photon and \( k \)-photon state of the second photon respectively.

If \( n = 0 \), it becomes the squeezed ground state or vacuum state, and the resulting wave function is
\[
\psi_0^0(x_1, x_2) = \left( \frac{1}{\cosh \eta} \right) \sum_k (\tanh \eta)^k \chi_{n+k}(x_1) \chi_k(x_2). \tag{37}
\]
In the literature, this squeezed ground state is known as the squeezed vacuum state \[6\], while the expansion of Eq.(36) is for the squeezed \( n \)-photon state \[13\].

While these wave functions do not satisfy the eigenvalue equation with the Hamiltonian of Eq.(23), they satisfy the eigenvalue equation with the Hamiltonian \( H_- \), where
\[
H_- = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ -\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 + x_1^2 \right\} - \left\{ -\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 + x_2^2 \right\}. \tag{38}
\]
If the \( x_2 \) coordinate is in its ground state,
\[
H_- \psi(x_1, x_2) = n\psi(x_1, x_2), \tag{39}\]

If we replace the notations \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) by \( z \) and \( t \) respectively, this Hamiltonian becomes that of Eq.(11). Thus, the oscillator equation of Eq.(11) generates a set of solu-
tions which forms the basis for the squeezed states. The $t$ variable is the time-separation variable, and is not the time variable appearing in the time-dependent Schrödinger equation. We shall discuss this variable in detail in Sec. IV.

The differential equation of Eq. (11) was proposed by Feynman et al. in 1971 [3]. Even though they were not able to provide physically meaningful solutions to their own equation, it is gratifying to note that there is at least one set of solutions which can explain many aspects of physics, including squeezed states in quantum optics as well as the basic observable effects in high-energy hadronic physics.

IV. FEYNMAN’S REST OF THE UNIVERSE

In Sec. II the time-separation variable played a major role in making the oscillator system Lorentz-covariant. It should exist wherever the space separation exists. The Bohr radius is the measure of the separation between the proton and electron in the hydrogen atom. If this atom moves, the radius picks up the time separation, according to Einstein [16].

On the other hand, the present form of quantum mechanics does not include this time-separation variable. The best way we can do at the present time is to treat this time-separation as a variable in Feynman’s rest of the universe [17]. In his book on statistical mechanics [18], Feynman states

> When we solve a quantum-mechanical problem, what we really do is divide the universe into two parts - the system in which we are interested and the rest of the universe. We then usually act as if the system in which we are interested comprised the entire universe. To motivate the use of density matrices, let us see what happens when we include the part of the universe outside the system.

The failure to include what happens outside the system results in an increase of entropy. The entropy is a measure of our ignorance and is computed from the density matrix [19]. The density matrix is needed when the experimental procedure does not analyze all relevant variables to the maximum extent consistent with quantum mechanics [20]. If we do not take into account the time-separation variable, the result is therefore an increase in entropy [21][22].

It is gratifying to note that the two-mode coherent state in quantum optics shares the same mathematical basis as the covariant harmonic oscillator. In the two-mode squeezed state, both photons are observable, but the physics survives and becomes even more interesting if one of them is not observed [7].

In the covariant oscillator formalism, these two photons are translated into longitudinal and time-like excitations in the hadronic system. If the hadron is at rest, there are no time-like excitations. On the other hand, if the hadron moves, there are time-like excitations to the observer at rest. But this observer is not able to detect it. Indeed, these time-like oscillations are in Feynman’s rest of the universe.

Let us carry out a concrete mathematics using the density matrix formalism. From the covariant oscillator wave functions defined Sec. II the pure-state density matrix is

$$\rho_n^\prime(z',t') = \psi_n^\prime(z',t') \psi_n^\prime(z',t'),$$  

which satisfies the condition $\rho^2 = \rho$:

$$\rho_n^\prime(z, t; x, t') = \int \rho_n^\prime(z, t; x, t') \rho_n^\prime(z, t; x, t') \, dz \, dt.$$

However, in the present form of quantum mechanics, it is not possible to take into account the time separation variables. Thus, we have to take the trace of the matrix with respect to the $t$ variable. Then the resulting density matrix is [21].
\[ \rho_n^\ell(z, z') = \int \psi_n^\ell(z, t)\psi_n^\ell(z', t) dt \]

\[ = \left( \frac{1}{\cosh \eta} \right)^{2(n+1)} \sum_k \frac{(n+k)!}{n!k!} (\tanh \eta)^{2k} \psi_{n+k}(z)\psi_{k+n}^*(z'). \quad (42) \]

The trace of this density matrix is one, but the trace of \( \rho^2 \) is less than one, as we can see from the following formula.

\[ Tr(\rho^2) = \int \rho_n^\ell(z, z')\rho_n^\ell(z', z)dzdz' \]

\[ = \left( \frac{1}{\cosh \eta} \right)^{4(n+1)} \sum_k \left[ \frac{(n+k)!}{n!k!} \right]^2 (\tanh \eta)^{4k}. \quad (43) \]

which is less than one. This is due to the fact that we do not know how to deal with the time-like separation in the present formulation of quantum mechanics. Our knowledge is less than complete.

The standard way to measure this ignorance is to calculate the entropy defined as

\[ S = -Tr(\rho \ln(\rho)). \quad (44) \]

If we can measure the distribution along the time-like direction and use the pure-state density matrix given in Eq.(42), the entropy is zero. However, if we do not know how to deal with the distribution along \( t \), then we should use the density matrix of Eq.(43) to calculate the entropy, and the result is [21]

\[ S = 2(n+1) \left\{ (\cosh \eta)^2 \ln(\cosh \eta) - (\sinh \eta)^2 \ln(\sinh \eta) \right\} - \left( \frac{1}{\cosh \eta} \right)^{2(n+1)} \sum_k \frac{(n+k)!}{n!k!} \ln \left[ \frac{(n+k)!}{n!k!} \right] (\tanh \eta)^{2k}. \quad (45) \]

In terms of the velocity \( v \) of the hadron,

\[ S = -(n+1) \left\{ \ln \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{v}{c} \right)^2 \right] + \frac{(v/c)^2 \ln(v/c)^2}{1 - (v/c)^2} \right\} - 2 \left( \frac{1}{v} \right)^2 \sum_k \frac{(n+k)!}{n!k!} \ln \left[ \frac{(n+k)!}{n!k!} \right] \left( \frac{v}{c} \right)^{2k}. \quad (46) \]

Let us go back to the wave function given in Eq.(20). As is illustrated in Figure 1, its localization property is dictated by the Gaussian factor which corresponds to the ground-state wave function. For this reason, we expect that much of the behavior of the density matrix or the entropy for the \( n \)-th excited state will be the same as that for the ground state with \( n = 0 \). For this state, the density matrix and the entropy are

\[ \rho(z, z') = \left( \frac{1}{\pi \cosh(2\eta)} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} \left[ \frac{(z + z')^2}{\cosh(2\eta)} + (z - z')^2 \cosh(2\eta) \right] \right\}, \quad (47) \]

and

\[ S = 2 \left\{ (\cosh \eta)^2 \ln(\cosh \eta) - (\sinh \eta)^2 \ln(\sinh \eta) \right\}, \quad (48) \]

respectively. The quark distribution \( \rho(z, z) \) becomes

\[ \rho(z, z) = \left( \frac{1}{\pi \cosh(2\eta)} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left( -\frac{z^2}{\cosh(2\eta)} \right). \quad (49) \]

The width of the distribution becomes \( \sqrt{\cosh \eta} \), and becomes wide-spread as the hadronic speed increases. Likewise, the momentum distribution becomes wide-spread [22]. This simultaneous increase in the momentum and position distribution widths is called the parton phenomenon in high-energy physics [12]. The position-momentum uncertainty becomes \( \cosh \eta \). This increase in uncertainty is due to our ignorance about the physical
but unmeasurable time-separation variable.

Let us next examine how this ignorance will lead to the concept of temperature. For the Lorentz-boosted ground state with \( n = 0 \), the density matrix of Eq. (47) becomes that of the harmonic oscillator in a thermal equilibrium state if \( (\tanh \eta)^2 \) is identified as the Boltzmann factor \([23]\). For other states, it is very difficult, if not impossible, to describe them and thermal equilibrium states. Unlike the case of temperature, the entropy is clearly defined for all values of \( n \). Indeed, the entropy in this case is derivable directly from the hadronic speed.

The time-separation variable exists in the Lorentz-covariant world, but we pretend not to know about it. It thus is in Feynman’s rest of the universe. If we do not measure this time-separation, it becomes translated into the entropy.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper, we started with the Lorentz-invariant differential equation of Feynman et al. \([3]\). This equation can be separated into the Klein-Gordon equation for the free-flying hadron and the harmonic-oscillator equation for the quarks inside the hadron. It was noted that there is a set of solutions constituting a representation of the Poincaré group \([8]\). While this set leads to many interesting consequences in high-energy physics, it serves as the mathematical basis for squeezed states in quantum optics. This also serves as a mathematical tool for illustrating Feynman’s rest of the universe.

Starting from the physics of two-mode squeezed states, we were able to give a physical interpretation to the time-separation variable which is never mentioned in the present form of quantum mechanics.

According to Feynman, the adventure of our science of physics is a perpetual attempt to recognize that the different aspects of nature are really different aspects of the same thing. While this is his interpretation of physics, the question is how to accomplish it. One way is to prove that everything in physics comes from one equation, as Newton did for classical mechanics. Feynman’s equation of Eq. (1) does not appear to generate all the physics, but it could serve as the starting point in many branches of physics.
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