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Abstract

Introduction: Although income is among the major social determinants of mental health of middle-aged and older individuals, socially marginalized groups gain less health from their income and other socioeconomic status (SES) resources compared to socially privileged groups. This pattern is called marginalization-related diminished returns (MDRs). Most of the existing knowledge on MDRs, however, has been derived from studies that have defined marginalization based on race, ethnicity, or sexual orientation. As a result, very limited information exists on whether similar MDRs can be observed for middle-aged and older immigrants or not. Building on the MDRs framework, this study compared a national sample of immigrants and non-immigrants for the effects of income on the mental well-being of middle-aged and older adults in the United States.

Methods: This is a cross-sectional study. The 2015 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) enrolled 14149 middle-aged and older individuals who were either immigrants (n=1977; 14.0%) or non-immigrants (n=12166; 86.0%). The independent variable (IV) was income that was treated as a continuous variable. The dependent variable was mental well-being, also treated as a continuous variable. Age, gender, race, ethnicity, education, marital status, employment, self-rated health, obesity, and region were confounders. Immigration (nativity status) was the moderator. Logistic regression was applied for data analysis.

Results: High income was associated with higher odds of good mental well-being in middle-aged and older adults. However, immigration showed a significant statistical interaction with income, which was suggestive of a smaller protective effect of high income on mental well-being for immigrant than non-immigrant middle-aged and older adults.

Conclusion: In line with MDRs, the association between income and mental well-being is weaker for immigrant than non-immigrant middle-aged and older adults. There is a need to help high income immigrants secure health outcomes similar to those of non-immigrants. Such changes may require bold and innovative economic, public, and social policies that help immigrants more effectively translate their income and socioeconomic resources into tangible outcomes such as mental well-being.
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Introduction

Extensive theoretical and empirical work has established a connection between socioeconomic status (SES) indicators, such as income, and a wide range of physical and mental health outcomes.1-3 High income is associated with better mental well-being and happiness1 and less depression,2 anxiety,6 suicidal ideas,7 and psychological distress.8-9 Similar to other types of social marginalization (e.g., based on race, ethnicity, and sexual orientation), immigration may also reduce the salience of SES indicators such as income on the mental well-being of populations.10-13

Sub-populations, however, unequally receive mental health gains from their SES indicators such as income. According to the marginalization-related diminished returns (MDRs) theory,21,22 the effects of income,23-27 educational attainment,28 employment,29,30 and marital status on mental,31,32 behavioral,33,35 and physical36-38 health outcomes are weaker for racial, ethnic, and sexual minorities than for the majority group. This pattern has been established for Blacks,15,16 Hispanics,25,40 Asian Americans,41 Native Americans,42 as well as lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) individuals.43-47 For example, high income Black men are at an increased rather than reduced risk of depression. Similarly, high SES LGBTs remain at high risk of poor mental health,43 smoking,44 and obesity.45

While according to the MDRs literature, racial, ethnic, and sexual minority status are all associated with diminished health returns of income,23-27 educational attainment,26 occupation,29,30 and marital status,46 it is yet unknown whether the same MDRs may also be relevant to immigrants. In other...
words, it remains unclear if immigrants gain less health from their SES resources, such as income, compared to non-immigrant people. Similar to other minority groups based on race,\textsuperscript{23,34} ethnicity,\textsuperscript{23,34} and sexual minority status,\textsuperscript{43-45} immigrants are also marginalized by the host society. Immigrants are being widely discriminated against and are treated differently than the native people by various social institutions.\textsuperscript{47-51} Immigrants also experience a considerable amount of stigma and may internalize hopelessness and sadness.

Borrowing data from the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS), this study was conducted to compare immigrants and non-immigrants for the association between income and mental well-being of middle-aged and older adults in the US. Built on MDRs, immigration status was conceptualized as a marginalizing identity. Thus, similar to the pattern observed for Blacks, Hispanics, Asian Americans, Native Americans, and LGBTs, it was expected weaker effects of income on the psychological well-being of immigrant rather than non-immigrant middle-aged and older people.

**Methods**

This cross-sectional study used data from the 2015 NHIS. The NHIS is the primary source of information regarding the physical health status of American adults 18 years or older. The NHIS sample is composed of U.S. residents, civilians, and noninstitutionalized people. The current analysis is, however, limited to middle-aged and older adults.

**Participants and Sampling**

The NHIS used a multi-stage clustered/stratified random sampling. First, 428 primary sampling units (PSUs) drawn from 1900 geographically defined PSUs were sampled. All 50 U.S. states and the District of Columbia that have representatives had PSUs in the sample. The PSUs were either a metropolitan statistical area, a single county, or a small group of contiguous counties. For this analysis, only data regarding middle-aged and older adults was used.

**Process**

Data is collected by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), which is a part of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and by the U.S. Census Bureau via face-to-face interviews in participants’ households. On some occasions, this face-to-face interview is followed or replaced by a telephone interview.

**Participants**

The total sample in this study was 14,149 middle-aged and older adults who were either immigrants (n=1,977; 14.0%) or non-immigrants (n=12,166; 86.0%). People could be of any race/ethnicity to be included in this study. There was no exclusion criterion.

**Measures**

**Predictor**

*Income*. Annual income was self-reported. This variable was measured in dollars as a continuous variable. For the current analysis, however, a dichotomous variable with a cut point of 35,000 USD was used. Individuals with high income were coded as 1, and those with lower income were coded as 0.

**Moderator**

*Immigration status*. Nativity was self-reported. All participants were asked if they were born in the U.S. The responses were coded 1 for immigrants and 0 for non-immigrants.

**Covariates**

*Sociodemographic factors*. Demographic factors included age, gender, region, race, ethnicity, education, marital status, and employment. Age (years) was a continuous variable. Gender was a dichotomous measure (male=1, female=0). The region was either Northeast, Midwest, South, or West. Participants self-identified their race and ethnicity, which were both operationalized as categorical variables. Race was White only (reference category), Black/African American only, Native American/Alaska Native only, Asian only, Multiple race, and race group not releasable (masked or missing). Ethnicity was Hispanics=1, Non-Hispanics=0 (reference category). Educational attainment was operationalized as a continuous variable ranging from 0 to 24. Participants were asked about the number of years of schooling. Marital status was a dichotomous variable with married as 1. Employment status was a dichotomous variable with employed as 1.

Health confounders included self-rated health (SRH) and obesity.

*Self-rated Health* (SRH): The conventional single item of SRH was used to measure overall health. Responses were excellent, very good, good, fair, and poor. Responses were dichotomized as fair and poor reflected poor and other responses reflected good health. Poor SRH is shown to predict outcomes including mortality in clinical as well as community samples.\textsuperscript{52}

*Obesity*. Obesity was defined based on the body mass index, which was calculated based on self-reported height and weight. A BMI equal or larger than 30 was considered as obesity. This variable was treated as a dichotomous variable.

**Dependent Variable**

*Psychological Well-being*. The following items were used to measure psychological well-being. 1) How often you felt so sad nothing cheers you up during the past 30 days, 2) How often you felt nervous during the past 30 days, 3) How often you felt restless/fidgety, past 30 days, 4) How often you felt hopeless during the past 30 days, 5) How often you felt worthless during the past 30 days, and 6) How often you felt everything was an effort during the past 30 days. Responses to these items included 0) all of the time, 1) most of the time, 2) some of the time, 3) a little of the time, and 4) none of the time. A sum score was calculated, ranging from 0 to 24, with a higher score indicating higher psychological well-being (lack of distress). This measure was operationalized as a categorical variable. A cut of 22 was considered to define good versus poor mental well-being. These items are based mainly on the Kessler 6-item Psychological Distress measure, which is...
widely validated and used.\textsuperscript{53}

Statistical Analyses
Given the NHIS\textapos;s multi-stage sampling design, it was necessary to apply SPSS 23.0 (IBM Inc., NY, USA) for data analysis. Using SPSS, weights that were due to the design variables (strata, clusters, and non-response) were adjusted for the NHIS survey. Taylor series linearization was applied for the re-estimation of the standard errors (SEs). For descriptive statistics, weighted means and frequencies were used.

For our multivariable analyses, four logistic regression models were applied. In these models, income was the independent variable, psychological well-being was the dependent variable, demographic factors and education, ethnicity, and region were the control variables, and immigration status was the moderator. The first two models were calculated in the pooled sample that included both immigrants and non-immigrants. Model 1 did not include immigration by income interaction terms. Model 2, however, included immigration by income interaction terms. Model 3 and Model 4 were performed in non-immigrants and immigrants, respectively. Adjusted odds ratio (OR), 95% confidence intervals (CI), SE, and \( P \) values were reported. A \( P \) value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results
Descriptive Statistics
The total sample in this study was 14 149 immigrant and non-immigrant middle-aged and older (55+ years) American adults. Table 1 depicts the descriptive statistics of the participants overall and based on nativity.

Pooled Sample Logistic Regressions
Table 2 shows the results of two logistic regressions in the pooled sample with income as the predictor and psychological well-being as the outcome (dependent variable). Model 1 only included the main effects; however, Model 2 added the interaction terms between immigration status and income. Based on Model 1, high income was positively associated with psychological well-being. Model 2, however, revealed a statistically significant interaction between income and immigration on the psychological well-being of middle-aged and older adults. The model suggested that the protective effect of income against psychological well-being is smaller for immigrant than non-immigrant middle-aged and older adults (Table 2).

Stratified Logistic Regressions
Table 3 shows the results of two logistic regressions on non-immigrants (Model 3) and one on immigrants (Model 4) for each outcome. In these models, income was the predictor and psychological well-being was the outcome (dependent variable). Based on Model 3, high income was positively associated with psychological well-being for non-immigrant middle-aged and older adults. Model 3 did not show income as a predictor of psychological well-being for immigrant middle-aged and older adults (Table 3).

### Abbreviation
- SRH: self-rated health
- BMI: body mass index

### Table 1. Descriptive Statistics Overall and Based on Immigration (n=14 149)

|                | All No. (%) | Non-Immigrant No. (%) | Immigrant No. (%) |
|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| **Immigrants** |             |                       |                   |
| No             | 12 166      | 86.0                  | 12 166            |
| Yes            | 1 977       | 14.0                  | -                 |
| **Ethnicity**  |             |                       |                   |
| Non-Hispanic   | 12 608      | 89.7                  | 11 668            |
| Hispanic       | 1 451       | 10.3                  | 498               |
| **Race**       |             |                       |                   |
| White only     | 11 351      | 80.2                  | 1 0047            |
| Black/African American only | 1 843 | 13.0                  | 1 671            |
| Asian only     | 599         | 4.2                   | 148               |
| Multiple race  | 599         | 4.2                   | 148               |
| Race group not releasable | 15 | 0.1                   | 8                |
| **Gender**     |             |                       |                   |
| Female         | 8 079       | 57.1                  | 6 917             |
| Male           | 6 070       | 42.9                  | 5 249             |
| **Education (y)** |         |                       |                   |
| Less than 12   | 2 257       | 16.1                  | 1 590             |
| 12             | 3 898       | 27.7                  | 3 476             |
| 13-15          | 3 519       | 25.0                  | 3 210             |
| 16+            | 4 388       | 31.2                  | 3 834             |
| **Region**     |             |                       |                   |
| Northeast      | 2 531       | 17.9                  | 2 030             |
| Midwest        | 3 002       | 21.2                  | 2 836             |
| South          | 4 881       | 34.5                  | 4 262             |
| West           | 3 735       | 26.4                  | 3 038             |
| **Marital status** |        |                       |                   |
| Non-Married    | 7 769       | 54.9                  | 6 777             |
| Married        | 6 380       | 45.1                  | 5 389             |
| **Employed**   |             |                       |                   |
| No             | 9 759       | 69.0                  | 8 429             |
| Yes            | 4 390       | 31.0                  | 3 737             |
| **BMI >30**    |             |                       |                   |
| No             | 9 372       | 66.2                  | 7 951             |
| Yes            | 4 777       | 33.8                  | 4 215             |
| **SRH; Poor**  |             |                       |                   |
| No             | 11 065      | 78.2                  | 9 655             |
| Yes            | 3 076       | 21.8                  | 2 504             |
| **Income >35 k** |          |                       |                   |
| No             | 2 137       | 47.9                  | 1 790             |
| Yes            | 2 324       | 52.1                  | 2 038             |
| **Mental Well-being** |   |                       |                   |
| No             | 4 073       | 30.0                  | 3 485             |
| Yes            | 9 482       | 70.0                  | 8 195             |
| **Age**        |             |                       |                   |
| Yes            | 68.17       | 9.09                  | 68.28             |

**Abbreviation**: SRH, self-rated health; BMI, body mass index.

* \( P < 0.05 \) for comparison of immigrants and non-immigrants.
**Table 2. Logistic Regressions in the Pooled Sample (n=14 149)**

| Model 1               | Model 2               |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| **OR**  | **95% CI**  | **P Value**  | **OR**  | **95% CI**  | **P Value**  |
| Immigrant            | 0.91                 | 0.70 - 1.19 | 0.504   | 1.18       | 0.85 - 1.65  | 0.328   |
| Ethnicity (Hispanic) | 1.15                 | 0.87 - 1.53 | 0.330   | 1.09       | 0.82 - 1.45  | 0.540   |
| Race                 | 0.000                |             |         | 0.000      |             |         |
| White only           |                      |             |         |            |             |         |
| Black/African American only | 1.38         | 1.08 - 1.76 | 0.009   | 1.39       | 1.09 - 1.78  | 0.008   |
| AIAN only            | 0.85                 | 0.41 - 1.75 | 0.655   | 0.86       | 0.42 - 1.79  | 0.689   |
| Asian only           | 2.16                 | 1.38 - 3.38 | 0.001   | 2.20       | 1.40 - 3.44  | 0.001   |
| Multiple race        | 1.55                 | 0.83 - 2.89 | 0.169   | 1.56       | 0.84 - 2.91  | 0.163   |
| Race group not releasable | 0.30          | 0.08 - 1.16 | 0.081   | 0.31       | 0.08 - 1.19  | 0.089   |
| Male                 | 1.46                 | 1.26 - 1.69 | 0.000   | 1.46       | 1.26 - 1.69  | 0.000   |
| Age                  | 1.04                 | 1.03 - 1.06 | 0.000   | 1.04       | 1.03 - 1.06  | 0.000   |
| Education            |                      | 0.497       |         | 0.402      |             |         |
| Less than 12 years   |                      |             |         |            |             |         |
| 12 years             | 1.02                 | 0.77 - 1.35 | 0.890   | 1.06       | 0.79 - 1.40  | 0.706   |
| 13-15 years          | 1.16                 | 0.88 - 1.55 | 0.294   | 1.21       | 0.91 - 1.61  | 0.187   |
| 16+ years            | 1.13                 | 0.85 - 1.49 | 0.394   | 1.17       | 0.88 - 1.54  | 0.280   |
| Region               |                      |             |         |            |             |         |
| West                 |                      |             |         |            |             |         |
| Northeast            | 0.92                 | 0.74 - 1.13 | 0.431   | 0.92       | 0.74 - 1.13  | 0.416   |
| Midwest              | 1.04                 | 0.85 - 1.27 | 0.691   | 1.04       | 0.85 - 1.28  | 0.688   |
| South                | 1.03                 | 0.86 - 1.25 | 0.721   | 1.04       | 0.86 - 1.25  | 0.706   |
| Married              | 1.12                 | 0.97 - 1.29 | 0.122   | 1.12       | 0.97 - 1.29  | 0.124   |
| Employed             | 1.31                 | 1.10 - 1.55 | 0.002   | 1.30       | 1.10 - 1.55  | 0.003   |
| BMI ≥ 30             | 0.94                 | 0.81 - 1.09 | 0.443   | 0.95       | 0.82 - 1.10  | 0.472   |
| SRH (Poor/Fair)      | 0.33                 | 0.26 - 0.41 | 0.000   | 0.32       | 0.26 - 0.40  | 0.000   |
| Income ≥ 35 000 USD   | 1.37                 | 1.18 - 1.60 | 0.000   | 1.47       | 1.25 - 1.73  | 0.000   |
| Income ≥ 35 000 USD x Immigrant | 0.59     | 0.39 - 0.90 | 0.013   | 0.59       | 0.39 - 0.90  | 0.013   |
| Constant             | 0.11                 | 0.000       | 0.10    | 0.000      | 0.000       |         |

Abbreviation: SRH, self-rated health; BMI, body mass index.

**Discussion**

The current study supports the finding that income is associated with better psychological well-being in middle-aged and older adults; however, this effect is larger for non-immigrants than for immigrants.

Marginalization, broadly defined, reduces the health return of income and SES indicators. This is supported by the observations that Blacks, Hispanics, Asian Americans, Native Americans, and LGBTs show MDRs. If any type of marginalization reduces the health gains that follow SES indicators, such as income, similar patterns for immigrants should be expected. Societal and structural factors such as social stratification, residential segregation, labor market discrimination, and low availability of resources in urban areas may all suggest that immigrants may be less likely to fully benefit from their income.

The observation that as income increases, the psychological well-being of middle-aged and older adults improves, but less for immigrants than non-immigrants, is an extension of previous literature on MDRs of income, educational attainment, occupation, and marital status on a wide range of mental health outcomes, including psychological distress, depression, suicide, and anxiety. These MDRs go beyond physical health outcomes and extend to behavioral outcomes such as vaping, smoking, drinking, diet, and exercise. Finally, MDRs are seen for physical health outcomes such as obesity, self-rated health, and mortality. Moreover, it is not just income; educational attainment, occupation, and marital status also generate less health for the majority than for marginalized people. Social marginalization, regardless of its type, whether based on race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, or immigration, are consistently associated with less health gain from income and other SES indicators.

The robust and consistent nature of MDRs shift the blame from marginalized people to the society that reduces the gain of all vulnerable and disadvantaged groups. Thus, these patterns suggest that they are caused by the function and structure of society. U.S. social institutions differentially treat people based on their color, race, ethnicity, class, heritage, and nativity, which results in the systemic marginalization of non-majority groups. Such marginalization reduces people's chances of full participation and full realization of benefits from the resources that are available to them. The racism,
xenophobia, and nationalism embedded in the social fabric of U.S. society reduce the ability of immigrants, LGBTs, and racial and ethnic minorities to fully leverage their human capital and turn them into tangible outcomes. As a result, they show less than expected benefits in the presence of income and other SES resources.21,22

Limitations
The current results should be interpreted with the methodological limitations in mind. First, any cross-sectional study is limited in drawing causal inferences. It cannot be ruled out that excessive health problems would influence social mobility and the ability to generate income. Thus, reverse causality cannot be ruled out in this study. The results should not be interpreted as causation, but as association. Moreover, the mechanisms by which MDRs of income emerge were not investigated in this study. Lower purchasing power of income for immigrants may be the mechanism. Also, the researchers did not have access to country of origin or sources of income; nor did we control for type of occupation, wealth, assets, parental education, or first-generation college student. Future research should replicate and validate these findings using longitudinal data with a more comprehensive list of measures of income, nativity, country of origin and other SES indicators. Future research may also include contextual factors such as ethnic composition of neighborhoods, SES, or density of resources as factors that may cause MDRs. It is likely that high income immigrants report poor mental health, because they need to spend more time on the job or because they face extra stress in gaining such income. Finally, there is a need to compare immigrants from Asian, African, and Latino countries, as each culture may adopt U.S. culture differently.

Conclusion
While income enhances the psychological well-being of middle-aged and older adults, this is less true for immigrants than non-immigrants. Thus, health disparities in immigrants are in part due to inequalities in marginal returns of SES indicators such as income. To eliminate health inequality between immigrants and non-immigrants, it is essential to recognize and address MDRs-related inequalities. To undo MDRs, there is a need for bold policies that can equalize the health return of income and other SES indicators across social groups. Specific policies and programs should help immigrants to more effectively mobilize and leverage

| Table 3. Logistic Regressions in Non-immigrants and Immigrants (n = 14149) |

|          | Model 1 |          | Model 2 |          |
|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|
|          | OR      | 95% CI   | P Value | OR      | 95% CI   | P Value |
| Ethnicity (Hispanic) | 0.92 | 0.64 - 1.31 | 0.640 | 1.23 | 0.74 - 2.03 | 0.425 |
| Race     | 0.010   |          |         | 0.050   |          |         |
| White only |        |          |         |         |          |         |
| Black/African American only | 1.49 | 1.14 - 1.94 | 0.004 | 1.14 | 0.60 - 2.20 | 0.686 |
| AIAN only | 0.73 | 0.33 - 1.66 | 0.458 | 1.52 | 0.28 - 8.36 | 0.629 |
| Asian only | 2.82 | 1.09 - 7.30 | 0.033 | 2.11 | 1.20 - 3.74 | 0.010 |
| Multiple race | 1.44 | 0.75 - 2.78 | 0.278 | 2.95 | 0.35 - 24.93 | 0.320 |
| Race group not releasable | 0.54 | 0.09 - 3.38 | 0.512 | 0.12 | 0.01 - 1.24 | 0.075 |
| Male     | 1.44 | 1.23 - 1.68 | 0.000 | 1.59 | 1.07 - 2.34 | 0.020 |
| Age      | 1.05 | 1.03 - 1.06 | 0.000 | 1.02 | 0.99 - 1.06 | 0.237 |
| Education | 0.284 |          |         |         | 0.949   |         |
| Less than 12 years |        |          |         |         |          |         |
| 12 years | 1.15 | 0.82 - 1.60 | 0.423 | 0.95 | 0.53 - 1.72 | 0.877 |
| 13-15 years | 1.33 | 0.96 - 1.85 | 0.091 | 0.93 | 0.49 - 1.75 | 0.819 |
| 16+ years | 1.25 | 0.90 - 1.73 | 0.180 | 1.08 | 0.60 - 1.93 | 0.795 |
| Region   |        |          |         |         |          |         |
| West     | 0.96 | 0.76 - 1.21 | 0.724 | 0.77 | 0.45 - 1.31 | 0.334 |
| Midwest | 1.02 | 0.82 - 1.27 | 0.845 | 1.28 | 0.62 - 2.62 | 0.504 |
| South   | 1.00 | 0.81 - 1.23 | 0.996 | 1.24 | 0.77 - 1.98 | 0.381 |
| Married | 1.13 | 0.96 - 1.31 | 0.132 | 1.06 | 0.71 - 1.57 | 0.775 |
| Employed |          |          |         | 0.327   |          |         |
| BMI ≥ 30 | 1.30 | 1.08 - 1.56 | 0.005 | 1.28 | 0.78 - 2.12 | 0.327 |
| SRH (Poor/Fair) | 0.31 | 0.24 - 0.39 | 0.000 | 0.39 | 0.24 - 0.65 | 0.000 |
| Income ≥ 35 000 USD | 1.47 | 1.25 - 1.74 | 0.000 | 0.95 | 0.62 - 1.45 | 0.797 |
| Constant | 0.08 |          |         | 0.496   |          |         |

Abbreviation: SRH, self-rated health; BMI, body mass index.
their income to gain tangible outcomes. Ways by which the purchasing power of immigrants can be enhanced should be studied in future research.
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