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1. Introduction

Rocks are mineral aggregates after a long geological process; rocks of layered structure can be simplified into a transversely isotropic body, whose physical and mechanical properties in parallel to the bedding plane are different from those in perpendicular to the bedding plane. The stability analysis of the layered rock is complicated by the isotropic characteristics of the bedding surface and the coupling effect of the seepage field and stress field [1]. Thus, to reveal the transversely isotropic permeability mechanism, it is necessary to investigate the transversely isotropic fracture characteristics.

At present, the researches on anisotropic rock properties are mainly concentrated on the following areas: (1) the measurement method study of elastic deformation parameters [2], (2) the anisotropy strength and yield criterion study [3, 4], (3) anisotropic rock constitutive model study [5, 6], and (4) anisotropic rock deformation characteristics and mechanical property study [7, 8]. Transverse isotropy is a special case of anisotropy; these studies have a great significance on helping us have a better understanding of the permeability and mechanical properties of transversely isotropic rocks. The instability instances in situ and rock laboratory examinations show that the rock failure is due to crack growth and propagation in rock mass [9], and the crack is the main seepage channel of the rock mass, which controls the seepage characteristics of the rock mass. According to the fracture mechanics and stress corrosion theory [10, 11], when a crack tip stress intensity factor $K_I$ exceeds its fracture toughness $K_{IC}$, the crack will quickly expand; when the stress intensity factor is less than its fracture toughness, the crack growth will process at the speed of stress corrosion subcritical crack growth. The study shows that the crack in certain circumstances propagates until the material fractures with a collective effect of tensile stress and corrosion medium, which is called stress corrosion [12]. Usually, the mode-I stress intensity factor $K_I$ at the crack tip is regarded as a parameter to control the crack growth. The stress corrosion lower limit is $K_{IC}$; when the stress intensity factor is less than
where \([A]\) is the compliance matrix, which can be calculated by

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{pmatrix}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\
a_{13} & a_{23} & a_{33}
\end{pmatrix} &= \frac{1}{E} \begin{pmatrix}
\nu & -\frac{\nu}{2(1+\nu)} & 0 \\
-\frac{\nu}{2(1+\nu)} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2(1-\nu)}
\end{pmatrix},
\end{align*}
\]

(3)

where \(E\) and \(\nu\) are Young’s modulus of rock and Poisson’s ratio in the direction parallel to the transversely isotropic plane, respectively; \(E’\) and \(\nu’\) is Young’s modulus of rock and Poisson’s ratio in the direction perpendicular to the transversely isotropic plane, respectively; and \(G’\) is the shear modulus for the plane normal to the transversely isotropic plane.

Because the stiffness matrix and the compliance matrix are inverse matrix, so the stiffness matrix \([C]\) can be calculated as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{pmatrix}
c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} \\
c_{12} & c_{22} & c_{23} \\
c_{13} & c_{23} & c_{33}
\end{pmatrix} &= \frac{E}{(1-\nu^2)} \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda & \frac{\nu}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{\nu}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2(1-\nu)}
\end{pmatrix},
\end{align*}
\]

(4)

where \(n = E/E’\) and \(\lambda = E’/(1+\nu)(1-\nu-2\nu’^2)\).

When an orthotropic body degenerates into a transversely isotropic elastic body, the nine independent elastic parameters are reduced to the five independent elastic parameters, respectively; \(E, E’, G’, \nu, \nu’\), and \(G\) are not independent elastic parameters, because of \(G = E/2(1+\nu)\).

2.2. Coordinate Conversion of the Anisotropic Elastic Constants. Due to the different mechanical properties of the rock in all directions in anisotropic media, the coordinate system often needs to be converted to solve the elastic parameters, and coordinate transformation of anisotropic elastic parameters generally follows the tensor rule.

The global coordinate system \((X, Y, Z)\) and the local coordinate system \((X’, Y’, Z’)\) of a transversely isotropic body are shown in Figure 2; the local coordinate system

![Figure 1: Transversely isotropic slate schematic view (β = 0°).](image-url)
around the z-axis rotates the β angle to get the global coordinate system. Stiffness matrix \([C]\) in the local coordinate system can be converted to the stiffness matrix \([C']\) in the global coordinate system. It can be expressed as [13]

\[
[C'] = [L]^T[C][L],
\]

(5)

where \([L]\) is the transformation matrix, which can be expressed as follows:

\[
[L] = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos^2 \beta & \sin^2 \beta & \sin \beta \cos \beta \\
\sin^2 \beta & \cos^2 \beta & -\sin^2 \beta \\
-2 \sin \beta \cos \beta & 2 \sin \beta \cos \beta & \cos^2 \beta - \sin^2 \beta
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

(6)

Transversely isotropic elastic parameters in the local coordinate system can be transferred into the elastic parameters in the global coordinate system, which are shown as follows:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\varepsilon_x \\
\varepsilon_y \\
\varepsilon_z \\
\gamma_{xy} \\
\gamma_{xz} \\
\gamma_{yz}
\end{pmatrix} = [A]
\begin{pmatrix}
\sigma_x \\
\sigma_y \\
\sigma_z \\
\tau_{xy} \\
\tau_{xz} \\
\tau_{yz}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(7)

where \(a_{11}, \cdots, a_{66}\) can be calculated by the following equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{11} &= \frac{1}{E} \cos^4 \beta + \frac{1}{E} \sin^4 \beta + \frac{1}{G} \left( 2\frac{\nu'}{E} \right) \sin^2 \beta \cos^2 \beta, \\
a_{12} &= \frac{1}{E} \sin^4 \beta + \frac{1}{E} \cos^4 \beta + \frac{1}{G} \left( 2\frac{\nu'}{E} \right) \sin^2 \beta \cos^2 \beta, \\
a_{13} &= \frac{1}{E} \left( 1 + \frac{2\nu'}{E} - \frac{1}{G} \right) \sin^2 \beta \cos^2 \beta - \frac{\nu'}{E}, \\
a_{14} &= \frac{\sin^2 \beta}{G} + \cos^2 \beta, \\
a_{15} &= \frac{\cos^2 \beta}{G} + \sin^2 \beta, \\
a_{16} &= \frac{1}{E}, \\
a_{22} &= \frac{1}{E} \sin^4 \beta + \frac{1}{E} \cos^4 \beta + \frac{1}{G} \left( 2\frac{\nu'}{E} \right) \sin^2 \beta \cos^2 \beta, \\
a_{23} &= \frac{1}{E} \left( 1 + \frac{2\nu'}{E} - \frac{1}{G} \right) \sin^2 \beta \cos^2 \beta - \frac{\nu'}{E}, \\
a_{24} &= \frac{\sin^2 \beta}{G} + \cos^2 \beta, \\
a_{25} &= \frac{\cos^2 \beta}{G} + \sin^2 \beta, \\
a_{26} &= \frac{1}{E}, \\
a_{33} &= \frac{1}{E} \cos^4 \beta + \frac{1}{E} \sin^4 \beta + \frac{1}{G} \left( 2\frac{\nu'}{E} \right) \sin^2 \beta \cos^2 \beta, \\
a_{34} &= \frac{\sin^2 \beta}{G} + \cos^2 \beta, \\
a_{35} &= \frac{\cos^2 \beta}{G} + \sin^2 \beta, \\
a_{36} &= \frac{1}{E}, \\
a_{44} &= \frac{\sin^2 \beta}{G} + \cos^2 \beta, \\
a_{45} &= \frac{\cos^2 \beta}{G} + \sin^2 \beta, \\
a_{46} &= \frac{1}{E}, \\
a_{55} &= \frac{1}{E} \cos^4 \beta + \frac{1}{E} \sin^4 \beta + \frac{1}{G} \left( 2\frac{\nu'}{E} \right) \sin^2 \beta \cos^2 \beta, \\
a_{56} &= \frac{1}{E}, \\
a_{66} &= \frac{1}{E} \cos^4 \beta + \frac{1}{E} \sin^4 \beta + \frac{1}{G} \left( 2\frac{\nu'}{E} \right) \sin^2 \beta \cos^2 \beta.
\end{align*}
\]

(8)

2.3. Thermodynamic Constraint of Transversely Isotropic Constant. To make the rock strain energy positive in this experiment, the 5 elastic parameters of the transversely isotropic rock should meet the related inequality of hot mechanical constraints, which are expressed as follows [15]:

\[
E, E', G' > 0, \\
-1 \leq \nu \leq 1, \\
-\sqrt{\frac{E'}{E} \frac{1 - \nu}{2}} \leq \nu' \leq \sqrt{\frac{E'}{E} \frac{1 - \nu}{2}}.
\]

(9)

3. Elastic Parameters of Transversely Isotropic Slate Specimen

Elastic modulus, Poisson’s ratio, and uniaxial compressive strength values of the cylinder slates whose diameter is 50 mm and height is 100 mm with different bedding angles (0°, 30°, 45°, 70°, 80°, and 90°) can be obtained by uniaxial compression test using the SANS machine, which are listed in Table 1.

According to the translation of rock mechanics by Milou and the relation between the constant in compliance matrix
and elastic constants of rock, the following relationship can be obtained [16]:

\[
\frac{1}{E_x} = \frac{\sin^4 \beta}{E_1^2} + \frac{\cos^4 \beta}{E_2^2} + \left( \frac{1 - 2\nu_1}{G_2} \right) \sin^2 \beta \cos^2 \beta,
\]

\[
\nu_{xz} = \frac{\nu_1}{E_1} \left( \frac{\nu_1}{E_1} \sin^2 \beta + \frac{\nu_2}{E_2} \cos^2 \beta \right),
\]

\[
\nu_{yz} = \frac{\nu_2}{E_2} \left( \frac{1}{E_1} + \frac{E_1}{E_2} \right) - \left( \frac{1 + E_1}{E_2} + 2\nu_2 \frac{E_1}{G_2} \right) \sin^2 \beta \cos^2 \beta,
\]

\[
\frac{1}{E_1} = \frac{\sin^4 \beta + 2(1 + \nu_1) \cos^2 \beta}{E_1^2},
\]

\[
\frac{1}{G_{xy}} = \frac{\cos^2 \beta + 2(1 + \nu_1) \sin^2 \beta}{G_2^2},
\]

\[
\frac{1}{G_{xz}} = \frac{\cos^2 \beta + 2(1 + \nu_1) \sin^2 \beta}{G_2^2},
\]

\[
\frac{1}{G_{yz}} = \frac{1}{E_1} \left[ 4 \left( \frac{E_1}{E_2} + 2\nu_2 \frac{E_1}{G_2} \right) \sin^2 \beta \cos^2 \beta + \frac{E_1}{G_2} \right],
\]

\[(10)\]

where \(E_1\) and \(\nu_1\) are the elastic modulus and Poisson’s ratio of the slate with a bedding angle of 90°, respectively; \(E_2\) and \(\nu_2\) are the elastic modulus and Poisson’s ratio of the slate with a bedding angle of 0°, respectively; and \(E_\beta\) is the elastic modulus of the slate with \(\beta\) bedding angle.

For the slates with a certain angle between the transversely isotropic plane and horizontal plane, \(G_{xy}, G_{xz},\) and \(G_{yz}\) of the slate specimen in three orthogonal directions can be obtained by Equation (10), which are listed in Table 2. Based on the transversely isotropic and loading direction of the slate, we select \(G_{xz}\) as the shear modulus of the slate specimen in the calculation of its fracture toughness.

### 4. The Relations between Bedding Angles of Slate Specimen and Uniaxial Compressive Strength and Elastic Modulus

For the layered rock with a single layer, its bedding angles have a great influence on its destructive strength. For the slates in this experiment, it can be considered as the transversely isotropic constitutive model which has only one set of parallel beddings with different angles loaded. We can obtain the related law between the bedding angles of the slate specimen and the uniaxial compressive strength and elastic modulus from Table 1, which can be expressed as Figure 3, from which we can know that the bedding angles have a great effect on the uniaxial compressive strength and elastic modulus of the slates. What is more, the uniaxial compression and elastic modulus decreases then

### Table 1: Elastic modulus, Poisson’s ratio, and uniaxial compressive strength.

| \(\beta\) (°) | Specimen | Compressive strength (MPa) | The average of compressive strength (MPa) | Elastic modulus (MPa) | The average of elastic modulus (MPa) | The average of Poisson’s ratio | \(\mu_{xz}\) | \(\mu_{yz}\) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0° | 0-1 | 70.08 | | 36.67 | | | | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| 0° | 0-2 | 63.86 | 74.79 | 41.02 | 38.38 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| 0° | 0-3 | 90.43 | | 37.45 | | | | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| 30° | 1-2 | 30.45 | 32.21 | 23.45 | 23.00 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| 30° | 1-3 | 30.65 | | 20.55 | | | | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| 30° | 2-1 | 32.45 | | 25.00 | | | | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| 45° | 2-2 | 20.56 | 24.96 | 19.00 | 21.77 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| 45° | 2-3 | 21.87 | | 20.75 | | | | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| 45° | 3-1 | 30.20 | | 20.38 | | | | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| 70° | 3-2 | 28.37 | 27.00 | 24.38 | 23.98 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| 70° | 3-3 | 22.43 | | 27.18 | | | | 0.24 | 0.24 |
| 70° | 4-1 | 56.36 | | 30.55 | | | | 0.26 | 0.26 |
| 80° | 4-2 | 67.85 | 63.9 | 32.89 | 32.96 | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| 80° | 4-3 | 65.96 | | 35.44 | | | | 0.32 | 0.32 |
| 80° | 5-1 | 71.16 | | 42.22 | | | | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| 90° | 5-2 | 69.39 | 70.50 | 42.74 | 41.85 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| 90° | 5-3 | 70.95 | | 40.59 | | | | 0.27 | 0.27 |

### Table 2: Shear modulus of slate rock specimen at different angles.

| \(\beta\) | 0° | 30° | 45° | 70° | 80° | 90° |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| \(G_{xy}\) | 16.89 | 13.20 | 8.38 | 6.05 | 5.69 | 5.57 |
| \(G_{xz}\) | 5.57 | 8.38 | 8.70 | 13.65 | 15.96 | 16.89 |
| \(G_{yz}\) | 5.57 | 13.05 | 16.68 | 7.69 | 5.68 | 16.89 |
increases with the increase of $\beta$ angle and the relation curves show a “U” type. The results show that when the $\beta$ angle of the slate is 45°, its uniaxial compression and elastic modulus are minimal. Therefore, it is most likely to be damaged when the bedding angle of slates is 45°.

5. Double Torsion Method

5.1. Principle of Double Torsion Testing. The double torsion specimen was first adopted by Williams and Evans [17] to decide the fracture properties of glass and ceramics, which is shown in Figure 4. Since 1977, Ciccotti et al. [18], Saadaoui et al. [19], and Nara and Kaneko [20] applied it to rock research. The double torsion specimen can be assumed as two elastic torsion bars, of which each cross-section is a rectangle.

For the small deformation, when the width of the torsion bar is much larger than the thickness of the torsion bar, the torsion strain can be calculated by the following equation [21]:

$$\theta \equiv \frac{y}{w_m} \equiv \frac{6Ta}{wt^2G},$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)
where $T$ is the torque $P_{em}/2$, $P$ is the applied load on the torsion bar, $G$ is the shear modulus of the rock, $a$ is the crack length, $t$ is the specimen thickness, $w/2$ of the torsion bar’s width, and $w_m$ is the length of twisted arm, and Equation (11) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{y}{p} \equiv \frac{3w_m^2a}{wG} \equiv C, \quad (12)$$

where $C$ is the elastic compliance of the double torsion specimen. The relation among crack strain energy release rate $g$ and the flexibility of the specimens satisfies the following relationship:

$$g = \frac{p^2}{2} \frac{dC}{dA} = \frac{p^2}{2t_n} \frac{dC}{da}. \quad (13)$$

where $A$ is the area of the crack and $t_n$ is the thickness of plane where crack exists. For the double torsion specimen, strain energy release rate can be defined as

$$g = \frac{3p^3w_m^2}{2wt_n^2G}. \quad (14)$$

Based on the relations between stress intensity factor $K_I$ and the strain energy release rate $g$ and the relationship between elastic modulus $E$ and shear modulus $G$, a lot of research has been done, such as by Cao et al. [22], Andreas et al. [23], Ciccotti et al. [18, 24], and Zhao et al. [25]. The stress intensity factor is introduced in this study.

$$K_I = Pw_m\left(\frac{3E}{2wd^3nG}\right)^{1/2}. \quad (15)$$

When the load $P$ reaches a critical value of the $P_C$ on the specimen, the crack quickly grows, So $K_I$ reaches a critical value $K_{IC}$, which is shown as follows:

$$K_{IC} = P_Cw_m\left(\frac{3E}{2wd^3nG}\right)^{1/2}. \quad (16)$$

Tests showed that the compliance change rate can be obtained by the displacement change rate with the given loads or by the load change rate with the given displacement. Under the constant displacement conditions, through the derivative of Equation (12), it can be obtained that

$$\frac{da}{dt} = -\frac{w^4E}{6w_m^2p^2(1+v)} \frac{dp}{dt}. \quad (17)$$

Equation (17) shows that if the specimen’s size and displacement are known, the subcritical crack growth velocity is related to the load relaxation rate with the given displacement state.

### Table 3: The specimen mechanical parameters.

| $\beta$ | Number of sample | Compressive strength (MPa) | Elastic modulus (GPa) | $\mu_{xz}$ | $\mu_{yz}$ |
|--------|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|
| $0^\circ$ | 3 | 74.79 | 38.38 | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| $30^\circ$ | 3 | 32.21 | 23.00 | 0.20 | 0.21 |
| $45^\circ$ | 3 | 24.96 | 21.77 | 0.23 | 0.20 |
| $70^\circ$ | 3 | 27.00 | 23.98 | 0.22 | 0.24 |
| $80^\circ$ | 3 | 63.39 | 32.96 | 0.30 | 0.18 |
| $90^\circ$ | 3 | 70.50 | 41.85 | 0.25 | 0.23 |

### 5.2 Stress Intensity Factor and Crack Velocity of the Double Torsion Method

The stress intensity factor was independent of the crack length in this study, and the double torsion test is convenient when it uses opaque materials, such as rocks for which crack length measurements are inconvenient. There are three methods to obtain the crack velocity in the double torsion tests, each of which has a different loading process. The constant load method was used by Li et al. for the original double torsion test [26]. Two other methods are the constant displacement rate and the constant displacement load relaxation method which were introduced by Evans [27]. In the constant displacement load relaxation method, the displacement of the loading point is kept constant during the experiment and the load relaxation is measured with the crack growth. Because the stress intensity factor is the function of the loading and the crack growth rate is the function of the temporal load and decreasing rate of the loading, the relation between the stress intensity factor and the crack growth rate can be obtained over a wide range of loads using a single experimental run. For this reason, the constant displacement load relaxation method was adopted in this paper.

### 5.3 Experimental

### 5.3.1 Specimen Preparation

Slates of different bedding angles ($0^\circ$, $30^\circ$, $45^\circ$, $70^\circ$, $80^\circ$, and $90^\circ$) were machined as a rectangle plate double torsion specimen whose standard size was $180 \text{ mm} \times 60 \text{ mm} \times 5 \text{ mm}$ and width-to-thickness ratio was $15:1 \sim 12:1$. There was a longitudinal groove on the bottom surface, which was $1 \text{ mm}$ in width and $1/3$ in depth, and a notch was cut at the end. The crack front proceeded with a straight line starting from a machined initial notch and guided by a side groove thus producing a relaxation of the load. The parallel error between the top surface and the bottom surface of specimens was less than $0.025 \text{ mm}$. The mechanical parameters are listed in Table 3.

### 5.3.2 Experimental Procedure

The constant displacement load relaxation method only needs to monitor the decrease of the load with time to measure both the stress intensity factor $K_I$ and the subcritical crack growth velocity $V$, regardless of the crack length measurement. The double torsion test was processed using an MTS Insight machine in the testing center of the Central South University. Firstly, the slate specimen should be precracked to obtain
reasonable $K_{IC}$ and $K_I-V$ data [28, 29], and precrack velocity was 0.05 mm/min. The load-time curve of a typical specimen in precrack is shown in Figure 5. Secondly, the precracked specimen was loaded in 5 mm/min displacement velocity during constant displacement load relaxation testing [19]. Then, the displacement of the slate specimen was kept constant when the load comes up to 95% of the precrack load and the relaxation testing was done [30, 31]. The load-time data was recorded until the load relaxation was stabilized. The load-time curve and the displacement-time curve of a typical specimen are shown in Figures 6 and 7, respectively.

![Figure 5: Load-time curve of typical specimen in precrack.](image)

The precracked specimen was loaded in 20 mm/min displacement velocity during the fracture toughness $K_{IC}$ testing, and the critical load $P_C$ was recorded. The fracture toughness $K_{IC}$ can be obtained by Equation (17). The load-time curve of a typical specimen in testing $K_{IC}$ is shown in Figure 5.

5.3.3 Results and Analysis

(1) $K_I-V$ Relations in Transversely Isotropic Slates. Based on the double torsion testing data, the relation between subcritical crack growth velocity $V$ and the stress intensity factor $K_I$
Figure 7: Displacement-time curve of typical specimen.

Figure 8: \( \lg K - \lg V \) curve for the slate specimen of 0° bedding angles.
can be obtained by Equations (15) and (17). According to the Charles theory [32], to analyze the relation between the sub-critical crack growth velocity \( V \) and the stress intensity factor \( K_I \), a linear function of double logarithmic space can be used, and their \( \lg K_I - \lg V \) coordinate points in logarithm coordinates are shown in Figures 8–13. \( \lg K_I - \lg V \) data are regressed as follows:

\[
\lg V = a + b \lg K_I, \tag{18}
\]

where \( a \) and \( b \) are the fitting coefficients.
The power function relation between $V$ and $K_I$ is defined as

$$V = AK_I^n,$$

where $A$ and $n$ are the fitting coefficients.

Table 4 shows each specimen’s subcritical crack growth parameters. Researching rock seepage and stable time effect must be based on the $K_{IC}$ and the relation $K_I - V$, from the perspective of fracture mechanics, and these obtained parameters provide basic information for further study of geotechnical engineering seepage and time-dependent stability.
Fracture Toughness ($K_{IC}$) and the Threshold Values of Different Bedding Angles of Slates. Based on the testing data, the fracture toughness ($K_{IC}$) can be obtained by Equation (16), which is shown in Table 5.

Typically, during the testing process of the rock subcritical crack growth rate, with the expansion of the crack, the crack tip’s stress intensity factor decreases and the crack extended speed is reduced. When approaching subcritical crack extension threshold value, the crack growth rate is difficult to measure [21]. For rock-like material, the previous researchers did not detect the threshold value, at present. When Wilkins did the research of the subcritical crack expansion of granite, he still did not find the threshold value ($K_0$) with the expansion speed of $10^{-12}$ m/s [17]. In this experiment, the lowest subcritical crack extension speed is in the order of $10^{-5}$ to $10^{-6}$. From the point of view of engineering significance, it can be considered that crack growth has been stopped at this time. In this article, the lowest subcritical crack extension velocity is regarded as the stagnation speed of the crack propagation which is shown in Table 6. According to Equation (19), the stress intensity factor $K_I$ related to the crack propagation can be obtained as the threshold values $K_0$, which are shown in Table 7.

The Relations between the $K_{IC}$ and the Bedding Angles of Slates. The relation between the bedding angles ($\beta$) and the fracture toughness ($K_{IC}$) can be obtained, as shown in Figure 14. It is clear that the basic relation can be expressed as $K_{IC} = 0.00043\beta^2 - 0.05\beta + 4.19$, $R^2 = 0.97$.

**Table 4: The parameters of subcritical crack growth.**

| $\beta$ | Specimen no. | $A$       | $n$   |
|---------|--------------|-----------|-------|
| 0°      | 0-1          | $1.213 \times 10^{-39}$ | 67.010 |
|         | 0-2          | $2.333 \times 10^{-65}$ | 116.891 |
|         | 0-3          | $4.775 \times 10^{-58}$ | 61.588 |
|         | 1-1          | $2.009 \times 10^{-36}$ | 55.534 |
| 30°     | 1-2          | $1.780 \times 10^{-35}$ | 58.644 |
|         | 1-3          | $1.982 \times 10^{-34}$ | 60.495 |
|         | 2-1          | $1.984 \times 10^{-35}$ | 59.552 |
| 45°     | 2-2          | $1.992 \times 10^{-34}$ | 61.504 |
|         | 2-3          | $1.935 \times 10^{-33}$ | 65.532 |
|         | 3-1          | $1.387 \times 10^{-5}$ | 55.743 |
|         | 3-3          | $2.089 \times 10^{-4}$ | 56.700 |
|         | 4-1          | $1.986 \times 10^{-13}$ | 68.185 |
| 70°     | 4-2          | $1.849 \times 10^{-17}$ | 68.185 |
|         | 4-3          | $4.764 \times 10^{-20}$ | 79.710 |
|         | 5-1          | $3.972 \times 10^{-17}$ | 84.615 |
| 80°     | 5-2          | $3.656 \times 10^{-13}$ | 92.064 |
|         | 5-3          | $3.622 \times 10^{-10}$ | 81.102 |
| 90°     | 5-1          | $4.632 \times 10^{-5}$ | 84.615 |

(2) Fracture Toughness ($K_{IC}$) and the Threshold Values of Different Bedding Angles of Slates. Based on the testing data, the fracture toughness ($K_{IC}$) can be obtained by Equation (16), which is shown in Table 5.
from which we can see that fracture toughness decreases then increases with the increase of the $\beta$ angle and the relation curves show a "U" type; the result can well reflect the change rule of the $K_{IC}$ with the increase of $\beta$ angles, which is consistent with the theoretical result. Fracture toughness is an important parameter to characterize the rock to resist crack initiation and propagation. This experiment shows that when the bedding angle of the slates is 45°, its fracture toughness is minimal; it means that its capacity to resist crack propagation is minimal, and it is most likely to be damaged during loading.

(4) The Relation between the Threshold Values and the Bedding Angles of Slates. Figure 15 shows the relation between $K_0$ and $\beta$ angles for different bedding angles’ slates. The basic relationship is $K_0 = 0.00055\beta^2 - 0.063\beta + 3.369$, $R^2 = 0.96$. According to Figure 15, it is found that threshold values ($K_0$) decrease then increase with the increase of the $\beta$ angle. Because of the different bedding angles corresponding to different thresholds, we can know that the threshold values of the slate specimen have obvious anisotropy. When the bedding angle of the slates is 45°, its threshold value is minimal; it means that when the slate specimen is under load, crack initiation and expansion are most likely to happen, which can cause slate specimen failure.

6. Conclusion

Transversely isotropic elastic parameters and the subcritical crack growth of different bedding angle slate specimens were studied, and a series of uniaxial compression tests and the double torsion constant displacement load relaxation methods were carried out. The following conclusions can be drawn based on this study:

(1) Based on the slate specimen elastic parameters obtained from the uniaxial compression test and the double torsion test, it is clear that the slate is significantly transversely isotropic.

(2) The relations of the mode-I stress intensity factor $K_I$ versus the subcritical crack growth velocity $V$ and the fracture toughness $K_{IC}$ were obtained by the double torsion constant displacement load relaxation method; the threshold values were calculated. The calculation results indicate that $\lg K_I - \lg V$ relations of different bedding angle slate...
Figure 14: Relation between fracture toughness and $\beta$ angle.

Figure 15: Relation between threshold values and $\beta$ angle.
specimens measured are in accordance with the linear Charale theory.

(3) The threshold value $K_0$ of subcritical crack propagation was obtained from the perspective of engineering applications. In this experiment, the range of $K_0/K_{IC}$ for these different bedding angle slates is from 0.511 to 0.789, and the lowest subcritical crack extension speed of the different bedding angle slates is in the order of $10^{-5}$ to $10^{-6}$.

(4) The slate’s bedding angle has an inevitable impact on its mechanical properties. The uniaxial compression, fracture toughness, and threshold values decrease then increases with the increase of the $\beta$ angle and the relation curve is U-shaped. It is also observed that when the $\beta$ angle of the slate is 45°, its fracture toughness is minimal. Therefore, crack initiation and crack propagation are generated under loading, resulting in the failure of the slate.
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