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INTRODUCTION

The number of stoma creation surgeries is rising due to the high incidence of colorectal tumors and inflammatory bowel diseases which are the two most common indications for ostomy creation. Stomas are constructed to divert fecal material from a distal intestinal anastomosis or a diseased bowel segment, thus preventing abdominal sepsis. Despite its benefits, the rate of stoma-related complications is high, reaching up to 26.5%. Several factors were implicated in the development of complications including patient age, body mass index (BMI), locally advanced malignancies, type of stoma, and the method of closure. In addition, prolonged time to closure (more than 6 months) of temporary stomas was found to increase the risk of complications and the length of hospital stay, subsequently worsens the patients’ quality of life and raising hospital costs. Given the implications of prolonged time to stoma closure, identifying risk factors which prolong the time to closure would aid in targeting
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them for preventive measures. Therefore, this study was conducted to determine risk factors that affect the time to stoma closure and identify predictors of stoma-closure complications among ostomy patients at our institute.

METHODS

Study participants and data collection

The study proposal was reviewed and approved by the ethical committee of our institution. This is a retrospective study that included all adult patients who underwent stoma closure surgery from 2012 to 2018 at King Abdul-aziz University Hospital (KAUH) in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

The data were obtained from the electronic health records and paper charts. The primary operation was defined as the operation when “stoma formation” was done, and “stoma closure surgery” was considered as the secondary operation. Data collected for the cohort included patient characteristics, perioperative and intra-operative characteristics of the primary and secondary procedures. Additional data regarding neoadjuvant and adjuvant therapies for cancer patients were also obtained.

Indications of the primary operation were grouped into 2 main categories; benign diseases which included diverticulitis, ischemic colitis, traumatic bowel perforation, mesenteric ischemia, Crohn’s disease, rectovesical fistula, soft tissue debridement; and malignant diseases including colorectal cancer, uterine sarcoma and ovarian carcinoma. Furthermore, the type of procedures performed in the primary operation were categorized into colon resection procedures (left and right hemicolectomy, sigmoidectomy, Hartman’s procedure, and subtotal colectomy), rectal resection procedures (low anterior resection, proctocolectomy, rectal polyp excision), isolated stoma formation without organ resection (diverting stoma, repair of perforation, drainage of intra-abdominal collections with stoma formation), small bowel resection procedures (terminal ileum resection, gangrenous small bowel resection), and multi-organ resection (total abdominal hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo-ophorectomy with right hemicolectomy, debulking and hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy-HIPEC surgeries). Length of hospitalization (LOH) was calculated from the day of admission to the hospital until the day of discharge. Postoperative complications during hospitalization, stoma-related complications and overall complications were recorded and categorized according to (Clavien Dindo) classification. Overall complications were defined as complications occurring during hospitalization and within 30 days after discharge. Readmissions were considered if they occurred within 30 days of discharge.

Study outcomes

The primary outcomes were factors that affect the time to stoma-closure, and the predictors associated with developing complications at the time of closure. The time to stoma closure was defined as the interval between the dates of the primary and secondary operations.

Statistical analysis

To describe our study participants, we used frequencies for categorical variables, while the mean, median, interquartile range (IQR) and standard deviation were used for continuous variables. Univariate analysis was conducted to identify factors associated with primary study outcomes. Variables with p value of (<0.1) in univariate analysis were included in the multivariate regression model. Linear regression was conducted to identify factors affecting the time to stoma-closure. Logistic regression was performed to determine significant predictors associated with developing complications at the time of the secondary operation. A subgroup regression analysis was conducted for cancer patients. We considered a p value <0.05 to be statistically significant. Statistical analyses were carried out using IBM statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) software version 21.

RESULTS

A total of 63 patients were enrolled in this study. Patients’ demographics and clinical characteristics are shown in (Table 1). The mean age of the cohort was 52.7±15.6 years, and most patients were male 35 (55.6%) and non-Saudi 41 (65.1%). The mean BMI at the time of the secondary operation was 25.3±5.9 kg/m². Patients who had malignant condition as an indication for their primary operation accounted for 32 (50.8%) of the cohort, half of those patients underwent neoadjuvant therapy 16 (51.6%), and 22 (71%) had adjuvant chemotherapy.

The characteristics of the primary operation are presented in (Table 2). The most commonly performed procedures were rectal resection 21 (33.3%), followed by colon resection 18 (28.6%) and isolated stoma formation 17 (27%). The most common type of stoma created during the primary operation was ileostomy 41 (65.1%). Around half of the procedures 33 (55%) were performed in an emergency setting and the majority were done through an open approach 53 (89.8%). Twenty-one (35.6%) patients developed complications during hospital stay including; bleeding, surgical site infections, wound dehiscence, anastomotic leakage, pulmonary embolism, sepsis, urinary tract infection, pneumonia, and central line infection. The rate of 30-day hospital readmission was 25.4%.

Perioperative characteristics of the secondary operation are shown in (Table 3). The median time to stoma closure was 222.5 days (12-2228). Most of the patients had their stoma closed through the stoma site 47 (82.5%) and the bowel re-anastomosis was most frequently performed using staples 39 (70.9%). The median duration of the secondary operation was 120 minutes (50-409). The rate of overall complications was 30.2%.
Table 1: Demographic and patients’ characteristics for patients who underwent stoma closure (n=63).

| Categories                        | Frequency (%) | N   |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----|
| Gender                            |               | 63  |
| Male                              | 35 (55.6)     |     |
| Female                            | 28 (44.4)     |     |
| Age                               | 63            |     |
| Mean                              | 52.7          |     |
| SD                                | 15.6          |     |
| Nationality                       | 63            |     |
| Saudi                             | 22 (34.9)     |     |
| Non-Saudi                         | 41 (65.1)     |     |
| Chronic diseases                  | 63            |     |
| No                                | 26 (41.3)     |     |
| Yes                               | 37 (58.7)     |     |
| History of previous abdominal surgeries | 63          |     |
| No                                | 52 (82.5)     |     |
| Yes                               | 11 (17.5)     |     |
| BMI                               | 63            |     |
| Mean                              | 25.3          |     |
| SD                                | 5.9           |     |
| Indication for surgery            | 63            |     |
| Malignant diseases                | 32 (50.8)     |     |
| Benign diseases                   | 31 (49.2)     |     |
| Neoadjuvant therapy               | 31            |     |
| No                                | 15 (48.4)     |     |
| Yes                               | 16 (51.6)     |     |
| Adjuvant therapy                  | 31            |     |
| No                                | 9 (29)        |     |
| Yes                               | 22 (71)       |     |
| Received adjuvant therapy before stoma closure | 22        |     |
| No                                | 3 (13.6)      |     |
| Yes                               | 19 (86.4)     |     |

Factors associated with the time to stoma closure were analyzed using univariate and multivariate analysis (Table 4). On univariate analysis, significant factors that prolonged the time to closure were isolated stoma formation in the primary operation, having an ASA4 class, and increased duration of hospital stay (LOH). In contrast, higher albumin levels at the time of the primary operation led to decreased time to closure. Variables with p value of (<0.1) on univariate analysis were included in the multivariate regression. ASA class four (OR 1032.0; 95% CI 435.38-1628.63, p=0.0012) remained the only significant predictor of prolonged time to closure on multivariate analysis. Further subgroup analysis of cancer patients was conducted. Overall complications occurring after the primary operation (OR 127.28; 95% CI 17.06-237.50, p=0.0254), and receiving adjuvant therapy (OR 173.59; 95% CI 48.56-298.62, p=0.0084) were significant predictors for prolonged time to closure on multivariate analysis. On the other hand, patients who underwent multiorgan resection had significantly shorter time to closure (OR-256.13, 95% CI (-458.02) - (-54.24), p=0.015).

Table 2: Patients and primary operation characteristics (n=63).

| Categories                        | Frequency (%) | N   |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----|
| Primary operation                 | 63            |     |
| Colon resection                   | 18 (28.6)     |     |
| Rectal resection                  | 21 (33.3)     |     |
| Isolated stoma formation          | 17 (27)       |     |
| Small bowel resection             | 2 (3.2)       |     |
| Multiorgan resection              | 5 (7.9)       |     |
| Surgery urgency                   | 60            |     |
| Elective                          | 27 (45)       |     |
| Emergency                         | 33 (55)       |     |
| Surgical approach                 | 59            |     |
| Open                              | 53 (89.8)     |     |
| Laparoscopic                      | 6 (10.2)      |     |
| ASA                               | 58            |     |
| 1                                 | 4 (6.9)       |     |
| 2                                 | 25 (43.1)     |     |
| 3                                 | 26 (44.8)     |     |
| 4                                 | 3 (5.2)       |     |
| Use of epidural anesthesia         | 58            |     |
| No                                | 27 (46.6)     |     |
| Yes                               | 31 (53.4)     |     |
| Type of stoma                     | 63            |     |
| Iliostomy                         | 41 (65.1)     |     |
| Colostomy                         | 22 (34.9)     |     |
| LOH*                              | 60            |     |
| Mean                              | 25.9          |     |
| SD                                | 27.2          |     |
| ICU admission                     | 60            |     |
| No                                | 34 (56.7)     |     |
| Yes                               | 26 (43.3)     |     |
| Readmission within 30 days        | 63            |     |
| No                                | 47 (74.6)     |     |
| Yes                               | 16 (25.4)     |     |
| Complications during hospitalization* | 60          |     |
| No                                | 38 (64.4)     |     |
| Yes                               | 21 (35.6)     |     |
| Stoma complications*              | 56            |     |
| No                                | 50 (89.3)     |     |
| Yes                               | 6 (10.7)      |     |
| Overall complications*            | 60            |     |
| No                                | 30 (50)       |     |
| Yes                               | 30 (50)       |     |

*LOH= length of hospitalization

Logistic regression was performed to determine risk factors for developing complications in the secondary operation (Table 5). Univariate and multivariate analyses showed that patients with colostomy (OR 5.98, 95% CI 1.42-25.21, p=0.0149) and those who required readmission following the primary operation (OR 12.49, 95% CI 2.76-56.48, p=0.0010) had significantly higher risk for complications at the time of stoma reversal.
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Table 3. Secondary operation characteristics (n=63).

| Categories                              | Frequency (%) | N   |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------|-----|
| **Time to stoma closure (days)**        |               |     |
| Median                                  | 222.5         |     |
| Range                                   | 12-2228       |     |
| **Duration of secondary operation (minutes)** |           |     |
| Median                                  | 120           |     |
| Range                                   | 50-409        |     |
| **Stoma closure done through**          |               |     |
| Stoma                                    | 47 (82.5)     | 57  |
| Midline incision                         | 10 (17.5)     |     |
| **Bowel re-anastomosis method**          |               | 55  |
| Staples                                  | 39 (70.9)     |     |
| Sutures                                  | 11 (20.0)     |     |
| Both                                     | 5 (9.1)       |     |
| **Albumin level**                        |               |     |
| Median                                   | 30            |     |
| Range                                    | 13-41         |     |
| **Hemoglobin level**                     |               |     |
| Mean (SD)                                | 12.2          |     |
| SD                                       | 2             |     |
| **ICU admission**                        |               | 62  |
| No                                       | 57 (91.9)     |     |
| Yes                                      | 5 (8.1)       |     |
| **Overall complications**                |               | 63  |
| No                                       | 44 (69.8)     |     |
| Yes                                      | 19 (30.2)     |     |

Table 4. The effect of patients and operation characteristics on the time to closure.

| Categories                              | All patients (N=63) | Cancer patients (N=32) |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|                                         | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis  | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|                                         | OR  | CI       | P value    | OR  | CI       | P value    | OR  | CI       | P value    |
| **Gender**                              |     |          |            |     |          |            |     |          |            |
| Male                                    | Ref |          |            | Ref |          |            |
| Female                                  | 117.32 | -44.36-279 | 0.1518 | 76.74 | -53.36-206.85 | 0.2377 |
|                                         | -0.19 | -5.62-5.24 | 0.9441 | 0.04 | -5.09-5.18 | 0.9864 |
| **Nationality**                         |     |          |            |     |          |            |     |          |            |
| Saudi                                   | Ref |          |            | Ref |          |            |
| Non-Saudi                               | 69.51 | -100.63-239.66 | 0.4170 | 95.03 | -33.38-223.44 | 0.1412 |
| **Chronic diseases**                    |     |          |            |     |          |            |     |          |            |
| No                                      | Ref |          |            | Ref |          |            |
| Yes                                     | 63.21 | -102.86-229.29 | 0.4494 | 31.09 | -97.21-159.40 | 0.6243 |
| **Indication for surgery**              |     |          |            |     |          |            |
| Malignant disease                       | Ref |          |            |     |          |            |
| Benign disease                          | 34.07 | -129.50-197.64 | 0.6785 |     |          |            |
| **History of previous abdominal surgeries** |         |            |     |          |            |
| No                                      | Ref |          |            | Ref |          |            |
| Yes                                     | -101.71 | -314.38-110.95 | 0.3426 |     | -217.93-84.08 | 0.3726 |

Continued.
| Categories                        | All patients (N=63) | Cancer patients (N=32) |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                                  | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|                                  | OR                  | CI                    | P value             | OR                  | CI                    | P value |
| Primary operation                |                     |                       |                     |                     |                       |         |
| Colon resection                  | Ref                 |                       | -153.44 - 246.85    | 0.6421              | -229.25 - 63.46       | 0.2558  |
| Rectal resection                 | 46.71               | -153.44 - 246.85      | 0.6421              | -229.25 - 63.46      | 0.2558              |
| Isolated stoma formation*        | 220.61              | 6.52 - 434.71         | 0.0436              | 272.67              | 17.78 - 563.1        | 0.0650  |
|                                 |                     |                       |                     |                     |                       | 284.1   |
|                                 |                     |                       |                     |                     |                       | 4       |
|                                 |                     |                       |                     |                     |                       | 640.42  |
|                                 |                     |                       |                     |                     |                       | 72.13   |
|                                 |                     |                       |                     |                     |                       | 0.1135  |
| Small bowel resection            | -135.89             | -600.33 - 328.55      | 0.5603              | -82.89              | -640.42 - 72.13      | 0.1135  |
| Multiorgan resection**           | -114.99             | -429.99 - 200.01      | 0.4678              | -122.50             | -563.1               | 0.0284  | -256.13 |
|                                 |                     |                       |                     |                     |                       | 458.02  |
|                                 |                     |                       |                     |                     |                       | 54.24   |
|                                 |                     |                       |                     |                     |                       | 0.015   |
| Urgency of primary operation     |                     |                       |                     |                     |                       |         |
| Elective                         | Ref                 |                       | -153.21 - 186.19    | 0.8465              | 19.20                | 0.0650  |
|                                 |                     |                       | -122.50 - 160.91    | 0.7836              |                       |         |
| Approach of primary operation    |                     |                       |                     |                     |                       |         |
| Open                             | Ref                 |                       | -203.80 - 367.48    | 0.5683              | -270.81 - 179.30     | 0.6799  |
|                                 |                     |                       | -114.99 - 200.01    | 0.4678              |                       |         |
|                                 |                     |                       | -310.24 - 248.97    | 0.8236              |                       |         |
|                                 |                     |                       | -256.13 - 54.24     | 0.015               |                       |         |
|                                 |                     |                       | -270.81 - 179.30    | 0.6799              |                       |         |
|                                 |                     |                       | -266.48 - 624.48    | 0.4164              |                       |         |
| ASA at primary operation         |                     |                       |                     |                     |                       |         |
| 1                                | Ref                 |                       | -298.64 - 347.88    | 0.8792              | -297.30 - 248.30     | 0.8550  |
|                                 |                     |                       | -2.45 - 179.30      | 0.6799              |                       |         |
| 3                                | 24.62               | -274.44 - 370.36      | 0.7667              | -310.24 - 248.97    | 0.8236              |
|                                 |                     |                       | -266.48 - 624.48    | 0.4164              |                       |         |
| 4*                              | 703.50              | 245.04 - 1161.96      | 0.0033              | 1032.01             | 435.3 - 81628.63     | 0.0012  |
|                                 | 1320.04             | 1330.04               | 0.0012              | 179                 | -626.48 - 624.48     | 0.4164  |
| Use of epidural anesthesia       |                     |                       |                     |                     |                       |         |
| Yes                              | Ref                 |                       | -307.15 - 33.99     | 0.1143              | -182.14 - 112.37     | 0.6312  |
|                                  | No                  | -136.58               | 0.1143              | -34.89              | -182.14 - 112.37     | 0.6312  |
| Type of stoma                    |                     |                       |                     |                     |                       |         |
| Ileostomy*                       | Ref                 |                       | -16.00 - 320.86     | 0.0753              | 120.8 - 47.43 - 289.21 | 0.1528  |
|                                 | Colostomy*          | -16.00 - 320.86       | 0.0753              | 120.8 - 47.43 - 289.21 | 0.1528  |
|                                 |                     | -200.10 - 442.13      | 0.1023              | 0.1023              | 0.1023              | 0.1023  |
|                                 |                     | -294.6 - 134.74       | 0.4553              | 0.4553              | 0.4553              | 0.4553  |
|                                 |                     | -81.38 - 45.43        | 0.1996              | 0.1996              | 0.1996              | 0.1996  |
| ICU admission after primary operation|                     |                       |                     |                     |                       |         |
| Yes                              | Ref                 |                       | -167.57             | -332.16 - 2.98      | -208.19 - 45.43      | 0.1996  |
|                                 | No                  | -167.57               | -332.16 - 2.98      | -208.19 - 45.43      | 0.1996              |
|                                 |                     | -114.4 - 295.61       | 0.3763              | 0.3763              | 0.3763              | 0.3763  |
| Complications during hospitalization|                     |                       |                     |                     |                       |         |
| Yes                              | Ref                 |                       | 171.68              | -1.90 - 345.25      | -33.95 - 232.18      | 0.1383  |
|                                 | No                  | 171.68                | -1.90 - 345.25      | -33.95 - 232.18      | 0.1383              |

Continued.
| Categories | All patients (N=63) | Cancer patients (N=32) |
|------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|            | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis  | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis  |
|            | OR                  | CI                     | P value              | OR                  | CI                     | P value              |
| Gender     | Male                | Ref                    |                      |                      |                       |                      |
|            | Female              | 1.60                   | 0.54-4.74            | 0.3918              |                       |                      |
| Age        | 0.99                | 0.95 - 1.02            |                      | 0.4854              |                       |                      |
| Nationality | Saudi              | Ref                    |                      |                      |                       |                      |
|            | Non-Saudi           | 1.24                   | 0.39-3.89            | 0.715               |                       |                      |
| Chronic diseases | No         | Ref                    |                      |                      |                       |                      |
|            | Yes                 | 0.51                   | 0.17-1.53            | 0.232               |                       |                      |
| Indication for primary operation | Malignant disease | Ref                    |                      |                      |                       |                      |
|            | Benign disease      | 1.65                   | 0.56 - 4.89          | 0.3665              |                       |                      |

*LOH: length of hospitalization*

Table 5. The impact of patients and operation characteristics on complications at the time of secondary operation.
| Categories                                      | All patients (N=63) | Multivariate analysis |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| History of previous abdominal surgeries        |                     |                       |
| No                                             | Ref                 |                       |
| Yes                                            | 1.41                | 0.36-5.53             | 0.623                |
| Primary operation                              |                     |                       |
| Colon resection                                | Ref                 |                       |
| Rectal resection                               | 0.33                | 0.07 - 1.60           | 0.1693               |
| Stoma formation                                | 1.40                | 0.35 - 5.54           | 0.6317               |
| Small bowel resection                          | 2.00                | 0.11 - 37.83          | 0.6440               |
| Multiorgan resection                           | 1.33                | 0.17 - 10.25          | 0.7822               |
| Urgency of primary operation                   |                     |                       |
| Elective                                       | Ref                 |                       |
| Emergency                                      | 1.52                | 0.47-4.91             | 0.483                |
| Approach of primary operation                  |                     |                       |
| Open                                           | Ref                 |                       |
| Laparoscopic                                   | 1.16                | 0.19-6.97             | 0.874                |
| Type of stoma                                  |                     |                       |
| Ileostomy                                      | Ref                 |                       |
| Colostomy*                                     | 2.96                | 0.97 - 9.07           | 0.0570               | 5.98 | 1.42 - 25.21 | 0.0149 |
| Complications related to primary operation     |                     |                       |
| No                                             | Ref                 |                       |
| Yes                                            | 2.32                | 0.72 - 7.41           | 0.1569               |
| Duration of secondary operation                | 1.01                | 1.00 - 1.01           | 0.1155               |
| Closure of stoma done through                  |                     |                       |
| Stoma site                                     | Ref                 |                       |
| Midline incision                               | 2.62                | 0.65 - 10.55          | 0.1767               |
| Method of bowel re-anastomosis                 |                     |                       |
| Staples incision                               | Ref                 |                       |
| Sutures                                        | 2.42                | 0.60 - 9.68           | 0.2126               |
| Both                                           | 4.35                | 0.63 - 29.91          | 0.1351               |
| BMI                                            | 0.97                | 0.87-1.08             | 0.545                |
| Hemoglobin level                               | 0.98                | 0.78 – 1.22           | 0.8296               |
| Albumin level                                  | 0.99                | 0.92-1.07             | 0.8497               |
| Time to closure                                | 1.00                | 1.00 – 1.00           | 0.2585               |
| Readmission                                    |                     |                       |
| No                                             | Ref                 |                       |
| Yes                                            | 7.04                | 2.02 - 24.46          | 0.0021               | 12.49 | 2.76 - 56.48 | 0.0010 |
| Neoadjuvant therapy                            |                     |                       |
| No                                             |                     |                       |
| Yes                                            |                     |                       |
| Adjuvant therapy                               |                     |                       |
| No                                             |                     |                       |
| Yes                                            |                     |                       |

**DISCUSSION**

Temporary fecal diversion plays an important role in emergency and elective intestinal surgeries.¹⁰ For example, temporary stomas are frequently used to minimize the risk of anastomotic leak when colorectal or colo-anal anastomoses are formed.¹¹,¹² Closure of a temporary stoma is anticipated after a period of eight to twelve weeks, which allows for the resolution of inflammation, recovery from the initial operation, and softening of the adhesions.¹³ Closure of a temporary stoma is associated with a risk for complications.¹⁴,¹⁵ Multiple factors influencing these complications have been reported including; patient’s age >70 years, BMI >30, and the presence of locally advanced malignancies.³,⁵,⁸,¹⁶-²² In addition, prolonged time to closure (more than 6 months) of temporary stomas was found to increase the risk of complications and the length of hospital stay, subsequently worsens the patients’ quality of life and raising hospital costs.⁶,⁸ Understanding risk factors for the development of these complications would aid in targeting them for preventive measures thus, improve patients’ outcomes. As the time to stoma closure is considered an important predictor for complications, determining the factors which prolong the time to closure is important.
In this study, the median time to stoma closure was found to be 222.5 days (7.4 months). Based on the results in the literature, the average median time to closure reported to be between 2.5-6 months.8,13-15,22 The median time to closure in this study is considered longer than the reported medians in the previous studies. This could be due to the public nature of our center and the high demand as well as the higher BMI of our population; thus, most of the patients asked to lose weight before stoma reversal in some instances.

Factors affecting the time to stoma closure were determined in this study, patients with ASA class four at the time of the primary operation had longer time to stoma closure. Those patients often have multiple comorbidities which might prolong their complete recovery and tolerance for further surgery, therefore delaying the time to stoma closure. Furthermore, patients with high ASA are more likely to develop complications after operations, which is considered an important factor for delayed stoma closure.24-26

Stomas in patients with malignancies had delayed closure compared to benign conditions such as diverticulitis.23 Therefore, a subgroup analysis for cancer patients was conducted in our study to determine predictors of time to closure. We found that receiving adjuvant treatment and developing complications related to stoma creation are risk factors for prolonged time to closure in cancer patients. Studies in the literature have also reported both factors as predictors for delayed closure among cancer patients.8,14,27 In David and coworkers’ study, the mean time to closure was longer in patients who required adjuvant chemotherapy (40 weeks) as compared to patients who did not require adjuvant treatment with mean time to closure of (29.5 weeks, p≤0.001).28 Moreover, causes for delayed stoma closure (more than 6 months) in rectal cancer patients were requiring adjuvant chemotherapy and developing complications following the primary operation (P<0.005).8 In contrast, the only predictor for shorter time to closure in cancer patients was the type of primary operation, patients who underwent multi-organ resection significantly had shorter time to stoma closure. It has not – to our knowledge – been reported before as a factor influencing the time to stoma closure.

Stoma reversal surgery is associated with a risk of complications. In a previous study, the overall stoma closure–related surgical complications rate was 20%, surgical site infections (9%) and anastomotic leakage (5%) were the most common surgical complications.15 The rate of stoma closure complications in our study is 30.2%. Factors contributing to stoma closure complications were reported such as the surgical technique, not receiving antibiotic prophylaxis, patient’s age >70 years, and prolonged time to closure.8,16-22 In this study, patients with colostomies showed higher risk for stoma closure complications. Some studies in the literature proved that fecal diversion using ileostomy is superior to colostomy in terms of morbidity. Higher risk of complications was reported after closure of colostomies as shown in a previous study with 55% of patients who underwent colostomy closure developed complications compared to 20% of ileostomy patients.29

In contrast, a previous systematic review which studied the stoma closure outcome measures including; occlusion, wound infection, anastomotic leak, fistula, and hernia reported that the cumulative analysis for these outcomes showed no significant difference between colostomy and ileostomy (OR 1.02; 95% CI 0.56–1.86).30

In this study, readmission after the primary operation is a significant predictor for complications at the time of the secondary operation. It has not – to our knowledge – been reported before as a factor for stoma closure complications. Further research need to be conducted to determine the impact of readmission following the primary operation on stoma closure outcomes.

Limitations of this study is its retrospective nature as well as the small number of patients included. Although, the study was conducted at a single center, our institution is considered a large tertiary referral center in the area and accepts a wide range of patient population.

Collectively, our study results indicate that multiple factors influence the time to stoma closure including patients’ ASA, in addition to adjuvant treatment, complications related to stoma formation and multiorgan resection during primary operation in cancer patients. Risk for stoma closure complications is higher among colostomy patients and patients who required readmission after stoma formation. Complications related to stoma closure surgery has a high rate of occurrence, it impacts the patients’ full recovery, and may require further intervention for management and contributes to mortality.31 Therefore, development of a perioperative preventive strategies could enhance patients’ outcomes; this can be achieved by modifying the factors leading to these complications such as, lowering the rate of readmissions to a minimum, and choosing an ileostomy for diversion rather than a colostomy when indicated.

Furthermore, as delayed time to stoma closure was reported in the literature as a significant predictor for complications, controlling the modifiable factors contributing to delayed closure such as; scheduling stoma closure prior to adjuvant therapy or to consider scheduling the operation between the treatment cycles could enhance the outcomes of stoma closure. It is essential that surgeons are aware of these factors and individualize the treatment strategy to each patient. Preoperative counseling about stoma closure surgery should include the possibility of complications, understanding the predictors for complications, and factors implicated in scheduling stoma closure.
CONCLUSION

Multiple factors can impact the time to stoma closure and increase the risk of developing complication related to stoma closure. Awareness about these contributing factors and development of strategies to modify them is recommended.

**Funding:** No funding sources

**Conflict of interest:** None declared

**Ethical approval:** The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee

**REFERENCES**

1. Paula MA. Performance of stoma therapy in the process of rehabilitation of ostomy patients. Revista brasileira de enfermagem. 1996;49(1):17-22.
2. Kuipers JH. Gastrointestinal surgery and gastroenterology. XI. Stomas and stoma surgery. Nederlands tijdschrift voor geneeskunde. 2001;145(24):1144-8.
3. Malik T, Lee MJ, Harikrishnan AB. The incidence of stoma related morbidity - a systematic review of randomised controlled trials. Ann Royal Coll Surg Engl. 2018;100(7):501-8.
4. Yin TC, Tsai HL, Yang PF, Su WC, Ma CJ, Huang CW, et al. Early closure of defunctioning stoma increases complications related to stoma closure after concurrent chemoradiotherapy and low anterior resection in patients with rectal cancer. World J Surg Oncol. 2017;15(1):80.
5. Hasegawa H, Radley S, Morton DG, Keighley MR. Stapled versus sutured closure of loop ileostomy: a randomized controlled trial. Ann Surg. 2000;231(2):202-4.
6. Herrle F, Sandra-Petrescu F, Weiss C, Post S, Runkel N, Kienle P. Quality of Life and Timing of Stoma Closure in Patients With Rectal Cancer Undergoing Low Anterior Resection With Diverting Stoma: A Multicenter Longitudinal Observational Study. Dis Colon Rectum. 2016;59(4):281-90.
7. Park J, Angenete E, Bock D, Correa-Marinez A, Danielsen AK, Gehrmn J, et al. Cost analysis in a randomized trial of early closure of a temporary ileostomy after rectal resection for cancer (EASY trial). Surg Endosc. 2020;34(1):69-76.
8. Waterland P, Goonetilleke K, Naumann DN, Sutcliffe M, Soliman F. Defunctioning Ileostomy Reversal Rates and Reasons for Delayed Reversal: Does Delay Impact on Complications of Ileostomy Reversal? A Study of 170 Defunctioning Ileostomies. J Clin Med Res. 2015;7(9):685-9.
9. Clavien PA, Barkun J, de Oliveira ML, Vauthey JN, Dindo D, Schulick RD, et al. The Clavien-Dindo classification of surgical complications: five-year experience. Ann Surg. 2009;250(2):187-96.
10. Knox AJ, Birkett FD, Collins CD. Closure of colostomy. Br J Surg. 1971;58(9):669-72.
11. Wara P, Sørensen K, Berg V. Proximal fecal diversion: review of ten years' experience. Dis Colon Rectum. 1981;24(2):114-9.
12. Williams NS, Nasmyth DG, Jones D, Smith AH. Defunctioning stomas: a prospective controlled trial comparing loop ileostomy with loop transverse colostomy. Br J Surg. 1986;73(7):566-70.
13. Chow A, Tilney HS, Paraskeva P, Jeyarajah S, Zacharakis E, Purkayastha S. The morbidity surrounding reversal of defunctioning ileostomies: a systematic review of 48 studies including 6,107 cases. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2009;24(6):711-23.
14. Thalheimer A, Bueter M, Kortuem M, Thiede A, Meyer D. Morbidity of temporary loop ileostomy in patients with colorectal cancer. Dis Colon Rectum. 2006;49(7):1011-7.
15. Pokorny H, Herkner H, Jakesz R, Herbst F. Mortality and complications after stoma closure. Arch Surg. 2005;140(10):956-60.
16. Salley RK, Bucher RM, Rodning CB. Colostomy closure. Morbidity reduction employing a semi-standardized protocol. Dis Colon Rectum. 1983;26(5):319-22.
17. Parks SE, Hastings PR. Complications of colostomy closure. Am J Surg. 1985;149(5):672-5.
18. Freund HR, Raniel J, Muggia-Sulam M. Factors affecting the morbidity of colostomy closure: a retrospective study. Dis Colon Rectum. 1982;25(7):712-5.
19. Demetriades D, Pezikis A, Melissas J, Parekh D, Pickles G. Factors influencing the morbidity of colostomy closure. Am J Surg. 1988;155(4):594-6.
20. Bosshardt TL. Outcomes of ostomy procedures in patients aged 70 years and older. Arch Surg. 2003;138(10):1077-82.
21. Leenen LP, Kuypers JH. Some factors influencing the outcome of stoma surgery. Dis Colon Rectum. 1989;32(6):500-4.
22. Riesener KP, Lehnen W, Höfer M, Kasperk R, Braun JC, Schumpelick V. Morbidity of ileostomy and colostomy closure: impact of surgical technique and perioperative treatment. World J Surg. 1997;21(1):103-8.
23. Sier MF, van Gelder L, Ubink DT, Benelma WA, Oostenbroek RJ. Factors affecting timing of closure and non-reversal of temporary ileostomies. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2015;30(9):1185-92.
24. El-Hussuna A, Lauritsen M, Bülow S. Relatively high incidence of complications after loop ileostomy reversal. Danish Med J. 2012;59(10):A4517.
25. Pan HD, Peng YF, Wang L, Li M, Yao YF, Zhao J, et al. Risk Factors for Nonclosure of a Temporary Defunctioning Ileostomy Following Anterior Resection of Rectal Cancer. Dis Colon Rectum. 2016;59(2):94-100.
26. Taylor C, Varma S. Factors affecting closure of a temporary stoma. J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs. 2012;39(1):51-7.
27. Chand M, Nash GF, Talbot RW. Timely closure of loop ileostomy following anterior resection for rectal cancer. Eur J Cancer Care. 2008;17(6):611-5.

28. David GG, Slavin JP, Willmott S, Corless DJ, Khan AU, Selvasekar CR. Loop ileostomy following anterior resection: is it really temporary? Colorectal Dis. 2010;12(5):428-32.

29. Bell C, Asolati M, Hamilton E, Fleming J, Nwariaku F, Sarosi G, et al. A comparison of complications associated with colostomy reversal versus ileostomy reversal. Am J Surg. 2005;190(5):717-20.

30. Rondelli F, Reboldi P, Rulli A, Barberini F, Guerrisi A, Izzo L, et al. Loop ileostomy versus loop colostomy for fecal diversion after colorectal or coloanal anastomosis: a meta-analysis. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2009;24(5):479-88.

31. Harris DA, Egbeare D, Jones S, Benjamin H, Woodward A, Foster ME. Complications and mortality following stoma formation. Ann Royal Coll Surg Engl. 2005;87(6):427-31.

Cite this article as: Nassif MO, Trabulsi NH, Farsi AH, Samkari AA, Al-shammakh SS, Alghamdi IO, et al. Factors affecting temporary stoma outcomes at a major Saudi University Hospital. Int Surg J 2020;7:3604-13.