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ABSTRACT

Context. Threads are the building blocks of solar prominences and very often show longitudinal oscillatory motions that are strongly attenuated with time. The damping mechanism responsible for the reported oscillations is not fully understood yet. Aims. To understand the oscillations and damping of prominence threads it is mandatory to investigate first the nature of the equilibrium solutions that arise under static conditions and under the presence of radiative losses, thermal conduction and background heating. This provides the basis to calculate the eigenmodes of the thread models. Methods. The nonlinear ordinary differential equations for hydrostatic and thermal equilibrium under the presence of gravity are solved using standard numerical techniques and simple analytical expressions are derived under certain approximations. The solutions to the equations represent a prominence thread, i.e., a dense and cold plasma region of a certain length that connects with the corona through a prominence corona transition region (PCTR). The solutions can also match with a chromospheric-like layer if a spatially dependent heating function localised around the footpoints is considered. Results. We have obtained static solutions representing prominence threads and have investigated in detail the dependence of these solutions on the different parameters of the model. Among other results, we have shown that multiple condensations along a magnetic field line are possible, and that the effect of partial ionisation in the model can significantly modify the thermal balance in the thread and therefore their length. This last parameter is also shown to be comparable to that reported in the observations when the radiative losses are reduced for typical thread temperatures.
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1. Introduction

A recent survey on longitudinal oscillations in solar filaments carried out by [Luna et al. (2018)] has provided interesting results about the temporal attenuation of the oscillatory motions. A measure of the attenuation is the ratio between the damping time, assuming an exponential decay, and the period of the oscillation. The mean value of this parameter over 106 small amplitude events (with velocities below 10 km s⁻¹) is 1.75, while for large amplitude oscillations (above 10 km s⁻¹) the 96 events give a mean value of 1.25. This means that typically the oscillations do not last more than 2 periods. The question that arises is the mechanism that produces such strong damping, and several approaches can be used to investigate this problem.

The first approach is to consider that the system is near equilibrium satisfying the energetic balance between radiation losses, thermal conduction and heating. The model may include the effect of the magnetic field and also the gravitational force. Once the equilibrium is calculated, and this is the main motivation of the present work (Paper I), the problem of linear and nonlinear waves on these equilibrium configurations can be then addressed (Paper II). Only a few works have focused on the determination of a static equilibrium under thermal balance: [Degenhardt & Deinzer (1993)] modelled a quiescent prominence assuming balance between heating and radiative losses but ignoring heat conduction. These authors found reasonable values for prominence temperatures and densities but significantly shorter threads were obtained (a similar result was obtained by [Milne et al. (1979)]). The connection with the chromosphere was not included in the model. Later, [Dahlburg et al. (1998)] demonstrated the role of a dipped geometry to support a prominence condensation against gravity and included a localised footpoint heating to match their solution with a chromospheric layer. Regarding the oscillations [Schmitt & Degenhardt (1995)] and [Rempel et al. (1999)] performed a stability analysis of a flux tube model based on the work of [Degenhardt & Deinzer (1993)] under line-tying conditions. However, in these studies the perturbations were assumed to be adiabatic and therefore no attenuation was reported, although some hints of instability were found. The inclusion of nonadiabatic effects under different boundary conditions will be addressed in Paper II. In addition, the effect of partial ionisation, effective for plasma temperatures below 10,000 K, has not been taken into account in the above mentioned studies.

The second approach to investigate thread or prominence oscillations is based on the analysis of a dynamical system that undergoes thermal nonequilibrium. Oscillations are naturally produced in the system and their investigation provides details about the damping processes. Along this line, [Luna & Karpen (2012)] investigated the oscillations of multiple threads formed in long, dipped flux tubes through the thermal nonequilibrium process previously simulated [Luna et al. (2012)]. These authors found
that the oscillation properties predicted by their simulations are in agreement with the observed behaviour, and that the main restoring force is the projected gravity along the tube where the threads oscillate. Zhang et al. (2012, 2013) modelled impulsive heating at one leg of the loop and an impulsive momentum deposition, which cause the prominence to oscillate. The oscillation damps with time under the presence of non-adiabatic processes and these authors concluded that radiative cooling is the dominant factor leading to damping.

In the present paper we follow the first approach. We constructed improved static prominence/thread models by considering different forms of the radiative losses under the presence of gravity. The basic conditions to have a temperature minimum at the thread centre are derived, and the possibility of having several condensations along the field line is discussed. The connection of the thread solution with the prominence corona transition region (PCTR) and eventually with a chromospheric layer near the footpoints is also investigated. Partial ionisation is included in the model and its effect on the obtained solutions is studied. The work presented here is the basis of the analysis of the eigenmodes described in the forthcoming Paper II.

2. Basic model

We assume that the magnetic field dominates the plasma and reduce the problem to a one-dimensional thread in which the magnetic field determines the geometry but is not modified by the presence of the thread. The plasma quantities change along the thread and therefore we concentrate on the forces and equilibrium along the field only. This assumption is valid for prominences embedded in sufficiently strong magnetic fields (e.g. Friedler & Hood 1992; Hillier & van Ballegooijen 2013; Jenkins et al. 2019). For a model with equilibrium normal to the magnetic field see for example, Ballester & Priest (1989). For simplicity here we concentrate on a 1D problem and the tube cross-section is assumed to be constant. We adopt a symmetric geometry about the midpoint with a dipped region in the central part as in Antiochos & Klimchuk (1991), Dahlburg et al. (1998) and Zhou et al. (2014). The definition of the field geometry is given by Eq. (6) of Dahlburg et al. (1998). We denote by $g(s)$ the gravity acceleration parallel to the field line and $s$ the distance along this line (starting at the midpoint of the structure, i.e., the centre of the thread). Three different examples of field geometry investigated in the present work are represented in Fig. 1.

3. Fully ionised plasma

For a static situation two equations for the force balance and thermal equilibrium must be satisfied simultaneously. Gas pressure under static equilibrium must satisfy that

$$
\frac{dP}{ds} = \rho(s)g(s).
$$

(1)

When gravity is neglected gas pressure remains constant along $s$. This equation is completed with the ideal gas law

$$
p(s) = \frac{1}{\mu m_p} \rho(s)T(s),
$$

(2)

where $k_B/m_p$ is the ideal gas constant and $\mu$ is the mean atomic weight. For a fully ionised hydrogen plasma $\mu = 1/2$. The modifications in the previous equation because of partial ionisation will be introduced later in Sect. 4.

Fig. 1. Sketch of the assumed magnetic field geometry. The different parameters allow to change the shape and curvature of the field lines where the thread is allocated (based on Dahlburg et al. 1998). The configurations are denoted by A, B and C. The three configurations have been represented with different total lengths for visualisation purposes only. The parameters of each curve following the definition in Dahlburg et al. (1998) are A (bottom curve): $s_1 = 0.05L$, $s_2 = 0.5L$, $d = 0.1L$, B (middle curve): $s_1 = 0.1L$, $s_2 = 0.5L$, $d = 0.05L$, and C (top curve): $s_1 = 0.2L$, $s_2 = 0.5L$, $d = 0.01L$. $L$ is half the length of the magnetic field line.

Using the ideal gas law we can eliminate the variable $\rho(s)$ to obtain,

$$
\frac{d\rho}{ds}(s)T(s) + \rho(s)\frac{dT}{ds}(s) = \frac{m_p}{k_B} \rho(s)g(s).
$$

(3)

For thermal equilibrium between conduction, radiative losses and heating the next nonlinear ordinary differential equation has to be satisfied,

$$
\frac{d}{ds}(k(s)\frac{dT}{ds}(s)) - \rho(s)^2 \Lambda(T(s)) + E_0 = 0,
$$

(4)

where $k(s) = k_\parallel T(s)^{s/2}$, with $k_\parallel$ a constant coefficient. $\Lambda(T)$ is the radiative loss function and $E_0$ is the background heating. It is instructive to write the previous energy equation in integral form using the Gauss theorem in one dimension,

$$
\left[k_\parallel(s)\frac{dT}{ds}(s)\right]_{s=L}^{s=0} + \int_0^L \left[-\rho(s)^2 \Lambda(T(s)) + E_0\right] ds = 0,
$$

(5)

where the first term of this equation represents the (minus) heat flux through the footpoints of the field lines located at $\pm L$ and the second term is the spatially integrated contribution of radiation and heating. If $E_0$ is zero the heat flux through the boundaries because of thermal conduction, is equal to the total energy lost by radiation in the whole domain.

For optically thin radiative losses the function $\Lambda(T)$ has several parametrisations in the literature that are essentially valid for the corona surrounding prominences. Nevertheless, threads are optically thick and therefore radiative losses are expected to be greatly reduced. This effect can be taken into account by artificially decreasing the values in the optically thin radiative losses for low temperatures ($T < 10^6 K$), see for example Milne et al. (1979), Schmitt & Degenerhardt (1995). Here we consider two main radiative loss functions, described in Athay (1986) and Hildner (1974) and represented in Fig. 2 for comparison purposes. Athay’s radiation function has the advantage of being an analytical function with continuous derivatives and attains quite low values under prominence/thread conditions. As we show in the next sections this has important consequences regarding the lengths of the calculated threads. We have explored other radiative losses such as Klimchuk-Raymond’s fits (Klimchuk & Cargill 2001) and the parametrisation computed from CHIANTI atomic database (Dere et al. 1997, Landi et al.)
by symmetry around \( s \). By symmetry around \( s \), there is an extrema because we have imposed that \( dT/ds = 0 \), meaning that Eq. (4) at \( s = 0 \) reduces to

\[
\frac{d^2 T}{ds^2}(0) = \frac{\rho_0^2 \Lambda(T_0) - E_0}{\kappa_T(0)}. \tag{6}
\]

Since we are seeking for solutions that represent a cold thread connecting with the hot corona, the temperature at \( s = 0 \) (where there is an extrema because we have imposed that \( dT/ds = 0 \)) must have a minimum, i.e., \( d^2 T/ds^2 > 0 \). According to Eq. (6) this condition is satisfied only if \( E_0 < \rho_0^2 \Lambda(T_0) \). Conditions for the existence of prominence solutions were already studied in some detail in the early work of Milne et al. (1979). Note that even in the unlikely situation with no background heating in the solar atmosphere, i.e., \( E_0 = 0 \), it is still possible to obtain physically meaningful solutions. However, for \( E_0 < \rho_0^2 \Lambda(T_0) \) no solutions representative of threads (i.e., cold material surrounded by coronal plasma) are found. Instead, coronal loop solutions of the type studied by, for example, Klimchuk et al. (2010) or Mikić et al. (2013) are obtained. In fact, the stationary solutions calculated by these last authors by solving the time dependent problem have been used as a check of our numerical method based on standard routines. We have obtained static equilibrium solutions that match well with the stationary solutions of Mikić et al. (2013).

Typical numbers for background heating found in the literature are in the range \( 10^{-4} \sim 10^{-5} \) W m\(^{-3}\) (e.g., Karpen et al. 2001; Mikić et al. 2013), however, for the specific radiative losses considered in this work, these values are in general too high to satisfy the condition \( E_0 < \rho_0^2 \Lambda(T_0) \) necessary to have a typical thread/prominence with density \( \rho_0 = 10^{11} \) kg m\(^{-3}\) and temperature \( T_0 = 10,000 \) K. For this reason, we have decided not to focus on a single value of the background heating but changing the value of \( E_0 \) in the range between 0 and slightly below \( \rho_0^2 \Lambda(T_0) \). It is clear that the value of the radiative loss function at \( T_0 \) is a relevant number that determines the range of allowed values for \( E_0 \). If the radiative losses are low for temperatures around 10,000 K the background heating needs to be decreased accordingly. For this reason we consider background heatings as low as \( E_0 = 2.75 \times 10^{-9} \) W m\(^{-3}\) and \( E_0 = 1.25 \times 10^{-6} \) W m\(^{-3}\) for Athay and Hildner’s radiation functions but in some cases the values are slightly above these values (e.g., Fig. 3).

The system of Eqs. (3) and (4) are solved numerically for \( T \) and \( \rho \) under given boundary conditions using standard numerical techniques based on a variable-order, variable-step Adams method. At the centre of the thread \( (s = 0) \) the temperature \( (T_0) \) and density \( (\rho_0) \) values are selected and \( dT/ds = 0 \) is imposed. The two coupled ordinary differential equations are numerically integrated from \( s = 0 \) to \( s = L \) using numerical methods. When necessary, adaptive mesh methods are used, this is especially important at the PCTR. We do not need, in general, to implement a shooting method since the three boundary conditions are imposed at \( s = 0 \). The shooting method is only required when density at \( s = 0 \) has to be determined to match the temperature at the corona \( (s = L) \), see end of Sect. 3.3 In this last case we use a Runge–Kutta–Merson method and a Newton iteration in the shooting and matching technique.
show a rather different behaviour although the reference temperatures and densities are the same at \( s = 0 \). For Athay’s radiation function a single thread around \( s = 0 \) is found and matches smoothly through a PCTR a plasma that is close to coronal conditions (densities of the order of \( 10^{-12} \text{ kg m}^{-3} \), and temperatures around \( 10^6 \text{ K} \). On the contrary, in the case of Hildner’s function, several (up to three) cold and dense regions are found in the configuration. The densities and temperatures of these thread-like solutions are chosen to be exactly the same at the centre of the thread \( (s = 0) \). The system displays periodic cold and dense threads, and this behaviour also applies to Athay’s radiation function but the corresponding spatial periodicity is much longer than the length of the system.

The distance between successive threads depends on the reference values for temperature and density and also on the value of the heating constant. It is clear that for constant gas pressure the system has a characteristic spatial scale and it is worth investigating the origin of this length. It turns out that this scale is closely related to the so-called Field’s wavenumber after Field investigating the origin of this length. It turns out that this scale is the heating constant. It is clear that for constant gas pressure these values for temperature and density and also on the value of thermal conduction there is a critical length scale, that we denote hereafter by \( L_c \) for the stabilisation of the thermal mode. In the model used by Field (1965), with uniform temperature and density, the equilibrium satisfies that

\[
\rho_0^2 \mathcal{N}(T_0) = E_0, \tag{7}
\]

and for a given constant pressure value and using the gas law, density and temperature are obtained from the previous equation, which must be solved numerically in general. Since the equilibrium is isothermal there is no conduction term in Eq. (7) but it is present in the perturbations.

An analysis of the perturbations in this configuration to understand the features of the thermal mode has been done in the past by, for example, Field (1965), van der Linden & Goossens (1991), Soler et al. (2011, 2012). The obtained dispersion relation shows the existence of a critical length and only those perturbations with wavelengths below \( L_c \) are stable, the expression for \( L_c \) (see Eq. (26a) in Field 1965) is

\[
L_c = 2\pi \left( \frac{\kappa_0}{T_0} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\rho \Lambda(T)}{T}} = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_0 T_0^{5/2}}{2 \rho E_0 \Lambda(T_0) - \rho_0^2 \dot{\Lambda}(T_0)}}. \tag{8}
\]

Derivatives involving density and radiative losses at constant temperature and constant density are present in the denominator of the previous expression and are evaluated in the second part of the equation where \( \dot{\mathcal{N}}(T_0) \) is the temperature derivative of the radiative losses evaluated at \( T_0 \). It is worth to mention that in Eq. (8) the explicit dependence on \( E_0 \) is absent, the reason being that in our model the heating only affects the equilibrium but not the perturbations. Note that Begelman & McKee (1990) define a Field’s length which is not the same as the critical length used here (see also Kovama & Inutsuka 2004, Sharma et al. 2010). Begelman & McKee (1990) provide a relationship between the critical and the Field’s length in their Eq. (4.16).

We apply Eq. (8) to the situation in Fig. 3 but keeping in mind that we are going to compare the calculated inhomogeneous equilibrium with a model that has constant density and temperature. In order to perform a reliable comparison we chose the same gas pressure value (which is constant along the tube) and the same background heating in the two models. Then we calculate the corresponding density and temperature that satisfies Eq. (7) for the homogeneous model. For example, for Field’s radiation function we find a temperature of \( 342536 \text{ K} \) and a density of \( 2.9 \times 10^{-13} \text{ kg m}^{-3} \) in the homogeneous model. If we compute the mean values for the corresponding inhomogeneous model (Fig. 3) we obtain a mean temperature of \( 418897 \text{ K} \) and a mean density of \( 2.8 \times 10^{-13} \text{ kg m}^{-3} \), these numbers are similar to those calculated for the homogeneous case. The obtained values of temperature and density for the homogeneous case are introduced in Eq. (8). We find that the corresponding scale length for Hildner radiation function is 36 Mm. This value agrees reasonably well with the periodicity found in Fig. 3 for Hildner’s function, which is around 43 Mm. Repeating the same procedure for Athay’s radiation function (calculating again the temperature and density values) we find that in this case \( L_c = \text{22881 Mm} \). This large value explains in Fig. 3 the lack of periodicity in a length of 100 Mm. Therefore, we conclude that the critical length provides a reasonable estimation of the expected periodicity that makes the system stable regarding the thermal instability. Furthermore, we have computed different numerical solutions by changing the value of \( \kappa_0 \) and have positively checked that the obtained characteristic lengths are proportional to the square root of the conduction coefficient, as expected from Eq. (8). We have varied other parameters such as temperature and density and the results confirm that Eq. (8) is an adequate approximation. Note that the role of sound waves, and therefore, the effect of pressure variations is absent in the definition of the critical length while in the full numerical solutions of Fig. 3 the effect of gas pressure is included.

Now we focus on another characteristic scale in the system but of local nature, the length of the individual threads. As we have mentioned in Section II the calculated lengths by Milne et al. (1973) and Degenhardt & Deinzer (1993) are short in comparison with the measured thread lengths. To investigate this question and since the dense plasma representing a thread is located around the origin of our coordinate system we assume that the temperature around \( s = 0 \) can be written as a second-order series expansion of the form

\[
T(s) = T_0 \left( 1 + b_1 \frac{s}{L} + b_2 \frac{s^2}{L^2} \right), \tag{9}
\]

where the dimensionless coefficients \( b_1 \) and \( b_2 \) need to be determined. Additional terms in Eq. (9) are neglected since \( s/L \ll 1 \) and we perform a local analysis. The boundary condition \( dT/ds = 0 \) at \( s = 0 \) yields to \( b_1 = 0 \). For the plasma density, we have that using the gas law for constant pressure and in the situation \( s/L \ll 1 \) we can write

\[
\rho(s) \approx \rho_0 \left( 1 - b_2 \frac{s^2}{L^2} \right). \tag{10}
\]

We substitute these expansions in \( s \) for temperature and pressure in the full energy equation given by Eq. (4). Approximating the powers by the corresponding Taylor series and using again the fact that \( s/L \ll 1 \) we find, after some algebra and to zeroth order in \( s \) that

\[
b_2 = \frac{1}{2 \kappa_0} \frac{L^2}{T_0^{7/2}} (\rho_0^2 \mathcal{N}(T_0) - E_0). \tag{11}
\]

This coefficient is in essence the ratio between the radiative minus the heating term over the conduction term evaluated at \( s = 0 \).
and assuming that the temperature changes on a spatial scale given by \( L \). Equation (11) provides the value of the term in front of the parabolic dependence on distance, \( s \), in Eq. (9) and it is used here as a proxy to obtain information about the thread length. The smaller the value of \( b_2 \) the flatter the parabolic curve and therefore the longer the length of the thread. The question that we have to address is how to define a spatial scale, \( l_{th} \), associated with a parabola. For this reason if we rewrite Eq. (9) as

\[
T(s) = T_0 \left(1 + \frac{s^2}{l^2_{th}} \right),
\]

then

\[
l_{th} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{b_2}} \sqrt[3]{\frac{2 \kappa_0 T_0^{1/2}}{\rho_0^2 A(T_0) - E_0}},
\]

where we have used the expression for \( b_2 \) given in Eq. (11).

The spatial scale \( l_{th} \), can be understood as an approximation for the thread length and provides valuable information about the dependence of this parameter on: central density and temperature, radiative losses at this temperature, conduction and background heating. The radiative losses are dependent on the specific cooling table chosen as this will define the behaviour and therefore shape of the PCTR. Since we are under the assumption \( E_0 < \rho_0^2 A(T_0) \), the parameter \( l_{th} \) is always a real positive number. According to Eq. (13) an increase in the conduction will lead to longer threads while an increase in the radiative losses will shorten them (if \( E_0 \) is constant). The dependence of \( l_{th} \) on the conduction coefficient, \( \kappa_0 \), is exactly the same as for \( L_c \) (see Eq. (8)) although these two spatial scales have different physical meanings. We will return to Eq. (13) in the forthcoming sections.

3.2. Non-constant gas pressure, gravity included

When gravity is introduced in the system gas pressure is no longer constant along the magnetic field line. We concentrate hereafter in Model A. For large spatial scales we expect that gravity can significantly alter the results in comparison to the constant pressure case, and indeed this is the case. The numerical solution indicates that the second thread solution (the one that was at \( s = 45 \) Mm in Fig. 3) for Hildner’s function tends to have temperatures much below the minimum at the thread centre (\( s = 0 \)) and the numerical integration of the equations fails to converge. The system does not allow the periodicity found for the case with zero gravity for the same value of the background heating. In this case no static solution is allowed in the system for the selected parameters and a dynamical behaviour is expected because of the thermal non-equilibrium.

However, by changing \( E_0 \) it is still possible to find, under some choice of parameters, a situation with two threads under mechanical and thermal balance. An example is shown in Fig. 4. Thus, it is possible to have some cold material in equilibrium balance but not located at the dips. This cold and dense material does not reach the temperature and density values of the central thread though.

In Fig. 4 density and temperature as a function of position along the magnetic field under gravity is also represented for Athay’s function. Interestingly, in this case the differences with respect to the zero gravity situation are not large, essentially the density tends to increase near the footpoint as a result of the presence of the gravity since around the footpoint the gravity force is purely vertical and has the largest contribution (compare with the continuous line in the top panel of Fig. 3). The thread length obtained in this case from the simulations, hereafter denoted by \( a \) (do not confuse with \( l_{th} \), the analytical approximation), is 1.7 Mm, and it is calculated using the position where the density derivative with \( s \) has a maximum (\( a \) is twice this value). We find an extended prominence corona transition region that eventually matches a plasma that is close to coronal conditions (densities of the order of \( 10^{-13} \) kg m\(^{-3}\) and temperatures around \( 10^6 \) K). For these reasons we conclude that the obtained model is a good representation of a thread.

In Fig. 5 the contribution of the different terms in the energy equation is represented for the same parameters of Fig. 4. The conduction term is always positive and is essentially balanced by the radiative losses while the background heating is very small in this example. Both conduction and radiation have a strong peak at the PCTR where density and temperature change abruptly.

3.3. A parametric survey

Once we know the main features of the solutions we study the influence of the different parameters on the computed equilibrium for a wide range of values. For this reason we have carried out a parametric study starting with the dependence on \( E_0 \). Although from the results in Fig. 3 we may conclude that the role of gravity is purely vertical and has the largest contribution (compare with
of the background heating is small, it turns out that this parameter has a strong influence on the obtained thread lengths, and as we will show in Paper II, this affects significantly the damping times. This effect of $E_0$ on the equilibrium was already noted by Schmitt & Degenhardt (1993) and is further investigated here.

In Fig. 6 we have represented the numerically obtained thread lengths (twice the position where the density derivative with $s$ has a maximum) as a function of $E_0$ for a specific choice of temperature ($7.8 \times 10^3$ K) at the core of the thread. The thread length decreases when the heating is reduced and the minimum thread length is achieved for zero background heating. This agrees with the dependence of $l_{th}$ on $\rho_0 T_0 - E_0$ in the denominator of Eq. (13). Analytical approximations for the thread length are more difficult to obtain in this case but note that the projection of gravity at $s = 0$ is precisely zero, therefore as a first approximation we can still use the definition of $l_{th}$. The numerical results indicate that the longest thread lengths are obtained for values of the heating tending to the radiative losses at the thread centre, as expected from Eq. (13) too. Nevertheless, the analytical approximation given by Eq. (13) and plotted in Fig. 6 with red dashed lines overestimates the thread length in this last situation. It is worth to mention that there are numerical problems regarding convergence in the calculation of the solutions for values of the heating constant near to $\rho_0 T_0 - E_0$, but the reason is clear from the denominator of $l_{th}$.

We focus now on the dependence of the thread length on the length of the field lines. The three magnetic configurations, denoted by A, B and C, have been analysed and the total length of the field lines has been changed in the range $80 - 200$ Mm. We have found that for Athay’s radiative function the total length of the threads, i.e. the parameter $a$, does not change much with the length of the magnetic field lines and the values are typically of the order of several Mm. Interestingly, the thread lengths are in the range of the reported in the observations (e.g., Okamoto et al. 2007, Arregui et al. 2018). The variation of the thread length with models A, B, and C is at most around 1%. We conclude that the geometry has some influence on the length of the threads but is not too significant. Note that this effect is not included in Eq. (13) since no gravity was assumed in the derivation of this equation (in our model the geometry of the field is only included through the projection of gravity along the magnetic field lines).

On the other hand, when Hildner’s radiative function is considered, the thread lengths are short, typically of the order of 150 km only. Again the magnetic field geometry has a weak influence on the results in this case. The short lengths of the threads for Hildner’s function were already reported by Milne et al. (1979) and Schmitt & Degenhardt (1995) and the cause is that the radiative losses are too high for typical thread temperatures. On the contrary, Athay’s radiative losses are significantly lower for typical thread temperatures (see the comparison in Fig. 2) and this eventually leads to much longer threads.

In Fig. 7 the obtained thread length is represented as a function of the central temperature for different reference densities. The radiative function is based on Athay’s (1986). The analytical approximation given by Eq. (13) is plotted with red dashed lines. Model A is used in this plot.

In Fig. 7 we have demonstrated in Fig. 6 we concentrate on the shortest threads. Figure 7 indicates that
cool threads have longer lengths than hot threads. Furthermore, light threads have larger sizes than heavy threads which is in agreement again with Eq. (13) ($l_\text{th}$ is inversely proportional to $\rho_0^2$), see the red dashed lines in Fig. [7]

3.4. Matching a chromospheric layer

Up to now we have described a system composed of a cold and dense material representing a thread connecting with a plasma under coronal conditions. A more realistic model should include the connection with the chromosphere near the footpoints of the magnetic field lines that are supposed to be anchored in the photosphere. The physics of the chromosphere is complex and it is out of the scope of this work to include a detailed modelling of this layer. However, it is worth investigating the physical conditions required to have a layer akin to the chromosphere in our simplified 1D system. We have already seen that it is possible to obtain cold and dense plasma regions along the field lines depending on the periodicity of the condensations that can have similar conditions to chromospheric plasmas, especially under the presence of gravity. Nevertheless, these chromospheric regions can occur anywhere along the field line, while we are mostly interested in a chromosphere localised near the footpoints, i.e., near $s = \pm L$ in our model. The way to force the coronal part of the previously obtained solutions to match with a chromosphere is to include a localised heating near the footpoints. This approach has been used in the past by several authors and the most common form for the heating function used in the literature is,

$$E(s) = E_0 \left(1 + h_\text{ch} e^{(s-L)/\lambda}\right),$$

where $\lambda$ is a spatial scale typically of the order of 10 Mm and $h_\text{ch}$ is a factor that is in the range 20-100 (see for example, Dahlburg et al. 1998, Karpen et al. 2001). Using these values in the spatially dependent heating function and solving the ordinary differential equations we obtain a solution with a fast decrease in temperature and a quick increase in density near the footpoint. However, the rapidly changing nature of the chromospheric part of the solution requires, in general, a special treatment of this layer (see Lionello et al. 2009, Johnston & Bradshaw 2013, Zhou et al. 2021, for modifications in the conduction coefficient to avoid such difficulties). Nevertheless, the adaptive methods that are implicitly used in our numerical treatment do not fail to resolve the steep gradients in the solution. It is important to remark that the condition $E(s = 0) < \rho_0^2 \Lambda(T_0)$ still needs to be satisfied to have a thread-like solution.

The approach used here to incorporate a chromospheric layer in the model is to assume that at some point the temperature remains constant and takes typical chromospheric values (see for example Mikić et al. 2013, Karpen et al. 2001). In this situation no energy equation is required, gas pressure must be continuous at this point and the presence of gravity leads to an exponentially growing density and pressure as we move downwards in the chromospheric part. The integration of the equations is performed from the thread centre to the chromosphere. An example of such computed equilibrium is shown in Fig. [9] for the case $h_\text{ch} = 35$. In this equilibrium a temperature threshold of $10^4$ K has been imposed in the isothermal chromospheric layer which starts at $s = 95$ Mm and its thickness is therefore 5 Mm (larger than the real thickness which is typically around 2 Mm). The exponential increase in density (straight line in the logarithmic scale of the plot) is clear in the top panel of the figure and leads to mean chromospheric density values.

Using Hildner’s function and for comparison purposes we have included in Fig. [9] two solutions with reduced localised heating to visualise the nature of the solutions. For the situations with $h_\text{ch} = 15$ and $h_\text{ch} = 0$ we find how temperature decreases near the footpoint but is still above the temperature threshold ($10^4$ K) to have a chromosphere. An interesting conclusion from
Hydrostatic and thermal equilibrium along the field line with gravity for Hildner’s function. The background heating is \( E_0 = 5 \times 10^{-7} \) W m\(^{-3}\) and \( h_{th} = 35, 15, 0 \) for the continuous, dashed, and dotted lines. In this particular example \( T_0 = 10^5 \) K, \( \rho_0 = 10^{-11} \) kg m\(^{-3}\) and the temperature threshold for the imposed chromosphere is \( 10^7 \) K. Model A has been used in this example.

Fig. 9 is that the presence of footpoint heating does not affect the thread properties and the solution is essentially the same around \( s = 0 \) for the three cases. This is also true when Athay’s radiative function is considered. It is worth mentioning that multiple condensations, discussed in Sect. 3.2, are not present in Fig. 9 because the heating constant is always lower than the one used in Fig. 4.

The model developed in this section including a dense and cold chromosphere near the footpoint will be useful for understanding the effect of this layer on the attenuation of the waves (Paper II) produced by the possible mechanism of wave reflection at the chromosphere and wave leakage if the boundary is open.

4. Partially ionised plasma

Let us assume that the plasma is not fully ionised. Based on 1D non-LTE radiative transfer models, Heinzel et al. (2014) calculated among other parameters, the ionisation degree in several prominence slabs. In particular, Heinzel et al. (2015) provide tables for the ionisation degree for different temperatures and pressures at the prominence. The idea here is to use these values in our calculations of the equilibrium and study how our models are modified by the presence of neutrals. We are again in the situation where the description using an optically thin plasma is not fully correct but it can be considered as a starting point.

The plasma is assumed to be composed of hydrogen and helium. The abundance of helium is 10% and is not ionised. The ionisation degree is defined here as \( i = n_e/n_{HI} \) where \( n_e \) is the electron density and \( n_{HI} \) the total hydrogen density \( (n_{HI} + n_p) \). The total particle number is defined as \( N = n_{HI} + n_{He} + n_e \) and using the previous definitions and the helium abundance \( (n_{He} = 0.1 \ n_{HI}) \) it is written as

\[
N = n_e \left( 1 + \frac{1.1}{i} \right).
\]

Using the ideal gas law we find that the electron density in terms of pressure, temperature and ionisation degree is (now we write the explicit dependence of the variables)

\[
n_e(s) = \frac{p(s)}{k_B T(s)} \left( \frac{i(p(s), T(s))}{i(p(s), T(s)) + 1.1} \right).
\]

The ionisation degree, \( i \), depends on \( p \) and \( T \) and it is calculated from Table 1 of Heinzel et al. (2015). To simplify things hereafter we write \( i(p(s), T(s)) \) as \( i(s) \).

For the total density we have that \( \rho = n_{HI} m_p + n_{He} - 4 m_p + n_e m_e \) (being \( n_p \) and \( n_e \) the proton and electron masses). It reduces to the following expression when the electron mass is neglected in front of the proton mass,

\[
\rho(s) = \frac{m_p p(s)}{k_B T(s)} \frac{1.4}{i(s) + 1.1}.
\]

Now the term in the brackets plays the role of \( \tilde{\mu} \) in Eq. 2. In the partially ionised situation it is more convenient to solve the equilibrium equations for gas pressure and temperature because the ionisation degree calculated in Heinzel et al. (2015) depends on these two magnitudes.

The equation for hydrostatic equilibrium, Eq. (1), is written in terms of pressure, temperature and ionisation degree is (now we write the explicit dependence of the variables)

\[
n_e(s) n_{HI}(s) = \frac{n_e^2(s)}{i(s)}.
\]

In the conductivities the contribution of neutrals has to be added to the electron contribution. We have that according to Soler et al. (2010, 2012).

\[
\kappa_e(s) = \kappa_0 \xi_p(s) T^{5/2}(s),
\]

\[
\kappa_s(s) = \left( \kappa_1 \xi_{He}(s) + \kappa_2 \xi_{He}(s) \right) T^{1/2}(s),
\]

where the relative density of species are in our case, \( \xi_p(s) = i(s)/1.4 \), \( \xi_{He}(s) = (1 - i(s))/1.4 \) and \( \xi_{He}(s) = 0.4/1.4 \). For the conductivities we have that \( \kappa_0 = 1.1 \times 10^{-11} \) W m\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-7/2}\), \( \kappa_1 = 2.24 \times 10^{-2} \) W m\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-3/2}\), and \( \kappa_2 = 3.18 \times 10^{-2} \) W m\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-3/2}\). The effective conduction coefficient is now the sum of electron and neutral conductivities

\[
\kappa_0(s) = \kappa_e(s) + \kappa_s(s).
\]

The conduction term involves spatial derivatives of this coefficient. The coefficient \( \kappa_1 \) depends on temperature and ionisation degree, and this last parameter also depends on temperature and pressure. Regarding the ionisation, from the practical point of
In this particular example, temperature (\( t \) low),  ionisation (continuous lines) and full ionisation (dashed lines). In Fig. 10, we have imposed that \( E = 0 \) is the same as in Athay (1986). Model \( A \) has been used in this plot.

We have repeated some of the previous calculations including the effect of partial ionisation through the change in the radiation and conduction terms and the modification of the ideal gas law. Since we have shown that the inclusion of a chromosphere has little effect on the conditions within the threads we do not include this pseudo-layer in the present calculations.

The main result is that partial ionisation significantly increases the size of the thread. An example is shown in Fig. 10 where the length of the thread is four times longer than in the fully ionised case. We have to bear in mind that now the initial values of the thread parameters at \( s = 0 \), required to perform the integration of the differential equations, are different to those in the fully ionised case because of the change introduced in the gas law by partial ionisation (see Eq. (17)). In the present example temperature and gas pressure are the same at the thread centre but the reference density is different. In Fig. 10 (bottom panel) we can see how the ionisation degree changes inside the thread because of the implemented model of Heinzel et al. (2015). The ionisation degree raises smoothly from 0.72 at the thread centre to 1 at the edge of the thread where high (coronal) temperatures are achieved.

The increment in the thread length produced by partial ionisation is a consequence of the changes in thermal conduction, which is larger owing to the contribution of neutrals on the conductivities. For a better understanding of this feature we proceed as in the fully ionised situation when gravity is absent. Using the same approximation as in Eq. (9) we find that under the presence of partial ionisation the characteristic spatial scale of the thread is

\[
\lambda_{\text{th}} = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_0 T_0}{\rho_0 \left( \frac{3}{2} \Lambda(T_0) - E_0 \right)}},
\]

where \( \lambda_{\text{th}} = \lambda(p_0, T_0) \) is the ionisation degree at the centre of the thread. The value of \( \lambda_{\text{th}} \) is typically around 0.72 but the reference density, \( \rho_0 \), also depends on \( \lambda_{\text{th}} \) (see Eq. (17)). Indeed, it is not difficult to calculate the ratio of the radiation term for partial ionisation relative to full ionisation for constant reference pressure, \( p_0 \), and temperature, \( T_0 \). For partial ionisation the reference density is

\[
\rho_{0p} = \frac{m_p p_0}{k_B T_0} \left( 1 + \frac{1.4}{\lambda_{\text{th}} + 1.1} \right),
\]

while for full ionisation

\[
\rho_{0f} = \frac{m_p p_0}{k_B T_0} \left( 1 + \frac{1.4}{\lambda_{\text{th}} + 1.1} \right).
\]

The ratio of the two corresponding radiation terms is

\[
\frac{\rho_{0p}^2 \Lambda(T_0)}{\rho_{0f}^2 \Lambda(T_0)} = \frac{4 \lambda_{\text{th}}}{(\lambda_{\text{th}} + 1.1)^2}.
\]

This ratio is always smaller than one, meaning that radiative losses under partial ionisation are reduced in comparison with the fully ionised case. Since this term appears in the denominator of Eq. (22) it means that it produces an increase in the thread length.

For thermal conduction we need to evaluate the three terms that appear in the numerator of Eq. (22). The sum of the three terms is typically around 4.5 times the value for the fully ionised situation. This increment leads to longer threads under partial
ionisation. In these solution we have imposed that He is artificially forced to go to zero since at coronal temper- 
fully ionised. In the coronal medium the contribution of neutral 
to zero as we approach the edge of the thread where plasma is 
though its abundance is just 10%. Conduction by neutral H goe s 
electrons. The contribution of neutral helium is the larges t, al- 
Mm for visualisation purposes). Conduction by neutral He (dotted line) dominates inside the thread and 
becomes zero in the corona where there is conduction by electrons only (continuous line). Same parameters as in Fig. 10 have been used.

Finally, Fig. 12 shows how the thread length changes with 
the central temperature under partial ionisation. The behaviour is 
the same as in Fig. 7 for the fully ionised case, and for comparison purposes we have also presented the results for full ionisation for the same reference pressure at \( s = 0 \). Interestingly, as the central temperature increases the two curves approach each other since the larger the temperature the larger the ionisation degree in the prominence. We find again longer threads under the presence of partial ionisation, being the difference relative to full ionisation a factor that varies from 5.3 to 2.6 in the range of temperatures of the plot. The analytical approximation given by Eq. (22) is also plotted in the figure and the agreement with the numerical result is remarkable.

\[ E = 0. \]

5. Summary and conclusions

In the present work we have analysed the features of one-di mensional equilibrium thread models under hydrostatic and 
thermal balance. We have started with the situation without gravity and have progressively increased the complexity of the model. This has allowed us a better comprehension of the results. Gravitational stratification, the presence of a chromosphere and finally partial ionisation effects have been incorporated to our model. The main outcome of our study is summarised in the following:

1. The value of the background heating in comparison with the 
radiative losses at the centre of the thread is crucial to lead 
to thread-like solutions surrounded by coronal plasma. Only 
if \( E_0 < \rho c_0 \Lambda(T_0) \) static, cold and dense plasma threads under thermal equilibrium exist. In the opposite situation when the background heating is higher than the radiative losses at the centre of the structure only loop-like solutions are achieved.

2. Several thread-like condensations are in principle possible 
along the field lines and not necessarily located at the dips of the magnetic field. We have found that the physical origin of the secondary condensations is related to the critical length introduced by Field (1965) and related to the characteristic spatial scale for thermal stability.

3. The presence of gravity in the model can produce that 
the secondary condensations collapse and no equilibrium con- 
figuration on a given length of the magnetic field line is achieved. Again the value of the background heating plays a major role in the behaviour of secondary thread-like sol- 
lutions, located in general near the footpoints. The gravita- 
tional stability of the obtained solutions needs to be investi- 
gated and it will be addressed in Paper II.

4. A parametric survey has been carried out to understand the 
dependence of the thread length, on the different values of the parameters. The geometry of the field lines is not es- pecially important but the radiative losses for low tempera- 
tures are crucial to obtain realistic thread lengths. Athay’s radiative function, with reduced losses under typical thread conditions (see Fig. 2), is the most suitable choice from the ensemble of radiative losses analysed in the present work. In any case since the plasma is optically thick under typical prominence/thread conditions the incorporation of more re- alistic physics requires to properly solve the radiative transport problem.

5. We have derived a simple analytical expression for the char- ateristic spatial scale of the thread, \( l_\text{th} \), under static equilib- rium that explains the dependence of the computed thread 
lengths, \( a \), with the different parameters of the model, in- cluding partial ionisation. This could be used in a novel way,
for example, to infer the ratio between radiation and heating if the length of the thread and the central temperature and density are known from observations.

6. Significantly long threads are obtained when partial ionisation is present. This is a consequence of the reduced radiation and increased conduction produced by neutral helium in comparison to the fully ionised case. It is assumed that helium is totally neutral in the thread, but this is not completely true under real conditions (see Soler et al. 2010) and in reality this may decrease the length of the threads. This needs to be addressed in the future.

7. The connection of the thread-corona system with a chromosphere is obtained when heating around a certain threshold is localised near the footpoints, in agreement with Dahlburg et al. (1998). The chromospheric model used here (a stratified and isothermal layer under full ionisation) is a first approximation and more physics needs to be included in future studies. However, the presence of a chromosphere is interesting for the applications regarding the damped oscillations that will be discussed in Paper II.

8. Related to the previous point, we have shown that localised footpoint heating does not significantly alter the temperature and density of the cold plasma representing the thread relative to the case without footpoint heating, at least for the parameters considered in this work. In our model the localised heating essentially leads to the existence of a chromospheric layer only.

The numerical solutions presented in this work will be used to compute the corresponding eigenmodes to compare the damping rates of our calculations and the reported in the observations (Paper II). First, this will allow us to better understand the damping mechanism of the oscillations and second this information will be used as a method to constrain or to infer some modifications to the models (see Anzer & Heinzel 2008) and most likely about the dependence of the radiative losses on temperature. The comparison of theory and observations will be used for seismological purposes.
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