Hepatic epithelioid hemangioendothelioma: Update on diagnosis and therapy

Kai Kou, Yu-Guo Chen, Jian-Peng Zhou, Xiao-Dong Sun, Da-Wei Sun, Shu-Xuan Li, Guo-Yue Lv

Abstract

With an estimated incidence of only 1-2 cases in every 1 million people, hepatic epithelioid hemangioendothelioma (HEHE) is a rare vascular endothelial cell tumor occurring in the liver and consisting of epithelioid and histiocyte-like vascular endothelial cells in mucus or a fibrotic matrix. HEHE is characterized as a low-to-moderate grade malignant tumor and is classified into three types: solitary, multiple, and diffuse. Both the etiology and characteristic clinical manifestations of HEHE are unclear. However, HEHE has a characteristic appearance on imaging including ultrasound, magnetic resonance imaging, and positron emission tomography/computerized tomography. Still, its diagnosis depends mainly on pathological findings, with immunohistochemical detection of endothelial markers cluster of differentiation 31 (CD31), CD34, CD10, vimentin, and factor VIII antigen as the basis of diagnosis. Hepatectomy and/or liver transplantation are the first choice for treatment, but various chemotherapeutic drugs are reportedly effective, providing a promising treatment option. In this review, we summarize the literature related to the diagnosis and treatment of HEHE, which provides future perspectives for the clinical management of HEHE.
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Core Tip: In this work, we review the updated diagnosis and therapy of the hepatic epithelioid hemangioendothelioma, which is an extremely rare tumor of vascular origin with an incidence of < 0.1 per 100000 population. It is hard to differentiate from other liver lesions and there is no standard strategy for treating it based on its rarity. Our work helps to better understand and treat this rare disease.
INTRODUCTION

Epithelioid angioendothelioma (EHE) is a rare vascular endothelial cell tumor composed of epithelioid and histiocyte-like vascular endothelial cells in mucus or fibrotic matrix. The World Health Organization now classifies EHE as having complete malignant potential[5]. It can occur in multiple parts of the body such as the lungs, soft tissue, head and neck, pleura, bones and many other organs. EHE in the liver, or hepatic EHE (HEHE) is an even rarer disease, with a reported incidence of 1-2 of every 1 million people[9]. HEHE most commonly occurs between the ages of 30 and 50 years, with a predominance in females based on a male:female incidence ratio of 2.3[10]. It can be characterized as single, multiple, or diffuse, and the multifocal form is most common. It is considered a low-to-medium grade malignant tumor[9], and the degree of malignancy is between that of hemangioma and that of hemangiosarcoma of the liver[11]. HEHE contains dendritic-like cells and epithelioid tumor cells, which infiltrate the sinuses of the liver[8]. A diffuse appearance is observed in the late stage of focal complication, which is related to the infiltration of hepatic vascular and the portal vein, and is often associated with distant metastasis. The lung, peritoneum, spleen, lymph nodes, and bone are the most common sites of extrahepatic involvement[7]. The characteristic clinical manifestations remain unclear[9]. Prior research has indicated that about 80% of patients are initially misdiagnosed due to variable and atypical clinical manifestations[9], and the diagnosis of HEHE is typically only made upon pathological examination. In addition, the imaging features of HEHE are nonspecific, and differentiation from multifocal metastasis (such as those originating from breast or colon cancer), multifocal liver cancer, peripheral cholangiocarcinoma, abscess and cavernous hemangioma, and other conditions must be achieved. The main imaging features of HEHE on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or computed tomography (CT) are the peripheral location of the nodules, the contraction of the capsule, and the tendency of multiple foci to merge[9,10,11], although one study concluded that capsule retraction is observed only for nodules with a diameter greater than 2.0 cm[9].

The etiology of HEHE also remains unclear. Several possible pathogenic factors and risk factors have been identified such as exposure to chloroethylene, polyurethane, or silica; oral contraceptive use; primary biliary cirrhosis; viral hepatitis; exposure to asbestos; and alcohol use[9,10]. Moreover, a special translocation t (1/3) (P36/25) is reportedly specific to HEHE, but how the fusion transcripts lead to tumorigenesis has not been elucidated[9].

CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF HEHE CASES

Most patients with HEHE have no history of hepatitis infection, and no typical clinical manifestations of the disease have been observed. According to one study, the most common symptoms included right upper abdominal pain (48.6%), hepatomegaly (20.4%) and weight loss (15.6%), with a few cases also experiencing brucellosis or Kasabakh-Merritt syndrome[3,12]. Liver function as well as levels of serum alpha fetoprotein, carcinoembryonic antigen, and carcinomaantigen 199 are generally within the normal ranges in HEHE patients, and thus, these indicators have no significant value in the diagnosis of HEHE[13]. In another study, 56.6% of HEHE patients had extrahepatic involvement, with organs such as the lung (8.5%), local lymph nodes (7.7%), peritoneum (6.1%), bone (4.9%), spleen (3.2%) and diaphragm (1.6%) as the most commonly involved sites[10]. It was reported that 25% of patients had no obvious symptoms[3]. Initial symptoms have included pain in the right upper abdomen, ascites, weight loss, anorexia and jaundice in the late stage[12] as well as portal hypertension[14]. Some cases even presented with liver failure caused by a very large tumor[8,9], spontaneous rupture of the tumor[12] or corresponding symptoms caused by metastatic lesions, such as pleural effusion[10]. The tumor may appear as a single or multifocal tumor, and cases with a single lesion accounted for only 13%-18% of HEHE cases in
AUXILIARY EXAMINATION

Imaging

HEHE lesions typically appear as hypoechoic on ultrasound. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) can provide enhanced detection ability for multi-focal HEHE, which shows a typical enhancement pattern of high enhancement in the arterial phase and low enhancement in the portal phase and delayed phase\textsuperscript{[13,19,23]}. The malignant nature of HEHE can be judged by CEUS by analyzing the low enhancement in portal phase and delayed phase. CEUS has been used to view multiple subcystic hypoechoic focal liver lesions with regular shape\textsuperscript{[20]}. The typical HEHE sign is concentric enhancement of contrast medium in the vascularized components and almost no enhancement in the corresponding center consisting of fibrotic tissue. Some tumors may also show fine calcification\textsuperscript{[21]}. On MRI, T1-weighted imaging will show a low signal at the tumor and T2-weighted imaging will show a high signal\textsuperscript{[22]}. Paolantonio et al\textsuperscript{[23]} reported some specific diagnostic performance of MRI for HEHE, particularly for T2-weighted imaging and dynamic studies. Specific imaging features of HEHE included a target sign on T2 imaging, represented by a white target-like sign, consisting of a high signal intensity core, a low signal intensity thin ring, and a weak high signal intensity halo, corresponding to the dense fibrous myxoid stroma with necrotic areas, proliferating tumor cells and the peripheral avascular zone resulting from vascular infiltration or occlusion of hepatic sinusoids and small vessels\textsuperscript{[23]}. The white target-like sign appears as low signal intensity on contrasted enhanced T1-weighted imaging, whereas on contrast-enhanced imaging, the target sign appears as a black target-like sign (Figure 1A), showing gradual peripheral ring-like enhancement patterns with central low signal intensity in the arterial to delay phases, with the enhanced lesion frequently surrounded by a thin, hypointense ring on the portal or delay phases\textsuperscript{[24]}. A lollipop sign (Figure 1B) is formed by extension or termination of the hepatic vein or portal vein and its branches to the edge of the nodule\textsuperscript{[25]}. The lollipop sign is formed by the enhanced imaging of the clear tumor mass (the candy in the lollipop) and the adjacent blocking vein (bar), because of the tendency of HEHE to spread within the branches of the portal vein and the hepatic vein\textsuperscript{[25]}. Although the vein should terminate smoothly at the edge of the lesion or inside of the lesion, a vessel across the entire lesion or a displaced vessel may not be included in the sign\textsuperscript{[26]}. CT or MRI typically show gradual, ring-like enhancement enhanced, but it was reported that in cases with multiple lesions less than 2.0 cm in diameter, mild, homogeneous enhancement of the arterial phase is observed\textsuperscript{[27]}.

The importance of \textsuperscript{18}F-labeled fluoro-2-deoxyglucose (\textsuperscript{18}F-FDG) positron emission tomography (PET)/CT \textsuperscript{18}F-FDG PET/CT in the detection and recurrence of EHE has been widely reported\textsuperscript{[28]}. \textsuperscript{18}F-FDG PET/CT offers a significant advantage for detecting potential metastasis, especially diffuse metastasis, in patients with HEHE. Most HEHE tumors show a slight increase in the uptake of FDG, which was different from cholangiocarcinoma, which presents with high uptake\textsuperscript{[29]}. Kitapci et al\textsuperscript{[30]} suggested that double-time \textsuperscript{18}F-FDG PET/CT may be of great significance for the detection of EHE and the judgement of the degree of the disease\textsuperscript{[25]}, because some of the lesions not found in early scanning could be detected by delayed scanning. Dong et al\textsuperscript{[31]} reported that \textsuperscript{18}F-FDG PET/CT findings correlated with the histopathological features of the tumor and hypothesized that the extent of glucose uptake in the EHE tissue might be related to the size of the cells rather than the size of the tumor\textsuperscript{[18]}. Therefore, in tumors with a high cell density, the uptake of FDG is increased due to the increase in glucose metabolism, whereas in tumors with a low cell density and relatively more stroma, the uptake of FDG will be lower. Suga et al\textsuperscript{[32]} reported the application of \textsuperscript{18}F-FDG PET/CT for monitoring the response of HEHE to radiation\textsuperscript{[25]}. After 7 mo of radiotherapy, FDG uptake disappeared in hepatic nodules, while FDG uptake in other untreated nodules continued to be strong. Another study reported a role for \textsuperscript{18}F-FDG PET/CT in the evaluation of tumors after use of the anti-angiogenic drug Pazopanib\textsuperscript{[33]}. Finally, one imaging study of 47 nodules in 12 patients revealed that MRI using gadolinium ethoxybenzyl diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid (Gd-EOB-DTPA) as the contrast agent is a viable approach to analyzing the core pattern of the hepatic choleodochal phase (HBPP) as a feature of HEHE\textsuperscript{[34]}.
Gross pathology
On pathological examination, HEHE tumor nodules are white, brown, yellow or yellowish brown, with unclear boundaries. The reported tumor nodules have varied in size, with the largest measuring 20.7 cm. On palpation, nodules may feel hard or rubbery, if calcification is present, and the surface may have a gravely texture.

Histology and cytology
Histologically, HEHE appears as nests and cords of epithelioid endothelial cells spread throughout a myxohyaline stroma. Another classic histological feature of these tumors is the presence of intracytoplasmic lumina. HEHE is characterized by three types of cells: Epithelial-like cells (rich in eosinophilic cytoplasm and atypical nuclei), dendritic cells (astroplasmic processes) and intermediate cells (characteristics between epithelial-like cells and dendritic cells). These cells are typically embedded in mucus hyaluronate or hardened matrix. In addition, epithelioid cells and dendritic cells may contain cytoplasmic vacuoles, showing a signet ring-like or vesicular appearance. The tumor cells express the endothelial markers CD31, CD34, CD12, vimentin and factor VIII antigen. Consistently, additional studies found that factor VIII staining was positive in 99% of cases, CD34 staining was positive in 94%, and CD31 staining was positive in 86%, whereas very little staining of quercetin and abundant staining of collagen IV and laminin were observed. Finally, cell heteromorphism, nuclear fission, the presence of fusiform cells, changes in necrotic tumors and a Ki-67 index > 10%-15% were shown to be the features of more aggressive HEHE.

MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION OF HEHE
Nuclear CAMTA1 expression was observed in 85% of HEHE tumors. Because of the interaction of the t (1;3) (p36; q25) translocation, recurrent WWTR1–CAMTA1 gene fusions were observed in about 90% of EHE cases. Errani et al found CAMTA1 and WWTR1 translocation in 17 EHE patients by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). CAMTA1 is a calmodulin-binding transcriptional activator, and WWTR1 is a transcription coactivator. A variant of EHE presents with a rearrangement deletion of WWTR1–CAMTA1 and an alternative gene fusion YAP1–TFE3, and is characterized by well-formed vasoformative tumors, with abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm, atypical cytogenetics, pseudo-alveoli and partly solid-state growth patterns. These tumors have been mainly found in the soft tissue, bones and lungs in young patients, and only one case of TFE3-recombined EHE has been reported in the liver. It was suggested that detection of the TFE3 rearrangement with TFE3 immunostaining may be a valuable tool for the differential diagnosis of EHE. The vascular marker ERG is also expressed in HEHE, but it is also expressed in other lesion types that need to be differentiated from HEHE, such as angiosarcoma and sclerosing hemangioma. Keratin expression detected using a monoclonal antibody cocktail AE1/AE3 has been reported in 14%-31% of HEHE cases, but this marker is not very useful for
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Figure 2 Hematoxylin and eosin staining. A: Hematoxylin and eosin staining showing epithelioid and dendritic cells forming primitive vascular structures with a myxoid matrix in epithelioid angioendothelioma (EHE); B: Positive membranous staining for cluster of differentiation (CD31) in epithelioid angioendothelioma, highlighting primitive vascular structures; C: Positive membrane staining for CD34 in EHE, highlighting primitive vascular structures.

diagnosis because it can be found both in EHE and rarely in intrasinusoidal cells.

DIAGNOSIS

Specific imaging features can be used as a reference for HEHE diagnosis, but histopathological examination still plays a decisive role in its diagnosis[46]. Fine needle aspiration and small biopsy followed by immunohistochemical staining of the collected sample is the most effective method for the diagnosis of HEHE[47]. However, a false negative rate of 10% was reported for puncture biopsy[48]. It was reported that biopsy pathology was focal nodular hyperplasia, and subsequent biopsy showed HEHE[49]. Pathological diagnosis of HEHE is based on the microscopic observation of a large number of proliferating dendritic or epithelial-like cells with eosinophilic cytoplasm, immunohistochemical detection of CD31, CD34, or VIII-related antigen and occasionally mucus or fibrous stroma with endoplasmic vacuolization. Recent research has also attempted to identify an miRNA expression spectrum with the potential to become a new biological diagnostic tool[50].

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS

HEHE should be distinguished from hepatic hemangioma, hepatocellular carcinoma, cholangiocarcinoma and metastatic tumors by imaging or immunohistochemistry analysis (Table 1)[39,43,44]. Nuclear staining of CAMTA1 is helpful for differentiation of HEHE from hemangiosarcoma and angiosarcoma[39]. HRAS, KRAS, NRAS and PTPRB mutations can be seen in hepatic hemangiosarcoma. While the t (1; 3) (p36; q25) translocation leads to the EHE-specific fusion oncogene WWTR1–CAMTA1, only a small percentage of patients (6%) have been found to carry the YAP1–TFE3 fusion oncogene[43,44].

THERAPY

Because HEHE is rare, the ability to perform randomized controlled trials with multiple treatment strategies is limited, resulting the use of inconsistent treatments and even multiple operations[51]. At present, anti-angiogenic drugs, radiotherapy/chemotherapy, hepatectomy, liver transplantation (LT) as well as the observation and waiting strategy are applied in the treatment of HEHE[52]. In a study of patients treated from 1984 to 2005, LT accounted for the largest proportion of all treatments (44.8%), while non-treatment, chemotherapy or radiotherapy and partial hepatectomy were applied in 24.8%, 21% and 9.4% of cases, respectively[53]. Transcatheter arterial chemoembolization also has been used to treat HEHE within the liver[54]. Surgical resection is considered to be the best treatment, especially for single small HEHE. LT is the ultimate treatment for multifocal, diffuse, non-resectable, recurrent tumors[20,24]. It has also been reported that for cases with a large HEHE tumor, the volume of the rest of the liver can be increased via embolization of the portal vein to ensure that the remaining liver volume after resection is sufficient[29]. The presence
Table 1 Clearly shows the differential diagnosis between hepatic epithelioid hemangioendothelioma and other diseases

|                  | Typical imaging features                                      | Immunohistochemical staining markers            | Molecular biology                              |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| HEHE             | Target sign, lollipop sign, capsular retraction               | CD31, CD34, CD10, vimentin, Factor VIII antigen | Fusion gene: WWTR1-CAMTA1, YAP1-TFE3          |
| Angiosarcoma     | Heterogeneous centripetal enhancement                         | CD31, CD34, Factor VIII antigen                | HRAS, KRAS, NRAS and PTPR8 mutation           |
| HH               | Posterior shadowing and centripetal filling                   | -                                              | -                                             |
| Cholangiocarcinoma | Cholangiectasis, capsular retraction                           | Pan-cytokeratin                                 | -                                             |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma | Hyperechoic enhancement in the arterial phase and  | HepPar-1; Pan-cytokeratin                      | -                                             |
| Metastatic carcinoma | hypoechoic enhancement in the portal and delayed phases     |                                                |                                                |

HEHE: Hepatic epithelioid hemangioendothelioma; HH: Hepatic hemangioma.

of metastasis is not a contraindication for LT\[^{54}\]. In the study by Mehrabi et al\[^{54}\], only 10% of cases had just a single lesion, and the 5-year survival rate for these cases was 75% after an initial treatment of hepatectomy\[^{3}\]. In contrast, 81% of their patients had multiple lesions, and for these cases, LT has most often been the first choice of treatment. Rodriguez et al\[^{54}\] reported a total of 110 HEHE patients who underwent LT between 1987 and 2005, and the 5-year survival rate for these patients was 64%, with 11% of them dying of recurrence within 5 years\[^{54}\]. It is believed that successful hepatectomy or LT promotes long-term survival even in the presence of distal metastasis\[^{54}\]. According to Fukuhara et al\[^{54}\], it may be better to perform adjuvant therapy after LT in some aggressive cases and he claims that mTOR inhibitors are effective in preventing the recurrence and improving the survival rate after LT for hepatocellular carcinoma especially when the risk of recurrence was high. Ablation therapy also has a good effect on single and small HEHE, especially for marginal patients with malignant tumors\[^{55}\].

Based on the rarity of HEHE, there is no standard drug strategy for treating it. Various chemotherapeutic drugs have been shown to be effective for HEHE, providing a promising treatment method. Given the vascular origin of HEHE and the detection of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptors in EHE tumor cells, VEGF is believed to play a role in the growth of EHE. VEGF inhibitors, such as sorafenib\[^{56,57}\], pazopanib\[^{56}\], bevacizumab, and others, are known to play a role in the treatment of EHE. It was reported that the combination of the anti-VEGF drug bevacizumab and cell cycle inhibitor capecitabine achieved a good curative effect\[^{58}\]. Other drugs such as mTOR inhibitors\[^{59}\], thalidomide\[^{56,59}\], pegylated liposomal doxorubicin\[^{60}\], metronomic cyclophosphamide\[^{61}\] and others have been introduced for the treatment of EHE and have acquired good results. For patients treated with pazopanib, Bally et al\[^{62}\] proposed that the intratumoral changes observed on follow-up CT, such as a change in tumor density without obvious tumor contraction or calcification, can be regarded a strong indication of tumor response\[^{62}\]. For patients with extrahepatic lesions, it has been reported that adjuvant chemotherapy may be an effective alternative therapy to prevent recurrence\[^{63}\].

**PROGNOSIS**

Compared with other malignant liver tumors, HEHE has a good prognosis. One study reported that 50% of the patients survived more than 5 years without any treatment, and the presence of metastasis did not prolong or shorten the survival rate\[^{64}\]. Still, in other studies, successful hepatectomy or LT was shown to promote long-term survival\[^{54,65}\], with 1- and 3-year disease-free survival rates of 83.3% and 44.4% after hepatectomy, respectively, as well as 1- and 5-year survival rates of 100% and 75%, respectively. The corresponding 1- and 5-year survival rates after LT were 96% and 54.5%, respectively\[^{54}\], which were lower than those after hepatectomy due to the presence of multiple tumors or infiltrated foci in these cases. According to the European Registry for LT, among 59 HEHE patients who received LT, the 1-, 5- and
10-year survival rates were 93%, 83% and 72%, respectively\(^{(3)}\).

The HEHE-LT score was introduced for assessing the risk of HEHE recurrence after LT\(^{(1)}\), and the 5-year disease-free survival rate was much higher for patients with a low score (2 or less) than for those with a high score (6 or more) \(93.9\% \text{ vs } 38.5\%\), \(P < 0.001\). The results corroborate the value of the score for evaluating the risk of recurrence after transplantation.

Tumors more than 10 cm in diameter and older age are also considered to be risk factors for a poor prognosis\(^{(6)}\). Moreover, macrovascular infiltration, a time to LT of at least 120 d and hilar lymph node infiltration are important risk factors for recurrence\(^{(3)}\). Okano et al\(^{(1)}\) reported that the average diffusion coefficient map may be helpful in assessing the malignant potential of a tumor\(^{(6)}\). Deyrup et al\(^{(9)}\) provided a risk stratification strategy based on the clinicopathological features of 49 patients with soft tissue EHE. They observed that high mitotic activity (\(> 3/50\) high power fields) and a tumor size \(> 3\) cm were associated with a worse prognosis\(^{(33)}\), regardless of the anatomical site, cytological dysplasia or necrosis.

Finally, 18F-FDG PET/CT imaging can be applied to evaluate the degree of disease after anti-angiogenic drug therapy\(^{(1)}\), given that most patients with a high cell tumor also have a poor prognosis. Dong et al\(^{(33)}\) reported that the level of FDG uptake provides valuable information about the tumor cells, which may aid the predication of its clinical behavior\(^{(2)}\).

**CONCLUSION**

HEHE is a low-to-moderate grade rare malignant tumor. Because its etiology and clinical manifestations remain unclear, it is necessary to differentiate it from other types of liver tumors based on immunohistochemical staining for the markers CD31, CD34, CD10, vimentin, and factor VIII antigen. In addition, the most common fusion oncogene is WWTR1–CAMTA1, with a few cases also showing YAP1–TFE3 expression. The treatment strategies that have been applied for HEHE are diverse, but surgical treatment remains the first choice, specifically LT or hepatectomy with lymph nodes dissection. For patients who are not fit for surgical treatment due to a bad physical condition, recurrence or metastasis, treatment with a VEGF inhibitor, such as sorafenib, pazopanib, or bevacizumab, or other chemotherapeutic drugs, including thalidomide, pegylated liposomal doxorubicin, metronomic cyclophosphamide and others, can be used as adjuvant chemotherapy or neoadjuvant chemotherapy. The HEHE-LT score should be used to assess the risk of recurrence after LT, and close follow-up is necessary.
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