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Studies on candidate pandemic vaccines against avian influenza viruses have focused on H5N1, but viruses of other subtypes, such as A/H9N2, are also considered to have pandemic potential. We investigated the safety and immunogenicity of two immunizations with one of five different antigen doses (ranging from 3.75 to 45 μg of hemagglutinin antigen) of a nonadjuvanted whole-virus G9 lineage H9N2 influenza virus vaccine in healthy adults aged 18 to 49 years. The antibody responses were measured by hemagglutination inhibition (HI), microneutralization (MN), and single radial hemolysis (SRH) assays. To investigate a hypothesis that previous exposure to H2N2 viruses in subjects born in or before 1968 might prime for more robust antibody responses to H9N2 vaccination than in subjects born after 1968, a post hoc age-stratified analysis of antibody responses was done. Both vaccinations in all dose groups were safe and well tolerated. No vaccine-related serious adverse events were reported, and the majority of the adverse reactions were rated as mild. The rates of injection site reactions were lower in the 3.75- and 7.5-μg-dose groups than those in the higher-dose groups; the rates of systemic reactions were similar across all dose groups. The seroprotection rates among the different dose groups 21 days after the second immunization ranged from 52.8% to 88.9% as measured by HI assay, from 88.7% to 98.1% or 82.7% to 96.2% as measured by MN assay (MN titer cutoffs, 1:40 and 1:80, respectively), and from 94.2% to 100% as measured by SRH assay. Higher antibody responses were not induced in subjects born in or before 1968. These data indicate that a nonadjuvanted whole-virus H9N2 vaccine is well tolerated and immunogenic in healthy adults. (This study has been registered at ClinicalTrials.gov under registration no. NCT01320696.)

A number of avian influenza virus subtypes have caused zoonotic infections in humans, including those of subtypes H5N1 (1), H9N2 (2), and, most recently, H7N9 (3) and H10N8 (4). Because the human population is largely immunologically naive to such viruses, there are concerns that a pandemic situation might occur if any of these viruses gains the capacity for efficient human-to-human transmission. The development of candidate pandemic vaccines to counter the threat of a pandemic resulting from avian influenza viruses is thus an important part of global pandemic preparedness programs (5, 6). To date, this effort has been concentrated largely on the development of candidate pandemic vaccines based on influenza viruses of the H5N1 subtype. A number of clinical studies have demonstrated whole-virus inactivated H5N1 vaccines to be immunogenic in adult, elderly, and pediatric populations without a requirement for adjuvantation (7–11). In the present study, we extend the clinical investigation of nonadjuvanted whole-virus avian influenza vaccines to include a vaccine against the H9N2 influenza virus subtype, which is enzootic in poultry across the Middle East and Asia and is considered to have pandemic potential (12, 13).

Three genetically and antigenically distinct H9N2 lineages, G1, G9, and Korean, have been reported (2), two of which (G1 and G9) have caused sporadic human infections. To date, human H9N2 infections have been associated with only mild disease (2, 14, 15), and there has been no documented transmission between humans. However, some seroepidemiological data suggest that there may be considerable underreporting of human H9N2 infections (16). Moreover, a substantial proportion of H9N2 viruses isolated in the Middle East and Asia contain an amino residue in the hemagglutinin (HA) receptor binding site (RBS) (13) that facilitates binding to α2,6-linked sialic acid receptors, such that the virus can replicate in human airway epithelial cells (17, 18). Several H9N2 isolates also contain amino residues in the HA RBS that facilitate transmission between mammals (13, 19). In addition, the cocirculation of H9N2 with other avian influenza viruses might result in the emergence of new virus strains with increased pathogenicities. Reassortment between H9N2, H5N1, and H7N3 viruses has been reported in the field (20–22), and increased pathogenicity and host range have been demonstrated experimentally for reassortants between H9N2 and H3N2 viruses (19, 23) and between H9N2 and the 2009 pandemic H1N1 virus (16, 24). Additionally, H9N2 viruses are reported to have contributed internal genes to H5N1, H7N9, and H10N8 viruses that have infected and killed humans (25, 26). The development of effective
vaccines against H9N2 viruses, in addition to other avian influenza viruses with pandemic potential, might thus be an important aspect of pandemic preparedness.

We recently reported the nonclinical development of a Vero-derived whole-virus vaccine based on an H9N2 virus of the G9 lineage (27). In the present study (registered at ClinicalTrials.gov under registration no. NCT01320696), we undertook a double-blind randomized dose-finding phase I/II clinical trial to evaluate the safety and immunogenicity of this whole-virus H9N2 vaccine in healthy adults. To investigate a hypothesis that previous exposure to H2N2 viruses in subjects born in or before 1968 might prime for more robust antibody responses to H9N2 vaccination than that in subjects born after 1968 (28, 29), a post hoc age-stratified analysis of antibody responses was also done.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Vaccine. The nonadjuvanted inactivated whole-virus Vero cell culture-derived H9N2 vaccine was manufactured using a reverse genetics (RG)-modified strain A/chicken/Hong Kong/G9/97 (H9N2) virus (NIBRG-91) obtained from the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control (NIBSC) (United Kingdom). This vaccine was produced using a procedure identical to that used for the manufacture of licensed H5N1 and 2009 pandemic H1N1 (H1N1pd09) whole-virus vaccines (30, 31). Briefly, the vaccine virus strain was grown in Vero cell culture and, after harvest, was double inactivated with formalin and UV irradiation and purified by continuous sucrose gradient centrifugation and ultra-/diafiltration steps prior to formulation. The HA content was determined by a single radial immunodiffusion assay.

Study design and procedures. A phase I/II randomized double-blind study to assess the safety and immunogenicity of two immunizations with a whole-virus H9N2 influenza virus vaccine was undertaken in healthy adults aged 18 to 49 years at five study centers in the United States between 16 March and 20 October 2011, in accordance with the principles set forth in Title 21 of the U.S. Code of Federal Regulations, the International Committee on Harmonisation Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice, the Declaration of Helsinki, and local and national regulatory requirements. The study protocol was approved by the relevant ethics committees, prior institutional review board (IRB) approval was obtained from each institution that participated in the study, and written informed consent was provided by each study participant.

Approximately 275 subjects were randomized 1:1:1:1:1 (55 per arm) to five dose groups to receive two immunizations, 21 days apart, with a vaccine formulated to contain 3.75, 7.5, 15, 30, or 45 μg of HA antigen in a volume of 0.5 ml. Randomization was done centrally using an interactive voice response system, carried out in blocks using the random number generator algorithm of Wichmann and Hill (32), as modified by McLeod (33). The site staff and subjects remained blinded until the end of the study. Vaccination was administered by intramuscular injection into the deltoid muscle of the upper arm. Blood samples for the assessment of immune responses were drawn immediately before and 21 ± 2 days after each vaccination, as well as 180 ± 2 days after the first immunization.

The primary immunogenicity endpoints were the numbers of subjects with a hemagglutination inhibition (HI) antibody response to the vaccine strain associated with seroprotection and seroconversion 3 weeks after the second vaccination. Secondary immunogenicity endpoints included HI, microneutralization (MN), and single radial hemolysis (SRH) responses 3 weeks after each immunization and 6 months after the first immunization.

The subjects were provided with a subject diary to collect data on oral body temperature, solicited systemic and injection site reactions, and any other adverse events (AEs). The diary entries were evaluated and the data graded for severity and relatedness by the investigator. The fever and injection site reaction severity ratings were adapted from the FDA Guidance for Industry: Toxicity Grading Scale for Healthy Adult and Adolescent Volunteers Enrolled in Preventive Vaccine Clinical Trials (34). The primary safety endpoints were the frequency and severity of injection site and systemic reactions within 7 days after the first and second vaccinations. The secondary safety endpoints included the frequency and severity of AEs observed during the entire study period.

Laboratory methods. The HI and MN assays were conducted by Focus Diagnostics, Inc. (Cypress, CA, USA), and the SRH assays were conducted by VisMederi srl (Siena, Italy). All assays were validated according to international standards (35, 36) to ensure that the performance characteristics of the methods met the requirements for use with the A/chicken/Hong Kong/G9/97 (H9N2) virus.

The HI assays were done according to standard methods using turkey erythrocytes. Briefly, serum samples were treated with a receptor-destroying enzyme, inactivated at 56°C, and serially diluted in 2-fold steps, starting at 1:10. Prior to the addition of the erythrocytes, the serum samples were preincubated at a ratio of 1:1 with H9N2 antigen (adjusted to an HA titer of about 3). Following the addition of the erythrocytes, the suspensions were carefully mixed and incubated at room temperature (RT) before determination of the HI titer. Serum samples with a negative signal in the first dilution were assigned a nominal HI titer of 1:5.

The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)-based MN assay is based on the assay originally developed for serological evaluation of H5N1 vaccines (37) and subsequently widely used for the evaluation of pandemic H1N1 vaccines (38–41). In brief, duplicates of heat-inactivated serum samples were serially diluted with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) in 2-fold steps, starting at a 1:5 dilution. Fifty microliters of each dilution was transferred to a microtiter plate, mixed 1:1 with H9N2 virus (adjusted to 100 × the 50% tissue culture infectious dose [TCID50]/50 μl), and incubated for 1 h at RT. After the addition of 100 μl/well MDCK cell suspension (5 × 105/ml), the plates were incubated for 18 to 22 h at 37°C, fixed with a methanol-acetone mixture, and incubated with a primary antibody (anti-influenza A nucleoprotein mouse monoclonal antibody) and then with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody. Following substrate (o-phenylenediamine [OPD]) addition and incubation, stop solution was added, and the absorbance (optical density [OD]) of the wells at 450/620 nm was read. The neutralization titer of a sample was determined as the highest serum dilution with an OD lower than or equal to the 50% neutralization point of the plate, calculated from the OD values of the virus control wells (medium plus test dilution of virus plus MDCK cells) and of the negative cell control wells (medium plus MDCK cells). The titer of each sample was defined as the geometric mean of the titers from the two individual determinations. Serum samples with a negative signal in the first dilution were assigned a nominal MN titer of 1:5. The SRH assay was done essentially as previously described (42). SRH areas of <4 mm2 were considered negative.

Statistical analyses. Assuming a dropout rate of around 9%, 50 subjects per dose group would be evaluable for immunogenicity. The 50 evaluable subjects ensured a width of the exact 95% confidence interval (CI) for the percentage of subjects who achieved seroprotection and seroconversion based on the Clopper-Pearson method of <29% in each dose group. With 275 vaccinated subjects, there would be a 93% chance to observe an AE with an underlying incidence rate of 1:100.

Point estimates of the response rates and exact 95% CIs were computed for the primary immunogenicity endpoints. In addition, a logistic regression model that included dose levels, baseline antibody titers, age, and gender as independent factors was fitted. Point estimates of the geometric means and two-sided 95% CIs were calculated for all continuous secondary immunogenicity endpoints for each vaccine dose within an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) framework. The model includes dose, age, and gender as fixed effects and baseline values as covariates. The antibody titers were log-transformed prior to the analysis. The least-squares means and two-sided 95% CIs were computed for each dose group, and the differences between the means were estimated and back transformed by exponentiation. This analysis was carried out separately for antibody responses measured by HI, MN, and SRH 3 weeks after the
first and second vaccination and 6 months after the first vaccination. The correlation between the seroprotective HI titer and SRH area cutoffs (1:40 and 25 mm², respectively) and different MN titer cutoff values was analyzed according to Cohen’s kappa coefficient (43). Point estimates and exact two-sided 95% CIs were calculated for all safety endpoints. All analyses were carried out utilizing the statistical software package SAS version 9.1.3 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA). A post hoc analysis of antibody responses, comprising descriptive statistics of the response rates and continuous immunogenicity endpoints in subjects born either in or before 1968 or after 1968, was carried out.

RESULTS

Study population. The trial profile is shown in Fig. 1. A total of 353 subjects were enrolled in the study and screened, of whom 275 were eligible, randomized to receive the first immunization, and included in the safety data set. Two hundred seventy-three subjects were included in the immunogenicity analyses 3 weeks after the first immunization. A total of 269 subjects received the second vaccination, and 264 and 256 subjects were included in the immunogenicity analyses 3 weeks after the second immunization and 6 months after the first immunization, respectively. The dose groups were balanced with respect to all baseline demographic characteristics (Table 1).

Safety. Both vaccinations were safe and well tolerated in all dose groups. The reactions were transient and predominantly mild. No vaccine-related serious AEs were reported. Injection site and systemic reactions were reported less frequently after the second immunization than after the first. The injection site and systemic reactions after the first immunization are shown in Table 2. The rates of injection site reactions within 7 days of the first and second vaccinations ranged from 16.1% to 41.8% and from 13.2% to 37.0%, respectively, among the different dose groups. The majority of the reported injection site reactions were injection site pain and tenderness, with very few reported cases of other injection site reactions. The rates of injection site reactions were lower at the lower dose levels of 3.75 and 7.5 µg (ranges, 16.1% to 18.2% and 13.2% to 15.1% after the first and second immunizations, respectively) than those at the 15-, 30-, and 45-µg dose levels (ranges, 32.7% to 41.8% and 21.8% to 37.0% after the first and second immunizations, respectively).

The rates of systemic reactions were comparable across the different dose groups, ranging from 12.5% to 25.9% after the first vaccination and from 5.6% to 13.0% after the second vaccination. Headache and fatigue were the most commonly reported systemic reactions, with rates of ≥18.5% and ≥7.3% after the first and second vaccination, respectively, for headache, and rates of ≥16.4% and ≥5.7%, respectively, for fatigue. No subjects developed fever after the first vaccination; one subject in the 45-µg-dose group reported moderate fever (38.4°C) after the second vaccination.

Hemagglutination inhibition, virus-neutralizing, and single radial hemolysis antibody responses. The antibody responses induced by the whole-virus H9N2 vaccine, as assessed by HI, MN, and SRH assays, are shown in Tables 3, 4, and 5, respectively. Two immunizations with the whole-virus H9N2 vaccine induced substantial serum antibody responses in all dose groups. The seroprotection rates determined by the HI assay 3 weeks after the second vaccination ranged from 52.8% in the 7.5-µg-dose group to 88.9% in the 45-µg-dose group (Table 3). The HI seroconversion rates after the second immunization ranged from 50.9% in the 7.5-µg-dose group to 88.9% in the 45-µg-dose group. The HI geometric mean titers (GMTs) ranged from 5.3 to 6.1 at baseline...
and from 32.5 to 104.3 after the second immunization. The geometric mean fold rise (GMFR) of the HI antibody responses compared to baseline after the second vaccination ranged from 5.7 in the 3.75-μg-dose group to 19.8 in the 45-μg-dose group. The ANCOVA of the HI antibody GMTs induced after the second immunization showed a statistically significant effect of vaccine dose and baseline antibody titer (P < 0.001) on the HI antibody responses, as well as age (P = 0.014) and gender (P = 0.012). A

### TABLE 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of the participants at baseline

| Patient characteristic | Data by dose groupa |
|------------------------|---------------------|
|                        | 3.75 μg (n = 56)    | 7.5 μg (n = 55) | 15 μg (n = 54) | 30 μg (n = 55) | 45 μg (n = 55) |
| Male sex (no. [%])     | 23 (41.1)           | 28 (50.9)       | 23 (42.6)      | 24 (43.6)      | 26 (47.3)      |
| Age (mean ± SD) (yr)   | 32.5 ± 8.6          | 32.6 ± 8.7      | 33.8 ± 8.1     | 35.8 ± 9.3     | 34.1 ± 9.0     |
| Born (no. [%]):        |                     |                 |                |                |                |
| In or before 1968      | 12 (21.4)           | 9 (16.4)        | 10 (18.5)      | 17 (30.9)      | 15 (27.3)      |
| After 1968             | 44 (78.6)           | 46 (83.6)       | 44 (81.5)      | 38 (69.1)      | 40 (72.7)      |
| Wt (mean ± SD) (kg)    | 78.6 ± 15.4         | 79.5 ± 16.9     | 80.7 ± 15.4    | 80.3 ± 18.6    | 83.3 ± 17.1    |
| Ht (mean ± SD) (cm)    | 171.2 ± 7.4         | 172.2 ± 10.1    | 171.5 ± 10.2   | 170.9 ± 9.6    | 172.3 ± 8.9    |
| Race (no. [%])         |                     |                 |                |                |                |
| White                  | 45 (80.4)           | 45 (81.8)       | 41 (75.9)      | 44 (80.0)      | 42 (76.4)      |
| Black or African-American | 9 (16.1)        | 7 (12.7)        | 11 (20.4)      | 9 (16.4)       | 11 (20.0)      |
| Asian                  | 1 (1.8)             | 2 (3.6)         | 2 (3.7)        | 2 (3.6)        | 1 (1.8)        |
| Other                  | 1 (1.8)             | 1 (1.8)         | 0 (0.0)        | 0 (0.0)        | 1 (1.8)        |
| Ethnicity (no. [%])    |                     |                 |                |                |                |
| Hispanic or Latino     | 4 (7.1)             | 5 (9.1)         | 2 (3.7)        | 4 (7.3)        | 4 (7.3)        |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 52 (92.9)           | 50 (90.9)       | 52 (96.3)      | 51 (92.7)      | 51 (92.7)      |

a n, total number of participants per group.

### TABLE 2 Injection site and systemic reactions after first immunization

| Reaction type and severity | % (95% CI) with reaction by dose groupa |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Injection site            |                                       |
| Severity                  |                                       |
| Mild                      | 16.1 (7.6–28.3)                       |
| Moderate                  | 0.0 (0.0–6.4)                         |
| Severe                    | 0.0 (0.0–6.4)                         |
| Unknown                   | 0.0 (0.0–6.4)                         |
| Type                      |                                       |
| Pain                      | 5.4 (1.1–14.9)                       |
| Tenderness                | 16.1 (7.6–28.3)                      |
| Redness                   | 0.0 (0.0–6.4)                         |
| Swelling                  | 0.0 (0.0–6.4)                         |
| Induration                | 0.0 (0.0–6.4)                         |
| Bruising                  | 0.0 (0.0–6.4)                         |
| Systemic                  |                                       |
| Severity                  |                                       |
| Mild                      | 12.5 (5.2–24.1)                      |
| Moderate                  | 1.8 (0.0–9.6)                         |
| Severe                    | 0.0 (0.0–6.4)                         |
| Unknown                   | 0.0 (0.0–6.4)                         |
| Type                      |                                       |
| Fevera                    | 0.0 (0.0–6.4)                         |
| Malaise                   | 1.8 (0.0–9.6)                         |
| Shivering                 | 0.0 (0.0–6.4)                         |
| Fatigue                   | 5.4 (1.1–14.9)                       |
| Headache                  | 3.6 (0.4–12.3)                       |
| Sweating                  | 0.0 (0.0–6.4)                         |
| Muscle pain               | 1.8 (0.0–9.6)                         |
| Joint pain                | 0.0 (0.0–6.4)                         |

a n, total number of participants per group.

b Reaction occurred within 7 days.
TABLE 3  Hemagglutinin inhibition antibody responses to H9N2 viruses 3 weeks after each immunization and 6 months after first immunization

| Antibody response | Data by dose group | 3.75 μg | 7.5 μg | 15 μg | 30 μg | 45 μg |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| Geometric mean titer (mean [95% CI]) | | | | | | |
| Baseline | 5.6 (4.9–6.5) | 6.1 (5.4–6.8) | 5.8 (5.2–6.5) | 5.7 (5.0–6.4) | 5.3 (5.0–5.5) |
| Day 21 | 18.2 (13.5–24.6) | 27.5 (18.3–41.1) | 45.6 (31.6–65.9) | 59.1 (39.4–88.7) | 81.7 (58.3–114.5) |
| Day 42 | 32.5 (24.6–42.9) | 40.2 (27.8–57.9) | 62.7 (44.4–88.5) | 71.7 (51.0–100.9) | 104.3 (77.5–140.3) |
| Day 180 | 14.6 (11.7–18.2) | 22.3 (15.3–32.4) | 24.2 (17.9–32.7) | 33.1 (25.3–43.2) | 41.5 (32.0–53.7) |
| Seroconversion (no./total no. [%; 95% CI]) | | | | | | |
| Baseline | 1/56 (1.8; 0–9.6) | 1/54 (1.9; 0–9.9) | 1/54 (1.9; 0–9.9) | 1/55 (1.8; 0–9.7) | 0/54 (0.0; 0–6.6) |
| Day 21 | 17/56 (30.4; 18.8–44.1) | 22/54 (40.7; 27.6–55.0) | 33/54 (61.1; 46.9–74.1) | 35/55 (63.6; 49.6–76.2) | 40/54 (74.1; 60.3–85.0) |
| Day 42 | 28/52 (53.8; 39.5–67.8) | 28/53 (52.8; 38.6–66.7) | 39/52 (75.0; 61.1–86.0) | 42/53 (79.2; 65.9–89.2) | 48/54 (88.9; 77.4–95.8) |
| Day 180 | 10/51 (19.6; 9.8–33.1) | 17/51 (33.3; 20.8–47.9) | 22/51 (43.1; 29.3–57.8) | 28/51 (54.9; 40.3–68.9) | 31/52 (59.6; 45.1–73.0) |
| GMFR (mean [95% CI]) | | | | | | |
| Day 21 | 16/56 (28.6; 17.3–42.2) | 21/54 (38.9; 25.9–53.1) | 32/54 (59.3; 45.0–72.4) | 35/55 (63.6; 49.6–76.2) | 40/54 (74.1; 60.3–85.0) |
| Day 42 | 27/52 (51.9; 37.6–66.0) | 27/53 (50.9; 38.6–64.9) | 38/52 (73.1; 59.0–84.4) | 41/53 (77.4; 63.8–87.7) | 48/54 (88.9; 77.4–95.8) |
| Day 180 | 9/51 (17.6; 8.4–30.9) | 17/51 (33.3; 20.8–47.9) | 21/51 (41.2; 27.6–55.8) | 28/51 (54.9; 40.3–68.9) | 31/52 (59.6; 45.1–73.0) |

* Hemagglutination inhibition (HI) titer, $\equiv 1:40$.
* $\equiv 4$-fold titer increase compared to baseline.
* Geometric mean fold rise (GMFR) compared to baseline.

A pairwise comparison of the antibody GMTs across the dose groups indicated differences in the vaccine-induced HI antibody titers after the second immunization between all doses except between the 3.75- and 7.5-μg-dose groups and the 15- and 30-μg-dose groups. The HI antibody levels determined 6 months after the first immunization were lower than those at 3 weeks after the second immunization; however, they were substantially higher than those at baseline, with seroprotection rates ranging from 19.6% in the 3.75-μg-dose group to 59.6% in the 45-μg-dose group.

The antibody responses determined by the MN (Table 4) and SRH (Table 5) assays confirmed the immunogenicity of the whole-virus H9N2 vaccine. Both assays were more sensitive than the HI assay for detecting antibodies induced by the H9N2 vaccine, demonstrating higher rates of seroprotection and seroconversion after vaccination. As there is no standard neutralization assay for influenza viruses and, as such, no internationally accepted titer cutoff for sero-protection as for the HI assay, we calculated seroprotection based on two different cutoff titers, i.e., 1:40 and 1:80. After the second vaccination, the seroprotection rates determined by the MN assay ranged from 88.7% to 98.1% (MN titer cutoff, 1:40) and 82.7% to 96.2% (MN titer cutoff, 1:80), among the different dose groups (Table 4), with seroconversion rates ranging from 94.2% to 98.1%. The seroprotection rates determined by the SRH assay after the second immunization ranged from 94.2% to 100%, and the seroconversion rates ranged from 67.3% to 81.5% (Table 5).

The baseline GMTs determined by the MN assay (range, 6.2 to 9.0) were similar to those determined by the HI assay; the baseline SRH GMTs (range, 12.8 to 16.5) were higher than those determined by the HI and MN assays. The GMTs after the second immunization as determined by the MN and SRH assays ranged from 164.3 to 416.3 and from 51.5 to 70.9, respectively. The GMFRs after the second immunization determined by the MN and SRH assays were 22.2 to 67.4 and 3.7 to 5.5, respectively. The ANCOVA of the MN and SRH antibody GMTs induced after the second immunization showed a statistically significant effect of vaccine dose ($P < 0.001$) and baseline antibody titer ($P < 0.001$) but not of gender ($P = 0.212$). Age had a significant effect on MN titers ($P = 0.004$) but not on SRH titers ($P = 0.201$). Consistent with the higher sensitivities of the MN and SRH assays, the dose responses determined by these assays were less marked than those that were determined by the HI assay. A pairwise comparison of the MN and SRH antibody GMTs across the dose groups after the second immunization showed no statistically significant differences in the vaccine-induced MN antibody titers between the 3.75- and 7.5-μg, 7.5- and 15-μg, 15- and 30-μg, and 30- and 45-μg-dose groups, and there were no statistically significant differences in the vaccine-induced SRH antibody titers between the 3.75- and 7.5-μg, 7.5- and 15-μg, 7.5- and 30-μg, and 15- and 30-μg-dose groups. The ranges of the seroprotection ratespersisting 6 months after the first immunization as determined by the MN assay were 51.0% to 84.3% using the MN titer cutoff of 1:40 and 25.5% to 65.4% using the MN titer cutoff of 1:80; the range as determined by the SRH assay was 90.2% to 100.0%.

An analysis of the MN and HI responses according to Cohen’s kappa coefficient (43) demonstrated the best agreement between the HI titer cutoff of 1:40 and the MN titer cutoff (overall kappa, 0.725; 95% CI, 0.688 to 0.762). The best kappa coefficient agreement between the SRH area seroprotection cutoff of 25 mm2 and an MN titer cutoff occurred at an MN titer of 1:20 (overall kappa, 0.539; 95% CI, 0.492 to 0.585).


**TABLE 4 Neutralizing antibody responses to H9N2 viruses 3 weeks after each immunization and 6 months after first immunization**

| Antibody response | Data by dose group |
|-------------------|--------------------|
|                   | 3.75 µg | 7.5 µg | 15 µg | 30 µg | 45 µg |
| **Geometric mean titer** (mean [95% CI]) |     |
| Baseline          | 7.2 (5.7–9.1) | 9.0 (6.9–11.7) | 7.5 (6.0–9.4) | 8.8 (6.3–12.1) | 6.2 (5.2–7.4) |
| Day 21            | 57.3 (37.5–87.5) | 129.5 (81.1–206.6) | 142.5 (91.3–223.2) | 233.5 (159.1–342.7) | 272.6 (185.0–401.6) |
| Day 42            | 164.3 (118.8–227.4) | 230.8 (151.3–351.9) | 255.1 (181.0–359.6) | 339.4 (247.7–465.1) | 416.3 (300.2–577.4) |
| Day 180           | 37.4 (25.9–53.9) | 67.0 (42.3–106.2) | 66.1 (46.8–93.5) | 101.5 (72.3–142.4) | 117.0 (82.5–165.8) |
| **Seroprotection (MN titer ≥ 1:40) (no./total no. [%; 95% CI])** |     |
| Baseline          | 1/56 (1.8; 0.0–9.6) | 8/54 (14.8; 6.6–27.1) | 4/54 (7.4; 2.1–12.9) | 5/55 (9.1; 3.0–20.0) | 3/54 (5.6; 1.2–15.4) |
| Day 21            | 33/56 (58.9; 45.0–70.1) | 41/54 (75.9; 62.4–86.5) | 45/54 (83.3; 70.7–92.1) | 51/55 (92.7; 82.4–98.0) | 50/54 (92.6; 82.1–97.9) |
| Day 42            | 50/52 (96.2; 86.8–99.5) | 47/53 (87.7; 77.0–95.7) | 50/52 (96.2; 86.8–99.5) | 52/53 (98.1; 89.9–100.0) | 53/54 (98.1; 90.1–100.0) |
| Day 180           | 26/51 (51.0; 36.6–65.2) | 32/51 (62.7; 48.1–75.9) | 37/51 (72.5; 58.3–84.1) | 43/51 (84.3; 71.4–93.0) | 42/52 (80.8; 67.5–90.42) |
| **Seroprotection (MN titer ≥ 1:80) (no./total no. [%; 95% CI])** |     |
| Baseline          | 1/56 (1.8; 0.0–9.6) | 8/54 (14.8; 6.6–27.1) | 4/54 (7.4; 2.4–12.9) | 5/55 (9.1; 3.0–20.0) | 1/54 (1.9; 0.0–9.9) |
| Day 21            | 33/56 (58.9; 45.0–70.1) | 41/54 (75.9; 62.4–86.5) | 45/54 (83.3; 70.7–92.1) | 51/55 (92.7; 82.4–98.0) | 50/54 (92.6; 82.1–97.9) |
| Day 42            | 50/52 (96.2; 86.8–99.5) | 47/53 (87.7; 77.0–95.7) | 50/52 (96.2; 86.8–99.5) | 52/53 (98.1; 89.9–100.0) | 53/54 (98.1; 90.1–100.0) |
| Day 180           | 26/51 (51.0; 36.6–65.2) | 32/51 (62.7; 48.1–75.9) | 37/51 (72.5; 58.3–84.1) | 43/51 (84.3; 71.4–93.0) | 42/52 (80.8; 67.5–90.42) |
| **Seroconversion (no./total no. [%; 95% CI])** |     |
| Day 21            | 40/56 (71.4; 57.8–82.7) | 44/54 (81.5; 68.6–90.7) | 47/54 (87.0; 75.1–94.6) | 52/55 (94.5; 84.9–98.9) | 53/54 (98.1; 90.1–100.0) |
| Day 42            | 49/52 (94.2; 84.1–98.8) | 49/53 (92.5; 81.8–97.9) | 49/52 (94.2; 84.1–98.8) | 51/53 (96.2; 87.0–99.5) | 51/54 (94.4; 84.6–98.8) |
| Day 180           | 34/51 (67.7; 52.1–79.2) | 41/51 (80.4; 66.9–90.2) | 44/51 (86.3; 73.7–94.3) | 47/51 (92.2; 81.1–97.8) | 52/52 (100.0; 93.2–100.0) |
| **GMFR (mean [95% CI])** |     |
| Day 21            | 8.0 (5.5–11.4) | 14.4 (9.6–21.8) | 18.9 (12.5–28.6) | 26.7 (18.1–39.2) | 44.1 (30.4–63.9) |
| Day 42            | 22.2 (16.3–30.1) | 25.5 (17.4–37.2) | 34.0 (23.7–48.7) | 38.9 (27.2–55.7) | 67.4 (48.4–93.8) |
| Day 180           | 5.4 (4.0–7.4) | 9.1 (6.1–13.5) | 10.3 (7.5–14.0) | 13.3 (9.8–18.0) | 19.9 (14.6–27.1) |

* a ≥4-fold titer increase compared to baseline.

* b Geometric mean fold rise (GMFR) compared to baseline.

**Post hoc analyses of the effect of age and baseline antibody titers on hemagglutination inhibition, virus-neutralizing, and single radial hemolysis antibody responses.** Some previous studies of H9N2 vaccines based on a G1 lineage virus had reported that the HI and MN antibody responses induced in individuals born in or before 1968 were higher than those in individuals born after 1968, possibly as a result of immunological priming by previous exposure to H2N2 viruses, which circulated widely between 1957 and 1968 but not afterwards (28, 29). In contrast, a more recent study did not find similar age-related differences in the antibody responses to an H9N2 vaccine based on a G9 lineage virus (44), which is the lineage of the virus from which the vaccine in the present study was derived.

To investigate this phenomenon in the present study, a post hoc stratification of the participants was done according to their birth either in or before 1968 or after 1968. Descriptive statistics of the response rates and continuous immunogenicity endpoints were calculated. Due to the inclusion of multiple dose groups of a relatively small size in this study, the dose groups were pooled for the purpose of this post hoc analysis, as was also done for previous investigations into age-group-specific antibody responses to H9N2 vaccines (29). Figure 2 shows these data for the HI, MN, and SRH antibody responses at baseline, 21 days after the first and second vaccinations, and 6 months after the first vaccination. For all three serological assays, the antibody responses at all time points are similar in the two age groups. There were no statistically significant differences in the antibody responses for any of the assays at any of the time points, except for a statistically significant difference in the SRH antibody response observed at baseline, for which higher titers were measured in the older age group.

**DISCUSSION**

A nonadjuvanted Vero cell culture-derived whole-virus H9N2 influenza vaccine is safe and well-tolerated in healthy adults aged 18 to 49 years. No vaccine-related serious AEs were reported, and the majority of the adverse reactions were rated as mild, consistent with the safety profile of the Vero-derived whole-virus H5N1 and H1N1 vaccines previously reported in clinical trials in healthy adults (7, 11, 38). The rates of injection site reactions were lower at the lower dose levels of 3.75 and 7.5 µg than those at the 15-, 30-, and 45-µg-dose levels. The rates of systemic reactions were comparable across all dose groups. Only one case of fever was reported, which was in a participant who received the highest vaccine dose. The rates of injection site and systemic reactions were similar to
those reported for other nonadjuvanted H9N2 vaccines in this age group (28, 29, 44) but lower than those reported in a study of H9N2 vaccines containing a novel oil-in-water adjuvant (45).

With respect to immunogenicity, substantial HI, MN, and SRH antibody responses were induced by the nonadjuvanted whole-virus H9N2 vaccine. There was a statistically significant dose effect on the antibody responses measured by all assays, but this was less marked for the MN and SRH assays, which were more sensitive for detecting H9N2 antibodies than was the HI assay. The seroprotection rates among the different dose groups after the second immunization ranged from 52.8% to 88.9% as measured by the HI assay, from 88.7% to 98.1% or 82.7% to 96.2% as measured by the MN assay (for MN titer cutoffs of 1:40 and 1:80, respectively), and from 94.2% to 100% as measured by the SRH assay.

Seroprotection (no./total no. [%; 95% CI])

| Antibody response | Data by dose group |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| Geometric mean titer (mean [95% CI]) |
| Baseline | 13.7 (10.2–18.5) |
| Day 21 | 37.0 (29.2–46.9) |
| Day 42 | 51.5 (44.5–59.6) |
| Day 180 | 48.9 (41.7–57.3) |

Seroconversion (no./total no. [%; 95% CI])

| Antibody response | Data by dose group |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| GMFR (mean [95% CI]) |
| Baseline | 22/56 (39.3; 26.5–53.2) |
| Day 21 | 45/56 (80.4; 67.6–89.8) |
| Day 42 | 49/52 (94.2; 84.1–98.8) |
| Day 180 | 46/51 (90.2; 76.6–96.7) |

Data by dose group

| Antibody response | Data by dose group |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| Geometric mean titer (mean [95% CI]) |
| Baseline | 3.75 µg |
| Day 21 | 7.5 µg |
| Day 42 | 15 µg |
| Day 180 | 30 µg |
| 45 µg |

and as such, no comparison can be made with respect to SRH antibody responses induced in the present and previous studies.

In the present study, the antibody responses detected by the HI assay were lower than those detected by the MN and SRH assays. The lower sensitivity of the HI assay than that of the MN assay for detecting H9 antibodies has also been reported in previous studies (28, 29), as well as for other avian influenza viruses (37). These observations suggest that the HI assay might not be optimal for detecting H9 antibodies, similar to the situation with antibodies against H5N1 viruses, for which high rates of seroprotection based on the HI assay are difficult to achieve without the use of novel oil-in-water adjuvants.

The current immunogenicity criteria for pandemic influenza virus vaccines are based on those developed for seasonal influenza virus vaccines, where an HI titer of 1:40 or an SRH area of 25 mm² are the serological criteria used by regulatory authorities as markers for vaccine-induced seroprotection. However, it is not known how these correlates relate to protection from pandemic influenza virus vaccines. In the European Union (EU), nonadjuvanted whole-virus H5N1 vaccines have been licensed based on antibody responses detected by MN and SRH assays (49, 50). For the MN assay, no standardized assay and no internationally accepted cutoff for seroprotection exist. In the present study, an analysis of the correlates related to protection from pandemic influenza virus vaccines was performed using the HI assay. The best agreement between the HI titer cutoff of 1:40 and the MN cutoff of 1:80 (overall kappa, 0.725; 95% CI, 0.688 to 0.762) was demonstrated for detecting H9 antibodies, similar to the situation with antibodies against H5N1 viruses, for which high rates of seroprotection based on the HI assay are difficult to achieve without the use of novel oil-in-water adjuvants.

The current immunogenicity criteria for pandemic influenza virus vaccines are based on those developed for seasonal influenza virus vaccines, where an HI titer of 1:40 or an SRH area of 25 mm² are the serological criteria used by regulatory authorities as markers for vaccine-induced seroprotection. However, it is not known how these correlates relate to protection from pandemic influenza virus vaccines. In the European Union (EU), nonadjuvanted whole-virus H5N1 vaccines have been licensed based on antibody responses detected by MN and SRH assays (49, 50). For the MN assay, no standardized assay and no internationally accepted cutoff for seroprotection exist. In the present study, an analysis of the correlates related to protection from pandemic influenza virus vaccines was performed using the HI assay. The best agreement between the HI titer cutoff of 1:40 and the MN cutoff of 1:80 (overall kappa, 0.725; 95% CI, 0.688 to 0.762) was demonstrated for detecting H9 antibodies, similar to the situation with antibodies against H5N1 viruses, for which high rates of seroprotection based on the HI assay are difficult to achieve without the use of novel oil-in-water adjuvants.
is necessary to review the appropriateness of the HI cutoff of 1:40 for the 3.75 g dose. These criteria are also all comfortably met for the 1:20, 1:40, or 1:80 titer of 1:20, 1:40, or 1:80 is used, these criteria are also all commonly met for the 3.75 μg dose. These data would indicate that it is necessary to review the appropriateness of the HI cutoff of 1:40 as the sole criterion for licensure of pandemic influenza virus vaccines, particularly in view of the importance of antigen sparing in a pandemic situation.

Some previous studies of H9N2 vaccines based on a G1 lineage virus, which were undertaken in the United Kingdom, reported that the HI and MN antibody responses induced in individuals born in or before 1968 were higher than those born after that year (28, 29). These studies suggested that older individuals were immunologically primed to the H9N2 vaccine, possibly by previous exposure to H2N2 viruses (28, 29). In contrast, a more recent study of an H9N2 virus based on a G9 lineage virus and performed in the United States did not find such age-related differences in the antibody responses (44). In the present study of a G9 lineage vaccine, undertaken in the United States, there was also no difference in the HI and MN antibody responses in subjects born after 1968 and those in subjects born in or before 1968, in agreement with the previous study of a G9 lineage H9N2 vaccine undertaken in the United States. There was a significantly higher SRH antibody response in the older age group at baseline than in the younger age group. However, this difference was not significant at any other time point. The reason for the difference in the SRH antibody titers between the two age groups at baseline is not clear. SRH data were not reported for previous studies of H9N2 vaccines (28, 29, 44, 45). Taken together, the present and previous data indicate that the effects of antigenic priming by potential previous exposure to H2N2 viruses might be different for H9N2 vaccines of the G1 and G9 lineages or that there might be differences in the United Kingdom and U.S. demographics with respect to previous exposure of the population to H2N2 viruses.

Although for licensing purposes, serum HI, SRH, or MN antibody titers are accepted as being indicative of vaccine efficacy, other vaccine-induced immune responses may also contribute to the amelioration of disease severity and/or the prevention of virus shedding. Neuraminidase (NA)-inhibiting (NAi) antibodies have the potential to prevent virus release, which in turn can reduce the severity of influenza disease (16), and NA-specific antibodies have been demonstrated to correlate with protection in animals and humans (51, 52). The immunological response to NA might be of particular importance in the event of an H9N2 pandemic, in which the majority of the population would be naive to the H9 HA protein but may have preexisting immunity to N2 NA as a result of earlier exposure to seasonal H2N2 or H3N2 viruses. In this respect, a limitation of the present study is that we did not investigate the induction of NAi antibody responses. However, in nonclinical studies, the whole-virus H9N2 vaccine induced high levels of functional antibodies capable of inhibiting the function of the H9N2 neuraminidase (27). High-titer NAi antibodies have also been demonstrated in clinical studies of a whole-virus H5N1 vaccine (10, 53), suggesting that the effective induction of NAi antibodies is a consistent feature of whole-virus influenza virus vaccines. Taken together, the clinical and preclinical data generated in studies of the nonadjuvanted whole-virus H9N2 vaccine suggest that it would be a safe, well-tolerated, and effective intervention in the event of a pandemic caused by an H9N2 influenza virus. These data also extend the clinical data set generated for other nonadjuvanted candidate pandemic influenza virus vaccines based on H5N1 and H1N1 viruses (7–11, 38, 40, 54, 55) and support the general safety and immunogenicity profiles of such vaccines for prepandemic and pandemic vaccination.

FIG 2 Effect of age group on antibody responses to H9N2 vaccine. Antibody responses at baseline, 21 days after the first and second vaccinations, and 180 days after the first vaccination, as determined by an HI assay (A), MN assay (B), and SRH assay (C) in participants born in or before 1968 (black bars) and after 1968 (gray bars). The data are the geometric mean titers (GMTs) or geometric mean SRH area, and 95% CIs, pooled for all dose groups.
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