Probing the Large Magellanic Cloud’s recent chemical enrichment history through its star clusters
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ABSTRACT

We present Washington system colour-magnitude diagrams (CMDs) for 17 practically unstudied star clusters located in the bar as well as in the inner disc and outer regions of the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC). Cluster sizes were estimated from star counts distributed throughout the entire observed fields. Based on the best fits of theoretical isochrones to the cleaned \((C − T_1, T_1)\) CMDs, as well as on the \(δT_1\) parameter and the standard giant branch method, we derive ages and metallicities for the cluster sample. Four objects are found to be intermediate-age clusters (1.8-2.5 Gyr), with \([\text{Fe/H}]\) ranging from -0.66 to -0.84. With the exception of SL 263, a very young cluster (\(\sim 16\) Myr), the remaining 12 objects are aged between 0.32 and 0.89 Gyr, with their \([\text{Fe/H}]\) values ranging from -0.19 to -0.50. We combined our results with those for other 231 clusters studied in a similar way using the Washington photometric system. The resulting age-metallicity relationship shows a significant dispersion in metallicities, whatever age is considered. Although there is a clear tendency for the younger clusters to be more metal-rich than the intermediate ones, we believe that none of the chemical evolution models currently available in the literature reasonably well represents the recent chemical enrichment processes in the LMC clusters. The present sample of 17 clusters is part of our ongoing project of generating a database of LMC clusters homogeneously studied using the Washington photometric system and applying the same analysis procedure.
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1 INTRODUCTION

One of the nearest galaxies to the Milky Way is the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC). It is one of only a few galaxies in which the star formation history (SFH) of even the oldest stars can be traced from studying resolved stellar populations. On account of the LMC’s proximity and the wealth and variety of its star clusters, they are of fundamental importance for several reasons. In particular, the large number of young and intermediate-age clusters (IACs) in this galaxy makes it easier to understand the chemical enrichment and SFH of the LMC as a whole (e.g. Baumgardt et al. 2013; Rich et al. 2001), given the relative ease and accuracy with which ages and abundances can be derived for them. Although the overall estimated number of LMC star clusters is \(\sim 4200\) (Hodge 1988), this number may be significantly larger if emission-free associations and objects related to emission nebulae are included (Bica et al. 1999). This number increases even more if associations with features of somewhat looser clusters and newly formed ones are also included (Bica et al. 2003). Unfortunately, however, the number of well studied LMC clusters still constitutes a very small percentage of those catalogued. Consequently, detailed investigations of even a few clusters represent a significant improvement in our knowledge of the chemical enrichment history of the LMC.

The unusual age distribution of the LMC’s clusters was first noted by van den Bergh & Hagen (1968). Da Costa (1991) was the first one to draw attention to the existence of a substantial gap of about 4-9 Gyr in the age distribution of the star clusters in this galaxy. There appears to be no corresponding age gap for the field stars. The nature and cause of this pronounced cluster age gap still remains a challenging enigma. Although the LMC includes \(\sim 15\) recognized genuine old clusters with ages \(\sim 12\) Gyr (Olsen et al. 1998; Dutra et al. 1998), as well as a rich population of young and IACs, there is only a single cluster (ESO 121-SC03) known within
this age gap. As emphasized by Olszewski et al. (1996), this gap in the cluster age distribution also represents an “abundance gap” in the sense that the old clusters are all metal-poor ([Fe/H] ∼ 1.5 - -2), while the IACs are all relatively metal-rich (Olszewski et al. 1991), approaching even the current abundance in the LMC ([Fe/H] ∼ -0.5). Unfortunately, the age gap does not allow us to use star clusters to examine the chemical evolution and star formation history of the LMC during this long period. However, the determination of ages and metallicities is still very useful to trace the details of the recent chemical evolution and identify potential burst(s) in cluster and star formation that occurred over the last few Gyr in the LMC.

Our group has been studying young, intermediate-age and old LMC clusters over the last 10 years using the Washington system (e.g. Geisler et al. 2003, Piatti et al. 2003, 2011). We have chosen to work in this photometric system because of the advantages it offers for this type of study (see, e.g., Geisler et al. 1997). In particular, the combination of the Washington system C and T1 filters is approximately three times more metallicity-sensitive than the V′I System (Geisler & Sarajedini 1999) yet the bands are broad and efficient. We obtained Washington wide-field images for about 21 LMC regions with the “Víctor Blanco” 4 m telescope at Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory (CTIO, Chile). After a revision of these images, we selected for study a total of 83 star clusters spread out in different regions of the LMC. Ages and metallicities for 23 of them were recently published in Palma et al. (2013, hereafter P13). These objects are mostly previously unstudied and are located in the inner disc and outer regions of the LMC. We continue here with our ongoing project presenting results for 17 practically unstudied LMC star clusters, 8 of which (HS 409, BSDL 3060, HS 420, BSDL 3072, KMHK 1408, SL 736, HS 424, and BSDL 3123) are projected on the bar. The remaining 9 clusters (SL 48, KMHK 575, SL 263, BSDL 794, SL 490, LW 231, IC 2140, KMHK 1504 and SL 775) are located in the inner disc and outer regions of the LMC (Fig. 1). As in P13, we consider that the inner disc region is limited by a deprojected radius from the LMC centre of ∼ 4′′ (Bica et al. 1998). The position of the cluster NGC 1928 (α2000 = 5h 20m 55.9s, δ2000 = -69° 28’ 34.9’’) was taken as the LMC centre.

The positions of our target clusters in relation to the bar and the LMC geometrical centre are shown in Fig. 1. We also include in this figure all the LMC clusters previously studied using the Washington photometric system. The results for the remaining 43 out of the 83 selected clusters will be published in a catalogue of LMC clusters observed in the Washington system (Palma et al., in preparation, hereafter P15).

The cluster sample studied here is presented in Table 1 where we list the various star cluster designations from different catalogues, 2000.0 equatorial coordinates, Galactic coordinates, and the cluster core radii given by Bica et al. (2008). These core radii constitute half of the mean apparent central diameters obtained by computing the average between the major (a) and minor (b) axes. The last two columns of Table 1 list the cluster radii derived in the current study in arcmin and parsec, respectively (see Sections 3 and 4). We are aware of no other colour-magnitude diagrams (CMDs) for any of these seventeen clusters nor of any other age or metallicity determination. We then aim at deriving ages and metallicities for the present cluster sample. These derived cluster parameters will be combined with those of other LMC clusters previously derived using the same methodology to do our scientific analysis.

This paper is organized as follows. The next section briefly describes observations and data reduction. Section 3 focuses on describing the main features of the CMDs and the method applied to minimize field star contamination. In this section, we also describe the procedure followed to estimate cluster radii from the stellar density profiles. Reddenings, ages and metallicities for the seventeen selected clusters are derived in Section 4. A brief analysis and discussion of the results is presented in Section 5. Our main findings are summarized in Section 6.

2 CCD WASHINGTON PHOTOMETRIC OBSERVATIONS AND REDUCTIONS

The observations were carried out using the “Víctor Blanco” 4 m telescope at CTIO during the nights of 2000 December 29 and 30. As described in P13, Washington wide-field images of about 21 LMC regions were taken with the MOSAIC II camera, which consists of an 8K×8K CCD detector array. The scale on the MOSAIC wide-field camera is 0.27′/pix, resulting in a 36′ ×36′ field of view on the sky. To keep consistency with our previous studies, we used the Washington C (Canterna 1976) and Kron-Cousins R filters. The latter has a very similar wavelength coverage but significantly higher throughput as compared with the standard Washington T1 filter so that R magnitudes can be accurately transformed to yield T1 magnitudes (Geisler 1998). In particular, this filter combination
allows us to derive accurate metallicities based on the standard
past giant branch technique outlined in Geisler 

From here onwards, we will use interchangeably the designations

R_{KC} or T_{1}.

Exposure times were 450 s and 150 s for C and R_{KC} respectively,
while airmasses range between 1.29 and 1.59. The seeing
was typically 1”-1.5” during the observing nights. Figs. 2-18
(upper left-hand panels) show schematic finding charts of the
observed cluster fields built with all measured stars in the
T_{1}-band. Observational setup, data reduction procedure, stellar point spread
function photometry, and transformation to the standard system
follow the same prescriptions described in detail in P13. The trans-
formation in particular is the same since the data were obtained
during the same nights as the data reduced in P13. The final data
collected for each cluster consists of a running star number, the
magnitudes and \sigma(C - T_{1}) colors, and the photometric errors \sigma(T_{1}) and \sigma(C - T_{1}). Only a
portion of the Washington data obtained for one of the observed
clusters (SL 48) is shown here (see Table 2) for guidance regarding
their form and content. The whole Washington data for the current
clusters can be obtained as supplementary material on the
line version of the journal.

As in P13 (see their Fig. 2), the mean magnitude and colour
errors for stars brighter than T_{1} = 20 lie in the range < \sigma(T_{1}) >
\approx 0.010-0.015 and < \sigma(C - T_{1}) > \approx 0.010-0.020; for stars with T_{1} = 20-22,
< \sigma(T_{1}) > \approx 0.05 and < \sigma(C - T_{1}) > \approx 0.06; and for
stars with T_{1} = 22-23, < \sigma(T_{1}) > \approx 0.12 and < \sigma(C - T_{1}) > \approx 0.15.
Therefore, the depth and quality of our photometry enabled us to
detect and measure the main sequence turnoff (MSTO) for all of
these young or intermediate age clusters, which was used in our
age estimates.

### Table 1. Observed star clusters in the LMC.

| Star cluster | \sigma_{2000} | \delta_{2000} | l | b | \rho | t_{15} | t_{c15} |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|---|---|-----|------|-------|
| SL 48, LW 68, KMHK 133 | 04 49 27 | -72 46 54 | 284.859 | -34.698 | 0.45 | 0.90 | 13.1 |
| KMHK 575, LOGLE 139 | 05 08 28 | -66 46 41 | 277.278 | -34.854 | 0.48 | 0.59 | 8.5 |
| SL 263, LOGLE 144 | 05 08 54 | -66 47 08 | 277.285 | -34.809 | 0.24 | 0.45 | 6.5 |
| BSDL 794 | 05 10 46 | -67 29 06 | 278.069 | -34.483 | 0.19 | 0.45 | 6.5 |
| SL 490, LW 217, KMHK 939 | 05 27 18 | -73 40 45 | 284.951 | -31.828 | 0.58 | 0.99 | 14.4 |
| LW 231, KMHK 1031 | 05 30 26 | -75 20 57 | 286.813 | -31.270 | 0.45 | 0.52 | 7.5 |
| IC 2140, SL 581, LW 241, ESO33-24 | 05 33 21 | -75 22 35 | 286.800 | -31.084 | 1.15 | 1.17 | 17.0 |
| HS 409, KMHK 1336, LOGLE 721 | 05 44 57 | -70 19 59 | 280.831 | -31.002 | 0.28 | 0.50 | 7.2 |
| BSDL 3060 | 05 48 12 | -70 33 24 | 281.061 | -30.710 | 0.37 | 0.59 | 8.5 |
| HS 420, KMHK 1403 | 05 48 28 | -70 32 52 | 281.049 | -30.688 | 0.34 | 0.36 | 5.2 |
| BSDL 3072 | 05 48 33 | -70 28 57 | 280.973 | -30.687 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 5.9 |
| KMHK 1408 | 05 48 46 | -70 28 23 | 280.959 | -30.670 | 0.55 | 0.72 | 10.5 |
| SL 736, KMHK 1420 | 05 49 17 | -70 47 52 | 281.331 | -30.599 | 0.36 | 0.90 | 13.1 |
| HS 424, KMHK 1425 | 05 49 36 | -70 41 35 | 281.207 | -30.582 | 0.39 | 0.63 | 9.2 |
| BSDL 3123 | 05 50 45 | -70 34 34 | 281.063 | -30.497 | 0.23 | 0.32 | 4.6 |
| KMHK 1504 | 05 53 15 | -71 53 32 | 282.563 | -30.191 | 0.32 | 0.63 | 9.2 |
| SL 775, LW 327, KMHK 1506 | 05 53 27 | -71 42 57 | 282.360 | -30.189 | 0.60 | 0.95 | 13.7 |

### Table 2. CCD CT_{1} data of all stars in the field of SL 48.

| ID | x (px) | y (px) | T_{1} (mag) | \sigma_{T1} (mag) | C - T_{1} (mag) | \sigma(C - T_{1}) (mag) |
|----|--------|--------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|
| 200 | 18.554 | 1648.657 | 20.849 | 0.019 | 0.549 | 0.022 |
| 201 | 18.791 | 3742.105 | 20.420 | 0.013 | 0.406 | 0.015 |
| 202 | 18.909 | 2694.696 | 22.081 | 0.036 | 1.095 | 0.050 |
| 203 | 18.932 | 2949.530 | 18.735 | 0.005 | 1.818 | 0.007 |
| 204 | 19.094 | 3961.119 | 22.145 | 0.049 | 0.888 | 0.064 |
| 205 | 19.121 | 3569.717 | 18.971 | 0.005 | 1.987 | 0.009 |
| 206 | 19.183 | 1947.643 | 20.813 | 0.019 | 1.247 | 0.023 |
| 207 | 19.716 | 3585.663 | 20.929 | 0.013 | 0.702 | 0.017 |
| 208 | 19.809 | 1879.302 | 21.761 | 0.036 | 0.906 | 0.049 |
| 209 | 20.310 | 2435.889 | 21.747 | 0.026 | 1.175 | 0.040 |
| 210 | 20.412 | 2673.790 | 18.732 | 0.005 | 1.861 | 0.007 |

### 3 COLOUR-MAGNITUDE DIAGRAMS AND CLUSTER RADIAL DENSITY PROFILES

In the bottom left-hand panels of Figs. 2-18 the (C - T_{1}, T_{1})
CMDs of all measured stars in the fields of the 17 star clusters are
presented. They exhibit very different features depending mainly
on age and location in the LMC. Some clusters, particularly the
younger ones (SL 263, for example, Fig. 4), present extended
main sequences (MSs) with no signs of evolution and no red giant clump
(RGC) stars. Other clusters, particularly the older ones of our
sample (SL 48, for example, Fig. 4), present less extended MSs,
clear signs of evolution, and a variable number of RG stars in the
clumps as well as in the giant branches. In addition, they show
fairly well developed subgiant branches (SGBs). Of course, a good
number of clusters of our sample show CMDs with intermediate features,
among others LW 231 (Fig. 9), HS 409 (Fig. 9), and
KMHK 1408 (Fig. 13), for example.

Before estimating cluster ages and metallicities, we statisti-
cally cleaned the cluster CMDs from stars that could potentially
belong to the foreground/background fields. We applied a proce-
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Figure 2. Schematic $T_1$-band images of the stars observed in the field of SL 48 (upper left-hand panel). Cluster stellar density profile, wherein the horizontal line corresponds to the background level far from the cluster (upper right-hand panel). Washington ($T_1, C - T_1$) CMD for all the stars measured in the cluster field (bottom left-hand panel) and cleaned Washington ($T_1, C - T_1$) CMD (bottom right-hand panel). The solid line is the isochrone of Bressan et al. (2012) that fits the cluster features better, while the dashed lines correspond to the isochrones that best bracket these features.

Figure 3. Idem Fig. 2 for KMHK 575.

Figure 4. Idem Fig. 2 for SL 263.

4 AGES AND METALLICITIES

We estimated ages for the cluster sample by fitting theoretical isochrones to the cleaned CMDs. We used isochrones for the Washington system computed by the Geneva (Lejeune & Schaerer 2001) and Padova (Bressan et al. 2012) groups. We finally chose to use the Bressan et al. (2012) isochrones because they generally fit the cluster CMDs better. Bressan et al. (2012) set of isochrones are the result of an update of the stellar evolution code used in Padova to compute sets of stellar evolutionary tracks (Girardi et al. 2002). Bressan et al.’s models include much smaller intervals in chemical...
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Figure 5. Idem Fig. 2 for BSDL 794.

Figure 6. Idem Fig. 2 for SL 490.

Figure 7. Idem Fig. 2 for LW 231.

Figure 8. Idem Fig. 2 for IC 2140.

composition (Z) than those used by Girardi et al. (2002) so that more accurate fittings can then be obtained. In general, the different sets of theoretical isochrones both from Geneva and Padova lead to similar results. A detailed analysis of the differences arising when different sets of isochrones are used can be seen in P15 (in preparation).

To fit Bressan et al. (2012) isochrones into the cluster CMDs, we first estimated cluster reddening values by interpolating the extinction maps of Burstein & Heiles (1982). We decided not to use the full-sky maps from 100-µ dust emission obtained by Schlegel et al. (1998) for the reasons given in previous papers (see, e.g., Geisler et al. 2003). As shown in column 3 of Table 3, the resulting E(B − V) values range between 0.04 and 0.12 mag. Such variations of Galactic reddening are to be expected since the distribution of the present clusters covers as much as 16° on the sky.

We used a true distance modulus for the LMC of (m − M)⊙ = 18.50 ± 0.10, as reported by Saha et al. (2010). The effect that produces the line-of-sight depth of the LMC on the individual cluster distances may be considered negligible. According to Subramaniam & Subramanian (2009), the average depth for the
LMC disc is 3.44 ± 0.16 kpc. Thus, provided that any cluster of our sample could be located in front of or behind the LMC main body, the difference in apparent distance modulus could be as large as ∼0.3 mag. Since we believe the error involved when adjusting the isochrones to the cluster CMDs is ∼0.2-0.3 mag, our assumption of adopting one single value for the distance modulus of all the clusters should not affect our final results substantially. We then selected a set of isochrones computed taking into account overshooting effects, along with the equations

$$E(C-T_1) = 1.97E(B-V)$$

and

$$M_{T_1} = T_1 + 0.58E(B-V) - (V-M_V)$$

given by Geisler & Sarajedini (1999). Next, we superimposed the isochrones on the cleaned cluster CMDs, once they were properly shifted by the corresponding $E(B-V)$ colour excess and LMC apparent distance modulus. We used chemical compositions in the interval $0.003 \leq Z \leq 0.013$, equivalent to $-0.84 \leq [\text{Fe/H}] \leq -0.19$ for the isochrone sets in steps of $\Delta \log t = 0.05$ dex. The age corresponding to the isochrone that best reproduced the shape and position of the cluster MS, particularly at the MSTO level, was adopted as the cluster age. We also took into account the $T_1$ magnitude of the RGC. Note, however, that the theoretically computed bluest stage during the He-burning core phase is redder than the observed RGC in the CMD of IC2140 (Fig. 8), a behaviour...
previously detected in other studies of Galactic and Magellanic Cloud clusters (e.g., Claria et al. 2007; Piatti et al. 2011). The age error was estimated considering the isochrones that encompassed those features best. The bottom right-hand panels of Figs. 2-18 as well as columns 5 and 6 of Table 3 show the results of the isochrone fittings.

On the other hand, it is well known that the luminosity of the MSTO depends on the cluster age, but the luminosity of the RGC is almost age independent (Cannon 1970). This is why the \( \delta T_1 \) parameter, defined as the difference in \( T_1 \) magnitude between the RGC and the MSTO in the Washington \( (T_1, C - T_1) \) CMD, is a good and reliable parameter to be used as an age indicator. Geisler et al. (1997) calibrated \( \delta T_1 \) as a function of age for IACs, i.e. generally older than 1 Gyr. Since four of the present clusters (SL 48, SL 490, IC 2140 and KMHK 1504) are clearly IACs, we derived their ages based on the \( \delta T_1 \) parameter. Their RGCs have an average magnitude \( T_1 = 18.7 \pm 0.1 \) mag. The corresponding MSTOs of the cluster sample appear to be more difficult to determine, mainly because of the crowded nature of some cluster fields, intrinsic dispersion and photometric errors at these faint magnitudes. The mean \( \delta T_1 \) values and their errors...
were estimated from independent measurements of MSTOs and RGCs made by two different authors (TP and JJC) using lower and upper limits in order to take into account the intrinsic dispersion. Such independent measurements showed in general very good agreement. Column 4 of Table 3 lists the resulting cluster ages computed with equation (4) of Geisler et al. (1997). The \( \delta T_1 \) ages appear systematically lower for these four clusters but the differences are within the errors of the respective techniques.

We then followed the standard giant branch procedure of entering into the results shown in Figure 4 of Geisler & Sarajedini (1999) the absolute \( M_{T_1} \) magnitudes and intrinsic \( (C-T_1)_0 \) colours of upper red giant branch stars for these four clusters to roughly derive their metal abundances [Fe/H] by interpolation (Fig. 19). The metallicities herein derived were then corrected for age effects following the prescriptions given in Geisler et al. (2003). The final age-corrected [Fe/H] values are listed in column 7 of Table 3 and have an associated error of at least 0.2 dex. We found a good agreement between these values and those corresponding to the isochrones that best resemble the cluster CMDs (column 6 of Table 3). Note that Girardi et al. (2002) models are computed for [Fe/H] = -0.7, -0.4 and 0.0 dex but not for intermediate metallicities. However, note that the number of presumed cluster member giants brighter than the clump, where this technique is most sensitive to metallicity, is very small for SL 48 and KMHK 1504 so that these metallicity values are especially uncertain. We finally adopt the isochrone metallicity as our official value since that way we have metallicities for all of the clusters obtained with the same procedure.

5 ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

In order to have a more representative and wider sample of LMC star clusters for a thorough and reliable analysis, we compiled data from the literature and extended our current sample of 17 clusters to a total of 248 clusters studied using the Washington photometric system. The latter represents a uniform and homogeneous sample of LMC star clusters since all of them were observed at CTIO in the Washington photometric system and were then analyzed by applying the same procedure. Details on this extended sample such as the cluster fundamental parameters and corresponding references can be seen in P15 (in preparation). Notice that our
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6 SUMMARY

We present for the first time \(T_1, C - T_1\) CMDs for 17 poorly studied star clusters projected on the bar and on the inner disc and outer regions of the LMC. These objects are part of our ongoing project of generating a database of LMC star clusters homogeneously observed and studied by applying the same analysis procedure (P15; in preparation). As far as we know, none of these clusters has been previously studied. Ages and metallicities were determined from two different methods. In all cases, we compared the cleaned Washington CMDs with theoretical isochrones computed for the Washington system by the Padova group, The use of Bressan et al. (2012) set of isochrones has largely reduced the metallicity associated errors resulting from the fittings. In some cases, we also estimated ages using the magnitude difference between the RGC and the MSTO, and derived metallicities by comparing

---

The giant branches with standard calibrating clusters. We find a reasonably good agreement between the ages determined in these two different ways. Four clusters are found to be IACs (1.8-2.5 Gyr), whose metallicities range from \(-0.66\) to \(-0.84\). One cluster, SL 263, is clearly young (~16 Myr), while the remaining 12 are aged between 0.32 and 0.89 Gyr and have metallicities in the range \(-0.50 < \text{Fe/H} < -0.19\). By combining the current results with those of a sample of 231 additional clusters with ages and metallicities derived on a similar scale, we confirm a clear tendency for the younger clusters to be more metal-rich than the intermediate ones. The full cluster sample exhibit a significant dispersion of metallicities, whatever age is considered. This large metallicity dispersion does not seem to be related to the position of the clusters in the LMC. None of the chemical evolutionary models available in the literature satisfactorily represents the recent chemical evolution processes of the LMC observed clusters.
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Table 3. Fundamental parameters of LMC clusters

| Name     | Deprojected Distance (‘) | E(B-V) |  δT$_{1}$ Age (Gyr) | Isochrone Age (Gyr) | [Fe/H]$_{\text{isochrone}}$ | [Fe/H]$_{\text{SGB}}$ |
|----------|--------------------------|--------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| SL 48    | 5.1                      | 0.12   | 2.1±0.4             | 2.5±0.3             | -0.72±0.10                  | -0.8                 |
| KMHK 575 | 4.2                      | 0.04   | -                   | 0.89±0.23           | -0.47±0.11                  | -                    |
| SL 263   | 3.6                      | 0.04   | -                   | 0.01±0.006          | -0.23±0.23                  | -                    |
| BSDL 794 | 2.7                      | 0.06   | -                   | 0.89±0.30           | -0.41±0.09                  | -                    |
| SL 490   | 4.8                      | 0.11   | 1.8±0.4             | 2.2±0.3             | -0.66±0.12                  | -0.8                 |
| LW 231   | 4.7                      | 0.11   | -                   | 0.80±0.20           | -0.50±0.14                  | -                    |
| IC 2140  | 6.8                      | 0.11   | 2.1±0.4             | 2.5±0.6             | -0.84±0.22                  | -1.1                 |
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