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I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, remarkable progresses have been made on hadrons scattering in lattice QCD from both the theoretical algorithm of extracting scattering amplitudes from lattice data [1–12] and the practical lattice QCD computational algorithm aspect [13–17]. Since Lüscher proposed the elastic scattering formalism in a finite volume [1], the framework has been quickly extended to moving frames [2–6], and to coupled-channel scattering [7–12]. The finite volume scattering formalism has been successfully used by the lattice community to extract elastic hadron-hadron scattering phase shifts [18–26]. Realistic lattice QCD computations on coupled-channel hadron-hadron scattering are under way.

For the purpose of demonstrating the feasibility of extracting coupled-channel scattering amplitudes from lattice data and discussing some issues, such as, finite size effects, in this work, we present a coupled-channel scattering lattice model in 2D. Our model is a direct generalization of a 2D single channel scattering lattice model in [27]. The advantage of scattering in 2D is that only finite numbers of scattering amplitudes contribute in one spatial dimensional scattering theory, and the relation between phase shift and energy level in Lüscher’s formula in 2D [11] appears more transparent. Our 2D lattice model represents a coupled-channel resonant scattering system with three species of scalar fields (φ, σ, ρ), where the scalar field ρ acts as a resonance which couples to both 2φ and 2σ channels. The Monte Carlo simulation are carried out on various lattice sizes and in different moving frames. We also present the derivation of 2D Lüscher’s formulae in a general moving frame and for a coupled-channel system. The derivation is based on the Lippmann-Schwinger equation approach presented in [12]. These formulae are used in the end to extract the scattering amplitudes from Monte Carlo simulation data. The finite size effect on extracting scattering amplitudes (phase shifts and inelasticity) from lattice data is also addressed in this work. Although, our model is formulated and computed in 1 + 1 dimensions, it still captures many of the features of hadrons scattering in a real 3 + 1 dimensional QCD computation, and sheds some light on the future coupled-channel hadron-hadron scattering lattice QCD calculation.

The paper is organized as follows. A discussion of elastic scattering in a finite volume is given in Section II with extension to the coupled channel system in Section III. The 2D lattice model, the Monte Carlo simulation and data analysis are described in Section IV. The summary and outlook are given in Section V.

II. LÜSCHER’S FORMULA IN 1 + 1 DIMENSIONS

For completeness, we first present the basic scattering theory in 1 + 1 dimensions. Based on the Lippmann-Schwinger equation approach, a generalized Lüscher’s formula in 1 + 1 dimensions for two particles elastic scattering in moving frames is presented in the end of this Section.

A. Two-particle scattering in infinite volume

We consider spinless particles scattering in a symmetric potential $\hat{V}(-x) = \hat{V}(x)$, the mass of scalar particles is $m$. The wave function of scattering particles in center of mass frame satisfies the relativistic Lippmann-Schwinger equation

$$\psi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' G_0(x - x'; \sqrt{s}) \hat{V}(x') \psi(x'),$$

where the center of mass frame energy is $\sqrt{s}$ and the free-particle Green’s function is given by

$$G_0(x; \sqrt{s}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dq}{2\pi} \frac{e^{iqx}}{\sqrt{s - 2\sqrt{q^2 + m^2}}}.$$
The Green’s function can be further written as a oscillating term and an exponentially decaying term over the separation of two particles. The singularities of integrand in Eq. (2) on the complex $q$ plane are two poles on real axis $q = \pm k$ and two branch cuts on imaginary axis $\pm [im, i\infty]$, see Fig. 1. Therefore, for $x > 0$, we choose the contour $C_1 + C_2$ to include pole $q = k$ and cross the cut $[im, i\infty]$, and for $x < 0$, we choose the contour $C_1 + C_3$ to include pole $q = -k$ and cross the cut $- [im, i\infty]$, as shown in Fig. 1. Thus, contour integral leads to

\[
G_0(x, \sqrt{s}) = -i\frac{\sqrt{s}}{4k} e^{ik|x|} - \int_{m}^{\infty} \frac{dp}{2\pi} \sqrt{\rho^2 - m^2} \ e^{-\rho|x|} \kappa_{\rho}^2, \tag{3}
\]

where $k = \frac{\sqrt{s} - 4im}{2}$ is momentum of particle in CM frame. At large separations, the free Green’s function can be approximated by the oscillating term only

\[
G_0(x - x', \sqrt{s}) \approx -i\frac{\sqrt{s}}{4k} e^{ik|x|} \sum_{P=\pm} Y_P(x)Y_P(x')J_P(kx'), \tag{4}
\]

where the functions $Y_P(x)$ and $J_P(kx)$ are defined by

\[
Y_+(x) = 1, \quad Y_-(x) = \frac{x}{|x|}, \tag{5}
\]

\[
J_+(kx) = \cos k|x|, \quad J_-(kx) = i \sin k|x|. \tag{6}
\]

Such that $Y_P(x)$ and $J_P(kx)$ resemble the spherical harmonic and Bessel functions in three spatial dimensions, and $Y_P(x)$ is the parity eigenstate with eigenvalue $P$. The continuous rotation symmetry in three dimensions reduces to discrete spatial reflection $x \to -x$ in one spatial dimension, thus, the partial wave expansion of wave function in three dimensions reduce to the expansion of the wave function in terms of parity eigenstates $\psi(x) = \sum_{P=\pm} c_P \psi_P(x)$, where $\psi_P(-x) = P \psi_P(x)$.

For a potential $V$ which falls at large separations, Eq. (1) is solved outside the range of the potential by

\[
\psi(x) \left|_{x > R} \right. = \sum_{P=\pm} c_P Y_P(x) \left[ J_P(kx) + i e^{ik|x|} f_P(k) \right], \tag{7}
\]

where $R$ denotes to the effective range of potential and the free solutions has been also included in Eq. (7). The scattering amplitudes are defined by

\[
c_P f_P(k) = -\frac{\sqrt{s}}{4k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' Y_P(x') J_P(kx') \tilde{V}(x') \psi(x'), \tag{8}
\]

which up to the inelastic threshold can be parametrized by scattering phase shift

\[
f_P(k) = e^{i\delta_P} \sin \delta_P. \tag{9}
\]

B. Two-particle scattering on a torus

Now we consider the theory in a one spatial dimensional box with periodic boundary conditions. In lattice QCD calculations, the computations are usually done in the moving frame of the two-particle system [2]. After the system is boosted back to the CM frame, the shape of cubic box in moving frame is deformed in CM frame due to Lorentz contraction. Similarly, in the one spatial dimension, the volume of a one dimensional box, $L$, in a moving frame with total momentum $P = \frac{2\pi n L}{d}, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ becomes $\gamma L$ in CM frame, where $\gamma = \sqrt{1 + \frac{P^2}{4m^2}}$ is the Lorentz contraction factor.

Taking into account the Lorentz contraction effect as well, we divide the integral over $x'$ into a sum of integrals over each translated box in Eq. (1), giving,

\[
\psi^{(L)}(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\frac{L}{2}}^{\frac{L}{2}} dx' G_0(x - x' - \gamma n L; \sqrt{s}) \times \tilde{V}(x' + \gamma n L) \psi^{(L)}(x' + \gamma n L). \tag{10}
\]

The wave function in CM frame satisfies the boundary condition of

\[
\psi^{(L)}(x + \gamma n L) = e^{i\frac{L}{2}nL} \psi^{(L)}(x), \tag{11}
\]

Using the periodicity of the potential $\tilde{V}(x' + \gamma n L) = \tilde{V}(x')$, we have

\[
\psi^{(L,P)}(x) = \int_{-\frac{L}{2}}^{\frac{L}{2}} dx' G_P(x - x'; \sqrt{s}) \tilde{V}(x') \psi^{(L,P)}(x'), \tag{12}
\]

where the periodic Green’s function is given by

\[
G_P(x - x'; \sqrt{s}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} G_0(x - x' - \gamma n L; \sqrt{s}) e^{i\frac{L}{2}nL}. \tag{13}
\]
By using the Poisson summation formula, 
\[ \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i \xi x} \, dx = \frac{1}{\xi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(\frac{\xi}{2\pi} + \frac{2\pi n}{\xi}) \],
Eq. (13) can be reexpressed as
\[ G_P(x - x'; \sqrt{s}) = \frac{1}{\gamma L} \sum_{q \in P_d} e^{iq(x-x')} \frac{e^{i q(x-x')}}{\sqrt{s} - 2\sqrt{q^2 + m^2}}, \tag{14} \]
where \( P_d = \{ q \in \mathbb{R} | q = \frac{2\pi}{\gamma L} (n + \frac{d}{2}), \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z} \} \).

As in the infinite volume case, the periodic Green’s function Eq. (14) can be shown to consist of an oscillatory part and an exponentially decaying part which can be neglected for large volume \( \gamma L > m^{-1} \). The remaining oscillatory part takes the form
\[ G_P(x - x'; \sqrt{s}) \rightarrow -i \frac{\sqrt{s}}{4k} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{ik|x-x'|-\gamma n L} e^{i \xi x} \tag{15} \]
where we have used Eq. (3) and Eq. (13). The infinite sum in Eq. (15) can be done analytically, the details are presented in Appendix A, so that
\[ G_P(x - x'; \sqrt{s}) \overset{|x| > |x'|}{\rightarrow} -i \frac{\sqrt{s}}{4k} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} Y_P(x) Y_P(x') J_P^*(kx') \times \left[ e^{ik|x|} - \left( 1 - i \cot \frac{\gamma k L + \pi d}{2} \right) J_P(kx) \right]. \tag{16} \]

Using the definition of scattering amplitudes in Eq. (8), we can express the wave function as
\[ \psi^{(L,P)}(x) \overset{|x| > R}{\rightarrow} \sum_{P = \pm} c_P Y_P(x) f_P(k) \times \left[ i e^{ik|x|} - \left( i + \cot \frac{\gamma k L + \pi d}{2} \right) J_P(kx) \right]. \tag{17} \]

Matching the wave function in finite box given by Eq. (17) to the wave function in infinite volume given by Eq. (16) at arbitrary \( |x| > R \), we obtain
\[ \sum_{P = \pm} c_P Y_P(x) J_P(kx) f_P(k) \times \left[ \frac{1}{f_P(k)} + i + \cot \frac{\gamma k L + \pi d}{2} \right] = 0. \tag{18} \]
which has non-trivial solution when
\[ \cot \delta_P + \cot \frac{\gamma k L + \pi d}{2} = 0. \tag{19} \]

### III. COUPLED-CHANNEL SCATTERING IN 1 + 1 DIMENSIONS

The previous discussion in section III can be generalized to a coupled channel system by including another species of scalar fields, let’s name two species of particles \( \phi \) and \( \sigma \), the masses are \( m_{\phi,\sigma} \). The coupled channel wave function has the form of \( \psi(x) = \sum_{\alpha=\phi,\sigma} \psi^{\alpha}(x) \), and \( \psi^{\alpha}(x) \) satisfies equation
\[ \psi^{\alpha}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' G_0^{\alpha}(x - x'; \sqrt{s}) \sum_{\beta=\phi,\sigma} \tilde{V}_{\alpha \beta}(x') \psi^{\beta}(x'), \tag{20} \]
A \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix of coupled-channel scattering amplitudes can be defined by
\[ c_P^{\alpha \beta} f_P = -\frac{\sqrt{s}}{4k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' Y_P(x') J_P^*(k_{\alpha} x') \tilde{V}_{\alpha \beta}(x') \psi^{\beta}(x'), \tag{21} \]
where \( k_{\alpha} = \sqrt{s - 4m_{\alpha}^2} \) is the CM frame scattering momentum in channel \( \alpha \). Neglecting exponentially decaying terms and also include the free solution, we have for the wave function in channel \( \alpha \)
\[ \psi^{\alpha}(x) \overset{|x| > R}{\rightarrow} \sum_{P = \pm} Y_P(x) \times \left[ c_P^{\alpha \beta} J_P(k_{\alpha} x) + i e^{ik_{\alpha} |x|} \sum_{\beta} c_P^{\beta \alpha} f_P^{\beta} \right]. \tag{22} \]

Extending the single channel derivation in finite-volume to the two-channel system, one obtains,
\[ \psi^{(L,P)}(x) \overset{|x| > R}{\rightarrow} \sum_{P = \pm} \sum_{\beta} c_P^{\beta} Y_P(x) f_P^{\beta} \times \left[ i e^{ik_{\alpha} |x|} - \left( i + \cot \frac{\gamma k_{\alpha} L + \pi d}{2} \right) J_P(k_{\alpha} x) \right]. \tag{23} \]

Matching the wave function in finite-volume, Eq. (23) to the wave function in infinite volume, Eq. (22), we can derive a condition for non-trivial solutions.
\[
\left( \frac{1}{f_{\rho}^2} + i + \cot \frac{\gamma k_\rho L + \pi d}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{f_{\phi}^2} + i + \cot \frac{\gamma k_\phi L + \pi d}{2} \right) = \left( i + \cot \frac{\gamma k_\rho L + \pi d}{2} \right) \left( i + \cot \frac{\gamma k_\phi L + \pi d}{2} \right) \left( \frac{f_{\rho}^2}{f_{\rho}^2 f_{\phi}^2} \right).
\]

The scattering amplitudes can be parametrized by three real parameters: two phase shifts \( \eta_\rho \) and an inelasticity \( \eta_\phi \),

\[
f_{\rho}^\alpha = \frac{\eta_\rho e^{2i \delta_\rho^\alpha} - 1}{2i}, f_{\phi}^\beta = \sqrt{1 - \eta_\phi^2 e^{i (\delta_\phi^\beta + \delta_\phi^\alpha)}}.
\]

Thus, we can also write the Eq. (24) as

\[
\eta_\rho (-1)^d = \frac{\cos \left( \gamma L \frac{k_\rho + k_\sigma}{2} + \delta_\rho^\alpha + \delta_\rho^\beta \right)}{\cos \left( \gamma L \frac{k_\rho - k_\sigma}{2} + \delta_\rho^\alpha - \delta_\rho^\beta \right)}.
\]

IV. THE ISING MODEL FOR COUPLED CHANNEL SCATTERING

To simulate a coupled channel scattering system, we build a model with two light mass fields \((\phi, \sigma)\) coupled to a heavier mass field \(\rho\) with two 3-point couplings, \(\rho^2\) and \(\rho^2\). The physical masses of the fields are calibrated to be at the region \(2m_\phi < 2m_\sigma < m_\rho < 4m_\phi\). For elastic scattering, the Ising model has been used and tested in both \(1+1\) and \(3+1\) dimensions by coupling two Ising fields, \(\phi\) and \(\sigma\), together through a 3-point nonlocal interaction. For our purpose, we could introduce one more species of Ising field \(\sigma\) and another 3-point term to couple \(\rho\) and \(\sigma\) together, where \(\rho\) field gives rise to the resonant behavior in both \(\phi\) and \(\sigma\) channels.

The action is given by

\[
S = -\sum_{\alpha=\phi,\sigma,\rho} \kappa_\alpha \sum_{x,\mu} \alpha(x)\alpha(x + \hat{\mu}) + \sum_{\beta=\phi,\sigma} g_{\rho\beta\beta} \sum_{x,\mu} \rho(x)\beta(x)\beta(x + \hat{\mu}),
\]

where \(x = (x_0, x_1)\) is coordinates of Euclidean \(T \times L\) lattice site and \(\hat{\mu}\) denotes the unit vector in direction \(\mu\). The values of the fields are restricted to \(\pm 1\), and the periodic boundary condition has been applied in Monte Carlo simulation. In the scaling limit, the Ising model represent a lattice \(\phi^4\) theory, thus, the action in Eq. (27) effectively describes an interacting theory of \(2\)

\[
S = \sum_{\alpha=\phi,\sigma,\rho} \int d^2x \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\partial \alpha)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_\alpha^2 \alpha^2 + \frac{\lambda_\alpha}{4!} \alpha^4 \right] + \int d^2x \left( \frac{g_{\rho\phi\phi}}{2} \rho^2 + \frac{g_{\rho\sigma\sigma}}{2} \rho^2 \right)
\]

in Euclidean space. By adjusting the masses and coupling constants, we could have an resonance \(\rho\) sit above both \(2\) and \(2\) thresholds, and couple to both channels by interaction terms \(g_{\rho\phi\phi}^2\) and \(g_{\rho\sigma\sigma}^2\) respectively. Therefore, the lattice Monte Carlo simulation by using the action in Eq. (27) is expected to imitate a coupled-channel scattering model: \(\phi + \sigma \leftrightarrow \rho \leftrightarrow \phi + \sigma\). Due to the Bose-symmetry, only scattering amplitudes with positive parity contribute in this model.

A. Cluster algorithm for coupled-channel Ising model

An generalized cluster algorithm is used in our simulation, similar to the cluster algorithm in [27], we update \(\rho\), \(\phi\) and \(\sigma\) fields alternately.

Updating the \(\rho\) field: Bonds between neighbored spins of equal sign are kept with the probability \(1 - e^{-2w_\rho}\). After identification of the connected clusters, the spin of cluster is flipped with probability

\[
\eta_\rho = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-2w_\rho}},
\]

where \(\alpha(C) = \sum_{\beta=\phi,\sigma} g_{\rho\beta\beta} \sum_{x \in C, \mu} \rho(x)\beta(x)\beta(x + \hat{\mu})\).

Updating the \(\beta = \phi, \sigma\) fields: Bonds between like-sign neighbors are kept with the probability \(1 - e^{-2(w_{\phi,\sigma} - 2w_\rho)}\), the spin of cluster is flipped with probability \(\frac{1}{2}\).

In our simulation, the parameters are chosen as \(\kappa_\rho = 0.3323\), \(\kappa_\sigma = 0.3897\), \(\kappa_\phi = 0.3748\), and \(g_{\phi\phi} = g_{\sigma\sigma} = 0.02\), the masses of \(\phi\) and \(\sigma\) fields are measured through single particle propagators, the values are given by \(m_\phi \approx 0.176\) and \(m_\sigma \approx 0.240\) respectively in lattice unit. The mass of resonance \(\rho\) is established from phase shifts, and the approximate value is given by \(m_\rho \approx 0.57\).

In this work, we use \(T = 80\) and various spatial exten-
sions \(L\) between 15 and 50. For each set of lattice size and moving frame, we generated typically one million measurements.

B. Particles spectrum

As shown in the elastic scattering case in \(1+1\) dimensions [27], one particle propagator can be constructed by operators

\[
\hat{\alpha}_n(x_0) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{x_1} \alpha(x_1)e^{ix_1 \phi(x_0)},
\]

where \(\phi\) and \(\sigma\) are variables that are gauge invariant in the Ising model.
where \( q_{1,n} = \frac{2\pi}{L} n = -L/2 + 1, \cdots , L/2 \) and \( \alpha = \phi, \sigma \).

The single particle’s masses satisfy relation \( M_{\alpha}(L) = m_{\alpha} + c_{\alpha} L^{1/2} e^{-m_{\alpha} L} \) (red dashed curves).

The form, \( \overline{\lambda} \) where \( \rho, d \) to be subtracted in CM frame (\( E = 0 \))

C. A coupled-channel K-matrix model

In order to extract the scattering amplitudes (phase shifts and inelasticity) from the discrete finite volume spectra of the Monte Carlo simulation, we consider a K-matrix model for a coupled-channel S-wave scattering system.

In the scaling regime, the phase shifts of the Ising model \( \gamma_{\phi,\sigma} \) in 1 + 1 dimensions are shifted by a back-
ground phase \( \delta_{\text{Ising}} = \frac{\pi}{2} \) \([27\)–\(29\)],

\[
\delta_{\phi,\sigma} = \delta_{\text{Res}}^{\phi,\sigma} - \delta_{\text{Ising}},
\]

where \( \delta_{\text{Res}}^{\phi,\sigma} \) represent the normal phase shift in which a resonance may appear at value of \( \delta_{\text{Res}}^{\phi,\sigma} = \frac{\pi}{2} \). Thus, the unitarized \( t \)-matrix may be defined by

\[
t_{\alpha\alpha} = -i\delta_{\text{Res}}^{\phi,\sigma} + i\theta(s - 4m_\alpha^2)\frac{\sqrt{s}}{2k_\alpha},
\]

\[
t_{\alpha\beta} = -\theta(s - 4m_\beta^2)t_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{Res}},
\]

where \( t \)- and \( t_{\text{Res}} \)-matrix are parametrized by phase shifts \( \delta_{\phi,\sigma}, \delta_{\text{Res}}^{\phi,\sigma} \) and inelasticity \( \eta \) respectively. The \( t \)-matrix is related to the \( f \)-matrix defined in Eq.\((25)\) by equation \( t_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2\sqrt{k_\alpha k_\beta}} f_{\alpha\beta} \). The unitarity of the \( t \)-matrix is guaranteed by the unitarity of the \( t_{\text{Res}} \)-matrix. The Ising model suggestion is to parameterize a resonance coupling to both channels using a pole interfering with a polynomial in an \( S \)-wave \( K \)-matrix,

\[
K_{\alpha\beta}(s) = \frac{g_{\phi}\delta_{\phi,\sigma}}{M^2 - s} + \gamma_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)} + \gamma_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)} s + \ldots, \tag{39}
\]

where the inverse of the \( t_{\text{Res}} \)-matrix is given by

\[
\left[ (t_{\text{Res}})^{-1}(s) \right]_{\alpha\beta} = \left[ K^{-1}(s) \right]_{\alpha\beta} + \delta_{\alpha\beta} I_\alpha(s). \tag{40}
\]

Here \( I_\alpha(s) \) is the Chew-Mandelstam form \([30]\) whose imaginary part above threshold \( s > 4m_\alpha^2 \) is the phase-space,

\[
I_\alpha(s) = I_\alpha(0) - \frac{s}{\pi} \int_0^\infty ds' \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\alpha^2}{s'}} \frac{1}{(s' - s)s'}. \tag{41}
\]

We have opted to subtract the integral once, and it is convenient to choose \( I_\alpha(0) \) such that \( \text{Re} I_\alpha(M^2) = 0 \) so that we have an amplitude which for real \( s \) near \( M^2 \) is close to the Breit-Wigner form with mass \( M \).

Given an explicit model for the scattering amplitudes, we can solve Eq.\((42)\) to find the finite volume spectra in various volumes and total momenta \( P = \frac{2n}{L}d, d \in \mathbb{Z} \).

\[
\left( \frac{1}{f_{\phi,\sigma}} + i + \cot \frac{p_\alpha L + \pi d}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{f_{\phi,\sigma}} + i + \cot \frac{p_\beta L + \pi d}{2} \right) = \left( i + \cot \frac{p_\phi L + \pi d}{2} \right) \left( i + \cot \frac{p_\phi L + \pi d}{2} \right) \left( \frac{f_{\phi,\sigma}}{f_{\phi,\sigma}} \right)^2. \tag{42}
\]

Eq.\((42)\) is derived from Eq.\((41)\) by replacing \( \gamma_{n,\alpha} \) with \( p_\alpha \) \((\alpha = \phi, \sigma)\), where \( p_\alpha \) is the relative momentum of two particles in a moving frame: \( p_\alpha = \frac{p_{n,\alpha} + m_\alpha}{2} \) and \( P = p_{n,\alpha} + p_{n,\beta} \). To compensate for the ultraviolet cutoff effect from the finite lattice spacing, the dispersion relation \( \cosh E = \cosh m + 1 - \cosh p \) \([27]\) is used in this work, accordingly, in Eq.\((42)\), the relative momenta of two particles in a moving frame \( p_\alpha \) are solved by the equations

\[
P = p_{n,\alpha} + p_{n,\beta},
\]

\[
E^{(d)} = \sum_{i=1,2} \cosh^{-1} (\cosh m_\alpha + 1 - \cos p_{\alpha,i}).
\]

The lattice dispersion relation and finite size effects are further discussed in Appendix \([3]\).

### D. Data analysis

With the \( K \)-matrix model described in Section \([11]\)–\([13]\), we can perform a global fitting method proposed in \([12]\) to the spectra in Fig.\(3\). For this purpose, we can minimize

where the inverse of the \( t_{\text{Res}} \)-matrix is given by

\[
\left[ (t_{\text{Res}})^{-1}(s) \right]_{\alpha\beta} = K^{-1}(s)_{\alpha\beta} + \delta_{\alpha\beta} I_\alpha(s). \tag{40}
\]

Here \( I_\alpha(s) \) is the Chew-Mandelstam form \([30]\) whose imaginary part above threshold \( s > 4m_\alpha^2 \) is the phase-space,

\[
I_\alpha(s) = I_\alpha(0) - \frac{s}{\pi} \int_0^\infty ds' \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\alpha^2}{s'}} \frac{1}{(s' - s)s'}. \tag{41}
\]

We have opted to subtract the integral once, and it is convenient to choose \( I_\alpha(0) \) such that \( \text{Re} I_\alpha(M^2) = 0 \) so that we have an amplitude which for real \( s \) near \( M^2 \) is close to the Breit-Wigner form with mass \( M \).

Given an explicit model for the scattering amplitudes, we can solve Eq.\((42)\) for the finite volume spectra in various volumes and total momenta \( P = \frac{2n}{L}d, d \in \mathbb{Z} \).

\[
\left( \frac{1}{f_{\phi,\sigma}} + i + \cot \frac{p_\alpha L + \pi d}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{f_{\phi,\sigma}} + i + \cot \frac{p_\beta L + \pi d}{2} \right) = \left( i + \cot \frac{p_\phi L + \pi d}{2} \right) \left( i + \cot \frac{p_\phi L + \pi d}{2} \right) \left( \frac{f_{\phi,\sigma}}{f_{\phi,\sigma}} \right)^2. \tag{42}
\]

a function

\[
\chi^2\left(\{a_i\}\right) = \sum_{E_n(L,d)} \frac{\left[ E_n(L,d) - E_n^{\text{det}}(L,d;\{a_i\}) \right]^2}{\sigma(E_n(L,d))^2}, \tag{43}
\]

within the space of \( K \)-matrix parameters, \( \{a_i\} = \{M, g_\phi, g_\sigma, \gamma^{(n)} \ldots\} \), where \( E_n \) denotes the energy levels from Monte Carlo simulation, and \( E_n^{\text{det}} \) are the solutions of Eq.\((42)\).

Instead of establishing the resonance pole position in our toy model, the purpose of this work is to demonstrate (1) the methodology of extracting scattering amplitudes from data of coupled-channel Monte Carlo simulations, (2) predictability of scattering amplitudes extracted from a set of lattice data, and (3) the validity of our formalism while taking into account of the finite size effect presented in Appendix \([3]\). Therefore, in this work, we choose to fit the spectra below 4\(d\) threshold for \( d = 0 \) only, then for a consistency check, we compare our predicted spectra for \( d = 1, 2 \) to the spectra from the Monte Carlo simulation. We show the spectra of \( K \)-matrix model (red bands) in Fig.\(4\) with the comparison of spectra from the Monte Carlo simulation (filled black circles, filled blue squares and filled green triangles). The \( K \)-matrix we used in the
fitting has nine free parameters, the polynomial of $\gamma^{(n)}$ is taken up to $\mathcal{O}(s^3)(n = 0, 1)$. The value of parameters we find from fitting read

$$
M = 0.572(1), g_{\delta} = 0.064(4), g_{\sigma} = 0.060(4),
\gamma_{\delta\delta}^{(0)} = 0.3(1), \gamma_{\delta\sigma}^{(1)} = -0.7(3), \gamma_{\sigma\sigma}^{(0)} = 0.11(3),
\gamma_{\delta\sigma}^{(1)} = -0.3(1), \gamma_{\delta\sigma}^{(0)} = -0.6(2), \gamma_{\sigma\sigma}^{(1)} = 1.5(5).
$$

The extracted phase shifts $\delta_{\phi}, \delta_{\sigma}$ and inelasticity $\eta$ are shown in Fig. 5.

As demonstrated in the middle and the right plots in Fig. 4, our predicted energy spectra from $K$-matrix model (red bands) for $d = 1, 2$ agree with the spectra from the Monte Carlo simulation (filled blue squares and filled green triangles) within a reasonable precision. Therefore, we accomplished our goals, (1) we proved that our formalism gives the consistent result in different moving frames while taking into account of finite size effect, and (2) we have shown that the global fitting method is a valid and fairly reliable means for extracting scattering amplitudes from Monte Carlo simulation data.

V. SUMMARY

Based on the Lippmann-Schwinger equation approach, in Section 3 and 4 we first derived a generalized Lüscher’s formula in 2D for two particles scattering in both the elastic and coupled-channel cases in moving frames. In Section 5 we presented a 2D coupled-channel scattering lattice model. The model simulates a two-coupled-channel resonant scattering system, in which a resonance couples to both channels. Next, we performed Monte Carlo simulations on various finite lattice sizes and in different moving frames. The discrete finite-volume spectra were extracted by fitting two-particle correlation functions. Finally, we used the 2D generalized Lüscher’s formula to extract the scattering amplitudes for the coupled-channel system from the discrete finite-volume spectra. We have shown that the global fitting method can be used to reliably extract scattering amplitudes from Monte Carlo simulation data.
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Appendix A: One dimensional infinite sum

Let’s consider the one dimensional infinite sum in Eq.(15),

\[
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{ik|x-x'|-\gamma nL} e^{i\frac{L}{2} nL} = \left(1 + e^{i\frac{L}{2} nL}\right),
\]

(A1)

In the region which we are interested in: \(|x| > |x'|\) and \(|x-x'| < \gamma L\), Eq.(A1) can be rewritten to

\[
e^{ik|x|} \sum_{P = \pm}^{P_{\neq 0}} Y_P(x)Y_P(x')J_P(kx') + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{ik|\gamma nL|} e^{i\frac{L}{2} nL} \sum_{P = \pm}^{P_{\neq 0}} Y_P(n)Y_P(x)J_P(kx) \sum_{P' = \pm}^{P_{\neq 0}} Y_P'(n)Y_P'(x')J_P'(kx').
\]

(A2)

With the help of equations

\[
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}^{n_{\neq 0}} e^{ik|\gamma nL|} e^{i\frac{L}{2} nL} Y_\gamma(n) = \frac{\cos \frac{PL}{2} - e^{i\gamma kL}}{\cos \gamma kL - \cos \frac{PL}{2}},
\]

where the infinite sums are performed by using the property of polylogarithmic function \(L_0(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n = \frac{x}{1-x}\), thus, we find

\[
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{ik|x-x'-\gamma nL|} e^{i\pi n d} = \sum_{P = \pm}^{P_{\neq 0}} Y_P(x)Y_P(x')J_P(kx') \left[e^{ik|x|} - \left(1 - i \cot \frac{\gamma kL + \pi d}{2}\right) J_P(kx)\right],
\]

(A3)

for \(|x| > |x'|\) and \(|x-x'| < \gamma L\).

Appendix B: Lattice dispersion relation

For the determination of the lattice spectrum at a precise level, the finite size effect has to be taken into consideration by using the lattice dispersion relation [27]

\[
cosh \sqrt{s} = \cosh E^{(d)} - (1 - \cos P),
\]

(B1)

where \(E^{(d)}\) and \(\sqrt{s}\) are the total energy of system in moving frames and the CM frame respectively, and the total momentum of system is given by \(P = \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2}, d \in \mathbb{Z}\). In the limit of vanishing lattice spacing, Eq.(B1) reduces to the relativistic dispersion relation: \(E^{(d)} = \sqrt{s} + P^2\).

The relative momentum of two particles \(p = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2}\) in a moving frame \((p_1 + p_2 = P)\) is related to the relative momentum of two particles \(k = \frac{k_1 - k_2}{2}\) in the CM frame \((k_1 + k_2 = 0)\) by Lorentz transformation relation \(\eta = \gamma k\). In the limit of vanishing lattice spacing, the Lorentz contraction factor \(\gamma\) is given by \(\gamma = \frac{E^{(d)}}{\sqrt{s}}\). However, due to the ultraviolet cut-off effect from finite lattice spacing, the definition of the Lorentz contraction factor \(\gamma = \frac{E^{(d)}}{\sqrt{s}}\) is inconsistent with lattice dispersion relation Eq.(B1). This inconsistency leads to the large discrepancies of phase shifts and inelasticity computed in different frames. To resolve this problem, we may use the relation \(p = \gamma k\) to rewrite Eq.(19), Eq.(21) and Eq.(26) to

\[
cot \delta_P + \cot \frac{pL + \pi d}{2} = 0,
\]

(B2)

for single channel scattering, and

\[
\left(1 + \cot \frac{pL + \pi d}{2} \right) \left(1 + \cot \frac{pL + \pi d}{2} \right) = \left(1 + \cot \frac{pL + \pi d}{2} \right) \left(1 + \cot \frac{pL + \pi d}{2} \right) \left(\frac{f_P^{(\sigma)}}{f_P^{(\phi)}}\right)^2,
\]

or

\[
\eta_P (-1)^d = \frac{\cos \left(\frac{pL + \pi d}{2} + \delta_P^\sigma + \delta_P^\phi\right)}{\cos \left(\frac{pL + \pi d}{2} + \delta_P^\phi - \delta_P^\sigma\right)},
\]

(B3)

for coupled channel scattering, respectively. In Eq.(B2),
As a function of \( n \) (Middle panel) and (c) \( g = 0.04 \) (Right) respectively. The red line in left plot labels the theoretical expectation \( \delta_{\text{Ising}} = -i \frac{\pi}{2} \) for non-interacting case (a) \( g = 0 \). The red filled circles, black filled circles, blue filled squares and green filled triangles represent the result in Fig. 6 of [27], and our results for \( d = 0, 1, 2 \) respectively.

Eq.(42) and Eq.(B3), the relative momentum of two particles \( p = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2} \) is solved by equations

\[
P = p_1 + p_2,
\]

\[
E(d) = \sum_{i=1,2} \cosh^{-1} \left( \cosh m + 1 - \cos p_i \right).
\]  

So that, rather than solving Eq.(19), Eq. (24) and Eq.(26) with the Lorentz contraction factor given by \( \gamma = \frac{E^{(d=0)}}{\sqrt{s}} \), we use Eq.(B2) and Eq.(42) with the solution of relative momentum of two particles given by Eq.(B4) for single and coupled-channel scattering respectively in this work.

As a simple demonstration how the above proposal works, let’s consider a non-interacting two-particle system in 1 + 1 dimensions. Two particles in an arbitrary moving frame, \( P = \frac{2\pi}{L} \), have individual momenta \( p_\pm = \frac{2\pi n_\pm}{L} \pm \frac{\pi}{L} \) respectively, where \( n_+ + n_- = d \) and \( (n_\pm, d) \in \mathbb{Z} \). So that we get a relation: \( p_\pm = \frac{p_+ - p_-}{2} \), where \( p = \frac{p_+ - p_-}{2} \) is the relative momentum of two-particle system. Using Eq.(B2), we conclude that the phase shift of two non-interacting particles is given by \( \delta = \delta_{\text{Ising}} = -\frac{\pi}{2} \). This conclusion derived from Eq.(B2) holds in all the moving frames.

As an more quantitative example, we generalize the single channel Ising model computed in CM frame in [27] to the moving frames, we compute the three sets of models [27] (see Table 1 in [27]) for three different moving frames: \( d = 0, 1, 2 \). Three models are labeled by con-

FIG. 6: The energy spectra of single channel Ising model [27] as function of \((L,d)\) for (a) \( g = 0 \) (Upper panel), (b) \( g = 0.02 \) (Middle panel) and (c) \( g = 0.04 \) (Lower panel) respectively. In each panel, from left to right, each individual plot is related to \( d = 0 \) (black filled circles), \( 1 \) (red filled squares) and \( 2 \) (green filled triangles) respectively. The red curves represent (I) the energy spectra of a non-interacting pair of particles: \( E^{(d)} = \sum_{i=\pm} \cosh^{-1} \left( \cosh m + 1 - \cos p_i \right) \), where \( p_\pm = \frac{2\pi n_\pm}{L} \pm \frac{\pi}{L} \), \( n_+ + n_- = d \) and \( (n_\pm, d) \in \mathbb{Z} \); (II) the energy spectra of a stable resonance: \( E^{(d)} = \cosh^{-1} \left( \cosh m_\mu + 1 - \cos P \right) \), where \( m_\mu \approx 0.5 \).

FIG. 7: The phase shifts of single channel Ising model [27] for (a) \( g = 0 \) (Left), (b) \( g = 0.02 \) (Middle) and (c) \( g = 0.04 \) (Right) respectively. The red line in left plot labels the theoretical expectation \( \delta_{\text{Ising}} = -\frac{\pi}{2} \) for non-interacting case (a) \( g = 0 \). The red filled circles, black filled circles, blue filled squares and green filled triangles represent the result in Fig.6 of [27], and our results for \( d = 0, 1, 2 \) respectively.
pling constants: (a) \( g = 0 \), (b) \( g = 0.02 \) and (c) \( g = 0.04 \), all the parameters are given by Table 1 in \cite{27}. The measured energy levels for three models \((g = 0, 0.02, 0.04)\) and three moving frames \((d = 0, 1, 2)\) are shown in Fig.6, the extracted phase shifts by using Eq.(B2) along with the solution of relative momentum of two particles from Eq.(B4) are shown in Fig.7. Fig.7 demonstrates the consistent calculation of phase shift from different moving frames by using Eq.(B2) along with Eq.(B4).
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