Clinical and radiological midterm results from using the Fixion expandable intramedullary nail in transverse and short oblique fractures of femur and tibia
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Abstract

Background A locked nail is the principal method used to eliminate rotatory components in femoral and tibial fractures. Nevertheless, weight bearing is not directed onto the fracture site, slowing down the healing process; another possibility is to use a large-diameter nail and ream the canal to obtain as much adherence as possible and increase the grip, but this can cause a number of complications. The expandable nail is a new option that in theory should remove some problems with previous techniques.

Materials and methods This was a retrospective nonrandomized study encompassing 21 femoral fractures and 27 tibial fractures in 45 patients. They were classified according to the AO classification. Clinical and radiological checks were done at one, three, and six months and at one year from the surgery in order to check for signs of clinical and radiological healing. A good alignment was considered to be the presence of a deformity of less than 5° in the sagittal and lateral planes and the absence of rotatory clinically evident problems. This protocol was adhered to up to six months after surgery by all of the patients, while only 62.2% performed the last control. The mean follow-up was 15 months. A second group of 48 consecutive fractures (24 femoral and 24 tibial) treated with locked nail was created to compare surgical times.

Results Appropriate alignment was observed in all cases; the healing process appeared slower: radiological healing occurred in most cases at six months. The following complications were reported: a case of intraoperative fracture widening with no effect on the treatment; a case of a lesion of the tip of the nail with pneumatic system rupture that necessitated nail substitution; two cases of retarded consolidation at six months, with both tibial fractures treated successfully by intralesion platelet gel; a case of incarcerated nail on 17 removals, resolved by shearing. We had no cases of clinically evident compartment syndrome or pulmonary embolism.

Conclusions The expandable Fixion nail presents significant advantages in the treatment of transverse and short oblique fractures of femur and tibia because it is easy to use, involves minimal X-ray exposure and can control rotations. Nevertheless, it high cost limits its use. We consider it as an alternative to locked nail.
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Introduction

The system used in osteosynthesis directly influences fracture consolidation; depending on its shape and rigidity, osteosynthesis is capable of modifying bone callus formation. The intramedullary nail is currently the primary treatment for closed diaphyseal fractures and for grade I and II open lesions according to the Gustilo and Anderson
classification [1, 2]. This technique safeguards limb length and the soft tissue around the bone fragments. The immediate weight bearing allows gravity to act directly on the fracture site, encouraging bone callus formation.

Although the intramedullary nail is able to control dislocation and banding “ad latum,” it is not able to control rotation [3]. Locking with proximal and distal screws impedes rotation so that it may be applied to metaphyseal fractures. However, this takes the weight off the fracture site, slowing down the healing process. Due to deformation of the nail during its insertion into the canal, the external guide may be not exact, forcing the surgeon to operate with excessive use of an X-ray image intensifier.

The use of a large-diameter nail is another possibility that should eliminate rotation and increase stability [4]. This involves reaming the canal. It has been reported that this action can cause numerous complications, such as intramedullary circulation injuries, a rise in local temperature, and increased risk of embolism and infection, although this is not in accordance with the literature [5, 6]. One way to circumvent this problem could be to use the Fixion® expandable intramedullary nail (DISC-O-Teck, Herzliya, Israel). The diameter of this nail can be increased by 75% by introducing physiological solution inside it with a manual pump. In section, the expanded nail is characterized by four rods that penetrate the diaphyseal spongiosa. Its use removes the need for locking screws, and reaming becomes optional. Recent literature has emphasized the advantages of this technology for the surgeon (its ease of use) and for the patient (its good stabilization, and a healing rate of between 95 and 100%) [7–16]. The aim of our study was to evaluate the use of this expandable intramedullary nail, focusing on indications, advantages, problems and midterm results from its application to femoral and tibial fractures.

Materials and methods

All cases of lower limb fractures observed in a regional general hospital from January 2003 to December 2005 that were treated with the Fixion expandable intramedullary stabilization system were evaluated. This cohort consisted of a consecutive series of operations performed by the senior author (S.F.). Inclusion criteria were femoral consecutive fractures classified according to the AO classification as A2, A3, B1, B2 and tibial consecutive fractures of types A2, A3, B2 and B3 [17]. Other treatments were performed for long oblique and comminuted fractures, and for Gustilo and Anderson type II and III fractures.

The cases were classified as follows: 45 patients (aged from 17 to 58 years, mean 35), 48 inferior limb fractures, of which 21 were femoral fractures and 27 tibial fractures; three patients had been treated for both femoral fracture and tibial fracture. Our fracture series was distributed as follows: 11 fractures of type A2, three fractures of type A3, three fractures of type B1 and two of type B2. Our tibial fracture series was distributed as follows: seven fractures of type A2, 16 fractures of type A3, one fracture of type B2 and three of type B3.

We observed only one case of Gustilo and Anderson type I open fracture. All operations had been performed within 72 h of the trauma. Short-term antibiotic prophylaxis with cephalosporins was carried out; in cases with a high risk of infection, teicoplanin (400 mg) was added. Prevention of deep-vein thrombosis was achieved with low-molecular weight heparin, starting at the moment of trauma and continuing for three weeks or until the patient had regained adequate ambulation.

The nail was introduced via trochanteric access for femoral fractures and by transpatellar tendon for tibial fracture; in four cases the medullary canal was reamed. Nail length was determined using a metallic rule and an X-ray image intensifier.

Post-operative management: in the first post-operative day, active and passive physiotherapy of the neighboring joints was initiated without the use of a tutor to maintain muscular tone. Weight bearing was permitted on the second post-operative day using two crutches or a Zimmer frame; partially at first, and then progressively starting from ten days after surgery. X-ray controls were performed at one, three and six months after surgery for all patients, and at one year for 28 patients because of most of them lived too far away. The mean follow-up was 15 months.

Nails were removed at one year if possible; later removal is very difficult because of bone integration, so that attempts were only made after one year in young people. A second control group was created by selecting 48 consecutive patients previously treated with conventional locked nails for similar types of femoral and tibial fractures. This second group was similar to the first one in terms of demographic distribution (age 23–62 years, mean 37) and fracture type. It consisted of 24 femoral fractures (16 A2, 1 A3, 4 B1, 3 B2) and 24 tibial fractures (9 A2, 14 A3, 1 B3).

Surgical time was calculated from incision until skin suture and confront was done using a parametric test for paired data (Student’s t test).

The study was approved by the local ethics committee and conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki; the patients gave their informed consent prior to inclusion.

Results

Average surgical time in the Fixion nail group was 55 min (range, 35–90; SD, 12.5) versus 74 min in the control group (range, 50–110; SD, 14.09). This difference is statistically
significant ($P < 0.0001$). Postoperative checks evidenced good alignment in all cases with a good rotation and banding control: no angulation of $>5^\circ$ or clinically evident rotatory deformity was observed. Shortening was evaluated clinically by referring to the other limb: a shortening of $>2$ cm was observed in two cases of femoral fracture (1B1, 1B2) and in one case of tibial fracture (1B3); nevertheless, information about the pre-injury state was not available. The healing process appeared to be slower: radiological healing, that is the presence of bone bridges in at least three of the four corticals arose in three-quarters of the cases at the six-month control and in only one-quarter of them previously.

We report the following complications here: a case of intraoperative fracture widening with no effect on the treatment; a lesion of the tip of the nail with pneumatic system rupture that necessitated nail substitution; two cases of retarded consolidation at six months, where both tibial fractures were treated successfully with intralesion platelet gel; a case of nail incarceration on 17 removals, resolved by shearing. No cases of clinically evident compartment syndrome or pulmonary embolism were observed.

**Discussion**

The intramedullary nailing story started in the 1950s with the Kuntscher nail. Using a large-dimension nail after canal reaming was mandatory in order to guarantee rotatory stability [18]. However, this option was (and still is) associated with complications such as intramedullary

---

**Fig. 1** Male, 32 years old: preoperative X-rays showing a type A3 fracture

**Fig. 2** One-month postoperative X-rays

**Fig. 3** Three-month postoperative X-rays
material embolism (particularly dangerous in polytrauma-
tism with respiratory distress) and an increased risk of
infection, particularly in open fractures. Furthermore,

The expandable Fixion nail may be inserted without
reaming, ensuring rotatory stability, just as for bigger nails.
However, it is necessary to evaluate if the high contact
pressure inside the intramedullary canal slows down the
healing process. This would explain the retarded radiog-
graphic healing observed in our series. Difficulty with
performing distal locking is a problem in intramedullary
locked stabilization. This is particularly evident in the
femur more than in the tibia: because of the “procurvatum”
present in the femoral shape, the nail inserted into the canal
is subject to slight deformation, so that the external guide
becomes less efficient, forcing the surgeon to operate using
an X-ray image intensifier; this is potentially dangerous for
him, the patient and for other people involved [22]. The use
of the expandable intramedullary nail can circumvent this
problem. An average decrease of 20 min in intraoperative
time was observed because of it is easier to introduce and
there is no locking time. It is important to avoid damaging
the expansion system, which is particularly frail at the tip,
in order to avoid the need to change the nail. Because of its
characteristics, it is appropriate for treating femoral frac-
tures classified as A2, A3, B1 and B2 and localized 7 cm
distally from the lesser trochanter and 15 cm proximally to
the knee joint rim. We consider type A1 and B3 fractures to
be contraindications: type A1 because of the oblique bor-
ders and and type B3 because it is a multifragmentated
fracture and the risk of limb shortening is too high. A

Fig. 4 Six-month postoperative X-rays showing the radiological
healing process

Fig. 5 Male, 41 years old: preoperative X-rays showing a type A3 femoral fracture and a type A3 tibial fracture
representative case of femoral fracture is shown (Figs. 1, 2, 3). In the tibia we used the expandable nail for types A2, A3, B2 and B3, localized 7 cm distally from the tibial tuberosity and 7 cm proximally to the distal canal end; we did not treat A1 and B1 fractures because they were oblique. A second case of both femoral and tibia fracture is also shown (Figs. 4, 5, 6, 7). In our series, the results were considered satisfactory; evident problems concerning limb shortening that forced Wade et al. [23] to stop their prospective study were not observed.

Further studies are needed to evaluate the clinical and radiological healing times, which were increased in our series, and the importance of limb shortening for type AO fractures, considering that in the abovementioned study the authors used the expansion system for type A1 femoral fractures as well as for type A1 and B1 tibial fractures. All possible advantages should be taken into account when considering the elevated cost of the Fixion nail. Its use may be considered as a second choice for transverse and short oblique fractures in femur and tibia.
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