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This paper investigated weight misperceptions as determinants of racial/ethnic disparities in body mass index (BMI) among adolescent females using data from the National Survey of Youth 1997. Compared to their white counterparts, higher proportions of black and Hispanic adolescent females underperceived their weight status; that is, they misperceived themselves to have lower weight status compared to their clinically defined weight status. Compared to their black counterparts, higher proportions of white and Hispanic adolescent females misperceived themselves to be heavier than their clinical weight status. Oaxaca-Blinder decomposition analysis showed that accounting for weight misperceptions, in addition to individual and contextual factors, increased the total explained portion of the black-white female BMI gap from 44.7% to 54.3% but only slightly increased the total explained portion of the Hispanic-white gap from 62.8% to 63.1%. Weight misperceptions explained 13.0% of the black-white female BMI gap and 3.3% of the Hispanic-white female BMI gap. The regression estimates showed that weight underperceptions were important determinants of adolescent female BMI, particularly among black and Hispanic adolescents. Education regarding identification and interpretation of weight status may play an important role to help reduce the incidence and racial disparity of female adolescent obesity.

1. Introduction

The prevalence of obesity has reached epidemic proportions in the past few decades. Among adult females, disparities in obesity rates exist between non-Hispanic white women compared with both non-Hispanic black and Hispanic women whose respective obesity prevalence rates were 33.0%, 49.6%, and 43.0% in 2007-2008 [1]. The data show that these disparities are already present in adolescence. In fact, the current racial/ethnic obesity disparity among adolescent girls is striking with the prevalence rate for non-Hispanic black girls of 29.2% being more than twice the rate for non-Hispanic white girls of 14.5% [2]. Hispanic female youths are also heavier than their non-Hispanic white counterparts with an obesity prevalence rate of 17.5%, which is 21% higher than the rate among white female youths. The existing weight disparities at adolescence are of concern and suggest that future disparities may grow even further given that obesity tracks into adulthood [3]. It is important to understand the underlying factors that contribute to these disparities because obesity is associated with a series of negative health outcomes such as diabetes, heart disease, and cancer, and labor market outcomes related to wages and income [4–6].

A number of previous studies tried to explain the racial/ethnic disparities in adolescent weight outcomes by examining racial/ethnic differences in household socioeconomic status (SES) and differences in economic and environmental contexts. The relationship between obesity and SES was found to be complex, and it varied by race/ethnicity. For example, Gordon-Larsen et al. (2003) found an inverse relationship between overweight prevalence and SES for white adolescent females but overweight prevalence did not decrease with increased SES for either black or Hispanic teen girls. Using simulation analyses, the study showed that the racial/ethnic variation in overweight remained even when adolescents had similar SES, which suggests that other factors
beside SES might be responsible for the weight disparities [7]. Consistent with Gordon-Larsen et al. (2003) findings, Wang and Zhang (2006) found a negative relationship between SES and weight for white teens, but no clear relationship was found for black teens [8]. A recent study that examined parental SES along with economic contextual variables found that these factors altogether explained a large portion of the racial/ethnic weight gap for adolescent males but not for females and, in particular, explained the least amount (only 44%) of the racial gap in body mass index (BMI) between black and white adolescent females [9].

Previous studies did not directly account for the importance of social and cultural differences in weight perceptions as potential contributors to racial/ethnic disparities in weight outcomes. Weight misperception, the discrepancy between individual perceptions of weight status and actual weight status based on clinical definitions of weight, is believed to be an important factor in the development of obesity, given that the rising prevalence of obesity was paralleled by a higher incidence of weight misperceptions [10, 11]. Studies showed that between 1988–1994 and 1999–2004 there was an increase in weight misperceptions, particularly among overweight and obese individuals who were more likely to misperceive their weight as clinically normal or healthy [10, 11]. Evidence shows that the inability to correctly diagnose one’s clinical weight status as overweight is strongly associated with the increased risk for obesity [10, 12–15]. These findings could play an important role in explaining the higher incidence of obesity among minorities, as well as the overall trends in obesity prevalence [14, 15].

Evidence suggests that there are important racial/ethnic differences in weight perceptions. These differences are related to how permissive one’s social and cultural norms are towards excessive weight gain, with black and Hispanic norms concerning weight and weight gain being more permissive than the corresponding white norms [16–18]. A number of recent studies reported that weight misperceptions were more pronounced among black and Hispanic youths who were more likely to underestimate or under-perceive their clinical weight status [19–21].

There is a large body of literature investigating racial/ethnic differences in weight perceptions. It was recognized that the predominant Western white culture emphasizes the importance of physical appearance for female success and life satisfaction, with the ultrathin female body being attainable and ideal [22–24]. The sociocultural theoretical model proposed by Stice [22] suggested that racial/ethnic groups with non-Western cultures of origin may not internalize the ultrathin ideal body image embraced by the Western culture and may not place as much value on physical appearance as a means for female success and life satisfaction. As a result, individuals belonging to nonwhite groups may have different weight perceptions when compared to their white counterparts [23–25].

Cultural group attitudes towards weight may influence individual weight status perception through body weight satisfaction, perceived desirability, and perceived attractiveness. There is evidence of racial/ethnic differences in the perception of attractiveness and romantic desirability as a function of body size, with blacks and Hispanics preferring larger body sizes than whites [16, 17, 26]. Barroso and colleagues [16] reported that black and Hispanic adolescent males perceived heavier girls as more desirable than their thinner counterparts. Lower social stigma was associated with being overweight among blacks, with black males also reporting much less stigma than white males when thinking about dating a heavy female [17]. Research indicates that in describing beauty and attractiveness, female black teens placed less emphasis on physical characteristics and more emphasis on psychological traits, whereas white teens were more likely to associate beauty with thinness and were more likely to believe that thinness enhanced their romantic appeal [15, 18, 27–29]. In addition, black women and teens reported substantially higher levels of body shape and size satisfaction compared to their white and Hispanic counterparts [30–33].

The observed racial/ethnic differences in body satisfaction can be partially explained by differences in body ideals, with black and Hispanic youths having heavier body ideals than whites [16, 34]. Rucker and Cash (1992) found that in a sample of 104 black and white college student females there was no racial/ethnic difference in the perception of own weight. However, they found racial/ethnic differences in body size ideals, with black youths preferring larger body size as ideals than whites [35]. Fitzgibbon et al. (2000) examined differences between body image and body ideals and found that body dissatisfaction occurred at lower BMI levels for white women when compared with their black and Hispanic counterparts [36]. White women reported dissatisfaction at BMI levels corresponding to clinically normal weight, whereas black and Hispanic women did not report body dissatisfaction until they were almost clinically obese. Kronenfeld and colleagues (2010) also found that black women chose smaller silhouettes to represent their current size than their white counterparts, and they reported a preference toward larger silhouettes when compared to the ideal silhouettes preferred by white women [34].

This paper contributes to the existing literature by examining the importance of weight misperceptions as a contributor to racial/ethnic weight disparities among adolescent females. We use a decomposition method to assess the importance of weight misperceptions in addition to differences in individual, parental, and contextual factors. Specifically, our study examines whether weight misperceptions are important determinants of BMI for adolescent females and the extent to which racial/ethnic differences in weight misperceptions explain part of the racial/ethnic disparities in BMI for adolescent females.

2. Data

This paper used individual-level data from the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth 1997 (NLSY97). The NLSY97 is administered in the USA by the Bureau of Labor Statistics and follows annually a representative cohort of youths who were aged 12–17 in the first year of the survey, 1997. It contains a large body of information on SES and individual characteristics. This paper used the first four waves of the survey (1997–2000). Our initial sample consisted of
2.1. Outcome Measures. The outcomes of interest were the ethnic disparities in BMI between non-Hispanic black (hereafter referred to as black) and non-Hispanic white (hereafter referred to as white) adolescent females (black-white) and between Hispanic and white adolescent females (Hispanic-white). BMI was calculated as weight (in kilograms) divided by height squared (in meters) using self-reported weight and height collected in each year of the survey.

2.2. Weight Misperception Measure. Indicators for weight misperceptions were constructed as the difference between the survey respondents’ perception of their weight status and their actual weight status based on clinical definitions of weight. Each wave of the survey contained a question that asks “How would you describe your weight?” The response to this question was used to create four perceived weight categories: perceived underweight (equaled 1 if “very underweight” or “slightly underweight,” 0 otherwise), perceived normal (equaled 1 if “about normal,” 0 otherwise), perceived overweight (equaled 1 if “slightly overweight,” 0 otherwise), and perceived obese (equaled 1 if “very overweight,” 0 otherwise). Each survey respondent was also classified into one of the four weight categories as defined by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) age and gender specific growth charts (underweight if BMI percentile ≤5th percentile; normal weight if 5th percentile ≤BMI percentile <85th percentile; overweight if 85th percentile ≤BMI percentile <95th percentile; obese if BMI percentile ≥95th percentile). Three categorical variables for the correctness of clinical weight perceptions were then created as the difference between individuals’ perceived weight and their clinical weight category: overperceived weight status, correctly perceived weight status, and underperceived weight status. An individual was defined as having overperceived (underperceived) weight status if she perceived her weight status as being heavier (lighter) than her clinical weight category. In other words, individuals with underperceived weight status misperceived themselves to be “thinner” or “skinnier” than their actual clinical weight status whereas the opposite was true for individuals with overperceived weight status.

2.3. Controls Measures. We controlled for standard individual and household characteristics including age, age of menarche, youth’s income (including allowance and wages), hours per week worked by youth, living arrangements (living with both or just one parent), and mother’s working status (working full-, part-time, or not working), which were obtained from the youth reports. We also controlled for parental income and mothers’ education as proxies for household SES. Information on parental income (including wages and salary, investments, child support, and social assistance), in each wave, was collected from the parental questionnaire, and data regarding mother’s education (less than high school, high school, some college and more) was obtained from both the parental questionnaire and the youth reports.

In addition, we controlled for a number of contextual factors that may contribute to weight disparities. We included measures of fast food prices and food at home prices obtained from the American Chamber of Commerce Researchers Association (ACCRRA). These price data were matched to each wave of the NLSY97 sample based on the closest city match available in the ACCRA data using the county-level geocode identifier. Only observations for which price matches from the same or contiguous county were available were included in our analyses. An additional categorical indicator that controlled for prices matched on the same versus contiguous county was added to all the analyses. We also controlled for the commercial food and activity environment using outlet density measures of available food stores (supermarkets/grocery stores and convenience stores), restaurants (fast food restaurants and full-service restaurants), and commercial physical activity-related outlets obtained from business lists created by Dun & Bradstreet (D&B). The outlet density measures were matched by county and year and were defined as the number of outlets per 10,000 capita. The price and outlet measures are described in greater detail elsewhere [37]. Finally, we also controlled for median county-level household income and for the type of residence: urban, suburban, or rural, based on Census 2000 data merged to the NLSY97 by the county-level geocode identifiers.

3. Empirical Models

A standard Oaxaca-Blinder decomposition analysis [38, 39] was performed to determine the extent to which weight misperceptions explained the racial/ethnic BMI gaps among adolescent females. This methodology decomposes the observed racial/ethnic disparities in BMI into two main components: explained and unexplained portions. The explained portion is calculated as the interaction between the racial/ethnic differences in the endowments of explanatory factors and the average estimated effects. The explained portion is therefore of main interest because it measures how much of the racial/ethnic difference in BMI can be “explained” in terms of the differential endowments of explanatory factors. The unexplained portion is calculated as the interaction between the population endowments and the weighted average of estimated coefficients by race/ethnicity.
and therefore can be interpreted as the differential response to the determinants of BMI by each racial/ethnic group. To avoid a well-known index number problem associated with Oaxaca-Blinder decomposition, we implement a widely used alternative proposed by Neumark [40], which uses the estimated coefficients from the pooled regression as the average estimated effects. Several recent studies applied this methodology to studying disparities in public health including gender differences in smoking [41], cross-country differences in obesity between the USA and Canada [42] and Spain and Italy [43], and racial/ethnic differences in BMI between black-white and Hispanic-white adolescents [9]. In addition to the results from the decomposition analysis, we present results from the pooled cross-sectional ordinary least squares (OLS) analyses by racial and ethnic subgroups. The standard errors are robust and two-way clustered at the individual and county level [44].

Our two main hypotheses are that (1) weight misperceptions are important determinants of BMI for adolescent females, and (2) racial/ethnic differences in weight misperceptions explain part of the racial/ethnic BMI gap for adolescent females previously unexplained by standard control measures. A substantial increase in the explained portion due to racial/ethnic differences in weight misperceptions would indicate that policies that reduce the racial/ethnic differences in weight misperceptions may help reduce racial/ethnic differences in health outcomes.

4. Results

4.1. Descriptive Statistics. Table 1 shows the summary statistics by race/ethnicity for BMI, weight misperceptions, individual and household characteristics, parental SES, and economic contextual factors. On average, white adolescent females had lower BMI by 2.2 units and 0.9 units compared to their black and Hispanic counterparts, respectively. These differences are equivalent to 13.2 lbs and 5.4 lbs difference, respectively, for an average 15-year-old female with a height of 5’5”.

On average, compared to white adolescent females, black adolescents were more than twice as likely to underperceive their clinical weight status, perceiving that they were lighter than they actually were and Hispanic adolescents were almost one quarter more likely to do so (12.9% for whites versus 28.6% for blacks and 15.9% for Hispanics). Compared to black adolescents, white and Hispanic adolescent females were more likely to overperceive their clinical weight status thinking that they were heavier than they were (14.1% for blacks versus 24.9% for whites and 22.3% for Hispanic adolescents). The majority of all female adolescents, though to a lesser extent for blacks, correctly perceived their weight status (57.3% for blacks versus 62.2% for whites and 61.8% for Hispanic adolescents).

4.2. Weight Misperceptions by Weight Categories. Table 2 presents descriptive statistics of the weight misperceptions by actual clinical weight categories across the three racial/ethnic groups. Except for the overweight adolescents who correctly identified their personal weight status category, there were no other statistically significant differences between white and Hispanic adolescent girls. In contrast, statistically significant differences between black and white adolescent girls were found for all weight status categories, except for the clinically underweight adolescents and the overweight adolescents who overperceived their weight. For all weight status categories, white adolescents were, on average, less likely to underperceive their actual weight status than their black counterparts. In particular, 15.0% of overweight white adolescent females underperceived their weight status compared to 44.9% of overweight black female adolescents and 59.0% of obese white adolescents underperceived their weight compared to 72.7% of obese black female adolescents.

4.3. Decomposition Results. The contribution of weight misperceptions to the “explained” part of the racial/ethnic BMI gaps is shown in Table 3. The base model (Model 1) included the standard individual and household characteristics as well as economic contextual variables. Model 2 added the weight misperception covariates to Model 1.

The base model, Model 1, explained 44.7% of the black-white disparity in adolescent female BMI (column 1) and 62.8% of the Hispanic-white disparity in BMI (column 4). Adding the weight misperception covariates in Model 2 raised the total explained portion of the black-white BMI disparity to 54.3% (column 2) but dropped the portion attributable to individual and environmental contextual characteristics from 44.7% in Model 1 to 41.3% in Model 2. This result suggests that the explanatory power previously assigned to individual and economic contextual factors was in part due to racial differences in weight misperceptions. When the weight misperception covariates were added, the total explained portion of the BMI disparity for Hispanic-white females remained almost unchanged increasing from 62.8% to 63.1%. As shown in Table 3, weight misperceptions explained only 3.3% of the Hispanic-white BMI gap in Model 2. These results suggest that weight misperceptions previously omitted in Model 1 were important and independent determinants of the black-white racial disparity in BMI but less important for the Hispanic-white ethnic disparity in BMI: the portion attributable to weight misperceptions was about 13.0% for the black-white gap compared to 3.3% for the Hispanic-white BMI gap. Weight misperceptions therefore contributed significantly to the total explained portion of the black-white BMI gap making up 23.9% of the total explained portion (13.0% of the total 54.3% total explained) but contributed only modestly to the Hispanic-white total explained BMI gap, making up only 5.2% of Hispanic-white total explained portion (3.3% of the total 63.1% explained).

4.4. Regression Results. To further understand the contribution of weight perceptions to BMI across race/ethnicity, Table 4 shows the results for the pooled cross-sectional OLS results of the determinants of BMI. The excluded category for weight misperceptions was the correctly perceived weight
The results show a significant positive association between underperceived weight status and BMI for all races/ethnicities, and this association was found to be larger for black and Hispanic adolescents. White adolescent females who underperceived their weight status were estimated to have, on average, higher BMI by 1 unit when compared to white adolescents who correctly identified their weight status. Weight underperception by black and Hispanic adolescent females was associated with 3.8 and 2.2 higher BMI units, respectively, when compared to the corresponding adolescent females who correctly identified their weight status. Weight over-perceptions were not associated with BMI for any of the racial/ethnic subgroups.

### Table 1: Summary statistics: means (SD) and frequencies.

| Outcome measure                      | White N = 2,697 | Black N = 1,316 | Hispanic N = 1,022 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Body mass index                      | 21.56 (3.81)    | 23.80<sup>a</sup> (5.57) | 22.43<sup>ab</sup> (4.56) |
| Weight perceptions                   |                 |                 |                    |
| Overperceived weight status          | 24.90%          | 14.10%<sup>a</sup> | 22.30%<sup>b</sup> |
| Correct                              | 62.20%          | 57.30%<sup>a</sup> | 61.80%<sup>b</sup> |
| Underperceived weight status         | 12.90%          | 28.60%<sup>a</sup> | 15.90%<sup>ab</sup> |
| Individual and household characteristics|                |                 |                    |
| Age                                  | 15.78 (1.82)    | 15.81 (1.85)    | 15.70 (1.94)       |
| Age of menarche                      | 12.22 (1.51)    | 11.64<sup>a</sup> (1.69) | 11.79<sup>ab</sup> (1.75) |
| Youth income                         | 794.60 (1,554)  | 545.80<sup>a</sup> (1,176) | 592.60<sup>b</sup> (1,854) |
| Hours per week worked by youth       | 12.21 (14.37)   | 10.82<sup>a</sup> (14.95) | 10.19<sup>a</sup> (15.26) |
| Youth lives with one biological parent| 21.00%          | 50.40%<sup>a</sup> | 30.10%<sup>ab</sup> |
| Mother does not work                 | 17.50%          | 21.70%<sup>a</sup> | 26.70%<sup>ab</sup> |
| Mother works part time               | 20.40%          | 11.90%<sup>a</sup> | 16.00%<sup>ab</sup> |
| Mother works full time               | 62.10%          | 66.40%<sup>a</sup> | 57.30%<sup>b</sup> |
| Urban residence                      | 70.61%          | 76.96%<sup>a</sup> | 90.00%<sup>b</sup> |
| Suburban residence                   | 9.59%           | 6.64%<sup>a</sup> | 4.51%<sup>a</sup> |
| Rural residence                      | 19.80%          | 16.40%<sup>a</sup> | 5.49%<sup>ab</sup> |
| Parental socioeconomic status        |                 |                 |                    |
| Parental income ($1982–1984)         | 39,357 (31,885) | 18,922<sup>a</sup> (25,479) | 21,450<sup>ab</sup> (28,114) |
| Mother not completed high school     | 8.70%           | 18.20%<sup>a</sup> | 37.80%<sup>ab</sup> |
| Mother completed high school         | 35.90%          | 42.50%<sup>a</sup> | 34.40%<sup>b</sup> |
| Mother completed more than high school| 55.40%          | 39.30%<sup>a</sup> | 27.80%<sup>ab</sup> |
| Neighborhood food, physical activity, and socioeconomic contextual factors | | | |
| Price of fast food                   | 2.76 (0.16)     | 2.74<sup>a</sup> (0.20) | 2.84<sup>ab</sup> (0.20) |
| Price of food at home                | 1.09 (0.10)     | 1.09 (0.12)      | 1.14<sup>ab</sup> (0.17) |
| Fast food restaurants (per 10,000 capita) | 2.36 (0.82)    | 2.60<sup>a</sup> (0.86) | 2.32<sup>b</sup> (0.63) |
| Full-service restaurants (per 10,000 capita) | 10.54 (2.93)   | 11.34<sup>a</sup> (4.48) | 11.08<sup>b</sup> (3.25) |
| Grocery stores (per 10,000 capita)   | 3.00 (1.44)     | 4.14<sup>a</sup> (2.55) | 3.04<sup>b</sup> (1.78) |
| Convenience stores (per 10,000 capita) | 1.96 (1.14)    | 2.48<sup>a</sup> (1.71) | 1.52<sup>ab</sup> (0.96) |
| Physical activity outlets (per 10,000 capita) | 3.68 (1.14)    | 3.24<sup>a</sup> (1.47) | 2.97<sup>ab</sup> (1.20) |
| County level median household income ($2000) | 44,194 (10,417) | 39,209<sup>a</sup> (10,020) | 43,390<sup>b</sup> (12,001) |

Summary statistics are weighted using the NLSY sampling weights. SD is standard deviation.

<sup>a</sup>Statistically different than whites at P ≤ 0.05; <sup>b</sup>Statistically different from blacks at P ≤ 0.05.

### 5. Discussion

In this paper, we investigated racial/ethnic differences in weight misperceptions and their contribution towards racial/ethnic disparities in adolescent female BMI. Overall, more than one-half of female adolescents correctly identified their weight status, with statistically significantly more whites and Hispanics doing so compared to their black counterparts (62.2%, 57.3%, and 61.8% for white, black, and Hispanic adolescent females, resp.). On the one hand, white and Hispanic adolescent females were more likely to over-perceive their weight status compared to black adolescent females, thinking they were heavier than they were clinically

status.
Table 2: Frequencies of weight perceptions by race and ethnicity and by weight categories.

|                   | White |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
|-------------------|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                   | (1)   | (2)      | (3)      | (4)      | (5)      | (6)      | (7)      | (8)      | (9)      |
| Overweight        |       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Correctly         |       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| perceived weight  | 44.40%| 55.60%   | —        | 64.30%   | 35.70%   | —        | 41.40%   | 58.60%   | —        |
| weight status     |       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Underweight       |       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Correctly         |       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| perceived weight  | 26.70%| 62.40%   | 10.90%   | 17.30%   | 68.00%   | 14.70%   | 24.70%   | 64.30%   | 11.00%   |
| weight status     |       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Overweight        |       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Correctly         |       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| perceived weight  | 12.00%| 73.00%   | 15.00%   | 8.50%    | 46.60%   | 44.90%   | 16.50%   | 62.50%   | 21.00%   |
| weight status     |       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Obese             |       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Correctly         |       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| perceived weight  | —      | 41.00%   | 59.00%   | —        | 27.30%   | 72.70%   | —        | 38.70%   | 61.30%   |
| weight status     |       |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| N                 | 655   | 1652     | 345      | 183      | 739      | 367      | 222      | 623      | 159      |

Summary statistics are weighted using the NLSY sampling weights.

aStatistically different from whites at $P \leq 0.05$; bStatistically different from blacks at $P \leq 0.05$.

Underweight: BMI percentile < 5; normal weight: 85 > BMI percentile ≥ 5; overweight: 95 > BMI percentile ≥ 85; obese: BMI percentile ≥ 95.

Table 3: Percentage contributions from decomposition model of racial and ethnic disparities in adolescent body mass index.

|                   | Black-white adolescent females | Hispanic-white adolescent females |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                   | (BMI gap = 2.2 units)        | (BMI gap = 0.9 units)            |
| (Column)          | (1)                          | (2)                          | (3)                          | (4)                          |
| Variables         | Model 1                      | Model 2                      | Model 1                      | Model 2                      |
| Individual, parental, and economic contextual factors | 44.72%                      | 41.36%                      | 62.81%                      | 59.83%                      |
| Weight misperceptions | 12.96%                      | 12.96%                      | 3.31%                       | 3.31%                       |
| Total percentage explained | 44.72%                      | 54.32%                      | 62.81%                      | 63.13%                      |

Variables included in each category correspond to the list shown in Table 1.

(24.9%, 14.1%, and 22.3% for white, black, and Hispanic adolescent females, resp.). On the other hand, compared to white adolescent females, more than twice as many black adolescents and close to one quarter more Hispanic adolescents underperceived their weight status, thinking they were lighter than they were clinically (12.9%, 28.6%, and 15.9% for white, black, and Hispanic adolescent females, resp.). In particular, almost three times more overweight black adolescents and 40% more overweight Hispanic adolescents underperceived their weight status when compared to their overweight white counterparts. In addition, 23% more obese black adolescents underperceived their weight status when compared to their obese white counterparts.

We found that weight misperceptions were particularly important factors in explaining the black-white BMI gap for adolescent girls, increasing the total explained portion of the BMI disparity from 44.7% to 54.3%. When added to the Hispanic-white BMI model, weight misperceptions increased the total explained portion of the BMI disparity just slightly from 62.8% to 63.1%. Weight misperceptions explained 13.0% and 3.3% of the black-white and Hispanic-white BMI gaps, respectively. The large increase in the total explained portion of the black-white BMI gap from the inclusion of weight misperceptions (from 44.7% to 54.3%, an approximate 10 percentage points increase) was close to the same size as the explained portion attributable to the weight misperception variables (13.3%), which suggests that previously omitted weight misperceptions are important determinants of the black-white racial disparity in adolescent female BMI that were not accounted for by the individual, household, and contextual controls.

In addition, weight underperceptions were significantly associated with higher BMI for all adolescent girls but to a greater extent for black and Hispanic adolescents. This suggests that not only do the differences in misperceptions contribute to the explained racial/ethnic BMI gaps, but the differential association of underperceptions with BMI further exacerbates the unexplained part of the disparity.

This paper is subject to a number of limitations. First, height and weight were self-reported. Second, the ACCRA price data had a number of limitations, which included: the data were only collected in a limited number of cities and metropolitan areas; the data were based on establishment samples that reflect a higher standard of living; and ACCRA did not always sample the same cities continuously and hence the data were not fully comparable over time [45]. Third, the outlet density count measures were subject to count error and we were limited to using SIC codes which may have classification errors [46]. Fourth, the geographic identifiers in the NLSY97 data only allowed us to control for...
the economic contextual measures at the county level rather than at more proximate levels. Fifth, smaller sample sizes among the gender-racial/ethnic subgroups may have limited statistical power in our regression analyses that assessed the differential associations with BMI by race and ethnicity. Finally, differences in other social and cultural factors such as immigration generation to the USA, social support, and stress, as well as dietary and physical activity preferences may contribute to the racial/ethnic disparities and deserve further attention in future disparities-related obesity research.

Despite these limitations, several key and interesting results emerged from our analyses. We documented that compared to white adolescents, higher percentages of black and Hispanic adolescent females underperceived their weight status. This disparity was particularly prevalent between black and white overweight adolescent females. These study findings are consistent with a recent study where black and Hispanic adults reported self-perceived health status as higher than their actual clinical health status [47]. Positive attitudes toward health and weight, in particular, among black and Hispanic adults may have a protective effect against certain eating disorders such as anorexia nervosa [23]. At the same time, however, these perceptions may pose a serious problem with respect to increased risk of obesity.

In addition, our estimation results showed that weight underperceptions were significantly associated with adolescent female BMI for all three racial/ethnic groups, particularly for black and Hispanic adolescents for which the estimated association was higher than for whites. These results, although compelling, document only the association between weight underperceptions and female adolescents BMI. Further research is needed to clearly establish potential causality.

Overall, the study results suggest that policies aimed at reducing the obesity epidemic and the racial/ethnic BMI gap should try to address the racial/ethnic differences in weight misperceptions through early education regarding the interpretation and identification of one's weight status. Adjustments in perceptions may help to reduce disparities in weight outcomes, particularly between black and white adolescent females, and help to reduce related health disparities. Given that weight misperceptions are formed at the individual level but are often influenced by cultural norms, there may be an important role for school-based identification of clinical overweight and obesity status to help reduce the incidence of obesity among adolescent females.
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