A direct measurement of the Bose-Einstein Condensation universality class in NiCl$_2$-4SC(NH$_2$)$_2$ at ultra-low temperatures
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In this work, we demonstrate field-induced Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) in the organic compound NiCl$_2$-4SC(NH$_2$)$_2$ using AC susceptibility measurements down to 1 mK. The Ni $S$=1 spins exhibit 3D XY antiferromagnetism between a lower critical field $H_{c1} \sim 2$ T and a upper critical field $H_{c2} \sim 12$ T. The results show a power-law temperature dependence of the phase transition line $H_{c1}(T) - H_{c1}(0) = a T^\alpha$ with $\alpha = 1.47 \pm 0.10$ and $H_{c1}(0) = 2.053$ T, consistent with the 3D Bose-Einstein Condensation universality class. An abnormal change was found in the phase boundary near $H_{c2}$ at approximately 150 mK.

The idea of Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) occurring in the spin systems of certain quantum magnets with axial symmetry has been explored extensively in the past few years [1]. This idea was first suggested by Affleck in 1991 [2] and first investigated experimentally in the compound TiCuCl$_3$ [3]. Although BEC in TiCuCl$_3$ has been called into question in an oft-overlooked electron spin resonance (ESR) study [4], the work on TiCuCl$_3$ generated a flurry of experimental and theoretical activity in the field and many new candidate BEC systems have since been proposed [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13].

In these compounds, the axial symmetry of the spins allows XY antiferromagnetic order to occur over certain ranges of temperature and magnetic field. Near the critical magnetic fields where the long-range order is induced or suppressed, the spin system can be mapped onto a system of dilute hard-core bosons on a lattice and the field-induced quantum phase transition at the boundary of the long-range ordered state can be modeled as a Bose-Einstein condensation [14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. The caveat is that in quantum magnets the boson number is proportional to the longitudinal magnetization, and thus is conserved in equilibrium rather than strictly on all time scales. Therefore, in quantum magnets only the thermodynamic properties of the system should follow the predictions of BEC theory and nonequilibrium effects such as supercurrents will not occur. Nevertheless, these compounds provide an important test of BEC phase transition in the thermodynamic limit.

A key experimental signature of BEC is a power-law temperature-dependence of the number of condensed bosons with an exponent of 3/2. This power-law is the low-temperature limit of the boson distribution function. In the spin systems this translates to a power-law temperature dependence of the critical field line $H_c - H_{c1}(0) \propto T^\alpha$ where $\alpha = 3/2$ [19, 20]. It is important to note that this power-law is valid in the limit of very low temperatures. So far very few quantum magnet BEC candidates have been studied at temperatures well below the energy scales for boson interactions, which are given by the antiferromagnetic couplings between spins. Furthermore, if the temperature range at which the power-law fit is performed is far from zero temperature, then it is very difficult to accurately identify the intercept, and the resulting power-law exponent that is derived from the fit is highly dependent on the value of the intercept used [21]. One way to circumvent this problem is the windowing method in which the intercept and the exponent are determined more-or-less independently by performing fits over different temperature ranges and extrapolating the values of the intercept and the exponent to zero temperature [22]. However, this extrapolation technique from higher temperatures does not always correspond to the actual low-temperature behavior as was seen in the compound BaCuSi$_2$O$_6$, where at temperatures above 1 K, the windowing method yields one exponent, but due to a reduction in dimensionality of the spin system, a different exponent can be observed at lower temperatures [23].

Here we report a direct observation of the 3/2 power-law exponent of the 3D BEC universality class at ultra-low temperatures in the compound DTN (NiCl$_2$-4SC(NH$_2$)$_2$). Our measurements were performed at temperatures down to 1 mK, which is two orders of magnitude below the lowest temperature scale for magnetic coupling in this system $J_a = 180$ mK, and the lowest temperature ever used to investigate BEC in a quantum magnet. Thus we do not have to use any extrapolation to determine the power-law exponent.

The organic magnet DTN contains $S = 1$ Ni$^{2+}$ atoms that form two interpenetrating tetragonal lattices. At zero field, a uniaxial anisotropy $D \sim 9$ K splits the Ni $S = 1$ triplet into a $S_z = 0$ ground state and a $S_z = \pm 1$ excited doublet. The $S_z = 1$ state can be suppressed with applied magnetic fields along the tetragonal $c$-axis via the Zeeman effect, thus producing a magnetic ground state above $H_{c1} = 2.1$ T. Antiferromagnetic coupling between
the Ni atoms with exchange strength $J_a = 1.8$ K along the c-axis and $J_a = 0.18$ K perpendicular to the c-axis produces long-range antiferromagnetic order [24]. The long-range order occurs in a dome-shaped region of the $T - H$ phase diagram between $H_{c1}$, where the magnetic ground state is induced, and $H_{c2}$ where the spins align with the applied magnetic field and below $T = 1$ K [7]. The $XY$ symmetry, the magnetic exchange couplings and the uniaxial anisotropy $D$ have been identified via inelastic neutron scattering and electron spin resonance (ESR). The power-law dependence of the critical field line $H_{c1}$ was previously investigated using specific heat, magnetocaloric effect and magnetization measurements down to a minimum temperature of 100 mK [7, 23] using the windowing method, and a power-law consistent with $\alpha = 3/2$ was determined. The validity of this power-law was called into question however due to the fact that it is an extrapolation rather a direct measurement in particular in light of the recent new results for BaCuSi$_2$O$_6$ showing a different exponent at low temperatures. [23]

We have now measured the power-law temperature dependence of the critical field $H_{c1}$ down to 1 mK using AC susceptibility measurements. The experiments were carried out using a PrNi$_5$ nuclear refrigerator and a 15 T magnet at the High $B/T$ facility of National High Magnetic Field Laboratory. The sample was immersed in liquid $^3$He in a polycarbonate cell, and thermal contact to the refrigerator was assured via sintered silver that was an integral part of an assembly of annealed silver rods extending form the nuclear refrigerator. The temperature was calibrated by a $^3$He melting-pressure curve thermometer mounted in the zero-field region of the magnet, at the top of the nuclear stage. Both AC and DC magnetic fields were applied in the direction parallel to the c-axis of the single crystal of DTN. An AC signal with an amplitude of $0.5 \sim 1$ G and a low frequency of 275 Hz was generated in a primary coil wound from superconducting NbTi wire, thereby avoiding heating at ultra-low-temperatures. The AC susceptibility $\chi_{ac}$ was measured by sweeping the external DC field at rates between 0.1 and $\sim 0.001$ T/min while the temperature was fixed at various values between 270 and 1.0 mK.

Fig. 1 shows three traces of AC susceptibility $\chi_{ac}$ for temperatures of 20, 150, and 600 mK with a relatively fast sweep rate of 0.054 T/min. The typical field-induced long-range AFM (BEC) transitions appears as steps in these traces with $H_{c1} \sim 2$ T and $H_{c2} \sim 12$ T. As the temperature is lowered, the steps become sharper and a peak develops near $H_{c2}$.

The values of $H_{c1}$ and $H_{c2}$ were determined from the peak in the first derivative of the AC susceptibility $d\chi_{ac}/dH_c$, as shown in the inset of Fig. 2. In the critical field - temperature phase diagram shown in Fig. 2(a) and Fig. 2(b), the temperature dependence of $H_{c1}$ and $H_{c2}$ have been plotted separately. The data points in Fig. 2 were collected from the magnetization traces with a field sweep-rate of 0.0068 T/min. As shown in Fig. 2(a), $H_{c2}$ saturates at 12.175 T as $T$ approaches zero. However, there exists a region marked by a dashed circle at approximately 150 mK, where a shoulder appears. The origin of this anomaly is unclear at this point.

For $H_{c1}$ in Fig. 2(b), we fit a power-law temperature dependence $H_{c1}(T) - H_{c1}(0) = aT^\alpha$ to the data between 1 and 260 mK, yielding an intercept of 2.053 T and an exponent of 1.47 $\pm$ 0.1 as shown in Fig. 1. In order to demonstrate that the exponent is robust and independent of the intercept, we also performed fits to the data with the intercept held fixed for various intercepts between 2.04 and 2.06 T in Fig. 3. The table in the figure indicates the exponent, it’s error bar, and the value of $\chi^2$ for each fit. The intercept with the lowest value of $\chi^2$ is $H_{c1}(0) = 2.053$ T, yielding an exponent $\alpha = 1.46$ in that fit. Furthermore, all the fits for all the intercepts yield values close to 1.5. Finally we show $H_{c1}$ as a function of $T^{1.5}$ in Fig. 4. The inset shows the same data as a function of $T^2$, showing that we can rule out that temperature dependence. Thus the best fit yields an exponent $\alpha = 1.47 \pm 0.1$, which closely matches the expected exponent $\alpha = 1.5$ for a quantum phase transition in the 3D BEC universality class. Other nearby universality classes such as the 3D Ising ($\alpha = 2$) and 2D BEC ($\alpha = 1$) can be excluded.

Finally, we found that DTN reached thermal equilibrium at 1 mK in a few minutes which is short in comparison with other solid samples that we have measured in the same configuration, including heavy fermion and two-dimensional electronic gas samples with cooling time constants as long as hours [23]. DTN as well as the other samples mentioned here were immersed in the liquid $^3$He,
FIG. 2: (Color online) (a) The temperature dependence of the upper critical field $H_{c2}$ for a field sweep rate of 0.0068 T/min. The dashed circle shows an abnormal change in slope. (b) The temperature dependence of the lower critical field $H_{c1}$ in a field sweep rate of 0.0068 T/min. The line is a fit to the equation $H_{c1}(T) - H_{c1}(0) \sim T^2$ with $\alpha = 1.47 \pm 0.1$ and $H_{c1}(0) = 2.053$ T. Inset to (b): The temperature dependence of $d\chi_{ac}/dH$, with the critical field $H_{c1}$ indicated by an arrow.

FIG. 3: (Color online) (a) The critical field $H_{c1}$ as a function of temperature $T$. The lines are fits of the equation $H_{c1}(T) - H_{c1}(0) = aT^\alpha$, where $H_{c1}(0)$ is held fixed and $\alpha$ and $\alpha$ are allowed to vary.

FIG. 4: (Color online) The lower critical field $H_{c1}$ as a function of $T^\alpha$, where $\alpha \sim 1.5$. The dashed straight line is the linear fit to this trace. Inset: $H_{c1}$ as a function of $T^\alpha$, where $\alpha \sim 2$.

rather than being glued to a cold finger. There are several possible explanations for the short thermal equilibrium time at low temperatures. Firstly, the loose structure of DTN may enhance the cooling efficiency. The $^3$He atoms can penetrate into the interlayer distance of 8.981 Å. Secondly, there exist possible exchange interactions between the spins of the $^3$He atoms and electrons in the sample. Finally, a compatibility between phonon vibration modes in the $^3$He and the sample may play a role.

In conclusion, we have established that the field-induced quantum phase transition at $H_{c1}$ in DTN belongs to the 3D BEC universality class by directly measuring the power-law exponent in the relation $H_{c1}(T) - H_{c1}(0) \propto T^\alpha$ down to 1 mK. This is the first example of a direct measurement of this exponent at temperatures far below the energy scales for antiferromagnetic coupling in a magnetic insulator.

This work was carried out at the High $B/T$ facility of the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory supported by the National Science Foundation Cooperative Agreement No. DMR 0654118 and the State of Florida.
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