Purpose: The primary purpose of this study was to assess the use of autologous chondrocyte implantation (ACI) procedures in the knee during last decade, and the secondary aims of the study were to determine reoperation rates after ACI and to identify associated risk factors. Methods: A retrospective cohort study from 2010–2020 was performed using the PearlDiver database. The database was queried for the Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) code for ACI performed in any knee location, including the patellofemoral and tibiofemoral joints. Reoperation was defined as interventional knee procedures or total knee arthroplasty after ACI. Reoperations were identified using CPT and International Classification of Diseases codes. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression were used to identify risk factors for reoperation. Significance was defined as $P < .05$. Results: Among the 2010 patients included in this study, there were 90-day and overall reoperation rates of 2.24% and 30.4%, respectively, with an average follow up of 4.8 ± 3.3 years. The most common reoperations included chondroplasty, meniscectomy, and microfracture. There was an increased rate of ACI performed from 2017–2019 (5.53/100,000) compared to 2014–2016 (4.16/100,000; $P < .001$). ACI surgeries performed in 2017–2019 were associated with decreased risk of reoperation within 2 years relative to 2014–2016 (odds ratio [OR] = 0.70; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.52–0.94; $P = .019$). In the entire ACI cohort, older age (OR = 1.07; 95% CI, 1.05–1.09; $P < .001$) and tobacco use (OR = 2.13; 95% CI, 1.06–3.94; $P = .022$) were associated with increased risk of conversion to arthroplasty. Male sex was associated with decreased overall reoperation rates (OR = 0.73; 95% CI, 0.60–0.89; $P = .002$). Conclusions: There has been increasing use of ACI in the knee with decreased risk of reoperation since 2017 and the introduction of matrix-associated autologous chondrocyte implantation. Older age and tobacco use were predictors of increased risk of conversion to arthroplasty. Male sex was associated with decreased risk of reoperation. Level of Evidence: Level IV, retrospective cohort design; database study.

Articular cartilage and osteochondral injuries of the knee joint are common and can result in significant pain and knee dysfunction.1–3 Previous studies have reported chondral lesions in up to 65% of knees at the time of diagnostic arthroscopy.4–6 Over the last few decades, the treatment of articular cartilage defects has evolved as restorative cartilage procedures, such as autologous chondrocyte implantation (ACI) and osteochondral auto/allograft transplantations, have been developed. The annual incidence of articular surgical and traumatic cartilage injuries in the knee remains high and continues to rise with an aging and increasingly active population.7–10 The demand for articular cartilage restorative procedures continues to grow as the number of knee arthroscopies performed annually increases.11–13 Autologous chondrocyte implantation has been the gold standard for the treatment of articular cartilage defects, and advancements in technology and surgical techniques have improved outcomes and increased the success rates over the years.14–20
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cartilage surgeries among all orthopaedic surgeries performed in the United States has been estimated at 5%, with a recent increase in these restorative procedures relative to procedures such as chondroplasty or microfracture.7,8

Among restorative cartilage procedures, a systematic review demonstrated that third-generation or matrix-associated autologous chondrocyte implantation (MACI) has become favored in recent years in the setting of full-thickness articular cartilage defects of the knee.9 The current generation of MACI was introduced relatively recently in the United States, with Food and Drug Administration approval received in December 2016. This newly approved implant offers autologous chondrocytes cultured on a porcine collagen membrane and can be implanted with a generally simpler process than prior generations of ACI that required suturing a collagen patch.10

The understanding of complications and reoperation with regards to ACI/MACI is overall limited. In national database studies evaluating trends in articular cartilage surgeries in the United States, data from patients with ACI and osteochondral auto/allograft transplantations are usually combined, thus limiting our ability to directly interpret ACI utilization rates.7,8 Additionally, few studies have investigated reoperation rates after ACI and the risk factors associated with this specific technique in a large cohort of patients. Most existing data are from single-institutions or review articles which examine studies with different definitions of failure or significant reoperation.11-15 Generalizable data on the prevalence and predictors of reoperations after ACI in the United States are lacking.

The primary purpose of this study was to assess the use of ACI procedures in the knee during last decade, and the secondary aims of the study were to determine reoperation rates after ACI and to identify associated risk factors. We hypothesized that there will be significantly higher rates of ACI procedures performed after 2017 among the general population due to the recent introduction of MACI. Additionally, given the relative ease of MACI compared to its predecessors, we also hypothesized that there would be increased reoperation rates among the total amount of patients who had ACI performed after 2017 because of the selection of more complicated patients for cartilage restoration surgery.

Methods

The PearlDiver Mariner Database (PearlDiver Technologies Inc, Colorado Springs, CO) was used to conduct this retrospective study. It contains insurance claims records from 91 million patients in the United States between 2010 and 2020 and encompasses a diverse set of payer types, such as Medicaid, Medicare, commercial entities, government, and cash payment. This dataset was queried using codes from the International Classification of Diseases (ICD), 9th Revision (ICD-9), 10th Revision (ICD-10), and Current Procedural Terminology (CPT). This study did not require ethics committee approval according to the national law.

Identification of Autologous Chondrocyte Implantation Procedures

Data were queried from the MArthro dataset, which is a subset of approximately 4 million patients within the Mariner dataset. To be included in the study, patients needed a CPT code for ACI (CPT-27412) in their record. The CPT includes ACI procedures for lesions in all compartments of the knee, including the patellofemoral and tibiofemoral joints.

Identification of Reoperations: Intervventional Knee Procedures and Total Knee Arthroplasty

Patient records were queried for subsequent interventional knee procedures and total knee arthroplasty (TKA) (Appendix Table 1). Intervventional knee procedures were defined as: interventional knee arthroscopy, osteochondral autograft, osteochondral allograft, lavage and drainage for infection, foreign body removal, synovectomy, chondroplasty, meniscal transplantation, meniscectomy, meniscus repair, lysis of adhesions, drilling for osteochondritis dissecans, anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction, microfracture, high tibial osteotomy, distal femoral osteotomy, arthroscopy in the knee, collateral and cruciate ligament repairs/reconstructions, extra-/intra-articular ligament reconstructions, and open reconstruction surgery for knee dislocation. CPT code 29870 was used to identify patients who had an isolated diagnostic knee arthroscopic procedure after ACI. Diagnostic knee arthroscopic procedures were excluded from the analysis of interventional knee reoperation procedures.

Patients were tracked for 90 days and to the date of last follow-up after ACI, and those with codes for TKA or interventional knee procedures were included in the “reoperation” group (experimental group), whereas patients with no such codes were included in the “no reoperation” group (control group).

Baseline characteristics were collected for the experimental and control groups. The database was queried for demographic factors to compare the groups, which included age, Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI), sex, tobacco use, diabetes, and body mass index (BMI) (Appendix Table 2). The CCI is a widely used and validated adjustment index that accounts for multiple comorbidities to provide an overall assessment of a patient’s health.16 A patient was classified as having a medical comorbidity (obesity, tobacco use, or diabetes) if they had a CPT or ICD diagnostic code for the comorbidity in their record within the 1 year before or on the same day as the ACI.
Comparing Utilization and Reoperation Rates before and after 2017

To evaluate MACI utilization and reoperation rates after its introduction in 2017 as compared to ACI use and reoperation before 2017, patient records in 2014–2016 and 2017–2019 were queried for the first instance of the CPT code for ACI in the database and were split into their two respective groups. The period of 2014–2016 compared to 2017–2019 was selected to standardize ACI follow-up times before and after 2017 and reduce the potential for the length of follow-up to be a confounder. Population data were gathered from PearlDiver to calculate the annual rates of ACI procedures performed. Patients were tracked for reoperations within 90 days and 2 years after ACI.

Baseline characteristics were collected for the groups of patients who had ACI performed in 2014–2016 and 2017–2019. The database was queried for patient demographic factors to compare the 2 groups, including age, CCI, sex, tobacco use, diabetes, and BMI.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using the PearlDiver software, which uses R software for the analysis.

---

**Fig 1.** Rates of 90-day (A) and overall (B) interventional reoperations performed after ACI among the total population of patients who have undergone ACI.
All analyses were considered significant with a $P$ value $< .05$. Welch’s $t$-test was used to compare continuous variables between groups. The $\chi^2$ tests were used to compare categorical variables. Univariate logistic regression was used to calculate associations between possible risk factors and reoperations. Age, CCI, male sex, tobacco use, diabetes, and obesity were the variables run for reoperations that were evaluated in univariate regression. ACI performed between 2017–2019 was an additional variable analyzed with regards to two-year reoperations in univariate regression. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated from the univariate logistic regression analysis. Univariate logistic regression was not performed when there were fewer than 11 patients for a given reoperation because of limitations of the database.

Multivariate logistic regression was then performed, including only variables with a $P$ value $< .05$ from the univariate regression. Adjusted ORs and CIs were calculated from the multivariate logistic regression.

**Results**

**Demographics of ACI and Subsequent Reoperations**

Among the entire MArthro population, 2010 patients who underwent ACI between 2010 and 2020 were included in this study. There was an average follow up time of 4.8 ± 3.3 years. The most common reoperations performed on patients within 90 days after ACI were chondroplasty (1.19%, N = 24), lysis of adhesions (1.00%, N = 20), and meniscectomy (0.90%, N = 18) (Fig 1A). By last follow-up, the most common reoperations performed were chondroplasty (19.4%, N = 390), meniscectomy (12.2%, N = 245), and microfracture (11.1%, N = 223) (Fig 1B).

Table 1 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the reoperation group and those who underwent ACI without subsequent reoperation. The 90-day reoperation rate after ACI was 2.24%. For reoperations within 90 days after ACI, patients were only more likely to be female ($P = .028$). The overall reoperation rate after ACI was 30.4%. Patients in the reoperation group were more likely to be older and female (Table 1). The interventional knee procedure reoperation rate was 2.14% (N = 43) and 28.8% (N = 579) within 90 days and the overall time-period after ACI, respectively. The TKA reoperation rate was 0.1% (N = 2) and 4.48% (N = 90) within 90 days and the overall time period after ACI, respectively. The diagnostic knee arthroscopic reoperation rate was 1.49% (N = 30) in the overall time after ACI.

**ACI Utilization Rates**

The annual rates of ACI procedures performed relative to the entire population is plotted in Fig 2. There was a significant increase in the rate of ACIs performed within the total population of patients in the MArthro dataset of PearlDiver from 2017–2019 (5.53/100,000) relative to 2014–2016 (4.16/100,000; $P < .0001$).

Patients in the 2017-2019 ACI cohort were more likely to have a higher CCI and be obese than those in the 2014-2016 cohort; however, they were less likely to have a history of tobacco use (Table 2). The 90-day reoperation rate was 3.80% (17/447) and 0.68% (4/584) in the 2014 and 2016 cohorts, respectively. The diagnostic knee arthroscopic reoperation rate was 1.19% (N = 24), lysis of adhesions (12.2%, N = 245), and microfracture (11.1%, N = 223) (Fig 1B).

**Table 1. Demographic Data of ACI Patients with 90-Day and Overall Reoperations**

|         | Within 90 Days | Overall           |
|---------|----------------|-------------------|
|         | No Reoperation (N = 1965) | Reoperation (N = 45) | $P$      | No Reoperation (N = 1398) | Reoperation (N = 612) | $P$  |
| Age     | 34.1 ± 11.8     | 36.6 ± 11.6       | .154     | 33.8 ± 12.1     | 35.0 ± 11.1       | .037  |
| CCI     | 0.45 ± 0.95     | 0.84 ± 1.57       | .101     | 0.46 ± 0.95     | 0.47 ± 1.00       | .830  |
| Male Sex| 870 (44.3%)     | 12 (26.7%)        | .028     | 647 (46.3%)     | 235 (38.4%)       | .001  |
| Tobacco Use| 132 (6.72%) | <11               | .370     | 84 (6.01%)      | 49 (8.01%)        | .119  |
| Diabetes| 89 (4.53%)      | <11               | .707     | 67 (4.79%)      | 23 (3.76%)        | .360  |
| Obesity | 205 (10.4%)     | <11               | .930     | 142 (10.2%)     | 67 (10.9%)        | .649  |

All values represent mean ± standard deviation or n (percentage).
Table 2. Demographic Data of Patients Who Had ACI Performed in 2014 to 2016 and 2017 to 2019

|               | ACI Performed in 2014–2016 (N = 447) | ACI Performed in 2017–2019 (N = 584) | P     |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|
| Age           | 34.1 ± 11.5                          | 32.9 ± 11.2                          | .085  |
| CCI           | 0.38 ± 0.82                          | 0.57 ± 0.93                          | <.001 |
| Male Sex      | 199 (44.5%)                          | 240 (41.1%)                          | .299  |
| Tobacco Use   | 37 (8.28%)                           | 15 (2.57%)                           | <.001 |
| Diabetes      | 19 (4.25%)                           | 23 (3.94%)                           | .926  |
| Obesity       | 33 (7.38%)                           | 75 (12.8%)                           | .006  |

All values represent mean ± standard deviation or n (percentage).

Table 3 includes the odds of 2-year reoperations among patients who had ACI performed between 2014 to 2019. On univariate analysis, male sex and having an ACI performed between 2017–2019 were both associated with lower odds of 2-year reoperations. These findings remained significant in the multivariate analysis (Table 3).

ORs of ACI Reoperations (TKA and Interventional Procedures) in the Entire Population

Table 4 summarizes the odds of both overall and TKA reoperations after ACI by the time of last follow-up. Older age was associated with higher odds of overall reoperations whereas male sex was associated with lower odds of reoperation in the univariate analysis. After adjusting for possible confounders, male sex was independently associated with lower odds of overall reoperation after ACI (Table 4). For the odds of conversion to knee arthroplasty in the overall time after ACI, older age and tobacco use were associated with higher odds of conversion to arthroplasty, whereas male sex was associated with lower odds of reoperation on univariate analysis. Multivariate analysis yielded the same results (Table 4). For the odds of reoperations for interventional knee procedures in the overall time after ACI, male sex was independently associated with lower odds of reoperation in the univariate analysis (OR = 0.71; 95% CI, 0.58-0.87; P < .001).

Discussion

In this large cohort of patients, we observed a significant increase in the use of ACI since 2017. There was a significant decrease in the rate of 90-day and 2-year reoperations for ACIs performed after 2017 despite the observed increase in use during this time. Patients selected for ACI after 2017 were more likely to be obese and have medical comorbidities but, however, less likely to be tobacco smokers. ACIs performed in 2017–2019 were associated with decreased risk of a reoperation within 2 years relative to those performed in 2014–2016. In the entire population of patients who had ACI performed, there was a 90-day reoperation rate of 2.24% and an overall reoperation rate of 30.4% with an average follow-up of 4.8 years. Older age and tobacco use were associated with higher rates of conversion to arthroplasty in the overall time after ACI. Male sex was predictive of decreased likelihood of reoperation for all procedures by the time of last follow-up.

In alignment with our hypothesis and also to what had been suggested in a recent systematic review, which included 708 patients,9 our study found a significant upwards trend in the annual rate of ACI procedures performed after 2017 as compared to prior years. There was an increase in operative rates by nearly 60% from 2018 to 2019. These findings are likely the result of the introduction of recent implant technology which came about with the Food and Drug Administration approval of MACI in December 2016. Compared to prior generations of ACI, MACI has a shorter and more simplified implantation process because of the direct application of chondrocytes to the membrane and the ability to secure the membrane with fibrin glue rather than sutures.17 Thus the procedure is often technically easier to perform and may be adopted more broadly by surgeons. As such, this study found that patients with obesity and other medical comorbidities were more likely to be selected as candidates for ACI after the introduction of the current generation of MACI. However, despite the increased selection of more complex patients in recent years, this study observed (1) reduced 90-day and 2-year reoperation rates, and (2) an association with decreased risk of reoperation within 2 years in the cohort of patients who underwent operation after 2017. These findings suggest that early results with MACI may yield more favorable postoperative outcomes than first- or second-generation ACI.

Reoperation rates after ACI vary widely in the literature. Recent studies have reported reoperation rates to be between 5% and 67.6%, although follow-up time and the specific reoperations included in overall reoperation rates vary.13–15,18,19 Our finding of an overall reoperation rate of 30.4% is similar to the results.

Table 3. Odds of 2-Year Reoperations (Interventional Procedures and Total Knee Arthroplasty) Between 2014 to 2019

|                  | Univariate Analysis | Multivariate Analysis |
|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                  | OR [95% CI]         | P                     | OR [95% CI]         | P       |
| ACI Performed in 2017-2019 | 0.72 [0.54-0.97] | .028  | 0.70 [0.52-0.94] | .019   |
| Age              | 1.01 [1.00-1.02]   | .306  | —                  | —       |
| CCI              | 1.10 [0.94-1.28]   | .235  | —                  | —       |
| Male sex         | 0.52 [0.38-0.71]   | <.001 | 0.52 [0.38-0.70]   | <.001   |
| Tobacco use      | 1.26 [0.65-2.31]   | .478  | —                  | —       |
| Diabetes         | 0.55 [0.21-1.23]   | .181  | —                  | —       |
| Obesity          | 1.14 [0.71-1.79]   | .581  | —                  | —       |

AOR, adjusted odds ratio.
reported in a systematic review of multiple medical databases that found a 33% overall reoperation rate among 5276 subjects. Our study also examined the prevalence of 90-day reoperation rates among the ACI population. In this cohort, patients had a 2.24% 90-day reoperation rate, with the most common reoperation procedure being chondroplasty, both in the 90-day timeframe and at final follow-up.

This study identified an association between male sex and decreased reoperation rates. The influence sex has on the outcomes of cartilage restoration surgeries has been well described in other studies. A prospective study out of a single center observed that isokinetic muscle strength measures of the treated knee were significantly worse in women at 48 months after autologous chondrocyte implantation. The difference in chondral volume between sexes has been cited as a key predictor for increased risk of subsequent surgery after ACI. Regarding tobacco use, we found that age was also a key predictor for increased risk of subsequent conversion to arthroplasty among the ACI cohort. Each increase in year was associated with a 7% increase in odds of TKA. Prior studies have similarly noted the association of increased age and increased risk of reoperation, failure, and worse PROs after ACI. Generally, arthroplasty becomes a more viable treatment option in older patients, whereas younger patients are more likely to undergo other procedures such as high tibial osteotomy to preserve the joint.

In this study, obesity was not found to be a significant predictor of increased risk of reoperations in this population. It is worth noting that only 10% of the patients in our cohort were obese. For ACI, obesity has been designated as a contraindication to operation, with the recommendation for patients to be within at least 10% of their ideal BMI at surgery and afterward to avoid

### Table 4. Odds of Reoperation by the Time of Last Follow-up: Overall Reoperations and Total Knee Arthroplasty Only

|                        | Overall Reoperations | Total Knee Arthroplasty | OR [95% CI] | P   | AOR [95% CI] | P   | OR [95% CI] | P   | AOR [95% CI] | P   |
|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|
| **Univariate Analysis** |                      |                         |             |     |             |     |             |     |             |     |
| Age                    | 1.01 [1.00-1.02]     | 1.01 [1.00-1.02]        | 0.044       | .463| 1.01 [1.00-1.02] | .067| 1.07 [1.05-1.09] | <.001| 1.07 [1.05-1.09] | <.001|
| CCI                    | 1.01 [0.91-1.11]     | 1.01 [0.91-1.11]        | 0.827       | .463| 1.01 [0.91-1.11] | .827| 1.08 [0.87-1.28] | .614| 1.08 [0.87-1.28] | .614|
| Male sex               | 0.72 [0.60-0.85]     | 0.72 [0.60-0.85]        | 0.001       | .978| 0.72 [0.60-0.85] | .978| 0.53 [0.33-0.84] | .002| 0.53 [0.33-0.84] | .002|
| Tobacco use            | 1.36 [0.94-1.95]     | 1.36 [0.94-1.95]        | 0.098       | .907| 1.36 [0.94-1.95] | .907| 2.29 [1.16-4.16] | .011| 2.29 [1.16-4.16] | .011|
| Diabetes               | 0.78 [0.47-1.24]     | 0.78 [0.47-1.24]        | 0.303       | .609| 0.78 [0.47-1.24] | .609| 1.27 [0.44-2.92] | .614| 1.27 [0.44-2.92] | .614|
| Obesity                | 1.09 [0.80-1.47]     | 1.09 [0.80-1.47]        | 0.593       | .525| 1.09 [0.80-1.47] | .525| 1.35 [0.69-2.42] | .352| 1.35 [0.69-2.42] | .352|

AOR, adjusted odds ratio.
complications. In a prospective study of 122 patients, Jaiswal et al. found that obese patients demonstrated no sustained improvement in PROs 2 years after ACI/MACI, whereas patients with an ideal body weight experienced significant improvement as early as 6 months after. Additionally, a negative correlation between BMI and MRI-based outcomes, such as graft infill and joint effusion, was found in a randomized controlled study of 70 patients. Contrary to those findings, Ebert et al. found no correlation between BMI and patient outcomes in a retrospective study of 104 patients. However, only 20% of the patients investigated in that study were obese because those with a BMI greater than 35 were excluded. Therefore the lack of an association between obesity and reoperations in this study was likely a result of selection bias in this cohort because of effective preoperative risk stratification by surgeons.

Limitations

An important limitation of this study is related to its retrospective nature and analysis of patients in a large national database. Like all large database studies, mis-coding and non-coding in the documentation completed by providers are potential sources of error in our results. Additionally, we were unable to evaluate the potential association between preoperative and postoperative PROs and reoperations after ACI in this retrospective analysis of records. The current CPT code that is routinely used for ACI presented additional limitations. Given that only one code is available, we were unable to breakdown and analyze individual autologous chondrocyte implantation techniques, such as first-, second-, and third-generation ACI. These technical differences may influence outcomes in ways that could not be discerned in this study. Furthermore, many of the patients were identified using CPT and ICD-9 codes, which do not specify laterality. Therefore we were unable to track which side the ACI and reoperations occurred on, and it was not possible to be certain that the subsequent surgery was performed on the same side as the ACI.

Conclusions

There has been increasing use of ACI in the knee with decreased risk of reoperation since 2017 and the introduction of MACI. Older age and tobacco use were predictors of increased risk of conversion to arthroplasty. Male sex was associated with decreased risk of reoperation.
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# Appendix Table 1. Codes Used to Define Total Knee Arthroplasty, Diagnostic Knee Arthroscopy, and Interventional Knee Procedures

| Procedure                                      | Code                                                                 |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Total knee arthroplasty                        | CPT-27447, CPT-27486, CPT-27487, ICD-9-P-8154, ICD-10-P-0SRD0J9, ICD-10-P-0SRC0U9, ICD-10-P-0SRC0UJA, ICD-10-P-0SRD0U9, ICD-10-P-0SRC0U6, ICD-10-P-0SRC0U6Z, ICD-10-P-0SRD0U6, ICD-10-P-0SRD0U6Z, ICD-10-P-0SRD0U6A, ICD-10-P-0SRD0U6Z, ICD-10-P-0SRD0U6A, ICD-10-P-0SRD0U6Z, ICD-10-P-0SRD0U6A, ICD-10-P-0SRD0U6Z |
| Diagnostic knee arthroscopy                    | CPT-29870                                                             |
| Interventional knee procedures                 |                                                                      |
| Intervventional knee arthroscopy               | ICD-9-P-8026, ICD-10-P-0SJC4ZZ, ICD-10-P-0SJDC4ZZ                    |
| Osteochondral autograft                       | CPT-29866, CPT-29867, CPT-27416                                      |
| Osteochondral allograft                       | CPT-27415                                                             |
| Infection, lavage, and drainage                | CPT-29871                                                             |
| Foreign body removal                           | CPT-29874, CPT-G0289                                                  |
| Synovectomy                                    | CPT-29875, CPT-29876, ICD-9-8076                                     |
| Chondroplasty                                  | CPT-29877                                                             |
| Meniscal transplantation                       | CPT-29868                                                             |
| Meniscectomy                                   | CPT-29880, CPT-29881, ICD-9-P-806                                     |
| Meniscus repair                                | CPT-29882, CPT-29883                                                  |
| Lysis of adhesions                             | CPT-29884                                                             |
| Drilling for osteochondritis dissecans         | CPT-29885, CPT-29886, CPT-29887                                      |
| Anterior cruciate ligament repair              | CPT-29888                                                             |
| Microfracture                                  | CPT-29873, CPT-29879                                                  |
| High tibial osteotomy                          | CPT-27457, CPT-27455, CPT-27705, ICD-9-P-7727, ICD-10-P-0Q8G0ZZ, ICD-10-P-0Q8G3ZZ, ICD-10-P-0Q8G4ZZ, ICD-10-P-0Q8H0ZZ, ICD-10-P-0Q8H3ZZ, ICD-10-P-0Q8H4Z |
| Distal femoral osteotomy                       | CPT-27410, CPT-27448, CPT-27450, ICD-9-P-7725, ICD-10-P-0Q8B0ZZ, ICD-10-P-0Q8C0ZZ, ICD-10-P-0Q8C3ZZ, ICD-10-P-0Q8C4ZZ, ICD-10-P-0Q8B3ZZ, ICD-10-P-0Q8B4ZZ |
| Arthrotomy in the knee                         | CPT-27310, CPT-27403, ICD-9-P-8016                                   |
| Collateral and cruciate ligament repairs       | CPT-27405, CPT-27407, CPT-27409                                      |
| Extra-/intra-articular ligament reconstructions| CPT-27427, CPT-27428, CPT-27429                                      |
| Knee dislocation surgery, open                 | CPT-27556, CPT-27557, CPT-27558                                      |

# Appendix Table 2. Codes Used to Define Comorbidities Included in Demographic Factors

| Comorbidity         | Codes                                                                 |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Tobacco Use         | ICD-9-D-3051, ICD-9-D-V1582, ICD-10-D-F17220, ICD-10-D-F17221, ICD-10-D-F17223, ICD-10-D-F17228, ICD-10-D-F17229, ICD-10-D-F17290, ICD-10-D-F17291, ICD-10-D-F17293, ICD-10-D-F17298, ICD-10-D-F17299, ICD-10-D-Z720 |
| Diabetes            | ICD-9-D-24900;ICD-9-D-25099;ICD-9-D-7902, ICD-9-D-79021, ICD-9-D-79022, ICD-9-D-79029, ICD-9-D-7915, ICD-9-D-7916, ICD-10-D-E090;ICD-10-D-E139 |
| Obesity             | ICD-9-D-2780, ICD-9-D-27800, ICD-9-D-27801, ICD-9-D-27802, ICD-9-D-27803, ICD-10-D-E660;ICD-10-D-E669 |