“Hiding In Plain Sight”

Chronic Kidney Disease and Potential Consequences of the Proinflammatory State Hemodialysis Catheter-Related Masses
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Abstract

Multiple observational and experimental data support that chronic kidney disease (CKD) represents a “proinflammatory” state. These findings link this disordered inflammation and immunity to increased adverse cardiovascular (CV) events present in CKD through their role in atherosclerosis, but mechanisms of activation, recruitment and propagation remain unclear. Accordingly, the present effort reviewed the common use of indwelling hemodialysis catheters as a potential inflammatory trigger and immuno-amplifying variable. Hemodialysis intravenous catheters are routinely used to provide essential functional vascular access for patients requiring emergent or urgent hemodialysis. Numerous observations report that even within hours to days after insertion, a fibrin-thrombin-cellular matrix often forms around the catheter. This catheter associated “biomass” is so common it is usually thought to be clinically silent. But intravenous masses attached, or sheared off at catheter removal or remaining even after catheter explantation are recognized to provoke embolic and direct hemodynamic-related injury. Perhaps less recognized is that their formation, size and growth may be mechanistically linked to the heightened vascular immuno-reactivity found in CKD. Thus, vascular catheter placement or the associated reactive fibrin-thrombin-cellular matrix (or both) may directly contribute to pathologic CV immune-responsiveness in hemodialysis patients.

Introduction

It is now hypothesized that chronic kidney disease (CKD) represents a “proinflammatory” state.1,2 Evidence from both experimental and clinical reports support the role of inflammation and immunity in atherosclerosis accounting for increased cardiovascular (CV) related mortality.3 Complete understanding of the mechanisms of immune/inflammatory activation, recruitment and propagation remain unclear. However, collectively CV outcomes noted in CKD are linked to both systemic and local pathologic dysregulation.4-7 Published data reveal that formation and enlargement of a plaque is a nuanced interplay between resident vascular smooth muscle cells and multiple immuno-reactive cell populations.8 This unfolding biological narrative involves specific protein signals from unique T-cells within the atheroma, along with mononuclear, and macrophage contributors to initiate, expand and morphologically shape the plaque that will become clinically expressed as coronary artery disease.9-13 In addition, it is evident that the involved cellular-based immunologic processes can also moderate the phenotypic outcome of a typical plaque. Essentially, it represents modulation of these processes to repair or mitigate potential injury.12,14 Thus, it appears that this complex immunoinflammatory interplay, often in the form of apocrine and/or autocrine communication, is involved in both atheromatous and arterial morphogenesis, connecting each to subsequent altered vascular outcomes.12

Inflammation intrinsic to catheter use?

We wish to comment upon the common and often indispensable practice of using indwelling venous catheters to provide essential functional vascular access for patients requiring emergent or urgent hemodialysis. In this setting, hemodialysis is mandated with time-sensitive vascular access most frequently accomplished via a two-port intravenous hemodialysis catheter allowing adequate flow rates to ensure effective hemodialysis.15 It is often indicated for volume overload, life-threatening electrolyte abnormalities, acidosis or manifestations of uremia.15-18 Additionally, a frequently encountered clinical challenge occurs when patients experience chronic renal injury requiring hemodialysis in the setting of limited vascular access.19 Catheter use in this setting is a practical necessity and occurs for variable intervals. Current literature details the many clinical issues encountered when hemodialysis is performed through use of venous catheters.20-26 These include up to a seven-fold increase in rates of infection compared with surgically created arteriovenous (AV) fistulas and increased catheter-related thrombotic burden limiting flow rates and creating an embolic potential.21,26,27 Although their practical long-term use is limited by these potential complications, real world utilization of hemodialysis catheters may be extended for significant intervals of time.25

Numerous observations report that even within hours to days after insertion, a fibrin-thrombin-cellular matrix often forms around the catheter.31-38 This catheter-associated “biomass” is common and is
usually thought to be clinically silent. But when sheared off at catheter removal (Figures 1 & 2) their resudia may provoke embolic and direct hemodynamic-related injury.\[55,37,40-45,48\] Subsequent echocardiographic identification of these catheter-attached fibrin-thrombin-cellular matrices or biomasses are well described. They have been identified in the subclavian vein, right atrium, superior vena cava, (Figures 1 & 2), inferior vena cava (IVC) or right ventricle (RV). An astute echocardiographer will frequently infer their presence and related etiology to a current or recently removed catheter.\[49\] But beyond this more obvious hemodynamic and anatomic injury potential exists a more nuanced concern of inherent catheter-related inflammatory pathobiology. First, catheters themselves may trigger local or even systemic inflammation that could lay the groundwork for adverse vascular and CV outcomes.\[56,51\] And second, catheter associated biomass formation, size and rates of growth may reflect or even amplify vascular immuno-reactivity found in CKD.\[56,52,55\]

![Figure 1: This thick-walled encasement on the longitudinal axis (Figure 1), showed the central echolucent lumen likely formed around the external surface of the catheter. The distal end of the remnant cast is also striking for the multiple filamentous extensions. The biomass is in the superior vena cava and extends into the right atrium.](image1)

Different but converging lines of evidence hint that catheters themselves may trigger downstream biologic responses not fully appreciated but perhaps important contributors to immunoinflammatory dysregulation.\[56,53-58\] For many years nephrologists have observed that albumin levels inversely correlate with hemodialysis catheter use and May result from heightened inflammation.\[51,56\] In fact, implanting a dialysis catheter has been demonstrated to be significantly correlated to higher C-reactive protein levels, while after catheter removal, these elevations return to baseline levels.\[51,56\] These results suggest that the presence of a hemodialysis catheter is an independent determinant of an exaggerated inflammatory response in CKD requiring hemodialysis. Alternatively, these higher C-reactive protein levels could reflect the spectrum of disparate etiologies of pre-existing CKD, independent of catheter use. Regardless, these data have required reexamination of long-held views that previously regarded catheter use as innocuous. The subtle and often unforeseen cellular communication directly related to immunoinflammatory stress within the vascular microenvironment may have profound effects.

**Other Sources of Immunoinflammatory Injury**

Newly observed findings demonstrate that local bacterial infections generate inflammatory stress and cause responses in “distant” vascular territories.\[57\] Thus, diabetic foot infections or pneumonia may initiate and amplify remote immunoinflammatory responses in native vascular or immuneactive cells geographically removed from the initial infection.\[57,58\] This has been termed an “echo” effect and supports the observation that pneumonia is linked to increased thrombotic and adverse cardiovascular outcomes.\[12\] Experimental data further support that localized inflammatory responses to systemic bacterial endotoxin can activate a more reactive inflammatory biochemical profile in atherosclerotic arteries than in normal arteries.\[57\] This may hint at a responsible mechanism whereby periodontal or gram-negative bacteria are associated with increased CV ischemic outcomes.\[59\] It is thus tempting to assign any inflammatory perturbation as a likely contributing element in the adverse cardiac atheromatous-based outcomes observed in CKD.

Catheter use in hemodialysis provides fertile ground for vascular bacterial release.\[27,29,59\] But implicating catheter use as the sole or even critical proximate cause for immunoinflammatory vascular atheromatous damage in patients with CKD is almost certainly an oversimplification. Patients requiring hemodialysis present with an etiologic spectrum of end stage CKD, accompanied by multiple comorbidities each contributing to their CKD etiology and phenotype. These factors likely underwrite the “proinflammatory” state found in CKD, perhaps independently of subsequent modes of hemodialysis. Gastrointestinal microflora may also be an important contributor, potentially providing a diverse source of bacterial products including endotoxins and heat-shock proteins that may cross epithelial barriers and generate local and systemic inflammatory injury.\[10,61\] Recent speculation has hypothesized that microbial pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), small molecular motifs conserved within a class of microbes, can activate innate immune receptors.\[52,63\] These conserved molecular motifs are found within bacterial lipopolysaccharides, endotoxins located on the cell membranes of gram-negative bacteria, flagellum and lipoteichoic acid from gram-positive bacteria.\[64,65\] When these PAMPs activate cellular immunity through toll-like receptors...
(TLRs), formyl-peptide receptors (FPRs) or C-type lectin receptors (CLRs), and Nod-like receptors (NLRs) for example, they are hypothesized to be responsible for leukocyte and vascular cell activation within atheromata. These pathways then may facilitate the linkage between the heightened inflammatory state in CKD and the significant cardiovascular mortality.

Thus, data support that altered immunoinflammatory activity in patients undergoing hemodialysis likely contributes to vascular injury. It may indeed be mechanistically related to adverse cardiac outcomes, however little is known about a potential connection between the CKD proinflammatory state and catheter-associated biomasses. It is also unclear whether the appearance, extent and time course of these biomasses have any contributory role in further immune perturbation. But given the proinflammatory state existing in CKD and the central role of altered immunoinflammatory expression impacting CV outcomes, it may no longer be reasonable to dismiss these catheter-attachments as completely innocent. Once the catheter is introduced into the vein, it may serve as a “trip wire” in effect to boost both the local and perhaps also the systemic pre-existing inflammatory cascade initiating a chain of events that initially targets the catheter. But in doing so, it may also confer foreseeable harm to collateral or downstream structures. It may even accelerate atheromatous plasticity, contributing to ischemic CV outcomes known to plague patients with CKD on dialysis.

Additional Intravascular Concerns

But beyond catheter use and its potential linkage to CV events through immunoinflammatory-mediated sequelae, this paradigm may extend to other clinical therapeutics. In spite of increasingly judicious use, indwelling IVC filters subsume a growing list of well-defined complications. Again, these comprise reported hemodynamic and embolic events, the latter composed of both thrombotic and structural device-related injury. But much less is known about the immunoinflammatory consequences of even temporary intravenous use. Combining a vulnerable vascular environment found after trauma or embolic injury with the inherent properties of an IVC filter may challenge human bio-immunology in ways not readily foreseeable.

Another example of potential intravenous inflammatory activation is related to pacemaker lead placement. Here only short-term data have been gathered and are believed to be secondary to local minor injury associated with implantation. But, similar to hemodialysis catheters, there are frequently fibrotic attachments to venous, valvular and cardiac structures representing immunoinflammatory reaction to their presence. Collectively, these indwelling intravascular objects may trigger or amplify potentially important molecular and cellular communication, forming the biological infrastructure of immunoinflammatory dysregulation.

Finally, the thrombotic and embolic complications associated with indwelling hemodialysis catheters and other intravascular therapeutic modalities are commonly identified in both case reports and prospective analysis. For hemodialysis catheters, this is among the most obvious set of concerns and as noted previously, is part of the narrative of their foreseeable injury potential. Nevertheless, the cumulative impact may still be underappreciated. This is of interest because pulmonary hypertension (PH) in hemodialysis patients is unexpectedly frequent and thought to have a multifactorial etiology. This includes high cardiac output, anemia and fluid overload coupled with chronic left ventricular pathology. But there is also ongoing interest in the potential contribution of venous hemodialysis catheters and their residual fibrin/thrombin remnants having a role in pulmonary vascular injury and subsequent PH. Repetitive pulmonary vascular injury and subsequent elevated pulmonary pressures may be a consequence of catheter-related biomass volume and its inflammatory sequelae. As noted, a variety of mechanisms may be involved in what is now generally reported to be a proinflammatory environment especially vulnerable to venous thromboembolic events. The critical variables of this “perfect storm” in CKD remain speculative and largely unstudied, but the spectrum of pathology is tangible. Our concern is that catheter-related fibrin/thrombiic biomasses in this environment cannot be ignored or treated as innocuous. These concerns may also apply to other intravenous “therapeutics”, such as the afore mentioned IVC filters, in that beyond the often predictable injury secondary to thrombotic emboli is the potentially longer lasting and indeed undefined immunoinflammatory dysregulation that may add to the vascular remodeling including PH.

Conclusion

Different observational and experimental data support that chronic kidney disease (CKD) represents a “proinflammatory” state. Such disordered inflammation and immunity may indeed be linked to increased adverse CV events present in CKD. Their role in the pathobiology of atherosclerosis is becoming more obvious but detailed mechanisms that modulate clinical expression remain unclear. The present effort put forth a hypothesis that indwelling hemodialysis catheters may act as an inflammatory trigger or immuno-amplifying variable. These intravenous catheters are important therapeutic agents as they are used to provide essential functional vascular access for patients requiring emergent or urgent hemodialysis. But numerous observational reports have shown fibrin-thrombin-cellular matrices commonly form around hemodialysis catheters. While such biomasses may be attached, sheared off at catheter removal or remain even after catheter explantation, they are recognized to provoke embolic and direct hemodynamic-related injury. However, of greater interest is that their formation, size and growth may be mechanistically linked to the heightened vascular immuno-reactivity found in CKD and this concern remains largely unexplored. Catheter-related biomass and/or residua must be accounted for and further investigated if we are to improve overall safety of catheter use in this vulnerable population.

Declarations

This manuscript has met specific criteria for institutional ethical approval and consent to publish.

There are no competing interests for any of the authors.

There is no funding.
References

[1] Benz K, Varga I, Neureiter D et al. Vascular inflammation and media calcification are already present in early stages of chronic kidney disease. Cardiovasc Pathol 2017;27:57-67.

[2] Wachter DL, Neureiter D, Campean V et al. In-situ analysis of mast cells and dendritic cells in coronary atherosclerosis in chronic kidney disease (CKD). Histol Histopathol 2018;33:871-886.

[3] Goldstein JL, Brown MS. A century of cholesterol and coronaries: from plaques to genes to statins. Cell 2015;161:161-172.

[4] Jonasson L, Holm J, Skalli O, Bondjens G, Hansson GK. Regional accumulations of T cells, macrophages, and smooth muscle cells in the human atherosclerotic plaque. Arteriosclerosis 1986;6:131-8.

[5] Libby P, Lichtman AH, Hansson GK. Immune effector mechanisms implicated in atherosclerosis: from mice to humans. Immunity 2013;38:1092-104.

[6] Libby P, Nahrendorf M, Swirski FK. Monocyte heterogeneity in cardiovascular disease. Semin Immunopathol 2013;35:553-62.

[7] Robbins CS, Hilgendorf I, Weber GF et al. Local proliferation dominates lesional macrophage accumulation in atherosclerosis. Nat Med 2013;19:1166-72.

[8] Lichtman AH, Binder CJ, Tsimikas S, Witztum JL. Adaptive immunity in atherosclerosis: new insights and therapeutic approaches. J Clin Invest 2013;123:27-36.

[9] Nus M, Mallat Z. Immune-mediated mechanisms of atherosclerosis and implications for the clinic. Expert Rev Clin Immunol 2016;12:1217-1237.

[10] Clinton SK, Libby P. Cytokines and growth factors in atheregesis. Arch Pathol Lab Med 1992;116:1292-300.

[11] Charo IF, Ransohoff RM. The many roles of chemokines and chemokine receptors in inflammation. N Engl J Med 2006;354:610-21.

[12] Libby P, Loscalzo J, Ridker PM et al. Inflammation, Immunity, and Infection in Atherothrombosis: JACC Review Topic of the Week. J Am Coll Cardiol 2018;72:2071-2081.

[13] Villiger M, Otsuka K, Karanasos A et al. Coronary Plaque Microstructure and Composition Modify Optical Polarization: A New Endogenous Contrast Mechanism for Optical Frequency Domain Imaging. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2018;11:1666-1676.

[14] Hansson GK, Libby P. The immune response in atherosclerosis: a double-edged sword. Nat Rev Immunol 2006;6:508-19.

[15] Legendre C, Saltiel C. [National survey on temporary vascular access for hemodialysis]. Nephrologie 1994;15:61-3.

[16] Ben Hamida F, M’Hibik S, Karoui C et al. [Indications, complications and cost of internal jugular catheters in hemodialysed patients: study of 533 cases]. Tunis Med 2005;83:519-23.

[17] Holden RM, Clase CM. Use of warfarin in people with low glomerular filtration rate or on dialysis. Semin Dial 2009;22:503-11.

[18] Sariego J, Bootorabi B, Matsumoto T, Kerstein M. Major long-term complications in 1,422 permanent venous access devices. Am J Surg 1993;165:249-51.

[19] Herscu G, Woo K, Weaver FA, Rowe VL. Use of unconventional dialysis access in patients with no viable alternative. Ann Vasc Surg 2013;27:332-6.

[20] Cheissyre E, Goff Z, Bowen A, Carapetis J. The prevention, diagnosis and management of central venous line infections in children. J Infect 2015;71 Suppl 1:S59-75.

[21] Kateni R, Hedayati SS. Central venous catheter-related bacteraemia in chronic hemodialysis patients: epidemiology and evidence-based management. Nat Clin Pract Nephrol 2007;3:256-66.

[22] Gosbell IB. Diagnosis and management of catheter-related bloodstream infections due to Staphylococcus aureus. Intern Med J 2005;35 Suppl 2:S45-62.

[23] Thapa S, Terry PB, Kamar BB. Hemodialysis catheter-associated superior vena cava syndrome and pulmonary embolism: a case report and review of the literature. BMC Res Notes 2016;9:233.

[24] Hayes WN, Watson AR, Callaghan N, Wright E, Stefanidis CJ, European Pediatric Dialysis Working G. Vascular access: choice and complications in European paediatric haemodialysis units. Pediatr Nephrol 2012;27:999-1004.

[25] Kumwenda M, Dougherty L, Spooner H, Jackson V, Mitra S, Inston N. Managing dysfunctional central venous access devices: a practical approach to urokinase thrombolysis. Br J Nurs 2018;27:S4-S10.

[26] Bentata Y. Physiopathological approach to infective endocarditis in chronic hemodialysis patients: left heart versus right heart involvement. Ren Fail 2017;39:432-439.

[27] Bohlke M, Uliano G, Barcellos FC. Hemodialysis catheter-related infection: prophylaxis, diagnosis and treatment. J Vasc Access 2015;16:347-55.

[28] Hoerr V, Franz M, Pletz MW et al. S. aureus endocarditis: Clinical aspects and experimental approaches. Int J Med Microbiol 2018;308:640-652.

[29] Lata C, Giraud L, Parkins M, James MT. Catheter-related bloodstream infection in end-stage kidney disease: a Canadian narrative review. Can J Kidney Health Dis 2016;3:24.

[30] Chan J, Kumar J, Cheng A, Yap CH, Zhang XB. Right atrial mass associated with a dialysis catheter. J Card Surg 2012;27:362.

[31] Benya RV. Fibrin sheath formation surrounding a pulmonary artery catheter sheath: erosion of the sleeve during catheter removal. Crit Care Med 1990;18:345.

[32] Besarab A, Pandey R. Catheter management in hemodialysis patients: delivering adequate flow. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2011;6:227-34.

[33] Chang DH, Mammadov K, Hickethier T et al. Fibrin sheaths in central venous port catheters: treatment with low-dose, single injection of urokinase on an outpatient basis. Ther Clin Risk Manag 2017;13:111-115.
Gallieni M, Giordano A, Rossi U, Cariati M. Optimization of dialysis catheter function. J Vasc Access 2016;17 Suppl 1:S42-6.

Keehn A, Rabinowitz D, Williams SK, Taragin BH. Calcified central venous catheter fibrin sheath: case report and review of the literature. Clin Imaging 2015;39:1130-3.

Kira S, Noguchi T, Miyamoto S. Removal of central venous catheter fibrin sheath under cardiopulmonary bypass in a patient with juvenile dermatomyositis. Paediatr Anaesth 2005;15:901-2.

Kraus DJ, Fisher JS, Rosen G et al. Retained fibrin sheaths: chest computed tomography findings and clinical associations. J Thorac Imaging 2014;29:118-24.

Mogi N, Nakagawa M, Matsumae H, Hattori A, Shimohira M, Shibamoto Y. Fibrin sheath of a peripherally inserted central catheter undepicted with gray-scale (real-time B-mode) ultrasonography: A case report. Radiol Case Rep 2018;13:537-541.

Mohamad Ali A, Uhwut E, Liew S. Dialysis catheter fibrin sheath stripping: a useful technique after failed catheter exchange. Biomed Imaging Inter J 2012;8:68.

Nayemuddin M, Pherwani AD, Asquith JR. Imaging and management of complications of central venous catheters. Clin Radiol 2013;68:529-44.

Oguzkurt L, Ozkan U, Torun D, Tercan F. Does a fibrin sheath formed around a catheter embolize upon removal of the catheter? Nephrol Dial Transplant 2007;22:3677-9.

Peters PJ, Sohn J, Butler M, Okorie N, Moss EG, Corbett B. Retained fibrin sleeve: transsephageal echocardiographic observations. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2009;22:105 e1-2.

Peters WR, Bush WH, Jr., McIntyre RD, Hill LD. The development of fibrin sheath on indwelling venous catheters. Surg Gynecol Obstet 1973;137:43-7.

Santilli J. Fibrin sheaths and central venous catheter occlusions: diagnosis and management. Tech Vasc Interv Radiol 2002;5:89-94.

Savader SJ, Haikal LC, Ehrman KO, Porter DJ, Oteham AC. Hemodialysis catheter-associated fibrin sheaths: treatment with a low-dose rt-PA infusion. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2000;11:1131-6.

Tang S, Beigel R, Arsanjani R, Larson B, Luthringer D, Siegel R. Infective Endovascular Fibrin Sheath Vegetations-A New Cause of Bacteremia Detected by Transsephageal Echocardiogram. Am J Med 2015;128:1029-38.

Tsutsumi S, Fukasawa T, Fujii T et al. Central venous port system-related complications in outpatient chemotherapy for colorectal cancer. Hepatogastroenterology 2012;59:1079-80.

Wang LH, Wei F, Jia L et al. Fibrin sheath formation and intimal thickening after catheter placement in dog model: role of hemodynamic wall shear stress. J Vasc Access 2015;16:275-84.

Yarmohammadi H, Klosterman T, Grewal G et al. Transsephageal echocardiography and cardioversion trends in patients with atrial fibrillation: a 10-year survey. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2012;25:962-8.

Chabot K, Lavoie ME, Bastard JP, Rabasa-Lhoret R. Intravenous catheters induce a local inflammatory response. Cytokine 2018.

Hung A, Puppin L, Yu C et al. Determinants of C-reactive protein in chronic hemodialysis patients: relevance of dialysis catheter utilization. Hemodial Int 2008;12:236-43.

Dwyer A. Surface-treated catheters—a review. Semin Dial 2008;21:542-6.

Lloyd DA, Shanbhogue LK, Doherty PJ, Sunderland D, Hart CA, Williams DF. Does the fibrin coat around a central venous catheter influence catheter-related sepsis? J Pediatr Surg 1993;28:345-8; discussion 348-9.

Mehall JR, Saltzman DA, Jackson RJ, Smith SD. Catheter materials affect the incidence of late blood-borne catheter infection. Surg Infect (Larchmt) 2001;2:225-9; discussion 229-30.

Mehall JR, Saltzman DA, Jackson RJ, Smith SD. Fibrin sheath enhances central venous catheter infection. Crit Care Med 2002;30:908-12.

Kuo WH, Lee YT, Ng HY, Wang CY, Wu CH, Lee CT. C-reactive protein variability is associated with vascular access outcome in hemodialysis patients. J Clin Lab Anal 2018;32.

Fleet JC, Clinton SK, Salomon RN, Loppnow H, Libby P. Atherogenic diets enhance endothoxin-stimulated interleukin-1 and tumor necrosis factor gene expression in rabbit aortae. J Nutr 1992;122:294-305.

Violi F, Cangemi R, Calvieri C. Pneumonia, thrombosis and vascular disease. J Thromb Haemost 2014;12:1391-400.

Seymour RA. Dentistry and the medically compromised patient. Surgeon 2003;1:207-14.

Aron-Wisnewsky J, Clement K. The gut microbiome, diet, and links to cardiometabolic and chronic disorders. Nat Rev Nephrol 2016;12:169-81.

Tang WH, Kitai T, Hazen SL. Gut Microbiota in Cardiovascular Health and Disease. Circ Res 2017;120:1183-1196.

Sergi C, Shen F, Lim DW et al. Cardiovascular dysfunction in sepsis at the dawn of emerging mediators. Biomed Pharmacother 2017;95:153-160.

Mortaz E, Adcock IM, Tabarsi P et al. Pattern recognition receptors in immunodeficiency disorders. Eur J Pharmacol 2017;808:49-56.

Claus RA, Otto GP, Deigner HP, Bauer M. Approaching clinical reality: markers for monitoring systemic inflammation and sepsis. Curr Mol Med 2010;10:227-35.

Sirisinha S. Insight into the mechanisms regulating immune homeostasis in health and disease. Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol 2011;29:1-14.

Vidyka MK, Kumar VG, Sejian V, Bagath M, Krishnan G, Bhatta R. Toll-like receptors: Significance, ligands, signaling pathways, and functions in mammals. Int Rev Immunol 2018;37:20-36.

Chen K, Bao Z, Gong W, Tang P, Yoshimura T, Wang JM. Regulation of inflammation by members of the formyl-peptide receptor family. J Autoimmun 2017;85:64-77.

Blevins E, Raffini L. Extensive thrombotic complications after inferior vena cava filter placement in 2 adolescents
with spinal cord injury: a cautionary tale and review. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 2015;37:e227-9.

[69] Desai TR, Morcos OC, Lind BB et al. Complications of indwelling retrievable versus permanent inferior vena cava filters. J Vasc Surg Venous Lymphat Disord 2014;2:166-73.

[70] Jia Z, Wu A, Tam M, Spain J, McKinney JM, Wang W. Caval Penetration by Inferior Vena Cava Filters: A Systematic Literature Review of Clinical Significance and Management. Circulation 2015;132:944-52.

[71] Milovanovic L, Kennedy SA, Midia M. Procedural and indwelling complications with inferior vena cava filters: frequency, etiology, and management. Semin Intervent Radiol 2015;32:34-41.

[72] Varvarousis D, Goulas N, Polytarchou K et al. Biomarkers of Myocardial Injury and Inflammation after Permanent Pacemaker Implantation: The Lead Fixation Type Effect. J Arrhythmia 2018;10:1798.

[73] Wilkoff BL, Byrd CL, Love CJ et al. Pacemaker lead extraction with the laser sheath: results of the pacing lead extraction with the excimer sheath (PLEXES) trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 1999;33:1671-6.

[74] Ram R, Swarnalatha G, Rakesh Y, Jyostna M, Prasad N, Dakshinamurti KV. Right atrial thrombus due to internal jugular vein catheter. Hemodial Int 2009;13:261-5.

[75] Salerno FR, Parraga G, McIntyre CW. Why Is Your Patient Still Short of Breath? Understanding the Complex Pathophysiology of Dyspnea in Chronic Kidney Disease. Semin Dial 2017;30:50-57.

[76] Massy ZA, Henaut L, Larsson TE, Vervloet MG. Calcium-sensing receptor activation in chronic kidney disease: effects beyond parathyroid hormone control. Semin Nephrol 2014;34:648-59.

[77] Bolignano D, Rastelli S, Agarwal R et al. Pulmonary hypertension in CKD. Am J Kidney Dis 2013;61:612-22.

[78] Derish MT, Smith DW, Frankel LR. Venous catheter thrombus formation and pulmonary embolism in children. Pediatr Pulmonol 1995;20:349-54.

[79] Howard LS, Hughes RJ. NICE guideline: management of venous thromboembolic diseases and role of thrombophilia testing. Thorax 2013;68:391-3.

[80] Isaka N, Yamada N, Araki S et al. Multiple pulmonary emboli with pulmonary hypertension caused by effort thrombosis and effective balloon venoplasty of the subclavian vein. Jpn Circ J 2001;65:920-2.

[81] Klok FA, Mos IC, van Kralingen KW, Vahl JE, Huisman MV. Chronic pulmonary embolism and pulmonary hypertension. Semin Respir Crit Care Med 2012;33:199-204.

[82] Konstantinides SV, Barco S. Prevention of early complications and late consequences after acute pulmonary embolism: Focus on reperfusion techniques. Thromb Res 2018;164:163-169.