Histological and immunohistochemical characterization of feline renal cell carcinoma: a case series
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ABSTRACT. Four feline renal cell carcinomas (RCCs) were examined using histopathological and immunohistochemical procedures. Specimens were classified by predominant histological pattern according to WHO criteria. A panel of antibodies including β-catenin, C-KIT, VEGF and VEGF-R2 and double immunostaining for vimentin/cytokeratin and for E-cadherin/CD10 was selected to characterize the tumors. Neoplasms were classified as tubular (3/4) and papillary (1/4). Neoplastic epithelial cells were cytokeratin, vimentin, E-cadherin, VEGF-R2 positive and C-KIT negative; 3 cases were β-catenin positive, whereas only 2 tumors were CD10 and VEGF positive. No correlation with histotype was evident. Our results confirm the low frequency of RCCs in cats and suggest a histological pattern similar to canine RCCs. In contrast, a peculiar immunohistochemical profile different from both canine and human RCCs is identified.
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The prevalence of primary renal tumors (RT) in domestic animals is less than 1% of the total neoplasms reported. Except for lymphoma, other primary RT in cats are extremely rare [27] and only few case reports [13, 27], and surveys of feline tumors [15] are described. Based on the data available, feline renal cell carcinomas (RCCs) show no breed predilection, occur in older cats, unilaterally, and metastasize sporadically [27].

In dogs, RT account for 0.6–1.7% of neoplasms, and RCC is the most frequent subtype, accounting for 60–85% [23] and being more common in male middle-aged dogs without breed predilection [4]. RCCs are classified on the predominant histologic pattern, like papillary, tubular or solid [12], but a mixture of all types is often present [23]. These histologic types can be further classified as chromophobic, eosinophilic or clear cell variants, and generally, all the 3 cell types are present [12]. Immunohistochemical features of RCCs were extensively analyzed only in dogs. No correlation between immunohistochemical profile and biological behavior was found [12], but mitotic index was identified as the best predictor of survival [9, 12]. In human, more than 90% of the RT arise from the renal tubules [29], and RCC is the most common one, representing approximately 2–3% of all cancers worldwide [1] and is classified into 8 different histological subtypes [29].

The diagnosis is usually based on morphological analysis; however, ancillary techniques, such as immunohistochemistry, electron microscopy, cytogenetics and molecular genetics, have proved to be useful in refining RCCs classification and offer biological data [28]. Some studies have also suggested the use of immunohistochemistry in combination with histopathology to define RCCs origin and classification, to differentiate renal cell neoplasms from non-renal tumors and to correctly identify metastatic RCCs in distant organs, especially when only small biopsy specimens are available [20, 29].

The purposes of this study are to describe the histological features and the immunohistochemical profile in four feline RCCs and to evaluate a possible correlation between histologic pattern and immunohistochemical profile.

Four cases of RCC diagnosed between October 2005 and February 2014 were retrieved from the archive of the Histopathology Services, Department of Comparative Biomedicine and Food Science, University of Padua. All specimens were obtained by nephrectomy. Tumor samples were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin and processed routinely. Serial 3 µm thick sections for each tumor were stained with hematoxylin-eosin and Periodic Acid Schiff (PAS). The specimens were evaluated by light microscopy for the diagnosis of RCC. Tumors were classified by predominant histologic pattern according to World Health Organization (WHO) criteria [23]. The presence or absence of necrosis, nuclear pleomorphism (slight to marked) and mitotic index (mitotic figures per 10 high-power fields) were also scored. Regional lymph nodes were not available for evaluation.

For immunohistochemistry (IHC), serial paraffin sections were processed as previously described [30] using an automatic immunostainer (Ventana Benchmark XT, Ventana Medical Systems Inc., Tucson, AZ, U.S.A.).

All the antibodies (Abs) used in this work were previously tested in cat [7, 18, 24, 25, 30]. The following markers were assessed by IHC: cytokeratins (CKs), vimentin (VIM), CD10, E-cadherin (E-CAD), β-catenin (β-CAT), C-KIT, VEGF and VEGF-R2.
vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGF-R2). Double immunolabelings (using DAB and Red detection kit) for VIM/CKs and for E-CAD/CD10 were also performed to evaluate the co-expression of these antigens. The same Abs panel was employed in normal renal samples to define the IHC profile. The immunoreactivity for each marker was assessed semi-quantitatively (Table 1). In Table S1 are reported sources, clones and dilution for each antibody.

All the cats described in this report were male, ranged from 9 to 12 years (median age: 10.5 years). The referring veterinarians reported no other neoplastic lesions identified during clinical examination. The tumors were classified as tubular (n=3) and papillary (n=1) RCCs.

In tubular RCCs, neoplastic cells were arranged in monolayer forming irregular tubules often distended by intraluminal homogenous, eosinophilic material (proteinaceous material) and separated by variably thickened septa of fibrovascular stroma. Anisocytosis and anisokaryosis were moderate. 200× magnification, Hematoxylin and eosin (HE). B) papillary RCC: neoplastic cells formed irregular papillae or tubular structures associated with moderate fibrovascular stroma. Anisocytosis and anisokaryosis were moderate. 200× magnification, HE.

Table 1. Histologic and Immunohistochemical features of 4 feline Renal Cell Carcinomas and normal feline kidney

| No. | Histologic Pattern | Mitotic index | Vim | panCK | CD10 | E-cad | β-cat | c-KIT | VEGF | VEGF-R2 |
|-----|-------------------|---------------|-----|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| 1   | RCC tubular       | 5/10 HPF      | ++  | +     | –    | +     | –     | –     | +     | +       |
| 2   | RCC tubular       | 4/10 HPF      | +   | +     | –    | +     | –     | +     | –     | +       |
| 3   | RCC tubular       | 4/10 HPF      | ++  | +     | +    | ++    | –     | +     | –     | +       |
| 4   | RCC papillary     | 5/10 HPF      | +   | +     | ++   | +     | –     | +     | +     | +       |
| Control | Proximal tubule |             | –   | +     | +    | –     | +     | –     | +/–   | –       |
| Control | Distal tubule    |             | –   | +     | –    | +     | +     | –     | +/–   | –       |
| Control | Glomerulus       |             | + or ++ | – or + | + or – | + or – | + or – | + or – | + or – | + or – |

Scoring: –, negative; +/-, weak; +, moderate; ++, strong. a) Positivity to VIM is detected in endothelial glomerular cells, while positivity to CD10 is at the urinary pole of the Bowman’s capsule. All the other glomerular cells showed negative for the other markers. Mitotic index: mitotic figures per 10 high-power fields (HPF).

Fig. 1. Histological features of tubular and papillary RCCs. A) tubular RCC: neoplastic cells were arranged in monolayer forming irregular tubules often distended by intraluminal homogenous, eosinophilic material (proteinaceous material) and separated by variably thickened septa of fibrovascular stroma. Anisocytosis and anisokaryosis were moderate. 200× magnification, Hematoxylin and eosin (HE). B) papillary RCC: neoplastic cells formed irregular papillae or tubular structures associated with moderate fibrovascular stroma. Anisocytosis and anisokaryosis were moderate. 200× magnification, HE.

Table 1 shows immunohistochemistry results. In normal kidney, VIM was detected in endothelial glomerular cells, while cells at the urinary pole of the Bowman’s capsule. All the other glomerular cells showed negative for the other markers. Mitoses were 4 to 5 per 10 higher-power fields (HPF) (Fig. 1A).

The papillary RCC was poorly defined and composed of neoplastic cells lining irregular papillae or tubular structures associated with moderate fibrovascular stroma. Neoplastic cells were columnar to polygonal, with indistinct cell borders and moderate eosinophilic cytoplasm. Nuclei were round, central, with granular chromatin and single, prominent nucleolus. Anisocytosis and anisokaryosis were moderate. Multifocal necrotic areas with mineralizations and moderate neutrophilic inflammation were present. Adjacent renal tissue was compressed with moderate glomerular and tubular atrophy. Mitoses were 5 per 10 HPF (Fig. 1B).

Table 1 shows immunohistochemistry results. In normal kidney, VIM was detected in endothelial glomerular cells, while cells at the urinary pole of the Bowman’s capsule were CD10 positive. All the other glomerular cells were completely negative. Proximal and distal tubular epithelial cells (TECs) expressed CKs and β-CAT. Moreover, proximal TECs were positive for CD10 and negative for E-CAD, and vice versa, distal TECs were positive for E-CAD and negative for CD10 (Fig. 2 A-C). C-KIT was detected neither in glomerular nor in TECs.
All the RCCs were positive for CKs, VIM and E-CAD. Neoplastic ECs in 2 tumors were CD10 positive, while positivity to β-CAT was recorded in neoplastic ECs in 3 tumors, but without nuclear positivity. VEGF-R2 was expressed by ECs in all tumor samples, whereas VEGF was expressed only in 2 cases. None of the specimens expressed C-KIT. Double IHC analysis showed for all the cases the co-expression of VIM and CKs within neoplastic ECs, whereas co-expression of E-CAD and CD10 was detected in 2 cases and the other 2 were E-CAD positive and CD10 negative (Fig. 2 D–I).
Unfortunately, the lack of follow-up data prevents any correlation with biological behavior.

RCCs are rare in cats, as confirmed by the small number of cases in our database including approximately 11,000 cases in a spanning period of 9 years. Tubular RCC is considered the most common variety in domestic animals. However, recently, solid and papillary were reported to be the predominant subtypes in dogs [9, 12]. In our study, tubular subtype was seen in 3 out of 4 of the tumors, and except for sample 1, all tumors had a mixture of histologic pattern, in accordance with previous reports [23]. All tumors showed low mitotic index and slight to moderate nuclear atypia. Apparently, this morphology is suggestive of low-grade neoplasms, but unfortunately, the lack of follow-up data prevents any correlation with biological behavior.

There is a paucity of literature on feline RCC, particularly focusing on protein expression profile. We included in our panel five antibodies (CKs, VIM, CD10, E-CAD and C-KIT) which are used in human medicine as a helpful combination for the diagnosis and classification of RCC [2, 5].

All tumors co-expressed VIM and CKs, while in normal kidney, these markers were differently expressed in the nephron, with endothelial cells positive to VIM and tubular cells positive to CKs. These findings are similar with those previously reported in human in which co-expression of these molecules is widely known in RCCs, particularly tubulopapillary and clear cell subtypes. In contrast, this phenotype was recorded occasionally in canine RCCs [12] (Table 2). Some authors proposed that VIM and CKs expression by ECs demonstrates the origin from remnants of embryonic mesenchyme [14], but could also be associated with undifferentiated renal stem cells or an acquired epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) associated with an invasive phenotype [8]. The co-expression of the two proteins in TECs was reported during EMT in chronic renal damage [3] and interpreted as an intermediate step in this process [6]. However, during EMT, co-localization was seen in scattered cells [6], whereas in feline RCCs, the majority of the cells co-express VIM and CKs. Indeed, this might be considered a critical step in the neoplastic process already described in other feline tumors, such as skin adnexal, thyroid, pulmonary and mammary carcinomas [21, 22]. Also, this immunohistochemical profile might be useful to differentiate RCCs from unknown primary cancer localized in the kidney. C-KIT, a transmembrane tyrosine kinase growth factor [11], is commonly used to identify chromophobe RCC and oncocytoma in human [29], however none of our tumors expressed this protein, being more similar to human clear cell and papillary RCCs [19]. This also differentiates from dog where C-KIT is expressed in the majority of the RCCs [12].

E-CAD in normal human kidney is expressed in renal tubular cells, and the kidney specific isoform is expressed exclusively in the renal distal convoluted and collecting duct cells [29]; instead, CD10 is detected on brush border of proximal convoluted tubule [17]. In our study, double IHC analysis confirmed this pattern in feline kidney. In human medicine, RCCs with proximal tubular differentiation express CD10, whereas those with distal tubular differentiation express kidney-specific cadherin. Additionally, it has been proposed that, while conventional RCCs are closely related to mature proximal ECs, papillary subtype is more similar to embryonal proximal tubular cells [16]. In our cases, two tubular RCCs were E-CAD positive and CD10 negative, confirming the distal convoluted tubule origin. The other 2 cases expressed both E-CAD and CD10: if we could assume an embryonal proximal tubular cells origin for papillary RCCs, we could not identify the tubular segment of origin for the tubular ones. Moreover, the use of a monoclonal antibody against E-CAD, a molecule expressed in several different subtypes of RCC [29], limits the possibility to correctly define the origin of the neoplasm.

VEGF and its receptors are essential in physiological and pathological angiogenesis by stimulating endothelial cells to form new vessels [10]. In our study, normal kidney was negative to VEGF-R2 and slightly positive to VEGF, determining a low angiogenic activity. On the contrary, the expression of VEGF-R2 was total in our samples and variable for VEGF. This could reflect a variable angiogenic activity among tumor.

In conclusion, we confirm the low frequency of feline RCCs. Furthermore, even if restricted by the low number of cases, feline RCC seems to have an histological pattern similar to canine RCC, but a different immunohistochemical profile compared to human and canine RCC. In particular, the co-expression of VIM and CKs and the negativity to C-KIT could be useful in the diagnostic approach to feline RT.

### Table 2. Immunoprofile of the major renal neoplasms in human and dogs [26, 28, 29] compared to results obtained on 4 cases of feline renal cell carcinoma

|                         | Human clear cell | Human papillary | Human chromophobe | Canine tubular-papillary (n=3) | Canine papillary (n=4) | Canine solid (n=3) | Feline tubular (n=3) | Feline papillary (n=1) |
|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| panCk                   | +/+              | +/–             | +                 | +/–                           | +/–                    | –                   | –                    | +                     |
| (CK8, CK18)             | (CK7, CK8, CK18) |                 |                   |                               |                        |                     |                      |                       |
| Vimentin                | +/+              | +/–             | –                 | –                             | +/–                    | +/–                 | –                    | +/–                   |
| CD10                    | +/–              | +/–             | –                 | –                             | –/–                    | +/–                 | –/–                  | –/–                   |
| E-cadherin              | +/–              | +/–             | +                 | +/–                           | nd                     | nd                  | +/–                  | –                     |
| C-kit                   | +/–              | +/–             | +                 | +/–                           | +/–                    | +/–                 | –/–                  | +/–                   |

Scoring: –, negative; +/–, weak; +, moderate; ++, strong. nd: not determined.
However, the small number of cases included and the lack of clinical information are a limitation, and studies, including clinical data, are necessary to define the prognostic significance of histological and immunophenotypical features.
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