Semiclassical theory for small displacements

Eduardo Zambrano and Alfredo M Ozorio de Almeida

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rua Xavier Sigaud 150, 22290-180, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

E-mail: zambrano@cbpf.br and ozorio@cbpf.br

Received 14 December 2009, in final form 29 March 2010
Published 30 April 2010
Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/43/205302

Abstract

Characteristic functions contain complete information about all the moments of a classical distribution and the same holds for the Fourier transform of the Wigner function: a quantum characteristic function, or the chord function. However, knowledge of a finite number of moments does not allow for accurate determination of the chord function. This provides the overlap of the state with all its possible rigid translations (or displacements). We here present a semiclassical approximation of the chord function for large Bohr-quantized states, which is accurate right up to a caustic, beyond which the chord function becomes evanescent. It is verified to pick out blind spots, which are displacements for zero overlaps. These occur even for translations within a Planck area of the origin. We derive a simple approximation for the closest blind spots, depending on the Schrödinger covariance matrix, which is verified for Bohr-quantized states.
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1. Introduction

Experiments in quantum optics (see e.g. [1]), atom traps or other quickly developing technologies are rapidly realizing the promises of a manipulative quantum mechanics. The refinement of these techniques has led to the development of the field of quantum information, such that the interference between the wavefunctions of single atoms, or single modes of an optical cavity, can be measured. So far, experiments have mainly been realized for very simple states, but theory can anticipate a future where more delicate states, such as the superposition of many coherent states or the excited states of an anharmonic oscillator may be made to interfere. Experimental work with Rydberg atoms already point in this direction [2].

A typical interference experiment superposes two modified copies of the same initial state. For instance, in quantum optics, it is easy to achieve the unitary transformation that
corresponds to a uniform phase space translation (or displacement) of the phase space variables \( \mathbf{x} = (p, q) \). This translated state can then interfere with the original state. In general, the unitary translation operator

\[
\hat{T}_\xi = \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} (\xi \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}) \right] = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{\hbar} (\xi_p \hat{q} - \xi_q \hat{p}) \right]
\]

acts on the state \( |\psi\rangle \) to produce the new state \( |\psi_\xi\rangle = \hat{T}_\xi |\psi\rangle \) in strict correspondence to the classical translation, \( \mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{x} + \xi \), by the chord \( \xi \).\(^1\) Thus, given an arbitrary superposition of a state and its translation, \( a|\psi\rangle + b|\psi_\xi\rangle \), with \( |a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1 \), the probability that this is measured to be in the untranslated state is \( |a + b\langle\psi|\psi_\xi\rangle|^2 \).

Evidently, measurements of such probabilities (through repeated preparation) supply detailed quantum information concerning these initial states. Better still, the full set of possible overlaps defines the complete phase space representation,

\[
\chi(\xi) = \langle \psi | \hat{T}_{-\xi} | \psi \rangle.
\]

This is known as the chord function [3], as one of the quantum characteristic functions of quantum optics [1] (or the Weyl function as in [4]), which is the Fourier transform of the Wigner function [5]:

\[
\chi(\xi) = \int d\mathbf{x} \, W(\mathbf{x}) \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} (\xi \cdot \mathbf{x}) \right\}.
\]

The latter can be redefined, following Royer [3, 6], as

\[
W(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(\pi \hbar)^{1/4}} \langle \psi | \hat{R}_x | \psi \rangle,
\]

where \( \hat{R}_x \), the Fourier transform of the translation operators, corresponds classically to the phase space reflection through the reflection center \( \mathbf{x} \), i.e. \( \mathbf{x}_0 \mapsto 2\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0 \).

Thus, both the Wigner function and the chord function provide complete information about states, by telling us how they respond to certain continuous sets of quantum manipulations. These translation and reflection operators act on Hilbert space in close correspondence to classical phase space translations and reflections. Hence, their action on the excited states of anharmonic oscillators should correspond closely to the translations and reflections of the Bohr-quantized curves on which they are classically supported. This is well verified in the context of the semiclassical theories for the Wigner function [7, 13] and the chord function [8, 9]. The Wigner function, \( W(\mathbf{x}) \), oscillates with a non-negligible amplitude for all reflection centers, \( \mathbf{x} \), such that the quantized curve and its reflection intersect transversely, as shown in figure 1(a). Likewise, all translation chords, \( \xi \), for which the translated curve intersects the quantized curve transversely, are in the region where the chord function, \( \chi(\xi) \), displays sizeable oscillations. Since the quantized curve is closed, it intersects its reflection around \( \mathbf{x} \), or its translation by \( \xi \), at an even number of points. In both cases, it is the area between the pair of curves joining two intersections that determines the phase of the oscillations of the Wigner and the chord function, respectively, the shadow areas in figure 1.

However, it turns out that in both cases the classical region associated with the quantized curve lies on caustics where a simple semiclassical theory breaks down. In the case of the Wigner function, this caustic is the locus of reflection centers in the neighborhood of the quantized curve itself, that is, as the center, \( \mathbf{x} \), approaches the curve, pairs of intersections with the reflected curve coalesce. This caustic is generic and was already dealt with in Berry’s original treatment [7]. In contrast, the classical region in the space of translation chords is

\(^1\) In the optical context, \( \hat{T}_\xi \) is usually referred to as the displacement operator and is expressed in terms of creation and annihilation operators for the harmonic oscillator. This is inconvenient for semiclassical analysis.
the neighborhood of the origin, whatever the shape of the quantized curve. This is highly nongeneric because all points on the quantized curve intersect the translated curve in the limit as \( \xi \to 0 \), i.e. both curves coincide. Another (nonclassical) caustic, associated with the longest chords for which the translated curve still touches the Bohr-quantized curve, was already treated semiclassically in [9] (along with the corresponding Wigner caustic). Thus, the present theory, joining the short chord region to the oscillatory region, completes the general picture for the chord function of a Bohr-quantized state with a single degree of freedom.

Notwithstanding the difficulty of including the neighborhood of the origin of chords in a semiclassical theory, this region encodes a rich store of information concerning the quantum state. On the one hand, the derivatives of the chord function, evaluated at the origin, specify all the moments of position and momentum and their products. For a Bohr-quantized state, the moments can be identified with classical averages over the corresponding quantized curve, which is one justification for considering a Planck area surrounding the chord origin to be a classical region. In contrast, one finds points of complete orthogonality between the state and its translation within this same neighborhood, which shows that classical correspondence cannot be pushed too far. In the general case where the state has no reflection symmetry, orthogonality occurs for isolated points. A theoretical treatment for the pattern of these special chords, named blind spots, was presented for arbitrary superpositions of coherent or squeezed states in [10]. One of the objectives here is to extend this analysis to Bohr-quantized states.

Our starting point is a simple integral formula for the chord function that is only valid for small chords. This was presented in [8], but we here provide a fresh rederivation in section 2 and then go on to show that it leads to classical expressions for the moments. Of course, the knowledge of all the moments provides a Taylor series for the chord function, but its finite polynomial approximations cannot be joined smoothly to the oscillatory region, which is well described by the standard semiclassical theory in [8, 9]. In section 3, we establish the presence of blind spots in a neighborhood of the origin for any extended state. We present, in section 4, an interpolation that bridges the two regimes of the chord function (small and long chords). The full semiclassical theory is compared numerically with the exact result in section 5 for an example of a Fock state that is subjected to a unitary transformation which breaks its reflection symmetry. Finally, we discuss our results in section 6.

2. Small chords and moments of position and momentum

Consider a general semiclassical WKB state associated with the one-dimensional classical manifold defined by \( S(q, I = \bar{I}) \) [7, 11, 12],

\[
\langle q | \psi_\bar{I} \rangle = N \sum_j \left| \frac{\partial^2 S_j (p, q)}{\partial q \partial I} \right|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{\bar{\hbar}} S_j (q, I) + i \beta_j},
\]

(5)

where \( S \) is the generating function of the canonical transformation between the Cartesian variables and the action-angle variables, namely \( \mathbf{x} = (p, q) \mapsto (I, \theta) \), the index \( j \) enumerates the branches of \( S \) and \( \beta \) is the Maslov correction. The chord function for a WKB state, obtained by translating this state and taking its overlap according to (2), is given by the superposition

\[
\chi_w (\xi) = \sum_{jk} \chi_{jk} (\xi),
\]

(6)

where the terms \( \chi_{jk} \) are given by

\[
\chi_{jk} (\xi) = N^2 \int dQ \left| \frac{\partial^2 S_j}{\partial q \partial I} (Q_+) \frac{\partial^2 S_k}{\partial q \partial I} (Q_-) \right|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{\bar{\hbar}} [S_j (Q_+) - S_k (Q_-) - \xi p Q] + i (\beta_j - \beta_k)},
\]

(7)
with $Q_\pm \equiv Q \pm \frac{\xi}{2}$. Thus, the oscillatory semiclassical form for each branch of the chord function results from the stationary phase evaluation of this integral, to be discussed in section 3, but here we are concerned with the limit where the translation is so small that the phase between stationary points does not rise above the Planck’s constant.

Due to the symplectic invariance of the chord function [3], we may choose $\xi$ to be parallel to the vertical axis, without loss of generality. In this case, $Q_+ = Q_- = Q$, so that the phase difference between the top and bottom branches of the curve is just the curve area as a function of $Q$, which is large and not stationary. Thus, the neglect of these terms leaves only the ‘diagonal’ terms in (6), which are given by

$$\sum_j \chi_{jj}(\xi) = N^2 \sum_j \int dQ \left| \frac{\partial \theta_j}{\partial q} \right| e^{i\frac{Q}{\hbar}} = N^2 \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta e^{-i\xi Q(\theta)/\hbar}. \tag{8}$$

Again, making use of symplectic invariance, the right-hand side expression can be identified with the semiclassical approximation of the chord function for short chords, introduced in [8]:

$$\chi(\xi) \simeq \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} e^{i\theta x(\theta) \cdot \xi/\hbar} = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} e^{i[p(\theta)q(q) - q(p)]/\hbar}. \tag{9}$$

This approximation assumes that the classical curve is specified by action-angle variables, that is, $I(p, q) = I$ and, conversely, $x(\theta) \equiv (p(\theta), q(\theta))$.

Formula (9) holds for any choice of the direction of the small chord $\xi$ [8]. It describes the purely classical features of the state, inasmuch as it is the exact Fourier transform of the ‘classical approximation’ of the Wigner function, $W(x) = \delta(I(p, q) = I)/2\pi$, proposed by Berry [7]. However, it is more precise to consider this form of the Wigner function as a rash extrapolation of the correct form of the small chord approximation to arbitrarily large chords. Indeed, it will be shown here that the small chord version encodes quantum orthogonalities, as well as classical moments.

The definition of the chord function (2) allows us to calculate the statistical moments of $\hat{p}$ and $\hat{q}$ in the form of derivatives of the chord function, i.e. explicitly

$$\langle \hat{p}^n \rangle = \text{tr} \hat{p}^n = (-i\hbar)^n \left. \frac{\partial^n \chi}{\partial \xi^n} \right|_{\xi=0} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \hat{q}^n \rangle = \text{tr} \hat{q}^n = (i\hbar)^n \left. \frac{\partial^n \chi}{\partial \xi^n} \right|_{\xi=0}. \tag{10}$$

Conversely, if we know all the moments, then we know the chord function because the expansion in a Taylor series of the chord function is

$$\chi(\xi) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k (\hbar)^n \binom{n}{n-k} \langle M(\hat{q}^{n-k}\hat{p}^k) \rangle \xi^k \xi^{n-k}. \tag{11}$$
Thus, the nodal line of \( c(\xi) \) and \( s(\xi) \) corresponds to the classical expected values of powers of position and momentum. They can also be obtained directly from the classical approximation of the Wigner function, even though this is not so satisfactory in other respects.

3. Nodal lines and blind spots

The chord function (3) is the Fourier transform of the real Wigner function and is in general complex. Indeed, the fact that it represents a Hermitian operator only implies the constraint \( \chi(-\xi) = \chi(\xi)^* \), where the asterisk denotes complex conjugation. On the other hand, the cosine and the sine transforms, \( c(\xi) \) and \( s(\xi) \), of the Wigner function are real, i.e. the real and imaginary part of \( \chi(\xi) \), respectively. In line with the definition (2), we may construct the Hermitian operators

\[
\hat{c}_\xi = \frac{\hat{T}_\xi + \hat{T}_{-\xi}}{2} = \cos \left( \frac{\xi \cdot \mathbf{x}}{\hbar} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{s}_\xi = \frac{\hat{T}_\xi - \hat{T}_{-\xi}}{2i} \sin \left( \frac{\xi \cdot \mathbf{x}}{\hbar} \right),
\]

so that \( c(\xi) = \langle \psi | \hat{c}_\xi | \psi \rangle \) and \( s(\xi) = \langle \psi | \hat{s}_\xi | \psi \rangle \).\(^2\) So these are the generalizations of the potentials \( \cos(kq) \) and \( \sin(kq) \) of cold atoms illuminated by standing waves from lasers [14, 15], where \( k \) is the wave vector of the laser and \( q \) is the position coordinate. In the case when the state \( | \psi \rangle \) has a center of symmetry (i.e. there exists a center \( \mathbf{x} \), such that \( \hat{R}_\mathbf{x} | \psi \rangle = \pm | \psi \rangle \)), then \( s(\xi) = 0 \), so that \( \chi(\xi) = c(\xi) \) [8, 10].

In the general case where there is no reflection symmetry, an intersection of a nodal line of \( c(\xi) \) with a nodal line of \( s(\xi) \) defines a blind spot [10], at which the translated state, \( | \psi_{\xi} \rangle \), becomes orthogonal to \( | \psi \rangle \) (some examples are illustrated in figures 2 and 3). Because \( \chi(0) = 1 \), the origin lies on a nodal line of \( s(\xi) \). In a neighborhood of the origin, the chord function may be approximated by

\[
\chi(\xi) = \langle \hat{T}_{-\xi} \rangle \approx \left( 1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} \xi \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}} - \frac{1}{\hbar^2} (\xi \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}})^2 + \cdots \right)
\]

\[
= 1 - i \frac{\xi}{\hbar} \xi \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{\hbar^2} (\xi \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}})^2 + \cdots.
\]

Thus, the nodal line of \( s(\xi) \) crossing the origin is locally parallel to the direction of \( \langle \hat{\mathbf{x}} \rangle \).

On the other hand, because the origin is the maximum of the real part of the chord function, the nodal lines of \( c(\xi) \) for small chords avoid the origin. It follows from (16) that the closest nodal line surrounding the origin is given approximately by

\[
(\xi \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}})^2 = (\hat{q}^2 \xi_p^2 + \hat{p}^2 \xi_q^2 - 2 \hat{q} \hat{p} \xi_q \xi_p) = \xi K \xi = \hbar^2
\]

An alternative interpretation is to consider \( c(\xi) \) and \( s(\xi) \) to be the chord functions for appropriately symmetrized states [10].
if we neglect higher order terms. This positive quadratic form is defined in terms of the Schrödinger covariance matrix [16], $K$, which establishes the extent of the state in phase space, that is, $\det K \geq (2\pi \hbar)^2$ is just the symplectically invariant version of the uncertainty principle. The nodal line of $c(\xi)$ is thus approximated by the ellipse (17), and the closest blind spot lies near the tip of the diameter parallel to $\langle \hat{x} \rangle$. It is important to note that the present estimate for the pair of closest blind spots depends only on the first- and second-order moments. In the case of a quantized curve treated here, it will be verified that the qualitative features of the nodal lines are explained by this simple approximation. However, the nodal lines of $c(\xi)$ may show marked influence of the higher order moments, in the case of a superposition of coherent states [10].

The highly excited Bohr-quantized states appropriate for semiclassical treatment have a covariance matrix that is well described by the classical averages discussed in the previous section, such that $\det K \gg (2\pi \hbar)^2$. Thus, the ellipse (17) lies in the deep interior of a neighborhood of the origin with $\sqrt{\hbar}$ linear dimensions. This is in line with the discussion in [10]: notwithstanding the delicate quantum nature of blind spots, they can be found in the ‘classical’ neighborhood of the origin and they are precisely determined by classical features. This apparent paradox is resolved by the reciprocal relation between large and small scales of pure states in phase space, that follows from the universal invariance of the intensity of the chord function for pure states with respect to Fourier transformation [4, 8]:

$$|\chi(\xi)|^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi \hbar)} \int d\eta |\chi(\eta)|^2 \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} (\xi \wedge \eta) \right\}.$$  

(18)

So far, we have only estimated the closest blind spots to the origin. It is hopeless to pursue the Taylor expansion (16) any further to find further orthogonalities. On the other hand, the real and imaginary parts of the short chord approximation (9) have many nodal lines in the region where it holds, in the case of a big Bohr-quantized state. In the following section, an interpolation formula is presented that allows for a uniform description, which is also valid in the outer oscillatory region, where the chord function is evaluated by the stationary phase.

4. Joining the long and short chord regimes

In section 2, we rederived the ‘classical’ approximation (9), which holds in the neighborhood of the origin, but not in the region beyond. Otherwise, the long-chord regime is well described.
in terms of Airy functions [9], describing an oscillatory region in a ring surrounding the origin, through an outer caustic and on to an asymptotic evanescent regime as $|\xi| \to \infty$. This Airy function results from the uniform approximation based on the stationary points of the exponent in (7). As mentioned in the introduction, the stationary points are geometrically identified by the intersections between the supporting manifold and its translation (see figure 1).

There are two basic reasons why the standard uniform approximation technique, involving a transformation of the integral (9) into a simpler one (cf [17, 18]), cannot be employed near the origin. One is that there are an infinite number of stationary points of the exponent (7) at $\xi = 0$, whence the origin is a non-generic caustic (in the sense of Thom’s classification theorem [17, 19]). In addition to this, the large parameter condition in the exponent, essential for asymptotic expansions of integrals [18], is not fulfilled for the case of small values of $|\xi|$, where the behavior of the integral is given by (9) because $|\xi|/\hbar \sim 1$.

In order to describe the transition regime (between short and long chords), we propose the following (semiclassical) expression for the chord function:

$$\chi_{sc}(\xi) = \chi_s(\xi) - \text{SP}[\chi_s](\xi) + \text{SP}[\chi_w](\xi).$$

Here $\chi_s$ is the integral (9), $\chi_w$ is the full semiclassical integral for the chord function, (6) and (7), and SP[.] denotes the approximation of [.] by the stationary phase. Note that $\chi_s(\xi)$ was derived as a short chord approximation to $\chi_w(\xi)$, but, since $\xi \wedge \mathbf{x}(\theta)$ in the exponent of (9)
is a nonlinear function of the integration variable $\theta$, this integral can also be evaluated by a stationary phase. Indeed, the pair of integrals in (19) that are evaluated by the stationary phase must cancel in the neighborhood of the origin. On the other hand, the middle term, $\text{SP}[\chi_s]$, cancels $\chi_s$ for large values of $|\xi|$, where stationary phase evaluation is valid.

The stationary phase method assumes that the integral is dominated by points where the phase is stationary. In the case of the chord function, such stationary points have a geometrical interpretation. Namely, each stationary point defines pairs of points $x_{\pm}$ on the curve. The point $x_-$ is the intersection of the classical curve with its translation by the vector $-\xi$, whereas $x_+ = x_- + \xi$. This fitting of the chord into the curve is called a chord realization. The stationary points of the chord function are the $q$-coordinates of the centers $x_j$ of such realizations. In figure 1(b), we show that each chord has two realizations in a convex closed curve.

The amplitude in the above semiclassical approximation may be expressed in terms of the canonical action variable, $I(x)$. Specifically, the amplitude is given by $|I^+, I^-|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, where $I^\pm$ is the action variable at the tips of the realization of $\xi$. Finally, the phase in this approximation is determined by the area $A_{x_j}$, between the realization of $\xi$ and the curve, plus the product $x_j \wedge \xi$. An additional phase, $\sigma_j$, is given by the sign of the expression

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Q^2}[S(x_j + \xi/2) - S(x_j - \xi/2)].$$

(20)

Therefore, the stationary phase evaluation for $\chi_w$ [8] is

$$\text{SP}[\chi_w](\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_j \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar} A_{x_j}(\xi) + x_j \wedge \xi + \frac{\pi}{4} (\sigma_j(\xi) + \gamma_j)\right]|(|I_j^+|_j|^{-\frac{1}{2}}|,$n

(21)

where $j$ enumerates the stationary points $x_j$ and $\gamma_j$ is the difference between the Maslov corrections in (7).

On the other hand, for $\chi_s$, we have

$$\text{SP}[\chi_s](\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_i \frac{|x'(\theta_i(\xi)) \wedge \xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi \hbar}} \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar} x(\theta_i(\xi)) \wedge \xi + \frac{\pi}{4} \text{sign}(x'(\theta_i(\xi)) \wedge \xi)\right].$$

(22)

where $i$ enumerates the stationary points for $\chi_s$, that is, the points where the vector $\xi$ is tangent to the curve. Thus, inserting the explicit stationary phase evaluations (21) and (22) into (19) leads to a general approximation, in which the only integral left to be evaluated numerically was already present in the short chord approximation (9).

5. Nonlinear evolution of a Fock state

In order to test the general approximation (19), we analyze the chord function of a one-parameter set of states, evolving under the action of a simple cubic Hamiltonian, depending only on momenta:

$$H(p) = \alpha_3 p^3 + \alpha_2 p^2 + \alpha_1 p + \alpha_0.$$  

(23)

The classical evolution is then determined by

$$p(t) = p(0) \quad \text{and} \quad q(t) = q(0) + (3\alpha_3 p^2 + \alpha_2 p + \alpha_1)t.$$  

(24)

For a fixed time parameter, $t \geq 0$, the action function is $I(p, q, t) = (q - [3\alpha_3 p^2 + 2\alpha_2 p + \alpha_1]t)^2 + p^2$, so that the classical curve supporting the evolved state corresponds to the level curve $I(p, q, t) = T$.

For $t = 0$, we choose a Fock state, $|n\rangle$, which is an excited state of the harmonic oscillator of frequency $\omega$. Choosing $\omega = 1$, Fock states are supported by a circular manifold, so they
are symmetric with respect to reflections. By choosing the center of the supporting circle as the origin, we obtain the (exact) real chord function [8]

\[ \chi_n(\xi) = e^{-|\xi|^2/4\hbar} L_n \left( \frac{\xi}{\hbar} \right), \]

(25)

where \( L_n(\cdot) \) is the \( n \)-th Laguerre polynomial [20]. Since \( \chi_n \) is real, it has full nodal lines corresponding to circles, and its radii are \( \hbar \) times to the roots of the \( n \)-th Laguerre polynomial, as shown in figure 2. The above classical evolution breaks the original central symmetry because of the cubic term in the Hamiltonian. For these states, the approximation for the first nodal line, equation (17), provides a circle of radius \( \sqrt{\hbar/(n + 1/2)} \). This result differs from the exact with \( \sim 18\% \) of accuracy; so as previously mentioned, approximation (17) just gives a qualitative estimation for the first nodal line.

On the other hand, the exact evolving chord function, obtained from (2), is

\[ \chi(\xi, t) = \langle \psi(t) | \hat{T}_{-\xi} | \psi(t) \rangle = \int dp \psi_n^*(p_+) e^{-\frac{1}{2} i \left( H(p_+) - H(p_-) - \xi p_\xi \right)} \psi_n(p_-), \]

(26)

where \( p_\pm \equiv p \pm \xi_p/2 \) and

\[ \psi_n(p) = \frac{(-i)^n}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} \left( \frac{1}{\pi \hbar} \right)^{1/4} H_n \left( \frac{p}{\sqrt{\hbar}} \right) e^{-\frac{p^2}{2\hbar}} \]

(27)

is the Fock state \( n \) in the \( p \)-representation and \( H_n(\cdot) \) is the \( n \)-th Hermite polynomial [20]. For any \( t > 0 \), the reflection symmetry is broken; thus, the chord function has no more a global parity, which implies that the imaginary part is not null. As shown in figure 3, expression (19) describes appropriately the behavior of the chord function for short and long chords. Clearly \( \text{SP}[\chi_n] \) is not valid when \( \xi \) tends to a diameter, but the resulting singularity is avoided by using the uniform approximation [9]. We can recognize the real (figure 3(a)) and imaginary (figure 3(b)) parts by their even and odd parity, respectively. The intersections of nodal lines for both the real and the imaginary parts correspond to the blind spots, i.e. the zeros of the intensity (local maxima in figure 3(c)) and singularities in the phase (figure 3(d)). The pair of closest blind spots, which were approximately specified by the first- and second-order moments in section 2, result from the intersection of the smallest closed curve in figure 3(a) with the straight line through the origin in figure 3(b). It is curious that the other intersections also seem to imply radial straight lines in this example.

The detailed comparison of the intensities for our semiclassical approximation of the chord function (19) with the exact result is shown in figure 4. The particular radial straight line chosen exhibits a sequence of blind spots. We observe a caustic (the diameter singularity) at the left edge of the figure. It arises in the stationary phase approximation, but this spurious divergence is corrected by the uniform approximation in [9].

6. Discussion

The chord function portrays a pure state by exhibiting its overlap with all its possible translations. There are two cases where such a state has a clear classical correspondence: the superposition of well-separated coherent or squeezed states treated in [9] and the Bohr-quantized states analyzed here. Contrary to naive considerations, in neither case is the decay in the square modulus of the overlap smooth and classical like within a Planck area of the chord origin. Here we have shown that blind spots, denoting zero overlap, arise deep within this classically small neighborhood. This feature depends basically on the Schrödinger covariance matrix: the greater its determinant, the closer to the origin will the blind spots lie.
Knowledge of a finite number of moments determines the chord function of a Bohr-quantized state near the origin, but it is insufficient to follow through the complex oscillations, punctuated by a complex pattern of blind spots, up to the outer limit of an evanescent region. We have presented a new semiclassical approximation for the chord function of Bohr-quantized states and verified that it is accurate, right up to the outer caustic, which was previously treated in [9]. In the absence of reflection symmetry, such as present in a Fock state, the chord function is fully complex, which leads to a richer structure than that displayed by the corresponding Wigner function.

If the system is in contact with an uncontrolled environment, the state will not remain pure, so it must be described by the density operator $\hat{\rho}$ and definition (2) becomes

$$\chi(\xi) = \text{tr} \left[ \hat{\rho} \hat{T}_\xi \right].$$

Though this chord function still supplies a complete description of the state, the overlap with its translation is now given by

$$C(\xi) \equiv \text{tr} \left[ \hat{\rho} \left( \hat{T}_\xi \right)_\xi \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi \hbar} \int |\chi(\eta)|^2 e^{-i\xi\wedge\eta/\hbar} \, d\eta. \quad (28)$$

For small displacements, $\xi \to 0$, the correlation has a maximal value since $C(0) = \text{tr} \, \hat{\rho}^2 = 1$. As the displacement increases, we attain an oscillatory regime, where the stationary phase approximation takes account. This behavior changes after the diameter caustic is reached, followed by an evanescent region. For the pure states, this correlation is invariant under Fourier transform since it reduces to $C(\xi) \sim |\langle \psi | \hat{T}_\xi | \psi \rangle|^2 = |\chi(\xi)|^2$, according to (18).

As in the case of superpositions of Gaussian wavepackets [10], we expect that blind spots will survive in the chord function for a Markovian quantum evolution appropriate to an open system. However, they should disappear from $C(\xi)$ much more quickly than the negative regions of the Wigner function. Blind spots correspond to sharp indentations on a background of maximal correlations which makes them measurable. As shown in [9], they take their place as very sensitive indicators of the full quantum coherence alongside the zeros of the Husimi function [21]. The latter often has its zeros in shallow evanescent regions [21], where they are tricky to distinguish.
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