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Abstract: In this paper, we investigate the nonreciprocity of reflection in parity-time–symmetric (PT-symmetric) Cantor photonic crystals (PCs). Two one-dimensional PCs abiding by the Cantor sequence are PT-symmetric about the center. The PT symmetry and defect cavities in Cantor PCs can induce optical fractal states which are transmission modes. Subsequently, the left and right reflectionless states are located on both sides of a transmission peak. The invisible effect depends on the incident direction and the invisible wavelength can be modulated by the gain–loss factor. This study has potential applications in tunable optical reflectors and invisible cloaks.
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1. Introduction

Optical invisibility has been extensively utilized for sensors, filters, and other civilian facilities [1–3]. The traditional stealth technology is based on the ability of invisibility cloaks’ materials to absorb light wave energy [4,5], but specific materials can only absorb light waves with specific wavelengths. Therefore, once the probing wavelength changes, the stealth effect will be greatly reduced. In addition, it is generally difficult for the absorption rate of light wave energy to reach a hundred percent [6] as there is energy surplus reflected by objects, meaning that it is necessary to find a new optical structure to realize the stealth of light waves and achieve a flexible adjustment to the stealth wavelength.

Optical systems are non-Hermitian, as there is gain or loss (or both of them) in the dielectrics [7]. Exchanges of energy occur between non-Hermitian optical systems and the outside world [8,9]. The dielectric refractive index in non-Hermitian can be written as $n = n_r + i n_i$, where $n_r$ is the real part of the refractive index, $n_i$ is the imaginary part of the refractive index, and the letter $i$ represents the imaginary unit.

As a non-Hermitian optical system satisfies parity-time (PT) symmetry, the left and right incident light waves are non-reciprocal [10]. Specifically, the left and right reflection spectra do not coincide. The two reflection coefficients’ phase spectra curves are also not coincident [11]. The conception of PT symmetry is first derived from quantum mechanics [12]. In an optical system, as long as the refractive index of the dielectric meets the condition of $n(r) = n^*(−r)$ in space, where $r$ is the position coordinate, the structure is PT-symmetric. Many fascinating physical phenomena have been observed in PT-symmetric and non-Hermitian systems, such as coherent perfect absorbing [13,14], optical bistability [15,16], optical solitons [17], optical gradient forces [18], and topological protected or transmission modes [19–22].

There are some defect modes in defective photonic crystals, such as one of the transmission modes [23]. The transmission mode has great value in transmittance and a very low reflectivity. The PT-symmetric optical system can enhance the resonance of the defect mode, so that the maximum transmittance does not coincide with the left and right zero
points of reflection [24]. In other words, the two reflectionless points are not coincident. This effect is called the unidirectional invisibility of optical propagation. Furthermore, the left and right reflectivity zero points, viz. the invisibility wavelength, can be regulated by the imaginary part of the dielectric refractive index [25].

Compared with periodic photonic crystals, quasi-periodic photonic crystals have more defect cavities and show the optical fractal effect [26,27]. The optical fractal states are transmission modes. Therefore, one can consider combining PT symmetry and quasi-periodic photonic crystals to achieve the optical unidirectional invisibility of particular wavelengths, using the reflection reciprocity of the left and right incident light waves around the optical fractal states. The optical fractal states are between the left and right reflectionless points in the reflection spectra. The stealth wavelength may also be adjusted flexibly by the imaginary refractive index of the dielectrics.

A centrosymmetric composite system was constructed from two quasi-photonic crystals which submit to the Cantor sequence. We modulated the gain–loss factor in systems to satisfy PT symmetry. An optical fractal state and the mode enhancement phenomenon of light were demonstrated subsequently. Then, we showed the nonreciprocity of reflection for incident light from the left and right, respectively. Next, the left and right zero point trajectories of reflection are given in the parameter space of the gain–loss factor and the normalized frequency. The dependence of invisible wavelength on the gain–loss factor was studied as well. Finally, we show the left/right reflectance changing with the gain–loss factor, as the incident wavelength is equal to the right/left reflectionless wavelength. This study may be utilized for optical invisibility.

2. PT-Symmetric Cantor Photonic Crystal

The Cantor sequence submits to the substitution rule: $S_0 = H$, $S_1 = HLH$, $S_2 = HLHLLHLH, \ldots, S_N = H S_{N-1} (L L) S_{N-1}, \ldots, S_N$, where $N (N = 2, 3, \ldots)$ is the sequence number, and $S_N$ represents the Nth term of the sequence [28].

Figure 1 gives a parity-time-symmetric (PT-symmetric) Cantor photonic crystal with a sequence number of $N = 2$. The $S_2$ Cantor photonic crystal can be expressed as HLHLLHLH, where H and L represent two unique dielectric slabs with high and low refractive indices, respectively. The two dielectrics of H and L arrange along the Z-axis to form two $S_2$ Cantor photonic crystals which are centrosymmetric about the origin. Then, the imaginary part of dielectric refractive index is modulated to satisfy PT symmetry. The whole system can also be denoted by HLHLLHLHH’L’H’L’L’H’L’H’.
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Figure 1. Schematic of PT-symmetric Cantor photonic crystal. The Cantor photonic crystal is composed of two $S_2$ dielectric multilayers submitted to the Cantor sequence substitution rule and the two $S_2$ of Cantor photonic crystal are symmetric about the center.

For a light incident from the left, the symbols of $I_{if}$, $I_{if}$, and $I_{rf}$ represent the incident, transmitted, and reflected lights, respectively, while for a right incident light beam the incident, transmitted, and reflected lights are, respectively, denoted by $I_{rb}$, $I_{rb}$, and $I_{rb}$. The alphabet of $\theta$ is the incident angle and for a normal incident beam the incident angle is $\theta = 0^\circ$.

The host materials of dielectric slabs H and H’ are Si and have relatively high refractive indices. Their refractive indices are, respectively, expressed as $n_H = 3.53 + i0.01 \eta$ and...
n_{ff} = 3.53 - i*0.01 q, where \(i\) is the imaginary unit and \(q\) is the gain–loss factor of materials. The host materials of the low refractive indices dielectric slabs \(L\) and \(L'\) are SiO\(_2\), of which the refractive indices are written as \(n_L = 1.46 + i*0.01q\) and \(n_{L'} = 1.46 - i*0.01q\), respectively. The thicknesses of dielectric slabs of \(H\) and \(H'\) are 1/4 optical wavelength, viz. \(d_H = d_{H'} = \lambda_0 / 4/\text{Re}(n_{H}) = 0.1098 \mu\text{m}\), where \(\lambda_0 = 1.55 \mu\text{m}\) is the central wavelength and \(\text{Re}(n_{H})\) is the real part of \(n_H\). Similarly, the thicknesses of dielectric slabs of \(L\) and \(L'\) are \(d_L = d_{L'} = \lambda_0 / 4/\text{Re}(n_L) = 0.2654 \mu\text{m}\). The PT symmetry requires the system refractive index to meet the condition of \(n(z) = n^*(-z)\), and the asterisk represents the complex conjugate operator. If we further write the system refractive index as \(n(z) = n_c(z) + i*n_i(z)\), the PT-symmetric condition can be divided into two formulae: \(n_c(z) = n_c(-z)\) and \(n_i(z) = -n_i(-z)\). That is, the real part of the refractive index is even symmetric with respect to the origin, while the imaginary part is odd symmetric. Otherwise, the positive imaginary part of the refractive index of the whole structures submits to odd symmetry condition can be divided into two formulae:

\[ n_r(z) = n_r(-z) \quad \text{and} \quad n_i(z) = -n_i(-z) \]

As lights are normally incident from the left and right, respectively. The gain–loss factor is \(q = 0.0013\), while the left reflectance is \(R_l = 0.3896\). As light is incident from the left at \(\theta = 0°\), the reflectance is labeled by \(R_f = 0.1098\). Similarly, the thicknesses of dielectric slabs \(L\) are \(1/4\) optical wavelength, called the incident angular frequency of light, the central angular frequency, and the photonic bandgap. The transmission and reflectance spectra are derived by the forward transmission matrix method (FTMM) [22]. The symbol \(T\) represents the transmittance, while \(R_f\) and \(R_b\), respectively, represent the reflectance of lights incident from the left and right.

**Figure 2.** Transmittance and reflectance varying with the normalized frequency, respectively. Two lights are normally incident from the left and right, respectively. The gain–loss factor is \(q = 5\).
The transmission spectra are coincident with each other for lights normally incident from the left and right, while the reflection spectra are not coincident. There is a peak in the transmittance curve and the peak value is \( T = 1.11 \) at \( (\omega - \omega_0)/\omega_{\text{gap}} = 0.0016 \). The peak corresponds to a resonant mode which stems from the electric field localization. The combined effect of the optical gain in materials and the mode field localization causes the peak transmittance to exceed 1.

For two lights incident from the left and right, there is a valley in each reflection spectrum. The two valleys are denoted as \( \text{ZP}_l \) and \( \text{ZP}_r \) for the two directions’ incident lights, respectively. The valley of \( \text{ZP}_l \) is located at \( (\omega - \omega_0)/\omega_{\text{gap}} = 0.0018 \) for the left incident light, while for the right incident light the reflection valley of \( \text{ZP}_r \) is located at \( (\omega - \omega_0)/\omega_{\text{gap}} = 0.0013 \). One can find that the maximum transmittance is between the two reflectivity zeros.

The characteristic is that the reflection curve of the left incident light does not identify with that of the right incident light. In particular, the left incident reflectance is \( R_l = 0 \) at \( \text{ZP}_l \), while the right incident reflectance is \( R_r = 0.3896 \). As light is incident from the left at the normalized frequency of \( (\omega - \omega_0)/\omega_{\text{gap}} = 0.0018 \), the reflectance is zero, viz. reflectionless transmission. In this case, the device is invisible to the left incident light wave, while the reflectance is nonzero and visible for a light incident from the right at the same frequency. Similarly, the right reflectance is \( R_r = 0 \) at \( \text{ZP}_r \) at the normalized frequency \( (\omega - \omega_0)/\omega_{\text{gap}} = 0.0013 \), while the left reflectance is \( R_l = 0.3969 \). In this case, for the frequency of \( (\omega - \omega_0)/\omega_{\text{gap}} = 0.0013 \), the device is invisible to the right incident light while it is visible to the left incident light. Therefore, this effect could be utilized for the unidirectionally optical invisibility of particular wavelengths.

The gain–loss factor can be positive and negative. In PT-symmetric systems, the imaginary part of the refractive index of the whole structures submits to odd symmetry \( n_i(z) = -n_i(-z) \). For a specific material, the positive imaginary part of the refractive index represents loss and the negative imaginary part of the refractive index represents gain. We have only demonstrated reflectance and transmittance of light for the case of positive \( q \), so for the case of \( q < 0 \), one can derive the reflection and transmission characteristic from the case of \( q > 0 \) by flipping the device horizontally.

As a light is normally incident from the left, Figure 3a gives the reflectance in the parameter space. The parameter space is composed of the gain–loss factor and the normalized frequency. The symbol of \( R_l \) represents the forward-incident light reflectance and \( \log_{10}(R_l) \) is the logarithm of \( R_l \). There is a groove of reflectance in the parameter space caused by modulating \( q \) and \( (\omega - \omega_0)/\omega_{\text{gap}} \) simultaneously. The value on the path of the reflectance groove is zero, viz. the groove corresponds to the zero reflection point changing trajectory and denoted by \( \text{ZP}_l \).

For a right incident light where the incident is \( \theta = 0^\circ \), the reflectance is labeled by \( R_r \). In the parameter space, the reflectance is a function of the gain–loss factor and the normalized frequency as shown in Figure 3b. One can see that, compared with Figure 3a, there is also a valley trajectory in the parameter space as the gain–loss factor and normalized frequency change. The minimum value of the right reflection is zero as well and denoted by \( \text{ZP}_r \). One can find that the left and right trajectories composed of the zero-reflection points do not coincide, which manifests that the reflection is direction-dependent and nonreciprocal.

As lights are incident from the left and right, Figure 3c gives the transmittance in the parameter space. The values of transmittance are the same for the two directions’ incident lights. The transmittance of light is denoted by \( T \) and there is a peak transmittance trajectory in the parameter space denoted by \( \text{PT} \). The peak varies with the gain–loss factor and the normalized frequency. The peak value increases with the increase in the gain–loss factor and normalized frequency. In other words, the resonance of the transmission mode is improved by increasing the gain–loss factor, which results from the enhancement of the electric field localization.
Here, we investigate the reflectionless points in $\lambda$ and normalized frequency. In other words, the resonance of the transmission mode is the normalized frequency. The peak value increases with the increase in the gain–loss factor, which results from the enhancement of the electric field localization.

Figure 3a,b give the transmittance peak (PT), with the zero points of the left and right reflection (ZP$_f$ and ZP$_b$) varying with the gain–loss factor. The zero points of these two direction reflection lights split as the gain–loss increases. Furthermore, as the gain–loss factor increases, the zero reflection points split more widely. The peak of transmittance is local between the left and right reflectionless points. This demonstrates that the left and right reflection nonreciprocity phenomenon is more obvious for a greater gain–loss factor. Otherwise, zero points of reflection splitting induce the localization enhancement of the electric field and resonance of the transmission mode.

For a light incident from the left, there are a series of zero-points of reflectance in the parameter space. We have denoted the zero-point by ZP$_f$ which is local at $[q, (\omega_{\text{fz}} - \omega_0)/\omega_{\text{gap}}]$. For each fixed value of the gain–loss factor, there is a corresponding left reflectionless point. Meanwhile, this normalized frequency corresponds to a specific wavelength, so we can denote the reflectionless point by $[q, \lambda_{\text{fz}}]$. The zero-point of reflection changes with the gain–loss factor; therefore, the corresponding wavelength of the reflectionless point changes as well. For a given $q$, the reflectance is $R_f = 0$ as the incident light wavelength is $\lambda = \lambda_{\text{fz}}$. Therefore, people cannot sense the existence of the photonic crystal, and the photonic device is left invisible to this left incident particular wavelength. Keeping the gain–loss factor unchanged, the reflectance is $R_b > 0$ for the right incident light. For a given value of $q$, the right reflectance of $\lambda_{\text{fz}}$ (the left reflectionless incident wavelength) varies with the gain–loss factor, as shown in Figure 4a. As the gain–loss factor increases, this modulates the incident wavelength to leave the left reflectance containing zero unchanged, while the right reflectance keeps increasing at the same incident wavelength. Therefore, the device is unidirectionally invisible for the left incident light and visible for the right incident light.

Figure 4b gives the left reflectionless wavelength varying with the gain–loss factor. The corresponding wavelength is $\lambda_{\text{fz}} = 1.55 \mu m$ for $q = 0$ and the corresponding wavelength is $\lambda_{\text{fz}} = 1.5436 \mu m$ for $q = 10$. The left incident invisibility wavelength decreases with the increase in the gain–loss factor. Here, we investigate the reflectionless points in the non-reciprocal left and right reflection spectra of lights. This effect is called unidirectional invisibility and could be utilized for unidirectional invisibility for a given gain–loss factor $q = 10$, the reflectance $R_f = 0$ as a light is incident from the left with a wavelength of $\lambda_{\text{fz}} = 1.5436 \mu m$, while the right reflectance is $R_b \neq 0$ with the same incident wave-
length. Therefore, this photonic device is invisible for the left incident probing wave of \( \lambda_L = 1.5436 \, \mu m \) and visible for the same right incident probing wave, as the gain–loss factor is \( q = 10 \).

Figure 4. (a) The right reflectance varying with the gain–loss factor at the left-reflectionless incident wavelength. (b) The left unidirectionally invisible wavelength changing with the gain–loss factor.

The zero reflection of the right incident light is denoted by \([q, \lambda_{bz}]\). In the same way, for a fixed \( q \) the left reflectance is nonzero as the light impinges upon the structure normally from the right in the corresponding wavelength of \( \lambda_{bz} \), meaning that the device can be unidirectionally invisible for the right incident light. The left reflectance at the wavelength of the right reflectionless varies with the gain–loss factor, as shown in Figure 5a. By modulating the incident wavelength, the right reflectance contains \( R_{bz} = 0 \) as the gain–loss factor increases. Meanwhile, the reflectance of the left incident light increases with the increase in the gain–loss factor. Therefore, the device is invisible for the right incident light, while it is visible for the left incident light.

Figure 5. (a) Left reflectance varying with the gain–loss factor at the right-reflectionless incident wavelength. (b) Right unidirectionally invisible wavelength changing with the gain–loss factor.

Figure 5b provides the corresponding wavelength of the right reflectionless changing with the gain–loss factor. The invisibility wavelength is \( \lambda_{bz} = 1.55 \, \mu m \) for \( q = 0 \) and the corresponding wavelength is \( \lambda_{bz} = 1.5478 \, \mu m \) for \( q = 10 \). The right incident invisibility wavelength decreases with the increase in the gain–loss factor as well.

For 1D photonic crystals with regular geometric structures, the transmittance and reflectance of plane waves are submitted to the exact mathematical expressions in TMM. Here, we choose some parameters to verify this conclusion. For a nonzero value of the gain–loss factor \( q = 5 \), viz. \( n_H = 3.53 + 0.05i \), \( n_{Hz} = 3.53 - 0.05i \), \( n_L = 1.46 + 0.05i \), and \( n_{Lz} = 1.46 - 0.05i \), Figure 6a gives the transmission spectra of lights derived by two different simulating methods of TMM and (finite difference time domain) FDTD. One can see that the two simulating results are demonstrated to be coincident with each other. There is a peak in the transmittance curve and the corresponding wave of the transmission peak is \( \lambda = 1.5487 \, \mu m \). For the transmission mode, Figure 6b,c provide the distribution of the electric field in TMM and FDTD, respectively. The electric field power is mainly restricted around the center defect layers and the results simulated in two different methods are coincident.
The dielectric slabs of 1D photonic crystals are arranged along the Z-axis and the whole thickness of this structure is 3.5323 µm in the horizontal direction. More practical 2D and 3D structures can be constructed, since the size of 1D photonic crystals in the X-axis or Y-axis is approximately two orders of magnitude larger than that in the Z-axis.

For a given value of $q$, there is a corresponding wavelength at which the reflectance is zero as the light is incident from the left, while the right reflectance is nonzero at the same incident wavelength. Similarly, for a light with some other wavelengths, the right reflectance is zero while the left reflectance is nonzero. This effect can be utilized for optical unidirectionally invisibility. The invisibility wavelength could be tuned by the gain–loss factor flexibly.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, the reflectionless effect and the dependence of reflection on direction are investigated in PT-symmetric Cantor photonic crystals. Two dielectrics subject to the Cantor sequence array along the Z-axis and their refractive indices are modulated to satisfy PT symmetry. The Cantor photonic crystals support optical fractal states which are resonant states. The left and right reflectionless states are local at around the resonant mode. The PT symmetry leads to the unidirectional invisibility of light. By modulating the gain–loss factor, PT symmetry could further improve the resonance of an optical fractal state. Subsequently, the left and right zero points of reflection are split by tuning the gain–loss factor of materials. In particular, the unidirectionally invisible wavelength changes with the gain–loss factor. This study could have applications for optical reflectors and invisible cloaks, such as spatially tunable modern lasers [34], wavelength splitters [35], dispersion-controlled optical group delay devices [36], multichannel multiplexors [37], and rendering objects undetectable [38,39].
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