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The authors note that on page 3, left column, first paragraph, line 6, “[−0.016–0.168]” should instead appear as “[0.016–0.168]”.

The authors also note that Fig. 3 appeared incorrectly due to an inadvertent switching of text colors in Fig. 3A. The corrected figure and its legend appear below.
Fig. 3. Influence of vitD and latitude on risk for relapses and disability accumulation. (A) Time-to-event curves displaying the proportion of relapse-free patients over time for the NationMS cohort grouped by serum 25(OH)D₃ levels (color code: jade = the 20% of patients with the lowest 25(OH)D₃ levels, cutpoint: <10.086 ng/mL; black = the 20% of patients with the highest 25(OH)D₃ levels, cutpoint: ≥30.31 ng/mL; orange = patients in between) and complemented with a table displaying the number at risk over time (n = 715). (B) Dotplot for the change in EDSS (ΔEDSS) in relation to baseline 25(OH)D₃ levels with least-squares linear regression line ± SE (n = 612). (C) Time-to-event curves displaying the proportion of relapse-free patients over time for the NationMS cohort grouped by latitude (north defined as greater than or equal to median latitude within the cohort = 50.85 °N) and complemented with a table displaying the number at risk over time (n = 798). (D) Dotplot for the ΔEDSS in relation to latitude with least-squares regression line ± SE (n = 671). Analyses are adjusted for age, sex, BMI, smoking, alcohol consumption, clinical subtype, neurological site of first manifestation, month of assessment, medication after baseline, whether medication was changed during the study, and center variability. Differences in baseline severity were adjusted by using the baseline MSSS and the number of Gd-enhancing lesions at baseline as covariates. Adjustment for center was omitted when analyzing the effect of latitude.
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Multiple sclerosis (MS) disease risk is associated with reduced sun exposure. This study assessed the relationship between measures of sun exposure (vitamin D [vitD], latitude) and MS severity in the setting of two multicenter cohort studies (nNationMS = 946, nBIONAT = 990). Additionally, effect-modification by medication and photosensitivity-associated MCTR variants was assessed. High serum vitD was associated with a reduced MS severity score (MSSS), reduced risk for relapses, and lower disability accumulation over time. Low latitude was associated with higher vitD, lower MSSS, fewer gadolinium-enhancing lesions, and lower disability accumulation. The association of latitude with disability was lacking in IFNβ-treated patients. In carriers of MCTR variants, which was most apparent in monocyties but that could also be detected in B and T cells. In summary, our study suggests beneficial effects of sun exposure on established MS, as demonstrated by a correlative network between the three factors: Latitude, vitD, and disease severity. However, sun exposure might be detrimental for photosensitive patients. Furthermore, a direct induction of type I IFNs through sun exposure could be another mechanism of UV-mediated immune-modulation in MS.

Multiple sclerosis (MS), characterized by demyelinating lesions, is the most common neuroinflammatory disease of the central nervous system and presumably of autoimmune origin (1). In most cases, the disease is diagnosed at a young age, predominantly occurs in women, and follows a relapsing-remitting course, which can be superseded by a secondary, progressive stage (2). Etiologically, environmental factors have been shown to play an important role (3) and insufficient sunlight exposure has been suspected to be critical for the initial development of MS (4). The best characterized mediator of ultraviolet radiation (UVR)-dependent effects is vitamin D (vitD), which is generated from its precursor 7-dehydrocholesterol (7-DHC) in the skin, further metabolized in the liver and kidney, and that exerts its function in its active form 1α,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 (1α,25(OH)2D3), also known as calcitriol (5). Precursors of active vitD can also be found in food in the form of ergocalciferol (or vitamin D2), which is, however, of little relevance for total serum vitD levels (6). For MS, low vitD levels have been shown to be associated with disease risk (7, 8) and Mendelian randomization studies hint toward a causal role for vitD (9, 10). However, it is possible that alternative UVR-dependent pathways play a role as well (11). A study by Langer-Gould et al. (12) suggested that serum vitD is only a risk factor for MS in Whites, but not in people of color, although lifetime UVR-exposure was associated with reduced MS severity

Significance

Low sunlight exposure and low vitamin D (vitD) levels are risk factors for the development of multiple sclerosis. However, there is still an ongoing debate, whether sunlight and vitD also modulate disease severity and worsening. Observational studies suggested vitD-dependent effects, but prospective supplementation studies have so far been inconclusive and reverse causality cannot be excluded as a source of bias. By using the sun-exposure measures vitD and latitude, we show correlations between vitD/latitude, vitD/disease severity, and latitude/disease severity in two multicentric cohorts. Although vitD cannot be proven as the causal factor, we provide evidence for clinically relevant effects of sunlight exposure. Furthermore, this study suggests sunlight-triggered pathways other than vitD could play additional and modular roles, as well.

Author contributions: A.S., A.B., F.T.B., H.-P.H., M.A.F., R.L., F.P., M.S., B.T., H.T., C.W., F.W., B.W., U.K.Z., U.Z., T.K., F.Z., B.H., R.G., K.L., H.W., and N.S. designed research; P.O., A.S., J.B., H.W., and N.S. performed research; P.O., B.P., D.G., T.F.M.A., A.S.M., G.G.E., F.B., I.G., J.B., G.A., T.S.-H., N.M., A.B., F.T.B., S.B., H.-P.H., M.A.F., R.L., F.L., K.L.-H., M.M., F.P., M.S., B.T., H.T., C.W., F.W., B.W., U.K.Z., U.Z., B.M.-M., T.K., L.S., S.G.M., F.Z., B.H., R.H., D.B., R.G., C.G.C., C.L., S.G., K.L., H.W., and N.S. analyzed data; P.O. prepared the figures and tables; A.S. conducted the study protocol; A.S. conducted the design and ethics implementation of the NationMS cohort study; A.S. conducted the measurement of serum vitD of NationMS samples; B.P. contributed and analyzed data from the BIONAT cohort; D.G. reviewed statistical approaches; T.F.M.A., B.M.-M., and B.H. prepared and provided genetic data; G.G.E.-M., M.M., C.L., and S.G. analyzed MRI data; G.A. conducted bioinformatic system administration; A.B., S.B., H.-P.H., R.L., K.L.-H., F.P., M.S., B.T., H.T., C.W., F.W., B.W., U.K.Z., U.Z., F.Z., B.H., R.G., H.W., and S.G.M. provided administrative, technical, and material support; T.K., L.K., S.G.M., and F.Z. contributed to clinical data analysis; R.H. gave critical intellectual input; D.B. contributed data from the BIONAT cohort; R.G. was responsible for the study protocol, design, and ethics implementation; K.L. provided expertise for MC1R and skin biology; and P.O., A.S., B.P., D.G., T.F.M.A., A.S.M., G.G.E., F.B., I.G., J.B., G.A., T.S.-H., N.M., B.M., F.T.B., S.B., H.-P.H., M.A.F., R.L., F.L., K.L.-H., M.M., F.P., M.S., B.T., H.T., C.W., F.W., B.W., U.K.Z., U.Z., B.M.-M., T.K., L.S., S.G.M., F.Z., B.H., R.H., D.B., R.G., C.G.C., C.L., S.G., K.L., H.W., and N.S. wrote the paper.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

This open access article is distributed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND).

1H.W. and N.S. contributed equally to this work.

2To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: nicholas.schwab@ukmuenster.de or heinz.wiendel@ukmuenster.de

3Complete list of the German Competence Network Multiple Sclerosis (KKNMS) and BIONAT study investigators can be found in SI Appendix.

This article contains supporting information online at https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2018457118/-/DCSupplemental.

Published December 29, 2020.
with reduced risk in both, arguing against vitD as the mediator of UVR-effects. Hedström et al. (13) report from a large population-based study that besides vitD-dependent effects, vitD-independent effects of UVR also play a role. Results from the PhoCIS (Phototherapy for Clinically Isolated Syndrome [CIS]) trial and its post hoc analysis suggest effects of UVR even in patients with a serum vitD status of ≥80 ng/mL (14, 15). Furthermore, it is still a topic of debate whether UVR/vitD only modulate disease risk, or if disease severity of established MS is affected, as well. In mouse models, UVR was shown to ameliorate disease, but this was independent of vitD and its receptor (16–19). Human observational studies suggested an influence of vitD on disease activity (20, 21) and prospective trials also suggested an effect on the formation of lesions, but the primary endpoints of supplementation studies have not been met so far, raising doubt about the role of vitD in ongoing disease (22–24). It has also been argued that reverse causation could influence the results from observational studies (i.e., low vitamin D could be caused by disease activity rather than vice versa) (4).

Reports on the effect of latitude (which can be regarded as an independent measure of sun-exposure) on disease severity are scarce and could either not identify any effects or showed contradictory effects (25, 26). Noteworthy, besides the assumed main effects of UVR and vitD on MS severity, there might be factors that could alter the effects of UVR/vitD. Medication—especially IFN-β therapy—has been suggested to modulate vitD-production [potentially by decreasing serum cholesterol (27) and its treatment effect. Ambiguous results have been reported regarding the effect of vitD in IFN-β-treated patients: Two studies reported positive interactions between vitD and IFN-β (28, 29). Another study found the association between vitD and disease activity to disappear after IFN-β treatment onset, while in the BENEFIT and BEYOND trials the effect of vitD was apparent despite IFN-β treatment (20, 30, 31). Besides medication, genetic factors that determine pigmentation and photosensitivity could play an important role in modulating UVR effects. One genetic factor known to alter an individual’s response to UVR is the melanocortin 1 receptor (MC1R) genotype. The MC1R is responsible for melanin synthesis upon UVR exposure and carrying loss-of-function variants results in a red hair and fair skin phenotype with increased photosensitivity (32, 33). The MC1R is also known to confer immunosuppressive effects and functional signaling ameliorates disease course in mouse models of MS (34). Moreover, the MC1R agonist adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH) is used to treat disease exacerbations in MS and part of the effect of ACTH is assumed to be mediated through the MC1R (35). Therefore, the MC1R could be a mediator or modifier of UVR-effects in MS.

This study aims to provide a better understanding of the effects of UVR and vitD on MS severity, and to deduce the role of modulatory factors like medication and photosensitivity-associated genotypes. In the setting of two large, independent multicenter studies, the effects of the sun-exposure measures vitD and latitude on disease severity were investigated. To assess the role of further mechanistic pathways in mediating or modifying UVR effects, interactions between sun-exposure measures and either medication or the MC1R genotype were assessed. Finally, in an unbiased exploratory approach the UVB-induced transcriptomic changes in immune cells of MS patients from our 2014 pilot study were assessed (18), illuminating the pathways triggered by UVR in peripheral immune cells of MS patients.

**Results**

**Cohort Characteristics.** For the NationMS cohort, data of 946 treatment-naïve patients at baseline with CIS or relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS) were acquired (disease duration <2 y). The study procedure is shown in Fig. 1. Baseline characteristics are described in **SI Appendix, Table S1**. Genotyping for MC1R was successful and passed quality control (QC) for 883 (97.25%) of the 908 patients that had full demographic and clinical information available. The most common MC1R variant allele was rs1805088:T (n = 148 of 883, 16.76% with ≥1 risk allele, minor allele frequency [MAF] = 8.60%), followed by rs2284799:A (n = 140 of 883, 15.86% with ≥1 risk allele, MAF = 8.10%), and rs885479:A (n = 76 of 883, 8.61% with ≥1 risk allele, MAF = 4.42%). Patients were subjected to regular follow-ups. In total, for 798 patients, longitudinal data were available (Fig. 1). Of these, 148 (18.55%) patients remained untreated after baseline assessment, while 650 (81.46%) received treatment. The most prescribed medication was IFN-β (n = 364, 45.61% of all patients, including untreated) (**SI Appendix, Table S2**). A change in medication was recorded for 233 patients (29.20% ever changed). Moreover, 355 patients (44.94%) reported a relapse in the time after baseline assessment with a median time to relapse of 245 d (interquartile range = 102.0–470.5). For another 671 patients, disability was assessed 2 y after baseline, and the median change in expanded disability status scale (EDSS) was 0 (interquartile range = −0.5–0.5), with 233 patients (34.72%) who presented with an increase after those 2 y, 220 (32.79%) patients with a decrease, and 218 (32.49%) whose EDSS remained unchanged.

For the BIONAT cohort, data of 990 MS patients at their baseline assessment were acquired (Fig. 1). Full information on demographics, clinical subtype, medication, and the multiple sclerosis severity score (MSSS) were available for 808 patients (81.62%). Most patients had already received therapy before the baseline assessment. The most prescribed medication was IFN-β (59.77%) (**SI Appendix, Table S2**).

The characteristics of the five patients treated with UVB-phototherapy were previously published (18). Two of the patients were treated with IFN-β, one with Glatirameracetate (GA), one with Natalizumab, and one had not received any treatment. All patients with available biomaterial were included for this study and there were no specific selection criteria besides those already published in Breuer et al. (18).

**Latitude and Prior Medication Determine Serum vitD Levels.** With decreasing latitude, the amount of UVR reaching the earth’s surface increases; thus, latitude can be regarded as a measure of sun exposure. Indeed, a north–south gradient of UVR can be observed along the latitude axis in both Germany and France, with an increase in average yearly UVR of about twofold from the north of Germany to the south of France, as measured by NASA satellite data (Fig. 24). To demonstrate that lower latitude also correlates with higher actual UVR exposure on a population level, the correlation between latitude and serum vitD levels was tested in both cohorts. As expected, lower latitude was associated with higher vitD levels (β = −0.87 to −0.018, P = 0.020) in the NationMS cohort. Consistent with the results from the NationMS cohort, in the BIONAT cohort lower latitude was also associated with higher vitD levels (β = −0.44, 95% CI = [−0.87 to −0.018], P = 0.041) (**SI Appendix, Fig. S1 A and B**). However, not all patients from the BIONAT cohort were untreated and IFN-β treatment has been shown to modulate vitD levels, potentially by decreasing serum cholesterol, which in turn modulates the amount of the vitD precursor 7-DHC (27, 29). In a subgroup analysis of patients who had received IFN-β therapy, there seemed to be no effect of latitude on vitD levels, while untreated and GA-treated patients showed the expected increase of vitD as latitude decreases (**SI Appendix, Fig. S1C**). In line with previous reports, IFN-β-treated patients also had higher vitD levels than therapy-naïve patients (β = 0.402, 95% CI = [0.450–7.560], P = 0.031) (**SI Appendix, Fig. S1D**).

**Low vitD Levels and High Latitude Are Associated with Clinical Disease Severity.** Based on the available evidence before the start of the study, the association between latitude, vitD, and
clinical severity was assessed (Fig. 2). In the NationMS cohort vitD levels were associated with lower disability, as assessed by the MSSS (β = -0.014, 95% CI = [-0.026 to -0.002], P = 0.021). Higher latitude (corresponding to lower sun exposure) was associated with worse MSSS scores in the NationMS cohort (β = 0.092, 95% CI = [-0.016 to -0.168], P = 0.018) (Fig. 2A). A similar result was obtained when substituting latitude by satellite-derived UVR estimates (SI Appendix, Table S3). The risk for gadolinium (Gd)-enhancing lesions increased by 8.31% for every 1° increase in latitude (risk ratio [RR] = 1.01 – 1.16). As not all BIONAT patients were therapy-naive at baseline and IFN-β therapy has been shown to modulate vitD synthesis, but also to eradicate the association between vitD and severity measures, it was hypothesized that the missing effect of latitude could also be due to confounding by IFN-β therapy (28–30). Interaction analyses indeed showed that the effect of latitude on disability was significantly different depending on IFN-β treatment status (β = 0.145, 95% CI [0.005 to 0.283], interaction-P = 0.042). Subsequent subgroup analyses confirmed that higher latitude was associated with a worse MSSS in patients, whose last medication was not IFN-β (β = 0.115, 95% CI = [0.001 to 0.227], P = 0.047), while patients treated with IFN-β did not show this effect (β = -0.048, 95% CI = [-0.141 to 0.045], P = 0.310) (Fig. 2E).

The Association of Latitude with Disability Is Absent in Patients Treated with IFN-β. The hypotheses were then also tested in the BIONAT cohort. Here, higher vitD levels showed an association with lower disability as well (β = -0.022, 95% CI = [-0.037 to -0.007], P = 0.007) (Fig. 2D). However, in contrast to the results from the NationMS cohort, there was no clear trend for an effect of latitude on the MSSS (β = -0.004, 95% CI = [-0.076 to -0.068], P = 0.913). As not all BIONAT patients were therapy-naive at baseline and IFN-β treatment status could not be considered as a continuous variable, we grouped patients with the highest vitD levels (≥ 30.31 ng/mL), the 20% of patients with the highest vitD levels (≥ 30.31 ng/mL), the 20% of patients with the highest vitD levels (≥ 30.31 ng/mL), and the 20% of patients with the highest vitD levels (≥ 30.31 ng/mL) for the purpose of visualization, vitD was grouped into three categories: 1) The 20% of patients with the highest vitD levels (≥ 30.31 ng/mL); 2) the 20% of patients with the highest vitD levels (≥ 30.31 ng/mL); 3) the 20% of patients with the highest vitD levels (≥ 30.31 ng/mL). The risk for a relapse by 1% for every 1 ng/mL of serum vitD at baseline (hazard ratio [HR] = 0.988, 95% CI = [0.978 to 0.999], P = 0.028). Form unknown/progressive: 134 Missing or unknown - demographics: 35 Remaining patients n = 908 Remaining patients n = 808 Remaining patients n = 611 Remaining patients n = 451 Excluded Missing or unknown - EDSS/MSSS: 2 - previous medication: 13 Excluded Missing or unknown - EDSS/MSSS: 2 Baseline vitD available n = 761 Baseline vitD available n = 594 Baseline vitD available n = 461 Baseline vitD available n = 715

High vitD and Low Latitude Are Associated with Reduced Risk for Relapses and Disability Accumulation. To further test the predictive capacity of vitD and latitude with regard to accumulation of disease burden, data on confirmed relapses after baseline in the NationMS cohort were assessed (Fig. 3). In a mixed-effects Cox regression vitD (for statistical inference treated as continuous variable) reduced the risk for a relapse by 1% for every 1 ng/mL of serum vitD at baseline (hazard ratio [HR] = 0.988, 95% CI = [0.978 to 0.999], P = 0.028). Form unknown/progressive: 134 Missing or unknown - demographics: 35 Remaining patients n = 908 Remaining patients n = 808 Remaining patients n = 611 Remaining patients n = 451 Excluded Missing or unknown - EDSS/MSSS: 2 - previous medication: 13 Excluded Missing or unknown - EDSS/MSSS: 2 Baseline vitD available n = 761 Baseline vitD available n = 594 Baseline vitD available n = 461 Baseline vitD available n = 715

Fig. 1. Study flow chart. Data of 946 therapy-naive patients were acquired for the NationMS cohort and data of 990 BIONAT patients with a history of previous medication for MS have been acquired. Datasets were filtered for missing information with 908 NationMS and 808 BIONAT patients remaining at baseline. For the analysis of disease severity, BIONAT patients were further excluded when information on site of first event was unknown/unavailable (remaining total n = 611, n for remaining patients with available information on serum vitD = 451). To assess the influence of sun-exposure measures on serum vitD levels, this information was not necessary and n = 594 patients were assessed. For NationMS, longitudinal information on relapses was available for n = 798 and information on disability 2 y after baseline was available for 671 patients.
with the lowest vitD levels (<10.86 ng/mL); and 3) the patients in between (Fig. 3A). This categorization is also close to common definitions of optimal (≥30 ng/mL) and deficient (<10 ng/mL) vitD levels (36, 37). As categorization exclusively served the purpose of visualization, results from statistical models were not influenced by this and relied on continuous scale serum vitD.

Furthermore, baseline vitD was associated with a lower grade of disability accumulation, as measured by the ΔEDSS (β = -0.006, 95% CI = [-0.012 to -0.0003], P = 0.046) (Fig. 3B). Latitude showed no significant effect on the risk for relapses (Fig. 3C). However, in line with the results from the baseline analysis, lower latitude was associated with significantly lower ΔEDSS (β = 0.67, 95% CI = [0.026–0.106], P = 0.001) (Fig. 3D).

Photosensitivity-Associated MC1R Missense Variants Might Modify UVR-Mediated Effects. MC1R missense variants strongly increase an individual’s sensitivity to UVR and could, therefore, modify the beneficial effect of UVR on MS disease severity. First, the previously described influence of MC1R genotype on photosensitivity was confirmed using ordinal regression (Fig. 4 A–C). Whereas there was no statistically significant effect for the low-penetrance variants rs885479 (odds ratio [OR] = 2.05, 95% CI = [0.764–5.541], P = 0.153) and rs2228479 (OR = 0.97, 95% CI = [0.492–1.911], P = 0.936), the T allele of the high-penetrance variant rs1805008 increased the odds for a severe reaction to sunlight by 91.5% (OR = 1.92, 95% CI = [1.040–3.560], P = 0.038) (Fig. 4A). Biological sex did not modify the effect of rs1805008 on photosensitivity (interaction-P = 0.308). Next, as rs1805008:T carriers reported severe reactions to sunlight, the effect of an interaction term between measures of sun exposure (latitude, vitD) and rs1805008:T on clinical severity was assessed (at baseline). No significant interactions were found regarding the MSSS (Fig. 4D). The interaction term of rs1805008:T and latitude was nominally significant in the analysis of Gd-enhancing lesions (interaction-P = 0.001). In subgroup analyses for carriers of rs1805008:T, the risk for Gd-enhancing lesions increased by 20.5% for every 1° decrease in latitude (RR = 1.21, 95% CI = [1.010–1.437], P = 0.034), while it was reduced by 11.6% in noncarriers for every 1° decrease in latitude (RR = 0.88, 95% CI = [0.808–0.947], P = 9.2 × 10^{-4}) (Fig. 4E). Both subgroup analyses were nominally significant. Furthermore, the statistical significance of the interaction between rs1805008 and latitude held in subgroup analyses stratified by biological sex (P_male = 0.036, P_female = 0.018). Inconsistencies between readouts (MSSS and Gd-enhancing lesions) might be due to the known weak correlation between Gd-enhancing lesions and the MSSS (rs = 0.03, P = 0.33). No interaction between rs1805008:T and vitD levels regarding Gd-enhancing lesions was found (RR = 1.00, 95% CI = [0.968–1.137], P = 0.825).

The vitD and the Type I IFN Pathway Are Up-Regulated upon UBV Phototherapy in MS Patients. As it is unclear which molecular pathways mediate the beneficial effects of UVR in MS, peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) samples of five UBV-treated MS patients from our 2014 pilot study (18) were FAC-sorted for CD4 T cells, CD8 T cells, monocytes, B cells, and subjected to RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) analysis (Fig. 5A). For CD4 T cells there were 268 genes that were regulated with P < 0.05, 524 genes for CD8 T cells, 411 genes for monocytes, and 407 genes for B cells (Datasets S1–S4). Among these were previously described markers of vitD signaling: For example, VDR (CD4 T cells), NRG42, NRG43 (CD4, CD8 T cells, and monocytes), and CD14 (monocytes) (Fig. 5B–E). Furthermore, a first visual inspection suggested an enrichment of genes belonging to the type I IFN-family—including IFITM1, IFITM2, IFITM3, MX1, IRF8, IRF7, IFI194L, IFI72, and IFIT3—and this was most apparent in monocytes (Fig. 5B–E). Regulation of exemplary genes for both pathways are plotted in Fig. 5 F–I. A down-regulation of the Aryl-hydrocarbon receptor-associated genes AHR and TIPARP was observed as well. Next, to check whether the vitD and the type I IFN pathway were significantly enriched, permutation tests using reference gene sets from wikipathways were performed. A significant enrichment of vitD-associated genes was found in CD8 T cells (gene ratio [GR] = 0.108, P = 0.002), monocytes (GR = 0.079, P = 0.018), and B cells (GR = 0.114, P = 1 × 10^{-4}) (Dataset S5). Furthermore, type I IFN pathway-associated genes were significantly enriched in CD8 T cells (GR = 0.101, P = 0.018), monocytes (GR = 0.156, P = 1 × 10^{-6}), and B cells (GR = 0.083, P = 0.027) (Fig. 5 J–M and Dataset S6).

Discussion

High latitude has been identified as a major risk factor for the development of MS (4). This association is suspected to be mediated by low exposure to UVR and subsequent low vitD levels. Although this is a worldwide phenomenon, there are exceptions to the rule. An unexpectedly high MS prevalence has been described for the island of Sardinia, despite high exposure to beneficial environmental factors like UVR, but also a seafood-rich diet. This exemplifies the importance of the genetic background, especially in historically rather isolated populations. Even though environmental factors are assumed to contribute more to overall MS risk than genetics, certain genetic compositions seem to be able to invert this balance (3, 38). Notably, populations like the Maori, Amerindian, and Inuit have been described to have a low MS risk, despite living in high-risk areas, which is of relevance for this study as in these populations hyporesponsive MC1R variants are rare (39). Besides the risk to develop MS, it is still a topic of debate whether UVR, vitD, or other UVR-dependent pathways, have an influence on disease severity and worsening over time, as well. Most work has focused on vitD and only few studies investigated alternative UVR-mediated pathways, although several candidate molecules have been proposed to mediate UVR-effects (e.g., nitric oxide, cis-urocanic acid, and tryptophan metabolites) (11, 40, 41). In the present study, data of two large, independent multicenter cohorts was used to test the association between latitude and vitD with MS disease severity. In this regard, the interaction of UVR-related effects by medication and photosensitivity-associated MC1R missense alleles was investigated as well. To explore by which mechanisms UVR could exert immunomodulation in MS, next-generation sequencing was performed to assess the UBV phototherapy-induced changes in immune cells in a small group of patients.

In line with previous studies, we observed lower disease severity and a lower tendency toward disease worsening in patients with higher vitD levels in the NationMS cohort (4). Cutoffs for immunomodulation by vitD have been discussed previously in the post hoc analyses of the BENEFIT trial, suggesting ≥50 nmol/mL (equal to 20 ng/mL) to sensibly discriminate patients with low or high risk for disease activity and progression (20). Furthermore, results from BEYOND indicate that for optimal immunomodulation an even higher serum vitD status (≥100 nmol/mL) might be necessary (31). In line with these observations, our study indicates a linear relationship with no natural cutoff. Furthermore, confounding of our results by genetic predisposition is unlikely, as two large studies showed no interaction between the effects of UVR-vitD and HLA-DRB1*15:01, a major genetic risk factor for MS (31, 42).

Expectedly, vitD levels were also strongly associated with latitude. Therefore, latitude itself is a sound proxy for sun exposure on a population level, especially if adjusted for the sampling month as well. Consistently, latitude also showed a significant association with disease severity and worsening in the NationMS cohort. Confirming this, substituting a center’s latitude by satellite-derived vitD-estimates and...
Fig. 2. Influence of sun-exposure measures on clinical severity. (A) Map of 1-y averaged and erythemally weighted radiation reaching the earth’s surface for Germany and France for the year 2015. White dots represent the locations of the medical centers that contributed participants to either the NationMS (only Germany) or the BIONAT (only France) cohort. (B) Dotplots for MSSS in relation to 25(OH)D$_3$ levels and latitude (of the patients). (C) Dotplot for MSSS in relation to 25(OH)D$_3$ levels (n = 761) and latitude (n = 908) for the NationMS cohort. Left y axis corresponds to the dotplot displaying observed counts; right y axis corresponds to the red line displaying mean number of lesions ± SE. (D) Dotplot for MSSS in relation to 25(OH)D$_{2+3}$ with least-squares linear regression line ± SE for BIONAT. (E) Dotplots for MSSS in relation to latitude stratified by previous treatment ($\chi^2$$_{p<0.05} = 363$, $\chi^2$$_{p<0.05}$~$\chi^2$$_{p<0.05}$ = 248) with least-squares regression line ± SE. Analyses for NationMS are adjusted for age, sex, BMI, smoking, alcohol consumption, clinical subtype, neurological site of first manifestation, month of assessment, and center. Analyses for BIONAT are adjusted for age, sex, neurological site of first manifestation, month of assessment, and center. Adjustment for center was omitted when analyzing the effect of latitude.
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previously been identified to be regulated upon IFN-β treatment in RRMS patients who were classified as IFN-β therapy responders (49, 50). The down-regulation of AHR and TIPARP, known counter-regulators of type I IFN-signaling, further supports a direct up-regulation of type I IFNs through phototherapy (51). Mechanistically, it is possible that the type I IFN expression is modulated by vitD, as interactions between vitD and IFN-αβ have been described previously (28, 29, 52). This is also in line with reports showing that vitD and IFN-β–triggered gene expression partly overlaps (53).

Moreover, studies have proposed that UVR could also induce type I IFNs via nucleic acid-damage and induction of damage-associated molecular patterns that can be sensed by Toll-like receptors and the stimulator of IFN genes (54, 55). Interestingly, type I IFNs were also shown to be induced in the skin of healthy human individuals upon UVR exposure, which is in line with the results from this study (56). If the type I IFN pathway was indeed regulated by UVR, this could provide a link between MS and lupus. In direct contrast to MS, in lupus, an autoimmune disease that can manifest locally (e.g., cutaneous lupus erythematosus) or systemically (systemic lupus erythematosus), exposure to UVR is known to be disease-worsening (57). Furthermore, lupus is known to be partly driven by type I IFNs and patients often show an increased type I IFN blood signature (58). Blockade of the type I IFN pathway has been demonstrated to reduce disease severity in systemic lupus erythematosus (59). In contrast, MS is associated with a reduced type I IFN signature and patients respond positively to IFN-β therapy (28).

Furthermore, although sun exposure seems to be beneficial for MS patients, it is also important to consider individual patient characteristics, such as skin type and photosensitivity, and we therefore also investigated modulatory effects of photosensitivity-associated MC1R variants. In line with the concept of weak and strong MC1R variant alleles (32), only the high-penetrance variant rs1805008(C>T) showed a significant effect on self-reported skin reaction to sun exposure, whereas no association was found for rs885479(G>A) and rs2228479(G>A). The inverted effect of latitude on the number of Gd-enhancing lesions in carriers and noncarriers of the rs1805008 variant is in line with the observation of increased photosensitivity and a higher grade of inflammation induced through sun exposure, combined with the reduced responsiveness to anti-inflammatory stimuli of α-melanocyte-stimulating hormone in carriers of MC1R variant alleles (60, 61). The result should not be confounded by the major MS risk allele HLA-DRB1*15:01, since rs1805008 has been shown not to be in linkage disequilibrium with rs3135005, a nearly perfect proxy for HLA-DRB1*15:01 (62). The fact that this inverted association was not present across
read-outs could be due to the fact that there was no evidence for correlation between the MSSS and the number of Gd-enhancing lesions. Weak or missing correlation between MRI activity and disability has been described previously, especially for early disease forms (63). Furthermore, as the effects of MC1R in this study were only nominally significant, the results must be interpreted with care and require confirmation in larger cohorts.

Limitations of the study were that no information regarding recent holidays abroad (e.g., in areas with very high or very low sun exposure), no information regarding individual clothing behavior, and no information regarding the use of sunscreen was available. However, as there was a strong correlation between sampling de-seasonalized serum vitD and latitude in both cohorts, no major bias seems to have been introduced by these factors.

In summary, this study provides evidence for an effect of UVR on severity and disease worsening in established MS. Although excessive sun exposure is not recommended due the risk of skin cancer, this argues for beneficial effects of moderate sun exposure in MS. Mechanistically, vitD is supported as one of the main mediators of UVR-effects, but a role for the type I IFN and the MC1R pathway is brought up, as well. Differential effects of UVR in carriers and noncarriers of MC1R variants were observed, suggesting detrimental effects of UVR in sun-sensitive patients. Previous therapy with IFN-β reduced the effect of latitude on severity and also seemed to nullify the association.

**Fig. 4.** Interaction of MC1R genotype and sun exposure. (A–C) Barplots displaying the fraction of total patients (\(n_{\text{total}} = 229\)) who reported their reactions to sun exposure at noon in summer for the MC1R genotypes (A) rs1805008(C>T), (B) rs885479(G>A), and (C) rs2228479(G>A). This analysis was adjusted for age, sex, BMI, smoking, alcohol consumption, and population stratification. (D) Dotplots for MSSS in relation to latitude with least-squares linear regression line stratified by rs1805008 genotype. (E) Dotplots for Gd-enhancing lesions in relation to latitude (left y axis) complemented with the mean number of lesions (black line, right y axis). Analyses for D and E were adjusted for age, sex, BMI, smoking, alcohol consumption, clinical subtype, neurological site of first manifestation, month of assessment, and center. Adjustment for center was omitted when analyzing the effect of latitude.
Fig. 5. Transcriptional effects of UVB-phototherapy in immune cells. (A) MS patients had been treated with UVB-phototherapy during the course of our 2014 pilot study (18). PBMC samples of five patients before and 6 wk after phototherapy (10 samples in total) have been used to isolate CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, B cells, and monocytes, that were then subjected to RNA-isolation and RNA-seq with subsequent bioinformatics analyses, including differential expression analysis and gene set enrichment analysis (overrepresentation analysis). (B–E) Labeled, volcano plots for CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, monocytes, and B cells. (F–I) Before and after plots for exemplary genes associated with either the vitD or the type I IFN pathway, regulated by phototherapy in respective cell types. (J–M) Venn diagrams for the overlap between significantly regulated genes in the respective cell types and the vitD and type I IFN gene sets (extracted from wikipathways). P values were calculated from distribution-free permutation tests. The numbers for the reference gene sets refer to the number of genes belonging to the reference gene set and that have detectable expression in the respective cell type.
between latitude and vitD levels. This suggests that the effect of latitude is at least in part mediated through vitD. This is also complemented by the up-regulation of the vitD and the type I IFN pathway in immune cells of UVB-treated MS patients, which suggests involvement of both pathways in the mediation of UVR-effects.

Methods

Patients. Data from the NationMS cohort, a prospective multicenter observational study, were used. In total, clinical data of 946 CIS/RRMS patients recruited between 2010 and 2017 in 21 centers in Germany, were obtained (64). Patients were followed-up and longitudinal data are available for 798 patients (Fig. 1). Included were patients with age ≥ 18 y, who were diagnosed with either CIS with fulfillment of three Barkhof criteria or confirmed RRMS according to revised 2005 McDonald criteria. All patients were treatment-naïve at baseline with onset of symptoms no longer than 2 y before inclusion. The study was approved by the legal ethics committee (Ethik-Kommission der Ruhr-Universität Bochum, registration no. 3714-10) and all local committees. Informed written consent was obtained from all participants and the study was performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki. For replication, data of 990 patients from the BIONAT cohort (Study identifier: NCT00942214), a French, multicenter cohort, at their baseline assessment, were acquired (65). BIONAT patients were not treatment-naïve before inclusion. Study investigators for NationMS and BIONAT can be found in SI Appendix. For RNA-seq, PBMC of five patients from our 2014 pilot study were used (18).

Genotyping and Estimation of Population Stratification. Genotyping and QC were performed as previously published (66, 67). Briefly, genotyping was performed using an Illumina OmniExpress chip and QC was performed in PLINK v1.90. Genotypes for the most common MC1R variants were extracted (rs180008C>T, rs885479G>C, rs2288479G>A), and population stratification was calculated by multidimensional scaling as previously published (66).

Serum vitD Measurements. For NationMS, vitD was measured as the serum level of 25(OH)D3. Measurement was performed in NationMS for n = 761 patients with a commercially available kit for liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) (Recipe) on a Shimadzu 8040 LC-MS/MS instrument coupled to a UHPLC system (Nexera, Shimadzu). For BIONAT, total 25(OH)D2+3 measurements were available for 579 patients. Total vitD has been measured using a commercially available electro-chemiluminescence competitive 25-hydroxyvitamin D binding assay (Cobas, Roche), and quantified on a Roche Cobas 8000 e602 analyzer (Roche Diagnostics). As food-derived vitD2 only contributes little to total serum vitD levels, there are only minimal differences between total vitD and vitD3 serum levels.

Questionnaire on Photosensitivity. Of the NationMS cohort, 229 patients were asked to report their skin potential reaction to sunlight at noon for 1 h without the use of sunscreen in summer. Possible answers were “tan,” “sunburn with a delayed tan,” “sunburn without tan and without blisters,” and “sunburn without tan and with blisters.” Patients were asked to report skin reddening, a feeling of heat and itching after 12 to 24 h as a sunburn, and liquid-filled skin alterations with a diameter ≥ 5 mm as blisters. The resulting variable was treated as ordinal.

Statistical Analysis. Disease severity outcomes were the MSSS and the number of Gd-enhancing lesions (baseline). For longitudinal analyses, the increase/ decrease in EDSS 2 y after baseline and the time to relapse were assessed. Explanatory variables were the two measures of UVR-exposure vitD and latitude. For further confirmation, satellite data from NASA’s ozone monitoring instrument-dataset were used to generate coordinate- and time-specific estimates of UVR exposure for each patient (methodology and results are reported in the SI Appendix, Supplementary Methods and Table S3). The MSSS was modeled as a continuous variable and the influence of vitD was added to the models with cumulative link models (ordinal regression) and adjusted for age, sex, BMI, alcohol consumption, smoking, and population stratification (first three multidimensional scaling components). To assess whether MC1R genotype modifies the effect of UVR exposure on severity, an interaction term between MC1R genotype and measures of sun exposure (latitude or vitD) was added to the models for disease severity and adjusted as the prior models for disease severity plus population stratification. To assess the influence of vitD and latitude on the risk for relapses in the NationMS cohort, hierarchical mixed logistic regression with binary outcome (relapse or no relapse) was used. For the BIONAT cohort, the models for disease severity plus initial medication after baseline (therapy was initiated before baseline visit) and for whether medication was changed during the course of the study. Medications were treated as substance groups (e.g., IFN-beta containing formulations from different suppliers were grouped as one IFN-beta group). The difference between the EDSS 2 y after baseline and the baseline EDSS was calculated and declared as ∆EDSS. The ∆EDSS was modeled using linear and linear mixed models and adjusted as the baseline model plus the type of medication initiated after baseline visit and whether medication was changed during the study. Additionally, the models were adjusted for the number of lesions and the MSSS at baseline to account for differences in baseline severity and disease duration. Model fit was assessed by inspection of scaled quantile residuals (68). Model coefficients, Cis, and P values were reported from fully adjusted models with significance threshold of α = 0.05 using two-sided tests. Genetic analyses of the MC1R genotype that were not of confirmatory nature (i.e., assessing disease severity and not skin reaction) were assessed for genome-wide significance (α = 5 × 10^{-8}).

UVB Phototherapy. Patient samples from a previous study were used where patients had been irradiated with narrow-band UVB (311 nm). Irradiation had been performed in a sunlodge equipped with UVB- TL-01 lamps (PDT 1200; Waldmann) for 6 wk (five sessions per week). Individual minimal erythema doses were determined and 60% of minimal erythema dose was used as the starting point. Per session, the dose was then increased by 100 mJ/cm2 until either the maximum dose (900 mJ/cm2) was reached or erythema occurred. A detailed description can be found in the original study (18).

RNA-Seq Analysis. RNA-seq was performed using samples of five patients from our 2014 pilot study (18). PBMC samples were sorted for CD4 T cells, CD8 T cells, B cells, and monocytes. Samples were then prepared for sequencing using reagents from New England Biolabs and sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq, 500 machine. Bioinformatic analysis was done in R using Kallisto and edgeR. Results from Kallisto and edgeR quantification can be found in Datasets S7–10. Pathway analysis was performed using reference gene sets from wikiPathways for permutation tests. A detailed description of the RNA-seq workflow can be found in SI Appendix, SI Supplementary Methods.

Software. Statistical analyses were conducted in R v3.6.0 using the packages stats, lme4, glmnTMima, ordinal, and DHARMa. To extract and process data from NASA’s ozone monitoring instrument dataset, the package RNetCDF was used. The remaining data were manipulated and plotted using the R packages tidyR, ggplot2, and RColorBrewer. For population stratification estimation, PLINK v1.90.5.2 was used. For analysis of RNA-seq data, Kallisto and edgeR were used. For pathway enrichment from wikiPathways, the package rWikiPathways was used.

Data Availability. Data and R code is available from the authors upon reasonable request. Full results from RNA-seq can be found in Datasets S1–S10.
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