Knowledge, Practices, and Perceived Barriers in Cancer Pain Management at Oncology Units: A Cross-Sectional Survey of Medical Staff in China
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Background: Despite the great signs of progress in cancer pain management in China, the associated pain remains under-treated. Poor knowledge among the medical staff is an important factor contributing to the under-treatment of cancer pain. This study aimed to evaluate the knowledge, practices, and perceived barriers in cancer pain management among the medical staff at oncology units in China.

Patients and Methods: A cross-sectional survey was conducted with the medical staff (including physicians, nurses, and pharmacists) at oncology units in tertiary hospitals of China between December 2020 and January 2021. The questionnaire assessed the knowledge, practices, and perceived barriers in cancer pain management.

Results: A total of 1262 medical staff responded to the questionnaire; the response rate was 94.2%. Most participants had good knowledge of the three-step analgesic ladder of the World Health Organization (WHO) and the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines for Adult Cancer Pain. Knowledge deficit was prominent in questions on opioid dose titration and rotation and adverse effects of opioids; the correct response rate was less than 40%. Training, work experience in oncology, and education level were significantly related to knowledge of cancer pain management (all \(P < 0.001\)). In clinical practice for cancer pain management, approximately 57.2% of medical staff were unfamiliar with opioid dose titration and rotation; only 14.4% treated cancer pain through multidisciplinary collaboration. Poor medication compliance, difficult individualized analgesia protocols, and insufficient multidisciplinary participation were the most frequently perceived barriers by the medical staff for pain management.

Conclusion: These findings suggested a further need for integrating recent guidelines to strengthen continued training (especially among juniors and those with low education levels) and patient education to improve the knowledge and clinical practices of cancer pain management among the medical staff in China. Multi-disciplinary management is required for the effective treatment of cancer pain.
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Introduction

Globally, cancer is one of the major causes of death.\(^1\) Pain is one of the most common and feared symptoms among cancer patients, with a prevalence of 25% at the first diagnosis and 66.4% among patients with advanced cancer, approximately one-third of patients experience moderate-to-severe pain.\(^2,3\) In addition to the physical harm, cancer pain causes psychosocial, behavioral, emotional, and spiritual
problems, thus resulting in a significant impact on the quality of life of the patients. Therefore, cancer pain continues to be a worldwide issue.

In 1986, WHO developed a three-step analgesic ladder for pain management, which recommends non-opioid analgesics for mild pain, and opioids as the first line of treatment for moderate-to-severe cancer pain. According to the WHO guidelines, several other guidelines for the management of cancer pain have been established to aid in clinical decision-making, including those by NCCN and the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO). This suggests that the attention to cancer pain treatment has greatly increased, and many efforts have been made to improve the treatment of cancer pain. Following these evidence-based guidelines, it is estimated that more than 70% of cancer patients can achieve adequate pain relief.

Despite the significant progress in cancer pain treatment, evidence shows that many patients with cancer pain still experience unacceptable pain due to inadequate pain treatment. A previous study has demonstrated that more than one-third of patients reported moderate-to-severe pain after curative treatment. Sharkey et al found that nearly 56–82.3% of patients with cancer pain were under-treated, and these figures are worse in low- and middle-income countries. The inherent reasons for the undertreatment of cancer pain are multifactorial and related to the medical professional, patient, society, and the healthcare system. Unfortunately, poor knowledge in cancer pain management among medical professionals is an important barrier to effective control. Studies have found knowledge deficits among medical professionals in cancer pain management in Jordan, Palestine, Korea, Spain, and Italy. Jho et al reported that physicians, especially oncologists, seemingly lacked knowledge regarding the calculation of opioid dosages, adverse effects, and alternative therapies. Moreover, access to opioid use was also limited and sometimes challenging as it changed according to each country’s protocols, thereby resulting in lower cancer pain control.

In China, it is estimated that approximately 4.3 million people are diagnosed with cancer, annually, and there were more than 2.9 million cancer-related deaths in 2018. According to the ACHEON survey, 88% of patients with advanced cancer reported moderate-to-severe pain, and even though the drug and non-drug interventions were administered, pain management remained inadequate in 43.8% of patients in China. Given this situation of under-treatment, why pain treatment is insufficient in China and the current challenges, need to be addressed. At present, in China, some studies also have investigated the factors among medical staff that influence the treatment of cancer pain. For instance, in a multi-center survey in Shandong, China, a high incidence of cancer pain was attributed to insufficient assessment, treatment, and patient education on cancer pain. However, these studies have a relatively small sample size (mostly limited to certain areas), and focus on a single medical profession, either physicians or nurses. Therefore, a large-scale investigation of knowledge and practices among medical staff for cancer pain management in China is needed. In the current study, we conducted a nationwide cross-sectional survey to investigate the knowledge, practices, and barriers in cancer pain management among different medical professionals at oncology units including physicians, nurses, and pharmacists. We also evaluated the related factors that influenced their knowledge.

### Patients and Methods

#### Survey Design and Participants

This nationwide cross-sectional survey was performed using convenience sampling between December 2020 and January 2021. Medical staff (including physicians, nurses, and pharmacists) working at oncology units were recruited. These participants were from tertiary Hospitals spanning 22 provinces, 4 municipalities, and 4 autonomous regions in China. According to the geographical regions, these provinces, municipalities, and autonomous regions were divided into eastern China (10 provinces and 3 municipalities), central China (6 provinces), and western China (6 provinces, 1 municipality, and 4 autonomous regions).

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology. Before the questionnaire survey, the surveyors were required to confirm and explain the purpose and significance of the study. All medical staff participating in the survey were guaranteed confidentiality and anonymity to ensure that their rights were respected. All participants provided written informed consent before completing the survey. The participants who participated in the study were asked to complete the questionnaire that took approximately 10–20 min.

#### Questionnaire

The self-designed questionnaire was prepared by researchers based on literature review and expert consultation. The
questionnaire was revised by a group of experts which consisted of 2 physicians, 2 pharmacists, and 2 nurses working in the oncology ward or palliative care unit. The questionnaire was comprised of four parts (Supplementary Material). The first part included the demographic characteristics of medical staff, including gender, region, education level, years of working experience in oncology, profession, occupation, and cancer pain-related training. In the second part, the questions were regarding the knowledge of guidelines for cancer pain management. These responses were structured on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (very unfamiliar) to 5 (very familiar). The part on knowledge of cancer pain management consisted of 10 true/false, 15 single-choice, and 5 multiple-choice questions (k=30). These focused on the principles of cancer pain management, pain assessment, analgesic drug properties, opioid dose titration and calculation, routes of administration, and the safety profile of opioids. Thus, the total scores for the correct answers could range from 0 to 30, and the mean scores of more than 18 were considered as “good knowledge” in cancer pain management.

The third section was regarding the current practices in cancer pain management; these included pain assessment, pain management, opioid dose calculation, and multidisciplinary diagnosis and treatment (MDT). Questions about perceived barriers in the fourth section were classified into three groups as follows: patient-, medical staff-, and healthcare system-related barriers.

Statistical Analysis
The database was established using EpiData version 3.1 (Atlanta, GA, USA). All data entry and statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS version 24.0 (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive analyses were used to describe the background characteristics of medical staff and their responses to questions related to knowledge, practices, and barriers in cancer pain management. Categorical variables are presented as frequencies and percentages. The chi-square analysis was used to examine the differences between categorical variables. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was utilized to examine the differences in the knowledge for demographic variables that consisted of more than two groups. Logistic regression was used to identify the correlation between background characteristics and the knowledge of cancer pain management. A P-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant for all tests.

Table 1 Demographic Characteristics of Medical Staffs (n=1262)

| Demographics                        | n (%) |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| Gender                              |       |
| Male                                | 386 (30.6) |
| Female                              | 876 (69.4) |
| Region                              |       |
| Eastern region                      | 360 (28.5) |
| Central region                      | 698 (55.3) |
| Western region                      | 204 (16.2) |
| Education level                     |       |
| Under bachelor’s degree             | 88 (7.0) |
| Undergraduate                       | 764 (60.5) |
| Master                              | 357 (28.3) |
| Doctor                              | 53 (4.2) |
| Years of working experience in oncology |       |
| ≤3                                  | 245 (19.4) |
| 3~10                                | 569 (45.1) |
| 10~20                               | 303 (24.0) |
| ≥20                                 | 145 (11.5) |
| Occupation position                 |       |
| Primary                             | 518 (41.1) |
| Middle                              | 509 (40.3) |
| Senior                              | 235 (18.6) |
| Profession                          |       |
| Physician                           | 459 (36.4) |
| Nurse                               | 486 (38.5) |
| Pharmacist                          | 317 (25.1) |
| Cancer pain management training     |       |
| No                                  | 273 (21.6) |
| Yes                                 | 989 (78.4) |

Results
Sample Characteristics
A total of 1262 medical staff completed the questionnaire survey in China; the response rate was 94.2%. A summary of participants’ background characteristics is presented in Table 1. The medical staff consisted of 459 physicians, 486 nurses, and 317 pharmacists. The majority of the respondents were female (69.4%) and had either an undergraduate or masters’ degree (88.8%). About half (45.1%) of participants had 3–10 years of working experience at oncology units. Approximately 81.4% of participants were in primary and middle levels in their occupation. 55.3% of the respondents were from Central China. More than 78% of medical staff reported that they had received training for standardized treatment.
of cancer pain, whereas 21.6% had never received any cancer pain-related training.

Knowledge of Cancer Pain Management

Table 2 describes the knowledge of cancer pain guidelines among the medical staff. Approximately 85% of respondents reported a comprehensive knowledge of the three-step analgesic ladder proposed by the WHO for cancer pain management. More than half of the medical staff were familiar with the clinical practice of NCCN guidelines for Adult Cancer Pain. As shown in Table 3, the rate of correct responses for each question which assessed the knowledge of cancer pain management was calculated. For medical staff, knowledge deficit was prominent in questions on opioid dose titration, and rotation, and adverse effects of opioids, with correct response rates of less than 40% (questions 11, 12, 21, 22, and 29). The rate of correct responses was higher for the questions on WHO three-ladder analgesic principle (questions 1 and 3), pain assessment (questions 17 and 24), route of administration (question 10), and analgesic drug properties (questions 5, 13, 26, 27, and 30).

Factors Associated with Knowledge of Cancer Pain Management

The knowledge of medical staff toward cancer pain management varied with education level, region, years of work experience in oncology, profession, and occupation, as shown in Table 4. Among them, the higher the education level, the higher was the knowledge of cancer pain management; the knowledge of physicians was higher than those of nurses. Medical staff who had worked for more than 3 years had better knowledge. In addition, the knowledge of respondents who had received training was higher than those who were not trained.

To identify the association of background characteristics with knowledge of cancer pain management, further analysis was performed using multiple logistic regression. The results showed that training, work experience in oncology, and education level were significantly positively correlated with the knowledge of cancer pain management (Table 5).

The stratified analysis of training for different demographic characteristics is described in Table 6. Compared with participants who had not received the training, the knowledge among medical staff who had received training was significantly higher, especially for those who held doctor degrees (OR=22.5; 95% CI=2.655–190.678), in the western region (OR=6.21; 95% CI=3.279–11.761) and a senior position (OR=6.776; 95% CI=3.033–15.142). Trained medical staff who had an undergraduate degree or above and had worked for more than 3 years had better knowledge than those who had never received any training.

Clinical Practices in Cancer Pain Management

Overall, more than half (58.9%, n=743) of respondents stated that they assessed the patients’ cancer pain every day. Approximately, 62.3% (n=785) of participants used the international guidelines to manage cancer pain, and most of them (80.2%, n=1010) responded that opioid therapy was the first-line therapy for cancer patients with moderate-to-severe pain. However, only 14.4% (n=181) of the medical staff treated the patients’ pain by multidisciplinary collaboration. For cancer pain treatment using opioids, 57.2% of medical staff were not familiar with

Table 2 Medical Staff’ Knowledge of Cancer Pain Guidelines

| Guidelines                                      | n (%)   |
|------------------------------------------------|---------|
| WHO three-step analgesic ladder                 | 22(1.7) |
| NCCN guideline for Adult Cancer Pain            | 122(9.7)|
| ASCO guideline for Management of Chronic Pain in Adults with Cancer Pain | 185(14.7)|
| ESMO guideline for Cancer Pain Treatment        | 208(16.5)|
| European guidelines for opioid analgesia in cancer pain | 344(27.3)|
| Expert consensus on intractable cancer pain in China | 246(19.5)|

Abbreviations: WHO, World Health Organization; NCCN, National Comprehensive Cancer Network; ASCO, American Society of Clinical Oncology; ESMO, European Society for Medical Oncology.
Barriers to Cancer Pain Management

Barriers to cancer pain management are listed in Table 7. Insufficient multidisciplinary participation (61.8%) was the major barrier in health care systems. Medical staff-related barriers mainly included difficulty in individualized analgesia protocols (78.3%), lack of a good solution for refractory cancer pain (78.0%), and insufficient knowledge of pain management (68.0%). Among patient-related factors, the lack of knowledge in cancer pain management (80.6%), poor drug adherence (81.5%), and fear of addiction (73.5%) were the main barriers to cancer pain management.

Discussion

This study aimed to evaluate the knowledge, practices, and perceived barriers in cancer pain management from the titrations of opioid doses and dosage calculation when switching between oral and parenteral routes of opioid administration.

| Question                                                                 | Correct Response | n (%)       |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 1. Which of the following is wrong about the three-step medication principle for cancer pain? a | 1134 (90.0)      |
| 2. Which statement is wrong regarding tramadol? a                      | 718 (56.9)       |
| 3. For patients with moderate-to-severe pain, the first choice of analgesics drugs is a | 1161 (92.0)      |
| 4. Which adverse reaction of opioids is not tolerated by the patients? a | 965 (76.5)       |
| 5. Which of the following analgesics is not recommended for cancer pain management? a | 1124 (89.1)      |
| 6. 10 mg morphine (iv.) is equivalent to: fentanyl (iv.)? a             | 688 (54.5)       |
| 7. Which of the following is not a contraindication of morphine? a      | 631 (50.0)       |
| 8. Which of the following is an agonist-antagonist mixture of opioid partial receptors? a | 660 (52.3)       |
| 9. Which of the following drugs is not a commonly used adjuvant analgesic? a | 669 (53.0)       |
| 10. For the patients with breakthrough pain, the first route of administration is a | 1098 (87.0)      |
| 11. A patient received 30 mg sustained release of morphine every 12 hours. When converted to fentanyl transdermal patch; the patch dose should be a | 505 (40.0)       |
| 12. How much downward titration should we consider for patients with uncontrollable adverse reactions after opioid therapy and for pain scores ≥ 3? a | 447 (35.4)       |
| 13. Which analgesic is relatively appropriate for cancer pain patients with intractable constipation? a | 1039 (82.3)      |
| 14. Different analgesics have different analgesic intensity. If the analgesic intensity of morphine is 1, the analgesic intensity of tramadol is a | 694 (55.0)       |
| 15. The content of paracetamol in acetaminophen oxycodone is a | 798 (63.2)       |
| 16. The potential risk factors for misuse/abuse of opioids are b | 757 (60.0)       |
| 17. The commonly used tools for pain assessment are b | 1032 (81.8)      |
| 18. Which drug can be chosen when patients receiving opioid therapy have an itch? b | 734 (58.2)       |
| 19. Adjuvant analgesics include b | 611 (48.4)       |
| 20. Which of the following is true for NSAIDs? b | 632 (50.1)       |
| 21. When a 200mg dose of oral morphine is converted to oral methadone, the conversion ratio should be 20:1. c | 379 (30.0)       |
| 22. In the preventive treatment of opioid-induced constipation, the dosage of laxatives should not be increased when the dose of opioid is increased. c | 417 (33.0)       |
| 23. Codeine has analgesic effect on all patients. c | 1056 (83.7)      |
| 24. The assessment of pain should be mainly based on the patient's self-report. c | 996 (78.9)       |
| 25. Opioid receptor agonist-antagonist can be used in the treatment of opioid-induced pruritus. c | 702 (55.6)       |
| 26. After the use of the fentanyl patch, an appropriate hot compress on the medication site can increase drug absorption and result in a better analgesic effect. c | 1152 (91.3)      |
| 27. The analgesic effect of buprenorphine increases with the increase in the dosage. c | 1015 (80.4)      |
| 28. High dose methadone (120 mg and above) may lead to QTc prolongation and tachyarrhythmia, which may lead to sudden cardiac arrest and ultimately death. c | 852 (67.5)       |
| 29. Opioid analgesics have a high risk of addiction. c | 342 (27.1)       |
| 30. There is no need to adjust the dosage of codeine in patients with severe liver damage. c | 1092 (84.9)      |

Notes: a Single choice question; b multiple choice question; c true/false question.
Perspective of the medical staff at oncology units. Such an investigation contributes to the understanding of the current status of cancer pain management and hence improves the management strategies of pain control in China. Overall, results demonstrated that medical staff, in general, had good knowledge of cancer pain management but lacked experience in multi-disciplinary collaboration which was among the perceived barriers in cancer pain management. In addition, training, work experience in oncology, and education levels were significantly positively related to the knowledge among medical staff regarding cancer pain management.

Pain management guidelines play an important role in the assessment, management, and standardized treatment of cancer pain. This study showed that 85.3% of medical staff had a better knowledge of the WHO three-step analgesic guideline; 53.4% had good knowledge of NCCN guidelines for Adult Cancer Pain. These were similar to those reported in previous studies in China.25,26,28,29 This indicated that the WHO and NCCN guidelines for cancer pain are widely used in China and considered as the primary references for the treatment of cancer pain. In China, many efforts have been taken to improve pain management.

Familiarity with the knowledge of cancer pain, such as pain assessment, opioid use, and adverse reactions is essential for effective pain control. In this study, medical staff had good knowledge, especially in the principle of cancer pain treatment and characteristics of analgesics, similar to results from previous studies.20–22 Our study

Table 4 The Related Factors That Influence Knowledge of Medical Staffs on Cancer Pain Management

| Variables                                | Category               | Good Knowledge* | χ²    | P value b |
|------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------|----------|
| Gender                                   | Male                   | 284 (73.6)      | 26.702| <0.001   |
|                                          | Female                 | 511 (58.3)      |       |          |
| Region                                   | Eastern region         | 253 (70.3)      | 12.579| 0.002    |
|                                          | Central region         | 413 (59.2)      |       |          |
|                                          | Western region         | 129 (63.2)      |       |          |
| Education level                          | Under bachelor's degree| 39 (44.3)       | 69.172| <0.001   |
|                                          | Undergraduate          | 435 (56.9)      |       |          |
|                                          | Master                 | 274 (76.7)      |       |          |
|                                          | Doctor                 | 47 (88.7)       |       |          |
| Years of working experience in oncology  | ≤3                     | 106 (43.3)      | 63.852| <0.001   |
|                                          | 3–10                   | 358 (62.9)      |       |          |
|                                          | 10–20                  | 222 (73.3)      |       |          |
|                                          | ≥20                    | 109 (75.2)      |       |          |
| Occupation position                      | Primary                | 247 (47.7)      | 93.532| <0.001   |
|                                          | Middle                 | 361 (70.9)      |       |          |
|                                          | Senior                 | 187 (79.6)      |       |          |
| Profession                               | Physician              | 347 (75.6)      | 109.951| <0.001   |
|                                          | Nurse                  | 219 (45.1)      |       |          |
|                                          | Pharmacist             | 229 (72.2)      |       |          |
| Cancer pain management training          | No                     | 119 (43.6)      | 56.274| <0.001   |
|                                          | Yes                    | 676 (68.4)      |       |          |

Notes: *Good knowledge: the score is greater than 18; bP value by Chi-square test, P values < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.
also found that medical staff displayed substantial knowledge deficits for opioid-induced adverse effects, titration, and calculation of opioid dosages, which may be important factors leading to inadequate pain relief among some patients.\textsuperscript{19–21,30} If more attention is given to the adverse reaction of opioids, the patient’s quality of life will improve. The low rate of correct responses for opioid dose titration and calculation indicated a limited understanding of the specialist areas, which suggested that their understanding should be strengthened.

Socio-demographic factors have an important impact on the perception of cancer pain treatment. Several studies have shown that education level and working years in a cancer ward were positively associated with the knowledge of cancer pain management.\textsuperscript{19–21,30–32} In our study, the results showed that indeed the medical staff who received higher education and had more experience in the care of patients with cancer pain had significantly higher knowledge of pain management as compared to than who did not have the higher education or experience. Therefore, educational programs targeted to the medical staff with lower education and shorter experience are needed to improve their knowledge of cancer pain management. Clinical practice in a pain treatment team allows them to improve their knowledge of cancer pain management. However, many hospitals in China still lack pain treatment teams. Thus, the clinical decision-makers should pay attention to the importance of initiating the formation

### Table 5 Logistic Regression Analysis of Related Factors Associated with Good Knowledge of Cancer Pain Management

| Variables                              | Category                     | Good Knowledge on Pain Management |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                                       |                              | B      | OR    | 95% CI     | P value  |
| Gender                                 | Male (Ref)                   |        |       |            |          |
|                                        | Female                       | −0.089 | 0.915 | 0.637–1.313 | 0.628    |
| Region                                 | Eastern region (Ref)         |        |       |            |          |
|                                        | Central region               | −0.079 | 0.924 | 0.677–1.262 | 0.621    |
|                                        | Western region               | −0.06  | 0.942 | 0.626–1.418 | 0.774    |
| Education level                        | Under bachelor’s degree (Ref)|        |       |            |          |
|                                        | Undergraduate                | 0.053  | 1.054 | 0.645–1.722 | 0.833    |
|                                        | Master                       | 0.48   | 1.615 | 0.905–2.882 | 0.104    |
|                                        | Doctor                       | 1.030  | 2.801 | 1.002–7.828 | 0.049\textsuperscript{d} |
| Years of working experience in oncology| ≤3 (Ref)                     |        |       |            |          |
|                                        | 3−10                         | 0.424  | 1.528 | 1.066–2.191 | 0.021\textsuperscript{d} |
|                                        | 10−20                        | 0.730  | 2.074 | 1.251–3.438 | 0.005\textsuperscript{*} |
|                                        | ≥20                          | 0.812  | 2.253 | 1.163–4.365 | 0.016\textsuperscript{d} |
| Occupation position                    | Primary (Ref)                |        |       |            |          |
|                                        | Middle                       | 0.297  | 1.346 | 0.944–1.919 | 0.101    |
|                                        | Senior                       | 0.358  | 1.430 | 0.798–2.561 | 0.229    |
| Profession                             | Physician (Ref)              |        |       |            |          |
|                                        | Nurse                        | −0.994 | 0.370 | 0.245–0.558 | <0.001\textsuperscript{*} |
|                                        | Pharmacist                   | −0.011 | 0.989 | 0.676–1.446 | 0.954    |
| Cancer pain management training        | No (Ref)                     |        |       |            |          |
|                                        | Yes                          | 1.178  | 3.249 | 2.384–4.429 | <0.001\textsuperscript{*} |

Notes: \textsuperscript{a}p <0.05; \textsuperscript{*}p < 0.01.

Abbreviations: B, regression coefficient; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.
of pain treatment teams because it is highly effective in relieving the patient’s pain and improving the quality of life.33

In general, the training is necessary for medical staff to improve cancer pain management.19–21,30,34 The results in this study also showed that the knowledge of medical staff among those who received cancer pain-related training was higher than those who did not. However, training in China varies between schools and hospitals; many hospitals do not provide continued educational training to the staff involved in the care of cancer patients.35 These findings indicated that training in this field needs to be strengthened. Interestingly, the influence of training on knowledge was significantly different among different demographics. Thus, innovative and different training strategies targeted to the medical staff should be developed to effectively improve their knowledge and practices in cancer pain management.

Furthermore, our study confirmed that most medical staff often assess patients’ cancer pain and treat the pain by referring to international guidelines; however, the majority of the respondents did not follow a multi-disciplinary approach, were not familiar with dose titration, and rotation of opioids. This is similar to the findings from previous studies.22,25,26 This suggested a gap between existing treatment guidelines and real-world clinical practice. Thus, hospitals should provide timely and continuous education and training for updated guidelines to the

| Variables                     | Category          | Good Knowledgea [%] | \( \chi^2 \) | P     | OR       | 95% CI       |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------|----------|--------------|
|                               | No Training       | Training            |              |       |          |              |
| Gender                        | Male              | 54 (19.0)           | 230 (81.0)   | 40.658| <0.001b  | 4.607        | 2.826–7.509  |
|                               | Male              | 65 (12.7)           | 446 (87.3)   | 30.988| <0.001b  | 2.625        | 1.367–2.079  |
| Region                        | Eastern region    | 41 (16.2)           | 212 (83.8)   | 14.958| <0.001b  | 2.733        | 1.625–4.598  |
|                               | Central region    | 54 (13.1)           | 359 (86.9)   | 17.813| <0.001b  | 2.289        | 1.549–3.383  |
|                               | Western region    | 24 (18.6)           | 105 (81.4)   | 34.253| <0.001b  | 6.210        | 3.279–11.761 |
| Education level               | Under bachelor’s  | 11 (28.2)           | 28 (71.8)    | 3.913 | 0.053    | 2.444        | 0.999–5.977  |
|                               | degree            |                     |              |       |          |              |
|                               | Undergraduate     | 65 (14.9)           | 370 (85.1)   | 27.288| <0.001b  | 2.277        | 1.595–3.250  |
|                               | Master            | 41 (15.0)           | 233 (85.0)   | 31.936| <0.001b  | 3.751        | 2.162–6.506  |
|                               | Doctor            | 2 (4.3)             | 45 (95.7)    | 25.245| <0.001b  | 22.500       | 2.655–190.678|
| Years of working experience in| ≤3                | 25 (23.6)           | 81 (76.4)    | 3.032 | 0.091    | 1.655        | 0.936–2.926  |
| oncology                      | 3–10              | 61 (17.0)           | 297 (83.0)   | 11.940| 0.001b   | 2.026        | 1.352–3.036  |
|                               | 10–20             | 24 (10.8)           | 198 (89.2)   | 34.808| <0.001b  | 5.672        | 3.072–10.471 |
|                               | ≥20               | 9 (8.3)             | 100 (91.7)   | 13.740| 0.001b   | 5.556        | 2.101–14.589 |
| Occupation position           | Primary           | 52 (21.1)           | 195 (78.9)   | 3.744 | 0.054    | 1.488        | 0.994–2.229  |
|                               | Middle            | 53 (14.7)           | 308 (85.3)   | 40.639| <0.001b  | 3.962        | 2.555–6.145  |
|                               | Senior            | 14 (7.5)            | 173 (92.5)   | 26.020| <0.001b  | 6.776        | 3.033–15.142 |
| Profession                    | Physician         | 50 (14.4)           | 297 (85.6)   | 60.389| <0.001b  | 5.940        | 3.689–9.565  |
|                               | Nurse             | 24 (11.0)           | 195 (89.0)   | 11.155| 0.001b   | 2.355        | 1.411–3.930  |
|                               | Pharmacist        | 45 (19.7)           | 184 (80.3)   | 18.213| <0.001b  | 3.108        | 1.824–5.296  |

Notes: a Good knowledge: the score is greater than 18; b \( p < 0.01 \).
Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.
medical staff, particularly for opioid dose calculation and conversion from oral to parenteral routes of administration.

Identifying barriers is crucial for improving cancer pain management. This study showed that medical staff-related barriers were mainly due to the difficulty in individualized analgesia protocols and treatment of refractory pain; these may be due to the complexity of cancer pain and less physician-patient communication. Inadequate knowledge of pain management and poor drug adherence were highlighted as important barriers perceived by medical staff. From the perspective of patients with cancer pain, our previous study also showed that patients’ lack of knowledge of cancer pain and poor drug adherence were crucial factors of poor pain control. In addition, patient factors, such as cancer stage (curative vs non-curative) and timing (during or after cancer treatment) can also affect pain control and should be addressed by the medical staff. These findings indicated that education programs for medical staff and patients and relevant guidelines on cancer pain are needed to overcome these obstacles that hinder effective pain management.

Insufficient multidisciplinary participation was also the main barrier to cancer pain management. Reddy et al reported that cancer patients with complex and refractory pain, who responded poorly to analgesia, experienced significant improvement in symptoms after management by an interdisciplinary palliative care team. In recent years, several studies have demonstrated that multidisciplinary treatment teams, including surgeon, oncologist, radiotherapist, pain physicians, psychologists, nutritionist, nurse specialists, and pharmacist, is critical for improving pain control. A recent survey in China also showed that multidisciplinary interventions had beneficial effects on cancer pain management, especially in patients with moderate-to-severe pain. Meanwhile, multi-disciplinary collaboration should be integrated into comprehensive care to improve pain management and change the awareness and attitudes of medical staff toward pain treatment. Hence, multidisciplinary treatment should be strongly
recommended to improve cancer pain management and the patient’s quality of life.

This nationwide cross-sectional study conducted in China explored knowledge, practices, and perceived barriers among the medical staff at oncology units relating to the management of cancer pain and identified the relationships between knowledge and their related factors. These contribute to the development of effective training programs for the improvement of pain management. However, this study also has some limitations. The convenience sample used in this study had a potential bias, and thus the results may not represent all the medical staff of China. Moreover, clinical practices among medical staff were influenced by various factors, leading to a discrepancy in the responses. Thus, further studies are needed to accurately assess the actual practice process and development of cancer pain management strategies in clinics based on the relevant influencing factors.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, our study showed that medical staff at oncology units generally had good knowledge of pain treatment principles and the characteristics of analgesics. The knowledge deficits were prominent in dose titration, rotation, and adverse effects of opioids. Training, work experience in oncology, and education levels were significantly associated with the knowledge of cancer pain management. Multidisciplinary collaboration with other specialties remained deficient and problematic. From a global perspective, these findings highlighted the need for widespread and effective education programs and a multidisciplinary team-based approach for improving the management of cancer pain. With increasing attention given to cancer pain worldwide, we hope that the findings encourage researchers from other countries to conduct similar studies, to better control cancer pain and improve the quality of life of patients suffering from it.
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