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Chemical Composition, Leishmanicidal and Cytotoxic Activities of the Essential Oils from Mangifera indica L. var. Rosa and Espada
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The essential oils from Mangifera indica var. Rosa and Espada latex were obtained by hydrodistillation and analyzed using GC-FID and GC-MS. Twenty-seven components were identified. The main compound in the essential oil from M. indica var. Espada (EOMiE) was terpinolene (73.6%). The essential oil of M. indica var. Rosa (EOMiR) was characterized by high amounts of β-pinene (40.7%) and terpinolene (28.3%). In the test for leishmanicidal activity against promastigotes forms of L. amazonensis, EOMiR and EOMiE showed IC50 (72h) of 39.1 and 23.0 μg/mL, respectively. In macrophages, EOMiR and EOMiE showed CC50 of 142.84 and 158.65 μg/mL, respectively. However, both were more specific to the parasite than macrophages, with values of selectivity index of 6.91 for EOMiE and 3.66 for EOMiR. The essential oils were evaluated for their cytotoxicity against the human tumor cells HEp-2, HT-29, NCI-H292, and HL-60. The EOMiR and EOMiE were most effective against the HL-60, with IC50 values of 12.3 and 3.6 μg/mL, respectively. The results demonstrated that the essential oils of M. indica can destroy L. amazonensis and inhibit tumor cell growth. These findings contribute to the knowledge of the Brazilian biodiversity as a source of potential therapeutic agents.

1. Introduction

Mangifera indica L. is a perennial arboreal tree belonging to the family Anacardiaceae. Native to southeastern Asia, this plant had been domesticated for centuries before spreading to other parts of the tropical world. In Brazil, this tree is known commonly as “manga,” and it was first introduced into the Northeast Region in the eighteenth century by the Portuguese. Manga-Espada and manga-Rosa are the most commonly varieties cultivated in the Pernambuco state, Brazil, where their leaves are used in folk medicine to treat backaches and bronchitis [1]. Previous investigations of the biological properties of M. indica have found that this plant has antiviral, antibacterial, analgesic, anti-inflammatory, immunomodulatory, and antioxidant activities [2, 3]. The composition of the essential oils of M. indica fruits, including the Espada and Rosa varieties, has been extensively investigated [4–12], but, to our knowledge, this is the first report on the volatile components of latex from M. indica var. Espada and Rosa and their leishmanicidal and cytotoxicity activities.

Leishmaniasis is a disease caused by protozoan parasites of the genus Leishmania, a protozoa transmitted by Phlebotomus sp., commonly called sand flies. Leishmaniasis is one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality
worldwide and, as such, has been found to be a major global health problem, with 1.5 to 2 million humans affected by the disease annually. About 350 million people in 88 countries are estimated to be threatened by the disease [13]. Leishmania (Leishmania) amazonensis is one of the causative agents of human cutaneous leishmaniasis in the Amazon region, Brazil, which is associated with both the simple and diffuse forms of the disease [14]. Available chemotherapy still relies on pentavalent antimonials, amphotericin B, or pentamidine. However, the use of these compounds is limited by toxicity to the host and the development of resistance by the parasites [15–18]. Hence, a search for a new active compound with potential leishmanicidal property remains essential for the development of a new antileishmanial therapy. Extracts from medicinal plants are being widely tested for leishmanicidal activity [19].

The research for new antileishmanials and anticancer drugs is imperative and has been promoted by World Health Organization, which endorses the use of traditional medicine when appropriate health services are inaccessible [20]. Moreover, due to their broad spectrum of reported biological activities [21–23], essential oils have been of interest, including leishmanicidal [24–27] and anticancer [28] activities. In addition, their hydrophobic nature makes these oils more permeable to the cell membranes, which is a very important feature for developing agents against intracellular pathogens, or act by destroying vital intracellular components of tumor cells leading to apoptosis [29]. It is also well established that antitumor drugs may also display antileishmanial activity [30].

As part of a systematic study of the chemical composition and biological properties of aromatic plants that grow in the portion of the Atlantic forest in the state of Pernambuco, the aim of the present study was to determine the chemical composition of the essential oils obtained from the latex of the fruits of M. indica var. Rosa and Espada, evaluate of leishmanicidal activity against promastigote forms of the L. amazonensis, and the cytotoxicity of these oils against HEp-2 (human larynx carcinoma), HT-29 (human colon adenocarcinoma), NCI-H292 (human lung carcinoma), and HL-60 (human leukemia) cell lines.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Reagents. Fetal bovine serum was purchased from Gibco (Madrid, Spain), and RPMI-1640, Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), and doxorubicin were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (Madrid, Spain). The monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes used to identify the components of the essential oils of the latex of fruits from M. indica varieties were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. The monoterpenes terpinolene, α-pinene, β-pinene, and δ-3-carene were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co.

2.2. Plant Material. The latex of fruits of M. indica L. var. Rosa and Espada was collected in December 2010 on the campus of the Federal Rural University of Pernambuco (UFRPE), Recife, Pernambuco. The plants were identified by a specialist, Ladiane C. Gomes, and voucher specimens of these species were deposited in the Vasconcelos Sobrinho Herbarium-PEURF of the UFRPE under numbers 364 for M. indica L. var. Espada and 363 for M. indica L. var. Rosa.

2.3. Isolation of the Essential Oil from M. indica. The fruits were harvested with the pedicels (approximately 2 inches long) intact. Subsequently, the pedicel was detached from the fruit at the abscission zone. The fruit was immediately inverted over a glass tube, and the latex was allowed to flow into the same tube. All latex in the glass tube was stored under refrigeration at 4°C until essential oil isolation was achieved. The latex samples extracted from the two fruit varieties were treated separately. The samples were subjected to hydrodistillation for 2 h in a Clevenger-type apparatus. The oil layers were separated and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, stored in hermetically sealed glass containers, and refrigerated at 4°C until the chemical analysis and cytotoxicity and leishmanicidal assays occurred. The total oil yield was expressed as a percentage (g/10 g of fresh plant material).

2.4. Gas Chromatography and Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS). Quantitative GC analyses were performed with a Hewlett-Packard 5890 Series II GC apparatus equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) by using a nonpolar DB-5 fused silica capillary column (30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25 mm film thickness) from J & W Scientific. The oven temperature was programmed from 60 to 24°C at 3°C/min for integrating purposes. The injector and detector temperatures were set at 260°C. Hydrogen was used as a carrier gas with a 1 mL/min flow rate and a 30 psi inlet pressure. The system was operated in split mode (1:30). The injection volume was 0.5 μL from the solution (1:100 of the oil in hexane). The amount of each compound was calculated from the GC peak areas in the order of elution from the DB-5 column and is expressed as a relative percentage of the total area of the chromatogram. Analyses were performed in triplicate, and the results were submitted to descriptive statistical analysis.

Qualitative GC/MS analyses were performed using a Varian GC/MS (CG: Varian 431/CV:MS: Varian 220-MS) system operating in EI mode at 70 eV. The system was operated with the same column and temperature program as those used for the GC experiments. The carrier gas was helium with a 1 mL/min flow rate, and split mode (1:30) was used. The injection volume was 1 μL of 1:100-diluted oil in hexane.

The identification of the components was based on their GC retention indices (RI) with reference to a homologous series of C7-C30 n-alkanes calculated according to the Van den Dool and Kratz equation [31] by computer, matching against the mass spectral library of the GC/MS data system (NIST 98 and WILEY) and other published mass spectra [32] and by coinjection with authentic standards. Area percentages were obtained electronically from the GC-FID response without the use of an internal standard or correction factors.
2.5. Leishmanicidal Activity Assay on Promastigotes. *L. amazonensis* amastigotes forms (MHOM/77BR/LTB0016) were isolated from Balb/c mice lesions and maintained as promastigote forms at 26°C in Schneider’s medium (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) containing 10% inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), 100 μg streptomycin/mL, and 100 U/mL penicillin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The parasites (10⁶ parasites/mL) were incubated at 26°C in Schneider’s Drosophila medium supplemented with 10% FBS in the absence, or presence, of the different concentrations of the essential oils. The cell density was determined using a Neubauer counting chamber [33]. The concentration that inhibited culture growth by 50% (IC₅₀) was determined after 72 h by regression analysis using the SPSS 8.0 software. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

2.6. Cytotoxicity Assay on Macrophage. Peritoneal macrophages from Swiss (*Mus musculus*) mice were plated at 5 × 10⁶ cells/well in 96-well plate with RPMI medium, supplemented with 10% inactivated FBS, and incubated for 2 h at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Nonadherent cells were then removed, and the adhered macrophages were washed twice with PBS and grown for 72 h in RPMI in the absence, or presence, of the different concentrations of EOMiR and EOMiE (100 to 6.25 μg/mL). Treated and untreated cells were washed and incubated in fresh culture medium containing 5 mg/mL of 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazoliumbromide (MTT) for 3 h at 37°C under the same conditions. After incubation, the cells were solubilized in DMSO (100 μL/well) and the formazan precipitates derived from MTT reduction were determined spectrophotometrically at 540 nm. The 50% cytotoxic concentration (CC₅₀) was determined by regression analysis using the SPSS 8.0 for Windows. The selectivity index was determined as the ratio of CC₅₀ for the macrophages to IC₅₀ for the protozoa [33]. Each assay was carried out in triplicate in three independent experiments.

2.7. Cytotoxicity Assays on Tumoral Cell Line. The cell lines used for the cytotoxicity assays were HEP-2 (human larynx carcinoma), HT-29 (human colon adenocarcinoma), NCI-H292 (human lung mucoepidermoid carcinoma), and HL-60 (human promyelocytic leukemia), and these cells were obtained from the Cell Bank of Rio de Janeiro (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil). The HEP-2, NCI-H292, and HT-29 cells were maintained in DMEM and supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin at 37°C with 5% CO₂. The HL-60 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium under the same conditions.

Cell counts and viability were determined by trypan blue staining. The cell concentration was adjusted to 3 × 10⁵ cells/mL for HL-60 cells and 2 × 10⁵ cells/mL for the other cell lines. Approximately 100 μL of cell suspension with the above concentration was cultured in each well of 96-well plates for 24 h at 37°C in a humid atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. After 24 h, EOMiR, EOMiE, or their major components (50.0, 25.0, 12.50, 6.25, 3.12, 1.56, or 0.78 mg/mL) “dissolved in DMSO” were added to each well of the 96-well plates, and the plates were incubated again at 37°C for 72 h. Control groups received DMSO (0.1%). Doxorubicin was used as a positive control. The growth of the tumor cells was quantified by the ability of the living cells to reduce yellow tetrazolium MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazolyl-2)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) to a blue formazan product [34]. After 72 h of incubation, MTT (5.0 mg/mL) was added to the plate. After three hours for the suspended cells or two hours for the adherent cells, the formazan product from the reduction of MTT was dissolved in DMSO, and the absorbance was measured by using a multplate reader. The effect of the oils was quantified as the percentage of control absorbance of the reduced dye at 450 nm (Multiplate Reader Thermoplate).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Chemical Composition of the Essential Oils. The latex samples collected from fruits of the *M. indica* var. Espada and Rosa were viscous clear liquids with an aroma characteristic of the fresh ripe fruit. Both latex samples were submitted to hydrodistillation and yielded colorless and pleasant-smelling oils. The best yield was obtained for the EOMiR (9.5%). All constituents identified in the essential oils of the latex of the fruits of the two studied mango varieties are listed in Table 1 in the order of elution from the DB-5 column.

Twenty-seven components were identified in the oils of both varieties, representing approximately 97.0 ± 0.3% and 96.4 ± 0.5% of the EOMiE and EOMiR, respectively (Table 1). Nineteen compounds were common to both varieties. This analysis revealed that both oils were characterized by a high content of monoterpenic hydrocarbons. The main compound identified in the EOMiE was terpinolene (73.6 ± 0.2%), with δ-3-carene (5.7 ± 0.0%) being the second most abundant. The main component in the EOMiR was β-pinene (40.7 ± 0.3%), followed by terpinolene (28.3 ± 0.1%) and α-pinene (11.5 ± 0.1%). Both oils had similar chemical profiles, differing only in the percentages of the constituents. In fact, terpinolene, a main component identified in both oils, was found to be the major constituent of the EOMiE, but it was found at a lower percentage in the EOMiR. The same pattern was observed for α- and β-pinene, which were major compounds identified in the EOMiR, but they were detected at percentages lower than 2% in the EOMiE.

A study performed by Loveys et al. [35] reported the presence of terpinolene (83.7%) and car-3-ene (89.8%) as major components in the *Australian Kensington* and *Irwin* varieties, respectively. These compounds were also found in the present study, whereas they were the major components in only the *M. indica* var. Espada.

The chemical profile of the mango essential oils in our study, characterized by a high quantity of monoterpenes, was very similar to that reported for samples collected in different regions of the world [8, 9, 36, 37]. The main constituents found in the present study have also been identified in significant amounts in other varieties collected in other locations.
Table 1: Chemical composition of the essential oils from the latex of two varieties of *M. indica* var. Rosa and Espada.

| Compounds                  | Yield (% ± SD) | *M. indica* var. Espada (%MS)  | *M. indica* var. Rosa (%MS) | Method of identification |
|----------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| **Compounds** | **RI<sup>a</sup>** | **RI<sup>b</sup>** | **5.6 ± 0.0** | **9.5 ± 0.1** | **RI, MS, CI** |
| α-Pinene                  | 930            | 932                             | 0.8 ± 0.0                  | 11.5 ± 0.1               | RI, MS, CI |
| Camphene                  | 941            | 946                             | 0.3 ± 0.0                  | 0.3 ± 0.0                | RI, MS, CI |
| Sabinene                  | 966            | 969                             | 1.9 ± 0.0                  | 1.9 ± 0.0                | RI, MS |
| β-Pinene                  | 979            | 974                             | 1.9 ± 0.0                  | 40.7 ± 0.3               | RI, MS, CI |
| δ-2-Carene                | 997            | 1001                            | 0.8 ± 0.0                  | 11.5 ± 0.1               | RI, MS, CI |
| δ-3-Carene                | 1005           | 1008                            | 5.7 ± 0.0                  | 2.1 ± 0.0                | RI, MS, CI |
| α-Terpinephene            | 1021           | 1014                            | 2.5 ± 0.0                  | 1.0 ± 0.0                | RI, MS, CI |
| p-Cymene                  | 1018           | 1020                            | 0.4 ± 0.0                  | 0.3 ± 0.0                | RI, MS, CI |
| Limonene                  | 1023           | 1024                            | 1.4 ± 0.1                  | 1.3 ± 0.0                | RI, MS, CI |
| Sylvestrene               | 1025           | 1025                            | 0.9 ± 0.0                  | 0.7 ± 0.0                | RI, MS |
| (E)-β-Ocimene             | 1039           | 1044                            | 0.6 ± 0.0                  | 0.6 ± 0.0                | RI, MS |
| γ-Terpinephene            | 1050           | 1054                            | 0.4 ± 0.0                  | 0.2 ± 0.0                | RI, MS |
| Terpinolene               | 1082           | 1086                            | 73.6 ± 0.2                 | 28.3 ± 0.1               | RI, MS, CI |
| p-Cymene-8-ol             | 1180           | 1179                            | 0.7 ± 0.0                  | 0.3 ± 0.0                | RI, MS |
| trans-Chrysanthenyl acetate| 1231          | 1235                            | 0.3 ± 0.0                  | 0.3 ± 0.0                | RI, MS |
| cis-Chrysanthenyl acetate | 1258           | 1261                            | 0.3 ± 0.0                  | 0.3 ± 0.0                | RI, MS |
| Isopulegyl acetate        | 1271           | 1274                            | 0.5 ± 0.0                  | 0.1 ± 0.0                | RI, MS |
| E-Patchenol               | 1325           | 1328                            | 0.1 ± 0.0                  | 0.1 ± 0.0                | RI, MS |
| β-Patchoulenene           | 1380           | 1379                            | 0.3 ± 0.0                  | 0.1 ± 0.0                | RI, MS |
| β-Longipinene             | 1400           | 1400                            | 0.8 ± 0.0                  | 2.9 ± 0.0                | RI, MS |
| Cycloseychellene          | 1407           | 1406                            | 0.4 ± 0.0                  | 0.2 ± 0.0                | RI, MS |
| γ-Elemene                 | 1435           | 1434                            | 0.3 ± 0.0                  | 1.5 ± 0.0                | RI, MS, CI |
| Citronellyl propanoate    | 1442           | 1444                            | 0.1 ± 0.0                  | 0.1 ± 0.0                | RI, MS |
| α-Clovene                 | 1449           | 1452                            | 0.6 ± 0.0                  | 0.3 ± 0.0                | RI, MS |
| γ-Gurjunene               | 1472           | 1475                            | 3.7 ± 0.2                  | 1.3 ± 0.0                | RI, MS, CI |
| γ-Murolene                | 1477           | 1478                            | 0.4 ± 0.0                  | 0.2 ± 0.0                | RI, MS |
| γ-Himachalene             | 1477           | 1481                            | 0.6 ± 0.0                  | 0.2 ± 0.0                | RI, MS |
| Monoterpenoid hydrocarbons|               |                                 | 88.2 ± 0.2                 | 88.9 ± 0.4               | RI, MS |
| Oxygenated monoterpenes    |               |                                 | 1.8 ± 0.1                  | 0.8 ± 0.0                | RI, MS |
| Sesquiterpenoid hydrocarbons|             |                                 | 7.1 ± 0.1                  | 6.5 ± 0.1                | RI, MS |
| Oxygenated sesquiterpenes |               |                                 | 0.1 ± 0.0                  | 0.1 ± 0.0                | RI, MS |
| **Total**                 |               |                                 | 97.1 ± 0.3                 | 96.3 ± 0.5               | RI, MS |

<sup>a</sup>The retention indices were calculated from the retention times in relation to those of a series of n-alkanes on a 30 m DB-5 capillary column. <sup>b</sup>Linear retention indices from the literature. RI: retention index; MS: mass spectrum; CI: coinjection with authentic standards.

Terpinolene has been found in significant amounts in the volatile fractions of the fruits of eight varieties of mango (Chana = 62.4%, Coquinho = 51.4%, Comum = 45.4%, Carlota = 52.0%, Bacuri = 57.0%, Cheiro = 66.1%, Cametá = 56.3%, and Gorjoba = 54.8%) collected in the state of Pará, Brazil [4]. The essential oils of the pulp from the Choak Anand, Ok-rong, and yellow Keaw varieties of mango grown in Thailand contain primarily terpinolene [12]. A similar pattern was recently reported for the essential oil from the flowers of a specimen from China, which had a high content of terpinolene [37].

Contrary to the terpinolene profile found in our study, Andrade et al. [4] did not report the presence of terpinolene in the volatile fraction of *M. indica* var. Rosa and only found it in low amounts (0.2%) in the variety Espada from Pará (Brazil). According to the literature, the essential oils from the exudate of the investigated mango varieties have marked differences in composition with respect to the latex essential oils from seven varieties collected in India [36]. Specifically, these essential oils from India did not contain terpinolene, and these oils had lower contents of β-pinene and high contents of β-myrcene, limonene, cis-ocimene, and trans-ocimene.

The compound α-pinene was the main compound found in the oils from the fruit of the variety Qalmi [8] and from the exudate of four varieties of mango (Malgoa, Seedling, Mallika, and Totapuri) collected in India [36]. The essential oil from the leaves of a mango species from Kenya contained...
α-pinene as the main compound [5]. β-Pinene was found in an appreciable amount (12.5%) in a sample of fruits of the variety Qalmi collected in India [8].

3.2. Leishmanicidal Activity of EOMiR and EOMiE. Our results showed that both oils demonstrated similar effect on the L. amazonensis promastigotes, inhibiting the parasite growth in dose-dependent manner (Figure 1). Only at a concentration of 50 μg/mL did the activity profile between the two oils differ, seeing that EOMiE presented an inhibition rate of growth better than EOMiR.

The IC_{50}/72 h estimated for the oils were 39.06 and 22.96 μg/mL for EOMiR and EOMiE, respectively (Table 2). The oils had low cytotoxic effect on macrophages, with a CC_{50} of 142.84 and 158.65 μg/mL for EOMiR and EOMiE, respectively. However, both oils were more specific to the parasite than the macrophages, with values of a selectivity index of 6.91 for EOMiE, demonstrating that it is six times more toxic to the parasite compared to macrophages.

Essential oils, as well as their components, have been found to possess a wide spectrum of pharmacological effects including antibacterial, antifungal, antiviral, antihelmintic, and antiprotozoal activities [38, 39]. They are also known to have important biological activities against trypanosomatids as Trypanosoma brucei [40], Leishmania [24], and T. cruzi [41]. These activities are mainly attributed to the presence of terpenic, aromatic, and aliphatic constituents [42].

It is usually assumed that terpenic constituents are responsible for the hydrophobic feature of essential oils [43] which allows essential oils to freely permeate the cell membranes and kill the parasites by affecting their cytoplasmic metabolic pathways or organelles [44]. On the other hand, essential oils themselves could interact with parasite membranes and cause drastic physiologic changes leading to the loss of membrane permeability that ultimately leads to cell death [45]. However, due to the great number of constituents and the synergistic or antagonistic interactions existing among them, it is likely that essential oils have other cellular targets besides the cellular membranes. In fact, interactions of essential oils with lipids and proteins have already been reported [45].

3.3. Cell Viability Assay. The cytotoxic activity of the essential oils from M. indica var. Rosa and Espada, and of the major terpenes in the Rosa variety (β-pinene, terpinolene, and α-pinene) and the Espada variety (terpinolene and δ-3-carene), was investigated against human tumor cell lines. The cytotoxic effects of the essential oils are presented in Table 3. The IC_{50} values were ranged from 12.3 to 38.9 μg/mL for the EOMiR and from 3.6 to 14.5 μg/mL for the EOMiE, depending upon the cell line. These values indicate that these cancer cell lines differ with respect to their sensitivity to the substances contained in the essential oil of M. indica latex. These differences may be due to the different molecular characteristics of these cells. Generally, the mechanism of cell death induced after treatment is dependent on several factors, such as the compounds used, their concentrations, and the cell line used for the study.

According to the criteria of the National Cancer Institute (NCI-USA) for considering a crude extract promising for further purification, the IC_{50} must be ≤30 μg/mL and, for a pure substance to be considered promising for use as an antitumor agent, it should have an IC_{50} ≤4 μg/mL, which corresponds to strong cytotoxic activity [46]. The oils tested in this study had IC_{50} values below the value determined by NCI-USA for all cell lines tested. The essential oil is classified as a crude extract, and it has a complex composition, containing from a dozen to several hundred components, with terpenes being the major components.

Terpinolene and δ-3-carene are the major components of the EOMiE, and both were separately evaluated to determine their cytotoxic activities. Terpinolene showed cytotoxic activity against all cell lines tested but was more potent against HT-29, HEP-2, and NCI-H292 cells. δ-3-Carene exhibited little activity against all lines.

To evaluate the interaction between the major constituents of the essential oil, some constituents were tested separately and in mixtures with concentrations equal to those in the essential oil. When analyzed separately, terpinolene showed more potent than δ-3-carene, whereas when they
Table 3: Cytotoxic activity against human tumor cells of the essential oils from the *M. indica* var. Rosa and *M. indica* var. Espada.

| Essential oil                     | HT-29  | HEP-2 | NCI-292 | HL-60  |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-------|---------|--------|
| EOMiR                             |        |       |         |        |
| *IC₅₀ (µg/mL)*                    |        |       |         |        |
| 28.7                              | 25.6   | 38.9  | 12.3    |        |
| 20.3–30.5                         | 20.5–31.9 | 31.3–48.4 | 9.5–15.9 |
| EOMiE                             | 9.2    | 6.2   | 14.5    | 3.6    |
|                                   | 6.0–14.0 | 4.4–8.6  | 11.4–18.4 | 2.8–4.8 |
| Terpinolene                       | 16.7   | 13.7  | 17.4    | 28.8   |
|                                   | 13.0–21.6 | 11.5–16.3 | 14.8–19.6 | 25.6–32.3 |
| α-Pinene                          | 10.3   | 11.0  | 11.8    | 11.8   |
|                                   | 8.7–12.2 | 7.7–15.6  | 9.1–13.5 | 10.0–14.0 |
| β-Pinene                          | 6.6    | 6.3   | 7.5     | 10.5   |
|                                   | 5.8–7.5 | 5.0–8.0   | 5.1–11.0 | 9.1–12.0 |
| δ-3-Carene                        | 45.5   | 35.0  | 42.6    | 63.1   |
|                                   | 37.6–55.0 | 29.6–41.2 | 35.1–51.9 | 47.4–84.0 |
| Terpinolene (74%) + δ-3-carene (6%) | 22.8   | 26.5  | 29.3    | 27.0   |
|                                   | 16.4–31.6 | 22.8–30.8 | 25.8–33.2 | 23.0–31.8 |
| β-Pinene (41%) + terpinolene (28%) + α-pinene (11%) | 22.3  | 30.5  | 40.1    | 7.8    |
|                                   | 16.1–31.1 | 25.6–36.4 | 20.6–47.9 | 5.6–10.7 |
| Terpinolene (74%) + β-pinene (2%) | 39.4   | 35.0  | 30.4    |        |
|                                   | 36.0–43.1 | 27.7–44.38 | 21.8–42.2 |        |
| Doxorubicin                       | 0.4    | 0.7   | 0.01    | 0.2    |
|                                   | 0.3–0.5 | 0.2–1.4 | 0.004–0.3 | 0.01–0.2 |

*Data are presented as *IC₅₀* values and 95% confidence intervals obtained by nonlinear regression for all cell lines from two independent experiments. Exposure time: 72 h. HEP-2: larynx carcinoma; NCI-H292: lung mucoepidermoid carcinoma; HT-29: colon adenocarcinoma; and HL-60: promyelocytic leukemia cells. Doxorubicin was used as a positive control. EOMiR: essential oil of *M. indica* var. Rosa; EOMiE: essential oil of *M. indica* var. Espada.

were analyzed together [[terpinolene : δ-3-carene (74 : 6)]] at the ratio present in the essential oil, the mixture showed lower activity than terpinolene itself. These results suggest that the cytotoxic activity displayed by the EOMiE is associated with complex interaction between the major component terpinolene and other minor components of the mixture.

The major components in the EOMiR yielded the following results when analyzed separately: β-pinene (*IC₅₀* 6.6–10.5 µg/mL), terpinolene (*IC₅₀* 13.7–28.8 µg/mL), and α-pinene (*IC₅₀* 11.0–11.8 µg/mL). Both α- and β-pinene showed higher cytotoxicity than terpinolene. When a mixture of these three components was analyzed [[β-pinene (41%) + terpinolene (28%) + α-pinene (11%)]], significant activity against all cell lines, except NCI-H292, was observed. Nevertheless, this activity appeared selective for leukemia cells because the *IC₅₀* value was very close to the cut-off set by the NCI for pure substances (*IC₅₀* ≤ 4 µg/mL), despite the test substance being a mixture of terpenes.

Because strong cytotoxic activity was observed for β-pinene and given that it is a component of the EOMiE, the cytotoxic activity of a mixture of β-pinene and terpinolene was assessed. The β-pinene + terpinolene mixture was less active than the separate compounds and the EOMiE, indicating that there is antagonism between these two components. This result shows that the activity of the EOMiE is associated with possible synergism among all the compounds present in the oil, not just a particular component.

With respect to their biological properties, it must be kept in mind that essential oils are complex mixtures of numerous molecules, and one might wonder if their biological effects are the result of synergism among all molecules or reflect only the activities of the main molecules present at the highest concentrations according to the gas chromatographic analysis. Generally, the major components are found to reflect quite well the biophysical and biological features of the essential oils from which they were isolated, with the amplitudes of their effects being dependent on their concentration when they are tested on their own or in essential oils [47].

Because of the great number of constituents, essential oils appear to have no specific cellular targets [48], involving multiple targets at the same time [49]. As typical lipophilic compounds, these oils pass through the cell wall and the cytoplasmic membrane, disrupting the structure of the different layers of polysaccharides, fatty acids, and phospholipids and permeabilizing these structures. Cytotoxicity appears to involve such membrane damage [50].

The cytotoxicity of essential oils on mammalian cells is caused by the induction of apoptosis and necrosis. In eukaryotic cells, essential oils can induce the depolarization of the mitochondrial membranes by decreasing the membrane potential, affecting Ca<sup>2+</sup> and other ion channels, and reducing the pH gradient, affecting (as in bacteria) the proton pump and the ATP pool [51]. Essential oils change the fluidity of membranes, which become abnormally...
permeable, resulting in the leakage of radicals, cytochrome c, calcium ions, and proteins, as in the case of oxidative stress and bioenergetic failure. The permeabilization of the outer and inner mitochondrial membranes leads to cell death by apoptosis and necrosis [52]. In general, the cytotoxic activity of essential oils is primarily due to the presence of phenols, aldehydes, and alcohols [53].

4. Conclusions

This study revealed the chemical composition and leishmanicidal and cytotoxic activities of the essential oils of the latex of *M. indica* var. Espada and Rosa, thus contributing to the knowledge of the biological properties of the species. Little is known about the compounds of the latex of these two varieties. Monoterpenes were identified as dominant compounds in the essential oils from both varieties. Others classes of compounds, including oxygenated monoterpenes, sesquiterpene hydrocarbons, and oxygenated sesquiterpenes, were present at lower concentrations. The essential oils present a low toxicity to mammalian cell associated with significant leishmanicidal activity. The anticancer activity *in vitro* of these oils was considered promising. Further studies are required to elucidate the mechanisms of parasite death induced by the most promissory essential oils and identify their putative intracellular targets.
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