Pathophysiological and clinical aspects of gastric hyperplastic polyps
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Abstract

Gastric polyps become a major clinical problem because of high prevalence and tendency to malignant transformation of some of them. The development of gastric hyperplastic polyps results from excessive proliferation of foveolar cells accompanied by their increased exfoliation, and they are macroscopically indistinguishable from other polyps with lower or higher malignant potential. Panendoscopy allows detection and differentiation of gastric polyps, usually after obtaining histopathological biopsy specimens. Unremoved gastric hyperplastic polyps may enlarge and sometimes spontaneously undergo a sequential progression to cancer. For this reason, gastric hyperplastic polyps larger than 5 mm in size should be removed in one piece. After excision of polyps with atypical focal lesion, endoscopic surveillance is suggested depending on histopathological diagnosis and possibility of confirming the completeness of endoscopic resection. Because of the risk of cancer development also in gastric mucosa outside the polyp, neighboring fragments of gastric mucosa should undergo microscopic investigations. This procedure allows for identification of patients who can benefit most from oncological endoscopic surveillance. If Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) infection of the gastric mucosa is confirmed, treatment strategies should include eradication of bacteria, which may prevent progression of intestinal metaplasia. The efficacy of H. pylori eradication should be checked 3-6 mo later.
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Core tip: The present review is one of only a few papers describing the clinical problem of gastric hyperplastic polyps and their tendency to malignant transformation. For this reason, gastric hyperplastic polyps larger than 5 mm in size should be removed, preferably in one piece. After excision of polyps with dysplasia, careful endoscopic surveillance is needed, both places after polypectomy and surrounding mucosa.
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INTRODUCTION

A polymp is a proliferative or neoplastic lesion of the mucous membrane, directed toward the gastrointestinal lumen, projecting from the surrounding mucosa, and having the head and (sometimes) the stalk[4]. Some gastric polyps tend to have malignant transformation to cancer and gastric cancer is the third most common cause of cancer-related death in the world and being still difficult to cure because of advanced disease at the moment of diagnosis.

Gastric polyps are detected during 1%-6% of upper gastrointestinal endoscopies and in 0.1%-0.8% of autopsies[2-4]. Still several years ago, gastric polyps were more frequent in the antrum than in the body of the stomach. It seems, however, that their location has changed in the past 10 years; the incidence of polyps has increased in the stomach body (19% vs 32%) and decreased in the antrum (46% vs 24%)[3]. Also, altered age distribution of gastric polyps was observed in the last decade; patients aged 45-59 have currently twice more gastric polyps than 10 years ago, but the inverse relationship is observed for patients aged 60 years and over[5].

According to the macroscopic classification of Yamada and Ichikawa, polyps can be divided into: 1/flat polyps, i.e., slightly elevated and with indistinct margins, height < 2.5 mm (width of closed biopsy forceps), 2/ sessile polyps, i.e., elevated with a distinct border at the base, yet without a notch, height exceeds 2.5 mm, 3/ semi-pedunculated polyps, i.e., elevated with distinct margins and clear notch at the base, but without peduncle and 4/ pedunculated polyps[6]. Gastric epithelial polyps include fundic gland polyps, hyperplastic polyps and adenomatous polyps[7,8].

Gastric hyperplastic polyps (GHPs) can be single (68%-75%) or multiple, they occur sporadically (isolated polyps) or as a component of a rare hyperplastic polyposis syndrome (the presence of 50 or more polyps). Sporadic GHPs are macroscopically and histologically indistinguishable from the syndromic GHPs and the latter are associated with a higher risk of malignant transformation and higher 5-year mortality rate. Solitary GHPs with distinct margin, red color and protuberant shape can be difficult to distinguish from well-differentiated adenocarcinoma. Sometimes GHPs are found to coexist with other types of polyps or tumors (synchronous polyps)[2,9,10]. Geographical differences exist in the prevalence of gastric polyps. In Western countries, the incidence of GHPs decreased[2], while in areas where the prevalence of Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) is high, GHPs have been reported more frequently[11].

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

It is believed that GHP development results from excessive proliferation of foveolar cells (mucin-producing epithelial cells lining the gastric surface and the gastric pits) accompanied by their increased exfoliation; glands are usually not involved in the formation of polyps. Stimuli directly responsible for the appearance of GHPs have not been known yet, although it seems that abnormal hyper-regenerative processes triggered in response to nonspecific gastric mucosal injury can be suspected. Two main pathologies lie in the background of GHPs: longstanding H. pylori-associated gastritis and autoimmune atrophic gastritis in Addison-Biermer disease; GHPs are less common in other inflammatory conditions, in the vicinity of ulcer, erosions and surgical gastroenterostomy, secondary to prior endoscopic coagulation therapy, in gastric mucosa with slight atrophy or metaplasia, and in cardia in patients with gastrointestinal reflux. GHPs almost never occur in normal gastric mucosa.

The risk of developing GHPs increases with the degree of mucosal atrophy, especially when the stomach body is affected. Over time, GHPs may remain stable, increase in size, or even regress. It was not long ago that GHPs were believed to be benign lesions not associated with the risk of malignant transformation. Today, however, unremoved GHPs are known for their ability to enlarge and sometimes spontaneously undergo a sequential progression and a few-phase neoplastic transformation[12]. This process has been confirmed and well documented in GHPs, although it is much less common than in adenomatous polyps of the stomach.

Although Helicobacter pylori is sometimes present within GHPs[13], the bacterium not induces specifically their growth or malignant transformation[14]. It is estimated that most of GHPs remain stable in time, but 27% may enlarge[15]. It appears that, in addition to age, there are known some clinical factors predicting for the possibility of neoplastic transformation of GHPs, such as polyp size (greater than 1 cm), pedunculated morphology, postgastrectomy state, and synchronous neoplastic lesion[16,17].

Early gastric cancer in gastric hyperplastic polyps, by
definition, does not infiltrate deeper than the submucosa, irrespective of local lymph node involvement. In the pedunculated polyps, the submucosal layer ascends through the stalk to the head, while in sessile ones, the submucosal layer forms convexity toward the tumor. The depth of penetration can be assessed only when the cross-sectional image perpendicular to the lesion and contiguous normal wall are obtained. The prevalence of gastric cancer in GHPs shows a positive correlation with the polyp size, whereas mortality due to GHPs depends on the presence and severity of neoplastic transformation in the polyp (18). Gastric metastases are relatively rare, but even a case of metastasis to GHP has been recently described (19).

EPIDEMIOLOGY AND SYMPTOMATOLOGY

Although the existing epidemiological data are not explicit, the multi-center research trial conducted in the United States to assess so far the largest number of panendoscopies (120000) and gastric polyps (5877) suggests that the most common types of gastric polyps are gastric fundic gland polyps (77%) and GHPs (14%); much less common are: polyoid foveolar hyperplasia (2.7%), adenocarcinomas (1.3%), lymphomas (0.9%) and adenomas (0.7%) (10). A recently published retrospective study which assessed the United States national database of histopathological reports involving approximately 741000 patients, confirmed the highest prevalence of gastric fundic gland polyps and hyperplastic polyps, 7.72% and 1.79% of patients undergoing gastroscopy, respectively (20); adenomatous polyps of the stomach were much less common. In other clinical studies, depending on the definition and histopathological criteria, the study period and population, GHPs accounted for 25%-28% to 71%-76% of all gastric polyps (4,21-28).

The incidence of GHPs increases with age and although they can also be found in children, GHPs usually affect the 65-75 year-old population (1). Most studies proved higher incidence of all types of gastric polyps in women than in men (1,2,22). In a study performed by Cao et al (3) gastric polyps were found in 34% of men and 66% of women (24121 patients). However, it was the result of higher prevalence of gastric fundic gland polyps and hyperplastic polyps, 7.72% and 1.79% of patients undergoing gastroscopy, respectively (20); adenomatous polyps of the stomach were much less common. In other clinical studies, depending on the definition and histopathological criteria, the study period and population, GHPs accounted for 25%-28% to 71%-76% of all gastric polyps (4,21-28).

The incidence of GHPs increases with age and although they can also be found in children, GHPs usually affect the 65-75 year-old population (1). Most studies proved higher incidence of all types of gastric polyps in women than in men (1,2,22). In a study performed by Cao et al (3) gastric polyps were found in 34% of men and 66% of women (24121 patients). However, it was the result of higher prevalence of gastric fundic gland polyps and hyperplastic polyps, 7.72% and 1.79% of patients undergoing gastroscopy, respectively (20); adenomatous polyps of the stomach were much less common. In other clinical studies, depending on the definition and histopathological criteria, the study period and population, GHPs accounted for 25%-28% to 71%-76% of all gastric polyps (4,21-28).

The incidence of GHPs increases with age and although they can also be found in children, GHPs usually affect the 65-75 year-old population (1). Most studies proved higher incidence of all types of gastric polyps in women than in men (1,2,22). In a study performed by Cao et al (3) gastric polyps were found in 34% of men and 66% of women (24121 patients). However, it was the result of higher prevalence of gastric fundic gland polyps and hyperplastic polyps, 7.72% and 1.79% of patients undergoing gastroscopy, respectively (20); adenomatous polyps of the stomach were much less common. In other clinical studies, depending on the definition and histopathological criteria, the study period and population, GHPs accounted for 25%-28% to 71%-76% of all gastric polyps (4,21-28).

Gastric hyperplastic polyps are usually small, flat or sessile dome-shaped lesions with smooth surface and lobular structure (Figure 1). The proportional prevalence of GHPs according to size is estimated at: 47% (0-0.5 cm), 25% (0.6-0.9 cm), 18% (1-2 cm), 6% (2-3 cm) and 4% (> 3 cm) (22). Sometimes GHPs may have erosions on their surface and they are often difficult to distinguish from polyoid foveolar hyperplasia or gastric adenomatous polyps (11). Sometimes GHPs are very big and have aciniform structure. They may reach even 13 cm in size and then they resemble a neoplastic tumor. A large size of gastric hyperplastic polyps and granular structure with visible depression and mucus threads on the surface may suggest their malignant transformation.

Endoscopy with optic image magnification and NBI allows the assessment of the network of fine blood vessels, which correlates well with histopathological findings and increases the possibility of early differentiation of gastric polyps already during endoscopy; dense distribution of irregular capillaries on the polyp surface is characteristic of GHPs (21).

Contrary to hyperplastic polyps of the colon, GHPs show swelling of the submucosal membrane with pronounced foveolar hyperplasia and infiltration of the lamina propria by inflammatory cells, among which smooth muscle cells derived from thickened and cracked muscle membrane can be seen. Mucin-secreting cells from the foveolar layer of GHPs are enlarged and elongated; they form canals that extend to the stroma, which can enlarge and form marked irregular cysts varying in shape and size. PAS/Alcian...
blue or mucicarmine stains highlight acidic mucin in goblet cells and can demonstrate the neutral mucin in foveolar epithelium\cite{10}.

GHPs have two major and typical microscopic features\cite{10}. The first and salient includes distinctly elongated, dilated, distorted and branched pits of the mucosa, with a folded epithelial lining (differing in height) that does not exfoliate in proper time (Figure 2A-C). This leads to increased mucus secretion and a spiral appearance of the mucosal pits on the horizontal section or serrated and star-like appearance on the cross-section\cite{20}. The foveolar cells mature excessively, contain large amounts of cytoplasm and small nuclei, and exhibit low mitotic activity\cite{21}. The swollen stroma shows a network of randomly arranged, diffused fine bundles of smooth muscles, located in the lamina propria. The glandular epithelium can sometimes occur only in deeper layers of the polyps.

The second typical microscopic feature is swelling and inflammatory reaction of the stroma of varied intensity, either acute or chronic, i.e., visible infiltration of the lamina propria by numerous neutrophils, plasmatic cells, lymphocytes, eosinophils, mastocytes and macrophages. These regions are strongly vascularized and vascular proliferation resembles granulation. Because of local trauma, the surface of GHPs can be ulcerated and inflamed, with regenerative atypia of epithelial and interstitial cells (Figure 2D). Sometimes the surface of the mucous membrane can also exhibit budding pits having features of pseudo-invasion. For a histopathologist, such lesions are a major diagnostic issue, since hyperplastic polyps may sometimes contain foci of dysplasia and cancer. Abnormal regenerative changes may be difficult to differentiate accurately from dysplastic atypia\cite{22,23}.

If dysplasia within GHPs is confirmed by biopsy, it is crucial to determine its grade and boundaries, and assess whether it is limited only to the polyp or is just a fragment of the extensive neoplastic process. If dysplasia develops only in the polyp and its focus is removed radically during polypectomy, both macroscopically and microscopically, the lesion is considered to be cured.

**DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSTICS**

GHPs should be differentiated from other sporadic polyps (fundic gland polyps, adenomatous polyps) and lesions of the mucosa present in familial polyposis syndrome (Ménétrier disease, juvenile polyposis and Cronkhite–Canady syndrome). Earlier clinical studies suggesting high incidence of GHPs launched a debate on diagnostic criteria and the factual incidence rate. It seems that most of the previously described tiny hyperplastic polyps were in fact only the hyperplasia of the foveolar layer of the gastric mucosa. Polypoid foveolar hyperplasia (PFH) is regarded as a precursor of gastric hyperplastic polyps and differs slightly from them in the microscopic structure. Elongated pits of the mucosa but without features of dilatation can be also seen in PFH, and the lamina propria is either normal or only slightly swollen\cite{2}. Differentiation between these two lesions is of crucial clinical significance since malignant transformation affects gastric hyperplastic polyps but not foveolar polypoid hyperplasia\cite{24}. Precise categorization of gastric polyps is being conducted. Multicenter clinical study results published in 2011, revised the previous histopathological assessment of gastric hyperplastic polyps using precise diagnostic criteria; only in 20% of cases, previous diagnosis of GHP was confirmed\cite{24}.

**CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF INTRAEPITHELIAL NEOPLASIA IN THE MUCOSA SURROUNDING A POLYP**

Oncological risk associated with GHPs depends on the risk of cancer development not only in the polyp, but also in gastric mucosa outside the polyp. Thus, also the neighboring fragments of gastric mucosa should undergo endoscopic and microscopic investigations.

The risk of focal gastric cancer is five-fold higher in gastric adenomatous polyps than in the hyperplastic ones (10% vs 2.1%), and 2-fold higher in gastric mucosa surrounding the adenomatous than hyperplastic polyps (13.3% vs 7.1%). Thus the risk of cancer growth in gastric mucosa outside the polyp is probably slightly higher than in the polyp itself\cite{21}.

Gastric hyperplastic polyps are frequently associated with inflammatory lesions in the local gastric mucosa. Chronic inflammation of gastric mucosa can be observed in associations with *H. pylori* infection (25%), autoimmune inflammation (12%), atrophic gastritis, lymphocytic inflammation or CMV infection\cite{30}. In a patient with GHPs the Sydney biopsy protocol recommends collection of five separate specimens: two from the stomach body (greater and lesser curvature), two from the antrum (greater and lesser curvature) and one from the gastric angle. When *H. pylori* infection of the gastric mucosa is confirmed, treatment of small GHPs should begin from eradication therapy, which in many cases reduces or eliminates...
the polyps$^{[34]}$. The efficacy of such treatment should be checked 3-6 mo later.

If the gastric mucosa surrounding a hyperplastic polyp exhibits features of chronic atrophic gastritis, its stage should be examined using the OLGA system (Operative Link on Gastritis Assessments), allowing better stratification of the risk and identification of patients who can benefit most from oncological endoscopic surveillance. It seems that patients with diffused atrophy should be included in such a program and have panendoscopy performed at first each year (OLGA IV), and then every 2 years (OLGA III) or every 5 years (OLGA II)$^{[35]}$.

The mucosa surrounding the hyperplastic polyps frequently shows chronic inflammation and sometimes oncologically hazardous focal intestinal metaplasia (37%) and dysplasia (2%) or even adenocarcinoma (6%)$^{[30]}$.

**Figure 2** Histopathological findings. A: Gastric hyperplastic polyp with dilated, elongated, branched and foveolar epithelium and edematous end inflamed stroma (original magnification × 10); B: Gastric hyperplastic polyp with well visible elongated foveolar epithelium (original magnification × 20); C: A cross-section of mucosal crypt shows a serrated ligh of the gland and the goblet cells (original magnification × 40); D: The green arrow indicates a regeneration zone in the foveolar epithelium with hyperchromatic nuclei (original magnification × 40); E: Focus of adenocarcinoma in the gastric hyperplastic polyp (original magnification × 40). Hematoxylin-eosin staining.

**CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF INTRAEPITHELIAL NEOPLASIA IN THE POLYP**

Only a small percentage (< 2%-3%) of GHPs, usually the larger ones (> 1-2 cm), show features of focal intraepithelial neoplasia (IEN) or cancer (Figure 2E). Therefore, large polyps should be removed and as a whole subjected to histopathological analysis. Studies assessing the presence of intraepithelial neoplasia and adenocarcinoma in GHPs are rare$^{[2,21,30,36-38]}$.

The p53 protein, a p53 suppressor gene product,
inhibits neoplastic transformation (by prolonging G1 phase of the cellular cycle), which gives the cells enough time to repair damaged DNA threads. If the damage is severe and cannot be quickly repaired, p53 initiates the process of programmed cell death. Mutations of p53 gene result in the synthesis of mutated, i.e., functionally abnormal p53, deprived of the inhibitory function, which promotes transfer of genetic disorders to daughter cells and facilitates neoplastic transformation. The half-life period of normal p53 is approximately 20 min only, whereas pathological p53, being the product of the mutated p53 gene, shows a prolonged half-life, is accumulated in the cell and can be then easily detected.

The assessment of cell proliferation is a useful marker in the diagnosis of neoplastic transformation. Ki-67 antigen observed during all active phases of the cell cycle but absent from the G0 phase is presented as the percent of marked cell nuclei. It is a widely accepted marker of proliferation; the higher the expression of Ki-67, the higher malignancy grade.

In the histopathological material including 497 GHPs collected from 412 patients during an 11-year period, the prevalence of intestinal metaplasia, dysplasia (intraepithelial neoplasia) and cancer within GHPs was estimated at 5%, 10% and 2.2%, respectively[38]. Positive expression of p53 and high proliferation index (mitotic index) of Ki-67 were observed in cases of focal intraepithelial neoplasia (41%) and cancer (50%) within GHPs, as compared to the hyperplastic regions and metaplastic foci[33,38]. The foci of intraepithelial neoplasia were always found close to the foci of adenocarcinoma. The research seems to confirm the theory of neoplastic transformation in hyperplastic polyps of the hyperplasia-dysplasia-adenocarcinoma type. The expression of certain membrane proteins called claudins (Cld) that are found in tight intracellular junctions and are responsible for cell membrane integrity is one of the markers of malignant transformation within hyperplastic polyps of the stomach, since the expression of Cld-3 has been demonstrated only within the foci of intraepithelial neoplasia and cancer[16].

Orlowska et al[21] estimated the prevalence of metaplasia, intraepithelial neoplasia (dysplasia) and cancer in GHPs to be 5.6%, 3.3% and 2.1%. In their research[21] and in a study conducted by Abraham et al[40], the percentage of intraepithelial neoplasia in hyperplastic polyps of the stomach is 10 times higher (3.3%-4% vs 0.4%) than in the study by Carmack et al[1], and in the study by Terada[38] even 25 times higher (10% vs 0.4%); perhaps, these authors were dealing with specially selected patients.

The risk of developing cancer in GHPs increases with the polyp size and is believed to be higher for GHPs > 2 cm, although cases of cancer in 5-10 mm polyps have also been described. Neoplastic transformation usually starts from a small focus of intraepithelial neoplasia, which grows and acquires features of invasiveness. Intraepithelial neoplasia involves cytological and architectonic disorders within the cell: changes in the nucleus-cytoplasm ratio in favor of the nuclei, increased number of mitoses in enlarged nuclei, excessive number of epithelial cells with their build-up and loss of nuclear polarization (hyperchromatic cell nuclei lose their parallel arrangement). Mild and severe intraepithelial neoplasia can be distinguished, depending on impairment severity.

**TREATMENT**

The management of gastric polyps depends on the clinical condition of the patient, malignant potential of detected polyps and at which stage of malignant transformation polyps have been found. Endoscopic removal of adenomatous or hyperplastic polyps, symptomatic or with dysplastic foci, is recommended if it is possible and safe. Studies comparing biopsy findings with histopathological assessment of radically removed polyps have shown approximately 90% compatibility. When the polyp is removed in one piece with a diathermic loop it is less probable that some advanced dysplastic and neoplastic lesions can be missed and more likely that total removal is accomplished; piecemeal polypectomy technique does not ensure radical removal. Biopsy of gastric mucosa outside the polyp and examination for H. pylori infection and its eradication are additionally recommended, with a single endoscopic check-up one year later[27]. At present, repeat endoscopic examinations of GHPs negative for intraepithelial neoplasia are not recommended (Table 1).

Polypectomy is indicated for all gastric polyps > 10 mm, to eliminate sampling error by missing any neoplastic foci and prevent neoplastic transformation. Periodic biopsies of polyps that are not classified for removal due to their size, number and the risk of postsurgical complications should be performed[25]. When multiple polyps occur, it is recommended to obtain biopsies or remove the largest polyp as well as obtain biopsy specimens from the remaining polyps. And then, decision for polypectomy should be made based on histopathology findings. Most of GHPs can be detected and treated using endoscopy alone. According to current recommendations, GHPs > 5 mm should be removed whole[8,17,18,39], especially the pedunculated ones[27].

Some researchers, however, considering the risk of the procedure (bleeding, perforation), suggest the removal of only large GHPs, in which the probability of intraepithelial neoplasia and cancer is the highest. In the case of multiple hyperplastic polyps without foci of intraepithelial neoplasia, conservative management and follow up endoscopy seems to be safer strategy than numerous polypectomies, although there are no reliable studies to support this suggestion.

Oncological surveillance of patients with hyperplastic
polyps containing foci of dysplasia and cancer should be patient-tailored, since there are no generally accepted guidelines. It seems that when cancer was detected early in an endoscopically radically resected polyp, the oncological surveillance should involve repeated endoscopy, at the same frequency than for adenomatous gastric polyps, i.e., first one year after and then 3 years after the procedure.[17,30]

Endoscopic treatment of the polyp containing cancer is considered sufficient if it has been completely resected according to the endoscopist (macroscopic radicality) and histopathologist (microscopic radicality). If the cancer does not exceed the gastric mucosa, the excision margin free of cancer cells is greater than 2 mm in the microscopic investigation, differentiation degree of the cancer is high or moderate and no angioinvasion is observed, the resection is approved oncologically radical. The percentage of cancer relapse after radical resection of gastric hyperplastic polyps containing focal cancer is unknown, although it is certainly lower than that after the endoscopic resection of nonpolyoid early gastric cancer (1.2%)[40].

If incomplete resection of hyperplastic polyp containing early gastric cancer is evidently confirmed or when effective endoscopic treatment is impossible, we have to consider gastrectomy with local lymphadenectomy.[41]. In surgical treatment of early gastric cancer, conventional open laparotomy is increasingly more often replaced by low-invasive surgical techniques.

The surveillance of malignant GHPs following endoscopic removal is difficult because of the possibility of residual neoplastic cells within the stomach wall. It is commonly believed that the patient with diagnosed cancer or high grade dysplasia in the polyp should be treated by a multi-specialist team dealing with the diagnosis of the upper GI tract. The diagnosis should be established by two pathologists, with at least one specializing in gastrointestinal diseases. The strategy of management and therapy should be discussed with the patient and experienced endoscopists should perform surveillance panendoscopy.

When the resected GHPs are free of dysplasia and cancer, the management of patients should depend on the risk of developing cancer assessed on the basis of the presence of chronic atrophic gastritis and/or other risk factors. Last data (405211 patients) predict that about 1 in 256 people with normal gastric mucosa, 1 in 85 with gastritis, 1 in 50 with atrophic gastritis, 1 in 39 with intestinal metaplasia, and 1 in 19 with dysplasia will develop gastric cancer within 20 years after gastroscopy.[42].

In patients with high risk of gastric cancer (OLGA 3-4), with moderate or high grade diffuse atrophy of the mucosa, usually with enhanced metaplasia, in patients with a family history of gastric cancer, gastroscopies are recommended regularly, every year or every two years. Patients with low risk of gastric cancer (OLGA 1-2) should have at least one gastroscopy within 3-6 mo after the procedure, to confirm eradication of H. pylori and exclude the presence of new or residual polyps that would have to be removed.

When dysplasia is present in the mucous membrane of the stomach outside the polyp, especially in diffuse lesions, subtotal gastrectomy should be considered with postsurgical endoscopic surveillance, during which numerous bioplates are collected from the mucosa of the stomach stump at 1-3 year-intervals to exclude multifocal lesions.[17,40].

**CONCLUSION**

Recent studies have confirmed that cancer may arise within GHP, and a malignant lesion is likely to take a hyperplasia-dysplasia-adenocarcinoma course. Polypectomy of GHPs > 5 mm is recommended, with histopathological diagnosis, and periodic biopsies of the polyps which are not qualified for removal should be obtained. Additionally, biopsy of gastric mucosa outside the polyp is indicated as well as H. pylori eradication in the case of confirmed infection. Endoscopic check-up is suggested a year after removal of dysplasia-free GHP. The surveillance of patients after polypectomy of GHP containing foci of dysplasia and cancer should be more intensive and individual; precise guidelines do not exist.

Extensive knowledge concerning the mechanisms of origin, malignancy potential, diagnostic possibilities

| Table 1 The proposed management decisions and oncologic surveillance program regarding gastric hyperplastic polyps |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Before endoscopic resection of GHPs                            |
| GHP without dysplasia or cancer, asymptomatic and small (< 5 mm) - surveillance not recommended |
| GHP symptomatic or larger than 5 mm - endoscopic resection recommended |
| GHP with dysplasia or cancer - endoscopic or surgical resection recommended |
| GHP not classified for removal due to the risk of postsurgical complications - periodic gastroscopies with representative biopsies every 1-2 yr |
| GHP in patients with high risk of gastric cancer¹ - gastroscopies every 1-2 yr |
| GHP with dysplasia outside the polyp - consider subtotal gastrectomy and gastroscopies every 1-3 yr |
| After endoscopic resection of GHPs                             |
| After complete resection of GHP with dysplasia - gastroscopy 1 yr later, and then depending on the clinical situation |
| After complete resection of GHP with early gastric cancer - gastroscopy 1 yr after and then 3 yr after |
| After incomplete resection of GHP with gastric cancer - consider gastrectomy with lymphadenectomy |

¹Family history of gastric cancer or OLGA 3-4 on histopathological examination. GHP: Gastric hyperplastic polyp.
and GHP management may increase the efficacy of treatment of gastric polyps in everyday clinical practice.
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