Genetic diversity of sugarcane hybrid cultivars by RAPD markers
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Abstract Genetic diversity among sugarcane hybrids (Saccharum spp) is pre-requisite for sugarcane improvement through breeding. Twelve decamer oligonucleotide random-amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers were utilized to investigate the genetic potential among 24 sugarcane cultivars. A total of 120 fragments were originated by 12 RAPD primers. An average number of fragments were obtained as 11.42 fragments per cultivar, which ranged from 4 to 21 fragments. The genetic similarity among 24 sugarcane cultivars ranged from 0.236 to 0.944 with the mean similarity value of 0.508. On the basis of phylogenetic analysis based on dendrogram, the cultivars were clustered into five groups. Two varieties Co 0118 and CoS 07250 were found as highly diverse sugarcane cultivars. Three most popular cultivars viz, Co 0238, Co 1158, and CoS 08272 were clustered a diverse among particular group. These clusters with their diverse genealogy indicated the influence of parental genome contribution to clustering. Diverse varieties developed for east region were grouped in the separate clusters which indicated the influence of adaptation of varieties to particular agro-climatic condition. Hence, these five diverse hybrid cultivars would be used in further breeding program to get the prominent sugarcane clones which may produced higher cane yield and sugar content.
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Introduction

Modern sugarcane varieties are poly-aneuploid hybrids with unequal contribution from S. officinarum (80–90%) and S. spontaneum (10–20%) parental genomes and a small percentage of recombinant chromosomes (Jisen et al. 2013). Commercial sugarcane varieties have complex large poly-aneuploid genome that creates difficulty in breeding efforts (Cunff et al. 2008). Over the past two decades, studies utilizing various molecular techniques to unravel the complexity of this important crop species have provided a greater understanding of its complex genetic composition (Rossi et al. 2003).

Most of the sugarcane varieties are breed of S. spontaneum and S. officinarum. To minimize the negative effects of S. spontaneum and to retain the high sucrose producing ability of S. officinarum during crosses, a series of back-crosses were made between the inter-specific hybrids and the S. officinarum parents. This led to the “nobilization” of Saccharum spp. hybrids (Sreenivasan et al. 1987). This was a major breakthrough in sugarcane varietal improvement programs in the terms of improved sugar productivity, high disease resistance, and high ratooning ability. Although nobilization was highly successful, but due to limits of the gene pool exploited during the traditional breeding programs, very limited progress has been achieved in increasing sugar content (Pan et al. 2004; Singh et al. 2015).

The limited number of sugarcane parental clones involved in the crosses. Subsequently, the narrow genetic base of the modern varieties is reflected in the slow progress in sugarcane breeding program. The utilization of genetic variability of sugarcane commercial cultivars, related genera, Saccharum complex is more essential for further breeding study. Knowledge of genetic diversity
among adapted cultivars or elite breeding materials has a considerable impact on the improvement of crop plants. It can be obtained from pedigree analysis, morphological traits or using molecular markers (Mohammadi and Prasanna 2003). Molecular markers offer the best estimate of genetic diversity, since they are independent of the perplexing effects of environmental factors. Molecular markers have been widely used for the characterization of germplasm in a variety of crops including sugarcane. Various types of markers such as DNA-based markers which include restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), random-amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD), amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP), microsatellite or simple sequence repeat (SSR) etc. have been used in these studies for the analysis of phylogeny, inter-species relationships, and genetic diversity among the Saccharum species, related genera and their hybrids (Sharma et al. 2014; Ahmed and Gardezi 2017). In the light of this information, the phylogenetic and genetic variability analysis of sugarcane commercial cultivars has been proposed for the identification of potential specific groups and for optimising hybridisation and selection procedures for evaluating elite genotypes.

Materials and methods

Plant material

Commercial cultivars used in the experiment were grown at Sugarcane Research Institute. Twenty four Indian commercial hybrid cultivars viz, CoS 96260, Co 98014, CoS 767, CoS 802, CoJ 64, CoSe 92423, CoSe 03234, Co 0118, CoS 510, CoS 08272, CoS 95255, CoS 88230, CoSe 98231, Co 05011, CoS 8436, CoS 8432, CoS 07250, Co 1158, CoS 96268, Co 0238, UP 05125, CoSe 01235, CoS 96275, and Co 1007 were documented for experimentation, which are the commercial cultivars of tropical and subtropical parts of the Indian subcontinents (Table 1).

DNA extraction and genotyping

A total of 24 promising sugarcane cultivars including early and mid maturing were carried out for DNA extraction. Genomic DNA was extracted from young disease-free, immature leaves of all the 24 sugarcane varieties using modified CTAB method. Leaf samples (500 mg) were grounded to fine powder in liquid nitrogen and were transferred into 10 ml prewarmed CTAB buffer containing 2% (w/v) CTAB, 20 mM EDTA, 1.4 M NaCl, 100 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), and 0.2% (v/v) β-mercaptoethanol and were incubated at 60 °C for 1 hour followed by incubation at room temperature for 15 min. Equal volume of chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (24:1) was added and the mixture was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 min. After centrifugation aqueous phase was pipetted out in autoclaved tube. Equal volume of chilled isopropanol was added into aqueous phase to precipitate the DNA. Precipitated threads of DNA were pipetted out in microtube. White pellet was washed with 70% alcohol and air dried, and stored in 10 mM Tris. Unpurified DNA was treated with RNAse for 1 h at 37 °C and was purified by phenol extraction (25 phenol:24 chloroform:1 isoamyl alcohol, v/v/v) followed by ethanol precipitation. Quantification for working DNA was determined @ 25 ng/μl by agarose gel electrophoresis using known concentration of λ DNA as standard.

RAPD amplification and agarose gel electrophoresis

Twelve decamer oligonucleotide random-amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers were utilized to investigate the genetic diversity among 24 sugarcane cultivars (Table 2). PCR was performed in the total volume of 25 μl containing 1 μl of genomic DNA as template, 2.5 μl of 10× PCR buffer, 0.25 μl each dNTPs (10 mM), 1 μl of decamer primer (15 ng/μl), 1 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Genei), and rest PCR water. The optimized PCR conditions for RAPD analyses consisted of an initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min followed by 44 cycles of 60 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 37 °C, and 60 s at 72 °C and finally an extension of 7 min at 72 °C. PCR reactions were carried out on a Geneai Thermal Cycler. The amplified products were separated by horizontal electrophoresis on a 1.5% (w/v) Agarose gel using 1× TBE buffer (pH 8.0) and 0.5 μg/ml ethidium bromide. Samples were electrophoresed at 100 V current for 4 h, and photographed under UV. The PCR analysis was conducted twice.

Data analysis

Clearly resolved bands were manually scored for their presence (1) or absence (0). Phylogenetic analysis among all the cultivars was computed using Jaccard’s similarity coefficient using implemented module in the FreeTree software and clustered using the unweighted pair group method (UPGMA). The TreeView software was used for interactive visualization of the dendrogram.

Results and discussion

Fragments analysis

A total of 120 amplified fragments were well resolved generated by 12 decamer oligonucleotide RAPD primers. An average number of fragments were obtained as 11.42
fragments per cultivar, which were ranged from 4 (CoS 96268) to 21 (UP 05125) fragments. Primer K8 produced 21 bands from 24 diverse cultivars. The fragment sizes were ranged from 54 bp to 1172 bp using RAPD primers (Fig 1). The number and range of markers amplified in these sugarcane hybrids are higher or equal than reported earlier elsewhere (Piperidis 2003) and comparable with Indian sugarcane clones (Selvi et al. 2003; Hemaprabha et al. 2006). The high number of amplifications obtained in the species is possibly due to its polyploid origin and large genome size. It is probably due to large polyploid genome which may have more amplification sites. The fragments amplified were highly diverse revealing a high degree of polymorphism among the cultivars, which is not unusual for the genomes of polyploid species. The high level of polymorphism exhibited by the cultivars genome for RAPD fragments has been attributed to the high polyploidy, heterozygosity (Nair et al. 2002a, b). In comparison, an automated AFLP analysis of sugarcane germplasm detected 150 bands per primer combination (Besse and McIntyre 1998). In a previous study using RAPD and STMS markers, 15 primers amplified 221 fragments were screened in their study with sugarcane hybrids (Saravanakumar et al. 2014).

Sugarcane cultivars show a range of variation in their parentage, cytotypes and adaptation. Since several agronomically important traits such as vigour, tolerance to biotic and abiotic stress are inherent in this species, it is considered to be the most important germplasm source in sugarcane breeding programs (Roach 1978). It has been widely accepted that the genetic variability among the modern sugarcane cultivars is largely due to their parentage diversification present in their genome (D’Hont et al. 1996).

### Phylogenetic and cluster analysis

The RAPD pattern was clustered into five distinctive groups based on their diversification analysis. Robustness of clusters in the dendrogram was tested using Bootstraps analysis. The varieties Co 0118 and CoS 07250 were computed as diverse nature of genetic potential based on their parentages, comprising in an independent cluster under group first and second, respectively. Third cluster was divided into three sub-groups. The varieties Co 0238, Co 05011, CoS 8436, CoS 8432, and CoSe 98231 were grouped together in first sub-cluster. CoS 8436 and CoS 95255 showed close relationship may be due to the same parentage (Co 1158 × Co 62198). CoS 8436 and Co 05011 were closely associated due to parent. Likewise, CoS 88230 and CoSe 92423 also comprised in the same group, due to common male parent (Co 775). Five respective cultivars, namely, Co 1158, CoS 96268, CoS 95255, CoS 96275, and CoSe 01235, were grouped in the second sub-cluster. Similarly, CoS 96268 and CoS 95255 were more similar due to the same parent (Co 1158 × Co 62198). The third cluster contained CoS 08272, CoS 510, CoS 96260, CoSe 92423, and CoSe 03234 in the third sub-cluster. All sub-groups of third clusters were comprised of 16 varieties, and these varieties had widely used in previous breeding program. Fourth cluster comprised only three cultivars Co 98014, CoS 8436, and CoS 08272, were grouped in the fourth sub-cluster. The varieties Co 98014, Co 05011, and Co 08272 were found a diverse among particular sub-group. The varieties Co 0238 and Co 0118 having lower pollen fertility may be used as female parentage and CoS 8436 and CoSe 92423 having higher pollen fertility may be used as male parentage due to their diverse nature (Fig. 2).

| Sl. No. | Varieties | Parentage | Sl. No. | Varieties | Parentage |
|--------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| 1       | Co 0238   | CoLk 8102 × Co 775 | 13     | CoS 96275 | CoS 8119 × Co 62198 |
| 2       | CoS 07250 | CoS 8436 × Co 775 | 14     | CoS 96260 | CoS 767 × Co 453  |
| 3       | Co 0118   | Co 8347 × Co 86011 | 15     | CoSe 92423 | BO 91 × Co 453 |
| 4       | Co 1007   | Co 683 × P 63/32   | 16     | CoSe 03234 | BO 91 GC     |
| 5       | Co 1158   | Co 421 × Co 419   | 17     | CoS 95255 | Co 1158 × Co 62198 |
| 6       | CoS 767   | Co 419 × Co 313   | 18     | CoS 96268 | Co 1158 × Co 62198 |
| 7       | Co 64     | Co 976 × Co 617   | 19     | CoS 08272 | CoSe 92423 GC   |
| 8       | CoS 510   | Co 453 × Co 557   | 20     | Co 05011  | CoS 8436 × Co 89003 |
| 9       | CoS 802   | Co 419 × Co 658   | 21     | CoSe 98231 | CoS 7927 × Co 775 |
| 10      | CoS 8432  | MS 68/47 × Co 1148 | 22     | CoSe 01235 | CoS 8119 × Co 62198 |
| 11      | CoS 88230 | Co 1148 × Co 775  | 23     | Co 98014  | CoS 8436 × Co 8213 |
| 12      | CoS 8436  | MS 68/47 × Co 1148 | 24     | UP 05125  | GRL28/92 × Co 92423 |
The Jaccard’s similarity indices based on RAPD profiles were subjected to UPGMA analysis. The dendrogram revealed the genetic similarity among the twenty four (24) sugarcane varieties, which were ranged from 0.236 to 0.94 with the mean similarity value of 0.508. This wide range of variation indicated high variability among the parental lines. The maximum similarity was calculated 0.94 between CoSe 01235 and CoSe 95255, CoS 96275, and CoSe 01235. The range of variation of inter genetic similarity was calculated from 0.28 to 0.94 with an average of 0.51. High genetic similarity among the sugarcane hybrid varieties grown in India (Hemaprabha et al. 2006; Nair et al. 2002a, b; Selvi et al. 2005a, b) and among the foreign varieties were reported by earlier researchers (Pan et al. 2004; Afghan et al. 2005; Alvi et al. 2008).

The values of genetic diversity parameters across the populations indicate that the genetic variation intra population (0.94) was much larger than that of inter population (0.51). This also indicates the wide genetic diversity among the cultivars of different groups than those of same cluster. This suggested that genotypes occupying the same cluster have little diversity and selection of parents from within the cluster may not be considered promising for the development of elite sugarcane clones through hybridization program (Selvi et al. 2005a, b; Goel et al. 2005). A practical way that breeders could use genetic similarity among cultivars in breeding programs is to select the most genetically distant/similar genotypes among all possible cross combinations. In the present study, all 23 sugarcane cultivars in common parents group showed relatively lower diversity, compared with the diverse cultivars Co 0118 exhibited a new parents group. The other parentage, namely, CoS 07250, Co 0238, CoS 08272, CoS 98014, and UP 05125, showed also diversity nature within own clusters. The diverse varieties Co 0118 and Co 0238 having lower pollen fertility may be used as female parentage and CoS 0118, CoS 8436, CoS 8432, CoS 07250, Co 1158, CoS 96268, Co 0238, UP 05125, CoSe 01235, CoS 96275, Co 1007.

Table 2 Description of 12 decamer oligonucleotide RAPD markers

| Sl. No. | Name of primers | Sequence (5'-3') |
|--------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1      | A1             | AGT CAG CCA C   |
| 2      | A6             | GGT CCC TGA C   |
| 3      | A10            | GTG ATC GCA G   |
| 4      | B1             | GTT TCG CTC C   |
| 5      | B8             | GTC CAC ACG G   |
| 6      | J4             | GAA TGC GAC C   |
| 7      | J14            | ACC GAT GCT G   |
| 8      | J17            | ACC CCC TAT G   |
| 9      | J18            | GGC TAG GTG G   |
| 10     | J19            | ACA GTG GCC T   |
| 11     | J20            | ACA CGT GGT C   |
| 12     | K8             | CTG TCA TGC C   |
8436 and CoSe 92423 having higher pollen fertility used as male parentage due to their diverse nature. It indicates that the innovation of parents has showed a positive role in sugarcane breeding programs, since the group of new parents has higher genetic diversity, and thus, it will to some degree benefit the broadening of the genetic basis in sugarcane hybridization (Selvi et al. 2005a, b).

High level of genetic similarity revealed among the cultivars needed an urgent need to deploy genetically diverse genetic parents in sugarcane breeding program to broaden the genetic base of the cultivated varieties. Hence, introgression of diverse parents including new sugarcane hybrids must be increased to improve new clone which resistant to major pests and diseases. Consequently, it can be concluded that genetic similarity of the hybrids is primarily decided by their pedigree. In addition, selection of varieties for specific agro-climatic condition also influences genetic relatedness of the varieties.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

Afghan S, Haider MS, Shah AH, Rashid N, Iqbal J, Tahir M, Akhtar M (2005) Detection of genetic diversity among sugarcane (Saccharum sp.) genotypes using random amplified polymorphic DNA markers. Sugar Cane Int 23:15–19

Ahmed, Gardezi SD (2017) Molecular characterization of locally adopted sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum L.) varieties using microsatellite markers. J Anim Plant Sci 27(1):164–174

Alvi AK, Iqbal J, Shah AH, Pan YB (2008) DNA based genetic variation for red rot resistance in sugarcane. Pak J Bot 40(2):1419–1425

Besse P, McIntyre CL (1998) Isolation and characterization of repeated DNA sequences from Erianthus spp. (Sacccharinaceae: Andropogoneae). Genome 41:408–416

Cunfi LL, Garsmeur O, Raboin LM, Paquet J, Telsmart H, Selvi A, Grivet L, Philippe R, Begum D, Dea M, Costet L, Wing R, Glaszmann JC, D’Hont A (2008) Diploid/polyploid syntenic shuttle mapping and haplotype-specific chromosome walking towards a rust resistance gene (Bra1) in highly polyploid sugarcane (2n = 12 x 115). Genetics 180:649–660

D’Hont A, Grivet L, Feldmann P, Glaszmann JC, Rao S and Berding N (1996) Characterisation of the double genome structure of modern sugarcane cultivars (Saccharum spp.) by molecular cytogenetics. Mol General Gene 250:405–413

Goel P, Swati Sharma PK, Srivastava K (2005) Genetic divergence in an elite germplasm collection of wheat (Triticum spp.). Crop Improv 32:114–120

Hemaprabha G, Natarajan US, Balasundaram N, Singh NK (2006) STMS based genetic divergence among common parents and its use in identifying productive cross combinations for varietal evolution in sugarcane (Saccharum sp.). Sugar Cane Int 24:22–27

Jisen Z, Marvellous Z, James W, Ming R (2013) Sugarcane genetics and genomics. In: Sugarcane: physiology, biochemistry, and functional biology, pp 623–643

Mohammadi SA, Prasanna BM (2003) Review and interpretation analysis of genetic diversity in crop plants—Salient Statistical Tools. Crop Sci 43:1235–1248

Nair NV, Selvi A, Sreenivasan TV, Pushpalatha KN (2002a) Molecular diversity in Indian sugarcane varieties as revealed by randomly amplified DNA polymorphisms. Euphytica 127:219–225

Nair NV, Selvi A, Sreenivasan TV, Pushpalatha KN (2002b) Molecular diversity in Indian sugarcane cultivars as revealed by the random amplified DNA polymorphisms. Euphytica 127:219–225

Pan YB, Burner DM, Legendre BL, Grisham MP, White WH (2004) An assessment of genetic diversity within a collection of Saccharum spontaneum L. with RAPD-PCR. Genet Resour Crop Evol 51:895–903

Piperidis G (2003) Progress towards evaluation of SSR as a tool for sugarcane variety identification. In: ISSCT. IV. Mol. Biol. Workshop, Montpellier, France

Roach BT (1978) Utilization of Saccharum spontaneum in sugarcane breeding. Proc Internat Soc Sugarcane Technol 16:43–58

Rossi M, Araujo PG, Paulet F, Garsmeur O, Dias VM, Chen H, Van Sluys MA, D’Hont AD (2003) Genomic distribution and characterization of EST-derived resistance gene analogs (RGAs) in sugarcane. Mol Genet Genom 269:406–419

Saravanakumar K, Govindaraj P, Appunu C, Senthilkumar S, Kumar R (2014) Analysis of genetic diversity in high biomass producing sugarcane hybrids (Saccharum spp. complex) using RAPD and STMS markers. Indian J Biotechnol 13:214–220

Selvi A, Nair NV, Balasundaram N, Mohapatra T (2003) Evaluation of maize microsatellite markers for genetic diversity analysis and fingerprinting in sugarcane. Genome 46:394–403

Selvi A, Nair NV, Noyer JL, Singh NK, Balasundaram N, Bansal KC, Koundal KR, Mohapatra T (2005a) Genomic constitution and genetic relationship among the tropical and subtropical Indian sugarcane cultivars revealed by AFLP. Crop Sci 45:1750–1757

Selvi A, Nair NV, Noyer JL, Singh NK, Balasundaram N, Bansal KC, Koundal KR, Mohapatra T (2005b) Genomic constitution and genetic relationship among the tropical and subtropical Indian sugarcane cultivars revealed by AFLP. Crop Sci 45:1750–1755

Sharma MS, Dobhal U, Singh P, Kumar S, Gaur AK, Singh SP, Jeena AS, Koshy EP, Kumar S (2014) Assessment of genetic diversity among sugarcane cultivars using novel microsatellite markers. Afr J Biotechnol 13(13):1444–1451

Singh SP, Nigam A, Singh RK (2015) Significant role of molecular markers in sugarcane improvement. Agric 4(2):67–78

Sreenivasan TV, Ahloowalia BS, Heinz DJ (1987) Cytogenetics. In: Heinz DJ (ed) Sugarcane improvement through breeding. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 211–253