Present and future of the TeV astronomy with Cherenkov telescopes
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Abstract. Cherenkov telescopes play a major role in the growth of the TeV Astronomy which, in 20 years, has reached the status of an important branch of Astrophysics, because of the observations of the violent, non thermal processes in the extreme band of the electromagnetic spectrum above several tens of GeV up to several tens of TeV. About one hundred extragalactic sources (Active Galactic Nuclei, blazars, and radiogalaxies) and Galactic sources (shell supernovae remnants, pulsar wind nebulae, isolated pulsars, X-ray binaries, and unidentified sources) have been detected so far. In the near future, an ambitious new array, the Cherenkov Compton Telescope (CTA) will substitute the present Cherenkov telescopes arrays. CTA is designed as an array of many (50–100) Cherenkov telescopes operated in stereo mode. CTA will allow to gain a factor of 10 in sensitivity with respect to the present arrays such as H.E.S.S., MAGIC, and VERITAS. Moreover, CTA will connect the TeV to the GeV energy band covered by space missions such as Fermi and AGILE, and will also explore the highest energy region of the electromagnetic spectrum up to several hundreds of TeV.
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1. Introduction: The role of the Cherenkov telescopes in the TeV astronomy

TeV astronomy deals with the observation of the emission from celestial objects from several tens of GeV up to hundreds of TeV. TeV astronomy was born in 1989, when the Whipple group reported the observation of TeV γ-rays from the Crab Nebula using the atmospheric Cherenkov imaging technique (Weekes et al. 1989). Twenty years later, about 100 TeV sources have been discovered.

Figure 1 shows a sky map of the TeV sources where both extragalactic and galactic objects belonging to different astrophysical classes are present: blazars, radio-galaxies, pulsar wind nebulae (PWN), supernova remnants, binary systems, and unidentified sources. The experiments which allowed this remarkable growth of the TeV astronomy belong to two main categories: 1) particles detectors, which measure, at the detector level, the particles of electromagnetic showers originated by an individual high energy γ-ray; and 2) Cherenkov telescopes, which measure the interaction of the electromagnetic cascade with the terrestrial atmosphere, imaging the lateral distribution of...
the charged relativistic particles. With respect to the particle detectors, Cherenkov telescopes reach a better performance in the γ/hadrons discrimination power, in the low energy threshold, and in the angular resolution which can reach few arc-minutes when used in arrays. Due to this improved sensitivity, Cherenkov telescopes gave the major contribution to the TeV Astronomy development. Table 1 shows the main characteristics of the three most sensitive Cherenkov telescope arrays operating today in the world. H.E.S.S. and VERITAS, in the southern and northern hemisphere, respectively, have similar characteristics, since they operate in the energy range between 100 GeV and 10 TeV. The excellent sensitivity reached by H.E.S.S. allowed the discovery of the majority of the TeV sources known up to now. On the other hand, the main characteristics of MAGIC is its 17-m light-collector dish which allows to reach very low energy threshold, nearly at the boundary of the upper energy range of the γ-ray spectra collected by AGILE and Fermi space missions. Therefore, the best performance of MAGIC is in the observation and study of AGNs and pulsars where the
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Fig. 1. TeV sources sky map (Energy > 0.1 TeV). From http://www.mppmu.mpg.de/~rwagner/sources/index.html

Table 1. Main characteristics of the three most sensitive Cherenkov telescope arrays. *25 GeV with special triggers.

| H.E.S.S. | MAGIC | VERITAS |
|----------|-------|---------|
| Site     | Namibia | Canary Island | Arizona |
| Latitude [°] | -25 | +29 | +32 |
| Height [km] | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.3 |
| N. of telescopes | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| Telescope diameter [m] | 12 | 17 | 12 |
| Field of View [°] | 5.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Low energy threshold [GeV] | 100 | 50* | 100 |
| Sensitivity [mCrab] | 7 | < 10 | 10 |
emission is more concentrated in the low energy range due to the extragalactic background light (EBL) effect for the AGNs and to the spectra cut-off for the pulsars. Figure 2 shows the MAGIC telescopes.

2. TeV Sources

2.1. Extragalactic sources

More than 1/3 of the TeV sources are Active Galactic Nuclei (AGNs), mainly Blazars together with several radio-galaxies. In several blazars, the sub-TeV high energy $\gamma$-ray emission is coupled to a strong emission at lower energy (X-ray or UV). The strong temporal correlation between the TeV and the X-ray energy bands suggests a unique parent population for electrons emitting both inverse Compton in the very high energy $\gamma$-rays and synchrotron radiation in the X-ray and UV. The electrons are accelerated along a relativistic jet pointing towards the observer (Tavecchio et al. 1998). Altogether, the unusual lack of temporal correlation found in some AGNs (e.g. 1ES 1959+650, Krawczynski et al. 2004) supports for the alternative hadronic models for the origin of the TeV emission (Mücke et al. 2003).

The presence of the extragalactic background light confines the TeV AGN visibility. For this reason, the detection of 3C 279 by MAGIC (MAGIC Collaboration et al. 2008a) puts severe constraints on the opacity of the Universe, as shown in Figure 2. Excluding 3C 279, whose redshift is $z = 0.5362$, typical $z$ values are spread in the interval 0.0–0.2. Constraints on the EBL intensity can be derived by the AGN spectral cut-off assuming an intrinsic AGN emission spectrum (Raue et al. 2009). Systematic errors in the EBL limit derive by the intrinsic spectral shape assumed and only a greater number of blazars detected at redshift higher than 0.5 can provide more re-
liable constraints to the EBL. Despite the fact that the EBL also limits the detection of very high energy γ-rays from GRBs, several efforts have been carried out to detect them in the sub-TeV energy band. Up to now, only upper limits are provided by H.E.S.S., VERITAS and MAGIC. Expectation for MAGIC to detect GRB is due to its low energy threshold which increases the acceptance circle at $z = 0.2–0.5$, and for its technical capability of fast re-pointing the telescope following a GRB alert.

2.2. Galactic sources

The majority of TeV sources are Galactic objects confined at low latitude, at a few kiloparsec distance, and in some case extended. Galactic source classes include: shell type supernova remnants (see Figure 4), pulsar wind nebulae, binary systems, the Galactic Center, and unidentified sources (Hinton & Hofmann 2009). The TeV SNR emission is linked to the cosmic ray paradigm and allows the unambiguous identification of the sites where cosmic particles are accelerated and diffused. The first-order acceleration process is a very efficient mechanism to accelerate particles up to $10^{15}$ eV to produce γ-rays by inverse Compton (electrons) or by interaction with nuclei (hadrons).

PWNs constitute a relatively large (about 20 objects) important class of Galactic sources in which the TeV emission seems to be produced by inverse Compton of particles accelerated in the pulsar magnetosphere. One of these sources is the Crab Nebula. An important recent result is the discovery by MAGIC of a pulsed component of the high γ-ray photons above 25 GeV (Aliu et al. 2008). Currently, the Crab is used as standard candle for the Cherenkov telescopes calibrations. The recent discovery by AGILE (Tavani et al. 2010) and its confirmation by Fermi/LAT (Buehler et al. 2010) of an unexpected flare at energy above 100 MeV from a region consistent with the Crab Nebula itself challenges the current theoretical models, opening new scenarios, and arises severe warnings on the past and future calibration procedures.

H.E.S.S. discovered TeV emission from three binary systems, i.e.: PSR B 1259−63 (Aharonian et al. 2005b), LS 5039 (Aharonian et al. 2005a), and LS I +61 303 (Albert et al. 2006).

The stellar-mass black-hole binary Cygnus X–1 has been detected by MAGIC only in one episodic flare and it is unclear whether this emission shows a periodicity or not (Albert et al. 2007). The flux variability exhibited by this class of objects could be the reason why the TeV emission by other binaries detected in the past by other exper-
ments has not been confirmed up to now. In the Galactic Center region the position of the source H.E.S.S. unidentified source HESS J1745−290 is, within the error circle, coincident with the position of the supermassive black hole Sgr A*, even if other alternative identifications cannot be excluded, because of the high number of sources in the Galactic Center (Tsuchiya et al. 2004; Acero et al. 2010). Moreover, H.E.S.S. performed a survey of the Milky Way. As result of this survey, together with serendipitous discoveries in several fields of known sources, a high number of new sources have been discovered. The majority of them do not have any counterpart at other wavelengths. More than 20 sources remain unidentified, many of them are point-like Galactic sources, with the exception of HESS J1303-6 which appears to be extended (Aharonian et al. 2005c).

2.3. Fundamental Physics: Search for dark matter annihilation and for Lorentz invariance violation.

Very high-energy \(\gamma\)-ray photons could be tracers of dark matter because they are the result of the elastic scattering with the matter or of the self-annihilation of the weakly-interacting massive particles (WIMPs), the most popular component of the dark matter. The WIMPs mass range lies in the \(\gamma\)-ray energy band, up to a few hundred of GeV, making Cherenkov Telescopes potential detectors of this phenomenon.

The extreme energy band of the TeV Astronomy, several orders of magnitude from the optical or infrared astronomy, can be used to verify the Lorentz-invariance violation of the speed of light induced by the Quantum Gravity effect. The experimental way is to measure a time lag between low (optical/infrared) and high energy (TeV) photons emitted in a short pulse by a distant cosmological source. Up to now only preliminary results were obtained (Aharonian et al. 2008; MAGIC Collaboration et al. 2008b; Martínez & Errando 2009). Future Cherenkov Compton Arrays, with their improved sensitivity, will be more suitable for these kinds of investigations in the fields of fundamental physics and cosmology.

3. The CTA, as future, open, big infrastructure for the TeV astronomy

3.1. The CTA array

Thanks to several X-ray and \(\gamma\)-ray observatories already in orbit (e.g., Chandra, XMM-Newton, Swift, Fermi, AGILE) and to many ground- and space-based optical and infrared telescopes (e.g., REM, HST, SPITZER), it will be possible to study the Universe, for the first time, all over the electromagnetic spectrum almost simultaneously. In such a scenario, a new generation of ground-based VHE \(\gamma\)-ray instruments is needed in order to significantly improve the sensitivity, the observed energy band, the field of view, and to reduce the integration time. The next generation Cherenkov Telescope Array will significantly improve the performance of the current VHE telescopes in the following lines:

- Gain one order of magnitude in sensitivity at 1 TeV (1 m Crab), increasing the number of the detected TeV sources of a factor of 10–100 and allowing accurate study of fundamental physics phenomena.
– Reach 10–20 GeV as the lower energy threshold to link the TeV with the GeV spectra as measured from space missions. This is particularly important for AGN, EBL studies and GRB detection.
– Reach 100–200 TeV as the upper energy threshold, in order to open the possibility to investigate the sites where the cosmic rays are accelerated and diffused, at about the “knee” energy of CR spectrum.
– Improve the angular resolution, to pursue morphological studies of extended sources, also in correlation with other wavelengths, and to restrict the error boxes of the unidentified sources, allowing an easier identification.
– Enlarge the field of view, to carry-out faster surveys, increasing the number of serendipitous discoveries, and to perform simultaneous observations of extended sources and wide sky zones for dark matter searches.

The international scientific community operating in the TeV astronomy by now considers the idea mature to go beyond experiments such as H.E.S.S., VERITAS, and MAGIC towards a new, much larger, Cherenkov Telescope Array characterized by a very large number of telescopes, with new technological improvements both for mirrors and for sensors, and to open this Facility to all the scientists as an Observatory on the basis of the scientific merit. Figure 5 shows the sensitivity foreseen for CTA, spanning five decades in energy, compared with the current experiments.

3.2. The Cherenkov Telescope optical design

Traditional Cherenkov telescopes follow the single-reflection Davies-Cotton like (DC) design. The DC design consists of a large mirror, which concentrates the Cherenkov light flash on a segmented camera. In the current Cherenkov telescopes, each camera pixel is a single photo-multiplier (PMT) capable to detect very fast signals at the nanosecond level. Figure 7 shows a Cherenkov telescope model proposed for the CTA SST sub-array (Ho\'ffman & Martinez 2010).

An alternative design to the single-reflection telescope has been studied for the Advanced Gamma-ray Imaging System (AGIS) array (Vassiliev et al. 2007), consisting of 36 dual-mirror Schwarzschild-Couder (SC) telescopes. One of the advantages of using the SC design with respect to the DC is the higher angular resolution reachable by each single telescope. From the point of view of feasibility and costs of the two designs, single-mirror telescopes are easier to manufacture, because of their larger curvature radius, but the large focal length introduces a complex and heavy camera placed at large distance from the dish structure. For the same collecting area, dual-mirror telescopes have a more compact structure and a smaller camera, with the possibility of using multi-pixel sensors. Unfortunately, because of the shorter focal length, mirrors have stronger curvatures and, therefore, they can be manufactured only by means of more complex technologies. All in all, single-mirror telescopes are more affordable for the mirror costs, while dual-mirror telescopes are more affordable for the camera and structure costs. Figure 8 shows...
Fig. 6. Artist’s view of the CTA, with three different telescopes types covering the overlapping energy ranges, and area coverage which increases with increasing $\gamma$-ray energy. [Hoffman & Martínez 2010]

a dual-mirror telescope proposed by INAF scientists (Canestrari et al., 2010, private communication) for the CTA SST sub-array, as an alternative design to the one shown in Figure 7. Within the first year of the Preparatory Phase, the CTA Consortium will do the final choice between the two alternative models.

Fig. 7. The Davies-Cotton design. [Hoffman & Martínez 2010]

4. The CTA consortium and the Italian participation

The goal of CTA Consortium is to build a ground-based facility capable to measure electromagnetic radiation from sky at energies between 10 GeV and hundreds of TeV with unprecedented sensitivity, energy interval, and angular resolution. Unlike the present arrays (H.E.S.S., MAGIC, VERITAS) conceived as experiments, CTA will be operated as an Observatory open to scientists of the world scientific communities including astronomy and astrophysics, cosmology, astroparticle physics, and particle physics. CTA will explore the sky participating to multi-wavelength studies with radio, infrared, optical, X-rays and $\gamma$-rays facilities extending to very high energies the electromagnetic spectrum to probe the extreme processes in the Universe.

The CTA Consortium is composed of more than 100 scientific Institutions belonging to 22 countries: 14 from the European Union (Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Italy, Ireland, Holland, Poland, Spain, Sweden and United Kingdom), 3 European (Armenia, Croatia and Switzerland) and 5 extra-european (Argentina, Japan, Namibia, South Africa and United States of America). In fieri is the participation from Brasil, India, and Slovenia. Since 2008, CTA is in the road-map of the European Strategy Forum on Research and Infrastructure (ESFRI). In 2009 CTA has been evaluated by ASPERA as one of the seven
most important European projects for the Astro-particle physics. In 2010, the European Commission approved the Preparatory Phase CTA Programme in the framework of the FP7-INFRASTRUCTURES-2010-1 and funded it for 5.2 MEuro. The Preparatory Phase starts on October 1th, 2010 and will end on September 30th, 2013. In 2013 it is foreseen the start of the construction phase, while in 2018 all the infrastructure will be completed. The scientific activity of CTA can be carried out before the completion of the total array, starting from when it is only 10% complete.

The Italian participation to the CTA Consortium includes INAF (scientific Institutes of Bologna, Catania, Milano, Padova, Palermo, Roma, Torino, and TNG) and the Universities of Padova, Siena, and Udine. The Italian contribution is in the mirror technology and production, sensors (Multi Anode PMTs and SiPM) electronics, design of the SST, data handling, and governance. INAF is also responsible of the industrial procurement activity.
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