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Meiosis is a specialized cell division program that results in the formation of haploid gametes (i.e., sperm and eggs) from diploid parental cells, and is essential for all sexually reproducing organisms. Crossover formation, the reciprocal exchange of genetic information during recombination, is critical for accurate meiotic chromosome segregation. Misregulation of crossover formation leads to genomic instability and aneuploidy (cells with the incorrect number of chromosomes), resulting in tumorigenesis, birth defects, miscarriages, and infertility in humans. Recently, a shuriken/Swiss army knife-like multi-nuclease complex has been implicated in processing various types of DNA repair intermediates. However, how these nucleases coordinate their functions during repair remained unclear. Our studies in *C. elegans* revealed genetic redundancies between these nucleases for meiotic crossover formation and that they promote distinct crossover control at different chromosome regions. Specifically, XPF-1 acts redundantly with both MUS-81 and SLX-1 to resolve Holliday junction recombination intermediates into crossover products at designated future crossover sites on chromosome arms. In contrast, SLX-1 is required for suppression of crossovers at the center region of chromosomes. Altogether, our studies have shed light on the interplay between structure-specific endonucleases and uncovered their ability to exert either positive or negative meiotic crossover control on a chromosome region-specific basis.

**Introduction**

Meiosis accomplishes the reduction of the chromosome number in half by following a single round of DNA replication with two consecutive rounds of cell division (meiosis I and II). The formation of crossovers via homologous recombination is essential for the production of chiasmata, physical attachments between homologous chromosomes, which secure their accurate separation at meiosis I. Failure in forming crossovers results in the missegregation of chromosomes at meiosis I and leads to infertility and miscarriages in adults as well as congenital abnormalities in newborns. Therefore, understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying the regulation of meiotic recombination is critical for human reproductive health.

Homologous recombination is initiated via induction of DNA double strand breaks (DSBs) by the conserved topoisomerase-like protein, SPO-11. DNA end resection and single-strand invasion of a homologous sequence, which serves as a repair template, leads to the formation of a recombination intermediate referred to as a double Holliday junction (dHJ). Resolution of the dHJs is the final step in homologous recombination and can result in either crossover or non-crossover formation. However, only crossovers will result in a physical attachment between homologs at meiosis.

The mechanism of homologous recombination is largely conserved from phage to humans. Recently, four kinds of HJ resolvases, MUS81 (Methyl
Methanesulfonate and UV Sensitive 81)-EME1 (Essential Meiotic Endonuclease 1)/Mms4 (Methyl Methanesulfonate Sensitive 4), SLX1 (Synthetic Lethal of unknown (X) function 1)-SLX4, XPF (Xeroderma Pigmentosum group F)-ERCC1 (Excision Repair Cross-Complementation group 1), and GEN1 (XPG-like Endonuclease 1) were identified by genetic and biochemical analysis. Mus81–Mms4 (also known as Slx3–Slx2) and Slx1–Slx4 were first identified in a synthetic lethal screen in budding yeast performed in the absence of Sgs1, the BLM ortholog.1 XPF–ERCC1 is known as a nuclease required for nucleotide excision repair. Two Notably, a MEI-9/XPF mutant in Drosophila exhibited a 90% decrease in meiotic crossover formation in a chromosome region-specific manner.

Conserved Protein–Protein Interactions Form a Molecular “Shuriken,” Which is a Multinuclease Complex for DNA Repair

A series of studies have recently identified various SLX4-interacting proteins (Table 1). In budding yeast, Slx4 was shown to interact with Slx1 and Rad1, a human XPF homolog. In flies, mice, and humans, SLX4 interacts with SLX1, MUS81, and XPF. In C. elegans, we found that HIM-18 interacts with SLX1, MUS-81, and XPF-1. More recently, SNM1B/Apollo, a member of the highly conserved metallo-β-lactamase super family of nucleases, which plays a central role in interstrand crosslink repair, has also been identified as an SLX4 interactor in human cells.17 These protein–protein interactions are reminiscent of the multipronged shuriken, a traditional weapon used by Ninjas (Fig. 1). An important remaining question is whether these proteins form a single complex or heterodimers with SLX4. In yeast, Slx1–Slx4 and Rad1–Slx4 exist in a mutually exclusive manner, while these same proteins form a single complex in mammals. Further studies will reveal the state of HIM-18/SLX4-associated nucleases, especially what combinations are formed between subunits and their substrate specificities in C. elegans.
HIM-18 and its associated nucleases in maintaining genomic integrity.

**XPF-1 Acts Redundantly With Both MUS-81 and SLX-1 to Promote Crossover Formation During Meiosis**

To investigate whether the structure-specific endonucleases have an overlapping role during crossover formation, we measured crossover frequencies along three chromosome regions (left arm, center, and right arm), encompassing approximately 97% of the whole lengths of chromosomes V and X. The boundaries between these chromosome regions have been defined by utilizing single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) present in the *C. elegans* Bristol and Hawaiian strains.8,21 Crossover frequencies were not affected in any of the *mus-81*, *xpf-1*, *slx-1*, and *gen-1* single mutants. However, crossover frequencies were significantly reduced in *mus-81;xpf-1* and *slx-1;xpf-1* double mutants on both chromosome V (65% and 81% of wild-type; \(P = 0.0041\) and \(P = 0.0013\), respectively, by the Fisher’s Exact Test) and the X chromosome (40% and 68% of wild-type; \(P = 4.85\times10^{-8}\) and \(P = 3.04\times10^{-5}\), respectively).8 Therefore, this analysis revealed that XPF-1 acts redundantly with both MUS-81 and SLX-1 to promote crossover formation during *C. elegans* meiosis (Fig. 2).

Our conclusion is also supported by the recent finding that MUS81-EME1 and SLX1-SLX4 act in the same pathway for HJ resolution in mice and human cells.10-12 In yeast, flies, and humans, a genetic interaction has been shown between GEN1 and MUS81-EME1.22-25 However, we could not find any evidence of a genetic interaction between these factors in *C. elegans*. Further studies will determine whether there are proteins compensating for the role of GEN1 in *C. elegans*. Interestingly, we observed that crossover frequencies were more reduced on the X chromosome compared with chromosome V.8 Gene expression is repressed along the X compared with the autosomes in the germline due to both meiosis-specific transcriptional silencing as well as dosage compensation that serves to halve transcription from both X chromosomes in hermaphrodites, equating it to the transcript levels stemming from the single X chromosome present in the X0 males.26-28 Therefore, there is higher nucleosome occupancy at X-linked gene promoters29 and an enrichment for histone modifications associated with transcriptional silencing detected on the X chromosome compared with the autosomes. This raises the interesting question of how chromatin state/architecture may influence the resolution of recombination intermediates.

**SLX-1 is Required for Suppression of Crossover Formation at the Center Region of the Autosomes**

Crossover formation does not occur randomly along chromosomes. For example, crossovers are formed at the arm regions, but are rarely formed at centromeres and telomeres, in many species.30-32 It is known that crossover formation is suppressed at the center region of chromosomes in *C. elegans* (Fig. 3).21,33 However, the molecular mechanism underlying this chromosome region-dependent difference in crossover regulation is not understood.

Among the structure-specific endonucleases, we found that only SLX-1 is required for suppression of crossover formation at the center region of chromosome V, which encompasses 51% of its whole length. Specifically, 36% of total crossovers are observed at the center region in *slx-1* mutants (1.7 cM/Mb),

|                          | *S. pombe* | *S. cerevisiae* | *C. elegans* | *D. melanogaster* | *M. musculus* | *H. sapiens* |
|--------------------------|------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------|
| SLX1-SLX4                | YES        | YES            | YES          | YES               | YES           | YES         |
| MUS81-EME1               | YES        | YES            | YES          | YES               | YES           | YES         |
| XPF-ERCC1                | YES        | YES            | YES          | YES               | YES           | YES         |
| MUS81-SLX4               | -          | -              | YES          | -                 | YES           | YES         |
| XPF-SLX4                 | -          | YES            | YES          | YES               | YES           | YES         |

Yes indicates positive interactions. – indicates no detected interactions.
compared with only 21% in wild-type (1.1 cM/Mb; \( P = 0.0312 \)). Nevertheless, the crossover frequency observed for the whole chromosome V is similar between \( slx-1 \) mutants (50 cM) and wild-type (48 cM). Interestingly, there are some distinct features between the arm and center regions of the chromosomes. First, while DNA repeat sequences and transposons are enriched at the arm regions, a high gene density is observed in the center region.34 Second, histone H3 lysine 9 methylation (H3K9me1/2/3), which is associated with heterochromatin, and the nuclear transmembrane protein LEM-2, are both enriched at the arm regions, while H3K4me3, which is associated with euchromatin, is enriched in the center regions during early embryogenesis and the L3 larval stage.35-37 While it remains to be determined whether these epigenetic marks and their distribution are maintained in the adult germline, we propose two possible models for how suppression of crossover formation is exerted by SLX-1 (Fig. 3). One possibility is that SLX-1 acts as a non-crossover-specific HJ resolvase at the center region of chromosome V, and presumably other autosomes. The second model is that SLX-1 may act as an epigenetic reader given that it has a PHD finger domain that is largely known to recognize modified histones such as H3K4me. This recognition would in turn recruit yet unknown non-crossover-promoting factors, resulting in non-crossover formation at the center region of the chromosomes.

**Structure-Specific Endonucleases Play a Role in Crossover Interference**

Crossover distribution is tightly regulated in most organisms including budding yeast, flies, worms, and mammals, as indicated by the fact that crossovers exhibit “interference” since a crossover in one location of the genome discourages the formation of another crossover nearby.38,39 *C. elegans* is an ideal system to understand the mechanism of crossover interference given that the number of crossover is tightly regulated during meiosis such that only and always one crossover occurs between each pair of homologous chromosomes.40,41 However, 4.1% and 7.1% of total crossover events are double crossovers in \( slx-1;xpf-1;gen-1 \) triple and \( mus-81 \; slx-1;xpf-1;gen-1 \) quadruple mutants, respectively.8 This raises two possibilities: (1) structure-specific endonucleases are redundantly required for crossover interference; or (2) if recombination intermediates are not properly resolved at the designated future crossover site, crossover interference is attenuated to accommodate multiple crossovers. Therefore, understanding the mechanisms underlying crossover interference, which remain a mystery for over more than a century, is an issue of critical importance in the field and will further clarify which of the possibilities outlined above might apply.

**Unresolved Holliday Junctions Result in Chromosome Bridges Between Homologous Chromosomes**

If recombination intermediates are not properly resolved, they are detected.
as chromatin bridges at anaphase of mitosis. In *C. elegans* meiosis, this can also be observed as chromosome bridges at late diakinesis and prometaphase I. Resolution of a HJ into a crossover, results in the formation of mature bivalents in wild-type. It is thought that unresolved HJs trapped as interhomolog connections result in the intrabivalent bridges observed in the resolvate mutants. Consistent with the reduction in crossover frequencies observed in *mus-81;xpf-1* and *slx-1;xpf-1* mutants, a high frequency of chromosome bridges in oocytes at the late diakinesis stage were also observed in these genetic backgrounds compared with wild-type and each single mutant. These results further support the model that XPF-1 functions in a redundant manner with both MUS-81 and SLX-1 for HJ resolution in order to promote the formation of functional or intact chiasmata.

**Structure-Specific Endonucleases Act Downstream of Crossover Designation**

Studies in budding yeast and worms suggest that the positions of the crossovers along chromosomes are designated prior to the resolution of recombination intermediates, which is the final step of crossover formation. We examined the localization of ZHP-3, the budding yeast Zip3 homolog containing a ring finger motif, which has been implicated as a pro-crossover factor, and determined that crossover designation was not affected in any of the nucleate mutants (single or combinatorial mutants). These results indicate that structure-specific endonucleases act downstream of crossover designation.

**Hypothetical Model**

Based on our results and recent chromosome-wide epigenetic analyses, we provide a hypothetical model for crossover control by structure-specific endonucleases. XPF-1, MUS-81, and SLX-1, which interact with HIM-18, promote crossover formation at the arm regions that are epigenetically marked by histone H3K9me in somatic cells. Repeat sequences are also enriched in these regions. Probably these nucleases are recruited to the arm regions and work coordinately. While H3K9me is enriched at the arm regions, it is low at the center region of autosomes and the right arm of the X chromosome, where instead there is enrichment for H3K4me. Given that SLX-1-dependent crossover suppression is observed at the center region of the autosomes, we propose that the PHD finger motif of SLX-1 might act as an epigenetic reader, thereby recognizing the H3K4me and promoting non-crossover formation at the center region (Fig. 3).

**Concluding Remarks**

We found that MUS-81 and SLX-1 act in the same pathway, while XPF-1 acts in a parallel pathway to promote meiotic crossover formation. Moreover, SLX-1 has the additional function of suppressing crossover formation at the center of the autosomes. Important future directions in this research field will include identifying additional resolvases for recombination intermediates and determining how SLX-1-dependent suppression of crossover occurs.
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