Linking immune-mediated damage to neurodegeneration in multiple sclerosis: could network-based MRI help?
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Abstract

Inflammatory demyelination characterizes the initial stages of multiple sclerosis, while progressive axonal and neuronal loss are coexisting and significantly contribute to the long-term physical and cognitive impairment. There is an unmet need for a conceptual shift from a dualistic view of multiple sclerosis pathology, involving either inflammatory demyelination or neurodegeneration, to integrative dynamic models of brain reorganization, where, glia-neuron interactions, synaptic alterations, and grey matter pathology are longitudinally envisaged at the whole-brain level. Functional and structural MRI can delineate network hallmarks for relapses, remissions or disease progression, which can be linked to the pathophysiology behind inflammatory attacks, repair, and neurodegeneration. Here, we aim to unify recent findings of grey matter circuits dynamics in multiple sclerosis within the framework of molecular and pathophysiological hallmarks combined with disease-related network reorganization, while highlighting advances from animal models (in vivo and ex vivo) and human clinical data (imaging and histological). We propose that MRI-based brain networks characterization is essential for better delineating ongoing pathology and elaboration of particular mechanisms that may serve for accurate modelling and prediction of disease courses throughout disease stages.
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Abbreviations:

BBB blood-brain barrier

BOLD blood oxygen level-dependent

CIS clinically isolated syndrome

CNS central nervous system

DMN default mode network

fMRI functional magnetic resonance imaging

GM grey matter

NAGM normal-appearing grey matter

MT magnetization transfer

RRMS relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis

SMN sensorimotor network

WM white matter
Introduction

For many years, Multiple sclerosis (MS) has been considered as a chronic inflammatory demyelinating disease of the white matter. However, during the last decade the grey matter (GM) has been postulated to have a major role in MS pathophysiology 1-3. These findings have created a dualistic view of MS as primary either neuroinflammatory or neurodegenerative. Nonetheless, in MS both inflammatory demyelination and neurodegeneration are present from the early phase of the disease 4.

Here, we propose a unifying framework to link local inflammatory demyelination and neurodegeneration with global structural and functional brain network dynamics that translate into the clinical phenotype of MS. We further discuss how network reorganization reflects mechanisms of maladaptation and potential compensation, and highlight how persistent neurodegeneration in the cortex drives the disease course from prominent early inflammation with clinical relapses to later progressive stages and compartmentalized inflammation. We argue that MRI techniques can sensitively (though indirectly) depict neuroinflammatory and neurodegenerative pathology in MS 5-7.

In all, we address how the brain responds to the immune-mediated network disruption in an attempt to reduce functional MS-mediated damage. Through this review, we describe two pathological processes which can be indirectly quantified by MRI: i) acute focal inflammatory activity, and ii) diffuse GM damage, and show how they can be used to define and detect vulnerable brain circuits as the stepping stone for future studies to design interventions in the time window that precedes functional impairment.

Defining an integrative framework in multiple sclerosis

In response to inflammation and neurodegeneration, repair processes emerge and functional-structural networks reorganize (Fig 1). “Reorganization”, thus, refers to the capacity of the CNS to adjust to injury or disease, which at the cellular scale involves several mechanisms, such as intrinsic neuronal modification, axonal regeneration, sprouting, dendritic arborisation and synaptic plasticity 8. At the circuitry scale, reorganization leads to the formation or recruitment of functionally homologous neural pathways 9-12. Furthermore, neuron-axonal damage may secondarily alter cortical excitability in the interconnected areas or within networks 13-15. This damage disinhibits intracortical connections and increases excitability (thus synaptic adaptation), which at the network scale may temporarily compensate for the impact of localized injury. However, these processes could further predispose the brain to network vulnerability and precipitate neurodegeneration 13, 16. The latter mechanism is referred as maladaptation.
Functional impairment in MS is highly heterogeneous and ranges from acute focal deficits (secondary to inflammatory lesions) to incomplete clinical recovery after relapses. Symptoms of functional impairment in MS, such as fatigue, neurocognitive deficits (concerning e.g., attention, memory, and executive functions), and neuropsychiatric symptoms (e.g., depression and anxiety) may result from damage spreading across the brain white matter (WM) and GM. Often, these symptoms develop in the absence of acute relapses or early in the disease course before the first attack, and may not completely recover even after an attack has subsided.

An incomplete clinical recovery following acute relapses can only partly explain the increase in clinical disability. A growing body of evidence suggests that the disability accumulation may result from insidious neurodegeneration within the GM. Early neuroinflammatory activity in GM (and WM) is accompanied by glial activation and blood-brain barrier (BBB) dysfunction, which play an important role in neurodegeneration from early stages onward. Although the effects of neurodegeneration in GM are becoming widely recognized, the underlying mechanisms are intensely debated. Cortical neurodegeneration coexists with focal inflammation from the onset of MS, but neural function deteriorates and brain circuits are disrupted as disease progresses. Nonetheless, the mechanisms that drive the transition to chronic progressive disease phases are unknown.

MRI plays a critical role in tracking the dynamics of brain networks and in predicting disability milestones. In addition to the primary neuroinflammatory pathology, focal demyelination, diffuse GM tissue damage or incomplete restoration and emerging neurodegeneration can all alter cortical activity in MS. This abnormal cortical activity drives aberrant cortico-cortical and cortico-subcortical communication, accelerates network dysfunction, and secondarily disrupts interconnected sites functionally (see Fig 1 for a detailed description of our proposed hypothetical model). MRI-detectable atrophy that affects remote areas of the deep GM structures (thalamus, basal ganglia) and parieto-temporal regions indicates how the brain is affected at the network level.

Modern network science has provided robust and biologically relevant insights into the behavioural aspects of brain circuitry organization and dynamics. Structural network properties can be assessed regionally and globally using morphometric MRI measures, including cortical thickness and volume, or based on microstructural tissue properties derived from diffusion imaging. In parallel, functional networks depict the relationship between physiological signals in time, reflecting synchronized activity among locations that are commonly assessed using techniques such as functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). Therefore, network measures can capture relevant disease-related anatomical and functional components and can closely mirror disease trajectories and the spread of pathology across the brain. Network measures have been robustly applied to closely depict progression of clinical
symptoms in MS\textsuperscript{10} and therapeutic outcomes\textsuperscript{25}. Despite these findings, attempts to inclusively model neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration to explain brain circuit functioning at a global scale have remained scarce.

**Essential pathological hallmarks of grey matter inflammation and degeneration in multiple sclerosis**

In MS both GM and WM lesions show areas of demyelination and, thus, their most characterizing difference appear to lie on the only minor number of infiltrating immune cells in GM lesions\textsuperscript{3, 26}, this is despite the GM has been shown to have larger amounts of demyelination than in WM\textsuperscript{27}. Recent evidence suggests that cortical GM abnormalities in MS may even precede WM inflammatory activity. For example, studies on experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis, an animal model of CNS inflammatory demyelination used in MS research, have shown that pathogenic T cells interact with meningeal antigen-presenting cells leading to cytokine production and T cell infiltration of pial vessel walls and surrounding parenchyma\textsuperscript{28, 29}. Since the meningeal membrane of the brain is essential to maintain GM integrity, inflammatory processes or evolving meningeal damage may mirror or potentiate GM functional abnormalities. Concordantly BBB abnormalities and, infiltration of adaptive immune cells have been shown as indicators of cortical GM pathology\textsuperscript{20, 30}. In this line, a recent study on human tissue samples showed that meningeal inflammation induces the microglia to acquire two distinct phenotypes that differentially associate with neurodegeneration in the progressive MS cortex in a time-dependent manner, and that microglia eventually lose its initial protective properties contributing to neuronal damage\textsuperscript{31}. An alternative possibility, is that efficient restoration of the myelin is delivered when proinflammatory microglia is depleted followed by repopulation of pro-regenerative microglia\textsuperscript{32}. Regardless of the exact mechanism, the topography of cortical demyelination seem to follow meningeal inflammation\textsuperscript{33, 34}. Noteworthy, preclinical models are only an approximation of the key pathological features of MS: inflammation, demyelination, axonal loss and gliosis, and in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis, CNS lesions are more pronounced in the brainstem and spinal cord. Thus, caution is advised when translating experimental findings to human disease.

Concerning neurodegeneration, axonal loss is observed within the GM tissue related to demyelinating inflammatory lesions\textsuperscript{3}, however, its extension goes beyond lesions and led to the observed patterns of atrophy\textsuperscript{35, 36}. Thus, a primary role needs to be considered for neurodegeneration, even at the most initial phases of disease.
GM lesions are considered clinically relevant and are classified according to their location: leukocortical, intracortical, subpial, and pancortical lesions. All types of GM lesions are related to neuroinflammation and have infiltrates of T and B cells, and activated macrophages and microglia, presenting a decreasing T1 MRI signal over time, which may represent irreversible acute neural tissue damage (e.g., ongoing injury). Cortical lesions in early MS frequently extend along the subpial surface of the cortex and cerebellum, and are topologically related to diffuse and local inflammatory aggregates in adjacent meninges and microglia at the border between demyelinated and myelinated GM tissue. Subpial lesions, the most common demyelinated cortical lesions, seem unique to MS since they are not present in other inflammatory diseases (reviewed in). These lesions can be large and are often found on neighbouring cortical banks within a sulcus (‘kissing lesions’), which strongly suggests leptomeningeal inflammation as the origin of these lesions. GM lesions, therefore, might represent the link between chronic inflammation and neurodegeneration in MS. Subpial lesions accumulate over time, involving approximately 15–30% of the cortex in early disease phases (e.g., RRMS) and up to 60% of the cortex in progressive phases. MRI evaluations have depicted the distribution of GM lesions to be predominantly in frontal and temporal cortical regions with a predilection for motor areas.

**Involvement of glia in the cortical pathophysiology of multiple sclerosis**

The role of glial cells in the pathophysiology of cortical degeneration in MS is an essential factor that has been neglected over the years. Although all three glial cell types (microglia, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes) are considered to play a potential role in MS pathology, current advances in human genomic mapping have highlighted microglia to be involved in the altered susceptibility to develop MS, where enrichment for MS genes is seen in human microglia but not in astrocytes or neurons. However, a particular link with neurodegeneration remains to be elucidated. While early work has addressed the role of glia in acute lesions, research has recently switched emphasis to the interactions between microglial and neuronal cell architecture within the GM. Yet, microglia dynamics should be further addressed for the long-term characterisation of neurodegeneration.

When comparing GM appearing normal on conventional MRI (NAGM; which may be histopathologically abnormal) and WM (NAWM) between patients with MS and non-neurological control donors, microglia depicts a clear region-specific profile of gene expression and transcription; WM microglia show increased lipid metabolism and GM microglia show glycolysis and iron homeostasis. These tissue-specific transcriptome signatures still share some similarities between mice and human microglia states, as well as neurodegeneration and de-/remyelination associated microglia, further suggesting that microglia are a principal component in brain pathology and therefore have the potential to be a therapeutic target.
Microglia-neuron interactions are mediated by a numerous range of cell surface receptors and signalling molecules \(^{50, 51}\), among which ATP and potassium play a pivotal role. Under physiological conditions microglia-neuron interactions shape synaptic connectivity \(^{52, 53}\) and mediate synaptic plasticity \(^{54}\), thereby promoting harmonious neural circuitry activity and regulating neural network excitability \(^{55}\). Therefore, it follows that we must consider microglia influence into the neural tissue dynamics, impacting on neural networks potentially both beneficially and detrimentally, which may ultimately affect the MRI-quantifiable brain circuitry. In animal models, some evidence already exist in which fMRI connectivity shows a direct effect of microglia-induced inflammation that is mediated by cytokines, leading to increased connectivity between the cerebellar cortex and prefrontal regions \(^{56}\). This microglia-induced aberrant excitability pattern was further associated with impaired motor behaviour. These changes may partly alter plasticity and contribute to observed hyperexcitability in relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS)\(^{57, 58}\) and in animal models \(^{13}\).

In the context of neurodegeneration, microglial cells may amplify neuronal damage, which can deteriorate neuronal function and accelerate neuronal death through release of proinflammatory cytokines, proteases, and glutamate and recruit reactive T cells \(^{59}\). During this process, microglia actively clear cellular debris from neurons, their processes, and synaptic structures \(^{60}\), and alteration in this mechanism is related to impaired remyelination \(^{61}\).

There is also growing interest in other sorts of myeloid cells in MS pathology, such as border-associated macrophages, which may be potent at producing pathology \(^{62}\).

**Grey matter pathology related to network remodelling**

Cortical lesions and NAGM are to various extents, and on an ongoing basis, related to neuronal and glial cell death, decreased synaptic density, and axonal damage \(^{31, 63-65}\). Besides demyelination and MRI-detectable cortical lesions, reduced synaptic density may also occur in parallel. In support to our hypothesis, histopathological analyses in MS brain tissue have described a reduced absolute axonal density across cortical layers in the demyelinated but not in the cortical NAGM \(^{66}\). Cortical layer IV contains neurons receiving thalamocortical afferents or intra-hemispheric cortico-cortical connections, while cortical layer V contains large pyramidal neurons projecting their axons to subcortical structures and the spinal cord. Remarkably, a comparison of stained sections from MS patients and control subjects revealed a significantly reduced axonal density in layers IV – V within the NAGM of MS patients. In MRI studies, MS patients have been shown to present reduced neurite density when compared to healthy controls, with SPMS having more widespread abnormalities than RRMS in both GM lesions and NAGM \(^{67}\) that parallels reduction in myelin water fraction \(^{68}\). The pattern of selective damage provides evidence that disconnection occurs during disease and seems to be closely...
related to either primary alterations through direct damage or secondary functional alterations
due to the reorganization of interconnected brain areas.

The expanded view on synaptic pathology within the cortex challenges our current view of MS
pathology as a unique neuroinflammatory or neurodegenerative process, and poses important
questions for disease progression and our search for new therapeutic strategies. First, there
seems to be direct primary synaptic damage that is driven by immunopathogenic mechanisms
with the immediate participation of activated microglia. For example, in MS cortex a
generalized loss of dendritic spines has been found independently from axonal loss 68. Further,
synapses are found to be detached from their post-synaptic neuron and enclosed by microglia
and astrocyte processes in the hippocampus and cerebellum of MS patients 69, 70. A second
possibility is that the synaptic reorganization could be a consequence of reduced afferent
inputs through injured axons crossing regions with focal inflammation 64, 70.

Although the exact mechanisms underlying GM damage in MS remain elusive, a recent study
have evidenced that focal active GM lesions present an important synaptic loss of about
58.9%, while inactive lesions are found to have less synaptic loss (12.6%) as compared to
adjacent NAGM 71. Additionally, the authors reported that synaptic loss equally affected
excitatory (glutamatergic) and inhibitory (GABAergic) synapses. Accordingly, acute synaptic
pathology has been found to relate to acute damage to dendrites in animal models 72. Recently,
by taking advantage of animal models of diffuse demyelination, we have detected severe
functional alterations in GM as a consequence of myelin loss in the interconnected subcortico-
cortical pathways within the auditory thalamocortical system 73. This is supportive to the
suggestions that the non-selective synaptic loss occurs during inflammatory demyelination,
while the reduced loss synapses in chronically demyelinated GM rather suggest synaptic
reorganization 71. Such widespread synaptic remodelling may be reflected as modification in
the MRI-derived network topology.

We have shown that remyelination can have a different compartment-dependent functional
regeneration potential 73. This is concordant with previous reports of more robust remyelination
GM part than in its WM counterpart where also differences in cellular density and activation
exist 74-76. However, the efficiency of remyelination is variable, with most efficient lesion repair
in early MS stages and limited upon age and disease progression 38, 77. Thus, network
malfunction may be related to altered or ultimate failure in cortical remyelination and synaptic
dysfunction, which we proposed is linked to altered glial function. This hypothesis is based on
recent histological findings evidencing that in progressive MS and mouse models selective
loss of parvalbumin fast-spiking interneurons and their connections occurs secondary to
cortical demyelination, and quickly translate into a functional neurophysiological impairment of
the inhibitory circuitry 65. Noteworthy, it is remarkably difficult to discriminate between purely
neuronal and glia-mediated mechanisms of neurodegeneration due to their very intimate cross-talk.

**MRI detected GM alterations and disease progression**

We have described the GM to present two distinct patterns that characterize MS damage: i) focal lesions, including processes of focal inflammation and demyelination; and ii) diffuse pathology (such as atrophy and spreading inflammation). Both patterns are robustly detectable by MRI 78 but to different extents 6.

Despite recent advances, GM lesions cannot be easily detected; significant variation occurs between MRI readers, even with advanced MRI techniques 79. MRI can identify 18–20% of cortical lesions confirmed by pathological studies 80, 81. The number of lesions detected varies depending on the MRI sequence and field strength of the scanner 82, 83. Moreover, even sequences sensitive for focal GM involvement (e.g., double inversion recovery or phase-sensitive inversion recovery) miss a large amount of cortical lesions detected in histopathological assessments, leading to false negative results 84, 85. Thus, measures of diffuse GM pathology are more reliable and reproducible across research reports 86, 87. By measuring the cortical integrity or the thickness of the cortical mantle, processes of neurodegeneration in MS are sensitively captured with MRI 6. Decrease of GM density is a surrogate measure of disease-related atrophy that is visible very early in the disease course 88-90 and spreads as the disease continues 91. Brain atrophy, occurs at a rate of 0.5–1.35% per year in MS 92, 93, and plays an essential in driving neurological disability and cognitive dysfunction 94-96. GM atrophy in MS has been demonstrated to be non-uniform across the cortical mantle, with cross-sectional studies depicting some areas to be more susceptible to loss of structural integrity than others 36, 97, predominantly affecting temporal, insular, cingulate and parietal cortices at the earliest disease phases (Fig 3, upper row), and extending to central, postcentral and cerebellar cortices at later disease stages. At the subcortical level, involvement of deep GM nuclei, mainly the thalamus, basal ganglia, and hippocampus has also been evidenced 22, 97-99. Such patterns of cortical and subcortical vulnerability correlate with demyelinating lesion load 100. MRI-detectable GM pathology can be already sensitively measured in the early stages of MS – even before WM lesions are detected 24 or WM network reorganization occurs 101. Assuming that GM atrophy is a selective process, the exact mapping of GM vulnerability and atrophy across stages of MS remains to be investigated in longitudinal studies that include patients who are at the earliest stages of the disease. Moreover, although GM atrophy is currently a major hallmark of long-term disability and provides a quantifiable variable for clinical evaluation and a primary or secondary variable for clinical studies 91, the mechanisms linking brain tissue damage and accumulating clinical disability still remain poorly
understood. Importantly, histopathological studies lack of temporal data, and therefore, it is not yet possible to build accurate models that resemble predict clinical progression.

**Inflammation-induced brain network reorganization**

During the initial stages of MS, when damage and the subsequent inflammatory response are transient, different tissue repair mechanisms (e.g., lesion resolution and remyelination) involving neurons and glial cells are ongoing. However, in the presence of persistent inflammation, the remyelination process (particularly in the GM, as discussed above) is severely impaired and cannot prevent neuronal cell death \(^{61, 80, 102}\). Despite the exact dynamics between inflammatory responses, MRI assessment and lesion resolution still need further clarification and consolidation, what is clear here is that inflammation plays a crucial role in neural tissue reorganization processes that present inverted patterns to neurodegeneration throughout the disease course (Fig 1, A). Despite inflammation is not the only factor triggering neurodegeneration, evidence from animal models show that sustained inflammatory responses, comprising microglia and astrocytes, have a prevalent role in disease progression \(^{103, 104}\).

Two possible primary causes of inflammation-related GM pathology may be considered: i) the presence of inflammatory cellular infiltrates in the meningeal covering and/or in the adjacent perivascular spaces releasing cytotoxic inflammatory mediators such as tumour necrosis factor or interferon into the GM tissue \(^{40, 72}\) – these processes play a crucial role to shape GM pathology by regulating microglial activity; or ii) triggered adaptive and/or innate immune responses within the GM that lead to abnormal firing activity of neurons, microglia activation, and astrocyte or oligodendrocyte reaction culminating in neurodegeneration \(^{35}\). These alterations might involve or be related to disturbed homeostasis of excitatory and/or inhibitory neurotransmitters, ion signalling, and cytokine release (Fig 2).

**Structural network alterations and neurodegeneration**

Structural networks can be modelled to infer the organization of WM pathways as derived from diffusion MRI or inter-regional statistical associations of GM morphometric measures (e.g. cortical thickness or volume). Here, we will focus on the latter which is commonly defined by computing the spatial correlation between pairs of regions across subjects.

The principle for modelling morphometric networks, so-called covariance networks, is that the associations (i.e. similarities) between regions reflect shared underlying physical and functional properties. In this manner, even remote regions engaged in common functions show
greater macrostructural similarity than non-functionally related neighbouring regions. It thereby becomes possible to accurately capture the complexity of tissue characteristics over regions while disentangling key organizational principles between brain tissue compartments, and allows to examine the link between structural damage and its functional consequences by addressing wider spatial maps of structural properties and associating these with clinical variables or task performance measures.

Such analyses have identified time-dependent structural abnormalities in MS patients and have emphasized heterogeneity in subpopulations of MS patients. Pathological processes occur in early phases of the disease and induce direct disruption of connectivity, information flow and interregional communication, which later translate into sensory, motor, or cognitive dysfunction.

**Functional MRI activity and network reorganization supporting compensation**

The next type of brain networks, called functional networks, is derived from fMRI, commonly acquired during resting-state. This type of network evaluates the time-coupling, i.e., statistical correlation of time signals, in blood oxygenation between GM regions. In the human brain regions with the strongest functional connections have a relatively small concentration of synapses and glial cells, implying that functional connectivity largely relies on myelinated cortical axons. Hence, abnormal BOLD signal and derived resting-state connectivity can be considered proxies of immune- and degeneration-induced brain reorganization and glial dysfunction in MS patients. Supporting evidence exists in which structural network reorganization, based on diffusion tensor imaging, precedes functional reorganization.

Although recent work defining concepts of network measures as derived from graph theory, the leading approach to quantify networks, are available. First, the network efficiency reflects the networks’ integrative capacity for information processing across brain regions. Thus, the increase in efficiency implies a reorganized functional integration of the network topology. Next, the network degree and centrality, reflects the connectedness of individual brain regions, where core regions, called the “hubs”, are usually more connected than the rest and damage to these regions would have a greater impact to the network structure.

Recent studies addressing the dynamics of network topology in RRMS patients showed increased local and nodal efficiencies in early disease stages and no statistically significant differences compared to controls two years later. Moreover, after two years, the MS patients included in the study presented decreased local and global connectivity that strongly correlated with lesion load, suggesting that the functional connectivity increase at baseline was counteracted by a widespread accumulation of macroscopic WM lesions during the disease course. Notably, the patients were not in the initial stages of MS but had been diagnosed with
the disease 10 years before study participation. In this context, the reported longitudinal breakdown of functional compensation at more advanced disease stages has been described as network collapse. A more recent study assessed the potential brain functional reorganization at rest in patients with CIS and reported that network metrics such as degree and centrality, indicate network reorganization over the course of one year. In this study, the bilateral hippocampus, post-ventral cingulate cortex, and left parieto-occipital sulcus exhibited significantly higher connectivity in CIS patients compared to controls, while the right middle occipital gyrus and the left posterior segment of the lateral fissure had lower connectivity. The preserved global network efficiency and absence of cognitive decline found for CIS patients compared to age-matched healthy controls suggest that increased connectivity patterns could be an early sign of network compensatory mechanisms. Indeed, increased functional connectivity has been associated with better cognitive performance in MS patients, while reduced connectivity has been related to the severity of cognitive impairment and structural damage of the underlying neural circuits. Thus, the presence of network reorganization in very early disease stages, accompanied by normal cognitive performance, is suggestive of a recruitment mechanism of reserve or plasticity to compensate for structural damage. However, these network re-arrangements can actually be maladaptive due to the loss of proper functional coupling or an expression of network vulnerability.

**Brain networks and maladaptation**

Several possible mechanisms may explain how neurodegeneration and network dynamics interrelate. First, the disease directly damages regions within a network, promoting either focal or widespread disruption by propagating the pathological effects via neural connections to other areas (“prion-like” spread). Second, inflammation can target the neural connections themselves, for instance, via demyelination and axonal injury, leading to abnormal connectivity that later turns into widespread network organization impairment. Indeed, both mechanisms contribute to network malfunction, in which case accumulation and exchange of focal neurodegeneration and synaptic injury could lead to “network collapse”. In line with the first hypothesis, models employing the graph theory framework have suggested that neurodegeneration proceeds through epicentres (hubs) and that the connectivity strength of these epicentres predicts the regional localization of the atrophy. Notably, the brain network hubs are not only important because their topological centrality supports integrative network processing and adaptive responses, but also because their high connectivity makes them particularly vulnerable to disease progression. Therefore, damage to hub regions is more likely to be symptomatic than damage to other network nodes. A non-human primate study showed that even if brain damage does not primarily target hub regions, hubs have an increased likelihood of being connected to the site of primary insult, making them more likely...
to suffer from diaschisis (sudden change of function in part of the brain)\textsuperscript{131}. This study proposes a relatively high neuron to non-neuronal cell ratio in hubs, which perhaps indicates less support for each neuron from glial or other cell types, as one contributing factor for hub susceptibility \textsuperscript{131}. However, studies on the relationships between cell densities and hub properties are inconclusive both in humans and in non-human primates \textsuperscript{131-133}. Additionally, damage to different brain regions may induce diverse patterns of plasticity \textsuperscript{131, 134}. The mechanisms underlying the increased vulnerability of hubs to injury in comparison to other regions requires further study.

Taken together, we hypothesize that network failure or malfunction may contribute to and even drive disease progression. Early network failure, marked by reduced functional connectivity or increased structural heterogeneity, is followed by increased connectivity at distant network sites. The increased connectivity, whether compensatory or maladaptive, could be one of the mechanisms potentiating the negative effects of neurodegeneration, related to the increased neural activity in these regions \textsuperscript{13, 16, 135}, which eventually leads to increased GM vulnerability. Further studies are warranted to investigate the mechanisms of network reorganization and their relationship with neurodegeneration, disease progression and physical and cognitive disability in MS.

\textbf{Alterations of specific brain networks and clinical symptoms}

The most common brain networks that show altered functional properties in MS when compared to healthy individuals are the following: the visual network, the sensorimotor network (SMN), and the default mode network (DMN)\textsuperscript{136}. Altered functional connectivity in these networks or their components is often associated with clinical disability \textsuperscript{111, 137, 138}, but is also inversely related to cognitive performance at different disease stages \textsuperscript{11, 139}, as well as other neurological symptoms (e.g., fatigue, visual problems, depression, and sleep disturbance), see \textsuperscript{136} for a recent review. Additionally, the preserved cognitive performance of patients in the early disease stages may be linked with preserved functional-structural network coupling \textsuperscript{114}. Since increased functional connectivity is associated with both decreases and increases in functional performance, the outcome (adaptive or maladaptive) depends on both the neuroanatomical location of networks and their functions. Thus, more studies are needed, probably incorporating further MRI modalities, to fully understand the importance of network-specific reorganization in MS.

\textbf{Increased network vulnerability and clinical progression}

The complex GM tissue alterations observed in MS that contribute to disability progression and cognitive impairment \textsuperscript{140}, are also visible at the level of the disrupted topological architecture of structural and functional brain networks in different MS stages \textsuperscript{10}. Abnormal network
connectivity strongly involves frontal, cingulate middle temporal, sensorimotor and thalamic areas, reviewed in \textsuperscript{10}. Such network disruptions have revealed delimited temporal patterns of increased and decreased network connectivity that can be related to physical and cognitive impairment. Specifically, cognitive decline has been associated with increased modularity, clustering, and network centrality \textsuperscript{10}.

In line with the idea that network properties can provide essential information about the degree of disease progression, alterations in network topology can be attributed to inflammation-induced microstructural processes. These can lead either to decreased (impaired) connectivity due to structural alterations in specific regions or to increased connectivity due to more similar patterns of ongoing pathology. The increased regional structural homogeneity of the human cerebral cortex is related to its composition, with a higher number of glial cells per neuron resulting in an average glia/neuron ratio of 1.48 across cortical layers \textsuperscript{141}. According to this relationship, local variations in neuronal density across sites within the GM of the human cortical mantle are also correlated with local variations in the glia/neuron ratio \textsuperscript{142}. This association implies that the cellular composition across the cortex is relatively homogeneous, and that inflammatory processes exert a homogenizing effect over these regions. Nevertheless, in neurodegeneration the spatial spreading of molecular neuropathology, causative of functional impairment may be strongly modulated by the regional vulnerability. This hypothesis arises from the heterogeneous distribution of neural cell densities \textsuperscript{143}, laminar differentiation \textsuperscript{144, 145} and long-range anatomical and functional connectivity \textsuperscript{146, 147} across cortical locations.

**Advanced imaging techniques imaging**

The possibility of inducing remyelination, either through pharmacological treatments \textsuperscript{148} or behavioural interventions through experience-dependent myelin plasticity \textsuperscript{149}, is an emerging focus in clinical trials. Therefore, robust in vivo markers to assess myelination could enable diagnosis and treatment monitoring for a wide range of conditions.

Macromolecules in the myelin have diamagnetic properties, which influence the local magnetic field strength, making it possible to indirectly assess myelin by means of different sequences, including the longitudinal relaxation rate \( R_1 \), the transverse relaxation rate \( R_2 \), the effective transverse relaxation rate \( R_2^* \), quantitative susceptibility mapping (QSM), myelin water fraction (MWF), as well as magnetization transfer (MT; as quantified by the magnetization transfer ratio (MTR)). Despite heterogeneous reports, each of these imaging modalities present a fairly good association with the underlying histology (see \textsuperscript{150-152} for recent review and meta-analyses) and are sensitive to cortical demyelination, remyelination, neuronal density, and axonal loss and
can each be applied to independently predict future disability progression in the long-term. However, no consensus still exist in terms of their validity and specificity to myelin.

Among all MRI sequences, the recently introduced T1w/T2w ratio presents high test-retest reliability for differentiating between myelinated or demyelinated cortex, as defined by anti-proteolipid protein (PLP) antibody immunostainings on post mortem tissue samples from MS patients, and to differentiate between patients at different stages of MS and healthy controls. In the latter study, the most striking finding was the decreased myelin content in the posterior cingulate cortex in MS patients, which correlated with dendrite density from post-mortem histopathology. Conversely, Nakamura and colleagues evidenced strong correlations between proxies of myelin content (T1w/T2w ratio and MT) in regions such as the temporal, frontal, cingulate and parietal cortices where myelin status was identified via post-mortem tissue staining. In general, it is highly plausible that cortical demyelination follows a similar spatial spreading pattern as neurodegeneration, where the frontal-cingulate and temporal cortices are slightly more affected than the parietal and occipital regions (Fig 3, middle row). Within the temporal lobe, the hippocampus seems to be strongly involved, whereas among the subcortical deep GM structures involvement of the thalamus, putamen, caudate and globus pallidus has been reported.

The lipidic structure of the myelin hinders local water diffusion, as measured by diffusion-MRI (dMRI). One way to characterize the microstructural information from dMRI is to use biophysical models, such as neurite orientation dispersion and density imaging (NODDI) that is arguably becoming the most popular model currently. NODDI has three scalar parameters: neurite density index (NDI), orientation dispersion index (ODI), and free water fraction (ffw). NODDI parameters have been observed to correlate with clinical disability. Moreover, NDI (Fig 3, lower row) was observed to be reduced in RRMS patients and even more in progressive MS. Thus, the use of NODDI in MS may offer the opportunity to reveal axonal damage in the NAGM and predict clinical outcomes. However, the large scanning times required for a dMRI suitable for NODDI hamper its current application in clinical settings. Moreover, NODDI parameters are needed to be validated with different histological stainings to evaluate its underlying biology.

Recently, also amyloid PET tracers have been successfully used for assessing myelin integrity in humans. Combining the spatial resolution of MRI with the quantitative power of PET may further improve reliable quantification of myelin in-vivo. However, more studies are still needed in this field.

Concluding remarks, open questions, and perspectives
In this review, we presented brain network developments to interrelate the pathological processes of inflammatory demyelination and neurodegeneration with clinical manifestations of MS. We proposed a framework that embraces structural and functional network disruption to explain the spread of MS pathology across the brain, providing key insights into the pathways of neurodegeneration and neuroinflammation in MS. This framework underlines why some brain areas are more vulnerable to MS damage and how networks of regions (circuits) contribute to disease progression. This overarching brain network theoretical framework may help us to classify patients into mechanistic subgroups by providing information on the type of molecular pathology and on the nature of the affected network. Overall, brain network dynamics assist us in deciphering the interplay between neurodegeneration and neuroinflammation opening new windows to evaluate clinical manifestations of MS, disease progression, and, in the future, development of possible therapeutic interventions.

Moving forward, we must gain better insights on the mechanisms underlying the potential protective and deleterious effects of network reorganization in response to innate immunity and neurodegeneration. Reaching this goal may be facilitated by mechanistic in vitro studies, further analysis of mouse models, and multimodal longitudinal imaging data in humans throughout their disease course. Moreover, integrated structural and functional connectivity measures together with clinical scales for functional performance and molecular markers of microglial activity during the progression of MS will increase the potential for better delineating adaptive and maladaptive mechanisms on an individual level and enable future development of novel therapeutic routes for MS patients.
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Figure legends

Figure 1. An integrative hypothetical framework of the progression of multiple sclerosis pathology with evolving neurodegeneration through grey matter (GM) pathology (involving cortical and subcortical GM) that can be characterized via MRI-based network measures. (A) The y-axis of the graph shows the progression of neurological/clinical disability over time (x-axis); the black continuous line denotes clinical activity with relapsing events that cause inflammatory damage; the light blue arrows denote frequency of new inflammatory episodes from preclinical to progressive stages as recorded by MRI; the yellow dashed line denotes the slowly progressing decline in remyelination capacities of brain tissue, while more resources of reserve and compensation become necessary (red dashed line). (B) Coronary lesion maps of GM damage: cortical (red) and subcortical (purple) GM inflammation, increasing GM atrophy (orange) and white matter (WM) lesions (green) relating to distinct stages of multiple sclerosis progression. (C) Representation of network remodelling and malfunction corresponding to different stages of multiple sclerosis disease progression. Blue circles and black lines represent GM regions and their connecting paths without signs of pathology; red circles indicate GM regions affected in multiple sclerosis; green diamonds illustrate WM lesions; light green lines represent damaged connections between brain regions. Two main GM contributors are considered for this model: i) acute or sustained focal inflammatory activity (red and purple) and ii) continuous diffuse GM damage (atrophy; orange), both of which can indirectly but closely mirror ongoing tissue processes quantified by MRI. The network approach allows the detection of reorganization and malfunction in the cortex while highlighting its role in the transition from inflammatory demyelination to persistent neurodegeneration and progressive disease stages. This model implies that besides the primary neuroinflammatory origin of immune attacks on brain circuitry in multiple sclerosis, there is a high possibility for focal demyelination, diffuse GM tissue damage or incomplete restoration and emerging neurodegeneration to translate into altered cortical activity. The abnormal cortical activity, thus, drives the involvement of cortico-cortical and cortico-subcortical circuits, accelerates the network dysfunction and spreads secondary malfunction to the interconnected sites.
Figure 2. Simplified schematic depiction of cortical involvement in multiple sclerosis.

A) Focal grey matter lesions (light red) frequently extend along the subpial surface of the cortex. They are topologically related to inflammatory aggregates in adjacent meninges and microglia/macrophages lead to demyelination of the cortex, which is related to a cascade that involves microglial activation and subsequent adaptive immunity via i) perivascular infiltration of T-cells and B-cells into the GM and/or ii) infiltration of cytokines, interferon (IFN), and chemokines released by macrophages and other inflammatory cells, such as lymphocytes. B) Diffuse GM damage (light orange) is mainly related to degeneration of cell bodies and it may be related directly or indirectly to the diffuse microglial activation, which in turn affects trans-synaptic communication leading alterations in network topology. The presence of phagocytic cells may, in first instance, be a reparative process leading to stabilization of the inflammatory response by removal of cellular debris, but it may, however, fail and then lead to incomplete tissue repair. GM = Grey matter; WM = white matter; CSF = cerebrospinal fluid; BBB = Blood brain barrier; PVS = perivascular space.

Figure 3. Example of regional distribution of non-invasive and complementary MRI markers of cortical involvement: cortical thickness, T1/T2 ratio, and neurite dispersion. Notice the similarity in the cortical distribution of cortical thickness, T1/T2 ratio and neurite dispersion around the frontal, parietal and temporal cortices across markers. A shows the cortical distribution of these markers in a 36-year-old healthy individual. B shows the cortical distribution of these markers of an age-matched multiple sclerosis patient at disease onset (EDSS: 2; disease duration: 2 years).
Figure 1 - An integrative hypothetical framework of the progression of multiple sclerosis pathology with evolving neurodegeneration through grey matter (GM) pathology (involving cortical and subcortical GM) that can be characterized via MRI-based network measures. (A) The y-axis of the graph shows the progression of neurological/clinical disability over time (x-axis); the black continuous line denotes clinical activity with relapsing events that cause inflammatory damage; the light blue arrows denote frequency of new inflammatory episodes from preclinical to progressive stages as recorded by MRI; the yellow dashed line denotes the slowly progressing decline in remyelination capacities of brain tissue, while more resources of reserve and compensation become necessary (red dashed line). (B) Coronary lesion maps of GM damage: cortical (red) and subcortical (purple) GM inflammation, increasing GM atrophy (orange) and white matter (WM) lesions (green) relating to distinct stages of multiple sclerosis progression. (C) Representation of network remodeling and malfunction corresponding to different stages of multiple sclerosis disease progression. Blue circles and black lines represent GM regions and their connecting paths without signs of pathology; red circles indicate GM regions affected in multiple sclerosis; green diamonds illustrate WM lesions; light green lines represent damaged connections between brain regions. Two main GM contributors are considered for this model: i) acute or sustained focal inflammatory activity (red and purple) and ii) continuous diffuse GM damage (atrophy; orange), both of which can indirectly but closely mirror ongoing tissue processes quantified by MRI. The network approach allows the detection of reorganization and malfunction in the cortex while highlighting its role in the transition from inflammatory demyelination to persistent neurodegeneration and progressive disease stages. This model implies that besides the primary neuroinflammatory origin of immune attacks on brain circuitry in multiple sclerosis, there is a high possibility for focal demyelination, diffuse GM tissue damage or incomplete restoration and emerging
neurodegeneration to translate into altered cortical activity. The abnormal cortical activity, thus, drives the involvement of cortico-cortical and cortico-subcortical circuits, accelerates the network dysfunction and spreads secondary malfunction to the interconnected sites.
Figure 2. Simplified schematic depiction of cortical involvement in multiple sclerosis. A) Focal grey matter lesions (light red) frequently extend along the subpial surface of the cortex. They are topologically related to inflammatory aggregates in adjacent meninges and microglia/macrophages lead to demyelination of the cortex, which is related to a cascade that involves microglial activation and subsequent adaptive immunity via i) perivascular infiltration of T-cells and B-cells into the GM and/or ii) infiltration of cytokines, interferon (IFN), and chemokines released by macrophages and other inflammatory cells, such as lymphocytes. B) Diffuse GM damage (light orange) is mainly related to degeneration of cell bodies and it may be related directly or indirectly to the diffuse microglial activation, which in turn affects trans-synaptic communication leading alterations in network topology. The presence of phagocytic cells may, in first instance, be a reparative process leading to stabilization of the inflammatory response by removal of cellular debris, but it may, however, fail and then lead to incomplete tissue repair. GM = Grey matter; WM = white matter; CSF = cerebrospinal fluid; BBB = Blood brain barrier; PVS = perivascular space.
Figure 3 - Example of regional distribution of non-invasive and complementary MRI markers of cortical involvement: cortical thickness, T1/T2 ratio, and neurite dispersion. Notice the similarity in the cortical distribution of cortical thickness, T1/T2 ratio and neurite dispersion around the frontal, parietal and temporal cortices across markers. A shows the cortical distribution of these markers in a 36-year-old healthy individual. B shows the cortical distribution of these markers of an age-matched multiple sclerosis patient at disease onset (EDSS: 2; disease duration: 2 years).
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Abbreviated summary

Groppa, Gonzalez-Escamilla et al., propose to end the dualistic view of multiple sclerosis as either neuroinflammatory or neurodegenerative, by conjointly addressing demyelination, microglia, and network reorganization that can be compensatory or maladaptive. All presented in the light of disease progression and MRI quantifiable pathological processes, including GM lesions and atrophy.