Elevated serum C1q is an independent predictor of high residual platelet reactivity in CAD patients receiving clopidogrel therapy
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Background: Inflammation increases the risk of thrombosis in coronary artery disease (CAD) patients and affects the antiplatelet efficacy of clopidogrel. C1q interacts with platelets to activate platelets and induce thrombosis by participating in and regulating the inflammatory response. Whether C1q affects adenosine diphosphate (ADP)-induced platelet reactivity during clopidogrel therapy was unclear and our study aimed to explore the issue.

Method: We enrolled 1,334 CAD patients receiving clopidogrel therapy and evaluated the association between C1q level and high residual platelet reactivity (HRPR) using logistic regression and restricted cubic spline (RCS). HRPR was defined as ADP-induced maximum amplitude (MAADP) > 47 mm plus ADP-induced platelet aggregation (ADPi) < 50%.

Results: A total of 516 patients (38.7%) performed HRPR. The frequency of HRPR increases with the increase in C1q level (26.3%, 38.4%, 43.2%, and 46.7% for the 1st to 4th quartile of C1q). The result of multivariate logistic regression demonstrated elevated C1q as an independent predictor for HRPR (2nd quartile: OR = 1.722, 95% CI 1.215–2.440; 3rd quartile: OR = 2.015, 95% CI 1.413–2.874; 4th quartile: OR = 2.362, 95% CI 1.631–3.421, compared to the 1st quartile). RCS depicted the nonlinear relationship between C1q and HRPR risk (p for non-linear < 0.05).

Conclusion: The current research is the first to explore the association of C1q and ADP-induced platelet reactivity and to demonstrate elevated C1q as an independent risk factor for HRPR in CAD patients during clopidogrel therapy.
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Introduction

As the leading cause of death worldwide, cardiovascular diseases represent more than 40% of all deaths among Chinese (1). CAD, usually caused by atherosclerosis, is one of the most severe cardiovascular diseases, which often results in myocardial dysfunction and/or organic lesions. Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) is the major revascularization strategy for CAD patients with clinical indications for improving current myocardial blood supply and long-term outcomes. Dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) consisting of aspirin and oral P2Y12 inhibitors represents a guideline-recommended cornerstone of secondary prevention in patients undergoing PCI (2).

Clopidogrel, one of the earlier P2Y12 inhibitor agents to be applied (3), is still widely applied currently in peri-interventional treatment and long-term DAPT after PCI among patients with stable coronary artery disease (SCAD) (2, 4), especially on those at relatively lower risk. Clopidogrel possibly decreases bleeding risk compared with ticagrelor and prasugrel (5, 6) in acute coronary syndrome, especially in elderly patients (7). The antiplatelet efficacy of clopidogrel is influenced by multiple factors like drug interactions, genetic polymorphisms, and clinical and biological factors (8). As a result, a clinically significant proportion of patients treated with recommended doses of clopidogrel cannot display the desired antiplatelet response (9). Previous studies had demonstrated that HRPR during clopidogrel therapy is associated with adverse ischemic events, particularly in early stent thrombosis (10).

Complement component C1q is the recognition molecule of the classical activation pathway, which plays a critical role in defending against invading pathogens, maintenance of immunologic tolerance, and modulation of inflammatory responses (11). Serum level of C1q had been proposed as an antiplatelet efficacy biomarker for diagnosis and assessing activity in certain diseases responses (11). Serum level of C1q had been proposed as a biomarker for diagnosis and assessing activity in certain diseases responses (11). Serum level of C1q had been proposed as a biomarker for diagnosis and assessing activity in certain diseases (12). The complementary pathway is involved in the regulation of atherosclerosis progression and exerts dual effects in different stages (13), and both low and high C1q plasma levels can be potential risk factors for CAD (14–16). Previous research had indicated that inflammation induces plaque rupture and coronary thrombus formation (17), and influences ADP-induced platelet aggregation during clopidogrel treatment. C1q and classical pathway also interact with platelets and coagulation factors to promote hemostasis and thrombotic processes by participating in and regulating inflammatory response (16, 18), and activation of the classical pathway was observed in acute coronary thrombi (19). However, whether C1q affects the antiplatelet efficacy of clopidogrel remains unknown. The present investigation collected clinical data from SCAD patients who had undergone elective PCI to compare the differences in clinical characteristics between individuals with and without ADP-induced HRPR and aimed to explore the contribution of C1q on ADP-induced HRPR during clopidogrel therapy.

Methods

Study design and patients

A cross-sectional study was carried out to explore the association between C1q and ADP-induced HRPR during clopidogrel therapy. Study data were derived from a prospectively collected database, which was created in the Twelfth Department of Cardiology, Beijing Anzhen Hospital, Capital Medical University. We consecutively recruited 1,404 SCAD patients undergoing elective PCI prospectively and evaluated their platelet function using thromboelastography (TEG) from January 2019 to December 2019. All the subjects were Chinese Han population of northern China. All participants were required to receive a loading dose (300 mg) of clopidogrel at least 12 h before PCI or receive a maintenance dose (75 mg, once daily) for at least 5 days before PCI. The following were the exclusion criteria (1) age <18 years old, (2) an abnormal baseline platelet count of <50 × 109/L, or >400 × 109/L, (3) taking other drugs known to affect platelet function, (4) intolerant to DAPT therapy consisting of aspirin and clopidogrel [e.g. BARC type 3 to 5 bleeding (20) or drug allergy], (5) presence of acute heart failure, (6) presence of acute or chronic infections, (7) known history of rheumatic immune diseases, (8) with neoplastic diseases, and (9) severely damaged renal or/and liver function (estimated glomerular filtration rate <30 ml/min/1.73 m2, alanine aminotransferase >2.5 times the normal upper limit). The details of inclusion and exclusion criteria are shown in Figure 1.

Demographic, clinical, and laboratory information

We collected and documented every patient’s information according to the unified standard process. Data entry clerks collected demographic, clinical, and laboratory information from Beijing Anzhen Hospital’s electronic medical record management system and constructed a database. Demographic variables consisted of gender and age. Clinical variables included heart rate, blood pressure, body mass index (BMI), smoking history, and previous medical history. BMI was calculated as weight (kg)/[height(m)]2. Patients with BMI >30 kg/m2 were classified as obese population. Patients’ smoking status was self-reported, which was grouped into current (smoking within the last 3 months), former (quit for more than 3 months before admission), and never smokers. Hypertension was defined as the previous history, use of antihypertensive medication, or current diagnosis (≥140/90 mmHg more than two times on different days). Diabetes was diagnosed according to the previous history of diabetes, current diagnosis based on the guidelines (21), or those who received a prescription of either an oral hypoglycemic agent or insulin. Patients with dyslipidemia were defined as those with total cholesterol (TC) >6.2 mmol/L, high-density
A total of 1404 consecutive SCAD patients undergoing elective PCI received standard DAPT and postoperative TEG test in Beijing Anzhen Hospital from January 2019 to December 2019.

exclusion criteria
- abnormal PLT: 15
- other antiplatelet drugs: 12
- intolerant to DAPT: 7
- acute heart failure, cancer, infections and haematoimmune diseases: 14
- impaired liver or renal function: 22

1334 patients were recruited in current study.

HRPR: 516
non-HRPR: 818

Assessment of platelet aggregation

We used the LEPU TEG System (CFMS, Beijing, China) to assess ADP-induced platelet aggregation, the detection principle of which was described in detail in previous literature (22, 23). Samples of fasting blood were taken from the patients on the following morning after PCI and processed within 2 h. The equipment adds 1 ml of heparinized blood into a vial containing kaolin, and then transfers 500 ml of activated blood to a vial containing heparinase in order to neutralize heparin. Next, the equipment immediately adds 360 ml of neutralized blood into a cup coated with heparinase and measures the maximum amplitude of thrombin-induced clot strength (MAthrombin) by the TEG System. Then, the equipment adds 340 ml of heparinized blood into a cup with activator F and reptilase to measure the maximum amplitude of fibrin cross-linked clot without platelet (MAtfibrin). Finally, the equipment adds 340 ml of heparinized blood to a cup coated with nonheparinase in the presence of the activator F and ADP (2 μmol) to generate a whole blood cross-linked clot with activated platelet and measures its max strength (MAADP). MAADP reflects the peak intensity of clot developed by ADP in a heparinized whole blood sample, which represents the aggregation capacity of platelet and
fibrin induced by ADP. The aggregation capacity of platelet and fibrin induced by thrombin and the aggregation capacity of only fibrin induced by activator F is evaluated by the maximum amplitude of thrombin-induced clot strength (MAthrombin) and of fibrin clot strength (MAfibrin), respectively. The efficacy of clopidogrel in inhibiting ADP-induced platelet aggregation was assessed using ADPi, which was calculated as ADPi = (MAADP – MAfibrin) / (MAADP + MAfibrin) × 100 %. ADP-induced HRPR during clopidogrel treatment was defined as MAADP > 47 mm plus ADP-induced platelet inhibition rate < 50% (24, 25).

Statistical methods

We presented continuously normally or non-normally distributed variables by the mean and standard deviation (SD) or by the median and interquartile range (IQR), and presented categorical variables by number and percentage. Normality distribution was assessed using graphical methods and the Shapiro–Wilk test. To compare differences between groups with low and high responses to clopidogrel, p-values were determined using the Student’s t-test or Mann–Whitney test for continuously normally or non-normally distributed variables and using the chi-square test for categorical variables. C1q level was divided into quartiles, and we detected baseline characteristics’ differences among patients with different quartiles of C1q using analysis of variance, Kruskal–Wallis test, or chi-square test when appropriate. Chi-square test, Student’s t-test, and Mann–Whitney test were used to multiply assess differences in ADP-induced platelet aggregation between the reference group (the lowest quartile of C1q) and each higher level using a Bonferroni-corrected significance level of p = 0.05/3. We calculated the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for HRPR in each C1q quartile using univariate logistic regression analysis. In order to evaluate the effect of C1q on a continuous scale, we additionally constructed models including continuous C1q. Furthermore, we included a series of confounders that were picked based on clinical judgment, previous literature, and statistical significance, in multivariable regression models while correcting for confounders (1) Model 1: adjusted for age and sex (female); (2) Model 2: adjusted for variables included in Model 1 and smoking status (current smoker), and medical history of diabetes and hypertension; (3) Model 3: adjusted for variables included in Model 2 and laboratory data including PLT, WBC, Hb, LDL-C, HDL-C, eGFR, and hs-CRP. To reduce the risk of distorted model, we additionally calculated the variance inflation factor (VIF) for each covariate to test data multicollinearity. All models met our criteria of nonmulticollinearity with VIF less than 5 (Supplementary Table 1). A linear trend test across quartiles was performed by assigning medians to each quartile of C1q as a continuous variable in these models. We also used restricted cubic splines (RCSs) fitted for univariate and multivariable regression models with three knots at the 25th, 50th, and 75th percentiles to flexibly model and visualize the nonlinear relation between C1q and HRPR risk. We set the median of C1q’s first quartile as the reference. A likelihood ratio test comparing the RCS model with a model including only a linear term was used to test for potential non-linearity. A two-tailed p-value of < 0.05 was considered significant. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics 26.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and R (v. 4.0.5).

Results

Baseline characteristics

After screening according to inclusion and exclusion criteria, a total of 1,334 participants were consecutively recruited in this study, of whom 516 patients (38.7%) had low response to clopidogrel. All covariate data of interest are complete. Baseline characteristics are presented using stratification based on low and high ADP-induced platelet aggregation in Table 1. Patients with HRPR are older and have a higher proportion of female patients, compared to the controls. The proportion of current or former smokers in patients with HRPR is lower, which reaches borderline statistical significance (p = 0.053). Patients with HRPR are more likely to have a medical history of hypertension (p = 0.053) and diabetes and have lower levels of WBC, Hb, and eGFR as well as higher levels of PLT, LDL-C, HDL-C, hs-CRP, and C1q (178.49 ± 31.11 mg/L vs. 168.56 ± 31.57 mg/L, p < 0.001) at baseline laboratory data.

Correlations between C1q and other variables

A total of 1,334 participants were split into four quartiles based on C1q: Q1 (0, 151.1], Q2 (151.1, 168.1], Q3 (168.1, 191.9], and Q4 (191.9, ∞). Table 2 shows covariates of interest and detects differences in patients with different C1q quartiles. Correlations between individual covariates of interest and correlations between C1q and TEG parameters were assessed using Pearson test or Spearman test as appropriate. Figure 2 shows statistically significant correlation (p-value < 0.05) and correlation coefficient r. C1q has weak but significant positive associations with female patients, history of hypertension, WBC, PLT, TC, LDL-C, hs-CRP, and MAADP while correlating negatively with age and ADPc.

TEG parameters in patients with different C1q levels

Figure 3 displays monotonic variation of increased MAADP and decreased ADPc with the increase of C1q level (median value of MAADP in each quartile: 39.60 mm, 42.85 mm, 45.10 mm, and
46.40 mm; mean value of ADP, in each quartile: 39.28%, 38.74%, 35.71%, and 34.75%). Additionally, patients with higher C1q level were more likely to perform HRPR (Q1: 26.1%, Q2: 38.4%, Q3: 43.8%, and Q4: 46.4%). MAADP and frequency of HRPR in each higher C1q quartile significantly increase compared to the reference group (all p-values < 0.001), but the significant difference in ADPi is present only between the lowest and the highest quartile of C1q (p = 0.011).

| Variables                      | Overall | Patients without HRPR | Patients with HRPR | p     |
|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------|
| N (%)                          | 1,334   | 818 (61.3%)           | 516 (38.7%)        | -     |
| Clinical data                  |         |                       |                    |       |
| Female, n (%)                  | 361 (27.1%) | 158 (19.3%)          | 203 (39.3%)        | <0.001|
| Age (years), mean ± SD         | 61.28 ± 9.53 | 60.35 ± 9.656        | 62.75 ± 9.130      | <0.001|
| BMI (kg/m²), mean ± SD         | 25.93 ± 6.05 | 25.82 ± 3.20         | 26.10 ± 8.86       | 0.417 |
| Obesity, n (%)                 | 125 (9.4%) | 71 (8.7%)            | 54 (10.5%)         | 0.276 |
| HR (bpm), mean ± SD            | 69.55 ± 7.64 | 69.61 ± 7.61         | 69.45 ± 7.69       | 0.703 |
| SBP (mmHg), mean ± SD          | 129.04 ± 15.86 | 128.43 ± 15.91      | 130.00 ± 15.75     | 0.079 |
| DBP (mmHg), mean ± SD          | 75.35 ± 10.99 | 75.55 ± 10.92        | 75.03 ± 11.10      | 0.399 |
| Smoking                        | 343 (25.7%) | 228 (27.9%)          | 115 (22.3%)        | 0.053 |
| Ex-smoker, n (%)               | 92 (6.9%)  | 51 (6.2%)            | 41 (7.9%)          |       |
| Never-smokers, n (%)           | 899 (67.4%) | 539 (65.9%)          | 360 (69.8%)        |       |
| Medical history                |         |                       |                    |       |
| Hypertension, n (%)            | 828 (62.1%) | 491 (60.0%)          | 337 (65.3%)        | 0.053 |
| Diabetes, n (%)                | 470 (35.2%) | 267 (32.6%)          | 203 (39.3%)        | 0.013 |
| Dyslipidemia, n (%)            | 638 (47.8%) | 394 (48.2%)          | 244 (47.3%)        | 0.754 |
| Previous cerebrovascular disease, n (%) | 115 (8.6%) | 65 (7.9%)      | 50 (9.7%)          | 0.269 |
| Previous PCI, n (%)            | 384 (28.8%) | 250 (30.6%)          | 134 (26.0%)        | 0.071 |
| Previous CABG, n (%)           | 31 (2.3%)  | 17 (2.1%)            | 14 (2.7%)          | 0.453 |
| Biochemical data               |         |                       |                    |       |
| WBC (×10^9/L), mean ± SD       | 6.72 ± 1.89 | 6.88 ± 1.95          | 6.45 ± 1.75        | <0.001|
| Hb (g/L), mean ± SD            | 140.52 ± 15.97 | 143.11 ± 15.68      | 136.42 ± 15.57     | <0.001|
| PLT (×10^9/L), mean ± SD       | 212.71 ± 3.86 | 205.88 ± 53.82      | 223.55 ± 52.18     | <0.001|
| ALT (mmol/L), median [IQR]     | 20.00 [15.00–29.00] | 21.00 [15.00–29.00] | 20.00 [15.00–30.00] | 0.194 |
| AST (mmol/L), median [IQR]     | 21.00 [18.00–25.00] | 21.00 [18.00–25.00] | 21.00 [17.25–26.00] | 0.697 |
| ALB (g/L), mean ± SD           | 42.31 ± 3.59 | 42.31 ± 3.45         | 42.32 ± 3.79       | 0.973 |
| TC (mmol/L), mean ± SD         | 4.01 ± 0.98  | 3.94 ± 0.96          | 4.11 ± 1.01        | 0.003 |
| LDL-C (mmol/L), mean ± SD      | 2.34 ± 0.80  | 2.30 ± 0.79          | 2.40 ± 0.82        | 0.027 |
| HDL-C (mmol/L), mean ± SD      | 1.09 ± 0.25  | 1.07 ± 0.24          | 1.11 ± 0.26        | 0.027 |
| TG (mmol/L), mean ± SD         | 1.62 ± 1.19  | 1.65 ± 1.29          | 1.59 ± 1.01        | 0.411 |
| FBG (mmol/L), median [IQR]     | 5.65 [5.06–6.96] | 5.63 [5.01–7.00]    | 5.72 [5.12–6.91]   | 0.097 |
| HbA1C (%), median [IQR]        | 6.0 [5.80–7.00] | 6.20 [5.70–6.90]    | 6.30 [5.80–7.10]   | 0.059 |
| UA (μmol/L), mean ± SD         | 348.66 ± 86.62 | 350.05 ± 82.79      | 346.47 ± 92.41     | 0.474 |
| Creatinine (μmol/L), mean ± SD | 7.10 ± 1.244 | 7.29 ± 1.15         | 7.07 ± 1.82        | 0.152 |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m²), median [IQR] | 95.09 [86.93–102.21] | 95.09 [86.93–102.21] | 93.41 [84.46–99.96] | 0.001 |
| Hs-CRP (mg/L), median [IQR]    | 1.18 [0.50–2.93] | 1.05 [0.44–2.61]    | 1.4300 [0.69–3.47] | <0.001|
| C1q (mg/L), mean ± SD          | 172.41 ± 31.75 | 168.56 ± 31.57      | 178.49 ± 31.11     | <0.001|

HRPR, high residual platelet reactivity; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; BMI, body mass index; HR, heart rate; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; PLT, platelet count; Hb, hemoglobin; WBC, white blood cell count; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALB, albumin; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; FBG, fasting blood glucose; HbA1C, hemoglobin A1C; UA, uric acid; CR, creatinine; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; MAADP, maximum amplitude of ADP-induced clot strength; ADPi, ADP-induced platelet inhibition rate.
TABLE 2 Covariates of interest in patients with different C1q levels.

| Variables          | Overall | Q1 (334) | Q2 (333) | Q3 (333) | Q4 (334) | p      |
|--------------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------|
| Female, n (%)      | 361 (27.1%) | 51 (15.3%) | 81 (24.3%) | 104 (31.2%) | 125 (37.4%) | <0.001 |
| Age (years), mean ± SD | 61.28 ± 9.53 | 62.87 (9.35) | 62.18 (9.47) | 60.31 (9.39) | 59.75 (9.58) | <0.001 |
| Smoking            | 0.154                                           |
| Current smoker, n (%) | 343 (25.9%) | 93 (27.8%) | 88 (26.4%) | 83 (24.9%) | 79 (23.7%) |       |
| Ex-smoker, n (%)   | 92 (6.9%) | 22 (6.6%) | 25 (7.5%) | 31 (9.3%) | 14 (4.2%) |       |
| Never-smokers, n (%) | 899 (67.4%) | 219 (65.6%) | 220 (66.1%) | 219 (65.8%) | 241 (72.2%) |       |
| Hypertension, n (%) | 828 (62.1%) | 189 (56.6%) | 204 (61.3%) | 218 (65.5%) | 217 (65.0%) | 0.066 |
| Diabetes, n (%)    | 470 (35.2%) | 109 (32.6%) | 119 (35.7%) | 124 (37.2%) | 118 (35.3%) | 0.657 |
| WBC (×10^9/L), mean ± SD | 6.72 ± 1.89 | 6.26 ± 1.73 | 6.74 ± 1.93 | 6.67 ± 1.89 | 6.71 ± 1.90 | <0.001 |
| Hb (g/L), mean ± SD | 140.52 ± 15.97 | 140.84 (15.97) | 139.77 ± 15.49 | 140.36 ± 15.26 | 139.76 ± 15.38 | 0.320 |
| PLT (×10^9/L), mean ± SD | 212.71 ± 3.86 | 193.41 (50.83) | 209.76 ± 51.93 | 219.37 ± 50.82 | 228.33 ± 55.64 | <0.001 |
| TC (mmol/L), mean ± SD | 4.01 ± 0.98 | 3.75 ± 0.85 | 3.92 ± 1.02 | 4.04 ± 0.87 | 4.33 ± 1.07 | <0.001 |
| LDL-C (mmol/L), mean ± SD | 2.34 ± 0.80 | 2.15 ± 0.76 | 2.246 ± 0.78 | 2.36 ± 0.72 | 2.58 ± 0.89 | <0.001 |
| HDL-C (mmol/L), mean ± SD | 1.09 ± 0.25 | 1.09 ± 0.24 | 1.07 ± 0.26 | 1.094 ± 0.26 | 1.08 ± 0.25 | 0.661 |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73 m²), median [IQR] | 94.57 [85.90–101.23] | 95.52 [86.91–101.75] | 94.40 [86.54–100.69] | 94.49 [84.19–101.70] | 94.33 [85.82–101.08] | 0.834 |
| hs-CRP (mg/L), median [IQR] | 1.18 [0.30–2.93] | 0.61 [0.30–1.58] | 1.11 [0.445–2.6500] | 1.39 [0.62–3.08] | 1.78 [0.66–4.12] | <0.001 |
| C1q (mg/L), mean ± SD | 172.41 ± 31.75 | 136.03 ± 113.44 | 159.74 ± 5.10 | 179.23 ± 7.21 | 214.60 ± 22.57 | <0.001 |
| MAADP (mm), median [IQR] | 43.45 [34.98–51.30] | 39.55 [32.33–48.10] | 42.80 [33.30–50.95] | 45.00 [37.00–52.65] | 46.60 [37.48–54.73] | 0.012 |
| ADP, % (mean ± SD) | 37.15 ± 23.60 | 39.34 ± 21.73 | 38.61 ± 24.54 | 36.02 ± 23.71 | 34.62 ± 24.12 | 0.033 |
| HRPR, n (%)        | 516 (38.7%) | 88 (26.3%) | 128 (38.4%) | 144 (43.2%) | 156 (46.7%) | <0.001 |

HRPR, high residual platelet reactivity; PLT, platelet count; Hb, hemoglobin; WBC, white blood cell count; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; MAADP, maximum amplitude of ADP-induced clot strength; ADP, ADP-induced platelet inhibition rate.

Effect of elevated C1q and other factors on antiplatelet therapy with clopidogrel

C1q quartile was set as an ordered categorical variable, which was included in a univariate logistic regression model. The results show that higher C1q level significantly increases risk of HRPR during clopidogrel therapy compared with the lowest quartile (Q2: OR = 1.745, 95% CI 1.257–2.425, p = 0.001; Q3: OR = 2.130, 95% CI 1.537–2.951, p < 0.001; Q4: OR = 2.450, 95% CI 1.771–3.390, p < 0.001). We constructed three additional multivariate logistic regression models (as described previously) to adjust for confounding variables. As Table 3 shows, elevated C1q level remains to be an independent risk factor of HRPR during clopidogrel therapy (all p of each quartile in models 1, 2, and 3 < 0.05). When C1q was included as a continuous covariate in the logistic regression model, crude odds ratio and adjusted odds ratio were greater than 1. Furthermore, significant upward trends are observed regardless of univariate or multivariate logistic regression models (all p for trend < 0.05). In Table 4, we provided some of the ORs of all variables included in the multivariate analysis. After the multiple regression analysis, age, diabetes, and high PLT were independent factors associated with HRPR.

Restrictive cubic spline

We constructed restrictive cubic spline models to flexibly visualize the relationship between the risk of HRPR during clopidogrel therapy and serum C1q on a continuous scale with or without correcting for covariates. In Figure 4, inverted L-shaped RCS curve indicates a non-linear relationship (p for non-linear < 0.05). The crude and adjusted odds ratios for HRPR (the median of C1q’s first quartile was set as reference value: 138.7 mg/L) rise with the increase of C1q until approximately 180 mg/L of C1q, then the curves are relatively gentle and the slope changes little.

Discussion

We reported the following novel findings based on the present cross-sectional study. Serum level of C1q is correlated with ADP-induced platelet aggregation assessed by TEG parameters in CAD patients receiving clopidogrel therapy and elevated C1q was proved to be an independent risk factor for HRPR induced by ADP during clopidogrel treatment. Besides these, there exist weak but significant associations between C1q...
and several HRPR-related factors detected in current and previous studies. Previous studies had demonstrated that the occurrence of HRPR significantly increases the risk of thrombotic and ischemic events in CAD patients (26, 27), which might suggest the potential predictive value of C1q in predicting certain adverse cardiovascular events. Based on the above findings, C1q emerges as a convenient, accessible, and reliable predictor for HRPR induced by ADP during clopidogrel treatment.

Antiplatelet therapy represents the cornerstone of secondary prevention for avoiding coronary artery thrombosis in patients with CAD. Although novel potent P2Y12 receptor antagonists including prasugrel and ticagrelor had already been widely applied in clinical practice, clopidogrel is still indispensable in many clinical scenarios due to its advantages in lower bleeding risk, lower economic burden, and better medication adherence (2, 28). As a prodrug, clopidogrel exerts its effect after being metabolized by cytochrome P450 (CYP450). There is a higher incidence of HRPR in east Asians, due to the higher prevalence of the CYP2C19 loss-of-function alleles (29), and the incidence in the current study population is 38.7%. The genetic factor is a crucial, but not a unique factor affecting antiplatelet treatment with clopidogrel (30), and the routine detection of HRPR-related genotypes increases healthcare costs. Therefore, it is essential to investigate other potential risk factors of HRPR. Contemporary biomedical literature supports that inflammation may play an important role in the progression of atherosclerosis and thrombosis initiated by rupture of atherosclerotic plaques, and a previous study found that inflammatory biomarkers could influence ADP-induced platelet aggregation assessed by platelet function test during clopidogrel treatment (31). As a major participant in innate immune responses and inflammatory processes, the complement system is also involved in the regulation of atherosclerosis. C1q can induce cytokines and enhance NLRP3 inflammasome to promote atherosclerosis development by initiating a classical pathway (32) while retarding the formation of the necrotic core in plaques via promoting cholesterol efflux from macrophage foam cells and downregulating their apoptosis (33). Despite evidence that C1q and downstream complement components in the classical pathway interact with platelets to activate platelets and induce thrombosis (18), no former studies had explored the effect of C1q on antiplatelet therapy with clopidogrel.

TEG is a dynamic observation of the blood coagulation process by dynamic measurement of clot strength. MAADP represents the peak clot strength in citrated or heparinized
whole blood samples with the addition of moderate ADP (23), which is used to evaluate the aggregation capacity of both platelet and fibrin induced by ADP. The current consensus sets $\text{MA}_{\text{ADP}} > 47 \text{ mm}$ as a predictive factor of ischemic events in post-PCI patients with DAPT (34). The efficacy of clopidogrel in reducing ADP-induced platelet aggregation is assessed using $\text{ADPi}$, which is calculated according to the formula: $\text{ADPi} = \frac{(\text{MA}_{\text{ADP}} - \text{MA}_{\text{Fibrin}})}{(\text{MA}_{\text{Thrombin}} - \text{MA}_{\text{Fibrin}})} \times 100\%$. We established a composite criterion

**TABLE 3** Odds ratio (OR) and 95% CI for HRPR in each C1q quartile.

| C1q, mg/L | Non-HRPR | HRPR | Crude OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) in model 1 | OR (95% CI) in model 2 | OR (95% CI) in model 3 | p for trend | p for trend |
|-----------|----------|------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|
| Q1, ≤151.1 | -        | -    | 1.010 (1.006–1.014) | 1.009 (1.005–1.013) | 1.009 (1.005–1.013) | 1.009 (1.005–1.014) | -          | <0.001     |
| Q2, (151.1, 168.1] | 246 | 88 | 1.745 (1.257–2.425) | 1.678 (1.199–2.349) | 1.668 (1.191–2.337) | 1.722 (1.215–2.440) | -          | <0.001     |
| Q3, (168.1, 191.9] | 205 | 128 | 2.130 (1.537–2.951) | 2.047 (1.459–2.872) | 2.030 (1.446–2.851) | 2.015 (1.413–2.874) | -          | <0.001     |
| Q4, >191.9 | 189 | 144 | 2.450 (1.771–3.390) | 2.286 (1.626–3.214) | 2.279 (1.641–3.207) | 2.362 (1.631–3.421) | -          | <0.001     |

$^1$Odds ratio (OR) and 95% CI for HRPR in each C1q quartile were calculated using univariate and multivariable logistic regression. Model 1 was adjusted for age, sex (female), smoking status (current smoker), and medical history of diabetes and hypertension. Model 2 was adjusted for variables included in Model 1, smoking status (current smoker), and medical history of diabetes and hypertension. Model 3 was adjusted for variables included in Model 2 and laboratory data including PLT, WBC, Hb, LDL-C, HDL-C, eGFR, and hs-CRP. $^2$Test for trend based on variable containing median value for each quintile. HRPR, high residual platelet reactivity; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; PLT, platelet count; Hb, hemoglobin; WBC, white blood cell count; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein.
that defined patients with a MAADP of >47 mm plus an ADPi of <50% as patients with HRPR based on previous literature (24, 25). Our findings show that serum C1q is significantly positively related to MA ADP as well as negatively related to ADP i. Compared to MAADP, ADPi shows a much weaker association with C1q, which is demonstrated by a smaller correlation coefficient and only one significant difference of ADPi between the lowest and the highest quartile of C1q. At the same time, HRPR risk exhibits an inverted L-shaped curve pattern with an increase in serum C1q.

| variables | OR (95% CI) in model 1 | OR (95% CI) in model 2 | OR (95% CI) in model 3 |
|-----------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Age       | 1.026 (1.013–1.039)    | 1.026 (1.013–1.040)    | 1.023 (1.008–1.040)    |
| Sex       | 2.212 (1.704–2.863)    | 2.236 (1.706–2.932)    | 1.372 (0.991–1.889)    |
| Current smoker | -                     | -                      | 1.184 (0.880–1.592)    |
| Diabetes  | -                      | 1.117 (0.840–1.486)    | 1.184 (0.880–1.592)    |
| Hypertension | -                     | 1.235 (0.971–1.571)    | 1.290 (1.004–1.658)    |
| PLT       | -                      | 1.056 (0.830–1.345)    | 1.092 (0.849–1.405)    |
| WBC       | -                      | 1.106 (0.807–1.409)    | 1.066 (1.004–1.099)    |
| Hb        | -                      | 1.080 (0.975–0.993)    | 1.080 (0.975–0.993)    |
| LDL-C     | -                      | 1.101 (0.943–1.285)    | 1.101 (0.943–1.285)    |
| HDL-C     | -                      | 1.084 (0.654–1.797)    | 1.084 (0.654–1.797)    |
| eGFR      | -                      | 0.999 (0.990–1.008)    | 0.999 (0.990–1.008)    |
| hsCRP     | -                      | 1.023 (0.996–1.052)    | 1.023 (0.996–1.052)    |

ORs (and 95% CIs) for HRPR of other variables were calculated using univariate and multivariable logistic regression. HRPR, high residual platelet reactivity; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; PLT, platelet count; Hb, hemoglobin; WBC, white blood cell count; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein.
The explanations for current findings are unclear but may be multifactorial. Consistent with previous literature (30, 35), current research identified several HRPR-related factors, including aging, sex, worse renal function, and diabetes. The correlations of C1q with some of these factors might partially explain our findings. Despite adjusting for potential mediator variables and confounders, elevated C1q is still an independent indicator of platelet aggregation assessed by TEG parameters, which implies that complement components themselves affected ADP-induced platelet activity in CAD patients treated by clopidogrel. As a prodrug, clopidogrel needs to be metabolized by CYP450 to execute its antiplatelet function. Elevated inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-6 (IL-6) and the tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) downregulate the expression and activity of CYP450 in the state of inflammation (36, 37). We propose a hypothetical explanation that C1q may cause pharmacokinetic effects on CYP2C19-mediated clopidogrel metabolism by participating in the inflammatory process. Previous evidence that C1q enhances the release of inflammatory cytokines including TNF-α and IL-6 might partially support our hypothesis (38, 39). P-glycoprotein, a transmembrane transporter encoded by the ABCB1 gene, can expel drugs (including clopidogrel) from the cell interior. ABCB1 polymorphisms and abnormal activity of P-glycoprotein affect clopidogrel’s absorption and transport, and TRITON-TIMI 38 trial (40) had detected that the ABCB1 3435 TT genotype attenuates platelet inhibition and increases the risk of recurrent ischemic events in acute coronary syndrome patients receiving clopidogrel treatment. In the field of oncology, some literature had found that WNT/β-catenin canonical signaling pathway positively regulates the ABCB1 gene expression in tumor cells (41). Naito et al. (42) provided evidence demonstrating complement C1q as an activator of Wnt signaling. These existing findings suggest a plausible mechanism that elevated C1q affects clopidogrel absorption and transport via activating Wnt signaling to promote p-glycoprotein expression. Besides these, C1q binding to C1q receptors (gC1qR/p33 and cC1qR) expressed on the platelet surface can enhance platelet aggregation and activation (18). After ADP activating platelets via binding to P2Y12 and P2Y1 receptors, platelet degranulation and the release of P-selectin from alpha granules are upregulated. P-selectin localized on the platelet membrane binds P-selectin glycoprotein ligand-1 (PSGL-1) on leukocytes to recruit leukocytes, which enhances the pro-inflammatory and pro-thrombotic effects of leukocytes (43). In vitro experiments had found that clopidogrel downregulates ADP-induced P-selectin expression and platelet-leukocyte adhesion (44). C1q binding to C1q receptors can promote the release of P-selectin, then initiate downstream events (18), which is another possible mechanism. Stable thrombosis is formed by platelets and a cross-linked fibrin network. Coagulation is a complex cascade involving activation of the coagulation system, activation of platelet, and formation of cross-linked fibrin, all steps of which interact with each other. The effects of C1q on thrombosis are not restricted to platelet activation and aggregation and involve cross-linking of fibrin. As to why $MA_{ADP}$, a TEG parameter evaluating aggregation capacity of both platelet and fibrin, has a stronger association with C1q than $ADP_{p}$, one explanation may be that C1q directly or indirectly affects cross-linking of fibrin. Considering the above, despite these findings we detected, the exact mechanism remains speculation based on previous research.

In this study, we had tried to avoid effects on C1q level of identifiable inflammation by just recruiting stable CAD patients and setting a series of exclusion criteria. Among the study population, elevated C1q significantly promotes ADP-induced thrombosis measured by TEG parameters and independently predicted the risk of HRPR during clopidogrel therapy. Our findings call for more attention to C1q when physicians formulate antiplatelet prescriptions and evaluate future thrombosis risk for SCAD patients undergoing PCI.

Several limitations of the current study should be acknowledged. Our study was an observational analysis derived from a small single-center sample, which might cause potential bias and limit the extrapolation of our conclusions. Second, we were unable to record some related factors, such as patients’ HRPR-related genotypes, due to limited resources. Third, our cross-sectional study had not analyzed post-discharge follow-up adverse cardiovascular events, which limit clinical application of current findings. We plan to investigate whether C1q could increase the risk of thrombotic events via affecting ADP-induced platelet activity in our future, follow-up study, when we complete a protocol for a detailed, comprehensive study. Fourth, TEG is based on an in vitro coagulation process and not the gold standard for HRPR, which might not make an entirely accurate evaluation of the actual in vivo platelet aggregation. Fifth, both TEG parameters and other laboratory information were measured at baseline only once. Sixth, due to technical limitations in our Department of Laboratory Medicine, we evaluated platelet function by only measuring platelet aggregation. We plan to use more methods to evaluated platelets’ other functions during clopidogrel therapy in future basic research. Finally, the biological mechanism underlying our conclusion remains unclear, and the detailed mechanism needs further investigation.

**Conclusion**

The current study firstly indicated that elevated C1q promotes TEG-simulated platelet aggregation induced by ADP in CAD patients during clopidogrel therapy and elevated C1q is an independent risk factor of clopidogrel HRPR. Our finding implied that elevated C1q is a novel, accessible, and reliable clinical biomarker used for risk assessment of clopidogrel HRPR and to help guide the use of antiplatelet treatment.
Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Beijing Anzhen Hospital, Capital Medical University. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

Author contributions

ZZ designed the study, analyzed the data, and drafted the manuscript. MM and XH analyzed the data and drew the figures. KH and TS collected the data from the electronic medical system and constructed the database. SY and YZ designed the study and revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by the grant from National Key Research and Development Program of China (2017YFC0908800), Beijing Municipal Administration of Hospitals’ Mission plan (SML20180601), Capital’s Funds for Health Improvement and Research (CFH 2020-2-2063), and Beijing Municipal Natural Science Foundation (7202041).

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2022.969984/full#supplementary-material

References

1. Ma LY, Chen WW, Gao RL, Liu LS, Zhu ML, Wang YJ, et al. China Cardiovascular diseases report 2018: An updated summary. I J geriatric Cardiol JGC (2020) 17(1):1–8. doi: 10.1093/ijgc/dnz190.
2. Lawton JS, Tamis-Holland JE, Bangalore S, Bates ER, Beckie TM, Bischoff JM, et al. 2021 ACC/AHA/Sci guideline for coronary revascularization: Executive summary: A report of the American college of Cardiology/American heart association joint committee on clinical practice guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol (2022) 79(2):197–215. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2021.09.005.
3. Bertrand ME, Rupprecht HJ, Urban P, Gerhlick AH. Double-blind study of the safety of clopidogrel with and without a loading dose in combination with aspirin compared with ticlopidine in combination with aspirin after coronary stenting: The clopidogrel aspirin stent international cooperative study (Classics). Circulation (2000) 102(6):624–9. doi: 10.1161/01.cir.102.6.624.
4. Neumann FJ, Sousa-Uva M, Ablsson A, Alfonso F, Ranting AP, Benedetto U, et al. 2018 Esc/Eacts guidelines on myocardial revascularization. Eur Heart J (2019) 40(2):87–165. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy394.
5. Galli M, Benenati S, Franchi F, Rollini F, Capodanno D, Biondi-Zoccai G, et al. Comparative effects of guided vs. potent P2y12 inhibitor therapy in acute coronary syndrome: A network meta-analysis of 61 898 patients from 15 randomized trials. Eur Heart J (2022) 43(10):959–67. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehab686.
6. Shojo S, Kuno T, Fujisaki T, Takagi H, Iriasoulin A, Deharo P, et al. De-escalation of dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with acute coronary syndromes. J Am Coll Cardiol (2021) 78(8):763–77. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2021.06.012.
7. Gimbel M, Qaderdan K, Willemens L, Hernandes R, Bergmeijer T, de Vely E, et al. Clopidogrel versus ticagrelor or prasugrel in patients aged 70 years or older with non-St-Elevation acute coronary syndrome (Popular age): The randomised, open-label, non-inferiority trial. Lancet (London England) (2020) 395(10233):1374–81. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30235-1.
8. Warlo EMK, Arnesen H, Seljeflot I. A brief review on resistance to P2y(12) receptor antagonism in coronary artery disease. Thromb J (2019) 17(11). doi: 10.1186/s12979-019-0197-5.
9. Snoep JD, Hovens MM, Ekenboom JC, van der Bom JG, Jukema JW, Huisman MV. Clopidogrel nonresponsiveness in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention with stenting: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Am Heart J (2007) 154(2):221–31. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2007.04.014.
10. Siller-Matula JM, Tenek D, Schroth K, Gawaz M, Kristensen SD, Storey RF, et al. Response variability to P2y12 receptor inhibitors: Expectations and reality. JACC Cardiovasc Interventions (2013) 6(11):1111–28. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2013.06.011.
11. Kosuer L, Madhukaran SP, Shastrl A, Saraon A, Ferluga J, Al-Mozaini M, et al. Emerging and novel functions of complement protein C1q. Front Immunol (2015) 6:317. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2015.00317.
12. van de Bovenkamp FS, Dijaktra DJ, van Kooten C, Gelderman KA, Trouw LA. Circulating C1q levels in health and disease, more than just a biomarker. Mol Immunol (2021) 140:206–16. doi: 10.1016/j.molimm.2021.10.010.
13. Kiss MG, Binder CJ. The Multifaceted Impact of Complement on Atherosclerosis. Atherosclerosis (2022) 351:29–40. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2022.03.014.
14. Ia Y, Wen W, Yang Y, Huang M, Ning Y, Jiao X, et al. The clinical role of combined serum C1q and hs-CRP in predicting coronary artery disease. Clin Biochem (2021) 93:50–8. doi: 10.1016/j.cl nbi ochem.2021.04.004.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.
High residual platelet reactivity after clopidogrel loading and long-term treatment: A cross-sectional study of patients with type 2 diabetes in 3 major East Asian subpopulations with comparison to Caucasians and Africans. J Clin Pharmacol (2010) 50(8):929–40. doi: 10.1177/0021983109355161

Angiolillo DJ, Capodanno D, Danchin N, Simon T, Bergmeier TO, Ten Berg JM, et al. Derivation, validation, and prognostic utility of a prediction rule for nonresponse to clopidogrel: The abcd-gene score. JACC Cardiovasc Interventions (2020) 13(5):606–17. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2020.01.226

Li J, Yuan D, Jiang L, Tang X, Xu J, Song Y, et al. Similar inflammatory biomarkers reflect different platelet reactivity in percutaneous coronary intervention patients treated with clopidogrel: A Large-sample study from China. Front Cardiovasc Med (2021) 8:736466. doi: 10.3389/fmcv.2021.736466

Samiod BA, Niyomma N, Nymo SA, Aune MH, Ryan L, Bakke SS, et al. Cholesterol crystals induce complement-dependent inflammation and cytokine release. J Immunol (Baltimore Md 1950) (2014) 192(6):2837–45. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1302484

Pulanco MC, Cosman J, Ho MM, Huynh J, Feng K, Turcu J, et al. Complement protein C1Q enhances macrophage foam cell survival and effecoryosis. J Immunol (Baltimore Md 1950) (1978) 181:472–80. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1601445

Tsantrizos US, Bonello L, Aradi D, Price MJ, Jeong YH, Angiolillo DJ, et al. Consensus and update on the definition of on-treatment platelet reactivity to adenosine diphosphate associated with ischemia and bleeding. J Am Coll Cardiol (2013) 62(24):2261–73. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.07.101

Zhao X, Li Q, Tu C, Zeng Y, Ye Y. High glycated albumin is an independent predictor of low response to clopidogrel in ACS patients: A cross-sectional study. Cardiovasc Diabetol (2020) 19(1):171. doi: 10.1186/s12933-020-01146-w

Distelmaier K, Adlibrecht C, Jakowitsch J, Winkler D, Dunkler D, Gerner C, et al. Local complement activation triggers neutrophil recruitment to the site of thrombus formation in acute myocardial infarction. Thromb Haemost (2009) 102(3):564–72. doi: 10.1160/09fh02-0103

Mehrani R, Rao SV, Bhatt DL, Gibson CM, Caixeta A, Ezekowitz J, et al. Standardized bleeding definitions for cardiovascular clinical trials: A consensus report from the bleeding academic research consortium. Circulation (2011) 123(23):2736–47. doi: 10.1161/circulationaha.109.099449

American Diabetes Association Professional Practice C. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2022. Diabetes care (2022) 45(Suppl 1):S17–S23. doi: 10.2337/dc22-5002

Whiting D, Di Nardo J. Aeg and roten: Technology and clinical applications. Am J Hematol (2014) 89(2):228–32. doi: 10.1002/ajh.23599

Le Quellec S, Bordet JC, Negrier C, Dargaud Y. Comparison of current platelet functional tests for the assessment of aspirin and clopidogrel response. A trial of the trial. Eur Heart J (2020) 41(36):3599–608. doi: 10.1160/th20-01-0020.