Dot-ELISA Affinity Test: An Easy, Low-Cost Method to Estimate Binding Activity of Monoclonal Antibodies
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Abstract

Selecting the "right" monoclonal antibody (mAb) for an immunoaffinity-based application can be tricky, as many mAb producers offer a wide range of mAb clones against molecular structures of interest. Since there are significant differences in the quality of mAb clones, and particularly in their binding activity, an easy method for quick and low-cost comparison of various mAb clones was developed. The dot-ELISA affinity test is a simple, versatile and instrumentally no demanding technique, since it requires no expensive equipment (such as an ELISA reader or chemiluminescence/fluorescence imaging system) and can be performed in any biochemical laboratory. This method is based on a previously described dot-ELISA technique that is improved with a chaotropic step using different concentrations of ammonium thiocyanate in the range 0-2 M. In this work, the dot-ELISA affinity test was optimized on A\textsubscript{β} peptide as antigen and anti-A\textsubscript{β} mAb. Such protocol was then applied to a panel of eight anti-EpCAM (epithelial cell adhesion molecule) mAbs which should be subsequently used for preparation of magnetic immunosorbent to capture circulating tumor cells (CTCs).
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Introduction

Monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) are used today in a wide range of research and clinical applications, including as diagnostic or research reagents in biotechnologies as well as in human therapy. The major turning point in the use of mAbs occurred with the hybridoma technique for mAb production described by Köhler and Milstein [1]. Since that time, mAbs have been produced on a large scale. The market now offers an immense number of different mAb clones reacting with specific antigen (Ag) epitopes. When searching for a suitable mAb clone, therefore, we usually obtain a list of multiple companies selling antibodies of particular specificity (regarding species, antigen, type [primary or secondary], etc.) [2]. However, information about Ab affinity for the specific Ag is usually not provided. One reason for this could be the fact that affinity might be influenced by the conditions of the immunoassay methodology and/or antigen used [3]. Our experience has been that even the same antibody clones with the same epitope specificity coming from various providers differ not only by price, transport medium additives (e.g., BSA, trehalose, sodium azide) and storage stability but most importantly by their affinity for the target antigen. Hence, if we are not interested in absolute numeric determination of affinity constant but need only to know whether particular mAb clones really react with the antigen, then to select one with the desired affinity an easy and affordable technique known as the dot-ELISA affinity test may be applied. This simple, semi-quantitative method combines the principle of dot-ELISA technique with a modified ELISA test using a chaotropic reagent for determining the avidity index of a polyclonal antibody [4,5] and/or the affinity index of the mAb [6,7].

Conventional dot-ELISA technique [8,9], also known as dot-immunoassay [10,11] is a highly versatile solid-phase immunoassay for antibody or antigen detection on blotting membrane. Protein samples to be identified are spotted through circular templates of dot blot manifold using vacuum directly onto the blotting membrane and immunoblotting detection follows [8,12,13]. In the newly developed dot-ELISA affinity test, a chaotropic step is implemented into a conventional dot-ELISA protocol and coming immediately after the creation of immune complexes (Ag-Ab) on the blotting membrane. It consists in incubating membrane squares with increasing molarity of the chaotropic reagent ammonium thiocyanate from 0 to 2 mol/L. The resistance of the immune complex to the action of ammonium thiocyanate correlates with the affinity index of the mAb clone. According to MacDonald et al. [6], the affinity index is expressed as the molarity of chaotropic reagent causing 50\% reduction in the initial optical density, known as the 50\% inhibitory concentration (IC\textsubscript{50}). The higher mAbs affinity index the higher the concentration of ammonium thiocyanate the immune complex is able to resist. Presence of immune complexes on the membrane was detected directly using enzyme-conjugated immunoglobulins and substrate (Figure 1).

First, we developed and validated the dot-ELISA affinity protocol using a biospecific pair of anti-A\textsubscript{β}mAb and A\textsubscript{β} 1-42 peptides. The verified protocol was subsequently applied on a panel of eight anti-EpCAM (epithelial cell adhesion molecule) mAbs clones from five
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different suppliers to estimate their binding activity. Our goal was to use this innovated method for selecting an anti-EpCAM mAb clone to prepare magnetic immunosorbent for capture of circulating tumor cells (CTCs), because one of the relevant markers of CTC is high density of EpCAM structure located on their surface. Next, three anti-EpCAM clones with parameters in compliance with our requirements were used in preparing such magnetic immunosorbent. Their ability to capture EpCAM-positive cells was proven and compared with results from the dot-ELISA affinity test. Final observations and possible applications of the dot-ELISA affinity test are discussed here.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Bovine serum albumin (BSA), phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), Tween 20, NiCl₂, N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N′-ethyl carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC), MES [2-(N-morpholino) ethane sulfonic acid], goat anti-mouse IgG with HRP (horseradish peroxidase), and anti-Aβ mAb (clone NAB228) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, US). Mouse monoclonal anti-human EpCAM IgG₁ clones were acquired as follows: 323/A3 was from Abcam (Cambridge, UK); HEA-125 from BioPrime (Aachen, Germany); C-10, 323/A3, KS/1 and HEA-125; from Santa Cruz Biotechnologies (St. Cruz, CA, US); 4A8H7D12 from Sino Biological (Beijing, China) and HEA-125 from Progen Biotechnik (Heidelberg, Germany). NH₄SCN and H₂O₂ were provided by Penta (Chrudim, Czech Republic), recombinant EpCAM protein was from Sino Biological (Beijing, China), and antigen Aβ 1-42 was from ApexBio (Esence, Czech Republic). 3,3′-Diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB) and PVDF membrane (ImmuNoBlot™ PVDF Membrane, 0.2 μm porosity) were purchased from BioRad (Hercules, CA, US) and Falcon-Multiwell tissue culture polystyrene plate with flat bottom was from Becton Dickinson (Lincoln Park, NJ, US). Magnetic monodisperse macroporous poly(glycidyl methacrylate-co-2-[(methoxycarbonyl)methoxy]ethyl methacrylate-co-ethyl dimethacrylate) microspheres, 4 μm in diameter-for short, (P(GMA-MOEAA-EDMA))-NH₂ microspheres with carboxyl group were provided by the Institute of Macromolecular Chemistry AS CR (Prague, Czech Republic). Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM), fetal calf serum, penicillin-streptomycin antibiotics and insulin were all purchased from Life Technologies (Carlsbad, CA, USA). The human breast adenocarcinoma MCF7 cell line was provided by the Institute of Macromolecular Chemistry AS CR (Prague, Czech Republic). Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM), fetal calf serum, penicillin-streptomycin antibiotics and insulin were all purchased from Life Technologies (Carlsbad, CA, USA). The human breast adenocarcinoma MCF7 cell line was purchased from Health Protection Agency Culture Collections (Salisbury, UK).

Dot-ELISA affinity test

Recombinant antigen (1-8 μg/dot) was applied on PVDF membrane using a DHM-96 dot blot manifold (Scie-Plas, Cambridge, UK) providing 96 dots, 3 mm in diameter. This was connected to a vacuum pump rated at 600 mm Hg (0.8 Bar). After dotting onto the membrane, the Ag was left to dry for several minutes. Subsequently, the membrane was blocked using 5% BSA in PBS-T (PBS with 0.05% Tween 20) for 1 h at room temperature (RT) under gentle orbital shaking. The blocking reagent was then poured off and the membrane was cut into small squares, with one dot per square of membrane. These squares were inserted into a 20-well tissue culture plate with flat bottom, where they were incubated with 0.5 ml of tested antibody (13 μg/mL of IgG in PBS-T with 0.25% BSA) at RT under gentle orbital shaking for 1 h. The membrane squares were washed with PBS-T 3X (quick wash) and 3X incubated with PBS-T for 5 min at RT under gentle orbital shaking. Then the membrane with Ag-Ab complexes on its surface was subjected to the chaotropic reagent treatment. NH₄SCN at different molarities (0-2 M) was added to the membrane and precise incubation at RT and mild shaking for 5 min followed. Immediately after incubation, the reagent was replaced by PBS-T and a washing step similar to the previous one was performed. Finally, the secondary antibody (goat anti-mouse IgG with HRP) diluted 1:10,000 with PBS-T was incubated with the membrane squares for 1 h at RT under gentle orbital shaking. The DAB substrate solution was prepared: 5 mg of DAB was diluted in 10 ml of tris-buffered saline and then 10 μl of 3% H₂O₂ and 90 μl of solution NiCl₂ (80 mg/ml) were added. After short incubation, development of a purple-pink color of insoluble substrate product on the dot was observed by naked eye or camera. Images were taken and examined using the free software Image J (Wayne Rasband, Research Services Branch, National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, MD, US) and the integrated density of spots was determined.

Magnetic anti-EpCAM immunosorbent preparation

The magnetic P (GMA-MOEAA-EDMA)-NH₂ microspheres with carboxyl group were 3× washed with binding buffer (10 mM MES with 50 mM NaCl, pH 6). Then the microspheres were activated by 0.06 M EDC solution in binding buffer and the appropriate amount of anti-EpCAM antibody (50 μg/mg of magnetic microspheres) in binding buffer was added. The coupling proceeded at room temperature for 2 h under stirring. The magnetic microspheres with immobilized anti-EpCAM antibody were 5× washed with binding buffer and stored at 4-8°C in PBS (pH 7.4) containing 0.05% sodium azide.

Immunomagnetic capture of EpCAM-positive cells

The magnetic anti-EpCAM immunosorbents (0.5 mg per tube) were 3× washed with 1 mL of PBS (pH 7.4) containing 0.1% BSA using...
a magnetic separator from Life Technologies (Carlsbad, CA, USA). They were then mixed with 2×10^6 of EpCAM-positive cells (MCF7 cell line) and rolled in a tube rotator for 30 min at RT. In the next step, the microspheres with immuno captured MCF7 cells were 5× washed with PBS (pH 7.4) containing 0.1% BSA to remove all unbound cells, always using a new Eppendorf tube. The captured cells were subsequently observed and counted in a Bürker's chamber using a Nikon Eclipse 80i microscope (Tokyo, Japan) equipped with Nikon Plan Fluor 10×, 20×, 40× and 60× objective lenses and a Nikon digital sight DS-MS camera. The images were acquired and processed using NIS-Elements AR Analysis 3.2 software (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) and a procedure described by Horak et al. [14]. The MCF7 cells used in immunomagnetic separation experiments (expressing EpCAM molecules) were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 1% penicillin-streptomycin antibiotics and 0.01 mg/ml insulin at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere.

Results and Discussion

The aim of our study was to develop a simple method capable semi-quantitatively to predict which of several tested clones are able to satisfy our demands for affinity of mAb clone. The method was based upon dot-ELISA for several reasons. First, this assay allows simultaneous processing of multiple mAb clones and the entire operational procedure requires just 4–6 h, as reported also by other authors [15]. Second, it enables easy loading of protein directly onto membrane which is matter of minutes, and thus it saves time compared to conventional ELISA where loading of protein to well wall is a matter of (often several) hours. Moreover, according to Bakkali et al. [16], a method based on dot-ELISA does not require a purified Ag due to the higher binding capacity of blotting membrane. Moreover, it has lower background and higher sensitivity compared to conventional ELISA.

Finally, the technical steps involved in the assay and required equipment are much simpler than in other immunoassays. An ELISA reader or chemiluminescence imager is not required, for example, as we need only a common camera and readily accessible software for processing of images. If a chemiluminescence imager is at hand in the laboratory, on the other hand, then the sensitivity of the test could be increased and therefore consumption of Ag and Ab decreased.

The dot-ELISA affinity test conditions were optimized over a series of experiments on an Aβ peptide-anti-Aβ mAb system to obtain the ideal ratio of antigen and antibodies as well as concentration range of chaotropic reagent (ammonium thiocyanate). The measured data and their statistics are presented herein. The selection of procedure conditions is first described, and then the potential influence of chaotropic reagent on the Ag adsorbed on the blotting membrane is discussed. Finally, the affinity index of the model Aβ-anti-Aβ system is determined and selection of an anti-EpCAM clone with high affinity is made.

Optimization of dot-ELISA affinity test conditions

First, a suitable concentration of mAb was selected. Two concentrations of anti-AβmAb-1:1000 (13 μM in PBS-T with 0.25% BSA) and/or 1:4000 (53 μM)-were tested using dot-ELISA affinity test. The chaotropic treatment was applied at levels 0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 M of ammonium thiocyanate. The concentration of primary Ab-1:1000 was too low to detect the differences between integrated densities of spots, and hence it was concluded that the concentration 1:1000 would afford better sensitivity and such dilution of primary Ab was used in the following experiments. The main issue was to prepare spots with sufficient density of immuno complexes to monitor the unfolding effect of chaotropic agent applied after but also to avoid the multilayering effect in case of overdosed of secondary antibodies. Therefore, various amounts of antigen Aβ 1–42 (2, 4, 6 and 8 μg) were spotted onto membrane in triplicate. Subsequently, it was incubated with primary antibody and after a washing step the membrane was cut into 3 squares and each was incubated with a different concentration of secondary antibody (1:1000, 1:5000 and 1:10,000). The chaotropic step with NH₄SCN was omitted in this experiment. The membrane developed with DAB is shown in Figure 2A. The graph of densitometric analysis of stained spots on the membrane (Figure 2B) shows their large differences in integrated densities. For the 8 μg of Ag and antibody titer 1:10,000 provided the highest sensitivity, it means big difference in the values of the measured signal at small change of input parameters. Hence, the probability of multilayering or nonspecific adsorption is minimized under these conditions.

Testing of antigen stability on membrane after chaotropic treatment

Since Ag on the blotting membrane is only adsorbed and is not fixed covalently, there could be uncertainty as to whether the chaotropic
Reagent might not only the antibody from the immune complex but the entire immune complex from the PVDF membrane. This could lead to distorted results, and therefore this effect was studied. An identical amount of recombinant Ag (8 μg) was spotted onto the membrane in triplicate for each concentration of ammonium thiocyanate (0, 0.5, 1, 1.5 or 2 M). In addition, three dots without spotted Ag were used as blank. To observe the influence of Ag on the membrane, the chaotropic treatment preceded incubation of the membrane squares with primary and secondary Ab. Figure 3 shows a densitometric analysis for each of the 5 tested concentrations of ammonium thiocyanate performed in triplicate. Within given triplicates, the standard deviation was 0.8-3.08% (average 1.28%) while the standard deviation between the different concentrations of ammonium thiocyanate was only 5.4%. Therefore, we concluded that the chaotropic reagent in the concentration range of 0–2 M NH₄SCN does not significantly influence the stability of the adsorbed Ag.

Evaluation of anti-Aβ antibody affinity

The dot-ELISA affinity test was optimized on an Aβ and anti-Aβ mAb model system. The affinity of mAb was expressed as affinity index, meaning the molarity of chaotropic reagent such that the signal of the immune complex decreased to 50% of the initial integrated density, also known as the 50% inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀). The solution of recombinant Ag (8 μg/dot) was spotted onto PVDF membrane. After incubation with anti-Aβ mAb, there followed the chaotropic step using ammonium thiocyanate at different concentrations (0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0, 1.25, 1.5 M). In addition, three dots were filled only with PBS-T (without Ag) to evaluate the nonspecific adsorption of the PVDF membrane and the integrated density of such dots was used as blank. Repeatedly measured, we observed correlation between the concentration of chaotropic reagent and integrated density of dots on the membrane. The standard deviation between the triplicates of integrated densities was in the range 0.2% to 1.17%. The affinity index of the anti-Aβ mAb was estimated as 0.68 mol/L (Figure 4). In other words, 0.68 M ammonium thiocyanate was sufficiently strong to release 50% of the initial amount of the created immune complexes of Aβ (1-42) and anti-Aβ mAb from the membrane.

Evaluation of anti-EpCAM antibodies affinity

In our research, we were considering the question of how to select a high-affinity anti-EpCAM mAb clone for preparing magnetic immunosorbent to capture EpCAM-expressing cells from among the huge number of anti-EpCAM clones available on the market. We first reduced the number of possible mAb clones by selecting desired epitope specificity. In our case, this was an extracellular part of the EpCAM transmembrane protein and specifically a region among the first 59 aminoacids and which should be easily accessible for antibodies immobilized on magnetic carrier. The next criteria for clone selection were whether it already had been used in some published work and/or originated from a well-known antibody producer. Finally, we considered the factors of price, presence of detergents, preservatives, stabilizing additives, and also availability. Thus, we ended up with 8 mAb clones from 5 different suppliers which would be worthwhile to evaluate by our dot-ELISA affinity test (Table 1). Since clones 323/A3 and HEA-125 seemed promising according to published papers [17,18], those from various providers were tested. The results of the dot-ELISA affinity test are presented in the same table. To simplify the table, the mAb clones rated (+) did not resist 1 M ammonium thiocyanate, and therefore it has the highest affinity for recombinant EpCAM protein. BioP–BioPrime, SC–Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, AbC–Abcam, SB–Sino Biological, PB–ProgenBiotechnik.

Table 1: Tested clones of mouse monoclonal anti-EpCAM antibodies to extracellular part of EpCAM antigen.

| Clone      | Supplier | Storage additive | Dot-ELISA |
|------------|----------|-----------------|-----------|
| HEA-125    | BioP     | 0.5% BSA        | +++       |
| C-10       | SC       | Gelatin         | ++        |
| 323/A3     | AbC      | -               | +         |
| 323/A3     | SC       | Gelatin         |          |
| HEA-125    | SC       | Gelatin         | +++       |
| K8/1       | SC       | Gelatin         |          |
| 4A8H7D12   | SB       | 5% trehalose    | +         |
| HEA-125    | PB       | -               | +++       |

BioP–BioPrime, SC–Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, AbC–Abcam, SB–Sino Biological, PB–ProgenBiotechnik.

Figure 4: Evaluation of mAb affinity as molarity of chaotropic reagent where the initial signal of integrated density of the immune complex decreased to 50%. In the case of anti-Aβ mAb, the affinity index was 0.68 mol/L.

Figure 5: Dot-ELISA affinity test of 3 anti-EpCAM antibodies: The 323/A3 mAb clone did not bind at all, and the integrated density (IntDen) was almost zero. The IntDen of C-10 mAb clone decreased significantly after the action of ammonium thiocyanate. The IntDen of HEA-125 mAb clone did not decrease even after adding 1.5 M ammonium thiocyanate, and therefore it has the highest affinity for recombinant EpCAM protein.
125 clones from different providers showed the highest resistance to the chaotrophic reagent. We based our final clone selection among the three providers based upon their composition of transporting buffer, because such storage additives as BSA or gelatin in the bulk of mAb are undesirable for magnetic immunosorbent preparation. Thus, we evaluated as most suitable the HEA-125 clone from Progen Biotechnik.

Immunomagnetic capture of EpCAM-positive cells in batch arrangement

Three mAb clones with various affinities - HEA-125 (BP, ++), C-10 (SC, ++) and 323/A3 (AbC, +) - that had been previously tested using the dot-ELISA affinity test (Figure 5) were used for preparing magnetic immunosorbent. Their immuno capture efficiency of MCF7 cells was subsequently evaluated according to the procedure described in Immunomagnetic capture of EpCAM-positive cells. The results are presented in Table 2. The clone 323/A3 which showed no affinity for EpCAM antigen in the dot-ELISA affinity test also did not bind the MCF7 cells. The immunosorbent using mAb C-10 with the affinity index 0.50 mol/L demonstrated an immuno capture ability of just 20,000 cells/mg of carrier, whereas the immunosorbent with mAb HEA-125, which had the highest affinity index (1.02 mol/L), showed the highest number of immune captured EpCAM+ cells (440,000 cells/mg of immunosorbent). These results illustrate that the mAb capture ability was correlated with the results obtained by our dot-ELISA affinity test. We may conclude that the dot-ELISA affinity test is able to predict the reactivity of antibodies to target antigen and thus will save time and resources in case of such time-consuming or costly procedures as magnetic immunosorbent preparation. By pretesting mAbs before their implementation into our immunoassay, therefore, we may easily determine into which problems which might occur during its set-up were not caused by the mAb.

Conclusion

This dot-ELISA affinity test is intended for research groups searching for a suitable mAb clone for their immunoassays with a view to its affinity for target Ag that can be a recombinant or even non-purified protein or peptide. This method can serve for easy, low-cost comparison of a panel of mAb clones and may be performed in any biotechnological laboratory since it requires only a dot blot manifold, orbital shaker, camera and PC. Using this method we may test quality of mAbs in relation to their affinity and/or specificity. This is especially useful for immunoanalytical methods where we need a certain level of sensitivity or selectivity, such as immunoaffinity-based or ELIA methods and/or immunohistochemistry.
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Table 2: Summary of results obtained from dot-ELISA affinity test and immunomagnetic separation of EpCAM-positive cells.

| Clone     | Supplier | Dot ELISA affinity test | Number of captured cells |
|-----------|----------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| HEA-125   | BioP     | +++                     | 440,000                  |
| C-10      | SC       | ++                      | 20,000                   |
| 323/A3    | AbC      | +                       | 0                        |
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