Tracking in vitro and in vivo siRNA electrotransfer in tumor cells
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ABSTRACT

RNA interference-mediated gene silencing offers the potential of targeted inhibition of disease-relevant genes. In vivo delivery of RNAi reagents can be obtained by a variety of approaches. Physical delivery methods appear safer and lack side effects. Electro-permeabilization is one of the non-viral methods successfully used to transfer small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) in vitro and in vivo. A promising approach may be, very little is known about the fundamental processes mediating siRNA transfer. In this study, we have investigated cellular delivery pathways involved in electro-delivery of siRNAs by a direct fluorescence imaging method. An Alexa-labeled siRNA was electro-transferred into murine melanoma cells stably-expressing the enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) target reporter gene. The silencing of eGFP gene expression was quantified by time-lapsed fluorescence microscopy. Fluorescently-labeled siRNAs were found distributed homogeneously in cytoplasm 48 hours after electro-transfer, apparently by diffusion. Furthermore, siRNAs showed homogeneous distribution in vivo 48 hrs after intra-tumoral injection followed by electro-permeabilization. Histological fluorescence microscopy showed that siRNAs were mostly localized in the cytoplasm. Overall, this study shows that electro-permeabilization facilitates cytoplasmic distribution of siRNA, both in cultured cells and in vivo. This method offers a potential therapeutic tool to facilitate direct siRNA penetration into solid tumors.
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INTRODUCTION

RNA interference offers a powerful approach to silence post-transcriptional gene expression (Fire et al, 1998) and thus have considerable therapeutic potential (Novina and Sharp, 2004; Akhtar and Benter, 2007). The future use of siRNA as therapeutics will largely rely on the development of efficient in vivo delivery methods, which remains a major challenge (Rossi, 2005; Ryther et al, 2005; Aigner, 2007). A safe siRNA delivery approach requires direct transfer of molecules to the cytoplasm, avoiding off-target interactions associated with the cellular uptake pathways (Heidel et al, 2004). Electric pulses are known to strongly stimulate cellular uptake of various drugs that otherwise show intrinsically poor cellular delivery. Electric pulses have also been frequently used to deliver drug, siRNAs and plasmids into organs and tissues, in vivo (Li, 2004; Wells, 2004; Golzio et al, 2005; Golzio et al, 2007). In rodents, electric pulses have been used to deliver siRNAs into various organs, such as skin (Zhang et al, 2002), eyes (Matsuda and Cepko, 2004), brain (Akaneya et al, 2005), muscles (Golzio et al, 2005;
Kishida et al, 2004), joint tissue (Inoue et al, 2005) and kidneys (Takabatake et al, 2005). However, electro-delivery of large nucleic acids (e.g., plasmids) is less efficient in solid tumors (Rols et al, 1998; Coralli et al, 2001; Cemazar et al, 2002). Electro-delivery offers several specific advantages: for example, delivery of molecules is restricted to the volume where the electric field is generated (Miklavcic et al, 1998); pulse parameters are fully and easily controlled; very few side effects have been reported for these treatments emphasizing the suitability of this physical method for clinical use.

Studies on electro-chemotherapy and electro-genotherapy to enhance the delivery of small molecule drugs and nucleic acids, respectively, are currently underway (e.g., ESOPE and Angioskin European projects). Previously, we generated B16-F10 melanoma cell line stably-expressing enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) and showed that electrical treatment led to siRNA-mediated endogenous gene silencing in solid tumors (Golzio et al, 2007). In this study, in order to assess the intra-cellular distribution of siRNAs, we compared in vitro and in vivo efficacy in gene silencing by electro-transferred fluorescent and non fluorescent siRNA. We then followed the intra-cellular localization of fluorescently-labeled siRNA in cultured cells and in solid tumors, in vivo.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell culture**

B16-F10 melanoma cells expressing the enhanced Green fluorescent protein (B16F10-eGFP), produced by retroviral transduction (see Cemazar et al, 2006) were maintained in Eagle’s minimum essential medium (EMEM; Gibco-Invitrogen, USA) with 10% (v/v) foetal bovine serum (FBS), L-glutamine (0.58 mg/ml, Eurobio, France) in a 5% (v/v) CO₂ humidified incubator at 37°C (Jouan, France).

**siRNAs**

All siRNAs were purchased from Qiagen Xeragon (USA). The eGFP22 siRNA (sense: 5’ GCAAGCUGCAGGCCACGCUUG CCG) was directed against eGFP mRNA and was designed according to Caplen and coworkers (Caplen et al, 2001). To determine the localization of siRNA, a fluorescent Alexa Fluor 546 labeled siRNA was used. The P76 siRNA (sense: 5’ ACGGAGUUGCCGAGCAU dTdT, antisense: 5’ UACCGAGAGCCACUCUCCG dTdT) was directed against an unrelated human mRNA and shows no significant homology to mouse transcripts according to Basic Local Alignment Search Tool analysis. It was used as a control for specificity of the siRNA constructs.

**Permeabilization of cultured cells and electro-transfer of siRNAs**

The penetration of Propidium Iodide (100 µM in a pulsing buffer: 10 mM phosphate, 1 mM MgCl₂, 250 mM sucrose, pH 7.4) (Sigma) was used to monitor permeabilization. 100 µl of the cell suspension (i.e., 5x10⁴ cells) in the pulsing buffer was placed in electro-pulsation chamber, which was designed using stainless steel parallel plates electrodes (10 mm length, 0.5 mm thick and 4 mm inter-electrode distance) brought in contact to the bottom of a 35 mm Petri dish (Nunc, Denmark). Electro-pulsation (EP) was operated by using a CNRS cell electro-pulsator (Jouan), which delivered square-wave electric pulses. An oscilloscope (Enerotec, France) monitored pulse shape online. A uniform electric field was generated when the voltage pulse was delivered. Ten pulses with controlled duration of 5 ms, at a frequency of 1 Hz, were applied at preset electric field intensities at room temperature (25°C). Membrane sealing occurred 5 min after pulse application, keeping internalized dyes trapped. Cells were analyzed by flow cytometry (Becton Dickinson FACScan) to determine the percentage of permeabilized cells (i.e., fluorescent cells). Cell viability 24 hrs after the treatment was determined by coloration using Crystal Violet (Merck, Germany).

Electro-transfer of siRNAs was performed using optimum parameters for cell permeabilization. Cells in suspension (5x10⁵ cells) were transfected with 2 µg of siRNA in pulsing buffer under the same conditions as for permeabilization. Cells were then analyzed by flow cytometry in order to determine the percentage of cells expressing the eGFP, and their associated fluorescence intensity. Cells were then treated with siRNAs and electrical pulses, and were sorted out by flow cytometry (FACScalibur, Becton Dickinson) 48 hrs after treatment to identify silenced cells with silenced eGFP. Selected cells were observed under a microscope.

**Cell Viability analysis**

Cell viability was determined by the ability of cells to grow and divide over a 24 hour period (Gabriel and Teissie, 1995). Cells were pulsed, kept for 10 min at 30°C and then grown on Petri dishes after adding 1 ml of culture medium for 24 hrs at 37°C, in a 5% (v/v) CO₂ incubator. Viability was measured by counting cells with the coloration method as above.

**Mouse tumor model**

Female C57Bl/6 mice were obtained from Rene Janvier (St Isle, France) and were subjected to an adaptation period of at least 10 days before experimentation. They were maintained at a constant room temperature with a 12 hrs light cycle in a conventional animal facility. The mice were 10-14 weeks old at the beginning of the experiments weighing 20-25 g. Tumors were implanted subcutaneously in the right flank of the mice by inoculation of 10⁶ B16F10-eGFP cells in PBS and grown to a size of 5-7 mm in diameter. All procedures were performed with approved protocols, in accordance with the French CNRS and EU commission regulations for laboratory animals’ care.

**In vivo electro-pulsation**

Fifty µl of saline solution (i.e., PBS containing 40 U of the RNase inhibitor, RNAsin) (Promega, USA) and either 12 µg of eGFP22 or of p76 siRNA were slowly injected (for about 15 sec) with a Hamilton syringe through a 26G needle (Hamilton, Switzerland) into the tumor, under 2% (v/v) isoflurane anesthesia. In the control conditions, the volume of siRNA was replaced by siRNA suspension buffer to keep the injection conditions similar. Electro-
The fluorescence emission was obtained with a pentane. Thin sections were cut at a 15 µm thickness from previously described Golzio et al, 2005). A 15 mm² part of polarity. An electronic switch cut the pulse as soon as their pulsation was applied 30 sec after injection. Parallel plate electrodes (length 1 cm, width 0.6 mm, inter-electrode distance 6 mm) (IGEA, Italy) were fitted around the tumor that had been previously shaved with a cream (Veet, Reckitt & Colman, France). A good electric contact was achieved between the skin and the electrodes using a conducting paste (Eko-gel, Italy). Electrical parameters were defined by taking pulse duration into special consideration, which appears critical for nucleic acid electro-transfer. Pulses with reverse polarities were also used to help electro-delivery by taking advantage of the vectorial character of the electric field. Square-waved pulses were delivered as described previously (Golzio et al, 2007; 480 V, 5 ms, 1 Hz). A sequence of four pulses was applied, followed by additional four pulses with the reverse polarity. An electronic switch cut the pulse as soon as their intensity reached 5A to protect against current surges.

Non-invasive stereomicroscopic fluorescence imaging of live animals
GFP expression in the tumor cells was detected directly through the skin in the anesthetized animal by digitized fluorescence stereomicroscopy. This procedure allowed observation of GFP expression in the same animal for several days. Briefly, mice were anesthetized with 2% (v/v) of isoflurane and were kept under anesthesia during the whole procedure. High-magnification images were obtained with an epifluorescence stereomicroscope using 0.8 magnification (Leica MZFL III, Germany) and a cooled CCD camera (Coolsnap fx, Roper Scientific, France) as previously described Golzio et al, 2005). A 15 mm² part of the animal was observed, which covered the whole tumor. Camera was driven by the MetaVue software 2.6 (Molecular Devices Corporation, USA) from a PC (Dell, France). The exposure time was set at 1 sec with no binning. The fluorescence emission was obtained with a HBO lamp (Osram, Germany), using either a GFP or a G filter set (Leica Microsystems, Germany). The eGFP fluorescence from the tumor was measured quantitatively for one week, while the Alexa Fluor 546 labeled siRNA was detected ex vivo 48 hr after the treatment.

Histological sectioning
Mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation at 48 hrs and tumors were rapidly observed by stereomicroscopy. The tissue was then mounted in the optimum cutting temperature (OCT) compound (Tissue-Tek, Sakura Finetek, NL), and was frozen in liquid nitrogen and pentane. Thin sections were cut at a 15 µm thickness from the OCT compound blocks using a cryostat (Leica CM3050 S) at -20°C.

Confocal fluorescence microscopy
Cells and histological sections of tumors were visualized by confocal microscopy. GFP and Alexa Fluor 546 signals were detected with Zeiss LMS inverted confocal microscope equipped with a 488 nm laser for the GFP and with a 514 nm laser for the Alexa Fluor 546, using a Zeiss X40 objective (1.3 numerical aperture, oil immersion). Laser power and photomultiplier setting were kept identical for all samples to make the results comparable. Images were recorded and analyzed with the Zeiss LMS510 software (EMBL, Germany).

Statistical analysis
For each condition, 3 to 5 independent experiments were performed. Differences in percentages or relative fluorescence levels between the various conditions were statistically compared by using unpaired Student t-test two-sided using Microsoft Excel software. *0.05<P<0.1, ** 0.01<P<0.05 and ***P<0.01.

RESULTS

In vitro cell permeabilization and cell viability
Permeabilization of cells was performed by application of long duration electric pulses (EP), which are required to load macromolecules into cells. Permeabilization of B16F10 cells was only detected for electric field values higher than a threshold. The threshold value was between 300 and 400 V/cm (Figure 1). At the optimum electric field intensity (600 V/cm), cell viability (V=95%) was preserved while a large fraction of cells was permeabilized (P= 57%). The percentage of viable permeabilized cells PV was 52% +/-15 (PV=P+V-100, i.e. (95%-100)+57%) (Teissie et al, 1999).

Figure 1. Electric field intensity effect on permeabilization and viability. Percentages of permeabilized cells, cell viability and viable permeabilized cells were plotted as a function of the electric field intensity. Permeabilization was assayed by the penetration of PI in cells and analyzed by flow cytometry (▲). The live cells were determined 24 hrs after the treatment by crystal violet coloration (■). Error bars represent standard deviation. The percentage of viable permeabilized cells were determined by calculating (permeabilization (%)+viability(%))–100.

In vitro electrotransfer of siRNAs
The transfer of the eGFP22 siRNA was carried out by electroporation of cells in suspension. We chose the electric field value of 600 V/cm shown to give a high number of permeabilized and viable cells. The percentage of cells expressing eGFP (GFP positive cells) was quantified as function of time (data not shown). Figure 2 shows relative percentage of cells expressing eGFP 48 hrs after a single treatment as well as the change in mean fluorescence intensity.

In all control experiments, relative percentages of cells expressing the eGFP were not significantly changed (Figure 2). Indeed, if an unrelated non-silencing siRNA
(negative siRNA) was electrotransferred, no changes in the percentage of eGFP positive cells were detected. As expected, the electrical treatment itself (+EP) had no effect. If eGFP22 siRNA (i.e. anti-eGFP siRNA Alexa Fluor 546 or anti-eGFP siRNA) was injected without EP application, no reduction in the percentage of GFP positive cells was observed. When the eGFP22 siRNA were electrotransferred (siRNA injection+ EP), a significant decrease in the percentage of eGFP positive cells were observed. The decrease was maximal from days 2 to 4 (data not shown). At day 2, the percentage of cells expressing eGFP decreased to 57.8%±3 for treatment with unlabeled siRNA and to 50.8%±3 for the Alexa labeled siRNA. The relative mean fluorescence intensity of the population decreased to 45.2%±3 for the unlabeled siRNA and to 45.8%±3 for the Alexa labeled siRNA, while the relative mean fluorescence intensity of eGFP positive cells did not show any detectable change. The effect of siRNA was found to be transient. The percentage of cells expressing eGFP returned to its initial value at day 7 post-treatment (data not shown). Alexa labeled siRNA electrotransfer (siRNA anti-eGFP Alexa Fluor 546+EP) showed the same silencing efficacy on the eGFP expression as unlabeled siRNA (Figure 2). This observation validated its reliable use in further experiments for the visualization of its localization within cells.

**Figure 2.** Level of silencing by siRNAs in vitro at 48 hrs. Cells in suspension were incubated in the presence of 1.4 µM siRNA (either negative siRNA or anti-GFP siRNA, labeled with Alexa Fluor 546 or unlabelled) in pulsing buffer. Ten pulses of 5 ms at frequency of 1 Hz were applied at 0.7 kV/cm (+EP). Grey bars represent relative percentage of GFP expressing cells quantified at 48 hrs by flow cytometry. Black bars represent mean fluorescence intensity (IF) of the cell population relative to that of untreated cells. White bars show mean fluorescence intensity of cells, which remain GFP positive after electropulsation relative to the one of untreated cells. Error bars represent standard deviation.

**Localization of the siRNA into cells after electrotransfer in vitro**

Forty eight hours after pulsing cells with siRNA, eGFP negative cells were sorted out by flow cytometry. These cells were observed by confocal microscopy to visualize the labeled siRNA localization within cells and to evaluate eGFP expression. The results were compared with cells simply incubated with the siRNA (Figure 3). No Alexa signal was detected in non-pulsed cells (-EP) (Figure 3, upper panels). After electrotransfer of siRNA labeled with Alexa Fluor 546 in cells (+EP), eGFP signal in cells reduced as compared with the control cells. Alexa signal was observed only in the cytoplasm (Figure 3, lower panels). A strong nuclear labeling of a few ‘dead’ tumor cells was observed, suggesting interaction between the siRNA or the Alexa Fluor 546 and the DNA of dead cells. Thus a decrease in the fluorescence intensity of eGFP indicates that electropermeabilization resulted in free cellular loading of labeled siRNA in active form.

**Figure 3.** Localization of anti eGFP siRNA labeled with Alexa Fluor 546 48h after electrotransfer in vitro. Cells in suspension were incubated in the presence of 1.4 µM siRNA in the pulsing buffer. Ten pulses of 5 ms at frequency of 1 Hz were applied at 0.7 kV/cm. 48 hr after siRNA electrotransfer (+EP) (lower panels), cells were sorted out by flow cytometry and were observed by confocal microscopy with x40 objective. A zoomed picture of one cell is displayed in small boxes. Non-electrotransfected cells (-EP) were observed (top) using the same acquisition parameters. eGFP constitutively expressed in cells was detected with a 488 nm Argon laser (panels on left). Alexa Fluor 546-labeled siRNAs were detected with a 514 nm Helium-Neon laser (central panels). A merged image of the two (panels on right) points to the cytoplasmic localization of the siRNA.

**Electrotransfer of siRNAs in vivo**

When tumors reached an average diameter of 5-7 mm a labeled siRNA directed against the eGFP gene (Alexa Fluor 546 eGFP22 siRNA) or an unrelated non-silencing siRNA (negative siRNA) was injected slowly into the mouse tumor. Approximately 30 sec after injection, electric pulses were delivered at 800 V/cm. The higher field intensity was needed due to the skin shunting effect (Mossop et al, 2006). These conditions were chosen as they were previously shown to induce in vivo both reporter gene expression (Rols et al, 1998) and siRNA-mediated gene silencing in solid tumors with no tissue damage (Golzio et al, 2007). As reported previously, a muscle contraction was observed when an electric pulse was applied. Neither local burns nor edema or short or long-lived loss of functions were observed in our experiments. When the eGFP22 siRNA was electrotransferred (anti-
GFP+EP), a significant decrease in the eGFP fluorescence of the tumor was observed within 48 hr following the treatment as observed by direct imaging on the animals (Figure 4). The decrease was maximal between days 2 to 4 (data not shown). RNase inhibitor in the injection buffer appeared important, as only a non-statistically significant decrease of the fluorescence was obtained when the inhibitor was absent (data not shown). The decrease of eGFP fluorescence observed upon eGFP22 Alexa labeled siRNA electrotransfer was not observed in the different control groups (Figure 4). Furthermore, electrotransfer of an unrelated siRNA (negative siRNA) did not lead to changes in eGFP expression as compared with the other controls. As expected the electrical treatment itself (PBS+EP) had no effect on eGFP expression in tumor. Interestingly, if no EP were applied after injection of the eGFP22 Alexa labeled siRNA (anti-eGFP-EP) no reduction of the eGFP expression relative to controls was observed. Thus a synergy between the injection and the electrical treatment was needed for efficient delivery of siRNA into tumor cells. Growth of tumors was not affected by any treatment (intratumoral injection of the siRNA and/or EP). This confirmed our previous results showing the same effect (60% of gene expression decrease 48 hr after siRNA electrotransfer) using the same siRNA sequence without the fluorescent-labeling (Golzio et al, 2007). These results further demonstrated that the labeling of the siRNA did not affect its efficacy, in vivo.

**Biodistribution of the siRNA after electrotransfer in the tumor**

Forty eight hours after the treatment, the mice were euthanatized. The tumors were removed and visualized by fluorescence stereomicroscopy. No signal of Alexa Fluor 546 was detected in the non-pulsed tumors (-EP) (Figure 5, upper panels). After electrotransfer of the Alexa labeled siRNA (+EP), GFP fluorescence in the tumors was reduced as compared with the control tumors. Moreover, the Alexa signal was observed to be homogeneously distributed in tumors (Figure 5, lower panels).

**Localization of the siRNA after electrotransfer in tumors**

Histological sections of frozen tumors were observed by confocal microscopy to visualize the expression of eGFP and the localization of labeled siRNAs in the cells (Figure 6). No Alexa fluorescence was detected in non-pulsed tumors (-EP) (Figure 6, upper half). After electrotransfer of the Alexa labeled siRNA (+EP), the eGFP signal of the cells reduced as compared with the control cells. The distribution of the siRNA was homogeneous in electrotransfected tumors, both in the middle as well as on the periphery. At cellular level, the Alexa signal was observed only in the cytoplasm (Figure 6, Lower half). A strong nuclear labeling of a few tumor cells was observed which was consistent with the similar observations in vitro in dead cells.

**DISCUSSION**

RNAi mediated gene-silencing has emerged as a powerful approach for gene function analysis in mammalian cells. Furthermore, the high efficacy of RNAi approaches and fewer side-effects makes them an attractive alternative to antisense oligonucleotides and ribozymes for nucleic acid-based therapies. There are two potential therapeutic RNAi strategies: First approach involves sustained production of...
inhibitory RNAs, conferred by replicons, such as plasmids or viral vectors. This approach faces the difficulties encountered by conventional gene therapy methodologies and those associated with the use of viral vectors. The second approach is based on exogenous delivery of chemically synthesized siRNAs, which presents the advantage of terminating the therapeutic treatment in the case of a side-effect, which is not possible for methods involving replicon-based delivery. A lowering in production costs of siRNAs in the past few years further makes them attractive alternatives to small molecule drugs. The main obstacle to the therapeutic application of siRNAs is their in vivo delivery. Thus there remains the need of an efficient intracellular delivery method (Dykxhoorn et al, 2006; Rossi, 2005; Xie et al, 2006). Electric pulses induce a major reorganization of the plasma membrane of cells leading to a transient "permeabilized" state (Golzio et al, 2002). This physical technique has been previously used by different groups, including ours, to locally deliver siRNAs in various tissues (Takabatake et al, 2005 Golzio 2005, 2002). Electric pulses have shown to be required for loading macromolecules into cells (Cemazar and Sersa, 2007; Golzio et al, 2007; Rols et al, 1998). As previously observed in many systems, in vitro permeabilization of B16F10 cells was only detected for electric field values higher than 300 V/cm (Figure 1). Under such electric pulse conditions, cell viability was only slightly affected by increasing electric field intensity. The optimum electric field intensity (600V/cm) was selected by calculating the percentage of viable permeabilized cells (52%/+/-15).

We observed that permeabilization of murine melanoma cells could be achieved by application of long electric pulses, which have been shown to be required for loading macromolecules into cells. Electric pulses induce a major reorganization of the plasma membrane of cells leading to a transient "permeabilized" state (Golzio et al, 2002). This physical technique has been previously used by different groups, including ours, to locally deliver siRNAs in various tissues (Takabatake et al, 2005 Golzio 2005, 2002; Zhang et al, 2002). As previously reported, we observed that the electrical treatment for reversible permeabilization had no detectable effect on tumor growth.

In this study, we investigated approaches to tracking siRNA upon electroporation. Furthermore, we defined experimental systems to visualize and quantify downregulation of a constitutively expressed eGFP reporter gene, and the localization of siRNAs in subcutaneous B16-F10 melanoma tumors using fluorescence imaging. A similar imaging approach was previously used in ovo (Pekarik et al, 2003).

Our study of siRNA delivery by electroporation showed that siRNA molecules had a direct, free access to the cytoplasm (Figures 3 and 6). The other non-viral, chemical delivery methods (such as those involving the use of nanomunoliposomes and polyethyleneimine), the uptake mechanism appears to involve the endocytosis pathway, in which siRNA molecules had to escape from the endosomal compartment to access the target mRNAs in the cytoplasm (Pirollo et al, 2007; Jiang et al, 2008). Electrodelivered siRNAs were thus directly available to interact with the

**Figure 6.** Localization of the anti eGFP siRNAs labeled with Alexa Fluor 546 after electroporation in tumors. Forty eight hours after the electroporation of the siRNA (+EP) (lower half), the tumors were removed, sliced and observed under a confocal microscope with x40 objective at 0.7 magnification. Non-electrotransfected tumors (-EP) were (top half) compared with electroporated tumors. The eGFP expression in cells was detected with a 488 nm Argon laser (left) and the siRNAs labeled with Alexa Fluor 546 were detected with a 514 nm Helium-Neon laser (centre). A merged image of the left and middle panels is shown on the right panels in order to localize siRNAs.
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target mRNAs. We anticipate that this direct access may help reduce the induction of off-target effects but this requires further investigation (Crombez et al., 2007).

We also followed the effect of electrical treatment on knockdown of eGFP expression knockdown with siRNAs, \textit{in vivo}. In agreement with a previous report by Filleur et al. (2003), we did not observe any detectable reduction in eGFP expression after intratumoral injection of the eGFP22 siRNA alone (Figures 3). eGFP expression knockdown was only observed on the application of electric pulses. The fluorescence imaging data showed that electrical treatment was essential for intracellular uptake of the siRNA following direct intratumoral injection. A key observation was that siRNA appeared distributed homogeneously throughout the cytoplasm of the tumor tissue cells. This suggests that the siRNAs were not being trapped in endosomal compartments. Furthermore, electrotransfer appeared to be affecting all cells in the pulsed volume as a strong inhibition was monitored up to two days after the treatment.

CONCLUSIONS

The direct access to the cytoplasm by siRNAs after electrotransfer emphasizes that this electro-physical method is a powerful tool for effective siRNA delivery, \textit{ex vivo} and \textit{in vivo}.
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