Genetic Variation and *De Novo* Mutations in the Parthenogenetic Caucasian Rock Lizard *Darevskia unisexualis*
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**Abstract**

Unisexual all-female lizards of the genus *Darevskia* that are well adapted to various habitats are known to reproduce normally by true parthenogenesis. Although they consist of unisexual lineages and lack effective genetic recombination, they are characterized by some level of genetic polymorphism. To reveal the mutational contribution to overall genetic variability, the most straightforward and conclusive way is the direct detection of mutation events in pedigree genotyping. Earlier we selected from genomic library of *D. unisexualis* two polymorphic microsatellite containing loci *Du281* and *Du215*. In this study, these two loci were analyzed to detect possible *de novo* mutations in 168 parthenogenetic offspring of 49 *D. unisexualis* mothers and in 147 offspring of 50 *D. armeniaca* mothers. No mutant alleles were detected in *D. armeniaca* offspring at both loci, and in *D. unisexualis* offspring at the *Du215* locus. There were a total of seven mutational events in the germ lines of four of the 49 *D. unisexualis* mothers at the *Du281* locus, yielding the mutation rate of 0.1428 events per germ line tissue. Sequencing of the mutant alleles has shown that most mutations occur via deletion or insertion of single microsatellite repeat being identical in all offspring of the family. This indicates that such mutations emerge at the early stages of embryogenesis. In this study we characterized single highly unstable (GATA)_n-containing loci in parthenogenetic lizard species *D. unisexualis*. Besides, we characterized various types of mutant alleles of this locus found in the *D. unisexualis* offspring of the first generation. Our data has shown that microsatellite mutations at highly unstable loci can make a significant contribution to population variability of parthenogenetic lizards.
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**Introduction**

Unisexuality in vertebrates has attracted wide attention since it was discovered. In squamate reptiles, unisexuality originates from interspecific hybridization between bisexual species and represents true parthenogenesis [1,2]. They propagate via an aberrant gametogenetic mechanism that inhibits genetic recombination and causes clonal inheritance [1]. Hence the progeny consist of only genetically identical females with clonal inheritance in the next generation. Clonal reproduction and clonal diversity are the two features of unisexual vertebrates that make them attractive as model organisms in such areas as evolutionary ecology, genetics, cellular and molecular biology.

Parthenogenetic lizards of the genus *Darevskia* [formerly *Lacerta* [3]] were the first reptiles to be identified as unisexual [4]. Seven diploid all-female species are currently known, all from the Caucasus Mountains of Armenia [1,5,6]. Previous studies on these parthenogenetic species revealed some degree of allozyme variation [7–11] and low variability of mitochondrial DNA [12]. However multilocus DNA fingerprinting revealed very high levels of genetic variation in parthenogenetic populations of *Darevskia unisexualis, D. armeniaca, D. dahlia* and *D. rostombecovi* [13–16]. The possible sources of such variation in parthenogenetic populations may be associated with multiple origins of clones from different pairs of founders, mutations, rare hybridization events, or some level of genetic recombination [8,17–20]. However, the contribution of each of those events to the overall genetic variation remains unknown. The most straightforward and conclusive way to assess the mutational contribution to genetic variation is the direct detection of mutational events from pedigree genotyping [21]. Multilocus DNA fingerprinting with various microsatellite probes detected intrafamily variability of fingerprint patterns in *D. unisexualis* and *D. armeniaca* lizards [22,23]. These results imply that unstable loci may exist in their genomes, but the real nature of such loci and supposed mutations remains obscure.

Recently Korchagin et al. (2007) cloned and sequenced a number of microsatellite loci of the parthenogenetic species *D. unisexualis*. Among several loci analyzed in detail only two, *Du281* (GenBank accession number AY 442143) and *Du215* (GenBank accession number AY 574928), which contain (GATA)_n repeats were polymorphic. However until now there was no information about genetic stability of those loci.

In the present work, *Du281* and *Du215* were tested in a pedigree based analysis to enable the detection of possible *de novo* mutations in parthenogenetic offspring of *D. unisexualis* and *D. armeniaca* lizards.
Materials and Methods

Reproductively mature females of D. unisexualis and D. armeniaca were collected from natural habitats of western and central Armenia. The animals were maintained in separate enclosures in the laboratory until they began to produce eggs. The eggs were incubated under laboratory conditions. Genomic DNA was extracted from blood by standard phenol-chloroform extraction and resuspended in TE buffer of pH 8.0. The loci Du281 and Du215 were amplified with the previously described primers (Du281: 5’TTGCTAAATCTGAA-TAACGT3’, 5’TCCGCTGAGAAAGACCA3’, Du215: 5’GAA-CTAGCAGTACGCTCCAGA3’, 5’CCAGACAGGCCCCAA-CTT3’) [24]. PCR reaction mix (20 μl) contained 20–40 ng genomic DNA, 1 x PCR buffer (Dialat), 2 mM MgCl2, dNTP 0.25 mM each, and 0.625 units of Taq-polymerase (Dialat). Amplification conditions were: 94°C for 3 min and then 40 cycles of 94°C 1 min, 50°C for 40 s, and 72°C for 40 s, followed by 72°C for 5 min. The products, averaging about 200 bp in size, were separated by electrophoresis on a 8% native polyacrylamide gel (PAAG) and visualized on a ultraviolet light table following ethidium bromide staining. Amplified fragments were excised from the PAAG, purified and cloned into pMos blue vectors following standard procedures (pMos blueBlunt ended Cloning kit RNPL 5110, Amersham Biosciences). The clones were amplified in MOSBlue competent cells grown at 37°C, and sequenced. The PCR products were cloned and sequenced using the chain termination reaction with ABI PRISM® BigDye® Terminator v. 3.1 on an ABI PRISM 3100-Avant Genetic Analyzer.

The sequences of the allelic variants of the PCR products were compared using the MegAlign program (DNASTAR).

All animal procedures were carried out according to ethical principles and scientific standards of the ethical committee of Moscow State University.

Results

In total DNA samples of 217 lizards (49 mothers and 168 offspring) of D. unisexualis and 197 lizards (50 mothers and 147 offspring) of D. armeniaca were screened by locus-specific PCR. Mutant alleles were detected as changes in the electrophoretic mobility of PCR amplification products obtained from mother and their offspring. No mutant alleles were detected in D. armeniaca offspring at both Du281 and Du215 loci, and in D. unisexualis offspring at Du215 locus. Figure 1 shows typical example of families where no intrafamily variation of PCR products was revealed. At the same time, 15 mutant alleles among offspring of four D. unisexualis mothers were found at the Du281 locus (Fig. 2). These data show that the Du281 locus of D. unisexualis is highly mutable, with an estimated mutation rate of 0.1428 events per germ line tissue.

To analyze the molecular structure of mutant alleles, we cloned and sequenced PCR products of the Du281 locus from maternal and mutant offspring of D. unisexualis. Comparison of nucleotide sequences of mothers and their offspring revealed mutations only in (GATA)n microsatellite clusters, while no mutations were found in the flanking regions (Table 1). The haplotypes (T-A-T and C-G-C), formed by fixed point mutations in the flanking regions of microsatellite cluster, and specific for allelic variants of Du281 [24] were used to mark maternal and corresponding offspring alleles. In family 1, consisting of the mother and one offspring, the deletion of one GATA monomer in microsatellite cluster was found in both offspring alleles. In family 2, consisting of the mother and five offspring, only one offspring allele marked by haplotype C-G-C was mutant with the deletion of GATA in the microsatellite cluster of all offspring. In family 3, consisting of the mother and two offspring, an insertion of one GATA monomer was found in the microsatellite cluster of both offspring alleles. Family 4, consisting of the mother and four offspring, represent a more complicated case. While no mutations were observed among offspring alleles marked by haplotype T-A-T, different pattern of mutation was found among offspring alleles marked by haplotype C-G-C. In three offspring the mutant alleles revealed a deletion of one GATA monomer, but in another offspring a GATA sequence was lost in the microsatellite cluster.

In summary, single repeat unit changes dominated (in microsatellite clusters of all offspring of the 1st and 3rd family and offspring 1, 2 and 4 of the 4th family). In two families (offspring 2 of family 2 and offspring 2 of family 4) maternal and offspring microsatellite differed from each other by the deletion of GATA imperfect monomer. Mutation may occur in both (1st and 3rd families) or only in one allele (2nd, 4th and 5th family). In three out of four families the patterns of mutations were similar in all offspring. The offspring of family 4 showed different pattern of mutations; in most individuals the mutant allele arose as a result of the loss of one microsatellite monomer, while in another it was the loss of imperfect monomer GATA.

Figure 1. Examples of families where where no intrafamily variation of PCR products was revealed. a – Du281 locus, D. unisexualis family; b – 215 locus, D. armeniaca family; c – 215 locus, D. unisexualis family. Maternal DNAs are marked by M, offspring DNAs are numbered by family. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0002730.g001
Mutations in Unisexual Lizard

Discussion

Unisexual vertebrates are useful model organisms for studying genome diversity because various mutational events can be easily detected in in pedigree genotyping. The genetic variation of the majority of such species is low in comparison with their sexual progenitors, and they face severe genetic and ecological constraints [2,25]. Their genome and clonal diversity may arise as a result of mutations, multiple hybridization events, or some level of recombination occurring during continued clonal reproduction and the evolution of species [26]. In this study we characterized single highly unstable (GATA)$_n$ containing locus in parthenogenetic lizard species _D. unisexualis_. Additionally, we characterized various types of mutant alleles of this locus found in the _D. unisexualis_ offspring of the first generation. Comparison of maternal and offspring alleles of two polymorphic loci revealed de novo mutations only at the _Du281_ locus in _D. unisexualis_ offspring with the mutation rate of 0.1428 events per germ line tissue. This correlates with a higher level of population polymorphism in _Du281_ in comparison with _Du215_. For instance, six and three allelic variants were detected among 65 _D. unisexualis_ individuals for _Du281_ and _Du215_, respectively [24]. According to Malysheva [22] only three allelic variants of the _Du215_ locus were detected in _D. amoenia_ populations. The obtained mutation rate for _Du281_ is comparable with the earlier results of DNA fingerprinting analysis. For instance, in _D. unisexualis_ families the observed mutational rate was 0.9×10^{-2} per microsatellite band/per sibling when using a (GATA)$_4$ hybridization probe [23]. These values are also within the range reported for individual microsatellite loci in bisexual species (from 10^{-2} to 10^{-4} per locus/per gamete) [21,27–29]. For instance, pedigree analysis of mutations at human microsatellite loci gave estimates of mean mutational rates of 3×10^{-3}–6×10^{-4} [21]. Genethon’s extensive genotyping of >500 microsatellite loci in human population has suggested a lower mean genomic mutation rate, of 10^{-4} [30,31]. A mutation rate of 5.7×10^{-3} was reported for (AAAG)$_n$ tetranculeotide repeat locus in the barn swallow (_Hirundo rustica_) [27]. In the Australian lizard _Egernia stokesi_ the mutational rate for (AAAG)$_n$ locus was 4.2×10^{-2} [28]. A hypervariable microsatellite (TATC)$_n$ with a mutation rate of 1.7×10^{-2} was found in the human X chromosome [32]. Some

---

Table 1. Allelic variants of microsatellite clusters of _Du281_ locus in parthenogenetic lizard families _D. unisexualis_.

| Family 1. |  |
|-----------|---|
| Maternal (M1) allele 1 | $T$=$T$=$T$=$T$ (GATA)$_3$ (GATA) GAT(GATA)TA(GATA)=$T$=$T$=$T$ |
| Offspring (1) allele 1 | $T$=$T$=$T$=$T$ (GATA)$_3$ - - - - - - GAT(GATA)TA(GATA)=$T$=$T$ |
| Maternal (M1) allele 2 | $C$=$C$=$C$ (GATA)$_3$ (GATA) TA(GATA)TA(GATA)=$C$=$C$ |
| Offspring (1) allele 2 | $C$=$C$=$C$ (GATA)$_3$ - - - - - - GAT(GATA)TA(GATA)=$C$=$C$ |

Family 2.

| Maternal (M2) allele 2 | $T$=$T$=$T$=$T$ (GATA)$_3$ - - - - - - GAT(GATA)TA(GATA)=$T$=$T$ |
| Offspring (1–5) allele 1 | $T$=$T$=$T$=$T$ (GATA)$_3$ - - - - - - GAT(GATA)TA(GATA)=$T$=$T$ |
| Maternal (M2) allele 2 | $C$=$C$=$C$ (GATA)$_3$ (GATA) TA(GATA)TA(GATA)=$C$=$C$ |
| Offspring (1–5) allele 2 | $C$=$C$=$C$ (GATA)$_3$ - - - - - - GAT(GATA)TA(GATA)=$C$=$C$ |

Family 3.

| Maternal (M3) allele 1 | $T$=$T$=$T$=$T$ (GATA)$_3$ - - - - - - GAT(GATA)TA(GATA)=$T$=$T$ |
| Offspring (1, 2) allele 1 | $T$=$T$=$T$=$T$ (GATA)$_3$ (GATA) TA(GATA)TA(GATA)=$T$=$T$ |

Family 4.

| Maternal (M4) allele 1 | $T$=$T$=$T$=$T$ (GATA)$_3$ (GATA) TA(GATA)TA(GATA)=$T$=$T$ |
| Offspring (1, 3, 4) allele 1 | $T$=$T$=$T$=$T$ (GATA)$_3$ - - - - - - GAT(GATA)TA(GATA)=$T$=$T$ |

Variations in microsatellite clusters are denoted by bold letters. T-A-T and C-G-C are haplotypes specific for allelic variants of _D. unisexualis_ [24]. In Family 2 the observed changes were the same in all offspring (1–5). In family 3 the observed changes were the same in all offspring (1, 2). In Family 4 the observed changes are the same in three offspring (1, 3 and 4).
rodent-specific loci containing (GGCAGG)_n repeat motif showed germ-line mutation rates up to 8.3 \times 10^{-5} per gamete [33].

Direct records of new length variants identified in comparisons between parents and offspring loci may be considered the most unambiguous way for analyzing mutational process in the germ line [27,29]. Unisexual reptiles reproduce clonally and have a lower level of recombinational events, thus all of the observed changes in microsatellite cluster are probably mutations. In three out of four D. unisexualis families the patterns of mutations were similar in all offspring, suggesting that mutation must be occurring in the mitotic creation of germ-line tissue, such that multiple oocytes would carry the same mutation. The offspring of one family (offspring 3, family 4) showed different pattern of mutations: in most of the families the mutant allele arose following the loss of a monomer, while in one offspring the imperfect monomer was lost. This offspring could mutate twice, first together with all other offspring and the second time at a later stage of differentiation, in turns, this mutation could have occurred once at the later stage of differentiation of germ line cells or even in a zygote. Studies of germ line microsatellite mutations, mainly in humans, found that mutations involving the gain or loss of a single repeat unit are much more frequent than multistep mutations [29]. In our findings we observed a mutation that occurred following the loss of an imperfect (GATA) monomer, i.e. it involved more than one monomer. In other three families the changes of electrophoretic mobility were caused by the deletion/insertion of one GATA monomer, which fits with the stepwise mutation model [34].

Data from individual loci in several bisexual species [35] and pooled data on dinucleotide repeats in human genome [36,37] show directionality in the mutation process, with an excess of insertions over deletions. On the contrary, our data showed a significant trend for mutation to lead to a decrease in allele size recorded in 11 out of 15 observed cases. This may be due to the peculiarities of structural organization of Dau201 locus, or to the specific features of the hybrid genome of D. unisexualis. Our data has shown that microsatellite mutations at highly unstable loci can make a significant contribution to population variability of parthenogenetic lizards.
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