Efficiency of different flows for apneic oxygenation when using high flow nasal oxygen application – a technical simulation
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Abstract

Background: Preoxygenation and application of apneic oxygenation are standard to prevent patients from desaturation e.g. during emergency intubation. The time before desaturation occurs can be prolonged by applying high flow oxygen into the airway. Aim of this study was to scientifically assess the flow that is necessary to avoid nitrogen entering the airway of a manikin model during application of pure oxygen via high flow nasal oxygen.

Methods: We measured oxygen content over a 20-min observation period for each method in a preoxygenated test lung applied to a human manikin, allowing either room air entering the airway in control group, or applying pure oxygen via high flow nasal oxygen at flows of 10, 20, 40, 60 and 80 L/min via nasal cannula in the other groups. Our formal hypothesis was that there would be no difference in oxygen fraction decrease between the groups.

Results: Oxygen content in the test lung dropped from 97 ± 1% at baseline in all groups to 43 ± 1% in the control group (p < 0.001 compared to all other groups), to 92 ± 1% in the 10 L/min group, 92 ± 1% in the 20 L/min group, 90 ± 1% in the 40 L/min group, 89 ± 0% in the 60 L/min group and 87 ± 0% in the 80 L/min group. Apart from comparisons 10 l/min vs. 20 L/min group (p = .715) and 10/L/min vs. 40 L/min group (p = .018), p was < 0.009 for all other comparisons.

Conclusions: Simulating apneic oxygenation in a preoxygenated manikin connected to a test lung over 20 min by applying high flow nasal oxygen resulted in the highest oxygen content at a flow of 10 L/min; higher flows resulted in slightly decreased oxygen percentages in the test lung.

Keywords: Apneic oxygenation, Oropharyngeal oxygenation device, Oxygen desaturation, Simulation

Background

Preoxygenation is standard to prevent patients from desaturation e.g. during emergency intubation. The time until desaturation occurs can be prolonged by applying oxygen into the airway and thus providing apneic oxygenation. Established methods to facilitate apneic oxygenation may be application of high flow nasal oxygen via nasal cannulas [1, 2] and some guidelines simply recommend to apply “a bulk full of oxygen”, to maintain apneic oxygenation [3]. From the physiological view, optimum preoxygenation and removal of nitrogen from the lungs are essential for sufficient apneic oxygenation. Any further nitrogen should be prevented from streaming into the upper airway, so only pure oxygen should be allowed to enter the airway in order to maintain apneic oxygenation. However, in a few preliminary studies attaching a human manikin to a test lung, we detected nitrogen entering the upper airway while using nasal...
cannulas and thus causing a decline of the oxygen content in our test lungs [4–6]. New devices allow high flow nasal oxygenation with a maximum flow of 80L/min via nasal cannula at 100% oxygen. Using this device should thus facilitate apneic oxygenation, and keep oxygen content of a test lung connected to a human manikin airway constantly at high levels over the time.

Aim of this study was to scientifically assess the optimum flow that is necessary to avoid any nitrogen entering into the airway of a manikin model during application of high flow nasal oxygen (pure oxygen) via nasal cannula. Our formal hypothesis was that there would be no difference in oxygen fraction decrease in a test lung over time at different flow levels.

Methods
This study is a completely technical simulation using a standardized airway manikin, with no participants. Thus, no ethical approval was required. The trachea of an anatomically correctly shaped male manikin (Laerdal®-Airwaymanagement-Trainer, Laerdal, Stavanger, Norway) was attached to a test lung with a capacity of 2.5L, simulating the functional residual capacity of a healthy adult male. The test lung was connected at its base to a paramagnetic oximeter that was integrated in a standard anesthesia device (Primus, Dräger, Lübeck, Germany; Accuracy ±(2.5 Vol% + 2.5 rel.)) with a suction rate of 200mL/min, which is comparable to oxygen consumption during apnea in adults [4–7]. For applying oxygen at high flows to the manikin, we used a standard intensive care respirator (Hamilton-C6, Hamilton Medical AG, Bonaduz, Switzerland) with an Optoflow™ high flow nasal cannula (Optoflow™, Size M, Hamilton Medical AG, Bonaduz, Switzerland) that was adjusted to the manikins' nostrils.

Before initiation of each experiment, interventional procedures were assigned in random order. We measured in six groups: First a control-group with only room air entering the manikin's airway and five high flow nasal oxygen groups with oxygen being inflated at flows of 10, 20, 40, 60 and 80L/min. Five experiments per group were conducted. Before each experiment, the test lung was preoxygenated to 97% oxygen content by use of an oxygen bypass of the mentioned anesthesia machine. Subsequently, the test lung was disconnected from the anesthesia machine but remained connected to the oximeter of the anesthesia device using a standard connection tube for measuring gas samples (Draeger, Lübeck, Germany). We measured the decrease in oxygen percentage in the test lung within a period of 20min for the above-mentioned settings. The manikins’ mouth remained open in all experiments.

Statistical analysis: Data are reported as mean plus or minus standard deviation. After checking for normality of distribution using Shapiro Wilk test, a one-way analysis of variance (Kruskal Wallis) for repeated measurements was performed to determine overall statistical significance between groups, followed by post hoc Student Newman Keuls test for pair wise multiple comparisons (Sigmaplot 14; Systat, San Jose, CA).

Results
A one-way analysis of variance for repeated measurements detected statistical significance between all groups ($p < 0.001$). Oxygen percentage in the test lung dropped from 97 ±1% at baseline in all groups to 43 ±1% in the control group ($p < 0.001$ compared to all other groups), to 92 ±1% in the 10L/min group, to 92 ±1% in the 20L/min group, to 90 ±1% in the 40L/min group, to 89 ±0% in the 60L/min group and to 87 ±0% in the 80L/min group. The course of oxygen percentages for all groups is presented in Fig. 1. Statistical test results are presented in Table 1.

Discussion
In this model, decrease in oxygen content in a test lung connected to a manikin was lowest at an oxygen flow of 10L/min via nasal cannula. Higher oxygen flows resulted in slightly decreased oxygen fraction after a 20 min observation period.

Thus, obviously at 100% oxygen, higher flows resulted in higher mixing with nitrogen from ambient air in the upper airway/ open oral cavity. One potential explanation, being discussed before, may be that high and in consequence turbulent flows in the upper airway generate a Bernoulli effects that transports ambient air (and thus nitrogen) into the oral cavity as in a mixing chamber [5, 6]. The higher the flow, the more turbulent they may be, which in consequence increases these Bernoulli effects, resulting in a higher degree of gas mixing in the oral cavity.

Closing the manikins' mouth might have been protective in this regard. However, we left the manikin mouth deliberately always open due to two reasons: First, to avoid gas trapping at high flow oxygen insufflation. Closing mouth and nose artificially of an unconscious patient while using high flow nasal oxygenation devices may result in gastric inflation with potentially detrimental effects [8, 9]. Second, applying high flow oxygen was intended in this study as a method to extend time until desaturation occurs during intubation efforts. Therefore, an open mouth was mandatory in this study to achieve a realistic scenario, too.

A further aspect explaining more gas mixture using higher gas flows may be effects described by Rittayami...
et al.: higher gas flows into an airway may result in higher respiratory resistances, which may explain more turbulences and mixture of gases as well. However, all this are theoretical considerations that remain speculative to a certain degree [10].

It is interesting that a gas flow of 10 L/min was most effective in maintaining apneic oxygenation in our model, and that higher gas flows were less effective. As mentioned before gas mixing effects may be one explanation. It is noteworthy that the decrease in oxygen concentration in the test lung was statistically significant, however it should not have been clinically relevant. Even in the “worst group” at a flow of 80 L/min oxygen concentration was 87% after the 20 min observation period, which should be enough to maintain apneic oxygenation. However, these high flows were not necessary in this experiment.

High gas flows may be associated with negative effects. Applying high flow nasal oxygen at higher flow rates

Table 1  Test results for comparisons between groups (Student Newman Keuls)

| Comparison               | Diff of Ranks | q       | P       |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------|---------|
| High Flow 10 vs Control  | 114,000       | 5791    | <0.001  |
| High Flow 10 vs High Flow 80 | 89,000       | 5408    | 0.001   |
| High Flow 10 vs High Flow 60 | 64,000       | 4838    | 0.003   |
| High Flow 10 vs High Flow 40 | 38,500       | 3850    | 0.018   |
| High Flow 10 vs High Flow 20 | 3500         | 0.517   | 0.715   |
| High Flow 20 vs Control  | 110,500       | 6714    | <0.001  |
| High Flow 20 vs High Flow 80 | 85,500       | 6463    | <0.001  |
| High Flow 20 vs High Flow 60 | 60,500       | 6050    | <0.001  |
| High Flow 20 vs High Flow 40 | 35,000       | 5170    | <0.001  |
| High Flow 20 vs High Flow 20 | 3500         | 5170    | <0.001  |
| High Flow 40 vs Control  | 75,500        | 5707    | <0.001  |
| High Flow 40 vs High Flow 80 | 50,500       | 5050    | 0.001   |
| High Flow 40 vs High Flow 60 | 25,500       | 3767    | 0.008   |
| High Flow 60 vs Control  | 50,000        | 5000    | 0.001   |
| High Flow 60 vs High Flow 80 | 25,000       | 3693    | 0.009   |
| High Flow 80 vs Control  | 25,000        | 3693    | 0.009   |
results in more aerosol production to the patient’s environment, which can endanger hospital personnel. This has gained much attention especially at the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic. Fear of virus transmission and subsequently infection caused by infective aerosols [11–13] has even led to the recommendation to avoid high flow nasal oxygen therapy in COVID-19 patients at the beginning of the pandemic. Thus, the lowest possible air flow that maintains adequate oxygen concentrations in a lung during intubation may be considered the optimum from this aspect. There may be other aspects such as better oxygenation due to higher continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP when higher flows are applied using nasal cannulas), which may result in less collapse of alveoli and subsequently better ventilation/perfusion ratio [14]. However, continuous positive airway pressure and subsequently CPAP effects are subject of controversial discussions and especially may vanish when the patient’s mouth is opened to insert a laryngoscope for endotracheal intubation.

Conclusion

Simulating apneic oxygenation in a preoxygenated manikin connected to a test lung over 20 min by insufflating pure oxygen via nasal cannula resulted in the highest oxygen content at a flow of 101/min; higher flows resulted in slightly decreased oxygen contents in the test lung.

Abbreviations
CPAP: Continuous positive airway pressure.

Acknowledgements
Not applicable.

Authors’ contributions
HH, SF, DS and DCS conducted the experiments. HH and WAW analyzed the data. WAW, DS and HH drafted the manuscript. DS, BWB and DCS revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding
This study was solely supported by institutional resources. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Availability of data and materials
All data are included in the manuscript. The original datasets analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations
Ethics approval and consent to participate
Not applicable.
Consent for publication
Not applicable.
Competing interests
There are no competing interests in regard of this study.

Author details
1 Department of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, University of Cologne, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital of Cologne, Kerpener Str. 62, 50937 Cologne, Germany. 2 Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, German armed forces Central Hospital of Koblenz, Koblenz, Germany.
Received: 8 April 2021  Accepted: 1 October 2021
Published online: 07 October 2021

References
1. Vourc'h M, Huard D, Feuillet F, Baud G, Guichoux A, Surbled M, et al. Preoxygenation in difficult airway management: high-flow oxygenation by nasal cannula versus face mask (the PREOPTIDAM study). Protocol for a single-centre randomised study. BMJ Open. 2019;9(4):e025909.
2. Saksittichok B, Petnak T, So-Ngern A, Boonsamarnsuk V. A prospective randomized comparative study of high-flow nasal cannula oxygen and non-invasive ventilation in hypoxic patients undergoing diagnostic flexible bronchoscopy. J Thorac Dis. 2019;11(5):1929–39.
3. Mushambi MC, Kinsella SM, Popat M, Swales H, Ramaswamy KK, Winton AL, et al. Obstetric Anaesthetists’ Association and difficult airway society guidelines for the management of difficult and failed tracheal intubation in obstetrics. Anaesthesia. 2015;71(11):3286–306.
4. Mitterlechner T, Herff H, Hammel CW, Braun P, Paal P, Wenzel V, et al. A dual-use laryngoscope to facilitate apneic oxygenation. J Emerg Med. 2015;48(1):103–7.
5. Schroeder DC, Wetsch WA, Finke SR, et al. Apneic laryngeal oxygenation during elective fiberoptic intubation – a technical simulation. BMC Anesthesiol. 2020;20:300.
6. Herff H, Wetsch WA, Finke SR, et al. Oxygenation laryngoscope vs nasal standard and nasal high flow oxygenation in a technical simulation of apneic oxygenation. BMC Emerg Med. 2021;21:12.
7. Rudlof B, Faldum A, Brandt L. A ventilatory mass flow during apnea: investigations on quantification. Anaesth. 2010;59(5):401–9.
8. Paal P, Neurauter A, Loedl M, et al. Effects of stomach inflation on haemodynamic and pulmonary function during spontaneous circulation in pigs. Resuscitation. 2009;80:470–7.
9. Paal P, Neurauter A, Loedl M. P et al. effects of stomach inflation on haemodynamic and pulmonary function during cardiopulmonary resuscitation in pigs. Resuscitation. 2009;80:365–71.
10. Rittayamai N, Phuangchoei P, Tsuchikuma J, et al. Effects of high-flow nasal cannula and non-invasive ventilation on inspiratory effort in hypercapnic patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a preliminary study. Ann Intensive Care. 2019;9:122.
11. Francois T, Tabone L, Levy A, et al. Simulation-based rapid development and implementation of a novel barrier enclosure for use in Covid 19 patients: the splash guard CG. Crit Care Res Pract. 2020;2020:3842506.
12. Hui DS, Chow BK, Chu L, et al. Exhaled air dispersion and removal is influenced by isolation room size and ventilation settings during oxygen delivery via nasal cannula. Respir. 2011;16:1005–13.
13. Hui DS, Chow BK, Chu L, et al. Exhaled air dispersion during high flow nasal cannula therapy versus CPAP via different masks. Eur Respir J. 2019;53:1802339.
14. Mir F, Patel A, Iqbal R, Cecconi M, Nouraei SA. A randomised controlled trial comparing transnasal humidified rapid insufflation ventilatory exchange (THRIVE) pre-oxygenation with facemask pre-oxygenation in patients undergoing rapid sequence induction of anaesthesia. Anaesthesia. 2017;72(4):439–43.
15. Vollhard F. Uber künstliche Atmung durch Ventilation der Trachea und eine einfache Vorrichtung zur rhythmischen künstlichen Atmung (German). Muench Med Wochenschr. 1908;55:209–11.
16. Enghoff M, Holmdahl M, Risholm M. Diffusion respiration in man. Nature. 1951;168:830.
17. Biedler A, Mertzlufft F, Feifel G. Apneic oxygenation in Boerhaave syndrome. Anaesthesiol Intensivmed Notfallmed Schmerzther. 1995;30(4):257–60.
18. O’Loughlin CJ, Phylund DJ, Vannance NA, Giddings C, Mallouzas E, Gunasekera E, Webb A, Barnes R. Low-flow apnoeic oxygenation for laryngeal surgery: a prospective observational study. Anaesthesia. 2020;75(8):1070–5.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:
- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

Learn more: biomedcentral.com/submissions