TWISTED FORMS OF TORIC VARIETIES

ALEXANDER DUNCAN

Abstract. We consider the set of forms of a toric variety over an arbitrary field: those varieties which become isomorphic over a field extension. In contrast to most previous work, we do not necessarily fix a specific torus or its action in advance. We define an injective map from the set of forms of a toric variety to a non-abelian second cohomology set, which generalizes the usual Brauer class of a Severi-Brauer variety. Additionally, we define a map from the set of forms of a toric variety to the set of forms of a separable algebra along similar lines to a construction of A. Merkurjev and I. Panin. This generalizes both a result of M. Blunk for del Pezzo surfaces of degree 6, and the standard bijection between Severi-Brauer varieties and central simple algebras.

1. Introduction

Let $k$ be an arbitrary field with separable closure $\bar{k}$. A toric variety is a normal variety $X$ over $k$ with a faithful action of a torus $T$ which has a dense open orbit. When the ground field $k$ is not separably closed, the open orbit may not have a rational point. Moreover, even if the orbit has a point, the torus $T$ may not be the standard split torus $(\mathbb{G}_m)^n$.

When the torus $T$ is split, we call $X$ a split toric variety. Most of the literature on toric varieties is about the split case since this is the only case when $k = \mathbb{C}$. In [Vos82], V. Voskresenskii studies toric varieties for general tori $T$, but assumes that the open orbit has a rational point; we will call these neutral toric varieties.

Recall that a $k$-form of a $k$-variety $X$ is a $k$-variety $X'$ such that $X$ and $X'$ are isomorphic over some field extension. All toric varieties are $k$-forms of a split toric variety. The main goal of this paper is to study the set of isomorphism classes of forms of toric varieties. However, unlike, for example, [VKS4], [MP97], and [ELFST10], we do not necessarily specify a torus or its action in advance. We consider three different categories of toric varieties, which we make precise in Section 3:

- $\mathcal{R}$: arbitrary morphisms,
- $\mathcal{N}$: torus-preserving morphisms, and
- $\mathcal{W}$: toric morphisms.
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Each of these categories has a different notion of isomorphism and thus a different notion of $k$-form.

Our main tool is the Cox ring of a complete split toric variety $X$ from \cite{Cox95}, which generalizes the usual homogeneous coordinate ring for projective space. In Theorem 4.3 below (which is essentially due to D. Cox), we see that there is a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$
1 \rightarrow S \rightarrow \tilde{T} \times W \rightarrow T \times W \rightarrow 1
$$

where $S$ is a diagonalizable group dual to $\text{Cl}(X)$, the group $\tilde{\text{Aut}}(X)$ acts on the Cox ring, $T$ is a maximal torus of $\text{Aut}(X)$, the group $\tilde{T}$ is a maximal torus of $\tilde{\text{Aut}}(X)$, and $W$ is the group of toric automorphisms of $X$. The bottom row is a direct generalization of the sequence

$$
1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \text{GL}_n \rightarrow \text{PGL}_n \rightarrow 1
$$

one obtains for projective space.

In Theorem 5.1 we show how the sets of isomorphism classes for all three categories, as well as their relationships to each other and to their subsets of neutral forms, can be readily obtained from the Galois cohomology sets associated to (1.1). Applying $H^1(k, -)$ to the rightmost square we may interpret each set as the $k$-forms of $X$ up to isomorphism in an appropriate category:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Forms in } \mathcal{W} & \xleftarrow{k} & \text{Forms in } \mathcal{N} \\
\text{neutral } X \text{ specified } T & \xleftarrow{k} & \text{arbitrary } X \text{ specified } T \\
\text{neutral } X \text{ unspecified } T & \xleftarrow{k} & \text{arbitrary } X \text{ unspecified } T
\end{array}
$$

Here the vertical maps are surjections, the horizontal maps are injections and the dashed arrows are canonical sections or retracts. We see that the isomorphism classes are naturally partitioned into neutralization classes each of which contains exactly one neutral toric variety.

From the exact sequence (1.2), the long exact sequence in Galois cohomology produces a well-known injection

$$
H^1(k, \text{PGL}_n) \hookrightarrow H^2(k, \mathbb{G}_m)
$$

where $H^1(k, \text{PGL}_n)$ is in bijection with the set of isomorphism classes of Severi-Brauer varieties of dimension $n-1$ and the group $H^2(k, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is the Brauer group $\text{Br}(k)$. 
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Let $J$ be the image of the action of Aut$(X)$ on Cl$(X)$; the group $J$ is non-trivial in general. Thus, unlike the sequence for projective spaces, $S$ is not necessarily central in Cl$(X)$ and one cannot expect a map to $H^2(k, S)$ for a general toric variety. Nevertheless, using the theory of non-abelian $H^2$ from [Spr66] and [Gir71], we prove in Theorem 7.4 that there is an injection (1.5)

$$H^1(k, \text{Aut}(X)) \rightarrow H^2(k, S \rightarrow J)$$

where $H^2(k, S \rightarrow J)$ is a structured set which we claim is a natural analog of the Brauer group. One may view the map (1.5) as a refinement of the elementary obstruction from [CTS87].

A del Pezzo surface $X$ of degree 6 is a toric variety. In [Blu10], M. Blunk shows that $k$-forms of $X$ of degree 6 are in bijection with a certain subset of the $k$-forms of an algebra $B$ over $k$. As another class of examples, there is a natural bijection between the isomorphism classes of Severi-Brauer varieties and their associated central simple algebras. Thus, a natural question is whether, for a given split toric variety $X$, there exists an algebra $B$ and an injection (1.6)

$$\left\{ \text{isomorphism classes of } k\text{-forms of } X \right\} \hookrightarrow \left\{ \text{isomorphism classes of } k\text{-forms of } B \right\}$$

which is natural in the field $k$. The remainder of the paper focuses on a partial answer to this question.

Recall that a separable algebra $B$ over a field $k$ is a finite direct sum of central simple algebras over finite extension fields of $k$. The automorphism group Aut$(B)$ of a separable algebra $B$ is an algebraic group with connected component Aut$(B)^\circ$. Given a finite subgroup $J$ of the quotient Aut$(B)/\text{Aut}(B)^\circ$, the $J$-restricted automorphism group of $B$, denoted Aut$_J(B)$, is the preimage of $J$ in Aut$(B)$.

For a finite group $J$, a finitely generated $J$-module is permutation if it is free as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module with basis permuted by $J$; a $J$-module is invertible if it is a direct summand of a permutation $J$-module. Note that, for an algebraic group $G$ over a field $k$, one can view $H^1(\cdot, G)$ as a functor from the category of field extensions $K/k$ to the category of sets.

Theorem 1.1. Let $X$ be a smooth projective split toric variety over a field $k$. Let $J$ be the image of the homomorphism Aut$(X) \rightarrow$ Aut$(\text{Pic}(X))$. Suppose that Pic$(X)$ is an invertible $J$-lattice (for example, if $X$ is a surface). There exists a canonical separable algebra $B$ over $k$, and a natural transformation (1.7)

$$H^1(\cdot, \text{Aut}(X)) \rightarrow H^1(\cdot, \text{Aut}_J(B))$$

which is injective for every field $K/k$.

When Aut$_J(B) = \text{Aut}(B)$, as is the case for the central simple algebras associated to Severi-Brauer varieties and Blunk’s algebra $B$, the set $H^1(k, \text{Aut}_J(B))$ can be interpreted directly as isomorphism classes of $k$-forms of $B$. When $J$ is trivial, the set $H^1(k, \text{Aut}(B))$ is simply the set of isomorphism classes of $k$-forms of $B$ which preserve a fixed labelling of each
central simple algebra in the product. In the intermediate cases, \( \text{Aut}_f(B) \) preserves this labelling up to certain symmetries. Thus, Theorem 1.1 can be interpreted as an injection as in (1.6) for a mildly weaker notion of isomorphism.

In [MP97], A. Merkurjev and I. Panin construct a “motivic category” which contains both toric varieties and separable algebras. In that paper, which was a starting point for Blunk’s work, they also associate forms of separable algebras to forms of toric varieties. In Theorem 9.1, we show that their method is, up to Brauer equivalence, essentially the same our own.

The paper is structured as follows. In Sections 2, 3, and 4 we fix notation, state definitions, and review basic facts that will be needed later in the paper. In Section 5, we use Galois cohomology and the structure theory of split toric varieties to classify their forms. In Section 6 we extend the structure theory of the split toric variety to the general case. In Section 7, we define the set \( H^2(k, S \to J) \) and prove the injection (1.5). The last three sections discuss the construction of the natural transformation (1.7). In Section 8, we first consider analogous functors at the level of Brauer groups. In Section 9, we show that our construction is essentially equivalent to the Merkurjev-Panin construction. Finally, in Section 10, we construct the functor (1.7) and discuss its geometric interpretations.

2. Preliminaries

Let \( k \) be a field. We will denote by \( k_s \) a separable closure of \( k \). We denote by \( \Gamma_k \) the absolute Galois group of the field \( k \), which is a profinite group.

A variety \( X \) is a geometrically integral separated scheme of finite type over a field \( k \). A group scheme \( G \) will always be a group scheme of finite type over a field \( k \). An algebraic group \( G \) is a smooth group scheme of finite type over a field \( k \).

Given a field extension \( K/k \) we denote by

\[
X_K := X \times_{\text{Spec}(k)} \text{Spec}(K)
\]

the pullback, which is a variety defined over \( K \). For the separable closure, we use the shorthand \( \overline{X} := X_{k_s} \). A \( k \)-form of \( X \), is a variety \( X' \) defined over \( k \) such that \( X'_K \simeq X_K \) for some field extension \( K/k \).

We assume that reader is familiar with Galois cohomology (see, e.g., [Ser02]). For a group scheme \( G \), \( H^i(k, G) \) will denote the \( i \)th Galois cohomology set \( H^i(\Gamma_k, G(k_s)) \). This is an abelian group when \( G \) is abelian, a group when \( i = 0 \), and a pointed set when \( G \) is non-abelian and \( i = 1 \).

Of fundamental importance to this paper is the well-known functorial bijection of pointed sets

\[
H^1(k, \text{Aut}(X)) \simeq \left\{ \text{isomorphism classes of } k\text{-forms of } X \right\}
\]

which holds when \( X \) is quasiprojective and \( \text{Aut}(X) \) is an algebraic group.
2.1. **Algebras.** We refer the reader to §1, §18, and §23 of [KMRT98] for many of the results that follow. We assume throughout that all algebras are associative and unital. Given an algebra $A$, we denote its opposite algebra by $A^\text{op}$.

An étale $k$-algebra $E$ is a direct product

$$E = F_1 \times \cdots \times F_r$$

where $F_1, \ldots, F_r$ are separable field extensions of $k$. An étale algebra $E$ is **split** if every field $F_i$ in the decomposition is isomorphic to $k$. The **degree** of an étale algebra $E$ is its dimension as a vector space over $k$.

A **central simple algebra over** $k$ is a $k$-algebra $A$ such that there exists a field $K$ for which $A_K \cong M_n(K)$ where $M_n(K)$ is the algebra of $n \times n$-matrices over $K$. The algebra $A$ is **split** if $A \cong M_n(k)$ over the original field.

A **separable algebra** $A$ is a finite-dimensional $k$-algebra which is a finite product

$$A = A_1 \times \cdots \times A_r$$

where each $A_i$ is a central simple algebra over a finite separable field extension $F_i$ of $k$. The algebra $A$ is **neutral** if every algebra $A_i$ is split as a central simple algebra over $F_i$. The algebra $A$ is **split** if every algebra $A_i$ is split as a central simple algebra over the base field $k$.

Every separable algebra has a **split form** $A_{\text{split}}$ which is the unique split $k$-form of $A$. Every separable algebra $A$ has a **neutralization** $A_{\text{neut}}$ where we replace each $A_i$ in the product with its split form as an $F_i$-algebra. We define a **neutralization class** of a separable $k$-algebra $A$ to be the set of $k$-forms of $A$ which have the same neutralization. The center $Z(A)$ of a separable $k$-algebra is an étale $k$-algebra. The center $Z(A)$ is invariant within neutralization classes.

2.2. **Automorphisms.** Given a $k$-algebra $A$, let $\text{Aut}(A)$ denote the group scheme of automorphisms of $A$.

If $E$ is an étale $k$-algebra of degree $n$, then $\text{Aut}(E)$ is a form of the symmetric group $S_n$; thus, $\text{Aut}(E)$ is finite étale over $k$.

Given a finite dimensional $k$-algebra $A$, we denote by $\text{GL}_1(A)$ the algebraic group representing the functor

$$R \rightarrow (A_R)^\times$$

on commutative $k$-algebras $R$ (see §20 of [KMRT98]).

Let $A$ be a separable $k$-algebra with center $Z(A)$. By §23 of [KMRT98], the connected component of $\text{Aut}(A)$ is given by

$$\text{Aut}(A)^\circ \cong \text{GL}_1(A) / \text{GL}_1(Z(A))$$

and $\pi_0(\text{Aut}(A))$, the quotient by the connected component, is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(Z(A))$. 

Given a subgroup \( I \) of \( \pi_0(\text{Aut}(A)) \), the \( I \)-restricted automorphism group of \( A \), denoted \( \text{Aut}_I(A) \), is the preimage of \( I \) in \( \text{Aut}(A) \). Note, in particular, when \( I \) is trivial, \( \text{Aut}_1(A) = \text{Aut}(A)^0 \).

2.3. Groups of multiplicative type. Most of the material here can be found in, e.g., [Vos98].

Let \( \mathbb{G}_m = \text{GL}_1(k) \). A group scheme \( S \) of finite type is diagonalizable if \( S \) is a closed subgroup of \( (\mathbb{G}_m)^n \) for some positive integer \( n \). A group of multiplicative type is a group scheme \( S \) such that \( S \) is diagonalizable. A group of multiplicative type is split if it is diagonalizable. An algebraic group \( S \) is a torus if \( S \cong (\mathbb{G}_m)^n \) for some non-negative integer \( n \).

Let \( \Gamma \) be a profinite group. A \( \Gamma \)-module \( L \) is a finitely generated abelian group \( L \) with a continuous action of \( \Gamma \) where \( L \) is endowed with the discrete topology. A \( \Gamma \)-lattice is a torsion-free \( \Gamma \)-module.

There is an exact anti-equivalence between the category of groups of multiplicative type and the category of \( \Gamma_k \)-modules which we will call “duality.” Given a group \( S \) of multiplicative type, the character group, \( \hat{S} \), is the corresponding \( \Gamma_k \)-module. Conversely, given a \( \Gamma_k \)-module \( L \), the corresponding group of multiplicative type will be denoted \( D(L) \). Under this equivalence, tori correspond to \( \Gamma \)-lattices.

The image of the map \( \Gamma_k \to \text{Aut}(\hat{S}) \) is a finite group which we call the decomposition group of \( S \). One can also define the cocharacter group of \( S \) as the \( \Gamma_k \)-lattice \( \text{Hom}(\hat{S},\mathbb{Z}) \). One can recover the original group \( S \) from its cocharacter lattice if and only if \( S \) is a torus.

2.4. Weil Restrictions and Galois Cohomology. Let \( E \) be an étale \( k \)-algebra and let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a functor from \( E \)-algebras to sets. We define the Weil restriction \( \text{R}_{E/k} \mathcal{F} \) of \( \mathcal{F} \) as the functor from commutative \( k \)-algebras to sets given by

\[
\text{R}_{E/k} \mathcal{F}(R) = \mathcal{F}(R \otimes_k E)
\]

for each \( k \)-algebra \( R \). The Weil restriction of an algebraic group (resp. variety) is also an algebraic group (resp. variety).

Lemma 2.1. Let \( E \) be an étale \( k \)-algebra and \( G \) be an algebraic group over \( E \). There is a natural isomorphism \( H^1(k, \text{R}_{E/k} G) \cong H^1(E, G) \) of groups (or pointed sets).

Proof. See Lemma 29.6 of [KMRT98]. \( \square \)

Proposition 2.2 (Hilbert 90). For any separable \( k \)-algebra \( A \), the cohomology set \( H^1(k, \text{GL}_1(A)) \) is trivial.

Proof. See Theorem 29.2 of [KMRT98]. \( \square \)

Proposition 2.3. For any separable \( k \)-algebra \( A \) and for any cocycle \( c \) in \( H^1(k, \text{Aut}(A)) \), we have \( c \text{GL}_1(A) = \text{GL}_1(cA) \).

Proof. The embedding \( \text{GL}_1(A)(k_s) \to A_{k_s} \) is \( \text{Aut}(A_{k_s}) \)-equivariant. \( \square \)
2.5. **Permutation lattices and quasi-split tori.** A \( \Gamma \)-lattice \( L \) is *permutation* if \( L \) has a basis which is permuted by \( \Gamma \). A torus \( S \) is *quasi-split* if \( \hat{S} \) is permutation.

There is an antiequivalence of categories between the category of étale algebras and the category of \( \Gamma_k \)-sets where \( \Gamma_k \)-orbits correspond to the subfields \( F_i \) of \( E \).

Given an étale \( k \)-algebra \( E \), the group \( \text{GL}_1(E) \) is a torus. Indeed,

\[
T = \text{GL}_1(E) \simeq R_{E/k} \mathbb{G}_m, \quad E
\]

is a Weil restriction. The character lattice \( \hat{T} \) is a permutation \( \Gamma_k \)-lattice with basis indexed by the \( \Gamma_k \)-set corresponding to \( E \). The torus \( T \) is always a quasi-split torus and any quasi-split torus can arise in this way. Note, however, that non-isomorphic étale algebras may give rise to isomorphic tori since the choice of basis is not canonical.

3. **Preliminaries on General Toric Varieties**

There are several reasonable notions of a “toric variety” over a general field. Here we fix the definitions for the remainder of the paper.

**Definition 3.1.** Let \( T \) be a torus. A *toric \( T \)-variety* \( X \) is a normal \( k \)-variety with a faithful \( T \)-action and a dense open \( T \)-orbit \( X_0 \). A toric \( T \)-variety \( X \) is *neutral* if there exists a \( T \)-equivariant isomorphism \( T \to X_0 \). A toric \( T \)-variety \( X \) is *split* if \( T \) is a split torus.

Over an algebraically closed field, the notions of neutral and split are vacuous. It is often desirable to keep track of a specific isomorphism \( T \to X_0 \) when \( X \) is neutral, but this is not part of our definition. Note that there are no non-trivial torsors under a split torus, so a split toric \( T \)-variety is always neutral.

**Definition 3.2.** We say \( X \) is a *toric variety* if there exists a torus \( T \) with an action on \( X \) giving \( X \) the structure of a toric \( T \)-variety. We say \( X \) is *neutral* if one can choose \( T \) such that \( X \) is neutral as a toric \( T \)-variety. We say \( X \) is *split* if one can choose \( T \) such that \( X \) is split as a toric \( T \)-variety.

The difference between Definitions 3.1 and 3.2 is whether a specific torus is fixed a priori or not. Note that a toric variety \( X \) is neutral for any choice of torus if it is neutral for any one choice. However, a split toric variety may have several torus structures for non-split tori.

We introduce 3 different categories of toric varieties to emphasize the different kind of morphisms one might consider in light of the above considerations. In Section 5, these categories will be used as natural settings for the machinery of descent.

**Definition 3.3.** The category \( \mathcal{R} \):

1. objects are toric varieties,
2. morphisms are morphisms of varieties.
Definition 3.4. The category $\mathcal{N}$:

1. objects are pairs $(T, X)$ where $T$ is a torus and $X$ is a toric $T$-variety,
2. morphisms from $(T, X)$ to $(T', X')$ are pairs $(g, f)$ where $g : T \to T'$ is a group homomorphism and $f : X \to X'$ is a morphism of varieties which is $T$-equivariant via $g$.

The automorphisms in the category $\mathcal{N}$ amount to automorphisms of the subvariety $X_0$ which extend to all of $X$.

Definition 3.5. The category $\mathcal{W}$:

1. objects are triples $(T, X, \iota)$ where $T$ is a torus, $X$ is a neutral toric $T$-variety, and $\iota : T \to X$ is an isomorphism with the dense open orbit,
2. morphisms from $(T, X, \iota)$ to $(T', X', \iota')$ are pairs $(g, f)$ where $g : T \to T'$ is a group homomorphism and $f : X \to X'$ is a morphism of varieties such that the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{f} & X' \\
\downarrow{\iota} & & \downarrow{\iota'} \\
T & \xrightarrow{g} & T'
\end{array}
$$

commutes.

The morphisms of $\mathcal{W}$ are called toric morphisms in the literature. Observe that multiplication by a non-trivial element of the torus is an automorphism in $\mathcal{N}$, but not in $\mathcal{W}$.

We mention two useful results regarding general toric varieties.

Proposition 3.6. If $T$ is a torus then there exists a smooth projective $T$-variety $X$.

Proof. See [CTHS05].

Proposition 3.7. A smooth projective toric variety $X$ is neutral if and only if $X$ has a rational $k$-point.

Proof. See Proposition 4 of [VK84].

4. Structure of Split Toric Varieties

Throughout this section, $X$ is a split toric $T$-variety with a specified embedding $T \hookrightarrow X$.

Here we outline the well-known structure theory of a split toric variety to fix notation. We assume the reader is familiar with standard references on toric varieties (for example, [Ful93] or [CLS11]). Many references only consider the base field $\mathbb{C}$, but much of the theory goes through unchanged in the split case.

Let $M = \hat{T}$ be the character lattice of $T$, and let $N$ be the cocharacter lattice of $T$. Of course, since $T$ is split, both lattices have trivial $\Gamma_k$-action.
Split toric $T$-varieties $X$ are in bijective correspondence with fans in their cocharacter lattices $N$. From the data of a fan $\Sigma$, one can determine whether $X$ is smooth, projective, or proper over $k$. We denote by $\Sigma(k)$ the set of cones of dimension $k$ in $\Sigma$; in particular, $\Sigma(1)$ is the set of rays. We denote by $\tilde{M}$ the free abelian group with basis indexed by $\Sigma(1)$. The dual lattice $\tilde{N}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\tilde{M}$ by using this basis. The lattice $\tilde{M}$ is isomorphic to the group of $T$-invariant Weil divisors of $X$.

Recall that the divisor class group, $\text{Cl}(X)$, has a natural structure as a $\Gamma_k$-module. Let $S$ be the group of multiplicative type $D(\text{Cl}(X))$. There is an exact sequence

\begin{equation}
1 \to M \to \tilde{M} \to \text{Cl}(X) \to 1
\end{equation}

when $k_s(X)^\times \simeq k_s$ — for example, if $X$ is proper. When $X$ is smooth and proper, $\text{Cl}(X)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\text{Pic}(X)$ and is torsion free.

4.1. Cox rings. We now review the theory of Cox rings (see [Cox95]). For simplicity, we assume $X$ is proper.

The Cox ring $\text{Cox}(X)$ of $X$, is the polynomial ring

$$\text{Cox}(X) := k[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$$

where the monomials $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ correspond to the rays $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_r$ in $\Sigma(1)$. Note that $\text{Cox}(X)$ has a canonical embedding into $k[\tilde{T}]$ where monomials can be identified with elements of $\tilde{M}$. The ring $\text{Cox}(X)$ has a natural $\text{Cl}(X)$ grading via the morphism $\tilde{M} \to \text{Cl}(X)$ from (4.1).

For a Weil divisor $D$, we denote the graded component of $\text{Cox}(X)$ corresponding to $[D] \in \text{Cl}(X)$ by $\text{Cox}(X)_{[D]}$ or $\text{Cox}(X)_D$. Denoting $O_X(D)$ as the reflexive sheaf associated to $D$, there are isomorphisms

$$\text{Cox}(X)_D \simeq H^0(X, O_X(D)) = \{ f \in k(X)^\times : \text{div}(f) + D \geq 0 \} \cup \{0\}$$

for every Weil divisor $D$.

We define the irrelevant ideal $B$ of $\text{Cox}(X)$ as the monomial ideal generated by products $x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s}$ corresponding to subsets of rays $\{\rho_{i_1}, \ldots, \rho_{i_s}\}$ which are the complement of a cone in $\Sigma$. Note that $V = \text{Spec}(\text{Cox}(X))$ is an affine variety with a natural vector space structure. The ideal $B$ cuts out a closed subvariety $Z \subset V$ whose complement $\tilde{X}$ we call the characteristic space of $X$.

The $\text{Cl}(X)$-grading on $\text{Cox}(X)$ corresponds to generically-free actions of $S$ on $V$ and on $\tilde{X}$. We may recover $X$ as the categorical quotient of $\tilde{X}$ by $S$. In the case that $X$ is smooth, the action of $S$ on $\tilde{X}$ is free and the quotient

$$\psi : \tilde{X} \to X$$

is an $S$-torsor (in fact, a universal torsor in the sense of [CTSS7]).
4.2. Automorphisms. The automorphism group of a smooth proper split toric variety was determined in [Dem70]. Our exposition is heavily inspired by [Cox95] where the automorphism group of a split simplicial toric variety is determined indirectly via the Cox ring (see also [Cox14]).

Define \( \widetilde{\text{Aut}}(X) \) as the normalizer of \( S \) in the automorphism group of \( \widetilde{X} \). Let \( W \) be the group of toric automorphisms of \( X \) (the subgroup of \( \text{GL}(N) \approx \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) which takes cones to cones). Note that \( W \) has induced actions on \( \widetilde{T} \) and \( T \).

Let \( V_{\lambda} \) be the weight subspace of \( V \) corresponding to \( \lambda \) in \( \text{Cl}(X) \) and let \( n_{\lambda} \) be its dimension. Let \( \Lambda \) be the subset of \( \text{Cl}(X) \) corresponding to the non-trivial weight subspaces \( V_{\lambda} \) of \( V \).

**Definition 4.1.** The Cox algebra of \( X \) is the split separable \( k \)-algebra

\[
A := \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \text{End}(V_{\lambda}).
\]

We define \( W^\circ = W \cap \text{GL}_1(A) \) and note that

\[
W^\circ \approx \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_{n_{\lambda}}
\]

where each \( S_{n_{\lambda}} \) is the symmetric group on \( n_{\lambda} \) letters. The group \( W^\circ \) is isomorphic to the Weyl group of \( \text{GL}_1(A) \).

**Definition 4.2.** The group of Picard automorphisms of \( X \), denoted \( J \), is the image of the map \( \text{Aut}(X) \to \text{Aut}(S) \). The group \( J \) is a finite constant group which will be of fundamental importance for the remainder of the paper.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let \( X \) be a projective split toric variety. Diagram (1.1):

\[
1 \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow \widetilde{T} \times W \longrightarrow T \times W \longrightarrow 1
\]

\[
1 \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{Aut}}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(X) \longrightarrow 1
\]

commutes and has exact rows. Moreover, \( T \times W \) (resp. \( \widetilde{T} \times W \)) is the normalizer of a maximal torus in \( \text{Aut}(X) \) (resp. \( \widetilde{\text{Aut}}(X) \)). We have an isomorphism

\[
\widetilde{\text{Aut}}(X) \approx U \times \text{GL}_1(A) \times J,
\]

where \( U \) is unipotent, and an isomorphism

\[
W \approx W^\circ \times J
\]

where the splitting is unique up to conjugacy.

**Proof.** When \( k = \mathbb{C} \) and \( X \) is simplicial, the commutative diagram (1.1) is essentially the main theorem of §4 of [Cox95]. The main idea is that the connected component of \( \widetilde{\text{Aut}}(X) \) is isomorphic to the group of \( \text{Cl}(X) \)-graded
automorphisms of Cox(X). From [Büh96] and [BG99], the diagram is true for k algebraically closed and X projective.

Let us first assume k is algebraically closed. We need to establish the splittings of \( \widetilde{\text{Aut}}(X) \) and W. By the references above, the group \( \widetilde{\text{Aut}}(X) \cap \text{GL}(V) \) is isomorphic to the product \( \text{GL}_1(A)W \), which is in turn isomorphic to the quotient by \( U \).

Note that \( V \) is the vector space dual to the subspace spanned by the generators \( x_1, \ldots, x_r \) of Cox(X) and thus is spanned by the dual basis \( x_1^*, \ldots, x_r^* \) which may be identified with rays of \( \Sigma \). The group \( W^\circ \) consists of all permutations of \( x_1^*, \ldots, x_r^* \) which preserve the decomposition into weight subspaces \( V_\lambda \).

Since \( \text{GL}_1(A) \) is connected and \( J \) is a constant finite group, we obtain an exact sequence

\[
1 \rightarrow W^\circ \rightarrow W \rightarrow J \rightarrow 1
\]

which we want to show is split. The group \( J \) acts faithfully on the set \( \Lambda \). Note that if \( W \) splits as \( W^\circ \rtimes J \), then \( \text{GL}_1(A)W \) splits as \( \text{GL}_1(A) \rtimes J \).

Choose orderings for \( x_1^*, \ldots, x_r^* \) within each subspace \( V_\lambda \). Pick a set-theoretic section \( s : J \rightarrow W \). For each \( j \in J \), we have \( s(j)(V_\lambda) = V_{j(\lambda)} \). By comparing the ordered bases of \( V_\lambda \) and \( V_{j(\lambda)} \), the element \( j \) gives rise to an element \( w_\lambda \in S_{n_\lambda} \). Taking the product of the \( w_\lambda s \) for each \( \lambda \in \Lambda \), we obtain an element \( w_j \in W^\circ \) such that \( w_j^{-1} \circ s(j) : V_\lambda \rightarrow V_{j(\lambda)} \) is an isomorphism of vector spaces with ordered bases. Since such isomorphisms are unique, the set-theoretic section \( \tilde{s} : J \rightarrow W \) given by \( \tilde{s}(j) = w_j^{-1} \circ s(j) \) is a group homomorphism as desired.

Note that the section constructed only depends on the choice of orderings for the bases within each \( V_\lambda \). These are permuted by \( W^\circ \), so the section is unique up to conjugacy.

We have established the theorem when \( k \) is algebraically closed. However, \( U, \tilde{T}, T, \text{GL}_1(A), W, W^\circ, J \) and the splittings can be all be defined over a general field \( k \). All the remaining statements follow since they are true over an algebraic closure.

\[\square\]

**Remark 4.4.** Note that in [Cox95], it is erroneously stated that all graded endomorphisms of Cox(X) form a (not necessarily separable) algebra \( B \). This would lead to a description \( \widetilde{\text{Aut}}(X) \simeq \text{GL}_1(B) \rtimes J \) above. This is only true when the unipotent radical \( U \) is trivial (see [Cox14]).

**Remark 4.5.** Let \( V \) be a vector space of dimension \( n \). If \( X \) is \( \mathbb{P}(V) \), the set of 1-dimensional subspaces of \( V \), then the Cox algebra \( A \) is simply \( \text{End}(V) \). As a special case of the Severi-Brauer construction, we may recover \( X \) as the variety of right ideals of \( A \) (see [Sal99] or [KMRT98]).

5. **Twists of the split toric variety**

Throughout this section, we assume that \( X \) is a split projective toric \( T \)-variety with a fixed torus embedding \( T \hookrightarrow X \) as in the previous section.
The projectivity assumption is to ensure that descent is effective. This assumption can be often be weakened (see [Hur11] for a complete description of when descent is effective for toric $T$-varieties.)

**Theorem 5.1.** The commutative square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^1(k, \tilde{T} \rtimes W) & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, T \rtimes W) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^1(k, \tilde{\text{Aut}}(X)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \text{Aut}(X))
\end{array}
\]

obtained from the commutative diagram (1.1) is canonically isomorphic to the square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^1(k, W) & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, T \rtimes W) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^1(k, J) & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, \text{Aut}(X))
\end{array}
\]

where the downward maps are surjective and the rightward maps are injective. Moreover, the rightward maps have canonical retracts and the left downward map has a canonical section.

Before proving the theorem, we make some remarks. Recalling the categories from Section 3 we find:

\[
\text{Aut}_R(X) = \text{Aut}(X) , \quad \text{Aut}_N(X) = T \rtimes W , \quad \text{Aut}_W(X) = W .
\]

Thus, three of the coefficient groups appearing in (5.2) are simply the automorphism groups of toric varieties within these categories. The Galois cohomology sets represent the forms of $X$ within each category. As $W$ contains only neutral toric varieties, we recover the interpretation from (1.3).

From this diagram, we recover the well-known fact that there is a unique isomorphism class of a split toric variety (resp. split toric $T$-variety) among all the possible $k$-forms. For a given toric variety $X$ we call the unique split variety the associated split toric variety and denote it by $X_{\text{split}}$.

From the canonical retracts, we see that every toric variety $X$ has an associated neutral toric variety, or neutralization, which we denote by $X_{\text{neut}}$. We call the set of forms which have a common neutralization a neutralization class and remark that these partition the isomorphism classes of forms of $X$.

The canonical section tells us that every neutral toric variety has a canonical isomorphism class of torus $T$. When the toric variety is split, this is the split torus.

**Remark 5.2.** An investigation of the top row of Theorem 4.3 using Galois cohomology was also carried out in [ELFST10].
Remark 5.3. In [VK84] and [MP97], the neutralization is defined when $T$ is fixed and is called the “associated toric $T$-model.” The theorem above shows that one can define the neutralization independently of the particular torus action chosen.

We now prove Theorem 5.1. We begin with some technical lemmas:

Lemma 5.4. Let $A$ and $C$ be algebraic groups where $C$ acts on $A$. The map $H^1(k, A \times C) \to H^1(k, C)$ is surjective and the map $H^1(k, C) \to H^1(k, A \times C)$ is injective.

Proof. Apply the functor $H^1(k, -)$ to the composition $C \to A \times C \to C$. □

Lemma 5.5. Let $A$ and $C$ be algebraic groups where $C$ acts on $A$. Let $\xi$ be a set of cocycle representatives for the set $H^1(k, C)$. There is a canonical bijection $H^1(k, A \times C) \simeq \bigsqcup_{c \in \xi} H^1(k, cA)/H^0(k, cC)$, functorial in $A$, where each component of the disjoint union is a fibre of the canonical map to $H^1(k, C)$. In particular, $H^1(k, cA)$ is trivial for every $c \in \xi$ if and only if the map $H^1(k, A \times C) \to H^1(k, C)$ is a bijection.

Proof. By Lemma 5.4, there is a canonical choice of preimage in $H^1(k, A \times C)$ for elements in $H^1(k, C)$. By Corollary 2 of §5.5 of [Ser02] we obtain the desired bijection.

To prove functoriality, consider another algebraic group $B$ with an action of $C$ and a $C$-equivariant homomorphism $A \to B$. The morphism $f \times C : A \times C \to B \times C$ gives rise to a commutative diagram

$\begin{array}{ccc}
H^1(k, A \times C) & \to & H^1(k, B \times C) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^1(k, C) & \to & H^1(k, C)
\end{array}$

Thus, the map takes fibres to fibres. Note that twisting by $c \in \xi$ is a functorial operation, so we can twist $f$ by $c$ to obtain $cf : cA \to cB$. The map

$\prod_{c \in \xi} H^1(k, cA)/H^0(k, cC) \to \prod_{c \in \xi} H^1(k, cB)/H^0(k, cC)$

is obtained by taking a disjoint union of quotients by $H^0(k, cC)$. This process preserves compositions and the identity. □

Lemma 5.6. Let $A$ be a separable $k$-algebra with center $Z(A)$. Let $S$ be a subgroup of $GL_1(Z(A))$ and suppose $J$ is a subgroup of $Aut(A)$ which stabilizes $S$. Then the induced morphism of Galois cohomology sets

$H^1(k, GL_1(A) \rtimes J) \to H^1(k, GL_1(A)/S \rtimes J)$
is canonically isomorphic to the injection
\[ H^1(k, J) \hookrightarrow H^1(k, \text{GL}_1(A)/S \rtimes J) \]
and has a canonical retract.

**Proof.** Since \( J \) acts on the algebra \( A \) by automorphisms, for any cocycle \( c \in Z^1(k, J) \) we have \( c \text{GL}_1(A) = \text{GL}_1(cA) \) by Proposition 2.3. Thus, by Hilbert 90, we see that \( H^1(k, c\text{GL}(A)) \) is trivial for any cocycle \( c \in Z^1(k, J) \).

By Lemma 5.5 we conclude that
\[ H^1(k, \text{GL}_1(A) \rtimes J) \cong H^1(k, J). \]
We obtain injectivity and the canonical retract from Lemma 5.4.

We now observe that, from the perspective of Galois cohomology, the unipotent radical is irrelevant:

**Proposition 5.7.** There are canonical bijections
\[ H^1(k, \widetilde{\text{Aut}}(X)) \cong H^1(k, \text{GL}_1(A) \rtimes J) \]
and
\[ H^1(k, \text{Aut}(X)) \cong H^1(k, (\text{GL}_1(A)/S) \rtimes J). \]

**Proof.** Since \( X \) is split, \( X \) can be defined over the prime field \( k_0 \) of \( k \); in other words, \( X = Y_k \) for a split toric variety \( Y \) over \( k_0 \). All prime fields are perfect, thus the unipotent radicals of \( \widetilde{\text{Aut}}(Y) \) and \( \text{Aut}(Y) \) are \( k_0 \)-split. As a consequence, the unipotent radicals of \( \widetilde{\text{Aut}}(X) \) and \( \text{Aut}(X) \) are \( k \)-split and, thus, we may apply Lemma 7.20 of [GMB13].

**Proof of Theorem 5.1.** First we consider the top row. Recall that \( \widetilde{T} \) is a split torus and thus is \( \text{GL}_1(E) \) for a split \( k \)-algebra \( E \). The group \( W \) permutes a basis for \( V \) and thus acts on \( E \) by algebra automorphisms. From Lemma 5.6 we obtain injectivity with a canonical retract.

By Proposition 5.7 we may assume the bottom row in (5.1) is
\[ H^1(k, \text{GL}_1(A) \rtimes J) \rightarrow H^1(k, (\text{GL}_1(A)/S) \rtimes J). \]
Again, Lemma 5.6 provides injectivity with a canonical retract.

Now, we show that the vertical maps are surjections. For any cocycle \( c \in Z^1(k, J) \) the map
\[ c(\widetilde{T} \rtimes W^0) \rightarrow c \text{GL}_1(A) \]
is the inclusion of the normalizer of a maximal torus into a connected algebraic group and thus the induced map
\[ H^1(k, c(\widetilde{T} \rtimes W^0)) \rightarrow H^1(k, c \text{GL}_1(A)) \]
is surjective by Corollary 5.3 of [CGR08] (Lemma III.4.3.6 of [Ser02] when \( k \) is perfect). Via Lemma 5.5 we conclude that the left vertical map in (5.1) is surjective. Similarly, we conclude the right vertical map is surjective.

Since \( J \) and \( W \) are finite constant groups, the elements of \( H^1(k, J) \) and \( H^1(k, W) \) are simply homomorphisms from \( \Gamma_k \) to \( J \) or \( W \) up to conjugacy.
By Theorem 4.3 there exists a section of the map $W \to J$ which is unique up to conjugacy. Thus, the induced map $H^1(k, J) \to H^1(k, W)$ is independent of the choice of section $J \to W$ and, thus, is canonical.

**Example 5.8** $(\mathbb{P}^1_R \times \mathbb{P}^1_R)$. Consider $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ over the real numbers $\mathbb{R}$. There is precisely one other $\mathbb{R}$-form $C$ of $\mathbb{P}^1$ over $\mathbb{R}$ corresponding to the subvariety

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 0$$

cut out of $\mathbb{P}^2$. There are only two isomorphism classes of 1-dimensional tori over $\mathbb{R}$ which we denote by $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $S^1$.

Here $W \simeq D_8$, and $T \simeq G_2$. The group $J \simeq C_2$ can be thought of as the group which interchanges the fibrations $X \to \mathbb{P}^1$. In this case, one can compute all the cohomology groups in Theorem 5.1 explicitly. We summarize the conclusions of this computation.

There are two neutralization classes. The first contains:

(a) $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with possible tori $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$ and $S^1 \times S^1$,

(b) $\mathbb{P}^1 \times C$ with possible tori $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$ and $S^1 \times S^1$,

(c) $C \times C$ with torus $S^1 \times S^1$.

And the second class contains:

(d) $\mathbb{R} C / \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^1$ with torus $\mathbb{R} C / \mathbb{R} C^\times$.

We point out the role of $J$ in these computations using Lemma 5.4. First, the action of $H^1(k, c J)$ is necessary to establish that $\mathbb{P}^1 \times C$ and $C \times \mathbb{P}^1$ are isomorphic. Second, the necessity of non-trivial cocycles $c \in Z^1(k, J)$ is witnessed by the existence of $\mathbb{R} C / \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^1$.

### 6. Properties of Twisted Forms

Throughout this section, $X$ is a projective toric variety which is not necessarily split.

In this section, we discuss how and whether the data associated to a split toric variety in Section 4 can be extended to the general case.

Clearly, one may define the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(X)$ and its unipotent radical $U$. As before, we define the group $S$ as the dual of the $\Gamma_k$-module $\text{Cl}(X)$. We define the group of Picard automorphisms $J$ as the image of the morphism $\text{Aut}(X) \to \text{Aut}(S)$ as before. The group $J$ is a finite algebraic group, but may not be constant. If we do specify a torus $T$, then we define $W$ as the Weyl group of $T$ in $\text{Aut}(X)$; in other words $W := N_{\text{Aut}(X)}(T)/T$. All of these objects agree with the corresponding objects of the split form over a separable closure.

Cox rings can be defined for toric varieties in general, but they are not necessarily polynomial rings. Despite this, one may still define a Cox algebra for a general toric variety.

**Proposition 6.1.** There exists an algebra $A$ and a morphism $\text{GL}_1(A) \to \text{Aut}(X)$ which coincides with the split case over the separable closure. The
isomorphism class of the center \( Z(A) \) of \( A \) is determined only by the neutralization class of \( X \).

We call the algebra \( A \) in the proposition above the Cox algebra of \( X \), generalizing Definition 4.1.

**Proof.** We use the fact that \( X \) is a \( k \)-form of \( X_{\text{split}} \).

Recall that the algebra \( A_{\text{split}} \) associated to \( X_{\text{split}} \) comes with a map \( \text{GL}_1(A_{\text{split}}) \to \text{Aut}(X_{\text{split}}) \). From Theorem 4.3, we have a morphism

\[
\text{Aut}(X_{\text{split}}) \to \text{Aut}(A_{\text{split}})
\]

since \( S_{\text{split}} \) is a subgroup of the group \( \text{GL}_1(Z(A_{\text{split}})) \). Thus the morphism \( \text{GL}_1(A_{\text{split}}) \to \text{Aut}(X_{\text{split}}) \) is \( \text{Aut}(X_{\text{split}}) \)-equivariant, and we obtain the desired algebra \( A \) and map by twisting.

By composition, there is also a map \( \text{Aut}(X_{\text{split}}) \to \text{Aut}(Z(A_{\text{split}})) \) which factors through \( J_{\text{split}} \) since \( \text{GL}_1(A_{\text{split}}) \) centralizes \( Z(A_{\text{split}}) \). Thus, the induced morphism

\[
H^1(k, \text{Aut}(X_{\text{split}})) \to H^1(k, \text{Aut}(Z(A_{\text{split}})))
\]

then factors through \( H^1(k, J_{\text{split}}) \). Thus, the isomorphism class of \( Z(A) \) depends only on the neutralization class of \( X \). \( \Box \)

**Example 6.2** (Severi-Brauer varieties). Given a central simple algebra \( A \), one can construct the Severi-Brauer variety \( X \) associated to \( A \). Proposition 6.1 simply reverses this process. In the case of Severi-Brauer varieties, the isomorphism class of the toric variety \( X \) is completely determined by the isomorphism class of its Cox algebra \( A \).

**Example 6.3** (del Pezzo of degree 6). Let \( X \) be the split del Pezzo surface of degree 6. As a toric variety, its effective \( T \)-invariant divisors are spanned by 6 elements which correspond to the blow ups of three points on \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) and the strict transforms of the lines between them.

Using fairly standard coordinates the map \( \tilde{N} \to N \) is given by

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and by duality we obtain a map \( \tilde{M} \to \text{Pic}(X) \) given by

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where we denote the ordered basis of \( \text{Cl}(X) \simeq \text{Pic}(X) \) by \( H, E_1, E_2, E_3 \).

Note that as all 6 rays have different images in \( \text{Cl}(X) \), all the spaces \( V_\lambda \) are 1-dimensional. Thus, the Cox algebra \( A \) is an étale algebra and thus equal to its center. By Proposition 6.1 the algebras associated to the \( k \)-forms of \( X \) are determined only by the neutralization class.
However, not all forms of $X$ are neutral. Thus, the Cox algebras $A$ do not suffice to distinguish $k$-forms of $X$ in this case. However, in [Blu10], a different pair of separable algebras is associated to each $k$-form of $X$ which do distinguish all isomorphism classes of $X$. We investigate this phenomenon in Section 10 below; in particular, see Example 10.2.

Remark 6.4 (Maximal étale algebras). For Severi-Brauer varieties, there is a bijective correspondence between maximal étale subalgebras of $A$ and maximal tori of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$. This holds for toric varieties when the unipotent radical $U$ is trivial. Conditions for when the hypothesis $U = 0$ holds are investigated in [Nil06].

In Cox’s original paper, the Cox ring was only defined for split toric varieties. One can define the Cox ring of a general toric variety as a ring structure on the direct sum of the vector spaces $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$ for all $D \in \operatorname{Cl}(X)$. However, it is no longer a polynomial ring in general and, thus, the characteristic space is no longer an open subvariety of affine space.

We define a augmented characteristic space of $X$ as a subvariety $\tilde{X}$ of an affine space $V$ along a map $\psi : \tilde{X} \to X$ which coincides with the usual characteristic space over the separable closure. An augmented characteristic space does not always exist, and even when it does, it is usually not the spectrum of the Cox ring. When $\tilde{X}$ does exist, we define $\tilde{\operatorname{Aut}}(X)$ to be normalizer of $S$ in $\operatorname{Aut}(\tilde{X})$ as before.

Proposition 6.5. There exists an augmented characteristic space $\psi : \tilde{X} \to X$ if and only if $X$ is neutral. When $\tilde{X}$ exists, we have a decomposition

$$\tilde{\operatorname{Aut}}(X) \simeq U \rtimes \operatorname{GL}_1(A) \rtimes J$$

as in the split case.

Proof. Assume an augmented characteristic space exists. Then we have a dominant rational map $V \to X$ where $V$ is an affine space. Thus $X$ has a Zariski-dense set of $k$-points. We conclude that $X$ is neutral since any open $T$-orbit for any $T$-action must contain a $k$-point.

Now, assume that $X$ is neutral. By Theorem 5.1, we may choose a cocycle $c$ in $Z^1(K, J_{\text{split}})$ such that $X \simeq c(X_{\text{split}})$. Since $J_{\text{split}}$ maps to $\tilde{\operatorname{Aut}}(X_{\text{split}})$ and leaves $S_{\text{split}}$ stable, we may define an affine space $V := c(V_{\text{split}})$ containing an augmented characteristic space $\tilde{X} := c(\tilde{X}_{\text{split}})$ both with $S$-actions. We define the map $\psi : \tilde{X} \to X$ as the twist $c(\psi_{\text{split}})$.

The splitting $J_{\text{split}} \to \tilde{\operatorname{Aut}}(X_{\text{split}})$ is $J_{\text{split}}$-equivariant and thus, when we twist by $c$, the morphism $\tilde{\operatorname{Aut}}(X) \to J$ splits and we have the desired decomposition.

Remark 6.6. Note that the map $\tilde{\psi} : \tilde{X} \to X$ is not unique as an $S$-scheme over $X$ — even up to isomorphism. Indeed, when $X$ is smooth, $\psi$ is a universal torsor of $X$; their isomorphism classes are in bijection with $H^1(k, S)$ (see §2 of [CTSS7]).
Remark 6.7 (Canonical torus). When \( X \) is neutral, we can define \( \tilde{T} \) with an action on \( \bar{X} \) as in the split case. In this case, there is a canonical choice for the isomorphism class of the torus \( \tilde{T} \) (and thus \( T \)). This follows from the fact that the morphism \( H^1(k, W_{\text{split}}) \to H^1(k, J_{\text{split}}) \) has a canonical section. Specifically, as \( A \) is a neutral separable \( k \)-algebra, it is a product of matrix algebras

\[
A = M_{n_1}(F_1) \times \cdots \times M_{n_r}(F_r)
\]

where \( F_1, \ldots, F_r \) are separable field extensions of \( k \) and \( n_1, \ldots, n_r \) are positive integers. There is a maximal \( \acute{e} \)tale subalgebra of \( A \) of the form

\[
E = (F_1)^{n_1} \times \cdots \times (F_r)^{n_r}.
\]

The canonical torus \( \tilde{T} \) is then \( GL_1(E) \).

Remark 6.8 (Restricted automorphism groups). Let \( X \) be a neutral toric variety. Given a finite algebraic subgroup \( I \) of \( J \), the \( I \)-restricted automorphism group of \( X \), denoted \( \text{Aut}_I(X) \), is the preimage of \( I \) in \( \text{Aut}(X) \). Note that, in particular, \( \text{Aut}_1(X) = \text{Aut}(X)^0 \). The Galois cohomology set \( H^1(k, \text{Aut}_1(X)) \) can be interpreted as the set of isomorphism classes of toric varieties \( Y \) isomorphic to \( X \) along with a fixed isomorphism \( \text{Pic}(Y) \cong \text{Pic}(X) \). Note that if we drop the explicit isomorphism, we obtain the set

\[
H^1(k, \text{Aut}_1(X))/H^1(k, J)
\]

which is the set of isomorphism classes of toric varieties \( Y \) with a neutralization isomorphic to \( X \).

Example 6.9 (Products of projective spaces). Let \( X_{\text{split}} = (\mathbb{P}^{q-1})^n \) be a product of projective spaces given two integers \( q, n > 1 \). Here \( \text{Pic}(X_{\text{split}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n, S \simeq \mathbb{G}_m^n \) and \( J \simeq S_n \). In this case, \((1.1)\) is as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m^n & \rightarrow & (\mathbb{G}_m^n)^n \rtimes (S_q \wr S_n) & \rightarrow & (\mathbb{G}_m^n/\mathbb{G}_m)^n \rtimes (S_q \wr S_n) & \rightarrow & 1 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m^n & \rightarrow & \text{GL}_m \wr S_n & \rightarrow & \text{PGL}_m \wr S_n & \rightarrow & 1
\end{array}
\]

where \( G \wr S_n \) is the wreath product \( G^n \rtimes S_n \) for a group \( G \).

Here the algebra \( A_{\text{split}} \) is simply \( M_q(k)^n \) and thus the automorphism group \( \text{Aut}(X_{\text{split}}) \simeq \text{PGL}_q \wr S_n \) of the variety is isomorphic to \( \text{Aut}(A_{\text{split}}) \). Thus, forms \( X \) of \( X_{\text{split}} \) correspond to forms \( A \) of \( A_{\text{split}} \). The neutral forms \( X \) correspond to neutral forms \( A \), which, in turn, correspond to \( \acute{e} \)tale \( k \)-algebras of degree \( n \).

More geometrically, \( k \)-forms \( X \) are products of Weil restrictions

\[
R_{F_1/k} X_1 \times \cdots \times R_{F_r/k} X_r
\]

where each \( X_i \) is a Severi-Brauer variety of dimension \( q - 1 \) over the field \( F_i \). When \( X \) is neutral, each \( X_i \) is a projective space.
7. Non-abelian $H^2$

In this section, we extend the long exact sequences in Galois cohomology from Theorem 4.3 to $H^2$. Unlike the situation for Severi-Brauer varieties, the original sequences do not correspond to central extensions, so we cannot use the ordinary abelian cohomology group $H^2(k, S)$.

In [Spr66] and §IV.4.2 of [Gir71], the ordinary sequence from Galois cohomology is extended to a non-abelian version of $H^2$ (see also more recent work in [Bor93], [ESS98] and [Flo04]). Our applications are less ambitious, so we have the luxury of a simpler exposition. Rather than consider the abelian group $H^2(k, S)$, we consider $H^2(k, S \rightarrow J)$ which has the structure of a set with a distinguished subset. Here the notation for the “coefficients” is meant to suggest a crossed module as in, for example, [Bre10].

Let $S$ be a split $k$-group of multiplicative type and let $J$ be a finite subgroup of Aut$(S)$. Note that $J$ is a constant group.

We have a natural action of $J$ on each cocycle $c \in Z^1(k, J)$ via $j(c)_\sigma := j_{c\sigma}j^{-1}$ for all $\sigma \in \Gamma_k$. Two cycles are cohomologous if and only if they are in the same orbit under this action (essentially by definition).

Given a cocycle $c \in Z^1(k, J)$ and an element $j \in J$ we have an isomorphism

$$j_* : H^2(k, cS) \to H^2(k, j(c)S)$$

which is defined on cocycles $s \in Z^2(k, cS)$ via

$$j_*(s)_{\sigma, \tau} := j(s_{\sigma, \tau})$$

for all $\sigma, \tau \in \Gamma_k$.

One checks that the image cocycle sits in $Z^2(k, j(c)S)$ as expected and that cohomology classes are preserved.

We now define the main object of study in this section:

**Definition 7.1.** We define the following set:

$$H^2(k, S \to J) := \left( \bigoplus_{c \in Z^1(k, J)} H^2(k, cS) \right) / J.$$

We define the set of neutral elements as the subset of $H^2(k, S \to J)$ containing the trivial elements in each component $H^2(k, cS)$. This endows the set $H^2(k, S \to J)$ with the structure of a set with a distinguished subset. The image of the trivial element from $H^2(k, S)$ will be referred to as the trivial element of $H^2(k, S \to J)$.

Now we define the connecting homomorphism. Suppose $\tilde{G}$ and $G$ are algebraic groups sitting in an exact sequence

$$1 \to S \to \tilde{G} \to G \to 1$$

such that the conjugation action of $G$ on $S$ induces a surjection $\pi : G \to J$.

Let $a$ be a cocycle in $Z^1(k, G)$. Let $\tilde{a} : \Gamma_k \to \tilde{G}(k_S)$ be a continuous function lifting $a$ (which always exists since $\Gamma_k$ is profinite and $G(k_S), \tilde{G}(k_S)$
have the discrete topology). Define a function $\Delta\tilde{a}: \Gamma_k \times \Gamma_k \to \tilde{G}(k_s)$ via
\[(\Delta\tilde{a})_{\sigma,\tau} := \tilde{a}_\sigma(\sigma\tilde{a}_\tau)(\sigma\tilde{a}_\tau)^{-1}\]
for all $\sigma, \tau \in \Gamma_k$. We will see that $\Delta\tilde{a}$ is a cocycle in $Z^2(k, \pi(a)S)$, and that this gives rise to a well-defined map
\[\delta: H^1(k, G) \to H^2(k, S \to J)\]
which we call the connecting map.

**Lemma 7.2.** The connecting map
\[\delta: H^1(k, G) \to H^2(k, S \to J)\]
is well-defined and canonically isomorphic as sets with distinguished subsets to the map
\[\delta^1: H^1(k, \tilde{G}, S) \to H^2(k, S_{\text{rel}} \tilde{G})\]
from 1.20 of [Spr66].

**Proof.** We will prove the isomorphism with Springer’s map; the fact that our construction is well-defined then follows for free.

Let $a$ be a cocycle in $Z^1(k, G)$ and let $\tilde{a}: \Gamma_k \to \tilde{G}(k_s)$ be a continuous function lifting $a$. In Springer’s notation, the set $Z^1(k, G, S)$ is simply the set of continuous functions $b: \Gamma_k \to \tilde{G}(k_s)$ lifting cocycles in $Z^1(k, G)$. We may simply assume $\tilde{a} = b$. To each cocycle $b$ there is an associated 2-cocycle $(f, g)$ for $H^2(k, S_{\text{rel}} \tilde{G})$ where
\[f_\sigma(s) = b_\sigma(\sigma s)(b_\sigma)^{-1}\]
\[g_{\sigma,\tau} = b_\sigma(\sigma b_\tau)(b_\sigma)^{-1}\]
for $s \in S(k_s)$ and $\sigma, \tau \in \Gamma_k$. Comparing this to Definition 7.1, we will see that $f$ corresponds to the choice of cocycle $c$ and $g$ corresponds to a cocycle in $Z^2(k, cS)$.

This cocycle $(f, g)$ sits naturally inside $Z^2(k, S, \lambda_a)$ where $\lambda_a$ is the $\Gamma_k$-kernel induced by the automorphisms $f_\sigma$ of $S$. Since $S$ is abelian, the kernels $\lambda_a$ are honest continuous maps $\Gamma_k \to \text{Aut}(S(k_s))$ (where $\text{Aut}(S(k_s))$ has the discrete topology). Thus, the kernel $\lambda_a$ coincides with the cocycle $c = \pi_*(a)$ in $Z^1(k, J)$. The cocycle $g$ is equal to $\Delta b$ as functions and they are then 2-cocycles in $Z^2(k, cS)$.

The normalizer $N$ of $S$ in $\tilde{G}$ is $\tilde{G}$ itself. Given an element $n \in N$ we have the image $j = \pi(n) \in J$. The induced action of $n$ on the set of $\Gamma_k$-kernels of $S$ coincides with the induced action of $j$ on $Z^1(k, J)$ defined above, and the induced action of $n$ on the pairs $(f, g)$ coincides with the action of $j$ on 2-cocycles in the sets $Z^2(k, cS)$. Thus the constructions agree.

Now, suppose that $\tilde{G} = R \rtimes J$ where $R$ is an algebraic group containing $S$ as a $J$-stable central subgroup. We have a $J$-equivariant exact sequence
\[1 \to S \to R \to R/S \to 1\]
corresponding to a central extension.
Lemma 7.3. Let $\xi$ be a set of cocycle representatives for $H^1(k,J)$. The connecting map
\[
\delta: H^1(k,G) \to H^2(k,S \to J)
\]
is canonically isomorphic to the map
\[
\prod_{c \in \xi} \left( \frac{H^1(k,c(R/S))}{H^0(k,cJ)} \right) \to \prod_{c \in \xi} \left( \frac{H^2(k,cS)}{H^0(k,cJ)} \right)
\]
induced from the usual connecting maps $H^1(k,c(R/S)) \to H^2(k,cS)$.

Proof. We have the decomposition of $H^1(k,G)$ from Lemma 5.5.

The orbits of $J$ in $Z^1(k,J)$ are precisely the cohomology classes. Thus, the images of the maps $H^2(k,cS) \to H^2(k,S \to J)$ over all cocycles $c \in \xi$ are mutually disjoint and jointly surjective. For a particular cocycle $c \in \xi$, an element $j \in J$ fixes $c$ if and only if $j$ is in $H^0(k,cJ)$. Thus, the image of the map $H^2(k,cS) \to H^2(k,S \to J)$ is simply the quotient by $H^0(k,cJ)$. This establishes the decomposition of $H^2(k,S \to J)$.

It remains to prove that the connecting maps agree. Fix a cocycle $c \in \xi$. Let $u$ be a cocycle in $Z^1(k,c(R/S))$ and let $a_\sigma = u_\sigma c_\sigma$ be a corresponding cocycle in $Z^1(k,G)$. The cocycles $u$ and $a$ map to the same element of $H^1(k,G)$ in the decomposition from Lemma 5.5. Select a lift $v : \Gamma_k \to cR(k_s)$ of $u$ and note that $b_\sigma = v_\sigma c_\sigma : \Gamma_k \to \tilde{G}(k_s)$ is a lift of $a_\sigma$.

The cocycle $\Delta v$ in $Z^2(k,cS)$ is constructed via
\[
(\Delta v)_{\sigma,\tau} := (v_\sigma)(\sigma',v_\tau)(v_{\sigma\tau})^{-1}
\]
using the twisted action $\sigma' s = (c_\sigma)(s)(c_\sigma)^{-1}$ for $s \in S(k_s)$ and $\sigma \in \Gamma_k$. The computation
\[
(\Delta v)_{\sigma,\tau} = (v_\sigma)[(c_\sigma)(\sigma',v_\tau)(c_\sigma)^{-1}][v_{\sigma\tau})^{-1}
\]
\[
= (v_\sigma)(c_\sigma)(\sigma',v_\tau)(c_\sigma)^{-1}][v_{\sigma\tau})^{-1}
\]
\[
= (b_\sigma)(\sigma',v_\tau)(b_{\sigma\tau})^{-1} = (\Delta b)_{\sigma,\tau}
\]
shows that this has the desired image in $H^2(k,S \to J)$.

The above shows that there is a surjective map $H^2(k,S \to J) \to H^1(k,J)$ with a unique neutral element in each fiber. Given an element $\alpha$ in the set $H^2(k,S \to J)$, we define its neutral class as the fiber of this map and we define the neutralization of $\alpha$ as the unique neutral element therein.

Let $A$, $B$, $C$ respectively be sets with distinguished subsets $A'$, $B'$, $C'$ respectively. We say that the composition $g \circ f$ of functions $f : A \to B$, $g : B \to C$ is 	extit{exact} if $\text{im}(f) = g^{-1}(C')$. We can now prove the main theorem of this section.
**Theorem 7.4.** Let $X$ be a split projective toric variety. Applying Galois cohomology to the sequence (1.1), we extend (5.2) to the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
H^1(k,W) & \rightarrow & H^1(k,T \times W) & \rightarrow \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
H^1(k,J) & \rightarrow & H^1(k,\text{Aut}(X)) & \rightarrow \\
\end{array}
\]

where the rows are exact sequences of sets with a distinguished subset and the bottom right horizontal map is injective.

**Proof.** The top row is the case where $R = \tilde{T} \times W^0$; the bottom, where $R = \text{GL}_1(A)$. The exactness follows from Proposition 1.28 of Springer. This can also be checked directly Lemmas 5.5 and 7.3. The only statement that remains to be proved is the injectivity of the map $H^1(k,\text{Aut}(X)) \rightarrow H^2(k,S \rightarrow J)$.

Let $c$ be a cocycle representative of an element in $H^1(k,J)$. Consider the central extension

\[
1 \rightarrow cS \rightarrow c\text{GL}_1(A) \rightarrow c(\text{GL}_1(A)/S) \rightarrow 1 .
\]

Since this is a central extension, we obtain an exact sequence of pointed sets

\[
H^1(k,c\text{GL}_1(A)) \rightarrow H^1(k,c(\text{GL}_1(A)/S)) \rightarrow H^2(k,cS) .
\]

Note that $c(\text{GL}_1(A)/S)$ acts on $c\text{GL}_1(A) \simeq \text{GL}_1(cA)$ by algebra automorphisms of $cA$. Thus, for any cocycle $d$ in $Z^1(k,c(\text{GL}_1(A)/S))$, we see that $H^1(k,d(c\text{GL}_1(A))) \simeq H^1(k,\text{GL}_1(d(cA)))$ is trivial by Hilbert 90. By the Corollary in §5.7 of [Ser02], we conclude the map $H^1(k,c(\text{GL}_1(A)/S)) \rightarrow H^2(k,cS)$ is injective. Injectivity is preserved after taking quotients by $H^0(k,cJ)$, and the result follows from Lemma 7.3.

**Remark 7.5.** Suppose $X$ and $X'$ are toric varieties with fixed torus actions. Theorem 7.4 allows one to determine whether the underlying varieties are isomorphic by considering the map $H^1(k,S \rightarrow J)$ instead of $H^1(k,\text{Aut}(X))$. The former involves only the cohomology of abelian and finite constant groups, which may be easier to use in some applications.

**Remark 7.6.** (Torsors) Note that Lemma 7.3 allows us to easily describe the set $H^2(k,S \rightarrow J)$ using torsors instead of 1-cocycles. Indeed, if $\xi$ is a collection of torsors representing elements of $H^1(k,J)$ then we have

\[
H^2(k,S \rightarrow J) \simeq \coprod_{T \in \xi} \frac{H^2(k,T,S)}{H^0(k,T,J)} .
\]

Note that this agrees with Proposition 4.2.5(ii) of [Gir71].
Remark 7.7. (Restriction) One can define a restriction map
\[ \text{Res}_K^k : H^2(k, S \to J) \to H^2(K, S_K \to J_K) \]
for any field extension \( K/k \). For each \( J \)-torsor \( T \), we have a restriction map
\[ H^2(k, T S) \to H^2(K, T_K S_K) \]
which is equivariant with respect to the homomorphism
\[ H^0(k, T J) \to H^0(K, T_K J_K). \]
Noting that \( T \mapsto T_K \) is the restriction morphism
\[ H^1(k, J) \to H^1(K, J) \]
of the indexing sets, we obtain a restriction map via Remark 7.6. One checks that the restriction maps are compatible and we may think of
\[ H^2(-, S \to J) \]
as being a functor from the category of field extensions \( K/k \) to the category of sets with a distinguished subset.

Remark 7.8 (Period). The set \( H^2(k, S \to J) \) is not a group in general. However, we may still consider powers of elements. Indeed, any element \( \alpha \in H^2(k, S \to J) \) is represented by an element \( \beta \) in some group \( H^2(k, cS) \) for some cocycle \( c \in Z^1(k, J) \). For any integer \( n \), we define \( \alpha^n \) as the image of \( \beta^n \). Since \( J \) acts on each component of the disjoint union by group automorphisms, this is well-defined. In particular, the notion of “period” still makes sense: the period of \( \alpha \in H^2(k, S \to J) \) is the minimal positive integer \( n \) such that \( \alpha^n \) is neutral.

Remark 7.9 (Index). There are at least two natural notions of the “index” of an element \( \alpha \in H^2(k, S \to J) \). We define the neutralizing index (resp. splitting index) of \( \alpha \) is the greatest common divisor of the degrees of all finite extensions \( L/k \) such that the restriction \( \text{Res}_L^k(\alpha) \) is neutral (resp. trivial).

Example 7.10. Let \( k \) be the real numbers \( \mathbb{R} \). Consider \( X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) where \( S = \mathbb{G}_m^2 \) and \( J = C_2 \). By Lemma 7.3 we find that
\[ H^2(\mathbb{R}, S \to J) \simeq (\text{Br}(\mathbb{R})^2/C_2) \sqcup \text{Br}(\mathbb{C}) \]
and see that every Brauer class corresponds to a form of \( X \) from Example 5.8.

In contrast, consider \( X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3 \) where \( S = \mathbb{G}_m^2 \) and \( J \) is trivial. Here
\[ H^2(\mathbb{R}, S \to J) \simeq \text{Br}(\mathbb{R})^2 \]
and the \( k \)-forms are
\[ \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3, C \times \mathbb{P}^3, \mathbb{P}^1 \times C', C \times C' \]
where \( C \) and \( C' \), respectively, are the non-split forms of \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) and \( \mathbb{P}^3 \), respectively.

Note that in both these examples \( S \) is the same. However, the finite group \( J \) is different in each case.
Example 7.11 (Permutation Lattices). Let \( \hat{P} \) be an \( S_n \)-lattice with a basis \( \{ p_1, \ldots, p_n \} \) permuted by \( S_n \). Let \( P \) be the dual torus \( D(\hat{P}) \). We would like to understand the set \( H^2(k, P \to S_n) \).

Recall that elements of \( H^1(k, S_n) \) are in bijection with \( \Gamma \)-actions on \( \{ p_i \} \) and with étale \( k \)-algebras of degree \( n \). Thus, for any cocycle \( c \in Z^1(k, S_n) \), the twisted torus \( cP \) is simply a Weil restriction \( R_{E/k} \mathbb{G}_m \) where \( E \) is the corresponding étale \( k \)-algebra.

Writing \( E = F_1 \times \cdots \times F_r \) as a decomposition of field extensions of \( k \) we have

\[
H^2(k, cP) \simeq H^2(k, R_{E/k} \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq \prod_{i=1}^r H^2(k, R_{F_i/k} \mathbb{G}_m) \\
\simeq \prod_{i=1}^r H^2(F_i, \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Br}(F_i)
\]

for each cocycle \( c \in Z^1(k, S_n) \). Note that the action of \( H^0(k, cS_n) \) permutes isomorphic field extensions in the decomposition of \( E \).

By the decomposition in Lemma 7.3 we conclude that \( H^2(k, P \to S_n) \) consists of all sets

\[
\{(\alpha_1, F_1), \ldots, (\alpha_r, F_r)\}
\]

of pairs, where each \( F_i \) is a separable field extension of \( k \) and each \( \alpha_i \) is an element of \( \text{Br}(F_i) \), satisfying the condition \( \sum_{i=1}^r [F_i : k] = n \).

Remark 7.12. (Elementary Obstruction) The elements in \( H^2(k, S \to J) \) are closely related to the “elementary obstructions” from [CTS87]. Indeed, given a \( k \)-form of split smooth projective toric variety, its class in \( H^2(k, S \to J) \) is neutral if and only if the elementary obstruction is trivial.

In Proposition 1.3 of [Sko09], A. Skorobogatov shows that the images of the elementary obstruction and the classes defined above actually coincide in the set \( H^2(k, S \to \text{Aut}(S)) \) where one must extend the definition above to consider the infinite group \( \text{Aut}(S) \) (in this reference, the type of a universal torsor is only well-defined up to an isomorphism of the Picard group).

8. Comparison to ordinary Brauer groups

Throughout this section, \( X \) will be a split smooth projective toric variety. Recall that this implies that \( \text{Cl}(X) \simeq \text{Pic}(X) \) is a lattice and thus that \( S \) is a torus. Note that \( \text{Pic}(X) \) has a trivial \( \Gamma_k \)-action, but a non-trivial \( J \)-action; it will be convenient to view \( \hat{S} = \text{Pic}(X) \) as a \( J \)-lattice.

In this section we make precise to what degree the information contained in \( H^2(k, S \to J) \) can be captured by “ordinary Brauer groups.” To make this precise, we need to define a notion of morphisms between non-abelian \( H^2 \) sets. Unfortunately, defining “functoriality” for non-abelian \( H^2 \) is a rather delicate problem (see, e.g., [AN09]). However, in our restricted context there is a natural induced morphism which is well-behaved enough for our applications.
**Definition 8.1.** Let $S$ and $P$ be split groups of multiplicative type with actions of finite groups $J$ and $I$ respectively. Suppose $(m, g)$ is a pair of group homomorphisms $m : S \to P$ and $g : J \to I$ such that $m$ is $J$-equivariant where the $J$-action on $P$ is given via $g$. Define the *induced map* 

$$m_* : H^2(k, S \to J) \to H^2(k, P \to I)$$

via the decomposition from Lemma 7.3 and the induced maps 

$$H^2(k, cS) \to H^2(k, g_*(c)P)$$

for every cocycle $c$ representing a cohomology class in $H^1(k, J)$. One checks that $m_*$ is compatible with restriction, and thus may be viewed as a natural transformation 

$$m_* : H^2(−, S \to J) \to H^2(−, P \to I)$$

of functors from field extensions $K/k$ to the category of sets with distinguished subsets.

A $G$-lattice $M$ is *invertible* if $M$ is a direct summand of a permutation $G$-module. Recall that a $k$-variety $Y$ is *retract rational* if there exists an affine space $V$, a dominant rational map $ψ : V \dashrightarrow X$ and a rational map $η : X \dashrightarrow V$ such that the composition $ψ \circ η$ is defined and equivalent to the identity as a rational map.

**Theorem 8.2.** Let $X$ be a smooth projective split toric variety. The following are equivalent:

(a) There exists a morphism $\hat{m} : \hat{P} \to \hat{S}$ of $J$-lattices, where $\hat{P}$ is permutation, such that the morphism of functors 

$$m_* : H^2(−, S \to J) \to H^2(−, P \to J)$$

is injective,

(b) $\hat{S}$ is an invertible $J$-lattice,

(c) for every field extension $K/k$, every neutral $K$-form of $X_K$ is retract rational.

**Remark 8.3.** Note that retract rationality is a birational invariant; thus, the retract rationality of a toric variety can be determined by consideration of only the open orbit. Retract rational tori have been completely classified in small dimensions. See [Vos67], [Kun87] and [HY12]. In fact, all 2-dimensional tori are rational; thus all toric surfaces satisfy the equivalent conditions of Theorem 8.2.

We may interpret Theorem 8.2 as a statement about ordinary Brauer groups in the following way. Fix a choice of basis $ω$ for $\hat{P}$. Then twisting by a cocycle $c \in Z^1(k, J)$ gives a $Γ_k$-set $cω$ as basis for $\hat{cP}$. Each $Γ_k$-set corresponds to an étale algebra $cL$. Thus $H^2(k, cP) \simeq H^2(cL, \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq Br(cL)$. 

By the injection of Theorem 7.4, we have a composite map

$$H^1(k, \Aut(X)) \rightarrow H^2(k, S \rightarrow J) \rightarrow H^2(k, P \rightarrow J)$$

which is injective if and only if the map $m_*$ is injective. Given a fixed cocycle $c \in H^1(k, J)$, we have a morphism

$$H^1(k, \Aut_1(cX)) \rightarrow \Br(cL)$$

for each neutralization class (recall the definition of $\Aut_1(cX)$ from Remark 6.8).

We recall some preliminaries on flasque and coflasque tori from, for example, [CTS77]. Let $G$ be a finite group. A $G$-lattice $M$ is flasque (resp. coflasque) if the Tate cohomology group $\hat{H}^{-1}(H, M)$ (resp. $\hat{H}^1(H, M)$) is trivial for every subgroup $H \subset G$. Every $G$-lattice $M$ has a coflasque resolution, which is an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow M \rightarrow 1$$

where $A$ is a coflasque $G$-lattice and $B$ is a permutation $G$-lattice.

For any torus $T$ defined over a field $k$, the action of $\Gamma_k$ on the character lattice $M$ factors through a finite group $G$. A torus $T$ is flasque, coflasque, or invertible if the corresponding property is true of the $G$-module $M$.

**Lemma 8.4.** Consider an exact sequence of $J$-lattices

$$1 \rightarrow \hat{Q} \rightarrow \hat{P} \rightarrow \hat{S} \rightarrow 1$$

where $\hat{Q}$ is coflasque, $\hat{P}$ is permutation and $\hat{S}$ is flasque. Then the following are equivalent.

(a) $m_* : H^2(-, S \rightarrow J) \rightarrow H^2(-, P \rightarrow J)$ is injective,

(b) $\hat{m}_* : H^2(K, cS) \rightarrow H^2(K, cP)$ is injective for every field extension $K/k$ and every cocycle $c \in Z^1(K, J)$,

(c) $H^1(K, cQ)$ is trivial for every field extension $K/k$ and every cocycle $c \in Z^1(K, J)$,

(d) $\hat{Q}$ is invertible,

(e) $\hat{S}$ is invertible.

**Proof.** First, we prove the equivalence of (a) and (b). By the decomposition from Lemma 7.3, it suffices to check whether each constituent map

$$\hat{H}^2(K, cS)/H^0(K, cJ) \rightarrow \hat{H}^2(K, cP)/H^0(K, cJ)$$

is injective for each $c \in Z^1(K, J)$. If each $\hat{c}m_*$ is injective, then so must be each constituent map. Conversely, since each $\hat{c}m_*$ is a morphism of groups and $H^0(K, cJ)$ acts by group automorphisms, if the preimage of the trivial element is trivial then $\hat{c}m_*$ is injective.

The equivalence of (b) and (c) follow from the triviality of $H^1(K, cP)$ for all cocycles $c \in Z^1(K, J)$ since $\hat{P}$ is a permutation $J$-lattice.

The implication (d) $\implies$ (c) follows since there is a factorization $\hat{Q} \rightarrow \hat{M} \rightarrow \hat{Q}$ of the identity morphism for some permutation lattice $M$. This
means that the identity morphism on $H^1(K, cQ)$ factors through the trivial
group $H^1(K, cM)$ for every cocycle $c$.

The implication $(c) \implies (d)$ follows from Theorem 3.2 of [Mer10]. Indeed,
we know that, in particular, the generic $cQ$-torsor is trivial. The theorem
tells us that class of the extension corresponding to a flasque resolution of $\hat{Q}$
is trivial. We conclude that $\hat{Q}$ is a direct summand of a permutation module
as desired.

Finally, the equivalence of $(d)$ and $(e)$ follows from Lemma 6 of [CTS77].
Indeed, $\hat{S}$ is obtained via a flasque resolution of $\hat{Q}$ and, conversely, $\hat{Q}$ is
obtained via a coflasque resolution of $\hat{S}$. □

**Proposition 8.5** (Versality). Suppose $\hat{Q}$ is coflasque. Let $R$ be a torus with
a $J$-action such that the dual $\hat{R}$ is a permutation $J$-lattice, and let $q : S \to R$
be a $J$-equivariant morphism of tori. Then

$$q_* : H^2(k, S \to J) \to H^2(k, R \to J)$$

factors through

$$m_* : H^2(k, S \to J) \to H^2(k, P \to J).$$

**Proof.** Fix a cocycle $c \in Z^1(k, J)$ and note that it may be viewed as a
morphism $c : \Gamma_k \to J$ since $J$ is a constant group. The action of $\Gamma_k$ on
the character lattices of $cS, cR, cP$ and $cQ$ then factor through $J$. We may
conclude that $cR$ is quasi-split and

$$1 \to cS \to cP \to cQ \to 1$$

is a coflasque resolution of tori. Thus, the morphism $cq : cS \to cR$ factors
through $cm : cS \to cP$ by Lemma 4 of [CTS77]. We conclude that $q_*$ factors
through $m_*$ via Definition 8.1. □

We point out the following fact which is essentially due to Colliot-Thélène
and Sansuc.

**Proposition 8.6.** The $J$-lattice $\tilde{S}$ is flasque.

**Proof.** We may assume that $k$ is of characteristic 0 since the statement only
depends on data associated to the fan. Furthermore, by possibly taking a
base extension of $k$, we may assume that there exists a surjection $c : \Gamma_k \to J$.
The twisted torus $cS$ has decomposition group $J$. Proposition 6 of [CTS77],
shows that the Picard group $\text{Pic}(\overline{X})$ of a smooth compactification of a torus
is flasque as a $\Gamma_k$-module. We conclude that $cS$ is flasque as a $\Gamma_k$-module
and thus $\text{Pic}(\overline{X})$ is flasque as a $J$-module. □

**Proof of Theorem 8.2.** There exists a coflasque resolution

$$1 \to \hat{Q} \to \hat{P} \to \hat{S} \to 1$$

of $\hat{S}$. By Lemma 8.5, any morphism as in (a) factors through the one
obtained from this resolution. Therefore, it suffices to only consider this
morphism. Since $S$ is flasque by Proposition 8.6, we are in the situation of Lemma 8.7. Thus (a) and (b) are equivalent.

By Theorem 3.14 of [Sal84], a neutral toric variety $X$ is retract rational if and only if $\text{Pic}(\overline{X})$ is invertible as a $\Gamma_k$ lattice. Thus (b) and (c) are equivalent. □

9. Comparison to a Construction of Merkurjev-Panin

In §7 of [MP97], A. Merkurjev and I. Panin describe a construction which assigns a separable algebra to each toric variety. Up to Brauer equivalence, their construction is essentially equivalent to ours. In this section, we make this precise.

In their construction, they fix a smooth projective toric variety $Y$ and an action of a specific torus $T$ on $Y$. Their notion of isomorphism amounts to $T$-equivariant isomorphisms of toric varieties. The set of isomorphism classes is thus in bijection with $H^1(k, T)$ and corresponds to the class of the open orbit $Y_0$ viewed as a $T$-torsor. All the forms of $Y$ belong to the same neutralization class since $T$ acts trivially on $\text{Pic}(Y)$. The neutralization $X$ of $Y$ corresponds to the trivial element of $H^1(k, T)$ and there is an injection $H^1(k, T) \rightarrow H^1(k, \text{Aut}_1(X))$ where $\text{Aut}_1(X)$ is the group of restricted automorphisms as in Remark 6.8.

First, we review the construction of Section 8 in a manner which is more directly comparable. Note that $\hat{S} = \text{Pic}(\overline{X})$ is a $\Gamma_k$-lattice. Choose a morphism $\hat{m} : \hat{P} \rightarrow \hat{S}$ of $\Gamma_k$-lattices where $\hat{P}$ is permutation with basis $\omega$. Our choice of $\omega$ gives rise to a quasitrivial torus $P$ and an étale algebra $L$ and we obtain a homomorphism

$$\alpha_\omega : H^1(k, T) \rightarrow H^1(k, \text{Aut}_1(X)) \rightarrow \text{Br}(L)$$

which takes the isomorphism class $[Y_0]$ to a Brauer class in $\text{Br}(L)$.

Now we review the construction of Merkurjev-Panin. For the moment, assume that $\omega$ is a single $\Gamma_k$-orbit and thus that $L$ is a field extension of $k$. The choice of $\omega$ gives us a morphism

$$S \rightarrow P \simeq R_{L/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,L})$$

which is equivalent to a morphism $S_L \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,L}$, which, by duality, gives a morphism $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow S^*_L$. This last morphism can be viewed as an element $\Omega$ of $\text{Pic}(X_L)$.

Fix a Galois splitting field $K/k$ for $T$ which contains $L$. We obtain an element $[Y_0] \in H^1(\Gamma_{K/k}, T(K))$. From the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow T^* \rightarrow \widetilde{T}^* \rightarrow S^* \rightarrow 1$$

and the isomorphism $\text{Pic}(X_L) \simeq H^0(\Gamma_{K/L}, S^*)$, we obtain an element $\partial[\Omega] \in H^1(\Gamma_{K/L}, T^*)$ via the connecting homomorphism. We may take the cup product $[(Y_0)_{L}] \cup \partial[\Omega]$ in $H^2(\Gamma_{K/L}, K^\times)$ via the standard pairing $T(K) \otimes$
Thus, we obtain a map
\[ \beta_\omega : H^1(k, T) \to \text{Br}(L) \]
as desired. When \( \omega \) is not a single \( \Gamma_k \)-orbit, we simply take products and again obtain an element \( \Omega \) in \( \text{Pic}(X_L) \) and a morphism \( \beta_\omega \).

**Theorem 9.1.** For any element \( [Y_0] \in H^1(k, T) \), our construction \( \alpha_\omega \) and the Merkurjev-Panin construction \( \beta_\omega \) satisfy the relation
\[ \alpha_\omega([Y_0]) = -\beta_\omega([Y_0]) \]
in the group \( \text{Br}(L) \).

**Proof.** It suffices to prove the theorem for \( \omega \) a single \( \Gamma_k \)-orbit. First, we claim that the following diagram commutes
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^2(k, S) & \xrightarrow{m^*} & H^2(k, P) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\
H^2(L, S_L) & \xrightarrow{\Omega^*} & H^2(L, \mathbb{G}_m)
\end{array}
\]
where \( P = R_{L/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \). Note that the morphisms
\[ m : S \to R_{L/k}(\mathbb{G}_m) \quad \text{and} \quad D(\Omega) : S_L \to \mathbb{G}_m \]
arise from the adjunction of the functors \((-)_L\) and \( R_{L/k}(-) \). Thus the morphism \( m \) factors as \( R_{L/k}(D(\Omega)) \circ \eta(S) \) where \( \eta(S) : S \to R_{L/k}(S_L) \) is the unit of adjunction. The morphism \( H^2(k, S) \to H^2(L, S_L) \) factors through \( H^2(k, S) \to H^2(k, R_{L/k}(S_L)) \) by the functorial construction of the restriction map (see §2.5 of [Ser02]). Thus, the diagram above is the same as
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^2(k, S) & \xrightarrow{m^*} & H^2(k, R_{L/k}(S_L)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\
H^2(L, S_L) & \xrightarrow{\Omega^*} & H^2(L, \mathbb{G}_m)
\end{array}
\]
which commutes since \( R_{L/k}(-)(k) \to (-)(L) \) is a natural transformation of functors from algebraic groups over \( k \) to abstract groups.

Recall that \( \alpha_\omega([Y_0]) = m_* \partial[Y_0] \); thus, by the above, we may instead write \( \alpha_\omega([Y_0]) = \Omega^*([\partial Y_0]_L) = \partial([Y_0]_L) \cup \Omega \). Note that \( \beta_\omega([Y_0]) = ([Y_0]_L) \cup \partial \Omega \). Choosing a Galois splitting field \( K \) for \( L \) with Galois group \( G \), we need to compare two different methods of evaluating the map
\[ H^1(G, T(K)) \otimes H^0(G, S^*) \to H^2(G, K^\times) \]
The theorem follows from Lemma 9.2 below.

**Lemma 9.2.** Let \( G \) be a finite group. Let \( A, B, C \) be \( G \)-lattices and \( I \) be a \( G \)-module. Suppose there is an exact sequence
\[ 1 \to A \to B \to C \to 1 \]
Up to the sign \((-1)^i\), the following square commutes
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^i(G, \hom(A, I)) \otimes H^j(G, C) & \xrightarrow{\partial \otimes \id} & H^{i+1}(G, \hom(C, I)) \otimes H^j(G, C) \\
\downarrow \id \otimes \partial & & \downarrow \cup \\
H^i(G, \hom(A, I)) \otimes H^{j+1}(G, A) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & H^{i+j+1}(G, I)
\end{array}
\]
for any integers \(i \geq 0, j \geq 0\).

Proof. First, we show that, for any \(G\)-lattice \(M\), the cup product and evaluation morphism
\[
a : H^i(G, \hom(M, I)) \otimes H^j(G, M) \to H^{i+j}(G, I)
\]
is isomorphic to the composition morphism
\[
b : \ext^i_G(M, I) \otimes \ext^j_G(\mathbb{Z}, M) \to \ext^{i+j}_G(\mathbb{Z}, I).
\]
The isomorphisms between Ext groups and cohomology groups follow from Proposition III.2.2 of [Bro82] since \(M\) is a free \(\mathbb{Z}\)-module. Picking a free resolution \(F_*\) of the \(G\)-module \(\mathbb{Z}\), the maps \(a\) and \(b\) can be represented by maps of chain complexes
\[
\hom_G(F_*, \hom(M, I)) \otimes \hom_G(F_*, F_* \otimes M) \to \hom_G(F_*, I)
\]
as in V.4.2 of [Bro82]. The map \(a\) takes elements \(u \otimes v\) to \(\text{eval}_a((u \otimes \id_M) \circ v)\).

The map \(b\) is defined as \(\psi(u) \circ v\) where
\[
\psi : \hom_G(F_*, \hom(M, I)) \simeq \hom_G(F_* \otimes M, I)
\]
is the canonical isomorphism. If \(v(f) = \sum_i f_i \otimes m_i\) for some \(f \in F_j\) then we obtain
\[
\text{eval}_a((u \otimes \id_M) \circ v)(f) = \text{eval}_a(\sum_i u(f_i) \otimes m_i) = \sum_i u(f_i)(m_i) = (\psi(u) \circ v)(f)
\]
for all \(u \in \hom(F_*, \hom(M, I))\) and \(v \in \hom(F_*, F_* \otimes M)\) as desired.

Consider arbitrary elements \(\alpha \in \ext^i_G(A, I)\) and \(\gamma \in \ext^j_G(\mathbb{Z}, C)\). In view of the above, the statement of the lemma is equivalent to showing that \((\partial \alpha) \circ \gamma = (-1)^i \alpha \circ (\partial \gamma)\) as elements of \(\ext^{i+j+1}_G(\mathbb{Z}, I)\). The exact sequence is an extension of \(C\) by \(A\) and thus it may be considered as an element \(\beta\) of \(\ext^1_G(C, A)\). From Theorem III.9.1 of [ML63] we find that \(\partial(\alpha) = (-1)^i \alpha \circ \beta\) and \(\partial(\gamma) = \beta \circ \gamma\). Thus, the equality follows from the associativity of the composition \((\alpha \circ \beta) \circ \gamma = \alpha \circ (\beta \circ \gamma)\) as in Theorem III.5.4 of [ML63].

\[\square\]

Remark 9.3. As an immediate corollary of Theorem 9.1 we see that the Merkurjev-Panin construction is independent of the choice of torus \(T\). Additionally, their construction can be extended to arbitrary forms of \(X\) rather than a fixed neutralization class by properly accounting for the group of Picard automorphisms \(J\).
Remark 9.4. The construction of Merkurjev-Panin as stated in [MP97] actually produces \textit{algebras} rather than simply elements of the Brauer group. However, these algebras ultimately depend on a specific choice of torus $T$ and a choice of splitting field. We discuss a more intrinsic method of producing algebras in the next section.

Remark 9.5. Note that $\Omega \in \text{Pic}(X_L)$ is denoted by “$Q$” in [MP97]. One cannot in general recover $\omega$ from $\Omega$, as a corresponding set $\omega$ would only be $\Gamma_k$-invariant rather than $J$-invariant. However, this is not a serious shortcoming as one can always simply expand $\omega$ to be $J$-stable. Indeed, this is necessary if one wants to extend the Merkurjev-Panin construction to \textit{all} forms of $X$ rather than a single fixed neutralization class.

10. Associated Separable Algebras

In this section, we sharpen the results of Section 8 and prove Theorem 1.1 from the introduction. As discussed in Example 6.9, products of projective spaces are in bijective correspondence with their Cox algebras. Thus, studying the isomorphism classes of separable algebras is equivalent to studying products of projective spaces. With this in mind, Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 10.1 below.

\textbf{Theorem 10.1.} Let $X$ be a split smooth projective toric variety. Let $J$ be the group of Picard automorphisms of $X$. There exists a morphism $f : X \to Y := \prod_{i=1}^N \mathbb{P}^{n_i}$ where $n_1, \ldots, n_N$ are positive integers, and a morphism of functors $f_* : H^1(-, \text{Aut}(X)) \to H^1(-, \text{Aut}_J(Y))$ such that, if $X'$ is a form of $X$ and $Y'$ is a form of $Y$ representing the class $f_*([X'])$, then there is a map $f' : X' \to Y'$ which coincides with $f$ over some field extension.

\textit{Proof.} Given a morphism $f : X \to Y := \prod_{i=1}^N \mathbb{P}^{n_i}$ as above, we obtain an induced map $\text{Pic}(Y) \to \text{Pic}(X)$. Thus, such morphisms give rise to a permutation basis $\omega$ of a permutation $J$-lattice $\hat{P} := \text{Pic}(Y)$ as in Section 8. Conversely, if every element of $\omega$ corresponds to a sheaf which is generated by global sections then we obtain such a morphism.

Since $X$ is projective, the ample cone spans $\text{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. In addition, the fixed locus of $J$ must be a proper closed subset of $\text{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Thus, we may assume that there exists a subset $\omega$ of $\text{Pic}(X)$ whose corresponding sheaves
are generated by their global sections and such that $J$ acts faithfully on $\omega$. This provides the space $Y$ and the desired map $f : X \to Y$.

The space $Y$ is also a smooth split projective toric variety. We write $\tilde{Y}$ as its characteristic space sitting inside a vector space $W$ and note that $\hat{m} : \hat{P} \to \text{Pic}(X)$ is just the morphism $\text{Pic}(Y) \to \text{Pic}(X)$ induced by $f$.

Labeling the basis of $\hat{P}$ as $\{E_1, \ldots, E_r\}$ and labelling $\omega = \{D_1, \ldots, D_r\}$, we have $\hat{m}(E_i) = D_i$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, r$. By duality, we obtain a morphism $m : S \to P$ of tori.

The construction of $f$ is equivalent to an isomorphism

$$W^\vee = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r H^0(k, \mathcal{O}_Y(E_i)) \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^r H^0(k, \mathcal{O}_X(D_i))$$

which gives rise to a ring homomorphism $F^* : \text{Cox}(Y) \to \text{Cox}(X)$ since $W$ contains all the generators of Cox($Y$). The ring homomorphism $F^*$ is equivalent to a morphism $F : V \to W$. Since each line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(D_i)$ is generated by global sections, the image of $X$ is contained in $Y$ so we have a restricted morphism $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \to \tilde{Y}$. This descends to the morphism $f : X \to Y$ since the map $\tilde{f}$ is $S$-equivariant via $m : S \to P$.

Let $B$ be the Cox algebra of $Y$. We have the isomorphism

$$\text{GL}_1(B) \simeq \prod_{i=1}^r \text{GL}(H^0(k, \mathcal{O}(E_i)))$$

Note that $\tilde{\text{Aut}}(Y) \simeq \text{GL}_1(B) \rtimes I$ where $I$ is a finite group containing all possible permutations of the subgroups in the product. The group $\text{GL}_1(B) \rtimes J$ is the preimage of the restricted automorphism group $\text{Aut}_J(Y)$.

The group $\text{GL}_1(A)$ embeds in $\text{GL}_1(B)$ since it has a linear action on each component of $\text{Cox}(X)_{D_i}$. The group $J$ embeds in $\text{GL}_1(B) \rtimes J$ by permuting monomials in the generators of Cox($Y$) since $\omega$ is $J$-stable. We obtain an $S$-equivariant homomorphism $\text{GL}_1(A) \rtimes J \to \text{GL}_1(B) \rtimes J$.

This descends to a morphism $\text{GL}_1(A)/S \rtimes J \to \text{Aut}_J(Y)$ after taking the quotient by $S$. We conclude that the morphism $f$ is $\text{GL}_1(A)/S \rtimes J$-equivariant. Using Proposition 5.7, we obtain $f' : X' \to Y'$ by descent. \qed

Example 10.2 (Blunk’s algebras). Let $X$ be a split del Pezzo surface of degree 6. In the notation of Example 6.3 consider the elements

$$a_1 = H, \quad a_2 = 2H - E_1 - E_2 - E_3,$$

$$b_1 = H - E_1, \quad b_2 = H - E_2, \quad b_3 = H - E_3$$

in Pic($X$). The elements $a_1, a_2$ correspond to morphisms $X \to \mathbb{P}^2$ while $b_1, b_2, b_3$ correspond to morphisms $X \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Here, $J$ is isomorphic to $S_3 \times S_2$ and the $J$-orbits are $\omega_1 = \{a_1, a_2\}$ and $\omega_2 = \{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$.

Let $\omega = \omega_1 \cup \omega_2$. In this special case, $\text{Aut}_J(Y) = \text{Aut}(Y)$. Thus Theorem 10.1 produces a natural transformation

$$f_* : H^1(-, \text{Aut}(X)) \to H^1(-, \text{Aut}(Y)).$$
Taking $B$ to be the Cox algebra of $Y$, we see that $\omega_1$ produces an Azumaya algebra $B_1$ of rank $3^2$ over an étale $k$-algebra of degree 2; $\omega_2$, produces an Azumaya algebra $B_2$ of rank $2^2$ over an étale $k$-algebra of degree 3. Theorem 3.4 of [Blu10] shows $f_*$ is injective in this case.

As our goal is to show the natural transformation $f_*$ is injective under suitable conditions, the following example demonstrates why we want to consider $\operatorname{Aut}_J(Y)$ rather than $\operatorname{Aut}(Y)$ in general.

**Example 10.3.** Continuing Example 7.10, consider $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^3$ over $\mathbb{R}$ and let

$$f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3$$

be the product of an inclusion $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ as a linear subspace and the isomorphism $\mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$. The induced functor

$$H^1(-, \operatorname{Aut}(X)) \rightarrow H^1(-, \operatorname{Aut}(Y))$$

is not injective since the classes of $C \times \mathbb{P}^3$ and $\mathbb{P}^1 \times C'$ map to the same element. However, if we consider the functor

$$H^1(-, \operatorname{Aut}(X)) \rightarrow H^1(-, \operatorname{Aut}_J(Y))$$

then we do have injectivity.

The previous example can be fixed by a different choice of $\omega$, but more generally the group $J$ will not be a product of symmetric groups and such a fix will not exist.

**Theorem 10.4.** Suppose we are in the situation of Theorem 10.1. If $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is invertible as a $J$-lattice there is a canonical choice of maps such that $f_*$ is injective.

**Proof.** The construction of $\omega$ is as follows. Let $\operatorname{Nef}(X)$ be the Nef cone of $X$. By Theorem 3.1 of [Mus02], we see that the divisors in the Nef cone of $X$ are precisely those generated by their global sections; moreover, $\operatorname{Nef}(X)$ can be extracted from the fan so it suffices to assume we are working over $\mathbb{C}$. We may identify $\operatorname{Nef}(X)$ with a strongly convex rational polyhedral cone of full dimension in $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R} = S^* \otimes \mathbb{R}$ (see Theorems 6.3.20 and 6.3.22 of [CLS11]). The intersection $\operatorname{Nef}(X) \cap S^*$ is precisely the set of line bundles which are generated by their global sections.

For every subgroup $G$ of $J$, consider the intersection $M_G = \operatorname{Pic}(X)^G \cap \operatorname{Nef}(X)$. Since the intersection of a rational polyhedral cone and a subspace cut out by rational linear equations is again a rational polyhedral cone, the monoid $M_G$ is finitely generated. Indeed, since the cones are strongly convex, each monoid $M_G$ has a canonical minimal generating set $C_G$. Take $\omega$ to be the union of the $C_G$ for each $G \subset J$. Note that $\omega$ is $J$-stable since $j(C_G) = C_{j(G)}$ for each $j \in J$.

We claim that $C_G$ spans $\operatorname{Pic}(X)^G$ for every $G \subset J$. Indeed, we may find a field $K$ and a cocycle $c \in Z^1(K, G)$ such that $\operatorname{Pic}(c_X) = \operatorname{Pic}(X)^G$. Since projectivity is a geometric property, the ample cone (and thus the Nef cone)
is of full dimension in Pic(\(X\)). Thus, \(M_G\) is of full dimension in \(\text{Pic}(X)^G\). Thus \(C_G\) spans \(\text{Pic}(X)^G\) as desired.

As in Lemma 3 of [CTS77], since we have chosen \(\omega\) such that \(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^G \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X)^G\) is surjective for all subgroups \(G \subseteq J\), we conclude that the kernel \(\hat{Q}\) is coflasque. In particular, the action of \(J\) is faithful. Furthermore, every element of \(\omega\) corresponds to a sheaf which is generated by global sections.

The result now follows from Lemma 8.4, Theorem 10.1 and Lemma 10.5 below.

Lemma 10.5. In the situation of Theorem 10.1 we have the following commutative diagram

\[
\begin{align*}
H^1(k, \text{Aut}(X)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(k, S \rightarrow J) \\
\downarrow f_* & \quad \downarrow m_* \\
H^1(k, \text{Aut}_J(Y)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(k, P \rightarrow J)
\end{align*}
\]

where the horizontal maps are as in Theorem 7.4.

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 10.1, there is a morphism

\[s : \text{GL}_1(A) \rtimes J \rightarrow \text{GL}_1(B) \rtimes J\]

which descends to the group homomorphism

\[r : \text{GL}_1(A)/S \rtimes J \rightarrow \text{Aut}_J(Y)\]

making \(f\) equivariant.

Let \(a\) be a cocycle in \(H^1(k, \text{GL}_1(A) \rtimes J) \simeq H^1(k, \text{Aut}(X))\). To map \(a\) to \(H^2(k, S \rightarrow J)\), we lift to a continuous function \(b : \Gamma_k \rightarrow (\text{GL}_1(A) \rtimes J)(k_s)\) and form the 2-cocycle \(\Delta b\) in \(Z^2(k, \cdot S)\) for an appropriate cocycle \(c \in Z^1(k, J)\). To map this to \(H^2(k, P \rightarrow J)\), we simply take the 2-cocycle \(s(\Delta b)\) in \(Z^2(k, \cdot P)\).

Going the other way, map \(a\) to \(H^1(k, \text{Aut}_J(Y))\) by the morphism \(r\). To map \(r(a)\) to \(H^2(k, P \rightarrow J)\), we may take the function \(s(b)\) as a lift and then take \(\Delta(s(b))\); again, this cocycle sits in \(Z^2(k, \cdot P)\).

Since \(s(\Delta b) = \Delta(s(b))\), the diagram commutes. \(\square\)

Remark 10.6. Note that construction used in the proof of Theorem 10.4 has the advantage of being canonical. However, it may not be very economical: in Example 10.2, \(\omega\) is simply the minimal generating set for \(\text{Nef}(X)\) while our canonical construction produces a larger set.
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