Introduction

Childhood malnutrition is a serious public health problem associated with adverse health and socioeconomic consequences. Globally, an estimated 26% of children below 5 years of age were stunted, 16% were underweight and 8% were wasted in 2011 (UNICEF-WHO-The World Bank, 2012). The vast majority of undernourished children are in south-central Asia and sub-Saharan Africa. In Ghana, 28% of children under-five years are stunted, 14% are underweight, and 9% are wasted (Ghana Statistical Service, 2008). Child growth monitoring and promotion (GMP) programs have long been identified and recommended as an effective approach to preventing child malnutrition (UNICEF, 2006).
The GMP program was introduced by UNICEF and implemented in Ghana in the 1970s with the main objective of reducing the prevalence of malnutrition (UNICEF, 1990). The earlier growth monitoring program instituted by the WHO in the 1950s was limited to weighing and plotting children’s weights to detect abnormal growth (WHO, 1962). Inclusion of a promotion component in the GMP program, intended to foster communication between health workers and caregivers concerning child health and nutrition, was expected to enhance caregivers’ child caring and feeding behaviors leading to improved child growth (Pearson 1995).

However, a systematic review of studies on the program revealed that the “promotion” component of “GMP” which encompassed child-centered caregiver counseling was poorly implemented (Pearson 1995). Also, poor attendance and low coverage were reported in UNICEF supported programs in China, Ecuador, Indonesia, Malawi, Thailand, Zaire, and Zambia (Ashworth et al. 2008). In Burkina Faso, Niger and Mozambique, fewer than 30% of mothers were counseled (Hampshire et al. 2004). Reasons cited included lack of health personnel to provide the service, inaccessible child health services and poor attendance by caregivers. However, in Ghana and other developing countries, it is has been shown that adequate caregiver counseling and longer effective participation in child growth programs is associated with improved knowledge of caregivers on infant feeding and positive anthropometric outcomes of children (Owusu and Larrey 1992; Coelcraft et al. 2004; Alderman 2007).

Thus, the community-based growth promotion (CBGP) concept was an attempt to address some of the shortcomings of the GMP program and improve coverage, delivery of services and effective participation by caregivers. The program was pioneered by the Manoff Group and promoted by UNICEF during the 1980s as a child survival strategy to engender the caregiver–health worker interaction believed to be crucial for program success (UNICEF, 1990; Mason et al. 2006). Prior to its implementation, the CBGP concept was successfully piloted in Indonesia in 1977 where caloric intake of participating children improved by 200–300 calories per day and consumption of green leafy vegetables doubled with subsequent reduction in malnutrition from 25% to 14% (Grifffiths and Nobbe 1985; Griffiths et al. 1996). Following the piloting success, the CBGP concept was adopted and implemented in several countries with outcomes that suggested that the program had positive effects on knowledge, attitudes, and practices of participating families which led to improved growth of children (Van Roekel et al. 2002; Hossain et al. 2005; Galasso and Umaphthi 2007; Muyeti-Stevens 2007).

Modalities for rolling out the CBGP program in Ghana have been documented by the Ghana Health Service (Ghana Health Service, 2010). In Ghana, major differences between the CBGP and the GMP programs centers on “where,” “who,” and “how” the services are delivered. Typically, the GMP program is delivered at child welfare clinics (CWC) situated at health centers or outreach health posts and the services are provided by trained health professionals, mostly community health nurses who are sometimes supported by nutrition extension workers and auxiliary health aides. In contrast, the CBGP program is delivered at the community-level in selected rural and deprived urban communities where the GMP program is readily unavailable and is intended to be owned by the community. Here, services are provided by community volunteers called child growth promoters who have been trained by the Ghana Health Service to carry out growth monitoring of infants and counseling of caregivers on infant and young child feeding (IYCF). The volunteers are supervised by community health nurses who carry out specialized activities such as immunizations. Another difference between the two program types is that whereas the GMP program targets children under 5 years, the CBGP program focuses on children from birth to 24 months. In both programs, monthly attendance by caregiver–child pairs is required where infant growth monitoring is carried out and caregivers are counseled on optimum IYCF practices. However, in the GMP program, the counseling is typically group-based and prescriptive. Conversely, the counseling delivered in the CBGP program is usually individualized and participatory and the community volunteer is expected to negotiate with the caregiver and motivate her to adopt optimum IYCF practices.

After over a decade of implementation, there is little documented information on whether the CBGP program is achieving the intended impact and if it is an improvement over the traditional GMP program. This study evaluated differences in the effects of a CBGP and a GMP program on caregivers’ IYCF knowledge and practices in two rural communities in the Ga West municipality, Greater Accra region, Ghana.

Materials and Methods

The study was a cross-sectional survey conducted at Nsakina and Dom Sampaman, both rural communities in the Ga West municipality of the Greater Accra region, Ghana. The study targeted all caregiver–child pairs enrolled in the CBGP and GMP programs in Nsakina and Dom Sampaman communities, respectively. All caregivers who received child welfare services in either the CBGP (N = 124) or GMP (N = 108) programs in the two study...
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Socio-demographic, economic, and health characteristics of caregiver–child pairs

A semistructured questionnaire was used to collect data on background characteristics of caregivers. This included questions on socio-demographic characteristics (e.g., age, marital status, educational level, employment, household possessions, etc.) and some maternal experiences such as use of antenatal services during pregnancy, education on IYCF at antenatal and CWCs, home visits by program implementers). Additionally, data on the child’s age, age at program enrollment, birth weight, and current body weight were abstracted from the child health record booklets.

Knowledge of caregivers on IYCF

Questions intended to assess caregivers’ IYCF knowledge were designed based on IYCF counseling topics documented in the Ghana Health Service revised child health record booklet (Ghana Health Service, 2010) which ought to be communicated to caregivers during child welfare sessions. Caregivers responded to 13 knowledge questions on age-specific IYCF practices regarding breastfeeding and complementary feeding.

IYCF practices

A sample of the food frequency questionnaire used during the 2008 Ghana demographic and health survey was used to collect a 3-day information on habitual dietary intakes of the children on complementary feeding (Ghana Statistical Service, 2008). The food groupings in the 3-day questionnaire were as follows: light cereal porridges; stiff cereal-based meals; roots/tubers/plantain; fruits/vegetables rich in vitamin A; other fruits/vegetables; animal products; milk/dairy products; legumes/nuts/oil seeds; fats/oils; and commercial baby products. The WHO core indicators that were used to assess IYCF practices were early initiation of breastfeeding; exclusive breastfeeding under 6 months; introduction of solid, semi-solid or soft foods (timely initiation of complementary foods); minimum dietary diversity; minimum meal frequency, and minimum acceptable diet (WHO and UNICEF, 2010).

Child anthropometry

Birth weight categorizations and nutritional status of the children was determined using the birth weight and current body weight measurements recorded in the child health record booklets.

Data analyses

Data were analyzed using SPSS (version 20.0; Incorporated, Chicago, IL). Differences between the CBGP and GMP programs were determined using Chi-squared test for categorical variables (e.g., sex, proportions of underweight children) and independent samples t-test for continuous variables (e.g., age, difference in children’s mean weight-for-age Z-scores [WAZ]) at $P < 0.05$ (95% confidence interval [CI]). Infant body weight measurements were converted to WAZ using WHO Anthro software (version 3.2.2) (World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland). Underweight was defined as WAZ-score $<−2$. Similarly, their birth weights were classified as normal ($\geq 2.5$ kg) and low ($<2.5$ kg). Univariate binary logistic regression model was developed for both known and unknown caregiver–child pair determining factors for child feeding according to WHO minimum IYCF standards and significant independent association (unadjusted odds ratios, UOR) determined. Variables were selected into the final model by considering independent variables with a significance of $\leq 0.500$. In the final model, a multivariate binary logistic regression analysis was done to identify the effects of interaction of the explanatory variables (adjusted odds ratio, AOR) on the outcome variable (optimum child feeding).

Measurement of socioeconomic status (SES) index

Variables used in the determination of socioeconomic status (SES) index were education, occupation, income, source of water supply, toilet facilities, fuel for cooking, and household possessions. Each variable was scored based on a method described by Caro and Cortes (Caro and Cortés 2012). The total possible score ranged from 26 to 88 (Table 1). The predetermined SES constituent indicators were analyzed using principal component analysis (factor analysis). The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling adequacy statistic and Bartlett’s test of sphericity tests were applied to determine the usefulness of the data for SES index rating. Based on the possible minimum and maximum values, SES index was determined by providing arbitrary cut-offs for the cumulative scores. Total score $>65$ indicated high SES; 40–65, average SES and $<40$, low SES.

Evaluation of caregivers IYCF knowledge

Every knowledge-based question was given a score of either zero or one for questions that demanded a “Yes” or “No” response (e.g., should colostrum be fed to newborns?) and on a 0–2 scale for questions that required caregivers to give specific examples (e.g., give examples of foods rich in vitamin A). Cumulative scores, ranging from 0 to 15 points were computed for each caregiver. Using the Ghana
Health Service counseling topics as a guide, an interquartile range was determined for the knowledge scores obtained. Relying on distribution of the scores, knowledge rating cut-offs were assigned as follows: low knowledge (0–8); fair knowledge (9–12); and high knowledge (13–15).

Assessment of complementary feeding

The WHO core indicators used to assess complementary feeding are introduction of solid, semisolid or soft foods (timely complementary feeding); minimum dietary diversity; minimum meal frequency and minimum acceptable diet (optimum feeding) (WHO and UNICEF, 2010). The minimum dietary diversity indicator was calculated based on the proportion of children 6–24 months who received foods from four or more food groups during the previous day. The minimum meal frequency indicator was calculated as a proportion of breastfed infants 6–8 months who received at least two meals and 9–24 months who received at least three meals the previous day. The infant or young child met the minimum acceptable diet indicator if the minimum dietary diversity and minimum meal frequency indicators were both met. Based on this, the feeding was classified as either optimum or suboptimum.

Table 1. Indicators and associated scores used to measure SES.

| SES indicator             | Variable                                      | Score |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------|
| Education                 | None or primary                               | 1     |
|                           | Junior high school                            | 2     |
|                           | Technical/Vocational or senior high school     | 3     |
| Occupation                | Housewife                                     | 22    |
|                           | Trading or artisan                             | 31    |
|                           | Farming                                       | 37    |
| Household possessions     | House                                         | 7     |
|                           | Land                                          | 5     |
|                           | Vehicle                                       | 4     |
|                           | Milling machine, livestock, or motor           | 3 each|
|                           | Bicycle, refrigerator, sewing machine,         | 2 each|
|                           | television or farm produce                     |       |
|                           | Radio, fan or mobile phone                     | 1 each|
| Income (Ghana cedis)      | <20                                          | 1     |
|                           | 20–50                                         | 2     |
|                           | >50                                           | 3     |
| Sources of water          | Borehole or well                               | 2     |
| Fuel for cooking          | Rainwater/river                               | 1     |
|                           | Gas                                           | 3     |
|                           | Charcoal                                      | 2     |
|                           | Firewood                                      | 1     |
| Household access to toilet facilities | Private toilet                              | 2     |
|                           | Public latrine                                | 1     |
|                           | Open defecation                               | 0     |

Ethical considerations

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of the Noguchi Memorial Institute for Medical Research, University of Ghana, Legon (040/11-12). Permission was granted from the Ga West Municipal Health Management Team before commencement of study. Written informed consent was obtained from the caregivers with signature (if literate) or thumbprint (if illiterate).

Results

Socio-demographic, economic, and health characteristics of caregiver–child pairs enrolled in the CBGP and GMP programs

Children in the two programs were similar in age and the average age was about 8 months (Table 2). Based on age at program enrollment, children in the GMP and CBGP programs were enrolled at average ages of 6 and 8 weeks, respectively (P = 0.04). Mean birth weights of children were similar. Compared to caregivers in the GMP program, the CBGP caregivers were older and more likely to have completed higher than primary level education, be married or cohabiting and had higher parity. While borehole was the main source of water for nearly 90% of the GMP caregivers’ households, over 30% of the CBGP caregivers said their households relied on wells or rainfall as their main water supply (P < 0.0001). The CBGP caregivers were significantly more likely than the GMP caregivers to use charcoal and gas rather than firewood as their main fuel source. There were no significant group differences in the other socio-demographic variables assessed.

Healthcare services received by caregivers

Prior to enrolling in the child growth programs, about 91% of caregivers in the CBGP program received antenatal care services during their last pregnancy compared to 71% of GMP caregivers (P < 0.0001). In both programs, about 90% of caregivers had received education on IYCF during their visits to antenatal and or CWCs. Compared to caregivers enrolled in the CBGP program (31%), more GMP caregivers (57%) reported having received home visits from the community health nurses (P < 0.001).

IYCF knowledge of caregivers

Generally, there were no significant group differences in caregivers’ knowledge on breastfeeding initiation, frequency of breastfeeding in 24 h, duration of exclusive breastfeeding, timely complementary feeding initiation, duration of complementary feeding, minimum meal frequency and
more than 60% of caregivers in both programs gave correct responses to the other IYCF knowledge questions asked. However, CBGP caregivers were significantly more likely to correctly mention foods rich in vitamin A and tended to have a higher mean knowledge score (CBGP: 10.84 ± 1.69 vs. GMP: 10.23 ± 1.38, *P* = 0.062). Also, significantly more CBGP caregivers were rated as having high IYCF knowledge (CBGP: 17% vs. GMP: 5%, *P* = 0.011).

### IYCF practices of caregivers

#### Breastfeeding practices of caregivers

With the exception of feeding colostrum to newborns, where there was no group difference in caregivers...
breastfeeding practices, significantly more caregivers in the CBGP program followed recommended IYCF practices (Table 4). The CBGP caregivers were significantly more likely to report initiating breastfeeding within one hour of birth (54% vs. 28%; \(P < 0.0001\)), practicing exclusive breastfeeding for 6 months (73% vs. 56%; \(P = 0.001\)) and timely initiation of complementary feeding (72% vs. 49%; \(P = 0.014\)). Fermented corn dough porridge was the most common first complementary food given to infants in both groups but use of commercial products for complementary feeding tended \((P = 0.078)\) to be higher among the GMP caregivers.

### Types and frequency of consumption of complementary foods by study children aged 6–24 months

Figure 1 shows the types of foods consumed by the children age 6–24 months over the 3-day assessment period. The most commonly consumed food groups (at least 50% consumption rate) among children in both groups were cereal-based meals, cereal porridges, fats and oils, and nonvitamin A-rich fruits and vegetables. Conversely, the least consumed foods (less that 30% consumption rate) were milk and dairy products, vitamin A-rich fruits and vegetables, roots and tubers, commercial foods and legumes, and nuts. The mean dietary diversity scores of children in the CBGP program tended to be higher than those in the GMP program (CBGP: 4.49 ± 1.89 vs. GMP: 3.87 ± 1.89; \(P = 0.057\)).

### Children aged 6–24 months who were fed according to the minimum IYCF guidelines

Of the 232 study children, 141 participants were aged 6–24 months and were on complementary feeding. However, only 31 (22.0%) were optimally fed. Overall, higher proportions of CBGP children were fed according to minimum IYCF guidelines (Table 5). Minimum dietary

### Table 4. Breastfeeding practices of caregivers in the CBGP and GMP programs.

| Breastfeeding practices                      | CBGP \((n = 124)\) | GMP \((n = 108)\) | \(P\)-value\(^1\) |
|----------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Breastfeeding initiation                     |                     |                   |                   |
| <1 h                                         | 67 (54.0)           | 30 (27.8)         | <0.0001           |
| 1–24 h                                       | 26 (21.0)           | 36 (33.3)         |                   |
| >24 h                                        | 31 (25.0)           | 42 (38.9)         |                   |
| Feeding colostrum to newborns                | 103 (83.1)          | 79 (74.5)         | 0.112             |
| Exclusive breastfeeding for 6 months         | 91 (73.4)           | 60 (55.6)         | 0.001             |
| Pre-lacteal feeds given to newborns          |                     |                   |                   |
| Coconut juice                               | 8 (6.5)             | 20 (18.4)         | 0.022             |
| Formula                                      | 12 (9.6)            | 4 (3.7)           |                   |
| Fluids given <6 months infants               |                     |                   |                   |
| Water                                        | 11 (8.9)            | 30 (27.8)         | 0.002             |
| Gripe water                                  | 19 (15.3)           | 14 (13.0)         |                   |
| Water and gripe water                        | 3 (2.4)             | 4 (3.8)           |                   |
| Timely complementary feeding                 | 57 (72.2)           | 32 (48.5)         | 0.014             |
| First complementary food served              |                     |                   |                   |
| Fermented corn dough porridge                | 55 (69.6)           | 33 (50.8)         | 0.078             |
| Commercial products\(^2\)                   | 23 (29.1)           | 28 (43.1)         |                   |
| Sugar solution                               | 1 (1.3)             | 4 (6.2)           |                   |

\(^1\)Chi-square test, significance \((P < 0.05)\).
\(^2\)Include formula milk and cereal blends.

---

**Figure 1.** Types of complementary foods consumed by study children aged 6–24 months enrolled in the CBGP and GMP programs.
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Table 5. Study children between 6 and 24 months who were fed according to minimum IYCF guidelines.

| Minimum IYCF indicator                      | CBGP (n = 78) | GMP (n = 63) | P-value $^1$ |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| Minimum dietary diversity                  |               |              |              |
| 6–8 months                                 | 10 (45.5)     | 8 (42.1)     | >0.999       |
| 9–24 months                                | 50 (69.3)     | 30 (69.8)    | 0.020        |
| Cumulative: 6–24 months                    | 60 (76.9)     | 38 (61.3)    | 0.035        |
| Minimum meal frequency                     |               |              |              |
| 6–8 months: ≥2 daily                       | 13 (59.1)     | 9 (47.4)     | 0.538        |
| 9–24 months: ≥3 daily                      | 11 (19.6)     | 9 (20.9)     | >0.999       |
| Cumulative: 6–24 months                    | 24 (30.8)     | 18 (29.0)    | 0.486        |
| Minimum acceptable diet                    |               |              |              |
| 6–8 months                                 | 7 (31.8)      | 5 (20.3)     | 0.744        |
| 9–24 months                                | 11 (19.6)     | 8 (18.6)     | >0.999       |
| Cumulative: 6–24 months                    | 18 (23.1)     | 13 (21.0)    | 0.464        |

$^1$Chi-square test, significance (P < 0.05).

diversity (CBGP: 77% vs. GMP: 61%; $P = 0.035$) was the only IYCF indicator that over half of the caregivers were able to meet. In both programs, about a third of the caregivers met the minimum meal frequency indicator (CBGP: 31% vs. GMP: 29%; $P = 0.486$) with only a quarter meeting the minimum acceptable diet indicator to achieve optimum child feeding. (CBGP: 23% vs. GMP: 21%; $P = 0.464$).

Anthropometric measurements of study children

Anthropometric data showed no significant difference among children participating in the two programs. Mean WAZ-scores for children in the CBGP ($−0.49 ± 1.41$) and GMP ($−0.65 ± 1.19$) programs were low ($P = 0.572$). Although the prevalence of underweight was low among children in the CBGP program (8.1%) and medium in the GMP group (13.9%), the difference was insignificant ($P = 0.154$). Of 141 children on complementary feeding, 11.8% (CBGP: 7.7% vs. GMP: 16.1%, $P = 0.119$) were underweight.

Predictors of child feeding according to minimum IYCF guidelines

In the full model, among caregivers who achieved optimum child feeding according to WHO minimum IYCF standards for their infants aged 6–24 months, the number of children less than 5 years owned by the caregiver was the only significant predictor among the known determining factors of optimum child feeding (UOR: 4.90; 95% CI: 138–17.34, $P = 0.014$). Caregivers who had not more than two children under the age of 5 years were almost five times more likely to optimally feed their 6–24 months old infants than caregivers who had above two children under 5 years. However, caregivers who enrolled their newborns within the postnatal period had 2.5 increased odds of optimally feeding their infants though it was insignificant (UOR: 2.51; 95% CI: 0.97–6.48, $P = 0.057$). The full univariate binary logistic regression model is presented in Table 6.

In the final model, presented in Table 7, again, number of children <5 years owned by the caregiver, educational level of the caregiver, and her IYCF knowledge rating were the explanatory variables in which significant associations were observed. The odds of caregivers with ≤2 children under 5 years optimally feeding their infants increased by additional 4.5 points in the final model (AOR: 0.405; 95% CI: 1.13–78.53, $P = 0.038$). Caregivers who were educated to only the junior high school level had a significant 0.11 reducing odds of optimally feeding their infants on complementary feeding compared to those who were educated to at least the senior high school level (AOR: 0.112; 95% CI: 0.02–0.90, $P = 0.040$). Similarly, caregivers with fair knowledge on IYCF had a significant 0.14 reducing odds of optimally feeding their infants on complementary feeding compared to those with high IYCF knowledge (AOR: 0.140; 95% CI: 0.03–0.79, $P = 0.026$). Compared to the full model, increasing odds for optimal infant feeding were observed in two variables in the final model: caregiver’s SES and when infant was enrolled on the child growth promotion program.

Discussion

Some differences were observed in the socio-demographic variables of caregivers enrolled on the CBGP and GMP programs (age, educational level, marital status, parity, source of water, and type of fuel used for cooking). However, these did not translate into differences in the SES of the two groups. Caregivers participating on the CBGP programs were 4 years older than their GMP counterparts. Usually, persons who are relatively older tend to be in a marriage relationship, have higher parity, and attain higher level of education. The lower education of the GMP caregivers could probably be due to their relatively younger age. If age of caregivers in both groups were similar, it is possible their educational status would also be similar. However, the influence of educational status on caregivers child care giving and seeking behaviors cannot be over-emphasized. After adjusting for caregiver–child pairs’ socio-demographic factors, educational level was a significant determinant of optimum child feeding. This finding has been confirmed in other studies (Abuya et al. 2012; Bornstein et al. 2015). Mothers with at least secondary education have decreased likelihood of their infants being stunted (UOR: 1.28; CI: 1.096–1.498, $P = 0.002$) (Abuya et al. 2012).
Knowledge of study participants on appropriate breastfeeding and complementary feeding practices was generally good with minimal differences between the two groups. Although mean knowledge scores were similar for both groups, on the knowledge ranking scale, some interesting differences were observed; 87% of GMP caregivers were moderately informed on IYCF practices but this was 10 points less in the CBGP group. However, 17% of the CPGP caregivers were highly knowledgeable compared to only 5% in GMP group. Since both child growth promotion programs focus on improving the nutrition knowledge of caregivers, it is disappointing to report low IYCF knowledge among the caregivers as this influenced their IYCF practices. In a similar study in Honduras where the community nutrition program was assessed, mean knowledge scores of caregivers participating in the program was higher (6.02 out of 9 points) than that for the control communities (4.91; \( P = 0.001 \)) (Van Roekel et al. 2002).

Knowledge of study participants on appropriate breastfeeding and complementary feeding practices was generally good with minimal differences between the two groups. Although mean knowledge scores were similar for both groups, on the knowledge ranking scale, some interesting differences were observed; 87% of GMP caregivers were moderately informed on IYCF practices but this was 10 points less in the CBGP group. However, 17% of the CPGP caregivers were highly knowledgeable compared to only 5% in GMP group. Since both child growth promotion programs focus on improving the nutrition knowledge of caregivers, it is disappointing to report low IYCF knowledge among the caregivers as this influenced their IYCF practices. In a similar study in Honduras where the community nutrition program was assessed, mean knowledge scores of caregivers participating in the program was higher (6.02 out of 9 points) than that for the control communities (4.91; \( P = 0.001 \)) (Van Roekel et al. 2002).

Table 6. Full univariate binary logistic regression model showing predictors of child feeding according to WHO IYCF indicators.

| Explanatory variables                                      | OR_{unadj} | 95% CI   | P-value |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------|---------|
| Type of child growth promotion program                    |            |          |         |
| CBGP                                                      | REF        |          |         |
| GMP                                                       | 0.922      | 0.41–2.07| 0.844   |
| Age of caregiver                                          |            |          |         |
| >20 years                                                 | REF        |          |         |
| ≤20 years                                                 | 0.516      | 0.19–1.42| 0.200   |
| Educational level                                         |            |          |         |
| Senior high school & above                               | REF        |          |         |
| Junior high school & below                               | 0.486      | 0.16–1.52| 0.215   |
| Marital status                                            |            |          |         |
| Married                                                   | REF        |          |         |
| Single                                                    | 0.657      | 0.18–2.44| 0.530   |
| Parity                                                    |            |          |         |
| ≤4 children                                               | REF        |          |         |
| >4 children                                               | 0.751      | 0.15–3.67| 0.724   |
| No. of children <5 years owned by caregiver               |            |          |         |
| >2 children                                               | REF        |          |         |
| ≤2 children                                               | 4.896      | 1.38–17.34|0.014   |
| Household size                                            |            |          |         |
| ≤6 members                                                | REF        |          |         |
| >6 members                                                | 0.712      | 0.19–2.66| 0.613   |
| Weekly food expenditure                                  |            |          |         |
| >50 cedis                                                 | REF        |          |         |
| ≤50 cedis                                                 | 1.043      | 0.43–2.51| 0.925   |
| Socioeconomic status                                      |            |          |         |
| Low SES                                                   | REF        |          |         |
| High SES                                                  | 0.627      | 0.27–1.44| 0.269   |
| Caregiver educated on IYCF at antenatal clinic            | 1.650      | 0.34–7.96| 0.533   |
| Educated on IYCF at child welfare clinic                  | 1.661      | 0.35–7.93| 0.524   |
| Ever visited at home by program worker                    | 1.414      | 0.64–3.15| 0.397   |
| Caregiver’s IYCF knowledge rating                         |            |          |         |
| High knowledge                                            | REF        |          |         |
| Fair knowledge                                            | 1.000      | 0.17–5.77| 1.000   |
| Poor knowledge                                            | 0.505      | 0.16–1.62| 0.252   |
| Age of child                                              |            |          |         |
| ≥12 months                                                | REF        |          |         |
| <12 months                                                | 0.970      | 0.39–2.42| 0.949   |
| Sex of child                                              |            |          |         |
| Female                                                    | REF        |          |         |
| Male                                                      | 0.763      | 0.34–1.70| 0.507   |
| Child’s birth weight                                      |            |          |         |
| ≥2.5 kg                                                   | REF        |          |         |
| <2.5 kg                                                   | 0.509      | 0.14–1.92| 0.319   |
| Underweight                                               |            |          |         |
| WAZ ≥2                                                    | REF        |          |         |
| WAZ <2                                                    | 1.173      | 0.35–3.93| 0.796   |
| When child was enrolled on the program                    |            |          |         |
| Postnatal period                                          | REF        |          |         |
| After postnatal period                                    | 2.509      | 0.97–6.48| 0.057   |

Table 7. Final multivariate binary logistic regression model showing interaction of the explanatory variables on achievement of optimum infant feeding.

| Explanatory variables                                      | OR_{adj}  | 95% CI   | P-value |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------|---------|
| Age of caregiver                                          |            |          |         |
| >20 years                                                 | REF        |          |         |
| ≤20 years                                                 | 0.283      | 0.03–2.46| 0.253   |
| Educational level                                         |            |          |         |
| Senior high school & above                               | REF        |          |         |
| Junior high school & below                               | 0.112      | 0.02–0.90| 0.040   |
| No. of <5 year children owned by caregiver               |            |          |         |
| >2 children                                               | REF        |          |         |
| ≤2 children                                               | 9.405      | 1.13–78.53|0.038  |
| Socioeconomic status                                      |            |          |         |
| Low SES                                                   | REF        |          |         |
| High SES                                                  | 1.489      | 0.38–5.82| 0.567   |
| Home visit by a program worker                            | 0.735      | 0.16–3.33| 0.689   |
| Caregiver’s IYCF knowledge rating                         |            |          |         |
| High knowledge                                            | REF        |          |         |
| Fair knowledge                                            | 0.140      | 0.03–0.79| 0.026   |
| Poor knowledge                                            | 0.896      | 0.04–20.01|0.945  |
| Child’s birth weight                                      |            |          |         |
| ≥2.5 kg                                                   | REF        |          |         |
| <2.5 kg                                                   | 0.393      | 0.08–1.83| 0.235   |
| When child was enrolled on the program                    |            |          |         |
| Postnatal period                                          | REF        |          |         |
| After postnatal period                                    | 3.308      | 0.66–16.58|0.146  |

Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness of fit: Chi-square = 10.820, \( P = 0.212 \).
exclusive breastfeeding and timely introduction of complementary diets. Effective caregiver counseling has been shown to increase breastfeeding rates (Bhutta et al. 2008; Arabi et al. 2012; Negash et al. 2014). Findings from this study also indicated that caregivers in the CBGP program fed their 6–24 months infants with varied food resources than their GMP counterparts but in both groups, the mean dietary diversity score was less than half of the 10 food groups investigated. Since the CBGP caregivers spend relatively more of their income on food per week, coupled with a higher number being married, employed and better educated, it appears these factors might have positively influenced the number of foods they fed their infants with. Consequently, a significant difference existed in the proportion of CBGP and GMP caregivers who met the IYCF guideline relating to minimum dietary diversity. But in both groups, just a quarter met the minimum meal frequency indicator. Therefore, over almost 80% caregivers did not achieve the minimum acceptable diet (optimum child feeding). This finding did not come as a surprise because in both groups, caregivers’ knowledge on minimum meal frequency was poor. They believed that young children should be fed three times, just like adults. Suboptimum complementary feeding has become a public health problem globally (Onyango et al. 2014). In Haiti, minimum dietary diversity, minimum meal frequency and minimum acceptable diet were achieved in 29.2%, 45.3%, and 17.1% of children aged 6–23 months, respectively (Heidkamp et al. 2013).

The child growth promoters were observed to render client-centered counseling using counseling cards and often negotiated and agreed with the caregivers on the exact IYCF actions to take (data not reported in this paper). Literature attest that the success of child growth programs rely heavily on the crucial one-to-one counseling contact which caregivers and their family members receive from community workers (Hossain et al. 2005; Muyeti-Stevens 2007; Imdad et al. 2011). Although this study did not prove that the type of growth promotion program that caregiver–child pairs participate in affect the IYCF practices, the caregivers IYCF knowledge has been shown to be a crucial predictor for optimum child feeding. Contrary to findings from Waswa et al. (2015), this study and others have shown that nutrition knowledge of caregivers positively predicts adequate dietary intakes of infants (Dewey and Adu-Afarwuah 2008; Inayati et al. 2012). In Honduras, caregivers’ knowledge gained from counseling messages received in the community nutrition program was translated into practice evidenced by their higher child feeding scores (Van Roekel et al. 2002). In Senegal also, caregivers participating in a similar program exhibited significant gains in IYCF practices (Alderman et al. 2009). A year into its implementation, caregivers who practiced adequate complementary feeding increased from 35% to 72%.

Prevalence of underweight among children in the GMP program was similar to the 14% national prevalence recorded in the 2008 Ghana demographic and health survey (Ghana Statistical Service, 2008). However, there was 6 point decrease in the CBGP group. Breastfeeding practices of over half of the caregivers was appropriate but complementary feeding practices of over two-thirds were suboptimum. Most studies have documented widespread growth faltering during the complementary feeding period where infant feeding practices become suboptimum (Heidkamp et al. 2013; Mishra et al. 2014). In both the unadjusted and adjusted binomial regression models, the number of children under 5 years owned by the caregiver was the significant predictor of optimum child feeding. Children under 5 years are vulnerable and require special care. Therefore, having more than two children <5 years would imply a reduction in the caregiving and care-seeking attention rendered to each of them while the limited family food and other resources would have to be shared among these vulnerable ones. The result will be regular suboptimum feeding which will predispose such children to growth faltering. This is a significant finding with little literature from other studies to back it. However, maternal parity in general have been found to correlate with both child feeding practices and nutritional status (Abuya et al. 2012; Radwan 2013).

Poor nutrition knowledge of community health workers and volunteers could adversely affect program outcomes. This was the case with the community-based growth monitoring program in South Africa (Faber et al. 2009). In developing countries, one of the current challenges to child nutrition is the considerable global and national efforts being devoted to breastfeeding promotion to the neglect of complementary feeding practices (Dewey and Adu-Afarwuah 2008; Larney 2008). From this study, however, it remains unclear whether the IYCF knowledge and practices of the caregivers was a result of the counseling received from the program facilitators. Also, whether the counseling yielded the intended impact of behavior change is an issue worth exploring. Another limitation of the study is the lack of information on nutrition knowledge of the community volunteers and health workers giving education to the caregivers thus making it difficult to assume a relationship between the nutrition knowledge and counseling provided.

**Lesson learned and recommendations**

Findings from this study provide a firm basis for strengthening and expansion of the CBGP program to augment the traditional GMP program in Ghana as it addresses
Key messages

- Caregivers in the CBGP program were more knowledgeable on IYCF. Most caregivers in both programs practiced appropriate breastfeeding but could not meet the complementary feeding indicators.
- Underweight prevalence was lower in the CBGP program which suggests that the program has the potential to make significant contribution to child health in Ghana.
- Caregivers with fewer children <5 years, high IYCF knowledge and higher education had increased odds for optimum infant feeding.
- Counseling of caregivers and their families on adequate complementary feeding and birth spacing should intensify and should be integrated into all maternal and child health interventions.
- Both programs should be strengthened to enhance their outcomes.

References

Abuya, B. A., J. Ciera, and E. Kimani-Murage. 2012. Effect of mother’s education on child’s nutritional status in the slums of Nairobi. BMC Pediatr. 12:80.
Alderman, H. 2007. Improving nutrition through community growth promotion: longitudinal study of the nutrition and early child development program in Uganda. World Dev. 35:1376–1389.
Alderman, H., B. Ndiaye, S. Linnemayr, A. Ka, C. Rokx, K. Dieng, et al. 2009. Effectiveness of a community-based intervention to improve nutrition in young children in Senegal: a difference in difference analysis. Public Health Nutr. 12:667–673.
Arabi, M., E. A. Frongillo, R. Avula, and N. Mangasaryan. 2012. Infant and young child feeding in developing countries. Child Dev. 83:32–45.
Ashworth, A., R. Shrimpton, and K. Jamil. 2008. Growth monitoring and promotion: review of evidence of impact. Matern. Child Nutr. 4:86–117.
Bhutta, Z. A., T. Ahmed, R. E. Black, S. Cousens, K. Dewey, E. Giugliani, et al. 2008. What works? Interventions for maternal and child undernutrition and survival. Lancet 371:417–440.
Bornstein, M. H., D. L. Putnick, R. H. Bradley, J. E. Lansford, and K. Deater-Deckard. 2015. Pathways among caregiver education, household resources, and infant growth in 39 low-and middle-income countries. Infancy 20(4):353–376.
Caro, D. H., and D. Cortés. 2012. Measuring family socioeconomic status: an illustration using data from PIRLS 2006. IERI Monogr. Series Issues Methodol. Large-Scale Assessments 5:9–33.
Colecraft, E. K., G. S. Marquis, A. A. Bartolucci, L. Pulley, W. B. Owusu, and H. M. Maetz. 2004. A longitudinal assessment of the diet and growth of malnourished children participating in nutrition rehabilitation centres in Accra, Ghana. Public Health Nutr. 7:487–494.
Dewey, K. G., and S. Adu-Afarwuah. 2008. Systematic review of the efficacy and effectiveness of complementary feeding interventions in developing countries. Matern. Child Nutr. 4:24–85.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the contributions of the management team of the Ga West Municipal Health Directorate and all the community health nurses, health assistants, and child growth promoters (community volunteers) of Nasikan and Dom Sampaman in the Ga West Municipality of the Greater Accra region, Accra for their diverse contributions toward the success of the study.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.
Faber, M., S. Schoeman, C. M. Smuts, V. Adams, and T. Ngomane. 2009. Evaluation of community-based growth monitoring in rural districts of the Eastern Cape and KwaZulu-Natal provinces of South Africa. South Afr. J. Clin. Nutr. 22(4):185–194.

Galasso, E., and N. Umaphiti. 2007. Improving nutritional status through behavioral change: Lessons from Madagascar. Policy Research Working Paper 4424; Impact Evaluation Series No. 19. The World Bank Development Research Group Poverty Team; Washington, D.C., United States.

Mason, J.B., D. Sanders, P. Musgrove, and G.R. Soekirman. 2006. Community Health and Nutrition Programs, In: Disease Control Priorities in Developing Countries. 2nd edition, chapter 56, 37–58. World Bank; Washington D.C. United States.

Ghana Health Service. 2010. Growth monitoring and promotion for child survival. Trainers guide for training the trainers of community child growth promoters. Nutrition Department, Ghana Health Service, Accra.

Ghana Statistical Service. 2008. Ghana Demographic and Health Survey 2008. Ghana Health Service, ICF Macro Calverton, Ghana Statistical Services, Maryland, Accra, Ghana.

Griffiths, M., and E. Nobbe. 1985. A communication strategy to improve nutrition in Indonesia. Dev. Commun. Rep. Autumn; 51(5):14–19.

Griffiths, M., K. Dickin, and M. Favin. 1996. Promoting the growth of children: what works. World Bank Nutrition Toolkit Tool, 4 p.

Hampshire, R. D., V. M. Aguayo, H. Harouna, J. A. Roley, A. Tarini, and S. K. Baker. 2004. Delivery of nutrition services in health systems in sub-Saharan Africa: opportunities in Burkina Faso, Mozambique and Niger. Public Health Nutr. 7:1047–1053.

Heidkamp, R. A., M. A. Ayoya, I. N. Teta, R. J. Stoltzfus, and J. P. Marponge. 2013. Complementary feeding practices and child growth outcomes in Haiti: an analysis of data from Demographic and Health Surveys. Matern. Child Nutr. 11(4):815–828.

Hossain, S. M., A. Duffield, and A. Taylor. 2005. An evaluation of the impact of a US $60 million nutrition programme in Bangladesh. Health Policy Plann. 20:35–40.

Imdad, A., M. Y. Yakooob, and Z. A. Bhutta. 2011. Impact of maternal education about complementary feeding and provision of complementary foods on child growth in developing countries. BMC Public Health 11:S25.

Inayati, D. A., V. Scherbaum, R. C. Purwcestri, N. N. Wirawan, J. Suryantana, S. Hartono, et al. 2012. Improved nutrition knowledge and practice through intensive nutrition education: a study among caregivers of mildly wasted children on Nias Island, Indonesia. Food Nutr. Bull. 33:117–127.

Lartey, A. 2008. Maternal and child nutrition in Sub-Saharan Africa: challenges and interventions. Proc. Nutr. Soc. 67:105–108.

Mishra, K., P. Kumar, S. Basu, K. Rai, and S. Aneja. 2014. Risk factors for severe acute malnutrition in children below 5 y of age in India: a case-control study. Indian J. Pediaatr. 81:762–765.

Muyeti-Stevens, R. A. D. R. J., 2007. Uganda Community Based Growth Promotion: Program Review. JSI Research & Training Institute Inc (Kampala; Uganda), the Manoff Group and USAID (Washington, DC).

Negash, C., T. Belachew, C. J. Henry, A. Kebebu, K. Abegaz, and S. J. Whiting. 2014. Nutrition education and introduction of broad bean–based complementary food improves knowledge and dietary practices of caregivers and nutritional status of their young children in Hula, Ethiopia. Food Nutr. Bull. 35:480–486.

Onyango, A. W., E. Borghi, M. de Onis, Md C Casanovas, and C. Garza. 2014. Complementary feeding and attained linear growth among 6–23-month-old children. Public Health Nutr. 17:1975–1983.

Owusu, W. B., and A. Lartey. 1992. Growth monitoring: experience from Ghana. Food Nutr Bull; 14:97–100.

Pearson, R. 1995. Thematic evaluation of UNICEF support to growth monitoring. Unicef.

Radwan, H. 2013. Patterns and determinants of breastfeeding and complementary feeding practices of Emirati Mothers in the United Arab Emirates. BMC Public Health 13:171.

UNICEF. 1990. Strategy for improved nutrition of children and women in developing countries. A UNICEF policy review. United Nations Children’s Fund, New York.

UNICEF. 2006. Progress for children: a report card on nutrition. United Nations Children’s Fund, New York, NY.

UNICEF-WHO-The World Bank. 2012. UNICEF-WHO-World Bank Joint Child Malnutrition Estimates. Levels & Trends in Child Malnutrition United Nations Children’s Fund, World Health Organization and The World Bank, UNICEF, New York; WHO, Geneva; and The World Bank, Washington, DC.

Van Roekel, K., B. Plowman, M. Griffiths, Vivas de Alvarado V., and J. Matute. 2002. BASICS II midterm evaluation of the AIN program in Honduras 2000. Survey report.

Waswa, L. M., I. Jordan, J. Herrmann, M. B. Krawinkel, and G. B. Keding. 2015. Community-based educational intervention improved the diversity of complementary diets in western Kenya: results from a randomized controlled trial. Public Health Nutr. 10:1–14.

WHO. 1962. Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Nutrition, Sixth Report. WHO Technical Report Series 245. World Health Organization, Geneva.

WHO and UNICEF. 2010. Indicators for assessing infant and young child feeding practices. Part 3: country profiles. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.