TRIM3 and TRIM16 as potential tumor suppressors in breast cancer patients
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Abstract

Objective: Breast cancer is the leading cause of death among women in many countries. Numerous factors serve as oncogenes or tumor suppressors in breast cancer. The large family of Tripartite-motif (TRIM) proteins with ~ 80 members has drawn attention for their role in cancer. TRIM3 and TRIM16 have shown suppressive activity in different cancers. This study aimed to evaluate the expression of TRIM3 and TRIM16 in cancerous and normal breast samples and to investigate their association with different clinical and pathological parameters.

Results: qRT-PCR was utilized to determine the gene expression of TRIM3 and TRIM16. The expression of TRIM3 and TRIM16 genes in tumor samples were significantly reduced to 0.45 and 0.29 fold, respectively. TRIM3 and TRIM16 genes expression were both positively correlated with the invasion of breast cancer. TRIM3 gene expression was associated with tumors' histological grade. However, no significant association was found between the expression of the genes and tumor size, stage and necrosis. The expression of TRIM3 and TRIM16 are significantly reduced in breast cancer tissues. Besides, the expression of both TRIM3 and TRIM16 genes significantly plummet in lymphatic/vascular and perineural invasive samples. Hence, we suggest a potential tumor suppressor role for TRIM3 and TRIM16 in breast cancer.
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Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is known as one of the most lethal cancers among women [1]. The development of breast cancer and its progression depends on various factors such as genetic and epigenetic factors, lifestyle, and family history. Thus, the incidence and mortality rates of BC vary in different regions and are different among women of different races [2, 3].

In early stages, BC is non-invasive and the tumor cells lack metastatic ability. Over time, if left untreated, the breast tumor grows, and as a result of EMT, the cancer cells become metastatic [4]. According to the TNM staging system, the stages of breast cancer progression are divided into four steps, which a higher stage indicates more tumor volume and more invasive cancer cells [5].

Oncogenes and tumor suppressors such as c-Myc and p53, respectively, are important in cancer development; hence, they attract much attention in cancer studies [6, 7]. However, some factors play different roles in different types of cancers. Through their ubiquitin E3 ligation activity, Tripartite-motif protein (TRIM) family proteins play a significant role in important cellular processes such as cell development, apoptosis, innate immunity, and autophagy [8]. Therefore, in most cases, dysregulated activity or impaired gene expression of these factors leads to cancer [9]. The association of different members of TRIM proteins with various cancers has been previously shown [10, 11].

TRIM3 and TRIM16 are important members of the TRIM family, whose roles have been studied on innate immunity, autophagy, and carcinogenesis [12, 13].
However, there is a clear duality in the role of these factors in different cancers. Huang et al. and Song et al. suggested that TRIM3 is a tumor suppressor in the liver and cervical cancers, respectively [14, 15]. However, Wang et al. reported that TRIM3 plays a stimulating role in the MCF7 breast cancer cell line [16]. On the other hand, the study conducted by Marshall et al. suggests that TRIM16 acts as a tumor suppressor in neuroblastoma cells [17]. However, Yan et al. reported that overexpression of TRIM16 enhances the metastasis of gastric cancer cells [18].

Regarding the roles of TRIM3 and TRIM16 in breast cancer, a limited number of studies have been conducted, most of which are in vitro. There are mixed results on the role of TRIM3 in breast cancer, some of which reporting a tumor suppressor role for the enzyme and a few suggesting an oncogenic activity. Yongzhen Li reports in his study that the oncogenic miR-4513 plays its role in MCF-7 cell line through inhibition of TRIM3, which leads to increased cell migration, invasion, colony formation and proliferation [19]. However, the study of Wang introduces the TRIM3 as an oncogenic factor in breast cancer, which promotes the proliferation of breast cancer cells through suppression of P53 signaling [20]. TRIM16 is suggested by Kim as a suppressor factor in breast cancer that reduces the viability of breast cancer cells [21]. And the study of Yao, working on the effects of TRIM16 in breast cancer cells and tissue samples, implies that TRIM16 expression is lowered in breast cancer tissues, and that the enzyme inhibits the proliferation and properties of breast cancer stem cells (CSCs) [22].

Since the previous studies have not made it clear that what roles TRIM3 and TRIM16 play in breast tumors, in terms of oncogenic and tumor-suppressor activities, this study aimed to evaluate the expression of TRIM3 and TRIM16 genes in breast cancer tissue samples of Iranian women with unique demographic characteristics, prepared in Tehran, Iran, and to compare them to corresponding normal tissues, and also to investigate their roles in breast cancer and their relationship with cancer stage and metastasis.

**Main text**

**Materials and methods**

**Tissue specimen collection**

40 cancerous breast tissue samples paired with the same number of normal adjacent tissue samples were obtained from the Cancer Institute of Imam Khomeini hospital (Tehran, Iran). The samples were collected from 40 Iranian female patients with breast cancer, most of which were of Persian, Turk, Kurd, Lor, and Gilaki/Mazani races. The average of the patient’s ages was 51.6 ± 10.3 years. By the time of this study, no patient had undergone chemotherapy or radiotherapy. The samples were collected from different sites of the breast including ducts, lobules, nipples, and local lymphatic nodes. For later experiments, each sample was stored in RNA later, immediately after the tissue was removed. The clinical and pathological information of each sample including histological grade and TNM staging was determined by the pathologist through established protocols.

Each patient has declared her agreement with the sample collection through a consent letter. This study was conducted in accordance with Helsinki declaration and Good Clinical Practices guideline and is approved by the ethics committee of the cancer institute of Imam Khomeini hospital (Tehran, Iran) and the ethics committee of Ahvaz Jundishapur University of medical sciences (Ahvaz, Iran). Complete demographic, clinical and pathological information of patients are presented in Table 1.

**Table 1** Demographic information of patients

| Parameters                        | Patients group (%) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Age (years)                       |                    |
| < 50                              | 57.5               |
| ≥ 50                              | 42.5               |
| Race                              |                    |
| Persian                           | 22.5               |
| Turk                              | 30                 |
| Gilaki and Mazani                 | 10                 |
| Kurd                              | 12.5               |
| Lor                               | 7.5                |
| N/A                               | 17.5               |
| Histology grade                   |                    |
| Grade I (low-well differentiated)  | 17.5               |
| Grade II (intermediate-moderately differentiated) | 47.5 |
| Grade III (high-poor differentiated) | 35               |
| Stage                             |                    |
| II                                | 72.5               |
| III                               | 27.5               |
| Necrosis                          |                    |
| Yes                               | 65                 |
| No                                | 35                 |
| Vascular/lymphatic invasion       |                    |
| Positive                          | 62.5               |
| Negative                          | 37.5               |
| Perineural invasion               |                    |
| Yes                               | 30                 |
| No                                | 70                 |
Total RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was extracted from all 80 frozen tissue samples using Hybrid-R RNA isolation kit (GeneAll, Songpa-gu, Seoul, South Korea) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The product concentration and purity were determined using Nanodrop 2000 instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, United States). 1.5% Agarose gel electrophoresis was utilized to evaluate the integrity of isolated RNA. cDNA was synthesized through reverse transcription in 20 μL reaction mix using cDNA synthesis kit (Yekta Tajhiz Azma, Tehran, Iran) according to manufacturer’s instructions. The end products were stored at −20 °C for further usage.

Real-time qRT-PCR

The relative expression of TRIM3 and TRIM16 genes were evaluated through qRT-PCR using SYBR green kit (Yekta Tajhiz Azma Tehran, Iran) on ABI Step One Plus instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, United States). The HPRT (Hypoxanthine–Guanine Phosphoribosyl-transferase) gene was selected as the internal reference. The primer sequences used for PCR reaction are as follows: HPRT F: 5′-GACCAGTCACAAGGGACAT-3′, R: 5′-CCTGACCCAGAAAAGCCTAAG-3′, TRIM3 F: 5′-GGGACCTGGGACACCATTGT-3′, R: 5′-GCTACTGCCGATGTTCTCTG-3′, TRIM16 F: 5′-GGGAAAGGTCTGTGTA-3′, R: 5′-GTATCGCCATGTTGTACCCT-5′. The reaction cycles were set as 95 °C for 15 min, for one cycle, 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 1 min, for 40 cycles. The reaction efficiency for all genes was calculated using LinRegPCR software. Since the efficiency of all genes was >90%, the Ct numbers were converted to fold change through $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ for further analysis.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis of the data was conducted using the IBM SPSS 26.0 software. The normality of the collected data was assessed through Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Shapiro–Wilk tests. The results of the experimental groups were compared using the Kruskal–Wallis and
Mann–Whitney U tests. The p-values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

**TRIM3 gene expression**

qRT-PCR was utilized to investigate whether the expression levels of TRIM3 and TRIM16 were altered in cancerous samples compared to normal tissues. According to our results, the mean fold change of TRIM3 gene expression in cancer tissues was ~0.45, which suggests a ~65% reduction in cancer tissues compared to the normal ones (Fig. 1A). Next, the relationship between the expression of the TRIM3 gene and the clinical and pathological status of cancer tissues was evaluated. Our results showed that although the expression of TRIM3 was not significantly reduced in grade I samples, the results of grade II and III showed that it is reduced to 0.31 and 0.26 fold, respectively (Fig. 1B). Also, the expression of TRIM3 was compared between the samples with and without lymphatic/vascular invasion (LVI). TRIM3 gene expression was decreased to 0.68 and 0.24 fold in LVI− and LVI+ samples, respectively (Fig. 1C). Another factor with which the expression of TRIM3 was compared, was perineural invasion (PI). Our results showed that the TRIM3 gene expression in PI− and PI+ groups was 0.76 and 0.18 fold, respectively (Fig. 1D). Further clinical and pathological factors including TNM stage, tumor size, and necrosis were used to evaluate TRIM3 gene expression, none of which showed a significant difference between different states of each experimental group (Table 2).

**TRIM16 gene expression**

Firstly, the expression of the TRIM16 gene in the cancer group was compared with that of the normal group. According to our results, presented in Fig. 2A, the expression of the TRIM16 gene in the cancer group was reduced to 0.29 fold, which shows a significant ~67% drop. TRIM16 gene expression showed no significant difference between different grades of breast cancer (Fig. 2B). Furthermore, our results showed that the TRIM16 gene expression in LVI− and LVI+ groups were 0.42 and 0.16 fold respectively (Fig. 2C). Lastly, the expression of TRIM16 was compared between PI− and PI+ groups, which the latter was decreased to 0.13 fold (Fig. 2D). The expression of TRIM16 showed no significant difference between different states of each experimental group in terms of tumor size, cancer grade, TNM stage, and necrosis (Table 2).

**Discussion**

The large protein family of TRIMs have been widely studied in terms of cancer. TRIM proteins are largely involved in important cellular processes such as cell growth and differentiation [9, 23]. Furthermore, the TRIM family members may leave their mark in carcinogenesis through their association with important cancer-related factors, such as p53 and TGF-β [24, 25].

TRIM3 and TRIM16 are two important members of the TRIM family, both of which have shown inhibitory effects in different types of cancer, however a few studies have reported oncogenic activities for them. A study by Hailong et al. reported that the knocked down TRIM3 leads to promoted growth and metastasis of gastric cancer cells, and that TRIM3 can play the role of a biomarker for gastric cancer diagnosis [26]. According to a report by Mei-yu et al., TRIM3 serves as a tumor suppressor in colorectal cancer and it may be a potential therapeutic marker for CRC [27]. Also, Nagy et al. reported that TRIM16 expression is down-regulated through the transition of normal skin cells to squamous cell carcinoma, which suggests a tumor suppressive role for the enzyme [28]. There are several diverse mechanisms through which TRIM3 and TRIM16 act against carcinogenesis. TRIM16 is reported to be involved in cellular anti-oxidant mechanisms through Nrf2/ARE signaling, which may play a major role against cancer [29]. Furthermore, the up-regulation of the TRIM16 gene leads to the down-regulation of several genes, such as MMP-2, MMP-9,

| Variables                        | TRIM3          | TRIM16         |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
|                                  | Mean fold      | Mean fold      |
|                                  | change ~p-value| change ~p-value|
| Tumor size (cm)                  |                |                |
| <5                               | 0.52 0.999     | 0.29 0.999     |
| ≥5                               | 0.38 0.31      |                |
| Grade                            |                |                |
| I                                | 0.77 0.029*    | 0.27 0.192     |
| II                               | 0.31 0.36      |                |
| III                              | 0.25 0.22      |                |
| Stage                            |                |                |
| II                               | 0.58 0.240     | 0.33 0.145     |
| III                              | 0.34 0.26      |                |
| Necrosis                         |                |                |
| Yes                              | 0.48 0.508     | 0.21 0.281     |
| No                               | 0.41 0.36      |                |
| Lymphatic/vascular invasion      |                |                |
| Yes                              | 0.67 0.006**   | 0.16 0.044*    |
| No                               | 0.28 0.42      |                |
| Perineural invasion              |                |                |
| Yes                              | 0.60 0.003**   | 0.13 0.025*    |
| No                               | 0.25 0.47      |                |

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 significantly different from the opposite group
Smo, and Gli-1, which are highly involved in cancer cell invasion [30]. Similarly, TRIM3 is reported to inactivate the highly cancer-related p38 pathway, thus, enacting its role opposite cancer [15].

In this study, we investigated the expression of TRIM3 and TRIM16 genes in normal and breast cancer tissue samples and compared the expression of the two genes between different clinical and pathological states. According to our results, the expression of TRIM3 and TRIM16 genes in the cancer group undergoes a significant reduction to 0.45 and 0.29 fold, respectively. These results are in line with the previous studies suggesting that TRIM3 and TRIM16 were down-regulated in different types of cancer, which supports the idea of the tumor suppressor role for the enzymes [31, 32].

Next, we used six different clinical and pathological parameters, including tumor size, necrosis, histological grade, TNM stage, lymphatic/vascular invasion, and perineural invasion to gain a better view of the effects of TRIM3 and TRIM16 through the progression breast cancer. Our results showed that the expression of TRIM3 in grade I tissues was 0.76 fold, which statistically is not a significant reduction. However, in grades II and III, the TRIM3 gene expression was significantly reduced to 0.31 and 0.26 fold, respectively. These results are in line with the previous work reporting association between TRIM3 expression and cancer grade[33]. The result of the comparison of TRIM3 gene expression between LVI+ and LVI− showed a significant reduction of 0.45 fold in LVI+ compared to LVI− group. Besides, the expression of TRIM3 showed a significant 0.58 fold drop in PI+ compared to the PI− group. These results agree with the studies suggesting inhibitory effects for TRIM3 on the invasive potential of cancer cells [27]. The TRIM3 gene expression showed no significant difference between groups in terms of tumor size, necrosis, and TNM stage. However, since all tumor samples obtained were either stage II or III, the levels of TRIM3 gene expression in
stage I and IV remain unclear. On the other hand, the TRIM16 gene expression showed a significant drop of 0.26 fold in LVI+ compared to LVI− group. Besides, in the PI− and PI+ groups, the expression of the TRIM16 gene was 0.47 and 0.13 fold, respectively, which demonstrates a significant difference of 0.34 fold between the two groups. These results suggest that TRIM16 may play important roles in the inhibition of cancer cell metastasis, hence, agree with the previous work [34].

Conclusion
In this study, we found that TRIM3 and TRIM16 are both down-regulated in breast cancer, and in addition, our results demonstrated that TRIM3 is highly associated with breast cancer grade. Also, we found that both TRIM3 and TRIM16 undergo more remission in invasive breast tissues, which may suggest an anti-metastatic role for the two genes. Eventually, we propose TRIM3 and TRIM16 as potential tumor suppressors in terms of breast cancer. However, more studies are required to determine the specific roles of the enzymes.

Limitations
Here, we explored the association between TRIM3 and TRIM16 gene expression and the factors that show the progression of BC. However, due to financial limitations we were not able to evaluate protein levels of the factors. Although, we cannot explain the molecular pathways associated with the genes’ function, we consider these genes as “potential” tumor suppressors in BC.
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