A rare case of caesarean scar ectopic pregnancy: a case report
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INTRODUCTION

Ectopic pregnancy is a condition where the gestational sac implants outside the uterine cavity. The ectopic gestation can implant in the fallopian tube, ovary, cervix, abdominal cavity or previous caesarean scar. The commonest site of implantation is the ampulla of the fallopian tube. The risk factors for ectopic pregnancy are tubal surgeries, prior ectopic pregnancy, pelvic inflammatory disease and use of artificial reproductive techniques. The classical triad of ectopic pregnancy is abdominal pain, vaginal bleeding and amenorrhoea. Ectopic pregnancy contributes to nearly 6% of pregnancy related deaths.1

Scar ectopic pregnancy is a condition where the gestational sac implants into the previous caesarean scar site.2 The incidence of scar ectopic pregnancy is 1 in 2000.3 This entity is rare but its incidence is rising due to the increasing rates of caesarean deliveries.4

Scar ectopic pregnancy is caused by endometrial and myometrial disruption due to previous caesarean section.5 This condition can cause high maternal morbidity and mortality. Caesarean scar ectopic pregnancy can be complicated by uterine rupture, life threatening haemorrhage and morbidity adherent placenta.6 The gestational sac implanted in the caesarean scar can invade into the bladder further complicating the condition and can lead to operative difficulties.6 Thus an early diagnosis and management is vital in preventing maternal morbidity and mortality.

CASE REPORT

A 28-year-old G4P1L1A2 with previous LSCS came to antenatal OPD of Sri Venkateshwarar Medical college and research institute, Puducherry with complaints of spotting per vaginum at 9 weeks 3 days of amenorrhea and outside ultrasound report of gestational sac in the lower segment suggestive of missed abortion. Patient was stable on physical examination. No abnormality was detected on per abdominal and per vaginal examinations.

Repeat ultrasound (Figure 1) revealed a single fetus of 7 weeks 1day located eccentrically in the lower uterine segment adjacent to the previous caesarean scar. The same findings were confirmed by MRI pelvis (Figure 2).
The serum βHCG value on admission (day 1) was 583 mIU/ml. The decision for medical line of treatment was taken, hence Inj. Methotrexate 50 mg IM was given. The repeat serum βHCG value after 48 hours (day 3) showed significant increase in the value (68594.5 mIU/ml) following which a second dose of inj. Methotrexate 50 mg with tab. Mifepristone 400 mg was given. The repeat serum βHCG value on day 5 was found to be decreased by 40% (37228 mIU/ml) but an increase in the gestational sac size with persistent cardiac activity was noted. In view of the above inconsistent finding, the decision for laparotomy with consent for hysterectomy was taken.

Intraoperative findings (Figure 3 - 4), the uterus was of normal size with an evidence of bulge seen anteriorly in the lower uterine segment beneath the bladder and no signs of ectopic rupture. Bilateral uterine arteries were ligated at the entry level and inj. Vasopressin 5 units mixed with normal saline was injected around the bulge. Incision was given at the site of implantation of the ectopic pregnancy and the same was evacuated. The uterine rent was closed in layers and complete hemostasis was secured. No intraoperative and postoperative complications were present.

Patient’s recovery was good and she was discharged after 6 days. On follow up after 6 weeks she had no complaints.

DISCUSSION

A high clinical suspicion of scar ectopic is required in all early pregnancies with previous caesarean delivery presenting with painless vaginal bleeding.1 The presence of cardiac activity is a poor prognostic factor due to the increased risk of complications. Uterine rupture was seen in 9.9% of scar ectopic pregnancy with cardiac activity.7 Ultrasound is the main stay in the diagnosis of scar ectopic pregnancy. The ultrasound...
criteria for the diagnosis of scar ectopic pregnancy include the gestational sac or placenta attached to the site of previous caesarean scar with myometrial thinning with empty uterine cavity, closed and empty cervical canal. Colour flow Doppler shows a low velocity and high impedance blood flow.5

The management of scar ectopic pregnancy can be expectant, medical or surgical management. Expectant management can be an option for anembryonic scar ectopic patients as 70% have uncomplicated spontaneous abortions.7 The various medical and surgical treatment modalities available are intramuscular methotrexate or etoposide administration, oral mifepristone, ultrasound guided intra-gestational injection of KCl or methotrexate or vasopressin, uterine artery embolization, dilatation and curettage, hysteroscopic excision, laparoscopic excision, laparotomy and excision, hysterectomy.6 The above treatment modalities can be combined to improve the treatment success rate.

Management with methotrexate alone is recommended when serum levels of betaHCG is less than 5000 IU/L with the gestational sac less than 8 weeks.5 Medical management with methotrexate alone showed 62.1% complication rates.6 Dilatation and curettage are preferred when the myometrial thickness is at least 2 mm otherwise an abdominal or laparoscopic resection is preferred.5 However the surgeon’s and patient’s preference plays a major role in deciding the treatment modality.

In a case report by Ajong et al, ruptured scar ectopic was managed by emergency laparotomy. Intraoperatively around 2 litres of hemoperitoneum was noted.8

In a case report by Dhillon et al, a post IVF patient opted to continue the pregnancy and delivered a live baby of 1450 gms by classical caesarean section at 33 weeks of gestation. Hysterectomy was done due to adherent placenta.9

In another case report by Fatusic et al, scar ectopic pregnancy presented with severe bleeding per vagina after 1 month of dilatation and curettage. Hysterectomy was done and histopathology revealed placenta percreta.10
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