Deterministic and complete hyperentangled Bell states analysis assisted by frequency and time interval degrees of freedom
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ABSTRACT

Hyperentangled Bell states analysis (HBSA) is an essential building block for certain hyper-parallel quantum information processing. We propose a complete and deterministic HBSA scheme encoded in spatial and polarization degrees of freedom (DOFs) of two-photon system assisted by a fixed frequency-based entanglement and a time interval DOF. The parity information the spatial-based and polarization-based hyper-entanglement can be distinguished by the distinct time intervals of the photon pairs, and the phase information can be distinguished by the detection signature. Compared with previous schemes, the number of the auxiliary entanglements is reduced from two to one by introducing time interval DOF. Moreover, the additional frequency and time interval DOFs suffer less from the collective channel noise.
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1 Introduction

Quantum entanglement [1] has been considered as an essential asset to quantum communication and computation tasks. Bell states analysis (BSA), which is defined as the projection of two qubits onto maximally entangled states, is a crucial element in many important quantum information processing tasks, including measurement-based quantum computation [2, 3], quantum teleportation [4, 5], entanglement swapping [6, 7], quantum dense coding [8–10], quantum key distribution [11–17], entanglement concentration [18–20], and quantum secure direct communication [21–27]. However, it is failed to unambiguously discriminate all of the four single degree of freedom (DOF) shared Bell states with only linear optical elements [28, 29], which is called a complete linear optical BSA for photonic systems. Fortunately, complete and deterministic BSA can be accomplished by assorting to nonlinear interactions [30–32], an enlarged Hilbert space [33], or ancillary entangled states in additional DOFs for photonic system [34]. The nonlinear optical tricks and the ancillary photons tricks are challenged by inefficiency and impracticality. Giant Kerr nonlinearity is a major challenge in experimental implementations.
Hyperentanglement [35, 36], defined as the simultaneously entangled in multiple DOFs of a quantum system, is a fascinating resource with its high capacity, low loss rate, and some important applications (such as complete Bell-state discrimination with linear optical elements). Photons have been recognized as the excellent candidates for carrying hyperentanglement as they have a large variety of exploitable DOFs, such as polarization, frequency (wavelength), orbital angular momentum, time-bin, spatial [37, 38], and the photonic qubits are much less affected by decoherence. Among all these DOFs, the polarization DOF is the most popular candidate, sensitive to channel noise, and it can be manipulated with great precision by linear optical devices. The spatial DOF is robust against the bit-flip channel noise, and such an error can be precluded by adjusting the length of spatial modes. The frequency and time interval DOFs are far more stable than polarization DOF, and frequency DOF can efficiently transfer quantum information at telecommunication wavelengths. Nowadays, lots of researches have been devoted to the generation, manipulation, and application of hyperentanglement, and especially to hyperentangled Bell states analysis (HBSA) [39, 40].

In high-capacity quantum communication, there are $4^n$ two-photon orthogonal hyperentangled Bell (hyper-Bell) states in $n$ qubit-like DOFs. It has been shown that 16 hyper-Bell states in two DOFs can be separated into 7 groups only using linear optical elements [41, 42], and the upper bound for the number of discriminate groups in $4^n$ hyper-Bell states is $2^{n+1} - 1$ [41]. In 2017, Li et al. [43] showed that $4^n$ hyper-Bell states can be separated into $2^{n+k+1} - 2k$ distinct groups via linear optics with help of $k$ ($k \leq n$) additional entangled states in ancillary DOFs. In 2019, Gao et al. [44] proved that $2^{n+k+1}$ hyper-Bell states in $n$ ($n \geq 2$) DOFs can be distinguished via linear optics with help of a time delay and $k$ ($k \leq n$) auxiliary entangled states in additional DOFs. In 2020, Gao et al. [45] further enhanced to 14 distinct groups only assisted by time-bin DOF. It is note that all of above linear schemes are incomplete. In 2010, Sheng et al. [46] first proposed a complete polarization-spatial-based HBSA with the help of cross-Kerr nonlinearity. Later some improved works were proposed [47–49]. Nowadays, atoms, quantum dots, nitrogen-vacancy centers, and superconductors have been introduced to complete deterministic HBSA [50–55]. Realizing strong natural Kerr nonlinearities are challenge in experiment with current technology. The fast manipulation and measurement of neutral atoms are difficult in experiment. mK temperature and tens of μs coherence time are necessary for superconducting qubits. In 2019, Wang et al. [56] proposed a program to a complete scheme in polarization and the first momentum DOFs with help of two fixed Bell states in frequency and the second momentum DOFs. Later in 2020, Zeng and Zhu [57] completed a HBSA scheme in spatial and polarization DOFs assisted by time-bin and frequency entanglements.

In this paper, we construct a scheme for arbitrarily complete HBSA by using frequency beam splitter, frequency shifter, and some linear optical elements. The hyper-Bell states are encoded in both the spatial and polarization DOFs of two photons, and a time intervals DOF and a fixed frequency Bell state are introduced to complete the scheme. The parity information of the spatial and polarization DOFs in each group can be discriminated by the detection signatures. In contrast to the schemes in Refs. [34, 43, 45], the 16 hyper-Bell states are distinguished unambiguously in our scheme. One fixed entangled state in frequency DOF is exploited to construct the present scheme which overwhelms the ones in Refs. [56, 57]. In addition, frequency DOF is much more insensitive to the channel noise in an optical fiber than spatial (momentum) and polarization DOFs [44, 56, 57].

2 Complete hyper-Bell states analysis in polarization and spatial DOFs of two photons

The two-photon hyper-Bell states in spatial and polarization DOFs can be written as

$$|\Lambda\rangle_{AB} = |\Theta_s\rangle_{AB} \otimes |\Gamma_p\rangle_{AB}. \quad (1)$$

We use $A$ ($B$) to denote the photon $A$ ($B$), and use the subscript $s$ ($p$) to denote the spatial (polarization) DOF. $|\Theta_s\rangle_{AB}$ represents one of the four Bell states in spatial DOF,

$$|\phi^\pm_s\rangle_{AB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|a_1 b_1\rangle \pm |a_2 b_2\rangle),$$

$$|\psi^\pm_s\rangle_{AB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|a_1 b_2\rangle \pm |a_2 b_1\rangle). \quad (2)$$

$|\Gamma_p\rangle_{AB}$ represents one of the four Bell states in polarization DOF,

$$|\phi^\pm_p\rangle_{AB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|HH\rangle \pm |VV\rangle),$$

$$|\psi^\pm_p\rangle_{AB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|HV\rangle \pm |VH\rangle), \quad (3)$$

where $a_1$ ($b_1$) and $a_2$ ($b_2$) represent the two spatial modes of photon $A$ ($B$). $H$ and $V$ represent the horizontal and vertical polarization states of a single photon, respectively. In order to completely distinguish the 16 hyper-
Bell states described by Eq. (1), a fixed auxiliary Bell state $|\Omega_f\rangle_{AB}$ encoded in frequency DOF is employed. $|\Omega_f\rangle_{AB}$ is given by

$$|\psi^+_f\rangle_{AB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\omega_1\omega_2\rangle + |\omega_2\omega_1\rangle).$$

(4)

Here $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ are the two frequencies of the incident photons. The states of the whole system can be depicted as

$$|\Upsilon\rangle_{AB} = |\Theta_s\rangle_{AB} \otimes |\Gamma p\rangle_{AB} \otimes |\psi^+_f\rangle_{AB}.$$  

(5)

A scheme we designed for complete HBSA in spatial and polarization DOFs assisted by time intervals DOF and an auxiliary entanglement in frequency DOF is shown in Fig. 1. Now let us take $|\phi^+_s\rangle_{AB} \otimes |\phi^+_p\rangle_{AB} \otimes |\psi^+_f\rangle_{AB}$, $|\phi^+_s\rangle_{AB} \otimes |\psi^+_p\rangle_{AB} \otimes |\psi^+_f\rangle_{AB}$, $|\phi^+_s\rangle_{AB} \otimes |\psi^+_p\rangle_{AB} \otimes |\psi^+_f\rangle_{AB}$ and $|\psi^+_s\rangle_{AB} \otimes |\phi^+_p\rangle_{AB} \otimes |\psi^+_f\rangle_{AB}$ as examples to illustrate the principle of our scheme in detail, step by step. Here above four hyperentangled states can be written as

$$|\Upsilon_0^1\rangle = |\phi^+_s\rangle_{AB} \otimes |\phi^+_p\rangle_{AB} \otimes |\psi^+_f\rangle_{AB}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(|a_1b_1\rangle + |a_2b_2\rangle) \otimes (|HH\rangle + |VV\rangle)\otimes (|\omega_1\omega_2\rangle + |\omega_2\omega_1\rangle),$$

(6)

$$|\Upsilon_0^2\rangle = |\psi^+_s\rangle_{AB} \otimes |\psi^+_p\rangle_{AB} \otimes |\psi^+_f\rangle_{AB}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(|a_1b_2\rangle + |a_2b_1\rangle) \otimes (|HV\rangle - |VH\rangle)\otimes (|\omega_1\omega_2\rangle + |\omega_2\omega_1\rangle),$$

(7)

$$|\Upsilon_0^3\rangle = |\phi^+_s\rangle_{AB} \otimes |\psi^+_p\rangle_{AB} \otimes |\psi^+_f\rangle_{AB}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(|a_1b_1\rangle - |a_2b_2\rangle) \otimes (|HV\rangle + |VH\rangle)\otimes (|\omega_1\omega_2\rangle + |\omega_2\omega_1\rangle),$$

(8)

$$|\Upsilon_0^4\rangle = |\psi^+_s\rangle_{AB} \otimes |\phi^+_p\rangle_{AB} \otimes |\psi^+_f\rangle_{AB}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(|a_1b_2\rangle - |a_2b_1\rangle) \otimes (|HH\rangle - |VV\rangle)\otimes (|\omega_1\omega_2\rangle + |\omega_2\omega_1\rangle).$$

(9)

First, two photons $A$ and $B$ are injected, followed by

Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of the complete hyper-Bell state analysis. The frequency beam splitter (FBS) leads the photon with $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ into the spatial modes $x_1$ and $x_2$, respectively. The frequency shifter (FS) completes the bit-flip operation on frequency DOF $X_f = |\omega_2\rangle|\omega_1\rangle + |\omega_1\rangle|\omega_2\rangle$. UI is an unbalanced interferometer [45]. The circles “circle” on the path $c_1$ and $d_2$ introduce time intervals $t_0$ and $t_1$, respectively. HWP$^{22.5^\circ}$ represents a half-wave plate oriented at $22.5^\circ$ of the horizontal direction, which completes the transformations $H_p = \frac{1}{2}([H\rangle + |V\rangle)(|H\rangle + |V\rangle) + (|H\rangle - |V\rangle)(|V\rangle - |H\rangle))$ on the incident photon. BS is a 50:50 beam splitter. PBS is a polarization beam splitter, which transmits the horizontally polarized component $|H\rangle$ and reflects the vertically polarized component $|V\rangle$ of photons.
half-wave plates oriented at 22.5° of the horizontal direction (HWP$_{1,2,3,4}^{22.5°}$), frequency beam splitters (FBS$_{1,2,3,4}$), and frequency shifters (FS$_{1,2,3,4}$) in succession. Here HWP$_{1,2,3,4}^{22.5°}$ accomplish the following transformations (Hadamard transformations on polarization DOF),

\[
|H\rangle_{\text{HWP}_{1,2,3,4}^{22.5°}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle + |V\rangle),
\]

\[
|V\rangle_{\text{HWP}_{1,2,3,4}^{22.5°}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle - |V\rangle).
\]  

(10)

FS$_{1,2,3,4}$ implement the bit-flip operation on the frequency DOF, i.e.,

\[
|\omega_1\rangle_{\text{FS}_{1,2,3,4}} \rightarrow |\omega_2\rangle, \quad |\omega_2\rangle_{\text{FS}_{1,2,3,4}} \rightarrow |\omega_1\rangle.
\]  

(11)

FBS$_{1,2,3,4}$ guide the photon to the different paths according to the frequency, i.e., the wavepackets with $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ are led to the spatial mode $x_1$ and $x_2$, respectively. Therefore, after the operations HWP$_{1}^{22.5°}$ → FBS$_1$, HWP$_{2}^{22.5°}$ → FBS$_2$, HWP$_{3}^{22.5°}$ → FBS$_3$, and HWP$_{4}^{22.5°}$ → FBS$_4$, the states described by Eqs. (6–9) become

\[
|\Upsilon_1^4\rangle = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(|a_1b_2\rangle + |a_2b_1\rangle) \otimes (|HH\rangle + |VV\rangle)
\]

\[
\otimes (|x_1x_2\rangle + |x_2x_1\rangle) \otimes |\omega_2\rangle,
\]  

(12)

\[
|\Upsilon_2^4\rangle = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(|a_1b_1\rangle + |a_2b_2\rangle) \otimes (|HH\rangle - |VV\rangle)
\]

\[
\otimes (|x_1x_2\rangle + |x_2x_1\rangle) \otimes |\omega_2\rangle,
\]  

(13)

\[
|\Upsilon_3^4\rangle = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(|a_1b_1\rangle - |a_2b_2\rangle) \otimes (|HH\rangle - |VV\rangle)
\]

\[
\otimes (|x_1x_2\rangle + |x_2x_1\rangle) \otimes |\omega_2\rangle,
\]  

(14)

\[
|\Upsilon_4^4\rangle = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(|a_1b_2\rangle - |a_2b_1\rangle) \otimes (|HV\rangle + |VH\rangle)
\]

\[
\otimes (|x_1x_2\rangle + |x_2x_1\rangle) \otimes |\omega_2\rangle.
\]  

(15)

Second, after the photons pass through polarization beam splitters (PBS$_{1,2,3,4}$), and HWP$_{5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12}^{22.5°}$ in succession, the states described by Eqs. (11–15) then become

\[
|\Upsilon_1^5\rangle = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(|a_1b_1\rangle + |a_2b_2\rangle) \otimes (|HH\rangle + |VV\rangle)
\]

\[
\otimes (|x_1x_2\rangle + |x_2x_1\rangle) \otimes |\omega_2\rangle.
\]  

(16)

\[
|\Upsilon_2^5\rangle = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(|a_1b_2\rangle + |a_2b_1\rangle) \otimes (|HV\rangle + |VH\rangle)
\]

\[
\otimes (|x_1x_1\rangle + |x_2x_2\rangle) \otimes |\omega_2\rangle,
\]  

(17)

\[
|\Upsilon_3^5\rangle = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(|a_1b_1\rangle - |a_2b_2\rangle) \otimes (|HH\rangle - |VV\rangle)
\]

\[
\otimes (|x_1x_2\rangle + |x_2x_1\rangle) \otimes |\omega_2\rangle,
\]  

(18)

|\Upsilon_4^5\rangle = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(|a_1b_2\rangle - |a_2b_1\rangle) \otimes (|HV\rangle + |VH\rangle)
\]

\[
\otimes (|x_1x_2\rangle + |x_2x_1\rangle) \otimes |\omega_2\rangle.
\]  

(19)

Third, the wave-packets mixed at 50:50 nonpolarization beam splitters (BS$_{1,2,3,4}$), and then the states will be evolved to

\[
|\Upsilon_1^3\rangle = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}(|a_1a_2\rangle - |a_1b_2\rangle + |b_1a_2\rangle - |b_1b_2\rangle)
\]

\[
\otimes (|x_1x_1\rangle + |x_2x_2\rangle) \otimes |\omega_2\rangle.
\]  

(20)

\[
|\Upsilon_2^3\rangle = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}(|a_2a_2\rangle - |a_2b_2\rangle + |b_2a_2\rangle - |b_2b_2\rangle)
\]

\[
\otimes (|x_1x_1\rangle + |x_2x_2\rangle) \otimes |\omega_2\rangle.
\]  

(21)

\[
|\Upsilon_3^3\rangle = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}(|a_1a_1\rangle - |a_1b_1\rangle + |b_1a_1\rangle - |b_1b_1\rangle)
\]

\[
\otimes (|x_1x_1\rangle + |x_2x_2\rangle) \otimes |\omega_2\rangle.
\]  

(22)

\[
|\Upsilon_4^3\rangle = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}(|a_2a_1\rangle - |a_2b_1\rangle + |b_2a_1\rangle - |b_2b_1\rangle)
\]

\[
\otimes (|x_1x_1\rangle + |x_2x_2\rangle) \otimes |\omega_2\rangle.
\]  

(23)

Here the operations of 50:50 BS are given by

\[
a_1(2) \xrightarrow{\text{BS}_1} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(a_1(2) + b_1(2)),
\]

\[
b_1(2) \xrightarrow{\text{BS}_1} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(a_1(2) - b_1(2)).
\]  

(24)

Fourth, the photons are sent into the unbalanced interferometers (UIs) block [45] (see Fig. 1), which is used to complete the transformations

\[
|H\rangle|m_1\rangle \rightarrow I(|H\rangle|m_1\rangle + |V\rangle|m_1\rangle - |H\rangle|n_2\rangle)
\]

\[
- |V\rangle|n_2\rangle,
\]

\[
|V\rangle|n_2\rangle \rightarrow D(t_0)(|H\rangle|m_1\rangle - |V\rangle|m_1\rangle - |H\rangle|n_2\rangle)
\]

\[
+ |V\rangle|n_2\rangle,
\]

\[
|V\rangle|m_1\rangle \rightarrow D(t_1)(|H\rangle|m_1\rangle + |V\rangle|m_1\rangle - |H\rangle|n_2\rangle)
\]

\[
- |V\rangle|n_2\rangle,
\]

\[
|H\rangle|n_2\rangle \rightarrow D(t_0 + t_1)(|H\rangle|m_1\rangle + |V\rangle|m_1\rangle + |H\rangle|n_2\rangle)
\]

\[
+ |V\rangle|n_2\rangle.
\]  

(25)

Here $m$ and $n$ represent the upper and down arms of the UI block, respectively. $I = D(0)$, $D(t_0)$, $D(t_1)$, and
$D(t_0 + t_1)$ are linear time delay operators (time intervals) performed on the incident photons [33, 45, 58]. In the scheme, $\omega_0 = 2\pi n$ and $\omega_1 = 2\pi m$ (n and m are integers, and $\omega$ is the frequency of input photon) should be taken to make the photons interfere with each other under the constructive-interfere condition [33, 45]. It is noted that $t_1 \pm t_0$ must be indistinguishable in the construction, that is, if the time resolution of the detectors is 4 ns, the time intervals $t_0$ and $t_1$ in UI could be set to 6 ns and 10 ns in experiment, respectively [33, 45, 58]. Hence, after the photons interact with the UIs, the states described by Eqs. (20–23) evolve as

$$\mathbf{|Y_1\rangle} = \frac{1}{4} \left[ II(|a_1a_2\rangle + |a_2b_1\rangle - |a_1b_2\rangle - |b_2a_1\rangle) \right.$$

$$\otimes (|HV\rangle + |VV\rangle) \otimes (|x_1x_2\rangle + |x_2x_1\rangle) \otimes |\omega_2\omega_2\rangle, \quad (26)$$

$$\mathbf{|Y_2\rangle} = \frac{1}{8} \left[ ID(T_0)((-|a_1a_2\rangle - |b_2b_1\rangle + |b_1b_2\rangle)
$$

$$\otimes (|HH\rangle - |VV\rangle) - ID(T_0)(|a_1b_1\rangle + |b_2a_2\rangle - |b_1a_1\rangle - |a_2b_2\rangle) \otimes (|HV\rangle - |VH\rangle)
$$

$$+ D(T_0)I(|b_1b_2\rangle + |a_2a_1\rangle - |a_1a_2\rangle - |b_2b_1\rangle) \otimes (|HH\rangle - |VV\rangle)
$$

$$- D(T_0)I(|a_1b_1\rangle + |b_2a_2\rangle - |a_1a_2\rangle - |b_2b_1\rangle) \otimes (|HV\rangle - |VH\rangle) \otimes (|x_1x_1\rangle + |x_2x_2\rangle) \otimes |\omega_2\omega_2\rangle, \quad (27)$$

$$\mathbf{|Y_3\rangle} = \frac{1}{8} \left[ ID(T_1)(|a_1a_2\rangle - |b_2b_2\rangle + |a_1b_2\rangle - |b_2a_1\rangle)
$$

$$\otimes (|HH\rangle - |VV\rangle) - ID(T_1)(|b_1b_1\rangle + |a_2a_2\rangle - |a_1b_1\rangle - |a_2b_2\rangle) \otimes (|HH\rangle - |VV\rangle)
$$

$$- D(T_1)I(|b_1b_1\rangle - |a_2a_2\rangle - |b_1a_2\rangle + |a_2b_1\rangle) \otimes (|HH\rangle - |VV\rangle)
$$

$$+ D(T_1)I(|a_1a_1\rangle - |b_2b_2\rangle + |a_2b_1\rangle - |b_2a_2\rangle) \otimes (|HH\rangle - |VV\rangle) \otimes (|x_1x_2\rangle + |x_2x_1\rangle) \otimes |\omega_2\omega_2\rangle, \quad (28)$$

$$\mathbf{|Y_4\rangle} = \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}} \left[ ID(t_0 + t_1)(-|a_1a_1\rangle - |a_1b_2\rangle + |b_2a_1\rangle
$$

$$+ |b_2b_2\rangle \otimes (|HH\rangle + |HV\rangle + |VV\rangle) + ID(t_0 + t_1)(|b_1b_1\rangle + |b_1a_2\rangle - |a_2b_1\rangle)
$$

$$- |a_2a_2\rangle \otimes (|HH\rangle + |HV\rangle + |VV\rangle) + D(t_1)D(t_0)(|a_1a_1\rangle - |a_1b_2\rangle + |b_2a_1\rangle
$$

$$- |b_2b_2\rangle \otimes (|HH\rangle - |HV\rangle + |VV\rangle) \otimes (|x_1x_1\rangle + |x_2x_2\rangle) \otimes |\omega_2\omega_2\rangle. \quad (29)$$

Finally, the two output photons are detected in the $\{|H\rangle, |V\rangle\}$ basis by using PBSs and single-photon detectors. Here polarization beam splitter, PBS, transmits the $H$-polarized component and reflects the $V$-polarized component, respectively. As shown in Table 1, the 16 distinct detection signatures correspond to the 16 hyper-Bell states, respectively. For example, the state $|\psi_1^+\rangle \otimes |\phi_2^+\rangle$ will trigger one of the detection signatures for two detectors $\{a_{11}^H b_{11}^V, a_{12}^H b_{12}^V, a_{11}^V b_{11}^H, a_{12}^V b_{12}^H, a_{12}^H b_{12}^V, a_{12}^V b_{12}^H, a_{11}^V b_{11}^H, a_{12}^V b_{12}^H, a_{12}^H b_{12}^V, a_{11}^H b_{11}^V, a_{12}^H b_{12}^V, a_{12}^V b_{12}^H, a_{12}^V b_{12}^H, a_{12}^H b_{12}^V, a_{11}^H b_{11}^V, a_{12}^H b_{12}^V, a_{12}^V b_{12}^H, a_{12}^V b_{12}^H\}$ with time intervals $(t_1 \pm t_0)$. The discriminate time intervals of the photon-pair divide the 16 hyper-Bell states into 4 groups, and the detection signatures in each group are distinguished from each other.

Based on above discussion, one can see that the scheme shown in Fig. 1 completely distinguishes 16 hyper-Bell states encoded in spatial and polarization DOFs of two-photon. The distinction of the parity information of the spatial and polarization DOFs (be divided into 4 groups) resorts to the time intervals of the photon pairs. The distinction of the phase information of spatial and polarization DOFs resors to the diacritical detection signatures.

### 3 Conclusion

In this paper, we theoretically presented a scheme to completely distinguish 16 hyper-Bell states encoded in the spatial and polarization DOFs in two-photon system assisted by a fixed frequency entangled state and a time intervals DOF. The hyper-Bell states encoded in the spatial and polarization DOFs can be generated using the type-I two-crystal source spontaneous parametric down-conversion [59–62]. The frequency entangled photon pairs can also be created via spontaneous parametric down-conversion or cold atoms [63–65], and it has been experimentally demonstrated [66]. The time intervals only need a longer optical circuit in experiment, which need no entanglement resource. The FBS, which guides the photons into the different paths according to their frequencies, can be achieved by using standard optical elements, a wavelength division multiplexer or fiber Bragg grating [67–69]. The FS, which is used to eliminate the frequency distinguishability, can be realized by the frequency up-conversion process, or down-conversion process [70–72]. However, some unavoidable factors should also be considered in experiments, such as imperfect PBS, HWP, FBS, FS, and BS, imperfect hyperentangled state generation, dark count and background count of the detectors, drift in the interferometer during transmission, and phase miscalibration. In most HBSA schemes, one need to confirm the spatial mode of the photons before they move to the polarization state.
Table 1: Relations between the 16 hyperentangled Bell states, the detection signatures, and the time intervals. $0, t_0, t_1,$ and $t_1 \pm t_0$ are the time intervals of the two outphoton.

| Input states | Detection signatures | Time intervals |
|--------------|----------------------|----------------|
| $| \phi^+ \rangle \otimes | \phi^+ \rangle$ | $|H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle$ | $a_{11}, b_{22}, a_{12}, b_{21}, a_{11}, b_{22}, a_{12}, b_{21}$ |
| $| \phi^+ \rangle \otimes | \phi^- \rangle$ | $|H(V)\rangle |H(V)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |H(V)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |H(V)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |H(V)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |H(V)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |H(V)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |H(V)\rangle$ | $a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{22}, a_{21}, a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{22}, a_{21}$ |
| $| \phi^- \rangle \otimes | \phi^+ \rangle$ | $|H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle$ | $a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{22}, a_{21}, a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{22}, a_{21}$ |
| $| \phi^- \rangle \otimes | \phi^- \rangle$ | $|H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle$ | $a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{22}, a_{21}, a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{22}, a_{21}$ |
| $| \psi^+ \rangle \otimes | \psi^+ \rangle$ | $|H(V)\rangle |H(V)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |H(V)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |H(V)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |H(V)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |H(V)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |H(V)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |H(V)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |H(V)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |H(V)\rangle$ | $a_{11}, b_{22}, a_{12}, b_{21}, a_{11}, b_{22}, a_{12}, b_{21}$ |
| $| \psi^+ \rangle \otimes | \psi^- \rangle$ | $|H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle$ | $a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{22}, a_{21}, a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{22}, a_{21}$ |
| $| \psi^- \rangle \otimes | \psi^+ \rangle$ | $|H(V)\rangle |H(V)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |H(V)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |H(V)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |H(V)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |H(V)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |H(V)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |H(V)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |H(V)\rangle$ | $a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{22}, a_{21}, a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{22}, a_{21}$ |
| $| \psi^- \rangle \otimes | \psi^- \rangle$ | $|H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle, |H(V)\rangle |V(H)\rangle$ | $a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{22}, a_{21}, a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{22}, a_{21}$ |

Analysis: Our scheme is one-shot and there is no pause between each steps.

In Refs. [41, 43, 45], the 16 hyper-Bell states are divided into 7, 12, and 14 discriminate groups, respectively. In our scheme, the 16 hyper-Bell states are distinguished unambiguously. One fixed momentum (spatial) entangled state and one time-bin DOF are employed by Gao et al. [44] to complete momentum-(spatial-) polarization HBSA. One fixed momentum (spatial) entangled state and one fixed frequency entangled state are employed by Wang et al. [56] to complete momentum-(spatial-) polarization HBSA. One fixed time-bin entangled
state and one fixed frequency entangled state are employed by Zeng et al. [57] to complete spatial-polarization HBSA. Only one fixed frequency entangled state and time intervals DOF are employed in our scheme. Moreover, the frequency DOF suffers less from the channel noise in an optical fiber than polarization and momentum DOFs [68, 69, 73].

In summary, we have proposed a scheme to completely distinguish 16 hyper-Bell states encoded in spatial and polarization DOFs assisted by the time intervals and a fixed frequency entanglement. The parity information of the spatial and polarization DOFs can be distinguished resorting to the distinct time intervals of the photon-pair. The phase information of both the spatial and polarization DOFs in each group can be distinguished resorting to the detection signatures. The scheme assisted by frequency and time interval DOFs, which is accessible to experiment with current technology. If the photon loss and the linear optics inefficiency are neglected, our scheme is deterministic. Two auxiliary entangled states are necessary in Refs. [56, 57], while only one auxiliary entangled state is required in our scheme. Moreover, the frequency and time interval DOFs of photons suffer less from channel noise than spatial DOF. Our HBSA protocol maybe provide a potential tool to hyper-parallel one-way quantum computation, distributed quantum computation, and quantum communication.
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