A peculiar fusuline assemblage from the Tanes locality, Campo de Caso section (Pennsylvanian, upper Moscovian; Cantabrian Zone, Spain)
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**ABSTRACT**

The Campo de Caso section (eastern part of the Cantabrian Zone, NW Spain) exposes a middle to upper Moscovian (Middle Pennsylvanian) succession, up to 3500-m thick, consisting of marine shelfal and deltaic siliciclastic and shale deposits with numerous intercalated fossiliferous limestones. A limestone outcropping in the upper part of this section, close to the village of Tanes, has yielded a fusuline assemblage composed of species of the genera Eostaffella, Pseudonovella, Ozawainella, Pseudostaffella, Neostaffella, Schubertella, Fusilla, Taitzehoella, Fusulinella, Beedeina and Putrella. The specific composition of these genera, considered as a whole, indicates an early to mid-Mychkovian age; however, some of the taxa exhibit rare aspects, among which are the occurrence of pseudostaffellids having a “primitive” appearance recalling that of the Bashkirian Pseudostaffella, and a Putrella species exhibiting a wall that, at first glance, resembles the schwagerinid wall. Data gathered during this study question the validity of the genus Quasistaffella Solovieva 1986 and point to consider it as a junior synonym of Pseudostaffella Thompson 1942.

**Keywords:** Fusulines, Cantabrian Zone, upper Moscovian, Pennsylvanian.

**RESUMEN**

En la sección de Campo de Caso (parte oriental de la Zona Cantábrica, NW de España) aflora una sucesión del Moscoviense superior (Pensilvánico) de hasta 3500 m de espesor, formada por depósitos de plataforma marina y depósitos deltaicos con numerosas intercalaciones de calizas. En un afloramiento de la parte alta de esta sucesión, cercano a la localidad de Tanes, se han recogido fusulinas pertenecientes a los géneros Eostaffella, Pseudonovella, Ozawainella, Pseudostaffella, Neostaffella, Schubertella, Fusilla, Taitzehoella, Fusulinella, Beedeina y Putrella. En conjunto, las especies identificadas señalan que estas capas pertenecen al Myachkoviense inferior o medio. Sin embargo, parte de los taxones presentan algunos rasgos que podrían parecer discrepantes, como ocurre con numerosos especímenes de Pseudostaffella con un aspecto “primitivo” que los asemeja a las formas del Bashkiriense, y con una especie de Putrella cuya pared recuerda la de los schwagerinidos. Los datos recogidos ponen en cuestión la validez del género Quasistaffella Solovieva, 1986 y lo señalan como un probable sinónimo de Pseudostaffella Thompson, 1942.

**Palabras clave:** Fusulinas, Zona Cantábrica, Moscoviense superior, Pensilvánico.
1. INTRODUCTION

The Cantabrian Zone, part of the Variscan Orogen in the NW Iberian Peninsula (Lotze, 1945), exposes the thickest and most extensive Carboniferous deposits, mainly of marine and paralic nature, in Western Europe (Fig. 1 a-b). These deposits, ranging from the base of the Mississippian to the upper part of the Pennsylvanian (Gzhelian), were accumulated in a highly subsiding marine foreland basin (Julivert, 1978; Marcos & Pulgar, 1982; Águeda et al., 1991; Bahamonde et al., 2015; Merino-Tomé et al., 2019) developed during the assemblage of the Pangea supercontinent (Fig. 1a). From a biostratigraphic point of view, the Pennsylvanian successions of this Zone are of great interest since they consist of fossiliferous marine and terrestrial strata that provide clues for comparing and correlating the Western and Eastern Europe stratigraphic scales (van Ginkel 1965; Wagner & Higgins, 1979; Wagner & Winkler Prins, 1994; Wagner & Álvarez-Vázquez, 2010; Villa & Merino-Tomé, 2016, among many other publications). Moreover, their interest for global correlation has increased since the study of a series of ash-fall layers (Merino-Tomé et al. 2017) that yielded radiometric data that have permitted comparison with the absolute ages of the Carboniferous Time Scale (Davydov et al., 2012; Schmitz & Davydov, 2012; Ogg et al., 2016). Within the marine deposits, most attention has been focused on fusuline foraminifera, as these are the most commonly used fossils in the shallow water carbonates of the Urals and the Moscow Basin, the areas where the chronostratigraphic units subdividing the marine Pennsylvanian of Eastern Europe have been defined (Nikitin, 1890; Ivanov, 1926; Dan’shin, 1947; Teodorovich, 1949).

The present paper is devoted to the study of the fusulines collected from a single limestone bed outcropping near the village of Tanes (Fig. 1c), a locality situated in the western part of the Ponga Nappe, which is, in turn, part of the major Bodón-Ponga structural unit (Alonso et al., 2009). The Tanes strata belong to the upper part of the Fito Formation (Figs. 1c and 2), a thick upper Moscovian lithostratigraphic unit consisting of alternating carbonate and terrigenous strata that represents the top of the Carboniferous succession in this area.

2. THE PENNSYLVANIAN SUCCESSION OF THE CAMPO DE CASO SECTION

The stratigraphy of the Carboniferous successions of the Ponga Nappe area was described by Sjerp (1967), van Ginkel (1965), Bahamonde & Colmenero (1993), and is synthethised in Bahamonde et al. (2015, 2017). In the Campo de Caso thrust unit, three lithostratigraphic units are recognized in the ca. 3500 m-thick succession of Moscovian age that overlie the mostly Bashkirian Ricacabielo Formation (Sjerp, 1967) (Figs. 1c and 2):

a) The Beleño Formation (van Ginkel, 1965), a nearly 900 m thick terrigenous unit, is composed of sandstone strata near the base and poorly-bedded shales and siltstones in the upper part. The basal sandstone strata have been interpreted as basin floor turbidite lobes, and the upper strata have been interpreted to represent a thick prograding prodeltaic wedge overlain by distal shelf siliciclastics and minor deltaic deposits (Bahamonde & Colmenero, 1993).

b) The Escalada Formation (van Ginkel, 1965), a thick limestone unit of late Kashirian to Podolskian age, ca. 340 m thick, which was built on the previous deltaic and shelfal deposits of the Beleño Formation (Bahamonde et al., 2015, 2017).

c) The Fito Formation (van Ginkel, 1965), a paralic succession, more than 2200 m thick, consisting of terrigenous marine deposits (shales, siltstones, and sandstones containing some coal seams) with limestone intercalations. This last formation represents the proximal equivalent of a late Podolskian to Myachkovian carbonate platform developed in more distal sectors of the basin (Escalada II platform of Bahamonde et al., 2015, 2017).

An essentially complete succession of the Escalada and Fito formations can be seen in the Campo de Caso section, which outcrops along the AS-117 road between the villages of Tanes and Campo de Caso (Fig. 1c). This succession was first studied by Martín-Llaneza (1979) and some of his results were later published in Vera de la Puente et al. (1984). The stratigraphy and biostratigraphy of the Escalada and Fito formations along this section were also investigated by Leyva et al. (1983, 1985), who made a comprehensive collection of fossils, including 66 fusuline samples, as well as abundant conodont, brachiopod and megaflora materials. This sampling allowed them to provide a general description of the Kashirian, Podolskian and Myachkovian fusuline assemblages, and on the basis of these data, to establish the position of the Kashirian/Podolskian and Podolskian/Myachkovian boundaries in this section. Further research of the same section by Bahamonde et al. (2015, 2017), focused mainly on the stratigraphy and sedimentology of the Escalada and Fito (lower part) formations, provided a remarkable new intrabasinal correlation of the middle/upper Moscovian successions of the Ponga Nappe area.

3. FUSULINES FROM THE LOCALITY OF TANES AND DISCUSSION OF AGE

As mentioned above, the present paper analyzes a fusuline assemblage yielded by a single limestone bed (sample CTA-24; Fig. 2) from the upper part of the Fito Formation. Within
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Figure 1. a) Paleogeography of Pangea during Pennsylvanian time with the location of the Variscan orogen and the foreland basin of the CZ (Scotese, 2001, modified; Golonka, 2002). Paleobiogeographic domains after Lin et al. (1991).

b) Schematic geological map of the CZ showing the location of the studied section (modified from Villa et al., 2018).

c) Synthetic geological map of the Campo de Caso/Tanes area showing the location of the stratigraphic sections and samples referred to in the text (modified from Merino-Tomé et al., 2011).
The Podolskian/Myachkovian transition in this section has been estimated to lie at around CF-11 (Fig. 2), a sample collected by Bahamonde et al. (2015) that yielded Fusiella praelancetiformis and Fusiella typica extensa, which clearly key point to a Myachkovian age. Abundant fusuline materials were identified by Bahamonde et al. (2015) and used to date and correlate the sections studied. However, descriptions and illustrations of these microfaunas have not been published. CF-11 lies only slightly lower than bed C-40 (Fig. 2), collected by Leyva et al. (1985) in the same section and from which these authors recovered an unequivocal Myachkovian assemblage.

Although the peculiarities exhibited by the CTA-24 fusuline assemblage preclude a detailed species-by-species comparison with other coeval localities of the Cantabrian Zone, the inferred age of the bed strongly suggests an approximate correlation of this part of the Fito Formation with: a) the Entrerregueras stratal package in the upper part of the well-known classic Central Asturian Coalfield succession (Villa et al., 2018); b) an indeterminate level within the middle or upper part of the Fito Formation of the Ponga Nappe (Espinaredo thrust-unit of Bahamonde...
et al., 2015); and c) the Myachkovian part of the Picos de Europa Formation studied by Villa & van Ginkel (2000) in the Picos de Europa area.

4. SYSTEMATIC PALAEONTOLOGY

Descriptions of taxa provide measurements of the following parameters: L, length of the outer shell; D, diameter of the outer shell; L/D, length/diameter ratio; n, number of volutions; D_4, diameter of the fourth whorl; d, diameter of the proloculus; wth_p and wth_u, wall thickness referred to the penultimate and ultimate volutions, respectively. All measurements are given in mm, except for the diameter of the proloculus and wall thickness, which are in microns.

Family *Ozawainellidae* Thompson & Foster, 1937
Genus *Eostaffella* Rauzer-Chernousova, 1948
Type-species *Staffella (Eostaffella) parastruvei* Rauzer-Chernousova, 1948
Subgenus *Pseudoacutella* Vachard, Krainer & Lucas, 2013

**Note on Eostaffella (Pseudoacutella).** Forms having a mostly evolute shell and an acute median region in the...
last volution are here assigned to *Eostaffella* Rauzer-Chernousova, 1948, subgenus *Pseudoacutella* Vachard, Kainer, Krainer & Lucas, 2013 (*Pseudoacutella* replaced *Acutella* Orlova, 1996, a junior homonym of a brachiopod genus). According to van Ginkel (2010), the subgenus introduced by Orlova should be included in *Paramillerella* Thompson, 1951, rather than in *Eostaffella*. The basis for his assertion was that *Paramillerella*, a genus restricted to the Pennsylvanian, has better developed secondary deposits, forming true chomata in advanced species, and a more stable axis of coiling than *Eostaffella* (Mississippian-Pennsylvanian). As these characteristics are somewhat variable among the individuals described below, we adopt a conservative stance and tentatively assign them to *Eostaffella*, the genus to which most of the species resembling our material were originally assigned.

*Eostaffella* (*Pseudoacutella*) sp. A  
(Fig. 4a)

**Measurements.** $L = 0.20 \text{ mm}; D = 0.50 \text{ mm}; L/D = 0.40$; $n = 3.5$; $d = 50 \mu \text{m}; \text{wth}_{\text{ult}} = 20 \mu \text{m}.$

**Remarks.** Specimen showing a large size for the genus, asymmetric shape, somewhat variable position of the axis of coiling, acute last volution and undifferentiated wall. Secondary deposits appear as pseudochomata or as thickenings extending to the umbilical regions. Its size, shape of whorls, somewhat variable position of the axis of coiling, and slightly protruding umbilical regions, are reminiscent of *Eostaffella parastruevi miranda* described by Kireeva (1949) from the C$_2$ (F) Suite (Limestone F) of the Donets Basin (a stratigraphic level much older than the one studied here).

*Eostaffella* (*Pseudoacutella*) sp. B  
(Fig. 3b)

**Measurements.** $L = 0.19 \text{ mm}; D = 0.55 \text{ mm}; L/D = 0.35$; $n = 4$; $d = 45 \mu \text{m}; \text{wth}_{\text{ult}} = 10 \mu \text{m}.$

**Remarks.** This single specimen also exhibits a rather large size, somewhat asymmetric shape, acute last two volutions, and stretched keel in the last volution, sharing all these features with the above described sp. A. Therefore, it would be conceivable that both belonged to the same species, but, as its wall is thinner and the secondary deposits are weaker (appearing either in the form of pseudochomata or as weak thickenings extending to the umbilical regions), we tentatively consider it a separate taxon. The acute volutions and the presence of a stretched keel point to a possible affinity with *Ozawainella* species, but its size, very small for *Ozawainella*, irregular shape, and absence of typical chomata indicate a closer relationship with *Eostaffella*. Among the eostaffellid species showing a remarkable diameter elongation, stretched last volution and incipient keel, it somewhat recalls *Eostaffella rjasanensis* Rauzer-Chenousova, in Rauzer-Chernousova et al., 1951, and, to lesser degree, *Millerella japonica* Kanmera, 1952. However, this specimen differs clearly from both in exhibiting a fully involute coiling, and, in the case of the *M. japonica*, also in having a more acute periphery and a much smaller size.

*Eostaffella* (*Pseudoacutella*) sp. C  
(Figs. 3c-3d)

**Measurements.** $L = 0.10-0.11 \text{ mm}; D = 0.30-0.33 \text{ mm}; L/D = 0.30-0.33$; $n = 3.4-5.5$; $d = 5-10 \mu \text{m}; \text{wth}_{\text{ult}} = 5-8 \mu \text{m}.$

**Remarks.** These two specimens share several features (slight shifts of the axis of coiling in each volution, acute and stretched last two volutions, presence of a keel in the last one, secondary deposits developed as thickenings of the wall, absence of a clearly marked tunnel) with *E. (Pseudoacutella)* sp. B described above but differ in having a smaller size and an irregular spirotheca (crushed or natural?).

*Eostaffella* (*Pseudoacutella*) *grozilovae* Maslo & Vachard, 1997  
(Figs. 4e-4o)

**Measurements.** $L = 0.08 \text{ mm-0.27 mm}; D = 0.18-0.54 \text{ mm}; L/D = 0.26-0.50$; $n = 2.5-3.5$; $d = 30-50 \mu \text{m}; \text{wth}_{\text{ult}} = 10-15 \mu \text{m}.$

**Remarks.** As their most remarkable features, these *Pseudoacutella* specimens exhibit an involute coiling (except for a few specimens, as Fig. 4h, that show whorls that are barely in contact in the umbilical region), an acute median region of the last volution, large proloculus, poorly-differentiated wall (sometimes, a discontinuous tectum may be observed in places), and irregular supplementary deposits in the form of chomata, pseudochomata, or mere thickenings of the wall that do not form a tunnel. The polar ends vary from umbilicated (Fig. 4h) to convex (Fig. 4i). Differences in shape and supplementary deposits make one wonder if several species are represented in this collection. However, although this possibility cannot be completely ruled out, we consider the differences are more likely to be due to intraspecific variability. The specimens can be compared to forms included by Rauzer-Chernousova et al. (1951), in their composite species group *Eostaffella acuta* and *Eostaffella mutabilis*, especially *Eostaffella grozilovae* Maslo & Vachard 1997 (= *E. acuta* Grozilova & Lebedeva 1950, pre-occupied name). Other similar
forms are *Eostaffella mutabilis* Rauzer-Chernousova in Rauzer-Chenousova et al., 1951, *Eostaffella postera* Kireeva, 1949 (originally described as *E. mutabilis postera*), and *Eostaffella korobcheevi* Rauzer-Chernousova in Rauzer-Chenousova et al., 1951. It should be noted that most of these species have been reported from the upper Moscovian.

Genus *Pseudonovella* Kireeva, 1949
Type species *Pseudonovella irregularis* Kireeva, 1949

*Pseudonovella* cf. *P. irregularis* Kireeva, 1949
(Fig. 3p)

**Measurements.** *L* = 0.10 mm; *D* = 0.31 mm; *L/D* = 0.32; *n* = 3; *d* = 50 µm; *wth*₂ₚ = 10 µm.

**Remarks.** Test asymmetric, showing evolute coiling in the last one and a half volutions, the last whorl growing abruptly in the median region. Supplementary deposits appear as wall thickenings in the inner volutions and as pseudochomata in the penultimate volution, where a tunnel is formed. The proloculus is remarkably large for the size of the shell. All these characteristics are similar to those of *Pseudonovella irregularis*, described by Kireeva (1949) from the Moscovian (C₂₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋…..
Pogrebnyak, flattened umbilici. Our specimens seem to be closest to *O. magna*, described from the Moscovian of the lowermost Penchi series of China, in having shallow umbilici, and similar lateral compression, test size and number of whorls.

*Ozawainella* sp. A  
(Figs. 4e-4g, 4n-4o)

**Measurements.** $L = 0.40-0.56$ mm; $D = 0.88-1.30$ mm; $L/D = 0.34-0.48$; $n = 4.5-6.5$; $d = 30-50$ µm; $D_{iv} = 0.40-0.61$; $wth_{ult} = 12-18$ µm.

**Remarks.** Test moderate to large in size, exhibiting a somewhat irregular shape that tends to give a subrhomboidal profile in section. Umbilical depressions absent. Lateral sides irregular, somewhat undulating. Chomata ribbon-like, typical for the genus. The species somewhat resembles *Ozawainella kumpani* as well as some specimens of *Ozawainella mosquensis* (the less umbilicated ones), both species described by Rauzer Chernousova in Rauzer Chernousova et al. (1951). However, *Ozawainella* sp. 1 from the Tanes section clearly differs from them in its more irregular shape, and possibly a more stretched keel. The specimen illustrated in Fig. 4g is tentatively included in *Ozawainella* sp. A; it could have undergone shell compression, which hampers comparison with the rest of the specimens.

*Ozawainella* aff. *O. aurora* Grozdilova & Lebedeva, 1954  
(Figs. 4h-4l)

**Figure 4.** a-d) *Ozawainella* cf. *O. magna* Sheng, 1958. (a) CT24/15b; (b) CT24/51; (c) CT24/107d; (d) CT24/109b. e-g, n-o) *Ozawainella* sp. A. (e) CT24/71a; (f) CT24/34a; (g) CT24/93h; (n) CT24/48c; (o) CT24/75. h-l) *Ozawainella* aff. *O. aurora* Grozdilova & Lebedeva, 1954. (h) CT24/33a; (i) CT24/34b; (j) CT24/12a; (k) CT24/27a; (l) CT24/26c. m) *Ozawainella* aff. *O. turgida* Sheng, 1958, CT24/12b.
Measurements. \( L = 0.15-0.27 \text{ mm}; D = 0.41-0.58 \text{ mm}; \\
L/D = 0.31-0.46; n = 3-4; d = 30-50 \mu\text{m}; \text{ wth}_{\text{ult}} = 10-15 \mu\text{m}. \\

Remarks. Characteristics of this species correspond closely to \textit{Ozawainella aurora} described by Grozdilova & Lebedeva (1954) from the lower Bashkirian of the Kolvo-Visher region. The reason we do not fully identify our species with \textit{O. aurora} is the much younger stratigraphic position of the Tanes specimens (upper Moscovian).

\textit{Ozawainella} aff. \textit{O. turgida} Sheng, 1958 \\
(Fig. 4m)

Measurements. \( L = 0.45 \text{ mm}; D = 0.68 \text{ mm}; L/D = 0.66; n = 4.75; d = 40 \mu\text{m}; \text{ wth}_{\text{ult}} = 12 \mu\text{m}. \\

Remarks. This single specimen resembles \textit{O. turgida} Sheng, originally described from the upper Moscovian strata of the Taitzeho valley (Lianoning, China), in its small size and prominent umbilical regions, as well as in the type of chomata and number of whorls. Our form particularly resembles Sheng’s specimen 26, and also specimens 25 and 27, from which it differs slightly in having a less acute keel.

Genus \textit{Pseudostaffella} Thompson, 1942 \\
Type species \textit{Pseudostaffella needhami} Thompson, 1942 \\
1942 \textit{Pseudostaffella}; Thompson, p. 407-411 \\
1986 \textit{Quasistaffella} Solovieva; p. 21 (type species \textit{Quasistaffella postparadoxa} Solovieva, 1986)

Note on the range of \textit{Pseudostaffella}. Solovieva (1986) introduced the new genus and species \textit{Quasistaffella postparadoxa} for a small form that was collected from the lowermost Kasimovian strata (Krevyakinian) of the Yugorsky Peninsula, Arctic Urals. However, \textit{Q. postparadoxa} only differs from many Bashkirian \textit{Pseudostaffella} in characteristics of species level. Therefore, if age were not taken into account, there would be no basis for establishing a new genus. This fact, the much younger age of \textit{Quasistaffella} compared with species of Bashkirian age, was probably the main reason for Solovieva to introduce her new genus. In this sense, it is relevant to note that \textit{Pseudostaffella} species exhibiting a “primitive” appearance have been abundantly reported in the Moscovian up to the Podolskian (Rauzer-Chernousova \textit{et al.}, 1951; Grozdilova & Lebedeva, 1960; Pogrebnyak, 1975; Ueno in Fohrer \textit{et al.}, 2007, among others). Nevertheless, even considering these occurrences, a gap could exist between the Podolskian and the Krevyakinian forms, which would still justify the creation of a new genus for the Krevyakinian species. The present paper, however, illustrates “primitive-looking” \textit{Pseudostaffella} from Myachkovian strata, thus evidencing that these type of forms can be recorded throughout the Moscovian up to the Krevyakinian. Therefore, we think that, instead of a broad stratigraphic separation existing between \textit{Pseudostaffella} and \textit{Quasistaffella}, species of both taxa form part of the same lineage and belong to the same genus.

\textit{Pseudostaffella} aff. \textit{P. varsanofievae} Rauzer-Chernousova \\
\textit{in} Rauzer-Chernousova \textit{et al.}, 1951 \\
(Fig. 5a)

Measurements. \( L = 0.16 \text{ mm}; D = 0.21 \text{ mm}; L/D = 0.76; n = 3; d = 40 \mu\text{m}; \text{ wth}_{\text{ult}} = 10 \mu\text{m}. \\

Remarks. Very small test, coiled tightly and with the axis of coiling of the two inner whorls inclined at an angle with respect to the last one. The shape of this last volition is nautiloid, laterally compressed, and shows flat umbilicy. Tiny thickenings of the wall in the penultimate volition grow on the median region and form elevations reminiscent of chomata. This specimen recalls \textit{Pseudostaffella varsanofievae} Rauzer-Chernousova in its small size, tight coiling, lateral compression, and nautiloid outer shape, but it differs in having fewer volutions and, especially, a smaller number of endothyroid volutions. The Rauzer-Chernousova species was assigned by Kulagina & Sinitsyna (2003), to their new genus \textit{Varistaffella}.

\textit{Pseudostaffella} sp. A \\
(Figs. 5b-5t)

Measurements. \( L = 0.32-0.51 \text{ mm}; D = 0.33-0.57 \text{ mm}; L/D = 0.80-1.00; n = 3.5-4.5; d = 35-70 \mu\text{m}; \text{ wth}_{\text{ult}} = 10-15 \mu\text{m}. \\

Remarks. Test usually small, except for a few specimens exhibiting a somewhat larger shell (cf. Figs. 5i and 5r) that are anyway tentatively included in a single species since individuals showing intermediate sizes seem to be present. Shape of test from spherical to laterally compressed, the latter frequently exhibiting subquadrangular outline in the last one or two volutions. In the innermost volutions, axis of coiling is usually arranged at an angle with respect to the subsequent whorls. In comparison with other \textit{Pseudostaffella} specimens, a relatively large proloculus is observed with respect to text size. Chomata wide, irregular, present up to and including the penultimate volution and often extending to the poles. They vary in height from moderate to high; when chomata are lower, a distinct increase in height occurs near the tunnel. Wall poorly differentiated.

The stratigraphic location and accompanying fauna evidence that present specimens are late Moscovian...
introduced by Pogrebnyak, (1975) from the O 1 limestone specimens also resemble Pseudostaffella distorta; comparisons to be made. Although to a lesser degree, some but the latter are too few in number (3) to allow proper variability than the individuals illustrated by Pogrebnyak, in the event they comprise a single species, show larger correlate with the lower Moscovian). Our specimens, older strata (K 6 and K 8 limestones of the Donets Basin, (Roth & Skinner, 1930), which were collected from assigned by Pogrebnyak (1975) to of the shell, coiling, and type of chomata to the specimens described from the more recent fusulinid literature, we recognize Neostaffella Miklukho-Maklay (1959) as a genus. Neostaffella cf. N. rostovzevi (Rauzer-Chernousova, in Rauzer-Chernousova et al., 1951) (Figs. 5u, 5v-5x) Measurements. L = 1.08 mm; D = 0.99 mm; L/D = 1.09; n = 6.5; d = 70 μm; D _iv_ = 0.36; wth _pen_ = 20 μm; wth _ult_ = 25 μm. [Data corresponding to the specimen illustrated in Fig. 6u].

Remarks. This sample contains several Neostaffella specimens showing large sphaeroidal test and massive chomata extending to the poles and reaching half to two-thirds the chamber height, characteristics that point to a close affinity with forms belonging to the N. sphaeroidea species group. Comparing the two better orientated specimens (Figs. 6u and 6w) with the species of that group, the similarity with Neostaffella rostovzevi (Rauzer-Chernousova in Rauzer-Chernousova et al., 1951) is noteworthy. Besides the large test and massive chomata, our species also share with N. rostovzevi an entirely globose shell [lacking the flattening in the median and umbilical regions that is typical in Neostaffella sphaeroidea (Ehrenberg, 1842)], as well as a narrow tunnel.

Genus Schubertella von Staff & Wedekind, 1910
Type species Schubertella transitoria von Staff &Wedekind, 1910

Note on Schubertella. The specimens described below as Schubertella von Staff & Wedekind, 1910, would be assigned to Eoschubertella Thompson, 1937, by most of the American and Japanese authors. However, as the separation of the two genera has long been a matter of discussion that still remains unresolved (see Groves, 1991; Ueno in Fohrer et al., 2007; Davydov, 2011; Villa & Merino-Tomé, 2016), we prefer to maintain the whole plexus within a single genus and, in this respect, Schubertella has nomenclatural priority.

Schubertella ex gr. S. obscura Lee & Chen in Lee et al., 1930 (spp.?)
(Figs. 6a-6k)
**Remarks.** A number of species closely related to *Schubertella obscura* Lee & Chen in Lee et al., 1930 have been introduced in the fusuline literature, most of them bearing such notable resemblance to each other that one may wonder if they are different species. In other cases, when several specimens of a particular taxon are illustrated, a remarkable intraspecific variability in shape and development of secondary deposits appears.

Present specimens from the Tanes section share the features typical of the *Schubertella obscura* species group, such as a small and frequently globose test, first one to two whorls usually coiled at an angle with respect to the mature whorls, undifferentiated wall, and irregular chomata. We tentatively group them into two sets of morphotypes:

**Morphotype A** (Specimens illustrated in Figs 6a, 6d, 6g). $L = 0.20-0.26$ mm; $D = 0.20-0.24$ mm; $L/D = 0.87-1.08$; $n = 2$; $d = 65-80$ µm; $wth_{\text{ult}} = 10$ µm]. Characterized by having fewer whorls, larger proloculus, looser coiling, and fewer or no changes in the position of the axis of coiling. Somewhat similar species are *Schubertella toriyamai* Ishii, 1962, *S. australis* Thompson & Miller, 1949, and *S. sphaerica* Sulemainov, 1949.

**Morphotype B** (Specimens illustrated in Figs. 6c, 6e, 6f, 6i, 6j, 6k). $L = 0.13-0.21$ mm; $D = 0.17-0.20$ mm; $L/D = 0.76-0.95$; $n = 2.5-3$; $d = 25-40$ µm; $wth_{\text{ult}} = 8-12$ µm; the possibly immature specimen in Fig. 6k is not included). This group is characterized by having more volutions (usually 3), distinct entoendothyroid juvenarium,
tighter coiling, and smaller proloculus, which result in a comparatively smaller test. They bear a resemblance to *Schubertella obscura mosquensis* Rauzer-Chernousova *in Rauzer-Chernousova et al., 1951.*

**Others.** Specimens illustrated in Fig. 6b (L = 0.31 mm; D = 0.20 mm; L/D = 1.55; n = 2.5; wth = 15 µm) and Fig. 6h (L = 0.20 mm; D = 0.16 mm; L/D = 1.25; n = 3; d = 40 µm; wth = 10 µm) do not show clear enough affinities to the morphotypes described above. Fig. 6b somewhat recalls *S. quasiobscura* Sheng, 1958.

Genus *Fusiella* Lee & Chen *in Lee et al., 1930*

Type species *Fusiella typica* Lee & Chen *in Lee et al., 1930*

*Fusiella* cf. *F. pulchella* Safonova *in Rauzer-Chernousova et al., 1951* 
(Figs. 6l-6m)

**Measurements.** L = 0.55 mm; D = 0.26 mm; L/D = 2.16; n = 4; d = 35 µm; wth = 10 µm.

**Remarks.** This form resembles taxa included in the *Fusiella typica* group by Rauzer-Chernousova *et al.* (1951). Most similar are *F. pulchella* Safonova and *F. typica ventricosa* Rauzer-Chernousova (both *in Rauzer-Chernousova et al., 1951*). The Tanes form is closer to *F. pulchella* in shape, size of the outer shell and extent of the endothyroid stage, which is a bit longer than in the other species.

Genus *Taitzeoella* Sheng, 1951

Type species *Taitzeoella taitzeoensis* Sheng, 1951

*Taitzeoella* cf. *T. pseudolibrovitchi* (Safonova *in Rauzer-Chernousova, 1951*) 
(Figs. 6n-6o)

**Measurements.** L = 1.47 mm; D = 0.59 mm; L/D = 2.49; n = 5.5?; wth = 15 µm.

**Remarks.** Sample studied provided a dozen badly-orientated specimens that, although not allowing us to make precise comparisons, show characteristics that point to either *T. pseudolibrovitchi* (Safonova *in Rauzer-Chernousova, 1951*) or *T. librovitchi* (Dutkevich 1934). Their size, number of whorls, and type of chomata, which are distinct but not as high as in *T. pseudolibrovitchi*, indicate their close affinity to *Taitzeoella pseudobilorvitchi*.

---

**Figure 6.** a-k) *Schubertella* ex gr. *S. obscura* Lee & Chen 1930 (spp.?). (a) CT24/109a; (b) CT24/57; (c) CT24/48g; (d) CT24/48i; (e) CT24/33b; (f) CT24/20c; (g) CT24/112b; (h) CT24/109d; (i) CT24/48h; (j) CT24/125d; (k) CT24/60c. l-m) *Fusiella* cf. *F. pulchella* Safonova, 1951. (l) CT24/82; (m) CT24/116b. n-o) *Taitzeoella* cf. *T. pseudobilorvitchi* (Safonova, 1951). (n) CT24/32a; (o) CT24/119a. Scale bars: a-k = 0.25 mm; l-m = 0.5 mm; n-o = 1 mm.
Genus *Fusulinella* von Möller, 1877

Type species *Fusulinella bocki* von Möller, 1878

*Fusulinella aff. F. bockiformis* Bogush, 1963

(Figs. 7l-7s)

**Measurements.** \(L = 2.08-4.00 \text{ mm}; \ D = 1.05-2.00 \text{ mm}; \ L/D = 1.41-2.00; \ n = 4.5-6; \ d = 50-70 \mu \text{ mm}; \ D_{IV} = 0.36-1.10 \text{ mm}; \ wth_{pen} = 30-70 \mu \text{ mm}; \ wth_{ult} = 20-40 \mu \text{ mm}.\)

**Remarks.** Two morphotypes can be observed within this *Fusulinella* population: most of the specimens exhibit a rapid expansion of the spire in the last one or two volutions (particularly, Fig. 7r) and have a short and almost globular test, whereas a couple of individuals (Figs. 7l and 7n) have a more gradual spiral growth, as well as more elongated outer volutions that result in a fusiform shape. However, both morphotypes show similar tightly coiled and globular inner whorls, similar proloculus size, similar massive chomata (extending to the poles in the

---

**Figure 7.** a-k) *Beedeina* cf. *B. samarica* (Rauzer-Chernousova & Belyaev, 1940). (a) CT24/64a; (b) CT24/56; (c) CT24/127; (d) CT24/72; (e) CT24/61a, microspheric specimen; (f) CT24/76; (g) CT24/11b; (h) CT24/106; (i) CT24/99b; (j) CT24/97; (k) CT24/95. l-s) *Fusulinella aff. F. bockiformis* Bogush, 1963. (l) CT24/15a; (m) CT24/107b; (n) CT24/62; (o) CT24/109b; (p) CT24/23a; (q) CT24/120a; (r) CT24/12c; (s) CT24/102.
first volutions and becoming narrower and higher in the subsequent ones), similar septal folding, and an identical four-layered wall with relatively thick tectoria.

The massive supplementary deposits and the globular or inflated test of the Cantabrian species suggest a relationship between this form and the \textit{Fusulinella bocki} species group. Most similar is \textit{Fusulinella bockiformis} Bogush, 1963, described from the lower Moscovian of the Alay Mountains (Central Asia), which has a globular shape and also shows a loose spiral growth. However, the Cantabrian species, which is late Moscovian in age, differs in having more massive chomata and more pronounced, abrupt spiral growth in the final stage. Other forms exhibiting a certain, albeit lesser resemblance with our specimens are \textit{Fusulinella bocki timanica} and \textit{Fusulinella bocki pauciseptata} (both described from the Myachkovian of the Russian Platform by Rauzer-Chernousova, \textit{in} Rauzer-Chernousova, 1951). These observations lead us to consider \textit{Fusulinella aff. F. bockiformis} as probably being a new species related, as other many Cantabrian species of Moscovian to Gzhelian age (Villa \textit{et al.}, 2002, 2015, among others), to Central Asian forms. However, given the fact that the number of specimens is so small, we feel we cannot confidently describe this population formally as a new species.

Genus \textit{Beedeina} Galloway, 1933

Type species \textit{Fusulina girtyi} Dunbar & Condra, 1928

\textit{Beedeina} cf. \textit{B. samarica} (Rauzer-Chernousova & Belyaev, \textit{in} Rauzer-Chernousova \textit{et al.}, 1940) (Figs. 7a-7k)

**Measurements.** \(L = 2.50-4.25 \text{ mm}; D = 1.48-2.85 \text{ mm}; L/D = 1.46-1.92; n = 6-9; d = 70-240 \mu \text{ m}; D_{nv} = 0.65-1.10 \text{ mm}; \text{ wth}_{pen} = 30-50 \mu \text{ m}; \text{ wth}_{ult} = 25-50 \mu \text{ m}. \) Microspheric specimen: \(L = 4.25; D = 2.32; L/D = 1.83; n = 10; d = 20 \mu \text{ m}; D_{nv} = 0.65-1.10 \mu \text{ m}; \text{ wth}_{pen} = 40 \mu \text{ m}; \text{ wth}_{ult} = 45 \mu \text{ m}. \)

**Remarks.** Shell inflated rhomboideal, with straight or, more rarely, slightly concave lateral sides, and blunt polar ends. Coiling expanding gradually and very slowly (diameter of the fourth volution between 0.65 and 1.10 mm). Chomata massive, extending to the poles in the first one or two volutions, and narrower (frequently becoming subquadric in section) in the subsequent ones; apparently, the chomata tend to pseudochomata in the penultimate one or two whorls. Septa intensively folded throughout the shell, their height usually reaching two thirds of the chamber height.

These features closely match those of \textit{Beedeina samarica} described by Rauzer-Chernousova & Belyaev (\textit{in} Rauzer-Chernousova \textit{et al.}, 1940) from the Samara Bend of the Volga river, except in that our material presents a wider variability in size, number of volutions (up to 9 whorls, 10 in a microspheric specimen), and exhibits a shorter shell on average (L/D ratio 1.46-1.92, versus 1.80-2.00 in \textit{B. samarica}). \textit{Beedeina paradistenta} (Safonova \textit{in} Rauzer-Chernousova \textit{et al.}, 1951), described from South Pre-Timan, is also very similar to \textit{Beedeina cf. B. samarica}, but our form might be distinguished from the Russian species in lacking axial fillings and having, on average, a more elongated shell.

A striking fact in this \textit{Beedeina} collection is that the specimens described coexist in the same sample with others that seem to belong to the same species but apparently exhibit a strong compressive deformation. Unfortunately, we cannot provide a hypothesis explaining why one part of the population was affected by deformation and the other was not. A relevant point is that none of the specimens, whether ‘normal’ or deformed, show evidence of reworking.

Genus \textit{Putrella} Rauzer-Chernousova, \textit{in} Rauzer-Chernousova \textit{et al.}, 1951

Type species \textit{Pseudotriticiticites brazhnikovae} Putrja, 1948

**Putrella** sp. A (Figs. 8a-8i)

**Measurements.** \(L = 3.60-6.50 \text{ mm}; D = 1.30-2.10 \text{ mm}; L/D = 2.19-3.08; n = 4-5.5; d = 50-90 \mu \text{ m}; D_{nv} = 0.71-1.37 \text{ mm}; \text{ wth}_{pen} = 40-50 \mu \text{ m}; \text{ wth}_{ult} = 50-80 \mu \text{ m}. \)

**Remarks.** Large sized \textit{Putrella} specimens, whose most peculiar feature is a thick spirotheca consisting of tectum and a thick primatheca pierced by distinct pores. At first glance, this spirotheca could recall a keriothecal wall, an impression that in this species is stronger than in other \textit{Putrella} forms. However, more careful observations show that the pores piercing the wall do not branch, as often they do in a keriotheca, but are simple. It is pertinent to remember here that the apparent resemblance of the \textit{Putrella} wall to a schwagerinid wall (\textit{Triticites}) was already noted by Elias, 1959.

The thickness of the wall and the large size of the shell of some specimens distinguish \textit{Putrella} sp. A from previously described \textit{Putrella} morphotypes. Compared with the holotype of genotype \textit{Putrella brazhnikovae} (Putrja, 1948), \textit{Putrella} sp. A also has tighter coiling, a larger number of whorls, more pointed polar ends, chomata developed sometimes up to the second volution, and higher septal folding. \textit{Putrella korobcheevi} Rauzer-Chernousova, a Myachkovian form described initially as a variety of \textit{P. brazhnikovae} by Rauzer-Chernousova \textit{et al.} (1951), resembles \textit{Putrella} sp. A in that some specimens also exhibit a considerable shell elongation (cf. Rauzer-Chernousova specimen in fig. 7, pl. LVII with our
specimen in Fig. 8e), but the Russian form differs in having less intense septal folding and less prominent chomata. Other *Putrella* species have been described or recorded by different authors [e.g., Petjja (1956); Dalmatskaya (1961); Ivanova (2008); Leven & Gorjig (2008); Villa et al. (2018), among many others], all differing from *Putrella* sp. A in size and spirotheca thickness, besides other aspects.

The large size and the thick spirotheca suggest that *Putrella* sp. A represents an advanced evolutionary stage of the genus, and is probably a dead end phylogenetic branch. The size of the proloculus, smaller in relation to shell size when compared with the rest of the *Putrella* species, could also be typical of *Putrella* sp. A. Other features, such as the gradually expansion of the coil, chomata developed on the proloculus and one or two innermost whorls, and high and irregular septal folding, are common in all *Putrella* species.

This morphotype could represent a new species, but we refrain from creating a new taxon because the poor preservation of the available specimens (some of them are crushed and others are eroded) prevent us from proposing a suitable holotype.

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The CTA-24 sample recovered from the Fito Formation contains species belonging to genera that usually make up the Moscovian assemblages. Nevertheless, they exhibit some uncommon features. Most striking is a large *Putrella* species whose wall microstructure, pierced by thick pores, might at first glance appear to be reminiscent of the keriothecal wall of late Kasimovian or Gzhelian schwagerinids. However, a more careful observation of its microstructure indicates that the pores, although thicker than in other contemporaneous species, are simple and

![Figure 8. a-f) *Putrella* sp. A (a) CT24/55; (b) CT24/93g; (c) CT24/60a; (d) CT24/123; (e) CT24/122a; (f) CT24/96c. g-i) Enlargement of three specimens of *Putrella* sp. A to observe their wall microstructure. (g) CT24/2e; (h) CT24/113a; (i) CT24/96a. Scale bars: a-f = 1 mm; g-i = 0.5 mm.](image-url)
straight, and are not as complicated in basic structure as the schwagerinid keriotheca.

Another notable occurrence in the assemblage is abundant specimens of *Pseudostaffella* sp. A, whose small test size and somewhat irregular position of the axis of coiling do not differ much from those of the typical Bashkirian *Pseudostaffella*. Moreover, this finding, along with other *Pseudostaffella* occurrences scattered throughout the fusuline literarure, evidences a continuous record of *Pseudostaffella* species from the Bashkirian to the Krevyakinian, which probably invalidates the status of *Quasistaffella* Solovieva as a separate genus.

Among the taxa recovered from CTA-24, *Beedeina cf. B. samarica* has yielded the largest collection of specimens, a fact that has allowed us to observe the intraspecific variability existing within this Cantabrian population. As often occurs when a large collection is available, the variability is larger than in any related species, including specimens that exceed the known morphological range of the nominal species.

The characteristics of *Beedeina cf. B. samarica*, and *Fusulinella aff. F. bockiformis*, and their resemblance to species originally described from the upper Moscovian of the Russian Platform and Central Asia (Alay Mountains), respectively, point to a probable correlation of the CTA-24 bed with the lower part of the uppermost Moscovian Myachkovian horizon (or substage) of Russia. This assumption is reinforced by the occurrence of species of *Fusielia*, *Taitzehoella* and *Neostaffella* that are of unequivocal Myachkovian age in beds above and below the CTA-24 bed in the same section.

Within the Cantabrian Zone, this bed is correlatable with the Entrerregueras Formation of the Central Asturian Coalfield, with an undeterminate level within the middle or the upper part of the Fito Formation in the eastern part of the Ponga Nappe (Espinarredo thrust-unit), and with the Myachkovian strata of the upper member of the Picos de Europa Formation in the Picos de Europa Unit.
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