Genome-scale case-control analysis of CD4+ T-cell DNA methylation in juvenile idiopathic arthritis reveals potential targets involved in disease

Justine A Ellis1,8*, Jane E Munro2,3, Raul A Chavez1, Lavinia Gordon4, Jihoon E Joo5,6, Jonathan D Akikusa2,3, Roger C Allen2,3, Anne-Louise Ponsonby7, Jeffrey M Craig5 and Richard Saffery6

Abstract

Background: Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis (JIA) is a complex autoimmune rheumatic disease of largely unknown cause. Evidence is growing that epigenetic variation, particularly DNA methylation, is associated with autoimmune disease. However, nothing is currently known about the potential role of aberrant DNA methylation in JIA. As a first step to addressing this knowledge gap, we have profiled DNA methylation in purified CD4+ T cells from JIA subjects and controls. Genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral blood CD4+ T cells from 14 oligoarticular and polyarticular JIA cases with active disease, and healthy age- and sex-matched controls. Genome-scale methylation analysis was carried out using the Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation27 BeadChip. Methylation data at >25,000 CpGs was compared in a case-control study design.

Results: Methylation levels were significantly different (FDR adjusted p<0.1) at 145 loci. Removal of four samples exposed to methotrexate had a striking impact on the outcome of the analysis, reducing the number of differentially methylated loci to 11. The methotrexate-naive analysis identified reduced methylation at the gene encoding the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL32, which was subsequently replicated using a second analysis platform and a second set of case-control pairs.

Conclusions: Our data suggests that differential T cell DNA methylation may be a feature of JIA, and that reduced methylation at IL32 is associated with this disease. Further work in larger prospective and longitudinal sample collections is required to confirm these findings, assess whether the identified differences are causal or consequential of disease, and further investigate the epigenetic modifying properties of therapeutic regimens.
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evidence links epigenetics to regulation of the immune response. For example the expression of cytokines such as interleukin 4 (IL4) and interferon gamma (IFNg) that drive naive T cells to differentiate into T helper 1 (Th1) or Th2 lineages is epigenetically controlled [6,7]. Immune tolerance is also associated with epigenetic changes, for example increased DNA methylation of the gene encoding IL2, an important T cell growth factor, leads to reduced IL2 expression and decreased T cell proliferation [8]. Epigenetic involvement in a number of autoimmune diseases has been considered; one of the most well studied is systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), a disease associated with global T cell and B cell hypomethylation [9] and changes to the methylation state of specific gene loci [10]. Aberrant DNA methylation has also been implicated in rheumatoid arthritis (RA), with reduced DNA methylation reported in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) [11], T cells [12] and synovial cells [13], including at a specific CpG site at the gene encoding the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL6 [14]. Hypermethylation of the promoter region of the gene encoding death receptor 3 (DR3), a member of the apoptosis-inducing tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor superfamily, has also been reported in RA synovial cells [15].

Despite the mounting evidence for epigenetic disruption in autoimmune rheumatic diseases, no studies to

| Gene   | p value  | Adjusted p value* | B Case relative to control | Median controls | Median cases | Difference |
|--------|---------|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|
| ALDOB  | 6.64E-05| 0.069            | 1.727 Higher              | 0.715           | 0.791       | 0.075      |
| C8orf32| 4.09E-05| 0.067            | 2.146 Higher              | 0.754           | 0.794       | 0.040      |
| DGKH   | 6.38E-05| 0.069            | 1.762 Lower               | 0.114           | 0.089       | 0.025      |
| FLJ0581| 2.65E-05| 0.067            | 2.519 Higher              | 0.877           | 0.924       | 0.047      |
| FLJ6004| 4.65E-05| 0.067            | 2.036 Higher              | 0.738           | 0.823       | 0.085      |
| GCT2   | 5.88E-06| 0.067            | 3.803 Higher              | 0.152           | 0.107       | 0.044      |
| GRM5   | 3.36E-05| 0.067            | 2.317 Higher              | 0.78            | 0.846       | 0.066      |
| GYPE   | 1.72E-05| 0.067            | 2.893 Higher              | 0.688           | 0.781       | 0.093      |
| LILRB4 | 3.39E-05| 0.067            | 2.309 Higher              | 0.823           | 0.866       | 0.043      |
| LOC90826| 1.22E-05| 0.067           | 3.185 Lower               | 0.142           | 0.091       | 0.051      |
| MEF2C  | 3.57E-05| 0.067            | 2.263 Lower               | 0.075           | 0.056       | 0.019      |
| MRPL28 | 4.03E-05| 0.067            | 2.159 Lower               | 0.875           | 0.685       | 0.190      |
| NR1I2  | 3.38E-05| 0.067            | 2.311 Higher              | 0.842           | 0.89        | 0.047      |
| PRH1   | 2.84E-05| 0.067            | 2.461 Higher              | 0.813           | 0.875       | 0.062      |
| RAD51L3| 4.58E-05| 0.067            | 2.049 Lower               | 0.277           | 0.202       | 0.075      |
| RGR    | 4.12E-06| 0.067            | 4.102 Higher              | 0.894           | 0.931       | 0.037      |
| SCOC   | 4.74E-05| 0.067            | 2.019 Higher              | 0.765           | 0.825       | 0.060      |
| SLC13A3| 2.35E-05| 0.067            | 2.624 Higher              | 0.712           | 0.784       | 0.073      |
| TIMM88 | 1.56E-05| 0.067            | 2.972 Lower               | 0.124           | 0.079       | 0.045      |
| TRAM1L1| 4.51E-05| 0.067            | 2.063 Lower               | 0.224           | 0.142       | 0.083      |
| PRKRA  | 7.51E-07| 0.019            | 4.225 Higher              | 0.061           | 0.063       | 0.002      |
| HOX8A  | 3.46E-06| 0.044            | 3.177 Lower               | 0.073           | 0.064       | 0.009      |
| C6orf203| 1.02E-05| 0.049            | 2.415 Lower               | 0.095           | 0.084       | 0.011      |
| HCRTR1 | 1.20E-05| 0.049            | 2.300 Higher              | 0.659           | 0.716       | 0.057      |
| C6orf43| 1.23E-05| 0.049            | 2.281 Higher              | 0.043           | 0.046       | 0.003      |
| L1437  | 1.31E-05| 0.049            | 2.239 Higher              | 0.031           | 0.032       | 0.001      |
| MEP1A  | 1.63E-05| 0.049            | 2.084 Higher              | 0.882           | 0.927       | 0.045      |
| NDUFB10| 1.79E-05| 0.049            | 2.018 Higher              | 0.026           | 0.027       | 0.001      |
| RACN2  | 1.82E-05| 0.049            | 2.003 Higher              | 0.879           | 0.906       | 0.027      |
| IL22   | 1.91E-05| 0.049            | 1.969 Lower               | 0.335           | 0.298       | 0.037      |
| SOC52  | 2.35E-05| 0.054            | 1.821 Lower               | 0.098           | 0.076       | 0.022      |

* P value following adjustment for false discovery rate (FDR).
B = log-odds that the probe is differentially methylated.
date have considered its role in JIA. This may be important not only for understanding aetiology, but also because the modifiable nature of epigenetic marks makes them ideal therapeutic targets [16]. As a first attempt to address this knowledge gap, we have performed a genome-scale comparison of CD4+ T cell DNA methylation at over 27,000 CpG sites associated with over 14,000 genes in 14 children with active JIA (10 oligoarticular and 4 polyarticular) and 14 age- and sex-matched healthy control children.

**Results**

**Differential methylation between cases and controls**

Greater than 97% of HM27 probes passed QC measures with technical replicates demonstrating a high degree of reproducibility (Additional file 1: Figure S1). Following adjustment of p values for false discovery rate (FDR), probes associated with 145 genes were significantly different (adjusted p value < 0.1) between cases and controls (Table 1a, Additional file 1: Table S1). A total of 91 genes were more highly methylated in cases, whilst 54 were
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**Figure 1** Heatmap of significantly differentially methylated loci between JIA cases and controls. Black = case, Grey = control. 'Value' = percent methylation.
more highly methylated in controls. Hierarchical clustering demonstrated that cases and controls did not cluster by phenotype (Figure 1), which might be expected for a complex disease such as JIA [1].

Gene ontology and pathway analyses
Gene ontology analyses of gene-associated differentially methylated probes in cases versus controls identified dicarboxylic acid transport as a significantly perturbed biological process (p = 0.0004), the mitochondria as a significant cellular component (p = 0.0006), and the L-aspartate transmembrane transporter activity as a significantly perturbed molecular function (p = 0.0006).

IPA software was used to identify networks amongst the differentially methylated loci. Twenty one genes fell into a network for which the top function was 'immunological disease', 16 genes fell into a network for which the top function was identified as 'cellular growth and proliferation', and 15 genes fell into a network in which the top functions included 'antigen presentation' and 'cell-to-cell signalling and interaction'. Genes falling into these classifications are shown in Table 2.

Consideration of JIA subtypes
In recognition of the potential genetic heterogeneity between the oligoarticular and polyarticular JIA subtypes [17], differential methylation between cases and controls was considered within the subtype groups. Only one locus, SPATA16 (spermatogenesis associated 16), remained significant (P_{adj} = 0.056) when the 10 oligoarticular JIA cases (mean $\beta$ = 0.84) were compared to their matched controls (mean $\beta$ = 0.87). SPATA16 has been associated with male infertility [18], but has no known relationship to arthritis or autoimmune disease.

Not surprisingly, given the limited sample size, no loci were significantly differentially methylated when the four polyarticular cases were compared to matched controls.

Table 2 Differentially methylated (DM) genes identified as falling within the top networks using IPA pathway analysis (a) between all cases and controls, and (b) between MTX-naïve cases and controls

| Top network functions | # DM genes | Genes |
|-----------------------|------------|-------|
| (a) Immunological disease, cardiac hypertrophy, cardiovascular disease | 21 | APOE, ATP2B4, CD14, CASP8, CAV3, COL17A1, DOK2, ESR2, HRSPI2, II1RL1, ITG8, MF12, NRT1D1, NR1I2, P1N9C, PSMC5, RETN, SELL, SRA17, SPO1, TLR6 |
| Cellular Growth and Proliferation, Gene Expression, Cellular Movement | 16 | ANGEL2, ARMC1, C11orf49, CBARA1, FOC1, KIAA0196, KRT10, LIN37, MRPL28, NTBF1, NRT1D1, PSMB5, PSMC5, RBM18, RSL24D1, TFB1M |
| Antigen Presentation, Cell-To-Cell Signaling and Interaction, Hematological System Development and Function | 15 | AKAP9, ARG1F, BCKDH2, CDS2, CEACAM3, CEP2, CRB1, GT2E, GPR32, L9, RAB32, RB3P3, SL1C1A6, TIMM8B, ZKSCAN3 |
| (b) Cellular growth and proliferation, Haematological system development and function, Hematopoiesis | 9 | HCRTR1, HOXB4, IL32, LIN37, MEPA1, NDUF810, PRKRA, RAN2, SOCS2 |

An effect of methotrexate on DNA methylation profile in JIA?
In recognition of the potential impact of the disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug (DMARD) methotrexate (MTX, a folate metabolism inhibitor [19]), on DNA methylation, we subsequently removed four cases from the array data that had been exposed to MTX prior to the collection of T cells. Case-control comparisons were run again, resulting in a total of 11 significantly (P<0.1) differentially methylated probes following FDR adjustment, associated with 11 different genes (Table 1b). These genes did not overlap with those identified by the original analysis. Hierarchical clustering based on these 11 loci did not clearly delineate cases from controls (Additional file 1: Figure S2).

The number of identified genes was insufficient for a meaningful GO analysis. IPA analysis of genes within this list identified 'cellular growth and proliferation, haematological system development and function, haematopoiesis' as the top network, involving 9 of the 10 characterised differentially methylated genes (Table 2, Figure 2). The top three biological functions were 'connective tissue development and function,' 'skeletal and muscular system development and function,' and 'tissue development'. The top two identified canonical pathways were 'IL9 signalling,' and 'role of JAK2 in hormone-like cytokine signalling'.

Validation of HM27 methylation differences and replication in an additional JIA sample
In order to confirm validity of the HM27 data, we measured gene-specific methylation of two differentially methylated genes, MRPL28 and IL32, by Sequenom MassARRAY Epityper analysis. For validation, methylation was measured in the 'discovery' (array) case-control pair set (where sufficient DNA was available). Additionally, we attempted to replicate the observed differential
methylation in a second set of 12 case-control pairs, the ‘replication’ pair set (Additional file 1: Table S2).

MRPL28 was chosen for validation because of the large HM27 case-control β value differences observed (Figure 3A, Additional file 1: Table S3). We quantitatively measured methylation at 11 CpG dinucleotides within 8 assay units at MRPL28 (Additional file 1: Figure S3), including the HM27 differentially-methylated probe (DMP) site (assay unit CpG_14.15). Across the entire dataset, β values for the HM27 DMP and assay unit CpG_14.15 were significantly correlated (r = 0.92, p <0.0001). Large case-control Δβ values were observed for several Sequenom CpG assay units, especially those lying in close proximity to the HM27 probe (Additional file 1: Table S3). Figure 3A presents box-plots of methylation values obtained from the array probe in the discovery case-control samples, and from Sequenom assay unit CpG_14.15 in the discovery and replication case-control samples. Conditional logistic regression demonstrated significant (p < 0.05) association of JIA with lower methylation levels at three of the measured CpG units,

Figure 2 Ingenuity pathway analysis (IPA) top network that incorporates 9 genes identified as differentially methylated between methotrexate-naïve cases and controls by HM27 analysis.
Figure 3 Boxplots of validation and replication JIA case-control data for differentially methylated genes MRPL28 and IL32. (A) HM27 MRPL28 array data (probe cg12437481) for the discovery case-control pairs, and MRPL28 sequenom data (assay unit CpG_14.15 – see Additional file 1: Figure S3) for the discovery and replication case-control pairs. (B) HM27 IL32 array data (probe cg18350391) for the discovery case-control pairs, and IL32 sequenom data (assay unit CpG_10 – see Additional File 1: Figure S4) for the discovery and replication case-control pairs. Comparing array and sequenom data for the discovery samples demonstrates validation of array data, and comparing sequenom data between the discovery and replication samples demonstrates replication of the direction of effect and magnitude of difference between the cases and controls at these loci.
including CpG_14.15, such that a 1% increase in methylation equates to a 10% decrease in risk of being a JIA case (Odds Ratio (OR) = 0.90, 95% CI 0.81, 0.99; p = 0.026). For all Sequenom assay units, mean \( \beta \) values were lower in cases than controls, consistent with the direction of difference observed by HM27. Finally, this direction of difference was consistently observed when both the discovery and replication case-control pair groups were considered separately for all but one CpG unit measured (Additional file 1: Table S3), suggesting that such differences are genuinely associated with the JIA phenotype.

We also quantitatively measured methylation at 10 CpG sites within 9 assay units at the pro-inflammatory cytokine gene IL32. IL32 was identified as differentially methylated between cases and controls following removal of MTX-exposed cases from analysis, and as a pro-inflammatory cytokine, was considered a physiologically plausible candidate gene. The HM27 DMP was directly captured by the Sequenom assay (CpG_10, see Additional file 1: Figure S4). Methylation was measured in both the discovery and replication pair sets following removal of MTX-exposed pairs 1, 4, 10, 13, and 19 (Additional file 1: Table S2). Across the entire dataset, \( \beta \) values for the HM27 differentially methylated probe and assay unit CpG_10 were significantly correlated (\( r = 0.70, p = 0.0008 \)). Case-control \( \Delta \beta \) values were largest for CpG_10 and neighbouring CpG_9; this was most striking in the discovery pair set, but of consistent direction in the replication pair set (Additional file 1: Table S4). Figure 3B presents boxplots of methylation values obtained from the array probe in the discovery case-control samples, and from Sequenom assay unit CpG_9 in the discovery and replication case-control samples. Conditional logistic regression of the combined dataset demonstrated nominally significant association of JIA with lower methylation at CpG_9, such that a 1% increase in methylation equates to a 6% decrease in risk of being a JIA case (OR = 0.94, 95% CI: 0.87, 1.00; p = 0.064). Significant associations were not detected when the discovery or replication datasets were considered separately, although the direction of effect was the same (discovery sample OR = 0.92, 95% CI: 0.83, 1.03, p = 0.13; replication sample OR = 0.95, 95% CI: 0.87, 1.04, p = 0.26), suggestive of replication and genuine association.

**Discussion**

We present the first report of genome-scale analysis of DNA methylation profile in JIA. We have identified 145 differentially methylated loci of which 91 are more highly methylated in cases. Significant case-control differences are generally modest (difference in median \( \beta \) ranging from 0.006 – 0.19), however, this is consistent with a growing number of other equivalent studies in complex disease (reviewed in [20]). Pathway analyses of genes subject to altered DNA methylation identified autoimmune disease-relevant networks of genes including ‘immunological disease,’ ‘cellular growth and proliferation,’ and ‘antigen presentation’.

Four case samples used in the HM27 analysis had prior exposure to the commonly used DMARD, MTX. The anti-inflammatory properties of MTX are yet to be fully understood, but may include inhibition of T cell activation, induction of T cell apoptosis, and/or alteration of expression of cytokines [21]. Importantly for DNA methylation, MTX is an anti-folate agent. Follates are micronutrients essential for one-carbon metabolism, a process of methyl group transfer essential for the specific methylation of cytosine nucleotides within CpG sites [3]. Thus, it is reasonable to hypothesise that MTX may impact the T cell methylome in exposed cases. Whilst we cannot, from our data, provide conclusive evidence in support of this hypothesis, removal of MTX-exposed cases from the array analysis did have a striking impact on the outcome: the number of differentially methylated genes was reduced from 145 to 11; and none of the genes from the first- and second-tier analyses overlapped. While it remains possible that the contrasting outcomes were due to other factors, for example, the MTX-exposed cases may have been in other ways clinically distinct from the MTX-naive cases, our data does suggest a potential impact of MTX on DNA methylation. However, we found no evidence to suggest that this impact is genome-wide since mean \( \beta \) values across the \( >25,000 \) HM27 probes analysed was not different between exposed and unexposed cases (t-test \( p = 0.85 \)). Unfortunately our sample size was insufficient for a meaningful locus-by-locus comparison of MTX-exposed and -naive case samples within this study, but overall our work suggests that data derived from MTX-exposed samples should be treated with caution in epigenome-wide association studies. Further investigation of this issue using a larger sample of MTX naive and exposed individuals is warranted, especially in light of recently published rheumatoid arthritis methylome data derived from case samples of mixed MTX exposure status [22].

Pathway analysis based on the 11 MTX-naive differentially methylated genes defined a network related to ‘cellular growth and proliferation, haematological system development and function, hemato-poiesis’ within which nine of the 10 characterised genes were placed. Central to this network is the pro-inflammatory cytokine TNF. TNF initiates a pro-inflammatory programme of events associated with autoimmune and inflammatory diseases [23], including JIA [24]. TNF blockers have been used for some time as a treatment for JIA [25]. Therefore, aberrant methylation of a network of differentially methylated genes in T cells of children with JIA may result in the promotion of a disease-relevant inflammatory cascade.

Of the MTX-naive differentially methylated loci, IL32 stands out as an interesting finding. IL32 is a pro-
inflammatory cytokine shown to be a potent inducer of TNF. TNF also induces IL32 expression and the two molecules form an important autoinflammatory loop, as demonstrated in RA synovial cells [26]. Mice overexpressing IL32 show higher serum concentrations of TNF, and exacerbation of collagen-induced arthritis [27]. In our study, specific IL32 CpGs measured by both genome-scale and locus specific analyses were seen to be less methylated in cases than in controls across two distinct sample sets, suggesting higher levels of expression. A limitation of our study is that we did not collect CD4 + T cell RNA to measure gene expression, although evidence does exist to suggest IL32 expression is dependent on methylation [28]. Thus, further work will be required to assess the relationship between IL32 DNA methylation and IL32 gene expression in JIA.

The locus-specific replication data for MRPL28 is also interesting. Although MRPL28 was not seen to be significantly differentially methylated in the MTX-naive array analysis (FDR p = 0.47), significantly reduced methylation in cases relative to matched controls, (Δβ values in excess of 0.2), was found for pairs containing both MTX-exposed and -naive cases across discovery and replication samples. MRPL28 encodes mitochondrial ribosomal protein L28, the function of which is poorly described. It is therefore difficult to speculate as to how reduced MRPL28 methylation might increase JIA risk, although mitochondrial dysfunction has been associated with autoimmune disease [29]. Again, further work will be required to more fully interpret these findings.

An important issue that needs to be addressed in epigenetic research such as that presented here, is whether or not any identified disease-associated changes to the epigenome precede disease onset, or result from the disease process. Identification of aberrant DNA methylation that precedes disease suggests that such changes may contribute to risk of developing disease, and could therefore act as biomarkers to identify at-risk individuals and contribute to our understanding of the genomic underpinnings of causality. However, for relatively rare complex diseases like JIA, it is very difficult to prospectively collect cases, since the size of the prospective cohort necessary to identify sufficient cases would be very large. One approach to the problem is to retrospectively obtain diagnostic biospecimens collected routinely at birth, such as neonatal dried blood spots. Methods have now been developed to measure locus-specific DNA methylation in dried blood spots [30], and in the future this may well extend to the use of these specimens on a genome-scale. The CLARITY Biobank now encompasses neonatal dried blood spots from recruited cases and controls, and is shortly commencing longitudinal biospecimen collection for cases across the disease course. Thus, a future important research direction will be to examine changing methylation levels periodically from birth through to disease resolution.

Conclusions
We have demonstrated genome-scale differences in T cell DNA methylation profiles in children with JIA compared to age- and sex-matched healthy controls. Our data suggests a potential impact of MTX treatment on the methylome, therefore selecting DMARD-naive biospecimens for future epigenetic research of this kind may be important. Finally, in two groups of case-control pairs across two different analysis platforms, methylation at MRPL28 and IL32 appears reduced in the T cells of children with JIA, and these findings were robust to MTX exposure. Other genes highlighted by the genome-scale analyses require further exploration. However, our data provides strong impetus to further consider the role of DNA methylation in JIA risk and in disease course, at higher genome-scale resolution and at specific loci, in larger sample sizes.

Methods
Participant recruitment and selection
Cases and controls were recruited as part of CLARITY (ChiLDhood Arthritis Risk factor Identification sTudY), an ongoing study of the genetic and environmental risk factors of JIA. Recruitment is ongoing, and commenced in 2008 at the Royal Children’s Hospital (RCH), Melbourne, Victoria Australia. Cases were defined as children meeting the International League of Associations for Rheumatology (ILAR) criteria [31] for the diagnosis of JIA. Cases were considered incident if they were recruited to the study within six months of initial diagnosis to allow for definition of ILAR subtype. Controls were otherwise well children ≤16 years admitted for minor surgical procedures. All protocols were approved by the RCH Human Research Ethics Committee.

For the genome-scale methylation array analysis, 14 cases (mean age 9.1 yrs, SD 4.2 yrs; 71.4% female; 10 oligoarticular, 4 polyarticular), were selected. The high percentage of female case samples reflects the predominance of females amongst those with oligoarticular and polyarticular JIA in the population. Cases were then matched to a control by age and sex. The basic characteristics of each ‘discovery’ case-control pair is shown in Additional file 1: Table S2 (pair numbers 1-14). All cases had active disease, and all were incident with the exception of cases in pairs 4 and 10.

An additional set of 12 incident cases (mean age 7.2 yrs, SD 4.2 yrs; 75.0% female; 9 oligoarticular, 3 polyarticular) and matched controls were selected in a similar fashion for replication of array-detected differentially methylated loci using the Sequenom MassARRAY platform. The basic characteristics of each ‘replication’ case-
control pair is shown in Additional file 1: Table S2 (pair numbers 15-26).

T cell DNA isolation and genome-scale methylation analysis
Each participant provided a 9ml peripheral blood sample. Plasma was removed within two hours of collection and stored. PBMCs were isolated using standard Ficoll gradient procedures within 24 hours of collection, and stored in liquid nitrogen. Total CD3+ CD4+ T cells were positively selected from the PBMC population using flow cytometry (Becton Dickinson (San Jose, CA, USA) antibodies, cat# 340440, 347327). T cell purity was very high (typically ~99%) following cell sorting. DNA was extracted using the Flexigene DNA extraction kit (Qiagen) and bisulphite converted using the MethylEasy Xceed (Human Genetic Signatures, Randwick, NSW, Australia) kit according to manufacturer’s instructions. Converted DNA was then applied to the Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation27 BeadChip arrays (HM27) (ServiceXS, The Netherlands). These arrays measure methylation at an average of 2 CpG sites in the promoter regions of 14,475 genes and 110 miRNA promoters (27,578 sites tested in all). DNA from each case and its matched control was hybridised to the same array chip to avoid confounding of results by chip variability. DNA samples from one case-control pair (pair number 13) were applied twice to the same chip as technical replicates.

Genome-scale methylation data analysis
All analyses were carried out using the statistical programming language R (www.r-project.org) (version 2.12.0) using packages from the Bioconductor project [32]. Data quality was confirmed using arrayQualityMetrics [33], which produces a number of diagnostic plots to assess reproducibility, identifies apparent outlier arrays and computes measures of the signal-to-noise ratio.

Probes considered to overlap SNPs [34] were removed, along with those on the X and Y chromosomes, reducing the number of probes from 27,578 to 26,422. The lumi package [35,36] was used to calculate the log2 ratios for methylated probe intensity to unmethylated probe intensity (M-values). These values underwent colour-adjustment, background correction and ssn normalization. All probes failing a detection p-value cut-off of < 0.01 were removed. This reduced the number of probes available to 25,303 probes (13,462 unique genes). These probes were subsequently passed to limma [37] for differential methylation analysis. Array weights were calculated, thereby estimating relative quality weights for each array [38]. A linear model was fitted testing for the difference between cases and controls. P-values were adjusted for multiple testing using the Benjamini and Hochberg method [39]. M-values were converted to β-values (equivalent to percent of alleles methylated at each site) and subsequently used to calculate the correlation between the technical replicates.

Gene Ontology and Pathway Analyses
Testing was carried out for the association of Gene Ontology (GO) terms in the list of significantly differentially methylated genes using the Bioconductor package GOstat [40]. Specifically an analysis was carried out to look for overrepresentation of GO terms using a hyper-geometric test. Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) software (Ingenuity Systems, Redwood City, CA, USA) was used to investigate pathways and relationships between differentially methylated genes. The full list of gene loci measured by the HM27 was used as the reference set in these analyses.

Validation of case-control methylation differences using the Sequenom MassARRAY Epityper platform
Validation of array-detected methylation differences between cases and controls at chosen loci was performed using the Sequenom (San Diego, CA, USA) MassARRAY platform as previously described [41]. Assays were designed using the Epidesigner software (http://www.epidesigner.com) to capture methylation at CpG sites surrounding the HM27 array probe, with the exact HM27 CpG site measured where possible (Additional file 1: Figures S3 and S4). Data points were removed where differences in duplicate beta values exceeded 0.2, otherwise the average was taken. Stata v11 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) was used to perform HM27-Sequenom data correlation analyses and conditional logistic regression analyses (taking into account the matched-pair design) to examine the differences in methylation β values (converted to % methylation by multiplying β values by 100) between cases and controls.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Correlation in betas between technical replicates. Table S1. Alphabatical list of genes associated with probes identified as significantly differentially methylated between cases and controls. Figure S2. Heatmap of significantly differentially methylated loci between MTX-naïve JIA cases and controls. Figure S3. Location of MRPL28 CpG dinucleotides measured by the Sequenom Epityper assay. Figure S4. Location of IL32 CpG dinucleotides measured by the Sequenom Epityper assay. Table S2. Basic characteristics of the age- and sex-matched case-control pairs. Table S3. Beta values for MRPL28 Sequenom Epityper assay units, in comparison to beta values from the significantly differentially methylated MRPL28 HM27 array probe. Table S4. Beta values for IL32 Sequenom Epityper assay units, in comparison to beta values from the significantly differentially methylated IL32 HM27 array probe.
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