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ABSTRACT: We use the asymptotic data at conformal null-infinity $\mathcal{I}$ to formulate Weinberg’s soft-photon theorem for Abelian gauge theories with massless charged particles. We show that the angle-dependent gauge transformations at $\mathcal{I}$ are not merely a gauge redundancy, instead they are genuine symmetries of the radiative phase space. In the presence of these symmetries, Poisson bracket between gauge potentials is not well-defined. This does not pose an obstacle for the quantization of the radiative phase space, which proceeds by treating the conjugate electric field as the fundamental variable. Denoting by $\mathcal{G}_+$ and $\mathcal{G}_-$ as the group of gauge transformations at $\mathcal{I}^+$ and $\mathcal{I}^-$ respectively, Strominger has shown that a certain diagonal subgroup $\mathcal{G}_{\text{diag}} \subset \mathcal{G}_+ \times \mathcal{G}_-$ is the symmetry of the S-matrix and Weinberg’s soft-photon theorem is the corresponding Ward identity. We give a systematic derivation of this result for Abelian gauge theories with massless charged particles. Our derivation is a slight generalization of the existing derivations since it is applicable even when the bulk spacetime is not exactly flat, but is only “almost” Minkowskian.
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## 1 Introduction

The arguments that the quantum theory of gravity should have a holographic description are rooted in black-hole thermodynamics. The latter is as true in asymptotically flat spacetimes as in the asymptotically anti-de Sitter spacetimes. And yet, we don’t have a holographic description of quantum gravity in asymptotically flat spacetimes. In such a situation, it is reasonable to take our cue from the bottom-up approach to the established AdS/CFT dictionary [1, 2] and ask if something of the kind exists for quantum gravity in asymptotically flat spacetimes.

For quantum field theories in Minkowski space, S-matrix is a holographic observable by definition. Thus there is a huge effort to calculate the S-matrix without going through the conventional Feynman calculus involving integrals in the bulk spacetime [3]. On the other hand, recently there has been a flurry of activity following the realization by Strominger [4, 5] that Weinberg’s soft theorems of gauge theories and gravity can be seen as the tree-level Ward identity for a symmetry of the S-matrix [6–10]. For gravity, the symmetry in question is a certain diagonal subgroup of the product group of supertranslations on the future and past null infinity, $\mathcal{I}^+$ and $\mathcal{I}^−$, which comprise the null boundary of asymptotically flat spacetime.
spacetimes. For gauge theories, the symmetry in question is a certain diagonal subgroup of the product group of gauge transformations on \( \mathcal{G}^+ \) and \( \mathcal{G}^- \). Strominger has further conjectured on the form of the subleading terms in the soft-theorem, and it was verified in Ref. [8]. The analogous terms in the case of Yang-Mills theories were found in Refs. [11, 12]. The precursor of these developments was the proposal by Barnich and Troessaert [13–16] (see also Refs. [17–19]) that the group of asymptotic symmetries of asymptotically flat spacetimes should include the infinite dimensional Virasoro subgroup. Therefore, a general expectation is that the techniques familiar from two-dimensional conformal field theories could be imported in developing a holographic description of quantum gravity in asymptotically flat space.

With holography in flat space as the main motivation, in this paper we revisit the Weinberg’s soft-photon theorem in Abelian gauge theories with massless charged matter studied in Refs. [4, 6] and formulate it in terms of the quantities defined intrinsically on the null boundary of a conformally compactified asymptotically flat spacetime. Our goal in this paper is to systematically study the asymptotic symmetries of the radiative phase space; to quantize the phase space and; following Refs. [4, 6], to derive the soft-photon theorem as a Ward identity related to large gauge-symmetry of the radiative phase space. In line with the holographic motivation, our work will be exclusively on the null boundary \( \mathcal{I} \) of spacetime and the bulk is discarded after the construction of the radiative phase space. For studies related to the construction of a theory living on \( \mathcal{I} \) that calculates the tree level amplitudes in supergravity see Refs. [20, 21].

Our derivation of the soft-theorem as a Ward identity is a slight generalization of the derivations in Refs. [4, 6] in the sense that ours is applicable even when the bulk spacetime is not exactly flat but is “close” to Minkowski in some sense. We, however, do not consider the backreaction, thus the bulk is still non-dynamical. During this study we will uncover an interesting subtlety related to the Poisson brackets on the radiative phase that was also noted in Ref. [22]. This would lead us to identify soft-photons as “edge states” on \( \mathcal{I} \).

This paper is organized as follows– in Sec. 2 we review the definition of asymptotic flatness and the geometry of null infinity \( \mathcal{I} \); in Sec. 3 we review Weinberg’s soft-photon theorem and express it in the coordinates intrinsic to \( \mathcal{I} \); in Sec. 4 we introduce the radiative phase space of electromagnetism and quantize it; in Sec. 5 we review Strominger’s proposal for new symmetries of the scattering problem in massless quantum electrodynamics; in Sec. 6 we impose the invariance of the S-matrix under large gauge symmetry to derive the Weinberg’s soft-photon theorem. We conclude with a summary and outlook in Sec. 7. A brief review of the symplectic formulation of field theory, and the example of free Maxwell field, is provided in the appendix.

We will be working in four-dimensional bulk with the metric-signature \((-+++\))\). The variation symbol \(\delta\) will represent the exterior derivative on phase space. We will leave the “\(\wedge\)” symbol implicit in the differential forms on phase space.
2 Geometry of null infinity

In this section we review the geometry of null infinity \( \mathcal{I} \). Since \( \mathcal{I} \) arises as the conformal boundary of asymptotically flat spacetimes [23], we begin with the definition of asymptotic flatness. We will be following the recent review in Ref. [24].

A spacetime \( (\hat{M}, \hat{g}_{ab}) \) is said to be asymptotically flat at null infinity if there exists a manifold \( M \) with boundary, \( \partial M := \mathcal{I} \), equipped with a smooth metric of signature \((-+++\)) such that the interior \( M - \mathcal{I} \) is diffeomorphic to \( \hat{M} \), and the following conditions are satisfied:

1. there exists a smooth function \( \Omega \) on \( M \) such that \( g_{ab} = \Omega^2 \hat{g}_{ab} \) on \( \hat{M} \), with \( \Omega = 0 \) and \( \partial_a \Omega \neq 0 \) on \( \mathcal{I} \),
2. \( \mathcal{I} \) is topologically \( S^2 \times \mathbb{R} \), the vector field \( n^a := g^{ab} \partial_b \Omega \) on \( \mathcal{I} \) is complete and the space of its orbits is diffeomorphic to \( S^2 \),
3. \( \hat{g}_{ab} \) satisfies the Einstein equation in the intersection of \( \hat{M} \) with a neighborhood of \( \mathcal{I} \) in \( M \) and \( \Omega^{-2} \hat{T}_{ab} \) extends smoothly to \( \mathcal{I} \).

The intrinsic geometry of \( \mathcal{I} \) is described by a degenerate metric \( q_{ab} \) and the null generator \( n^a \). There is a conformal freedom in the choice of the rescaled metric \( g_{ab} \),

\[
\Omega \to \omega \Omega, \quad \mathcal{L}_n \omega = 0,
\tag{2.1}
\]

which leads to the following freedom in the intrinsic metric and the null generator of \( \mathcal{I} \),

\[
\{q_{ab}, n^a\} \to \{\omega^2 q_{ab}, \omega^{-1} n^a\}.
\tag{2.2}
\]

Therefore, the universal structure at \( \mathcal{I} \) consists of the equivalence class \( \{q_{ab}, n^a\} \sim \{\omega^2 q_{ab}, \omega^{-1} n^a\} \).

The vector fields on \( \mathcal{I} \) that respect this universal structure are the infinitesimal generators of the asymptotic symmetry group of asymptotically flat spacetimes. This group is called the \( \mathcal{B}_\text{MS} \) group after the founders Bondi, van der Burg, Metzner [25] and Sachs [26]. The vector fields \( \xi^a \) that generate the \( \mathcal{B}_\text{MS} \) group satisfy,

\[
\mathcal{L}_\xi q_{ab} = 2\beta q_{ab} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_\xi n^a = -\beta n^a,
\tag{2.3}
\]

where \( \beta \) is a scalar on \( \mathcal{I} \) such that \( \mathcal{L}_n \beta = 0 \). Those \( \xi^a \) which are of the form \( \xi^a = f n^a \), where \( f \) is a function such that \( \mathcal{L}_n f = 0 \), generate the normal subgroup of the \( \mathcal{B}_\text{MS} \) group and are called supertranslations (ST). These vector fields generating ST form a Lie ideal, in the sense that their commutator with any \( \mathcal{B}_\text{MS} \) vector field is again a ST. The quotient group \( \mathcal{B}_\text{MS}/\text{ST} \) is generated by the conformal isometries of \( S^2 \) and is isomorphic to the Lorentz group. As originally formulated in Refs. [25, 26] the conformal isometries of \( S^2 \) were assumed to be complete vector fields. Recently, Barnich and Troaessart [13–16] have proposed that the singular vector fields should also be allowed and in that case the quotient \( \mathcal{B}_\text{MS}/\text{ST} \) is no longer the finite dimensional Lorentz group, but it is the infinite dimensional Virasoro.

\[\text{The bold } \mathbb{B} \text{ with respect to ‘MS’ is to emphasize that } \mathbb{B} \text{ stands for the names of two authors.}\]
group. This observation implies that the techniques from two-dimensional conformal field theories could play an important role in the physics of four dimensional asymptotically flat spacetimes.

We will work in the Bondi gauge, so that \( q_{ab} \) is the metric of a unit \( S^2 \),

\[
d s^2 = d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta \, d\phi^2.
\]

By using stereographic projection from the North-pole of \( S^2 \) to the equatorial plane, we can label the coordinates on the unit \( S^2 \) by a complex number \( \zeta = \cot(\theta/2)e^{i\phi} \).

Thus we represent a point on the unit \( S^2 \) by coordinates \( \{\zeta, \bar{\zeta}\} \), and we view \( \bar{\zeta} \) not as a complex conjugate of \( \zeta \) but as an independent coordinate. The cartesian components of a point \( \{x = \sin \theta \cos \phi, y = \sin \theta \sin \phi, z = \cos \theta\} \) on the unit \( S^2 \) are then given by

\[
x = \frac{\zeta + \bar{\zeta}}{\zeta \bar{\zeta} + 1}, \quad y = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\zeta - \bar{\zeta}}{\zeta \bar{\zeta} + 1}, \quad z = \frac{\zeta \bar{\zeta} - 1}{\zeta \bar{\zeta} + 1}.
\] (2.4)

The metric on unit \( S^2 \) in terms of the \( \{\zeta, \bar{\zeta}\} \) is given by

\[
d s^2 = \frac{4}{(1 + \zeta \bar{\zeta})^2} d\zeta d\bar{\zeta}.
\] (2.5)

### 3 Amplitudes with soft-photon insertion

In this section we first review Weinberg’s soft-photon theorem in Sec. 3.1. Our treatment follows that of Ref. [27].

#### 3.1 Weinberg’s soft-photon theorem

Weinberg’s soft-photon theorem [27, 29] is a universal formula that gives the amplitude for emission of arbitrary number of very low-energy photons in a process \( \alpha \to \beta \) involving any number of higher-energy charged particles of any kind in the initial state \( \alpha \) and the final state \( \beta \). In particular, the amplitude \( M_{\alpha \to \beta}^{\mu} \) for emitting a single soft-photon with four-momentum \( q \) and polarization index \( \mu \) in the process \( \alpha \to \beta \) is given in the soft limit (i.e., the limit in which the energy of the photon \( \omega \to 0 \)) as

\[
\lim_{\omega \to 0} M_{\alpha \to \beta}^{\mu}(q) = M_{\alpha \to \beta} \left[ \sum_{n \in \beta} e_n \frac{p_n^\mu}{q \cdot p_n} - \sum_{n \in \alpha} e_n \frac{p_n^\mu}{q \cdot p_n} \right],
\] (3.1)

where \( p_n \) and \( e_n \) are the four-momentum and the charge of the \( n^{th} \) particle in the in (out) state \( \alpha \) (\( \beta \)), \( \omega \) and \( q \) are the energy and four-momentum of the emitted soft-photon, and the dot “\( \cdot \)” stands for the index-contraction. The quantity in parenthesis on the right-hand-side is the tree-level soft-factor at the leading order.

One interesting implication of Eq. (3.1) is obtained by contracting it with the photon four-momentum \( q \) and demanding that the result should vanish in order to preserve the Lorentz-invariance (since the polarization “vector” is not really a four-vector and we

\[2\text{For the derivation of soft-factors in gauge theories using the soft-collinear effective field theory please see Ref. [28].} \]
could choose it up to the addition of the photon’s four-momentum). This gives $\sum_{n \in \beta} e_n = \sum_{n \in \alpha} e_n$, i.e., the total charge of the system is conserved.

We will be interested in the amplitude for emitting a soft-photon for a particular polarization, say $\epsilon^{(+)}_\mu$, which is obtained by contracting Eq. (3.1) with $\epsilon^{(+)}_\mu$,

$$
\lim_{\omega \to 0} M^{(+)}_{\alpha \to \beta}(q) = M_{\alpha \to \beta} \left[ \sum_{n \in \beta} \frac{\epsilon^{(+)}_\mu \cdot p_n}{q \cdot p_n} - \sum_{n \in \alpha} \frac{\epsilon^{(+)}_\mu \cdot p_n}{q \cdot p_n} \right].
$$

The amplitudes appearing on the two sides of Eq. (3.2) are asymptotic observables, i.e., their natural habitat is $\mathcal{I}$. We therefore turn to recast the soft-factor in terms of the quantities intrinsically defined on $\mathcal{I}$ so that the soft-theorem could be expressed intrinsically on $\mathcal{I}$.

### 3.2 Soft-factor on $\mathcal{I}$

In this sub-section, we rewrite the soft-factor, which appears on the right-hand-side of Eq. (3.2), in the Bondi gauge and in terms of the stereographic coordinates on the $\mathbb{S}^2$ cross-sections of $\mathcal{I}$ introduced in Sec. 2.

Denote the four-momentum of a particle as $p^\mu = \{E, \vec{p}\}$. For a massless particle, $E = |\vec{p}|$. Hence, $p^\mu = E \{1, \hat{p}\}$ for a massless particle. But $\hat{p}$ points in the direction of motion of the particle. If we assume that the scattering happens at the center of the two-sphere at infinity then the direction of motion of all the particles involved is radial. In this approximation, $\hat{p}$ can be characterized in terms of the point on the unit $\mathbb{S}^2$ cross-section of $\mathcal{I}$ where the particle finally hits. The latter is coordinatized by $\{\zeta, \bar{\zeta}\}$. Thus the four-momentum of the massless particle can be encoded using the stereographic coordinates as $\{E, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}\}$.

The dot product between two null-momenta $p_1 = \{E_1, \zeta_1, \bar{\zeta}_1\}$ and $p_2 = \{E_2, \zeta_2, \bar{\zeta}_2\}$ can be calculated using Eq. (2.4) to be,

$$
p_1 \cdot p_2 = -2E_1E_2 \frac{(\zeta_1 - \zeta_2)(\bar{\zeta}_1 - \bar{\zeta}_2)}{(1 + \zeta_1\bar{\zeta}_1)(1 + \zeta_2\bar{\zeta}_2)}.
$$

For a photon we also need polarization vectors corresponding to a given four-momentum. Following Ref. [6], we take the two independent transverse polarization vectors corresponding to the photon $q = \{\omega, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}\}$ to be

$$
\begin{align}
\epsilon^{(+)}_\mu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{\zeta, 1, -i, \bar{\zeta}\}, \\
\epsilon^{(-)}_\mu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \{\zeta, 1, i, \bar{\zeta}\}.
\end{align}
$$

Projecting the polarizations (3.4) on the conformal $\mathbb{S}^2$ at $\mathcal{I}$ using $\epsilon_a = \left\{ \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \zeta} \epsilon_\mu, \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \bar{\zeta}} \epsilon_\mu \right\}$ we get the induced polarizations on $\mathbb{S}^2$,

$$
\begin{align}
\epsilon_a^{(+)} &= \{0, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{(1 + \zeta \bar{\zeta})}\}, \\
\epsilon_a^{(-)} &= \{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{(1 + \zeta \bar{\zeta})}, 0\}.
\end{align}
$$
The dot-product between the polarization vectors and null momenta $p = \{z, \bar{z}\}$ can be calculated by writing the momenta explicitly in terms of its components using Eq. (2.4). Then, using Eqs. (3.3) and (3.4a), for the soft-factor in Eq. (3.2) we obtain,
\[
\left[ \sum_{n \in \beta} e_n^+ \cdot p_n - \sum_{n \in \alpha} e_n^+ \cdot p_n \right] = \frac{1 + \zeta \bar{\zeta}}{2 \omega} \left[ \sum_{n \in \beta} e_n \frac{1}{\zeta - z_n} - \sum_{n \in \alpha} e_n \frac{1}{\zeta - z_n} \right],
\]
where the $n^{th}$ charged particle with four-momentum $p_n^\mu$ has coordinates $\{E_n, z_n, \bar{z}_n\}$. If we label the asymptotic momenta in the ingoing (outgoing) states $\alpha$ ($\beta$) by their corresponding stereographic coordinates on $S^2$ at $\mathcal{I}^+$ ($\mathcal{I}^-$) and their asymptotic energy as $\{E, z, \bar{z}\}$, then the soft-theorem gets expressed in terms of quantities defined intrinsically on $\mathcal{I}^+$ as
\[
\lim_{\omega \to 0} \mathcal{M}^{(+)\alpha \to \beta}(q) = \mathcal{M}^{\alpha \to \beta}(1 + \zeta \bar{\zeta}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \omega}} \left[ \sum_{n \in \beta} e_n \frac{1}{\zeta - z_n} - \sum_{n \in \alpha} e_n \frac{1}{\zeta - z_n} \right].
\]
Thus the natural habitat of the soft-theorem is also $\mathcal{I}^+$. Eq. (3.7) is the form of Weinberg’s soft-photon theorem that we will derive in Sec. 6.

4 QED with massless matter

In this section we first review the construction of the phase space of radiative modes in classical electrodynamics with massless matter, then we quantize it. Our classical considerations follow Ref. [30] (see also, Ref. [31]). Quantization of radiative modes on a null surface was also studied in Ref. [32].

4.1 Classical phase space

Let’s denote the pullback of the electric field $E_a = F_{ab}n^b$ and the rescaled matter current $\Omega^2 J^a$ to $\mathcal{I}^+$ as $\mathcal{E}_a$ and $j^a$, respectively. Let $A_a$ be a vector potential for the pullback to $\mathcal{I}^+$ of the field tensor $F_{ab}$. These quantities determine the electromagnetic field $F_{ab}$ everywhere in $(\hat{M}, \hat{g}_{ab})$, the conformal completion of $(\hat{M}, \hat{g}_{ab})$ [30]. Since, $\mathcal{E}_an^a = 0$, we have only two independent components in $\mathcal{E}_a$. We recognize these components as the two radiative modes of the Maxwell field. The symplectic structure is given by
\[
\Omega = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{I}^+}(3) \epsilon^{ab} \delta \mathcal{E}_a \delta A_b.
\]
In the gauge $A_an^a = 0$, using that $D_an^b = 0$, we also have that $\mathcal{E}_a = \mathcal{L}_n A_a$. Note that unlike Ref. [30] we do not require that $A_a \to 0$ at the future and past boundary of $\mathcal{I}^+$ ($\mathcal{I}^-$). We still have the residual gauge freedom $A_a \to A_a + D_a \lambda$, where $\mathcal{L}_n \lambda = 0$, i.e., $\lambda$ is a function on the space of generators of $\mathcal{I}^+$. This gauge freedom is a genuine symmetry and not merely a redundancy in our description. The vector field $X_\lambda = \int_{\mathcal{I}^+}(3) \epsilon D_a \lambda \frac{\delta}{\delta A_a}$, which corresponds to the residual gauge freedom, is not a degenerate direction of $\Omega$. To see
this, let us calculate the inner product
\[ i_{X_{\lambda}} \Omega = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{I}^+} (3) \epsilon^{ab} \left[ \mathcal{L}_n (D_a \lambda) \delta A_b - \delta \mathcal{E}_n D_b \lambda \right] \]
\[ = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{I}^+} (3) \epsilon^{ab} \mathcal{L}_n (\delta A_a) D_b \lambda \]
\[ = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{I}^+} (3) \epsilon^{ab} \mathcal{L}_n (\delta A_a D_b \lambda) \]
\[ = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{I}^+} \left( \int_{\mathcal{I}^+} - \int_{\mathcal{I}^+} \right) (2) \epsilon^{ab} \delta A_a D_b \lambda, \quad (4.2) \]
where in the first step we have used that \( \mathcal{E}_a = \mathcal{L}_n A_a \); in the second that \( \mathcal{L}_n \lambda = 0, \mathcal{L}_n q^{ab} = 0 \) and \( D_a n^b = 0 \); and in the third we have used the Stokes theorem to get the integrals at the future and the past boundaries of \( \mathcal{I}^+ \) that, following Strominger in Ref. [4], we will denote by \( \mathcal{I}^+_+ \) and \( \mathcal{I}^+_\), respectively. Finally, the charge \( Q_\lambda \) that generates the residual gauge transformation with gauge parameter \( \lambda \) can be calculated by integrating \( i_{X_{\lambda}} \Omega = \delta Q_\lambda \). This gives,
\[ Q_\lambda = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{I}^+_+} - \int_{\mathcal{I}^+_\} (2) \epsilon^{ab} A_a D_b \lambda. \quad (4.3) \]
The gauge transformations which are non-vanishing at infinity, in particular at \( \mathcal{I}^+ \) are called the large gauge transformations [4]. We thus see that the large gauge transformations are the symmetries of the phase space. Henceforth, we will call it the large gauge-symmetry.

Following Ref. [6], we now assume that the field strength vanishes at boundaries \( \mathcal{I}^+_+ \) and \( \mathcal{I}^+_\) i.e., the vector potential is pure gauge there. Let
\[ A_a = D_a \phi_+ \quad \text{at} \quad \mathcal{I}^+_+ \]
\[ A_a = D_a \phi_- \quad \text{at} \quad \mathcal{I}^+_-. \quad (4.4) \]
We can map \( \mathcal{I}^- \) to \( \mathcal{I}^+_+ \) by following the integral curves of the null-generator \( n^a \). Thus we can think of \( \phi_- \) as a function on \( S^2 \) at \( \mathcal{I}^+_+ \) and hence write the charge as
\[ Q_\lambda = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{I}^+_+} (2) \epsilon^{ab} D_a (\phi_+ - \phi_-) D_b \lambda, \quad (4.6) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{I}^+_+} (2) \epsilon \lambda q^{ab} D_a D_b (\phi_+ - \phi_-), \quad (4.7) \]
where in the second line we integrated by parts. The charge can also be written as an integral over the whole of \( \mathcal{I}^+ \) as
\[ Q_\lambda = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{I}^+} (3) \epsilon^{ab} \mathcal{E}_n D_b \lambda. \quad (4.8) \]
The algebra of generators of the large gauge-symmetry is abelian, essentially because \( \mathcal{E}_a \) is gauge invariant,
\[ \{ Q_{\lambda_1}, Q_{\lambda_2} \} = 0. \quad (4.9) \]
In the Bondi gauge, using integration by parts in Eq. (4.7) we can also write the charge as

$$Q_{\lambda} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{I}_{\pm}} du d^2\zeta \sqrt{q} q^{\zeta \bar{\zeta}} \mathcal{E}_z \mathcal{D}_z \lambda. \quad (4.10)$$

Now plugging in the explicit form of metric, choosing the gauge parameter $\lambda$ as $\lambda = \frac{1}{\zeta - z}$, and using the identity $\partial_{\bar{z}}(1/(z - \zeta)) = 2\pi \delta(z - \zeta, \bar{z} - \zeta)$ in Eq. (4.10) we get

$$Q_{\lambda} = -\int_{\mathcal{I}_{\pm}} du \mathcal{E}_z(u, z, \bar{z}), \quad (4.11)$$

which is the form that we will eventually use for quantizing this operator in Sec. 4.4. It is clear from Eq. (4.11) that the generator of large gauge-symmetry for the gauge parameter $\lambda = \frac{1}{\zeta - z}$ is the zero mode of the $z$-component of $\mathcal{E}$ [6]. Similarly, the generator of large gauge-symmetry for the gauge parameter $\lambda = \frac{1}{\bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}}$ is the zero mode of the $\bar{z}$ component of $\mathcal{E}$.

Before moving on to quantize the phase space, for the sake of completeness and for potential future use, we study the action of the BMS symmetry on the radiative phase space.

### 4.2 Action of BMS symmetry

Let $\xi^a$ be a BMS vector field. Hence,

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi q_{ab} = 2\beta q_{ab} \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_\xi n^a = -\beta n^a, \quad (4.12)$$

where $\beta$ is a scalar such that $\mathcal{L}_n \beta = 0$. The vector field $\xi$ induces a vector field $X_\xi$ on the phase space that is given by

$$X_\xi = \int_{\mathcal{I}_{\pm}} (3)\epsilon \mathcal{L}_\xi A_a \frac{\delta}{\delta A_a}. \quad (4.13)$$

To calculate the charge generating the motion along $X_\xi$ we calculate

$$\delta Q_\xi = i X_\xi \Omega \quad (4.14)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{I}_{\pm}} (3)\epsilon q^{ab} \left[ \mathcal{L}_n (\mathcal{L}_\xi) A_a \delta A_b - \delta \mathcal{E}_a \mathcal{L}_\xi A_b \right], \quad (4.15)$$

which can be integrated using that $\mathcal{E}_a \to 0$ on both the boundaries $\mathcal{I}_{\pm}$ of $\mathcal{I}_{\pm}$, and that $\mathcal{L}_\xi (3)\epsilon = 3\beta (3)\epsilon$, to get the charge as noted in Ref. [31],

$$Q_\xi = \frac{-1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{I}_{\pm}} (3)\epsilon q^{ab} \mathcal{L}_n A_a \mathcal{L}_\xi A_b. \quad (4.16)$$

In particular, for the vector fields generating supertranslations, i.e., $\xi^a = fn^a$ where $f$ is some function such that $\mathcal{L}_n f = 0$, the corresponding charge is

$$Q_\xi = \frac{-1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{I}_{\pm}} (3)\epsilon f q^{ab} \mathcal{L}_n A_a \mathcal{L}_n A_b \quad (4.17)$$

$$= \frac{-1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{I}_{\pm}} (3)\epsilon f q^{ab} \mathcal{E}_a \mathcal{E}_b. \quad (4.18)$$
We have also checked that the BMS charge algebra is closed, i.e., for two BMS vector fields \( \xi_1 \) and \( \xi_2 \) we have,
\[
\{Q_{\xi_1}, Q_{\xi_2}\} = Q_{[\xi_1, \xi_2]},
\]
where \([\cdot, \cdot]\) is the Lie-bracket. The bracket between the generators of large-gauge symmetry and BMS charges can also be calculated and is given by,
\[
\{Q_\lambda, Q_\xi\} = Q_{\mathcal{L}_\xi \lambda},
\]
where \( \lambda \) is a large gauge parameter. In particular, large gauge-symmetry generator commutes with the generator of supertranslations. Furthermore, large-gauge symmetries and BMS transformations together form a Lie algebra, with large-gauge transformations forming another abelian ideal, one being the supertranslations.

### 4.3 Quantization: \( A \)-representation

It is tempting to read-off the Poisson brackets for the vector potential from the symplectic structure in (4.1) as
\[
\{A_a(u, \zeta, \dot{\zeta}), A_b(u', \zeta', \dot{\zeta}')\} = \frac{\hbar}{4\pi i} g_{ab} \Delta(u - u') \delta(\zeta - \zeta'; \dot{\zeta} - \dot{\zeta}'),
\]
where \( \Delta \) is the step-function. But we now demonstrate that the Poisson bracket between \( A \)'s is in fact not well-defined. This was also noted in Ref. [22] in the gravitational context in the study of the sub-leading soft-graviton theorem. For the ease of presentation, we consider the simple toy model given in Ref. [22] by ignoring the angular variables in the symplectic structure (4.1).

**A toy model**

Consider a field theory with the following symplectic structure,
\[
\Omega = \int du \delta \phi \delta \dot{\phi},
\]
such that the field \( \phi \) and its variation \( \delta \phi \) do not vanish as \( u \to \pm \infty \), while \( \dot{\phi}(u) \) does vanish as \( u \to \pm \infty \). Here overdot stands for the derivative with respect to \( u \). It should be noted that, modulo the angular dependence, this is essentially the same symplectic structure as we have on the radiative phase space in Sec. 4.1 with \( A \) for \( A_a \) and \( \dot{\phi} \) for \( \dot{E}_a \). In order to find the Poisson bracket \( \{\phi(u'), \phi(u'')\} \) we first need to calculate the Poisson bracket between two functionals \( \{F[\phi], G[\phi]\} \), where
\[
F[\phi] = \int du \, f(u) \phi(u),
\]
\[
G[\phi] = \int du \, g(u) \phi(u),
\]
and then put \( f(u) = \delta(u - u') \) and \( g(u) = \delta(u - u'') \). We now show that if we do this then it would lead to a contradiction.
Let \( X_F \) be the vector field generated by the function \( F[\phi] \) on phase space, i.e.,

\[
X_F = \int \, du \, X(u) \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi(u)}.
\]

By definition, we have \( \delta F = i_{X_F} \Omega \). This gives

\[
\int \, du \, f(u) \delta \phi(u) = X(u) \delta \phi(u)|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} - 2 \int \, du \, \dot{X}(u) \delta \phi(u).
\]

Since \( \delta \phi(u) \) is arbitrary, with \( \delta \phi(\pm \infty) \neq 0 \), we must have that

\[
X(\pm \infty) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f(u) = -2 \dot{X}(u),
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \, du \, f(u) = 0.
\]

But this is not compatible with \( f(u) \) being a delta function \( \delta(u - u') \), which we need to calculate the bracket \( \{ \phi(u'), \phi(u'') \} \). We conclude that this Poisson bracket is not well-defined. It can also be checked that the Poisson bracket between \( \phi(u) \) is actually well-defined since \( \dot{\phi}(u) \to 0 \) as \( u \to \pm \infty \). In the same vein, Poisson bracket between vector potentials in our massless abelian theory is also not well-defined, but the Poisson bracket between electric fields is well-defined. Thus it is not surprising that a naive extraction of the Poisson bracket between vector potentials from the symplectic structure yields ambiguous results, such as the “factor of 1/2” problem encountered in Refs. \[6, 7\]. One way to cure this problem is to enlarge the phase space by introducing new degrees of freedom so that the contradiction noted above is avoided. These degrees of freedom are also called the “edge states” \( \text{(see, for e.g., Refs. [33, 34])} \). This is the procedure followed in Refs. \[6, 7\]. Let us now see how it works in our toy model.

We introduce a new degree of freedom \( \phi_- \), which is really the boundary value of the field \( \phi \) at \( u \to -\infty \) (hence the name – edge state). Let us take as its symplectic partner \( \phi_+ \), which is the boundary value of \( \phi \) at \( u \to +\infty \). Symplectic structure on the enlarged phase space is now taken to be

\[
\Omega = \int \, du \, \delta \phi \, \delta \dot{\phi} + \delta \phi_- \delta \phi_+.
\]

In this enlarged phase space, \( \delta F = i_{X_F} \Omega \) gives

\[
\int \, du \, f(u) \delta \phi(u) = X(u) \delta \phi(u)|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} - 2 \int \, du \, \dot{X}(u) \delta \phi(u) + X(-\infty) \delta \phi_+ - X(\infty) \delta \phi_+,
\]

\[
= -2 \int \, du \, \dot{X}(u) \delta \phi(u) + (X(\infty) + X(-\infty)) (\delta \phi_+ - \delta \phi_-).
\]

If we impose anti-periodic boundary conditions on \( X(u) \) then we are left with \( f(u) = -2 \dot{X}(u) \) as before, which after integration gives \( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \, du \, f(u) = -2(X(\infty) - X(-\infty)) \). Since \( X(\pm \infty) \) is not constrained to vanish anymore, we can choose its value consistent with the choice of delta function for \( f(u) \). On the enlarged phase space, the Poisson bracket \( \{ \phi(u'), \phi(u'') \} \) can be read-off from the symplectic structure without causing any
inconsistency anywhere. This is the procedure followed in Refs. [6, 7], where the new degree of freedom (the edge state) $\phi_-$ is identified as the Goldstone mode.

We are going to follow another route. In the original phase space, although the Poisson brackets between $A$’s is not well defined, the Poisson brackets between the electric field $E$’s is well-defined. Therefore, without further ado we move on to quantize the phase space in the $E$-representation.

4.4 Quantization: $E$-representation

Following Ref. [30] (see also Ref. [32]), commutation relations of $E$’s can be read-off from the symplectic structure in Eq. (4.1) as

$$\left[ E_a(u, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}), E_b(u', \zeta', \bar{\zeta}') \right] = \frac{1}{4\pi i} q_{ab} \delta(\zeta - \zeta', \bar{\zeta} - \bar{\zeta}') \Delta(u - u'),$$

(4.23)

where $\Delta$ is the step function. We expand the electric field in the positive- and negative-frequency Fourier modes,

$$E_a(u, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) = \int_0^\infty d\omega \left[ \tilde{\epsilon}_a^\alpha a_\alpha(\omega, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) e^{-i\omega u} + \epsilon_a^\alpha b_\alpha(\omega, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) e^{i\omega u} \right],$$

(4.24)

where we take the polarizations to satisfy $\epsilon_a^\alpha \epsilon_b^\beta \delta_{\alpha\beta} = q_{ab}$, and $\tilde{\epsilon}_a^\alpha$ is defined such that $\tilde{\epsilon}_a^\pm = \epsilon_a^\mp$. The reason for choosing the multiplicative factor of $\omega$ with operators $a_\alpha, b_\alpha$ is that we want to associate these operators with the creation/annihilation of photons, which are particles corresponding to the vector-potential $A_a$. Since $E_a = \mathcal{L}_a A_a$, the expansion of the operator $A_a$ would not have such a multiplicative factor of $\omega$. Now we impose the following commutation relations among the operators $a_\alpha$ and $b_\alpha$,

$$[a_\alpha, a_\beta] = 0 = [b_\alpha, b_\beta],$$

$$[a_\alpha(\omega, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}), b_\beta(\omega', \zeta', \bar{\zeta}')] = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\delta(\omega - \omega')}{8\pi^2 \omega^3} \delta(\zeta - \zeta', \bar{\zeta} - \bar{\zeta}').$$

(4.25)

Then using the integral representation of the step function

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} e^{i\omega(u-u')} = 2\pi i \Delta(u - u'),$$

(4.26)

we find that the commutation relations (4.25) ensure that the canonical commutation relations of $E$’s in Eq. (4.23) are obeyed.

The relation between the operators $a$ and $b$ is obtained by imposing an appropriate hermiticity condition. Since we are working in the stereographic coordinates $\{ \zeta, \bar{\zeta} \}$, we require that $(E_\zeta)\dagger = \bar{E}_\zeta$. Then using the reality of polarization vectors given in Eq. (3.5) we get

$$b_+ = a_-^\dagger,$$

$$b_- = a_+^\dagger.$$

(4.27)
Therefore, using Eqs. (4.23, 4.24, 4.27, 3.5) we finally get the explicit form of the $\zeta$ and $\bar{\zeta}$ components of the electric-field operator as

$$E_{\zeta} = \sqrt{2} \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega \left[ a_+(\omega, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) e^{-i\omega u} + a_+^\dagger(\omega, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) e^{i\omega u} \right],$$

$$E_{\bar{\zeta}} = \sqrt{2} \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega \left[ a_-(\omega, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) e^{-i\omega u} + a_-^\dagger(\omega, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) e^{i\omega u} \right].$$

From Eqs. (4.10) and (4.28), after doing the integral over $u$, we get the quantum operator generating the large gauge symmetry with gauge parameter $\lambda$ as

$$Q_{\lambda} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^2} d^2\zeta \sqrt{q} q^{\zeta \bar{\zeta}} D_\zeta \lambda \frac{\sqrt{2}}{1 + \zeta \bar{\zeta}} \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega \delta(\omega) \left[ a_+(\omega, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) + a_+^\dagger(\omega, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) \right].$$

In particular, choosing the gauge parameter as $\lambda = \frac{1}{\zeta - z}$ and plugging in the metric (2.5), either in Eq. (4.11) or in Eq. (4.29), we get

$$Q_{\lambda_{\zeta - z}} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{1 + (\zeta - z)^2} \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega \delta(\omega) \left[ a_+(\omega, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) + a_+^\dagger(\omega, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) \right].$$

The explicit form of the gauge generator in Eq. (4.30) indicates that it creates/annihilates a photon with vanishing small energy. In the vacuum defined by $a_\pm |0\rangle = 0$, we see that the state obtained by the action of $Q_{\lambda}$ is not normalizable. Thus the large gauge symmetry is spontaneously broken in this vacuum. Soft photons are then the Goldstone modes of the spontaneously broken large gauge symmetry [4, 6].

5 Promoting phase-space symmetry to the symmetry of S-matrix

So far we have constructed the charge operator that generates the large gauge-symmetry on the radiative phase space constructed on $\mathcal{I}^+$, i.e., it transforms one set of given initial data on $\mathcal{I}^+$ to a new initial dataset. We could also have defined the initial data on the $\mathcal{I}^-$ and there would be a corresponding generator of large gauge-symmetry on the corresponding phase space. Let us denote by $\mathcal{G}^+$ ($\mathcal{G}^-$) the group of large gauge symmetries at $\mathcal{I}^+$ ($\mathcal{I}^-$). Let the corresponding generators be $Q_{\lambda^\text{out}}$ ($Q_{\lambda^\text{in}}$). Each of these generators consists of a matter piece $Q_{\lambda^\text{matter}}$ and a radiation piece $Q_{\lambda^\text{rad}}$,

$$Q_{\lambda^\text{out}} = Q_{\lambda^\text{matter}} + Q_{\lambda^\text{rad}},$$

and similarly for $Q_{\lambda^\text{in}}$. The action of matter part on a state is given by

$$Q_{\lambda^\text{matter}} |\alpha\rangle = -\sum_{n \in \alpha} e_n \lambda(z_n, \bar{z}_n) |\alpha\rangle,$$

where the sum involves the charge $e$ and the location $z$ (hence momentum) of each particle in the state $|\alpha\rangle$.

There is, in general, no relation between the gauge parameter on $\mathcal{I}^+$ and that on $\mathcal{I}^-$, we are free to choose them independently. Thus neither $\mathcal{G}^+$ nor $\mathcal{G}^-$ is the symmetry of the
S-matrix. In order to promote the symmetry of the phase space to the symmetry of the S-matrix, Strominger suggested to first identify the null generators of $I^+$ and $I^-$ by the antipodal mapping of the $S^2$ cross-sections and then equate the gauge parameters on $I^+$ and $I^-$ along the identified generators [5]. This can clearly be done in Minkowski space because an ingoing null ray originating at a point of $S^2$ of $I^-$ will hit the antipodal point of $S^2$ at $I^+$. Presumably, this can also be done in spacetimes which are “sufficiently close” to Minkowski [35] (see also Ref. [36]). Identifying the generators of $I^+$ and $I^-$ in this way, and equating the gauge parameters on $I^+$ and $I^-$ we promote the diagonal subgroup $G_{\text{diag}} \subset G_+ \times G_-$ of the large gauge-symmetry of phase space defined via the initial- and final-data surface to the symmetry of the S-matrix. Thus we obtain the following Ward identity [5]

$$\langle \beta | Q^\text{out}_\lambda S - S Q^\text{in}_\lambda | \alpha \rangle = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

In the next section we will see that this Ward identity is equivalent to Weinberg’s soft-photon theorem as written in Eq. (3.7). In what follows, we will suppress the tags $\text{out}/\text{in}$. It would be clear from the state on which the operator is acting whether it is the $\text{out}$ or $\text{in}$ type.

6 Ward identity $\iff$ Weinberg’s soft-photon theorem

Separating the matter and radiation piece of $Q$ in Eq. (5.3) we get,

$$\langle \beta | Q_\lambda S - S Q_\lambda | \alpha \rangle = -\langle \beta | Q^\text{matter}_\lambda S - S Q^\text{matter}_\lambda | \alpha \rangle. \quad (6.1)$$

Using Eq. (4.29) and the crossing symmetry $\langle \beta | S a_+^\dagger(\omega, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) | \alpha \rangle = \langle \beta | a_+(-\omega, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) S | \alpha \rangle$, the left-hand-side of Eq. (6.1) becomes,

$$\langle \beta | Q_\lambda S - S Q_\lambda | \alpha \rangle =
\begin{align*}
-\frac{1}{2\pi} & \int_{S^2} d^2 \xi \sqrt{q} q^{\zeta \bar{\zeta}} D_\xi \lambda \frac{\sqrt{2}}{(1 + \zeta \bar{\zeta})} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \omega \delta(\omega) \langle \beta | a_+(-\omega, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) S | \alpha \rangle. \\
= -\frac{1}{2\pi} & \int_{S^2} d^2 \xi \sqrt{q} q^{\zeta \bar{\zeta}} D_\xi \lambda \frac{\sqrt{2}}{(1 + \zeta \bar{\zeta})} \lim_{\omega \to 0} \langle \beta | \omega a_+(\omega, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}) S | \alpha \rangle,
\end{align*}
\quad (6.2)$$

while the right-hand-side of Eq. (6.1) gives

$$-\langle \beta | Q^\text{matter}_\lambda S - S Q^\text{matter}_\lambda | \alpha \rangle = \left[ \sum_{n \in \beta} e_n \lambda(\zeta_n, \bar{\zeta}_n) - \sum_{n \in \alpha} e_n \lambda(\zeta_n, \bar{\zeta}_n) \right] \langle \beta | S | \alpha \rangle. \quad (6.3)$$

Now plugging in the metric (2.5) and choosing the gauge parameter $\lambda(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) = \frac{1}{\zeta - \bar{\zeta}}$ in Eqs. (6.2, 6.3), the Ward identity Eq. (5.3) becomes,

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{(1 + \zeta \bar{\zeta})} \lim_{\omega \to 0} \langle \beta | \omega a_+(\omega, z, \bar{\zeta}) S | \alpha \rangle = \left[ \sum_{n \in \beta} e_n \frac{1}{z - \zeta_n} - \sum_{n \in \alpha} e_n \frac{1}{z - \zeta_n} \right] \langle \beta | S | \alpha \rangle. \quad (6.4)$$
Rearranging, we get,

\[
\lim_{\omega \to 0} \langle \beta | a_+ (\omega, z, \bar{z}) S | \alpha \rangle = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{(1 + z \bar{z})}{\sqrt{2\omega}} \left[ \sum_{n \in \beta} e_n \frac{1}{z - \zeta_n} - \sum_{n \in \alpha} e_n \frac{1}{z - \zeta_n} \right] \langle \beta | S | \alpha \rangle, \quad (6.5)
\]

and recognizing that \( \lim_{\omega \to 0} \langle \beta | a_+ (\omega, z, \bar{z}) S | \alpha \rangle = \lim_{\omega \to 0} M^{(+)}_{\alpha \to \beta} (q) \) we get the soft-photon theorem in the form written in terms of quantities defined intrinsically on \( \mathcal{I} \) as in Eq. (3.7).

This shows that Ward identity in Eq. (5.3) implies the soft-photon theorem in Eq. (3.2). Finally, we invoke the observation of Ref. [4] that any gauge parameter \( \lambda (\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) \) can be written as

\[
\lambda (\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^2} d^2 \bar{q} q^{\bar{z} z} \lambda (z, \bar{z}) D_{\bar{z}} \frac{1}{z - \zeta},
\]

to show that starting from Eq. (6.4), we can multiply both sides with a \( D_{\bar{z}} \lambda (\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) \) and retrace the steps back. Thus the Ward identity in Eq. (5.3) for general large gauge parameter follows from the soft-photon theorem in Eq. (3.7).

7 Summary and Outlook

In this paper we have derived the Weinberg’s soft-photon theorem for massless Abelian gauge theory as a Ward identity corresponding to the diagonal subgroup of the group of large gauge symmetries acting on the radiative data on \( \mathcal{I}^+ \) and \( \mathcal{I}^- \). Our derivation is a slight generalization of that of Refs. [4, 6] because it also applies when the bulk spacetime is close to being Minkowskian, but is not exactly flat. Our calculations are done on the conformal boundary \( \mathcal{I} \) of the spacetime. We have worked throughout with the quantities defined intrinsically on \( \mathcal{I} \). While this is in line with our motivation, which is holography for asymptotically flat space, it is far removed from the known examples of holography. For example, in AdS/CFT the boundary values of the bulk fields source the dual operators in the boundary gauge theory. One doesn’t simply take the boundary values of the fields and quantize them. Nonetheless, we believe that our treatment of soft-theorem and Ward identity with a clean separation of the role of bulk and the boundary will be useful in developing holography in flat space.

We have not discussed the subleading terms in the soft-photon theorem [37, 38]. It is known that the subleading soft-photon theorem implies a Ward identity [9]. But what is the symmetry that this Ward identity corresponds to is not known. There could be a possibility that BMS and large-gauge transformations interact in such a way so as to generate more interesting symmetries that could account for the subleading terms in the Ward identity. But the algebra formed by BMS generators together with the generators of large-gauge symmetry discussed in Sec. 4.2 does not seem to have a rich structure to support this point of view. The question thus remains open at the moment. The expression of BMS generators (also given in Ref. [31]) would nevertheless be useful in the study of the relationship between the soft-theorem and the electromagnetic memory effect as recently suggested in Ref. [39]. The work along this direction is in progress and will be reported elsewhere.
We noted in Sec. 4.3 that when the large gauge-symmetry is admitted, the Poisson bracket of $A$'s is not well defined. We traced back the “factor of 1/2” problem noted in Refs. [6, 7] to the ambiguity in the Poisson bracket of $A$’s due to the presence of large gauge-symmetry. Although one could avoid this problem by choosing electric field as the fundamental variable as we did in Sec. 4.4, we considered it instructive to explain the resolution of this problem as provided in Refs. [6, 7] via a toy model. The toy model makes it clear that one advantage of the approach in Refs. [6, 7] is that it easily leads us to identify the soft-photons as edge states. In any case, one would need the operator corresponding to the vector potential in order to quantize, say, the BMS generators. Furthermore, the identification of soft-photons as edge states could potentially have important consequences. We can not resist the temptation to speculate on the role of soft-modes in Hawking radiation and information loss in black-hole evaporation. The edge states carry entanglement entropy [40–42]. Could it be that when one takes the entropy of the soft modes (say, of soft-gravitons) into account then the Hawking radiation is not thermal after all? We emphasize that this proposal is very different from the edge states associated to the presence of horizon (see, for e.g., Refs. [43, 44]) where one associates the edge states to be localized on the horizon to account for the horizon entropy, i.e., horizon is the edge. Our suggestion, instead, is to calculate the contribution of soft modes to the entropy of Hawking radiation. The edge states in this case are the soft modes and they lie on the boundary of $\mathcal{J}^+$, i.e., on the “edge of infinity” in some sense.

Finally, it should not be too difficult to extend our analysis to non-Abelian gauge theories and gravity.
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A Symplectic formulation of field theory

In this appendix we give a lightening review of the covariant phase space formulation of field theory in the the symplectic language. We refer the reader to Refs. [45, 46] for a detailed description.

The covariant phase space $\Gamma$ is a symplectic manifold equipped with a closed two-form $\Omega$ called the pre-symplectic structure. Each point of $\Gamma$ is the solution of the equation of motion and thus represents the entire history of the system. Degenerate directions of $\Omega$ are the gauge transformations of the theory. The degenerate directions can be shown to be integrable. One can quotient $\Gamma$ by these integral manifolds and obtain the reduced phase
space (also denoted by $\Gamma$) which now inherits a non-degenerate symplectic structure (also denoted by $\Omega$).

The observables of the theory are represented by certain functions on $\Gamma$. Every observable $f$ defines a flow on the phase space by specifying a vector field $X_f$ associated to it as

$$\delta f = i_{X_f} \Omega,$$

where $\delta$ denotes the exterior derivative on the phase space. Given two functions $f$ and $g$, the Poisson bracket between them is defined as

$$\{f, g\} := \Omega(X_f, X_g).$$

Poisson bracket satisfies the Jacobi identity, i.e., for three observables, $f, g, h$ we have

$$\{f, \{g, h\}\} + \{g, \{h, f\}\} + \{h, \{f, g\}\} = 0.$$

The vector field $X_f$ generated by a function $f$ acts on a function $g$ as

$$X_f(g) := \{f, g\}.$$

Hence, by definition, we have $X_f(g) = -X_g(f)$. The Lie bracket between the vector fields can be calculated using the Jacobi identity and we get

$$[X_f, X_g] = X_{\{f, g\}}.$$

Those vector fields on the phase space which Lie-drag $\Omega$ are called the symmetries of the theory. That is, a vector field $X_h$ on phase space is said to generate a symmetry if

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_h} \Omega = 0.$$

Since, $\Omega$ is closed we have that $\mathcal{L}_{X_h} \Omega = \delta i_{X_h} \Omega$, where we have used the identity $\mathcal{L}_{X_h} = \delta i_{X_h} + i_{X_h} \delta$. A necessary and sufficient condition for this to vanish is that $i_{X_h} \Omega$ be an exact form, i.e., there is a function $h$ on phase space such that

$$\delta h = i_{X_h} \Omega.$$

Thus, the symmetries are associated with certain functions on the phase space. These functions thus associate a conserved quantity to each history, for e.g., the energy associated to a spacetime is the value of the Hamiltonian function.

**An example: Free Maxwell field**

Let us now see how it all works out in the free Maxwell field. The action is given by

$$S = \frac{-1}{4} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu},$$

(A.8)
where $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the field strength of the U(1) gauge field $A_\mu$. Variation of the action is given by

$$
\delta S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \, \delta A_\mu \nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu} - \oint d^3x \sqrt{h} \, n_\mu F^{\mu\nu} \delta A_\nu,
$$

(A.9)

where $h$ is the determinant of the induced metric $h_{\mu\nu}$ on the boundary and $n_\mu$ is its covariant normal. Bulk term yields the equation of motion $\nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 0$. Integral of the boundary integrand on a constant time-slice $\Sigma$ defines the symplectic potential,

$$
\Theta = - \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \sqrt{h} \, n_\mu F^{\mu\nu} \delta A_\nu.
$$

(A.10)

Pre-symplectic structure is now given by the exterior derivative of the symplectic potential as

$$
\Omega = \delta \Theta,
$$

(A.11)

$$
= - \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \sqrt{h} \delta E^\mu \delta A_\mu,
$$

(A.12)

where $\delta E^\mu := n_\mu \delta F^{\mu\nu}$. We thus see that the phase space is coordinatized by $\{A_\mu, E^\mu\}$. Now, consider the vector field on the phase space given by

$$
X_\Lambda = \int d^4x \nabla_\mu \Lambda \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu}
$$

(A.13)

where $\Lambda$ is a spacetime scalar. If $i_{X_\Omega} = 0$ then this is the gauge direction of $\Omega$. Calculating

$$
i_{X_\Lambda} \Omega = \int d^3x \sqrt{h} \delta E^\mu \nabla_\mu \Lambda.
$$

(A.14)

Now from the definition of the Electric field we see that $n_\mu E^\mu = 0$, hence the derivative of $\Lambda$ is really only in the direction orthogonal to $n^\mu$. Denoting the intrinsic covariant derivative on the slice $\Sigma$ as $D_\mu$, we get after integration by parts

$$
i_{X_\Lambda} \Omega = - \int d^3x \sqrt{h} (D_\mu \delta E^\mu) \Lambda + \oint d^2x \sqrt{q} \, m_\mu \delta E^\mu \Lambda,
$$

(A.15)

where $m_\mu$ is the covariant normal to the boundary of $\Sigma$ at infinity. Now, projecting the equation of motion $\nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu}$ on the slice $\Sigma$ we get the Gauss law $D_\mu E^\mu = 0$, which is interpreted as a constraint in the canonical theory. In the covariant phase space of course there are no constraints. Using the linearized equation $D_\mu \delta E^\mu = 0$, we get

$$
i_{X_\Lambda} \Omega = \oint d^2x \sqrt{q} \, m_\mu \delta E^\mu \Lambda.
$$

(A.16)

Thus we see that only when $\Lambda$ vanishes on the boundary of $\Sigma$ that $X_\Lambda$ is a degenerate direction of $\Omega$ and the motion along $X_\Lambda$ is to be interpreted as gauge. If $\Lambda$ does not vanish on the boundary of $\Sigma$ then we define the hamiltonian or charge $Q_\Lambda$ as $\delta Q_\Lambda = i_{X_\Lambda} \Omega$ where $Q_\Lambda$ is given by

$$
Q_\Lambda = \int d^2x \sqrt{q} \, m_\mu E^\mu \Lambda.
$$

(A.17)

The charge $Q_\Lambda$ generates the transformation $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \nabla_\mu \Lambda$ on the phase space. For the algebra of charges, we find that $\{Q_{\Lambda_1}, Q_{\Lambda_2}\} = 0$, hence the charge algebra is abelian.
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