PausePred and Rfeet: webtools for inferring ribosome pauses and visualizing footprint density from ribosome profiling data
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ABSTRACT
The process of translation is characterized by irregularities in the local decoding rates of specific mRNA codons. This includes the occurrences of long pauses that can take place when ribosomes decode certain peptide sequences, encounter strong RNA secondary structures, or decode "hungry" codons. Examples are known where such pausing or stalling is used for regulating protein synthesis. This can be achieved at the level of translation via direct alteration of ribosome progression through mRNA or by altering mRNA stability via NoGo decay. Ribosome pausing has also been implicated in the cotranslational folding of proteins. Ribosome profiling data often are used for inferring the locations of ribosome pauses. However, no dedicated online software is available for this purpose. Here we present PausePred (https://pausepred.ucc.ie/), which can be used to infer ribosome pauses from ribosome profiling (Ribo-seq) data. Peaks of ribosome footprint density are scored based on their magnitude relative to the background density within the surrounding area. The scoring allows the comparison of peaks across the transcriptome or genome. In addition to the score, PausePred reports the coordinates of the pause, the footprint density at the pause site, and the surrounding nucleotide sequence. The pauses can be visualized in the context of Ribo-seq and RNA-seq density plots generated for specific transcripts or genomic regions with the Rfeet tool. PausePred does not require input on the location of protein coding ORFs (although gene annotations can be optionally supplied). As a result, it can be used universally and its output does not depend on ever evolving annotations.
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INTRODUCTION
The progression of ribosomes along mRNA is often accompanied by the presence of strong pauses at specific locations (Richter and Coller 2015). Among the known causes of such site-specific pauses are strong RNA secondary structures whose unwinding is required for ribosome progression (Somogyi et al. 1993; Tholstrup et al. 2012) and specific nascent peptides interacting with the ribosome (Tenson and Ehrenberg 2002). Such peptide-mediated pauses are known to function as part of translation regulators sensing specific molecules as has been demonstrated for the fungal arginine attenuator peptide (Fang et al. 2004), erythromycin resistance genes in bacteria (Arend et al. 2014) and in the polyamine responsive translation of the AMD1 mRNA in mammals (Law et al. 2001). Ribosome pausing was recently found to occur at AUG-Stop ORFs in response to boron (Tanaka et al. 2016). Ribosome pausing is also known to affect the stability of mRNA via NoGo decay (Doma and Parker 2006). Differences in local decoding rates have been implicated in cotranslational protein folding (Tsai et al. 2008).

Ribosome profiling (Ribo-seq) (Ingolia et al. 2009) provides a snapshot of ribosome locations across all mRNAs by capturing mRNA fragments protected by ribosomes (Michel and Baranov 2013; Ingolia 2016; Andreev et al. 2017). The detection of abnormally high peaks of ribosome footprint density can aid in the identification of ribosome pauses (Ingolia et al. 2011; Woolstenhulme et al. 2015), and features associated with these pauses (Sabi and Tuller 2017). Since the invention of ribosome profiling, numerous bioinformatics methods have been developed for the analysis of differential mRNA translation (Olshen et al. 2013; Xiao et al. 2016; Oertlin et al. 2017; Zhong 2017).
et al. 2017), identification of translated open reading frames (ORFs) (Michel et al. 2012; Fields et al. 2015; Calviello et al. 2016; Chun et al. 2016; Raj et al. 2016; Malone et al. 2017; Ndah et al. 2017), characterization of global determinants of local decoding rates (O’Connor et al. 2016) as well as general use platforms (Chung et al. 2015; Crappé et al. 2015; Legendre et al. 2015; Dunn and Weissman 2016; Michel et al. 2016; Carja et al. 2017) and databases (Michel et al. 2014, 2015, 2018; Wan and Qian 2014; Olxieouk et al. 2016; Xie et al. 2016; Liu et al. 2017); see Calviello and Ohler (2017) for a recent review. While many ribosome profiling studies involved the identification of pauses, no dedicated software for pause detection and analysis is currently available. Further, most custom scripts detect pauses relative to the average density of the protein coding ORF. As a result, the predictions made with such scripts change when the annotations are updated.

To fill this gap, we have developed the PausePred tool for the identification of pauses by analyzing ribosome footprints aligned to transcriptome or genome reference sequences. PausePred extracts sequences surrounding high density peaks which can be readily used for exploring sequence motifs enriched in the vicinity of the pause sites. PausePred can also be used for analyzing changes in pause strength in response to different experimental conditions. The pauses can be visually examined using the Rfeet tool that we have developed to plot Ribo-seq and RNA-seq read density profiles.

RESULTS

PausePred

PausePred takes the number of reads \( r \) mapped to each position \( i \) within a sliding window of length \( n \) (step = \( n/2 \)) and normalizes it over the average density within the window. The average of these values across overlapping windows is used as the pause score, \( S_i \):

\[
S_i = \frac{n}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i + \sum_{i=2}^{n} r_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i \sum_{i=2}^{n} r_i \right)
\]

Pause scores exceeding a certain threshold are selected for further analysis. The default threshold is 20, which is a highly permissive score that typically reports pauses on 1%–3% of coordinates; see Figure 1A. Highly atypical pauses would normally have a higher score than that. However, in certain cases, a smaller threshold could be more appropriate, e.g., when data sets are small and there is a need to increase the total number of data points as in the example used for the PausePred illustration. Due to differences in ribosome footprint coverage, the statistical significance of the pauses with the same score may differ. To take this into account, PausePred carries out a z-score transformation similar to what has been used for differential gene expression analysis (Andreev et al. 2015), i.e., pauses are grouped into bins of 300 based on footprint coverage within the corresponding windows and z-scores are calculated based on the parameters of the pause score distribution within each bin.

The user can specify the footprint read lengths to be considered (28–30 nt by default), the size of the window \( n \) for calculating the background density (the default is 1000 nt) and the offset value for inferring the position of the A-site from either the 5’ or 3’ end of the mapped reads.

The length of footprints varies depending on the organism or organelle used (Oh et al. 2011; Rooijers et al. 2013), as well as on parameters of the protocols (O’Connor et al. 2016) such as translation inhibitors (Ingolia et al. 2011; Gladyshev 2017), and ribosome footprint size selection (Mohammad et al. 2016). The default window size of 1000 nt corresponds to the length of a typical protein coding region (Fig. 1B). When using PausePred for the detection of pauses specifically in small ORFs (e.g., in regulatory uORFs), it is advisable to reduce the length of the

\[\text{FIGURE 1. (A) The fraction of coordinates with a pause score greater than or equal to the score indicated on the x-axis (E. coli, SRA accession number SRR1734432 from Woolstenhulme et al. 2015; Yeast, control sample from Yerlikaya et al. 2016; Rat, SRA accession number SRR1557705 from Andreev et al. 2015; Human, SRA accession number SRR2433794 from Calviello et al. 2016).} \]

\[\text{(B) Kernel density distributions of the lengths of protein coding ORFs in different organisms based on the transcriptome sequences downloaded from the following resources: Yeast: Saccharomyces genome database (Cherry et al. 1997), strain: S288C; E. coli: NCBI (NCBI Resource Coordinators 2017) assembly accession GCA_000005845.2; Human: Gencode (Harrow et al. 2012) release 25, GRCh37; Rat: Ensembl (Aken et al. 2016), Rnor_6.0 (GCA_000001895.4).} \]
window size. Obviously, the presence of multiple pauses within the same window would reduce the pause score. Thus, it might be advisable to carry out analysis with smaller window sizes when such a possibility is a concern.

The offset for inferring the A-site is an important parameter that is often data specific and should be determined based on the data. Also, due to different asymmetries in ribosome footprint length in bacteria (O’Connor et al. 2013), an offset from the 3’ end is likely to give more accurate predictions of the A-site position (Mohammad et al. 2016). With PausePred, the user can specify both the offset as well as the end from which it should be applied. The recently developed riboWaltz is an effective approach for determining read length specific A-site offsets (Lauria et al. 2017), and in conjunction with PausePred it can be used for precise pause localization using footprints of each specific length separately, since PausePred supports the use of multiple offsets for footprints of different lengths. Other tools also exist for offset detection including Plastid (Dunn and Weissman 2016), RiboProfiling (Popa et al. 2016), and RP-BP (Malone et al. 2017).

For each inferred pause, the sequence name/ID, the coordinate of the pause, the number of reads mapped, pause score, average coverage percentage of overlapping windows (where coverage is defined as the percentage of positions within a window having a minimum of one read mapped) and the z-score are output in a csv file. The flanking sequences are also provided (the default is 100 nt with the pause site corresponding to position 51) (Table 1). If an annotation file has been supplied, PausePred will provide codon level information related to the predicted pause (Table 1) (see Materials and Methods). We tested PausePred on ribosome profiling data from different organisms including E. coli (Woolstenhulme et al. 2015), yeast (Yerlikaya et al. 2016), rat (Andreev et al. 2015), and human (Calviello et al. 2016) (Fig. 1A).

**Illustration of PausePred usage**

Here we illustrate PausePred predictions using the Ribo-seq data generated for the E. coli MG1655 strain and a mutant strain that lacks elongation factor EFP (Woolstenhulme et al. 2015). After processing the data (see Materials and Methods), the sorted BAM files were uploaded to the PausePred webtool (https://pausepred.ucc.ie/). To compare the EFP knockout strain with the wild-type, a pause score of 10, read lengths varying from 25–35, a 3’ offset of 12 nt for each read length and window coverage of 5 (a minimum of 5% of the positions within a window size of 1000 nt had at least one read mapped) were used for pause prediction in both the EFP mutant and the wild-type Ribo-seq samples. A lower threshold than the default pause score was used to increase the number of detected

| Sequence name/ID | Coordinate position | Reads mapped | Pause score | Coverage (%) | Upstream sequence | Downstream sequence | Z-score | Codon |
|-----------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------|-------|
| nlpD            | 174                 | 812          | 319.93      | 36.1         | AATGCCCTGCAAA     | TCAAGGGACGACGT      | 8.88    | AAA   |
| gltD            | 48                  | 596          | 312.69      | 30.6         | ATGAGTCAGAATGT    | TTAACCATGAAAGG     | 10.08   | CCG   |
| emtA            | 88                  | 1113         | 269.94      | 24.9         | TAGCGGTTGTCAT     | CAGTAAATTTATG      | 7.81    | AAA   |
| proP            | 178                 | 648          | 240.17      | 36.6         | TTTAGTTTTTGTG     | CCTACGCATGATG      | 7.96    | GAC   |
| ubiD            | 307                 | 524          | 175.48      | 32.5         | a. GTGAAGTTGAAA   | b. GGTTTCGGCGAAGT  | 5.9     | GGT   |

The PausePred output for a sample set of genes using Ribo-seq data generated in an E. coli strain lacking elongation factor EFP (Woolstenhulme et al. 2015). The genes were randomly selected from a number of cases where the difference in the pause score compared to the wild-type was ≥50, with a z-score value greater than 3 and window coverage higher than 20 (see Fig. 2B). The input to PausePred were Ribo-seq data mapped to an E. coli transcriptome and gene annotation file (see Materials and Methods).
pauses. Table 1 provides the PausePred output for a subset of the detected pauses, and Figure 2A shows the Rfet visualization (see Materials and Methods) of the pause detected in the Ribo-seq density profile of the *ubiD* gene. Of the predicted pauses, 399 candidates were found to have a difference in pause score of at least 50 compared to the corresponding position in the wild-type Ribo-seq alignments (highlighted in red in Fig. 2B). The EFP pauses with a pause score ≥50 compared to WT are highlighted in red. (C) The sequence motif found to be overrepresented in 88 cases, using 15 nt upstream of the detected pauses in the filtered 399 cases (8, highlighted in red). The pause score of these locations is higher than in the wild-type by at least 50. (D) The enrichment of the PP motif in the 88 sequences found to contain a C-rich motif at the nucleotide level.

**Detection of previously reported pauses**

Ribosome pausing at an upstream ORF (MAGDIS) has been reported for the **AMD1** gene (Ruan et al. 1996) and recently (Yordanova et al. 2018), detected a ribosome stalling site in the 3′ leader of this gene. Using PausePred and ribosome profiling data generated for human from Calviello et al. (2016), we detected pauses at mRNA positions 47, 837, and 1725 with pause scores 114.98, 59.32, and 373.87 and z-scores of 5.55, 3.89, and 9.03, respectively (Fig. 3). In Figure 3B we provide the Rfet generated ribosome profile showing the three detected pauses.

PausePred also detected the known pause in XBP1u (Ingolia et al. 2011; Yanagitani et al. 2011) at mRNA position 832 with a pause score of 194.6 and z-score value of 10.70 (Fig. 3C).

PausePred also identified many of the pauses predicted by Woolstenhulme et al. (2015). One such example is the pause at position 616 for the *recG* gene with a pause score 246.05 and z-score value of 6.9 (Fig. 3D).

**DISCUSSION**

A number of studies (Ingolia et al. 2011; Li et al. 2012; Woolstenhulme et al. 2015; Mohammad et al. 2016; Matsuo et al. 2017) have relied on in-house scripts to identify pause sites in Ribo-seq data. Such in-house scripts are often difficult to transfer to use in different settings due to differences in computer platforms, software dependencies and data specific reasons. Custom made scripts often require gene annotation information, i.e., GTF (general transfer format) or GFF (general feature format). As a result, the output of these scripts is not stable over time due to
changes in annotations. The optional annotation independence of PausePred makes it an easy universal tool for consistent and reproducible analysis of ribosome pause locations. It also allows the detection of ribosomes pauses outside of coding regions, e.g., a recently discovered stalling site in the stop codon readthrough extension of the human AMD1 mRNA; see Figure 3B (Yordanova et al. 2018).

PausePred provides users with a browser-based translational pause prediction tool. Coupled with the visualization functionality offered by Rfeet, these webtools avoid the need to download software or develop computer programs. For researchers comfortable with the command line, stand-alone versions of both tools are also available. To illustrate the utility of these tools, a downloadable example data set for both genome and transcriptome alignments is provided along with step-by-step instructions including screen shots. In future, we plan to enhance the functionality of PausePred by incorporating differential pause analysis.

It is important to note that in its essence PausePred is a peak calling program that detects unusually high local density of footprints, but such density could arise due to reasons other than ribosome pauses. One source of high density is ambiguous alignments. Translation of mRNAs containing segments that share high sequence similarity would result in the alignment of all footprints generated from different mRNAs to the same locations elevating their local density. To avoid such artifacts, it is important to limit ambiguous mapping of sequencing reads or use an appropriate RNA-seq control that was generated with cDNA libraries of the same length, as it would produce high peaks of RNA-seq density for the same reason. The precise sequences of footprints vary depending on experimental protocol parameters; we discussed the sources of this variability elsewhere (O’Connor et al. 2016; Andreev et al. 2017).

Therefore, we recommend to use multiple data sets for a reliable analysis to yield robust interpretations. A prominent example related to this is the claim that Shine–Dalgarno sequences induce ribosome pauses in bacteria (Li et al. 2012). This claim was challenged later on the basis that the original study did not sequence footprints of all lengths but focused only on long ribosome protected fragments (Mohammad et al. 2016). Longer footprints are associated with Shine–Dalgarno sequences (O’Connor et al. 2013) and therefore sequencing only long footprints results in elevated footprint density downstream from Shine–Dalgarno sequences (Mohammad et al. 2016).

PausePred and Rfeet are designed for specific purposes in ribosome profiling data analysis, pause detection and visualization of local footprint density, respectively, and cannot be used as stand-alone tools for ribosome profiling data analysis which is a multistep process that requires processing of raw reads, alignment to reference sequences, removal of rRNA and tRNA contaminations. There are existing platforms that have been developed for this purpose, e.g., RiboGalaxy (Michel et al. 2016) and Plastid (Dunn and Weissman 2016), that provide the tools for these steps as well as many additional utilities. We plan to integrate PausePred and Rfeet into RiboGalaxy, which should make them readily available for the users of RiboGalaxy.

FIGURE 3. (A) The z-score and coverage of all the pauses predicted in the Calviello et al. (2016) data. The pauses predicted in AMD1 and XBP1 are highlighted in red. (B) Ribosome profile and ORF architecture generated with Rfeet showing the footprint density for the human AMD1 gene (transcript ENST00000368885) using Calviello et al. (2016) data. Pauses were detected at positions 47, 837, and 1725 with a pause score of 114.98, 59.32, and 373.87; z-score value of 5.55, 3.89, and 9.03. (C) The footprint density for the XBP1 gene (transcript ENST00000403532) using Calviello et al. (2016) data. A pause was detected in the XBP1 gene at position 832 with pause score 194.6 and z-score value of 10.70 using PausePred. (D) The footprint density for the gene recG using Woolstenhulme et al. (2015) data. The recG gene was found to contain a pause at position 616 in the EFP lacking sample with a pause score of 246.05 and a z-score value of 6.9 using PausePred.
**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**PausePred methodology**

The PausePred and Rfeet tools are written in PERL and can be used via a web browser interface at https://pausepred.uc.ie/. A standalone version is available for download on GitHub at https://github.com/romikasaini/Pausepred_offline. The web-based versions are served using the Apache2 web server. The website is designed using HTML and JAVA.

The PausePred workflow requires a reference sequence in FASTA format (genomic or transcriptomic) and Ribo-seq data alignments in sorted BAM format. A gene annotation file (a tab delimited text file with the first column specifying the name of the gene/ORF and second and third columns specifying the ORF coordinates) can also be optionally supplied. The coding frame information provided in the annotation file is used to detect the codon of the predicted pause.

The E. coli Ribo-seq data that we used to illustrate the utility of PausePred were downloaded from NCBI GEO accession number GSE64488 (Woolstenhulme et al. 2015). Raw reads need to be preprocessed to remove adapter sequences and rRNA contaminations followed by the alignment to reference sequences prior to using Pausepred. Raw reads were trimmed using Cutadapt (Martin 2011), and reads that mapped to rRNA and tRNA sequences were removed using the Bowtie short read alignment program (version 1.1.1) (Langmead et al. 2009) allowing a maximum of three mismatches. The E. coli transcriptome obtained from NCBI assembly accession GCA_000005845.2 and assembly name ASM584v2 was used as a reference sequence for the alignment of the remaining Ribo-seq reads also using Bowtie version 1.1.1. (Langmead et al. 2009), with no more than three mismatches allowed. The Bowtie SAM outputs were converted to BAM format and sorted using SAMtools (Li et al. 2009). The sorted BAM files were uploaded to the PausePred webtool (https://pausepred.uc.ie/).

For determining a suitable fold change threshold (Fig. 1A), we used Ribo-seq data from E. coli, human, rat, and yeast. For the E. coli data, we used a 3′ offset of 12 nt as mentioned in Woolstenhulme et al. (2015). For human, we selected data from Calviello et al. (2016) (accession number SRR2433794). The BAM alignment file was generated using the same approach as for E. coli (see Materials and Methods). The offsets for the A-site positions were predicted by creating metagene plots as described in Andreev et al. (2015). An offset value of 17 was used for reads of length 28–30 and 18 for reads of length 31. For rat we used Ribo-seq data from Andreev et al. (2015) (accession number SRR1557705). The parameters used for this data set were the same as for the Calviello et al. (2016) human data set. For yeast we used a control sample from Yerlikaya et al. (2016) with a 5′ offset value of 15 nt for a read length range of 28–31 nt. A default window of 1000 nt was used and the fold change was set to 5.

**Rfeet methodology**

Rfeet takes a BAM alignment file (single end reads only) and a reference sequence FASTA file as inputs, and produces Ribo-seq and RNA-seq (if supplied) read density profiles for a sequence name/ID. The nucleotide sequences are fetched with bioperl modules to provide the ORF architectures for the mRNA (forward strand) or genomic region (forward and reverse strands) with color delineation for the strand orientation and the start (ATG) and stop (TAG, TAA, TGA) codons. The ribosome footprint density plots, i.e., ribosome profiles, display 5′ or 3′ footprint end counts or the inferred positions of the decoding center when an offset is used (Figs. 2A, 3B–D). The option to use a second BAM alignment file is available for comparing additional RNA-seq or Ribo-seq alignments. For a given profile region (Gene/Transcript/Chr: start-stop), Rfeet provides two plot type options. The “absolute plot” will show the raw counts of the mapped reads and is the default option. Absolute counts are also plotted for the second input file when a coverage plot is selected. A coverage plot provides the number of sequence reads that align to each coordinate. The “normalized plot” is generated by dividing the number of reads mapped on each position by the total number of reads mapped in a particular profile.

\[
\frac{r_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i}
\]

where \( r \) is the number of reads mapped to each position \( i \) across the profile region of length \( n \).

To generate individual plots for more than one transcript or a genomic region, a comma separated list of IDs should be used. Red and blue colors are used to display ribosome footprints aligned to positive and negative strands, respectively (for example figure, see the Rfeet help page).
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