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Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) has been used as an animal model of multiple sclerosis to identify pathogenic cytokines that could be therapeutic targets. Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) is the only cytokine reported to be essential for EAE. We investigated the role of GM-CSF in EAE in C3HeB/FeJ mice that uniquely exhibit extensive brain and spinal cord inflammation. Unexpectedly, GM-CSF–deficient C3HeB/FeJ mice were fully susceptible to EAE because IL-17 activity compensated for the loss of GM-CSF during induction of spinal cord–targeted disease. In contrast, both GM-CSF and IL-17 were needed to fully overcome the inhibitory influence of IFN-γ on the induction of inflammation in the brain. Both GM-CSF and IL-17 independently promoted neutrophil accumulation in the brain, which was essential for brain-targeted disease. These results identify a GM-CSF/IL-17/IFN-γ axis that regulates inflammation in the central nervous system and suggest that a combination of cytokine-neutralizing therapies may be needed to dampen central nervous system autoimmunity.
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Introduction

Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), a widely used animal model of multiple sclerosis (MS), is initiated by myelin-specific CD4+ T cells infiltrating the central nervous system (CNS). These T cells produce cytokines within the CNS that promote leukocyte recruitment, triggering an inflammatory cascade that ultimately results in tissue damage. Most mouse strains exhibit classic EAE in which parenchymal inflammation occurs predominantly in the spinal cord and is manifested as ascending flaccid paralysis. Previous studies showed that this spinal cord–predominant pattern of inflammation is a result of IFN-γ production by infiltrating T cells, as IFN-γ signaling promotes inflammation in the spinal cord but suppresses inflammation in the brain (1). We developed a unique model of EAE in C3HeB/FeJ mice that exhibits both parenchymal brain and spinal cord inflammation on a wild-type background. In addition to signs of classic EAE, these mice exhibit atypical clinical signs associated with brain inflammation that include ataxia, leaning, and rolling. Subsequent studies in this model showed that IL-17 signaling counteracted the suppressive effect of IFN-γ in the brain, such that increasing the ratio of IL-17–secreting to IFN-γ–secreting T cells infiltrating the CNS promoted brain inflammation and atypical EAE (2, 3). Mechanistically, IL-17 promoted, but IFN-γ inhibited, neutrophil recruitment to the brain, and neutrophil infiltration was essential for the development of atypical, but not classic, EAE (3). Neutrophils appear to play an important role in promoting leukocyte migration out of perivascular cuffs (3); however, once leukocytes gain access to the brain parenchyma, other cell types such as monocytes may also contribute to tissue injury (4).

While IFN-γ and IL-17 clearly play important roles in determining where lesions localize within the CNS, genetic deficiency of each cytokine showed that they were both dispensable for classic EAE induction (1, 5). In contrast, multiple published studies concluded that granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) is an essential T cell cytokine in EAE. Several strains of mice deficient in GM-CSF, including NOD/Lt, B10.PL, and C57BL/6 are extremely resistant to EAE (6–9), and treatment with recombinant GM-CSF exacerbated disease (6). GM-CSF functions as a hematopoietic growth factor and proinflammatory cytokine, promoting activation and tissue accumulation of monocytes, macrophages, and granulocytes (10, 11). Although a variety of cell types can produce GM-CSF, including macrophages and endothelial cells (10), adoptive transfer experiments showed that GM-CSF produced by myelin-specific T cells, and not host cells, was essential to induce EAE in B10.PL and C57BL/6 mice (7–9). A pathogenic
role for GM-CSF has also been suggested in MS, as higher frequencies of GM-CSF–producing T cells have been found in the cerebrospinal fluid and blood of MS patients compared with controls (12–14).

The requirement for GM-CSF in EAE was demonstrated only in models of classic EAE; therefore, the contribution of GM-CSF to brain inflammation was not determined. We took advantage of the fact that wild-type C3HeB/FeJ mice develop both brain and spinal cord inflammation to investigate whether GM-CSF was essential for atypical EAE. We backcrossed the GM-CSF knockout mutation (Csf2−/−) onto the C3HeB/FeJ background and induced EAE by adoptive transfer to investigate the effect of GM-CSF deficiency in myelin-specific T cells. To our surprise, recipients of Csf2−/− donor T cells developed normal classic EAE. We found that deficiency in either GM-CSF or the IL-17 receptor (IL-17R) did not affect the incidence or severity of classic EAE; however, classic EAE was impaired when signaling from both cytokines was lacking. The redundant activities of GM-CSF and IL-17 in promoting spinal cord–targeted disease were independent of neutrophil recruitment. In contrast, T cell production of GM-CSF independently promoted atypical EAE, and this activity was dependent on neutrophil recruitment.

**Results**

We investigated the contribution of GM-CSF to EAE in wild-type C3HeB/FeJ mice by adoptively transferring T cells isolated from wild-type and Csf2−/− mice immunized with recombinant myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG) into wild-type recipient mice. T cells isolated from donor mice were cultured with IL-23 prior to transfer to maximize their potential to produce IL-17 and induce atypical EAE, and equivalent numbers of antigen-specific (blasting) donor CD4+ T cells from wild-type and Csf2−/− mice were transferred into recipient mice. We focused on this adoptive transfer approach because T cell priming, especially of Th17 cells, is less efficient in Csf2−/− compared with wild-type mice (6, 15, 16), which confounds interpretation of the specific contribution of GM-CSF in models of active EAE induction. Surprisingly, mice that received Csf2−/− donor T cells developed EAE with comparable incidence and severity as mice that received wild-type T cells (Figure 1 and Table 1). While recipients of Csf2−/− T cells had a significantly later day of onset and slightly slower progression, there was no significant difference in the maximum EAE scores between the 2 groups (Figure 1 and Table 1). We also transferred both wild-type and Csf2−/− T cells into Csf2−/− recipients. The Csf2−/− recipients of each type of T cell developed nearly identical EAE to the wild-type recipients of the same donor cells (Figure 1), confirming previous reports that host expression of GM-CSF is not required for EAE induction (7). Thus, while differences in EAE onset and progression were observed when donor T cells lacked GM-CSF, these data indicate that GM-CSF production by either donor T cells or host cells is not required for induction of severe EAE in C3HeB/FeJ mice.

Although the overall incidence and severity of EAE was comparable when disease was induced by either Csf2−/− or wild-type T cells, the manifestation of EAE differed when donor T cells lacked GM-CSF. Among mice with EAE, both the incidence and severity of atypical EAE signs were significantly decreased among recipients of Csf2−/− T cells compared with wild-type T cells (Table 1). In contrast, the incidence and severity of classic EAE signs was nearly identical among recipients of either Csf2−/− or wild-type T cells, indicating a greater requirement for GM-CSF in promoting brain inflammation compared with spinal cord inflammation in C3HeB/FeJ mice.

We previously showed that the incidence of atypical but not classic EAE in C3HeB/FeJ mice was reduced when wild-type T cells were transferred into IL-17R−deficient (Il17ra−/−) compared with wild-type mice, indicating that IL-17 plays a key role in promoting brain but not spinal cord inflammation (3). Importantly, it has been shown that CD4+ T cells primed in Csf2−/− mice produce lower levels of IL-17 upon restimulation compared with T cells primed in wild-type mice (15, 16). Consistent with these reports, we found that the frequency of IL-17+ MOG-specific CD4+ T cells was reduced among T cells primed in Csf2−/− mice compared with T cells primed in wild-type mice, while the frequency of IFN-γ+ T cells was the same for both types of mice (Figure 2A). This suggested that reduced levels of IL-17 rather than lack of GM-CSF production by Csf2−/− T cells could account for the decrease in atypical EAE signs seen in wild-type recipient mice. To determine if GM-CSF has a role in promoting atypical EAE independent of IL-17 signaling, we transferred MOG-specific T cells from wild-type and Csf2−/− mice into Il17ra−/− and wild-type recipients. We reasoned that if GM-CSF does not play an independent role in promoting atypical EAE, then there should be no further decrease in the incidence of atypical EAE in the Il17ra−/− recipient mice following transfer of Csf2−/− compared with wild-type T cells. As expected, the incidence of atypical EAE was reduced when wild-type donor T cells were transferred into Il17ra−/− compared with wild-type recipients, while both...
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WT cells from MOG-immunized WT or Csf2–/– scored for mice that developed EAE following transfer of IL-23–conditioned T cells. Mean clinical scores (± SEM) were determined using a single numerical scoring test (classic or atypical EAE score).

Figure 1. GM-CSF is not required for EAE in C3HeB/FeJ mice. Data shown (mean ± SEM) are from mice with experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), pooled from 13 experiments. Percentage classic or atypical is the percentage of mice with EAE exhibiting classic or atypical signs. Percentage classic or atypical was determined for each experiment prior to transfer into wild-type recipients (see Supplemental Figure 1 for an example; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.92362DS1). Among the 16 experiments, the IL-17/IFN-γ ratio of Csf2–/– donor T cells varied from 0.4 to 1.4. We observed a significant positive correlation between the IL-17/IFN-γ ratio of Csf2–/– donor T cells and the incidence of atypical EAE in types of recipient mice exhibited the same high incidence and severity of classic EAE (Table 1). Strikingly, no mice exhibited atypical EAE when Csf2–/– donor T cells were transferred into Il17ra–/– recipients (Table 1 and Figure 2, B and C). These data demonstrate that GM-CSF promotes atypical EAE in the absence of IL-17 signaling. Interestingly, although the incidence and severity of classic EAE was unaffected when either IL-17 signaling or T cell production of GM-CSF was lacking, combining both genetic defects had a significant impact on the manifestation of classic EAE. Il17ra–/– recipients of Csf2–/– donor cells developed a mild, chronic form of classic EAE at a significantly lower incidence than recipients of wild-type cells (Table 1 and Figure 2, B and C). These data indicate that IL-17 and GM-CSF are both important in promoting brain-targeted disease, but are completely redundant with each other in promoting spinal cord–targeted disease. These observations contrast with other EAE models in which T cell production of GM-CSF is required to induce classic EAE.

While atypical EAE did not develop in the absence of both GM-CSF and IL-17 signaling, atypical EAE with reduced severity did occur in more than half of the mice that were deficient in signaling from only one of these cytokines (Table 1). This suggested that increasing the amount of one cytokine in the absence of the other might be sufficient to overcome the inhibitory effect of IFN-γ on atypical EAE induction. We investigated this possibility by first assessing whether the incidence of atypical EAE positively correlated with the IL-17/IFN-γ ratio of donor Csf2–/– T cells transferred into wild-type recipients. Data were compiled from 16 separate EAE experiments in which the IL-17/IFN-γ ratio of Csf2–/– donor T cells was determined for each experiment prior to transfer into wild-type recipients (see Supplemental Figure 1 for an example; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.92362DS1). Among the 16 experiments, the IL-17/IFN-γ ratio of Csf2–/– donor T cells varied from 0.4 to 1.4. We observed a significant positive correlation between the IL-17/IFN-γ ratio of the Csf2–/– donor T cells and the incidence of atypical EAE in

Table 1. Incidence and severity of classic and atypical EAE induced by adoptive transfer of WT and Csf2–/– T cells into WT, Csf2–/–, and Il17ra–/– recipient mice

| Adoptive Transfer | Overall Incidence | Mean day of onset | Percentage classic | Mean max classic EAE score | Percentage atypical | Mean max atypical EAE score |
|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| WT → WT          | 100 (60/60)       | 6.2 ± 0.1         | 100               | 4.9 ± 0.1                 | 94                | 4.5 ± 0.1                 |
| Csf2–/– → WT     | 98 (55/56)        | 7.2 ± 0.1         | 100               | 4.6 ± 0.1                 | 58                | 3.2 ± 0.1                 |
| WT → Csf2–/–     | 100 (11/11)       | 6.3 ± 0.2         | 100               | 5.1 ± 0.1                 | 91                | 3.9 ± 0.4                 |
| Csf2–/– → Csf2–/–| 87 (13/15)        | 7.5 ± 0.2         | 100               | 4.5 ± 0.2                 | 54                | 3.1 ± 0.3                 |
| WT → Il17ra–/–   | 90 (36/40)        | 6.2 ± 0.1         | 100               | 4.7 ± 0.2                 | 72                | 3.6 ± 0.2                 |
| Csf2–/– → Il17ra–/– | 58 (22/38)      | 8.1 ± 0.3         | 100               | 1.9 ± 0.2                 | 0                 | 0                         |

*a*Data shown (mean ± SEM) are from mice with experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), pooled from 13 experiments. Percentage classic or atypical is the percentage of mice with EAE exhibiting classic or atypical signs. 1*P < 0.0001 compared with WT → WT. 2*P = 0.003 compared with WT → WT. 3*P < 0.01 compared with WT → WT. 4*P = 0.002 compared with WT → Il17ra–/– and P < 0.0001 compared with Csf2–/– → WT. 5*P < 0.0001 compared with WT → Il17ra–/–. 6*P < 0.0001 compared with WT → Il17ra–/– and Csf2–/– → WT. Statistical analysis performed using a χ2 test (incidence and percentage classic or atypical), 1-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post test (day of onset), or Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn’s post test (classic or atypical EAE score).
recipient mice (Figure 3A). Notably, transfer of donor Csf2–/– T cells with the highest IL-17/IFN-γ ratio induced atypical EAE in all recipient mice, indicating that GM-CSF production by donor T cells is not required to achieve 100% incidence of atypical EAE as long as the Csf2–/– T cells produce enough IL-17 relative to IFN-γ. We then assessed whether the GM-CSF/IFN-γ ratio of wild-type donor T cells transferred into IL-17R–deficient recipients also positively correlated with the incidence of atypical EAE. We compiled data from 12 EAE experiments in which the GM-CSF/IFN-γ ratio of wild-type donor T cells ranged from 0.5 to 2.0 prior to transfer into Il17ra–/– recipients. Again, a significant positive correlation was observed between the GM-CSF/IFN-γ ratios of the wild-type donor T cells and the incidence of atypical EAE in the Il17ra–/– recipients (Figure 3B), indicating that IL-17 signaling is not required to initiate atypical EAE if donor T cells produce sufficient GM-CSF relative to IFN-γ. However, the severity of atypical EAE in mice lacking either GM-CSF or IL-17 signaling was lower than the severity seen in wild-type controls (Table 1), even in experiments where the incidence of atypical EAE was 100% (data not shown). Thus, in wild-type mice, it is likely that the activities of IL-17 and GM-CSF synergize to achieve severe inflammation in the brain.

Previous studies have shown that neutrophil recruitment to the brain is critical for the parenchymal tissue damage that causes the clinical signs of atypical EAE (3, 17, 18). Therefore, we investigated whether the decrease in atypical EAE induced by Csf2–/– compared with wild-type T cells was associated with a decrease in neutrophil accumulation in the brain. Fewer neutrophils accumulated in the brain of Il17ra–/– compared with wild-type recipients of wild-type T cells at peak EAE (Figure 4A), consistent with our previous results (3). A similar decrease in neutrophil numbers was also observed in wild-type recipients
of Csf2–/– T cells compared with recipients of wild-type T cells. Importantly, combining the deficiencies in donor T cell–derived GM-CSF and recipient IL-17 signaling resulted in an additional significant decrease in neutrophil numbers in both the brain and spinal cord (Figure 4A), as well as a complete loss of atypical EAE (Table 1). The number of neutrophils observed in the absence of both GM-CSF and IL-17R was equivalent to that seen in naive animals (data not shown). The decrease in neutrophil numbers when wild-type versus Csf2–/– donor T cells were transferred into Il17ra–/– recipients indicates that GM-CSF independently promotes neutrophil accumulation.

Interestingly, while the numbers of neutrophils that accumulated in the brains of mice lacking only T cell–derived GM-CSF or lacking only IL-17 signaling were lower than the low end of the range seen in wild-type mice (Figure 4A), more than half of these mice still exhibited some atypical clinical signs. This suggested that low numbers of neutrophils were sufficient for development of mild atypical EAE. To confirm that these small numbers of neutrophils were required to induce this mild atypical EAE, we depleted neutrophils from recipient mice by administering the neutrophil-specific anti-Ly6G antibody. Consistent with our prior observations (3), atypical EAE induced by wild-type T cells in wild-type recipients is strongly attenuated when neutrophils are depleted (Figure 4B). Importantly, when atypical EAE was driven either by IL-17 (donor T cells lacked GM-CSF), or by GM-CSF (recipients of wild-type T cells lacked IL-17R), neutrophil depletion again strongly attenuated atypical EAE signs (Figure 4B). These data suggest that GM-CSF and IL-17 act synergistically to promote brain-targeted disease by enhancing the accumulation of neutrophils in the brain. In the spinal cord, even though the neutrophil numbers were decreased in the absence of either GM-CSF or IL-17R, classic EAE was not dependent on neutrophil recruitment (Figure 4B), in agreement with our earlier studies (3).

Discussion

The data presented here contradict the current paradigm that GM-CSF is an essential cytokine in the pathogenesis of EAE. In contrast to the requirement for GM-CSF seen in other classic EAE models (6–9), we found that GM-CSF and IL-17 play redundant roles with each other in promoting classic EAE in C3HeB/FeJ mice, such that only the combined deficiency of both cytokines had any effect on disease incidence and severity. It is possible that deficiency in GM-CSF but not IL-17 confers resistance to classic EAE in other mouse models because these strains may generate fewer IL-17+ myelin-specific T cells compared with C3HeB/FeJ mice. Our previous studies in C3HeB/FeJ (H-2k) × C3H.SW (H-2b) F1 mice, which present both the H-2k–restricted MOG$_{97–114}$ epitope targeted in C3HeB/FeJ mice and the H-2b–restricted MOG$_{35–55}$ epitope also targeted in C57BL/6 mice, support this hypothesis. We found that F1 mice generated a greater number of IL-17+ T cells responding to the MOG$_{97–114}$ epitope than to the MOG$_{35–55}$ epitope, although both epitopes elicited similar numbers of IFN-γ+ T cells (ref. 2 and unpublished data). Therefore, strains that
generate fewer IL-17+ myelin-specific T cells would have increased reliance on GM-CSF+ T cells to induce spinal cord inflammation.

The only significant effect on classic EAE seen in the absence of donor T cell–derived GM-CSF was a 1-day delay in disease onset compared with wild-type mice. We speculate that the delayed onset may reflect the need for a threshold level of total proinflammatory cytokine production (GM-CSF, IL-17, IFN-γ) within the localized region of the perivascular space to trigger the inflammatory cascade that ultimately leads to parenchymal spinal cord infiltration. When the infiltrating donor T cells produce only IL-17 and IFN-γ but not GM-CSF, more time may be needed to exceed this threshold requirement for proinflammatory cytokines. However, once the threshold is reached, inflammation is initiated and normal classic disease severity is attained.

Although we found that both IL-17 and GM-CSF independently promoted neutrophil recruitment to the spinal cord in C3HeB/FeJ mice (Figure 4A), neutrophil depletion did not affect the incidence or severity of classic EAE in wild-type or IL-17R–deficient recipients that received either wild-type or Csf2−/− CD4+ T cells. Thus, both IL-17 and GM-CSF promote spinal cord–targeted disease via a neutrophil-independent mechanism, as we previously observed for IFN-γ (3). In C57BL/6 mice, expression of the GM-CSF receptor only on CCR2+ cells and not LysM+ cells (including neutrophils) was required to induce classic EAE,
suggesting that monocyte activation by GM-CSF is critical to initiate spinal cord inflammation (19). CCR2+ monocytes clearly play an important role in promoting classic EAE, but they were not completely essential in every model (17, 20), and CCR2 antagonists have not been effective in MS clinical trials (11, 21). We observed a moderate decrease in the number of monocytes in the brain and spinal cord of C3HeB/FeJ mice with EAE when donor T cells lacked GM-CSF (Supplemental Figure 2), but there was no corresponding decrease in incidence or severity of classic EAE in the absence of GM-CSF alone (Table 1). However, in the absence of both donor T cell GM-CSF and recipient IL-17R, the numbers of both neutrophils and monocytes were sharply decreased in the spinal cord (Figure 4A and Supplemental Figure 2) and classic EAE was attenuated, suggesting that infiltration of at least one of these cell types is needed for spinal cord disease.

In contrast to the normal classic EAE induced by Csf2−/− T cells in wild-type recipients, development of atypical EAE in the same mice was impaired. We found that GM-CSF plays a similar role as IL-17 in countering the suppressive effects of IFN-γ in the brain. Accordingly, the incidence of atypical EAE in IL-17R−/− deficient recipient mice positively correlated with the GM-CSF/IFN-γ ratio of wild-type donor T cells. Notably, a 100% incidence of atypical EAE occurred in IL-17R−/− mice at higher GM-CSF/IFN-γ ratios (Figure 3B). We were surprised by the overall higher incidence of atypical EAE in Il17ra−/− recipients of wild-type T cells in these experiments compared with the incidence that we observed in similar experiments in our previous study (3), although we still observed a significant decrease in atypical EAE in Il17ra−/− compared with wild-type recipients (Table 1). Because our earlier experiments were conducted in a different animal facility, we compared historical data for cytokine production by the MOG-specific T cells generated in the previous facility to results obtained from mice immunized in our current facility. We observed an increase in GM-CSF but similar IFN-γ production by MOG-specific T cells from mice housed in our current facility compared with our previous facility. This change resulted in a significant increase in the GM-CSF/IFN-γ ratios for donor T cells used in the experiments reported here (Supplemental Figure 3), which correlates with the increase in atypical EAE incidence in Il17ra−/− mice. Thus, in our previous animal colony where less GM-CSF was produced relative to IFN-γ, IL-17 was likely more important for promoting development of atypical EAE. Together, these findings indicate that the balance of both IL-17 and GM-CSF relative to IFN-γ is critical in regulating atypical EAE.

IL-17, GM-CSF, and IFN-γ appear to influence the development of atypical EAE primarily by regulating accumulation of neutrophils in the brain. We previously demonstrated that IL-17 promotes and IFN-γ inhibits neutrophil recruitment to the brain (3), and we find here that GM-CSF also promotes neutrophil accumulation in the brain and spinal cord independent of IL-17 signaling. While GM-CSF could potentially influence EAE by activating and recruiting different types of myeloid cells, neutrophils were required for the GM-CSF–driven atypical EAE that developed in IL-17R−/− mice (Figure 4B), supporting the notion that GM-CSF, like IL-17, promotes atypical EAE through neutrophil recruitment. GM-CSF could influence neutrophil recruitment by directly or indirectly upregulating ELR+ CXC chemokines (22) or neutrophil N-formyl-methionyl-leucyl-phenylalanine (fMLP) receptors (23), or by acting as a direct chemoattractant for neutrophils (24). GM-CSF can also delay neutrophil apoptosis, induce neutrophil priming and activation, and induce neutrophil production of cytokines such as IL-8 and IL-1β (25–27). The incidence and severity of atypical EAE clearly correlated with the number of neutrophils in the brain, as wild-type mice exhibited severe atypical EAE and the greatest accumulation of neutrophils, mice lacking either IL-17R or GM-CSF had reduced neutrophil accumulation in the brain and only half of the mice developed mild atypical EAE, while mice lacking both GM-CSF and IL-17R had no neutrophil recruitment and exhibited no atypical EAE. Thus, production of both cytokines was necessary to fully overcome IFN-γ–mediated suppression of neutrophil recruitment and induce the severe atypical EAE seen in wild-type C3HeB/FeJ mice. Our finding that only a small number of neutrophils was sufficient to initiate mild atypical EAE is consistent with the fact that neutrophils are not a predominant feature on brain sections from patients with MS.

In summary, our results suggest that there is no single T cell cytokine that is essential for EAE induction. While multiple cytokines may contribute to the pathogenesis of CNS inflammation, we propose that the overall balance of GM-CSF, IL-17, and IFN-γ plays a critical role in determining the induction and manifestation of disease. Both IL-17 and GM-CSF have been implicated as pathogenic cytokines in MS (12–14, 28, 29), and clinical trials targeting individual cytokines with anti–IL-17A and anti–GM-CSF monoclonal antibodies are ongoing. We suggest that a combination of therapies might be necessary, as the importance of either cytokine may vary among individual patients.
Methods

Mice. C3HeB/FeJ mice were originally purchased from The Jackson Laboratory and were bred and maintained in a specific pathogen–free facility at the South Lake Union Campus of the University of Washington. GM-CSF knockout (Csf2−/−) mice (30) were obtained from Jay Heinicke (University of Washington, Seattle) and were backcrossed in our facility onto the C3HeB/FeJ background for at least 14 generations. Il17ra−/− mice generated by Amgen were obtained from Taconic and were backcrossed onto the C3HeB/FeJ background for 20 generations.

Protein and peptides. Rat recombinant MOG (rMOG) protein (amino acids 1–125) was produced in Escherichia coli and purified as previously described (31). MOG97–114 peptide (TCFFRDHSYQEEAAVELK) was synthesized by GenScript.

EAE induction. EAE was induced by adoptive transfer using mice between 6 and 12 weeks of age. Donor mice were subcutaneously immunized with 100 μg of rMOG emulsified in complete Freund’s adjuvant (CFA, Sigma-Aldrich) and cells were isolated from the spleen and draining lymph nodes 7 days later. Cells were cultured at 1 × 10^7 cells/ml for 3 days with MOG 97–114 peptide (10 μM) and 10 ng/ml rIL-23 (R&D Systems). Viable cells were isolated from a Lympholyte gradient (Cedarlane) and the frequency of CD4+ T cell blasts (CD4+FSC−/SSC− cells) as well as their cytokine expression was analyzed by flow cytometry. CD4+ T cell blasts (3 × 10^5) were intraperitoneally (i.p.) injected into sublethally irradiated (250 rad) recipient mice. EAE clinical signs were scored as follows: grade 1, paralyzed tail and/or hindlimb clamping; grade 2, head tilt and/or hindlimb weakness; grade 3, one paralyzed leg and/or mild body leaning; grade 4, two paralyzed legs and/or moderate body leaning; grade 5, forelimb weakness and/or severe body leaning; grade 6, hunched posture and/or breathing difficulty and/or body rolling; grade 7, moribund. Atypical EAE was identified by the presence of 1 or more of the following sign(s): head tilt, body leaning, or body rolling. An overall daily score was assigned based on the maximal severity of either classic or atypical signs seen in each mouse. The separate maximum severity of classic or atypical signs was also calculated for each mouse, as reported in Figure 2C and Table 1.

Isolation of CNS mononuclear cells. Mononuclear cells were isolated from the CNS after cardiac perfusion with PBS as previously described (32). Briefly, brains and spinal cords were dissociated through sterile stainless steel mesh and centrifuged at 4°C for 10 minutes at 2,000 g. Cell pellets were resuspended in 30% Percoll, overlaid onto 70% Percoll, and centrifuged without brake at room temperature for 20 minutes at 1,500 g. Cells were collected from the 30%–70% Percoll interface.

Flow cytometry. Cells were first incubated with a fixable cell viability dye (Molecular Probes) for 20 minutes at 4°C. After washing, cells were incubated with Fc block (clone 2.4G2; eBioscience) for 15 minutes at 4°C in 5% normal mouse serum and stained with fluorochrome-conjugated monoclonal antibodies for 30 minutes at 4°C. Intracellular cytokine staining was performed after stimulation of cells for 4 hours with MOG97–114 peptide (1 μM), according to the manufacturer’s directions (BD Biosciences). Antibodies specific for CD11b (M1/70), GM-CSF (MP1-22E9), and the isotype control for GM-CSF (rat IgG2a, κ) were from eBioscience; antibodies specific for CD45 (30-F11), CD4 (RM4-5), Ly6G (1A8), Ly6C (AL-21), IL-17A (TC11-18H10) and its isotype control (rat IgG1, κ), and IFN-γ (XMG1.2) and its isotype control (rat IgG1, κ) were from BD Biosciences. Cells were analyzed using a FACSCanto cytometer (BD Biosciences) and FlowJo software (Tree Star).

Neutrophil depletion. The neutrophil-depleting anti-Ly6G antibody (clone 1A8) and isotype control antibody (clone 2A3) were purchased from Bio X Cell. Beginning on the day of T cell transfer, 200 μg of either anti-Ly6G or isotype control antibody was administered by i.p. injection every other day until time of sacrifice as previously described (3).

Statistics. Statistical analyses were performed with Prism version 6.0 (GraphPad Software). Clinical scores were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s multiple comparisons post test. Day of onset and cell numbers were compared using the 1-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons post test. Cytokine frequencies were compared using the unpaired 2-tailed Student’s t test. Frequencies (incidence) of clinical signs were compared using the chi-squared test. The Pearson r correlation coefficient was calculated where indicated. A P value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Study approval. This study was approved by the IACUC at the University of Washington in Seattle.
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