Cosmopolitanism and endemism in free-living nematodes
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SUMMARY

Most free-living nematodes should have a global distribution if they would follow general tendencies of microbial organisms. Information on free-living nematodes presented in this review demonstrates that this cosmopolitanism is less common than assumed by theory. While very large distribution ranges are observed in a number of nematode species, various examples of endemism are described for isolated units like islands, extreme environments and ancient pre-Quaternary lakes. Endemism is generally rare among microorganisms, but a typical observation for larger organisms. The biogeography of nematodes thus reflects their intermediate position between macro- and microorganisms and future studies on this interesting group may help identifying why the positive relationship between body size and range size observed in large animals shifts to a negative relationship in microbial organisms.

INTRODUCTION

Traditionally, zoogeography is based on the geographic distribution of macro-organisms, mainly mammals and birds. Little knowledge is available on the distribution of small terrestrial species (e.g. insects, mites, worms) as those are often difficult to detect and to identify. While many micro- and meio-organisms seem to be almost cosmopolitan, significant geographical pattern may exist undetected. The idea that microbes only depend on ecological factors has been famously summarized by Beijerinck’s quote ‘Everything is everywhere, but the environment selects’ (de Wit and Bouvier 2006). While Beijerinck’s principle has triggered considerable research on cosmopolitanism in microorganisms, it has received mixed support (e.g. Fenchel and Finlay 2004, Martiny et al. 2006, Fontaneto 2011).

While the distribution ranges of big organisms tend to be proportional to their size (larger animals having larger range sizes), contrasting biogeographic tendencies have been suggested for very small (microscopic) organisms (Finlay 2002, Jenkins et al. 2011). The range size of small organisms is suggested to be proportional to organism abundance and thus inversely proportional to organism size. Small organisms (microbes) are thus also able to exhibit wide distributions due to unlimited dispersal and very high abundance (Segers and De Smet 2007). A shift between the two contrasting tendencies emerges when body size gets too large for ubiquitous dispersal (Finlay 2002), which is suggested to happen between 1 and 2 mm.
THE BIOGEOGRAPHY OF NEMATODES

Soil and freshwater nematodes usually range between 0.5 and 3 mm in length, mostly around 1 mm (Andrássy 2005) placing them between microbes and macrobes. However, for wormlike animals body length might be a bad size parameter to distinguish macro- from microfauna. Instead, body width or volume is particularly relevant for interstitial soil and sediment dwellers. The body width of soil and freshwater nematodes usually span between 10 and 70 µm, which would suggest that they should follow general biogeographic principles identified for microbes and would thus argue for a tendency for cosmopolitanism.

The phylum Nematoda is ubiquitous with a distribution that spans all kinds of extreme habitats. Many species of freshwater nematodes appear to follow this cosmopolitan distribution with occurrence records in all continents (Antarctica excepted). The most cosmopolitan among freshwater species seem to be Dorylaimus stagnalis, Ethmolaimus pratensis, Prismatolaimus intermedius, Tripyla glomerans, and Mononchus truncatus (Zullini 2014). Alike, soil nematodes are both numerous (in non-desert soil, averaging 2 million individuals per square meter) and usually more or less cosmopolitan. Many of them can withstand long periods of cryptobiosis and therefore can be transported at great distances by wind (Orr and Newton 1971, Carroll and Viglierchio 1981, Baujard and Martiny 1994, de Rooij et al. 1997, Womersley et al. 1998, Wharton 2004, Nkem et al. 2006), water (Faulkner and Bolander 1966, 1970, Rocuzzo and Ciancio 1991), even tap water (Dözza-Farkas 1965, Mott and Harrison 1983, Lupi et al. 1994) and animals (Thorne 1968, Kiontke 1996, Gaugler and Bilgrami 2004, Curčić et al. 2004).

It is, however, plausible that many seemingly cosmopolitan taxa are morphologically indistinguishable sister species or misidentified due to limited group-specific taxonomic expertise. Lack of extensive molecular studies on free-living forms might contribute to this situation. In fact, the well-studied genus Caenorhabditis, for which comprehensive morphological, molecular, and extensive biological data exists shows considerable geographic differentiation. For instance, two species of this genus that belong to what is known as elegans-group, i.e. Caenorhabditis elegans and C. briggsae, are morphologically almost indistinguishable. Genetically they are well separated, diverging almost 100 million years ago. These and other nematode species differ from one another much more in DNA than in morphology (Hillier et al. 2007). Similar data available for 26 Caenorhabditis species reveal considerable biogeographic differences including remarkable cosmopolitanism (C. briggsae) as well some very restricted distributions (C. brenneri, C. remanei) (Kiontke and Sudhaus 2006). Similar provincialism has been shown within the genus Pristionchus, for which similar morphological and molecular data are available for 18 species (Mayer et al. 2007). The available data would even allow correlating the distribution of the free-living nematode species with ancient geological and geographical events, as proposed by Ferris et al. (1976, 1981), Procter (1984) and Boag and Yeates (1998).

It seems that nematode genera are often cosmopolitan, while many species have distinct geographic distributions. Genera of the predatory nematode family Mononchidae, a relatively well-known group with 151 species, were able to colonize several continents and show no clear geographic patterns and no relation with past geological events. However, only 3% of the Mononchidae species are really cosmopolitan. An interesting case is Coomansus, a cosmopolitan genus, with 8 of its 30 species known only from East Siberia. Alike, Cobbonchus has 11 of its 27 species found exclusively in Africa, whereas the other 16 species inhabit exclusively other Gondwanian territories.

ENDEMISM IN NEMATODES

Strict endemism – the occurrence of a focal taxon in a restricted area – is the opposite of cosmopolitanism. The identification of endemic distribution patterns is difficult for nematodes because many species were only recently described, or described several decades ago and never found again (not even in their locus typicus). A relatively large species, Heterorhabdus nepalensis (2.3 mm long), was found only once, in 1961, in soil samples from a mountain valley between 4500 and 5200 m and never found again (Zullini 1973). For Euponhystera, a very common soil and freshwater genus, half of its 38 species are known for single restricted geographic areas, but it is unlikely that all of these species are local endemics. On the other hand, Thornia thermophila (0.6 mm long) was described by Meyl (1953) for isolated thermal
springs on Ischia island. It was found again only once, 40 years later, on the same island and in the same water temperature (36°C) (Zullini, unpublished data). A genus accumulation curve for the free-living nematodes of a particularly well-studied area seems to start leveling off in recent years (Fig. 1a). Presumably, the potential to find new genera in continued global worldwide sampling is thus limited. In contrast, the accumulation curve for species in the same area indicates that the discovery of new species will continue in about a constant rate for the foreseeable future (Fig 1b). As a result, our current conclusions regarding endemism and biogeography will most probably hold true for the genus level, while new information and discovery is expected for the species level. Unexplored habitats may reveal yet many undiscovered endemic species.

Sites for which one would expect to find endemic species are isolated units like islands, extreme environments and ancient pre-Quaternary lakes. A very peculiar isolated situation is the freshwater environment inside an oceanic island. Such a case was studied by Eyualem-Abebe and Coomans (1995) and Muschiol and Traunspurger (2009): they found in the islands Floreana, San Cristobal and Isabela (Galápagos) a total of 25 freshwater nematode species, but only three of them (12%) were presumably endemic. The other species were cosmopolitan or already known to the Southern hemisphere. This is in contrast with the other animals of this archipelago where 30-80% are endemic (depending on the species group). The authors summarized the problematic of defining endemism in nematodes highlighting that “the South American nematode fauna is insufficiently known” and that “the existence of cryptic species […] can be difficult to detect solely on morphological characters” (Muschiol and Traunspurger 2009).

Figure 1. a) Genus accumulation curve with time for all currently known free-living nematodes in Hungary (200 genera). Data are not based on the legal status of genera (time of first official description), but on the real discovery of a new (even not yet recognized) genus. b) Species accumulation curve for Hungary, the area with currently most reliable knowledge on free-living nematodes: 518 extensively described species are considered (Andrássy’s Pedozoológica Hungarica 2005, 2007, 2009).

Figure 2. Cluster analyses of nematode communities in major lakes based on Jaccard similarity. A W-E gradient is revealed. The unique community composition of lake Baikal reveals its high share of endemic species. These lakes are located, respectively, in Switzerland, Germany, Hungary, Macedonia, Kyrgyzstan, Japan, Siberia (modified from Zullini 2014).

Other instances of lacustrine environments where one would expect to find endemic species are still existing ancient (Tertiary) lakes with Lake Baikal being the most ancient (30 million years old for the cuvette, and 6 million years old for the hypolimnion) and deepest (1642 m). Resulting from its age and biological isolation, especially in its deep portion, this lake hosts many (> 80%) endemic
animals. In fact, 70% of all known nematodes from Lake Baikal are endemic and their endemic status is largely accepted (Tsalolikhin 1980). Typical Baikal nematodes are Tobrilidae, believed to be the most ancient inhabitants; subsequent settlers probably are Monhysteridae and Chromadoridae (some of them deriving from marine ancestors); Dorylaimidae and Mononchidae are likely the last arriving taxa and of terrestrial origin (Tsalolikhin 1980). Lake Tanganyika (maximum depth 1470 m), another very old lake, has one third of its nematode species assigned as being endemic. Typical nematodes of this lake are Actinolamidae (Martens 1997). Lake Ohrid, the only European Tertiary lake also hosts a number of nematodes that are very likely endemic (Gerlach and Meyl 1957). The lac Geneva or Léman, the largest Alpine lake, is not so old, but still hosts at a depth of 60 m some endemic Desmoscolecidae (only 0.2 mm long), nematodes common in sea but very rare in fresh water. Extreme environments are isolated by their differing environmental setting and thus often host unique species assemblages (Hodda et al. 2006). For Antarctica, being very different from all other continents, 94% of all nematodes are considered to be endemic for this continent (Andrássy 1998, 2008).

Table 1. Jaccard similarity indices for freshwater nematodes of the seven temperate lakes mentioned in fig. 2. Lake Baikal presents the lowest values, indicating its biological isolation.

|       | Léman | Königssee | Balaton | Ohrid | Issykul | Baikal |
|-------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|---------|--------|
| Königssee | 0.31   | 0.18      | 0.20    | 0.15  | 0.03    | 0.16   |
| Balaton  | 0.18   | 0.21      | 0.20    | 0.19  | 0.03    | 0.13   |
| Ohrid   | 0.20   | 0.20      | 0.16    | 0.16  | 0.06    | 0.10   |
| Issykul | 0.15   | 0.19      | 0.16    | 0.17  | 0.06    | 0.03   |
| Baikal  | 0.16   | 0.13      | 0.07    | 0.10  | 0.07    | 0.04   |
| Biwa    | 0.16   | 0.13      | 0.07    | 0.10  | 0.07    | 0.04   |

SPATIAL PATTERNS IN NEMATODE COMMUNITIES

Most knowledge on the biogeography of the continental free-living nematodes is based on simple quantitative data (Eyualem-Abebe et al. 2008). Only few studies analyse detailed macroecological patterns, such as on the effect of geographic distance on similarity in nematode community composition. Zullini (2014) calculated the nematode similarity among the communities of seven temperate lakes from West (Léman) to East (lake Biwa) for a total of 312 species to assess the effect of distance in these island-like habitats. Comparisons were made using the Jaccard’s index (Jaccard 1901). A cladogram (stress 0.03) was obtained using the program PRIMER (Clarke and Warwick 1997). A clear West-East gradient could be visualized, except for the lake Baikal owing to its
exceptional antiquity (Oligocene) and its rich endemic species content (Fig. 2, Tab.1). Not considering this lake, due to its peculiar history and biotic community, similarity in species composition is negatively correlated with the distances of the six lakes to their neighbours ($r = -0.64$, mantel $p < 0.05$, Fig. 3). A higher negative correlation is obtained if distances are computed as absolute values between Königssee and the other five lakes ($r = -0.79$).

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, nematodes lack the clear biogeographic patterns usually observed in vertebrates and insects. This may result from scarcity of data on the group but could also reflect the biology and ecology of these meio-organisms. The biogeography of these animals may reflect their intermediate position between macro- and microorganisms. Studies on nematodes may thus be particularly helpful in identifying why the positive relationship between body size and range size observed in large animals shifts to a negative relationship in microbial organisms. Future studies should focus on the specific biogeographic and geologic factors that are relevant to their dispersal and distribution.
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