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Studying the structure of nucleons is not only important to understanding the strong interactions of quarks and gluons, but also to improving the precision of new-physics searches. Since a broad class of experiments, including the LHC and dark-matter detection, require Standard-Model backgrounds with parton distribution functions (PDFs) as inputs for disentangling SM contributions from potential new physics. For a long time, lattice calculations of the PDFs (as well as many hadron structures) has been limited to the first few moments. In this talk, we present a first direct calculation of the Bjorken-x dependence of the PDFs using Large-Momentum Effective Theory (LaMET). An exploratory study of the antiquark/sea flavor asymmetry of these distributions will be discussed. This breakthrough opens an exciting new frontier calculating more complicated quantities, such as gluon structure and transverse-momentum dependence, which will complement existing theoretical programs for the upcoming Electron-Ion Collider (EIC) or Large Hadron-Electron Collider (LHeC).
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1. Introduction

1.1 Parton Distribution Functions

Parton distribution functions (PDFs) provide a universal description of hadronic constituents as well as critical inputs for the discovery of the Higgs boson found at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) through proton-proton collisions [1, 2]. Despite this great discovery, the LHC has many tasks remaining, and the focus of the future Runs 2–5 will be to search for physics beyond the Standard Model (BSM). In order to probe new physics at the LHC, we need to improve the precision with which we know the Standard-Model (SM) backgrounds such that one can discriminate between these and new-physics signatures. Unfortunately, our knowledge of many cross sections remains dominated by PDF uncertainties [3, 4, 5]; for example, Fig. 1 shows a few Higgs-production channels having PDF-dominated uncertainties. Thus, improvement of current PDF uncertainties is important to assist new-physics searches during the ongoing 14-TeV collisions at LHC and for future hadron colliders.

In addition to their applications to the energy frontier, PDFs also reveal nontrivial structure inside the nucleon, such as the momentum and spin distributions of partons. Many ongoing and planned experiments at facilities around the world, such as Brookhaven and Jefferson Laboratory in the United States, GSI in Germany, J-PARC in Japan, or a future Electron-Ion Collider (EIC) or Large Hadron-Electron Collider (LHeC), are set to explore the less-known kinematic regions of the nucleon structure and more.

Multiple global PDFs analyses have integrated all available experimental data from the past half century, some including the LHC’s Run-1 data, trying to tease out the best possible understanding of the PDFs. A few recent updates are CT14 [6], CJ15 [7], NNPDF2.3 [8], HERA-PDF1.5 [9], ABM11 [10] and MSTW2008 [11]. Different groups use different experimental data inputs, parametrizations and assumptions. In cases where data is abundant, the derived PDFs agree well; however, when the data are limited or carry large uncertainties, discrepancies appear. Sometimes the PDF uncertainty is estimated through combined analysis of different PDF sets. In the case of heavier quarks, such as strange and charm, one often needs to use nuclear data, such as neutrino scattering off heavy nuclei, and the current understanding of nuclear-medium corrections in these cases is limited. For the case of the strange distributions, the uncertainty remains large compared to the precision needed for upcoming LHC data. In some cases, the assumption $s(x) = s(x)$ made in global analyses can agree with the data due to the large uncertainty [6, 7]. One can also take advantage of the $W + c$ associated-production channel to extract strangeness, but their results are rather puzzling. For example, ATLAS gets $(s + \bar{s})/(2d) = 0.96_{-0.30}^{+0.26}$ at $Q^2 = 1.9$ GeV$^2$ and $x = 0.23$ [12]. CMS performs a global analysis with deep-inelastic scattering (DIS) data and the muon-charge asymmetry in $W$ production at the LHC to extract the ratios of the total integral of strange and anti-strange to the sum of the anti-up and -down, at $Q^2 = 20$ GeV$^2$ finding it to be $0.52_{-0.15}^{+0.18}$ [13]. Future high-luminosity studies may help to improve our knowledge of the strangeness. In the polarized case, SU(3)-flavor symmetry is often assumed due to lack of precision experimental data.

We learn from the unpolarized case that this assumption introduces underestimated uncertainty. For charm PDFs, there is significant debate over the magnitude of the intrinsic charm contribution [14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. This is mainly due to the data being unable to discriminate between various proposed QCD models or to pin down the size of intrinsic charm. Such deficiencies will
become increasingly problematic as the LHC data become more precise. We should seek to resolve these questions in the upcoming years.

1.2 Lattice QCD

A nonperturbative approach using first principles, such as lattice QCD (LQCD), provides hope to resolve many of the outstanding theoretical disagreements and provide information in regions that are unknown or difficult to observe in experiments. LQCD is a regularization of continuum QCD using a discretized four-dimensional spacetime; it contains a small number of natural parameters, such as the strong coupling constant and quark masses. Unlike continuum QCD, LQCD works in Euclidean spacetime (rather than Minkowski), and the coupling and quark masses can be set differently than those in our universe. The theory contains two scales that are absent in continuum QCD, one ultraviolet (the lattice spacing \( a \)) and one infrared (the spatial extent of the box \( L \)); this setup keeps the number of degrees of freedom finite so that LQCD can be solved on a computer. For observables that have a well-defined operator in the Euclidean path integral for numerical integration, we can find their values in continuum QCD by taking the limits \( a \to 0, \ L \to \infty \) and \( m_q \to m_q^{\text{phys}} \). LQCD is a natural tool to study the structure of hadrons, such as PDFs, since quarks and gluons are the fundamental degrees of freedom.

However, probing hadron structure with lattice QCD has been limited to only the first few moments (integrals over the distributions) for decades, due to complications arising from the breaking of rotational symmetry by the discretized Euclidean spacetime. In principle, this problem can be avoided by working with moments of parton distributions, which correspond to matrix elements of local operators, provided all the moments can be computed to recover the whole PDF. In practice, one can only obtain the first few (about 3) moments due to operator mixing with lower-dimension operators with coefficients proportional to inverse powers of the lattice spacing, which divergent in the continuum limit. Even if one can design more complicated operators to subtract the power divergence arising from the mixing of high-moment operators to get to even higher moments, the renormalization for the higher-moment operators becomes significantly more complicated, and the correlators suffer from signal-to-noise problems as well.

In recent years more and more LQCD nucleon matrix elements have been directly calculated at the physical quark mass, a big breakthrough compared with a few years ago. Still, the calculations were limited to the first couple leading moments. Higher moments, such as \( \langle x^2 \rangle \), have not been updated using dynamical fermions for more than a decade [19]. There are interesting proposals to obtain higher moments by using smeared sources to overcome the power-divergent mixing problem [20] and by using light-quark–to–heavy-quark transition currents to compute current-current correlators in Euclidean space [21]. There are also ideas about obtaining the structure functions directly from the hadronic tensor current [22, 23, 24, 25]. However, none of the above ideas have been carried out due to their complexity in the lattice numerical calculation.

1.3 New Directions

A recent paper by X.-D. Ji [26] proposed a much more straightforward way of calculating the full Bjorken-\( x \) dependence of PDFs, generalized parton distributions (GPDs) and other quantities without dealing with many moments nor requiring enormous computational resources to achieve.
In this large-momentum effective theory (LaMET) framework, we take an operator containing an integral of gluonic field strength along a line and boost the nucleon momentum toward the speed of light, tilting the spacelike line segment toward the lightcone direction. The time-independent, nonlocal (in space) correlators at finite $P_z$ can be directly evaluated on the lattice. For example, the quark unpolarized distribution can be calculated via

$$ q_{\text{lat}}(x, \mu, P_z) = \int \frac{dz}{4\pi} e^{i k \cdot z} \left\langle \bar{\psi}(z) \gamma_z \left( \prod_n U_{\hat{z}}(n \hat{z}) \right) \psi(0) \right\rangle, $$

where $U_{\hat{z}}$ is a discrete gauge link in the $z$ direction, $x = k/P_z$, $\mu$ is the renormalization scale and $\vec{P} = \{0, 0, P_z\}$ is the momentum of the hadron, taken such that $P_z \to \infty$. Since no amount of boost will take the nucleon exactly onto the lightcone, there remain corrections power-suppressed by $P_z$ as $O(M_H^2/P_z^2, \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2/P_z^2)$ (where $M_H$ is the hadron mass). The same idea can be straightforwardly applied to the helicity $\Delta q(x, \mu)$ and transversity $\delta q(x, \mu)$ distributions for the direct lattice-QCD calculation of these quantities [27, 28].

The above distribution is what we call the “quasi-distribution” whose shape depends on $P_z$, the gauge-links used, and other parameters. Therefore, improvements are needed to recover the true lightcone distribution. First, after renormalization, one needs to match the boosted momentum $P_z \to \infty$ in the continuum limit; the factor $Z \left( \xi = \frac{x}{\xi}, \mu, \frac{\Lambda}{\xi} \right)$ has been computed up to one loop in Ref. [29] for the non-singlet cases. Secondly, the leading mass-correction comes at $(M_N/P_z)^2$ order, which can be significant for the nucleon case; see our previous work [27, 28] for the explicit formulation for these. Lastly, there are also improvements needed related to higher-twist operators, $(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/P_z)^2$ (for details see Ref. [28]); we remove these through $1/P_z^2$ extrapolation. We have implemented each of these improvements in our pioneering results on the calculation of these distributions on the lattice, shown in Sec. 2.

2. Previous Exploratory Lattice Study

This section reports the results of the first round of exploratory studies using the LaMET method, which have demonstrated the success of the approach. The LaMET approach for direct
calculation of the $x$-dependence of parton distributions was first implemented on the lattice by the PI of this proposal, and was first reported \[30, 31\] at various conferences in the summer of 2013. This was done using $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ (up/down, strange and charm loops in the QCD vacuum) highly improved staggered quarks (HISQ) lattice gauge ensembles (generated by the MILC Collaboration) and clover valence fermions with pion mass 310 MeV at coarse lattice spacing 0.12 fm. Preliminary studies of the Bjorken-$x$ dependence of the quark, helicity and transversity distributions show reasonable signals for the quasi-distributions. In 2014, we reported the first attempt to make a lattice calculation of the unpolarized and longitudinally polarized isovector quark distributions using the LaMET formalism \[27\] including the one-loop and mass corrections. Earlier this year, we updated the mass-correction formulation, and refined the analysis of the polarized distributions \[28\].

Below we give a summary of the steps needed for the calculations, and discuss highlights of the results of the exploratory study.

On the lattice, we first calculate the time-independent, nonlocal (in space, chosen to be the $z$ direction) correlators of a nucleon with finite-$P_z$ boost

$$h_{\text{lat}}(z, \mu, P_z) = \left\langle \bar{\psi} \Gamma \left( \prod_n U_z(n \hat{z}) \right) \psi \right\rangle,$$

where $\Gamma = \gamma_z, \gamma_z\gamma_\perp$ or $\gamma_z\gamma_\perp\gamma_5$ for the quark density (unpolarized), helicity (longitudinally polarized) or transversity (transversely polarized) distributions, respectively. Figure 1 illustrates the diagrams involved for the three-point correlator with examples of “connected” and “disconnected” contractions. In the exploratory study, we have focused on isovector quantities (mainly the up/down flavor asymmetry), where the expensive “disconnected” diagrams are canceled. To account for excited-state contamination, the calculations are done using two source-sink nucleon separations, 0.96 and 1.2 fm, and the ground-state signal is extracted using a simultaneous two-state fit of the nucleon matrix-element correlators; the detailed procedure is described in Ref. \[32\] for the nucleon charges.

Examining the individual fits to each source-sink nucleon separation, we do not see noticeable excited-state contamination for either separation within statistical errors. The bare lattice nucleon matrix elements are calculated with three boost momenta: $P_z = \{1, 2, 3\} \times 2\pi/L$, which correspond to nucleon momenta of 0.43, 0.86 and 1.29 GeV, respectively. In all three cases (unpolarized, helicity and transversity) studied in Refs. \[27, 28\], the matrix elements vanish when the link length reaches 10–12. The signal-to-noise ratios worsen as the nucleon is increasingly boosted, so to push this method forward, future studies would investigate methods for improving nucleon momentum sources.

We then take the integrals to Fourier transform the lattice matrix elements as functions of spatial link length $z$ into the quasi-distributions as functions of parton momentum fraction $x = k/P_z$:

$$q_{\text{lat}}^\Gamma(x, \mu, P_z) = \int \frac{dz}{4\pi} e^{ikz} x h_{\text{lat}}^\Gamma(z, \mu, P_z).$$

Since the matrix elements go to zero beyond about 12, the integral does not depend sensitively on the choice of maximum $z$ in the range from 10 to 15. The normalization of the long-link operators is currently estimated through zeroth moment of the quark distribution (assuming the lattice renormalization for $q(x)$ is multiplicative \[33\]),

$$q_{\text{lat}}^\Gamma(x, \mu, P_z) = \frac{d_{\text{lat}}^\Gamma(x, \mu, P_z)}{dx} q_{\text{lat}}^\Gamma(x, \mu, P_z) \times g_{\text{local}}^\Gamma(\mu = 2 \text{ GeV})_{\text{MS}}.$$

\[2.3\]
This choice reduces the systematic uncertainty arising from the matching and other systematics such as finite-volume effects and lattice discretization. Given that the lattice renormalization constants for most observables are close to 1 on this ensemble, we will get reasonable cancellation of the remaining factors. In all three quasi-distributions, shown in Fig. 2, the smallest momentum has the widest distribution, spreading out to large positive and negative $x$, beyond $|x| = 1$. But as the boosted momentum increases, the distribution sharpens and narrows, decreasing the contribution coming from the $|x| > 1$ regions, just what we would expect in the lightcone distribution. This is not hard to understand (as discussed in Ref. [27]): in the infinite-momentum frame, no constituents of the nucleon can carry more momentum than the nucleon as a whole. However, since the momentum in our calculation is finite, the PDF does not have to vanish at $x = 1$.

The resulting quark distribution after one-loop and mass corrections is shown in Fig. 3. Although the uncorrected distributions have a strong dependence on $P_z$, the corrected distributions have much reduced $P_z$ dependence. We further reduce the remaining leading $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{QCD}^2/P_z^2)$ correction by extrapolating to infinite momentum using the form $a + b/P_z^2$. The resulting distribution for the $|x| > 1$ region is within 2 sigma of zero; thus, we recover the correct support for the physical distribution within error. Note that the smallest reliable region of $x$ is related to the largest momentum on available on the lattice $\mathcal{O}(1/a)$, which is roughly the inverse of length of the lattice volume in the link direction; therefore, we expect large uncertainty for $x \in [-0.05, 0.05]$.

The isovector unpolarized distribution $u(x) - d(x)$ is shown in Fig. 3 as the orange band, along with the distributions from the largest two momenta $P_z$ to show convergence. Compared with global analyses by CTEQ-JLab (CJ12) [34] and NLO MSTW08 [11] at $\mu \approx 1.3$ GeV, the lattice distribution weighs more at larger $|x|$. This is qualitatively consistent with artifacts due to using heavier-than-physical light-quark masses. The heavier quarks naturally reduce the long-range contributions to the correlator, which after Fourier transformation reduce the small-$|x|$ contribution to the distribution. Since the total integrated $u - d$ is conserved, a reduction in small-$|x|$ means an increase in larger-$|x|$. This is also consistent with the fact that the lattice first-moment of the momentum fraction $\langle x \rangle_{u-d}$ and helicity $\langle x \rangle_{\Delta u-\Delta d}$ above pion mass 250 MeV is roughly double the integrated values derived from global analyses [35, 36]. It would be very interesting to observe how the distribution changes when approaching the physical pion mass.

Our pioneering study also allows us access to antiquark structure that could never have been studied in the traditional lattice approach; see Fig. 4 for the sea flavor asymmetry obtained in our exploratory study [27]. The sea-quark distribution is obtained from the negative-$x$ contribution:
\( q(x) = -q(-x) \). Our result favors a large asymmetry in the distributions of sea up and down antiquarks in the nucleon with \( \int_0^\infty dx (\bar{u}(x) - \bar{d}(x)) = 0.13(7) \), which was first observed by the New Muon Collaboration (NMC) through the cross-section ratio for deep inelastic scattering of muons from hydrogen and deuterium [37], and later confirmed by other experiments using different processes, such as Drell-Yan at E665 [38] and E866/NuSea [39]. For the first time in LQCD history, we can directly calculate the antiquark asymmetry; Our result is close to the experimental one obtained by NMC in their DIS measurement, \( 0.147(39) \) at \( Q^2 = 4 \text{ GeV}^2 \) and by HERMES in their semi-inclusive DIS (SIDIS) result, \( 0.16(3) \) at \( Q^2 = 2.3 \text{ GeV}^2 \) [40]. In independent follow-up lattice work one year later, our result was confirmed by ETMC Collaboration [41] using twisted-mass fermion action. The traditional lattice approach using moments would require knowledge of all moments to isolate the antiquark distribution. Thus, our result on the antiquark distribution is a clear demonstration that our method reaches beyond previous moment calculations in lattice QCD. With today’s computational resources, such calculations could soon be greatly improved by performing them at the physical pion mass with better systematics control.

**Figure 3:** The unpolarized isovector quark distribution \( u(x) - d(x) \) computed on the lattice (orange band: final extrapolation, gold band: \( n_z = 2 \), cyan band: \( n_z = 3 \) with \( \vec{P} = \{0, 0, n_z\} \frac{2\pi}{L} \)), compared with the global analyses by MSTW [11] (brown dotted line), and CTEQ-JLab (CJ12, green dashed line) [34].

We show the helicity distribution result from this ensemble in the left panel of Fig. 5 \( x(\Delta u(x) - \Delta d(x)) \), along with selected recent global analyses by JAM [42], DSSV [43], and NNPDFpol1.1 [44], whose nucleon isovector distribution uncertainties have been ignored here. We see more weight distributed in the large-\( x \) region, which could shift toward smaller \( x \) as we lower the quark masses. This is because lower quark mass increases the long-range correlations in \( \Delta h_{\text{lat}}(z) \), which in turn increases the small-\( x \) contribution in the Fourier transformation. There are noticeable differences between the extracted polarized PDFs depending on the experimental cuts, theory inputs, parametrization, and so on. For example, JAM excludes SIDIS data, leaving the sign of the light antiquark determined by the valence and the magnitude determined from sum rules. DSSV also relies on assumptions such as SU(3) symmetry to constrain the analysis and adds a very small symmetry-breaking term. A direct lattice study of hyperon axial couplings [45] suggested that SU(3) breaking is roughly 20% at the physical point, bigger than these assumptions. Similar assumptions are also made by NNPDFpol1.1 [44]. These assumptions are unavoidable due to the difficulties of getting
constraint data from polarized experiments. Future experiments with neutral- and charged-current deep inelastic scattering (such as at EIC) will provide useful measurements to constrain our understanding of the antiquark helicity distribution.

Our result for antiquark helicity favors more polarized up quark than down flavor, with a moderate polarized total sea asymmetry, $\int_{0.08}^{1} \Delta \bar{u}(x) - \Delta \bar{d}(x) = 0.14(9)$. This was first pointed out in our 2014 paper [27] which concentrated on the sea flavor asymmetry in the unpolarized distribution. The sea flavor asymmetry was confirmed in the full analysis of the RHIC Run-9 data by both STAR [46] and PHENIX [47] collaborations in the middle-\(x\) range, but their results do not clarify what the total asymmetry would be. $\chi_{QSM}$, a large-\(N_c\) model, gives rather different results by predicting a large polarized sea asymmetry: 0.31. Unfortunately, our current statistical error does not help rule out many models yet based on the total sea asymmetry. On the experimental global analysis side, the total polarized sea asymmetry estimated by DSSV09 is consistent with zero within 2 sigma, and the central value (about 0.07) is also smaller than the unpolarized case. The upcoming RHIC data from Run-13 with significantly improved statistics may shed some light on this matter. The upcoming Fermilab Drell-Yan experiments (E1027/E1039) may also provide precise experimental input on the polarized sea asymmetry magnitude.

The transversity distribution is the least known PDF among the three studied, because the direct measurements are so challenging to make. The few attempts to extract the transversity distribution suffer from fundamental defects. Ref. [48] makes various assumptions such as the evolution form and that there is no antiquark contribution. Radici et al. [49, 50] use the Soffer inequality and dihadron fragmentation functions with data from HERMES and COMPASS analysis of pion-pair production in DIS off a transversely polarized target for two combinations of “valence” ($q + \bar{q}$) helicity distribution and proper $Q^2$ evolution. Kang et al. [51] has improved evolutions implemented in their analysis, but they also make the assumption that the sea asymmetry is zero. The distribution for positive $x$ goes quickly to zero, likely due to lack of data.
Our transversity result is shown in the right panel of Fig. 5, along with an estimate from χQSM [52] and the latest transversity fits from Refs. [51, 49]. Surprisingly, our result is rather similar to χQSM within 90% confidence, but with slower descent to zero in the $x \approx 1$ region, similar to the quark distribution. This can be, again, due to the heavier pion mass used in the calculation, as well as the need to push for even larger momenta. In contrast, the phenomenological results from Ref. [51] fall faster as $x$ approaches 1, possibly due to the lack of large-$x$ data for constraining the fit.

Our result favors $\delta d(x) > \delta u(x)$ with total sea asymmetry 0.10(8), whose central value is still larger than most model predictions (for example, χQSM estimates 0.082) and in contradiction to the assumption that the antiquark is consistent with zero in some transversity extractions using experimental data [48, 50, 53, 51]. One interesting thing to note is that the central values of the lattice determination of the tensor charge $g_T$ (that is, $\int_{-1}^{1} dx \delta u(x) - \delta d(x)$) extrapolated to the continuum limit from various groups are consistently higher than the phenomenological ones which assume zero total sea asymmetry in transversity; see the summary plot Fig. 10 in Ref. [54]. This may indicate nonzero sea contribution with the same sign as our prediction here, or missing larger-$x$ data in constraining their fit. It would be interesting to see whether such a nonzero sea asymmetry remains in the future high-statistics physical quark mass ensemble; it is certainly contrary to traditional expectation. Improved phenomenological analysis with new experimental data would also help to narrow the phenomenological uncertainties and explore the discrepancy.

The cleanest measurement of the transversity would have both a polarized beam and polarized target, but such facility does not yet exist; once again, more data are needed. PHENIX and STAR will be able to help give more insight into this quantity. Planned experiments, such as SoLID at Jefferson Lab, can provide good transversity measurements for a wide range of positive $x$. The future EIC would be able to fill in missing data regions. The Drell-Yan experiment at FNAL (E1027+E1039) can in principle extract sea-asymmetry information in the near future to settle the size of the total transversely polarized sea.

**Figure 5:** The isovector helicity distribution $x(\Delta u(x) - \Delta d(x))$ (left) and isovector transversity distribution $x(\delta u(x) - \delta d(x))$ (right) (purple band) computed on the lattice, shown in purple bands. The uncertainties in the global analyses are omitted here for visibility reasons, and they are NNPDFpol1.1 [44], JAM [42] (green dot-dashed) and DSSV09 [43] (brown dotted line), and a model calculation χQSM [52] (blue dashed line). For the transversity, we plotted the latest phenomenological analyses from Refs. [51] and [49] (labeled as KPSY15 and RCBG15, orange and green band, respectively). The corresponding anti-quark distributions are $\Delta \bar{q}(x) = \Delta q(-x)$ and $\delta \bar{q}(x) = -\delta q(-x)$.
Our exploratory study has demonstrated that the Bjorken-\(x\) dependence of hadron structure can be studied using LQCD through the LaMET approach. We are able to predict the less-known antiquark up/down asymmetry that many ongoing and planned experiments will explore. In addition to the application to PDFs, the LaMET approach can be extended to many other hadron structures such as GPDs, transverse momentum-dependent distributions (TMDs), meson decay amplitudes and more. The lessons we learn studying the PDFs will have big impacts across many hadronic structures for years to come. We will advance this innovative new method by investigating its systematics, including nonperturbative renormalization, lattice discretization, and finite-volume effects. Only when these systematics are under control can LQCD inputs be used in global PDF analyses with the required precision.

There are two key issues that need to be addressed in future improved lattice calculations. First, we should improve how the signal and systematics associated with highly boosted hadrons on the lattice. Previous works have proposed momentum sources for form factors with large transfer momentum. Recent work by RQCD has implemented momentum-smeared sources and demonstrated improvement of signal-to-noise issues in two-point hadrons with high momenta in lattice QCD [55]. See also the poster contribution by B. Lang in this conference. ETMC has also implemented a momentum source and applied it to their calculation, finding significant improvements to their results for PDFs [56].

However, when working with such large momenta, we should keep aware of systematics associated with discretization in \((Pa)^n\). In addition, excited-state contamination gets worse at larger momentum. Therefore, better methods for treating excited-state contamination (using multiple source-sink separations or multiple operators that overlapping different states), as well as finer lattice spacing \(a\), would be required to get this systematic under control.

Secondly, matching and renormalization issues should be addressed. In the current work, we assume the renormalization is multiplicative, by dividing out the integral of the zeroth moment the distribution; this is probably equivalent to a tree-level renormalization procedure. However, the matching can be \(x\)-dependent, and we need to address this systematic before we can integrate the lattice PDFs into the global fit. Fortunately, there is progress being made. T. Ishikawa et al. [57] and Chen et al. [58] have started to address these matching issue in the quasi-distribution approach. Implementing these ideas in the current lattice PDFs calculations will significantly improve the systematics, especially in the small-\(x\) region.

In addition to the application to PDF, LaMET approach can be extended to many other kinds of hadron structure, such as generalized parton distributions (GPDs), transverse momentum-dependent distributions (TMDs), meson decay amplitudes and others. The lessons we learned in studying the PDFs will have a big impact in the wider study of hadronic structures for many years to come.
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