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Simple Summary: Tetranychus urticae Koch is a difficult-to-control pest due to its short life cycle and rapid resistance development. Strains exhibiting resistance to etoxazole or pyridaben exposure have been identified over the past 16 years. We collected 8 T. urticae field populations from Korea. The resistance ratios of the etoxazole- and pyridaben-resistant (ER and PR) strains were significantly higher than the susceptible strain. The ER and PR strains showed cross-resistance to several acaricides. In addition, the point mutations of the target site were detected in resistant populations.

Abstract: The two-spotted spider mite Tetranychus urticae Koch is a major agricultural pest worldwide and is known to rapidly develop resistance to pesticides. In the present study, we explored a field strain that was collected in 2000 and 2003 and has been exhibiting resistance to etoxazole and pyridaben over the last 16 years. The resistance ratios of the etoxazole- and pyridaben-resistant strains (ER and PR) to etoxazole or pyridaben were more than 5,000,000- and 4109.6-fold higher than that of the susceptible strain, respectively. All field-collected populations showed resistance to etoxazole and pyridaben. The ER and PR strains showed cross-resistance to several acaricides. Both I1017F and H92R point mutations were detected in 7 out of 8 field groups. Spirodiclofen and spiroxamine resulted in more than 77.5% mortality in the 8 field groups. In addition, the genotype frequency of the I1017F point mutation was 100.0% in the ER strain, and that of the H92R point mutation was 97.0% in the PR strain. All of the field populations were found to have a high frequency of I1017F. These results suggest that the observation of resistance patterns will help in designing a sustainable IPM program for T. urticae.

Keywords: Tetranychus urticae; etoxazole; pyridaben; point mutation; resistance

1. Introduction

Tetranychids or spider mites belong to a family of phytophagous mites that cause severe economic effects on agriculture [1]. In Korea, Tetranychus urticae has been known as an important pest and has been causing economic damage since the 1950s [2]. Tetranychus urticae control is largely based on the use of acaricides in the field; therefore, it rapidly develops resistance due to its short life cycle and high reproductivity [3–6]. Additionally, resistance of T. urticae to various acaricides has become a problem in South America, North America, Asia, Austria, and Europe [7–10]. Among the acaricides to which this pest has developed resistance, etoxazole was developed in the 1980s by Yashima, a company
located in Japan, and was commercialized in 1998 [11,12]. Etoxazole is an oxazoline compound and provides effective control during the egg–nymph stage and is an insect growth regulator that exerts insecticidal activity by inhibiting chitin biosynthesis [13]. The target site is glycosyltransferase chitin synthase 1 (CHS1) [13,14]. Etoxazole resistance was first reported in Japan in 1997 before its commercialization [7,15–18]. Pyridaben is a pyridazine compound and was discovered in 1984 by Nissan Chemical and was commercialized in 1991 [11]. It inhibits NADH:CoQ oxidoreductase activity, and provides good efficacy against all developmental stages of various mite species [19,20]. In addition, pyridaben was commonly used in 32 countries until 1994 because it is fast-acting, stable, and biodegrades relatively quickly [21]. However, due to the use of many acaricides, METI (Mitochondrial Complex Electron Transport Inhibitor)-resistant *T. urticae* has been reported since the 1990s [22,23]. *Tetranychus urticae* can be resistant to one acaricide or exhibit multiple or cross resistance to various other acaricides [24–26]. The I1017F point mutation is located within the last transmembrane helix and was found to be related to etoxazole resistance [7,14]. The H92R point mutation is located within the PSST homolog in mitochondria complex I and was found to be related to pyridaben resistance [27]. Rapid molecular diagnostics for detecting single resistant and multi-resistant populations primarily identify mutations in the *T. urticae* genes associated with acaricide resistance.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the susceptibility to acaricides in etoxazole- and pyridaben-resistant (ER and PR) strains and in field-collected populations of *T. urticae*. Furthermore, the frequencies of the I1017F and H92R point mutations were investigated to identify potential resistance mechanisms.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Mites

The susceptible (S) strain was first collected in 2005. This strain had never been exposed to acaricides. Resistant strains were treated once a week with the LC$_{30}$~LC$_{50}$ of etoxazole or pyridaben. Information on the eight field populations and resistant strains is shown in Table 1. The mites were reared at 25–27 °C with a 16 L: 8 D photoperiod and 40–60% relative humidity. Kidney beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) were used as a host.

| Populations          | Date Collected | Region   | Host   | Collection Locations (South Korea) |
|----------------------|----------------|----------|--------|------------------------------------|
| Lab-selected         |                |          |        |                                    |
| Etoxazole resistant (ER) | Aug 2000      | Buyeo    | Rose   |                                    |
| Pyridaben resistant (PR) | Feb 2003      | Uiseong  | Rose   |                                    |
| Field-collected      |                |          |        |                                    |
| Cheongju (CJ)        | Mar 2020       | Cheongju | Melon  |                                    |
| Gimhae-1 (GH-1)      | Aug 2019       | Gimhae   | Rose   |                                    |
| Gimhae-2 (GH-2)      | Aug 2019       | Gimhae   | Rose   |                                    |
| Gumi-1 (GM-1)        | Aug 2019       | Gumi     | Rose   |                                    |
| Gumi-2 (GM-2)        | Aug 2019       | Gumi     | Rose   |                                    |
| Okcheon (OC)         | Feb 2020       | Okcheon  | Rose   |                                    |
| Peongtaek (PT)       | Mar 2020       | Pyeongtaek | Rose  |                                    |
| Yongin (YI)          | Oct 2019       | Yongin   | Strawberry |                                    |

#### 2.2. Acaricides

Commercially formulated abamectin (10% SC), acequinocyl (15% SC), bifenazate (13.5% SC), cyenopyrafen (25% SC), cyflumetofen (20% SC), etoxazole (10% SC), fluxametamide (9% EC), pyflubumide (10% SC), pyridaben (20% WP), spirodiclofen (22% WP), spiromesifen (20% SC), and spirotetramat (22% SC) were purchased from a farm supply store (Cheongju, Korea).
2.3. Laboratory Toxicology Assays

2.3.1. Eggs

Kidney bean leaf discs (approximately 35 mm in diameter) were used as substrates for oviposition. Ten mated females (2- to 3-day-old) were transferred on the ventral side of a bean leaf disc placed on cotton soaked in water in a Petri dish (60 mm diameter) and given a 24-h period in which to lay eggs. After 24 h, the adults were removed, and the eggs were counted. The leaf discs with eggs were treated with the selected pesticide (1/8-, 1/4-, 1/2-, 1-, 2-, and 4 times the recommended concentration) suspension using a sprayer and were then dried in the dark for 30 min. The recommended concentration of each pesticide was only used to treat the field-collected populations. Control groups were sprayed with distilled water only. All leaf discs were examined daily for 7 days. The numbers of hatched and unhatched eggs were recorded. The dishes were incubated at 25–27 °C under 40–60% relative humidity and a 16 L:8 D photoperiod. All experiments were replicated three times.

2.3.2. Adult Females

Adult females (2 to 3 days old, avg. 20–150/experiment) were transferred to bean leaf discs (35 mm in diameter) on wet cotton wool using a brush. Solutions diluted to various concentrations (1/8-, 1/4-, 1/2-, 1-, 2-, and 4 times the recommended concentration of pesticide) were sprayed (3 mL each) onto the discs, which were then dried in the dark for 30 min. The recommended concentration of each pesticide was only used to treat the field-collected populations. The dishes were incubated at 25–27 °C under 40–60% relative humidity and a 16 L:8 D photoperiod. Mortality was evaluated at 48 h after treatment. Control groups were sprayed with distilled water only, and the control mortality in all tests never exceeded 5%. All experiments were replicated three times.

2.4. General Sequencing and Pyrosequencing of CHS1 and PSST in T. urticae

Genomic DNA was extracted from 200 mites from each T. urticae strain using the G-spin™ Total DNA Extraction Mini Kit (Intron, Seongnam, Korea) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Approximately 100 ng of DNA was used as template DNA for PCR. The reactions were performed using a PCR Premix kit (HotStart, Bioneer Co., Daejeon, Korea) and the primers listed in Table 2. The resulting PCR products were purified and directly sequenced using Bioneer Co. The pyrosequencing protocol consisted of 45 PCR cycles performed with the forward primer and biotinylated reverse primer at 0.5 µM, each in 20 µL reaction mixture containing 1 × Taq enzyme reaction mix (Enzymomics, Daejeon, Korea). The following cycling conditions were used: one cycle at 95 °C for 15 min; 45 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 60 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s; and a final step at 72 °C for 10 min. The reactions were performed using a PyroGold reagent kit and a PyroMark ID system (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA).

Table 2. Primers used for PCR amplification.

| Reaction       | Target | Name     | Sequence                  |
|----------------|--------|----------|---------------------------|
| General sequencing | CHS1   | Demaeght-F | AGATCCTTTTACGTCTGGGGGC   |
|                 |        | Demaeght-R | CAAATTGGGACTCGTTTCTTTTCA |
|                 | PSST   | PSST-F   | ACAGGTGCAACAAATGAGGC    |
|                 |        | PSST-R   | ATACCAAGCTGGGACTGGG     |
| Pyrosequencing  | CHS1   | CHS-F    | GTCTTTTGTAGTGGCGGCAATT  |
|                 |        | CHS-R    | TCCCCAAGTAAACAGTTAAAGT  |
|                 | PSST   | PSST-F   | TGACTTTTGGATTAGCCTTGTTG |
|                 |        | PSST-R   | AGGACTTGCTCTGAATAACATAAC |
2.5. Data Analysis

To estimate the parameters of a concentration–mortality line for each leaf-dip bioassay, replicate data were collected and analyzed using the probit model in the SAS program [28]. Two LC50 values were considered different at \( p < 0.01 \).

3. Results

3.1. Resistance Ratios (RRs) to Etoxazole and Pyridaben

Bioassays were conducted using etoxazole and pyridaben in etoxazole-resistant (ER) and pyridaben-resistant (PR) strains of *T. urticae*. When the eggs were treated with etoxazole, the ER strain showed an RR that was >5,000,000-fold higher than that of the S strain (Table 3). The RR of PR strain was 9.5-fold. However, the ER strain had a low RR of 3.6-fold to pyridaben. The PR strain had an RR that was over 4109.6-fold higher than the S strain to pyridaben. As already reported, etoxazole had no effect on the adults. Additionally, pyridaben was not treated with concentrations above 400 ppm (4 times recommended dose) because it showed a repellent characteristic towards adults.

**Table 3.** Susceptibility to etoxazole and pyridaben in S, ER and PR strains of *T. urticae*.

| Acaricide | Stage | Strain | n  | LC50 (ppm) (95% CL) | Slope ± SE | RR  |
|-----------|-------|--------|----|---------------------|------------|-----|
| Etoxazole | Egg   | ER     | 1780 | 0.02 (0.02–0.03)     | 1.95 ± 0.16 | 1   |
|           |       | PR     | 1846 | >100,000            | >5,000,000 |     |
|           | S     |        | 266  | >100,000            | -          | 9.5 |
|           | Adult | ER     | 107  | >100,000            | -          | -   |
|           |       | PR     | 93   | >100,000            | -          | -   |
| Pyridaben | Egg   | ER     | 1826 | 0.73 (0.31–1.48)    | 0.91 ± 0.10 | 1.0 |
|           | PR    | c 619  |      | >3000               | -          | >4109.6 |
|           | S     |         | 90  | >400                | Not measurable |
|           | Adult | ER     | 90   | >400                | -          | |
|           |       | PR     | 98   | >400                | -          | |

*Confidence limits. RR, resistance ratio = LC50 of resistant strain/LC50 of susceptible strain. c Choi et al. [29].

3.2. Cross-Resistance to 10 Acaricides in the S, ER, and PR Strains

Cross-resistance to 10 acaricides with different modes of action was determined in the S, ER, and PR strains of *T. urticae* eggs and adults. First, eggs from the ER strain of *T. urticae* showed resistance to abamectin, bifenazate, cyenopyrafen, cyflumetofen, fluxametamide, pyflubumide, and spirotetramat, with RRs of 10.6, >10.7, 20.2, >378.7, 10.5, 682.8, and >1612.9, respectively (Table 4). Eggs from the PR strain of *T. urticae* showed resistance to bifenazate, fluxametamide, pyflubumide, and spirotetramat, with RRs of >10.7, 11.4, 54.1, and >1612.9, respectively. Next, adults from the ER strain of *T. urticae* were resistant to cyenopyrafen, cyflumetofen, pyflubumide, and spirotetramat (Table 5). Adults from the PR strain of *T. urticae* had resistance to cyflumetofen, with an RR of 40.6.
### Table 4. Susceptibility to acaricides in the eggs of S, ER, and PR strains of *T. urticae*.

| Acaricide | Strain | n  | LC₅₀ (ppm) (95% CL) | Slope ± SE | RR  |
|-----------|--------|----|--------------------|------------|-----|
| Abamectin | S      | 720| 0.87 (0.34–2.82)   | 1.72 ± 0.24 | 1.0 |
|           | ER     | 496| 9.24 (8.71–15.62)  | 1.64 ± 0.25 | 10.6|
|           | PR     | 642| 8.52 (5.64–11.84)  | 1.34 ± 0.38 | 9.8 |
| Acequinocyl | S     | 1230| 8.02 (6.91–9.53)   | 2.14 ± 0.19 | 1.0 |
|           | ER     | 1719| 12.59 (9.92–37.54) | 1.94 ± 0.51 | 1.6 |
|           | PR c   | 881 | 1.47 (0.53–3.10)   | 1.42 ± 0.21 | 0.2 |
| Bifenazate | S     | 1780| 65.21 (23.60–136.91)| 0.45 ± 0.11 | 1.0 |
|           | ER     | 2391| >700               | -           | >10.7|
|           | PR     | 378 | >700               | -           | >10.7|
| Cyenopyrafen | S   | 496 | 1.68 (0.87–2.63)   | 2.65 ± 0.47 | 1.0 |
|           | ER     | 2306| 33.85 (20.23–45.74) | 1.73 ± 0.26 | 20.2|
|           | PR     | 1024| 1.32 (0.85–2.54)   | 1.35 ± 0.28 | 1.3 |
| Cyflumetofen | S    | 732 | 0.79 (0.29–0.99)   | 1.83 ± 0.31 | 1.0 |
|           | ER     | 988 | >300               | -           | >379.7|
|           | PR     | 865 | 4.57 (1.38–10.32)  | 1.56 ± 0.19 | 5.8 |
| Fluxametamide | S  | 962 | 4.62 (1.46–14.73)  | 1.24 ± 0.22 | 1.0 |
|           | ER     | 477 | 48.32 (39.12–56.25) | 1.56 ± 0.33 | 10.5|
|           | PR     | 884 | 52.64 (27.86–79.19) | 2.88 ± 0.37 | 11.4|
| Pyflubumide | S    | 517 | 0.08 (0.01–0.25)   | 1.23 ± 0.16 | 1.0 |
|           | ER     | 727 | 54.62 (40.07–86.89) | 0.94 ± 0.19 | 682.8|
|           | PR     | 875 | 4.33 (2.21–12.36)  | 1.95 ± 0.14 | 54.1|
| Spirodiclofen | S  | 857 | 18.23 (12.78–21.38) | 1.56 ± 0.28 | 1.0 |
|           | ER     | 1549| 18.02 (12.87–24.33) | 2.31 ± 0.13 | 1.0 |
|           | PR     | 984 | 19.32 (12.64–32.54) | 1.35 ± 1.25 | 1.1 |
| Spiromesifen | S   | 909 | 0.74 (0.38–1.79)   | 1.85 ± 0.37 | 1.0 |
|           | ER     | 698 | 0.33 (0.25–0.43)   | 1.71 ± 0.16 | 0.4 |
|           | PR     | 921 | 0.94 (0.31–2.54)   | 1.34 ± 0.25 | 1.3 |
| Spirotetramat | S  | 873 | 0.31 (0.08–0.40)   | 1.22 ± 0.24 | 1.0 |
|           | ER     | 1733| >500               | -           | >1612.9|
|           | PR     | 932 | >500               | -           | >1612.9|

a Confidence limits. b RR, resistance ratio = LC₅₀ of resistant strain/LC₅₀ of susceptible strain. c Choi et al. [29].
Table 5. Susceptibility to acaricides in adults of the S, ER, and PR strains of *T. urticae*.

| Acaricide     | Strain | n  | LC$_{50}$ (ppm) (95% CL $^a$) | Slope ± SE | RR $^b$ |
|---------------|--------|----|-------------------------------|------------|--------|
| **Abamectin** | S      | 134| 0.21 (0.08–0.82)              | 1.27 ± 0.18| 1.0    |
|               | ER     | 192| 0.10 (0.09–0.12)              | 1.02 ± 0.15| 0.5    |
|               | PR     | 245| 0.23 (0.01–0.75)              | 1.84 ± 0.32| 1.1    |
| **Acequinocyl** | S $^c$ | 225| 2.78 (1.48–6.58)              | 0.51 ± 0.07| 1.0    |
|                | ER     | 545| 20.38 (17.31–23.87)           | 1.72 ± 0.14| 7.3    |
|                | PR $^c$ | 225| 13.41 (10.06–21.94)           | 0.92 ± 0.10| 4.8    |
| **Bifenazate** | S      | 359| 5.08 (2.84–10.90)             | 1.37 ± 0.23| 1.0    |
| **Cyenopyrafen** | ER     | 363| 23.39 (19.44–28.70)           | 1.19 ± 0.10| 4.6    |
|                | PR     | 256| 4.76 (2.62–8.29)              | 1.25 ± 0.33| 0.9    |
| **Cyflumetofen** | S      | 182| 1.32 (0.54–4.72)              | 1.37 ± 0.18| 1.0    |
|                | ER     | 208| 70.61 (54.39–93.28)           | 1.02 ± 0.13| 53.5   |
|                | PR     | 243| 6.51 (3.01–17.86)             | 1.31 ± 0.12| 4.9    |
| **Fluxametamide** | S      | 68 | 0.58 (0.29–0.99)              | 1.83 ± 0.31| 1.0    |
|                | ER     | 212| >1000                         | -           | >1724.1|
|                | PR     | 135| 23.54 (14.81–25.66)           | 1.35 ± 0.10| 40.6   |
| **Pyflubumide** | S      | 362| 2.26 (1.66–3.09)              | 2.54 ± 0.32| 1.0    |
|                | ER     | 121| 1.96 (1.42–5.07)              | 1.68 ± 0.23| 0.9    |
|                | PR     | 253| 2.12 (1.73–4.62)              | 1.26 ± 0.33| 0.9    |
| **Spirodiclofen** | S      | 180| 2.75 (1.32–5.31)              | 1.27 ± 0.14| 1.0    |
|                | ER     | 181| >2000                         | -           | >727.3 |
|                | PR     | 183| 0.72 (0.34–0.62)              | 1.16 ± 0.15| 0.3    |
| **Spiromesifen** | S      | 67 | >1800                         | -           | No effect|
|                | ER     | 167| >1800                         | -           | -      |
|                | PR     | 108| >1800                         | -           | -      |
| **Spirotetramat** | S      | 75 | >1000                         | -           | No effect|
|                | ER     | 131| >1000                         | -           | -      |
|                | PR     | 109| >1000                         | -           | -      |
| **Pyridaben** | S      | 332| 32.62 (21.81–57.43)           | 1.95 ± 0.31| 1.0    |
|                | ER     | 221| 354.01 (69.44–3345)           | 0.17 ± 0.06| 10.9   |
|                | PR     | 192| 262.13 (142.54–1283)          | 0.67 ± 0.11| 8.0    |

$^a$ Confidence limits. $^b$ RR, resistance ratio = LC$_{50}$ of resistant strain/LC$_{50}$ of susceptible strain. $^c$ Choi et al. [29].

3.3. Acaricide Susceptibility of 8 Field-Collected Populations

Of the twelve acaricides, spirodiclofen and spiromesifen had acaricidal activity in all of the field population eggs. Spirodiclofen and spiromesifen resulted in mortality rates of more than 77.5% and 82.5%, respectively (Table 6). Twelve acaricides did not cause mortality of more than 80% in all field adults. OC and CJ had mortality rates greater than 90% with fluxametamide, and CJ had mortality rates greater than 90% with abamectin (Table 7). The LC$_{50}$ value for all field populations was more than 500 ppm when they were treated with etoxazole, and the RR was > 25,500 (Table 8). For pyridaben, seven of the eight field populations, CJ being the exception, had LC$_{50}$ values greater than 4000 ppm, and the RR was > 5480. The LC$_{50}$ value for the CJ population was 103.26 ppm, and the RR was 144.5 (Table 9).
Table 6. Mortality of *T. urticae* eggs of eight field populations in response to 12 acaricides.

| Acaricide       | n  | CJ | n  | GH-1 | n  | GH-2 | n  | GM-1 | n  | GM-2 | n  | OC | n  | PT | n  | YI |
|-----------------|----|----|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Abamectin       | 124| 3.4±3.2 | 135| 3.1±3.0 | 111| 3.8±2.5 | 82| 13.0±2.2 | 82| 12.5±5.3 | 314| 11.2±0.1 | 97| 15.1±8.4 | 219| 15.7±1.6 |
| Acequinocyl     | 86 | 17.1±3.1 | 94 | 9.2±1.5 | 80| 20.0±5.2 | 101| 15.7±3.0 | 103| 11.5±6.0 | 287| 12.3±6.2 | 79| 12.9±5.5 | 233| 18.2±2.2 |
| Bifenazate      | 108| 5.0±6.3 | 93 | 15.7±5.7 | 77| 16.5±8.4 | 78| 19.5±4.1 | 76| 14.9±7.3 | 197| 12.3±3.3 | 105| 21.6±8.7 | 216| 14.7±6.7 |
| Cyenopyrafen    | 97 | 17.7±6.2 | 129| 7.0±1.3 | 76| 11.7±1.3 | 111| 12.6±2.1 | 102| 11.0±3.7 | 372| 1.4±2.4 | 111| 9.2±2.5 | 80| 3.7±2.8 |
| Cyfumetofen     | 177| 11.9±5.0 | 104| 3.8±0.7 | 86 | 9.3±0.9 | 83 | 8.5±2.5 | 113 | 7.6±4.7 | 481 | 2.8±2.1 | 96 | 3.3±2.6 | 79 | 8.6±4.4 |
| cyanuranate     | 87 | 21.3±4.9 | 139| 5.9±1.2 | 114| 7.4±1.9 | 109| 15.5±4.0 | 111| 9.2±3.5 | 475| 1.4±2.3 | 110| 4.7±1.9 | 131| 6.4±4.3 |
| Pyridaben       | 308| 63.5±3.4 | 269| 2.7±2.2 | 431| 0.0±9.0 | 268| 0.9±2.8 | 285| 0.9±2.9 | 220| 1.3±1.3 | 332| 2.1±0.7 | 401| 29.7±10.2 |
| Spirodiolofen   | 232| 100.0±0.0 | 94 | 82.7±3.6 | 278| 84.5±5.7 | 101| 91.1±1.6 | 76 | 89.1±5.1 | 462| 85.0±3.6 | 93 | 77.5±4.3 | 102| 100.0±0.0 |
| Spiromesifen    | 254| 98.8±0.1 | 116| 82.8±1.4 | 82 | 82.5±1.7 | 94 | 95.8±0.3 | 109 | 95.3±2.1 | 467| 94.8±2.2 | 116 | 89.8±5.2 | 94 | 89.8±5.0 |
| Spirotetramat   | 113| 18.5±6.2 | 153| 7.9±0.2 | 72 | 10.4±1.0 | 114| 13.9±5.0 | 89 | 25.7±3.7 | 314| 0.0±0.0 | 104| 18.6±2.5 | 127| 0.0±0.0 |

*% Mortality stands for the % mortality at the field recommended dose.*

Table 7. Mortality of *T. urticae* adults of eight field populations in response to 12 acaricides.

| Acaricide     | n  | CJ | n  | GH-1 | n  | GH-2 | n  | GM-1 | n  | GM-2 | n  | OC | n  | PT | n  | YI |
|---------------|----|----|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Abamectin     | 75 | 90.8±2.0 | 81 | 16.5±4.5 | 80 | 10.0±5.8 | 84 | 13.7±3.0 | 67 | 12.5±3.0 | 80 | 13.3±3.3 | 83 | 11.8±2.6 | 80 | 24.7±4.4 |
| Acequinocyl   | 86 | 100.0±0.0 | 65 | 6.4±3.6 | 84 | 14.6±7.9 | 98 | 2.6±2.6 | 80 | 20.0±5.1 | 96 | 10.7±3.0 | 67 | 27.7±1.4 | 92 | 50.0±4.8 |
| Bifenazate    | 76 | 73.3±8.2 | 81 | 22.7±3.7 | 78 | 19.9±3.9 | 92 | 11.1±8.2 | 81 | 23.0±2.0 | 90 | 65.9±6.5 | 80 | 67.3±3.8 | 86 | 51.5±3.0 |
| Cyenopyrafen  | 76 | 78.5±5.5 | 80 | 13.3±3.3 | 86 | 9.1±5.3 | 92 | 13.9±2.8 | 80 | 11.7±3.3 | 78 | 14.1±7.1 | 88 | 13.9±7.4 | 90 | 0.0±0.0 |
| Cyfumetofen   | 85 | 30.5±4.4 | 86 | 38.7±4.7 | 92 | 0.0±0.0 | 86 | 11.9±2.4 | 73 | 20.3±5.9 | 82 | 18.7±4.1 | 84 | 6.1±6.1 | 80 | 10.0±5.8 |
| Etoxazole     | 75 | 0.2±2.2 | 76 | 0.0±0.0 | 75 | 0.0±0.0 | 75 | 0.0±0.0 | 70 | 13.9±3.9 | 64 | 0.0±0.0 | 62 | 0.0±0.0 | 62 | 0.0±0.0 |
| Fluametamide  | 81 | 94.3±1.5 | 82 | 41.7±4.8 | 70 | 52.4±4.0 | 72 | 47.6±2.4 | 71 | 43.1±2.1 | 68 | 94.4±5.6 | 96 | 25.6±7.8 | 79 | 54.7±1.6 |
| Pyllumbumide  | 82 | 13.0±4.4 | 86 | 16.7±4.8 | 86 | 0.0±0.0 | 88 | 17.8±4.9 | 74 | 5.4±3.4 | 80 | 0.0±0.0 | 82 | 6.7±6.7 | 78 | 3.3±3.3 |
| Pyridiben     | 70 | 2.7±2.7 | 75 | 2.6±2.3 | 60 | 1.0±3.3 | 63 | 2.3±2.9 | 72 | 6.4±3.2 | 68 | 3.5±3.1 | 67 | 16.0±4.3 | 67 | 5.3±1.9 |
| Spirodiolofen | 86 | 21.3±5.0 | 90 | 0.0±0.0 | 88 | 5.4±8.9 | 76 | 0.0±0.0 | 80 | 8.3±9.2 | 80 | 10.2±7.1 | 83 | 6.3±3.3 | 81 | 9.5±6.9 |
| Spiromesifen  | 83 | 3.5±5.3 | 81 | 3.1±1.6 | 80 | 0.0±0.0 | 80 | 0.0±0.0 | 80 | 5.4±8.7 | 93 | 8.6±5.1 | 81 | 3.1±3.6 | 81 | 7.3±8.4 |
| Spirotetramat | 76 | 29.7±5.2 | 84 | 18.5±5.0 | 84 | 0.0±0.0 | 96 | 36.8±4.8 | 75 | 12.6±1.2 | 82 | 15.8±8.2 | 80 | 20.0±5.8 | 82 | 9.7±0.3 |

*% Mortality stands for the % mortality at the field recommended dose.*
Table 8. Toxicity to etoxazole in susceptible and field-collected populations of *T. urticae* eggs.

| Populations | n   | %Mortality | LC₅₀ (ppm a.i.) (95% CL) | Slope ± SE | RR  |
|-------------|-----|------------|--------------------------|------------|-----|
| S           | 1780| 100.0      | 0.02 (0.02–0.03)         | 1.95 ± 0.16| 1.0 |
| CJ          | 1647| 33.9       | >500                     | -          | >25,500 |
| GH-1        | 479 | 0.0        | >500                     | -          | >25,500 |
| GH-2        | 904 | 19.6       | >500                     | -          | >25,500 |
| GM-1        | 1687| 0.0        | >500                     | -          | >25,500 |
| GM-2        | 369 | 0.0        | >500                     | -          | >25,500 |
| OC          | 1153| 0.0        | >500                     | -          | >25,500 |
| PT          | 339 | 2.1        | >500                     | -          | >25,500 |
| YI          | 1127| 3.0        | >500                     | -          | >25,500 |

a % Mortality stands for the % mortality at field recommended dose. b Confidence limits. c RR represents resistance ratio = LC₅₀ of resistant strain/LC₅₀ of susceptible strain.

Table 9. Toxicity to pyridaben in susceptible and field-collected populations of *T. urticae* eggs.

| Populations | n   | %Mortality | LC₅₀ (ppm a.i.) (95% CL) | Slope ± SE | RR  |
|-------------|-----|------------|--------------------------|------------|-----|
| S           | 462 | 100.0      | 0.73 (0.31–1.48)         | 0.91 ± 0.10| 1.0 |
| CJ          | 2243| 63.5       | 103.26 (76.59–150.66)    | 1.32 ± 0.12| 144.5 |
| GH-1        | 1449| 2.7        | >4000                    | -          | >5480 |
| GH-2        | 1819| 0.0        | >4000                    | -          | >5480 |
| GM-1        | 887 | 0.4        | >4000                    | -          | >5480 |
| GM-2        | 778 | 2.1        | >4000                    | -          | >5480 |
| OC          | 2227| 1.3        | >4000                    | -          | >5480 |
| PT          | 1073| 2.1        | >4000                    | -          | >5480 |
| YI          | 1533| 29.7       | >4000                    | -          | >5480 |

a % Mortality stands for the % mortality at field recommended dose. b Confidence limits. c RR represents resistance ratio = LC₅₀ of resistant strain/LC₅₀ of susceptible strain.

3.4. Genotypes of Point Mutations (I1017F and H92R)

Using pyrosequencing, the frequencies of I1017F in *CHS1* and H92R in the *PSST* subunit of mitochondrial electron transport complex I were identified (Table 10). The I1017F point mutation was found in the ER strain but not in the S and PR strains. The H92R point mutation in the *PSST* gene was present in the PR strain but not in the S and ER strains. The sequencing results from the eight field populations showed that the I1017F mutation was present in all of the groups. The H92R point mutation was present in seven field populations. Five of them had a homozygous allele for histidine (H). The OC and YI populations showed heterozygous alleles for H and R (arginine). The genotype frequency of a phenylalanine (F) at the 1017th amino acid position of CHS1 was 100% in the ER strain. In the eight field populations, it was 72~99.0%. However, in the S and PR strains, it was 0.0% and 2.0%, respectively. The genotype frequency of an arginine (R) on the 92th amino acid position of *PSST* was 99.0% in the PR strain. However, in the S and ER strains, it was 11.0% and 29.0%, respectively.
4. Discussion

The controlling of two-spotted spider mites mainly depends on chemical control methods, and there are many reports on the development of resistance to acaricides due to their short lifespan and high fecundity. In this study, we determined the cross-resistance of ER and PR strains and the complex resistance of the field-collected populations. We also observed the frequency of point mutations in the etoxazole resistance- (I1017F of CHS1) and pyridaben resistance-related gene (H92R of PSST). As a result, the resistance ratio of the ER and PR strains was less than 10, and there was no cross-resistance. In previous studies, ER strains also showed low cross-resistance to pyridaben [30]. Our results were also similar to that research. However, the PR strain was shown to have cross-resistance to etoxazole by Choi et al. [29]. Since the PR strain used in this study is a more carefully selected strain, this result is considered to be more reliable. In addition, both strains showed a high resistance ratio because they had been exposed to etoxazole or pyridaben for a long period of time (more than 16 years), and the possibility of cross-resistance is low (Table 3). In order to find effective acaricides against etoxazole- and pyridaben-resistant strains, we performed sensitivity evaluation using 10 pesticides. As a result of treatment with ER eggs, the pesticides that showed less than 70% acaricidal effect were abamectin, bifenazate, cyflumetofen, fluxametamide, pyflubumide, and spirotetramat. In PR eggs, the pesticides that showed less than 70% acaricidal effect were abamectin, bifenazate, cyflumetofen, fluxametamide, and spirotetramat (Table 4). Abamectin, bifenazate and pyflubumide were less effective for eggs than adults in a recent study [31,32]. P450s are known to be related to cyflumetofen resistance [33]. Additionally, P450s are known to be related to pyridaben and etoxazole resistance, so the ER and PR strains showed cross resistance to cyflumetofen [33,34]. Fluxametamide has a similar mode of action to diamide. Diamides are more effective in larvae than in the eggs of Lepidopterans, and they seem to have worked similarly in T. urticae [35]. Spirodiclofen and spiromesifen showed high acaricidal effects in both resistant strains, but spirotetramat, insecticides belonging to the same chemical class, had a low effect. In a previous study, spirotetramat showed an LC$_{50}$ value 34 times higher than that of spirodiclofen in Panonychus citri eggs [36]. Since T. urticae and P. citri belong to the same family, similar results seem to have been obtained. Therefore, acequinocyl, spirodiclofen, and spiromesifen could be used to the control eggs from the ER and PR strains. Additionally, cyenopyrafen was effective against eggs from the PR strain.

When adults from the ER strain were treated with ten acaricides, the mortality due to cyenopyrafen, cyflumetofen, pyflubumide, spirodiclofen, and spirotetramat was less than 70%. Adults from the PR strain showed resistance to spirotetramat (Table 5). Khalighi et al. [37] reported that etoxazole-resistant strains did not have cross-resistance to cyenopyrafen and cyflumetofen. Cyflumetofen and cyenopyrafen show an increased acaricidal effect when P450 activity is inhibited. P450s and glutathione-S-transferases have been
related to the acaricidal effect of cyflumetofen [33,38]. Pyflubumide is classified in the new subgroup 25B (carboxanilide) in the IRAC (Insecticide Resistance Action Committee) MoA classification as a novel inhibitor of mitochondrial complex II on the respiratory chain and has a similar mechanism to cyenopyrafen and cyflumetofen, so resistance to this compound may have developed via the same factors [39,40]. Spirodiclofen, spiromesifen, and spirotetramat have sterility effects on adult females and ovicidal effects on eggs but do not have a control effect on adults [41]. Therefore, abamectin, acequinocyl, bifenthrate, and fluxametamid will be effective in controlling adults that have developed resistance to etoxazole and pyridaben. In a previous report, Marcic et al. showed that the toxicity of spirotetramat to eggs is most likely caused by its residual effect on hatching larvae [42].

We also compared the acaricidal activities of etoxazole and pyridaben in resistant groups and field populations. As a result, all field populations showed resistance to the two acaricides (Tables 6 and 7). Etoxazole has been used for over 20 years since its registration in Korea in 1998, and pyridaben has been used for nearly 30 years since its registration in Korea in 1992 [30,43]. Resistance to the two acaricides began to be reported in the late 1990s [11,15,22]. All field populations had some level of resistance because resistance has steadily developed with the long-term use of these chemicals [7,16–18]. Based on this result, we treated resistant groups and eight field populations with ten different acaricides. Since the pesticides used in each region may be different, there may be differences in the degree of resistance development to each pesticide. In all of the field populations, spirodiclofen and spiromesifen had an acaricidal effect of more than 77.5% in eggs.

The results of the genotype frequency analysis of the H92R point mutation showed that the OC and YI populations had a lower frequency than the other populations, but the bioassays showed that the resistance ratio was more than 5480-fold (Table 9). In this regard, it has been reported that cyflumetofen and cyenopyrafen-resistant mites have cross resistance to pyridaben [37,43]. Additionally, the mutation frequency of the I1017F gene was different for each region, and the resistance ratio was the same by more than 25,500 times. The reason for this is that although there is a difference in the degree of resistance by region, it seems that the same result appeared because it was not treated at a dose of 500 ppm or more. All field populations had resistance to most of the acaricides used in this experiment. Spirodiclofen and spiromesifen showed excellent effects in the eggs of field populations with complex resistance and may be effective in controlling T. urticae.

5. Conclusions

To control T. urticae effectively, the alternation of acaricides is necessary, and indiscriminate pesticide use should be avoided. Before applying an acaricide, the degree of resistance development should be checked. The development of resistance to etoxazole and pyridaben can be diagnosed using the I1017F and H92R point mutations as resistance diagnostic markers. Almost all field populations have multiple resistance genes; therefore, continuous research on the development of resistance is needed.
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