Genome-wide identification and characterization of microRNAs by small RNA sequencing for low nitrogen stress in potato
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Abstract

Nitrogen is an important nutrient for plant growth and tuber quality of potato. Since potato crop requires high dose of N, improving nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) of plant is an inevitable approach to minimize N fertilization. The aim of this study was to identify and characterize microRNAs (miRNAs) by small RNA sequencing in potato plants grown in aeroponic under two contrasting N (high and low) regimes. A total of 119 conserved miRNAs belonging to 41 miRNAs families, and 1002 putative novel miRNAs were identified. From total, 52 and 54 conserved miRNAs, and 404 and 628 putative novel miRNAs were differentially expressed in roots and shoots, respectively under low N stress. Of total 34,135 predicted targets, the gene ontology (GO) analysis indicated that maximum targets belong to biological process followed by molecular function and cellular component. Expression levels of the selected miRNAs and targets were validated by real time-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) analysis. Two predicted targets of potential miRNAs (miR397 and miR398) were validated by 5’ RLM-RACE (RNA ligase mediated rapid amplification of cDNA ends). In general, predicted targets are associated with stress-related, kinase, transporters and transcription factors such as universal stress protein, heat shock protein, salt-tolerance protein, calmodulin binding protein, serine-threonine protein kinsae, Cdk10/11-cyclin dependent kinase, amino acid transporter, nitrate transporter, sugar transporter, transcription factor, F-box family protein, and zinc finger protein etc. Our study highlights that miR397 and miR398 play crucial role in potato during low N stress management. Moreover, study provides insights to modulate miRNAs and their predicted targets to develop N-use efficient potato using transgenic/genome-editing tools in future.

Introduction

Potato is the most important non-grain food crop in the world. In India, potato crop requires high dose of nitrogen (180–240 kg ha⁻¹) to produce high tuber yield (30–40 t ha⁻¹) [1]. An excessive use of nitrogen causes environmental pollution and degradation of soil health and water quality [2]. Hence, improving nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) of plant is an important
approach, which can utilize less nitrogen (N) to produce equivalent tuber yield. This approach is more important in developing countries where resources are limited like India [2]. To achieve this, although agronomic and soil management techniques have been applied to a great extent to improve NUE in crops, this study aimed to identify microRNAs (miRNAs) involved in regulation of gene expression under N stress metabolism in potato [3, 4].

Plant growth and development is controlled by genes and non-coding regulatory molecules like miRNAs [4]. In fact miRNAs are 20–24 nucleotides molecules and they are involved in many biological processes such as cell signaling and development, biotic and abiotic stresses, DNA methylation, auxin response and so on [5]. Mostly miRNAs originate from non-coding region of gene, however a few miRNAs are reported from retrotransposons [6]. Mature miRNAs are processed from primary-miRNAs, which are further processed to precursor-miRNAs [6]. Several miRNAs families have been found in plants and animals and many of them are conserved across organisms [7].

MicroRNAs play a key role in gene regulation after transcription or repression of translation mechanism [7]. Functional validation of miRNAs shows their usefulness in gene regulation, plant growth and development, for example adaptation to abiotic stresses such as drought in chickpea [8], heat in *Saccharina japonica* [9], cold in eggplant [10] and salinity in rice [11, 12]. Several miRNAs have been characterized in plants, such as miR174 and miR167 are involved in N signaling in root development [13]. MiRNAs like miR156, miR159, miR160, miR162, miR166, miR167, miR169, miR171, miR172, miR319, miR393, miR394, miR396, miR397, miR398, miR399 and miR408 have been reported to regulate plant growth under N deficiency [14]. Furthermore, up-regulated miR156/157, miR160, miR164 and miR167; and down-regulated miR169, miR397, miR398, miR399 and miR408 are known under N starvation in plants [15]. Similarly, Shahzad and co-workers [16] reviewed miRNAs function in plant species towards nutrient acquisition and plant adaptation under nutrient stress. In potato, conserved miRNAs families (e.g. miR156, miR397, miR398, miR482, miR5303) have been identified by small RNA sequencing [17]. Besides, characterization of miRNAs and their target genes have also been investigated in Solanaceae plants including potato [18, 19, 20].

With the advancement in next-generation sequencing technologies and availability of the potato genome sequence [21], it is possible to discover miRNAs and target genes in potato. Very recently, we have identified genes under low N stress in potato through RNA-sequencing [22]. Genome-wide identification and characterization of miRNAs in potato is essential to widen our knowledge on gene regulation under low N stress. Hence, in this study we sequenced small RNA to identify conserved and novel miRNAs in response to low N stress versus high N (control) in potato plants grown in aeroponic under controlled conditions. Further, we also performed expression profiles of selected miRNAs and their targets, and 5'RLM-RACE to validate the predicted targets. Our results would enhance knowledge on function of miRNAs in response to adaptation to low N stress in potato.

**Results**

**Small RNA sequencing and analysis**

Total RNA was isolated from root and shoot tissues of potato cv. Kufri Jyoti grown in aeroponic under two N (low N and high N-control) regimes (Fig 1). Single-end (1 x 75) small RNA libraries were prepared directly from the QC (quality control) passed total RNA. Size of the libraries was ranged between 149–156 bp and sequencing was performed using NextSeq500 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Nearly 11–21 millions raw reads per sample were generated (S1A Table), of which nearly 9–18 million reads were recovered after adapter trimming (S1B Table), from which high quality reads (8–18 million reads per sample) were used for further
analysis (S1 Table). High quality reads with an average read length 23–24 nt and unique tags (2.6–3.9 million per sample) (S1D Table) were mapped to the Rfam database, and mapped reads, unmapped reads and unique tags were filtered (S1E Table). Further, unmapped reads and unique tags were mapped to the potato genome sequence repeat database and then filtered (S1F Table). Reads and unique tags, which were unmapped to the repeat database, were filtered for length distribution (15–34 nt) (S1G Table). Finally, reads having length more than 34 nt were excluded from analysis and reads size of 15–34 nt were retained for miRNAs identification. Bioinformatics analysis flow is depicted in S1 Fig, and mapping of reads to the Rfam database for sample-wise family distribution of miRNAs is shown in S2 Fig.

Table 1. Alignment summary statistics of small RNA sequencing of potato under low N and high N.

| Sample            | # Raw reads | # Clean reads* | # Aligned reads |
|-------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
| KJ_HN Root (control) | 21,929,322  | 8,710,979      | 3,796,038       |
| KJ_LN Root        | 21,693,480  | 6,820,194      | 2,049,715       |
| KJ_HN Shoot (control) | 14,873,782  | 6,904,591      | 4,328,501       |
| KJ_LN Shoot       | 11,955,717  | 5,464,795      | 3,455,941       |

*Clean reads (size: 15–34 nt) were obtained from raw reads after removal of adapter trimming, quality trimming, read tag unification, filtering by mapping to Rfam database and repeat database, and read length filtration. KJ: cv. Kufri Jyoti; HN: High nitrogen; LN: Low nitrogen
Identification of conserved and novel miRNAs

Clean reads (15–34 nt) were used for mapping with the potato genome sequence database and alignment summary is outlined in Table 1 and shown in Fig 2. Precursor sequences were identified by the tool miRCat (The UEA small RNA workbench, v 3.2) and only miRNAs observed on stable precursor sequences are reported. After identifying mature sequences, candidate miRNAs were compared with the miRBase ID to assign miR families and then conserved and putative novel miRNAs were segregated (Table 2). A total of 119 conserved miRNAs and 1002 putative novel miRNAs were identified in root and shoot tissues in response to low N versus high N (control) (S2 Table and S3 Table). Number of conserved miRNAs were 110, 105, 108 and 103 in KJ_HN_Root, KJ_LN_Root, KJ_HN_Shoot and KJ_LN_Shoot, respectively (S4 Table; KJ: Kufri Jyoti, HN: high nitrogen; LN: low nitrogen); whereas 615, 381, 890 and 708 putative novel

Table 2. Identification of conserved and putative novel miRNAs in potato under low N and high N.

| Sample                      | # Conserved miRNAs | # Putative novel miRNAs |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| KJ_HN_Root (control) vs.    | 52                 | 391                     |
| KJ_LN_Root                  | 47                 | 233                     |
| KJ_HN_Shoot (control) vs.   | 52                 | 585                     |
| KJ_LN_Shoot                 | 47                 | 451                     |

cv. Kufri Jyoti; HN: High nitrogen; LN: Low nitrogen

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233076.t002
miRNAs were found in KJ_HN_Root, KJ_LN_Root, KJ_HN_Shoot and KJ_LN_Shoot, respectively (S5 Table). Maximum 20 conserved miRNAs were identified in potato chromosome 3 followed by chromosome 6 (18), whereas maximum putative novel miRNAs were identified in chromosome 4 (103) followed by chromosome 1 (91) (Table 3).

Of total 119 conserved miRNAs belonging to 41 miRNAs families, 28 families were found in all four samples, whereas 13 families were tissues-specific (S6 Table). We observed miR5303 having largest members (15) followed by miR169 (11 members), miR166 (7 members), miR319 (7 members), and miR172, miR7981 and miR8039 (6 members in each) and other families had 1 to 4 members (S6 Table). Whereas, 1002 putative novel miRNAs also had 391, 233, 585 and 451 members in KJ_HN_Root, KJ_LN_Root, KJ_HN_Shoot and KJ_LN_Shoot samples, respectively (S7 Table).

Size distribution of conserved miRNAs was ranged between 20–24 nt (miRNAs #19: 20 nt; #63: 21 nt; #11: 22 nt, and #26: 24 nt). Whereas, putative novel miRNAs were ranged between 15–25 nt, of which miRNAs #6: 15 nt, #13: 16 nt, #2: 18 nt, #6: 20 nt, #93: 21 nt, #76: 22 nt, #4: 23 nt, #800: 24 nt, and #2: 25 nt. An average MFE (minimum free energy) of pre-miRNAs of conserved miRNAs was -53.2 ranging between -114.9 to -19.9 kcal mol⁻¹, of which only 70 had complementary miRNA* sequences. An average MFE of pre-miRNAs of putative novel miRNAs was -45.9 ranged between -166.4 to -15.4 kcal mol⁻¹, of which only 300 had complementary miRNA* sequences. Secondary structures of precursor miRNAs were predicted and highlighted respective mature miRNAs and mature* wherever available. Selected miRNAs are shown in Fig 3. Venn diagram analysis showed that 27 (65.9%) conserved miRNAs, and 305 (30.4%) putative novel miRNAs were common among the tissues studied (Fig 4).

### Differential expression analysis of miRNAs

Read counts were used for expression profiling of conserved and putative novel miRNAs. Raw counts were normalized by using TPM (Transcripts Per Million) normalization method and further used to analyze differential expression analysis of miRNAs. Only significantly ($p < 0.05$) expressed miRNAs are reported and summarised in Table 4. Of total 119 conserved miRNAs belonging to 41 miRNAs families, 52 miRNAs were differentially expressed in roots,

| Chromosome | Conserved | Putative novel |
|------------|-----------|----------------|
| Chr00      | 1         |                |
| Chr01      | 8         | 91             |
| Chr02      | 8         | 73             |
| Chr03      | 20        | 72             |
| Chr04      | 5         | 103            |
| Chr05      | 5         | 66             |
| Chr06      | 18        | 87             |
| Chr07      | 12        | 53             |
| Chr08      | 13        | 69             |
| Chr09      | 9         | 90             |
| Chr10      | 2         | 68             |
| Chr11      | 10        | 63             |
| Chr12      | 7         | 72             |
| ChrUn      | 1         | 71             |
| Chrx       | -         | 24             |
of which 38 were up-regulated, 9 were down-regulated and 5 miRNAs were tissue-specific (KJ_HN_Root) (S8 Table). Whereas, 54 conserved miRNAs were differentially expressed in shoots, 32 were up-regulated, 13 were down-regulated and 9 were tissue-specific (7: KJ_HN_Shoot, and 2: KJ_LN_Shoot) (S9 Table). Of total 1002 putative novel miRNAs, 404 were differentially expressed in roots, 92 were up-regulated, 128 were down-regulated and 184 were tissue-specific (171: KJ_HN_root, and 13 KJ_LN_root) (S10 Table). Whereas, 628 putative novel miRNAs were differentially expressed in shoots, of which 252 were up-regulated, 156 were down-regulated and 220 were tissue-specific (177: KJ_HN_Shoot, and 43: KJ_LN_Shoot) (S11 Table).

MiR398 and miR397 were most down-regulated in roots by -5.2 and -2.4 log₂ fold change (FC), respectively; whereas miR5303 and miR398 were down-regulated (-1.2 and -1.1 log₂ FC, respectively) in shoots under low N compared to high N (control). MiR482 and miR156-miR157 were up-regulated (1.7 and 1.6 log₂ FC, respectively) in roots, whereas miR319 and miR156 were up-regulated (both 1.8 log₂ FC) in shoots. In terms of most up-regulation, putative novel miRNAs stu-novel-mir963 (2.9 log₂ FC) and stu-novel-mir1052 (2.6 log₂ FC) showed higher expression in roots and shoots, respectively.

**Target prediction of miRNAs and GO characterization**

The psRNATarget finder tool was used to predict targets of miRNAs. A total of 34,135 targets were predicted by 723 miRNAs (61 conserved and 662 putative novel miRNAs) (S12 Table). In-silico targets finding showed that most miRNAs regulate gene expression by cleavage mechanism followed by inhibition of translation process. Predicted targets were characterized by total 4399 GO terms, of which 2327 were categorised to biological process, 1583 were belonged to molecular function, 488 were under cellular component, and one was of ‘eco’ category (S13 Table). Based on the GO analysis, we predicted several target genes such as Cdk10/11- cyclin dependent kinase (49 miRNAs), amino acid transporter (29 miRNAs), receptor protein kinase (28 miRNAs), F-box domain containing protein (27 miRNAs), cytochrome P450 (27 miRNAs), serine/threonine-protein kinase PBS1 (23 miRNAs), F-box family protein (21 miRNAs), ankyrin repeat-containing protein (21 miRNAs) and many unknown genes. In addition, many unknown transcripts were targeted by miRNAs like PGSC0003DMT400044989 (50 miRNAs) and PGSC0003DMT40004014, PGSC0003DMT40004986 and PGSC0003DMT40004988 (each 49 miRNAs). Based on hits value, top five GO numbers were belonged to cellular component (GO:0016020, hits: 16638, membrane; and GO:0016021, hits: 16073, integral component of membrane) followed by molecular function (GO:0005515, hits: 9268, protein binding; and GO:0005524, hits: 7118, ATP binding) and biological process (GO:0006468, hits: 4585, protein phosphorylation). Selected top ten GO terms of the predicted targets of miRNAs is depicted in Fig 5.

**Validation of miRNAs expression by RT-qPCR analysis**

Selected miRNAs were validated by RT-qPCR expression analysis and results showed good concordance with small RNA sequencing profile (Fig 6(A)). Among the conserved miRNAs in roots under low N stress, stu-known-mir34 (miR482) and stu-known-mir52 (miR156-miR157) were up-regulated (1.68 and 1.59 log₂ FC, respectively), whereas stu-known-mir46 (miR398), stu-known-mir24 (miR398) and stu-known-mir1 (miR397) were most down-regulated (-5.21, -4.02 and -2.42 log₂ FC, respectively). Besides, among the conserved miRNAs in shoots under low N, stu-known-mir57 (miR319) and stu-known-mir37 (miR156) were both up-regulated 1.85 log₂ FC; and stu-known-mir61 (miR398) and stu-known-mir14 (miR5303) were most down-regulated (-1.07 to -1.21 log₂ FC, respectively). Whereas among putative novel miRNAs...
Expression analysis of targets by RT-qPCR

Gene expression of predicted targets was analyzed by RT-qPCR analysis, which showed inverse expression profiles as compared to miRNAs (Fig 6(B)). A few targets of miRNAs were namely universal stress protein family protein for stu-known-mir34 (miR482); nitrate transporter for stu-known-mir52 (mir156mir157); calmodulin-binding heat-shock protein for stu-known-mir24 (miR398); heat shock protein binding protein for stu-known-mir46 (miR398), nitrate transporter for stu-known-mir57 (miR319); amino acid transporter for stu-known-mir37 (miR156); serine-threonine protein kinase, plant-type for stu-known-mir61 (miR398);
F-box family protein for stu-known-mir14 (miR5303); transcription factor for stu-novel-mir963; zinc finger protein for stu-novel-mir712; amino acid transporter for stu-novel-mir1052; and sugar transporter for stu-novel-mir858 (S14 Table).

Target validation of conserved miRNAs by 5' RLM-RACE
Two targets (one each) of conserved miRNAs (miR397 and miR398) were validated by 5'RLM-RACE. The predicted targets PGSC0003DMT400001824 (Laccase) of miR397 and PGSC0003DMT400026824 (Serine-threonine protein kinase, plant-type) of miR398 were amplified with distinct band (Fig 7). Further, the amplified 5' RLM-RACE products were eluted, cloned and sequenced to analyse cleavage pattern based on sequence complementarity between miRNAs and mRNA (target) (Fig 7).

Discussion
Improving NUE of potato plant is essential to reduce amount of N fertilizers applied to the crop, while maintaining tuber yield. This approach could reduce production cost and save environment from excessive use of N [2]. We aimed to discover miRNAs regulating gene networks in potato under N stress. Very recently we have shown precision phenotyping of potato

Table 4. Summary of differentially (p < 0.05) expressed miRNAs in potato under low N and high N.

| Samples | Known miRNAs | Novel miRNAs |
|---------|--------------|--------------|
|         | Up-regulated | Down-regulated | Up-regulated | Down-regulated |
| KJ_LN_Root vs. KJ_HN_Root (control) | 38 | 9 | 92 | 128 |
| KJ_LN_Shoot vs. KJ_HN_Shoot (control) | 32 | 13 | 252 | 156 |

In addition, several miRNAs were expressed exclusively in tissue specific. cv. Kufri Jyoti; HN: High nitrogen; LN: Low nitrogen
under varied N supplies in aeroponic under controlled conditions [2] and identified genes under N stress [22], however genome-wide identification of miRNAs is yet to be investigated. In this study, we identified 119 conserved miRNAs (20–24 nt) belonging to 41 miRNAs families, and 1002 putative novel miRNAs (15–25 nt) responsive to low N stress in potato. The differential expression analysis of conserved miRNAs shows that miR397 and miR398 play crucial role in potato under low N and their targets were also validated in the study. The GO analysis indicates that maximum target genes belong to biological process followed by molecular function and cellular component. However, the highest number of hits of miRNAs to targets were observed with cellular component (membrane, and integral component of membrane), indicating their role during adaptation to N stress in potato.
Our findings on miRNAs identification in potato corroborate with earlier research. A few selected targets of miR398 were heat shock protein binding protein, calmodulin-binding heat shock protein, zinc finger protein, cytochrome P450, and serine-threonine protein kinase plant-type. Earlier study shows that miR398 is directly associated with stress regulatory network genes and responses to various stresses like high salt, water deficit, oxidative, abscisic acid, phosphorus and copper deficiency, high sucrose and bacterial infection [23]. Trindade et al. [24] revealed up-regulation of miR398 and miR408 in response to water deficit in Medicago truncatula. Manipulation of microRNA expression has been investigated in plants, such as miR397 and miR398 were down-regulated, and miR156/157 were up-regulated under N starvation [15]. Similarly, Shahzad et al. [16] reviewed role of miRNAs in nutrient acquisition.

![Image](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233076.g006)

**Fig 6.** Validation of miRNAs (A) and their predicted targets (B) by relative gene expression (log, fold change) by RT-qPCR analysis. Small letter on bar with different alphabet indicates significance at \( p < 0.05 \), whereas same alphabet shows non-significance within up-regulated or down-regulated miRNAs/targets. Values are means ± standard error.

![Image](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233076.g007)

**Fig 7.** Target validation of genes 1) PGSC0003DMT400001824 (Laccase) of the miR397 in root, and 2) PGSC0003DMT400026824 (Serine-threonine protein kinase, plant-type) of the miR398 in shoot by 5’RLM-RACE. Agarose gel (1%) image of 5’-RLM-RACE products, and targets and miRNAs sequences are shown here. Cleavage site of two conserved miRNAs is shown by the arrow with the frequency of cloned RACE products above it. The vertical lines indicate match base pairs and dot (.) indicate mismatch. M = 1 kb DNA ladder.
and plant adaptation under N stress and reported down-regulated miR169, miR397 and miR398, and up-regulated miR156, miR157 and miR169. In this study, miR397 modulated target genes such as universal stress protein, zinc finger protein, heat shock protein and serine-threonine protein kinase, laccase and root border cell-specific protein. Study indicates that miR397 is conserved in both dicot and monocot plant species and laccase is a target gene [25]. Most research work focussed on abiotic stress response of miR397 in plants, such as up-regulation under drought stress in rice [26], and up-regulation under cold, drought, and high salinity stresses in Arabidopsis [27]. Role of miR397/laccase gene has also been demonstrated in improving tolerance to fenoxaprop-P-ethyl in Beckmannia syzigachne and Oryza sativa [28]. Zuluaga et al. [29] identified miR397 were found under N starvation in wheat at grain filling stage. Thus, these studies illustrate importance of miR397 and miR398 in plant metabolism, and our study observed their role in potato adaptation to low N stress.

In this study, miR156/157 encoded several targets such as nitrate transporter and salt-tolerance protein; whereas amino acid transporter and serine-threonine protein kinase plant-type are some predicted targets of miR156. MiR156 is one of the first microRNAs described in Arabidopsis by small RNA sequencing [30]. MiR156 is a graft-transmissible miRNA that modulates plant architecture and tuberization in Solanum tuberosum ssp. andigena [31]. Zeng et al. [14] reviewed role of various microRNAs in plant development in response to N deficiency. A few miRNAs identified in our study corroborate with earlier finding such as miR156 and miR319 (shoot development), miR393 (root development, defense response), miR397 (copper homeostasis, lignin synthesis), and miR398 (copper homeostasis, oxidative stress) [14]. Song et al. [32] identified miRNAs such as miR156 in Chrysanthemum nankingense under N starvation condition. Moreover, role of miR156 has also been demonstrated in modulation of traits associated with vegetative phase in tobacco [33] and other plants [34]. MiR156-regulated traits therefore can be used to distinguish between juvenile and adult phases of development in tobacco and in the family Solanaceae. Zhang et al. [17] identified 28 conserved miRNAs families such as miR156, miR319, miR397, miR398, miR482, and miR5303 in potato by small RNA sequencing. MiR482 encoded target genes such as universal stress protein family protein, transcription factor and sugar transporter in potato under N stress. MiR482/2118 family has shown to regulate nucleotide binding site (NBS) and leucine-rich repeat (LRR) class of resistance genes. Zhu et al. [35] demonstrated expression of NBS-LRR genes by miR482 mediated gene silencing pathway upon attack of fungus (Verticillium dahlia). Shivaprasad et al. [36] illustrated miR482 mediated regulation of NBS-LRR motifs proteins for disease resistance in tomato. Similarly, de Vries et al. [37] investigated regulation of Phytophthora resistance by miR482/2118 expression in wild and cultivated tomatoes. Numerous such findings evidence role of miRNAs in plant stress management, and we observed their implication to manage N stress in potato.

Besides, in this study, miR319 targeted genes like nitrate transporter, amino acid transporter and transcription factor. Moreover, miR319 regulates robust and multilayer control of leaf development in Arabidopsis and crop plants [38]. Kondhare et al. [39] evidenced role of miR319 and miR482 etc. in early stage of stolon initiation and tuberization process in potato under short-days and long-days conditions. MiR319 also plays an important role in gibberellins network to confer immune response to Potato Virus Y infection in potato [40]. Whereas, F-box family protein, ATP binding protein, and syntaxin are some target genes of miR5303. Gu et al. [41] outlined several miRNAs including miR5303 in six Solanaceous plants (potato, tomato, tobacco, eggplant, pepper, and petunia) and showed their potential association with phosphate and mycorrhizal signalings. Khalidun et al. [42] investigated miRNAs like miR156, miR397, miR398, miR5303 etc. and their target genes in the fruit and shoot tip of Lycium chinense, a traditional Chinese medicinal plant. Hou et al. [43] suggest the involvement of
miR156, miR319, miR482, miR5303 etc. families in development of long non-coding RNAs during tuber sprouting process of potato. In addition to the above conserved miRNAs families, miR5303 contained 15 members followed by miR169 contained 11 members; miR166 and miR319 contained 7 members; and miR172, miR7981 and miR8039 contained 6 members, and rest 34 conserved miRNAs contained 1–3 members, depending upon the tissues used in the study. These miRNAs were also differentially expressed in potato under low N stress. MiR169 targets genes like Aspartate aminotransferase and transcription factors (YA1, YA6, YA3, YA4, and BZIP transcription factor BZI-2). MiR169 isoforms regulate root architecture in Arabidopsis and their targets are linked to nutrient signalling in plants [44]. Role of graft-transmissible miR172 in induction of potato tuberization has been proven by Martin et al. [45]. Thus, researchers have evidenced role of miRNAs in plant metabolism and manifested their relevance under low N stress in potato.

Taken together, our study substantiates that several miRNAs play a key role in adaptation to low N stress in potato. Of which, we have confirmed that miR397 and miR398 play a critical role during N stress metabolism in potato. A schematic diagram of selected miRNAs and their potential target genes involved in N metabolism in potato are depicted (Fig 8). Further investigation is required to modulate expression of miRNAs and their targets to develop N-use efficient potatoes which would adapt to low N stress without compromising yield applying modern genomics tools like genome editing.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and N treatments

An Indian potato variety Kufri Jyoti (KJ) was used in this study, which is N inefficient [46]. Healthy in vitro plants were routinely maintained at Indian Council of Agricultural Research-Central Potato Research Institute, Shimla (31.1048° N, 77.1734° E 2,276 m above mean sea level), Himachal Pradesh, India. In vitro plants were grown in aeroponic till full crop cycle (110 days) with two nitrogen treatments [High N (HN): 25 milli molar N; and Low N (LN): 1 milli molar N] using both nitrate and ammonium form of N under controlled conditions supplied with all major and minor nutrients solution (except N) as described by Tiwari and co-workers [46] (Fig 1). Ammonium form of N was limited to 0.5 mM in both the treatments. Shoot and root samples (three replicates) were collected from 45 days old plants of both high and low N treatments and processed for small RNA sequencing for samples namely ‘KJ_LN_Shoot’ versus ‘KJ_HN_Shoot’ (control); and ‘KJ_LN_Root’ versus ‘KJ_HN_Root’ (control).

RNA isolation, library preparation and sequencing

Total RNA was isolated from root and shoot samples by modified c-TAB and lithium chloride method [47]. Quality and quantity of isolated RNA samples were checked on 1% denaturing RNA agarose gel and NanoDrop, respectively. Small RNA sequencing libraries (single end, read length: 1 x 75 bp) were prepared directly from the isolated QC passed total RNA using TruSeq Small RNA Library Preparation Kit as per the manufacturer’s instruction (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The gel size selected and purified libraries were analyzed on 4200 Tape Station system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) using High sensitivity D1000 Screen Tape and then libraries were used for sequencing in NextSeq 500 following manufacturer’s instruction (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Details of analysis with parameters setting are described in S1 File.
Data processing and analysis

Raw reads were screened for adapter contamination using the Cutadapt tool (v 1.16) to retain only putative miRNAs (Phred quality score: QV 20) [48]. Then quality filtering analysis was performed to remove any low quality read or trim terminal low quality bases using the Trimmomatic tool (v 0.38) [49]. Adapter trimmed and quality filtered clean reads were formatted into a non-redundant fasta format. Occurrence of each unique sequence read was counted as sequence tag. Number of reads for each unique tag reflects relative expression level of miRNA. Considering tags instead of reads allows a high loss-less compression of original data and simplifies computational analysis.

Since, small RNA sequencing data is known to include reads from other category of small non-coding RNAs, read tags were blasted against customized Rfam database of Rfam (v13) [50]. Read tags, which were not mapped to the Rfam, were mapped to known repeat sequences i.e. Repbase (v 22) using NCBI Blast and any tag matched with above mentioned stringent criteria was excluded from further analysis. Finally, reads having length more than 34 nt
(nucleotide) were also excluded from analysis. Adapter trimmed, quality filtered and length filtered reads were considered as cleaned putative miRNAs population and were used for miRNAs identification.

**Reads mapping to the reference potato genome**

Clean reads were mapped to the reference potato genome. Precursor sequences were identified by the tool miRCat, The UEA small RNA workbench (v 3.2) [51, 52]. The miRCat analyses reads mapping pattern along with few upstream and downstream bases. It uses RNAfold to estimate the precursor stability by analyzing MFE value. Only miRNAs observed on stable precursor sequences were reported.

**Identification of conserved and novel miRNAs**

On identifying mature miRNAs, candidate mature sequences were compared with miRBase (a conserved miRNA database) and assigned with miR families [53]. Thus, conserved miRNAs were identified based on the assigned miRBase ID, and rest others without miRbase ID were categorized as putative novel miRNAs. Unique mature miRNAs sequences found in each sample were tabulated. In-house custom wrapper scripts based on RNAfold was used to generate secondary structure of precursor sequences of miRNAs. Venn diagram was prepared for the identified conserved and putative novel miRNAs using Venny tool [54] with the default setting to identify common and tissue specific miRNAs.

**Differential expression analysis of miRNAs**

After aligning with the potato genome, reads count were used for expression profiling of conserved and putative novel miRNAs. miRNAs with at least of 10 reads support in any of the four samples were considered for differential analysis. TPM normalization was applied to normalize reads count of miRNAs population per sample. TPM values were then log (base 2) transformed to get fold expression values and finally log2 FC values were calculated by subtracting respective fold expression values. FC value of above zero is considered as up-regulated, whereas below zero is considered as down-regulated.

**Prediction of miRNAs targets and GO characterization**

The psRNATarget finder tool was used to predict potential targets of miRNAs based on unpaired energy (UPE) using default parameters as latest version of the tool (v 2017). Predicted targets were then characterized by the GO terms.

**Validation of miRNA expression by RT-qPCR**

RT-qPCR analysis was performed to confirm the expression level of selected miRNAs. Total RNA of same stage (45 days) plants was used to generate cDNA by reverse transcription using Mir-X™ miRNA First-Strand Synthesis and TB Green™ RT-qPCR kit following manufacturer’s instructions (Takara Bio USA Inc., CA, USA) in ABI PRISM HT7900 (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK). The entire sequence of mature miRNAs was used as miRNA-specific 5’ primer, whereas 3’ primer (mRQ 3’ Primer) was supplied with the kit. Thermal cycles profile of RT-qPCR was followed as: denaturation at 95˚C for 10 s; 40 cycles of 95˚C for 5 s and 60˚C for 20 s; and dissociation at 95˚C for 60 s, 55˚C for 30 s and 95˚C for 30 s. A normalization standard gene U6 snRNA was used to measure relative expression of miRNA by the delta-delta Ct method (ΔΔCt) [55]. It measures relative expression of miRNAs by comparing with normalization standard U6 snRNA. miRNAs and U6 snRNA were amplified in each sample to
determine the Ct for each, by which relative expression was determined using the \( \Delta \Delta \text{Ct} \) calculation. RT-qPCR data of miRNAs were analysed in three replications (both biological and technical) and calculated FC using \( \Delta \Delta \text{Ct} \) method [55]. Standard errors were calculated from the replicated data and expression result is shown in bar diagram (mean ± standard error). Replicated expression data was analysed with one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using XLSTAT2018.5 (www.xlstat.com) and means were compared using Tukey’s test at least significance level (\( p < 0.05 \)) [46]. Means of up-regulated and down-regulated miRNAs were analysed separately.

**Expression analysis of targets by RT-qPCR**

Total RNA of all four samples of same stage (45 days) was reverse transcribed using TaqMan® Reverse Transcription Reagent kit (Applied Biosystems, New Jersey, USA). RT-qPCR primers were designed using Primer Express 2.0 software (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA), as given in **S14 Table**. RT-qPCR was performed using Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK) in the ABI PRISM HT7900 following thermal cycler profiles 50˚C for 2 min; 95˚C for 10 min; and 40 cycles of 95˚C for 15 s, 60˚C for 1 min, 72˚C for 30 s using internal standard of mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase gene (\( \text{coxI} \)) (X83206.1) as described earlier in potato[56, 57]. RT-qPCR expression data of target genes was analysed as above like miRNAs [46].

**Validation of targets of conserved miRNAs by 5’ RLM-RACE**

Two predicted targets (one each) of miRNAs (miR397 and miR398) were validated by 5’ RLM-RACE technique using the SMARTer RACE 5'/3’ Kit following manufacturer’s instruction (Cat. No. 634858; Takara Bio USA, Inc., CA, USA). In brief, poly A+ RNA was isolated from same stage (45 days) plant tissues (roots and leaves) using NucleoSpin RNA Plant kit (Cat. No. 740949.50; Takara Bio USA, Inc., CA, USA). Poly A+ RNA (1 \( \mu \)g) was processed for first-strand cDNA synthesis, and then 5’ RACE-ready cDNA was used for 5’ RACE amplification using 5’ gene-specific primer and Universal Primer A Mix, as provided with the kit. The PCR cycles used for the RACE amplification were 30 cycles of 94˚C for 30 s, 67˚C for 30 s and 72˚C for 5 min. The PCR products were eluted, cloned in pRACE vector (a pUC19-based vector) and sequenced using M13 universal primer sequences to identify cleavage site. The gene-specific primers were designed using IDT (Integrated DNA technologies, USA) tool and only 5’ primers were used for 5’ RLM-RACE amplification (S15 Table).
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S2 Table. Total conserved miRNAs in potato under N stress. A list of total 119 conserved miRNAs identified in potato under N stress are summarized in sheets with detailed features.
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