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ABSTRACT
Cyanobacteria notably called blue-green algae mostly inhabit moist soils and water. These species constitute a major part of the phytoplanktonic biomass in freshwater ponds. cyanobacteria serve as the significant resource in various applications like medicine, mariculture, feed, fuel, and in combating pollution. Cyanobacterial biodiversity provides various useful insights and is considered an important ecological parameter in freshwater aquaculture. The present research work aims to study the biodiversity of cyanobacteria among 20 different freshwater ponds in Pudukkottai district, Tamil Nadu, India. The samples were collected and pure culture was obtained, followed by maintenance in the BG-11 medium. The species were identified and classified based on the size, shape, and color (morphological features) of the blue-green algae using a trinocular microscope. The physicochemical characteristics such as pH, temperature, biological oxygen demand, chemical oxygen demand, etc., of the freshwater ponds were also studied as they greatly influence the cyanobacterial biodiversity. The abundance of cyanobacteria was seen in a low amount of dissolved oxygen, pH of 8.0 with high oxidizable organic content. About 42 distinct cyanobacterial species were isolated consisting of 25 versatile families of cyanobacteria. Chlorophyceae was found to be predominantly present in the fresh water ecosystem, followed by Cyanophyceae, Bacillariophyceae, Ulvophyceae, and Dinophyceae. The present study revealed the biodiversity of blue-green algae from the fresh water ponds of Pudukkottai District which holds as the baseline data for the more detailed studies in future.

1. INTRODUCTION
The freshwater ecosystem comprises both ponds and lakes in which freshwater ponds constitute a variety of plants, phytoplanktons, aquatic animals, and prokaryotes [1]. The elements in freshwater ponds were mostly dependent on one another for their survival in the environment [2–4]. The blue-green algae (cyanobacteria) were prevalent in freshwater ponds and they maintain the biological balance and water quality. They also possess the capacity to perform carbon assimilation and N₂ fixation and also secrete numerous biologically active substances, ultimately improving the productivity of the environment [5,6]. Particular species of cyanobacteria in the genera Anabaena, Aphanizomenon, Microcystis, and Oscillatoria were often formed persistent and extensive blooms in the aquaculture ecosystem [7,8]. Usually, cyanobacteria grow in close association with the number of microorganisms, which include eubacteria, fungi, and protozoans [9,10]. Cyanobacteria play a vital role in converting atmospheric nitrogen into organic forms, such as nitrate or ammonia, and they also perform photosynthesis and ultimately release oxygen as a byproduct which other plants could utilize for growth and survival [11,12] and act as the food source for other organisms like zooplanktons, insects, and snails [13]. Research has shown that only very few species belonging to cyanobacteria were commercially exploited [14,15]. Hence, a detailed research study was necessary on the biodiversity of cyanobacteria to figure out the role of cyanobacteria in the fresh water ponds; also, the diversity profile of cyanobacteria would reveal the extent of pollution and anthropogenic activities in the ecosystem. Various studies on blue-green algae present that the species residing in freshwater ponds include Microcystis sp., Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii, Synechococcus sp., Planktothrix agardhii, Gloeotrichia sp., Anabaena sp., Lyngbya sp., Nostoc spp., Oscillatoria spp., Schizothrix sp., and Synechocystis sp.
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The physicochemical parameters of the pond water (PW) were also studied as the population diversity hugely depends on the living environment [22]. An in-depth literature survey reveals that no physiological work has been accomplished in the fresh water ecosystem of Pudukkottai district, Tamil Nadu, India. This research work presents the isolation and characterization of different types of cyanobacteria in freshwater ponds of Pudukkottai district, Tamil Nadu, India, and the physical and chemical parameters of the fresh water ponds.

**MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY**

**2.1. Chemicals and Reagents**

BG-11 medium was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, USA; agar powder, sodium chloride (NaCl), and silver nitrate (AgNO₃) were purchased from SRL Company, India, and Fisher Scientific, USA, respectively; sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) was bought from Merck, USA; methyl red was purchased from SRL Company, India [25].

**2.2. Sample Collection and Maintenance**

The water samples were collected from fresh water ponds in Pudukkottai district, which is located in Tamil Nadu, India. The concentrated PW samples were collected using plankton net in sterilized bottles of 500 ml capacity at a depth of about 30 cm. After the collection of samples, it was viewed in cavity slide using a trinocular microscope (Labomed Vision 2000 microscope) by wet mount method to identify the different species present in the fresh water ecosystem. This was followed by inoculating the culture in liquid BG-11 medium. Later, the mixed culture was spread in agar plates containing BG-11 medium and the individual colonies were isolated. The mass culture of each colony was carried out by taking a loopful of distinct colonies from agar plates and transferring it to the conical flasks. This process was repeated until axenic cultures were obtained [25].

**2.3. Morphological and Species Identification of cyanobacteria**

Morphological identification of cyanobacteria was accomplished by spreading an isolated pure culture on glass slides with the help of forceps. The cultures were covered with glass cover slips and their size, shape, color, and other features were observed under low (10×) and high power (100×) objective lens of the trinocular microscope (Labomed Vision 2000 Microscope). Numerous species of cyanobacteria present in fresh water ponds of Pudukkottai district were identified and confirmed using the book written by T.V. Desikachary [16] and also with the help of the “Manual of Freshwater Algae of Tamil Nadu” [17].

**2.4. Physical Parameters**

All the physical parameters were recorded during the time of collection directly at the site. The pH and temperature were measured using Labline digital pH meter and digital probe thermometer. The color of the sample was determined by a visual comparison of fresh PWs. Total dissolved solids (TDS) and electrical conductivity (EC) values were measured using the RP Scientific TDS and EC meter. Similarly, the turbidity of PW samples was tested using Labtronics Digital Turbidity Meter [18].

**2.5. Chemical Parameters**

**2.5.1. Dissolved oxygen (DO)**

The titration method was used to estimate the dissolved oxygen (DO). MnSO₄ (1 ml) and alkaline iodide (1 ml) reagent were mixed, which formed a flocculent precipitate. 1.0 ml of conc. H₂SO₄ was added to the precipitate. 50 ml of this solution was transferred to a conical flask and titrated against 0.025 N of Na₂S₂O₃ till the color of the solution turned pale yellow. Then, the starch solution (1 ml) was added to give a blue color and the titration was completed by making it into a colorless solution using the following formula:

\[
\text{DO (mg/l)} = \frac{\text{No. of ml of Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3 \text{ solution} \times 4}{\text{amount of sample taken (ml)}}
\]

where,

\[
\text{B.R.} = \text{Burette reading (amount of titrant used)};
\]

\[
N = \text{Normality of sodium hydroxide};
\]

\[
44 = \text{Equivalent weight of CO}_2 \text{[18]}
\]

**2.5.2. Free carbon dioxide (CO₂)**

Exactly, 100 ml water sample was added to the phenolphthalein indicator of two to three drops and then titrated against 0.05 N sodium hydroxide, until a pink color appeared. The free CO₂ was calculated using the following formula:

\[
\text{Free CO}_2 (\text{mg/l}) = \frac{\text{BR} \times n \times 44 \times 1,000}{\text{amount of sample taken (ml)}}
\]

where,

\[
\text{B.R.} = \text{Burette reading (amount of titrant used)};
\]

\[
N = \text{Normality of sodium hydroxide};
\]

\[
44 = \text{Equivalent weight of CO}_2 \text{[18]}
\]

**2.5.3. Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)**

The diluted water sample was prepared by bubbling compressed air in distilled water for about 30 minutes. Ferric chloride solution was added with the diluted water sample and mixed thoroughly with every 1 ml of phosphate buffer, magnesium sulfate, and calcium chloride. Using 1 N NaOH and H₂SO₄, the sample was neutralized to adjust the pH at 7.0. As the DO in the sample was likely to be exhausted, appropriate dilution of the sample was prepared with respect to the expected BOD range. Two sets of dilutions were prepared in a large glass and filled in the BOD bottles in a trough and the contents were mixed thoroughly. One set of the bottle was kept in the BOD incubator at 27°C for 3 days, and the DO content in another set was measured immediately. For blanks, two BOD bottles were filled with the diluted water sample. The DO content was determined immediately in one of the bottles and the other bottle was incubated and the DO content was determined after 3 days using the following formula:

\[
\text{BOD (mg/l)} = (D_0 - D_3) * \text{dilution factor}
\]

where \(D_0 = \text{Initial DO in the sample and } D_3 = D_0 \text{ after 3 days [19].}

**2.5.4. Chemical oxygen demand (COD)**

Exactly, 20 ml of the sample was taken in a 250–500 ml COD flask. Exactly, 10 ml of copper sulfate solution was added along with a pinch of Ag₂SO₄ and HgSO₄, followed by 30 ml H₂SO₄. The contents were then refluxed on a hot plate for at least 2 hours. The flask was removed, cooled, and distilled water was added to make the final volume to about 140 ml. Two to three drops of
ferroin indicator were mixed thoroughly and titrated with 0.01 N (confirm) ferrous ammonium sulfate. At the end point, the blue-green color of contents will be changed to reddish blue. The blank was run simultaneously using distilled water in a similar manner using the following formula:

\[
\text{COD (mg/l)} = \frac{(B - S) \times N \times 8 \times 1,000}{\text{sample volume in ml}}
\]

where,

\(B\) = volume of titrant used in blank;

\(S\) = titrant volume of used in sample;

\(N\) = strength of the titrant [19].

2.5.5. Estimation of nitrogen, phosphorous, and potassium (NPK)

Estimation of NPK was carried out by the kit procedure (Agrinex Soil Doctor — Rapid N-P-K Testing Kit). Briefly, the water sample was mixed with double distilled water in 1:2 ratio and mixed thoroughly. The sample was kept for 30 minutes for clear water separation. NPK was estimated by taking 5 ml of the PW sample and mixing it with Doctor-N, Doctor-P, and Doctor-K capsule respectively. Only for phosphorus estimation, four drops of trichloroacetic acid (TCA) reagent were added carefully and mixed well. The solution was mixed thoroughly, until the chemical was dissolved. The tube was kept at room temperature for 20 minutes for color development. The color formation was referred with the given reference chart to find out the concentration of the sample [19].

3. RESULTS

3.1. Species Isolation and Identification of cyanobacteria in Fresh Water Ponds

Cyanobacteria population biodiversity in fresh water ponds of Pudukkottai district were identified and characterized. The result concludes that 42 versatile species of 25 different families of cyanobacteria has been distributed in the 20 different fresh water ponds of Pudukkottai district, Tamil Nadu, India. Each and every species has its own significance, varying in size, shape, and color, which distinguishes them to be classified under different families. Among the PWs surveyed, it was seen that PW 5 and PW 6 contain a huge variety of cyanobacterial species belonging to Oscillatoriaceae and Microcystaceae family. Table 1 shows the species isolated from 20 different fresh water ponds. Figure 1 shows the 42 isolated species from the fresh water ecosystem. PW 13 has shown not even a single cyanobacterial population, whereas PWs 2, 4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 14, 19, and 20 were found to contain a single species of cyanobacteria. The other PWs showed fewer cyanobacterial species. The majority of the algal species belonged to the families Oscillatoriaceae, Nostocaceae, Microcystaceae, Scenedesmaceae, and Desmidiaceae. Table 2 shows the family classification of isolated cyanobacterial species in fresh water ponds.

3.2. Physicochemical parameters of fresh water ponds

After the isolation and identification of cyanobacteria, the physicochemical parameters of the ponds were examined as the cyanobacterial biodiversity greatly depends on it. The physical parameter analysis of the fresh water ponds showed that the pH was in the range of 5.3–8.0, temperature varied from 84.5 to 86.6°F, TDS values differed between 87 and 2,655 mg/l, turbidity and EC were in the range of 0.08–0.7 nephelometric turbidity unit and 158–1,433 µmhos/cm. In case of chemical parameters surveyed, DO varied from 2.1 to 10.25 mg/l, free CO₂ ranged from 0 to 9.5 mg/l, the values of NPK lied in the range of 0.2–0.4 mg/l, 0.15–0.5 mg/l, and 0.05–0.2 mg/l, respectively. Also, the BOD and COD values were found to vary from 5.36 to 26.75 mg/l and 12.24 to 48.73 mg/l, respectively. Tables 3 and 4 show the physical and chemical parameters of fresh water ponds, respectively.

4. DISCUSSION

The physical and chemical changes in the environment might influence a particular species and could also induce its growth and abundance in the environment in which they survive [23,24]. The parameters that influence the growth of cyanobacteria in the fresh water ponds include oxidizable matter, nitrogenous content, light intensity, and dissolved oxygen [25–30]. Also, the water level fluctuations might lead to an increase or decrease in the cyanobacterial species diversity. In the present research work, the samples were collected to analyze the biodiversity of cyanobacteria and the dominant species growing in fresh water ponds were noted. Among the 20 different PWs studied, it was seen that PW 5 and PW 6 hold many different species of cyanobacteria and the results may be attributed to the fact that the environment showed high pH values (alkaline = 8.0) and low DO content (2.1 and 2.95 ppm, respectively) compared to all other fresh water ponds having 22.76 and 38.62 mg/l for PW 5 and 21.27 and 32.94 mg/l for PW 6 of BOD and COD values, respectively. The pH value for all the PWs except PW 13 ranged from neutral to slightly alkaline, which lies within the values preferred by the WHO (6.5–8.5) [31]. Neutral to alkaline pH was found to be very suitable for the growth of cyanobacteria [30]. The increased values of BOD, COD, phosphates, and nitrates with low DO and alkaline pH range favored the growth of cyanobacteria compared to any other algae in the ecosystem [32–34]. PW 13 does not contain any cyanobacterial population that could be due to the high acidic nature of PW (pH 5.3), i.e., the reports claim no cyanobacterial population will exist in pH 5–5.5 and the researchers also studied the influence of water quality on cyanobacterial population growth [35,36]. These results conclude that the species growth increases with an increase in pH and BOD, and a decrease in DO values. The temperature was recorded in the range of 84°F–86°F (28°C–30°C) at the time of collection of samples and it was found to be the optimal temperature for the growth of cyanobacterial species [37,38]. The EC is the ability of PW to conduct current which purely depends on the ionic strength of the water ecosystem and it was seen that the values for all the PWs lie within the prescribed limit, i.e., 20–1,500 µmhos/cm [39]. However, the prescribed EC value given by the WHO is 250 µmhos/cm [31]. Total dissolved solid values represent the amount of dissolved substances and their values ≤600 mg/l was regarded as better water quality. Also, the natural waters tend to have values from 30 mg/l to 6,000 mg/l [31]. The presence of adequate amounts of NPK is essential for the cyanobacterial blooms to persist in
| S.NO | PW   | Name in Pond Place                          | Longitude and Latitude        | Name of algae                                                                 |
|------|------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1.   | PW 1 | Thirumalai chamuthiram-Kathirkameshvar temple. | 10°21'19" N, 78°49'42" E     | Anabaena sp. baerica, A. arnoldii (aptekarj), M. aeruginosa                  |
| 2.   | PW 2 | Pudukkottai-Santhanatha swami temple        | 10.380' N, 78.8135' E        | Gloeocapsa nigrescens, C. turgidus, M. robusta                                |
| 3.   | PW 3 | Peraiyur-naganath swami temple.             | 10.3528' N, 78.7564' E       | A. platensis                                                                   |
| 4.   | PW 4 | Kulathur-Varatharaja Perumal temple.         | 10.7002892' N, 78.5470346' E | O. princeps, Anabaena iyengarii, N. linckia                                  |
| 5.   | PW 5 | Pudukkottai-Sri Brahadhambal temple.         | 10.3915' N, 78.8005' E       | M. robusta, Microsera wollei, L. aestuarii, L. majuscula, O. nigra, C. turgidus |
| 6.   | PW 6 | Thiruvarankulam-Arangualanathar temple       | 10.3561' N, 78.8737' E       | M. aeruginosa, M. flos-aquae, M. robusta                                    |
| 7.   | PW 7 | Kumaramalai-Balathandayuthabani             | 10°21'53" N, 78°43'39" E     | H. lacustris, S. jurassica                                                   |
| 8.   | PW 8 | Thirumayam-sathyagreeswarar temple          | 10.2471' N, 78.750481' E     | Merismopedia glauca, A. pulchra (kutz), M. punctata Meyen P. simplex (meyen) |
| 9.   | PW 9 | Thirumayam-Kottai sathyamoorthy perumal temple | 10.2471' N, 78.7508' E     | M. marginata, C. crotomensis (Kittion)                                        |
| 10.  | PW 10| Pudukkotai-Chellayiamman temple.             | 10°22'33" N, 78°49'12" E     | S. subsalsa, Cylindrospermum stagnale                                         |
| 11.  | PW 11| Keeranur-Lord Iyyappan temple               | 10.571564' N, 78.784416' E   | C. lunula (Mull) F. crotomensis (Kittion)                                    |
| 12.  | PW 12| Alankudi-Chithivinayagar temple             | 10.37' N, 78.89' E           | Nil                                                                          |
| 13.  | PW 13| Vadavaalam-Kaliyuga meyya ayyanar temple    | 10°25'37" N, 78°53'58" E     | C. humicola                                                                   |
| 14.  | PW 14| Thirumanancheri-Periyanayaki ambika samayathaya suganithi parimalashwarar temple | 11.099957' N, 79.556036' E   | C. hirundinella                                                                |
| 15.  | PW 15| Malaiyur-Periyanayaki amman temple          | 10.359119 N, 78.985173 E     | Ulothrix sp. F. crotomensis (Kitton) Synedra dorsiventralis (ehrenberg) Westella linearis GM smith |
| 16.  | PW 16| Varappur-Agathishwarar temple              | 10°21'2" N, 78°28'42" E      | C. geminella C. pediculus Colothrix sp C. glomerata (L.)                       |
| 17.  | PW 17| Ichadi-Vinayagar temple                     | 10.4254' N, 78.8800' E       | S. acuminatus, S. denticulatus (lagerheim), S. vijugatus                      |
| 18.  | PW 18| Sempattividuthi-Vinayagar temple            | 10.04288' N, 78.9776' E      | Cosmorium subprotumidum S. quaquadricauda                                      |
| 19.  | PW 19| Ramachandrapuram-Ramar temple               | 10.244036' N, 78.747511' E   | N. calcicola                                                                   |
| 20.  | PW 20| Thuvar-Koothandaramar temple                | 10°34'17" N, 79°0'57" E     | S. subsalsa                                                                   |
Depletion of free CO\textsubscript{2} in PWs might trigger harmful cyanobacterial blooms as a result of increased influx of CO\textsubscript{2} due to elevated concentration gradient in the surface water [41]. Hence CO\textsubscript{2} also plays a major role in controlling the growth of cyanobacteria. The free CO\textsubscript{2} values for all the PWs were found to be less than 10 mg/l. Many researchers attempted to study various fresh water ponds in different localities and isolated distinct cyanobacterial species. In the present observation of percentage distribution of cyanobacteria, Chlorophyceae holds the top position having 40%, followed by Cyanophyceae 36%, Bacillariophyceae 16%, Ulvophyceae 4%, and Dinophyceae 4%, i.e., the number of families belonging to the above-said classes were 10, 9, 4, 1, and 1 out of 25 families, respectively. The predominant distribution of Chlorophyceae, followed by Cyanophyceae, was also reported by researchers who studied the phytoplankton diversity in perennial lakes of Coimbatore [29]. Figure 2 shows the percentage composition of cyanobacterial diversity in 20 different fresh water ponds of Pudukkottai district. The algal flora community from temple tanks in Chennai city, Tamil Nadu, India, showed 17 species of algae isolated from the tanks and classified under four different families, including Cyanophyceae, Bacillariophyceae, Chlorophyceae, and Euglenophyceae [42]. The biodiversity and the relationship between the abundance of cyanobacteria concludes that the high concentration of dissolved organic matter occurred as a result of oxygen depletion [43]. The dominant species reported by Okogwu includes Microcystis aeruginosa, Aphanizomenon flos-aquae, Oscillatoria limnetica, and Anabaena spiroides, whereas the current study also shows the abundance of Microcystis sp. and Oscillatoria sp.in the fresh water ecosystem. Microcystis,
Table 2. Family classification of identified cyanobacterial species from fresh water ponds.

| S. No. | Class        | Name of family        | Microalgae                                      |
|--------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| 1.     | Chlorophyceae| Desmidiaeae           | Cosmarium quadrum lund                          |
|        |              |                       | C. subprotumidum                                |
|        |              |                       | Cosmorium subalatum                              |
|        |              |                       | Cosmorium sexnotatum                             |
|        |              |                       | S. pantanale sp.nov                              |
| 2.     | Selenastraceae|                       | Kirchneriella obesa                             |
| 3.     | Haematococcaceae|                    | H. lacustris                                     |
| 4.     | Closteriaceae|                       | C. lanula (Mull)                                 |
| 5.     | Ulotrichaceae|                       | Ulothrix sp.                                     |
| 6.     | Cladophoraceae| Scenedesmaceae        | Westella linearis GM smith                       |
|        |              |                       | S. acuminatus                                    |
|        |              |                       | S. denticulatus (lagerheim)                      |
|        |              |                       | S. vijugatus                                     |
|        |              |                       | S. quadricauda                                   |
| 7.     | Zygmenataceae|                       | S. subsalsa (Kuetzing)                           |
| 8.     | Chlorococcaceae|                    | C. humicola                                      |
| 9.     | Hydrodictyaceae|                    | P. simplex (Meyen) Lemm                          |
| 10.    | Incertae sedis|                       | C. geminella                                     |
| 11.    | Cyanophyceae | Rivulariaceae         | Calothrix sp.                                    |
| 12.    | Aphanizomenonaceae|                  | A. arnoldii (aptekaj)                            |
| 13.    | Chroococcaceae (Nageli)|       | C. turgidus                                      |
|        |              |                       | G. nigrescens                                    |
| 14.    | Microcoleaceae|                       | A. platensis                                    |
|        |              |                       | S. jurassica                                     |
| 15.    | Merismopediaceae|                    | M. glauca                                        |
|        |              |                       | A. pulchra (katz)                                |
|        |              |                       | M. punctata Meyen                                |
| 16.    | Spiralinaeae |                       | S. subsalsa Meyer                                |
| 17.    | Microcystaceae|                       | M. aeruginosa                                    |
|        | Cyanophyceae |                       | M. marginata                                     |
|        |              |                       | M. flos-aquae                                    |
|        |              |                       | M. robusta                                       |
| 18.    | Nostocaceae |                       | N. linckia                                       |
|        |              |                       | N. calcicola                                     |
|        |              |                       | C. stagnale                                      |
|        |              |                       | A. spbaerica                                     |
|        |              |                       | A. iyengarii                                     |
| 19.    | Oscillatoriaeae|                    | O. princeps                                      |
|        |              |                       | L. majuscula                                     |
|        |              |                       | M. wollei                                        |
|        |              |                       | L. aestuarri                                     |
|        |              |                       | O. nigra                                         |
|        |              |                       | O. curviceps                                     |
| 20.    | Bacillariacea |                       | Nitzschia obtusa                                |
| 21.    | Cocconeidaceae|                       | C. pediculosa                                    |
| 22.    | Bacillariophyceae|                        | F. crotonensis (Kitton)                          |
|        |              |                       | Synedra dorsivenralis (ehrenberg)                |
| 23.    | Naviculaceae |                       | N. capitatoradiata                               |
| 24.    | Dinophyceae |                       | C. hirundinella                                  |
| 25.    | Ulvophyceae | Cladophoraceae        | C. glomerata (L.)                                |
Table 3. Physical parameters of fresh water ponds.

| Sample | pH  | Temp (°F) | TDS (mg/l) | Turbidity (NTU) | EC (µmhos/cm) | Odor | Color       |
|--------|-----|-----------|------------|----------------|---------------|------|-------------|
| PW 1   | 6.7 | 85.5      | 87         | 0.17           | 158           | No   | Colorless   |
| PW 2   | 6.9 | 86.05     | 605        | 0.10           | 953           | No   | Colorless   |
| PW 3   | 6.9 | 85.25     | 457        | 0.09           | 746           | No   | Colorless   |
| PW 4   | 6.7 | 85.8      | 339        | 0.15           | 553           | No   | Pale yellow |
| PW 5   | 8.0 | 85.4      | 323        | 0.42           | 284           | No   | Green       |
| PW 6   | 8.0 | 85.4      | 524        | 0.11           | 354           | Odor | Pale yellow |
| PW 7   | 7.0 | 84.95     | 193        | 0.54           | 344           | Mid odor | Yellow   |
| PW 8   | 6.6 | 85.35     | 855        | 0.36           | 927           | Odor | Yellow      |
| PW 9   | 7.4 | 84.95     | 1503       | 0.13           | 1065          | No   | Colorless   |
| PW 10  | 7.5 | 85.65     | 1914       | 0.70           | 1433          | Odor | Yellow      |
| PW 11  | 6.8 | 85.45     | 524        | 0.05           | 839           | Odor | Yellow      |
| PW 12  | 6.6 | 85.65     | 2655       | 0.10           | 1380          | Odor | Yellow      |
| PW 13  | 5.3 | 85.4      | 413        | 0.64           | 626           | No   | Green       |
| PW 14  | 6.8 | 84.8      | 411        | 0.08           | 716           | No   | Colorless   |
| PW 15  | 6.5 | 86.2      | 1352       | 0.26           | 934           | Odor | Green       |
| PW 16  | 6.9 | 84.55     | 1281       | 0.63           | 865           | Odor | Green       |
| PW 17  | 6.7 | 86.2      | 95         | 0.28           | 186           | No   | Yellow      |
| PW 18  | 6.9 | 84.8      | 742        | 0.11           | 1235          | Odor | Dark green  |
| PW 19  | 6.8 | 86.65     | 525        | 0.08           | 841           | Mid odor | Light green |
| PW 20  | 6.5 | 86.05     | 522        | 0.55           | 839           | Odor | Pale yellow |

Table 4. Chemical parameters of fresh water ponds.

| Chemical parameters | DO (mg/l) | FreeCO₂ (mg/l) | N (mg/L) | P(mg/l) | K(mg/l) | BOD (mg/l) | COD (mg/l) |
|---------------------|-----------|----------------|----------|---------|---------|------------|------------|
| PW 1                | 9.875     | 4.5            | 0.30     | 0.25    | 0.150   | 26.75 ± 3.45 | 45.00 ± 8.64 |
| PW 2                | 8.05      | 2.5            | 0.40     | 0.35    | 0.150   | 8.93 ± 6.70  | 12.24 ± 5.23  |
| PW 3                | 8.65      | 7.5            | 0.30     | 0.45    | 0.050   | 23.63 ± 2.65 | 45.73 ± 1.46  |
| PW 4                | 4.9       | 5.5            | 0.30     | 0.35    | 0.150   | 17.82 ± 2.32 | 32.74 ± 2.95  |
| PW 5                | 2.1       | 4.5            | 0.30     | 0.25    | 0.150   | 22.76 ± 4.61 | 38.62 ± 8.37  |
| PW 6                | 2.95      | 3.5            | 0.30     | 0.35    | 0.50    | 21.27 ± 2.37 | 32.94 ± 1.72  |
| PW 7                | 9.975     | 2.5            | 0.40     | 0.25    | 0.10    | 19.76 ± 1.54 | 41.34 ± 3.51  |
| PW 8                | 3.05      | 0              | 0.30     | 0.45    | 0.150   | 7.28 ± 2.15  | 38.86 ± 1.52  |
| PW 9                | 5.2       | 0              | 0.30     | 0.5     | 0.10    | 8.26 ± 7.34  | 28.46 ± 5.37  |
| PW 10               | 6.2       | 9.5            | 0.30     | 0.45    | 0.50    | 5.36 ± 1.37  | 18.85 ± 2.01  |
| PW 11               | 3.2       | 2.5            | 0.40     | 0.35    | 0.10    | 15.05 ± 3.85 | 23.10 ± 1.61  |
| PW 12               | 8.7       | 6.5            | 0.30     | 0.5     | 0.20    | 27.87 ± 7.72 | 48.73 ± 8.38  |
| PW 13               | 10.25     | 2.5            | 0.40     | 0.5     | 0.150   | 8.20 ± 1.63  | 17.63 ± 2.95  |
| PW 14               | 4.9       | 3              | 0.40     | 0.5     | 0.20    | 12.85 ± 2.75 | 28.83 ± 1.95  |
| PW 15               | 6.75      | 3.5            | 0.40     | 0.5     | 0.150   | 10.23 ± 9.54 | 24.74 ± 6.94  |
| PW 16               | 8.05      | 1.5            | 0.40     | 0.5     | 0.00    | 26.84 ± 2.53 | 45.85 ± 1.58  |
| PW 17               | 4.2       | 2.5            | 0.30     | 0.25    | 0.20    | 10.63 ± 2.95 | 22.73 ± 8.93  |
| PW 18               | 6.9       | 6.5            | 0.30     | 0.3     | 0.100   | 23.97 ± 1.65 | 47.57 ± 5.97  |
| PW 19               | 7.5       | 5.5            | 0.20     | 0.15    | 0.150   | 12.64 ± 3.56 | 26.67 ± 1.95  |
| PW 20               | 8.96      | 3.5            | 0.20     | 0.25    | 0.10    | 17.57 ± 1.48 | 36.94 ± 7.46  |
Diversity of cyanobacteria

Figure 2. Percentage distribution of cyanobacteria from 20 different fresh water ponds in Pudukkottai district, Tamil Nadu, India.

particularly *M. aeruginosa*, is associated with permanent algal blooms in the fresh water ecosystem and also indicator of organic pollution. The dominance of cyanobacteria was due to their ability to grow in turbid water and also with low light intensity which helps in maintaining the buoyancy [25]. Furthermore, they possessed the capacity to grow exponentially in the wet period where the nitrogenous nutrients were found to be rich. In this present study, families like Naviculaceae, Microcystaceae, Scenedesmaceae, and Fragilariaceae were the representation of eutrophication and anthropogenic activities to a minimal extent. The present research work thoroughly analyzed the biodiversity of cyanobacteria in fresh water ponds of Pudukkottai district; furthermore, physiological, biochemical, and molecular level studies could be beneficial to understand the biodiversity of cyanobacteria in depth and its impact in the fresh water ecosystem.

5. CONCLUSION

Many researchers studied the biodiversity of cyanobacteria in fresh water ponds and no such work has been carried out in the fresh water aquaculture in Pudukkottai district, Tamil Nadu, India. Also, this work highlighted the diversity of cyanobacteria in 20 different PWs by identifying approximately 42 distinct species of cyanobacteria of 25 different families. This paper also showed the physicochemical parameters influence on the species growth in the fresh water ecosystem. Hence, this work could be efficiently used as the basic research study in the analysis of cyanobacterial biodiversity in the fresh water ecosystem.
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11. LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| TDS          | Total dissolved solids |
| EC           | Electrical conductivity |
| PW           | Pond water |
| CO₂          | Carbon-di-oxide |
| DO           | Dissolved oxygen |
| BOD          | Biological oxygen demand |
| COD          | Chemical oxygen demand |
| NPK          | Nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium |
| NTU          | Nephelometric turbidity unit |
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