A Series Solution for 2D Scattering of Cylindrical SH-Waves by Surrounding Loose Rock Zone of Underground Tunnel Lining
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This paper presents a closed-form series solution of cylindrical SH-wave scattering by the surrounding loose rock zone of underground tunnel lining in a uniform half-space based on the wave function expansion method and the mirror image method. The correctness of the series solution is verified through residual convergence and comparison with the published results. The influence of the frequency of the incident cylindrical SH-wave, the distance between the wave source and the lining, the lining buried depth, and the properties of the surrounding loose rock zone on the dynamic stress concentration of the tunnel lining is investigated. The results show that the incident wave with high frequency always makes the dynamic stress concentration of the tunnel lining obvious. With the increase of the distance between the wave source and the tunnel lining, the stress around the tunnel lining decreases, but the dynamic stress concentration factor around the tunnel lining does not decrease significantly but occasionally increases. The ground surface has a great influence on the stress concentration of the tunnel lining. The amplitude of the stress concentration factor of tunnel lining is highly related to the shear wave velocity of the surrounding loose rock zone. When the property of the surrounding rock (shear wave velocity) changes more, the amplitude of the stress concentration factor is larger, that is, the stress concentration is more significant.
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INTRODUCTION

The scattering of elastic waves by an underground cavity (or local topography) is one of the hot research topics in the fields of earthquake engineering, seismology, and geophysics due to its particular significance in seismic risk assessment, seismic microzonation, and the design of important facilities. When the seismic wave encounters a cavity (or local topography) during its propagation, it will produce a strong scattering effect, which in turn will affect the ground motion near the cavity (or local topography). The method of solving the problem of wave scattering can be divided into two kinds of methods: numerical method and analytical method. Numerical methods mainly include the finite difference method (FDM), finite element method (FEM), and boundary element method (BEM); the analytical methods mainly refer to wave function expansion methods. The numerical method can be applied to the cavity (or local topography) of any shape and various
site conditions and is more suitable for handling actual engineering problems. The analytical method is still necessary to solve some special regular cavity (or local topography) and boundary conditions. Although the analytical method is only suitable for relatively simple and regular models, it has an advantage over the numerical method in revealing the essence of the problem, and it can also verify the accuracy of the numerical method.

For plane waves, beginning with the pioneering work of Trifunac [1, 2] on ground motion around a semi-circular alluvial valley and a semi-circular canyon embedded in a homogeneous isotropic half-space, several research works have been carried out on this topic both analytically and numerically. For the underground tunnel lining, the current closed-form analytical solutions are Refs. [3, 4]. For canyon topography, the present analytical solutions are Taur et al. [5], Gao et al. [6], Zhang et al. [7], Jin et al. [8–10], and Lee et al. [11, 12]. In addition, various numerical methods mainly include the finite difference method [13, 14], the improved Bouchon–Campillo method [15], the boundary integral equation method [16, 17], the null-field boundary integral equation method [18], the weighted residual method [19–21], and the boundary element method [22–29]. These research works have been widely reviewed by many scholars such as Sanchez-Sesma et al. [30], Liu et al. [31], Gao et al. [6], and Bhatti and Lu [39, 40].

For cylindrical waves, Liang et al. [32] studied the scattering of cylindrical SH-waves by underground lining caverns using the mirror image method. Li [33] investigated the numerical solution of the cylindrical SH-wave scattering by a circular hole. Zhang [34] studied the scattering of cylindrical waves by underground circular sandwiched areas and lining caverns in the half-space by using a special boundary integral equation method. Xu et al. [35] investigated the diffraction of Rayleigh waves around a circular cavity in the poroelastic half-space by using an indirect boundary integral equation method based on Biot’s two-phase medium theory.

This paper notices that the above-mentioned studies are mostly aimed at plane SH-waves and do not consider the impact of cylindrical SH-waves on the surrounding rock zone (i.e., the generation of loose circles) generated during cavity blasting and excavation. Therefore, this paper establishes an analytical model for the scattering of cylindrical SH-waves by loose rock circles around the underground lining cavern embedded in a 2D homogeneous half-space and uses the wave function expansion method to obtain the series solution of scattering.

In the next section, the methodology is presented, followed by the verification through residual convergence and comparison with the published results of Liang et al [32]. Then, the results in the frequency domain are presented, and the anti-plane tunnel responses are discussed. Finally, the main findings and the conclusions are summarized.

**METHODOLOGY**

**Analytical Model**

As shown in Figure 1A, the inner and outer radii of the circular lined tunnel are $a$ and $b$ ($b = 0.9a$), respectively. The surrounding loose rock zone is divided into $j$ layers, and the radius of each layer is $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_j$ . . . from inside to outside. The burial depth of the circular lined tunnel is $D$. The half-space, the surrounding loose rock zone, and the lining are assumed to be linearly elastic, uniform, and isotropic media. The half-space is marked with shear wave velocity $\beta$, mass density $\rho$, and shear modulus $\mu$; the $j$th surrounding loose rock is marked with shear wave velocity $\beta_j$, mass density $\rho_j$, and shear modulus $\mu_j$; the lining is marked with shear wave velocity $\beta_0$, mass density $\rho_0$, and shear modulus $\mu_0$.

The center of the wave source and the underground cavity is located at the same depth, and the distance between them is $D_{12}$.

**Governing Equations and Boundary Conditions**

The cylindrical SH-wave with unit amplitude generated by the wave source at point $O_1$ can be expressed as

$$W_{11} (r_1, \theta_1) = H_0^{(1)} (kr_1) e^{-i\omega t},$$

(1)

where $k = \omega/\beta$ is the wavenumber of the SH-wave in the half-space, $\omega$ is the circle frequency of the incident wave, and $i = \sqrt{-1}$ represents the imaginary unit. $e^{-i\omega t}$ is the time factor, and it will be omitted in the following mathematical derivation. Considering
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the axisymmetric properties of the wave source, the Hankel function is of order 0.

The reflected wave will be generated when the incident cylindrical SH-wave propagates to the ground surface, and a scattered SH-wave will be generated when the incident cylindrical SH-wave encounters a cavity. Then, the total wave motion field in the half-space is the superposition of the incident wave, reflected wave, and scattered wave. Meanwhile, the wave will also diffract into the lining and surrounding loose rock, and all these waves must satisfy the following wave equation:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \theta^2} = \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2}.
\]  

(2)

To satisfy the zero-stress boundary condition of the half-space surface, the mirror image method [3] is used to solve the problem. As shown in Figure 1B, assume that there is another cylindrical wave source and cavity with the same surrounding loose rock zone in the half-space with the surface as its axis of symmetry. The mirror incident SH-wave source \(W_{j3}(r_3, \theta_3)\) is

\[
W_{j3}(r_3, \theta_3) = H_0^{(1)}(kr_3),
\]

(3)

The scattered wavefield corresponding to the two cavities can be expressed as

\[
W_{j2}(r_2, \theta_2) = \sum_{n_2=0}^{+\infty} H_n^{(1)}(kr_2) \left( A_{j2} \cos n_2 \theta_2 + B_{j2} \sin n_2 \theta_2 \right),
\]

(4)

\[
W_{j4}(r_4, \theta_4) = \sum_{n_4=0}^{+\infty} H_n^{(1)}(kr_4) \left( A_{j4} \cos n_4 \theta_4 + B_{j4} \sin n_4 \theta_4 \right).
\]

(5)

In a physical sense, Eq. 5 represents the wave propagating outward from \(O_2\) and \(O_4\) in the whole space and satisfies the wave equation (3) and Sommerfeld radiation conditions. \(A_{j2}, B_{j2}, A_{j4}, \) and \(B_{j4}\) are the undetermined complex constants, and \(A_{j2} = A_{j4}, B_{j2} = B_{j4}\). The wave motion in the whole space is

\[
W = W_{j1}(r_1, \theta_1) + W_{j2}(r_2, \theta_2) + W_{j3}(r_3, \theta_3) + W_{j4}(r_4, \theta_4).
\]

(6)

The expression of the scattered field generated in the lining in the polar coordinate system \((r_2, \theta_2)\) can be written as

\[
W_{01}(r_2, \theta_2) = \sum_{n_2=0}^{+\infty} H_n^{(1)}(kr_2) \left( C_{01} \cos n_2 \theta_2 + D_{01} \sin n_2 \theta_2 \right),
\]

(7)

\[
W_{02}(r_2, \theta_2) = \sum_{n_2=0}^{+\infty} J_n (kr_2) \left( C_{02} \cos n_2 \theta_2 + D_{02} \sin n_2 \theta_2 \right).
\]

(8)

Here, \(W_{01}(r_2, \theta_2)\) is the wave propagating outward from \(O_2\) in the lining and \(W_{02}(r_2, \theta_2)\) is the standing wave in the lining. \(C_{01}, D_{01}, C_{02}, \) and \(D_{02}\) are undetermined complex constants. \(J_n (x)\) is the Bessel function of the first kind with argument \(x\) and order \(n_2\). \(H_n^{(1)}(x)\) is the Hankel function of the first kind with argument \(x\) and order \(n_2\). The wave motion in the lining is

\[
W_0 = W_{01}(r_2, \theta_2) + W_{02}(r_2, \theta_2).
\]

(9)

The expression of the scattered wavefield generated in the loose rock circle in the polar coordinate system \((r_2, \theta_2)\) can be written as

\[
W_{j1}(r_2, \theta_2) = \sum_{n_2=0}^{+\infty} H_n^{(1)}(kr_2) \left( C_{j1} \cos n_2 \theta_2 + D_{j1} \sin n_2 \theta_2 \right),
\]

(10)

\[
W_{j2}(r_2, \theta_2) = \sum_{n_2=0}^{+\infty} J_n (kr_2) \left( C_{j2} \cos n_2 \theta_2 + D_{j2} \sin n_2 \theta_2 \right).
\]

(11)

Here, \(W_{j1}(r_2, \theta_2)\) is the wave propagating outward from \(O_2\) in the loose rock circle and \(W_{j2}(r_2, \theta_2)\) is the standing wave in the loose rock circle. \(C_{j1}, D_{j1}, C_{j2}, \) and \(D_{j2}\) are undetermined complex constants. The wave motion in the loose rock circle is

\[
W_j = W_{j1}(r_2, \theta_2) + W_{j2}(r_2, \theta_2).
\]

(12)

All the wave motions must satisfy the following boundary conditions.

1) Zero stress on lining inner surface:

\[
\tau_{r_2z_2} = \mu_0 \frac{\partial W_0}{\partial r_2} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad r_2 = a.
\]

(13)

2) Displacement and stress conditions between the lining outer surface and the surrounding rock:

\[
W_0 = W_1 \quad \text{at} \quad r_2 = a,
\]

(14)

\[
\mu_0 \frac{\partial W_0}{\partial r_2} = \mu_1 \frac{\partial W_1}{\partial r_2} \quad \text{at} \quad r_2 = a.
\]

(15)

3) Displacement and stress conditions of the \(j\)th and the \((j-1)\)th interface in the surrounding loose rock:

\[
W_{j-1} = W_j \quad \text{at} \quad r_2 = c_{j-1},
\]

(16)

\[
\mu_{j-1} \frac{\partial W_{j-1}}{\partial r_2} = \mu_j \frac{\partial W_j}{\partial r_2} \quad \text{at} \quad r_2 = c_{j-1}.
\]

(17)

4) Displacement and stress conditions between the outermost loose rock and the half-space:

\[
W_j = W \quad \text{at} \quad r_2 = c_j,
\]

(18)

\[
\mu_j \frac{\partial W_j}{\partial r_2} = \mu \frac{\partial W}{\partial r_2} \quad \text{at} \quad r_2 = c_j.
\]

(19)

Since the wave functions and boundary conditions are represented in different coordinate systems, the coordinate transformation is required. With the help of Graf’s addition theorem [36, 37] of the oblique coordinate system, coordinate transformation can be carried out between any two coordinates, and the details will not be described again.

**Solution to the Problem**

Substituting Eq. 9 into Eq. 13, the following can be obtained:

\[
C_{01}EH_0(n_2, a) + C_{02}EJ_0(n_2, a) = 0,
\]

(19a)

\[
D_{01}EH_0(n_2, a) + D_{02}EJ_0(n_2, a) = 0.
\]

(19b)

Here,

\[
EH_0(n_2, r_2) = n_2J_n^{(1)}(kr_2) - k_rJ_n^{(1)}(kr_2),
\]

(20a)

\[
EJ_0(n_2, r_2) = n_2J_n(kr_2) - k_rJ_n(kr_2).
\]

(20b)
Substituting Eqs. 9, 12 into Eqs. 14, 15, the following can be obtained:

\[
\begin{align*}
C_{01}H_n^{(1)}(k_0b) + C_{02}J_n(k_0b) - C_{11}H_n^{(1)}(k_1b) - C_{12}J_n(k_1b) &= 0, \\
D_{01}H_n^{(1)}(k_0b) + D_{02}J_n(k_0b) - D_{11}H_n^{(1)}(k_1b) - D_{12}J_n(k_1b) &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
C_{01}EH_0(n_2, b) + C_{02}EJ_0(n_2, b) - \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_0} [C_{11}EH_1(n_2, b) + C_{12}EJ_1(n_2, b)] &= 0, \\
D_{01}EH_0(n_2, b) + D_{02}EJ_0(n_2, b) - \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_0} [D_{11}EH_1(n_2, b) + D_{12}EJ_1(n_2, b)] &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Similarly, applying Eqs. 16, 17 to the jth and (j-1)th loose rock layers, the following can be obtained:

\[
\begin{align*}
C_{(j-1)1}H_n^{(1)}(k_{j-1}c_{j-1}) - C_{(j-1)2}J_n(k_{j-1}c_{j-1}) - C_{j1}H_n^{(1)}(k_jc_j) - C_{j2}J_n(k_jc_j) &= 0, \\
D_{(j-1)1}H_n^{(1)}(k_{j-1}c_{j-1}) + D_{(j-1)2}J_n(k_{j-1}c_{j-1}) - D_{j1}H_n^{(1)}(k_jc_j) - D_{j2}J_n(k_jc_j) &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
E_{(j-1)}H_{j-1}(n_2, r_2) &= n_2H_n^{(1)}(k_{j-1}r_2) - k_{j-1}r_2H_n^{(1)}(k_{j-1}r_2), \\
E_{(j-1)}J_{j-1}(n_2, r_2) &= n_2J_n(k_{j-1}r_2) - k_{j-1}r_2J_n(k_{j-1}r_2), \\
E_{j-1}H_{j-1}(n_2, r_2) &= n_2H_n^{(1)}(k_{j-1}r_2) - k_{j-1}r_2H_n^{(1)}(k_{j-1}r_2), \\
E_{j-1}J_{j-1}(n_2, r_2) &= n_2J_n(k_{j-1}r_2) - k_{j-1}r_2J_n(k_{j-1}r_2),
\end{align*}
\]

Substituting Eqs. 6, 12 into Eqs. 18, 19, respectively, the following can be obtained:

\[
\begin{align*}
C_{j1}H_n^{(1)}(k_jc_j) + C_{j2}J_n(k_jc_j) - A_{j1}J_n(k_jc_j) - A_{j2}J_n(k_jc_j) &= 0, \\
D_{j1}H_n^{(1)}(k_jc_j) + D_{j2}J_n(k_jc_j) - B_{j1}J_n(k_jc_j) - B_{j2}J_n(k_jc_j) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]


c_{j1}EH_j(n_2, c_j) + c_{j2}EJ_j(n_2, c_j) - \mu j [A_{j1}EH_j(n_2, c_j) + A_{j2}EJ_j(n_2, c_j)] = 0, \\
D_{j1}EH_j(n_2, c_j) + D_{j2}EJ_j(n_2, c_j) - \mu j [B_{j1}EH_j(n_2, c_j) + B_{j2}EJ(n_2, c_j)] = 0.
\]

Here, \(A_{j1}' = \sum_{n=0}^{20} (A_{j1} F_{1j1} + B_{j1} F_{1j1}) \text{ and } B_{j1}' = \sum_{n=0}^{20} (B_{j1} F_{1j1} - A_{j1} F_{1j1}),\)

\[
\begin{align*}
F_{1j1} &= \frac{\pi n}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{20} \left( C_{n1} \cdot \cos \left( \frac{n_2 - n_4}{2} \pi \right) \right) \cdot \left( \cos \left( \frac{n_3 - n_4}{2} \pi \right) \right), \\
F_{1j2} &= \frac{\pi n}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{20} \left( C_{n1} \cdot \sin \left( \frac{n_2 - n_4}{2} \pi \right) \right) \cdot \left( \sin \left( \frac{n_3 - n_4}{2} \pi \right) \right), \\
F_{1j3} &= \frac{\pi n}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{20} \left( C_{n1} \cdot \cos \left( \frac{n_2 + n_3}{2} \pi \right) \right) \cdot \left( \cos \left( \frac{n_3 - n_4}{2} \pi \right) \right), \\
F_{1j4} &= \frac{\pi n}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{20} \left( C_{n1} \cdot \sin \left( \frac{n_2 + n_3}{2} \pi \right) \right) \cdot \left( \sin \left( \frac{n_3 - n_4}{2} \pi \right) \right),
\end{align*}
\]

where \(n = \frac{1}{2}, \quad n > 0 \), \( \gamma = \arctan \left( \frac{2D}{d_{12}} \right) \),

\[
d_{12} = \sqrt{\left(2D^2 + (d_{12})^2\right)},
\]

In Eq. 29, the subscript \(C\) is replaced with \(H\) to represent the first kind of Hankel function, or the subscript \(C\) is replaced with \(J\) to represent the first kind of Bessel function.

Eqs. 19, 21, 22, 24, 25, and 27 constitute an infinite algebraic system of equations. Though setting the truncated number \(N\), all the unknown coefficients can be obtained by solving Eqs. 19, 21, 24, 25, and 27 together. The analytical series solution of the problem can be obtained by substituting the coefficients into the corresponding wavefield, and the corresponding stress fields can also be calculated.

**Dynamic Stress Concentration Factor (DSCF) of the Inner and Outer Surfaces of the Lining**

The hoop dynamic stress concentration factor (DSCF) of the inner and outer surfaces of the lining can be obtained from the normalization of the radial stress generated by the incident wave at the same point in the whole space, namely,

\[
\text{DSCF} = \frac{\tau_{r2z1}}{\tau^{(i)}_{r2z1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau^{(i)}_{r2z1} = -\mu k H_1^{(1)}(kr_1).
\]
Taking the outer surface of the lining as an example, the calculation formula of the DSCF is given as follows. The DSCF of the lining inner surface is similar and will not be repeated. As shown in Figure 1C, the wavefield and stress of any point can be expressed as

\[
W_1 = W_{11}(r_2, \theta_2) + W_{12}(r_2, \theta_2) = \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} C_{11}H_{n_2}^{(1)}(k_1r_2) + C_{12}J_{n_2}(k_1r_2) \cos n_2\theta_2 \\ + \left[ D_{11}H_{n_2}^{(1)}(k_1r_2) + D_{12}J_{n_2}(k_1r_2) \right] \sin n_2\theta_2 \end{array} \right\}, \quad (32)
\]

\[
\sigma_{\theta_2 z_2} = \frac{\mu_1}{b} \frac{\partial W_1}{\partial \theta_2} = \frac{\mu_1}{b} \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} n_2 \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} C_{11}H_{n_2}^{(1)}(k_1r_2) + C_{12}J_{n_2}(k_1r_2) \cos n_2\theta_2 \\ - \left[ C_{11}H_{n_2}^{(1)}(k_1r_2) + C_{12}J_{n_2}(k_1r_2) \right] \sin n_2\theta_2 \end{array} \right\}, \quad (33)
\]

where \( r_1 = b^2 + d_{12}^2 - 2b \times d_{12} \times \cos \theta_2 \). Then, the DSCF of the outer surface of the lining can be obtained as

\[
\text{DSCF} = \frac{\tau_{\theta_2 z_2}}{\tau(i)} = -\frac{\mu_2}{\mu} k b H_1^{(1)}(kr_2) \left[ \begin{array}{c} D_{11}H_{n_2}^{(1)}(k_1r_2) + D_{12}J_{n_2}(k_1r_2) \cos n_2\theta_2 \\ - \left[ C_{11}H_{n_2}^{(1)}(k_1r_2) + C_{12}J_{n_2}(k_1r_2) \right] \sin n_2\theta_2 \end{array} \right], \quad (34)
\]

**SOLUTION VERIFICATION**

The dimensionless frequency \( \eta \), which is expressed in terms of the tunnel radius \( a \) and the wave velocity \( \beta \), is defined as \[38\]

\[
\eta = \frac{2a}{\lambda} = \frac{\omega a}{\pi \beta} \quad (35)
\]
where $2a$ is the tunnel diameter and $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the shear waves in the half-space.

**Precision Variation With the Truncated Number $N$**

Figure 2A shows the convergence of lining stress residual at the outer surface under four different dimensionless frequencies $\eta = 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, \text{ and } 2.0$, when $d_1/b = 5$ and tunnel buried depth $D/a = 2$. For different incident wave frequencies, with the increase of truncation terms $N$, the error gradually approaches zero, which proves that the series solution in this paper can obtain a result that meets the accuracy.

Comparison With the Published Results

Taking the surrounding loose rock of four layers as an example, Figure 2B shows the comparison between our results and the published results [32] (tunnel lining without loose rock zone) when $d/a = 2.5, 5, 10, \text{ and } 20$, $\beta_1/\beta = 1, \rho_0/\rho = 1, \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta_4$, and $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \rho_3 = \rho_4$. The figure demonstrates that our results agree well with the published results, indicating the correctness of our solution.

**RESULTS AND ANALYSIS**

Figure 3 and Figure 4 demonstrate the results of the circumferential DSCF of the lining outer surface under...
different lining burial depths $D/a = 2$ and 5. The calculation parameters are as follows. For the lining, the shear wave velocity is $\beta_0 = 2000 \, \text{m/s}$, Poisson’s ratio is $\nu_0 = 0.2$, and mass density $\rho_0 = 2500 \, \text{kg/m}^3$; for the half-space, the shear wave velocity is $\beta = 3000 \, \text{m/s}$, Poisson’s ratio is $\nu = 0.25$, and mass density $\rho = 2750 \, \text{kg/m}^3$. The surrounding loose rock zone is assumed to be divided into four layers, and the properties of the loose rock zone are discussed in three cases as follows:

Case 1: $\beta_1 = 1800 \, \text{m/s}$, $\beta_2 = 2100 \, \text{m/s}$, $\beta_3 = 2400 \, \text{m/s}$, $\beta_4 = 2700 \, \text{m/s}$.
Case 2: $\beta_1 = 2200 \, \text{m/s}$, $\beta_2 = 2400 \, \text{m/s}$, $\beta_3 = 2600 \, \text{m/s}$, $\beta_4 = 2800 \, \text{m/s}$.
Case 3: $\beta_1 = 3000 \, \text{m/s}$, $\beta_2 = 3000 \, \text{m/s}$, $\beta_3 = 3000 \, \text{m/s}$, $\beta_4 = 3000 \, \text{m/s}$.

Case 1 represents a large degree of surrounding rock loosening around the lining, Case 2 represents a moderate degree of surrounding rock loosening, and Case 3 corresponds to no surrounding rock loosening.

The DSCF of the lining outer surface at different incident frequencies ($\eta = 0.25, 0.5, 1.0$, and $2.0$) is shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4. It can be found that the amplitude of the DSCF changes gently along the circumference of the lining when the incident wave has a relatively lower frequency ($\eta = 0.25$). With the increase of the frequency of the incident wave, the amplitudes of the DSCF change dramatically along the lining circumference. This indicates that, with the increase of incident wave frequency, the refraction and scattering of incident waves in the lining and surrounding loose rock zone are intensified, which leads to the intensification of
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dynamic stress concentration on the outer surface of the lining. By comparing and analyzing the DSCF amplitude under different wave source-lining distances \((d/a)\) and the lining has a significant influence on the DSCF. Particularly, an interesting phenomenon can be observed, that is, with the increase of the distance between the wave source and the cavity, the DSCF gradually increases, which is obviously different from that of the plane SH-wave. The reasons for this significant difference can be explained as follows. In the case of cylindrical SH-wave incidence, the denominator (stress amplitude generated by the incident cylindrical SH-wave in the free-field) in the normalization formula (Eq. 34) of the DSCF is attenuated. However, for the incident plane SH-wave, the denominator (stress amplitude caused by the plane SH-wave in the free-field) is constant.

The lining buried depth \((D/a)\) also has a significant effect on the DSCF. It can be seen from Figure 3 and Figure 4 that when the lining buried depth is larger \((D/a)\), the DSCF of the lining outer surface decreases to a certain extent, and the distribution of the DSCF changes dramatically. This shows that the ground surface has an important influence on the DSCF. At the same time, it can be seen that when the lining buried depth of the lining is large, the influence of a low-frequency wave is larger and that of a high-frequency wave is relatively small due to the large distance between the lining and the ground surface, which is particularly noteworthy.

The three surrounding rock case analyses include the case of no loosening of surrounding rock (Case 3). Currently, the dynamic stress concentration factor (DSCF) is relatively small, that is, the stress concentration degree is smaller than the result of the surrounding loosening rock case. In the other two cases, the surrounding rock stiffness changes linearly, and the DSCF changes greatly, indicating that the dynamic stress concentration is more obvious.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, the closed-form series solution of cylindrical SH-wave scattering by surrounding rock in a uniform half-space is obtained by using the wave function expansion method. Considering that blasting will inevitably loosen the surrounding rock around the tunnel lining in practical engineering, we analyze the influence of the frequency of the incident cylindrical SH-wave, the distance between the wave source and the lining, the lining buried depth, and the properties of the surrounding loose rock zone on the dynamic stress concentration of the tunnel lining, based on this series solution. The conclusions and findings are as follows:

1) Generally speaking, the incident wave with high frequency always makes the dynamic stress concentration of the tunnel lining obvious. The variation of the dynamic stress concentration factor (DSCF) curve of the lining outer surface is complex and violent, and the distribution is not uniform.

2) With the increase of the distance between the wave source and the tunnel, the stress around the tunnel lining decreases, but the dynamic stress concentration factor around the tunnel lining does not decrease significantly but occasionally increases. This is because in the calculation formula of the normalized dynamic stress concentration factor, the denominator decreases faster than the hoop stress of the lining.

3) In general, the amplitude of hoop stress in the tunnel lining decreases with the increase of lining buried depth. This is enough to show that the ground surface has a great influence on the stress concentration of the tunnel lining.

4) When other conditions are the same, the stress concentration of the surrounding loose rock zone is more obvious than that without loose rock zone. When the property of the surrounding rock (namely, shear wave velocity) changes more, the amplitude of the stress concentration factor is larger, that is, the stress concentration is more significant.
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