C-Reactive protein is an independent surgical indication marker for appendicitis: a retrospective study
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Abstract

**Background:** This study is an attempt to clarify the role of C-reactive protein (CRP) as a surgical indication marker for appendicitis.

**Methods:** One hundred and fifty patients who underwent appendectomies and had pathologically confirmed appendicitis were reviewed between May 1, 1999 and September 31, 2007. The correlation between preoperative clinical factors and the actual histological severity, and identify surgical indication markers were assessed by univariate and multivariate analyses.

**Results:** Univariate analysis showed that only the CRP level significantly differ between the surgical treatment necessary group (gangrenous appendicitis) and the possible non-surgical treatment group (catarrhalis and phlegmonous appendicitis). Multivariate analysis indicated only the CRP level to be a surgical indication marker for acute appendicitis. The receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve indicated that the cutoff value of CRP for surgical indication of appendicitis is 4.95 mg/dl.

**Conclusion:** Only the CRP level is consistent with the severity of appendicitis, and considered to be a surgical indication marker for acute appendicitis.

**Background**

The treatment of appendicitis has been primarily managed by surgery. However, for those who present with catarrhalis (inflammation within the mucous membrane), or phlegmonous (inflammation in all layers) appendicitis, initial treatment by non-surgical management has been shown to be safe and effective[1,2]. A recent prospective multi-center randomized controlled trial showed that acute non-perforated appendicitis can be treated successfully with antibiotics[3]. The risk of recurrent appendicitis after non-surgical treatment is 5% to 37% [4-6]. Moreover, a routine interval appendectomy after successful non-surgical treatment is not justified and should be abandoned[7]. On the other hand, complicated appendicitis such as gangrenous (necrotic) appendicitis should be treated with emergency surgery[8]. Clinicians
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must determine the surgical indications after the diagnosis of appendicitis. This study investigated the possibility of a predictive common blood marker for distinguishing surgically indicated gangrenous (necrotic) appendicitis from catarrhalis (within the mucous membrane), or phlegmonous (in all layers) appendicitis.

In clinical practice, the surgical indications for appendicitis are always difficult. In the diagnosis for appendicitis, not for surgical indication, a common blood analysis including white blood cell counts, neutrophil percentage and serum level of CRP has been demonstrated to be important [9-15]. Some reports indicated that appendicitis is unlikely, when the white blood cells count and CRP value are normal [16-18]. However, no report has evaluated the role of CRP for surgical indication of appendicitis. This study investigated whether CRP is a surgical indication marker as well as a diagnostic marker for the decision of an emergency operation for acute appendicitis.

Methods
Between May 1, 1999, and September 31, 2007, 150 patients, 93 males and 57 females from 4 to 80 years of age, underwent surgical treatment for acute appendicitis in Wakayama Medical University Hospital. All of them had clinical symptoms of acute appendicitis, and underwent an open appendectomy. The appendiceal histological findings confirmed by experienced pathologists identified three groups; the catarrhalis group included 16 patients with proven acute appendicitis within the mucous membrane, the phlegmonous group included 83 patients with proven acute appendicitis in all layers, the gangrenous group included 51 patients with proven acute appendicitis with necrosis. Peripheral venous blood was drawn when the patients presented at the emergency department for white blood cell counts, neutrophil percentage and C-reactive protein level. The duration between the onset of symptoms and presenting to the hospital was measured. To elucidate the surgical indication markers for acute appendicitis, the patients were divided into two groups that surgery necessary group for necrotic appendicitis consisted of patients with gangrenous appendicitis and possible non-surgical treatment group for non necrotic appendicitis including catarrhalis and phlegmonous. Univariate and multivariate analyses of the data were carried out using the StatView 5.0 statistical analysis software program. Descriptive statistics for continuous variables such as laboratory parameters were calculated and are reported as the means ± SD. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to detect differences among groups. The logistic regression analysis was carried out for multivariate analysis. All tests were considered to be significant at \(P < 0.05\). The optimal cutoff point for the severity of appendicitis was determined using ROC analysis.

Results
The white blood cell counts and neutrophil percentage did not differ among groups (Table 1). The CRP levels in the catarrhalis, phlegmonous and gangrenous group were \(0.23 ± 0.27\) mg/dl, \(4.09 ± 4.33\) mg/dl, and \(11.47 ± 7.59\) mg/dl, respectively (table 1). The CRP levels were found to be significantly different between the catarrhalis group and the phlegmonous group (\(0.23 ± 0.27\) mg/dl vs. \(4.09 ± 4.33\) mg/dl, \(p < 0.0001\)), between the catarrhalis group and the gangrenous group (\(0.23 ± 0.27\) mg/dl vs. \(11.47 ± 7.59\) mg/dl, \(p < 0.0001\)), and between the phlegmonous group and the gangrenous group (\(4.09 ± 4.33\) mg/dl vs. \(11.47 ± 7.59\) mg/dl, \(p < 0.0001\)). The duration between the onset of symptoms and presentation to the hospital also differed significantly between the catarrhalis group and the phlegmonous group (\(8.19 ± 5.33\) hours vs. \(28.27 ± 37.77\) hours, \(p < 0.05\)), between the catarrhalis group and the gangrenous group (\(8.19 ± 5.33\) hours vs. \(34.39 ± 27.42\) hours, \(p < 0.0001\)), between the phlegmonous group and the gangrenous group (\(28.27 ± 37.77\) hours vs. \(34.39 ± 27.42\) hours, \(p < 0.05\)).

To elucidate the surgical indication markers for acute appendicitis, the patients were divided into two groups which were surgical treatment necessary group consisted of gangrenous appendicitis and possible non-operative treatment group consisted of catarrhalis and phlegmonous appendicitis.

### Table 1: Comparison Between the Actual Histological Severities and Laboratory Findings

| Actual Pathologic Diagnosis | Catarrhalis (n = 16) | Phlegmonous (n = 83) | Gangrenous (n = 51) |
|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| CRP*1 level (mg/dl)        | 0.23 ± 0.27          | 4.09 ± 4.33          | 11.47 ± 7.59        |
| WBC*2 (×1000 mm³)          | 144.69 ± 49.91       | 139.88 ± 41.87       | 143.49 ± 47.69      |
| Neutrophil Percentage (%)  | 83.1 ± 7.0           | 84.4 ± 5.9           | 86.2 ± 6.5          |
| Duration*3 (hours)         | 8.19 ± 5.33          | 28.27 ± 37.77        | 34.39 ± 27.42       |

*1 CRP, C-reactive Protein; *2 WBC, White Blood Cell; *3 Duration, duration between onset of symptoms and hospitalization
Appendicitis has been mainly treated by surgical management. However, non-surgical treatment of appendicitis has also been documented with good success[19,20]. The current study showed that the white blood cell counts and neutrophil percentage are not useful for surgical indication, whereas univariate analysis indicated that only CRP was significantly different between the surgery necessary group and unnecessary group, and multivariate analysis showed that only CRP was an independent marker for necrotic appendicitis. The ROC curve indicated that the optimal cutoff value of CRP for surgical indication for classifying cases was around 5 mg/dl. These data suggested that clinicians should consider the CRP level when selecting the treatment after the diagnosis of appendicitis.

Our novel findings give additional information for surgical indication for appendicitis. Numerous previous studies have shown that the CRP level enhances the precision of diagnosis of acute appendicitis, but not surgical indication. A large retrospective study has documented that the sensitivity of CRP in these patients is greater than 90%[21]. Furthermore, the negative appendectomy rate is reduced by approximately 8% if surgery is cancels in patients with CRP levels and white blood cell counts within the reference range[22]. Another prospective study[11] has shown that it is important to measure serial CRP levels and white blood cell counts in patients with suspected appendicitis. The sensitivity of CRP levels in predicting appendicitis was 60% on admission and increased to 100% by the fourth blood specimen. Conversely, white blood cell counts exhibited a sensitivity of 95% on admission, but dropped to 75% by the fourth specimen. Other studies[16,23] confirm that an elevated

**Table 2**: Comparison Between the Necrotic and Non-necrotic Appendicitis groups by Univariate analysis

|                      | without necrosis (catarrhalis*phregmonous, n = 99) | with necrosis (gangrenous, n = 51) | P value     |
|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| CRP*1 level (mg/dl)  | 3.462 ± 4.208                                        | 11.472 ± 7.594                    | < 0.0001    |
| WBC*2 (*100 mm3)     | 140.66 ± 43.03                                       | 143.49 ± 47.68                    | 0.713       |
| Neutrophil Percentage (%) | 84.2 ± 6.0                                            | 86.2 ± 6.5                        | 0.1169      |
| Duration*3 (hours)   | 25.02 ± 35.40                                        | 34.40 ± 27.42                     | 0.1007      |

*1 CRP, C-reactive Protein; *2 WBC, White Blood Cell; *3 Duration, duration between onset of symptoms and hospitalization

**Table 3**: Comparison Between the Necrotic and Non-necrotic Appendicitis groups by Multivariate analysis

|                      | P value | RR*4 (95% CI*5)     |
|----------------------|---------|---------------------|
| CRP*1 level (mg/dl)  | < 0.0001| 1.442 (1.242-1.673) |
| WBC*2 (*100 mm3)     | 0.1751  | 0.988 (0.971-1.005) |
| Neutrophil Percentage (%) | 0.3563 | 1.052 (0.945-1.171) |
| Duration*3 (hours)   | 0.3019  | 0.990 (0.970-1.009) |
| Age (<16)            | 0.5205  | 1.507 (0.431-5.261) |
| Gender (female)      | 0.1799  | 0.988 (0.971-1.005) |

*1 CRP, C-reactive Protein; *2 WBC, White Blood Cell; *3 Duration, duration between onset of symptoms and hospitalization; *4 RR, Relative risk; *5 CI, Confidence interval
CRP serves as a systemic marker of focal inflammation and infection. In this background, CRP and white blood cell counts are important for the diagnosis for appendicitis. After the diagnosis of appendicitis, the clinician must decide surgery or antibiotics. The current study clearly suggested that CRP leads to precise prediction of the severity of acute appendicitis for treatment. However, CRP is not specific for appendicitis, and one should consider the presence of other diseases such as a diverticulum, inflammation of the ileum, or urogenital and gynecological disorders. Therefore, before using our system for surgical indication, clinicians interpreting clinical information must depend on their subjective experience and modalities such as computed tomography and ultrasonography to establish a diagnosis of appendicitis, and must exclude other causes of symptoms. The cut off level at around 5 mg/dl needs to be handled carefully and may need much higher patient numbers to reach the confident level. If clinical symptoms and image examinations indicate that a patient has appendicitis, a patient with a high CRP level should undergo surgery immediately. And, if the CRP level is negative, then a patient could be managed by non-surgical treatment.

**Conclusion**

The CRP level, which is a commonly used clinical tool, has been clearly demonstrated to contribute to the prediction of the severity of appendicitis. Once clinical symptoms and examinations have indicated acute appendicitis, the next important step is decision on the most advantageous treatment. The CRP level, neither the white blood cell counts nor neutrophil percentage, is considered to lead to an appropriate decision on whether surgery or non-surgical treatment.
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