Scaling behavior of charged hadron $p_T$ distributions in $pp$ and $p\bar{p}$ collisions
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We present that there is a scaling behavior in the transverse momentum ($p_T$) distributions for charged hadrons produced in proton-proton ($pp$) collisions with different center of mass energy scales ($\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.36$ and $7$ TeV) at the Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS) detector. A similar scaling behavior is observed in the $p_T$ distributions of charged hadrons produced in proton-antiproton ($p\bar{p}$) collisions with $\sqrt{s} = 0.63, 1.8$ and $1.96$ TeV at the Collider Detector at Fermilab (CDF). The statistics origin of this scaling behavior could be from the non-extensivity of the particle system produced in the collisions. And the particle production mechanism behind the scaling behavior could be explained by the model of percolation of strings.

PACS numbers: 13.85.Ni, 13.87.Fh

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the main goals in high energy collisions is to investigate the dynamics for particle productions. Several approaches are utilized to search for regularities in the particle productions. One of the approaches is to search for a scaling behavior of some quantities versus suitable variables.

The scaling behavior was first introduced in electron-nucleon deep inelastic scattering (DIS) in which the $x$-scaling of the structure functions exhibit $[1]$. In recent years, scaling behaviors were observed in nucleus-nucleus collisions. Ref. $[2]$ showed that a scaling behavior exhibited in the pion $p_T$ spectra with different collision centralities at midrapidity in Au+Au collisions at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC). This scaling behavior of pions was also found in noncentral regions in Au+Au and d+Au collisions $[3]$. An analogous scaling behavior was observed in the proton and anti-proton $p_T$ spectra with different collision centralities at midrapidity in Au+Au collisions at RHIC $[4]$.

Recently, a universal scaling behavior was presented in the $p_T$ distributions of charged hadrons in $pp$ collisions with $\sqrt{s}=0.9, 2.36$ and $7$ TeV at CMS $[5]$. This scaling behavior is seen when the $p_T$ distributions are in a suitable variable, $p'_T$. $p'_T$ at energy scale $\sqrt{s}$ is defined in terms of $p_T$ at energy scale $\sqrt{s}$ and it is written as $p'_T = p_T(\sqrt{s}/\sqrt{s})^{1-\lambda}$, where $\lambda$ is a parameter and it depends on $p_T$ at energy scale $\sqrt{s}$. Ref. $[6]$ showed that this scaling behavior could be described by a Tsallis distribution $[7]$. In this paper, we propose another method to search for the scaling behavior of the charged hadron $p_T$ distributions in $pp$ collisions with $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.36$ and $7$ TeV. This scaling behavior exhibits when the the $p_T$ distributions are presented in another suitable variable, $z = p_T/K$. Here $K$ is a free parameter which only depends on $\sqrt{s}$, rather than $p_T$ at energy scale $\sqrt{s}$. This scaling behavior could be described by an exponential distribution. Similar scaling behavior is also searched for in the charged hadron $p_T$ distributions in $pp$ collisions with $\sqrt{s} = 0.63, 1.8$ and $1.96$ TeV at CDF. The scaling behaviors in the $pp$ and $p\bar{p}$ collisions can also be described with Tsallis distributions. We compare the exponential distribution with the Tsallis distribution and find they are in good agreement. This indicates that the particle systems produced in $pp$ and $p\bar{p}$ collisions are non-extensive thermodynamics systems.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the procedure to search for the scaling behavior in $pp$ and $p\bar{p}$ collisions is illustrated. Sec. III describes the scaling behavior of charged hadrons in $pp$ and $p\bar{p}$ collisions with different center of mass energy scales. In Sec. IV the statistic origin of this scaling distribution is studied. Sec. V shows the comparison between the scaling behaviors presented in the variables $z$ and $p'_T$. Finally in Sec. VI the possible particle production mechanism behind the scaling behavior is discussed.

II. METHOD TO SEARCH FOR THE SCALING BEHAVIOR

The method to search for the scaling behavior of charged hadron $p_T$ spectra at different energy scales in $pp$ and $p\bar{p}$ collisions is similar to the one which was described in Refs. $[2][5]$. Here we will describe it briefly. By choosing proper parameters $A$ and $K$, the scaled $p_T$ spectra at different energy scales in $pp$ or $p\bar{p}$ collisions, $\Phi(z) = A \cdot (2\pi p_T)^{-1}d^2N/dp_Tdy|_{p_T=Kz}$, will exhibit a universal scaling behavior. As a convention, $K$ and $A$ are set to be 1 for the highest energy collisions. Obviously, with different choices of $A$ and $K$ for the highest energy collisions, we get different scaling functions. The arbitrary of the scaling function $\Phi(z)$ will disappear if the $p_T$ spectra at different energy scales are pre-
sented in another variable, \( u = z/(z) = p_T/(p_T) \). Here 
\[
\langle z \rangle = \int_0^\infty z \Phi(z) z dz / \int_0^\infty \Phi(z) z dz.
\]
The normalized scaling distribution as a function of \( u \) then is defined as 
\[
\Psi(u) = (z)^2 \Phi(\langle z \rangle u) / \int_0^\infty \Phi(z) z dz.
\]

III. SCALING BEHAVIOR IN \( pp \) AND \( p\bar{p} \) COLLISIONS

The charged hadron \( p_T \) spectra in \( pp \) (\( p\bar{p} \)) collisions with \( \sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.36 \) and \( 7 \) (0.63, 1.8 and 1.96) TeV at CMS (CDF) were published in Refs. 8–10. The \( p_T \) distributions in CDF data cover a range up to 50 GeV/c, which is larger than the \( p_T \) coverage of CMS data. As shown in Fig. 1 these \( p_T \) spectra in \( pp \) collisions with different energy scales can be put to one curve by choosing suitable parameters \( A \) and \( K \). These parameters are tabulated in Table I. The curve is described by an exponential function,

\[
\Phi_{pp}(z) = 27.63 \exp(-6.93v + 0.44v^2 - 0.26v^3),
\]
where \( v = \ln(1 + z) \).

![FIG. 1. Scaling behavior of the charged hadron \( p_T \) spectra presented in \( z \) in the \( pp \) collisions with different energy scales. The solid curve is described by Eq. (1). The data points are taken from Refs. 8–10. The inset shows the distribution of the ratio between the experimental data and the fitted results.](image)

| \( \sqrt{s} \) (TeV) | \( K \)  | \( A \)  |
|------------------|-------|-------|
| 0.9             | 0.76  | 0.85  |
| 2.36            | 0.88  | 0.95  |
| 7               | 1     | 1     |

In order to see how well the CMS data with different energy scales agree with the fitted curve, we define a ratio,

\[
R_{pp}(z) = \text{experimental data/fitted results}.
\]

The inset of Fig. 1 shows \( R \) as a function of \( z \) for all data points in the \( pp \) collisions with different energy scales. Except for a few data points which lie in the soft or hard region, all the data points have \( R \) values in the range 0.8–1.2, which implies that the scaling behavior is true within an accuracy of 20%.

In a similar way, the \( p_T \) spectra in the \( p\bar{p} \) collisions with different energy scales can be placed to another curve with another set of parameters \( A \) and \( K \) (see Fig. 2). These parameters are listed in Table II. The curve for the \( p\bar{p} \) collisions is described by another exponential function,

\[
\Phi_{p\bar{p}}(z) = 1085.12 \exp(-6.56v - 0.64v^2 + 0.12v^3),
\]
where \( v \) is also defined as \( v = \ln(1 + z) \).

![FIG. 2. Scaling behavior of the charged hadron \( p_T \) spectra presented in \( z \) in the \( p\bar{p} \) collisions with different energy scales. The solid curve is described by Eq. (3). The data points are taken from Refs. 3, 10. The inset is the distribution of the ratio between the experimental data and the fitted results.](image)

| \( \sqrt{s} \) (TeV) | \( K \)  | \( A \)  |
|------------------|-------|-------|
| 0.63            | 0.85  | 2.37  |
| 1.8             | 0.99  | 2.14  |
| 1.96            | 1     | 1     |

The \( R \) (see Eq. 2) distribution as a function of \( z \) for the \( p\bar{p} \) collisions is presented in the inset of Fig. 2. In the low \( p_T \) region with \( z < 10 \) GeV/c, the data points and the fitted curve agree within 20%. In the high \( p_T \) region with \( z > 10 \) GeV/c, there is a large deviation between the data points and the fitted curve.

So far we have seen that the charged hadron \( p_T \) distributions in the \( pp \) and \( p\bar{p} \) collisions indeed exhibit a
scaling behavior. However, the scaling functions in Eqs. 1 and 3 rely on the choice of parameters $A$ and $K$ for the highest energy collisions. In order to eliminate this dependence on the choice, the scaling variable $z$ is replaced by $u = z/(z)$. In the $pp$ ($p\bar{p}$) collisions, $\langle z \rangle$ for the charged hadrons is determined as 0.53 (0.48) with the definite integral of $z$ over the interval $[0, 10]$ ([0,100]), which roughly corresponds to the $p_T$ range measured by CMS (CDF). Plugging $\langle z \rangle$ as well as $\Psi(z)$ into $\Psi(u)$ defined in Sec. III, one can easily get the normalized scaling function $\Psi_{pp}(u)$ ($\Psi_{p\bar{p}}(u)$) for the $pp$ ($p\bar{p}$) collisions (see Fig. 3). In order to get a similar form of $\Phi(z)$ in Eqs. 1 and 3, $\Psi_{pp}(u)$ and $\Psi_{p\bar{p}}(u)$ are reparameterized as follows:

$$
\Psi_{pp}(u) = 7.81 \exp(-3.73v - 0.61v^2 - 0.02v^3)
$$

$$
\Psi_{p\bar{p}}(u) = 5.95 \exp(-2.75v - 1.44v^2 + 0.16v^3),
$$

where $v = \ln(1 + u)$.

![FIG. 3. (a) (b), normalized scaling distribution as a function of variable $u$ for the charged hadrons produced in the $pp$ ($p\bar{p}$) collisions. The solid curves are described by Eq. 1. The CMS (CDF) data points are taken from 5 (6, 11, 13).]

The scaling behavior of the $p_T$ distributions for charged hadrons produced in the $pp$ and $p\bar{p}$ collisions could be validated experimentally in the following way. With the normalized scaling functions in Eq. 4 we can calculate the ratio between the moments of the momentum distributions,

$$
\frac{\langle p_T^2 \rangle}{\langle p_T \rangle^n} = \int_0^\infty u^n \Psi(u) du,
$$

where $n = 2, 3, 4, \ldots$. The integration interval for $\Psi_{pp}(u)$ ($\Psi_{p\bar{p}}(u)$), which corresponds to the range of $p_T/\langle p_T \rangle$ measured by CMS (CDF), is from 0 to 12 (100). Table III tabulates $\langle p_T^2 \rangle/\langle p_T \rangle^n$ with $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$ for the charged hadrons produced in the $pp$ and $p\bar{p}$ collisions. Judging from Eq. 5 $\langle p_T^2 \rangle/\langle p_T \rangle^n$ does only depend on the form of the normalized scaling function $\Psi_{pp}(u)$ or $\Psi_{p\bar{p}}(u)$. If the scaling behavior of the charged hadron $p_T$ distributions is true, then the ratio between the moments of momentum should be a constant for collisions with different energy scales. As an example, the ratios between the moments of momentum with $n = 2$ are calculated using the measured data points for the charged hadron $p_T$ distributions in the $pp$ collisions with $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.36$ and $7$ TeV, and they are 1.68, 1.73 and 1.85. These experimental values and the value calculated with the integral of the normalized scaling function $\Psi_{pp}(u)$, 1.93, are deemed to be consistent within 20%. This general agreement confirms that the scaling behavior of the charged hadron $p_T$ distributions in the $pp$ collisions with different energy scales is true. We can also test the scaling behavior of the charged hadron $p_T$ distributions in the $p\bar{p}$ collisions with different energy scales experimentally in a similar way.

**TABLE III.** $\langle p_T^2 \rangle/\langle p_T \rangle^n$ calculated with Eq. 5 for the charged hadrons produced in the $pp$ and $p\bar{p}$ collisions.

| $n$ | $pp$ collisions | $p\bar{p}$ collisions |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------------|
| 2   | 1.93            | 1.90                  |
| 3   | 6.23            | 6.39                  |
| 4   | 29.93           | 42.04                 |
| 5   | 188.92          | 663.58                |

**IV. STATISTICS ORIGIN OF THE SCALING BEHAVIOR**

We have shown that the scaling behavior of the charged hadron $p_T$ distributions in the $pp$ or $p\bar{p}$ collisions could be described by an exponential distribution in Sec. III. Now we would like to explore the statistic origin of this scaling behavior. The scaling functions in Eqs. 1 and 3 are in an exponential form of $v$, rather than $z$, thus the statistical mechanics of the particle production in the $pp$ and $p\bar{p}$ collisions is thought to be non-extensive. As described in Sec. III, the scaling behavior of the $p_T$ distributions presented in the variable $p_T$ in the $pp$ collisions could be described by a Tsallis distribution. We would like to
see whether the scaling behavior of the $p_T$ distributions presented in the variable $z$ could also be described by the Tsallis distribution. The Tsallis distribution 

$$
\Phi(z) = C_q \left( 1 - (1 - q) \frac{z}{z_0} \right)^\frac{1}{1-q},
$$

is derived by maximizing the Tsallis entropy, which is a non-extensive entropy. Here $C_q$ is a normalization factor, $z_0$ and $q$ are free parameters. $|1 - q|$ is a measure of the non-extensivity. When $q \to 1$, the Tsallis distribution becomes the Boltzmann-Gibbs distribution,

$$
\Phi(z) = C_1 \exp\left(-\frac{z}{z_0}\right),
$$

which could be revealed from the maximum of the extensive Boltzmann-Gibbs entropy. Fig. 4 shows that the scaling behaviors of $p_T$ distributions presented in $z$ are fitted by Eq. (6) for the $pp$ ($p\bar{p}$) collisions, $C_q=22.04$ (1131.52), $z_0=0.17$ (0.15) and $q=1.127$ (1.125). The inset in Fig. 4 shows that, except for in the region $z > 10$, the Tsallis curves agree with most of the data points within an accuracy of 20%. This implies that the Tsallis distribution could also depict the scaling behaviors of charged hadron $p_T$ distributions in the $pp$ and $p\bar{p}$ collisions well.

As a result, there are two possible ways to describe the scaling behavior of the charged hadron $p_T$ distributions. In order to see how good is the consistency between these two scaling behavior descriptions, the normalized Tsallis scaling functions for the $pp$ and $p\bar{p}$ collisions,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi^{Tsa.}_{pp}(u) &= 6.75 \left[ 1 - (1 - 1.127) \frac{u}{0.311} \right]^\frac{1}{1-1.127}, \\
\Psi^{Tsa.}_{p\bar{p}}(u) &= 6.72 \left[ 1 - (1 - 1.125) \frac{u}{0.313} \right]^\frac{1}{1-1.125},
\end{align*}
$$

are compared to the normalized exponential scaling functions in Eq. (4) at logarithm scale (see Fig. 5). As shown in the inset of Fig. 5(a), the ratio between the two normalized scaling functions for the $pp$ collisions, $B=\text{Tsallis fit/Exponential fit}$, is constrained in the range [0.85, 1.1], which means that the difference between these two functions is less than 15%. For the $p\bar{p}$ collisions, the normalized Tsallis and exponential distributions are in agreement within 10% when $u < 20$ (see the inset of Fig. 5(b)). When $u$ is greater than 20, the discrepancy between these two distribution starts to grow with $u$ monotonically. This could be due to the reason that at large $p_T$ there are not enough statistics and thus there is a large uncertainty associated with the number of events observed at this $p_T$ region. The agreement between the Tsallis and exponential normalized scaling functions tells us that the charged hadron system produced in the $pp$ ($p\bar{p}$) collisions is a non-extensive thermodynamics systems, and the non-extensivity of the system is described by the parameter $q$, which is 1.127 (1.125).

![Graphical representation](image)

**FIG. 4.** (a) ((b)), scaling behavior of the charged hadron $p_T$ spectra presented in $z$ in the $pp$ ($p\bar{p}$) collisions. The solid curves are described by Eq. (6). The CMS (CDF) data points are taken from [3] ([9, 10]). The inset is the distribution of the ratio between the experimental data and the fitted results.

### V. COMPARISON BETWEEN THE SCALING BEHAVIORS PRESENTED IN $z$ AND $p_T$

As described in Ref. [5], there is a scaling behavior when the charged hadron $p_T$ spectra in the $pp$ collisions at different energy scales are presented in the variable $p_T$. The $p_T$ spectrum at $\sqrt{s}$ is connected with the $p_T'$ via $p_T' = p_T'(\sqrt{s'/s})^{\lambda-2}$, where $\lambda = 0.13 + 0.1(4p_T^2/10)^{0.35}$. Fig. 6 shows the $p_T$ distributions presented in $p_T$ in the $pp$ collisions for different energy scales. In this figure, the $p_T$ spectra at $\sqrt{s} = 2.36$ and 0.9 TeV have been rescaled to the $p_T$ spectrum at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. The latter spectrum is described by an exponential fit in Eq. (4). The inset of Fig. 6 shows the distribution of the ratio between the experimental data and the fitted results. Except a few data points at high $p_T$ region, the scaling behavior of the charged hadron $p_T$ spectra presented in $p_T$ is true within an accuracy of 50%.
In order to understand the particle production mechanism behind this scaling behavior, the model of percolation of strings is utilized. In this model, color strings are stretched between the two colliding hadrons in the pp or pp collisions. These strings will split into new strings with the emission of qq pairs. Observed hadrons are formed through this quark pair emission. The transverse area of a color string is \( S_1 = \pi r_0^2 \), where \( r_0 = 0.2 \text{ fm} \). If there are \( n \) strings, they may overlap with each other and thus form a cluster with a transverse area of \( S_n \). The \( p_T \) distribution at energy scale \( \sqrt{s} \) could be related to the \( p_T \) distribution at energy scale \( \sqrt{s'} \) by a linear transformation on \( p_T \) at energy scale \( \sqrt{s'} \): \[ p_T \rightarrow p_T/((nS_1/S_n)\sqrt{s'/s})^{1/4} \]. Here \( nS_1/S_n \) gives the degree of string overlap. If strings just get in touch with each other, then \( S_n = nS_1 \) and \( nS_1/S_n = 1 \). If strings maximumly overlap with each other, then \( S_n = S_1 \) and \( nS_1/S_n = n \) with \( n > 1 \). Comparing the \( p_T \) transformation in this model with the one used in the way to search for the scaling behavior, \( p_T \rightarrow p_T/K \), we know that \( K \) gives the ratio between the degrees of string overlap for the collisions at \( \sqrt{s} \) and \( \sqrt{s'} \). For the pp (\( pp \)) collisions at CMS (CDF), \( \sqrt{s} \) is set to be 7 (1.96) TeV and \( \sqrt{s'} \) is set to be 0.9, 2.36 and 7 (0.63, 1.8 and 1.96) TeV. As described in Ref. 11, the degree of string overlap, \( nS_1/S_n \), grows with the increase of the energy scale. Thus \( K \) should also grow with the increase of the energy scale. That’s indeed what we observed in Tables I and II. And we found that in the pp (\( pp \)) collisions the degrees of string overlap at \( \sqrt{s} = 0.9 \) and 2.36 (0.63 and 1.8) TeV are about 33% and 60% (52% and 96%) of the degree of string overlap at \( \sqrt{s} = 7 \) (1.96) TeV. As a summary, with the model of percolation of strings, the scaling behavior we observed in the \( p_T \) distributions of charged hadrons produced at different energy scales is successfully explained.

FIG. 5. (a) [(b)], comparison between the normalized Tsallis and exponential scaling functions of charged hadrons in the pp (\( pp \)) collisions. The inset presents the distribution of the ratio between these two normalized scaling functions.

FIG. 6. Scaling behavior of the charged hadron \( p_T \) spectra presented in \( p_T \) in the pp collisions with different energy scales. The solid curve is described by Eq. 1. The data points are taken from 8. The inset shows the distribution of the ratio between the experimental data and the fitted results.
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