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In plants, γ-aminobutyrate (GABA), a non-protein amino acid, accumulates rapidly in response to a variety of abiotic stresses such as oxygen deficiency. Under normoxia, GABA is catabolized to succinic semialdehyde and then to succinate with the latter reaction being catalyzed by succinic semialdehyde dehydrogenase (SSADH). Complementation of an SSADH-deficient yeast mutant with an Arabidopsis cDNA library enabled the identification of a novel cDNA (designated as AtGHBDH for Arabidopsis thaliana γ-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase), which encodes a 289-amino acid polypeptide containing an NADP-binding domain. Constitutive expression of AtGHBDH in the mutant yeast enabled growth on 20 mM GABA and significantly enhanced the product of the GHBDH reaction. These data confirm that the cDNA encodes a polypeptide with GHBDH activity. Arabidopsis plants subjected to flooding-induced oxygen deficiency for up to 4 h possessed elevated concentrations of γ-hydroxybutyrate as well as GABA and alanine. RNA expression analysis revealed that GHBDH transcription was not up-regulated by oxygen deficiency. These findings suggest that GHBDH activity is regulated by the supply of succinic semialdehyde or by redox balance. It is proposed that GHBDH and SSADH activities in plants are regulated in a complementary fashion and that GHBDH and γ-hydroxybutyrate function in oxidative stress tolerance.

γ-Aminobutyrate (GABA) is a four-carbon non-protein amino acid that is present in virtually all of the prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms as a significant component of the free amino acid pool (1, 2). In bacteria, it is involved in carbon and nitrogen metabolism (3), whereas in mammals, it functions as an inhibitory neurotransmitter (4). The role of GABA in plants is uncertain; however, GABA accumulates rapidly in response to a variety of abiotic stresses such as oxygen deficiency or cold temperature (1, 2, 5, 6). These stresses initiate a signal transduction pathway in which increased cytosolic Ca2+ stimulates Ca2+/calmodulin-dependent activity of the anabolic enzyme, glutamate decarboxylase (Fig. 1). Under normoxia, GABA is catabolized via GABA transaminase (GABA-T, EC 2.6.1.19) to succinic semialdehyde (SSA), which in turn is oxidized via an NAD-dependent succinic semialdehyde dehydrogenase (SSADH, EC 1.2.2.16) to succinate. Under oxygen deficiency, SSADH activity is probably restricted by increases in reducing potential and adenylate energy charge (7, 8), thereby contributing to the accumulation of GABA.

Research on bacterial and animal systems indicates the existence of an alternative pathway for SSA catabolism to γ-hydroxybutyrate (GHB) that involves the enzyme γ-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase (GHBDH, might also be designated as succinic semialdehyde reductase, EC 1.1.1.61) (GenBankTM accession numbers AJ250267, L21902, and AAC41425) (9, 10). Mamalak (11) reviewed evidence for elevated GHB levels in mammalian tissues in response to anoxia or excessive metabolic demand and suggested that GHB functions as an endogenous protective agent when energy supplies are limited. Recently, Allan et al. (12, 13) detected GHB in plant tissues and reported that it accumulates in response to oxygen deficiency. The conversion of SSA to GHB is reductive (i.e. fermentative), and like other common fermentation reactions such as lactate and alcohol dehydrogenases (14), GHBDH may be involved in the stress tolerance of plants. In this report, we identify an Arabidopsis GHB/BDH cDNA by functional complementation of an SSADH-deficient yeast mutant in conjunction with metabolite analysis. We further demonstrate that GHB accumulation in Arabidopsis subjected to oxygen deficiency does not result from up-regulation of gene expression and propose that GHBDH activity and GHB play a role in oxidative stress tolerance.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Isolation of a Putative GHBDH cDNA from Arabidopsis by Complementation of an SSADH-deficient Yeast Mutant—A Saccharomyces cerevisiae mutant of uga2 is unable to use GABA as a nitrogen source and is defective in SSADH activity, suggesting that UGA2 is the structural gene for this enzyme (15). To demonstrate that SSADH activity is attributable to UGA2 and resides at the YBR006w locus, a ura3 uga2 mutant (strain 22641e) was transformed by a centromere-based plasmid library representing the genome of strain Y1278b (16). Several genomic clones restored normal growth to the uga2 mutant on GABA (0.1%) as the sole nitrogen source.
An Arabidopsis thaliana [L.] Heynh (Landsberg erecta ecotype) cDNA library (entire seedlings at two-leaf stage) was constructed in a yeast and transformed with the cDNA library (entire seedlings at two-leaf stage) constructed in a yeast and transformed with the cDNA expression library (19). URA3+ transformants were selected on solid SD medium (0.67% bacto-yeast nitrogen base without amino acids, 2% glucose, 2% bacto-agar) supplemented with 0.5% ammonium sulfate and 0.35 mM uracil (18), washed from each plate with liquid SD medium, and re-selected on SD medium supplemented with 20 mM GABA as the sole nitrogen source. Plasmids were isolated from selected colonies, amplified in Escherichia coli strain dH5 (pH 6.5) at 22/18 °C day/night temperature and a 11/13-h day/night photoperiod. Plants were grown individually and with sufficient spacing in seedling trays to preclude shading between adjacent plants. They were fertilized twice weekly with a half-strength modified nutrient solution (24) and subirrigated as needed with water. To investigate gene expression as a function of development and organ, rosette leaves 1–3, rosette leaves 4–6, rosette leaves 7–8, roots, flowers, and siliques were collected from three 6-week-old plants. To investigate the response to oxygen deficiency, all of the rosette leaves were harvested from six 4-week-old plants at time zero with the 24 plants remaining divided equally and randomly between two washbasins of de-ionized water. One contained sufficient water to cover the entire plants (i.e. flooded or treated plants), whereas the other did not contain water (i.e. control plants). At 2 or 4 h, leaves from six plants in each basin were quickly harvested and frozen in liquid nitrogen. For each harvest, the leaves were divided into three replicates of two plants each (mean fresh weight ± S.E. of 0.27 ± 0.06 g) and stored at –80 °C until analysis.

For metabolite analysis, the frozen leaf tissue was extracted with 80% ethanol containing 5 nmol of GHB-d6 as an internal control and the water-soluble fraction after washing with chloroform was dried in a Speedvac concentrator. The dried residue was suspended in 500 µl of de-ionized water, and then the extract was filter-sterilized through a 45-µm membrane. Analysis and quantification of GHB content were performed using a Hewlett-Packard 1100 series liquid chromatography/mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies Inc.) as described previously (12). For GABA and alanine analysis, a 100-µl aliquot of each extract was derivatized on-line with o-phthalaldehyde and separated by reversed-phase high pressure liquid chromatography using a 4.6 × 150 mm, 3.5-µm Zorbax Eclipse AAA column (Agilent Technologies Inc.) and fluorescence was detected at 340 nm essentially as described by Henderson et al. (25).

For expression analysis by relative quantification reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR), total RNA was extracted from leaf tissue using reagents in the RNeasy plant mini kit (Qiagen, Inc.). The RNA was treated with 1 unit of DNase I (MBI Fermentas) in the presence of reaction buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 10 mM MgSO4, 1 mM CaCl2), and 40 units of RNase inhibitor (MBI Fermentas) for 30 min at 37 °C to remove any contaminating genomic DNA. First strand cDNA synthesis was prepared using a two-step RT-PCR protocol as described in the Enhanced Avian RT-PCR kit (Sigma) using 1 µg of the purified RNA and 2.5 µl of random nonamers during the RT step. Two microliters of cDNA was used for each subsequent PCR reaction. The PCR protocol was as described in the QuantumRNA 18s Internal Standards kit (Ambion, Inc.). To obtain relative quantification of gene expression, 18 S ribosomal cDNA was co-amplified, producing a 315-bp fragment along with the gene of interest using an optimized primers:competimers mixture of 8:2 ratio as provided in the kit. Optimized amplification of these two genes was achieved by adding the gene-of-interest primers and primers:competimers mixture to the PCR reaction at a ratio of 5:1. The primers used to amplify GHBDDH and GABA-T (pyruvate-dependent isomerase) is described elsewhere (26) cDNAs, respectively, were as follows: 5′-ATG GAA GTA GGG TTT CTG G-3′ (forward), 5′-CAT GAA GTA GGG TTT CTG G-3′ (reverse); and 5′-ATG GAA GTA GGG TTT CTG G-3′ (forward), 5′-CAT GAA GTA GGG TTT CTG G-3′ (reverse). These primers amplify gene fragments of 490 and 428 bp for GHBDDH and GABA-T, respectively. The PCR-cycling parameters were 94 °C for 5 min, 35 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s, and a 5-min extension period at 72 °C for 5 min. Electrophoresis was performed with 1.5% agarose gels as described previously (20). Negative control reactions did not contain reverse transcriptase, whereas positive control reactions contained the cDNA of interest in a custom vector. Quantification of amplified signals were performed using Spot densitometry (Fluorchem™ 8800 Imaging System, Alpha Innotech Inc.).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Identification of an Arabidopsis GHBDDH cDNA by Yeast Complementation—Functional complementation of an NADP-dependent SSADH-deficient yeast mutant 22641c was used to isolate an Arabidopsis GHBDDH cDNA. This mutant had only approximately 10% of the SSADH activity of the wild-type strain when grown on GABA as the sole nitrogen source (2.3 and 24.8 µmol/h/mg protein, respectively) and was found to overlap the YBR006W locus (data not shown), confirming that UGA2/YBR006w is the yeast SSADH (27). The mutant was transformed with a cDNA expression library synthesized from entire seedlings of Arabidopsis, and several plasmids containing a 1032-bp cDNA were recovered. These plasmids, when reintroduced into mutant 22641c, allowed growth on GABA.
FIG. 2. \( \text{AghBDH} \) cDNA. A, deduced amino acid sequence. A putative cyclic nucleotide-binding domain is underlined, and the stop codon is marked with an asterisk. B, sequence alignment of the putative cyclic nucleotide-binding domain of \( \text{GHBH} \) with the corresponding domains of 3-hydroxyisobutyrate dehydrogenase, threonine dehydrogenase, and all of the NADP-dependent \( \text{6-PGDH} \) from Clostridium aminobutyricum (GenBank\( ^\text{TM} \) accession number Q48565), respectively. The alignment was created using LASERGENE software (DNAstar) with a gap penalty of 2.5. Preceding this motif by seven amino acids is an isoelectric point of 5.59, and a net charge of -2.88 at neutral pH (Fig. 2).

The cDNA insert in the plasmids was sequenced and found to encode a hydrophobic polypeptide, which contains 289 amino acids (Fig. 2A) and has a predicted molecular mass of 30.3 kDa, an isoelectric point of 5.59, and a net charge of -2.88 at neutral pH (Edit Sequence, DNAsat software, London, UK). The gene encoding the polypeptide has been designated \( \text{AghBDH} \) for \( \text{A. thaliana} \) \( \text{GHBH} \). A search of the GenBank\( ^\text{TM} \) database does not identify significant homology with NADP-dependent SSA reductase from rat (9) or human brain (10) and NAD-dependent \( \text{GHHBDHs} \) from Clostridium aminobutyricum (GenBank\( ^\text{TM} \) accession number AJ250267), Clostridium kluyveri (GenBank\( ^\text{TM} \) accession number L21902), or Ralstonia utropha (GenBank\( ^\text{TM} \) accession number AAC441245). However, the predicted amino acid sequence of \( \text{AtGHBH} \) does exhibit 20–33% similarity to several hypothetical and known dehydrogenases, including 3-hydroxyisobutyrate dehydrogenase, threonine dehydrogenase, and 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase from several sources (data not shown). The highest degree of similarity between \( \text{AtGHBH} \) and these dehydrogenases is found at the N terminus (Fig. 2B), a region containing a strict consensus sequence \( (\text{A/G})_{\text{XX}}\text{GL}-(\text{A/L})_{\text{XX}}\text{MG}_{\text{XX}}\text{G} \) that is typical of the dinucleotide cofactor-binding fold of many dehydrogenases (28). Preceding this motif by seven amino acids is an arginine residue that is conserved in \( \text{AtGHBH} \), threonine dehydrogenase, and all of the NADP-dependent 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenases. This residue is implicated in the specific binding of NADP. In contrast, all of the NADP-dependent 3-hydroxyisobutyrate dehydrogenases examined thus far have an aspartate residue at this position. Several other residues that have been implicated in substrate binding in 6-phosphogluconate and 3-hydroxyisobutyrate dehydrogenases appear to be strictly conserved within \( \text{AtGHBH} \). These include Val-119, Ser-120, Gly-121, Lys-168, and Asn-172 numbered according to \( \text{AtGHBH} \). Thus, the \( \text{AtGHBH} \) polypeptide appears to possess an NADP-binding domain and to belong to a family of dehydrogenases that has not been previously identified.

The wild-type yeast and the mutant 22641c expressing \( \text{AtGHBH} \) grew well on 20 mM GABA as well as 20 mM proline or \( \text{NH}_4^+ \), whereas mutant cells expressing the empty vector, pFL61, did not grow on GABA (Fig. 3). Mutant cells expressing either \( \text{AtGHBH} \) or pFL61 had significantly higher concentrations of GABA than wild-type cells (Table I). This was accompanied by higher concentrations of \( \text{GHB} \); however, the concentrations in cells expressing \( \text{AtGHBH} \) were 8-fold higher than in those expressing pFL61. Negligible GHB was synthesized by all of the strains when grown on proline or \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) as the sole nitrogen source. These experimental data confirm that the isolated \( \text{Arabidopsis} \) cDNA encodes a polypeptide with \( \text{GHBH} \) activity.

Role of \( \text{GHBH/GHB} \) in \( \text{Arabidopsis} \)—To investigate the role of \( \text{GHBH} \) in planta, we first demonstrated using relative RT-PCR that the expression of \( \text{GHBH} \) or \( \text{GABA-T} \) was similar among various plant parts (leaves 1–3, leaves 4–6, leaves 7–8, roots, flowers, and siliques) from untreated \( \text{Arabidopsis} \) plants (data not shown) and therefore independent of organ-specific and developmental regulation. We then subjected \( \text{Arabidopsis} \) plants to flooding for up to 4 h to induce oxygen deficiency and determined the expression of both genes in leaves as well as the concentrations of \( \text{GHB} \), the product of the \( \text{GHBH} \) reaction, and related metabolites (Fig. 1). The relative levels of both gene transcripts at 2 and 4 h were lower in flooded plants than in control plants (Fig. 4), whereas the concentrations of \( \text{GABA} \) and \( \text{GHB} \) as expected (5) were significantly higher in leaves of flooded plants (Fig. 5). This was accompanied by a 60% greater accumulation of GHB in flooded plants. Green tea and soybean sprouts exhibit a similar increase in the pool size of GHB during exposure to oxygen deficiency (13).

These metabolic responses can be largely attributed to: 1) a stimulation of glutamate decarboxylase activity by two mechanisms, increasing cytosolic acidification or calcium in conjunction with calmodulin and corresponding increases in \( \text{GABA} \) and alanine formation (Fig. 1) (1, 2, 6); 2) restricted SSADH activity due to altered NAD/NADH ratios (7, 8), thereby causing the accumulation of SSA and the feedback inhibition of \( \text{GABA-T} \) activity (29); and 3) the induction of alanine transaminase, an enzyme that catalyzes the formation of alanine from pyruvate and glutamate (30). Despite uncertainty regarding the relative contribution to pool size of these mechanisms, it
seems probable that a significant portion of the SSA derived form GABA under flooding-induced oxygen deficiency was converted to GHB and that this result could not be attributed to up-regulation of gene transcription.

Recent research using SSADH knock-out mutants in yeast (27) and plants (31) established that the GABA shunt plays a role in preventing the accumulation of reactive oxygen species, probably by providing a source of reducing equivalents for the maintenance of antioxidant pools or by scavenging SSA. Earlier research on mammals suggested that GHB also functions in detoxification of reactive oxygen species, probably by providing NADPH (11). Interestingly, oxygen deficiency increases the production of NADPH (32, 33) and reactive oxygen species such as superoxide and hydrogen peroxide in plants (34); however, the oxidation of NADPH as well as NADH via the mitochondrial respiratory chain is limited (34). Taken together, these findings could suggest that GHBDH and SSADH activities in plants are regulated in a complementary fashion by redox balance and that GHB functions in oxidative stress tolerance.

Other studies using anaerobic bacteria such as Clostridium sp. and R. utropha have shown that GHB is part of a fermentation pathway from SSA to 4-hydroxybutyryl-CoA, crotonyl-CoA, acetate, and butyrate (35–38). 4-Hydroxybutyryl-CoA is also a substrate for polyhydroxyalkanoic acid synthase (38, 39).

In animals, GHB serves as both product and precursor of the neurotransmitter GABA (40–42). It may also function as a neurotransmitter (43, 44). Indeed, its sedative and mood-elevating properties have contributed to its use as a recreational drug (45, 46). A better understanding of pathways associated with GHB in plants may uncover a specific function or receptor for GHB.
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