Clonal somatic copy number altered driver events inform drug sensitivity in high-grade serous ovarian cancer

Chromosomal instability is a major challenge to patient stratification and targeted drug development for high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma (HGSOC). Here we show that somatic copy number alterations (SCNAs) in frequently amplified HGSOC cancer genes significantly correlate with gene expression and methylation status. We identify five prevalent clonal driver SCNAs (chromosomal amplifications encompassing MYC, PIK3CA, CCNE1, KRAS and TERT) from multi-regional HGSOC data and reason that their strong selection should prioritise them as key biomarkers for targeted therapies. We use primary HGSOC spheroid models to test interactions between in vitro targeted therapy and SCNAs. MYC chromosomal copy number is associated with in-vitro and clinical response to paclitaxel and in-vitro response to mTORC1/2 inhibition. Activation of the mTOR survival pathway in the context of MYC-amplified HGSOC is statistically associated with increased prevalence of SCNAs in genes from the PI3K pathway. Co-occurrence of amplifications in MYC and genes from the PI3K pathway is independently observed in squamous lung cancer and triple negative breast cancer. In this work, we show that identifying co-occurrence of clonal driver SCNA genes could be used to tailor therapeutics for precision medicine.
In HGSOC, SCNA can be efficiently detected using shallow whole genome sequencing from clinical biopsies\textsuperscript{21–24}. Recent clinical trials for HGSOC or other CIN-driven tumours did not show associations between specific mutations and response to therapies\textsuperscript{25–27}. We hypothesised that characterisation of clonal SCNA in HGSOC might inform drug response and future precision medicine clinical trials to test specific and clinically relevant biomarkers for molecularly targeted therapy. Recent studies using HGSOC organoids and spheroids (cultured and uncultured clusters of primary ovarian cancer cells from HGSOC patient ascites, respectively) suggested that they could be used to predict platinum resistance\textsuperscript{28,29}. We here show that spheroids represent the genomic diversity of HGSOC and use them to assess how clonal SCNAS affecting putative HGSOC driver genes correlate with in-vitro drug response.

In this work, we identify prevalent clonal driver SCNAS and demonstrate that they can inform therapeutic response. We show co-occurrence of amplifications in \textit{MYC} and genes from the PI3K pathway in HGSOC and other CIN-driver tumours and that inhibition of the activated mTOR survival pathway in the context of \textit{MYC}-amplified tumours should be considered in future clinical trials (Fig. 1).

**Results**

**HGSOC multi-regional analysis shows clonal SCNA driver genes**

The GISTIC (\textit{"{G}enomic Identification of Significant Targets in Cancer\}) algorithm previously defined recurrent somatic copy number alterations (SCNAS) across cancers\textsuperscript{30,31}. Although strong associations have been shown previously between chromosomal copy number and gene expression\textsuperscript{14,15}, it is unclear if these associations are stronger in genes defined as cancer drivers. We hypothesised that SCNAs in chromosomal segments that include relevant cancer drivers would provide a cellular survival advantage if they were associated with gene expression changes in those relevant drivers and this was not affected by epigenetic silencing. As a consequence, the correlation between SCNA and gene expression would be stronger in cancer drivers than in non-driver genes and SCNAS would not always result in the same gene expression changes due to selection based on methylation status. We first examined the genomic data from the TCGA HGSOC cohort (Fig. 2a; grey shadowing) and found 2415 genes that were affected by amplifications or homozygous deletions in more than 5% of the cases. 156/2415 (6.5%) were putative driver genes based on external curation in the OncoKB Cancer Gene List\textsuperscript{13}. These putative SCNA driver genes showed the highest correlation between chromosomal copy number and gene expression, when compared to non-cancer genes and cancer genes from OncoKB with SCNAs in <5% of the cases (Fig. 2b). The same applies when we use different frequency thresholds (Supplementary Figure S1a). They were also more frequently hypomethylated when compared with the remaining genes in TCGA HGSOC cohort (Fig. 2c) and methylation levels were associated with the correlation between chromosomal copy number and gene expression (Fig. 2d).

We further reasoned that frequent SCNA driver events that appear early in tumour progression, here defined as clonal SCNA drivers, should be prioritised as predictive biomarkers for therapy. Therefore, we analysed 127 anatomically distinct HGSOC samples from a cohort of 30 cases with multi-regional sampling in order to time frequent SCNAs in HGSOC evolution\textsuperscript{22}. We characterized SCNA as clonal if they were present in all regions from the same individual (median of 4 samples), and subclonal if present in at least one but not all regions. Subclonal SCNAs were very common and distributed across the genome, tending
Fig. 2 | Genomic analysis of single and multi-regional HGSOC cohorts defined clonal SCNA driver genes. a Plot showing the prevalence of chromosomal alterations across the genome in both the TCGA cohort (n = 579) and in the HGSOC spheroid samples from the OV04 cohort (n = 21). For the spheroid cohort, gain was defined as 3 or 4 chromosomal adjusted copies and amplifications as ≥5 adjusted copies. Boxplots showing the Spearman’s correlation scores between gene expression and respective chromosomal copy number for each gene, split between cancer vs non-cancer genes and prevalent (>5% SCNAs in HGSOC) vs non-prevalent genes. Driver genes (in the far right; defined as ‘cancer genes’ that have SCNA alteration frequency in ≥5% of the samples) had the highest positive correlation scores. Numbers above the boxplots correspond to the p-values obtained with two-sided Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon tests. c Boxplots showing methylation levels (beta-values) for all genes, split as cancer and non-cancer genes and as prevalent (>5% SCNsAs in HGSOC) and non-prevalent genes. Prevalent non-cancer genes were significantly more methylated than prevalent cancer genes (two-sided Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon’s p-value: 0.0005). d 95% prediction confidence ellipses displaying the estimated Pearson’s correlation between the methylation levels (x-axis, normalized) and the correlation between chromosomal copy number and gene expression (y-axis, normalized) for four groups of genes defined as combinations of cancer and non-cancer genes and as prevalent and non-prevalent genes. The boxplots respectively report the same information as the ones of panels a (vertical boxplots) and b (horizontal boxplots) on the normalized scale. For each group, Pearson’s correlation estimate, p-value of the two-sided test of association between paired samples and number of genes are indicated (top right); for panels a, b and c, n = 371 independent TCGA samples, inference without multiplicity correction, and for all boxplots, the central box was defined by the quantiles 0.25, 0.5 and 0.75 of the data, and the whiskers as 1.5 times of the interquartile range. e Frequency of somatic clonal and subclonal copy number alterations across the genome of 72 tumour regions from 28 HGSOC primary tumours. Gains and losses were classified relative to ploidy (Supplementary Fig. S1b shows the genomic distribution of the frequency of somatic copy number alterations across 127 tumour regions of primary tumours and metastases from 30 HGSOC patients). The dotted line corresponds to the total number of gains and losses (clonal and subclonal).
to mirror the distribution of clonal SCNAs (Fig. 2e and Supplementary Fig. S1b) as previously described in other tumours. The distribution of focal clonal changes (e.g. gains or amplifications in 3q and 8q; Fig. 2e) most frequently encompassed the HGSOC drivers PIK3CA and MYC, as well as TERT, KRAS and CCNE1.

Copy number of clonal SCNA driver genes informs drug response

To test associations between clonal SCNA drivers and drug response, we derived primary HGSOC spheroids from human ascites obtained at different points of the treatment (Methods; Supplementary Table 1; Supplementary Fig. S2a and S3a–u). We performed targeted deep sequencing and shallow whole genome sequencing (sWGS) on 26 primary ovarian carcinoma spheroids of which 21 were HGSOC and had adequate numbers of vials for functional analysis (Methods). Mutations in known drivers (with the exception of TP53) were uncommon (Supplementary Fig. S2b). The frequency distribution of SCNAs across the genome was similar between our spheroid samples and the TCGA HGSOC cohort (Fig. 2a). Equally the comparison between genomic profiles of six spheroid samples and matched primary tumours showed a strong correlation (Supplementary Figure S2c). We identified the adjusted copy number for each clonal driver gene (which is relative to and normalised absolute copy-number (3-level ACN; de ned by the quantiles 0.25, 0.5 and 0.75 of the data, and the maximum value<0.05; Fig. 3a, b). Topoisomerase inhibition was shown to be a paclitaxel sensitizer. A higher number of 5 or more copies (Supplementary Fig. S1b) as previously described in other tumours. The distribution of focal clonal changes (e.g. gains or amplifications in 3q and 8q; Fig. 2e) most frequently encompassed the HGSOC drivers PIK3CA and MYC, as well as TERT, KRAS and CCNE1.

Copy number of clonal SCNA driver genes informs drug response

To test associations between clonal SCNA drivers and drug response, we derived primary HGSOC spheroids from human ascites obtained at different points of the treatment (Methods; Supplementary Table 1; Supplementary Fig. S2a and S3a–u). We performed targeted deep sequencing and shallow whole genome sequencing (sWGS) on 26 primary ovarian carcinoma spheroids of which 21 were HGSOC and had adequate numbers of vials for functional analysis (Methods). Mutations in known drivers (with the exception of TP53) were uncommon (Supplementary Fig. S2b). The frequency distribution of SCNAs across the genome was similar between our spheroid samples and the TCGA HGSOC cohort (Fig. 2a). Equally the comparison between genomic profiles of six spheroid samples and matched primary tumours showed a strong correlation (Supplementary Figure S2c). We identified the adjusted copy number for each clonal driver gene (which is relative to and normalised absolute copy-number (3-level ACN; defined by the quantiles 0.25, 0.5 and 0.75 of the data, and the maximum value) for MYC, PIK3CA, KRAS, CCNE1 and TERT. Two of the HGSOC samples had sequenced failure data. IC50 dots above the scale of the figure represent samples where IC50 was not determined (viability was above 50% at the maximum dose).

**Fig. 3** | Copy number of clonal SCNA driver genes informs drug response. a Scatterplot showing paclitaxel response measured by AUC (purple; left y-axis) and IC50 (pink; right y-axis) for all samples (n = 28) ordered by AUC levels. The lower bars show, for each sample, the histological diagnosis (HGSOC – high-grade serous; LGSOC – low-grade serous; CCOC – clear cell) and the normalised copy number for MYC, PIK3CA, KRAS, CCNE1 and TERT. Two of the HGSOC samples had sequenced failure data. IC50 dots above the scale of the figure represent samples where IC50 was not determined (viability was above 50% at the maximum dose). b Scatterplot and boxplots showing the associations between response to paclitaxel in vitro (measured by AUC) and MYC relative copy-number (RCN; left plot) and normalised absolute copy-number (3-level ACN; defined by the absolute numbers normalised for a diploid genome, to allow comparisons, observed in n = 18 independent HGSOC samples; right plot) One-sided test p-values corresponding to the presence of a trend (linear model Wald t-test on the left and Jonckheere-Terpstra test on the right) are indicated. Regression effect size for the correlation between MYC RCN and response to paclitaxel is −0.4. c Scatterplot showing AZD0156 (p-ATM inhibitor) response measured in each sample following the same format as in panel a. d Association between CCNE1 RCN and ACN and AZD0156 in vitro response (as in Fig. 3b); number of independent samples and statistical test identical to panel b; regression effect size of 0.4. e Two-dimensional hierarchical clustering of Z-scores for response of spheroids to each drug as observed in n = 26 samples (after exclusion of the 2 samples with extreme variability). Adjusted copy number data for each spheroid is tabulated below the heatmap. Rows are colour-coded by target pathway for each drug. f Heatmap showing the Spearman’s Rho correlation between in vitro response to different drugs observed in the HGSOC samples. Response to drugs affecting the PI3K pathway (pink) tend to be similar (high correlation values; green triangle), whilst the correlation between response to PI3K drugs and other drugs is lower (green square). The p-value corresponding to the non-parametric bootstrap test comparing these two sets of correlations is indicated. g Scatterplot showing AZD2014 (dual mTOR inhibitor) response measured in all samples following the format in Fig. 3a. h Association between MYC RCN and ACN and AZD2014 in vitro response (as in panel 2b) when including (solid line) or excluding (dashed line) samples with high (>6) CCNE1 ACN; number of independent samples and statistical test identical to panel b; regression effect size of −0.2. For all boxplots in a, d, and h, the central box was defined by the quantiles 0.25, 0.5 and 0.75 of the data, and the maximum whisker size equals 1.5 times of the interquartile range.
response to carboplatin/paclitaxel (\(p\)-value = 0.005; Supplementary Fig. S4d). This suggests that MYC amplification could be a predictive clinical biomarker of response to those drugs.

To further test the hypothesis that clonal SCNAS may determine response to molecularly targeted agents, we assessed drugs targeting the PI3K pathway, cell cycle and DNA repair mechanisms, specifically testing correlations with the copy number of the clonal SCNA drivers we had identified (MYC, PIK3CA, KRAS, CCNE1 and TERT).

CCNE1 amplification is frequently present in tumours with competent homologous recombination pathways and has been associated with platinum-based chemotherapy resistance\(^{31}\). In the context of HIRD, where co-existing CCNE1 amplification is uncommon\(^{36}\), the ATM pathway is frequently activated. Our spheroid model showed that CCNE1 amplification was associated with platinum-resistance (Supplementary Fig. S4e) and that lower CCNE1 copy number spheroids respond better to ATM inhibition (AZD0156; Fig. 3c, d and Supplementary Fig. S4e; \(p\)-value = 0.04).

Our data was also consistent with previous evidence suggesting cross-talk between mitogenic Ras/MAPK and survival PI3K/ Akt pathways\(^{37}\), as KRAS copy number was positively correlated with response to AKT inhibition (AZD3563; Supplementary Figure S4e). Additionally, signalling via the mTOR pathway has been shown to regulate translational and post-translational telomerase activity\(^{38}\) and TERT-amplified HGSOC spheroids were insusceptible to inhibition of PIK3CA, AKT and mTOR (Supplementary Figs. S4e and S4f).

Finally, despite the wide range of inter-patient response to the different drugs tested, some spheroid samples responded similarly to all the inhibitors targeting the PI3K/mTOR pathway (Fig. 3e). Pairwise comparison between responses to all drugs showed the highest correlation between inhibitors of the PI3K/mTOR pathway. This suggests that targeting any of the nodal points from this survival pathway has a similar effect on viability of HGSOC spheroids (\(p\)-value = 0.0001; Fig. 3f). Most strikingly, HGSOC spheroids with MYC-amplification were also more sensitive to dual m-TORC1/2 inhibition than tumour samples with normal MYC copy number (Fig. 3g, h, Welch \(p\)-value = 0.02; Jonckheere-Terpstra \(p\)-value = 0.08).

Considering the large number of possible combinations between gene copy-number and drug response and the limited sample size, we pre-selected five of the associations above to infer how driver SCNAS correlate to drug response (Supplementary Fig. S6a). We further performed a secondary analysis of 14 presumed associations out of 60 possible combinations (Supplementary Fig. S6b). Our results showed that, in our cohort, the associations between driver SCNA and drug response followed the expected pattern, supporting our hypothesis that clonal copy number changes in gene drivers predict drug response.

MYC-amplified HGSOCs are associated with somatic copy number aberrations in genes from the NFI/KRAS and PI3K/ AKT/ mTOR pathways and activation of the mTOR pathway

To test the association between MYC amplification and dual m-TORC1/2 inhibition, we asked whether expression of mTOR-related genes was correlated with MYC expression using the TCGA HGSOC cohort. The expression of mTOR pathway genes were strongly correlated to MYC expression (\(p\)-value = 0.02) with negative correlations for the inhibitors of the pathway RHEB and EIF4EBP1 (Fig. 4a, b). We hypothesised that activation of the mTOR pathway is required for anti-apoptotic survival mechanisms in the context of high MYC\(^{-}\). Indeed, the prevalence of SCNA affecting genes from the mTOR and RAS survival pathways were significantly higher in HGSOC cases with increased MYC copy numbers (Fig. 4c, \(p\)-value<0.0001). More specifically, amplification of PIK3CA, IGFR1, GAB2, PTK6, KRAS and AKT1/2/3, as well as deletion of NFI and PTEN (which lead to activation of the RAS and PI3K pathways, respectively) were significantly associated with MYC copy number (Fig. 4d). These data suggest that co-occurrence of SCNAS affecting these genes and MYC amplification is an evolutionary requirement.

Co-occurrence of MYC and survival PI3K/RAS SCNAS in other chromosomally unstable tumours

In order to test whether our observations in HGSOC of co-occurrence of MYC amplification with compensatory survival SCNAS could also be observed in other cancers driven by chromosomal instability\(^{39}\), we compared the genomic landscape of HGSOC (Fig. 4c) to the profile of SCNAS in TP53-mutant triple-negative breast tumours (TCGA cohort, \(n = 261\), Fig. 5a; Metabric cohort, \(n = 177\), Fig. 5b) and squamous lung cancer (TCGA cohort, 501, Fig. 5c). We detected several genes that were frequently amplified or deleted across all these tumours, in the context of MYC amplification or gain (highlighted in yellow across Figs. 4c, 5a–c; e.g. CCNE1, MCL1, PIK3CA, AKT1/2/3, RPTOR). More importantly, we found a significant increase in the SCNAS encompassing genes from the PI3K and RAS pathways, compared to other cancer genes, in the context of MYC amplification or gain in the TCGA cohorts (TCGA breast cohort \(p\)-value = 0.0001; TCGA lung cohort \(p\)-value<0.0001; Fig. 5a, c). In TP53-mutant triple-negative breast tumours from the Metabric cohort, the overall rate of SCNAS was lower. Despite the significant overlap between SCNAS affecting tumours in these three distinct organs, there was a small number of genes that were specifically amplified or deleted in certain tumours (e.g. ERBB2 amplification in TP53-mutant breast cancers and CRKL amplification or deletion of LRP1B or CDKN2B in squamous lung cancer). Interestingly, SCNAS in the majority of these “private” genes are also known to lead to the activation of the same survival mechanisms, suggesting that they are preserved across different tumours.

Discussion

The extreme genomic complexity of HGSOC has hindered stratification for testing of new molecularly targeted therapies in the clinic. Previous SCNA analyses with GISTIC identified putative HGSOC drivers but these have only had limited functional validation in clinical samples\(^{40}\). We, therefore, tested whether CIN-induced SCNAS affecting expression of HGSOC driver genes are positively selected during tumour progression and show here that the correlation between SCNA and gene expression is higher in frequently amplified HGSOC cancer genes than in non-cancer genes from the same amplicons. Equally, promoters of cancer genes are less methylated than the promoters of non-cancer genes. Overall, these data suggest that aberrantly high expression from amplified HGSOC driver genes is crucial for initiation, cellular survival and/or progression of the disease. This concept is supported by a previous in vivo screen for tumorigenic SCNA in HGSOC that identified Gab2 amplification as a driver of PI3K pathway activation and was associated with sensitivity to PI3K inhibition in cell lines\(^{41}\).

Precision medicine approaches for women with HGSOC should target therapies to early, clonal drivers, or to subclonal drivers that are present in a substantial proportion of tumour cells\(^{42}\). The use of shallow whole genome sequencing is a cost effective way to profile multiple sites. Here, we provide comprehensive evidence that the chromosomal copy number of HGSOC driver genes affected by clonal SCNAS correlates with the response to specific chemotherapeutic and targeted agents both in vitro and in patients. We showed that MYC and PIK3CA gain and amplification were amongst the most frequently clonal alterations in HGSOC progression. Deregulated MYC promotes further chromosomal instability by affecting multiple aspects of mitotic chromosome segregation\(^{43}\). As increased c-MYC levels have been associated with platinum resistance\(^{44}\), it is plausible that clinical responses to combined carboplatin and paclitaxel in MYC-amplified tumours are due to the paclitaxel effect.

Our data showed that MYC amplification or gain co-occur with SCNAS in PI3K genes which induce activation of the mTOR survival pathway.
mechanisms and that mTOR inhibition was most effective in the context of MYC-amplified HGSOC samples. Myc-regulated protein synthesis is modulated by the mTOR-dependent phosphorylation of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E binding protein-1 (4EBP1), which is required for cancer cell survival in Myc-dependent tumours. Inhibition of mTOR has been shown to be synthetically lethal in MYC-driven haematological tumours. Intriguingly, a complete response was previously observed in a patient with recurrent HGSOC during therapy with paclitaxel and the dual mTORC1/2 inhibitor vistusertib (AZD2014) in tumour lesions with a mutation in MYC. The non-synonymous mutation was within the Myc homology-box 2 (MBII) domain which is a highly conserved region across species and myc.

isomers, suggesting increased dependence on MYC activation in this patient. Overexpression of c-myc induces apoptosis, which was previously shown to be reverted by overexpression of IGF-1, most likely through activation of the PIK3CA/AKT/mTOR survival pathway.

By demonstrating that other CIN-driven malignancies (e.g. triple negative breast cancer and squamous non-small-cell lung carcinoma) have similar genomic landscapes to HGSOC, our data suggest that survival mechanisms active in HGSOC are present across other CIN-driven tumours. This is corroborated by a recent study analysing the functional evolutionary dependencies in cancer. In this study, co-alteration of PIK3CA and the nuclear factor NFE2L2 (whose transcription is partly regulated by MYC) was a synergistic evolutionary trajectory in squamous cell carcinomas.

Without focusing on the validation of individual clinical biomarkers, we have shown that vigorous identification of early/clonal driver SCNAS and understanding how the gene expression changes in important driver genes impacted by the SCNA affect cell fitness, proliferation and survival, may provide important clues to understand drug response. Low-depth whole-genome sequencing is becoming increasingly affordable and available. This work supports the routine use of these genomic tools and critically the importance of multi-region sampling to infer SCNA clonality for precision medicine trials in HGSOC and other CIN-driven malignancies.

Methods

Clinical samples and data

The patient samples were obtained from the CTCR-OV04 study, which is a prospective non-interventional cohort study approved by the local research ethics committee at Addenbrooke’s Hospital, Cambridge, UK. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients, and blood samples were collected before and after initiation of treatment with surgery or chemotherapeutic agents.

Cohort 1

We obtained spheroids with primary tumour cells from ascites of twenty-eight patients with ovarian carcinoma that were recruited as part of the OV04 study in Cambridge University Hospitals. Twenty-three HGSOC samples from twenty-two patients were obtained (there were two matched samples from the same patient obtained before and after administration of a chemotherapeutic regimen).

Cohort 2

Solid tumour samples were collected from 64 patients in Cambridge University Hospitals as part of the OV04 study (six of the tumour samples were taken from the same patients that also provided spheroid samples). Samples were handled on ice and processed as soon as possible after surgery. Tumour tissues were fixed for 24 h in 10% neutral buffered formalin (NBF) before being transferred to 70% ethanol and embedded in paraffin.

Spheroid isolation

Ascitic fluid was collected from patients with the volume ranging between 100–2000 mL volume. The fluid was centrifuged at 800 g for 5 min and the majority of the supernatant was removed. Blood clots were removed using a butter muslin cloth and th e remaining sample was passed through a 40 µm filter. Spheroids were then recovered after 10 ml wash with PBS and centrifugation at 400 g for 5 min. Next the spheroid fraction was divided in two. One portion of the cell pellet was utilised for DNA extraction. The other portion of the cells were resuspended in filtered acellular ascitic supernatant and 8% DMSO, transferred to freezing vials and kept in liquid nitrogen. Cells were thawed and kept in media at 37 °C for 12 h before drug screening was performed. Purity of spheroids was both assessed semi-quantitatively through a pathologist review and/or quantitatively inferred from the TP53 allele frequency.

Ex-vivo drug response/Spheroid assays

An 8-point half-log dilution series of each compound was dispensed into 384 well plates using an Echo® 550 acoustic liquid handler instrument (Labcyte) and kept at –20 °C until used. Prior to use plates were span down. 50 µl of spheroid suspension were added per well using a Multidrop™ Combi Reagent Dispenser (Thermo-Fisher). For each sample, the same vial was used to load both control and test wells, to control for spheroid size and cell density. Following 5 days of drug incubation, a cell viability assay using 30 µl of CellTiter-Glo® (Promega) was performed. We performed technical triplicates. Due to limited sample availability, biological replicates were only performed in selected samples.

DNA extraction from FFPE samples

Multiple sections at 10 µm thickness were cut for each FFPE sample depending on tissue size and tumour cellularity. Tumour areas were
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**Fig. 4** | MYC-amplified HGSOCs are associated with SCNAS in genes from the NF1/KRAS and PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathways and activation of the mTOR pathway. a Boxplots showing the Pearson’s correlation coefficient between the gene expression of all genes and the one of MYC, for genes belonging (n = 11) or not belonging (n = 17638) to the mTOR signalling pathway, based on BioPlanet annotation. The latter group showed, on average, higher correlation estimates compared to the other group (two-sided Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test). b Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) enrichment scores showing enrichment of mTOR signalling pathway genes in MYC-high tumours. The vertical pink lines represent the projection of individual genes from the mTOR pathway onto the gene list ranked by MYC expression level. The curve in blue corresponds to the calculation of the enrichment score (ES) following a standard two-sided GSEA. The more the blue ES
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marked by a pathologist on separate Haematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) stained sections to guide microdissection for DNA extraction. Tumour areas from unstained tissue sections were scraped off and dewaxed in 1 ml of xylene, followed by washing in 100% ethanol. After residual ethanol was evaporated (10 min at 37 °C), DNA extraction was performed using the AllPrep DNA/RNA FFPE Kit (Qiagen). DNA was eluted in 40 µl kit ATE elution buffer and quantified using Qubit quantification (Thermofisher, Q32851).

**Genomic profiling**
DNA extraction was performed using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (QIAGEN) according to manufacturer’s instructions. DNA samples
were diluted to 75 ng in 15 μl of PCR certified water for subsequent shearing by sonication using the LE220-plus Focused-Ultrasonicator (Covaris) for 120 s at RT (duty factor 30%; Peak incident power 180 W; 50 cycles per burst) with a target of 200–250 bp. Library preparation was subsequently carried out using the SMARTer Thruplex DNA-Seq kit (Takara) with each sample undergoing 7 PCR cycles for library amplification and sample indexing. sWGS libraries were cleaned using AMPure beads, according to the manufacturer’s recommendations, and eluted in 20 μl TE buffer. Quality and quantity of SWGS libraries were assessed using a D5000 genomic DNA ScreenTape (Agilent, G2991AA). Libraries were pooled and sequenced using the Paired-End 50 mode and S1 flowcell on the NovaSeq. Genomes were aligned to the GRCh37 reference genome and relative copy number data was obtained using the qDNAseq package (24).

Tagged-amplicon sequencing (TAm-Seq)
Targeted sequencing of 697 amplicons in BRCAL, BRC2, RADSIC, RAD51B, RAD51D, BRIP1, PALB2, LANE, TP53, PTEN, EGFR, CDK12, hot spots: BRAF, KRAS, PIK3CA, POLE, CTNNB1, NRAS genes was performed using Fluidigm Access Array 48.48 platform as described previously (31–33). No preamplification step was applied. 50 ng of DNA was used as the library input. All libraries were pooled and quantified using Agilent 4200 Tapestation according to the supplier’s recommendations (DI000). Libraries for all samples were prepped, sequenced and analysed in duplicates. Prepped libraries were sequenced using PE-150 mode on Illumina HiSeq4000 aiming for 500–1000X depth. For curation of TAM-seq data, we estimated the drug response and adjusted copy number

\[
\text{Gain} : \text{raw total } CN > \log_2 \left( \frac{2.5}{2} \right)
\]

\[
\text{Loss} : \text{raw total } CN < \log_2 \left( \frac{1.5}{2} \right)
\]

Clonal loss was defined as a gain event detected in all samples per patient, while subclonal gain events were present in a subset of the samples. Clonal loss is defined as an LOH event or loss event relative to ploidy detected in all samples from a patient. Alternatively, the loss events present in a subset of patients were called subclonal.

Statistics & reproducibility
All statistical tests were two sided, unless stated otherwise.

Sample size. The sample size was determined by the number of available spheroids at the time of analysis. An ‘effect size’ analysis was performed and determined that the available sample size was able to detect biologically meaningful associations between in-vitro and in vivo drug response (slope coefficient) by means of one-sided tests (without multiplicity correction) with a probability/power greater than 0.8.

Data exclusions. There were a total of n = 28 spheroid ovarian carcinoma samples (23 of which were HGSOC; 2 of HGSOC samples had failed sequencing). For associations between clonal SCNAs and drug response, we excluded non-HGSOC spheroid samples (n = 5), samples with failed sequencing data (n = 2), samples with extreme variability in the in-vitro studies (n = 2) and, in statistical analyses requiring independence between observations, the second of the two samples of patient 409.

Replication. We have performed technical triplicates in the drug response assays and, in samples with enough biological material, biological duplicates. All attempts were successful.

Randomization. In the drug response assays, the edge wells were left empty to prevent edge effects. Analysis of the data didn’t suggest the presence of a pattern induced by the mapping of the drug. Randomization was not felt necessary since both spheroids and drugs were dispensed by automated devices.

Blinding. The standard blinding is not applicable in this study considering retrospective clinical data. However, blinding to the experimental was kept during data collection and data analysis.

a/ Experimental design for the analysis of associations between drug response and adjusted copy number. We selected 12 drugs, four of which were representative of conventional chemotherapeutic agents and eight new targeted inhibitors. The 8 new compounds were or RAS pathways based on the Reactome definition. The boxplots (right panel) show, for both PDK/RAS and other cancer genes, the difference between the frequency of cancer genes SCNAs in tumours with and without MYC amplification or gain. The p-value of the one-sided permutation test of equality of means is indicated. A list of known driver genes is also presented across all plots – the genes are highlighted in yellow if they are recognised GISTIC drivers in each specific tumour. For all boxplots (a, b and c), the central box was defined by the quantiles 0.25, 0.5 and 0.75 of the data, and the maximum whisker size equals 1.5 times of the interquartile range (inference without multiplicity correction).

Clonality of SCNA events in multiregional whole genomes from primary tumours and metastases in HGSOS
We analysed the clonality of copy number events in a cohort of 30 high-grade serous ovarian cancer patients, using multiregional whole-genome sequencing (23,25). Allele-specific copy number and ploidy estimates for 127 regions were derived using the tool for allele-specific and clone-specific copy number estimation, RemixT (23,25). For each patient, we defined a tumour consensus copy number profile and masked low-mappability and sequencing-inaccessible regions. We next calculated non-allele-specific raw copy numbers using the major and minor raw copy number values normalized by ploidy using Eq. (1).

\[
\text{raw total } CN = \sum \log_2 \left( \frac{\text{raw minor } + \text{raw major}}{\text{ploidy}} \right)
\]
selected based on their ability to impair DNA repair and the PI3K pathway, which are well known to be crucial for HGSOC survival.

We aimed to test the impact of clonal alterations in drug response. Considering our limited sample size, we initially tested the impact of multiple testing and sampling error prior to our experimental analysis using a simulation study that considered 20 patients, 12 drugs and 5–10 copy number changes. This showed that, when generating data under the null hypothesis (i.e., assuming independence between drug response and gene copy number), absolute Pearson correlation estimates of all pairwise ‘drug and gene’ combinations would range, on average, between 0.0–0.6. Considering the large probability of observing spurious relationships between gene copy number and drug response, we decided to only focus on five robust associations based on the literature. They correspond to associations well established in previous screens (high MYC – response to Paclitaxel; high KRAS – response to Doxorubicin) or that could be predicted based on the known functional impact of the specific SCNAS (high CCNE1 – resistance to pATM inhibitor; high MYC – response to mTOR; high TERT – response to mTOR).

We also performed a secondary analysis using an extended this list of 14 gene-drug associations supported by previous studies (Details in Supplementary Material and Supplementary Fig. S6).

b/ Test of equality of the location parameter between two populations. In the Figs. 2b, c and 4a, we used Wilcoxon rank sum non-parametric tests (also known as Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon tests) with continuity correction (wilcox.test function of the stats R package) to assess if the location shift between two populations is different from 0. Similar conclusions were obtained when considering Welch t-tests (t.test function of the stats R package) and median test (median test function of the coin R package). Figure S1a repeats the analysis of Fig. 2b for different threshold values for prevalence of altered versus non-altered cancer genes over the range of interest (between 0 and 0.1).

In the Figs. 4c, 5a–c, we used one-sided permutation tests (considering R = 10'000 resampling of the TCGA or METABRIC patients) to assess if the mean of the differences (in %) of ‘amplification or gain’ or ‘loss’ (reverse scale) levels of tumours with or without MYC gain or amplification are different for cancer genes ref. 49 belonging or not to the Reactome PI3K or RAS pathways. Triple-negative breast tumours from TCGA cohort (Fig. 5a) were selected based on the Code 8500/3 from the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, Third Edition ICD-O-3 Histology Code. Triple-negative breast tumours from Metabric cohort (Fig. 5b) were selected based on negativity for ER, PR and HER2 status.

c/ Estimates, test and representation of the association between paired samples. In the Fig. 2b, we estimated the Spearman’s correlation coefficient (cor function of the stats R package) between normalised gene expression (obtained with the function cpm of the edgeR R Bioconductor package) and respective chromosomal copy number for each gene in the HGSOC TCGA database. Similar conclusions were obtained when considering Pearson’s product moment correlation estimates.

In the Fig. 2d, we used the Pearson’s product moment correlation coefficient test (function cor.test of the stats R package), to assess if the level of association between 2 variables is different from 0 (similar conclusions obtained when considering Spearman correlation tests). In the Fig. 2d, the covariance/correlation matrix and the vector of means were normalised (by considering the quantities of a standard normal distribution corresponding to the observed probability point of each gene) since they are the sufficient statistics of the bivariate normal distribution. Representation of the level of association between the normalised variables of interest was then obtained by displaying ellipses corresponding to the quantile 0.95 of the bivariate normal distributions of interest.

In the Fig. 3f, the association between the ex-vivo response to paired drugs was defined by means of Spearman rank-based correlation (cor function of the stats R package). We estimated (i) the level of association between ex-vivo response to each pair of drugs affecting the PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway, (ii) the level of association considering all paired drugs, including one drug from this PI3K/Akt/mTOR group and one from another group, and compared their mean by means of a non-parametric bootstrap test considering 25000 samples (the corresponding p-value is indicated).

In the Fig. 4a, we estimated the Pearson’s product moment correlation coefficient (cor function of the stats R package) between expression of MYC and expression of all the other genes from the HGSOC TCGA cohort.

In the Fig. S2c, we estimated the Spearman’s correlation coefficient (cor function of the stats R package) between the relative copy number of the different genomic segments in tumour samples and in matched spheroid.

d/ Response to treatment estimates. In Fig. S3a–u, S4c and S4d, response to treatment was estimated using area under the curve (AUC) and IC50.

Drug response measures were standardised by dividing the original values by the median drug response observed in the control group of each drug and sample. These standardised drug response measures were then modelled as a function of the dose (on the log scale) by means of a 4th degree polynomial robust regression, fitted by means of the function lmrob of the R package robustbase. Drug response measures that obtained a robust weights smaller than 0.4 (out of a range which spreads from 0 for outliers to 1 for non-outliers) were considered as outliers. After excluding outliers, we modelled the standardised drug response measures as a function of the dose (on the log scale) by means of M-splines. AUC and IC50 were estimated using the l-splines (which correspond to the integrals of M-splines). The alternative use of a five-parameter log-logistic fit (drm function of the drc R package with function LL2.5) led to similar AUC and IC50 estimates.

e/ Linear relationship between continuous variables with independent samples. In the left plots from the Figs. 3b, d, h and S4c, we used linear regressions, fitted by means of the function lm of the stats R package, to model the relationship between drug response (AUC) and MYC relative copy number (on the log scale). The p-values of the one-sided Wald t-test corresponding to the slope parameter of each fit are indicated. Corresponding linear model effect sizes (corresponding to the parameters of standardised predictors) were estimated by means of the function effectsize function of the R package effectsize.

In the left plot of the Fig. 3h, the trend between drug response and MYC relative copy number was also fitted when excluding the two observations corresponding to samples with high CCNE1 amplification (ACN > 6; patients 466 and 788). The direction of the relationship was pre-specified.

We only considered the first sample for patient 409, since the linear model requires independent observations. Equally, the samples from patients 720 and 875 were excluded from these analyses due to extreme variability in the results demonstrated by the high standard deviations in the (standardised) drug responses of the control group (Figures S3a–u).

f/ Trend test between an ordinal and a continuous variable. In the right plots of Figs. 3b, d and h, we used one-sided Jonckheere-Terpstra tests (jonckheere.test function of the R package clinfun), to test for ordered differences in drug response between the 3levels of the gene
adjusted copy number variable (2, 3 and 4+), assuming the same trend direction as in the plots on the left.

In Fig. 3h and S4c, we used Student's t-tests, (t.test function of the stats R package), to investigate a difference in means between two levels of KRAS and MYC ACN. The second sample of patient 409 and the samples of patients 720 and 875 were excluded from these analyses for the same reasons described above.

In Fig. S5a and S5b, we used an ordinal regression (clm function of the ordinal R package) as well as Jonckheere-Terpstra test (jonckheere-terpstra function of the clinfun R package) to assess the level of association between the ordinal CT responses and different measures of changes in CA125 during a period of interest. Figure S5b focuses on the difference in CA125 on the log2 scale before and after treatment.

g/ Linear relationship between continuous variables with dependent samples. As patients typically have several lines of treatment and as their responses to treatment are likely not independent, linear mixed models with patients as random effects (to take the within-patient dependence into account) were used in analyses related to the clinical drug response. Models were fitted by means of restricted maximum likelihood (REML; lme function of the nlme R package).

In Fig. S5f, a heteroscedastic mixed linear model with [i] patients as random effects, [ii] AUC, line number (as a three-level factor: 1, 2 and 3+) and the interaction between AUC and line number as fixed effects and [iii] residuals as a power function of the duration of the chemotherapy cycle (to account for the fact that the variability increases with duration) was fitted. The Table on the bottom right of Fig. S5f shows the p-values obtained when comparing the slope of each line number (rows) to 0 (no relationship between ex-vivo and clinical response) and other line numbers (pairwise comparisons) using a multiplicity correction taking the dependence between these tests into account, as implemented in the function glm of the multcomp R package.

In Fig. S5g considers the same analysis as Fig. S4f but uses data ignoring changes in CA125 occurring after surgery by either considering the changes in CA125 from one month post-surgery, or only pre-surgery changes in CA125, whichever the longest (number of days).

In Fig. S4d, random intercept models, considering patients as random effects and MYC relative copy number (on the log scale) as the fixed effect were fitted with or without controlling for tumour purity (obtained from TP53 mutant allele frequency). The p-values indicated correspond to the Wald t-test of the slope parameter obtained with or without tumour purity in the model.

h/ Copy number frequency plots. The copy number frequency plots in the Figs. 2a, 4c, 5a–c were obtained by computing the percentage of [i] homozygous loss, [ii] heterozygous loss, [iii] gain and [iv] amplification for the subset of samples of interest (all TCGA or spheroids samples in Fig. 2a; for samples showing MYC gain or amplification or neither of both in Figs. 4c, 5a–c). Amplification (homozygous loss) and combined gain and amplification (homozygous and heterozygous losses) were displayed for each gene and subset of interest. Considering a log2 relationship between relative and adjusted copy number, we used adjusted copy numbers of 0.5, 1.5, 2.5 and 4.5 (Fig. S4a) to define the limits of the subgroups in the spheroid cohort from Fig. 2a. In the Figs. 2a, 4c, 5a–c, we considered the absolute copy number available for the TCGA and METABRIC.

i/ Differential gene expression analysis. In the Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (Fig. 4b), we obtained a list of ranked genes according to the t-statistic corresponding to the change in mean gene expression intensities between HGSOOC TCGA samples with MYC-low and MYC-high (lower and upper quartile of MYC expression respectively). We discarded 6522 out of 24165 genes with low counts across all samples (counts-per-million below 0.5 in more than 90% of the samples; from the cpm function of the edgeR R Bioconductor package). Differential expression analysis between the samples with low (smaller than the 1st quartile) and high (greater than the 3rd quartile) MYC gene expression levels was performed using the voom function of the edgeR R Bioconductor package (with default options).

j/ Gene set enrichment analysis. To assessed whether the up-regulated genes in MYC-high compared to MYC-low tumours were enriched for mTOR pathway genes, we obtained a list of genes belonging to the mTOR signalling pathway from the Bioplanet database (https://tripod.nih.gov/bioplanet/), the pathway “Mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1)-mediated signalling”) and ran a gene set enrichment analysis (fgsea function of the R Bioconductor package fgsea; Fig. 4b), using the mTOR gene list and the list of ranked genes of the differential gene expression analysis described above, to obtain the enrichment score estimate and corresponding p-value.

k/ Clustering analyses. In Fig. 3e, f and S4e, we used hierarchical clustering to group samples, drugs or genes. In the Figs. 3e and S4e, samples, drugs and genes were grouped according to a ‘complete’ hierarchical clustering based on correlation distances (pheatmap function of the pheatmap R package and hclust function of the stats R package).

In Fig. 3f, the drugs were grouped according to a ‘complete’ hierarchical clustering based on euclidian distances defined on the drug Spearman’s correlation matrix (hclust function of the stats R package).

l/ Tests of association of categorical or ordered factors. In Fig. 4d, we present the association between the absolute copy number (ACN) of selected genes and the ACN of MYC in two different way. Firstly, we considered the ACN vectors as non-ordered factors and used the Chi-square tests (chisq.test function of the stats R package). Secondly, we considered them as ordered factors and used the generalized Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel tests of association of ordered factors (CMRtest function of the vcdExtra R package).

m/ Tests of equality of continuous one-dimensional probability distributions. In Figure S6a, we compare the empirical distribution functions of the p-values related to the analyses of Figs. 3b, d, h, S4c and S4f, to the uniform distribution by means of QQ-plots and formally test if these two distributions are different by means of Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests (ks.test function of the stats R package). As sensitivity analysis, we compare the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test p-value obtained when considering the original list of 5 drug - gene copy number associations (horizontal coloured line) with the p-values obtained a similar way when considering 1000 random sets of drug response - gene copy number associations (boxplot) generated by randomly selecting genes. Figures S6b consider the same analysis with an extended set of 14 drug - gene copy number associations.

Reporting summary Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability The shallow whole genome sequencing data and amplicon sequencing data for the 26 ovarian cancer patients analysed in this study have been deposited at the European Genome-Phenome Archive (hosted by the EBI) under accession number EGAD00001008716. Data is available under restricted access so that the use of human data is kept traceable. Please contact the corresponding author directly for access requests.

Sequencing data regarding retrospective studies (TCGA HGSOCC, TCGA breast, TCGA lung and Metabric) are available for download through the Cbioportal platform [https://www.cbioportal.org] at the following links:
Code availability
All data and code allowing reproducibility of all figures were shared in Zenodo [https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6981371]19.
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