The predictive value of high-density lipoprotein for ocular metastases in colorectal cancer patients

Purpose: Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a common tumor of the digestive tract that tends to metastasize and leads to high mortality. Ocular metastases (OM) from colorectal cancer are being increasingly diagnosed, and they can lead to a poor prognosis. Serum lipids are a known risk factor for cardiovascular disease, and are also relevant to the occurrence of CRC. In this study, we examined the levels of serum lipids and tried to determine whether there were correlations with the occurrence of OM in patients with colorectal cancer, in order to determine whether serum lipid levels may be a risk factor for OM in this patient population.

Patients and methods: Records from a total of 703 patients treated for colorectal cancer from August 2005 to August 2017 were involved in this study. Student's t-tests, nonparametric rank sum tests, and Chi-square tests were applied to describe whether there were significant differences between the OM group and non-ocular metastases (NOM) group. We used binary logistic regression analysis to determine the risk factors and receiver operating curve (ROC) analyses to assess the diagnostic value for OM in CRC patients.

Results: There were no significant differences in gender, age, histopathology type, or tumor classifications between the OM and NOM groups. The levels of serum TC, HDL, and LDL were significantly different between patients with and without lymph node metastases as well as male and female patients. The OM group had higher serum HDL levels compared to the NOM group. Binary logistic regression indicated that HDL was a risk factor for OM in colorectal cancer patients. The ROC curves showed that the AUC of HDL was 0.660. The cutoff value of HDL was 1.27 mmol/L, with a sensitivity of 0.619 and a specificity of 0.650.

Conclusion: HDL levels are correlated with ocular metastases in colorectal cancer patients.

Keywords: high-density lipoprotein, ocular metastases, colorectal cancer, independent risk factor

Introduction

As the third most frequently diagnosed neoplasm, colorectal cancer (CRC) is the main cause of cancer mortality in many countries.1,2 Studies have shown that dietary intake and physical activity are closely related to the incidence of CRC.3 As a result of modern Asian dietary patterns, the morbidity of CRC is increasingly high.4

Metastatic disease occurs frequently in colorectal cancer patients, with lesions found in the liver (77%), peritoneum (25%), and lungs (22%) most frequently.5 Until recently, ocular metastases (OM) were considered to be rare in CRC patients. However, it appears that OM are becoming increasingly common.6 Moreover, these OM can produce symptoms such as eye pain, peculiar ocular sensations, blurred vision, and visual field defects, severely affecting the patients' quality of life.7 Given this, it is important to diagnose OM when they occur.
Currently, several methods are utilized to diagnose CRC, including stool tests that function as a preliminary screen for these cancers; endoscopy (flexible sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy) for visual inspection/biopsy and tissue diagnosis; radiological studies such as CT colonography or double-contrast barium enemas to identify precancerous tumors. However, the majority of these methods are invasive, time-consuming and have low or limited sensitivity. Development of a simple noninvasive but sensitive diagnostic technique would be of great benefit to clinical medicine, and it could promote better patient acceptance of colon cancer screening.

Blood lipid levels have been of great concern ever since their epidemiologic relationships to cardiovascular disease were established. But recently Zhang et al. found that lipid levels in the serum and neoplastic tissue of CRC patients may be relevant to the onset and advancement of their tumors. Animal experiments have demonstrated that some kinds of serum lipids can affect the growth of tumors via modulating immune cell function. Moreover, serum lipid levels have also been used to clinically assess the prognosis of several cancers. Several studies have shown associations between hyperlipidemia and risks of CRC, and it is known that cholesterol plays a crucial role in cancer metabolism. However, whether there is a correlation between OM and serum lipid levels in colorectal cancer patients remains uncertain.

In the present investigation, we conducted a retrospective chart review to begin to determine whether there is a relationship between serum lipid levels and ocular metastases in CRC patients, and also to begin to elucidate possible risk factors for developing OM.

Materials and methods

Study design

This study was performed with the approval of the medical ethics committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University. The methods used in this study were guided by the relevant guidelines and regulations, and the study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. A consecutive series of patients treated between August 2005 and August 2017 for unequivocal diagnoses of CRC were qualified and became subjects of the study. Their medical records were compiled and reviewed. Secondary colorectal cancer patients were excluded from the study. Both the OM and NOM patients had diagnoses of CRC that had been confirmed by histopathological findings from surgical resections or biopsy samples. The OM lesions were identified by CT or MRI scans. The exclusion criteria for the OM group included: 1) patients with primary ocular malignant tumors; 2) ocular benign tumors; 3) colorectal cancer patients with only other distant metastases (eg, lung, bone, liver, or brain). NOM patients were excluded ocular lesion by eye tests.

Data collection

Relevant clinical data were collected retrospectively from each subject’s medical records. The relevant information included age, gender, histopathologic types, tumor classification, metastatic sites, domiciles of patients, and the levels of serum lipids detected, including total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), and low-density lipoprotein (LDL). All these parameters were collected at the time that the initial diagnosis of colorectal cancer was made.

Statistical analysis

Student’s t-tests, nonparametric rank sum tests (Mann-Whitney tests), and Chi-square tests were utilized to determine whether there were significant differences in the clinical features between the OM and NOM patients. Then binary logistic regression models were built to clarify the independent risk factors for ocular metastases. In order to estimate the accuracy of the OM predictions, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were calculated and the areas under the curves (AUC) were calculated. A P-value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 18.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), MedCalc18.6.0 statistical software (MedCalc, Ostend, Belgium), and Excel 2010 software (Excel, Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA). Continuous data were displayed in a form of means ± SD.

Results

Demographics and clinical characteristics

The records of 703 patients (431 men and 272 women) were reviewed in this study, representing 21 cases of OM (16 with orbital metastases and 5 with intraocular metastases) and 682 cases of NOM. There were no significant differences between the OM and NOM groups with respect to gender, age, histopathology type, or tumor classification by Chi-square test and nonparametric rank sum tests. The average ages of the OM and NOM cases were
similar, at 57.6±12.1 and 58.6±13.5 years, respectively. Most of the subjects were diagnosed with adenocarcinomas (564 cases, 80.2%). None of the subjects with OM had lymph node metastases (LNM). The detailed clinical features of all subjects in the study are shown in Table 1. Figure 1 shows that most of the patients were from Jiangxi Province and nearly half of them were from the provincial capital of Nanchang. Some patients developed metastases to organs other than the eyes, with liver, lung, and brain being the three most common sites.

Differences of the clinical features and the risk factors of ocular metastases

Because none of the subjects with OM in this study had LNM, we divided the entire cohort into a LNM (+) group and non-lymph node metastases (NLNM) group, and found that the mean levels of TC, HDL, and LDL were significantly different between the two groups. There were also significant differences based on gender (Table 2). Moreover, significantly higher HDL levels occurred in OM than NOM subjects (Table 3) who were males, had NLNM, or were males with non-lymph node metastases, or were included in the whole group. However, in the female subjects, there were no significant differences between the OM and NOM subgroups. Binary logistic regression modeling showed that HDL was an independent risk factor for OM. The detailed result is shown in Table 4.

The cut-off value, AUC, sensitivity and specificity of HDL for diagnosing ocular metastases

As shown in Figure 2, the ROC curves shared the same cut-off value, which was 1.27 mmol/L for HDL regardless of the method of classification. For the NLNM + men, the AUC was 0.699 for HDL, which was the most accurate differentiating factor found for the OM and NOM subjects, with a sensitivity and specificity of 0.562 and 0.740, respectively. The calculations for the whole sample led to an AUC of 0.660, with a sensitivity of 0.619 and a specificity of 0.650. All these results were statistically significant.

Discussion

Colorectal cancer is one of the most common cancers worldwide, accounting for about 8.5% of all cancer mortality and 10% of new cases. Once metastasis of a colorectal cancer has occurred, the disease is usually fatal. Therefore, failure to detect and treat the cancer in a timely fashion can result in high mortality. About 20% of CRC patients have metastases

| Patient characteristics | OM group (%) (n=21) | NOM group (%) (n=682) | Total number of patients (%) (n=703) | P-value |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| Genderb                 |                     |                       |                                     |         |
| Male                    | 16 (76.2)           | 415 (60.9)            | 431 (61.3)                          | 0.155   |
| Female                  | 5 (23.8)            | 267 (39.1)            | 272 (38.7)                          |         |
| Age (years)c            |                     |                       |                                     |         |
| Mean                    | 57.6±12.1           | 58.6±13.5             | 58.5±13.5                           | 0.751   |
| Histopathological typeb |                     |                       |                                     |         |
| Adenocarcinoma          | 15 (71.4)           | 549 (80.5)            | 564 (80.2)                          | 0.267   |
| Mucinous adenocarcinoma | 2 (9.5)             | 75 (11.0)             | 77 (11.0)                           |         |
| Signet-ring cell carcinoma | 0 (0.0)              | 7 (1.0)              | 7 (1.0)                            |         |
| Unknown                 | 4 (19.1)            | 51 (7.5)              | 55 (7.8)                            |         |
| Tumor classificationb   |                     |                       |                                     |         |
| Colon cancer            | 9 (42.9)            | 302 (44.3)            | 311 (44.2)                          | 0.200   |
| Rectal cancer           | 10 (47.6)           | 361 (52.9)            | 371 (52.8)                          |         |
| Unknown                 | 2 (9.5)             | 19 (2.8)              | 21 (3.0)                            |         |
| Lymph node metastasis   |                     |                       |                                     |         |
| With                    | 0                   | 250                   | 250                                 |         |
| Without                 | 21                  | 432                   | 453                                 |         |

Notes: OM group included 16 orbital metastasis cases and 5 intraocular metastasis cases. Chi-square test was used. Nonparametric sum up test was used. Comparison between OM group and NOM metastases group. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Abbreviations: OM, ocular metastasis; NOM, non-ocular metastasis.
when their diagnosis is made, and 35–45% of patients with apparently non-metastatic disease relapse within 5 years after surgery. Most of these patients with recurrences had undiscovered preoperative metastases. These studies suggest that the underlying cause of a failure to make an early diagnosis of CRC metastases is a lack of sensitivity of the screening protocols.

The incidence rates of ocular metastases from CRC are low compared to those of liver and lung metastases, but it appears that diagnoses of ocular lesions are becoming increasingly common. In 2004, Linares et al reported the case of a 47 year-old male with bilateral choroidal metastases as the initial manifestation of a rectal cancer. In 2008, Kuo et al reported a 65 year-old woman with a subfoveal choroidal metastases from a colorectal adenocarcinoma. Moreover, OM at that time was a sign of a poor prognosis for patients with various types of cancer. According to a study by Shields et al of 420 patients in 1997, ocular metastases occurred in 196 patients with breast cancer (47%), 90 with lung cancer (21%), 18 with gastrointestinal neoplasms (4%), 9 with kidney cancer (2%), 9 with skin cancers (2%), 9 with prostate cancer (2%), and 16 from primary cancer.

**Figure 1** Clinical features of colorectal cancer patients involved.
cancers of other sites (4%). The diagnostic techniques commonly available today such as CT and MRI are time-consuming and expensive, and have limited sensitivity and specificity. Therefore, early diagnosis of ocular metastases occurring in CRC patients is not easy, but nevertheless it is rather important. Techniques to detect serum tumor markers have advantages including repeatability, noninvasiveness, and low cost. At this point, the use of serum lipid levels as risk factors for different types of cancer have been investigated, and the results have indicated that as screening tests these levels may have some utility (Table 5). Given these findings of correlations between blood lipids and cancer, we

Table 2 The differences of serum lipids between patients in different clinical characteristics

| Clinical characteristics       | TC (mmol/L) | TG (mmol/L) | HDL (mmol/L) | LDL (mmol/L) |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| Lymph node metastasis         |             |             |              |              |
| LNM group (n=250)             | 4.39±1.03   | 1.24±0.59   | 1.24±0.37    | 2.66±0.87    |
| NLNM group (n=453)            | 4.15±0.96   | 1.28±1.68   | 1.17±0.37    | 2.49±0.78    |
| t                             | 3.143       | 0.367       | 2.475        | 2.652        |
| P-value                       | 0.002       | 0.714       | 0.014        | 0.008        |
| Gender                        |             |             |              |              |
| Male (n=250)                  | 4.11±0.96   | 1.27±1.69   | 1.27±0.39    | 2.50±0.81    |
| Female (n=453)                | 4.42±1.02   | 1.27±0.71   | 1.27±0.39    | 2.64±0.81    |
| t                             | −4.016      | −0.052      | −4.853       | −2.348       |
| P-value                       | <0.001      | 0.958       | <0.001       | 0.019        |

Note: P<0.05 .

Abbreviations: LNM, lymph node metastasis; NLNM, non-lymph node metastasis; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

Table 3 The differences of clinical recognized serum tumor markers between patients with and without OM under different classifications

### A. Non-lymph node metastasis

| Clinical features | OM group (n=21) | NOM group (n=432) | t   | P-value |
|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----|---------|
| TC (mmol/L)       | 4.26±0.52       | 4.14±0.98         | 0.952 | 0.350   |
| TG (mmol/L)       | 1.16±0.47       | 1.29±1.72         | −0.350 | 0.727   |
| HDL (mmol/L)      | 1.38±0.34       | 1.16±0.37         | 2.695 | 0.007   |
| LDL (mmol/L)      | 2.39±0.39       | 2.50±0.79         | −1.220 | 0.233   |

### B. Female

| Clinical features | OM group (n=5) | NOM group (n=267) | t   | P-value |
|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----|---------|
| TC (mmol/L)       | 4.26±0.46      | 4.42±1.03         | −0.363 | 0.717   |
| TG (mmol/L)       | 0.98±0.27      | 1.28±0.72         | −0.930 | 0.353   |
| HDL (mmol/L)      | 1.50±0.29      | 1.28±0.39         | 1.285 | 0.200   |
| LDL (mmol/L)      | 2.63±0.18      | 2.65±0.82         | −0.141 | 0.891   |

### C. Male

| Clinical features | OM group (n=16) | NOM group (n=415) | t   | P-value |
|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----|---------|
| TC (mmol/L)       | 4.26±0.55       | 4.11±0.97         | 1.021 | 0.320   |
| TG (mmol/L)       | 1.21±0.52       | 1.27±1.72         | −0.128 | 0.898   |
| HDL (mmol/L)      | 1.34±0.36       | 1.13±0.35         | 2.345 | 0.019   |
| LDL (mmol/L)      | 2.31±0.41       | 2.50±0.82         | −1.772 | 0.092   |

(Continued)
analyzed four serum lipid parameters in OM and NOM patients to begin to clarify the risk factors for ocular metastases from CRC.

We identified HDL as a risk factor for OM in patients with CRC after measuring the levels of serum TC, TG, HDL, and LDL. We found that the serum HDL level was significantly different in patients with and without ocular metastases, and it was higher in the OM group.

**Table 4** The binary logistic regression results of HDL in different groups

| Group         | B   | OR  | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|---------------|-----|-----|-------------|---------|
| NLNM          | 1.380 | 3.974 | 1.425–11.084 | 0.008   |
| Male          | 1.370 | 3.937 | 1.219–12.712 | 0.022   |
| NLNM + male   | 1.674 | 5.332 | 1.524–18.656 | 0.009   |
| Total         | 1.158 | 3.184 | 1.184–8.563  | 0.022   |

Note: P<0.05 represented statistical significant.

Abbreviations: B, coefficient of regression; NLNM, non-lymph node metastasis.

High-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) is well known as a protective lipid for atherosclerosis, and can transport excess cholesterol from the periphery to the liver. Studies have demonstrated that it has antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and anti-thrombotic effects, but that it also has a correlation with cancer incidence such that HDL increases cancer risk by 36% per 10 mg/L increase. There is also a positive correlation between HDL level and the prognosis of numerous cancers. Moreover, HDL may directly or indirectly affect the incidence of colorectal tumors through several possible mechanisms. HDL has been associated with increased levels of anti-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin 10 (IL-10), that reduce production of pro-inflammatory agents such as IL-6 and tumor necrosis factor receptors, thereby inhibiting cell growth and proliferation as well as promoting apoptosis. In addition, HDL levels are closely related to age-related macular degeneration (AMD), dry eye (DE), and cardiovascular disease (CVD). And some other studies have reported that HDL is correlated with certain clinical features of colorectal cancer patients involved.

**Figure 2** Clinical features of colorectal cancer patients involved.

Abbreviations: AUC, area under the curve; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; ROC, receiver operating curve.
whereas low HDL levels progression and growth. Thirdly, the size of samples reported that patients with high serum LDL levels in cholesterol level than do males. So we classified the CRC patients with ocular metastases had increased serum levels of HDL, which is opposite to the correlation between HDL and the incidence of CRC, but in line with the changes in some ocular diseases.

The levels of serum lipids also are correlated with some clinical features such as lymph node metastases and gender. Liu et al reported that patients with high serum LDL levels and an elevated LDC/HDL ratio might be prone to developing lymph node metastases. Balder et al observed gender- and age-related differences in plasma lipid profiles. According to a study of 11,864 persons (9,602 males and 2,262 females by Tharu BP et al) there are gender and racial factors affecting cholesterol levels, and females tend to have higher variations in cholesterol level than do males. So we classified the CRC patients’ characteristics and found for those two factors, the values of TC, HDL, and LDL showed significant differences between the LNM and NLNM groups, as well as between the male and female patients. Specifically, the LNM patients and female patients presented with higher TC, HDL, and LDL levels.

Following the analyses of serum TC, TG, HDL, and LDL levels in these colorectal cancer patients, we suggested that increased HDL levels were a risk factor for ocular metastases. However, in female patients with OM and NOM, the difference in the levels between the individuals was not significant.

The results of our study support the hypothesis that HDL is a risk factor for OM in patients with CRC, with a cutoff value of 1.27 mmol/L. The AUC of the ROC curves from all of the patients was 0.660. This data provided relatively low accuracy levels for distinguishing OM patients with colorectal cancer, and clarified the diagnostic value of HDL for predicting OM. However, compared with other available diagnostic methods, the serum HDL test is repeatable, inexpensive, simple, and fast, and it would enable more patients to monitor their condition and to track the risks of ocular metastases. Although it has limited sensitivity and specificity according to our study, monitoring of serum HDL still has value for predicting OM in CRC patients. By combining serum HDL data with traditional diagnostic methods such as CT and MRI, the future development of a more reliable protocol to diagnose patients with OM should be feasible.

### Limitations

Although the present results were statistically significant, our study is limited to some extent. Firstly, as a retrospective study with some patients excluded from the group, there may have been deviations in the analysis. Secondly, the concentration of lipids in the serum was collected at the time of diagnosis, and this therefore did not provide key data that may have correlated with the tumors’ progression and growth. Thirdly, the size of samples, especially of the OM groups, was small in this study compared to the entire population of subjects. This makes conclusions based on the outcomes of the OM group relatively poorly convincing. In this small sample, none of the OM patients developed lymph node metastases, making the statistical results here less than convincing for CRC patients who have already developed lymph node metastases. Finally, all the patients here were diagnosed and treated at the same hospital, which raises the possibility of the study being marred by a selection bias. Thus, it will be important to verify the results of this study by large sample prospective, multi-center studies.

### Conclusion

In summary, We found that the concentration of serum HDL is significantly elevated in CRC patients with OM. Thus, high serum HDL levels may be a risk factor for developing OM in CRC patients. For newly diagnosed colorectal patients, if their serum HDL is >1.27 mmol/L, radiological examinations such as a head CT or MRI studies should be obtained, given that elevated HDL has a certain predictive value for ocular metastases in colorectal cancer patients.

### Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

---

**Table 5** The serum lipids as risk factors of different kinds of cancer

| Author          | Year | Cancer          | Serum lipids          |
|-----------------|------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Liu et al       | 2011 | Lymph node      | LDL                   |
| Saito et al     | 2013 | Liver cancer    | LDL                   |
| His et al       | 2014 | Breast cancer   | TC, HDL, ApoA1        |
| Chandler et al  | 2016 | Colorectal cancer | HDL, TC, ApoB-100    |
| Chandler et al  | 2017 | Lung cancer     | HDL                   |
| Jiang et al     | 2017 | Breast cancer   | PC, TG, DG, PE        |
| Bastani et al   | 2017 | Ovarian cancer  | HDL                   |

**Abbreviations:** LDL, low-density lipoprotein; TC, total cholesterol; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; ApoA1, ApolipoproteinA1; ApoB-100, ApolipoproteinB-100; PC, phosphatidylcholine; TG, triacylglycerol; DG, diacylglycerol; PE, phosphatidylethanolamine.
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