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STRUCTURE OF BREEDING BIRD COMMUNITIES IN URBAN AND SUB-URBAN GREEN AREAS OF LVIV CITY WITH DIFFERENT DEGREE OF ANTHROPOGENIC PRESSURE
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Difference in structure of breeding bird communities in the habitats with varying degrees of human activity is considered. Hemeroby classification, taking into account the cumulative effects of many factors on the habitat was applied. According to this classification, the model plots in Lviv city and suburbs areas belong to groups of oligo-, meso-, α-eu- and β-euhemerobic habitats. It is established that on the investigated territory, there is no inverse relationship between the rates of species richness and degree of anthropogenic pressure. The highest values of biodiversity are inherent to oligohemerobic habitats with minor anthropogenic impacts and β-euhemerobic habitats with continuous and strong anthropogenic impacts. The number of breeding species in all β-euhemerobic plots (N = 58) is even greater than on oligohemerobic plots (N = 40). The lowest indices of biodiversity and breeding density were found on α-euhemerobic areas. Differences were found when comparing forest habitats of oligo- and mesohemerobic groups. In the mesohemerobic habitats, there are fewer species (N = 22) and lower average breeding density (32.7 pairs/10 ha), while both oligohemerobic areas these are N = 33 and 50.2 pairs/10 ha respectively. Indices of Shannon, Margalef and Menghini also have lower values for the mesohemerobic areas, and dominance index of Berger–Parker, on the contrary, is higher. Unlike the mesohemerobic habitats in the oligohemerobic habitats breeding species listed in the Red Book of Ukraine were observed. Thus, in more intensively loaded forests there is a depletion of biodiversity is observed. Environmental groups differ by the way of breeding due to more cavity-nesting species in the oligohemerobic habitats; by foraging substrate – due to a greater part of urbanized species in the mesohemerobic habitats.
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INTRODUCTION

Residential development leads to inevitable urban sprawl and is connected with landscape transformation. Those ecosystems that remained in the cities are suffering of permanent anthropogenic influences. Evaluation of current state of non-urbanized arable and forest areas of significant natural and social value are actual nowadays. We
should understand how habitats and communities of living organisms are transformed by the anthropogenic factors.

The impact of human activity in cities and their outskirts can be estimated using the gradient paradigm. From city center to suburbs anthropogenic pressure on natural ecosystems strongly changes. Mosaic habitats remaining in the city suffer from increasing recreational load, and this concern to a suburban zone which contains both artificial and natural habitats. To systematize such disparate anthropogenically transformed habitats, Jalas [8] developed habitat classification system by a degree of hemeroby. It was used to analyze an anthropogenic influence on urban vegetation [11].

According to Kowarik [11], hemeroby (from Greek hemeros – cultivated and bios – life) is a result of the cumulative human impact on the ecosystem. It includes all the consequences that occur as a result of both intended and unintended anthropopressure [10]. Hemeroby degrees express the intensity, duration, and range of human impacts. Depending on the structural and functional organization and the increase of hemeroby level, there are 6 classes of ecosystems [12].

In Lviv city and its outskirts, there are non-built territories with different level of transformation. This is an opportunity to compare breeding bird communities on such different model plots that are located in close vicinity. The city is growing and the anthropogenic pressure is growing too, and we should understand changing tendencies of the ornithofauna in urban territories.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

In the suburban zone, there were 13 model plots and 2 plots in the city for comparison. Designation of hemeroby levels in the study area was done according to the classification of Kowarik [11], adapted by Kucheryavyj [11] (Table 1). Ahemerobic plots are not presented in our study because natural habitats are absent on the territory of the city. Poly- and metahemerobic habitats were not studied because we are analyzing breeding ornithofauna of remained non-built plots on the territory of the city and suburbs.

Natural habitats which are exposed to the least anthropogenic impact (oligohemerobic) are represented in Lviv suburban zone by the beech woods of Vynnyky forest, forest reserve “Chortova skelya”, Brukhovychi and Bilogorschia forests. These woodlands located in the southern and northern outskirts of the city are popular destination for residents of Lviv and the inhabitants of neighboring villages. However, a degree of the anthropogenic pressure on various parts of these forests is different.

Bryukhovychi forest is located on the hills of Roztochya wasted within major European watershed. The whole forest is located between Lviv and villages Bryukhovychi and Velyki Hrybovychi. In the northwest, Bryukhovychi forest borders the Forest “Gryada”. Main forest forming species – *Fagus sylvatica*, *Pinus sylvestris* and *Quercus robur*, which form mixed or pure stands [1].

To the southeast of the city so-called Davydiv strand of the geographical area Podilska Hill Ridge extends. We laid two model plots in Vynnyky woodland and forest reserve “Chortova skelya”. Overall, the area is dominated by old beech forest stands with plantings of oak, hornbeam and pine. This area is used for forest management and recreation.

Bilogorschia forest connects Levandivska residential area with Bilohorshcha village causing constant attendance. A part of Bilogorschia forest is presented by hornbeam planting with marshy inaccessible areas. Another part is presented by plantings of cypress, pine and birch. Small lakes and streams are located in the forest.
Table 1. **Classification of model plots by the hemeroby levels**

| Hemeroby level | The intensity of human impact [8, 10] | Examples of habitats [11, 12] | Model plots |
|----------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| Ahemerobic     | Non-cultivated habitats with Lack of anthropogenic impact | Virgin forests, bogs, rock vegetation, alpine meadows, etc. | None |
| Oligohemerobic | Minor anthropogenic impacts which do not modify the substrate | Forests of first and second category, meadows, ponds and swamps with prominent anthropogenic influence | Bryukhovychi forest; Vynnyky forest; forest reserve “Chortova skelya”; Bilogorscha forest |
| Mesohemerobic  | Weak to moderate, or periodic anthropogenic factors | Urban parks and city squares | “Zubra” and “Citadel” parks |
| β-Euhemerobic  | Continuous and strong anthropogenic impacts causing modifications of the substrate | Cultivated agricultural, horticultural and domestic habitats, gardens and orchards | Allotment gardens; fruit orchard; peat bog; sludge beds |
| α-Euhemerobic  | Continuous and very strong anthropogenic impacts | Careers slagheaps, levees, landfills, etc. | Fields near Sokilnyky; fields near Zabra; pasture near Zabra; meadow near Bilohorscha |
| Polyhemerobic  | Continuous impact of anthropogenic factors that are so strong they exceed the tolerance of plants | Dead surface of cities and industrial centers | None |
| Metahemerobic  | Continuous and very strong anthropogenic impacts | | None |

There are mesohemerobic model plots, namely “Zubra” and “Citadel” parks. Bilogorscha forest, as well as “Zubra” park is adjacent to the residential area but there are no remote places and the attendance is much higher. “Citadel” park was planted in the 70’s. It is located on a hill in the center of the city and contains many buildings on its territory. A degree of disturbance compared to other model plots is the largest. An additional factor is night lighting in the park.

Among β-euhemerobic plots, there are allotment gardens, fruit orchard, peat bog, and sludge beds near Poltva river. In the 70’s allotments were created and began to be used. The orchard was founded in 1948, and in 1953 it was passed to Kyiv Research Institute of Horticulture, but later it became unprofitable [22]. At present the garden is not used and its current state is very abandoned. The peat bog “Bilohorscha” belonging to Roztochya region is situated in the western outskirts and was one of the most valuable monuments of nature before the industrial peat extraction in 50’s. The research was also conducted in sludge beds in the north-eastern outskirts.

Among the studied territories, α-euhemerobic group includes highly modified plots by the agricultural activity. There are mixed crops and bare tilled lands near Sokilnyky village. On the abandoned pasture near Zabra village, cattle graze but virtually the entire
Area is overgrowing with ruderal species. During the observation period (2013–2015), every year there were spring burnings of dry herbs. In the western outskirts of the city next to the village Bilohorshcha, there are marshy hay meadows and pasture. After the cessation of peat industry this area was drained. Presently, manually mowing and cattle grazing are carried.

The work is based on a material collected in the field during the breeding season in 2015. For establishing of species composition, number, and density of breeding birds, the line transects method was used [3].

A description of bird communities was made after Belik [2] with modifications by Skilskyy [17]. Types of avifauna are listed by Shtegman [19]. To establish trophic groups, we used materials of Bank of zoogeographic data of Institute of Systematics and Ecology of Animals, Siberian Branch of RAS.

The value of species in the community is represented by dominants (10% and more), subdominants (1–9%) and satellite (lower than 1%). All common and abundant species of birds with breeding density more than 1 pair/10 ha were considered as typical. Distribution of birds by abundance categories carried after Kuzyakin [13]:

- Abundant (10–99 pair/10 ha);
- Common (1–9 pair/10 ha);
- Frequent (0.1–0.9 pair/10 ha);
- Occasional (0.01–0.09 pair/10 ha);
- Rare (0.001–0.009 pair/10 ha).

For comparison of the ornithofauna of model plots species lists, breeding density and several generally accepted indices that express the relationship between a number of species and their significance in the community were used. Shannon index takes into account species richness and proportion of each species within the local aquatic community. Menghini and Margalef indices characterize the relative number of species. The Berger–Parker index equals a proportional abundance of the most abundant type. We also calculated the number of species that make up 50% of total group multiplicity and breeding density on the model plots.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Habitats of different hemeroby degree vary in terms of indices of species richness. Menghini and Margalef indices have the lowest value on α-euhemerobic plots, especially in the fields near Sokilnyky (Fig. 1). A high value of Berger–Parker index (Fig. 2) is the most distinct. This plot is farmed every year, unlike the rest. Only 5 species breed here and they nest hedges. Index of dominance is the biggest on this plot (0.29) and the total proportion of the dominant species is 85.7%. In general, such low values of species richness are typical for α-euhemerobic habitats.

The greatest number of species was found in the oligo- and β-euhemerobic habitats, in particular in Bilogorscha forest (37 species) and on sludge beds near Poltva river (35 species). Overagely, 33 species were observed in the oligohemerobic habitats, and 29 species in β-euhemerobic. It is also true for indices of species richness (Shannon, Menghini, and Margalef) – their significance is the largest in the oligo- and β-euhemerobic habitats (Table 2). Higher values of breeding density and species richness indices are expected on oligohemerobic habitats, as they are close to natural habitats. But β-euherobic plots are represented by the ecotonic habitats where the biodiversity is greater due to combining of different conditions – water bodies, open landscapes, bushes, shrubs.
and forest plantations [16, 23]. It is important to note that the total list of species which breed on β-euhemerobic plots is bigger (58 species in total), than on the oligohemerobic (40 species). In addition, in the oligohemerobic habitats species which were not found on other sites were identified: Marsh Harrier (Circus aerogenosus), Montagu’s Harrier (Circus pygagrus), Kestrel (Falco tinunculus), Reed Warbler (Acrocephalus scirpaceus), Bluethroat (Luscinia svecica), Savi’s Warbler (Locustella luscinioides), Redstart (Phoenicurus phoenicurus), Penduline Tit (Remiz pendulinus), Bittern (Botaurus stellaris), Moorhen (Gallinula chloropus), Coot (Fulica atra), and Redshank (Tringa totanus). Among them, Montagu’s Harrier, Kestrel and Bittern are regionally rare species [7].

Model plots:
1 Bryukhovychy forest 8 Allotment gardens
2 Vynnyky forest 9 Peatbog
3 forest reserve 10 Sludge beds
4 Bilogorshcha forest 11 Fields near Sokilnyky
5 “Zubra” park 12 Meadow near Bilohorshcha
6 “Citadel” park 13 Pasture near Zubra
7 Fruit orchard 14 Fields near Zubra

Fig. 1. The values of species number, total density, and indices of Shannon Menghini and Margalef on model plots

Рис. 1. Значення кількості видів, загальної щільності гніздування й індексів Шеннона, Менхініка та Маргалифа на моделних ділянках

The total breeding density is the largest on oligohemerobic plots (on average 50 pairs/10 ha). The lowest number of species was found in the α-euhemerobic habitats (on average 11 species) (Table 2).

4 hemerobic groups presented in our study include a variety of habitats that explains differences in breeding ornithoawa. Therefore we decided to compare the same type of forest habitats within oligo- and mesohemerobic groups, that differ mainly by a degree of the anthropogenic pressure.

In city parks (mesohemerobic group), much less breeding species (22) were found than in suburban forests which belong to oligohemerobic group (33 species). In meso-
hemerobic habitats, biodiversity indices are lower and the dominance index is higher (Table 2). It confirms that intensive recreation leads to depletion of the biodiversity in forests [14]. Oligohemerobic habitats contain unfrequented old forest areas which provide breeding conditions for a large number of cavity-nesting species, including those listed in the Red Book of Ukraine: Green Woodpecker (*Picus viridis*), White-backed Woodpecker (*Dendrocopos leucotos*), Stock Dove (*Columba oenas*), Ural Owl (*Strix uralensis*) and regionally-rare Red-breasted Flycatcher (*Ficedula parva*).

![Model plots: 1 Bryukhovychy forest 2 Vynnyky forest 3 Forest reserve 4 Bilogorscha forest 5 “Zubra” park 6 “Citadel” park 7 Fruit orchard 8 Allotment gardens 9 Peatbog 10 Sludge beds 11 Fields near Sokilnyky 12 Meadow near Bilohorshcha 13 Pasture near Zubra 14 Fields near Zubra](image)

![The number of species that make up 50 % of total community abundance](image)

Fig. 2. The values of Berger–Parker index and the number of species that make up 50 % of total group multiplicity and breeding density on the model plots

Table 2. The average values of statistical parameters of different groups due to their assignment to the hemeroby levels

| Hemeroby level            | n     | D   | H'   | D_M | D_Mg | d | S_50 |
|---------------------------|-------|-----|------|-----|------|---|------|
| Oligohemerobic            | 33±3.7| 50.25±11.9 | 3.03±0.07 | 4.65 | 8.94±1.65 | 0.11±0.02 | 5±0.43 |
| Mesohemerobic             | 22±4  | 32.71±2.3  | 2.72±0.12  | 3.88±0.84 | 6.63±1.59 | 0.15±0.01 | 4 |
| β-Euhemerobic             | 29±5.6| 44.83±14.1 | 3.06±0.20  | 4.36±0.42 | 8.32±1.14 | 0.10±0.02 | 6±0.71 |
| α-Euhemerobic             | 11±5.4| 17.77±11.1 | 1.96±0.38  | 2.77±1.10 | 5.26±3.10 | 0.26±0.02 | 2±0.83 |

Comments: n – the number of species; D – total density of breeding pairs (pairs/10 ha); H’ – Shannon index; D_M – Menghini index; D_Mg – Margalef index; d – Berger–Parker index; S_50 – the number of species that make up 50 % of total multiplicity group

Примітки: n – кількість видів; D – загальна щільність гніздових пар (пар/10 га); H’ – індекс Шеннона; D_M – індекс Менхініка; D_Mg – індекс Маргалефа; d – індекс Берґера–Паркера; S_50 – число видів, які сумарно становлять 50 % чисельності угруповання

An average proportion of cavity-nesting species on the oligohemerobic plots is 43 % (15 species), and on the mesohemerobic plots – 31 % (9 species). Among them,
the most distinguished is a proportion of one of the dominant in both habitat groups – the cavity-nesting species Collared Flycatcher (*Ficedula albicollis*): in the oligohemero-
bic habitats, it’s 7.3 % and in the mesohemero-
bic – 4.6 %.

Unlike Collared Flycatcher, the proportion of other dominant species – Great Tit (*Parus major*) increases on a gradient of urbanization: 8.4 % in oligohemero-
bic and 12.14 % in mesohemero-
bic habitats, because it is ecologically plastic species adapted to life even in highly urbanized territories.

Among crown-breeders in oligohemero-
bic habitats, there are species of the upper
trophic level – Common Buzzard (*Buteo buteo*), Ural Owl (*Strix uralensis*) and Raven (*Corvus corax*). Most of carnivorous and omnivorous birds are most sensitive to the ef-
ffects of urbanization group [5].

Ecological groups by the foraging substrate also differ in the two groups of habitats. In mesohemero-
bic habitats, a proportion of ground foragers is higher (48 %) than in the oligohemero-
bic (28 %). The reason for that is greater proportion of the following spe-
cies: Blackbird (*Turdus merula*), Song Thrush (*Turdus philomelos*), Fieldfare (*Turdus pilaris*), Woodpigeon (*Columbs palumbus*) and Magpie (*Pica pica*) in the mesohemero-
bic habitats. All these species have formed proportion populations in Lviv, so their breeding density in the city parks is higher than in natural forests [4, 9, 15, 17, 21, 22].

**CONCLUSIONS**

Among 14 model plots in Lviv urban and suburban zone, there were highlighted
4 habitat types by a degree of the hemeroby: oligo-, meso-, β- and α-euhemero-
bic. The gradual decrease of species richness and biodiversity indices along this urbaniza-
tion gradient, indicates a sensitivity of breeding birds to the anthropogenic pressure.

High value of natural and ecotone sites (oligohemero-
bic and β-euhemero-
bic biotopes respectively) is reflected in the highest values of species richness, total breeding
density and biodiversity indices.

The spatial-typological structure of bird communities predictably varies by the de-
gree of the hemeroby. This is not only based on the ecological needs of birds species in
different biotopes, but also under the influence of the anthropogenic pressure that is
evident when comparing similar oligo- and mesohemero-
bic habitats. These biotopes contain species listed in the Red Book of Ukraine and upper trophic level species – the
representatives of the *Falconiformes* and *Strigiformes* order that are a sensitive element
of bird communities [5].

In the gradient of urbanization, ecological groups by the way of nesting and for-
ging are gradually changing. Oligohemero-
bic habitats provide breeding for greater abundance and number of cavity-nesting species. In the mesohemero-
bic habitats due
to large proportion of urban breeders, structure of communities by the foraging sub-
strate is shifted from foliage-gleaners to ground foragers. Thus, more urban territories
loose species which regulate a number of tree pests. The research should be continued
because of actuality of urgency of monitoring the transformed territories.
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Розглянуто різницю структури гніздових орнітокомплексів у біотопах із різним ступенем антропогенного навантаження. Використано класифікацію гемеробії, що враховує сукупний вплив багатьох чинників на біотоп. Згідно з цією класифікацією, модельні ділянки Львова і передмістя належать до груп оліго-, мезо-, α-еу- та β-еуге меробних біотопів. Встановлено, що на досліджуваній території немає оберненої залежності між показниками видового багатства і ступенем антропогенного пресу. Найбільші значення показників біорізноманіття притаманні олігогемеробним біотопам із невеликим антропогенним впливом та β-еугемеробним біотопам з тривалими сильними антропогенними впливами. Кількість гніздових видів на всіх β-еугемеробних ділянках (N = 58) є навіть більшою, ніж на олігогемеробних (N = 40). Найменші значення індексів біорізноманіття та щільності гніздування виявлені на α-еугемеробних ділянках. Під час порівняння лісових біотопів оліго- та мезогемеробної групи виявили відмінності. Для мезогемеробних біотопів притаманна менша кількість видів (N = 22), середня щільність гніздування (32,7 пар/10 га), тоді як на олігогемеробних ділянках ці значення становлять N = 33 та 50,2 пар/10 га відповідно. Індекси Шеннона, Менхініка та Маргалефа також мають менші значення на мезогемеробних ділянках, а індекс домінування Берґера–Паркера, навпаки – більше. Також, на відміну від мезогемеробних, в олігогемеробних біотопах спостерігали на гніздуванні види, занесені до Червоної книги України. Тобто в інтенсивніше навантажених лісових насадженнях спостерігали збіднення біорізноманіття. Екологічні групи за способом гніздування відрізняються завдяки більшій кількості дуплоділянок у мезогемеробних біотопах; за ярусом збирання корму – завдяки більшій частці участі синурбійних видів у мезогемеробних біотопах.

Ключові слова: гніздова орнітофауна, градієнт урбанізації, гемеробія, місто, передмістя, екологічні групи.
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Рассмотрена разница структуры гнездовых орнитокомплексов в биотопах с разной степенью антропогенной нагрузки. Использована классификация гемероби, учитывающей совокупное влияние многих факторов на биотоп. Согласно этой классификации, модельные участки Львова и пригорода отнесены к группам с разной степенью антропогенной нагрузки.
олиго-, мезо-, α-эу- и β-эугемеробных биотопов. Установлено, что на исследуемой территории нет обратной зависимости между показателями видового богатства и степенью антропогенного пресса. Наибольшие значения показателей биоразнообразия присущи олигогемеробным биотопам с небольшим антропогенным воздействием и β-эугемеробным биотопам с длительными сильными антропогенными воздействиями. Количество гнездящихся видов на всех β-эугемеробных участках (N = 58) даже больше, чем на олигогемеробных (N = 40). Наименьшие значения индексов биоразнообразия и плотности гнездования обнаружены на α-эугемеробных участках. При сравнении лесных биотопов олиго- и мезогемеробной группы обнаружены различия. Для мезогемеробных биотопов присуще меньшее количество видов (N = 22) и средняя плотность гнездования (32,7 пар/10 га), в то время как на олигогемеробных участках эти значения составляют N = 33 и 50,2 пар/10 га соответственно. Индексы Шеннона, Менхиника и Маргалефа также имеют меньшие значения на мезогемеробных участках, а индекс доминирования Бергера–Паркер — обратный — больше. Также, в отличие от мезогемеробных, в олигогемеробных биотопах на гнездовании наблюдаются виды, занесенные в Красную книгу Украины. То есть в интенсивнее нагруженных лесных насаждениях наблюдается обеднение биоразнообразия. Экологические группы по способу гнездования отличаются за счет большего количества дуплогнездников в олигогемеробных биотопах; за ярусом сбора корма — за счет большей доли участия синурбийных видов в мезогемеробных биотопах.
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