G-quadruplexes offer a conserved structural motif for NONO recruitment to NEAT1 architectural IncRNA
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ABSTRACT

The long non-coding RNA NEAT1 serves as a scaffold for the assembly of paraspeckles, membraneless nuclear organelles involved in gene regulation. Paraspeckle assembly requires NEAT1 recruitment of the RNA-binding protein NONO, however the NEAT1 elements responsible for recruitment are unknown. Herein we present evidence that previously unrecognized structural features of NEAT1 serve an important role in these interactions. Led by the initial observation that NONO preferentially binds the G-quadruplex conformation of G-rich C9orf72 repeat RNA, we find that G-quadruplex motifs are abundant and conserved features of NEAT1. Furthermore, we determine that NONO binds NEAT1 G-quadruplexes with structural specificity and provide evidence that G-quadruplex motifs mediate NONO-NEAT1 association, with NONO binding sites on NEAT1 corresponding largely to G-quadruplex motifs, and treatment with a G-quadruplex-disrupting small molecule causing dissociation of native NONO-NEAT1 complexes. Together, these findings position G-quadruplexes as a primary candidate for the NONO-recruiting elements of NEAT1 and provide a framework for further investigation into the role of G-quadruplexes in paraspeckle formation and function.

INTRODUCTION

Membraneless organelles, commonly referred to as ‘granules’ or ‘bodies’, are distinct subcellular collections of macromolecules which are compartmentalized in the absence of an encapsulating lipid membrane (1). A variety of biological processes are executed by membraneless bodies. Production of certain ribosomal subunits, for instance, is carried out by the nucleolus, a subnuclear compartment containing ribosome biogenesis factors and encompassing ribosomal RNA gene clusters (2). Degradation of messenger RNA (mRNA) is regulated, in part, by dynamic cytoplasmic granules known as processing bodies (P-bodies) which consist primarily of mRNA decay factors and translationally repressed mRNAs (3). Emerging evidence that disruption of membraneless organelles is a common feature of age-related disease has made them a topic of widespread interest (4). In the absence of an encapsulating lipid membrane, formation and persistence of these bodies is based solely on interactions between and among their protein and nucleic acid components. The biochemical principles guiding these interactions are therefore a topic of particular importance (5). RNA components have emerged as important factors, scaffolding the assembly of many cytoplasmic and nuclear bodies (5,6). In some cases, RNA components consist of general classes of RNA such as spliced mRNAs, which seed formation of splicing speckles (7). Many nuclear bodies require specific species of long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) such as the satellite III (satIII) repeat transcripts which seed formation of nuclear stress bodies (7). SatIII transcripts and other lncRNAs with critical roles in the formation and function of nuclear bodies have been termed architectural RNAs (arcRNAs) (8).

Paraspeckles, nuclear bodies built on the arcRNA NEAT1, regulate diverse physiological processes, with roles ranging from those in the nervous system (9–11) to those in female fertility (12–14). At the cellular level, a variety of stresses induce paraspeckle sequestration or release of specific components to regulate gene expression at the levels of transcription (12,15,16), nuclear export (9,17–20), and microRNA biogenesis (21). With a multi-step assembly pro-
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cess (22–29) producing a complex and well-defined structural arrangement (24,30), paraspeckles provide an ideal model for understanding the processes behind construction and persistence of membraneless bodies built on RNA scaffolding.

Two mature isoforms are produced from the NEAT1 gene: NEAT1L, ~3,700 nucleotides in length, and NEAT1S, extending beyond the NEAT1L termination site for a total length of ~22,700 nucleotides (31,32). NEAT1S is an essential component of paraspeckles, while the short isoform is dispensable (26); ‘NEAT1’ herein refers to the long isoform unless otherwise specified. NEAT1 ribonucleoprotein particles (RNPs), the primary subunits of paraspeckles, are produced by the co-transcriptional assembly of a subset of paraspeckle proteins onto individual NEAT1 transcripts. NEAT1 RNP subunits are then assembled with additional protein components to produce mature paraspeckles (24–28), with each paraspeckle constructed from, on average, ~50 primary NEAT1 RNPs (29).

Non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein (NONO) and splicing factor proline- and glutamine-rich (SFPQ), both members of the Drosophila behaviour human splicing (DBHS) protein family, play a critical role in paraspeckle formation. The first step of primary RNP formation is recruitment of NONO and SFPQ to nascent NEAT1 transcripts, both stabilizing NEAT1 and providing the foundation necessary for recruitment of the additional protein components which facilitate subsequent steps in assembly and maturation (6,24,25,33). While NONO and SFPQ have been shown to interact directly with NEAT1 (18,23,25,31), the specific RNA elements responsible for their recruitment remain unknown (34–36). Identification of these functional recruitment elements has been complicated by low conservation of the NEAT1 sequence (32,37). In lieu of well-defined primary sequence motifs, it has been suggested that these critical interactions could be mediated by secondary structures which have yet to be recognized (34,36,38).

Formed by nucleic acids containing at least four proximal runs of two or more guanines, G-quadruplexes are four-stranded secondary structures stabilized by non-Watson-Crick base pairing. Two or more planar G-tetrads—guanine quartets formed by Hoogsteen base pairing—are stabilized by stacking one atop another, often with added stabilization from monovalent cations such as potassium (39,40). Due to steric constraints (41), G-quadruplexes formed by RNA typically assume a ‘parallel’ topology where all four guanine runs are oriented with uniform 5′ to 3′ directionality (42). Functioning largely through specific recognition by RNA-binding proteins, RNA G-quadruplexes regulate biological processes such as pre-mRNA processing (43–47), microRNA biogenesis (48,49), and translation (50–56). In addition to regulating basic cellular processes, G-quadruplex-forming RNAs have been linked to diseases ranging from cancer to neurodegeneration (57). A mutation in the C9orf72 gene linked to neurodegenerative phenotypes results in the toxic accumulation of an RNA species consisting of hundreds of tandem repeats of the hexanucleotide sequence ‘GGGGGCC’ (58–61) which we and others have shown forms stable G-quadruplexes (62–64). Toxic effects of GGGGCC repeat RNA accumulation are due in part to aberrant binding and sequestration of cellular proteins (65), positioning the focus of significant efforts on identification of proteins which interact with this RNA.

In previous work, we identified protein interactors of GGGGCC repeat RNA using a proteomic approach designed specifically to reveal proteins binding the RNA with structural discrimination (62). Capitalizing on an instance of structure-specific binding revealed by our prior assay, herein we uncover widespread G-quadruplexes along the length of NEAT1, demonstrate the importance of these structures to interactions critical for paraspeckle biogenesis, and find that enrichment in G-quadruplexes is a conserved feature of NEAT1. In line with earlier predictions, we put forward this conserved structural feature as the first proposed candidate to begin answering the question of which specific NEAT1 elements recruit paraspeckle proteins to seed the initial stages of paraspeckle assembly.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Oligonucleotide synthesis and annealing
All oligonucleotides used in this study were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc. RNA was synthesized at 100 nmol scale, purified by RNase-free HPLC, and confirmed by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. Fluorescently-labelled RNA contained a Cy5 molecule on the 3′ end. Oligonucleotides used in the RNA pulldown experiment were biotinylated on the 3′ end.

At concentrations given for individual experiments, RNA was pre-annealed in 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, with or without 100 mM KCl. Annealing consisted of heating at 95°C to melt followed by cooling over 90 min and was performed in an S1000 thermal cycler (BioRad) programmed as follows: (i) 95°C for 5 min; (ii) 95°C for 1 min 9 s with -1.0°C decrement/cycle; (iii) go to step (ii) 70 times; (iv) hold at 4°C. Annealing for full-length NONO EMSAs used a similar protocol, except cooled from 95°C to 4°C over 12 h with 910 cycles of -0.1°C decrement/cycle and 41 s/cycle.

RNA pulldown
RNA pulldown was performed as previously described (62) with minor modifications. For each pulldown, 1.2 nmol biotinylated RNA oligonucleotide was annealed at 6 µM in the manner described above. For each pulldown, PureProteome Streptavidin Magnetic Beads (EMD Millipore) from 200 µl slurry were washed twice in 1 ml RNA Pulldown (RP) buffer (10 mM HEPES pH 7.0, 200 mM KCl, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT, 1× eComplete, EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche)). All bead incubations were carried out at 4°C in a 115 VAC 18 rpm Tube Rotator (Cole-Parmer), and all washes were incubated for 5 min. Beads were resuspended in 0.8 ml RP buffer, 1.2 nmol annealed biotinylated RNA oligonucleotides were added to each, and conjugation was carried out at 4°C for 50 min before washing twice in 1 ml RP buffer.

Stock lysate for each replicate was produced from one 10 cm dish of HEK293T cells harvested at ~90% confluency. Cells were detached using TrypLE Express (Gibco),
Protein extracts were alkylated with 250 mM iodoacetamide, diluted with 300 µl 8 M urea, and buffer exchanged over an Amicon Ultra 30 kDa MWCO spin cartridge (0.5 ml, Millipore) to remove SDS. Proteins on the filter were washed 3 times with 8 M urea followed by 3 times with 10 mM triethylammonium bicarbonate (TEAB) pH 7.5 and resuspended in 2 ml TEAB. Trypsin (400 µl, Promega, V5111) was added directly to the upper filter chamber before digesting overnight at 37°C. Following digestion, peptides were collected and dried by vacuum centrifugation.

For peptide labeling with isobaric mass tags, tandem mass tag (TMT) label reagents (0.8 mg vials, Thermo Scientific) were brought to room temperature before use. A randomized TMT reagent solution was added to each peptide sample and reactions were carried out at room temperature for 1 h before quenching with 8 µl of 5% hydroxylamine. Samples were combined, split into 10% and 90% aliquots, dried by vacuum centrifugation, and stored at -80°C.

Combined samples of TMT-labeled peptides were resuspended in 200 µl of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid and desalted on an Oasis C18 plate (Waters). Desalted peptides were eluted with 10 mM TEAB in steps at 5, 10, 25 and 75% acetonitrile and dried.

Peptide fractions were analyzed by liquid chromatography interfaced with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) using an Easy- LC 1200 HPLC system (Thermo Scientific) interfaced with an Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tribrid Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Scientific). Fractions were resuspended in 20 µl loading buffer (2% acetonitrile in 0.1% formic acid) and analyzed by reverse phase liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry. 20% of each fraction was loaded onto a C18 trap (S-10 µM, 120 Å, 75 µm x 2 cm, YMC) and subsequently separated on an in-house packed PicoFrit column (75 µm x 200 mm, 15 ± 1 µm tip, New Objective) with C18 phase (ReproSil-Pur C18-AQ, 3 µm, 120 Å, Dr Maisch GmbH) using a 2-90% acetonitrile gradient at 300 nl/min over 120 min. Eluting peptides were sprayed at 2.0 kV directly into the Lumos.

Survey scans (full MS) were acquired from 370 to 1700 m/z with data dependent monitoring with a 3 s cycle time. Each precursor was individually isolated in a 0.7 Da window and fragmented using HCD activation collision energy 39 and 15 s dynamic exclusion, first mass being 115 m/z. Precursor and fragment ions were analyzed at resolutions 120,000 and 30,000, respectively, with automatic gain control (AGC) target values at 4e5 with 50 ms maximum injection time (IT) and 1e5 with 120 ms maximum IT, respectively.

Isotopically resolved masses in precursor (MS) and fragmentation (MS/MS) spectra were processed in Proteome Discoverer software (v2.3, Thermo Scientific). All data were searched using Mascot (2.6.2, Matrix Science) against the 2017_Refseq 83 Human database. The following criteria were set for the database search: all species; trypsin as the enzyme, allowing one missed cleavage; cysteine carbamidomethylation and N-terminal TMT label as fixed modifications; TMT label on lysine, methionine oxidation, asparagine and glutamine deamidation as variable modifications. Mass tolerance was 5 ppm and 0.03 Da for Precursors and Peptides, respectively. Identifications from Mascot searches were filtered at 1% false-discovery rate confidence threshold based on a concatenated decoy database search using Proteome Discoverer. ‘Enrichment over beads’ is the mean of abundance ratios for the peptides of each protein, excluding peptides with shared sequences, with abundance ratio representing abundance of each peptide in the RNA pulldown over abundance in pulldown with beads. Known paraspeckle proteins (36) which were identified in the RNA pulldown were selected to generate a heat map using Proteome Discoverer. Heat map is based on abundance data, which was scaled before clustering (distance function: Euclidean; linkage method: complete).

Protein expression and purification
NONO(53-312) was expressed and purified using a previously published protocol with modifications (66). 50 ml

Tandem mass tag labelling and mass spectrometry
Protein extracts were alkylated with 250 mM iodoacetamide, diluted with 300 µl 8 M urea, and buffer exchanged over an Amicon Ultra 30 kDa MWCO spin cartridge (0.5 ml, Millipore) to remove SDS. Proteins on the filter were washed 3 times with 8 M urea followed by 3 times with 10 mM triethylammonium bicarbonate (TEAB) pH 7.5 and resuspended in 2 ml TEAB. Trypsin (400 µl, Promega, V5111) was added directly to the upper filter chamber before digesting overnight at 37°C. Following digestion, peptides were collected and dried by vacuum centrifugation.

For peptide labeling with isobaric mass tags, tandem mass tag (TMT) label reagents (0.8 mg vials, Thermo Scientific) were brought to room temperature before resuspension in 41 µl of anhydrous acetonitrile. Peptides were reconstituted with 100 µl of 100 mM TEAB. A randomized TMT reagent solution was added to each peptide sample and reactions were carried out at room temperature for 1 h before quenching with 8 µl of 5% hydroxylamine. Samples were combined, split into 10% and 90% aliquots, dried by vacuum centrifugation, and stored at -80°C.

Combined samples of TMT-labeled peptides were resuspended in 200 µl of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid and desalted on an Oasis C18 plate (Waters). Desalted peptides were eluted with 10 mM TEAB in steps at 5, 10, 25 and 75% acetonitrile and dried.

Peptide fractions were analyzed by liquid chromatography interfaced with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) using an Easy- LC 1200 HPLC system (Thermo Scientific) interfaced with an Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tribrid Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Scientific). Fractions were resuspended in 20 µl loading buffer (2% acetonitrile in 0.1% formic acid) and analyzed by reverse phase liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry. 20% of each fraction was loaded onto a C18 trap (S-10 µM, 120 Å, 75 µm x 2 cm, YMC) and subsequently separated on an in-house packed PicoFrit column (75 µm x 200 mm, 15 ± 1 µm tip, New Objective) with C18 phase (ReproSil-Pur C18-AQ, 3 µm, 120 Å, Dr Maisch GmbH) using a 2-90% acetonitrile gradient at 300 nl/min over 120 min. Eluting peptides were sprayed at 2.0 kV directly into the Lumos.

Survey scans (full MS) were acquired from 370 to 1700 m/z with data dependent monitoring with a 3 s cycle time. Each precursor was individually isolated in a 0.7 Da window and fragmented using HCD activation collision energy 39 and 15 s dynamic exclusion, first mass being 115 m/z. Precursor and fragment ions were analyzed at resolutions 120,000 and 30,000, respectively, with automatic gain control (AGC) target values at 4e5 with 50 ms maximum injection time (IT) and 1e5 with 120 ms maximum IT, respectively.

Isotopically resolved masses in precursor (MS) and fragmentation (MS/MS) spectra were processed in Proteome Discoverer software (v2.3, Thermo Scientific). All data were searched using Mascot (2.6.2, Matrix Science) against the 2017_Refseq 83 Human database. The following criteria were set for the database search: all species; trypsin as the enzyme, allowing one missed cleavage; cysteine carbamidomethylation and N-terminal TMT label as fixed modifications; TMT label on lysine, methionine oxidation, asparagine and glutamine deamidation as variable modifications. Mass tolerance was 5 ppm and 0.03 Da for Precursors and Peptides, respectively. Identifications from Mascot searches were filtered at 1% false-discovery rate confidence threshold based on a concatenated decoy database search using Proteome Discoverer. ‘Enrichment over beads’ is the mean of abundance ratios for the peptides of each protein, excluding peptides with shared sequences, with abundance ratio representing abundance of each peptide in the RNA pulldown over abundance in pulldown with beads. Known paraspeckle proteins (36) which were identified in the RNA pulldown were selected to generate a heat map using Proteome Discoverer. Heat map is based on abundance data, which was scaled before clustering (distance function: Euclidean; linkage method: complete).

Protein expression and purification
NONO(53-312) was expressed and purified using a previously published protocol with modifications (66). 50 ml
overnight starter cultures of Rosetta 2(DE3)pLysS (Novagen) transformed with pET-Duet-NONO_53-312 were used to seed 1 l cultures, which were grown at 37°C in lysogeny broth containing ampicillin (100 µg ml⁻¹) and chloramphenicol (34 µg ml⁻¹). At OD₆₀₀ of 0.8-1.0, cultures were placed at 4°C for 1 h, then induced with 0.5 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside and grown overnight at 16°C. Bacterial pellets were harvested by centrifugation at 17,000 g for 20 minutes at 4°C and stored at -80°C until purification.

Pellets were thawed at 4°C and resuspended at 3.5 ml/g of pellet in Lysis Buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 250 mM NaCl, 25 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol) supplemented with Complete, EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche) at 1:10,000. Resuspended bacteria were lysed by two passes through a French press at 1000 psi and clarified by centrifugation at 45,000 g for 30 min at 4°C. Clarified lysate was passed through an 0.45 µm filter prior to purification.

Initial purification by immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC) used a 5 ml HisTrap (GE Healthcare). Lysate was applied to a column pre-equilibrated in 5 column volumes (CV) of Lysis Buffer at 1 ml/min. The column was washed with Lysis Buffer until absorbance returned to baseline and protein was eluted with a gradient of 25-500 mM imidazole in Lysis Buffer over 10 CV. IMAC fractions containing NONO(53-312) were pooled and then diluted 1:3 in SEC Buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 250 mM KCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 50 mM l-proline). To remove the histidine affinity tag, tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease (Gene and Cell Technologies) was added by weight at 1:10 TEV:target and reactions were supplemented with 1 mM DTT. TEV digestion was carried out overnight at 25°C. Sample was centrifuged at 70,000 g for 15 min at 4°C to remove precipitate and supernatant was passed through 0.45 µm filter. Buffer exchange into RvsIMAC Binding Buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 250 mM KCl, 50 mM l-proline) was performed using a HiPrep Desalt 26/10 column (GE Healthcare). Reverse IMAC was performed on pooled fractions containing NONO(53-312) using a 5 ml HisTrap (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in RvsIMAC Buffer and flow-through fractions containing NONO(53-312) were pooled. Protein was concentrated using a Centrifugal Filter Unit with a molecular weight cut-off of 10K (Millipore). Concentrated sample was centrifuged at 20,000 g for 10 min at 4°C and soluble fraction was saved. Size exclusion chromatography was performed using a Superdex 200 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare) pre-equilibrated in SEC Buffer. 400 µl sample was injected using a 1 ml sample loop and chromatography was run using SEC Buffer with a flow rate of 0.4 ml/min. Fractions containing NONO(53-312) were pooled and centrifuged at 20,000 g for 15 min at 4°C and supernatant was collected. Protein concentration in supernatant was determined from the absorption at 280 nm, measured using a Nanodrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific) at an estimated molar extinction coefficient of 11,585 M⁻¹cm⁻¹. Protein was diluted to 25 µM in SEC Buffer, aliquoted, and saved at -80°C.

Full-length NONO included a MYC/DDK-tag, was commercially produced and purified from HEK293T cells (Origene, TP306688), and was diluted for titrations in storage buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.3, 100 mM glycine, 10% glycerol).

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay

Cy5-labeled RNA oligonucleotides were annealed at 100 nM in the presence or absence of potassium. Binding reactions included 10 nM labelled RNA and recombinant protein at given concentrations. For NONO(53-312), binding reactions had a final buffer composition of 20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 5.7 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 10% glycerol, 62 mM l-proline, 1% EMPIGEN BB, 0.12 mM EDTA, and 113 mM KCl, and had a final volume of 12 µl. Binding reactions were carried out at 4°C for 1 h. Binding was resolved using 1% UltraPure agarose gel (Invitrogen) cast in a 15.7 cm × 10.1 cm tray, in 1× TBE. Gels were pre-run at 4°C for 45 min at 50 V, 10 µl of reactions were loaded, and samples were run at 4°C for 25 min at 150 V. For full-length NONO, binding reactions had a final buffer composition of 20 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 11 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 105 mM KCl, 14% glycerol, 40 mM glycerol, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.5% BSA and cOmplete, EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche) in a final volume of 12 µl. Binding reactions were carried out at 25°C for 45 min. Binding was resolved using 0.8% UltraPure agarose gel (Invitrogen) in 1× TAE. Gels were pre-run at 4°C for 1 h at 80 V, 10 µl of reactions were loaded, and samples were run at 4°C for 50 min at 80 V. Gels containing (GGGGCC)₄-Cy5 were aged on the 700 nm channel of an Odyssey Infrared Imager (Li-Cor) and gels containing NEAT1_22619-Cy5 were aged on the Cy5 channel of a Typhoon 9200 Variable Mode Imager (Molecular Dynamics).

For competition EMSAs all annealing was in the presence of potassium and labelled and unlabelled RNAs were annealed at 100 nM and 4 µM, respectively. 20 nM labeled RNA was pre-bound with 2.0 µM NONO(53-312) for 15 min at 4°C. Competitor RNA was added with indicated molar excess (relative to 10 nM labeled RNA) and labelled RNA and NONO(53-312) were, in turn, diluted to final concentrations of 10 nM and 1.0 µM. Reactions were incubated at 4°C for 1 h and resolved as described above.

Image adjustment and analysis was performed using ImageJ (NIH) (67). The Gel Analyzer tool was used to plot signal intensity by lane and signal from bound and unbound fractions was measured. Bound signal from the no-protein control was subtracted from bound signal of all lanes. Fraction bound was calculated and plotted against protein concentration or competitor molar excess. Curves were produced in Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, Inc.) by nonlinear regression with least squares fitting using the ‘One site - Total’ equation.

Circular dichroism spectroscopy

RNA oligonucleotides were annealed and measured at 5 µM. Annealed RNA was measured in 1 mm pathlength quartz Suprasil cuvettes (Hellma Analytics) at 25°C with a Jasco J-810 Spectropolarimeter set as follows: scan: 320-220 nm; scanning mode: continuous; speed: 100 nm/min; response: 2 s; data pitch:1; accumulation: 5. Background
signal from buffer was measured and subtracted from corresponding spectra. For TMPyP4 titration experiments settings were the same as above except for the following: speed: 200 nm/minute; accumulation: 3. Successively, 1 μl aliquots of 1 mM TMPyP4 stock were added and samples were incubated at room temperature for ten minutes before measuring.

Cloning

The pCDNA3.1-(GGGGCC)$_8$-eGFP, pCDNA3.1-(TGGTCC)$_8$-eGFP, and pCDNA3.1-Spacer-eGFP plasmids were produced as follows. The eGFP coding sequence was amplified with forward primers producing an XhoI site. Amplified fragments were ligated into pCDNA3.1 via NheI and XhoI sites. The pcDNA3.0-eG-FP-tRSA-(GGGGCC)$_70$ plasmids were grown in NEB5 $	imes$ C until RNA purification.

Cell culture and transfection

HEK293T cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modification of Eagle’s medium (DMEM) with glucose, L-glutamine, and sodium pyruvate (Corning) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (gibco) and penicillin/streptomycin (gibco) and centrifuged at 300 g for 10 min to pellet. Pellets were rinsed with cold PBS, transferred to 15 ml Bioruptor Plus TPX tubes (Diagenode), and placed on ice until lysis. Pellets were resuspended in 1 ml RIPA LBW buffer supplemented with cOmplete, EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche). To lyse, resuspended cells were drawn into and forcefully expelled from 1 ml micropipette tips ten times and then incubated on ice for 30 min. In TMPyP4 titration experiments, lysate from each 10 cm dish was split into two tubes for sonication. Sonication was performed using a Bioruptor (Diagenode) with 15 ml tube adaptors. Two rounds of sonication were performed in ice cold water, each round consisting of 5 min of 15 s/90 s on/off cycles on low power. For TMPyP4 titration experiments protein content of stock lysate was measured by bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay (Pierce) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Stock lysate volume equivalent to 250 μg protein per IP was diluted in RIPA LBW and TMPyP4 (Abcam) was added, giving a final volume of 1 ml. 5% of sample volume each was saved as ‘input’ for protein and RNA analyses. Lysate was applied to pre-conjugated beads and binding was carried out at 4°C for ~16 h. Following IP, beads were washed for 5 min at 4°C four times with RIP LBW. Following washes, 5% sample volume of bead suspension was saved for protein and remaining beads were resuspended in Trizol (Invitrogen) and saved at -80°C until RNA purification.

Reverse transcription and quantitative PCR

RNA extraction from RNA-IP samples was performed using Trizol according to manufacturer’s protocol with the following modifications: RNA-IP samples in Trizol were passed ten times each through a 25G needle. Phase Lock Gel Heavy (SPRIME) was used to improve aqueous phase recovery, and GlycoBlue Coprecipitant (Ambion) was added to the aqueous phase prior to precipitation. Resulting pellets were resuspended in 30 μl DEPC-treated water.

cDNA was produced from 12 μl of RNA using the QuantiTect Reverse Transcription kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer’s protocol including a 30-minute 42°C incubation. qPCR was performed using iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix (BioRad) with a 10 μl reaction volume in a C1000 Touch thermal cycler with a CFX96 Real-Time System (BioRad). For assays measuring eGFP and NEAT1-2Fwd-CAGT1000 (Qiagen) was used to transfect 800 ng of plasmid per well following the manufacturer’s protocol.

RNA-immunoprecipitation

Antibody-bead conjugation was performed prior to harvesting cells. For each immunoprecipitation (IP), 2 μg of Mouse αNONO (Santa Cruz, sc-376865) or Mouse IgG isotype control (GeneTex, GTX35009) was conjugated to 33 μl Dynabeads Protein G (Invitrogen) suspension. Beads were washed in PBS-T (phosphate-buffered saline, 0.1% Tween-20) and then incubated with antibody diluted in PBS-T for ≥1 h at 4°C. Before addition of lysate, antibody-bead conjugates were washed for 5 min at 4°C once with PBS-T and then twice with RIP LBW buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT).

For transfection experiments, lysate for each IP was collected from cells in a single well of a 12-well plate. For TMPyP4 titration experiments, stock lysate was produced from a 10 cm dish harvested at ~80% confluency. To harvest, HEK293T cells were detached using TrypLE Express (gibco) and centrifuged at 300 g for 10 min to pellet. Pellets were rinsed with cold PBS, transferred to 15 ml Bioruptor Plus TPX tubes (Diagenode), and placed on ice until lysis. Pellets were resuspended in 1 ml RIPA LBW buffer supplemented with cComplete, EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche). To lyse, resuspended cells were drawn into and forcefully expelled from 1 ml micropipette tips ten times and then incubated on ice for 30 min. In TMPyP4 titration experiments, lysate from each 10 cm dish was split into two tubes for sonication. Sonication was performed using a Bioruptor (Diagenode) with 15 ml tube adaptors. Two rounds of sonication were performed in ice cold water, each round consisting of 5 min of 15 s/90 s on/off cycles on low power. For TMPyP4 titration experiments protein content of stock lysate was measured by bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay following the manufacturer’s protocol. Stock lysate volume equivalent to 250 μg protein per IP was diluted in RIPA LBW and TMPyP4 (Abcam) was added, giving a final volume of 1 ml. 5% of sample volume each was saved as ‘input’ for protein and RNA analyses. Lysate was applied to pre-conjugated beads and binding was carried out at 4°C for ~16 h. Following IP, beads were washed for 5 min at 4°C four times with RIP LBW. Following washes, 5% sample volume of bead suspension was saved for protein and remaining beads were resuspended in Trizol (Invitrogen) and saved at -80°C until RNA purification.
TAGTTTTACTGTTCTCCCATCCA, and NEAT1-2 Rv5- GTTGTTGTGTCGTACCTTTCAACTCT.

Western blot
Samples for western blotting were resolved on Criterion TGX 8-16% SDS-PAGE gels (BioRad). For RNA pull-downs, 25 μl of input (2.5% total input volume) and elutions were loaded. At room temperature, 80 V was applied for 1.5 h followed by 150 V for 30 min. For validation of RNA-IP, 20 μl of sample was loaded and 300 V was applied for 30 min on ice. Protein was transferred to nitrocellulose using a Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer System (BioRad) for 12 min at 2.5 A, 25 V and membranes were blocked with 5% BSA in PBS-T for 1 h at room temperature or overnight at 4°C. Primary antibodies, applied for 1 h at room temperature or overnight at 4°C, were diluted in blocking buffer as follows: Rabbit αNONO (Bethyl A300-587A) at 1:5,000; Rabbit αSFPQ (abcam ab38148) at 1:5,000; Rabbit αPSPC1 (Santa Cruz sc-84577) at 1:250; Rabbit αGAPDH (Pierce TAB1001) at 1:5,000; Mouse αHNRNPK (abcam ab39975) at 1:3,000. Secondary antibodies IRDye 800CW Goat αRabbit, IRDye 680LT Goat αRabbit, and IRDye 680LT Goat αMouse (Li-Cor), were diluted 1:10,000 in blocking buffer, applied for 1 h at room temperature, and imaged using an Odyssey Infrared Imager (Li-Cor). Between steps and prior to imaging, membranes were washed 3 times with PBS-T for 5-15 min at room temperature. PSPC1 staining was performed on membranes initially stained for NONO and hnRNP K and stripped with a 2× solution of NewBlot Nitro Stripping Buffer (Li-Cor) for 10 min at room temperature.

RNA fluorescence in situ hybridization
10² HEK293T cells were plated on polyethyleneimine (PEI)-coated 12-mm coverslips (Deckgläser Cover Glass) and treated for 4 h with 0, 200, 400 and 500 μM TMPyP4 (Cayman Chemical, resuspended in water). Cells were washed with PBS, fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde in PBS for 10-15 min at room temperature, and permeabilized with 70% ethanol at 4°C overnight. Following two washes in PBS and one wash in Wash Buffer (2× SSC, 10% deionized formamide), hybridization with 12.5 nM NEAT1 mn probes (Biosearch Technologies, SMF-2037-1) in Hybridization Buffer (10% dextran sulfate, 10% deionized formamide, 2× SSC) was carried out for 4 h at 37°C. Following hybridization, coverslips were incubated in Wash Buffer for 30 min at 37°C, stained with DAPI diluted in Wash Buffer for 30 min at 37°C, and mounted with ProLong Gold Antifade (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Images were obtained using a Leica SP8 confocal microscope with 0.60 μM z-step size and matched exposure settings. Using ImageJ, images were thresholded and max projections were used to determine the mean number of foci per cell in each treatment.

Bioinformatics
To identify putative G-quadruplex-forming sequences, the QGRS Mapper tool (http://bioinformatics.ramapo.edu/QGRS/index.php) (70) was used to analyze the full-length human NEAT1.2 sequence (NR_131012.1) with the following parameters: QGRS Max Length: 30, Min G-Group Size: 2, Loop size: from 0 to 36. For visualization, non-overlapping QGRS were mapped to human genome assembly hg19 and displayed as a custom track in UCSC Genome Browser (https://genome.ucsc.edu/) (71). The non-G-quadruplex form of the NEAT1.2 homologue (Mouse: NR_131212.1; Opossum: KX036208.1), five random sequences were generated with length and GC content equal to that of the homologue using the Random DNA Sequence Generator tool (https://faculty.ucr.edu/~mmaduro/random.htm) and analyzed with QGRS Mapper.

Binding site data from enhanced crosslinking and immunoprecipitation (eCLIP) of NONO (ENCSR861PAR) and SFPQ (ENCSR965DLL) were downloaded from ENCODE (https://www.encodeproject.org/) (73). Plus-strand signals of unique NONO eCLIP reads were obtained in bigWig format (replicate 1: ENCFF715MPQ; replicate 2: ENCFF043RMQ; NONO eCLIP mock input: ENCFF187ARS). NONO eCLIP peaks were obtained in narrowPeak bed and bigBed format for replicates and merged peaks, respectively (replicate 1: ENCFF918YLZ; replicate 2: ENCFF444YFD; merged: ENCFF730QR1). eCLIP reads and peaks were visualized using the Integrative Genomics Viewer (https://software.broadinstitute.org/software/igv/) (74). Data processing and analysis was performed on Ubuntu using the Windows Linux bash shell. File conversion from bigBed to bed was performed with the UCSC bigBedToBed utility. Prior to statistical analysis, peaks were limited to those mapped within the NEAT1 genomic locus using the awk command. The Fisher’s exact test was performed using the fisher utility from the bedtools suite v2.29 (https://github.com/arq/sx/bedtools2/releases) (75) to compare NEAT1 non-overlapping QGRS to NONO eCLIP peaks, and reported P-values are from two-tailed analysis. To account for pre-analysis limitation of eCLIP peaks to only those within the NEAT1 gene, the ‘genome’ size used for fisher analysis was the size of the NEAT1 gene.

RESULTS
NONO recognizes G-quadruplex structures formed by G-rich repeat RNA
C9orf72 hexanucleotide repeat RNA has been linked to neurodegeneration and significant effort has gone into identifying protein interactors (62,76–79). In a previous study we performed a pulldown with repeat RNA of the sense (GGGGCC) and antisense (CCCGGG) sequences and used the mass spectrometry technique Stable Isotope Labelling by Amino acids in Cell culture (SILAC) to determine relative enrichment (62). We noted that all three members of the DBHS family, NONO, SFPQ, and PSPC1, were preferentially enriched by the G-rich sense RNA (Figure 1A). In part, this finding was consistent with previous studies demonstrating G-rich RNA binding activity of NONO (80–82). As a control, the RNA-binding protein hnRNP K,
known to interact with C-rich RNA (83), was examined and found to be preferentially enriched by the C-rich antisense RNA (Figure 1A). In addition to comparing proteins enriched by sense and antisense RNA pulldowns, we used SILAC to compare enrichment by pulldown with sense RNA in the G-quadruplex and non-G-quadruplex conformations (62). The non-G-quadruplex form of the sense repeat sequence GGGGCC assumes a hairpin conformation (62). All three members of the DBHS protein family showed preferential enrichment by the G-quadruplex conformation (Figure 1B). SILAC findings for enrichment of NONO, SFPQ, PSCP1, and hnRNP K by repeat RNA were validated by western blotting (Figure 1C). To test the effect of GGGGCC repeats on NONO recruitment in cells, we inserted repeats into the terminal untranslated region of a model eGFP transcript and measured NONO-transcript association by RNA immunoprecipitation (RNA-IP). Consistent with the known affinity of NONO for G-rich RNA in vitro (62,80–82), we found that inclusion of GGGGCC repeat sequences increases association of NONO with the model transcript (Figure 2). Preventing G-quadruplex formation using a G-tract mutation approach (51) abolished this increased association, consistent with the structural preference we observed in vitro. Inclusion of a longer GGGGCC repeat sequence was tested in the context of an alternative model transcript system and also resulted in increased association with NONO (Supplementary Figure S1).
Exploring the possibility that NONO RNA binding specificity goes beyond reported sequence preferences, we next sought to directly test structural discrimination using electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) with purified recombinant NONO. NONO consists of 471 amino acids including an amino-terminal histidine/proline/glutamine-rich region, a central DBHS region, and a carboxy-terminal glycine/proline-rich region (Figure 3A) (84). The low-complexity N- and C-terminal regions contain functional sequences including nuclear localization signals and are predicted to be disordered (85,86). The central DBHS region, which is conserved among members of the DBHS family, contains two RRMs, a protein-protein interaction motif unique to DBHS proteins known as the NONA/paraspeckle (NOPS) domain, and a coiled-coil domain (84). Previous efforts to produce recombinant full-length NONO have been impeded by solubility issues, and so for in vitro experiments we purified a major portion of NONO containing the central DBHS region, which has been optimized for recombinant expression and purification and characterized in prior structural studies (66,85,87).

The purified protein, NONO(53-312), consists of both RRMs, the NOPS domain, and approximately half of the coiled-coil domain (Figure 3A, bottom). An RNA oligonucleotide consisting of four C9orf72 sense-strand repeats, denoted ‘(GGGGCC)_4’, was annealed in the absence or presence of 100 mM KCl to induce non-G-quadruplex or G-quadruplex conformations, respectively, as previously described (62). Labelled (GGGGCC)_4 RNA was incubated with increasing concentrations of NONO(53-312), binding was resolved by EMSA (Figure 3B), and complex formation was quantified and plotted for comparison (Figure 3C). (GGGGCC)_4 RNA in the G-quadruplex conformation formed complexes with NONO(53-312) at lower concentrations than RNA in the non-G-quadruplex conformation, indicating preferential binding of NONO to the G-quadruplex conformation.

**NEAT1 contains abundant G-quadruplex motifs**

Association of NONO with the lncRNA NEAT1 is a critical step in paraspeckle assembly (18,23–25,31), but the specific elements of NEAT1 mediating the interaction have yet to be defined (34–36). NEAT1 has relatively low sequence conservation (32), and it has been speculated that structural elements, rather than particular sequence motifs, may facilitate protein recruitment (34,36,38). Our finding that NONO recognizes G-quadruplex structures formed by GGGGCC repeat RNA led us to wonder whether G-quadruplex recognition could be involved in recruitment to NEAT1. To explore this possibility, we first sought to determine whether NEAT1 contains sequences capable of forming G-quadruplexes. Several factors determine the propensity of a given sequence for G-quadruplex formation, including the number and length of G-tracts as well as the length and nucleotide content of intervening sequences (39,88). Using the quadruplex-forming G-rich sequences (QGRS) Mapper tool (70), we determined that NEAT1 contains segments with characteristics favoring G-quadruplex formation, yielding 111 non-overlapping QGRS (Figure 4A). The predicted QGRS are distributed along the length of NEAT1, with notable clusters near each end as well as in several internal positions. We also compared their G-scores, a metric calculated by QGRS Mapper, to predict the relative propensity of the sequences to form G-quadruplexes. Based on G-score and location relative to known functional domains, we selected a series of putative G-quadruplex-forming sequences for further study, each identified by its nucleotide position relative to the 5' end of NEAT1 (Figure 4A, lower track; Table 1).

Next, we used circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy to assay the secondary structures of the selected sequences from NEAT1. The parallel G-quadruplex topology typically assumed by RNA displays characteristic spectral features including a peak near 260 nm and trough near 240 nm (41,89). To determine whether selected NEAT1 sequences form G-quadruplexes, we first performed a screen of the 15 selected RNAs under conditions favorable to G-quadruplex formation. CD spectra of these oligonucleotides annealed in the presence of potassium are overall characteristic of G-quadruplex RNA (Figure 4B). Oligonucleotides with the highest G-scores show distinct peaks near 260 nm and troughs near 240 nm, except for NEAT1_2171 which shows a main peak near 270 nm (Figure 4B, left). Spectra from sequences with lower G-scores were more diverse and were qualitatively grouped into two subsets. Each in the first subset has a single broad peak near 260 nm and trough near 240 nm (Figure 4B, middle). In the second subset (Figure 4B, right) all show main peaks near 260 nm except for NEAT1_21214, with a main peak above 270 nm. All in this group display a hip component to the main peak and/or a distinguishable secondary peak, features which suggest the presence of a conformationally mixed population (90). Five sequences with the highest G-scores were chosen for further characterization: NEAT1_425, NEAT1_2070, NEAT1_2171, NEAT1_3226 and NEAT1_22619. RNA molecules containing the sequence characteristics required to form monomeric intramolecular G-quadruplexes have not, to the best of our knowledge, been found to produce multi-strand intermolecular G-quadruplexes, retaining their intramolecular G-quadruplex form at concentrations much higher than those used in this work (91,92). Accordingly, the oligonucleotides examined here are unlikely to form intermolecular G-quadruplexes. Stabilization by potassium is a well-defined property of many G-quadruplexes, therefore a shift towards the characteristic spectral signatures described above upon inclusion of potassium cations is an informative indicator of G-quadruplex structure (89). Accordingly, effects of potassium addition were determined for the selected sequences (Figure 4C). For all sequences tested, the addition of potassium caused a shift toward G-quadruplex spectral signatures. The most dramatic change observed was in NEAT1_22619, where a nearly flat spectrum indicates little G-quadruplex structure in the absence of potassium. Absent Watson-Crick-pairable bases and confirmed by analysis with Mfold to lack possible alternative secondary structures, NEAT1_22619 is expected to assume the form of a flexible linear polymer resembling that of previously characterized unstructured RNAs (93) in the absence of the G-quadruplex fold.
Figure 3. NONO preferentially binds GGGGCC repeat RNA in the G-quadruplex conformation. (A) Domain architecture of DBHS proteins and NONO(53-312) construct with shared DBHS core region colored by domain and low-complexity regions in grey. (B) EMSA with recombinant NONO(53-312) and (GGGGCC)$_4$ RNA in G-quadruplex and non-G-quadruplex conformations. 10 nM labelled (GGGGCC)$_4$ RNA was incubated with NONO(53-312) at the following concentrations (left to right): 0, 1.2 nM, 6.0 nM, 12 nM, 24 nM, 30 nM, 60 nM, 120 nM, 150 nM, 200 nM, 300 nM, 600 nM, 750 nM, 1.0 μM, 1.5 μM, 3.0 μM, 5.0 μM. For visualization purposes, images were adjusted independently to account for differences in fluorescence intensity between conformations. Quantification was performed prior to this adjustment. (C) Data fit of (B) with binding curves and SEM ($n = 3$). In this and subsequent figures, error bars for some data points are within the size of the symbol and are therefore not visible.

Table 1. Oligonucleotide sequences used in this study. Sequences with a G-score of ‘N/A’ are not predicted to form G-quadruplexes.

| Name     | Sequence                                                                 | G-score |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| NEAT1,425 | GGGUGCAAGGGCCAGCAGGAAGGCGCGAGGGGAGGG                                 | 38      |
| NEAT1,520 | GGGGAUGAGGGCCUGGUCUUGGG                                                | 18      |
| NEAT1,563 | GGCGUGACGCUCUCGGCCAGGGGUGG                                              | 12      |
| NEAT1,1187 | GGAACCGGGAGACAUUGAGUCUCUGG                                               | 19      |
| NEAT1,1236 | GGAGGGAGACCCUCUGAGG                                                      | 15      |
| NEAT1,1298 | GCCUAGAGAGAGG                                                          | 18      |
| NEAT1,2070 | GGGAGUUGUGGGGAGCGGGGAGAAGGGGAGGG                                      | 41      |
| NEAT1,2171 | GGGGAGUUGGGGAAGAAGGGGAGAAGGGGAGG                                       | 39      |
| NEAT1,3226 | GGGAAAGGGGGAUGGGGGAUGGGG                                                  | 40      |
| NEAT1,1292 | GAUGGCGGGUAGG                                                          | 17      |
| NEAT1,12837 | GGCGUGCGACAGAUUGAGUUGG                                                  | 16      |
| NEAT1,13191 | GGCACUUGGGCGGAGG                                                         | 18      |
| NEAT1,13305 | GGCACGUGGGAGGGCGCUCUUGG                                                  | 16      |
| NEAT1,21214 | GGCAUGGGAGUCUAGAGG                                                      | 15      |
| NEAT1,22619 | GGAGGGAGGGAGGG                                                          | 42      |
| (GGGGCC)$_4$ | GGGGCGGGGCGGGCGGGCGGGCGGGCGG                                          | 63      |
| Scrambled | GCGAGUGUGUGAGAGAGCGCG                                                  | N/A     |
| 5′-Splice Site | AAAAGGUAAG                                                            | N/A     |

**NONO recognizes NEAT1 G-quadruplexes**

We next performed a pulldown experiment to explore the association of native paraspeckle proteins with a series of NEAT1 G-quadruplexes. Using mass spectrometry with quantitative comparisons through tandem mass tag (TMT) labeling, we found that a notable selection of paraspeckle proteins were enriched by NEAT1 G-quadruplex RNA (Supplementary Figure S2, Supplementary Table S1). NONO, SFPQ, and PSPC1 were found to be enriched by NEAT1 G-quadruplexes (Figure 5A) and this enrichment was validated by western blotting (Figure 5B). Having identified the ability of NONO to discriminate between structures of GGGGCC repeat RNA and finding NONO among proteins enriched by pulldown with NEAT1 G-quadruplexes, we wondered whether NONO could discriminate between G-quadruplex and non-G-quadruplex structures of NEAT1 G-rich RNA. To test this possibility, labelled, alternatively annealed RNA was incubated with increasing concentrations of protein and then binding was resolved by EMSA. Having displayed a robust and clearly inducible G-quadruplex conformation during initial characterization, the NEAT1,22619 RNA was selected for use in gel shift experiments. Initial attempts using commercially sourced recombinant full-length NONO found the G-quadruplex conformation to shift with lower concentrations of NONO than the non-G-quadruplex conformation, suggesting that full-length NONO shows preferential binding to the G-quadruplex conformation (Supplementary Figure S3). A large portion of RNA shifting with full-length NONO was retained at signal-saturating levels in wells, resembling that seen in a previously published exper-
Figure 4. NEAT1 contains abundant G-quadruplex-forming sequences. (A) Quadruplex-forming G-rich sequences (QGRS) were predicted along the length of NEAT1 using QGRS Mapper. Predicted QGRS are shown mapped to NEAT1 (upper track). The subset of QGRS used in this study are identified by starting nucleotide position relative to the 5′ end of NEAT1 (lower track). (B) Circular dichroism spectra of NEAT1 G-rich RNAs annealed in the presence of 100 mM KCl, grouped as follows: QGRS with higher G-scores (left) and lower G-scores (center and right). (C) Circular dichroism spectra of NEAT1 G-rich RNAs with highest G-scores annealed in the presence (solid line) and absence (dashed line) of 100 mM KCl.

iment interrogating SFPQ association with DNA (33), and presumably a product of extended coiled-coil oligomerization domains shared by both SFPQ and NONO. To obtain quantifiable results, NONO(53-312) was used in subsequent experiments. Binding of NONO(53-312) to alternatively annealed NEAT1,22619 RNA was resolved by EMSA (Figure 5C), and complex formation was quantified and plotted for comparison (Figure 5D). Consistent with our findings for G-rich repeat RNA, NEAT1,22619 RNA in the G-quadruplex conformation was found to shift with lower concentrations of NONO(53-312) compared to the non-G-quadruplex conformation, indicating preferential binding to the G-quadruplex conformation. To test the specificity of the NONO-NEAT1,22619 G-quadruplex interaction, a series of competition EMSAs were performed in which pre-bound NONO(53-312)-NEAT1,22619 G-quadruplex was incubated with unlabelled competitor RNA at increasing molar excess (Figure 5E). Results were quantified and fraction bound was plotted (Figure 5F). Competition with excess unlabelled NEAT1,22619 G-quadruplex reduced binding of labeled RNA to a small fraction of that bound in the absence of the competitor (Figure 5E, upper). 5′ Splice Site RNA, an AU-rich RNA known to bind the RRM1 domain of NONO (80,94), did not compete with the NEAT1,22619 G-quadruplex to a significant extent, supporting the specificity of the NONO(53-312)-NEAT1,22619 G-quadruplex interaction (Figure 5E, lower left). Competition with (GGGGCC)₄ G-quadruplex significantly reduced the fraction of NEAT1,22619 bound, indicating that GGGGCC repeat RNA G-quadruplexes can compete with NEAT1,22619 G-quadruplexes for binding to NONO(53-312) (Figure 5E, lower right).
Figure 5. NONO preferentially interacts with NEAT1 G-rich RNA in the G-quadruplex conformation. (A) Comparative enrichment of DBHS-family proteins by NEAT1 G-quadruplex RNA and non-G-quadruplex-forming G-rich RNA ‘Scrambled’. Mass spectrometry with TMT labelling was used for comparative analysis of proteins enriched from HEK293T lysate by pulldown with biotinylated RNA oligonucleotides. Enrichment is shown as mean and SEM of RNA-enriched abundance, relative to enrichment by beads, of each protein’s peptides. (B) Western blot validation of RNA pulldown results. 2.5% input volume (‘In’) was loaded for reference. Pulldown with bead-only control (‘B’) was compared to NEAT1 G-quadruplex RNAs and non-G-quadruplex-forming G-rich (‘Scr’) RNA. Results shown are representative of three replicates. (C) EMSA with recombinant NONO(53-312) and NEAT1 G-quadruplex RNA in G-quadruplex and non-G-quadruplex conformations. 10 nM labelled NEAT1 G-quadruplex RNA was incubated with NONO(53-312) at the following concentrations (left to right): 0, 1.2 nM, 6.0 nM, 12 nM, 24 nM, 30 nM, 60 nM, 120 nM, 150 nM, 200 nM, 300 nM, 600 nM, 750 nM, 1.0 μM, 1.5 μM, 3.0 μM, 5.0 μM. (D) Data fit of (C) with binding curves and SEM (n = 4). (E) Competition EMSA with recombinant NONO(53-312) and labelled NEAT1 G-quadruplex RNA only and labelled RNA with NONO(53-312) but no competitor are shown in lanes 1 and 2, respectively. Labelled NEAT1 G-quadruplex RNA was pre-incubated with NONO(53-312) before addition of unlabelled competitor RNAs at 1-200× molar excess (lanes 3-7) relative to 10 nM labelled RNA. When present, NONO(53-312) was at 1.0 μM. Unlabelled competitors include NEAT1 G-quadruplex RNA in G-quadruplex conformation (upper), 5′-Splice Site RNA known to bind NONO via RRM1 (lower left), and (GGGGCC)₄ RNA in G-quadruplex conformation (lower right). All competitors were pre-annealed with 100 mM KCl. (F) Data fit of (E) with binding curves and SEM (n = 3).
G-quadruplex motifs mediate NONO-NEAT1 association

Having found that NEAT1 contains abundant G-quadruplex motifs and that NONO binds NEAT1 sequences with preference for the G-quadruplex conformation, we sought to determine whether the recognition of and preference for NEAT1 G-quadruplexes observed in vitro is reflected in living cells. Enhanced crosslinking and immunoprecipitation (eCLIP), which allows for identification of in vivo binding sites with single-nucleotide level resolution, was used to identify NONO binding sites in the human K562 cell line as part of the ENCODE project (73). Examining these data, we observed enrichment of NONO in multiple regions across the length of NEAT1 and, to a large extent, these regions appeared to overlap with NEAT1 G-quadruplex-forming segments (Figure 6A,B). Analysis by Fisher’s exact test revealed that the extent of overlap with NEAT1 G-quadruplex-forming sequences was highly statistically significant for both eCLIP replicates as well as for merged peaks determined by replication and adjustment for input (Figure 6B). While a portion of NONO eCLIP sites may reflect binding of NONO/SFPQ heterodimers, overlap of SFPQ eCLIP binding sites with NEAT1 G-quadruplex-forming segments was not statistically significant (Supplementary Figure S4), indicating that SFPQ binds to NEAT1 through additional or alternative means which do not account for the specificities revealed by NONO eCLIP. It is important to note that an unknown fraction of reads mapped to the 5′ end of NEAT1 reflect interactions with the short isoform of NEAT1, although sites overlapping with G-quadruplex motifs on either isoform are relevant to NONO binding specificity. Strikingly, NONO-bound sites present in the adjusted and replicated ‘Merged’ set are nearly exclusive to the NEAT1.2 isoform. The extent of NONO overlap with G-quadruplex-forming segments is well beyond that expected by chance, providing evidence that the NONO preference for G-quadruplex RNA observed in vitro also applies to native NONO in living cells. Furthermore, these results indicate that NONO binds to NEAT1 in vivo largely through G-quadruplex-forming sequences, suggesting that this binding activity may mediate critical NONO-NEAT1 interactions.

The cationic porphyrin tetra-((N-methyl-4-pyridyl)porphyrin (TMPyP4), known to bind and distort previously described RNA G-quadruplexes, is commonly used to study protein–G-quadruplex interactions (95–97). To determine whether this drug has similar effects on NEAT1 G-quadruplexes, we measured the circular dichroism of select NEAT1 G-quadruplexes upon addition of increasing concentrations of TMPyP4. For both NEAT1.425 and NEAT1.22619 RNAs, spectra showed concentration-dependent decreases at 260 nm and increases at 240 nm, indicating loss of G-quadruplex structure (Figure 6C).

With eCLIP revealing significant overlap of NONO-bound sites and NEAT1 G-quadruplex motifs, we wondered whether G-quadruplex structures are critical to NONO-NEAT1 association. Observing a decrease in NEAT1 foci upon treating HEK293T cells with TMPyP4 (Supplementary Figure S5), we sought to determine whether disruption of NEAT1 G-quadruplexes by TMPyP4 would affect association with NONO. To study this association using native full-length molecules, RNPs were isolated from cell lysate by RNA-IP. Prior to immunoprecipitation, TMPyP4 was added to HEK293T lysate at increasing concentrations. TMPyP4 was added post-lysis to avoid potential confounding effects at the level of gene expression. Following immunoprecipitation of NONO, NEAT1 enrichment was measured by RT-qPCR (Figure 6D). TMPyP4 was found to cause dramatic dose-dependent decreases in isolated NEAT1, suggesting that G-quadruplexes play a significant role in NONO-NEAT1 association. Western blotting indicated that NONO input and enrichment by IP was consistent among treatments, confirming that the changes observed by RT-qPCR were due to altered NONO-NEAT1 association (Figure 6E).

Enrichment in G-quadruplex motifs is a conserved feature of NEAT1 homologues

The specific elements of NEAT1 mediating interaction with DBHS proteins have remained largely undefined (34–36). Given the low sequence conservation of NEAT1 (32), it has been speculated that structural elements, rather than particular sequences, may facilitate recruitment of these proteins (34,36,38). Our data suggest that G-quadruplex motifs may be a structural element of NEAT1 involved in recruiting NONO. Given this possibility, we sought to determine whether G-quadruplex motifs are more abundant in NEAT1 sequence than would be expected by chance. We found the mean number of QGRS among a series of randomly generated sequences of the same length and GC content as NEAT1 to be 38.4 ± 1.99 (SEM), while human NEAT1 contains 111 (Figure 7A, B). The genomic arrangement (13,32,98) and paraspeckle-seeding function of mouse (15,24,26,28) and opossum (13) NEAT1 homologues is well conserved despite bearing little resemblance to the human NEAT1 sequence. With striking similarity to that seen with human NEAT1, our analysis found that mouse and opossum NEAT1 homologues contain 105 and 114 QGRS while only 53.2 ± 1.72 and 48.8 ± 2.25 would be expected by chance for sequences of equivalent length and GC content (Figure 7C,D). Despite very low sequence homology, enrichment of G-quadruplex motifs appears to be a feature common among NEAT1 homologues, as might be expected of a feature important for recruiting NONO and seeding paraspeckle assembly.

DISCUSSION

Membraneless bodies play critical roles in homeostasis and have been implicated in a range of pathologies. While the phenomena guiding their formation and persistence are only beginning to be understood at a molecular level, evidence increasingly suggests that protein-RNA interactions play a central role. The manner by which specific proteins and RNA species coalesce within the crowded intracellular milieu to produce complex ordered structures is not well understood. With a well-defined structural arrangement initiated by a single RNA species, paraspeckles provide an ideal...
Figure 6. NONO binds to NEAT1 through G-quadruplex motifs. (A) Quadruplex-forming G-rich sequences (QGRS) mapped to NEAT1 are shown as tall bars (black). (B) NONO eCLIP data mapped to NEAT1. Reads are plotted by intensity and called peaks are shown as short bars. Tracks, from top to bottom: Merged peaks, determined by replication and adjustment for input (dark purple); Replicate 1 read intensity plot with called peaks directly beneath (purple); Replicate 2 read intensity plot with called peaks directly beneath (pink); size-matched paired input read intensity plot (grey). The statistical significance of overlap between QGRS and each set of peaks was determined using the two-tailed Fisher’s exact test, with $P$-values shown in parentheses beneath each corresponding track label. (C) Circular dichroism of 5′/H9262 M NEAT1 425 and NEAT1 22619 G-quadruplex RNAs following addition of TMPyP4 at increasing concentrations. (D) NEAT1 enrichment by NONO RNA-IP with addition of TMPyP4. RNA-IP for NONO was performed on HEK293T cell lysate. Various concentrations of TMPyP4 were added to lysate before immunoprecipitation. RT-qPCR was performed to measure NEAT1 enrichment, expressed as percentage of input ($n=4$). ‘***’ indicates $P < 0.0005$; ‘n.s.’ indicates $P \geq 0.05$ (two-tailed t-test). (E) Western blot of RNA-IP samples described in (D) and 5% input. GAPDH was blotted as a negative control for IP enrichment.

archetype for understanding the mechanistic basis and influence of these protein-RNA interactions. The biochemical basis of DBHS protein recruitment by NEAT1, the foundational step seeding paraspeckle formation, has been elusive. Low conservation of NEAT1 sequence has complicated identification of binding elements and hinted at the importance of secondary structure. This work provides the first evidence of a structural element which may fulfill these predictions.

Affinity of SPFQ and PSPC1 for G-rich RNA has not been previously observed. Enrichment of these proteins by G-rich and G-quadruplex RNA in the present study may have resulted from indirect enrichment through previously described heterodimerization with NONO (86,99). Future application of the in vitro assays used here to homogenous SPFQ and PSPC1 preparations may help to distinguish these binding activities. The finding that NONO recognizes G-rich RNA with preference for G-quadruplex structures is consistent with and adds a layer of structural specificity to known NONO RNA binding activities. Previous efforts to understand how NONO is targeted to RNA have uncovered binding preferences for two categories of RNA sequence. NONO was found to bind the purine-rich (R-rich) sequences of 5′ splice site RNA (80,94,100) and U5 snRNA (101). Additionally, binding assays (80,81) and systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment (SELEX) (82) have identified an affinity of NONO for G-rich RNA sequences. Consistent with an affinity for G-rich RNA, several proteomic screens have found NONO among proteins associated with GGGGCC repeat RNA (62,77,102). Notably, a mitotic phosphorylation event severely limits the ability of NONO to bind to R-rich RNA but has no effect on binding to G-rich RNA (80). Reports that NONO has two distinct binding activities raise the possibility that each of its two RRMs is involved in a separate binding activity (80,84). RRM1, with a canonical RRM fold and RNA interaction motif (84), is sufficient for binding the R-rich 5′-splice site RNA (80,94). RRM2 lacks three of four aromatic residues normally required for RRM-mediated RNA binding and contains atypical but highly-conserved loop sequences longer than those found in most RRMs; if RRM2 does bind RNA, it does so in a previously uncharacter-
Figure 7. Enrichment in G-quadruplex motifs is a conserved feature of NEAT1 homologues. (A) Human NEAT1 isoforms and scale bar. (B) Quadruplex-forming G-rich sequences (QGRS) on human NEAT1 (upper track) and on randomly generated sequences (lower tracks). QGRS are shown mapped to NEAT1 (upper track, light blue bars) and expanded below (upper track, short black bars). QGRS (tall black bars) were identified for five randomly generated sequences with length and GC content equal to NEAT1 (lower tracks). The number of QGRS identified on each sequence is shown to the left of the corresponding track. (C) Quadruplex-forming G-rich sequences predicted along the length of NEAT1 homologues. Identified QGRS are shown mapped to each NEAT1 homologue (light blue bars) and expanded below (short black bars). (D) QGRS enrichment among NEAT1 homologues. Sequences were randomly generated with the length and GC content of NEAT1 homologues and QGRS were identified. For each homologue, numbers of QGRS on five generated sequences are plotted with mean and SEM, with the number of QGRS on the corresponding homologue plotted for comparison. (E) Paraspeckle assembly begins with co-transcriptional recruitment of DBHS dimers (NONO and SFPQ homo- and heterodimers) to NEAT1, seeding formation of NEAT1 RNPs, the primary subunit of paraspeckles, and initiating paraspeckle formation. The elements of NEAT1 responsible for this recruitment have yet to be identified. G-quadruplex structures along the length of NEAT1 are recognized by NONO with structural specificity, play a critical role in NONO-NEAT1 association, and are a conserved feature among NEAT1 homologues. These findings position NEAT1 G-quadruplexes as a primary candidate for the NONO-recruiting elements of NEAT1.
ized manner (86). A proposed G-quadruplex-interaction motif recently defined through computational work (103) maps to RRM2, suggesting a potential role for RRM2 in RNA binding. Our finding that NONO does, in fact, bind G-quadruplexes with structural specificity lays the groundwork for further exploration of these potentially bipartite RNA binding activities. We hypothesize that G-quadruplex-binding activity is a previously unrecognized layer of structural specificity displayed by the functional unit responsible for previously reported G-rich binding activity. Future efforts to test the role of RRM2 in this activity will require careful consideration of the domain structure and its neighboring environment, as RRM2 contacts with other core DBHS domains are likely critical for the stability of obligate DBHS dimers (86).

Paraspeckle formation involves a complex series of events guided largely by interaction of paraspeckle proteins with NEAT1 (24,25,31). A critical early step in paraspeckle assembly is the recruitment of DBHS proteins to NEAT1, seeding formation of primary NEAT1 RNPs which are subsequently assembled to produce mature paraspeckles (Figure 7E) (24–28). Due to a lack of NEAT1 sequence conservation (32,37), it has been predicted that structural elements, as opposed to well-defined primary sequences, are responsible for NEAT1 recruitment of proteins in these initial stages of paraspeckle seeding (34,36,38). In the present study, the finding that NONO recognizes G-quadruplex structures spurred the hypothesis that G-quadruplex elements on NEAT1 are involved in NONO recruitment. We find an abundance of G-quadruplex motifs along the length of NEAT1, and that NONO shows preference for the G-quadruplex structure in binding to G-rich NEAT1 segments. Furthermore, we report evidence that G-quadruplex motifs mediate NONO-NEAT1 association in the findings that NONO binds to NEAT1 in vivo largely through G-quadruplex-forming sequences and that native NONO-NEAT1 association is disrupted by a G-quadruplex-distorting small molecule. Surprisingly we find that enrichment in G-quadruplex motifs is a feature conserved among NEAT1 homologues, in contrast to the low sequence conservation which has so far precluded identification of functional motifs. NONO recognition of NEAT1 through G-rich segments, as is suggested by these findings, is consistent with previous work showing that a mitotic phosphorylation event which inhibits R-rich binding activity but does not affect interactions with G-rich RNA similarly fails to affect NONO-NEAT1 association (80). G-quadruplex motifs, which our evidence suggests are conserved features of NEAT1 that mediate interactions with NONO, provide a promising candidate to fulfill the prediction that structural elements are responsible for protein recruitment to NEAT1 in the initial steps of paraspeckle formation (Figure 7E). The highlighting of these structures as possible functional elements provides a basis for future studies to assess their contribution to paraspeckle formation in vivo.

The model that NEAT1 G-quadruplexes recruit NONO in seeding paraspeckle assembly is supported by the recent finding (102) that GGGGCCC repeat RNA can act as a functional substitute for NEAT1, inducing formation of paraspeckle-like bodies independent of NEAT1. This seeding activity of GGGGCCC repeat RNA provides an opportunity to better understand the properties which imbue an RNA species with this activity. As we and others have previously reported that GGGGCCC RNA forms stable G-quadruplexes (62–64), the present finding that NEAT1 contains abundant G-quadruplex motifs provides a feature common to both RNAs. The findings that NONO recognizes G-quadruplexes formed by both GGGGCCC repeats and NEAT1 and that this activity mediates NONO-NEAT1 association suggest that G-quadruplexes contribute to seeding activity through mediating a critical step in paraspeckle seeding. Liquid-liquid phase separation is an important aspect of paraspeckle formation (20,25,27). Further supporting a role of G-quadruplexes in paraspeckle formation, GGGGCCC repeat RNA promotes phase separation of RNA binding proteins including NONO and other paraspeckle components, and this activity is enhanced by G-quadruplex formation and inhibited by addition of TMPyP4 (104).

Taken together, the present findings reveal a previously unrecognized layer of structural specificity in the RNA-binding activity of NONO, with possible implications for an array of biological functions assigned to the protein. These functions include a central role in paraspeckle formation, where co-transcriptional recruitment to NEAT1 is a critical step in initiating paraspeckle assembly. The elements of NEAT1 responsible for this recruitment have long been a topic of interest, but their identification has been hindered by the low sequence conservation of NEAT1. In this study, we uncover evolutionarily conserved enrichment of G-quadruplex motifs on NEAT1 and present evidence that the structure-specific recognition of these motifs by NONO is important to NONO-NEAT1 association. Based on these findings, we present G-quadruplex structures as a promising candidate for the elusive recruiting elements of NEAT1 and lay the groundwork for future studies to interrogate the role of these structures in paraspeckle formation and function.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
We would like to thank Charles S. Bond for providing the NONO(53-312) construct, Mingming Liu for technical advice on bedtools statistical analysis, Michael Matunis, J. Marie Hardwick, Joseph G. Gall, Jeffrey Corden and Brian Learn for critical feedback, and members of the Wang laboratory for stimulating discussion. Author contributions: E.S. performed most of the experiments. H.L. and A.H. generated the model transcript constructs. T.Z. performed part of the RNA-IP experiments. A.V., S.T. and H.L. performed the FISH experiments. E.S. and J.W. designed the studies and wrote the paper.

FUNDING
National Institutes of Health [NS074324, NS089616, NS110098]; U.S. Department of Defense, Muscular Dystrophy Association, and the Robert Packard Center for ALS
Research at Johns Hopkins (to J.W.); E.S. was a recipient of the NIH training grant [T32CA09110]. Funding for open access charge: NIH.

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES

1. Boeynaems, S., Alberti, S., Fawzi, N.M., Mittag, T., Polymenidou, M., Rousseau, F., Schymkowitz, J., Shorter, J., Wolozin, B., Van Den Bosch, L. et al. (2018) Protein phase Separation: A new phase in cell biology. Trends Cell Biol., 28, 420–435.

2. Lam, Y.W., Trinkle-Mulcahy, L. and Lamond, A.I. (2005) The nucleolus. J. Cell Sci., 118, 1335–1337.

3. Luo, Y., Na, Z. and Slavoff, S.A. (2018) P-bodies: composition, properties, and functions. Biochemistry, 57, 2424–2431.

4. Alberti, S. and Carra, S. (2018) Quality control of membraneless organelles. J. Mol. Biol., 430, 4711–4729.

5. Gomes, E. and Shorter, J. (2019) The molecular language of membraneless organelles. J. Biol. Chem., 294, 7115–7127.

6. Mao, Y.S., Zhang, B. and Spector, D.L. (2011) Biogenesis and function of nuclear bodies. Trends Genet., 27, 295–306.

7. Shvetsov, S.P. and Dumd, M. (2011) Nuclearization of nuclear bodies by RNA. Nat. Cell Biol., 13, 167–173.

8. Chujo, T., Yamazaki, T. and Hirose, T. (2016) Architectural RNAs (arcRNAs): A class of long noncoding RNAs that function as the scaffold of nuclear bodies. Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Gene Regul. Mech., 1859, 138–146.

9. Torres, M., Becquet, D., Blanchard, M.-P., Guillen, S., Boyer, B., Moreno, M., Franc, J.-L. and Francois-Bellan, A.-M. (2016) Circadian RNA expression elicited by 3'-UTR IRAhu-paraspeckle associated elements. Elife, 5, e14837.

10. Blüthgen, N., van Bentum, M., Merz, B., Kuhl, Di. and Henrey, G. (2017) Profiling the MAPK/ERK dependent and independent activity regulated transcriptional programs in the murine hippocampus in vivo. Sci. Rep., 7, 45101.

11. Barry, G., Briggs, D.A., Hwang, D.W., Nayler, S.P., Fortuna, P.R.J., Jonkhout, N., Duchet, F., Maag, J.L. V, Mestdagh, P., Singh, E.M. et al. (2017) The long non-coding RNA NEAT1 is responsive to neuronal activity and is associated with hypereexcitability states. Sci. Rep., 7, 40127.

12. Nakagawa, S., Shimada, M., Yanaka, K., Mito, M., Arai, T., Takahashi, E., Fujita, Y., Fujimori, T., Standaert, L., Marine, J.-C. et al. (2014) The IncRNA Neat1 is required for mammary gland development and lactation. RNA, 20, 1844–1849.

13. Morse, C., Bennett, C.F., Zhang, M.Q. and Spector, D.L. (2005) Regulating gene expression upon immune stimuli. Cell, 123, 249–263.

14. Chen, L.-L. and Carmichael, G.G. (2009) Altered nuclear retention of mRNAs containing inverted repeats in human embryonic stem cells: functional role of a nuclear noncoding RNA. Mol. Cell, 35, 467–478.

15. Hu, S., Xiang, J., Li, X., Xu, Y., Xue, W., Huang, M., Wong, C.C., Sagum, G. and Yang, L. et al. (2015) Protein arginine methyltransferase Carm1 attenuates the paraspeckle-mediated nuclear retention of mRNAs containing IR Alu s. Genes Dev., 29, 630–645.

16. Wang, Y., Hu, S.-B., Wang, M.-R., Yao, R.-W., Wu, D., Yang, L. and Chen, L.-L. (2018) Genome-wide screening of NEAT1 regulators reveals cross-regulation between paraspeckles and mitochondria. Nat. Cell Biol., 20, 1145–1158.

17. Jiang, L., Shao, C., Wu, Q.-L., Chen, G., Zhou, J., Yang, B., Li, H., Gou, L.-T., Zhang, Y. and Yang, W. et al. (2017) NEAT1 scaffolds RNA-binding proteins and the Microprocessor to globally enhance pri-miRNA processing. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol., 24, 816–824.

18. Mao, Y.S., Sunwoo, H., Zhang, B. and Spector, D.L. (2011) Direct visualization of the co-transcriptional assembly of a nuclear body by noncoding RNAs. Nat. Cell Biol., 13, 95–101.

19. Clemson, C.M., Hutchinson, J.N., Saka, S., Ensminger, A.W., Fox, A.H., Chess, A. and Lawrence, J.B. (2009) An architectural role for a nuclear noncoding RNA: NEAT1 RNA is essential for the structure of paraspeckles. Mol. Cell, 33, 717–726.

20. West, J.A., Mito, M., Kurosaka, S., Takumi, T., Tanegashima, C., Chujo, T., Yanaka, K., Kingston, R.E., Hirose, T. and Bond, C. et al. (2016) Structural super-resolution microscopy analysis of paraspeckle nuclear body organization. J. Cell Biol., 214, 817–830.

21. Yamazaki, T., Souqere, S., Chujo, T., Kobelke, S., Chong, Y.S., Fox, A.H., Bond, C.S., Nakagawa, S., Pierron, G. and Hirose, T. (2018) Functional domains of NEAT1 architectural IncRNA induce paraspeckle assembly through phase separation. Mol. Cell, 70, 1038–1053.

22. Naganuma, T., Nakagawa, S., Tanigawa, A., Sasaki, Y.F., Goshima, N. and Hirose, T. (2012) Alternative 3′-end processing of long noncoding RNA initiates construction of nuclear paraspeckles. EMBO J., 31, 4020–4034.

23. Hennig, S., Kong, G., Mannen, T., Sadowska, A., Kobelke, S., Blythe, A., Knott, G.J., Iyer, K.S., Ho, D., Newcombe, E.A. et al. (2015) Prion-like domains in RNA binding proteins are essential for building subnuclear paraspeckles. J. Cell Biol., 210, 529–539.

24. Kawaguchi, T., Tanigawa, A., Naganuma, T., Ohkawa, Y., Souquere, S., Pierron, G. and Hirose, T. (2015) SWI/SNF chromatin-remodeling complexes function in noncoding RNA-dependent assembly of nuclear bodies. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 112, 201423819.

25. Chujo, T., Yamazaki, T., Kawaguchi, T., Kurosaka, S., Takumi, T., Nakagawa, S. and Hirose, T. (2017) Unusual semi-extractability as a hallmark of nuclear body-associated architectural noncoding RNAs. EMBO J., 36, 1447–1462.

26. Souquere, S., Beauchair, G., Harper, F., Fox, A. and Pierron, G. (2010) Highly ordered spatial organization of the structural long noncoding RNA NEAT1 within paraspeckle nuclear bodies. Mol. Biol. Cell, 21, 4020–4027.

27. Sasaki, Y.T.F., Ideue, T., Sano, M., Mituyama, T. and Hirose, T. (2009) ME/Nepsin/beta noncoding RNAs are essential for structural integrity of nuclear paraspeckles. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 106, 2520–2525.

28. Hutchinson, J.N., Ensminger, A.W., Clemson, C.M., Lynch, C.R., Lawrence, J.B. and Chess, A. (2007) A screen for nuclear transcripts identifies two linked noncoding RNAs associated with SC35 splicing domains. BMC Genomics, 8, 39.

29. Lee, M., Sadowska, A., Beker, I., Ho, D., Guly, B.S., Lu, Y., Iyer, K.S., Triewhelia, J., Fox, A.H. and Bond, C.S. (2015) The structure of human SFPQ Reveals a coiled-coil mediated polymer essential for functional aggregation in gene regulation. Nucleic Acids Res., 43, 3826–3840.

30. Nakagawa, S. and Hirose, T. (2012) Paraspeckle nuclear bodies-usefulness? Cell. Mol. Life Sci., 69, 3027–3036.

31. Nakagawa, S., Yamazaki, T. and Hirose, T. (2018) Molecular dissection of nuclear paraspeckles: towards understanding the emerging world of the RNP milieu. Open Biol., 8, 180150.

32. Fox, A.H., Nakagawa, S., Hirose, T. and Bond, C.S. (2018) Paraspeckles: Where long noncoding RNA meets phase separation. Trends Biochem. Sci., 43, 124–135.

33. Souquere, S., Dinger, M.E., Wilusz, J.E., Amaral, P.P., Mattick, J.S. and Spector, D.L. (2009) MEN epsilon/signal beta nuclear-retained non-coding RNAs are up-regulated upon muscle differentiation and are essential components of paraspeckles. Genome Res., 19, 347–359.

34. Fox, A.H. and Lamond, A.I. (2010) Paraspeckles. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol., 2, a00687.
39. Ji,X., Sun,H., Zhou,H., Xiang,J., Tang,Y. and Zhao,C. (2011) Research Progress of RNA Quadruplex. Oligonucleotides, 21, 185–200.

40. Lippis,H.J. and Rhodes,D. (2009) G-quadruplex structures: in vivo evidence and function. Trends Cell Biol., 19, 414–422.

41. Tang,C.-F. and Shafer,R.H. (2006) Engineering the quadruplex fold: nucleoside conformation determines both folding topology and molecularity in guanine quadruplexes. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 128, 5966–5973.

42. Agarwala,P., Pandey,S. and Maiti,S. (2015) The tale of RNA G-quadruplex. Org. Biomol. Chem., 13, 5570–5585.

43. Dalziel,M., Nunes,N.M. and Burge,A. (2007) Two G-rich regulatory elements located adjacent to and 440 nucleotides downstream of the core poly(A) site of the intronless melanocortin receptor 1 gene are critical for efficient 3’ end processing. Mol. Cell. Biol., 27, 1568–1580.

44. Bagga,P.S., Ford,L.P., Chen,F. and Wilusz,J. (1995) The G-rich auxiliary downstream element has distinct sequence and position requirements and mediates efficient 3’ end pre-mRNA processing through a trans-acting factor. Nucleic Acids Res., 23, 1625–1631.

45. Gomezu,D., Lamarteleur,T., Lacroix,L., Mailliet,P., Mergny,J.L. and Riou,J.F. (2004) Telomerase downregulation induced by the G-quadruplex ligand 12459 in A549 cells is mediated by hTERT RNA alternative splicing. Nucleic Acids Res., 32, 371–379.

46. Marcel,V., Tran,P.L.T., Sagne,C., Martel-Planche,G., Vaslin,L., Didiot,M.-C., Tian,Z., Schaeffer,C., Subramanian,M., Mandel,J.-L. and Riou,J.F. (2004) Telomerase downregulation induced by the G-quadruplex ligand 12459 in A549 cells is mediated by hTERT RNA alternative splicing and in production of p53 mRNA isoforms. Carcinogenesis, 25, 271–278.

47. Didiot,M.-C., Tian,Z., Schaeffer,C., Subramanian,M., Mandel,J.-L. and Moine,H. (2008) The G-quartet containing FMRP binding site sequences. Nucleic Acids Res., 36, 4902–4912.

48. Mirihana Arachchilage,G., Dassanayake,A.C. and Basu,S. (2015) A potential role of RNA alternative splicing and in production of p53 mRNA isoforms.

49. Pandey,S., Agarwala,P., Jayaraj,G.G., Gargallo,R. and Maiti,S. (2015) The RNA Stem-Loop to G-Quadruplex Equilibrium Control Mature MicroRNA Production inside the Cell.

50. Shahid,R., Bugaut,A. and Balasubramanian,S. (2010) The BCL-2 5’ untranslated region contains an RNA G-quadruplex-forming motif that modulates protein expression. Biochemistry, 49, 8300–8306.

51. Morris,M.J., Negishi,Y., Pazsint,C., Schonhoft,J.D. and Basu,S. (2010) An RNA G-quadruplex is essential for cap-independent translation initiation in human VEGF IRES. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 132, 17831–17839.

52. Arora,A. and Suss,B. (2011) An RNA G-quadruplex in the 3’ UTR of the proto-oncogene PIM1 represses translation. Nucleic Acids Res., 8, 802–805.

53. Westmark,C.J. and Walter,J.S. (2007) FMRP mediates mGluR5-dependent translation of amyloid precursor protein. PLoS Biol., 5, e52.

54. Crenshaw,E., Leung,B.P., Kwok,C.K., Sharoni,M., Olson,K., Sebastian,N.P., Ansoloni,S., Schweitzer-Stenner,R., Akins,M.R., Bevilacqua,P.C. et al. (2015) Amyloid precursor protein translation is regulated by a 3’ UTR quinane quadruplex. PLoS One, 10, e0143160.

55. Endoh,T., Kawasaki,Y. and Sagimoto,N. (2013) Suppression of gene expression by G-quadruplexes in open reading frames depends on G-quadruplex stability. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 52, 5522–5526.

56. Yu,C.-H., Teulade-Fichou,M.-P. and Olsomboon,R.C.L. (2014) Stimulation of ribosomal frameshifting by RNA G-quadruplex structures. Nucleic Acids Res., 42, 1887–1892.

57. Cammas,A. and Millevoi,S. (2017) RNA G-quadruplexes: emerging mechanisms in disease. Nucleic Acids Res., 45, 1584–1595.

58. DeJesus-Hernandez,M., Mackenzie,I.R., Boeve,B.F., Boxer,A.L., Baker,M., Rutherford,N.J., Nicholson,A.M., Finch,N.A., Flynn,H., Adamson,J.L. et al. (2011) Expanded GGGGCC hexanucleotide repeat in noncoding region of C9ORF72 causes chromosome 9p-linked FTD and ALS. Nature, 27, 745–256.

59. Gendron,T.F., Biencik,K.F., Zhang,Y.-J., Jensen-West,K., Ash,P.E.A., Caulfield,T., Daughrity,L., Dunmore,J.H., Castaneda-Casey,M., Chew,J. et al. (2013) Antisense transcripts of the expanded C9ORF72 hexanucleotide repeat form nuclear RNA foci and undergo repeat-associated non-ATG translation in c9FTD/ALS. Acta Neuropathol., 126, 829–844.

60. Mizielska,S., Lashley,T., Norona,F.E., Clayton,E.L., Ridler,C.E., Fratta,P. and Isaacs,A.M. (2013) C9ORF72 frontotemporal lobar degeneration is characterised by frequent neuronal sense and antisense RNA foci. Acta Neuropathol., 126, 845–857.

61. Tran,H., Almeida,S., Chalasani,U., Lu,Y., Du,X., Nickerson,J.A., Petruelli,L., Weng,Z. et al. (2015) Differential toxicity of nuclear RNA foci versus dipeptide repeat proteins in a Drosophila model of C9ORF72 FTD/ALS. Neuro, 87, 1207–1214.

62. Heusler,A.R., Donnelly,C.J. and Rothstein,J.D. (2016) The expanded biology of the C9orf72 nucleotide repeat expansion in neurodegenerative disease. Nat. Rev. Neurosci., 17, 383–395.

63. Knott,G.J., Panjakir,S., Thorn,A., Fox,A.H., Conte,M.R., Lee,M. and Bond,C.S. (2016) A crystallographic study of human NONO (p54(nrb)): overcoming pathological problems with purification, data collection and noncrystallographic symmetry. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D, Struct. Biol., 72, 761–769.

64. Schneider,C.A., Rasband,W.S. and Eliceiri,K.W. (2012) NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nat. Methods, 9, 671–675.

65. Tasic,B., Zamiri,B., Stanley,S.Y.R., Macgregor,R.B. and Pearson,C.E. (2013) The disease-associated (GGGGCC)n repeat from the C9orf72 gene forms tract length-dependent uni- and multinucleolar RNA G-quadruplex structures. J. Biol. Chem., 288, 9859–9866.

66. Heusler,A.R., Donnelly,C.J. and Rothstein,J.D. (2016) The expanded biology of the C9orf72 nucleotide repeat expansion in neurodegenerative disease. Nat. Rev. Neurosci., 17, 383–395.
Hoover, B. et al. (2013) RNA toxicity from the ALS/FTD C9ORF72 expansion is mitigated by antisense intervention. Neuron, 80, 415–428.

Cooper-Knock J., Walsh, M.J., Higginbottom, A., Robin Highley, J., Dickman, M.J., Edbauer, D., Incz, P.G., Wharton, S.B., Wilson, S.A., Kirby, J. et al. (2014) Sequestration of multiple RNA recognition motif-containing proteins by C9orf72 repeat expansions. Brain, 137, 2040–2051.

Bruelle, C., Bédard, M., Blier, S., Gauthier, M., Traish, A.M. and Hallier, M., Tavitian, A. and Moreau-Gachelin, F. (1996) The Basu, A., Dong, B., Krainer, A.R. and Howe, C.C. (1997) The Matunis, M.J., Michael, W.M. and Dreyfuss, G. (1992) Knott, G.J., Bond, C.S. and Fox, A.H. (2016) The DBHS proteins Lee, M., Passon, D.M., Rackham, O., Stanley, W.A., Sadowska, A., Passon, D.M., Lee, M., Fox, A.H. and Bond, C.S. (2011) Maturin, M.I., Michael, W.M. and Dreyfuss, G. (1992) Characterization and primary structure of the poly(C)-binding heterodimer of human NONO and paraspeckle protein component K protein. Mol. Cell. Biol., 12, 164–171.

Knott, G.J., Bond, C.S. and Fox, A.H. (2016) The DBHS proteins SFPQ, NONO and PSPC1: a multipurpose molecular scaffold. Nucleic Acids Res., 44, 3989–4004.

Lee, M., Passon, D.M., Hennig, S., Fox, A.H. and Bond, C.S. (2011) Construct optimization for studying protein complexes: obtaining diffraction-quality crystals of the pseudosymmetric PSPC1-NONO heterodimer. Acta Crystallogr. D, 97, 981–987.

Passon, D.M., Lee, M., Rackham, O., Stanley, W.A., Sadowska, A., Filipovska, A., Fox, A.H. and Bond, C.S. (2012) Structure of the heterodimer of human NONO and paraspeckle protein component 1 and analysis of its role in subnuclear body formation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 109, 4846–4850.

Passon, D.M., Lee, M., Fox, A.H. and Bond, C.S. (2011) Crystallization of a paraspeckle protein PSPC1-NONO heterodimer. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. F, Struct. Biol. Cryst. Commun., 67, 1231–1234.

Fay, M.M., Lyons, S.M. and Ivanov, P. (2017) RNA G-quadruplexes in biology: principles and molecular mechanisms. J. Mol. Biol., 429, 2127–2147.

Hardin, C.C., Perry, A.G. and White, K. (2000) Thermodynamic and kinetic characterization of the dissociation and assembly of quadruplex nucleic acids. Biopolymers, 56, 147–194.

Del Villar-Guerra, R., Trent, J.O. and Chaires, J.B. (2018) G-quadruplex secondary structure obtained from circular dichroism spectroscopy. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 57, 7171–7175.

Jing, N., Rando, R.F., Pommier, Y. and Hogan, M.E. (1997) Ion selective folding of loop domains in a potent anti-HIV oligonucleotide. Biochemistry, 36, 12498–12505.

McAninch, D.S., Heinemann, A.M., Lang, C.N., Moss, K.R., Bassell, G.J., Rita Mihalescu, M. and Evans, T.L. (2017) Fragile X mental retardation protein recognizes a G quadruplex structure within the survival motor neuron domain containing 1 mRNA 5′-UTR. Mol. Biosyst., 13, 1448–1457.

Seol, Y., Skinner, G.M. and Visscher, K. (2004) Elastic properties of a single-stranded charged homopolymeric ribonucleotide. Phys. Rev. Lett., 93, 118102.

Duvignaud, J.-B., Bédard, M., Nagata, T., Muto, Y., Yokoyama, S., Gagné, S.M. and Vincent, M. (2016) Structure, Dynamics, and Interaction of p54(nrb)/NONO RRM1 with 5′ Splice Site RNA Sequence. Biochemistry, 55, 2553–2566.

Alniss, H., Zamiri, B., Khalaj, M., Pearson, C.E. and Macgregor, R.B. (2018) Thermodynamic and spectroscopic investigations of TMPyP4 association with guanine- and cytosine-rich DNA and RNA repeats of C9orf72. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 495, 2410–2417.

Morris, M.J., Wingate, K.L., Silwal, J., Leeper, T.C. and Basu, S. (2012) The porphyrin TMPyP4 unfolds the extremely stable G-quadruplex in MT3-MMP mRNA and alleviates its repressive effect to enhance translation in eukaryotic cells. Nucleic Acids Res., 40, 4137–4145.

Zamiri, B., Reddy, K., Macgregor, R.B. and Pearson, C.E. (2014) TMPyP4 porphyrin distorts RNA G-quadruplex structures of the disease-associated r(GGGGCC)n repeat of the C9orf72 gene and blocks interaction of RNA-binding proteins. J. Biol. Chem., 289, 4653–4659.

Stadler, P.F. (2010) Evolution of the Long Non-coding RNAs MALAT1 and MEN1β/ε. In: Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics). LNBI, Vol. 6208, pp. 1–12.

Dong, B., Horowitz, D.S., Kobayashi, R. and Krainer, A.R. (1993) Purification and cDNA cloning of HeLa cell p54nrb, a nuclear protein with two RNA recognition motifs and extensive homology to human splicing factor PSF and Drosophila NONA/Bf6. Nucleic Acids Res., 21, 4085–4092.

Kameoka, S., Duque, P. and Konarska, M.M. (2004) p54(nrb) associates with the 5′ splice site within large transcription/splicing complexes. EMBO J., 23, 1782–1791.

Peng, R., Dye, B.T., Perez, I., Barnard, D.C., Thompson, A.B. and Paton, J.G. (2002) PSF and p54nrb bind a conserved stem in U5 snRNA. RNA, 8, 1334–1347.

Bajc Česnik, A., Darovic, S., Prpar Mihevc, S., Stalekar, M., Malnar, M., Motaln, H., Lee, Y., Mazej, J., Polhoven, J., Grosch, M. et al. (2019) Nuclear RNA foci from C9ORF72 expansion mutation form paraspeckle-like bodies. J. Cell Sci., 132, jcs224303.

Brázdová, Z., Červeň, J., Bartas, M., Mikyskova, N., Coufal, J. and Pečinka, P. (2018) The amino acid composition of quadruplex binding proteins reveals a shared motif and predicts new potential quadruplex interactors. Molecules, 23, 2341.

Fay, M.M., Anderson, P.J. and Ivanov, P. (2017) ALS/FTD-Associated C9ORF72 repeat RNA promotes phase transitions in vitro and in cells. Cell Rep., 21, 3573–3584.