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The time for action is now
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The Pressing Need for MDR-TB Clinical Trials

Drug-resistant strains of Mycobacterium tuberculosis may account for 10% of the 8 million new cases of tuberculosis (TB) that occur annually. Systematic surveys have been undertaken in at least 90 countries. Drug-resistant isolates were found in every site, and multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB; resistant to at least isoniazid and rifampin) in all but eight [1]. Extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis (XDR-TB)—disease caused by MDR strains that are also resistant to at least one fluoroquinolone and one or more injectable agents [2]—has been reported in at least 37 countries, with very poor treatment outcomes [3–5]. Increasing concern about resistance has redoubled interest in strategies to control drug-resistant TB, especially in settings of high HIV prevalence [6].

There is, therefore, increased urgency for clinical trials that will identify safe and effective regimens for patients who have no treatment options. Furthermore, although the feasibility and cost-effectiveness of treating patients with MDR-TB in resource-constrained countries is well established [7–13], outcomes of MDR-TB treatment remain suboptimal. MDR-TB can be lethal; 5%–20% of HIV-uninfected patients [7,9–11,14] and 66% of HIV-infected patients die during treatment [15]. MDR-TB treatment lasts between 18 and 24 months, and adverse events are common [16]. As a result, the combined frequency of cure and completion often remains below 50% [7,17,18]. Even when therapy is designed with access to the full complement of antituberculosis agents presently available, outcomes rarely approach the target for TB treatment success (cure among at least 85% of patients initiating therapy) [14,19]. The long duration and toxicity of current MDR-TB regimens will impede achievement of the goal of treating nearly 1.6 million MDR-TB patients by 2015, set out in the Global Plan to Stop TB [20]. In addition, the poor outcomes of current regimens mean that many of those treated will develop chronic, highly resistant forms of TB that have a high mortality rate and can be transmitted to others.

Summary Points

The time is now right for randomized trials of MDR-TB:
1. The burden of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) is growing, and the standard-of-care treatment is long, toxic, and frequently unsuccessful.
2. The need for additional research is clearly illustrated by suboptimal treatment outcomes and limited estimates of the burden of MDR-TB and extensively drug-resistant TB.
3. The expansion of MDR-TB treatment programs provides the settings in which trials can be implemented.
4. For the first time in 30 years, several new drug classes that hold promise for MDR-TB treatment are under development; these new agents should be evaluated in parallel for drug-resistant and drug-susceptible TB.
5. Concerns about MDR-TB can help mobilize additional funding for TB drug development, while clinical trials for MDR-TB will likely allow accelerated regulatory approval for new agents.

The Past

The history of the development of current, short-course standardized treatment for drug-susceptible TB is instructive for developing regimens to treat MDR-TB. Identified through a series of randomized clinical trials, the short-course approach offered dramatic benefits over earlier standards of care—treatment duration decreased from 24 to six months while outcomes improved [21]. In contrast, guidelines for management of MDR-TB [22] are based on expert opinion and results of cohort and case series analyses, since no large-scale randomized trials of treatment have been conducted.

As in the case of drug-susceptible TB, randomized controlled trials continue to be used to inform recommendations for treatment of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. PLoS Med 4(11): e292. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0040292
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Box 1: Fluoroquinolones: A Highly Active Drug Class with No Evidence on Optimization of Use

As new drugs with antituberculosis activity have been identified, they have been incorporated into MDR-TB treatment regimens. The use of fluoroquinolones for MDR-TB treatment exemplifies the problems of changing therapy in the absence of evidence from rigorously conducted clinical trials. The fluoroquinolones are considered to be the most important drug class in the treatment of MDR-TB, based on their in vitro activity and favorable results in case series.

However, there is agreement neither on the best fluoroquinolone for TB treatment nor on the optimal duration of fluoroquinolone-containing MDR-TB treatment regimens. The current recommended duration of treatment for MDR-TB (18–24 months) derives from the pre-fluoroquinolone era. In light of the excellent activity of the fluoroquinolones, it is conceivable that their inclusion could shorten treatment for MDR-TB; inclusion of class members with greater antituberculosis activity could shorten treatment further.

In the absence of data from controlled trials and in the face of such high stakes, however, clinicians are understandably reluctant to shorten fluoroquinolone-containing MDR-TB regimens. Without evidence from clinical trials to show the benefits of more active—and more expensive—fluoroquinolones in MDR-TB regimens, cost considerations alone have precluded the use of these newer agents.

Without clinical trials, new agents with demonstrable activity will be used for MDR-TB treatment. Persistent questions about their efficacy, dosing, and toxicity, however, will lead to even greater heterogeneity in treatment practices—hardly the way to expand evidence-based MDR-TB treatment programs around the globe.

How to Resolve the Impasse

Recent developments in MDR-TB epidemiology, treatment programs, clinical trials methodology, and TB drug development suggest an end to the present impasse. First, the magnitude of the MDR-TB problem is now understood to be much greater than previously thought. Half a million new cases and an additional 1–1.5 million prevalent cases of MDR-TB were estimated to have occurred globally in 2004 [23]. We also now know that fitness costs are not an inevitable consequence of mutations that confer resistance [24]. And models have illustrated the potential epidemiologic importance of even a few highly fit, drug-resistant strains of M. tuberculosis [25]. There is growing consensus that universal access to high-quality treatment for all patients with TB, including those infected with drug-resistant isolates, is the right of every patient and is sound public health practice [20-26].

Second, MDR-TB treatment programs have expanded dramatically: 40 programs in resource-limited settings are managing treatment for nearly 30,000 patients. These programs receive quality-assured second-line drugs through a pooled-procurement mechanism supported by the Green Light Committee for Access to Second-line Antituberculosis Drugs (see http://www.who.int/tb/dots/dotsplus/management_old/en/index.html) and the Global Fund to fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria. The requirements for approval by the Green Light Committee have markedly raised standards for MDR-TB treatment in resource-constrained settings. Some of these treatment programs have been operating for nearly 10 years and have developed sophisticated means of delivering supervised ambulatory treatment, guided by drug susceptibility testing—or drug resistance surveys—and other patient-specific characteristics. Although few of these sites have participated in clinical trials, their current standard of care could be raised to that certifiable as “good clinical practice” with limited additional investment.

Third, a clinical trial design has been developed that allows individualization of regimens while evaluating rigorously the safety and activity of a new drug. According to this design, implemented in trials of treatment of drug-resistant HIV infection, patients receive regimens tailored to drug-susceptibility test results and individual characteristics. Patients are randomized to receive either the new drug in addition to the optimized background regimen, or the optimized background regimen alone. As long as randomization is successful in distributing key potential confounding factors equally between study arms, this methodology allows inclusion of patients heterogeneous in many characteristics: prior drug exposure, drug resistance profile, geography, ethnicity, and disease stage. A similar comparative trial design has been used successfully for the pivotal trials showing superiority of the last four antiretroviral drugs approved in the United States (enfuvirtide, tipranavir, darunavir, and maraviroc) [27,28].

Fourth, there are several new classes of antituberculosis drugs in early clinical trials and more in preclinical development [29]. Several agents, which have been used off-label for highly drug-resistant TB in countries with established market economies,
could improve MDR-TB treatment efficacy in resource-constrained settings as well [30–32]. The new drug classes have new mechanisms of action. Some also have a narrow spectrum of activity, specific only to M. tuberculosis. Therefore, their activity is not limited by the presence of resistance to currently available drugs and resistance is unlikely to develop through use for other indications. Consequently, they hold great promise for MDR-TB treatment.

**What Is Needed to Move Forward?**

Four elements are needed to make MDR-TB treatment trials a reality: money; additional work on the drug pipeline; rigorous, interdisciplinary preclinical work on individual agents and regimens; and an understanding that TB clinical trials need not be a zero-sum endeavor (Box 2).

Despite the frequency of MDR-TB, it is doubtful that a new drug for MDR-TB treatment can repay the cost of its development. Therefore, resources from public institutions, foundations, and public–private partnerships are required to fund clinical trials for MDR-TB. The lack of resources for MDR-TB clinical trials is a reflection of the larger deficit in the field of TB research. Recent estimates indicate that research funding must increase 5-fold in order to meet the targets set by the Global Plan to Stop TB [33].

The new drugs currently in development display promising activity, but a substantial attrition rate is predicted [34]. Therefore funding for basic science, preclinical development, and related research should be increased. Moreover, this work must be integrated with simultaneous efforts to implement clinical trials of currently available drugs. Since clinical trials are expensive, it is critical that new drugs and combinations for MDR-TB be fully evaluated in a preclinical predictor of their efficacy in humans—the animal model of TB treatment. Despite differences in pharmacokinetics and histopathology from human tuberculosis, the mouse model can help to identify promising doses, dosing frequencies, and drug combinations for further evaluation in human trials. Closer collaboration among other, related disciplines (such as medicinal chemistry, microbiology, statistics, and epidemiology) will further enhance drug development efforts.

**Box 2: The Way Forward**

The following elements are essential to ensure the development of optimized regimens, which can be widely implemented, for the treatment of MDR-TB.

1. **Increased funding:** 30% of the overall estimated 2005 global TB research allocation, or $120 million, was devoted to drug development [33]. The Global Plan to Stop TB, which was published prior to the alarming reports of XDR-TB, estimated a $4.2 billion gap in drug development resources over 10 years [20]. A recent addendum to the Global Plan, the Global MDR-TB and XDR-TB Response Plan estimates a staggering two-year gap of US$334 million for R&D related to MDR-TB and XDR-TB [37].

2. **Additional work on the drug pipeline:** A substantial portion of drug development resources is devoted to human trials of compounds well into the development process. These trials have focused mostly on shortening first-line therapy. Although this is a critical goal, with expected benefits in reducing the incidence of MDR-TB and XDR-TB, its achievement will have no impact on the treatment of prevalent cases. The likelihood that even one of the new compounds currently under development will reach the market by 2010 has been estimated at less than 5% [34]. Efforts in basic science, lead-compound optimization, and early drug discovery will have to be intensified radically to broaden the range of drug targets and to increase the probability and efficiency of new agents reaching the market. Estimated at a paltry $68 million in 2005 [33], additional investment in basic research and drug discovery is essential to ensure that new drugs—and regimens—become a reality.

3. **Integration of efforts:** A successful MDR-TB treatment trials approach requires close collaboration along a broad spectrum of disciplines, from basic research to clinical trials. Close interactions between medicinal chemists and microbiologists can accelerate the optimization of an initial compound having promising activity. Similarly, better integration of animal research and human trials will likely result in more efficient development; it is essential to resolve in animals the questions that are most appropriate for that model and to reserve questions that can be answered only in humans for the more expensive, longer clinical trials. In each of these models, it will also be important to integrate testing of new compounds with existing agents used for treatment of resistant TB. Results from these efforts will inform design of parallel trials of new agents in drug-susceptible and drug-resistant TB. Lastly, clinical research must incorporate efforts to identify and validate surrogate endpoints or interim indicators, which will have implications for sample size, duration, and cost of human trials.

4. **An understanding that MDR-TB clinical trials need not be a zero-sum endeavor:** Optimization of MDR-TB treatment is essential to fulfill the promise of universal access to effective treatment for all patients with TB. The HIV model has shown that substantial benefits may accrue to acceleration of regulatory approval of antituberculosis agents through development of a parallel track of testing antituberculosis agents in patients with resistant disease. An aggressive MDR-TB clinical trials agenda can also enhance overall support for TB control.

The final element necessary for clinical trials of MDR-TB treatment is acceptance of the importance of a parallel track to evaluate new drugs for drug-resistant TB. The focus of recent and planned TB clinical trials has been the identification of shorter regimens for drug-susceptible TB. The benefit of such regimens would accrue to tens of millions sick with TB, by both curing extant disease and averting acquisition of additional resistance. Consequently, concerns have been raised that evaluating new drugs for MDR-TB may divert scarce resources from the development of shorter regimens for drug-susceptible TB.

This concern echoes similar apprehensions that treating MDR-TB in resource-poor settings would divert the limited resources of national TB control programs and thereby detract from TB control [35]. But just as MDR-TB treatment in resource-limited
settings can boost TB control [36], clinical trials of new drugs for MDR-TB treatment can enhance and accelerate drug development efforts. The threats of MDR- and XDR-TB, moreover, may prove to be a key element in mobilizing increased funding for all TB drug development; their prevalence will undoubtedly grow if improved therapy is not made widely available. And the financial and human costs will be even higher. Furthermore, the activity of a new drug may be more easily observed in the context of the relatively weak companion drugs used in MDR-TB treatment than in the presence of other potent drugs used for drug-susceptible disease. Differences in treatment response, which are more easily detected in MDR-TB therapy, would allow smaller and shorter clinical trials. As in HIV, clinical trials in patients with drug-resistant disease may provide a quicker and less expensive path to licensure than demonstrating that a new drug can substantially improve the treatment for drug-susceptible disease.

The Time Is Now
The devastating outbreak of XDR-TB in KwaZulu-Natal [4] is a reminder of the threat MDR-TB represents to individuals and to global public health. A continued failure to make appropriate therapy for MDR/XDR-TB widely available is unacceptable, as it will have a profoundly negative impact on global TB control. In recent years, the landscape of TB control has changed dramatically. It is now possible to employ a bold, integrated approach in responding to such outbreaks and averting their spread. The time is right for clinical trials of MDR-TB therapy: existing treatment programs throughout the world can form the backbone for a clinical trials effort; new drug classes are entering clinical trials; and we now have a flexible trial design that allows individualization of therapy while preserving a rigorous randomized evaluation of the safety and efficacy of a new agent. New, optimized regimens that will be identified in clinical trials can be quickly integrated into routine program practice through the expanding global network of MDR-TB treatment programs. Signaling the changing times, at least two pharmaceutical companies, Tibotec and Otsuka, have publicly announced plans to evaluate a new drug in patients with MDR-TB. We have an ambitious goal and responsibility—to successfully treat nearly 1.6 million MDR-TB patients by 2015—and clinical trials to identify safer and more effective treatment are a key to fulfilling that goal. The time for action is now.

Supporting Information
Alternative Language Abstract S1.
Translation of article summary into French by CDH
Found at doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0049292.s001 (20 KB DOC).

Acknowledgments
Many of the ideas presented in this paper were discussed during a Working Group session at the Mèdecins Sans Frontières “No Time to Wait” Symposium held in New York in January 2007 (http://www doutortheweborg/events/TbSymposium/).

References
1. Aziz MA, Wright A, Laszlo A, De Muyneck A, Portela F, et al. (2006) Epidemiology of antituberculosis drug resistance (the Global Project on Anti-tuberculosis Drug Resistance Surveillance): An updated analysis. Lancet 368: 2142-2154.
2. Maniserro D, Fernandez de la Hoz R (2006) Surveillance methods and case definition for extensively drug resistant tuberculosis (XDR-TB) and relevance to Europe: Summary update. Euro Surveill 11 (E061105.1).
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (2006) Emergence of Mycobacterium tuberculosis with extensive resistance to second-line drugs—Worldwide, 2000-2004. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 55: 301-305.
4. Gandhi NR, Moll A, Sturm AW, Pavinski R, Govender T, et al. (2006) Extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis as a cause of death in patients co-infected with tuberculosis and HIV in a rural area of South Africa. Lancet 368: 1575-1580.
5. Masjedi MR, Farnia P, Soroosh S, Pooramiri MV, Manoosi SD, et al. (2006) Extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis: 2 years of surveillance in Iran. Clin Infect Dis 43: 841-847.
6. Singh JA, Upshur R, Strand MJ, Chan JF, Huynh ML, et al. (2006) Treatment and outcome analysis of 205 patients with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 174: 1121.
7. Chan ED, Laurel V, Strand MJ, Chan JF, Huynh ML, et al. (2006) Treatment and outcome analysis of 205 patients with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 174: 1103-1109.
8. Stop TB Partnership (2006) Global Plan to Stop TB 2006-2015. Available: http://www. stopthtborg/globalplan/. Accessed 2 October 2007.
9. Fox W, Ellard GA, Mitchison DA (1999) Studies on the treatment of tuberculosis undertaken by the British Medical Research Council tuberculosis units, 1946-1986, with relevant subsequent publications. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 3: S281-S287.
10. World Health Organization (2006) Guidelines for the programmatic management of drug-resistant tuberculosis. Available: http://whqlibdocwho.int/publications/2006/9241545556_engpdf. Accessed 2 October 2007.
11. Nathanson E, Lambregtse-van Wezenbeek C, Rich ML, Gupta R, Bayona J, et al. (2006) Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis management in resource-limited settings. Emerg Infect Dis 12: 1389-1397.
12. Tupasi TE, Gupta R, Quelapio MD, Orliaza RB, Mira NR, et al. (2006) Feasibility and cost-effectiveness of treating multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: A cohort study in the Philippines. PLoS Med 3: e352. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0040352. Accessed 2 October 2007.
13. World Health Organization (2005) The feasibility and efficiency of controlling MDR-TB using the DOTS-Plus strategy in the Russian Federation. WHO/HTM/TB/2005.357c. Available: http://wwwstophtbdcowhoint/bq2005WHOHTMTB20053573_engpdf. Accessed 2 October 2007.
14. Mitnick C, Bayona J, Palacios E, Shin S, Furin J, et al. (2005) Community-based therapy for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in Lima, Peru. N Engl J Med 358: 119-128.
15. Mushif SS, Ahuja SD, Li J, Driver GR (2006) Public-private collaboration for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis control in New York City. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 10: 659-648.
16. Nathanson E, Gupta R, Huamann P, Leimane V, Paeschke AD, et al. (2004) Adverse events in the treatment of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: Results from the DOTS-Plus initiative. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 8: 1382-1384.
17. Park SK, Lee WC, Mittin, CD, Han L, et al. (2004) Self-administered, standardized regimens for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in South Korea. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 8: 361-368.
18. Narita M, Alonso P, Lauzardo M, Hollender ES, Pitchken AE, et al. (2001) Treatment experience of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in Florida, 1994-1997. Chest 120: 343-348.
19. Chan ED, Laurel V, Strand MJ, Chan JF, Huynh ML, et al. (2004) Treatment and outcome analysis of 205 patients with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 169: 1103-1109.
20. Stop TB Partnership (2006) Global Plan to Stop TB 2006-2015. Available: http://www. stopthtb.org/globalplan/. Accessed 2 October 2007.
21. Fox W, Ellard GA, Mitchison DA (1999) Studies on the treatment of tuberculosis undertaken by the British Medical Research Council tuberculosis units, 1946-1986, with relevant subsequent publications. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 3: S281-S287.
22. World Health Organization (2006) Guidelines for the programmatic management of drug-resistant tuberculosis. Available: http://whqlibdocwho.int/publications/2006/9241545556_engpdf. Accessed 2 October 2007.
23. Zignol M, Hosseini MS, Wright A, Wezenbeek GL, Numn P, et al. (2006) Global incidence of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. J Infect Dis 194: 479-485.
24. Gagneux S, Long CD, Small PM, Bayona J, Schoolnik GK, et al. (2006) The competitive cost of antibiotic resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Science 312: 1944-1946.
25. Cohen T, Murray M (2004) Modeling epidemics of multidrug-resistant M. tuberculosis of heterogeneous fitness. Nat Med 10: 1117-1121.
26. Ravignone MC, Smith IM (2007) XDR tuberculosis—Implications for global public health. N Engl J Med 356: 656-659.
27. Hicks CB, Cahn P, Cooper DA, Walmsley SL, Katlama C, et al. (2006) Durable efficacy of treatment in multi-drug resistant patients HIV-1-infected patients at 48 weeks in the Randomized Evaluation of Strategic Intervention in multidrug-resistant patients.
with Tipranavir (RESIST) studies: An analysis of combined data from two randomised open-label trials. Lancet 368: 466-475.

28. Lalezari JP, Henry K, O’Hearn M, Montaner JS, Piliero PJ, et al. (2003) Enfuvirtide, an HIV-1 fusion inhibitor, for drug-resistant HIV infection in North and South America. N Engl J Med 348: 2173-2185.

29. Spigelman M, Gillespie S (2006) Tuberculosis drug development pipeline: Progress and hope. Lancet 367: 945-947.

30. Burman WJ, Goldberg S, Johnson JL, Muzanye G, Engle M, et al. (2006) Moxifloxacin versus ethambutol in the first 2 months of treatment for pulmonary tuberculosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 174: 331-338.

31. Chambers HF, Turner J, Schechter GF, Kawamura M, Hopewell PC (2005) Imipenem for treatment of tuberculosis in mice and humans. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 49: 2816-2821.

32. von der Lippe B, Sandven P, Bruhakk O (2006) Efficacy and safety of linezolid in multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB)—A report of ten cases. J Infect 52: 92-96.

33. Feuer C (2006) Tuberculosis research and development: A critical analysis. Treatment Action Group. Available: http://www.aidsinfonyc.org/tag/tbhiv/tbrandd.pdf. Accessed 2 October 2007.

34. Glickman SW, Rasiel EB, Hamilton CD, Kubataev A, Schulman KA (2006) Medicine. A portfolio model of drug development for tuberculosis. Science 311: 1246-1247.

35. World Health Organization (1997) WHO Report on the Tuberculosis Epidemic 1997. Geneva: World Health Organization.

36. Kim JY, Mukherjee JS, Rich ML, Mate K, Bayona J, et al. (2005) From multidrug-resistant tuberculosis to DOTS expansion and beyond: Making the most of a paradigm shift. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 83: 59-65.

37. World Health Organization, Stop TB Partnership (2007) The global MDR-TB and XDR-TB response plan 2007-2008. WHO/HTM/TB/2007.387. Available: http://www.who.int/tb/publications/2007/en/index.html. Accessed 10 October 2007.