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Abstract

This paper is concerned with the inverse scattering problem for the three-dimensional Maxwell’s equations in bi-anisotropic periodic structures. The inverse scattering problem aims to determine the shape of bi-anisotropic periodic scatterers from electromagnetic near field data at a fixed frequency. The Factorization method is studied as an analytical and numerical tool for solving the inverse problem. We provide a rigorous justification of the Factorization method which results in the unique determination and a fast imaging algorithm for the periodic scatterer. Numerical examples for imaging three-dimensional periodic structures are presented to examine the efficiency of the method.
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1 Introduction

We consider an inverse scattering problem which aims to determine the shape of bi-anisotropic periodic structures from electromagnetic near field data. The Factorization method is studied to solve the inverse scattering problem. This study is part of the research on inverse scattering from periodic structures. The periodic structures of interests here are mainly motivated by the one-dimensional and two-dimensional photonic crystals [6]. This research topic has received a great attention during the past
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years thanks to its potential applications in nondestructive testing and optimal design in optics [3].

There has been a large body of literature covering theoretical studies on uniqueness and stability along with numerical reconstruction methods. Since we are interested in numerical reconstructions, we will mainly discuss related results in this direction. We first refer to [1, 2, 7–9, 14, 16, 18, 22, 26, 27] and references therein for an unexhausted list of results in the case of scalar equations of Helmholtz type. However, to our knowledge, there has been only a limited number of studies on numerical reconstructions for the case of full Maxwell’s equations, see [4, 10, 15, 20, 24]. The numerical methods studied in these papers are the Factorization method [15, 20, 24] and the near field imaging method that relies on a transformed field expansion [4, 10]. The main advantages of the near field imaging method are that it requires scattering data associated with only one incident plane wave and can provide super-resolved resolution. However, the analysis of this method assumes that the periodic scattering layer is described by a smooth periodic function multiplied by a small surface deformation parameter. Although the Factorization method requires scattering data generated by multiple incident plane waves, its analysis can allow us to recover periodic scattering structures of arbitrary shape. This method belongs to the class of sampling or qualitative methods that were introduced by D. Colton and A. Kirsch [5, 12]. The Factorization method aims to construct a necessary and sufficient characterization of the unknown scatterer from multi-static data. This characterization can serve as a fast and simple imaging algorithm. We refer to [13] for more details about the Factorization method.

The Factorization method is studied in this paper as an analytical and numerical tool for solving the inverse scattering problem for the full Maxwell’s equations in bi-anisotropic periodic structures. This study is most related to [20] which considers the Maxwell’s equations in chiral periodic structures. The chiral and bi-anisotropic media belong to the class of complex electromagnetic media which has recently received a considerable attention thanks to their applications in photonics and nano-optics, see [17, 23] and references therein. The Maxwell’s equations describing the propagation of electromagnetic waves through chiral or bi-anisotropic media are coupled with the constitutive relations (see (2)) that are more complicated than those of standard media (e.g. non-magnetic media). Note that except [20] all the previously cited works for the Maxwell’s equations consider non-magnetic media. Unlike the scalar coefficients in the chiral media case [20], the coefficients in the bi-anisotropic case considered in the present work are all matrix-valued functions. Therefore, the analysis of the Factorization method for the corresponding inverse problem of interest is technically more complicated. Under some assumption (Assumption 2) corresponding to the absorbing material case and the smallness of one of the coefficients, we can prove the coercivity (Lemma 6) of the middle operator in the Factorization method. This is also the key ingredient in the justification of the Factorization method. The justification remains
open if the Assumption 2 does not hold true (e.g. the coefficients are all real-valued).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we formulate the direct scattering problem and its equivalent integro-differential equation. The inverse problem of interest is formulated in Section 3. Section 4 provides a characterization of the scattering domain via the range of some operator. Section 5 is dedicated to the analysis of the Factorization method in which we prove the main theorems of the paper. Finally we present in Section 6 some numerical examples for imaging three-dimensional periodic structures using the Factorization method.

2 The direct problem

We consider a three-dimensional periodic structure which is infinitely $2\pi$-periodic in $x_1$, $x_2$ and bounded in $x_3$, for example see Figure 1(c) (here $x_1$, $x_2$, $x_3$ are the components of a vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^T$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$). Assume that the medium inside the periodic structure is inhomogeneous and bi-anisotropic and the outside medium is homogeneous. We denote that the electric field $\mathbf{E}$, the magnetic field $\mathbf{H}$, the electric flux density $\mathbf{D}$ and the magnetic flux density $\mathbf{B}$ are three-dimensional vector-valued functions. The scattering of time-harmonic electromagnetic waves (with positive frequency $\omega$) from the bi-anisotropic periodic structure is described by the Maxwell’s equations

$$\text{curl}\, \mathbf{E} + i\omega \mathbf{B} = 0, \quad \text{curl}\, \mathbf{H} - i\omega \mathbf{D} = 0,$$

in $\mathbb{R}^3$, (1)

along with the constitutive relations

$$\mathbf{B} = \mu \mathbf{H} + \xi \sqrt{\varepsilon \mu_0} \mathbf{E}, \quad \mathbf{D} = \varepsilon \mathbf{E} + \bar{\xi} \sqrt{\varepsilon \mu_0} \mathbf{H}. \quad (2)$$

Here $\varepsilon$ and $\mu$ are respectively the permittivity and permeability of the scattering medium, and the parameter $\xi$ is typically described as $\xi = \chi + i\kappa$ where $\chi$ is the chirality parameter and $\kappa$ is the non-reciprocity parameter of the medium (see [17]). These are $3 \times 3$ matrix-valued bounded functions satisfying $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 I_3$, $\mu = \mu_0 I_3$, $\xi = 0 I_3$ in the outside medium for some positive constants $\varepsilon_0$ and $\mu_0$ ($I_3$ is the $3 \times 3$ identity matrix). We introduce the relative quantities

$$\varepsilon_r = \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0}, \quad \mu_r = \frac{\mu}{\mu_0}$$

and the scaled quantities (with the same notations as the original ones)

$$\mathbf{E} = \sqrt{\varepsilon_0} \mathbf{E}, \quad \mathbf{H} = \sqrt{\mu_0} \mathbf{H}.$$

Using these new quantities and plugging (2) into (1) we obtain

$$\text{curl}\, \mathbf{E} - ik(\mu_r \mathbf{H} + \xi \mathbf{E}) = 0, \quad \text{curl}\, \mathbf{H} + ik(\varepsilon_r \mathbf{E} + \bar{\xi} \mathbf{H}) = 0.$$

(3)
where \( k = \omega \sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \mu_0} \) is the wave number. Assuming that \( \mu_r \) is invertible almost everywhere in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), we can write the first equation in (3) as
\[
\mathbf{H} = -\frac{i}{k} \mu_r^{-1} \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{E} - \mu_r^{-1} \xi \mathbf{E}.
\] (4)

Plugging this into the second equation of (3) and rearranging the resulting equation we obtain
\[
\mathbf{curl} (\mu_r^{-1} \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{E}) + ik \left[ \xi \mu_r^{-1} \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{curl} (\mu_r^{-1} \xi \mathbf{E}) \right] - k^2 (\varepsilon_r - \xi \mu_r^{-1} \xi) \mathbf{E} = 0.
\] (5)

Now assume that the periodic structure is illuminated by some incident field \( \mathbf{E}^{in} \) satisfying
\[
\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{E}^{in} - k^2 \mathbf{E}^{in} = 0,
\]
then there arises the scattered field \( \mathbf{u} \) defined by \( \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}^{in} \). We can thus rewrite (5) for the scattered field \( \mathbf{u} \) as
\[
\mathbf{curl}^2 \mathbf{u} - k^2 \mathbf{u} = k^2 \left[ (P - \xi \mu_r^{-1} \xi) (\mathbf{E}^{in} + \mathbf{u}) + \frac{i}{k} \xi \mu_r^{-1} (\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{E}^{in} + \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{u}) \right] \\
+ \mathbf{curl} \left[ Q (\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{E}^{in} + \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{u}) - ik \mu_r^{-1} \xi (\mathbf{E}^{in} + \mathbf{u}) \right]
\] (6)

where \( P \) and \( Q \) are the contrasts defined by
\[
P = \varepsilon_r - I_3, \quad Q = I_3 - \mu_r^{-1}.
\]

Note that \( P \) and \( Q \) are supported inside the periodic structure. We now define that for \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, 0)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^3 \), a function \( \mathbf{v} : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3 \) is called \( \alpha \)-quasiperiodic if for any \( n = (n_1, n_2, 0)^\top \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \)
\[
\mathbf{v}(x_1 + n_1 2\pi, x_2 + n_2 2\pi, x_3) = e^{2\pi i \alpha \cdot n} \mathbf{v}(x_1, x_2, x_3), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3.
\]

Following the typical approach for periodic scattering problems we consider incident fields which are \( \alpha \)-quasiperiodic plane waves (see (18) for the incident plane waves used to generate the data for the inverse problem.)

For \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, 0)^\top \) we denote
\[
\alpha_m = (\alpha_1 + m_1, \alpha_2 + m_2, 0)^\top, \quad m = (m_1, m_2)^\top \in \mathbb{Z}^2.
\] (7)

Then it is well known that the scattered field \( \mathbf{u} \) is also \( \alpha \)-quasiperiodic, see for instance [25]. Thus the scattering problem can be reduced to one period \( \Omega \) of the periodic structure, which is defined by
\[
\Omega = (-\pi, \pi)^2 \times \mathbb{R}.
\]
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Let $D \subset \Omega$ be an open set defined by

$$\mathcal{D} = [\text{supp}(Q) \cup \text{supp}(P)] \cap \Omega.$$ 

We now complete the direct scattering problem by the well-known Rayleigh expansion radiation condition for the scattered field. We first need some notations. Let $h$ be a positive constant such that

$$h > \sup \{|x_3| : x \in \overline{D}\},$$

and for $m \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ we define

$$\beta_m = \begin{cases} \sqrt{k^2 - |\alpha_m|^2}, & |\alpha_m| \leq k \\ i\sqrt{|\alpha_m|^2 - k^2}, & |\alpha_m| > k \end{cases}.$$ 

Then $u$ is called radiating if it can be expressed as the following Rayleigh expansion

$$u(x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \hat{u}_m^\pm e^{i(\alpha_m \cdot x \pm \beta_m (x_3 \mp h))} \text{ for } x_3 \gtrless \pm h,$$

where $\hat{u}_m^\pm$ are the Rayleigh coefficients of $u$ defined by

$$\hat{u}_m^\pm = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i\alpha_m \cdot x} u(x_1, x_2, \pm h) dx_1 dx_2, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^2.$$ 

Note that all but finitely many terms in (10) are exponentially decaying, which helps us easily deduce pointwise absolute convergence of the series. Moreover, we need $\beta_m$ to be nonzero for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ or $k$ is not a Wood’s anomaly. The technical reason behind this assumption is that the representation of the $\alpha$-quasiperiodic Green’s function we use in (15) is not well-defined at a Wood’s anomaly.

The direct problem including the equation (6) and the radiation condition (10) can be reformulated as an integro-differential equation. This formulation will be useful for the analysis of the inverse problem. First we define

$$H_{\alpha,\text{loc}}(\text{curl}, \Omega) = \{ u \in H_{\text{loc}}(\text{curl}, \Omega) : u = \tilde{u}|_{\Omega} \text{ for some } \alpha\text{-quasiperiodic } \tilde{u} \in H_{\text{loc}}(\text{curl}, \mathbb{R}^3) \}.$$ 

We consider the equation (6) in its more general form

$$\text{curl}^2 u - k^2 u = k^2 \left[ (P - \xi \mu^{-1} \xi)(g + u) + \frac{i}{k} \xi \mu^{-1} (f + \text{curl } u) \right]$$

$$+ \text{curl} \left[ Q(f + \text{curl } u) - i k \mu^{-1} \xi (g + u) \right]$$

where $f$ and $g$ are some generic functions in $L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3)$. It is clear that (6) is a particular case of (11) when $f = \text{curl } E^m$ and $g = E^m$. Let

$$S(u, f, g) = (P - \xi \mu^{-1} \xi)(g + u) + \frac{i}{k} \xi \mu^{-1} (f + \text{curl } u),$$

$$T(u, f, g) = Q(f + \text{curl } u) - i k \mu^{-1} \xi (g + u).$$
It is clear that $S(u, f, g)$ and $T(u, f, g)$ are compactly supported in $D$ and (11) can be written as
\[
\text{curl}^2 u - k^2 u = k^2 S(u, f, g) + \text{curl} T(u, f, g).
\]
(12)
Now let us consider the variational problem of finding $u \in H_{\alpha, \text{loc}}(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ such that
\[
\int_{\Omega} (\text{curl} u \cdot \text{curl} v - k^2 u \cdot v) \, dx = k^2 \int_{D} S(u, f, g) \cdot v \, dx + \int_{D} T(u, f, g) \cdot \text{curl} v \, dx \ (13)
\]
for all $v \in H_{\alpha}(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ with compact support.
It is similar to [19] that the variational problem (13) can be equivalently reformulated as
\[
u = A S(u, f, g) + B T(u, f, g) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega,
\]
(14)
where $A$ and $B$ are bounded linear operators from $L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3)$ to $H_{\alpha, \text{loc}}(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ defined by
\[
A h(x) = (k^2 + \text{grad div}) \int_{D} G_k(x - y) h(y) \, dy \quad \text{and} \quad B h(x) = \text{curl} \int_{D} G_k(x - y) h(y) \, dy,
\]
where $G_k$ is the $\alpha$-quasiperiodic Green’s function of the three-dimensional Helmholtz equation
\[
G_k(x) = \frac{i}{8\pi^2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{1}{\beta_m} e^{i(\alpha_m \cdot x + \beta_m |x_3|)}, \quad x \in \Omega, x_3 \neq 0.
\]
(15)
The proof of the Fredholm property of the integro-differential equation (14) can be done similarly as in [21, Theorem 4] under the following assumption.

**Assumption 1.** Assume that $D$ is a Lipschitz domain and that $\varepsilon, \mu, \mu^{-1}, \xi \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3})$ are symmetric almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^3$, $\xi$ is real-valued. Furthermore, assume that there exist positive constants $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$ such that for any $a \in \mathbb{C}^3$
\[
\text{Re} (\mu^{-1} a \cdot \overline{a}) \geq \gamma_1 |a|^2, \quad \text{Re} ((\varepsilon_\tau - \xi \mu^{-1} \xi) a \cdot \overline{a}) \geq \gamma_2 |a|^2, \quad \|\mu^{-1} \xi \|_{L^\infty} < \gamma_1 \gamma_2,
\]
almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Here $| \cdot |_F$ is the Frobenius matrix norm. The Fredholm property is actually valid for any matrix norm in the last constraint in this assumption. However, the Frobenius norm is used here for the convenience of the proof of Lemma 6 for the Factorization method analysis. The uniqueness of solution is out of the scope of this paper since the paper aims to solve the corresponding inverse scattering problem. Typically the well-posedness of periodic scattering problems holds for all but a discrete set of wave numbers $k$, see for instance [25]. Therefore, for the remaining part of the paper we assume we work with the wave number $k$ such that the direct problem or the equivalent integro-differential equation (14) is well-posed.
3 The inverse problem

In this section we formulate the inverse problem we want to solve. In addition to Assumption 1, the following assumption is important to the study of the inverse problem.

**Assumption 2.** We assume that $\Omega \setminus \overline{D}$ has at most two connected components and that each connected component of $\Omega \setminus \overline{D}$ is unbounded. Moreover, there exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^3$

$$-\text{Im} \left( \mu^{-1}_r \right) z \cdot \overline{z} \geq C_1 |z|^2, \quad \text{Im} \left( \varepsilon_r - \xi \mu^{-1}_r \right) z \cdot \overline{z} \geq C_2 |z|^2$$

almost everywhere in $D$ and that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \| \mu^{-1}_r \xi \|_{L^\infty}^2 + 1 \right) \leq \min \{ C_1, C_2 \}.$$

Here is an example of the parameters satisfying both Assumptions 1 and 2

$$\varepsilon_r = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 0.75i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + 0.9i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 + 0.8i \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mu^{-1}_r = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 0.7i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 - 0.9i \end{bmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

$$\xi = \begin{bmatrix} 0.01 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.02 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.05 \end{bmatrix} \text{ in } D, \quad \text{and } \varepsilon_r = \mu^{-1}_r = I_3, \quad \xi = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \setminus \overline{D}. \quad (17)$$

Since the direct problem is well-posed we can define the solution operator $G : L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3) \times L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{C}^4)$

$$G(f, g) = (\hat{u}^{+}_{m,1}, \hat{u}^{-}_{m,1}, \hat{u}^{+}_{m,2}, \hat{u}^{-}_{m,2})_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$$

where $(\hat{u}^{+}_{m,1}, \hat{u}^{-}_{m,1}, \hat{u}^{+}_{m,2}, \hat{u}^{-}_{m,2})_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$ are the Rayleigh sequences of the first two components $(\hat{u}^\pm_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2}$ of the radiating variational solution $u$ of (11).

For $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^\top$, we denote $\tilde{x} = (x_1, x_2, -x_3)^\top$. For the inverse problem, we consider many incident plane waves as follows

$$\varphi^{(l)}_m = p^{(l)}_m e^{i(\alpha_m \cdot x \pm \beta_m x_3)} \pm \tilde{p}^{(l)}_m e^{i(\alpha_m \cdot x \mp \beta_m x_3)}, \quad l = 1, 2, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^2, \quad (18)$$

where the polarizations $p^{(1)}_m, p^{(2)}_m$ are linearly independent vectors such that $|p^{(1)}_m| = |p^{(2)}_m| = 1$ and $\varphi^{(l)}_m \pm \tilde{p}^{(l)}_m$ are divergence-free. One possible choice could be

$$p^{(1)}_m = \frac{(0, \beta_m, -\alpha_m, 0)^\top}{\sqrt{|\alpha_m|^2 + |\beta_m|^2}}, \quad p^{(2)}_m = \frac{(-\beta_m, 0, \alpha_m, 0)^\top}{\sqrt{|\alpha_m|^2 + |\beta_m|^2}}. \quad (19)$$
Note that these incident plane waves were proposed in [15] for the analysis of the Factorization method for the Maxwell’s equations in non-magnetic periodic structures. We now define the Herglotz operator \( H : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{C}^4) \to L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3) \times L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3) \) that maps a sequence of coefficients \((a_m) = (a_{m,1}^+, a_{m,1}^-, a_{m,2}^+, a_{m,2}^-)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2}\) into

\[
H(a_m) = \left[ \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left( \frac{a_{m,1}^+}{\beta_m w_m^+} \text{curl} \varphi_m^{(1)+} + \frac{a_{m,2}^+}{\beta_m w_m^+} \text{curl} \varphi_m^{(2)+} + \frac{a_{m,1}^-}{\beta_m w_m^-} \text{curl} \varphi_m^{(1)-} + \frac{a_{m,2}^-}{\beta_m w_m^-} \text{curl} \varphi_m^{(2)-} \right) \right]
\]

where the weights

\[
w_m^+ = \begin{cases} 1, & \alpha_m \leq k \\ e^{-i \beta_m h}, & \alpha_m > k \end{cases}, \quad w_m^- = \begin{cases} 1, & \alpha_m \leq k \\ e^{-i \beta_m h}, & \alpha_m > k \end{cases}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^2
\]

are to help simplify the calculations in the proofs of some analytical properties of \( H \), see [20]. Here these weights are kept in the definition of \( H \) for the convenience of the presentation. From [20] we know that \( H \) is a compact and injective operator.

We consider a near field measurement which is motivated by uniqueness results in [11] and applications in near field optics. Let \( N : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{C}^4) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{C}^4) \) be the near field operator which maps a sequence \((a_m) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{C}^4)\) to the Rayleigh sequences of the first two components of the radiating variational solution \( u \) of (12) with \((f, g) = H(a_m)\), that means

\[
N(a_m) = (\hat{u}_{m,1}^+, \hat{u}_{m,1}^-, \hat{u}_{m,2}^+, \hat{u}_{m,2}^-)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2}.
\]

Now we are ready to state the inverse problem of interest.

**Inverse problem.** Given the near-field operator \( N \), find the shape \( D \) of the scatterer in \( \Omega \).

### 4 A characterization of \( D \)

In this section we will show that \( D \) can be characterized by the range of the adjoint operator \( H^* \) of the Herglotz operator \( H \) defined in (20). Let us introduce two auxiliary operators which are \( E : L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3) \times L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{C}^4) \) and \( W : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{C}^4) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{C}^4) \) defined by

\[
E(f, g) = (\hat{u}_{m,1}^+, \hat{u}_{m,1}^-, \hat{u}_{m,2}^+, \hat{u}_{m,2}^-)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2}
\]

where \( u \) is the radiating variational solution to

\[
\text{curl}^2 u - k^2 u = \text{curl} f + g
\]
and

\[ W(a_m) = 8\pi^2 \begin{bmatrix} w_{m}^{+}(p_{m,1}^{(1)}) & w_{m}^{+}(p_{m,2}^{(1)}) & w_{m}^{+}(p_{m,1}^{(2)}) & w_{m}^{+}(p_{m,2}^{(2)}) \\ w_{m}^{-}(p_{m,1}^{(1)}) & w_{m}^{-}(p_{m,2}^{(1)}) & -w_{m}^{-}(p_{m,1}^{(2)}) & -w_{m}^{-}(p_{m,2}^{(2)}) \\ w_{m}^{+}(p_{m,1}^{(1)}) & w_{m}^{+}(p_{m,2}^{(1)}) & w_{m}^{+}(p_{m,1}^{(2)}) & w_{m}^{+}(p_{m,2}^{(2)}) \\ w_{m}^{-}(p_{m,1}^{(1)}) & w_{m}^{-}(p_{m,2}^{(1)}) & -w_{m}^{-}(p_{m,1}^{(2)}) & -w_{m}^{-}(p_{m,2}^{(2)}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a^+, 1 \\ a^-, 1 \\ a^+, 2 \\ a^-, 2 \end{bmatrix} \]

where

\[ w_{m}^{+} = \begin{cases} e^{-i\beta_{m}^h}, & |\alpha_{m}| \leq k \\ i, & |\alpha_{m}| > k \end{cases}, \quad w_{m}^{-} = \begin{cases} ie^{-i\beta_{m}^h}, & |\alpha_{m}| \leq k \\ i, & |\alpha_{m}| > k \end{cases}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \]

and \( p_{m}^{(1)}, p_{m}^{(2)} \) are given by (19). Note that \( E \) is well-defined thanks to well-posedness of (21) for all frequencies \( k > 0 \) (see [25]). In addition, we will extend \( f \) and \( g \) in (21) by zero outside of \( D \) if needed.

The proof of the following lemma can be done as in [20].

**Lemma 3.** The adjoint operator \( H^* : L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3) \times L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{C}^4) \) satisfies

\[ H^* = WE. \]

Next we introduce the \( \alpha \)-quasiperiodic Green’s tensor

\[ G_k(x, z) = G_k(x - z)I_3 + \frac{1}{k^2} \nabla_x \text{div}_x (G_k(x - z)I_3), \quad x, z \in \Omega, \quad x_3 \neq z_3 \]

where \( G_k \) is given by (15) and \( \nabla_x, \text{div}_x \) are taken componentwise and columnwise respectively. Note that for a fixed \( z \in \Omega \), \( G_k(x, z) \) solves

\[ \text{curl}^2 G_k(x, z) - k^2 G_k(x, z) = \delta_x(x)I_3 \]

in the sense of distribution and it satisfies the Rayleigh expansion radiation condition (the curl is taken columnwise).

Let \( p = (p_1, p_2, p_3)^T \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) and \( \Psi(z) = k^2 G_k(x, z)p \). Denote by

\[ (\hat{\Psi}_{x,m}) = (\hat{\Psi}_{x,m,1}(z), \hat{\Psi}_{x,m,2}(z), \hat{\Psi}_{x,m,1}(z), \hat{\Psi}_{x,m,2}(z))_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \]

the Rayleigh sequences of the first two components of \( \Psi \). Then \( (\hat{\Psi}_{x,m}) \) can be explicitly given by

\[ (\hat{\Psi}_{x,m}) = \begin{bmatrix} (k^2 - \alpha_{m_{1}}^2)\hat{G}_{k,m,1}^+(z)p_1 - \alpha_{m_{1}}\alpha_{m_{2}}\hat{G}_{k,m,2}^+(z)p_2 - \alpha_{m_{1}}\beta_{m}\hat{G}_{k,m,1}^+(z)p_3 \\ (k^2 - \alpha_{m_{1}}^2)\hat{G}_{k,m,2}^-(z)p_1 - \alpha_{m_{1}}\alpha_{m_{2}}\hat{G}_{k,m,2}^+(z)p_2 + \alpha_{m_{1}}\beta_{m}\hat{G}_{k,m,2}^-(z)p_3 \\ -\alpha_{m_{1}}\alpha_{m_{2}}\hat{G}_{k,m,1}^+(z)p_1 + (k^2 - \alpha_{m_{2}}^2)\hat{G}_{k,m,2}^+(z)p_2 - \alpha_{m_{2}}\beta_{m}\hat{G}_{k,m,1}^+(z)p_3 \\ -\alpha_{m_{1}}\alpha_{m_{2}}\hat{G}_{k,m,2}^-(z)p_1 + (k^2 - \alpha_{m_{2}}^2)\hat{G}_{k,m,2}^+(z)p_2 + \alpha_{m_{2}}\beta_{m}\hat{G}_{k,m,2}^-(z)p_3 \end{bmatrix}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \]

for
where \((\hat{G}^\pm_{k,m}(z))_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^2}\) are the Rayleigh sequences of \(G_k(\cdot - z)\) and \(\alpha_m, \beta_m\) are given by (7) and (9).

Denote by \(\mathcal{R}(A)\) the range of some operator \(A\). The domain \(D\) can be characterized by the \(\mathcal{R}(H^*)\) as follows.

**Theorem 4.** A point \(z \in \Omega\) belongs to \(D\) if and only if \(W(\hat{\Psi}_{z,m}) \in \mathcal{R}(H^*)\).

*Proof.* The proof can be done similarly as that of Lemma 7 in [15].

Note that since the definition of \(H^*\) involves \(D\), we can’t compute \(D\) using this characterization. However, this characterization will serve as an intermediate step to connect \(D\) to the near field operator \(N\) that is given. This is the goal of the Factorization method that is studied in the next section.

## 5 The Factorization method

This section is dedicated to the analysis of the Factorization method. Let \(T : L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3) \times L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3) \to L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3) \times L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3)\) be defined by

\[
T(f, g) = \begin{bmatrix} Q & -ik\mu^{-1}\xi \\ ik\xi\mu^{-1} & k^2(P - \xi\mu^{-1}\xi) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f + \text{curl } u \\ g + u \end{bmatrix}
\]

where \(u\) is the radiating variational solution to (12).

**Lemma 5.** \(T\) is a bounded linear operator on \(L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3) \times L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3)\).

*Proof.* The linearity of \(T\) follows from the linearity and well-posedness of (12). We will show its boundedness. For \((f, g) \in L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3) \times L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3)\)

\[
\|T(f, g)\|^2_{L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3) \times L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3)} = \|S(u, f, g)\|^2_{L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3)} + \|T(u, f, g)\|^2_{L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3)}.
\]

Let \(u \in H_{\alpha, \text{loc}}(\text{curl}, \Omega)\) be the solution of

\[
u = \mathcal{A}S(u, f, g) + \mathcal{B}T(u, f, g),
\]

which can be rewritten as

\[
u - \mathcal{A}\tilde{S}u - \mathcal{B}\tilde{T}u = \mathcal{A}((P - \xi\mu^{-1}\xi)g + \frac{i}{k}\xi\mu^{-1}f) + \mathcal{B}(Qf - ik\mu^{-1}\xi g),
\]

where

\[
\tilde{S}u = (P - \xi\mu^{-1}\xi)u + \frac{i}{k}\xi\mu^{-1}\text{curl } u, \quad \tilde{T}u = Q\text{curl } u - ik\mu^{-1}\xi u.
\]
Since we assume (23) is well-posed, the operator \( I - \mathcal{A}\tilde{S} - \mathcal{B}\tilde{T} \) is boundedly invertible and
\[
\mathbf{u} = (I - \mathcal{A}\tilde{S} - \mathcal{B}\tilde{T})^{-1}[\mathcal{A}((P - \xi\mu^{-1}_r\xi)\mathbf{g} + \frac{i}{k}\xi\mu^{-1}_r\mathbf{f}) + \mathcal{B}(Q\mathbf{f} - i\mu^{-1}_r\xi\mathbf{g})].
\]
Since \( \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \) are bounded and the scatterer’s parameters are in \( L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3}) \), it follows that there exists \( c > 0 \) such that
\[
\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H(\text{curl}, D)} \leq c\|(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})\|_{L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3 \times L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3)}.
\]
This implies
\[
\|\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3)} \leq (c + 1)\|(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})\|_{L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3 \times L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3)},
\]
\[
\|\mathbf{f} + \text{curl}\ \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3)} \leq (c + 1)\|(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})\|_{L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3 \times L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3)}.
\]
Therefore by the definition of \( \mathcal{S} \) and \( \mathcal{T} \) there exist \( c_1, c_2 > 0 \) such that
\[
\|\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})\|_{L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3)} \leq c_1\|(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})\|_{L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3 \times L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3)},
\]
\[
\|\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})\|_{L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3)} \leq c_2\|(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})\|_{L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3 \times L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3)},
\]
and the boundedness of \( T \) follows.

Let \( \text{Im}\ T \) be the imaginary part of \( T \) defined by
\[
\text{Im}\ T = \frac{1}{2i}(T - T^*).
\]
In the next lemma we prove the coercivity of \( \text{Im}\ T \) which is the key ingredient in the analysis of the Factorization method.

**Lemma 6.** There exists \( c > 0 \) such that for all \( (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) \in L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3) \times L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3) \)
\[
(\text{Im}\ T(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}), (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}))_{L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3 \times L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3)} \geq c\|(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})\|_{L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3 \times L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3)}^2.
\]  
(24)

**Proof.** For the convenience of the presentation of this proof we will use \((\cdot, \cdot)\) and \(\|\cdot\|\) indistinctively for the inner product and norm of \( L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3) \) and \( L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3 \times L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3)\).

Let \( \mathbf{h}_1 = \mathbf{f} + \text{curl}\ \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{h}_2 = \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{u} \) we have
\[
(T(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}), (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})) = \int_D (Q\mathbf{h}_1 - i\mu^{-1}_r\xi\mathbf{h}_2) \cdot (\overline{\mathbf{h}_1} - \text{curl}\ \overline{\mathbf{u}}) \, dx
\]
\[
+ \int_D (ik\mu^{-1}_r\mathbf{h}_1 + k^2(P - \xi\mu^{-1}_r\xi)\mathbf{h}_2) \cdot (\overline{\mathbf{h}_2} - \overline{\mathbf{u}}) \, dx
\]
\[
= S_1 - S_2,
\]
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where
\[ S_1 = (Qh_1, h_1) + k^2((P - \xi\mu^{-1}\xi)h_2, h_2) + ik(\xi\mu^{-1}h_1, h_2) - ik(\mu^{-1}\xi h_2, h_1), \]
\[ S_2 = \int_D (Qh_1 - ik\mu^{-1}\xi h_2) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \, dx + \int_D (ik\xi\mu^{-1}h_1 + k^2(P - \xi\mu^{-1}\xi)h_2) \cdot \mathbf{u} \, dx. \]

Therefore, with the fact that
\[ (\text{Im} \, T(f, g), (f, g)) = \text{Im} \, (T(f, g), (f, g)) \]
we have
\[ (\text{Im} \, T(f, g), (f, g)) = \text{Im} \, S_1 - \text{Im} \, S_2. \]
Let us first consider \( \text{Im} \, S_1 \). From Assumption 2 we have
\[ \text{Im} \, S_1 \geq C_1\|h_1\|^2 + k^2C_2\|h_2\|^2 + k\text{Re}(\xi\mu^{-1}h_1, h_2) - k\text{Re}(\mu^{-1}\xi h_2, h_1). \]
Recall that \( \mu^{-1} \) and \( \xi \) are symmetric. We estimate
\[ k\text{Re}(\xi\mu^{-1}h_1, h_2) = \text{Re}(\xi\mu^{-1}h_1, k h_2) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \left( \|\xi\mu^{-1}h_1 + k h_2\|^2 - \|\xi\mu^{-1}h_1\|^2 - k^2\|h_2\|^2 \right) \]
\[ \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \|\xi\mu^{-1}h_1\|^2 - \|k h_2\|^2 \right) \]
\[ = -\|\xi\mu^{-1}h_1\|\|k h_2\| \]
\[ \geq -\frac{1}{2} \left( \|\xi\mu^{-1}h_1\|F\|_{L^\infty} \|h_1\|^2 + k^2\|h_2\|^2 \right) \]
\[ = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \|\xi\mu^{-1}h_1\|F\|_{L^\infty} \|h_1\|^2 + k^2\|h_2\|^2 \right), \]
and similarly
\[ -k\text{Re}(\mu^{-1}\xi h_2, h_1) = -\text{Re}(k\mu^{-1}\xi h_2, h_1) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \left( \|k\mu^{-1}\xi h_2 - h_1\|^2 - k^2\|\mu^{-1}\xi h_2\|^2 - \|h_1\|^2 \right) \]
\[ \geq -\frac{1}{2} \left( k^2\|\mu^{-1}\xi h_2\|_{L^\infty} \|h_2\|^2 + \|h_1\|^2 \right). \]

Therefore, we obtain
\[ \text{Im} \, S_1 \geq \left[ C_1 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \|\mu^{-1}\xi h_1\|_{L^\infty}^2 + 1 \right) \right] \|h_1\|^2 + k^2 \left[ C_2 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \|\mu^{-1}\xi h_2\|_{L^\infty}^2 + 1 \right) \right] \|h_2\|^2 \]
\[ = c_1\|h_1\|^2 + k^2c_2\|h_2\|^2 \]
where
\[
c_1 = C_1 - \frac{1}{2} (||\mu_r^{-1}\xi||_{L^\infty}^2 + 1) > 0, \\
c_2 = C_2 - \frac{1}{2} (||\mu_r^{-1}\xi||_{L^\infty}^2 + 1) > 0.
\]

In order to estimate $\text{Im} S_2$ we note that since $u$ is the radiating variational solution to (12), for all $v \in H_0(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ with compact support we have
\[
\int_{\Omega} (\text{curl } u \cdot \text{curl } v - k^2 u \cdot v) \, dx = \int_{D} (Qh_1 - ik\mu_r^{-1}\xi h_2) \cdot \text{curl } v \, dx \\
+ \int_{D} (ik\xi \mu_r^{-1}h_1 + k^2(P - \xi \mu_r^{-1}\xi)h_2) \cdot v \, dx.
\]

Let $\Omega_r = \{ x \in \Omega : |x_3| < r \}$ and consider $r > 0$ such that $D \subset \Omega_r$. Consider a scalar cut-off function $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\varphi(t) = 1$ for $|t| < r$ and $\varphi = 0$ for $|t| > 2r$. Then setting $v(x) = \varphi(x_3)u(x)$ it belongs to $H_0(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ with compact support in $\Omega_{2r}$. Substituting $v$ in the above variational form yields
\[
\int_{\Omega} (\text{curl } u \cdot \text{curl } (\varphi u) - k^2 u \cdot (\varphi u)) \, dx = S_2.
\]

Therefore, using Green’s identities and the fact that $u$ solves $\text{curl}^2 u - k^2 u = 0$ in $\Omega_{2r} \setminus \Omega_r$ we have
\[
S_2 = \int_{\Omega_r} (|\text{curl } u|^2 - k^2|u|^2) \, dx + \int_{\Omega_{2r} \setminus \Omega_r} (\text{curl } u \cdot \text{curl } (\varphi u) - k^2 u \cdot (\varphi u)) \, dx \\
= \int_{\Omega_r} (|\text{curl } u|^2 - k^2|u|^2) \, dx + \int_{\Omega_{2r} \setminus \Omega_r} (\text{curl}^2 u - k^2 u) \cdot (\varphi u) \, dx \\
+ \left( \int_{\{x_3=r\} \cap \Omega} - \int_{\{x_3=-r\} \cap \Omega} \right) (e_3 \times \text{curl } u) \cdot \overline{u} \, dx \\
= \int_{\Omega_r} (|\text{curl } u|^2 - k^2|u|^2) \, dx + \left( \int_{\{x_3=r\} \cap \Omega} - \int_{\{x_3=-r\} \cap \Omega} \right) (e_3 \times \text{curl } u) \cdot \overline{u} \, dx.
\]

Therefore, taking the imaginary part of both sides we have
\[
\text{Im } S_2 = \text{Im} \left( \int_{\{x_3=r\} \cap \Omega} - \int_{\{x_3=-r\} \cap \Omega} \right) (e_3 \times \text{curl } u) \cdot \overline{u} \, dx.
\]

Using the Rayleigh expansion radiation condition for $u$ and a straightforward calculation give
\[
\lim_{r \to \infty} \left( \int_{\{x_3=r\} \cap \Omega} - \int_{\{x_3=-r\} \cap \Omega} \right) (e_3 \times \text{curl } u) \cdot \overline{u} \, dx = -i4\pi^2 \sum_{m, \beta_m > 0} \beta_m (|\bar{u}_m^+|^2 + |\bar{u}_m^-|^2).
\]
We thus obtain
\[ \text{Im } S_2 = -4\pi^2 \sum_{m: \beta_m > 0} \beta_m (|\hat{m}^+|^2 + |\hat{m}^-|^2) \leq 0. \]

From the estimates for \( \text{Im } S_1 \) and \( \text{Im } S_2 \) and the fact that \( (\text{Im } T(f, g), (f, g)) = \text{Im } S_1 - \text{Im } S_2 \), we obtain
\[ (\text{Im } T(f, g), (f, g)) \geq c_1 \| h_1 \|^2 + k^2 c_2 \| h_2 \|^2. \]

(25)

Now suppose that there is no \( c > 0 \) such that (24) holds. Then there exists a sequence \( \{(f_j, g_j)\}_j \subset L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3) \times L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3) \) such that \( \|(f_j, g_j)\| = 1 \) and
\[ (\text{Im } T(f_j, g_j), (f_j, g_j)) \xrightarrow{j \to \infty} 0. \]

By (25) we have \( h_1^j = f_j + \text{curl } u_j \xrightarrow{j \to \infty} 0 \) and \( h_2^j = g_j + u_j \xrightarrow{j \to \infty} 0 \) in \( L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3) \) where \( u_j \) is the radiating variational solution to
\[ \text{curl}^2 u_j - k^2 u_j = k^2 S(u_j, f_j, g_j) + \text{curl } T(u_j, f_j, g_j). \]

Then \( u_j \) also satisfies
\[ u_j = \mathcal{A} S(u_j, f_j, g_j) + \mathcal{B} T(u_j, f_j, g_j) \]
\[ = \mathcal{A} \left[ \frac{i}{k} \xi \mu^{-1}_t(f_j + \text{curl } u_j) + (P - \xi \mu^{-1}_t) (g_j + u_j) \right] \]
\[ + \mathcal{B} \left[ Q(f_j + \text{curl } u_j) - ik \mu^{-1}_t \xi (g_j + u_j) \right]. \]

Hence, there exist \( c_3, c_4 > 0 \) such that
\[ \| u_j \|_{H(\text{curl}, D)} \leq c_3 \left( \frac{1}{k} \| \xi \mu^{-1}_t \|_F \| f_j + \text{curl } u_j \| + \| P - \xi \mu^{-1}_t \|_F \| g_j + u_j \| \right) \]
\[ + c_4 \left( \| Q \|_F \| f_j + \text{curl } u_j \| + k \| \mu^{-1}_t \|_F \| g_j + u_j \| \right). \]

Thus \( u_j \xrightarrow{j \to \infty} 0 \) in \( H(\text{curl}, D) \) and therefore \( (f_j, g_j) \xrightarrow{j \to \infty} 0 \) in \( L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3) \times L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3) \) which contradicts \( \|(f_j, g_j)\| = 1. \)

**Lemma 7.** We have the following factorization
\[ WN = H^* TH. \]

**Proof.** Together with \( H^* = WE \) from Lemma 3, the proof follows from the factorizations \( N = GH \) and \( G = ET \) that can be easily verified. \(\)

From the above lemma we obtain
\[ \text{Im } (WN) = H^*(\text{Im } T)H, \]
and more importantly, we can deduce the following result about the connection between the ranges of \( H^* \) and \( \text{Im } (WN) \).
Theorem 8. \( \text{Im} (WN) \) is a positive definite, compact and self-adjoint operator on \( \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{C}^4) \) with an eigensystem \((\lambda_j, (\phi^j_m))_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\). Thus its square root
\[
(\text{Im} (WN))^{1/2}(\psi_m) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_j} (\psi_m, \phi^j_m)_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{C}^4)} \phi^j_m, \quad (\psi_m) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{C}^4),
\]
is well-defined and
\[
\mathcal{R}(H^*) = \mathcal{R}((\text{Im} (WN))^{1/2}).
\]

Proof. \( \text{Im} (WN) \) is clearly self-adjoint and its compactness follows from the compactness of \( H \). Moreover, for \((a_m) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{C}^4) \) that is a nonzero sequence we have
\[
(\text{Im} (WN)(a_m), (a_m))_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{C}^4)} = (H^*(\text{Im} T)H(a_m), (a_m))_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{C}^4)}
= ((\text{Im} T)H(a_m), H(a_m))_{L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3) \times L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3)}
\geq c\|H(a_m)\|^2_{L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3) \times L^2(D, \mathbb{C}^3)} > 0
\]
for some \( c > 0 \) thanks to the coercivity of \( \text{Im} T \) and injectivity of \( H \). Hence all the eigenvalues of \( \text{Im} (WN) \) are positive. The range identity follows directly from the Corollary 1.22 in [13]. \( \square \)

Combining Theorem 4 and Theorem 8 we have the following characterization of \( D \).

Theorem 9. A point \( z \in \Omega \) belongs to \( D \) if and only if \( \tilde{W}(\hat{\Psi}_{z,m}) \in \mathcal{R}((\text{Im} (WN))^{1/2}) \). If we denote by \((\lambda_j, (\phi^j_m))_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\) the eigensystem of \( \text{Im} (WN) \) then the above criterion is equivalent to
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\langle \tilde{W}(\hat{\Psi}_{z,m}), (\phi^j_m) \rangle_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{C}^4)}|^2}{\lambda_j} < \infty. \tag{26}
\]

This is a necessary and sufficient characterization for \( D \) from the range of \((\text{Im} (WN))^{1/2}\) which gives us the unique determination of \( D \). It also provides a fast way to reconstruct \( D \) by plotting the reciprocal value of the series (26) for many points \( z \) sampling some domain that contains \( D \).

6 Numerical examples

We present in this section some numerical examples for imaging of bi-anisotropic periodic structures via the Picard criterion (26). For \( M \in \mathbb{N} \), we set
\[
\mathbb{Z}^2_M = \{ j = (j_1, j_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : -M/2 + 1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq M/2 \}.
\]
To generate the synthetic near-field data for the numerical examples we solve the direct problem using the spectral solver studied in [19]. More precisely, the direct problem is solved for the incident plane waves $\varphi_j^{(l)\pm}$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_M^2$, and the Rayleigh coefficients of the scattered fields are computed on $x_3 = \pm 1$, again for all indices in $\mathbb{Z}_M^2$. Let $N_M$ be the block matrix of the discretized near-field operator $N$

$N_M = \begin{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}(\hat{u}_{1,n}^{+})_{j,n}^{(1)+} \\
(\hat{u}_{2,n}^{+})_{j,n}^{(1)+} \\
(\hat{u}_{1,n}^{-})_{j,n}^{(1)+} \\
(\hat{u}_{2,n}^{-})_{j,n}^{(1)+}
\end{pmatrix} &
\begin{pmatrix}(\hat{u}_{1,n}^{+})_{j,n}^{(1)-} \\
(\hat{u}_{2,n}^{+})_{j,n}^{(1)-} \\
(\hat{u}_{1,n}^{-})_{j,n}^{(1)-} \\
(\hat{u}_{2,n}^{-})_{j,n}^{(1)-}
\end{pmatrix} \\
\begin{pmatrix}(\hat{u}_{1,n}^{+})_{j,n}^{(2)+} \\
(\hat{u}_{2,n}^{+})_{j,n}^{(2)+} \\
(\hat{u}_{1,n}^{-})_{j,n}^{(2)+} \\
(\hat{u}_{2,n}^{-})_{j,n}^{(2)+}
\end{pmatrix} &
\begin{pmatrix}(\hat{u}_{1,n}^{+})_{j,n}^{(2)-} \\
(\hat{u}_{2,n}^{+})_{j,n}^{(2)-} \\
(\hat{u}_{1,n}^{-})_{j,n}^{(2)-} \\
(\hat{u}_{2,n}^{-})_{j,n}^{(2)-}
\end{pmatrix}
\end{pmatrix}$, \quad (27)

where the indices $j, n$ in each subblock belong both to $\mathbb{Z}_M^2$, and $\hat{u}_{(1,2),n}^{(l)\pm}$ are the first two components of the Rayleigh coefficients of the scattered field in (10). The notation $(\cdot)^{(l)\pm}_j$ for $l = 1, 2$ indicates the dependence of these coefficients on the corresponding incident wave $\varphi_j^{(l)\pm}$.

Let $WN_M$ be the discretization of $WN$. The Hermitian matrix $\text{Im}(WN_M)$ has an eigendecomposition $\text{Im}(WN_M) = V D V^{-1}$, where $D$ is the diagonal matrix containing $4M^2$ eigenvalues $\lambda_n$ of $\text{Im}(WN_M)$ and $V$ is an orthogonal matrix containing the eigenvectors $(\varphi_{j,n})_{j=1}^{4M^2}$. Then

$$(\text{Im}(WN_M))^{1/2} = V |D|^{1/2} V^{-1}. \quad (28)$$

Then the criterion (26) is numerically exploited for imaging by plotting the function

$$z \mapsto P_M(z) = \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{4M^2} \frac{|A_n(z)|^2}{\lambda_n} \right]^{-1}, \quad (29)$$

where $A_n(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{4M^2} W(\hat{\Psi}_{z,j}^z \phi_{j,n})$. If the series in (29) approximates the true value of the exact Picard series in (26), then $P_M$ should be very small outside of $D$ and considerably larger inside $D$.

To consider noise in the scattering data we add a complex-valued noise matrix $X$ containing random numbers whose real and imaginary parts are uniformly distributed on $(-1, 1)$ to the data matrix $N_M$. Denoting by $\delta$ the noise level, the noisy data matrix $(N_M)_\delta$ is then given by

$$(N_M)_\delta = N_M + \delta \frac{X}{\|X\|} \|N_M\|,$$
where the matrix norm $\| \cdot \|$ is the Frobenius norm. For such noisy data, the eigenvalue decomposition in (28) has to be replaced by a singular value decomposition, that will not be detailed here. We truncate the singular values to regularize the Factorization method. For all of the examples below we only keep the singular values that are greater than or equal to $10^{-2}$ for the regularization.

We consider four numerical examples for which the periodic structures are motivated by two-dimensional photonic crystals. Here are the detailed information of the periodic structures we consider in this section.

a) We consider the structure of periodically aligned balls. The reconstruction result for this example is presented in Figure 1. Recall that $D = [\text{supp}(Q) \cup \text{supp}(P)] \cap \Omega$. In this example $D$ is given by

$$D = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \left( x_1 - \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2 + \left( x_2 - \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2 + x_3^2 < 0.6^2 \right\} \cup \left\{ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \left( x_1 + \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2 + \left( x_2 - \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2 + x_3^2 < 0.6^2 \right\} \cup \left\{ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \left( x_1 - \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2 + \left( x_2 + \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2 + x_3^2 < 0.6^2 \right\} \cup \left\{ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \left( x_1 + \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2 + \left( x_2 + \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2 + x_3^2 < 0.6^2 \right\}.$$

b) We consider the structure of periodically aligned bars. The reconstruction result for this example is presented in Figure 2. In this example $D$ is given by

$$D = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1^2 + x_3^2 < \left( \frac{\pi}{6} \right)^2 \right\} \cup \left\{ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (x_1 - \pi)^2 + x_3^2 < \left( \frac{\pi}{6} \right)^2 \right\} \cup \left\{ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (x_1 + \pi)^2 + x_3^2 < \left( \frac{\pi}{6} \right)^2 \right\}.$$

c) We consider the structure of periodically aligned cubes. The reconstruction result for this example is presented in Figure 3. In this example $D$ is given by

$$D = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x_1| < \frac{\pi}{2}, |x_2| < \frac{\pi}{2}, |x_3| < 0.3 \right\}.$$

d) We consider a strip with periodically aligned holes. The reconstruction result for this example is presented in Figure 4. In this example $D$ is given by

$$D = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 > \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2, |x_3| < 0.3 \right\}.$$
The numerical implementation is done using Matlab. In all of the examples in this section we use the following parameters.

\[
\text{sampling domain } = (-\pi, \pi)^2 \times (-1, 1), \quad k = \pi, \\
M = 20 \text{ (i.e. 1600 incident plane waves),} \\
\delta = 2\% \text{ (noise level),} \quad \alpha = (\pi/2, \pi/2, 0).
\]

The sampling domain is probed by \(32^3\) sampling points. Recall that the data are measured at \(\{x_3 = \pm 1\}\). The matrix-valued coefficients \(\varepsilon_r, \mu_r^{-1}, \xi\) are given by (16)–(17) in all of the examples. The Rayleigh expansion in the radiation condition (10) for \(u\) is truncated in \(\mathbb{Z}_M^2\). Hence, for \(M = 20\), we have 400 Rayleigh coefficients in each block of the data matrix (27). There are 32 coefficients corresponding to propagating modes in these 400 Rayleigh coefficients, and the rest corresponds to evanescent modes which are necessarily important for the quality of the reconstructions. The important role of evanescent modes in the numerical implementation has also been observed in previous works, see for example [1,10,15]. We can see in the Figures 1,2,3, and 4 that the Factorization method is able to provide reasonable reconstructions for different types of bi-anisotropic periodic structures.
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