Budesonide/Formoterol Enhances the Expression of Pro Surfactant Protein-B in Lungs of COPD Patients
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Abstract

Rationale & Aim: Pulmonary surfactants are essential components of lung homeostasis. In chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), surfactant expression decreases in lungs whereas, there is a paradoxical increase in protein expression in plasma. The latter has been associated with poor health outcomes in COPD. The purpose of this study was to determine the relationship of surfactants and other pneumoproteins in bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid and plasma to airflow limitation and the effects of budesonide/formoterol on this relationship.

Methods: We recruited (clinicaltrials.gov identifier: NCT00569712) 7 smokers without COPD and 30 ex and current smokers with COPD who were free of exacerbations for at least 4 weeks. All subjects were treated with budesonide/formoterol 400/12 μg twice a day for 4 weeks. BAL fluid and plasma samples were obtained at baseline and the end of the 4 weeks. We measured lung-predominant pneumoproteins: pro-Surfactant Protein-B (pro-SFTPB), Surfactant Protein-D (SP-D), Club Cell Secretory Protein-16 (CCSP-16) and Pulmonary and Activation-Regulated Chemokine (PARC/CCL-18) in BAL fluid and plasma.

Results: BAL Pro-SFTPB concentrations had the strongest relationship with airflow limitation as measured by FEV1/FVC (Spearman rho = 0.509; p = 0.001) and FEV1% of predicted (Spearman rho = 0.362; p = 0.028). Plasma CCSP-16 concentrations were also significantly related to airflow limitation (Spearman rho = 0.362; p = 0.028 for FEV1% of predicted). The other biomarkers in BAL fluid or plasma were not significantly associated with airflow limitation. In COPD subjects, budesonide/formoterol significantly increased the BAL concentrations of pro-SFTPB by a median of 62.46 ng/ml (p = 0.022) or 48.7% from baseline median value.

Conclusion: Increased severity of COPD is associated with reduced Pro-SFTPB levels in BAL fluid. Short-term treatment with budesonide/formoterol increases these levels in BAL fluid. Long term studies will be needed to determine the clinical relevance of this observation.

Introduction

The human and economic burden of Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) are rapidly growing worldwide [1]. Despite the improved understanding of its pathophysiology and management, it is the only major cause of mortality for which the death rate continues to climb [2,3]. The advent of novel therapies has been impeded to a certain extent by the paucity of surrogate markers that can be used in early clinical studies and trials to evaluate the therapeutic potential of promising compounds. To overcome this limitation, there has been a great deal of interest in the identification and validation of biomarkers for use in COPD [4,5]. However, to date there are no well accepted COPD specific biomarkers that have fully addressed this limitation. One potential solution is to focus on lung-specific proteins (which are sometimes referred to as pneumoproteins). Because it is highly unlikely that one molecule can be a biomarker for all relevant domains of COPD (e.g., disease severity, disease activity and therapeutic responsiveness), an alternative approach is to interrogate the performance characteristics of these pneumoproteins for each of these domains, separately. Finally, to date, most of the biomarker studies in COPD have focused on non-invasive sources (e.g. blood or sputum). Very few studies have interrogated bronchoscopic specimens. Thus, it is not certain which of the pneumoproteins, if any, may have biomarker potential in bronchoalveolar fluid, which can be obtained through bronchoscopy. The primary
Materials and Methods

Study Cohort

We recruited 40 participants in this study. Thirty two subjects had a clinical diagnosis of COPD defined on the basis of forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) to forced vital capacity (FVC) ratio of less than 70% and FEV1 that was less than 80% of predicted following bronchodilation. Eight subjects without COPD (FEV1/FVC ratio ≥70%) were also recruited as controls. Inclusion criteria were ≥40 years of age, heavy smokers defined by more than 30 pack-years of smoking, and were free of exacerbation (for those with COPD) and free of any respiratory tract infection (for non-COPD subjects) for more than 4 weeks prior to enrollment. Subjects who were using any inhaled or systemic corticosteroids within 6 months of recruitment or who had a significant medical condition that precluded follow-up such as acute or chronic respiratory failure, cardiovascular instability, had a significant medical condition that precluded follow-up such as acute or chronic respiratory failure, cardiovascular instability, had a significant medical condition that precluded follow-up such as acute or chronic respiratory failure, cardiovascular instability, had a significant medical condition that precluded follow-up such as acute or chronic respiratory failure, cardiovascular instability, had a significant medical condition that precluded follow-up such as acute or chronic respiratory failure, cardiovascular instability, had a significant medical condition that precluded follow-up such as acute or chronic respiratory failure, cardiovascular instability, had a significant medical condition that precluded follow-up such as acute or chronic respiratory failure, cardiovascular instability, had a significant medical condition that precluded follow-up such as acute or chronic respiratory failure, cardiovascular instability, had a significant medical condition that precluded follow-up such as acute or chronic respiratory failure, cardiovascular instability, had a significant medical condition that precluded follow-up such as acute or chronic respiratory failure, cardiovascular instability, had a significant medical condition that precluded follow-up such as acute or chronic respiratory failure, cardiovascular instability, had a significant medical condition that precluded follow-up such as acute or chronic respiratory failure, cardiovascular instability, had a significant medical condition that precluded follow-up such as acute or chronic respiratory failure, cardiovascular instability, had a significant medical condition that precluded follow-up such as acute or chronic respiratory failure, cardiovascular instability, had a significant medical condition that precluded follow-up such as acute or chronic respiratory failure, cardiovascular instability, had a significant medical condition that precluded follow-up such as acute or chronic respiratory failure, cardiovascular instability, had a significant medical condition that precluded follow-up such as acute or chronic respiratory failure, cardiovascular instability, had a significant medical condition that precluded follow-up such as acute or chronic respiratory failure, cardiovascular instability, had a significant medical condition that precluded follow-up such as acute or chronic respiratory failure, cardiovascular instability, had a significant medical condition that precluded follow-up such as acute or chronic respiratory failure, cardiovascular instability.

Statistical methods

Continuous variables with normal and skewed distribution are presented as mean ± standard deviation or median and interquartile range, respectively. Categorical variables are presented as frequencies and group percentage. The student t-test and paired sample t-test and the Fisher’s exact test were used for comparison of normally distributed continuous and categorical variables, respectively. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to test normal distribution of continuous variables. If the normality assumption failed, a Mann-Whitney U test was used for comparison of these continuous variables. The correlation analysis was performed to determine the relationship of BAL and plasma biomarkers to airflow limitation as reflected by FEV1 % of predicted and FEV1/FVC ratio. We then constructed a multiple regression model to adjust for the possible confounding effects of age, gender, body mass index and pack-years of smoking. All tests were two-tailed and a p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 18 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) software.

Results

Study Subjects

We excluded 3 subjects in whom adequate BAL and plasma samples could not be collected. Thus, the final analysis was conducted on 37 patients. The baseline demographic and clinical characteristics are presented in Table 1. The mean age was 64.97 ± 6.29 years, and 21 (56.8%) patients were men. All subjects were heavy smokers with a mean history of smoking was 47.83 ± 16.80 pack-years. Three (8.1%) were current smokers; the rest were ex-smokers. The mean FEV1 and FEV1/FVC ratio of the study cohort was 73.14 ± 18.30 predicted and 66.30 ± 9.43, by additional instillations of 20 ml aliquots until 30 ml of BAL fluid is recovered, which represents 30% to 50% of the total instilled fluid volume. The recovered BAL fluid from the distal airspace was filtered through one layer of sterile gauze swab and centrifuged at 242 g for 10 minutes at 4 °C. The supernatant was decanted and divided into aliquots for storage at −80 °C until measurements. Blood sample was taken from a peripheral vein and collected in 10 mL glass tubes containing ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid. Plasma was then separated and stored at −80° C. The BAL fluid and plasma samples were thawed once. From these samples (both baseline and 4 week samples), we measured lung predominant proteins, pro-SFTPB, SP-D, CCSP-16, and PARC/CCL-18. The study personnel who performed the measurements were blinded to the date of sample procurement and the baseline and 4 week samples (from the same patients) were aliquoted into the same plates to abrogate “batch effect”. Pro-SFTPB (synthesized in-house by generating anti-pro-SFTPB mouse monoclonal antibodies against the N-terminal pro-peptide of human SFTPB), SP-D (Bio Vendor Laboratory Medicine, Modrice, Czech Republic), CCSP-16 (Bio Vendor Laboratory Medicine, Modrice, Czech Republic), PARC/CCL-18 (R&D Minneapolis, MN, USA) were determined using commercially available ELISA kits according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All of these analytes were measured in duplicate with a coefficient of variation of 2.2% for pro-SFTPB, 4.4% for SP-D, 1.9% for CCSP-16, and 3.9% for PARC/CCL-18 from BAL samples, 6.7%, 5.9%, 2.8%, and 1.5% from plasma samples respectively. The analytical limit of detection for the pro-SFTPB was 2.74 pg/mL, that for SP-D was 0.01 ng/mL, that for CCSP-16 was 0.65 ng/mL, and that for PARC/CCL-18 was 10 pg/mL. The specificity of pro-SFTPB was confirmed using mass spectrometry and western blotting (data not shown).

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Study Participants.

| Groups             | Overall | COPD | Control | P value |
|--------------------|---------|------|---------|---------|
| No. of subjects    | 37      | 30   | 7       |         |
| Age (years)        | 66.92±6.29 | 65.10±6.81 | 64.14±3.48 | 0.947   |
| Male/female        | 21/16   | 14/16| 7/0     | 0.012   |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) | 26.98±4.03 | 26.36±3.67 | 29.66±4.97 | 0.063   |
| Ex-smoker/current smoker | 34/3   | 27/3 | 7/0     | 1.000   |
| Smoking (pack-years) | 47.83±16.80 | 46.36±15.51 | 54.14±21.75 | 0.697   |
| FEV1 % of predicted | 73.14±18.30 | 67.13±10.38 | 98.86±23.19 | <0.001  |
| FEV1/FVC           | 66.30±9.43 | 62.73±6.37 | 81.57±1.57 | <0.001  |

FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 second. FVC, forced vital capacity.
P value denotes comparison between COPD and control subjects.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083881.t001
respective. The median concentrations of pro-SFTPB and CCSP-16 in BAL fluid were lower in subjects with COPD compared to those without COPD (271.86 ng/ml vs. 921.55 ng/ml, p = 0.059; 27.62 ng/ml vs. 75.87 ng/ml, p = 0.040).

**BAL biomarkers (Table 2)**

At baseline, pro-SFTPB concentrations were significantly associated with severity of airflow limitation as measured by FEV₁ % of predicted (Spearman rho = 0.362, p = 0.028) and FEV₁/FVC ratio (Spearman rho = 0.509, p = 0.001) (Figure 1). Adjustments for age, sex, cigarette smoking (current versus ex-smokers) and BMI made no material difference to the relationship between pro-SFTPB and FEV₁/FVC ratio (standardized regression coefficient 0.361; p = 0.028). However, these adjustments made the relationship between pro-SFTPB and FEV₁ % of predicted non-significant (standardized regression coefficient 0.240; p = 0.185). Other biomarkers in the BAL fluid were not significantly related to airflow limitation. BAL pro-SFTPB showed a significant correlation with only body mass index (Spearman rho = 0.459, p = 0.004) among the clinical variables that were measured (Table 3). BAL pro-SFTPB concentrations were significantly related to other pneumo-biomarkers in the BAL fluid.

In patients with COPD, one month treatment with budesonide/formoterol significantly increased BAL concentrations of pro-SFTPB by a median (interquartile range) of 62.46 ng/ml (-50.62 to 264.04) (p = 0.022), representing a 48.7% from the baseline median value (Figure 2). None of clinical variables affected the change of BAL pro-SFTPB over the treatment period. Other BAL

---

**Table 2. Biomarker Levels in BAL and Plasma at Baseline and at 4 Weeks of Treatment with Inhaled Budesonide/Formoterol Combination.**

| Groups              | Overall | COPD | Control | P value† |
|---------------------|---------|------|---------|----------|
| **Baseline BAL**    |         |      |         |          |
| No. of subjects     | 37      | 30   | 7       |          |
| Pro-SFTPB (ng/ml)   | 286.52  | 271.86| 921.55  | 0.059    |
| (123.65 – 524.29)   | (117.25 – 396.69) | (242.90 – 1207.92) |          |
| SP-D (ng/ml)        | 2.72    | 2.81 | 1.86    | 0.138    |
| (1.55 – 5.71)       | (1.71 – 6.55) | (0.25 – 3.48) |          |
| CCSP-16 (ng/ml)     | 30.98   | 27.62| 75.87   | 0.040    |
| (20.59 – 55.57)     | (18.85 – 44.73) | (23.42 – 284.01) |          |
| PARC/CCL-18 (pg/ml) | 74.55   | 71.39| 78.22   | 0.894    |
| (41.87 – 196.24)    | (41.56 – 205.48) | (38.93 – 174.62) |          |
| **Baseline Plasma** |         |      |         |          |
| Pro-SFTPB (ng/ml)   | 31.90   | 31.90| 29.60   | 1.000    |
| (19.25 – 56.95)     | (16.80 – 56.93) | (21.70 – 68.90) |          |
| SP-D (ng/ml)        | 137.60  | 139.30| 105.70  | 0.435    |
| (74.45 – 181.00)    | (78.20 – 180.95) | (48.20 – 229.30) |          |
| CCSP-16 (ng/ml)     | 5.20    | 3.45 | 5.60    | 0.100    |
| (2.85 – 6.45)       | (2.50 – 5.95) | (5.20 – 7.00) |          |
| PARC/CCL-18 (pg/ml) | 43.90   | 41.40| 45.50   | 0.149    |
| (34.90 – 52.45)     | (31.65 – 52.85) | (44.70 – 53.20) |          |
| **4 week BAL**      |         |      |         |          |
| Pro-SFTPB (ng/ml)   | 424.48  | 405.90| 921.55  | 0.187    |
| (208.94 – 663.71)   | (172.91 – 578.06) | (242.90 – 1207.92) |          |
| SP-D (ng/ml)        | 2.68    | 3.28 | 1.86    | 0.175    |
| (0.96 – 4.68)       | (1.35 – 4.86) | (0.25 – 3.48) |          |
| CCSP-16 (ng/ml)     | 31.48   | 27.92| 75.87   | 0.084    |
| (16.27 – 74.44)     | (14.42 – 57.34) | (23.41 – 284.01) |          |
| PARC/CCL-18 (pg/ml) | 111.99  | 119.96| 78.22   | 0.151    |
| (69.50 – 246.56)    | (82.73 – 249.06) | (38.93 – 174.62) |          |
| **4 week Plasma**   |         |      |         |          |
| Pro-SFTPB (ng/ml)   | 30.90   | 29.25| 37.80   | 0.906    |
| (22.10 – 59.90)     | (22.55 – 59.23) | (16.20 – 82.30) |          |
| SP-D (ng/ml)        | 114.50  | 132.45| 98.60   | 0.451    |
| (73.70 – 170.70)    | (81.93 – 172.35) | (59.95 – 185.05) |          |
| CCSP-16 (ng/ml)     | 4.30    | 4.20 | 5.00    | 0.311    |
| (2.50 – 6.00)       | (2.45 – 6.03) | (3.70 – 6.80) |          |
| PARC/CCL-18 (pg/ml) | 42.90   | 41.50| 68.10   | 0.003    |
| (30.40 – 56.80)     | (29.80 – 51.80) | (54.15 – 86.35) |          |

**Table 2 continued:**

| Groups              | Overall | COPD | Control | P value† |
|---------------------|---------|------|---------|----------|
| **4 week Plasma**   |         |      |         |          |
| Pro-SFTPB (ng/ml)   | 30.90   | 29.25| 37.80   | 0.906    |
| (22.10 – 59.90)     | (22.55 – 59.23) | (16.20 – 82.30) |          |
| SP-D (ng/ml)        | 114.50  | 132.45| 98.60   | 0.451    |
| (73.70 – 170.70)    | (81.93 – 172.35) | (59.95 – 185.05) |          |
| CCSP-16 (ng/ml)     | 4.30    | 4.20 | 5.00    | 0.311    |
| (2.50 – 6.00)       | (2.45 – 6.03) | (3.70 – 6.80) |          |
| PARC/CCL-18 (pg/ml) | 42.90   | 41.50| 68.10   | 0.003    |
| (30.40 – 56.80)     | (29.80 – 51.80) | (54.15 – 86.35) |          |

**Notes:** BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage. FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second. FVC, forced vital capacity. Pro-SFTPB, pro-surfactant protein-B. SP-D, surfactant protein-D. CCSP-16, club cell secretory protein-16. PARC, pulmonary and activation regulated chemokine.

Data are expressed as median and interquartile range.

†P denotes the comparison between COPD and Control subjects.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083881.t002

---

Pro Surfactant Protein-B Expression in COPD Lung
biomarkers did not significantly change over the treatment period (SP-D median 2.02, interquartile range 2.29 to 1.46, p = 0.412; CCSP-16 1.94, 2.12 to 29.69, 0.245; PARC/CCL-18 38.80, 26.40 to 66.20, 0.090).

Plasma biomarkers (Table 2)
Only plasma concentrations of CCSP-16 were significantly associated with lung function (FEV1 % of predicted Spearman ρ = 0.362, p = 0.028; FEV1/FVC ratio 0.023, 0.185) (Figure 3). The plasma CCSP-16 concentrations were higher in men than women (median 5.70 vs. 3.35, p = 0.047), however, other clinical characteristics and plasma biomarkers did not affect its level.

One month treatment with budesonide/formoterol significantly reduced plasma concentrations of SP-D by a median (interquartile range) of 2.14.10 ng/ml (236.68 to 5.10) (p = 0.009). Other plasma biomarkers did not show a significant difference over the treatment period (change in pro-SFTPB median 1.95, interquartile range 2.88 to 9.78, p = 0.215; CCSP-16 0.20, -0.90 to 0.60, 0.459; PARC/CCL-18 2.10, -0.90 to 4.00, 0.433). The changes in the BAL and plasma biomarkers after 1 month treatment of budesonide/formoterol are presented in Figure 2.

Discussion
In this proof of principle study we used both BAL and plasma to interrogate the role (if any) of pneumoproteins as possible biomarkers of lung function and therapeutic responsiveness to an inhaled anti-inflammatory medication. We found that pro-SFTPB concentrations in BAL fluid were significantly related to lung function and that these levels could be increased with the use of inhaled budesonide/formoterol combination. On the other hand, we found that 4 weeks of inhaled budesonide/formoterol significantly reduced plasma concentrations of SP-D, in keeping with our previous observation [8]. Lastly, although plasma CCSP-16 concentrations were significantly related to FEV1%, they did not change significantly with budesonide/formoterol. Together, these data suggest that in plasma, CCSP-16 and SP-D are promising biomarkers for lung function and therapeutic responsiveness toinhaled anti-inflammatory medications, respectively; whereas in the BAL, pro-SFTPB is a promising biomarker for both of these outcomes.

Lung and systemic inflammation are key components of COPD. As the disease progresses, the inflammatory response is amplified [9]. However, because the systemic inflammatory process can be contributed by different organs (and not just the lungs), discovery of COPD “specific” biomarkers has been challenging. To surmount this limitation, recent investigations have focused on proteins that are synthesized mostly in the lung such as surfactant proteins, CCSP-16, and PARC/CCL18.

Surfactants are particularly interesting in that they are important for reducing surface tension at the air–liquid interface of lungs and thus are essential for life [10,11]. Surfactants are composed mostly of phospholipids, making them largely hydrophobic with few exceptions. The mature (and functional) form of surfactant protein B (SFTPB) is approximately 8 kDa in weight and is extremely hydrophobic [10,12]. The main function of SFTPB is to accelerate the formation of a surface active film composed of phospholipids at the air-water interface by increasing adsorption rate [12]. SFTPB also has anti-inflammatory properties and may be involved in protecting the lung against oxidative stress [13,14]. With smoking or acute lung injury, lung BAL protein levels.

Table 3. The relationship of the level of BAL pro-surfactant protein-B with clinical characteristics and other BAL pneumoproteins in all subjects.

|                      | Spearman Rho | P value |
|----------------------|--------------|---------|
| Age (years)          | -0.078       | 0.648   |
| Female (vs. male)    | -0.015       | 0.928   |
| Smoking (pack-years) | -0.052       | 0.761   |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) | 0.459  | 0.004   |
| FEV1% of predicted   | 0.362        | 0.028   |
| FEV1/FVC             | 0.509        | 0.001   |
| SP-D (ng/ml)         | 0.434        | 0.007   |
| CCSP-16 (ng/ml)      | 0.541        | 0.001   |
| PARC/CCL-18 (pg/ml)  | 0.566        | <0.001  |

BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage. FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 second. FVC, forced vital capacity. SP-D, surfactant protein-D. CCSP-16, club cell secretory protein-16. PARC, pulmonary and activation regulated chemokine.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083881.t003
Figure 2. BAL and plasma biomarkers at baseline and 4 weeks after budesonide/formoterol treatment in COPD patients. Budesonide/formoterol significantly increased the BAL concentrations of pro-SFTPB. Data are presented as median with interquartile range and outliers are shown. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083881.g002

Figure 3. The relationship between baseline plasma CCSP-16 and lung function. Significant relationship is noted between baseline plasma CCSP-16 and FEV₁/VC predicted. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083881.g003
concentrations of SFTP B decrease [15,16]. Most importantly, to our knowledge, no extra-pulmonary organs produce any appreciable amount of SFTPB, making SFTPB a highly specific lung biomarker. However, the main limitation of SFTPB as a biomarker is its hydrophobicity, and thus cannot be easily measured in plasma or BAL. Pro-SFTPB, on the other hand, is water soluble, which may explain why it was detectable in the BAL fluid and plasma. SFTPB is synthesized by Type II alveolar cells initially as a hydrophilic 42 kDalton pro-SFTPB and then processed post-translationally into a mature 8 kDa SFTPB through a series of proteolytic cleavages at both the N and C terminus of the protein [17]. The mature form is then secreted into the alveolar space from the lamellar bodies of Type II alveolar cells where they polymerize and associate with surfactant phospholipids, creating the complex surfactant that can reduce surface tension in lungs. Importantly, while SFTPB protein expression can be found in a variety of cells in lungs and elsewhere, SFTPB mRNA expression is localized exclusively to type II alveolar cells and nonciliated epithelial cells. Thus, SFTPB is a highly specific pneumoprotein unlike other surfactants such as SP-D and SP-A, which can be genetically expressed by other cells and organs [18–20]. This unique property of SFTPB makes it a very promising biomarker for evaluating disease severity and perhaps even disease activity in COPD and other inflammatory lung diseases. This may explain why despite the relatively small sample size, we found a significant relationship between pro-SFTPB levels in BAL fluid and airflow limitation and a significant increase following 1 month of therapy with budesonide/formoterol combination, which has been shown to improve lung function and health status and to reduce exacerbation risks in patients with COPD.

On the other hand, pro-SFTPB in plasma was not related to airflow limitation and was not responsive to short term therapy. This likely occurred because the plasma expression of pro-SFTPB is relatively low (figure 3) even in patients with COPD, reducing its discriminative property as a blood biomarker. In contrast, SP-D levels are higher in plasma than in the BAL fluid, making this a more attractive blood biomarker. Furthermore, plasma SP-D levels are modifiable with short term therapy with combination products [8] and as reported previously, these changes are associated with improved health status in patients with COPD. Thus, SP-D may be a promising blood biomarker to evaluate novel anti-inflammatory drugs in short term COPD studies.

Another promising blood biomarker is CCSP-16, which is secreted predominantly by non-ciliated Clara cells (club cells) in respiratory bronchioles and by non-ciliated columnar epithelial cells of the large and small airways. We found that plasma levels of this protein were associated with lung function, consistent with data reported by others [21]. However, neither BAL fluid nor plasma concentrations of this protein were modifiable with short-term budesonide/formoterol therapy, suggesting that this protein may be a promising biomarker of disease severity but not necessarily of disease activity in patients with COPD. Additional studies will be required to validate this hypothesis.

There were several limitations to this study. First, this was a single centered study with a relatively small sample size. However, the data on SP-D and CCSP-16 from this study were very similar to those of larger multi-centered studies [8,22]. Second, we did not have a placebo arm to this study. However, the purpose of this study was not to demonstrate the therapeutic efficacy of budesonide/formoterol (which has been shown previously) [23] but to demonstrate the performance characteristics of the selected pneumoproteins in both BAL fluid and plasma. Thus, the presence of a placebo comparator was not critical to this study. Third, all subjects were current or former heavy smokers. Thus these data cannot be generalized to all COPD patients. Fourth, we did not evaluate budesonide and formoterol separately; thus, it is uncertain which components (or both) lead to the changes in plasma concentrations of SP-D or pro-SFTPB changes in BAL. A previous study suggests that circulating SP-D is responsive mostly to inhaled corticosteroids [8].

In conclusion, the findings of the present study suggest that owing to their inherent molecular properties, different pneumoproteins may have different biomarker roles in COPD. In the BAL fluid, pro-SFTPB may provide information on disease severity and responsiveness to anti-inflammatory drugs; whereas in the systemic circulation, CCSP-16 may be a biomarker of disease severity and SP-D may associate with therapeutic responsiveness to inhaled corticosteroids. If these data can be generalized and validated by additional studies, these pneumoproteins may provide new tools for clinicians, researchers and industry to “customize” biomarker panels to evaluate specific endpoints in COPD.
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