Cell-Free Expression and Photo-Crosslinking of the Human Neuropeptide Y$_2$ Receptor
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G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) represent a large family of different proteins, which are involved in physiological processes throughout the entire body. Furthermore, they represent important drug targets. For rational drug design, it is important to get further insights into the binding mode of endogenous ligands as well as of therapeutic agents at the respective target receptors. However, structural investigations usually require homogenous, solubilized and functional receptors, which is still challenging. Cell-free expression methods have emerged in the last years and many different proteins are successfully expressed, including hydrophobic membrane proteins like GPCRs. In this work, an *Escherichia coli* based cell-free expression system was used to express the neuropeptide Y$_2$ receptor (Y$_2$R) for structural investigations. This GPCR was expressed in two different variants, a C-terminal enhanced green fluorescent fusion protein and a cysteine deficient variant. In order to obtain soluble receptors, the expression was performed in the presence of mild detergents, either Brij-35 or Brij-58, which led to high amounts of soluble receptor. Furthermore, the influence of temperature, pH value and additives on protein expression and solubilization was tested. For functional and structural investigations, the receptors were expressed at 37°C, pH 7.4 in the presence of 1 mM oxidized and 5 mM reduced glutathione. The expressed receptors were purified by ligand affinity chromatography and functionality of Y$_2$R$_{cysteine\_deficient}$ was verified by a homogenous binding assay. Finally, photo-crosslinking studies were performed between cell-free expressed Y$_2$R$_{cysteine\_deficient}$ and a neuropeptide Y (NPY) analog bearing the photoactive, unnatural amino acid p-benzoyl-phenylalanine at position 27 and biotin at position 22 for purification. After enzymatic digestion, fragments of crosslinked receptor were identified by mass spectrometry. Our findings demonstrate that, in contrast to Y$_1$R, NPY position 27 remains flexible when bound to Y$_2$R. These results are in agreement with the suggested binding mode of NPY at Y$_2$R.
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INTRODUCTION

G protein-coupled receptors (GPCR) are involved in the regulation of processes throughout the whole body and are therefore potential pharmaceutical targets. In 2017, about 35% of all approved drugs used GPCRs as targets and still, there is huge potential left. With about 800 members, these proteins are the largest family of integral membrane proteins, and only 134 of them are targeted by pharmaceuticals by now (Sriram and Insel, 2018). In order to identify new drugs, new GPCR targets can be approached. Another concept is to find compounds for the fine-tuning of the GPCR signaling, which provides the opportunity to add other dimensions of regulation besides “on” or “off.” The rational design of novel drug compounds requires detailed knowledge of the binding pocket as well as the dynamic processes GPCRs undergo during activation. However, direct structural investigations of GPCRs remain challenging owing to their hydrophobicity and structural flexibility, since GPCRs adapt many distinct conformations in the basal, as well in the active state (Katritch et al., 2013; Venkatakrishnan et al., 2013; Manglik et al., 2015; Latorraca et al., 2017).

X-ray crystallography and NMR spectroscopy are commonly used for the investigations of proteins. Until 2017, crystal structures of more than 30 different GPCRs have been solved in different activation states as reviewed by Xiang et al. (2016) and Lee et al. (2018). These structures, solved in complex with agonists, antagonists, G proteins, arrestins or stabilizing proteins, give insights into the mechanisms underlying GPCR activation and their conformations in inactive or active states. A drawback of crystallization is that only one conformation at a time can be captured, giving a good state-of-the-art picture, but conformations with short half-life are often missed.

To fill this gap, mass spectrometry (MS) based structural investigations can be performed complementary to the classical protein structural methods. One approach is the combination of crosslinking strategies with MS, which can provide information about interaction partners or can be used to map interaction surfaces, as recently reviewed (Nguyen et al., 2018; Seidel and Coin, 2018; Sinz, 2018). In contrast to X-ray crystallography or NMR spectroscopy, only low quantities of protein are needed for the identification of crosslinking regions by MS. Furthermore, structural information is gained at physiological pH and reflects the native protein conformation in solution. Only minor limitations in the size of the target proteins are found for MS, because the cross-linked complexes are usually enzymatically digested before MS analysis.

With increasing application of crosslinking, more crosslinking agents are available, providing different reactivity and specificity. These include homobifunctional crosslinkers, “zero-length” chemical crosslinkers, MS cleavable crosslinker and photo-crosslinker (Sinz, 2006; Manglik et al., 2015; Hage et al., 2017; Sinz, 2017). The latter are chemically inert compounds, which, upon irradiation with UV light, form reactive intermediates that can react with adjacent molecules to form covalent bonds (Weber and Beck-Sickinger, 1997). Among the most frequently used photo-reactive groups are benzophenones moieties, phenyl- and alkyl-diazirines and phenyl-azides as reviewed several times (Preston and Wilson, 2013; Sumranjit and Chung, 2013; Smith and Collins, 2015).

The benzophenone moiety can be site-specifically inserted into proteins and peptides by using Bpa, an unnatural amino acid, and several techniques including solid-phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) (Kauer et al., 1986), expansion of the genetic code (Chin et al., 2002, 2003; Hino et al., 2005) or semi-synthetic approaches (David and Beck-Sickinger, 2007). After UV irradiation, the benzophenone moiety is able to form a reactive triplet ketyl biradical, whose oxygen is able to abstract hydrogens from weak C–H-bonds in a hydrophobic environment resulting in ketyl and alkyl radicals. These radicals then combine to form a C–C bond (Preston and Wilson, 2013). One drawback of MS-based structural investigations is the need for high quality MS data, which means that the protein of interest must be available in a homogenous and functional form.

Different strategies have been developed to address this issue and most cross-linking approaches are performed with GPCRs expressed in eukaryotic cells. To obtain GPCRs in a more defined environment and in higher amounts recombinant expression can be performed in hosts like insect, mammalian or prokaryotic cells (Shukla et al., 2015). While the production in insect and mammalian hosts requires more complex growth media, the growth of the cells is slower and is in general more expensive. The expression in prokaryotic cells mostly leads to the accumulation of GPCRs in inclusion bodies. This requires time-consuming resolubilization, purification and refolding steps to achieve functional receptors. When considering photo-crosslinking with subsequent analysis by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time of flight (MALDI-ToF) MS it is additionally favorable to avoid post-translational modifications, which usually leads to more heterogeneous protein samples and further complicates the interpretation of the results.

An alternative approach for the production of soluble, homogenous GPCRs are cell-free (CF) expression systems. Due to their open nature, these systems allow a directed optimization of the expression conditions with only minor limitations toward the protein production itself. The expression can be completely guided toward the expression of the protein of interest, which includes the enhancement of expressed protein, as well as the co-translational addition of protein stabilizers like detergents or lipids (Bernhard and Tozawa, 2013) and the adjustment of the redox conditions (Bundy and Swartz, 2011; Michel and Wüthrich, 2012; Proverbio et al., 2013). Furthermore, CF approaches offer a high potential for the efficient incorporation of unnatural amino acids (Staunton et al., 2006; Bundy and Swartz, 2010; Hong et al., 2014), without limitations due to toxicity or lacking transport to the cell membrane.

The NPY receptor family consists of four rhodopsin-like GPCRs in humans (Pedragosa-Badia et al., 2013), which are potential targets for the treatment of diverse diseases including metabolic diseases (Yi et al., 2018), cardiovascular diseases (Tan et al., 2018), as well as certain cancer types (Li et al., 2015; Tilan and Kitlinska, 2016) and disorders of the central nervous system (Duarte-Neves et al., 2016; Götzsche and Woldbye, 2016; Reichmann and Holzer, 2016; Robinson and Thiele, 2017). The endogenous ligand NPY binds to the Y₁R, the Y₂R and the
Y2R with high affinities, besides their relatively low sequence similarity (Brothers and Wahlstedt, 2010). It adopts diverse binding modes on the different NPY receptor subtypes, where it produces partially adverse effects. The binding mode of NPY to Y2R has been intensively studied by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy of recombinantly expressed and in vitro refolded receptor (Schmidt et al., 2009; Schmidt et al., 2017) in combination with mutagenesis studies and molecular modeling (Kaiser et al., 2015).

In this study we describe the soluble cell-free expression of two variants of the Y2R, C-terminally coupled to a fluorescent protein (Y2R-eGFP), as well as a cysteine minimized variant (Y2R_cysteine_deficient). We used an Escherichia coli (E. coli) based CF expression system and optimized it for receptor expression and solubilization. Active receptors were purified by ligand affinity chromatography. We furthermore performed a homogenous binding assay with the solubilized Y2R_cysteine_deficient to prove functionality. Photo-crosslinking studies were performed between Y2R_cysteine_deficient and an NPY analog, containing Bpa at position 27 and biotin at position 22, and the results were compared to the known binding mode of NPY(13–36) to Y2R (Kaiser et al., 2015).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Peptide Synthesis

Rink amide resin (4-(2,4-dimethoxyphenyl-Fmoc-aminomethyl)-phenoxy, 15 µmol scale, loading 0.69 mmol/g) was obtained from Iris Biotech (Marktredwitz, Germany), and NovaSyn TGR R resin (15 µmol scale, loading 0.18 mmol/g) from Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany). 9-Fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl (Fmoc)- and tert-butylxycarbonyl-protected amino acids were purchased from Orpegen OPC (Heidelberg, Germany), Iris Biotech, and Sigma-Aldrich (Taufkirchen, Germany). Orthogonally 1-(4,4-dimethyl-2,6-dioxocyclohexyl-idene)ethyl (Dde) protected amino acid Fmoc-Lys(Dde)-OH, as well as 6-(Fmoc-amino)-hexanoic acid (Ahx) and Fmoc-Bpa-OH, 1-[Bis(dimethylamino)methylene]-1H,1,2,3-triazolo[4,5-b]pyridi-nium 3-oxide hexafluorophosphate (HATU), 1-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBr), ethyl2-cyano-2-(hydroxyimino)acetate (Oxyma), and N,N′-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC) were purchased from Iris Biotech. Biotin, N,N′-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA), hydrazine, piperidine, 1,2-ethanedithiol (EDT), thioanisole (TA), and trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and 5(6)-TAMRA-Novabiochem® from Merck KGaA. Acetonitrile (ACN) was from VWR (Darmstadt, Germany), dimethylformamide (DMF) and dichloromethane (DCM) were obtained from Biosolve (Valkenswaard, Netherlands), and p-thiocresol from Alfa Aesar (Ward Hill, MA, United States).

Porcine NPY (YPSKDPDNPDGEPADAEDLARYSALRHYINLITRQRY-NH2) and analogs were synthesized by a combination of automated and manual SPPS on an automated multiple peptide synthesis robot system (Syro, MultiSynTech, Bochum, Germany), using Fmoc/tBu strategy in 15 μmol scale as previously described (Pedragosa-Badia et al., 2014). The porcine variant of NPY, which contains a single exchange at position 17 (M17L), was used due to its increased solubility and stability. The porcine NPY possesses affinity and signaling properties identical to the human NPY. It will be following termed NPY. NPY, biotin-(Ahx)2-NPY, and 5(6)-TAMRA-Ahx(5-24)NPY were synthesized on Rink amide resin and [K22(Ahx)2-biotin]Bpa27NPY (Photo27-NPY) on a NovaSyn TGR R resin to obtain C-terminally amidated peptides. All manual coupling reactions were performed at room temperature under constant shaking over night. For manual coupling of Ahx and Bpa 5 eq. of the respective Fmoc protected amino acid, 5 eq. HOBr and 5 eq. DIC in DMF was used. Biotin labeling was performed by dissolving 3 eq. biotin in DMF for 10 min at 60°C. Following, 3 eq. HOBr and 3 eq. DIC were added to the mixture, which was incubated on the resin. For 5(6)-TAMRA labeling 2 eq. 5(6)-TAMRA, 2 eq. HATU and 2 eq. DIPEA were dissolved in DMF and added to the respective resin. Dde cleavage was obtained by addition of freshly prepared 2% (v/v) hydrazine in DMF for 10 min at least 10 times using an automated multiple peptide synthesis robot system.

Side chain deprotection and concurrent cleavage from the resin was accomplished by using a mixture of TFA/TA/EDT (90:7:3, 1 mL) or TFA/TA/TK (90:5:5 v/v/v) for Bpa containing peptides for 3 h at room temperature under constant shaking.

All peptides were purified by preparative reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) on a PhenomenexKinetex 5u XB-C18 (5 μm, 100 Å), a PhenomenexAeris™ Peptide XB-C18 (5 μm, 100 Å) or a Phenomenex Jupiter 10u Proteo C18 (90 Å, 10 μm) column using linear gradients of 0.08% (v/v) TFA in ACN (eluant B) in 0.1% (v/v) TFA in H2O (eluant A). Peptide purity was determined by analytical RP-HPLC using two different columns (PhenomenexAeris® Peptide 3.6u XB-C18 (3.6 μm, 100 Å, 1.55 mL/min), PhenomenexKinetex 5u XB-C18, 5 μm, 100 Å, 1.55 mL/min). Identity was confirmed by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time of flight (MALDI-ToF) MS (Ultraflex III MALDI-ToF/ToF, Bruker Daltonics) and ESI-HCT MS (High-capacity ion trap ESI-MS, Bruker Daltonics). Purity of all peptides was ≥95%.

Plasmids

Human Y2R_cysteine_deficient cDNA, containing the mutations C581-40A, C1032-57S, C1513-53S, C2724-39A, C3166-44A, C3427-70A (Witte et al., 2013), was cloned into pVITRO2-hygromycin (InvivoGen, San Diego, CA, United States), and C-terminally fused to an enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (eYFP) by an ADPPVV linker containing GCGGATCCCGCCGTCCGTG on the DNA level. The chimeric G protein GaL646Myr was cloned into pcDNA3 and was kindly provided by Kostenis (2001).

Human Y2 receptor cDNA fused to an enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) by a GCGGATCCCGGTTAGGTTG linker (amino acid sequence ADPVMV) and human Y2R_cysteine_deficient cDNA were subcloned into the prokaryotic expression vector pET-22b (+) (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) by using NdeI and XhoI (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Braunschweig, Germany). A C-terminal deca-histidine-tag (10 × His) linked by CTCGAG was added.
for purification and detection. The molecular weight of Y2R_eGFP_His10 is 71650.6 Da and the Y2R_cysteine_deficient has a molecular weight of 44184.4 Da.

**IP-One Assay**

The inositol phosphate (IP)-one assay was performed as described previously (Wanka et al., 2018). In short, confluent HEK293 cells (human embryonic kidney) in 6-well plates were co-transfected with receptor and a chimeric Gα protein (Gα15ΔMyrMyr). On the next day the cells were trypsinated, re-seeded in white 384-well plates (Greiner Bio-one) and maintained overnight at 37°C, 5% CO2 and 95% humidity (standard conditions). For measurement of IP production, the IP-one Gq assay kit (Cisbio Bioassay, Codolet, France) was used. The medium was removed and cells stimulated for 1.5 h with 15 μL stimulation solution containing increasing concentrations of NPY or NPY analogs. After cell lysis with lysis buffer supplemented with antibody 1 and antibody 2 according to the manufacturer’s protocol, the emission at 665 nm and 620 nm was measured in a Tecan Spark plate reader (Tecan Group AG, Männedorf, Switzerland). Using GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, United States) the concentration response curves were normalized to the NPY Y2R curve. The EC50 and Emax values of concentration response-curves were determined by non-linear regression (curve fit). The experiments were conducted in at least two independent experiments.

**[^125I]PY binding Assay**

For binding experiments, membrane preparations of transiently transfected HEK293 cells were used. For transfection, HEK293 cells were seeded into 75 cm² culture flasks and were transiently transfected with pVitro2_Y2R_cysteine_deficient_eYFP (12 μg) with MetafectenePRO (Biontex Laboratories GmbH, Munich, Germany).

For membrane preparation, transiently transfected HEK293 cells were washed twice with Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS, Biochrome GmbH, Berlin, Germany) and afterwards harvested with DPBS. The cells were taken up in Tris-buffer [50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, with 1% (v/v) Pefabloc SC] and homogenized 50 times (25× loose and 25× tight pestle) with a manual dounce homogenizer and centrifuged for 10 min at 2,400 rpm, 4°C. The supernatant was centrifuged again for 30 min at 12,000 rpm and 4°C and the resulting pellet was resuspended in HEPES buffer [25 mM HEPES, 2 mM MgCl2 and 25 mM CaCl2 (Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland), pH 7.4], with 50 nM Pefabloc SC. The solution was homogenized as described above and centrifuged for 30 min at 12,000 rpm, 4°C. The resulting membrane pellet was thoroughly resuspended in HEPES-buffer without Pefabloc SC and protein concentration was measured with spectrophotometry (Bio-Rad Protein Assay, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, United States) against a BSA fraction V (BSA, Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany). The supernatants were centrifuged at 100,000 × g for 90 min. The resulting membrane pellets were immediately used for binding experiments. The cells were frozen at −70°C.

The competition binding assay was previously described by Kaiser et al. (2018) with minor changes. Briefly, NPY, 5(6)-TAMRA-Ahx(5-24)NPY and ^[125]I-pYY (NEX240, PerkinElmer, Boston, MA, United States) were diluted in water with 1% (w/v) BSA, 10 μl of increasing concentrations of NPY or 5(6)-TAMRA-Ahx(5-24)NPY, 10 μl of ^[125]I-pYY (50 PM final concentration) and 0.75 μg membrane preparations in 80 μL, diluted in HBSS with 1% (w/v) BSA, 50 nM Pefabloc SC to 0.75 μg total protein per well were added to a 96 well plate. The incubation was performed at room temperature and with continuous shaking for 3 h. The binding assay was terminated by filtering the samples though a glass fiber filter (Schleicher & Schuell). The radioactivity was measured with a FilterMate Harvester and Melt-on Scintillator Sheets MeltiLexA in a MicroBeta 2 Plate Counter (PerkinElmer). The experiments were conducted in at least two independent experiments.

| Receptors   | Cell-Free Protein Expression | NPY [125I]displacement assay | Determination of Kd and IC50 values |
|-------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Y2R_cysteine_deficient | Cell-free protein expression was performed by a coupled in vitro transcription/translation system as previously described (Schwarz et al., 2007) using a bacterial S30 extract from E. coli BL21 (DE3), which already contains the T7-RNA polymerase. The S30 extract was prepared manually. In short, an overnight culture of E. coli BL21 (DE3) in 100 mL TB-Medium was harvested. The cells were resuspended in 2 L 2x TYPG medium to obtain an OD600 of ~0.1. The cells were allowed to grow at 37°C, 200 rpm to an OD600 of 0.6 and the expression of the T7-RNA Polymerase was induced by 1 mM IPTG (Thermo Fisher Scientific). At OD600 of 2.2–2.4 cells were harvested by centrifugation at 8,000 g, 4°C for 5 min. The pellets were washed three times with 10 mM Tris-acetate (pH 8.2, Carl Roth GmbH & Co. KG, Karlsruhe, Germany), 14 mM Mg(OAc)2 (Merck Millipore), 0.6 mM KCl (Grüssing GmbH Analytika, Filsum, Germany) and 6 mM 1,4-mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich) and centrifuged at 8,000 g, 4°C for 5 min. Next, the pellet was resuspended in 1.2 mL 10 mM Tris-acetate (pH 8.2), 14 mM Mg(OAc)2, 0.6 mM KCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 1 mM Pefabloc SC (Sigma-Aldrich). The cells were disrupted by FastPrep-24 TM 5G (MP Biomedicals, Inc.) and the lysate centrifuged at 30,000 g, 4°C |
for 30 min. Centrifugation was repeated with the supernatant. The supernatant was collected, adjusted to a final concentration of 400 mM NaCl and incubated at 42°C for 45 min. Subsequently the turbid extract was dialyzed against 100 times expression buffer [10 mM Tris-acetate (pH 8.2), 14 mM Mg(OAc)₂, 0.6 mM KOAc (Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.5 mM DTT] for 2 h at 4°C. Dialysis was repeated with fresh buffer over night. The extract was then centrifuged at 30,000 g, 4°C for 30 min, the supernatant was aliquoted and stored at −70°C. For each new S30 extract the optimal Mg(OAc)₂ concentration has to be adjusted. CECF protein synthesis was performed as previously described (Schwarz et al., 2007) with volumes of 75 µL reaction mix (RM) and 1 mL feeding mix (FM) in the analytical scale and 1 mL RM and 17 mL FM in the preparative scale. The RM and FM consisted of the following components: 2% (w/v) polyethylene glycol 8000 (Applichem GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany), 1 mM IPTG, 2 mM DTT, 150.8 mM KOAc, 10.1 mM Mg(OAc)₂, 0.1 M HEPES, 1x Halt™ Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 20 mM phosphoenolpyruvate (Bachem, Bubendorf, Switzerland), 0.05% (w/v) sodium azide, 0.1 mg/mL folinic acid, 1.2 mM ATP, 0.8 μM CTP, GTP and UTP (Sigma-Aldrich), 20 mM acetyl phosphate (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.5 mM of each amino acid (Sigma-Aldrich), supplemented with additionally 1 mM of arginine, cysteine, tryptophane, methionine, glutamic acid and aspartic acid. The FM consisted of an additional 0.5 mL of each amino acid and 35% expression buffer. The RM was supplemented with 0.04 mg/mL pyruvate kinase, 0.5 mg/mL tRNA (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.3 U/µL RNaseOUT Recombinant Ribonuclease Inhibitor (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 0.015 µg/mL DNA and 35% (w/v) S30-extract.

For testing and optimization of the receptor expression, an analytical scale was used. Screening for the optimal expression temperature was accomplished by incubation at 27, 30, 33, 37, or 40°C for 16 h, respectively. To obtain a soluble receptor expression n-dodecyl β-d-maltoside (DDM, AppliChem GmbH) and Chaps (Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) were added to the RM and the feeding mix separately in final concentrations of 0.3% (w/v) and 0.6% (w/v). Elsewise, Brij-58 and Brij-35 (Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany) were used in final concentrations of 0.01–0.5% (w/v). Furthermore, the influence of L-glutathione oxidized (GSSG), reduced (GSH) (Carl Roth) and cholesteryl hemisuccinate (CHS) (Sigma-Aldrich) addition and the pH value on receptor expression was tested.

To determine the influence of additives on receptor expression Western Blots were used comparing the receptor band intensity with and without additives. The amount of solubly expressed receptor was determined by comparing the receptor band intensity in the supernatant versus the intensity in the crude reaction. To measure receptor band intensities the software Gene Tools (Syngene, Cambridge, United Kingdom) was used.

Preparative scale expression was performed as previously described (Schwarz et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2018) scaling 1 mL RM and 17 mL feeding mix. Soluble membrane protein expression was achieved by addition of 0.1% (w/v) Brij-35 or Brij-58, 1 mM GSSG and 5 mM GSH. The expression was performed for 16 h at 37°C under constant shaking.

### Ligand Affinity Chromatography

After receptor expression the buffer was exchanged to a binding buffer [0.1 M Tris/HCl, pH 7.4, 5% glycerol and 0.1% (w/v) Brij-35/58] and samples were purified by ligand affinity chromatography using biotin-(Ahx)₂-NPY immobilized on Pierce Avidin Agarose beads (from Thermo Fisher Scientific) as previously described (Bosse et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2018). In short, the receptor was added to the immobilized biotin-(Ahx)₂-NPY overnight at 4°C repetitively by using a peristaltic pump P-1 (GE Healthcare). On the next day, the column was washed five times with one bed volume of binding buffer. Elution was performed by weakening the electrostatic ligand-receptor interactions using 60 mM CaCl₂ in binding buffer or by replacing the immobilized ligand from the receptor binding pocket by addition of an excess (10⁻⁵ and 10⁻⁶ M) of soluble ligand in the binding buffer, each two times for 30 min at room temperature under constant agitation. Total protein concentrations before and after ligand affinity purification were determined by Bio-Rad Protein against a BSA standard.

### Functionality of Cell-Free Expressed Y₂R

Functionality of cell-free expressed Y₂R samples was verified by a homogenous binding assay based on fluorescence polarization as described previously (Yang et al., 2018). As fluorescent tracer, the Y₂ selective NPY variant Ahx(5-24)-NPY (Cabrele and Beck-Sickinger, 2000) labeled with a 5(6)-TAMRA dye was used (5(6)-TAMRA-Ahx(5-24)NPY). In short, CaCl₂ was removed from affinity purified Y₂R_cysteine_deficient by dialysis and the concentration was determined by measuring A₂₈₀ at a Tecan Spark plate reader (Tecan Group AG). Increasing concentrations of Y₂R_cysteine_deficient in Brij-58 micelles in binding buffer were incubated with 5 nM 5(6)-TAMRA-Ahx(5-24)NPY for 60 min under gentle agitation in opaque 96-well plates. Fluorescence was measured in a Tecan Spark plate reader using linear polarized light. The excitation wavelength was adjusted to 510 nm (bandwidth 10 nm) and emission was measured at 590 nm (bandwidth 20 nm) perpendicular to the excitation light plane. Experiments were conducted at least twice independently in triplicates.

### Photo-Crosslinking Experiment Between Y₂R and NPY Position 27

For photo-crosslinking Y₂R_cysteine_deficient sample was purified by ligand affinity chromatography as previously described (Bosse et al., 2011). Elution was performed by replacing the immobilized biotin-(Ahx)₂-NPY in the Y₂R_cysteine_deficient binding pocket with the photoactivatable NPY variant. This was performed by incubating with 10⁻⁵ M Photo²⁷-NPY in binding buffer for 30 min at room temperature while slightly shaking. The elution was repeated with 10⁻⁶ M Photo²⁷-NPY. The eluted fractions were placed on ice and irradiated with UV light (UV lamp: AtlasFluotest forte, λ = 366 nm, 180 W) for 90 min 50 µl of photo-crosslinked Y₂R_cysteine_deficient sample (~20 µg) was digested with chymotrypsin (obtained from Promega, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Crosslinked fragments were isolated by affinity purification.
using Monomeric Avidin Agarose beads (obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Braunschweig, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

Y2R_cysteine_deficient fragments after chymotrypsin digestion were calculated by the online tool PeptideMass (Wilkins et al., 1997). The selected enzyme was “Chymotrypsin (C-term to F/Y/W, not before P)”, for the cysteine treatment the option “Iodoacetamide” was chosen and the tool was allowed for up to five missed cleavages. The Photo27-NPY fragments were calculated manually by using the program ChemDraw (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, United States). Possible photo-crosslinked Y2R_cysteine_deficient – Photo27-NPY fragments were calculated by adding calculated Y2R_cysteine_deficient fragments to the Photo27-NPY fragments containing at least the amino acids NPY (22-27). Peptide fragments of photo-crosslinked Y2R_cysteine_deficient were analyzed by MALDI-ToF MS and MS/MS using an Ultraflex III MALDI-TOF/TOF mass spectrometer in reflector mode. In addition Y2R_cysteine_deficient alone and with Photo27-NPY and an excess of NPY in a molar ration of 4:1:8 were incubated at room temperature for 30 min and subsequently irradiated with UV-light for 90 min 50 μL of the samples were digested using chymotrypsin. The fragments were purified by monomeric Avidin Agarose beads and analyzed by MALDI-ToF MS to identify signals resulting from the receptor and unspecific photo-crosslinking. Analysis was performed manually for identification of photo-crosslinking fragments by MALDI-ToF MS. For identification of fragmentation by MS/MS, the software Biotools 2.2 (Bruker) was used, allowing crosslinking between Bpa at position 27 of NPY with one amino acid sequence of the identified receptor fragment at a time.

RESULTS

Cell-Free Expression and Solubilization

The aim of this study was to produce soluble Y2 receptor, either coupled to a C-terminal fluorescent protein or as a cysteine deficient variant, by an E. coli based CECF system. At first, Y2R_eGFP was expressed in an analytical scale in the presence of different detergents to ensure soluble expression. Peptide fragments of photo-crosslinked Y2R_cysteine_deficient were calculated by adding calculated Y2R_cysteine_deficient fragments to the Photo27-NPY fragments containing at least the amino acids NPY (22-27).

FIGURE 1 | Optimization of cell-free Y2R_eGFP expression. (A) Western Blot of cell-free expressed hY2R_eGFP at different conditions (selected shown, spliced from different Western Blots). To monitor soluble receptor expression the crude reaction (1, 3) was centrifuged for 2 min at 13,000 rpm and the supernatant (2, 4–8) used for analysis. Without detergents (2), the receptor remains insoluble, while addition of DDM and Chaps (3) completely inhibits receptor expression. The addition of Brij-35 (4) or Brij-58 (5) led to high amounts of solubly expressed receptor. To promote disulfide bridge formation oxidized (GSSG) and reduced (GSH) glutathione were used. While a higher amount of GSSG inhibits soluble receptor expression (6), an increased amount of GSH promotes it (7). The effect was further increased by lowering the pH of expression buffer toward pH 7.4 (8). (B) Analysis of receptor expression based on fluorescence measurements and Western Blot analysis. All samples were normalized to receptor expression at 37°C, without additives, with expression buffer at pH 8.2. The receptor expression was tested at different temperatures (27, 30, 33, 37, and 40°C) without additives and at 37°C with 1 mM GSSG/5 mM GSH (G), 0.1% cholesteryl hemisuccinate (CHS, C) or with 1 mM GSSG, 5 mM GSH and 0.1% cholesteryl hemisuccinate (G/C) by using an expression buffer at pH 8.2 or 7.4. The expression in the presence of 1 mM GSSG and 5 mM GSH at pH 7.4 leads to a significantly increased receptor expression. Arrows indicate synthesized receptors. DDM, n-dodecyl β-D-maltoside; Chaps, 3-[3-cholamidopropyl(dimethylammonio)-1-propanesulfonat; Brij-35, polyoxyethylene(23)lauryl ether; Brij-58, polyoxyethylene(20)lauryl ether, n ≥ 2, ***P < 0.005, one-way analysis of variance.
have been used to refold the recombinantly expressed Y$_2$ receptor (Schmidt et al., 2009), completely inhibited receptor expression in the CECF system. As an alternative the polyoxyethylene alkyl-ether Brij-35 and Brij-58, both with a critical micellar concentration below 0.1 mM, were added in final concentrations of 0.01–0.5% (w/v). These detergents have been used for soluble expression of a number of GPCRs by cell-free systems (Klammt et al., 2005; Schwarz et al., 2007; Corin et al., 2011a; Junge et al., 2011; Isaksson et al., 2012; Orban et al., 2015). Both detergents were able to solubilize Y$_2$R eGFP in high amounts, with Brij-35 leading to more than 90% of soluble receptor (Table 1), while the addition of Brij-58 led to ~80% of soluble Y$_2$R eGFP. For Y$_2$R cysteine_deficient the solubilization by Brij-58 was more effective, resulting in approximately 80% of soluble receptor, compared to 55% of soluble receptor when using Brij-35 (Table 1). Since high concentrations of detergents can disturb structural investigations, the amount of detergents was reduced stepwise (data not shown). For Brij-35, a minimal concentration of 0.1% (w/v) is required for efficient receptor solubilization, while lower concentrations reduced the amount of solubly expressed Y$_2$R eGFP to ~70%. By using Brij-58, a final concentration of 0.01% (w/v) is sufficient to solubilize 80% of expressed Y$_2$R eGFP.

However, to ensure a stable solubilization also in a larger scale, final concentrations of 0.2% (w/v) Brij-35 for the preparative scale expression of Y$_2$R eGFP or 0.1% (w/v) Brij-58 for the expression of Y$_2$R_cysteine_deficient were used.

### Optimization of Receptor Expression

The cell-free expression system provides the advantage of easy adjustment to the requirements of the expressed protein. Thus, the Y$_2$R eGFP expression was optimized by changes in the expression temperature and pH value. The results have been normalized to the amount of receptor expressed at standard conditions (37°C, pH 8.2, w/o detergent) and are shown in Figure 1B and Table 2. A reduction of the expression temperature to 27°C, 30°C, 33°C or an increase to 40°C reduced the amount of solubly expressed Y$_2$R eGFP by about 50%. Adjusting the pH of the expression buffer toward the cytoplasmic pH of eukaryotic cells (Madshus, 1988) can promote the expression of eukaryotic proteins by cell-free systems. Therefore, a pH 7.4 was used during Y$_2$R eGFP expression, increasing the amount of expressed protein by about 25%.

GPCRs contain several disulfide bridges that are important for correct folding and function. To promote formation of correct disulfide bridges oxidized (GSSG) and reduced (GSH) glutathione were added during soluble expression in presence of 0.2% Brij-35. When using 7 mM GSSG and 1 mM GSH the cell-free protein expression was strongly reduced, whereas the use of higher concentrations of GSH (5 mM) than GSSG (1 mM) promoted the receptor expression. Using the glutathione shuttle in combination with the reduced pH value significantly increased receptor expression up to twofold.

CHS is an acidic cholesterol ester, which assembles itself into bilayers and can be used in combination with detergents to enhance micelle stability and membrane protein folding (Tucker and Grisshammer, 1996). To elucidate whether CHS has a positive effect on the receptor expression and solubilization 0.1% (w/v) CHS was added to the RM in combination with pH 8.2 or pH 7.4, 0.2% Brij-35, as well as with and without addition of 1 mM GSSG and 5 mM GSH. However, none of these conditions led to a significant increase in receptor expression.

As for structural investigations larger amounts of receptor are needed, a preparative scale was used with the optimized conditions for soluble Y$_2$R expression, determined in the analytical scale. An expression at pH 7.4 with 1 mM GSSG, 5 mM GSH and the respective detergent led to a stable and soluble receptor expression in the preparative scale and these conditions were used for further investigations.

### Table 1 | Soluble expression of Y$_2$R eGFP or Y$_2$R_cysteine_deficient in presence of different detergents.

| Detergent | CMC [mM] | Final concentration [% (≤ CMC)] | Amount of solubilized receptor [%] |
|-----------|---------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| DDM/Chaps | 0.17/10 | 0.3/0.6 (33/1) | Y$_2$R eGFP 90 |
| Brij-35   | 0.09    | 0.1 (8) | Y$_2$R eGFP 55 |
|           |         |        | Y$_2$R_cysteine_deficient 80 |
| Brij-58   | 0.08    | 0.1 (12) | Y$_2$R eGFP 80 |
|           |         |        | Y$_2$R_cysteine_deficient 80 |

*Non-soluble protein was removed after expression by centrifugation. Soluble fractions were determined by Western Blot Analysis with subsequent measurement of the band intensity by GeneTools (Syngene) in the soluble fraction vs. the crude reaction mix after expression. *-protein expression was inhibited.*

### Table 2 | Optimization of cell-free Y$_2$R eGFP expression.

| Expression temperature | Receptor expression (fold over standard)[a] |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| pH 8.2                 | GSSG, GSH 0.97                             |
|                        | CHS 1.38                                   |
|                        | GSSG, GSH, CHS 1.19                        |
| pH 7.4                 | GSSG, GSH 2.23                             |
|                        | CHS 1.04                                   |
|                        | GSSG, GSH, CHS 0.90                        |

Analysis of receptor expression based on fluorescence measurements and Western Blot. All samples were normalized to receptor expression at 37°C, without additives, with expression buffer at pH 8.2. To test for their influence on receptor expression 1 mM GSSG, 5 mM GSH or 0.1% (w/v) CHS were added to the reaction mix. Standard condition –37°C, buffer pH 8.2, 0.2% Brij-35 final, ***P < 0.005 one-way analysis of variance.
Purification and Functionality of Cell-Free Expressed Y₂ Receptors

For purification of the expressed receptors and to separate correctly folded from unfolded or misfolded Y₂ receptors, ligand affinity chromatography was used as described by Bosse et al. (2011). For immobilization of NPY on Avidin Agarose beads NPY was biotinylated at its N terminus, which is not involved in ligand binding and signal transduction (Cabrele and Beck-Sickinger, 2000; Lindner et al., 2009) and can therefore be used for modifications. To ensure specific binding and elution an excess of soluble NPY was used to replace the biotin-NPY from the column. By comparing receptor band intensity in Western Blot analysis and silver stain (Figure 2) before and after purification by ligand affinity chromatography, an amount of about 25% correctly folded receptor was determined. The eluted fractions contain 0.78 mg Y₂R_eGFP or 0.26 mg Y₂R_cysteine_deficient, respectively, resulting from 1 mL of RM. This corresponds to a yield of 4.9% for Y₂R_eGFP or a yield of 2.3% for Y₂R_cysteine_deficient (Table 3).

The interaction between Y₂R and NPY C terminus is strongly dependent on electrostatic interaction (Merten et al., 2007), therefore, the bivalent ion Ca²⁺ can be used to weaken the electrostatic NPY-receptor interactions, leading to an elution of the receptor from the column. An efficient elution of the receptor can be achieved with 60 mM calcium chloride in the binding buffer (Bosse et al., 2011). This has been used to purify Y₂R_cysteine_deficient for the binding assay based on fluorescence polarization. Thus, affinity purified Y₂R_cysteine_deficient was dialyzed to remove calcium chloride from the binding buffer and tested for its functionality by a homogenous binding assay based on fluorescence polarization. 5(6)-TAMRA labeled, Y₂ selective (Kirby et al., 1993; DeCarr et al., 2007) NPY analog [5(6)-TAMRA-Ahx(5-24)NPY] was synthesized by solid phase peptide synthesis. Purity and identity were confirmed by RP-HPLC and MALDI-ToF MS (Table 4). Using an IP-one accumulation assay, 5(6)-TAMRA-Ahx(5-24)NPY was tested for its ability to activate Y₂R_cysteine_deficient (Table 4 and Supplementary Figure S1). The ligand is able to fully activate the cysteine deficient Y₂ receptor with a potency of 3.6 nM. To estimate binding of 5(6)-TAMRA-Ahx(5-24)NPY to the cell-free expressed Y₂R_cysteine_deficient, a homogenous binding assay was used, which eliminates...

![FIGURE 2](image-url) Western Blot (left) and silver staining (right) of cell-free expressed hY₂R_eGFP before and after ligand affinity chromatography. The reaction mix (RM) was plotted before (1) and after (2) expression of Y₂R_eGFP to determine a successful protein expression. Next, RM was added to biotin-(Ahx)₂-NPY loaded columns (3) and unfolded/not correctly folded Y₂R_eGFP was washed away by multiple washing steps (4–6). Next, correctly folded receptor was eluted specifically by adding an excess of NPY, Y₂R_eGFP is represented by one band in lane 7, 8. After specific elution, residual Y₂R_eGFP was washed from column by 1 M NaCl in buffer (9). Arrows indicate Y₂R_eGFP.

| Step | Volume [mL] | Total protein [mg] | Yield (%) |
|------|-------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Crude extract | Y₂R_eGFP | 1 | 15.9 | 100 |
| | Y₂R_cysteine_deficient | | 11.5 | 100 |
| Ligand affinity chromatography | Y₂R_eGFP | 3 | 0.78 | 10.11 | 4.9 |
| | Y₂R_cysteine_deficient | | 0.26 | 5.16 | 2.3 |

[a] Total protein was determined by Bio-Rad Protein Assay using BSA as standard protein. [b] Crude extract from 1 mL reaction mix, which correlates to one cell-free preparative scale expression.
TABLE 4 | Analytical characterization of NPY analogs for ligand affinity chromatography, crosslinking experiments and binding assays.

| Peptide | Chemical formula | Calculated [Da] | MALDI-ToF MS [M + H]+ [Da] | Purity [%] | EC50 [nM] (pEC50 ± s.e.m.) | Emax ± s.e.m. [%] |
|---------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|------------------|
| NPY | C199H390N50O47S | 4251.1 | 4252.0 | > 95 | 0.1 (9.79 ± 0.15) | 92 ± 4 |
| Biotin-(Ahx)NPY | C212H420N50O50S | 4704.3 | > 95 | 1.2 (8.91 ± 0.25) | 98 ± 8 |
| 5(6)-TAMRA-Ahx(5-24)NPY | C127H160N40O28S | 2631.4 | > 95 | 3.4 (8.42 ± 0.21) | 95 ± 8 |
| [K\(^{27}\)(Ahx)-biotin]Bpa\(^{27}\) | C222H334N40O50S | 4832.5 | > 95 | 4.0 (8.40 ± 0.25) | 102 ± 12 |

The influence on Y2R_cysteine_deficient signal transduction was determined by an IP-one assay. The purity of all peptides was determined by analytical reverse phase HPLC at 220 nm by two different columns. IC50 and pIC50 ± s.e.m. (standard error of the mean) and Emax ± s.e.m. were determined using GraphPad Prism 5.0. All curves were normalized to the top and bottom values of the Y2R/YNPY curve. Non-linear regression (curve fit) was performed for normalized response in all assays n ≥ 2.

the necessity for separation of bound from unbound ligand. 5(6)-TAMRA-Ahx(5-24)NPY was incubated with cell-free receptor in Brij-58 micelles and changes in the emission of linearly polarized fluorescence was detected (perpendicular/S-plane). After ligand binding a reduction in the fluorescence in the S-plane was detected. The reduction showed a sigmoidal curve progression, displayed in Figure 3 and allowed the determination of the EC50 of 49 nM (pEC50 7.3 ± 0.25) by logistic fitting, which constitutes the affinity constant (Kd). To confirm the results and compare the binding constant with a mammalian expression, additional binding experiments were performed with membrane preparations of Y2R_cysteine_deficient in HEK293 cells and [125I]YNPY. By a displacement assay we obtained an IC50 value of 39 nM (pIC50 7.4 ± 0.19) for 5(6)-TAMRA-Ahx(5-24)NPY, Figure 4, which results in a Kd value of 11.2 nM for 5(6)-TAMRA-Ahx(5-24)NPY (Kd value [125I]YNPY 24.1 pM ± 8.4, data not shown). The EC50 value of the cell-free expressed Y2R_cysteine_deficient is only about four times higher than the Kd value determined by the radioactive binding assay. Consequently, cell-free expressed Y2R_cysteine_deficient is functional and can be used for photo-crosslinking experiments.

**Photo-Crosslinking Between Y2R_Cysteine_Deficient and Position 27 of NPY**

Photo-crosslinking can be used to investigate binding motifs between ligands and their respective targets. As shown by double cycle mutagenesis (Kaiser et al., 2015) 1,24 and 1,28 of the ligand bind to the ECL2 of Y2R by hydrophobic contacts, furthermore the C-terminal binding of NPY to Y2R has been intensively investigated. Based on these data a model of NPY docked into Y2R was generated by Kaiser et al. (2015). Figure 5 shows the homology model, which was adapted from this reference. For Y27 in the α-helical part of NPY no direct interactions have been suggested by the model. Therefore, NPY analogs carrying the highly reactive Bpa (Photo\(^{27}\)-NPY) were synthesized by solid phase peptide synthesis and tested in cell-based in vitro assays (Table 4). To ensure photo-crosslinking only with functional receptors, cell-free expressed Y2R_cysteine_deficient in Brij-58 micelles was loaded on biotin-(Ahx)2-NPY columns, specifically eluted with 1 μM or 10 μM Photo\(^{27}\)-NPY, respectively, and photo-crosslinked. The crosslinked complex was digested using chymotrypsin (Supplementary Figure S2) and resulting fragments were analyzed by MALDI-ToF MS (Figure 6 and Table 5). The signals found in the mass spectra, subtracted...
by signals of the digested receptor alone and bound to native NPY, were compared to the calculated masses of the expected photo-crosslinked fragments.

These fragments were assigned to three different regions in the Y<sub>2R_cysteine_deficient</sub>, the outer parts of transmembrane helices (TM) 2 (I88–Y110), 5 (S220–Y228), 6 (A278–F287), 7 (K304–W327) and parts of TM4 and ECL2 (L167–Y189, R187–F198). One mass (m/z 3836.9) could be assigned to either I88–Y110 or to L167–Y189 of the receptor. As no additional signals support an interaction between Y<sub>27</sub> of the ligand and I88–Y110 of the receptor an interaction with L167–Y189 is favored. To narrow down the interaction site for position 27 we performed tandem MS/MS analysis of the signals 2665.5, 3345.0, and 3655.1 (m/z). The results are shown in Figures 6, 7 and Supplementary Figure S4. We demonstrate that position 27 of NPY crosslinks to the amino acids T304, V305, and F307 in TM 7 and therefore exclude that the signal at m/z 2665.5 results from two Photo<sup>27</sup>-NPY crosslinked to each other. By tandem MS/MS analysis of the signal 3345.0, we identified the amino acids L284, H285, or A286 in TM 6 as being photo-crosslinked to position 27 of NPY. By fragmentation of m/z 3655.1, we can rule out S220 as site for photo-crosslinking, leading to the fragment L221–Y228 in TM5 as potential crosslinking site. Unfortunately, we were not able to perform MS/MS analysis of the signals, which have been assigned to the upper part of TM4 and ECL2 of the receptor. The core regions of the detected signals (G174–Y189, L222–Y228, L284–A286, and T304–F307) were labeled in the model of Y<sub>2R</sub> bound to NPY(13–36) (Figure 8).

These results indicate that there is no fixed interaction area for Y<sub>27</sub> of the ligand, but Y<sub>27</sub> remains flexible after binding to Y<sub>2R_cysteine_deficient</sub> and photo-crosslinking occurs with regions of the receptor, which come into proximity to the ligand by fluctuations in the ECL2 and in the TM region. Furthermore, Y<sub>27</sub> could bind to the transmembrane helices of Y<sub>2R_cysteine_deficient</sub> subsequent to ligand binding in the suggested two-step binding mode of NPY to Y<sub>2R</sub>.

**DISCUSSION**

Mass spectrometry based methods have gained more and more importance in the field of structural biology over the last years, since the instruments became more sensitive and new software for analysis is available. Crosslinking experiments are frequently used to search for unknown binding partners, to study conformational changes in proteins (Sinz, 2018) and also to map and identify binding sites in vivo (Seidel and Coin, 2018), as well as in vitro (Dehling et al., 2016; Kashiwagi et al., 2016). Thus, combined crosslinking and MS offer a complementary method to the classical structural methods like NMR spectroscopy or X-ray crystallography. In this study, we present a set-up for the in vitro production of homogenous, soluble and folded rhodopsin-like GPCRs by CF synthesis, exemplarily performed for Y<sub>2R</sub>. These receptors have been used for photo-crosslinking studies in combination with MS to identify binding sites.

The challenges in GPCR expression lie within their hydrophobic and flexible nature. Different protocols have been established for the recombinant production of GPCRs from prokaryotic expression hosts (Roy et al., 2008;
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FIGURE 6 | Mass spectra of photo-crosslinked Y2R_cysteine_deficient with [K22](Ahx)2-biotin]Bpa27]NPY and respective regions of NPY at Y2R_cysteine_deficient. (A) Structure of [K22](Ahx)2-biotin]Bpa27]NPY. (B) Exemplary MALDI-ToF mass spectrum of photo-crosslinked samples enzymatically digested with chymotrypsin. Potential Y2R_cysteine_deficient fragments photo-crosslinked with [K22](Ahx)2-biotin]Bpa27]NPY are labeled in blue, fragments which were analyzed by tandem MS are labeled in cyan. TM, transmembrane helix; E, ECL; NPY, [K22](Ahx)2-biotin]Bpa27]NPY. (C) Amino acid sequence of Y2R_cysteine_deficient with C-terminal deca-histidine-tag. The detected regions of Y2R_cysteine_deficient after crosslinking with [K22](Ahx)2-biotin]Bpa27]NPY are marked with boxes (blue). Regions analyzed by MS/MS are emphasized by cyan boxes. The different sizes of the boxes represent different detected fragments. All experiments were repeated at least two times, independently.

TABLE 5 | Signals of photo-crosslinked Y2R_cysteine_deficient with [K22](Ahx)2-biotin]Bpa27]NPY identified by MALDI-ToF mass spectrometry that correlate with the calculated masses of photo-crosslinked fragments of Y2R_cysteine_deficient with [K22](Ahx)2-biotin]Bpa27]NPY and [K22](Ahx)2-biotin]Bpa27]NPY with itself and are found in at least two independent experiments.

| MALDI-ToF MS [m/z]a | Number in Y2R_cysteine_deficient | Position in Y2R_cysteine_deficient | Position NPY | M_calc. [Da]b | [M_calc. + H]+ [Da]c | [M_calc. + Na]+ [Da]d | [M_calc. + K]+ [Da]e |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 2051.9              | 304–327                          | 7.32–7.55                         | 22–27        | 4080.2         | 4081.2            | 4103.2            | 4119.2            |
| 2621.6              | 174–186                          | 4.51–4.63                         | 22–27        | 2599.5         | 2600.5            | 2622.5            | 2638.4            |
| 2665.5              | 308–319                          | 7.36–7.48                         | 22–27        | 2664.4         | 2665.5            | 2687.4            | 2703.4            |
| 2845.2              | 187–198                          | 4.64–4.75                         | 22–27        | 2664.5         | 2665.5            | 2687.5            | 2703.5            |
| 3345.0              | 282–287                          | 6.49–6.54                         | 21–36        | 3343.9         | 3344.9            | 3366.9            | 3382.8            |
| 3624.2              | 278–287                          | 6.45–6.54                         | 22–36        | 3623.0         | 3624.0            | 3646.0            | 3662.0            |
| 3655.1              | 220–228                          | 5.39–5.47                         | 21–36        | 3654.1         | 3655.1            | 3677.1            | 3693.0            |
| 3636.9              | 88–110                           | 2.43–2.64                         | 22–27        | 3836.1         | 3837.1            | 3859.1            | 3875.1            |
| 4102.6              | 304–327                          | 7.32–7.55                         | 22–27        | 4080.2         | 4081.2            | 4103.2            | 4119.2            |
| 4524.8              | 167–186                          | 5.35–5.62                         | 22–36        | 4522.6         | 4523.7            | 4545.6            | 4561.6            |

[a] Determined signals by MALDI-ToF MS. [b] Correlated position in [K22](Ahx)2-biotin]Bpa27]NPY (designated NPY). [c–e] Selected calculated masses in Dalton of possible photo-crosslinked fragments of Y2R_cysteine_deficient with [K22](Ahx)2-biotin]Bpa27]NPY or [K22](Ahx)2-biotin]Bpa27]NPY with itself. The fragments are selected based on the correlation with the detected signals. For clarity, further calculated masses of possible photo-crosslinked fragments are not shown.

Schmidt et al., 2009; Tapaneyakorn et al., 2010; Shimamura et al., 2011) and these often contain several resolubilization, refolding, purification and stabilization steps. Therefore, this procedure is time consuming and the initial optimization process to produce large amounts of correctly folded GPCRs is labor intensive.
An alternative approach for the fast production of GPCRs are CF expression systems, which are frequently used for the expression of biomolecules otherwise hard to express, like toxic proteins (Orth et al., 2011; Villate et al., 2012), vaccines and small bioactive peptides (Lee et al., 2010; Tsuboi et al., 2010) and membrane proteins.

The expression of the $Y_2R$ by the CECF system was optimized with respect to expression temperature, buffer pH, detergents and additives as shown in Figure 1 and Tables 1, 2. This optimization was performed in an analytical scale. For co-translational solubilization of GPCRs expressed by an E. coli based CF system polyoxyethylene ethers are widely used, which are rather mild detergents. With these derivatives different GPCRs were successfully solubilized, including the human β2 adrenergic receptor, the human muscarinic acetylcholine receptor M2, the rat neurotensin receptor (Ishihara et al., 2005), the human endothelin B receptor and neuropeptide $Y_2R$ receptor, the human (Klammt et al., 2007), and rat vasopressin type 2 receptor (Klammt et al., 2005), the human trace amine-associated receptor 5 (Wang et al., 2013), the human endothelin A receptor (Junge et al., 2011), as well as different olfactory receptors (Corin et al., 2011b). We demonstrate that the use of either 0.2% (w/v) Brij-35 for $Y_2R$-eGFP, or 0.1% (w/v) Brij-58 for the cysteine deficient $Y_2R$, yields solubilization of about 80% receptor during expression. Protein expression is strongly dependent on the expression temperature, which has to be optimized for different proteins. We tested five different expression temperatures, as shown in Table 2. Compared to the initial expression temperature of 37°C, receptor expression was strongly reduced when lowering or increasing the temperature. As a stable redox potential is crucial for prolonged protein expression, a glutathione shuttle was added during expression, which has been shown to be beneficial for the expression of disulfide-containing proteins.
(Bundy and Swartz, 2011; Michel and Wüthrich, 2012). We further demonstrate that the addition of 1 mM GSSG and 5 mM GSH at a buffer pH of 7.4 leads to a twofold increased receptor expression compared to standard conditions. These optimized expression conditions were applied to the preparative scale expression for functional and structural investigations.

Ligand binding abilities of the expressed receptors have been monitored by ligand affinity chromatography, which was also used for purification and separation of correctly folded and unfolded or misfolded receptors. The elution was achieved by addition of an excess of soluble NPY, whereby the receptors are eluted specifically by competition of the immobilized ligand. Based on ligand affinity chromatography an amount of about 25% of correctly folded receptors was estimated (Figure 2), which corresponds to 0.78 mg Y2R_eGFP and 0.26 mg Y2R_cysteine_deficient per 1 mL of RM. This is comparable to the amount of folded receptors achieved by recombinant expression with subsequent in vitro folding (Rosse et al., 2011). With costs for the production of 1 mg correctly folded receptor varying from 50 € for Y2R_eGFP to 190 € for Y2R_cysteine_deficient this method is more expensive than the expression in E. coli. Nevertheless, cell-free expression techniques are very effective when considering labeling with, for example, isotopic labeled amino acids, which can be added in quite low amounts. Per preparative scale expression (1 mL RM) 34 nmol for R, C, W, M, D, and E or 18 nmol of the other amino acids are needed. For isotopic labeling a further optimization, especially for Y2R_cysteine_deficient, should be considered to reduce the costs for the production of 1 mg folded receptor.

To confirm the activity of affinity purified Y2R_cysteine_deficient, we aimed to perform binding assays. One problem to consider, when dealing with proteins solubilized in small detergent micelles, is the application of heterologous assays, since the micelles are too small for most filtration techniques. An alternative approach is the immobilization of the protein on functionalized beads, which, however, leads to high unspecific binding, especially when dealing with sticky peptides as NPY. Therefore, a homogenous binding assay based on fluorescence polarization was used, as already performed for the CF expressed Y1R (Yang et al., 2018). For the Y2R_cysteine_deficient we used a 5(6)-TAMRA-labeled, Y2R selective NPY analog. By receptor binding the ligand is restricted in its movement, which can be observed by changes in the fluorescence S-plane. Using different concentrations of Y2R_cysteine_deficient in Brij-58 micelles, an EC50 value of 49 nM has been determined (Figure 3). By radioactive binding experiments the Kd value of 5(6)-TAMRA-Ahx(5-24)NPY was determined with 11.2 nM (Figure 4). The EC50 value determined by fluorescence polarization assay (which equals the Kd value of this experiment) is about four times higher than the determined Kd value. This very mild reduction of affinity likely originates from the artificial surrounding the Y2R_cysteine_deficient is folded into. Nonetheless, we were able to prove that the CF expressed, cysteine deficient Y2R in Brij-58 micelles is able to bind the ligand and that the binding is saturable. Therefore, we assume a correct folding of the receptor.

These findings demonstrate that the soluble CF expression is an efficient alternative to existing expression and refolding protocols, since the screening for suitable detergents can be performed in parallel in small scales. After identification of a suitable detergent the expression and solubilization can be achieved within 1 day. In contrast, expression of GPCRs in E. coli with subsequent, isolation and refolding protocols include several purification and solubilization steps. Most strategies use an initial solubilization in strong, denaturing detergents like sodium dodecyl sulfate, following an exchange to mild detergents or amphipols (Schmidt et al., 2009, 2017; Banères et al., 2011). For CF based methods optimization can be done straight forward for protein stabilizing agents, as well as for redox conditions as reviewed (Bernhard and Tozawa, 2013; Hoffmann et al., 2018).

We performed photo-crosslinking studies between the affinity purified Y2R_cysteine_deficient and Photo27-NPY. The benzophenone moiety used in Photo27-NPY can be activated at biocompatible wavelengths of 360 nm, which lowers the risk of damaging biomolecules (Dormán and Prestwich, 1994; Weber and Beck-Sickinger, 1997; Smith and Collins, 2015). Benzophenone was incorporated into the peptidic ligand NPY at position 27 as Bpa building block during the SPPS. This replacement is tolerated by the Y2 receptor, leading to only a minor loss of affinity (Beck-Sickinger et al., 1994; Cabrele and Beck-Sickinger, 2000). In addition to the crosslinking moiety, a biotin label was introduced at position 22 of NPY by a lysine side chain and a spacer made up from two Ahx residues. This allows separation of photo-crosslinked Y2R_cysteine_deficient fragments from non-crosslinked parts of the receptor by affinity purification exploiting the high affinity binding of biotin to avidin and streptavidin (Wilchek and Bayer, 1988; Dundas et al., 2013). This reduces the number of signals detected in the mass spectra. Furthermore, Brij-58 micelles can be washed from the photo-crosslinked fragments, since it disturbs the measurement by MS, leading to high, repetitive signals in the range of 500–1,500 Da.

To ensure specific photo-crosslinking, the Y2R_cysteine_deficient was loaded on immobilized biotin-(Ahx)2-NPY and unbound or weakly bound receptor was washed from the column. The receptor was eluted by displacing the immobilized biotin-(Ahx)2-NPY with Photo27-NPY. Subsequently the samples were photo-crosslinked, digested and affinity purified. Identification of residual Y2R_cysteine_deficient fragments after affinity purification was achieved by digesting the receptor without ligand with subsequent affinity purification and MS measurement. To exclude false identification of interaction sites between Y2R_cysteine_deficient and Photo27-NPY by unspecific photo-crosslinking, UV excitation was performed in presence of an excess of NPY, which should block the specific binding site in the receptor. Remaining Photo27-NPY can only unspecifically photo-crosslink with the receptor under those conditions. These signals were identified by MS and have been excluded from the analysis of the binding site.

Based on the signals in the mass spectra after photo-crosslinking, four regions of Y2R have been identified.
for making variable contacts with position 27 in NPY (Figure 6 and Table 5). In addition, we performed MS/MS tandem analysis of three of the identified regions to narrow the number of potential interaction points (Figure 7 and Supplementary Figure S4). This leads to the following sites for photo-crosslinking of position 27 of NPY: the outer parts of TM5 (L221–Y228), 6 (L284–F287), 7 (T304–F307) and parts of TM4 and ECL2 (core region G174–Y189). These findings are in agreement with the suggested binding mode of NPY on Y2R, which has been widely investigated by NMR spectroscopy, mutagenesis studies, as well as molecular modeling, leading to a model of Y2R bound to NPY(13–36) (Figure 5) (Kaiser et al., 2015). On Y2R, NPY adopts a steep binding mode, with the last C-terminal amino acids binding deep inside the TM helix bundle. This C-terminal part of NPY [NPY(25–36)] is crucial for the binding to Y2R and unwinds upon binding to the receptor. The N-terminal part of NPY can be truncated without affecting the affinity, which is different from the other receptors of the NPY family (Kirby et al., 1993; Beck-Sickinger and Jung, 1995; Cabrele and Beck-Sickinger, 2000; Cabrele et al., 2001; DeCarr et al., 2007). Moreover, the Y2R also tolerates an exchange of a part of the amphipathic α-helix ([Ahx(5–24)]NPY) (Kirby et al., 1993). Two positions in the amphipathic α-helix of NPY (namely I24 and I28) make hydrophobic contacts to the flexible ECL2 of Y2R (Kaiser et al., 2015). These contacts seem to guide the unwinding of the last five C-terminal residues to contact the deep binding pocket.

Y27 of NPY has no fixed interaction region, but is important for the structural integrity of NPY, since bulky amino acids are well tolerated at this position (Beck-Sickinger et al., 1994; Cabrele and Beck-Sickinger, 2000). It has been stated, that the position of NPY in the binding pocket of Y2R is not static (Kaiser et al., 2015) and may follow the movement of the ECL2, which is highly flexible. Based on our findings we propose, that the flexibility of NPY brings position 27 in proximity to the TM's 4–7, allowing crosslinking to occur on variable parts of the receptor.

It is important to note, that by crosslinking approaches always an ensemble of conformations is identified (Sinz, 2018), as also seen during photo-crosslinking between Y1R and [Bpa4,K4][Ahx)-biotin]NPY (Yang et al., 2018). In this study, we were able to successfully produce soluble, folded and functional Y2R by an E. coli based CECF system. The expression was optimized toward expression amount and receptor solubilization. The cell-free expression is a powerful tool enabling a fast and straight forward labeling of the expressed proteins site-specific with unnatural or isotopically labeled amino acids (Staunton et al., 2006; Bundy and Swartz, 2010; Su et al., 2011; Hong et al., 2014). Incorporation of photoactive amino acids, like photo-leucine or photo-methionine (Suchanek et al., 2005) by the E. coli translation machinery or the site-specific incorporation of unnatural photo-activatable amino acids like Bpa can be easily addressed. The cysteine deficient Y2 receptor variant, expressed by cell-free systems, offers the possibility of labeling by selective re-introduction of cysteines. This enables to perform labeling for photo-crosslinking experiments also from the receptor site by cell-free expression, giving further insights into interaction sites. Furthermore, site-specific introduction of amino acids into the protein allows for the introduction of other functionalities for structural investigations. This includes the possibility to introduce isotopically labeled amino acids for protein NMR spectroscopy or spin label for electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy in GPCRs in a fast and efficient way by cell-free expression.
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