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Taxonomic significance of leaves in family Aizoaceae

Hany S. Abd El-Raouf

Aizoaceae Rudolphi is a family of flowering plants that comprises 143 genera and approximately 2300 species across the tropical and subtropical regions, primarily in the coastal or arid habitats. This family belongs to Centrospermae (Order: Caryophyllales) (Eckardt, 1976; Ehrendorfer, 1976). Aizoaceae sensu lato includes five groups: Aizoon group, Mesembryanthemum group, Tetragonia group, Sesuvium group, and Mollugo group (De Jussieu, 1789; De Candolle, 1828; Solereder, 1899; Müller, 1909; Bessey, 1915; Engler, 1924; Rendle, 1925; Lawrence, 1963; Thorne, 1968). Aizoaceae sensu stricto includes four of these groups, except for the Mollugo group (Horaninow, 1834; Schwantes, 1960; Hutchinson, 1973; Takhtajan, 1980; Cronquist, 1981; Dahlgren, 1983; Hartmann, 2001). Aizoaceae sensu stricto can be divided into five subfamilies, Mesembryanthemoideae, Tetragonioideae, Sesuvioideae, Aizooideae, and Ruschioideae, which include 85% of their genera. Separation of the Mollugo group from the rest was previously investigated and recently supported by the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group (APG, 1998). The family Aizoaceae has synonymous names based on the regional occurrence of the genera and the delimitation of the groups or subfamilies (Bentham and Hooker, 1867; Sprengel, 1818; Wilson, 1932; Harvey and Sonder, 1826; Pax, 1889; Mabry, 1977). Most taxonomists have divided Aizoaceae depending on their reproductive organ characteristics (e.g., stamens and petals with stamineal origin, united or free; leafy bracts of inflorescences or not; nectar gland shape; placentation, and ovary position) (Bittrich and Hartmann, 1988). Morphological characteristics are features of external form or appearance, which are currently most used for practical plant identification, whereas some morphological characteristics are used for hypothesizing phylogenetic relationships. Morphological characteristics are easily observed and used in taxonomic keys and descriptions. Anatomical plant characteristics have been used for taxonomic purposes for over 150 years, and...
they are useful in both the practical identification and determination of phylogenetic relationships (Judd et al., 2016). The leaves of Aizoaceae species are opposed, rarely alternate, simple, free, or completely united into a single conical or spherical body, often sheathing to its stem. The leaves of individual species may be similar or different (homophyllous or heterophyllous). Some species show a peculiar vegetative form when their leaves reduce to a single annual pair of leaves, or they may be partly subterranean with only one clear window at each leaf tip exposed above the ground (Watson and Dallwitz, 1992) see Plate 1.

The anatomical features of Aizoaceae leaves were observed by Metcalfe and Chalk (1957), who described the types of their epidermis, stomata, hairs, mesophyll, and the shape of their crystals. In addition, Aizoaceae leaves were found to be characterized by thickenings and modified for water storage (Bhambie et al., 1977; Dioni, 2004). In some Aizoaceae species that exhibit the Crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM), mesophyll cells are not usually differentiated into palisade and spongy parenchyma (Kluge and Ting, 1978); there tends to be less free air space between the mesophyll cells of C3 and C4 plants than between the mesophyll cells of CAM plants (Luttge and Ball, 1977). Aizoaceae members include numerous ornamental species, such as Lampranthus, Dorotheanthus, Mesembryanthemum, Ruscia, and Carpobrotus. Some members, such as Tetragonia, are used as vegetables. This study aimed to use the morphological and anatomical characteristics of leaves as taxonomical evidence to clear describe the leaf characteristics of Aizoaceae species to attempt to divide the subfamilies and discrimination between genera and species depending on morphological and anatomical features of leaves.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant materials

Fresh specimens used in this study were obtained from floristic regions in Egypt and the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA – Taif region). Cultivated species were collected from the international cactus farm (Egypt – Kaleuopia Governorate). In this study 27 species belonging to 21 genera of Aizoaceae, as shown in Table 1 investigated. The species Carpobrotus acinaciformis, collected from Marsa Matruh, El-Gharam Cleopatra Road (31°22′11″N and 27°13′21″E), has not been recorded to date in the Egyptian flora (Boulos, 1999; Ahmed, 2010; Abd El-Ghani et al., 2017), which observed for three consecutive years at different locations.

2.2. Plants identification

Identification of the collected plants was carried out by comparing their morphological, floral, and fruit characteristics with the characteristics of the previously identified plants as described in Täckholm (1974), Brian (1981), Boulos (1999), and Hartmann (2001).

2.3. Plants treatment

2.3.1. Treatment of fresh materials

The plants were fixed in (FAA solution) formalin-acetic acid-alcohol [ethyl alcohol] for a minimum period of 48 h, after which their leaves were analyzed.

2.3.2. Morphological analysis

Morphological characteristics were observed according to the description by Hartmann (2001) and Harris and Harris (2001). The morphological characteristics of the whole plant were recorded directly from living specimens, then listed in the data matrix (the encoded information was either text or numerical data), and photographed.

2.3.3. Anatomical analysis

Leaf samples were prepared using the method described by Sass (1958), examined with a light microscope (Olympus CH2), and photographed using an eye piece digital camera (Premiere M88). To determine the epidermis type, the epidermal peels of mature foliage leaves were bleaching in warm lactic acid and examined microscopically. Some samples were difficult to investigate using a light microscope, and in such cases, a scanning electron microscope (SEM) was used. In these cases, part of the leaf data was recorded directly from living specimens, then listed in the data matrix (the encoded information was either text or numerical data), and photographed.

| species | Subfamily | Habit | No. | Region |
|---------|-----------|-------|-----|--------|
| Aizoon canariense L. | Aizoioideae | Wild | 1 | t |
| Aloinopsis malherbei (L.Bolus) | Ruschioideae | Ornamental | 2 | i |
| Apterina cordifolia (L.F.) Schwantes | Mesembryanthemoideae | Ornamental | 3 | i |
| Carpobrotus acinaciformis (L.) L. Bolus | Ruschioideae | Wild | 4 | m |
| Carpobrotus edulis (L.) L. Bolus | Ruschioideae | Ornamental | 5 | i |
| Cheiridopsis marothii (NE Br.) R.F. Powell | Ruschioideae | Ornamental | 6 | i |
| Corpuscularia lehmannii (Ecklon and Zegher) Schwantes | Ruschioideae | Ornamental | 7 | i |
| Delosperma echinatum (Lamarck) Schwantes | Ruschioideae | Ornamental | 8 | i |
| Drosanthemum floribundum (Haw.) Schwantes | Ruschioideae | Ornamental | 9 | i |
| Fausaria bosscheana (A.Berger) Schwantes | Ruschioideae | Ornamental | 10 | i |
| Fausaria tuberosa (Rolfe) Schwantes | Ruschioideae | Ornamental | 11 | i |
| Fenestraria rhopalophylla (Schlechte rand Diels) NE Br. | Ruschioideae | Ornamental | 12 | i |
| Glottiphylum linguiforme (L.) NE Br. | Ruschioideae | Ornamental | 13 | i |
| Hereroa incurvata L.Bolus | Ruschioideae | Ornamental | 14 | i |
| Lampranthus aureus (L.) NE Br. | Ruschioideae | Ornamental | 15 | i |
| Lampranthus spectabilis (How.) NE Br. | Ruschioideae | Ornamental | 16 | i |
| Lithops acaumpiae L.Bolus | Ruschioideae | Ornamental | 17 | i |
| Lithops pseudotruncatella (A. Berger) NE Br. | Ruschioideae | Ornamental | 18 | i |
| Mesembryanthemum crystallinum L. | Mesembryanthemoideae | Wild | 19 | m |
| Mesembryanthemum forskaliet Hochst. | Mesembryanthemoideae | Wild | 20 | m |
| Mesembryanthemum nodiflorum L. | Mesembryanthemoideae | Wild | 21 | m |
| Oscularia deltoides (L.) Schwantes | Ruschioideae | Ornamental | 22 | i |
| Pleiospilos nelli Schwantes | Ruschioideae | Ornamental | 23 | i |
| Sesuvium portulacastrum (L.) L. Eym | Sesuvioideae | Ornamental | 24 | t |
| Tanquana prismatica (Schwantes) H.E.K.Hartmann and Liede | Ruschioideae | Ornamental | 25 | i |
| Trienthaema portulacostium L. | Sesuvioideae | Wild | 26 | t |
| Zaleya pentandra (L.) C. Jeffrey | Sesuvioideae | Wild | 27 | t |
was coated using a gold squatter coater (Sp1-Module) and examined using a SEM (Jeol JSM-5500LV, JEOL Ltd., Japan) and a low vacuum mode at a magnification of 240–1000×, at the Regional Center of Mycology and Biotechnology, Cairo, Egypt. The analyzed anatomical characteristics are listed in the data matrix (Table 3) and their photographs are shown in Plates 2 and 3.

2.3.4. Data analysis
All recorded data are listed in the data matrix (Tables 2 and 3). The data from the matrix were analyzed using a multi-variate statistical package (MVSP), which is a technique used in numerical classification (Sneath and Sokal 1973). Similarity matrices were used for the construction of phylogeny tree using the unweighted pair-group method on arithmetic averages (UPGMA) (Nei, 1972). An indented key was constructed to distinguish between the Aizoaceae subfamilies and species (Subrahmanyam, 1995).

3. Results
3.1. Morphological investigation
The data in Table 2 and Fig. 1–16 show that, all examined taxa were with succulent leaves except Aizoon canariense, Trianthema portulacastrum, and Zaleya pentandra (Fig. 1). The genus Lithops differed from the others by species with one pair of leaves (Fig. 2). Moreover, a few taxa showed heterophyllous characteristics, e.g., Trianthema portulacastrum, had cauline leaves (Fig. 3); however, a few taxa had radical leaves (leaves arising from, or near, the root), e.g., Lithops (Fig. 2). Regarding leaf arrangement, there were two forms: opposite in many taxa, such as Aptenia cordifolia (Fig. 4), or alternate in some taxa, e.g., Aizoon canariense (Fig. 5). In most examined taxa, the leaves were sessile e.g., Faucaria bosscheana, (Fig. 6), whereas a few taxa, e.g., Zaleya pentandra, had petiolate leaves (Fig. 1). The leaf base was connate in some taxa, e.g., Carpobrotus acinaciformis (Fig. 7), and it was not connate in others, such as Zaleya pentandra (Fig. 1). Two taxa, Aizoon canariense and Trianthema portulacastrum, had distinguished leaf sheaths (Fig. 3). Leaf shape showed wide differences among species, and 12 shapes were recorded: (1) clavate, e.g., Fenestraria rhopalophylla (Fig. 8); (2) conical, e.g., genus Lithops (Fig. 2); (3) cordate, e.g., Aptenia cordifolia (Fig. 4); (4) elliptic, e.g., Zaleya pentandra (Fig. 1); (5) keel, e.g., Faucaria tuberculosa (Fig. 9); (6) linear, e.g., Glottiphyllum linguiforme (Fig. 10); (7) obovate, e.g., Trianthema portulacastrum (Fig. 3); (8) ovate, e.g., Delosperma echinatum (Fig. 11); (9) semi globose, e.g., Pleiospilos nellii (Table 2); (10) spathulate, e.g., Aloinopsis malherbei

Plate 1. included figures 1–16, which showing some important morphological features: 1- Zaleya pentandra, 2- Lithops aucampiae, 3- Trianthema portulacastrum, 4- Aptenia cordifolia, 5- Aizoon canariense, 6- Faucaria bosscheana, 7- Carpobrotus acinaciformis, 8- Fenestraria rhopalophylla, 9- Faucaria tuberculosa, 10- Glottiphyllum linguiforme, 11- Delosperma echinatum, 12- Aloinopsis malherbei, 13- Drosanthemum floribundum, 14- Oscularia deltoids, 15- Lampranthus aureus and 16- Tanquaaprismatica.
(Fig. 12); (11) terete, e.g., *Drosanthemum floribundum* (Fig. 13); and (12) triangular, e.g., *Carpobrotus acinaciformis* (Fig. 7). Three types of leaf margins were recorded: entire in most taxa, e.g., *Aptenia cordifolia* (Fig. 4), dentate in several taxa, e.g., *Oscularia deltoids* (Fig. 14), and spiny (prickles) in two *Faucaria* species, (Fig. 6 and 9). The leaf tip was acute in many taxa, such as *Aizoon canariense* (Fig. 5), and obtuse or truncate in others, such as *Trianthema portulacastrum* (Fig. 3) and *Lithops* (Fig. 2), respectively. Some Aizoaceae members were distinguished from others by ‘window areas’, which contained transparent parenchyma cells that allowed light to pass into the chlorenchyma cells. This characteristic was recorded in only three taxa, *Fenestramaria rhopalophylla*, *Lithops aucampiae*, and *Lithops pseudotruncatella* (Fig. 2). The leaf texture could be divided into five categories: echinate, only in *Delosperma echinatum* (Fig. 11); farinose, only in *Aloinopsis malherbei* (Fig. 12); glaucous; in some of the examined taxa, such as *Carpobrotus acinaciformis* (Fig. 7); papillate, in a few taxa, such as *Drosanthemum floribundum* (Fig. 13); and smooth, in most taxa, such as *Lampranthus aureus* (Fig. 15). Miniscule white dots were clearly observed in *Aloinopsis malherbei* (Table 2), whereas miniscule dark dots were observed in *Lithops peltophorum* (Table 2). Some of the examined taxa were distinguished from the others by triquetrous leaves, such as in the case of *Tanquana prismatica* (Fig. 16).

### 3.1. List of morphological recorded characters

1- leaf, succulent + / not so –
2- leaves number, one pair + / more than one pair
3- heterophyllus, present +/ absent –
4- leaf, cauline + / radical –
5- leaf arrangement, opposite + / alternate - / if inapplicable *
6- leaf, petiolate + / sessile –
7- leaf base, connate + / not so –
8- leaf sheath, present + / absent –
9- leaf shape, clavate 1; conical 2; cordate 3; elliptic 4; keel like 5; linear 6; obovate 7; ovate 8; semi globose 9; spathulate 10; terete 11; and triangular 12.

10- leaf margin, dentate 1; entire 2; and spiny 3.
11- leaf tip, acute 1; obtuse 2; and truncate 3.
12- windows area, present + / absent –
13- leaf texture, echinate 1; farinose 2; glaucous 3; papillate 4; and smooth 5.
14- white tiny dots (pustules) (from calcium carbonate), present + / absent –
15- dark tiny dots (from tannin sacs), present + / absent –
16- leaf, triquetrous + / not so –

### 3.2. Anatomical investigation

The analyzed anatomical characteristics are summarized in Table 3 and illustrated in Plates 2 and 3.

#### 3.2.1. Outline leaf shape

The transverse sections of 27 Aizoaceae taxa showed a high variability in the outline leaf shapes. There were five categories: triangle, as observed in most taxa, e.g., *Cheiridopsis marothii*; dorsoventral, which was present in some taxa, e.g., *Trianthema portulacastrum*; semi-circular (half circle), present in some taxa, e.g., *Lithops aucampiae*; centric, present in a few taxa, e.g., *Drosanthemum floribundum*; and three-armed, observed only in *Carpobrotus edulis*.

#### 3.2.2. Epidermis

Three taxa, *Carpobrotus acinaciformis*, *Pleiospilos neltii*, and *Lithops pseudotruncatella*, were distinguished from others by furrows on their epidermal surface (Fig. 17). The recorded epidermis types were: normal type (isodiametric epidermal cells, polygonal, outer wall at most slightly sinuous), which was observed in most of the examined taxa, e.g., *Sesuvium portulacastrum* (Fig. 18); cono-phytum type (epidermal cells externally covered by a very thick, flat, continuous layer of cuticle extending over the entire surface except the stomata), which was recorded in few taxa, e.g., *Aloinopsis malherbei* (Fig. 19); lithops type (epidermal cells externally protected by a thick layer of cuticle-forming, rounded, blunt papillae.

### Table 2

Data matrix for 27 taxa and 16 recorded morphological characters.

| Taxa                     | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
|--------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| *Aloinopsis malherbei*   | +  | +  | +  | −  | +  | −  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| *Aptenia cordifolia*     | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| *Carpobrotus acinaciformis* | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| *Corpuscularia lehmannii*| +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| *Delosperma echinatum*   | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| *Drosanthemum floribundum* | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| *Faucaria bosschiana*    | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| *Faucaria tuberculosa*   | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| *Fenestramaria rhopalophylla* | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| *Glechitphyllum linguisforme* | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| *Hererosa incurve*       | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| *Lampranthus aureus*     | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| *Lampranthus spectabilis* | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| *Lithops aucampiae*      | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| *Lithops pseudotruncatellu* | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| *Mesembryanthemum crystallinum* | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| *Mesembryanthemum forsskaeii* | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| *Mesembryanthemum nodiflorum* | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| *Oscularia deltoides*    | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| *Pleiospilos neltii*     | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| *Sesuvium portulacastrum* | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| *Tanquana prismatica*    | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
| *Trianthema portulacastrum* | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  |
over individual epidermal cells), which was only observed in Lithops species (Fig. 20); and the last type of epidermis in which a proportion of epidermal cells was united in groups that were collectively much larger than the remaining epidermal cells (this type was only noticed in Aptenia cordifolia) (Fig. 21). Large epidermal cells (bladder cells) included water storage cells, such as Aptenia

Plate 2. included Figures 17–24, which showing some important anatomical features: 17- Lithops pseudotruncatella(40X), 18- Sesuvium portulacastrum(100X), 19- Aloinopsis malherbei(100X), 20- Lithops aucampiae(650X), 21- Aptenia cordifolia(Epidermal peel-100X), 22– Aptenia cordifolia (transvers section100X), 23– Trianthema portulacastrum(100X), 24- Lithops pseudotruncatella(40X). 

Abbreviations: R = ridge, F = furrow, u = united cells, e = epidermis, m = mesophyll, me = multiplicative epidermis, k = kranz unite, dc = druses crystals, ie = internal epidermus.
Plate 3. Included Figures 25–32, which showing some important anatomical features: 25- *Mesembryanthemum nodiflorum* (40X), 26- *Lampranthus aureus* (100X), 27- *Faucaria tuberculosa* (100X), 28- *Carpobrotus edulis* (100X), 29- *Tanquana prismatica* (100X), 30- *Lithops pseudotruncatella* (100X), 31- *Carpobrotus edulis* (100X), 32- *Trianthema portulacastrum* (100X). Abbreviations: p = papilla, sc = storage cells, rc = raphides crystals, vb = vascular bundles, ts = tannin sacs, xv = xylem vessels, cs = collenchyma sheath.
cordifolia (Fig. 22). Most examined taxa had a simple epidermis, except for two taxa that had a multiplicative epidermis, Zaleya pentandra and Trianthema portulacastrum (Fig. 23). Rare taxa with large mesophylls e.g., Lithops pseudotruncatella, were distinguished from others by the presence of internal epidermal cells in short layers (Fig. 24). Two forms of trichomes were noticed: papillae, e.g., in Mesembryanthemum nodiflorum (Fig. 25), and simple hairs, e.g., in Delosperma echinatum (Table 3).

3.2.3. Mesophyll

The mesophyll tissue was homogenous in most of the examined taxa, e.g., Aipetania cordifolia (Fig. 22), whereas it was heterogenous in a few taxa, e.g., Lampranthus aureus (Fig. 26). The mesophyll was connective in most taxa, e.g., in Aipetania cordifolia and Lampranthus aureus (Fig. 22 and 26). Storage cells were present in most taxa, e.g., Fucaria tuberculosa (Fig. 27), whereas they were absent in several taxa, e.g., Trianthema portulacastrum (Fig. 23). Tannin sacs were observed in several taxa, e.g., Carpobrotus edulis (Fig. 28), whereas they were absent in most taxa, such as Tanquana prismatica (Fig. 29). Two shapes of calcium oxalate crystals were recorded: raphides, which were present in most taxa, e.g., Lampranthus aureus (Fig. 26), and druses, which were not common and were noticed in only four taxa, e.g., Trianthema portulacastrum (Fig. 23). Fiber groups had supportive tissue in nine taxa, e.g., Lithops pseudotruncatella (Fig. 30).

3.2.4. Vascular tissue

Vascular tissues characterized by a poorly developed phloem were observed in all the studied taxa. Vascular bundles were single in most of the examined taxa, such as Tanquana prismatica (Fig. 29), whereas they were grouped in some taxa, e.g., Fucaria tuberculosa (Fig. 27). The Kranz unit (the unit constituted by the vascular bundle/s, parenchyma sheath, and surrounding mesophyll) was recognized in C4 plants and recorded in three of the examined taxa, i.e., Zaleya pentandra, Sesuvium portulacastrum, and Trianthema portulacastrum (Fig. 23). Collenchyma sheaths were recorded in several taxa, e.g., Carpobrotus edulis (Fig. 31). Xylem vessels were arranged in clusters in all the examined taxa except Trianthema portulacastrum (Fig. 32), whose xylem vessels were circular in shape.

3.2.5. List of the recorded anatomical characters

| Characters                                      | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
|------------------------------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Outline shape                                   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Centric                                         | 1  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Dorsiventral                                    |    | 2  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Semi-circular                                   |    |    | 3  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Triangular                                     |    |    |    | 4  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 4. Discussions

4.1. Morphological features

This study is in accordance with that of Boulos (1999), who reported that Aizoaceae leaves usually have the following characteristics: succulent, opposite, or alternate shapes; heterophyllous,
such as in the case of the genus *Mesembryanthemum*; spathulate leaves such as in *Aizoon canariense*; sheathing membranes, e.g., connate in *Trianthema portulacastrum*; and rounded tips. He also indicated that *Zaleya pentandra* leaves may be elliptic or oblanceolate with obtuse to rounded tips. Moreover, Groen and Van der (1999), described the leaves belonging to the genus *Faucaria* as succulent leaves with flat upper sides, smooth or rough lower parts, keeled leaves, bristle-tipped teeth, and whitish dots. These observations are in accordance with those of Hartmann (2001), who reported that some Aizoaceae plants with a single pair of leaves were sometimes sunken into the ground. The results of the present study were also in accordance with those of Clak et al. (2015), who reported that the leaves of *Cheiridopsis alboculata* were succulent and trigonous, with ‘window areas’ and connate sheath, keel shape, and dentate margins. Judd et al. (2016) reported the general features of Aizoaceae leaves as simple, opposite, entire, and succulent leaves.

4.2. Anatomical features

This study complements with the observations of Metcalfe and Chalk (1957), who reported that the leaves of the Aizoaceae species were centric or dorsiventral, present of aqueous tissue in the epidermis at the leaf apex of *Lithops*, also presence of palisade tissue, tannin sacs, water storage tissue and raphides crystals. They also recorded presence of chlorenchymatous bundle sheath (Kranz unite) in different Aizoaceae species. Opel (2005), observed calcium oxalate, tannin sacs and windows area in *Conophytum*. Muhaidat and Mc Kown (2013), reported the presence of large epidermal cells in Aizoaceae leaves, also they observed individual vascular bundles in *Trianthema* and *Zaleya*. Bohley et al. (2015 & 2019), demonstrated the presence of chlorenchymatous bundle sheath in different species. Bohley et al. (2019), described a water storage tissue in *Sesuvium sesuvioides*.

4.3. Based on the observed morphological and anatomical features, indented key has been constructed to allow distinguishing the subfamilies and species

4.3.1. Identification key to subfamilies

A- leaf not succulent, alternate, petiolate, kranz unite absent. ................................................................. Aizoideae (Aizoon canariense)

B- leaf succulent, opposite

a- leaf sessile, kranz unite absent, tannin sacs may be present ......................................................... Ruschioidae

b- leaf petiolate, kranz unite present, tannin sac absent ................................................................. Sesuvioideae

c- leaf sessile, sometimes petiolate, kranz unite and tannin sacs absent, epidermis simple ............... *Mesembryanthemoideae*
4.3.2. Identification key to subfamily: Ruschioideae

3.3.2. Identification key to subfamily: Ruschioideae
A- plant with one pair of leaf only, epidermal cells with lithops type
  a- furrows and internal epidermis absent ........................................ Lithops acaampiae
  a- furrows and internal epidermis present ..................................... Lithops pseudotruncatella
B- plant with more than one pair of leaves
  b- leaf texture smooth
     bb- leaf base connate
        bbb- leaf not triquetrous
         bbb1- leaf clavate with windows area, tannin sacs present, vascular bundles single ................................................. Fenestraria rhopalophylla
         bbb2- leaf linear, windows area absent, tannin sac absent, vascular bundles in groups .................................. Gliophyllum linguliforme
  b2a- leaf triquetrous, triangular.
     1- dark tiny dots present, epidermal cells conophyllum type, tannin sacs present .................................................... Lampranthus spectabilis
     2- white tiny dots present, epidermal cells normal type, tannin sacs absent ...................................................... Lampranthus aureus
     3- both dark and white tiny dots are absent .................................. Carpobrotus edulis
b2b- leaf base not connate
   bb2b- fiber groups and collenchyma sheath present .................................. Hereroa incurve
   bb2b1- leaf triquetrous, keel like
      1- dark tiny dots present ................................................. Faucharia tuberculosa
      2- dark tiny dots absent ................................................. Faucharia bosscheana
   bb2b2- leaf margin entire
      1- leaf, semi centric, dark tiny dots, tannin sacs, druses crystals present ............................................. Tanquaera primatica
      2- leaf triangle, dark tiny dots, tannin sacs, druses crystals, absent ............................................. Corpuscularia lehmannii
   bb2c- leaf semi globose, entire, dark tiny dots present, epidermal cells normal type, druses crystals and fiber groups absent .................. Pleiospis nodii

3.3.2. Identification key to subfamily: Mesembryanthemoideae

4.3.3. Identification key to subfamily: Mesembryanthemoideae
A- leaves heterophyllus, papillose, epidermal cells normal type
  a- leaves sessile, collenchyma sheath present
     a1- leaves semi centric, large epidermal cells absent .............................................. Mesembryanthemum forsskalei
     a2- leaves centric, large epidermal cells present .............................................. Mesembryanthemum nobile
  b- leaves petiolate, dorsiventral .............................................. M. crystallinum
B- leaves homophyllous, cordate, acute, entire, smooth, papilla absent, epidermal cells auptenia type .................................. Aptenia cordifolia

4.3.4. Identification key to subfamily: Sesuvioideae

4.3.4. Identification key to subfamily: Sesuvioideae
A- leaves not succulent, mesophyll heterogenous not connected, storage cells absent
  a- leaves heterophyllus, alternate, leaves with sheath, druses crystals present
     1- xylem vessels in circulared shape .............................................. Triantema portulacastrum
     2- xylem vessels in clusters .............................................. Zalcea pentandra
  b- leaves homophyllous, opposite, leaf sheath absent, druses crystals absent
     1- xylem vessels in clusters .............................................. Sesuvium portulacastrum
The present study confirmed the usefulness of certain leaf morphological and anatomical characteristics of some members of Aizoaceae for this classification. In total, 16 morphological characteristics and 20 anatomical characteristics were included in the data matrix that was used to construct the identification key and dendrogram. There was a potential of dependence on leaves. The present study covered 27 species belonging to four subfamilies: Aizooidae (one species), Sesuvioideae (three species), Mesembryanthemoideae (four species), and Ruschioideae (19 species). Although this study found a morphological and anatomical similarity between Sesuvioideae and Aizooidae, more precise studies on the vegetative organs of Aizoaceae are still needed. This would establish clear borders between its subfamilies, especially after the inclusion of ornamental species.
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