Network collapse and cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis
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FUNCTIONAL REORGANIZATION IN MS: AN OUTDATED CONCEPT?

The current field of multiple sclerosis (MS) research is an active and highly interesting one: structural abnormalities such as inflammatory lesions and brain atrophy are studied with a wide array of advanced neuroimaging techniques (1). These techniques are subsequently used to try to explain the large clinical heterogeneity in patients. Clinically important in MS is cognitive dysfunction, which is present in 40–70% of all patients (2, 3). Cognitive impairment in MS receives much attention, as there is currently no proven effective treatment, but symptoms may nevertheless start in early stages of disease already (4). Cognitive decline is known to exert deleterious effects on psychosocial functioning (2, 5, 6). Traditional structural imaging measures like lesion volumes are notoriously poorly related with cognitive function (7), so a move toward more sensitive, comprehensive measures is required, such as those that measure brain function in addition to brain structure.

Historically, most early imaging studies have used the paced auditory serial addition test (PASAT) to study cognition in MS, a task that measures information processing speed (8–10). These observed a combination of hyperactivation of frontal regions in response to the task and a recruitment of additional areas, not normally attributed to the task in controls. The functional changes were mostly positively related to the amount of structural damage in the brain, and were stronger in patients who scored normally on the PASAT, indicating that it might be a beneficial process. Later studies investigated other cognitive domains and also showed such an apparently beneficial increased local activation, for example, during a memory task in the hippocampus (11) and during the N-back working memory task in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) (12). Importantly, these studies also showed decreased activation in cognitively impaired patients.

The body of literature of that point in time led to our previous hypothesis of functional reorganization in MS (13). This hypothesis asserted that a “compensatory” change is seen in the brains of MS patients in the form of an increase in brain function, i.e., both increased activation and increased connectivity. Functional connectivity is conceptually quite different from task-based activation and reflects the amount of communication between brain regions, i.e., coherent patterns of firing typically measured with correlation measures. Early connectivity studies investigated the so-called “default mode network” (DMN), which is only coherently active during a resting state. Two such studies found DMN changes that were interpreted in the same way as the task-based activation studies: increased DMN connectivity in clinically isolated syndrome (CIS) patients (14) and decreased DMN connectivity in progressive MS, which was related to cognitive impairment (15). We proposed that increasing structural damage, in combination with an optimum curve of “functional reorganization,” results in a delayed, non-linear, development of cognitive dysfunction.

However, the previous model was mostly based on task-based activation studies, while the connectivity field was still in its infancy. As the concept of functional reorganization was gaining support, the field was primed for finding cognitively relevant connectivity changes. Interestingly, recent studies have mostly related increased functional connectivity to cognitive dysfunction, raising doubts on the previous concept of functional reorganization in MS. In this paper, we will review this recent functional connectivity literature and reiterate the case around functional connectivity changes in MS and their potential effects on cognition. Which reported connectivity changes can be justifiably said to be “compensatory” or “beneficial”? Which are likely “maladaptive”? Can any such predicate be arrived at all, based on the neuroscientific studies available? Is it perhaps time to revise our previous model of functional reorganization?

FUNCTIONAL CONNECTIVITY IN MS: A FIELD OF CONTRADICTIONS

Resting state network changes have been observed in relapsing remitting MS (RRMS) patients, both within and between almost all resting state sub-networks (16). The DMN de-activates when performing a task, and appears to be strongly related to cognition. DMN changes have been difficult to place within our previous hypothesis, as cognitive dysfunction was related to both decreased (17–21) and increased DMN connectivity (22–24). In pediatric MS, increased DMN connectivity was seen in cognitively preserved patients in the anterior cingulate gyrus, while decreased connectivity of the posterior cingulate was seen in cognitively impaired patients (25). Increased connectivity of the anterior cingulate cortex was also found in adult...
MS patients, although these connectivity changes showed both positive and negative correlations with cognitive dysfunction (26). Another recent paper in adult-onset MS suggests that the severity of cognitive impairment is directly related to the level of increased functional connectivity of the DMN (27). As the DMN de-activates during tasks, task-based studies have also looked at this network. During performance of the N-back working memory task, researchers noted less de-activation of the DMN (12) in cognitively impaired patients. Another recent study, however, seems to contradict this finding, as an increased DMN activation during a similar task was related to both higher intellectual enrichment and information processing speed performance (28). In short, the DMN results have been difficult to interpret.

Unfortunately, results from seed-based analyses investigating other structures like the DLPFC have not been very consistent either. One such study (29) found a reduced connectivity between the DLPFC and the superior medial frontal gyrus in patients who scored normally on the N-back, in relation to increased difficulty of the task, and also found increased connectivity between the left and right prefrontal cortices. This connectivity between the DLPFC and medial frontal regions was increased in MS patients in another study, during the Go/No Go task, at which they were impaired (30). The DLPFC was also studied during performance of the PASAT in patients with CIS who were impaired on this test (31, 32), showing decreased connectivity with several areas, including the anterior cingulate and thalamus. Contrarily, another study only showed increased connectivity during the PASAT in CIS patients, who were also impaired on this test (33).

Studies looking at several other cognitively relevant structures such as the thalamus, hippocampus, and cerebellum have shown varying patterns of connectivity in MS as well. Thalamic atrophy has well-known and strong effects on cognition in MS (34), which appears related to global cortical network changes (24, 35). An aforementioned task-based CIS study showed decreased connectivity between the thalamus and DLPFC during the PASAT (31), at which patients were impaired. Strikingly, during a resting state, the thalamus has also been shown to have increased connectivity with frontal areas in clinically definite MS patients with cognitive impairment (36, 37). Similarly, at rest, the hippocampus showed decreased connectivity related to hippocampal atrophy in patients with still intact memory performance (38), but increased connectivity in patients with memory impairment (39). The cerebellum, however, showed decreased connectivity in patients with cognitive dysfunction, both during the PASAT (40) and Stroop tasks (41).

WHAT DOES IT ALL MEAN?

As described above, the body of literature on cognitively relevant connectivity changes in MS is currently difficult to interpret. As it seems, our previous model for functional reorganization is incomplete and the term is currently used in a number of ways and lacks a clear definition. Additionally, these findings were studied across the spectrum of clinical and cognitive phenotypes in MS, with very different methodological and statistical approaches, leaving the data ambiguous in places. Some studies now refer to any connectivity change as functional reorganization, leaving it to the reader to disentangle “beneficial” or “maladaptive” functional reorganization post hoc. This process actually seems quite complicated, however, as cross-sectional studies have related both connectivity increases and decreases to cognitive dysfunction in MS. Therefore, the studies that do claim that changes might be beneficial for cognitive performance in MS might not have enough evidence to do so. In truth, we are currently unable to disentangle “good” from “bad” and are strongly limited by the cross-sectional nature of almost all of these studies.

For example, suppose that a functional connectivity increase is observed in cognitively preserved patients, and a decrease in a cognitively impaired patient group. Although many studies interpret such a finding as cognitively relevant, as described previously, such data could, in fact, be interpreted in several ways. First, the functional connectivity increase in cognitively preserved patients might reflect “beneficial” functional reorganization, delaying cognitive impairment. In impaired patients, this effect of functional reorganization is then lost. Second, the functional connectivity increase in cognitively preserved patients might be a “maladaptive” response, following, e.g., disinhibition, heralding an imminent network collapse, and further deterioration into cognitive impairment. Third, the functional connectivity increase in cognitively preserved patients could be an unrelated epiphomenon. Or, that the connectivity increase is related to structural damage, but that it has no direct impact on cognition at all. And finally, given the fact that most studies are cross-sectional, it cannot be excluded that the frequently observed functional connectivity increases in patients with cognitive impairment are, in fact, “beneficial.” It is possible that such increases are, e.g., a bleed through of beneficial functional reorganization from the cognitively preserved stage. This could be due to a poor definition of cognitive impairment and/or plastic changes that persist throughout this stage of the disease. The only way we are going to understand the cognitive role of functional connectivity changes in MS will be to study them over time.

Preliminary longitudinal studies linking connectivity changes to cognitive rehabilitation (42, 43), as well as pharmacological intervention (44), show some promise. Unfortunately, determining sufficient sample sizes and time frames remains difficult given the current lack of data, leaving these small studies difficult to interpret. Such intervention studies aiming to increase neurotransmitter levels in MS appear logical, as there is an apparent cholinergic (45) as well as glutamatergic (46) imbalance in MS, which might leave the network unstable. Therefore, pharmacological therapies targeting such neurotransmitters might prove valuable (47). It must be stressed, however, that there may also be downsides to such an approach, as specific glutamate receptor subtypes have been linked to brain atrophy (48) and excitotoxic effects due to the treatment and the functional reorganization process might actually increase tissue damage and network stress.

THE FUTURE: MEASURING NETWORK COLLAPSE IN MS

As the field of functional imaging in MS matured, the clinical interpretation of the combined set of functional changes in MS
has become much more complex, leaving our previous model of functional reorganization in MS incomplete and too simplistic. After exploring abovementioned individual structures and subnetworks in MS has not made matters much clearer, it is now opportune to look at connectivity in another way. One option is to take functional connectivity values and convert them into a more holistic network model of the entire brain. This so-called graph analysis approach (49) uses different parameters such as the clustering coefficient and path length (50) to describe network information flow. Applications of these techniques in MS have been very limited (49), but have highlighted the power of graph analysis in discriminating patients from controls (51). Graph analytical studies in MS have shown that cognitive dysfunction is related to an inefficient network, as seen by the change in clustering coefficient and path length (52–54), impaired network integration of information (55) and clustering (56), decreases in network centrality (57, 58), increases in modularity (59), and changes in minimum spanning tree parameters (35, 60). These graph measures provide us many new ways to conceptualize and understand what actually happens to the global status of the entire brain network in patients with cognitive impairment in MS, beyond the poorly understood local increases or decreases in connectivity. Future longitudinal studies are now required to assess the predictive power of these measures. Together, it appears that the brain network of patients with cognitive impairment in MS features a strong decrease in whole-network efficiency, i.e., a network “collapse” (see Figure 1).

In summary, thinking about functional reorganization processes and labeling them as either “beneficial” or “maladaptive” has proven to be overly simplistic. A more holistic approach is required, encompassing both activation and connectivity data into a frame of network dynamics in a longitudinal fashion. Following this, first steps toward using more sophisticated (functional) imaging tools to monitor cognitive deficits can hopefully be taken.
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**FIGURE 1** A hypothesis of network collapse as a cause for developing cognitive impairment in MS. In early stages of MS, structural damage is low, leaving network efficiency relatively high. As the structural damage accumulates over time, network efficiency levels drop, inducing a network collapse after a critical threshold (indicated by the dotted line) is exceeded. After this, the network is unable to function normally and cognitive impairment develops.
Rocca MA, Valsasina P, Martinelli V, Misci P, Falini A, Comi G, et al. Large-scale neuronal network dysfunction in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. Neurology (2012) 79(14):1449–57. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e31826d5f10

Roosendaal SD, Schoonheim MM, Hulst HE, Sanz-Arigita EL, Smith SM, Geurts JJ, et al. Resting state networks change in clinically isolated syndrome. Brain (2010) 133(Pt 6):1612–21. doi:10.1093/brain/awq588

Rocca MA, Valsasina P, Absinta M, Riccitelli G, Rodríguez ME, Misci P, et al. Default-mode network dysfunction and cognitive impairment in progressive MS. Neurology (2010) 74(16):1252–9. doi:10.1212/20013e3181f612e3

Schoonheim et al. Network collapse in multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler (2015). doi:10.1177/1352458515677277

Schoonheim MM, Geurts JJ, Barkhof F. The limits of functional reorganization in multiple sclerosis. Neurology (2010) 74(16):1246–7. doi:10.1212/20013e3181b670

Schoonheim et al. Abnormalities of resting state functional connectivity are related to sustained attention deficits in MS. PLoS One (2012) 7(8):e26622. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0026622

Sawerlek DJ, Hipp JF, Lewis CM, Corbetta M, Engel AK. Increased functional connectivity measures the severity of cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A (2011) 108(47):19066–71. doi:10.1073/pnas.1100024108

Sumowski JF, Wylie GR, Deluca J, Chiaravalloti N. Intellectual enrichment is linked to cerebral efficiency in multiple sclerosis: functional magnetic resonance imaging evidence for cognitive reserve. Brain (2010) 133(Pt 2):362–74. doi:10.1093/brain/awp307

Cader S, Cifelli A, Abu-Omar Y, Palace J, Matthews PM. Reduced brain functional reserve and altered functional connectivity in patients with multiple sclerosis. Brain (2006) 129(Pt 2):527–37. doi:10.1093/brain/awh670

Bonnet MC, Allard M, Dilharreguy B, Deloire M, Petry KG, Brochet B. Cognitive compensation failure in multiple sclerosis. Neurology (2010) 75(14):1241–8. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181b162e23

Ranjева JP, Audoin B, Au Dong MV, Comfort-Gouzy S, Malikova J, VouT P, et al. Structural and functional surrogates of cognitive impairment at the very early stage of multiple sclerosis. J Neurosci (2006) 24(5):1–6. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0509-09.0919

Au Dong MV, Audoin B, Boulaouar K, Ibarrola D, Malikova I, Comfort-Gouzy S, et al. Altered functional connectivity related to white matter changes inside the working memory network at the very early stage of MS. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab (2005) 25(12):1245–53. doi:10.1093/jcbfm.9600122

Schoonheim MM, Popescu V, Rueda Lopes FC, Wiebenga OT, Vrenken H, Douw L, et al. Subcortical atrophy and cognition: sex effects in multiple sclerosis. Neurology (2012) 79(17):1754–61. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e318270f346

Tewarie P, Schoonheim MM, Schouten DJ, Polman CH, Balk L, Utdehaeg BM, et al. Clinical brain network: linking thalamic atrophy to clinical disability in multiple sclerosis, a multimodal fMRI and MEG Study. Hum Brain Mapp (2014) 36(2):660–18. doi:10.1002/hbm.22650

Tona E, Petsas N, Shadella E, Prosperini L, Carmellini M, Pozzilli C, et al. Multiple sclerosis: altered thalamic resting-state functional connectivity and its effect on cognitive function. Radiology (2014) 271(3):814–21. doi:10.1148/radiol.14131688

Schoonheim MM, Hulst HE, Brandt RB, Strik M, Wink AM, Utdehaeg BM, et al. Thalamus structure and function determine severity of cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis. Neurology (2015) 84(8):776–83. doi:10.1212/WNL.000000000001285

Roosenaad SD, Hulst HE, Vrenken H, Feenstra HE, Casteljns JA, Pouwels PJ, et al. Structural and functional hippocampal changes in multiple sclerosis patients with intact memory function. Radiology (2010) 252(5):595–604. doi:10.1148/radiol.10091433

Hulst HE, Schoonheim MM, Van Geest Q, Utdehaeg BM, Barkhof F, Geurts JJ. Memory impairment in multiple sclerosis: relevance of hippocampal activation and hippocampal connectivity. Mult Scler (2015). doi:10.1177/1352458515677277

Cerasa A, Passamonti L, Valentinio P, Nistico R, Pirritano D, Gioia MC, et al. Cerebellar-parietal dysfunctions in multiple sclerosis patients with cerebellar signs. Exp Neurol (2012) 237(2):418–26. doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2012.07.020

Rocca MA, Bonnet MC, Meani A, Valsasina P, Colombo B, Comi G, et al. Differential cerebellar-functional interactions during an interference task across multiple sclerosis phenotypes. Radiology (2012) 265(3):864–73. doi:10.1148/radiol.12120931

Filippi M, Riccitelli G, Mattioli F, Capra R, Stamperio C, Pagani E, et al. Multiple sclerosis: effects of cognitive rehabilitation on structural and functional MR imaging measures – an explorative study. Radiology (2012) 262(3):932–40. doi:10.1148/radiol.11111299

Pariisi L, Rocca MA, Valsasina P, Paniciari L, Mattioli F, Filippi M. Cognitive rehabilitation correlates with the functional connectivity of the ante- rior cingulate cortex in patients with multiple sclerosis. Brain Imaging Behav (2012) 8(3):387–93. doi:10.1007/s11682-012-9160-9

Cader S, Palace J, Matthews PM. Cholinergic agonism alters cognitive processing and enhances brain functional connectivity in patients with multiple sclerosis. J Psychopharmacol (2009) 23(6):866–96. doi:10.1177/0269881108093271

Kooi EJ, Prins M, Bajic N, Belien JA, Gerritsen WH, van Horssen J, et al. Cholinergic imbalance in the multiple sclerosis hippocampus. Acta Neuropathol (2011) 122(3):313–22. doi:10.1007/s00401-011-0849-4

Geurts JJ, Wolvijew, G, Bo L, van der Valk P, Polman CH, Troost D, et al. Altered expression patterns of group I and II metabotropic glutamate receptors in multiple sclerosis. Brain (2003) 126(8):1755–66. doi:10.1093/brain/awg797

Wiebenga OT, Hulst HE, Kooi EJ, Killestein J, Geurts JJ. Multicenter randomized clinical trial of donepezil for memory impairment in multiple sclerosis. Neurology (2011) 77(22):1998–2000. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e31828329e24

Strijbis EM, Inkster B, Vounou M, Naegelin Y, Kapoor C, et al. Multiple sclerosis: effects of cognitive rehabilitation on structural and functional MR imaging measures – an explorative study. Radiology (2012) 262(3):932–40. doi:10.1148/radiol.12120931

Filippi M, van den Heuvel MP, Formito A, He Y, Huishoff Pol HE, Ageota F, et al. Assessment of system function of the brain through MRI-based connectomics. Lancet Neurol (2013) 12(12):1189–99. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(13)70144-3

Bullmore E, Sporns O. Complex brain networks: graph theoretical analysis of structural...
and functional systems. Nat Rev Neurosci (2009) 10(3):186–98. doi:10.1038/nrn2575
51. Richiardi J, Gschwind M, Simioni S, Annoni JM, Greco B, Hagmann P, et al. Classifying minimally disabled multiple sclerosis patients from resting state functional connectivity. Neuroimage (2012) 62(3):2021–33. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.05.078
52. Schoonheim MM, Hulst HE, Landi D, Ciccarelli O, Roosendaal SD, Sanz-Arigita EI, et al. Gender-related differences in functional connectivity in multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler (2012) 18(2):164–73. doi:10.1177/1352458511422245
53. Schoonheim MM, Geurts JJ, Landi D, Douw L, van der Meel ML, Vrenken H, et al. Functional connectivity changes in multiple sclerosis patients: a graph analytical study of MEG resting state data. Hum Brain Mapp (2013) 34(1):52–61. doi:10.1002/hbm.21424
54. Van SJ, Gielen J, Laton J, D’hooghe MB, De Kl, Nagels G. Graph theoretical analysis indicates cognitive impairment in MS stems from neural disconnection. Neuroimage Clin (2014) 4:403–10. doi:10.1016/j.nicl.2014.01.012
55. Rocca MA, Valsasina P, Meani A, Falini A, Comi G, Filippi M. Impaired functional integration in multiple sclerosis: a graph theory study. Brain Struct Funct (2014), doi:10.1007/s00429-014-0896-4
56. Helekar SA, Shin JC, Mattson BJ, Bartley K, Stosis M, Saldana-King T, et al. Functional brain network changes associated with maintenance of cognitive function in multiple sclerosis. Front Hum Neurosci (2010) 4:219. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2010.00219
57. Hardmeier M, Schoonheim MM, Geurts JJ, Hillebrand A, Polman CH, Barkhof F, et al. Cognitive dysfunction in early multiple sclerosis: altered centrality derived from resting-state functional connectivity using magneto-encephalography. PLoS One (2012) 7(7):e42087. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042087
58. Schoonheim M, Geurts J, Wiebenga O, De Munck J, Polman C, Starm C, et al. Changes in functional network centrality underlie cognitive dysfunction and physical disability in multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler (2013) 20(8):1058–65. doi:10.1177/1352458513516892
59. Gamboa OL, Tagliazucchi E, von WF, Jurcoane A, Wahl M, Laufs H, et al. Working memory performance of early MS patients correlates inversely with modularity increases in resting state functional connectivity networks. Neuroimage (2014) 94:385–95. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.12.008
60. Tewarie P, Hillebrand A, Schoonheim MM, van Dijk BW, Geurts J, Barkhof F, et al. Functional brain network analysis using minimum spanning trees in multiple sclerosis: an MEG source-space study. Neuroimage (2014) 88:308–18. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.10.022

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 06 February 2015; paper pending published: 23 February 2015; accepted: 26 March 2015; published online: 14 April 2015.

Citation: Schoonheim MM, Meijer KA and Geurts JFG (2015) Network collapse and cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis. Front. Neurol. 6:82. doi:10.3389/fneur.2015.00082

This article was submitted to Multiple Sclerosis and Neuroimmunology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neurology.

Copyright © 2015 Schoonheim, Meijer and Geurts. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.