Peceneaga-Camena Fault: Geomagnetic insights into active tectonic contact
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Highly detailed, very accurate ground magnetic investigations were jointly conducted by Romanian and Ukrainian researchers on a segment of the Peceneaga-Camenas Fault (PCF) in order to reveal the potential of geomagnetic method for active faults investigating. The survey succeeded to outline the PCF track in the area covered by recent sediments, and provide insights on the fault structure and in-depth development. 2D numerical modeling has been employed for interpreting the obtained geomagnetic anomaly. Lateral variations in magnetization, as suggested by the model, reveal the complex geological architecture in the area, hidden by recent deposits. The zero magnetization outlined in the central part of the survey lines has been interpreted in geodynamic terms, as a breccias zone created along PCF track by its active dynamics.
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Печенежско-Каменский разлом: геомагнитная оценка активного тектонического контакта

© Л. Бещутью, М. Орлюк, Л. Злагнеан, А. Роменец, Л. Анастасью, И. Макаренко, 2014

С целью оценки возможностей геомагнитного метода при изучении активных разломов совместно с румынскими и украинскими ученными были выполнены высокоточные наземные исследования Печенежско-Каменского разлома (ПКР). Съемка позволила проследить положение ПКР под современными осадочными образованиями и получить представление о его глубинной структуре. Интерпретация выделенных вдоль профилей магнитных аномалий была выполнена с помощью двумерного численного моделирования. В соответствии с моделью, латеральные вариации намагниченности исследуемой зоны свидетельствуют о сложном геологическом строении, скрытом под молодыми осадками. Область коры с нулевой намагниченностью, которая выделена в центральной части геомагнитных профилей, проинтерпретирована с геодинамических позиций как пояс брекчированных пород, образованных вдоль ПКР вследствие активных перемещений по нему.

Ключевые слова: магнитная съемка, намагниченность, остаточная геомагнитная аномалия, моделирование, разломы, геодинамика.
General consideration. The Peceneaga-Ca-
mena Fault (PCF) represents one of the most studi-
ed tectonic features in the Romanian territory, even 
from the beginning of the 20th century [Mrazec, 1912; 
Macovei, 1912]. It generally appears (Fig. 1) as the 
boundary between the Moesian Platform (MP), re-
presented in the area by Central Dobrogea (CD), 
and North Dobrogea (ND) geological units.

During the time, PCF has been alternately con-
sidered as a simple reverse fault [Macovei, 1912], 
or the over thrusting plan of the hypothetic Green 
Schists Nappe [Preda, 1964]. More recent research 
pointed out its strike-slip nature [Sandulescu, 1980; 
Gradinaru, 1984; Hippolyte et al., 1996; Besutiu, 1997; 
Banks, Robinson, 1997].

Geophysics brought significant evidence on the 
PCF in-depth extent. The international deep seis-
mic soundings (DSS) line № 2 [Radulescu et al., 
1976] has revealed its crustal nature, showing a 
step of about 10 km at the both Conrad and Moho 
discontinuities. Later on, seismic tomography ima-
ges based on CALIXTO experiment [Martin et al., 
2006], have revealed PCF as a major lithospheric 
contact between East European Plate (EEP) and 
Moesian Micro-plate (MoP) reactivated during the 
W Black Sea opening [Besutiu, Zugravescu, 2004; 
Besutiu, 2009].

Geological evidence shows a PCF geodynamic 
evolution during the time with both right-lateral and 
left-lateral slip episodes [Pavelescu, Nitu, 1977; San-
dulescu, 1980; Gradinaru, 1984; 1988; Seghedi, Oaie, 
1995; Banks, Robinson, 1997; Cosma et al., 2010].

The Baspunar Geodynamic Observatory (BGD) 
was especially designed and run by the Solid Earth 
Dynamics Department at the Institute of Geody-
namics of the Romanian Academy in order to moni-
tor slip along PCF. This paper mainly deals with results of the high accuracy detailed magnetic investigations carried out on the PCF segment located in the neighborhood of the BGD, aimed at revealing the path and in-depth structure of the PCF in the monitoring area. Research has been carried out in the frame of the bi-lateral project INRAF (“Integrated research of some active faults located in the NW inland of the Black Sea on the Romanian and Ukrainian territories”), jointly developed by the Institute of Geodynamics of the Romanian Academy and the Institute of Geophysics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine.

The local geological background. North Dobrogea. The area subject to geophysical investigation mainly belongs to the so called Cirjelari-Camena Outcrop Belt (CCOB). A thorough description of the structure and lithostratigraphy of this unit was provided by Gradinaru [Gradinaru 1980, 1984, 1988], and a simplified geological sketch for the study area is shown in Fig. 2, along with the location of the magnetically surveyed panels.

On the overall, the study area is dominated by the presence of the Jurassic sedimentary and volcanic rocks, unconformable overlying older Palaeozoic deposits of the Macin Unit and largely covered by the post-tectonic sedimentary cover of the Cretaceous Babadag Basin and shallow Quaternary formations.

The Quaternary rocks are mainly represented by shallow layers of loess deposits.

The Babadag Basin comprises two main Upper Cretaceous formations: Iancina and Dolosman se-
ries mainly consist of limestone and sandstone, with transient facia (between sandy limestone and limy sandstones). Overall, the Upper Cretaceous does not contain any source of geomagnetic anomalies, except for some residual red shale deposits, locally developed within confined volumes, but able to provide slight geomagnetic effects at the surface [Besutiu, Nicolescu, 1999]).

Jurassic rocks of CCOB (Gradinaru, herein) may be grouped into several main sedimentary formations (\( Fm \)):
- **Cirjelari \( Fm \) (\( J_{2 \text{-col}} \)) is composed of: 1) gaizes, spongolites, tuffites, 2) polimictic conglomerates, 3) (marly and silty) shales, 4) bioclastic calcarenites and calcirudites, 5) oolitic calcarenites. Also in the Cirjelari \( Fm \) mixtites with Green Schist clasts, Cirjelari Rhyolite clasts, and olistomorphic conglomerates may occur;
- **Baspunar \( Fm \) (\( J_{2 \text{-col}} \)) consists of: 1) gaizes, spongolites, tuffites, 2) crinoidal calcarenites, marly-shales, marly shales, 3) rhylititic tuffs at the bottom;  
- **Aiorman \( Fm \) (\( J_{2} \)) is composed of terrigenous turbidites;
- **Movila Goala \( Fm \) (\( J_{3} \)) consists of: 1) terrigenous turbidites, 2) black oolitic calcarenites, 3) crinoidal calcarenites, botommed by calcitized rhyolite lava flows. Another two special tectono-stratigraphic units should be also mentioned: the Amara breccia (\( J_{3} \)) and Baspunar Melange, with metabasic rocks, probably shared remnants of an incipiently developing oceanic crust in the final stage of CCOB [Gradinaru, 1984];
- **the Amara Breccia (\( J_{3 \text{-col}} \)) has been interpreted [Gradinaru, 1984] as a shared remnant of a former more extensive talus breccias. It is a clast supported monomictic breccias consisting of elements belonging to CD “Green Schist” series, and several levels of carbonates rocks from the Cirjelari \( Fm \), with a carbonate matrix;  
- **the Baspunar Melange occurred later on (Late Jurassic), when the movement along PCF became left-lateral. It contains blocks of Lower Palaeozoic marbles and quartzites of the Macin unit, but also blocks of Jurassic sedimentary and metabasic rocks.

The Triassic deposits (Uspenia \( Fm \)) mainly consist of gray limestone [Mirauta, Mirauta, 1961].

The Palaeozoic formations are practically hidden by younger deposits, except for some confined areas where they may crop out (Cirjelari valley, where Aiorman \( Fm \) unconformable lies on Palaeozoic basement rocks).

According to [Mirauta, Mirauta, 1961; Mirauta, 1966] the Palaeozoic deposits in the area mainly consist of Devonian (philit, limestone, serrarite-chlorite schist), and Carboniferous, represented by Carapetlit \( Fm \) (conglomerates, sandstones and schist series).

The bimodal (acid and basic) CCOB volcanic rocks may be grouped into Camena Rhyolite (\( P_{3} \)) and Baspunar spilite (\( J_{3} \)).

The Camena Porphyries (\( T_{3} \)) crop out between Cara Burun Hill (Camena) and Baspunar, and seem to be connected to the tectonic lineament Baspunar-Camera. They pierce the Palaeozoic crystalline series, but occur as remnants in the Liassic conglomerates. They have been described as micro-granites accompanied by dykes [Mirauta, Mirauta, 1961], tuffs, ignimbrites and lava flows [Gradinaru, 1984].

The Baspunar spilite (\( J_{3} \)) is mainly represented by pillow-lava flows interbedded in Jurassic limestone.

Central Dobrogea (CD). South PCF, CD deposits are mainly represented by the Upper Palaeozoic Green Schist Series (GSS), largely described during the time by various authors [Mrazec, 1910, 1912; Macovei, 1912; Mirauta, Mirauta, 1961; Mirauta, 1964, 1965, 1969; Paraschiv, Paraschiv, 1978].

Mirauta describes several horizons of increasing (top to bottom) grade metamorphic rocks [Mirauta, 1964]:

1) upper grauwacke (grauwacke, siliceous schists, micro-conglomerates);
2) lower grauwacke (grauwacke, schists);
3) infragraywacke (green philitis, green chloritic quartzites (meta-grauwacke).

The infragraywacke series are bottomed by some mezzo- to high-grade metamorphic rocks (micaschiste, quartzites, amphibolites) occurring in the axis of the reverse mega-anticline structure Ceamurlia-Baspunar (Mirauta, herein). They are considered by various authors [Besutiu, 1997] as the source of the regional geomagnetic high overlying the axis of the Baspunar-Camera-Ceamurlia anticline.

Since early times, it has been also noticed [Motas, 1913] that CD deposits in the PCF contact zone are sometimes intercalated with, or intruded by migmatic rocks of North Dobrogea (rhyolites), which might represent another source for geomagnetic anomalies.

Data acquisition and processing. Field observations were conducted by using two G 856 AX magnetometers (one for the record of diurnal geomagnetic activity, and the second one for observations along the survey lines).

Basically, the survey lines were designed almost perpendicular to the assumed PCF track. The lines are 4 m apart, and a step of 2 m between two consecutive stations along each line was used to survey the study area. Location of data points was set by using a Garmin 78 GPS receiver. The geographic coordinates on WGS 1984 ellipsoid were then transferred into the rectangular coordinates.
Fig. 3. Residual geomagnetic anomaly along various PCF segments (a — micro-panel P1, b — micro-panels P3—P5) as obtained after removing a first order polynomial trend. Black dots mark data points. Brown solid lines show topography contours (in meters). Dashed zone marks the assumed PCF track.
Fig. 5. Tentative interpretative model of the geomagnetic anomaly across PCF: 1 — residual geomagnetic anomaly, 2 — predicted field, 3 — body ID, 4 — magnetic susceptibility (in $10^{-6}$ CGS$_u$); 5—13 — North Dobrogea (5 — loess, 6 — post-tectonic cover (K2), 7 — Upper Jurassic limestone, 8 — Lower Jurassic, 9 — Triassic limestone, 10 — Camena Fm (P2—T1), 11 — Baspunar spilite, 12 — Camena Porphyry, 13 — Cirjelari Rhyolite); 14—18 — Central Dobrogea (14 — diorite dykes, 15 — low-grade GSS, 16 — higher-grade GSS, 17 — secondary fault, 18 — breccias zone generated by fault dynamics).
of the Romanian national stereographic projection system [Avramiuc et al., 2001].

The geomagnetic sensor was placed at 3 m above the ground in order to avoid (or at least to mitigate) shallow local effects.

Diurnal geomagnetic activity was observed and recorded every minute during the survey in a local base-station, located close to the surveyed area.

Routine processing has been applied to the raw observations in order to provide data consistency: removal of the effect of external sources and base reduction.

As a result, a time-invariant $\Delta T$ as referred to the survey base-station was obtained. Finally, a residual geomagnetic anomaly was computed by removing a first-order polynomial trend from the observations, and $\Delta T_a$ geomagnetic maps were plotted (Fig. 3).

Modeling geomagnetic sources. Taking into consideration the pattern of the geomagnetic anomaly and some previous information on the study area, attempts for modeling the geomagnetic sources and their geological interpretation have been performed.

The software. The professional GM-SYS® software run on the Geosoft OASIS® platform has been used for 2D modeling along the survey lines. It is based on the methods proposed by [Talwani et al., 1959; Talwani, Heirtzler, 1964], and employs algorithms published by [Won, Bevis, 1987].

Rocks magnetic properties. Magnetic properties of the rocks in the area have been considered according to previous rock physics determinations [Besutiu, 1997; Besutiu, Nicolescu, 1999], to which additional determinations on outcrops samples were performed in the IG-NASU laboratory.

Table 1 shows some magnetic properties of the main geological formations within North Dobrogea and the study area.

Polarizing field. As Köenigsberger coefficient ($Q$) of the geological formations known in the study area generally shows small values, the induced magnetization model has been considered during the computation, with the following parameters for the polarising field:

- total intensity field $T = 48,500$ nT,
- geomagnetic inclination $I = 62^\circ$ N,
- geomagnetic declination $D = 3^\circ$ E.

Physical model. Two main aspects of the modeling should be stressed:

- to get a better fit between the observed and predicted anomaly, laterally extended geomagnetic source models (exceeding the survey line) were taken into consideration;
- due to the close vicinity of the survey lines, similar geomagnetic patterns, and, consequently, except for small lateral changes in geometry, rather similar models of the sources of the geomagnetic anomalies as showed in the followings were outlined along various lines.

Basically, following the trial & error process of 2D modeling along the survey lines the best fit has been obtained for the geometry and rock magnetic properties as illustrated in Fig. 4.

---

Fig. 4. Physical model for the source of the residual geomagnetic anomaly along the line P: 1 — observed field, 2 — predicted effect, 3 — magnetic body ID (see Table 2).
Table 1. Magnetic properties of the main geological formations of North Dobrogea and CCOB

| Geology                                           | Magnetic susceptibility range (10^{-6} CGSu) | Average Königsberger coefficient (Q) |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| **North Dobrogea**                                |                                            |                                       |
| Tulcea Unit                                       |                                            |                                       |
| Triassic basalts                                  | 278—450                                   | 0.41                                  |
| Dolerite (Marca)                                  | 189—252                                   |                                       |
| Quartzite diorite (Cazalgic Bair)                 | 682—1276                                  | 0.16                                  |
| Granite-diorite (Cazalgic Bair)                   | 88—162                                    | 0.15                                  |
| **Niculitel sub-unit**                            |                                            |                                       |
| Mafic rocks (basalt, spilite)                     | 539—1322                                  | 1.85                                  |
| Rhyolite (Isaccea)                                | 50—102                                    | 0.33                                  |
| **Consul sub-unit**                               |                                            |                                       |
| Rhyolite (P. Rosie, Consul)                       | 198—409                                   | 1.01                                  |
| weathered (Iulia)                                | 214—236                                   |                                       |
| highly weathered (Consul)                         | 13—36                                     | 1.25                                  |
| **Magin Unit**                                    |                                            |                                       |
| Dolerite (Galma)                                  | 270—496                                   | 0.64                                  |
| Dolerite (Macin—Hamcearca)                        | 765—1734                                  | 1.16                                  |
| Dolerite (Greci)                                  | 67—71                                     | 0.17                                  |
| Biotitic granite (Vacareni)                       | 248—465                                   | 7.11                                  |
| Biotitic granite (Pricopanu)                      | 9—15                                      | 0.25                                  |
| Leuco-granite (Macin-Coslugea)                    | 169—328                                   | 0.25                                  |
| Alkali-granite                                    | 797—1120                                  | 0.29                                  |
| Granodiorite (Greci)                              | 467—790                                   | 0.12                                  |
| Diorite (Greci/Vacareni)                          | 724—1428                                  | 16.68                                 |
| Contacts: Carapelit Fm/granite                    | 774—2056                                  | 0.22                                  |
| Boclugea series/granite                           | 1040—2740                                 | 5.59                                  |
| Megina crystalline series:                        |                                            |                                       |
| vulcanogen-felsic                                 | 78—158                                    | 5.47                                  |
| amphibolites                                     | 786—2464                                  | 2.82                                  |
| Orliga crystalline series                         | 144—629                                   | 2.05                                  |
| **CCOB**                                         |                                            |                                       |
| Quaternary-loess                                  | 0—15                                     | 0.5                                   |
| Episutural sedimentary cover                      |                                            |                                       |
| (limestone)                                       | 1—5                                      | 0.3                                   |
| Cirjelari Fm                                      | 1—10                                     | 0.2                                   |
| Baspunar Fm                                       | 1—13                                     | 0.3                                   |
| Aiorman Fm                                        | 0—11                                     | 0.1                                   |
| Camena rhyolite                                   | 30—120                                   | 0.7                                   |
| Baspunar spilite                                  | 100—300                                  | 1.5                                   |
| Cirjelari rhyolite                               | 50—150                                   | 0.8                                   |
| Diorite (dykes)                                   | 400—1200                                 | 1.8                                   |
| **Central Dobrogea**                             |                                            |                                       |
| Upper (low-grade) grauwacke                       | 80—230                                   | 0.5                                   |
| Infra (high-grade) grauwacke                      | 280—630                                  | 1.5                                   |
Overall, to predict the geomagnetic anomaly, several 2D magnetic bodies have been considered. Table 2 shows their magnetic susceptibilities along with the assumed geological significance.

**Geological interpretation.** Based on previously gathered tectonic knowledge and rock physics of the main geological formations occurring in the study area and neighbouring region, an attempt for interpreting the geomagnetic sources outlined by modeling has been made. The results are synthetically illustrated in Fig. 5. As previously mentioned, the interpretative geological cross-section laterally extends over the magnetic line in order to mitigate the effect of the signal truncation and side effects.

| Body ID | Magnetic susceptibility (CGSu) | Potential geological significance |
|---------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| C27     | 0.000150                      | Baspunar spilite                 |
| C26     | 0.000150                      | Baspunar spilite                 |
| C25     | 0.000150                      | Baspunar spilite                 |
| C24     | 0.000100                      | Baspunar spilite                 |
| C23     | 0.000150                      | Baspunar spilite                 |
| C22     | 0.000150                      | Baspunar spilite                 |
| C21     | 0.000150                      | Baspunar spilite                 |
| C20     | 0.000100                      | Camena rhyolite?/Baspunar spilite? |
| C19     | 0.000100                      | Camena rhyolite?/Baspunar spilite? |
| C18     | 0.000001                      | Sandy limestone (Cretaceous post-tectonic cover) |
| C17     | 0.000005                      | Camena Fm                        |
| C16     | 0.000030                      | Cirjelari rhyolite               |
| C15     | 0.000020                      | Triassic limestones (Uspenia Fm)  |
| C14     | 0.000000                      | Milonites/breccia (Baspunar melange) |
| C13     | 0.000080                      | Diorite (partly weathered)       |
| C12     | 0.000080                      | Diorite (partly weathered)       |
| C11     | 0.001100                      | Diorite (fresh)                  |
| C10     | 0.000500                      | Weathered diorites?/infrafracwacke? |
| C9      | 0.000470                      | Weathered diorites?/infrafracwacke? |
| C8      | 0.000580                      | Weathered diorites?/infrafracwacke? |
| C7      | 0.000050                      | Highly weathered zone (fault?/fissure?) |
| C6      | 0.000460                      | Weathered diorites?/infrafracwacke? |
| C5      | 0.000500                      | Infracwackeey                    |
| C4      | 0.000450                      | Infracwackeey                    |
| C3      | 0.000500                      | Infracwackeey                    |
| C2      | 0.002400                      | Lower grauwacke                  |
| C1      | 0.002000                      | Lower grauwacke                  |

Overall, the geological interpretation of the synthetic model has allowed outlining the PCF path by separating PCF flanks due to the general distinct geomagnetic behaviour of their different embedded geological formations (basically magnetic CD Proterozoic GSS versus non-magnetic ND Palaeozoic sedimentary).

But, the survey accuracy has also allowed discriminating some distinct layers with different magnetization within GSS, as well as the presence of some intrusive rocks (diorite dykes?) penetrating the geological formations.

On the other hand, basalt flows (Baspunar spilite) embedded within the Baspunar Fm (Jurassic and/or Triassic limestone) significantly complicate
the interpretation by locally increasing the geomagnetic behaviour of the respective sedimentary pile.

**Revealing the PCF track.** Taking into consideration the peculiarities of the geomagnetic field pattern over the two flanks of the PCF, and the results of the quantitative interpretation of the 2D modeling along the survey lines, PCF track could be clearly outlined in the areas covered by recent deposits except for some confined areas due to the insufficient westward extension of the survey lines Fig. 6.

**Geodynamic considerations.** One interesting aspect pointed out by the geomagnetic modeling has been the apparent lack of magnetic properties in the central compartment of the interpretative cross-section, located along the assumed PCF track.

This has been interpreted in terms of fragmentation of the PCF flanks, generating rock-debris through the abrasion of the fault flanks as a consequence of its active slip. Despite some initial individual magnetic properties, on the overall, elements of this compartment may not be reflected in the pattern of the geomagnetic anomaly due to the current of randomly distributed direction of magnetization of the breccias elements. Besides, water circulating within the contact zone accelerated the magnetic minerals weathering and, consequently, the loss/mitigation of original magnetic properties.

Fig. 6. PCF imprint in the pattern of the residual geomagnetic anomaly along various survey lines. Fault track is marked by the blue dashed zone.
Concluding remarks. Detailed high accuracy ground magnetic survey on a PCF segment located in the vicinity of BGD succeeded to outline the fault track and in-depth structure, based on the interpretation of some 2D models simulating sources of geomagnetic effects.

The active character of the fault has been indirectly revealed through the loose of magnetic behaviour in the contact area of the PCF flanks, where active slip produced a chaotic distribution of magnetization of the rock-debris.

Within the joint international effort of the Romanian and Ukrainian specialists, the experiment succeeded to demonstrate the potential of the old geomagnetic method for investigating structure and dynamics of some active faults.
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