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Abstract
We study the conflict-free connection coloring of trees, which is also the conflict-free coloring of the so-called edge-path hypergraphs of trees. We first prove that for a tree \( T \) of order \( n \), \( \text{cfc}(T) \geq \text{cfc}(P_n) = \lceil \log_2 n \rceil \), which completely confirms the conjecture of Li and Wu [24]. We then present a sharp upper bound for the conflict-free connection number of trees by a simple algorithm. Furthermore, we show that the conflict-free connection number of the binomial tree with \( 2^{k-1} \) vertices is \( k - 1 \). At last, we study trees which are \( \text{cfc} \)-critical, and prove that if a tree \( T \) is \( \text{cfc} \)-critical, then the conflict-free connection coloring of \( T \) is equivalent to the edge ranking of \( T \).
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1 Introduction
All graphs in this paper are undirected, simple and nontrivial. We follow Bondy and Murty (2008) for graph theoretical notation and terminology not described here. Let
Let $G$ be a graph. We use $V(G)$, $E(G)$, $n(G)$, $m(G)$, and $\Delta(G)$ to denote the vertex set, edge set, number of vertices (order of $G$), number of edges (size of $G$), and maximum degree of $G$, respectively. Let $N(v)$ denote the neighborhood of $v$ in $G$. Given two graphs $G_1$ and $G_2$, the union of $G_1$ and $G_2$, denoted by $G_1 \cup G_2$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and edge set $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2)$. A hypergraph $H$ is a pair $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{V}$ is the vertex set of $H$ and $\mathcal{E}$ is the hyperedge set which is a family of nonempty subsets of $\mathcal{V}$. The concept of hypergraphs is a generalization of graphs, because a graph is a hypergraph in which each hyperedge is a pair of vertices.

A vertex-coloring of a hypergraph $H = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ is called conflict-free if each hyperedge $e$ of $H$ has a vertex of unique color that does not get repeated in $e$. The smallest number of colors required for such a coloring is called the conflict-free chromatic number of $H$, and is denoted by $\chi_{cf}(H)$. This parameter was first introduced by Even et al. (2003), with an emphasis on hypergraphs induced by geometric shapes. The main application of a conflict-free coloring is that it models a frequency assignment for cellular networks. A cellular network consists of two kinds of nodes: base stations and mobile agents. Base stations have fixed positions and provide the backbone of the network, they are represented by vertices in $\mathcal{V}$. Mobile agents are the clients of the network and are served by base stations. This is done as follows: every base station has a fixed frequency, this is represented by the coloring $c$. If an agent wants to establish a link with a base station, it has to tune itself to this base station’s frequency. Since agents are mobile, they can be in the range of many different base stations. To avoid interference, the system must assign frequencies to base stations in the following way: for any range, there must be a base station in the range with a frequency that is not used by some other base station in the range. One can solve the problem by assigning $n$ different frequencies to the $n$ base stations. However, using many frequencies is expensive, and therefore a scheme that reuses frequencies, where possible, is preferable. Conflict-free coloring problems have been the subject of many recent papers due to their practical and theoretical interest. One can find many results on conflict-free coloring, see Bar-Noy et al. (2008), Chen et al. (2006), Elbassioni and Mustafa (2006), Har-Peled and Smorodinsky (2005), Pach and Tóth (2003), Smorodinsky (2013).

Some hypergraphs, which are induced from a given simple graph $G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ and its neighborhoods or its paths, have been studied with respect to the conflict-free coloring and are listed below:

(i) The vertex-neighborhood hypergraph $H_N(G) = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, which has been studied in Cheilaris (2009), Pach and Tardos (2009), is a hypergraph with $\mathcal{V}(H_N) = V(G)$ and $\mathcal{E}(H_N) = \{N_G(x)|x \in V(G)\}$.

(ii) The vertex-path hypergraph $H_{VP}(G) = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ is a hypergraph with $\mathcal{V}(H_{VP}) = V(G)$ and $\mathcal{E}(H_{VP}) = \{V(P)|P \text{ is a path of } G\}$. A conflict-free coloring of $H_{VP}(G)$ is called a conflict-free coloring of $G$ with respect to paths; we also define the corresponding graph chromatic number, $\chi_{cf}^{P}(G) = \chi_{cf}(H_{VP}(G))$. In Cheilaris and Tóth (2011), the authors proved that it is coNP-complete to decide whether a given vertex-coloring of a graph is conflict-free with respect to paths. And in Cheilaris et al. (2013), the authors studied the conflict-free coloring of tree graphs with respect to paths, and they showed that $\chi_{cf}^{P}(P_n) = \lceil \log_2(n + 1) \rceil$.  
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for a path $P_n$ on $n$ vertices, and $\chi^P_{cf}(B^*_{2(r+1)+3r}) \leq 4r + 2$ for the complete binary tree with $5r + 2$ levels.

(iii) The edge-path hypergraph $H_{EP}(G) = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ is a hypergraph with $\mathcal{V}(H_{EP}) = E(G)$ and $\mathcal{E}(H_{EP}) = \{E(P) \mid P \text{ is a path of } G \}$, which is studied in this paper.

Inspired by rainbow connection colorings (Li et al. 2013; Li and Sun 2012, 2017) and proper connection colorings (Li and Magnant 2015; Li et al. 2018) of graphs and conflict-free colorings of hypergraphs, Czap et al. (2018) introduced the concept of conflict-free connection colorings of graphs. An edge-colored graph $G$ is called conflict-free connected if each pair of distinct vertices is connected by a path which contains at least one color used on exactly one of its edges. This path is called a conflict-free path, and this coloring is called a conflict-free connection coloring of $G$. The conflict-free connection number of a connected graph $G$, denoted by $cfc(G)$, is the smallest number of colors required to color the edges of $G$ so that $G$ is conflict-free connected. A conflict-free connection coloring of a connected graph $G$ using $cfc(G)$ colors is called an optimal conflict-free connection coloring of $G$. It is easy to see that for a tree $T$, a conflict-free connection coloring of $T$ is a proper edge-coloring, and $cfc(T) \geq \chi'(T) = \Delta(T)$. Note that a conflict-free coloring of the edge-path hypergraph $H$ of a graph $G$ is a conflict-free connection coloring of $G$. The other way round is not true in general, since some pairs of vertices in a general graph $G$ may have more than one path between them. However, for any tree $T$, the conflict-free coloring of the edge-path hypergraph of $T$ is equivalent to the conflict-free connection coloring of $T$, i.e., $\chi_{cf}(H_{EP}(T)) = cfc(T)$, since every pair of vertices in $T$ has a unique path between them. In this paper, we study the conflict-free connection coloring of trees, which is also the conflict-free coloring of edge-path hypergraphs of trees.

2 Preliminaries

At first, we present some results on the conflict-free connection for general graphs.

**Lemma 2.1** [6] Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n$. Then $1 \leq cfc(G) \leq n - 1$. Moreover, $cfc(G) = 1$ if and only if $G = K_n$, and $cfc(G) = n - 1$ if and only if $G = K_{1,n-1}$.

A $k$-edge ranking of a connected graph $G$ is a labeling of its edges with labels $1, \ldots, k$ such that every path between two edges with the same label $i$ contains an edge with label $j > i$. The minimum number $k$ needed for a $k$-edge ranking of $G$ is called the edge ranking number and denoted by $rank(G)$. An edge ranking is optimal if it uses $rank(G)$ distinct labels. The edge ranking problem has been studied by a number of researchers as they found applications in different context (Bodlaender et al. 1998; Iyer et al. 1991; de la Torre et al. 1995). This problem is now known to be NP-hard for general graphs (Lam and Yue 1998). Nonetheless, in most applications, the graphs concerned are restricted to trees only, this initiates the study of edge ranking of trees.

With respect to trees, Iyer et al. (1991) first gave an approximation algorithm for finding an edge ranking with size at most twice the optimal. de la Torre et al. (1995) are
the first to devise a polynomial time algorithm for finding an optimal edge ranking, which takes $O(n^3 \log n)$ time. Then there are several other papers in the literature on developing faster algorithms for the edge ranking problem, and the best known algorithm requires linear time (Lam and Yue 2001).

**Lemma 2.2** (Lam and Yue 2001) An optimal edge ranking of a tree with $n$ vertices can be computed in $O(n)$ time.

In Czap et al. (2018), the authors gave the relationship between the conflict-free connection number and the edge ranking number of general graphs.

**Lemma 2.3** (Czap et al. 2018) If $G$ is a connected graph, then $\text{cfc}(G) \leq \text{rank}(G)$.

**Theorem 2.4** (Czap et al. 2018) If $G$ is a noncomplete 2-connected graph, then $\text{cfc}(G) = 2$.

In [6], the authors weaken the condition of the above theorem and got the following result.

**Theorem 2.5** [6] Let $G$ be a noncomplete 2-edge-connected graph. Then $\text{cfc}(G) = 2$.

Let $C(G)$ be the subgraph of $G$ induced by the set of cut-edges of $G$. It is easy to see that every component of $C(G)$ is a tree and hence $C(G)$ is a forest. Let $h(G) = \max\{\text{cfc}(T) : T$ is a component of $C(G)\}$. For a graph $G$ with cut-edges, the authors of Czap et al. (2018) gave lower and upper bounds of $\text{cfc}(G)$ in terms of $h(G)$.

**Theorem 2.6** (Czap et al. 2018) If $G$ is a connected graph with cut-edges, then $h(G) \leq \text{cfc}(G) \leq h(G) + 1$. Moreover, the bounds are sharp.

Recently, the authors in [6] gave a sufficient condition such that the lower bound is sharp for $h(G) \geq 2$.

**Theorem 2.7** [6] Let $G$ be a connected graph with $h(G) \geq 2$. If there exists a unique component $T$ of $C(G)$ satisfying (i) $\text{cfc}(T) = h(G)$, (ii) $T$ has an optimal conflict-free connection coloring such that one color is used only once, then $\text{cfc}(G) = h(G)$.

So, the problem of determining the value of $\text{cfc}(G)$ for a graph $G$ without bridges or cut-edges is completely solved. The remaining graphs all have cut-edges. Such extremal graphs are trees for which every edge is a cut-edge. And by the above theorem, to determine the conflict-free connection number of general graphs relies on determining the conflict-free connection number of trees, with an error of only one. Next, we present some known results on the conflict-free connection number of trees.

**Lemma 2.8** (Czap et al. 2018) If $P_n$ is a path on $n$ vertices, then $\text{cfc}(P_n) = \lceil \log_2 n \rceil$.

**Lemma 2.9** (Czap et al. 2018) If $T$ is a tree on $n$ vertices with maximum degree $\Delta(T) \geq 3$ and diameter $\text{diam}(T)$, then

$$\max\{\Delta(T), \log_2 \text{diam}(T)\} \leq \text{cfc}(T) \leq \frac{(\Delta(T) - 2) \log_2 n}{\log_2 \Delta(T) - 1}.$$
The following result indicates that when the maximum degree of a tree is large, the conflict-free connection number is immediately determined by its maximum degree.

**Theorem 2.10** [6] Let $T$ be a tree of order $n$, and $t$ be a positive number such that $t \leq \frac{n-2}{2}$. Then $cfc(T) = n - t$ if and only if $\Delta(T) = n - t \geq \frac{n+2}{2}$.

It is easy to obtain the following result for trees with diameter 3.

**Lemma 2.11** Let $S_{a,b}$ be a tree with diameter 3 such that the two non-leaf vertices have degrees $a$ and $b$, Then $cfc(S_{a,b}) = \Delta(S_{a,b})$.

**Proof** By Lemma 2.9, we have $cfc(S_{a,b}) \geq \Delta(S_{a,b}) = \max\{a, b\}$. It remains to prove the matching lower bound. Without loss of generality, we assume that the non-leaf vertex $u$ has maximum degree $a$ and the other non-leaf vertex $v$ has degree $b$. We provide an edge-coloring of $S_{a,b}$ with $a$ colors: assign $a$ distinct colors to the edges incident with $u$, then for the remaining edges, assign $b-1$ distinct used colors which do not contain the color on the edge $uv$. It is obvious that this is a conflict-free connection coloring of $S_{a,b}$. Thus, $cfc(S_{a,b}) \leq \Delta(S_{a,b}) = \max\{a, b\}$. □

Recently, Li and Wu proposed the following conjecture in [24].

**Conjecture 2.12** [24] For a tree $T$ of order $n$, $cfc(T) \geq cfc(P_n) = \lceil \log_2 n \rceil$.

**Definition 2.13** A tree $T$ is called $cfc$-critical if for every proper subtree $T'$ of $T$, $cfc(T') < cfc(T)$, which means that for every edge $e$ of $T$, any one of the (two) nontrivial components in $T - e$ has a conflict-free connection number less than $cfc(T)$. In addition, we say that a tree $T$ is called $k$-$cfc$-critical if $T$ is $cfc$-critical and $cfc(T) = k$.

Let us give an overview of the results of this paper. In Sect. 3, we present a sharp lower bound for the conflict-free connection number of trees, this completely confirms Conjecture 2.12. In Sect. 4, we give a sharp upper bound for the conflict-free connection number of trees by a simple algorithm we develop. Furthermore, we show that the conflict-free connection number of the binomial tree with $2^{k-1}$ vertices is $k - 1$. In Sect. 5, we study trees which are $cfc$-critical, and prove that if a tree $T$ is $cfc$-critical, then the conflict-free connection coloring of $T$ is equivalent to the edge ranking of $T$. At last, open problems are presented in Sect. 6.

### 3 The lower bound

In order to obtain a lower bound for the conflict-free connection number of trees, we define a new kind of edge-colorings of graphs, which is regarded as the generalization of the conflict-free connection colorings of graphs.

**Definition 3.1** Let $G$ be a nontrivial connected graph with an edge-coloring. A path in $G$ is called an odd path if there is a color that occurs an odd number of times on the edges of the path. An edge-colored graph $G$ is called odd connected if any two
distinct vertices of $G$ are connected by an odd path, and this coloring is called an odd connection coloring of $G$. For a connected graph $G$, the minimum number of colors that are required in order to make $G$ odd connected is called the odd connection number of $G$, denoted by $oc(G)$.

It is easy to see that every conflict-free connection coloring of $G$ is an odd connection coloring, which implies the following easy result.

**Proposition 3.2** Let $G$ be a connected graph, then $oc(G) \leq cfc(G)$.

The parity vector below is a very useful tool to study the odd connection coloring of graphs.

**Definition 3.3** Given an edge-coloring $c : E \rightarrow \{1, \ldots, k\}$ of $G$ and a path $P$ of $G$, the parity vector of $P$ is an element of $\{0, 1\}^k$ in which the $i$-th coordinate equals the parity (0 for even, or 1 for odd) of the number of edges in $P$ with color $i$.

It is clear that an edge-colored graph $G$ is odd connected if and only if any two distinct vertices of $G$ are connected by a path whose parity vector is not the all-zero vector. Now we are ready to give the proof of our main result of this section. The idea in the following lemma was also used in Cheilaris et al. (2013) for the vertex-coloring case and we show here how to transfer this idea to the edge-coloring.

**Lemma 3.4** Let $T$ be a tree of order $n$. Then $oc(T) \geq \lceil \log_2 n \rceil$.

**Proof** Let $c$ be an odd connection coloring of $T$ with $oc(T)$ colors. Take $n - 1$ distinct paths each starting from a fixed leaf vertex of $T$ to the other $n - 1$ vertices. First, we claim that any two of these $n - 1$ paths have distinct parity vectors. Assume, to the contrary, two of them, say $P_1$ and $P_2$, have the same parity vector $a$. Let $E'$ be the symmetric difference of $E(P_1)$ and $E(P_2)$. Then the subgraph induced by $E'$ is also a path $P'$. Notice that the parity vector $a'$ of $P'$ is $a + a = 0$, as the colors in $E(P_1) \cap E(P_2)$ are counted twice, which cannot change the value of $a'$. So, on the path $P'$ each color appears an even number of times, a contradiction. Thus, there are $n - 1$ distinct parity vectors, none of which is the all-zero vector. On the other hand, the number of non-zero parity vectors is at most $2^{oc(T)} - 1$, which implies that $2^{oc(T)} - 1 \geq n - 1$, and hence $oc(T) \geq \lceil \log_2 n \rceil$. $\square$

The following result is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 2.8 and 3.4 and Proposition 3.2, which completely confirms Conjecture 2.12.

**Theorem 3.5** Let $T$ be a tree of order $n$. Then $cfc(T) \geq cfc(P_n) = \lceil \log_2 n \rceil$.

### 4 An algorithm for the upper bound

At the very beginning of this section, we give the following concept.

**Definition 4.1** Let $T$ be a tree. An edge $e$ of $T$ is called balanced if the difference of the sizes of two resulting subtrees of $T - e$ is minimum among the edges of $G$. 
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Fig. 1 An example of how the algorithm in the proof of
Theorem 4.5 finds the balanced
edge \( e_0 \) whose removal firstly
separates \( u \) and \( v \) into different
generated subtrees

We below present an algorithm for constructing a conflict-free connection coloring of a given tree. This algorithm starts from the single connected component \( T \), and in each iteration, removes one balanced edge from each generated subtree of \( T \) to split it into two generated subtrees, until all the generated subtrees become singletons as illustrated in Fig. 1.

For convenience, the depth of a tree \( T \), denoted by \( d(T) \), is defined as the number of the iterations of the following algorithm; the depth of an edge \( e \) in \( T \), denoted by \( d(e) \), is defined as the sequence number of the iteration that deletes \( e \). That is, the edges deleted in the \( d \)-th iteration are the ones with depth \( d \).

Algorithm 1 for conflict-free connection coloring of a tree

**Input:** A tree \( T = (V, E) \).

**Output:** A conflict-free connection coloring \( c \) of \( T \).

**Step 1:** Initialization: set \( F = T, c : E \to \{0\} \).

**Step 2:** Determine whether there exists a component which has more than one vertex in \( F \). If so, go to Step 3; otherwise, go to Step 4.

**Step 3:** Choose all the components which have more than one vertex, and then delete a balanced edge \( e \) from each of these components to get new components which form a forest \( F' \). Replace \( F \) by \( F' \). Update \( c \): color the removed edges \( e \) with \( d(e) \). Go to Step 2.

**Step 4:** Return \( c \).

**Lemma 4.2** Let \( T \) be a tree of order \( n \). Then \( \max \{\Delta(T), \lceil \log_2 n \rceil \} \leq d(T) \leq n - 1 \).

**Proof** It is obvious that \( d(T) \leq n - 1 \). And since we remove only one balanced edge from a generated subtree of \( T \) in Algorithm 1, it follows that edges in the same depth are not adjacent, which means \( d(T) \geq \Delta(T) \). Thus, we only need to prove \( d(T) \geq \lceil \log_2 n \rceil \). Note that the number of edges with depth \( i \) is at most \( 2^{i-1} \). Algorithm 1 is not terminated until all the generated subtrees become singletons. It follows that \( m(T) \leq 2^0 + 2^1 + \ldots + 2^{d(T)-1} = 2^{d(T)} - 1 \), which implies \( d(T) \geq \lceil \log_2 n \rceil \). \( \square \)

Note that the choice of the balanced edge of each generated subtree is unique for \( K_{1,n-1} \) and \( S_{a,n-a} \) by symmetry in Algorithm 1, and it is easy to check that

\( \square \) Springer
\[d(K_{1,n-1}) = n - 1 \text{ and } d(S_{a,n-a}) = \Delta(S_{a,n-a}).\] Next, we study the depths of other trees. Obviously, the path \(P_n\) has the same property as \(K_{1,n-1}\) and \(S_{a,n-a}\).

**Lemma 4.3** Let \(P_n\) be a path on \(n\) vertices. Then \(d(P_n) = \lceil \log_2 n \rceil\).

**Proof** By Lemma 4.2, we have \(d(P_n) \geq \lceil \log_2 n \rceil\). Thus, it remains to verify the matching lower bound. We use induction on \(n\). The statement is evidently true for \(n = 1\) and \(n = 2\). Let \(P_n\) be a path on \(n\) vertices. Then we delete a central edge from \(P_n\). The resulting paths \(P\) and \(P'\) have at most \(\lceil n/2 \rceil\) vertices. Therefore, by the induction hypothesis, \(\max\{d(P), d(P')\} \leq \lceil \log_2 n/2 \rceil\). Thus, \(d(P_n) \leq 1 + \max\{d(P), d(P')\} \leq \lceil \log_2 n \rceil\). \(\square\)

The (rooted) binomial tree \(B_k\) with \(2^k-1\) vertices is defined as follows: \(B_1\) is a single vertex; for \(k > 1\), \(B_k\) consists of two disjoint copies of \(B_{k-1}\) and an edge between their two roots, where the root of \(B_k\) is the root of the first copy. These trees are used in Borowiecki et al. (2011), Gregor and Šrekovski (2012). The binomial tree \(B_k\) is another tree class for which the choice of the balanced edge of each generated subtree is unique in Algorithm 1.

**Lemma 4.4** Let \(B_k\) be the binomial tree with \(2^k-1\) vertices for \(k \geq 2\). Then \(d(B_k) = k - 1\).

**Proof** By Lemma 4.2, we have \(d(B_k) \geq \lceil \log_2 n \rceil = k - 1\). For the converse, we use induction on \(k\). Noticing that \(B_2\) is a path of order 2, it follows that the result holds trivially for \(k = 2\). Suppose that the result holds for \(B_{k-1}\) for \(k \geq 3\). Let \(B_k\) be the binomial tree with \(2^k-1\) vertices, it follows that \(B_k\) consists of two disjoint copies of \(B_{k-1}\) and an edge \(e_0\) between their two roots. We delete the edge \(e_0\) from \(B_k\). Therefore, by the induction hypothesis, \(d(B_{k-1}) \leq k - 2\). Thus, \(d(B_k) \leq 1 + d(B_{k-1}) \leq k - 1\). \(\square\)

The correctness of Algorithm 1 is confirmed by the following theorem, and this gives a sharp upper bound for the conflict-free connection number of trees. Note that for general trees, the choice of the balanced edge of each generated subtree may not be unique in Algorithm 1, which implies that there exist many priorities of removing edges of \(T\).

**Theorem 4.5** Algorithm 1 constructs a conflict-free connection coloring of a given tree \(T\). Moreover, \(cfc(T) \leq \min\{d(T) \mid d(T) \text{ is the depth of } T \text{ by a certain priority of removal edges of } T \text{ in Algorithm 1}\}\), where all possible priorities of removing edges of \(T\) are taken.

**Proof** It is sufficient to show that there exists a conflict-free path for each pair of distinct vertices \(u, v\) of \(T\) under the coloring given by Algorithm 1. Since a path consisting of a single edge is always conflict-free, we may assume that \(u\) and \(v\) are not adjacent. Let \(e_0\) be the balanced edge whose removal firstly separates \(u\) and \(v\) into different generated subtrees (see Fig. 1). Since the color of \(e_0\) on the path between \(u\) and \(v\) is minimal and unique, it follows that the path between \(u\) and \(v\) is conflict-free. Thus, this is a conflict-free connection coloring of \(T\), which implies that the result holds. \(\square\)
Remark 1 Algorithm 1 provides an optimal conflict-free connection coloring for the path, the star, the tree with diameter 3, and the binomial tree which is proved below. These imply that the upper bound in Theorem 4.5 is sharp for some classes of graphs.

Let \( D(T) = \min\{d(T) \mid d(T) \text{ is the depth of } T \text{ in Algorithm 1}\} \), where all possible priorities of removing edges of \( T \) are taken. Let \( A_k \) be the graph obtained from \( K_{1,k} \) and \( P_{2^k - 1} \) by identifying a leaf vertex in \( K_{1,k} \) with an end vertex in \( P_{2^k - 1} \) for sufficiently large \( k \). It is not hard to see that \( cfc(A_k) = k \), but \( k < D(A_k) < 2k \). For general trees, we propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 4.6 For a given tree \( T \), \( D(T) < 2cfc(T) \).

Next, we determine the conflict-free connection number of the binomial tree. Combining Theorems 3.5 and 4.5 and Lemma 4.4, we get the following conclusion.

Theorem 4.7 Let \( B_k \) be the binomial tree with \( 2^k - 1 \) vertices, then \( cfc(B_k) = k - 1 \).

Recall that the complete binary tree \( B^*_k \) has \( k \) levels and \( 2^k - 1 \) vertices. It is not difficult to prove by induction that \( B^*_k \subseteq B_{2^k-1} \), and so we get the following corollary.

Corollary 4.8 Let \( B^*_k \) be the complete binary tree with \( k \) levels. Then \( k \leq cfc(B^*_k) \leq 2k - 2 \).

Proof Since \( B^*_k \) has \( 2^k - 1 \) vertices, it follows that \( cfc(B^*_k) \geq \lceil \log_2(2^k - 1) \rceil = k \) by Theorem 3.5. We only need to prove the upper bound. Since \( B^*_k \subseteq B_{2^k-1} \), it follows that a conflict-free connection coloring of \( B_{2^k-1} \) restricted on the edges of \( B^*_k \) is conflict-free connected. Thus, \( cfc(B^*_k) \leq cfc(B_{2^k-1}) = 2k - 2 \) by Theorem 4.7.

5 cfc-critical

The following theorem indicates that when a tree \( T \) is cfc-critical, the conflict-free connection coloring of \( T \) is equivalent to the edge ranking of \( T \).

Theorem 5.1 Let \( T \) be a cfc-critical tree, then \( cfc(T) = rank(T) \).

Proof By Lemma 2.3, we obtain that \( cfc(T) \leq rank(T) \). It remains to verify the converse. We use induction on the order of \( T \). Let \( v_0 \) be a leaf vertex of \( T \), and \( e_0 \) be the unique edge incident with \( v_0 \) in \( T \). Observe that \( rank(T) \leq rank(T - v_0) + 1 \). By the induction hypothesis, we have that \( rank(T - v_0) \leq cfc(T - v_0) \). Since \( T \) is cfc-critical, it follows that \( cfc(T - v_0) = cfc(T) - 1 \). Thus, \( rank(T) \leq rank(T - v_0) + 1 \leq cfc(T - v_0) + 1 = cfc(T) \).

Remark 2 It is worth mentioning that there exist trees \( T \) such that \( cfc(T) < rank(T) \), such an example is shown in Fig. 2.

The following result is an immediate corollary of Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 5.1.

Corollary 5.2 An optimal conflict-free connection coloring of a cfc-critical tree with \( n \) vertices can be computed in \( O(n) \) time.
Next, we give some sufficient conditions such that a tree is $k$-cfc-critical. The following two results are trivially obtained by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.8.

**Proposition 5.3** The star $K_{1,k}$ of order $k+1$ is $k$-cfc-critical.

**Proposition 5.4** The path $P_{2k-1+1}$ on $2k-1+1$ vertices is $k$-cfc-critical.

Next, we construct two other kinds of trees which are $k$-cfc-critical for every integer $k \geq 2$.

**Theorem 5.5** Let $Q_k$ be the graph obtained from two copies of $K_{1,k-1}$ with $k \geq 2$ by identifying a leaf vertex in one copy with a leaf vertex in the other copy. Then $Q_k$ is $k$-cfc-critical.

**Proof** Since $Q_k$ is a path on $2k-1+1$ vertices for $k = 2, 3$, it follows that the result holds by Proposition 5.4. Next, we may assume that $k \geq 4$. Note that $Q_k$ is a tree of order $2k-1$ with diameter 4. Let $w$ be the only vertex of degree 2, which is adjacent to $u$ and $v$, and let $N(u) = \{w, u_1, \ldots, u_{k-2}\}$ and $N(v) = \{w, v_1, \ldots, v_{k-2}\}$ (see Fig. 3).

We first show that $cfc(Q_k) = k$. Suppose that there exists a conflict-free connection coloring of $Q_k$ with at most $k - 1$ colors. It follows that all the edges incident with each of $u$ and $v$ are assigned distinct colors. Without loss of generality, we assume that the color of the edge $uw$ is $c_i$ and the color of the edge $vw$ is $c_j$. Thus, there exists a path of length 4 having the color sequence $c_i, c_j, c_i, c_j$, a contradiction. Thus, $cfc(Q_k) \geq k$. Next we define an edge-coloring of $Q_k$ with $k$ colors: assign $k$ distinct colors to $vw$ and all the edges incident with $u$, then for the remaining edges assign $k - 2$ distinct used colors, none of which is the color on the edge $vw$. It is obvious that $Q_k$ is conflict-free connected under the coloring. Thus, $cfc(Q_k) = k$.

Next, we prove that $Q_k$ is $k$-cfc-critical. It suffices to show that for every edge $e$ of $Q_k$, each nontrivial component in $Q_k - e$ has a conflict-free connection number.
less than \( k \). Suppose that \( e \) is one of the edges \( uw \) and \( vw \). Then the resulting subtrees of \( Q_k - e \) are \( K_{1,k-2} \) and \( K_{1,k-1} \). It follows that the result holds by Lemma 2.1. Suppose that \( e \) is a pendent edge of \( Q_k \). We may assume that \( e = uu_i \) (for some \( i \) with \( 1 \leq i \leq k - 2 \)) is incident with \( u \) by symmetry. Notice that the resulting subtrees in \( Q_k - e \) are a singleton \( \{u_i\} \) and \( Q_k - u_i \). We provide an edge-coloring of \( Q_k - u_i \) as follows: color edges incident with \( v \) using \( k - 1 \) distinct colors, and color edges incident with \( u \) using \( k - 2 \) distinct used colors, which do not contain the color on the edge \( vw \). It can be checked that this is a conflict-free connection coloring of \( Q_k - u_i \) with \( k - 1 \) colors. Thus, \( \text{cfc}(Q_k - u_i) \leq k - 1 \), and so each nontrivial component in \( Q_k - e \) has a conflict-free connection number less than \( k \) for every edge \( e \) of \( Q_k \). \( \square \square \)

**Lemma 5.6** Let \( R_k \) be the graph obtained from \( K_{1,k-1} \) and \( P_{2k-2+1} \) with \( k \geq 2 \) by identifying a leaf vertex in \( K_{1,k-1} \) with an end vertex in \( P_{2k-2+1} \). Then \( \text{cfc}(R_k) = k \).

**Proof** Firstly, we prove that \( \text{cfc}(R_k) \geq k \). Note that \( R_2 \) is a path on 3 vertices, and \( \text{cfc}(R_2) = 2 > 1 \). Then we focus on \( k \geq 3 \). Assume to the contrary that there is a \( R_k \) such that \( \text{cfc}(R_k) \leq k - 1 \), and let \( k_0 \) be the minimum \( k \) with such property. Suppose that \( T_1 \) is the copy of \( K_{1,k_0-1} \) in \( R_{k_0} \), \( T_2 \) is the copy of \( P_{2k_0-2+1} \) in \( R_{k_0} \) that has one common leaf vertex with \( T_1 \). Let \( V(T_1) = \{u, w, u_1, \ldots, u_{k_0-2}\} \) and \( V(T_2) = \{w = v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{2k_0-2}\} \), where \( u \) is the only vertex of maximum degree \( k_0 - 1 \) in \( T_1 \), \( v_i v_{i+1} \) is an edge of \( T_2 \) for \( i = 0, \ldots, 2k_0-2-1 \), and \( V(T_1) \cap V(T_2) = w \) (see Fig. 4). Let \( c \) be a conflict-free connection coloring of \( R_{k_0} \) with \( k_0 - 1 \) colors. Then we present the following claim.

**Claim 1** There exist exactly two colors \( c_1, c_2 \) each of which is used on exactly one of the edges of \( T_2 \).

**Proof of Claim 1** To make \( T_2 \) conflict-free connected, it needs \( k_0 - 1 \) colors by Lemma 2.8, and there exists one color \( c_1 \) used on exactly one of the edges of \( T_2 \). Suppose that there exists a unique color \( c_1 \) used on exactly one of the edges of \( T_2 \). Note that the edges of \( T_1 \) are colored with \( k_0 - 1 \) colors. We assume that \( uu_i \) (or \( uu \)) colored with \( c_1 \) for some \( i \) with \( 1 \leq i \leq k_0 - 2 \). It follows that there is no conflict-free path from \( v_{2k_0-2} \) to \( u_i \) (or \( u \)), which is a contradiction. Thus, there exist at least two colors \( c_1, c_2 \) each of which is used on exactly one of the edges of \( T_2 \). Suppose that there exists another color \( c_3 \) used on exactly one of the edges of \( T_2 \). Without loss of generality, assume that the edge colored with \( c_1 \) appears between the edges colored with \( c_2, c_3 \).
Then we can get a conflict-free connection coloring of $T_2$ with $k_0 - 2$ colors obtained from the above coloring $c$ by replacing $c_3$ with $c_2$, which is impossible. Thus, there exist exactly two colors $c_1, c_2$ each of which is used on exactly one of the edges of $T_2$.

\[ \square \]

**Claim 2** The color on the edge $uw$ is neither $c_1$ nor $c_2$.

**Proof of Claim 2** By contradiction. Without loss of generality, assume that the color on the edge $uw$ is $c_1$, and the color on the edge $uu_\ell$ is $c_2$ for some $\ell$ with $1 \leq \ell \leq k_0 - 2$. Then there is no conflict-free path from $v_{2k_0-2}$ to $u_\ell$, which is a contradiction.

Let $T'_2$ be the subpath resulting from the removal of the two edges with the colors $c_1, c_2$ in $T_2$ such that $v_{2k_0-2} \in T'_2$. Since the edges of $T'_2$ are colored with at most $k_0 - 3$ colors, it follows that $n(T'_2) \leq 2^{k_0-3}$ by Theorem 3.5. Let $T''_2$ be the subpath starting from $w$ with order $2^{k_0-3} + 1$ in $T_2 - V(T'_2)$, and $T'_1$ be the subtree obtained from $T_1$ by deleting the edge with color $c_2$, where the edge of $T_2$ with color $c_1$ is closer to $w$ than the edge with color $c_2$. It is obtained that $T' = T'_1 \cup T''_2$ is exactly $R_{k_0-1}$, and the coloring $c$ restricted on the edges of $T'$ is a conflict-free connection coloring with $k_0 - 2$ colors. It follows that $cfc(R_{k_0-1}) \leq k_0 - 2$, which contradicts the minimality of $k_0$. Thus, $cfc(R_k) \geq k$. And we provide an edge-coloring of $R_k$ with $k$ colors: color the edges of $T_3 - w$ with $k - 2$ distinct colors $1, \ldots, k - 2$ such that it is conflict-free connected, and color the remaining edges of $R_k$ with $k$ distinct colors $1, \ldots, k$ such that the color on the edge $uv_1$ is $k$. It is easy to see that $R_k$ is conflict-free connected under the coloring. Thus, $cfc(R_k) = k$.

\[ \square \]

**Theorem 5.7** Let $R_k$ be the graph obtained from $K_{1,k-1}$ and $P_{2^{k-2}+1}$ with $k \geq 2$ by identifying a leaf vertex in $K_{1,k-1}$ with an end vertex in $P_{2^{k-2}+1}$. Then $R_k$ is $k$-cfc-critical.

**Proof** By Lemma 5.6, we have $cfc(R_k) = k$. We only need to prove that for every edge $e$ of $R_k$, each nontrivial component in $R_k - e$ has a conflict-free connection number less than $k$. If $k = 2$, then $R_2$ is a path on 3 vertices, and the result holds by Proposition 5.4. Thus, we assume that $k \geq 3$. Suppose that $T_1$ is the copy of $K_{1,k-1}$ in $R_k$, $T_2$ is the copy of $P_{2^{k-2}+1}$ in $R_k$ that has one common leaf vertex with $T_1$. Let $V(T_1) = \{u, w, u_1, \ldots, u_{k-2}\}$ and $V(T_2) = \{w = v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{2^{k-2}}\}$, where $u$ is the only vertex of maximum degree $k - 1$ in $T_1$, $v_i v_{i+1}$ is an edge of $T_2$ for $i = 0, \ldots, 2^{k-2} - 1$, and $V(T_1) \cap V(T_2) = w$. In order to obtain our result, we distinguish the following three cases.

**Case 1.** $e = uw$.

Note that the resulting subtrees in $R_k - e$ are $K_{1,k-2}$ and $P_{2^{k-2}+1}$. Thus, $R_k$ is $k$-cfc-critical by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.8.

**Case 2.** $e = uu_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq k - 2$).

It is obtained that the resulting subtrees in $R_k - e$ are a singleton $\{u_i\}$ and $R_k - u_i$. We provide an edge-coloring of $R_k - u_i$: color the edges of $T_2 - w$ with $k - 2$ distinct colors $1, \ldots, k - 2$ such that it is conflict-free connected, and color the remaining edges with $k - 1$ distinct colors $1, \ldots, k - 1$ such that the color on the edge $uv_1$ is $k - 1$. It can be checked that $R_k - u_i$ is conflict-free connected under the coloring. Thus, $cfc(R_k - u_i) \leq k - 1$, which implies that $R_k$ is $k$-cfc-critical.
**Case 3.** $e = v_i v_{i+1}$ ($0 \leq i \leq 2^{k-2} - 1$).

Let $T'$ and $T''$ be the resulting subtrees in $R_k - e$, where $u \in T'$. Obviously, $cfc(T'') \leq k - 2$. For $T'$, we define an edge-coloring as follows: color the edges on the subpath from $w$ to $v_i$ with $k-2$ colors $1, \ldots, k-2$ such that the subpath is conflict-free connected, and color the remaining edges of $T'$ with $k-1$ distinct colors $1, \ldots, k-1$ such that the color on the edge $uw$ is $k-1$. It is obtained that this is a conflict-free connection coloring of $T'$. Thus, $cfc(T') \leq k - 1$, and so $R_k$ is $k$-$cfc$-critical. 

\[\square\]

### 6 Discussion and open problems

In Czap et al. (2018), the authors proposed the following problem.

**Problem 6.1** For a given connected graph $G$ determine its conflict-free connection number.

The first step to resolve the above problem would be to determine the conflict-free connection number of trees.

**Problem 6.2** What is the complexity to compute $cfc(T)$ for a given tree $T$?
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