TrmB is an α-glucoside-sensing transcriptional regulator controlling two operons encoding maltose/trehalose and maltodextrin ABC transporters of *Pyrococcus furiosus*. The crystal structure of an N-terminal truncated derivative of TrmB (amino acids 2–109 deleted; TrmBΔ2–109) was solved at 1.5 Å resolution. This protein has lost its DNA binding domain but has retained its sugar recognition site. The structure represents a novel sugar-binding fold. TrmBΔ2–109 bound maltose, glucose, sucrose, and maltotriose, exhibiting $K_d$ values of 6.8, 25, 34, and 160 μM, respectively. TrmBΔ2–109 behaved as a monomer in dilute buffer solution in contrast to the full-length protein, which is a dimer. Co-crystallization with bound maltose identified a binding site involving seven amino acid residues: Ser229, Asn230, Gly232, Met235, Val236, Ile237, and Glu326. Six of these residues interact with the nonreducing glucosyl residue of maltose. The nonreducing glucosyl residue is shared by all substrates bound to TrmB, suggesting it as a common recognition motif.

Gene expression in archaea (1–4) relies on a eukaryotic-like transcription machinery and eukaryotic-like promoter elements but bacterial-like regulatory transcription factors (4–8). Several archaeal transcription regulators have been reported (9). One type is homologous to the Lrp/AsnC family (10). Lsr14 and LysM1 have been identified in the hyperthermophilic crenarchaeon *Sulfolobus solfataricus* (11, 12), LrpA in the hyperthermophilic euryarchaeon *Pyrococcus furiosus* (10), and Ptr1 and Ptr2 in *Methanococcus jannaschii* (13). NrpR, a repressor of *nif* expression in *Methanococcus maripaludis* has been shown to recognize two tandem operators and to be released from binding by 2-oxoglutarate (14). Others are the metal-dependent regulator (MDR1) from *Archaeoglobus fulgidus* (15), a tryptophan-sensitive transcription regulator TrpY from *Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus* (16), a heat shock gene regulator (Phr) from *P. furiosus* (17), and TrmB for the trehalose/maltose ABC transporter from *Thermococcus litoralis* and *P. furiosus* (18, 19).

TrmB is the transcriptional repressor for the gene cluster encoding the trehalose/maltose ABC transporter in hyperthermophilic archaea *T. litoralis* and *P. furiosus* (18). This gene cluster is identical in both species (20) and consists of the genes *malE*, *malF*, *malG*, *treT*, *trmB*, and *malK* (21–23). The DNA binding sites for TrmB overlap the BRE-TATA box of the *malE* operon. TrmB also binds to an inverted repeat sequence upstream of the BRE-TATA box and in front of *frk*, a gene divergently oriented to *malE* and encoding an ATP-dependent fructokinase (24). The transcriptional repression of the *malE* operon by TrmB in an *in vitro* transcription assay is overcome by the substrates of the trehalose/maltose ABC transporter (i.e., maltose and trehalose) (18). Recently, a second operon in *P. furiosus* encoding an ABC transporter for maltodextrins was identified (25). The gene cluster encoding this transporter of *P. furiosus* consists of *mdxE* encoding the substrate-bind- ing protein (PF1938), *mdxG* encoding the two transmembrane permeases (PF1937 and 1936), *pulA* encoding amylopullulanase (PF1935), and *mdxK* encoding the ATP-hydrolyzing protein of the transporter (PF1933). MdxE, carrying the substrate recognition site of the transporter, has been crystallized with bound maltotriose (26). Thus, the maltodextrin ABC transporter is distinct in its substrate specificity from the trehalose/maltose ABC transporter, which recognizes maltose and trehalose but not maltodextrins. Recently, we found that TrmB also controls the *mdxE* operon of *P. furiosus* as a transcriptional repressor and showed that repression is abolished by maltotriose and sucrose but not by maltose or trehalose (19). Therefore, TrmB appears to act as a bifunctional transcription regulator acting on two different promoters and being differentially controlled by binding to different sugars. We constructed a truncated form of TrmB in which the N-terminal DNA binding domain (amino acids 2–109) is deleted. In contrast to the full-length protein (which is dimeric) the truncated form behaved as a monomer during molecular sieve chromatography. Its binding affinity for the various substrates was increased, but its sigmoidal binding characteristic toward maltose could no longer be observed. Here, we report the crystal structure of the truncated form of TrmB. The structure contains bound maltose and represents a novel form of a sugar binding fold. Since the binding of the different sugars results in differential operator recognition, they will elicit differential conformational changes in the intact repressor protein.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

*Construction of Truncated TrmB Proteins—*All truncated TrmB mutants were cloned into pCS19, resulting in C-terminal His tag versions. We used 5′ target sequence primers containing an NcoI site (CCATGG) and a 3′ end encompassing the sequence primer of TrmB containing the BamHI site. PCR products and vector plasmid were digested with NcoI and BamHI and were ligated by T4 ligase. The resulting plasmids containing the target inserts began with methionine as the first translated codon within the NcoI site. The constructed plasmids were called pSL189, pSL190, and pSL191 for Δ2–30, Δ2–59, and Δ2–109, respectively. We transformed the constructed plasmids into *Escherichia coli* SF120 competent cells and purified the three mutants truncated in the N-terminal DNA binding domain, Δ2–30, Δ2–59, and Δ2–109 TrmB, by Ni<sup>2+</sup>-NTA<sub>2</sub> affinity chromatography.

*Site-directed Mutation Analysis in the Sugar Binding Motif of TrmB—*We prepared coupled primers (codon and noncodon strands of the same length) containing a target site mutation in the middle position (MWG Biotech). 100 ng of plasmid DNA encoding TrmBΔ2–109 was
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used as template DNA for PCR with 20 pmol of both primers, 0.2 mM dNTP mixture, and 1.5 units of Pwo polymerase. The PCR protocol was 94 °C for 30 min, 55 °C for 45 min, and a long extension phase at 72 °C for 12 min. 16 cycles were performed. PCR products were purified by the Qiagen extraction kit. The eluted DNA samples were directly digested by DpnI for selective degradation of methylated template DNA for 1 h at 37 °C. DNAs were directly transformed into the E. coli SF120 competent cells. The transformants were selected on ampicillin (100 µg/ml)-containing LB plates. The plasmid DNAs were prepared by a plasmid mini-prep kit (Qiagen). All site-directed mutations were checked by sequencing analysis (GATC Biotech, Konstanz, Germany).

**Protein Purification and Molecular Sieve Chromatography**—For the expression of truncated TrmB and the site-directed mutation proteins derived from it, cells were grown in 1 liter of NZA medium (10 g of NZ-amine A, 5 g of yeast extract, 7.5 g of NaCl/liter) and 100 µg of ampicillin/ml at 30 °C. 0.2 mM isopropyl 1-thio-β-d-galactopyranoside was added after the culture had reached OD 0.7 at 578 nm. Cells were grown for an additional 5 h at 37 °C and harvested by centrifugation. The pellet was resuspended in 20 ml of Ni2+-NTA affinity solution (buffer I; 50 mM sodium phosphate, 300 mM NaCl, pH 7.5), ruptured in a French pressure cell at 16,000 p.s.i., and centrifuged for 30 min at 17,300 × g. The supernatant was heated to 80 °C for 10 min. After centrifugation of the precipitated proteins, the supernatant was loaded onto a Ni2+-NTA superflow column from Qiagen equilibrated with buffer I. The column was washed with 50 mM imidazole and eluted with 250 mM imidazole, both in the same buffer. Protein-containing fractions were pooled and dialyzed against 200 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 8.0. TrmB17,300 was extremely well induced by 0.2 mM isopropyl 1-thio-β-d-galactopyranoside and remained soluble during incubation at 80 °C, allowing for removal of E. coli proteins. The final concentration of pure TrmB17,300 was about 1.0 mg/ml. It was kept frozen at −70 °C.

For molecular sieve chromatography of TrmB17,300, we used Superdex 200 HR10/30 (Amersham Biosciences) with equilibration buffer, 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 300 mM KCl, and 0.4 ml/min as the rate of elution. Despite the fact that wild type TrmB is retained by a Superdex column (19), the truncated protein was successfully separated by this type of material. The column was calibrated by molecular mass standards: chymotrypsinogen A, 25 kDa; ovalbumin, 43 kDa; bovine serum albumin, 67 kDa; and aldolase, 158 kDa (Amersham Biosciences). Native molecular weight was calculated by comparison with standard marker proteins.

**Protein Purification Prior to Crystallization Experiments**—Cells were grown as described above, but the cell pellet was resuspended in running buffer consisting of 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, and 50 mM imidazole. Cells were ruptured twice in a French pressure cell at 11,000 p.s.i. and subsequently centrifuged for 30 min at 50,000 × g. The supernatant was incubated at 80 °C for 20 min, and after centrifuging for 60 min at 100,000 × g, the supernatant was loaded onto an Ni2+-NTA superflow column from Qiagen equilibrated with running buffer. After it was loaded, the column was washed with running buffer and eluted with elution buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole) using a three-step gradient. The protein eluted at the final step (100% elution buffer) and was afterward concentrated in elution buffer (preventing protein precipitation) using Vivascience concentrators from Vivascience equipped with a 10-kDa cut-off membrane. Final protein concentration was 10 mg/ml, determined using the extinction coefficient at 280 nm calculated according to Gill and Hippen (27).

**Protein Crystallization and Data Collection**—Crystals of TrmB17,300 were obtained using the sitting drop vapor diffusion method. 2 µl of protein solution (10 mg/ml in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole, 10 mM maltose) were mixed with 2 µl of reservoir solution containing 100 mM sodium acetate trihydrate, pH 4.6, and 8% (w/v) PEG 4000 and equilibrated against the reservoir solution at 18 °C. Trigonal crystals (space group P321) grew to a size of ~50 × 40 × 50 µm3. Heavy atom derivatives were obtained by soaking the native crystals in reservoir solution containing in addition 1 mM platinum and 1 mM uranylacetate, respectively. Soaking time was 4 h for the platinum derivatives and 10 min for the uranylacetate derivatives. Diffraction data were collected under cryogenic conditions. The crystals were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen in buffer corresponding to the equilibrated crystallization drops plus 20% (v/v) glycerol as a cryoprotectant. All data sets were collected at the Swiss Light Source (SLS; beamline X06SA) provided with a MarCCD detector and were processed using XDS (28) and subsequently merged in XSCALE. More details of the data collection statistics are shown in Table 2.

**Crystal Structure Determination and Refinement**—The crystallographic phase problem was solved by multiple isomorphous replacement plus anomalous differences using one native data set, one platinum derivative data set, one uranylacetate derivative data set, and one native data set measured at a wavelength of 1.5 Å, allowing the search for the anomalous signal of the sulfur atoms inherent to the protein. The multiple isomorphous replacement plus anomalous differences experiment was done using the program suites SOLVE (29) and RESOLVE (30). One platinum site, one uranylacetate site, and four sulfur sites were found by SOLVE and used to determine initial phases. Subsequently, RESOLVE led to an interpretable electron density map and an initial model.

Model building and refinement was done using the CCP4 suite (31) and the graphical model building program COOT (32) for visual inspection and manual improvement of the model. Side chain atoms as well as other missing parts of the structure were added manually, followed by refinement cycles, including anisotropic B-factor refinement in REFMAC5 (33, 34). Model statistics are summarized in Table 3.

**Sugar Binding and Inhibition Assay by TrmB**—The binding tests were done by the ammonium sulfate precipitation method (35). A 100-µl aliquot of 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 300 mM KCl containing 3.4 or 6.8 µM TrmB17,300 was incubated with 0.1 µCi of [14C]maltose (0.65 µM) (Amersham Biosciences), [14C]maltotriose (0.47 µM), [14C]sucrose (0.8 µM) (Amersham Biosciences), or [14C]-glucose (8 µM) (PerkinElmer Life Sciences). [14C]Maltotriose was synthesized from [14C]maltose by the action of α-amylase without loss of specific activity (36). Prior to their addition, the labeled sugars had been mixed with unlabeled sugars to reach a final concentration between 5 and 1,000 µM. Incubation was for 5 min at 70 °C. The assay was stopped with 2 ml of ice-cold saturated ammonium sulfate in binding buffer and kept on ice for 10 min. The suspension was then filtered through cellulose nitrate membrane filters (Schleicher & Schüll; pore size 0.45 µm) and washed with 1 ml of 95% saturated ammonium sulfate in binding buffer. Bound radioactivity was determined in a scintillation counter. Also, labeled sugar-binding inhibition assays with other sugars (maltose, maltotriose, sucrose, and glucose) were done in the same way with inhibiting sugars present between 25 and 500 µM, respectively.

**RESULTS**

**Purification of Truncated TrmB**—Mainly due to the tendency of TrmB to precipitate from solutions exceeding 1 mg/ml, attempts to crystallize the full-length TrmB protein have so far not been successful. Because of our experience that eubacterial repressor fragments can be crystallized after deletion of the N-terminal DNA binding domain, we constructed several N-terminal truncated TrmB variants as C-terminal...
His tag versions and tested them for maltose-binding affinity. Of three constructs (Δ2-32, Δ2-59, and Δ2-109) only one (Δ2-109) was not degraded, was highly soluble, and was expressed in large amounts after induction with 0.2 mM isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside. TrmBΔ2-109 was homogeneous on SDS-PAGE after Ni²⁺-NTA affinity chromatography. From 1 liter of rich medium, 20 mg of pure TrmBΔ2-109 was routinely obtained. The protein was stored frozen in 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 300 mM KCl at a protein concentration of 1 mg/ml without loss of maltose binding activity.

Using molecular sieve chromatography (Superdex 200) in 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 300 mM KCl at room temperature TrmBΔ2-109 eluted with a peak corresponding to a species of 30 kDa (Fig. 1A), indicating that the protein was monomeric. However, the peak showed considerable trailing, which reflects interactions with the gel matrix.

**Sugar Binding Activity of TrmBΔ2-109**—Full-length TrmB can bind maltose, trehalose, maltotriose, longer maltodextrins, sucrose, and glucose but not fructose, galactose, ribose, and lactose. TrmBΔ2-109 showed the same binding specificity but increased binding affinity toward the same sugars compared with intact TrmB. This was particularly evident with maltose as substrate. The binding isotherm for all sugars, including maltose, was hyperbolic and exhibited a stoichiometry of about 1:1 (substrate/polypeptide). It showed the following 

| Sugar   | Kd (M) |
|---------|--------|
| Maltose | 6.8    |
| Glucose | 25     |
| Sucrose | 34     |
| Maltotriose | 160 |

Inhibition studies (Table 1) showed mutual competitiveness, indicating that all sugars were bound at the same site. We emphasize that binding of these sugars by full-length TrmB is sigmoidal only for maltose (18). Applying the criteria described previously (18), sigmoidality could not be detected in the binding kinetics of maltose in TrmBΔ2-109.

**Crystal Structure of TrmB Δ2-109**—The crystals were trigonal, P321, with unit cell axes of \(a = b = 56.9\ \text{Å}, c = 132.5\ \text{Å}\), and contained one TrmBΔ2-109 in the asymmetric unit. Experimental phases were obtained by isomorphous replacement and anomalous scattering (see “Experimental Procedures” and Tables 2 and 3). Fig. 3 shows the structure of TrmBΔ2-109 in a ribbon representation.

TrmBΔ2-109 consists of two domains, termed the N- and C-domains, formed by residues Alaⁱ¹⁰–Gly²⁴⁶ and Lys²⁴⁹–Ser³⁴², respectively. The two residues Asn³³⁷ and Pro³⁴⁶ may serve as a hinge. The N-domain...
forms an 8-stranded sheet flanked by two large helices on one side and one large helix crossing the β-sheet on the other side. Because the latter helix provides the only maltose-binding residues of the N-domain, we shall designate it as the “sugar binding helix” in the following. The C-domain forms a strand, a helix, and an irregular, flattened, seven-stranded β-barrel with its axis roughly parallel to the strands of the N-domain sheet. Most of the residues binding the nonreducing part of the maltose molecule are contributed by two neighboring loops of the C-domain barrel.

**Maltose Binding in TrmB**—In the interstice between the two domains of TrmB, electron density corresponding to maltose (α-1,4-α-1,6-glucosyl) was visible (Figs. 3 and 4). The nonreducing glucosyl residue of maltose forms hydrogen bonds with residues Asn135, Gly160, Met211, Val214, Ile255, and Glu256. All residues with the exception of Ser229 are in the C-domain and part of the β-sheet (Asn326) as well as part of a loop region (Gly320, Met321, Val324, Ile325, and Glu326). Ser229 in the sugar binding helix of the N-domain contributes the only hydrogen bond contacting the reducing glucosyl moiety of maltose.

Based on the observed mode of binding for maltose, it appears likely that all substrates are bound with their nonreducing α-glucosyl moiety to the same six amino acid residues of the C-domain. Obviously, the fixation of the common nonreducing glucosyl residue is the basis for the surprisingly large range of different substrates. Four of the maltose-interacting residues (Ser229, Asn326, Gly320, and Glu326) were changed by site-directed mutagenesis into alanine, and the purified proteins were analyzed for their binding affinity to maltose, maltotriose, sucrose, and glucose (Table 4). Whereas the mutant E326A lost all sugar binding affinity, mutants D305A and G320A showed reduced affinity. The mutant S229A showed reduced binding affinity only for maltose and maltotriose, whereas the affinity for sucrose and glucose remained the same as with the nonmutated TrmB.

### Table 1

**Inhibition of binding of labeled substrate to TrmB**<sub>A2–109</sub> by unlabeled sugars

| Bound labeled sugar | Maltose | Maltotriose | Sucrose | Glucose |
|---------------------|---------|-------------|---------|---------|
| % 25 μM             | % 50 μM | % 100 μM    | % 100 μM | % 250 μM | % 500 μM    | % 100 μM    | % 100 μM    |
| Maltose             | 29.0    | 12.0        | 9.5     | 86.5    | 58.2        | 48.4        | 31.1        | 18.5        | 9.4        | 22.3        | 9.5        | 3.9        |
| Maltotriose         | 32.4    | 6.5         | 4.2     | 73.3    | 58.2        | 48.2        | 32.3        | 51.1        | 30.6        | 13.7        | 13.0        | 9.7        | 7.6        |
| Sucrose             | 58.1    | 28.2        | 14.6    | 73.0    | 55.1        | 38.2        | 51.4        | 31.1        | 15.5        | 15.4        | 8.1        | 4.2        |
| Glucose             | 5.0     | 3.2         | 3.0     | 100.0   | 59.8        | 41.5        | 38.0        | 19.0        | 8.6         | 41.1        | 26.5        | 11.9       |

### Table 2

**Summary of data collection**

| Parameters | Values |
|------------|--------|
| Wavelength (Å) | 0.850 |
| Cell (Å) | 56.8, 56.8, 132.3 |
| Resolution range (Å) | 44–1.84 (1.94–1.83) |
| No. of unique reflections | 41,999 |
| Average multiplicity | 3.75 (3.44) |
| R<sub>merge</sub> (%) | 5.1 (18.4) |
| Completeness (%) | 99.8 (96.8) |
| I/σ | 21.1 (7.3) |

### Table 3

**Refinement statistics**

Values given in brackets are for the highest resolution shell (1.49–1.45 Å).

| Parameters | Values |
|------------|--------|
| Protein atoms | 1,966 |
| Ligand atoms | 46 |
| Solvent molecules | 106 |
| Resolution range | 40–1.45 (1.49–1.45) |
| R-factor (%) | 16.2 (17.2) |
| R-free (%) | 19.5 (28.3) |
| No. of reflections | 41,765 (2,893) |
| Reflections in working set | 39,541 (2,743) |
| B-factor of protein atoms (Å<sup>2</sup>) | 16.0 |
| Root mean square deviation angles (degrees) | 0.017 |
| No. of residues with backbone conformational angles in most favored regions | 191 (91.4%) |
| No. of residues with backbone conformational angles in additional allowed regions | 17 (8.1%) |
| No. of residues with backbone conformational angles in generously allowed regions | 1 (0.5%) |
| No. of residues with backbone conformational angles in disallowed regions | 0 (0%) |
DISCUSSION

Comparison with Eubacterial Binding Proteins and Transcriptional Repressors—The maltose binding TrmB\textsubscript{2–109} structure should be compared with the effector binding domains of the eubacterial lac repressor family members and eubacterial periplasmic binding proteins, which are thought to form a common structural class\((37, 38)\). The periplasmic binding proteins are subdivided into groups with one, two, and three switches of the polypeptide between the two domains\((39–41)\). These proteins undergo a large conformational change, moving the two domains against each other in such a way as to bury the substrate between them. The sugar binding pocket of TrmB\textsubscript{2–109} does not resemble the canonical substrate binding pocket of eubacterial sugar-binding transcriptional regulators and periplasmic binding proteins. Although the N-domain and the barrel domain of TrmB\textsubscript{2–109} participate in establishing the binding pocket, only the N-domain resembles part of the eubacterial proteins, whereas the barrel domain has no counterpart among them.

In addition, whereas isopropyl 1-thio-\(\beta\)-D-galactopyranoside, maltose, maltotriose, maltotetraose, and trehalose are bound to the eubacterial proteins deeply in the cleft between both domains and with their axis oriented roughly along the cleft\((41)\), the bound maltose in TrmB\textsubscript{2–109} sticks to the surface-exposed edge of the cleft between the N- and the C-domain, oriented with its axis roughly perpendicular to the cleft (Fig. 5). The buried surface between the two domains is rich in phenylalanines and other hydrophobic residues, rendering a large movement of these domains in response to the sugar binding rather unlikely.

Alteration of the amino acid residues that are in contact with the bound maltose reveal the special role of Ser\textsubscript{229}. The change to Ala only affects the binding of maltose and maltotriose but not the binding to sucrose and glucose (see Table 4). Ser\textsubscript{229} is the only amino acid that contacts the reducing glucosyl residue of maltose. From these data, it is likely that sucrose interacts with the sugar binding helix on a site different from Ser\textsubscript{229}. Possibly, it is the differential lateral movement of this helix in response to the binding of the different sugars that elicits the differential response in operator recognition. According to the structure of TrmB\textsubscript{2–109}, the DNA binding domain of the full-length TrmB is in proximity of the N-terminal end of the sugar binding helix, suggesting transduction of differential induced fit movements upon sugar binding via this helix.

The TrmB\textsubscript{2–109} Structure Represents a Novel Sugar-binding Structure—The result of a Dali search\((42)\) with TrmB\textsubscript{2–109} in the Protein Data Bank is summarized in Table 5. The three highest scores show only similarities between individual structural elements. Chain A of \textit{E. coli} polyphosphate kinase (Protein Data Bank accession number 1xdo) yielded the highest score because of a similar barrel domain in both structures. Chain A of the calpain protease core was second because of the good superposition with the sugar binding helix of TrmB\textsubscript{2–109}. Chain A of a biotin ligase was third due to a poor superpo-
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**TABLE 4**

\(K_d\) values for sugar binding to TrmB\textsubscript{2–109} and site-directed mutants

| Proteins          | Maltose | Glucose | Sucrose | Maltotriose |
|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|
| TrmB\textsubscript{2–109} | 6.8     | 25      | 34      | 160         |
| S229A             | 10      | 25      | 35      | 250         |
| N305A             | 50      | 100     | 80      | 1,000       |
| G320A             | 250     | 150     | 200     | >2,000      |
| E326A             | —       | ND      | —       | —           |
| N305A/G320A       | —       | ND      | —       | —           |
| G320A/E326A       | —       | —       | —       | —           |

---

**Figure 5.** Aromatic residues of the interdomain interface. The protein, the bound maltose, and the aromatic residues are indicated as a blue ribbon and a red and yellow bond representation, respectively.
sition with the N-domain of TrmB<sub>2–109</sub>. Thus, the structure and its mode of sugar binding are entirely novel.

The TrmB<sub>2–109</sub> Structure in the Light of the in Vitro DNA Binding and Transcriptional Function of TrmB—TrmB binding to two intergenic DNA sites has previously been studied by electrophoretic mobility shift assay and footprinting analysis. One site is overlapping with and extending downstream of the BRE-TATA box of the MD operon (18). A second site is nearly coincident with the transcriptional start point of the mdxE operon (MD promoter) from <i>T. litoralis</i> and <i>P. furiosus</i> (18). A second site is nearly coincident with the transcriptional start point of the <i>mdxE</i> operon (MD promoter) in <i>P. furiosus</i>. The first site contains a palindromic motif 5′-TACTNNNAGTA-3′, whereas the second contains a distorted palindromic motif, 5′-TACTNNNATGG-3′ (19). From the perfect palindrome of the first site, one can conclude that TrmB binds there as a dimer with 2-fold symmetry relating one TrmB molecule and half of the palindrome to the remainder of the complex.

In the second site, most likely only the first half of the sequence (5′-TACTNNNATGG-3′) will contribute to the recognition of the TrmB dimer, resulting in a changed TrmB conformation. This prediction should hold despite the lack of knowledge about the structure of the N-terminal 109 residues of TrmB.

A possible quaternary structure of dimeric TrmB was selected from all crystallographic binary associates of TrmB<sub>2–109</sub> as the one that buries the largest surface from solvent (2929 Å²). In this association, the N termini are approximately parallel at a distance of only 12.7 Å (Fig. 6). Such a dimer of full-length TrmB would allow for a solvent-sequestered interface between the two DNA binding domains and would resemble several bacterial repressors that also bind to palindromic operators.

TrmB binding to DNA as detected by electrophoretic mobility shift assay as well as transcriptional repression <i>in vitro</i> has been found to be reduced upon the addition of specific sugar molecules (18, 19). Surprisingly, the inducibility by some of the sugars depended on the operons to which TrmB was bound, rendering TrmB a bifunctional transcriptional regulator: The TM operon was induced by maltose and trehalose but not by sucrose or maltodextrin, whereas the MD operon was induced by sucrose and maltodextrins but not by maltose or trehalose (19). In the absence of DNA, TrmB binds maltose, sucrose, glucose, maltotriose, and trehalose in decreasing order of affinity, and only maltose is bound in a cooperative manner. The structure of TrmB<sub>2–109</sub> suggests explanations for these observations. When TrmB docks to the operator in front of the TM operon, due to the perfectly symmetric palindrome a complex of perfect 2-fold symmetry will result, exhibiting increased binding affinity for maltose and trehalose. However, when TrmB binds to the operator in front of the MD operon, due to the broken symmetry of the palindrome, allosteric effects will change the affinities of the sugar binding site so that sucrose and maltodextrins are preferentially bound. The delicate design of the sugar binding site across the cleft of the N- and C-domain, with the common nonreducing end bound to the C-domain and the other end pushing the sugar binding helix of the N-domain, is well suited for such allosteric changes.
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