An analysis of the feasibility of short read sequencing
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ABSTRACT
Several methods for ultra high-throughput DNA sequencing are currently under investigation. Many of these methods yield very short blocks of sequence information (reads). Here we report on an analysis showing the level of genome sequencing possible as a function of read length. It is shown that re-sequencing and de novo sequencing of the majority of a bacterial genome is possible with read lengths of 20–30 nt, and that reads of 50 nt can provide reconstructed contigs (a contiguous fragment of sequence data) of 1000 nt and greater that cover 80% of human chromosome 1.

INTRODUCTION
Several methods for ultra high-throughput DNA sequencing are currently under investigation (1–5). Many of these methods yield very short blocks of sequence information (reads), with some proposed methods giving reads as small as 16 nt. To be useful, these reads must be long enough to provide sufficient information to unambiguously place them on a known template sequence, or to generate unambiguous overlaps to reconstruct the sequence if no template is available. In both these processes repeat causes significant problems. Here we report on an analysis showing the level of genome sequencing possible as a function of read length. We show that re-sequencing and de novo sequencing of the majority of a bacterial genome is possible with read lengths of 20–30 nt, and that reads of 50 nt can provide reconstructed contigs of 1000 nt and greater that cover 80% of human chromosome 1.

The leading methods for ultra high-throughput DNA sequencing fall into two main categories; sequencing by hybridization (6) and sequencing by synthesis (5,7–11). Sequencing by hybridization is an extension of well established DNA microarray techniques that essentially aim to identify all subsequences of a specific length within a genome via their hybridization to presynthesized probes. Sequencing by synthesis utilizes a process by which nucleotides are added in a controlled fashion to isolate DNA templates. Each nucleotide is read in turn; each base being added and then read in a cyclic process. Both of these approaches produce sequence information in very short segments compared to conventional Sanger sequencing. For sequencing by hybridization the length of each fragment of sequence information (the ‘read length’) is limited by the length of the probe. Probes cannot be extended much beyond 30 nt as the selectivity for perfect matches over single mismatches drops to unacceptable levels (12). In the case of sequencing by synthesis obtainable read length is proportional to cycle efficiency. However the technical challenges involved in this approach mean that the length of useful sequence that can be obtained is limited. Solexa Ltd, have recently claimed reads of 25 nt with sufficient throughput to re-sequence the viral genome of Φ X174 (http://www.solexa.com/news/2005/100305.htm). Another promising technique is the high throughput pyrosequencing approach of 454 Life Sciences who are currently reporting read lengths of ~100 nt (13).

A key problem for these sequencing methodologies is that as the length of each individual read decreases, the probability that a read will occur more than once in the sequence increases. The problems that repetitions can cause for sequencing projects based on whole genome shotgun approaches, where the read length is as short as 500 nt, have recently been analysed in detail (14). There has been much debate concerning the minimum length of read required to generate useful sequence information (3). However, despite the importance of this analysis for the utility of many proposed ultra high-throughput sequencing methods, little work has been reported on the analysis or reassembly of sequence information from very short reads. Perhaps the most significant contribution to this area has been that of Chaisson et al. (15) who discusses the limitations of short read sequencing with read lengths starting at 70 nt, the largest genome analysed (Neisseria meningitidis) is ~2 Mb. In contrast to this, here we describe an analysis for read lengths between 18 and 200 nt, and extend our analysis to the whole human genome. Also rather than showing the result
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of a particular reassembly tool, our analysis describes the absolute limits of sequence data that can be reassembled.

In a sequencing project based on short reads, repeated sections of the genome will cause several types of problems. If re-sequencing uses a known template sequence, repetitions will prevent an unambiguous assignment of reads to a single position on the template (16). Therefore the uniqueness of reads within the genome will be a key factor in determining how successful such re-sequencing can be. However, if the aim is de novo sequencing, a different problem is encountered. In this case repetitions will cause significant problems for assembly of contigs and will severely limit the amount of the sequence that can be effectively reconstructed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The analysis shown in this paper was generated using the RepAnalyse (17) sequence analysis tool which we have developed for this purpose. RepAnalyse uses linear time suffix (18) and LCP (19) array construction algorithms. The uniqueness analysis and simulated reassembly are performed by analysing this suffix array. A technical report (17) for RepAnalyse is available on our website (www.4g.soton.ac.uk) or from the corresponding author. Details of the analysis methods and other applications will be reported elsewhere. Using the algorithms developed for RepAnalyse to process the suffix array we are able to produce the analysis shown far faster than with traditional techniques. Processing the whole human genome uniqueness analysis, required the construction of a full human genome suffix array for both forward and reverse strands, a total of $6.1 \times 10^9$ symbols. The analysis took two days and 70 Gb of RAM on a 1.6 GHz Itanium2 Processor.

RESULTS

Uniqueness

We first focused on the simple issue of what percentage of all sequences are unique within a series of model genomes. This uniqueness measure is directly linked to the effect of read length on re-sequencing, where sequence information is assembled on a known template. In its simplest form, re-sequencing requires that a probe is uniquely identifiable with a single location on the template sequence.

To evaluate the feasibility of sequencing using a template we calculated the percentage of unique reads within a given genome using suffix array (20) derived analysis (17). We consider all possible reads of length $l$ in the genome. Each read is compared with every other read of the same length and all reads that occur only once are counted. The uniqueness for read length $l$ is then given by $U_l = n_{u,l}/n_{p,l}$, where $n_{u,l}$ and $n_{p,l}$ are the number of unique and possible reads, respectively. We have analysed the uniqueness of reads of all lengths in several model genomes. In Figure 1 we show two representative examples, a viral genome; $\lambda$-phage (GenBank accession no. NC_001416 size: 48 kb) and a bacterial genome, Escherichia coli (K12 MG1655, accession no. NC_000913 size: 4.6 Mb). In both cases uniqueness has an approximately sigmoidal dependence on read length. This closely follows the behaviour of randomly generated sequences of the same size. The position of the rise in the $E.\text{coli}$ genome is at higher read length, largely due to the increased sequence length and therefore higher probability that any given sub-sequence will repeat. The most pronounced difference between the data for $E.\text{coli}$ and $\lambda$-phage is that uniqueness in the bacterial genome reaches a plateau at around 97% from where the value then rises only very slowly. For instance while 97% of 18 nt reads are unique, a read length of 475 nt is required for 99% of reads to be unique. This plateau is a result of repeated segments such as the seven copies of the genes that code for ribosomal proteins and RNA and frequently repeated intergenic sequences such as Intergenic Repeat Unit (IRUs) and Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic Consensus (ERICs) (21). Our analysis of the whole human genome shows a similar pattern, with a plateau being reached at a higher read length (Figure 2a).

Reassembly

Re-sequencing applications require that there is a known template sequence of sufficient quality to provide a map on
which reads can be placed. In the case of de novo sequencing, such as the sequencing of a new organism, this map is not available. In the extreme case where no map information is available it is necessary to assemble these reads into contigs without the aid of any external information.

As more complete genome sequences become available the quality of map information will increase. However it is not currently clear how reliable much of this information is, nor how best to apply it to the problem of reassembly from very short reads. We have therefore restricted our analysis to reassemble with no additional mapping information. In this analysis we use a suffix array to examine all possible fragments of a given size and use this information to predict which contigs could be assembled.

For two reads to be reconstructed into a single contig, an unambiguous overlap between them is needed, i.e. there must not be two or more potential reads that would extend a contig differently. It is obviously possible to reassemble sequences where all potential overlaps are unique. It is also possible to reconstruct contigs from sections of DNA that have two or more identical repeats elsewhere in the genome. However it is not possible to extend the contig beyond the point where the repeats diverge. For example if there are two identical copies of a gene in the genome which differ only in the downstream non-coding sequence then it is possible to build a contig that contains the gene but this will be broken at the point where the downstream sequences differ. The gene would be reconstructed, but its location would be ambiguous.

Figure 3 shows how the percentage of the E.coli genome covered by contigs greater than a given length is affected by read length and Figure 2b shows the analysis for human chromosome 1.

**DISCUSSION**

**Viral sequencing**

For the very short genome of λ-phage reads of 12 nt are 98% unique. Therefore re-sequencing of this genome should be straightforward given a complete and error free read set. Re-sequencing by hybridization using probes of around 25 nt is now a reasonably well established technology and has been reported for the SARS Coronavirus (22). Other viral genomes we have analysed show similar trends (data not shown). As the genome is very short and contains very few repeats it is also possible to reassemble the genome of λ-phage phage from short reads. Our analysis shows that it is possible to completely reassemble the genome from 18 nt reads and that 17 nt reads can cover 99.6% of the genome with contigs larger than 10,000 nt. Below this read length, coverage falls dramatically. This confirms and extends the result of Chaisson et al. (15) where it was found that a read length of 70 nt would allow a viral genome (Adenovirus 35 kb accession no. AF394196) to be completely reassembled. And indicates that de novo sequencing of genomes of viral size should be well within the limitations of short read methods. The results on de novo
sequences in the repetition of both large (kilobases) and small (20–200 nt) material and viral genome sequences is a result of much longer read lengths. This difference between the bac-
treads of 17 nt (Figure 1b) the remaining 3% is ambiguous for
While 97% of the genome can be unambiguously probed by
omes, methodologies that can provide reads of 18 nt or more
length has been reached (≈ 17 nt for E.coli) further increases
in read length will not provide a significant increase in the
quality of information. This indicates that for bacterial gen-
sequences in the E.coli sequence. The plateau in E.coli unique-
ness suggests that, for bacterial genomes, once a threshold read
length has been reached (≈ 17 nt for E.coli) further increases
in read length will not provide a significant increase in the
quality of information. This indicates that for bacterial gen-
osomes, methodologies that can provide reads of 18 nt or more
are adequate for re-sequencing. However, it is important to
note that specific bacterial genomes may contain highly repet-
tive elements which will increase the required read length.
For hybridization and other high-throughput methods can provide this information so the expansion of existing methods for re-sequencing viral genomes will provide suf-
ficient data for re-sequencing most of a given bacterial genome.
Analysis of the reassembly of the E.coli genome show that
with a read length of 20 nt, over 98% of the genome can be
re-assembled into contigs larger than 100 nt, and 91% into
contigs larger than 1000 nt. However, only 10% is covered by
contigs larger than 10 000 nt. At this read length over 75% of
genes are entirely covered by a single contig. A read length of
30 nt improves the coverage for 10 000 nt contigs significantly
to 75%, with over 96% of genes entirely covered by a single
contig, this rises to 90 and 98%, respectively at a 50 nt read
length. De novo sequencing of 90–97% of the E.coli genome
into gene-sized contigs is therefore possible with reads of
around 50 nt and a significant portion can be reconstructed
with much shorter read lengths. This compares well with the
result of Chaisson et al. (15) where it was found that a 70 nt
read length would allow 93.4 and 99.5% of bacterial genomes
(Campylobacter jejuni, 1.6 Mb and N meningitidis, 2.1 Mb
accesion nos: CJ11168X1 and NMA1Z2491) to be recon-
structed into contigs of 1000 nt and greater.
Our analysis of the Caenorhabditis elegans genome (97 Mb,
Figure 4b) showed that in a predicted reassembly from 50 nt reads
88% of the sequence is covered by contigs larger than
1000 nt and 51% is covered by contigs larger than 10 000 nt.
By a read length of 100 nt a significant percentage (8.4%) of
the genome is covered by contigs larger than 100 000 nt. This
indicates that the whole genome shotgun sequencing of small
eukaryotes is within the limitations of short read sequencing.

Human genome sequencing
The ultimate goal for all high-throughput sequencing tech-
nologies is to enable affordable human genome sequencing. The
human genome is much larger and much more repetitive
than that of E.coli and therefore the challenges for short read
sequencing approaches are expected to be much greater.
This is immediately evident when analysing uniqueness as
a function of read length for the whole human genome (Build
35.1 size: $3 \times 10^9$ nt). Our uniqueness analysis for the whole
human genome is shown in Figure 2a. This result compares
well with the estimation of Shendure et al. (1) where they state
that reads of ≈ 60 nt will be required for 95% uniqueness.
While the overall shape of the curve is similar to that for
E.coli the rate of increase after the plateau is reached is much
slower. Read lengths of 25 nt would in principle be able to
probe 80% of the genome, however read lengths of at least
43 nt are required to cover 90% of the genome.
Such a length is beyond the reach of sequencing by hybrid-
ization as selectivity for single mismatches will not be
possible (12). Single molecule (23) and sequencing by syn-
thesis approaches currently claim read lengths of 25 to 115 nt

Figure 4. (a) Percentage of unique sub-sequences ($U$) for varying read length ($l$), in the C.elegans genome. (b) Percentage of the C.elegans genome covered by contigs greater than a threshold length as a function of read length. The horizontal axis starts at 18 nt, due to the limitations of reassembly below this length.
and therefore the application of the techniques to whole human genome re-sequencing shows promise.

The largest re-sequencing project reported for the human genome focussed on the non-repetitive sequences within chromosome 21, one of the smallest of the human autosomes. This allows the problem to be reduced to a series of smaller tasks both in terms of isolating a smaller section of the genome and the computational problems that are encountered. By reducing the size of the problem and focusing on regions that are not repeated it was possible to gain high quality sequence information for ~65% of the 21.7 Mb under study using just over three billion 25 nt oligonucleotide probes(24).

Our whole human genome uniqueness analysis shows that relatively large (25 to 50 nt) read lengths will be required for a significant percentage of sub-sequences to be unique making re-sequencing difficult. Uniqueness also places an absolute limit on the read length required for de novo reassembly. As these results suggest that de novo reassembly of the whole genome is likely to be difficult and due to the amount of computation time required to process a whole human genome reassembly analysis we also elected to reduce the problem to single chromosomes.

For the 246 Mb of chromosome 1, the largest human chromosome, the uniqueness analysis (Figure 2a) shows a rise reaching 50% uniqueness with 16 nt reads, 2 nt fewer than for the full genome. Uniqueness also plateaus earlier and continues to rise faster with reads of 20 nt able to probe 80% of the chromosome and 35 nt required for 90% coverage. Other human chromosomes we have analysed show a similar pattern (data not shown) where the rate of increase after the plateau has been reached being dependent on the size of the chromosome.

Figure 2b shows the analysis of a simulated reassembly of a complete set of reads obtained from chromosome 1. The analysis shows that with reads of 50 nt it is possible to reassemble 80% of the chromosome into contigs larger than 1000 nt; 17% is covered by contigs larger than 10,000 nt. Even with relatively modest read lengths of 25–30 nt significant proportions of chromosome 1 can be reassembled into contigs of 1000 to 10,000 nt. With a 500 nt read length 98.4% of the chromosome may be reassembled into contigs larger than 10,000 nt.

Figure 5 gives an example of how contigs obtained by reassembling reads from a single chromosome cover a region of interest in the human genome. The reassembly of contigs from a complete set of 25, 50 and 100 nt reads of chromosome 17 was simulated. Figure 5 shows how these contigs cover the BRCA1 (25) gene, implicated in susceptibility to breast cancer. As can be seen the majority of exons are covered at a 50 nt read length, while 25 nt produces significantly less coverage. Using a 100 nt read length, two contigs are constructed which cover all of the exons in this gene. While contigs assembled from 50 nt reads cover the majority of the gene they cannot be positioned without additional information. However the fact that 87.5% of exons are covered by contigs of 200 nt or larger suggests that by using mapping information it should be possible to reconstruct the majority of the coding sequences in the genome. The efficient use of mapping information [such as matepair sequence data used to build ‘scaffolds’ by the Celera assembler (26)] is therefore an important area for future investigation.

CONCLUSION

Our analysis links the frequency analysis of repeated regions to the problem of genome sequence reassembly from short read information. We have defined the limits on the amount of unambiguous sequence that can be obtained with a given read length for a range of model genome sequences. Re-sequencing and de novo sequencing of viral genomes should be straightforward with read lengths of 18–25 nt depending on the length of the genome, and the number and length of repetitive regions. For the re-sequencing of bacterial genomes, once a threshold read length is reached, increasing the read length yields only small gains. De novo sequencing of a large proportion of the E.coli genome is possible with read lengths of 20–50 nt. In the case of the much larger and more repetitive human genome sequence whole genome re-sequencing will be limited with current proposed technology. However partitioning the problem, by focussing on single chromosomes or by neglecting the more difficult and repetitive parts of the genome, makes the problem more tractable.

As the analysis reported assumes a perfect data set these results represent the upper bounds of what information can be obtained by short read sequencing. The effect of random and systematic errors will be to reduce the amount of sequence information that can be obtained from reads of a given length. Specifically, erroneous reads may result in incorrect sequence data, breaks within contigs and contig mis-assembly. The challenge for the future is to identify in detail the effect of different potential experimental errors and to work to eliminate those that have the most detrimental effect on data quality so as to approach the upper bounds identified here. Our key finding is that with high quality data and currently available read lengths significant amounts of useful data can be obtained both by using a template sequence and for de novo sequencing. Thus, while there are distinct limits on the completeness of the
sequence information it is possible to obtain, short read sequencing has the potential to provide useful sequence information on large proportions of the genome for a range of model organisms cheaply and rapidly.
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