Mandibular advancement impairs swallowing ability more than head extension but less than mouth opening in the supine position

Hiroshi Hanamoto1*, Eriko Togawa2, Hiroharu Maegawa1, Chizuko Yokoe1, Mika Inoue1, Aiko Oyamaguchi2, Chiho Kudo1 & Hitoshi Niwa1

Mandibular advancement in the supine position may influence swallowing during dental treatment under intravenous sedation. This study investigated the influence of mandibular advancement in the supine position on swallowing ability, compared with head extension and mouth opening. The water swallowing test was performed in 13 healthy, awake, supine, adult subjects under four head and mandibular positions. An electromyogram of the suprahyoid muscles was recorded; the duration and peak amplitude were examined. A greater volume of water remained in the mouth during mouth opening and mandibular advancement relative to the neutral position; the volume in the mandibular advancement position was larger and smaller than that in the head extension position and during mouth opening, respectively. The duration of the electromyogram in the head extension position was longer than that in the mandibular advancement position, without differences in the amplitude. Thus, swallowing ability in the supine position was more impaired with mandibular advancement, relative to neutral and head extension positions, but less than that observed with mouth opening. Although unconfirmed by electromyogram, our findings suggest that head extension might improve airway patency by reducing the impairment of swallowing ability compared with mandibular advancement.

During dental treatment, the intra-oral fluid, including injected water, saliva, and blood, is usually removed by suction. However, the continuous and complete removal of the intra-oral fluid is difficult, resulting in the swallowing of the residual fluid. Swallowing transports the intra-oral fluid through the pharynx and to the oesophagus, and simultaneously protects the airway from aspiration by the reflex closure of the glottis1,2. If swallowing cannot be achieved, the fluid irritates the vocal cords and reflex coughing occurs. The cough reflex also prevents aspiration, and as a result, prevents pneumonia. Thus, both swallowing and coughing are very important mechanisms for aspiration prevention.

Although the cough reflex rarely occurs during dental treatment in wakeful patients, it sometimes occurs in patients under intravenous sedation3. In a previous study, we reported that the cough reflex was observed in 66% of the patients during dental implant surgery under intravenous sedation4. The cough reflex that occurs during dental treatment is typically accompanied by head and body movement that temporarily interrupts the dental procedure. Furthermore, sudden coughing may result in accidental injury by the rotating instruments to the non-target tissues. However, the occurrence of the cough reflex cannot be predicted, whereas airway obstruction can be prevented and improved by early detection of paradoxical breathing, the sound of snoring, and a decrease in arterial oxygen saturation.

Thus, the cough reflex is a difficult problem to manage during dental treatment under intravenous sedation. Therefore, it is important to investigate the effect of various head and mandibular positions on the swallowing ability during dental treatment in the supine position. We previously reported that both head extension and mouth opening impaired swallowing ability in the supine position5. During intravenous sedation, head extension and mandibular advancement are techniques sometimes used to improve airway obstruction induced by sedatives. We hypothesised that the mandibular advancement may influence swallowing ability and may be associated with the cough reflex. The objective of this study was to investigate the impairing effect of mandibular
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advancement on swallowing ability in the supine position as compared to the effects of head extension and mouth opening positions.

Methods

Study design. The investigators designed and implemented this volunteer crossover study to evaluate the effect of mandibular advancement on the ability to swallow water, and the corresponding suprahyoid (SH) muscle activity, in participants in the supine position as compared with that of the head extension and mouth opening positions. This study protocol was approved in March 2015 by the institutional review board of Osaka University Graduate School of Dentistry (protocol H26-E49). This study was registered with the UMIN Clinical Trials Registry (UMIN000021483; The effects of head extension, mouth opening, and jaw thrust on the ability to swallow in the supine position; 15/03/2016) in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Subjects. All the subjects were healthy volunteers aged 20 to 50 years with normal dentition and American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status I. The exclusion criteria were as follows: a history of stomatognathic disorders or velopharyngeal insufficiency; palatal, pharyngeal, or laryngeal surgery; neuromuscular diseases; and speech or swallowing impairment. Participants with maxillary or mandibular prognathism, trismus (interincisal distance in the maximum mouth opening of less than 30 mm), or apertognathia were also excluded. The purpose and methods of the study were explained to each subject, and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects prior to participation.

Recordings. The recording system and methods used in this study were similar to those described in our previous study5. Subjects were placed in the supine position on an operating table with an adjustable headrest (AlphaStar Top 1132.17; Maquet, Rastatt, Germany). The trunk and the extremities of each subject were maintained in a horizontal position. The subjects’ skin was cleansed with alcohol, and a pair of adhesive Ag/AgCl electrodes was placed on both sides of the midline under the chin6. A reference electrode was placed on the left ear. An electromyogram (EMG) of the SH muscles was recorded.

The EMG signals were amplified (ML-132, AD Instruments Japan Inc; Nagoya, Japan), filtered (below 10 Hz), fully rectified, and integrated with a time constant of 0.1 s. The laryngeal movements during swallowing were recorded with a piezoelectric pulse transducer (MLT-1010, AD Instruments Japan; Nagoya, Japan) attached to the skin above the thyroid cartilage. The information on the EMG signals and the laryngeal movements (piezoelectric sensor recordings) were stored (digital sampling rate, 10,000 Hz) on a data recorder (PowerLab 8SP, AD Instruments Japan; Nagoya, Japan) for later analysis. Moreover, a high-definition video camera (HC-V600M, Panasonic; Osaka, Japan) was used to monitor the movements of the larynx.

Criteria for determining the head and mandibular positions. We defined four head and mandibular positions as follows: neutral, head extension, mandibular advancement, and mouth opening, in the supine position (Fig. 1). ‘Neutral’ position was defined as the position in which the ala–tragus line (Camper’s line) was parallel to the line perpendicular to the floor and operating table. The ala–tragus line7, which extends from the inferior border of the ala to the tragus, is thought to be approximately parallel to the occlusal plane. ‘Head extension’ was defined as a 20-degree head extension from the neutral position; the angle and parallelism of the lines were confirmed using a device made of transparent acrylic that was marked with a perpendicular line, and lines at 10-degree intervals to this line. ‘Mandibular advancement’ was determined as maximum mandibular advancement achieved using a custom-made mandibular advancement appliance in the neutral head position. ‘Mouth opening’ was defined as an interincisal distance of 30 mm in the neutral head position; a universal mouth opener was placed between the maxillary and the mandibular premolar teeth. The interincisal distance was measured using a slide gauge.

Mandibular advancement appliance. The maxillary and the mandibular dental casts were made after alginate impressions were obtained. The dental arches of both the jaws were fabricated by placing a 1-mm thermofoming polyethylene plate (Erkodur, Erkodent Erich Kopf GmbH, Pfalzgrafenweiler, Germany) on the dental cast using a full pressure dental thermoforming machine (ERKOPRESS-200 E, Erkodent Erich Kopf GmbH, Pfalzgrafenweiler, Germany). The maxillary and the mandibular components were joined using an autopolymer resin (UNIFAST III, GC, Tokyo, Japan), with the maximum mandibular protrusion tolerable without discomfort or pain (Fig. 2).

Water swallowing test. After suctioning and removing the basal intra–oral fluid using a 6 Fr catheter, we injected 10 ml of water into each subject’s mouth, a volume used in our previous study4. To accurately measure the volume of the residual water, the weight was measured to one decimal place. We asked the subjects to hold the water in their mouths for 5 s and then, to swallow as much water as possible in a single attempt. After swallowing, the residual intra–oral water was suctioned using a 6 Fr catheter. The volume of the residual water was then measured by weight. The test was performed under all the four head and mandibular positions. HH randomised the order of the head and mandibular positions to four patterns, using simple randomisation with computer-generated random numbers without blocking, and announced the order after participant registration. ET enrolled and assigned participants to the interventions. This was an open trial without blinding because of the nature of the intervention. An EMG of the SH muscles was also measured during the water swallowing test.

Study variables. In this study, the primary predictor variables were the four head and mandibular positions. The primary outcome variable was the volume of residual water. The secondary outcome variables were the duration of SH muscle activity and peak amplitude of the SH EMG. The duration was determined using SH EMG, integrated SH EMG, the trajectory of the piezoelectric sensor, and a video-movie. The piezoelectric sensor was
used to determine the duration, defined as the time between the first negative deflection and the return to the pre-swallowing level in the trajectory. Peak amplitude was determined as the maximum absolute value of the amplitude of SH EMG (Fig. 3). To normalise the peak amplitude, the value in the neutral position was determined.
as 100%. Both raw and normalised values of the peak amplitude were analysed. A supplementary video was also used to determine the duration of SH muscle activity. A supplementary video was also used to determine the duration.

Statistical analysis. As there are no similar, previously reported studies that evaluate the swallowing ability in the mandibular advancement position, a power analysis was not performed for this study. Therefore, we planned this study to include 13 subjects from our previous study to reduce the number of volunteers. All the statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics version 22.0 (IBM Corp.; Armonk, NY, USA). Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. The outcome variables were analysed by repeated measures analysis of variance. Mauchly’s sphericity test was used to confirm the sphericity of the data; in cases with sphericity violation, the data were corrected using the Greenhouse–Geisser method. Intergroup comparisons were performed using Tukey’s test. A P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Thirteen female subjects with no routine medication were included in the complete analysis. The characteristics of the subjects are shown in Table 1. There were no subjects with macroglossia. The angle classifications of the participants were as follows on the left side: class 1 (n = 7), class 2 (n = 1), and class 3 (n = 5); on the right side, they were as follows: class 1 (n = 6), class 2 (n = 2), and class 3 (n = 5). The volume of residual water, peak amplitude of SH EMG, and duration of SH EMG activity in the four head and mandibular positions, and the statistical results of the repeated measures analysis of variance are shown in Table 2. The statistical significance was observed with respect to each outcome variable. The intergroup comparisons of each outcome variable using Tukey’s test are shown in Table 3.

Volume of residual water. A greater volume of water remained in the mouth during the mandibular advancement and the mouth opening positions when compared with the neutral position. The volume of the residual water in the mouth during mandibular advancement was larger than that during the head extension position, but smaller with mouth opening.

Peak amplitude of SH EMG. Although the peak amplitude of SH EMG was smaller with mandibular advancement than with head extension, there was no significant difference in EMG values during mandibular advancement when compared with the values obtained in the neutral position. The peak amplitude of SH EMG
In previous studies of swallowing ability, EMG\(^*\), manometry\(^{13,14}\), timing of swallowing\(^{14}\), radiography\(^{13}\), or fibroptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing\(^{17}\) was used. Radiography or manometry may be superior to electromyography; however, the insertion of a catheter can influence salivary secretion\(^{15}\). Moreover, radiography requires participants to be exposed to X-ray radiation. Therefore, in the present study we measured the volume of residual water and the EMG value to assess swallowing ability and avoid external factors and X-ray exposure.

In the present study, we investigated the swallowing ability in the supine position since it is the widely used position in most dental treatments. Although some influences of the body posture on swallowing were observed, swallowing was successfully performed in the supine position in previous studies\(^{6,11,17,18}\). Therefore, as in these previous studies, we considered the supine position with the neutral head position as the baseline\(^5\).

There are a few previous studies that examine the influence of mandibular advancement on the ability to swallow, likely because mandibular advancement is a non-physiological position. However, in this study, no significant differences were observed in the EMG values obtained during the mandibular advancement position compared with the neutral position. The difficulty of mouth closure during mandibular advancement might be associated with a decrease in the swallowing ability, as observed with mouth opening\(^2\). In general, swallowing requires anterior sealing between the tongue and the palate to make negative intra-oral pressure with the ‘mouth closed’ condition. However, this process does not always require active mouth closure\(^{18}\), and swallowing can be

---

**Table 1.** Characteristics of the subjects. SD, Standard deviation; IQR, Inter-quartile range. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation and median (IQR [range]).

| Variables             | Mean ± SD       | Median (IQR [Range]) |
|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Age, year             | 34.0 ± 8.0      | 29 (28–40 [25–49])  |
| Height, cm            | 157.7 ± 4.5     | 157.2 (155–160 [150–166]) |
| Weight, kg            | 47.8 ± 5.6      | 50 (44–52 [39–56])   |
| Body mass index, kg/m\(^2\) | 19.2 ± 1.6 | 19.5 (17.9–19.9 [16.7–22.2]) |
| Overbite, mm          | 3.2 ± 2.1       | 3.1 (1.4–4.2 [0–7.7]) |
| Overjet, mm           | 3.3 ± 1.6       | 3.2 (2.2–3.6 [0.6–6.7]) |
| Mandibular advancement, mm | 5.0 ± 1.8    | 4.9 (3.7–6.1 [1.6–8.6]) |
| Maximum interincisor distance, mm | 43.9 ± 4.6 | 43.0 (41.1–46.1 [37.6–52.8]) |

---

**Table 2.** Variables measured in the four head and mandibular positions and statistical analysis. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Neutral, Neutral position; Extension, Head extension position; Opening, Mouth opening position; Advancement, mandibular advancement position; SH EMG, Electromyogram of the suprahyoid muscles. *Data were corrected using the Greenhouse–Geisser method (Mauchly’s sphericity test was used to confirm the sphericity of the data; in cases in which the sphericity was violated, the data were corrected using the Greenhouse–Geisser method).

| Variables                        | Position          | Neutral | Extension | Opening | Advancement | F value | P value |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-------------|---------|---------|
| **Volume of residual water, ml** |                   | 0.24 ± 0.37 | 1.11 ± 1.14 | 7.95 ± 2.61 | 4.73 ± 3.46 | 33.728  | <0.001* |
| **Peak amplitude of SH EMG**     |                   | 0.34 ± 0.12 | 0.39 ± 0.14 | 0.26 ± 0.15 | 0.30 ± 0.13 | 3.783   | 0.019   |
| Raw value, mV                    |                   | 100     | 123.5 ± 53.4 | 79.8 ± 42.5 | 96.0 ± 52.7 | 3.916   | 0.016   |
| Normalised value, %              |                   | 1.34 ± 0.35 | 1.56 ± 0.33 | 1.23 ± 0.31 | 1.28 ± 0.36 | 4.095   | 0.013   |

---

was also smaller with the mouth opening position than with the head extension position. Other significant differences were not observed.

**Duration of SH EMG activity.** Although the duration of the SH EMG activity was shorter with mandibular advancement than with head extension, there was no significant difference in the EMG values with mandibular advancement when compared with that in the neutral position. The duration of the SH EMG activity was longer with head extension than that with mouth opening and mandibular advancement positions.

**Discussion**

The swallowing ability in the mandibular advancement position is associated with greater impairment than that in the head extension position, but lesser than that in the mouth opening position. Although the swallowing test performed in this study is not an accurate reflection of the participants’ swallowing ability, the presence of high volumes of residual water appears to provide sufficient evidence of swallowing impairment. There was no significant difference in the EMG values obtained during the mandibular advancement position compared with that obtained during the neutral position. Thus, the swallowing ability is most impaired during the mouth-open position, which is usually required during dental treatment. Head extension\(^9\) or mandibular advancement\(^10\) is required when the airway obstruction is observed during the dental treatment under intravenous sedation. To...
head extension resulted in swallowing impairment. Despite the association between swallowing impairment and the head extension position, the patient's head is often extended during the dental treatment under intravenous sedation because head extension is found to be an effective technique for promoting airway protection. This method increases the maximum oropharyngeal airway size and decreases the closing pressure of the velopharynx.

In the present study, the impaired swallowing ability induced by the head extension was smaller than that induced by the mouth opening or mandibular advancement. This suggests that the head extension may serve as a better airway management position in terms of preserving the swallowing ability. However, it is important to consider that swallowing takes the longest in the head extension position. Previous studies also demonstrated that the head extension resulted in swallowing impairment. Despite the association between swallowing impairment and the head extension position, the patient's head is often extended during the dental treatment under intravenous sedation because head extension is found to be an effective technique for promoting airway protection. This method increases the maximum oropharyngeal airway size and decreases the closing pressure of the velopharynx and oropharynx.

Table 3. Intergroup comparisons of the measured variables. The data were compared using Tukey's test. Neutral, Neutral position; Extension, Head extension position; Opening, Mouth opening position; Advancement, mandibular advancement position; SH EMG, Electromyogram of the suprahyoid muscles.

| Variables | Positions | Difference (A)-(B) | 95% Confidence interval | P value |
|-----------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Volume of residual water, ml | Neutral Extension | −0.87 | −3.19 to 1.45 | 0.746 |
| | Neutral Opening | −7.71 | −10.03 to −5.38 | <0.001 |
| | Extension Advancement | −4.49 | −6.82 to −2.17 | <0.001 |
| | Extension Opening | −6.84 | −9.16 to −4.52 | <0.001 |
| | Extension Advancement | −3.62 | −5.95 to −1.30 | 0.001 |
| Peak amplitude of SH EMG (Raw value), mV | Neutral Extension | −0.04 | −0.15 to 0.06 | 0.696 |
| | Neutral Opening | 0.08 | 0.02 to 0.19 | 0.176 |
| | Extension Advancement | 0.44 | −0.06 to 0.15 | 0.691 |
| | Extension Opening | 0.13 | 0.19 to 0.23 | 0.015 |
| | Extension Advancement | 0.09 | −0.20 to 0.19 | 0.144 |
| Peak amplitude of SH EMG (Normalised value), % | Neutral Extension | −23.5 | −58.2 to 11.2 | 0.279 |
| | Neutral Opening | −20.2 | −14.5 to 54.9 | 0.411 |
| | Extension Advancement | −4.0 | −30.7 to 38.8 | 0.989 |
| | Extension Opening | 43.69 | 9.0 to 78.4 | 0.009 |
| | Extension Advancement | 27.5 | −7.2 to 62.3 | 0.144 |
| Duration of SH EMG activity, s. | Neutral Extension | −0.22 | −0.45 to 0.05 | 0.145 |
| | Neutral Opening | 0.11 | −0.17 to 0.38 | 0.716 |
| | Extension Advancement | 0.06 | −0.22 to 0.33 | 0.947 |
| | Extension Opening | 0.33 | 0.06 to 0.60 | 0.013 |
| | Extension Advancement | 0.28 | 0.00 to 0.55 | 0.045 |
| | Extension Opening | −0.05 | −0.32 to 0.22 | 0.955 |
In the present study, the mouth opening position resulted in greater swallowing impairment than that from the head extension or mandibular advancement. Moreover, the mouth opening position easily induces upper airway obstruction. Although the mouth-open position is usually required during the dental treatment, it impairs both the airway patency and the swallowing ability. Therefore, care should be taken during the dental treatment under intravenous sedation to prevent the upper airway obstruction and the cough reflex.

The angle class was not the same in all subjects; however, we considered the influence of each subject's malocclusion on swallowing ability to be inconsequential, as those presenting with maxillary or mandibular prognathism, trismus, aperognathia, or swallowing impairment were excluded from this study. Additionally, in this study, ‘mouth opening’ was defined as an interincisal distance of 30 mm in all subjects. The level of discomfort associated with an interincisal distance of 30 mm varied for each subject owing to the differences in the interincisal distance during maximum mouth opening. A dental air turbine handpiece and other equipment of a similar size are routinely used during dental procedures. Therefore, in this study, we considered a fixed level of mouth opening to be desirable, as is necessary for dental procedures, regardless of the individual differences.

As mandibular advancement is non-physiological, as described above, conscious patients rarely undergo dental treatment in the mandibular advancement position. However, mandibular advancement is required during dental treatments under intravenous sedation, especially under deep sedation such as in patients with severe cognitive impairment, because the sedatives trigger airway obstruction. Moreover, aspiration and swallowing impairment increase with propofol sedation. In these situations, our results could not completely explain the swallowing ability; however, they suggest that head extension might be a better method compared with mandibular advancement to improve the airway patency and to reduce the occurrence of cough reflex, despite the wakefulness of the subjects.

The present study had several limitations. First, voluntary swallowing is different from spontaneous swallowing. This study investigated the voluntary swallowing and not spontaneous swallowing; yet voluntary swallowing is not always the one that occurs during most dental treatments. However, it is difficult to perform a spontaneous swallowing test under the conditions outlined by this study. Second, this study did not include intravenous sedation, because performing a swallowing test in a sedated patient with mandibular advancement poses the potential risk of asphyxiation. Third, this study had a small number of participants. Although sufficient data on the volume of residual water were obtained in this study, there were no significant differences in the EMG values in the mandibular advancement position when compared with that in the neutral position. In terms of obtaining a more accurate EMG assessment, a greater sample size may be required. However, ethical considerations make it difficult to perform this volunteer study with a larger number of participants. Finally, the study was limited by its use of a mandibular advancement appliance. Although a mandibular advancement appliance is not used during dental treatment in a clinical setting, this appliance was needed to reproduce the determined level of mandibular advancement in the present study.

In conclusion, the swallowing ability in the mandibular advancement position is associated with greater impairment compared with that in the head extension position but less impaired than in the mouth-open position. Therefore, the head extension may serve as a better airway management technique than mandibular advancement in terms of preserving the swallowing ability. Further study is, therefore, needed to elucidate the effects of sedatives on the swallowing ability during dental treatment under intravenous sedation. Moreover, studies with a larger sample size might be needed for the further interpretation of the EMG values.

Data availability
The data are not available for public access because of patient privacy concerns; however, these can be obtained from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 30 September 2019; Accepted: 17 December 2019;
Published online: 27 December 2019

References
1. Kidder, T. M. Esophago/pharyngo/laryngeal interrelationships: airway protection mechanisms. Dysphagia. 10, 228–231, https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00431414 (1995).
2. Nishino, T. Swallowing as a protective reflex for the upper respiratory tract. Anesthesiology. 79, 588–601, https://doi.org/10.1097/00000542-199309000-00024 (1993).
3. Togawa, E., Hanamoto, H., Maegawa, H., Yokoe, C. & Niwa, H. Dexmedetomidine and midazolam sedation reduces unexpected patient movement during dental surgery as compared with propofol and midazolam sedation. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg. 77, 29–41, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2018.07.002 (2019).
4. Hanamoto, H. et al. Cough reflex under intravenous sedation during dental implant surgery is more frequent during procedures in the maxillary anterior region. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg. 71, e158–e163, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2012.12.014 (2013).
5. Hanamoto, H. et al. Both head extension and mouth opening impair the ability to swallow in the supine position. J. Oral Rehabil. 41, 588–594, https://doi.org/10.1111/joor.12175 (2014).
6. Inagaki, D., Miyaoka, Y., Ashida, I., Ueda, K. & Yamada, Y. Influences of body posture on duration of oral swallowing in normal young adults. J. Oral Rehabil. 34, 414–421, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2842.2007.01737.x (2007).
7. Van Nierkerk, F. W., Miller, V. J. & Bibby, R. E. The ala-tragus line in complete denture prosthodontics. J. Prosthet. Dent. 53, 67–69, https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3913(85)90068-x (1985).
8. Ashida, I., Miyaoka, S. & Miyaoka, Y. Comparison of video-recorded laryngeal movements during swallowing by normal young men with piezoelectric sensor and electromyographic signals. J. Med. Eng. Technol. 33, 496–501, https://doi.org/10.1080/03091900902952691 (2009).
9. Ikeda, H., Ayuse, T. & Oi, K. The effects of head and body positioning on upper airway collapsibility in normal subjects who received midazolam sedation. J. Clin. Anesth. 18, 185–193, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinane.2005.08.010 (2006).
10. Ayuse, T. et al. A pilot study of quantitative assessment of mandibular advancement using pressure-flow relationship during midazolam sedation. J. Oral Rehabil. 33, 813–819, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2842.2006.16276.x (2006).
11. Inagaki, D., Miyaoka, Y., Ashida, I. & Yamada, Y. Influence of food properties and body position on swallowing-related muscle activity amplitude. J. Oral Rehabil. 36, 176–183, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2842.2008.02927.x (2009).
12. Sakuma, T. & Kida, I. Relationship between ease of swallowing and deglutition-related muscle activity in various postures. J. Oral Rehabil. 37, 583–589, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2842.2010.02084.x (2010).
13. Sundman, E. et al. Pharyngeal function and airway protection during subhypnotic concentrations of propofol, isoflurane, and sevoflurane: volunteers examined by pharyngeal videoradiography and simultaneous manometry. Anesthesiology. 95, 1125–1132, 10.1097/00000542-200111000-00016 (2001).
14. D’Angelo, O. M. et al. Anesthesia and increased hypercarbic drive impair the coordination between breathing and swallowing. Anesthesiology. 121, 1175–1183, https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0b013e3181d9f064 (2014).
15. Gemma, M. et al. Swallowing Impairment During Propofol Target-Controlled Infusion. Anesth. Analg. 122, 48–54, https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000000796 (2016).
16. Kapila, V. V., Dodds, W. J., Helm, J. E. & Hogan, W. J. Relationship between swallowing rate and salivary flow. Dig. Dis. Sci. 29, 528–533, https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01296273 (1984).
17. Inagaki, D., Miyaoka, Y., Ashida, I. & Yamada, Y. Influence of food properties and body posture on durations of swallowing-related muscle activities. J. Oral Rehabil. 35, 656–663, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2842.2008.01866.x (2008).
18. Inagaki, D., Miyaoka, Y., Ashida, I. & Yamada, Y. Activity pattern of swallowing-related muscles, food properties and body position in normal humans. J. Oral Rehabil. 36, 703–709, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2842.2009.01994.x (2009).
19. Engelke, W., Jung, K. & Knösel, M. Introral compartment pressures: a biofunctional model and experimental measurements under different conditions of posture. Clin. Oral Investig. 15, 165–176, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-009-0367-0 (2011).
20. Ayuse, T. et al. The mandible advancement may alter the coordination between breathing and the non-nutritive swallowing reflex. J. Oral Rehabil. 37, 336–345, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2842.2010.02067.x (2010).
21. Kawachi, Y., Oshima, T., Suzuki, S., Saitoh, Y. & Toyooka, H. Advancement of the mandible facilitates nasal breathing in human subjects sedated with midazolam. Can. J. Anaesth. 47, 215–219, https://doi.org/10.1007/bf03018915 (2000).
22. Kato, I. et al. Dose-dependent effects of mandibular advancement on pharyngeal mechanics and nocturnal oxygenation in patients with sleep-disordered breathing. Chest. 117, 1065–1072, https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.117.4.1065 (2000).
23. Aarab, G., Lobbezoo, F., Hamburger, H. L. & Naeije, M. Effects of an oral appliance with different mandibular protrusion positions at a constant vertical dimension on obstructive sleep apnea. Clin. Oral Investig. 14, 339–345, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-009-0298-9 (2010).
24. Isozo, S., Tanaka, A., Sho, Y., Konno, A. & Nishino, T. Advancement of the mandible improves velopharyngeal airway patency. J. Appl. Physiol. 79, 2132–2138, https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1995.79.6.2132 (1995).
25. Ertekin, C. et al. The effect of head and neck positions on oropharyngeal swallowing: a clinical and electrophysiologic study. Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil. 82, 1255–1260, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-9993(01)00141-9 (2001).
26. Isozo, S., Tanaka, A., Tagaito, Y., Ishikawa, T. & Nishino, T. Influences of head positions and bite opening on collapsibility of the passive pharynx. J. Appl. Physiol. 97, 339–346, https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00907.2003 (2004).
27. Ayuse, T. et al. Mouth-opening increases upper-airway collapsibility without changing resistance during midazolam sedation. J. Dent. Res. 83, 718–722, https://doi.org/10.1177/154080910408300912 (2004).
28. Ertekin, C. Voluntary versus spontaneous swallowing in man. Dysphagia. 26, 183–192, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00455-010-9319-8 (2011).

Acknowledgements
We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.jp) for English language editing. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Author contributions
H.H. designed the study and wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. E.T. contributed to the analysis and interpretation of data, and assisted in the preparation of the manuscript. All other authors contributed to data collection and interpretation, and critically reviewed the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript, and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information
Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to H.H.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019