Air Pollution and Child Mortality: A Time–Series Study in São Paulo, Brazil
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Although most available evidence relating air pollution and mortality was obtained for adults, pollution has been also associated with increased mortality in children, but in a significantly smaller number of studies. This study was designed to evaluate the association between child mortality and air pollution in the city of São Paulo, Brazil, from 1994 to 1997. Daily records of mortality due to respiratory diseases for children under 5 years of age were obtained from the municipal mortality information improvement program. Daily concentrations of sulfur dioxide (SO2), carbon monoxide (CO), inhalable particulate matter less than 10 µm in diameter (PM10), and ozone were obtained from the state air pollution controlling agency. Information on minimum daily temperature and on relative humidity was obtained from the Institute of Astronomy and Geophysics of the University of São Paulo.

Statistical analysis was performed through generalized additive models considering a Poisson response distribution and a log link. Explanatory variables were time, temperature, humidity, and pollutant concentrations. The loess smoother was applied to time (in order to model seasonality) and temperature. Significant associations between mortality and concentrations of CO, SO2, and PM10 were detected. The coefficients (and standard errors) of these three pollutants were 0.0306 (0.0076), 0.0055 (0.0016), and 0.0014 (0.0006), respectively. The observed associations were dose dependent and quite evident after a short period of exposure (2 days). According to the proposed model and considering the mean of the pollutant concentration during the period of the study, the estimated proportions of respiratory deaths attributed to CO, SO2, and PM10 when considered individually, are around 15, 13, and 7%, respectively. Key words: air pollution, children, epidemiology, mortality, respiratory diseases.

Material and Methods

Mortality Data

Daily records of mortality for children under 5 years of age in São Paulo were obtained from the municipal mortality information improvement program (PRO-AIM) from January 1994 to December 1997. Every death in the city is registered in the PRO-AIM files. In São Paulo the death certificate is mandatory, and by law it must be registered in the municipal office. The PRO-AIM collects those death certificates and submits them to quality control procedures (such as calling the attending physicians in the case of dubious information).

Pollution and Weather Data

São Paulo has a state air pollution controlling agency (CETESB) with 11 monitoring stations that provide daily records of sulfur dioxide (SO2) (24-hr mean), carbon monoxide (CO) (greatest 8-hr moving average), PM10 (24-hr mean), and O3 (24-hr peak) concentrations. The measurements are based in different time intervals mostly because the health standards required by Brazilian legislation were defined using those time windows.

However, not all the stations provide measurements of all the pollutants. Because the trend and the variability of the pollutant concentrations are similar for all stations (that
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is, when pollution levels increase in the central area, there is a proportional increase in the suburbs), the values obtained at stations with available records were averaged and considered indicative of the citywide status.

Unfortunately, the NO2 monitors of CETESB presented significant problems during the period of analysis (860 missing points), so NO2 data were not included in the present analysis.

Information on daily temperature (minimum) and relative humidity were obtained from the Institute of Astronomy and Geophysics of the University of São Paulo.

**Statistical Modeling**

Statistical analysis was performed through generalized additive models, which consider nonparametric smooth functions of the explanatory variables (16), a Poisson response distribution, and a log link. Explanatory variables were time, temperature, humidity, the number of nonrespiratory deaths, and pollutant concentrations. The analysis strategy consisted of modeling first seasonality, trends, and weather variables before including the pollutant concentrations.

According to the ICD-10, some respiratory diseases such as pneumonia and bronchopneumonia are now accepted as complications of other diseases; hence, they are less frequently included as the basic cause of the death. As this might result in a reduction of the mean number of respiratory diseases, we included appropriate controls in the model. In particular, a nonparametric function, the loess smoother (16), was applied to time to control the seasonality as well as an eventual reduction in the mean number of respiratory deaths due to ICD versions.

The loess smoother was also applied to temperature. The smoothing parameters (12 and 5% for time and temperature, respectively), were selected on the basis of the scatterplots smoothing of their respective partial fitted values. Because the relation between mortality and humidity presented a linear behavior, no smoothing for humidity was used in the final model. We investigated the effect of weather variables recorded on the same day, lagged by 1 and 2 days as well as 2-day moving averages. The best fit was obtained when considering the values of the second previous day for temperature and the concurrent day for humidity. In the last step, we added the pollutant concentrations individually to the model, either considering their values at the concurrent day, lagged by 1 to 3 days or moving averages from 1 to 5 days. The best fit was obtained when the values of the second previous day for CO, SO2, and PM10 were considered. The concurrent day record was chosen for O3, as none of the transformed variables (lags or moving averages) were significant for this pollutant. The complete model may be expressed as

\[
\log(E(\text{respiratory deaths})) = \alpha + L_1(\text{time}) + L_2(\text{minimum temperature}) + \beta_1 \times \text{humidity} + \beta_2 \times \text{nonrespiratory deaths} + \beta_3 \times \text{pollutant concentration} \quad [1]
\]

where the functions \(L_1\) and \(L_2\) are estimated using the loess smoother.

Models in which dummy variables were used to indicate categories based on quintiles of the pollutant concentrations (instead of the continuous pollution variable) were used to verify a possible dose-dependent behavior of the relative risk. Models were refitted with adjustments for autocorrelation, following the methods developed by Zeger (17). Autoregressive parameters up to order 4 were estimated, and just the significant terms were retained in the model.

To evaluate the sensitivity of the pollutant concentration coefficients to the different variables included in the model, we fitted several models for different sets of the explanatory variables.

In addition to the above modeling procedures, we refitted the models without smoothing functions using \(a\) maximum likelihood with a Poisson response and a log link as in the initial approach (18), and \(b\) ordinary least squares with a log-transformed response. In the aforementioned models, seasonality and temperature were considered by means of independent dummy variables for month of the year and years of the study, as well as different functions of temperature and humidity.
Results

Figure 1 presents the daily pollutant concentrations, weather variables, and mortality counts during the period of study. Summary measures for these variables are presented in Table 1.

Mortality counts decreased continuously during the period under investigation. There was a great number of missing pollutant data for the first 3 years, especially for O\textsubscript{3} (22% of total observations), whereas PM\textsubscript{10}, CO, and SO\textsubscript{2} had missing data in 3, 7, and 4% of the days, respectively.

There were moderate significant correlations (0.44 to 0.60) among PM\textsubscript{10}, SO\textsubscript{2}, and CO. Ozone did not present a strong correlation with the other pollutants (0.12 to 0.13), probably because it is derived from photochemical reactions.

Figure 2 shows the estimated nonparametric functions of time and temperature, under the model represented in Equation 1. The seasonal pattern in mortality is evident, and the function also captures decreasing behavior through the years. According to the data, mortality increases as temperature decreases, and there is a plateau between 10 and 15°C.

Significant associations between mortality due to respiratory diseases and concentrations of CO, SO\textsubscript{2}, and PM\textsubscript{10} measured 2 days due to respiratory diseases and concentrations and 15°C. decreases, and there is a plateau between 10 and 15°C.

The seasonal pattern in mortality is evident, and the function also captures decreasing behavior through the years. According to the data, mortality increases as temperature decreases, and there is a plateau between 10 and 15°C.

Significant associations between mortality due to respiratory diseases and concentrations of CO, SO\textsubscript{2}, and PM\textsubscript{10} measured 2 days before death were observed, as shown in Table 2. No significant association with O\textsubscript{3} was observed. When the four pollutants were included in the same model, only CO remained significant; SO\textsubscript{2} became marginally significant.

Using the estimates in Table 2 for pollutants individually included in the model, we found it is possible to estimate the relative risk of mortality for the average concentration of each pollutant during the time of study, using the relationship \( e^{\text{coefficient} \times \text{pollutant mean concentration} - 1} \), which is around 15, 13, and 7% for CO, SO\textsubscript{2}, and PM\textsubscript{10} respectively.

Table 3 shows the coefficients for the pollutants significantly associated with mortality (CO, SO\textsubscript{2}, and PM\textsubscript{10}) in Poisson regression models with different controlling variables. Explanatory variables were progressively added: model 1 contains only the pollutant; model 2 included the nonparametric function of time; model 3 included temperature and humidity, etc. The pollutant coefficients were sensitive to the terms included in the model, suggesting that part of the effect estimated in model 1 may be due to seasonal factors or confounding variables. In model 4 we added the number of nonrespiratory deaths to control for other possible events, but this did not change the estimated coefficients relating pollution to mortality. In model 5 we adjusted autoregressive parameters, and PM\textsubscript{10} became only marginally significant (\( p < 0.10 \)).

Table 3 shows the relative risk for categories of CO, SO\textsubscript{2}, and PM\textsubscript{10}, indicating an increase in the risk of mortality around 20–30% in the most polluted days (when compared to the less-polluted days), suggesting a dose-dependent behavior.

Finally, using dummy variables instead of the smoothing function for controlling seasonality, as well as the use of ordinary least-squares models, did not substantially change the observed associations.

Discussion

This study showed a significant association between respiratory mortality in children and daily levels of CO, SO\textsubscript{2}, and PM\textsubscript{10} in São Paulo, Brazil. Using different models, we observed that the associations with these pollutants were significant even after terms for seasonal variation and weather were included or autocorrelation (except for PM\textsubscript{10}) was considered. In addition, no association between air pollution and nonrespiratory deaths was observed. The above results are consistent with those of our previous study of child mortality due to respiratory diseases and air pollution (11). In the previous study, we used a 1-year time-series analysis and considered NO\textsubscript{x} in the model. In the present work, a 4-year time-series analysis disclosed different pollutants exhibiting a significant effect on child mortality.

### Table 1. Mean (and standard deviation) for mortality data, pollutant concentrations, and weather variables.

| Time (year) | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | Total |
|-------------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| Total       | 14.1 (3.9) | 13.3 (3.9) | 12.7 (3.7) | 12.2 (3.6) | 13.1 (3.9) |
| Respiratory | 3.0 (1.9)  | 2.8 (2.0)  | 2.1 (1.7)  | 1.6 (1.3)  | 2.4 (1.8)  |
| Other causes| 11.1 (3.5) | 10.5 (3.4) | 10.5 (3.3) | 10.5 (3.3) | 10.7 (3.4) |

### Table 2. Estimates for each pollutant when included individually and together in a model controlled for seasonality, temperature, relative humidity, and nonrespiratory deaths.

| Pollutants | Individually | Coefficients | Standard error | p-value | Together | Coefficients | Standard error | p-value |
|------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|---------|----------|--------------|----------------|---------|
| CO (ppm)   |              | 0.0306       | 0.0076         | < 0.01  | 0.0259   | 0.0116       | 0.03            |
| SO\textsubscript{2} (µg/m\textsuperscript{3}) | 0.0055     | 0.0016       | < 0.01         | 0.0045  | 0.0025   | 0.07          |                |
| PM\textsubscript{10} (µg/m\textsuperscript{3}) | 0.0014     | 0.0006       | 0.01           | -0.0008 | 0.0010   | 0.45          |                |
| O\textsubscript{3} (µg/m\textsuperscript{3}) | 0.0004     | 0.0006       | 0.46           | 0.0005  | 0.0006   | 0.37          |                |

### Table 3. Coefficient (and standard error) for each pollutant in models with different explanatory variables.

| Model specification | PM\textsubscript{10} | SO\textsubscript{2} | CO |
|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----|
| 1: Pollutant concentration | 0.0043** (0.0006) | 0.0070*** (0.0017) | 0.0827** (0.0077) |
| 2: 1 + loss(time) | 0.0015*** (0.0005) | 0.0057*** (0.0015) | 0.0285*** (0.0074) |
| 3: 2 + loss(temperature) + humidity | 0.0014** (0.0006) | 0.0056** (0.0016) | 0.0380** (0.0076) |
| 4: 3 + nonrespiratory counts | 0.0014** (0.0006) | 0.0055*** (0.0016) | 0.0380** (0.0076) |
| 5: 4 + autoregressive parameters | 0.0016* (0.0009) | 0.0088*** (0.0024) | 0.0292** (0.0118) |

* \( p < 0.10 \), ** \( p < 0.05 \), *** \( p < 0.01 \).
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It is important to note that the statistical modeling of the present study is significantly more sophisticated than that considered in our study of 1994, as different options were employed. In addition, an extended period of observation was considered in the present analysis. These two factors increased the power of our more recent study, further clarifying the possible role of air pollution in promoting acute adverse effects.

The change in ICD versions did not influence the results. Note there was a decrease in the number of deaths from 1995 to 1996 (when ICD-10 was adopted), but also from 1996 to 1997 (in this case, the decrease is even bigger), making it difficult to attribute such a difference to the change in ICD versions (Figure 2). Using the loess function, it is difficult to detect whether there are significant differences in mortality among the years of study, as that is a nonparametric function. However, if we adopt an alternative approach without smooth functions (including 12 dummy variables representing each month and 4 dummy variables representing the years of the study instead of the loess function) in the model, significant coefficients ($p < 0.01$) are obtained for 1996 and 1997, suggesting that mortality is decreasing along time of observation. The estimated coefficients (and standard errors) were $-0.057 (0.046)$, $-0.346 (0.050)$, and $-0.619 (0.054)$ for 1995, 1996, and 1997, respectively. The reason for this decrease is difficult to determine within the scope of this study. It probably reflects the improvement of health policy measures adopted in São Paulo.

The present study confirms our previous observations that the gaseous fraction of urban air pollution strongly affects children's health in São Paulo (11,12). The effects of gaseous pollutant were even more robust than those observed for PM$_{10}$, which is in accordance with our previous time-series studies. In fact, it is plausible that gaseous pollutants (CO and SO$_2$) represent proxy variables for automotive emissions. These are intrinsic limitations of ecologic studies that do not adequately address the isolated effects of components of the complex mixture of pollutants present in the air of large towns. Despite the foregoing limitations to isolating a single agent responsible for the observed effects, this study, combined with our previous data, indicates that significant associations between child mortality and the gaseous fraction of pollution are repeatedly identified in São Paulo.

Unfortunately, our air pollution monitoring system does not provide measurements on PM$_{2.5}$, as considered by Loomis et al. (13) in Mexico City, although that study also suggested that a gaseous pollutant (NO$_2$) affects mortality.

The association between pollution and child mortality was significant only for respiratory deaths, as previously reported (11). In fact, the addition of nonrespiratory deaths did not change appreciably the estimated coefficients relating pollution with mortality. The same specificity of the effects of air pollution on children's health was observed in previous morbidity studies (19,20). Probably, the greater susceptibility of children to develop respiratory diseases compared to the health scenario of adults (with previous chronic cardiovascular diseases, for instance) induces an almost pure respiratory effect of pollution on child health.

In conclusion, this study showed a robust association between air pollution and respiratory mortality in children in São Paulo. This result is coherent with previous child mortality data (11–13) and indicates that air pollution in São Paulo represents a serious public health problem.