Dissecting the Association of Apolipoprotein E Gene Polymorphisms With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Coronary Artery Disease
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Background: Apolipoprotein E (APOE) gene mediates lipoprotein clearance and is one of the most studied candidate genes for type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and coronary artery disease (CAD). This study was performed to determine the association between APOE polymorphisms and T2DM with and without CAD, and its effect on plasma lipid levels in a Chinese population.

Methods: A total of 1,414 subjects involving 869 patients and 545 health individuals were recruited. These patients were categorized into three distinct groups: 264 in T2DM group, 401 in CAD group, and 204 in T2DM+CAD group. Logistic regression analysis was used to obtain odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) in predicting the risk probability of APOE. Besides, a meta-analysis was preformed to integrate an evaluation index to evaluate their associations.

Results: Genotype frequency ratio of genotype ϵ3/4 and allele ϵ4 among the CAD patients with or without T2DM was obviously increased. Compared with ϵ3/3 genotype, the ϵ3/4 genotype had a significant increased risk of CAD (adjusted OR = 1.90, 95% CI = 1.30–2.77) and T2DM+CAD (adjusted OR = 1.95, 95% CI = 1.24–3.08). In the meta-analysis, four studies were included and provided a strong evidence for the APOE ϵ4 mutation elevating the risk of CAD in patients with T2DM (ϵ3/ϵ4+ϵ4/ϵ4 vs. ϵ3/ϵ3, OR = 1.51, 95% CI = 1.13–2.02). In the T2DM group, the plasma levels of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) showed significant difference among the three APOE isoforms. The high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) levels of CAD patients with ϵ4-bearing genotypes were lower than those with ϵ3/3 genotype.

Conclusions: Our results indicate that APOE gene polymorphisms are related to CAD with or without T2DM and have influence on lipid profiles in both T2DM and CAD patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a common chronic metabolic disease characterized by high levels of sugar in the blood and is prevalent throughout the world. The incidence of T2DM is rising at an alarming rate attributed to changing dietary patterns, increasing life expectancy, and westernization of lifestyles in developing countries (1). T2DM frequently coexists with various complications such as hypertension and dyslipidemia and is also known as a major independent risk factor for coronary artery disease (CAD) (2). Cardiovascular disease including CAD is increased in T2DM subjects, which is associated with significant morbidity and mortality. Patients with T2DM have two- to fourfold greater risk of developing CAD compared to individuals without diabetes (3). The development of CAD in the setting of T2DM due to a complex combination of various risk factors plays important role in the beginning and the evolution of atherosclerosis (4). The inherited aspect of risk factors is most often a number of genes interacting with each other or with the environmental factors. Therefore, managing genetic risk factors for T2DM and CAD may improve the understanding of these disease and result in better clinical management.

Apolipoprotein E (APOE) gene maps in the long arm of chromosome 19 at position q13.32, which encodes a multifunction glycoprotein containing 299 amino acids (Figure 1A). It acts as cholesterol carrier and is involved in mediating the transportation and metabolism of lipids (5). As shown in Figure 1B, two single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in APOE, namely, rs429358 (T>C) and rs7412 (C>T), gives rise to three major alleles: ε2 (rs429358-T, rs7412-T), ε3 (rs429358-T, rs7412-C), and ε4 (rs429358-C, rs7412-C). Therefrom, the three alleles yield six different genotypes, of which three are homozygous, namely, ε2/ε2, ε3/ε3, and ε4/ε4, and three are heterozygous, namely, ε2/ε3, ε2/ε4, and ε3/ε4. Besides, these variants encode three different protein isoforms: APOE2 (ε2/ε2, ε2/ε3; Cys112/Cys158), APOE3 (ε2/ε4, ε3/ε3; Cys112/Arg158), and APOE4 (ε3/ε4, 4/4; Arg112/Arg158, Figure 1C) (6). Previous studies have shown that variants of APOE could govern the metabolism of lipoproteins. Allele ε4 is associated with lower plasma high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) level but had higher plasma levels of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), total cholesterol (TC), and triglycerides (TG), when compared with ε3 allele. Meanwhile, the presence of ε2 is usually coupled with lower plasma levels of LDL (7, 8). Referring to those previous studies, evidence suggests that a functional interaction between APOE polymorphisms and LDL receptor (LDL-R) influences the risk of CAD and T2DM, and ε4 allele has higher affinity to LDL-R than other alleles (9, 10). It is thus likely that the effects of APOE ε4 are due to overproduction of LDL or fewer LDL-R, overwhelming the limited ability of mediating the clearance of lipoproteins (10, 11). In contrast, Larilla et al. has reported that the lack relationship between APOE polymorphisms and CAD in Afro-Caribbean people (12). Besides, according to a recent meta-analysis including 13 eligible studies, APOE gene ε4 allele had a significant increased risk for CAD patients with T2DM, whereas the ε2 variation had null association (13).
Consequently, we conducted a case–control study to investigate the association of APOE polymorphisms with T2DM and CAD in a Chinese population and its potential role in lipid metabolism.

**METHODS**

**Study Population**

The studied subjects were recruited from The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University (Nanjing, China) from January 2018 to December 2019. Our study was approved by the Ethics Committee of The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, and all donors signed a written informed consent before enrollment. Only Chinese subjects aged 18 years or above were recruited. Questionnaires were used to collect the information of age, sex, genetic family history, medical history, and lifestyle habits. Other clinical and biochemical data such as blood pressure, dyslipidemia, and blood glucose were obtained from clinical and laboratory examinations. Dyslipidemic or hyperlipidemic feature matches the following conditions: TC > 5.17 mmol/L (200 mg/dl), TG > 1.69 mmol/L (150 mg/dl), LDL-C > 3.38 mmol/L (130 mg/dl), or HDL-C < 1.03 mmol/L (40 mg/dl). A fasting plasma glucose (FPG) level ≥ 7.0 mmol/L (126 mg/dl) or a 2-h plasma glucose ≥ 11.1 mmol/L (200 mg/dl) meets the threshold for the diagnosis of diabetes.

According to the above criteria, studied subjects were classified into four groups. First is the T2DM group that included 264 subjects that fulfilled the diabetes diagnostic criteria of FPG ≥ 7.0 mmol/L or were under treatment with oral antidiabetic drugs. Second is the CAD group that consisted of 401 subjects with at least 50% stenosis in a major coronary artery or one of their branches defined by coronary angiography. Third is the T2DM+CAD group included 204 subjects diagnosed to have diabetes complicated with coronary artery disease. Exclusion criteria included type 1 diabetes mellitus, malignant tumors, liver and kidney diseases, metabolic disorders, and autoimmune diseases. Fourth is the control group that included 545 healthy individuals without hyperlipidemia, hypertension, cardiovascular diseases, and diabetes.

**APOE Genotyping**

Genomic DNA was isolated from leukocytes of the peripheral blood using a commercial kit following the manufacturer’s protocols (Sinochip, Zhuhai, China). DNA concentration and purity were estimated by 260 and 280 nm (optical density, OD) light absorbance on a Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Two APOE SNPs (rs429358 and rs7412) were genotyped using a detection kit (GeneChip Assay, Sinochip, Zhuhai, China). All samples were amplified according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and then, the amplified products were assayed by the fully automated GeneChip detection system (Sinochip, Zhuhai, China).

**Lipid Profiles**

After an overnight fast of at least 12 h, venous blood samples were collected from these patients. Plasma TC, TG, HDL-C, LDL-C, and FPG were quantified using the Beckman biochemical assembly line.

**Systematic Review and Meta-analysis**

We conducted a literature search for all studies that evaluated the association of APOE polymorphisms with T2DM and CAD in the PubMed database up to December 2021. The following key terms were used in the search: “apolipoprotein E” or “APOE,” “polymorphism,” “CAD,” and “T2DM.” References cited in each retrieved article were also manually scanned to discover additional eligible studies. Articles were recruited for this meta-analysis if they fitted the following criteria: (1) investigated the associations between APOE polymorphisms and CAD in patients with T2DM, (2) sufficient data for estimating the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs), and (3) published in English. Exclusion criteria were (1) duplication of previous data and (2) not using coronary angiography to confirm CAD.

**Statistical Analysis**

Continuous data such as age and lipid profile were compared using Student’s t-test or Wilcoxon test for two groups and Kruskal–Wallis test for more than two groups. Categorical variables (sex and APOE genotypes) were expressed as frequency and compared using Pearson’s χ² test or Fisher’s exact test. Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium was conducted to evaluate the allele and genotype difference among groups. The associations between APOE polymorphism and diseases were estimated by computing crude or adjusted ORs and 95% CIs from unconditional logistic regression. All the statistical analyses were done with R 4.0.1, and two-sided p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Characteristics and Clinical Features of Subjects**

The demographic and clinical features of 1,414 included individuals are summarized in Table 1. The data from normal controls were used as a reference to compare with the data obtained from three observation groups consisted of patients with T2DM, CAD, and T2DM+CAD. A significant difference in age was found between control group (mean age, 67.2 years) and the observation groups (T2DM, 69.5 years; CAD, 65.3 years; T2DM+CAD, 70.5 years), implying a higher risk of developing T2DM with increasing age. Gender was equally distributed in CAD group with 111 female patients and 290 male patients and in T2DM+CAD group with 65 female and 139 male patients compared to control group with 206 female and 339 male subjects. There was also significant sex difference between CAD patients and the controls (p = 0.001) due to the high prevalence of male patients among CAD compared to control group (72.3% vs. 62.2%). Besides, T2DM and T2DM+CAD groups had significantly higher levels of TG than the normal control group. Patients with CAD or T2DM had lower levels of HDL-C than the controls. Therefore, the TG/HDL-C ratio was
TABLE 1 | Clinical characteristics and genotype distribution of APOE gene in different groups.

| Variables            | Control | T2DM | p   | CAD   | p    | T2DM+CAD | p   |
|----------------------|---------|------|-----|-------|------|----------|-----|
| Age (years)          | 67.2 ± 13.4 | 69.5 ± 12.7 | 0.022 | 65.3 ± 12.3 | 0.029 | 70.5 ± 13.0 | 0.003 |
| Sex                  |          |      |     |       |      |          |     |
| Female               | 206 (37.8%) | 85 (32.2%) | 0.120 | 111 (27.7%) | 0.001 | 65 (31.9%) | 0.132 |
| Male                 | 339 (62.2%) | 179 (67.8%) |       | 290 (72.3%) |       | 139 (68.1%) |      |
| Lipid profile (mmol/L) |          |      |     |       |      |          |     |
| TC                   | 4.25 ± 0.96 | 4.30 ± 1.23 | 0.974 | 4.33 ± 1.13 | 0.635 | 4.29 ± 1.34 | 0.385 |
| TG                   | 1.42 ± 0.91 | 1.72 ± 1.30 | <0.001 | 1.49 ± 0.89 | 0.238 | 1.86 ± 1.45 | <0.001 |
| HDL-C                | 1.15 ± 0.33 | 1.05 ± 0.28 | <0.001 | 1.09 ± 0.26 | 0.032 | 1.02 ± 0.27 | <0.001 |
| LDL-C                | 2.58 ± 0.71 | 2.65 ± 0.89 | 0.506 | 2.69 ± 0.86 | 0.173 | 2.70 ± 1.03 | 0.794 |
| TG/HDL-C ratio       | 1.38 ± 1.12 | 1.87 ± 1.88 | <0.001 | 1.49 ± 1.10 | 0.032 | 2.08 ± 2.13 | <0.001 |
| APOE genotypes       |          |      |     |       |      |          |     |
| e2/2                 | 6 (1.10%) | 3 (1.14%) | 1.000 | 1 (0.25%) | 0.249 | 0 (0%) | –    |
| e2/3                 | 87 (15.96%) | 47 (17.80%) | 0.509 | 52 (12.97%) | 0.198 | 27 (13.24%) | 0.355 |
| e2/4                 | 6 (1.10%) | 2 (0.76%) | 1.000 | 3 (0.75%) | 0.741 | 4 (1.96%) | 0.473 |
| e3/3                 | 387 (71.01%) | 171 (64.77%) | 0.072 | 268 (66.83%) | 0.169 | 132 (64.71%) | 0.096 |
| e3/4                 | 58 (10.64%) | 39 (14.77%) | 0.090 | 75 (18.70%) | <0.001 | 39 (19.12%) | 0.002 |
| e4/3                 | 1 (0.18%) | 2 (0.76%) | 1.000 | 2 (0.50%) | 0.577 | 2 (0.98%) | 0.182 |
| APOE alleles         |          |      |     |       |      |          |     |
| e2                   | 105 (9.63%) | 55 (10.42%) | 0.621 | 57 (7.11%) | 0.052 | 31 (7.60%) | 0.222 |
| e3                   | 919 (84.31%) | 428 (81.06%) | 0.101 | 663 (82.67%) | 0.340 | 330 (80.88%) | 0.112 |
| e4                   | 66 (6.06%) | 45 (8.52%) | 0.066 | 82 (10.22%) | <0.001 | 47 (11.52%) | <0.001 |

Data are presented as mean ± SD, or numbers (N) and percentage. p-value: comparison between T2DM/CVD/T2DM+CAD group and control group. Groups were compared using Student’s t-test or Wilcoxon test (for continuous variables) and Pearson’s χ² test or Fisher’s exact test (for categorical variables). Bold values denote statistical significance at the p < 0.05 level.

calculated, and this index was significantly higher among the observation groups.

APOE Genotype and Allele Frequencies of Subjects

Our extracted genomic DNA was of good quality with an OD260/OD280 ratio between 1.7 and 2.0. The genotype distributions of all included groups were in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (p > 0.05), exhibiting group representation. The most frequent genotype was e3/3 in our subjects, followed by e2/4 and e3/4 genotypes among these groups. Compared with the control group, the genotype ratio of e3/3 obviously increased in the CAD group compared to the control and T2DM group, the levels of HDL-C expression. As shown in Table 1, the differences in e4 allele frequency distribution between the CAD and T2DM+CAD groups and the control group were considered statistically significant (both p < 0.001).

Association of APOE Polymorphism With Diseases

Logistic regression was performed to evaluate the correlation between APOE polymorphism and T2DM or CAD. As shown in Table 2, when the e3/3 genotype was used as the reference, the e3/4 genotype had a significantly increased risk of CAD and T2DM+CAD (adjusted OR = 1.90, 95% CI = 1.30–2.77 for CAD; adjusted OR = 1.95, 95% CI = 1.24–3.08 for T2DM +CAD). Furthermore, APOE allele e4 appeared to increase the risk of developing CAD without or with T2DM, with adjusted OR of 1.72 (95% CI, 1.22–2.42) and 1.97 (95% CI, 1.32–2.93), respectively, compared with the allele e3. However, allele e4 was not found to be associated with the risk of CAD in T2DM (e4 vs. e3, OR = 1.36, 95% CI = 0.88–2.09). These results encouraged us to conduct a meta-analysis to explore the association between APOE allele e4 and T2DM complicated with CAD. According to the above search criteria, three articles (14–16) and our study were included in the meta-analysis (Supplementary Figure S1). Figure 2 displays that e3/4 + e4/4 genotype increased the risk of developing CAD in T2DM patients, with a pooled OR of 1.51 (95% CI, 1.13–2.02), compared to the e3/3 genotype. Besides, no heterogeneity was detected, indicating that the pooled results of this meta-analysis were statistically steady and robust.

Relationship Between APOE Polymorphism and Lipid Profiles

We analyzed the blood lipid profiles in subjects with different APOE genotypes. In the control and T2DM group, the levels of LDL-C showed significant difference among APOE2, APOE3, and APOE4 individuals; in the CAD group, APOE4 patients had lower levels of HDL-C than APOE3 and APOE2 patients. However, there was no significant difference in TG/HDL-C ratio across different APOE genotype groups (Supplementary Table S1). The frequencies of the e4 allele might contribute to the difference in APOE distribution between observation groups and control group. Therefore, we analyzed the correlation between e4-bearing genotypes (e2/e4, e3/e4, and e4/e4) and plasma HDL-C expression. As shown in Figure 3, e3/e3 genotype as a reference, e4-bearing genotypes had significant decreased levels of HDL-C in CAD group (p = 0.016) but not in the other three groups. We also examined the association between e4-bearing genotypes and TG/HDL-C ratio, and yet,
TABLE 2 | Comparison of APOE genotypes and alleles frequency between the control group and the case group.

| Variables | Control n | CAD | T2DM | T2DM+CAD | p* | OR (95% CI) | p* | OR (95% CI) | p* | OR (95% CI) | p* |
|-----------|-----------|-----|------|---------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|------------|-----|
| APOE genotypes | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ϵ2/ϵ2 | 6 | 3 | 11.3 (2.8-45.8) | 11.9 (2.9-43.9) | 0.813 | 0 | 0.24 (0.03-2.01) | 0.22 (0.03-2.01) | 0.22 (0.03-2.01) | 0.22 (0.03-2.01) | 0.873 |
| ϵ2/ϵ4 | 2 | 1 | 1.52 (0.35-2.53) | 1.61 (0.32-3.53) | 1.09 | 2 | 0.71 (0.13-4.01) | 0.73 (0.13-4.01) | 0.73 (0.13-4.01) | 0.73 (0.13-4.01) | 0.004 |
| ϵ3/ϵ3 | 387 | 171 | Reference | Reference | Reference | - | Reference | Reference | Reference | Reference | - |
| ϵ3/ϵ4 | 58 | 39 | 1.97 (1.26-3.10) | 1.98 (1.24-3.08) | 0.001 | 75 | 1.87 (1.28-2.72) | 1.90 (1.30-2.77) | 0.001 | 75 | 1.87 (1.28-2.72) | 1.90 (1.30-2.77) | 0.001 |
| ϵ4/ϵ4 | 1 | 2 | 4.53 (1.45-13.72) | 4.51 (1.43-13.76) | 1.02 | 2 | 0.88 (0.11-6.70) | 0.92 (0.11-6.74) | 1.02 | 2 | 0.88 (0.11-6.70) | 0.92 (0.11-6.74) | 1.02 |
| Alleles | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ϵ2 | 105 | 55 | 1.19 (0.80-1.80) | 1.17 (0.80-1.90) | 0.848 | 57 | 0.75 (0.54-1.06) | 0.74 (0.53-1.03) | 0.82 | 57 | 0.75 (0.54-1.06) | 0.74 (0.53-1.03) | 0.82 |
| ϵ3 | 919 | 428 | Reference | Reference | Reference | - | Reference | Reference | Reference | Reference | - |
| ϵ4 | 99 | 66 | 1.49 (0.95-2.37) | 1.53 (0.98-2.37) | 0.042 | 39 | 0.82 (0.53-1.25) | 0.82 (0.53-1.25) | 0.042 | 39 | 0.82 (0.53-1.25) | 0.82 (0.53-1.25) | 0.042 |

Adjusted for age and sex. Bold values denote statistical significance at the p < 0.05 level.

DISCUSSION

T2DM, a chronic condition disease, induced by a genetic predisposition together with environmental factors, is a well-established risk factor for CAD. T2DM and its related cardiovascular complications propose specific challenges at diverse stages of the life. APOE polymorphisms have been reported to significantly associate with risk for T2DM and CAD, which were considered as the most influential genetic risk factors. Here, we carried out several experiments to evaluate the association between the APOE ε2/ε3/ε4 polymorphisms with the risk of T2DM and CAD. When combined with the analysis the polymorphism of APOE and blood lipid levels, these results provided new understanding on the correlation between APOE gene and T2DM patients with CAD.

Our study provided evidence for the significant correlation between APOE ε3/ε4 genotype and an elevated risk of CAD without or with T2DM. After adjusting for age and sex, logistic regression analysis showed that ε4 allele increased the risk of CAD by 1.72 times, compared with ε3 allele. Besides, T2DM patients carrying ε4 allele had 1.97-fold higher risk of CAD as compared to the controls. Our data indicated that ε4 allele was an independent risk factor for CAD but not for T2DM. Previous studies have investigated the probable associations between APOE polymorphisms and patients with T2DM or CAD. Chaudhary et al. reported that the ε4 allele was significantly higher in both T2DM and CAD as compared with controls (16). The independent predictor of individuals carrying ε4 allele remained significantly associated with both CAD (adjusted OR = 2.32, 95% CI = 1.17–4.61) and T2DM (adjusted OR = 2.04, 95% CI = 1.07–3.86). El–Lebedy et al. found that the frequencies of ε3/ε4 genotype and ε4 allele were increased in both T2DM patients and cardiovascular disease (CVD) patients as compared with controls but were significant only in CVD patients (17). Diabetic patients who carried ε3/ε4 genotype had 2.4-fold increased risk of developing CVD (95% CI, 1.14–5.19), and the ε4 allele was associated with 2.23-fold higher CVD risk (95% CI, 1.09–4.59). A recent meta-analysis including 13 studies provided evidence that there were significant associations between ε4 allele and the risk of CAD in patients with T2DM (ε3/ε4 vs. ε3/ε3, OR = 1.69, 95% CI = 1.38–2.08; ε4/ε4 vs. ε3/ε3, OR = 2.72, 95% CI = 1.61–4.60) (13). Combined with our own data and setting of strict inclusion and exclusion criteria, our meta-analysis found that ε4 mutation could elevate the risk of CAD in patients with T2DM. Therefore, we may conclude that ε3/ε4 genotypes and ε4 allele of APOE contributed to CAD in T2DM patients.

One outcome from this work is the levels of HDL-C in CAD patients with ε4-bearing genotypes (ε2/ε4, ε3/ε4, and ε4/ε4) were lower than patients with ε3/ε3 genotype. It was well-known that the HDL-C levels were important factors of blood lipid levels. The relationship between ε4 allele and lipid profile remained controversial. Li et al. found that the APOE ε4 carrier had a lower HDL-C than the ε2 allele but not for ε3 allele in a Chinese
population of CAD (18). In a recent study on Kashmiri population, the CAD patients carrying ε4 allele had significantly lower HDL-C levels (19). Chaaba et al. found that the ε4 allele was only associated with elevated LDL-C concentration and with CAD in type 2 diabetic men in Tunisian population, showing that gender interacted with the effects of APOE polymorphism (14). Therefore, these inconsistent findings might be complicated by considerable differences in the allele frequency distributions among different ethnic populations.

Current evidence showed that APOE is a versatile glycoprotein that plays a central role in lipoprotein metabolism (20). Although the three APOE isoforms differ in only one or two amino acids, these slight changes affect the structure and alter the affinity to lipoproteins. APOE3 binds preferentially to HDL, whereas APOE4 shows an enhanced lipid bind ability of VLDL particles, which impairs their lipolytic processing in the circulation, resulting in a more pro-atherogenic lipoprotein–cholesterol distribution. Therefore, abnormalities of lipoprotein metabolism may partly explain that the plasma HDL-C levels were lowest in APOE4 patients compared to APOE3 and APOE2 patients in CAD group. Besides, excessive amounts of circulant lipids may affect systemic inflammation and insulin resistance (IR) (21, 22). Previous studies reported the TG/HDL-C ratio as predictor of IR (22). A higher ratio indicated a large amount of circulant lipids. Our study showed that the T2DM+CAD group had the highest TG/HDL-C ratio than the control, T2DM, and CAD groups. Then, we assessed whether the APOE isoforms were associated with IR, but no association was observed. Confirming results of previous studies, the relationship between APOE allele frequencies and IR was controversial (23–25).

There are several limitations that should be pointed out as follows. First, this case–control study was hospital based, and the selection bias was inevitable. Therefore, we adjusted for potential confounding factors such as age and sex to minimize the bias in logistic regression. Second, adult populations showed specific mutations not only owing to genetic background. Life habits,
diets, climate, pollution, and even pandemic might influence it. As this study recruited subjects aged 18 years or above, subgroup analysis for age (children and adults) could not be performed. Meanwhile, we did not have the detailed information of some risk factors (smoking, diet, and physical activity) in the development of CAD and T2DM, and the gene–environment interactions analysis was not conducted. Third, there was no significant association between APOE alleles and T2DM in our study, which was not consistent with previous study (26, 27), and the results from our single-center study required external validation in other training programs. A final comment on the low number of individuals in some subgroups (control, T2DM, CAD, and T2DM+CAD for e2/e2, e2/e4 and e4/e4) should be taken up with care and caution.

In conclusion, although the APOE e4 allele was not found to be associated with T2DM, it increased the risk of CAD and related to the development of T2DM with CAD. Different APOE isoforms were also linked to variations in lipid and lipoprotein levels in circulation.
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