Decapod crustaceans associated with the snakelock anemone *Anemonia sulcata.*
Living there or just passing by?
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SUMMARY: The present work identifies the decapod crustaceans that associate with *Anemonia sulcata* on the south-western Atlantic coast of Portugal and characterises their host use pattern. It determines whether the anemone is monopolised by any species, resulting in the exclusion of conspecifics or other decapods and, under laboratory conditions, it evaluates the degree of association between each species and *A. sulcata.* From all sampled anemones, 79% harboured at least 1 decapod crustacean, with the majority displaying either one or two specimens (32 and 24%, respectively). The most abundant species were the shrimp *Periclimenes sagittifer* and the crab *Inachus phalangium* (representing 36 and 31% of collected specimens, respectively), which displayed lasting associations and were commonly recorded among the tentacles of the host. The species *Eualus occultus*, *E. complex cranchii*, *Clibanarius erythropus*, *Maja brachydactyla* and *Pilumnus hirtellus* and *Polybius (Necora) puber* displayed short-term associations, were mainly present on the substratum near the base, and avoided the tentacles of *A. sulcata.* *Periclimenes sagittifer* and *I. phalangium* were only recorded alone or in heterosexual pairs, appearing to efficiently defend their host against conspecifics. The majority of recorded species only seem to temporarily associate with *A. sulcata,* in order to seek protection from predators when other shelters are unavailable.
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INTRODUCTION

The symbiotic life style is one of the greatest environmental adaptations of marine crustaceans (Ross, 1983). Although most symbiotic decapods inhabit their host as solitary individuals or as a mated pair (Knowlton, 1980; Haines *et al.*, 1994; Omori *et al.*, 1994; Palmer, 1995), there are also records of the occurrence of aggregations of individuals in a single host (Nizinski, 1989; Baeza and...
Thiel, 2000). Associations between sea anemones and decapods are not unusual and have already been reported from tropical and temperate areas in intertidal and subtidal regimes (Wirtz, 1997; Jonsson et al., 2001; Thiel and Baeza, 2001; Khan et al., 2004). Decapods associating with sea anemones may seek protection, food, a reproductive mate or all of these features (Wirtz and Diesel, 1983; Fautin et al., 1995; Baeza and Thiel, 2003; Valdivia and Stotz, 2006).

In the coastal areas of the northeastern Atlantic, the snakelock anemone *Anemonia sulcata* (Pennant, 1717) is a well known host of the shrimp *Periclimenes sagittifer* (Norman, 1861) and the crab *Inachus phalangium* (J.C. Fabricius, 1775) (Wirtz and Diesel, 1983; Grippa and Udekem d’Acoz, 1996). Occasionally, other decapod species have also been recorded associated with *A. sulcata*, but to our knowledge a detailed study on this subject has never been performed.

The present work examines the decapod crustaceans that associate with *A. sulcata* on the southwestern Atlantic coast of Portugal, and evaluates their degree of association under laboratory conditions. For the decapod species that associate with *A. sulcata*, it determines whether all species occupy similar areas of the host and whether any decapod species monopolise the sea anemone and exclude conspecifics or other decapod species.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Field study**

Decapod crustaceans associated with *Anemonia sulcata* were collected with the help of hand nets and transparent plastic bottles in July 2005 in 100 similar-sized individual anemones (tentacle span between 120 and 140 mm), with the number and specific position of each specimen on the anemone being recorded. All sampled anemones were randomly selected in the area of Sagres (southwestern Atlantic coast of Portugal) and were inspected during the day time using SCUBA gear at depths of 6-8 m in a sheltered bay. Twenty specimens of *A. sulcata* and of each decapod species recorded in association with the anemone were collected and transported to the laboratory for experiments studying decapod-anemone association.

**Laboratory experiments on decapod-anemone association**

Individuals of decapod species recorded in association with *A. sulcata* and individual anemones were stored in 10 glass aquaria (54 l) connected in parallel to a recirculation system composed of a 270 l sump equipped with a wet-dry filter with bioballs (assuring biological filtration), a protein skimmer and one 55 W ultra-violet steriliser.

To test the degree of association between the collected decapod species and *A. sulcata* the bottom surface of a glass aquarium (0.60 m long x 0.20 m wide x 0.20 m high, total volume 24 l) was divided into three equal areas (0.20 m long x 0.20 m wide): a central empty area, an anemone area and a rock area. The rock placed in the rock area had a similar volume to the anemone placed in the anemone area. Each decapod collected from the field was placed in the central empty area and allowed to freely choose the rock or the anemone area for shelter. Each trial lasted 5 minutes, with the aquarium water being fully replaced between trials using different specimens. The anemone used in trials with different specimens was randomly selected from the pool of 20 *A. sulcata* collected from the field.

**Statistical analyses**

Location of decapod crustaceans present on *A. sulcata* and laboratory results of the decapod-anemone association experiments were analysed using the chi-square test, the null prediction being a random distribution of decapods over the anemone areas (mouth, tentacles, column and substrate near the base) and the three areas of the experimental aquarium (central empty area, anemone area and rock area) (Zar, 1996).
RESULTS

From the 100 sampled *A. sulcata*, 79% harboured at least one decapod crustacean. The majority of sampled snakelock anemones displayed either one or two decapods (32 and 24%, respectively) (Fig. 1).

The following decapod species were recorded associated with *A. sulcata*: the shrimps *Periclimenes sagittifer*, *Eualus occultus* (Lebour, 1936), *Eualus cranchii* (Leach, 1817), the hermit crab *Clibanarius erythropus* (Latreille, 1818) and the crabs *Inachus phalangium*, *Maja brachydactyla* Balss, 1922, *Pilumnus hirtellus* (Linnaeus, 1761) and *Polybius (Necora) puber* (Linnaeus, 1767), for a total of 160 specimens. All *M. brachydactyla* and *P. (N.) puber* specimens recorded in the present study were juveniles. The most abundant species collected were the shrimp *P. sagittifer* and the crab *I. phalangium*, accounting for 36 and 31% of all collected specimens, respectively (Table 1). Whenever two *P. sagittifer* or two *I. phalangium* specimens were present on a single *A. sulcata* (in 15 and 12% of sampled sea anemones, respectively), these always formed a mated pair, with no observation ever recording two conspecifics of the same sex on the same host. The maximum number of conspecifics recorded on a single host was 5 for the hermit crab *C. erythropus* (Table 2).

The location of decapod crustaceans associated with *A. sulcata* is summarised in Table 3. Although *M. brachydactyla* and *P. hirtellus* were always in the substrate near the base of *A. sulcata*, their location was not analysed due to the reduced

---

**Table 1.** Species composition (%) and total number of specimens from each decapod crustacean species recorded associated with *Anemonia sulcata*.

| Species composition | N. of specimens |
|---------------------|-----------------|
| *Periclimenes sagittifer* | 36.3% 58 |
| *Inachus phalangium* | 31.0% 49 |
| *Polybius (Necora) puber* | 8.8% 14 |
| *Eualus occultus* | 10.0% 16 |
| *Eualus cranchii* | 7.5% 12 |
| *Clibanarius erythropus* | 4.4% 7 |
| *Maja brachydactyla* | 1.3% 2 |
| *Pilumnus hirtellus* | 1.3% 2 |

**Table 2.** Group size frequency (%) of decapod species recorded on *Anemonia sulcata* (*n* = number of groups recorded, * * always present as a mated pair); s: specimen/s.

| Group size | 1 s | 2 s | 3 or + s |
|------------|-----|-----|---------|
| *Periclimenes sagittifer* (n = 43) | 65.1% | 34.9% * | 0.0% |
| *Inachus phalangium* (n = 37) | 67.6% | 62.4% * | 0.0% |
| *Polybius (Necora) puber* (n = 14) | 100.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| *Eualus occultus* (n = 12) | 75.0% | 16.7% | 8.3% |
| *Eualus cranchii* (n = 10) | 80.0% | 20.0% | 0.0% |
| *Clibanarius erythropus* (n = 3) | 66.6% | 0.0% | 33.3% |
| *Maja brachydactyla* (n = 2) | 100.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| *Pilumnus hirtellus* (n = 2) | 100.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |

**Table 3.** Location of decapod specimens associated with *Anemonia sulcata* (excluding *Maja brachydactyla* and *Pilumnus hirtellus*) (*n* = number of specimens recorded).

| Anemone zones | Mouth | Tentacles | Column | Substratum (near base) | χ² | P value |
|---------------|-------|-----------|--------|------------------------|----|---------|
| *Periclimenes sagittifer* (n = 58) | 0 | 35 | 21 | 2 | 57.17 | P < 0.0001 |
| *Inachus phalangium* (n = 49) | 0 | 31 | 15 | 3 | 48.55 | P < 0.0001 |
| *Eualus occultus* (n = 16) | 0 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 18.00 | P = 0.0004 |
| *Polybius (Necora) puber* (n = 14) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 42.00 | P < 0.0001 |
| *Eualus cranchii* (n = 12) | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 14.67 | P = 0.0021 |
| *Clibanarius erythropus* (n = 7) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 21.00 | P = 0.0001 |

**Table 4.** Shelter selection of decapod species associated with *Anemonia sulcata* under laboratory conditions (*n* = 20 observations per species).

| Aquarium areas | Rock | Central (empty) | Anemone | χ² | P value |
|----------------|------|-----------------|---------|----|---------|
| *Periclimenes sagittifer* | 5% | 0% | 95% | 34.30 | P < 0.0001 |
| *Eualus occultus* | 75% | 20% | 5% | 16.30 | P < 0.0001 |
| *Eualus cranchii* | 85% | 5% | 10% | 24.10 | P < 0.0001 |
| *Clibanarius erythropus* | 85% | 10% | 5% | 24.10 | P < 0.0001 |
| *Inachus phalangium* | 10% | 0% | 90% | 29.20 | P < 0.0001 |
| *Maja brachydactyla* | 95% | 5% | 0% | 34.30 | P < 0.0001 |
| *Pilumnus hirtellus* | 85% | 10% | 5% | 24.10 | P < 0.0001 |
| *Polybius (Necora) puber* | 55% | 20% | 25% | 4.30 | P = 0.1165 |
number of recorded specimens (n = 2 for each species). The chi-square test revealed that a significantly higher proportion of *P. sagittifer* and *I. phalangium* (*P* < 0.0001) were recorded among the tentacles of *A. sulcata* than in other areas. All other recorded species were preferentially located in the substrate near the base of the anemone. No species was ever recorded in the area around the mouth of the host anemone.

Laboratory results of decapod-anemone association experiments revealed that when able to choose between a rock, an empty area or a snakelock anemone, a significantly higher (*P* < 0.0001) number of *P. sagittifer* and *I. phalangium* chose *A. sulcata* for shelter (Table 4). With the exception of *P. (N.) puber*, which randomly occupied any of the three aquarium areas, all other recorded species associated in the field with *A. sulcata* preferentially (*P* < 0.0001) sought shelter in the rock area, under laboratory conditions.

**DISCUSSION**

The large number of snakelock anemones recorded in the present work that hosted several decapod species seems to indicate that this type of association is not uncommon, at least in the study area. The percentage of *A. sulcata* that harboured at least one associated crustacean (79%) was similar to that recorded for the anemones *Lebrunia danae* (Duchassaing and Michelotti, 1860) (75%), *Bartholomea annulata* (Le Sueur, 1860) (84%) and *Telmatactis cricoides* (Duchassaing, 1850) (86%) (Herrnkind et al., 1976; Wirtz, 1997).

The large groups of decapods from one species known to cohabit on a single host individual (e.g. up to 18 *Thor amboinensis* (De Man, 1888) associated with *T. cricoides* (Wirtz, 1997) and up to 9 *Periclimenes anthropilus* Holthuis and Eibl-Eibesfeldt, 1964 associated with *Condylactis gigantea* (Weinland, 1860) (Nizinski, 1989)) were not found in *A. sulcata*, either due to the smaller size of the snakelock anemone or to the social structure of the recorded decapod species.

The association between decapod crustaceans and sea anemones may be classed as short-term or lasting (Wirtz, 1997). Decapods that commonly occupy the column or the substratum near the base of the anemone, avoiding contact with its tentacles to avoid being stung, probably only seek protection from predators when other shelters are unavailable (Stevens and Anderson, 2000). Due to their location on *A. sulcata* in the field, the decapods *E. occultus*, *E. complex cranchii*, *C. erythropus*, *M. brachyactyla*, *P. hirtellus* and *P. (N.) puber* appear to display short-term association with the snakelock anemone. This hypothesis is supported by the laboratory data on shelter choice preferences, which revealed that significantly fewer specimens of these species seek *A. sulcata* when presented with an alternative shelter (a rock in the present work). Additionally, the work by Baeza et al. (2002) addressing the anemone dwelling crab *Allopetrolisthes spinifrons* (H. Milne Edwards, 1837) also supports this hypothesis, since symbiotic crabs displayed a strong preference for sea anemones over bare rocks. In the study area, it is possible that the grounds surrounding sampled *A. sulcata* (mainly bare rock with little algal growth) were just too exposed to allow proper shelter for decapod crustaceans which used the snakelock anemone as a “last resort”. The presence of only juvenile *P. (N.) puber* in association with *A. sulcata* reinforces the role that the sea anemone may play in predator avoidance, since it would be just too small to protect adult specimens.

The presence of certain species in association with *A. sulcata* may be the result of escape responses induced by divers when approaching the anemone for sampling. This could explain the small number of *M. brachyactyla* and *P. hirtellus* specimens recorded in the present work.

In contrast to the short-term associations described earlier, field and laboratory data indicate that *P. sagittifer* and *I. phalangium* display lasting associations with *A. sulcata*. The association of *I. phalangium* with snakelock anemone, as well as its social structure, has been described in detail by Wirtz and Diesel (1983) and Diesel (1988). Although up to five specimens of *I. phalangium* can be present on a single *A. sulcata*, most hosts only contain one or two specimens (commonly a mated pair), as recorded in the present work. Although the social structure of *P. sagittifer* living on *A. sulcata* has never been addressed in detail, the occurrence of either solitary individuals or a mated pair has also been described for *Periclimenes ornatus* Bruce, 1969 (Omori et al., 1994). Despite this similarity, the social structure of *P. sagittifer* differs from that recorded for other *Periclimenes* species, since *P. anthropilus*, *P. holthuisi* Bruce, 1969, *P. pedersoni*
Chace, 1958 and *P. yucatanicus* Ives, 1891 can occur in aggregations of several individuals in a single host (Limbaugh et al., 1961; Mahnken, 1972; Khan et al., 2003). Additionally, while *P. anthropilus* lacks pronounced agonistic behaviours and is morphologically poorly equipped for fighting (relatively small chelae), *P. sagittifer*, as well as other *Periclimenes* members of the “amethystus group” (see Udekem d’Acoz, 1999), are highly territorial, have larger chelae and readily employ their “weapons” in agonistic encounters with conspecifics (personal observation). Sargent and Wagenbach (1975) reported the occurrence of territoriality among *P. pedersoni*, particularly during fish cleaning events. Since fish cleaning behaviour has never been recorded in any *Periclimenes* species of the “amethystus group”, the existence of territoriality must be related to some other aspect of *P. sagittifer*. As suggested by Knowlton (1980) for the anemone-dwelling *Alpheus armatus* Rathbun, 1901, strong predation pressure may favour the evolution of “monogamy” (in the sense of co-existence of a heterosexual pair in the same host).

The absence of *P. sagittifer* and *I. phalangium* specimens in the area surrounding the mouth of the host anemone was not expected, since other symbiotic decapods have already been recorded in the mouth of their host anemone (e.g. *P. holthuisi* on *Macroductyla doreensis* (Quoy and Gaimard, 1833) (Khan et al., 2003); *T. amboinensis* in *Stichodactyla haddoni* (Saville-Kent, 1893) (Khan et al., 2004)). One possible explanation for *P. sagittifer* and *I. phalangium* preferential location on the anemones’ tentacles is their feeding practices, since in that area they might find trapped planktonic organisms and *P. sagittifer* could more easily clip and consume the host tentacles.

Species seeking shelter for brief periods on *A. sulcata* can try to monopolise this resource, particularly if they are equipped with “strong weaponry”, such as well developed chelae (Thiel and Baeza, 2001). Although this assumption may be true for *M. brachydactyla*, *P. hirtellus* and *P. (N.) puber*, since they were never recorded with conspecifics or any other crab species (including *I. phalangium*), the small number of recorded specimens prevent us from fully supporting or refuting this hypothesis. As for those species showing short-term associations, *P. sagittifer* and *I. phalangium* will also certainly benefit from the protection against predation provided by their host. Additionally, when associating with snakelock anemones these species may find a conspecific of the opposing sex to mate and a food source (either prey captured by their host or parts of the anemone). *Inachus phalangium* is known to scrape slime and detritus from the tentacles of *A. sulcata* (Wirtz and Diesel, 1983). *Periclimenes* species associated with anemones are known to feed on their tentacles (Fautin et al., 1995; Khan et al., 2003). This type of feeding behaviour has also been recorded in aquaria for *P. sagittifer* (Grippa and Udekem d’Acoz, 1996). Other decapod associates of sea anemones use their host as a vantage point for suspension feeding, but do not depend on them for food (Valdivia and Stotz, 2006). According to Spotte (1996), host anemones with zooxanthellae may benefit from ammonia produced by symbiotic shrimp living among their tentacles. The presence of zooxanthellae has already been confirmed for *A. sulcata* (Harland et al., 1991; Roberts et al., 1999). However, it remains to be confirmed whether it truly benefits from the ammonia production of *P. sagittifer*. If this benefit is not experimentally validated, the relation between *P. sagittifer* and *A. sulcata* would be that of parasitism.

As predicted by the model proposed by Baeza and Thiel (2003), both *P. sagittifer* and *I. phalangium* species are more commonly present either alone or in heterosexual pairs, so they must efficiently defend their host against intruders. This host protection seems to be more pronounced in *P. sagittifer*, namely against conspecifics. Concerning interspecific intruders, *P. sagittifer* tolerated the presence of *E. occultus*, *E. complex cranchii* and *I. phalangium* in the same host. This behaviour may be associated with the fact that *A. sulcata* is difficult to monopolise, not due to its individual size (compared to the larger *T. eucinetes* or *C. giganteus*), but to the large multi-individual patches that this species may form. Instead of displaying agonistic behaviours towards all decapod species seeking shelter in its host anemone, *P. sagittifer* (and probably also *I. phalangium*) concentrate their efforts against conspecifics.

Future studies should address the agonistic behaviours of *P. sagittifer* towards conspecifics and determine whether the presence of juveniles of *P. sagittifer* is tolerated in the same host occupied by an adult specimen or a breeding pair (as recorded by Omori et al. (1994) for *P. ornatus*). It would also be interesting to monitor the agonistic responses of a breeding pair towards a single speci-
immen: will a male in a breeding pair display the same level of aggression towards an “invading” male as towards a female? Would a female in a breeding pair be more tolerant towards an “invading” male than a female? The occurrence of any geographical changes in decapod species composition associated with snakelock anemones in areas where larger anemones are also present (Condylactis aurantiaca (Delle Chiaje, 1825) in the Mediterranean Sea, and T. cricoides in Madeira and the Canary Islands) should also be evaluated. In addition, the association of other non-decapod crustaceans with A. sulcata, namely mysids and caprelids, should also be focused, since they were commonly seen near sampled snakelock anemones.
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