Effects of testicular dysgenesis syndrome components on testicular germ cell tumor prognosis and oncological outcomes
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To evaluate whether components of Testicular Dysgenesis Syndrome (TDS) affect testicular germ cell tumor (TGCT) prognosis and oncological outcomes. According to the hypothesis called TDS; undescended testis, hypospadias, testicular cancer and spermatogenic disorders share the same risk factors and have a combined fetal origin. Materials and Methods: We retrospectively evaluated the stages and oncological outcomes of 69 patients who underwent radical orchiectomy between January 2010 and December 2014 due to TGCT in our department. The presence of undescended testis, hypospadias and semen parameters disorders were recorded according to anamnesis of patients. Results: Among 69 patients with TGCT, only 16 (23.1%) had TDS. Significantly higher rate of TDS (36.1% vs. 9.1%) was observed at the advanced stages of TGCT (p=0.008). In the TDS group, the rates of local recurrence (50% vs. 11.3%, p<0.001), distant metastasis (93.6% vs. 3.8%, p<0.001) and cancer-specific mortality (87.5% vs. 3.8%, p<0.001) were found significantly higher than those without TDS. The predicted time for recurrence-free survival (13.70±5.13 vs. 100.96±2.83 months, p<0.001) metastasis-free survival (13.12±4.21 vs. 102.79±2.21 months, p<0.001) and cancer-specific survival (13.68±5.38 vs. 102.80±2.19 months, p<0.001) were also statistically lower in this group. Conclusions: According to our preliminary results, there is an apparent relationship between TDS and tumor prognosis. Even if the components of TDS alone did not contain poor prognostic features for TGCT, the presence of TDS was found as the most important independent predictive factor for oncological outcomes in both seminomas and nonseminomas as well as all patients with TGCT.

INTRODUCTION

Testicular dysgenesis syndrome (TDS) is one of the current topics that has been described in recent years. Undescended testis, hypospadias, decreased spermatogenesis and testicular germ cell tumor (TGCT) form TDS components (1). One or more of these disorders occur in about 1 in 6 young men in Northern Europe (2). TDS has a common fetal origin associated with deficiencies
in fetal androgen production (3). A failure in normal differentiation of fetal germ cells is effective in the formation of this syndrome. Increase in the incidence of TGCT in young men is also related to this mechanism. That is why TDS have been associated with TGCT (1). The hypotheses related to TDS have been strengthened by new studies since the last two decades (4, 5). Although there are still controversial views about TDS, these studies have aimed to provide evidence verifying the reality of TDS based on a few key aspects, such as genetic factors, environmental endocrine-disrupting chemicals, lifestyle factors and intrauterine growth disorders (6, 7).

The biological mechanism of TDS was tried to be demonstrated in animal models due to limitations in human studies (4). Nevertheless, more evidence is needed to reinforce TDS hypothesis (8). According to the literature, semen analysis and testicular histology support the association between TGCT and TDS (9). But there is no detailed evaluation to show the effects of TDS components on TGCT prognosis. We aimed to evaluate whether components of TDS have an effect on TGCT prognosis and oncological outcomes.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

After obtaining the approval of the local ethics committee (protocol number: 77192459-050.99-E.2812, 3/19), we retrospectively evaluated the stages and oncological outcomes of 77 patients who underwent radical orchiectomy between January 2010 and December 2014 due to TGCT at our department. The presence of undescended testis, hypospadias, disorders of semen parameters and atrophic testis (testicular volume <12mL) were recorded. As our study also included non-married patients, it was not possible to evaluate the fertility status for all patients. Instead of this, disorders of semen parameters were examined. Demographic data, histological tumor types, clinical stages, tumor side, tumor sizes, expression of serum tumour markers (Alpha-fetoprotein, Beta human chorionic gonadotropin [β-hCG] and Lactate dehydrogenase [LDH]), prognostic factors in pathology specimen, post-orchiectomy follow-up period, presence of adjuvant therapy after orchiectomy, rates of local recurrence, distant metastasis and cancer-specific survival (CSS) were also recorded. 69 patients with complete data were included in the study. The patients whose data could not be completely collected were excluded from the study.

Tumor stages were recorded according to the 2009 classification of Tumor-Node-Metastasis. Patients were divided into two main groups. Stage IA and IB were determined as early stage (Group I). Stage IS, IIA/IIB/IIC and IIIA/IIIB/IIIC were determined as advanced stage (Group II).

The definition of TDS involves the presence of at least two of the following: undescended testis, hypospadias, decreased spermatogenesis and testicular germ cell tumor (4). As all patients had TGCT, those with any of undescended testis, hypospadias or disorders of semen parameters formed the TDS group. 16 patients with TDS and 53 patients without TDS were determined and a subgroup analysis was also done.

Pathological prognostic factors were based on the Guidelines of European Association of Urology on Testicular Tumors (9). The prognostic factors for the stage I seminomas were rete testis involvement and tumor size greater than 4cm. The presence of lymphovascular invasion (LVI), the percentage of embryonal carcinoma more than 50% and the proliferation rate above 70% were prognostic factors for stage I non-seminomas.

**Statistical analysis**

To compare the differences between the two groups, the normality status was evaluated by Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests. Pearson Chi-square or Fisher exact analysis for categorical variables, Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables in non-normal distribution were used. Kaplan-Meier was used for survival analysis and Cox regression analysis was used for determining the independent variables. The analyzes were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 21 (IBM, Armonk, NY USA) software. P <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

Median age of the 69 male patients was 31 (min:8-max:60). Demographic and clinical cha-
racteristics of the patients are shown in Tables 1 and 2. During the median follow-up period of 57 (6-106) months, the distant metastases were located at lung in 8 patients, liver in 4 patients and non-regional lymph nodes in 5 patients.

When the early and advanced tumor stages were compared, it was shown that the predicted time for recurrence-free survival (RFS) (71.61±8.02 vs. 96.57±4.65 months, p<0.01), metastasis-free survival (MFS) (68.32±7.91 vs. 96.99±4.43 months, p<0.003) and cancer-specific survival CSS (71.18±7.73 vs. 96.67±4.57 months, p<0.007) were statistically lower in patients with advanced stage (Figures 1A, 1B and 1C).

In a subgroup analysis, patients were classified in terms of the presence of TDS. Significantly higher TDS rates (36.1% vs. 9.1%) were observed in the advanced stages (p=0.008) (Table-2). In the TDS group, the rates of loca
tal recurrence (50% vs. 11.3%, p<0.001), dis
tant metastasis (93.6% vs. 3.8%, p<0.001) and cancer-specific mortality (87.5% vs. 3.8%, p<0.001) were found significantly higher than those without TDS (Table-3). When patients with seminoma and non-seminoma were compared between themselves, in the presence of TDS, the rate of local recurrence (88.9% vs. 57.1%) was higher in non-seminomas, whereas distant metastasis (100% vs. 88.9%) and cancer-specific mortality rates (100% vs. 77.8%) were higher in seminomas (Table-3).

The predicted time for recurrence-free survival (RFS) (13.70±5.13 vs. 100.96±2.83 months, p<0.001), metastasis-free survival (MFS) (13.12±4.21 vs. 102.79±2.21 months, p<0.001) and cancer-specific survival (CSS) (13.68±5.38 vs. 102.80±2.19 months, p<0.001) were statistically lower in patients with TDS (Figures 2A, 2B and 2C). In the presence of TDS, the predi
tected time for RFS was longer in patients with s
eminoma (13.64±4.56 vs. 12.66±6.48 months, p<0.001). Conversely, the predicted time for MFS

### Table 1 - Distribution of patients according to tumor stages, histologic tumor types, components of testicular dysgenesis syndrome and oncologic outcomes.

| Stage | Number of seminoma patients | Number of non-seminoma patients | Number of mix germ cell tumor patients | History of undescended testis | History of hypospadias | History of subfertility | Numbers of patients with testicular dysgenesis syndrome | Number of patients with recurrence | History of post-treatment recurrence and histological subtype | Presence of testicular dysgenesis syndrome | Number of patients with metastasis | History of post-treatment metastasis and histological subtype | Presence of testicular dysgenesis syndrome | Number of patients with mortality | History of post-treatment mortality and histological subtype | Presence of testicular dysgenesis syndrome in deceased patients |
|-------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| IA    | 11                          | 4                               | 5                                    | -                          | -                     | -                      | 0 (% 0)                                                | 0 (% 0)                     | 1 (NS)                          | 0 (% 0)                          | 1 (NS)                      | 0 (% 0)                          | 1 (NS)                          | 0 (% 0)                     | 0 (% 0)                          |
| IB    | 8                           | 4                               | 1                                    | 2                          | -                     | -                      | 3 (% 23)                                               | 2 (% NS)                   | 1 (% 50)                         | 1 (% 100)                        | 1 (% 100)                   | 1 (% 100)                        | 2 (% 100)                        | 1 (% 100)                   | 2 (% 100)                        |
| IS    | 1                           | 1                               | 2                                    | -                          | -                     | -                      | 0 (% 0)                                                | 1 (M)                       | 0 (% 0)                          | 0 (% 0)                          | 0 (% 0)                      | 0 (% 0)                          | 0 (% 0)                          | 0 (% 0)                     | 0 (% 0)                          |
| IIA   | 0                           | 1                               | 0                                    | -                          | -                     | -                      | 0 (% 0)                                                | 0 (% 0)                     | 0 (% 0)                          | 0 (% 0)                          | 0 (% 0)                      | 0 (% 0)                          | 0 (% 0)                          | 0 (% 0)                     | 0 (% 0)                          |
| IIB   | 0                           | 3                               | 1                                    | 1                          | -                     | -                      | 1 (% 25)                                               | 1 (NS)                      | 0 (% 0)                          | 1 (% 100)                        | 1 (% 100)                   | 1 (% 100)                        | 1 (% 100)                        | 1 (% 100)                   | 1 (% 100)                        |
| ICC   | 3                           | 0                               | 0                                    | 1                          | 1                     | -                      | 1 (% 33.3)                                             | 1 (S)                       | 1 (% 100)                         | 2 (% 100)                        | 1 (% 100)                   | 2 (% 100)                        | 1 (% 100)                        | 1 (% 100)                   | 2 (% 100)                        |
| IIIC  | 1                           | 2                               | 0                                    | -                          | -                     | 1                      | 1 (% 33.3)                                             | 1 (NS)                      | 0 (% 0)                          | 1 (% 100)                        | 1 (% 100)                   | 1 (% 100)                        | 1 (% 100)                        | 1 (% 100)                   | 1 (% 100)                        |
| IIIC  | 5                           | 3                               | 1                                    | 2                          | 2                     | -                      | 4 (% 44.4)                                             | 2 (NS), 1 (S)              | 2 (% 66.6)                       | 2 (S), 2 (NS)                    | 4 (% 100)                   | 2 (S), 2 (NS)                     | 4 (% 100)                        | 2 (S), 2 (NS)                 | 4 (% 100)                        |
| IIIC  | 6                           | 6                               | 0                                    | 3                          | 2                     | 3                      | 6 (% 50)                                               | 2 (S), 3 (NS)              | 4 (% 80)                         | 3 (NS), 3 (S)                    | 6 (% 100)                   | 3 (NS), 3 (S)                     | 6 (% 100)                        | 3 (NS), 3 (S)                 | 6 (% 100)                        |
Table 2 - Demographic, pathological, clinical data and oncologic outcomes of the patients.

| Parameters                           | Group I                      | Group II                     | Total           | p value |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
|                                      | (Early stage TGCT) (n:33)    | (Advanced stage TGCT) (n:36) | (n:69)          |         |
| Age                                  |                              |                              |                 |         |
| Median (25\(^{\text{th}}\)-75\(^{\text{th}}\) percentiles) | 31.00 (27.00-37.00)          | 30.00 (24.25-41.75)         | 31 (25-40) | † 0.709 |
| Tumor size (cm)                      |                              |                              |                 |         |
| Median (25\(^{\text{th}}\)-75\(^{\text{th}}\) percentiles) | 3.50 (2.15-4.55)             | 5.55 (3.52-7.20)            | 4.20 (2.65-6.50) | † 0.002* |
| Tumor laterality (n,%)               |                              |                              |                 |         |
| Left                                 | 10 (30.3)                    | 13 (36.1)                    | 23 (33.3)       | ‡ 0.877 |
| Right                                | 21 (63.6)                    | 21 (58.3)                    | 42 (60.9)       |         |
| Bilateral                            | 2 (6.1)                      | 2 (5.6)                      | 4 (5.8)         |         |
| Histopathological subtype (n,%)      |                              |                              |                 |         |
| Seminoma                             | 19 (57.6)                    | 16 (44.4)                    | 35 (50.7)       |         |
| Non-seminoma                         | 8 (24.2)                     | 16 (44.4)                    | 24 (34.8)       | ‡ 0.202 |
| Mix                                  | 6 (18.2)                     | 4 (11.1)                     | 10 (14.5)       |         |
| AFP (ng/mL)                          |                              |                              |                 |         |
| Median (25\(^{\text{th}}\)-75\(^{\text{th}}\) percentiles) | 5.00 (1.70-10.70)            | 6.90 (2.75-354.25)          | 5.50 (2.15-74.37) | † 0.058 |
| β-hCG (mIU/mL)                       |                              |                              |                 |         |
| median (25\(^{\text{th}}\)-75\(^{\text{th}}\) percentiles) | 4.90 (1.30-33.30)            | 62.10 (5.95-911.02)         | 15.20 (2.50-128.00) | † 0.005* |
| LDH (U/l)                            |                              |                              |                 |         |
| Median (25\(^{\text{th}}\)-75\(^{\text{th}}\) percentiles) | 208.00 (155.00-266.00)       | 717.00 (330.00-1299.25)     | 309.00 (202.00-740.00) | †<0.001* |
| ITGCN (n,%)                          |                              |                              |                 |         |
| Present                              | 15 (45.5)                    | 20 (55.6)                    | 35 (50.7)       | ‡ 0.402 |
| Absent                               | 18 (54.5)                    | 16 (44.4)                    | 34 (49.3)       |         |
| Rete testis involvement (n,%)        |                              |                              |                 |         |
| Present                              | 8 (24.2)                     | 7 (19.4)                     | 15 (21.7)       | ‡ 0.629 |
| Absent                               | 25 (75.8)                    | 29 (80.6)                    | 54 (78.3)       |         |
| Tumor diameter> 4 cm (n,%)           |                              |                              |                 |         |
**Yes** | 12 (36.4) | 24 (66.7) | 36 (52.2) | ‡ 0.012*  
**No** | 21 (63.6) | 12 (33.3) | 33 (47.8) |

**Lymphovascular invasion (n,%)**  
Present | 7 (21.2) | 20 (55.6) | 27 (39.1) | ‡ 0.004*  
Absent | 26 (78.8) | 16 (44.4) | 42 (60.9) |

**Embryonal carcinoma rate >50% (n,%)**  
Present | 7 (21.2) | 12 (33.3) | 19 (27.5) | ‡ 0.260  
Absent | 26 (78.8) | 24 (66.7) | 50 (72.5) |

**Proliferation rate > 70% (n,%)**  
Present | 3 (9.1) | 7 (19.4) | 10 (14.5) | ‡ 0.222  
Absent | 30 (90.9) | 29 (80.6) | 59 (85.5) |

**Undescended testis (n,%)**  
Present | 2 (6.1) | 7 (19.4) | 9 (13.0) | ‡ 0.099  
Absent | 31 (93.9) | 29 (80.6) | 60 (87.0) |

**Disorders of semen parameters(n,%)**  
Present | 2 (6.1) | 7 (19.4) | 9 (13.0) | ‡ 0.099  
Absent | 31 (93.9) | 29 (80.6) | 60 (87.0) |

**Hypospadias (n,%)**  
Present | 0 (0.0) | 3 (8.3) | 3 (4.3) | § 0.240  
Absent | 33 (100.0) | 33 (91.7) | 66 (95.7) |

**Atrophic testis (n,%)**  
Present | 1 (3.0) | 6 (16.7) | 7 (10.1) | ‡ 0.061  
Absent | 32 (97.0) | 30 (83.3) | 62 (89.9) |

**Presence of TDS (n,%)**  
Present | 3 (9.1) | 13 (36.1) | 16 (23.2) | ‡ 0.008*  
Absent | 30 (90.9) | 23 (63.9) | 53 (76.8) |

Local recurrence rate (n,%):  
Present | 2 (6.1) | 12 (33.3) | 14 (20.3) | ‡ 0.015*  
Absent | 3 (9.1) | 14 (38.9) | 17 (24.6) | ‡ 0.004*  

Cancer-specific survival rate (%):  
90.9 | 63.9 | 76.8 | ‡ 0.008*  

* = p <0.05 Asteriks (*) indicates statistical significance; **AFP** = alpha-fetoprotein; **β-hCG** = beta human chorionic gonadotropin; **ITGCN** = Intratubular germ cell neoplasia; **LDH** = lactate dehydrogenase; **TDS** = Testicular dysgenesis syndrome; **TGCT** = Testicular germ cell tumor  
† = Mann-Whitney U test  
‡ = Chi-square test  
§ = Fisher’s Exact test
Figure 1A - Kaplan-Meier plots of recurrence-free survival according to the early and advanced stages for all tumors.

Figure 1B - Kaplan-Meier plots of cancer-specific survival according to the early and advanced stages for all tumors.

Figure 1C - Kaplan-Meier plots of metastasis-free survival according to the early and advanced stages for all tumors.

(9.14±4.40 vs. 16.22±6.59 months, p <0.001) and CSS (11.71±5.16 vs. 24.11±8.39 months, p <0.001) were statistically shorter in patients with seminoma (Figures 3A, 3B, 3C and Figures 4A, 4B, 4C).

When we evaluated the patients in early and advanced tumor stages, we found that there were no significant differences between the rates of undescended testis, disorders of semen parameters, hypospadias, atrophic testis and TDS were found as independent predictive factors to estimate local recurrence, distant metastasis and cancer-specific survival (CSS). In multivariate analysis, the most important independent predictive factor was TDS to determine local recurrence, distant metastasis and cancer-specific survival RFS, MFS and CSS in both seminomas and nonseminomas as well as all patients with TGCT. In addition, clinical stage was found as a predictive factor for development of distant metastasis in all patients with TGCT (Table-4).

DISCUSSION

Recent studies in the United States have remarked that TGCT is the most common cancer among men between the ages of 15-44 years and constitutes 98% of all testis malignancies (10). Undescended testis and hypospadias, which are the other components of TDS, affect 2-9% and 0.2-1% of male newborns, respectively (11). Approximately 10-15% of married couples have infertility and the male factor is responsible for about half of the cases
Table 3 - Oncologic outcomes of the patients in terms of testicular dysgenesis syndrome.

| All patients with testicular germ cell tumor | Patients with TDS (n:16) | Patients without TDS (n:53) | Total (n:69) | p value |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---------|
| **Local recurrence(n,%)**                   |                          |                             |              |         |
| Present                                     | 12 (75.0)                | 3 (5.7)                     | 16 (23.2)    | ‡<0.001*|
| Absent                                      | 4 (25.0)                 | 50 (94.3)                   | 53 (76.8)    |         |
| **Distant metastasis (n,%)**                |                          |                             |              |         |
| Present                                     | 15 (93.6)                | 2 (3.8)                     | 17 (24.6)    | ‡<0.001*|
| Absent                                      | 1 (6.3)                  | 51 (96.2)                   | 52 (75.4)    |         |
| **Cancer specific mortality (n,%)**         |                          |                             |              |         |
| Present                                     | 14 (87.5)                | 2 (3.8)                     | 16 (23.2)    | ‡<0.001*|
| Absent                                      | 2 (12.5)                 | 51 (96.2)                   | 53 (76.8)    |         |

| Patients with seminoma                       | Patients with TDS (n:7)  | Patients without TDS (n:28) | Total (n:35) | p value |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---------|
| **Local recurrence(n,%)**                   |                          |                             |              |         |
| Present                                     | 4 (57.1)                 | 1 (3.6)                     | 5 (14.3)     | § 0.003*|
| Absent                                      | 3 (42.9)                 | 27 (96.4)                   | 30 (85.7)    |         |
| **Distant metastasis (n,%)**                |                          |                             |              |         |
| Present                                     | 7 (100.0)                | 1 (3.6)                     | 8 (22.9)     | § <0.001*|
| Absent                                      | 0 (0.0)                  | 27 (96.4)                   | 27 (77.1)    |         |
| **Cancer specific mortality (n,%)**         |                          |                             |              |         |
| Present                                     | 7 (100.0)                | 1 (3.6)                     | 8 (22.9)     | § <0.001*|
| Absent                                      | 0 (0.0)                  | 27 (96.4)                   | 27 (77.1)    |         |

| Patients with non-seminoma                  | Patients with TDS (n:9)  | Patients without TDS (n:25) | Total (n:34) | p value |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---------|
| **Local recurrence(n,%)**                   |                          |                             |              |         |
| Present                                     | 8 (88.9)                 | 1 (4.0)                     | 9 (26.5)     | § <0.001*|
| Absent                                      | 1 (11.1)                 | 24 (96.0)                   | 25 (73.5)    |         |
| **Distant metastasis (n,%)**                |                          |                             |              |         |
| Present                                     | 8 (88.9)                 | 1 (4.0)                     | 9 (26.5)     | § <0.001*|
| Absent                                      | 1 (11.1)                 | 24 (96.0)                   | 25 (73.5)    |         |
| **Cancer specific mortality (n,%)**         |                          |                             |              |         |
| Present                                     | 7 (77.8)                 | 1 (4.0)                     | 8 (23.5)     | § <0.001*|
| Absent                                      | 2 (22.2)                 | 24 (96.0)                   | 26 (76.5)    |         |

* = p <0.05 Asteriks (*) indicates statistical significance.
TDS = Testicular dysgenesis syndrome
‡ = Chi-square test
§ = Fisher's Exact test
Although most of these disorders are assumed to be associated with TDS, further studies are needed to make the definition of TDS widely acceptable (13).

It is thought that embryonic hormonal disturbances related to androgens play a role on abnormal differentiation of primordial germ cells (14). These are usually manifested by antenatal origin. Undescended testis and hypospadias give symptoms at neonatal period whereas poor quality of semen and development of TGCT manifest after puberty (9). Animal models and epidemiological researches have revealed that deficiencies in the production of androgens, disorders of androgen receptor expression, disturbance in androgen levels, exposure to anti-androgenic or estrogenic disruptors were attributed to the pathogenesis of TDS (6, 15). These factors are blamed for causing dysfunctions and dysregulation of Leydig and Sertoli cells. As a result, disruption of testicular differentiation and development give rise to impairment of normal gonadal maturation. Consequently, irreversible testicular dysgenesis is unavoidable and it results in genital malformation (such as hypospadias and undescended testis), impaired spermatogenesis and TGCT (7). TDS is predominantly triggered by environmental exposure, genetic and lifestyle factors as well as embryonic hormonal disturbances. All of these predisposing factors similarly affect the pathophysiology of TDS.

Skakkebaek et al. (16) re-analysed 20 testicular biopsies which were derived from patients with infertility, undescended testis and hypospadias. TGCT was detected in 45% of patients. But they did not evaluate the relation between presence of TDS and TGCT prognosis. Guminska et al. (17) detected that testes with disturbed spermatogenesis...
Figure 3A - Kaplan-Meier plots of recurrence-free survival according to presence of Testicular Dysgenesis Syndrome for patients with seminoma.

Figure 3B - Kaplan-Meier plots of metastasis-free survival according to presence of Testicular Dysgenesis Syndrome for patients with seminoma.

Figure 3C - Kaplan-Meier plots of cancer-specific survival according to presence of Testicular Dysgenesis Syndrome for patients with seminoma.

were more prone to development of TGCT. They investigated morphometric analysis of seminiferous epithelium, qualitative and quantitative features of Leydig cells, seminiferous tubules diameter and thickness of tubular wall. It was shown that poor testicular histomorphological features related to testicular dysgenesis increased the incidence of TGCT but they did not worsen the tumor prognosis.

Another source that supports the biological mechanism of TDS, can be attributed to our knowledge about testicular microlithiasis (TM). TM which is detected incidentally during the scrotal ultrasound, is a rare condition. It is observed around 0.6-9.0% in symptomatic male adults and around 2.4-5.6% in asymptomatic males (17). Although the presence of TM alone is not an indication for further investigation, the presence of other risk factors carries risk for TGCT development. These risk factors include history of previous TGCT, undescended testis, orchidopexy, testicular atrophy (testicular volume <12mL) and subfertility (18). As it can be understood, the risk of TGCT increases in the presence of undescended testis and subfertility (19). From this point of view, we can think that embryological development and pathogenesis of all these disorders mentioned above is caused by a common fetal origin. This condition can be interpreted as supporting the TDS hypothesis (20).

It should be known that TDS hypothesis does not mean that all affected men develop all four components (21). A very broad variety of phenotypes can be seen in TDS. This wide spectrum ranges from genetically determined “Disorders of Sex Development” to mild forms such as slightly
decreased spermatogenesis (5). One component of TDS may increase the possibility of other components’ existence. Especially, if there are more than one component, the presence of other components should be examined more carefully to detect TDS (22).

Environmental factors and genetic susceptibility are responsible for the etiology of TDS and TGCT (15). In literature, there are many animal models and epidemiological studies demonstrating this relationship (13, 15). Current animal models, involving fetal exposure to “Di-n-butyl phthalate” have been highlighting that environmental factors are most likely responsible for TDS and TGCT (4, 5). Translation of the animal model’s findings to the human biology have been linked to TDS (4). But we have found no detailed studies investigating whether TDS or its components affect the oncological outcomes of TGCT.

Cure is achievable in 95% of all patients with TGCT. At the time of diagnosis, 75–80% of seminomas are stage I. In this group, rete testis invasion and/or tumor size larger than 4 cm are risk factors that predict relapse and occult metastasis. In the follow-up periods of seminomas after adjuvant therapy, systemic recurrence rate was 1–4%, while occult metastasis rate was 10–15%. If any adjuvant treatments are not given to the patients with risk factors, the rate of local recurrence or retroperitoneal metastasis in five years is 15–20% (23). 55% of non-seminomas are stage I at the time of diagnosis. The worst risk factor that predict relapse and occult metastasis is LVI for non-seminomas, while other important prognostic
risk factors are percentage of embryonal carcinoma >50% and a proliferation rate >70%. More than 30% of them have occult metastasis at diagnosis. 70% of them can develop local recurrence if any adjuvant treatments are not performed to the patients with risk factors. In the presence of LVI, systemic relapse rate was 14-22% and occult metastasis rate was 48% (24).

The local recurrence rates were reported as 9-24% in stage IIA/B, whereas the cure rate is approximately 80% in stage IIC/III, despite the frontline and salvage chemotherapy (25). In metastatic disease, 5-year survival rates were reported by the International Germ Cell Cancer Collaboration Group to be 91% in the favorable risk group, 79% in the intermediate risk group and 48% in the poor risk group (25).

In our study, during median 57 (6-106) months follow-up in all patients, local recurrence rate was 21.7%, distant metastasis rate was 24.6%, 5-year cancer specific survival CSS rate was 76.8%. When our patients were divided into two groups as early and advanced stages, the rates of local recurrence, distant metastasis and 5-year cancer specific survival were 9.1%, 9.1%, 90.9% for early stage respectively, whereas the rates were 33.3%, 38.9% and 63.9% for advanced stage. The duration of recurrence-free survival RFS (96.57 ± 4.65 months), metastasis-free survival MFS (96.99 ± 4.43 months), cancer-specific survival CSS (96.67 ± 4.57 months) were observed significantly higher in early stage. Although our survival rates are less than the rates in the current literature (26), this may be explained by the small patients population and short follow-up periods.

Undescended testis is known to be an important risk factor for the development of TGCT. The relative risk of TGCT was 2.23 even if patients underwent orchiopexy before 13 years old (27). Moirano et al. (28) observed that undescended testis was higher in TGCT group (11.4%) than in healthy control group (3.0%). Hanson et al. (29) detected an increased risk of testicular cancer (hazard rate of 3.3) in subfertile men when compared with fertile men (29). In addition, hypospadias was found associated with an increased relative risk for TGCT development (hazard rate of 2.13) (30).

We could not evaluate whether undescended testis, disorders of semen parameters and hypospadias were risk factors for the development of TGCT because we did not have a healthy control group. We compared these three components in terms of tumor stages. When these components were analyzed individually, we did not find significantly differences between early and advanced stage groups. But the rate of TDS was significantly higher in patients with advanced stage. This finding suggested that even if the components alone did not contain poor prognostic features for TGCT development, a significant increase was observed in tumor stages in the patients diagnosed with TDS (having more than one component).

In subgroup analysis, we divided patients into two groups according to presence of TDS. Although the small numbers of patients in the TDS group decreased the statistical power of the study, we found significantly higher rates of local recurrence (75% vs. 5.7), distant metastasis (93.6% vs 3.8%) and cancer related mortality (87.5% vs. 3.8%) in TDS group rather than those without TDS. When we evaluated two different tumor types separately, in the presence of TDS, the rate of local recurrence (88.9% vs. 57.1%) was higher in non-seminomas; whereas distant metastasis (100% vs. 88.9%) and cancer-specific mortality rates (100% vs. 77.8%) were higher in seminomas. It is obvious that these findings will be more reliable when a much larger patient population is evaluated.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the prognostic value of TDS components on TGCT prognosis and oncological outcomes. However, this study has some limitations. The main limitations of our study are retrospective, non randomized design with small patient population in a single center. Future studies that have larger numbers of patients with multicentre, prospective, randomized, controlled, long-term follow-up are needed to verify our results and explain more new details about this hypothesis, especially for the subgroup analysis with patients having TDS. We presented our findings as “Preliminary Results” because it was not easy to have comprehensive results due to small patient population and relatively short follow-up. Since this topic has not been studied before, we think that our findings as “Preliminary Results” may be a step for further studies.
| All patients with testicular germ cell tumor | Univariate Model | Multivariate Model |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Development of local recurrence            |                 |                   |
| Clinical stage                             | 12,471          | 2,320             | 34,624 | 0.005 |
| β-hCG                                      | 1,001           | 1,000             | 1,011  | 0.003 |
| LDH                                        | 1,009           | 1,000             | 1,019  | <0.001 |
| Undescended testis                         | 20,238          | 9,128             | 106,902| <0.001 |
| Disorders of semen parameters              | 7,250           | 2,359             | 22,281 | 0.001 |
| Hypospadiass                               | 16,182          | 4,286             | 61,100 | <0.001 |
| Atrophic testis                            | 11,186          | 3,641             | 34,373 | <0.001 |
| Testicular Dysgenesis Syndrome             | 31,911          | 12,414            | 289,130| <0.001 |

| All patients with testicular germ cell tumor | Univariate Model | Multivariate Model |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Development of distant metastasis          |                 |                   |
| Clinical stage                             | 14,988          | 5,575             | 36,668 | 0.001 |
| β-hCG                                      | 1,003           | 1,000             | 1,008  | 0.007 |
| LDH                                        | 1,004           | 1,000             | 1,017  | 0.001 |
| Undescended testis                         | 11,966          | 5,069             | 44,184 | <0.001 |
| Disorders of semen parameters              | 12,928          | 5,316             | 41,917 | <0.001 |
| Hypospadiass                               | 11,342          | 3,082             | 41,741 | <0.001 |
| Atrophic testis                            | 11,626          | 4,009             | 33,711 | <0.001 |
| Testicular Dysgenesis Syndrome             | 35,120          | 15,785            | 357,499| <0.001 |

| All patients with testicular germ cell tumor | Univariate Model | Multivariate Model |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Cancer specific survival                   |                 |                   |
| Clinical stage                             | 12,404          | 2,339             | 33,316 | 0.003 |
| β-hCG                                      | 1,002           | 1,000             | 1,016  | 0.006 |
| LDH                                        | 1,006           | 1,000             | 1,014  | 0.001 |
| Condition                                      | Univariate Model | Multivariate Model |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
|                                               | %95 Confidence    | %95 Confidence     |
|                                               | Interval          | Interval           |
|                                               | p                 | p                  |
|                                               | Lower             | Upper              | Lower | Upper | Lower | Upper | p     |
| Development of local recurrence               |                  |                    | |
| Clinical stage                                | 12,564           | 0.926              | 7.101 | 0.047 |
| LDH                                           | 1,001            | 1.000              | 1.002 | 0.038 |
| Undescended testis                            | 13,159           | 3.790              | 141,529 | 0.001  |
| Disorders of semen parameters                 | 9,347            | 1.449              | 60,312 | 0.019  |
| Hypospadiass                                  | 15,641           | 3.555              | 184,944 | 0.001  |
| Atrophic testis                               | 18,323           | 2.931              | 114,540 | 0.002  |
| Testicular Dysgenesis Syndrome                 | 30,628           | 4.635              | 129,742 | 0.001  |

| Development of distant metastasis             |                  |                    | |
| Clinical stage                                | 12,766           | 1.179              | 6,493 | 0.019  |
| ß-hCG                                         | 1,005            | 1.001              | 1.010 | 0.020  |
| LDH                                           | 1,002            | 1.000              | 1.009 | 0.016  |
| Undescended testis                            | 9,470            | 2.111              | 42,487 | 0.003  |
| Disorders of semen parameters                 | 11,228           | 5.605              | 43,994 | <0.001 |
| Hypospadiass                                  | 9,076            | 1.735              | 47,469 | 0.009  |
| Atrophic testis                               | 13,015           | 2.880              | 58,805 | 0.001  |
| Testicular Dysgenesis Syndrome                 | 44,261           | 5.898              | 411,469 | <0.001 |

Undescended testis  19,559  6,302  60,709  <0.001  
Disorders of semen parameters  10,602  3,729  30,143  <0.001  
Hypospadiass  12,398  3,317  46,342  <0.001  
Atrophic testis  11,661  4,000  33,994  <0.001  
Testicular Dysgenesis Syndrome  37,148  12,844  780,852  <0.001  

Patients with seminoma

Patients with seminoma | Univariate Model | Multivariate Model
------------------------|------------------|--------------------
| Development of local recurrence | HR | %95 Confidence Interval | p | HR | %95 Confidence Interval | p |
| Clinical stage | 12,564 | 0.926 | 7.101 | 0.047 |
| LDH | 1,001 | 1.000 | 1.002 | 0.038 |
| Undescended testis | 13,159 | 3.790 | 141,529 | 0.001  |
| Disorders of semen parameters | 9,347 | 1.449 | 60,312 | 0.019  |
| Hypospadiass | 15,641 | 3.555 | 184,944 | 0.001  |
| Atrophic testis | 18,323 | 2.931 | 114,540 | 0.002  |
| Testicular Dysgenesis Syndrome | 30,628 | 4.635 | 129,742 | 0.001  |

Patients with seminoma | Univariate Model | Multivariate Model
------------------------|------------------|--------------------
| Development of distant metastasis | HR | %95 Confidence Interval | p | HR | %95 Confidence Interval | p |
| Clinical stage | 12,766 | 1.179 | 6,493 | 0.019  |
| ß-hCG | 1,005 | 1.001 | 1.010 | 0.020  |
| LDH | 1,002 | 1.000 | 1.009 | 0.016  |
| Undescended testis | 9,470 | 2.111 | 42,487 | 0.003  |
| Disorders of semen parameters | 11,228 | 5.605 | 43,994 | <0.001  |
| Hypospadiass | 9,076 | 1.735 | 47,469 | 0.009  |
| Atrophic testis | 13,015 | 2.880 | 58,805 | 0.001  |
| Testicular Dysgenesis Syndrome | 44,261 | 5.898 | 411,469 | <0.001  |
### Patients with seminoma

#### Univariate Model

| Cancer specific survival | HR  | %95 Confidence Interval | p   | HR  | %95 Confidence Interval | p   |
|--------------------------|-----|-------------------------|-----|-----|-------------------------|-----|
|                           |     | Lower                   | Upper |     | Lower                   | Upper |
| Clinical stage           | 12.766 | 1,177                   | 6,502 | 0.020 |                           |       |
| β-hCG                    | 1.000 | 0.997                   | 1.007 | 0.020 |                           |       |
| LDH                      | 1.001 | 0.987                   | 1.024 | 0.018 |                           |       |
| Undescended testis       | 10.323 | 2,274                   | 46,861 | 0.002 |                           |       |
| Disorders of semen parameters | 9.891 | 6.011                   | 21,240 | <0.001 |                           |       |
| Hypospadias              | 8.991 | 1.720                   | 46,989 | 0.009 |                           |       |
| Atrophic testis          | 14.310 | 3.097                   | 66,129 | 0.001 |                           |       |
| Testicular Dysgenesis Syndrome | 49.691 | 2.004                   | 338,743 | 0.026 |                           |       |

#### Multivariate Model

| Development of distant metastasis | HR  | %95 Confidence Interval | p   | HR  | %95 Confidence Interval | p   |
|-----------------------------------|-----|-------------------------|-----|-----|-------------------------|-----|
|                                   |     | Lower                   | Upper |     | Lower                   | Upper |
| Clinical stage                    | 12.342 | 1.057                   | 5.190 | 0.036 |                           |       |
| β-hCG                             | 1.005 | 1.001                   | 1.010 | 0.020 |                           |       |
| LDH                               | 1.000 | 0.984                   | 1.003 | 0.005 |                           |       |
| Undescended testis                | 14.095 | 4.720                   | 122.992 | <0.001 |                           |       |
| Disorders of semen parameters     | 6.603 | 1.610                   | 27.083 | 0.009 |                           |       |
CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, although there have been many controversial views on TDS since the last two decades, most studies have shown the relationship between the four components of TDS. We observed the fact that TDS was detected to be higher in advanced stages of TGCT. Moreover, we have seen a significant increase in the rates of local recurrence, distant metastasis and cancer specific mortality in the presence of TDS.

ABBREVIATIONS

AFP = Alpha-fetoprotein;  
β-hCG = Beta human chorionic gonadotropin;  
LDH = Lactate dehydrogenase;  
LVI = lymphovascular invasion;  
TDS = Testicular Dysgenesis Syndrome;  
TGCT = Testicular germ cell tumor;  
TM = Testicular microlithiasis.
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