Qualitative modeling identifies IL-11 as a novel regulator in maintaining self-renewal in human pluripotent stem cells
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1. INTRODUCTION

With the ever-growing number of experimental datasets available, the question of how to reuse data smartly to gain knowledge and advance fundamental understanding of the regulatory nature of biological systems computational methods become ever more essential. Computational methods provide a way to unravel hidden relationships and regulations between genes that would otherwise be tedious and hard to achieve with traditional approaches. Modeling and simulations should be considered as a complementary approach to the in-depth gene function analysis. Several research fields are advancing fast thanks to the improvement in technologies. Human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) are creating major hope and research activities. These cell types are thought to be crucial to pave the way for regenerative therapies, because they are pluripotent as defined by the ability to self-renew while retaining the capacity to differentiate into all lineages of the embryo proper. This ensures both, a constant supply of cells in vitro and, at least equally important, the generation of donor cells for therapy. A prerequisite, however, is to understand the pluripotent state and how the undifferentiated state is maintained, as a single undifferentiated pluripotent stem cell that escapes the differentiation induction could give rise to for example tumor due to its intrinsic self-renewing characteristics. For future cell replacement therapies, it is of prime importance to be able to generate iPSCs efficiently and robustly with well defined culture conditions.

The gene regulatory network supportive of self-renewal is orchestrated by three transcription factors, namely OCT3/4, SOX2, and NANOG (Boyer et al., 2005). Pluripotency is induced in somatic cells by the over-expression of distinct combinations of these transcription factors—OCT3/4, SOX2, KLF4, and c-MYC (Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006) or OCT3/4, SOX2, NANOG,
and LIN28 (Yu et al., 2007). Although other genes are known to be associated with the main regulators as well, the overall regulatory network representing the pluripotent embryonic stem cell is still lacking completeness and potentially other regulatory mechanisms that would be able to describe both stem-cell like properties and the differentiation paths that the cells could undergo.

As mentioned above, only a small number of highly reliable transcription factors and signaling pathways active in hESCs are known (Armstrong et al., 2006; Vallier et al., 2009; Brown et al., 2011; Singh et al., 2012). Therefore, there is a need to expand and identify novel regulators, including secreted components that could be added to the culture media to sustain self-renewal. Using a large set of experimental data (in our case mRNA expression data) together with in silico modeling methods we attempted to identify factors responsible for self-renewal in human embryonic stem cells.

Previously, regulatory networks of hESCs were mostly constructed using transcription factor binding experiments or protein interaction data (Boyer et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2008; Muller et al., 2008). Recent developments of collecting human and mouse embryonic stem cell related experimental data sets into specialized databases like ESCDb (Jung et al., 2010) and ESCAPE (Xu et al., 2013) facilitate data reuse and combining in systematic studies of ESC regulation. Lately, Flöttmann and colleagues have shown the power of combining various experimental data sources with network modeling to gain new insights into cellular reprogramming (Flöttmann et al., 2012). Boolean modeling has also been recently used by Bonzanni and colleagues to propose and validate a new regulatory connection of Gata1 regulating Fli1 in hematopoietic stem cells triggering erythrocyte lineage differentiation (Bonzanni et al., 2013). For kinetic data and smaller network sizes stochastic modeling might be used as Kalmar and others did show how NANOG expression fluctuations mediate cell fate decisions in embryonic stem cells (Kalmar et al., 2009).

Regulatory networks are likely to be rich in different types of feedback and feedforward loops that carry the complexity of the network and provide the needed robustness of regulation (Milo et al., 2002; Remy et al., 2006; Macarthur et al., 2009). Gene regulatory networks are complex and act in more activation layers than only transcription factor and target gene level. Embryonic stem cell specific regulation can also be controlled by alternative splicing mediated regulatory switch. Gabut and others showed that FOXP1 transcription factor DNA binding domain is altered by alternative splicing of exon 18b in ESCs and that isoform targets core pluripotency regulators in hESCs (Gabut et al., 2011). Recently, Wang and colleagues identified a feedback loop active in at least two regulatory levels in hESCs. They showed that large intergenic non-coding RNA (linc-RoR) together with miR-145 and core hESC pluripotency transcription factors forms a double negative feedback loop active in ESC self-renewal (Wang et al., 2013). The identification of regulatory feedback loops are experimentally challenging and it is important to come up with strategies that can identify candidate genes and regulatory edges to expand any given cellular decision network.

In this study we use an in silico approach to identify novel potential regulators of undifferentiated human embryonic stem cell regulatory networks by using gene perturbation experiments together with qualitative modeling (Garg et al., 2009). Qualitative modeling suits best for large networks where edges represent both direct and indirect regulation, such as extracted from perturbation datasets, and kinetic data for all network components is difficult and even impossible to obtain. As a first step, we present a methodology for predicting new candidate genes from a given regulatory network structure. In order to identify candidate genes we set a four step approach: (i) we create a first regulatory network of the 10 core genes (e.g., OCT3/4, SOX2, NANOG); (ii) we identify the set of genes that were perturbed by several transcription factors and are either surface markers or secreted proteins (such as cytokines) (we retained 109 genes regulated by at least 5 out of 7 regulators); (iii) we connected these set of genes to core regulatory network (exploring all possible connections of inhibition and activation); (iv) we simulated in silico knockout and overexpressed each of the node and assessed through a scoring function whether the predicted network maintained pluripotency or induced differentiation. Our computational perturbation experiments revealed a new set of putative pluripotency regulating genes. We further show that one of these candidate genes, IL-11, supports the undifferentiated state of hESCs. Moreover, IL-11 is capable of substituting bFGF in the culture media. To date bFGF is considered irreplaceable in the culture media for maintaining hESCs in pluripotent state.

The activity of pathways in terms of signal transduction that maintain the undifferentiated state upstream of OCT3/4, SOX2, and NANOG or independent of this triad is at least partly mirrored in the composition of the culture media. Traditionally, hESCs are co-cultured with inactivated mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) supplemented with bFGF (FGF2) at a concentration of 4 ng/ml (Thomson et al., 1998), until Xu and colleagues established hESC culture on matrigel in the presence of MEF-conditioned medium (CM). However, it also needs the supplementation with bFGF (Xu et al., 2001). Later Bigdeli and others managed to culture hESCs in matrix and feeder-free culture conditions using neonatal chondrocyte-conditioned medium (Bigdeli et al., 2008). Others reported that bFGF sustains undifferentiated proliferation of hESC by simultaneous suppression of BMP4 whereby the culture conditions can be supported by adding NOGGIN as a BMP inhibitor (Xu et al., 2005b). Later, this group developed a feeder-free and matrigel-free TeSR1 medium, containing DMEM/F12 as base medium, human serum albumin, bFGF, TGFβ1, LiCl, GABA, pipocelic acid on a combination of collagen IV, fibronectin, laminin and vitronectin including high amounts of bFGF (100 ng/ml instead of 4 ng/ml) (Ludwig et al., 2006).

In 2010 it was reported that a chimeric version of IL-6 fused to its receptor when added to hESCs and allowed their cultivation in suspension. However, this was only possible with the simultaneous application of bFGF (Amit et al., 2010). Recently, the group of James Thomson re-formulated the TeSR1 medium composed of a simpler formulation (Chen et al., 2011). This medium, which they have named E8, is based on 8 elements, instead of 18 as in TeSR1. They started component pairwise analysis and found that the withdrawal of BSA is no longer toxic when removed together with β-Mercaptoethanol. It turned out that upon removal of BSA and β-Mercaptoethanol, the ingredients piperocelic acid, GABA,
LiCl, chemically defined lipids, trace elements B and C, glutathione, additional thiamine and L-glutamine no longer had positive effects. The remaining 8 factors in the E8 medium are FGF2, TGFβ, NODAL, Insulin, Selenium, Transferrin, L-Ascorbic Acid, and DMEM-F12 (Chen et al., 2011). Like this report, many research articles have been published varying slightly or introducing major variations to culture conditions. However, most protocols center around the presence of bFGF in the chemically defined media or in conditioned medium (Rosler et al., 2004; Li et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2005, 2007; Xu et al., 2005a,b; Levenstein et al., 2006; Ludwig et al., 2006; Greber et al., 2007a). Some of these factors are TGFB1, INHBA, IGFII activating MEK/ERK, and PI3K/AKT signaling and the BMP4 antagonists CER1 and GREM1 which collectively inhibit induction of differentiation via SMAD1/5/8. Further downstream targets of bFGF are transcription factors which acts via SMAD2/3 signaling induces differentiation of both hESCs and iPSCs (Greber et al., 2008).

The mechanism employed by bFGF acts besides on the hESCs themselves also on the mouse embryonic feeder cells or when cultured on matrigel on hESC-derived fibroblast like support cells (autologous feeders). The feeder cells produce and release supportive factors for the maintenance of undifferentiated hESCs (Bendall et al., 2007; Greber et al., 2007a). Some of these factors are TGFβ1, INHBA, IGFII activating MEK/ERK, and PI3K/AKT signaling and the BMP4 antagonists CER1 and GREM1 which collectively inhibit induction of differentiation via SMAD1/5/8. Further downstream targets of bFGF are transcription factors involved in proliferation such as c-FOS, MYC, and ETS2 (Greber et al., 2007a). Like the bFGF pathway, the inhibition of TGFβ which acts via SMAD2/3 signaling induces differentiation of both hESCs and iPSCs (Greber et al., 2008).

2. RESULTS

2.1. PLURIPOTENCY NETWORK MODEL BASED ON GENE PERTURBATION EXPERIMENTS

The literature based regulatory network of hESCs is largely built on knowledge from (Xu et al., 2002; Matin et al., 2004; Boyer et al., 2005; James et al., 2005; Vallier et al., 2005; Babaie et al., 2007; Greber et al., 2007a; Kim et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2008; Chavez et al., 2009; Lister et al., 2009; Jung et al., 2010) on the regulation and interplay between several transcription factors (Figure 2A). First, we expanded initial literature-based regulatory network of hESC using a set of seven perturbation experiments including—NANOG, SOX2, and OCT3/4 RNAi knockdowns in human embryonal carcinoma cells (NCCIT) (Greber et al., 2007b); bFGF; BMP4 and ACTA protein stimulation in H1 embryonic stem cells (Greber et al., 2008); GADD45G over-expression in NCCIT cells (Jung et al., 2010) from our previously published Embryonic Stem Cell Database (ESCDb, Figure 2B) (Jung et al., 2010). Human embryonal carcinoma cells (hECCs) are the malignant counterpart of hESCs and are frequently used as a model system for hESCs, in particular in terms of RNAi experiments. Although more limited, most likely due to their malignant character, they do have the capacity to differentiation into several cell types, and like hESCs, they undergo semantic self-renewal (Andrews et al., 1987; Matin et al., 2004; Bahrami et al., 2005; Josephson et al., 2007). In fact, their capacity to self-renew is governed by almost the same gene circuitries for example, OCT3/4, SOX2, NANOG, DNMT3B, DPPA2, DPPA3, DPPA4, and GABRB3 (Enver et al., 2005; Greber et al., 2007b). Given that hESCs are genetically far more difficult to manipulate and even if successful, the efficiency is disappointingly low, hECCs are frequently employed for this purpose and in comparison with genetic manipulations in hESCs they do provide useful and complementary results.

In the above mentioned seven perturbation experiments we identified differentially expressed genes (above and below threshold of 1.5 fold change on log2 scale) and filtered the genes based on their response to over-expression and knockdown of the selected genes (e.g., NANOG) in embryonal carcinoma and embryonic stem cells. These 7862 genes whose responses were significantly modified (either up or down-regulated) at a p-value of 1.0e-05 or smaller were then connected to the regulatory network in either a positive or a negative regulation (see full workflow in Figure 1). Since the expression of thousands of genes is affected by the many regulators’ perturbation experiments, we concentrated on 488 genes that are affected by at least 5 perturbations, assuming that these genes are under coordinated or potentially synergistic control. We filtered genes by their Gene Ontology functional annotations and selected genes related to receptor related signaling pathways mainly because they are easier to interfere with at the protein level using either inhibitors or recombinant proteins. The 109 genes in this resulting network can be grouped together by their incoming edges, thus forming 59 clusters of genes that are under similar regulation and therefore can be grouped as one node in the final network, enabling faster computation. By grouping genes by their incoming edges we investigate the potential role of a novel feedback loop, connecting genes under a specific regulation to a regulator, in maintaining the pluripotency. Individual genes in a cluster might behave differently if studied in more
FIGURE 2 | Initial literature-based network (A) was expanded using 7 perturbation experiments from ESCDb (B). This resulted in a big hairball like network that was reduced by keeping only genes belonging to receptor binding GO categories (GO:0007166, GO:0005102) and having at least 5 incoming edges. All genes that had identical set of incoming edges were clustered together (C) with all their edges described in the panel below (D). Finally, new regulatory edges were introduced creating feedback loops from clusters to regulators (dashed red line edge from C36 to ACTA) thus producing individual regulatory models (E).

detail. However, the majority of clusters contain only one or two genes and the effect of the individual genes will be evaluated separately for each gene in the experimental step. Using these steps we obtained a network composed of 69 nodes where 10 are from the core network and the rest are clusters of genes that are regulated by at least 5 out of the 7 main regulators (Figure 2C).

In the evaluation procedure we assessed the role of a regulatory edge from a terminal node (cluster of genes) to any of the core regulators (part of the core network), thus creating a new feedback loop to the network (Figure 2E). We produced two models—one with a positive and one with a negative regulation for each of the clustered genes (named CX, where X represents the cluster number, e.g., C13). In total we created a set of 1180 models where each of the introduced edges connected the 59 terminal nodes to 10 core regulators as potential targets in both positive and negative manner ($59 \times 10^2$). Each model was then submitted to the boolSim (Garg et al., 2009) logical modeling simulations. boolSim produced a set of steady states for each model. We subsequently performed in silico knock-out and over-expression to each of the regulatory nodes contained within the models and thus obtained an ensemble of steady states that represent the effect of introduced feedback loop to pluripotency maintenance.

We applied a PCA transformation to the matrix of steady states and identified the contributions of two classes of genes that we consider either maintaining pluripotency or inducing differentiation. Namely, we used OCT3/4, SOX2 and NANOG as pluripotency marker genes and GADD45G and BMP4 as differentiation markers and used their respective eigenvectors. For each model we calculated angles between the five eigenvectors in the following manner—we calculated an average angle from the three angles between SOX2 and NANOG, SOX2, and OCT3/4, and NANOG and OCT3/4; secondly, we calculated an angle between BMP4 and GADD45G; finally, we calculated the angle between the first group (NANOG, SOX2, OCT3/4) representing pluripotency and second group (BMP4, GADD45G) representing differentiation process. Models that produced small angles between pluripotency markers and at the same time a large angle between pluripotency markers and at the same time a large angle between pluripotency and differentiation markers were selected as depicted in Figure 3A (selected markers marked in red). We selected the set of models that fulfills criteria of maintaining pluripotency and blocking...
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FIGURE 3 | Model scoring. (A) Each model was scored using sum of angles between pluripotency markers (x-axis) and an angle between pluripotency and differentiation markers (y-axis). Models selected for validation (marked with red) had small angles between pluripotency markers and large angle expression upon differentiation. Using this approach we identified and selected the top 8 clusters corresponding to 15 cytokines, cytokine receptors or cytokine pathway associated factors which previously were not associated with the undifferentiated state and now appeared as candidates that might support pluripotency. We set our goal at 8 clusters mainly for feasibility reasons, but it is likely that other models might hold interesting genes that are amenable for further validation.

2.2. MODELING PIPELINE IDENTIFIED 15 NOVEL CYTOKINE PATHWAY ASSOCIATED FACTORS

These 15 novel cytokines, cytokine receptors or cytokine pathway associated factors that were predicted to support the undifferentiated state by qualitative modeling are ADRA2C, EPHB2, EPHB3, CD99, CXCL12, CXCR7, FRAT2, FUT8, IL-11, JUN, MAP7D1, NEDD9, NRIP1, PLXNA2, WNT3 (Table 1). Most of these factors have so far not been associated with the pluripotent state before. As a first step in validating our results, we checked the expression patterns of the predicted factors in studies previously reported by us (Greber et al., 2007b; Fathi et al., 2009). Indeed, we could confirm the predicted pluripotency associated factors to be differentially expressed upon embryoid body (EB)-based differentiation and, in a second data set, upon OCT3/4 depletion in hESC line H1 in N2B27 medium (Supplementary Figure 1). We also added the inhibitors Chalcone4 (10 nM–10 μM) that inhibits CXCL12 and thereby disables the CXCL12-CXCR7 axis. In order to inhibit JUN we added SP600125 (0.1–50 μM) which does inhibit JUN indirectly by the inhibition of its kinase and activator, C-JUN N-terminal-Kinase (JNK). After 3 days the cells were stained for Alkaline Phosphatase (AP) (Supplementary Figure 1). Three days of bFGF deprivation was sufficient to initiate differentiation. No effects were observed upon stimulation with WNT3A, EPHB2, EPHB3, and Chalcone4. However, the SP600125 inhibitor showed a cytotoxic effect at 30 and 40 μM and dramatic toxic effects at 50 μM (Supplementary Figure 1). At 10 and 20 μM some toxic effects were only observed in N2B27 medium, but not in MEF-conditioned medium. The addition of IL-11 induced the strongest AP staining at 10 ng/ml.

2.3. IL-11 IS A NOVEL PLURIPOTENCY SUPPORTING GENE

We focused further investigations on IL-11, because treatment of hESCs with this cytokine exhibited the most promising effect. The cells were stepwise adapted to grow in medium supplemented only with IL-11 and cultured on MEFs as feeder cells. First, the
Table 1 | Candidate models selected for experimental validation.

| Cluster ID | Candidate gene | Proposed regulation and target | Positive regulators | Negative regulators |
|------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| C5         | WNT3           | → ACTA                          | ACTA, BMP4, FGF2, NANOG, OCT3/4 | none               |
| C14        | CXCL12         | → ACTA                          | BMP4, GADD45G, OCT3/4, SOX2 | ACTA               |
| C15        | FUT8           | → ACTA                          | ACTA, BMP4, FGF2    | NANOG, OCT3/4, SOX2 |
| C16        | CD99           | → ACTA                          | ACTA, BMP4          | NANOG, OCT3/4, SOX2 |
| C16        | CXCR7          | → ACTA                          | ACTA, BMP4          | NANOG, OCT3/4, SOX2 |
| C16        | EPHB2          | → ACTA                          | ACTA, BMP4          | NANOG, OCT3/4, SOX2 |
| C16        | EPHB3          | → ACTA                          | ACTA, BMP4          | NANOG, OCT3/4, SOX2 |
| C16        | MAP7D1         | → ACTA                          | ACTA, BMP4          | NANOG, OCT3/4, SOX2 |
| C16        | NEDD9          | → ACTA                          | ACTA, BMP4          | NANOG, OCT3/4, SOX2 |
| C16        | PLXNA2         | → ACTA                          | ACTA, BMP4          | NANOG, OCT3/4, SOX2 |
| C28        | ADRA2C         | → ACTA                          | ACTA, BMP4, NANOG, OCT3/4 | none               |
| C36        | IL11           | → ACTA                          | BMP4, FGF2          | NANOG, OCT3/4, SOX2 |
| C36        | JUN            | → ACTA                          | BMP4, FGF2          | NANOG, OCT3/4, SOX2 |
| C39        | NRP1           | → ACTA                          | ACTA, BMP4, FGF2    | OCT3/4, SOX2        |
| C41        | FRAT2          | —                               | OCT3/4, SOX2        | ACTA, BMP4, FGF2    |

Each model, consisting of cluster ID, proposed regulation and target triplet, is described with its regulators and genes belonging to the cluster.

In order to understand the signaling mechanism of IL-11 in comparison to bFGF signaling in supporting the undifferentiated state we employed microarray-based gene expression profiling. The hESCs line H9 culture was deprived of bFGF for 5 days and as negative control compared with the bFGF supplemented positive control and IL-11 supplementation as the test of our prediction. Although 5 days were not long enough to induce dramatic morphological change upon bFGF withdrawal (Figure 4) early effects of differentiation could already be studied. OCT3/4 and NANOG immunohistochemistry showed intermediate signal intensities in the IL-11 treated cells in comparison to the negative and positive controls (Figure 5) (full data available as Supplementary Table 1). The global gene expression as illustrated in the correlation data (Supplementary Table 2) and hierarchical clustering mirrored these results. The largest differences appeared between the bFGF supplemented positive control and the bFGF deprived negative control, $R^2 < 0.948$ (Supplementary Table 2). The gene expression profile of the IL-11 treated cells occupied an intermediate state that is slightly closer to the bFGF deprived control, $0.9838 > R^2 > 0.9737$ (correlation to bFGF supplemented control 0.9509 > $R^2 > 0.9411$). Similarly, the hierarchical clustering reveals also that the IL-11 treatment occupies an intermediate state. The fact that the $R^2$ value of the IL-11 treatment is closer to that of bFGF deprived control than the bFGF treatment might hint toward a potentially distinct mechanism being employed by IL-11 than by bFGF in supporting the pluripotent state.

The Venn diagram shows the overlapping and distinct transcriptome signatures whereby 8975 genes were expressed in all three samples — bFGF, +bFGF and +IL-11 (Figure 6). Amongst the common expressed genes were also pluripotency markers like OCT3/4, SOX2, NANOG, because 5 days are not long enough to induce full differentiation, but long enough to identify putative direct targets of IL-11. However, in the +bFGF sample OCT3/4 was more than 2.6 fold higher expressed and
NANOG more than 1.7 fold higher expressed than in the −bFGF sample indicating that the differentiation process had been initiated. 239 genes were distinctly expressed in the bFGF treatment and 123 genes upon IL-11 treatment. Another 118 genes were commonly expressed in the bFGF and in the IL-11 treatment. The lists of the distinct Venn diagram compartments are found in Supplementary Table 3. KEGG pathway analysis revealed that the common genes expressed in FGF2 and IL-11 are enriched for cell adhesion, tight junction, insulin signaling and cancer pathways. In the IL-11 only compartment we found enrichment for regulation of actin cytoskeleton and adherens junction (Tables 2, 3). Since IL-11 belongs, like LIF, to the IL-6 family and both signal via gp130 as co-receptor we tested whether the addition of murine LIF would have a beneficial effect. We did not observe morphological differences between IL-11+LIF and IL-11 only treated cells nor differences in intensities of immunostainings for OCT3/4 and SOX2 (Figures 4A,B).

3. DISCUSSION
In this study we used a combination of literature knowledge and experimental datasets to expand regulatory network of human embryonic stem cells. Since the identification of core regulatory triplet of hESCs by (Boyer et al., 2005) many groups have composed pluripotency networks (Muller et al., 2008; Chavez et al., 2009; Lister et al., 2009; Macarthur et al., 2009; Won et al., 2012). Most of these networks have been composed using co-expression, protein-protein interaction or chromatin-immunoprecipitation studies and more for descriptive than modeling purposes. In this paper, we used perturbation experiments that reflect regulatory protein impact on its targets. By doing that we could evaluate the potential of using only perturbation datasets for
reconstructing a gene regulatory network as other data types such as protein-protein interactions or chromatin immunoprecipitation data might be harder to obtain. While connections between genes in this type of a network cannot be distinguished between direct and indirect, we can expect the differentially expressed genes to be downstream targets of the perturbed genes. The nature of these datasets suit perfectly for boolean modeling as we just need to define potential direct or indirect regulation between gene-gene pairs and do not need kinetic constants that are hard to acquire for a larger gene set. Although perturbation experiments have been previously used by (Amit et al., 2009) to study how human pathogen-sensing pathways acquire specificity, it is to our knowledge the first time this approach has been used for expanding pluripotency governing networks in pluripotent cells. Of course perturbation-based network can be combined besides literature knowledge also with other types of gene-gene interaction expressing datatypes and with the ever-expanding data sources it would be potential next step to study the complexity of pluripotency.

Perturbation experiments are helpful in identifying downstream targets of the affected gene and therefore a promising approach for identifying gene targets in a high-throughput manner. However, it is not possible to do all the potential perturbations for a given cellular system in vitro as the proteins might be difficult to over-express or the RNAi needed are not known for genes of interest. Here, in silico modeling comes into play as the computational perturbation experiments are easy to execute with a network modeling approach. In this work we created network models to select a handful of candidate genes to be experimentally validated from hundreds of cytokine pathway associated factors that otherwise would have been hard to prioritize.

In order to score the created models we used angles between eigenvectors from principal component analysis of network activity states. When the angles are small between eigenvectors then the variables, in our case pluripotency markers, are correlated. We used this approach as it is known that the core regulatory circuit of OCT3/4, SOX2, and NANOG have to be all active in order to maintain pluripotency and inactive differentiation-inducing signals.

Out of the prioritized 15 novel factors that our method predicted we were able to either supplement or inhibit only 6 genes (CXCL12, EphB2, EphB3, IL-11, JUN, and WNT3A) as for these genes we were able to find recombinant proteins or known inhibitors. Out of these 6 genes, we were able to show that IL-11 plays an important role in maintaining pluripotency in hESCs. A probable reason why the other 5 genes that we were able to test did not exert such a strong effect might be either that the concentrations used were sub-optimal or that their effect is not potent enough to maintain pluripotency. In case of EphB2 and EphB3, which are membrane bound ligands and are involved in bi-directional signaling, might not fulfill our predicted function when supplemented in a soluble form as we did.

The known critical genetic factors that govern the pluripotent state in the murine and human system are conserved to a large extent. For example, the core transcription factors OCT3/4, SOX2, and NANOG are common to both, the murine and the human system (Chambers et al., 2003; Boyer et al., 2005; Ying et al., 2008; Jung et al., 2010) as well as other factors like KLF4, c-MYC are expressed in both cell types. In fact, their reactivation in generating induced pluripotent stem cells is essential in both systems (Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006; Wernig et al., 2007). However, it seemed that there are also differences between mESCs and hESCs. For example the role of signaling pathways is less conserved. In murine embryonic stem cells (mESCs) the LIF/STAT3 pathway can sustain self-renewal but in serum free conditions the additional activity of BMP4 is required. LIF independence can be achieved by FOXP1 ESC specific splice isoform (Gabut et al., 2011) or by inhibition of the ERK branch of FGF signaling using the PD0325901 inhibitor supported by inhibition of GSK3 using CHIR99021 which helps to stabilize β-CATENIN (Ying et al., 2008). The latter, therefore, attributes supportive effects of WNT signaling to the pluripotent state. Although, mESCs and hESCs were considered different in signaling activities, similar observations were made in hESCs using BIO, another GSK inhibitor. However, this was only possible in the presence of FGF2 (Sato et al., 2004). In the hESCs, the LIF/STAT3 pathway fails to show any supportive effect, and in contrast to the murine ESCs the ERK signaling is required to mediate bFGF, PDGF, S1P signaling. Further SMAD2/3 (activated through TGFβ), PI3K/AKT signaling (activated through TGFβI) and NFκβ signaling are essential to maintain the pluripotent state as judged by down-regulation of these pathways upon embryoid body formation and other functional experiments (Armstrong et al., 2006; Bendall et al., 2007; Greber et al., 2008). BMP4 induces trophoderm differentiation acting via SMAD1/5/8 (Xu et al., 2005b; Sudheer et al., 2012). These signaling differences became somewhat clearer when it was realized that mESCs represent a more “naïve” state than the “primed” mESCs and hESCs (Bronson et al., 2007; Tesar et al., 2007). It is possible to isolate pluripotent murine stem cells from the ICM and the post-implantation epiblast, a developmentally later stage. These so called and primed EpiStemCells (EpiSC) behave very similar to hESCs, ranging from flat colony morphology, non-responsive to LIF, and dependence on FGF2 and ACTIVIN A, sensitivity to single-cell dissociation, X-chromosome inactivation (XCI), low chimera-contribution (Tesar et al., 2007; Ying et al., 2008; Hanna et al., 2010; Lengner et al., 2010), etc. Hence, it appears that the differences between the mESCs and hESCs are not due to species differences, but are attributable to different developmental stages. Therefore, it seems justified to speculate that a human naïve pluripotent counterpart exists. Indeed, Hanna and colleagues showed for a short period of twenty passages that it was possible to force human pluripotent stem cells to adopt a naïve phenotype (Hanna et al., 2010). mEpiSCs, which are similar to hESCs, require FGF/ERK signaling for both maintaining the pluripotent state and preventing from falling back into the naïve state (Kunath et al., 2007; Nichols et al., 2009; Lanner and Rossant, 2010). Identifying IL-11 as a pluripotency promoting factor in human ESCs renders the signaling differences between the human and murine pluripotent systems that are largely accentuated by the role played by LIF in the murine naïve, but not in the human “primed” system, as less pronounced as previously thought. Nonetheless, for other mechanisms it is known that they act in synergy, e.g., on establishing pregnancy (Dimitriadis et al., 2010).
Our discovery that IL-11 can replace exogenous FGF2 may represent a stepping stone for interconverting the two pluripotent states, naïve and primed, and thereby potentially be the first step from releasing the primed cells from bFGF dependency to pave the way for de-differentiation to the naïve state. In line with this hypothesis is that LIF and IL-11 belong to the IL-6 family and signal through the same co-receptor gp130 (Nandurkar et al., 1996; Timmermann et al., 2000). Although in our study we did not observe any effects upon LIF treatment, we cannot exclude a potential role for LIF, because we applied the murine LIF (mLIF) instead of the human LIF (hLIF). Both are highly conserved, but there are slight variations which could affect their function. In fact, Daheron and colleagues showed that hLIF leads to STAT3 phosphorylation in mESCs while mLIF fails to activate human STAT3 (Daheron et al., 2004). Further, the study of Hanna and others showed at least transitory that hLIF can support the human pluripotent state (Hanna et al., 2010).

If IL-11 indeed aids in the conversion of the primed to the naïve state or stabilizes the primed pluripotent state, then it seems incapable of fulfilling this role on its own. It might require the support from other factors for several reasons. First, the IL-11 treatment resulted in a gene expression signature that is closer to the FGF untreated state and the expression intensities of OCT3/4 and SOX2 are intermediate as well. Second, morphologically we did not observe colony similarities to the naïve state. Third, the IL-11 treatment is hypersensitive to single cell dissociation, as judged by the requirement of ROCK and Thiazovivin simultaneously. Presumably, it’s also still in an Xα,Xγ state instead of an Xα,Xu which has to be addressed experimentally.

The question still remains, what are the mechanisms employed by IL-11 and FGF2 to sustain the pluripotent state? The mechanism employed by bFGF acts on the mouse embryonic feeder cells or when cultured on matrigel on the hESC derived fibroblast-like support cells (autologous feeders) to produce and release supportive factors for the maintenance of undifferentiated hESCs (Greber et al., 2007a). Some of these factors are TGFβ1, INHBA, IGFI (in order to activate MEK/ERK and PI3K/AKT signaling) and the BMP4 antagonists CER1 and GREM1 to avoid induction of differentiation via SMAD1/5/8 (Bendall et al., 2007; Greber et al., 2008). Further downstream targets of bFGF are transcription factors involved in proliferation such as c-FOS, c-JUN, MYC, and ETS2 (Greber et al., 2007a). Also IL-11 was up-regulated which is traditionally known to be involved in proliferation in the hematopoietic system (Nandurkar et al., 1998). Like the bFGF pathway, the inhibition of TGFβ which acts via SMAD2/3 signaling induces differentiation in hESCs (Greber et al., 2008), PI3K/AKT signaling is repressed upon embryoid body formation (Armstrong et al., 2006) and it was discovered that IGFI initiates this signaling pathway by binding to IGF1R (Wang et al., 2005). In the same study, the authors demonstrated that the IGFI pathway seems to be more dominant than the bFGF pathway. They demonstrated that the addition of IGFI and FGFR inhibitor could maintain the undifferentiated state whereas vice versa it was not possible. The pathways of PI3K/AKT and MEK/ERK crosstalk through GSK3, because AKT phosphorylates and inactivates GSK3α and GSK3β. Also, the PI3K/AKT pathway cross-talks with the NFκβ pathway. AKT phosphorylates 1κβ, the cytosolic inhibitor of κβ (NFκβ). There are indications that the NFκβ pathway acts upstream of the MEK/ERK pathway. IL-11 seems to employ different mechanisms, as our data indicate. The R2 values of the IL-11 treatment are closer to the bFGF deprived control than the bFGF treatment. In terms of gene expression, this might be a hint of a global dimension that a distinct mechanism is being employed. More specific mechanisms are indicated by the activated pathways of adherens junction and the actin cytoskeleton, which was implicated by Thomson and his colleagues (Chen et al., 2010) to be associated with the pluripotent state. The cytoskeletal involvement is very clear when the ROCK inhibitor is used on single cell suspension blocking the myosin-actin mediated contraction of hESC and thus ensuring survival (Chen et al., 2010).

It appears that the bFGF and IL-11 mediated promotions of pluripotency belong to independent mechanisms and it might be justified to speculate that the combined application of both might lead to additive reinforcement of self-renewal and pluripotency (which ever this might be—naïve or primed) by stabilizing MEK/ERK and the activation of the actin cytoskeleton pathway, respectively. However, in order to convert hESCs into a naïve state or to stabilize the primed state even further in a FGF2-independent manner, additional supporting cytokine, inhibitors or conditions need to be found to strengthen the effect of IL-11, because to date it appears as if bFGF traps the primed pluripotent state by preventing differentiation and further de-differentiation (Thomson et al., 1998; Li et al., 2009; Greber et al., 2010; Hanna et al., 2010). These insights will have substantial implications both on the iPS cells and deepen our understanding of pluripotent substrates. Taken together our study shows that our combined approach of systems biology and experimental biology can identify factors that are counterintuitive and, hence, hard to discover otherwise. Equally important, this approach can be applied to any other cellular system and thereby enhance research progress.

4. MATERIALS AND METHODS

4.1. IDENTIFICATION OF CANDIDATE GENES USING QUALITATIVE MODELING

The initial literature based network was very limited and composed of bFGF/MEK/ERK/NANOG (Xu et al., 2008) signaling as well as TGFβ1, ACTIVIN, NODAL/SMAD2/3/NANOG (Xu et al., 2008) in competition with BMP4/SMAD1/5/8 which drives differentiation (Xu et al., 2002). This configuration of signaling cascades was thought to maintain the expression of the key factors of the pluripotent state OCT3/4, SOX2, and NANOG which are transcription factors that form multiheteromers in different combinations, but also bind their target genes individually (Boyer et al., 2005). Knock down experiments, i.e., of OCT3/4, showed that hCG and GCM1 are directly or indirectly negatively regulated by OCT3/4 (Matin et al., 2004). In addition GADD45G and BMP4 have been shown to be negatively regulated by OCT4 (Babaie et al., 2007) and are direct targets of OCT4 (Jung et al., 2010).

We expanded the initial literature network by using gene perturbation data from Embryonic Stem Cell Database (Jung et al., 2010). OCT3/4, SOX2, and NANOG RNAi knockdowns in human embryonal carcinoma cells (NCCIT) are from (Greber et al., 2008).
Protein supplementation experiments of bFGF, BMP4, and ACTIVIN A in human H1 embryonic stem cells originates from (Greber et al., 2008) and GADD45G addition experiment in NCCIT cells was described by (Jung et al., 2010) (Figure 2B).

Edges in the expanded network were defined by the perturbation experiments in the following manner: genes that showed significant expression change (at least 1.5 fold change in log2 scale with \( p \)-value less than 1.0e-05; detection \( p \)-value less than 1.0e-05) after the perturbation were added to the network. Genes showing up-regulation after adding the protein gained positive incoming edge, while genes showing down-regulation gained negative incoming edge. The opposite applies for negative perturbation experiments of RNAi knockdowns.

In order to limit the search space of possible important regulators, we first concentrated on genes that had at least five incoming edges from the core regulators. This enabled to keep only these genes that are under strong regulation of known regulators and therefore more likely to be important for given cellular states. Secondly, we considered only genes that are either receptors or ligands by including only genes belonging to cell surface receptor linked signaling pathway (GO:0007166) and receptor binding (GO:0005102) Gene Ontology categories. This filtering served the purpose to identify candidate genes that could be more easily validated experimentally. Finally, genes having identical incoming regulatory edges were clustered together to a node in order to reduce the network size, thus forming a reduced perturbation network (Figure 2C). All possible network sizes and respective running times are shown in Supplementary Table 4.

We composed models by introducing a new regulatory edge to the reduced perturbation network. The edge is added from a terminal node (cluster, having only incoming edges) to any regulator (nodes from initial literature network having outgoing edges) in the network. The edge can be either activating or inhibiting. Each model represents a network that differs from the reduced perturbation network by only an added edge (Figure 2E).

Using these model networks we performed in silico perturbation experiments using boolSim (version 1.0) program developed by Garg et al. (2009). boolSim was run in synchronous mode where all nodes change values simultaneously in sequential time points. We did not set a limit for the number of steps to be done before falling into steady states. The parameters we used were \(-p\) with value 1 for synchronous mode; \(-f\) for input network file; \(-o\) for output of identified steady states; \(-e\) specifying the perturbed node and type of perturbation (over-expression or knockdown).

We performed for all regulatory nodes in each model network both over-expression and knockdown experiments. Each in silico perturbation experiment leads to zero or more steady states which express the potential activation and inactivation status of our model network. We consider as steady states both fixed and strongly connected steady states, where subsets of the network nodes might be in cyclic steady states. Such states can be described as binary vectors where 1 marks active and 0 a passive mode of each node in the network. These vectors were next merged into a steady state matrix where each row represents one steady state and each column represents a node in the network.

In order to score the models we defined OCT3/4, SOX2, and NANOG as pluripotency marker genes. As differentiation markers we used BMP4 as it is known to induce the differentiation of human ES cells to trophoblast (Xu et al., 2005b) and GADD45G that is known to activate differentiation pathways (Jung et al., 2010).

In the assessment step we reduced the dimensions of the steady state matrix with principal component analysis using the latest version of prcomp package (Venables and Ripley, 2002) in R version 2.13.0 (R Development Core Team, 2011). In this analysis the first principal component, PC1, represents the direction of the highest variance of the data and the second principal component, PC2, represents the highest remaining variance orthogonal to the first component. We used the first two principal components to define a vector for each marker gene and calculated angles between such vectors to score our model networks. Resulting angles are small between same state markers (either pluripotency markers OCT3/4, SOX2, and NANOG; or differentiation markers BMP4 and GADD45G) and large between different states when the model represents a regulatory network where same cell state markers (e.g., BMP4 and GADD45G) are in one state (e.g., inactivated) and the second state markers (e.g., OCT3/4, SOX2, and NANOG) are in the opposite state (e.g., activated). Each of the models is therefore scored by three different angles and models having minimal angle between pluripotency markers and having maximal angle between pluripotency markers and differentiation markers were selected for experimental testing (Figure 3A).

### 4.2. CELL CULTURE

Human ESCs were maintained on Mytomycin C inactivated mouse MEFs in KnockOut DMEM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 20% KnockOut serum replacement (Invitrogen), 0.1 mM non-essential amino acids (Invitrogen), 1 mM L-glutamine (Invitrogen), 0.1 mM β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma), 8 ng/ml bFGF (Invitrogen). For passaging, hESCs and cells were split 1:3 using 1 mg/ml collagenase IV (Invitrogen). Alternatively, for feeder-free culture, hESCs cells were plated on Matrigel (Becton Dickinson)-coated plate in MEF-conditioned medium supplemented with 8 ng/ml bFGF or in N2B27 medium supplemented with 24 ng/ml bFGF. The SP600125 (Sigma) inhibitor was dissolved in DMSO and added to the cells to a final concentration of 5 or 10 μM. Recombinant IL-11 (Sigma) was added to a final concentration of 10 ng/ml and murine LIF 10³ Units/ml (Millipore).

### 4.3. ALKALINE PHOSPHATASE STAINING AND IMMUNOFLUORESCENCE STAINING

Alkaline phosphatase staining was performed using the alkaline phosphatase detection kit (Millipore) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. For immunofluorescence staining, the cells were fixed in 4 % paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15 min, permeabilized using 0.1 % Triton X-100 (Sigma) for 30 min at RT and blocked with 5% chicken or fetal calf serum for 30 min. Subsequently, the cells were incubated with the primary antibodies in blocking solution overnight at 4°C. The primary antibodies included monoclonal antibodies against OCT3/4 (Santa Cruz, 1:100), SOX2 (Santa Cruz, 1:100), NANOG (Abcam, 1:500), SSEA-1 (Millipore, 1:500), SSEA-3 (Abcam, 1:500), SSEA-4 (Abcam, 1:500), TRA-1-60 (Millipore, 1:500), TRA-1-81 (Millipore, 1:500).
4.4. MICROARRAY ANALYSIS

Total RNA was extracted using the MiniRNAeasy Kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The quality was checked by Nanodrop analysis (Nanodrop Technologies). 500 ng were used for biotin-labeled cRNA production using a linear amplification kit (Ambion). Hybridizations, washing, Cy3-streptavidin staining, and scanning were performed on the Illumina BeadStation 500 platform (Illumina) according to manufacturer’s instructions. cRNA samples were hybridized onto Illumina human-8 BeadChips version 3. All basic gene expression data analyses were carried out using the BeadStudio software 3.0. Raw data were background-subtracted, normalized using the rank invariant algorithm and then filtered for significant expression on the basis of negative control beads. Pathway analyses were determined according to Gene Ontology terms or mapped to KEGG pathways (Kanehisa et al., 2012) using GenBank accession numbers represented by the corresponding chip oligonucleotides as input. Then gene expression arrays (Illumina) for genome-wide expression analysis by the corresponding chip oligonucleotides as input. Then gene expression arrays (Illumina) for genome-wide expression analysis by the corresponding chip oligonucleotides as input.

4.5. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

A groups comparison of two were made using Student’s two-tailed t-test. Data represent the mean of triplicates and are expressed as mean and standard deviation. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS 6.0 statistical software program. P-values of < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
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Supplementary Figure 1 | Raw data of initial alkaline phosphatase screen of predicted candidate genes for 3 days. Shown are four 12 well plates, each testing various concentrations of the predicted candidate cytokines or inhibitors of the respective pathway. Top left, WNT3A 1–100 ng/ml. Top right, Chalcone4 (inhibiting the CXCL12—CXCR7 axis) 10nM–10 μM. Bottom left, IL-11 1–500 ng/ml. Bottom right, SP600125 (inhibiting JNK which in turn fails to activate c-JUN) tested at concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 50 μM. Pink arrows show DMSO control, red arrows show water control and the green arrows the SP600125 at 50 μM.

Supplementary Table 1 | Illumina expression data of ES cells treated with and without FGF2 and IL-11.

Supplementary Table 2 | The global gene expression as illustrated in the correlation data.

Supplementary Table 3 | The lists of the distinct Venn diagram compartments.

Supplementary Table 4 | Various network sizes and corresponding calculation times.
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