Reviews and syntheses: Ironing out wrinkles in the soil phosphorus cycling paradigm

Curt A. McConnell¹, Jason P. Kaye², and Armen R. Kemanian¹

¹Department of Plant Science, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, 16802, USA
²Department of Ecosystem Science and Management, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, 16802, USA

Correspondence: Curt A. McConnell (czm66@psu.edu) and Armen R. Kemanian (kxa15@psu.edu)

Received: 11 April 2020 – Discussion started: 5 May 2020
Revised: 10 August 2020 – Accepted: 18 September 2020 – Published: 6 November 2020

Abstract. Soil phosphorus (P) management remains a critical challenge for agriculture worldwide, and yet we are still unable to predict soil P dynamics as confidently as that of carbon (C) or nitrogen (N). This is due to both the complexity of inorganic P (Pᵢ) and organic P (Pₒ) cycling and the methodological constraints that have limited our ability to trace P dynamics in the soil–plant system. In this review, we describe the challenges for building parsimonious, accurate, and useful biogeochemical models that represent P dynamics and explore the potential of new techniques to usher P biogeochemistry research and modeling forward. We conclude that research efforts should focus on the following: (1) updating the McGill and Cole (1981) model of Pₒ mineralization by clarifying the role and prevalence of biochemical and biological Pₒ mineralization, which we suggest are not mutually exclusive and may co-occur along a continuum of Pₒ substrate stoichiometry; (2) further understanding the dynamics of phytate, a six C compound that can regulate the poorly understood stoichiometry of soil P; (3) exploring the effects of C and Pₒ saturation on P sorption and Pₒ mineralization; and (4) resolving discrepancies between hypotheses about P cycling and the methods used to test these hypotheses.

1 Introduction

Managing agricultural soil phosphorus (P) to maximize crop productivity and minimize P pollution is one of the critical challenges of contemporary agriculture. Our current understanding of soil P cycling lags that of carbon (C) and nitrogen (N; Reed et al., 2015), and that lag is pronounced in simulation models (e.g., Vadas et al., 2006). Most P cycling components of biogeochemical models are structured after C and N, despite key differences between the biogeochemical cycling of the three elements. Soil P has a significant inorganic component in addition to an organic one, both of which can cycle independently of C and N (McGill and Cole, 1981; Condron et al., 2005). Unlike C and N, investigating P cycling is limited by the existence of one P stable isotope (C and N have two each), making tracing studies dependent on P radioisotopes that are short-lived and hazardous. Phosphate-bound stable oxygen isotopes can also be used to trace P but are subject to biotically mediated fractionation that complicate the interpretation of abiotic P transformations (Blake et al., 2005; Angert et al., 2011).

Gaps in our understanding of the P cycle are reflected in model conceptualizations and in model results that are rather uncertain regarding P cycling (Radcliffe et al., 2009). The mismatch or poor correlation between analytical chemical P pools and conceptual P pools (Gijsman et al., 1996) only compounds this uncertainty. A manifestation of these limitations in model prediction was the failure to predict increased soluble P losses as agricultural management shifts from till to no-till systems (Duncan et al., 2019), even though it was long known that while no-till reduces erosion it stratifies immobile nutrients. Vertical stratification of P coupled with hydrological connectivity between fields and waterways via runoff or tile drains led to enhanced transport of soluble P from fields to water (Radcliffe et al., 2015; Dodd and Sharpley, 2016). The collective inability to predict the behavior of P with the current nutrient models (Radcliffe et al., 2015; García et al., 2016) highlights the limited holistic understanding of P cycling.
The goal of this review is to identify gaps in soil P cycling research that contribute to the observation–model disconnect and to clarify the path forward for a more seamless integration of experimental and theoretical progress. The objectives are to review the (1) discrepancies in P\textsubscript{o} mineralization paradigms, (2) difficulties in incorporating phytate and C:P stoichiometry into these paradigms, (3) dynamics of C and P\textsubscript{o} saturation, and (4) methodological challenges in measuring P content, distribution, and sorption. These specific subjects were selected based on the extent of diverging evidence and the degree of importance to the conceptualization, or reconceptualization, of soil P cycling.

**Overview of P cycle**

In the soil–plant system, P exists in both inorganic (P\textsubscript{i}) and organic (P\textsubscript{o}) forms, whose relative abundance depends on edaphic, management, and climate conditions. Generally, P\textsubscript{o} makes up 35%–65%, and sometimes up to 90%, of total P in soil (Williams and Steinbergs, 1958; Harrison, 1987). Of the total P in most plant–soil systems, less than 10% is in living organisms (Ozanne, 1980; Arai and Sparks, 2007). Usually, less than 1% is in solution as either a dissolved organic species or as a free inorganic phosphate (PO\textsubscript{4}) ion immediately useable by plants and microorganisms (Pierzynski, 1991; Frossard et al., 2000). For PO\textsubscript{4} to become available in the soil solution for organism uptake, soil P\textsubscript{i} and soil P\textsubscript{o} must undergo abiotic and biotic transformations (Fig. 1).

Soil P\textsubscript{i} is either in a primary mineral form, precipitated as various inorganic phosphates (mainly as AlPO\textsubscript{4}, FePO\textsubscript{4}, and CaPO\textsubscript{4}), occluded by precipitates and other minerals, sorbed to mineral surfaces, or dissolved in the soil solution. In inorganic physiochemical pathways, PO\textsubscript{4} is released into the solution by the dissolution of minerals, precipitates, and sorbed P\textsubscript{i} (Hedley et al., 1982; Cross and Schlesinger, 1995; Arai and Sparks, 2007). However, PO\textsubscript{4} equilibrium favors sorption to the solid phase rather than desorption (Okajima et al., 1983; Menezes-Blackburn et al., 2016). PO\textsubscript{4} undergoes sorption to mineral and colloid surfaces by electrostatic interaction or fast chemisorption (ligand exchange on external mineral sites).

Once PO\textsubscript{4} is sorbed, it is either desorbed back to the soil solution or stabilized through further ligand exchange and slow incorporation into aggregates or clays (Holtan et al., 1988; Arai and Sparks, 2007). The methods of Hedley et al. (1982), later refined by Tiessen and Moir (1993), attempted to quantify P into pools along this continuum of P stabilization using sequential chemical extraction methods. The so-called Hedley fractionation is the most widely used method to quantify both P\textsubscript{i} and P\textsubscript{o} in fractions of varying lability and, indirectly, plant availability (Guppy et al., 2000).

The dissolution of solid phase P\textsubscript{i}, and thus plant availability, depends on the ecosystem type (Cleveland et al., 2013; Bünemann, 2015), degree of soil development (Smeck, 1985), parent material (Bünemann et al., 2016), P\textsubscript{i} lability relative to P\textsubscript{o} (Pistocchi et al., 2018), and human inputs (Oehl et al., 2004). Soils in the early stages of development have
low soil Pₐ levels, so plant P acquisition relies on physiochemical processes for Pₐ dissolution. These physiochemical processes also tend to control P availability for plants in agroecosystems supplied with P-rich fertilizers (Guo et al., 2000; Oehl et al., 2004; Bünemann, 2015). While P₀ can play a less significant role in agricultural systems (Bünemann, 2015), it can be an important P source via mineralization in forested or highly weathered soils (Yang and Post, 2011; Cleveland et al., 2013; Bünemann, 2015), particularly when labile P₁ availability is low (Pistocchi et al., 2018).

The most common soil P₀ forms are orthophosphate monoesters, orthophosphate diesters, organic polyphosphates, and phosphonates (Turner et al., 2007). The orthophosphate monoester inositol phosphate comprises a significant fraction of total P₀ in most measured soils, principally due to its recalcitrance to mineralization (Turner et al., 2002). Sugar phosphate monoesters and phosphate diesters like DNA and RNA are more labile sources of mineralizable P₀ (Condon et al., 2005; Turner, 2008).

The agents responsible for P₀ mineralization are plant and microbial phosphatases that are periplasmic, membrane bound, or exuded into the soil solution. One function of extracellular enzymes is to depolymerize P₀ compounds, thus increasing their solubility and accessibility by microbes and plants (Quiquampoix and Mousain, 2005). After depolymerization, P₀ monomers may be assimilated by a microorganism, depending on compound-specific membrane transport proteins and other organismal and environmental controls such as the concentration of P₁ or the P₀ compound (Wanner, 1996; Heath, 2005; Quiquampoix and Mousain, 2005). However, only a few P₀ compounds are known to have direct transmembrane mobility, (Wanner, 1996) and to our knowledge, studies of direct P₀ uptake were not conducted in soils. The indirect uptake of PO₄³⁻ from nontransportable P₀ compounds is regulated by phosphatase enzymes.

The mechanisms by which nontransportable P₀ is mineralized by microbes and plants are not clearly described in the literature; there is no unifying principle of P₀ mineralization considering recent advances in P biogeochemistry research. Initially, microbial P₀ mineralization was described similarly to N mineralization, whereby P₀ is mineralized in conjunction with C for energy and, thus, coupled to C dynamics (Thompson et al., 1954; Dalal, 1979). This biological P₀ mineralization model was challenged by McGill and Cole (1981), who posited a biochemical mineralization pathway. Under their definition, P₀ mineralization is driven by P demand met by phosphatase enzymes for the acquisition of P independent of C. This model demonstrates a decoupling of P from C dynamics. Although this conceptualization has been generally accepted to occur under low labile P₁ conditions and has provided a potential explanation for the observed variability in soil and microbial nutrient stoichiometry, it is not complete (see Sect. 2).

The biological and biochemical pathways were regarded as mutually exclusive in the McGill and Cole model and, in some cases, continue to be (McGill and Cole, 1981; Heuck et al., 2015); however, it is simpler to assume that they coexist as, for example, in Goll et al. (2012) and Thum et al. (2019). P₀ mineralization can result in the acquisition of P, C, or both, depending on the relative demand for either element or the relative lability of the P₀ substrate. The biological and biochemical terms are misleading as P₀ mineralization is always biochemical, i.e., phosphatase enzymes are used regardless of whether mineralization is driven by C or P demand. We propose to substitute C-driven P₀ mineralization for biological and P-driven P₀ mineralization for biochemical to distinguish the drivers leading to P₀ mineralization but without confounding the paths. We understand that C-driven P₀ mineralization as a concept might be narrow in scope, as organic matter can be decomposed to mine organic N or sulfur as well, but the proposed language is unequivocal with respect to P.

2 Deconstructing P₀ mineralization and updating the McGill and Cole conceptual model

McGill and Cole (1981) proposed that P₀ mineralization is independent of C and N mineralization (Smeck, 1985). However, this model is not uniformly true as elucidated by Condon et al. (2005) and further evidenced by recent research. Phosphatase enzymes play a key role in P₀ mineralization, yet phosphatase-mediated dephosphorylation may also be a requisite step in the acquisition of C (Spohn et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016) as the polar PO₄³⁻ groups may hinder direct P₀ uptake (Steinbergh et al., 2011). The extent to which and conditions under which either C-driven or P-driven P₀ mineralization occur are debated and require clarification (Smeck, 1985; Gressel et al., 1996; Oehl et al., 2004; Guppy and McLaughlin, 2009; Spohn and Kuzyakov, 2013; Heuck and Spohn, 2016). We will therefore highlight the evidence supporting both P₀ mineralization pathways and describe methodological limitations for measuring enzyme activity and P₀ mineralization.

2.1 Evidence supporting P-driven P₀ mineralization

Phosphatase enzymes can mineralize P₀ independent of the C moiety. There is substantial evidence relating P₀ depletion to increased phosphatase activity (Tarafdar and Jungk, 1987; Häussling and Marschner, 1989; Rojo et al., 1990; Speir and Cowling, 1991; Asmar et al., 1995; Chen et al., 2002), suggesting a mineralization pathway driven by P demand and decoupled from C dynamics. Increased phosphatase activity is also observed when decomposition is limited by P availability (Sinsabaugh et al., 1993), the soil has a high organic carbon (C₀) content (Halstead, 1964), or organic material is added to a soil (Li et al., 2015). Furthermore, there is a general negative relationship between available P₁ and phosphatase activity, suggesting that P-driven mineralization is
suppressed when labile $P_i$ is no longer limiting (Juma and Tabatabai, 1977, 1978; Nannipieri et al., 1978; Spiers and McGill, 1979; Dick et al., 1988; Clarholm, 1993; Colvan et al., 2001; Moscatelli et al., 2005; Marklein and Houlton, 2012; Turner and Joseph Wright, 2014).

A tighter coupling of C mineralization with soil organic matter (SOM) $C:N$ ratios, rather than $C:P$ ratios (Heuck and Spohn, 2016), and a relatively poor correlation of $P_o$ with C or N (Yang and Post, 2011) indicates a greater independence of P mineralization from C than N, a relationship captured by some simulation models (Yu et al., 2020). Furthermore, phosphatase activity responds faster to changes in mineral P availability than analogous N enzymes to N availability (Marklein et al., 2016) indicating the reliance on phosphatase enzymes for P acquisition under P-limited scenarios.

2.2 Conflicting evidence in discerning $P$-driven and $C$-driven mineralization

The relative contribution of plants and microbes in exuding phosphatase enzymes is unknown (Richardson et al., 2009b). Because plants acquire C through photosynthesis, P-driven $P_o$ mineralization seems uncontroversial for autotrophic plants. In contrast, heterotrophic microbes may mineralize $P_o$ compounds for C or P rather than solely P. Discriminating microbial and plant phosphatase activity in the rhizosphere and linking C- or P-driven $P_o$ mineralization pathways to either organism is challenging.

Phosphatase activity is higher in the rhizosphere than in the bulk soil (Nannipieri et al., 1978; Häussling and Marschner, 1989), likely due to the greater abundance of $P_o$ substrates from decomposing root and microbial matter and combined plant and microbial activity in the rhizosphere (Jones et al., 2009; Spohn et al., 2015). The rhizosphere also tends to exhibit lower phosphatase activity with higher $P_i$ availability compared to the bulk soil (Hedley et al., 1983). This response cannot be conclusively linked to plants or microbes (Richardson et al., 2009b) because, with a few exceptions, plant and microbial phosphatases are indistinguishable (Nannipieri et al., 2011). Among the exceptions are the microbe-specific alkali phosphatases, which, in some studies, have exhibited a negative response to $P_i$ fertilization when measured in the rhizosphere (Spohn et al., 2015), indicating an active microbe-mediated $P$-driven $P_o$ mineralization. It must be noted, however, that alkaline phosphatases are only a subset of the microbially produced phosphatase enzymes and are produced in neutral to basic conditions (Juma and Tabatabai, 1978), which cautions against broad generalizations.

Outside of the rhizosphere in the bulk soil, it has been shown that the alkaline phosphatase activity response to $P_i$ availability is subdued (Spohn et al., 2015) or even positive (Colvan et al., 2001). This pattern is consistent with $C$-driven $P_o$ mineralization by nonrhizosphere microbes, stripping the $P$ group as a prerequisite for further C processing (Spohn and Kuzyakov, 2013; Spohn et al., 2015). Supporting this interpretation, bulk or incubated soil isolated from the roots (with phosphatases more likely of microbial origin) have not shown a decline in either acid or alkaline phosphatase activity when $P_i$ availability increased (Adams and Pate, 1992; Lima et al., 1996).

Additionally, some studies have either found no relationship between $P_o$ mineralization and phosphatase activity (Dissing Nielsen and Eiland, 1980; Trasar-Cepeda et al., 1991; Chen et al., 2000; Allison and Vitousek, 2005) or did not observe a negative relationship of phosphatase activity with $P_i$ availability or $P$ fertilization (Halstead, 1964; Harrison, 1983; Li et al., 2015). The lack of an immediate negative response to $P_i$ availability may be caused by recalcitrant phosphatase enzymes stabilized by soil minerals and colloids that remain active in the soil (Clarholm, 1993; Turner and Joseph Wright, 2014). Turner and Wright (2014) proposed that longer term studies must be conducted to ensure that a change in overall phosphatase production can be observed. But an equally plausible explanation for the lack of a phosphatase response to $P_i$ availability may be that microbes are releasing phosphatase as a means of C acquisition (Spohn and Kuzyakov, 2013; Heuck et al., 2015), so phosphatase production can be, on occasion, unrelated to increased $P_i$ availability (Fig. 2).

2.3 Evidence supporting $C$-driven $P_o$ mineralization

While $P_o$ compounds are a C source for microbes, there is limited to no evidence of direct $P_o$ assimilation by soil microbes (George et al., 2017). A lack of evidence does not exclude the possibility of phosphorylated compound uptake, but dephosphorylation either facilitates or is a requisite step in the acquisition of C from $P_o$. Evidence for $C$-driven $P_o$ mineralization comes from studies showing the coupling of $P_o$ mineralization and SOM decomposition (Dalal, 1979; Gressel et al., 1996; Moller et al., 2000). In dual-labeling experiments with $^{33}P$ and $^{14}C$, the preferential microbial uptake of C from labeled glucose phosphate was greater than that of P, even under C saturation (Spohn and Kuzyakov, 2013; Heuck et al., 2015). Fransson and Jones (2007) found that organic compounds like adenosine were preferentially mineralized over their phosphorylated counterparts (AMP, ADP, and ATP), and that low phosphatase activity may limit the use of phosphorylated compounds at elevated $P_o$ concentrations. Accordingly, the activity of the C-mineralizing enzyme $\beta$-glucosidase explained 41 % to 69 % of the variation in phosphatase activity (Wang et al., 2016), indicating that the two groups of mineralization enzymes can be closely related (Sinsabaugh et al., 2009).
2.4 The coexistence of C-driven and P-driven $P_0$ mineralization

It may only be on the extremes of a continuum of C or P limitation where C- or P-driven $P_0$ mineralization dominates (Fig. 3), with the coexistence of these mechanisms under colimiting conditions. In a temperate P-limited system, Heuck et al. (2015) found that C-driven $P_0$ mineralization dominated, likely due to concurrent C-limitation that drove mineralization. Similarly, different microsites in the soil can be P- or C-limited, further complicating data interpretation when analyses are done in soil volumes that cannot distinguish processes at microscales.

Strict P-driven mineralization may not apply to soils and microbial communities that are C-limited, but soils and microbes are not always C-limited (Zechmeister-Boltenstern et al., 2015), particularly in tropical systems that can have significant P limitations (Camenzind et al., 2018). In highly weathered soils, the strong P$_f$ fixation potential and overall low P$_f$ makes P$_0$ the dominant P source in the soil (McDowell et al., 2007; Vincent et al., 2010); thus, P-scavenging P acquisition strategies may be more prevalent. Soil type does not completely explain the dominance of P-driven $P_0$ mineralization, as it may also depend on P$_0$ speciation. Vincent et al. (2010) observed that litter manipulations did not impact soil C : N : P stoichiometry in a lowland tropical forest, indicating a nondiscriminating mineralization behavior. As noted by these authors, the observed absence of inositol phosphates, which are very recalcitrant $P_0$ compounds, may have reduced the prevalence of P-driven mineralization. A depletion in inositol phosphate, as seen in other studies (McDowell et al., 2007), may have been caused by earlier P-driven $P_0$ mineralization (McDowell et al., 2007; Turner et al., 2007). Organic substrates of varying composition and their varying propensity to specific mineralization pathways may influence P stoichiometry and $P_0$ mineralization.

2.5 Synthesis

Measuring and differentiating $P_0$ mineralization pathways is an important step for modeling P biogeochemistry. Some land surface and catchment models simulate P-driven $P_0$ mineralization (Wang et al., 2010; Goll et al., 2012, 2017; Davies et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2018, 2020; Thum et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2019), but they are parameterized on limited observations (Reed et al., 2015; Thum et al., 2019) that are measured using unrepresentative or inaccurate methods. The first of these methodological limitations is the inaccuracy of P-driven mineralization measurements. It is difficult to quantify P-driven relative to C-driven mineralization with current assays (Oehl et al., 2004; Achat et al., 2016), particularly as phosphatase may play a role in both P- and C-driven mineralization, complicating the use of phosphatase assays. Secondly, phosphatase enzyme assays are used as a proxy for P-driven mineralization (Goll et al., 2017), but the assays often overestimate real phosphatase activity and cannot be conducted in the field (Goll et al., 2012). Lastly, the mechanisms of phosphatase production and the relationship between phosphatase activity and mineralization are difficult to measure and are therefore poorly understood and
Figure 3. Hypothesis of stoichiometric controls on C-driven and P-driven P<sub>o</sub> mineralization. The log-scaled numbers are C : P ratios taken from the literature that include stoichiometry of microbes, litter, soil, and critical ratios. The brackets represent where biological or biochemical mineralization may begin to dominate. The values in the figures are as follows: 25 microbial biomass C : P ratio – lower bound of study range (Capek et al., 2016); 186 soil C : P ratio – global average (Cleveland et al., 2007); 350 microbial biomass C : P ratio – upper bound of study range (Capek et al., 2016); 1400 threshold elemental ratio (Heuck et al., 2016); and 4000 decomposition stop point (Zechmeister-Boltenstern et al., 2015).

not explicitly simulated in models (Oehl et al., 2004; Achat et al., 2016). Schimel and Weintraub (2003) took an enzyme-mediated approach to SOM decomposition and mineralization, but applying this approach to P<sub>o</sub> decomposition and mineralization would require more accurate phosphatase activity assays and an ability to differentiate between extracellular and intracellular soil phosphatase. Better representing the P cycle in models is less a question of calibration and more a need to improve our fundamental understanding of P and C-driven P<sub>o</sub> mineralization. However, further investigation of P<sub>o</sub> mineralization is hindered by the nature of P isotope chemistry, accessibility of appropriate methods, and currently held assumptions of the two pathways.

The coregulation of P<sub>o</sub> mineralization by substrate properties and by plant and microbial P-demand needs to be clarified. Developing methods to measure real instead of potential phosphatase activity will allow a more accurate estimation of P<sub>o</sub> mineralization from actively produced phosphatase enzymes (Nannipieri et al., 2011; Bünemann, 2015). This will reduce the overestimation problem of current in vitro phosphatase enzyme assays (Nannipieri et al., 2011; Spohn et al., 2013), enabling a more accurate parameterization of models based on the explicit simulation of phosphatase activity.

Microbial or plant demand for P can also regulate phosphatase production, and the properties of P<sub>o</sub> would determine the effectiveness of these phosphatases. A typical approach in modeling is determining the maximum turnover rate of a given pool, which in this case can be equated to the rate of P<sub>o</sub> mineralization in systems that are flooded with phosphatase. This rate can be reduced by the actual phosphatase activity that, in turn, would depend on a calculated demand from microbes and plants. Although not simulating the relative plant and microbe phosphatase activity in this manner, Yu et al. (2020) simulated P<sub>o</sub> mineralization by combining the soil enzyme allocation model (SEAM; Wutzler et al., 2017) and the equilibrium chemistry approximation (ECA; Tang and Riley, 2013) to estimate the allocation of enzymes between P<sub>o</sub> sources and the subsequent microbial–plant uptake.

P turnover and the link with organic C mineralization can be studied with <sup>32</sup>P and <sup>33</sup>P isotope dilution (Frossard et al., 2011) and <sup>18</sup>O-P tracing to obtain mineralization rates alongside enzyme activity assays. <sup>18</sup>O isotope P-tracing techniques have been used as a safe alternative to radioisotopes in tracing inorganic phosphate (Tamburini et al., 2010, 2014), and recent methods have demonstrated the efficacy of <sup>18</sup>O tracing of organic phosphate (Liang and Blake, 2006; Tamburini et al., 2018). However, P<sub>o</sub> tracing methods using oxygen isotopes are in their infancy and have not been applied outside of a proof of concept. Experiments employing <sup>18</sup>O tracing techniques for both P<sub>i</sub> and P<sub>o</sub> must be conducted on a wider range of soils (Nannipieri et al., 2011). Further employment of these methods could help discern biological processes and the turnover of phosphate in natural abundance (Roberts et al., 2015) and tracer studies (Joshi et al., 2016). They can also be used for P source characterization (Zohar et al., 2010; Frossard et al., 2011).

Discriminating the activity of microorganisms from those of stabilized enzymes is also tractable (Turner and Joseph Wright, 2014). One way would be to measure the expression of phosphatase genes in plants and microorganisms as a response to changes in P availability. A characterization of microbial or plant responsiveness to shifts in P availability would further help to integrate P-driven P<sub>o</sub> mineralization into models, as it is determined by specific environmental and biological conditions. This requires further work to identify conditions that lead to changes in gene expression (Grafe et al., 2018).
Figure 4. Minimum and maximum percent of $P_o$ comprised of phytate observed in a variety of natural and agricultural systems ($n=41$). Sources: Giles (2014) and Turner (2002, 2007).

3 Phytate dynamics and the unpredictability of P stoichiometry

3.1 Phytate

$P_o$ species differ in abundance due to their variable affinity for mineral or SOM sorption and recalcitrance to mineralization. Commonly, the most prevalent $P_o$ forms in soil are inositol phosphates consisting of six carbon rings with one to six phosphate groups. Myo-inositol hexakisphosphate, also known as phytate in its salt form, is the most abundant inositol phosphate and has six P groups (Harrison, 1987; Turner et al., 2002). Phytate is stabilized in the soil through ligand exchange, formation of metal phosphate bridges to SOM, and precipitation as insoluble salts (Celi et al., 1999; Jørgensen et al., 2015). The phosphate groups bind to mineral surfaces by ligand exchange with hydroxyls and to mineral and SOM cationic surfaces via electrostatic binding (Celi et al., 1999; Arai and Sparks, 2007; Jørgensen et al., 2015). The two principal controls on phytate mineralization are the production of phytase and the solubility of phytate, but their relative influence on mineralization is not fully understood. Phytate is expected to undergo hydrolysis in the soil solution (Ognalaga et al., 1994), but for that to happen, it must first be solubilized from the soil phase through abiotic or biotic processes (Gerke, 2015a, b). In this review, the term phytase is used rather than the more general term of phytate-degrading enzymes, which refers to any enzyme in vivo or in vitro that can hydrolyze phosphate from phytate (Greiner, 2007). Because this review focuses on the in vivo processes, the term phytase is preferred.

The two principal controls on phytate mineralization are the production of phytase and the solubility of phytate, but their relative influence on mineralization is not fully understood. Phytate is expected to undergo hydrolysis in the soil solution (Ognalaga et al., 1994), but for that to happen, it must first be solubilized from the soil phase through abiotic or biotic processes (Gerke, 2015a, b). Akin to other organic phosphates, biotically mediated dissolution of phytate can be facilitated by organic anions that chelate Fe, Al, or Ca (Tang et al., 2006). While solubilization is thought to be the limiting step in the hydrolysis of phytate (Greaves and Webley, 1969; Lung and Lim, 2006; Patel et al., 2010; Gerke, 2015a), other studies have shown that hydrolysis and phytase production are also limiting steps (Findenegg and Nelemans, 1993; Hayes et al., 2000; Richardson et al., 2001; George et al., 2004).

Microorganisms are key regulators of phytate mineralization. They produce both phytate-solubilizing organic acids (Richardson and Simpson, 2011) and multiple classes of phytate mineralizing enzymes (Hill and Richardson, 2007; Mullaney and Ullah, 2007). Soil microbial communities appear to be crucial agents in increasing phytate availability for plant acquisition (Richardson and Simpson, 2011). The extent to which plants themselves control phytate mineralization outside of in vitro studies or genetic modification is less clear.

3.1.2 Plant-mediated phytate mineralization

A limited number of reports indicate that some plant species can secrete phytase (Li et al., 1997; Belinque et al., 2015). This is likely a response to limited $P_i$ availability (Tarafdar et al., 2020).
and Claassen, 2003) and would be a prime example of P-driven P$_o$ mineralization with phytate as the substrate. Steffens et al. (2010) demonstrated plant-mediated phytate mineralization in a growth chamber experiment with negligible microbial activity. While studies of this nature are scarce, Belinque et al. (2015) found that oilseed rape, sunflower, and soybean grown under sterile conditions could use phytate as a P source, and that microbial inoculation had a minimal effect on overall plant acquisition of phytate P.

Contrary to these findings, other authors have reported that phytate mineralization and the subsequent uptake of phytate-derived P by plants is minimal or absent (Findenegg and Nelemans, 1993; Richardson et al., 2000, 2001; Lung and Lim, 2006) or that detected phytase was plant-derived but involved in intracellular root phytate regulation rather than extracellular phytate scavenging (Hubel and Beck, 1996; Asmar, 1997; Richardson et al., 2000). If extracellular phytase release from plants is truly minimal or absent, effective plant use of soil phytate would depend heavily on phytase-producing microorganisms (Richardson et al., 2000; Idriss et al., 2002) or the expression of microbial phytase genes in transgenic plants (Richardson et al., 2001; Lung et al., 2005; Giles et al., 2017). Furthermore, phytate use may still be limited by either microbial exoenzyme production (Findenegg and Nelemans, 1993) or phytase accessibility (Gerke, 2015a, b).

3.1.3 Variable abundance and mineralization rates of soil phytate

There are exceptions that do not fit, or question, a neat pattern of phytate stabilization and retention in soil. Contrary to the widespread abundance of phytate often attributed to its recalcitrance to mineralization, rapid phytate mineralization has been observed in noncalcareous (Dou et al., 2009) and calcareous soils (Leytem et al., 2006; Doolette et al., 2010). Possible explanations to rapid mineralization are that certain soil conditions increase phytate solubility or that some phytares are inherently more soluble or susceptible to hydrolysis, depending on interactions with specific minerals or SOM.

As explained by Turner and Blackwell (2013), unless the soil solution of a calcareous soil has excess dissolved Ca$^{2+}$, Ca phytate is slightly more soluble than Fe and Al phytates (Jackman and Black, 1951). Furthermore, Ca phytate mineralizes more rapidly than insoluble Fe- or Al-associated phytates (Greenwood and Lewis, 1977; Quiquampoix and Mousain, 2005; Tang et al., 2006), even at a pH 6–8 (Greaves and Webley, 1969).

Working in a noncalcareous soil, Dou et al. (2009) found a lack of phytate accumulation and presumed rapid mineralization. In this case, although Al and Fe contents and fixation capacity may have been high, the soil was likely saturated with Ca$_2$ from previous manure applications. This would have increased the solubilization of phytate and contributed to its observed rapid mineralization, a subject discussed further below in Sect. 4, organic P and C saturation.

3.1.4 Synthesis

Although both plants and microbes can release phytase and phytate-solubilizing organic acids (Richardson et al., 2009b), microbes indirectly facilitate phytate acquisition (Richardson et al., 2001, 2009a), likely due to greater production of phytate solubilization or mineralization enzymes. It is therefore necessary to further investigate the interplay between microbes and plants, particularly in vivo, where experimentation is limited (Giles and Cade-Menun, 2014).

Although phytate makes up a large percentage of soil P$_o$ in many soils, its dynamics are not explicitly simulated in models. Instead of constructing general pools with presumed P$_o$ turnover rates, specific forms of C and P should be identified and their roles in the turnover of P$_o$ investigated (Arenberg and Arai, 2019) with the ultimate goal of incorporating phytate-specific pools in models, such as those depicted in Fig. 5. However, this will require elucidating the actual phytate abundance, as it is likely overestimated due to limitations in phytate analysis (Smernik and Dougherty, 2007; Doolette et al., 2011).

Integrating phytate pools into models would be facilitated by further research on the sorption of phytate and its mobility (Gerke, 2015b), the complementary effects of organic acid and phytase exudation from both plants and microbes on phytate depletion (Giles et al., 2017), and the observations of rapid phytate mineralization (Doolette et al., 2010) and reduced stabilization (Dou et al., 2009) resulting in variable phytate abundance. Coupling isotope tracing and $^{31}$P nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy techniques will also provide important insights into the fate of P$_o$ compounds like phytate (Giles and Cade-Menun, 2014; Tamburini et al., 2018). However, further studies on plant and microbial strategies for improving phytate acquisition must also be conducted at the field scale (Giles and Cade-Menun, 2014). There is also a need to eliminate or reduce the ambiguities in $^{31}$P NMR techniques when characterizing or quantifying P$_o$, a topic covered in Kruse et al. (2015). The lack of clarity surrounding the different mineralization pathways, the varying recalcitrance of P$_o$ species, or a combination of the two may contribute to the observed wide global variation in C : P or N : P stoichiometry (Vincent et al., 2010; Tipping et al., 2016).

3.2 Stoichiometry

The flux of nutrients between soil and organisms can be modeled by tying C fluxes to C : N : P stoichiometry – it is known and predictable. Knowledge of C : N ratios has enabled accurate modeling of N limitation and mineralization–immobilization dynamics (White et al., 2014). This modeling success can be attributed to the tight coupling of C and
Figure 5. Simple conceptual diagram for modeling inorganic and organic phosphorus cycling, which includes an explicit phytate pool.

N in soils across ecosystems, which is largely because organic N makes up 95% of soil N (Duxbury et al., 1989; Kirkby et al., 2011; Yang and Post, 2011). Soil C: P and N: P ratios are currently used in simulation models, but P<sub>i</sub> and P<sub>o</sub> are often poorly correlated with soil C or N content (Yang and Post, 2011; Hartman and Richardson, 2013; Tipping et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2018). Variation in soil C: P ratios, flexible microbial stoichiometry or not strictly homeostatic behavior (Hartman and Richardson, 2013), and unpredictable microbial critical ratios (CRs) contribute to model uncertainty. Variability in soil and microbial stoichiometry derive from methodological or analytical discrepancies (Kirkby et al., 2011), edaphic and ecosystem properties, and microorganism-specific characteristics (Mooshammer et al., 2014; Čapek et al., 2016), all of which must be considered when modeling plant litter and SOM decomposition.

3.2.1 Soil stoichiometry

The use of P<sub>o</sub>, P<sub>i</sub>, or P<sub>t</sub> (total P) in stoichiometry measurements requires clarification, as methodological differences (Kirkby et al., 2011) can confuse matters. Including P<sub>i</sub> in C: P ratios introduces more variability in relating C and P because P<sub>i</sub> can cycle independently of C and N. The C: P<sub>o</sub> ratio, where C only includes organic C, may better represent P<sub>o</sub> stoichiometry in the soil, but it too can vary widely, depending on the plant and microbial communities, ecosystem, and management (Fig. 6; Hartman and Richardson, 2013; Mooshammer et al., 2014; Čapek et al., 2016, 2018; Tipping et al., 2016). The decoupling of C: P<sub>i</sub> and N: P<sub>i</sub> is also seen as the soil weathers (Yang and Post, 2011), where P<sub>o</sub> becomes the predominant contributor to P fertility (Yang and Post, 2011; Cleveland et al., 2013; Bünemann, 2015), and nutrient acquisition strategies shift from physiochemical P<sub>i</sub> acquisition to closed P<sub>o</sub> cycling (Lang et al., 2017). Another possibility for this stoichiometric decoupling is the varying abundance of specific P<sub>o</sub> forms between systems (Kirkby et al., 2011). Because the C: P ratio of phytate is 1, shifting or variable phytate abundance would reflect in the soil C: P stoichiometry. A depletion of mineral P<sub>i</sub>, an absence of a strong C limitation, changes in the abundance of certain P<sub>o</sub> forms, or a potential shift to P-driven P<sub,o</sub> mineralization processes may explain this decoupling.

3.2.2 Plant and microbial stoichiometry

The variability seen in soil C: P stoichiometry is also seen in C: P ratios of plants and microbes across and within ecosystems (Cleveland and Liptzin, 2007; Hartman and Richardson, 2013; Xu et al., 2013; Čapek et al., 2016). Plant stoichiometry is an important control on the flux of soil nutrients as it influences the decomposition activity of microbes (Manzoni et al., 2008, 2010). Microbes are the principal decomposers of litter and SOM and, thus, a major driver of P transformation in the soil (Zeichmeister-Boltenstern et al., 2015), which is thought to be controlled by their own stoichiometry (Hall et al., 2011).

Microbial stoichiometry is more constrained than that of plants (Xu et al., 2013; Arenberg and Arai, 2019) and is often purported to be strictly homeostatic (Cleveland and Liptzin, 2007). Although microbial stoichiometry is roughly constrained on the global level, microbes at the population, ecosystem, or community scale may not be strictly homeostatic, as found in aquatic systems (Cotner et al., 2010). Soil microbes may also display the same level of stoichiometric flexibility (Hartman and Richardson, 2013), varying due to population-size-dependent scaling, habitat and ecosystem differences, or shifts in microbial community composition (Hartman and Richardson, 2013; Mooshammer et al., 2014; Čapek et al., 2016).
Accounting for this variability in models is further complicated by the difficulties in calculating microbial nutrient demand, governed by the ratio of C : P at which microbes shift between mineralization and immobilization (Manzoni et al., 2010; Hartman and Richardson, 2013). This critical ratio (CR) is often predicted using direct measurements of microbial biomass C : P, which itself is poorly correlated to actual microbial nutrient requirements (Čapek et al., 2018). This is in part because microbes can store P in the form of polyphosphates (up to 30% of their dry weight; Deinema et al., 1985; Kulaev et al., 1999), which reflects an indirect translation between C : P ratios and demand (Čapek et al., 2016, 2018).

The microbial C : P_{CR} is an important factor to model because mineralized or immobilized substrates provide or restrict P to plants, respectively. Čapek et al. (2016) attempted to predict the C : P_{CR} using microbial biomass stoichiometry and soil P measurements but were unsuccessful, likely because C : P_{CR} is not solely dependent on biomass C : P but on various edaphic and community-specific factors as well. This may explain why previous studies have failed to see a strong relationship between P_{0} mineralization and C : P ratios of SOM (Enwezor, 1967, 1976; McLaughlin et al., 2011). Using a fixed microbial C : P_{CR} may not capture the observed variability (Hartman and Richardson, 2013; Čapek et al., 2016), but implementing a flexible C : P_{CR} in a model is not yet possible due to the ratio’s unpredictability (Čapek et al., 2016). However, one can tentatively assume that microbial communities adjust their P requirements by increasing C : P_{CR} as P becomes more limiting. The degree of P limitation depends in part on N availability because, to sustain P-driven P_{0} mineralization, microbes need N sources to sustain phosphatase enzyme production (Olander and Vitousek, 2000; Houlton et al., 2008). Supporting this view, Margalef et al. (2017) found that total N content was strongly correlated to phosphatase activity across global soil measurements.

3.2.3 Synthesis

One of the difficulties in modeling soil P dynamics is predicting the C : N : P stoichiometry of plants, microbes, and SOM. This is due to the many, often interacting, sources of variation in P stoichiometry, such as habitat, edaphic properties, soil C quality, microbial population dynamics, climate, season, and disturbance or management (Cleveland et al., 2004; Aponte et al., 2010; Hartman and Richardson, 2013; Čapek et al., 2016). Establishing better relationships between these sources of variability and observed nutrient stoichiometry and implementing a consistent measurement protocol for C : P ratios will help future data collection and consolidation efforts.

The variability in C : P_{CR} or the microbial and C : P ratios presented in Fig. 6 makes it difficult, but not intractable, to set constraints on P cycling models. Many models assume fixed stoichiometry for the soil or soil pools (Kemanian et al., 2011), which may only be applicable to certain soil systems.
Using fixed P stoichiometry to model P biogeochemistry may not capture P dynamics across ecosystems, but implementing variable C : P ratios, like those in the CENTURY model, requires improved parameterization and understanding of the factors that control C : P ratios. Clarifying the role of phyto- tates may simplify this task because phytate’s C : P = 1 is a ratio well below the C : PCR of any organism. It is also necessary to elucidate the mechanisms of P-driven Po mineralization because, first, CRs do not reflect P dynamics in systems dominated by such Po mineralization pathways (McLaughlin et al., 2011), and second, P-driven Po mineralization can drive the decoupling of P mineralization from SOM decomposition (Goll et al., 2012).

Including an explicit microbial pool that accounts for physiological and community dynamics (Allison, 2012; Wieder et al., 2014) when modeling the P cycle will better represent microbial adaptation to changing resource stoichiometry. However, further research into community-specific drivers of microbial stoichiometry is needed, such as accounting for differences in the P acquisition strategies of bacteria and fungi (Waring et al., 2013). Representing this in models could be as simple as accounting for bacterial and fungal C : P or biomass ratios, akin to the approach of Waring et al. (2013) for C and N cycling, or a more complex, trait-based approach (Allison, 2012; Wieder et al., 2014).

4 Organic P and C saturation

P saturation refers to the level of soil P, typically inorganic, in relation to the measured sorption capacity. P saturation is often expressed as the degree of P saturation (DPS), which is used as an environmental risk indicator of potential dissolved P losses (Breeuwsma et al., 1995). Soils with DPS levels that surpass a change point (hereafter referred to as threshold DPS) exhibit significant increases in dissolved P transport due to the saturation of high-energy sorption sites and reduction in P retention strength (Hooda et al., 2000; Maguire and Sims, 2002; Butler and Coale, 2005; Abdala et al., 2012). This threshold DPS generally occurs between 25% and 56% saturation, depending on the soil (Maguire and Sims, 2002).

Difficulties in narrowing the range of threshold DPS or creating a simple predictive framework can be partially attributed to the ambiguous treatment of C0 saturation and Po abundance in DPS measurements. One such DPS measurement uses oxalate or Mehlich 3 extractions to obtain the molar ratio of extractable P to the sorption maximum determined by extractable Al and Fe (van der Zee and van Riemsdijk, 1988; Kleinman and Sharpley, 2002). This method ignores the soil C0 content which, if high enough, can reduce the physically obtainable P saturation potential of a soil (Table 1; Fig. 7a) and influence the rate of Po mineralization (Fig. 7b). Furthermore, certain extractants and analytical methods do not target Po (e.g., Mehlich 3 extraction, P; sorption isotherms, and spectrophotometric methods), leading to an underestimation of the actual total P DPS (Table 1; Fig. 7c). The extent to which DPS is affected by Po and C0 depends on the relative concentrations of P and P0, the form of P0, and its sorption potential, and the interacting sorption relationships between Po, P0, and dissolved organic compounds from the breakdown of SOM.

Because Po turnover and mineralization is partially controlled by its solubility (Greaves and Webley, 1969; Grafe et al., 2018), and saturation influences the solubility of both P and Po (Heckrath et al., 1995), ignoring Po sorption and C0 saturation dynamics may limit the predictive capability of DPS measurements and sorption indices. Po and C0 saturation are generally not determined and are not explicitly simulated in models, despite the potential interactions between P and Po in the soil and the role of Po in plant fertility.

4.1 Modeling the effects of C0 saturation on Po mineralization

Similar to P, C0 can be stabilized in the soil by sorption to silts and clays (Hassink and Whitmore, 1997) and during aggregate formation (Six et al., 2002). Sorption proceeds with the formation of organo-mineral complexes. The C0 saturation (C0) can be calculated based on the clay and silt content of the soil (Table 2; Eq. 1; Hassink and Whitmore, 1997). The saturation of C0 has implications for the storage of C, decomposition, and the mineralization of nutrients (Kemanian and Stöckle, 2010; Kemanian et al., 2011; Castellano et al., 2012; White et al., 2014).

The dynamics of explicit C0 saturation are only included in a few models (Kemanian and Stöckle, 2010; White et al., 2014; Ahrens et al., 2015), and its effect on Po mineralization is unexplored in models. The limited number of studies on C0 saturation and N cycling demonstrate that C0 saturation is positively correlated with N mineralization (Castellano et al., 2012), likely because C0 saturation reduces the C transfer efficiency or rate to stable pools, thus increasing the mineralization of labile N (White et al., 2014). This relationship is expected to be seen between Po mineralization and C0 saturation (Fig. 7b). The effects of C0 saturation are most readily seen in soils with high C0 accumulation (top layer of stratified, undisturbed no-till systems; Mazzilli et al., 2014; Pravia et al., 2019), or soils with a low clay content (Castellano et al., 2012). More studies are needed, especially in areas with an C0 saturation gradient, to solidify the C0 saturation concept for N dynamics (White et al., 2014) and advance it for P, preferably in investigations pairing N and P.

4.2 Competitive C0 anion sorption

Experiments on C0 saturation suggest that, as the C0 content of the soil increases, so does the lability, solubility, and ultimate transport of P from the soil (Reddy et al., 1980; Walbridge et al., 1991; Erich et al., 2002; Guppy et al., 2005;
Figure 7. Conceptual depiction of $P_i$ and $P_o$ saturation dynamics. The green $P_o$ bubbles are organic phosphate, the gray $P_i$ bubbles are inorganic phosphate, and the brown X bubbles are non-P bearing organic and inorganic compounds. Panels (a1) and (a2) represent the competitive sorption of non-P-bearing organic carbon ($C_o$) compounds on the sorption of $P_i$. As $C_o$ saturation increases (a2), so does the solution concentration of $P_i$, causing an increase in $P_o$ mineralization rather than a decrease in $P_i$ sorption. Panels (b1) and (c2) depict the replacement of $P_i$ with $P_o$ on an iron oxide mineral surface, resulting in a change in the composition of the saturated surface. Because DPS measurements do not target $P_o$, the measured DPS would be lower for mineral (c2), despite having the same overall P content. The replacement of $P_i$ with $P_o$ on sorption surfaces would increase the C : P ratio of the soil.

Table 1. Hypothetical scenarios comparing the measured $P_i$ saturation (DPS) and actual total P saturation.

| Figure | Scenario | Presence of $C_o$ | $P_i$ Total (mg P kg$^{-1}$) | $P_o$ Total (mg P kg$^{-1}$) | $P_i$ and $P_o$ saturation cap. (mg P kg$^{-1}$) | Measured $P_i$ saturation (DPS; %) | $P_o$ saturation (%) | Actual total P saturation (%) |
|--------|----------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| NA     | Fe/Al oxide mineral (No $P_i$, $P_o$) | No                | 0                           | 0                           | 500                                  | 0                               | 0                      | 0                           |
| NA     | Complete $P_i$ saturation (No $P_o$) | No                | 500                         | 0                           | 500                                  | 100                             | 0                      | 100                         |
| 7a     | Incomplete $P_i$ saturation ($P_o$, $C_o$ competing with $P_i$ for sorption) | Yes              | 400                         | 0                           | 500                                  | 80                              | 0                      | 100                         |
| 7c     | Incomplete $P_i$ saturation ($P_o$ competing with $P_i$ for sorption) | No                | 300                         | 200                         | 500                                  | 60                              | 40                     | 100                         |

Actual P saturation is defined as the total saturation of both $P_o$ and $P_i$ species. The competition with non-P-bearing $C_o$ anions (Fig. 7a) would lower the measured DPS despite the saturation of all available sorption sites. When $P_o$ successfully competes with $P_i$ for sorption (Fig. 7c), the resulting measured DPS will be lower than the actual P saturation. It is important to note that, as opposed to colorimetric methods, if inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) is used in DPS analysis, any circumstantially extracted $P_o$ would be included in the measurement, resulting in a higher % DPS than presented here. Note: NA – not available.
Table 2. Equations for modeling sorption dynamics.

| # | Name                                                                 | Equation                                      | Parameters                                                                                                                                 |
|---|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | C sorption capacity                                                  | $C_C = 21.1 + 37.5 \cdot f_{clay}$            | $C_C$ = maximum sorption C capacity (g C kg$^{-1}$) soil $f_{clay}$ = clay concentration (g kg$^{-1}$)                                    |
| 2 | Langmuir                                                            | $\frac{C}{S} = \frac{C}{S_{max}} + \frac{1}{K_L \cdot S_{max}}$ | $C$ = sorbed P (mg kg$^{-1}$) $S$ = adsorption maximum; regions I and II (mg ml$^{-1}$) $K_L$ = binding energy constant |
| 3 | Freundlich                                                          | $S = a \cdot c^b$                             | $a$ = proportionality constant (mg kg$^{-1}$/mg L$^{-1}$) $b$ = empirical binding energy coefficient (dimensionless) $c$ = P concentration in solution (mg L$^{-1}$) |
| 4 | Two-surface Langmuir                                                | $x = \frac{b_1 K_1 EPC}{1 + K_1 EPC} + \frac{b_2 K_2 EPC}{1 + K_2 EPC}$ | $x/m$ = sorbed P (mg kg$^{-1}$) $K_1/K_II$ = bonding energy; regions I and II (L mg$^{-1}$) $b_1/b_II$ = adsorption maximum; regions I and II (mg kg$^{-1}$ soil) $EPC$ = equilibrium P concentration (mg L$^{-1}$) |
| 5 | PSP – calcareous soils                                              | PSP = 0.58 – 0.0061 · CaCO$_3$                | PSP = P sorption parameter* $\text{CaCO}_3$ = calcium carbonate (%)                                                                 |
| 6 | PSP – slightly weathered soils                                      | PSP = −0.70 – 0.0043 · BS + 0.0034 · P$_{il}$ + 0.11 · pH | BS = base saturation (%) $P_{il}$ = labile P (µg g$^{-1}$ soil) pH                                                                 |
| 7 | PSP – highly weathered soils                                        | PSP = 0.038 – 0.047 · ln $\left(\frac{f_{clay}}{100}\right)$ + 0.045 · P$_{il}$ – 0.053 · OC | $f_{clay}$ = clay concentration (g kg$^{-1}$) $OC$ = organic carbon (%)                                                             |

Equations mentioned that take different approaches to describing P and C sorption dynamics. Equation (1) – Hassink and Whitmore (1997). Equations (2) and (3) – Barrow (2008) and Dari et al. (2015). Equation (4) – Zhang et al. (2005). Equations (5)–(7) – Sharpley et al. (1984) and Vadis et al. (2010). * Also known as the phosphorus availability index (PAI).

Abdala et al., 2012; Gao et al., 2014). On a molecular basis, this can be attributed to the competitive saturation of sorption sites by $C_0$ anions, as reported in other studies (Ohno and Crannell, 1996; Staunton and Leprince, 1996; Antelo et al., 2007; Hunt et al., 2007; Fig. 7a). Guppy et al. (2005) claim that the observed decrease in $P_i$ sorption is not attributable to competition for sorption sites but, instead, to the increased mineralization of P-bearing $C_0$ compounds, akin to the White et al. (2014) model (Fig. 7b). These mechanisms are not necessarily mutually exclusive. For example, as soils move closer to C saturation, N mineralization increases because the soils lack new sites for C to be stabilized on minerals (Castellano et al., 2012; White et al., 2014). Regardless of the proposed mechanisms, in order to improve P modeling, accounting for competitive sorption reactions (Regelink et al., 2015) or the increase in $P_i$ content from mineralization or $P_i$ release (Guppy et al., 2005) is a necessity.

### 4.3 The contribution of $P_o$ to DPS and the interactions between $P_i$ and $P_o$

A common method for characterizing sorption dynamics is to develop a soil sorption isotherm, or sorption curve, which requires equilibrating various concentrations of $P_i$ with a soil sample. Although, to a lesser extent, $P_o$ sorption dynamics have also been studied using sorption isotherms. Instead of using $P_i$ in the equilibrating solution, different forms of $P_o$ can be added together or separately with $P_i$ and their sorption dynamics can be compared (Anderson et al., 1974; McKercher and Anderson, 1989; Berg and Joern, 2006). The $P_{O_4}$ ion on $P_o$ partially controls its sorption, so $P_o$ compounds share similar sorption dynamics with $P_i$. $P_o$’s affinity to the soil phase increases with the number of phosphate groups (Shang et al., 1990). Due to the steric hindrance of the C moiety (Celi et al., 1999) it is suspected that P sorbs to a greater extent than $P_i$ (McKercher and Anderson, 1989; Berg and Jo...
ern, 2006; Wang et al., 2007) and others showing the opposite result (Shang et al., 1990; Ognalaga et al., 1994; Lilienfein et al., 2004).

An explanation parsimonious with both results is that $P_i$ with multiple phosphate groups may sorb more strongly, while $P_o$ may sorb more rapidly. The $P_o$ sorption strength increases with the number of phosphate groups (Shang et al., 1990; Berg and Joern, 2006). When compounds like phytate are present in a soil, stabilized by mineral interactions, and not readily mineralized, $P_i$ saturation should decrease due to the lower net desorption of $P_o$ (Table 1; Fig. 7c). In contrast, cases showing preferential sorption of $P_i$ over $P_o$ might be explained by the lower activation energy needed for a $P_\text{O}_4$ ion to bind to soil, compared to a more complex mono or diester organic compound. Both processes are likely co-occurring: $P_o$ may sorb stronger, particularly if it has more $P_\text{O}_4$ groups, but $P_i$ may sorb faster due to its low activation energy and lower steric hindrance (Shang et al., 1990). Yet, we lack an understanding of the conditions that cause one of these processes to dominate in a soil environment.

The accumulation of $P_i$ has implications for how DPS measurements of $P_i$ are interpreted; $P_o$ can competitively sorb with $P_i$ and reduce the measured, but not actual, DPS. $P_o$ saturation may influence $P_i$ mineralization dynamics and should be the subject of future empirical and modeling work. This can be critical for understanding P pollution from soils with high organic C and high $P_o$, a condition that is becoming more prevalent with the advancement of no-till in manured soils or in any soil receiving an ample supply of manure.

### 4.4 Synthesis

Advances in understanding $P_o$ dynamics and its relation to $P_i$ and $C_\text{o}$ saturation are required in three areas. First, the contributing role of $P_o$ to $P$ saturation and, thus, to greater $P$ sorption and cycling dynamics must be further investigated. This includes determining the effect of $P_o$ on DPS measurements, for $P_o$ is implicitly considered in the denominator but not always in the numerator of the DPS calculation. Second, the controls on $P$ sorption of litter application, rhizosphere exudation and deposition, or native $C_\text{o}$ needs to be clarified. Organic additions can increase $P_i$ in the solution due to $P_o$ mineralization (as proposed by Guppy et al., 2005) or by increasing the solubility of $P_i$ (as proposed by Hunt et al., 2007; Oburger et al., 2011; Regelink et al., 2015). Both processes may be co-occurring, but it is critical to define the conditions under which one or the other dominates. And, third, modeling and experimental efforts are needed to determine the effect of $C$ saturation on $P_o$ mineralization, as has been done for N (White et al., 2014). These efforts may need to consider phytate and nonphytate compounds as two separate pools. In soils that are amended with manure, $C$ saturation and $P$ saturation can be critical controls of both $C$ and $P$ turnover.
simulated soil. The general applicability of sorption curves is further reduced because they are not mechanistic and do not account for site-specific differences in pH, ionic strength, or surface complexation (Grant and Heaney 1997; Arai and Sparks, 2007).

Typically, the generation of sorption curves involves shaking P solutions with a range of known concentrations with a soil sample. After 16–24 h, the equilibrium P concentration is measured and the curve is fitted. If the shaking is too vigorous, new sorption sites can be exposed, and the isotherm is no longer representative of P sorption (Barrow, 2008). Furthermore, parameterizing the hysteretic nature of P involves time-consuming methodologies (Limousin et al., 2007), but its exclusion results in model uncertainty.

Fitting curves generated by isotherm experiments can also be problematic. The equations by Langmuir (Table 2; Eq. 2) and Freundlich (Table 2; Eq. 3) are commonly used to fit sorption curves. The Langmuir isotherm assumes a reaction with a uniform sorption surface, which does not occur in soils. Freundlich isotherms assume an infinite number of sorption sites (Kruse et al., 2015), i.e., no sorption maximum is considered as it is in the Langmuir. Neither the general Langmuir nor Freundlich equations account for multiple possible sorption pathways, which may result in their underestimation of sorption (Hussain et al., 2012) and reduced fit (McGechan and Lewis, 2002). Two-phase Langmuir equations (Table 2; Eq. 4) can be used as they have provided a better fit for sorption isotherms in some cases (Holford et al., 1974; Hussain et al., 2012). However, using the same soils as Holford et al. (1974), Barrow (1978) demonstrated that the Freundlich had comparable fits with reduced parameters, which may explain the absence of two-phase Langmuirs in simulation models.

Sharpley et al. (1984) employed a longer and more intensive approach to estimating a P sorption parameter (PSP), which is the percentage of added P remaining in the soil solution after equilibrium is reached with a labile active pool (Vadas et al., 2013). In lieu of a 24 h incubation, known P concentrations were added to subsamples that were dried and rewetted three times with deionized (DI) water over a period of 6 months. Three general equations were developed for calcareous, slightly weathered, and highly weathered soils. These equations were integrated into the Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) model (Arnold et al., 1998), which is built on the CREAMS, GLEAMS, and EPIC model structures (Knisel, 1980; Jones et al., 1984; Leonard et al., 1987).

Although this model accounts for temperature and moisture factors, pH is grouped into large categories, which may limit its applicability to the highly variable nature of soils. Furthermore, Eq. (7) shows that C0 has a negative relationship with PSP, which is the opposite of the expected response as outlined in Sect. 4.2 which deals with competitive C0 anion sorption. In SWAT, this PSP variable and the solution P are used to calculate the size of pools in the model. However, solution P is assumed to be half of Mehlich 3 or other soil test phosphorus (STP) methods. This may work for initialization before model spin up, or at least provide a consistent method, but it is not a representative method in determining P pool sizes.

An alternative to both isotherm methods is the use of known equilibrium constants of various soil minerals and precipitates to calculate an equilibrium of sorbed or mineral P with the soil. Such an approach was taken by Grant and Heaney (1997) in the Ecosys model. Although based on first principles, it relies on obtaining concentrations of many soil minerals, which may be impractical or difficult. For reliable parameterization, it would also require knowing the effective mineral–solution contact; larger minerals or colloids would have a lower surface area for P exchange compared to smaller colloids.

5.3 Synthesis

Translating analytical P pools into models is complicated by the fact that extracted pools are not compound specific and only provide an estimation of P1 and P0 turnover rates. This issue is exacerbated by the diversity among and within chemical extraction protocols. The most used extraction method, the Hedley fractionation method, is also the most heavily modified, with dozens of variations. This is a weak foundation for the comparison and meta-analysis of data from studies employing variations of the Hedley protocol. If we are to incorporate measurable pools into models, these pools need to be measured with a consistent protocol, and efforts towards measuring P turnover and transformations using P radioisotope (Helfenstein et al., 2020) and oxygen isotope tracing (Joshi et al., 2016) must be continued. Although efforts in this space have been made (Joshi et al., 2018), it is necessary to continue coupling 31P spectroscopy and chemical extractions to determine pool compositions and tracing to assess compound-specific lability.

Conducting sorption isotherms is tedious and is not part of standard soil tests. Applying isotherm parameters, such as the binding energy constant (KL) when predicting or generating data can result in poor validation, as the conditions from which the parameter was derived likely do not match the simulated conditions. If an isotherm approach is to be used, then it will be necessary to employ methods to rapidly estimate the sorption parameters from accessible and cost-effective techniques, such as in Dari et al. (2015). In future method development, factors that influence sorption, such as the C0 content of the soil, should be considered. This is of particular importance as P isotherms are sometimes used to determine the saturation capacity, a necessary component of DPS measurements. As previously discussed in Sect. 4, organic P and C saturation, the presence of P0 and C0 may influence the interpretation of DPS data.

Improved accessibility of mineral analysis methods will facilitate the application of a bottom-up, first-principles approach such as the dynamic equilibrium approach of Ecosys.
(Grant and Heaney, 1997). If the approach of Sharpley et al. (1984) is to be revised and expanded, soil-specific properties ($C_o$, pH, clay content, etc.) must be accounted for in a continuum that can be generalized. Furthermore, pool–pool transfer functions could benefit from the application of isotope tracing techniques; this is an area which clearly requires more fundamental research.

6 Conclusions

Limitations in simulating $P$ sorption, $P$ mineralization, stoichiometry as it relates to $P$, and nutrient interactions call for an improved experimental and modeling framework to interpret $P$ cycling. Our current knowledge of soil $P$ dynamics suffices for the management of crop nutrition but still lags $C$ and $N$ in terms of process-based modeling (Beegle, 2005). We recommend focusing specifically on (1) updating the McGill and Cole model, (2) understanding the dynamics of phytate and soil stoichiometry, (3) exploring the role of $C_o$ and $P_o$ saturation on $P$ sorption and $P_o$ mineralization, and (4) resolving discrepancies between hypotheses about $P$ cycling and methods for measuring $P$ pools and fluxes.

It is necessary to better understand $P$ processes to build the most representative simulation models. Understanding the controls on plant, microbial biomass, and microbial stoichiometry will help determine bottom-up controls on ecosystem, biome, and even global $P$ cycling. The challenges summarized in this review will hopefully steer research efforts towards a better empirical understanding of the $P$ cycle that will ultimately reduce the reliance on intensive calibration (Beven et al., 2006). A better understanding of $P$ cycling will lay the stratum upon which better predictive models will be developed, enabling foresight of both plant $P$ nutrition and $P$ pollution challenges rather than reacting to them when symptoms like surface water eutrophication manifest visibly and in full force.
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