Human innate lymphoid cell activation by adenoviruses is modified by host defense proteins and neutralizing antibodies
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Innate lymphoid cells (ILCs), the complements of diverse CD4 T helper cells, help maintain tissue homeostasis by providing a link between innate and adaptive immune responses. While pioneering studies over the last decade have advanced our understanding how ILCs influence adaptive immune responses to pathogens, far less is known about whether the adaptive immune response feeds back into an ILC response. In this study, we isolated ILCs from blood of healthy donors, fine-tuned culture conditions, and then directly challenged them with human adenoviruses (HAdVs), with HAdVs and host defense proteins (HDPs) or neutralizing antibodies (NAbs), to mimic interactions in a host with pre-existing immunity. Additionally, we developed an ex vivo approach to identify how bystander ILCs respond to the uptake of HAdVs ± neutralizing antibodies by monocyte-derived dendritic cells. We show that ILCs take up HAdVs, which induces phenotypic maturation and cytokine secretion. Moreover, NAbs and HDPs complexes modified the cytokine profile generated by ILCs, consistent with a feedback loop for host antiviral responses and potential to impact adenovirus-based vaccine efficacy.
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Introduction

Innate lymphoid cells (ILCs) are functional kin to CD4 T helper (Th) cells. In contrast to Th cells, ILCs traffic through the lymphatic and vascular systems to preferentially reside in mucosal compartments where they help maintain a balance between anti-pathogen immunity and tolerance (1–3). Unlike T and B cells, ILCs do not express rearranged antigen-specific receptors (1). ILC interactions with neighboring cells are crucial events in the induction and development of immune responses (4, 5). In synergy with myeloid cells, ILCs respond to pathogens through the secretion of cytokines (6, 7). Like Th cells, ILCs can be functionally and phenotypically subdivided into subsets: ILC1 (which historically included cytotoxic NK cells), ILC2, and ILC3. NK cells appear to be counterparts of CD8+ T cells, while ILC1, which historically included cytotoxic NK cells, ILC2, and ILC3, be functionally and phenotypically subdivided into subsets: ILC1 through the secretion of cytokines (6, 7). Like Th cells, ILCs can cooperate with neighboring antigen-presenting cells (6, 29, 30), and relevant pattern recognition receptors (PRRs). Finally, as ILCs interact with monocyte-derived dendritic cells (moDCs) that are challenged with HAdVs ± NAbs, can be HAdV-type dependent. These data demonstrate that pre-existing B-cell immunity against HAdVs and HDPs directly impact ILC responses, which likely influence vaccine efficacy.

Materials & methods

Ethics

Human blood samples (fresh blood and buffy coat) were obtained from healthy adult anonymous donors at the regional blood bank (EFS, Montpellier, France). The study was approved by the Occitanie & Midi-Pyrénées EFS scientific board (EFS-OCPM: N°21PLER2019-0002). All donors provided written informed consent.

Adenovirus vectors

The laboratory grade E1-deleted/E3+ HAdV vector preps of HAdV-C5, HAdV-D26, and HAdV-B35 are replication-defective in all primary cells. HAdV-C5 and HAdV-D26 vectors harbor an eGFP expression cassette (32) while HAdV-B35 harbors a GFP variant (YFP) cassette (33). The vectors were amplified in either human embryonic retinoblasts 911 (HER 911) or 293T E4-pIX cells and purified to >99% by two density gradients of CsCl (34, 35).

Enrichment and selection of ILC

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were isolated on a Ficoll-Histopaque® 1077 gradient (Sigma-Aldrich, Lyon, France). From PMBCs derived from fresh blood, innate lymphoid cells were enriched by negative immunomagnetic selection (EasySep Human Pan-ILC Enrichment Kit, cat# 17975, StemCell). The kit contained a cocktail of magnetic antibodies targeting the major cell lines of the immune system except ILCs. Enrichment was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (36). Freshly enriched ILCs were
cultured in a complete medium consisting of RPMI supplemented with 10% human serum AB (sHAB), 10 ng/mL IL-7 (PeproTech®, Neully sur Seine, France), 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Gibco) and antibiotics (penicillin 100 U/mL and streptomycin 100 μg/mL). Different combinations of media were tested in the presence of IL-2, IL-12, and/or IL-7 at different concentrations (at 5, 10, 20, 30, or 90 ng/mL) or a commercial medium specific for NK cells (NK MACS Medium, cat# 130-114-429, MiltenyiBiotec) with lower efficacy. From the ~60 donors, we obtained, after enrichment, between 2.7 x 10^5 and 9 x 10^5 cells/donor, with an average of 6.3 x 10^5 cells/donor. The percent yield of this enrichment protocol was from 0.02% to 0.7% with a mean of 0.18%. The predicted yield is 0.05 to 0.07% of mononuclear cells.

Isolation and differentiation of monocytes-derived DCs

From PBMCs isolated from buffy coat, monocytes are purified by CD14+ expression by positive immunomagnetic selection (MACS system, MiltenyiBiotec). CD14+ cells were incubated for 6 days in the presence of 50 ng/ml granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) and 20 ng/ml interleukin-4 (IL-4) (PeproTech®, Neully sur Seine, France). The medium used for culture was RPMI, 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS) and penicillin 100 I.U./ml and streptomycin 100 μg/ml.

Direct infection of ILCs with HAdV vectors

Approximately 2.5 x 10^4 ILCs in 300 ul of complete medium were incubated in the presence of HAdV-C5-GFP, HAdV-D26-GFP or HAdV-B35-YFP at 10^4 physical particles (pp)/cell. The medium used for the infection step did not contain human serum. At 6 h post infection, human AB serum (10%) was added to HAdV alone and as NAb complexes. After 24 h of incubation, activation of ILCs was observed via level of the activation markers CD69 and CD161, as well as secretion of antiviral and immunomodulatory cytokines.

Indirect stimulation of ILCs by moDCs

The first step consists in the stimulation of DCs by HAdVs alone or complexed with NAbs. moDCs (5 x 10^5 cells in 400 ul of complete medium) were incubated with HAdV-C5-GFP, HAdV-D26-GFP or HAdV-B35-YFP (10^4 physical particles (pp)/cell) for 6 h in complete RPMI DC medium. 6 h post-infection, the moDC supernatant was discarded and the cells washed with PBS and centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 5 min to remove the HAdVs in the medium. The cells are then cultured in basal ILC medium (RPMI + 10% sHAB + P/S). Approximately 18 h after the medium change (24 h post-infection), the supernatant of activated moDCs was added to freshly isolated ILCs. After 24 h of incubation in the presence of supernatants from stimulated moDCs, ILC activation was characterized by the level of the activation marker CD69, as well as the secretion of antiviral and immunomodulatory cytokines.

Formation of Ig - HAdV complexes

IVIg® or “Intravenous Immunoglobulin” (Baxter SAS, Guyancourt, France) was used as a control for IC formation and corresponds to a 95% IgG mix from healthy donor plasma (1,000 from 50,000 donors/batch). IVIg was used in patients with acquired immune deficiencies and autoimmune diseases. For the formation of Ig - HAdV complexes, HAdV-C5-GFP, HAdV-D26-GFP or HAdV-B35-YFP were incubated in the presence of decomplemented sera from a laboratory serum bank for 25 min at room temperature (34). The sera used may or may not have antibodies specific (in particular neutralizing antibodies, NAbs) to the different HAdVs. Serum E (SE) had a very high NAb titre for HAdV-C5 (3500) but no NAbs for HAdV-D26 or -B35. Serum A (SA) had a high titer of NAbs for HAdV-D26 (2500) and low titres for HAdV-C5 (50) and -B35 (0). Serum 14 (S14) had titres of NAbs for HAdV-B35 (2200), HAdV-C5 (2000) and HAdV-D26 (120). S14 was the only serum (n > 400) for which we detected the presence of a high titre of HAdV-B35 NAbs. After incubation, the newly formed Ig - HAdV complexes were cultured with the different cell types for 24 h. The ILCs (between 2 x 10^4 cells and 3 x 10^5 cells) or moDCs (5 x 10^5 cells) were incubated with 10^4 physical particles (pp)/cell of HAdVs ± IVIg.

Formation of HDP - HAdV complexes

ILCs were incubated with 10^4 physical particles (pp)/cell of HAdVs (in 300 ul of complete medium). For the formation of HDP - HAdV complexes, HAdV-C5-GFP, HAdV-D26-GFP or HAdV-B35-YFP were incubated in the presence of HNP-1 (3.50 ug/mL) or lactoferrin (100 ug/mL) for 30 min at room temperature (26, 27). These concentrations were chosen to reproduce those found in an inflammatory environment of infected tissues. GFP levels and cytokines release were assessed after 24 h post-infection.

Flow cytometry

CD127 FITC (cat# 560549, BD Pharmingen) or PE-CF594 (cat# 562397, BD Pharmingen) clone HIL-7R-M21, CD3 PerCP-Cy5.5, and HAdV-GFP, HAdV-GFP, or HAdV-YFP were incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Cells were washed with PBS and centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 5 min to
with NovoExpress software unless otherwise mentioned.

Pharmingen) was added at 1/250th v/v, 10 min before reading serum. 7-AAD (7-aminoactinomycin D, cat# 559925, BD Biosciences) and resuspended in 130 μL of buffer (PBS + 10% fetal calf serum) for 5 min and resuspended in 130 μL of buffer (PBS + 10% fetal calf serum). The cells were then washed twice (1800 rpm, 4°C, 5 min) and resuspended in 130 μL of buffer (PBS + 10% fetal calf serum). The level of HLA-ABC FITC (cat# 557348, BD Pharmigen), HLA-DR FITC (cat# 555811, BD Pharmigen), CD80 FITC (cat# 557226, BD Pharmigen), CD83 FITC (cat# 556910, BD Pharmingen) and CD86 APC (cat# 555660, BD Pharmingen) was also analyzed for ILCs or moDC activation. GFP/YFP expression by infected ILCs or moDCs as well as other previously mentioned markers were assessed by flow cytometry (NovoCyte®). Antibody volumes were adapted to the number of cells for each cell type according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and incubation was for 30 min at 4°C with gentle agitation. The cells were then washed twice (1800 rpm, 4°C, 5 min) and resuspended in 130 μL of buffer (PBS + 10% fetal calf serum). 7-AAD (7-aminoactinomycin D, cat# 559925, BD Pharmingen) was added at 1/250th v/v, 10 min before reading to observe cell viability in each sample. All flow cytometry assays were obtained with the Novocyt® flow cytometer and analyzed with NovoExpress software unless otherwise mentioned.

Cytometric beads array

Supernatants were collected and cytokine secretion was measured using two panels of 13 cytokines (antiviral response and T helper cytokines) by using CBA, a multiplex cytokine quantification (LEGENDplex HU Anti-Virus Response Panel (13-plex) and LEGENDplex HU Th Cytokine Panel (13-plex) (cat# 740390 and cat# 740722, BioLegend) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The concentration of each analyte will be quantified by flow cytometry via the signal intensity and determined using known standard curve and the analysis software provided by the manufacturer (LEGENDplex). We selected the cytokines of interest from a secretion 2-fold higher than mock-treated for HAdVs and 2-fold higher than the HAdV alone condition for immune-complexes.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed by GraphPad Prism 5 software and presented as the mean ± SEM. The significance of the results was determined by using Student’s paired t-test to make comparisons within each donor.

Results

ILC purity and stability

ILCs were obtained by negative immunomagnetic selection from anonymous blood bank donor PBMCs. To evaluate ILC recovery and purity, we used multi-parameter flow cytometry and a combination of markers including Lin, CD127⁺, CRTH2⁻/⁺ and CD117⁻/+ . After enrichment, the cells were characterized according to their size and granularity. Approximately 50% of the cells had a lymphoid profile (Figure 1A). Within the lymphoid population, approximately 3% were CD3⁺ (Figure 1B), and approximately 60% were CD3⁻/CD127⁻/⁺ of which 22% were CD127high (Figure 1C). In this donor, 28% of the cells were ILC1 (CRTH2⁻/CD117⁻), 16% were ILC2 (CRTH2⁻/CD117⁻), and 56% were ILC3 (CRTH2⁺/CD117⁻). Cumulative data from >60 donors highlight the heterogeneity of ILCs in anonymous blood bank donors (Figure 1D). To assess the enrichment protocol, we identified ILCs pre- (Figures S1A, B) and post- (Figures S1C, D) negative selection from PBMCs. To identify non-ILCs in the enriched populations, we stained for NK, NKT, T, and B cells using CD16 and CD56, CD3, and CD19 and 2-bel (Figure S1E). Approximately 60% were CD3⁻/CD127⁻/⁺ of which 22% were CD127high (Figure 1C). In this donor, 28% of the cells were ILC1 (CRTH2⁻/CD117⁻), 16% were ILC2 (CRTH2⁻/CD117⁻), and 56% were ILC3 (CRTH2⁺/CD117⁻). Cumulative data from >60 donors highlight the heterogeneity of ILCs in anonymous blood bank donors (Figure 1D). To assess the enrichment protocol, we identified ILCs pre- (Figures S1A, B) and post- (Figures S1C, D) negative selection from PBMCs. To identify non-ILCs in the enriched populations, we stained for NK, NKT, T, and B cells using CD16 and CD56, CD3, and CD19 and 2-bel, respectively. The percentage of contaminating NK and NKT, T, and B cells using CD16 and CD56, CD3, and CD19 and 2-bel, respectively. The percentage of contaminating NK and NKT cells was 0 - 5%, T cells 0 - 2%, and B cells 0.4 - 7% (Figure S1E).

Initially, we maintained ILCs in RPMI/human AB serum/IL-7, but we were limited to assaying ILC phenotype and functionality during the first 24 h post-enrichment. To attempt to prolong this window, we tested "NK medium", IL-2, and pyruvate. We found that the combination of RPMI/human AB serum (10%), IL-7 (10 ng/mL), and sodium pyruvate (1 mM) prolonged the phenotypic stability of the ILCs until ~48 h post-enrichment. Of note, IL-7 induces the internalization of CD127, the α chain of the IL-7R. Therefore, from then on, we also gated on CD3⁻/CD127low cells, followed by CRTH2 and CD117 to identify the ILCs.
ILCs take up HAdV-C5, -D26 and -B35

Several cells are involved in the initial response to viral infections. ILCs could influence the immune response by responding to cells that take up viruses and/or by taking up the virus directly. To determine whether ILCs take up HAdVs, we incubated the cells with replication-defective (DE1) HAdV-C5, -D26, or -B35 vectors encoding GFP variants. At 24 h post-challenge, we found an average uptake efficiency of 13.5% for HAdV-C5, 13% for -D26, and 17% for -B35 (Figure 2A).

We then broke these data down into the uptake of each HAdV type by each ILC subset. Globally, ILC2s appeared to take up all three HAdVs more efficiently than ILC1 & 3s (Figures 2B-D). The uptake of each HAdV for a given subset of ILCs, suggested that ILC1 and ILC3s take up more HAdV-B35, followed by -C5 and -D26 (Figure S2). ILC2s more readily take up HAdV-C5 and -B35. Each ILC subset thus shows a modestly variable uptake profile depending on the HAdV type. The notable difference in efficiency between donors (e.g., 0 - 80% of cells for HAdV-B35) is not unique to ILCs: primary cultures of monocytes and moDCs also show high interdonor variability (34, 38, 39). Together, these data suggest that all ILC subsets could be involved in the detection of HAdV capsids.

ILCs express receptors used by HAdV-C5, -D26 and -B35

We then screened for the receptors by which HAdVs could be taken up. CAR (coxsackievirus and adenovirus receptor) is a single-pass transmembrane cell adhesion molecule expressed by many cell types and is a primary attachment molecule for numerous HAdV types (40, 41). We were unable to unequivocally detect CAR on ILCs (Figure 3A). These data are consistent with RNAseq results showing 1.5 nTPm (normalized transcripts per million) of CXADR mRNA (www.proteinatlas.org), Ercolano et al. (Table S1) (42) and Mazzurana et al. (43). DC-SIGN (or CD209) (44, 45), a C-type lectin receptor present on the surface of macrophages, and conventional and plasmacytoid DCs, is a low affinity/high avidity receptor for some HAdV types (46). Similar to CAR, we were unable to unequivocally detect DC-SIGN on ILCs (Figure 3B), which is consistent with the transcript level (0.2 nTPM).

MHC class I (HLA-ABC) molecules have also been reported to act as a receptors for HAdV-C5 (47), and are high on ILCs (Figure 3C) (2946 nTPM). Of note, the diverse haplotypes could be an explanation for the inter-donor variability in HAdV type uptake efficacy. CD46, a type I transmembrane protein that is part of the complement system, is used by some cells to take up
FIGURE 2
Evaluation of the capacity of ILCs to take up HAdV-C5, -D26 and -B35. HAdV vector-mediated GFP expression in total ILCs and in ILC subsets was quantified 24 h post-incubation (n = 16). The panels on the left (colour-coded to facilitate ILC subset identification) are representative data from a single donor, while panels on the right are cumulative data. (A) Result from one donor after HAdV-D26 uptake and mean percentages ± SEM of total ILCs expressing GFP after infection; (B–D) For each HAdV type, result from one donor after uptake by ILC1, ILC2, or ILC3 and mean percentages ± SEM of the ILC subsets expressing GFP after infection with (B) HAdV-C5, (C) HAdV-D26, or (D) HAdV-B35 (right panel). Statistical analyses were performed using paired Student’s t test by comparing uninfected cells and cells challenged with the HAdVs (ns, p > 0.05, * p ≤ 0.05, ** p ≤ 0.01, *** p ≤ 0.001).
Expression of candidate HAdV receptors by human peripheral blood ILCs. Levels of (A) CAR, (B) DC-SIGN, (C) HLA-ABC (MHC-I), (D) CD46, and (E) CD49d, in freshly isolated total ILCs, ILC1, ILC2 and ILC3. Cell populations were normalised to 100%. Data are representative of 2 - 4 donors.
HAdV-D26 and -B35 (48, 49). CD46 was readily detected on essentially all ILCs (Figure 3D) and also by scRNAseq (263 nTPM).

We also quantified the level of CD49d (integrin α4), which is a low affinity auxiliary receptor for some HAdVs, including HAdV-C5 (50). CD49d was readily detected on >90% of the ILCs (189 nTPM) (Figure 3E, gMFI for levels can be found in Figures S3A, B). Finally, desmoglein 2 (DSG2), another cell adhesion molecule, is used by some cells to take up some species B and D HAdVs (51). DSG2 levels were was undetectable by immune-based assays. scRNAseq results varied between 0 and 42 nTPM (average 11 nTPM).

Together, these data (summary in Table 1 and Figure S3C) shed light on the potential pathways by which ILCs take up HAdV-C5, -D26 and -B35.

ILC phenotypic activation and cytokine secretion after HAdV uptake

ILCs orient adaptive immune responses through the production of cytokines. We therefore examined cytokines involved in antiviral, and initiation or orientation of adaptive immunity following challenge with HAdVs. Due to the limited number of cells/donors, we screened total ILCs. Compared to mock-treated cells, we found an antiviral response consisting of IL-1β, TNF, IFN-λ1, and IFN-γ (<100 pg/ml); IL-8 and INF-β (100 - 200 pg/ml), and a Th response consisting of IL-5, IL-6, IL-9, IFN-γ and IL-21 (<100 pg/ml) (Figure 4A). TNF and IFN-γ, which have antiviral and Th functions, were comparable in each panel.

We then characterized the HAdV-induced phenotypic activation. Using ILCs immediately post-enrichment, we quantified the cell surface levels of CD69, an early activation marker expressed by lymphoid cells; CD161, whose expression increases during inflammation (mainly on NK cells); the costimulatory molecules CD80 and CD86; and MHC II molecules. We found that <20% of ILCs had baseline levels of CD69 (Figure 4B), while approximately 43% of the cells were CD161+ (Figure 4C). We were unable to unequivocally detect CD80, CD86, and HLA-DR (Figure S4), consistent with RNAseq data (42, 43). LPS challenge modestly increased the percentage of CD69+ ILCs (35%), with the greatest impact on ILC3s (40%). After incubation with HAdV-C5, -D26, or -B35, we observed a selective increase in CD69 levels in ILC2s (Figure 4D). Therefore, ILCs challenged with HAdVs secreted cytokines with antiviral and Th activities and modestly increased a phenotypic marker of activation.

Impact of pre-existing immunity against HAdVs on the ILC response

HAdV-based vaccines are being used to limit COVID-19 severity and are being trialled for other emerging pathogens (52). The use of HAdV-C5-based vaccines has shown that, while pre-existing humoral immunity typically reduces vaccine efficacy, vaccine-induced inflammation is not significantly affected (52). Secondly, a long-term issue will be the ability to reuse HAdV-based vaccines after their nearly global deployment against SARS-CoV-2, which should induce widespread HAdV type-specific immunity. Depending on the cell type and the presence of FcγRs, anti-HAdV antibodies can either inhibit or increase HAdV uptake (53–56). For example, most sera containing HAdV NAbs are characterized by their ability to inhibit infection of epithelial cells. Yet, these same sera can increase HAdV uptake by professional APCs via FcγRIII (CD16) (34, 57). FcγR-mediated uptake also increases the phenotypic and functional maturation of moDCs and plasmacytoid DCs (25, 34, 39, 57). By contrast, Ab that neutralize HAdV-B35

### Table 1 Summary of the expression of (A) candidate receptors for HAdVs and (B) receptors/markers with a role in immunity by human peripheral blood ILCs.

| A          | CAR | DC-SIGN | CD46 | CD49d | DSG2 | CD16 |
|------------|-----|---------|------|-------|------|------|
| ILC1       | ≤ 5% (n.s) | < 2% (n.s) | ≥ 85% | ≥ 78% | < 5% (n.s) | ≤ 27% (n.s) |
| ILC2       | ≤ 15% (n.s) | < 10% (n.s) | ≥ 95% | > 60% | < 5% (n.s) | < 10% (n.s) |
| ILC3       | ≤ 5% (n.s) | ≤ 1% (n.s) | ≥ 95% | > 90% | < 5% (n.s) | < 12% (n.s) |

| B          | TLR2 | TLR3 | TLR4 | TLR9 | MHC-I | MHC-II | CD80 | CD86 | CD69 | CD16 |
|------------|------|------|------|------|-------|--------|------|------|------|------|
| ILC1       | < 10% (n.s) | >45% | < 10% | (n.s) | > 20% | (n.s) | > 20% | (n.s) | > 12% | (n.s) |
| ILC2       | < 10% (n.s) | >45% | < 25% | < 10% | > 95% | (n.s) | > 20% | (n.s) | > 12% | (n.s) |
| ILC3       | < 10% (n.s) | >45% | < 10% | (n.s) | > 95% | (n.s) | > 20% | (n.s) | > 12% | (n.s) |

n.s, not significant.
infection of epithelial cells also decreased transgene expression in DCs (58).

Therefore, we asked whether NAbs impact HAdV uptake by ILCs. To form the complexes, we used selected sera that neutralized HAdV-C5, -D26 and -B35 infection of epithelial cells (58). Following the challenge of ILCs with the HAdV-NAb complexes, we observed a modest increase in cells expressing the transgene when type-specific NAbs were complexed HAdV-C5 and -D26 compared to HAdVs alone (Figure 5A). Consistent with previous data, we found that serum that contained HAdV-B35 NAbs tended to decrease the percentage of GFP+ ILCs. When broken down into ILC subsets, we observed a modest increase in GFP levels in the presence of NAb-complexed HAdVs for ILC1 and 2 (Figures 5B, C, E). However, for ILC3 challenged with HAdV-C5-NAb complexes, we observed a modest decrease in the percentage of GFP+ ILCs (Figures 5D, E).

If one compares the subsets, ILC2s (34%) and ILC1s (29%) are more permissive to HAdV-D26-NAb complexes than ILC3s (17%).

FIGURE 4
Cytokine release and phenotypic profile of ILCs after HAdV uptake. (A) Cytokines belonging to an antiviral panel or a Th screen were quantified from the supernatant of HAdV-challenged ILCs by CBA (n = 5). Cytokine levels are denoted by the colour code and the results were analyzed with the LegendPlex™ software. Only the cytokines whose levels were at least 2-fold higher than that of the controls are shown. Baseline levels of (B) CD69 and (C) CD161 in freshly isolated total ILCs, ILC1, ILC2 and ILC3 (n > 26). Cell populations were normalised to 100% (n ≥ 5). (D) CD69 levels ± SEM in ILC1, ILC2 and ILC3 post challenge with HAd-C5, -D26, or B35 (n = 15). * represents a individual donor symbol.
ILC3s (19%). In addition, ILC1s (15%) appeared to take up more particles/cell (higher gMFI) than ILC3s (7.5%) after HAdV-B35-NAb complex challenge (Figure S5). We therefore quantified the level of FcγRIII, and found lower levels on the surface of ILC1 and 2s versus ILC3s (Figure 5F). Globally, ILC2 were the most permissive, while ILC3s appeared the least capable of taking up HAdV complexed with NAbs (summarized in Figure S5).

**FIGURE 5**

ILC uptake of HAdV-NAb complexes and impact on FcγRIII levels. GFP levels in total ILCs, ILC1, ILC2 and ILC3 24 h post-incubation with each HAdV ± NAbs. Sera “E”, “A”, and “14” inhibit HAdV-C5, -D26, or -B35, respectively, uptake by epithelial cells. **(A)** Mean percentages ± SEM of total ILCs expressing GFP after HAdV ± NAbs challenge. **(B-D)** Mean percentages ± SEM of ILC1, ILC2, and ILC3 expressing GFP after challenge ± NAbs. **(E)** Summary of the impact of NAbs on ILC uptake of HAdV-C5, -D26 and -B35. **(F)** Levels of FcγRIII in freshly isolated total ILCs, ILC1, ILC2, and ILC3. Statistical analyses were performed using paired Student’s t test by comparing uninfected cells and cells challenged with the HAdVs (ns = p > 0.05), * p ≤ 0.05 (n ≥ 5).
We then characterized phenotypic activation induced by HAdV-NAbs. In contrast to the HAdVs alone, we found a decrease in CD69 levels following a challenge by NAb-complexed HAdVs (Figure 6A). Moreover, each ILC subset tended to have less CD69 on the surface following a challenge by NAb-complexed HAdVs (Figure 6B). Together, these data suggest that pre-existing B cell immunity can modestly and differentially impact the ILC response to HAdVs, likely based on molecules used to take up HAdVs or HAdV-NAb complexes.

**Pattern recognition receptors**

The initial 24 h can be critical when responding to pathogens or vaccines. It was noteworthy that ILC uptake of HAdVs induced IL-8 secretion, which will lead to the recruitment of monocytes and neutrophils (Figure 4A). The cytoplasmic content of neutrophils can be as much as 20% HDPs, which are effector molecules of the innate immune system. Moreover, we previously showed that human neutrophil protein-1 (HNP-1) and lactoferrin bind to HAdV-C5, -D26 and -B35 and, by acting as bridges via TLR4, increase HAdV uptake by DCs (25–28).

As phenotypic and functional activation of innate immunity are initiated by the engagement of PRRs, we screened for the presence of PRRs and markers that serve could as inducers of activation. Despite differences between donors, we found that the majority of the CD3-/CD127+/− cells contain relatively low levels of TLR2 (<5%), TLR4 (<7%) and TLR9 (<6%), but significant intracellular levels of TLR3 (Figures 7A, B and S6). Importantly though, LPS, a quintessential TLR4 ligand, induces ILCs to secrete pro-inflammatory cytokines, suggesting that while TLR4 levels are not high, TLR4-like signaling can be triggered (Figure S6). We therefore asked if HNP-1 or lactoferrin influences ILC uptake of HAdVs. ILCs were incubated with HAdV-HNP-1 or HAdV-lactoferrin complexes and uptake was quantified by GFP expression. In contrast to DCs, we found that the HDP-HAdV complexes either had no effect or were less readily taken up by ILCs (Figures 7C, S7). We then quantified ILC cytokine secretion induced by the HAdV-HDP complexes. When focusing on IL-8 levels, we again found that the response to HAdV-C5 and -D26 separated from that of -B35: when HAdV-C5 and -D26 were incubated with HNP-1 or lactoferrin, ILCs secreted higher levels of IL-8, while HAdV-B35 plus HNP-1 or lactoferrin decreased IL-8 levels compared to the HAdV alone. (Figure 7D). The levels of other cytokines did not change notably with respect to the addition of HNP-1 or lactoferrin (Figure 7E).

**Phenotypic maturation and cytokine secretion of bystander ILCs**

Because ILCs respond to local cues, we tried to generate an *ex vivo* environment to characterize their response to DCs challenged with HAdVs. In this approach, HAdVs ± NAbs were incubated with moDCs, the moDCs were rinsed to
remove HAdVs and NAbs, fresh medium was added, and this latter media was collected 18 h later and added to ILCs. Initially, we observed a 2-3-fold increase in CD69 levels in ILCs due to moDC supernatant (Figures 8A-C). The supernatant from LPS-challenged DCs induced a modest increase in CD69 levels on ILCs, with the greatest impact on ILC3s. When comparing the indirect impact of HAdV-C5, D26 and -B35, it is noteworthy that HAdV-C5, the "gold standard" for HAdV immunogenicity, challenged DCs induced a modest increase in CD69 levels on ILCs, with the greatest impact on ILC3s. When comparing the indirect impact of HAdV-C5, D26 and -B35, it is noteworthy that HAdV-C5, the "gold standard" for HAdV immunogenicity,
had the lowest impact on ILC1 and ILC2 phenotypic maturation. When assaying the supernatant from DCs challenged with HAdV-CS-NAb complexes, the number of CD69+$^+$ ILC1 and 2s increased compared with HAdV-CS alone. In the case of HAdV-D26-NAb complexes, CD69$^+$ levels either decreased (ILC2s, Figure 8B) or did not change (ILC1 and 3s, Figures 8A, C). Finally, finding serum that neutralizes HAdV-B35 is challenging: in the greater than 400 sera analyzed, we found 1 that inhibited HAdV-B35 infection of epithelial cells. However, due to limited quantities of serum, we were able to perform only 2 assays and therefore the interpretation of these data should take this into account. We found that in contrast to ILC1 and 2s, the ILC3 response to HAdV-B35-NAb complexes was notably higher (Figure 8C).

Using the bystander challenge model, we also explored the cytokines secreted by ILCs. As above, we found a mixed antiviral and Th response consisting of TNF, IFN-$\lambda_1$, IL-6, CXCL10, and IL-8 (Figures 8D, S8). We concluded that bystander ILCs respond to DCs challenged with HAdVs ± NAbs and that this response could vary in hosts who have pre-existing HAdV immunity.

**Discussion**

Initially, we tested selected culture conditions to prolong the ILC phenotype found immediately post-puriﬁcation. The challenge was to increase viability, while maintaining their ability to respond to challenges. In murine ILCs, IL-2, -7 and -12 are involved in the presence of its ligand. Therefore, we selected a concentration of IL-7 to maintain the development of the cell population, while minimizing the internalization of
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**FIGURE 8**

Bystander effect on ILC maturation. We quantified CD69 levels of, and cytokines secreted by, ILCs after indirect challenge moDCs incubated with HAdVs ± NAbs. CD69 levels were measured 24 h post-challenge for each HAdV ± NAbs for total ILCs and different ILC subsets (n ≥ 2). Mean percentages ± SEM of (A) ILC1, (B) ILC2, and (C) ILC3, expressing CD69. Statistical analyses were performed using paired Student’s t test by comparing uninfected cells and challenged cells (ns p > 0.05, * p ≤ 0.05, ** p ≤ 0.01). (D) The level of cytokines belonging to an antiviral panel and a Th cytokine panel from bystander ILCs (n = 3). Cytokine levels were denoted by the colour code and results were analyzed with the LegendPlex™ software. Only the cytokines whose secretion level was at least 2-fold higher than that of the controls are shown.
CD127. Finally, based on the metabolic networks that may govern ILC functions (62), in particular the increase in glycolysis, we hypothesized that increased pyruvate levels could prolong the ILC phenotype/function.

The multitasking roles that ILCs play during virus infection have been addressed in numerous situations (10). However, how pre-existing immunity against a virus, or virus-based vaccines, impacts an ILC response is poorly understood. In this study, we addressed how human ILCs, isolated from peripheral blood, respond to three human adenovirus types, from three species. In addition to the binary ILCs - HAdVs interactions, we investigated how the presence of NAbs and HDPs impacted the ILC response. Because ILC responses are influenced by their environment, we also created an assay to explore how HAdV uptake by antigen-presenting cells (e.g., DCs) influences ILC physiology. We show that i) the three ILC subsets can be infected by three HAdV types with variable efficacy; ii) depending on the HAdV type, NAbs can either increase or decrease uptake by ILCs; iii) ILCs can respond differentially to HAdVs alone or those bound by NAbs or HDPs; and iv) the phenotypic profile of, and cytokine release by, ILCs is also responsive to indirect stimulation by HAdV-challenged DCs. Our results demonstrate that the adaptive immune response feeds back into ILC function, which likely impacts HAdV-based vaccine efficacy.

When working with primary ILCs from multiple donors, the heterogeneity of the response is typically considerable. ILC levels in peripheral blood vary with age (up to 7-fold less in older adults compared to children), sex (less abundant in males), and whether responding to a viral infection (11). While our approach inherently creates challenges for broad interpretations, it nonetheless represents a sampling of the diverse human responses. An important issue to take into account is that we poorly understand how modest changes in the level of cell surface markers of activation, or cytokines secreted impact immune responses. Moreover, using ILCs from peripheral blood creates additional challenges. Initially, ILCs seed tissues early in the life. During adolescence, it appears that ILCs are replaced by tissue-resident T cells that undertake a role in immune surveillance (63, 64).

Like many respiratory pathogens, the initial HAdV-associated illness is typically a childhood event. HAdVs also cause disease in multiple tissues (eyes, respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts). The divergent response from tissue resident ILCs should help drive a robust and complex adaptive response

Are childhood infections and responses to HAdV-based vaccines in adolescents or adults linked? An argument could be put forward that there is an incompatibility in locales – HAdV-based vaccines are, for the moment, delivered subdermal/intramuscularly, whereas HAdV infections, to the best of our knowledge, rarely occur there. Importantly though, ILCs do not have an obligate tissue-specific residency (10). ILC homing receptors suggest a context-dependent capacity of some subsets for inter-organ trafficking. In addition, in spite of the T-cell-like functional (8, 9), all ILC subsets took up HAdVs. We also observed variations in the level of potential HAdV receptors, which was consistent with uptake efficacy. These data suggest that ILCs can play a direct role in the initiation of the immune response. Moreover, these data also suggest that functional diversification into Th1, Th2 and Th17/22-like T cells plays a minor role during initial interactions with HAdVs. However, an analysis that we were technically unable to perform was to identify which ILCs secrete which cytokines. ILC uptake of HAdVs generally increased CD69 levels, while the addition of NAbs tended to decrease CD69 level. These observations do not dovetail well with the uptake profile where NAb-HAdV-C5 and -D26 complexes increased the expression of the transgene by ILCs. The challenge of bystander ILCs via infected moDCs also induced a global increase in CD69 levels. The presence of NAbs during moDC challenge increased CD69 levels by ILCs compared to HAdVs alone for -C5 and -B35 and to a decrease for -D26. These patterns underscore the complex role of ILCs after HAdV interactions, particularly in the presence of NAbs.

Yet, as expected, the ILC antiviral response included type I (IFN-β), II (IFN-γ) and III (IFN-λ) IFNs. The levels of TNF, IL-6 and IL-21 were moderate and potentially have a synergistic action rather than individual. TNF is a pro-inflammatory cytokine with a more general role in the induction and stimulation of surrounding immune cells. IL-6 contributes to host defense by stimulating acute phase responses, hematoopoiesis and immune responses (67), but has fundamentally different activities depending on its cytokine partners (68). IL-21 is a pro-inflammatory, notably inducing IL-8 secretion, maintaining CD8 T cell function and enhancing antigen presentation by phagocytes (69, 70). In the context of potential HAdV uptake during the first 24 h, the recruitment of HDP-loaded neutrophils could have a significant impact if HNP-1-mediated HAdV uptake influences ILCs directly or indirectly (increasing uptake by local phagocytes) (71). Unexpectedly, the bystander ILC response induced a cytokine profile similar to that of direct HAdV uptake, at a higher level. We also note the secretion of CXCL10, a pleiotropic molecule that can promote the chemotactic activity of CXCR3+ cells, induces apoptosis, and is associated with antiviral responses (72–76).

From these data, we concluded that the ILC response to HAdVs varies in multiple situations (Figure S9). Moreover, the
vast and intriguing inter-donor variability leaves little room for a simple, text-book style conclusion. Identifying biomarkers for ILC status and differences could enable better exploitation and understanding of their responses to viruses and virus-based vaccines. Some of the future challenges to address during the use of HAdV-based vaccines is whether tissue-resident ILCs maintain their subtype-specific phenotype. This is unlikely to be a straightforward assay, as we will need to take into account health status, immunological history, age, and sex. Moreover, the dynamic environment at the site of vaccine injection during the initial 48 h is difficult to mimic ex vivo. While pre-existing B-cell immunity had a modest effect on uptake by ILCs from peripheral blood, it is unclear if this can be extended to tissue resident ILCs. Another issue is whether ILCs are efficiently recruited from the blood or from other compartments in a host with pre-existing immunity. Moreover, we expected to find a more prominent role for ILC2s in the context of HAdV-based vaccines. Further assays will be needed to determine whether skin ILCs behave differently. A greater understanding of ILC – adenovirus interactions (in particular the cytokine profile of the subsets) is needed to determine whether ILC-targeted or de-targeted Ad-based vaccines can improve efficacy or the duration of protection.
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SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1
Summary of candidate receptors based on scRNAseq analyses of human ILCs. ScRNAseq data of candidate receptors by ILCs extracted from the results matrix published by Ercolano et al. Raw sequencing data were normalized to 1 million transcripts (nTPM).

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1
Enrichment, stability, and characteristic of human ILCs. ILCs were identified pre- and post- negative selection from PBMCs. (A) Using total PBMCs, we gated on the CD45+ population, and within this population, we gated on the Lin- and CD127+ population. (B) Within the CD127+ population, we gated on CRTC2 and CD161 high (ILC2) and low populations (not ILC2). In the not ILC2 population, we screened for CD117 to distinguish between ILC1 and ILC3 (NKp44- and NKp44+) populations. ILC1 are identified in fuchsia, ILC2 in light blue and ILC3 in green and dark blue. (C) Characterization of ILC populations post-
negative selection: 85% of the by CD45+ cells were Lin−. Within the Lin− population, >90% were CD127+. (D) Within the CD127+ population 62% were CRTH2 and CD161 high ILC2. Within the CRTH2 and CD161 low population (not-ILC2a), ~85% were ILC3s (NKp44+ and NKp44−) and 14% were ILC1s. (E) Quantification of the NK, NK1.1, T and B cells after negative selection.

Lymphoid cells and granulocytes were screened for CD45. In parallel monocytes and macrophages were gated by CD4+ and CD14+. From lymphoid cells, we screened for the presence of CD3 (LyT) and CD19 (LyB), then for CD20 and CD19. Finally, we gated on CD56 and CD16 within lymphoid cells (NKT cells) and within lymphoid cells LyT excluded (NK cells). Summary of NK and NKT cells, LyT and LyB present post-enrichment. Results were obtained with the Navios flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter) and are representative of 3 donors.

**SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2**

Infection efficiency of human ILCs with HAdV-C5, -D26 and -B35. GFP expression by ILCs was measured 24 h post-challenge with each HAdV vector for ILC1, ILC2 and ILC3 (n = 16). The mean percentages of cells expressing GFP after infection ± SEM were (A) for ILC1: HAdV-C5: 18%/HAdV-D26: 12.5%/HAdV-B35: 23%; (B) for ILC2: HAdV-C5: 25%/HAdV-D26: 19%/HAdV-B35: 24%; (C) for ILC3: HAdV-C5: 14%/HAdV-D26: 14%/HAdV-B35: 16%. Statistics were performed by paired Student's t test by comparison with uninfected cells and between different HAdV (ns, p > 0.05, * p ≤ 0.05, ** p ≤ 0.01). We noted a significant difference between HAdV-C5 and -D26 and then HAdV-D26 and -B35 for ILC2 (* p ≤ 0.05), but a non-significant difference between the HAdVs tested for ILC1 and ILC3.

**SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 3**

Median Fluorescence Intensity (MFI) and gMFI of the expression of candidate receptors for HAdVs by human peripheral blood ILCs. MFI and gMFI for (A) HL-ABC+, (B) CD46+ and CD49d+ cells for each ILC subset and the fold changes for the mean of 2 donors. The MFI of 10 (red) correspond to the minimum of fluorescent measured. (C) Mean expression ± SEM of candidate receptors for HAdVs (upper panel) and for molecules involved in the immune response (lower panel) (n ≥ 2).

**SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 4**

Activation and co-stimulatory molecule levels in human ILCs. Levels of (A) CD80, (B) CD86 and (C) MHCI-II (HLA-DR) in freshly isolated total ILCs, ILC1, ILC2 and ILC3. Cell populations were normalized to 100%. Results were obtained with the NovoCyte® flow cytometer and analyzed with NovoExpress software. Due to high donor variation, the results presented represent only one donor among the 3 tested (CD80 and CD86).

**SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 5**

IHC uptake of HAdVs in the presence of Nabs. (A–C) GFP expression by ILC1, ILC2 and ILC3 was measured 24 h post-challenge with (A) HAdV-C5–SE, (B) -D26-SA, or (C) -B35–S14 (n = 5). Sera E, A, and 14 have Nabs against HAdV-C5, -D26, and -B35, respectively. (D) MFI and gMFI for GFP+ and GFP− cells for each ILC subset and the fold changes for the mean of 2 representative donors were presented. Statistical analyses by paired Student’s t test were performed by comparison between ILC subsets for each Ig-HAdVs complexes (ns, p > 0.05, * p ≤ 0.05).

**SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 6**

Level of Toll-like receptors by human ILCs directly isolated from peripheral blood. (A) TLR2 levels by total ILCs, ILC1, ILC2, and ILC3; (B) TLR4 levels by total ILCs, ILC1, ILC2, and ILC3. Cell populations were normalized to 100%. Results are representative of 2–5 donors. (C) Cytokine profile secreted by ILCs after incubation with (LPS) or without (ILCs) LPS for 7 h. Cytokines panels were analyzed using CBA. Data are representative of one donor.

**SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 7**

Identification of ILCs and HAdVs uptake in the presence of HDP. (A–C) ILCs are among CD3-/CD127+ lymphoid cells; (D) GFP expression by ILCs were measured 24 h post-challenge with each HAdV ± Nabs (n = 3).

**SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 8**

Byssander effect on ILC maturation: IL-8 levels. IL-8 secretion by human total ILCs was assessed 24 h after indirect challenge by moDCs for each HAdV ± Nabs (n = 3).

**SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 9**

Summary tables of infection and activation/stimulation of ILCs by HAdVs or infected moDCs in the presence or absence of Nabs. (A) Infection capacity of ILCs by HAdVs. (B) Level of the CD69 marker by ILCs. (C) Secretion of cytokines by ILCs. (D) GFP expression by ILCs were measured 24 h post-challenge with each HAdV ± Nabs. (E) CD69 level by ILCs after indirect challenge by moDCs. (F) Secretion of cytokines by ILC after indirect challenge by moDCs. Only cytokines with a secretion level at least 2-fold higher than controls were shown. IC for fg = HAdVs complexes.
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