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Introduction

- The study of the QCD phase diagram has become a topic of wide interest in recent years.
- A **transition** or rapid **crossover** is thought to exist from a low temperature hadronic phase to a high temperature quark-gluon plasma phase.
- The determination of the QCD **(pseudo)critical line** (exact location and nature of the transition) is related to many important theoretical and phenomenological issues.

For example:
- the physics of the early universe (**high T** and **low baryon** density region)
- the physics of the interior of some compact astrophysical objects (**low T** and **high baryon** density region)
The QCD (pseudo)critical line can be parameterized by a lowest order Taylor expansion in the baryon chemical potential:

\[ \frac{T(\mu_B)}{T_c(0)} = 1 - \kappa \left( \frac{\mu_B}{T(\mu_B)} \right)^2 \]

Lattice QCD can be used to locate the QCD (pseudo)critical line.

**BUT** the “sign problem” prevents us to do simulations at real nonzero baryon chemical potential.

Possible way out: *analytic continuation* from an *imaginary* chemical potential (other methods: reweighting from the ensemble at \( \mu_B=0 \), the Taylor expansion method, the canonical approach, the density of states method).

The aim of this work is to give a first estimate of the (pseudo)critical line by the method of analytic continuation of (2+1) flavor QCD using the HISQ/tree action.
Lattice setup and numerical simulation

- Highly improved staggered quark action with tree level improved Symanzik gauge action (HISQ/tree) with 2+1 flavors as implemented in the MILC code (http://www.physics.utah.edu/~detar/milc/).

- We work on a line of constant physics (LCP) determined (*) by fixing the strange quark mass to its physical value $m_s$ at each value of the gauge coupling $\beta$. The light-quark mass has been fixed at $m_l = m_s/20$.

(*) as determined in A. Bazavov et al (HotQCD Collaboration), PRD 85, 054503 (2012)

- In the present study we assign the same quark chemical potential to the three quark species:
  $$\mu_l = \mu_s \equiv \mu = \mu_B/3$$

- To perform numerical simulations we used the MILC code suitably modified in order to introduce an imaginary quark chemical potential $\mu = \mu_B/3$.
  That has been done by multiplying all forward and backward temporal links entering the discretized Dirac operator by $\exp(i\mu\alpha)$ and $\exp(-i\mu\alpha)$, respectively.

- All simulations make use of the rational hybrid Monte Carlo (RHMC) algorithm. The length of each RHMC trajectory has been set to 1.0 in molecular dynamics time units.
• We have simulated QCD at finite temperature and imaginary quark chemical potential on lattices of size $16^3 \times 6$, $24^3 \times 6$, $32^3 \times 8$ (to check for finite size effects and for finite cutoff effects).

• We have typically discarded not less than 1000 trajectories for each run and have collected from 4000 to 8000 trajectories for measurements.

• To determine the (pseudo)critical line we have to estimate the (pseudo)critical coupling

$$\beta_c(\mu^2)$$

in correspondence of a given value of the imaginary quark chemical potential.

| Lattice     | $\mu/(\pi T)$ |
|-------------|---------------|
| $16^3 \times 6$ | 0.           |
|             | 0.15$i$      |
|             | 0.2$i$       |
|             | 0.25$i$      |
| $24^3 \times 6$ | 0.           |
|             | 0.2$i$       |
| $32^3 \times 8$ | 0.           |
|             | 0.2$i$       |

• We considered the following values for the quark chemical potential:
Numerical results

The (pseudo)critical line $\beta_c(\mu^2)$ has been determined as the value for which the disconnected susceptibility of the light quark chiral condensate exhibits a peak

$$\chi_{q,\text{disc}} = \frac{n_f^2}{16N_\sigma^3N_\tau} \left\{ \langle (\text{Tr}D_q^{-1})^2 \rangle - \langle \text{Tr}D_q^{-1} \rangle^2 \right\}$$
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To localize the peak, a Lorentzian fit has been used:

$$\frac{a_1}{1 + a_2 (\beta - \beta_c)^2}$$

The graph shows the disconnected susceptibility $\chi_{q,\text{disc}}$ as a function of $\beta$, with different lattice sizes represented by different markers. The peak at $\mu/(\pi T) = 0.2i$ is indicated.
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| Lattice | $\mu/(\pi T)$ | $\beta_c$ |
|---------|---------------|-----------|
| $16^3 \times 6$ | 0. | 6.102(8) |
| | 0.15i | 6.147(10) |
| | 0.2i | 6.171(12) |
| | 0.25i | 6.193(14) |
| $24^3 \times 6$ | 0. | 6.148(8) (*) |
| | 0.2i | 6.208(5) |
| $32^3 \times 8$ | 0. | 6.392(5) (*) |
| | 0.2i | 6.459(9) |

(*) fit to data taken from Table X and Table XI of A.Bazavov et al (HotQCD Collaboration) arXiv:1111.1710 Phys. Rev. D 85, 054503 (2012)
In order to check our estimate for the peaks, we also locate the peaks in the renormalized susceptibility

\[
\frac{1}{Z_m^2} \frac{\chi_{\text{light}}}{T^2} = \frac{Z_m}{m_{\text{light}}(\beta) / m_{\text{light}}(\beta^*)} = T = \frac{1}{a(\beta)L_t}
\]

\[
\frac{r_1}{a(\beta^*)} = 2.37
\]

To set the lattice spacing (*)

\[
\frac{a}{r_1} (\beta)_{m_l=0.05m_s} = \frac{c_0 f(\beta) + c_2 (10/\beta) f^3(\beta)}{1 + d_2 (10/\beta) f^2(\beta)}
\]

\[
f(\beta) = (b_0 (10/\beta))^{-b_1/(2b_0^2)} \exp(-\beta/(20b_0))
\]

or

\[
a f_K(\beta)_{m_l=0.05m_s} = \frac{c^K_0 f(\beta) + c^K_2 (10/\beta) f^3(\beta)}{1 + d^K_2 (10/\beta) f^2(\beta)}
\]

\[
(*) \text{ as discussed in Appendix B of A. Bazavov et al (HotQCD Collaboration), PRD 85, 054503 (2012)}
\]

\[
s_1 = 0.3106 \text{ fm} \quad c_0 = 44.06 \quad c_2 = 272102 \quad d_2 = 4281
\]

\[
\text{coefficients of the universal two-loop beta function}
\]

\[
r_1 f_K = 0.1738 \quad c^K_0 = 7.66 \quad c^K_2 = 32911 \quad d^K_2 = 2388
\]
\( \beta_c = 6.39154(549) \) \( \chi^2/\text{dof} = 0.71 \)

\( \beta_c = 6.39431(552) \) \( \chi^2/\text{dof} = 0.69 \)

\( \beta_c = 6.39335(552) \) \( \chi^2/\text{dof} = 0.70 \)

(*) for \( \mu/(\pi T) = 0 \) fit to data taken from Table X and Table XI of A.Bazavov et al (HotQCD Collaboration) arXiv:1111.1710 Phys. Rev. D 85, 054503 (2012)
HISQ/tree  $32^3 \times 8$  $\mu/(\pi T)=0$

\[ \beta_c = 6.39154(549) \quad \chi^2/\text{dof} = 0.71 \]

arXiv:1111.1710 (*)

---

HISQ/tree  $32^3 \times 8$  $\mu/(\pi T)=0$

\[ \beta_c = 6.39431(552) \quad \chi^2/\text{dof} = 0.69 \]

arXiv:1111.1710 (*)

---

HISQ/tree  $32^3 \times 8$  $\mu/(\pi T)=0$

\[ \beta_c = 6.39335(552) \quad \chi^2/\text{dof} = 0.70 \]

arXiv:1111.1710 (*)

(*) for $\mu/(\pi T)=0$ fit to data taken from Table X and Table XI of A.Bazavov et al (HotQCD Collaboration) arXiv:1111.1710 Phys. Rev. D 85, 054503 (2012)
check even for smaller lattices at $\mu/(\pi T) = 0.2i$
The critical temperature vs. imaginary quark chemical potential

| Lattice | $\mu/(\pi T)$ | $\beta_c$ | $T_c(\mu)/T_c(0)$ |
|---------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|
| $16^3 \times 6$ | 0. | 6.102(8) | 1.000 |
| | 0.15$i$ | 6.147(10) | 1.045(13) |
| | 0.2$i$ | 6.171(12) | 1.070(15) |
| | 0.25$i$ | 6.193(14) | 1.093(17) |
| $24^3 \times 6$ | 0. | 6.148(8) | 1.000 |
| | 0.2$i$ | 6.208(5) | 1.060(10) |
| $32^3 \times 8$ | 0. | 6.392(5) | 1.000 |
| | 0.2$i$ | 6.459(9) | 1.068(11) |

\[
\frac{T_c(\mu)}{T_c(0)} = \frac{\alpha(\beta_c(0))}{\alpha(\beta_c(\mu))}
\]

\[
\frac{\alpha}{r_1} (\beta)_{m_t=0.05m_s} = \frac{c_0 f(\beta) + c_2 (10/\beta) f^3(\beta)}{1 + d_2 (10/\beta) f^2(\beta)}
\]

\[
f(\beta) = (b_0 (10/\beta))^{-b_1/(2b_0^2)} \exp(-\beta/(20b_0))
\]

\[
r_1 = 0.3106 \, \text{fm}
\]
\[
c_0 = 44.06
\]
\[
c_2 = 272102
\]
\[
d_2 = 4281
\]
Linear fit (in $\mu^2$) to the data

\[
\frac{T_c(\mu)}{T_c(0)} = 1 + R_q \left( \frac{i\mu}{\pi T_c(\mu)} \right)^2
\]

for the $16^3 \times 6$ lattice:

\[R_q = -1.63(22)\]
\[
\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 0.39
\]

curvature of the (pseudo)critical line:

\[
\kappa = -\frac{R_q}{(9\pi^2)} = 0.0183(24)
\]

Assuming that linearity still holds on the other lattices:

\[R_q(16^3 \times 6) = -1.63(22), \quad \kappa = 0.0183(24)\]
\[R_q(24^3 \times 6) = -1.51(25), \quad \kappa = 0.0170(28)\]
\[R_q(32^3 \times 8) = -1.70(29), \quad \kappa = 0.0190(32)\]

our estimate:

\[\kappa = 0.018(4)\]
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**Estimate of the (pseudo)critical line**

From our estimate of the curvature
\[ \kappa = 0.018(4) \]

and
\[ T_c(\mu_B) = a - b\mu_B^2 \]

\[ a = T_c(0) \]

\[ b = \frac{\kappa}{T_c(0)} \]

\[ T_c(0) = 154(9) \text{ MeV} \quad (*) \]

we get:

\[ b = 0.117(27) \text{ GeV}^{-1} \]

to be compared with:

\[ b = 0.139(16) \text{ GeV}^{-1} \]

*hep-ph/0511094* J. Cleymans, H. Oeschler, K. Redlich, and S. Wheaton, Phys.Rev. C73 (2006) 034905

(*) A. Bazavov et al (HotQCD Collaboration), PRD 85, 054503 (2012)
Summary and Conclusions

• We have determined the curvature $\kappa$ of the QCD (pseudo)critical line with 2+1 flavors and $\frac{m_l}{m_s} = 1/20$ and the HISQ/tree action, with $\mu_\ell = \mu_s$.

• Our determination $\kappa = 0.018(4)$ is larger than previous lattice determinations and seems to be in better agreement with the freeze-out curvature based on the standard statistical hadronization model.

• Possible reasons for the disagreement with previous lattice determinations:
  - different methods to avoid the sign problem (analytic continuation in our work)
  - different lattice discretizations (HISQ/tree action in our work)
  - different setup of quark chemical potentials ($\mu_\ell = \mu_s$ in our work)

• To do:
  - other values of $\mu/(\pi T)$ for $24^3 \times 6$ and $32^3 \times 8$ lattices to check linearity in $\mu^2$
  - extrapolation to the continuum limit
  - extension to the physical value of the light to strange mass ratio $\frac{m_l}{m_s} = 1/28$
  - study the possible effect of varying the strange quark chemical potential