Diversified transcription initiation complexes expand promoter selectivity and tissue-specific gene expression
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Transcription initiation is a key regulatory step in the control of gene expression. Formation of a preinitiation complex at the right time and at the right promoter is a prerequisite to executing the correct programs of mRNA synthesis. This involves the interplay of many transcription factors and a combinatorial array of cis-regulatory DNA elements. Recent findings suggest that metazoan organisms have evolved specialized transcription initiation complexes and promoter-selectivity modules that direct coordinated regulation of functionally related gene networks. Here, we summarize corroborating evidence for a highly diversified core transcription machinery directing cell type-specific and gene-selective transcription.

In multicellular organisms elaborate mechanisms have evolved to control the spatial and temporal patterns of transcription during growth, differentiation, and development. Transcriptional activation, one of the fundamental means of regulating gene expression in eukaryotes, is governed by an interconnected ensemble of multisubunit transcription factor complexes (Fig. 1; Lemon and Tjian 2000; Narlikar et al. 2002; Orphanides and Reinberg 2002). To assure the proper assembly of the transcription machinery, the components of the core transcriptional apparatus and the chromatin DNA template are subject to regulation. For instance, a variety of covalent histone and DNA modifications can influence whether a chromatin template is programmed to be transcriptionally active or silent (Strahl and Allis 2000; Berger 2002; Geiman and Robertson 2002). Also, numerous chromatin remodeling/nucleosome mobilizing activities catalyze the ATP-dependent deposition, removal, or sliding of nucleosomes to generate DNA templates that are accessible to the transcription machinery (Becker and Horz 2002). An enormous family of DNA sequence-specific transcriptional activators working in concert with various coregulatory factors drive the formation of active transcription initiation complexes (Lemon and Tjian 2000; Malik and Roeder 2000; Naar et al. 2001). It now seems clear that this highly regulated and coordinated assembly of active transcription complexes requires the interplay of a large number of transcription factors, multiple core promoter elements, and chromatin remodeling and modifying factors to properly position the preinitiation complex [PIC] at the start site of RNA synthesis (Burley and Roeder 1996; Roeder 1996; Lemon and Tjian 2000; Fig. 1).

Until recently, the general transcription machinery that makes up the PIC was thought to be largely invariant when compared among different cell types within an organism. Although a large number of tissue-specific transcription factors have been described that control expression of cell type-specific genes, most of these have been enhancer-binding transcription factors. However, contrary to expectations, several studies have identified cell type-specific components of the core transcription machinery. Subsequently, an increasing number of cell type-specific and gene-selective homologs of basal transcription factors have been identified in metazoan organisms, including additional members of the TATA box-binding protein [TBP] family such as TBP-related factors [TRFs] as well as numerous tissue-specific homologs of TBP-associated factors [TAFs]. It now seems apparent that multicellular organisms have evolved cell type-specific components of the general transcriptional apparatus as a mechanism to accommodate more complex programs of tissue-specific and gene-selective transcription. Intriguingly, many of these functionally specialized core promoter factors are subunits of TFIID. This central component of the PIC harbors several transcription factors directly involved in recognizing and binding core promoter elements such as the TATA box, Initiator [Inr], and downstream promoter element [DPE]. These findings suggest that metazoans have evolved functionally specialized transcription initiation complexes and promoter-selective modules, which might serve as eukaryotic σ factors (Gross et al. 1998; Losick 1998).

In this review, we will summarize some recent advances in our understanding of how these specialized transcription initiation complexes might mediate pro-
moter selectivity. We will also discuss how tissue-specific and gene-selective core promoter complexes might regulate coordinately expressed genes responsible for executing essential biological functions such as DNA replication, organ development, and germ cell maturation.

The family of TRFs

The TBP has been established as a universal and essential transcription factor required by all three RNA polymerases to recognize promoters and initiate transcription in eukaryotic organisms (Hernandez 1993; Burley and Roeder 1996). This includes the transcription of protein-encoding genes by RNA polymerase II (Pol II) as well as ribosomal RNAs and small, stable RNAs transcribed by RNA polymerases I (Pol I) and RNA polymerase III (Pol III), respectively. Indeed, from human to yeast, TBP was shown to be a component of three different multisubunit transcription initiation complexes including SL1, TFIID, and TFIIIB. These initiation complexes are involved in directing transcription by RNA polymerases I, II, and III, respectively [Dynlacht et al. 1991; Comai et al. 1992; Sharp 1992; Hernandez 1993; Burley and Roeder 1996, Fig. 2A]. In each class of transcription initiation complexes, TBP makes specific contacts with associated proteins and/or promoter DNA to help nucleate assembly of the appropriate RNA polymerase initiation complex. In the case of RNA pol II, TFIID consists of TBP and several TBP-associated factors [TAFs], which can directly bind to specific core promoter elements such as the TATA box, Inr, and DPE (Butler and Kadonaga 2002) to form an active PIC containing RNA pol II and the general transcription factors TFIIA, TFIIF, and TFIH [Figs. 1, 2A]. It is now well established that the sum of these interactions between initiation factors and different core promoter elements defines the start site of transcription and may also modulate the strength of a particular promoter [Smale 2001]. When this rather elaborate PIC was first identified, it did not seem necessary to invoke the existence of additional gene-selective or cell type-specific subunits of an already complex multisubunit basal transcription apparatus. However, a novel gene encoding a protein closely related to but distinct from TBP was identified in Drosophila and subsequently named TBP-related factor 1, TRF1 [Crowley et al. 1993].

TRF1 has promoter-selective properties

Drosophila embryo in situ staining experiments revealed that TRF1 is most highly expressed in the nervous system and gonads [Crowley et al. 1993; Hansen et al. 1997]. Biochemical studies confirmed that TRF1, like TBP, can interact with TFIIA and TFIIB, bind to TATA box DNA, and direct RNA pol II-specific transcription in vitro [Hansen et al. 1997]. Like TBP, TRF1 was found by biochemical fractionation to be part of large multisubunit complexes [Fig. 2B]. Importantly, Drosophila polytene chromosome staining revealed that, unlike TBP, TRF1 is only associated with a rather limited set of loci, which are distinct from those associated with TBP [Hansen et al. 1997]. These findings, taken together with the somewhat tissue-restricted expression pattern of TRF1, suggested that TRF1 may be a functional homolog of the prototypic core transcription factor TBP that may have diversified to direct tissue-specific or gene-selective transcription in Drosophila. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments subsequently identified several Drosophila genes containing a TRF1-responsive promoter [Holmes and Tjian 2000]. Biochemical characterization revealed that TRF1 can preferentially bind and
direct transcription from one of two tandem promoters of the tudor gene, which is involved in Drosophila male fertility. The TRF1-binding region was determined by deoxyribonuclease footprinting to be a TC-rich region (TC box) rather than a TATA box located at position −25 relative to the TRF1-dependent transcription start site [Holmes and Tjian 2000, Fig. 2B]. This study also revealed that the tudor gene has a second TBP/TFIID-dependent promoter that is not responsive to TRF1. Such an arrangement of tandem promoters provided an interesting mechanism by which an alternative core promoter factor like TRF1 could expand the programs of gene expression for a subset of genes.

Endogenous TRF1 had been isolated in a complex with other associated proteins (putative TRF1-associated factors, Fig. 2B). This finding indicated that the ability of TRF1 to recognize specific core promoter sequences might not be solely due to the intrinsic DNA-recognition properties of TRF1. Instead, the promoter specificity of TRF1 could be significantly influenced by its associated subunits much the same way that TBP collaborates with TAFs in TFIID or SL1 to direct either RNA pol II or I transcription, respectively [Fig. 2A]. It therefore seemed reasonable to postulate that the promoter selectivity properties of TRF1 might depend in part on its associated factors and that a more exhaustive analysis of TRF1 might unmask additional target genes.

A TRF1:BRF complex directs RNA pol III transcription

Given this new context, it was interesting to find that TRF1 localized not only to various genes linked to male sterility or neuronal functions, but polytene chromosome staining studies also mapped TRF1 to many rRNA
evidence that the Drosophila plexus could be detected. These findings provided further BRF, whereas no significant amounts of a TBP:BRF com-
teractionally expressed in a system involving TRF1. However, because TRF1 is dif-
ficult to detect in Drosophila cells (Fig. 2B). BRF forms a stable complex with TRF1 rather than TBP and this TRF1:BRF complex is an essential component of the RNA pol III transcription system involving TRF1. However, because TRF1 is differentially expressed in Drosophila cell types, it was not surprising to find that the proportion of TRF1 associated with BRF differs somewhat when comparing SL2 cells and developing Drosophila embryos (Takada et al. 2000). This is consistent with the observation that TRF1 can also operate as a promoter-selective regulator of RNA pol II transcription [Holmes and Tjian 2000]. These dual functions of TRF1 are likely to depend on its association with different subunits, adding further diversity to the function of this core transcription factor [Fig. 2B]. An advantage of this strategy is that metazoan organisms like Drosophila can exploit the specialized properties of basal transcription factor homologs such as TRF1 to mix and match with additional factors to expand their functional specificity, perhaps modulating potential tissuespecific and/or gene-selective activities.

TRF2: another member of the TBP family

The discovery of TRF1 led to a search for additional alternative core promoter complexes. These studies were largely carried out in flies, worms, frogs, and mammals because TRFs were not found to be present in yeast or other unicellular eukaryotes.

Database homology searches quickly led to the identification of a third member of the TBP family in Drosophila called TRF2 (TRF2; Rabenstein et al. 1999), which was present in a large variety of other metazoan organisms from Caenorhabditis elegans and Xenopus to mouse and man [Dantonel et al. 1999; Rabenstein et al. 1999; Fig. 3]. Because TRF2 has been in-
dependently isolated from several different organisms it has variously been called TRF [Maldonado 1999], TBP-like factor [TLF; Dantonel et al. 1999; Kaltenbach et al. 2000; Veenstra et al. 2000], or TBP-like protein [TLP; Ohbayashi et al. 1999a,b]. To avoid confusion, we will use the TRF2 terminology for this protein family of factors based on the nomenclature of the founding member TRF1 [Crowley et al. 1993].

A sequence comparison and phylogenetic analysis of the various TRF2s in metazoan organisms established that TRF2s constitute a family of TBP-related factors in metazoan organisms. [A] Notably, the Drosophila TBP family is very diverse. TRF1 is a unique member of the TBP family discovered only in Drosophila and is the closest relative of TBP discovered so far. TRF2 is the third member of the TBP family and is more closely related to TBP than to TRF1. This suggested that TRF2 diverged from TBP and that TRF1 evolved later from TBP. Recently, two more distantly related TBP-related factors, TRF3 and TRF4, have been discovered forming a distinct fourth family of TBP-related factors in Drosophila. [B] Drosophila TRF1 and all TRF2s share a conserved bipartite core repeat with TBP that represents the DNA-binding domain in TBP (repeat arrow). Although this core domain is highly conserved throughout the TBP family, TRF2s differ significantly in size and sequence, particularly within their N-terminal and C-terminal domains, suggesting that these unique domains contribute to the functional diversity among TBP-related factors.
As expected, TRF1 can bind to canonical TATA boxes in addition to TC boxes (Crowley et al. 1993; Hansen et al. 1997; Holmes and Tjian 2000). Although this DNA-binding domain is highly conserved in TRF2s (50% identity) a detailed sequence comparison revealed that key amino acids crucial for the interaction of TBP with the minor groove of the TATA DNA template have diverged in TRF2s (Dantonel et al. 2000). This suggested that TRF2s might not recognize TATA boxes and may bind DNA with a completely different specificity. This is consistent with a majority of reports indicating that recombinant TRF2s failed to bind canonical TATA boxes (Moore et al. 1999; Rabenstein et al. 1999; Teichmann et al. 1999). Although the TRF2s may have a diverged DNA recognition domain, they have conserved binding motifs for TFIIA and TFIIIB and can interact with these two essential components of the PIC (Rabenstein et al. 1999; Teichmann et al. 1999) suggesting a possible role for TRF2s in RNA pol II transcription.

**TRF2s are required for embryonic development and differentiation**

The first clues concerning the biological function of TRF2s came from depletion studies in *C. elegans* and *Xenopus* using RNA interference (RNAi) or antisense oligonucleotide mediated turnover of TRF2 (Dantonel et al. 2000; Kaltenbach et al. 2000; Veenstra et al. 2000). The depletion of TRF2 in worms and frogs resulted in early embryonic developmental arrests. An analysis of gene expression patterns revealed that these arrested embryos failed to express certain differentiation markers and displayed a generalized decrease in Pol II transcription. Interestingly, depletion of TRF2 also caused the disregulation of developmental genes at very early stages of embryogenesis ([Dantonel et al. 2000]). These studies suggested that TRF2 may be required for transcription of some key developmental genes, whereas other genes are unaffected in TRF2–RNAi-treated embryos. Thus, TRF2, like TRF1, may be required to mediate transcription of only a limited subset of genes ([Kaltenbach et al. 2000]). Additional anti-sense studies in *Xenopus* and zebrafish revealed that there is a complementary requirement for TBP and TRF2 in directing expression of some genes. This finding strengthens the notion that TBP and TRF2 can function differentially to control transcription of specific gene sets in animal cells (Veenstra et al. 2000; Muller et al. 2001).

**Murine TRF2 is required for spermiogenesis**

Northern blot analysis had indicated that human TRF2 is differentially expressed in a tissue-specific fashion with the highest expression levels observed in testis ([Rabenstein et al. 1999]). To elucidate its potential biological function, TRF2/−/− knockout (KO) mice were generated by homologous recombination ([Martianov et al. 2001; Zhang et al. 2001]). TRF2-deficient mice are viable, however, mutant male mice are sterile because of a late arrest in spermiogenesis. This suggested that murine TRF2, unlike frog or fish TRF2, may not be required for embryonic development but is essential for spermiogenesis. Northern blot and RT-PCR analysis further confirmed that TRF2-deficient mice display an altered expression pattern of testis-specific genes involved in spermiogenesis consistent with the notion that TRF2 might be specifically involved in the transcriptional regulation of sperm formation ([Martianov et al. 2001; Zhang et al. 2001]).

The apparent testis-specific function of murine TRF2 is in stark contrast to the strong early embryonic phenotypes seen in *C. elegans, Xenopus*, and zebrafish. Although the members of the TRF2 family are closely related and share a highly conserved putative DNA-binding motif, they vary considerably in size and domain architecture ([Dantonel et al. 1999; Rabenstein et al. 1999, Fig. 3B]). Notably, the mammalian TRF2 is relatively small and consists largely of the bipartite core repeat, whereas TRF2 in *C. elegans* and *Drosophila* has extended and unique N-terminal and C-terminal domains ([Dantonel et al. 1999; Rabenstein et al. 1999]). This suggested that different species may have evolved specialized TRF2s to execute specific biological functions. In this context it is interesting to note that the vertebrate-specific N-terminal domain of TBP is required for placental development in mice ([Hobbs et al. 2002]). Despite these in vivo studies, the mechanisms by which TRF2s control transcription remained elusive, and specific TRF2 target genes were yet to be conclusively identified.

**TRF2 directs promoter-selective gene expression in Drosophila**

*Drosophila* TRF2, like TBP and TRF1, was shown to interact with the basal transcription factors TFIIA and TFIIIB. However, dTRF2 failed to bind to DNA containing canonical TATA boxes ([Rabenstein et al. 1999]). Interestingly, TRF2 is associated with loci on *Drosophila* chromosomes that are distinct from both TBP and TRF1 ([Rabenstein et al. 1999]). This suggested that TRF2 may direct promoter specificity and perhaps coordinately regulate a select subset of target genes. Size exclusion chromatography indicated that *Drosophila* TRF2 is likely part of a macromolecular complex. The diverged N-terminal and C-terminal domains of TRF2 also suggested that TRF2 may be associated with a novel set of proteins that are distinct from TBP- and TRF1-associated factors ([Goodrich and Tjian 1994; Hansen et al. 1997; Takada et al. 2000, Fig. 3B]). Antibody affinity purification ultimately led to the identification of *Drosophila* TRF2-containing complexes that possess components of the NURF chromatin remodeling complex, the DNA replication-related element (DRE)-binding factor DREF, and other proteins that might be involved in chromatin transactions ([Hochheimer et al. 2002, Fig. 2B]). The biochemical characterization of a TRF2-containing complex subsequently led to the identification of TRF2-specific promoters and revealed how TRF2 might operate to ex-
Tandem promoters expand metazoan gene networks

Interestingly, the promoter-selective properties of TRF1 and TRF2 revealed that both of these TBP-related factors execute transcriptional specificity. An important clue was the identification of DREF as a TRF2-associated factor. DREF had been previously reported to be the cognate binding factor of the promoter proximal DRE, a DNA element that is involved in the regulation of cell cycle and cell proliferation genes [e.g., PCNA and DNApol 180; Hirose et al. 1993]. Thus, TRF2 might cooperate with DREF to function as a metazoan promoter-selectivity factor.

A combination of in vitro and cell-based assays established that the DREF-containing TRF2 complex directs core promoter recognition of the proliferating cell nuclear antigen [PCNA] gene [Hochheimer et al. 2002]. In a manner reminiscent of the TRF1-responsive tandem promoters, the TRF2-responsive PCNA gene also uses two tandem core promoters, which are separated by 63 bp [Fig. 4]. The DREF/TRF2 complex selectively initiates transcription from Promoter 2, which harbors the DREF-binding site [DRE], whereas Promoter 1 is stimulated by TBP/TFIID [Hochheimer et al. 2002; Fig. 4]. Gene expression analysis identified several additional TRF2-responsive target genes involved in DNA replication and cell proliferation that contain promoter-proximal DRE elements [Hochheimer et al. 2002]. Thus, Promoter 2 of the PCNA gene may represent a class of promoters that harbor the DRE element. Notably, a computational analysis of core promoter elements in the Drosophila genome independently identified the DRE as one of the most conserved potential core promoter elements, together with well-established cis-controlling sequences such as the TATA box, Inr, and DPE [Ohler et al. 2002]. Furthermore, transcription profiling experiments combining fluorescence-activated cell-sorting [FACS] and serial analysis of gene expression [SAGE] suggested that the DRE is involved in the control of eye development, in particular at the transition from cell proliferation to terminal differentiation [Jasper et al. 2002]. Taken together, these data established that TRF2 can function as a core promoter-selectivity factor responsible for coordinately regulating transcription of a subset of genes in Drosophila.

Potential multiple functions of the TRF2-containing complexes

Drosophila TRF1 and TRF2 have been isolated as part of multisubunit complexes composed of various proteins that can modulate the functional specificity of these transcription initiation complexes [Hansen et al. 1997; Holmes and Tjian 2000; Takada et al. 2000; Hochheimer et al. 2002]. By contrast, mammalian TRF2 has thus far only been purified in a stable complex with TFIIA [Teichmann et al. 1999, Fig. 2A] and the mechanism by which mammalian TRF2 directs RNA pol II transcription is still unclear. In vitro transcription experiments using recombinant human TRF2 or an endogenous human TRF2–TFIIA complex revealed that hTRF2 cannot replace TBP or TFIIID in basal or activated transcription at various Pol II promoters [Teichmann et al. 1999]. However, addition of recombinant hTRF2 to in vitro transcription reactions inhibits TBP-dependent transcription and this repression can be alleviated by the addition of TFIIA. This suggested that the observed repression by hTRF2 may involve sequestering of TFIIA and/or other components of the basal transcription machinery [Moore et al. 1999; Teichmann et al. 1999]. It is thus likely that mammalian TRF2 requires additional uncharacterized factors to execute its transcriptional specificity. It is noteworthy in this context that the hu-
man genome encodes a TFIIA-like factor ALF (or TFIIA\(\tau\)) that is highly expressed in testis. Like TFIIA, ALF promotes TBP binding to DNA and can replace TFIIA in an in vitro transcription system (Upadhyaya et al. 1999, 2002; Ozer et al. 2000). However, a role for ALF in spermatogenesis has not been observed to date and there is little evidence that ALF can replace TFIIA to direct tissue-specific transcription.

**Tissue-specific TAFs and functionally specialized TFIID complexes**

The eukaryotic TFIID complex composed of the TBP and 12–15 TAF\(\text{II}\)s has emerged as one of the central components of a highly versatile transcription apparatus [Fig. 5, center]. This multifunctional transcription complex has thus far been shown to be responsible for core promoter recognition, coactivator function, catalysis of protein modification, and targeting to specifically acetylated nucleosomes. For example, TFIID functions to recognize the core promoter and to provide a “landing pad” upon which the other transcription factors and RNA pol II can assemble to form the PIC [Burley and Roeder 1996, Albright and Tjian 2000]. Individual TAF\(\text{II}\)s can recognize specific core promoter elements such as the Inr and the DPE in conjunction with TBP binding to the TATA element to position the PIC and define the start site of transcription [Albright and Tjian 2000; Butler and Kadowaga 2002, Fig. 5, center]. TAF\(\text{II}\)s also play an important role in transcriptional activation by providing various coactivator interfaces targeted by different activation domains of enhancer-binding transcription factors [Verrijzer and Tjian 1996]. Remarkably, recent studies have documented the ability of TAF1 [formerly TAF\(\text{II}\)250] bromodomains to specifically recognize and bind to diacetylated histone H3 and H4 N-terminal tails.

![Figure 5. Functionally specialized TFIID complexes govern tissue-specific transcription. The “standard” TFIID is composed of the TATA box-binding protein (TBP) and TBP-associated factors (TAF\(\text{II}\)s) and initiates transcription of many protein-encoding genes (center). TBP binds the TATA box and some TAF\(\text{II}\)s selectively recognize core promoter elements such as the Initiator (Inr) and the downstreampromoter element (DPE; center). Mouse TAF4b (mTAF\(\text{II}\)105) is specifically expressed in ovarian granulosa cells and is part of a functionally specialized “ovarian” TFIID complex regulating ovary-specific folliculogenesis genes (top). Drosophila cannonball (can) is a homolog of dmTAF5 (dTAF\(\text{II}\)80). Expression of cannonball is testis-specific in primary spermatocytes, whereas dmTAF5 is ubiquitously expressed. Cannonball is required for transcription of spermatid differentiation genes and might replace dmTAF5 in a testis-specific TFIID complex (bottom left). Drosophila encodes three additional testis-specific TAF\(\text{II}\) isoforms. Nohitter (nht; related to dmTAF4/dTAF\(\text{II}\)110), ryan express (rye; related to dmTAF12/dTAF\(\text{II}\)30a), and meiosis I arrest (mia; related to dmTAF6b/dTAF\(\text{II}\)60) could be part of a testis-specific TFIID-like transcription initiation complex composed of several testis-specific TAF isoforms (bottom right).](#)
Tissue-selective properties of mammalian TAF4b

The first cell type-specific subunit of TFIID, hsTAF4b (formerly called TAF₁β₁₀₅), was discovered in a highly differentiated human B cell line [Dikstein et al. 1996b]. Analysis of the primary amino acid sequence revealed that hsTAF4b is closely related to the more broadly expressed human hsTAF4 (formerly TAF₁β₁₃₀; Tanese et al. 1996) and its Drosophila homolog dmTAF4 (TAF₁β₁₁₀; Hoeij et al. 1993). Expression analysis revealed that a mouse homolog of TAF4b is also expressed in a tissue-specific gene regulation in metazoan organisms. Despite the large and still growing number of reports of TAF₁βs with a differential pattern of expression only a few variant TAF₁βs have been studied in detail to determine whether they are indeed involved in tissue-specific gene expression.

Cannonball is required for spermatogenesis in Drosophila

Although TAF4b was the first tissue-specific TAF to be identified, it now seems apparent that the diversification of specialized TAF₁βs may be more prevalent than originally anticipated. A systematic and elegant genetic study in Drosophila identified at least four testis-specific TAF isoforms. No hitter (nht) is related to dmTAF₄ (formerly dTAF₁β₁₁₀), cannonball (can) to dmTAF₅ (formerly dTAF₁β₈₀), ryan express (rye) to dmTAF₁₂ (formerly dTAF₁β₃₀a), and meiosis I arrest (mia) to dmTAF₆ (formerly dTAF₁β₆₀; Aoyagi and Wassarman 2000; Veenastra and Wolffe 2001). Expression of cannonball is stage- and tissue-specific and restricted to primary spermatocytes, whereas its prototypic counterpart dmTAF₅ is ubiquitously expressed (Hiller et al. 2001). Cannonball is required for expression of several spermatid differentiation genes (White-Cooper et al. 1998) and for germ cell development in male flies [Hiller et al. 2001]. In cannonball null mutants, the transcription of specific target genes is significantly reduced and, consequently, meiotic cell cycle progression is blocked, resulting in a failure of spermatid differentiation [Hiller et al. 2001]. These studies strongly suggest that cannonball is required in vivo for the transcription of stage- and tissue-specific target genes required for proper male fly gametogenesis.

It is currently unclear whether cannonball or any other of the testis-specific TAF isoforms interact with the prototypic TAF₁βs to form a functionally specialized TFIID complex that initiates transcription at a select subset of gametogenesis promoters [Fig. 5, bottom left]. It is striking, however, that Drosophila has at least four testis-specific isoforms of dTAF₁βs. It is thus tempting to speculate that Drosophila has not only evolved tissue-specific TAF₁βs but perhaps an entirely new functionally specialized TBP/TFID isomplex that could be composed largely of testis-specific components [Fig. 5, bottom right].

Mammalian TAF1 and TAF10 are required for cell cycle progression

Biochemical fractionation of mammalian cell extracts revealed distinct TFIID populations that differ in their
specific TAF<sub>n</sub> composition [Bell and Tora 1999]. Interestingly, hsTAF10 (formerly human TAF<sub>n</sub>30) was found to be present in only 50% of all TFIID complexes [Jacq et al. 1994]. Subsequent KO experiments in murine carcinoma cells revealed that cells lacking mouse TAF10 are blocked in the G<sub>1</sub>/G<sub>0</sub> phase of the cell cycle and undergo apoptosis [Metzger et al. 1999]. These findings suggested that TAF10 is required for the expression of a subset of genes important for cell cycle progression and viability in embryonal murine carcinoma cells; however, specific target genes of TAF10 remain elusive and it is unclear whether TAF10 truly serves as a tissue- or cell type-specific subunit of TFIID.

The findings regarding TAF10 are reminiscent of a temperature-sensitive mutation in TAF1 (formerly TAF<sub>n</sub>250) that also leads to cell cycle arrest and apoptosis [Talavera and Basilico 1977; Sekiguchi et al. 1995]. The expression of cell cycle regulation genes such as cyclins A, D1, D3, and cdc2 are compromised when ts13 cells bearing a mutant TAF1 are grown at the restrictive temperature [Wang and Tjian 1994; Suzuki-Yagawa et al. 1997; Wang et al. 1997; O’Brien and Tjian 1998, 2000]. Interestingly, the acetyl-transferase activity of TAF1 is also impaired at the restrictive temperature suggesting that this catalytic activity of TAF1 may be required for the proper expression of genes involved in the regulation of cell cycle and apoptosis [Dunphy et al. 2000].

Another potential example of TAF diversification involves alternatively spliced transcripts encoding three isoforms of hsTAF6 (formerly hTAF<sub>n</sub>70 or hTAF<sub>n</sub>60) designated hsTAF6a,b,c (Weinzierl et al. 1993). Human and Drosophila TAF6 directly interacts with the TFIID subunits TAF1 and TBP and recruits TAF9 into the TFIID complex (Weinzierl et al. 1993). Bell et al. [2001] identified a differentially spliced isoform of hsTAF6 (hsTAF6d) that is proteolytically cleaved upon apoptotic stimuli. Interestingly, overexpression of hsTAF6d, as well as hsTAF6a, induces cell death in HeLa cells and it appears that hsTAF6d is part of a TFIID-like complex lacking TAF6 and TAF9 (and other canonical TAFs) in which hsTAF6d displaces hsTAF9 [Bell et al. 2001]. This is consistent with the previous finding that depletion of TAF9 in chicken DT40 cells causes apoptosis [Chen and Manley 2000]. These findings raise the possibility that specific TAF isoforms may be generated by alternative splicing and post-translational modification in response to extracellular signaling events that could change the composition and presumably the activity of TFIID to regulate gene expression.

Revisiting mechanisms of promoter selectivity
In this review we have summarized evidence that metazoan organisms evolved functionally specialized transcription initiation complexes and promoter-selectivity modules that might serve as metazoan σ factors responsible for coordinating the transcription of functionally related gene sets [Gross et al. 1998; Losick 1998]. Two common themes have emerged from the studies described here. First, there are TFIID complexes containing cell type-specific isoforms of the canonical TAFs [i.e., the ovary-specific mTAF4b or the testis-specific dmTAF5 homolog cannonball] that function as cell type-specific counterparts of TFIID subunits [Fig. 5]. Second, the TBP-related factors TRF1 and TRF2 exhibit an alternate core promoter specificity that is modulated by distinct sets of associated proteins [i.e., TRF1/BRF or TRF2/DREF] that are structurally unrelated to the canonical TAF subunits of the prototypic core promoter recognition complexes [Fig. 2].

The discovery of TRF1 as the first cell type-specific homolog of a general transcription factor seemed like an oddity and was largely considered an exception to the rule. However, the discovery of a large and growing number of cell type-specific and tissue-specific isoforms of general transcription factors suggests that metazoan organisms exploit this variability to expand promoter selectivity and tissue-specific gene expression in a more general fashion than originally anticipated. Strikingly, both in flies and mammals, the majority of the tissue-specific isoforms of TAFs are involved in germ cell development. Likewise, at least mouse TRF2 also appears to be important for germ cell development. What is so special about germ cells? Why would germ cell development in particular require specialized transcription initiation complexes? A hallmark that distinguishes germ cells from other tissues is that they undergo meiosis and then develop into specialized haploid cells. Unlike most other cell types, germ cells form diploid zygotes that are by definition pluripotent. As a consequence, their cellular identity is not restricted to a given cell type dictated by a progenitor cell [e.g., a muscle cell after cell division will remain a muscle cell]. Instead, germ cells are reprogrammed to differentiate into many cell types. Another potential unique aspect of germ cells is the stability of their genome and the importance of avoiding errors during replication and transcription. Perhaps specialized mechanisms to monitor genome stability and ensure transcriptional fidelity require a more elaborate and robust gene expression apparatus. Indeed, it has been observed that ovaries and testis express high levels of many different classes of transcription factors representing a considerably broader range than is typically expressed in differentiated somatic cells. It is thus conceivable that the high fidelity reprogramming that occurs in germ cells involves cell-specific, functionally specialized transcription initiation complexes that enable germ cells to accurately activate many different gene networks required for proper embryonic development.

The evolution of TBP-related factors also adds another layer of complexity to the regulatory mechanisms that govern gene expression in metazoans. For example, the modular composition of the Drosophila TRF2 complex combines promoter-selective components [DREF] with what appear to be potential chromatin remodeling factors [i.e., ISWI, NURF-55, NURF-38; Hochheimer et al. 2002, Fig. 4]. The remarkable association between transcription factors and chromatin remodeling activities opens up the possibility for some novel mechanisms. For instance, DREF was recently reported to bind the scs’
chromatin boundary element and evidence was presented that DREF competes with the boundary element-binding factor BEAF for occupancy at overlapping sites in vivo [Hart et al. 1999]. Intriguingly, several of the TRF2-associated factors contain multiple Zinc finger motifs that resemble proteins implicated in silencing and Insulator function [Hochheimer et al. 2002]. This leads us to hypothesize that the DREF-containing TRF2 complex may also participate in regulating Insulator activity.

Remarkably, BEAF and DREF can compete for occupancy at overlapping binding sites in vitro, and Drosophila salivary gland polytene chromosome staining revealed that BEAF and DREF bind in a mutually exclusive manner at select genomic sites [Hart et al. 1999]. While DREF dissociates from the chromosomes in metaphase, BEAF remains associated throughout mitosis and might therefore serve as an epigenetic marker to re-establish chromatin boundaries after mitosis. These results suggest an antagonistic interplay between BEAF and DREF and are consistent with the idea that DREF may play some role in countering Insulator activity. It is thus likely that the recruitment of a chromatin-modifying activity residing in the DREF-containing TRF2 complex could create transcriptionally competent chromatin by countering the repressive effects of transcriptionally inactive heterochromatin.

With a wide range of functional approaches now available complemented by rigorous in vitro biochemical studies it should be possible to divide this complex transcription machinery into a manageable number of functional modules. A detailed analysis of individual components within these modules should enable us to untangle this network of multisubunit regulators and help dissect the mechanisms employed by metazoan organisms to govern the coordinate control of transcription during growth, differentiation, and development.
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