Polymer foil windows for gas-vacuum separation in accelerator applications
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Various applications in modern particle accelerators or experiments involving high energy particle beams require a gas atmosphere or involve the production of big amounts of residual gas. Among others these are e.g. gas cells for plasma acceleration, gas jet targets or plasma lenses. As high beam quality and stable operation of RF-accelerator cavities demand for ultra high vacuum conditions, a separation between high pressure and UHV beamline sections is needed. Commonly this is realised by differential pumping or thin windows, the main advantages of the latter being simple and compact setup. Nevertheless the interaction between the window and the beam particles reduces the beam quality via scattering. In this publication low scattering, low permeability Polymer foils, that can withstand pressure differences up to 1 bar, are investigated as electron beam windows. Measurements, analytical considerations and simulations on the gas permeation, radiation and UV resistivity as well as electron beam scattering are presented.

I. INTRODUCTION

Various experiments employing high energy particle beams also involve gas cells or gas targets. Among these are e.g. measurements of beam/plasma-interaction properties, gas target and plasma-based particle acceleration like laser wakefield acceleration (LWFA) and beam-driven plasma wakefield acceleration (PWFA). Especially the latter have lead to an increased demand for integration of 1-100 mbar gas cells into high brightness accelerators. As such accelerators are operated in ultra-high vacuum (UHV) conditions to preserve beam quality and to prevent arcing in the high gradient, conventional acceleration cavities, these gas cells pose new challenges on the beamline and vacuum designs.

The common solutions, well known from e.g. gas-strippers for heavy ion beams, are differential pumping systems, beryllium windows and plasma windows, all of them having individual drawbacks: differential pumping systems require multiple pumping stages and are therefore expensive in terms of money and beamline space. Beryllium windows scatter beam particles due to their high target density and therefore degrade beam quality. Furthermore handling of the poisonous and carcinogenic material, especially in case of window breaking, is a major safety issue. Plasma windows require high electrical input power and cooling, have a limited lifetime due to electrode erosion and cannot reach high vacuum conditions. Thus, they can only be part of a combination with a differential pumping system, therefore also sharing its drawbacks.

In this publication we propose polymer foil UHV windows with low scattering cross sections, to overcome the safety problems of beryllium windows, while maintaining simple and compact setup. Gas permeation measurements, maximum pressure tests, simulated and measured scattering angles as well as some considerations and measurements on radiation resistance are presented. While our focus is on the demands of PWFA applications, especially for the case of PWFA experiments at the Photo Injector Test Facility at DESY, Zeuthen site (PITZ), the windows are suitable for any setup with similar constraints.

II. PITZ ELECTRON BEAM WINDOW DEMANDS

Since the main function of the foil windows is the separation of the plasma cell atmosphere from the UHV accelerator environment, the gas current $\dot{Q}$ through the windows is the crucial parameter. The gas current is given by

$$\dot{Q} = \frac{K_p \cdot A}{d} \cdot \Delta p$$

with $K_p$ the permeation constant, $A$ the effective area of the window, $d$ the foil thickness and $\Delta p$ the pressure difference across the window. The gas permeation through the windows should satisfy two conditions:

- the pressure inside the plasma cell should not significantly change during operation,
- the gas current through the windows has to be so low that the vacuum pumps on the UHV side can keep the pressure below the operation threshold. For the PITZ beamline the leak rate should not exceed $1 \times 10^{-6}$ mbar L s$^{-1}$, otherwise compromising the operational stability of the booster cavity.

According to Eq. (1) there are several parameters which could be adjusted to keep the gas current below the allowed value. The window area should be as small as possible. This is restricted by the electron beam which has to pass through without being disturbed, e.g. by wakefields generated in the metallic window holders. For the PITZ case a diameter of 5 mm – 10 mm was found to be sufficient. $\Delta p$ is fixed by the plasma cell properties. In view of gas permeation and tear strength (i.e. mechanical stability) the foil should be as thick as possible. Nevertheless, the thickness is restricted by the scattering of the $\sim 23$ MeV/c electron beam (see Sec. IV). The last
III. FOIL PERMEABILITY MEASUREMENTS

The setup used to measure the permeability of the different foils is shown in Fig. 1. The foil separates a chamber filled with helium from one with high vacuum connected to a leak tester. The higher the permeability of the foil, the greater the signal of the leak tester. Helium is used for these measurements, due to several major advantages compared to other gases:

- fast permeation through the foils
- easy detection
- low He-content in residual gas.

In addition to the signal of the leak tester, the pressure on the high vacuum side of the foil was measured. The thin and delicate foils were glued onto the surface of stainless steel spacer flanges with central holes of diameters between 5 mm and 10 mm, using dedicated vacuum glue (Torr Seal, Agilent Technologies) as shown in Fig. 2. The upper right remnants of the vacuum resin can be seen in grey. The edge of the beam aperture is visible in the creases of the foil, which are flattened out when a one-sided pressure above ca. 5 mbar is applied. The view is on the non-metalised side of the glued foil, whereas the metalisation is visible through the thin, nearly transparent PET. In the shown picture the foil is backlighted with a bright, white lamp and the white dots in the centre of the foil are caused by defects in the metalisation. These defects were apparent on every inspected foil but do not seem to influence the gas permeation rate significantly.

The flange with the foil is mounted between the two chambers of the test setup using copper gaskets. The whole setup is pumped to high vacuum conditions using the membrane and turbomolecular pump of the leak tester. When a stable pressure and background signal are reached, the chambers are separated by closing the bypass valve. One side is pressurised with helium through the gas inlet to a certain pressure $p_1$ (typically 160 mbar), using a dosing valve. After the pressure in the high vacuum part $p_2$ and the gas current $\dot{Q}$, monitored by the leak tester, have stabilized, both are measured to calculate the permeation constant $K$ of the foil according to Eq. (1). Different types and thicknesses of foils with different chemical composition and radiation lengths $X_0$ were tested (several samples of each):

- Kapton, 8 µm $[(C_{22}H_{10}N_{2}O_{5})_n, X_0 = 28.58 \text{ cm}]$
- Mylar, 2 µm $[(C_{10}H_8O_4)_n, X_0 = 28.54 \text{ cm}]$
- PET coated with aluminium, 0.9 µm $[(C_{10}H_8O_4)_n, X_0 = 28.54 \text{ cm}]$
- PET coated with aluminium (capacitor foil), 0.9 µm, 1.9 µm, 4 µm $[(C_{10}H_8O_4)_n, X_0 = 28.54 \text{ cm}]$.

The aluminium coating has a thickness of (70-90) nm. It is applied to seal microscopic defects in the polymer foils, act as a protection layer against e.g. UV irradiation and to improve mechanical stability. The results of the permeation measurements are shown in Fig. 3. Whereas other foils show varying permeation constants, the capacitor foils where found to consistently provide low permeation gas windows except one sample, which was addressed to defective gluing. Also, the permeation constant agrees for foils of all thicknesses. This suggests that the sealing is still mainly provided by the polymer foil itself, which is consistent with the small defects found in the metalisation, as described above.

Every foil is tested before being used in experiment. The testing pressure of 160 mbar is chosen much higher than the operation pressure (typically several mbar). Several foils were
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**FIG. 3.** Number of foils measured with different permeation constants for several kinds of tested foils.

also tested for breakdown strengths up to 1.1 bar. Whereas the Mylar foils usually broke down at several 100 mbar, the thicker Kapton foils and the capacitor foil windows withstood even the full test pressure. Nevertheless, significant increase in gas permeation constants was measured for foils tested at such high pressures, which implies microscopic damaging.

**IV. ELECTRON BEAM SCATTERING**

For PWFA experiments at PITZ, the maximum tolerable electron beam scattering introduced by the entrance electron beam window was simulated to be 0.2 mrad with the goal to reach the target transverse root-mean-square (RMS) electron beam size of 50 µm at the beginning of the plasma channel. Thin polymer foils have long radiation lengths and are mechanically sturdy, which makes them appealing as electron beam windows.

The scattering of electrons in thin films has been investigated in various theoretical and experimental studies. Nevertheless further investigation was necessary for the case in consideration here since all above-mentioned studies were investigating thin metal foils and typical scattering angles in the range of a few degrees or larger. We consider scattering of electrons under very small angles in the sub-mrad range. In this regime the assumption of multiple scattering breaks down, so that the theories developed for that realm are not valid anymore. To estimate the electron beam scattering on polymer foils, different approaches were taken:

- An analytical estimation using the multiple Coulomb scattering theory:

\[
\Theta_{\text{RMS}} = \arctan \left( \frac{\sigma_f - \sigma_0}{L} \right),
\]

where \(\Theta_{\text{rms}}\) is the scattering angle, \(\sigma_0\) is the RMS size of the electron beam on the observation screen without the foil inserted, \(\sigma_f\) is the RMS size of the electron beam with the foil inserted, and \(L\) is the distance between the foil and the observation screen.

- Scattering simulation with the FLUKA code assuming a transverse Gaussian particle distribution and non-divergent initial electron beams with momentum of 23 MeV/c. A single scattering model was enabled in the simulation, as the default multi-scattering model is not valid for very thin foils, where the number of elementary scatterings per simulation step is less than 20-30.

- Measurements of electron scattering in the PITZ beamline. The following setup was used: the foil specimen was mounted on a screen station actuator; the RMS beam sizes without and with foils inserted were recorded on a screen downstream of the foil to find the divergence. The scattering angle was calculated as:
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A. Beam heating & X-ray radiation

When an electron beam passes the window foils it deposits energy due to scattering processes. The heat is then transported from the point of beam energy deposition by radiative and conductive heat transport. Conductive heat transport was calculated to be several orders of magnitude smaller for the case of µm-thick polymer foils under consideration here. Radiative heat transport follows the Planck’s law

\[ P_{rad} = \sigma AT^4 \]  

where \( P_{rad} \) is the radiated power, \( \sigma \) is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant, \( A \) the radiating area and \( T \) the temperature of the radiator. We define the equilibrium power balance for the heated part of the foil as

\[ 2 \times P_{rad} = P_{dep} + 2 \times P_{0} \]  

where \( P_{dep} \) is the power deposited by a 1 nC, 23 MeV/c electron beam at 10 Hz repetition rate and \( P_{0} \) is the power that balances the foil radiative equilibrium at room temperature \( T_0 = 293 \) K. It corresponds to the radiative power input from the surrounding surfaces. The factor of 2 represents the radiation of power in two directions from front- and backside of the foil (corresponding to a radiating area of 2A). \( P_{dep} \) was simulated to be 100 µW. Assuming a transverse Gaussian electron beam with an RMS spot size of 0.2 mm the maximum of the equilibrium temperature on the foil was calculated to be 51 °C. Taking into account the pulsed energy deposition by the electron bunches the maximum transient temperature is 65 °C, which is in the range of the glass transition temperature of PET. Interpreting the one-sided metalisation as a barrier for the radiated power, the maximum transient temperature could reach up to 89 °C.

Figure 4 shows a 1.9 µm thick, one-sided metalised PET foil that was exposed to an estimated 2 mC± 0.5 mC of electron beam charge at the upstream end of a PWFA plasma cell during experiments. Four spots are visible on the foil surface, which show tempering color and slight bulging. They are assumed to result from heating due to beam passage. Different spots correspond to different beam optics settings during experiment. The surface around the spots does not show the rough structure of the surrounding foil surface. This could result from melting, glass transition or evaporation of polymer in this area. A slight increase of gas permeation was detected after the experiment using a residual gas analyser, barely measurable in the 10⁻⁹ mbar pressure of the beamline vacuum. The downstream foil window shows much weaker spots, which is in agreement with the bigger spot size of the electron beam at this position.

Foil heating and radiation hardness was also tested with different types of foils in dedicated stress tests with integrated beam charges up to 7 mC. A correlation between gas permeation and beam current was found during these tests. Whereas uncoated foils were degraded in mechanical stability after exposure to electron beam and X-ray radiation of the accelerator, this did not occur with metalised foil windows.

B. UV-radiation

The UV-hardness of the polymer foils was tested in several experiments. A 4 µm - Mylar foil window was exposed to the light of a high pressure mercury-vapour lamp with ~ 1 mW UV output power. An increase of gas permeation by two orders of magnitude was observed during the first 24 h of continuous irradiation. After this initial degradation no further change in gas permeation was observed, which would still have been acceptable for the vacuum requirements of PITZ. Metalised PET foils of several thicknesses were mounted to a

\[ \text{Foil thickness [µm]} \]

| Foil Type          | Analytical estimation | Experiment | PET+Al coating (FLUKA) | Be (FLUKA) | Maximum acceptable scattering |
|--------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|
| Kapton (FLUKA)     |                       |            |                        |            |                               |
| PET+Al coating (FLUKA) |                   |            |                        |            |                               |
| Be (FLUKA)         |                       |            |                        |            |                               |

FIG. 4. Electron beam scattering at polymer foils. The analytical estimation and FLUKA simulations were performed for Kapton and an electron beam momentum of 23 MeV/c. Experiments were conducted with the following foil types: 50 µm - Kapton, 6 µm - 1.9 µm - Mylar, 0.9 µm - PET coated with 37.5 nm Al on both sides. The scattering value for the last foil specimen was simulated separately. The black down triangle shows the simulated scattering on a vacuum tight beryllium foil with commercially available thickness. The green dashed line shows the maximum acceptable scattering for the experiment of (0.2 mrad).

V. POLYMER FOIL WINDOW RADIATION HARDNESS

In a PWFA application several types of radiation occur, which could degrade the foil window and compromise its gas-vacuum separation capabilities. These radiation types are

- high energy electrons (can cause local heating)
- X-ray radiation from dark current of the accelerator (can lead to polymer decomposition)
- UV-radiation from the plasma (can lead to polymer decomposition).

To quantify the influence of these on the applicability of the polymer foil windows different calculations and experiments have been conducted.

A. Beam heating & X-ray radiation

B. UV-radiation

The UV-hardness of the polymer foils was tested in several experiments. A 4 µm - Mylar foil window was exposed to the light of a high pressure mercury-vapour lamp with ~ 1 mW UV output power. An increase of gas permeation by two orders of magnitude was observed during the first 24 h of continuous irradiation. After this initial degradation no further change in gas permeation was observed, which would still have been acceptable for the vacuum requirements of PITZ. Metalised PET foils of several thicknesses were mounted to a
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where \( P_{dep} \) is the power deposited by a 1 nC, 23 MeV/c electron beam at 10 Hz repetition rate and \( P_{0} \) is the power that balances the foil radiative equilibrium at room temperature \( T_0 = 293 \) K. It corresponds to the radiative power input from the surrounding surfaces. The factor of 2 represents the radiation of power in two directions from front- and backside of the foil (corresponding to a radiating area of 2A). \( P_{dep} \) was simulated to be 100 µW. Assuming a transverse Gaussian electron beam with an RMS spot size of 0.2 mm the maximum of the equilibrium temperature on the foil was calculated to be 51 °C. Taking into account the pulsed energy deposition by the electron bunches the maximum transient temperature is 65 °C, which is in the range of the glass transition temperature of PET. Interpreting the one-sided metalisation as a barrier for the radiated power, the maximum transient temperature could reach up to 89 °C.

Figure 4 shows a 1.9 µm thick, one-sided metalised PET foil that was exposed to an estimated 2 mC± 0.5 mC of electron beam charge at the upstream end of a PWFA plasma cell during experiments. Four spots are visible on the foil surface, which show tempering color and slight bulging. They are assumed to result from heating due to beam passage. Different spots correspond to different beam optics settings during experiment. The surface around the spots does not show the rough structure of the surrounding foil surface. This could result from melting, glass transition or evaporation of polymer in this area. A slight increase of gas permeation was detected after the experiment using a residual gas analyser, barely measurable in the 10⁻⁹ mbar pressure of the beamline vacuum. The downstream foil window shows much weaker spots, which is in agreement with the bigger spot size of the electron beam at this position.

Foil heating and radiation hardness was also tested with different types of foils in dedicated stress tests with integrated beam charges up to 7 mC. A correlation between gas permeation and beam current was found during these tests. Whereas uncoated foils were degraded in mechanical stability after exposure to electron beam and X-ray radiation of the accelerator, this did not occur with metalised foil windows.

B. UV-radiation

The UV-hardness of the polymer foils was tested in several experiments. A 4 µm - Mylar foil window was exposed to the light of a high pressure mercury-vapour lamp with ~ 1 mW UV output power. An increase of gas permeation by two orders of magnitude was observed during the first 24 h of continuous irradiation. After this initial degradation no further change in gas permeation was observed, which would still have been acceptable for the vacuum requirements of PITZ. Metalised PET foils of several thicknesses were mounted to a
In summary, we presented µm-thick polymer foils as beam windows and gas-vacuum separation in accelerator applications. Metalised PET foils of 4 µm down to < 1 µm thicknesses were measured to provide gas permeation constants of $10^{-14}$ m$^3$/s and breakdown pressures of > 1.1 bar. Beam particle scattering was simulated, measured, and proven to be acceptable for PWFA experiments. Degradation of the foils due to exposure to typical types of radiation in accelerator applications (UV, X-rays, electrons) was investigated. Negative effects, mainly by heating of the foil due to scattering of focused particle beams, were shown to be acceptable for the given vacuum requirements for long periods of experiments ($2\pm0.5$ mC of integrated electron beam charge, > 60 h of UV & X-ray exposure) which was partially achieved by using metalised foils. Further extension of the window life-time at lowest gas permeation should be possible by changing the position of beam passage on the foil regularly during experiments. The presented foil windows mounted on standard vacuum flanges or vacuum valves provide a compact and cost-efficient solution for the contradictory requirements of ultra-high vacuum conditions in accelerators and particle beam experiments involving gas atmospheres of up to several 100 mbar. Widely used beryllium windows are easily outperformed in terms of safety, handling, costs and beam scattering.
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