What is the degree of segregation between striatonigral and striatopallidal projections?
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In contrast to most other brain regions, in the striatum the output neurons (the medium-sized spiny neurons, MSNs) are GABAergic and act by inhibiting their targets. The standard model of the basal ganglia is built on the segregation of information processing in the direct and indirect pathways, which act in opposing directions to control movement. The MSNs participating in these two pathways can be identified according to their projection sites and the proteins they express. The differential expression of two of the five known dopamine receptor subtypes, D1 and D2, in the two populations of MSNs is of particular importance, since it confers to dopamine the ability to exert opposite functional modulation on the direct and indirect pathways. However, beyond this simple view of the striatal output organization, anatomical studies questioned the segregation of direct and indirect projections to the SNr, while other studies disclosed variable degrees of overlapping expression of dopamine receptor subtypes in striatal MSNs. New ways to address these issues have emerged recently, using mouse models in which specific populations of striatal neurons are genetically tagged. Here, we review classical and recent studies supporting the segregation of striatonigral and striatopallidal neurons. We also consider this issue at a functional level by focusing on the regulation of striatal signaling pathways in the two populations of MSNs, which clearly emphasize their profound differences. We discuss the anatomical and functional evidence challenging some of these aspects of segregation and outline questions that are still to be addressed.
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THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN THE TWO EFFERENT PATHWAYS OF THE STRIATUM

The basal ganglia consist of several interconnected subcortical nuclei involved in adaptive control of behavior through interactions with sensorimotor, motivational, and cognitive brain areas. The striatum is the primary input nucleus of the basal ganglia circuits and is essential for the initiation and selection of actions, as well as for the learning of habits and skills (Graybiel et al., 1994; Mink, 1996; Nicola, 2007). Its ventral extension, the nucleus accumbens (NAc), is involved in motivation and reward (see Belin et al., 2009 for a recent review). Despite the existence of different functional territories, the striatal tissue appears homogeneous and is characterized by the absence of intrinsic glutamatergic neurons. Indeed, most of the striatal neurons (~95% in rodents) are GABAergic medium-sized spiny neurons (MSNs), which coexist with GABAergic interneurons and large aspiny cholinergic interneurons (comprising altogether ~5% of the striatal neurons) (Kawaguchi, 1997; Bolam et al., 2000; Tepper and Bolam, 2004). Although they appear as a fairly uniform neuronal population, MSNs can be distinguished according to their projection targets and the selective expression of different neuropeptides and receptors. MSNs projecting monosynaptically to the medial globus pallidus (MGP) and the substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNr) form the “direct” striatonigral pathway, whereas those projecting to the LGP participate in the “indirect” pathway (Penney and Young, 1983; Alexander and Crutcher, 1990). In this latter case, the SNr is reached through successive synaptic relays in the LGP (Parent et al., 1984) and the subthalamic nucleus (Kanazawa et al., 1977; Hammond et al., 1978). Various markers were identified in one or the other of these groups of neurons. Striatonigral neurons are selectively enriched in substance P and dynorphin whereas striatopallidal neurons contain enkephalin (Chesselet and Graybiel, 1983; Beckstead and Kersey, 1985). It was also clear that dopamine (DA) D1 receptors (D1R) and D2 receptors (D2R) were preferentially distributed in striatogniral and striatopallidal neurons, respectively (Beckstead, 1988; Gerfen et al., 1990). In addition, M4 muscarinic acetylcholine receptors were enriched in striatonigral neurons (Harrison et al., 1996) and A2a adenosine (A2aR) receptors in striatopallidal neurons (Schiffmann et al., 1991; Fink et al., 1992). It was later suggested that these two populations of neurons received different types of cortical inputs (Lei et al., 2004). Thus, to a large extent a dichotomy between the striatonigral and striatopallidal neurons was clearly apparent and played a major heuristic role in the understanding of basal ganglia dysfunction (Gerfen, 1992). Another fascinating level of heterogeneity of the striatum is the existence of two compartments, the striosomes or patches, and the matrix, which have specific cortical inputs and different anatomical connections (Graybiel and Ragsdale, 1978; Gerfen, 1984), although their functional role is still unclear. Remarkably, the degree of segregation of the two populations of MSNs has been continuously questioned. The variable degree of overlap between the expression.
of D1R and D2R in the MSNs reported in the literature is a good example of this controversy. The anatomo-functional organization of striatal projections is a critical question, since it underlies the computing capabilities of the basal ganglia circuits and should be taken into account in any model of basal ganglia function. Here, we review classical and current neuroanatomical and functional studies in favor of the selective distribution of D1R and D2R in striatonigral and striatopallidal MSNs, respectively. We describe how the regulation of striatal signaling pathways supports a striking functional segregation between the two populations of projection neurons. We also discuss the evidence challenging some aspects of this segregation.

**IS THE SEGREGATION BETWEEN STRIATONIGRAL AND STRIATOPALLIDAL MSNs MATCHED BY THE EXPRESSION OF Dopamine RECEPTORS?**

The striatum is strongly modulated by dopaminergic afferences arising from the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNC) and the ventral tegmental area (VTA). Dorsett et al., 1979). Within the striatum, the postsynaptic effects of dopamine (DA) are mediated by D1 and D2 types of DA receptors (D1R and D2R) (Kebabian and Calne, 1979; Stoff and Kebabian, 1984). The other DA receptors are less abundant in the striatum: the D3 receptor is mostly expressed in the ventral striatum (Diaz et al., 1995), the D5 receptor expression is low and widespread (Riversa et al., 2002), and the D4 receptor expression is not detected (Noain et al., 2006). The hypothesis of a segregated expression of D1R and D2R in the distinct populations of striatal projection neurons was initially suggested by indirect evidence (Beckstead, 1988) and subsequently shown by retrograde tracing (Gerfen et al., 1990). Moreover, the loss of DA innervation caused by 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA) increased the expression of mRNAs encoding the D2R and enkephalin selectively in striatopallidal MSNs, whereas mRNAs encoding the D1R and substance P were only decreased in striatonigral MSNs (Gerfen et al., 1990). These results were supported by several anatomical studies using in situ hybridization (ISH) combined with retrograde axonal tracing or double ISH, in which the authors confirmed that D1Rs were expressed in substance P-positive striatonigral MSNs, while D2Rs were enriched in enkephalin-expressing striatopallidal neurons, with a small percentage of neurons co-expressing both receptors (Gerfen et al., 1990; Le Moine et al., 1991; Le Moine and Bloch, 1995). Studies using retrograderly transported toxins also confirmed the preferential expression of D1R in striatonigral neurons (Harrison et al., 1990; Hervé et al., 1993). A functional dichotomy between striatonigral and striatopallidal neurons was highlighted by studies of immediate early genes (IEGs) induction following pharmacological stimulation or blockade of D1Rs and D2Rs. D2R antagonists induced a rapid expression of a variety of genes selectively in striatopallidal neurons (Dragunow et al., 1990; Robertson et al., 1992), whereas administration of D1R or indirect DA receptor agonists such as cocaine or amphetamine preferentially induced IEGs in striatonigral MSNs (Cenci et al., 1992; Cole et al., 1992; Robertson et al., 1992; Steiner and Gerfen, 1993). All these studies largely validated the opposition between the striatonigral and striatopallidal pathways. This model was later supported by electrophysiological evidence (reviewed in Surmeier et al., 2007). Depending on the nature of synaptic glutamate release (sustained vs. uncoordinated or transient), stimulation of D1Rs led to a different effect, an increase or a reduction, in the responsiveness of striatonigral MSNs, respectively. On the other hand, stimulation of D2Rs reduced striatopallidal MSNs excitability and their response to glutamatergic synaptic input. However, at the same time as the evidence for the segregation of the striatopallidal and striatonigral pathways was accumulating, other results argued against this hypothesis (see Anatomical Evidence Against the Segregation Between the Striatonigral and Striatopallidal Projections and Segregated D1R and D2R Expression in Striatonigral and Striatopallidal Neurons: Still Some Open Issues, below for discussion of this evidence).

**BAC TRANSGENIC MICE FACILITATE THE IDENTIFICATION OF DISTINCT POPULATIONS OF MSNs**

The recent development of bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) transgenic mice provides the possibility to genetically tag various populations of striatal neurons (Gong et al., 2003; Heintz et al., 2006). These new mouse lines, in which the enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) is driven by D1R or D2R promoters, provide an easy way to label neurons which express high levels of D1R or D2R (reviewed in Valjent et al., 2009). In mice carrying BAC drd1a-EGFP or drd1a-dTomato (a red fluorescent protein), striatonigral MSNs and their axonal projections to the MGP and SNr are labeled, whereas striatopallidal MSNs projecting exclusively to the LGP are stained in BAC drd2-EGFP mice (Gong et al., 2003; Lobo et al., 2006; Bertran-Gonzalez et al., 2008; Shuen et al., 2008; Matamales et al., 2009). The same type of approach has been applied to other proteins, including BAC-driven expression of Cre, which targets the recombinase to specific neuronal subtypes (Gong et al., 2007). The specific expression of Cre allows targeted deletion or expression of genes of interest in specific striatal neuronal populations (Monory et al., 2007; Durieux et al., 2009; Schaefer et al., 2009; Bateup et al., 2011). It should be noted that some differences may arise between populations of cells labeled with BAC-driven fluorescent proteins in the adult and those in which labeling results from Cre action occurring earlier in development. Careful comparison of the labeling patterns will be necessary to determine whether such differences can be an issue in the striatum.

Observations in BAC transgenic mice confirmed the simple model of striatal output organization initially proposed. At the striatal level, co-labeling with selective neuronal markers showed that in drd1a-EGFP mice fluorescence was detected in approximately half of the MSNs, whereas in drd2-EGFP mice approximately another half was detected, as well as large aspiny cholinergic interneurons (Bertran-Gonzalez et al., 2008; Matamales et al., 2009). Moreover, in drd1a-EGFP or drd2-EGFP mice, fluorescence was not detected in GABAergic interneurons, identified using parvalbumin, somatostatin, and calretinin antibodies (Bertran-Gonzalez et al., 2008). Future studies should precisely confirm whether the expression of dynorphin/substance P and enkephalin is also restricted to EGFP labeled neurons in drd1a-EGFP and drd2-EGFP mice, respectively. Although the levels of expression of EGFP can vary from one line to the other, these mice allowed an evaluation of the proportions of neurons expressing D1R, D2R, or both. Whereas only a small
A proportion of MSNs was calculated to co-express both receptors in the dorsal striatum and the NAc core (~5–6%), D1R and D2R co-expressing neurons were predicted to be more abundant in the shell of the NAc (~17%) (Bertran-Gonzalez et al., 2008). However, direct measurement of the number of neurons that co-express the two types of receptors still needs to be carried out, in conditions in which all the neurons are detected in the same animal. At any rate, BAC transgenic mice are convenient tools for easily identifying striatonigral and striatopallidal MSNs and evaluating the functional, cellular, and molecular differences between the two subpopulations (Figure 1). BAC transgenic mice have already been extensively used to characterize the distinct physiological properties of striatonigral and striatopallidal MSNs, as well as the precise mechanisms involved in their plasticity (Kreitzer and Malenka, 2007; Cepeda et al., 2008; Day et al., 2008; Gertler et al., 2008; Shen et al., 2008; Taverna et al., 2008) (see Figure 1).

Recent studies using advanced techniques such as fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) of MSNs or translating ribosome affinity purification approach (TRAP), allowed the identification and characterization of a new set of differentially expressed genes in D1R- and D2R-expressing MSNs (Lobo et al., 2006; Heiman et al., 2008). Indeed, using FACS in ddit1a- and ddit2-EGFP mice, Lobo et al. (2007) identified the sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) receptor Gpr6, an important striatopallidal regulator of instrumental conditioning. The transcription factor early B-cell factor 1 (Ebf1) identified with the same method has been shown to play a role in the development of the striatal regions.

**Figure 1** Summary of major differences between D1R-MSNs and D2R-MSNs based on data from BAC transgenic mice. Electrophysiological properties: D1R-MSNs appear less excitable than D2R-MSNs probably due to the different number of primary dendrites and/or differences in presynaptic input (Kreitzer and Malenka, 2007; Cepeda et al., 2008; Gertler et al., 2008). D2R-MSNs show stronger GABAA receptor-mediated synaptic responses and tonic currents than D1R-MSNs (Ade et al., 2008; Taverna et al., 2008; Janssen et al., 2009). Single back-propagating action potentials invade more distal dendritic regions in D2R- than in D1R-MSNs, a difference involving voltage-dependent Na+ channels and Kv4 K+ channels (Day et al., 2008). Corticostriatal synapses: High-frequency stimulation-induced CB1R-mediated LTD is exclusively observed in D2R-MSNs and requires stimulation of D2Rs (Kreitzer and Malenka, 2007). D2R present in cholinergic interneurons also appear important for LTD in both D1R- and D2R-MSNs, involving M1R and mGluR1 receptors (Wang et al., 2006). NMDAR-induced LTP is increased by D1R in D1R-MSNs and by A2aR in D2R-MSNs (Shen et al., 2008). Axonal projections: extrastriatal projections of D2R-MSNs are mainly detected in the LGP, whereas D1R-MSNs provide fibers to the SNr, MGP, and, possibly, some to the LGP (Gong et al., 2003; Bertran-Gonzalez et al., 2008; Matamales et al., 2009). Unidirectional intrastriatal projections (D1R-D1R and D2R-D2R) are the most common, and D2R-D1R collaterals are more abundant than D1R-D2R (Taverna et al., 2008). Intracellular signaling: see BAC Transgenic Mice Facilitate the Identification of Distinct Populations of MSNs in the text. 6-OHDA, 6-hydroxydopamine; A2aR, adenosine 2a receptor; APBP, action potential back propagation; D1R, D1 receptor; D2R, D2 receptor; CB1R, type 1 cannabinoid receptor; LGP, lateral globus pallidus; LTD, long-term depression; LTP, long-term potentiation; M1R, muscarinic acetylcholine receptor 1; mGluR1, metabotropic glutamate receptor 1; MGP, medial globus pallidus; SNr, substantia nigra pars reticulata.

| Corticostriatal synapses | D1R-MSN | D2R-MSN |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| Less presynaptic activation | LTE: mGluR1, M1R | LTE: mGluR1, M1R, CB1R, D2R |
| P-Thr34 DARPP-32 | P-Thr75 DARPP-32 |
| P-MSK1 | P-Thr75 DARPP-32 |
| P-histone H3 | P-Thr75 DARPP-32 |
| IEG induction (c-fos, zif268) | IEG induction (c-fos, zif268) |
| 6-OHDA lesion + L-DOPA: | 6-OHDA lesion + L-DOPA: |
| D1R-mediated signaling | D1R-mediated signaling |
| Less excitable | More excitable |
| Less distal APBP | More distal APBP |
| Intracellular signaling | Cocaine (D1R+NMDAR): | Cocaine: |
| | P-Thr34 DARPP-32 | P-Thr34 DARPP-32 |
| | P-Thr75 DARPP-32 | P-Thr75 DARPP-32 |
| | P-MSK1 | P-MSK1 |
| | P-histone H3 | P-histone H3 |
| | IEG induction (c-fos, zif268) | IEG induction (c-fos, zif268) |
| Axonal projections | Intrastriatal: | Intrastriatal: |
| | D1R-MSNs | D2R-MSNs |
| | D2R-MSNs (less) | D1R-MSNs |
| | MGP | LGP |
| | SNr | LGP (?) |
| Electrophysiological properties | D1R-MSN | D2R-MSN |
pivotal role in the development of striatonigral MSNs (Lobo et al., 2008). The use of transgenic mice in which ribosomes from either population of MSNs are tagged and polyribosomes immunoprecipitated followed by RNA isolation (TRAP technique) has been recently employed to uncover selective changes in active mRNAs in D1R- and D2R-expressing MSNs following cocaine administration (Heiman et al., 2008). Moreover, other genetic approaches to specifically target and ablate striatonigral or striatopallidal neurons have clearly demonstrated the existence of distinct populations with opposing functional roles (Drago et al., 1998; Sano et al., 2003; Durieux et al., 2009). Finally, the selective expression of channelrhodopsin-2 in D1R- or D2R-expressing striatal neurons allowed the elegant manipulation of one or the other pathway with clearly distinct effects (Kravitz et al., 2010).

**OPPOSING REGULATION OF SIGNALING PATHWAYS IN STRIATONIGRAL AND STRIATOPALLIDAL MSNs**

**ERK PATHWAY**

In line with the work described above, recent studies using *drd1a-EGFP* and *drd2-EGFP* mice showed a striking degree of functional segregation between the two populations of striatal MSNs, especially at the level of signaling pathways in response to various stimuli (Figure 1). For instance, these two lines of BAC transgenic mice were used to study the extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) and some of its downstream effectors, a signaling pathway strongly activated in striatal neurons in response to psychostimulants and other drugs of abuse (reviewed in Girault et al., 2007). These studies revealed that ERK was selectively activated in D1R-expressing MSNs of the NAc (shell/core) and dorsal striatum after acute or repeated administration of cocaine (Bertran-Gonzalez et al., 2008), amphetamine (Gerfen et al., 2008), MDMA (Doly et al., 2009) or GBR12783 – a selective DA reuptake inhibitor – (Valjent et al., 2010). These observations confirmed and largely extended previous experiments that used double staining with cell-population-specific markers (Zhang et al., 2004; Valjent et al., 2005). ERK activation induces the phosphorylation in the nucleus of mitogen- and stress-activated kinase-1 (MSK1) and histone H3, responses that are also restricted to D1R-expressing MSNs of the dorsal striatum and the NAc after cocaine (Bertran-Gonzalez et al., 2008). Similarly, in DA-depleted *drd1a-* and *drd2-EGFP* mice, 1-DOPA-induced activation of the ERK pathway was exclusively observed in D1R-expressing neurons (Santini et al., 2009), confirming previous observations (Gerfen et al., 2002). Intriguingly, in all studies using *drd1a-* and *drd2-EGFP* mice, ERK activation was never observed in striatopallidal MSNs, not even in the NAc shell, where the calculated D1R/D2R co-expression levels were higher. The lack of ERK activation in neurons expressing D2R may result from a negative effect of D2R on ERK activation, since pharmacological blockade of D2Rs by haloperidol or raclopride selectively activates ERK and induces histone H3 phosphorylation in D2R-expressing striatopallidal MSNs in the dorsal striatum (Bertran-Gonzalez et al., 2008, 2009). The effects of D2R antagonists suggest that stimulation of D2Rs by basal or psychostimulant–induced DA release prevents the activation of ERK signaling events in neurons containing these receptors. Altogether, the use of BAC transgenic mice demonstrated the complete segregation of signaling responses between striatonigral and striatopallidal neurons in response to various drugs that act on DA receptors. It is important to point out that the opposing effects that D1Rs and D2Rs exert on adenyl cyclase may contribute to the higher segregation of signaling responses in comparison with what could be expected from the distribution of the DA receptor subtypes.

**DARPP-32 PHOSPHORYLATION**

DARPP-32 is a dual-function protein selectively expressed in all MSNs and is therefore viewed as a critical integrator of many signaling events occurring in these neurons (Svenningsson et al., 2004). The complexity of its regulation results from the existence of several phosphorylatable residues that determine its function. On the one hand, the phosphorylation on Thr34 by protein kinase A (PKA) converts DARPP-32 into a selective inhibitor of serine/threonine protein phosphatase-1 (PP-1), thereby enhancing phosphorylation of proteins targeted by PP-1. On the other hand, DARPP-32 becomes an inhibitor of PKA when it is phosphorylated on Thr75 by cyclin-dependent kinase 5 (Cdk5). Although these two phosphorylation reactions with opposing functional consequences have been extensively studied, it had never been addressed whether or not they occurred within the same neurons or in separate populations. The generation of BAC transgenic mice expressing DARPP-32 with different tags under the control of *drd1a* and *drd2* promoters allowed the analysis of DARPP-32 phosphorylation selectively in the two populations of striatal output neurons *in vivo* (Bateup et al., 2008) (Figure 1). By immunoprecipitating the protein with tag-specific antibodies in one or the other subpopulation, it was shown that cocaine treatment increased Thr34 phosphorylation and decreased Thr75 phosphorylation selectively in D1R-expressing MSNs, while smaller changes in the opposite direction was observed in D2R-expressing neurons (Bateup et al., 2008). By contrast, haloperidol-induced DARPP-32 Thr34 phosphorylation was restricted to striatopallidal MSNs (Bateup et al., 2008). These data provided further experimental support for the opposing influence of DA on striatonigral and striatopallidal pathways. Surprisingly, this cell type-specific approach also revealed that selective D1R or D2R agonists were able to alter DARPP-32 phosphorylation on Thr34 and Thr75 in the same direction in both neuronal populations *in vivo* (Bateup et al., 2008). Additional experiments are necessary to determine the contribution in these effects of extrastriatal D1Rs and D2Rs, of cross-talks between the two populations, and of neurons expressing both types of receptors. It is particularly interesting that the use of indirect agonists that mimic endogenous DA (i.e., psychostimulants or l-DOPA in 6-OHDA-lesioned mice) results in a more segregated activation of D1R-expressing neurons than the use of drugs that are specific for D1Rs. This strongly suggests that the opposing regulation of signaling pathways in the two neuronal populations is a fundamental functional characteristic of striatal efferent neurons.

**ANATOMICAL EVIDENCE AGAINST THE SEGREGATION BETWEEN THE STRIATONIGRAL AND STRIATOPALLIDAL PROJECTIONS**

According to the classical model of the basal ganglia, about half of the MSNs would project exclusively to the SNr/MGP, and the other half to the LGP (Alexander et al., 1990; DeLong, 1990). However, in both rats and non-human primates, anatomical studies based on single axon reconstruction revealed that most (if not all) of the
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Striatal MSNs project to the LGP (Kawaguchi et al., 1990; Parent and Hazrati, 1995; Wu et al., 2000). The projections of half of them (Type I neurons) would terminate in the LGP, whereas the other half (Type II neurons) would send some collaterals to the LGP on their way to the MGp and SNr (Kawaguchi et al., 1990; Wu et al., 2000). This last group was divided into Type Ila (projecting to the LGP, MGp, and SNr) and Type Iib (projecting to the LGP and SNr). This anatomical evidence clearly implied a variable degree of overlap between the two pathways and questioned the simplistic view of the striatal output organization. Indeed, a pure striatonigral pathway would not exist as such, since the MSNs in this pathway appear to send projections to both LGP and SNr/MSNs. It is however important to remember that single-axon reconstruction analysis is based on a limited number of neurons and the absence of MSNs projecting exclusively to the SNr/MGP still needs to be undoubtedly demonstrated. Furthermore, the axonal branching patterns of the MSNs have not been investigated with respect to their neurochemical distinction (e.g., substance P/dynorphin vs. enkephalin, D1Rs, D2Rs, or both).

Although the existence of such collaterals has not been investigated in mice, observations in drd1a- and drd2-EGFP mice suggest that they could be present. While the injection of a retrograde neuronal tracer in the SNr of drd1a- and drd2-EGFP mice showed that virtually all MSNs projecting to the SNr expressed the D1R and very few D2R (<1%) (Gertler et al., 2008; Matamala et al., 2009), sparse EGFP-positive fibers were detected in the LGP of drd1a-Cre and drd1a-EGFP mice (Gong et al., 2007; Matamala et al., 2009). These observations, which are also supported by double retrograde-tracing experiments in rats (Castle et al., 2005), suggest that either some of the D1R-striatonigral neurons give off collaterals to the LGP, or that these terminals arise from the few neurons that express both D1R and D2R. It is important to highlight that fluorescence intensity recorded in drd1a-EGFP mice is much higher in the SNr than in the LGP, reflecting either a higher number of D1R-MSNs projecting to the SNr or a denser terminal branching in this structure. It should be kept in mind, however, that EGFP staining does not provide information about receptor levels and their distribution, since EGFP expression only reflects the activity of D1R promoters. In conclusion, the simplest explanation to account for the remaining apparent discrepancies between the available data is that striatonigral neurons give off a small number of collaterals to the LGP. However, careful anatomical analysis in mice will be necessary to conclude, since the evidence for branching neurons was initially obtained in rats and monkeys.

**Segregated D1R and D2R Expression in Striatonigral and Striatopallidal Neurons: Still Some Open Issues**

The degree of D1R and D2R co-localization in the striatum has been a matter of intense debate. As previously mentioned, early studies put forward the concept of a differential expression of the D1R and D2R in the two MSN populations (Gerfen et al., 1990; Le Moine and Bloch, 1995). However, data supporting a strong co-localization of the two receptors in the striatum also existed. The first evidence came from some ISH studies in which co-localization of D1R and D2R mRNAs was found in 27–47% of all striatal MSNs (Meador-Woodruff et al., 1991; Weiner et al., 1991; Lester et al., 1993). These proportions were confirmed at the level of protein expression, since studies using either double immunofluorescence or retrograde labeling methods combined with immunofluorescence reported that around 20–60% of MSNs expressed both D1-like and D2-like receptors (Larson and Ariano, 1994; Shetreat et al., 1996; Deng et al., 2006). A high degree of co-localization was also supported by single cell RT-PCR studies (reviewed in Surmeier et al., 1993). Although in early studies an almost complete co-expression was detected (Surmeier et al., 1992; Surmeier and Kitai, 1993), this proportion was later re-estimated and co-expression reported to occur only in about half of the MSNs (Surmeier et al., 1996). Single cell RT-PCR, a highly sensitive technique in which it is difficult to ensure linearity of amplification for low abundance transcripts, provided higher levels of apparent co-expression of transcripts than other less sensitive techniques such as *in situ* hybridization (Gerfen et al., 1990; Le Moine and Bloch, 1995; Aubert et al., 2000).

How is the different pattern of gene expression, including that of D1R and D2R, controlled during development? The response to this important question is not known. In a schematic “instructive” model, all striatal MSNs would have a relatively undetermined phenotype until they reach their targets, which would, through a mechanism to be established, alter their patterns of gene expression. Alternatively, a “selective” model would postulate the pre-existence of two populations of MSNs with different sets of genes, which would control their projections. It is important to underline that the proportion of D1R and D2R co-localization appears much higher in cultured striatal neurons (between 60 and 100%) than in the adult striatum (Wong et al., 1999; Aizman et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2004; Hasbi et al., 2009). Thus, neurons in culture do not provide any information on the degree of co-expression of receptors or other molecules *in vivo*. Moreover, the higher degree of co-expression of markers in neurons in culture as compared to the *in vivo* situation argues in favor of the “instructive” model. Analysis of D1R and D2R mRNA expression by quantitative RT-PCR in the striatum at prenatal and early postnatal stages (embryonic day 14 to postnatal day 7) revealed that at E14, the D2R was predominant over the D1R in the striatum (Araki et al., 2007). However, dissociation between the ontogeny of DA receptor binding sites and mRNA has been reported (Jung and Bennett, 1996). It was suggested that the developmental regulation of D1R and D2R mRNAs would result from intrinsic genetic programs, while the dopaminergic innervation would control the D1R and D2R protein synthesis (Jung and Bennett, 1996). The importance of afferent fibers is well illustrated by the fact that, in cultured striatal neurons, the emergence of spontaneous and evoked excitatory synaptic currents as well as dendritic spines depends on the presence of excitatory afferents (Segal et al., 2003; Day et al., 2006). However, it is unlikely that either glutamate or DA inputs would be sufficient to induce the distinction between the two populations of MSNs, since they are, as far as we presently know, homogeneously distributed. Further studies are clearly warranted to determine how the specificity of D1R and D2R expression, as well as that of other genes, is controlled in the two populations of striatal MSNs during development.

Independently of the studies on the proportion of co-localization of D1R and D2R, several lines of evidence indicate that both receptors can interact in the same cells. In addition to their ability to interact with several other G protein-coupled receptors, including CB1, A2a, and D3 receptors (see Franco et al., 2008), D1R and D2R can interact with each other and can be found in the same protein.
complexes as demonstrated by co-immunoprecipitation from rat striatal extracts (Lee et al., 2004). Interestingly, evidence indicates that heteromeric DA receptor complexes are linked to calcium signaling. The pathway selectively activated through the D1R–D2R hetero-oligomer involves coupling to Gq and phospholipase C and triggers a rise in intracellular calcium, an increase in the phosphorylation of Ca2+-calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKIIα) and a subsequent production of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) (Rashid et al., 2007; Hasbi et al., 2009). A recent study revealed that this new signaling pathway might be particularly relevant in the NAc where an interaction between co-localized receptors was supported by confocal FRET analysis using fluorophore-labeled antibodies (Hasbi et al., 2009). These results are in agreement with the anatomical observations reporting that the proportion of co-localization between D1R and D2R was higher in the NAc than in the dorsal striatum (Bertran-Gonzalez et al., 2008; Hasbi et al., 2009). Thus, it is possible that rapid calcium signaling through D1R–D2R complexes may contribute to DA-mediated striatal plasticity. A critical issue for the future will be to clearly identify in which neurons this interaction between D1R and D2R occurs in vivo.

CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

We have reviewed here some of the many studies providing evidence for a strong anatomical and functional segregation of two populations of striatal projection neurons, as well as those which challenge this view. The use of BAC transgenic mice expressing fluorescent proteins under the control of promoters of interest in the striatum provides a powerful tool to easily identify specific neuronal types in vivo. This allows the study of cellular functions, including signaling pathways and electrophysiological responses in identified neuronal populations. These mouse models have revealed a profound functional dichotomy of striatal neurons in physiological and pathological conditions, far beyond expectations. Thus, an overwhelming amount of data supports the anatomical and functional segregation of the striatonigral and striatopallidal MSNs. The overwhelming amount of data supports the anatomical and functional segregation of the two populations of MSNs is conserved, in spite of different degrees of arborization. Other studies suggest the intriguing possibility of specific D1R/D2R coupling in neurons expressing both receptors. The extent and the functional importance of this co-expression remain to be addressed experimentally. In this respect, the comparison of the data obtained with various approaches over more than two decades, shows that many of the apparent differences between results obtained are related to the different sensitivities of the methods utilized. Methods which detect relatively high levels of expression of D1R or D2R, including BAC transgenic mice, clearly emphasize the segregation of these receptors in different neurons. Interestingly and importantly, this segregation corresponds to the clear functional dichotomy of the neurons of the direct and indirect pathway. In contrast, other methods which are more sensitive, but not necessarily linear, provide a picture in which more co-expression can be found, but with an uncertain functional significance.

Finally, the importance of other levels of heterogeneity in the striatum is still unknown. Can the MSNs be further divided into discrete subgroups depending on their location in the striosomes or matrix, on the topography of their targets, and on the genes they express? Alternatively, are all these parameters continuously and independently distributed among the MSNs? Future studies will tell whether relatively homogenous subtypes of neurons can be further identified among striatonigral and striatopallidal neurons, or whether these neurons form large populations with a continuous distribution of multiple characteristics and no rationale for distinguishing more subgroups. The use of novel types of BAC transgenic mice, combined with careful co-labeling, cell-specific transcriptional, or translational profiling, and anatomical and functional studies will undoubtedly bring further understanding, and perhaps surprises in this area.
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