First measurements of the index of refraction of gases for lithium atomic waves
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We report the first measurements of the index of refraction of gases for lithium waves. Using an atom interferometer, we have measured the real and imaginary parts of the index of refraction \( n \) for argon, krypton and xenon, as a function of the gas density for several velocities of the lithium beam. The linear dependence of \( (n - 1) \) with the gas density is well verified. The total collision cross-section deduced from the imaginary part of \( (n - 1) \) is in very good agreement with traditional measurements of this quantity. Finally, the real and imaginary parts of \( (n - 1) \) and their ratio \( \rho \) exhibit glory oscillations, in good agreement with calculations.

The concept of the index of refraction for waves transmitted through matter was extended from light waves to neutron waves around 1940, as reviewed by M. Lax [1]. The extension to atom waves has been done by D. Pritchard and co-workers, with the first measurements of the index of refraction of gases for sodium waves [2] in 1995 and the subsequent observation of glory oscillations on the index variations with sodium velocity \([3,4,5,6]\). We report here the first measurements of the index of refraction of gases for lithium waves.

Several papers \([7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16]\) have dealt with the theory of the index of refraction \( n \). The index of refraction is proportional to the forward scattering amplitude, which can be calculated if the interaction potential between an atom of the wave and an atom of the target gas is known. The imaginary part of the forward scattering amplitude is related to the total cross section but its real part can be measured only by atom interferometry. This amplitude exhibits resonances, for a collision energy comparable to the potential well depth, and glory oscillations, for larger energy. These glory oscillations are due to the existence of a undeflected classical trajectory resulting from the compensation of attractive and repulsive forces \([17]\).

A measurement of the index of refraction thus provides a new access to atom-atom interaction potentials. Many other experiments are sensitive to the atom-atom interaction potentials: in the particular case of alkali-rare gas pairs, measurements of total and differential cross sections, line broadening experiments and spectroscopy of van der Waals molecules have been much used. Each technique is more sensitive to a different part of the potential curve and one would expect that very accurate potentials are available, but, as shown by the calculations done by D. Pritchard and co-workers and by our research group \([2,15,16]\), the index of refraction deduced from various potentials differ substantially, thus proving the need for more accurate potentials.

Our experiment is similar to the experiment of D. Pritchard and co-workers \([2,3,5]\). We have measured separately the real and imaginary parts of \( (n - 1) \) with a good accuracy and tested their linear dependence with the gas density. The total collision cross-section deduced from our measurement of the imaginary part \( \text{Im}(n - 1) \) is in very good agreement with previous measurements by L. Wharton and co-workers \([18,19]\). Our measurements of the real and imaginary parts of \( (n - 1) \) and of their ratio \( \rho \) are in good agreement with the calculations done by C. Champenois \([16]\), using potential curves fitted by L. Wharton and co-workers \([18,19]\).

The principle of the experiment is to introduce some gas on one of the atomic beams inside an atom interferometer, as represented in Fig. 1. Noting \( \psi_u/l \) the waves propagating on the upper/lower paths inside the interferometer, the interference signal \( I \) is given by:

\[
I = |\psi_l + \psi_u \exp(i\varphi)|^2
\]

(1)

The phase \( \varphi = k_G(2x_2 - x_1 - x_3) \), which depends on the grating positions \( x_i \) (\( k_G \) is the grating wavevector), is a good accuracy and tested their linear dependence with the gas density. The total collision cross-section deduced from our measurement of the imaginary part \( \text{Im}(n - 1) \) is in very good agreement with previous measurements by L. Wharton and co-workers \([18,19]\). Our measurements of the real and imaginary parts of \( (n - 1) \) and of their ratio \( \rho \) are in good agreement with the calculations done by C. Champenois \([16]\), using potential curves fitted by L. Wharton and co-workers \([18,19]\).

The principle of the experiment is to introduce some gas on one of the atomic beams inside an atom interferometer, as represented in Fig. 1. Noting \( \psi_u/l \), the waves propagating on the upper/lower paths inside the interferometer, the interference signal \( I \) is given by:

\[
I = |\psi_l + \psi_u \exp(i\varphi)|^2
\]

(1)

The phase \( \varphi = k_G(2x_2 - x_1 - x_3) \), which depends on the grating positions \( x_i \) (\( k_G \) is the grating wavevector),
For a gas cell of length $kL$ lithium beam mean velocity is $v_{b} = 4 \text{ GHz}$, a total laser power equal to $275 \text{ mW}$ and a cell pressure in the $10^{-3} - 10^{-4} \text{ millibar range}$. The pressure is measured by a membrane gauge (Leybold CERAVAC CTR91), with an accuracy near $1\%$. When the cell is evacuated, the measured pressure, $p_{\text{meas.}} = (1 \pm 1) \times 10^{-6} \text{ millibar}$, is negligible. Because of the gas flow through the connection pipe, the cell pressure $p_{\text{cell}}$ is slightly less than the measured value $p_{\text{meas.}}$, as the gauge is at about $50 \text{ cm}$ from the cell. Molecular flow theory predicts that the pressure in the cell $p_{\text{cell}}$ is homogeneous within $1\%$ and that $p_{\text{cell}}/p_{\text{meas.}} = C_{\text{pipe}}/(C_{\text{pipe}} + C_{\text{slits}})$. The conductances of the pipe, $C_{\text{pipe}}$, and of the slits, $C_{\text{slits}}$, have been calculated and we get $p_{\text{cell}}/p_{\text{meas.}} = 0.90 \pm 0.01$. We then use the ideal gas law at $T = 298 \text{ K}$ to deduce the gas density $n_{\text{gas}}$ in the cell.

We record interference fringes by displacing the third standing wave mirror with a linear voltage ramp applied on a piezo-electric stage. In order to correct the interferometer phase drift, each experiment is made of three sweeps, the first and third ones ($j = 1$ and $3$) with an empty cell and the second one ($j = 2$) with a pressure $p_{\text{cell}}$. The counting time is $0.3 \text{ s}$ per data point, with $300$ points per sweep. After the third sweep, we flag the lithium beam to measure the detector back-pressure $I_{B}$. We assume that the phase $\varphi$ can be written $\varphi = a_{j} + b_{j}n + c_{j}n^{2}$, where the quadratic term describes the non-linearity of the piezo stage ($n$ being the channel number). The best fit of each recording, using equation (2), provides the initial phase $a_{j}$, the mean intensity $I_{0j}$ and the fringe visibility $V_{j}$. We thus get the effect of the gas, namely the phase shift $\varphi(n_{\text{gas}}) = a_{j} - (a_{1} + a_{3})/2$, and the attenuation $t(n_{\text{gas}})$ given by equation (4) (the $I_{0}(0)V(0)$ value is taken as the mean of the $j = 1$ and $j = 3$ values).

| gas    | Ar   | Kr   | Xe   |
|--------|------|------|------|
| $10^{29}\Re(c(n-1)/n_{\text{gas}})$ | $1.20 \pm 0.11$ | $1.57 \pm 0.10$ | $1.82 \pm 0.07$ |
| $10^{29}\Im(c(n-1)/n_{\text{gas}})$ | $2.11 \pm 0.06$ | $1.99 \pm 0.07$ | $2.40 \pm 0.06$ |
| $\rho$ | $0.56 \pm 0.05$ | $0.78 \pm 0.04$ | $0.70 \pm 0.03$ |

TABLE I: Index of refraction of argon, krypton and xenon for lithium waves with a mean velocity $u = 1075 \pm 20 \text{ m/s}$. For each gas, we give the real and imaginary parts of $10^{29}(c(n-1)/n_{\text{gas}})$ ($n_{\text{gas}}$ in m$^{-3}$) and the ratio $\rho = \Re(c(n-1)/\Im(c(n-1))$.

For a given lithium mean velocity $u$, we measure the phase shift and the amplitude attenuation for various gas pressures and we plot $\varphi(n_{\text{gas}})$ and $-\ln(t(n_{\text{gas}}))$ as a function of pressure (see Fig. 2). These two quantities are

![FIG. 2: Plot of the measured values of the phase shift $\varphi(n_{\text{gas}})$ and of the logarithm of the amplitude transmission $-\ln(t(n_{\text{gas}}))$ as a function of xenon pressure $p_{\text{cell}}$. The lithium beam mean velocity is $u = 1075 \pm 20 \text{ m/s.}$.](image)
lated from the lithium beam mean velocity \( u \) in m/s; our measurements by atom interferometry (open circles), measurements of Dehmer and Wharton (squares) and calculated values (full curve) obtained with the Buckingham-Corner potential fitted by these authors [19].

expected to vary linearly with the gas density [2,8,4] and the high signal to noise ratio of our experiments allows us to confirm these theoretical expectations. To deduce \((n - 1)\) from this plot, we need the \(kL\) value. \( k \) is calculated from the lithium beam mean velocity \( u \) measured by Bragg diffraction. The effective cell length \( L \) is calculated by weighting each element \( dz \) by the local gas density. In the molecular regime, the density in the slits varies linearly with \( z \) and vanishes near the slit exit [21].

The effective length \( L \) is then the sum of the inner part length and of the mean of the slit lengths, \( L = 66.5 \pm 1.0 \) mm. Our final results are the real and imaginary parts of \((n - 1)\) divided by the gas density and the dimensionless ratio \( \rho = \Re(e(n - 1)/\Im(n - 1)) \). These results are collected in table I for a lithium beam mean velocity \( u = 1075 \pm 20 \) m/s and we have similar data for several other velocities.

The imaginary part of the index of refraction, which measures the attenuation of the atomic beam by the gas, is related to the total collision cross section \( \langle \sigma \rangle \) by \( \langle \sigma \rangle = 2\Im(n - 1)k/n_{gas} \) where \( \langle \rangle \) designates the average over the target gas thermal velocity. Fig. 3 compares the cross section \( \langle \sigma \rangle \) deduced from our index measurements with the values obtained by L. Wharton and co-workers by scattering techniques [18,19]: the agreement is very good, although the velocity distribution of our lithium beam, with a full width at half maximum close to 25\%, is broader than the 4.4\% FWHM distribution used by L. Wharton and co-workers.

From theory [15,16], we know that \((n - 1)\) decreases with the lithium velocity \( u \), like \( u^{-7/5} \), with glory oscillations superimposed on this variation. We suppress this rapid variation by plotting the real and imaginary parts of \( u^{-7/5}(n - 1)/n_{gas} \). Fig. 4 presents such a plot in the case of xenon, with our measurements and calculated values obtained by C. Champenois in her thesis [15] using the Buckingham-Corner potential fitted by Dehmer and Wharton [19].

Figure 4 compares our measurements of the ratio \( \rho = \Re(e(n - 1)/\Im(n - 1)) \) with calculations. We have chosen the case of xenon for which we have more data points. The mean \( \rho \) value is lower than the \( \rho = 0.726 \) value predicted by the group of D. Pritchard [2,3] for a purely attractive \( r^{-6} \) potential. A lower mean \( \rho \) value is expected when the \( n = 8, 10 \) terms of the \( r^{-n} \) expansion of the long range potential are also attractive and not negligible [15]. Moreover, a glory oscillation is clearly visible on our measurements as well as on the calculations done by C. Champenois [16] with three different potential curves: two ab initio potentials [22,23] and the Buckingham-Corner potential of Dehmer and Wharton [19]. The three calculated curves reproduce well the observed amplitude of the glory oscillation. The observed phase is close to the calculated phase for the potentials of references [19,22] and not for the one of reference [23]. This is not surprising as this phase is very sensitive to the potential well depth [15].

In this letter, we have described the first measurements of the index of refraction of gases for lithium waves, with an experiment similar to those performed by D. Pritchard and co-workers with sodium waves [2,3,5]. A gas cell, introduced on one of the atomic beams inside an atom interferometer, modifies the wave propagation and this modification is detected on the interference signals. We have measured the real and imaginary parts of the index of refraction \( n \) for three gases and several lithium velocities and we have verified the linear dependence of \((n - 1)\) with the gas density \( n_{gas} \). Our measurements of the imaginary part of \((n - 1)\) are in very good agreement with previous measurements of the total cross-section and the real part, which can be measured...
washes out the low-energy resonances and most of the gas is at room temperature, because thermal averaging very accurate interaction potentials, as long as the target refraction measurements cannot be inverted to provide a very low temperature should provide a lot more information [8, 12, 14]. Finally, if the target gas and the atomic beam were both ultra-cold, one could study the index of refraction in the quantum threshold regime and measure the atom-atom scattering length as well as low energy resonances [10, 13]. The collision experiment involving two ultra-cold atom clouds, which was made by J. Warraven and co-workers [24], proves the feasibility of dense enough gas targets for collision studies. The development of a cold atom interferometer coupled to such a gas target remains to be done.

Finally, the interaction of a matter wave with a gas induces decoherence. This effect was studied by Hornberger et al. with Cs in a Talbot Lau interferometer [25] and by Uys et al. with sodium in a Mach-Zehnder interferometer [26], in both cases, a low pressure of gas is introduced everywhere inside the interferometer. This decoherence process depends on the momentum transferred to a particle of the wave by a collision with an atom of the scattering gas and on the interferometer geometry (separation between the two arms, size of the detector). This decoherence effect is thus quite different from the index of refraction for which all scattering events contribute.

FIG. 5: Plot of the ratio \( \rho = \frac{\Re(n-1)}{\Im(n-1)} \) for xenon as a function of the lithium beam mean velocity \( u \). The points are our experimental values while the curves have been calculated with the lithium-xenon potential of references [10] (full line), [22] (dotted line) and [23] (dashed line).

only by atom interferometry, is also in good agreement with calculations of this quantity. Moreover, the comparison between experimental and theoretical values of the ratio \( \rho = \frac{\Re(n-1)}{\Im(n-1)} \) is already able to favor certain interaction potentials.

We hope to improve our experiment, in particular the septum which limits our ability to use higher velocities of the lithium beam. The measurement of the index of refraction in a larger velocity range and with an improved accuracy should then provide a stringent test of interaction potentials. However, it seems clear that index of refraction measurements cannot be inverted to provide a very accurate interaction potentials, as long as the target gas is at room temperature, because thermal averaging washes out the low-energy resonances and most of the glory oscillations. An experiment with a target gas at a very low temperature should provide a lot more information [8, 12, 14]. Finally, if the target gas and the atomic beam were both ultra-cold, one could study the index of refraction in the quantum threshold regime and measure the atom-atom scattering length as well as low energy resonances [10, 13]. The collision experiment involving two ultra-cold atom clouds, which was made by J. Warraven and co-workers [24], proves the feasibility of dense enough gas targets for collision studies. The development of a cold atom interferometer coupled to such a gas target remains to be done.

Finally, the interaction of a matter wave with a gas induces decoherence. This effect was studied by Hornberger et al. with Cs in a Talbot Lau interferometer [25] and by Uys et al. with sodium in a Mach-Zehnder interferometer [26], in both cases, a low pressure of gas is introduced everywhere inside the interferometer. This decoherence process depends on the momentum transferred to a particle of the wave by a collision with an atom of the scattering gas and on the interferometer geometry (separation between the two arms, size of the detector). This decoherence effect is thus quite different from the index of refraction for which all scattering events contribute.
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