Starch Flocculation by the Sweet Potato Sour Liquid Is Mediated by the Adhesion of Lactic Acid Bacteria to Starch
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In the current study, we focused on the mechanism underlying starch flocculation by the sweet potato sour liquid. The traditional microbial techniques and 16S rDNA sequencing revealed that Lactobacillus was dominant flocculating microorganism in sour liquid. In total, 86 bacteria, 20 yeasts, and 10 molds were isolated from the sour liquid and only eight Lactobacillus species exhibited flocculating activity. Lactobacillus paracasei subsp. paracasei L1 strain with a high flocculating activity was isolated and identified, and the mechanism of starch flocculation was examined. L. paracasei subsp. paracasei L1 cells formed chain-like structures on starch granules. Consequently, these cells connected the starch granules to one another, leading to formation of large flocs. The results of various treatments of L1 cells indicated that bacterial surface proteins play a role in flocculation and L1 cells adhered to the surface of starch granules via specific surface proteins. These surface starch-binding proteins were extracted using the guanidine hydrochloride method; 10 proteins were identified by mass spectrometry: three of these proteins were glycolytic enzymes; two were identified as the translation elongation factor Tu; one was a cell wall hydrolase; one was a surface antigen; one was lysozyme M1; one was a glycoside hydrolase; and one was an uncharacterized proteins. This study will paves the way for future industrial application of the L1 isolate in starch processing and food manufacturing.
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INTRODUCTION

The processing of starch flocculated with sour liquid has a 400-year history in China (Research Groups of Sour Liquid, 1974). Sour liquid is milky-white or yellowish-white, with a sour taste, and it is generated by natural fermentation. It is used as a flocculant, as it accelerates starch flocculation and shortens the settling time of starch (Research Groups of Sour Liquid, 1974; Wei and Qun, 2007). The technology of sour liquid-aided flocculation is mainly used for the processing of sweet potato starch or mung bean starch.
It has been reported that microorganisms present in the sour liquid are responsible for the flocculation of starch. *Streptococcus lactis* from a mung bean sour liquid was isolated, and shown to bind to the starch granules, and promote the flocculation of starch (Xu and Liu, 1980). In addition, flocculation is affected by temperature, pH, free ion concentration, and other factors (Research Groups of Sour Liquid, 1974). However, the mechanism by which *S. lactis* flocculates starch is still unclear. This restricts the application of the sour liquid technology in the processing of other plant starches or in large-scale industrialization.

Although the mechanism by which lactic acid bacteria bind to and flocculate starch remains unclear, the starch-binding activity of bacteria has been investigated in *Bifidobacterium, Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*, *Lactobacillus amylovorans*, and *Vibrio cholerae* cells (Reeves et al., 1996, 1997; Crittenden et al., 2001; Rodriguez-Sanoja et al., 2005; Ryan et al., 2006; Niderman-Meyer et al., 2010). Researchers found that bacteria from the *Bifidobacterium* genus possess a strong starch-binding ability, and are absorbed and embedded in resistant starch granules (Crittenden et al., 2001). These characteristics have been exploited during preparation of probiotic microcapsules, markedly decreasing the difficulties associated with the production of these microcapsules (Crittenden et al., 2001).

The starch-binding activity of *B. thetaiotaomicron* plays an important role in starch metabolism in the mammalian gut (Shipman et al., 2000; Crittenden et al., 2001). Drugs with resistant starch as an adjuvant are characterized by a relatively good efficacy in treating acute gastroenteritis caused by *V. cholerae*; this species can specifically bind to the surface of resistant starch granules, thus accelerating the discharge of *V. cholerae* from the body (Niderman-Meyer et al., 2010). The starch-binding activity of *B. thetaiotaomicron*, a Gram-negative bacterial species, is mediated by the outer membrane proteins SusC, SusD, SusE, and SusF (Donaldson et al., 2016; O’Toole, 2016). Similarly, starch binding by *Bifidobacterium* involves specific cell surface proteins rather than non-specific hydrophilic and electrostatic interactions; however, the property of proteins that participate in the adhesion of *Bifidobacterium* to starch remains unclear (Crittenden et al., 2001). Starch-binding activities of these bacteria are closely associated with their cell wall proteins (Shipman et al., 2000; Crittenden et al., 2001).

In the current study, we focused on the naturally fermented sour liquid of the sweet potato. The V4 regions of 16S rRNA genes of bacteria present in that liquid were analyzed by high-throughput sequencing, in conjunction with traditional microbial isolation and culture techniques, to determine the dominant microorganisms with starch-binding and flocculating activities. The mechanism of starch flocculation was then elucidated at a cellular level. Proteins that mediated the lactic acid bacteria binding to starch were identified by mass spectrometry. The results will provide theoretical basis for enhanced sour liquid application in the processing of starch for bean vermicelli production, and for the use of starch-binding lactic acid bacteria in food manufacturing.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

The sweet potato sour liquid was obtained from Yingnahe Starchworks (Dalian City, Liaoning Province, China). The sour liquid (1 L) was collected in a sterile culture flask and transferred to a laboratory at 4°C. Microorganisms were plated for enumeration and isolation on the same day. Sweet potato starch was purchased from Shandong Bio Sunkeen, Co., Ltd. (Jining City, China). Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.2) was purchased from Sigma Chemical, Co. (St. Louis, MO, United States). All other chemical reagents were of analytical grade.

The sweet potato juice medium was prepared as follows. Sweet potato infusion was prepared by boiling 200 g of sliced (washed but unpeeled) sweet potatoes in 1 L of distilled water for 30 min, and decanting or straining the broth through cheesecloth. Distilled water was added such that the total volume of the suspension was 1 L; 20 g of glucose, 2 g of lactose, 5 g of yeast extract, and 5 g of sodium acetate was then added, and the medium was sterilized by autoclaving at 115°C for 15 min.

**Microorganism Counts and Isolation**

Microorganisms were enumerated and isolated by serial dilution and plating. Bacterial counts and isolation were conducted on Tomato Juice Agar (TJA) media supplemented with cycloheximide (50 µg/mL) to inhibit fungal growth (Muyanja et al., 2003; Lin et al., 2006). The plates were incubated at 30°C for 24 h. Yeasts and molds were inoculated on Rose Bengal agar plates and incubated at 30°C for 3–5 days (Coombs and Franco, 2003). To distinguish between the two, colonies that were smooth and wet were considered as yeasts; downy or furry colonies were considered to be molds. Bacterial colonies were counted using automatic colony counters (Interscience Scan 1200). Colonies with distinct morphological characteristics were selected and transferred onto sweet potato juice slant medium (*vide infra*), cultured at 30°C (bacteria for 1 day, yeasts for 3 days, and molds for 5 days), and were then stored at −4°C to screen the strains with high flocculating activities (Anastasi et al., 2005).

**Screening Methods**

The selected strain slopes were inoculated into 5 mL of sweet potato juice medium and cultured at 30°C. Bacteria and yeasts were cultured for 1 and 3 days, respectively. The molds were cultured with shaking at 160 rpm for 5 days. Then, the flocculation rate of fermentation liquor was determined as the flowing methods. Using the flocculation rate of the cultures as an index, with the sweet potato juice medium as a control, the strains were screened for high flocculating starch activity.

**Flocculation Rate (FR) Measurements**

Distilled water (100 mL), 0.5 g of sweet potato starch, and 5 mL of the liquor to be tested were placed in a 150-mL beaker. The liquid was agitated for 3 min on a magnetic stirring apparatus, and then left to stand for 3 min. As a control, sweet potato juice was used instead of the fermented liquor. The flocculation efficiency was expressed as FR, by measuring the decrease of turbidity of the
upper phase (Lian et al., 2008; Beck et al., 2009; Bhattacharya et al., 2017). FR was calculated by the following equation:

$$FR(\%) = \frac{A - B}{A} \times 100\%$$

Where A and B are optical densities of the control and sample, respectively, at 550 nm.

**Bacterial Sampling for 16S rDNA Sequencing**

Microbial genomic DNA was extracted from 1 mL of the sour liquid by using the TIANGEN DNA stool mini kit (TIANGEN, cat#DP328) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The V4 variable region of 16S rDNA was amplified using the universal primers 520F (5′-AYTGGGYDTAAAGNG-3′) and 802R (5′-TACNVGGGTATCTAATCC-3′) (Blanton et al., 2016). The PCR amplification and the construction of a sequencing library were performed, as described previously (Xu et al., 2016). For each sample, barcoded V4 PCR amplicons were sequenced using the Illumina MiSeq platform (Dong et al., 2015; Donaldson et al., 2016). Amplification and sequencing of the V4 variable region of 16S rDNA was completed by Personal Biotechnology, Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

Sequence reads were excluded from analysis if their length was less than 150 bp, the average Phred score was lower than 20, contained ambiguous bases, a homopolymer run exceeding six bases, or when mismatches in primers were detected. Sequences that passed quality filtering were then assembled by Flash, which required that the overlap of reads 1 and 2 was ≥ 10 bp, without any mismatches. Sequences that could not be assembled were discarded. Chimera sequences were removed using UCHIME in mothur (version 1.31.2).

**Operational Taxonomic Unit (OTU) Clustering**

Sequence clustering was performed using UCLUST algorithm in QIIME; the sequences were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs). The longest sequence in each cluster was selected as the representative. The taxonomy of each OTU was assigned by BLAST-searching the representative sequence against Greengenes reference database (Release 13.8) (Xu et al., 2016).

**Strain Identification by 16S rDNA Sequencing**

Pure isolates were grown to a late stationary phase in 5 mL of media. The cultures were centrifuged for 10 min at 4,000 g. Each cell pellet was resuspended in 0.5 mL of dH2O, and DNA was extracted using the TIANGEN DNA stool mini kit (TIANGEN, cat#DP328) according to the producer's instructions.

Full-length 16S rDNA amplicons were generated with bacterial primers 27F (5′-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3′) and 1492R (5′-CTACGGGCTACCTTGTTACGA-3′). The PCR amplification and sequencing were performed, as described previously (Piotrowska et al., 2016).

To identify 16S rDNA sequences most similar to the obtained sequences, all sequences were matched against nucleotide sequences deposited in GenBank using the BLASTn program.

Finally, strain identification based on its colony character, morphological, and physiological characteristics, as well as 16S rDNA sequence homology referencing Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology.

**Preparation of the L. paracasei subsp. paracasei L1 Fermentation Liquor**

*Lactobacillus paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* L1 slope were inoculated into a tube of fermented sweet potato juice (5 mL) and cultured for 24 h at 30°C. Then, the inoculum was inoculated into the sweet potato juice medium (5%, v/v) and cultured for 24 h at 30°C.

Floculation activity of L1 in fermentation liquor was as follows. Five milliliter of the fermented liquor were centrifuged at 4,000 × g for 10 min. The cell pellet was washed twice with distilled water; 5 mL of distilled water was added to obtain a bacterial suspension. Distilled water (100 mL), 0.5 g of sweet potato starch, and 5 mL of the bacterial suspension were placed in a 150-mL beaker to test the flocculation activity.

**Flocculating Activity of Lactobacillus paracasei subsp. paracasei L1 in Fermentation Liquor**

*Lactobacillus paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* L1 culture (10 mL) was centrifuged at 4,000 × g for 10 min. The cell pellet was washed twice with dH2O, and 10 mL of distilled water was added to obtain a bacterial suspension. Flocculating activities of culture broth, cell-free supernatant and cell pellet were tested (Lian et al., 2008).

**Determination of the Particle Size of Starch Granules before and after Flocculation**

*Lactobacillus paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* L1 cultures (10 mL) were centrifuged at 4,000 × g for 10 min. The cell pellet was washed twice with distilled water; 10 mL of distilled water was added to obtain a bacterial suspension. Distilled water (100 mL), 0.5 g of sweet potato starch, and 5 mL of the bacterial suspension were placed in a 150-mL beaker. Microtrac laser particle size analyzer (S3500, American Microtrac Company) and laser diffraction particle size distribution meter were used to determine the particle size distribution of sweet potato starch before flocculation. Thereafter, the liquid was agitated for 3 min on a magnetic stirring apparatus, and then left to stand for 3 min. Microtrac S3500 was next used to determine the particle size.

1. http://ccb.jhu.edu/software/FLASH/
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distribution of starch and in the supernatant after flocculation (Biggs et al., 2000; Hjorth and Jørgensen, 2012).

Microscopic Observation of Starch Granules with Adhered Bacteria

Sweet potato starch granules were observed by optical microscopy before and after the addition of L. paracasei subsp. paracasei L1 fermentation liquor. Samples of starch granules with adhered bacteria were fixed with a glutaraldehyde solution [3% (v/v) in 0.01 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.2] on brass stubs and chromium-coated by Xenosput 2000 chromium coater with the deposition parameters of 0.06 sputter Amps for 40 s. Coated preparations were visualized with Hitachi S4800 scanning electron microscope (SEM; Japanese Hitachi Ltd) at the accelerating voltage of 2 kV (O’Riordan et al., 2001).

Determination of Zeta (ζ) Potential during the Flocculation Process

Sweet potato starch milk (100 mL) was weighed and tested by zeta potentiometer (nano-ZS, British Malvern). The values of ζ potential of sweet potato starch milk, L. paracasei subsp. paracasei L1 suspension, and sweet potato starch milk supplemented with 10% of L. paracasei subsp. paracasei L1 cells were determined (Hjorth and Jørgensen, 2012).

Determining the Effect of Physical, Chemical, and Enzymatic Treatments on the Flocculating Activity of L. paracasei subsp. paracasei L1 Cells

Lactobacillus paracasei subsp. paracasei L1 cells were cultured for 24 h in the sweet potato juice medium, washed twice with PBS, and collected by centrifugation. The specific experiments were performed as follows: cells were resuspended in PBS to 8 log CFU/mL and then heat-treated in a water bath at 30, 40, 50, and 60°C for 30 min. Next, cells were resuspended in PBS to 8 log CFU/mL and then irradiated using an ultraviolet lamp (18 W, 15 cm, 3 h). Cells were resuspended in PBS to 8 log CFU/mL and then placed in an ice-water bath and sonicated for 5 min (CFS-25A-ultrasonic generator 8.6 kc, 250 W). Processing was stopped when the temperature reached values ≥ 15°C. When cells cooled to below 10°C, they were again treated for 5 min. When cells subsequently reached a temperature of 10°C, treatment was repeated for 5 min. Cells were pretreated with 3% trichloroacetic acid or 10−4 mol/L lithium chloride at 28°C for 30 min. Cells were resuspended in PBS to 8 log CFU/mL and then separately pretreated with the following enzymes: trypsin (from bovine pancreas, Sigma; 3 mg) ml−1, at pH 7.5 for 6 h at 37°C; α-amylase (from Bacillus licheniformis; 2 mg) ml−1 at pH 7.0 for 4 h at 40°C; lysozyme (from egg white; 1 mg) ml−1 at pH 6.0 for 1 h at 37°C. The flocculating experiment was performed using 3 g of TWEEN 80 L−1. Then, the flocculating experiment was performed using 5 g/L of glucose or maltose (O’Riordan et al., 2001; Wei and Qun, 2007).

Isolation of Starch-Binding Proteins

Lactobacillus paracasei subsp. paracasei L1 was grown overnight in sweet potato juice medium, centrifuged (10,000 × g, 15 min, 4°C), and washed three times with PBS. The cells (1 g) were incubated in 20 mL of 4 M guanidine hydrochloride with shaking (at 200 rpm) for 60 min at 37°C. The supernatant was collected after centrifugation at 12,000 × g and 4°C for 10 min; it was dialyzed overnight in a dialysis bag, with PBS as the dialysis solution. PBS was replaced 5–6 times. Sweet potato starch (0.5 g) were added to 10-mL samples of the supernatant, shaken for 30 min to ensure full exposure of granule surface to the supernatant, and then washed with PBS and centrifuged (10,000 × g, 5 min, 4°C), three times, to remove unbound proteins. To extract starch-bound proteins, each starch pellet was resuspended and incubated for 5 min in PBS (control) and PBS containing 100 mM maltose. The starch was removed by centrifugation and the supernatant from each tube was separated and purified by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. The purified samples were digested with trypsin, analyzed by high performance liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry (LC-ESI-MS/MS) using Q Exactive (Thermo Scientific), and identified by Mascot 2.3.0 using the Uniprot Lactobacillus database⁴ (Niderman-Meyer et al., 2010; Deng et al., 2013). The identification of starch-binding proteins by LC-ESI-MS/MS was completed at Beijing Protein Innovation, Co., Ltd (Beijing, China).

Statistical Analysis

Data were obtained in triplicate and are reported as averages; Statistical analyses were performed to determine significant differences (p < 0.05) among obtained results using the Student’s t-test or ANOVA followed by Duncan’s multiple range test. All data were analyzed using the SPSS 16 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, United States).

RESULTS

Microbial Counts in the Sweet Potato Sour Liquid

The counts of bacteria was the highest (8.96 ± 0.01 log CFU mL−1). Yeasts were 5.04 ± 0.04 log CFU mL−1. Furthermore, molds were 2.71 ± 0.02 log CFU mL−1 and the lowest number in sour liquid. Bacteria, therefore, were dominant in the sour liquid.

Bacterial Composition in the Sour Liquid Determined by 16S rDNA Sequencing

To investigate the dominant bacteria in sour liquid, bacterial composition in the sour liquid was evaluated using high-throughput sequencing of the V4 regions of 16S rRNA genes. The bacterial community was analyzed at the genus level by comparing Greengenes reference database. Acetobacter species were dominant in the liquid, accounting for 69.27% of species composition based on through sequencing of the V4 regions of 16S rRNA genes.

⁴http://www.uniprot.org/taxonomy/1578
of bacteria in sour liquid; Pseudomonas species accounted for 12.70% of bacteria in sour liquid; while Lactobacillus and Lactococcus species accounted for only 7.94 and 0.39% of bacteria in sour liquid, respectively (Figure 1). We conclude that Acetobacter, Pseudomonas, and Lactobacillus are the dominant bacteria in the sour liquid.

Isolation of Flocculating Strains

In total, 86 bacteria, 20 yeasts, and 10 molds were isolated from the sour liquid on TJA and Rose-Bengal media; they were inoculated and cultured in the sweet potato juice medium to identify strains with flocculating activity. Eight strains exhibited flocculating activity. These eight isolates were all bacterial strains, with a rod shape and chain-like arrangement of cells, and were identified as Lactobacillus by 16S rDNA sequence homology comparisons. The yeasts and molds did not show any starch-flocculating activity, indicating that Lactobacillus was the dominant flocculating microorganism in the sweet potato sour liquid. The most pronounced flocculating activity among the flocculating strains was observed during the fermentation with Lactobacillus strain L1. Strain L1 was subsequently identified as L. paracasei subsp. paracasei based on its colony character, morphological, and physiological characteristics, as well as 16S rDNA sequence homology referencing Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology; accordingly, it was named L. paracasei subsp. paracasei L1. This strain was deposited in the China General Microbiological Culture Collection Center (CGMCC, no. 4163).

Distribution of the Flocculating Activity in Cell Culture

The distribution of flocculating activity in cell culture, i.e., its association with the cells and extracellular secretions of L. paracasei subsp. paracasei L1, was investigated. It was conclude that more than 85% of the flocculating activity was cell-associated, and less than 15% of the activity was associated with the extracellular secretions (Figure 2).

Changes of Starch Granule Size in Suspension Associated with a Treatment with L. paracasei subsp. paracasei L1 Cultures

The size of starch granules before and after treatment with L. paracasei subsp. paracasei L1 cultures was evaluated with Microtrac S3500 laser grain size analyzer. The average particle size, D_{50}, also called the median diameter, denotes a cumulative 50% point of diameter (or 50% pass particle size). The D_{50} (the average particle size) of starch granule size increased, from 2.286 to 5.450 μm, in the presence of L. paracasei subsp. paracasei L1 cells, suggesting that the starch granules formed massive flocules (Figure 3).
Microscopic Observation of Starch Granules Flocculated by *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* L1 Cultures

The size distribution of starch granules before the addition of bacteria was homogeneous and uniform, as observed under an optical microscope at a magnification of 100× (Figures 4A,B). In the presence of bacteria, the starch granules rapidly aggregated and formed massive flocules. Furthermore, SEM analysis revealed that the *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* L1 cells adhered to the surface of starch granules (Figures 5A–D). Multiple bacterial cells adhered to starch granules surface and also to each other, thus forming bridge-like structures linking starch granules and forming the aggregated floc.

ζ Potential of Solutions during Flocculation

ζ Potential of the starch suspension was initially a lot lower than that of *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* L1 cell suspension in sweet potato juice medium (Table 1). After the addition of cells to the starch suspension (10%, v/v), the potential was closer to zero. According to the DLVO theory, this indicated that the starch granules were in a very unstable state and readily formed a floc precipitation. Both the starch and the cells were negatively charged in water, indicating that the starch-bacterium adhesion was not effected by electrostatic interactions.

The Effect of Physical, Chemical, and Enzymatic Treatments of *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* L1 Cells on Their Flocculating Ability

The effect of various treatments on the flocculating ability of L1 cells was investigated to determine the nature of the flocculation factor on the cell surface, and the nature of the interacting force between the cells and starch granules. As shown in Figure 6, the flocculating activity was sensitive to heat treatment, but not to α-amylase or lysozyme treatments, indicating that the surface polysaccharide of *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* L1 did not mediate the flocculation. In contrast, the flocculating activity of cells was affected by UV, ultrasonic treatment, trichloroacetic acid, and lithium chloride, which are all protein denaturants. Furthermore, trypsin treatment significantly reduced the flocculating activity of cells. Collectively, these results indicated that bacterial surface proteins play a role in flocculation.

Flocculation was slightly affected by Tween 80. This suggested an absence of hydrophobic interactions between *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* L1 cells and starch granules; similarly, electrostatic interactions did not occur because both starch granules and cells were negatively charged in water (vide supra). Moreover, these interactions were likely specific because glucose and maltose significantly inhibited the flocculation (Figure 6).
Identification of Candidate Starch-Binding Proteins

Surface proteins from *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* L1 extracted by the guanidine hydrochloride method were incubated with starch granules. After a series of non-specific washes, proteins adhering to the starch granules were removed by re-suspension in PBS containing 100 mM maltose. Ten candidate starch-binding proteins were then identified by LC-ESI-MS/MS: three of these proteins were glycolytic enzymes; two were identified as the translation elongation factor Tu; one was a cell wall hydrolase; one was a surface antigen; one was lysozyme M1; one was a glycoside hydrolase; and one was an uncharacterized protein (Table 2). Mascot score for these hits was >100. None of the proteins were detected in the control.

DISCUSSION

Sour liquid, whether from sweet potato or mung bean, is used as a microbial flocculant and plays a role in promoting the precipitation of starch during preparation for starch (Research Groups of Sour Liquid, 1974; Xu and Liu, 1980). Nevertheless, data on the dominant flocculating microbes are inconsistent. *Acetobacter, Lactobacillus*, and *Pseudomonas* are dominant in the sweet potato sour liquid, yet all the strains screened in the current study that exhibited flocculating activity belonged to the *Lactobacillus* genus. Notably, *Lactobacillus* sp. were also the first species discovered to flocculate starch (Research Groups of Sour Liquid, 1974; Wei and Qun, 2007). On the other hand, *L. lactis* is responsible for starch flocculation and no other microorganisms has the ability to flocculate starch in mung bean sour liquid (Research Groups of Sour Liquid, 1974; Xu and Liu, 1980; Wei and Qun, 2007). *Lactococcus* is also present in the sweet potato sour liquid but was not isolated in the current study, perhaps because of its low numbers. The difference in nutritional components of the sweet potato and mung bean may account for the discrepancy in dominant bacteria responsible for the flocculation. *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* L1 and *L. lactis* have some common features, which may be associated with their flocculating activity. First, both are lactic acid bacteria that can decrease the pH of the sour liquid. The acidic environment

---

**TABLE 1 | Changes in the ζ potential during flocculation.**

| Sample                                      | ζ Potential (mV) |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Starch suspension                           | $-13.97 \pm 0.23^a$ |
| Cultures of L1                               | $-0.49 \pm 0.02^b$ |
| Starch suspension with adding 10% cultures of L1 | $-2.29 \pm 0.02^b$ |

Values are presented as means ± SD. Values with different letter designations within the same row are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).
is considered indispensable for facilitation of the flocculation of starch in sour liquid. Normally, the sour liquid flocculating activity peaks at pH 4.5 (Research Groups of Sour Liquid, 1974). Second, the cells of both species were arranged in a chain after cell division. The flocculating activity is high when the microbial flocculant forms linear higher-order structures. In contrast, the flocculating activity is low when the microbial flocculant has a branching structure (Biggs et al., 2000; Brostow et al., 2007; Hjorth and Jørgensen, 2012). In the current study, the chain-like arrangement of bacterial cells facilitated starch flocculation.

According to the distribution of flocculating activity, the microbial flocculant may be generally classified into two groups: one located on the microbial cell surface, and one in the culture solution (Brostow et al., 2007; Bhattacharya et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2017). In the current study, more than 85% of the flocculating activity was associated with the culture liquid. The L1 activity was associated with the L1 cells, while less than 15% of the activity was associated with the culture liquid. The L1 cells and starch granules interacted via specific, rather than electrostatic or hydrophobic, interactions because both the cells and granules are negatively charged in water. Furthermore, the flocculating activity of L1 cells was visibly inhibited by glucose or maltose, but was not precise by Tween 80. As such, the \( \zeta \) potential and repulsion decreased from \(-13.97 \pm 0.23^a \text{ mv} \) to \(-2.29 \pm 0.02^b \text{ mv} \). Multiple L1 cells adhere to a single starch granule. Subsequently, many starch granules were connected by L1 cells that served as bridging agents coagulating the starch granules, thereby increasing the starch granule size, and resulting in the formation of massive flocs and easy deposition. During flocculation, the chain-like arrangement contributed to starch precipitation. Therefore, the flocculation of starch by these cells was consistent with the bridging mechanism that is essential for microbial flocculants shown in other studies (Aljuboori et al., 2016; Raj et al., 2016; Du et al., 2017).

It is prerequisite for the flocculation that \( L. \) paracasei subsp. \( paracasei \) L1 adhere to starch. Other bacteria, such as \( Bifidobacterium \) species, \( V. \) cholerae, \( B. \) thetaiotaomicron, and \( L. \) amylovorus, also adhere to starch granules; the mechanisms of their adhesion all appear to involve cell surface proteins (Reeves et al., 1996; Crittenden et al., 2001; Ryan et al., 2006; Niderman-Meyer et al., 2010). In the current study, based on SEM observations, the adhesion factors were located on L1 cell surface. The chemical component of the flocculating factors was then evaluated by physical, chemical, and enzymatic treatments of cells, to verify whether cell surface proteins rather than whole cell peptidoglycan or other polysaccharides of \( L. \) paracasei subsp. \( paracasei \) L1 were involved in the adhesion.

We identified 10 candidate proteins that were involved in the L1 cell-starch interaction; most of them were known to function as adhesins on the cell surface of intestinal bacteria. The identified cell wall hydrolase had the highest Mascot score (455), which indicated that this protein was highly likely to be as identified. Cell wall hydrolases catalyze the cleavage of peptidoglycan sugar or peptide chains (Claes, 2012). Similarly, lysozyme M1 (1,4-\( \beta \)-N-acetylmuramidase) was detected on the L1 cell surface (Mascot score of 183). These hydrolases play important roles in the regulation of cell wall growth, turnover, and maintenance, and in the separation of daughter cells. Hydrolase is also found on the cell wall of \( Lactobacillus \) rhamnosus GG (LGG), often near the mature septa of exponential cells, exhibiting D-glutamyl-L-lysyl endopeptidase activity in zymogram assays (Nadkarni et al., 2014). Mutation of the cell wall hydrolase in LGG impedes normal separation of daughter cells and the cells are arranged in rather long and overly extended chains (Claes, 2012; Smokvina et al., 2013; Nadkarni et al., 2014). In this bacterium, the hydrolysis of muropeptides in the cell wall also likely affects daughter cell separation and regulates the length of the cell chain structure. The unusual chain structure might reflect the increase in steric hindrance that effectively blocks the interaction between

### TABLE 2 | Candidate starch-binding proteins.

| Protein                        | Accession number | MM/pl     | Seq cov/pep match | Mascot score |
|--------------------------------|------------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|
| Cell wall hydrolase            | tr| S2N653       | 41513/8.93 | 25/11         | 455          |
| Surface antigen                | tr| A0A0C9P9Z1   | 42463/6.97 | 9/6           | 199          |
| Phosphoglycerate kinase        | tr| K6GC0V6     | 39603/5.51 | 16/11         | 311          |
| Enolase                        | tr| A0A0C9Q4L1  | 47058/4.73 | 9/8           | 234          |
| Elongation factor Tu           | tr| A0A0C9PS8    | 43546/4.87 | 12/11         | 232          |
| Elongation factor Tu           | sp| Q888E0     | 43350/4.95 | 7/7           | 131          |
| Lysozyme M1 (1,4-\( \beta \)-N-acetylmuramidase) | tr| S2N65B     | 100512/6.74 | 9/7           | 183          |
| Glyceroldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase | tr| A0A0C9PWL0 | 36912/5.68 | 3/3           | 139          |
| Uncharacterized protein        | tr| A0A0F4K5C0  | 41048/8.59 | 11/10         | 130          |
| Glycoside hydrolase            | tr| A0A0B8J0A4  | 49407/4.93 | 7/4           | 105          |
the bacterial surface and starch granules. Hence, appropriate chain length regulated by cell wall hydrolase might aid the flocculation of starch by the bridging mechanism. In the current study, we show for the first time the involvement of this protein in the adhesion of *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* to starch granules.

Four identified starch-binding proteins were associated with glucose metabolism. Three of them were glycolytic enzymes, namely, phosphoglycerate kinase (PGK, Mascot score 311), enolase (ENO, 234), and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH, 139); the fourth one was identified as a glycosidase hydrolase (score 139). These sugar-metabolizing enzymes are found in most bacterial cells and play a role in sugar catabolism or degradation of such complex carbohydrates as lactose or starch (Ramiah et al., 2008; Glenting et al., 2013). We asked whether these glycolytic enzymes and glycosidase hydrolase promote the adhesion of L1 cells to starch granules. On the one hand, the starch granules act as stable surfaces in starch milk, and might facilitate the adhesion of L1 cells because bacteria prefer to grow on solid surfaces rather than in the surrounding aqueous phase (Zobell, 1943; Bäckhed et al., 2005; Boone and Tyrrell, 2012). On the other hand, these proteins, as sugar-metabolizing enzymes, might be available to degrade starch or the products of its decomposition if they are also involved in cellular adhesion, providing energy and sustaining bacterial survival. Corn starch that is flocculated by the sour liquid has low amylase content and small-volume average granule size, high swelling capacity, and high solubility, which suggests that starch is metabolized by bacteria in the sour liquid (Chang et al., 2006; Li et al., 2008). Consequently, the presence of glycolytic enzymes and glycosidase hydrolase on L1 cell surface could play a role in acquiring and metabolizing starch. SEM analyses of the intestines of mice maintained on a standard high-polsaccharide chow diet revealed that the bacterial communities assemble on small undigested or partially digested food particles (Bauerl et al., 2010). Glycolytic enzymes and glycosidase hydrolase are produced by *B. thetaiotaomicron*, a prominent mutualist in the distal intestine of adult human (Lebeer, 2010). Whole-genome transcriptional profiling of *B. thetaiotaomicron* revealed that a high-polsaccharide-chow diet is associated with a selective up-regulation of a subset of SusC and SusD paralogs that bind to and import starch, a subset of glycosidase hydrolases, and genes encoding enzymes involved in the delivery of mannose, galactose, and xylose to the pentose phosphate pathway (Liu and Shen, 2007a,b; Deng et al., 2013; Li et al., 2015). Similarly, adhesion to starch might facilitate the hydrolysis of starch and its products, including glucose, by glycolytic enzymes and glycosidase hydrolase located either on the cell surface or inside L1 cells. Hence, the bacterium may efficiently use starch and colonize it, surviving under these conditions.

**CONCLUSION**

As determined by 16S rDNA sequencing and traditional microbiology techniques, *Lactobacillus* was the dominant flocculating bacterial genus in the sweet potato sour liquid. *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* L1 strain with a high flocculating activity was isolated, and the flocculation mechanism of its adhesion to starch was investigated. Our results showed that the *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* L1 cells specifically bound starch granules and linked these starch granules to form large flocs by bridging. This accelerated starch deposition. The starch-binding proteins on the surface of *L. paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* L1 cells were extracted using guanidine hydrochloride, and 10 proteins with Mascot scores ≥ 100 were identified by mass spectrometry. These proteins are also present, as adhesion molecules, on the cell surface of other probiotic bacteria. Their role in bacterial starch metabolism, functional properties, and potential applications in adhesion to starch or other materials should be further investigated.
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