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Abstract. Identifying the massive progenitor stars that give rise to core-collapse supernovae is one of the main pursuits of supernova and stellar evolution studies. In this talk I discuss some aspects of the pursuit of these progenitor stars in ground-based and Hubble Space Telescope images.

1. Introduction

The main obstacle to identifying the progenitor of a core-collapse ($M_{\text{ZAMS}} \gtrsim 8-10 \, M_\odot$) supernova (SN) is that the SN leaves few traces of the star that exploded. Before now, only five out of (at the time of this writing) nearly 3000 historical extragalactic SNe have had their progenitors directly identified. These include SN 1961V in NGC 1058 (Zwicky 1964, 1965), SN 1978K in NGC 1313 (Ryder et al. 1993), SN 1987A in the LMC (e.g., Gilmozzi et al. 1987; Sonneborn, Altner, & Kirshner 1987), SN 1993J in M81 (Aldering, Humphreys, & Richmond 1994; Cohen, Darling, & Porter 1995), and SN 1997bs in M66 (Van Dyk et al. 1999). It should be noted that these five SNe were all at least somewhat unusual, and both SNe 1961V (Van Dyk, Filippenko, & Li 2002) and 1997bs (Van Dyk et al. 2000) may not have been actual SNe.

2. SN Progenitor Search

Clearly, direct identification of the progenitors of additional core-collapse SNe is essential. Ideally, one pinpoints the exact SN location by comparing a late-time SN image with a pre-SN image. We got the ball rolling for this whole game by exploiting the superior spatial resolution afforded by the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) and identifying the SN 1997bs progenitor, by comparing archival WFPC2 images used to measure the Cepheid distance to the host galaxy, in which the SN was clearly detected at late times, with an archival pre-SN F606W WFPC2 image (Van Dyk et al. 1999).

We undertook a more extensive search to isolate the progenitors of 6 SNe II and 10 SNe Ib/c in WFPC2 images in Van Dyk, Li, & Filippenko (2003a). In that paper we recovered SNe 1999dn, 2000C, and 2000ew at late times, but, unfortunately, their pre-SN images did not show a progenitor candidate at the SN position. For the other 13 SNe the trick was determining the SN location on one of the four WFPC2 chips. To achieve the highest astrometric accuracy possible for all image data we had to measure the SN position on a KAIT image (see the contribution by Filippenko for more on KAIT) and then locate the SN site by applying an independent astrometric grid to the pre-SN image. We
adopted 2MASS (with positional uncertainty ≤0.10) as the basis for the grid for both the ground-based and HST images. Once the SN site was located, photometry of the appropriate WFPC2 chip was performed using the routine HSTphot (Dolphin 2000a,b) with a 3σ detection threshold.

We had possibly identified the progenitors of the SNe II 1999br, 1999ev, and 2001du as supergiant stars with $M_V^0 \approx -6$ mag, and the progenitors of the SNe Ib 2001B and 2001is as very luminous supergiants with $M_V^0 \approx -8$ to $-9$ mag, as well as the progenitor of the SN Ic 1999bu as a supergiant with $M_V^0 \approx -7.5$ mag. For all other SNe in our sample we could only place limits on the progenitor absolute magnitude and color.

Six of the SNe (1999an, 1999br, 1999ev, 2000ds, 2000ew, 2001B) had been imaged in multiple bands with ACS at late times by Smartt and collaborators. These data became available in the HST archive. We had already recovered SN 2000ew, and, along with SNe 1999an and 2000ds, we did not detect a SN progenitor in each pre-SN image. We also found we had not correctly identified the progenitor for SN 2001B (the progenitor, in fact, is not detected in the pre-SN image). In Figures 1 and 2 we show that the limits which we are able to place on the progenitors of the SN Ic 2000ew and the SN Ib 2001B are not very restrictive. Possibly the SN Ib/c progenitors instead are massive interacting He star binaries (e.g., Avila-Reese 1993), and the theoretical single-star evolutionary tracks shown are not relevant.

Figure 1. H-R diagram showing the upper limit (heavy solid line) to the luminosity, based on the $V$ upper detection limit, of the supergiant progenitor star of the SN Ic 2000ew for a range of possible surface temperatures (Drilling & Landolt 2000), and for two possible extinctions to the SN, $A_V = 1.25$ and 0.15 mag (see Van Dyk et al. 2003a). The heavy dashed line shows the range of luminosity for a possible Wolf-Rayet progenitor star for the SN. Stellar evolutionary tracks (alternating long-dashed lines and short-dashed lines) for a range of initial masses from Lejeune & Schaerer (2001), with enhanced mass loss and solar metallicity, are overlaid.
3. The Type II-P SN 2001du in NGC 1365

For SN 2001du we isolated three possible candidate progenitor stars within the uncertainty of the measured SN position: one reddish star with a detection in both the F555W and F814W bands, and two stars with only F555W detections, implying bluer colors for these latter two stars (Van Dyk et al. 2003a). Late-time multi-band WFPC2 SN images were obtained by Smartt and collaborators. We had assumed that, since SN 2001du is of Type II-P, the most plausible candidate is the redder star. We used the late-time SN images, specifically the V image, and found the SN position on the pre-SN images to be 0.70±0.15 WF pixel (0′′.07) northeast of one of the blue stars (Van Dyk, Li, & Filippenko 2003b). Thus, we concluded that the progenitor is not detected in the pre-SN images.

We used the F555W and F814W pre-SN image detection limits to constrain the nature of the progenitor: Adopting $E(B-V) \approx 0.1$ mag and distance modulus $\mu = 31.3$ mag for SN 2001du, $M_V > -6.4$ and $M_I > -7.0$ mag for the progenitor star. These limits were converted to the likely supergiant progenitor luminosity, assuming the full range of Possible stellar surface temperatures (Drilling & Landolt 2000). Stars with luminosities brighter than these limits should have been detected in the pre-SN images. We compared the limits to model stellar evolutionary tracks for a metallicity appropriate for the SN environment and for a range of masses, and estimated that the SN progenitor mass is $M_{\text{ZAMS}} < 13^{+4}_{-1} M_\odot$, which is consistent with the mass limits on other previous SNe II-P. See Smartt et al. (2003) for a similar result for SN 2001du. Also see the estimate for the SN 2004dj progenitor by Maiz-Apellaniz et al. (2004).

4. The Type II-P SN 2003gd in Messier 74 (NGC 628)

Using a precise SN position from ground-based images, we isolated the SN position on pre-SN archival WFPC2 images to ±0.6 (±6 WF pixels). Two stars,
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A and B, were detected near or within the error circle. Color information for both progenitor candidates were obtained from a high-quality, ground-based $I$-band image, on which two faint objects are seen near the positions of both A and B. Both stars are red supergiants, and from model evolutionary tracks for above-solar metallicity, assuming $E(B-V) = 0.13$ mag and $\mu = 29.3$ mag for SN 2003gd, Star B had initial mass $M_{\text{ZAMS}} \approx 5 \, M_\odot$ (formally below the theoretical lower limit for core-collapse SNe), and Star A had $M_{\text{ZAMS}} \approx 8$–9 $M_\odot$. Although Star A is farther from the SN position we measured than is Star B, and just outside the edge of the error circle, Star A was considered the most plausible progenitor candidate, based on its initial mass and the fact that it was the brightest $I$-band object within the SN’s larger, $\sim 1''$ radius, environment (Van Dyk, Li, & Filippenko 2003c). This identification was confirmed via late-time HST imaging of the SN by Smartt et al. (2004), who arrive at the same conclusion for the progenitor’s initial mass. (See the contributions by Hendry and Maund to these proceedings.)

5. SN 1999br: A Massive, Failed SN?

Nomoto et al. (2004) argued that the faint SNe II-P 1997D and 1999br (e.g., Zampieri et al. 2003) are highly massive ($M_{\text{ZAMS}} \sim 20$–30 $M_\odot$), “failed” SNe (presumably a massive envelope smothers the core-collapse energy release). To the contrary, when the luminosity of the possible progenitor of SN 1999br progenitor seen in HST images (Van Dyk et al. 2003a) is placed in context with stellar evolution models (Figure 3), and the progenitor is assumed to be a red supergiant, the upper mass limit is $M_{\text{ZAMS}} \sim 12$–15 $M_\odot$. (A similar result is found even if the candidate star we identified was not the progenitor, and we can only place an upper limit on the progenitor luminosity.)

Figure 3. Same as in Figure 1, based on $V$, with Galactic foreground $A_V$, for the progenitor candidate (five-pointed stars) of the SN II-P 1999br (see Van Dyk et al. 2003a). Also shown is the upper limit to the progenitor’s luminosity (heavy solid curve), if the star identified by us was not the progenitor. See text for discussion.
6. Progenitors of SN Impostors

As mentioned above, a growing number of SNe have been shown to likely not be SNe at all, but are more likely analogs of η Car. These so-called “SN impostors” include SNe 1961V and 1997bs, but also SN 2002kg, among others. We (Van Dyk et al. 1999; see our Figure 7a) discovered the SN 1997bs progenitor in archival F606W images of NGC 3627 from 1994 Dec, at $m_{F606W} = 22.86$ mag. For the distance modulus to the host and a revised estimate of extinction, we (Van Dyk et al. 2000) found an absolute magnitude $M_V \simeq -8.1$, which is consistent with it having been an extremely luminous supergiant star. Unfortunately, no color information exists for this star. We can constrain its initial mass by comparing this luminosity and a range of possible supergiant surface temperatures (Drilling & Landolt 2000) to stellar evolutionary tracks; see Figure 4. The minimum mass allowed by the luminosity, for $T_{\text{eff}} \approx 6300$ K, is $M \approx 20 \, M_\odot$. If the precursor was a blue supergiant, however, the mass can range up to 120 $M_\odot$ or more.

![Figure 4](image_url)

Figure 4. H-R diagram showing model stellar evolutionary tracks (alternating long-dashed lines and short-dashed lines) for a range of initial masses from Lejeune & Schaerer (2001), with enhanced mass loss for the most massive stars and solar metallicity. Also shown is the precursor luminosity (heavy solid line) at F606W ($\sim V$) in the pre-SN HST image (see Van Dyk et al. 1999) for a range of supergiant star surface temperatures (Drilling & Landolt 2000).

The precursor of SN 2002kg has been identified in high quality, multi-band ground-based, pre-outburst images of the host galaxy. The star had been previously identified by Tammann & Sandage (1968) as the “irregular blue variable” V37, which had an erratic $B$ light curve over several decades. The star had $M_{V0} = -7.4$ mag and unreddened colors consistent with a very luminous OB supergiant (the spectra at outburst resemble those of known B-type LBVs). Placing the star on a H-R diagram we conclude that $M_{\text{ZAMS}} \gtrsim 60 \, M_\odot$ for the star (unfortunately, the photometric uncertainties do not allow this mass to be more tightly constrained). This is the first time that an η Car analog has had its initial mass accurately estimated.
7. Conclusions

We are continuing our search for core-collapse SN progenitors in high-quality (mainly, HST) images. The possible detections and constraints on the SN II progenitors are broadly consistent with red supergiants as progenitor stars, with their colors implying spectral types typically M or somewhat earlier. In fact, SNe II-P progenitors appear to have $M_{\text{ZAMS}} \lesssim 20 M_\odot$. The SN II-P 2003gd progenitor is only the sixth ever directly identified, with $M_{\text{ZAMS}} = 8$–$9 M_\odot$. The data so far for the progenitors of SNe Ib/c are inadequate; we are unable to place rigorous constraints on either the Wolf-Rayet star or massive interacting binary models for the progenitors of these SNe Ib/c, the most extreme examples of which have been associated with some GRBs (see Matheson, these proceedings). Direct identification of the progenitors of SNe Ib/c is of the utmost importance, given this connection. The SNe IIn are a very heterogeneous group, a topic that deserves considerably more discussion elsewhere. Some SNe IIn are “SN impostors,” which are more likely superoutbursts of very massive evolved stars, similar to $\eta$ Car. This is borne out by the identification of the SN 2002kg progenitor, or precursor, with $M_{\text{ZAMS}} \gtrsim 60 M_\odot$. If SN 2002kg is instead a real SN (which we doubt), then its progenitor is only the seventh, out of 1000’s, to be directly identified.

Acknowledgments. W. Li and A.V. Filippenko are my two co-conspirators in this work.
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