Preliminary findings on a sequential and combined targeted epigenetic therapy through MAPK and MEK inhibition: A case study of advanced neuroendocrine malignancy
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Abstract

The aim of targeted therapies is to inhibit the driving set of molecules, or pathways, in cancer. New research regarding tumor drivers and escape pathways has led researchers to investigate combinational therapies in cancer, either through simultaneous or sequential application. In this article, we discuss a strategy to inhibit the actionable targets while blocking feedback loops, by epigenetic therapies and recognizing and understanding the role these treatments play in controlling escape pathways. We present a case of a patient with a neuroendocrine tumor of the liver who was treated with this strategy. Our results showed a positive response and led us to conclude that further studies are needed to structure an enhanced analysis of tumor escape pathways. This would enable us to formulate more effective therapies in neuroendocrine tumor as well as other solid tumors.
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Background

In recent years, the research and literature has explored adaptive and acquired resistance to molecular targets that were initially actionable early during disease course. Resistance is thought to be mediated by activation of downstream targets. For example, Ras and MAPK target activation have been identified in cases of EGFR drug resistance. By an understanding of the complex networks of molecular targets and their feedback loops, scientists may be able to apply this knowledge to navigate a treatment strategy that works through the sequencing of targeted therapies [1].

In relation to alterations that can be identified, the number of actionable targets in the treatment of cancer is relatively low [2]. Therefore, well thought-out and educated decisions should be implored in the treatment of actionable targets, as it becomes nearly impossible to treat the consequential activation of targets through escape pathways [3]. For example, inhibition of the PI3k pathway through mTOR inhibitors may lead to activation of the EGFR/KRAS/RAF/MEK/MAPK pathway, creating resistant, and difficult to treat disease. In many studies, dual inhibitions have failed to improve a patients' survival, which is thought to be due to activation of feedback loops, or mutations in downstream molecules [4]. In other hand, we have recently recognized the role of epigenetic therapies in treatment of wide range of tumors, including liver and neuroendocrine tumors. Changes in the levels of epigenetic-modifying enzymes are an important factor in altering expression of genes involved in cancer-related pathways [5-8].

In this paper, we discuss a strategy by which with application of epigenetic therapies in combination with Mek inhibitors, we are able to improve outcome. It is hypothesized here that such improved outcome is due to inhibition of the actionable target (Mek), as well as block the feedback loops. The main pitfall of this type of treatment is that there are not a wide range of genomic alterations that can be identified by molecular profiling to distinguish feedback loops or their relationship. The fingerprint of these feedback loops is only recognized after secondary activation of targets are identified on liquid biopsy, once available.

Methods and materials

Multitargeted epigenetic therapy consisting Polyphenol and butyrate intravenous administration per protocol, Trametinib oral drug at 2 mg per day

Case study

A 40-year-old female, living in Mexico, had an abdominal mass found during routine physical exam in 2012. She underwent abdominal ultrasound, which showed a mass in her liver. At the time of diagnosis, the patient denied having any other health conditions. She had no
complaints and did not display any signs and symptoms of disease. She was eating well, her weight was stable, and she had no pain. In March of 2012, the patient underwent a liver resection, and tolerated procedure well. The pathology report indicated the following of the mass: negative angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE), negative CA-125, negative CA19-9, negative CD45, negative CK20, negative HMB-45, negative Hep, negative glypican, and negative Thyroid transcription factor-1 (TTF-1). These findings ruled out metastases to the liver from other types of adenocarcinoma, as well as other causes of liver dysfunction. In addition, the pathology was positive for Chromogranin A (CgA), CD56, CK7, and calcitonin. The positive findings of CgA along with the other findings indicated that the tumor removed with consistent with a neuroendocrine malignant neoplasm (carcinoid type).

The patient was followed with imaging, and a year later in April 2013, a recurrent liver lesion was identified. The patient was experiencing abdominal distention and discomfort, along with lower extremity edema. Chemo-embolization of the liver lesion was performed. In 2014, the patient went on to receive Octreootide injections, and was clinically stable. In April 2016, the patient began to experience severe headaches, which prompted MRI evaluation. Brain MRI was performed, and identified two lesions, which were subsequently resected in May 2016. The patient did not receive any radiation therapy. Following resection, the patient completed three cycles of oral temozolomide and capcitabine. She was followed by CT scan, and imaging from October 2016 done after chemotherapy indicated progression of disease. All chemotherapies were discontinued, and patient was referred to palliative care/hospice, as well as to our clinic for evaluation.

Upon her presentation to our clinic in November 2016, the patient reported that she was in pain and did not have an appetite. She was evaluated and was found to have a poor function and ECOG score. Her labs were drawn and showed significantly elevated markers of disease. These included elevated lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) of 508 IU/L (normal 39-117 IU/L), Human epididymis protein 4 (HE4), CA-125, Transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF-b1), and Chromogranin A. In addition, the pathology was positive for Chromogranin A (CgA), CD56, CK7, and calcitonin. The positive findings of CgA along with the other findings indicated that the tumor removed with consistent with a neuroendocrine malignant neoplasm (carcinoid type).

The patient had experienced improved quality of life and exceeded her expected survival.

3. Comparison of present findings with former results

Compared to the former analysis from 11-Nov-2016, the expression level of the molecular tumor marker PDGFR-b has decreased and is in the normal range. Markers C-KIT, CHGA, EGFR and telomerase are still in the normal range.

| Marker (threshold) | PDGFR-b (2.0) | C-KIT (2.0) | Telomerase (2.0) | CHGA (<1) | EGFR (<1) |
|-------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|
| 21.12.2016        | 1.12         | 1.16        | 0               | 0         | 0         |
| 10.11.2016        | 1.47         | 0.27        | 0               | 0         | 0         |

*Markers above threshold in bold face

Conclusion: The measured values of the detection markers suggest that the tumor cell burden in blood has decreased compared to the former analysis. There are no indications for presence of CTCs in the analyzed blood specimen.
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Analysis Report of Lab No. 4402037482 from 21.12.2016
Patient: Neuroendocrine tumor, liver

For the analysis, we performed the following work steps

1. Isolation of circulating tumor cells / micrometastases
In order to obtain circulating tumor cells from the patient's peripheral blood, large cells and cell-clusters as well as epithelial cells were isolated. A preparation of mononuclear cells (MNC) served as a control cell fraction. From all fractions mRNA was isolated. Afterwards, the expression of tumor-relevant genes was measured by quantitative real-time RT-PCR.

2. Molecular detection of circulating tumor cells
The following molecular markers were used to detect tumor cells:

| Marker | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| Telomerase | The expression of the telomerase-gene can be increased in most tumor types, but not in normal tissue. An increased expression of the telomerase gene may be indicative for the presence of tumor cells in the circulation. neg: expression of telomerase was not detected in the isolated cells. |
| EGFR | Abnormal expression of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) can be detected in many different types of cancer. Abnormal EGFR expression is therefore indicative for the presence of circulating tumor cells. neg: EGFR-expression was not detected. |
| C-KIT | C-KIT and PDGFR-beta are growth factor receptors which may be overexpressed in different kinds of tumors, especially neuroendocrine tumors. Elevated expression may therefore indicative for the presence of circulating tumor cells. neg: Elevated expression of C-KIT was not detected in the isolated cells. |
| PDGFR-beta | neg: Elevated expression of PDGFR-beta was not detected in the isolated cells. |
| CHGA | CHGA (Chromogranin A) is typically expressed in neuroendocrine and carcinoid tumors and is a diagnostic marker for these types of cancer. Thus, the detection of expression of CHGA mRNA indicates the presence of circulating cancer cells. neg: expression of the CHGA-gene was not detectable. |

Interpretation
In the fraction of isolated tumor cells, abnormal expression of all measured tumor-associated markers-genes was not observed.

Conclusion
According to the panel of molecular tumor markers used for this analysis, there are no indications for presence of carcinous cells in the analyzed blood specimen.
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For the analysis, we performed the following work steps:

1. Isolation of circulating tumor cells / micrometastases

In order to obtain circulating tumor cells from the patient's peripheral blood, large cells and cell-clusters as well as epithelial cells were isolated. A preparation of mononuclear cells (MNC) served as a control cell fraction. From all fractions mRNA was isolated. Afterwards, the expression of tumor-relevant genes was measured by quantitative real-time RT-PCR.

2. Molecular detection of circulating tumor cells

The following molecular markers were used to detect tumor cells:

| Marker | Detection Details |
|--------|------------------|
| Telomerase | The expression of the telomerase-gene can be increased in most tumor types, but not in normal tissue. An increased expression of the telomerase gene may be indicative for the presence of tumor cells in the circulation. neg: Expression of telomerase was not detected in the isolated cells. |
| EGFR | Abnormal expression of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) can be detected in many different types of cancer. Abnormal EGFR expression is therefore indicative for the presence of circulating tumor cells. neg: EGFR-expression was not detected. |
| C-KIT PDGFR-b | C-KIT and PDGFR-beta are growth factor receptors which may be overexpressed in different kinds of tumors, especially neuroendocrine tumors. Elevated expression may therefore indicative for the presence of circulating tumor cells. neg: Elevated expression of C-KIT was not detected in the isolated cells. pos: Elevated expression of PDGFR-beta was detected in the isolated cells. |
| CHGA | CHGA (Chromogranin A) is typically expressed in neuroendocrine and carcinoid tumors and is a diagnostic marker for these types of cancer. Thus, the detection of expression of CHGA mRNA indicates the presence of circulating cancer cells. neg: expression of the CHGA-gene was not detectable. |

Interpretation
In the isolated tumor cell fraction, expression of PDGFR-beta was above threshold (>2.0). This finding may indicate the presence of circulating tumor cells in the analysed blood sample.

Figure 1. Circulating tumor cells
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Figure 2. Labs

Conclusion

Sequential and biphasic Inhibition of downstream targets/pathways of MAPK and MEK may significantly, and quickly translate in better outcome in advanced solid tumors, where the liquid biopsy suggests overactive driver mutated genes. Such approach by implementing epigenetic therapies is novel as it addresses existing therapeutic challenges (for first time to our knowledge) in neuroendocrine tumor, where the clinical advantage of sequential targeted therapy has been investigated with good results. We recommend further analysis and trials in investigating this therapeutic approach in wide range of tumors.
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