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Abstract

Artificial Intelligence for Content Creation has the potential to reduce the amount of manual content creation work significantly. While automation of laborious work is welcome, it is only useful if it allows users to control aspects of the creative process when desired. Furthermore, widespread adoption of semi-automatic content creation depends on low barriers regarding the expertise, computational budget and time required to obtain results and experiment with new techniques. With state-of-the-art approaches relying on task-specific models, multi-GPU setups and weeks of training time, we must find ways to reuse and recombine them to meet these requirements. Instead of designing and training methods for controllable content creation from scratch, we thus present a method to repurpose powerful, existing models for new tasks, even though they have never been designed for them. We formulate this problem as a translation between expert models, which includes common content creation scenarios, such as text-to-image and image-to-image translation, as a special case. As this translation is ambiguous, we learn a generative model of hidden representations of one expert conditioned on hidden representations of the other expert. Working on the level of hidden representations makes optimal use of the computational effort that went into the training of the expert model to produce these efficient, low-dimensional representations. Experiments demonstrate that our approach can translate from BERT, a state-of-the-art expert for text, to BigGAN, a state-of-the-art expert for images, to enable text-to-image generation, which neither of the experts can perform on its own. Additional experiments show the wide applicability of our approach across different conditional image synthesis tasks and improvements over existing methods for image modifications.

1. Introduction

Neural Networks achieve superhuman performance in specific tasks [2, 45, 41, 1] but are far from being generally intelligent [52]. A state-of-the-art classifier might perfectly distinguish even slightest semantic variations in inputs, but will never be able to synthesize a description of its inner workings, unless explicitly trained to do so. Furthermore, such a model is typically well-performing on a certain domain (such as natural images), but cannot handle data from another domain, e.g. speech. These kind of problems can be summarized in the task of domain-to-
domain translation and are subject to a large body of work [62, 63, 4]. A shortcoming of a lot of these approaches is their specificity: Specific loss functions and model classes are designed for specific problems, often resulting in non-transferable models. The breakthrough work of [28] introduced a general-purpose formulation based on conditional adversarial networks [39], which enabled supervised image-to-image translation without the need for a hand-crafted loss function. Following on from this method, we utilize strong, pretrained individual networks, each an expert in its very specific task, and combine them by learning a translation between their hidden representations with a conditionally invertible neural network (INN).

Using this approach we are equipped with a single, general-purpose mechanism that enables generative fusion of arbitrary models, and by exploiting their individual capacities, yields a powerful task-transfer algorithm. Tab. 1 shows an example of such a network-to-network translation: Utilizing the transformer-based natural language model BERT [8] and a state-of-the-art GAN for ImageNet [7] generation, BigGAN [1], we train our approach to perform text-to-image translation. The figure shows a rich variety of generated examples, capturing both changes on a micro level (such as color in line 1-2) and the macro level (e.g. broccoli vs. school bus, l. 3&5).

Summarizing, our contributions are as follows: We (i) provide a general purpose approach that enables combination of arbitrary neural networks for multiple conditional image generation tasks trough a hidden bottleneck and maximum likelihood training, by (ii) learning a conditional generative model which models the distribution of realizations $y$ corresponding to given input $x$, where $x$ and $y$ can be from different domains $D_x$ and $D_y$, and (iii) make transfer tasks on a rich variety of domains and datasets computationally affordable, since our method does not require any gradient computations w.r.t the expert models.

### 2. Generative Models for Content Creation

The majority of approaches for deep-learning-based content creation rely on Variational Autoencoders (VAEs) [31, 50], Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) [21], Autoregressive models [55], or normalizing flows [42] obtained with invertible neural networks (INNs) [9, 10]. These methods transform samples from a simple base distribution, mainly a standard normal or a uniform distribution, to a complex target distribution, e.g. the distribution of a subset of) natural images. Sampling the base distribution then leads to the generation of novel content. Recent works [58, 16] also utilize INNs to transform the latent distribution of an autoencoder to the base distribution. A simple structure of the base distribution allows rudimentary control over the generative process in the form of vector arithmetic applied to samples [44, 48, 53, 20]. More generally, providing control over the generated content is formulated as conditional image synthesis.

In its most basic form, conditional image synthesis is achieved by generative models which, in addition to a sample from the base distribution, take class labels [39, 30] or attributes [24] into account. More complex conditioning information are considered in [62, 47], where textual descriptions provide more fine-grained control over the generative process. A wide range of approaches can be characterized as image-to-image translations where both the generated content and the conditioning information is given by images. Examples for conditioning images include grayscale images [64], low resolution images [32], edge images [28], segmentation maps [43, 3] or heatmaps of keypoints [17, 36, 14]. [28] introduced a common framework for image-to-image translation, which found widespread adoption among artists and designers. We argue that this success of [28] is caused by its unified treatment of image-to-image translation which allows artists to easily explore different ways to control the image synthesis without requiring deep-learning expertise. We take this unification one step further and provide a unified approach for a wide range of conditional content creation, including class labels, attributes, text and images as conditioning. In the case of image conditioning, our approach can be trained either with aligned image pairs as in [28, 3, 43] or with unaligned image pairs as in [66, 33, 5, 27, 15].

While many works on generative models focus on relatively simple datasets containing little variations, e.g. CelebA [35] containing only aligned images of faces, [1, 12] demonstrated the possibility to apply these models to large-scale datasets such as ImageNet [7]. However, such experiments require a computational effort which is typically far out of reach for individuals. Moreover, the need to retrain large models for experimentation prohibits rapid prototyping of new ideas for content creation. Making use of pre-trained neural networks can significantly reduce the computational budget and training time. For discriminative tasks, the ability to effectively reuse pre-trained neural networks has long been recognized [46, 11, 60]. For generative tasks, however, there are less works that aim to reuse pre-trained networks efficiently. Features obtained from pre-trained classifier networks are used to derive style and content losses for style transfer algorithms [18], and they have been demonstrated to measure perceptual similarity between images significantly better than pixelwise distances [37, 65]. [61, 38] find images which maximally activate neurons of pre-trained networks and [51] shows that improved synthesis results are obtained with adversarially robust classifiers. Instead of directly searching over images, [40] uses a pre-trained generator network of [13],
3. Approach

Our goal is to learn a mapping between two domains $\mathcal{D}_x$ and $\mathcal{D}_y$: Given a query $x$, we aim to find a translation between $x \in \mathcal{D}_x$ and corresponding realizations $y \in \mathcal{D}_y$. More precisely, in our work $\mathcal{D}_x$ can contain a variety of entities such as textual descriptions, attributes, edge-images, segmentation maps or corrupted images, whereas $\mathcal{D}_y$ always contains natural images. This mapping is inherently multi-modal: As an example, consider mapping a query $x$ from the domain of natural language to realizations $y$ from the domain of natural images. Such visualizations typically show a rich variety in semantics, see Fig. 2 for an illustration. There exists a large body of fairly recent work covering the task of domain-to-domain translation, see Sec. 2 for details. Most of these methods, however, are highly specialized, domain-specific and have huge computational demands. A general-purpose algorithm for arbitrary domain-to-domain translation, such as Pix2Pix [28] or CycleGAN [67] for image-to-image translation, with low computational costs is currently missing in the literature.

The key insight of our work is that we can solve this task by making use of so-called expert models, which may achieve state-of-the-art performance on their respective domain, but are simultaneously restricted to this domain: For example, we aim to combine a transformer-based language model with a state-of-the art GAN for image generation. In a nutshell, we solve this problem by coupling such expert models via their hidden representations $z$.

To this end, let there be a joint distribution $p(x, y)$ from which queries $x$ and realizations $y$ can be sampled. Furthermore, let $f$ denote the expert model acting on $\mathcal{D}_x$, while $g$ denotes the model on $\mathcal{D}_y$. As we consider $\mathcal{D}_y$ to hold natural images, any well-performing model such as a generative adversarial network (GAN, [22]) or an autoencoder (AE) can be used to represent $g$. Because $g$ does in general not know anything about $x \in \mathcal{D}_x$, $g$ is reusable and can be coupled to various models $f$, which live on various query domains $\mathcal{D}_x$.

Additionally, we assume that we have access to query-realization pairs $(x, y)$, where $y$ is drawn from the distribution $p(y|x) = p(x, y)/p(x)$ given a query $x$. Our overall goal can then be expressed as learning an approximation $g(y|x)$ such that $q(y|x) \approx p(y|x)$. To do so, let us define that both expert models can be expressed as a composition of two functions $f(x) = \Psi(\Phi(x))$ and $g(y) = \Lambda(\Theta(y))$, such that we combine the models by learning a transformation $\tau$ that translates between their hidden representations $z_\Phi = \Phi(x)$ and $z_\Theta = \Theta(y)$.

3.1. Learning the translation $x \rightarrow y$

Using the above formulation, a high-level translation pipeline at inference time can be expressed as follows: Given a query $x$, we produce its latent embedding $z_\Phi$, use $\tau$ to translate it to another model’s hidden space $z_\Theta$, and finally use $\Lambda$ to decode the translated representation into $\mathcal{D}_y$. We solve this task by learning a suitable transformation $\tau$.

Figure 2: Sampling multiple realizations $y$ given a query $x$. The given example corresponds to text-to-image creation.
Invariances of \( f \) enable control of content creation: The above formulation contains a difficult challenge: The mapping from \( z_\phi \) to \( z_\Theta \) is multi-modal, as (I) usually, multiple realizations \( y \) correspond to a single query \( x \) and (II) successful neural networks \( f \) learn invariances w.r.t. the input \( x \). An example for the latter is a face recognition model, which, if trained successfully, should be invariant to pose, lighting, ... of an input image \( x \). We thus have to approximate the distribution \( p(z_\Theta|z_\Phi) \), such that sampling and decoding \( z_\Theta \sim p(z_\Theta|z_\Phi) = p(z_\Theta|\Phi(x)) \) through \( \Lambda \) enables creation of realizations of input queries \( x \).

Learning a translation between model representations: To cover the invariances induced by both (I) and (II), we need a representation \( v \) of the remaining variance, such that, taken together, \( z_\Phi \) and \( v \) uniquely determine \( z_\Theta \), i.e. there is a mapping \( \tau \) s.t. \( z_\Theta = \tau(v, z_\Phi) \), where sampling the invariances can be described by sampling \( v \). Note that \( \tau \) induces a distribution \( \pi \), but for arbitrary \( \tau \), sampling from this induced distribution is just as hard as sampling \( z_\Theta \) directly. However, there exist \( \tau \) such that the induced distribution has the following nice properties: \( v \sim \pi(v) \) is independent of \( z_\Phi \), \( \pi \) is easy to sample from and interpolations of samples are valid samples. One instantiation of such a distribution is given by a Gaussian distribution. We are thus looking for a \( \tau \) such that the induced distribution is a (multivariate) normal distribution \( \mathcal{N}(0,1) \).

We implement \( \tau \) as a conditional invertible neural network (INN), such that by a change of variables

\[
p(z_\Theta|z_\Phi) = \frac{p(v|z_\Phi)}{|\det \nabla (\tau(v|z_\Phi))|}, \quad \text{where } v = \tau^{-1}(z_\Theta|z_\Phi).
\]  

(1)

Here, the denominator denotes the absolute value of the determinant of the Jacobian \( \nabla(\tau) \) of \( v \mapsto \tau(v|z_\Phi) = z_\Theta \), which can be efficiently computed for suitable invertible architectures.

By Eq. (1), \( p(z_\Theta|z_\Phi) \) is expressed by means of the distribution \( p(v|z_\Phi) \) of invariances, given a model’s \( f \) representation \( z_\Phi = f(x) \). As described above, the distribution \( p(v|z_\Phi) \) is induced by \( \tau \): Thus, we identify \( p(v|z_\Phi) = \pi(v) = \mathcal{N}(0,1) \). Note that we can assume such a simple Gaussian prior, as a powerful transformation \( \tau \) can transform between two arbitrary densities. Given this prior, our task is then to learn the transformation \( \tau \) that maps \( \mathcal{N}(v|0,1) \) onto \( p(z_\Theta|z_\Phi) \). To this end, we maximize the log-likelihood of \( z_\Theta \) given \( z_\Theta \), obtained via paired training inputs \( z_\Phi = \Phi(x) \) and \( z_\Theta = \Theta(y) \), resulting in a per-example loss of

\[
\ell(z_\Phi, z_\Theta) = - \log p(z_\Theta|z_\Phi) \\
= -\log \mathcal{N}(\tau^{-1}(z_\Theta|z_\Phi)) \\
- \log |\det \nabla \tau^{-1}(z_\Theta|z_\Phi)|.
\]  

(2)

Minimizing this loss over the training data distribution \( p(x,y) \) gives \( \tau \), a bijective mapping between \( (z_\Phi, v) \) and \( z_\Theta \): 

\[
\mathcal{L}(\tau) = \mathbb{E}_{x,y \sim p(x,y)} [\ell(\Phi(x), \Theta(y))] \\
= \mathbb{E}_{x,y \sim p(x,y)} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \|\tau^{-1}(\Theta(y))\Phi(x)\|^2 + N(0, \log 2\pi) \right. \\
\left. - \log |\det \nabla \tau^{-1}(\Theta(y))\Phi(x)| \right]
\]  

(3)

Note that both \( \Phi \) and \( \Theta \) remain fixed during minimization of \( \mathcal{L} \).

Stacking the models: Consequently, at inference time, we obtain translated samples \( z_\Theta \) for given \( z_\Phi \) by sampling from the invariant space \( v \) given \( z_\Phi \) and then applying \( \tau \).

\[
z_\Theta \sim p(z_\Theta|z_\Phi) \iff \quad v \sim \pi(v), \quad z_\Theta = \tau(v|z_\Phi).
\]  

(5)

After training, translation between \( \mathcal{D}_x \) and \( \mathcal{D}_y \) is thus achieved by the following steps: (i) sample \((x, y)\) from \( p(x,y) \), (ii) encode \( x \) into the latent space \( z_\Phi = \Phi(x) \) of expert model \( f \), (iii) sample invariances \( v \) from the prior \( \mathcal{N}(0,1) \), (iv) conditionally transform \( z_\Theta = \tau(v|z_\Phi) \) and (v) decode \( z_\Theta \) into the domain \( \mathcal{D}_y \) of the second expert model: \( y = \Lambda(z_\Theta) \). Note that this approach has multiple advantages: (i) hidden representations usually have lower dimensionality than \( x \), which makes transfer between arbitrary complex domains affordable, (ii) the conditional INN \( \tau \) can be be trained by minimizing the negative log-likelihood, independent of the domains \( \mathcal{D}_x \) and \( \mathcal{D}_y \), and (iii) the approach does not require to take any gradients w.r.t. the expert models \( f \) and \( g \), thus allowing post-hoc fusion of arbitrarily large models of interest, given that they obey some information bottleneck.

3.2. Building the INN \( \tau \)

![Figure 3: A single invertible block used to build our INN.](image-url)

In our implementation, the conditionally invertible network \( \tau \) is build from \( n \) blocks, each consisting of three invertible layers: affine coupling blocks [10], actnorm layers [29] and shuffling layers, which permute components of an input vector \( z \) in a fixed but randomly initialized manner, increasing overall expressivity of \( \tau \) by mixing components for consecutive coupling layers. One invertible block is build from a sequence of these layers, c.f. Fig. 3.
4. Experiments

We investigate the wide applicability of our approach by performing experiments with multiple domains, datasets and models: (1) text-to-image translation by combination of BigGAN and BERT, (2) exploration of the use of combining standard ResNet-50 classifiers with BigGAN for image-to-image translation, (3) re-usability of a single generator for multiple translation tasks and (4) comparison to existing methods for image modification.

Data requirements As our method does not require to compute gradients w.r.t. the models $f$ and $g$, training can be conducted on a single GPU with about 10 GB VRAM.

4.1. Translation to BigGAN

This section is dedicated to the task of using a popular expert model as an image generator: BigGAN [1], achieving state-of-the-art FID scores on the ImageNet dataset. As most GAN frameworks in general and BigGAN in particular do not include an encoder, we aim to provide an encoding from an arbitrary domain by using an appropriate expert model $f$. Given the hidden representation $z_f = \Phi(x)$, we aim to find a mapping between $z_f$ and the latent space $z_{\Theta}$ of BigGAN’s generator $\Lambda$. Thus, we identify $\Theta \equiv \mathbb{1}$ and $g = \Lambda$.

Here, $z_{\Theta}$ is the stacked vector
\begin{equation}
    z_{\Theta} = [\tilde{z}, W c], \tag{6}
\end{equation}
consisting of $\tilde{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, $\tilde{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{140}$, sampled from a multivariate normal distribution and $c \in \{0, 1\}^K$, a one-hot vector specifying an ImageNet class ($K = 1000$ classes in total). The matrix $W$, a part of the generator $\Lambda$, maps the one-hot vector $c$ to $h \in \mathbb{R}^{128}$, i.e. $h = W c$. As $c$ contains discrete labels, we have to avoid collapse of $\tau$ onto a single dimension of $h$ during training. To this end, we pass the vector $h$ through a small, fully connected variational autoencoder and replace $h$ by its stochastic reconstruction, which effectively performs some kind of dequantization. Training of $\tau$ is then conducted by sampling $z_{\Theta}$ as described in Eq. (6) and minimizing the objective described in Eq. (4), i.e. finding a mapping $\tau$ that maps $z_{\Theta}$ to $f$’s representations $z_f = \Phi(x)$ and their corresponding invariances $v \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

The following sections present experiments in which the above approach is used to create novel content with a model-to-model transfer based on our conditional INN $\tau$.

4.1.1 BERT-to-BigGAN translation

The emergence of transformer-based networks [56] has led to an immense leap in the field of natural language processing. One of the most widely used models is the so-called BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers) model, an unsupervised model for learning language representations. Here, we make use of a variant of the original model, which modifies BERT such that it produces a latent space in which input sentences can be compared for similarity via the cosine-distance measure [49]. Thus, we train our model $\tau$ to map from these language representations $z_f = \Phi(x)$ into the latent space $z_{\Theta}$ of BigGAN’s generator, as described above. During training, access to textual descriptions is obtained by using a captioning model as in [59], trained on the COCO [34] dataset. In a nutshell, at training time, we sample $z_{\Theta}$ as in Eq. (6), produce a corresponding image $\Lambda(z_{\Theta})$, utilize [59] to produce a text-caption $x$ describing the image and subsequently produce a sentence representation $z_f = \Phi(x)$ which we use to minimize the overall objective Eq. (4).

Results can be found in Tab. 1. Our model captures both fine-grained and coarse descriptions and is able to synthesize images with highly different content, based on given textual queries $x$. We emphasize that all results from Tab. 1 are obtained with the transfer model $\tau$, which shows the usefulness of combining highly specialized expert models for translation between their respective domains.

4.1.2 ResNet-to-BigGAN translation

Here, we train the INN $\tau$ conditioned on hidden representations of ResNet-50 from the penultimate layer (i.e. returned before being passed through the final classification layer) to show that standard classifiers, if trained in a suitable manner, can be employed for the task of domain-transfer. Referring to Fig. 1, this means that $f$ is represented by a ResNet classifier, whereas $g$ is a BigGAN generator as already described.

To explore the utility of combining classifiers with GANs, we compare training with two ResNet-50 models with the same architecture, but trained with different training procedures. The first model is a vanilla ImageNet classifier, trained to perform class prediction on the ImageNet dataset. The second model, however, is trained on a stylized version of ImageNet. This is inspired by the work of [19], who showed that typical convolutional neural classification networks are biased towards texture when being trained on ImageNet. They proposed that this bias can be removed by training the CNNs on a stylized version of ImageNet instead, utilizing a simple neural AdaIn transfer algorithm [26] for stylization.

Examples conditioned on the latent representations of both a ResNet-50 trained on the stylized version of ImageNet and a ResNet-50 trained on standard ImageNet are displayed in Tab. 2. The results implicitly confirm the

\footnotetext[1]{Note that we condition on the output of BERT, hence: $\Phi = f$ and $\Psi = \mathbb{1}$.}
texture-bias hypothesis of [19]: Vanilla CNN-based classi-

cifiers are biased towards texture and do not classify input im-
gages based on their shape (as most humans would). Train-
ing the same CNN on a stylized version of the same dataset
removes this bias. The figure demonstrates that such a clas-
sifier may be adopted for sketch-to-image translation and
content creation, but success of adaption onto this task de-

pends on the intrinsic properties of the classifier.

4.2. Reusing a single generator for different query
domains

We evaluate the ability of our approach to combine a sin-
gle autoencoder with different experts to solve a variety of
image-to-image translation tasks.

We combine all carnivorous animal classes in ImageNet
with images of the Animals with Attributes 2 dataset [57]
and split the resulting Animals dataset into 211306 train-
ing images and 10000 testing images. For the autoen-
coder, we use a ResNet-101 [23] architecture as encoder,
and the BigGAN architecture as the decoder. As we do
not use class information, we feed the latent code $z_\Theta$
of the encoder also into a a fully-connected layer and use its
softmax-activated output as a replacement for the one-hot
class vector used in BigGAN. The encoder predicts mean
$\Theta(y)_\mu$ and diagonal covariance $\Theta(y)_\sigma^2$ of a Gaussian dis-
tribution and we use the reparameterization trick to obtain
samples $z_\Theta = \Theta(y)_\mu + \text{diag}(\Theta(y)_\sigma^2)\epsilon$ of the latent code,
where $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(\epsilon|0, I)$. For the reconstruction loss, we use
a perceptual loss based on features of a pretrained VGG-
16 network [52] for the reconstruction loss, and, following
[6], include a learnable, scalar output variance $\gamma$. We use a
PatchGAN discriminator [28] for improved image quality.

In Tab. 3, we consider the effects of fusing this autoen-
coder with different experts $f$ using our conditional INN
$\tau$. In Tab. 3a, $f$ is a segmentation network trained on CO-
COSTuff, and $\Phi = f$, i.e. $z_\Phi$ is given by the final segmen-
tation output of the network. This case corresponds to a
translation from segmentation masks to images and we ob-
serve that our approach can successfully fuse the segmen-
tation model with the autoencoder to obtain a wide variety
of generated image samples corresponding to a given seg-
mentation mask. Tab. 3b uses the same segmentation net-
work for $f$, but this time, $\Phi$ consists of the logit predictions
of the network (visualized by a random projection to RGB
values). The diversity of generated samples is greatly re-
duced compared to Tab. 3a, which indicates that logits still
contain a lot of information which are not strictly required
for segmentation, e.g. the color of animals. This shows how
different layers of an expert can be selected to obtain more
control over the synthesis process.

In Tab. 3c, we consider the task of translating edge im-
gages to natural images. Here, $x$ is obtained through the So-
bel filter, and, based on the results of the previous section, we
choose a ResNet pretrained for image classification on styl-
ized ImageNet as a domain expert for edge images, as it
has shown sensitivity to shapes. This combination again
solves the translation task. Tab. 3d shows an image inpaint-
ing task, where $x$ is a masked image. In this case, large
portions of the shape are missing from the image but the
unmasked regions contain texture patches. This makes a
ResNet pretrained for image classification on ImageNet a
suitable domain expert due to its texture bias. The samples
demonstrate that textures are indeed faithfully preserved.

Note that all results in Tab. 3 were obtained by combining
a single, generic autoencoder $g$, which has no condition-
ing capabilities on its own, and different domain experts
$f$, which possess no generative capabilities at all. These
results demonstrate the feasibility of solving a wide-range
of image-to-image tasks through the fusion of pre-existing,
task-agnostic experts on the domains $D_x, D_y$. Moreover,
choosing different layers of the expert $f$ provides addi-
tional, fine-grained control over the generation process.

4.3. Comparing image modification capabilities

To compare our approach to task-specific approaches, we
compare its ability for attribute modification on face im-
gages to those of [4]. We train the same autoencoder as in
the previous section on CelebA [35], and directly use at-
tribute vectors for $z_\Phi$. For an input $y$ with attributes $z_\Phi$,
we synthesize versions with modified attributes $z_\Phi^\star$. In each
column of Tab. 4.3, we flip the binary entry of the corre-
sponding attribute to obtain $z_\Phi^\star$. To obtain the modified im-
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choosing different layers of the expert $f$ provides addi-
tional, fine-grained control over the generation process.

4.3. Comparing image modification capabilities

To compare our approach to task-specific approaches, we
compare its ability for attribute modification on face im-
gages to those of [4]. We train the same autoencoder as in
the previous section on CelebA [35], and directly use at-
tribute vectors for $z_\Phi$. For an input $y$ with attributes $z_\Phi$,
we synthesize versions with modified attributes $z_\Phi^\star$. In each
column of Tab. 4.3, we flip the binary entry of the corre-
sponding attribute to obtain $z_\Phi^\star$. To obtain the modified im-

Table 2: Sketch-to-image transfer by combining variants of ResNet-50 and BigGAN. Using a texture-agnostic classifier network (left), images can be created by coupling to the generator of BigGAN. This is not possible with a standard classifier, due to its bias towards texture (right).

| inputs $x$ | Stylized ResNet-50 | Vanilla ResNet-50 |
|------------|---------------------|--------------------|
|            | ![Images](image1)   | ![Images](image2)  |
|            | ![Images](image3)   | ![Images](image4)  |

Table 3: Different Image-to-Image translation tasks solved with a single Autoencoder fused with different experts.

### (a) Segmentation-to-Image transfer; argmaxed logits of expert.

| input | Decoded realizations $y = \Lambda(\tau(v|z_{\Phi}))$ |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------|
| ![Images](image5) | ![Images](image6) |
| ![Images](image7) | ![Images](image8) |

### (b) Segmentation-to-Image transfer; logits of segmentation expert.

| input projection | Decoded realizations $y = \Lambda(\tau(v|z_{\Phi}))$ |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| ![Images](image9) | ![Images](image10) |
| ![Images](image11) | ![Images](image12) |

### (c) Edge-to-Image transfer using stylized ResNet classifier.

| input | Decoded realizations $y = \Lambda(\tau(v|z_{\Phi}))$ |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------|
| ![Images](image13) | ![Images](image14) |
| ![Images](image15) | ![Images](image16) |

### (d) Inpainting using vanilla ResNet classifier.

| input | Decoded realizations $y = \Lambda(\tau(v|z_{\Phi}))$ |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------|
| ![Images](image17) | ![Images](image18) |
| ![Images](image19) | ![Images](image20) |
Table 4: Attribute Modification on CelebA.

(a) Qualitative results. Each column modifies a single attribute of the input.

| input method | hair | glasses | gender | beard | age | smiling |
|--------------|------|---------|--------|-------|-----|---------|
| our          | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] |
| [4]          | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] |
| our          | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] |
| [4]          | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] |
| our          | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] |
| [4]          | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] |

(b) FID scores after modification of single attributes.

| method | hair | glasses | gender | beard | age | smiling |
|--------|------|---------|--------|-------|-----|---------|
| our    | **15.18** | **37.32** | **16.38** | **12.02** | **10.77** | **9.57** |
| [4]    | 20.94 | 41.27   | 20.04  | 19.88 | 21.77 | 14.47   |

5. Conclusion

We presented a new, unified approach to content creation through conditional image synthesis, based on a translation of representations obtained from pre-trained expert models. Our approach combines multiple desirable features, as it is (i) **affordable**: Individuals such as artists or scientists can utilize powerful, pretrained models such as BERT and BigGAN for new tasks, with just a single GPU instead of the full multi-GPU resources required for training such models from scratch; (ii) **flexible**: The objective is independent of translation domains $D_x$ and $D_y$. Training is always achieved by the maximum-likelihood principle which provides plug-and-play capabilities for new domains and experts to encourage creative applications; (iii): **powerful**: Using pretrained expert networks outsources the task of domain specific compression and understanding to these models. The INN can thus focus on the translation alone which leads to improvements over previous approaches. Interesting future applications include transfer between domains such as speech, music or brain signals.

Table 5: Swapping attributes *human* and *animal*

| Realizations $y$ |
|------------------|
| ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] | ![Image] |
| in               |
| same             |
| swap             |

Realizations $y$
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