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Recent developments in experimental and computational chemistry have identified a rapidly growing class of nucleophilic aromatic substitutions that proceed by concerted (cSNAr) rather than classical, two-step, S_NAr mechanisms. Whereas traditional S_NAr reactions require substantial activation of the aromatic ring by electron-withdrawing substituents, such activating groups are not mandatory in the concerted pathways.

1. Aromatic Substitution Reactions

Substitution reactions on aromatic rings are central to organic chemistry. Besides the commonly encountered electrophilic aromatic substitution,[1] other mechanisms include S_NAr nucleophilic aromatic substitutions[2,3] and the distinct but related S_NArH and vicarious nucleophilic substitutions,[4] substitutions brought about through benzyne intermediates,[5,6] radical mechanisms including electron transfer-based S_RN1 reactions[7] and base-promoted homolytic aromatic substitution (BHAS) couplings,[8] sigmatropic rearrangements,[9] substitutions arising from deprotonation of arenes (directed metalations),[10] the vast array of organometallic mechanisms[11, 12] and SN1 reactions.[13] All of these areas of chemistry are too vast to reference comprehensively, and so are simply represented here by one or two key reviews or recent references. Among these various reaction types, S_NAr reactions have attracted a lot of recent attention, because of a recognition that many such reactions may proceed by concerted (cSNAr),[14,15] rather than classical two-step mechanisms.

1.1. Classical Nucleophilic Aromatic Substitution

Nucleophilic aromatic substitutions have been studied at least since the 1870s.[16–18] The long-accepted mechanism,[4,5] exemplified in Scheme 1 for dinitroarene 1, involved a two-stage process that featured a Meisenheimer intermediate 2. In these substitutions, the arene is significantly activated for substitution by the presence of one or more electron-withdrawing substituents in the positions that are ortho or para to the site of substitution to provide resonance stabilisation, and with nitro as a favoured substituent. In Terrier’s excellent book on S_NAr reactions in 2013,[23] he wrote that “concerted reactions are the exception rather than the rule” and “there is little doubt that most of the activated S_NAr substitutions must proceed through the early-recognised addition-elimination mechanism”.

Scheme 1. Classical two-step mechanism for S_NAr reactions.
Evidence in favour of a two-stage substitution was cited when intermediates were isolated. Thus, as reviewed by Bunnett and Zahler[2] in 1951, a number of reactions gave rise to isolated intermediate adducts (Scheme 2). Key studies were performed by Meisenheimer,[19] who isolated a common intermediate 5 from reaction of methyl ether 4 with NaOEt, and from reaction of NaOMe with the ethyl ether 6. This intermediate was then decomposed into a mixture of the parent ethers on acidification. Adduct intermediates of this sort, for example, 7–11, which are routinely called Meisenheimer intermediates, are widespread in organic chemistry, and are well reviewed.[20]

Nucleophilic aromatic substitutions are often carried out on pyridines, pyrimidines and related heterocycles, and indeed these substitutions are commonplace and important in medicinal chemistry and agrochemistry. Although intermediates from these substitutions have not been isolated where good leaving groups are present, we are familiar with isolation of intermediates where poor leaving groups are in play. Examples of intermediates at the extreme of this scale that can be isolated are the salts resulting from addition of organolithium compounds to pyridines, such as 12, that is, compound 13 which, on heating, gives the substituted pyridine 14 with elimination of LiH (Scheme 3).[21–23] Generation and isolation of such intermediates will be affected by the power of the ring substituents in stabilising negative charge, as well as by the pKₐ values of the conjugate acids of the incoming and departing groups.

Supportive evidence in favour of the nucleophilic nature of the substitution mechanisms arises from Hammett studies, where significant positive ρ values are associated with the rate-determining step. It must be remembered, when comparing ρ values, that they vary with the temperature of the experiments. Examples reported by Miller[24, 25] (Scheme 4) indicate that there is extensive negative charge build-up in the rate-determining step. Although the cases below in Scheme 4 have particularly high ρ values, it is recognised that many SNAr reactions have values between +3 and +5. Looking at the substrates chosen by Miller is revealing. His series of substrates 17a–d consisted of four examples, where R =

Scheme 2. Some known Meisenheimer intermediates.

Scheme 3. Organolithium additions to pyridine, and re-aromatisation.
Concerted Nucleophilic Aromatic Substitution (cSNAr)—1.2. mechanism for them.

Jacobsen et al.,[14] which transforms our perception of the prevalence of cSNAr reactions. This will be discussed later in Section 13 of this review. Papers referenced below are cited with their relevance to cSNAr reactions.

Numerous substituents like nitro groups on the ring undergoing substitution, they proposed a concerted reaction mechanism with a four-centred transition state but, at that time, no computational methods were available to support these ideas. Perhaps because this reaction seemed so anomalous, but most likely because it was both a single paper in this area by the authors and also was not written in English, the paper received very little attention. Nevertheless, it heralded a lot of subsequent developments. We will return to this example later in this review.

Early Developments

Although the literature adopted two-stage SNAr reactions as the norm, despite the reactivity of substrates like ArI studied by Miller, noted above, further anomalies began to appear. These studies have culminated in the recent paper by Miller’s studies of Hammett correlations.

1.2. Concerted Nucleophilic Aromatic Substitution (cSNAr)—

Tell Tuttle earned his PhD in 2004 under the supervision of Prof. Elfi Kraka and Prof. Dieter Cremer at Göteborg University, Sweden. He began his independent career in 2007 and is currently Professor of Theoretical Chemistry at the University of Strathclyde. His research is focused on the use of computational methods for the directed discovery of new reactivities and functional materials.

Shunsuke Chiba earned his Ph.D. in 2006 under supervision of Prof. Koichi Narasaka at the University of Tokyo. In 2007, he embarked on his independent career as the faculty of Nanyang Technological University (NTU) Singapore, where he is currently Professor of Chemistry. His research group focuses on methodology development in the area of synthetic chemistry and catalysis.

John Murphy was born in Dublin and educated at the University of Dublin (TCD) and the University of Cambridge. After Fellowships at Alberta and Oxford, he was appointed as Lecturer, then Reader, at the University of Nottingham. Since 1995, he has held the Merck–Pauson Professorship at the University of Strathclyde. His interests are in mechanism and synthesis.

By conducting the experiments with KH in [D₈]THF, Pierre et al. were able to show that the substituting hydrogen indeed came from KH. They were able to dismiss any idea of a benzyne mechanism, since no H₂ was evolved. The order of reactivity was: ArI > ArBr > ArCl > ArF, which is the reverse of the order often found in classical SNAr reactions. Since the reactions proceeded in the absence of activating substituents like nitro groups on the ring undergoing substitution, they proposed a concerted reaction mechanism with a four-centred transition state but, at that time, no computational methods were available to support these ideas. Perhaps because this reaction seemed so anomalous, but most likely because it was both a single paper in this area by the authors and also was not written in English, the paper received very little attention. Nevertheless, it heralded a lot of subsequent developments.

A very early example was the work of Pierre et al.,[27] who, in just a single paper that was published in 1980, studied the reaction of KH with aryl halides. This report simply involved hydrodehalogenation of substrates 19 in tetrahydrofuran (THF) as solvent (Scheme 5). The reactions were not pursued with detailed mechanistic investigations, but the observations made were illuminating.

Scheme 4. Miller’s studies of Hammett correlations.

NO₂, Ac, CF₃ and Cl. Whereas NO₂ and Ac are substituents that can delocalise a negative charge by resonance, clearly CF₃ and Cl cannot, although they can contribute inductive stabilisation to different extents. Miller’s Hammett analysis showed[25] that the four substrates had an excellent correlation with σ* for these substituents,[26] suggesting a common mechanism for them.

Scheme 5. Proposal for concerted SNAr reactions by Pierre et al.,[27]
rates regardless of the halide identity (Scheme 6C) ruled out an SN1 mechanism, as the differences in halide redox properties would require a much more substantial rate difference between the different halides. However, in their conclusion, the authors postponed speculation on the precise mechanism of their reactions.

On the other hand, Williams et al. reported a number of nucleophilic aromatic substitution reactions with concerted mechanisms on substituted 1,3,5-triazines. They found that the reaction of various phenolate ions with 26 (Scheme 7), followed a linear relationship on a Brønsted plot over a range of pK\textsubscript{A\textsubscript{OH}} values above and below that of the conjugate acid of the leaving group (4-nitrophenol). The lack of curvature in the free energy relationship suggested that there was no change in mechanism when moving from strongly electron-withdrawing groups to weakly electron-donating groups, which is consistent with a concerted mechanism.

The same 1,3,5-triazine core, with arylxo and pyridine leaving groups, was also studied in aminolysis reactions with various amines. Hammett plots for the reaction of morpholine (\(\rho = +1.65\)) and \(N,N\text{-dimethylaminopyridine}\) (\(\rho = +0.82\)) were recorded. Detailed arguments allowed the authors to conclude that a concerted substitution was occurring. These rigorous papers were important in raising awareness of concerted nucleophilic aromatic displacements.

### 2. Some Contributions by Computational Studies

Related to these studies, computational methods were employed to examine the hydrolysis of protonated chlorotriazines for example, 46, (Scheme 8) which are of interest in agrochemistry. In both gas phase and in water, Meisenheimer intermediates could not be located, suggesting that these reactions instead proceed in a concerted manner, albeit with high kinetic barriers, at least when a neutral water molecule was the nucleophile.

In fact, computational studies played a significant part in providing credibility for the concerted nature of cSNAr reactions over the past 30 years. In all the computational studies cited here, the geometries were optimised with density functional theory (DFT) methods unless otherwise stated. We now cluster some of the computational results that suggested the cSNAr mechanism, although further cases will also be referenced at appropriate places later in this review.

Nucleophilic aromatic halogen identity-substitution reactions were investigated computationally in the gas phase (Scheme 9) by Glukhovtsev et al. The exchange reactions of 49 with the corresponding halide anion X\(^-\) (for Cl, Br, I) all proceed via a Meisenheimer-like transition state structure 50. No intermediate was found. However, the authors observed...
Meisenheimer intermediates for the fluoride addition to fluoro benzene and for the chloride addition to 2,4-dinitrochlorobenzene. This study was expanded by Uggerud et al.\textsuperscript{[35]} with second-row (\(\text{NH}_2\), \(\text{OH}^\text{-}\), \(\text{F}^\text{-}\)), third-row (\(\text{PH}_2\), \(\text{SH}^\text{-}\), \(\text{Cl}^\text{-}\)) and fourth-row (\(\text{AsH}_2\), \(\text{SeH}^\text{-}\), \(\text{Br}^\text{-}\)) nucleophiles. Additionally, a more diverse array of substituents, \(R\), was considered. A Meisenheimer intermediate was observed for all three second-row nucleophiles with substituents as different as \(-\text{NH}_2\) and \(-\text{NO}_2\) (for both \(\text{NH}_2\) and \(\text{NO}_2\)) as the nucleophile and for substituents \(-\text{H}\) and \(-\text{NO}_2\) with \(\text{OH}^\text{-}\) as the nucleophile. For the third- and fourth-row nucleophiles, concerted mechanisms were calculated in several instances. In general, a concerted mechanism was predicted for more electron-rich aromatic systems. A stepwise mechanism with a Meisenheimer intermediate would become more favourable as electron-withdrawing groups are attached to the aromatic ring.

Building on the halogen-exchange reactions mentioned above, fluorodechlorination reactions and fluorodenitration reactions of aryl chlorides and nitroaryl in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), were reported by Sun and DiMagno.\textsuperscript{[36]} Computational studies were performed for the fluorodenitration reactions. \(\text{para}\)-Substituted nitroaryl were analysed and grouped according to the Hammett parameter of the substituents. It was observed that for substituents with a Hammett constant \(\sigma \leq 0\) (H and more electron-donating substituents), the reaction proceeds via a concerted mechanism with a Meisenheimer-like transition state.

Nucleophilic displacement of nitro groups, in 5,7-dinitroquinazoline-4-one \(\text{51}\), by methylene as nucleophile, was reported by Goel et al.\textsuperscript{[37]} Their computational study built upon a previous experimental study\textsuperscript{[38]} that had shown that the nitro group in the \(\text{peri}\)-position to the carbonyl was regioselectively displaced over the nitro group in the \(\text{para}\)-position, affording \(\text{52}\) in 85\% yield (Scheme 10). In that experimental paper, the authors had proposed the reaction to occur via an o-intermediate, but evidence for this complex was not presented. Goel et al. studied the formation of the o-complex, but no stable complex could be found by DFT calculations.\textsuperscript{[37]} The activation energy for a concerted nitro group substitution was found to be 33.8 and 18.1 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) for \(\text{para}\-) and \(\text{peri}\)-substitution via transition states \(\text{53}\) and \(\text{54}\), respectively. The reason for the regioselectivity is given by the hydrogen-bonding stabilisation between the amine and the carbonyl in the transition state for \(\text{peri}\)-substitution, which is strong enough to divert the methylamine away from the less sterically hindered \(\text{para}\)-position.

The effect of the medium on substitution reactions has also been investigated widely for \(\text{S}_n\text{Ar}\) reactions. The displacement reaction (Scheme 11) of the nitro group from nitrobenzene \(\text{55}\) with fluoride in the gas phase has been studied experimentally and computationally in the gas-phase by Riveros et al.\textsuperscript{[39]} The DFT model predicts that the reaction follows a concerted pathway with a very low activation energy.

\begin{align*}
\text{55} + F^- & \rightarrow \text{56} \quad \Delta G^\circ(\text{gas phase}) = 3.5 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1} \\
\text{56} + \text{NO}_2^- & \rightarrow \text{57} \quad \Delta G^\circ(\text{gas phase}) = -22 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}
\end{align*}

\textbf{Scheme 11.} Low activation energy predicted in gas-phase substitution.

The effect of explicit solvation and counter-cations on the displacement of a nitro group in nitrobenzene by a fluoride anion has been reported by Park and Lee\textsuperscript{[40]} through a computational approach. Including explicit solvation (two molecules of water) and different counter-cations led to the same concerted mechanism as predicted by Riveros et al.\textsuperscript{[39]} for the gas phase, as discussed above.

The regiochemistry of displacement of halide leaving groups from poly-halogenated substrates has been widely studied by computational methods. In 1999, Tanaka et al. reported their studies\textsuperscript{[41]} on the regiochemistry of substitution of pentafluoronitrobenzene with ammonia as nucleophile, as the solvent changed from hexane to nitromethane. These studies predicted (and provided a mechanistic proposal to explain) concerted substitution in the \(\text{para}\)-position, but two-step substitution in the \(\text{ortho}\)-position.

In subsequent years, computation-based studies on regioselectivity have been widely undertaken. Perfluoroanilines, and perhaloarenes more generally, have been the subject of a number of studies of selective substitution reactions, representing their importance in materials chemistry and in ligand generation as well as in detoxification programmes. Experimental and computational approaches have been combined by Paleta et al. in their study of pentafluorobiphenyl.\textsuperscript{[42]} With a range of \(\text{N-}, \text{O-}\) and \(\text{S-nucleophiles}\), the regioselectivity of substitution of 2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorobiphenyl was explored and showed significant regioselectivity for substitution of the fluorine that was \(\text{para}\-) to the phenyl group. The computational studies which used the nucleophiles i) ammonia, ii) solvated lithium fluoride [as \(\text{LiF}(\text{Me}_2\text{O})_2\)] and iii) solvated lithium hydroxide [as \(\text{LiOH}.(\text{Me}_2\text{O})_2\)], mirrored the experimentally observed regioselectivity but showed that, in all cases, a concerted one-step displacement reaction was occurring.

In a combined computational and experimental study, the substitution reactions of pentafluoropyridine by phenolates evidenced predominant displacement of the 4-substituent on the pyridine.\textsuperscript{[44, 45]} For the resulting phenoxypyridines, exten-
sive experimental analysis led the authors to understand that the displacement of 4-pentafluorophenoxide (as opposed to other leaving groups) by fluoride anion from Scheme 12 was anomalous, and semi-empirical computational studies (PM3) supported a concerted mechanism.

Following an earlier model for determining the site of substitution in aromatic perfluorocarbons,[45] predictions of the regioselectivity of $S_NAr$ reactions were made by Brinck and an AstraZeneca team including Svensson, Liljenberg et al.[46, 47] based on the relative stability of Meisenheimer intermediates. As such, their model addressed the classical two-stage mechanism. However, their computational studies could not locate these intermediates in cases where the leaving group was chloride or bromide (such as in Scheme 13), suggesting concerted reaction mechanisms in those cases.

A descriptor-based model to predict relative reactivity and regioselectivity in $S_NAr$ reactions was introduced by Stenlid and Brinck.[48] In contrast to the selectivity models presented above, this descriptor solely relies on the ground-state electronic structure of the aromatic substrate. Consequently, it can also be applied to $S_NAr$ reactions that do not proceed by a stepwise mechanism via a Meisenheimer intermediate, such as the reaction between 64 and piperidine (Scheme 14). The series spanned examples from $R = \text{NH}_2$ to $R = \text{NO}_2$. The rate constants for all these examples had been reported previously. A satisfactory correlation between these constants and the newly introduced descriptor was found. The observation[48] that, according to the computational model, reactions of 68 with secondary amines do proceed via a concerted $S_NAr$ reaction was related to an extensive experimental study of 1-X-2,4-dinitrobenzene with a series of secondary amines.[49]

Pliego and Piló-Veloso[50] investigated the effect of ion-pairing, explicit hydration and solvent polarity on the fluorodechlorination reaction of 4-chlorobenzonitrile (70) (Scheme 15). This computational model predicts the reaction to follow a concerted mechanism. By varying the solvent polarity, it was found that for a given fluoride salt MF, there is a solvent with ideal polarity which just allows for the dissociation of the ion pair but does not solvate the fluoride ion too strongly.

In a more recent contribution, Silva and Pliego investigated[51] $S_NAr$ reactions on bromobenzene and (ortho-, meta-, or para-) methoxybromobenzenes with different nucleophiles in the gas phase and in solution phase by computational methods (Scheme 16). A concerted mechanism was observed with hydroxide, cyanide, and methoxide nucleophiles attacking bromobenzene in the gas phase (albeit the transition state energy for the reaction with cyanide was high ($\Delta G^* = 27.2$ kcal mol$^{-1}$)). Including solvent effects in their computations made all three reactions kinetically less favourable (e.g. hydroxide in DMSO: $\Delta G^* = 29.3$ kcal mol$^{-1}$; in MeOH: $\Delta G^* = 37.8$ kcal mol$^{-1}$). These barriers are markedly higher than in the gas phase ($\Delta G^* = 1.6$ kcal mol$^{-1}$).

No change in mechanism is mentioned when going from gas-phase to solution-phase models. Interestingly, when the authors investigated meta-methoxybromobenzene with hydroxide, methoxide and cyanide as the nucleophile, they obtained lower activation barriers (e.g. $\Delta G^* = 25.8$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ for m-methoxybromobenzene with methoxide in DMSO vs. $\Delta G^* = 27.1$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ for bromobenzene with the same nucleophile in the same solvent).

The effects of solvation on $S_NAr$ reactions in liquid ammonia and in the gas phase by a combination of

![Scheme 12. Concerted mechanism proposed in displacements of 4-pentafluorophenoxides.](image1)

![Scheme 13. No Meisenheimer intermediates were found in computational studies on displacements on pentachloropyridine.](image2)

![Scheme 14. Concerted substitution reactions studied by Stenlid and Brinck.[48]](image3)

![Scheme 15. Studies on the effect of ion-pairing, explicit hydration and solvent polarity on the fluorodechlorination reaction.](image4)

![Scheme 16. Substitution reactions of bromomethoxybenzenes.](image5)
metadynamics and committor analysis methods have been studied by Moors et al.\[52\] They found that for 4-nitrofluorobenzene (74), the reaction proceeded via a concerted mechanism in the gas phase via transition state 77, but via an intermediate Meisenheimer complex 83 in solution (Schemes 17 and 18). For 4-nitrochlorobenzene (75), the reaction proceeded via a concerted mechanism via transition state 78 in both the gas phase and in solution, and for 2,4-dinitrofluorobenzene (76), the reaction occurs via a Meisenheimer intermediate 79 in both solution and the gas phase.

Scheme 17. Gas-phase reactivity with ammonia as nucleophile.

Scheme 18. Reactivity in solution with ammonia as nucleophile.

3. Fluorodeoxygenation of Phenols and Derivatives

Fluoride played a major role in the important studies\[53–56\] by Ritter et al. who had already reported\[53\] the deoxyfluorination reaction of phenols 84 with PhenoFluor 85 (Scheme 19).\[54\] Intermediate 87 (Ar = Ph) was independently synthesised and treated under the reaction conditions, and afforded the corresponding aryl fluoride 89.

When DFT studies were carried out, a single transition state, 88, was observed, which is characteristic of a concerted mechanism. A large primary 16O/18O kinetic isotope effect (KIE) (KIE = 1.08 ± 0.02) was observed, showing that the cleavage of the C–O bond is involved in the rate-determining step. A Hammett plot also shows that there is no change in mechanism when moving from electron-deficient phenols to electron-rich phenols (r = –1.8) indicating that there is not a build-up of full negative charge in the ring at the transition state.\[56\] The formation of the urea by-product is also highly exergonic, which contributes to the driving force for this reaction. These reactions feature spiro transition states, further examples of which will appear later in this review (Sections 7 and 8).

On a related theme, Sanford et al. reported a mild deoxyfluorination of phenols 97 via aryl fluorosulfonate intermediates 98.\[57\] This transformation was found to be compatible with ortho-, meta- or para-electron-withdrawing groups, and could also be applied to electron-neutral and moderately electron-rich substrates to provide fluorinated products 100–111 (Scheme 20).

Scheme 19. Ritter’s studies\[53–56\] on the deoxyfluorination of phenols.

Scheme 20. Examples of deoxyfluorination by Sanford et al.

Computational data suggest that the binding of fluoride in 112 to sulfur to form pentacoordinate sulfonate 113 is enthalpically favourable and the activation enthalpy to the transition state (AH*) was found to be feasible at room temperature (Table 1). The transition state 114 was shown to involve concerted formation of the C–F bond and cleavage of the C–O bond without the formation of a Meisenheimer intermediate (Figure 1).
4. Aminodeoxyxygenation of Phenol Derivatives

Chiba et al. have recently reported remarkable reactions of a sodium hydride–lithium iodide composite. One of the reaction types reported by that team promoted the nucleophilic amination of methoxyarenes via intra- (Scheme 21) and intermolecular (Scheme 22) reactions. This methodology was compatible with electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substituents on the methoxyarene. A Hammett plot with p-substituted methoxyarenes showed that the p-value was low (p = +1.99). The proposal of a concerted mechanism was backed up by computational analysis, where a single transition state was observed for the conversion of 140 to 141 with formation of a partial negative charge, consistent with a cSNAr process ($\Delta G^* = 14.7$ kcal mol$^{-1}$). Figure 2). Chiba’s demethoxylation studies feature deprotonated amines as nucleophiles. Demethoxylation by a hydroxycyclopentadienyl iridium hydride nucleophile has been proposed as a concerted nucleophilic aromatic substitution by Kusumoto and Nozaki, although no mechanistic evidence has yet been revealed to support this.

Chiba and co-workers extended their chemistry with NaH and additive salts to perform further intermolecular displacements. For example, substitution of the methoxy group in 3-methoxypyridine by piperidine was achieved in high yield using sodium hydride with LiI as additive. With NaI as alternative additive, the reaction proceeded in much poorer yields and with NaH alone, no reaction was seen.

This reaction is quite flexible. In the dimethoxy case, substitution at the 2-position occurs first to give 149, but the

| Table 1: Enthalpy changes associated with Figure 1 |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Entry | R (structure 112) | $\Delta H_{bind}$ [kcal mol$^{-1}$] | $\Delta H^*$ [kcal mol$^{-1}$] |
| 1 | CN | -4.1 | 13.2 |
| 2 | CF$_3$ | -3.5 | 15.6 |
| 3 | H | -1.7 | 20.8 |
| 4 | Me | -1.3 | 22.2 |
| 5 | OMe | -1.1 | 24.0 |

Figure 1. Energy profile for conversion of 112 to fluoroarene 115.

Figure 2. Free energy profile for the cyclisation of amide salt 142.

Scheme 21. Intramolecular nucleophilic amination of methoxyarenes.

Scheme 22. Intermolecular nucleophilic amination of methoxyarenes.
product can undergo a second substitution by a different amine to give 150. In 3,5-dimethoxy case 151, iterative diamination can again be achieved. In these cases, no Hammett correlations have been published, but the displacement from the unactivated 3-position of a pyridine identifies these reactions as prime candidates for cSNAr pathways.

5. Hydrides as Nucleophiles

Recent discoveries relating to concerted aromatic substitutions have seen several that feature hydride as nucleophile or base. Chiba et al. recently reported the hydrodehalogenation of haloarenes 154, (Scheme 24) by their sodium hydride–iodide composite. Without the addition of the iodide salt, sodium hydride cannot carry out this special function.

Various aryl bromides were reduced under these conditions, with both electron-rich and electron-deficient substituents being equally tolerated. Computational studies show a highly exothermic reaction with a single transition state 167 for concerted nucleophilic aromatic substitution, with an energy barrier of 20.9 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) (Figure 3). The Hammett plot using NaH with NaI, converting iodoarenes to arenes in THF at 85 °C shows a linear correlation with \(\rho = + 0.47\), which is supportive of a cS\(_{\text{Ar}}\) process.

As the cS\(_{\text{Ar}}\) pathway is initiated by an interaction between the hydride donor and the \(\pi^*\) orbital of the aromatic ring, it was reasoned that this methodology could also be applicable to the reduction of haloalkenes, upon treatment with the sodium hydride-iodide composite.

This was indeed the case, with retention of configuration being observed as the major product for both (Z)- and (E)-alkenes 169 and 173 (Scheme 25).[61, 62] Murphy, Tuttle et al. recently reported on the solvent-dependent role of potassium hydride in haloarene reduction.[63] Pierre et al. had proposed a cS\(_{\text{Ar}}\) mechanism for dehalogenation of haloarenes in 1980, as mentioned earlier.[27] They had verified that the hydrogen atom delivered to the aryl halide had come from KH. They had ruled out a benzyne intermediate in their reactions, and presented their proposal based on the observed order of reactivity (ArI > ArBr > ArCl) which was in contrast to the normal order of reactivity.
in a standard S_NAr reaction on iodobenzene 174 (Scheme 26). Pierre’s proposal was therefore revolutionary, being made before computational methods became widely available. Murphy and Tuttle’s investigation confirmed Pierre’s proposed mechanism computationally, with a Gibbs free energy barrier of 22.4 kcal mol^{-1}. Studies carried out in [D_8]THF also reveal that the H-atom in 178 comes from the KH rather than from the solvent, in line with Pierre’s claim. Surprisingly, in benzene as solvent, Murphy and Tuttle showed that a quite different electron transfer mechanism played an important role in reduction of haloarenes with KH.

Computational studies on hydrodehalogenation of haloarenes by cS_NAr have been reported by Cramer et al. \cite{64,65} In all cases, the transition state for the addition of hydride to a substituted site led to concerted displacement of the halide anion via transition state 180 (Scheme 27).

Ogoshi et al. recently reported\cite{66} a catalytic and regioselective hydrodefluorination of polyfluoroarenes (Scheme 28) and polyfluoroalkenes using silanes (Ph_3SiH, MePh_2SiH, Me_2PhSiH or Et_2SiH_2) and catalytic tetrabutylammonium difluorotriphenylsilicate (TBAT 194, Scheme 29).

This hydrodefluorination process tolerated many other functional groups, including esters, nitriles and nitro groups. Two mechanistic cycles were proposed by the group, based on DFT studies, both of which proceed through a cS_NAr displacement step.

The first cycle (Scheme 29A) involves generation of 195 from TBAT (194) and the hydrosilane. This can then coordinate to the polyfluoroarene 193 via π-π stacking, affording 196. The cS_NAr step can then occur with hydride from the silicate displacing a fluoride in the transition state 197. The eliminated fluoride can then either be trapped intramolecularly by a fluorosilane or intermolecularly by a hydrosilane to regenerate 194 or 195, respectively. The alternative mechanistic cycle involves dihydrosilicate 200 as an intermediate, which can be formed by disproportionation of 195 (Scheme 29B). Hydride transfer within complex 202, displaces a fluoride ion from the polyfluoroarene. The displaced fluoride can then be trapped by the hydrosilane to regenerate 195. Computational data for the mechanisms in Scheme 29 show that the Gibbs free energy barriers for the
key substitution steps are 19.0 kcal mol⁻¹ and 10.8 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively. Meisenheimer intermediates were not detected for either pathway, indicative of cSNAr reactivity.

6. P, N, Si, C Nucleophiles

The range of nucleophiles was further widened when Würtwein et al. reported the reaction of di- and trifluorobenzenes 204–206 with Me₂EM (E = P, N; M = SiMe₃, SnMe₃, Li) (Scheme 30).[67,68] To illustrate the utility of the transformation, phosphane products were later used as ligands in polyfluorophosphane palladium dichloride complexes. Computational chemistry was again shown to be a useful tool in predicting the mechanism of this reaction, indicating a single transition state with no Meisenheimer adduct formation, that is, a cSNAr mechanism.

![Scheme 30. Phosphinodefluorination of aryl fluorides.](image)

The single transition state corresponds to the simultaneous C=O bond formation, and in the case of Me₂PSiMe₃ with fluorobenzene, provided a barrier of ΔE* = 30.3 kcal mol⁻¹ for the formation of the C-P bond and the Si-assisted loss of fluoride (Figure 4). Di- and trifluorobenzenes were also examined experimentally and by computation, and provided faster reactions and lower calculated barriers. Compounds 210 and 211 form a van der Waals complex (vWc) 212, followed by a cSNAr reaction through 213, forming van der Waals complex 214. Dissociation of this complex affords products 215 and 216 (Figure 4).

Aryl fluorides have recently been subjected to quite a different cSNAr reaction by Würtwein, Studer et al., using silyllithium reagents as nucleophiles.[69] This was an interesting development, as previous reactions of aryl halides (notably iodides) with similar reagents had led to substitution directly on the halogen atom to give a silyl halide and an aryllithium as a reactive intermediate that then conducted an S₂2 reaction on the silyl halide. In this case, however, aryl fluorides underwent cSNAr. Hammett studies gave a ρ value of +3.2, and computational investigation afforded Gibbs free energies of activation of 19–21 kcal mol⁻¹ (Scheme 31). Very recently, two related studies have appeared from other groups.[70,71]

![Scheme 31. Products arising from silyldefluorination reactions.](image)

A flexible route to phenanthridinium cations 224 was published by Hartley et al. using an imine nucleophile to displace a halide (Scheme 32).[72] The imine 223 which is formed in situ is not isolated, but directly converted to product 224 by heating. The mechanism of the ring-forming S₂Ar reaction from 223 to 224 was investigated computationally with model compounds. The models were chosen to closely represent the synthesised molecules. It was found that for all examined model compounds, the reaction proceeds via a concerted S₂Ar pathway. In particular, a concerted mechanism was not only observed for examples with electron-donating substituents (e.g. p-MeO) but also for examples with electron-withdrawing substituents (e.g. p-NO₂). All transition states were accessible (ΔG* = between 17 kcal mol⁻¹ and 28 kcal mol⁻¹) with lower energy barriers for examples with more electron-withdrawing substituents, as expected.

![Scheme 32. Hartley’s cSNAr route to phenanthridinium salts.](image)
Carbon nucleophiles were used by Médebielle, Rossi et al. in the synthesis of tetracyclic indoles, for example, \(227\) (Scheme 33 A) and azaindoles.\(^{[73]}\) Computational studies were used to probe the mechanism of the reaction. Electron transfer was considered, but gave very high energy barriers. The most reasonable proposal was that the reactions proceeded by nucleophilic aromatic substitution. The calculated reaction profile showed no intermediates, that is, it was a \(\text{cS}_{\text{Ar}}\) reaction.

An unusual nucleophile \(228\) was employed by Tretyakov et al.\(^{[74]}\) (Scheme 33 B) to afford a new nitronyl nitroxide \(229\). DFT calculations supported the observed regioselectivity and indicated that the reaction followed a concerted pathway. The zwitterionic product was treated with sodium nitrite in acetic acid to yield the nitroxyl \(230\).

7. Organic Rearrangements via Spiro Species: Intermediates or Transition States?

Spiro transition states appeared in the fluorodeoxygenation section of this review, but spiro species occur much more widely, as seen in this and the following section of this review. Tell-tale signs of concerted nucleophilic substitutions arise when the arene at which substitution is occurring has no substituents to significantly stabilise a Meisenheimer intermediate. This is the case in Clayden’s stereoregulated arylation of amino acids.\(^{[75, 76]}\) Here, infrared spectroscopy was used to follow the conversion of alanine derivatives \(231\)–\(235\), via their enolates \(236\) into products \(238\) as shown in Scheme 34. Conformational control of anilides plays an important role here. In tertiary anilides (e.g. \(231\)), the aryl group is aligned strictly anti to the carbonyl group.\(^{[77]}\)

Formation of enolate \(236\) ensues, and transfer of the aryl group then occurs with control of stereochemistry to afford the anion \(237\), from which the amino acid product \(238\) was isolated. Plainly, without appropriate stabilising substituents on the arene, no Meisenheimer intermediate can be detected or envisaged, and yet the transformation occurs smoothly in high yield. A Hammett plot for substrates \(231\)–\(234\) revealed \(\rho = +4.5\) in this case against \(\sigma^{\text{o}}\), indicating significant charge build-up on the arene and showing that the arene-transfer step is the rate-determining step. The leaving groups in these cases are amide anions. The fact that they are not good leaving groups, is consistent with the need for significant charge build-up on the ring in the transition state, before the departure of the leaving group is triggered.

On the other hand, for substituents on the arene where \(\sigma^{\text{o}} > +0.2\), the arene transfer is facilitated and is apparently no longer rate-determining; in those cases, the enolate formation step takes over this role.

Prior to this most recent work, Clayden et al. had studied extensive alternative applications of these aryl transfer reactions, notably in ring-expansion reactions,\(^{[78–83]}\) for example, with substrates \(240, 241\).\(^{[78]}\) Although Hammett plots are not reported for these series, the analogy to the amino acid cases just discussed make it highly likely that they follow...
cSNAr pathways through transition states 244. In the presence of dimethylpropyleneurea (DMPU) and lithium disopropylamide (LDA), ring-expanding isomerisation was effected with excellent retention of stereochemistry. Thus, the initially generated benzyl lithium is configurationally stable for the period needed to carry out the rearrangement.

Scheme 34B and 34C show additional applications of transfer reactions. The attack of the benzyl lithium nucleophile on the meta-position of the pyridine ring is again a strong indicator of cSNAr reactivity. The stereoselectivity of the reaction is again noteworthy. Both this example and the vinyl transfer reaction with substrate 248 in Scheme 34 C bear resemblance to the results of Chiba et al. in Sections 4 and 5 of this review.

The substitution steps in Clayden’s work are examples of Truce-Smiles rearrangements,[84] intramolecular substitution reactions that go through a spiro transition state or intermediate, with carbon nucleophiles and nitrogen leaving groups. A quite different example of cSNAr chemistry was reported by Coquerel[85] in 2013 that also involved a Smiles-type rearrangement, this time with an oxygen nucleophile and a nitrogen leaving group. In a study of the reactions of benzyne with pyridine, they isolated an unusual product of rearrangement, 253. This was rationalised through the pathway shown (Scheme 35) where generation of the zwitterion 257 leads to internal deprotonation to give pyridine carbene 258. This nucleophilic carbene then reacted with the reactive ketone carbonyl group of N-protected isatin 259, and the resulting alkoxide then secured a phenyl transfer reaction to liberate a neutral pyridine nitrogen in 253.[85] Computational studies revealed that the conversion of 260 to 253 was occurring by a concerted process. In the transition state 261, the carbon atom undergoing substitution adopts sp3-like geometry as characterised by the computed bond angles and bond lengths. The phenyl group bearing the pyrimidine substituent in 261 did not feature any activating substituent [other than the leaving group] and the activation barrier (ΔE*) was very accessible at 10.9 kcal mol⁻¹.

The Julia-Kociénski reaction[86] (Scheme 36) also involves a Smiles-type rearrangement step and has been studied in detail with computational methods. The effect of coordinating counter cations and different solvents on the Z/E selectivity of the product alkenes is rationalised. It was found that the rearrangement step through spiro species 265 (Scheme 36) follows a concerted mechanism in all examined cases (different solvents and counter-ions). The authors note that at no point during this rearrangement is a significant amount of negative charge transferred onto the tetrazole ring. Instead the negative charge is directly transferred from the attacking alkoxide nucleophile to the sulfur atom of the leaving group. The transition state is asynchronous and early. The new carbon-oxygen bond is formed to a significant extent while the carbon-sulfur bond still is mainly intact.

As mentioned above, the Smiles rearrangement is an intramolecular substitution reaction featuring a spiro species on the reaction path. Concerted pathways had been considered for other examples of the Smiles rearrangement early on.[87] In contrast to the cases just cited, computational studies showed that several examples of the reaction proceed by a stepwise mechanism via a Meisenheimer intermediate. The Smiles rearrangement of 269 was investigated computationally with a range of different functionals (Scheme 37).[88] It was found that, depending on the functional, structure 270 can either be optimised as a local minimum or as a transition state. Benchmark models at Møller–Plesset MP2/6-31 + G(d,p) and MP4(SDQ)/6-31 + G(d,p) level of theory showed that 270 is an intermediate. In general, functionals with < 10% Hartree–Fock (HF)
exchange were unable to correctly identify 270 as a local minimum and predicted a concerted mechanism instead. Notably, the popular B3LYP functional was found to fail to predict the correct stepwise mechanism despite having 20% HF exchange. M06, M06-2X and oB97X were found to give results satisfactorily close to the Möller–Plesset results, that is, they all predicted a stepwise mechanism with reasonably accurate barrier heights.

Further examples 272,275 278 of Smiles rearrangements were explored (Scheme 38) through computational methods and each of these gave clear intermediates, rather than concerted ipso-substitution reactions. So, overall, the studies on Smiles rearrangements indicate that there is a delicate balance between concerted and stepwise substitution reactions.

Further examples 272,275 278 of Smiles rearrangements were explored (Scheme 38) through computational methods and each of these gave clear intermediates, rather than concerted ipso-substitution reactions. So, overall, the studies on Smiles rearrangements indicate that there is a delicate balance between concerted and stepwise substitution reactions.

**Scheme 38.** Further examples of Smiles rearrangements where computational research predicts stepwise mechanisms.

8. Newman–Kwart and Related Rearrangements

Closely related to the above reactions that featured five-membered ring spiro species, four-centred transient spiro rings are proposed for a number of other rearrangement reactions, namely the Chapman, Schönberg and Newman–Kwart rearrangements. Of these, the Schönberg rearrangement of diarythionocarbonates 281 to diarythiolcarbonates 282 (Scheme 39) was studied intensively first.

Tarbell et al.94 proposed a four-centred transition state to be at the heart of this rearrangement. The reactions are accelerated by electron-withdrawing substituents in the arylxylo ring. The Newman–Kwart rearrangement, for example, 283–284, was excellently reviewed in 2008 by Lloyd-Jones et al.95 Relles et al. found similarities between the Chapman and Newman–Kwart rearrangements on studying their properties separately through Hammett correlations ρ = +1.62 for the Newman–Kwart rearrangement and +1.63 for the Chapman rearrangement. A similar assessment by Miyazaki versus α gave ρ = +1.83 for the Newman–Kwart rearrangement and ρ = +1.06 for the Chapman rearrangement. Woodward, Lygo et al.96 (2003) conducted computational studies on the Newman–Kwart rearrangement of two analogous series of atropisomerically pure thionocarbonates, one derived from binol (288) and one from octahydrobinol (289) (Scheme 39). They observed experimentally that the octahydrobinol cases rearranged essentially without racemisation, while the binol case showed significant racemisation. Their computational studies at different levels of theory showed that the barrier for the rearrangement of the octahydrobinol case was notably lower than for the binol case, while the barrier for thermal racemisation of the substrates had the reverse order. Jacobsen and Donahue99 used DFT calculations to back the proposal for a four-centred transition state.

More recently, a radical cation version of the Newman–Kwart rearrangement has been discovered100 that proceeds under mild conditions and that has quite a different response to substituents than in the thermal rearrangement. Cramer has reported recent studies that provide further computational characterisation of the thermal Newman–Kwart rearrangement as well as its radical cation counterpart; the radical cation variant is also viewed as being a concerted substitution reaction.101–103

9. Sulfur Nucleophiles

Sulfur nucleophiles have also featured prominently in the recent literature. Tobisu, Chatani, et al. have just reported104 an unusual outcome to reaction of 2,2′-bis(methylthio)-1,1′-biaryls 290 (Scheme 40) with catalytic amounts of methanethiolate salts in dimethylformamide (DMF) as solvent. Here, the reaction commences with demethylation of the ArS–Me bond to afford an arenethiolate 291, which then

![Scheme 39.](image)

**Scheme 39.** The Newman–Kwart and related rearrangement reactions.

![Scheme 40.](image)

**Scheme 40.** cS_Ar reactions in the formation of dibenzothiophenes.
attacks the adjacent arene, displacing methanethiolate anion to complete a cycle by forming 292. Computational studies were unable to identify any intermediate in the latter step, which therefore appears to be concerted.

Hedrick, Alabugin et al. recently reported\[105\] that the synthesis of fluorinated poly(arylthioethers) 295 proceeds via a concerted mechanism (Scheme 41). Through computational studies, it was shown that firstly triazabicyclodecene (TBD), 296, nucleophilically attacks the trimethylsilyl (TMS) group of MeSSiMe 3, displacing a methanethiolate anion which hydrogen bonds to the TBD-TMS cation forming 297. This then forms a complex 298 with hexafluorobenzene (293), before the methanethiolate anion displaces fluoride in a concerted manner in transition state 299, aided by hydrogen bonding between the fluoride and the amine catalyst.

Dissociation of Me 3SiF occurs from 301, regenerating 296, followed by complexation of another MeSSiMe 3 and the monothiolated arene 300, forming 302. A second concerted displacement occurs para to the first displacement, due to stabilisation from the first methanethiolate group acting as a σ-acceptor (via transition state 303). Dissociation of fluorotrimethylsilane regenerates the catalyst 301 and affords dithiolated product 304.

Calfumán/C181n et al. carried out an experimental and computational study into the reaction of atrazine 305 with various biothiols 307–310, and propose that these reactions occur on the borderline between concerted and stepwise mechanisms (Scheme 42).\[106\] A Brønsted plot shows $\beta = +0.5$, which corresponds to a stepwise mechanism via a Meisenheimer intermediate, however, computational analysis of the intrinsic reaction coordinate reveals that no Meisenheimer intermediate can be found. The authors suggest that this may be because the loss of the chloride is extremely fast.

Investigations\[107\] of the nucleophilic aromatic displacement of chloride from a 4-chlorobenzoyl CoA model compound 311 (Scheme 43) with the acetate ion suggest that this reaction proceeds via a concerted mechanism. In the same study the nucleophilic aromatic substitution of chloride from tetrachlorohydroquinone 313 with thiomethanolate was found to proceed via a concerted mechanism (with the semi-empirical method, PM3). The authors point out that in solution phase (or on the enzyme) the accumulating negative charge in the transition state may be stabilized. Consequently, the reaction that proceeds via a concerted pathway in the gas phase could proceed via a stepwise pathway with a Meisenheimer intermediate in solution phase.

10. Hypervalent Iodine Substrates

Olofsson et al. investigated\[108\] O-arylations with diaryliodonium salts through experimental and computational methods, using hydroxide ion, alcohols and phenols as nucleophiles. The iodonium salts are represented as covalent...
diaryl iodonium(III) triflates, for example, **315** (Scheme 44) that undergo displacement of the triflate (−OTf) leaving group in the Ar₂I−OTf molecule by a nucleophile, before other chemistry transpires. The overall mechanistic picture is complex in that different mechanistic possibilities arose depending on the nucleophile and the iodonium(III) substrate. However, in electron-poor iodonium(III) substrates such as (p-NO₂C₆H₄)I−(Ph)OTf, **315**, they propose a direct ipso displacement by hydroxide ion at the C₅/C₃I bond of the nitroarene ring to lead to p-nitrophenol. They similarly represent an attack of alkoxides on Ph₂IOTf (**318**), as involving an initial conversion of the triflate complex to the dialkoxy “ate” complex that then undergoes concerted substitution at the ipso centre as shown. Additionally, they show oxidation of alcohols by the iodonium(III) substrates as involving concerted delivery of hydride to the ipso carbon with loss of iodoarene.

Similar reactions were more recently carried out on cyclic secondary amines by Stuart et al., as well as primary amines **[109]** by Olofsson et al. Stuart describes the final step of his proposed reaction mechanism as a reductive elimination whereby Ar−N bonds were created in the same step as the Ar−I bond was being cleaved. No computational or Hammett or other analyses of these reactions are available at the time of writing this review, but the analogy to the reactions of Olofsson et al. with alcohols is clear.

Uchiyama et al. **[111]** provided a route to ortho-iodo diaryl ethers. They found that upon studying aryl-exchange reactions of diaryl-1-iodanes with aryl iodides, the aryl exchange occurred via what they termed a cSN₅Ar process (Scheme 45A), but different from those encountered so far in this review. S₅I reactivity, benzene pathways, and single electron transfer were all ruled out. S₅I was ruled out by the absence of any fluoroarene that would be expected to form if the reaction proceeded via an arylation, such as seen in the formation of fluorobenzene **327** from benzenediazonium **326** (Scheme 45B). A benzene pathway was ruled out by deuterating one aryl group on **331** and no D/H scrambling was observed (Scheme 45C). Aryl radical intermediates were ruled out by the addition of a radical scavenger, 9,10-dihydroanthracene, (Scheme 45D) and by preparation of a radical clock substrate **335**, which did not afford any cyclised products (Scheme 45E).

Kinetic data suggest that both reagents are involved in the transition state. Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations suggest that the reactants **336** and **337** weakly coordinate through the BF₄ ion before a concerted aryl group migration occurs via two I(II) species with some positive charge development at the ipso carbon (**339**, Scheme 46). Dissociation of the aryliodonium salt from the tetrafluoroborate affords the products **336** and **337**. The reaction is reversible, and proceeds with thermodynamic control.

Bakalbassis et al. used computational methods to study the reaction of aryl migration in aryliodonium ylides **341** and **344**, and found this to be a concerted process with a barrier of 17.7 kcal mol⁻¹ and 6.4 kcal mol⁻¹ for substrates **341** and **344**, through transition states **342** and **345** respectively (Scheme 47). **[112]**

11. Reactions of Arzenediazonium Salts

Computational studies into the reaction of the benzene-diazonium ion **347** with water have been reported by Glaser et al. **[113]** They considered three mechanisms (Scheme 48A): i) a unimolecular S₅IAr mechanism with generation of an intermediate phenyl cation; ii) a bimolecular S₅IAr that proceeds without the pre- and post-coordination of the water and the diazonium salt; and iii) a bimolecular S₅IAr that proceeds with pre- and post-coordination of the water and diazonium salt. **[114]**
The authors propose that the transition state for the reaction features a phenyl cation which interacts loosely with both water and dinitrogen (\( \Delta G^* \)) via pathway (ii) in Scheme 48 A, despite the fact that pathway (i) has a lower \( \Delta G^* \).

This is explained by the fact that a phenyl cation \( \text{C}^+ / \text{C}_0 \text{N} \) bond cleavage could never evolve to completion without water binding to the developing phenyl cation. The transition state was shown to involve the “out-of-plane” attack \( 352 \text{a} \) rather than the “in-plane” attack \( 352 \text{b} \) (Scheme 48B).

Singleton and Ussing have also studied the hydrolysis of arenediazonium cations in water, and do not agree with the results of Glaser, due to there being no consideration of entropic values in Glaser’s work, and the fact that it does not agree with kinetic data.\(^{[115]}\) Kinetic isotope effects for \(^{13}\text{C} \) indicated that there is significant weakening not only of the \( \text{C}_1-\text{C}_2 \) bond in the rate-determining step, but also of the \( \text{C}_2-\text{C}_3 \) bonds (see \( 353 \), Scheme 48 C). This is consistent with a structure resembling a distorted aryl cation in the transition state, as \( \text{C}_1 \) gains some sp character as a cation. The authors point out that in the transition state, both \( \text{N}_2 \) and water are distant from the forming cation, and that the mechanism lies somewhere between \( \text{S}_{\text{N}1}\text{Ar} \) and \( \text{S}_{\text{N}2}\text{Ar} \).

12. Reactions of Metal Nucleophiles with Fluorinated Arenes

The displacement of a fluoride atom from polyfluoroarenes with a magnesium(I) complex was studied experimentally and computationally by Crimmin et al.\(^{[116]}\) The mechanism was found to proceed via a concerted \( \text{S}_{\text{N}1}\text{Ar} \) pathway (Scheme 49). The activation energy found by the DFT method (25.7 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) was in good agreement with the experimentally determined activation energy (21.3 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)). A similar mechanism was found by DFT for the corresponding bimetallic Mg–Zn complex. In this complex the zinc centre acts as the nucleophile. In an earlier study on the Mg–Mg complex,\(^{[117]}\) experimental evidence speaking against single electron pathways was gathered. A \( \text{S}_{\text{N}1}\text{Ar} \) mechanism was proposed and predicted to be concerted by DFT.

In a very recent study with an analogous fluoride-metal exchange reaction with a corresponding bimetallic Mg–Fe complex, a \( \text{S}_{\text{N}1}\text{Ar} \) pathway was identified by DFT.\(^{[118]}\) However, an alternative step-wise \( \text{S}_{\text{N}1}\text{Ar} \) mechanism was found to have a lower overall activation energy. With the Mg–Fe complex, the iron atom acts as the nucleophile.
Building on computational and experimental observations, notably from the Ritter group, Jacobsen et al. recently surveyed[13] S_NAr reactions by a combination of experimental and computational methods (Scheme 50). In advance, they based their expectations on the fact that isolated Meisenheimer intermediates can arise when i) substituents on the arene undergoing substitution provide good stabilisation of an intermediate anion, and ii) where the leaving group is relatively poor, so that the intermediate has some kinetic stability. Specifically they initially studied three reactions. Case A satisfies both of the above criteria, Case B features substituents that do not provide such good stabilisation of negative charge, and also boasts an excellent leaving group, bromide, while Case C features substituents that can provide excellent stabilisation while also bearing an excellent leaving group. As such, Case A would likely be a classical S_NAr reaction, Case B would likely be concerted and Case C could be borderline between the two mechanistic extremes. Their experimental approach was based on studying kinetic isotope effects in substrates that involve fluoride as a leaving group or as a nucleophile in S_NAr reactions. If a kinetic isotope effect is involved in the formation or cleavage of the C–F bond, then this will be reflected in a $^{19}$F/$^{19}$C isotope effect for that carbon. NMR methods for determining isotope effects were greatly developed by Singleton and Thomas[119] in $^{13}$C spectra, but the novel development of Jacobsen et al. is to make use of the NMR sensitivity of the $^{19}$F nucleus. Studying multiple quantum filtered (MQF) $^{19}$F/$^{1}$H spectra allowed clear observation and quantitation of the $^{13}$C–$^{19}$F satellites to the $^{12}$C–$^{19}$F peak with very accessible acquisition times for reasonable quantities of substrate (the MQF technique suppresses the appearance of the latter peak).

With the isotope effects measured, the important point was to compare this figure with that calculated using benchmarked computational methods, which also indicate whether an intermediate or a transition state is present. A key indicator of the concerted or stepwise nature of the reaction involving C–F formation or rupture relates to a comparison of this KIE to the maximum computed KIE on the reaction energy surface. Strong bonds in the ground state can lead to loss of more vibrational energy in the TS and therefore to large KIEs.

The largest KIE values arise when the bonding to both nucleophile and leaving group are weak in the TS, that is, in concerted reactions. For example in Case A, a strong C–F bond is broken, leading to large maximum KIE (1.070). In contrast, in Case B, a weak C–Br bond is broken as reflected in the lower maximum KIE (1.045). The measured KIE in both cases was 1.035 but this represents 47 % of the maximum KIE for Case A, but 87 % of the maximum KIE for case B. This translates to a stepwise nature for Case A and a concerted reaction for Case B. They then extended their studies to 120 S_NAr reactions with a variety of arene ring types, nucleophiles and leaving groups. Their calculations showed that 99 of the selected substitution reactions (83 %) proceed with concerted mechanisms.

### 14. Summary and Outlook

In 2013, aromatic nucleophilic substitutions were reviewed, and the classical stepwise mechanism was deemed to be the usual mechanism, while concerted nucleophilic substitutions were very rare.[3] The past six years have certainly built on the undercurrent that existed before 2013 and it is likely that a torrent of concerted examples will appear in the next few years. Investigations have been helped by computational techniques that shed light on the mechanisms. What is clear is that the concerted or stepwise nature of the reactions is strongly influenced by substrate nucleophile and leaving group, but also by the environment, and that some substitutions may present as concerted or stepwise depending on the conditions. We need to be careful about information from Hammett correlations for at least two reasons: i) Hammett $p$-values depend on the temperature at which the experiments are performed and so comparisons need to bear this in mind; ii) if a particular reaction undergoes a transition from stepwise to concerted for a range of substituents on the substrate, this may present as a clear change in $p$-value, but the two pathways could have similar $p$-values, and this could mask the transition. With computational methods, the selection of the method and the basis set clearly influences the outcome of the calculations, and so continued study in this area will be crucial.

With these important changes in perception coming now for nucleophilic aromatic substitution and its implications for Meisenheimer intermediates, it is interesting to see that the counterpart in electrophilic aromatic substitution, that is, concerted electrophilic aromatic substitution, featuring Wheland transition states rather than intermediates, is also beginning to appear.[120–122] We are thus at a time of exciting developments in mechanistic organic chemistry.
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Concerted or stepwise? A class of nucleophilic aromatic substitutions has been developed that proceed by concerted (cSNAr) rather than classical, two-step, SNAr mechanisms. Whereas traditional SNAr reactions require substantial activation of the aromatic ring by electron-withdrawing substituents, such activating groups are not mandatory in the concerted pathways.