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1. Introduction

The EED is recognized as the electric initiator or the components that exploit electric energy to detonate and ignite the explosives, the propellants, or the pyrotechnic materials within the device [1]. To be specific, such a device consists of EED, conductive explosive synthetic detonator, semiconductor bridge electric exploding device, laser exploding device, etc. [2–5]. The EED has been commonly employed to ignite gunpowder and detonate explosives, and it can also act as a small driving device for rapid valve opening, arming, and rocket separation [6–9]. It achieves the extensive applications in several military projects (e.g., conventional weapons, ammunition, missiles, nuclear weapons, and aerospace systems). In addition, it is the most sensitive initiating energy for the initiation and the ignition. Moreover, its position and role in weapon systems are determined by its function and sensitivity. Its safety and reliability can directly impact the safety and reliability of weapon systems [10–18].

The EED exhibits the sensitivity to electromagnetic energy. In addition, its accidental ignition is likely to cause the effect of the whole weapon system and bring great losses. Its design should abide by the electromagnetic safety requirements of various EEDs [19–26]. To guide equipment design, production, and effect experimental evaluation, the U.S. military has formulated a range of standards and specifications. As stipulated by “Safety Criteria for Munition Rocket and Missile Motor Ignition System Design: Safety Criteria” MIL-STD-1901A and “Safety Criteria for fuze Design” MIL-STD-1316D design, the EED and fuzes should not start and ignite accidentally during and after the following environmental exposure processes within the normal life cycle (e.g., electromagnetic radiation, electrostatic discharge, electromagnetic pulse, electromagnetic interference, lightning action, or power supply transient) [27, 28].
Moreover, the ignition system and the fuze should be capable of operating safely during and after the exposure to the mentioned environment.

Furthermore, the Chinese army is constantly modifying the relevant standards and requirements for electromagnetic environmental effects of equipment. In accordance with “Electromagnetic compatibility requirements for systems” GJB 1389A-2005”, the external electromagnetic environment of electromagnetic radiation harm imposed on EEDs exhibits the frequency range of 10 kHz~45 GHz, and the maximum peak field strength is 27460 V/m [29]. “Test method for hazards of electromagnetic radiation to ordnance” GJB 7504-2012 stipulates the test method for the ability of EED in ordnance to withstand electromagnetic radiation within its service life, which is achieved by primarily measuring the induced current, voltage, or power of the EED in the tested ordnance to assess the safety of the EED under the electromagnetic radiation [30]. The test method to measure the induced current, voltage, or power cannot effectively evaluate the electromagnetic safety test of the EED under microwave radiation. Under the radiation frequency exceeding GHz, the induced current will form a standing wave distribution on the lead wire of the EED, and the current amplitude at different positions will be altered noticeably [31]. As impacted by the measuring probe, bridge wire thickness, and lead electromagnetic induction, the induced current at the bridge wire position is difficult to be accurately measured; besides, under skin effect, the bridge wire resistance and ignition current change with frequency, and its electromagnetic safety cannot be accurately evaluated [32–36]. Wang et al. introduced the test method of ignition temperature of EED under electromagnetic pulse in a recently published article [37], but there is no paper published to study the strong field equivalent test method of EED under continuous wave by temperature measurement.

In this paper, the EED was taken as the research object. According to the requirements of the weapon system for the high power and high field electromagnetic radiation effect test, the equivalent test method of the high field electromagnetic environment effect is proposed. Through the theoretical analysis, the relationships between the temperature rise of the bridge wire and the injection current and the temperature rise of the bridge wire and the radiation field strength were developed, respectively. Through the steady current injection effect test in the steady environment, the 50% ignition current of the EED was determined. The temperature sensor was close to the bridge wire, and the current injection test was performed. The relationship between the bridge wire temperature rise and the injection current was obtained, and the 50% ignition temperature of the EED was determined. To make the tested EED ignite under the existing conditions, the lead wire of the EED was refitted into a half-wave dipole antenna structure to improve the electric field coupling condition of the EED. According to the Brueton method [38], the irradiation test of the EED was performed, and the ignition field strength at 50% ignition of the EED was obtained. Under the same test conditions, the high field electromagnetic environment effect equivalent test was performed to determine the relationship between the irradiation field strength and the bridge wire temperature. According to the ignition temperature of the EED, the equivalent test radiation field intensity of 50% ignition of the EED was obtained. The radiation field strength obtained by the equivalent test method was compared with that obtained by the direct irradiation method to verify whether the test error of the equivalent test was less than 1 dB.

2. Theoretical Analysis

Whether the EED can ignite is determined by the hot-spot temperature of the wrapped explosive, which is closely related to the bridge wire temperature. Under the electromagnetic radiation, the ignition temperature of wrapped explosive is not related to the frequency of the electromagnetic wave [39]; therefore, this study evaluated the ignition field strength of the EED by measuring the temperature rise of the bridge wire. The design process of the equivalent test method for the electromagnetic radiation effect of the EED is illustrated in Figure 1. Under steady conditions, the bridge wire temperature of the mathematical model rise, and the current of the EED is established through the theoretical analysis, and the 50% ignition current of the EED is obtained through experiment to determine the ignition temperature of the EED. Under the condition of electromagnetic pulse irradiation, the mathematical model of the bridge wire temperature rise and electric field intensity of EED is established. Combined with the ignition temperature of EED, the equivalent irradiation field intensity of EED ignition is determined.

The bridge wire material of the EED applied in the study was nickel-chromium alloy 6J20, which had a significantly low-temperature coefficient of resistance reaching nearly 7 × 10⁻⁵°C⁻¹ [39]. In the range of the test error, it can be considered that the resistance of the bridge wire is not altered with the increase in the temperature. The bridge wire on the EED will increase under heat insulation or heat balance.

Under insulation, by complying with the law of energy conservation, the heat generated on the bridge wire was used for the temperature increase in the bridge wire [40], as expressed below:

\[
\int_{0}^{t_{b}} I^2 R dt = cm\Delta T ,
\]

where \( I \) denotes the current through the bridge wire, \( R \) expresses the equivalent impedance of the EED, \( t_{b} \) represents the action time, \( c \) expresses the specific heat of the bridge wire of the EED, \( m \) represents the quality of the bridge wire of the EED, and \( \Delta T \) is the temperature increase in the bridge wire of the EED.

Under heat balance, the heat generated by the bridge wire is used for the temperature increase of the bridge wire and is transferred to the external medium. By complying with Fourier’s law, the heat loss of the bridge wire is proportional to the temperature gradient perpendicular to the cross section and the cross-sectional area [37] as follows:
STEP I:
The mathematical model of bridge wire temperature rise and current of electric explosion device is established.

STEP II:
The 50% ignition current of electric explosion device is obtained by experiment, and the ignition temperature of the device is determined.

STEP III:
The mathematical model of temperature rise and electric field strength of bridge wire in electric explosive device is established.

STEP IV:
Determination of equivalent ignition field strength of electric explosion device.

Figure 1: The design process of the equivalent test method for electromagnetic radiation effect of EED.

$$Q' = k \frac{dT}{dr} S,$$

where $Q'$ denotes the heat loss of the bridge wire, $k$ expresses the heat transfer coefficient of medium, $dT/dr$ represents the temperature gradient perpendicular to the cross section of the bridge wire, and $S$ expresses the cross-sectional area perpendicular to the bridge wire section.

As concluded from the mentioned analysis, the temperature increase of the bridge wire and $dT/dr$ are integral relations [37] expressed as follows:

$$Q' = k' \cdot \Delta T,$$

where $Q'$ denotes the heat loss of the bridge wire and $k'$ expresses the scale factor.

As indicated from equations (1) and (3), the temperature increase of the bridge wire is directly proportional to the square of the current on the bridge wire, whether under isothermal conditions or thermal equilibrium conditions [41],

$$\Delta T = p \cdot I^2,$$

where $p$ expresses the scale factor.

The following analysis can be obtained: under the condition of stability, the relationship between the temperature of the bridge wire and the injection current of the EED is established. The ignition temperature of the EED is obtained by combining the 50% ignition current of the EED obtained by the Brueton method.

The induced electromotive force is defined by the induced electric field on the EED as radiated by the electromagnetic field [42]:

$$e = \sum_{i=1}^{w} E_i \cdot l_i,$$

where $w$ expresses the number of sections divided into the leads of the EED, $E_i$ represents the strength of the electric field at the nth part lead, and $l_i$ is the length of the electric field at the nth part lead.

In the experiment, there was a uniform electromagnetic field near the EED, so $E$ is not associated with the integral circuit [43]. Equation (5) can be simplified as

$$e = E \cdot l.$$

From equations (4) and (6), we can get

$$\Delta T = q \cdot E^2,$$

where $q$ denotes the scale factor.

From the above analysis, we can get the relationship between the temperature rise of the bridge wire and the electric field intensity under the electromagnetic field irradiation. Based on the response characteristics of EED under low field irradiation, the response level of EED under the high power and the high field irradiation is calculated. Combined with the ignition temperature and test environment temperature, the electric field intensity of 50% ignition of the EED is calculated. The equivalent test method of the low field electromagnetic environment effect of EED provides a technical means for evaluating the safety and survivability of the weapon system in complex electromagnetic environments.

3. Test Method

3.1. Test Method for Ignition Excitation of EED under Stable Conditions. Aiming at the high power and high field electromagnetic radiation effect test required by the weapon system, the equivalent test method of the high field electromagnetic environment effect is proposed. Whether the EED can ignite depends on the temperature of the bridge wire. By injecting constant current into the EED, 50% of the ignition current can be obtained. Then, the temperature rise of the bridge wire under different current injection is tested to determine the relationship between current and bridge wire temperature. Thus, the ignition temperature of the EED is obtained. In order to improve the electromagnetic sensitivity of the EED, the lead of the EED is refitted into a dipole antenna. Under continuous wave irradiation, the relationship between the bridge wire temperature rise and field strength is obtained by irradiating the EED with a low field strength. According to the ignition temperature of the EED obtained from the DC current injection test, the radiation field intensity of the equivalent test of 50% ignition of the EED is calculated to verify the accuracy and reliability of the ignition field strength obtained by the equivalent test method, and it is necessary to obtain the actual ignition field strength of the EED. Under the same conditions, according to the Brueton method, the electromagnetic field irradiation test of the EED was carried out, and the ignition field strength of the EED at 50% ignition was obtained.
comparing the difference between the ignition field strength obtained by the whole irradiation method and that obtained by the equivalent test method, it is evaluated whether the test error of the equivalent test method is more than 1 dB. The test flowchart of the equivalent test method for a strong field electromagnetic radiation effect is shown in Figure 2.

On the whole, the test system consisted of DC stable power supply, digital multimeter, two relays, test box, and EED. One output of the DC stable power supply provided the working voltage for the two time relays, and the other output provided the stable current for the EED. For two time relays, one was set to 2 s normally closed relay, and the other was set to 12 s normally open relay, which controlled the start and interruption of current on the EED. Two relays controlled the current injection and termination of the EED. One relay’s initial state was open and set to close after 2 s; the other relay’s initial state was closed and set to open after 12 s. The output current of the DC stable power supply was measured using a digital multimeter. Figure 3 illustrates the schematic diagram of the ignition excitation test system of the EED.

During the test, to prevent the explosion of the EED from jeopardizing people and damaging equipment, a metal box was used as the test box. The EED was placed in the test box for the ignition test. The current injection test was performed on the EED, and the initial excitation current was 180 mA. When the output of the DC stable power supply reached 180 mA as measured using digital multimeter, the output current would be stopped, and the output current would be switched to the line with EED. The relay started to inject current into the EED after 2 s, and the other relay closed after 12 s to stop injecting current into the EED. The ignition state and injection current of the EED were observed and recorded. After 2 s, and the other relay closed after 12 s to stop injecting current into the EED. The ignition state and injection current of the EED were observed and recorded. The current step was set to 10 mA, and the test data are listed in Table 1.

The test data were analyzed with the Bruceton method:

\[ \sum n_i = 15, \]  
(8)

where \( n_i \) denotes the ignition number of the sample.

\[ \Delta T = p \cdot I^2, \]  
(9)

where \( n_0 \) represents the misfired number of the sample.

\[ n = \sum n_i = \sum n_0 = 14, \]  
(10)

where \( n \) expresses the number of valid probes.

\[ A = \sum (i \cdot n_i) = -8, \]  
\[ B = \sum i^2 \cdot n_i = 16, \]  
\[ M = \frac{n \cdot B - A^2}{n^2} \approx 0.82, \]  
(11)

\[ b' = \left| \frac{A}{n - \frac{1}{2}} - \left[ \frac{A}{n - \frac{1}{2}} \right] \right| = 0.1. \]

When \( b' \leq 0.5 \),

\[ b = b' = 0.1, \]  
(12)

\[ \mu = y_0 + \left( \frac{A}{n} \pm \frac{1}{2} \right) \cdot \delta = 189.3, \]  
(13)

where \( A, B, M, b', \) and \( b \) are the median values adopted to calculate 50% ignition electrical excitation and assess the standard deviation.

The 50% igniting voltage estimate value \( \mu \) of the tested sample is expressed as

where \( y_0 \) denotes the current of the first test stimulation and \( \delta \) represents the step value of the injection current increase or decrease. \( n \) form (8) and (10), \( \sum n_i \leq \sum n_0, n = \sum n_i \), in equation (9) “±” is “−,” \( \sum n_i \geq \sum n_0, n = \sum n_0 \) in equation \( (9) \) “±” is “+.” Based on the calculation of results of \( n, M, \) and \( b \), from the appendix of GJB/Z 377A-94, it yields

\[ \rho = \rho (29, 0.82, 0.1) = 1.489, \]  
\[ G = G(\rho, b) = 0.962, \]  
(14)

\[ H = H(\rho, b) = 1.570, \]

where \( \rho, G, \) and \( H \) are the median values.
3.2. Test Method for Ignition Temperature Increase of EED under Stable Conditions. The schematic diagram of the bridge wire temperature increase test system on the EED is illustrated in Figure 4. One output of the DC stable power supply provided the working voltage for the two time relays, and the other output provided the stable current for the EED. For two time relays, one was set to 2 s normally closed relay, and the other was set to 12 s normally open relay, which controlled the start and interruption of current on the EED. Two relays controlled the current injection and stop of the EED. One relay’s initial state was open and set to close after 2 s; the other relay’s initial state was closed and set to open after 12 s. The output current of the DC stable power supply was measured using a digital multimeter. The temperature sensor probe was employed to measure the temperature increase of the bridge wire on the EED, and it was connected with the data acquisition card through the optical fiber to display the temperature increase reading on the computer.

The lines were connected according to the schematic diagram of the test system, and the test system was built. The digital multimeter was adopted to measure the output of DC stable power supply. When the output current reached 20 mA, the current output would be stopped. The electric current was applied to the circuit of the EED for removing the explosive, the power switch was turned on, the relay closed after 2 s, and the injection of current would be started into the EED. After 12 s, another relay opened, and current injection was stopped. The current step was set to 10 mA, and the temperature increase corresponding to the optical fiber temperature measurement system was recorded when different currents were being injected into the EED following the above steps.

As the temperature of the test sensor exceeds 150°C, the temperature probe will be burned. When the temperature increase of the probe reaches about 100°C, the test is stopped. The test data of the bridge wire temperature increase of the EED are listed in Table 2.

According to the test results, the relationship between the bridge wire temperature increase and the square of the injection current was drawn (Figure 5). The relationship between the bridge wire temperature increase and the injection current was obtained by combining with equation (4):

\[ T = 0.01 \cdot I^2. \] (16)

As revealed from the ignition current and equation (16), the temperature increase of the bridge wire is 357.2°C when the EED is ignited, and the temperature of the bridge wire is 382.1°C under the 50% ignited EED.

3.3. Test Method for Ignition Excitation of EED under Electromagnetic Field. To determine the 50% ignition field strength of EED as radiated by the strong electromagnetic field, the ignition test system of the EED as radiated by the strong electromagnetic field was designed, and the test schematic diagram is presented in Figure 6. The continuous wave signal of 300 MHz from the RF signal generator was amplified with a broadband power amplifier. The amplified continuous wave signal enters the stacked logarithmic antenna, and the EED is placed in the far field of the biconical antenna for 50% ignition test. In order to improve the degree of electromagnetic coupling, the leads at both ends of the EED are modified. The continuous wave wavelength of 300 MHz is 1 m, corresponding to the maximum coupling antenna length of 0.5 m. Therefore, the continuous wave irradiation test is carried out after the lead of the EED is modified to 0.5 m. The polarization direction of the continuous wave is vertical polarization, so the lead of the modified EED is placed perpendicular to the ground for the test. By regulating the output signal of the RF signal generator, the RF signal with different intensities could be transmitted, and the field strength near the fixed platform of the tested EED was measured using a field strength meter.

The test system was built by complying with the test schematic diagram of the EED as radiated by the strong electromagnetic field. The EED was fixed on the fixing bracket of the EED. The distance between the center of the stacked logarithmic antenna and the center of the fixed bracket of the tested EED was fixed to d. The RF signal generator, the broadband power amplifier, the dual channel microwave power meter, and the electromagnetic field strength tester were turned on successively. The output RF signal of the RF signal generator was adjusted to observe whether the EED was ignited. Then, the field strength meter was employed to test the field strength close to the tested EED. The radiation field intensity tended to increase according to the principle of equal step till the EED was ignited. The effective test started from the first time when the test result changed and was counted. Each test should replace a novel EED, and the irradiation time shall be set to 2s.

| $I$(mA) | $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |
|--------|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 170    | 2  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 180    | 1  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 190    | 0  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 200    | $-1$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 210    | $-2$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

$i$ is the weight coefficient; 0 means that the sample is not changed, and 1 means the sample exploded.

Standard deviation estimate value $\sigma$ is given as follows:

\[ \sigma = r \cdot d = 14.89. \] (15)
The reading of the field strength meter was recorded. If the EED was ignited, the ignited one was recorded as "1," and the nonignited one was recorded as "0." The test results are listed in Table 3.

3.4. Test Method for Ignition Temperature Increase of EED under Electric Field Intensity. To determine the relationship between the temperature increase and the electric field intensity of the bridge wire of the EED as radiated by the strong electromagnetic field, the temperature increase test system of the EED as radiated by the strong electromagnetic field is designed, and the test schematic diagram is shown in Figure 7.

The test system was set up based on the prediction schematic diagram of the bridge wire ignition temperature increase of the EED as radiated by the strong electromagnetic field. The EED for removing the explosive was fixed on the bracket. The distance between the center of the stacked
log periodic antenna and the center of the fixed bracket of the tested EED was fixed as $d$. The continuous wave signal of 300 MHz from the RF signal generator was amplified with the broadband power amplifier, and the amplified continuous wave signal entered the log periodic antenna to perform the temperature increase test of the bridge wire of the EED as radiated by the strong electromagnetic field. By adjusting the RF signal generator to change the electric field radiation intensity, the field intensity close to the EED was determined using the field intensity meter. The electric field radiation intensity increased gradually according to the principle of equal step size, and the exposure and rest time of EED are 5 s and 30 s, respectively, at each level of applied electric field using the RF source. The test data were analyzed by the Bruceton method and combined with equations (8)–(12). $E_0 = 115$ V/m, $d = 10$ V/m, $n = 14$, $A = -1$, $B = 27$, $M = 1.9$, and $b = 0.1$. The 50% ignition field strength of EED is calculated: $E_{50} = 115.0$ V/m. Standard deviation: $\sigma = 39.8$ V/m.

### Table 3: Ignition excitation test data of EED under continuous wave at 300 MHz.

| $E$ (V/m) | $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |
|-----------|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 85        | 3   | 0 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 95        | 2   | 1 | 0 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 105       | 1   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 115       |    | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 125       |    | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 135       |    | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 145       |    | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |

The test data were analyzed by the Bruceton method and combined with equations (8)–(12). $E_0 = 115$ V/m, $d = 10$ V/m, $n = 14$, $A = -1$, $B = 27$, $M = 1.9$, and $b = 0.1$. The 50% ignition field strength of EED is calculated: $E_{50} = 115.0$ V/m. Standard deviation: $\sigma = 39.8$ V/m.

Figure 7: Schematic diagram of the bridge wire temperature rise test of EED under strong electromagnetic field.

### Table 4: Test results of the bridge wire temperature increase under strong electromagnetic field at ambient temperature of 32.2°C.

| Electric intensity (V/m) | 19.6 | 29.4 | 39.2 | 49.0 | 58.8 | 68.7 |
|-------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Temperature increase of bridge wire (°C) | 9.5  | 28.2 | 49.3 | 78.2 | 108.9 | 136.4 |

According to the test data, the relationship between the bridge wire temperature increase and the square of electric field intensity is drawn at 300 MHz, as shown in Figure 8.

According to equation (7), the relationship model between the temperature increase of the bridge wire and the radiation field intensity is obtained:

$$\Delta T = 0.03 \cdot E^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

From 50% ignition temperature, 382.1°C under stable condition, and combined with equation (16), the ignition field strength of electromagnetic radiation equivalent test of EED is 108.0 V/m.

According to the equivalent test method of strong electromagnetic field radiation effect of EED, the ignition field strength is obtained, and the ignition field strength $E_{50}$ of the whole irradiation method is obtained. Test error $\delta$ of the equivalent test method is calculated as

$$\delta = 20 \cdot \log E - 20 \cdot \log E_{50}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)
The results show that the error is only $-0.55\, \text{dB}$ when the ignition field strength obtained by the two methods is brought into formula (17). Thus, the feasibility and accuracy of the equivalent test method are verified, which provides test methods and technical means for the safety assessment of the weapon system electromagnetic environment, and is of great significance in the improvement of the safety and survivability of the weapon system in complex electromagnetic environments.

4. Conclusions

At present, the weapon system faces the complex electromagnetic environment of high power and high field strength, and the existing test system and test method cannot meet its safety performance test. This paper presents an equivalent test method of low field strength for EED. Through the theoretical analysis and test, according to the equivalent test method of EED, the ignition field strength is determined to be $108.0\, \text{V/m}$. Under the same conditions, according to the Brueton method, the ignition field strength of 50% ignition is $115.0\, \text{V/m}$. By comparing the difference between the ignition field strength obtained by the whole irradiation method and that obtained by the equivalent test method, the test error of the equivalent test method is determined to be $-0.55\, \text{dB}$, which verifies the feasibility and accuracy of the equivalent test method of the EED.

This paper presents an equivalent test method for electromagnetic field radiation effect of EED, which solves the problem that the electromagnetic environment effect test cannot be completed due to insufficient test conditions. It provides the test method and technical support for the electromagnetic environment effect test of the weapon system under high power and high field strength. It is critical to improve the safety and survivability of the weapon system in complex electromagnetic environments.
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