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ABSTRACT
This research discusses the level of information literacy of student of Universitas Negeri Padang using The Big 6 Model. This research uses explanatory method which aimed to explain why events occur, and to build and develop theories or concepts. The data obtained by directly distributing questionnaires. Data analysis is conducted to answer the research problem. The data collected was simplified, processed then presented by calculating the student information literacy level score. The data was processed in tabular form so it’s easy to read and present. The interpretation done to look for broader meanings and implications of the data displayed. The data that has been calculated and grouped in a table was presented descriptively into statement sentences that describe the data clearly. The Researchers found that the level of student information literacy is in low level, the student's knowledge about information literacy activities is still not good. Many students still do not understand the ethics and stages to find information. These problems cause the students of being wrong in obtaining and using information. In addition, in the evaluation activities there are not many students sort the level of usefulness of information needed, students only search for information and take the information without reading and understanding it in advance before using it. So, the results obtained can be concluded that students still do not understand the actual information literacy ability and students are still classified as students with low information literacy skills.
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1. INTRODUCTION
In today's generation, literacy is more than just writing, but it includes thinking skills using knowledge sources in print, visual, digital and auditory forms. This ability is called information circulation. However, there is a growing recognition that information literacy in the learning process needs to be changed in order to ensure that they are relevant to the present / present generation.

Universitas Negeri Padang (UNP) in developing 21st century skills including collaboration, communication, ICT (or digital) literacy, creativity, critical thinking and problem solving, introducing innovative and student-centered technologies and encouragement of collaboration and group work, together developing the role of the lecturer as a guide or mentor.

According to Sitti (2014), Rahmania (2015), Azim (2016), Hendra (2016), Yudistira (2017), Veri (2017) Azim (2016), information is a collection of facts that have been processed into a form of data, so that it can be more useful and can be used by anyone who needs these data as knowledge or can be used in decision making.

Harsiati (2018), Erika (2017), Arief (2016), Yudistira (2017), Amiq (2018), Aulia (2017), Diah (2016), Komariah (2018), Hidayat (2018), Nurul (2018), Yudistira (2017), there are four information literacy models, namely the Big 6, The seven Pilars of Information Literacy, The Empowering 8 and The seven Faces of Information Literacy models. One model of information literacy that is widely used in schools, higher education institutions and companies. This model bases identification in six steps namely: defining tasks, information retrieval strategies, location and access, use of information, doing synthesis, and evaluating.

2. METHOD
This type of research is explanative. Explanative research is research that aims to explain why events occur and to build and develop theories or concepts because if researchers want to explain the causal relationships between variables through testing hypotheses, then the research is no longer called descriptive research but hypothetical testing research or explanation research.

The approach taken in this research is to identify models and elements of student technology, media and information literacy. Then analyze the model and information literacy elements with reference to the model and information literacy elements that have been applied by students. In this study, the authors describe the data
obtained from respondents (in this case students) through a questionnaire questionnaire to be further formulated and interpreted. Before describing, the authors distributed questionnaires containing questionnaires and a checklist. Questions from this questionnaire relate to three things, namely technology literacy, media and information. Each with four answer categories, strongly agree = 1, b. agree = 2, c. Disagree = 3, and d. Strongly disagree = 4. Four scales were used in this study with the reason to facilitate respondents. The population in this study were students of the Padang State University GPA Study Program students who entered the 2016-2018 academic year. The sample used is a random sample with the assumption that the population has the same background and traits.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study aims to look at: (1) the level of technological literacy of students; (2) get a picture of how the level of student media literacy is; (3) knowing how the level of information literacy is students and (4) knowing the relationship between technology literacy, media, and information literacy in students. Based on research conducted on 429 respondents, the results can be seen as follows:

Literate of Technology.

Based on the explanation in Table 14 above the average score of technological literacy is 2.59 with a level of achievement of 64.70% which is in the sufficient category. The highest respondent's achievement is in statement number 1: "You understand what technology is. "It means that on the whole, the average respondent has understood technology with a level of achievement of 82.23%. While the lowest respondent's achievement is in statement number 17 namely "understanding the process of mass media content production" Means that in this statement most respondents do not understand production in mass media, then statement number 18 and 19 namely "able to make mass media message content and understand the rules of making message in mass media "with a level of achievement of 49.59%, for more details can be seen in the following histogram:

Media Literacy

Based on the explanation in Table 2 above the average score of media literacy is 2.88 with an achievement level of 71.96% which is in the good category. The highest respondent's achievement is in statement number 25, which is "understanding what print media is." Meaning that most respondents understand print media because they are very familiar among respondents. While the lowest respondent's achievement is in statement number 36 which is "You are not able to analyze the truth of the contents of the mass media." Meaning that in this case the respondent might be able to analyze the truth of the mass media because the respondents are intellectuals.

Information Literacy

Based on the explanation in Table 3 above, the total average score of the Information Literacy variable is 2.61 with an achievement level of 65.17%. The highest respondent's achievement is in statement number 57 which is "You understand when to use the information obtained." Means that most respondents can use information wisely, whenever and wherever. While the lowest respondent's achievement is in statement number 48 namely "You are not able to know the information needs for yourself." The respondent's achievement rate is 48.8%, this means that there are a small number of respondents who do not need information contrary to the results most of the respondents stated as one of the needs, especially with easy access today.

The Relationship between Technology Literacy and Media Literacy with information literacy

The relationship between technology literacy and media literacy with information literacy shows a constant of 8.028 indicating that there are no independent variables, namely technological literacy and media literacy, information literacy, positive at 8.028. Technology literacy variable, has a positive regression coefficient of 0.152. Thus information literacy will increase by 0.152 units, if technological literacy increases by one unit. Media literacy variable, has a positive regression coefficient of 0.229 Thus information literacy will increase by 0, 229 units, if media literacy increases by one unit. From the description above it can be concluded that the more effective model used for students and students is the Big 6 model because this model is more flexible than other information literacy models, this model can be applied to almost all human problems related to decision making which uses information as a basis for decision making.

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the previous description, it can be concluded as follows. The ability of information literacy of students of Padang State University is still low and the ability of technology literacy is also low but the media literacy of students is quite high compared to information literacy and technological literacy, because in the information literacy activities UNP students often abuse media use in finding information carried out by students. students use smartphones in search of information but there are still many students who use smartphones as a medium for entertainment. Student knowledge about information literacy activities is still not good. many students still do
not understand ethics and the stages in finding good and
correct information. This often results in students being
wrong in getting and using information. In addition, in the
evaluation activities there are not many students who rank
the level of usefulness of the information they need,
students just simply search for information and get it
without reading and understanding in advance the
information to be used.
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