Cobalt-Doped ZnO Nanorods Coated with Nanoscale Metal–Organic Framework Shells for Water-Splitting Photoanodes
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ABSTRACT: Developing highly efficient and stable photoelectrochemical (PEC) water-splitting electrodes via inexpensive, liquid phase processing is one of the key challenges for the conversion of solar energy into hydrogen for sustainable energy production. ZnO represents one of the most suitable semiconductor metal oxide alternatives because of its high electron mobility, abundance, and low cost, although its performance is limited by its lack of absorption in the visible spectrum and reduced charge separation and charge transfer efficiency. Here, we present a solution-processed water-splitting photoanode based on Co-doped ZnO nanorods (NRs) coated with a transparent functionalizing metal–organic framework (MOF). The light absorption of the ZnO NRs is engineered toward the visible region by Co-doping, while the MOF significantly improves the stability and charge separation and transfer properties of the NRs. This synergetic combination of doping and nanoscale surface functionalization boosts the current density and functional lifetime of the photoanodes while achieving an unprecedented incident photon to current efficiency (IPCE) of 75% at 350 nm, which is over 2 times that of pristine ZnO. A theoretical model and band structure for the core–shell nanostructure is provided, highlighting how this nanomaterial combination provides an attractive pathway for the design of robust and highly efficient semiconductor-based photoanodes that can be translated to other semiconducting oxide systems.
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INTRODUCTION

The development of efficient, robust, and cost-effective water-splitting cells is key to establishing sustainable hydrogen production as a renewable energy source. A viable avenue for this production is photoelectrochemical water splitting, which requires suitable photoelectrodes that can efficiently supply significant current densities over a long lifetime. This requires engineering semiconducting materials to have a suitable bandgap and band structure that enhance light absorption, charge separation, and transport. Metal oxide semiconductors such as titanium dioxide, hematite, zinc oxide, and perovskites have been widely employed as photoanodes in PEC water splitting.

Among these, ZnO is an attractive candidate for water-splitting photoanodes due to its high electron mobility, natural abundance, and low cost. Importantly, nanotechnological approaches using ZnO NR arrays have proven to be an effective alternative route to the development of efficient photoanode compared to thin-film-based ones because of the vastly increased surface area and shorter lateral carrier transfer length. However, unprotected ZnO NRs suffer from low photogenerated current density due to charge recombination prompted by surface states, subpar light absorption due to a wide bandgap, average efficiency, and a poor functional lifetime due to photocorrosion, i.e., the irreversible physical and chemical changes induced by oxidation and reduction processes of the photogenerated charge carriers. There have been significant efforts to overcome the characteristic shortcomings of ZnO to exploit its potential benefits, with strategies such as doping or NR decoration, with either quantum dots or carbon-based materials, being extensively explored.

Two distinct strands of current ZnO research present complementary opportunities to engineer the performance of ZnO NRs as a photoanode. First, doping of ZnO with elements such as cobalt or nitrogen, by using low-cost processes, has been successfully demonstrated as a viable
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bandgap engineering process. Here, cobalt is integrated in the form of a substitutitional dopant in the wurtzite ZnO lattice, with the Co\(^{2+}\) ions occupying the position of Zn\(^{2+}\) ions.\(^{23}\)

Second, the nanotechnological integration of MOFs\(^{24}\) with ZnO. MOFs are a class of organometallic nanomaterials characterized by their organic moieties, large surface areas, and chemical compatibility.\(^{25}\) Among the many MOF families, the zeolitic imidazolate frameworks are one of the most studied because of their chemical and mechanical properties.\(^{26,27}\) One of the most studied materials within this family is ZIF-8, which is formed by Zn nuclei tetrahedrally coordinated by 2-methylimidazole organic linkers.\(^{28}\) The integration of a ZIF-8 shell around ZnO cores has shown potential stability, charge generation, and charge transfer improvements.\(^{29,30}\) One example is the growth of the ZIF-8 as a shell around ZnO, which is typically achieved by hydrothermal growth in a methanol or a water/dimethylformamide solution containing a zinc source and the organic linker, which is easily incorporated with ZnO NRs by the solvothermal conversion of ZnO into ZIF-8.\(^{25,31}\) Even though this integration has been reported in the literature, the interplay between the ZIF-8 shell and ZnO core has not been adequately addressed so far since there remains no conclusive mechanistic model that explains the charge transfer between the core and shell at this time.

To date, these two strands have been independently researched as different pathways to enhance the performance of ZnO as a photoanode. In this work, these two research strands are successfully brought together for the first time to create a new class of functionally doped ZnO NRs/MOF nanomaterials. We demonstrate that an unprecedented incident to photon current efficiency (IPCE-75%) can be achieved in the near-visible region (at 350 nm) using these materials unlike traditional approaches that operate only in the UV region. In addition, a functional model for the synergistic behavior of the combination of these two nanomaterials is given to provide a theoretical framework to consider how their interplay leads to the enhanced functional performance observed.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The ZnO:Co NRs are grown by a two-step process consisting of the spin-coating of a ZnO seed layer and subsequent NR growth with the well-established chemical bath deposition (CBD). Cobalt is introduced into the growth solution, where it is incorporated into the ZnO lattice, substituting Zn atoms during growth through competitive reactions, as shown by the X-ray diffraction and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) results in Figures S1 and S2 of the Supporting Information. A 1% Co:Zn ratio in solution is discussed here. This value is only the nominal value; the actual value of the amount of cobalt integrated in the ZnO NRs is expected to be considerably lower.\(^{32}\) Other cobalt doping levels were considered and studied, with 1% yielding the best performance and therefore being the focus of this work. The ZIF-8 shell is grown efficiently by a previously unreported spin-coating route based on the deposition of a methanol solution containing the imidazole linker onto the ZnO NRs, followed by surface conversion of the ZnO NRs. The various steps of the growth of the core-shell NRs and the structure of the photoanode in the photoelectrochemical cell are illustrated in Figure 1. This unexplored MOF deposition processing creates a fast, facile, and controllable route for the growth of nanoscale ZIF-8 shells on ZnO NRs. Four different classes of samples are discussed here and are labeled: ZnO, ZnO:Co, ZnO@ZIF-8, and ZnO:Co@ZIF-8 representing ZnO NRs, 1% Co-doped NRs, core-shell NRs, and 1% Co-doped core-shell NRs, respectively.

These Co-doped ZnO core@ZIF-8 shell NRs are shown to have unprecedented IPCE values (Figure 2a) for reported ZnO systems because of the synergistic combination of MOF and doping. Scanning electron micrographs (SEM) of ZnO:Co and ZnO:Co@ZIF-8 are presented in Figures 2b and 2c, respectively. The diameter of both ZnO:Co and ZnO:Co@ZIF-8 NRs is around 75 nm, and the morphological changes introduced by the MOF shell appear as roughened surfaces on the lateral faces of the NRs (Figures S3–S7). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) demonstrates the presence of the MOF shell around the NR core (Figure 2d). Effectively, the amorphous outer layer on the NRs (outlined by the red dashed lines) is the ZIF-8 shell, which covers the core with a thickness of about 2 nm in a conformal way. The crystalline phase of the NRs was determined by high-resolution TEM (HRTEM), as shown in Figure 2g. The texture highlighted in red in this image is a result of the ZIF-8 shell growth (see Figure S3). The lattice fringe spacing was of 0.26 nm, corresponding to the lattice planes of hexagonal wurtzite ZnO. The crystalline phase was corroborated by the indexed selected area electron diffraction pattern, shown in the Figure 2g inset, which is typical for wurtzite phase ZnO. This reveals that the shell growth and the introduction of Co into the lattice do not alter the single crystal wurtzite phase of the ZnO NRs. Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) chemical mapping (Figure 2e,f,h,i) shows that zinc and oxygen are homogeneously distributed across and along the NRs. This equal distribution of concentrations is also observed for Co and N. Nitrogen is present only in the ZIF-8 shell that conformally coats the NR and is thus present over the surface of the NRs. Furthermore, the concentration of Co around the edges is lower compared to the central part of the NR, while the
concentration of N is similar around the edges and in the inner regions of the NR, which is consistent with a homogeneous coating of the ZnO:Co core. No quantification of the elemental composition of the core−shell NRs was pursued because of the extremely small quantities of Co and N present in them, which would compromise an accurate compositional analysis by EDX. In addition, XPS was also proven not to be accurate enough to detect the Co signal (Figure S2c); therefore, the elemental maps here provided are of qualitative value.

The photoelectrochemical response of this doped NR-MOF system is presented in Figure 3 for the four types of samples. Figure 3a shows the cyclic sweep voltammetry (CSV) of the different samples as photoelectrodes in a pH 13 alkaline solution and in the potential region of −0.51 to 1.33 V versus the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE). The CSV was measured at a scan rate of 10 mV s−1 under AM 1.5G illumination (300 mW cm−2). Compared to ZnO, both the ZnO@ZIF-8 and ZnO:Co samples show a 30% increase in the current density. When both doping and MOF are combined in ZnO:Co@ZIF-8, the current density is almost twice as large as that of the ZnO NRs, clearly underlining the synergetic benefits of combining cobalt doping and the ZIF-8 shell on the performance of this modified ZnO-based photoanode. Furthermore, the shell provides a protective layer against photocorrosion, which can be seen in the low potential regions (−0.51 to 0.3 V). Here, some cathodic peaks indicative of undesired reduction processes also appear and are subsequently eliminated when the NRs are effectively passivated by the ZIF-8 shell. These peaks are related to the formation of stable intermediates (peroxides and superoxides) during the oxidation of water. The formation of these stable peroxides on the surface of the ZnO NRs indicates that the water in the interphase is not fully oxidized.34 When the ZIF-8 shell is incorporated on the NRs, these cathodic peaks are no longer present, signaling the disappearance of the stable intermediate oxides, hence the improvement of the current density for the core−shell nanostructure. The electronic response to light exposure of the photoanodes is probed in transient amperometric studies using chopped AM 1.5 G illumination at 1.33 V vs RHE (see Figure 3b). All samples show a characteristic on/off behavior and good stability. ZnO and ZnO:Co show an upward trend for the first few cycles before stabilizing at their corresponding current densities, attributed to the formation of the previously mentioned oxide intermediates. A small transitional state appears for the core−shell samples, which promptly stabilizes. The electronic response to light across the visible spectrum is critical to demonstrating and understanding the performance benefits obtained from these nanoengineered materials. Wavelength-
dependent IPCE measurements at 1.33 V vs RHE are shown in Figure 3c. Compared to the ZnO, both ZnO@ZIF-8 and ZnO:Co show a large efficiency improvement, increasing by 10% and 13%, respectively, at 350 nm, which corresponds to the position of the highest IPCE of ZnO. Furthermore, the separate additions of doping and MOF increase the efficiency.
for wavelengths in the UV region, rising to 42% and 40% at 300 nm for the ZnO@ZIF-8 and ZnO:Co, respectively. No significant red-shift in the IPCE was observed for ZnO@ZIF-8, while a small red-shift could be observed for ZnO:Co compared to pristine ZnO. Most significantly, the combination of doping and MOF in ZnO:Co@ZIF-8 demonstrates significant performance improvements with the IPCE increasing to 75% at 350 nm and a remarkable 85% at 300 nm, while showing a clear red-shift of 15 nm for the onset. Mott–Schottky plots (Figure 3d) were obtained by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy to assess the relative positions of the conduction band of the photoanodes, as indicated by the intercepts of the linear best fitting lines shown. Co doping does not alter the position of the conduction band, having a value of −1.03 V, which is almost the same as that of ZnO. However, the introduction of the ZIF-8 shell shifts the conduction band to a lower potential of −0.95 V for both undoped and doped NRs. Additionally, for ZnO:Co@ZIF-8 electrodes, the slope of Mott–Schottky plots decreases, indicating an increase of the charge carrier concentration in the ZnO. This reveals a strong interaction between the ZIF-8 shell and the ZnO:Co core, resulting in an effective electron transfer from the shell to the core that which is facilitated by the presence of additional energy levels in the bandgap introduced by the cobalt doping.

Additional optical and electrochemical analyses were performed (Figure 4) to give further insight into the separate influences of the cobalt doping and the ZIF-8 shell. Fast-transient absorption spectroscopy (FTAS) analysis, measured by a pump–probe method, is shown for ZnO, ZnO:Co, and ZnO:Co@ZIF-8 in Figure 4a–c. The pump energy was 4.51 eV (275 nm), and the broad supercontinuum probe was in the UV–vis region. The ZnO NRs show a narrow, negative signal, indicative of an absorption bleaching centered at 3.3 eV, corresponding to the bandgap of ZnO (Figure 4a). Cobalt doping (Figure 4b) induces a red-shift of the bandgap to lower energies, with the absorption bleaching signal centered at 3.18 eV that equates to a wavelength shift of 15 nm. Considering ZnO:Co@ZIF-8 (Figure 4c), the bleaching maxima is shifted further to 3.08 eV, with a less intense peak at the position of the bandgap of ZnO, which is attributed to the ZnO seed layer. This absorption bleaching signal is rather wide and serves as explanation for the shift shown in the IPCE measurements of Figure 3c. The normalized difference in absorbance (ΔA) as a function of probe energy at a delay of 1 ps is shown in Figure 4d, which clearly illustrates the red-shift in the absorption bleaching for ZnO:Co and ZnO:Co@ZIF-8. Furthermore, the latter shows a strong absorption extending over the entire probe range. The time dependence of the bandgap bleaching obtained from the FTAS is presented in Figure 4e. Here, a faster decay of the bandgap bleaching in the Co-doped NRs and in the core–shell NRs is shown, which is attributed to alternative nonradiative decay pathways due to the introduction of a d–d transition in the bandgap by effect of the Co-doping. The UV–vis stationary absorption spectra of the photoanodes are provided in Figure 4f. These show a red-shift of the absorption bleaching in the presence of Co doping that can be attributed to strong sp–d exchange interaction33 (see the inset in Figure 4f). The substitution of Zn2+ by Co2+ leads to a stronger interaction between the sp band electrons and the localized d electrons of Co. For ZnO:Co@ZIF-8, there is an absorption red-shift compared to the other three materials, as demonstrated by the fact that ZnO:Co@ZIF-8 achieves the same absorption as ZnO, ZnO@ZIF-8, and ZnO:Co at a wavelength 15 nm higher, from 380 to 395 nm. A further small shift is seen at around 405 nm, corresponding to the wide absorption bleaching shown in Figure 4c. This shift in absorption is related to the shift observed in the IPCE and the Mott–Schottky measurements of ZnO:Co@ZIF-8. Furthermore, ZnO:Co@ZIF-8 also shows ~30% larger absolute absorption between 300 and 350 nm compared to the other photoanodes.

Photoluminescence (PL) spectroscopy was performed on ZnO, ZnO:Co, and ZnO:Co@ZIF-8 to further understand the influence of the Co-doping and the shell on the NR properties (Figure 4g). The PL of ZnO shows a strong peak around 3.27 eV corresponding to the band edge (BE) recombination. For ZnO:Co, the intensity of the BE PL is significantly reduced, while a broader peak centered around 1.9 eV appears; this broad peak is attributed to the characteristic oxygen vacancies of ZnO.35,36 In the case of the ZnO:Co@ZIF-8 core–shell NRs, the BE is highly quenched, while the defect band of the oxygen vacancies still shows luminescence. The decrease in the PL intensity of the BE combined with the decrease in the decay time for TA bleaching in the presence of the Co doping and the ZIF-8 suggests to two distinct and simultaneous influences: the availability of multiple nonradiative recombination pathways and carrier trapping by the increased surface defects in the presence of doping and the ZIF-8. Figure 4h shows stability measurements performed in the four photoanodes to assess the influence of the shell on the performance stability over time of the NRs. The MOF shell passivates the surface of the NRs against photocorrosion, which doubles the average lifetime compared to the pristine NRs. Cobalt doping also slightly enhances the stability over time, although its influence is minor compared to that of the shell. Further studies of the influence of different shells on the photoelectrochemical performance are shown in Figure S11.

A schematic band structure and functional mechanism diagrams are illustrated in Figure 5. Under illumination, electron–hole pairs are generated at both the Co-doped ZnO core and the ZIF-8 shell35 (see Figure S12). In the Co-doped ZnO core, electrons have two excitation possibilities, i.e., either to the conduction band or to the sp–d related localized states introduced by the Co-doping.35,36,41 Meanwhile, holes can travel only to the ZIF-8 shell or recombine. Nevertheless, for the hole transfer to the ZIF-8 shell, the energy difference between the valence band of the Co-doped ZnO and ZIF-8 should be small. The Mott–Schottky measurements and the bandgap show that these two levels are 0.25 eV apart,35 which is a sufficiently small enough energy barrier for the photo-generated holes to be injected from the core into the shell. Accordingly, the shell acts as a hole transfer layer between the ZnO core and the electrolyte, enhancing the electron–hole charge separation. In addition, the positions of the conduction band of the ZnO core and the ZIF-8 are such that they enable the electron transfer from the shell to the core and from the ZnO core to the counter electrode through the ITO substrate. This electron and hole transfer from and to the ZIF-8 shell is provided by the chemical structure of the imidazole linker. In ZIF-8, the valence band is localized in the N atoms from the two amine groups, while the conduction band is formed by the empty orbitals of Zn.32 Thus, any photogenerated electrons will travel to the Zn cluster and from there to the NRs by a process known as ligand-to-cluster charge transfer33 (LCCT). Meanwhile, holes will be injected through the amine groups into water molecules adsorbed to shell hydrogens, initiating the
CONCLUSIONS

Figure 5. (top) Schematic of the proposed electronic band diagram showing the interface between the Co-doped core and the ZIF-8 shell and the flow of charge carriers. In this schematic, EV represents the valence band, EC the conduction band, e⁻ electrons, and h⁺ holes. (bottom) Schematic of the molecular structure of the ZnO:Co@ZIF-8, showing the ZnO wurtzite lattice on the left, coordinated to the ZIF-8 shell on the right. For clarity, only a tetrahedral unit of ZIF-8 represented in two dimensions is shown, and no Co is shown in the ZnO:Co core lattice. The flow of charges through the Co-doped ZnO core and the ZIF-8 is shown to illustrate the overall working mechanism of the ZnO:Co@ZIF-8 structure as a photoanode.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

ZnO Nanorod Growth. The ZnO nanorods (NRs) were grown by seeded-mediated chemical bath deposition (CBD). First, indium tin oxide (ITO) glass substrates of 2 cm by 0.8 cm were thoroughly cleaned with isopropanol and then treated with ozone for 30 min to enable favorable adhesion of the ZnO seed layer to the substrate. A ZnCl₂ solution (225 mg) in 2-methoxyethanol (10 mL) was prepared and spin-coated on the cleaned substrate at 5000 rpm for 1 min. For the NR growth, an equimolar solution containing 25 mM concentration of zinc nitrate hexahydrate and hexamethylenetetramine in deionized water (DI water, 200 mL) was prepared by magnetic stirring until a clear solution was obtained. The seeded ITO substrates were then submerged facedown in the solution supported by a custom-made holder and introduced into an oven at 90 °C for 6 h. After growth, the samples were cleaned under a DI water stream and blow-dried with nitrogen gas. For the electrochemical measurements, a 0.8 cm by 0.5 cm region of the ITO substrates was covered with Kapton tape during NR growth so the ITO remained untouched and could be used directly as electrical contact.

Doping of the ZnO NRs. Cobalt doping was introduced in the NRs by adding the desired concentration of cobalt nitrate hexahydrate to the growth solution. The cobalt source at desired concentrations was dissolved in the water solution at the same time as the zinc source and hexamethylenetetramine. Resulting concentrations are expressed as molar Co/Zn percentages.

Growth of the Core–Shell Structure. The ZIF-8 shell was grown by spin-coating and surface conversion of the NRs on a hot plate. First, the desired concentration of the organic linker, 2-methylimidazole, was dissolved in methanol (10 mL) with magnetic stirring. In this work, a 1 M concentration was used. The imidazole solution was then spin-coated onto the NRs at 5000 rpm for 30 s. In a typical spin-coating process, 3–5 droplets of the imidazole solution were sufficient to create a continuous wetting layer on the surface of the as-grown NRs. After spin-coating, a two-step growth was performed on a hot plate. First, a pregrowth evaporation step at 65 °C for 5 min was performed to remove all the remaining methanol. Then, the surface conversion reaction of the ZnO NRs into ZIF-8 was performed at 160 °C for 30 min. The samples were then rinsed with methanol and blow-dried with nitrogen. The shell was tuned by varying the concentration of 2-methylimidazole in methanol, thus termed shell concentration.

Microscopy Analyses. Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) micrographs of the NRs were obtained by using a FEI Helios Nanolab 600 at 10 kV. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) imaging and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) were performed in a JEOl 2100F FEG at 200 kV equipped with an Oxford INCAx-sight Si (Li) detector with a 50 mm² area detector at 25° take-off angle.

Photoelectrochemical Characterization. Water-splitting experiments were performed in a three-electrode photoelectrochemical cell, in which films of the materials prepared, deposited on FTO substrates, were used as photoanodes. The photoanode corresponds to the oxidation reaction, whereby the related current and IPCE values refer to the oxygen production. From this the hydrogen production (taking actually place at the Pt counter electrode) can be evaluated. An HgO/Hg electrode was used as the reference electrode for the measurements. The photoelectrochemical measurements were performed with an Autolab PGStat302N potentiostat using a LOT Oriel solar simulator LS0106 as light source, generating AM 1.5G light from a Xe Arc lamp (150 W) using 300 mW cm⁻². For the incident photon to current efficiency (IPCE) measurements, a LOT Oriel MSH-300 monochromator was used.

Optical Characterization. UV–vis spectroscopy measurements were performed with a Shimadzu UV-1800 spectrophotometer. For the fast transient absorption spectroscopy measurements (FTAS),

water-splitting process as represented by the black and yellow schematic on the right side of the electronic band diagram of Figure 5.
samples were measured in pump–probe configuration. As the pump, the output of an optical parametric amplifier (OPA) was used, while the white light continuum (WLC) generated in a femtosecond transient absorption spectrometer of IB Photonics (FemtoFrame II) was used as probe. The white light supercontinuum is generated for the visible region with 800 nm passing through CaF2 crystal. The pump–probe response was studied in the visible region of the spectrum. In this work, we used a pump of 275 nm (4.51 eV) and an excitation intensity of 260 μJ cm−2. The instrument response function was measured to be ≈50 fs. The quantity measured is the difference in absorbance (ΔA) of the probe transmitted through the excited sample and that transmitted through the unperturbed sample as a function of the delay time between pump and probe and probe wavelength (energy). Photoluminescence spectroscopy was measured in the backscattering configuration by using the same excitation as the pump extracted from the OPA for the FTAS measurements.
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