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Abstract Eslicarbazepine acetate is a new anti-epileptic drug belonging to the dibenzepine carboxamide family that is currently approved as adjunctive therapy and monotherapy for partial-onset (focal) seizures. The drug enhances slow inactivation of voltage-gated sodium channels and subsequently reduces the activity of rapidly firing neurons. Eslicarbazepine acetate has few, but some, drug–drug interactions. It is a weak enzyme inducer and it inhibits cytochrome P450 2C19, but it affects a smaller assortment of enzymes than carbamazepine. Clinical studies using eslicarbazepine acetate as adjunctive treatment or monotherapy have demonstrated its efficacy in patients with refractory or newly diagnosed focal seizures. The drug is generally well tolerated, and the most common side effects include dizziness, headache, and diplopia. One of the greatest strengths of eslicarbazepine acetate is its ability to be administered only once per day. Eslicarbazepine acetate has many advantages over older anti-epileptic drugs, and it should be strongly considered when treating patients with partial-onset epilepsy.

Key Points

Eslicarbazepine acetate is an effective and safe treatment option for partial-onset seizures as adjunctive therapy and monotherapy.

Eslicarbazepine acetate improves upon its predecessors, carbamazepine and oxcarbazepine, by being available in a once-daily regimen, interacting with a smaller range of drugs, and causing less side effects.

1 Introduction

Epilepsy is a common neurological disorder affecting over 70 million people worldwide [1]. The condition is defined by having at least two unprovoked seizures 24 h apart, although it also includes people who have had only one seizure if they have a similar probability of recurrence as an individual with epilepsy [2]. The most frequent type of seizure is the partial-onset (focal) seizure, which is characterized by an initial activation of only part of one cerebral hemisphere [3]. These seizures may or may not be associated with impaired awareness or tonic-clonic convulsions.

Carbamazepine was discovered serendipitously in the 1950s by medicinal chemists at R. G. Geigy, Ltd who were attempting to develop a neuroleptic like the ‘blockbuster’, chlorpromazine [4]. They replaced the sulfur in chlorpromazine with a CH–CH. Instead of discovering a new neuroleptic, the chemists had synthesized imipramine, a
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major antidepressant. Further studies to develop a neurolipetic were made on other tricyclic carbomoyl compounds. They subsequently and unintentionally developed carbamazepine, a new and unique anti-epileptic drug (AED) [5].

Studies in Europe in the 1960s established the AED properties of carbamazepine, and it soon became widely used for partial-onset seizures. However, concerns about hematological toxicity led to a delay of almost a decade before carbamazepine was approved for use in USA. Later, Mattson et al. in two large, randomized, double-blind, prospective monotherapy clinical trials found carbamazepine to be as good, and by some measures, better, than phenobarbital, primidone, and valproate. Efficacy was not better than phenytoin, but other studies suggested that phenytoin caused more adverse effects, including neuropsychological problems [6–8]. Soon afterward, carbamazepine became the ‘gold standard,’ and most clinical trials of new AEDs were compared with it.

Adverse effects and complex pharmacokinetics were limitations in the use of carbamazepine. Oxcarbazepine, the keto analog of carbamazepine introduced in the 1980s by CIBA-Geigy, had improved pharmacokinetics, but it still had a relatively short half-life and limited formulations initially. Eventually, it was discovered that the reduced metabolite and specifically the S-enantiomer, eslicarbazepine, was probably the main active AED and that oxcarbazepine was likely a pro-drug.

Despite the introduction of many new AEDs over the past three decades, seizures remain uncontrolled in approximately one third of patients [9]. It is important to develop new AEDs that are effective, well tolerated, and safe, with minimal adverse effects and drug–drug interactions. Once-daily dosing can optimize patient compliance. Eslicarbazepine acetate (ESL) is a new AED taken once per day that is approved as add-on and monotherapy for adults with partial-onset seizures [3, 10]. Its structure is similar to carbamazepine and oxcarbamazepine, but its differences help improve upon the weaknesses associated with these compounds. Eslicarbazepine acetate has so far shown encouraging results as an efficacious and safe alternative for treating partial-onset seizures.

2 Chemical Structure

Like carbamazepine and oxcarbazepine, ESL is a member of the dibenzazepine carboxamide family (Fig. 1). However, its structural distinction lies in the hydroxy group rather than the keto group in the 10 position of the ring [11]. This allows ESL to have a different stereoselective metabolism. Unlike carbamazepine, ESL is not metabolized to carbamazepine-10,11-epoxide, which can cause adverse effects. This metabolic change may be responsible for the safer profile of ESL, minimizing the enzymatic induction of the cytochrome P450 (CYP) system and auto-induction [12].

The metabolic pathway of ESL has probable advantages compared with oxcarbazepine. The breakdown products of oxcarbazepine consist of 80% (S)-licarbazepine (eslicarbazepine) and 20% (R)-licarbazepine, in a ratio of 4:1 (Fig. 2). However, ESL is directly metabolized to only (S)-licarbazepine, which subsequently undergoes a minor conversion to oxcarbazepine (Fig. 3). Part of the oxcarbazepine is then converted to (R)-licarbazepine, making the overall (S)-licarbazepine to (R)-licarbazepine ratio 20:1 [13]. Both of these products are active compounds, but (S)-licarbazepine is thought to be more effective, less toxic, and more efficient at crossing the blood–brain barrier than (R)-licarbazepine [14].

3 Mechanism of Action

Eslicarbazepine acetate works by inhibiting voltage-gated sodium channels (VGSC), especially in rapidly firing neurons [15]. It has a much lower affinity for VGSC in the resting state compared with carbamazepine and oxcarbazepine [16].

![Chemical structure of the dibenzazepine-carboxamide family. Eslicarbazepine shares a similar structure with its family members, carbamazepine and oxcarbazepine. However, the difference lies in the 5-carboxamide attached at the 10, 11 position](image-url)
Eslicarbazepine acetate also reduces VGSC availability by increasing slow inactivation of VGSCs [16]. Slow inactivation involves structural rearrangement of the pore, and it is believed to regulate channel excitability [17]. This novel mechanism contrasts with traditional VGSC blockers such as carbamazepine, oxcarbazepine, and phenytoin, which all interfere with fast inactivation pathways [18]. In fast inactivation, the VGSC pore is occluded by a cytoplasmic region [19]. This is thought to play a role in action potential termination and refractory period regulation.

Finally, ESL inhibits Ca,3,2 T-type Ca$^{2+}$ channels [15]. Deletion of these channels has been shown to decrease spontaneous seizures and remove the neuropathological markers of chronic epilepsy in mice [20]. Blocking these epileptogenic channels enhances the ability of ESL to further prevent seizures.

### 4 Pharmacokinetics

After ingestion of ESL, the bioavailability of eslicarbazepine is approximately 94%, and 30% is bound to human plasma proteins [21, 22]. It has an effective half-life of 20–24 h in the cerebrospinal fluid (Fig. 4) [21, 23]. Peak plasma concentration is attained 2–3 h after oral administration, and the drug reaches a steady state in the plasma after 4–5 days [21]. Overall, the pharmacokinetics are linear and dose proportional [24]. They remain generally unaffected by age, sex, and food intake [21, 25].

Eslicarbazepine acetate undergoes a quick and near-complete first-pass hydrolysis to eslicarbazepine primarily in the liver, although some is metabolized by the intestine [26]. Because of this, ESL plasma concentrations are too low to be quantified after oral administration. Eslicarbazepine is eliminated through urine mostly in unchanged form (67%) or as a conjugate with glucuronic acid (33%) (Fig. 3) [26]. A very small proportion of eslicarbazepine is converted to oxcarbazepine, which is also excreted in urine either unchanged or glucuronated. This may happen immediately or after breakdown into (S)-licarbazepine and (R)-licarbazepine [21].

Because ESL heavily relies on the kidneys for excretion, moderate-to-severe renal failure can prevent effective clearance of metabolites, necessitating a decreased dosage [27]. However, mild-to-moderate hepatic damage does not seem to significantly affect ESL pharmacokinetics, thus dosage can remain unchanged [26].

There are limited data on the relationship between the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of ESL. One retrospective observational study investigated pharmacokinetic variability in 168 Norwegian patients taking ESL [28]. These patients were taking a median dose of 800 mg ESL per day (range 400–1600 mg), and they had a median ESL serum concentration of 53 μmol/L (range 13–132 μmol/L). They found that there was very broad 25-fold inter-patient variability in ESL concentration/dose ratios. There was also an extensive range of ESL serum concentrations in patients who attained increased seizure control: 13–99 μmol/L (median of 57 μmol/L). This pharmacokinetic variability was not significantly affected by age. The findings suggest that therapy should be individualized, and it is still unclear whether therapeutic drug monitoring is useful in these patients. Another study performed a pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic analysis of patients taking ESL [29]. This group concluded that increased eslicarbazepine concentrations correlated with increased seizure reduction with moderate inter-subject variability. This finding was not modulated by concomitant AEDs.

### 5 Interactions

Like oxcarbazepine, ESL is a weak enzyme inducer of CYP3A4 and uridine 5′-diphospho-glucuronosyl, stimulating a smaller range of enzymes than carbamazepine [30]. The effect is not strong enough to warrant dosage changes in AEDs metabolized by these enzymes when co-administered with ESL (Fig. 5) [21]. This gives ESL an advantage over carbamazepine, reducing the number of drug interactions. However, like carbamazepine and oxcarbazepine, ESL may decrease the effectiveness of hormonal contraceptives owing to its induction of CYP3A4, which helps metabolize levonorgestrel and ethinylestradiol (Fig. 6) [31]. Women of childbearing age may need an
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**Fig. 2** Metabolic pathway of oxcarbazepine. Oxcarbazepine gets metabolized to (S)-licarbazepine and (R)-licarbazepine in a 4:1 ratio.
increase in oral contraceptive dose to combat this effect or use alternative contraceptive methods.

Eslicarbazepine acetate also inhibits CYP2C9 and CYP2C19; therefore, drugs metabolized by these enzymes, such as phenytoin, may require a decreased dosage when given concomitantly with ESL [21]. Eslicarbazepine acetate does not appear to interact significantly with levitiracetam, gabapentin, valproate, and clobazam [32]. Enzyme inducers such as carbamazepine, phenobarbital, and phenytoin all increase eslicarbazepine clearance by affecting the glucuronidation pathway, resulting in an average decrease of 21–33% plasma ESL (Fig. 7) [21]. More ESL may be needed when co-administered with these AEDs. Notably, ESL should not be given alongside

Fig. 3 Metabolic and elimination pathways of eslicarbazepine acetate. Eslicarbazepine acetate gets broken down to mostly (S)-licarbazepine. Only a small percentage turns into oxcarbazepine and subsequently (R)-licarbazepine, making the final ratio 20:1. This gives eslicarbazepine acetate an advantage over oxcarbazepine, as (S)-licarbazepine is more effective, less toxic, and more efficient at crossing the blood–brain barrier than (R)-licarbazepine. Eslicarbazepine and its breakdown products are eventually eliminated in the urine either glucuronated or in unchanged form. GLU glucuronide, UGT uridine glucuronosyltransferase
oxcarbazepine because this may cause overexposure to (S)-licarbazepine [33].

Eslicarbazepine acetate reduces the levels of mean systemic exposure by 54% for simvastatin and 36–39% for rosuvastatin, thus it is advisable to monitor response to therapy (e.g., cholesterol levels) and increase the dose of these drugs if necessary (Fig. 6) [32, 34]. Eslicarbazepine acetate has also been shown to decrease (S)-warfarin levels by 23%, but it has no influence over (R)-warfarin pharmacokinetics or coagulation [35]. It may be prudent to carefully observe the international normalized ratio for patients who have recently started taking ESL while also receiving warfarin. Eslicarbazepine acetate does not seem to have any clinically relevant effect on digoxin or metformin pharmacokinetics [36, 37].

6 Clinical Studies

Eslicarbazepine acetate was developed to treat adult partial-onset epilepsy as an adjunctive drug or monotherapy. It is now being investigated in children. Studies consistently show that eslicarbazepine is generally effective at controlling partial-onset seizures, and it remains efficacious in long-term trials.

6.1 Adjunctive Treatment in Refractory Epilepsy

A phase II placebo-controlled trial of 143 treatment-refractory patients with partial-onset epilepsy studied the efficacy of ESL at doses gradually titrated to 1200 mg [38]. After 12 weeks of treatment, they found that 54% of patients treated daily and 41% of patients treated twice per day responded to therapy (defined as a ≥50% seizure reduction), vs. 28% in the placebo group. In addition, 24% patients in each of the treatment groups were seizure free, which was significantly higher than the 9% of patients in the placebo group.

Three randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind phase III trials have also been employed to examine ESL [14, 39, 40]. These trials studied a total of 1053 refractory patients from 125 different centers at baseline for 8 weeks, then randomized them to receive a placebo or once daily ESL at 400, 800, or 1200 mg. Eslicarbazepine acetate treatment began at 400 mg and either remained there or was titrated to the higher doses over 2 weeks. Seizure frequency and adverse effects were then monitored over a 12-week maintenance period. Responder rates varied from
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**Fig. 4** Concentration of eslicarbazepine acetate in cerebrospinal fluid over time. Mean eslicarbazepine acetate concentration in the cerebrospinal fluid after last-dose administration to six healthy volunteers at 1200 mg/day. According to eslicarbazepine acetate levels reached in the cerebrospinal fluid, this drug is suitable for a once-daily regimen. Data obtained from Nunes et al. [23]

**Fig. 5** Effect of eslicarbazepine acetate on other anti-epileptic drugs. Co-administration of eslicarbazepine acetate with phenytoin may require a decreased dosage of phenytoin. However, when eslicarbazepine acetate is given with gabapentin, lamotrigine, levetiracetam, phenobarbital, topiramate, carbamazepine, or valproate, the dosages of these drugs can remain unchanged. Data obtained from Aptiom prescribing information [33]. CI confidence interval
16.7 to 23% for 400 mg/day, 35–40% for 800 mg/day, 37.1–45% for 1200 mg/day, and 13–23% for placebo [14, 39, 40]. All three studies found that seizure frequency was significantly lower in the 800- and 1200-mg groups, but not the 400-mg group. Adverse effects were all mild or moderate in severity, the most common ones being dizziness, headache, and diplopia. A pooled analysis of these patients found that the median decrease in seizure frequency was 35% at 800 mg/day, 39% at 1200 mg/day, and 15% with the placebo [41]. This statistically significant difference between treatment and placebo was maintained regardless of sex, geographic region, duration of epilepsy, age at diagnosis, type of seizure, and concomitant AED usage.

Another phase III trial recruited 653 refractory patients from 19 countries all around the world [42]. Patients were given a placebo or ESL at 800 or 1200 mg/day for 12 weeks. They found significant differences in seizure frequency and response rates between the 1200-mg/day and placebo groups but not between the 800-mg/day and placebo groups.
placebo groups [42]. However, this may be attributed to a relatively higher responder rate in the placebo group compared with other studies. A seizure severity questionnaire was administered to 547 of these patients on day 1 of treatment, 8 weeks into treatment, and at the end of the study [43]. The total score was found to be significantly decreased in both treatment groups compared with the placebo, showing that ESL indeed produced clinically relevant improvements in seizure severity.

6.2 Long-Term Studies

Eslicarbazepine acetate maintains its effectiveness over time, as demonstrated by extension and retrospective studies. Two open-label extension studies on patients with partial-onset epilepsy followed patients a year out from two of the phase III trials described above [14, 39, 44, 45]. A total of 462 patients participated in the studies, and responder rates measured every 12 weeks varied between 38 and 53% after the first 4 weeks of treatment [44, 45]. The proportion of patients experiencing no seizures in each 12-week block ranged between 5 and 12.5%. Adverse events affected 51–83% of patients, but most of these were only mild to moderate. Overall, quality-of-life and depression scores were significantly better at the end of the year compared with baseline.

A retrospective study of 327 patients taking ESL in a clinical setting over 1 year found that the responder rate was 46.3% at 3 months, 57.9% at 6 months, and 52.5% at 12 months, while the percentage of patients who were seizure free was 21, 28, and 25%, respectively [46]. In addition, a multicenter retrospective study conducted in Spain followed 253 patients with partial-onset epilepsy for 1 year [47]. These patients had all failed their first trial of AED monotherapy and were subsequently started on ESL. At the end of the year, 62.3% of patients had experienced no seizures for the last 6 months, and 37.3% had not had any seizures for the last year. In fact, after initiating treatment with ESL, 54.2% of patients completely withdrew their prior AED and switched to ESL monotherapy. Finally, another retrospective study investigated 152 patients over 2 years [48]. Responder rates were decreased compared with other studies; they were 25.7, 25.7, 19.0, and 17.1% at 6, 12, 18, and 24 months, respectively. However, the authors still concluded that ESL was clinically helpful and well tolerated as adjunctive therapy in patients with refractory partial-onset seizures.

6.3 Monotherapy

Eslicarbazepine acetate monotherapy trials have generally found that the drug performs well. Two randomized, historical-control, phase III studies followed a total of 365 refractory patients for an 8-week baseline and then converted them to receive either 1200 or 1600 mg of ESL per day as monotherapy for 18 weeks [49, 50]. They measured exit rates in each group, defined by declining seizure control. A pooled analysis of the studies revealed that the exit rate was 30.8% for the ESL 1200-mg/day group, 20.6% for the ESL 1600-mg/day group, and 65.3% for the historical control (Fig. 8) [51]. The difference between treatment groups and the historical control was statistically significant, indicating that ESL monotherapy was effective and safe.

Eslicarbazepine acetate monotherapy has also been revealed to be non-inferior to controlled-release carbamazepine in a phase III trial [52]. This study followed 815 newly diagnosed patients randomized to receive once-daily ESL or twice-daily controlled-release carbamazepine for 26 weeks. Patients were started at a dose of 800 mg/day of ESL or 200 mg of carbamazepine twice daily. Dosage was increased to 1200 mg/day of ESL and 400 mg of carbamazepine twice daily and possibly to 1600 mg/day of ESL and 600 mg of carbamazepine twice daily if seizures occurred. The proportion of patients who were seizure free for at least 6 months was 71.1% in the ESL group and 75.6% in the carbamazepine group. In addition, 64.7% of patients on ESL did not experience seizures for the whole year, compared with 70.3% on carbamazepine. The study concluded ESL once daily was not inferior to twice-daily carbamazepine in patients recently diagnosed with epilepsy.

6.4 Data in Children

There is presently only one clinical study on eslicarbazepine in children, but it shows promising results. In this
open-label study, 29 pediatric patients aged 2–17 years with treatment-refractory epilepsy were given ESL at 5 mg/kg/day on weeks 1–4, 15 mg/kg/day on weeks 5–8, and 30 mg/kg/day on weeks 9–12 [53]. They found that the children experienced similar rates of metabolism to adults, but the younger children cleared the drug more quickly than the adolescents. Pediatric patients aged 2–7 years and 12–17 years experienced a dose-dependent reduction in the frequency of seizures, but this did not occur in the 7- to 11-year-old age group [53]. Overall, the drug was well tolerated, and most adverse effects were of mild intensity. The patients experienced a total of 34 drug-related adverse events, with increased frequency at the higher doses. The only severe effects were two cases of seizure worsening that occurred at a dose of 30 mg/kg/day, which led to drug discontinuation [53].

An ongoing phase III clinical trial is currently evaluating ESL for refractory partial seizures in children (Clinical Trials.gov Identifier: NCT00988156) [32]. Further data are needed to definitively determine whether eslicarbazepine is effective and safe in the pediatric population, but early results are encouraging.

7 Safety

Eslicarbazepine is generally considered a safe and well-tolerated drug. The most frequent adverse effects include dizziness, headache, fatigue, and diplopia [14, 39, 40, 49]. Somnolence, abnormal coordination, vomiting, and blurred vision may also occur, but most of the events are only of mild or moderate severity [54]. Data collected on 247 patients who were taking eslicarbazepine as an add-on therapy found that 3.7% of patients experienced a serious adverse event, such as hyponatremia and allergic skin reactions [55].

Long-term studies have demonstrated consistent findings. In a 1-year open-label extension study, 51% of 314 patients experienced adverse effects as result of eslicarbazepine [44]. Adverse events were most common during the first 3 months of treatment (35% incidence) compared with a 12.7% incidence during the last 3 months of the study [44]. Only 3.5% of patients stopped therapy because of these events. Analysis of 434,468.3 patient-months between 1 October, 2009 and 21 October, 2013 in 18 different European countries found spontaneous reports of 367 serious and 509 non-serious adverse effects [56]. Among these, hyponatremia was most common (114 reports), followed by convulsion (48 reports) and dizziness (29 reports).

Hyponatremia is an important side effect of some AEDs, especially oxcarbazepine and carbamazepine [57, 58]. Eslicarbazepine seems to have a lower incidence of hyponatremia than these drugs, occurring in only 0.6–1.5% of patients [42, 59, 60]. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase III trial of 653 patients found that 1.5% of patients taking eslicarbazepine developed hyponatremia, compared with 0% on placebo [42]. A reduction in sodium level mostly occurred within 8 weeks of treatment and then leveled out. The hyponatremia was severe enough to cause discontinuation in 1.4% of patients taking 1200 mg of eslicarbazepine, but none of the patients taking 800 mg dropped out for this reason [42]. Notably, ESL causes higher rates of hyponatremia in elderly post-stroke seizure patients. A 2-year observational study of 32 such patients taking between 400 mg and 1200 mg of ESL per day found that hyponatremia developed in 12.5%, warranting serum sodium level monitoring in this population [61].

Eslicarbazepine may cause dermatological side effects, but the incidence is rare. According to three randomized placebo-controlled studies, the most common skin reactions were rash, alopecia, and hyperhidrosis, which occurred in 0.5–3.2% of patients [14, 39, 42]. Serious dermatological reactions included three cases of drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms and one case of Stevens–Johnson syndrome [14, 39, 42].

Eslicarbazepine has proven superior or at least comparable to oxcarbazepine and carbamazepine in other safety profiles as well. Ley et al. studied 108 patients on eslicarbazepine, of which 52% were previously taking carbamazepine or oxcarbazepine [54]. They found that the average low-density lipoprotein, total cholesterol, and triglyceride values of the group significantly decreased after starting eslicarbazepine [54]. In many cases, they went from pathological to benign readings. Liver function tests remained similar before and after starting eslicarbazepine. Additionally, unlike carbamazepine, ESL does not lower the leukocyte count. Although it is a relatively new drug, eslicarbazepine has so far demonstrated promising results in safety, with mostly a few mild adverse effects soon after starting treatment.

8 Dosage Regimens and Combinations

Most patients should start at a dosage of 400 mg of ESL per day [33]. However, dosage may begin at 800 mg/day if seizures are so severe or frequent that reducing them outweighs the cost of adverse events. After initiating therapy, the daily dose may be raised by 400–600 mg per week, depending on how the patient responds to treatment [33]. Target maintenance dose is 800 mg/day, but this may be increased to a maximum of 1600 mg/day if needed. Patients with moderate-to-severe renal deficiency should
start treatment at 200 mg/day with a maintenance dose maximum of 600 mg/day. Patients with mild-to-moderate hepatic damage do not need dosage modification, but those with severe damage possibly should not take ESL because the drug has not been studied in this population [33].

If other AEDs are being used concomitantly with ESL, some evidence suggests that those AEDs should have a different mechanism of action to increase efficacy and reduce side effects. Levitiracetam is a good option for a combination. However, taking ESL with phenobarbital, valproate, or drugs with a similar effect on VGSCs such as lacosamide or lamotrigine increases the frequency of instability, dizziness, and nausea [62]. If patients taking oxcarbazepine or carbamazepine elect to switch to ESL, an oxcarbazepine:ESL dose ratio of 1:1 may be used to transition medications overnight [63]. However, if the patient is switching from carbamazepine to ESL, a carbamazepine:ESL dose ratio of 1:1.3 is suggested [63]. The conversion period should last at least 1–2 weeks, and it may be necessary to also modify concomitant medications metabolized by CYP enzymes.

9 Conclusions

Eslicarbazepine acetate is a recently approved AED that is highly effective and safe in the treatment of adult partial-onset seizures. The drug possesses several advantages over other members of the dibenzepine-carboxamide family, carbamazepine and oxcarbazepine. Its pharmacological profile allows for once-daily dosage, which may help promote patient adherence. In addition, ESL is a weaker enzyme inducer than carbamazepine, reducing the number of drug interactions. Eslicarbazepine acetate is also less likely to cause hyponatremia than carbamazepine and oxcarbazepine. It is not inferior to carbamazepine in efficacy, and adverse effects are predominantly mild to moderate. Data in children have also shown promising results. Eslicarbazepine acetate is a solid option in the treatment of partial-onset epilepsy as adjunctive therapy and monotherapy, and it has the potential to greatly improve quality of life for treatment-refractory patients.
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