Hepatic Arterial Infusion Chemotherapy With Folfirinox or Oxaliplatin Alone in Metastatic Colorectal Cancer
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Background: Hepatic arterial infusion (HAI) of chemotherapy is an option for the treatment of patients with liver metastases from colorectal cancer (LMCRC). Though HAI with oxaliplatin (HAI-Ox) is generally used, intravenous (IV) 5-fluoro-uracil (5FU)-oxaliplatin-irinotecan HAI (HAI-Folfirinox) is feasible and leads to curative-intent surgery in 30% of pretreated patients. We compared the efficacy and safety of HAI-Ox and HAI-Folfirinox.

Methods: Patients who underwent HAI chemotherapy for LMCRC were retrospectively included from 2008 to 2019 from six French expert centers.

Results: Data were collected from 273 previously treated patients with LMCRC. Patients received HAI-Folfirinox (n = 52) or HAI-Ox (n = 221) combined with IV chemotherapy. The objective response rate (ORR) was 43.2% in patients with HAI-Folfirinox and 45.9% (ns) in patients with HAI-Ox. Median overall survival (OS) was 17 months (95% CI: 15–32.3) with HAI-Folfirinox and 26.2 months (95% CI: 19.4–34.4; p = 0.1) with HAI-Ox. Median progression-free survival (PFS) was 7.9 months (95% CI: 4.9–10.3) with HAI-Folfirinox and 6.4 months (95% CI: 6.0–7.7; p = 0.6) with HAI-Ox.

The secondary liver resection rate was 35.6% with HAI-Folfirinox and 16.7% with HAI-Ox (p = 0.007). Grade 2 and above toxicities were significantly more frequent with HAI-Folfirinox. In the global population, only 2 factors were prognostic for OS in multivariable analyses: liver-only disease [hazard ratio (HR): 0.4; 95% CI 0.20–0.83; p = 0.013] and local complications of the catheter (HR: 3.8; 95% CI 1.6–9.0; p = 0.002).

Conclusion: Hepatic arterial infusion results in high response rates, secondary resections, and long survival in pretreated patients with LMCRC.
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INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) management raises new challenges as its incidence increases worldwide. As the first metastatic site, hepatic progression contributes to death in half of the patients with metastatic disease (1). This trend has prompted the medical community to elaborate more aggressive systemic and loco-regional approaches even after several lines of treatment (2, 3). Although hepatic arterial infusion (HAI) chemotherapy relies on a robust biological rationale, its development has been initially restricted by two limitations: first, the complexity of surgical removal of the catheter with a significant local complications rate and, second, extra-hepatic progressive lesions excluding patients from an HAI loco-regional treatment approach (4). With technical advances in catheter insertion through interventional radiology procedures (5), HAI chemotherapy has become an effective option for the treatment of patients with liver-dominant/exclusive disease. Moreover, the global strategy for the management of these patients has evolved, and HAI is now mostly used in combination with active systemic treatment, allowing better control of extrahepatic disease when limited (4).

Hepatic arterial infusion with oxaliplatin combined with intravenous (IV) 5-fluoro-uracil (5FU) has yielded positive results in patients harboring CRC liver metastases (6–8) (LMCRC), with a 16% secondary surgery rate (9). These preliminary results led to ongoing randomized phase III trials assessing HAI-Ox in the first- and second-line settings in non-resectable LMCRC (OSCAR NCT02885753, SULTAN NCT03164655) or in the adjuvant setting after liver surgery (PACHA-01, NCT02494973) (10).

The multicenter phase II trial, OPTILIV (11) has evaluated an intensified regimen with 5FU, oxaliplatin, and irinotecan administered intra-arterially combined with IV cetuximab in RAS wild-type patients with liver metastases from CRC after the failure of IV chemotherapy (12). About 30% of these heavily pretreated patients finally underwent hepatic resection. This regimen was associated with non-negligible grade 3–4 toxicities, in particular neutropenia (42.6%). To our knowledge, there is no study comparing HAI with oxaliplatin (HAI-Ox) and HAI with Folfirinox (HAI-Folfirinox) in patients with non-resectable LMCRC. In this multicenter retrospective study, we looked at HAI performance in pretreated patients with liver-dominant/exclusive mCRC and compared IV chemotherapy or targeted therapy combined with HAI-Ox or with HAI-Folfirinox. We assessed the efficacy and safety of these administration modalities in patients with disease refractory to standard therapies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

Patients who underwent HAI chemotherapy for liver metastases from pathologically proven colorectal cancer refractory to at least one standard IV regimen were retrospectively included from 2008 to 2019.

Data were collected from routinely treated patients with HAI chemotherapy of six expert centers.

The study was performed according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Treatments

Hepatic arterial infusion treatment was delivered through a catheter, which was implanted percutaneously by an interventional radiologist. This catheter was linked to an implantable port, intra-arterial catheter patency and hepatic artery skeletonization or occlusion were checked by contrast medium opacification before treatment initiation and every two courses of treatment. HAI-Ox was delivered at the dose of 85 mg/m² (86.5%) or 100 mg/m² (13.5%) in 2 h and IV chemotherapy with or without targeted therapy according to RAS status (bevacizumab or cetuximab). HAI-Folfirinox was based on the OPTILIV study protocol (11) as follows: 5-FU 2,800 mg/m², irinotecan 180 mg/m², and oxaliplatin 85 mg/m². HAI-Folfirinox was administered alone or in association with IV bevacizumab or cetuximab according to RAS status.

Data Collected

Data were collected retrospectively from electronic medical records. Included were demographic data (age and gender), disease characteristics (date of diagnosis of colorectal cancer and metastatic status, sites of metastases, number and distribution of liver metastases, and WHO PS), molecular data (KRAS, RAS, BRAF, and microsatellite instability status), laboratory parameters (bilirubin, ACE CA19-9 before treatment and during follow-up), previous treatments (surgical resection, radio-ablation, and previous systemic treatment lines), concomitant anti-cancer treatment (IV chemotherapy and targeted therapies), tolerability data (treatment-related toxicities, dose reductions, and treatment stop), and response to treatment and survival (objective hepatic and extra-hepatic response, date of hepatic and extra-hepatic progressions, last date of follow-up, and status at last follow-up).

Statistical Analysis

Progression-free survival (PFS) was defined as the time elapsed from the beginning of HAI treatment until progression (any site for global-PFS, hepatic for liver-PFS) or death (all causes). Overall survival (OS) was defined as the time elapsed from the beginning of HAI treatment until death (all causes).

Survival curves were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method. Median follow-up and the 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated using the reverse Kaplan-Meier method.

The statistical association of patients with tumor-related characteristics and treatment with survival was first assessed by the univariate Cox proportional hazards model. Parameters with values of $p < 0.10$ in univariate analysis and/or clinically relevant variables were entered into the multivariable Cox-regression model. Values of $p < 0.05$ were considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using R software version 2.15.2 (R Development Core Team, Vienna, Austria).
RESULTS

Patient Characteristics

We included 273 patients previously treated by one or more chemotherapy protocols (Supplementary Table 1). Among them, 52 (19.0%) received HAI-Folfirinox and 221 (81.0%) received HAI-Ox. The tumor molecular profile was only available for a subset of patients (109/273; 39.9%) according to the received HAI-Ox. The tumor molecular profile was only available for a subset of patients (109/273; 39.9%) according to the study period. KRAS mutations were similar in the HAI-Folfirinox and HAI-Ox groups (31.6% vs. 43.0%; p = 0.124). BRAF mutations represented 7.4% of the 122 tumors analyzed and microsatellite instability represented 7.3% of the 69 tumors analyzed. The disease was liver-limited in 69.5% of patients and liver-dominant in the remaining 30.5%. Peritoneal, lung, and lymph node metastatic lesions were described in 5.6, 20.7, and 4.2% of patients, respectively, with no significant difference between the two groups (Table 1). All patients were previously treated with 5-FU. Most patients had previously received irinotecan (231/273; 84.9%) and oxaliplatin (231/273; 84.9%). HAI chemotherapy was proposed as a second-line or third-line treatment in 42.9 and 32.2% of cases, respectively.

TABLE 1 | Characteristics of the extra-hepatic disease in the population.

| Hepatic intra-arterial treatment | All patients N = 273 | HAI-Folfirinox N = 52 | HAI-Oxaliplatin N = 221 | p |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---|
| Peritoneal metastases            |                      |                      |                        |   |
| Yes                              | 12 (5.6%)            | 0 (0.0%)             | 12 (5.6%)              |   |
| No                               | 201 (94.4%)          | 18 (100.0%)          | 183 (93.9%)            |   |
| Unknown                          | 60                   | 34                   | 26                     |   |
| Lung metastases                  |                      |                      |                        |   |
| Yes                              | 9 (4.2%)             | 3 (16.7%)            | 41 (21.0%)             |   |
| No                               | 204 (95.8%)          | 15 (83.3%)           | 154 (79.0%)            |   |
| Unknown                          | 60                   | 34                   | 26                     |   |
| Lymph node metastases            |                      |                      |                        |   |
| Yes                              | 9 (4.2%)             | 0 (0.0%)             | 9 (4.6%)               |   |
| No                               | 204 (95.8%)          | 18 (100.0%)          | 186 (95.4%)            |   |
| Unknown                          | 52                   | 34                   | 26                     |   |

p = 0.605

Efficacy

Considering the overall population treated with HAI in this cohort, 45.4% of patients presented an objective response, i.e., 11.5% with a complete response, 32.4% presented stable disease, and 22.2% presented primary progressive disease, leading to a disease control rate of 77.8% (Table 3). With a median follow-up of 30.6 months (95% CI: 21.7–39.9), median OS was 23.9 months (95% CI: 18.2–28.2). Median global PFS and hepatic PFS were 6.4 months (95% CI: 6.0–7.6) and 7.9 months (95% CI: 6.5–9.0), respectively (Figures 1A,B). HAI-Folfirinox, as compared to HAI-Ox, neither improve OS (median 17.0 months (95% CI: 15.0–32.3) vs. 26.2 months (95% CI: 19.4–34.4, p = 0.1) nor median PFS 5.9 months (95% CI: 4.9–10.3) vs. 6.4 months (95% CI: 6.0–7.7, p = 0.6), respectively.

No significant differences were observed in hepatic recurrences or in extra-hepatic recurrences depending on the type of HAI received (Figures 1C,D). Median extra-hepatic PFS was 8.1 months (95% CI: 5.0–18.2) and 7.9 months (95% CI: 6.1–9.2; p = 0.4) in patients treated with HAI-Folfirinox and HAI-Ox, respectively. Median hepatic-specific PFS was 7.7 months (95% CI: 6.0–13.5) and 8.7 months (95% CI: 6.8–9.2; p = 0.9) in patients treated with HAI-Folfirinox and HAI-Ox, respectively.

In the whole population, previous exposure to oxaliplatin led to similar median OS (23.6 months (95% CI: 17.7–31.2) vs. 23.9 months (95% CI: 16.0–46.3) without previous exposure to oxaliplatin; p = 0.7) and PFS 6.1 months (95% CI: 5.8–7.1) vs. 9.1 months (95% CI: 6.21–10.6) respectively; p = 0.9).

When looking at post-HAI treatments: 20.0% had a secondary hepatic resection and 12.8% had a secondary radiofrequency ablation. Secondary liver surgery was performed in 35.6% of patients treated with HAI-Folfirinox and 16.7% of patients treated with HAI-Ox (p = 0.007). The rate of secondary radiofrequency ablation was similar in the HAI-Folfirinox (16.7%) and HAI-Ox (12.4%, p = 0.7) groups.

TABLE 2 | Treatment delivery.

| Hepatic arterial infusion treatment | All patients N = 273 | HAI-Folfirinox N = 52 | HAI-Oxaliplatin N = 221 | p |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---|
| Concomitant intravenous treatment |                      |                      |                        |   |
| None                              | 7 (2.6%)             | 5 (13.5%)            | 2 (0.9%)               | <0.001*** |
| Cetuximab/pantitumumab             | 79 (28.9%)           | 29 (78.4%)           | 50 (23.0%)             | <0.001*** |
| Bevacizumab                       | 34 (12.5%)           | 3 (8.1%)             | 31 (14.3%)             | 0.01* |
| 5FU                               | 209 (76.6%)          | 0 (0.0%)             | 209 (96.3%)            | 0.001*** |
| Irinotecan                        | 78 (28.6%)           | 0 (0.0%)             | 78 (35.9%)             | 0.318 ns |
| Oxaliplatin                       | 5 (1.8%)             | 0 (0.0%)             | 5 (2.3%)               | 0.083 ns |
| Raltitrexed                       | 3 (1.1%)             | 0 (0.0%)             | 3 (1.4%)               | 0.002* |
| Trifluridine-tipiracil            | 1 (0.4%)             | 0 (0.0%)             | 1 (0.5%)               | <0.001*** |
| Unknown                           | 19                   | 15                   | 4                      |   |

Number of HAI infusions

<4 82 (31.1%) 19 (37.2%) 63 (29.6%) 0.032*

4–8 130 (49.2%) 21 (41.2%) 109 (51.2%) 0.063 ns

≥9 52 (19.7%) 11 (21.6%) 41 (19.2%) 0.955 ns

Concomitant intravenous treatments and intra-arterial treatment duration.

*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; and ***p < 0.001.
| Type of HAI | All lines of treatment | Second line of treatment | Third and further lines of treatment |
|------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|            | All N = 273            | FOLFIRINOX N = 52        | OX N = 221                            |
| Objective response rate | Yes 123 (54.7%) | 19 (43.2%) | 83 (45.9%) |
|            | No 48                   | 8                      | 40                                    |
| Disease control rate       | Yes 175 (77.8%)      | 33 (75.0%) | 142 (78.5%)                        |
|            | No 50                   | 11 (25.0%) | 39 (21.5%)                          |
| Secondary hepatic resection | Yes 50 (20.1%)  | 16 (35.6%)* | 34 (16.7%)*                        |
|            | No 199                  | 29 (64.4%) | 17 (83.3%)                          |

HAI, hepatic arterial infusion. *p < 0.05.

**FIGURE 1** | Survival curves depend on HAI treatment. Overall survival (A) and progression-free survival (B) in patients with HAI-Folfirinox and HAI-Ox. Specific hepatic progression-free survival (C) and extra-hepatic progression-free survival (D) in patients with HAI-Folfirinox and HAI-Ox.
The use of HAI-Ox in second-line treatment as compared to later lines (Table 3) resulted in non-significant trends in secondary liver resections (26.8% vs. 11.2%; \( p = 0.12 \); Figure 2A), disease control rate (82.5% vs. 73.5%, \( p = 0.50 \); Figure 2B), and objective response rate (ORR; 56.0% vs. 38.1%, \( p = 0.21 \); Figure 2C). Similar trends were observed with HAI-Folfirinox. Patients on HAI chemotherapy had a median OS of 15.9 months (range 0.3–96.3) in second-line treatment and 11.2 months (range 0.6–70.9) beyond second line.

**Tolerability**

During treatment, a dose reduction was performed in 62.5% of patients in the HAI-Folfirinox group and in 34.1% in the HAI-Ox group (\( p = 0.001 \)). Before HAI treatment, 91% of patients presented a grade of 0 or 1 neuropathy. During treatment, grade \( \geq 2 \) neuropathy appeared in 20.4% of patients in the HAI-Folfirinox group and in 29.3% of patients in the HAI-Ox group (ns).

Vascular complications occurred in 21.0% of all HAI-treated patients and local complications (skin necrosis, hematoma, healing failure, and local infection) occurred in 23.8% of patients. Local complications were significantly more frequent in patients with HAI-Folfirinox (34.9% vs. 17.9%, \( p = 0.01 \)). Grade \( \geq 2 \) neutropenia (42.5% vs. 22.7%; \( p < 0.001 \)), diarrhea (34.9% vs. 13.9%; \( p = 0.005 \)), and vomiting (20.0% vs. 6.0%; \( p = 0.006 \)) were also more frequent in patients with HAI-Folfirinox when compared with patients with HAI-Ox. Vascular complications included hepatic artery occlusion, catheter occlusion, arteritis, and shunts requiring radiologic embolization of extra-hepatic arteries, such as the gastroduodenal artery even without an ulcer diagnosed. Among vascular complications, hepatic artery occlusion was reported four times, each time in patients receiving HAI-Folfirinox. One toxic death occurred in each group (Supplementary Table 2).

**Prognostic Factors in All Patients on Hepatic Arterial Infusion Treatments**

In univariable analysis, parameters associated with poor OS were WHO-PS 2-3, peritoneal metastases, previous administration of irinotecan, bevacizumab treatment before HAI treatment, more than two previous treatment lines, and local complications related to the HAI catheter. Parameters associated with prolonged OS were liver metastases only and secondary hepatic resection (Supplementary Table 3).

In multivariable analysis, only 2 factors were associated with OS (Supplementary Table 3): the liver-only disease was associated with a prolonged OS (HR: 0.40; 95% CI 0.20–0.83; \( p = 0.013 \)) and local complications related to HAI administration of the treatment were associated with a reduced OS (HR: 3.8; 95% CI 1.60–9.02; \( p = 0.002 \)).

**DISCUSSION**

This study retrospectively compared HAI-Folfirinox to HAI-Ox in 273 patients with non-resectable liver metastases of colorectal cancer. Treatment was feasible and well tolerated in these patients with disease refractory to at least one line of treatment. Most of them had previously received IV oxaliplatin, irinotecan, and targeted therapies (bevacizumab or cetuximab). Treatment duration, PFS, and OS were similar between the two treatment modalities. HAI-Folfirinox was associated with significantly more secondary hepatic resections and more local complications linked to the catheter and treatment-related grade \( \geq 2 \) toxicities, such as neutropenia, diarrhea, and vomiting. In multivariable analysis in the whole population receiving HAI, liver limited disease was associated with a prolonged OS whereas local complications were associated with shorter OS.

**Hepatic Arterial Infusion Results in Longer Overall Survival**

In our study, patients on HAI chemotherapy had a median OS of 15.9 months in second-line treatment and 11.2 months beyond the second line, as compared to reported OS of 13 months (13, 14) and 6 months, respectively, for IV treatment in the literature (15, 16). As for the ORR, we report a lower ORR (45.3%) than Dureuex et al. (6) (64%: 95% CI, 44–81%) and Boige et al. (9) (55%; 95% CI, 40–69%). In both studies, patients in second-line therapy were treated with HAI-Ox combined with IV 5-FU with a median of 8 or 9 cycles received. In Dureuex et al., they had not previously received oxaliplatin. It is worth noting that we analyzed a much larger population of non-selected patients with the extra-hepatic disease (30.5%), pretreated with targeted therapies, and cytotoxic chemotherapies, which may account for the lower ORR than expected. Altogether, our results promote HAI as an interesting option in LMCR beyond first-line treatment. HAI treatment appeared well tolerated, especially when administered with oxaliplatin only. A randomized trial specifically designed to compare HAI treatment to IV chemotherapy in patients with non-resectable LMCR after induction treatment is currently ongoing (17).
a predictor of longer OS in this work. HAI-Folfirinox induced more toxicities than HAI-Ox and more than one-third of patients received less than 2 months of treatment. HAI-Folfirinox did not significantly improve median OS as compared to HAI-Ox. These results suggest that resection of hepatic metastases should not be the predominant parameter used to guide treatment decision after second-line treatment. The increasing life expectancy of patients with LMCRC justifies randomized trials with HAI to conclude with certainty that the resection rate correlates with OS (OSCAR NCT02885753, SULTAN NCT03164655).

**Hepatic Arterial Infusion and Other Local Treatments**

Other local treatments, such as chemoembolization with drug-eluting beads loaded with irinotecan (DEBIRI) (18) or selective internal radiation therapy (SIRT) (19), showed better OS than IV chemotherapy in patients pretreated for LMCRC. First attempts at comparing SIRT and HAI chemotherapy to set up the best treatment sequences have been published. They ruled in favor of HAI chemotherapy, which appeared as a predictor of longer OS (31.2 vs. 16.3 months; \( p < 0.001 \)) when compared to SIRT (20). In addition, HAI is technically difficult after internal SIRT, which may affect the liver vasculature (21). Therefore, there is a need for HAI chemotherapy optimization. In this regard, previous reports suggest that several lines of HAI chemotherapy could be proposed before switching to another therapeutic strategy (22).

**Limits and Strengths**

The retrospective and non-randomized nature of our study limits the strength of the conclusions that can be drawn from this work. Especially, the period of inclusion from 2008 to 2019 accounts for a significant percentage of missing data in laboratory analyses. BRAF and RAS testing in France was recommended in 2014 and implemented in 2015. We were therefore not able to adjust data for RAS and BRAF status. In addition, the heterogeneity of previous lines of treatment and concomitant treatments to HAI may have introduced bias. Especially, the concomitant administration of cetuximab was unbalanced between the HAI-Folfirinox and HAI-oxaliplatin groups (78.4% vs. 23%, respectively). Although one of the largest series reported in HAI-treated patients, it remained too small for efficient application of a propensity score. These results show the importance of conducting randomized prospective studies in the field, which is the only method to build comparable treatment groups and determine the best HAI modalities.

Nevertheless, we report here, to our knowledge, the largest series of HAI-treated patients after failure of a first-line IV chemotherapy and the first series comparing two HAI regimens. As HAI chemotherapy is of particular interest in achieving resection of liver metastases (23), this study provides evidence...
to help digestive oncologists and surgeons to adapt the modality of HAI treatment to their care objectives.

**CONCLUSION**

Hepatic arterial infusion treatment is an effective option in patients with LM CRC refractory to previous lines of treatments. Intensifying HAI treatment led to a higher rate of secondary hepatic resection with no significant impact on OS and PFS. Our study suggests that when resection is the main objective of the treatment, intensification of HAI can be proposed for selected patients.
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