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Abstract

Aim: This study aimed to clarify the relationship between depression and aging awareness among older adults living alone with low-level long-term-care needs.

Methods: Participants were older adults aged 65 years and older in Japan. Data were collected via an anonymous questionnaire. Participants were categorized based on their long-term care need level, from Support 1 to Care 2 according to the care insurance criteria in Japan. The questionnaire included: 1) the Geriatric Depression Scale-Short Version-Japanese (GDS); 2) items on aging life satisfaction at present, and positive and negative aging awareness in the past (when they were young); 3) the SF-8 to assess health-related quality of life; 4) the Lubben Social Network Scale-6 (LSNS-6); and 5) items on the use of care services. Data were analyzed using multiple logistic regression analysis.

Results: In total, 457 participants were recruited. Their mean age was 83.9 ± 6.5 years; 39.8% of the participants had a GDS score of 6 and above. In the regression analysis, the GDS score significantly correlated with aging life satisfaction at present, and positive and negative aging awareness in the past (when they were young); 3) the SF-8 to assess health-related quality of life; 4) the Lubben Social Network Scale-6 (LSNS-6); and 5) items on the use of care services. Data were analyzed using multiple logistic regression analysis.

Conclusion: Older adults living alone who have positive views of their current lives and lacked negative views of aging in their younger years were resistant to depression after being certified as requiring low-level long-term care. Preventing and improving depression in this population requires community-based support to expand their social networks and to help individuals of all ages view the aging process positively.
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1. Introduction

The number of patients with mood disorders has nearly doubled in the last de-
Older adults have a high risk of depression. A depressive state can make daily life difficult, and it results in a decline in quality of life (QOL). Prevention and treatment of depression in older adults are a serious social issue in Japan.

Depression not only has negative effects on frail syndrome; it is exacerbated in older adults with chronic diseases if they lack poor spousal and family support. In essence, solitary older adults—those who do not live with a spouse or family members—are highly prone to depression.

Prior research concerning depressive states in older adults have found a higher tendency for depression in older adults living in the community than in those who reside in elderly-care facilities, in addition to a 10% increase in the number of elderly with a depressive state over a two-year period. Others have reported that the health problems that occur among the older elderly in Japan can more easily trigger a depressive state; physical functions of the older adults decline by 30% in men in three years, and by 50% in women in the same duration. One can thus say that older adults residing in the community are more prone to depression than persons who live in facilities, where daily support is provided.

Other factors also make it easy for older adults to become depressed, including social isolation, which also leads to a decline in mental functions. Risk factors for social isolation include living alone, worsening health, and life events such as loss and separation by death. Depressed older adults in Japan are, in many cases, living alone. Some studies suggest the possibility of preventing depression in older adults living alone via social support, family, friends, and daycare services.

Depression in older adults can also be influenced by their past and present attitudes towards aging, sometimes called aging awareness. In essence, older adults would have gone through a variety of experiences of loss, and while adjusting to changes in their social environment, they are faced with their own aging. Consequently, they must directly confront the psychosocial developmental issue of integrating the entirety of their lives. As older adults transition from an autonomous life to one requiring care, they increasingly face difficulties in daily life experiences, along with the need to adapt to the changes brought about by aging, including those concerning personal health.

Further, against the backdrop of ageism and amidst the workings of social interactions, people form a consciousness of values about aging. Older adults with depression report that unforgettable negative experiences from several decades in the past have triggered their current depression. Thus, the awareness of negative past experiences may be causing mental disorders in frail older adults, making them particularly vulnerable to depression.

Other studies describe the relationship between depression and the subjective feelings of happiness among older adults living in the community, and subjective feelings of health and satisfaction with daily life among hospitalized older adults. The current level of life satisfaction and feelings of happiness...
among older adults is related to the positive meanings that they attribute to aspects such as the self and the experiences they have accumulated throughout life. In other words, healthy older adults with a high level of satisfaction with daily life and those who view aging positively may not become depressed easily.

According to the theory of social gerontology, when older adults are able to manage changes in their physical and mental states, isolation, involvement in activities in the community, and social support, they can successfully adapt to aging, grow, and develop. However, when they are unable to adapt to these changes, they may fall into depression and even commit suicide. The successful adaptation of older adults can be judged by their high level of life satisfaction and feelings of having achieved a good life [18]. Thus, how older adults choose to live their life is determined by their awareness.

Accordingly, depression in solitary older adults with low-level long-term-care needs may be influenced by their past and present attitudes towards aging, mediated by their general health, social isolation, and social support.

The present study aimed to clarify the relationship between depression and aging awareness in older adults with low-level long-term-care needs. Our findings should serve as a valuable reference for those considering strategies to reduce depression in this population. Moreover, our discussion on how the rising numbers of older adults with long-term-care requirements are living in the later stages of their life, as well as suggestions regarding strategies to assist them hold great significance.

The objective of this study was to clarify the relationship between depression and past and present aging awareness among older adults living alone and in need of low-level long-term care.

The predicted relationship between depression and aging awareness were validated through four analytic models (Figure 1).

2. Research Method

2.1. Study Design

Using a cross-sectional design, a hypothesis explorative analysis was conducted in the present study.

2.2. Setting and Participants

This study utilized a questionnaire survey developed based on several constructs from a research framework related to the health and lifestyles of solitary older adults. In this study, depressive state as a dependent variable was used. Surveys were distributed in three prefectures (A-C) in Japan’s Chugoku and Shikoku regions, having higher aging rates (i.e., proportion of population 65 years or older) than the national average in the investigation; approximately 30% in A, 26% in B, and 28% in C. Single-person households occupied by adults aged 65 years or older comprise approximately 13%, 15%, and 18% of all households in these respective prefectures; slightly lower than the national average, yet higher than that in the past years [19].
Subjects were solitary older adults aged 65 years or older who utilized a community general support center or in-home long-term care support providers in one of these prefectures. Japan’s Long-term Care Insurance Act provides services through community general support centers to prevent the need for long-term care among older adults. Used by older adults who do not require long-term care and those certified to require only support alike, each center is located in a separate “school zone,” as defined by the nearest public junior high school. In-home long-term care support providers employ care managers to organize care services and prepare care plans for older adults with designated long-term care needs from Levels 1 (mild) to 5 (severe). Most older adults certified to need long-term care have a designated care manager.

These two types of institutions are collectively referred as “centers” in this manuscript.

### 2.3. Research Instruments

#### 2.3.1. Dependent Variable

**Geriatric depression**

Depressive state was measured using the Japanese version of the Geriatric Depression Scale-Short Version-Japanese (GDS) [20], which is based on the Short Version [21] of the original Geriatric Depression Scale [22] developed for screening depression in older adults. The Short Version is a selection of 15 of the 30 items found in the original GDS. Subjects answer “yes” or “no” to each item. For the short version, GDS scores can range between 0 and 15 points; a score of ≥6 points is considered indicative of depression [20] [23]. Both instruments have demonstrated good validity and reliability. In this study, the Cronbach’s alpha
was 0.80, and therefore, internal consistency was confirmed.

### 2.3.2. Independent Variables

1) Aging awareness

Aging awareness was assessed as follows. Present life satisfaction was described using the statement “I feel satisfied with my life now that I have lived for a long time.” Aging awareness in the past was described using the statements, “I thought that aging would not be negative when I was young” (positive aging awareness) and “I had a poor image of aging when I was young” (negative aging awareness). Responses involved 6 options ranging from “strongly agree” to “strongly disagree.”

2) Health conditions

SF-8 was developed to measure general health status as an indicator of health-related QOL. It consists of the following eight subscales: Physical function (PF), Role physical (RP), Body pain (BP), Social functioning (SF), General health perceptions (GH), Vitality (VT), Role emotional (RE), and Mental health (MH). Each subscale consisted of a total of 100 points, with higher scores indicating better health status. Its reliability and validity have been verified [24].

3) Social networks

The Lubben Social Network Scale is used worldwide as a screening tool for social isolation in elderly individuals. The Lubben Social Network Scale-6 (LSNS-6) is a shortened version of the Social Network Scale developed by Lubben et al. [25] for older adults. Comprising six items, the scores range from 0 to 30 points, with higher scores indicating larger social networks. A score of less than 12 points indicates social isolation. Its reliability and validity have been verified, including that of the Japanese version [26].

4) Supports

Participants were asked if they used any of the following services: home care, home nursing, daycare, or day service centers. In addition, as informal support, participants were asked to respond with “Yes” or “No” to the question, “Do you have a child living in the local area, who can come immediately when needed?”

5) Demographic characteristics

Participants’ demographic characteristics included age, gender, duration for which the individual has lived alone, long-term care needs, and economic status.

### 2.4. Data Collection

First, the relevant municipal office responsible for elderly health and welfare in each prefecture was contacted and provided an overview of the study. Collectively, offices reported a total of 1456 community general support centers and 130 in-home long-term care support providers (1586 centers in total). Next, 1140 centers (about 70%) were extracted using stratified random sampling based on the proportion of single-person households with older adults aged 65 years or older in all neighborhoods within each prefecture. Documentation explaining the research overview and a sample questionnaire form were mailed to each
center, requesting them to reply by fax with their consent to help with survey
distribution and the number of eligible older adults in their care. In total, 265
centers agreed to distribute surveys. Subsequently, questionnaires were sent to
all consenting centers, with a request to distribute them to older adults living
alone who their care manager deemed capable of filling in. Instructions re-
quested that the older adults themselves fill in the survey, but a family member
or designated care support specialist was permitted to do so if this was imprac-
tical. Completed questionnaires were sealed in a provided reply envelope, and
mailed directly to the researchers by post. Centers distributed 1058 question-
naires to solitary older adults. In total, 738 forms were recovered (response rate:
69.8%), of which 3 were excluded for significant omissions. Analysis excluded
individuals with missing responses for the GDS items or with dementia, and in-
cluded only those with long-term care or support needs rated Level 1 or 2 con-
sidering that the present study focused only on low-level long-term care. The fi-
 nal analysis population consisted of 457 older adults (effective response rate:
62.2%). The survey period ran from September to December 2014.

2.5. Data Analysis

For the characterization and distribution of the study population, a descriptive
analysis was conducted. Correlations between the GDS score and other variables;
life satisfaction, aging awareness, health conditions, social networks, and attribu-
tion, were calculated using the Spearman’s rank correlation. The response of
GDS was separated by the cut-off point of a score of 5/6, after which the GDS
scores were compared with the chi-squared or Mann-Whitney U tests, to analyze
among distribution, life satisfaction, aging awareness, health conditions, and so-
cial networks. In examining the predictive factors of depression, the GDS score
was entered as the dependent variable in the multiple logistic regression analysis.
For all analyses, the significance level was set at 5% and they were conducted
using SPSS ver.22.

2.6. Ethical Considerations

A request document different from the survey form was presented to each par-
ticipant, including a clear and complete explanation of the gist of the study along
with the fact that participation in the study is a matter of personal choice and
free will. Additionally, participants were informed that refusal to cooperate with
the study would entail no disadvantage to any person, in terms of impact or ef-
fect on use of services, content of services, etc.; that their personal information
would remain confidential; that the possibility of publication of results exists,
while maintaining the anonymity of each participant; and that consent to par-
ticipate in the study would be considered as granted with the return of the com-
pleted survey form by the participant. This study was performed after receiving
the approval of the nursing study ethical review board of the author’s affiliated
university at the time of the survey.
3. Results

3.1. Review of Participants (Table 1)
In total, 457 participants were recruited. The mean age was 83.9 ± 6.5 years, and 53.8% were aged 85 years or older. Over 60% of participants used home care and daycare or day service facilities.

3.2. Distribution of a GDS Score ≥ 6 among the Participants’ Characteristics and Used Services (Table 2)
Among the participants, 39.8% had a GDS score of 6 and above. Further, participants aged 65 - 74 years and those requiring economic support tended to exhibit a high tendency for depression.

3.3. Scores on Various Variables for the Depressed (GDS Score ≥ 6) and Non-Depressed (GDS Score < 6) Groups (Table 3)
Non-depressed participants had significantly more positive attitudes towards aging in the past and present, higher health-related QOL on all dimensions of the SF-8, and higher social network scores as compared to depressed participants.

3.4. Characteristics of Geriatric Depression and Related Factors
Aging life satisfaction at present, negative aging awareness in the past, GH, VT, SF, RE, MH, and social network showed a significant correlation with the GDS score, with a coefficient of 0.3 and above (Table 4).

Regression analysis was conducted for four successive models using sequential variable entry. Model 1 included only one independent variable, aging awareness. Depressive state was significantly associated with current positive attitudes towards aging and past negative attitudes towards aging. Model 2 expanded Model 1 by adding all demographic variables. Depressive state was significantly associated with aging life satisfaction at present (OR = 0.52), negative attitudes towards aging in the past (OR = 1.35), time spent living alone (OR = 1.02), and economic status (OR = 0.56). Model 3 included all variables from Model 2 plus health-related QOL. Depressive state was significantly associated with aging life satisfaction at present (OR = 0.60), negative attitudes towards aging in the past (OR = 1.387, and mental health (OR = 0.93). In Model 4, the GDS score significantly correlated with aging life satisfaction at present (OR = 0.60), negative attitudes towards aging in the past (OR = 1.38), mental health (OR = 0.93), and social networks (OR = 0.94).

Current positive and negative past attitudes towards aging were significantly associated with depressive state in all models (Table 5).

4. Discussion

4.1. Relationship between Elderly Depression and Aging Awareness
In this study, several related models were constructed by the successive intro-
duction of independent variables. Model 2 included only aging awareness and participants’ characteristics. In this model, depressive state was worse the longer an individual had spent living alone, and better the greater their wealth. However, economic status and solitary living duration’s associations disappeared in Model 4, which additionally included various dimensions of health-related QOL and social network richness. Only current positive and past negative attitudes towards aging remained associated with depression. In essence, this demonstrates that depression is influenced by aging awareness in older adults with low-level long-term-care needs, independent of health, social isolation, support from children, time spent living alone, and economic status. In particular, a current, positive attitude towards one’s life in old age, along with not regarding aging as negative in one’s youth, seem to have a protective effect against depression as people get older. To elaborate, it is difficult to draw the conclusion that depression is influenced by positive perceptions of aging in the past; it seems sufficient to merely avoid embracing such negative views in one’s youth.

**Table 1. Participants’ characteristics.**

| n | % |
|---|---|
| Gender | | |
| Male | 88 | 19.3 |
| Female | 369 | 80.7 |
| Prefectures | | |
| A | 160 | 35.0 |
| B | 171 | 37.4 |
| C | 126 | 27.6 |
| Age group (Years) | | |
| 65-74 | 45 | 9.8 |
| 75-84 | 166 | 36.3 |
| ≥85 | 246 | 53.8 |
| Long-term care needs | | |
| Support needs | | |
| Level 1 | 121 | 26.5 |
| Level 2 | 127 | 27.8 |
| Care needs | | |
| Level 1 | 134 | 29.3 |
| Level 2 | 75 | 16.4 |
| Economic status | | |
| Need full financial support for daily life | 16 | 3.5 |
| Need partial financial support | 51 | 11.2 |
| Life free of financial worry | 338 | 74.0 |
| Has money to spare for children or others | 44 | 9.6 |
| Used care services† | | |
| Visiting service | 43 | 9.4 |
| Home nursing | 278 | 60.8 |
| Home care | 302 | 66.1 |
| Daycare/Day service | 302 | 66.1 |
| Support from a child | | |
| A child who resides near the person and can come quickly when needed | 121 | 26.5 |
| Age | | |
| Duration of living alone | 14.0 ± 13.1 |

Note 1. † Used services could have multiple responses. Note 2. SD = Standard Deviation.
Table 2. Distribution of Geriatric Depression Scale scores ≥ 6 across participant characteristics and used services.

|                          | n   | %   | p value  |
|--------------------------|-----|-----|----------|
| Whole                    |     |     |          |
| Depressive (GDS Score ≥ 6) | 182 | 39.8% | <0.001   |
| Not depressive (GDS Score < 6) | 275 | 60.2% |          |
| Age group (Years)        |     |     |          |
| 65 - 74                  | 26  | 57.8% |          |
| 75 - 84                  | 69  | 41.6% | 0.016    |
| ≥85                      | 87  | 35.4% |          |
| Gender                   |     |     |          |
| Male                     | 37  | 42.0% |          |
| Female                   | 145 | 39.3% | 0.636    |
| Long-term care needs     |     |     |          |
| Support needs            |     |     |          |
| Level 1                  | 41  | 33.9% |          |
| Level 2                  | 51  | 40.2% | 0.137    |
| Care needs               |     |     |          |
| Level 1                  | 52  | 38.8% |          |
| Level 2                  | 38  | 50.7% |          |
| Economic status          |     |     |          |
| Need full financial support for daily life | 10 | 62.5% |          |
| Need partial financial support | 30 | 58.8% | <0.001  |
| Life free of financial worry | 129 | 38.2% |          |
| Having the money to spare for children or others | 9 | 20.5% |          |
| Support from a child     |     |     |          |
| A child lives near the person and can come quickly if needed | Yes | 41 | 33.9% | 0.141 |
|                          | No  | 135 | 40.2% |          |
| Used care services       |     |     |          |
| Home Nursing             | 18  | 41.9% | 0.775    |
| Home care                | 111 | 39.9% | 0.955    |
| Daycare/Day Service      | 121 | 40.1% | 0.883    |

Note 1. χ² test, Note 2. Percentage is ratio of depressive people (GDS Score ≥ 6) in which each variables are 100%, Note 3. GDS = Geriatric Depression Scale, SD = Standard Deviation.

Table 3. Average scores on each variable between those with (GDS score ≥ 6) and without (GDS score < 6) depression.

|                          | Depressive state (GDS ≥ 6) | Non-depressive state (GDS < 6) | p value  |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|
|                          | Mean ± SD       | n   | Mean ± SD       | n   |          |
| Aging awareness at present | "I feel satisfied with my life now that I have lived for a long time"† | 3.3 ±1.3 | 178 | 4.3 ±1.1 | 270 | <0.001   |
| Aging awareness in the past | Positively "I thought that aging would not be bad when I was young"† | 3.8 ±1.3 | 180 | 4.2 ±1.2 | 271 | <0.001   |
|                          | Negatively "I had a poor image of aging when I was young"† | 3.6 ±1.4 | 180 | 3.0 ±1.3 | 271 | <0.001   |
| Health-related QOL       | SF-8‡            | Physical function | 36.9 ±10.8 | 181 | 42.0 ±10.1 | 273 | <0.001   |
|                          | Role physical    | 38.9 ±9.9     | 179 | 43.8 ±9.5     | 274 | <0.001   |
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### Table 4. Correlations of variables with the GDS score.

| Variable                              | r value | p value |
|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Aging awareness at present            |         |         |
| Positive                              |         |         |
| "I feel satisfied with my life now that I have lived for a long time"† | −0.50   | <0.001  |
| Negative                              |         |         |
| "I had a poor image of aging when I was young"† | 0.30    | <0.001  |
| Health-related QOL (SF-8)‡            |         |         |
| Physical function                     | −0.28   | <0.001  |
| Role physical                         | −0.30   | <0.001  |
| Body pain                             | −0.16   | <0.001  |
| General health perceptions            | −0.44   | <0.001  |
| Vitality                              | −0.37   | <0.001  |
| Social functioning                    | −0.34   | <0.001  |
| Role emotional                        | −0.39   | <0.001  |
| Mental health                         | −0.45   | <0.001  |
| Social networks                       |         |         |
| LSNS-6 score§                         | −0.32   | <0.001  |
| Support from a child                  |         |         |
| A child lives near the individual and can come quickly if needed (Yes = 1, No = 0) | −0.04   | 0.412   |
| Used care services                    |         |         |
| Home nursing                          | 0.01    | 0.879   |
| Home care                             | 0.03    | 0.560   |
| Daycare/Day Service                   | −0.01   | 0.814   |
| Attributes                            |         |         |
| Age                                   | −0.07   | 0.126   |
| Gender (Male = 1, Female = 2)         | −0.02   | 0.723   |
| Duration of living alone (Years)      | 0.10    | 0.036   |
| Long-term care needs level ‖         | 0.12    | 0.008   |
| Economic status¶                      | −0.22   | <0.001  |

Note 1. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient. Note 2. † Six alternatives from "strongly disagree" = 1 to "strongly agree" = 6; ‡ The maximum score on the SF-8 is 100 points, with higher scores indicating a better health condition; § The maximum score on the Lubben Social Network Scale-6 (LSNS-6) is 30 points, with higher scores indicating lower social isolation; ‖ "support needs level 1" = 1 to "care needs level 2" = 4; ¶ "Need of full financial support for daily life" = 0 to "Having the money to spare for children or others". Note 3. GDS = Geriatric Depression Scale, QOL = Quality of life.
Table 5. Findings of the multiple logistic regression analysis on geriatric depression.

|                          | Model 1          |                | Model 2          |                | Model 3          |                | Model 4          |                |
|--------------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|
|                          | Odds ratio       | 95% confidence | p value          | Odds ratio      | 95% confidence   | p value          | Odds ratio      | 95% confidence   | p value          | Odds ratio       | 95% confidence   | p value          |
|                          | Low              | High           |                  | Low             | High            |                  | Low             | High            |                  | Low             | High            |                  |
| Aging awareness at present † | "I feel satisfied with my life now that I have lived for a long time" | 0.513 | 0.427 | 0.617 | <0.001 | 0.524 | 0.431 | 0.636 | <0.001 | 0.597 | 0.481 | 0.742 | <0.001 | 0.601 | 0.479 | 0.753 | <0.001 |
| Aging awareness in the past † | "I thought that aging would not be bad when I was young" | 0.851 | 0.710 | 1.021 | 0.083 | 0.831 | 0.689 | 1.003 | 0.053 | 0.842 | 0.685 | 1.034 | 0.101 | 0.876 | 0.706 | 1.086 | 0.228 |
| Health-related QOL      |                 |                |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                 |                  |                  |
| Physical function       |                 |                |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                 |                  |                  |
| Role physical           | 1.005 | 0.966 | 1.045 | 0.819 | 0.999 | 0.958 | 1.043 | 0.979 |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                  |                  |                  |
| Body pain               | 1.027 | 0.994 | 1.061 | 0.111 | 1.020 | 0.986 | 1.055 | 0.249 |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                  |                  |                  |
| General health perceptions | 0.961 | 0.915 | 1.009 | 0.113 | 0.970 | 0.922 | 1.022 | 0.255 |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                  |                  |                  |
| Vitality                | 0.997 | 0.949 | 1.048 | 0.914 | 0.992 | 0.942 | 1.046 | 0.777 |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                  |                  |                  |
| Social functioning       | 0.978 | 0.948 | 1.010 | 0.179 | 0.981 | 0.949 | 1.015 | 0.266 |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                  |                  |                  |
| Role emotional          | 0.970 | 0.934 | 1.007 | 0.107 | 0.975 | 0.936 | 1.015 | 0.211 |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                  |                  |                  |
| Mental health           | 0.934 | 0.895 | 0.975 | 0.002 | 0.932 | 0.892 | 0.973 | 0.002 |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                  |                  |                  |
| Social networks         |                 |                |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                  |                  |                  |
| LSNS-6 §                | 0.942 | 0.899 | 0.987 | 0.012 |                  |                 |                  |                 |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                  |                  |                  |
| Support from a child     |                 |                |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                  |                  |                  |
| A child lives near the individual and can come quickly if needed (Yes = 1, No = 0) | 0.750 | 0.425 | 1.325 | 0.322 |                  |                 |                  |                 |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                  |                  |                  |
| Used care service (use = 1, No use = 0) |                 |                |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                  |                  |                  |
| Home nursing            | 0.786 | 0.312 | 1.978 | 0.609 |                  |                 |                  |                 |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                  |                  |                  |
| Home care               | 0.698 | 0.400 | 1.220 | 0.207 |                  |                 |                  |                 |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                  |                  |                  |
| Daycare/Day Service     | 1.026 | 0.579 | 1.819 | 0.929 |                  |                 |                  |                 |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                  |                  |                  |
| Attributes              |                 |                |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                  |                  |                  |
| Age                     | 0.990 | 0.956 | 1.026 | 0.589 | 0.982 | 0.944 | 1.022 | 0.371 | 0.983 | 0.942 | 1.026 | 0.437 |                  |                 |                  |                  |
| Gender (male = 1, female = 0) | 0.974 | 0.556 | 1.706 | 0.925 | 0.881 | 0.476 | 1.631 | 0.686 | 1.049 | 0.544 | 2.026 | 0.886 |                  |                 |                  |                  |
| Duration of living alone | 1.019 | 1.001 | 1.037 | 0.039 | 1.019 | 0.999 | 1.039 | 0.057 | 1.019 | 0.999 | 1.040 | 0.067 |                  |                 |                  |                  |
| Long-term care needs level † | 1.092 | 0.878 | 1.360 | 0.428 | 1.010 | 0.789 | 1.292 | 0.937 | 0.997 | 0.756 | 1.314 | 0.982 |                  |                 |                  |                  |
| Economic status ¶       | 0.557 | 0.377 | 0.824 | 0.003 | 0.668 | 0.431 | 1.034 | 0.070 | 0.780 | 0.492 | 1.235 | 0.289 |                  |                 |                  |                  |
| $\chi^2$                | P < 0.001       |                |                  | P < 0.001       |                |                  | P < 0.001       |                |                  | P < 0.001       |                |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| Predictive accuracy (%) | 72.2 | 70.4 | 74.9 | 76.0 |                  |                 |                  |                 |                  |                 |                |                  |                 |                  |                  |                  |

Note 1. Multiple logistic regression analysis. (Geriatric Depression Scale; GDS score ≥ 6) = 1, (GDS score < 6) = 0. Note 2. † Six alternatives from "strongly disagree" = 1 to "strongly agree" = 6; ‡ The maximum score on the SF-8 is 100 points, with higher scores indicating a better health condition; § The maximum score on the Lubben Social Network Scale-6 (LSNS-6) is 30 points, with higher scores indicating lower social isolation; ‖ "support needs level 1" = 1 to "care needs level 2" = 4; ¶ "Need full financial support for daily life" = 0 to "Having the money to spare for children or others" = 3. Note 3. QOL = Quality of life.
Individuals internalize values and beliefs about the aging process through their past encounters with older adults and people with disabilities. Moreover, aging carries a social stigma, as people’s health tends to deteriorate as they age [17]. Older adults who held negative attitudes toward aging in the past may transfer those views to themselves once they age and become frail, increasing their risk of depression. Growing up in a society founded on a value system without pessimistic views of old age would likely lead people to attach greater meaning to their own lives in their old age. Accordingly, it is imperative that people learn to regard growing old in a positive light since childhood, that is, early in their life cycle, when they are young and healthy. The society must also strive to promote community activities that allow all generations to view the aging process positively.

4.2. Effects of Living Alone on Depression in Frail Older Adults

Social network richness was associated with depressive state in the present study. Frail older adults who live alone encounter many difficult situations in their daily lives; when they arise, they need to be able to handle them by themselves. Moreover, aging-related changes create stressful situations for older adults with long-held negative beliefs about aging. Generally, older adults who live alone have fewer opportunities for regular conversation and interactions with others compared those who cohabit with family members, making them prone to feelings of loneliness, isolation, and poor mental health by extension. Nonetheless, preliminary evidence suggests depression may be improved by individuals’ psychological recovery from challenging experiences [27]. Therefore, experiences of overcoming difficulties, and the associated feelings of achievement, are critical to preventing and improving depression in frail older adults who live alone, in addition to providing care support as needed.

4.3. Suggestions for Improving Elderly Depression and Raising Aging Awareness

Health care workers need to understand how older adults regard the aging process when determining appropriate care strategies to combat depression in frail older adults living alone. One method to this end is to listen to the individual life stories of older adults—their recollections of older adults they themselves encountered since their childhood years, their interactions with people with disabilities at work, and their thoughts and feelings at those times—and their appraisals of their current selves against this backdrop. Hearing their life stories would allow researchers to confirm their past and present attitudes towards the aging process. Such life story interviews may allow researchers to inquire into the value judgments that frail older adults make about their lives in old age, and identify circumstances that cause them anguish and, in many cases, depression, due to negative self-appraisals guided by their value systems. Making older adults aware of their attitudes towards aging may free them from negative
thought patterns, which is a major cause of depression.

In addition, since rich social networks reduce depression among the oldest old, it has been proposed that this population be screened for social isolation, and encouraged to participate regularly in their community [28]. Expanding the social networks of solitary-living older adults could alleviate feelings of despondency, thereby improving depression. Support to boost social networks through community activities, such as participation in social activities and interactions with neighbors, are especially important for older adults who live alone; individual connections with their care providers are insufficient. Care providers must provide frail older adults living alone assistance that is customized to their health status and social networks, so that they can better self-manage their health and lives independently. Therefore it will be necessary to discuss continuous about specific supports to improve the quality of life for older adults.

4.4. Limitations of This Study

The study participants are limited to only those older adults who have the physical and mental capacities to live alone, and who interact with a care manager. In addition, dementia status was only self-reported, not necessarily officially diagnosed. Hereafter, we will expand our analysis to examine older adults with serious long-term care needs (Levels 3 - 5), as well as to those who do not use care services, in addition to employing a dementia rating scale.

5. Conclusions

The present findings suggest that 39.8% of older adults living along in Japan in need of low-level long-term care suffer from depression. Potential risk factors for depression were analyzed using four models, incorporating the independent variables of past and present attitudes towards aging, personal attributes, health-related QOL, and social network richness. Depressive state was significantly associated with current positive and past negative attitudes towards aging in all models.

Our findings suggest that aging awareness needs to be monitored in frail older adults, and that broad-based community strategies targeting individuals before they grow old themselves are necessary to ensure that people of all generations regard the aging process in positive light. In addition, participation in social activities and engaging with community residents are necessary components of support to expand the social networks of solitary older adults, in order to prevent and improve depression in this population.
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