Determinants of HIV infection among adolescent girls and young women aged 15–24 years in South Africa: a 2012 population-based national household survey
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Abstract

Background: South Africa is making tremendous progress in the fight against HIV, however, adolescent girls and young women aged 15–24 years (AGYW) remain at higher risk of new HIV infections. This paper investigates socio-demographic and behavioural determinants of HIV infection among AGYW in South Africa.

Methods: A secondary data analysis was undertaken based on the 2012 population-based nationally representative multi-stage stratified cluster random household sample. Multivariate stepwise backward and forward regression modelling was used to determine factors independently associated with HIV prevalence.

Results: Out of 3092 interviewed and tested AGYW 11.4% were HIV positive. Overall HIV prevalence was significantly higher among young women (17.4%) compared to adolescent girls (5.6%). In the AGYW model increased risk of HIV infection was associated with being young women aged 20–24 years (OR = 2.30, \( p = 0.006 \)), and condom use at last sex (OR = 1.91, \( p = 0.010 \)), and decreased likelihood was associated with other race groups (OR = 0.06, \( p < 0.001 \)), sexual partner within 5 years of age (OR = 0.53, \( p = 0.012 \)), tertiary level education (OR = 0.11, \( p = 0.002 \)), low risk alcohol use (OR = 0.19, \( p = 0.022 \)) and having one sexual partner (OR = 0.43, \( p = 0.028 \)). In the adolescent girls model decreased risk of HIV infection was associated with other race groups (OR = 0.01, \( p < 0.001 \)), being married (OR = 0.07, \( p = 0.016 \)), and living in less poor household (OR = 0.08, \( p = 0.002 \)). In the young women’s models increased risk of HIV infection was associated with condom use at last sex (OR = 2.09, \( p = 0.013 \)), and decreased likelihood was associated with other race groups (OR = 0.17, \( p < 0.001 \)), one sexual partner (OR = 0.6, \( p = 0.014 \)), low risk alcohol use (OR = 0.17, \( p < 0.001 \)), having a sexual partner within 5 years of age (OR = 0.29, \( p = 0.022 \)), and having tertiary education (OR = 0.29, \( p = 0.022 \)).

Conclusion: These findings support the need to design combination prevention interventions which simultaneously address socio-economic drivers of the HIV epidemic, promote education, equity and access to schooling, and target age-disparate partnerships, inconsistent condom use and risky alcohol consumption.
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Background
Globally, 80% of adolescent girls and young women (AGYW) aged 15–24 years living with HIV are in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). In addition, the number of new HIV infections among AGYW in SSA remains exceptionally with about 450,000 [380,000–530,000] new infections estimated in 2015 [1]. Eastern and Southern Africa countries carry the heaviest burden with an estimated 236,000 annual number of new infections, and South Africa leading with about 102,000 new infections in the same year [1, 2]. This is the case despite significant investment and gains made to reduce HIV risk over the past decades in the country [3]. Some of the AGYW acquire HIV perinatally while many are infected through heterosexual sexual intercourse.

Factors that contribute to the high prevalence and incidence of HIV among AGYW are varied and may differ by sub-region, country, and context. Vulnerability of AGYW to HIV is affected by societal norms supportive of male superiority and sexual entitlement. Evidence shows that this leads to gender inequality and unequal power dynamics causing females to be unable to negotiate safe sex leading them to engage in risky sexual behaviours [4, 5]. High risk behaviours associated with HIV among AGYW include early sexual debut, multiple sexual partnerships, limited condom use, intimate partner violence, intergenerational and transactional sex [6–10]. Furthermore, socio-demographic factors such as age, marital status, level of education, employment, and place of residence have also been associated with risk of HIV among young people [11, 12].

In addition, in many sub-Saharan countries including South Africa, poverty is a major concern in most families, and due to family's economic deprivation AGYW are often expected to fend for themselves and to contribute financially or materially to support their families from a young age, which discourages adolescent girls to enrol and or/stay in school [13, 14]. Consequently, limited economic options that are available to AGYW due to low/no education strongly influence their decisions to engage in unsafe sexual behaviour [13, 14].

Studies have shown that social, demographic and behavioural factors interact in different ways to increase risk of HIV among AGYW under different settings in SSA [14]. Improved understanding of the determinants of HIV among AGYW given the changing epidemic in South Africa will provide a platform for new and highly targeted impactful intervention strategies to prevent and reduce HIV infection among AGYW in the country. This paper investigates socio-demographic and behavioural determinants of HIV infection among AGYW aged 15–24 years in South Africa.

Methods
Study design and sample
This analysis is based on the 2012 South African National HIV Prevalence, Incidence, and Behaviour Survey, a nationally representative population-based household survey, described in detail elsewhere [3]. Study participants were selected using multi-stage stratified cluster sampling. A systematic probability sample of 15 households was drawn from each of 1000 enumeration areas (EAs) selected randomly from strata defined by locality type, race group, and province as defined by the census in South Africa. A detailed questionnaire soliciting information related to: demographics, HIV-related attitudes, practice, behaviours, and knowledge was administered.

Detailed age appropriate questionnaires soliciting information related to: demographics, HIV-related attitudes, practice, behaviours and knowledge were administered. Apart from collecting behavioural survey data, dried blood spots (DBS) specimens were also collected from participants who consented for HIV testing. Samples were tested for HIV using an enzyme immunoassay (EIA) (Vironostika HIV Uni-Form II plus O, Biomerieux, Boxtel, The Netherlands), and samples which tested positive were retested using a second EIA (Advia Centaur XP, Siemens Medical Solutions Diagnostics, Tarrytown, New York, USA). Any samples with discordant results on the first two EIAs were tested with a third EIA (Roche Elecys 2010 HIV Combi, Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany).

Measures
This analysis focused socio-demographic and HIV related risk behaviours only on AGYW aged 15–24 years. The primary outcome measure is HIV status (i.e., 0 = HIV negative, 1 = HIV positive). Socio-demographic measures included age (15 to 19 years and 20 to 24 years), race (African Black or other), marital status (not married or married), education level (no education/primary, secondary, tertiary), employment status (unemployed or employed), and locality type (urban formal, urban informal, rural informal, rural formal), and asset based socio-economic status (SES) constructed using multiple correspondence analyses (MCA) based on questions on availability/ownership of broad range of household assets ownership and access to utilities. MCA calculated a composite indicator score computed by adding up all weighted responses [15]. The predicted score for each household was used to compute five quintiles (1st lowest, 2nd lower, 3rd middle, 4th higher and 5th highest) representing a continuum of household SES from the most poor to the least poor.

Risk behaviours included the age at sexual debut (less than 15 years or more than 15 years), age-disparate sexual partnership (The categories should be: partner 5 years and older, partner 5 years and younger, partner within 5 years older or younger), multiple sexual partners in the last 12 months (one partner or two or more sexual partners), condom use during sexual contact (consistent or inconsistent), self-perceived risk of HIV infection (no or yes), and alcohol use risk score (0 = abstainers; 1–7 = low-risk
drinkers; 8–19 = high-risk drinkers; 20+ = hazardous drinking) based on a questionnaire for Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test (AUDIT) [16].

Data analysis
All statistical analysis was done in STATA 12 software using svy commands to take into account the complex multilevel survey design (Stata Corporation, College Station, Texas, USA). Data were weighted to account for the differential selection probabilities at the enumeration areas, households, and individual levels. Final weights were benchmarked to the official Statistics South Africa national midyear population estimates by age, race, sex and province to ensure that the data was nationally representative.

Descriptive statistics was used to summarize socio-demographic and sexual risk behaviour characteristics of the study sample, and differences between categorical variables was assessed using Pearson chi-square test of independence. Multivariate logistic regression analysis using a combination of backward and forward selection procedures was employed to determine factors independently associated with HIV prevalence. Three models were fitted for (1) AGYW 15–24 years (2) adolescent girls 15–19 years and (3) young women 20–24 years. Probabilities for removal and entry of variables into the models were set at \( p \)-values of 0.20 and 0.10. Coefficient plots were used to display the results of the final models. Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) are reported, and \( p \)-values less than 0.05 were considered statistical significant.

Results

Socio-demographic characteristics and HIV prevalence
Table 1 describes the study sample and shows HIV prevalence by socio-demographic characteristics. The majority of the young women were aged between 20 and 24 years (51.1%), were Black African (83.2%), not married (95.5%), had secondary education (87.3%) and resided mainly in urban formal setting (49.8%), and were from poor households in the 1st (25.1%) and 2nd (26.6%) quintiles. The overall HIV prevalence in this sample of young women was 11.4% (95% CI, 9.8–13.2) (\( n = 3092 \)). HIV prevalence was significantly higher among young women aged between 20 and 24 years (17.4%, \( p < 0.001 \)) and Black Africans (13.5%, \( p < 0.001 \)), those residing in urban informal areas (19.1%, \( p = 0.007 \)), and those from poor households in the 1st (13.2%) and 2nd (16.7%) quintiles (\( p < 0.001 \)).

Behavioural characteristics and HIV prevalence
Table 2 describes the study sample and shows HIV prevalence by behavioural characteristics. The overwhelming majority of AGYW reported that they started having sex at age 15 years and above (96.5%) and had two or more sexual partners (91.4%) while large majorities indicated that they had same age partners (63.9%), engaged in inconsistent condom use (63.4%), thought they were at risk of HIV (78.6%), and were abstainers (76.7%). HIV prevalence was significantly higher among AGYW who perceived themselves as not at risk of HIV (20.8%, \( p < 0.001 \)), and abstainers among alcohol users (12.6%, \( p = 0.034 \)).

Multivariate models
Figure 1 presents a combination of factors independently associated with HIV prevalence among AGYW 15–24 years. In this model increased likelihood of HIV infection was significantly associated with HIV prevalence among AGYW 15–24 years than adolescent girls aged 15–19 years [OR = 2.30 (95% CI: 1.26–4.18), \( p = 0.006 \)], and reported condom use at last sex [OR = 1.91 (95% CI:1.17–3.14), \( p = 0.010 \)]. Decreased likelihood of HIV infection was significantly

| Variables | Totals* | % | HIV Prevalence | 95% CI | \( p \)-value* |
|-----------|---------|---|---------------|-------|--------------|
| Age       |         |   |               |       |              |
| 15 to 19 years | 1606 | 51.1 | 5.6          | 4.2–7.5 | < 0.001      |
| 20 to 24 years | 1486 | 48.9 | 17.4         | 14.6–20.6 |              |
| Race      |         |   |               |       |              |
| Black African | 2130 | 83.2 | 13.5         | 11.6–15.7 | < 0.001      |
| Other     | 955     | 16.8 | 0.9          | 0.4–1.7 |              |
| Marital status |     |   |               |       |              |
| Not Married | 2874 | 95.5 | 11.7         | 10.0–13.7 | 0.303       |
| Married   | 140     | 4.5  | 7.2          | 2.8–17.2 |              |
| Education level |     |   |               |       |              |
| Primary school | 252  | 7.8  | 16.7         | 10.3–25.9 | 0.121       |
| Secondary school | 2406 | 87.3 | 11.4        | 9.6–13.5 |              |
| Tertiary  | 126     | 4.8  | 6.4          | 2.6–15.2 |              |
| Employment |         |   |               |       |              |
| Unemployed | 2604    | 88.0 | 11.3        | 9.5–13.3 | 0.651       |
| Employed  | 363     | 12.0 | 12.8        | 7.6–20.8 |              |
| Locality  |         |   |               |       |              |
| Urban formal | 1578 | 49.8 | 9.2         | 7.2–11.8 | 0.007       |
| Urban informal | 367  | 7.3  | 19.1        | 13.3–26.8 |              |
| Rural informal | 891  | 39.5 | 12.7        | 10.0–16.1 |              |
| Rural formal | 256   | 3.5  | 10.3        | 7.1–14.8 |              |
| Assert based SES*** |     |   |               |       |              |
| Quintile 1 | 705    | 25.1 | 13.2        | 10.0–17.1 | < 0.001     |
| Quintile 2 | 681    | 26.6 | 16.7        | 12.9–21.4 |              |
| Quintile 3 | 642    | 21.4 | 11.5        | 8.1–16.2 |              |
| Quintile 4 | 684    | 19.2 | 6.5         | 3.9–10.6 |              |
| Quintile 5 | 329    | 7.8  | 3.0         | 0.9–9.4  |              |

*Totals do not add to overall total due to missing data, ** \( p < 0.05 \) considered significant, ***Quintiles 1–5 represent a continuum of household socio-economic status (SES) from the most poor to the least poor.
associated with other race groups than Black Africans [OR = 0.06 (95% CI: 0.02–0.19), p < 0.001], having a sexual partner within 5 years of age than five years older [OR = 0.53 (95% CI: 0.32–0.87), p = 0.012], having tertiary level education than primary education [OR = 0.11 (95% CI: 0.03–0.44), p = 0.002], low risk alcohol use [OR = 0.19 (95% CI: 0.04–0.78), p = 0.022] and having one sexual partner compared to two or more partners [OR = 0.43 (95% CI: 0.20–0.91), p = 0.028].

Figure 2 shows multivariate models for adolescent girls (15–19 years) and young women (20–24 year). In the adolescent girls model only race, marital status, and

### Table 2 HIV prevalence by behavioural characteristics of the study sample (n = 3092)

| Variables                              | Total  | %   | HIV prevalence | 95% CI       | p-value** |
|----------------------------------------|--------|-----|----------------|--------------|-----------|
| Age at sexual debut (years)            |        |     |                |              |           |
| < 15                                   | 105    | 3.5 | 10.0           | 3.5–25.4     | 0.802     |
| ≥15                                    | 2976   | 96.5| 11.4           | 9.8–13.3     |           |
| No of sex partners in last 12 months   |        |     |                |              |           |
| One partner                            | 1395   | 8.6 | 15.5           | 12.8–18.6    | 0.100     |
| 2 or more partners                     | 127    | 91.4| 22             | 13.8–33.2    |           |
| Age-disparate sexual partnership       |        |     |                |              |           |
| Partner 5 years and older              | 565    | 36.1| 18.0           | 13.6–23.4    | 0.060     |
| Partner 5 years and younger            | 2      | 0.1 |                |              |           |
| Partner within 5 years older and younger| 951    | 63.9| 14.8           | 11.5–18.9    |           |
| Condom use during sexual contact       |        |     |                |              |           |
| Consistent                             | 564    | 36.4| 18.6           | 14.1–24.0    | 0.534     |
| Inconsistent                           | 944    | 63.6| 14.9           | 11.8–18.6    |           |
| Self-perceived risk of HIV infection   |        |     |                |              |           |
| No                                     | 615    | 21.4| 18.9           | 14.9–23.8    | < 0.001   |
| Yes                                    | 2438   | 78.6| 9.3            | 7.6–11.3     |           |
| Alcohol use risk score (AUDIT)***      |        |     |                |              |           |
| Abstainers                             | 2095   | 76.7| 12.6           | 10.6–14.9    | 0.034     |
| Low risk (1–7)                         | 518    | 18.4| 8.8            | 5.4–14.2     |           |
| High risk drinkers (8–19)              | 132    | 3.6 | 3.0            | 1.2–7.1      |           |
| Hazardous drinkers (20+)               | 13     | 0.8 | 9.2            | 2.2–31.3     |           |

- Totals do not add to overall total due to missing data.
- p < 0.05 considered significant
- Alcohol risk score based on a questionnaire for Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test (AUDIT)
asset based SES were selected. Decreased likelihood of HIV infection was significantly associated with belonging to other race groups [OR = 0.01 (95% CI: 0.00–0.07), p < 0.001], being married [OR = 0.07 (95% CI: 0.01–0.60), p = 0.016], and living in less poor households (quintile 4 SES households) than most poor households (quintile 1 SES households) [OR = 0.08 (95% CI: 0.02–0.39), p = 0.002].

In the young women model race, alcohol use, age-disparate sexual partnership, educational level, and condom use at last sex were selected. Increased likelihood of HIV infection was only significantly associated with condom use at last sex [OR = 2.09 (95% CI: 1.17–3.73), p = 0.013]. Decreased likelihood of HIV infection was significantly associated with belonging to other race groups [OR = 0.17 (95% CI: 0.08–0.34), p < 0.001], having one sexual partner [OR = 0.6 (95% CI: 0.43–0.91), p = 0.014] low risk alcohol use [OR = 0.17 (95% CI: 0.08–0.34), p < 0.001], having a sexual partner within 5 years of age [OR = 0.29 (95% CI: 0.10–0.84), p = 0.022], and having tertiary level education, [OR = 0.29 (95% CI: 0.10–0.84), p = 0.022].

**Discussion**

In South Africa, several strides have been made in the fight against HIV. Despite progress in the fight against HIV/AIDS, AGYW remain at great risk of new HIV infections. Therefore preventing HIV acquisition in this group remains a public health imperative and improved understanding of the drivers of HIV in young women is critical for developing appropriate interventions. Consequently, studies have sought to characterize social, behavioural and structural factors associated with HIV risk in young women [17, 18]. The current study found that young women 20–24 years old have a higher prevalence of HIV compared to adolescent girls 15–19 years old. This is in agreement with observation of other studies in South Africa and other Southern African counties [17, 18].

The regression analysis also confirmed that young women who are mainly Black Africans were at increased risk of HIV infection compared to adolescent girls. Generally in Southern Africa studies have shown that the road from adolescence (15–19 years) to young adulthood (20–24 years) is particularly perilous for young women as the risk that they will become infected with HIV is high [17]. This is mainly because as young women progress through this transitional period (i.e., time of rapid physical, psychological, and social development) the great majority become sexually active and engage in behaviours that put them at risk for HIV [18].

The findings showed that marriage has a protective effect against HIV infection especially among adolescent girls. Some studies have suggested that married adolescents are often at low risk for HIV infection, specifically, when both partners are uninfected at the time of marriage and subsequently engage in sexual activity exclusively with each other [19]. However, other studies have suggested that marriage may increase the vulnerability female adolescents to HIV infection due to limited bargaining power within the marriage and/or exposure to unprotected sex due to the desire for pregnancy [18]. Therefore, more studies are needed on factors that may increase or reduce risk for HIV among married female adolescents, especially because most are at child bearing age and more prone to pregnancy which is a critical phase for prevention of mother to child transmission.

In addition, living in least poor households was found to be protective against HIV infection for adolescent
Low risk alcohol drinking was also found to have a protective effect against HIV infection among young women. Studies have shown than risky drinking is associated with unsafe sex such as the ability to use condoms [10]. Furthermore, evidence shows that young people who consume alcohol are more likely experience their first sexual encounter at a younger age, and engage in sex with multiple partners [31, 32]. Reducing risky alcohol consumption should be encouraged as part of the HIV prevention strategies for reducing risk of among young women.

Limitations of the present study

Behaviour related variables are based on self-report and these may be affected by both recall and social desirability bias. The exclusion of other unmeasured potential covariates in the analysis could be another limitation. Furthermore, the cross-sectional nature of the study means that it is only limited to assessing associations and cannot therefore infer any causality. Nevertheless, the study is nationally representative and the finding can be generalized to AGYW age 15–24 years in the country.

Conclusions

The findings of this study highlight the need to address the structural socio-economic drivers of the HIV epidemic among AGYW by targeting those that come from the poor and most vulnerable households. In addition, there is a need to promote education as well as equity and access to schooling, and intensify efforts targeting risk behaviours such age disparate sexual relationships, inconsistent condom use and risky alcohol consumption. Pivotal to the success of such interventions is the need to address societal norms supportive of male superiority and sexual entitlement, leading to gender inequality causing females to be unable to negotiate safe sex.
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