THE PHYSICAL ORIGIN OF THE SCATTERING POLARIZATION OF THE Na I D LINES IN THE PRESENCE OF WEAK MAGNETIC FIELDS
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ABSTRACT

We demonstrate that the atomic alignment of the hyperfine-structure components of the ground-level $S_{1/2}$ of Na I and of the upper-level $P_{1/2}$ of the D$_1$ line are practically negligible for magnetic strengths $B > 10$ G and virtually zero for $B \geq 100$ G. This occurs independently of the magnetic field inclination on the stellar surface (also, in particular, for vertical fields). Consequently, the characteristic antisymmetric linear polarization signature of the scattered light in the D$_1$ line is practically suppressed in the presence of magnetic fields larger than 10 G, regardless of their inclination. Remarkably, we find that the scattering polarization amplitude of the D$_1$ line increases steadily with the magnetic strength, for vertical fields above 10 G, while the contribution of the alignment to the polarization of the D$_1$ line rapidly decreases. Therefore, we suggest that spectropolarimetric observations of the “quiet” solar chromosphere showing significant linear polarization peaks in both D$_1$ and D$_2$ cannot be interpreted in terms of one-component magnetic field models, implying that the magnetic structuring of the solar chromosphere could be substantially more complex than previously thought.

Subject headings: atomic processes — polarization — scattering — stars: magnetic fields — Sun: chromosphere

1. INTRODUCTION

In a recent work, one of the authors (Landi Degl’Innocenti 1998) concluded that his explanation, in terms of ground-level atomic polarization, of the “enigmatic” linear polarization peaks of the Na I D lines, observed by Stenflo & Keller (1997) in “quiet” regions close to the solar limb, implies that the magnetic field in the lower solar chromosphere must be either isotropically distributed and extremely weak (with $B \ll 0.01$ G) or, alternatively, practically radially oriented. That investigation was based on a formulation of line scattering polarization that is valid in the absence of magnetic fields. The suggestion that the magnetic field of the lower solar chromosphere cannot be stronger than about 0.01 G unless it is oriented preferentially along the radial direction was based on the sizable amount of ground-level polarization required to fit the QJH observations of Stenflo & Keller (1997) and on the assumption that the atomic polarization of the ground level of Na I must be sensitive to much weaker magnetic fields than the atomic polarization of the upper levels of the D$_1$ and D$_2$ lines.

On the whole, Landi Degl’Innocenti’s (1998) argument that the observed linear polarization peaks in the cores of the Na I D lines are due to the presence of ground-level atomic polarization seems very convincing. However, for a rigorous interpretation of spectropolarimetric observations (e.g., Martínez Pillet, Trujillo Bueno, & Collados 2001; Stenflo et al. 2001), it is of fundamental importance to clarify the physical origin of this polarization by carefully investigating how it is actually produced and modified by the action of a magnetic field of given strength and inclination.

2. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

In this Letter, we shall focus on the “solar prominence case,” in which a slab of solar chromospheric plasma at 6000 K, situated at 10$^\circ$ ($\approx$7000 km) above the visible solar limb, and permeated by a magnetic field of given strength and orientation, is illuminated from below (hence, anisotropically) by the photospheric radiation field, which is assumed to be unpolarized and with rotational symmetry around the solar radial direction through the scattering point. The degree of anisotropy of the incident radiation field is calculated as in Landolfi & Landi Degl’Innocenti (1985, hereafter LL85), using the limb-darkening data for the Na I D lines from Pierce & Slaughter (1982), and a Gaussian absorption profile with $\Delta \lambda_{p,0} = 41$ mA (corresponding to $T = 6000$ K). The resulting anisotropy factors for the two lines are $w(D_1) = 0.126$ and $w(D_2) = 0.118$, where $w = \sqrt{2J_0/J_0'}$ (with $J_0'$ the radiation field tensors; see, for example, Trujillo Bueno 2001 and note that $-\frac{1}{2} \leq w \leq 1$).

In order to investigate this problem, we have applied the quantum theory of spectral line polarization in the limit of complete frequency redistribution, and in the collisionless regime, as developed by Landi Degl’Innocenti (1983). The excitation of the atomic system is described by a set of $\rho^S_\ell$ elements, which are the irreducible spherical tensors of the atomic
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density matrix (e.g., the review by Trujillo Bueno 2001). We adopt a three-level model of Na i consisting of the ground level \((3^3S_{1/2})\), the upper level of the D1 line \((3^3P_{3/2})\), and the upper level of the D2 line \((3^3P_{1/2})\). We also take into account the hyperfine structure (HFS) of sodium because of its nuclear spin with \(I = 3/2\).

We describe the Zeeman splittings of the Na i levels most generally in terms of the incomplete Paschen-Back effect. In fact, as shown, e.g., in Figure 5 of LL85, in the 10–50 G range, numerous level crossings occur among the magnetic sublevels of the HFS levels with \(F = 1, 2, 3\) of \(P_{3/2}\), whereas fields with strengths in the kilogauss range are necessary to produce level crossings within the HFS levels with \(F = 1\) and 2 of \(S_{1/2}\). Therefore, besides population imbalances and quantum interferences (or coherences) between the magnetic sublevels of each \(F\)-level, we must also take into account coherences between magnetic sublevels pertaining to different \(F\)-levels within a given \(J\)-level. Instead, we neglect coherences between the two \(J\)-levels \(P_{3/2}\) and \(P_{3/2}\) since they are presumably of secondary importance for the generation of the line-core polarization peaks, given the sizable energy separation between the upper levels of the D1 and D2 lines. Our model atom then implies 384 \(\rho_{ij}^F(F, F')\) elements (with \(K = [F - F', \ldots, F + F'\) and \(Q = [-K, \ldots, K]\)), which are the unknowns of the linear system representing the statistical equilibrium problem for Na i. In this Letter, these quantities are calculated in a reference frame with the z-axis (i.e., the quantization axis) along the solar radial direction through the scattering point.

In summary, our approach is similar to that of LL85, but with the following fundamental improvement. In the expressions of the Stokes components of the emission vector \((\epsilon_\rho, \epsilon_\sigma, \epsilon_\pi, \epsilon_\delta)\), we now take fully into account the energy separation of the various HFS components of the D1 and D2 lines, along with their Zeeman splittings in the presence of the external magnetic field. This is crucial in order to obtain nonzero linear polarization for the Na i D1 line.

3. POLARIZABILITY OF THE Na i LEVELS

We have solved numerically the linear system of 384 equations in the unknowns \(\rho_{ij}^F(F, F')\) mentioned before, for magnetic strengths between 0 and 1000 G and for various inclinations \((\theta_\parallel)\) of the magnetic field vector from the solar vertical. The eight \(\rho_{ij}^F(F, F')\) elements quantify the populations of the various \(F\)-levels, and they produce the dominant contribution to the emergent Stokes \(I\) parameter. The \(\rho_{ij}^F\) elements (the alignment components) contribute to the linear polarization signals, which we quantify by the Stokes parameters \(Q\) and \(U\). The \(\rho_{ij}^\Omega\) elements (the orientation components) contribute to the circular polarization of the scattered radiation. (We recall that in an aligned atomic system, states of different \([M_F]\) are unequally populated, while the populations in \(M_F\) and \(-M_F\) are the same. In contrast, an oriented system is characterized by different populations in the \(M_F\) and \(-M_F\) states. We are dealing here with an atomic system that is both aligned and oriented.) The contributions from the longitudinal and transverse Zeeman effects are also accounted for, although they become dominant only for relatively strong fields.

Given that we are interested in understanding the generation of linear polarization signals in the presence of weak magnetic fields, we focus here on the alignment components. In Figure 1, for each \(F\)-level, we show \(\sigma_\rho^F(F) = \rho_{5^3S_{1/2}}^F(F, F)/\rho_{5^3S_{1/2}}^F(F, F)\), which quantifies the fractional population imbalance of the level. Since the spectral dependence of the incident radiation field is practically negligible over the frequency intervals encompassing the Zeeman components of each of the two spectral lines (flat-spectrum approximation), a necessary condition for inducing atomic alignment by means of an unpolarized radiation field is that the illumination of the atomic system be anisotropic. Moreover, atomic orientation can only be originated through the alignment-to-orientation conversion mechanism discussed by Kemp, Macek, & Nehring (1984).

Figure 1 shows the sensitivity of \(\sigma_\rho^F(F)\) to the magnetic field strength and inclination. First of all, we note that the largest values are obtained for the level \(P_{3/2}\), which can carry atomic alignment even neglecting HFS. On the contrary, both the lower and upper levels of the D1 line, with electronic angular momentum \(J = \frac{3}{2}\), can carry atomic alignment only because of HFS, as each of these levels splits into two polarizable HFS levels with \(F = 1\) and \(F = 2\). However, it is found that only the level \(P_{3/2}\) can be polarized directly via the anisotropic illumination. The levels of the D1 line, instead, are directly sensitive only to radiation intensity, but they nonetheless become polarized when the atomic polarization of the level \(P_{3/2}\) is transferred to the level \(S_{1/2}\) via spontaneous emission in the D1 line, and then from the level \(S_{1/2}\) to the level \(P_{1/2}\) via radiative absorption in the D1 line, in a process known as repopulation pumping (e.g., Trujillo Bueno 2001). In fact, this explains one of the various remarkable features of Figure 1, i.e., the fact that the atomic alignments in the lower and upper levels of the D1 line are equally sensitive to the magnetic strength, independently of the magnetic field inclination.

For instance, we see that a nonvertical magnetic field of the order of 0.01 G is sufficient to produce a serious reduction of the atomic alignment of both the lower and upper levels of the D1 line. This is due to the Hanle depolarization of the \(S_{1/2}\) ground level, which occurs when the Larmor frequency corresponding to the magnetic field becomes comparable to the inverse lifetime for the radiative absorption of that level. Nonetheless, the alignment of the level \(F = 2\) of \(S_{1/2}\) is still significant for fields up to 10 G, except for field inclinations close to the Van Vleck angle \(\theta_\parallel = 54^\circ 73^\prime\).

For nonvertical fields, the alignment of the level \(P_{3/2}\) is also sensitive to magnetic strengths between 0 and 10 G, but the depolarization takes place rather smoothly. An interesting point to note here is the sizable feedback of the ground-level polarization on the alignment of the \(F\)-levels of \(P_{3/2}\), with the exception of the level \(F = 1\). (This behavior can be understood analytically via inspection of the corresponding transfer rates.) As previously indicated, such a feedback takes place because the upper level of the D1 line can be repopulated as a result of absorptions from the polarized ground level.

The most remarkable feature of Figure 1 is that, independently of the magnetic field inclination (e.g., even for a purely vertical magnetic field), the atomic alignment of each of the two levels involved in the D1 line transition is suddenly reduced for magnetic strengths larger than 10 G and practically vanishes for strengths larger than 100 G. We stress the fact that this depolarization is not due to the Hanle effect since it occurs for vertical fields also. A thorough investigation of this phenomenon shows that the vanishing of atomic alignment in the levels with \(J = \frac{1}{2}\) sets in when the electronic and nuclear angular momenta, \(J\) and \(I\), are decoupled, for the atom in the excited state \(P_{3/2}\). In the case of Na i, this decoupling is reached in the limit of the complete Paschen-Back effect of the level \(P_{3/2}\), i.e., for magnetic strengths \(B \approx 100\) G. In such a regime, it is found that the transfer of atomic alignment from the level \(P_{3/2}\) to the ground level is inhibited. At the same time, the alignment of
Fig. 1.—Fractional atomic alignment, $\delta_0$, in the first three levels of Na $\text{i}$ as a function of the magnetic strength and for various inclinations ($\vartheta_0$) of the magnetic field vector. All quantities are referred to a reference frame with the $z$-axis (i.e., the quantization axis) along the solar vertical through the scattering point. The dotted lines in the panels corresponding to the level $P_{3/2}$ show the $\delta_0$-values assuming a completely unpolarized ground level. Note that, even for vertical fields, atomic depolarization of the lower and upper levels of the D$_1$ line is total when the level $P_{3/2}$ is in the complete Paschen-Back effect regime.

the level $F = 2$ of $P_{3/2}$ must vanish as well. The analytical proof of these properties will be given in a forthcoming paper (R. Casini et al. 2002, in preparation).

It is also of interest to note that the repopulation pumping process works efficiently in Na $\text{i}$ thanks to the fact that the HFS of the level $P_{3/2}$ is of the same order of magnitude as its natural width. If the frequency intervals between the HFS levels of $P_{3/2}$ were instead substantially smaller than the natural width of this level, then we would have a negligible HFS interaction during the lifetime of the level $P_{3/2}$, with the result of a drastic reduction in the efficiency of the repopulation pumping process that polarizes the ground level of sodium, regardless of the magnetic field strength.

4. OBSERVABLE EFFECTS OF THE ATOMIC ALIGNMENT

The theory of the Hanle effect for a two-level atom devoid of HFS predicts no modification of the emergent linear polarization with increasing strength of a magnetic field oriented parallel to the symmetry axis of the incident radiation (e.g., Landi Degl’Innocenti 1985). For this reason, and given the conclusions of the previous section, it is of great interest to investigate the emergent polarization of the Na $\text{i}$ D lines for 90° scattering events as a function of the strength of a vertical magnetic field. For this case, and choosing the reference direction for positive Stokes $Q$ parallel to the limb, the only nonzero Stokes parameter is $Q$.

Figure 2 shows profiles of $Q/I_{\text{max}}$, where $I_{\text{max}}$ indicates the peak intensity of the emission line, for magnetic strengths between 0 and 100 G. The top panels refer to the solution of the statistical equilibrium problem outlined in § 3. The results shown in the two bottom panels, instead, are obtained by assuming that the ground level of Na $\text{i}$ is totally unpolarized (e.g., by the presence of depolarizing collisions).

The first interesting feature of the D$_2$ line polarization is the increase of the linear polarization degree as the strength of the vertical magnetic field increases beyond 10 G. This is caused by the interferences, $(F', F)$ (not shown in Fig. 1), of the HFS levels in the $P_{3/2}$ level. Our calculations for inclined fields with $B \approx 100$ G (not given here) show first a decrease and then an increase of the linear polarization, but the maximum polarization amplitude of the D$_2$ line corresponds to the $B = 0$ G case. In general, the polarization of both D$_1$ and D$_2$ fluctuates significantly with strength and inclination.

We note that the polarization of the D$_2$ line is practically unaffected by ground-level polarization for fields larger than 10 G. As shown in Figure 1, for weaker fields the existing ground-level polarization has a significant but small enhancement of the emergent linear polarization in the D$_2$ line core, with respect to the case of totally unpolarized ground level (see Fig. 2).

As shown in Figure 2, for magnetic strengths $B \leq 10$ G, the emergent linear polarization of the D$_1$ line owes its very existence to the presence of ground-level polarization. Note that its Stokes $Q$ profile is antisymmetric.$^4$ In Figure 2, we can see

$^4$ This peculiar shape has been observed by Trujillo Bueno et al. (2001) in quiet regions close to the solar limb, as shown in Fig. 1 of Trujillo Bueno & Manso Sainz (2001). See also Bommier & Molodij (2002).
that a 1 G \textit{vertical} field has practically no effect on the emergent linear polarization, while a 10 G \textit{vertical} field reduces the signal by a factor of 2 as a result of the significant reduction of the atomic alignment of the HFS levels of the upper level $P_{1/2}$ (see Fig. 1). What we see for stronger fields in the corresponding top panel of Figure 2 is the result of the combined action of the atomic alignment of the upper level of the $D_1$ line and of the transverse Zeeman effect. Since the Zeeman splittings for the magnetic strengths of interest are determined by the in-complete Paschen-Back effect and since the Na \textit{I} lines are the combination of transitions between $F$-levels weighted by different Landé $g$-factors, the Stokes $Q$ profiles can be asymmetric, even if the ground level is forced to be totally unpolarized (see bottom panels of Fig. 2). Nonetheless, it can be analytically proven that the wavelength-integrated Stokes $Q$ parameter of $D_1$ is always zero (see LL85). It is also of interest to point out that, if the field is horizontal with randomly distributed azimuth, then the Stokes $Q$ amplitude of the $D_1$ line is reduced by a factor of 4 for a magnetic strength of 1 G, with respect to the nonmagnetic case.

In general, the linear polarization of the $D_1$ line is dominated by the transverse Zeeman effect for magnetic strengths $B \geq 50$ G (the alignment of the $D_1$ levels is practically zero for such field intensities). For example, from Figure 2 we see that the amplitude of the Stokes $Q$ signal in the presence of a \textit{vertical} field with $B \approx 10$ G is comparable to the amplitude produced by scattering processes in the absence of magnetic fields, but the linear polarization signature has a profile characteristic of the transverse Zeeman effect, with a polarization peak at the line core. On the other hand, the Stokes $Q$ signal in the $D_1$ line for $B \approx \approx 100$ G is still \textit{“scattering-like,”} being determined essentially by the contribution of the alignment of the level $P_{1/2}$. In fact, for this line, the signature of the transverse Zeeman effect begins to appear only for fields $B \geq 500$ G.

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

One of the most interesting results of this investigation is that the atomic polarization of the HFS levels of the $S_{1/2}$ and $P_{1/2}$ states of Na \textit{I} is practically negligible for $B > 10$ G and virtually vanishes for $B \approx 100$ G, even for a purely \textit{vertical} field. Consequently, the characteristic antisymmetric scattering polarization signature of the $D_1$ line is practically suppressed in the presence of fields larger than 10 G, regardless of their inclination.

Concerning the observable effects, we find that the scattering polarization amplitude of the $D_1$ line increases steadily with the magnetic strength, in the case of vertical fields larger than 10 G, whereas the contribution of atomic alignment to the linear polarization of the $D_1$ line rapidly decreases. On the contrary, for vertical fields such that $B \approx 10$ G (or, alternatively, for turbulent or canopy-like fields with a predominance of much weaker fields), it is possible to have a nonnegligible scattering polarization signal for the $D_1$ line, but then the maximum $D_2$ core amplitude corresponds to the $B = 0$ G case. From this we tentatively conclude that spectropolarimetric observations of the quiet solar chromosphere showing significant scattering polarization peaks in both the $D_1$ and $D_2$ line cores cannot be interpreted in terms of one-component magnetic field models, suggesting that the magnetic structuring of the solar chromosphere could be substantially more complex than previously thought. For instance, in the presence of a topologically complex distribution of “weak” solar magnetic fields, the $D_1$ line core would respond mainly to the strongest and preferentially radially oriented fields, while the $D_2$ line to the weakest and more randomly oriented fields. It remains to be seen whether or not this conclusion is validated after we take fully into account radiative transfer effects and the role of \textit{dichroism} (Trujillo Bueno & Landi Degl’Innocenti 1997) on the emergent polarization of the enigmatic Na \textit{I} D lines.
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