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Abstract. We construct a duality functor on the category of continuous representations of linearly compact Lie superalgebras, using representation theory of Lie conformal superalgebras. We compute the dual representations of the generalized Verma modules.

1. Introduction

Lie conformal superalgebras encode the singular part of the operator product expansion (OPE) of chiral fields in the vacuum sector of conformal field theory [15]:

\[ a(z)b(w) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{a(w)(j)b(w)}{(z-w)^{j+1}}. \]

They play an important role in the theory of vertex algebras that encode the full OPE (1), so that the full structure of a vertex algebra is captured by the \( \lambda \)-bracket of the Lie conformal (super)algebra structure:

\[ [a(w)\lambda b(w)] = \sum_{j \geq 0} (a(w)(j)b(w)) \frac{\lambda^j}{j!}, \]

and the normally ordered product \( a(w)(-1)b(w) \) (since \( a(w)(-n-1)b(w) = \frac{1}{n!}(\partial^n_w a(w)(-1)b(w)) \)).

In the classical limit the normally ordered product of a vertex algebra becomes commutative, but the \( \lambda \)-bracket still satisfies the axioms of a Lie conformal superalgebra. This leads to the theory of Poisson vertex algebras that play a fundamental role in the theory of Hamiltonian PDE.

Recall that \( \lambda \)-bracket (2) satisfies the following axioms, where \( a = a(w) \), \( \partial a = \partial_w a(w) \):

- (sesquilinearity) \( [\partial a\lambda b] = -\lambda[a\lambda b], [a\lambda \partial b] = (\partial + \lambda)[a\lambda b], \)
- (skewsymmetry) \( [b\lambda a] = -(-1)^{p(a)p(b)}[a\lambda - \lambda b], \)
- (Jacobi identity) \( [a\lambda [b\mu c]] = [[a\lambda b]_{\lambda+\mu} c] + (-1)^{p(a)p(b)}[b\mu [a\lambda c]] \).

A \( F[\partial] \)-module \( R \), endowed with a \( \lambda \)-bracket \( R \otimes R \to R[\lambda] \), satisfying the above three axioms, is called a Lie conformal superalgebra. Here and in the sequel we denote by \( F \) an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0.

All the work on representation theory of Lie conformal superalgebras \( R \) was based on the simple observation that representations of \( R \) are closely related to “continuous” representations of the associated to \( R \) annihilation Lie superalgebra. Recall that the annihilation Lie superalgebra is the vector superspace

\[ \mathcal{A}(R) = R[[t]]/(\partial + \partial_t)R[[t]] \]
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where $t$ has even parity, with the (well-defined) continuous bracket
\begin{equation}
[\lambda t^m, \mu t^n] = \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{m}{j} (a(j)b) t^{m+n-j},
\end{equation}
which makes it a linearly compact Lie superalgebra. Since $\partial$ commutes with $\partial_t$, it extends in a natural way to a derivation $\partial$ of the Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{A}(R)$, hence one can define the extended annihilation Lie superalgebra $\mathcal{A}^e(R) = \mathbb{F}[\partial] \rtimes \mathcal{A}(R)$. It is easy to see that a “conformal” $R$-module $M$ is the same as a continuous $\mathcal{A}^e(R)$-module $\mathcal{M}$. In most of the examples the derivation $\partial$ is an inner derivation of $\mathcal{A}(R)$: $\partial = \text{ad} a$, $a \in \mathcal{A}(R)$, so that $\mathcal{A}(R) = \mathcal{A}^e(R)/(\partial - \text{ad} a)\mathcal{A}^e(R)$. An $R$-module (= $\mathcal{A}^e(R)$-module) $M$ is called coherent if $(\partial - a)M = 0$. Thus a continuous $\mathcal{A}(R)$-module is the same as a coherent $R$-module.

Note that if a Lie conformal superalgebra $R$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{F}[\partial]$-module, then $\mathcal{A}(R)$ is a linearly compact Lie superalgebra, hence representation theory of Lie conformal superalgebras is intimately related to the theory of continuous representations of linearly compact Lie superalgebras.

Although it is unclear what is a right definition of a vertex algebra in several indeterminates, the definition of a Lie conformal superalgebra and all the above remarks can be easily extended to the case when one even indeterminate $\lambda$ is replaced by several even commuting indeterminates $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s$. In the paper we allow also for $s$ odd indeterminates $\lambda_{r+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r+s}$ and we say that the corresponding Lie conformal superalgebra is of type $(r,s)$, but for the sake of simplicity this will not be discussed in the introduction. In the present paper we reverse the point of view: instead of using continuous representations of linearly compact Lie superalgebras in the study of finitely generated $\mathbb{F}[\partial]$-modules over Lie conformal superalgebras, we use the latter to study the former. But then a natural question arises: which linearly compact Lie superalgebras are annihilation algebras of Lie conformal superalgebras? The answer probably is: in all interesting examples. Namely, if a linearly compact Lie superalgebra is of geometric origin, i.e., it is constructed with the use of vector fields and differential forms in a formal neighborhood of a point in an $(r|s)$-dimensional supermanifold, then it is an annihilation superalgebra of a finitely generated as a $\mathbb{F}[\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_r]$-module Lie conformal superalgebra in $r$ indeterminates.

Let us demonstrate this on the example of the Lie algebra $W(r)$ of continuous derivations of the algebra of formal power series $\mathbb{F}[[t_1, \ldots, t_r]]$. Include the Lie algebra $W(r)$ in a larger Lie algebra $\overline{W}(r)$ of continuous derivations of the algebra of formal Laurent series $\mathbb{F}[[t_1, \ldots, t_r]][t_1^{-1}, \ldots, t_r^{-1}]$. Consider the $\overline{W}(r)$-valued formal distributions
\begin{equation}
a_i(z) = - \sum_{k_1, \ldots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}^r} (t_1^{k_1} \cdots t_r^{k_r} \partial_{k_i}) z_1^{-k_1-1} \cdots z_r^{-k_r-1}.
\end{equation}

It is immediate to see, using the standard properties of the formal $\delta$-function $\delta(z - w) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^n w^{n-1}$, that
\begin{equation}
[a_i(z), a_j(w)] = \partial_{w_i} a_j(w) \delta(z - w) + a_i(w) \partial_{w_j} \delta(z - w) + a_j(w) \delta(z - w),
\end{equation}
where $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_r)$, $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_r)$, and $\delta(z - w) = \prod_{i=1}^r \delta(z_i - w_i)$. Applying the formal Fourier transform, i.e., letting
\begin{equation}
[a \lambda b] = \text{Res}_z [a(z), b(w)] e^{\lambda \cdot z_i},
\end{equation}
we obtain a structure of a Lie conformal algebra, which we denote by $RW(r)$, on the free $\mathbb{F}[\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_r]$-module of rank $r$, generated by the elements $a_i = a_i(z)$, with the following $\lambda$-brackets:
\begin{equation}
[a_i \lambda a_j] = \partial_i a_j + \lambda_j a_i + \lambda_i a_j, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, r.
\end{equation}
It is easy to see that the linearly compact Lie algebra $W(r)$ is the annihilation algebra of the Lie conformal algebra $RW(r)$.

A remarkable feature of representation theory of a Lie conformal superalgebra $R$ is the existence of a contravariant duality functor on the category of $R$-modules which are finitely generated as $F[\partial]$-modules\(^{13,2,5}\). Extension of this construction to the case of a Lie conformal superalgebra $R$ in several indeterminates is straightforward. Due to the above remarks, this duality functor can be transported to the category of continuous representations of the linearly compact Lie superalgebra, which is the annihilation algebra of $R$.

In the present paper we study the duality functor for the category $\mathcal{P}$ of continuous $\mathbb{Z}$-graded modules with discrete topology over $\mathbb{Z}$-graded linearly compact Lie superalgebras $g = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \geq d} g_j$, where the depth $d \geq 1$, $\dim g_j < \infty$, and $[g_i, g_j] \subset g_{i+j}$. We have: $g = g_{<0} + g_{\geq 0}$ where $g_{<0} = \bigoplus_{j < 0} g_j$ and $g_{\geq 0} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} g_j$. We also require that modules from $\mathcal{P}$ are finitely generated as $\mathcal{U}(g_-)$-modules. Recall that a $g$-module $M$ is continuous if, for any $v \in M$, $g_n v = 0$ for $n$ sufficiently large.

The category $\mathcal{P}$ is similar to the BGG category $\mathcal{O}$, and, as in category $\mathcal{O}$, the most important objects in $\mathcal{P}$ are generalized Verma modules $M(F)$ (see, e.g.\(^{16}\)). Recall, that, given a finite-dimensional $g_0$-module $F$, one extends it trivially to the subalgebra $g_{>0} = \bigoplus_{j > 0} g_j \subset g$, and defines

$$M(F) = \text{Ind}_{g_{\geq 0}}^{g} F.$$ \(\text{Our main result is the computation of the dual to } M(F) \text{ } g\text{-module } M(F)^\ast \text{ (see Theorems } 3.15 \text{ and } 3.17\).

It turns out that $M(F)^\ast$ is not $M(F^\ast)$, but $M(F^\vee)$, where $F^\vee$ is a shifted $g_0$-module $F^\ast$ by the following character (-1-dimensional representation) $\chi$ of $g_0$:

$$\chi(a) = \text{str}(\text{ad } a|_{g_{<0}}).$$

In particular, if $g_0 = [g_0, g_0]$, then $\chi = 0$, and $M(F)^\ast = M(F^\ast)$, which happens, for example, for the principally graded exceptional Lie superalgebra $E(5,10)$\(^{14}\). This observation has been made in\(^{6}\), which led us to the present paper.

One of the main problems of representation theory of a linearly compact Lie superalgebra $g$ is the classification of degenerate (i.e. non-irreducible) generalized Verma modules $M(F)$, associated to finite-dimensional irreducible $g_0$-modules $F$. Since the topological dual of $M(F)$ endowed with discrete topology is a linearly compact $g$-module, a solution of the above problem is important for the description of irreducible linearly compact $g$-modules.

In order to apply these results to representation theory of simple, finite rank Lie conformal superalgebras of type $(r,s)$, one needs to develop representation theory of the corresponding annihilation algebra, which apart from the “current” case, is a central extension of an infinite-dimensional simple linearly compact Lie superalgebra.

The simple infinite-dimensional linearly compact Lie superalgebras were classified and explicitly described, along with their maximal open subalgebras, in\(^{11,13,8}\). It was shown in\(^{9}\) and\(^{13}\) that all linearly compact simple Lie superalgebras of growth 1 (rather their universal central extensions) are annihilation superalgebras of simple Lie conformal superalgebras of type $(1,0)$. Using them, all finite rank simple Lie conformal superalgebras of type $(1,0)$ were classified in\(^{14}\). A complete list of those, admitting a non-trivial $\mathbb{Z}$-gradation, consists of three series $W_N$, $S_N$, and $K_N$, and two exceptions: $K'_1$ and $CK_6$.

Representation theory of $W_N$ and $S_N$ was constructed in\(^{5}\), of $K_N$ with $N = 0,1$ in\(^{10}\), resp. with $N = 2, 3, 4$ in\(^{12}\), resp. with all $N \geq 0$ in\(^{3}\). Representation theory of $CK_4$ and $K'_4$ was constructed in\(^{4}\) and\(^{1}\), respectively. A very interesting feature of these works is that all degenerate modules are members of infinite complexes, for classical (resp. exceptional) Lie conformal superalgebras the number of these complexes being one or two (resp. infinite).
A complete representation theory of linearly compact Lie superalgebras, corresponding to simple Lie conformal superalgebras of type \((r,s)\) with \(r > 1\) is known only for Cartan type Lie algebras, beginning with the paper [20], and for the exceptional Lie superalgebra \(E(3,6)\) [16, 17, 19]. Some partial results in other cases are obtained in [18] and [21]. Note that again for all known examples the degenerate modules can be organized in infinite complexes, the number of them being finite (resp. infinite) in the classical (resp. exceptional) cases. We hope that the duality, established in the present paper, and the Lie conformal superalgebra approach will help to make progress in representation theory in the remaining cases, especially \(E(5,10)\).

The contents of the paper are as follows. After the introduction we discuss in Section 2 the notion of Lie conformal superalgebra of type \((r,s)\), its annihilation Lie superalgebra, and elements of their representation theory. In Section 3 we introduce the duality functor in the category of finitely-generated modules over a Lie conformal superalgebra of type \((r,s)\) and of the corresponding annihilation Lie superalgebra. We prove here the main Theorem 3.17 under Assumptions 3.3. We conjecture that if \(\mathfrak{g}\) is a linearly compact Lie superalgebra then for any transitive pair \((\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0})\), i.e., such that \(\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}\) is an open subalgebra of \(\mathfrak{g}\) containing no non-trivial ideal of \(\mathfrak{g}\), one can construct a duality functor for which Theorem 3.17 still holds with \(\chi(a) = \text{str}(\text{ad} a|_{\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}})\) for \(a \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}\). In the remaining Sections 4–8 we show that the linearly compact Lie superalgebras \(\mathfrak{g} = W(r,s), K(1,n), E(5,10), E(3,6)\), and \(E(3,8)\) are annihilation Lie superalgebras of certain Lie conformal superalgebras \(R\mathfrak{g}\) of type \((r,s)\) (for suitable \(r\) and \(s\)) which we describe explicitly. We check that in all these cases Assumptions 3.3 on \(\mathfrak{g}\) with its principal gradation are satisfied. We also check in Section 4 that for all annihilation superalgebras, associated to the ordinary Lie conformal superalgebras (i.e., of type \((1,0)\)) Theorem 3.17 is applicable as well. Unfortunately we do not know whether this is the case for the remaining exceptional simple Lie superalgebra \(\mathfrak{g} = E(4,4)\), though it is not difficult to construct the corresponding \(R\mathfrak{g}\).
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2. Lie conformal superalgebras of type \((r,s)\)

Let \(\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0,1,2,\ldots\}\). Fix once and for all two non-negative integers \(r\) and \(s\). We will use several sets of \(r+s\) variables such as \(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{r+s}, \partial_1, \ldots, \partial_{r+s}, y_1, \ldots, y_{r+s}\). We will always assume that variables with indices \(1, \ldots, r\) are even and variables with indices \(r+1, \ldots, r+s\) are odd, and accordingly we let \(p_i = 0\) if \(i = 1, \ldots, r\) and \(p_i = 1\) if \(i = r+1, \ldots, r+s\). We will also use bold letters such as \(\lambda\) or \(\partial\) or \(y\) to denote the set of corresponding variables. We denote by \(\Lambda[\lambda] = F[\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r] \otimes \Lambda(\lambda_{r+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r+s})\) and we similarly define \(\Lambda[\partial]\) or \(\Lambda[y]\). The completion \(\Lambda[[y]]\) of \(\Lambda[y]\) is the algebra of formal power series in \(y\).

If \(R\) is a \(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\)-graded vector space we give to \(\Lambda[\lambda] \otimes R\) the structure of a \(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\)-graded \(\Lambda[\lambda]\)-bimodule by letting \(\lambda_i(P(\lambda) \otimes a) = \lambda_i P(\lambda) \otimes a\) and \((P(\lambda) \otimes a)\lambda_i = (-1)^{p(p(a))} P(\lambda) \lambda_i \otimes a\), where \(p(a) \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\) denotes the parity of \(a\). We will usually drop the tensor product symbol and simply write \(P(\lambda) a\) instead of \(P(\lambda) \otimes a\).

**Definition 2.1.** A Lie conformal superalgebra of type \((r,s)\) is a \(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\) graded \(\Lambda[\partial]\)-bimodule \(R\) such that \(a \partial_i = (-1)^{p(p(a))} \partial_i a\) for all \(a \in R\) and \(i \in \{1, \ldots, r+s\}\), endowed with a \(\lambda\)-bracket, i.e. a \(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\)-graded linear map \(R \otimes R \to \Lambda[\lambda] \otimes R\), denoted by \(a \otimes b \mapsto [a \lambda b]\), that satisfies the following properties:
(6) \([\partial_i a \lambda] b = -\lambda_i [a \lambda] b\);

(7) \([a \lambda (b \partial_i)] = [a \lambda b] (\partial_i + \lambda_i);\)

(8) \([b \lambda a] = - (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} [a_{-\lambda} \partial b];\)

(9) \([a \lambda [b \mu c]] = ([a \lambda b]_{\lambda + \mu} c) + (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} [b \mu a \lambda c]].\)

We refer to Properties (6) and (7) as the conformal sesquilinearity, Property (8) as the conformal skew-symmetry and Property (9) as the conformal Jacobi identity.

We note that the notion of a Lie conformal superalgebra, as treated in [15], corresponds to a Lie conformal superalgebra of type \((1,0)\). For the convenience of the reader we first briefly present the theory of Lie conformal superalgebras of type \((r,0)\): in this case all the results are straightforward extensions of those in type \((1,0)\) and therefore are stated without proofs. We then develop the general theory in type \((r,s)\).

If \(K = (k_1, \ldots, k_r)\) is any \(r\)-tuple of non-negative integers we let

\[\lambda^K = \lambda_1^{k_1} \lambda_2^{k_2} \cdots \lambda_r^{k_r}\] and \(K! = k_1! \cdots k_r!\).

For \(a, b \in R\) the \(K\)-products \((a_K b)\) are defined by the polynomial expansion

\[([a \lambda] b) = \sum_{K \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \frac{\lambda^K}{K!} (a_K b).\]

Starting from a Lie conformal superalgebra \(R\) of type \((r,0)\), one can construct a new Lie conformal superalgebra \(\tilde{R}\) of the same type, called the affinization of \(R\). Let \(\tilde{R} = R \otimes \mathbb{F}[[y]]\). We consider \(\tilde{R}\) as a \(\mathbb{F}[\partial y] = \mathbb{F}[\partial y_1, \ldots, \partial y_r]\)-module, with \(\lambda\)-bracket given by

\[([a y^M]_{\lambda} (b y^N)) = ([a_{\lambda + \partial y} b] y^M) y^N.\]

Note that in this expression it is meant that the derivatives with respect to the variables \(y_i\) in the bracket \([a_{\lambda + \partial y} b]\) act only on \(y^M\). The corresponding \(K\)-products are:

\[([a y^M]_K (b y^N)) = \sum_{J \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \frac{1}{J!} (a_{K+J} b) ((\partial y)^J y^M) y^N.\]

The \(\lambda\)-bracket \((\mathbf{11})\) defines on \(\tilde{R}\) a Lie conformal superalgebra structure with \(\partial = \partial + \partial y\).

The annihilation algebra associated with \(R\) is the Lie superalgebra

\[\mathcal{A}(R) = \tilde{R} / \partial \tilde{R}\]

with the bracket given by

\[[a y^M, b y^N] = \sum_{J} \frac{1}{J!} (a, b) ((\partial y)^J y^M) y^N = [(a y^M)_{\lambda} (b y^N)]|_{\lambda = 0}.\]

The representation theory of a Lie conformal superalgebra is closely related to the representation theory of the corresponding annihilation algebra. This fact relies on the following relation

\[([a \lambda, b \mu] = [a \lambda b]_{\lambda + \mu}, \quad a, b \in R,\]

where

\[a \lambda = \sum_{K \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \frac{\lambda^K}{K!} a y^K \in \mathcal{A}(R)[[\lambda]].\]
The goal of this section is to extend these results to Lie conformal superalgebras of type 
(r, s). In this context, in order to simplify computations involving signs, it is more convenient 
to use expansion (14) below instead of (10). For this, introduce the following notation. If 
\( K = (k_1, \ldots, k_t) \) is any sequence with entries in \( \{1, \ldots, r + s\} \) we let 
\[
m_i(K) = |\{j \in \{1, \ldots, t\} : k_j = i\}|,
\]
and
\[
f(K) = \prod_i m_i(K),
\]
and we similarly define \( y_K, x_K \) and so on. If \( K = \emptyset \), we let \( f(K) = 1 \), \( \lambda_K = 1 \). We also let \( p_K = p_{k_1} + \cdots + p_{k_t} \) and so \( p(\lambda_K) = p_K \). For example, if \( r = 2 \), \( s = 3 \) and \( K = (2, 3, 2, 1, 5, 4) \), then \( f(K) = 2 \), \( \lambda_K = -\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 \lambda_5 \) and \( p_K = 1 \). It is clear that if \( J \) and \( K \) are obtained from each other by a permutation of the entries we have \( \lambda_J = \pm \lambda_K \); we write in this case \( J \sim K \) and we denote by \( S_{r,s} \) any set of representatives of these equivalent classes. For \( a, b \in R \) the 
\( K \)-products \( (a_K b) \) are uniquely determined by the following conditions:
- \( (a_K b) = 0 = 0 \) if \( \lambda_K = 0 \) (i.e. if \( K \) contains a repeated odd index);
- \( \lambda_J(a_J b) = \lambda_K(a_K b) \) for \( J \sim K \); 
(14) 
\[
[a \lambda b] = \sum_{K \in S_{r,s}} \frac{\lambda_K}{f(K)} (a_K b).
\]

Remark 2.2. Conditions (6) and (7) in Definition 2.1 can be restated in terms of \( K \)-products 
by means of the following equations, where, for \( k = (k_1, \ldots, k_t) \) and \( i \in \{1, \ldots, r + s\} \), we let 
\( iK = (i, k_1, \ldots, k_t) \): 
(15) \[
((\partial_i a)_K b) = 0 \text{ if } m_i(K) = 0 \text{ and } ((\partial_i a)_K b) = -(m_i(K) + 1)(a_K b);
\]
(16) \[
(a_K (b K) i) = (a_K b) \partial_i \text{ if } m_i(K) = 0 \text{ and } 
(a_i K (b K) j) = (a_K b) \partial_i + (m_i(K) + 1)(-1)^{(p(a) + p(b))p_i}(a_K b).
\]

As in the completely even case, starting from a Lie conformal superalgebra \( R \) of type \( (r, s) \) 
one can construct a new Lie conformal superalgebra \( \tilde{R} \) of the same type, called the affinization 
of \( R \). Let \( \tilde{R} = R \otimes \Lambda[[y]] \). We consider \( \tilde{R} \) as a \( \Lambda[[y]] \)-bimodule and also as a \( \Lambda[\partial y] = \Lambda[\partial y_1, \ldots, \partial y_{r+s}] \)-bimodule letting 
\[
\partial_y a y_M = (-1)^{p(a)} a (\partial_y y_M) = (-1)^{p_i(p(a) + p_M)} a y_M \partial_y_i
\]
with \( \lambda \)-bracket given by 
(17) \[
[(y_M a) \lambda (b y_N)] = (y_M [a \lambda + a_y b]) y_N.
\]
The corresponding \( K \)-products are:
(18) \[
((y_M a)_K (b y_N)) = (-1)^{p_K p_M} \sum_{J \in S_{r,s}} \frac{1}{|J|} (y_M (\partial y)_J) (a_K b y_N),
\]
where for \( K = (k_1, \ldots, k_t) \) and \( J = (j_1, \ldots, j_u) \) we let \( K J = (k_1, \ldots, k_t, j_1, \ldots, j_u) \).

Proposition 2.3. The \( \Lambda(\tilde{\partial}) \)-module \( \tilde{R} \) with \( \tilde{\partial} = \partial + \partial y \) and \( \lambda \)-bracket given by (17) is a Lie 
conformal superalgebra.
We first check condition (5) in Definition 2.1
\[
[(\partial_i y_M a) \lambda y_N] = [(\partial_i + \partial_y y_M a) \lambda y_N]
\]
\[
= -(-1)^{p_i p_M} (y_M \partial_i a) \lambda y_N + (\partial_y y_M [a_\lambda + a_\omega b]) y_N
\]
\[
= -(-1)^{p_i p_M} (y_M [\partial_i a_\lambda + a_\omega b]) y_N
\]
\[
= -\lambda_i ((y_M a) \lambda (by_N)]
\]
Similarly one can check condition (7). Now we verify the conformal skew-symmetry, i.e.
\[
[(y_M a) \lambda (by_N)] = -(-1)^{(p(a)+p_M)(p(b)+p_N)} [(by_N) - \lambda - \delta_a (y_M a)].
\]
We have
\[
[(y_M a) \lambda (by_N)] = -(y_M [a_\lambda + a_\omega b]) y_N
\]
\[
= -(-1)^{p(a)p(b)} (y_M [b_\lambda - \theta - a_\omega a]) y_N
\]
\[
= -(-1)^{p(a)p(b)+(p(a)+p(b))(p_M+p_N)+p_Mp_N} y_N [(b_\lambda - \theta - a_\omega a) y_M]
\]
\[
= -(-1)^{p(a)p(b)+(p(a)+p(b))(p_M+p_N)+p_Mp_N} [(y_M a) \lambda (by_N)]
\]
where the last equality holds due to the Leibniz rule. The verification of the conformal Jacobi identity is left to the reader.

**Definition 2.4.** Given a Lie conformal superalgebra \( R \) of type \((r, s)\), the *annihilation Lie superalgebra* associated to \( R \) is the vector super space
\[
\mathcal{A}(R) = \tilde{R}/\tilde{\partial}_R,
\]
with bracket given by
\[
[y_M a, by_N] = \sum_{J \in S_{r, s}} \frac{1}{f(J)} (y_M (\partial_y J)(a, b) y_N = [(y_M a) \lambda (by_N)]|_{\lambda=0}.
\]

**Proposition 2.5.** \( \mathcal{A}(R) \) is a Lie superalgebra.

**Proof.** The proof is a straightforward generalization of the standard conformal case which is treated in [13]. One can also check that this is an immediate consequence of Properties 8 and 9 on \( R \) together with the observation that \( \partial_i + \partial_y = 0 \) on \( \mathcal{A}(R) \).

Next target is to extend the fundamental identity 13 to Lie conformal superalgebras. The crucial point here is to give the appropriate definition of \( a_\lambda \in \Lambda[[\lambda]] \otimes \mathcal{A}(R) \): this is the main result in the next Proposition 2.8. We first give some technical lemmas.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let \( R, J \) be two finite sequences with entries in \( \{1, \ldots, r+s\} \). Then
\[
\frac{1}{f(R, J)} y_{R, J} (\partial_y J) = \frac{\eta_J}{f(R)} y_{R, J},
\]
where \( \eta_J = (-1)^{1+2+\cdots+q_J} \) and \( q_J \) is the number of odd entries in \( J \).

**Proof.** It easily follows by induction on the length of \( J \).

For \( K = (k_1, \ldots, k_t) \) a sequence with entries in \( \{1, \ldots, r+s\} \) we let \( \bar{K} = (k_1, \ldots, k_t) \). If \( K \) is the empty set then \( \bar{K} = K \).
Lemma 2.7. Let $K$ be a finite sequence with entries in $\{1, \ldots, r + s\}$. We have

$$\frac{1}{f(K)}(\lambda + \mu)_K y_K = \sum_{I, R \in \mathcal{S}_{r, s}, I R \sim K} \frac{1}{f(I)f(R)} \lambda_I \mu_R y_I y_R.$$ 

and

$$\frac{1}{f(K)}(\lambda + \mu)_K y_K = \sum_{I, R \in \mathcal{S}_{r, s}, I R \sim K} \frac{1}{f(I)f(R)} \lambda_R \mu_I y_I y_R.$$ 

Proof. It is sufficient to notice that

$$\frac{1}{f(K)}(\lambda + \mu)_K = \sum_{I, R \in \mathcal{S}_{r, s}, I R \sim K} \varepsilon_{I, R, K} \frac{1}{f(I)f(R)} \lambda_I \mu_R.$$ 

where, if $I R \sim K$, $\varepsilon_{I, R, K}$ is defined by $\lambda_I \lambda_R = \varepsilon_{I, R, K} \lambda_K$ and therefore $\varepsilon_{I, R, K} = \varepsilon_{R, I, K}$. □

As in the completely even case the following result will turn out to be crucial in the representation theory of Lie conformal superalgebras (cf. [10]).

Proposition 2.8. Let $R$ be a Lie conformal superalgebra of type $(r, s)$. For $a \in R$, let

$$a_\lambda = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{S}_{r, s}} (-1)^{p_K} \frac{\lambda_K}{f(K)} y_K a \in \mathcal{A}(R)[[\lambda]].$$

Then

$$[a_\lambda, b_\mu] = [a_\lambda b]_{\lambda + \mu}.$$ 

Proof. We have

$$[a_\lambda, b_\mu] = \sum_{K, H} (-1)^{p_K} \frac{\lambda_K}{f(K)} [y_K a, b y_H] \frac{\mu_H}{f(H)}$$

$$= \sum_{K, H, J} (-1)^{p_K} \frac{\lambda_K}{f(K)f(J)} (y_K (\partial y)_J)(a_J b) y_H \frac{\mu_H}{f(H)}$$

$$= \sum_{R, H, J} (-1)^{p_R + p_J} \frac{\lambda_J \lambda_R}{f(J)f(R)} (y_R (\partial y)_J)(a_J b) y_H \frac{\mu_H}{f(H)}$$

$$= \sum_{R, H, J} (-1)^{p_R + p_J} \frac{\lambda_J \lambda_R}{f(J)f(R)} \eta_J y_R (a_J b) y_H \frac{\mu_H}{f(H)}$$

by Lemma 2.6. Now notice that $\lambda_J = (-1)^{p_J} \eta_J \lambda_J$ hence

$$[a_\lambda, b_\mu] = \sum_{R, H} (-1)^{p_R} \frac{\lambda_R}{f(R)f(H)} y_R y_H \mu_H \sum_J \frac{\lambda_J}{f(J)} (a_J b)$$

$$= \sum_{R, H} (-1)^{p_R + p_H} \frac{\lambda_R}{f(R)f(H)} \mu_H y_R y_R \sum_J \frac{\lambda_J}{f(J)} (a_J b)$$

$$= \sum_J (-1)^{p_S} (\lambda + \mu)_S y_S \sum_J \frac{\lambda_J}{f(J)} (a_J b)$$

$$= [a_\lambda b]_{\lambda + \mu},$$

by Lemma 2.7. □
3. Conformal modules and conformal duals

This section is dedicated to the study of modules over Lie conformal superalgebras.

**Definition 3.1.** A conformal module $M$ over a Lie conformal superalgebra $R$ of type $(r,s)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\wedge[\partial]\otimes M$-module with a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded linear map

$$R \otimes M \rightarrow \wedge[\partial] \otimes M, \quad a \otimes v \mapsto a\lambda v$$

such that

(M1) $(\partial_t a)\lambda v = [\partial_t, a\lambda]v = -\lambda_i a\lambda v$; 
(M2) $[a\lambda, b\mu]v = a\lambda(b\mu v) - (-1)^{p(a)p(b)}b\mu(a\lambda)v = (a\lambda b)\lambda + \mu v$.

**Definition 3.2.** A Lie conformal superalgebra $R$ of type $(r,s)$ is called $\mathbb{Z}$-graded if $\wedge[\lambda] \otimes R = \oplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}}(\wedge[\lambda] \otimes R)_d$, where $(\wedge[\lambda] \otimes R)_d$ denotes the homogeneous component of degree $d$, and for every homogeneous elements $a, b \in \wedge[\lambda] \otimes R$ one has:

i) $\deg(\lambda a) = \deg(a) - 2$;
ii) $\deg(\partial_t a) = \deg(a) - 2$;
iii) $\deg[a\lambda b] = \deg(a) + \deg(b)$.

Notice that if $R$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie conformal superalgebra of type $(r,s)$ then its annihilation algebra $\mathcal{A}(R)$ inherits a $\mathbb{Z}$-gradation by setting

$$\deg(a y_M) = \deg(a) + 2 \ell(M),$$

where, for $M = (m_1, \ldots, m_\ell)$, $\ell(M) = t$.

In what follows we assume the following technical conditions on a Lie conformal superalgebra $R$ of type $(r,s)$, which turn out to be satisfied in many interesting cases: we state them explicitly for future reference.

**Assumptions 3.3.**

1. $R$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded;
2. The induced $\mathbb{Z}$-gradation on $\mathcal{A}(R)$ has depth at most 3;
3. The homogeneous components $\mathcal{A}(R)_{-1}$ and $\mathcal{A}(R)_{-3}$ are purely odd;
4. The map $\text{ad} : \mathcal{A}(R)_{-2} \rightarrow \text{der}(\mathcal{A}(R))$ is injective and its image is $D = \langle \partial_{y_1}, \ldots, \partial_{y_{r+s}} \rangle$.

We consider the semi-direct sum of Lie superalgebras $D \ltimes \mathcal{A}(R)$, we observe that the subset $I = \{x - \text{ad}(x), x \in \mathcal{A}(R)_{-2}\}$ is an ideal and we set

$$\mathfrak{g}(R) = (D \ltimes \mathcal{A}(R))/I.$$

By Assumptions 3.3, $\mathfrak{g}(R) \cong \mathcal{A}(R)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(R)_{-2} \cong D$. Indeed we will identify $\mathfrak{g}(R)_{-2}$ with $D = \langle \partial_{y_1}, \ldots, \partial_{y_{r+s}} \rangle$.

We point out that the Lie superalgebra $D \ltimes \mathcal{A}(R)$ is the natural generalization of the so-called extended annihilation algebra introduced by Cheng and Kac in [10]. A key observation made in [10] is that conformal $R$-modules are exactly the same as continuous (called conformal in [3]) modules over the extended annihilation algebra. The following proposition extends this result in our context and is proved using Proposition 2.8.

**Proposition 3.4.** A conformal $R$-module is precisely a continuous module over $D \ltimes \mathcal{A}(R)$, i.e. a module $M$ such that for every $v \in M$ and every $a \in R$, $(y_K a)_v \neq 0$ only for a finite number of $K$. The equivalence between the two structures is provided by the following relations:

- $a\lambda v = \sum_K (-1)^{p_K} \frac{\lambda_K}{f(K)} (y_K a)_v$;
- $\partial_t v = -\partial_{y_t} v$. 

Definition 3.5. We say that a conformal $R$-module $M$ is coherent if the action of the ideal $I = \{ x - \text{ad}(x), x \in A(R)_{-2} \}$ is trivial.

Thanks to Proposition[3.4] a coherent $R$-module is precisely a continuous module over $g(R)$. One checks directly that a conformal $R$-module $M$ is coherent if and only if it satisfies the following property: for every $a \in R$ and all $K$ such that $\deg(y_K a) = -2$, i.e. $y_K a = \sum a_i \partial y_i \in g(R)_{-2}$, if

$$a_\lambda v = \sum_H (-1)^{p_H} \frac{\lambda_H}{f(H)} v_H,$$

then $v_K = -\sum a_i \partial y_i v$.

Definition 3.6. The conformal dual $M^*$ of a conformal $R$-module $M$ is defined as

$M^* = \{ f_\lambda : M \to \wedge[\lambda] \mid f_\lambda(\partial m) = (-1)^{p_\lambda + p(f)} \lambda_i f_\lambda(m), \text{ for all } m \in M \text{ and } i = 1, \ldots, r + s \}$,

with the structure of $\wedge[\partial]$-module given by $(\partial f)_\lambda(m) = -\lambda_i f_\lambda(m)$, and with the following $\lambda$-action of $R$:

$$(a_\lambda f)_\mu m = (-1)^{p(a) + p(f)} f_{\mu - \lambda}(a_\lambda m), \ a \in R, m \in M.$$  

Here by $p(f)$ we denote the parity of the map $f_\lambda$.

Proposition 3.7. If $M$ is a conformal $R$-module, then $M^*$ is a conformal $R$-module. If, in addition, $M$ is coherent, then $M^*$ is also coherent.

Proof. We need to check that properties (M1) and (M2) hold for $M^*$. We have:

$$(\partial_\lambda a_\lambda f)_\mu m = (-1)^{p_\lambda + p(a) + p(f)} f_{\mu - \lambda}(\partial_\lambda a_\lambda m) = (-1)^{p_\lambda + p(a)} (-1)^{p(f)} \lambda_i f_{\mu - \lambda}(a_\lambda m),$$

Besides,

$$([\partial_\lambda, a_\lambda f])_\mu m = (\partial_\lambda (a_\lambda f))_\mu m - (-1)^{p_\lambda + p(a)} (a_\lambda \partial_\lambda f)_\mu m$$

$$= -\mu_i (a_\lambda f)_\mu m + (-1)^{p_\lambda + p(a) + p(f) + p_\lambda} \partial_\lambda f_{\mu - \lambda}(a_\lambda m)$$

Now assume that $M$ is coherent. Let $y_K a = \sum a_i \partial y_i \in g(R)_{-2}$. Let $M_K$ be the subspace of $\Lambda(H) \otimes M$ spanned by all elements of the form $x_H v$ for all $H \neq K$, $\ell(H) \geq \ell(K)$ and all $v \in M$. We have

$$a_\lambda v = (-1)^{p_K} \frac{\lambda_K}{f(K)} \sum a_i \partial y_i v \mod M_K.$$
Therefore
\[(a_\lambda f)_\mu v = \left(-1\right)^{p(a)p(f)} f_{\mu - \lambda}(a_\lambda v)\]
\[= \left(-1\right)^{p(a)p(f)} f_{\mu - \lambda} \left(- \left(-1\right)^{p_K} \frac{\lambda f}{f(K)} \sum_i \alpha_i \partial_i v\right) \mod M_K\]
\[= \left(-1\right)^{p_K} \frac{\lambda f}{f(K)} \sum_i \alpha_i (\mu_i - \lambda_i) f_{\mu - \lambda} v \mod M_K\]
\[= \left(-1\right)^{p_K} \frac{\lambda f}{f(K)} \sum_i \alpha_i \mu_i \left(f_{\mu - \lambda} v\right)|_{\lambda = 0} \mod M_K\]
\[= \left(-1\right)^{p_K} \frac{\lambda f}{f(K)} \sum_i \alpha_i \mu_i (f_\mu v) \mod M_K\]

On the other hand
\[\left(\sum_i \alpha_i \partial_i f\right)_\mu v = -\sum_i \alpha_i \mu_i (f_\mu v)\]

and the proof is complete by the observation following Definition 3.5

**Proposition 3.8.** Let \(T : M \rightarrow N\) be a morphism of conformal \(R\)-modules i.e. a linear map such that:

1. \(T(\partial_1 m) = \left(-1\right)^{p(T)} p(f) T(\partial_1 T(m))\),
2. \(T(a_\lambda m) = \left(-1\right)^{p(a)p(T)} a_\lambda T(m)\),

then the map \(T^* : N^* \rightarrow M^*\) given by: \((T^*(f))_\lambda m = \left(-1\right)^{p(T)} p(f) f_\lambda T(m)\) is a morphism of conformal \(R\)-modules.

**Proof.** Let us first check that if \(f\) lies in \(M^*\) then \(T^*(f) \in N^*\). Indeed, for \(m \in M\) we have
\[(T^*(f))_\lambda (\partial_1 m) = \left(-1\right)^{p(T)} p(f) f_\lambda (T(\partial_1 m))\]
\[= \left(-1\right)^{p(T)} p(f) + \mu_i f_\lambda T(f_\mu_\lambda T(m)) = \left(-1\right)^{p(T)} (p(f) + \mu_i) f_\lambda T(m)\]
and
\[\lambda_i (T^*(f))_\lambda m = \left(-1\right)^{p(T)} p(f) \lambda_i f_\lambda T(m).\]

Let us verify property (1) for \(T^*\). We have
\[(T^*(\partial_1 f))_\lambda m = \left(-1\right)^{p(T)} (p(f) + \mu_i) \partial_1 f_\lambda T(m)\]
\[= \left(-1\right)^{p(T)} (p(f) + \mu_i) \lambda_i f_\lambda T(m)\]
and
\[\partial_i (T^*(f))_\lambda m = -\lambda_i (T^*(f))_\lambda m = \left(-1\right)^{p(T)} p(f) \lambda_i f_\lambda T(m).\]

Besides we have
\[(T^*(a_\lambda f))_\mu m = \left(-1\right)^{p(T)} \left(p(a) + p(f)\right) (a_\lambda f)_\mu T(m) = \left(-1\right)^{p(T)} (p(a) + p(f)) + p(a)p(f) f_{\mu - \lambda} (a_\lambda T(m))\]
and
\[(a_\lambda T^*(f))_\mu m = \left(-1\right)^{p(a)p(f) + p(T)} (T^*(f))_\mu - \lambda (a_\lambda m) = \left(-1\right)^{p(a)p(f) + p(T)} + p(f)p(T) f_{\mu - \lambda} (T(a_\lambda m)).\]

Property (2) for \(T^*\) follows from property (2) for \(T\).
Proposition 3.9. Let $M$ be a conformal $R$-module which is free and finitely-generated as a $\Lambda[\partial]$-module. If $\{m_i\}$ is a basis of $M$ such that $a_\lambda m_j = \sum_k P_{jk}(\lambda, \partial)m_k$, then $M^*$ is a free, finitely-generated $\Lambda[\partial]$-module, with basis $\{m_i^*\}$ given by: $\langle m_i^* \rangle_{\lambda} \delta_{ik}$ and

$$a_\lambda m_i^* = -\sum_j (-1)^{p(m_i)(p(m_j)+p(m_i))} P_{ji}(\lambda, -\partial - \lambda)m_j^*.$$ 

Proof. The fact that $M^*$ is a free $\Lambda[\partial]$-module with basis $\{m_i^*\}$ is an easy verification. By definition we have:

$$(a_\lambda m_i^*)_{\mu}(m_j) = -(-1)^{p(\alpha)p(m_i)}(m_i^*)_{\mu-\lambda}(a_\lambda m_j) = -(-1)^{p(\alpha)p(m_i)} \sum_k (m_i^*)_{\mu-\lambda} P_{jk}(\lambda, \partial)m_k$$

$$= -(-1)^{p(\alpha)p(m_i)}(m_i^*)_{\mu-\lambda}(P_{ji}(\lambda, \partial)m_i)$$

$$= -(-1)^{p(m_i)(p(m_j)+p(m_i))} P_{ji}(\lambda, \mu - \lambda).$$

The statement follows. \qed

Remark 3.10. We point out that, by Proposition 3.9, the map

$$\varphi : (M^*)^* \to M, \quad (m_i^*)^* \to (-1)^{p(m_i)}m_i$$

is an isomorphism of conformal $R$-modules, provided that $M$ is free and finitely generated as $\Lambda[\partial]$-module.

Remark 3.11. Let $M, N$ be free and finitely generated conformal $R$-modules and $T : M \to N$ be a conformal morphism. In [5, Proposition 2.4] it is shown that if $T$ is a conformal morphism, then $T^* : N^* \to M^*$ is surjective and that the injectivity of $T$ is not sufficient. The same argument applies also for $R$ of type $(r,s)$. On the other hand, it is easy to check that if $T$ is a surjective morphism of conformal modules then $T^*$ is always injective.

Recall that we always assume that Assumptions 3.3 on $R$ are satisfied, in particular, $g(R) = \bigoplus_{j \geq -3} g(R)_j$ is a Z-graded Lie superalgebra of depth at most 3 with $g(R)_{-1}$ and $g(R)_{-3}$ purely odd, and $g(R)_{-2}$ identified with $D = \langle \partial_{y_1}, \ldots, \partial_{y_r}, \lambda \rangle$.

Let $F$ be a finite-dimensional $g(R)_0$-module which we extend to $g(R)_{\geq 0} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} g(R)_j$ by letting $g(R)_j$, $j > 0$, act trivially. We let

$$M(F) = \Ind_{g(R)_{\geq 0}}^{g(R)} F$$

be the generalized Verma module, attached to $F$. Since, by our assumptions, $\Lambda[\partial y] = \mathcal{U}(g(R)_{-3})$, and $g(R)_{-1}$ and $g(R)_{-3}$ are purely odd, we see that $M(F)$ is a free $\Lambda[\partial y]$-module of rank $2^n$, where $n = \dim(g(R)_{-1} + g(R)_{-3})$.

Let $\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\}$ be a basis of $g(R)_{-1} \oplus g(R)_{-3}$, and $\{v_1, \ldots, v_\ell\}$ be a basis of $F$. For every $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}$ with $i_1 < \cdots < i_k$ we let $d_I = d_{i_1} \cdots d_{i_k} \in \mathcal{U}(g(R))$. We set $\Omega = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. We observe that $\{d_I v_h | I \subseteq \Omega, h \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}\}$ is a basis of $M(F)$ as a free $\Lambda[\partial y]$-module and we will denote by $\langle \{d_I v_h\}^* | I \subseteq \Omega, h \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}\}$ the corresponding dual basis of $M(F)^*$ as a free $\Lambda[\partial y]$-module.

Lemma 3.12. Let $x \in g(R)_{\geq 0}$. Then for all $I \subseteq \Omega$ and all $k = 1, \ldots, \ell$ we have

$$xd_I v_k \in \bigoplus_{J,h: |J| \leq |I|} \Lambda[\partial y]d_J v_h.$$
Proof. We proceed by induction on \(|I|\). If \(|I| = 0\) the result is clear since \(xv_k \in F\). If \(|I| > 0\) let \(I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_l\}\). Then

\[
xd_{i_1} \cdots d_{i_l} v_k = [x, d_{i_1}]d_{i_2} \cdots d_{i_l} v_k + (-1)^{p(x)}d_{i_1}xd_{i_2} \cdots d_{i_l} v_k.
\]

Consider the first summand. If \(\deg[x, d_{i_1}] < 0\) is even then \([x, d_{i_1}]\) is a linear combination of the \(d_i\)'s and the result follows. If \(\deg[x, d_{i_1}] < 0\) is even then \([x, d_{i_1}] \in \Lambda[\partial y]\) and the result also follows. If \(\deg[x, d_{i_1}] \geq 0\) we can apply our induction hypothesis.

For the second summand we can also apply the induction hypothesis so that

\[
xd_{i_2} \cdots d_{i_l} v_k = \sum_{J', k: |J'| \leq t-1} P_{J', h}(\partial y) d_{J'} v_h
\]

and the result follows observing that \(d_i \partial_j y = \pm \partial_j d_i + \sum a_h d_h\).

Definition 3.13. If \(g\) is a Lie superalgebra, \(\varphi : g \to gl(V)\) is a representation of \(g\) and \(x \mapsto \chi_x \in F\) is a character of \(g\), we let \(\varphi^\chi : g \to gl(V)\) be given by

\[
\varphi^\chi(x)(v) = \varphi(x)(v) + \chi_x v.
\]

It is clear that \(\varphi^\chi\) is still a representation and we call it the \(\chi\)-shift of \(\varphi\). In particular, if \(V\) is any \(g\)-module we call the \(\chi\)-shifted dual of \(V\) the \(\chi\)-shift of the dual representation \(V^*\). More explicitly, if \(\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}\) is a basis of \(V\) and \(\{v_1^*, \ldots, v_n^*\}\) is the corresponding dual basis of \(V^*\) the \(\chi\)-shifted action on \(V^*\) is given by

\[
x.v_h^* = \chi_x v_h^* - (-1)^{p(x)p(v_h)} \sum_k v_h^*(x.v_k)v_k^*,
\]

for all \(x \in g\) and \(h = 1, \ldots, n\).

Now let \(U = U(g(R)_{<0})\) and observe that \(U\) is a graded \(g(R)_{0}\)-module by adjoint action. Let \(d = \deg d_\Omega\) and consider the homogeneous component of degree \(d\) of \(U\)

\[
U_d = \bigoplus_{I \subseteq \Omega} \Lambda(\partial y)_{d - \deg d_I} d_I
\]

and note that

\[
U_d^\circ = \bigoplus_{I \neq \Omega} \Lambda(\partial y)_{d - \deg d_I} d_I
\]

is a \(g(R)_{0}\)-submodule of codimension 1 by Lemma 3.12. So we have that \(U_d/U_d^\circ\) is a 1-dimensional representation of \(g(R)_{0}\) and we denote by \(x \mapsto \rho_x \in F\) the corresponding character. In other words the character \(\rho\) is uniquely determined by the condition

\[
[x, d_\Omega] = \rho_x d_\Omega \mod U_d^\circ.
\]

Lemma 3.14. Let \(x \in g(R)_{0}\). Then

\[
\rho_x = -\text{str}(\text{ad}(x)|_{g(R)_{-1} \oplus g(R)_{-3}}),
\]

where \(\text{str}\) denotes the supertrace of an endomorphism of a vector superspace.

Proof. Recall that \(d_\Omega = d_1 \cdots d_n\) where \(\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\}\) is a basis of \(g(R)_{-1} \oplus g(R)_{-3}\). If \(n = 0\) the result is trivial since \(d_\Omega = 1\). The result is also trivial if \(p(x) = 1\) since \(\rho_x = 0\) for parity reasons and \(\text{ad}(x) = 0\) because \(g(R)_{-1} \oplus g(R)_{-3}\) is purely odd.
So we can assume that \( p(x) = 0 \) and \( n \geq 1 \). Next observe that if \( i_1, \ldots, i_l \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) are such that \( i_h = i_k \) for some \( h \neq k \) then \( d_{i_1} \cdots d_{i_l} \in U_d^0 \). So, if \([x, d_i] = \sum a_{ij}d_j\) we have

\[
[x, d_i] = [x, d_1]d_2 \cdots d_n + d_1[x, d_2]d_3 \cdots d_n + \cdots + d_1 \cdots d_{n-1}[x, d_n]
\]

\[
\equiv a_{11}d_1 \cdots d_n + d_1(a_{22}d_2) \cdots d_n + \cdots + d_1 \cdots d_{n-1}[a_{nn}d_n] \mod U_d^0
\]

Therefore \( \rho_x = a_{11} + \cdots + a_{nn} \), hence if we make use of (22) to compute the action of \( y_i \).

\[
\chi = \sum_{\iota} \pi \in U = \bigoplus_\lambda |[\partial_y]dI \rightarrow \Lambda |[\partial_y]dI
\]

be the natural projection. Analogously we have \( M(F) = \oplus \Lambda |[\partial]dI F \) and we also denote by \( \pi : M(F) \rightarrow \Lambda |[\partial]dI F \) the corresponding projection. The following result is crucial in this paper.

**Theorem 3.15.** The subspace \( F_\Omega = \text{span}_F \{(d_1v_k)^* \mid k = 1, \ldots, \ell\} \) of \( M(F)^* \) is a \( \mathfrak{g}(R)_0 \)-module isomorphic to the \( \chi \)-shifted dual of \( F \), where the shift character is given by

\[
\chi_x = \text{str}(\text{ad}(x)|_{\mathfrak{g}(R)_{<0}}), \ x \in \mathfrak{g}(R)_0.
\]

**Proof.** Recall that for all \( a \in R \) we have

\[
a_{\lambda}(d_I v_k) = \sum_{M \in S_{r,s}} (-1)^{PM} \frac{\lambda_M}{f(M)} (y_M a)(d_I v_k) = \sum_{J,h} P_{I,k,l,h} (\lambda, \partial) d_J v_h
\]

for some polynomials \( P_{I,k,l,h} (\lambda, \partial) \). Let \( y_s a \in \mathfrak{g}(R)_0 \) and observe that \( \deg a \leq 0 \) is even. We make use of (22) to compute the action of \( y_s a \) on \( F_\Omega \). We point out that \( P_{I,k,l,h} (\lambda, \partial) \neq 0 \) only if \( I = \Omega \). Indeed, if \( y_M a \in \mathfrak{g}(R)_{<0} \) then \( y_M a \in \mathfrak{g}(R)_{-2} \) and so we have \( y_M a = \sum y_i^*(y_M a) y_i \) hence

\[
(y_M a)(d_I v_k) = \sum y_i^*(y_M a) y_i d_I v_k = - \sum y_i^*(y_M a) y_i d_I v_k;
\]

if \( y_M a \in \mathfrak{g}(R)_{\geq 0} \) this follows by applying Lemma 3.12.

In order to compute the polynomials \( P_{I,k,l,h} (\lambda, \partial) \) we notice that by (22) and Lemma 3.12 we have

\[
\sum_{h} P_{I,k,l,h} (\lambda, \partial) d_I v_h = \sum_{M \in S_{r,s}} (-1)^{PM} \frac{\lambda_M}{f(M)} \pi((y_M a)d_I v_k)
\]

\[
= \sum_{M : \deg(y_M a) = 0, -2} (-1)^{PM} \frac{\lambda_M}{f(M)} \pi((y_M a)d_I v_k).
\]

It follows that

\[
\sum_{h} P_{I,k,l,h} (\lambda, \partial) d_I v_h = \sum_{M : \deg(y_M a) = -2} (-1)^{PM} \frac{\lambda_M}{f(M)} \sum y_i^*(y_M a) y_i d_I v_k + \sum_{N : \deg(y_N a) = 0} (-1)^{PN} \frac{\lambda_N}{f(N)} (y_{N a} v_k + (-1)^{p(d_0)} p(y_N a) d_I (y_N a) v_k).
\]

Substituting \( (y_N a) v_k = \sum_h v_h((y_N a) v_k) v_h \) in the previous formula we deduce that
\[ P_{\Omega,k;\Omega,h}(\lambda, \partial) = \delta_{k,h} \left( - \sum_{M: \deg y_M a = -2} (-1)^{PM} \frac{\lambda_S}{f(M)} \partial_{y_i}^*(y_M a) \partial_i + \sum_{N: \deg y_N a = 0} (-1)^{PN} \frac{\lambda_N}{f(N)} \rho_{y_N a} \right) 
\]
\[ + (-1)^{P(d)} p(y_N a) \sum_{N: \deg y_N a = 0} (-1)^{PN} \frac{\lambda_N}{f(N)} v_i^*(y_N a v_k). \]

By Proposition 3.9, it follows that

\[ (23) \]
\[ a_{\lambda}(d_\Omega v_h)^* = \]
\[ \sum_{M: \deg y_M a = -2} (-1)^{PM} \frac{\lambda_S}{f(M)} \partial_{y_i}^*(y_M a) \partial_i - \sum_{N: \deg y_N a = 0} (-1)^{PN} \frac{\lambda_N}{f(N)} \rho_{y_N a} (d_\Omega v_h)^* 
\]
\[ - \sum_k \sum_{N: \deg y_N a = 0} (-1)^{PN} \frac{\lambda_N}{f(N)} (-1)^{P(d_\Omega v_h)} (d_\Omega v_h)^* \rho_{y_N a} (d_\Omega v_h)^* (-1)^{PN} (d_\Omega v_h)^* \rho_{y_N a} (d_\Omega v_h)^*. \]

Observe that in each summand of the last sum we have a factor \( v_i^*(y_N a v_k) \) so that we can assume \( p(v_k) + p(v_k) = p(y_N a) \) in order to simplify the sign

\[ (-1)^{P(d_\Omega v_h)} (d_\Omega v_h)^* (-1)^{P(d_\Omega v_h)} (d_\Omega v_h)^* (-1)^{P(d_\Omega v_h)} (d_\Omega v_h)^* \]

Now recall that the action of \( y_S a \in \mathfrak{g}(R)_0 \) on \((d_\Omega v_h)^*\) can be obtained from (23) thanks to Proposition 3.4. This immediately implies that \( F_\Omega \) is a \( \mathfrak{g}(R)_0 \)-submodule of \( M(F)^* \). More precisely, for \( y_S a \in \mathfrak{g}(R)_0 \) we have:

\[ (24) \]
\[ y_S a.(d_\Omega v_h)^* = \left( - \sum_{i, M: iM \sim S} (-1)^{P_i} \varepsilon_{i, M; S} m_i(S) \partial_{y_i}^* (y_M a) - \rho_{y_S a} \right) (d_\Omega v_h)^* 
\]
\[ - (-1)^{P(v_k) p(y_S a)} \sum_k v_i^*(y_S a v_k) (d_\Omega v_h)^*. \]

Recalling that \( iM \sim S \) implies \( y_i y_M = \varepsilon_{i, M; S} y_S \) we have \( \partial_{y_i} (y_S) = \varepsilon_{i, M; S} y_M \) and so we have

\[ y_S a.(d_\Omega v_h)^* = \left( - \sum_i (-1)^{P_i} \partial_{y_i}^* (\partial_{y_i} y_S a) - \rho_{y_S a} \right) (d_\Omega v_h)^* - (-1)^{P(v_k) p(y_S a)} \sum_k v_i^*(y_S a v_k) (d_\Omega v_h)^* 
\]
\[ = \left( \sum_i (-1)^{P_i} \partial_{y_i}^* (y_S a, \partial_{y_i}) - \rho_{y_S a} \right) (d_\Omega v_h)^* - (-1)^{P(v_k) p(y_S a)} \sum_k v_i^*(y_S a v_k) (d_\Omega v_h)^* 
\]
\[ = \text{str} \left( \text{ad}(y_S a) \right) (d_\Omega v_h)^* - (-1)^{P(v_k) p(y_S a)} \sum_k v_i^*(y_S a v_k) (d_\Omega v_h)^*, \]

by Lemma 3.4. The result follows by (19). \( \square \)

**Proposition 3.16.** The \( \mathfrak{g}(R)_0 \)-module \( F_\Omega \) is annihilated by \( \mathfrak{g}(R)_{>0} \). 

*Proof.** By the same argument used in the proof of Theorem 3.13 if the degree of \( a \) is positive then \( a_{\lambda}(d_\Omega v_h)^* = 0 \); moreover, if \( a \) has even non-positive degree then \( y_M a.(d_\Omega v_h)^* = 0 \) by (23).
Now let us assume deg\(a) = -2g + 1\) for some positive integer \(g\). If \(\ell(M) = g - 1, g - 2\) then we can write \(y_M a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{M,i}(a) d_i\) for some \(\alpha_{M,i}(a) \in F\). From (22) we obtain

\[
P_{l,k;\Omega,h}(\lambda, \partial) = \begin{cases} 
\sum_{\ell(M) = g - 1, g - 2} (-1)^{i-1} \alpha_{M,i}(a) \frac{\lambda}{M!} \delta_{kh} & \text{if } I = \Omega \setminus \{i\}, \text{for some } 1 \leq i \leq n, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

It follows that

\[
a_\lambda(d_{\Omega} v_h)^* = - \sum_{I,k} (-1)^{p(d_{\Omega} v_h) + p(d_I v_h)} P_{l,k;\Omega,h}(\lambda, -\partial - \lambda) (d_I v_h)^* \\
= - \sum_{\ell(M) = g - 1, g - 2} \sum_i (-1)^{p(d_{\Omega} v_h) + p(d_{\Omega} v_h)} (-1)^{i-1} \alpha_{M,i}(a) \frac{\lambda}{M!} (d_{\Omega} v_h)^*.
\]

It follows that \(y_M a. (d_{\Omega} v_h)^* = 0\) for \(\ell(M) \geq g\). \(\square\)

For the 1-dimensional \(\mathfrak{g}(R)_0\)-module \(\chi\) given by (21), we denote the \(\chi\)-shifted dual of \(F\) by \(F^\chi\). The following is our main result.

**Theorem 3.17.** Let \(R\) be a Lie conformal superalgebra of type \((r,s)\) satisfying Assumptions (i,ii). Let \(F\) be a finite-dimensional \(\mathfrak{g}(R)_0\)-module. Then \(M(F)^*\) is isomorphic to \(M(F^\chi)\) as a \(\mathfrak{g}(R)\)-module.

**Proof.** We use the same notation as above and consider the basis \(\{v_1^*, \ldots, v_k^*\}\) of \(F^\chi\) dual to the basis \(\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}\). By Theorem 3.15 and Proposition 3.16 we can define a morphism \(\varphi : M(F^\chi) \to M(F)^*\) of \(\mathfrak{g}(R)\)-modules by extending the \(\mathfrak{g}(R)_{>0}\)-modules isomorphism \(\varphi : F^\chi \to F^\chi\) given by \(\varphi(v_k^*) = (d_I v_h)^*\) for all \(k = 1, \ldots, \ell\), in the following natural way:

\[
\varphi(u \otimes v) = (-1)^{p(u) p(d_I)} u \varphi(v)
\]

for all \(u \in U(\mathfrak{g}(R))\) and \(v \in F^\chi\).

Since \(M(F^\chi)\) and \(M(F)^*\) are free \(\mathfrak{g}[\partial]\)-modules of the same rank, we will prove that \(\varphi\) is in fact an isomorphism by showing that it is surjective. To this aim it is sufficient to show that the \(\mathfrak{g}(R)\)-submodule \(S\) of \(M(F)^*\) generated by \(F^\chi\) is the whole \(M(F)^*\). Let \(a \in R\) be an element of negative odd degree, say deg \(a = -2g + 1\). Recall that by Lemma 3.12 if deg \(y_M a > 0\) we have

\[
y_M a d_I v_h \in \bigoplus_{J, |J| < |I|} \mathfrak{g}[\partial_y] d_J v_h,
\]

and if \(\operatorname{deg}(y_M a) < 0\) then \(y_M a = \sum_i \alpha_{i,M}(a) d_i\). Therefore

\[
a_\lambda d_I v_h = \sum_{\ell(M) = g - 1, g - 2} (-1)^{p_M} \frac{\lambda}{M!} \sum_i \alpha_{i,M}(a) d_i d_I v_h + \sum_h \sum_{J, |J| < |I|} P_{l,k;J,h}(\lambda, \partial) d_J v_h.
\]

In particular, if \(J = \{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}\) with \(j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_r\) and \(|J| \geq |I|\), then

\[
P_{l,k;J,h}(\lambda, \partial) = \begin{cases} 
\delta_{hk} & \text{if } I = J \setminus \{j_i\} \text{ for some } i \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]
It follows that

\[
\alpha_i(a_{Jv_k})^\ast = \sum_i \alpha_i a_{Jv_k} = \sum_{\ell(M)=g-1, g-2} (-1)^{\ell(M)} \frac{\lambda M}{M!} (-1)^{i-1} a_i M (a_{Jv_k})^\ast 
\]

\[
(25) \quad - \sum_k \sum_{I: |I|>|J|} (-1)^{p(d_J)(p(d_J)+p(d_i))} P_{1,k,I} (\lambda, -\partial) (a_{Jv_k})^\ast. 
\]

We show by reverse induction on $|I|$ that $S$ contains all elements $(a_{Jv_k})^\ast$. The first step of the induction consists of the elements in $F_\Omega$ which belong to $S$ by definition.

So let $I \subseteq \Omega$ and let $J$ be such that $I \subseteq J$ and $|J| = |I| + 1$. If $J = \{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}$ there exists $i$ such that $I = J \setminus \{j_i\}$. By induction hypothesis all elements $(a_{Jv_k})^\ast \in S$. Now we observe that there exist $a \in R$ and $N$ such that $d_{j_i} = y_N a$. Indeed, by definition of $g(R)$, $d_{j_i}$ can be expressed as follows:

\[
d_{j_i} = \sum_r y_{M_r} a_r. 
\]

Let $N$ be such that $y_N$ is a non-zero common multiple of all $y_{M_r}$. Then we can write

\[
d_{j_i} = \sum_r y_{M_r} a_r = \sum_r \beta_r y_N (\partial g)_{l_r} a_r = \sum_r \beta_r (-1)^{\ell(l_r)} \partial_{l_r} a_r = y_N a, 
\]

where $\beta_r$ are suitable constants and $l_r$ are suitable sequences of indices. By Equation (25), observing that $\alpha_{j_i, N}(a) = 1$, we have

\[
y_N a (d_{Jv_k})^\ast = (-1)^{p(d_J)(p(d_J)+p(d_i))}(-1)^{i-1} (a_{Jv_k})^\ast + Z, 
\]

where

\[
Z \in \sum_k \sum_{L: |L|>|J|} \partial_\partial (d_{L} v_k)^\ast. 
\]

This completes the proof. \(\square\)

4. The Lie Conformal Superalgebra of Type $W$.

We denote by $W(r, s)$ the Lie superalgebra of derivations of $\Lambda[[\mathbf{x}]]$, where, as usual, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_{r+s})$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ are even and $x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{r+s}$ are odd variables. In this section we realize $W(r, s)$ as the annihilation superalgebra associated to a Lie conformal superalgebra of type $(r, s)$ which satisfies Assumptions 3.3.

Definition 4.1. We denote by $RW(r, s)$ the free $\Lambda[\partial]-$module with even generators $a_1, \ldots, a_r$ and odd generators $a_{r+1}, \ldots, a_{r+s}$, all of degree $-2$, and $\lambda$-bracket given by

\[
[a_i, a_j] = (\partial_i + \lambda_i) a_j + a_i \lambda_j 
\]

and extended on the whole $RW(r, s)$ by properties (6) and (7) of Definition 2.1.

Proposition 4.2. $RW(r, s)$ is a $Z$-graded Lie conformal superalgebra of type $(r, s)$ satisfying Assumptions 3.3.

Proof. It is sufficient to verify that conformal skew-symmetry and Jacobi identity hold for the generators $a_i$. We first verify the conformal skew-symmetry. We have

\[
[a_j \lambda a_i] = (\partial_j + \lambda_j) a_i + a_j \lambda_i 
\]

\[
= (-1)^{p(n)} (a_i (\partial_j + \lambda_j) + \lambda_i a_j) 
\]

\[
= (-1)^{p(n)} (-a_i (\partial_j - \lambda_j - \partial_i) a_j - a_i (-\partial_j - \lambda_j)) 
\]

\[
= (-1)^{p(n)} [a_i - \lambda - \partial a_j]. 
\]
The Jacobi identity can be verified similarly. Namely we have:

\[ [a_i \lambda [a_j \mu a_k]] = [a_i \lambda (\partial + \mu) a_k + a_j \mu k] \]

\[ = (-1)^{p_{i+p_j} \mu_j} [a_i \lambda a_k] + [a_i \lambda \partial_j a_k] + [a_i \lambda a_j] \mu_k \]

\[ = (-1)^{p_{i+p_j} \mu_j} [a_i \lambda a_k] (\partial_j + \lambda) a_k + [a_i \lambda a_j] (\partial + \lambda_j)] a_k \]

\[ + ((\partial + \lambda_j) a_j + a_i \lambda_j) \mu_k \]

\[ = (\partial + \lambda_j) a_j + a_i \lambda_j \lambda_k + (\partial_i + \lambda_i)(\partial_j + \lambda_j) a_k + a_i (\partial + \lambda_j) a_j \lambda_k + (\partial_i + \lambda_i) a_j \mu_k + a_i a_j \lambda_k; \]

\[ [a_i a_j a_k]] = [(\partial_i + \lambda_i) a_j + a_i \lambda_j a_k]] \]

\[ = -\mu_i (a_j \mu a_k) + (-1)^{p_{i+p_j} \mu_j} [a_i \lambda a_k] \]

\[ = (-1)^{p_{i+p_j} \mu_j} [a_i \lambda a_k] (\partial + \lambda) a_k] + a_i (\partial + \lambda_j) a_j \lambda_k + a_i a_j \lambda_k. \]

The fact that \( RW(r, s) \) satisfies Assumptions 3.3 is straightforward.

\[ \square \]

Proposition 4.3. The map

\[ \varphi : g(RW(r, s)) \to W(r, s) \]

given by \( y_M a_i \mapsto -x_M \partial_x_i \) is a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded Lie superalgebra isomorphism, where the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-gradation on \( W(r, s) \) is given by \( \deg x_i = -\deg \partial x_i = 2 \).

Proof. In the Lie superalgebra \( W(r, s) \) one has:

\[ [x_M \partial_{x_i}, x_N \partial_{x_j}] = x_M (\partial_{x_i} x_N \partial_{x_j}) - (-1)^{(p_r + p_M)(p_j + p_N)} x_N (\partial_{x_j} x_M \partial_{x_i}). \]

On the other hand, by Definition 2.4, we have:

\[ [y_M a_i, y_N a_j]] = (-1)^{p_{r+s}} \sum K \frac{1}{f(K)} (y_M (\partial_y K) \lambda_K) (a_i K a_j) y_N \]

\[ = (-1)^{p_{r+s}} (y_M (\partial_y a_j) y_N + (y_M \partial_y a_j) y_N + (y_M \partial_y) ((-1)^{p_{r+s}} a_i) y_N \]

\[ = (-1)^{p_{r+s}} (-(-1)^{p_{r+s}} + p_{p_{r+s}} (\partial_y y_M) y_N a_j) + (-1)^{p_{p_{r+s}} + p_{p_{r+s}}} (\partial_y y_M) y_N a_j \]

\[ = -y_M (\partial_y y_N) a_j + (-1)^{(p_{r+s}+p_{p_{r+s}}+p_{r+s}}) y_N (\partial_y y_M) a_j. \]

\[ \square \]

Remark 4.4. Let \( R = RW(r, s) \). We observe that \( g(R) \cong g(r, s) \) hence its central elements are \( c_0 = \sum_{i=1}^r y_i a_i \) and \( c_1 = \sum_{i=r+1}^{r+s} y_i a_i \). We have \( \chi_{c_0} = -r \) and \( \chi_{c_1} = s \).

We point out that this is consistent with the classification of degenerate Verma modules of \( W(1, s) \) given in [5] that we recall below. In this case let us denote by \( F(\alpha_0, \alpha_1; \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{s-1}) \) the irreducible \( gl(1, s) \)-module with highest weight \( (\alpha_0, \alpha_1; \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{s-1}) \) with respect to the elements \( x_1 \partial_{x_1}, \sum_{i=1}^{s+1} x_i \partial_{x_i}, x_2 \partial_{x_2} - x_3 \partial_{x_3}, \ldots, x_{s+1} \partial_{x_{s+1}}. \)

We observe that for \( k > 0 \), the dual of the \( gl(1, s) \)-module \( F(0, -k; 0, \ldots, 0, k) \) is \( F(1, k-1; k-1, 0, \ldots, 0) \). Indeed the module \( F(1, k-1; k-1, 0, \ldots, 0) \) consists of \( k \)-forms in the indeterminates \( x_1, \ldots, x_{s+1} \) with constant coefficients, its highest weight vector is \( dx_1(dx_2)^{k-1} \).
and its lowest weight vector is \( dx^k_{s+1} \). It follows that the shifted dual of \( F(0, -k; 0, \ldots, 0, k) \) is \( F(0, s + k - 1; k - 1, 0, \ldots, 0) \). Moreover, the module \( F(0, 0; 0, \ldots, 0) \) is self-dual and so its shifted dual is \( F(-1, s; 0, \ldots, 0) \).

In this notation we have the following two sequences of morphisms of generalized Verma modules:

\[
\begin{align*}
M(0, 0; 0, \ldots, 0) & \leftarrow M(0, -1; 0, \ldots, 0, 1) \leftarrow M(0, -2; 0, \ldots, 0, 2) \leftarrow \cdots \\
M(-1; 0, \ldots, 0) & \rightarrow M(0, s; 0, \ldots, 0) \rightarrow M(0, s + 1; 0, \ldots, 0) \rightarrow \cdots,
\end{align*}
\]

which contain all non-trivial morphisms. Note that these two sequences are dual to each other.

5. The finite simple Lie conformal superalgebras of type \((1, 0)\)

It is known that all infinite-dimensional simple linearly compact Lie superalgebras of growth 1 (rather their universal central extensions) are annihilation Lie superalgebras of simple Lie conformal superalgebras of type \((1, 0)\), which have finite rank over \( F[\partial] \) [13]. These Lie superalgebras are

\[(26)\]

\[W(1, n), S'(1, n), K(1, n), K'(1, 4) \text{ and } E(1, 6),\]

except that here by \( K'(1, 4) \) we mean the universal central extension of \([K(1, 4), K(1, 4)]\). The corresponding simple Lie conformal superalgebras are respectively

\[(27)\]

\[W_n, S_n, K_n, K'_n \text{ and } CK_6\]

(in fact there are many Lie conformal superalgebras with the annihilation Lie superalgebra \( S(1, n) \) [13]).

All Lie superalgebras \((26)\) are \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded by their principal gradation described in [11], and these gradations are induced from \( \mathbb{Z} \)-gradations of the corresponding Lie conformal superalgebras. For example the principal \( \mathbb{Z} \)-gradation of \( W(1, n) \) and \( S(1, n) \) is defined as in Proposition 4.3 and it is induced from the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-gradation of \( RW(r, s) \) from Proposition 4.2.

The linearly compact Lie superalgebra \( K(1, n) \) is identified with the linearly compact superspace

\[
\wedge(\xi)[[y]], \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n), \quad p(\xi_i) = 1, \quad p(y) = 0.
\]

The bracket is given by the formula

\[(28)\]

\[\{\phi, \psi\} = \left( 2\phi - \sum_{i=1}^{n} i\xi_i \partial_{\xi_i} \phi \right)(\partial_y \psi) - (\partial_y \phi) \left( 2\psi - \sum_{i=1}^{n} i\xi_i \partial_{\xi_i} \psi \right) + (-1)^{(p(\phi)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\partial_y \phi)(\partial_{\xi_i} \psi),\]

and the principal \( \mathbb{Z} \)-gradation is

\[(29)\]

\[\text{deg}(\xi_1 \ldots \xi_i l^{m}) = s + 2m - 2,\]

hence it is of the form \( K(1, n) = \prod_{k \geq -2} g_k \), where \( g_{-2} = F1, g_{-1} = \text{span}\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\}, g_0 = \text{span}\{\xi_i \xi_j\} \oplus Fy \). It follows from \((28)\) that \( g_0 \) is isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{so}_n \oplus Fy \), where \( y \) is its central element and \( \text{ad} y \) defines the \( \mathbb{Z} \)-gradation; the adjoint representation of \( \mathfrak{so}_n \) is trivial on \( g_{-2} \) and standard on \( g_{-1} \). Hence, by Theorem 3.15 the shift character \( \chi \) on \( g_0 \) is as follows:

\[(30)\]

\[\chi|_{\mathfrak{so}_n} = 0, \quad \chi_y = n - 2.\]

The Lie superalgebras \( K'(1, 4) \) and \( E(1, 6) \) with their principal gradation are \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded subalgebras of \( K(1, 4) \) and \( K(1, 6) \) respectively, with the same non-positive part. Hence the shift character in these cases is given by \((30)\) with \( n = 4 \) and 6, respectively. Note also that on the central element \( c \) of the universal central extension of \( K'(1, 4) \) the shift character vanishes.
This description of the shift character shows that the complexes of degenerate Verma modules described in [3, 1] and [4] for \( K(1, n), K'(1, 4), \) and \( E(1, 6), \) respectively, are mapped to each other under duality described by Theorem [5.17].

Of course, in order to be able to apply Theorem [3.17], we need to check that the Lie superalgebras in question are annihilation superalgebras of Lie conformal superalgebras, and that Assumptions [3.3] hold. But this holds for \( K(1, n) \) and \( K'(1, 4) \) by [13] and for \( E(1, 6) \) by [9].

For example, the Lie conformal superalgebra with annihilation Lie superalgebra \( K(1, n), \) as an \( \mathbb{F}[\partial]-\)module, is \( K_n = \mathbb{F}[\partial] \otimes \Lambda(\xi), \) and the \( \lambda \)-bracket between \( f = \xi_{i_1} \cdots \xi_{i_k} \) and \( g = \xi_{j_1} \cdots \xi_{j_l} \) is given in [13] by the following formula:

\[
[f, g] = (k - 2)\partial(fg) + (-1)^k \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial_{\xi_i} f)(\partial_{\xi_i} g) + \lambda(k + h - 4)fg.
\]

The principal gradation on \( K(1, n) \) is induced by the following \( \mathbb{Z} \)-gradation on \( K_n:\)

\[
\text{deg}(\xi_{i_1} \cdots \xi_{i_k}) = s - 2, \quad \text{deg}(\partial) = \text{deg}(\lambda) = -2.
\]

6. The Lie Conformal Superalgebra \( RE(5, 10) \)

In this section we introduce, following [11], the exceptional linearly compact infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebra \( E(5, 10) \) and realize it as the annihilation superalgebra of a Lie conformal superalgebra of type \((5, 0)\).

The even part of \( E(5, 10) \) consists of zero-divergence vector fields in five (even) indeterminates \( x_1, \ldots, x_5, \) i.e.,

\[
E(5, 10)_0 = \mathcal{S}_5 = \{X = \sum_{i=1}^5 f_i \partial_{x_i} \mid f_i \in \mathbb{F}[[x_1, \ldots, x_5]], \text{div}(X) := \sum_i \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i} = 0\},
\]

and \( E(5, 10)_1 = \Omega_2^2 \) consists of closed two-forms in the five indeterminates \( x_1, \ldots, x_5. \) The bracket between a vector field and a form is given by the Lie derivative and for \( f, g \in \mathbb{F}[[x_1, \ldots, x_5]] \) we have

\[
[f dx_i \wedge dx_j, g dx_k \wedge dx_l] = \varepsilon_{ijkl} f g \partial_{x_{t_ijkl}}
\]

where, for \( i, j, k, l \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}, \varepsilon_{ijkl} \) and \( t_{ijkl} \) are defined as follows: if \( |\{i, j, k, l\}| = 4 \) we let \( t_{ijkl} \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \) be such that \( |\{i, j, k, l, t_{ijkl}\}| = 5 \) and \( \varepsilon_{ijkl} \) be the sign of the permutation \( (i, j, k, l, t_{ijkl}). \) If \( |\{i, j, k, l\}| < 4 \) we let \( \varepsilon_{ijkl} = 0. \) From now on we shall denote \( dx_i \wedge dx_j \) simply by \( d_{ij}. \)

The Lie superalgebra \( E(5, 10) \) has a consistent \( \mathbb{Z} \)-gradation of depth 2, called principal, where, for \( k \geq 0, \)

\[
E(5, 10)_{2k-2} = \langle f \partial_{x_i} \mid i = 1, \ldots, 5, f \in \mathbb{F}[[x_1, \ldots, x_5]]k \rangle \cap \mathcal{S}_5
\]

\[
E(5, 10)_{2k-1} = \langle f d_{ij} \mid i, j = 1, \ldots, 5, f \in \mathbb{F}[[x_1, \ldots, x_5]]k \rangle \cap \Omega_2^2
\]

where \( \mathbb{F}[[x_1, \ldots, x_5]]k \) denote the homogeneous component of \( \mathbb{F}[[x_1, \ldots, x_5]] \) of degree \( k. \)

Note that \( E(5, 10)_{0} \cong \mathfrak{sl}_5, \) and its modules \( E(5, 10)_{-1} \) and \( E(5, 10)_{-2} \) are isomorphic to the exterior square and to the dual, respectively, of the standard \( \mathfrak{sl}_5 \)-module.

**Definition 6.1.** We denote by \( \widehat{RE}(5, 10) \) the free \( \mathbb{F}[\partial]-\)module (where \( \partial = (\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_5) \)) generated by even elements \( \partial_{x_i} \) and odd elements \( d_{jk} \) with \( i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}, j < k. \) We set \( d_{jj} = 0 \) and \( d_{jk} = -d_{kj} \) if \( j > k. \) We consider on \( \widehat{RE}(5, 10) \) the following \( \lambda \)-bracket:

- \([\partial_{x_i}, \lambda] \partial_{x_j}] = -(\partial_i + \lambda_i) \partial_{x_j} - \lambda_j \partial_{x_i};
- \([\partial_{x_i}, \lambda] d_{jk}] = -(\partial_i + \lambda_i) d_{jk} + \delta_{ij} \sum h \lambda_h d_{hk} - \delta_{ki} \sum \lambda_r d_{rj};
- \([d_{jk}, \lambda] \partial_{x_i}] = -\lambda_i d_{jk} + \delta_{ij} \sum h (\lambda_h + \partial_h) d_{hk} - \delta_{ki} \sum \lambda_r (\lambda_r + \partial_r) d_{rj}
\[ [d_{ij}, d_{hk}] = [d_{ij}, d_{hk}] \]

extended on the whole \( \hat{RE}(5, 10) \) by properties (1) and (7) of Definition 2.1.

**Remark 6.2.** One can verify that the \( \lambda \)-bracket defined on \( \hat{RE}(5, 10) \) satisfies the conformal skew-symmetry in Definition 2.1 but it does not satisfy the conformal Jacobi identity.

Note that the \( \lambda \)-bracket \([\partial_{x_i}, \lambda \partial_{x_j}]\) differs in sign from that given in Definition 4.1 but is more natural in this context. In order to explain how Definition 6.1 arises we make a short detour on formal distribution algebras. We adopt the same notation and terminology as in [15]. All variables in this context are even. For this reason we indicate monomials with the usual multi-exponent notation, namely, for \( N = (n_1, \ldots, n_5) \) and \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_5) \), \( x^N = x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_5^{n_5} \).

For two variables \( w, z \) define the formal \( \delta \)-function

\[ \delta(z - w) = \sum_{i, j \mid i + j = -1} w^i z^j. \]

Recall that it satisfies the following properties:

1. \( \delta(z - w) = \delta(w - z) \),
2. \( \partial_w \delta(z - w) = -\partial_w \delta(z - w) \),
3. \( f(z) \delta(z - w) = f(w) \delta(z - w) \) for any formal distribution \( f(z) \).

We let \( w = (w_1, \ldots, w_5) \), \( z = (z_1, \ldots, z_5) \) and \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_5) \), and introduce the formal \( \delta \)-function in 5 variables (the discussion below holds for any finite number of variables)

\[ \delta(x - z) = \prod_i \delta(x_i - z_i). \]

The properties of \( \delta(z - w) \) imply similar properties of it:

\[ f(x) \delta(x - w) = \delta(w) \delta(x - w), \]

for any formal distribution \( f \) in 5 variables,

in particular:

\[ \delta(x - z) \delta(x - w) = \delta(w - z) \delta(x - w). \]

Also

\[ \partial_{x_i} \delta(x - w) = -\partial_{w_i} \delta(x - w), \]

and \( \delta(x - w) = \delta(w - x) \).

**Lemma 6.3.** We have

\[ \delta(x - z) \partial_{x_i} \delta(x - w) = -\delta(w - z) \partial_{w_i} \delta(x - w) - (\partial_{w_i} \delta(w - z)) \delta(x - w). \]

**Proof.** Using Equations (31) and (32) we have

\[ \delta(x - z) \partial_{x_i} \delta(x - w) = -\delta(x - z) \partial_{w_i} \delta(x - w) \]

\[ = -\partial_{w_i} (\delta(x - z) \delta(x - w)) \]

\[ = -\partial_{w_i} (\delta(w - z) \delta(x - w)). \]

\[ \square \]

Now we consider the free \( \mathbb{F}[[x_1, \ldots, x_5]][x_1^{-1}, \ldots, x_5^{-1}] \)-module \( A_5 \) with basis \( \partial_{x_i}, i = 1, \ldots, 5 \), and \( d_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq 5 \). Define the skew-supersymmetric bracket on \( A_5 \) by the same formulas as for \( E(5, 10) \). Then \( A_5 \) is a superalgebra containing \( E(5, 10) \) as a subalgebra, but the bracket on \( A_5 \) does not satisfy the Jacobi identity.
Recall that two formal distributions \( a(z), b(z) \in A_5[[z^{\pm 1}]] \), i.e. bilateral series with coefficients in \( A_5 \) in the variables \( z_1, \ldots, z_5 \), are called \textit{local} if

\[
[a(z), b(w)] = \sum_{N \in \mathbb{Z}^5_1} \frac{1}{N!} c_N(w) \partial^N_w \delta(w - z),
\]

where \( c_N(w) \) are formal distributions in \( A_5[[w^{\pm 1}]] \) and only a finite number of \( c_N(w) \) are nonzero. We say in this case that \( [a(z)(N)]b(z)] = c_N(z) \) is the \( N \)-product of \( a(z) \) and \( b(z) \) and we define their \( \lambda \)-bracket by

\[
[a(z) \lambda b(z)] = \sum_N \frac{1}{N!} c_N(z) \lambda^N.
\]

For all \( a \in A_5 \) we let \( a[z] = \delta(x - z)a \in A_5[[z^{\pm 1}]] \). It follows from the lemmas below that these formal distributions are pairwise local.

**Lemma 6.4.** For all \( i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \) we have

\[
[\partial_{x_i}[z], \partial_{x_j}[w]] = -\partial_{w_i}(\partial_{x_j}[w])\delta(w - z) - \partial_{x_j}[w]\partial_{w_i}\delta(w - z) - \partial_{x_i}[w]\partial_{w_j}\delta(w - z).
\]

In particular

\[
[\partial_{x_i}[z], \lambda \partial_{x_j}[z]] = -\partial_{w_i}(\partial_{x_j}[z]) - \partial_{x_j}[z] \lambda_i - \partial_{x_i}[z] \lambda_j.
\]

**Proof.** We have

\[
[\partial_{x_i}[z], \partial_{x_j}[w]] = [\delta(x - z) \partial_{x_i}, \delta(x - w) \partial_{x_j}]
\]

\[
= \delta(x - z)(\partial_{x_i} \delta(x - w)) \partial_{x_j} - \delta(x - w)(\partial_{x_j} \delta(x - z)) \partial_{x_i}
\]

\[
= -\delta(w - z)(\partial_{w_i} \delta(x - w)) \partial_{x_j} - (\partial_{w_i} \delta(w - z)) \delta(x - w) \partial_{x_j}
\]

\[
- \delta(x - w)(\partial_{w_i} \delta(w - z)) \partial_{x_i},
\]

by Equation (30) and Lemma 6.3. \( \square \)

**Lemma 6.5.** For all \( k, l, r, s \) we have \([d_{kl}[z], \lambda d_{rs}[z]] = [d_{kl}, d_{rs}][z]\).

**Proof.** We have by (32)

\[
[\delta(x - z)d_{kl}, \delta(x - w)d_{rs}] = \delta(x - z)\delta(x - w)[d_{kl}, d_{rs}] = \delta(w - z)\delta(x - w)[d_{kl}, d_{rs}].
\]

\( \square \)

**Lemma 6.6.** We have

\[
[\partial_{x_i}[z], d_{jk}[w]] = -\partial_{w_i}d_{jk}[w]\delta(w - z) - d_{jk}[w]\partial_{w_i}\delta(z - w)
\]

\[
+ \delta_{ij} \sum_{h \neq k} d_{hk}[w]\partial_{w_h}\delta(z - w) - \delta_{ki} \sum_{r \neq j} d_{jr}[w]\partial_{w_i}\delta(z - w)
\]

and so

\[
[\partial_{x_i}[z], \lambda d_{jk}[z]] = -\partial_{w_i}d_{jk}[z] - d_{jk}[z] \lambda_i + \delta_{ij} \sum_{h \neq k} d_{hk}[z]\lambda_h - \delta_{ki} \sum_{r \neq j} d_{jr}[z] \lambda_r.
\]
Proof. We have, by Lemma 6.3
\[\delta(x - z)\partial_{x_i} \delta(x - w)d_{jk}\]
\[= \delta(x - z)(\partial_{x_i}\delta(x - w))d_{jk} + \delta(x - w)(\delta_{ij}d(\delta(x - z)dx_k) - \delta_{ik}d(\delta(x - z)dx_j))\]
\[= -(\partial_{x_i}\delta(x - w))d_{jk}\delta(x - w) - \delta(x - w)d_{jk}(\partial_{x_i}\delta(x - z))\]
\[+ \delta(x - w)(\delta_{ij}\sum_{h \neq k}(\partial_{x_h}\delta(x - z))d_{hk} - \delta_{ik}\sum_{r \neq j}(\partial_{x_r}\delta(x - z))d_{rj})\],
and the statement follows by (32). \qed

Let us denote by \(Z\) the \(F[\partial_z]\)-module generated by the formal distributions \(\partial_{x_i}[z]\) and \(d_{jk}[z]\).

Lemma 6.7. The \(F[\partial_z]\)-module \(Z\) is free of rank 15 on generators \(\partial_{x_i}[z]\) and \(d_{jk}[z]\), \(i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}\), \(j < k\).

Proof. We first notice that if \(P(\partial_z)\) is any polynomial in \(F[\partial_z]\) such that \(P(\partial_z)\delta(x - z) = 0\) then \(P(\partial_z) = 0\). Now let
\[\sum_i P_i(\partial_z)\partial_{x_i}[z] + \sum_{j < k} Q_{jk}(\partial_z)d_{jk}[z] = 0\]
for some \(P_i(\partial_z), Q_{jk}(\partial_z) \in F[\partial_z]\). Since the set \(\{x^N\partial_{x_i} : N \in \mathbb{Z}^5, i = 1, \ldots, 5\} \cup \{x^N d_{jk} : N \in \mathbb{Z}^5, j, k = 1, \ldots, 5, j < k\}\) is a linear basis of \(A_5\), we have that \(P_i(\partial_z)\delta(x - z) = 0\) and \(Q_{jk}(\partial_z)\delta(x - z) = 0\) and the result follows. \qed

By Lemma 6.7 we can identify the \(F[\partial_z]\)-module \(\widehat{RE}(5, 10)\) with the \(F[\partial_z]\)-module \(Z\). Moreover the \(\lambda\)-brackets on \(\widehat{RE}(5, 10)\) correspond to brackets of the corresponding formal distributions in \(Z\) via the formal Fourier transform thanks to Lemmas 6.4, 6.5 and 6.6. We restrict our attention to the following subspace of \(\widehat{RE}(5, 10)\):

Definition 6.8. Let \(RE(5, 10)\) be the \(F[\partial]\)-submodule of \(\widehat{RE}(5, 10)\) generated by the following elements:

- \(a_{ij} = \partial_i\partial_{x_j} - \partial_j\partial_{x_i}\) for all \(i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}\);
- \(b_k = \sum_h \partial_h d_{hk}\) for all \(k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}\).

One can also give the following abstract presentation of \(RE(5, 10)\).

Proposition 6.9. \(RE(5, 10)\) is generated as a \(F[\partial]\)-module by elements \(a_{ij}, b_k\), where \(i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}\), subject to the following relations:

1. \(a_{ij} + a_{ji} = 0\);
2. \(\partial_h a_{ij} + \partial_j a_{hk} + \partial_j a_{hk} = 0\);
3. \(\sum_k \partial_k b_k = 0\).

Proof. It is a simple verification that the generators \(a_{ij}\) and \(b_k\) satisfy the stated relations. By construction the elements \(d_{jk}\) \((j < k)\) and \(\partial_{x_i}\) are free generators of \(\widehat{RE}(5, 10)\). Assume we have a relation
\[\sum_k P_k(\partial) b_k + \sum_{i,j} Q_{ij}(\partial)a_{ij} = 0\]

Using relation (1), we can assume \(Q_{ij} = -Q_{ji}\) and in particular \(Q_{ii} = 0\). Then we have
\[\sum_k \sum_{h \neq k} P_k(\partial) \partial_h d_{hk} + \sum_{i,j} Q_{ij}(\partial)(\partial_i \partial_{x_j} - \partial_j \partial_{x_i}) = 0\]
and so
\[ \sum_{h<k} (P_k(\partial) \partial_h - P_h(\partial) \partial_k) d_{hk} - \sum_{i} (\sum_{j} 2Q_{ij}(\partial) \partial_j) \partial_{x_i} = 0. \]

In particular we have for all \( h \neq k \) that \( P_k(\partial) \partial_h = P_k(\partial) \partial_h \) and hence there exists a polynomial \( P(\partial) \) such that \( P_k(\partial) = P(\partial) \partial_k \) for all \( k \), hence the relation involving the \( b_k \)'s in (34) is a consequence of relation (3).

Using relation (2) systematically, we can assume that if \( i < j \) the polynomial \( Q_{ij} \) is actually a polynomial in the variables \( \partial_h \) with \( h \leq j \). With this assumption we show that all polynomials \( Q_{ij} \) vanish by induction on the lexicographic order of the pair of indices \( i,j \).

If \( (i,j) = (1,2) \) the relation \( Q_{12} \partial_2 + Q_{13} \partial_3 + Q_{14} \partial_4 + Q_{15} \partial_5 = 0 \) implies \( Q_{12} = 0 \) since \( Q_{12} \in \mathbb{F}[\partial_1, \partial_2] \). Let \( (i,h) \neq (1,2) \) with \( i < h \) be such that \( Q_{rs} = 0 \) for all \( (r,s) < (i,h) \).
Relation above provides
\[ \sum_{j} Q_{ij}(\partial) \partial_j = 0 \]
which becomes
\[ \sum_{j \geq h} Q_{ij} \partial_j = 0 \]
and since \( Q_{th} \) is not a polynomial in \( \partial_j \) for all \( j > h \) we deduce that \( Q_{th} = 0 \).

In the next result we compute the \( \lambda \)-brackets among generators of \( RE(5,10) \).

**Proposition 6.10.** We have
- \( [a_{ij}, a_{rs}] = \lambda_j \lambda_r a_{si} + \lambda_i \lambda_r a_{js} + \lambda_i \lambda_s a_{rj} + \lambda_j \lambda_s a_{ir} + \lambda_i \partial_j a_{rs} + \lambda_j \partial_s a_{ir} \);
- \( [a_{ij}, b_k] = (\lambda_i \partial_j - \lambda_j \partial_i) b_k + (\delta_{ik} \lambda_j - \delta_{jk} \lambda_i) \sum_r \lambda_r b_r ; \)
- \( [b_i, b_j] = 0 ; \)
- if \( i \neq j \), \( [b_i, b_j] = \varepsilon_{ijkkl}(\lambda_h a_{kl} + \lambda_k a_{lh} + \lambda_l a_{hk}) \) where \( \{i,j,h,k,l\} = \{1,2,3,4,5\} \).

**Proof.** Using properties (6), (7), (8) of Definition 2.1 and Definition 6.1 we have:
\[ [\partial_i \partial_x, \chi \partial_x, \partial_x] = -\lambda_i (\lambda_r + \partial_r) [\partial_x, \chi \partial_x] \]
\[ = (\lambda_i \lambda_r \partial_j + \lambda_j \lambda_r \partial_i + \lambda_i \partial_i \partial_j + \lambda_j \lambda_j \partial_j) \partial_x + (\lambda_i \lambda_j \lambda_s + \lambda_i \lambda_s \partial_r) \partial_x. \]

Using four times this relation we obtain
\[ [a_{ij}, a_{rs}] = [\partial_i \partial_x, \chi \partial_x, \partial_x] - [\partial_i \partial_x, \chi \partial_x, \partial_x] - [\partial_i \partial_x, \chi \partial_x, \partial_x] + [\partial_j \partial_x, \chi \partial_x, \partial_x] \]
\[ = (\lambda_i \lambda_r \partial_j - \lambda_j \lambda_r \partial_i + \lambda_i \partial_i \partial_j - \lambda_j \partial_j \partial_i) \partial_x + (\lambda_i \lambda_s \partial_r - \lambda_i \lambda_r \partial_s) \partial_x \]
\[ + (\lambda_j \lambda_r \partial_j + \lambda_j \lambda_j \partial_i - \lambda_j \partial_i \partial_j) \partial_x + (\lambda_j \lambda_s \partial_r + \lambda_j \lambda_r \partial_s) \partial_x \]
\[ = \lambda_j \lambda_r a_{si} + \lambda_i \lambda_r a_{js} + \lambda_i \lambda_s a_{rj} + \lambda_j \lambda_s a_{ir} + \lambda_i \partial_j a_{rs} + \lambda_j \partial_s a_{ir}. \]
In order to compute \([a_{ij}\lambda b_k]\) we first assume that \(i, j, k\) are distinct. We have
\[
[a_{ij}\lambda b_k] = \left[ (\partial_i \partial_{x_j} - \partial_j \partial_{x_i})\lambda \sum_{h \neq k} \partial_h d_{hk} \right]
\]
\[
= -\lambda_i \sum_{h \neq h} (\partial_h + \lambda_i)[\partial_{x_j}\lambda d_{hi}] + \lambda_j \sum_{h \neq k} (\partial_h + \lambda_h)[\partial_{x_i}\lambda d_{hi}]
\]
\[
= \lambda_i \sum_{h \neq i} (\partial_h + \lambda_h)(\partial_j + \lambda_j)d_{hi} - \lambda_i(\partial_j + \lambda_j) \sum_{r \neq k} \lambda_r d_{ri}
\]
\[
- \lambda_j \sum_{h \neq i} (\partial_h + \lambda_h)(\partial_i + \lambda_i)d_{hi} + \lambda_j(\partial_i + \lambda_i) \sum_{r \neq k} \lambda_r d_{ri}
\]
\[
= \lambda_i(\partial_j + \lambda_j) \sum_{h \neq i} \partial_h d_{hi} - \lambda_j(\partial_i + \lambda_i) \sum_{h \neq i} \partial_h d_{hi}
\]
\[
- \lambda_j \sum_{h \neq i, s \neq h} \partial_h \lambda_s d_{sh} - \lambda_j \sum_{h \neq i, s \neq h} \lambda_h \lambda_s d_{sh}
\]

In the last sum all terms with \(s \neq i\) cancel out and so we have
\[
[a_{ij}\lambda b_i] = (\lambda_i \partial_j - \lambda_j \partial_i) b_i - \lambda_j(\partial_i + \lambda_i) \sum_{h \neq i} \lambda_h d_{hi} - \lambda_i(\partial_j + \lambda_j) \sum_{h \neq i} \lambda_h d_{hi}
\]
\[
- \lambda_j \sum_{s} \lambda_s \sum_{h \neq i, s} \partial_h d_{sh} - \lambda_j \sum_{h \neq i} \lambda_h \lambda_s d_{sh}
\]
\[
= (\lambda_i \partial_j - \lambda_j \partial_i) b_i - \lambda_j \sum_{s} \lambda_s \sum_{h \neq s} \partial_h d_{sh}
\]
\[
= (\lambda_i \partial_j - \lambda_j \partial_i) b_i + \lambda_j \sum_{s} \lambda_s b_s.
\]
Now we compute
\[ [b_i \lambda b_i] = \left[ \sum_{h \neq i} \partial_h d_{hi} \lambda \sum_{k \neq i} \partial_k d_{ki} \right] \]
\[ = - \sum_{h,k} \lambda_h (\lambda_k + \partial_h) [d_{hi} \lambda d_{ki}] = 0. \]

Now we compute \([b_i \lambda b_j]\) for \(i \neq j\). Let \(h, k, l\) be such that \(\varepsilon_{ijhkl} = 1\). We have:
\[ [b_i \lambda b_j] = \left[ \sum_{r \neq i} \partial_r d_{ri} \lambda \sum_{s \neq j} \partial_s d_{sj} \right] \]
\[ = - \sum_{r,s} \lambda_r (\lambda_s + \partial_s) [d_{ri} \lambda d_{sj}] \]
\[ = (\lambda_h \lambda_k + \lambda_k \lambda_h - \lambda_k \lambda_l)\partial_{x_l} + (\lambda_l \lambda_h + \lambda_l \lambda_i - \lambda_h \lambda_i - \lambda_h \lambda_l)\partial_{x_k} \]
\[ + (\lambda_i \lambda_h + \lambda_i \lambda_k - \lambda_i \lambda_l)\partial_{x_k} - \lambda_i \partial_{x_i} \]
\[ = \lambda_h (\partial_{x_k} - \partial_{x_l}) + \lambda_l (\partial_{x_i} - \partial_{x_k}) + \lambda_l (\partial_{x_i} - \partial_{x_k}). \]

Theorem 6.11. The \(\mathbb{F}[\theta]\)-module \(\overline{RE}(5,10)\) with the \(\lambda\)-bracket induced from \(\overline{RE}(5,10)\) and given in Proposition 6.10 is a Lie conformal superalgebra of type \((5,0)\).

Proof. By Proposition 6.10 the \(\lambda\)-bracket actually restricts to a linear map
\[ [\cdot, \lambda] : \overline{RE}(5,10) \times \overline{RE}(5,10) \to \mathbb{F}[\lambda] \otimes \overline{RE}(5,10) \]
satisfying conformal sesquilinearity and conformal skew-symmetry. We need to prove the conformal Jacobi identity for the triple \((a, b, c)\) in the following four cases

1. \((a, b, c) = (a_{ij}, a_{rs}, a_{mn});\)
2. \((a, b, c) = (b_i, b_j, b_k);\)
3. \((a, b, c) = (a_{ij}, a_{rs}, b_k);\)
4. \((a, b, c) = (a_{ij}, b_i, b_k).\)

1. Since the elements \(a_{ij}\) belong to the submodule of \(\overline{RE}(5,10)\) generated by the elements \(\partial_{x_i}\)’s it is enough to consider the Jacobi identity for elements \(\partial_{x_i}, \partial_{x_j}, \partial_{x_k}\) and this can be verified as in Proposition 4.2.

2. We have to show that
\[ [b_i \lambda [b_j \mu b_k]] = [[b_i \lambda b_j] \lambda \mu b_k] - [b_j \mu [b_i \lambda b_k]]. \]

For distinct \(i, j, k\) let \(r, s\) be such that \(\varepsilon_{ijkr} = 1\). We have:
\[ [b_i \lambda [b_j \mu b_k]] = [b_i \lambda (\mu_i a_{rs} + \mu_r a_{is} + \mu_s a_{ir})] \]
\[ = -\mu_i (-\lambda_s \partial_{x_i} + \lambda_s \partial_{x_r}) b_i + \mu_r (-\lambda_i \partial_{x_r} + \lambda_i \partial_{x_s}) b_i + (\lambda_s + \partial_s) \sum_h (\lambda_h + \partial_h) b_h \]
\[ - \mu_s (-\lambda_i \partial_{x_i} + \lambda_i \partial_{x_s}) b_i + (\lambda_r + \partial_r) \sum_h (\lambda_h + \partial_h) b_h \]
\[ = (\lambda_s \mu_r \partial_{x_i} - \lambda_i \mu_r \partial_{x_i} + \lambda_i \mu_s \partial_{x_r} - \lambda_r \mu_s \partial_{x_i} + \lambda_r \mu_i \partial_{x_s} - \lambda_s \mu_i \partial_{x_r}) b_i \]
\[ + (\lambda_s \mu_r + \mu_r \partial_{x_i} - \lambda_r \mu_s - \mu_s \partial_{x_i}) \sum_h \lambda_h b_h. \]
Similarly we can compute
$$[[b_i \lambda b_j]_{\lambda+\mu} b_k] = (\lambda_i \mu_r \partial_s - \lambda_k \mu_s \partial_r + \lambda_r \mu_r \partial_h + \lambda_s \mu_k \partial_r - \lambda_s \mu_r \partial_k) b_k + (\lambda_s \mu_r - \lambda_r \mu_s) \sum_h (\lambda_i + \mu_i) b_h$$
and
$$[b_j \mu [b_i \lambda b_k] = -((\lambda_r \mu_r \partial_j - \lambda_r \mu_j \partial_r + \lambda_s \mu_j \partial_r - \lambda_i \mu_r \partial_r + \lambda_j \mu_r \partial_s - \lambda_j \mu_s \partial_r) b_j + (\lambda_r \mu_r + \lambda_r \mu_s - \lambda_s \mu_r - \lambda_r \mu_i) \sum_h \mu_h b_h).$$

So we have
$$[b_i \lambda [b_j \mu b_k] - [[b_i \lambda b_j]_{\lambda+\mu} b_k] + [b_j \mu [b_i \lambda b_k]] = (\lambda_i \mu_r \partial_s - \lambda_k \mu_s \partial_r + \lambda_r \mu_r \partial_h + \lambda_s \mu_k \partial_r - \lambda_s \mu_r \partial_k) b_i + (-\lambda_k \mu_r \partial_s + \lambda_k \mu_s \partial_r - \lambda_r \mu_s \partial_h + \lambda_r \mu_k \partial_r - \lambda_s \mu_r \partial_h + \lambda_s \mu_r \partial_k) b_k + (-\lambda_r \mu_s \partial_j + \lambda_r \mu_j \partial_s - \lambda_s \mu_j \partial_r + \lambda_s \mu_r \partial_j - \lambda_j \mu_s \partial_r + \lambda_j \mu_r \partial_j) b_j + (\mu_r \partial_s - \mu_s \partial_r) \sum_h \lambda_h b_h + (-\lambda_r \partial_s + \lambda_s \partial_r) \sum_h \mu_h b_h = (\lambda_s \mu_r - \lambda_r \mu_s) \sum_h \partial_h b_h = 0.$$ 

(3) First assume $i, j, r, s, k$ are distinct. We have
$$[a_{ij} \lambda a_{rs} \mu b_k] = [a_{ij} \lambda (\mu_r \partial_s - \mu_s \partial_r) b_k] = ((\mu_r \partial_s + \lambda_s - \mu_s (\partial_r + \lambda_r)(\lambda_i \partial_j - \lambda_j \partial_i)) b_k = ((\mu_r \partial_s - \mu_s \partial_r) - (\mu_r \mu_s - \lambda_s \mu_r))(\lambda_i \partial_j - \lambda_j \partial_i)) b_k$$
and
$$[[a_{ij} \lambda a_{rs} \mu]_{\lambda+\mu} b_k] = [(\lambda_j \lambda_r a_{si} + \lambda_i \lambda_r a_{js} + \lambda_i \lambda_s a_{ir} + \lambda_j \lambda_s a_{ir} + (\lambda_i \partial_j - \lambda_j \partial_i) a_{rs})_{\lambda+\mu} b_k] = (\lambda_j \lambda_r ((\lambda_s + \mu_s) \partial_i - (\lambda_i + \mu_i) \partial_s) + \lambda_i \lambda_r ((\lambda_j + \mu_j) \partial_s - (\lambda_s + \mu_s) \partial_j) + \lambda_i \lambda_s ((\lambda_r + \mu_r) \partial_j - (\lambda_j + \mu_j) \partial_r) + \lambda_j \lambda_s ((\lambda_i + \mu_i) \partial_r - (\lambda_r + \mu_r) \partial_i) + (\lambda_i (\lambda_j - \lambda_j) - \lambda_j (-\lambda_i - \mu_i)) ((\lambda_r + \mu_r) \partial_s - (\lambda_s + \mu_s) \partial_r)) b_k = -((\lambda_i \partial_j - \lambda_j \partial_i)(\lambda_r \mu_s - \lambda_s \mu_r) - (\lambda_i \lambda_j - \lambda_j \lambda_i)(\mu_r \partial_s - \mu_s \partial_r)) b_k$$
and finally
$$[a_{rs} \mu [a_{ij} \lambda b_k] = ((\lambda_i \partial_j - \lambda_j \partial_i) - (\mu_r \lambda_j - \mu_j \lambda_i))(\mu_r \partial_s - \mu_s \partial_r)) b_k$$
and so the conformal Jacobi identity follows also in this case.

The other cases can be carried out similarly. □

We observe that $RE(5, 10)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie conformal superalgebra if we set
$$\deg(a_{ij}) = -4, \quad \deg(b_k) = -3.$$
Proposition 6.12. Let $k \geq 0$. Then
\[
\dim \mathfrak{g}(RE(5, 10))_{2k-4} \leq \frac{1}{6}k(k+1)(k+2)(k+4)
\]
and
\[
\dim \mathfrak{g}(RE(5, 10))_{2k-3} \leq \frac{1}{6}k(k+2)(k+3)(k+4).
\]

Proof. Consider the elements $a_{ij}y^R$ with $|R| = k$ which generate $\mathfrak{g}(RE(5, 10))_{2k-4}$. Since $a_{ij} = -a_{ji}$ we can always assume that $i < j$. We show that the set
\[
\mathcal{B} = \{a_{i+i+1}y^{M+e_i} : |M| = k-1, i = 1, \ldots, 4\} \cup \{a_{12}y^{N+e_2} : |N| = k-1, y_1 \notin y^N\}
\]
spans $\mathfrak{g}(RE(5, 10))_{2k-4}$.

To show this we choose a total order $\leq$ on the monomials $a_{ij}y^R$ with $i < j$ such that
- if $h \leq i < j \leq k$ then $a_{ij}y^R \leq a_{hk}y^S$ for all $R, S$.
- if $i < j < k$ then $a_{ij}y^R \leq a_{jk}y^S$ for all $R, S$.

We prove that for every element $a_{ij}y^R$ that is not in $\mathcal{B}$ there exists a relation
\[
(35) \quad a_{ij}\partial_{y_i}y^M + a_{jh}\partial_{y_j}y^M + a_{hi}\partial_{y_i}y^M = 0,
\]
which expresses it as a linear combination of smaller elements.

Let $a_{ij}y^R \notin B$. If $j - i > 2$ we let $i < h < j$ and we have
\[
a_{ij}y^R = \frac{1}{r_h + 1}a_{ij}\partial_{y_i}y_hy^R = \frac{1}{r_h + 1}(a_{ih}\partial_{y_i}(y_hy^R) - a_{jh}\partial_{y_i}(y_hy^R)).
\]

Let us consider the case $j = i + 1$. If $y_i, y_{i+1}$ do not divide $y^R$ we have
\[
a_{i+i+1}y^R = \frac{1}{r_i + 1}(a_{i+1i-1}\partial_{y_{i+1}}(y_iy^R) - a_{i+1+i-1}\partial_{y_i}(y_{i+1}y^R)) = -\frac{1}{r_i + 1}a_{i-1i+1}\partial_{y_{i+1}}(y_{i+1}y^R).
\]

So the dimension of $\mathfrak{g}(RE(5, 10))_{2k-4}$ is less than or equal to the cardinality of $\mathcal{B}$, i.e.
\[
4 \left( \frac{5 + k - 2}{4} \right) + \left( \frac{4 + k - 2}{4} \right) = \frac{1}{6}k(k+1)(k+2)(k+4).
\]

One can similarly show that the set
\[
\mathcal{B}' = \{b_jy^M : y_j | y^M \mbox{ for some } j > i, |M| = k\}
\]
is a generating set of $\mathfrak{g}(RE(5, 10))_{2k-3}$. The proof is analogous and simpler than in the former case and is based on the observation that if $b_jy^M \notin \mathcal{B}'$ then
\[
b_jy^M = -\frac{1}{m_i + 1}\partial_{y_i}y_jy^M = \frac{1}{m_i + 1}\sum_{j \neq i} b_j\partial_{y_j}y_iy^M = \frac{1}{m_i + 1}\sum_{j < i} b_j\partial_{y_j}y_iy^M.
\]

So the dimension of $\mathfrak{g}(RE(5, 10))_{2k-3}$ is at most
\[
4 \left( \frac{k + 3}{4} \right) + 3 \left( \frac{k + 2}{3} \right) + 2 \left( \frac{k + 1}{2} \right) + \left( \frac{k}{1} \right) = \frac{1}{6}k(k+2)(k+3)(k+4).
\]

□
Corollary 6.13. For all \( d \in \mathbb{Z} \)
\[
\dim E(5,10)_d \geq \dim \mathfrak{g}(RE(5,10))_d.
\]

Proof. Using that all homogeneous components \( E(5,10)_d \) are irreducible \( E(5,10)_0 = \mathfrak{sl}_5 \)-modules with known highest weights (III), one can check that the dimension of \( E(5,10)_{2k-4} \) is \( \frac{1}{6}k(k+1)(k+2)(k+4) \) and the dimension of \( E(5,10)_{2k-3} \) is \( \frac{1}{6}k(k+2)(k+3)(k+4) \). Hence corollary follows from Proposition 6.12. \( \square \)

Theorem 6.14. The annihilation algebra \( \mathfrak{g}(RE(5,10)) \) is isomorphic, as a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded Lie superalgebra, to \( E(5,10) \) with principal gradation under the following map \( \Phi \):
\[
\begin{align*}
a_{ij}y^M \mapsto & -m_i x^{M-e_i} \partial x_j + m_j x^{M-e_j} \partial x_i; \\
b_k y^M \mapsto & \sum_{r \neq k} m_r x^{M-e_r} dkr.
\end{align*}
\]

Proof. Let \( R = RE(5,10) \). By construction and Proposition 6.9 \( \mathfrak{g}(R) \) is spanned by the elements \( a_{ij}y^M \) and \( b_k y^M \) subject to the following relations:
\[
\begin{align*}
(i) & \quad a_{ij}y^M + a_{ji}y^M = 0; \\
(ii) & \quad a_{ij} \partial y_i y^M + a_{ji} \partial y_j y^M + a_{hi} \partial y_i y^M = 0; \\
(iii) & \quad \sum_k b_k \partial y_k y^M = 0.
\end{align*}
\]
In particular \( a_{ij}y^0 = 0 \) and \( b_k y^0 = 0 \). It is easy to check that relations (i), (ii), (iii) are preserved by the map \( \Phi \) and that \( \Phi \) is surjective. Injectivity of \( \Phi \) follows from Corollary 6.13.

The proof that \( \Phi \) is an homomorphism is a straightforward verification based on the following observation
\[
[a_{ij}y^M, a_{rs}y^N] = a_{si}(\partial y_i \partial y_r y^M)y^N + a_{sj}(\partial y_j \partial y_r y^M)y^N + a_{rj}(\partial y_j \partial y_i y^M)y^N + a_{rs}(\partial y_i \partial y_j y^M)y^N - a_{sr}(\partial y_i \partial y_j y^M)y^N.
\]

The following corollary answers a question raised in [6].

Corollary 6.15. Let \( F \) be a finite-dimensional \( \mathfrak{sl}_5 \)-module and let \( M(F) \) be the corresponding \( E(5,10) \)-Verma module. Then \( M(F)^* \) is isomorphic to \( M(F^*) \).

Proof. By Theorem 6.14 we have \( \mathfrak{g}(RE(5,10))_{-2} = (\partial y_1, \ldots, \partial y_5) \) and \( RE(5,10) \) satisfies Assumptions 3.3. Since the 0-th degree component of \( E(5,10) \) is isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{sl}_5 \) which has only zero characters, by Theorem 3.17 the conformal module \( M(F)^* \) is isomorphic to \( M(F^*) \). \( \square \)

7. The Lie conformal superalgebra \( RE(3,6) \).

In this section we introduce the infinite-dimensional (linearly compact) Lie superalgebra \( E(3,6) \) and realize it as the annihilation superalgebra of a Lie conformal superalgebra of type \((3,0)\). This is used to show that \( M(F)^* = M(F^*) \) for every finite-dimensional representation \( F \) of \( E(3,6)_0 \).

Recall the construction of \( E(3,6) \) in [11]. The even part of \( E(3,6) \) is the semidirect sum of \( W(3,0) \) and \( \Omega^0(3) \otimes \mathfrak{sl}_2 \), where \( \Omega^0(3) \) denotes the space of formal power series in three even indeterminates \( x_1, x_2, x_3 \) and \( W(3,0) \) acts on it in the standard way. Besides, for \( f, g \in \Omega^0(3) \) and \( c_1, c_2 \in \mathfrak{sl}_2 \) we have:
\[
[f \otimes c_1, g \otimes c_2] = fg \otimes [c_1, c_2].
\]
The odd part of $E(3,6)$ is $\Omega^1(3)^{-1/2} \otimes \mathbb{F}^2$ where $\Omega^1(3)^{-1/2}$ is the space of 1-forms in $x_1, x_2, x_3$ and $-1/2$ refers to the action of $W(3,0)$ on $\Omega^1(3)$; namely, for $X \in W(3,0)$ and $\omega \otimes v \in \Omega^1(3)^{-1/2} \otimes \mathbb{F}^2$ we have:

$$[X, \omega \otimes v] = L_X(\omega) \otimes v - \frac{1}{2} \text{div}(X) \omega \otimes v$$

where $L_X$ denotes the Lie derivative and $\text{div}$ the usual divergence. Besides, for $f \in \Omega^0(3)$ and $c \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$, we have:

$$[f \otimes c, \omega \otimes v] = f \omega \otimes c.v$$

where $c.v$ denotes the standard action of $c$ on $v$. The bracket between two odd elements is defined as follows: we identify $\Omega^2(3)^{-1}$ with $W(3,0)$ via contraction of vector fields with the standard volume form, and $\Omega^2(3)^{-1}$ with $\Omega^0(3)$. Then, for $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega^1(3)^{-1}$, $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{F}^2$, we have:

$$[\omega_1 \otimes u_1, \omega_2 \otimes u_2] = (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) \otimes (u_1 \wedge u_2) + \frac{1}{2} (d\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 + \omega_1 \wedge d\omega_2) \otimes u_1 \cdot u_2$$

where $u_1 \cdot u_2$ denotes an element in the symmetric square of $\mathbb{F}^2$, i.e., an element in $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, and $u_1 \wedge u_2$ an element in the skew-symmetric square of $\mathbb{F}^2$, i.e., a complex number. Let us denote by $H, E, F$ the standard basis of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ and by $e_1, e_2$ the standard basis of $\mathbb{F}^2$. Then $E = e_1^2/2, F = -e_2^2/2, H = -e_1 \cdot e_2$ and $e_1 \wedge e_2 = 1$.

For $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $\epsilon_{ij}$ and $t_{ij}$ are defined as follows: if $i \neq j$ we let $t_{ij} \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ be such that $|\{i, j, t_{ij}\}| = 3$ and $\epsilon_{ij}$ be the sign of the permutation $(i, j, t_{ij})$. If $i = j$ we let $\epsilon_{ij} = 0$.

We consider on $E(3,6)$ the principal gradation given by $\text{deg} \ x_i = -\text{deg} \partial_{x_i} = 2, \text{deg}(e_1) = \text{deg}(e_2) = 0, \text{deg}(E) = \text{deg}(F) = \text{deg}(H) = 0, \text{deg}(dx_i) = -1$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3$. Observe that the $0$-th graded component is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}_3 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathbb{F}, E(3,6)_{-1} = (dx_i \otimes e_j : i = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2)$ and $E(3,6)_{-2} = \langle \partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}, \partial_{x_3} \rangle$.

The strategy to construct the Lie conformal superalgebra $R\mathfrak{g}$ for $\mathfrak{g} = E(3,6)$ and $E(3,8)$ (see Section 3), such that the annihilation Lie superalgebra of $R\mathfrak{g}$ is $\mathfrak{g}$, is the same as for $\mathfrak{g} = E(5,10)$ in Section 4 (see also the Introduction). Namely, we construct a formal distribution $\mathfrak{g}$ by localizing the formal power series in $x_1, x_2, x_3$ by these variables, and show that $\mathfrak{g}$ is spanned by the coefficients of pairwise local formal distributions $a_i(z)$. Next, we compute the brackets $[a_i(z), a_j(w)]$ as linear combinations of the delta function and its derivatives with coefficients the formal distributions $a_k(w)$ and their derivatives. Applying the formal Fourier transform, we obtain the Lie conformal superalgebra $R\mathfrak{g}$ of type $(3,0)$. The annihilation Lie superalgebra of $R\mathfrak{g}$ has a canonical surjective map $\Phi$ to $\mathfrak{g}$, and it remains to show that the kernel of $\Phi$ is zero. In the case when all the coefficients of all the formal distributions $a_i(z)$ are linearly independent, like for $\mathfrak{g} = E(3,6)$, this is immediate. But for $E(5,10)$ and $E(3,8)$ this does not hold, and it requires some effort to prove that $\Phi$ has zero kernel. (The case of Lie conformal superalgebras of type $(1,0)$ is easy since any finitely generated module over $F[\partial]$ is a direct sum of a free module and a torsion module.)

So let $\mathfrak{g} = E(3,6)$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ be defined as above. In order to construct the conformal superalgebra $RE(3,6)$ we consider the following formal distributions in the variable $z$ with coefficients in $\mathfrak{g}$: $a_i(z) = \delta(x - z)\partial_{x_i}, c(z) = \delta(x - z) \otimes c$, and $b_{hk}(z) = \delta(x - z)dx_h \otimes e_k$, for all $i, h = 1, 2, 3, k = 1, 2, c \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$. The computation of the formal Fourier transform of the brackets between these formal distributions leads us to the following definition.

**Definition 7.1.** We set $RE(3,6) = F[\partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3](A \oplus B \oplus C)$, where $A = \langle a_1, a_2, a_3 \rangle$ is even of degree $-2$, $B = \langle b_1, b_2, b_3 \rangle \otimes \mathbb{F}^2$ is odd of degree $-1$ and $C = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ is even of degree zero, and
the following $\lambda$-brackets: for $c, c' \in C$, $v \in \mathbb{F}^2$ and $b_{hk} = b_h \otimes e_k$,

\[
\begin{align*}
[a_i a_j] &= (\partial_i + \lambda_i) a_j + \lambda_j a_i \\
[a_i b_{hk}] &= (\partial_i + \frac{3}{2} \lambda_i) b_{hk} - \delta_{ih}(\lambda_1 b_{1k} + \lambda_2 b_{2k} + \lambda_3 b_{3k}) \\
[b_{ij} a_{hk}] &= (j - k) \varepsilon_{ik} a_{th} \\
[a_i c] &= (\partial_i + \lambda_i) c \\
[c a_{b h} \otimes v] &= b_h \otimes c.v \\
[c x c'] &= [c, c'].
\end{align*}
\]

extended on the whole $RE(3, 6)$ by properties (6) and (7) of Definition 2.1

**Proposition 7.2.** $RE(3, 6)$ is a Lie conformal superalgebra of type $(3,0)$.

**Proof.** The only nontrivial verification is the conformal Jacobi identity which can be verified directly if one considers Definition 7.1 as an abstract definition, or as a consequence of the fact that we constructed $RE(3, 6)$ as a space of formal distributions with coefficients in the Lie superalgebra $\hat{g}$. \hfill \Box

**Theorem 7.3.** The annihilation algebra $g(RE(3, 6))$ is isomorphic, as $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie superalgebra, to $E(3, 6)$ with principal gradation via the following map:

\[
\begin{align*}
 a_i y^M &\mapsto -x^M \partial_{x_i}; \\
b_{hk} y^M &\mapsto x^M dx_h \otimes e_k; \\
c y^M &\mapsto x^M \otimes c.
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** The map is clearly a linear isomorphism. We need to check that the Lie bracket is preserved. We have:

\[
\begin{align*}
[a_i y^M, b_{hk} y^N] &= \partial_i b_{hk} y^{M+N} + \frac{3}{2} m_i b_{hk} y^{M+N-\varepsilon_i} - \delta_{ih} \sum_{j=1}^3 m_j b_{jk} y^{M+N-\varepsilon_j} \\
&= (-n_i + \frac{1}{2} m_i) b_{hk} y^{M+N-\varepsilon_i} - \delta_{ih} \sum_{j=1}^3 m_j b_{jk} y^{M+N-\varepsilon_j}.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
[x^M \partial_{x_i}, x^N dx_h \otimes e_k] &= n_i x^{M+N-\varepsilon_i} dx_h \otimes e_k + x^N (\delta_{ih} d(x^M) \otimes e_k - \frac{1}{2} m_i x^{M-\varepsilon_i} dx_h \otimes e_k) \\
&= (n_i - \frac{1}{2} m_i) x^{M+N-\varepsilon_i} dx_h \otimes e_k + \delta_{ih} \sum_{j=1}^3 m_j x^{M+N-\varepsilon_j} dx_j \otimes e_k
\end{align*}
\]

The verification in the other cases is simpler and left to the reader. \hfill \Box

**Remark 7.4.** Let $R = RE(3, 6)$. Observe that $R$ satisfies Assumption 3.3 and so Theorem 3.17 applies. Note that $g(R)_0 \cong \mathfrak{sl}_3 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathbb{F} z$ where $z$ is its non-zero central element such that $ad z$ acts as $j$ on $g(R)_j$. Since $\dim g(R)_{-1} = 6$, $\dim g(R)_{-2} = 3$ and the depth of the gradation is 2, we see that $\text{str}(ad z)_{g(R)_0} = 0$ and this is consistent with the classification of degenerate Verma modules of $E(3, 6)$ given in [16], [17] and [19].
8. The Lie Conformal Superalgebra \( RE(3, 8) \)

The Lie superalgebra \( E(3, 8) \) has the following structure [11, 7, 8, 17] corrected [11, and [8] further corrected [17]): it has even part \( E(3, 8)_{\text{ev}} = W(3, 0) + \Omega^0(3) \otimes \mathfrak{sl}_2 + d\Omega^1(3) \) and odd part \( E(3, 8)_{\text{od}} = \Omega^0(3)^{-1/2} \otimes \mathbb{R}^2 + \Omega^2(3)^{-1/2} \otimes \mathbb{R}^2 \). \( W(3, 0) \) acts on \( \Omega^0(3) \otimes \mathfrak{sl}_2 \) in the natural way and on \( d\Omega^1(3) \) via Lie derivative. For \( X, Y \in W(3, 0) \), \( f, g \in \Omega^0(3) \), \( A, B \in \mathfrak{sl}_2 \), \( \omega_1, \omega_2 \in d\Omega^1(3) \), we have:

\[
[X, Y] = XY - YX - \frac{1}{2} d(\text{div}(X)) \wedge d(\text{div}(Y)),
\]

\[
[f \otimes A, \omega_1] = 0,
\]

\[
[f \otimes A, g \otimes B] = fg \otimes [A, B] + \text{tr}(AB)df \wedge dg,
\]

\[
[\omega_1, \omega_2] = 0.
\]

Besides, for \( X \in W_3 \), \( f \in \Omega^0(3)^{-1/2} \), \( g \in \Omega^0(3) \), \( v \in \mathbb{R}^2 \), \( A \in \mathfrak{sl}_2 \), \( \omega \in d\Omega^1(3) \), \( \sigma \in \Omega^2(3)^{-1/2} \),

\[
[X, f \otimes v] = (X, f + \frac{1}{2} d(\text{div}(X)) \otimes df) \otimes v,
\]

\[
g \otimes A, f \otimes v = (gf + dg \otimes df) \otimes Av,
\]

\[
g \otimes A, \sigma \otimes v = g\sigma \otimes Av,
\]

\[
[\omega, f \otimes v] = f\omega \otimes v,
\]

\[
[\omega, \sigma \otimes v] = 0.
\]

where \( W(3, 0) \) acts on \( \Omega^2(3) \) via Lie derivative. Finally, we identify \( W_3 \) with \( \Omega^2(3)^{-1} \) and \( \Omega^0(3) \) with \( \Omega^3(3)^{-1} \). Besides, we identify \( \Omega^2(3)^{-1/2} \) with \( W_5^2 \) and we denote by \( X_\omega \) the vector field corresponding to the 2-form \( \omega \) under this identification. Then, for \( \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega^2(3)^{-1/2} \), \( u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2 \), we have:

\[
[\omega_1 \otimes u_1, \omega_2 \otimes u_2] = (X_{\omega_1}(\omega_2) - (\text{div}(X_{\omega_2}))\omega_1)u_1 \wedge u_2,
\]

\[
[f_1 \otimes u_1, f_2 \otimes u_2] = df_1 \wedge df_2 \otimes u_1 \wedge u_2,
\]

\[
[f_1 \otimes u_1, \omega_1 \otimes u_2] = (f_{1\omega_1} + df_1 \wedge d(\text{div}(X_{\omega_1}))) \otimes u_1 \wedge u_2 - \frac{1}{2}(f_{1d\omega_1} - \omega_1 df_1) \otimes u_1 \wedge u_2,
\]

where, as in the description of \( E(3, 6) \), \( u_1 \cdot u_2 \) denotes an element in the symmetric square of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), i.e., an element in \( \mathfrak{sl}_2 \), and \( u_1 \wedge u_2 \) an element in the skew-symmetric square of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), i.e., a complex number. Let \( \{e_1, e_2\} \) be the standard basis of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and \( E, F, H \) the standard basis of \( \mathfrak{sl}_2 \). We shall often simplify notation by writing elements of \( E(3, 8) \) omitting the \( \otimes \) sign.

The so-called principal gradation of \( E(3, 8) \) is defined by:

\[
\deg x_i = -\deg \partial_{x_i} = 2, \quad \deg E = \deg F = \deg H = 0, \quad \deg dx_i = 2, \quad \deg e_i = -3.
\]

It is a gradation with 0-th graded component spanned by the elements \( x_i \partial_{x_j}, E, F, \text{ and } H \), and isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{sl}_3 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathbb{R} \). Besides, \( E(3, 8)_{-1} = \langle x_i e_1, x_i e_2 \mid i = 1, 2, 3 \rangle \), \( E(3, 8)_{-2} = \langle \partial_{x_i} \mid i = 1, 2, 3 \rangle \) and \( E(3, 8)_{-3} = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \).

In order to define the Lie conformal superalgebra \( RE(3, 8) \) we let \( \mathfrak{g} = E(3, 8) \) and we proceed as in Section [17]. We consider the following formal distributions in the variables \( z_1, z_2, z_3 \) with coefficients in \( \mathbb{R} \): \( a_i(z) = \delta(x - z) \partial_{x_i}, b_i(z) = \sum_{j \neq i} \partial_{x_j} \delta(x - z) d_{ij}, c(z) = \delta(x - z) \otimes c, e_i(z) = \delta(x - z) \otimes e_i \) and \( d_{ij}(z) = \delta(x - z) d_{ij} \otimes e_i \) for all \( i, j = 1, 2, 3 \), \( c \in \mathfrak{sl}_2 \) and \( l = 1, 2 \). The formal Fourier transform of the brackets between these formal distributions lead us to the following definition.
Definition 8.1. We consider the free $\mathbb{F}[\partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3]$-module generated by the following elements:
- $a_1, a_2, a_3$ even of degree $-2$,
- $b_1, b_2, b_3$ even of degree $2$,
- $E, F, H$ even of degree $0$, which are identified with the standard generators of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$,
- $e_1, e_2$ odd of degree $-3$, which are identified with the canonical basis of $F^2$,
- $d_{hkl}$ with $h, k = 1, 2, 3, l = 1, 2$, odd of degree $1$,
and define $RE(3, 8)$ as its quotient by the following relations:
- $\partial_1 b_1 + \partial_2 b_2 + \partial_3 b_3 = 0$,
- $d_{hkl} = -d_{khl}$,
with the following $\lambda$-brackets:
- $[a_i, a_j] = -(\lambda_i + \partial_i) a_j - \lambda_j a_i + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i (\lambda_j + \partial_j) \sum_k \lambda_k b_k$
- $[a_i, c] = -(\partial_i + \lambda_i) c$
- $[a_i, b_k] = -(\partial_i + \lambda_i) b_k + \delta_{ki} \sum_r \lambda_r b_r$
- $[c, b_j] = 0$
- $[b_i, b_k] = 0$
- $[e_i, e_j] = (j - i) \sum_{h,k} \varepsilon_{h,k} \lambda_k \partial_h a_{tkh}$
- $[e_i, d_{jkl}] = \varepsilon_{ij}(l-h) a_{tkj} + (i-h)(\lambda_{tjk} + \partial_{tjk}) \sum_r \lambda_r b_r + \frac{1}{2} (2\lambda_{tjk} + \partial_{tjk}) e_i \cdot e_h$
- $[d_{jkl}, e_j] = \varepsilon_{jk}(l-h)(1-\delta_{ks}) b_j$
- $[a_i, e_j] = -(\frac{3}{2} \lambda_i + \partial_i) e_j - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,r} \lambda_k \lambda_r \partial_r d_{krj}$
- $[c, e_j] = c e_j - \sum_{i,h} \lambda_i \partial_h d_{ih} \otimes c e_j$
- $[c, d_{ijh}] = [c, a_{ijh}]$
- $[b_i, c_k] = -\sum_i \lambda_i d_{ijk}$
- $[b_i, d_{jkl}] = 0$
- $[c, c'] = [c, c'] + \sum_k \text{tr}(cc') \lambda_k b_k$
- $[a_i, d_{hkl}] = -\left(\frac{3}{2} \lambda_i + \partial_i\right) d_{hkl} + \delta_{ih} \sum_j \lambda_j d_{jkl} - \delta_{ik} \sum_r \lambda_r d_{rlk}$,
for every $c, c' \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$.

Proposition 8.2. $RE(3, 8)$ is a Lie conformal superalgebra of type $(3, 0)$ which satisfies Assumptions 3.3.

Proof. It is enough to check that the $\lambda$-brackets are consistent with the relations and, as in the case of $E(3, 6)$, that the conformal Jacobi identity holds. This follows from a long and tedious but elementary computation and also from the fact that we constructed $RE(3, 8)$ as a
Theorem 8.3. The annihilation algebra $\mathfrak{g}(RE(3,8))$ is isomorphic, as $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie superalgebra, to $E(3,8)$ with principal gradation via the following map:

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_i y^M &\mapsto x^M \partial_{x_i} \\
    b_k y^M &\mapsto -\sum_{r \neq k} (\partial_{x_r} x^M) d_{rk} \\
    c y^M &\mapsto x^M \otimes c \\
    d_{jkl} y^M &\mapsto x^M d_{jk} \otimes e_l \\
    e_l y^M &\mapsto x^M \otimes e_l
\end{align*}
\]

for all $c \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$, $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$, $l = 1, 2$.

Proof. It is immediate to check that the map is well-defined. The proof that it is a linear isomorphism is similar to the case of $E(5,10)$. The fact that it is a Lie superalgebra homomorphism follows from the following relations which can be verified by direct computations:

1. $[a_i y^M, a_j y^N] = n_i a_j y^{M+N-e_i} - m_j a_i y^{M+N-e_j} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_k b_k (\partial_{y_k} y^M)(\partial_{y_k} y^N)$
2. $[a_i y^M, c y^N] = n_i c y^{M+N-e_i}$
3. $[a_i y^M, b_k y^N] = n_i b_k y^{M+N-e_i} + \delta_{ki} \sum_r m_r b_i y^{M+N-e_r}$
4. $[a_i y^M, e_j y^N] = (n_i - \frac{1}{2} m_i) e_j y^{M+N-e_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,r} d_{kr} (\partial_{y_k} \partial_{y_r} y^M)(\partial_{y_k} y^N)$
5. $[a_i y^M, d_{jkl} y^N] = (n_i - \frac{1}{2} m_i) d_{jkl} y^{M+N-e_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, r} \partial_{x_k} \partial_{x_r} (x^M)(x^N) d_{kr} e_j$
6. $[c y^M, c y^N] = [c, c] y^{M+N} + tr(ce) \sum_k m_k b_k y^{M+N-e_k}$
7. $[c y^M, b_k y^N] = 0$
8. $[c y^M, e_j y^N] = ce_j y^{M+N} + \sum_{i,h} m_i n_h d_{ih} \otimes ce_j y^{M+N-e_i}$
9. $[c y^M, c y^N] = (x^M + x^N) + \sum_{k,r} m_k n_r x^{M+N-e_r} d_{kr} e_j$
10. $[b_k y^M, b_k y^N] = 0$
11. $[b_k y^M, e_j y^N] = -\sum_i m_i d_{kij} y^{M+N-e_i}$
Remark 8.4. Let \( R = RE(3, 8) \). As in the case of \( E(3, 6) \), \( E(3, 8) \) admits \( \mathfrak{sl}_3 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathbb{F}Y \) as a possible structure algebra. Note that \( \text{ad } Y \) acts on \( \mathfrak{g}(R) \) as multiplication by \( j/3 \) and since \( \dim \mathfrak{g}(R)_{-1} = 6 \), \( \dim \mathfrak{g}(R)_{-2} = 3 \) and \( \dim \mathfrak{g}(R)_{-3} = 2 \), we have \( \text{str} \text{ad } Y_{\mathfrak{g}(R)_{-3}} = 2 \). This is consistent with the results of [18] on degenerate \( E(3, 8) \)-modules.
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