Silicon nanopore membrane (SNM) for islet encapsulation and immunoisolation under convective transport
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Problems associated with islet transplantation for Type 1 Diabetes (T1D) such as shortage of donor cells, use of immunosuppressive drugs remain as major challenges. Immune isolation using encapsulation may circumvent the use of immunosuppressants and prolong the longevity of transplanted islets. The encapsulating membrane must block the passage of host’s immune components while providing sufficient exchange of glucose, insulin and other small molecules. We report the development and characterization of a new generation of semipermeable ultrafiltration membrane, the silicon nanopore membrane (SNM), designed with approximately 7 nm-wide slit-pores to provide middle molecule selectivity by limiting passage of pro-inflammatory cytokines. Moreover, the use of convective transport with a pressure differential across the SNM overcomes the mass transfer limitations associated with diffusion through nanometer-scale pores. The SNM exhibited a hydraulic permeability of 130 ml/hr/m²/mmHg, which is more than 3 fold greater than existing polymer membranes. Analysis of sieving coefficients revealed 80% reduction in cytokines passage through SNM under convective transport. SNM protected encapsulated islets from infiltrating cytokines and retained islet viability over 6 hours and remained responsive to changes in glucose levels unlike non-encapsulated controls. Together, these data demonstrate the novel membrane exhibiting unprecedented hydraulic permeability and immune-protection for islet transplantation therapy.

Type 1 diabetes (T1D) results from autoimmune destruction of the insulin-producing β-cells within the pancreatic islets of Langerhans. Islet transplantation by direct infusion of cadaveric islets into the portal vein of the recipient's liver offers a non-invasive cure for patients with T1D mellitus1. However, donor availability, poor engraftment, and side effects from global immunosuppression remain as obstacles for wider application of this approach2–4. Moreover, up to 60% of the infused islets become non-viable within a few days after surgical delivery5 and the long-term insulin independence is frequently lost by 5 years of transplantation6. The activation of innate and the adaptive immune responses are among the main causes of islet graft failure7,8.

The idea of encapsulating islets using selective semi-permeable membranes to protect islets from the host’s immune system has generated tremendous interest9. The immunoisolating membranes would prevent the passage of the host’s immune factors, while allowing the exchange of glucose, insulin, nutrients and small molecules to sustain the function and viability of the graft. Although membranes with pores smaller than 1 μm can easily block immune cells (~10 μm), the blockage of molecules such as antibodies and cytokines proves to be a significant challenge. Previous studies showed that large antibody (IgM) and complement (C1q) were hindered using membranes with a maximum pore diameter of 30 nm10. For cytokines, the membranes must selectively discriminate between molecules on the scale of few nanometers, as shown by the molecular weights and Stokes diameters in Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha (TNF-α) (17,300 Da; 3.80 nm)11,12, and Interferon-gamma (IFN-γ) (15,600 Da; 3.67 nm)12,13, and Interleukin-1 beta (IL-1β) (17,500 Da; 3.81 nm)14,15 compared to glucose (180 Da; 0.82 nm)12,16 and insulin (5,800 Da; 2.64 nm)12,17. These cytokines are known to be synergistically cytotoxic to islets through
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production were evaluated to demonstrate the potential of SNM as an encapsulation material for islet immunoi-
butions (30%)20.

Separation of these cytokines as polymeric membranes frequently exhibit pore sizes with relatively broad distri-

Figure 1. Silicon nanoporous membranes (SNM). (a) An optical image of the SNM chip. (b) An SEM image of the surface of the membrane which illustrates nanopores with 2 μm in length. (c) An SEM image of the cross-

section of the membrane which illustrates one nanopore with 7 nm in width and 300 nm in depth.

a cascade of inflammatory events such as production of nitric oxide (NO) and chemokines, and trigger of endo-

plasmic reticulum stress18,19. Conventional polymeric membranes face enormous challenge for size-dependent separation of these cytokines as polymeric membranes frequently exhibit pore sizes with relatively broad distribu-
tions (30%)20.

Our lab has developed a new generation of encapsulating membranes for immunoisolation of transplanted islets based on microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) technology initially pioneered by Ferrari and col-

leagues21,22 to create more uniform pore sizes at nanometer scale. These semipermeable filtration membranes, termed silicon nanopore membranes (SNM), can be engineered with precise pore sizes down to 5 nm (Fig. 1)23 and a monodisperse pore size distribution (~1%) for superior selectivity20,23–25. The ability to engineer precise pore dimensions in a uniform manner enables SNM to discriminate larger immune components from smaller molecules that will pass into the encapsulated cells. When pore dimensions are of the same order as those of a solute molecule26, the slow diffusion significantly hinders transport of nutrients and oxygen. In contrast, convective transport is attractive as it offers a more efficient mass transfer where solutes actively move along with solvent flux due to applied pressure gradient. Our overall objective is an implantable bioartificial pancreas where transplanted islets are encapsulated between two SNM sheets in a device that will be mounted similarly to an artero-venous (AV) graft (Supplementary Fig. S1). The concept involves using the pressure difference between the artery and vein to generate ultrafiltrate and drive transport of glucose, insulin, and other small molecules through the SNM to support function of encased islets while preventing passage of immune components.

In this study, we focused on SNM design and fabrication, followed by characterization of its immunobar-
rier properties under cytokine challenge with convective transport, and assessment of SNM-encapsulated islet viability and glucose-insulin response. Specifically, hydraulic permeability measurement and solute selectiv-
ity for SNM were determined. Mouse islets were encapsulated between SNM in a closed mock-loop fluid cir-
cuit (Supplementary Fig. S2) under simulated physiological pressure difference in the presence of a cocktail of pro-inflammatory cytokines including TNF-α, IL-1 β, and IFN-γ. Islet viability and glucose stimulated insulin production were evaluated to demonstrate the potential of SNM as an encapsulation material for islet immunoi-

solation under convective transport.

Results and Discussion

MEMS fabrication technologies offers unprecedented potential in reproducibility and precision to engineer con-
trolled pore dimensions that can selectively block the passage of immune components while allowing transport of small molecules (e.g. glucose and insulin) to sustain the viability of the encased cells. In the present study, we characterized the permeability and selectivity of the SNM to prevent cytokine infiltration and assessed the func-
tional performance of SNM-encapsulated mouse islets in a mock-loop device under convective transport.

SNM design and fabrication. Previously, Desai et al. reported silicon-based micromachined nanochan-
nels that consisted of L-shaped pore paths with nanochannels running parallel to the membrane surface27–31. Although the design was effective in preventing diffusion of larger immunogenic molecules, the L-shaped path drastically reduced diffusion of smaller molecules of interest because of the long, indirect flow path and the less optimal pore density stemming from the large area per pore. The L-shaped pore design was also utilized in the islet-encapsulating Nanogland device, in which laterally positioned 3.6 and 5.7 nm nanochannels produced a reduction in glucose diffusivity by 40% and 25% respectively compared to the diffusivity in bulk medium28.

We have engineered a new generation of semipermeable membranes, SNM, with slit-pore designs initially investigated by Desai et al.27,22. The SNM exhibit a pore size distribution of ~1%13,16–18 and a consistent pore size control in the range of 5-15 nm24 (Fig. 1). The slit pore microarchitecture of SNM was achieved by dry oxida-
tion of polysilicon for the growth of silicon dioxide (SiO2) (Fig. 2D) and through backside patterning with deep ion-reactive etching (DRIE) which resulted in vertical sidewalls in each membrane window (Fig. 2H). This pro-
cess allows for fabrication of membranes with greater number of exposed nanopores per area compared to those with v-shaped sidewalls achieved by anisotropic KOH etching used by Desai et al.27. SNM were produced with an active membrane area (6 × 6 mm) consisting of ~104 rectangular slit pores with ~7 nm in width, 300 nm in depth, and 2 μm in length (Fig. 1). The travel path could be further optimized by lowering the thickness of the membrane which can easily be controlled by the thin film low-pressure chemical vapor deposition (LPCVD) (Fig. 2B) or dry etch process (Fig. 2G). The utilization of a sacrificial layer to define the nanopores resulted in a membrane with a straight slit-pore path that presents a shorter distance for molecules to travel compared to the previous “L” pore design. The pore geometry could further influence the trade-off between selectivity and permeability.
of the membranes. The permeability – selectivity analysis for ultrafiltration demonstrated that membranes with slit-shaped pores showed higher performance and greater selectivity at a given value of permeability, than membranes with cylindrical pores for pore size below 100 nm\(^2\)^{24}. To circumvent the slow concentration-dependent diffusion occurred in size-restricted nanoporous membranes, the concept of using convection-dominated transport is more advantageous in terms of creating faster solvent movement under transmembrane pressure gradient\(^3\), which efficiently drags small molecules such as glucose and insulin across membranes to the encapsulated cells.

**SNM permeability and selectivity characterization.** Permeability and selectivity of the SNM were characterized with the hydraulic permeability testing setup (Supplementary Fig. S3), which uses liquid flow through planar nanoporous membranes under tangential-flow filtration operation\(^3\)\(^4\). We demonstrated that SNM with pore sizes of 7 nm generated a hydraulic permeability of 130 ml/hr/m\(^2\)/mmHg, which is much greater compared with conventional polymer membranes (~40 ml/hr/m\(^2\)/mmHg) used in previous bioartificial pancreas devices\(^3\)\(^5\). To further demonstrate the feasibility of SNM for immunoisolation, we then characterized the membrane selectivity against transport of cytokines and small molecules using the pressure-driven ultrafiltration system (Supplementary Fig. S4). Solute transport was evaluated at ~2 psi driving pressure to mimic the typical physiological pressure difference between artery and vein\(^3\)\(^6\), which results in an ultrafiltration rate of ~4 ul/min. The membrane Peclet number (Pe) for the pressure-driven ultrafiltration system was significantly greater than 1, suggesting that convective transport dominates. The observed sieving coefficients (calculated using Eq. 1) should reflect the rejection characteristics of the membranes\(^3\)\(^7\). After 6 hours, the sieving coefficients of TNF-\(\alpha\), IFN-\(\gamma\), and IL-1\(\beta\) were 0.16, 0.27, and 0.27, respectively (Fig. 3). In contrast, the sieving coefficients of glucose and insulin quickly reached 1 (Fig. 3). These data collectively demonstrate that SNM provide about 80% rejection of cytokine passage, while allowing complete transport of small molecules. Because concentration polarization and transmembrane diffusion were negligible in this experimental system, the observed sieving coefficients should be equal to the product of the solution partition coefficient (\(\Phi\)) and the convective hindrance factor (\(K_c\)). Previously, Dechadilok and Deen derived an analytic expression for the product of \(\PhiK_c\) which describes a rigid sphere passing in a slit-shaped pore:\(\PhiK_c = 1 - 3.02\lambda^2 + 5.776\lambda^3 - 12.3675\lambda^4 + 18.9775\lambda^5 - 15.2185\lambda^6 + 4.8525\lambda^7\) (Eq. 2)^{3}\(^8\), where \(\lambda\) is the relative solute size indicating the ratio between the diameter of the molecule and the width of slit-pore channel. Based on the observed sieving coefficients of cytokines (Fig. 3), we can calculate the corresponding relative solute sizes \(\lambda\) from Deen's model (Eq. 2) for TNF-\(\alpha\), IFN-\(\gamma\), and IL-1\(\beta\) as 0.83, 0.74, and 0.74, respectively. The experimental relative solute sizes of these cytokines are larger than the theoretic values, as indicated by Stokes-Einstein's radius\(^3\)\(^1\) (Supplementary Fig. S5). This difference in relative solute sizes between the experimental and theoretical values could be explained by the fact that cytokines are not strictly spherical: TNF-\(\alpha\) is a packed cubic shape consisting of trimers formed with \(\beta\)-sheet structure\(^3\)\(^9\), IFN-\(\gamma\) is a globular dimer with flattened elliptical shaped subunits\(^3\)\(^0\), and IL-1\(\beta\) has \(\beta\)-strands wrapped around in a tetrahedron-like fashion\(^4\)\(^1\). Furthermore, the electrostatic interactions associated with diffuse electrical double layer (EDL) around charged
In this study, we have developed and characterized an improved silicon nanopore membrane, SNM, for the encapsulation of pancreatic islets under convective flow. The SNM structure was specifically designed to obtain a well-defined slit pore in the nanometer range with a remarkably high hydraulic permeability. Furthermore, the static culture incubated with cytokines showed a more than 2.2-fold increase in cell death compared to the static culture without cytokines, mock-loop device without cytokines, and mock-loop flow cell device with cytokines (Fig. 4). Moreover, no significant change in islet viability was observed among the static culture without cytokines, mock-loop device without cytokines, and mock-loop flow cell device with cytokines (Fig. 4). Additionally, the static culture without cytokines, mock-loop device without cytokines, and mock-loop flow cell device with cytokines demonstrated a 3.0-fold, 2.6-fold, and 4.1-fold changes, respectively, in the amount of insulin secreted during high glucose challenge compared with those secreted during low glucose challenge, respectively (Fig. 5). However, the static culture incubated with cytokines exhibited little variation in insulin secretion upon changes in glucose level (Fig. 5) due to loss in islet viability (Fig. 4). The glucose challenge demonstrated that the SNM-encapsulated mouse islets responded properly to changes in glucose level, whereas cytokine-infiltrating mouse islets lost their insulin-secreting ability to sense glucose stimuli. These data confirmed the usefulness of SNM to provide desired immunoisolation to support the viability and functional performance of the encapsulated islets.

In this study, we have developed and characterized an improved silicon nanopore membrane, SNM, for the encapsulation of pancreatic islets under convective flow. The SNM structure was specifically designed to obtain a well-defined slit pore in the nanometer range with a remarkably high hydraulic permeability. Furthermore, we have showed for the first time that SNM achieved high molecule selectivity against middle molecules such as cytokines under convective transport and provided adequate immune-protection to the encapsulated islets while generating sufficient filtrate to support viability and functionality of the encapsulated islets. Successful islet encapsulation with SNM could potentially reduce the immunosuppressive drugs and their side effects resulted from current therapies and lead to the possibility of encasing xenogeneic and stem-cell derived cell sources to overcome donor shortage for T1D treatment in the future. Further work is needed to optimize the SNM, including configuration of the slit-pores and extra reduction of membrane thickness, and study SNM-encapsulated graft performance and its immune-barrier function ex vivo.
Methods

Experimental overview. SNM were fabricated to produce an active membrane area (6 × 6 mm) consisting of ~10^6 rectangular slit pores with ~7 nm in width, 300 nm in depth, and 2 μm in length (Fig. 1). The surface of SNM was subsequently modified with polyethylene glycol (PEG) to minimize protein fouling. All SNM membranes

Figure 4. In vitro viability of mouse islets under cytokine exposure. (A) Viability of SNM-encapsulated mouse islets was measured following the 6 hr experiment in which islets were subjected to culture solution circulating the mock-loop circuit at 5 ml/min with a pressure difference of 2 psi. (B) Viable (green) and dead (red) cells were stained for control static culture (a,b) and SNM-encapsulated mouse islets (c,d). Experiments with cytokine exposure (indicated by + Ck) consisted of media containing TNF-α, IFN-γ, and IL-1β. The viability of islets was calculated based on the ratio of dead cells (in red) over the islet area. Viabilities of islets in static cultures were evaluated as control for comparison. SNM protected encapsulated mouse islets from pro-inflammatory cytokines (SNM, + Ck), which showed similar viability to SNM-encapsulated mouse islets without cytokine exposure (SNM,− Ck) and control static culture without cytokine exposure (Control,− Ck). Control static culture with cytokine exposure (Control, + Ck) showed significantly more cell death compared with other groups. (n > 3, *p < 0.05).

Figure 5. Glucose-stimulated insulin release of mouse islets in the SNM-encapsulation chamber and in static culture. Islets were subjected to media containing low-glucose, high-glucose, and low-glucose for 15 min each. Experiments with cytokine exposure (indicated by + Ck) consisted of culture solution containing TNF-α, IFN-γ, and IL-1β. The static culture without cytokines (Control,− Ck), mock-loop device without cytokines (SNM,− Ck), and mock-loop flow cell device exposed with cytokines (SNM, + Ck) had a 3.0-fold, 2.6-fold, and 4.1-fold increase in the amount of insulin secreted during high glucose challenge over those secreted during low glucose phase, respectively. However, the control static culture with cytokine exposure (Control, + Ck) secreted limited amount of insulin upon high glucose challenge due to the dead cells damaged by cytokine infiltration. (n > 3, *p < 0.05).
in this study were tested with an average pore size of ~7 nm. We first analyzed the transport of small solutes including cytokines across a single SNM using a pressure-driven filtration assembly (Supplementary Fig. S4). To mimic the proposed bioartificial pancreas device with convective ultrafiltration under physiological pressure, we constructed a benchtop mock-loop circuit consisting of a three-layer flow cell with two enclosed SNMs (Supplementary Fig. S2), where the top, middle, and bottom compartments recapitulated the “artery”, “encapsulated islet chamber”, and “vein”, respectively. We subsequently characterized the percentage of cytokines, glucose, and insulin within the different locations of the mock-loop device. Finally, we tested the viability and glucose-insulin response of the SNM-encapsulated mouse islets in the mock-loop circuit with circulating cytokines.

**Substrate preparation.** Silicon Nanopore Membranes (SNM) architecture and fabrication. Silicon nanopore membranes (SNM) have been prototyped from silicon substrates by MEMS technology as previously reported\(^{23,45-48}\) with some modifications (Fig. 2). Briefly, the process used the growth of a thin SiO\(_2\) (oxide) layer on 400 μm-thick double side polished (DSP) silicon wafers followed by a low pressure chemical vapor deposition (LPCVD) of polycrystalline (~500 nm). The wafers were then specifically patterned, dry oxidized, wet etched, deposited with a second polysilicon layer, and finally blanket etched until 400 nm of polysilicon remained and the underlying vertical oxide layer was exposed. The vertical sacrificial oxide layer defined the critical nanoscale pore size of the membranes. The low temperature oxide (LTO) (~1 μm) was deposited onto polysilicon of the wafers to serve as the hard mask for membrane protection. Deep reactive ion etching (DRIE) removed the backside of each wafer until membranes were disclosed. Eventually, the sacrificial oxide was etched away in 49% hydrofluoric acid (HF) during the final step of the fabrication process to leave behind open nanoscale slit pores. The wafers were subsequently cut into 1 x 1 cm chips containing an effective area of 6 x 6 cm\(^2\) containing 1500 windows each, with a total of 10\(^6\) pores per membrane. Each rectangular pore was 7 nm in width, 300 nm in depth, and 2 μm in length. All membranes were cleaned using a conventional “piranha” clean procedure, which involved a 20 min-immersion in 3:1 sulfuric acid (H\(_2\)SO\(_4\))/hydrogen peroxide (H\(_2\)O\(_2\)) mixture, followed by thorough rinses in deionized (DI) water. Images of SNM were obtained using scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Leo 1550) (Fig. 1).

**Surface modification of SNM with poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG).** SNM were covalently modified with PEG using a previously reported protocol\(^{47}\) with some modifications to prevent protein fouling on the membrane surface. The technique used for PEG attachment involved a single reaction step which covalently couples silicon surface silanol group (Si-OH) to a chain of PEG polymer through a trimethoxysilane group forming a Si-O-Si-PEG sequence. Briefly, SNM were immersed in a solution of 3 mM 2-[methoxy(polyethyleneoxy)propyl] trimethoxysilane (PEG-silane) (Gelest: SIM692.7) in toluene for 2 hr at 70°C. A series of extensive washing steps involving toluene, ethanol, and DI water were used to rinse away unbounded PEG residue.

**Hydraulic permeability for SNM pore size characterization.** An automated mass and pressure measurement system was utilized for characterizing liquid flow through the SNM under a tangential-flow filtration operation\(^{34}\). The pore size of the SNM can be related to filtration flow parameters using \(h = \frac{12h\mu Q}{W \Delta P}\) (Eq. 3), where \(h\) is pore width, \(\mu\) is the viscosity, \(l\) is the membrane thickness, \(Q\) is the volumetric flow rate, \(n\) is the number of pores per membrane, \(w\) is the pore length, and \(\Delta P\) is the transmembrane pressure\(^{34}\). To assemble the overall system for SNM pore size characterization (Supplementary Fig. S3), airstream was applied through a syringe pump (Sigma: Z675709) into a water reservoir. Water was circulated by a peristaltic pump (Masterflex: 07551-00) through a differential pressure transducer (Omega: PX429 015GI), a flow cell with enclosed membrane, and returned to the original water reservoir. The flow cell was assembled with the SNM submerged under water to remove air bubbles from all compartments. Specifically, a membrane was positioned with the polysilicon interface facing down with a customized silicone gasket positioned on top of the membrane, followed by the final placement of a filtrate chamber on top of the gasket. All sections were fastened together and secured to the base with hand-tightened hex bolts until gasket was visibly compressed. The ultrafiltrate permeated through the membrane was routed to a liquid collection container that rested on a precision mass balance (Mettler Toledo: XS205). Measurements from the differential pressure transducer and the mass balance were automatically collected with a data acquisition laptop. A typical membrane hydraulic permeability test consisted of 5 ml/min flow rate and 4 pressure cycles (5, 1, 5, and 1 psi) for durations of 150 s each. Using the specifications for pore length, membrane thickness, and total number of pores provided based on individual wafer designs, the average pore size of SNM was calculated using the specifications for pore length, membrane thickness, and total number of pores provided based on individual wafer designs, the average pore size of SNM was calculated using the equation below:

\[
\text{Pore Size} = \frac{\text{Number of Pores}}{\text{Area of Membrane}}
\]

Finally, we used this equation to determine the average pore size of ~7 nm.
The sieving coefficients of solutes across SNM were calculated using $S = \frac{C_f}{C_b}$ (Eq. 1), where $S$ is the sieving coefficient, $C_f$ is the concentration of the solute in the filtrate, and $C_b$ is the molecule concentration in the bulk retentate solution.

**Solute distribution in the mock-loop circuit.** We assembled a mock-loop circuit with three flow cell components without cells (Supplementary Fig. S2) to mimic the architecture of the final bioartificial pancreas device. Briefly, two SNM with customized silicone gasket frames were sandwiched in between three flow cell components. The middle flow cell was the encapsulation chamber comprised of a cylindrical chamber separating the two membranes. A peristaltic pump drove the fluid through the top of the flow cell mimicking the “artery”, then over the bottom of the flow cell resembling “vein”, and finally back to the original reservoir. For convective experiments, a three-way valve was used to create flow resistance for a physiological pressure difference ~2 psi between the top and the bottom compartments of the flow cell. Ultrafiltration occurred in the middle encapsulation chamber at this pressure difference. To study the transport of cytokotkines through the three-layered bioartificial pancreas device, solution consisting of mouse cytokines TNF-$\alpha$ (1000 U/ml), IFN-$\gamma$ (1000 U/ml), and IL-1$\beta$ (50 U/ml), glucose (400 mg/dL), insulin (150 mU/L) in 3% BSA was circulated through the circuit at a flow rate of 5 ml/min. Silicon membranes with 1000 nm-wide slit pores (S$\mu$M) were used as the control. Solutions were collected and analyzed with ELISA at the end of 6-hr experiments for the top, middle, and bottom chambers.

**Culture of membrane-encapsulated islets in the mock-loop circuit.** All procedures described involving isolation of mouse islets were performed in accordance with protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF). Mouse islets were isolated from 8 to 10-week-old male B6 mice (Jackson Laboratories) based on previously described protocols. Harvested islets were maintained in suspension culture with RPMI 1640 with L-glutamine and 11.1 mM glucose (Gibco: 11875-093), 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco: 16000), and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (P/S) (UCSF Cell Culture Facility: CCFGK003). A group of 500 mouse islets were introduced into the middle encapsulation chamber of the mock-loop device (Supplementary Fig.S2). To evaluate cell performance with cytokine exposure, the circuit reservoir was replaced with culture medium added with TNF-$\alpha$ (1000 U/ml), IFN-$\gamma$ (1000 U/ml), and IL-1$\beta$ (50 U/ml) for 6 hrs. Static culture conditions with or without cytokine exposure were used as the controls. Mouse islets were subsequently isolated for viability testing (2.3.4) and glucose challenge (2.3.5).

**Islet viability.** Islet viability was assessed by double staining with fluorescein diacetate (FDA) (Sigma: F7378) and propidium iodide (PI) (Sigma: 287075) as described by protocol (SOP Document: 3104, A02) from National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID). Briefly, mouse islets were incubated in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.067 $\mu$M FDA and 4.0 $\mu$M PI for 30 min and extensively washed in PBS to remove excess staining. Images of mouse islets were obtained using laser scanning Nikon C1si confocal microscope (Nikon Instruments). Viability of islets was calculated based on the ratio between the number of live cells in the islet and the area of that islet.

**Glucose stimulated insulin secretion assay.** Mouse islets retrieved from the middle chamber of the mock-loop circuit were rested in RPMI 1640 containing 30 mg/dL glucose (Gibco: 11879) for 15 minutes before exposed to medium containing 300 mg/dL glucose for 15 minutes. After glucose stimulation, the islets were then returned to medium containing 30 mg/dL glucose. Supernatant was collected every 5 minutes during the series of incubations and insulin content was measured with mouse insulin ELISA kits (Mercodia:10-1247-01) and normalized by extracted total protein concentration (Thermo: 78505; 23225).

**Statistical analysis.** Sample pairs were analyzed using Student’s t-test. Multiple samples were evaluated with one-way or two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni and multiple comparison using Graphpad Prism software (San Diego, CA). A $p$ value of < 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant for all analyses.
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