Auditory stream segregation refers to a segregated percept of signal streams with different acoustic features. Different approaches have been pursued in studies of stream segregation. In psychoacoustics, stream segregation has mostly been investigated with a subjective task asking the subjects to report their percept. Few studies have applied an objective task in which stream segregation is evaluated indirectly by determining thresholds for a percept that depends on whether auditory streams are segregated or not. Furthermore, both perceptual measures and physiological measures of brain activity have been employed but only little is known about their relation. How the results from different tasks and measures are related is evaluated in the present study using examples relying on the ABA- stimulation paradigm that apply the same stimuli. We presented A and B signals that were sinusoidally amplitude modulated (SAM) tones providing purely temporal, spectral or both types of cues to evaluate perceptual stream segregation and its physiological correlate. Which types of cues are most prominent was determined by the choice of carrier and modulation frequencies ($f_{\text{mod}}$) of the signals. In the subjective task subjects reported their percept and in the objective task we measured their sensitivity for detecting time-shifts of B signals in an ABA- sequence. As a further measure of processes underlying stream segregation we employed functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). SAM tone parameters were chosen to evoke an integrated (1-stream), a segregated (2-stream), or an ambiguous percept by adjusting the $f_{\text{mod}}$ difference between A and B tones ($\Delta f_{\text{mod}}$). The results of both psychoacoustical tasks are significantly correlated. BOLD responses in fMRI depend on $\Delta f_{\text{mod}}$ between A and B SAM tones. The effect of $\Delta f_{\text{mod}}$, however, differs between auditory cortex and frontal regions suggesting differences in representation related to the degree of perceptual ambiguity of the sequences.
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the psychoacoustical tasks relied on a subjective perceptual judgment (e.g., Van Noorden, 1975; Vliegen and Oxenham, 1999; Grimault et al., 2002; Roberts et al., 2002; Micheyl et al., 2005; Gutschalk et al., 2007; Micheyl and Oxenham, 2010; Dollezal et al., 2012b). In the subjective task, subjects simply report their streaming percept. Few studies employed objective tasks (Van Noorden, 1975; Neff et al., 1982; Vliegen et al., 1999; Cusack and Roberts, 2000; Roberts et al., 2002; Micheyl and Oxenham, 2010; Thompson et al., 2011). In the objective task, the subject’s perceptual threshold is determined using stimulus conditions in which threshold sensitivity is enhanced by one perceptual organization and hampered by the other (i.e., 1- and the 2-stream percept). Thus, in the objective task the streaming percept is inferred from the measured perceptual sensitivity. The different measures that were used range from the evaluation of perception to the assessment of the brain activity by applying invasive or non-invasive measurement techniques. Since few studies compared results obtained with different tasks (Micheyl and Oxenham, 2010) and measures (Gutschalk et al., 2007; Wilson et al., 2007), we have only little evidence how well these results are correlated.

Here, we investigate the correlation of the extent of stream segregation for sinusoidally amplitude modulated (SAM) A and B signals across two different psychoacoustical tasks and across two different measures (i.e., subjective psychoacoustical task and fMRI) providing a comprehensive approach to auditory stream segregation. The comparison across different psychoacoustical tasks involves an objective and a subjective task presenting signals with identical sound features to the same subjects. We propose that the thresholds obtained in the objective task are correlated with the subjective percept of stream segregation indicating that either task allows measuring the amount of perceptual stream segregation. Since any salient difference between sequential signals may elicit stream segregation (Moore and Gockel, 2002, 2012), we expect that a correlation will be found irrespective whether temporal or spectral cues can be utilized to differentiate between A and B signals. As is outlined in the methods below, SAM signals offer temporal, spectral, or both types of cues for stream segregation dependent on the modulation frequency ($f_{\text{mod}}$) and carrier frequency ($f_c$), respectively. By an appropriate choice of $f_c$ and $f_{\text{mod}}$ for the SAM tone stimulus sequences the amount of stream segregation between A and B SAM tones elicited by spectral cues and temporal cues can be varied (Dolležal et al., 2012a).

The comparison across measures includes the combination of the subjective task with fMRI. Previous human fMRI and MEG studies using either spectral (Deike et al., 2004, 2016; Gutschalk et al., 2005; Snyder et al., 2006; Wilson et al., 2007) or temporal (Gutschalk et al., 2007) differences between A and B stimuli consistently showed an increase of activity throughout the auditory cortex combined with a change of the dominant percept from 1-stream to 2-stream with increasing difference between stimuli. Based on these results we propose that A and B SAM tones show the same $\Delta f_{\text{mod}}$ dependent activity in auditory cortex irrespective of the type of cue (i.e. spectral vs. temporal). The second goal pursued in obtaining fMRI activity measurements was to find further evidence of the specific involvement of regions outside the auditory cortex in stream segregation which is still an open question in auditory streaming research. Cusack (2005), e.g., found that the intraparietal sulcus was differentially involved depending on the perceptual organization of physically identical stimuli in perceptual ambiguous sequences with a stronger BOLD activation for the segregated two-stream compared to the integrated one-stream percept. Such a segmentation specific activation in the absence of physical differences was not found by Dykstra et al. (2011) when using intracranial EEG in neurosurgical patients with epilepsy. However, he observed a $\Delta f$ dependent activity in middle temporal gyrus, pre- and post-central gyri, inferior and middle frontal gyri, and the supra-marginal gyrus. The human fMRI study by Kondo and Kashino (2009) found neural correlates of perceptual switching in the posterior insula, thalamus, and supra-marginal gyrus. Finally, some other studies did not describe evidence for the involvement of regions outside auditory areas in stream segregation (e.g., Wilson et al., 2007).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**SUBJECTS**

**Psychoacoustical measurements**

Six human subjects (age 25–44 years, mean age 30 years, five females, including the first author) participated in two main experiments (Experiment 1 and 2; ABA- sequences) comparing subjective and objective psychoacoustical measures of stream segregation. All subjects had normal audiograms, with absolute pure tone thresholds <20 dB hearing level in the range from 0.25–10 kHz. Four of the subjects had previous experience with psychoacoustic experiments. In a control experiment (applying the conditions of Experiment 1 in B-only sequences) four of the subjects (age 25–42 years, mean age 30 years, three females, including the first author) participated. All experiments were undertaken with the understanding and written informed consent of each subject, following the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki). The experiments were approved by the local ethics committee of the University of Oldenburg. In addition to these psychoacoustical measurements in quiet, the subjective streaming percept was determined during fMRI (see fMRI measurements).

**fMRI measurements**

In Experiment 1, 13 subjects (age 20–31 years, mean age 26 years, five female) and in Experiment 2, 10 subjects (age 20–33 years, mean age 26 years, four female) participated. One subject participated in both experiments. Due to technical problems, psychophysical data of one subject in Experiment 2 are missing and only the data of 9 subjects were analyzed. All but one subject were right-handed (Edinburgh Handedness Inventory; laterality quotient $\geq +45$) and this one subject was ambidextrous (laterality quotient: 18). All showed a language laterality toward the left hemisphere tested as described in Bethmann et al. (2007). The subjects gave written informed consent to the study that was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Magdeburg. Five additional participants were excluded from the final analysis: one because of more than five missing responses and four because of head movements during...
the fMRI-measurement that were stronger than 2.3 mm translation and/or 2.3° rotation.

APPARATUS, STIMULI, AND PROCEDURE

Stimuli
In the present study ABA- sequences (the dash indicates a silent interval of the same duration as the signal duration) were presented that consisted of fully sinusoidally amplitude modulated tones (SAM). ABA- sequences are commonly applied to determine the amount of stream segregation by varying the physical difference between A and B signals (Van Noorden, 1975). A and B signals with small physical differences are perceptually grouped into a single sequence (i.e., 1-stream percept) with a galloping rhythm (i.e., ABA-ABA-ABA-...), whereas A and B signals with large physical differences are perceptually segregated to two streams (i.e., 2-stream percept) with different isochronous rhythms (i.e., A-A-A-A-A-A-... and -B---B---B---...). For A and B signals with intermediate physical differences subjects may have an ambiguous percept, that is characterized by a switching between the 1- and the 2-stream percept (e.g., Moore and Gockel, 2002, 2012).

The SAM tones were digitally synthesized in Matlab (Version 7.1) at a sampling frequency of 44.1 kHz and produced by a Hammerfall DSP (Multiface II, RME). These signals (10 ms raised cosine rise/fall) had a duration of 125 ms and were presented at an overall presentation level of 70 dB SPL with a tone repetition time (TRT) of 250 ms. SAM tones have the advantage that the cosines of the B SAM tones (Van Noorden, 1975). A and B signals of 1 kHz and an fmod A of 100 Hz. For each condition three fmod differences between A and B SAM tones (Δfmod) were chosen to evoke a 1-stream, a 2-stream and an ambiguous percept for the tested conditions, respectively (see Table 1). The value of Δfmod was adjusted based on the study by Dolležal et al. (2012a). Dolležal et al. (2012a) also presented ABA SAM tone sequences, but they used either a TRT of 125 ms or a TRT of 375 ms for SAM tones of 125 ms duration. Based on their results the preset study chose for both experiments Δfmod stimulus conditions that enable a comparison of stream segregation elicited by spectral and non-spectral cues. In Experiment 1 such a comparison can be made at the medium Δfmod condition and in Experiment 2 at the large Δfmod condition (Table 1; see Dolležal et al., 2012a). The results obtained in the present study were compared across two different psychoacoustical tasks and across two different measures (i.e., subjective psychoacoustical task and fMRI) for all Δfmod stimulus conditions.

Psychoacoustical measurements
Psychoacoustical data were obtained in two different locations (Oldenburg and Magdeburg). In Oldenburg all subjects participated in the subjective and in the objective task in quiet in a sound-attenuating chamber (IAC, Industrial Acoustics Company, Mini 250). The stimuli were presented diotically with calibrated headphones (Sennheiser HD A 200). In Magdeburg, subjects participated in the subjective task during fMRI. Written instructions and additional verbal explanations, if necessary, were given to the subjects before the beginning of the tasks.

Table 1 | Stimulus conditions for Experiment 1 and Experiment 2.

| Ex 1/Ex 2 | fmod A [kHz] | fmod A [Hz] | Δfmod [Hz] | Δfmod [oct] | Δfmod [Hz] | Δfmod [oct] |
|-----------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| EXPERIMENT 1, EFFECTS OF fmod A | 100 | 33 | 0.415 | 100 | 1.0 | 300 | 2.0 |
| EXPERIMENT 2, EFFECTS OF fmod | 100 | 33 | 0.415 | 100 | 1.0 | 300 | 2.0 |

For each experiment, the carrier frequency (fc), the modulation frequency of the A SAM tone (fmod A) and the modulation frequency differences between A and B SAM tones (Δfmod) are indicated. Δfmod conditions that are printed in bold typeface show conditions in which stream segregation was can be explained on the basis of spectral cues (Dolležal et al., 2012a) estimated from a model calculating excitation pattern differences between the A and the B SAM tones in the inner ear. Spectral cues provided by Δfmod conditions that are printed in regular typeface are unlikely to be sufficient for stream segregation.
Objective task in quiet. Subjects started the experiment with the objective task in quiet. To measure objectively the perceptual segregation of the A and B SAM tones, subjects performed a shift detection task (Figure 1) in a Go/NoGo experiment determining the detection of a time shifted B SAM tone in the ABA-sequence. Thresholds obtained with the shift detection task should be smaller for ABA-sequences that are perceptually integrated into one stream than for ABA-sequences that are perceptually segregated to two streams (e.g., Van Noorden, 1975). In the present study, subjects listened to the presentation of a repeated ABA-triplet without a time shifted B SAM tone. Within 1 to 7 s (randomized time interval) after subjects started a trial by pressing a button on the touch screen either a forward shifted B SAM tone (Go-stimulus) replaced the regular B SAM tone or no replacement took place and a regular B SAM tone was presented (NoGo-stimulus, 30% of trials). If subjects detected the Go-stimulus in time (response latency < 1 s) by pushing a button on a touch screen, a correct response (hit) was registered and a green light flashed. If the subjects missed the Go-stimulus, a miss was recorded and the next trial was automatically initiated. The Go-response in this complex time-shift detection task could be based on the evaluation of the time interval between the A SAM tone and the successive B SAM tone or on the time interval between two sequential B SAM tones. Responses to NoGo-stimuli (false alarms) were registered too. Hit and false alarm rates were used to calculate the sensitivity measure $d'$ (Green and Swets, 1966; see data analysis, psychoacoustics). For threshold estimation in each stimulus condition (Table 1) subjects had to complete a minimum of three sessions consisting of one obligatory training session and two subsequent test sessions (within each session a specific Go-stimulus was presented 10 times). A session lasted for about 20 min and consisted of eleven blocks of ten trials each. The first block of each session served as a warm-up block in which only the most salient Go-stimuli were presented. Each of the remaining ten blocks consisted of seven different Go-stimuli and three NoGo-stimuli that were presented in a random order. The Go-stimuli with a time shifted B SAM tone (step size 6.25 or 12.5 ms; i.e., 5 or 10% of the SAM tone duration) were chosen according to the method of constant stimuli. The range of the time shifts imposed on the B SAM tone was individually adjusted before each session to provide both sub-threshold and supra-threshold Go-stimuli. After each session a psychometric function was constructed relating the hits and misses of seven different Go-stimuli (different amounts of a time shifted B SAM tone) to $d'$-values (a measure of sensitivity for detecting the shift; see Figure 2). Between threshold sessions presenting different stimulus conditions a minimum pause of 5 min occurred. Within the objective task in quiet, the threshold estimation for the different stimulus conditions was randomized.

In addition to the objective task in quiet presenting ABA-sequences with time shifted B SAM tones, a control experiment...
(for all stimulus conditions of Experiment 1) was conducted presenting B-only sequences. In B-only sequences only B SAM tones (omitting the A SAM tones) were presented (−B−−B−−B−−) resulting in a TRT of 1000 ms. This experiment mimics a condition with a completely segregated percept, in which subjects solely rely on the stream of B SAM tones for the shift detection.

**Subjective task in quiet.** After performing in the objective task in quiet, subjects participated in the subjective task in quiet. Here, the same stimulus conditions as in the objective task were applied. ABA- sequences (15 s duration) of each stimulus condition were presented six times in randomized order. A pause of 45 s was introduced between the presentation of ABA-sequences of different \( f_c \) and \( f_{mod} \). After the presentation of each ABA-sequence subjects were instructed to indicate their percept (e.g., 1- or 2-stream percept) on a touch screen (Elo, 1542L, 15”, Rear-Mount Touch-monitor). Then, the next ABA-sequence with another randomly chosen stimulus condition was initiated. Before starting the experiments in the subjective task in quiet, subjects attended a training session to familiarize with the task.

**Subjective task during fMRI.** During fMRI measurements, the subjects were presented with the same stimuli as in the subjective task in quiet. The duration of the stimulus sequences was increased to 16 s to adapt to the repetition time (TR = 2000 ms) of the functional echo planar imaging (EPI) sequence. Each of the three conditions (small, medium and large \( \Delta f_{mod} \)) were presented 10 times for each \( f_{mod,A} \) (100, 300 Hz) in Experiment 1 and for each \( f_c \) (1, 4 kHz) in Experiment 2, respectively, resulting in the presentation of 60 sequences per experiment. For each experiment, the order of the 60 sequences was pseudo-randomized with silence blocks of 16 s duration in between, which served as baseline condition. The stimuli were presented diotically via fMRI compatible headphones (Baumgart et al., 1998) at an individually adjusted, comfortable sound level, using Presentation (Neurobehavioral Systems Inc., San Francisco, USA). During the fMRI measurements, the subjects’ heads were fixed with a cushion with attached earmuffs containing the headphones. Additionally, the subjects wore earplugs.

Prior to the fMRI measurements, the subjects received written instructions and additional verbal explanations if necessary. The subjects were asked to listen to the sound sequences and to indicate their percept at the end of each sequence by pressing the left button on a response panel with their right index finger when they perceived the SAM tones as one coherent stream, and the right button with their right middle finger when they perceived them as two separate streams. All button presses were recorded using Presentation (Neurobehavioral Systems Inc., San Francisco, USA) to test the perception of the SAM tone sequences under background scanner noise conditions. To familiarize the subjects with the sound sequences and the task, prior to the actual measurements, they were exposed to sequences, which most likely promote one or the other perceptual alternative, i.e., the 1-stream and the 2-stream percept, respectively.

**FMRI measurements and data acquisition**

The study was carried out on a 3 Tesla scanner (Siemens Trio; Erlangen, Germany) equipped with an eight channel head coil. A three-dimensional anatomical data set of the subject’s brain (192 slices of 1 mm each) was obtained before the functional measurement. Additionally, before each functional run an Inversion-Recovery-Echo-Planar-Imaging (IR-EPI) with the identical geometry as in the functional measurement was acquired. Functional volumes were collected using a continuous EPI sequence (echo time TE = 30 ms; TR = 2000 ms; flip angle = 80°; 32 slices; matrix size = 64 × 64; field of view (FOV) = 19.2 cm², 3 mm isotropic resolution). The total experiment comprised 968 volumes scanned in 32 min 16 s.

**DATA ANALYSIS**

**Psychoacoustical measurements**

Psychoacoustical data were analyzed with repeated-measures analyses of variance (rmANOVAs, IBM SPSS Statistics Version 21.0). In all rmANOVAs, we report the F-values, the p-values and the partial \( \eta^2 \), a non-additive value representing the “variance accounted-for” measure of the effect size, which can vary from 0 to 1 for the main effects. Post-hoc Tukey tests were Bonferroni corrected.

**Objective task.** For the threshold estimation of a stimulus condition data from two consecutive valid sessions in which thresholds differed by no more than 6.25 ms (i.e., 5% of the SAM tone duration) from each other were combined. A session was accepted as being valid based on two criteria: (1) Subject had a mean hit rate of 80% of the two easiest Go-stimuli (largest time shifts of the B SAM tone) and (2) their false alarm rate (NoGo-stimuli) was below 20%. Based on the rates of hits and misses, a psychometric function was constructed relating \( d' \)-values to each of the time shifts. By linearly interpolating between adjacent values of the psychometric function a shift detection threshold was determined as the time shift resulting in a \( d' \)-value of 1.8 (Green and Swets, 1966: Figure 2). To exclude training effects, the stimulus conditions of each experiment were randomized. Furthermore, after thresholds for all stimulus conditions were obtained subjects had to repeat the threshold measurement for the first condition of the series. If the new shift detection threshold differed by more than 6.25 ms from the shift detection threshold obtained in the first run subjects had to repeat measurements until the new threshold matched the threshold obtained in the first measurement (threshold difference ≤ 6 ms). In these cases the repeated shift detection threshold was taken for further analysis, discarding the previously measured threshold. In the rmANOVA, the shift detection thresholds were analyzed in relation to the stimulus condition (\( \Delta f_{mod} \)) and \( f_{mod,A} \) (Experiment 1) or \( f_c \) (Experiment 2).

**Subjective task.** For each subject and each condition the mean proportion of a 2-stream percept was calculated from the presentations of 6 (in quiet) or 10 sequences (during fMRI), respectively, per condition and then averaged across subjects. The proportion of a 2-stream percept in relation to the stimulus condition (\( \Delta f_{mod} \)) and \( f_{mod,A} \) (Experiment 1) or \( f_c \) (Experiment 2) was analyzed in a rmANOVA. The effect of the condition
of presentation (in quiet or during fMRI) on the proportion of a 2-stream percept was tested as between-subjects factor.

fMRI measurements
The functional data were analyzed using BrainVoyager™ QX (Brain Innovation, Maastricht, Netherlands). A standard sequence of pre-processing steps, such as 3D-motion correction, linear trend removal, and filtering with a high-pass of three cycles per scan was performed. The functional data sets were projected to the IR-EPI-images, co-registered with the 3D-data sets, and then transformed to Talairach space.

For each experiment separately, a conjunction analysis using a multi-subject random-effects general linear model (RFX-GLM) was performed to identify brain regions which showed positive deflections of the BOLD signal in at least one of the 3 conditions compared to the baseline \((t \geq 4.5, p < 0.002\) (uncorrected for multiple comparisons), cluster threshold: 108 mm³) for each of the two stimulus variants:

Experiment 1: \(f_{\text{mod A}} 100 \text{ Hz} \) > baseline AND \(f_{\text{mod A}} 300 \text{ Hz} \) > baseline,
Experiment 2: \(f_c 1 \text{ kHz} \) > baseline AND \(f_c 4 \text{ kHz} \) > baseline.

The analysis included %-transformed functional data of all subjects and used the standard 2-gamma hemodynamic response function implemented in BrainVoyager™ QX. From the resulting clusters volumes-of-interest (VOIs) were defined. The BOLD responses of each VOI were subjected to repeated-measures analyses of variance (rmANOVAs) testing for the within factors condition (Experiment 1 and 2: small, medium and large \(\Delta f_{\text{mod A}}\), \(f_{\text{mod A}}\)-variant (Experiment 1: 100, 300 Hz) and \(f_c\)-variant (Experiment 2: 1, 4 kHz). Post-hoc pair wise comparisons were performed using RFX-GLM analyses.

RESULTS

PSYCHOACOUSTICAL MEASUREMENTS IN QUIET AND DURING fMRI
The perceptual segregation of SAM tones was evaluated using either the subjective task (subjects directly reported their perceptual state in quiet or during fMRI) or the objective task that relied on the detection of a forward shifted B SAM tone within the ABA-sequence. In the first experiment the effect of \(f_{\text{mod A}}\) was evaluated, whereas in the second experiment the effect of \(f_c\) was evaluated. Both, a variation of \(f_{\text{mod A}}\) as well as a variation of \(f_c\) affects the representation of the SAM tones by temporal and/or spectral cues.

EXPERIMENT 1—THE EFFECT OF THE MODULATION FREQUENCY OF THE A SAM TONE (\(f_{\text{mod A}}\))

Subjective task

The proportion of a 2-stream percept depended significantly on the stimulus condition \(\Delta f_{\text{mod A}}\) \([F(2, 34) = 31.755; p < 0.001, \eta^2 = 0.651]\). The \(f_{\text{mod A}}\) and the condition of presentation (in quiet and during fMRI) did not have a significant effect on the proportion of a 2-stream percept (Figure 3). Pair-wise comparisons showed a significant difference in the proportion of a 2-stream percept between all tested \(\Delta f_{\text{mod A}}\) stimulus conditions (all \(p \leq 0.003\)). The mean proportion of a 2-stream percept increased significantly with increasing \(\Delta f_{\text{mod A}}\) condition showing the least mean proportion of a 2-stream percept of 16.2% for ABA-sequences presented with the small \(\Delta f_{\text{mod A}}\) condition. For ABA-sequences presented with the medium \(\Delta f_{\text{mod A}}\) condition a mean proportion of a 2-stream percept of 77.7% was observed. The largest mean proportion of a 2-stream percept of 77.7% was observed for the large \(\Delta f_{\text{mod A}}\) condition. No significant interaction was found.

Objective task in quiet

The shift detection threshold of the B signal was significantly affected by the \(\Delta f_{\text{mod A}}\) stimulus condition \([F(2, 10) = 38.795; p < 0.001, \eta^2 = 0.886, \text{Figure 4}]\). No significant main effect of \(f_{\text{mod A}}\)
on the shift detection threshold was observed. Pair-wise comparisons showed significantly higher shift detection threshold for the large (mean = 20.1 ms) than for the small (mean = 13 ms; \( p = 0.001 \)) and medium \( \Delta f_{\text{mod}} \) condition (mean = 14.6 ms; \( p = 0.001 \)). No significant difference between the shift detection threshold of the small and the medium \( \Delta f_{\text{mod}} \) condition was observed and no significant interaction was found.

Whether B SAM tones were presented by themselves (control experiment presentation of B-only sequences) or together with A SAM tones (only large \( \Delta f_{\text{mod}} \) condition of the main experiment, presentation of ABA-sequences) had a significant effect on the shift detection thresholds (Table 2). Pair-wise comparisons showed significant higher mean shift detection thresholds for the control experiment (48.3 ± 2.4 ms) than observed in the large \( \Delta f_{\text{mod}} \) condition of the main experiment (mean = 20.1 ± 1.1 ms).

**IMRI MEASUREMENTS**

Table 2 lists all brain regions which were commonly activated or deactivated (\( t = 4.5, p < 0.002 \)), respectively, by both \( f_{\text{mod} A} \) in at least one of the three conditions (small, medium, and large \( \Delta f_{\text{mod}} \)) compared to the baseline condition.

In Experiment 1, the ANOVAs of BOLD responses within the respective VOIs revealed a main effect of \( \Delta f_{\text{mod}} \) condition in left Hesch’s gyrus (HG) [\( F(2, 24) = 4.840, p = 0.017 \)] and left posterior cingulated gyrus (PCG) [\( F(2, 24) = 3.515, p = 0.045 \)]. In the left HG the BOLD response amplitude increased with increasing \( \Delta f_{\text{mod}} \) (Figure 5). The post-hoc tests showed a significant difference between the small and the large \( \Delta f_{\text{mod}} \) condition (\( t = 2.892, p = 0.013 \)) and a trend between the medium and the large \( \Delta f_{\text{mod}} \) condition (\( t = 2.102, p = 0.057 \)). In left PCG, the post-hoc tests showed a significantly stronger negative deflection of the BOLD signal of the medium compared to the small \( \Delta f_{\text{mod}} \) condition (\( t = 3.465, p = 0.005 \)).

In addition, in left and right HG [\( F(1, 12) = 22.800, p < 0.001; F(1, 12) = 47.735, p < 0.001 \)], left and right insula [\( F(1, 12) = 6.685, p = 0.024; F(1, 12) = 5.227, p = 0.041 \)], and the left posterior medial frontal cortex (pMFC) [\( F(1, 12) = 11.655, p = 0.005 \)] a main effect of \( f_{\text{mod} A} \) was found with higher BOLD response amplitudes during \( f_{\text{mod} A} \) 300 Hz compared to \( f_{\text{mod} A} \) 100 Hz stimulation (see Figure 5). There was no significant interaction of the factors \( \Delta f_{\text{mod}} \) condition and \( f_{\text{mod} A} \).

**EXPERIMENT 2—THE EFFECT OF THE CARRIER FREQUENCY (\( f_c \))**

**Subjective task**

The proportion of a 2-stream percept depended significantly on the \( \Delta f_{\text{mod}} \) condition [\( F(2, 26) = 51.595; p < 0.001, \eta^2 = 0.799 \)], on the \( f_c \) of the SAM tones [\( F(1, 13) = 11.623; p = 0.005, \eta^2 = 0.472 \)] and on the condition of presentation [in quiet or during fMRI: \( F(1, 13) = 8.168; p = 0.013, \eta = 0.386 \)].

Pair-wise comparisons showed a significant difference in the proportion of a 2-stream percept between all tested \( \Delta f_{\text{mod}} \) conditions (all \( p \leq 0.009 \)). The proportion of a 2-stream percept increased significantly with increasing \( \Delta f_{\text{mod}} \) (mean percentage of a 2-stream percept for the small \( \Delta f_{\text{mod}} = 11.9% \), medium \( \Delta f_{\text{mod}} = 44.0% \) and large \( \Delta f_{\text{mod}} = 86.9\%) \). ABA- SAM tone sequences presented with the lower \( f_c \) of 1 kHz showed a significantly higher proportion of a 2-stream percept (50.5\%) than SAM tones of the higher \( f_c \) of 4 kHz (44.7\%). The proportion of a 2-stream percept measured in quiet was significantly smaller (mean = 35.2\%) than the proportion of a 2-stream percept measured during fMRI (mean = 55.8\%). The Two-Way interaction of the factors \( f_c \) and condition of presentation was significant (\( p < 0.001 \)), showing a significantly higher proportion of a 2-stream percept for the lower \( f_c \) of 1 kHz in quiet (mean = 45.4\%) than for the higher \( f_c \) of 4 kHz in quiet (mean = 25.0\%; \( p = 0.006 \)), whereas the proportion of a 2-stream percept during fMRI was not affected by the \( f_c \). No other interaction was significant.

**Objective task in quiet**

The detection threshold of the time shifted B SAM tone of the ABA- sequence was significantly dependent on the stimulus condition \( \Delta f_{\text{mod}} \) [\( F(2, 10) = 10.018; p = 0.004, \eta^2 = 0.667 \), Figure 7]. No significant main effect of \( f_c \) on the shift detection threshold was observed. Pair-wise comparisons showed a significantly smaller shift detection threshold for the small (mean = 14.2 ms) than for the large \( \Delta f_{\text{mod}} \) stimulus condition (mean = 19.2 ms; \( p = 0.01 \)). No significant difference between the shift detection threshold of the medium (mean = 15.9 ms) and the small and large \( \Delta f_{\text{mod}} \) was observed. No significant interaction was found.

**IMRI MEASUREMENTS**

Table 3 lists all brain regions which were commonly activated or deactivated (\( t = 4.5, p < 0.002 \)) by both \( f_c \) in at least one of the three conditions (small, medium, and large \( \Delta f_{\text{mod}} \)) compared to the baseline condition.

In Experiment 2, a main effect of condition was found in the left HG, the right superior temporal gyrus (STG), the left MedFG, and the right inferior parietal lobe (IPL) [\( F(2, 18) = 8.667, p = 0.002; F(2, 8) = 19.634, p < 0.001; F(2, 18) = 3.598, p = 0.048; F(2, 18) = 3.501, p = 0.052 \)]. In left HG and right STG the same gradual increase in BOLD response amplitude with increasing \( \Delta f_{\text{mod}} \) was observed as in the left AC in Experiment 1 (see Figures 5, 8). Post-hoc tests in left HG and right STG revealed significant differences in BOLD responses between the small
Table 2 | Brain regions (BA-Brodmann area; x,y,z-Talairach coordinates) showing positive or negative deflections of the BOLD signal in at least one of the three Δf\textsubscript{mod} conditions compared to the baseline (t ≥ 4.5, p < 0.002) for each of the two f\textsubscript{mod A} (100, 300 Hz) tested in Experiment 1 and the results of ANOVAs within the resulting VOIs.

| Brain region                                | Talairach coordinates | Δf\textsubscript{mod} condition | f\textsubscript{mod A} | Volume (mm\textsuperscript{3}) |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| **EXPERIMENT 1**                            |                       |                                 |                        |                               |
| **REGIONS OF ACTIVATION**                   |                       |                                 |                        |                               |
| Left Hemisphere Heschl's gyrus              | 41 -48 -20 11         | F = 4.840; p = 0.017            | F = 22.800; p < 0.001  | 1307                          |
| Insula                                      | 13 -29 23 4           | F = 0.591; p = 0.562            | F = 6.685; p = 0.024   | 614                           |
| Putamen                                     | 6 -21 -1 8            | F = 0.101; p = 0.904            | F = 0.972; p = 0.344   | 150                           |
| Precentral gyrus                            | 6 -38 2 30            | F = 0.091; p = 0.913            | F = 2.591; p = 0.133   | 207                           |
| Inferior parietal lobe                      | 40 -36 -50 41         | F = 0.429; p = 0.656            | F = 2.607; p = 0.132   | 1047                          |
| Posterior medial frontal cortex             | 6 -5 8 46             | F = 0.048; p = 0.953            | F = 11.655; p = 0.005  | 389                           |
| **Right Hemisphere**                        |                       |                                 |                        |                               |
| Heschl's gyrus                              | 41 53 -17 9           | F = 2.173; p = 0.136            | F = 47.735; p < 0.001  | 345                           |
| Superior temporal gyrus                     | 22 62 -13 7           | F = 2.048; p = 0.151            | F = 2.422; p = 0.146   | 2357                          |
| Insula                                      | 13 32 21 2            | F = 1.804; p = 0.186            | F = 5.227; p = 0.041   | 390                           |
| Inferior parietal lobe                      | 40 36 -48 40          | F = 0.375; p = 0.6              | F = 1.017; p = 0.333   | 1205                          |
| Middle frontal gyrus                        | 9 47 15 26            | F = 0.215; p = 0.808            | F = 0.863; p = 0.371   | 738                           |
| Posterior medial frontal cortex             | 6 3 17 44             | F = 0.313; p = 0.734            | F = 2.026; p = 0.180   | 309                           |
| **REGIONS OF DEACTIVATION**                 |                       |                                 |                        |                               |
| Left Hemisphere Posterior cingulated gyrus | 31 -48 -20 11         | F = 3.515; p = 0.045           | n.s.                   | 138                           |

Significant effects are highlighted in gray.

and the large Δf\textsubscript{mod} condition (t = 3.710, p = 0.005; t = 5.318, p < 0.001) and between the small and the medium Δf\textsubscript{mod} condition (t = 5.727, p < 0.001; t = 5.929, p < 0.001). In right STG, the large Δf\textsubscript{mod} condition also resulted in a significantly stronger BOLD response than the medium Δf\textsubscript{mod} condition (t = 2.698, p = 0.024). In left pMFC no gradual increase in BOLD response amplitude with increasing Δf\textsubscript{mod} was observed. In contrast, the BOLD response of the medium Δf\textsubscript{mod} condition was stronger than those of the small and the large Δf\textsubscript{mod} condition (see Figure 8) with a significant difference between the medium and the small Δf\textsubscript{mod} condition (t = 2.258, p = 0.050). The BOLD responses of the small and the large Δf\textsubscript{mod} condition were very similar (t = 0.643, p = 0.536). Post-hoc testing in right IPL did not reach significance. No significant main effect of the fc and no significant interaction of the factors condition and fc were found.

**Correlation between measures**

For the purpose of comparison and as an example, the proportion of a 2-stream percept for all tested Δf\textsubscript{mod} stimulus conditions obtained during fMRI was related to the strength of BOLD responses (beta weights) in left Heschl’s gyrus. Spearman’s correlation of averaged group data did not reach significance (ρ = 0.450, p = 0.224, Figure 9B).

**DISCUSSION**

**PSYCHOACOUSTICAL EVALUATION OF STREAM SEGREGATION BY SAM**

The psychoacoustical results of both experiments and both the subjective and objective task show that an increasing Δf\textsubscript{mod} between A and B SAM tones promotes stream segregation, being in agreement with the results of other psychoacoustical studies that evaluated stream segregation by either different SAM tones (e.g., Dolležal et al., 2012a; Szalárdy et al., 2013) or SAM noise bursts (Grimault et al., 2002).

In the present study in Experiment 1 the subjective perception of stream segregation is not affected by the f\textsubscript{mod A} (100 and 300 Hz, respectively) of the SAM tones. Dolležal et al. (2012a), who presented sequences of SAM tones differing in multiple parameters in addition to f\textsubscript{mod A} and fc [e.g., tone pattern (combinations of TRT and tone duration), modulation depth and presentation time] and used more steps of Δf\textsubscript{mod}, however, observed an increasing proportion of a 2-stream percept for increasing f\textsubscript{mod A} (30, 100, and 300 Hz). This difference between the two
studies could be attributed to the differences in the range of $f_{\text{mod} A}$ and $\Delta f_{\text{mod}}$ that was larger in the previous study by Dolležal et al. (2012a). When comparing the proportion of a 2-stream percept of the medium $\Delta f_{\text{mod}}$ condition, that was explicitly chosen to compare stream segregation of temporal ($f_{\text{mod} A} = 100$ Hz) vs. spectral ($f_{\text{mod} A} = 300$ Hz) cues (Table 1), spectral cues appear not to further stream segregation more than temporal cues. Next to the evaluation of the subjective streaming percept the present study also applied an objective task of stream segregation to the same stimulus conditions to be able to directly compare the streaming percept across both psychoacoustical tasks. The shift detection thresholds obtained with the objective task increased with increasing $\Delta f_{\text{mod}}$ between A and B SAM tones. Such an increase in the shift detection threshold with increasing feature differences between A and B signals has been observed in other studies that also presented time shifted signals in an objective task using a range of different features (frequency differences: Van Noorden, 1975; Neff et al., 1982; Cusack and Roberts, 2000; Micheyl and Oxenham, 2010; Thompson et al., 2011; differences in the starting phases of frequency components: Roberts et al., 2002; differences in fundamental frequencies: Vliegen et al., 1999). Furthermore, Divenyi and Danner (1977) also observed a sizable deterioration of the discrimination performance if the signals were made very dissimilar from each other (e.g., in frequency or intensity) even though they did not employ a paradigm that led to a streaming percept.

We also applied the shift detection task in an ABA- sequence with omitted A signals (-B---B---B--...) to determine the shift detection threshold in a condition providing no temporal reference to A signals. We observed higher shift detection thresholds in the B-only condition than for the large $\Delta f_{\text{mod}}$ condition of ABA- sequences with A and B signals. That difference in threshold may indicate that even in sequences with well segregated A and B signals the A signal can provide support to the detection of the time shift of the B signal. If subjects would have solely relied on the B SAM tones for their performance in both the B only
condition and in the ABA- condition the thresholds should be alike.

In Experiment 2 the subjective perception of stream segregation was affected by the $\Delta f_{\text{mod}}$ condition and by $f_c$ (1 and 4 kHz, respectively) of the SAM tones when analyzing the subjective data from fMRI and those obtained in quiet together. The effects of the $f_c$ and $\Delta f_{\text{mod}}$ and their interaction was also observed by Dolležal et al. (2012a) who reasoned that the difference in the proportion of a 2-stream percept may be due to the excitation pattern differences between A and B signals being assessed by the auditory system. In the present analysis, a higher proportion of a 2-stream percept was observed for the lower $f_c$ of 1 kHz than for the higher $f_c$ of 4 kHz. At a $f_c$ of 1 kHz at least in the large $\Delta f_{\text{mod}}$ condition spectral cues provided for stream segregation in addition to the temporal cues that were also the prominent cue for ABA- SAM tones presented at a $f_c$ of 4 kHz. Thus, the spectral excitation pattern difference available for the lower $f_c$ of 1 kHz providing additional cues to stream segregation may be the cause for the higher amount of a 2-stream percept in that condition. The significant interaction between the condition of presentation (quiet, during fMRI) and $f_c$ however, indicates that responses differed between both presentation conditions. The effect of $f_c$ was only prominent in quiet conditions and not in the noisy fMRI condition that may have precluded the use of excitation pattern differences. The subjective segregation percept in the noisy fMRI condition match the pattern of BOLD responses (see below). If we focus on the large $\Delta f_{\text{mod}}$ condition that allows comparing the amount of stream segregation elicited by spectral vs. temporal cues, we find no significant difference indicating that both type of cues have the potential to elicit the percept of well segregated streams.

In general, the proportion of a 2-stream percept was smaller for subjects that have been tested in quiet, than for subjects that have been tested in scanner noise during fMRI measurements. Especially in the medium $\Delta f_{\text{mod}}$ condition we observed a small amount of stream segregation that was less than expected on the basis of the previous measurements (Dolležal et al., 2012a). A similar difference in the proportion of a 2-stream percept has been observed in Experiment 1, but it did not reach significance. Wilson et al. (2007) also compared the streaming perception of subjects in quiet and during fMRI. Their results show a non-significant but higher proportion of a 2-stream percept for subjects tested during fMRI than in the quiet booth revealing a tendency that is comparable to the results of the present study. Dolležal et al. (2012a) also observed a higher proportion of a 2-stream percept in pink noise than in quiet. A general explanation for the observed effect, however, cannot be provided.

When presenting the stimulus conditions of Experiment 2 in the objective task no effect of $f_c$ on the shift detection threshold can be observed whereas an effect of $\Delta f_{\text{mod}}$ remained. In the subjective task the effect size of $\Delta f_{\text{mod}}$ was considerably larger than the effect size for $f_c$. Since the objective task will lead to better
however, in that the gradually increased. The results of Experiment 1 and 2 differ, from a 1-stream to a 2-stream and the BOLD response amplitudes might simply be explained by statistical thresholding. Failure to observe this in right auditory cortex in Experiment 1 (Gutschalk et al., 2007; Wilson et al., 2007) or a specific involvement in Experiment 2. Previous human imaging studies on stream segregation task. Even though the present experiments required the sequential analysis of the sound sequences, the subjects were not forced to actively group the sounds into one or the other perceptual organization but had to monitor their spontaneous perception. Therefore, one might rather suggest a stimulus driven representation of Δf_{mod} in both auditory cortices and the failure to observe this in right auditory cortex in Experiment 1 might simply be explained by statistical thresholding.

The Δf_{mod} dependent parameters in auditory cortex regions was observed for all stimulus parameters and thus, irrespective as to whether SAM tones provide spectral, temporal or both types of cues. Several human imaging studies have described increasing neural activity throughout the auditory cortex for both differences in spectral (Deike et al., 2004, 2010; Gutschalk et al., 2005; Snyder et al., 2006; Wilson et al., 2007) and in temporal (Gutschalk et al., 2007) properties between A and B signals in streaming sequences. Hence, our finding of increasing BOLD response amplitudes in auditory cortex regions with increasing Δf_{mod} between SAM tones is consistent with previous studies. Electrophysiological recording studies in animals using pure-tone paradigms suggested that frequency selectivity of tonotopically organized neurons in primary auditory cortical fields in combination with forward suppression leads to separate representations of A and B tones that contribute to the percept of two separate streams (Fishman et al., 2001, 2004; Kanwal et al., 2003; Bee and Klump, 2004, 2005; Micheyl et al., 2005). With increasing frequency separation between tones the populations of active neurons become more disjoined, leading to decreasing suppression between successive tones. It was supposed that this decrease in suppression causes the larger summed activity in auditory cortex measured using fMRI, EEG, or MEG (Gutschalk et al., 2005; Snyder et al., 2006; Wilson et al., 2007). Using harmonic tone complexes with only unresolved harmonics (Gutschalk et al., 2007) suggested that suppression also accounts for the interaction of sounds with differences in temporal properties. For SAM tones Bartlett and Wang (2005) found that neurons in marmoset monkey auditory cortex show significant forward suppression of the preceding to the following SAM tone. Similarly, Itatani and Klump (2009), who used the same ABA paradigm as in the present study and tested a large parameter space of SAM tones, observed forward suppression in multiunit responses of the auditory forebrain of awake European starlings. Related to this potential common cortical mechanism underlying stream segregation on temporal and spectral properties of sounds one may further ask the question of pitch representation at the cortex. In the present study, two stimulus conditions (Experiment 1: medium Δf_{mod}, Experiment 2: large Δf_{mod}) provided a direct comparison between spectral and temporal pitch cues on which stream segregation was based and we did not find any cortical region which showed a significant difference in BOLD responses.

### Table 3 | Brain regions (BA-Brodmann area; x,y,z-Talairach coordinates) showing positive deflections of the BOLD signal in at least one of the three Δf_{mod} conditions compared to the baseline (t = 4.5, p < 0.002) for each of the two f_{mod} (1.4 kHz) tested in Experiment 2 and the results of ANOVAs within the resulting VOIs.

| Brain region                        | Talairach coordinates | Δf_{mod} condition | f_{mod} A | Volume (mm^3) |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------|---------------|
| **EXPERIMENT 2**                    |                        |                    |           |               |
| **REGIONS OF ACTIVATION**           |                        |                    |           |               |
| **Left Hemisphere**                 |                        |                    |           |               |
| Heschl’s gyrus                      | 41, -44, -24, 12       | F = 8.667; p = 0.002|           |               |
| Inferior parietal lobe              | 40, -33, -52, 40       | F = 1.014; p = 0.383|           |               |
| Posterior medial frontal cortex     | 6, -3, 14, 46          | F = 3.598; p = 0.048|           |               |
| **Right Hemisphere**                |                        |                    |           |               |
| Superior temporal gyrus             | 22, 51, -13, 10        | F = 19.634; p = 0.001|           |               |
| Insula                              | 13, 36, 19, 4          | F = 0.859; p = 0.440|           |               |
| Inferior parietal lobe              | 40, 38, -45, 46        | F = 3.501; p = 0.052|           |               |

Significant effects are highlighted in gray.

| **Main effect**                      |                        |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| F = 0.016; p = 0.900                 | 540                    |
| F = 0.016; p = 0.902                 | 126                    |
| F = 1.000; p = 0.343                 | 257                    |
| F = 3.177; p = 0.108                 | 592                    |
| F = 0.483; p = 0.504                 | 113                    |
| F = 1.650; p = 0.231                 | 541                    |
between both cues in this comparison. This finding is consistent with the results by Hall and Plack (2009) who tested a range of pitch-evoking stimuli with different spectral, temporal, and binaural characteristics and did not find any differentiated activation within auditory cortex regions. Although differing in anatomical location, there is supporting evidence for a cue independent common pitch region in auditory cortex coming from the neurophysiological study by Bendor and Wang (2005) who found pitch-selective neurons near the anterolateral low-frequency border of the primary auditory cortex field A1 in marmoset monkeys. At the same time, the underlying mechanism for pitch coding in this region was found to depend both on the temporal and spectral characteristics of the sounds (Bendor et al., 2012).

In Experiment 1, BOLD responses in left and right Heschl’s gyrus depended on the $f_{\text{mod A}}$ of SAM tones with higher BOLD response amplitudes for the higher $f_{\text{mod A}}$ of 300 Hz compared to the smaller one of 100 Hz. As SAM tones are characterized by three spectral peaks, i.e., the central peak representing the $f_c$ and the two sidebands (upper: $f_c + f_{\text{mod A}}$, lower: $f_c - f_{\text{mod A}}$), the stronger responses for the higher $f_{\text{mod A}}$ of 300 Hz might be explained by broader spectral excitation. In addition, higher BOLD response amplitudes for the higher $f_{\text{mod A}}$ were also observed in left and right insula and in the left medial part of Brodmann area 6 comprising the supplementary motor area (SMA). The involvement of these areas might be thought in the context of specific task demands other than motor processing in which both have a primary function. Specifically, the insula cortex has a role in different auditory processes, such as allocating auditory attention, temporal processing, phonological processing, and visual-auditory integration (for review, see Bamiou et al., 2003). The SMA is described as a part of the larger functional unit of posterior medial frontal cortex (pMFC) which has a function in cognitive control and particularly in performance monitoring including monitoring of response conflicts and decision uncertainty (for review, see Ridderinkhof et al., 2004). As the subjects’ task was to assign their perception to one of the two perceptual alternatives, the stronger activity for the $f_{\text{mod A}}$ of 300 Hz in the pMFC might reflect the monitoring of a response conflict or uncertainty in perceptual decision. In the same way, Tregellas et al. (2006) observed in the pMFC and the insular/opercular cortex an increase in BOLD activity in a “difficult” compared to an “easy” auditory temporal processing task. In their study, the subjects had to discriminate the duration of the second tone within pairs of tones and the task difficulty was adapted by varying duration differences between tones. Increasing BOLD activity in the anterior insula bilaterally with increasing task demands were also observed in a pitch discrimination and a n-back pitch memory task (Rinne et al., 2009). In the present study the task required sequence processing and rhythmic pattern perception, namely comparing the galloping ABA- rhythm (1-stream percept) to the two different isochronous rhythms (A-A-A... and B---B---...; 2-stream percept). Although the proportion of 2-stream perception is very similar across conditions between both $f_{\text{mod A}}$ variants one might suppose that the perceptual decision might be more difficult for the higher $f_{\text{mod A}}$ of 300 Hz because of specific sound qualities (e.g., timbre) other than pitch. Corresponding to this, one might suggest that the stronger BOLD response in auditory cortex for the higher $f_{\text{mod A}}$ of 300 Hz also reflects the task difficulty. This notion finds support in human imaging studies providing evidences that even in sensory areas the activation can be modulated by task difficulty (Gerlach et al., 1999; Brechmann and Scheich, 2005; Reiterer et al., 2005; Harinen and Rinne, 2013).

In both experiments, cortical regions outside the auditory cortex were found which showed specific activity for medium $\Delta f_{\text{mod}}$ between SAM tones compared to the small and the large $\Delta f_{\text{mod}}$’s. In particular, the left pMFC (Experiment 2) and the left posterior...
cingulate gyrus (PCG) (Experiment 1) showed stronger positive and negative deflections of the BOLD signal, respectively, for the medium Δf_{mod} and very similar smaller BOLD responses for the two other conditions. This activation pattern is very different from the gradual increase in activation with increasing Δf_{mod} that was observed in auditory cortex regions. Whereas the activation gradient in auditory cortex rather reflects the physical differences between conditions, the BOLD responses in the pMFC and the PCG might rather be related to perceptual decision. As already mentioned above, the pMFC has a cognitive function in response conflicts and decision uncertainty. This is particularly the case in the ambiguous perceptual region where both perceptual alternatives are possible and compete with each other. Thus, the stronger BOLD response for ambiguous sequences in the pMFC might be explained by response conflicts and/or decision uncertainty. Similarly, response conflicts or decision uncertainty are equivalent to imposing higher task demands that might explain the stronger deactivation for ambiguous sequences in the PCG which is a part of the "task negative" default mode network showing decreasing activity with increasing task demands (Raichle et al., 2007).

Our fMRI results can be summarized as follows. In auditory cortex stream segregation on SAM tones showed the same Δf dependent BOLD responses as other streaming stimuli. In contrast, BOLD activity in regions outside the auditory cortex rather appear to reflect the perceptual decision and specifically the higher task demands caused by specific stimulus characteristics or by perceptual ambiguity leading to response conflicts and decision uncertainty, respectively. The involved regions differ from those observed in other studies and we did not find significant activation in any of the regions reported in Cusack (2005), (intraparietal sulcus), Kondo and Kashino (2009) (Thalamus), and Dykstra et al. (2011) (e.g., middle temporal and frontal gyri).

This might be explained by general differences in the approaches: Cusack (2005) and Kondo and Kashino (2009) examined ambiguous streaming sequences to find correlates of different perceptual organizations and perceptual switches, respectively, whereas the present study examined stream segregation across the domains of perceptual dominance and ambiguity by varying the stimulus parameters. The study by Dykstra et al. (2011) also compared different Δf conditions and found that the middle temporal and frontal gyri showed the same increase in neural activity with increasing Δf as the auditory cortex. They, however, did not observe a specific response for ambiguous stimuli. This discrepancy must be resolved in future studies.

**COMPARISON ACROSS TASKS AND MEASURES**

A direct comparison across psychoacoustical tasks of stream segregation showed a correlation across all subjects and experiments (Figure 9A). The results of the objective task mirror the results obtained by the subjective task, thus the shift detection threshold as well as the proportion of a 2-stream percept increased with increasing Δf_{mod} between A and B SAM tones. Such a correlation reveals that both the proportion of a 2-stream percept (subjective task) as well as the shift detection threshold (objective task) can represent the amount of stream segregation. These results are in agreement with a study by Micheyl and Oxenham (2010) who presented pure tones in ABA- sequences with frequency differences between A and B tones and also correlated the proportion of a 2-stream percept with the shift detection experiment. A comparison across measures (subjective streaming percept and BOLD responses (beta weights) in left Heschl’s gyrus) did not show a significant correlation even though a
relatively high Spearman's rho was observed for the mean values of both measures (Figure 9B). In the exemplary figure of the correlation of humans perception and BOLD responses in left Heschl's gyrus a trend similar to the one observed in the figure of the correlation across psychoacoustical tasks (see Figure 9A) can be observed, showing an increasing proportion of a 2-stream percept with increasing beta weights measured in BOLD responses.
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