Sex differences in central insulin action: Effect of intranasal insulin on neural food cue reactivity in adults with normal weight and overweight
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BACKGROUND/OBJECTIVES: Central insulin action influences cognitive processes, peripheral metabolism, and eating behavior. However, the contribution of obesity and sex on central insulin-mediated neural food cue processing still remains unclear.

SUBJECTS/METHODS: In a randomized within-participant design, including two visits, 60 participants (30 women, BMI 18–32 kg/m², age 21–69 years) underwent a functional MRI task measuring blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) signal in response to visual food cues after intranasal insulin or placebo spray administration. Central insulin action was defined as the neural BOLD response to food cues after insulin compared to placebo administration. Afterwards, participants were asked to rate the food cues for desire to eat (i.e., wanting rating). For statistical analyses, participants were grouped according to BMI and sex.

RESULTS: Food cue reactivity in the amygdala showed higher BOLD activation in response to central insulin compared to placebo. Furthermore, women with overweight and obesity and men of normal weight showed higher BOLD neural food cue reactivity to central insulin compared to placebo. Higher central insulin action in the insular cortex was associated with better peripheral insulin sensitivity and higher cognitive control. Moreover, central insulin action in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) revealed significant sex differences. In response to central insulin compared to placebo, men showed lower DLPFC BOLD activity, whereas women showed higher DLPFC activity in response to highly desired food cues. On behavioral level, central insulin action significantly reduced hunger, whereas the desire to eat, especially for low caloric food cues was significantly higher with central insulin than with placebo.

CONCLUSIONS: Obesity and sex influenced the central insulin-mediated neural BOLD activity to visual food cues in brain regions implicated in reward and cognitive control. These findings show that central insulin action regulates food response differentially in men and women, which may have consequences for metabolism and eating behavior.
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INTRODUCTION

After the discovery and isolation of the hormone insulin, 100 years ago, the seminal role of insulin in the periphery was quickly recognized. Scientific interests only later turned to the role of the brain in insulin signaling [1–3]. Since then, evidence is rapidly accumulating that central insulin action plays a vital role in metabolic and cognitive health, including memory, mood and olfaction, eating behavior, and also peripheral metabolism (for review see: [4–6]).

Central insulin action can be assessed by intranasal application, -the delivery of the hormone with a spray through the nose to the brain. Combined with imaging techniques like functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), this allows to study insulin action in the brain non-invasively in humans [6]. Several studies, using intranasal insulin, demonstrated changes in regional resting-state activity and functional connectivity in the hypothalamus, striatum, hippocampus, amygdala, insula, and parts of the prefrontal cortex [7–13]. These are all regions part of an interconnected network regulating eating behavior, which are responsive to a meal, postprandial hormones, and to the taste and sight of food [14]. People with obesity show higher food cue reactivity (FCR), particularly in regions important for emotion and reward regulation, including the insula, amygdala, and orbitofrontal cortex [15] and FCR is even predictive for the outcome of weight-loss interventions (e.g., [16]). In response to central insulin, persons with obesity showed altered activity in reward-related.
brain regions with subsequent effects on eating behavior-related measures (e.g., failure to reduce food craving and hunger) [8, 11, 12]. A possible explanation could be the role of central insulin action on dopamine signaling. Recent findings demonstrated that intranasal insulin administration directly modulated striatal dopamine levels and functional connectivity of reward pathways in healthy humans [9, 11, 12] and directly modulates dopamine function in the midbrain and nucleus accumbens in animal models [17]. This leads to the assumption, that central insulin action is not only implicated in the regulation of energy homeostasis, but also in reward processing.

Sex also plays a prominent role in appetite regulation and FCR. Women, compared to men, displayed higher activity in frontal (PFC) and reward areas, including striatum and insula in response to high-caloric cues [18] and higher FCR in reward areas was a predictor for BMI in women [19]. Following intranasal insulin administration, a reduction of food intake [20, 21] and food craving [8] as well as slight reductions in body weight and adipose mass [22] were observed in men. In women with normal weight [23] and obesity [24], central insulin action decreased palatable food intake (i.e., cookies) in the postprandial state. Hence, first evidence points to sex-specific effects of central insulin action on eating behavior and appetite regulation.

However, no study thus far has evaluated whether obesity and sex determine central insulin effects on neural FCR. Therefore, our primary aim was to elucidate the effect of central insulin action on appetite and reward regulation by using an FCR task during fMRI in healthy volunteers. Central insulin action was probed by nasal insulin application compared to placebo. We hypothesized a stronger insulin effect on FCR in participants with normal weight compared to participants with overweight and obesity in brain regions involved in eating behavior. Furthermore, we expected sex-dependent effects on FCR in response to central insulin with increased activity in reward-related areas in women with overweight and obesity. On a behavioral level, we hypothesized that central insulin action results in a reduction in perceived hunger and wanting for high-caloric food, particularly in men with normal weight.

Methods
Subjects
Seventy participants were recruited for the study. Five participants had to be excluded from the analysis due to incomplete fMRI measurements (technical issues), three based on insufficient data quality (e.g., excessive movement (>2 mm or 2°) or participant fell asleep during the fMRI measurement), one participant was not in a fasted state and one participant had major anatomical abnormalities of the brain.

Datasets of sixty participants (30 women) were used for the final analysis: 37 participants with normal weight (NW group, 20 women, body mass index (BMI) range 18–25 kg/m², and age range 21–69 years), 23 participants with overweight and obesity (OW group, 10 women, BMI range 25–32 kg/m², and age range 24–65 years) (Table 1: shows complete descriptive and metabolic data).

Participants signed a written informed consent before participation and the study was approved by the local ethics committee of the medical faculty of the University of Tübingen. The study was registered as a clinical trial (NCT04372849).

Power calculation
In order to evaluate the effect of intranasal insulin versus placebo on neural FCR, we used a method for effect size to calculate a total sample size of n = 60 using ANOVA repeated measures including within and between interactions (G*Power 3.1.9, α = 0.05, power = 0.95). In previous studies between group differences based on BMI showed large effect sizes (Eta-squared of greater 0.2) for differences in insulin action in the prefrontal cortex [8, 25].

Experimental design and procedure
Prior to the experiment, all participants underwent a medical examination to assure that they did not suffer from psychiatric, neurological nor metabolic diseases or taking any kind of medication other than oral contraceptives. Insulin sensitivity was estimated from measurements during a 75-g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) according to Matsuda and DeFronzo (ISIMats) [26]. This index mainly captures insulin effects in the liver and other peripheral organs (including skeletal muscle) [27]. We therefore used this index to capture peripheral insulin sensitivity (in contrast to brain-central insulin sensitivity). Body fat percentage was measured by Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis (BIA, single-frequency BIA device: 50 kHz, manufacturer’s protocol: BIA 101 BIVA, Akern, Germany).

After the screening and OGTT measurement day, all subjects participated in two fMRI visits (Fig. 1) with a time-lag of 3–28 days. After an overnight fast of at least 10 h, visits were scheduled between 7 a.m. and 11 a.m. with intranasal insulin or intranasal placebo in a pseudo-randomized order. Insulin or placebo nasal spray application will be referred to as condition. After blood sampling, fMRI measurements were recorded under baseline (pre) and 30 min after nasal spray application (post).

A questionnaire addressing subjective feeling of hunger was assessed before and approximately 75 min after spray application using a visual analogue scale from 0 to 10 (0 = not hungry at all; 10 = very hungry). For the analysis, hunger ratings were baseline corrected, meaning the rating of the pre measurement was subtracted from the post measurement (Fig. 1). At the end of each fMRI visit, participants rated the food cues, seen before in the scanner, in a rating and a recognition task (described below).

To address trait eating behavior characteristics, the German Three Factor Eating Questionnaire (TFEQ), with the three subscales ‘Restrain eating/...
cognitive restraint of eating', 'disinhibition' and 'hunger' [28], the eating disorder examination (EDE) [29] and the trait version of the Food Craving Questionnaire [30] was used (Supplementary Table 1).

Application Intranasal insulin/ placebo. Participants received in total 160U of insulin (Insulin Actrapid; Novo Nordisk, Bagsvaerd, Denmark) or vehicle as placebo in a randomized fashion. The spray was administered over four minutes with two puffs per nostril every minute. Participants were blinded to the order of the conditions.

Imaging procedures. Scanning was conducted at a 3T whole-body Siemens scanner (Magnetom Prisma; Erlangen, Germany) with a 20-channel head coil. Neural food-cue reactivity using blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD)-fMRI was obtained after nasal spray application by using multi-band accelerated echo-planar imaging sequences, developed at the Center for Magnetic Resonance Research (CMRR) Minnesota, USA. The FCR consisted of two sessions, each lasting 5:30 min. Pictures were presented on a screen behind the scanner and were projected with a tilted mirror mounted on the head coil in the participant's field of view. For fMRI measurements the following sequence parameters were used: TR = 1.5 s, TE = 34 ms, FOV = 192 mm², matrix 96 × 96, partial Fourier = 6/8, bandwidth = 2264 Hz/pixel, echo spacing = 0.55 ms, flip angle 70°, voxel size 2 × 2 × 2 mm³, slice thickness 2 mm, images were acquired in interleaved order with a multiband acceleration factor of 3. Each brain volume comprised 72 axial slices and each functional run contained 220 image volumes.

Food-cue task. An event-related design was used with high and low-caloric food cue pictures, presented in a pseudo-randomized order (software Presentation® (Version 10.2, www.neurobs.com)). Every picture was presented for 2 s with an interstimulus interval of 6–10 s. The pictures were separated by a grey screen with a black fixation circle or (every 6–7 pictures) a black fixation cross, in the middle of the screen. Participants were instructed to look at the pictures and immediately press a button when a cross appeared in between the pictures, to ensure attention and focus of the participants.

Stimulus material. A stimulus set of 60 food cues, 15 sweet high-caloric (e.g., donuts and cakes), 15 savory high-caloric (e.g., burger and pizza), 15 low-caloric sweet (e.g., fruit), and 15 savory low-caloric (e.g., vegetables and salads), was selected out of the freely available and standardized food cue database food-pics [31, 32] (Supplementary Text and supplementary excel document).

Recognition and wanting task. Approximately 15 min after the last fMRI measurement, participants performed outside of the scanner a computerized recognition (see Supplementary Text) and wanting task of the food cues seen during the fMRI measurement. The recognition task was used to control for attentiveness. For the wanting task (i.e., desire to eat), participants had to rate the food pictures by answering the question 'how much they want to eat the food at that moment', on a 5 point Likert scale going from '1-not at all' to '5-very much'. Wanting ratings were calculated as sum of the wanting ratings (scale 1–5) for the 30 pictures per category (high and low-caloric). Wanting rating values are reported in Supplementary Table 2 and Supplementary Fig. 2a.

Image Processing of food-cue reactivity task. Pre-processing and statistical analysis of the fMRI data were performed using SPM12 (Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, London, UK). Standard pre-processing including slice-timing, realignment, coregistration to the anatomical T1 weighted image, normalization into MNI space, and Gaussian spatial smoothing (FWHM: 6 mm) was done. A threshold of 2 mm maximum head motion displacement or 2° of any angular motion was applied. Finally, fMRI data were highpass (cut-off period 128 s) filtered and global AR(1) auto correlation correction was performed.

The FCR task was first analyzed according to the caloric content (event-related) of the food cues and secondly according to the individual participants’ wanting ratings.

Event-related analysis. For the caloric content, a design matrix was created individually for each participant for placebo and insulin day separately. For each condition, a separate regressor for low-caloric sweet, low-caloric savory, high-caloric sweet, and high-caloric savory was added in the model and convolved with a canonical hemodynamic response function and its time derivative. The movement regressors, separately for each session, were included as covariates in the model to account for possible movement-induced variance.

Individual contrast images were computed to estimate the activity changes for high-caloric pictures (sweet and savory together, 30 pictures in total) vs. low-caloric food cues (sweet and savory together, 30 pictures in total) (difference: high minus low-caloric food cue activity) on placebo and on insulin day. The individual contrasts for high minus low-caloric pictures were entered into a full factorial design for second level analysis.

Parametric modulation of the wanting ratings on food cue processing. For the analysis of the parametric modulation of the wanting ratings, a design matrix was created for each participant for placebo and insulin day separately. We used the individual wanting ratings for each food picture, independent of caloric content for parametric modulation of brain activity. Individual contrast images were computed according to the wanting ratings of the individual food cues. These contrast images were then entered into the full factorial models. We used the positive contrast, showing brain areas where the activity increased with increasing wanting ratings. (Three-dimensional representation in Supplementary Fig. 1).

Statistical analyses Food-cue task. We used two separate full factorial design models to investigate the effect of central insulin action on neural BOLD food-cue reactivity.
reactivity. The first model was based on the high minus low-caloric food contrasts; the second full factorial model was based on the wanting modulation contrasts. Both models included condition (insulin vs. placebo nasal spray) as a within-subject factor, BMI group (NW vs. OW) and sex group (female vs. male) as an in-between-subject factor, and age as a covariate.

A primary statistical threshold of \( p < 0.001 \) uncorrected and a \( p < 0.05 \) family wise error (FWE, based on Random Field Theory) corrected for multiple comparisons at a cluster level was applied. Additionally, small volume correction (SVC) was performed for regions recently identified as insulin sensitive [6], specifically the bilateral hypothalamus, the striatum, amygdala, hippocampus, insula and dorsolateral PFC. The masks were based on the wfu pick atlas (https://www.nitrc.org/projects/wfu_pickatlas/). For regions with SVC, reported \( p \)-values were adjusted by Bonferroni-correction for multiple comparisons (for the number of ROI’s).

For post hoc analyses and correlation analyses, differential responses were calculated by subtracting the individual regional brain activity of the placebo day from the insulin measurement. A \( p < 0.05 \) was considered significant after Bonferroni-Holm correction (Holm) for multiple testing.

Behavioral data. Data are given as mean ± SEM. For the analyses of the behavioral data and questionnaires, SPSS (IBM SPSS Statistics Version 26.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp) and R (Version 4.1.1 Core Team (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria; URL https://www.R-project.org/) were used.

Linear mixed-effect models including sex, BMI group, and condition as well as age (covariate), with subject as random intercept, were used to analyze hunger and wanting ratings. \( F \) and \( p \)-values were obtained by the lmeTest package [33] (Satterthwaite approximation for degrees of freedom), pairwise comparisons were performed by the emmeans package [34] with Bonferroni-Holm correction (Holm) for multiple testing. For post hoc analyses of group differences, differential (Insulin-Placebo) wanting and hunger ratings were used and \( p \)-values adjusted by Bonferroni-Holm correction.

If data were normally distributed, paired and two-sided t-tests were used. Otherwise, we used non-parametrical Kruskal Wallis H-Tests and Mann-Whitney U-Tests.

Furthermore, Spearman and Pearson correlations (depending if data were normally distributed or not) were performed to identify associations between central insulin action and peripheral insulin sensitivity and eating behavior characteristics adjusted for sex, BMI, and age (referred to as \( r_{adj} \) and \( p_{adj} \)).

Mediation analysis of the relationship between peripheral insulin sensitivity, TFEQ-cognitive restraint and insular cortex activity was performed using PROCESS version 3.5 procedure in SPSS (www.afhayes.com). The significance of the mediation analysis (i.e., indirect effect ab) was estimated based on a bias-corrected bootstrap confidence interval (CI 95%, 5000 bootstrap samples). For all analyses a \( p < 0.05 \) was considered significant.

RESULTS

Central insulin effects on subjective feeling of hunger (VAS)

We observed a significant main effect of condition (\( F_{(1,56)} = 10.712, p = 0.002 \)) as well as a significant interaction between condition x sex x BMI group for the hunger ratings (\( F_{(1,56)} = 11.494, p = 0.001 \)). Post hoc paired t-tests (Supplementary Table 3a) revealed a significant reduction of the hunger ratings by intranasal insulin compared to placebo over all participants (\( T_{(56)} = −3.273, p = 0.002; \) Fig. 2A), specifically in NW men (\( T_{(56)} = −3.678, p = 0.002 \)) and OW women (\( T_{(56)} = −2.811, p = 0.020 \)). Between group post hoc analyses showed that NW women and NW men differed significantly (\( T_{(55)} = 3.034, p = 0.022; \) Fig. 2B, Supplementary Table 3b).

Central insulin effects on wanting for high and low-caloric food cues

No main effect of condition was observed for high-caloric food wanting ratings (Supplementary Fig. 2b). However we observed a significant interaction between condition x sex (\( F_{(1,56)} = 7.148, p = 0.01 \)) and condition x sex x BMI (\( F_{(1,56)} = 7.639, p = 0.008 \)). Post hoc analyses showed that men displayed lower ratings than women (\( T_{(55)} = −2.643, p = 0.01 \)) and OW men lower wanting ratings for high-caloric food than OW women (\( T_{(55)} = 3.357, p = 0.009; \) Supplementary Fig. 2c).

For low-caloric food, we observed higher wanting ratings in response to intranasal insulin compared to placebo (i.e., significant main effect of condition \( F_{(1,56)} = 8.025, p = 0.006 \)). No significant interaction effects were observed with condition (Supplementary Fig. 2d, e).

Correlations with central insulin induced effects on wanting ratings

The differential (Insulin minus Placebo) wanting for high-caloric cues positively correlated with the percentage of body fat (\( r = 0.276, p = 0.033, r_{adj} = 0.295, p_{adj} = 0.027 \)) and with TFEQ-cognitive restraint (\( r = 0.344, p = 0.008, r_{adj} = 0.283, p_{adj} = 0.036 \)). There were no significant correlations between wanting for low-caloric cues and percentage of body fat and cognitive restraint (\( p > 0.05 \)).

Central insulin action on neural BOLD activity based on high minus low-caloric food

There was a significant main effect of condition in the left amygdala (peak-voxel (MNI) x: −24, y: −8, z: −14); \( T_{(111)} = 4.39, p_{TFWE-corr.} < 0.05, \) Supplementary Table 4 and Supplementary Fig. 3), with significantly higher BOLD activity after intranasal insulin compared to placebo.

Significant interactions between BMI, sex and condition were found in the cerebellum/lingual gyrus (peak voxel (MNI) x: −14; y: −60, z: −12); \( T_{value} = 4.66, p_{TFWE-corr.} < 0.05 \), and the insula (peak voxel (MNI) x: 8; y: −60, z: 60); \( T_{value} = 4.51, p_{TFWE-corr.} < 0.05 \) and theinsula (peak voxel (MNI) x: 50; y: 6; z: −10); \( T_{value} = 4.71, p_{TFWE-corr.} < 0.05 \) (Supplementary Tables 4 & 5). Between group post hoc analyses showed that NW men and OW women displayed higher BOLD activations with intranasal insulin compared to placebo, whereas NW women and OW men showed lower activity in the insula and the precuneus (\( p_{TFWE-corr.} < 0.05; \) Supplementary Table 5b). Food-cue reactivity in the insula is displayed in Fig. 3.
Central insulin response in the insula correlates with behavioral and metabolic measures

The insula BOLD response correlated with peripheral insulin sensitivity \((r = 0.293, p = 0.024\) \(r_{adj} = 0.300, p_{adj} = 0.030\)) (Supplementary Fig. 4A). Hence, participants with higher peripheral insulin sensitivity showed an increased food-cue activation in the insular cortex in response to intranasal insulin.

Moreover, the insula response correlated positively with the TFEQ-cognitive restraint \((r = 0.419, p = 0.001; \ r_{adj} = 0.466, p_{adj} < 0.001\) (Supplementary Figs. 4B & 5) and the wanting ratings for the high-caloric cues \((r = 0.257, p = 0.048; \ r_{adj} = 0.254, p_{adj} = 0.068\). The correlation with the wanting ratings for high-caloric cues was driven by participants with overweight and obesity \((r = −0.025, p = 0.884, \ OW: r = 0.551, p = 0.006\). No correlations were observed between behavioral measures and the central insulin response in the amygdala, cerebellum/lingual gyrus or precuneus.

Based on the correlations between the central insulin-induced BOLD response in the insula with peripheral insulin sensitivity and cognitive restraint, we tested, by mediation analyses, the process that underlies the observed relationships. We found a significant positive indirect effect (completely standardized indirect effect \(ab = 0.11, 95\% CI [0.02 0.23]\)) of the TFEQ-cognitive restraint as a mediator between peripheral insulin sensitivity and differential insula BOLD activity. This indicates that cognitive restraint promotes the relationship between peripheral insulin sensitivity and central insulin action in the insular cortex (Fig. 4). Mediation models using the BOLD response in the insula or peripheral insulin sensitivity as a mediator did not indicate significant indirect effects. No direct effects were observed between peripheral insulin sensitivity and central insulin-induced BOLD response (see Fig. 4).

Central insulin action on neural BOLD food-cue reactivity based on parametric modelling by individual wanting ratings

No significant main effect of condition or interactions with BMI group were observed \((p > 0.05)\) when we modelled brain responses according to the individual wanting ratings. We found a significant interaction between sex and condition in the dorsolateral frontal cortex (DLPFC) (right middle frontal gyrus, peak-voxel (MNI) \([x = 38; y = 24; z = 44]\), \(T\)-value (peak) = 4.24, \(p_{FWE-corr.} = 0.012, \text{Fig. 5}\)).

Within group comparisons revealed significant insulin vs. placebo effects in the DLPFC, for both women \((T(29) = 2.542, p = 0.017)\) and men \((T(29) = 3.968, p < 0.001)\). Between group post hoc comparisons revealed significant differences between women and men in the DLPFC response \((T(58) = 4.634, p < 0.001)\). Men showed lower DLPFC activity in response to central insulin with increasing wanting ratings, while women showed higher DLPFC activity with increased wanting ratings.

The DLPFC response positively correlated with percent body fat \((r = 0.444, p < 0.001; \ r_{adj} = 0.103, p_{adj} = 0.451)\) and with TFEQ-cognitive restraint \((r = 0.373, p = 0.004; \ r_{adj} = 0.220, p_{adj} = 0.106)\).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated the effect of central insulin action on neural BOLD food-cue reactivity in men and women with normal weight, overweight and obesity. Overall, central insulin action increased the BOLD response in the amygdala, while several other food-cue responsive regions \([35]\), as the insular cortex, showed interactions between sex and obesity on how insulin affected FCR. The response in the DLPFC was modulated by individual wanting ratings of food cues. Here women showed higher BOLD activity than men in response to central insulin. On the behavioral level, we found that central insulin decreased the feeling of hunger and increased the desire to eat low-caloric food.

The amygdala has been reported as insulin-responsive in previous studies \([36, 37]\) and we recently reported an increase in the amygdala in response to intranasal insulin in resting-state fMRI data \([38]\). Furthermore, the amygdala is implicated in taste and vision-related neural food reward pathways \([39, 40]\, with higher activity in response to high-caloric food \([41–43]\, particularly in the fasted state \([35, 42]\). Likewise, in the current study, central insulin led to a higher BOLD activity in the amygdala, in response to high versus low-caloric food cues. This implies that the amygdala responds to rewarding signals, which includes hormones as well as rewarding sensory signals from the environment.

Apart from the central insulin action in the amygdala, we found an interaction between sex and BMI on central insulin BOLD responsivity in several previous reported food-cue responsive cortical regions \([35, 44–46]\). Specifically, men with normal weight and women with overweight showed an increase in central...
insulin-induced BOLD response in the insula. Signals from the periphery and the environment converge in the insula to influence food intake [47]. Of note, several previous studies have identified either BMI or sex effects of central insulin action in the insular cortex. In young men with normal weight, central insulin induced an increase in regional blood flow [10], while men with overweight responded with a decrease [48]. Furthermore, in women with normal weight and obesity central insulin induced an increase in FCR in the insula [24]. Other studies, investigating food-cue reactivity, independent of insulin action, showed either BMI-or sex-related differences [41, 42, 49–51]. Two studies identified a similar food-cue response pattern in the insular cortex, with greater activation in the insula in participants with normal weight than in participants with overweight [49] and higher activity in the insula in women with overweight compared to normal weight [41]. This coincides with our study showing that women with overweight exemplify the greatest BOLD activity in the insular cortex in response to high-caloric cues, particularly in a fasted state.

Participants with high peripheral insulin sensitivity showed the highest central insulin-induced insular food-cue reactivity, which was fully mediated by cognitive restraint—a measure for the cognitive control of food intake. High scores in cognitive restraint correlate with a good maintenance of body weight or success in weight loss and lower BMI scores in people with overweight and obesity [52, 53]. Cognitive restraint may enhance the relationship between peripheral insulin sensitivity and central insulin BOLD response to food cues. Central insulin was shown to influence

**Fig. 4 Cognitive restraint as mediator between peripheral and central insulin action.** Graphic illustrates mediation model adjusted for sex, BMI and age. Cognitive restraint (based on three factor eating questionnaire) positively mediated the relationship between peripheral insulin sensitivity and the insular cortex BOLD activity (insulin minus placebo) in response to high-caloric food cues. Path coefficients and corresponding p-values are shown next to the arrows; path a indicates the relationship between peripheral insulin sensitivity and cognitive restraint, path b indicates the relationship between the cognitive restraint and the insula BOLD activity in response to high-caloric food cues; path ab indicates the indirect effect (not standardized) of peripheral insulin sensitivity on the insular cortex activity via the cognitive restraint score; path c' indicate the direct effect of peripheral insulin sensitivity on the insular cortex activity.

**Fig. 5 Insulin action on BOLD response in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC).** BOLD activity in the DLPFC is modulated by the individual wanting ratings for food cues. A Overlay shows significant 2-way interaction between sex and condition in the DLPFC with increasing wanting ratings for food cues (pFWE-corr. < 0.05). B Bar plot shows DLPFC BOLD response (insulin minus placebo) with increasing wanting ratings for women and men. Women showed significantly higher DLPFC BOLD activity than men. C Plot shows DLPFC BOLD activity for women and men with both insulin and placebo condition separately with increasing wanting ratings (1: low wanting; 5: high wanting, for visualization purposes only). ***p < 0.001.
dopamine signaling and reduce hedonic aspects of food [9, 11, 12]. Thus, cognitive restraint could further affect the subjective value and rewarding effect of food.

Based on our findings, we postulate that the insulin BOLD response in men with normal weight was primarily driven by physiological signals (i.e., central insulin), while the response of women with overweight was additionally driven by environmental cues and cognitive processes [54]. Noteworthy, women with normal weight did not show an increase in insula BOLD activity with intranasal insulin. This could be due to the fact that we performed our study in the fasted state. Studies in the postprandial state identified a central insulin induced reduction in appetite ratings [23, 24] and increased insula activity [24] in women of normal and overweight. Hence, the nutritional state could additionally modulate the brain’s response to food cues [35, 42, 51, 55–57], though, the detailed underlying mechanisms remain unclear. Furthermore, hormonal fluctuations during the menstrual cycle have shown to influence peripheral and central insulin sensitivity [58, 59]. Hence, further studies are needed to evaluate the complex interplay of sex hormones and nutritional state on the brain response to physiological and environmental cues.

On a merely behavioral level, central insulin action led to the strongest decrease in the hunger ratings in men with normal weight and women with overweight. Accordingly, previous studies, mostly in men with normal weight, described decreased ratings for appetite or hunger and food intake following intranasal insulin [8, 20]; Surprisingly, in the current study, we identified a general increase in low-caloric wanting ratings with intranasal insulin. This expands previous findings, showing that central insulin not only decreases hunger [8, 20] and food wanting for high-caloric food [8, 11, 60] but can also enhance wanting for low-caloric food. This could further corroborate that central insulin is a rewarding signal [54].

Furthermore, central insulin action led to a significant sex-dependent DLPFC BOLD response with increasing desire (i.e., wanting) for food cues. Women showed an increase in activity with increasing wanting following intranasal insulin, while men showed a decrease. The prefrontal cortex plays a crucial role in decision-making and cognitive control of food intake [61, 62] and is highly responsive to hormonal signals like insulin [8, 25]. The sex differences of central insulin action in the DLPFC further support the notion that women and men differ in central insulin signaling when eating behavior-related cognitive processes are involved [6, 20]. Meaning that in men, central insulin action reduces prefrontal activity for high wanted food cues and decreases hunger. This could lead to a decrease of food intake, as described in men [21] and male rats [63, 64]. Whereas in women, central insulin action seems to be influenced by cognitive processes related food choice, which is further supported by the positive association between DLPFC BOLD activity and cognitive restraint. Hence, physiological signals as central insulin regulate homeostasis and appetite in men, while in women there might be a dissociation between physiological and cognitive signals.

CONCLUSION

Obesity and sex seem to play a major role in central insulin-mediated neural BOLD food-cue reactivity. Our study shows a complex interaction between sex and obesity during neural FCR, which is associated with peripheral insulin sensitivity and cognitive restraint, which indicates that further factors likely contribute. Furthermore, neural activity modulated by the desire for food cues revealed pronounced sex differences in prefrontal activity. This further supports the hypothesis that insulin signaling in the brain differs between women and men, especially in the regulation of cognitive and hedonic processes.

Limitations

In our current study, we could not analyze the impact of menstrual cycle or contraceptive medication as the sample size was not large enough for further stratified analyses. Nonetheless, it is known that peripheral insulin sensitivity changes throughout the cycle [58] and also eating behavior and preferences may change [18] and should therefore be addressed in further experiments. Furthermore, peripheral insulin sensitivity was not assessed through hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp but estimated with the widely-used Matsuda index from repeated insulin and glucose measurements during an OGTT [65].
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