Evidence for sustained elevation of IL-6 in the CNS as a key contributor of depressive-like phenotypes
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There is compelling clinical literature implicating a role for cytokines in the pathophysiology of major depressive disorder (MDD). Interleukin-6 (IL-6) and interleukin-1β (IL-1β) are pleiotropic inflammatory cytokines that have been reported to be elevated in patients with MDD. The present studies were undertaken to investigate the relationship between IL-6 and IL-1β in animal models of depressive-like behavior. Analysis of brain tissue homogenates in the cortex of rats subjected to chronic stress paradigms and in the otherwise medically healthy.5–10 Although many depressed patients, both with comorbid inflammatory disease and develop new alternative therapeutics.3,4 Understanding a great urgency to understand treatment-resistant depression to standard, mainly monoaminergic-based therapies, there is substantial number of patients (>30%) remain unresponsive and develop new alternative therapeutics.3,4 Understanding the role of cytokines in the pathophysiology of depression may also explain some of the underlying causes for treatment-resistant depression. Clinical evidence supports a neuroimmune hypothesis of depression. In particular, the proinflammatory cytokines interleukin-1β (IL-1β) and IL-6 have primarily been reported to be elevated in the serum or plasma of depressed patients, both with comorbid inflammatory disease and in the otherwise medically healthy.5–10 Although many neuroimmune factors have been implicated in depressive disorders, a survey of the clinical literature points to elevations of IL-6 as the most consistent finding across studies.9–15 Some of the most compelling data demonstrate: (a) elevations of IL-6 in the absence of increased IL-1β; (b) elevations of IL-6 in the cerebrospinal fluid and plasma of suicide attempters with a diagnosis of major depressive disorder (MDD) relative to controls; (c) increased plasma IL-6 levels associated with patients who are refractory to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor or serotonin–norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor treatment; (d) normalization of elevated IL-6 levels in MDD patients following successful antidepressant treatment; (e) IL-6 levels remaining elevated in the plasma of MDD patients who fail to respond to antidepressant therapy, all suggesting a potential role for IL-6 in treatment-resistant depression.5,9,16–22

Although an overwhelming amount of clinical evidence implicates IL-6 as an important mediator of MDD, there is little preclinical corroboration. To date, the preclinical studies of cytokine-induced depressive behavior have largely focused on administration of the bacterial endotoxin lipopolysaccharide (LPS) or the proinflammatory cytokine IL-1β. In rodents, it has been widely reported that LPS and IL-1β administered either centrally or peripherally induce depressive-like behaviors with accompanying increases in ‘sickness behavior’ and elevations in cytokines, such as IL-1β, IL-6 and tumor necrosis factors, but that LPS and IL-1β failed to produce the expected antidepressant-like effect relative to fluoxetine-treated control mice (MRL/MpJ-Faslpr/lpr (LPR mice)) failed to produce the expected antidepressant-like effect relative to fluoxetine-treated control mice (MRL/MpJ-Faslpr/lpr (LPR mice)). Interestingly, blockade of IL-6 trans-signaling by coadministration of a gp130/Fc monomer or an antimouse IL-6 antibody with IL-6 prevented the IL-6-induced increases in immobility time as well as attenuated IL-6-induced increases of protein in the cortex. Taken together, these data indicate that elevations in IL-6 may have a pathophysiological role underlying depression and more specifically resistance to current classes of antidepressant medications and suggest that modulation of the IL-6 signaling pathway may have therapeutic potential for treatment-resistant depression.
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Introduction

The cytokine hypothesis of depression, initially proposed by Smith1 and later by Maes2 nearly two decades ago as a result of observations of increased inflammatory markers in the blood of chronically depressed patients, was at that time overshadowed by the advancement and success of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and other monoaminergic-based treatments for depression. In light of emerging data from clinical trials such as the ‘Sequenced Treatment Alternatives to Relieve Depression’ (STAR*D), which indicate that a substantial number of patients (~30%) remain unresponsive to standard, mainly monoaminergic-based therapies, there is a great urgency to understand treatment-resistant depression and develop new alternative therapeutics.3,4 Understanding the role of cytokines in the pathophysiology of depression may also explain some of the underlying causes for treatment-resistant depression. Clinical evidence supports a neuroimmune hypothesis of depression. In particular, the proinflammatory cytokines interleukin-1β (IL-1β) and IL-6 have primarily been reported to be elevated in the serum or plasma of depressed patients, both with comorbid inflammatory disease and in the otherwise medically healthy.5–10 Although many neuroimmune factors have been implicated in depressive disorders, a survey of the clinical literature points to elevations of IL-6 as the most consistent finding across studies.9–15 Some of the most compelling data demonstrate: (a) elevations of IL-6 in the absence of increased IL-1β; (b) elevations of IL-6 in the cerebrospinal fluid and plasma of suicide attempters with a diagnosis of major depressive disorder (MDD) relative to controls; (c) increased plasma IL-6 levels...
IL-6 mediates depressive behaviors in the CNS
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Materials and methods

Animals. All experiments were performed in accordance with the specifications of the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (Pub. 85-23, rev 1996) and under the approval of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). Experiments were conducted in an AAALAC-accredited facility maintained on a 12-h light/dark cycle (lights on at 0600 h) with food and water provided ad libitum. Behavioral experiments were conducted during the light cycle between the hours of 0800–1700. Adult male Sprague–Dawley rats (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA, USA) were used for studies investigating stress-induced behavioral and cytokine responses. Adult male Swiss Webster mice (Charles River Laboratories) were used for studies evaluating exogenous administration of IL-1β or IL-6. For studies evaluating effects of endogenous overexpression of IL-6, male MRL/MpJ-FasLPR/LPR (LPR) mice and MRL/MpJ-FasLPR/LPR (MRL) wild-type (WT) controls were used (Jackson Laboratories, Bar Harbor, ME, USA). All subjects were acclimated to the facility for a minimum of 1 week before experiments. Intact female C57Bl6/J mice (Jackson Laboratories) were maintained in a separate housing room and used as noncontact stimulus females only during social behavior testing.

Learned helplessness. Learned helplessness was assessed in Sprague–Dawley rats in an escape-avoidance paradigm following exposure to inescapable footshock. Briefly, on day 1 subjects were sequestered to one side of a shuttle box (Med Associates, St Albans, VT, USA) and were administered a series of inescapable footshocks (60 shocks, 0.8 mA, 15 s duration, 2-s intertrial interval) paired with a light cue and audible tone. Sham controls were exposed to the chambers but did not receive any footshocks or cues. On day 2, all subjects were assessed for helpless behavior in a 30-trial active-avoidance task (see Supplementary Information for detailed methods).

Social competition paradigm of dominant–submissive behavior. The social competition paradigm is similar to the procedure we reported previously, which takes advantage of the natural dominant–submissive relationship formed between a pair of male conspecifics. Briefly, pair-housed subjects (non food-restricted) were trained individually in operant chambers (Med Associates) to anticipate the presentation of a palatable reward (sugar pellet; BioServ, Frenchtown, NJ, USA) following a series of stimulus light cues. During the testing phase, both cagemates were placed within the operant chamber and assessed for dominant–submissive behavior by a trained observer. The rat within the pair that obtained the food pellet was declared the winner and the other rat the loser. Dominance was expressed as the rat within each pair that consistently demonstrated the dominance of wins (> 60%) in daily sessions over the course of a 6-week period (see Supplementary Information for detailed methods).

Central administration of IL-1β and IL-6. Recombinant mouse IL-1β (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) and recombinant mouse IL-6 (EBiosciences, San Diego, CA, USA) were diluted in artificial CSF containing 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) as carrier protein. Proteins were injected directly into the brain (2–3 μl; intracerebroventricularly) of noncannulated mice under isoflurane anesthesia as previously described and later confirmed in intracerebroventricularly (i.c.v.) cannulated mice (right lateral ventricle; Charles River Laboratories, Surgical Services Department). For cannulated mice, the rate of infusion was 1.5 μl min⁻¹ and injection cannulae were maintained in place for an additional minute at the conclusion of the infusion. Antagonism experiments evaluating anti-IL-6 antibody (BD Pharmingen, San Jose, CA, USA) or soluble gp130Fc chimera (R&D Systems) (diluted in artificial CSF + 0.1% BSA) were conducted in only i.c.v. cannulated mice as were antagonism experiments evaluating the IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1RA) (R&D Systems) (reconstituted in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)).

Assessment of sickness behavior. A trained observer blind to the treatment conditions observed and scored the absence or presence of the following four behaviors in individually housed mice: hypoactivity, ptosis, curled body posture and piloerection. A cumulative score of 0 (no behavior observed) to 4 (all four behaviors observed) was assessed for each individual mouse.

Tail suspension test. The tail suspension test used is a variant of a procedure described previously. Briefly,
subjects were suspended by their tails with tape to a flat bar for 6 min in duration (Med Associates). Immobility time was automatically recorded (Med PC software, St Albans, VT, USA).

**Forced swim test.** Subjects were placed into individual tanks (33 cm height × 10 cm diameter; 25 ± 0.5°C water temperature) for a 6-min test period. Immobility time (floating) was recorded via automated cameras connected to an interface and computer and analyzed using Biorad software (St Augustin, Germany).

**Social interaction paradigm.** Social behavior was assessed in male Swiss Webster mice 24 h after central administration of IL-6 (1 μg) or vehicle control in the presence of females similar to methods described previously.26 Briefly, male test subjects were individually acclimated to the novel test cage for 30 min followed by an additional 30 min to the presence of two wire corrals (www.kitchen-plus.com, no. 31570). The trial began when a stimulus female was placed into one of the corrals and the empty corral was removed. A trained observer scored latency to first investigation and total investigation time for a 5-min observation period. Investigation time consisted of the following measurements: direct nose-to-nose contact of male to female, male forepaw contact (reach) to female, sniff or reach to corral floor or to any portion of the female external to the corral (for example, her tail).

**Protein extraction.** Brain tissue was collected following decapitation of anesthetized animals under isoflurane anesthesia, dissected in cold PBS and stored at −80°C. Frozen tissues were thawed in cold lysis buffer containing the following in PBS: 3% of 5M NaCl, 2% of 1M Tris-buffered saline, 0.2% of 0.5M ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 0.2% of 0.5M ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid (EGTA), 1% of Triton X-100, protease inhibitor tablets (Roche, Indianapolis, IN, USA, no. 04693116001) and 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS). For enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), the cortex (minus the cerebellum and olfactory bulbs) was homogenized in 1 μl buffer per 1 μg tissue wet weight. For western blot, the hippocampus and frontal cortex were homogenized in 200 μl of complete lysis buffer. Homogenates were centrifuged at 15,000 g for 20 min at 4°C and supernatants stored at −80°C.

**Multiplex cytokine ELISA.** Custom multiplex ELISA kits were purchased from Meso-Scale Devices (Gaithersburg, MD, USA). The standard manufacturer’s protocol was modified to optimize for the detection of cytokines in the brain tissue by the addition of fresh protease inhibitors to thawing homogenates, and increasing the sample aliquot to 50 μl (in duplicate) as well as extending the sample incubation time from 2 to 4 h. All samples were normalized for total protein (BCA kit, Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA). A standard curve was generated for IL-1β and IL-6 based on the standards provided.

**SDS-PAGE and western blotting of IL-6.** Reducing agents (NuPAGE, no. NP0004, Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, USA) and loading buffer were added to samples and heat treated for 3 min (90°C) before loading. A total of 30–50 μg of total protein were loaded in each lane (5 μg purified IL-6 was used as loading control; E Biosciences, no. 34-8061). Protein samples were separated by SDS-PAGE gel electrophoresis (Invitrogen; 4–12% Bis-Tris) in running buffer, washed in Tris-buffered saline with Tween-20 and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. Following successful transfer, membranes were cut at the ~28 kDa band, which allowed us to simultaneously probe for the protein of interest (IL-6 at ~21 kDa) and the control protein (actin at ~45 kDa) on the same gel (within sample control). Membranes were blocked with blocking buffer (Pierce SuperBlock, Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA, no. 37517, +0.1% Tween-20) followed by incubation overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer (IL-6 1:200 (BD Pharmingen), no. 554400; actin 1:10,000 (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA), no. A2066). Membranes were then washed in Tris-buffered saline with Tween-20 and incubated with horse radish peroxidase-conjugated anti-Ig secondary antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA, USA) at a dilution of 1:10,000 in blocking buffer for 1 h at room temperature. Proteins were visualized using western blotting chemiluminescence reagents (ThermoScientific) and exposed to autoradiographic film. The product was analyzed by densitometry using the Quantity One 1-D Analysis software (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

**Statistical analysis.** Behavioral data are expressed as mean ± s.e.m. Data were analyzed using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (treatment) or two-way ANOVA (treatment × pretreatment), (treatment × strain) or (treatment × time) depending upon the experimental design. A two-way ANOVA with repeated measures was used to analyze data of which time was a factor. ANOVA was followed by planned post hoc comparisons using Bonferroni’s post hoc test. Significance was achieved at P < 0.05.

**Results**

Depressive-like phenotypes are associated with elevated protein levels of IL-6 in the brain in the absence of elevated levels of IL-1β. Inescapable footshock resulted in 77% of subjects demonstrating learning helpless behavior (>50% escape failures) during the test day relative to 23% of subjects exposed to inescapable footshock that did not become helpless. One-way ANOVA with a Bonferroni’s post hoc test revealed that rats subjected to inescapable footshock that became helpless demonstrated a mean failure rate of 90 ± 4.385% relative to a mean failure rate of 30 ± 12.00% in nonshocked controls (P < 0.001; Figure 1a). Further analysis of the mean failure rate of rats subjected to inescapable shock that did not become helpless (resilient) revealed a mean failure rate of 14 ± 8.72%. Analysis of IL-6 protein levels by one-way ANOVA from cortex homogenates revealed a nonsignificant increase in helpless subjects relative to sham controls (F(2,10) = 2.235; P > 0.05; Figure 1b) with planned Bonferroni’s post hoc comparisons across groups revealing a reduction in IL-6 levels in the resilient group relative to the helpless group (P = 0.05).
There were no meaningful differences in IL-1β protein levels in the cortex across treatment groups following one-way ANOVA (F(2,11) = 0.3181; P > 0.05; Figure 1b).

There were no meaningful differences in IL-1β protein levels in the cortex across treatment groups following one-way ANOVA (F(2,11) = 0.3181; P > 0.05; Figure 1b).

Figure 1c illustrates the dominant–submissive relationship between pair-housed rats competing for food over a period of 6 weeks. Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc comparisons revealed a significant dominance level by time interaction (F(6,70) = 2.665; P < 0.05) in which there was a main effect of dominance (P < 0.05) and no significant effect of time indicating that over the 6-week period the level of dominance was maintained. Further analyses revealed that the groups of competing pairs (n = 6), 50% maintained the original level of dominance, while the other 50% of the pairs demonstrated aggressive interactions such that the rat of the pair that was initially submissive in the early weeks of competitions began to compete aggressively with the dominant rat. Initial analysis of cortex homogenates from dominant rats relative to submissive rats did not reveal any differences in IL-6 or IL-1β protein levels (P > 0.05; Figure 1d). However, stratification of dominance level for pairs in which the original level of dominance was maintained (stable) vs pairs in which the submissive rat was competing aggressively with the dominant rat (aggressive) revealed a significant increase in IL-6 in both the dominant and submissive rat within the pairs in which the dominant–submissive relationship was no longer stable (t-test: P < 0.05 vs stable; Figure 1e), and in the absence of alterations in IL-1β.

Central administration of IL-1β induces sickness and depressive-like behavior and elevations of IL-6. It has been previously reported that IL-1β induces depressive-like behaviors with accompanying increases in 'sickness behavior' and elevations of IL-6 in rodents.23,26 Reassuringly, we were able to confirm and extend these observations. IL-1β (50–200 ng, 2 h pretreatment, i.c.v.) produced dose-dependent increases in immobility time as analyzed by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post hoc comparisons in the tail suspension test (F(3,82) = 4.864; P < 0.01 vs vehicle; Figure 2a) and forced swim test (F(3,68) = 3.251; P < 0.05 vs vehicle; Figure 2b), an effect suggestive of a prodepressive profile. IL-1β-treated mice also demonstrated dose-related increases in sickness behaviors (F(3,59) = 41.54; P < 0.0001 vs vehicle; Figure 2c). Cortex homogenates of mice administered IL-1β (100 ng, i.c.v.) revealed significant increases in IL-1β protein levels relative to vehicle-infused controls (t-test: P < 0.001 vs vehicle; Figure 2d), as well as a significant increases in IL-6 protein levels (t-test: P < 0.001 vs vehicle; Figure 2e).

Central administration of IL-6 induces depressive-like behavior in the absence of sickness or elevations in IL-1β. To understand the role of IL-6 in the depressive and sickness behavior observed after administration of IL-1β, we evaluated the direct central effects of IL-6. Central administration of IL-6 (500–1000 ng, i.c.v.; 2 h pretreatment) to naive
mice produced dose-dependent increases in immobility time as analyzed by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post hoc comparisons in the tail suspension (F(3,37) = 2.998; P < 0.05 vs vehicle; Figure 3a) and forced swim tests (F(3,48) = 2.272; P < 0.05 vs vehicle; Figure 3b), indicative of depressive-like activity of IL-6. Central administration of IL-6 at doses that increased immobility did not produce significant increases in sickness behaviors (F(3,37) = 1.342; P > 0.05 vs vehicle; Figure 3c) or alterations in general exploratory behavior in an open field (Supplementary Figures S1 and S2). Importantly, as measured by multiplex ELISA, cortex homogenates revealed the expected increase in IL-6 protein levels in IL-6-treated mice (1 μg, i.c.v.) relative to vehicle controls (P < 0.05 vs vehicle; Figure 3d), which were not accompanied by significant increases in IL-1β protein (P > 0.05 vs vehicle; Figure 3e). The duration of depressive-like behavior in the tail suspension test following IL-6 central administration (1 μg, i.c.v.) was sustained for up to 48 h as indicated by a statistically significant increase in immobility time relative to vehicle-treated control as measured by t-test at each timepoint (*P < 0.05 vs vehicle within timepoint; Figure 3f). The sustained depressive-like behavioral phenotype does not appear to be a result of regionally specific upregulation of IL-6 following i.c.v. administration (1 μg, 24 h pretreatment), as statistically significant increases in IL-6 protein expression were observed in the hippocampus (t-test: P < 0.05 vs vehicle; Figure 3g and Supplementary Figure 3a), hypothalamus (t-test: P < 0.05 vs vehicle; Figure 3h and Supplementary Figure 3b) and frontal cortex (t-test: P < 0.05 vs vehicle; Figure 3i and Supplementary Figure 3c), with modest nonsignificant increases also observed in the striatum (t-test: P = 0.06 vs vehicle; Figure 3j and Supplementary Figure 3d). Consistent with a sustained depressive-like phenotype, 24 h pretreatment of IL-6 (1 μg, i.c.v.) produced a significant reduction in social interaction behavior relative to vehicle-infused controls (t-test: P < 0.05; Figure 3k). The reduction in total investigation time of the female mouse by IL-6-treated males was not a result of a general reduction in exploratory behaviors as the mean latency to interact with the stimulus female mouse was not significantly different relative to vehicle-treated males (t-test: P > 0.05; Figure 3k).

Depressive-like phenotype and reduced antidepressant-like efficacy in a mouse model with endogenous overexpression of IL-6. To further test the hypothesis that central elevation of IL-6 protein is a relevant mechanism of depressive-like behavior, we employed LPR mice that have previously been reported to have increased IL-6 mRNA expression in brain regions, and a depressive-like phenotype relative to control MRL WT mice.55,56,57,58 In the tail suspension (Figure 4a) and forced swim tests (Figure 4b), LPR mice spent significantly more time immobile relative to MRL WT controls (t-test: P < 0.05), whereas locomotor activity in the open field was not different between MRL and LPR mice (data not shown).

Critically, IL-6 protein levels of naïve LPR mice were significantly elevated relative to MRL WT controls in both the hippocampus (t-test: P < 0.05; Figure 4c) and frontal cortex (t-test: P < 0.05; Figure 4d).

Following administration to MRL mice, fluoxetine produced robust antidepressant-like effects in both the tail suspension...
Elevated IL-6 levels in the brain following exogenous administration alter the ability of fluoxetine to produce an antidepressant-like effect. To determine whether the blunted effects of fluoxetine in LPR mice was specifically due to elevated IL-6 levels, we next assessed the effects of fluoxetine following exogenous IL-6 administration to Swiss Webster mice. Fluoxetine (60 min pretreatment, intraperitoneally) produced the expected dose-dependent reductions in immobility time in vehicle-infused controls (i.c.v., 24 h pretreatment) as analyzed by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post hoc comparisons vs vehicle/vehicle control; n = 16–17 per treatment group, with no significant differences in initial reaction to a female stimulus mouse (latency) indicating no impairment in general exploratory behavior in IL-6-treated mice relative to vehicle-treated controls.
produced significant reductions in immobility in the tail suspension test at 30 and 56 mg kg\(^{-1}\), intraperitoneally; OD, optical density.

fluoxetine relative to the robust response observed in vehicle-infused mice (treatment group). (MRL control; n = 8–10 per treatment group). In mice centrally administered IL-6 (24 h pre), fluoxetine produced only significant reductions in immobility time at 30 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (P < 0.05 vs vehicle-treated LPR control; n = 8–10 per treatment group). (f) In the forced swim test, vehicle-treated LPR mice demonstrated significant increases in immobility time relative to MRL control mice (P < 0.05 vs vehicle-treated MRL control). Acute administration of fluoxetine produced dose-dependent and significant reductions in immobility time in MRL mice relative to vehicle-treated control at doses at 5 and 10 mg kg\(^{-1}\) indicative of an antidepressant-like effect (P < 0.05 vs vehicle-treated MRL control; n = 8–9 per treatment group). Acute administration of IL-6 to LPR mice produced only antidepressant-like effects at 10 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (P < 0.05 vs vehicle-treated LPR control; n = 8–10 per treatment group). (g) In the tail suspension test, vehicle (veh)-treated LPR mice demonstrated significant increases in immobility time relative to MRL control mice (P < 0.05 vs vehicle-treated MRL control). Acute administration of fluoxetine produced dose-dependent and significant reductions in immobility time in MRL mice relative to vehicle-treated control at doses at 5 and 10 mg kg\(^{-1}\) indicative of an antidepressant-like effect (P < 0.05 vs vehicle-treated MRL control; n = 8–9 per treatment group). Acute administration of fluoxetine produced only antidepressant-like effects at 30 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (P < 0.05 vs vehicle-treated LPR control; n = 8–10 per treatment group). (g) Consistent with results observed for the reduced response of fluoxetine in mice with endogenous overexpression of IL-6 in the CNS, fluoxetine administered to mice that were pretreated 24 h prior with centrally administered IL-6 also demonstrated a similar reduced response to the antidepressant-like effects of fluoxetine relative to the robust response observed in vehicle-infused mice (n = 8–17 per treatment group). In mice centrally administered vehicle control (24 h pre), fluoxetine produced significant reductions in immobility in the tail suspension test at 30 and 56 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (P < 0.05 vs veh/veh-treated control). In mice centrally administered IL-6 (24 h pre), fluoxetine produced only significant reductions in immobility time at 56 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (P < 0.05 vs IL-6/veh-treated mice). (h) Central administration of IL-6 (24 h pre) produced increases in IL-6 protein levels in the brain, which was not attenuated by fluoxetine treatment (n = 4 per treatment group). i.c.v., intracerebroventricularly; i.p., intraperitoneally; OD, optical density.

Figure 4 Overexpression of interleukin-6 (IL-6) in the central nervous system (CNS) results in depressive-like behavior and reduced efficacy of antidepressant treatment. (a) LPR mice demonstrate increases in immobility time in the tail suspension and (b) forced swim tests, indicative of a depressive-like effect (P < 0.05 vs MRL control; n = 8 per genotype). (c) LPR mice demonstrate significant increases in IL-6 protein levels relative to MRL wild-type (WT) controls in the hippocampus and (d) prefrontal cortex as measured by the western blot analysis (n = 3 per genotype). (e) In the tail suspension test, vehicle (veh)-treated LPR mice demonstrated significant increases in immobility time relative to MRL control mice (P < 0.05 vs vehicle-treated MRL control). Acute administration of fluoxetine produced dose-dependent and significant reductions in immobility time relative to MRL control mice (P < 0.05 vs vehicle-treated MRL control). Acute administration of fluoxetine produced dose-dependent and significant reductions in immobility time in MRL mice relative to vehicle-treated control at doses at 5 and 10 mg kg\(^{-1}\) indicative of an antidepressant-like effect (P < 0.05 vs vehicle-treated MRL control; n = 8–9 per treatment group). Acute administration of fluoxetine to LPR mice produced only antidepressant-like effects at 10 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (P < 0.05 vs vehicle-treated LPR control; n = 8–10 per treatment group). (f) In the forced swim test, vehicle-treated LPR mice demonstrated significant increases in immobility time relative to MRL control mice (P < 0.05 vs vehicle-treated MRL control). Acute administration of fluoxetine produced dose-dependent and significant reductions in immobility time in MRL mice relative to vehicle-treated control at doses at 5 and 10 mg kg\(^{-1}\) indicative of an antidepressant-like effect (P < 0.05 vs vehicle-treated MRL control; n = 8–9 per treatment group). Acute administration of fluoxetine produced only antidepressant-like effects at 30 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (P < 0.05 vs vehicle-treated LPR control; n = 8–10 per treatment group). (g) Consistent with results observed for the reduced response of fluoxetine in mice with endogenous overexpression of IL-6 in the CNS, fluoxetine administered to mice that were pretreated 24 h prior with centrally administered IL-6 also demonstrated a similar reduced response to the antidepressant-like effects of fluoxetine relative to the robust response observed in vehicle-infused mice (n = 8–17 per treatment group). In mice centrally administered vehicle control (24 h pre), fluoxetine produced significant reductions in immobility in the tail suspension test at 30 and 56 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (P < 0.05 vs veh/veh-treated control). In mice centrally administered IL-6 (24 h pre), fluoxetine produced only significant reductions in immobility time at 56 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (P < 0.05 vs IL-6/veh-treated mice). (h) Central administration of IL-6 (24 h pre) produced increases in IL-6 protein levels in the brain, which was not attenuated by fluoxetine treatment (n = 4 per treatment group). i.c.v., intracerebroventricularly; i.p., intraperitoneally; OD, optical density.

significant reduction in immobility time as analyzed by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post hoc comparisons vs IL-6/vehicle control (F(2,40) = 7.759; P < 0.05; Figure 4g). Brain homogenates revealed a sustained elevation of IL-6 protein levels in the IL-6 + fluoxetine groups that were only partially attenuated by fluoxetine treatment (Figure 4h).

Blockade of IL-6-induced increases in protein levels and depressive-like behavior by central administration of anti-IL-6 antibody. To demonstrate a direct and specific interaction of IL-6 in mediating depressive-like behavior, the effects of central coadministration of anti-IL-6 antibody (1 μg) with IL-6 (1 μg) were evaluated. IL-6 administration produced the expected increase in immobility time relative to vehicle/vehicle-treated controls (Figure 5a). Although two-way ANOVA did not demonstrate a statistically significant main effect of IL-6 treatment (F(1,40) = 3.86; P = 0.056), planned post hoc comparisons revealed a statistically significant increase in immobility time in vehicle-treated mice in the presence of IL-6 relative to vehicle-treated mice coadministered vehicle (P < 0.05; Figure 5a). Importantly, coadministration of anti-IL-6 antibody with IL-6 prevented the increases in immobility time observed when IL-6 was coadministered with vehicle as measured by two-way ANOVA (F(1,40) = 1.23; P > 0.05; Figure 5b). IL-6 protein levels from
brain homogenates demonstrate a significant main effect of IL-6 (F(91,11) = 8.112; P < 0.05 IL-6/vehicle vs vehicle/vehicle). Coadministration of anti-IL-6 antibody with IL-6 produced a modest attenuation of IL-6 levels (F(1,11) = 1.207; P > 0.05; Figure 5a).

Administration of soluble gp130 FC blocks central IL-6-induced protein levels and depressive-like behavior by interfering with trans-signaling. We have evaluated and proven the direct and specific ability to block an IL-6-induced effect of depressive-like behavior at the receptor level...
in our experimental paradigm, but the ability to interfere with the IL-6 trans-signaling pathway is also of high interest from both a mechanistic and therapeutic standpoint. Administration of IL-6 produced the expected increase in immobility time relative to vehicle/vehicle-treated controls. Although two-way ANOVA did not demonstrate a statistically significant main effect of IL-6 treatment (F(1,23) = 3.66; P = 0.06), planned post hoc comparisons revealed a statistically significant increase in immobility time in vehicle-treated mice in the presence of IL-6 relative to vehicle-treated mice coadministered vehicle (P < 0.05; Figure 5c). Coadministration of gp130 with IL-6 prevented the increases in immobility time observed when IL-6 was coadministered with vehicle (F(1,38) = 10.47; P < 0.01). IL-6 protein levels from brain homogenates as analyzed by two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post hoc comparisons demonstrated a significant main effect of IL-6 (F(1,14) = 10.69; P < 0.05 IL-6/vehicle vs vehicle/vehicle). Coadministration of gp130 with IL-6 produced a nonsignificant attenuation of IL-6 levels (F(1,14) = 2.08; P > 0.05; Figure 5d).

**IL-6-induced depressive-like behavior is not blocked by IL-1 receptor antagonism.** To provide additional evidence to support the hypothesis that IL-6 produces depressive-like behaviors independent of the IL-1 pathway, central administration of the IL-1RA was evaluated in the presence of IL-1β or IL-6. Coadministration of a maximally soluble concentration of IL-1RA (1 μg, i.c.v.) with IL-1β (100 ng, i.c.v.) produced a significant attenuation of IL-1β-induced sickness behaviors indicating a functionally relevant dose of IL-1RA. As expected, analysis by two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post hoc comparisons revealed a statistically significant main effect of IL-1β (F(1,36) = 20.51; P < 0.0001; Figure 5e). Coadministration of IL-1RA to IL-1β-treated mice produced a statistically significant attenuation of the increases in sickness behaviors observed when IL-1β was coadministered with vehicle (F(1,36) = 6.698; P < 0.05; Figure 5e). With respect to immobility time, two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post hoc comparisons revealed a statistically significant main effect of IL-1β (F(1,36) = 4.69; P < 0.05; Figure 5f). Coadministration of IL-1RA to IL-1β-treated mice failed to alter immobility time relative to immobility times observed when IL-1β was coadministered with vehicle (F(1,360) = 2.453; P > 0.05; Figure 5f). Coadministration of IL-1RA (1 μg, i.c.v.) to IL-6-treated mice (1 μg, i.c.v.) failed to block the increases in immobility time produced by IL-6 coadministered with vehicle. As expected, two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post hoc comparisons revealed a statistically significant main effect of IL-6 (F(1,38) = 22.05; P < 0.0001; Figure 5g). Coadministration of IL-1RA to IL-6-treated mice failed to alter immobility time relative to immobility times observed when IL-6 was coadministered with vehicle (F(1,38) = 0.796; P > 0.05; Figure 5g). IL-6 protein levels from cortex homogenates by two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post hoc comparisons demonstrate a significant main effect of IL-6 (F(1,10) = 10.19; P < 0.05 IL-6/vehicle vs vehicle/vehicle; Figure 5h). Coadministration of IL-1RA with IL-6 failed to alter IL-6-induced increases in IL-6 protein levels (F(1,10) = 0.733; P > 0.05; Figure 5h).

**Discussion**

The present results demonstrate that elevations in IL-6 levels in the brain contribute to a depressive-like phenotype in rodents and also provide evidence that sustained IL-6 activation prevents the ability of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors to produce antidepressant-like responses. These data further demonstrate a distinct and specific behavioral phenotype of IL-6 relative to IL-1β. In addition, the present data suggest that modulation of the IL-6 pathway may provide therapeutic strategies for treating treatment-resistant depression.

Given the clinical data reports suggesting elevations in IL-6 and IL-1β in plasma levels of patients with MDD, we were intrigued to evaluate IL-6 and IL-1β protein levels utilizing a number of well-characterized rodent models demonstrating depressive-like behaviors following stress.9,13–15 Rats subjected to inescapable footshock stress demonstrated helplessness, a form of behavioral despair, as indicated by a lack of escape response in an escape-avoidance task. Consistent with previous reports, in our studies only 77% of subjects met the criteria of ‘helpless’ as defined by a failure rate of >50% during escape-avoidance testing.49 The modest increase in IL-6 levels in the absence of elevated IL-1β in the helpless rats relative to the nonshocked controls provided evidence that IL-6 contributes to the helpless phenotype in this model while IL-1β does not. It was also of interest to evaluate the brain cytokine levels of the cohort of subjects exposed to the same inescapable footshock stress that did not become helpless (resilient). Relative to the helpless rats, there was a reduced level of brain IL-6 in the resilient group. This is particularly interesting given that IL-6 knockout mice have been reported to show a stress-resistant phenotype and specifically do not demonstrate helpless behavior in a similar paradigm of inescapable shock, whereas in the same study helpless WT mice demonstrated significant increases in IL-6 mRNA in hippocampus.50 In addition to learned helplessness, we selected a model that exploits submissive behavior in a social competition assay, which may be reflective of the social withdrawal component of MDD.37 Although the a priori expectation was that submissive animals would have elevated brain cytokine levels relevant to their dominant counterparts, this was not the case; as the subjects were stratified by the level of dominance, we found that in pairs in which the submissive rat had begun to compete aggressively with the dominant rat over time, there were significant increases in IL-6 relative to IL-6 levels assessed from pairs in which the dominant remained dominant and the submissive remained submissive throughout the course of the experiment.

Central administration of species-homologous IL-1β or species-homologous IL-6 to naive mice resulted in depressive-like behaviors, as indicated by increased immobility times in both the forced swim and tail suspension tests. The IL-1β-induced depressive-like phenotype was accompanied by increased sickness behaviors similar to the behavioral effects induced by LPS.29–36 whereas the IL-6-induced depressive-like phenotype was not. Importantly, analysis of brain tissue homogenates from IL-1β-infused mice revealed the increased IL-1β protein that were also associated with large increases in brain IL-6 levels, while IL-6-infused mice were
without significant increases in brain IL-1β protein. The present findings are the first report, to our knowledge, of a specific range of doses of recombinant mouse IL-6 (administered centrally to mice) necessary to induce depressive-like behaviors. Mixed findings on the ability of IL-6 administration to produce sickness and/or depressive-like behaviors have been reported with most of these studies focusing on a peripheral route of administration and with various nonspecies homologous proteins (that is, human recombinant administered to rodents).39–44 In the present studies, we specifically utilized only recombinant mouse IL-6 and IL-1β as a way to control for the immune response, which could be induced by nonspecies homologous proteins as well as specifically evaluating the effects of IL-6 following central administration. The lack of sickness behavior in IL-6-treated mice in the present studies may be related to the fact that IL-1β increases did not result from IL-6 administration, thereby suggesting that activation of IL-1β or its downstream effectors may be responsible for the general proinflammatory sickness states. Further characterization of IL-6-induced depressive-like behavior revealed an interesting time course with a single dose of 1 μg i.c.v. demonstrating significant increases in immobility time up to a timepoint of 48 h post dose relative to vehicle-infused controls as well as social deficits 24 h post dose and without any accompanying sickness behavior. 

As another means to test the hypothesis that central elevation of IL-6 protein is a relevant mechanism of depressive-like behavior, we employed the LPR mouse model, which has been utilized historically for the study of autoimmune diseases (for example, lupus), as at approximately 12 weeks of age these mice exhibit the typical signs of autoimmune disease.51,62 Interestingly, the comorbidity between systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and MDD is extremely high and alterations in IL-6 are prominently featured during the course of SLE such that elevations of IL-6 levels in the cerebrospinal fluid of SLE patients is highly correlated with the presentation of neuropsychiatric symptoms.53 Further, SLE patients often present with MDD symptoms before the onset of autoimmune antibodies and formal diagnosis of SLE.54 Owing to the nature of the documented accelerated disease progression in LPR mice, mice evaluated in the present studies were restricted to an age range of 8–11 weeks at the time of testing.65 The robust increases in immobility time in the LPR mice relative to the MRL mice in this study were consistent with the findings of Gao and co-workers,57 and importantly the relationship observed for the increase in immobility time relative to the increased IL-6 protein expression in the brain of LPR mice provided additional evidence in support of our hypothesis that elevations of IL-6 in the CNS are a key mediator of depressive behavior.

We then looked to understand the functional significance of elevated IL-6 levels in the brain as a factor in the response to antidepressant treatment. The most intriguing finding from these experiments was the reduced ability of the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor fluoxetine to produce an antidepressant-like effect in LPR mice relative to the robust dose-dependent response of fluoxetine in MRL WT controls, which were not a result of endogenous differences in serotonin transporter levels, serotonin transporter occupancy or pharmacokinetic differences between the two strains. To further confirm these observations, we evaluated the response to fluoxetine in mice centrally administered IL-6 at a timepoint that was more relevant to a nonacute effect. Given the window of increased immobility time, demonstrated to be in excess of 48 h following a single dose of 1 μg IL-6, a 24-h pretreatment period of IL-6 was selected to represent a nonacute recapitulation of a pathological elevation of IL-6 in the CNS. Fluoxetine administered to mice that were pretreated 24 h prior with IL-6 also demonstrated a similar reduced response relative to the robust response observed in vehicle-infused mice. These data suggest that increased IL-6 levels in the CNS may be related to treatment-resistant depression and are in line with clinical findings that demonstrate that IL-6 levels remain elevated in plasma of MDD patients who fail to respond to antidepressant therapy.66

The final objectives of this study were aimed to evaluate the ability to modulate the IL-6 pathology by strategically interfering with the production and maintenance of IL-6 via manipulation of the IL-6 signal-transduction pathway. Direct antagonism by anti-IL-6 antibody blocked the ability of IL-6 to induce a depressive-like phenotype and attenuated the IL-6-induced increases in brain IL-6 protein likely via blocking the ability of IL-6 to bind to its cognate receptor. A second strategy for modulating IL-6-induced increases involved administration of an Fc monomer of gp130. Coadministration of gp130 with IL-6 produced a meaningful blockade of the IL-6-induced increase in immobility time with a significant reduction in IL-6 protein levels in brain extracts. By increasing the availability of circulating soluble gp130 with the Fc monomer, it is likely that this exogenous molecule served as a decoy for IL-6, thereby preventing the soluble IL-6 receptor complex to dimerize with membrane-bound gp130.66 Finally, as a means to provide further evidence that IL-6 produces depressive-like behavior independent of IL-1, we demonstrated the failure of the IL-1 antagonist IL-1RA to attenuate both IL-1β- and IL-6-induced depressive-like behavior, consistent with our working hypothesis that IL-1β-induced depressive-like behaviors are likely mediated via downstream mechanisms (for example, nuclear factor-κB activation) resulting in the upregulation of IL-6, thus leading to an IL-6-induced depressive-like phenotype, while IL-1β-induced sickness behaviors are specific to the IL-1β activation.

From a translational perspective, the findings from the present studies demonstrating that elevations in IL-6 may have a pathophysiological role underlying depression and more specifically resistance to current classes of antidepressant medications lend greater support for the concepts of personalized medicine and patient stratification in the clinic. While monoaminergic-type therapeutics are likely successful for MDD patients in whom the underlying pathophysiology is monoaminergic alterations, then it is plausible to speculate that there are subsets of MDD patients (likely treatment resistant to monoaminergic type of therapies) in whom the pathophysiology of depression may be of a neuroimmune nature (for example, IL-6). Therefore, the ability to identify and prescreen patients for relevant biomarkers will be an important consideration for identifying novel therapeutic agents for treatment-resistant patients. Taken together, these data indicate that depressive-like behavior may be related to elevated levels of IL-6 in the CNS and suggest that modulation
of the IL-6 signaling pathway by way of preventing de novo IL-6 production may have therapeutic potential for treatment-resistant depression.
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