Abstract—The solution of a boundary–value problem formulated for the Kretschmann configuration shows that the phase speed of a surface–plasmon–polariton (SPP) wave guided by the planar interface of a sufficiently thin metal film and a sculptured thin film (STF) depends on the vapor incidence angle used while fabricating the STF by physical vapor deposition. Furthermore, it may be possible to engineer the phase speed by periodically varying the vapor incidence angle. The phase speed of the SPP wave can be set by selecting higher mean value and/or the modulation amplitude of the vapor incidence angle.

I. Introduction

A resonance phenomenon arises from the interaction of light with free electrons at a planar metal–dielectric interface [1], [2]. Under certain conditions, the energy carried by photons in the dielectric medium is transferred to collective excitations of free electrons in the metal. Because the free electrons in the metal are coupled to the photons in the dielectric medium, the quantum is called a surface plasmon polariton, often shortened to surface plasmon. A classical understanding of the surface plasmon polariton (SPP) is in terms of an electromagnetic surface wave that propagates along the interface and decays exponentially with distance normal to the interface.

Research on electromagnetic surface waves has a long history, dating back about a hundred years. Zenneck [3] proposed in 1907 a mode of electromagnetic wave propagation localized at the Earth–atmosphere interface. The wave, since named the Zenneck wave, propagates parallel to the interface with an amplitude which decays exponentially with distance from the interface. Credit is also given to Sommerfeld for a clean analysis of the phenomenon published in 1909 [4]. Basically the same kind of wave at optical frequencies, the SPP wave became the subject of intense investigation[5], [6], [7] from the middle part of the 20th century. It was soon realized that, because SPP waves are highly sensitive to conditions at the interface, they might be employed to detect various chemical species. SPP–wave–detection techniques are currently used for a wide range of sensing applications especially in the detection of biomolecules [8], [9], [10], [11].

Fig. 1. Scanning electron micrography of a sculptured nematic thin film. The columnar morphology is essentially two-dimensional. Courtesy: R. Messier.

Much of the SPP literature is restricted to the planar interface of a good conductor and an isotropic dielectric medium, though there are noticeable exceptions wherein the dielectric medium could be anisotropic [12], [13] and be additionally endowed with magnetic properties [14], [15], [16]. The SPP wave is excited through one of several different types of couplers [17].
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In the Kretschmann [18] configuration, the bulk metal is in the form of a sufficiently thin film of uniform thickness, bounded by dielectric mediums on both sides, one medium being optically denser than the other. A plane wave is launched in the optically denser dielectric medium towards the metal film, in order to excite a surface–plasmon wave at the interface of the metal with the optically rarer dielectric medium. The plane wave must be p–polarized. The telltale sign is a sharp peak in absorbance (i.e., a sharp trough in reflectance without a compensatory peak in transmittance) as the angle of incidence (with respect to the thickness direction) of the launched plane wave is changed. The absorbance peak occurs in the vicinity of the critical angle (of incidence) that would exist if the metal film were absent.

Generally, the optically rarer medium is homogeneous normal to its planar interface with the metal film, at least within the range of the SPP field. In this paper, however, we take the optically rarer medium to be continuously nonhomogeneous in the thickness di-
rection. Specifically, this medium is a sculptured thin film (STF).

STFs are nanostructured materials with unidirectionally varying continuum properties that can be designed and realized in a controllable manner using physical vapor deposition [19]. The ability to virtually instantaneously change the growth direction of their columnar morphology, through simple variations in the direction of the incident vapor flux, leads to a wide variety of ~100-nm-diameter columns of two- or three-dimensional shapes, as illustrated by the scanning electron micrographs in Figs. 1 and 2. At visible and infrared wavelengths, a STF is a unidirectionally nonhomogeneous continuum with direction-dependent properties.

Fig. 2. Scanning electron micrography of a chiral sculpture d thin film, which has a three-dimensional columnar morphology. Courtesy: R. Messier.

This paper is organized as follows. Section II begins with a description of the Kretschmann configuration to launch a SPP wave at the planar interface of a metal and a STF. The relative permittivity dyadic of the STF is described in that section, along with the boundary-value problem to determine planewave absorbance. Section III contains numerical results to elucidate the effects of the nonhomogeneity of the STF on the SPP wave. An $\exp(-i\omega t)$ time-dependence is implicit, with $\omega$ denoting the angular frequency. The free-space wavenumber, the free-space wavelength, and the intrinsic impedance of free space are denoted by $k_0 = \omega\sqrt{\epsilon_0\mu_0}$, $\lambda_0 = 2\pi/k_0$, and $z_0 = \sqrt{\mu_0/\epsilon_0}$, respectively, with $\mu_0$ and $\epsilon_0$ being the permeability and permittivity of free space. Vectors are in boldface, dyadics underlined twice; column vectors are in boldface and enclosed within square brackets, while matrices are underlined twice and similarly bracketed. Cartesian unit vectors are identified as $\hat{u}_x$, $\hat{u}_y$, and $\hat{u}_z$.

II. Theory

In conformance with the Kretschmann configuration [18], [20] for launching SPP waves, the half-space $z \leq 0$ is occupied by a homogeneous, isotropic, dielectric material with the relative permittivity scalar $\epsilon$. Dissipation in this material is considered to be negligible and its refractive index $n = \sqrt{\epsilon}$ is real-valued and positive. The laminar region $0 \leq z \leq L_{met}$ is occupied by a bulk metal with relative permittivity scalar $\epsilon_{met}$. The region $L_{met} \leq z \leq L_{met} + L_{stf}$ is occupied by a STF. Without significant loss of generality in the present context, the half-space $z \geq L_{met} + L_{stf}$ is taken to be occupied by the same material as fills the half-space $z \leq 0$.

A. Sculptured Thin Film

The relative permittivity dyadic $\underline{\epsilon}_{stf}(z)$ of the STF is factorable as

$$\underline{\epsilon}_{stf}(z) = \underline{S}_z(\zeta) \cdot \underline{S}_y(\chi) \cdot \underline{\epsilon}_{ref} \cdot \underline{S}_y^T(\chi) \cdot \underline{S}_z^T(\zeta),$$

wherein the reference relative permittivity dyadic

$$\underline{\epsilon}_{ref} = \epsilon_a \hat{u}_z \hat{u}_z + \epsilon_b \hat{u}_x \hat{u}_x + \epsilon_c \hat{u}_y \hat{u}_y$$

captures the locally orthorhombic character of STFs, the dyadic function

$$\underline{S}_z(\zeta) = (\hat{u}_z \hat{u}_x + \hat{u}_x \hat{u}_y) \cos \zeta + (\hat{u}_y \hat{u}_x - \hat{u}_x \hat{u}_y) \sin \zeta + \hat{u}_z \hat{u}_z$$

denotes rotation about the $z$ axis, the dyadic function

$$\underline{S}_y(\chi) = (\hat{u}_x \hat{u}_x + \hat{u}_z \hat{u}_z) \cos \chi + (\hat{u}_x \hat{u}_x - \hat{u}_z \hat{u}_z) \sin \chi + \hat{u}_y \hat{u}_y$$

involves the angle $\chi \in [0, \pi/2]$, and the superscript $^T$ denotes the transpose. The quantities $\zeta$, $\chi$, $\epsilon_a$, $\epsilon_b$, and $\epsilon_c$ can all be functions of $z$.

Although STFs have been made by evaporating a wide variety of materials [19], [21], the constitutive parameters of STFs have not been extensively measured. However, the constitutive parameters of certain columnar thin films (CTFs) are known. Both CTFs and STFs are fabricated by physical vapor deposition. The basic procedure to deposit CTFs has been known for more than a century. At low enough temperature and pressure, a solid material confined in a boat evaporates towards a stationary substrate. The vapor flux is collimated into a well-defined beam, and its average direction is quantified by the angle $\chi_v \in (0, \pi/2]$ with respect to the substrate plane, as illustrated in Fig. 3. Provided the adatom mobility is low, the resulting film turns out to be an assembly of parallel and nominally identical columns. The columns have elliptical cross-sections and are tilted at an angle $\chi \geq \chi_v$ with respect to the substrate plane. The parameters $\chi$, $\epsilon_a$, $\epsilon_b$, and $\epsilon_c$ have to be functions of $\chi_v$, at the very least because the nanoscale porosity of a CTF depends on the direction of the vapor flux.

A series of optical characterization experiments on certain CTFs were carried out some years ago [22], [23]. After ignoring the effects of dispersion and dissipation,
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Fig. 3. Schematic of the growth of a columnar thin film. The vapor flux is directed at an angle \( \chi \), whereas columns grow at an angle \( \chi = \chi_v \).

at least in some narrow range of frequencies, the results can be put in the following form for our present purposes:

\[
\begin{align*}
\epsilon_a &= (n_{a0} + n_{a1} v + n_{a2} v^2)^2 \\
\epsilon_b &= (n_{b0} + n_{b1} v + n_{b2} v^2)^2 \\
\epsilon_c &= (n_{c0} + n_{c1} v + n_{c2} v^2)^2 \\
\chi &= \tan^{-1}(m \tan \chi_v)
\end{align*}
\]

Here, \( v = \chi_v / (\pi / 2) \) is the vapor incidence angle expressed as a fraction of a right angle. The quantities \( m \) and \( n_{a0}, \ldots, \) etc., in Eq. 5 depend on the evaporant material as well as the deposition conditions.

When the substrate is rotated about either the \( y \) or the \( z \) axes, parallel columns of specific shape grow instead of straight columns, and a STF is deposited instead of a CTF. Although the substrate is nonstationary, the functional relationships connecting \( \chi, \epsilon_a, \epsilon_b, \) and \( \epsilon_c \) to \( \chi_v \) for CTFs would substantially apply for STFs, provided the substrate rotation is sufficiently slow. Thus, we need only to specify the \( z \)-dependences of \( \zeta \) and \( \chi_v \).

For our present purposes, we chose

\[
\begin{align*}
\zeta(z) &= h \frac{\pi}{\eta}(z - L_{\text{met}}) \\
\chi_v(z) &= \bar{\chi}_v + \delta_v \sin \left[ \frac{\pi}{\eta}(z - L_{\text{met}}) \right]
\end{align*}
\]

Here, \( \Omega \) is a characteristic length along the \( z \) axis, whereas the angles \( \bar{\chi}_v \in (0, \pi / 2) \) and \( \delta_v \in [0, \bar{\chi}_v] \). The structural–handedness parameter \( h = 1 \) for right-handedness, \( h = -1 \) for left-handedness, and \( h = 0 \) for no handedness. For theoretical investigations, we decided to focus on the following three types of STFs: (i) columnar thin films (\( h = 0, \delta_v = 0 \)); (ii) sculptured nematic thin films with periodically varying tilt angle (\( h = 0, \delta_v > 0 \)); and (iii) chiral sculptured thin films (\( h = \pm 1, \delta_v = 0 \)).

B. Boundary–Value Problem

Suppose that a \( p \)-polarized plane wave, propagating in the half-space \( z \leq 0 \) at an angle \( \theta \in [0, \pi / 2] \) to the \( z \) axis in the \( xz \) plane, is incident on the metal–coated STF in the Kretschmann configuration. The electric field phasor associated with the incident plane wave is

\[
E_{\text{inc}}(r) = p_+ e^{i\kappa x} e^{i k_0 n_z \cos \theta}, \quad z \leq 0.
\]

The reflected electric field phasor is expressed as

\[
E_{\text{ref}}(r) = (r_s s + r_p p_-) e^{i\kappa x} \\
\times e^{-i k_0 n_z \cos \theta}, \quad z \leq 0,
\]

and the transmitted electric field phasor as

\[
E_{tr}(r) = (t_s s + t_p p_+) e^{i\kappa x} \\
\times e^{i k_0 n_z (z - L_{\text{met}} - L_{\text{stf}}) \cos \theta}, \quad z \geq L_{\text{met}} + L_{\text{stf}}.
\]

Here,

\[
\kappa = k_0 n_z \sin \theta \\
s = \hat{u}_y \\
p_\pm = \mp \hat{u}_z \cos \theta + \hat{u}_x \sin \theta
\]

where \( \omega / \kappa \) is the phase speed parallel to the interfacial plane \( z = L_{\text{met}} \) of interest, and the unit vectors \( s \) and \( p_\pm \) denote the \( s \)- and the \( p \)-polarization states of the electric field phasors.

The reflection amplitudes \( r_s \) and \( r_p \), as well as the transmission amplitudes \( t_s \) and \( t_p \), have to be determined by the solution of a boundary–value problem. The required procedure is standard [19]. It suffices to state here that the following set of four algebraic equations emerges (in matrix notation):

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
t_s \\
t_p \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix} = [K]^{-1} \cdot [M_{\text{stf}}] \\
\cdot \exp \left( i [P_{\text{met}}] L_{\text{met}} \right) \cdot \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
1 \\
r_s \\
r_p
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

The procedure to obtain the \( 4 \times 4 \) matrix \( [M_{\text{stf}}] \) can be gleaned from two predecessor papers [24], [25]. The remaining two \( 4 \times 4 \) matrices in Eq. 11 are as follows:

\[
[K] = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & -\cos \theta & 0 & \cos \theta \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-\frac{\eta_0}{\eta} \cos \theta & 0 & \left( \frac{\eta_0}{\eta} \right) \cos \theta & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{\eta_0}{\eta} & 0 & -\frac{\eta_0}{\eta}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
[P_{\text{met}}] = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & \omega \mu_0 \\
0 & 0 & -\omega \mu_0 & 0 \\
-\omega \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{\text{met}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\omega \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{\text{met}} & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]
absorbance in excess of 0. The SPP wave.

The conversion of the energy of the incident plane wave into the SPP wave.

Equation 11 can be solved for $r_s$, $r_p$, $t_s$, and $t_p$ using standard algebraic techniques. The quantity of interest for establishing the existence of the SPP wave is the absorbance

$$A_p = 1 - (|r_s|^2 + |r_p|^2 + |t_s|^2 + |t_p|^2)$$

(14)
as a function of $\theta$.

### III. Numerical Results and Discussion

Calculations of $A_p$ against $\theta$ are reported in this paper for the following parameters of the STF: $\Omega = 200$ nm, $L_{stf} = 4\Omega$, and $\chi_v = 30^\circ$. Calculations have shown that the selection of higher values of $L_{stf}$ does not impact the SPP wave significantly, whereas the effect of the nanostructured periodicity of SNTFs and chiral STFs is not appreciable for lower values of $L_{stf}$. The free–space wavelength $\lambda_s = 633$ nm is the same at which the parameters in Eqs. 5 were measured. The STF was taken to be made of titanium oxide: $n_{a0} = 1.0443$, $n_{a1} = 2.7394$, $n_{a2} = -1.3697$, $n_{b0} = 1.6765$, $n_{b1} = 1.5649$, $n_{b2} = -0.7825$, $n_{c0} = 1.3586$, $n_{c1} = 2.1109$, $n_{c2} = -1.0554$, and $m = 2.8818$. The metal was chosen to be aluminum: $\epsilon_{met} = -56 + i21$ [26] and $L_{met} = 15$ nm. The metal film is thus thin enough that it allows sufficient penetration of the evanescent wave to excite the SPP at the metal–STF interface; at the same time, the metal film is thus thick enough to prevent tunneling of photons across it from the medium of incidence and reflection to the STF. The two half–spaces were taken to be filled with zinc selenide ($n_t = 2.58$), which is optically denser than all three types of STFs considered here.

Let us begin with the case of the metal–backed CTF: $h = 0$ and $\delta_v = 0$. Figure 4 shows $A_p$ as a function of $\theta$. The SPP wave is excited at $\theta = 55.41^\circ$, with the absorbance in excess of 0.988 denoting a very efficient conversion of the energy of the incident plane wave into the SPP wave.

Let $\theta_{spp}$ denote the value of $\theta$ at which the SPP wave is excited. A study of $\theta_{spp}$ versus $\chi_v$ reveals that $\theta_{spp}$ for a CTF fabricated with a specific evaporant material increases as $\chi_v$ increases [27]. Therefore, the wavenumber of the SPP wave, given by

$$\kappa_{spp} = k_0 n_t \sin \theta_{spp},$$

(15)
is a monotonically increasing function of $\chi_v$, which means that the phase speed

$$v_{spp} = \frac{\omega}{\kappa_{spp}}$$

(16)
of the SPP wave is a monotonically decreasing function of $\chi_v$.

The effect of nonhomogeneity in the dielectric medium on the SPP wave becomes evident when we consider the metal–backed sculptured nematic thin film: $h = 0$ and $\delta_v > 0$. Figure 5 shows $A_p$ as a function of $\theta$ when $\delta_v = 20^\circ$. The SPP wave is excited at $\theta = 62.31^\circ$, with the absorbance in excess of 0.998. A comparison of Figs. 4 and 5 suggests that the phase speed of the SPP wave decreases when the vapor incidence angle $\chi_v$ is periodically modulated with a significant amplitude, but the high efficiency of conversion of the energy of the incident plane wave into the SPP wave is not affected thereby.

Nonhomogeneity is also introduced in the dielectric medium when the vapor deposition angle is held fixed during fabrication but the substrate is rotated about the $z$ axis. Figure 6 shows $A_p$ as a function of $\theta$ when $\delta_v = 0$ and $h = \pm 1$; i.e., it is drawn for a metal–backed chiral STF. Now, $\theta_{spp} = 55.97^\circ$, which is only marginally higher than in Fig. 4.

### IV. Concluding Remarks

We conclude that the solution of a boundary–value problem formulated for the Kretschmann configuration
shows that the phase speed of a SPP wave guided by the planar interface of a sufficiently thin metal film and a sculptured thin film depends on the vapor incidence angle used while fabricating the STF by physical vapor deposition. Therefore, it may be possible to engineer the phase speed quite simply by selecting an appropriate value of the vapor incidence angle (in addition to the metal and the evaporant species). Furthermore, by periodically varying the vapor incidence angle, the phase speed of the SPP wave can be reduced. Adequate selection of the phase speed should be important for controlled data flow in plasmonic circuits.

The high degree of porosity [19] of STFs may provide certain advantages in the application of SPP waves in detectors. A properly chosen STF could prevent particulates from reaching its interface with the metal film while allowing molecular species through for detection. The detection of biomolecules typically relies on recognition molecules, which are bound to the interface, binding with the analyte molecules. The porosity and surface roughness of the STF may offer some advantage for adherence of the recognition molecule. STFs can now be patterned using standard photolithographic techniques [28]. Partitioning of the STF into many sectors could permit the use of multiple species of recognition molecules on a single chip for the detection of many different types of analyte molecules. Recently, the activity of living cells has been monitored using SPP detection techniques [29]. Cells have been shown to grow well on the rough STF surface [21], [30], which thus may offer an advantage. Finally, titanium oxide is a very well-known photocatalyst. Although the photocatalytic properties of titanium oxide STFs [31], [32] have been investigated, the combination of SPP detection and this catalyst may allow for other useful applications to emerge.
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