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Measurement of Plaque Characteristics Using Coronary Computed Tomography Angiography: Achieving High Interobserver Performance
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ABSTRACT

Background: Coronary computed tomography angiography (CCTA) is used to assess plaque characteristics, remodelling, and progression and regression. Few papers address standard operating procedures that ensure achievement of high interobserver reproducibility. Moreover, assessment of coronary artery bypass grafts has not been reported.

Methods: A training set of images was created of native coronary segments, spanning the full range of atheromatous disease from normal to severe, excluding totally occluded segments, and including segments with or without calcification (n = 24) and completely normal-appearing bypass grafts (n = 16). Three observers used a validated software program during a training phase to establish standard operating procedures and then to achieve high intraobserver performance based on Pearson’s correlation coefficient. Subsequently, interobserver variability for the laboratory as a whole was determined with a focus on measures of plaque volume, low-attenuation plaque (LAP), mixed plaque (MP), and calcified plaque (CP).

Results: We found no substantive differences in analytical issues between grafts and native vessels and emphasize the aggregated data. The range of mean total plaque percent was approximately 55% of total vessel volume with maximal interobserver mean absolute differences of +1.9% for LAP, -0.6% for MP, and -0.8% for CP.

Coronary computed tomography angiography (CCTA) is increasingly being used to assess plaque characteristics as well as remodelling and progression and regression of atheroma. The noninvasive nature, the ability to see the entire coronary tree, and the ability to assess densities that reflect different components of plaque are features that are very attractive for longitudinal trials of vasculoactive interventions such as lipid modifying medications. The technique has been validated using comparisons of image-derived density measurements and histologic analyses that quantitate calcium, lipid-rich components, and other components that are either predominantly fibrous or fibrofatty. Comparisons with intravascular ultrasound have also been reported. These different components of the plaque may change in differential fashion over time and in patients with different comorbidities such as diabetes.
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Introduction : L’angiographie cardiaque par tomodensitométrie (TDM) est utilisée pour évaluer les caractéristiques, le remodelage, la progression et la régression de la plaque. Peu d’articles portent sur les procédures opérationnelles normalisées qui permettent d’atteindre une reproductibilité inter-observateurs élevée. De plus, les greffons de pontage aorto-coronarien n’ont pas fait l’objet d’évaluation.

Méthodologie : Un ensemble de formation composé d’images de segments d’artères coronaires natives couvrant l’ensemble de la maladie athéromateuse, c’est-à-dire de normale à sévère, à l’exclusion des segments totalement obstrués, mais y compris les segments calcifiés ou non (n = 24) et les greffons de pontage qui apparaissent complètement normaux (n = 16) a été créé. Trois observateurs ont utilisé un programme informatique validé durant la phase de formation pour établir des procédures opérationnelles normalisées et ensuite pour atteindre une performance intra-observateurs élevée en fonction du coefficient de corrélation de Pearson. Subséquemment, la variabilité inter-observateurs du laboratoire dans son ensemble a été déterminée plus particulièrement par les mesures du volume de la plaque, la plaque de faible atténuation (PFA), la plaque mixte (PM) et la plaque calcifiée (PC).

Résultats : Nous n’avons constaté aucune différence dans les difficultés analytiques entre les greffons et les vaisseaux natifs et
Interventions may also have differential effects on these diverse components. For example, lipid-rich components are more amenable to changes induced by statins than are the calcified components, which may actually increase over time. As a result, applications of CCTA for assessment of progression and regression must identify not solely overall changes in atheroma burden but also the components of the plaque most likely to undergo change. Low-attenuation plaque (LAP) components, reflecting the lipid-rich element of plaques, give information about the potential vulnerability and instability of plaques. Such plaques are more prone to rupture or erosion, thereby providing a substrate for clinical events such as acute coronary syndromes and providing mechanistic link-ages between beneficial plaque remodelling and the reduction of cardiac events.

The emerging importance of this method has motivated applications beyond the coronary tree. The Effect of Evolocumab on Saphenous Vein Graft Patency Following Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery (NEWTON-CABG) trial is an ongoing prospective randomized clinical trial assessing the role of PCSK9 inhibitors postcoronary artery bypass surgery for maintaining graft patency (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT03900026). An assessment of atheroma volume and components of this volume will also be undertaken. Limited information suggests that these CCTA-derived measurements are reproducible. The purpose of this study was to identify standard operating processes yielding high interobserver reproducibility measurements of plaque and its components to enhance the reliability of conclusions from clinical trials of either native coronary or coronary artery bypass graft segments.

Methods

Three highly experienced research associates in the Cardiovascular Imaging Research Core Laboratory (CIRCL; University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC) underwent training using the Vitrea SurePlaque (Vital, Minnetonka, MN) software program for measurement of vessel and plaque volumes, including subcomponents of plaque. The initial step was to identify a training set of images from laboratory archives of completely normal appearing bypass grafts (n = 16) as well as coronary segments spanning the full range of atheromatous disease from normal to severe, excluding totally occluded segments, and including segments with or without calcification (n = 24). These were selected on the basis of
differences of 2% or less. Percent of LAP, MP, and CP demonstrated interobserver standard errors of 1% to 2% and interobserver mean absolute differences of 0% to 1%. Pearson’s correlations were all highly significant and ranged from 0.969 to 0.999.

Conclusions: CCTA provides a rich diversity of measures of atherosclerosis in coronary and bypass segments that are highly reproducible with experience and adherence to standard operating procedures.

Conclusions: La TDM offre une riche diversité de mesures de l’atherosclérose dans les segments d’artères coronaires et de pontage qui, avec l’expérience et le respect des procédures opérationnelles normalisées, sont très reproductibles.
measurements normalized by length of segment and wall volume and lumen volume (%) but are not reported further.

The results of each of the 3 operators (40 segments, measured twice) were compared with the overall mean results from all observations (40 segments, measured 6 times). The metrics assessed were the mean, standard error of the mean, mean of differences, mean of absolute differences and Pearson’s correlation coefficient. Bland-Altman plots were also constructed (Excel 2016; Microsoft, Redmond, WA).

Analyses were stratified by native coronary segments, graft segments, and all segments combined. Significance level of $P < 0.05$ was used for all measures of reproducibility. The range of interobserver performance was used to summarize overall performance characteristics of the laboratory.

### Results

We found no substantive differences in analytical issues between grafts and native vessels and report primarily the aggregated data. More detailed comparisons among the vessel and graft types are provided in Supplemental Tables S1 and S2.

Scan parameters ranged as follows: kVp, 80 to 120 and mA 208 to 2198. Calcification percentages ranged from 0% to 2% in graft segments and from 0% to 28.1% in coronary segments.

Figure 1 provides a composite of screen shots that depict the analyses, and Figure 2 provides variable examples of differing plaques subjected to quantitative plaque analysis. During the training phase, the 3 operators identified potential pitfalls in measuring diverse plaque characteristics that formed the basis of a standard operating procedure (Table 1). Figure 3 illustrates an example of a pitfall resulting from inclusion of a variable portion of branch point vessels, leading to marked variability in vessel and plaque measurements. With these standard operating principles in mind, each of 3 observers achieved reproducible intraobserver results in 2 trials demonstrating highly significant Pearson’s correlation coefficients, which were 0.83 to 1.00 and with $P < 0.000001$ at a minimum. Supplemental Table S3 and Figure S1 provide a summary of individual intraobserver performance metrics and demonstrate the performance of each operator using correlation and Bland-Altman plots.

Table 2 summarizes the interobserver reproducibility characteristic of the laboratory as a whole, based on volumetric measures of vessels and plaques. The interobserver range of mean vessel volumes was 494 to 498 mm$^3$ for coronary segments, and 1813 to 1842 mm$^3$ for graft segments, yielding an overall average volume of 1022 to 1025 mm$^3$. The interobserver mean absolute differences were very small (maximum of 23 mm$^3$), with mean differences distributed tightly around 0.0 mm$^3$. The Pearson correlation was nearly 1.0 in all cases. The interobserver plaque-related measurements (total plaque, LAP, MP, and CP) showed similarly high reproducibility characteristics, with the highest mean absolute difference only 11 mm$^3$ for total plaque volume. Table 3 shows the interobserver reproducibility characteristics of the laboratory as a whole, based on measures derived from the volume measurements in Table 2. The range of mean total plaque percent was approximately 55%, with maximal mean absolute differences of 2% or less. Similar results were noted for interobserver reproducibility measures of LAP percent, MP.
Discussion

CCTA has evolved rapidly for the anatomic detection of coronary disease and has been exploited further to extract functional information, such as flow reserve indexes and features of vessel remodelling and plaque structure. This analysis focussed on advanced measures of plaque features and demonstrates that a standard operating procedure can promote high interobserver performance characteristics in a core imaging laboratory, thereby providing reliable measurements for randomized clinical trials. Even with such procedures, and even within a laboratory with experienced operators, months of training experience were required to achieve these performance characteristics. This suggests strongly that routine clinical applications and conclusions in serial studies of individual patients should be interpreted cautiously and should be performed by highly experienced personnel who are properly trained and who use well-developed standard operating procedures for these analyses.

It is axiomatic that the results are very dependent upon the adequacy of measurement of both the lumen and the outer wall of the vessel, which entails a certain degree of subjectivity and discretionary editing of automatically determined contours. This is particularly challenging with respect to the outer vessel wall, for which there are few—if any—visual clues as to the adequacy of the automatically determined contour. Windowing and slice thickness selections to assist in this process are discretionary. Moreover, matching of length in analyses is critical for the total volume measurements and also for comparing serial measurements. Finally, although many centres purport to distinguish between fibrofatty and fibrous plaque, the reality is that as long as these are defined based upon HU, there is no ability to distinguish such subcomponents from normal vascular wall. This suggests, at least through experience—particularly with completely normal arterial grafts—that the less-specific term “mixed plaque” may be more appropriate. The term “noncalcified plaque,” which is also often reported, should be interpreted with this same caveat in mind. Thus, serial changes in such components must be interpreted cautiously.
Table 1: Suggested standard operating procedures for training to optimize reproducibility.

| Vessel probe | After opening a CCTA case in the Vitrea’s Cardiac Analysis application, the program launches the “auto vessel probe” feature, which creates a centre line through the lumen of each coronary vessel and automatically segments and labels the main coronary arteries. A centre line serves as a reference point from which contours of the lumen and outer vessel wall are automatically defined. If the automatic vessel detection does not provide appropriate lumen and vessel contours, or when analyzing bypass grafts, manual vessel analysis and probing can be performed. |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Lumen and vessel contours | • Review the lumen centerline and edit as necessary. Editing the centre line can improve the edge detection for the lumen and vessel contours.  
  • If the automatically provided contours remain suboptimal, reprobe by selecting another point along the vessel. Reprobing is preferred to editing poorly tracked contours, as editing is difficult and time consuming.  
  • Manual editing of vessel contours is difficult. The lack of visual cues to indicate the outer edge of the vessel makes evaluating and repositioning the vessel contour extremely challenging, even with adjustment of the window and level display settings, as this has minimal effect on improving the ability to resolve the outer edges of the vessel wall. |
| Identifying segment landmarks | • Evaluate vessel anatomy with MPR, CPR, and SPR displays with a slice thickness setting of 5 to 10 mm to aid the identification of branches.  
  • Rotate the SPR view, and confirm the vessel segmentation landmark locations with the MPR and CPR views. (Note: A left button mouse selection on a location on the SPR display will automatically position the cross hairs on the corresponding location on the MPR views. Other software programs provide this ability as well.) |
| Start and end contours of the vessel segment (defining length) | • The first and last cross-sections of a vessel segment are positioned to the segment landmarks by adjusting the segment boundary markers on CPR and SPR displays. Ensure that the lumen and vessel contours of the first and last cross-sections are not affected by the segment landmarks such as branches (see Fig. 3).  
  • Using the lumen diameter histogram, identify the cross-section(s) with maximum lumen diameter. Cross-sections with calcium and overt vessel wall thickening should not be selected for the reference.  
  • If there is more than 1 cross-section with the same maximum lumen diameter, the cross-section with the largest diameter and largest value for remodelling index can be used to determine the reference diameter. |
| Reference cross-section | • Using the lumen diameter histogram, identify the cross-section(s) with maximum lumen diameter. Cross-sections with calcium and overt vessel wall thickening should not be selected for the reference.  
  • If there is more than 1 cross-section with the same maximum lumen diameter, the cross-section with the largest diameter and largest value for remodelling index can be used to determine the reference diameter. |

CCTA, coronary computed tomography angiography; CPR, curved planar reformatting; MPR, multiplanar reformatting; SPR, straightened planar reformatting.
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**Figure 3.** Effect of segment boundary selection. The series of sequential, cross-section images progress from left (1) to right (5) and illustrate an emerging branch at the 2 o’clock position, affecting the lumen and vessel contours. When identifying the last cross-section of a vessel segment, 1 and 2 would be included in the analysis segment but 3, 4, and 5 would not. In this example, the change in axis of the maximum lumen diameter (green line) aided in detecting the influence of the branch on the lumen contour.
Limitations

The main limitation of this study is that a histologic gold standard or an intravascular ultrasound correlate was not available or feasible for this analysis. Even so, the approach is not dissimilar to previous analyses of this nature, and this type of application in the field is dependent upon limited tissue validation studies.2 Despite the latter, use of CCTA for assessing plaque has become highly popular, thereby warranting our approach of encouraging extensive training experience and standard operating principles before reliable and reproducible results can be achieved. Although the number of native segments and grafts may appear limited, we have attempted to represent the full spectrum of disease that plaque burden analyses would need to accommodate. In addition, our library of grafts had limited examples of abnormality other than total occlusion, which precludes plaque burden analysis. Our library is anonymized to adhere to national and international policies for images in clinical studies; therefore, the demographic background is not provided. Even so, although demographic factors might indirectly affect image quality, there are no demographic factors that are used to dictate how the quantitative algorithms are applied or that would affect principles of image analysis. Finally, our analyses are purposely limited to images of high quality to understand

Table 2. Interobserver variability measurements for volumetric measures of vessels and plaques

| Parameter                        | Measurements | Coronary segments | Graft segments | All segments |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Vessel volume (mm³)              | Mean         | 494 to 498        | 1813 to 1842   | 1022 to 1025 |
|                                  | Standard error of the mean | 53 to 55 | 247 to 248 | 146 to 146 |
|                                  | Mean of differences | −2.0 to 3.2 | −12.6 to 16.2 | −5.7 to 8.4 |
|                                  | Mean of absolute differences | 7 to 12 | 13 to 23 | 9 to 16 |
|                                  | Pearson correlation | 0.998 to 0.999 | 1.000 to 1.000 | 1.000 to 1.000 |
| Total plaque (mm³)               | Mean         | 281 to 282        | 933 to 941     | 542 to 545   |
|                                  | standard error of the mean | 35 to 36 | 120 to 122 | 73 to 73 |
|                                  | Mean of differences | −0.8 to 1.1 | −3.2 to 4.7 | −1.7 to 1.7 |
|                                  | Mean of absolute differences | 6 to 11 | 8 to 11 | 7 to 11 |
|                                  | Pearson correlation | 0.996 to 0.999 | 1.000 to 1.000 | 0.999 to 1.000 |
| Low-attenuation plaque (mm³)     | Mean         | 47 to 48          | 207 to 210     | 111 to 113   |
|                                  | Standard error of the mean | 5 to 6 | 28 to 28 | 17 to 17 |
|                                  | Mean of differences | −0.4 to 0.5 | −1.2 to 2.3 | −0.7 to 1.2 |
|                                  | Mean of absolute differences | 1 to 2 | 2 to 3 | 2 to 2 |
|                                  | Pearson correlation | 0.996 to 0.997 | 1.000 to 1.000 | 1.000 to 1.000 |
| Mixed plaque (mm³)               | Mean         | 195 to 196        | 731 to 736     | 409 to 411   |
|                                  | Standard error of the mean | 24 to 24 | 98 to 100 | 59 to 59 |
|                                  | Mean of differences | −0.9 to 0.5 | −3.3 to 4.4 | −1.0 to 1.2 |
|                                  | Mean of absolute differences | 5 to 9 | 28 to 28 | 10 to 10 |
|                                  | Pearson correlation | 0.994 to 0.999 | 1.000 to 1.000 | 0.999 to 1.000 |
| Calcified plaque (mm³)           | Mean         | 37 to 39          | 1 to 2         | 23 to 24     |
|                                  | Standard error of the mean | 10 to 12 | 1 to 1 | 7 to 7 |
|                                  | Mean of differences | −0.9 to 0.8 | −0.3 to 0.3 | −0.5 to 0.4 |
|                                  | Mean of absolute differences | 2 to 3 | 0 to 0 | 1 to 2 |
|                                  | Pearson correlation | 0.995 to 0.999 | 0.996 to 0.998 | 0.996 to 0.999 |

Table 3. Interobserver variability measurements for parameters derived from volumetric measurements of vessels and plaques

| Parameter                        | Measurements | Coronary segments | Graft segments | All segments |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Total plaque %                   | Mean         | 55 to 55          | 53 to 54       | 54 to 55     |
|                                  | Standard error of the mean | 2 to 3 | 1 to 2 | 2 to 2 |
|                                  | Mean of differences | −0.5 to 0.3 | −0.1 to 0.2 | −0.4 to 0.3 |
|                                  | Mean of absolute differences | 1 to 2 | 0 to 0 | 1 to 1 |
|                                  | Pearson correlation | 0.978 to 0.993 | 0.979 to 0.999 | 0.986 to 0.995 |
| Low-attenuation plaque %         | Mean         | 18 to 18          | 22 to 23       | 18 to 20     |
|                                  | Standard error of the mean | 1 to 1 | 1 to 1 | 1 to 1 |
|                                  | Mean of differences | −0.1 to 0.2 | −0.1 to 0.1 | −0.1 to 0.2 |
|                                  | Mean of absolute differences | 1 to 1 | 0 to 0 | 1 to 1 |
|                                  | Pearson correlation | 0.947 to 0.985 | 0.996 to 0.999 | 0.960 to 0.991 |
| Mixed plaque %                   | Mean         | 71 to 72          | 77 to 77       | 71 to 74     |
|                                  | Standard error of the mean | 2 to 2 | 1 to 1 | 2 to 2 |
|                                  | Mean of differences | −0.1 to 0.2 | −0.1 to 0.1 | −0.1 to 0.1 |
|                                  | Mean of absolute differences | 1 to 1 | 0 to 0 | 0 to 1 |
|                                  | Pearson correlation | 0.989 to 0.998 | 0.996 to 0.999 | 0.991 to 0.998 |
| Calcified plaque %               | Mean         | 10 to 11          | 0 to 0         | 6 to 6       |
|                                  | Standard error of the mean | 2 to 2 | 0 to 0 | 2 to 2 |
|                                  | Mean of differences | −0.1 to 0.2 | −0.03 to 0.03 | −0.1 to 0.1 |
|                                  | Mean of absolute differences | 0 to 1 | 0 to 0 | 0 to 0 |
|                                  | Pearson correlation | 0.997 to 0.999 | 0.996 to 0.999 | 0.997 to 0.999 |
and to develop principles of analysis that are within the control of the analysis operator. Indiscriminate application to images of poor quality should be discouraged, as interobserver performance will understandably be diminished in spite of rigorous training and adherence to standard operating procedures.

Our results complement and extend previous studies showing that plaque volume and component measures are reproducible.\textsuperscript{21-23,27} To our knowledge, analysis of grafts has not previously been attempted, and we show that analysis principles are similar and yield highly reproducible results. Inherent in these measurements are errors related not solely to operator issues but also calcium-related artifact or surgical clips (blooming and beam-hardening), especially when located at segment margins and when occurring within the plaque itself. It is generally thought that effects on measurements by factors such as contrast enhancement, contrast timing, and heart rate are likely to be small.\textsuperscript{27}

**Conclusions**

CCTA provides a rich diversity of measures of the atherosclerotic process in the coronaries and in coronary bypass grafts. Interventional trials using such measures as end points depend explicitly on demonstration of highly reproducible results. Such results can be achieved but only with extensive training and experience and with careful attention to best practices as incorporated in a standard operating procedure.
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