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Proper assembly of the 26 S proteasome is required to efficiently degrade polyubiquitinated proteins. Many proteasome subunits contain the proteasome-COP9-initiation factor (PCI) domain, thus raising the possibility that the PCI domain may play a role in mediating proteasome assembly. We have previously characterized the PCI protein Yin6, a fission yeast ortholog of the mammalian Int6 that has been implicated in breast oncogenesis, and demonstrated that it binds and regulates the assembly of the proteasome. In this study, we isolated another PCI proteasome subunit, Rpn7, as a high copy suppressor that rescued the proteasome defects in *yin6* null cells. To better define the function of the PCI domain, we aligned protein sequences to identify a conserved leucine residue that is present in nearly all known PCI domains. Replacing it with aspartate in yeast Rpn7, Yin6, and Rpn5 inactivated these proteins, and mutant human Int6 mislocalized in HeLa cells. Rpn7 and Rpn5 bind Rpn9 with high affinity, but their mutant versions do not. Our data suggest that this leucine may interact with several hydrophobic amino acid residues to influence the spatial arrangement either within the N-terminal tandem α-helical repeats or between these repeats and the more C-terminal winged helix subdomain. Disruption of such an arrangement in the PCI domain may substantially inactivate many PCI proteins and block their binding to other proteins.

The 26 S proteasome contains a 20 S catalytic core, which has a cylindrical shape and on its own is capable of degrading small peptides (1). However, eukaryotic proteins that are designated for degradation are frequently marked by polyubiquitination, which apparently increases the bulk of the protein to make it difficult to enter the small catalytic chamber. To degrade polyubiquitinated proteins, the 19 S regulatory particle is needed, and these 19 S particles cap the two ends of the 20 S catalytic core to produce the 26 S proteasome. In order to understand how the 26 S proteasome efficiently and selectively degrades proteins, it is critical to ascertain how a 26 S proteasome is properly assembled.

A 19 S regulatory particle can be further divided into a lid and a base subcomplex, each of which contains approximately eight subunits. Intriguingly, more than half of the lid subunits contain a proteasome-COP9-initiation factor (PCI) domain, thus named because it is frequently found in these three protein complexes, which, besides the proteasome, include the COP9/signalosome and elf3 translation initiation complex (2, 3). The common presence of PCI domains in these protein complexes supports a model in which the PCI domain can play a role in assembling subunits within or even between these complexes (4).

The PCI domains remain structurally poorly defined. So far, the only relevant crystal structure of the PCI domain is that of human elf3k (5), since this protein is a more distant member in the PCI family (6). In the structural study of Wei et al. (5), the authors have concluded that the PCI domain in elf3k actually contains two subdomains, and this two-subdomain architecture is probably also true for more typical PCI domains. The elf3k PCI N-terminal subdomain was termed HEAT analogous motif (HAM) because it resembles the HEAT helical repeats found in numerous proteins whose main roles appear to be binding and assembling other proteins. In a previous bioinformatic analysis of PCI architecture (6), we predicted that the N-terminal subdomain of typical PCI domains most likely resembles the tetratricopeptide repeat (TPR), which consists of pairs of α-helices that are oriented in an antiparallel manner. Compared with the HEAT repeats, the functionally similar TPR has a slightly different arrangement of helices.

The C-terminal subdomain in the elf3k PCI was determined to be a winged helix (5), which is also likely to be conserved in the other PCI domains. Together with the TPR/HEAT repeat subdomain, these two subdomains create two large surfaces, one of which is concave and one of which is convex, resulting in...
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an earlike shape. The presence of these two large surfaces may allow a PCI protein to provide ample binding areas for many proteins. However, no detailed structure-function analyses have yet been performed to investigate whether the presence of these two subdomains is physiologically relevant.

Our laboratory has characterized a PCI protein called Yin6 in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe (7). Yin6 is the S. pombe ortholog of the mammalian Int6 protein that has been implicated in breast tumorigenesis (8–10), although its molecular functions are poorly defined. Int6 is also known as elf3e, because it was found to co-purify with the elf3 complex (11). We and others have shown that Yin6 is not essential for global translation initiation (12). By contrast, we have found that a major evolutionarily conserved function of Yin6 is to regulate the 26 S proteasome by preferentially binding and controlling the localization and/or assembly of a PCI proteasome lid subunit, Rpn5 (13, 14). In wild type S. pombe cells, the proteasome is concentrated in the inner layer of the nuclear membrane. By contrast, in yin6 null (yin6Δ) cells as well as rpn5Δ cells, the proteasome appears more diffuse, as measured by microscopy, and lacks many subunits, as revealed by affinity pull-down.

To better define the role of Yin6, in this study we carried out a high copy suppressor screen seeking S. pombe genes that when overexpressed rescued the cold-dependent growth defect of yin6Δ cells. In support of the model in which Yin6 regulates the proteasome, we report herein the isolation of another PCI proteasome subunit, Rpn7, from the screen. Since together with Yin6 and Rpn5, we now have three well characterized PCI proteins, we performed a structure-function analysis to identify amino acid residues in the PCI domain that may be important for the function of many PCI proteins. We have thus identified a Leu residue that is critical for the functions of these three proteins. Bioinformatics analysis showed that this Leu appears to reside in a region that is in close proximity to both the TPR-like and the WH subdomains. The hydrophobic interactions provided by the identified Leu may influence how each of these two subdomains folds, how they are arranged relative to one another, or both. Collectively, these data suggest that maintaining proper conformation of these two subdomains is indeed important for the function of many PCI proteins.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Strains and Growth Conditions—The parental wild-type S. pombe strain used in this paper is SP870 (hypo, ade6-M210, leu1-32, ura4-D18). The yin6Δ, rpn5Δ, and pus1/rpn10-PA strains were as described (13, 14). Cells were grown in either yeast extract medium (YEAU) or synthetic minimal medium (MM) with appropriate supplements. To test for canavanine sensitivities, stock solutions of canavanine sulfate (50 mg/ml in water) were prepared and added to media after autoclaving. We carried out all the experiments with cells pregrown to early logarithmic phase (2–5 × 10⁶ cells/ml). For growth experiments on plates, cells were serially diluted 1:5.

HeLa cells were cultured at 37 °C in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and penicillin/streptomycin, and the CO₂ was maintained at 5%. For transfection, HeLa cells were grown to 40–50% confluence in 24-well glass bottom culture plates (MatTek), 100 ng of plasmid DNA was used, and the transfection reagent was Fugene 6 (Roche Applied Science).

High Copy Suppressor Screen—The YIN6K strain (yin6::kanR) (7) was transformed with a cDNA library whose cDNA clones are carried by the pREP3 vector that contains a thiamine-repressible nmt1 promoter (15). Transformed cells were incubated at 20 °C for 6 weeks, and plasmid DNA was isolated from colonies that emerged. These cDNA clones were retested with a fresh batch of YIN6K cells, and those that failed to rescue the growth defects were discarded. The final cDNA clones were sequenced using two primers: 5’-CAAATTCTTCTCACTTTCTGTAC-3’ and 5’-TTGAATGGGCTTCATAGTTTG-3’. The former anneals in the nmt1 promoter, whereas the latter anneals to the nmt1 terminator. The obtained sequences were submitted to the BLAST server of the S. pombe sequencing project at the Sanger Institute (16). In addition to yin6, which was isolated six times, two identical rpn7 clones (SPBC582.07c) were isolated. These two clones do not have the coding sequence for the first 8 amino acids. The 12th amino acid in the Rpn7 protein is a methionine; therefore, we assumed that protein expression from these two plasmids starts from this second methionine. All of the Rpn7 vectors described in this study contain the full-length rpn7 gene, however. This screen has also isolated other genes, but they will be described elsewhere.

Plasmid Constructions—The construction of pREP1YIN6, pGADYIN6, pLBDMOE1, pGADRPN9, and pLBDRPN5 was as described (7, 13, 14). A BamHI rpn7 cDNA fragment was amplified by PCR from the cosmid SPBC582 (16) and sub cloned into pVL11 (17), pREP1, pREP41, and pREP41GFP to create pLBDRPN7, pREP1RPN7, pREP41RPN7, and pREPGFRPN7. All PCR products in this study have been verified by sequencing to make sure that there is no mutation altering the coding sequence. In a separate project, we5 have constructed an expression vector that was derived from pNR210gck (18) and uses the cdc42 promoter to express fusion proteins that are N-terminally tagged by a monomeric form of RFP called mCherry (19). We named it mcRFP in this study. To tag Rpn7 with mRFP, the rpn7 cDNA was modified by PCR to contain Sall and SpHl sites for cloning, and the resulting vector is named pMCRPN7. All site-directed mutagenesis was performed by the QuikChange kit (Stratagene). pAL1YIN6g contains the genomic version of the wild type yin6 gene in a high copy Leu+ vector, pAL1 (7). The coding sequence of wild type yin6 in this vector was replaced with the mutated ones, and the final vector expressing Yin6L332D was named pYIN6LD. The wild type human int6 in pHAHINT6 (7) and the wild type yin6 in pHAYIN6 (7) were similarly mutated to create pHAHINT6LD and pHAYIN6LD. Wild type or mutant human int6 were subcloned into the YFP-N1 vector (BD Biosciences) to create pYPFHINT6 and pYPFHINT6LD. The mutant rpn5 and rpn7 gene products were also subcloned into pVL11, pREP41, and pREP41GFP to create pLBDRPN7LD, pLBDRPN7LD, and pREPGFRPN7LD. Site-directed mutagenesis was performed

5 X. Fu and E. Chang, unpublished results.
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to mutate rpn9 in pGADRPN9 to create pGADRPN9FD, pGADRPN9MD, and pGADRPN9FDMD.

Strain Constructions—rpn7Δ/+ heterozygous diploid cells were created by homologous recombination of an rpn7::ura4 fragment amplified from the KS-ura4 plasmid as described (20), and this was also the method that we first chose to tag Rpn7 with GFP at the C terminus by the pFA6a-GFP(S65T)-kanMX6 plasmid. This approach of tagging does not deliberately create an amino acid spacer between Rpn7 and GFP, and the resulting strain displayed a temperature-sensitive growth defect. We thus named this strain RPN7TS. To properly perform gene tagging using PCR and homologous recombination, we used a different plasmid, pYM27, as described by another group (21). This approach creates a poly(AG) spacer between the gene of interest and GFP. Proper tagging was confirmed by Western blots (supplemental Fig. S1). The resulting strain was named RPN7GFP. The same method was used to tag Rpn7 with GFP in yin6Δ, rpn5Δ, and pus1/rpn10-PA cells to create strain Y6AR7GFP, R5AR7GFP, and R10PAR7GFP. We used a similar method to tag Rpn11 and α4 with GFP, with the resulting strains named RPN11GFP and A4GFP, respectively, and Rpn5, Rpn7, and Rpn9 were tagged in SP870 by HA6, GFP, and Myc9 to create strain R5HAR7GFPR9MYC.

The pYN6LD vector was linearized and allowed to integrate to the chromosome of yin6Δ cells (strain YIN6K) (7) to create strain YIN6LD, such that the only copy of yin6 in this strain is the mutant version. In parallel, the same mutant yin6 was integrated into a wild type strain to make sure that it did not induce a yin6Δ-like phenotype (data not shown). Other yin6 mutant genes were examined similarly. S. pombe contains two copies of rpn5 genes, rpn5a and rpn5b, that encode an identical protein (14). The expression of these two loci is tightly regulated, such that when one of them is deleted, the expression of the second locus will increase to maintain the same level of total Rpn5 protein. Site-directed mutagenesis was carried out to similarly mutate the rpn5 coding sequence in pBSRPN5-HAKanR (14) to create pBSR5LD-HAKanR (14) to create pBSR5LD-HAKanR. This plasmid was digested by XhoI and Smal to release a fragment containing rpn5, and this fragment was used to transform a rpn5bΔ strain (RPN5bΔ) (14). After homologous recombination, its rpn5a locus was replaced by rpn5L316L-HAKanR. We named the resulting strain RPN5LD.

Fluorescence Microscopy—The general procedures for 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole staining of S. pombe cells were as described (22). Samples were examined via an UPlanFl ×100/1.30 oil objective on an Olympus BX61 microscope, and the images were captured by a Q-Imaging Retiga camera. Deconvolution of images (Nearest Neighbor) was performed using Slidebook software (Intelligent Imaging Innovations) on a stack of eight images taken at 0.5-μm intervals. For the protein colocalization study, images in each focal plane were examined to confirm colocalization. Openlab software (Improvision) was used to quantify the GFP intensity.

To visualize YFP-tagged Int6 in HeLa cells, 48 h after transfection, YFP signals were captured using the Olympus IX70 microscope via a ×60/1.4 oil objective. To visualize the nuclei of live cells, Hoechst 33342 was added 10 min prior to image acquisition. YFP intensity was quantified by Slidebook software. Images were deconvolved (constrained iterative) using Slidebook on a stack of 12 images collected at 0.5-μm intervals.

26S Proteasome Pull-down—Approximately 30 OD units (~3 × 10^8) of cells were lysed in ice-cold 26S-binding buffer (25 mM Tris, 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 5 mM ATP, 0.1% Triton X-100, 20% glycerol, pH 7.0–7.4). Total crude lysates were centrifuged twice at 20,800 × g for 20 min. Supernatants with the same amount of protein were incubated with either 50 μl of IgG-Sepharose (Invitrogen) or Protein A-Sepharose control (Sigma) overnight at 4 °C. After eight washes in the 26S-binding buffer, beads were incubated with the tobacco etch virus protease (Invitrogen) twice for 1 h at 30 °C to release the 26S proteasome. Eluted proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blots. Antibodies against Mts4/Rpn1, Pus1/Rpn10, and Mts3/Rpn12 were as described (13) and used at a 1:1000 dilution. Antibodies against proteasome 20S core α subunits (MCP231; 1:1000), GFP (1:1000), HA (1:1000), and Myc (1:1000) were from BIOMOL, Fitzgerald, Covance, and Abcam, respectively. The anti-Cdc8 antibody was a gift from M. Balasubramanian (University of Singapore) (23). The existing antibody against the α core does not recognize the α4 subunit, so we generated a polyclonal rabbit antibody using CIVKEIQDEKEAEAARKKGR as a peptide antigen. The confirmation of this antibody (1:2000) is shown in supplemental Fig. S2. To quantify protein levels, fluorescently conjugated secondary antibodies were used, and the signals were measured by the Odyssey infrared imaging system (Li-COR Biosciences).

Proteasome Subunit Co-immunoprecipitation—Cells (strain R5HAR7GFPR9MYC) were grown to 5 × 10^6 cells/ml in YEAD at 30 °C. Lysates were prepared in PEM buffer (100 mM PIPES, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM MgSO4, pH 6.9) plus 0.5% IGEPAL CA-630 (Sigma) and cleared by centrifuging twice at 20,800 × g for 15 min. Supernatants containing 5 mg of total proteins were mixed with the antibody (12CA5 or 9E10) at 4 °C overnight. To perform salt extraction (24), protein A beads containing immunoprecipitated sample were mixed with the same lysis buffer containing 0.5, 0.1, or 1 M NaCl and incubated at 30 °C for 1 h with gentle rocking. The samples were analyzed by Western blotting, and protein levels were quantified by the Odyssey system (see “26S Proteasome Pull-down”).

Glycerol Gradient Centrifugation—The cell lysate was prepared following the same procedure as that in the proteasome pull-down experiment. Glycerol gradient centrifugation was performed as described (25), except that 300-μl fractions were collected. Samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blots.

Yeast Two-hybrid Assay—The yeast two-hybrid assay was performed as described (17). Briefly, the reporter strain was L40, which carries the reporter gene cassettes lexA-HIS3 and lexA-LacZ. The β-galactosidase activity was assayed by a color filter assay using 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-d-galactoside (X-gal) as substrate, whereas the HIS3 expression was assayed by plating cells in medium lacking histidine. To quantify β-galactosidase activity, a colorimetric assay using ortho-nitrophenyl-β-galactoside as substrate was performed as described (17).
Detection of Polyubiquitinated Proteins—To detect ubiquitinated proteins, cells were broken by glass beads in phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.4). Total crude extracts containing equal amounts of proteins were analyzed by immunoblotting with an ubiquitin antibody (1:100; Sigma).

RESULTS

Isolation of Rpn7 as a High Copy Suppressor That Rescues yin6Δ Phenotypes—The cDNA encoding a putative proteasome subunit in the lid of the 19S cap particle was isolated twice in a genetic screen in which we sought S. pombe cDNAs that when overexpressed rescued the cold-dependent growth defects of yin6Δ cells (Fig. 1A). We named it Rpn7 based on its sequence homology to other Rpn7 proteins.

Canavine is an arginine analog; cells defective in the proteasome, such as yin6Δ cells, are hypersensitive to this drug. We found that overexpressing Rpn7 also rescued canavine hypersensitivity of yin6Δ cells (Fig. 1B). Proteasome subunits in normal cells concentrate in the nuclear membrane, but in yin6Δ cells they are more diffused throughout the cell (Fig. 1C) (13). We overexpressed rpn7 in yin6Δ cells and measured the ratio of the catalytic α4 subunit in the cytoplasm versus that in the nucleus. As shown in Fig. 1C, in rpn7-overexpressed cells, substantially more α4 subunit could now be found in the nucleus, suggesting that rpn7 overexpression can directly rescue proteasome mislocalization/disassembly in yin6Δ cells.

Rpn7 Is Essential for Proteasome Functioning—To determine whether rpn7 encodes an essential proteasome subunit, we deleted and replaced it with the ura4Δ selectable marker via homologous recombination in a diploid cell, and the deletion (rpn7::ura4) was confirmed by PCR. Tetrad analysis of its meiotic products showed that only two of the four spores in a given tetrad formed colonies (Fig. 2A), and they were all auxotrophic for uracil (Ura−) (data not shown), indicating that a single essential gene had been replaced by ura4Δ. Finally, we transformed the heterozygous rpn7Δ/Δ diploid cells with a plasmid expressing the rpn7 cDNA. By random spore analysis, we were able to easily identify cells that were uracil-protoprophic (Ura+) (data not shown), indicating that the expression of rpn7 cDNA rescued the lethality of rpn7Δ cells, as expected. These data collectively indicate that rpn7 is a gene essential for viability.

During the course of an unsuccessful gene-tagging experiment, we found that Rpn7 that was C-terminally tagged with GFP without a proper amino acid spacer induced growth defects that were temperature-sensitive (ts), and this ts phenotype could be rescued by overexpressing rpn7 (data not shown). These data indicate that the ts growth defect is caused by loss of Rpn7 function. We thus used this strain, called RPN7TS, to more efficiently analyze Rpn7 functions and its relationship to the proteasome, and the rpn7Δ allele in this strain is called rpn7Δ. In Fig. 2B, we show that at even semipermissive temperature, abnormal mitotic RPN7TS cells accumulated. In particular, cells that had apparently undergone abnormal chromosome segregation were frequently observed, which is consistent with the fact that sister-chromatid separation during anaphase is a key event regulated by the proteasome. To determine whether such a phenotype can be similarly detected in rpn7Δ cells, we sporulated diploid cells heterozygous for rpn7Δ::ura4Δ in medium lacking uracil, so that only rpn7Δ (rpn7Δ::ura4Δ) cells could grow. We found that all germinated cells divided just a few times and displayed the same mitotic abnormalities as seen in RPN7TS cells (data not shown). We conclude that the rpn7Δ allele recapitulates the deficiencies in rpn7Δ cells. Cells defective in the proteasome are expected to show hypersensitivity to canavanine and to accumulate polyubiquitinated proteins, and indeed, both phenotypes were readily detected in RPN7TS cells (Fig. 2, C and D, respectively). These data demonstrated that Rpn7 is critical for proper proteasome functions.
Rpn7 Associates with the Proteasome—Having concluded that Rpn7 is critical for proteasome functions, we went on to determine whether Rpn7 binds the proteasome. We used a different tagging strategy to introduce a spacer between Rpn7 and GFP (see “Experimental Procedures”). In the resulting strain, Rpn7-GFP is expressed from its authentic chromosomal locus by its own promoter. This strain showed no detectable growth defects in a wide range of temperatures and was not hypersensitive to canavanine (data not shown), indicating that unlike the Rpn7-GFP in the RPN7TS strain, this Rpn7-GFP is fully functional. In Fig. 3A, we show that Rpn7-GFP clearly concentrated at the nuclear envelope. To determine whether Rpn7 colocalized with other proteasome subunits by deconvolution microscopy, we tagged Rpn7 with a monomeric RFP (mcRFP) and tagged two proteasome subunits (Rpn11 and α4) with GFP and found that indeed they colocalize (Fig. 3A; data not shown). By contrast, Rpn7-GFP in the RPN7TS strain mislocalized in the nucleoplasm (supplemental Fig. S2). Furthermore, like other proteasome subunits (14), Rpn7-GFP is mislocalized in rpn5Δ and vin6Δ cells (Fig. 3B). These data together strongly suggest that, like other proteasome subunits, Rpn7 is concentrated at the nuclear membrane, and its mislocalization weakens the proteasome.

To biochemically examine whether Rpn7 is indeed part of the entire proteasome, we performed a proteasome affinity pull-down and detected the presence of Rpn7 with other proteasome subunits (Fig. 3C). We conclude from these data that Rpn7 is a proteasome subunit and essential for viability and normal mitosis.

Preferential Binding between Rpn7, Rpn5, and Rpn9—We first employed the yeast two-hybrid assay to ascertain to which available proteasome subunit Rpn7 binds. Our data showed that Rpn7 bound Rpn9 (Fig. 4A), but not Rpn5, Rpn10/Pus1, Rpn1/Mts4, Rpt1, Rpt2/Mts2, Rpt5, or Rpt6.

In our previous study (14), we found that Rpn5, like Rpn7, also preferentially bound Rpn9. One interpretation of these
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data is that Rpn5, Rpn7, and Rpn9, three PCI components in the lid, may preferentially bind one another in *S. pombe*. To investigate this, we epitope-tagged *rpn5*, *rpn7*, and *rpn9* chromosomally such that they were expressed from their authentic promoters. We then immunoprecipitated under conditions (e.g. lack of ATP) that do not favor the formation of a stable proteasome. Our data in Fig. 4B showed that when Rpn9 (Myc-tagged) was immunoprecipitated, Rpn5 and Rpn7 were readily detected in the same protein complex; α subunits in the proteasome catalytic core did not stably associate with these three proteins, although at least two components from the lid (Rpn12 and Rpn10) and one from the base (Rpn1) did associate with them.

We then washed the immunoprecipitated protein complexes with salt in increasing concentrations to disrupt the binding between them. Our data showed that although Rpn10 and Rpn12 were efficiently washed away, Rpn5, Rpn7, and Rpn9 stayed in the complex (Fig. 4B), suggesting that under conditions that disrupt the association between *S. pombe* proteasome lid subunits, Rpn5, Rpn7, and Rpn9 can still bind one another with high affinity. This conclusion was largely confirmed by immunoprecipitating the HA-tagged Rpn5 (Fig. 4B), during which hardly any Rpn12 and no Rpn10 were detectable in the co-precipitated sample. We note that the base subunit Rpn1 also bound this complex strongly, although we did not detect pairwise bindings between them in the two-hybrid assay. We will revisit this issue later under “Discussion.”

Identification of an Essential Leucine Residue in the PCI Domain of Yin6—Rpn7, as well as Yin6 and many proteasome subunits in the lid, contains the PCI domain; however, the exact function of the PCI domain remains elusive. The truncated Int6 identified in the mouse mammary tumors lacks the entire PCI domain (8), and we have found that these truncated human Int6 proteins are not functional in *S. pombe* (7, 13). These results suggest that the PCI domain is critical for the function of Int6. Since the MMTV insertion in int6 removes nearly one-third of the protein, which may broadly and severely affect the function of any protein, we conducted a structure-function analysis to identify single essential amino acid residues in the PCI domain and then analyzed the function of the resulting proteins.

We first focused on amino acid residues that are highly conserved among many PCI proteins, as illustrated in our original protein sequence analysis from which the PCI domain was defined (3). We then cross-referenced this with protein alignment analyses of nearly all known Int6 proteins available to us at the time to identify those residues that are also conserved in these Int6 proteins (Fig. 5A, arrows). Site-directed mutagenesis was performed to alter them one by one. We then replaced the wild type *yin6* with the mutated ones and analyzed the phenotypes of the resulting cells. We have thus concluded that replacing the Leu at position 332 with aspartate (L332D) is particularly detrimental to the function of Yin6, since the cell carrying Yin6L332D displayed the same phenotype as *yin6*Δ cells (cold-dependent growth defect, abnormally fat and bent cell morphology, and hypersensitivity to canavanine) (Fig. 6A; data not shown). In proteasome pull-down experiments, Yin6L332D did not efficiently bind the proteasome (Fig. 6A). These results are consistent with the idea that the Yin6L332D mutant loses its ability to bind and regulate the proteasome.

An analogous mutation in human Int6 (Int6L312D) also inactivated Int6, since it could not rescue *yin6*Δ cells (Fig. 7A). In human cells, Int6 can be found in both the nucleus and cytoplasm (26–28). In live HeLa cells, we expressed YFP-tagged wild type and mutant Int6 proteins and then measured the ratio of YFP signal in the cytoplasm versus that in the nucleus. Our data show that although wild type Int6 is mostly cytoplasmic, Int6L312D frequently accumulates in the nucleus (Fig. 7B–D). These data are consistent with the idea that the function of this
leucine in the PCI domain is evolutionarily conserved among Int6 proteins.

The Same Leucine Is Important for Many Proteasome PCI Subunits—Since the first publication of the PCI sequence alignment, more PCI protein sequences have become available, and we have thus performed another alignment to identify Leu residues in other PCI proteins that might be structurally (and thus also functionally) analogous to Leu332 in Yin6 function. A, sequence alignment was performed using the ClustalW program (31) to seek evolutionarily conserved amino acid residues in the PCI domains of many Int6 proteins. The arrows indicate residues that have been chosen as targets for mutagenesis, and the red arrow marks the described leucine residue that is critical for Yin6 function. B, sequence alignment of a number of genes encoding PCI proteins across different species was performed as described (6). The red rectangle encloses the residues corresponding to the identified conserved leucine. The purple asterisks mark those hydrophobic residues that may interact with Leu121 as revealed in Fig. 8B. S. pombe Rpn5 and Rpn7 are marked by red arrows. The boundaries of the α helix (Fig. 8A), the end of the HAM subdomain, and the beginning of the WH subdomain are marked with vertical lines. Residues that are part of a helix are marked H.

FIGURE 5. Sequence alignment of the PCI region among int6 genes and among genes encoding PCI proteins. A, sequence alignment was performed using the ClustalW program (31) to seek evolutionarily conserved amino acid residues in the PCI domains of many Int6 proteins. The arrows indicate residues that have been chosen as targets for mutagenesis, and the red arrow marks the described leucine residue that is critical for Yin6 function. B, sequence alignment of a number of genes encoding PCI proteins across different species was performed as described (6). The red rectangle encloses the residues corresponding to the identified conserved leucine. The purple asterisks mark those hydrophobic residues that may interact with Leu121 as revealed in Fig. 8B. S. pombe Rpn5 and Rpn7 are marked by red arrows. The boundaries of the α helix (Fig. 8A), the end of the HAM subdomain, and the beginning of the WH subdomain are marked with vertical lines. Residues that are part of a helix are marked H.

leucine in the PCI domain is evolutionarily conserved among Int6 proteins.

The Same Leucine Is Important for Many Proteasome PCI Subunits—Since the first publication of the PCI sequence alignment, more PCI protein sequences have become available, and we have thus performed another alignment to identify Leu residues in other PCI proteins that might be structurally (and thus also functionally) analogous to Leu332 in Yin6 (Fig. 5B). Besides Yin6, we have characterized two more PCI proteins, Rpn5 and Rpn7, and the alignment data suggest that Leu316 in Rpn5 and Leu289 in Rpn7 are good candidates.

As shown in Fig. 6B, like rpn5Δ cells, the rpn5L316D cells are hypersensitive to canavanine, and similarly Rpn7L289D was not as efficient as wild type Rpn7 in rescuing canavanine hypersensitivity of rpn7Δ cells (Fig. 6C) or that of yin6Δ cells (data not shown).

We have shown in this and a previous study (14) that both Rpn5 and Rpn7 bind Rpn9. However, neither Rpn5L316D (Fig. 6D) nor Rpn7L289D bound Rpn9 (Fig. 4A). Rpn9 is slightly divergent from most PCI proteins in that the S. pombe Rpn9 contains a Met instead of Leu in the position of interest (Fig. 5B). Despite this difference, we found that the binding to Rpn7 was substantially reduced (Fig. 4C) when this Met was changed to Asp (Rpn9M257D). Furthermore, we speculate (see “Discussion”) that the residue in this position can interact with perhaps five hydrophobic residues, such as the phenylalanine at position 253, which are also highly conserved among PCI proteins (Fig. 5B, purple asterisk). Indeed, Rpn9F253D also showed much
reduced binding to Rpn7; more importantly, Rpn9-P253D-M257D showed no detectable binding to Rpn7 at all (Fig. 4C).

To further ascertain whether these PCI mutations weaken the ability of these proteasome subunits to properly localize and assemble with the rest of the proteasome subunits in live cells, we analyzed the localization patterns of GFP-Rpn7L289D and GFP-Rpn7 in both wild type and rpn7Δ cells. Our data showed that although Rpn7 localized efficiently to the nuclear envelope in both wild type and rpn7Δ cells (Fig. 6E), Rpn7L289D-GFP mislocalized throughout the cell in wild type cells and slightly mislocalized in the nucleoplasm of rpn7Δ cells. Rpn5L316D was similarly tested and yielded the same results (data not shown). These localization data suggest that the tested PCI mutant proteasome subunits are not nearly as efficient as their normal counterparts in localizing and assembling at the nuclear membrane into the proteasome; thus, the presence of the wild type versions easily competed out the assembly and localization of the mutant versions. Collectively, we conclude from these results that the identified Leu residue in the PCI domain plays a critical role in mediating the binding between PCI proteins in the proteasome, thus affecting how the proteasome is assembled and localized in the cell.

**DISCUSSION**

To better define the role of the PCI domain in proteasome functioning, we have isolated a new PCI-containing proteasome subunit, Rpn7, from *S. pombe*. Our data firmly support the idea that Rpn7 is a proteasome subunit because it associates with the proteasome and because its inactivation appears to block the removal of polyubiquitinated proteins as well as abnormal proteins that contain canavanine instead of arginine. Guided by protein sequence alignments, we performed structure-function analyses to identify in the PCI domain a Leu residue that is critical for the functions of at least three different PCI proteins; i.e. Yin6L332D, Rpn5L316D, and Rpn7L289D cannot fully replace their wild type counterparts, and this observation correlates with the finding that they do not bind efficiently to the proteasome. These data support the hypothesis that the identified Leu residue is critical for many PCI domains to function properly.

Besides Asp, we have mutated this Leu residue to an alanine in Yin6, and the resulting mutant protein showed very little loss...
of function (supplemental Fig. S3). These data are consistent with the possibility that this Leu is in contact with other residues, residing either elsewhere in the PCI domain or in its binding partners, via hydrophobic interactions, which can be disrupted by the presence of an Asp residue. Based on the study of Rpn9, we further speculate that this Leu may be substituted by other amino acids with hydrophobic side chains, such as Met.

The Leu (or Met) identified from this study corresponds to Leu\textsuperscript{121} in human eIF3k, whose crystal structure has been reported. We have created a figure based on the reported structure of eIF3k to better illustrate and summarize our findings (Fig. 8). Leu\textsuperscript{121} resides at the end of the last pair of antiparallel \(\alpha\)-helices in the TPR-like subdomain (\(\alpha3\) helix; red in Fig. 8A)

Within a 5-Å radius of this Leu, five hydrophobic amino acid residues (Leu\textsuperscript{105}, Phe\textsuperscript{117}, Trp\textsuperscript{118}, Leu\textsuperscript{128}, and Phe\textsuperscript{134}; Fig. 8B, purple) are so positioned that their side chains may interact with this Leu. Although it is evident that most of these hydrophobic amino acids reside within the TPR-like subdomain, Phe\textsuperscript{134} is in the WH subdomain. It is thus possible that the identified Leu can modulate the proper folding and/or spatial arrangement either within the TPR-like subdomain or between the TPR and the WH subdomains by interacting with these hydrophobic side chains. The importance of such hydrophobic interaction is further supported by the observation that three of the five described potential hydrophobic partners (e.g. Leu\textsuperscript{105}, Phe\textsuperscript{117}, and Phe\textsuperscript{134}) are highly conserved among many PCI proteins (Fig. 5B), and we have validated the importance of Phe\textsuperscript{117} in Rpn9 (by altering the corresponding Phe\textsuperscript{253} (Fig. 4C).

Isono et al. (29) have identified a number of ts Rpn7 mutants in budding yeast. Each of these Rpn7 ts mutants contains more than one amino acid substitution, at least one of which in each mutant protein is in the PCI domain. Interestingly, none of these mutations can singularly inactivate budding yeast Rpn7; in contrast, mutating just the Leu identified in this study substantially weakens the function of \textit{S. pombe} Rpn7. One interpretation of this is that by potentially modulating the conformation and folding of both the TPR-like and the WH subdomains, a substitution of this Leu to Asp may more globally and profoundly influence the structure of the PCI domain, thus leading to a greater loss of activity. We cannot exclude the possibility that this Leu may be part of a binding pocket, with which critical PCI binding partners interact.

Since each of the two subdomains in the PCI domain contains ample surface for protein-protein interactions, it is reasonable to assume that the main role of the PCI domain is to assemble a protein complex. We have previously observed that the \textit{S. pombe} lid PCI protein Rpn5 is grossly mislocalized only in the lid mutants, whereas in the base
mutants, Rpn5 can at least still concentrate in the nucleus (14). A recent study of budding yeast proteasome has similarly shown that mutations affecting the lid, but not the base, lead to a grossly mislocalized lid (30). These results are consistent with the possibility that at least some components in the lid preferentially bind one another and that they do so in a highly cooperative manner.

In this and other studies of ours (14), by the yeast two-hybrid assay, we found that among proteasome subunits available for the two-hybrid assay, Rpn9 preferentially binds both Rpn5 and Rpn7. By contrast, Rpn7 does not bind Rpn5. We performed glycerol gradient centrifugation experiments and found that all of the detectable Rpn7 co-fractionated with other proteasome subunits (supplemental Fig. S4). This observation does not support the hypothesis that a separate Rpn5-9-7 ternary complex can be readily detectable biochemically. However, our data in Fig. 4B reveal that Rpn5, Rpn9, and Rpn7 do bind one another with affinity higher than that with other proteasome subunits. In passing, we speculate that our two-hybrid data are also consistent with the possibility that Rpn9 may bridge the binding between Rpn5 and Rpn7 to result in a Rpn5-9-7 configuration. We note that this may explain why, when Rpn9 is first immunoprecipitated, high levels of both Rpn5 and Rpn7 can be co-immunoprecipitated. By contrast, although Rpn5 can efficiently bring down Rpn9, it is less efficient in bringing down Rpn7. Finally, our results surprisingly show that a base subunit, Rpn1, also tightly associates with Rpn5-7-9, although it does not bind any of them in the two-hybrid assay. One interpretation of this is that Rpn1 may associate with Rpn5-7-9 via other proteins in the same complex.
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