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ABSTRACT: INTRODUCTION: Increased incidence of trochanteric fractures is a direct sequalee of ageing population. Treatment of trochantric fractures with internal fixation will have an added advantage of early mobilization and ambulation besides nursing care for fragile and supple individuals. The dynamic hip screw is one of the very simple, affordable device and surgically not a demanding procedure. The present study was undertaken to assess the utility of DHS as a useful method in the management of extracapsular fractures.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: This is a prospective study undertaken to evaluate the effectiveness of dynamic hip screw fixation device in the management of extra capsular fracture neck of femur at ASRAM Medical College Hospital, Eluru during the period between May 2008 and October 2010. Thirty patients with stable extracapsular fracture neck of femur treated with dynamic hip screw fixation were selected for the present study.

RESULTS: The final outcome was excellent in 16 patients, good in 10 patients, fair in 3 patients and poor in 1 patient.

CONCLUSION: From this study we conclude that DHS is a good implant for the treatment of EVANS type -1 Extra capsular fractures neck of femur. Because it enhances fracture stability and union with controlled collapse.
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INTRODUCTION: Among the femoral bone injuries, trochanteric fractures present a relatively benign picture for management as they are not prone for difficult complications like non union and avascular necrosis but results in malunion (coxavara). Since the majority of people that suffer from extracapsular fractures are elderly, a special attempt is needed to avoid prolonged recumbency and thereby preventing associated complications. Before the introduction of internal fixation devices, treatment of inter trochanteric fractures were non operative, consisting of bed rest and traction until fracture healing occurred (12-15 weeks)⁴⁶, followed by a lengthy programme of ambulation and gait training. In elderly patients this is associated with complications like decubitus ulcers, urinary tract infection, joint contractures, pneumonia and thromboembolic phenomenon apart from varus deformity (coxavara) and shortening. For these reasons, treatment of intertrochanteric fractures by reduction and internal fixation has become the standard method of treatment world over.

Orthopaedic fraternity is always on the lookout for an effective and economical method of treatment for extracapsular fractures. The DYNAMIC HIP SCREW is one of the very simple, affordable device and surgically not a demanding procedure. The present study was undertaken to assess the utility of DHS as a useful method in the management of extracapsular fractures of hip.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: The present study is undertaken to evaluate the effectiveness of dynamic hip screw fixation device in the management of extra capsular fracture neck of femur at
ASRAM MEDICAL COLLEGE HOSPITAL, Eluru during the period between May 2008 and December 2010. Thirty patients with stable extracapsular fracture neck of femur treated with DYNAMIC HIP SCREW fixation were selected for the present study.

Type 1 Evans classification type intertrochanteric fractures in adults were selected for the present study. Type 2 Evans classification, compound fractures, pathological fractures, fractures in children and fractures in elderly patients with intractable osteoporosis were excluded from our study.

All the patients with extracapsular fractures neck of femur who were admitted to ASRAM Medical College Hospital were assessed clinically and were hemodynamically stabilized. Radiographs of pelvis with both hips (anteroposterior view) and full femur (anteroposterior view and lateral view) were taken. Skin traction was applied to the fractured limb and immobilized over a Bohler Braun frame till surgery. Basic surgical profile was done and fitness for anesthesia was obtained for all selected patients. Surgery was done over a fracture table in supine position under image intensifier (C-ARM) control using standard technique.

Drains were removed after 48 hours. Patients were assessed clinically and radiologically on the 2nd postoperative day. Gentle mobilization of the operated limb, change of position and physiotherapy (quadriceps strengthening exercises, hip and knee bending exercises) were taught and the patients were discharged after 10-15 days. The patient was called after 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months and finally after one year. Patients were assessed for recovery and relief using HARRIS HIP SCORE.

**RESULTS:**
Intraoperatively reduction was achieved through closed means in all patients. Reduction was good in 26 patients (87%), acceptable in three out of 30 patients (10%), poor in 1 patient (3%) of patients.

Average time of fracture union for the present study was 5.04 months.

Final outcome was excellent in 16 patients, good in 10 patients, fair in 3 patients and poor in 1 patient in who cut-out of the screws from shaft of the femur occurred.
DISCUSSION: Intertrochanteric fractures are very common injuries seen in elderly. It is a major social and economic problem. The primary goal in the treatment is to reduce morbidity and avoid fracture complications. The dynamic hip screw has the mechanical advantage of static compression during surgery and dynamic compression after resumption of physiological loading. The benefit of continuous decrease in stress over the implant due to the sliding nature of the lag screw resulting in fracture union makes dynamic hip screw a good choice of implant for Evans type 1 intertrochanteric fractures according to various clinical and radiological studies.

Most of the patients in the present study were in elderly age group (60 to 70 years). In our study 30 out of 42 patients (71.42%), fractures involved the left femur. We had male preponderance of 28 out of 42 patients (66.66%) as compared to ANIL KUMAR MISHRA SERIES 64.5%, high female
predominance was seen in KYLE ET AL SERIES 58%, In30 out of 42 patients (71.42%), fracture is a result of trivial fall. High velocity injuries such as fall from height, Road Traffic Accidents include 12 out of 42 patients (28.58%). One patient had associated ipsilateral undisplaced tibia fracture which was treated conservatively.

In the present series the fractures were classified according to Evans classification. Out of 42 patients, subtype 1 includes 10 patients, subtype 2 includes 18 patients, subtype 3 includes 9 patients and subtype 4 includes 5 patients. Admission operation interval in our study was 5.6 days as compared to 10 DAYS IN ANILMISHRA SERIES. It was 3 days in GULZAR AHMED SERIES. Dolk in his study found no difference in mortality and morbidity between those operated within 8 hours of admission and 48 hours of admission, indicating that there was no need to operate in extracapsular fractures neck of Femur as emergencies. Most of the patients with delayed injury operation interval had pre-existing difficult medical problems.

Intra-operatively closed reduction was achieved in all the patients and the result was good in 36 out of 42 patients (85.7%). Only one superficial infection (2.3%) resulted after surgery which subsided with parenteral antibiotics. Coxa-vara was noted in 5 patients (11.9%). Cut out of hip screw was noted in one patient, pullout of barrel plate from shaft was seen in one patient. The mean duration of hospital stay in our series was 19.26 days, which is same as found in N.CHIRODIAN SERIES (18.4DAYS), 2 TO 3 WEEKS IN GULZAR AHMED SERIES.

Average time for fracture union in our series is 14 weeks.(15 weeks in BOLHOFNER SERIES, 11.7 weeks in WOLFGANG SERIES, 14 weeks in ECKER SERIES). The fracture being entirely in the region of cancellous bone, we are of the view that the radiological union is deceptive to permit full weight-bearing. Hence, we feel that screw cutting through bone was seen in some occasions. Majority of the patients in this study 36 out of 42 patients (85.7%) had no pain. Moderate pain was present in only one person (N.CHIRODIAN SERIES 95% (NONE 94.9%, MODERATE 4.1% AND SEVERE 1% respectively). No shortening was seen in 34 out of 42 patients (80.95%) more than 2 cm shortening was seen in 8 out of 42 patients (19.05%). Shortening was associated with limp. In 42 patients of our study 24 patients did not require any support for walking. 14 patients were using cane for long walks which mostly included geriatric patients. Hand cane was used most of the time in 3 patients and 1 patient could not walk. Squatting was possible in 36 out of 42 patients (85.7%) but 6 patients had mild difficulty, 39 out of 42 patients (92.85%) were able to sit cross legged but 3 patients had limitation of abduction and external rotation.

Final outcome was excellent in 26 patients, good in 12 patients, fair in 3 patients and poor in 1 patient in whom cut out of the screws from shaft of the femur occurred.
GULZAR AHMED SERIES indicates (EXCELLENT to GOOD 96.5% POOR 3.5%)  
CH. ARUN KUMAR SERIES 92% EXCELLENT RESULTS

SUMMARY: From this study we conclude that DHS is a good implant for the treatment of EVANS type -1 Extra capsular fractures neck of femur. Because it enhances fracture stability and union with controlled collapse.
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