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Abstract

Liver diseases are life-threatening and need urgent medical treatments. Conventional treatment is expensive and toxic, so the urge for nutraceutical hepatoprotective agents is crucial. This study is considered the first metabolic profile of Aeschynomene elaphroxylon (Guill. & Perr.) extracts of; flowers, leaves & bark adopting UPLC-Orbitrap HRMS analysis to determine their bioactive metabolites, and it was designed to investigate the potential hepatoprotective activity of A. elaphroxylon flowers and bark extracts against CCl4-induced hepatic fibrosis in rats. Forty-nine compounds of various classes were detected in the three extracts, with triterpenoid saponins as the major detected metabolite. Flowers and bark extracts presented similar chemical profile while leaves extract was quite different. The antioxidant activities of the flowers, leaves & bark extracts were measured by in vitro assays as Fe3+ reducing antioxidant power and Oxygen radical absorbance capacity. It revealed that flowers and bark extracts had relatively high antioxidant activity as compared to leaves extract. Based on the metabolic profile and in vitro antioxidant activity, flowers and bark ethanolic extracts were chosen for alleviation of hepatotoxicity induced by CCl4 in rats. The hepatoprotective activity was studied through measuring hepatotoxicity biomarkers in serum (ALT, AST, and Albumin). Liver tissues were examined histopathologically and their homogenates were used in determining the intracellular levels of oxidative stress biomarkers (MDA, GSH), inflammatory markers (TNF-α). Flowers and bark ethanolic extracts exerted a significant hepatoprotective effect through reduction in the activities of ALT, AST and Albumin, the tested extracts reduced oxidative stress by increasing GSH content and reducing the MDA level. Furthermore, the extracts decreased levels of pro-inflammatory TNF-α. Moreover, the present study revealed the potentiality of A. elaphroxylon in ameliorating the CCl4-induced hepatic fibrosis in rats. In this aspect, A. elaphroxylon can be used with other agents as a complementary drug.
Introduction

Liver is the main organ regulating homeostasis in the body, where metabolism and detoxification take place. It is the organ mostly involved in all the biochemical pathways related to growth, immunity, nutrient supply, provision of energy. Therefore, the liver is susceptible to injury which might occur by chronic exposure to drugs; such as paracetamol and acetaminophen, environmental toxicants and other xenobiotics as carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) and thioacetamide (TAA) [1,2].

Hepatotoxins such as carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄), a widely-known mediator used in animal-models of hepatotoxicity, is metabolized by microsomal cytochrome (CYP) P450 enzymes into highly reactive metabolites as trichloromethyl (-CCl₃) and trichloromethyl peroxy (-CCl₃OO) radicals, which activate Kupffer cells, initiate the hepatic inflammation process where proinflammatory mediators such as tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), interleukin-1β (IL-1β), and interleukin-6 (IL-6) are generated and induces lipid peroxidation [3]. CCl₄ model in rodent has been extensively used to evaluate the efficacy of hepatoprotective drugs since this model can definitely reflect the metabolic and morphological changes that occur in liver injury with highly reproducibility [4–6].

Despite of the great scientific achievement in the field of hepatology, management of liver diseases is still a challenge to modern medicine. Natural products are known to be used as alternative remedies in the treatment of liver toxicity. Silymarin (Si) is an herbal drug used almost in liver protection all over the world. It exerts its effect through antioxidation, anti-lipid peroxidation, antifibrotic, anti-inflammatory, membrane stabilizing, immunomodulatory and liver regenerating mechanisms [7]. Several studies have publicized that hepatoprotective effects are related to phytoextracts rich in natural triterpenoidal saponins [8,9] such as Soyasaponins; that possesses a protective effect on liver injury [10,11].

Aeschynomene elaphroxylon (Guill. & Perr.) is large shrub or small tree up to 9–12 m tall, belonging to the family Fabaceae. It is native to the tropical Africa and Madagascar, cultivated in Egypt, Java and South America [12], Plants of genus Aeschynomene are reported to exert hepatoprotective [13], antimicrobial [14], cytotoxic [15], antioxidant [16], anthelmintic [17] and anti-inflammatory activities [18]. Preliminary phytochemical studies among different Aeschynomone species revealed the presence of flavonoid glycosides [19], pterocarpans [20] and chalcones [21]. On other hand, only some kaempferol derivatives were isolated from A. elaphroxylon aerial parts [22].

Based on presumed therapeutic value of genus Aeschynomone and the scarcity of reports concerning chemical and biological investigation of Aeschynomone elaphroxylon. This study focuses on two aspects: i) to perform comprehensive metabolic profiling of the active constituents of flowers, bark and leaves extracts of the A. elaphroxylon growing in Egypt using UPLC-Orbitrap-HRMS technique ii) to determine the hepatoprotective, anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effects of A. elaphroxylon (Guill. & Perr.) ethanolic extracts of flowers and bark against CCl₄-induced liver hepatotoxicity in rats compared to conventional hepatoprotective drug 'silymarin'.

Materials and methods

Chemicals and reagents

Silymarin (reference hepatoprotective), Carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄), trichloroacetic acid (TCA), 2,2’-azobis (2-amidinopropane) dihydrochloride (AAPH), fluorescein disodium salt, [(z)-6-hydroxyl-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchromane-2-carboxylic acid] (Trolox), Gallic acid and thiobarbituric acid were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA).
Solvents were of LC-MS grade (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany). All other chemicals used were of highest analytical grade.

**Plant materials**

*Aeschynomene elaphroxylon* (Guill. & Perr.) flowers, bark and leaves samples were collected during March-April 2016 from Orman Botanic Garden, Giza, Egypt. No permits were required for the described study, which complied with all relevant regulations; there is an agreement between the ministry of the agriculture & Cairo University, that researchers have access to undergo their researches on the medicinal plants that are growing in this garden without endangering the genus or species. After authentication of the plant at the herbarium of the Orman Botanic garden by botanist and consultant at Orman Botanical garden, Giza, Egypt; Dr. Mohamed Gibali, the researches get his/her approval from the department council & faculty council to take the required samples for his/her study. A voucher specimen (20.4.16) was deposited at the herbarium of the Department of Pharmacognosy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Cairo University. Flowers (250 g), leaves (2 kg) and dried bark (2 g) were separately shade dried at room temperature for 10 days, powdered mechanically (25 000 rpm, 1 min) with herbs grinder to mesh size 1 mm.

**Preparation of the plant extracts**

Air-dried powdered flowers (100 g), leaves (1 kg) and dried bark (1 Kg) were separately extracted by cold maceration in 70% ethanol till exhaustion. The combined extracts were filtered, and the supernatant was concentrated to dryness with a rotatory evaporator under reduced pressure at temperature not exceed 55˚C. The obtained extracts were stored in air-tight desiccator to be used in the pharmacological studies.

**Sample preparation for UPLC-Orbitrap- HRMS analysis**

Extraction of *A. elaphroxylon* (Guill. & Perr.) speciemens was carried out according to the protocol defined in Farag et al [23]. Dried and deep-frozen flowers, leaves and bark were powdered with pestle and mortar using liquid nitrogen. Flowers, leaves and bark powders (120 mg each) were mixed with 5ml 100% methanol (MeOH) containing 10 μg/ml umbelliferone as internal standard, using a Turrax mixer (11,000 rpm) for five 20-s periods with 1 min intervals separating each period to prevent heating, Then the extracts were vortexed vigorously and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 30 min to remove debris and filtered using 22 μm pore size filter. An aliquot of 500 μl was placed on a (500 mg) C₁₈ cartridge preconditioned with MeOH and H₂O. Samples were then eluted using 5ml 100% MeOH, the eluent was evaporated under a nitrogen stream, and the collected dry residue was resuspended in 500 μl MeOH. Three microlitres of the supernatant was used for UPLC-MS analysis.

**UPLC-Orbitrap- HRMS analysis**

Both negative and positive high resolution ESI modes and collision induced dissociation (CID) MSⁿ spectra were obtained from an Orbitrap Elite mass spectrometer (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Darmstadt, Germany) equipped with a heated electrospray ion source adjusted at 3 kV and 4 kV in negative and positive modes, respectively, capillary voltage of 300˚C, source heater temperature of 250˚C, FTMS resolution of 30.000. The MS spectrometer was coupled to an UHPLC system (Dionex UltiMate 3000, Thermo Fischer Scientific), equipped with a RP-18 column (30 mm × 2.1 mm × 1.8 μm), Acquity HSS T3, H₂O, column temperature of 40˚C. DAD (220–600 nm, Thermo Fischer Scientific). Mobile phase consisted of H₂O (A) and
acetonitrile (B) provided with 0.1% formic acid [24,25]. The following gradient elution was used: at 0–1 min 5% (B), followed by linear increase to reach 100% B till reach 11 min; then from 11 to 19 min (B) 100% was used, finally from 19 to 30 min (B) was reduced to be 5%. The flow rate used was 150 μl/min and the injection volume was 2 μl. The CID mass spectra were recorded using normalized collision energy (NCE) of 35%. Calibration of the instrument was operated externally by the using of Pierce ESI negative ion calibration solution (Product no. 88324) and Pierce ESI positive ion calibration solution (Product no. 88323) from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Evaluation of the data was done by using the software Xcalibur 2.2 SP1.

Biological study

Animal maintenance. The study was performed on male Wistar albino rats (n = 25), weighing (200 ± 20 g, rats were obtained from the animal house of VACSERA Company (Giza, Egypt). This study was performed under authorized recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the College of Pharmacy, Cairo University. The protocol was approved by the Medical Research Ethics Committee (MREC), Faculty of Pharmacy, Cairo University (Approval ID: MP 1403). Rats were housed in small, clean polypropylene cages in an environmentally controlled room (23±2˚C and 55 ± 5% humidity) and subjected to artificial light cycle with 12 hours light: dark cycle every day. Rats were maintained for one week to acclimate on food and water ad libitum. Rats were randomly divided into five groups (n = 5) and fed on the same diet throughout the experimental period (8 weeks).

In vitro antioxidant assays. Fe³⁺ reducing antioxidant power assay. The reducing power was determined for both flowers and bark extracts by using method described in Cai et al. [26]. The capacity of the sample to reduce Fe³⁺ to Fe²⁺ in converting ferricyanide to ferrocyanide, whereby the yellow color of sample is turned to different shades of green or blue color (Perl’s Purussian blue color) after addition of ferric chloride. The intensity of the formed color is measured spectrophotometrically depending on the reducing power of the tested samples. Briefly, samples (25 μL) of each extract at various concentrations (0.25–2.5 mg/ml in 50% methanol), gallic acid or methanol are mixed with (50 μl) of 50 μM Na2HPO4/KH2PO4 buffer (PH 6.6) and (50 μl) of 0.1% (W/V) K3Fe(CN)6, then incubated in water bath at 50˚C for 20 min. Trichloroacetic acid solution (100 μl) of 1% (W/V) was added to the mixture and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. The upper layer (250 μl) is carefully removed and mixed with (250 μl) of 5mM FeCl₃ solution and then the absorbance of the developed color was measured spectrophotometrically after 10 min at 710nm using (tecan infinite F50 absorbance microplate reader). The assay was performed in a 96-well flat-bottom microplate. Where, the increased absorbance reading indicated increased reducing power. Ascorbic acid was used as positive control prepared in a concentration range of (0.5–10 mg/ml). All the tests were performed in triplicate. The relative reducing power of the samples was calculated; as compared to standard from the following formula:

Fe³⁺ reducing antioxidant power % = (1−AS/AC) X100

Where AS is the absorbance of sample and AC is the absorbance of standard at maximum concentration tested [27].

Oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) assay. The antioxidant activity of both flowers and bark extracts was determined using oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) assay described by Liang et al. [28]. In brief, 25 μl of each flowers and bark extracts at different dilutions, Trolox standard in concentration range (range 0.78–25 μM), or methanol prepared with 10 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) were added to triplicate wells in a black, clear-bottom, 96-well microplate (Corning Scientific), and incubate at 37˚C for 10 min. The outside wells of the plate were not used as there was much more variation from them than from the inner
wells. 150 µL of 0.96 mM fluorescein (final concentration 2.5 nM) in phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) was added to each well and incubated at 37°C for 20 min. After this time, fluorescence measurements (excitation of 485 nm, emission of 520 nm) were taken every 90 s; first to determine the background signal. Afterward three cycles 25µl AAPH (final concentration: 60 mM) were added manually in each well with a multi-channel-pipette. This was done as quickly as possible as the ROS generator displays immediate activity after addition. Fluorescence measurements were continued for 90 min. Half life time of fluorescein was determined using MS Excel software.

**In vivo hepatoprotective activity.** **Determination of acute toxicity (LD₅₀).** Median lethal dose (LD₅₀) was determined for evaluating the safety of *A. elaphroxlon* Guill. & Perr. According to a procedure reported by Andress [29]. LD₅₀ was estimated on five group of male albino mice (6 animal each, 25–30 g) for each extract, by oral treatment of single doses of flowers and stem bark ethanolic extracts separately (ranging from 1000–4000 mg/Kg b.wt.). No signs of toxicity or mortality were observed in any group within 30 min and then for 2, 4,8,24 and 48 hr after oral administration of plant extracts. Therefore, *A. elaphroxlon* (Guill. & Perr.) Flowers and stem bark extracts were considered safe up to 4000 mg/Kg b.wt. It is estimated that the therapeutic doses would be 1/20th of the maximum dose was considered for *in vivo* studies.

**Experimental protocol.** Male Wistar albino rats (n = 25), were randomly divided into five groups (n = 5) and a following treatments were completed in 8 consecutive weeks. Group I, normal control, was administered 1% tween 80 orally three times weekly and corn oil intraperitoneally (IP) twice weekly. Group II (CCl₄), the hepatotoxic group, received an IP injection of CCl₄ and corn oil (1:1 v/v) mixture at dose of 0.5 ml/kg, twice per week. Group III (Silymarin Si+ CCl₄), was daily treated with silymarin intragastrically in a dose of 100 mg/kg for 5 days/week for eight successive weeks and an IP injection of CCl₄ and corn oil (1:1 v/v) mixture at dose of 0.5 ml/kg, twice per week [5]. Group IV was daily treated orally with ethanol (70%) extract of flower (F-Et) at doses 200 mg/kg BW then after 1 h received an IP injection of CCl₄ and corn oil (1:1 v/v) mixture at dose of 0.5 ml/kg, twice per week. Group V was daily treated orally with ethanol (70%) extract of stem bark (B-Et) at doses 200 mg/kg BW then then after 1 h received an IP injection of CCl₄ and corn oil (1:1 v/v) mixture at dose of 0.5 ml/kg, twice per week. The doses of flower F-Et and bark B-Et ethanol (70%) extracts were determined based on a preliminary experiment and was consistent with those in the reported literature [13]. Hepatotoxicity was confirmed during administration of CCl₄ in live animals by taking blood samples at different time intervals from each group and measuring liver enzymes, ALT, AST and Albumin to ensure that they are elevated compared to normal group. Blood samples approximate (1ml) from each rat were drained from the retro-orbital plexus under anesthesia, and serum was obtained by vortexes vigorously and centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 8 min. The rats were sacrificed under anesthesia under proper anesthesia (2% ether), 24 h later last dose. Their livers were immediately dissected out, weighed, and cut into two parts. A part of the liver was mixed with phosphate buffer saline (PBS), another part was kept in formalin (10%) for histopathological and immunohistochemical examinations. After dissection the rats were frozen until incineration for hygienic disposal according to Faculty of Pharmacy, Cairo university waste disposal system.

**Biochemical analysis.** **Biochemical analyses were carried out on all animals, serum ALT, AST and albumin (ALB) levels were measured colorimetrically using suitable commercial kits obtained from Spectrum Diagnostics (Al-Obour City, Cairo, Egypt) [30,31].**

**Oxidative stress marker determination.** Lipid peroxidation, expressed as malondialdehyde (MDA) formation was assessed by measuring the concentration of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances calculated as malondialdehyde (MDA) in homogenates [32]. Reduced
glutathione (GSH) levels in liver homogenate was determined using commercial kits (Biodiagnostics, Cairo, Egypt) [33]

Assessment of inflammatory response. Tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) expression was determined using ELISA kit (Assaypro Co., St. Charles, MO, USA).

Histopathological and immunohistochemical examinations. Representative liver specimens from each group were collected and fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin. The specimen was routinely processed, and paraffin embedded then sliced into 5 μm thick sections on positively charged glass slides and stained by hematoxyline and eosin (H&E) and Masson’s Trichrom (MTC). Fibrosis was graded according to korb et al. [34] into six grades. The percentage of connective tissue deposition based on the blue staining of collagen by MTC at 10x magnification power field was performed via color deconvolution image J software.

For the immunohistochemical examination, three paraffin sections were deparaffinized by xylene for 15 minutes, rehydration in graded ethanol, blockage of endogenous peroxidase was performed by adding few drops of 0.3% hydrogen peroxides in absolute MeOH for 30 min. The sections were incubated in 5% skim milk for 30 min at room temperature. Antigen retrieval was done by heating in microwave at 500 W for 10 min with addition of 10 mM citrate buffer, pH 6.0 over the slide and slides were placed in the microwave. Sections were incubated overnight at 4°C in a humidified chamber with one of the following primary antibodies: mouse monoclonal antibody to α-SMA diluted 1:100 (mouse anti-SM-α actin, clone (DAKO). Anti-mouse IgG in rabbit (cat no. M7023; 1:500; Sigma-Aldrich) was used as the secondary antibody. The sections were washed with PBS, then incubated with Streptavidin peroxidase (Thermo Scientific). Slides were incubated for 10 min with 3, 3′-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB, Sigma). Finally, the slides were counterstained with haematoxylin then dehydrated and mounted. The cells that were stained brown in the cytoplasm/nucleus were positive. Caspase-3 as an apoptotic marker using avidin-biotin Peroxidase (DAB, Sigma Chemical Co.). Tissue sections were incubated with a monoclonal antibody to caspase-3, their expression was localized by the chromogen 3,3-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB, Sigma-Aldrich). The intensity of brown color staining was estimated by color deconvolution image J software.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analyses were carried out using GraphPad Prism v7 software. Data were expressed as mean ± SEM. Hypothesis testing methods included one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s post hoc test to determine the differences among the mean values of different groups. P < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

Results
Identification of metabolites via UPLC-Orbitrap-HRMS

Flowers, bark and leaves extracts were analyzed using modern UPLC-orbitrap-HRMS operated in both positive and negative ESI modes. Metabolites of the extract were tentatively defined based on their accurate mass, distribution of their isotope, UV/V-is spectrum (200–600 nm), and fragmentation pattern and with comparison to phytochemical dictionary of natural products database (CRC) as well as comparison with reported literature.

The analytical method applied enabled the identification of 49 compounds, their structures were assigned as nine triterpenoid saponins, eleven phenolic acid derivatives, one anthocyanin, three proanthocyanins, thirteen flavonoid glycosides and twelve fatty acids. The identified compounds are listed in Table 1 and the chromatograms of A. elaphroxylon flower, stem bark and leaf extract in positive and negative ion mode were depicted in Figs 1, 2 and 3.
Table 1. Metabolites identified in *A. elaphroxylon* (Guill. & Perr.) flowers, bark and leaves extracts using UPLC-Orbitrap HRMS.

| Peak no. | m/z [M-H] | Mode | t<sub>p</sub> (min) | λ<sub>max</sub> (nm) | Deprotonated molecular formula | Error (PPM) | MS/MS- | Identification | Plant organ |
|----------|-----------|------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|---------|----------------|-------------|
| Phenolic acids | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 191.0556 | N | 0.66 | 249 | C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>4</sub> | 0.635 | 173,127,111 | Quinic acid | flower | + ... ... |
| 2 | 153.0192 | N | 1.94 | 262, 297 | C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O<sub>4</sub> | 5.5 | 109 | Protocatechuic acid | bark | + ... ... |
| 3 | 315.0688 | N | 2.52 | Nd | C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>4</sub> | 6.5 | 169, 151 | Gallic acid deoxysugar | leaf | + ... ... |
| 4 | 255.0500 | N | 4.70 | Nd | C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>7</sub> | 0.51 | 237,195 | Unidentified | flower | + ... ... |
| 5 | 337.0910 | N | 6.67 | Nd | C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>8</sub> | 2.088 | 191,163,173 | 5- p-coumaroylquinic acid | bark | + ... ... |
| 6 | 353.0866 | N,P | 8.81 | 280 | C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>8</sub> | 0.194 | 163, 145, 119 | Chlorogenic acid | leaf | + ... ... |
| 7 | 325.0916 | N | 8.91 | Nd | C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>6</sub> | 0.437 | 347,283,248 | Unidentified | flower | + - ... |
| 8 | 443.1914 | N | 8.97 | Nd | C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>10</sub> | 1.78 | 221,195,179, 149 | Caffeic acid derivative | bark | + ... ... |
| 9 | 239.0554 | N | 9.21 | Nd | C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>9</sub> | 0.680 | 217,193,175 | feruloyl -O- hexoside | flower | - ... ... |
| 10 | 355.1026 | N | 9.55 | 215, 273 | C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>5</sub> | 6.068 | 119 | P-Coumaric acid | bark | - ... ... |
| 11 | 163.0399 | N | 9.91 | 216, 310 | C<sub>1</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>5</sub> | 0.194 | 385,225,179 | Unidentified | leaf | + ... ... |
| 12 | 431.1907 | N | 9.82 | 282 | C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>10</sub> | 0.206 | 205,179,163, 133 | Sinapinic acid | flower | + ... ... |
| 13 | 223.0606 | N | 10.22 | Nd | C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>6</sub> | 0.540 | 347,283,248 | Unidentified | leaf | + ... ... |
| 14 | 359.0760 | N | 10.68 | 215, 273 | C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>9</sub> | 0.261 | 161,179,197 | Rosmarinic acid | flower | + ... ... |
| Anthocyanins | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | 321.0596 | N | 8.63 | Nd | C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>8</sub> | 1.23 | 277,233,284 | Leucodelphinidin | flower | + ... ... |
| Proanthocyanidins | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | 577.133 | N,P | 9.4 | 282, 334 | C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>36</sub>O<sub>12</sub> | 0.767 | 289,407,425, 451, 459 | Procyanidin dimmer B1 | bark | + ... ... |
| 17 | 289.0710 | N,P | 9.71 | 282, 321 | C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>6</sub> | 1.85 | 245,205, 179 | (+)-Catechin (A) | leaf | + ... ... |
| 18 | 485.1967 | N | 9.84 | 282, 321 | C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>18</sub> | 1.64 | 847,695,577, 575, 407 | Procyanidin trimmer | leaf | + ... ... |
| Flavonoid glycosides | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | 595.1641 | N,P | 9.36 | 290, 314 | C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>15</sub> | 0.039 | 577,505, 475,329,359 | Dihydrokaempferol -C-hexoside -O-rhamnoside | leaf | + ... ... |
| 20 | 609.144 | N | 9.70 | 282, 318 | C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>16</sub> | 0.084 | 447,285 | Kaempferol -O- di-hexoside | leaf | + ... ... |
| 21 | 579.1703 | N,P | 9.91 | 284, 330 | C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>14</sub> | 0.314 | 561,489,459, 313, 343 | Naringenin -C-hexoside -O-rhamnoside | leaf | + ... ... |
| 22 | 625.1394 | N,P | 9.93 | 325 | C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>17</sub> | 0.633 | 607,581,505 | Quercetin -O-hexoside -C- hexoside | leaf | + ... ... |
| 23 | 331.0928 | N,P | 9.99 | 282 | C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>4</sub> | 10.82 | 317,287,269 | Unidentified | leaf | + ... ... |
| 24 | 593.149 | N | 10.13 | 271, 341 | C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>15</sub> | 0.534 | 447,431,285 | Kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside | leaf | + ... ... |
| 25 | 563.139 | N,P | 10.21 | 274, 345 | C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>14</sub> | 0.234 | 447,431,285 | Kaempferol-3-O-deoxyhexoside pentoside | leaf | + ... ... |
| 26 | 463.087 | N | 10.33 | 265, 303, 325 | C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>12</sub> | 0.189 | 301 | Quercetin-3-O-hexoside | leaf | + ... ... |
| 27 | 577.156 | N,P | 10.34 | 267, 344, | C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>14</sub> | 1.128 | 431,417, 285 | kaempferol-3,7-O-alpha-dizhamnoside | leaf | + ... ... |
| 28 | 447.092 | N | 10.48 | 281, 321 | C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>11</sub> | 0.139 | 431, 285 | Kaempferol-3-O-hexoside | leaf | + ... ... |

(Continued)
### Table 1. (Continued)

| Peak no. | m/z [M-H] | Mode | t_R (min) | λ_{max} (nm) | Deprotonated molecular Formula | Error (PPM) | MS/MS^- | Identification | Plant organ |
|----------|-----------|------|-----------|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------|---------|----------------|-------------|
| 39       | 795.4085  | N, P | 11.92     | 222          | C_{18}H_{35}O_{18}            | 1.319       | 0.723   | Soyasaponin III | +           |
| 40       | 941.5081  | N, P | 11.85     | 222          | C_{18}H_{35}O_{18}            | 2.444       | 923, 879, 795, 751, 633, 457 | Soyasaponin I | + + +        |
| 41       | 925.5143  | N, P | 11.94     | 222          | C_{18}H_{35}O_{17}            | 1.283       | 907, 863, 779, 599, 509,439 | Unknown terpenoid saponin | + + +        |
| 42       | 795.4508  | N, P | 11.97     | 222          | C_{18}H_{35}O_{14}            | 1.723       | 733, 633, 525, 457 | Soyasaponin III | + + +        |
| 43       | 939.4924  | N, P | 12.1      | 222          | C_{18}H_{35}O_{18}            | 2.45        | 921, 877, 793, 613, 523, 455 | Pisumsaponin II | + + +        |
| 44       | 895.5026  | N, P | 12.17     | 222          | C_{18}H_{35}O_{16}            | 2.538       | 877, 833, 749, 731, 599, 439 | Unknown terpenoid saponin | + + +        |
| 45       | 1067.539  | N, P | 12.17     | 222          | C_{18}H_{35}O_{21}            | 0.182       | 1049, 921, 759, 741, 651, 457 | Soyasaponin βg | + + +        |
| 46       | 923.4980  | N, P | 12.24     | 222          | C_{18}H_{35}O_{17}            | 1.978       | 905, 861, 777, 597, 507, 437 | Unknown terpenoid saponin | + + +        |
| 47       | 633.3981  | N, P | 12.29     | 222          | C_{16}H_{35}O_{14}            | 2.494       | 615, 457 | Hexuronic acid Soyasapogenol B | + + +        |
| 48       | 921.4824  | N, P | 12.30     | 222          | C_{18}H_{35}O_{17}            | 1.747       | 741, 457 | Soyasaponin γg | + + +        |

**Fatty acids**

| Peak no. | m/z [M-H] | Mode | t_R (min) | λ_{max} (nm) | Deprotonated molecular Formula | Error (PPM) | MS/MS^- | Identification | Plant organ |
|----------|-----------|------|-----------|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------|---------|----------------|-------------|
| 49       | 327.2167  | N    | 11.52     | 220, 274     | C_{18}H_{35}O_{3}             | 0.058       | 291, 229, 211,183 | Trihydroxy-octadecadienoic acid (C_{18:2}) | + + +        |
| 50       | 329.2320  | N    | 11.67     | 220, 276     | C_{18}H_{35}O_{3}             | 0.787       | 311,229,211 | Trihydroxy-octadecanoic acid (C_{18:1}) | + + +        |
| 51       | 287.2215  | N    | 11.74     | 218          | C_{18}H_{35}O_{4}             | 0.089       | 311,229,211 | Dihydroxy-hexadecanoic acid (C_{16:0}) | + + +        |
| 52       | 609.2660  | N    | 11.77     | 222          | C_{18}H_{35}O_{5}             | 429,293     | Unidentified | + + +        |
| 53       | 309.2665  | N    | 11.94     | 222          | C_{18}H_{35}O_{4}             | 1.630       | 248, 174, 161 | Dihydroxy-octadecatrienoic acid | + + +        |
| 54       | 307.1909  | N    | 11.97     | 222          | C_{18}H_{35}O_{4}             | 1.739       | 291,251,171 | Hydroxy-o xoctadeca-trienoic acid | + + +        |
| 55       | 663.2643  | N    | 12.06     | 222          | C_{18}H_{35}O_{17}            | 1.203       | 607,565 | Unidentified | + + +        |
| 56       | 311.222   | N    | 12.28     | 223          | C_{18}H_{35}O_{4}             | 1.459       | 289,235,185 | Octadec-2-enoic acid (C_{18:1}) | + + +        |
| 57       | 695.2748  | N    | 12.41     | 222          | C_{18}H_{35}O_{18}            | 1.224       | 607,565 | Unidentified | + + +        |
| 58       | 313.237   | N    | 12.49     | 223          | C_{18}H_{35}O_{4}             | 1.449       | 293,275,223 | Octadecanediolic acid (C_{18:2}) | + + +        |
| 59       | 721.3631  | N    | 12.50     | 222          | C_{18}H_{35}O_{16}            | 1.320       | 675 | Unidentified | + + +        |
| 60       | 293.2116  | N    | 12.92     | 220          | C_{18}H_{35}O_{3}             | 0.001       | 295,277,201 | Hydroxy-octadecatrienoic acid (C_{18:3}) | + + +        |

(Continued)
Triterpenoid saponins. Triterpenoid saponins were detected for the first time in genus *Aeschynomene*. Saponins were represented by twelve compounds from peak 37 to 48, most of the detected saponins were soyasaponins. Peak 37 corresponds to deoxyhexose-hexose-hexuronic acid soyasapogenol B (Soyasaponin V), identified by an ion at \( m/z \) 957.50372 with a formula \((C_{48}H_{77}O_{19})\). The MS\(^2\) of the compound was at \( m/z \) 939 (M-H\( _2\)O-H\(^-\)), \( m/z \) 895 (M-H\( _2\)O-CO\(_2\)-H\(^-\)), \( m/z \) 811 (M-deoxyhexose-H\(^-\)), \( m/z \) 767 (M-deoxyhexose-CO\(_2\)-H\(^-\)), \( m/z \) 749 (M-deoxyhexose-H\(_2\)O-CO\(_2\)-H\(^-\)), \( m/z \) 733 (M-deoxyhexose-H\(_2\)O-CO\(_2\)-H\(^-\)), \( m/z \) 633(M-deoxyhexose—Hexose—H\(^-\)), and \( m/z \) 613 (M-deoxyhexose—Hexose—H\(_2\)O-H\(^-\)) [35]. This saponin; soyasaponin V is the major detected triterpenoid saponin in the flowers, while soyasapogenol Bb (peak 40) is the major identified saponin in the bark, its MS\(^2\) exhibited an ion peak at \( m/z \) 923 (M-H\( _2\)O-H\(^-\)), \( m/z \) 879 (M-H\(_2\)O-CO\(_2\)-H\(^-\)), \( m/z \) 795 (M-deoxyhexose-H\(^-\))-\( m/z \) 751(M-deoxyhexose-CO\(_2\)-H\(^-\)), \( m/z \) 733 (M-deoxyhexose-H\(_2\)O-CO\(_2\)-H\(^-\)), \( m/z \) 633(M-deoxyhexose—hexose—H\(^-\)), \( m/z \) 615.

![TIC chromatograms of UPLC-Orbitrap-HRMS of A.elaphroxylon flower extract in both negative (A) and positive (B) ion modes. Numbers refer to identified compounds listed in Table 1.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210576.g001)
(M-deoxyhexose-Glucose-H)-, m/z 597 (M- deoxyhexose—hexose—H₂O- H₂O-H)-, m/z 525 (M- deoxyhexose—Hexose—H₂O-108-H)- and m/z 457 (Soyasapogenol B-H)-. Peak 39 with [M-H]- at m/z 911.4979 corresponding to the formula (C₄₈H₇₅O₁₇)—was identified as (Soyasaponin II); deoxyhexose -pentose-hexuronic acid soyasapogenol B [36]. The MS² of the compound was at m/z 893 (M-H₂O-H), m/z 849 (M-H₂O-CO₂-H), m/z 765 (M-deoxyhexose-H), m/z 703 (M- deoxyhexose—H₂O-CO₂-H), m/z 615 (M- deoxyhexose—pentose—H₂O-H),

Fig 2. TIC chromatograms of UPLC-Orbitrap-HRMS of *A. elaphroxylon* bark extract in both negative (A) and positive (B) ion modes. Numbers refer to identified compounds listed in Table 1.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210576.g002

Fig 3. TIC chromatograms of UPLC-Orbitrap-HRMS of *A. elaphroxylon* leaf extract in both negative (A) and positive (B) ion modes. Numbers refer to identified compounds listed in Table 1.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210576.g003
m/z 597 (M- deoxyhexose—pentose—H₂O- H₂O-H), m/z 571 (M- deoxyhexose—pentose-H₂O-CO₂-H), m/z 525 (M- deoxyhexose—pentose -108-H) and m/z 457 (Soyasapogenol B-H). Peak 42 showed a molecular ion at m/z 795.4508 with a formula (C₄₀H₆₀O₁₄) — and a MS² at m/z 733 (M-H₂O-CO₂-H), m/z 633 (M-hexose-H), m/z 615 (M-hexose-H₂O-H), 525 (M-Hexose -108-H), m/z 457 (Soyasapogenol Bb-H), was identified as hexose-hexuronic acid soyasapogenol B (Soyasapogenol Bb') [37].

Peak 43 with [M-H] at m/z 939.49249 corresponding to the formula (C₄₈H₇₅O₁₈) — was identified as pismsasaponin II. The MS² of the compound was at m/z 921 (M-H₂O-H), m/z 877 (M-H₂O-CO₂-H), m/z 793 (M- deoxyhexose-H), m/z 731 (M- deoxyhexose-H₂O-CO₂-H), m/z 613 (M- deoxyhexose-hexose-H₂O-H), m/z 595 (M- deoxyhexose-hexose-H₂O-H), m/z 523 (M- deoxyhexose-hexose-H₂O-108-H) and m/z 455 (Sandosapogenol-H) [38].

O/C-Flavonoid glycosides. Flavonoids were detected and represented by twelve peaks. Based on MS/MS and UV/V-is spectra, all the identified flavonoids were derivatives of quercetin, kaempferol and naringinin O/C-glycosides. Flavonoid glycosides were characterized by the presence of mass signals [M – H] at m/z 285 (C₁₃H₁₀O₆, kaempferol), 301 (C₁₅H₁₀O₇, quercetin) and 271 (C₁₅H₁₂O₅, naringinin). Kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside (Peak 24) was identified from its mass fragmentation pattern. Fragment ion at m/z 447 indicating the loss of 146 amu (rhamnosyl moiety), m/z 431 indicating loss of (a hexose moiety) 162 amu [39,40]. Peak 27 corresponds to kaempferitrin (kaempferol 3, 7-O-α-dirhamnoside), identified by an ion at m/z 577.1549 with a molecular formula (C₂₇H₃₂O₁₄) and fragment ions at m/z 431, indicating loss of 146 amu (rhamnosyl moiety) and a fragment of m/z 285, assigned to k aempferol aglycone with the loss of 146 amu (rhamnosyl moiety) [41]. Peak 20 was displayed with [M – H] at m/z 609.1445 (C₂₇H₃₂O₁₀) and fragment ions in the MS² spectrum appearing at m/z 447 [M-162-H] and m/z 285 [M-162-H]⁻, this is in agreement with kaempferol di-O- hexoside.

Regarding C-glycosides, the fragmentation patterns were observed in peaks 19, 21, 34 and 35. The characteristic fragmentation pathways of C-glycosyl flavonoids shows dehydration (–18 amu) and cross-ring cleavages between [(O-C1 and C2-C3)] or [(O-C1 and C3-C4)] of the sugar units, viz., [M-120/90]⁺⁻ for C-hexosides, [M-90/60]⁺⁻ for C-pentosides, and [M-104/74]⁺⁻ for C-deoxyhexosidescross-ring [42,43].

In A. elaphroxylon, mono-C and di-C-glycosides were identified as flavanone and flavanonol derivatives of naringenin and dihydrokaempferol respectively. The ions [Agl + 41/Agl + 71]⁻ in mono-C and [Agl + 83/Agl + 113]⁻ in di-C glycosides typify the aglycon (Agl) [43]. The ESI-MS spectrum of Peak 19 exhibited a molecular ion (M-H) at m/z 595.1641 (C₂₉H₂₁O₁₃) — was assigned to dihydrokaempferol -C-hexoside -O-rhamnoside from its product ions: m/z 577 [M-18-H]⁻ (–H₂O), m/z 505 [M-90-H]⁻, m/z 475 [M-120-H]⁻, m/z 329 [Agl + 41]⁻ and m/z 359 [Agl + 71]⁻. This is in accordance with flavanone mono-C-hexoside. Peak 21 exhibited a molecular ion [M – H] at m/z 579.1703 (C₂₇H₁₃O₁₄) and its MS² spectrum showed ions at m/z 561 [M-18-H]⁻ (–H₂O), m/z 489 [M-90-H]⁻ and a base peak at m/z 459 [M-120-H]⁻, m/z 313 [Agl + 41]⁻ and m/z 343 [Agl + 71]⁻. This is a typical fragmentation pattern of flavanone mono-C-hexoside, Peak 21 was identified as naringenin -C-hexoside -O-rhamnoside. Peak 34 was characterized by a molecular ion peak [M – H] at m/z 725.2066 (C₃₆H₃₀O₁₆) and its product ions at m/z 707 [M-18-H]⁻ (–H₂O), m/z 635 [M-90-H]⁻, together with a base peak at m/z 605 [M-120-H]⁻, another at m/z 579 [M-146-H]⁻ indicating the loss of rhamnosyl moiety, m/z 563 [M-162-H]⁻ corresponding to the loss of cinamomoyl moiety and m/z 272 [M+H]⁺ in positive ion mode assigned to naringinin aglycone, this compound was regarded as naringinin -C-hexoside -O-rhamnoside cinamomoyl derivative. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report for the presence of Flavonoid-C-glycosides in Aeschynomene species.

Proanthocyanidins. (Epi) catechin peak 17, (Epi) catechin dimmer peak 16 and (Epi) catechin trimer peak 18 were detected in the first half of MS chromatograms. (Epi) catechin
peak 17 exhibited a deprotonated molecular ion at m/z 289.0711 (C_{13}H_{15}O_{6})—and revealed the characteristic MS² fragments at m/z 245 resulting from the loss of CO₂ and m/z 205, 203 corresponding to the cleavage of the A-ring of flavan-3-ol. Peak 16 showed a molecular ion at m/z 577.1345 (C_{30}H_{26}O_{12})⁻ corresponded to procyanidin dimmers B (EC-EC). The retro-Diels-Alder rearrangement (RDA) fragmentation of the dimers produced mostly product ions at m/z 425 [M−H−152]⁻. The fragment ion at m/z 407 result from water elimination [M−H−152−18]⁻ [44], another detected fragment ions at m/z 451 result from the elimination of the phloroglucinol molecule (A-ring) [M−H−126]⁻ [45], and fragment ion result from the loss of an (epi)catechin molecule at m/z 289. Peak 18 [M−H]⁺ at m/z 865.1959 with molecular formula (C_{54}H_{37}O_{18})⁺ was identified as procyanidin trimmers (EC-EC-EC), with MS² fragment ions at m/z 713, with a loss of 152 amu, resulting from RDA fission of the heterocyclic ring system, the base peak ion at m/z 695 with the a loss of 170 amu, corresponds to the RDA fission with an additional loss of water. This was further confirmed by the product ion at m/z 577 [M−H−288]⁺ [46]. Ions at m/z 451 and m/z 407 supported the characteristic fragmentation pattern of the procyanidin dimers as described above.

Hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives. This study revealed the presence of several hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives, such as caffeic, ferulic-, p-coumaric acid, quinic acid and sinapinic acid. Peak 6 revealed [M−H]⁺ at m/z 353.08664 with molecular formula (C_{16}H_{13}O_{9}) comparing to caffeoylquinic acids. This peak was identified as positional isomers of 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid. The predominant fragment at 191 amu for quinic acid in the MS² spectrum and characteristic UV max values at 298 and 327 nm are diagnostic for hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives [47].

Hydroxybenzoic acid derivatives. Minor peaks correspond to hydroxybenzoic acid derivatives such as gallic acid derivative, was represented by peak 3. Protocatechuic acid peak 2 produced the ions at m/z 125, m/z 123, m/z 109 and m/z 93, respectively due to loss of CO₂ from their respective precursor ions [48].

Fatty acids. In the last section of the chromatographic run, negative ionization MS revealed the presence of several fatty acids in A. elaphroxylon different organs; flowers, bark and leaves extracts, Peaks 56 and 58 corresponding to saturated fatty acids, were identified as Octadec-2-enedioic acid and Octadecanedioic acid. Peaks 49 and 50 showed a mass weight of and 327.2167 and 329.2320 amu, with a molecular formulae (C_{18}H_{33}O_{5})⁻ and (C_{18}H_{31}O_{5})⁻, respectively. These were identified as trihydroxyoctadecadienoic acid and trihydroxyoctadecaenoic acid. Other oxygenated fatty acids were observed in peaks 51 and 65, showing a mass weight of 287.2215 and 271.2273 amu with predicted molecular formulæ of (C_{16}H_{31}O_{5})⁻ and (C_{18}H_{33}O_{5})⁻, respectively. These were assigned as dihydroxyhexadecanoic and hydroxyhexadecanoic acid. MS signal was also assigned for saturated fatty as eicosanedioic acid 67, as evident from high resolution mass 341.2685 with predicted molecular formulæ of (C_{20}H_{37}O_{4})⁻.

**In vitro antioxidant assays**

Fe⁴⁺ reducing antioxidant power assay. One of the most significant antioxidant mechanisms that reflect the antioxidant power is the reducing power [49]. FRAP test is considered a simple, reliable and reproducible method to measure the antioxidant capacity [50]. Whereby, compounds with reducing power are electron donors, and they can decrease the oxidized intermediates of lipid peroxidation processes, so they can act as primary and secondary antioxidants.

The IC₅₀ values of FARP of flowers and bark extracts were (633.12 and 513.45 μg/ml). respectively, which showed significant ferric chelating ability compared with IC₅₀ of gallic acid (547.14 μg/ml).
Oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) assay. Oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) assay has been widely accepted as a tool to test the antioxidant activity where reactive oxygen species, ROS which are produced by thermal degradation of 2,2'-azobis(2-amidinopropane) dihydrochloride (AAPH) and quench the signal of the fluorescent probe fluorescein. The subsequent addition of antioxidants reduces the quenching by preventing the oxidation of the fluorochrome. The antioxidant activity ED\textsubscript{50} of flowers and bark extracts were with a value of (60.11±4.14 and 26.9±4.22 μg/mL), while, that of Trolox was 27.0 ± 12.37 μg/mL.

Hepatotoxicity markers
Challenging rats with CCl\textsubscript{4} significantly increased hepatocellular injury biomarkers (AST, ALT, and ALB) in serum as compared to normal control group are shown in Table 2. However, Pretreatment with silymarine, flowers and bark ethanolic extracts produced a significant decrease in liver enzyme levels as compared to CCl\textsubscript{4} group. As represented from our results, the A. elaphroxylon shows a significant reduction in the activities of ALT and AST in addition to ALB concentration; flowers extracts (46, 31 and 26%, respectively) while bark extracts (48, 35 and 24%, respectively) compared to the CCl\textsubscript{4}-intoxicated group. This effect was comparable to that of silymarin at a dose of 100 mg/kg (53, 39 and 43%, respectively).

Oxidative stress markers
The administration of silymarin (100 mg/kg) and both A. elaphroxylon flowers and bark ethanolic extracts (200 mg/kg) significantly increase in GSH content (130, 89 and 107%, respectively), and significantly reduced the MDA level (30, 32 and 41%, respectively) relative to the CCl\textsubscript{4}-intoxicated group as shown in Table 2.

Anti-inflammatory activity study
The level of TNF-α was significantly decreased in the groups treated with both A. elaphroxylon flowers and bark ethanolic extracts and silymarin (32, 27 and 44%, respectively), compared to the CCl\textsubscript{4}-intoxicated rats shown in Table 2.

Histopathological findings
Fibrosis grades and intensity of collagen deposition was tabulated in Table 3. The control untreated group showing normal histological structure of hepatic parenchyma. Microscopic examination of CCl\textsubscript{4} treated group showed various histopathological alterations indicating chronic liver injury and liver fibrosis. Hepatic parenchyma showed disruption of hepatic lobular structure with bridging fibrosis Fig 4A. The hepatocytes showed diffuse macrovesicular steatosis associated with inflammatory reaction Fig 4B, apoptosis with appearance of mitotic figures Fig 4C and 4D were detected. Oval cell proliferation along with bile ductular hyperplasia and kupffer cells activation were evident Fig 4E. Polyploidy of hepatocytes was noticed that was represented by hepatocytomegally, karyomegally, anisokaryosis and increased number of binucleated hepatocytes Fig 4F.

The microscopic examination of group 2 (Si + CCl\textsubscript{4}) revealed reduction in histopathological hepatic alterations that was induced by CCl\textsubscript{4} treatment. The hepatic lobular architecture was maintained Fig 5A, macrovesicular steatosis of hepatocytes was scarce involving individual hepatocytes with incidental mitosis of hepatocytes Fig 5B associated with moderate kupffer cells activation. Oval cell proliferation was observed in portal area. Group 3 treated with (F-Et + CCl\textsubscript{4}) was more similar to silymarin treated group but the extent of fibrosis was pronounced with more disruption of hepatic architecture Fig 5C. Oval cell proliferation, inflammatory cell
Different letters in the same column are significantly different (p < 0.05).

Each value expressed as mean ± SEM, (n = 5).

(a) Significantly different from CCl4 group at p < 0.05.
(b) Significantly different from normal group at p < 0.05.

Statistical analysis was performed using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey-Kramer as a post hoc test.

Table 2. Effect of A. elaphroxylon flowers and bark extracts and silymarin on liver functions, TNF-α, oxidative stress Markers against CCl4-induced hepatic damage.

|                          | Normal          | CCl4            | Si + CCl4 (100mg/kg/d) | F-Et + CCl4 (200mg/kg/d) | B-Et + CCl4 (200mg/kg/d) |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| ALT (U/ml)               | 30.52±2.08a     | 79.26±9.59b     | 37.35±8.58a            | 43.23±3.65a              | 41.31±6.01a              |
| AST (U/ml)               | 41.23±1.31a     | 78.81±0.72b     | 47.81±0.78a            | 54.45±0.57a              | 51.46±0.55a              |
| Albumin (g/dl)           | 2.36±0.057a     | 2.35±0.067b     | 3.36±0.057a            | 3.15±0.11a               | 3.21 ± 0.46a             |
| GSH (mmol/g tissue)      | 6.31 ± 0.522a   | 12.44 ± 1.311b  | 8.72 ± 0.847a          | 8.41 ± 0.454a            | 7.40±0.771a              |
| MDA (nmol/g tissue)      | 0.30±0.029a     | 0.63±0.031a     | 0.35±0.034a            | 0.43±0.037a              | 0.46±0.035a              |
| TNF-α (ng/g tissue)      | 0.05 ± 0.030a   | 0.04 ± 0.025a   | 0.05 ± 0.030a          | 0.04 ± 0.025a            | 0.05 ± 0.030a            |

Si = silymarin, F-Et = Ethanol (70%) extract of flowers, B-Et = Ethanol (70%) extract of bark.

Infiltration and individual mitosis were detected Fig 5D. The microscopic examination of liver from group 4 that was treated with (B-Et + CCl4) revealed minimal hepatic fibrosis Fig 5E with vacuolization of hepatocellular cytoplasm and minimal oval cell proliferation and mild inflammatory cell infiltration Fig 5F.

The grade of fibrosis and percentage of collagen deposition in different treated groups are tabulated in Table 3. The histochemical result of CCl4 intoxicated group revealed bridging fibrosis with pseudolobules formation, the fibrosis extended from the portal areas into hepatic lobules with significant increase in fibrosis grade and the percent of collagen deposition Fig 6A. However, in group 2 (Si + CCl4) the fibrosis grade was reduced significantly compared to CCl4 treated group, revealed by mild fibrosis and fine septa extended between hepatic lobules Fig 6B. Similar finding was detected in group 3 (F-Et +CCl4) as fibrosis extended as more diffusible fibrous septa from portal area into hepatic lobules compared with the previous group Fig 6C and the least values of fibrosis grade and collagen deposition were recorded in group 4 (B-Et+ CCl4) and fibrosis was minimal observed as extremely fine fibrous septa that extended into hepatic lobules Fig 6D.

**Histochemical and immunohistochemical examinations**

Immune positive expression of α-SMA was restricted into the smooth muscle wall of portal and central vasculatures of control untreated group. While strong positive α-SMA expression was detected in CCl4 treated group, the expression was detected in hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) in the bridging fibrous bands as well as in individual hepatocytes Fig 7A. On other hand, the

Table 3. Histopathological lesions scoring in different treated groups.

| Group     | Grade of fibrosis | Connective tissue intensity | α-SMA expression | Caspase-3 expression |
|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| CCl4      | 3.18 ± 0.88 (c)   | 30.04 ± 24.31 (b)          | 11.48 ± 1.93 (b) | 18.88 ± 3.52 (b)   |
| Si + CCl4 | 1.46 ± 0.63 (ab)  | 9.29 ± 1.42 (a)            | 2.83 ± 1.17 (a)  | 9.95 ± 1.67 (a)    |
| F-Et + CCl4 | 1.51 ± 0.70 (b) | 10.21 ± 10.08 (a)         | 2.96 ± 1.21 (a)  | 11.81 ± 1.29 (a)   |
| B-Et + CCl4 | 1.16 ± 0.65 (a) | 6.37 ± 2.10 (a)           | 2.72 ± 0.57 (a)  | 8.05 ± 1.39 (a)    |

Each value expressed as mean ± SEM, (n = 5).

Different letters in the same column are significantly different (p ≤ 0.05).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210576.t003
expression was significantly reduced in silymarin treated group Fig 7B and the two other treated groups Fig 7C and 7D as shown in Table 3, the expression was restricted to HSCs and not detected in hepatocytes.

The expression of caspase-3 was increased in hepatocytes of CCl$_4$-intoxicated group and faint expression was observed in silymarin treated group and the two other treated groups. The caspase-3 expression in both hepatocellular cytoplasm and nuclei was strong in CCl$_4$-intoxicated group Fig 8A. There was no difference in expression of caspase-3 in hepatocytes.
between the group 2, 3 and 4 and there was expression in HSCs but not significantly expressed in histological sections Fig 8B, 8C and 8D.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this current study is the first qualitative profile of *A. elaphroxylon* extracts using modern UPLC-Orbitrap-HRMS analysis. Chemical profiling of flowers, bark and leaves...
methanolic extracts gives insights in the chemical versatility of *A. elaphroxylon* extracts as a preliminary step to inaugurate quality assessment of these extracts. Concerning flavonoids, kaempferol glycosides were the major constituents of the three tested extracts. Naringenin glycosides were also recognized in the form of C-glycoside for first time in *Aeschynomene* spp. Triterpenoid saponins were detected for first time in *A. elaphroxylon*. These were recognized in both negative and positive ion modes only in flowers and bark extracts. On the contrary, leaves extract was devoid of triterpenoid saponins.

Most of the detected saponins were from soyasaponins; oleanane triterpenoid glycosides having complex and various structures. Soyasaponins are classified according to their individual aglycones (soyasapogenols), with two main aglycones, named as group A and group B respectively [51]. Group B were the major detected soyasaponins. Group B soyasaponins have one glycosylation site on their aglycones (carbon 3) and categorized into two groups, depend on the conjugation at carbon 22 with a 2, 3-dihydro-2, 5-dihydroxy-6-methyl-4-pyrene.

![Liver histological sections stained with Masson's Trichrom.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210576.g006)
(DDMP) moiety or without DDMP conjugation. DDMP conjugated soyasaponins are known as αg, βa, βg, γa and γg while, non-DDMP conjugated soyasaponins are named as soyasaponins I, II, III, IV and V [52]. Soyasaponin V is the major saponin detected in flowers extract, while soyasaponin I is the most abundant saponin in bark extract. One hederagenin saponin was detected only in the bark extract, while pisumsaponin II was present in both flowers and bark extracts.

From the above discussion, it is concluded that both flowers and bark extracts have similar chemical profile mainly for soyasaponins that were identified only in the flowers & bark.

Fig 7. Immunohistochemical staining of liver section stained with alpha smooth muscle actin antibody (α-SMA) (X200). (a) CCl₄ intoxicated group showing dense brown expression of α-SMA in between hepatic lobules and into hepatic lobules between hepatocytes. (b) sylimarin±CCl₄ group showing fine expression of α-SMA in portal area and in between hepatic lobules. (c) F-Et+CCl₄ showing more expression of α-SMA into hepatic lobules (X100). (d) B-Et+CCl₄ group showing faint expression of α-SMA between hepatic lobules.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210576.g007
extracts and were not detected in the leaves extract. Reviewing the available literature these compounds were reported for their hepatoprotective activity by [10,11]. In addition to the antioxidant & hepatoprotective effect of flavonoids; kampferol & quercetin [53]. In vitro antioxidant assays for the three extracts have proved that flowers and bark extracts have significant antioxidant activity as compared to leaves extract. Hence, a comprehensive in vivo study was designed to verify that this conclusion.

Fig 8. Immunohistochemical staining of liver section stained with caspase-3 antibody (X400). (a) CCl₄ intoxicated group showing diffuse and dense cytoplasmic and nuclear caspase-3 expression in hepatocytes. (b) Si+ CCl₄ group showing focal and faint cytoplasmic and nuclear caspase-3 expression in hepatocytes. (c) F-Et+ CCl₄ group showing focal and less dense cytoplasmic and nuclear caspase-3 expression in hepatocytes. (d) B-Et + CCl₄ group showing individual hepatocytes cytoplasmic and nuclear caspase-3 expression.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210576.g008
The *in vivo* study publicized the effect of *A. elaphroxylon* flowers and bark extracts after 8 weeks of CCl₄-intoxication in rats. In the present study adult male wistar rats were used as it was found that male wistar rats are more sensitive to CCl₄ induced hepatotoxicity than female ones [54]. Also, the fibrotic response of the female liver to CCl₄ treatment was significantly weaker than that of male liver [55]. Administration of CCl₄ to rats induces hepatocellular toxicity that was expressed by significantly increased serum ALT and AST activities and albumin content. Flowers and bark ethanolic extracts countered these alterations by significantly reducing serum ALT and AST and albumin, as compared to silymarin.

One of the most key mechanisms of CCl₄-induced hepatotoxicity and progression to fibrosis is the oxidative stress [56]. CCl₄-induced liver injury often leads to the significant depletion of GSH and accumulation of lipid peroxides in hepatic tissues which are the most important indexes about antioxidant activities in CCl₄-induced liver injury. Regarding the effect of flowers and bark extracts, it was found that both extracts significantly reduce lipid peroxidation and increase endogenous antioxidant activity, with a marked increase in hepatic GSH and reduction in the MDA level. The mechanism of liver regeneration in the present study was related to the antioxidant nature of the extract which enable to scavenger the free radicals induced by CCl₄, anti-inflammatory effect of the extract that plays a crucial role in initiation of fibrosis and activation of extracellular matrix deposition via hepatic stem progenitor cells proliferation.

With respect to inflammatory processes, it also intensely implicated in the pathogenesis of CCl₄-induced hepatic fibrosis via the activation of Kupffer cells and release of pro-inflammatory cytokines and adhesion molecule [57]. The results revealed that TNF-α level was significantly reduced by both flowers and bark extracts.

This result was supported by histopathological and immuno-histochemical examinations. In the present work CCl₄ was chosen instead of paracetamol and acetaminophen as the histopathological picture of paracetamol and acetaminophen-induced hepatotoxicity differ from CCl₄ in the following; Necrosis is more in paracetamol and acetaminophen than apoptosis while apoptosis was marked in CCl₄ induced hepatotoxicity, paracetamol and acetaminophen induced weak fibrosis in mice while fibrosis was marked in CCl₄ in both rats and mice [58]. Various histological degenerative, inflammatory and fibrotic reactions were induced in liver by CCl₄ treatment in rats. Diffuse steatosis of hepatocytes was observed in CCl₄ treated group along with apoptosis, anisokaryosis and mitosis of hepatocytes. Researches discussed the mechanism of CCl₄ induced fat accumulation in liver, CCl₄ induced hepatic steatosis via reduction of triglycerides excretion and hydrolysis from liver, increased their synthesis, disturbed the lipoprotein transport mechanism from liver, in addition CCl₄ was proved to disturb macromolecules exocytosis as lipoprotein and serum protein from hepatocytes membrane [56]. CCl₄ induced lipid peroxidation of endoplasmic reticulum membrane with subsequent membrane degradation. The membrane degradation resulted in the release of its PUFA into the cell and formation of malondialdehyde that negatively affect cell membrane. In the present work, apoptosis was induced by CCl₄ revealed by increase in cellular peroxidation and enhancement of oxidative stress in hepatocytes with subsequent increase in expression of caspase -3 in cytoplasm and nuclei of hepatocytes, Sinha et al. [59]. Proved the role of increased peroxidation of hepatocytes in the initiation of apoptosis of kupffer cell activation observed in CCl₄ treated group and it was reported that it is the enhancement of the production of inflammatory cytokines that initiates the inflammatory reaction in the liver [60]. Mitotic figures were detected in the liver treated with CCl₄ as there was an evidence that sustained necrosis stimulate proliferation and division of hepatocytes with later developed to cancer. Liver fibrosis resulted to necrosis and inflammation with subsequent oval and stellate cells proliferation and mononuclear cell infiltration. The fibrosis occurred when the necrosis is so severe to overcome
the capacity of liver regeneration; the necrotic tissues were replaced by fibrous tissue. These histological hepatic changes were the principal alterations induced by CCl₄ in experimental rodent [61]. Oxidative stress is assumed to initiate and progress the hepatic fibrosis [62]. Our finding evidence that CCl₄ induced oxidative damage of the hepatocytes with increased MDA activity, steatosis, and inflammatory reaction. These changes were responsible for liver fibrosis as elevation of fibrogenic cytokines by inflammatory reaction in addition to increased proliferation of HSC with subsequent increased expression of α-SMA. The positive correlation was found between increased α-SMA by proliferated HSCs and increased collagen deposition and increased grade of fibrosis. Activated HSCs produced transforming growth factor (TGF-β1) that not only induced collagen production but also enhancement of the tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinase that had role in degradation of extracellular matrix [63]. In the present work, there was a positive correlation between level of TNF-α, the inflammatory reaction of hepatic tissue and degree of fibrosis induced by CCl₄ toxicity, these positive correlation was discussed by yang et al.[64] who reported that TNF-α induced HSC survival, hepatocytes necrosis, and inflammatory reaction all resulted in hepatic fibrosis. Reduction in hepatocellular steatosis, inflammatory reaction and decrease expression of α-SMA by proliferated HSCs by the three therapeutic agents either Si+CCl₄ or F-Et and B-Et might be the possible mechanism of hepatoprotection against CCl₄ induced liver fibrosis. Researches confirmed the role of controlling the proliferation of HSCs in treatment of liver fibrosis [65].

Conclusion

This study ascribes a comprehensive map for the ethanolic extracts of flowers, leaves and bark of *A. elaphroxyylon* (Guill. & Perr.) Applying UPLC-Orbitrap HRMS fingerprinting method a total of 49 compounds were identified. Where, twelve triterpenoidal saponins were identified for the first time in the plant, saponins were detected only in flowers & bark extracts, in addition to phenolic compounds. These identified compounds rationalize the prophylactic effect of the extracts against CCl₄ induced toxicity. It should be mentioned that this result should be further supported by preclinical/ clinical studies to permit their use as new hepatoprotective drugs.
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