Existence of weak solutions to the three-dimensional density-dependent generalized incompressible magnetohydrodynamic flows
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Abstract In this paper we consider the equations of the unsteady viscous, incompressible, and heat conducting magnetohydrodynamic flows in a bounded three-dimensional domain with Lipschitz boundary. By an approximation scheme and a weak convergence method, the existence of a weak solution to the three-dimensional density dependent generalized incompressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with large data is obtained.
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1 Introduction

The study of the dynamics of biological fluid in the presence of magnetic field is very useful in understanding the bioengineering and medical technology. The development of magnetic devices for cell separation, targeted transport of magnetic particles as drug carriers, magnetic wound or cancer tumor treatment causing magnetic hyperthermia, reduction of bleeding during surgeries or provocation of occlusion of the feeding vessels of cancer tumors and the development of magnetic tracers, as well are well-known applications in this domain of research [1,2].

Magnetohydrodynamic flow of a non-Newtonian fluid in a channel of slowly varying cross section in the presence of a uniform transverse magnetic field was studied in [3]. In the recent past, El-Shehawey et al. [13] studied an unsteady flow of blood as an electrically conducting, incompressible, elastico-viscous fluid in the presence of magnetic field through a rigid circular pipe by considering the streaming blood as a non-Newtonian fluid in the axial direction only.
In the present paper, we consider the following system of the three-dimensional incompressible magnetohydrodynamic flows [2,26,34]:

\[
\rho_t + \text{div}(\rho u) = 0, \quad \text{div}u = 0, \quad (1)
\]

\[
(\rho u)_t + \text{div}(\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla P = (\nabla \times H) \times H + \text{div}S(\rho, \theta, D(u)), \quad (2)
\]

\[
\Phi_t + \text{div}(u(\Phi' + P)) = \text{div}((u \times H) \times H + \nu H \times (\nabla \times H) + uS(\rho, \theta, D(u)) + q(\rho, \theta, \nabla \theta)), \quad (3)
\]

\[
H_t - \nabla \times (u \times H) = -\nabla \times (\nu \nabla \times H), \quad \text{div}H = 0, \quad (4)
\]

where \( \rho, u \in \mathbb{R}^3 \), \( H \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) and \( \theta \) denote the density, the velocity, the magnetic field and the temperature, respectively; \( S \) is the viscous stress tensor depending on the density, the temperature and the symmetric part of the velocity gradient \( D(u) \), and the thermal flux \( q \) is a function of the density, the temperature and its gradient; \( \Phi \) is the total energy given by

\[
\Phi = \rho(e + \frac{1}{2}|u|^2) + \frac{1}{2}|H|^2, \quad \Phi' = \rho(e + \frac{1}{2}|u|^2),
\]

with the internal energy \( e(\rho, \theta) \), the kinetic energy \( \frac{1}{2}\rho|u|^2 \), and the magnetic energy \( \frac{1}{2}|H|^2 \); \( D(u) = \nabla u + \nabla u^T \) is the symmetric part of the velocity gradient, \( \nabla u^T \) is the transpose of the matrix \( \nabla u \), and \( I \) is the \( 3 \times 3 \) identity matrix; \( \nu > 0 \) is the magnetic diffusivity acting as a magnetic diffusion coefficient of the magnetic field.

Equations (1)–(3) describe the conservation of mass, momentum, and energy, respectively. It is well-known that the electromagnetic fields are governed by Maxwell’s equations. In magnetohydrodynamics, the displacement current can be neglected [28,29]. As a consequence, Eq. (4) is called the induction equation, and the electric field can be written in terms of the magnetic field \( H \) and the velocity \( u \),

\[
E = \nu \nabla \times H - u \times H.
\]

The basic principles of classical thermodynamics imply that the internal energy \( e \) and pressure \( P \) are interrelated through Maxwell’s relationship:

\[
\partial_p e = \frac{1}{p^2}(P - \theta \partial_{\theta} P), \quad \partial_\theta e = \partial_\theta Q = c_p(\theta),
\]

where \( c_p(\theta) \) denotes the specific heat and \( Q = Q(\theta) \) is a function of \( \theta \). Thus, we assume that the internal energy \( e \) can be decomposed as a sum:

\[
e(\rho, \theta) = P_e(\rho) + Q(\theta). \quad (5)
\]
In order to have a clear picture about the admissible structure of these functions, we assume that $S$ and $q$ are of the form (for $\rho > 0$, $\theta > 0$, $D \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ symmetric)

$$
S(\rho, \theta, D) = 2\mu_0(\rho, \theta, |D|^2)D, \quad q(\rho, \theta, \nabla \theta) = \kappa_0(\rho, \theta)\nabla \theta,
$$

and behave as

$$
\begin{cases}
S(\rho, \theta, D) \sim \mu(\rho, \theta)(\epsilon + |D|^2)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}D, & r \in (1, \infty), \\
q(\rho, \theta, \nabla \theta) \sim \kappa(\rho)\theta^\alpha\nabla \theta = \frac{\kappa(\rho)}{\alpha + 1}\nabla \theta^{\alpha + 1}, & \alpha \in \mathbb{R},
\end{cases}
$$

where $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$, and there exist positive constants $\mu, \mu$, $\kappa$ and $\kappa$ such that

$$
0 < \mu \leq \mu(\rho, \theta) \leq \mu < +\infty, \quad 0 < \kappa \leq \kappa(\rho) \leq \kappa < +\infty.
$$

Thus, in particular, for all $\rho > 0$, $\theta > 0$, and $D, B \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ symmetric,

$$
\begin{cases}
S(\rho, \theta, D) \cdot D \geq \mu(\epsilon + |D|^2)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}|D|^2 \geq 0, \\
|S(\rho, \theta, D)| \leq \mu(\epsilon + |D|^2)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}|D|, \\
(S(\rho, \theta, D) - S(\rho, \theta, B)) \cdot (D - B) \geq 0,
\end{cases}
$$

and for all $\nabla \theta \in \mathbb{R}^3(\rho \leq \rho^*)$,

$$
\begin{cases}
q(\rho, \theta, \nabla \theta) \cdot \nabla \theta \geq \kappa^\theta|\nabla \theta|^2 \geq 0, \\
|q(\rho, \theta, \nabla \theta)| \leq \kappa^\theta|\nabla \theta|.
\end{cases}
$$

For simplicity, we impose the boundary conditions

$$
u = 0, \quad H = 0, \quad q \cdot n = 0 \quad \text{on} \ [0, T] \times \partial \Omega.
$$

The initial density is supposed to be bounded and the initial total energy is integrable, i.e.,

$$
\begin{cases}
\rho(0, \cdot) = \rho_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega), \\
\rho(0, \cdot) = \rho(0, \cdot) = \rho_0(\frac{|u_0|^2}{2} + \theta_0) \in L^1(\Omega), \\
H(0, \cdot) = \tilde{H}_0 \in L^2(\Omega),
\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
0 < \rho_* \leq \rho_0(x) \leq \rho^* < +\infty \quad \text{a.a.} \ x \in \Omega, \quad 0 < \theta_* \leq \theta_0(x) \quad \text{for a.a.} \ x \in \Omega,
$$

where $\rho_*$, $\rho^*$ and $\theta_*$ are constants.

The study of long time and large data existence theory for inhomogeneous incompressible fluids was investigated in several contributions. For the case $r = 2$ and the viscosity does not depend on $|D(u)|$, using the concept of renormalized solutions, Lions [30] established a new convergence and continuity properties of the density that may vanish at some parts of the domain where the viscosity depends on the density. Meanwhile, he got rid of the smallness
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of the data. For the case that the viscosity depends on the shear rate \( r \neq 2 \), Fernández-Cara et al. \[15\] proved the existence of weak solutions for that \( r \geq \frac{12}{5} \). Guillén-González \[19\] (also see \[30\]) considered the spatially periodic setting by using higher differentiability method. Recently, Frehse et al. \[14\] established the existence result with non-slip boundary conditions and a viscosity that depends on both the density and the shear rate for \( r > \frac{11}{5} \). In \[17\], Frehse et al. showed the existence result on the full thermodynamic model for inhomogeneous incompressible fluids for \( r \geq \frac{11}{5} \), which improved the result in \[14\]. For more results about thermal flows of incompressible homogeneous fluids, we refer the reader to \[5,6,7,9,32\].

If the solution is smooth, multiplying the momentum equation (2) by \( u \) and the induction equation by \( H \), respectively, and summing them together, we obtain

\[
\frac{d}{dt}(\frac{1}{2}\rho |u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|H|^2) + \text{div}(\frac{1}{2}\rho |u|^2 u) + \nabla P \cdot u = \text{div}(\rho H \times H) \times u + \nabla \times (u \times H) \cdot H - \nabla \times (\nu \nabla \times H) \cdot H. \tag{14}
\]

Notice that

\[
\text{div}(\rho H \times \nabla \times H) = \nu |\nabla \times H|^2 - \nabla \times (\nu \nabla \times H) \cdot H,
\]

and

\[
\text{div}((u \times H) \times H) = (\nabla \times H) \times H \cdot u + \nabla \times (u \times H) \cdot H.
\]

Subtracting (14) from (3), and noticing that \( \text{div}u = 0 \), we get the internal energy equation

\[
\partial_t(\rho e) + \text{div}(\rho u e) = \nu |\nabla \times H|^2 + \text{div}(\rho H \times H) : \nabla u + \text{div}(q(\rho, \theta, \nabla \theta)). \tag{15}
\]

It follows from multiplying the continuity equation (1) by \( (\rho P_e(\rho))^\prime \) that

\[
\partial_t(\rho P_e(\rho)) + \text{div}(\rho u P_e(\rho)) = 0.
\]

Then subtracting above equation from (15), using (6), we obtain the thermal energy equation

\[
\partial_t(\rho Q(\theta)) - \text{div}(\kappa_0(\rho, \theta) \nabla \theta) + \text{div}(\rho Q(\theta) u) - S(\rho, \theta, D(u)) : \nabla u - \nu |\nabla \times H|^2 = 0, \tag{16}
\]

where \( S : \nabla u \) denotes the scalar product of two matrices. More precisely,

\[
S(u) : \nabla u = \frac{\mu}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right)^2.
\]

Recently, there have been much work on magnetohydrodynamics because of its physical importance, complexity, and widely application (see \[8,28,29,33\]).
Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) is a combination of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations of fluid dynamics and Maxwell’s equations of electromagnetism. Duvaut and Lions [12], Sermange and Temam [35] obtained some existence and long time behavior results for incompressible case. For compressible magnetohydrodynamic flows of Newtonian fluids, Ducomet and Feireisl [11] proved the existence of global in time weak solutions to a multi-dimensional nonisentropic MHD system for gaseous stars coupled with the Poisson equation with all the viscosity coefficients and the pressure depending on temperature and density asymptotically, respectively. Hu and Wang [20] studied the global variational weak solution to the three-dimensional full magnetohydrodynamic equations with large data by an approximation scheme and a weak convergence method. In [22], by using the Faedo-Galerkin method and the vanishing viscosity method, they also studied the existence and large-time behavior of global weak solutions for the three-dimensional equations of compressible magnetohydrodynamic isentropic flows [11]-[15]. They [23] showed that the convergence of weak solutions of the compressible MHD system to a weak solution of the viscous incompressible MHD system. Jiang, et al. [24,25] obtained that the convergence towards the strong solution of the ideal incompressible MHD system in the whole space and periodic domain, respectively. For MHD driven by the time periodic external forces, Yan and Li [38] showed that such system has the time periodic weak solution.

The main difficulty of the study of MHD is the presence of the magnetic field and its interaction with the hydrodynamic motion in the MHD flow of large oscillation. This leads to that many fundamental problems for MHD are still open. For example, the global existence of classical solution to the full perfect MHD equations with large data in one dimensional case is unsolved. The corresponding problem about Navier-Stokes equation was solved in [27] a long time ago. In the present paper, we study the existence of weak solutions for the density-dependent generalized inhomogeneous incompressible MHD for any \( r > 2 \).

These equations, and all functions involved in their descriptions as well, are considered in \((0, T) \times \Omega\), where \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) is an open, connected and bounded set with Lipschitz boundary \( \partial \Omega \), and \( T \in (0, \infty) \).

The paper is organized in the following way: in the next section, by introducing the appropriate function spaces we provide the precise definition of the notion of weak solutions to system [11]-[2], [1] and [15]. The main result of this paper is also stated. Then in Section 3, we first introduce the corresponding approximation system whose solvability is established in Appendix (section 5). We also derive some corresponding uniform estimates. We finish the proof of Theorem 1 in section 4 by establishing the strongly convergence of \( \{ \rho^n \} \), \( \{ u^n \} \), \( \{ \theta^n \} \), \( \{ H^n \} \) and \( \{ D( u^n ) \} \).
2 Some notations and main result

Before giving the definition of the weak solution to the problem (1)-(2), (4) and (16) of the generalized incompressible MHD equations, we first state the following notation of relevant Banach spaces of functions defined on a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. For any $p \in [1, \infty]$, $L^p(\Omega)$ denotes the Lebesgue spaces with the norm $\| \cdot \|_{L^p(\Omega)}$. $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ denotes the Sobolev spaces with the norm $\| \cdot \|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$, $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ denotes the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ functions in the norm of $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. If $X$ is a Banach space of scalar functions, then $X^3, X^4$ or $X^{3 \times 3}, X^{4 \times 4}$ denote the space of vector or tensor-valued functions so that each their component belongs to $X$. Further, we use the following notation for the spaces of function with zero divergence and their dual ($r' = \frac{1}{r-1}$)

$$W^{1,p}_0,\text{div}(\Omega) := \{ u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)^3; \text{div} u = 0 \},$$

$$W^{-1,q'}(\Omega) = (W^{1,q}(\Omega))^*, \quad W^{-1,q'}_0(\Omega) = (W^{1,q}_0(\Omega))^*.$$ 

$L^2,\text{div}(\Omega)$ denotes the closure of $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ in $L^q(\Omega)^3$. The symbols $L^q(0,T;X)$ and $C(0,T;X)$ denote the standard Bochner spaces. We write $(a, b)$ instead of $\int_\Omega a(x)b(x)dx$ whenever $ab \in L^1(\Omega)$ and use the brackets $\langle a, b \rangle$ to denote the duality pairing for $a \in X^*$ and $b \in X$. We use $C([0,T];L^2,\text{weak}(\Omega))$ to denote the space of functions $\rho \in L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$ satisfying $(\rho(t), z) \in C([0,T])$ for all $z \in L^q$.

We do not distinguish between function spaces for scalar and vector valued functions. Generic constants are denoted by $M$, their values may vary in the same formula or in the same line.

**Definition 1** Assume that $S$ and $q$ are continuous functions of the form (6) satisfying (2)-(6) with $r > 2$ and $\alpha > -\frac{4}{3}$. The initial data $\rho_0, u_0, \theta_0$ and $H_0$ satisfy (1)-(3). A vector $(\rho, u, \theta, H)$ is said to be a weak solution to the problem (1)-(2), (4) and (16) of the generalized incompressible MHD equations if the following conditions hold:

- The density $\rho \in C([0,T];L^q(\Omega)), \quad \rho u \in L^{p_1}(0,T;(W^{1,r}(\Omega))^*), \quad \forall q \in [1, \infty)$, and

$$\int_0^T (\rho z, z) - (\rho u, \nabla z) dt = 0,$$

for any $z \in L^r(0,T;W^{1,r}(\Omega)).$

- The temperature non-negative $\theta$ function, the velocity function $u$ and the magnetic field $H$ satisfy

$$u \in L^r(0,T;W^{1,r}_0(\Omega)),$$

$$\partial_t(\rho u) \in L^r(0,T;W^{-1,r'}_0(\Omega)).$$
\((\rho u, \psi) \in C([0,T]; \Omega) \ \forall \psi \in L^2_{\text{div}}(\Omega), \)
\(\theta \in L^\infty(0,T; L^1(\Omega)), \)
\(\theta^{\alpha-\lambda+1} \in L^2(0,T; W^{1,2}(\Omega)), \)
\(\partial_t(\rho \theta) \in L^1(0,T; (W^{1,q}(\Omega))^*) \ q \text{ large enough}, \)
\(H \in L^2(0,T; W^{1,2}(\Omega)), \ H_t \in L^2(0,T; W^{-1,2}(\Omega)), \)

and the following weak formulations hold:

\[ \int_0^T (\langle (\rho u)_t, \varphi \rangle - (\rho u \otimes u, \nabla \varphi) + (S(\rho, \theta, D(u)), D(\varphi)) + \int_\Omega (H^T \nabla \varphi H + \frac{1}{2} \nabla(|H|^2) \cdot \varphi) dt = 0, \quad (18) \]

for all \(\varphi \in L^r(0,T; W^{1,r}_{0, \text{div}}(\Omega)), \)

\[ \int_0^T (\langle (\rho Q(\theta))_t, h \rangle - (\rho Q(\theta)u, \nabla h) - (q(\rho, \theta, \nabla \theta), \nabla h)) dt = \int_0^T (\nu(|\nabla \times H|^2, h) + (S(\rho, \theta, D(u)), D(u)h)) dt, \quad (19) \]

for all \(h \in L^\infty(0,T; W^{1,q}(\Omega)) \) with \(q \) sufficiently large,

\[ \int_0^T (\langle H_t, b \rangle + \nu(\nabla \times H, \nabla \times b) - (u \times H, \nabla \times b)) dt = 0, \quad (20) \]

for all \(b \in L^2(0,T; W^{1,2}(\Omega)). \)

- The initial conditions are attained in the following sense

\[ \lim_{t \to 0^+} \|\rho(t) - \rho_0\|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \|u(t) - u_0\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|H(t) - H_0\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0, \forall q \in [1, \infty), \]
\[ \lim_{t \to 0^+} ((\rho \theta)(t), h) = (\rho_0 \theta_0, h), \ \forall h \in L^\infty(\Omega). \]

The aim of this paper is to establish the following result.

**Theorem 1** Assume that \(S\) and \(q\) are continuous functions of the form (22) satisfying (23)-(24) with \(r > 2\) and \(\alpha > -\frac{2}{r}\), and there are two positive constants \(c_{\nu}\) and \(\overline{c}_{\nu}\) such that

\[ 0 < c_{\nu} \leq c_{\nu}(\theta) \leq \overline{c}_{\nu} < +\infty. \quad (21) \]

Then there exists a weak solution to the problem (25)-(26) in the sense of Definition 1 with initial data satisfying (27)-(28).
Note that we consider in [18] only divergenceless test function, so the pressure does not appear in the definition of weak solutions. The pressure $P$ (zero mean value) can be obtained by comparing two auxiliary Stokes problems (homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions)

$$-\Delta v^1 + \nabla P^1 = \text{div}(\rho u \otimes u - S(\rho, \theta, D(u))) - (\nabla \times H) \times H,$$

$$\text{div}v^1 = 0,$$

and

$$-\Delta v^2 + \nabla P^2 = (\rho u)(t) - \rho_0 u_0 \in L^2((0, T) \times \Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{-1,2}(\Omega)^3,$$

$$\text{div}v^2 = 0,$$

with taking the test function $\varphi = \chi_{(0,t)} \phi$ ($\chi_{(0,t)}$ denotes the characteristic function of $(0,t)$ and $\phi \in W_0^{1,\text{div}}(\Omega)$) in [18]. Furthermore, the pressure $P$ has the form

$$P = P^1 + \partial_t P^2 \quad \text{with } P^1 \in L^r((0, T) \times \Omega) \text{ and } P^2 \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)).$$

The solvability of above Stokes problems can be obtained by a similar proof in [1][18][37]. Since the presence of $\partial_t P^2$, we can not know if $P$ is an integrable function on $(0, T) \times \Omega$.

3 The uniform estimates

We take \( \{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \) as a basis of \( W_{0, \text{div}}^{1,r}(\Omega) \) such that \((\psi_i, \psi_j) = \delta_{i,j}\) for each \( i, j = 1, \ldots, \infty \) and \( \psi_j \in W_{0, \text{div}}^{1,2r}(\Omega) \) for all \( j \), and let \( P^n \) denote the projection of \( L_{\text{div}}^{2}(\Omega) \) onto the linear hull of \( \{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \). Let \( u^n \in C(0, T; W_{0, \text{div}}^{1,2r}(\Omega)) \) and \( H^n \in C(0, T; W_{0, \text{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega)) \) of the form \( u^n(t, x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j(t) \psi_j(x) \) and \( H^n(t, x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j(t) \psi_j(x) \) (note that for \( r > 2 \) it is always true that \( W_{0, \text{div}}^{1,2r}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W_{0, \text{div}}^{1,2}(\Omega) \)) so that the condition \( \text{div}u^n = 0 \) and \( \text{div}H^n = 0 \) are automatically fulfilled and \((\rho^n, u^n, \theta^n, H^n)\) satisfy

$$\int_0^T (\rho^n, z) - (\rho^n u^n, \nabla z) dt = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_* \leq \rho^n \leq \rho^* \quad \text{in} \quad (0, T) \times \Omega, \quad (22)$$

for any \( z \in L^q(0, T; W^{1,q}(\Omega)) \) with arbitrary \( q \in [1, \infty) \):

$$\langle (\rho^n u^n), \psi_i \rangle - (\rho^n u^n \otimes u^n, \nabla \psi_i) + (S(\rho^n, \theta^n, D(u^n)), D(\psi_i))$$

$$+ \int_\Omega (H^n)^T \nabla \psi_i H^n + \frac{1}{2} \nabla (|H^n|^2) \cdot \psi_i dx = 0, \quad (23)$$
for all \( i = 1, \ldots, n \) and a.a. \( t \in [0, T] \);
\[
\int_0^T \left( (\rho^n Q(\theta^n) t, h) + (\rho^n Q(\theta^n) u^n, \nabla h) - (q(\rho^n, \theta^n, \nabla \theta^n), \nabla h) \right) dt = \int_0^T \left( \nu(|\nabla H^n|^2, h) + (S(\rho^n, \theta^n), D(u^n)), D(u^n) h) \right) dt, \tag{24}
\]
for all \( h \in L^\infty(0, T; W^{1,q}) \) with \( q \) sufficiently large;

The magnetic field function \( H^n \) satisfies
\[
(\nabla \times H^n, b) + (\nabla \times (\nabla \times H^n), b) = (\nabla \times (u \times H^n), b) = 0, \tag{25}
\]
for all \( b \in L^2(0, T; W^{1,2}(\Omega)) \);
\[\theta^n \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}) \text{ with } p = \min \{2, \frac{5(n+2)}{n+5} \}, \theta^n \geq \theta_0 \text{ in } (0, T) \times \Omega;\]

The initial data
\[
\rho^n(0, \cdot) = \rho_0, \quad u^n(0, \cdot) = \Gamma^n u_0, \quad \theta^n(0, \cdot) = \theta_0 \text{ and } H^n(0, \cdot) = H_0,
\]
where \( \Gamma^n u_0 \) and \( \theta^n_0 \) a standard regularization of \( \theta_0 \), fulfill
\[
\Gamma^n u_0 \to u_0 \text{ strongly in } L^2(\Omega), \tag{26}
\]
\[
\theta^n_0 \to \theta_0 \text{ strongly in } L^1(\Omega). \tag{27}
\]

In fact, using Lemma 3 in section 4, (22) is equivalent to
\[
\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\rho^n, z_t) + (\rho^n u^n, \nabla z) ds = (\rho^n, z)(t_2) - (\rho^n, z)(t_1), \tag{28}
\]
for \( z \) a smooth function and a.a. \( t_1, t_2 : 0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq T \).

In the following, we give some uniform estimates with respect to \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).
Taking \( z = |u^n|^2 \), \( t_1 = 0 \) and \( t_2 = t \) in (23) we have
\[
\int_0^t (\rho^n, |u^n|^2) + (\rho^n u^n, \nabla |u^n|^2) ds = (\rho^n, |u^n|^2)(t) - (\rho^n, |\Gamma^n u_0|^2). \tag{29}
\]
Multiplying the \( j \)th equation in (23) by \( a_j \), then taking the sum over \( j = 1, \ldots, n \), using (29), and integrating the equality over (0, \( t \)), we get
\[
\frac{1}{2} (\rho^n, |u^n|^2)(t) + \int_0^t (S(\rho^n, \theta^n), D(u^n)) ds + \int_0^t \int_\Omega (H^n)^T \nabla u^n H^n + \frac{1}{2} \nabla (|H^n|^2 \cdot u^n) dx ds = \frac{1}{2} (\rho_0, |\Gamma^n u_0|^2). \tag{30}
\]
We deal with (25) by the same process as in (30), and have
\[
\frac{1}{2} \|H^n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \int_0^t (\nabla \times (u^n \times H^n), H^n) ds
= - \int_0^t (\nabla \times (\nu \nabla \times H^n), H^n) ds + \frac{1}{2} \|\Gamma^n H_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \tag{31}
\]
Direct calculation shows that
\[
\int_0^t (\nabla \times (u^a \times H^a), H^a) ds = \int_0^t \int_\Omega (H^a)^T \nabla u^a H^a + \frac{1}{2} \nabla |H^a|^2 \cdot u^a dx ds,
\]
\[
\int_0^t (\nabla \times (\nu \nabla \times H^a), H^a) ds = \int_0^t \int_\Omega \nu | \nabla \times H^a |^2 dx ds.
\]
So by (31), we have
\[
\frac{1}{2} \| H^a \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \int_0^t (\nu \| \nabla \times H^a \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} - \int_\Omega (H^a)^T \nabla u^a H^a - \frac{1}{2} \nabla |H^a|^2 \cdot u^a dx ds
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \| I^n H_0 \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}. (32)
\]
Summing up (30) and (32), we obtain
\[
\frac{1}{2} (\rho^a, |u^n|^2)(t) + \frac{1}{2} \| H^a \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \int_0^t \nu \| \nabla \times H^a \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + (S(\rho^a, \theta^a, D(u^n)), D(u^n)) ds
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} (\rho_0, |I^n u_0|^2) + \frac{1}{2} \| I^n H_0 \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}. (33)
\]
Then by the first assumption in (8), we derive
\[
(\rho^a, |u^n|^2)(t) + \| H^a \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \int_0^t \nu \| \nabla \times H^a \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + (S(\rho^a, \theta^a, D(u^n)), D(u^n)) ds
\]
\[
+ \mu \int_0^t \| D(u^n) \|^2 \| L^2(\Omega) ds 
\]
\[
\leq C(\rho^*, \| u_0 \|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \| I^n H_0 \|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \leq M, (34)
\]
where \( M \) denotes a positive constant depending on the data and maximizes all the estimates.

Note that
\[
0 < \rho_* \leq \rho^a(t, x) \leq \rho^* < +\infty \text{ for a.a } (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \Omega. \tag{35}
\]
Thus it follows from (34) that
\[
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \| u^n(t) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \| \rho^a(t) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \| H^a(t) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq M. (36)
\]
By Korn’s inequality, (3) and (36), we have
\[
0 < \| H^a \|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \int_0^T 2\nu | \nabla \times H^a |^2_{L^2(\Omega)} dt + \int_0^T (S(\rho^a, \theta^a, D(u^n)), D(u^n)) dt
\]
\[
+ \mu \int_0^T \| u^n \|^2 \| L^2(\Omega) ds \leq M, \tag{37}
\]
\[
\int_0^T (S(\rho^a, \theta^a, D(u^n))) \| L^2(\Omega) ds \leq M. \tag{38}
\]
The inequality (37) implies that

\[ u^n \in L^r(0, T; W^{1,r}(\Omega)), \quad H^n \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)). \tag{39} \]

Using (35) and (37), by Hölder inequality and the fact \( W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega) \) with \( p \leq q \leq \infty \), we derive

\[
\int_0^T \|\rho^n u^n \otimes u^n, \nabla u^n\| dt \leq \int_0^T \rho^n \|u^n\|_{L^{p_1-1}(\Omega)}^2 \|\nabla u^n\|_{L^{p_1}(\Omega)} dt \leq M. \tag{40}
\]

where we require that \( 1 < p_1 \leq r \) and

\[
\frac{p_1}{p_1 - 1} \geq r \Rightarrow p_1 \leq \frac{r}{r - 1} \leq r \Rightarrow r \geq 2,
\tag{41}
\]

which gives one of the restriction for \( r \) in our main result.

It follows from Hölder inequality that

\[
\| (H^n)^T \nabla u^n H^n \|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C(\|H^n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|u^n\|_{H^1(\Omega)}) \leq M,
\]

\[
\| \nabla (H^n)^2 \cdot u^n \|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C(\|H^n\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|u^n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \leq M.
\]

Combining above estimates, for \( 1 < p_1 \leq r \), we deduce from (23)–(24) to

\[
\int_0^T \|\rho^n u^n\|_{W^{1,p_1-1}(\Omega)} dt \leq M,
\]

\[
\int_0^T \|\partial_t (\rho^n u^n)\|_{(W^{1,1}_{\text{div}}(\Omega))'} dt \leq M. \tag{42}
\]

Let \( h = 1 \) in (24). Using (35) and (37), we have

\[
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\rho^n Q(\theta^n)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|Q(\theta^n)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq M.
\]

By (21) and above estimate,

\[
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\rho^n \theta^n\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\theta^n\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq M. \tag{43}
\]

Now we turn to estimate the temperature that

\[ \theta^n(t, x) \geq \theta^* \quad \text{for a.a. } (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \Omega. \]

Take \( h = -(\theta^n)^{-\lambda} \) with \( 0 < \lambda < 1 \) in (24). Then by (7) and (43),

\[
\| (\theta^n)^{-\lambda} \|_{L^\infty((0, T) \times \Omega)} \leq M, \tag{44}
\]

\[
\int_0^T \| (\theta^n)^{-\frac{\alpha - 1}{2}} \nabla \theta^n \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt \leq M. \tag{45}
\]

By a contradiction argument, we can easily get

\[
\| (\theta^n)^{-\frac{\alpha - 1}{2}} \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \| (\theta^n)^{-\frac{\alpha - 1}{2}} \|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \| \nabla (\theta^n)^{-\frac{\alpha - 1}{2}} \|_{L^1(\Omega)} \quad \text{if } \frac{\alpha - \lambda + 1}{2} > 0,
\]

\[
\| (\theta^n)^{-\frac{\alpha - 1}{2}} \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \quad \text{if } \frac{\alpha - \lambda + 1}{2} \leq 0.
\]
Thus by these estimates and (44), we have
\[
\int_0^T \| (\theta^n)^{\alpha - \lambda - 1/2} \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \, dt \leq M.
\]
Furthermore, by \( W^{1,2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega) \), it holds
\[
\int_0^T \| \theta^n \|_{L^2(\alpha - \lambda - 1)(\Omega)} \, dt \leq M.  \tag{46}
\]
By the standard interpolation of (46) with (43), for \( \alpha > -\frac{2}{3} \), we derive
\[
\int_0^T \| \theta^n \|_{L^s(\Omega)} \, dt \leq M \quad \text{for all } s \in [1, \frac{5}{3} + \alpha]. \tag{47}
\]
Using (9), (45) and (47), for \( 1 \leq m < \frac{5 + 3\alpha}{4 + 3\alpha} \), we have
\[
\int_0^T \left| \kappa_0(\rho^n, \theta^n) \nabla \theta^n \right|^m \, dx \, dt \leq C \int_0^T \| \rho^n \|_{L^p(\Omega)}^\beta \| \theta^n \|_{L^{3\alpha/(\alpha - \lambda - 1)}(\Omega)} \, dt \leq M. \tag{48}
\]
On the other hand, by (35) and (43), using the interpolation inequality, Hölder’s inequality and the standard Sobolev imbedding, we have that for some \( \beta > 1 \)
\[
\int_0^T \| \rho^n u^n Q(\theta^n) \|_{L^\beta(\Omega)}^{\beta} \, dt \leq C \int_0^T \rho^\beta \| u^n \|_{L^{p_2}(\Omega)}^{\beta} \| \theta^n \|_{L^{p_3}(\Omega)}^{\beta} \, dt \\
\leq C \int_0^T \rho^\beta \| u^n \|_{W^{1,r}(\Omega)}^{\beta} \| \theta^n \|_{L^{p_3}(\Omega)}^{\beta \frac{1-v}{p_4}(\theta^n)} \|_{L^{p_4}(\Omega)}^{\beta \frac{1-v}{p_4}} \, dt \
\leq C \left( \int_0^T \| u^n \|_{W^{1,r}(\Omega)}^{\frac{p_4-\beta}{p_4}} \, dt \right)^\frac{p_4-\beta}{p_4} \left( \int_0^T \| \theta^n \|_{L^{p_3}(\Omega)}^{\frac{\beta \frac{1-v}{p_4}}{1-v}} \, dt \right)^{\frac{1-v}{p_4}} \tag{49}
\]
where
\[
\frac{1}{\beta} = \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_3}, \quad \frac{\beta}{p_3} = \frac{1}{p_4} + \frac{\beta - \frac{1}{p_4}}{p_4}, \quad \frac{1}{p_4} = (1 - v)\beta.
\]
Direct computation shows that

\[ p_2 = \frac{r \omega^2 - r \beta^2}{\omega^2 - \beta(r + 1) + \frac{1}{\omega}}, \quad p_3 = \frac{p_2 \beta}{p_2 - \beta}, \quad p_4 = \frac{p_2 (\omega \beta - 1)}{(\omega - 1)p_2 - \omega \beta}. \]

Note that \(1 \leq p_4 < \frac{r}{\beta} + \alpha\) and \(\alpha > -\frac{r}{\beta}\). We get from (49) that

\[
\int_0^T \| \rho^n u^n \|_{L^\beta}^2 dt \leq C \left( \int_0^T \| u^n \|_{W^{1,r}(\Omega)}^{p_4 \frac{r+1}{\beta}} dt \right)^{\frac{r+1}{p_4}} \left( \int_0^T \| \theta^n \|_{L^{p_4}(\Omega)}^{p_4} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p_4}}, \tag{50}
\]

where

\[ p_2 \geq r, \quad 1 < \beta < p_3 \leq \omega \beta, \quad \beta < r, \quad 1 < \omega < \frac{r}{\beta}. \tag{51}\]

Hence we require the restriction that \(r > 2\).

Finally, by (24), (37) and (48), for sufficiently large \(q\), we deduce that

\[
\| \partial_t (\rho^n \theta^n) \|_{L^1(0,T;W^{1,q}(\Omega)^*)} = \int_0^T \| \partial_t (\rho^n \theta^n)(s) \|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega)^*} ds
= \int_0^T \sup_{\|h\|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega)} \leq 1} |(\rho^n \theta^n)(s), h)| ds
\leq \| g^n(s) \|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq M. \tag{52}\]

It follows from (50) that

\[
\| u^n \times H^n \|_{L^{\frac{2r}{r+2}}(\Omega)} \leq M,
\]

which implies that

\[
\nabla \times H^n \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)), \tag{53}\]

\[
 u^n \times H^n \in L^r(0,T;L^{\frac{2r}{r+2}}(\Omega)). \tag{54}\]

Note that \(\frac{2r}{r+2} > 1\) for \(r > 2\). By (53), (54) and (26), we drive

\[
\| \partial_t H^n \|_{L^2(0,T;W^{1,2}(\Omega)^*)} \leq M. \tag{55}\]

Using Alaoglu-Bourbaki theorem and the uniform estimates (35), (36)-(39), (43)-(47), (52)-(55), for \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), there exist subsequences (denoted by itself) \(\{\rho^n\}, \{u^n\}, \{\theta^n\}\) and \(\{H^n\}\), and \((\rho, u, \theta, H)\) such that

\[
\rho^n \rightharpoonup \rho \text{ weakly in } L^p((0,T) \times \Omega) \text{ for any } q \in [1,\infty)
and \ast -\text{weakly in } L^\infty((0,T) \times \Omega), \tag{56}\]

\[
0 < \rho_* \leq \rho(t,x) \leq \rho^* < \infty \text{ for } a.a. (t,x) \in (0,T) \times \Omega, \tag{57}\]
\[ \rho_n \rightharpoonup \rho \text{ weakly in } L^p(0,T; (W^{1,p}_0(\Omega))^*) , \]

\[ \mathbf{u}^n \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u} \text{ weakly in } L^r(0,T; W^{1,r}_0(\Omega)) \text{ and } L^{p_1}((0,T) \times \Omega)^3 , \]

for \( 1 < p_1 \leq r \), and \( \ast \)-weakly in \( L^{\infty}(0,T; L^2_{\text{div}}(\Omega)) \),

\[ \theta^n \rightharpoonup \theta \text{ weakly in } L^q((0,T) \times \Omega) \text{ for any } q \in [1, \frac{5 + 3\alpha}{3}) , \]

\[ \theta^n \geq \theta^* > 0 \text{ for a.a. } (t,x) \in (0,T) \times \Omega , \]

\[ \mathbf{H}^n \rightharpoonup \mathbf{H} \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T; W^{1,2}_0(\Omega)) \]

and \( \ast \)-weakly in \( L^{\infty}(0,T; L^2_{\text{div}}(\Omega)) \),

\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{H}^n \rightharpoonup \nabla \times \mathbf{H} \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T; L^2(\Omega)) , \]

\[ \mathbf{H}^n_t \rightharpoonup \mathbf{H}_t \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T; (W^{1,2}(\Omega))^*) . \]

Furthermore, there holds

\[ \mathbf{P} \mathbf{u}^n \rightharpoonup \mathbf{P} \mathbf{u} \text{ weakly in } L^p((0,T) \times \Omega)^3 , \]

\[ \mathbf{S}(\rho^n, \theta^n, \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}^n)) \rightharpoonup \mathbf{S} \text{ weakly in } L^{r'}((0,T) \times \Omega)^{3 \times 3} , \]

\[ \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}^T , \mathbf{S} \text{ being traceless} , \]

\[ (\theta^n)\gamma \rightharpoonup (\theta)\gamma \text{ weakly in } L^2((0,T); W^{1,2}(\Omega)) \text{ for } \gamma \in (0, \frac{\alpha + 1}{2}) , \]

where \( \mathbf{P} \mathbf{u} \in L^p((0,T) \times \Omega)^3 \), \( \mathbf{S} \in L^{r'}((0,T) \times \Omega)^{3 \times 3} \) and \( (\theta)\gamma \in L^2((0,T); W^{1,2}(\Omega)) \).

\[ \text{4 The strong convergence of } \{\rho^n\}, \{\mathbf{u}^n\}, \{\theta^n\}, \{\mathbf{H}^n\} \text{ and } \{\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}^n)\} \]

Before we prove the strong convergence of \( \{\rho^n\}, \{\mathbf{u}^n\}, \{\theta^n\}, \{\mathbf{H}^n\} \) and \( \{\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}^n)\} \), we recall two main tools: the Aubin-Lions Lemma (see [36] or [21]) and the Div-Curl Lemma (see [14][17]).

**Lemma 1** (Lions-Aubin lemma) Let \( T > 0, p \in (1,\infty) \) and \( \{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \) be a bounded sequence of functions in \( L^p(0,T; X) \) where \( X \) is a Banach space. If \( \{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \) is also bounded in \( L^p(0,T; Y) \), where \( Y \) is compactly imbedded in \( X \) and \( \{\partial_t u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \) is bounded in \( L^p(0,T; Z) \) uniformly where \( X \subset Z \). Then \( \{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \) is relatively compact in \( \{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \).
For $a = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3)$ we set

$$\text{Div}_{t,x} a = (a_0)_t + \sum_{i=1}^3 (a_i)_{x_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Curl}_{t,x} a = \nabla_{t,x} a - (\nabla_{t,x} a)^T,$$

where $\nabla_{t,x} a = (a^T_{x_1}, a^T_{x_2}, a^T_{x_3}).$

**Lemma 2 (Div-Curl Lemma)** Let $p$, $q$, $l$, $s \in (1, \infty)$ be such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r}$. Assume that \{$a^n$\} and \{$b^n$\} satisfy

- $a^n \rightharpoonup a$ weakly in $L^p((0,T) \times \Omega)$,
- $b^n \rightharpoonup b$ weakly in $L^q((0,T) \times \Omega)$,

and $\text{Div}_{t,x} a^n$ and $\text{Curl}_{t,x} b^n$ are precompact in $W^{-1,s}((0,T) \times \Omega)$ and $W^{-1,r}((0,T) \times \Omega)^{4 \times 4}$, respectively.

Then

$$a^n \cdot b^n \rightharpoonup a \cdot b \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^1((0,T) \times \Omega),$$

where $\cdot$ represents the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^4$.

By (66)-(67) and Lions-Aubin Lemma 1, we have

$$\rho^n \rightharpoonup \rho \quad \text{strongly in} \quad C([0,T]; (W^{1,\frac{4}{r}})^*) .$$

We take $a^n = (\rho^n, \rho^n u_1^n, \rho^n u_2^n, \rho^n u_3^n)$ and $b^n = (u_i, 0, 0, 0)$, $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. It follows from (66)-(67) that

$$a^n \rightharpoonup (\rho, \rho u_1, \rho u_2, \rho u_3) \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^q((0,T) \times \Omega)^3 \quad \forall q \in [1, p_1],$$

$$b^n \rightharpoonup (u_i, 0, 0, 0) \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^{p_1}((0,T) \times \Omega)^4.$$

Furthermore, we notice that $L^{\prime}((0,T) \times \Omega)^{3 \times 3} \hookrightarrow W^{-1,r}((0,T) \times \Omega)$ and

$$\text{Div}_{t,x} a^n = (\rho^n)_t + \text{div}(\rho^n u^n) = 0,$$

$$\text{Curl}_{t,x} b^n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -u^n \end{pmatrix} T \nabla u^n \quad (o \text{ denotes zero } 3 \times 3 \text{ matrix})$$

and $\nabla u^n$ is bounded in $L^{\prime}((0,T) \times \Omega)^{3 \times 3}$. By Div-Curl Lemma 2, we have

$$\rho^n u^n \rightharpoonup \rho u \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^q((0,T) \times \Omega) \quad \forall q \in [1, \frac{p_1}{2}).$$

It follows from (66) and (67) that $\rho$ and $u$ satisfy (67). Take the test function of the form $\chi(t_0, t) h$, $h \in W^{1,\frac{4}{r}}(\Omega)$ in (67). Then partial integration with respect to time and the density of $L^1((0,T);W^{1,\frac{4}{r}}(\Omega))$ implies that $\rho \in C([0,T]; L^\infty(\Omega))$, i.e.,

$$\lim_{t \to t_0} (\rho(t), h) = (\rho(t_0), h) \quad \forall t_0 \in [0,T].$$
Using the concept of renormalized solutions to the equation (22) and following the method in [31], we have
\[ \rho^n \rightarrow \rho \text{ strongly in } C([0,T]; L^q(\Omega)) \quad \forall q \in [1, \infty) \text{ and a.e. in } (0,t) \times \Omega, \] (68)
and
\[ \lim_{t \to 0^+} \|\rho(t) - \rho_0\|_{L^q(\Omega)} = 0 \quad \forall q \in [1, \infty). \] (69)

In the following, we prove the convergence of \( \{u^n\} \). By (42) and (66), we have
\[ \partial_t (\rho^n u^n) \rightharpoonup \partial_t (\rho u) \text{ weakly in } L^{r'}(0,T; W^{-1,r'}_{\text{div}}(\Omega)). \] (70)
Furthermore, it follows from (36), (59) and (68) that
\[ \sqrt{\rho^n} u^n \rightharpoonup \sqrt{\rho} u \text{ weakly in } L^2((0,T) \times \Omega)^3. \] (71)

Introduce the Helmholtz decomposition
\[ u = \mathcal{H}[u] + \mathcal{H}^\perp[u], \]
\[ \mathcal{H}^\perp[u] = \nabla \phi, \quad \mathcal{H}[u] = \text{curl} \phi, \]
where \( \phi \) is given by the solution to the Neumann problem
\[ \Delta \phi = \text{div} u, \quad x \in \Omega, \]
\[ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} = 0 \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \quad \int_{\Omega} \phi dx = 0, \]
and \( \phi \) satisfies the following elliptic problem
\[ \text{curl} \mathcal{H}^\perp[u] = \nabla u = \omega, \quad x \in \Omega, \]
\[ \text{div} \mathcal{H}^\perp[u] = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \]
\[ \mathcal{H}^\perp[u] \cdot n = 0 \quad x \in \partial \Omega. \]

Then by the Aubin-Lions Lemma 1, (42), (65), (67) and (70), we have
\[ (\rho^n u^n)_{\text{div}} \rightharpoonup (\rho u)_{\text{div}} \text{ strongly in } C(0,T; W^{-1,r'}_{\text{div}}(\Omega)), \] (72)
which together with (59) implies
\[ \sqrt{\rho^n} u^n(t) \rightarrow \sqrt{\rho} u(t) \text{ strongly in } L^2((0,T) \times \Omega)^3 \text{ for almost all } t \in [0,T]. \] (73)
This together with (42) and (65), (67) - (69) shows that
\[ u^n \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^q((0,T) \times \Omega)^3 \text{ for all } q \in [1,p_1) \text{ and a.e. in } (0,T) \times \Omega, \] (74)
and for \( r > 2 \)
\[ \rho^n u^n \otimes u^n \rightharpoonup \rho u \otimes u \text{ weakly in } L^{r'}(0,T; L^{r'}(\Omega)). \] (75)
Now we give the strong convergence properties of \( \{\theta^n\} \). We take \( a^n = (\rho^n Q(\theta^n), R^n_1, R^n_2, R^n_3) \) with \( R^n := \rho^n \theta^n u^n + \kappa(\rho^n, \theta^n) \nabla \theta^n \), and \( b^n = (\theta^n) \gamma, 0, 0, 0 \) with \( \beta \in (0, \frac{\alpha + 1}{2}) \) rather small. Then by (48), (50), (60) and (68), we observe that for

\[
a^n \rightharpoonup a \text{ weakly in } L^q((0, T) \times \Omega),
\]

\[
b^n \rightharpoonup (\bar{\theta}, 0, 0, 0) \text{ weakly in } L^q((0, T) \times \Omega).
\]

For \( 1 < s_1 < 2 \), we have

\[
\text{Div}_{\times, a} a^n = \partial_t (\rho^n Q(\theta^n)) + \text{div} R^n = \nu (\nabla \times H^n)^2
\]

\[
+ \mathcal{S}(\rho^n, \theta^n, D(u^n)) \cdot D(u^n) \subset L^1((0, T) \times \Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{W}^{-1, s_1:1}((0, T) \times \Omega),
\]

and

\[
\text{Curl}_{\times, b} b^n = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
- (\nabla (\theta^n) \gamma)^T \nabla (\theta^n) \gamma
\end{pmatrix} \subset L^2((0, T) \times \Omega)^{4 \times 4} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{W}^{-1, 2}((0, T) \times \Omega)^{4 \times 4}.
\]

Using the Div-Curl Lemma 2, for some \( \sigma_1, \sigma_2 > 0 \), we have

\[
\rho^n (\theta^n)^{1 + \gamma} \rightharpoonup \rho \bar{\theta} \text{ strongly in } L^{1 + \sigma_1; 1}((0, T) \times \Omega),
\]

\[
\rho (\theta^n)^{1 + \gamma} \rightharpoonup \rho \bar{\theta} \text{ strongly in } L^{1 + \sigma_2; 1}((0, T) \times \Omega).
\]

(76)

Then by Minty’s method and (76), we get

\[
\bar{\theta} = \gamma a.e. \text{ in } (0, T) \times \Omega.
\]

(77)

It follows from (76)- (77) that

\[
\rho^n \theta^n \rightharpoonup \rho \theta \text{ strongly in } L^{q; 1}((0, T) \times \Omega),
\]

which together with (67), (57) and (60) implies

\[
\theta^n \rightharpoonup \theta \text{ strongly in } L^q((0, T) \times \Omega) \forall q \in \left[ 1, \frac{5}{3} + \alpha \right).
\]

(78)

By (50), (60), (68), (74) and (78), we have

\[
\rho^n \theta^n \rightharpoonup \rho \theta \text{ strongly in } L^q((0, T) \times \Omega) \forall q \in \left[ 1, \frac{5}{3} + \alpha \right),
\]

\[
\rho^n \theta^n u^n \rightharpoonup \rho \theta u \text{ strongly in } L^1((0, T) \times \Omega),
\]

\[
(\theta^n)^\gamma \rightharpoonup \theta^\gamma \text{ weakly in } L^2((0, T); W^{1, 2}(\Omega)) \text{ for } \gamma \in (0, \frac{\alpha + 1}{2}),
\]

which implies that

\[
\nabla (\theta^n)^\gamma \rightharpoonup \nabla \theta^\gamma \text{ weakly in } L^2((0, T); L^2(\Omega)).
\]

(80)

It follows from (71) and (79) that

\[
\rho^n Q(\theta^n) \rightharpoonup \rho Q(\theta) \text{ strongly in } L^q((0, T) \times \Omega) \forall q \in \left[ 1, \frac{5}{3} + \alpha \right).
\]

(81)
Note that
\[ q(\rho^n, \theta^n, \nabla \theta^n) = k_0(\rho^n, \theta^n)\nabla \theta^n = \frac{2}{\alpha - \lambda + 1} (\theta^n)^{\frac{1+\lambda+\alpha}{2}} k_0(\rho^n, \theta^n)\nabla (\theta^n)^{\frac{\alpha+\lambda+1}{2}}, \] (82)

Take \( \lambda > 0 \) sufficiently small and \( q > 2 \) satisfying \( \frac{(\alpha + \lambda + 1)q}{2} = \frac{5}{3} + \alpha - \lambda. \) Then we have
\[ \int_0^T \|(\theta^n)^{\frac{1+\lambda+\alpha}{2}} k_0(\rho^n, \theta^n)\nabla (\theta^n)^{\frac{\alpha+\lambda+1}{2}} \|^q \mathbf{L}^q(\Omega) \ dt \leq C \int_0^T \int_\Omega (\theta^n)^{\frac{(\alpha+\lambda+1)q}{2}} dx ds \leq M. \] (83)

Using Vitali’s theorem, (68), (78) and (83), we derive
\[ (\theta^n)^{1+\lambda+\alpha-2} k_0(\rho^n, \theta^n) \rightarrow (\theta)^{1+\lambda+\alpha-2} k_0(\rho, \theta) \text{ strongly in } L^2(0,T; L^2(\Omega)). \]

So by (69) and (83), we get
\[ q(\rho^n, \theta^n, \nabla \theta^n) \rightarrow q(\rho, \theta, \nabla \theta) \text{ weakly in } L^2((0,T) \times \Omega) \forall z \in (1, \frac{5+3\alpha}{4}+3\alpha). \]

It follows from (61) and (63) that
\[ H^n \rightarrow H \text{ strongly in } L^2((0,T) \times \Omega). \] (84)

Furthermore, by (59) and (84), we obtain
\[ u^n \times H^n \rightarrow u \times H \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T; L^2(\Omega)). \] (85)

Finally, we study the convergence property of \( \{D(u^n)\} \) by applying the Minty method (standard monotone operator technique). The following integration by parts formula (the case \( r > 2 \)) can be obtained by a small modification of Lemma 4.1 in [17]. For reader’s convenience, we give the proof.

We define
\[ (\omega_h^+ * z)(t, x) := \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h z(t, \tau, x) d\tau, \quad (\omega_h^- * z)(t, x) := \frac{1}{h} \int_{-h}^0 z(t, \tau, x) d\tau, \]
\[ D^h z := \frac{z(t+h, x) - z(t, x)}{h}, \quad D^{-h} z := \frac{z(t, x) - z(t-h, x)}{h}, \]
where \( z \) denotes any locally integrable function and \( h > 0 \).

Then we have the following relationships:
\[ (\omega_h^+ * z)_t = D^h z, \quad (\omega_h^- * z)_t = D^{-h} z, \] (86)
\[ -\int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(\tau) D^h g(\tau) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} D^{-h} f(\tau) g(\tau) d\tau + \frac{1}{h} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+h} f(\tau - h) g(\tau) d\tau - \frac{1}{h} \int_{t_1}^{t_1+h} f(\tau - h) g(\tau) d\tau. \] (87)
Lemma 3 Assume that $r > 2$,

$$\rho \in L^\infty((0, T) \times \Omega) \cap C([0, T]; L^q(\Omega)), \quad \forall q \in [1, \infty),$$

$$u \in L^r(0, T; W_{0, \text{div}}^{1, r}(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)^3),$$

$$\partial_t (\rho u) \in (L^r(0, T; W_{0, \text{div}}^{1, r}(\Omega))^*,$$

and the coupled $(\rho, u)$ is a weak solution to $\rho u + \text{div}(\rho u) = 0$, which means that for all $z \in L^r(0, T; W^{1, r}(\Omega))$, $z_t \in L^{1+\sigma}(0, T; L^{1+\sigma}(\Omega))$ and $\forall \ t_0, t_1 \in [0, T]$,

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} (\rho(s) z_t(s) + (\rho(s) u(s), \nabla z(s))ds = (\rho(t_1), z(t_1)) - (\rho(t_0), z(t_0)). \quad (88)$$

Then the following formula holds

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} (\partial_t (\rho u), u) - (\rho u \otimes u, \nabla u)dt = K(t_1) - K(t_0), \quad \forall \ t_0, t_1 \in [0, T], \quad (89)$$

where $K(t)$ is defined by

$$K(t) := \frac{1}{2} (\rho(t), |u(t)|^2) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \rho(t, x)|u(t, x)|^2dx = \frac{1}{2} \| (\sqrt{\rho} u(t) )^2. \quad (90)$$

Proof To prove this Lemma, we need

$$\frac{p_1}{p_1 - 1} = r \Rightarrow p_1 = \frac{r}{r - 1}, \quad r > 2. \quad (90)$$

Define

$$L_h := \int_{t_0}^{t_1} ((\rho u)_t, \omega_h^+ * \omega_h^- * u)dt,$$

where $0 < t_0 < t_1 < T$ and $\forall h \in (0, \min\{T - t_1, t_0\})$.

Integration by parts on $(t_0, t_1)$, we have

$$L_h = - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} (\rho u, D^b(\omega_n^- * u))dt + ((\rho u)(t_1), (\omega_h^+ * \omega_h^- * u)(t_1))$$

$$- ((\rho u)(t_0), (\omega_h^+ * \omega_h^- * u)(t_0))dt.$$

Thus to prove (89), using (87), it is equivalent to show that

$$\lim_{h \to 0^+} L_h = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} (\rho u, \frac{1}{2} \nabla |u|^2)dt + [K(t_1) - K(t_0)]$$

$$= - \lim_{h \to 0^+} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} (\rho u, D^b(\omega_n^- * u))dt + 2[K(t_1) - K(t_0)]$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0^+} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} (D^{-b}(\rho u), \omega_n^- * u)dt. \quad (91)$$
By (89) and $D^{-h} \rho = -\text{div}(\omega_h^* (\rho \mathbf{u}))$, we derive
\[
\int_{t_0}^{t_1} (D^{-h} (\rho \mathbf{u}), \omega_h^* \mathbf{u}) dt
= \int_{t_0}^{t_1} (\rho D^{-h} \omega_h^* \mathbf{u} + \rho \mathbf{u}) dt + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} (D^{-h} \rho) \mathbf{u}(\cdot - h), \omega_h^* \mathbf{u}) dt
= \int_{t_0}^{t_1} (\rho, \frac{1}{2} |\omega_h^* \mathbf{u}|^2) dt + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} (\omega_h^* (\rho \mathbf{u}), \nabla (\mathbf{u}(\cdot - h) \cdot (\omega_h^* \mathbf{u})) dt.
\]

Finally, due to (90), we can take $z = \frac{1}{2} |\omega_h^* \mathbf{u}|^2$ in (89). Then we conclude that
\[
\int_{t_0}^{t_1} (D^{-h} (\rho \mathbf{u}), \omega_h^* \mathbf{u}) dt
= (\rho(t_1), \frac{1}{2} |\omega_h^* \mathbf{u}|^2(t_1)) - (\rho(t_0), \frac{1}{2} |\omega_h^* \mathbf{u}|^2(t_0)) - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} (\rho \mathbf{u}, \frac{1}{2} |\omega_h^* \mathbf{u}|^2) dt
+ \int_{t_0}^{t_1} (\omega_h^* (\rho \mathbf{u}), \nabla (\mathbf{u}(\cdot - h) \cdot (\omega_h^* \mathbf{u})) dt.
\]

Thus taking limit $h \to 0$ in the above inequality, and recalling (91), we can obtain (92) for almost $t_0$ and $t_1$ in $(0, T)$.

Using (61), (64), (70), (75), (62)-(63) and (85), we take the limit and get
\[
\int_{0}^{T} ((\rho \mathbf{u}), \psi) - (\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}, \nabla \psi) + (\mathbf{S}, D(\psi))
+ \int_{\Omega} ((H)^T \nabla \mathbf{H} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla (|H|^2) \cdot \psi) d\Omega = 0, \forall \psi \in \mathbf{W}^{1, r}_{0, \text{div}}(\Omega), \quad (92)
\]
\[
\int_{0}^{T} (H, b) - (\nabla \times (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}), b) + (\nabla \times (\nu \nabla \times \mathbf{H}), b) dt = 0, \forall b \in \mathbf{W}^{1, 2}_{0, \text{div}}(\Omega). \quad (93)
\]

Let $\chi_{(t_0, t_1)}(s)$ be the characteristic function of the interval $(t_0, t_1)$ and $\phi \in \mathbf{W}^{1, r}_{0, \text{div}}(\Omega)$. We take the test function $\varphi(s, x) = \chi_{(t_0, t_1)}(s) \phi(x)$ in (90), then performing partial integration with respect to time in the first term, for $\forall \phi \in \mathbf{W}^{1, r}_{0, \text{div}}(\Omega)$, we get
\[
(\rho(t) \mathbf{u}(t), \phi) - (\rho_0 \mathbf{u}_0, \phi)
= \int_{0}^{t} (\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}, \nabla \phi) - (\mathbf{S}, D(\phi)) - (H)^T \nabla \phi \mathbf{H} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla (|H|^2) \cdot \phi dt,
\]
which implies
\[
\lim_{t \to 0^+} (\rho(t) \mathbf{u}(t) - \rho_0 \mathbf{u}_0, \phi) = 0, \forall \phi \in L^2_{\text{div}}(\Omega). \quad (94)
\]
By (93), letting \( n \to \infty \) in (39), we have
\[
(\rho, |u|^2)(t) \leq (\rho_0, |u_0|^2) \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in [0, T].
\] (95)

It follows from \( (67), (71), (85) \) that
\[
\lim_{t \to 0^+} \|\sqrt{\rho}(u(t) - u_0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \lim_{t \to 0^+} ((\rho, |u|^2)(t) - 2((\rho u)(t), u_0) + (\rho(t), |u_0|^2)) = 0.
\]

This together with (69) implies that
\[
\lim_{t \to 0^+} (\rho, |u|^2) = (\rho_0, |u_0|^2).
\]

Then by Lemma 3, there exists \( T_0 \in (0, T] \) such that
\[
\int_0^{T_0} (\partial_t (\rho u), u) - (\rho u \otimes u, u) dt = \frac{1}{2}(\rho, |u|^2)(T_0) - \frac{1}{2}(\rho_0, |u_0|^2),
\] (96)

where \( T_\sigma \to T \) as \( \sigma \to 0 \).

Taking \( \psi = \chi_{(t_0, t_0)} u \) and \( b = \chi_{(t_0, t_0)} H \) in (92) and (93), by (96), we derive
\[
\frac{1}{2}(\rho, |u|^2)(T_\sigma) + \int_0^{T_\sigma} \left((\mathbf{S}, D(u)) + \int_\Omega (|H|^2 \nabla u H + \frac{1}{2} \nabla (|H|^2) \cdot u) dx\right) dt = \frac{1}{2}(\rho_0, |u_0|^2),
\] (97)

and
\[
\frac{1}{2} ||H(T_\sigma)||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^{T_\sigma} (\nu ||\nabla \times H||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) - \int_\Omega (|H|^2 \nabla u H - \frac{1}{2} \nabla (|H|^2) \cdot u) dx dt
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} ||H_0||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.
\] (98)

Summing up (97) and (98), we obtain
\[
\frac{1}{2}(\rho, |u|^2)(T_\sigma) + ||H(T_\sigma)||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^{T_\sigma} \left((\mathbf{S}, D(u)) + \nu ||\nabla \times H||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right) dt
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2}(\rho_0, |u_0|^2) + ||H_0||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.
\] (99)

By (8), for \( B \in \mathbb{L}^r((0, T) \times \Omega)^{3 \times 3} \) and \( B = B^T \), we have
\[
\int_0^{T_\sigma} (\mathbf{S}(\rho^n, \theta^n, D(u^n)) - \mathbf{S}(\rho^n, \theta^n, B), D(u^n) - B) dt \geq 0.
\]

Using (83), we can rewrite the above inequality as
\[
0 \leq \frac{1}{2}((\rho_0, |I^n u_0|^2) - (\rho^n, |u^n|^2)(T_\sigma)) + \frac{1}{2}(||H^n(T_\sigma)||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - ||H_0^n||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2)
\]
\[
+ \nu \int_0^{T_\sigma} ||\nabla \times H^n||^2 dt - \int_0^{T_\sigma} (\mathbf{S}(\rho^n, \theta^n, D(u^n)), B) dt
\]
\[
- \int_0^{T_\sigma} (\mathbf{S}(\rho^n, \theta^n, B), D(u^n) - B) dt.
\] (100)
Let \( n \to \infty \) in (101). By \( (7), (26) - (27), (59), (64), (68), (78), (84) \) and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we derive

\[
\frac{1}{2}((\rho_0, |u_0|^2) - (\rho, |u|^2)(T_\sigma)) + \frac{1}{2}((\|H(T_\sigma)\|_2^2 - \|H_0\|_2^2) + \nu \int_0^{T_\sigma} \|\nabla H\|_2^2 \, dt

- \int_0^{T_\sigma} \langle \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \, dt - \int_0^{T_\sigma} (S(\rho, \theta, B), D(u) - B) \, dt \geq 0,
\]

which together with (99) and letting \( \sigma \to 0^+ \) implies

\[
\int_0^T (\mathbf{S} - S(\rho, \theta, B), D(u) - B) \, dt \geq 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{B} \in L^r((0, T) \times \Omega)^{3 \times 3}, \quad B = B^T.
\]

Taking \( B = D(u) \pm \tau C \) with any symmetric matrix \( C \in L^r((0, T) \times \Omega)^{3 \times 3} \) and \( \tau > 0 \), then using Minty’s method, we have

\[
\int_0^T (\mathbf{S} - S(\rho, \theta, D(u)), C) \, dt = 0,
\]

which means that

\[
\mathbf{S}(t, x) = S(\rho, \theta, D(u))(t, x) \quad \text{for a.a.} \ (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \Omega.
\]

This together with (101) shows that (13) is satisfied for \( \rho, u \) and \( H \).

Next we show that (19) holds. It follows from (26), (33), (73), (99) and (101) that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^T (S(\rho_n, \theta_n, D(u^n)), D(u^n)) - S(\rho, \theta, D(u)), D(u)) \, dt = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{T_\sigma \to T} ((\rho_n, |u^n|^2)(T_\sigma) - (\rho_0, |u_0|^2)(T_\sigma) - (\rho, |\Gamma_0 u_0|^2) + (\rho, |\Gamma_0 |u_0|^2) = 0.
\]

By \( (7), (43), (65), (74), (78) \) and (84), using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^T (S(\rho_n, \theta_n, D(u)) - S(\rho, \theta, D(u)), D(u^n - u)) \, dt = 0,
\]

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^T (S(\rho, \theta, D(u)), D(u^n - u)) \, dt = 0,
\]

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^T (S(\rho_n, \theta_n, D(u^n)) - S(\rho, \theta, D(u)), D(u)) \, dt = 0.
\]

Above four convergence results show that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^T (S(\rho_n, \theta_n, D(u^n)) - S(\rho_n, \theta_n, D(u)), D(u^n - u)) \, dt = 0,
\]
which combining with (8) shows that
\[ 0 \leq (S(\rho_n, \theta_n, D(u^n)) - S(\rho_n, \theta_n, D(u))) \cdot D(u^n - u) \to 0 \quad \text{strongly in} \quad L^1((0, T) \times \Omega). \]

Consequently, for any \( h \in L^\infty((0, T) \times \Omega) \), we get
\[
0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{(0, T) \times \Omega} S(\rho_n, \theta_n, D(u^n)) \cdot D(u^n - u) h dx dt
\]
\[
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{(0, T) \times \Omega} S(\rho_n, \theta_n, D(u^n)) h dx dt
+ \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{(0, T) \times \Omega} S(\rho_n, \theta_n, D(u)) h dx dt
- \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{(0, T) \times \Omega} S(\rho_n, \theta_n, D(u^n)) h dx dt
- \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{(0, T) \times \Omega} S(\rho_n, \theta_n, D(u)) h dx dt,
\]
which together with (64), (68), (74), (78) and (84), the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem implies
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{(0, T) \times \Omega} S(\rho_n, \theta_n, D(u^n)) \cdot D(u^n) h dx dt
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{(0, T) \times \Omega} S(\rho, \theta, D(u)) \cdot D(u) h dx dt.
\]
Thus it follows from the above convergence properties, (81) and letting \( n \to \infty \) in (24) that (19) holds.

5 Appendix-Existence of solutions for the approximation system

In this section, we establish the existence of solutions to the approximation problem (1)-(2), (16) and (4) for any fixed \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). We introduce a two-level approximation depending on the parameters \( \epsilon > 0 \) and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), then study the behavior of the system for \( \epsilon \to 0 \) and \( k \to \infty \).

Let \( \{\omega_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \) and \( \{\varpi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \) be a smooth basis of \( W^{1,2}(\Omega) \) orthonormal in the space \( L^2(\Omega) \) such that \( (\omega_i, \omega_j) = (\varpi_i, \varpi_j) = \delta_{i,j} \). For \( \epsilon > 0 \) and fixed \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) we seek approximation solutions \( (\rho^{k,\epsilon}, u^{k,\epsilon}, \theta^{k,\epsilon}, H^{k,\epsilon}) \) where \( (u^{k,\epsilon}, \theta^{k,\epsilon}, H^{k,\epsilon}) \) has the form
\[
u^{k,\epsilon} = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j^{k,\epsilon} \psi_j, \quad \theta^{k,\epsilon} = \sum_{j=1}^k b_j^{k,\epsilon} \omega_j, \quad H^{k,\epsilon} = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j^{k,\epsilon} \varpi_j,
\]
and solve the following approximation system (for all \( 1 \leq j \leq k \) and a.e. \( t \in (0, T) \))
\[
r^{k,\epsilon} + \text{div}(\rho^{k,\epsilon} u^{k,\epsilon}) - \epsilon \Delta \rho^{k,\epsilon} = 0, \quad \text{on} \quad [0, T] \times \Omega, \tag{102}
\]
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\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \rho^{k,\varepsilon} \frac{d}{dt} u^{k,\varepsilon}, \psi_j \right) &+ \left( \rho^{k,\varepsilon} [u^{k,\varepsilon}] u^{k,\varepsilon}, \psi_j \right) + (S_{k,\varepsilon}, D(\psi_j)) = (\nabla \times H^{k,\varepsilon}) \times H^{k,\varepsilon}, \psi_j, \quad (103) \\
\left( \rho^{k,\varepsilon} \frac{d}{dt} Q(\theta^{k,\varepsilon}), \omega_j \right) &+ \left( \rho^{k,\varepsilon} Q(\theta^{k,\varepsilon}) u^{k,\varepsilon}, \omega_j \right) + (q(\rho^{k,\varepsilon}, \theta^{k,\varepsilon}, \nabla \theta^{k,\varepsilon}), \nabla \omega_j)) = (\nabla \times H^{k,\varepsilon}) \times H^{k,\varepsilon}, \omega_j, \quad (104) \\
\left( \frac{d}{dt} H^{k,\varepsilon}, \varpi_j \right) &+ \nu(\nabla \times H^{k,\varepsilon}, \nabla \varpi_j) = (u^{k,\varepsilon} \times H^{k,\varepsilon}, \nabla \varpi_j) = 0, \quad (105)
\end{align*}
\]
with
\[
\rho^{k,\varepsilon} \cdot n = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad [0, T], \quad \rho_* \leq \rho^{k,\varepsilon} \leq \rho^*, \quad \rho^{k,\varepsilon}(0, \cdot) = \rho_0 \quad \text{in} \quad (0, T) \times \Omega,
\]
\[
u^{k,\varepsilon}(0, \cdot) = u_0^{k,\varepsilon} = I^k u_0, \quad \theta^{k,\varepsilon}(0, \cdot) = \theta_0^{k,\varepsilon} = I^k \theta_0, \quad H^{k,\varepsilon}(0, \cdot) = H_0^{k,\varepsilon} = I^k H_0,
\]
where
\[
S_{k,\varepsilon} := S(\rho^{k,\varepsilon}, \theta^{k,\varepsilon}_{\max}, D^{k,\varepsilon}) \quad \text{with} \quad \theta^{k,\varepsilon}_{\max} := \max\{\theta^{k,\varepsilon}, 0\}.
\]

The existence of solutions for the approximation system (102)-(105) can be proved by modified Faedo-Galerkin method. We first give the solvable of induction equation (105).

**Lemma 4** Assume that the initial data \(H^{k,\varepsilon}(0) \in Y^k\) and given a velocity field \(u^{k,\varepsilon} \in C([0, T], X^k)\). The system (107) has a solution \(H^{k,\varepsilon}(x, t) \in C^\theta([0, T]; W^{1,2})\). Moreover, the operator \(u^{k,\varepsilon} \to H^{k,\varepsilon}(u^{k,\varepsilon})\) maps bounded sets in \(C([0, T], X^k)\) into bounded subsets of \(Y^k\), and the solution operator is continuous operator.

**Proof** Define
\[
X^k := \{u^{k,\varepsilon}|u^{k,\varepsilon}(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^k a^{k,\varepsilon}_j(t) \psi_j(x)\}, \quad Y^k := \{H^{k,\varepsilon}|H^{k,\varepsilon}(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^k c^{k,\varepsilon}_j(t) \varpi_j(x)\}.
\]
Note that \(H^{k,\varepsilon}(x, t) \in Y^k\). We can write
\[
H^{k,\varepsilon} = \sum_{j=1}^k c^{k,\varepsilon}_j(t) \varpi_j(x),
\]
where the coefficients \(c^{k,\varepsilon}_j(t)\) are required to solve the system of ordinary differential equations
\[
\frac{dc^{k,\varepsilon}_j(t)}{dt} + \sum_{|j| \leq k} A_{i,j}(t) c^{k,\varepsilon}_j = 0, \quad |j| \leq k, \quad (106)
\]
where

\[ A_{j,k}(t) = \nu(\nabla \varphi_i, \nabla \varphi_j) - ((u^{k,\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla) \varphi_i, \varphi_j) - ((\varphi_i \cdot \nabla) u^{k,\varepsilon}, \varphi_j) + ((\text{div} u^{k,\varepsilon}) \varphi_i, \varphi_j). \]

For given initial data \( H^{k,\varepsilon}(0) \in Y^k \), the system (106) has a unique solution \( c^{k,\varepsilon}_j \in C^1([0,T); Y^k) \) for some \( T' \leq T \). Multiplying both sides (106) by \( c^{k,\varepsilon}_j \), summing over \( j \), integrating by parts, we have

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \|H^{k,\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\nu \|\nabla H^{k,\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = -2(H^{k,\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla u^{k,\varepsilon}, H^{k,\varepsilon}).
\]

Note that by Young inequality,

\[
-(H_n \cdot \nabla u^{k,\varepsilon}, H^{k,\varepsilon}) \leq \frac{C\nu}{2} \|H^{k,\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{2}{C\nu} \|\nabla u^{k,\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2,
\]

Using the Poincaré inequality,

\[
\|\nabla H^{k,\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \geq C\|H^{k,\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.
\]

By (107)-(108), we derive

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \|H^{k,\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C\nu \|H^{k,\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{2}{C\nu} \|\nabla u^{k,\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.
\]

Thus, for \( t \in [0,T] \), we get

\[
\|H^{k,\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \|H^{k,\varepsilon}(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 e^{-C\varepsilon t} + \frac{2}{C\nu} \int_0^t e^{-C\nu(t-s)} \|\nabla u^{k,\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ds.
\]

This implies that for \( t \in [0,T] \),

\[
\|H^{k,\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \|H^{k,\varepsilon}(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 e^{-C\varepsilon t} + \frac{2}{C\nu} \int_0^t e^{-C\nu(t-s)} \|\nabla u^{k,\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ds.
\]

Due to \( \{\varphi_j(x)\}_{j=1}^\infty \) be an orthonormal basis of \( W^{1,2}(\Omega) \), so we have \( |c^{k,\varepsilon}_j(t)| = \|H^{k,\varepsilon}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \), from which we conclude that \( T' = T \).

Define the ball \( B_R \) of radius \( R \) and the map \( \Pi : B_R \rightarrow B_R \) such that \( \Pi(H^{k,\varepsilon}(0)) = H^{k,\varepsilon}(T) \), where the radius \( R \) such that

\[
R \geq \left( \frac{2\nu}{C\nu} \int_0^T e^{-C\nu(t-s)} \|\nabla u^{k,\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ds \right)^\frac{1}{2}.
\]

Follows [31], we can prove the map \( \Pi \) is continuous. Hence, it has a fixed point. Moreover, from [109], we know that the solution operator \( u^{k,\varepsilon} \rightarrow H^{k,\varepsilon}(u^{k,\varepsilon}) \) maps bounded sets in \( C([0,T], X^k) \) into bounded subsets of the set \( Y^k \). Then, as done in [22], the solution operator \( u^{k,\varepsilon} \rightarrow H^{k,\varepsilon}(u^{k,\varepsilon}) \) is a continuity operator. This completes the proof.
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The rest process of proof is similar to Proposition 7.2. in [14] or Lemma 3.2. in [22]. Thus, combining with Lemma 4, we obtain the following result:

**Lemma 5** Under the assumption in Theorem 1, for fixed \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \), the approximation problem (102)-(105) has a solution \((\rho^{k,\epsilon}, u^{k,\epsilon}, \theta^{k,\epsilon}, H^{k,\epsilon})\) on \((0, T) \times \Omega\) for any fixed \( T > 0 \).

5.1 Limit \( \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \)

First, we summarize the estimates available for (102)-(105) for \( \epsilon > 0 \) and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) fixed. Then the behavior of relevant solutions will be studied as \( \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \).

Multiplying (102) by \( \rho^{k,\epsilon} \) leads to

\[
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\rho^{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\epsilon \int_0^T \|\nabla \rho^{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \, dt \leq \|\rho_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.
\]  

(110)

It follows from (12) and a weak maximum (minimum) principle that

\[ \rho_* \leq \rho^{k,\epsilon} \leq \rho^* \].

(111)

Taking the \( L^2 \) scalar product of (102) with a smooth \( z \) leads to the equation

\[
\langle \rho^{k,\epsilon}_t, z \rangle - (\rho^{k,\epsilon} u^{k,\epsilon}, \nabla z) + \epsilon (\nabla \rho^{k,\epsilon}, \nabla z) = 0.
\]

(112)

Multiplying the \( j \)th equation in (103) by \( a^{k,\epsilon}_j \), then taking the sum over \( j = 1, \ldots, n \), using (112) with \( z = \frac{|u^{k,\epsilon}|}{2} \) and integrating the equality over \((0, t)\), we have

\[
\|u^{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho^{k,\epsilon}} u^{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 4 \int_0^t (S^{k,\epsilon}, \mathbf{D}(u^{k,\epsilon})) \, ds
\]

\[
+ \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (H^{k,\epsilon})^T \nabla u^{k,\epsilon} H^{k,\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla((|H^{k,\epsilon}|^2) u^{k,\epsilon}) \, dx \, ds
\]

\[
\leq 2 \|\Gamma^{m} u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.
\]

(113)

Multiplying the \( j \)th equation in (105) by \( c^{k,\epsilon}_j \), then taking the sum over \( j = 1, \ldots, n \) and integrating the equality over \((0, t)\), we have

\[
2\|H^{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 4 \int_0^t \nu \|\nabla \times H^{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \, ds
\]

\[
-4 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} ((H^{k,\epsilon})^T \nabla u^{k,\epsilon} H^{k,\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla((|H^{k,\epsilon}|^2) \cdot u^{k,\epsilon}) \, dx \, ds
\]

\[
= 2\|\Gamma^{m} H_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.
\]

(114)
Summing up (113)-(114), we obtain
\[
\|u_{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho_{k,\epsilon}}u_{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\|H_{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2
+ 4\int_0^t \left((S_{k,\epsilon}, D(u_{k,\epsilon}) + \nu \nabla \times H_{k,\epsilon})^2 \right) ds
\leq 2\|\sqrt{\rho_0}u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\|H_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.
\] (115)

Using Korn’s inequality to the fourth term we get
\[
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left(\|u_{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|H_{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right) + \int_0^T \left(\|u_{k,\epsilon}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} + \|\nabla \times H_{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right) dt
\leq C.
\] (116)

Multiplying the \(j\)th equation in (104) by \(b_{k,\epsilon}^j\), then taking the sum over \(j = 1, \ldots, n\), using (112) with \(z = \frac{\theta_{k,\epsilon}}{2}\) and integrating the equality over \((0,t)\), we have
\[
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left\{\|\theta_{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho_{k,\epsilon}}\theta_{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right\} + \int_0^T \left(\|u_{k,\epsilon}\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla \times H_{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right) dt
\leq C\|\sqrt{\rho_0}\theta_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C\int_0^T \|S_{k,\epsilon}, D(u_{k,\epsilon})\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt
+ C\int_0^T \|\nabla \times H_{k,\epsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt \leq C(n).
\] (117)

For fixed \(k \in \mathbb{N}\) we can multiply the \(j\)th equation in (103) by \(\frac{da_{k,\epsilon}^j}{dt}\), the \(j\)th equation in (104) by \(\frac{db_{k,\epsilon}^j}{dt}\) and the \(j\)th equation in (105) by \(\frac{dc_{k,\epsilon}^j}{dt}\). Then we obtain
\[
\int_0^T \left|\frac{da_{k,\epsilon}^j}{dt}\right|^2 dt, \int_0^T \left|\frac{db_{k,\epsilon}^j}{dt}\right|^2 dt, \int_0^T \left|\frac{dc_{k,\epsilon}^j}{dt}\right|^2 dt \leq C(n).
\] (118)

By (110)-(117), we can have the following convergence result as \(\epsilon \to 0\)
\[
\rho_{k,\epsilon} \rightharpoonup \rho^k \quad \text{weakly in } L^\infty([0,T] \times \Omega),
\] (119)
\[
a_{k,\epsilon} \rightharpoonup a^k \quad \text{weakly in } W^{1,2}(0,T) \text{ and strongly in } \mathcal{C}([0,T]),
\] (120)
\[
b_{k,\epsilon} \rightharpoonup b^k \quad \text{weakly in } W^{1,2}(0,T) \text{ and strongly in } \mathcal{C}([0,T]),
\] (121)
\[
c_{k,\epsilon} \rightharpoonup c^k \quad \text{weakly in } W^{1,2}(0,T) \text{ and strongly in } \mathcal{C}([0,T]).
\] (122)

It follows from (119) and (122) that
\[
u_{k,\epsilon} \rightharpoonup \nu^k \quad \text{strongly in } L^{2\gamma}(0,T; W^{1,2r}_n(\Omega)),
\] (123)
\[ \mathbf{H}^{k, \epsilon} \to \mathbf{H}^k \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, T; W^{1, 2r}_n(\Omega)). \]  

(124)

By (119), (123)-(124), we can take the limit in the weak formulation of (102) and get the transport equation

\[ \rho^k_t + \text{div}(\rho^k \mathbf{u}^k) = 0, \quad \text{on} \quad [0, T] \times \Omega. \]

By (119), (123)-(124), we can take the limit in the weak formulation of (102) and get the transport equation

\[ \rho^k_t + \text{div}(\rho^k \mathbf{u}^k) = 0, \quad \text{on} \quad [0, T] \times \Omega. \]

Then applying Diperna-Lions theory (see [10, 30]) of the renormalized solutions to the transport equation, we conclude that

\[ \rho^{k, \epsilon} \to \rho^k \text{ strongly in } L^2([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \text{ a.e. in } (0, T) \times \Omega. \]

Thus by the above convergence results, we can take the limit \( \epsilon \to 0 \) and obtain the solution \( (\rho^k, \mathbf{u}^k, \theta^k, \mathbf{H}^k) \) solving the following system

\[ \rho^k_t + \text{div}(\rho^k \mathbf{u}^k) = 0, \quad \text{on} \quad [0, T] \times \Omega, \]  

(125)

\[ (\rho^k \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u}^k, \psi_j) + (\rho^k[\mathbf{u}^k] \mathbf{u}^k, \psi_j) + (S_k, D(\psi_j)) = ((\nabla \times \mathbf{H}^k) \times \mathbf{H}^k, \psi_j), \]  

(126)

\[ (\rho^k \frac{d}{dt} Q(\theta^k), \omega_j) + (\rho^k Q(\theta^k) \mathbf{u}^k, \omega_j) + (q(\rho^k, \theta^k, \nabla \theta^k), \nabla \omega_j) \]

\[ = ((\nabla \times \mathbf{H}^k)^2, \omega_j) + (S_k, D(\omega_j)), \]  

(127)

\[ (\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{H}^k, \omega_j) + \nu(\text{curl} \mathbf{H}^k, \text{curl} \omega_j) - (\mathbf{u}^k \times \mathbf{H}^k, \text{curl} \omega_j) = 0, \]  

(128)

with

\[ \rho^k \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad [0, T], \quad \rho_* \leq \rho^k \leq \rho^*, \quad \rho^k(0, \cdot) = \rho_0 \quad \text{in} \quad (0, T) \times \Omega, \]

\[ \mathbf{u}^k(0, \cdot) = \Gamma^n u_0, \quad \theta^k(0, \cdot) = \Gamma^k \theta_0, \quad \mathbf{H}^k(0, \cdot) = \Gamma^k \mathbf{H}_0, \]

where

\[ S_k := S(\rho^k, \theta^k_{\max}, D^k) \text{ with } \theta^k_{\max} := \max \{\theta^k, 0\}. \]

5.2 Limit \( k \to \infty \)

In this subsection, we establish some uniform estimates with respect to \( k \). We follow the method in [7, 17]. Using the similar procedures of (116), (117), (118) and (125), we have

\[ \rho_* \leq \rho^k \leq \rho^*, \quad \int_0^T \|\rho^k\|_{(W^{1, q}(\Omega))^*} dt \leq C, \quad \forall q \in (1, \infty), \]  

(129)

\[ \sup_{t_0 \in [0, T]} \left\{ \|u^k\|^2_{L^q(\Omega)} + \|H^k\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \right\} + \int_0^T \|u^k\|_{W^{1, q}(\Omega)} + \|
abla \times \mathbf{H}^k\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} dt \leq C, \]  

(130)
\begin{align*}
\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \{ \|\theta_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho_k}\theta_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \} + \int_0^T \|\sqrt{\rho_k}\nabla\theta_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt & \leq C(n), \quad (131) \\
\int_0^T \left|\frac{da_k}{dt}\right|^2 dt, \quad \int_0^T \left|\frac{db_k}{dt}\right|^2 dt, \quad \int_0^T \left|\frac{dc_k}{dt}\right|^2 dt & \leq C(n). \quad (132)
\end{align*}

Using Lions-Diperna theory of renormalized solutions, by (129), we have
\[ \rho^k \rightarrow \rho \text{ strongly in } C([0,T]; L^p(\Omega)) \text{ a.e. in } (0,T) \times \Omega. \quad (133) \]

By (130) and (132), we get
\[ u^k \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^2(0,T; W^{1,2}_n(\Omega)), \]
and
\[ H^k \rightarrow H \text{ strongly in } L^2(0,T; W^{1,2}_n(\Omega)). \]

It follows from (129)-(131) that
\begin{align*}
\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \{ \|\rho^k\theta_k(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \} + \int_Q |\nabla \theta_k|^2 dxds \\
+ \int_{(0,T)\times\Omega/Q} (\theta_k)^\alpha |\nabla \theta_k|^2 dxds & \leq C(n), \quad (134)
\end{align*}
where \( Q = \{(t,x) \in (0,T) \times \Omega; \theta_k(t,x) \leq \theta_*\} \).

Define
\[ \bar{k}(\theta) := \begin{cases} 
\theta^\alpha & \text{for } \theta \geq \theta_*, \\
\theta_*^\alpha & \text{for } \theta < \theta_*.
\end{cases} \]
and
\[ K(\theta) := \begin{cases} 
\frac{2}{\alpha+2} \theta^\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha+2} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2} \theta_*^\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha+2} & \text{for } \theta \geq \theta_*, \\
\theta_*^\alpha & \text{for } \theta \leq \theta_*,
\end{cases} \]

Using (134), we have
\begin{align*}
\sup_{t\in(0,T)} \|\theta_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^T |\nabla K(\theta_k)|^2 dt & \leq C(n). \quad (135)
\end{align*}

Using the similar procedure in [7] (also see [17]), by (135), we get
\begin{align*}
\sup_{t\in(0,T)} \|K(\theta_k)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^T \|K(\theta_k)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}^2 dt & \leq C(n), \quad (136)
\end{align*}
\[ \int_0^T \|q_k^k\|_{L^m(\Omega)}^m dt \leq C(n) \text{ with } m = 2 \text{ for } \alpha \leq 0 \text{ and } m = \frac{3\alpha + 10}{3\alpha + 5} \text{ for } \alpha > 0, \]
\[ \| (\hat{\kappa}(\theta^k))^{\frac{1}{2}} \kappa_k \|_{L^q(0,T;\Omega)} \leq C(n) \quad \text{with } q = \infty \text{ for } \alpha \leq 0 \]
\[ \quad \text{and } q = \frac{2(3\alpha + 10)}{3\alpha} \text{ for } \alpha > 0, \quad (137) \]
\[ \int_0^T |\nabla \theta^k|^q dx dt \leq C(n) \quad \text{with } q = \frac{5(\alpha + 2)}{\alpha + 5} \text{ for } \alpha \leq 0 \text{ and } q = 2 \text{ for } \alpha > 0. \]

Then it follows from the above estimates, (127) and the continuity of the projection \( \Gamma_k \) that
\[ \| \partial_t (\rho_k \theta_k) \|_{L^q(0,T;W^{1,q}(\Omega))} \leq C(n) \quad \text{with } q = 5(3\alpha + 2) \alpha + 5 \text{ for } \alpha \leq 0 \text{ and } q = 2 \text{ for } \alpha > 0. \quad (138) \]

By (131)-(138), we can establish the following convergence results as \( k \to \infty \)
\[ \theta_k \rightharpoonup \theta \text{ weakly in } L^q(0,T;W^{1,q}(\Omega)), \quad (139) \]
\[ \rho_k \theta_k \rightharpoonup \rho \theta^* \text{ weakly in } \{ z \in L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega)), z_t \in L^\delta(0,T;W^{-1,\delta}(\Omega)) \}. \]

Consequently, using Aubin-Lions Lemma 1, we have
\[ \rho_k \theta_k \to \rho \theta \text{ strongly in } C(0,T;(W^{1,q}(\Omega)^*). \]

Then
\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_0^T (\rho_k \theta_k, \theta_k) dt = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_0^T (\rho^k \theta^k, \theta^k)_{(W^{1,q}(\Omega))^*} dt \]
\[ = \int_0^T (\rho \theta, \theta)_{(W^{1,q}(\Omega))^*} dt, \]
which together with (139) shows that
\[ \theta_k \to \theta \text{ strongly in } L^2((0,T) \times \Omega) \text{ a.e. in } (0,T) \times \Omega, \quad (140) \]

This combining with (133, 136, 137) implies that
\[ \overline{K}(\theta^k) \to \overline{K}(\theta) \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T;W^{1,2}(\Omega)), \]
\[ (\hat{\kappa}(\theta^k))^{\frac{1}{2}} \kappa_k \to (\hat{\kappa}(\theta))^{\frac{1}{2}} \kappa \text{ strongly in } L^q(0,T;L^q(\Omega)) \forall q^* < q, \]
and hence
\[ \kappa_k \to \kappa := \kappa(\rho, \theta) \text{ weakly in } L^q(0,T;W^{1,\delta}(\Omega)), \]
where \( \kappa := \kappa(\rho, \theta_{\max}) \) with \( \theta_{\max} := \{ \theta, \theta^* \}. \)

Therefore, the above convergence results allow us to take the limit in (125)-(128) and to obtain
\[ \int_0^T (\rho_1, z) - (\rho u, \nabla z) dt = 0, \quad \rho_* \leq \rho \leq \rho^*. \]
for any $z \in L^q(0,T; W^{1,q} \Omega)$ for any $q \in [1, \infty)$.

$$
\langle (\rho u_t, \varphi_j) \rangle - \langle \rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}, \nabla \varphi_j \rangle + \langle S(\rho, \theta, \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u})), \mathbf{D}(\varphi_j) \rangle
+ \int_\Omega H^T \nabla \varphi_j H + \frac{1}{2} \nabla(|H|^2) \cdot \varphi_j dx = 0,
$$

$$
\int_0^T \left( \langle \rho Q(\theta), h \rangle \right) = \langle \rho Q(\theta) \mathbf{u}, \nabla h \rangle - \langle \mathbf{q}(\rho, \theta, \nabla \theta), \nabla h \rangle dt
= \int_0^T \left( \nu(|\nabla \times \mathbf{H}|^2, h) + \langle S(\rho, \theta, \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u})), \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{u}) h \rangle \right) dt,
$$

for all $h \in L^\infty(0,T; W^{1,q} \Omega)$ with $q$ sufficiently large,

$$
\int_0^T \left( \langle \mathbf{H}_t, b \rangle + \nu(\text{curl} \mathbf{H}, \text{curl} b) + \langle \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}, \text{curl} b \rangle \right) dt = 0,
$$

for all $b \in L^2(0,T; W^{1,2} \Omega)$.
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