A Pattern Recognition System for Detecting Use of Mobile Phones While Driving
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Abstract: It is estimated that 80% of crashes and 65% of near collisions involved drivers inattentive to traffic for three seconds before the event. This paper develops an algorithm for extracting characteristics allowing the cell phones identification used during driving a vehicle. Experiments were performed on sets of images with 100 positive images (with phone) and the other 100 negative images (no phone), containing frontal images of the driver. Support Vector Machine (SVM) with Polynomial kernel is the most advantageous classification system to the features provided by the algorithm, obtaining a success rate of 91.57% for the vision system. Tests done on videos show that it is possible to use the image datasets for training classifiers in real situations. Periods of 3 seconds were correctly classified at 87.43% of cases.

1 INTRODUCTION

The distraction whiles driving (Regan et al., 2008; Peissner et al., 2011), ie, an action that diverts the driver’s attention from the road for a few seconds, represents about half of all cases of traffic accidents. Dialing a telephone number, for example, consumes about 5 seconds, resulting in 140 meters traveled by an automobile at 100 km/h (Balbinot et al., 2011). In a study done in Washington by Virginia Tech Transportation Institute revealed, after 43,000 hours of testing, that almost 80% of crashes and 65% of near collisions involved drivers who were not paying enough attention to traffic for three seconds before the event.

About 85% of American drivers use cell phone while driving (Goodman et al., 1997). At any daylight hour 5% of cars on U.S. roadways are driven by people on phone calls (NHTSA, 2011). Driver distraction has the three main causes: visual (eyes off the road), manual (hands off the wheel), and cognitive (mind off the task) (Strayer et al., 2011). Talking on a hand-held or handsfree cell phone increases substantially the cognitive distraction (Strayer et al., 2013).

This work proposes a pattern recognition system to detect hand-held cell phone use during the act of driving to try to reduce these numbers. The main goal is to present an algorithm for the characteristics extraction which identifies the cell phone use, producing a warning that can regain the driver’s attention exclusively to the vehicle and the road. The aim is also to test classifiers and choose the technique that maximizes the accuracy of the system. In Section 2 related works are described. Support tools for classification are presented in Section 3. In Section 4 the algorithm for feature extraction is developed. In Section 5 experiments performed on an image database are shown. And finally conclusions are set out in Section 6.

2 RELATED WORKS

The work produced by (Veeraraghavan et al., 2007) is the closest to this paper. The authors’ goal is to make detection and classification of activities of a car’s driver using an algorithm that detects relative movement and the segmentation of the skin of the driver. Therefore, its operation depends on obtaining a set of frames, and the need to put a camera sideways (in relation to the driver) in the car, impeding the presence of passengers beside the driver.

The approach of (Yang et al., 2011) use custom beeps of high frequency sent through the car sound equipment, network Bluetooth, and a software running on the phone for capturing and processing sound...
signals. The purpose of the beeps are to estimate the position in which the cell phone is, and to differentiate whether the driver or another passenger in the car is using it. The proposal obtained accuracy classification of more than 90%. However, the system depends on the operating system and mobile phone brand, and the software has to be continually enabled by the driver. On the other hand, the approach works even if there is use of headphones (hands-free).

The study of (Enriquez et al., 2009) segments the skin, analyzing two color spaces, YCrCb and LUX, and using specifically the coordinates Cr and U. The advantage is the ability to use a simple camera (webcam) for image acquisition.

Another proposal by (Watkins et al., 2011) is autonomously to identify distracted driver behaviors associated with text messaging on devices. The approach uses a cell phone programmed to record any typing done. An analysis can be performed to verify distractions through these records.

3 PRELIMINARY DEFINITIONS

In this section, support tool for classifying classes are presented.

3.1 Support Vector Machines (SVM)

The SVM (Support Vector Machine) was introduced by Vapnik in 1995 and is a tool for binary classification (Vapnik, 1995). Given data set \{\{\vec{x}_1, y_1\}, \cdots, \{\vec{x}_n, y_n\}\} with input data \vec{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d (where d is the dimensional space) and output y labeled as \(y \in \{-1, +1\}\). The central idea of the technique is to generate an optimal hyperplane chosen to maximize the separation between the two classes, based on support vector (Wang, 2005). The training phase consists in the choice of support vectors using the training data before labeled.

From SVM is possible to use some kernel functions for the treating of nonlinear data. The kernel function transforms the original data into a space of features of high dimensionality, where the nonlinear relationships can be present as linear (Stanimirova et al., 2010). Among the existing kernels, there are Linear (Equation 1), Polynomial (Equation 2), Radial basis (Equation 3), and Sigmoid (Equation 4). The choice of a suitable function and correct parameters are an important step for achieving the high accuracy of the classification system.

\[
K(\vec{x}_i, \vec{x}_j) = \vec{x}_i \cdot \vec{x}_j \quad (1)
\]

\[
K(\vec{x}_i, \vec{x}_j) = (\gamma \vec{x}_i \cdot \vec{x}_j + coef0)^{degree}, \text{ where } \gamma > 0 \quad (2)
\]

\[
K(\vec{x}_i, \vec{x}_j) = e^{-\|\vec{x}_i + \vec{x}_j\|^2}, \text{ where } \gamma > 0 \quad (3)
\]

\[
K(\vec{x}_i, \vec{x}_j) = \tanh(\gamma (\vec{x}_i \cdot \vec{x}_j) + coef0) \quad (4)
\]

We can start the training after the choice of the kernel function. We need to maximize the values for \(\hat{a}\) in Equation 5. This is a quadratic programming problem (Hearst et al., 1998) and it is subject to the constraints (for any \(i = 1, \ldots, n\) where \(n\) is the amount of training data): \(0 \leq \alpha_i \leq C \) e \(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i = 0\). The penalty parameter \(C\) has the ratio of the algorithm complexity and the number of wrongly classified training samples.

\[
W(\hat{a}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j K(\vec{x}_i, \vec{x}_j) \quad (5)
\]

The threshold \(b\) is found with Equation 6. The calculation is done for all the support vectors \(x_j\) (0 ≤ \(\alpha_j\) ≤ \(C\)). The \(b\) value is equal to the average of all calculation.

\[
b = y_j - \sum_{i=1}^{l} y_i \alpha_i K(\vec{x}_i, \vec{x}_j) \quad (6)
\]

A feature vector \(\vec{x}\) can be classified (prediction) with Equation 7 where \(\lambda_i = y_i \alpha_i\) and mathematical function sign extracts the sign of a real number (returns: -1 for negative, 0 for zero value and +1 for positive values).

\[
f(\vec{x}) = \text{sign}(\sum_{i} \lambda_i K(\vec{x}, \vec{x}_i) + b) \quad (7)
\]

This SVM uses imperfect separation, and the \(nu\) is used as a replacement for \(C\). The parameter \(nu\) uses values between 0 and 1. If this value is higher, the decision boundary is smoother.

4 EXTRACTION OF FEATURES

Driving act makes drivers instinctively and continually look around. But with the use of the cell phone, the tendency is to fix the gaze on a point in front, effecting the drivability and the attention in the traffic by limiting the field of vision (Drews et al., 2008). Starting from this principle, this work choses an algorithm that can detect the use of cell phones by drivers just by using the frontal camera attached on the dashboard of a car. The following subsections explain the details.

\footnote{The library LibSVM is used (available in http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/libsvm).}
4.1 Algorithm

In general, the algorithm is divided into the following parts:

**Acquisition:** Driver image capture system.

**Preprocessing:** Location of the driver, cropping the interest region (Section 4.1.1).

**Segmentation:** Isolate the driver skin pixels (Section 4.1.2).

**Extraction of features:** Extraction of skin percentage in regions where usually the driver’s hand/arm would be when using the cell phone, and calculation of HU’s Moments (Hu, 1962) (Section 4.1.3).

In the following subsections, the steps of the algorithm (after the image acquisition) are explained.

4.1.1 Preprocessing

After image acquisition, the preprocessing step is tasked to find the region of interest, i.e., the face of the driver. In this way, three detectors are applied based on Haar-like-features for feature extraction and Adaboost as classifier (Viola and Jones, 2001). The algorithm adopts the largest area found by these detectors as being the driver’s face.

The region found is increased by 40% in width, 20% to the left and 20% to the right of the face because often this is much reduced (allows only the face to be visible), becoming impossible to detect the hand/arm. In Figures 1(a) and 1(c), preprocessing results are exemplified.

4.1.2 Segmentation

The segmentation is based on locating pixels of the skin of the driver present in the preprocessed image. It is necessary, as a first step, converting the image into two different color spaces: Hue Saturation Value (HSV) (Smith and Chang, 1996) and Luminance–chrominance YCrCb (Dadgostar and Sarrafzadeh, 2006).

After the initial conversion, a reduction of the complexity of the three components from YCbCr and HSV is applied. Each component has 256 possible levels (8 bits) resulting in more than 16 million possibilities (256^3) by color space. Segmentation is simplified by reducing the total number of possibilities in each component. The level of each component is divided by 32. Thus, each pixel has 512 possibilities (2^9) of representation.

A sample of skin is then isolated with size of 10% in the height and 40% in width of the image, being centralized in the width and with the top in center of the height, according to the rectangles in Figures 1(a) and 1(c). A histogram with the 3 dimensions of HSV and YCrCb skin sample from this region is created by counting the pixels that have the same levels in all 3 components. Further, a threshold is performed in the image with the levels that represent at least 5% of the pixels contained in the sample region. Though the skin pixels for HSV and YCrCb are obtained separately, the results are the pixels that are in both segmentation of HSV and YCrCb. In Figures 1(b) and 1(d), segmentation results are exemplified.

4.1.3 Features

Two features are part of the driver’s classification with or without cell phone: Percentage of the Hand (PH) and Moment of Inertia (MI).

*PH* is obtained by counting the skin pixels in two regions of the image, as shown in red rectangles of Figures 1(b) and 1(d). These regions have the same size, 25% of the height and 25% of the width of the image and are in the bottom left and bottom right of the image. The attribute refers to the count of pixels in the Region 1 (R1) and the pixels in Region 2 (R2), dividing by the total of pixels (T). The formula is expressed in the Equation 8:

\[
PH = \frac{R_1 + R_2}{T}
\]

---

Figure 1: The images (a) and (c) are examples of the driver face region (preprocessing), the rectangles (blue) are samples of skin for segmentation. Segmentation results are shown at images (b) and (d), the regions (red) where the pixels of the hand/arm are counted.

MI, in turn, is calculated using the inertia moment of Hu (first moment of Hu (Hu, 1962)). It measures the pixels dispersion in the image. MI results in a
5 EXPERIMENTS

Experiments were performed on one set of images, with 100 positive images (people with phone) and the other 100 negative images (no phone). All images are frontal. In Figure 3, sample images for the set of images are exemplified.

SVM is used as classification technique to the system. All tests have the same set of features and cross-validation (Kohavi, 1995) is applied with 9 datasets from the initial set. Genetic Algorithm or GA (Goldberg, 1989) is used to find the parameters (nu, coef0, degree, and gamma for SVM) for maximum accuracy of classification system.

GA is inspired by evolutionary biology, where the evolution of a population of individuals (candidate solutions) is simulated over several generations (iterations) in search of the best individual. GA parameters, empirically defined, used on experiments: 20 individuals, 10,000 generations, crossover 80%, mutation 5%, and tournament as selector. The initial population is randomly (random parameters) and subsequent generations are the evolution result. The classifier is trained with parameters (genetic code) of each individual using binary encoding of 116 bits. Finally, the parameters of the individual that results in higher accuracy (fitness scores) for the classifier are adopted. GA was performed three times for each SVM kernel defined in Section 3.1. The column “Parameters” of Tables 1 shows the best parameters found for SVM.

SVM is tested on kernels: Linear, Polynomial, RBF and Sigmoid (Section 3.1). The kernel that has a highest average accuracy is the Polynomial, reaching a rate of 91.57% with images of training set. Table 1 shows the results of the tests, parameters and accuracy of each kernel.

The final tests are done with five videos in real environments with the same driver. All videos have a variable frame rate. The average frame rate is 15 FPS. The resolution is 320 × 240 pixels for all. The container format is 3GPP Media Release 4 for them. The specific information about the videos are in Table 2.

Some mistakes were observed in the frames in preprocessing step (Section 4.1.1). The Table 3 shows

The library GALib version 2.4.7 is used (available in http://lancet.mit.edu/ga),

See the videos on the link:
http://www.youtube.com/channel/UCsWgU26FD1v1xi0D08ArXw
Table 1: Accuracy of SVM kernels.

| Kernel   | Parameters               | Average (cross-validation) | σ   |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----|
| Linear   | nu = 0.29                | 91.06% ± 6.90              |     |
| Polynomial | nu = 0.30  
|          | coe f0 = 4761.00  
|          | degree = 0.25  
|          | γ = 5795.48            | 91.57% ± 5.58              |     |
| RBF      | nu = 0.32                | 91.06% ± 6.56              |     |
| Sigmoid  | nu = 0.23                | 89.06% ± 8.79              |     |
|          | coe f0 = 1.82  
|          | γ = 22.52               |     |

Table 2: Information about the videos.

| # | Weather | Time   | Duration | Frames |
|---|---------|--------|----------|--------|
| V1| Overcast| Noon   | 735 s    | 11,005 |
| V2| Mainly sun| Noon    | 1,779 s  | 26,686 |
| V3| Sunny   | Noon   | 1,437 s  | 21,543 |
| V4| Sunny   | Dawn   | 570 s    | 8,526  |
| V5| Sunny   | Late afternoon | 630 s | 9,431  |

Table 3: Error while searching for Driver (preprocessing).

| # | Not found | Wrong | Total | Frame rate error |
|---|-----------|-------|-------|------------------|
| V1| 237       | 273   | 510   | 4.63%            |
| V2| 675       | 974   | 1,649 | 6.18%            |
| V3| 356       | 358   | 714   | 3.31%            |
| V4| 1,280     | 783   | 2,063 | 24.20%           |
| V5| 533       | 259   | 792   | 8.40%            |

Figure 4: Sample frames for preprocessing problem. The frames in (a), (b), and (c) are examples for driver not found. The frames in (d), (e), and (f) are examples for driver wrong, and the red rectangle shows where the driver was found for these images.

Figure 5: Accuracy for the kernels by frame.

We performed time analysis splitting the videos in periods of 3 seconds. The Table 4 shows the periods quantity by video.

Table 4: Number of periods by video.

| Videos | V1 | V2 | V3 | V4 | V5 |
|--------|----|----|----|----|----|
| Periods| 245 | 594 | 479 | 190 | 210 |

Detection of cell phone usage happens when the period has the frames rate classified individually with cell phone more or equal of a threshold. The threshold values 60%, 65%, 70%, 75%, 80%, 85%, and 90% were tested with the videos. The accuracy graphs are shown in Figure 6. The columns “With phone”, “No phone”, and “General” represent the accuracy obtained for frame with cell phone, no cell phone, and in general, respectively.
Figure 6: Accuracy for polynomial kernel by period and video.

Figure 6(d) shows a larger distance between accuracy “with phone” and “no phone” for the Videos 4 and 5. This difference is caused by problems with preprocessing (Figure 4), and segmentation. The preprocessing problems cause to decrease number of frames analyzed in some periods, thus the classification is impaired. Segmentation’s problems are caused by the incidence of sunlight (close to twilight mainly) on some regions of the driver’s face and the inner parts of the vehicle. The sunlight changes the components of pixels. Incorrect segmentation compromises the feature extraction can lead to misclassification of frames. Figure 7 exemplifies the segmentation problems.

Figure 7: Sample frames for segmentation problem. In first line are examples for driver’s face. In second line are examples of segmentation.

Figure 8 presents the mean accuracy: “with phone”, “no phone”, and in general, for videos at each threshold. The accuracy of detecting cell phone is greatest with thresholds 60% and 65%. The accuracy “no phone” for threshold 65% is better than 60%. Thus, the threshold of 65% is more advantageous for videos. This threshold results in accuracy for “with phone” 77.33%, “no phone” 88.97%, and in general of 87.43% at three videos.
5.1 Real time system

The five videos\textsuperscript{5} were simulated in real time on a computer Intel i5 2.3GHz CPU, 6.0GB RAM and operating system Lubuntu 12.04. SVM/Polynomial classifier was used, and training with the images set. The videos were used with a resolution of 320 \times 240 pixels.

The system uses parallel processing (multi-thread), as follow one thread for image acquisition, the frames are processed for four threads, and one thread to display the result. Thus four frames can be processed simultaneously. It shows able to process up to 6.4 frames per second (FPS), however, to avoid bottlenecks is adopted the rate 6 FPS for its execution.

The input image is changed to illustrate the result of processing in the output of system. At left center is added a vertical progress bar or historical percentage for frames “with phone” in the previous period (3 second). When the frames percentage is between 0% and 40% green color is used to fill, the yellow color is used between 40% and 65%, and red color above or equal to 65%. Red indicates a risk situation. For real situation should be started a beep. Figure 1 shows a sequence of frames the system’s output.

![Figure 9: The system’s output sequence sample in real time with one frame for each second (15 seconds).](image)

6 CONCLUSION

This paper presented an algorithm which allows extraction features of an image to detect the use of cell phones by drivers in a car. Very little literature on this subject was observed (usually the focus is on drowsiness detection or analysis of external objects or pedestrians). The Table\textsuperscript{5} compare related works with this work.

SVM and its kernels were tested as candidates to solve the problem. The polynomial kernel (SVM) is the most advantageous classification system with average accuracy of 91.57% for set of images analyzed. But, all the kernels tested have average accuracy statistically the same. GA found statistically similar parameters for all kernels.

Tests done on videos show that it is possible to use the image datasets for training classifiers in real situations. Periods of 3 seconds were correctly classified at 87.43% of cases. The segmentation algorithm tends to fail when the sunlight falls (Videos 4 and 5) at driver face and parts inside vehicle. This changes the components value for pixels of driver skin. Thus, the pixels of skin for face and hand are different.

Enhanced cell phone use detection system is able to find ways to works better when the sunlight falls at the driver skin. Another improvement is to check if the vehicle is moving and then execute the detection. An intelligent warning signal can be created, i.e., more sensitive detection according to the speed increases. One way is to join the OpenXC Platform\textsuperscript{6} on solution to get the real speed among other data.
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\textsuperscript{5}See the videos with real time classification on the link http://www.youtube.com/channel/UCowDUzFDa1x000Akrx0w

\textsuperscript{6}It allows you to develop applications integrated with the vehicle (available in http://openxcplatform.com)
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