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Significance for public health

Currently, diabetes is the second most common non-communicable disease in Ethiopia. Its burden is 4.8% in this country, though three quarter of its population live with undiagnosed diabetes mellitus (DM), which could lead to several complications such as heart failure, blood vessels, eyes, kidneys, and nerves damages. Evidence shows that the disease is increasing through time. Early detection of DM is vital for a timely intervention to prevent life-threatening complications. Efforts should be made by politicians, decision makers and other healthy institutions to implement screening modality and early interventions.

Abstract

Introduction. Currently, diabetes is the second most common non-communicable disease (NCD) in Ethiopia. Its burden is 4.8% in this country, even though three quarter of its population live with undiagnosed diabetes mellitus (DM), which causes complications like heart failure, blood vessels, eyes, kidneys and nerves damages. Early detection of DM is vital for a timely intervention to prevent these life threatening complications. The aim of this study was to assess the prevalence of undiagnosed DM and related factors in East Gojjam, North West Ethiopia, in 2016.

Materials and methods. A community-based cross-sectional study was conducted among 757 individuals in East Gojjam from June to September 2016. The sampled population was selected using multi-stage cluster sampling method. Basic data were collected in Amharic (local language) and a pretested interviewer administered the questionnaire. Peripheral blood samples were collected by puncturing the ring finger in order to measure fasting blood glucose. Univarite and multivariate logistic regressions analysis were performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 20.0.

Results. The percentage of undiagnosed DM in the study area was 11.5% (95%CI=9.2, 13.7). The prevalence was 11.3% among male vs. 11.8% among female; 13.4% in urban areas vs. 10.3% in rural areas. The occurrence of undiagnosed DM was mainly associated with older age (AOR=5.99, 95%CI=1.54, 23.24), family history of diabetes (AOR=9.86, 95%CI=4.25, 22.89), history of gestational diabetes (AOR=3.01, 95%CI=1.17, 8.39) and sedentary behaviour >4 hours per day (AOR=2.13, 95%CI=1.04, 4.34).

Using non-smoker (AOR=0.05, 95%CI=0.01, 0.17) and unmarried (AOR=0.09, 95%CI=0.02, 0.42) were also predictive characteristics for undiagnosed DM in the study area.

Conclusions. In conclusion, this study revealed a relatively high prevalence of undiagnosed DM in the study area. The occurrence of undiagnosed DM was significantly higher when associated with the age of the participants, their marital status, history of hypertension, diabetes family history, history of gestational diabetes mellitus, current smoking practices and sedentary behaviour. Thus, efforts have to be made, particularly by the individuals involved in health practice, to early detect the disease and thereby initiate a suitable therapeutic service, before complications arise.
southwest Ethiopia respectively. In central Ethiopia, Addis Ababa, the percentage of the disease was 6.5% among Commercial Bank employees. The disease was accounting 20% of the cases among patients admitted in Hospitals located in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, which is the second most common non-communicable disease (NCD). To cure the disease about 612.2 billion US dollars were used in 2014, which represent 11% of worldwide healthcare expenditure. This healthcare expenditure is expected to rise by 627.3 billion in 2035. Africa allocates the lowest diabetes-related expenditure, 1% of worldwide total. About US $ 4.5 billion healthcare expenditure was used in 2014 and this cost is expected to rise to 6.4 billion in 2035. Ethiopia is allocating about US $ 32.7 per person.

The complications of DM become very severe in absence of an early diagnosis. In case of severe complications, 50% of patients don’t know having DM. In 2014 about 179.2 million people worldwide lived with undiagnosed DM. Africa region has highest percentage of undiagnosed people compared to other regions. About 62.3% of persons with the diseases do not know being affected by DM and about 13.4 million people were recorded to have undiagnosed DM in 2014. Similarly, Ethiopia has three quarter persons (75.1%) with DM, who do not know having it; which is about 1,603,100 people in 2014. In Gondar, north west Ethiopia, about 69% individuals live with undiagnosed DM. As far as we know, no prior study has been conducted in the current study area. Moreover, the level of knowledge is essential to decide whether the problem is significant or not and to give proper attention before complications occur. Therefore, the present study was mainly aimed at determining the level of undiagnosed DM and to identify factors related to its occurrence.

Materials and Methods

Study design and setting
A cross-sectional study with a community-based approach was conducted by using quantitative research methods. The study was done from June 1 to September 30, 2016 in East Gojjam zone, which is one the local administration of Amhara regional State in eastern Ethiopia. All permanent residents in the East Gojjam zone (living in the area for at least 6 months) and aged ≥25 y.o were enrolled as source group. The primary, secondary and tertiary sampling units were districts, Kebeles (administrative unit below district) and villages respectively.

Sampling and data collection strategy
The sample size was calculated based on the assumption of 95% confidence interval, 5% margin of error, design effect 2 and using the undiagnosed DM proportion which is 69% from previous study. The required sample size calculated using Open-Epi Version 2.3 was 658 and the final sample size after adding 15% contingency is becoming 756.7 – 757. To get study participants multistage cluster sampling technique was used. Firstly, from zone 30% of districts i.e. Machakel, Bibugn, Amber, Dejen, Enbsie sar mdr, Hulet eju nesie was selected by lottery method and then 1 Kebele was selected randomly from the selected districts. Lastly, one village was selected randomly from selected Kebeles. Sample frame was prepared by conducting census in selected villages and selection of participants was done using systematic sampling method.

Data collection tool for interview was developed by reviewing different literatures. The questionnaire was translated into local language and back translated in English to maintain consistency. Background data were collected trough an interviewer-administered questionnaire. For laboratory data, fasting blood glucose was measured as per the WHO recommendations. Early in the morning before participants took their breakfast, peripheral blood samples on the ring finger was punctured and collected. Plasma glucose levels were then measured using the glucose oxidase-6 phosphate dehydrogenase method. Participants were classified as having DM if they had fasting glucose levels >126 mg/dL, which was confirmed by repeating the test on am different day. For data collection and daily supervision, laboratory professionals were recruited from West Gojjam (a different zone) in order not to recognize the respondents and minimize social desirability bias. They were trained by a principal investigator about the objective of the study, confidentiality of information, blood sample taking and testing and about the contents of the questionnaire in detail. The outcome variable of study was undiagnosed DM and the independent variables were: socio-demographic and economic characteristics like age, sex, weight, height, residence, marital status, religion, educational level, income; behavioural characteristics like smoking, alcohol drinking, eating of vegetables and fruits, physical activity status, sedentary behaviour; history of hypertension, history of gestational diabetes, family history of DM and others.

Operational definition
Physical activity commonly refers to physical movement that will improves health. Physical activity data were collected using Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ) and activity levels were calculated by using the cut-off points in the analysis guide. Accordingly, the subjects were categorized as having low physical activity [metabolic equivalent units (MET) <600/week], moderate physical activity [MET in between 600-1200] and high physical activity level [MET above 1200].

On the other hand, sedentary behaviour was measured by asking about the time spent sitting (computer use, working, watching television, reading) during a typical week. Lastly, responses were dichotomized as <4 hours/day and ≥4 hours/day.

Body mass index (BMI), which is a measure that relates body weight to height, was also recorded.

Statistical analysis
Each questionnaire were coded and entered in to Epi Info version 3.5 statistical package and were exported to SPSS 20.0 statistical package for analysis of statistical inferences. Data cleaning and editing were made before analysis. The result of study is presented in both descriptive statistics (%; table, graph, mean, median values, dispersion measurements like standard deviation, interquartile range) and inferential statistics (odds ratio). Before running the multiple logistic regressions assumption of multicolinearity was checked using the tolerance/variance inflation factor. Binary logistic regressions was used to calculate the univariate and multivariate adjusted odds ratio and to determine independent predictors of dependent variable.

In multivariate logistic regressions model, we entered only those variables that were associated with dependent variable with P-value ≤0.2 in univariate analysis, biologically important and not collinear. The cut off point for significant association was P<0.05. The study proposal was approved by Debre Markos university ethical review committee. Permission to conduct study was also obtained from the concerned bodies of East Gojjam zone, districts, Kebeles and villages. To protect confidentiality no personal identifier was recorded in the questionnaire and the recorded data was not accessed by a third person. Verbal informed consent was
obtained from participants. Participants were informed as they had a full right to quit to participate in the study at any time of collection procedures. Study participants who identified as having DM were referred to the nearby health institutions for further treatment and follow-up.

**Results**

Out of 757 total planned study participants, 722 participated in the study making the overall response rate of the study 95.4%. In this study, 439 (60.8%) of the respondents were living in rural area. Among the total study participants about 426 (59%) were male and 296 (41%) were female, which makes sex ratio 1.44. The mean age of the study participants was 49 years ±16.29 SD and about 213 (29.5%) of the study participants fell in the age group between 35 to 44 years. Out of the total study participants, 475 (65.8%) were currently married, 277 (38.4%) were illiterate, 119 (16.5%) had certificate and above education level, 389 (56.1%) had monthly household income of less than 1750 ETB and 79 (10.9%) had body mass index of greater or equal to 25 (Table 1).

The present study found that about 8.3% of patients had a previous history of hypertension, 23.7% had a history of diabetes mellitus among 1st degree relatives (brothers, sisters, mothers or fathers), 8.1% had a history of gestational diabetes and 21.4% had a baby with birth weight ≥4 kg. In addition, 18.3% had current smoking practices, 62.3% had current alcohol drinking practices, 29.2% had less than 3 times per week eating practices of fruits and vegetables, 22.6% had low intensity physical activity level and about 27% had sedentary behaviour for more than 4 hours per day (Table 2).

**Proportion of undiagnosed diabetes mellitus**

The present study found that the overall magnitude of undiagnosed diabetes mellitus in the study area was 11.5% (95%CI=9.2, 13.7). The prevalence was 11.3% (95%CI=8.2, 14.1) among male study participants and 11.8% (95%CI=8.1, 15.5) among female participants. The prevalence of undiagnosed diabetes mellitus in urban area was 13.4% while in rural area was about 10.3%. The study revealed that, there were no statistically significant difference in terms of diabetic status by sex and residence.

The highest proportion of undiagnosed diabetes mellitus was observed within the group of study participants aged 35-44 years and married. This study showed that the prevalence of undiagnosed diabetes mellitus was relatively high with the increasing age of participants. In addition, the prevalence of undiagnosed DM in the zone, as stratified by districts and sex can be seen in Figure 1. There were no identified cases of undiagnosed diabetes mellitus in Machakel district.

**Factors associated with undiagnosed diabetes mellitus**

Multivariate logistic regression analysis of factors associated

---

Table 1. Socio-demographic and economic characteristics of the study participants by diabetic status, Northwest Ethiopia, 2016.

| Variables and coding categories | Undiagnosed diabetic case, n (%) | Non-diabetic case, n (%) | Total, n (%) |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| **Area of residence**           |                                  |                          |              |
| Urban                           | 38 (13.4)                        | 245 (86.6)               | 283 (39.2)   |
| Rural                           | 45 (10.3)                        | 394 (89.7)               | 439 (60.8)   |
| **Sex of participants**         |                                  |                          |              |
| Male                            | 48 (11.3)                        | 378 (88.7)               | 426 (59.0)   |
| Female                          | 35 (11.8)                        | 261 (88.2)               | 296 (41.0)   |
| **Age group of participants**   |                                  |                          |              |
| 25-34                           | 5 (3.9)                          | 122 (96.1)               | 127 (17.6)   |
| 35-44                           | 25 (11.7)                        | 188 (88.3)               | 213 (29.5)   |
| 45-54                           | 19 (17.1)                        | 92 (82.9)                | 111 (15.4)   |
| 55-64                           | 17 (16.7)                        | 85 (83.3)                | 102 (14.1)   |
| ≥65                             | 17 (10.1)                        | 152 (89.9)               | 169 (23.4)   |
| **Marital status**              |                                  |                          |              |
| Currently married               | 63 (13.3)                        | 412 (86.7)               | 475 (65.8)   |
| Never married                   | 4 (3.3)                          | 118 (96.7)               | 122 (16.9)   |
| Divorced                        | 6 (13.0)                         | 40 (87.0)                | 46 (6.4)     |
| Widowed                         | 10 (12.7)                        | 69 (87.3)                | 79 (10.9)    |
| **Education level**             |                                  |                          |              |
| No formal education             | 30 (10.8)                        | 247 (89.2)               | 277 (38.4)   |
| Primary education (grade 1-8)   | 23 (9.7)                         | 213 (90.3)               | 236 (32.7)   |
| Secondary education (grade 9-12)| 10 (11.1)                        | 80 (88.9)                | 88 (12.9)    |
| Certificate and above           | 20 (16.8)                        | 99 (83.2)                | 119 (16.5)   |
| **Monthly household income (ETB)** |                      |                          |              |
| <1750                           | 43 (11.1)                        | 346 (88.9)               | 389 (56.1)   |
| ≥1750                           | 38 (12.5)                        | 287 (87.5)               | 305 (43.9)   |
| **Body mass index (kg/m²)**     |                                  |                          |              |
| <18                             | 6 (14.6)                         | 35 (85.4)                | 41 (5.7)     |
| 18-24                           | 66 (11.0)                        | 536 (89.0)               | 602 (83.4)   |
| ≥25                             | 11 (13.9)                        | 68 (86.1)                | 79 (10.9)    |

| Variables and coding categories | Undiagnosed diabetic case, n (%) | Non-diabetic case, n (%) | Total, n (%) |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| **History of Hypertension**     |                                  |                          |              |
| Yes                             | 32 (53.3)                        | 28 (46.7)                | 60 (8.3)     |
| No                              | 51 (7.7)                         | 611 (92.3)               | 662 (91.7)   |
| **Diabetes family history**     |                                  |                          |              |
| Yes                             | 39 (23.1)                        | 130 (76.9)               | 169 (23.7)   |
| No                              | 44 (8.1)                         | 501 (91.9)               | 545 (76.3)   |
| **History of gestational diabetes** |                                  |                          |              |
| Yes                             | 25 (45.5)                        | 30 (54.5)                | 55 (8.1)     |
| No                              | 55 (8.9)                         | 566 (91.1)               | 621 (91.9)   |
| **History of having baby weighing >4 kg at birth** | | | |
| Yes                             | 15 (10.6)                        | 127 (89.4)               | 142 (21.4)   |
| No                              | 64 (12.4)                        | 457 (87.7)               | 521 (78.6)   |
| **Current smoking**             |                                  |                          |              |
| Yes                             | 5 (3.9)                          | 126 (96.2)               | 131 (18.3)   |
| No                              | 78 (13.4)                        | 505 (86.6)               | 583 (81.7)   |
| **Current alcohol drinking**    |                                  |                          |              |
| Yes                             | 42 (9.4)                         | 403 (90.6)               | 445 (62.3)   |
| No                              | 41 (15.2)                        | 228 (84.8)               | 269 (37.7)   |
| **Frequency of eating fruits and vegetables** | | | |
| <3 times per week               | 21 (10.1)                        | 187 (89.9)               | 208 (29.2)   |
| 3-4 times per week              | 40 (11.3)                        | 313 (88.7)               | 353 (49.6)   |
| >4 times per week               | 22 (14.6)                        | 129 (85.4)               | 151 (21.2)   |
| **Physical activity level in a typical week** | | | |
| Low (<600 MET value)            | 23 (14.1)                        | 140 (85.9)               | 163 (22.5)   |
| Moderate (600-1200 MET value)   | 13 (14.6)                        | 76 (85.4)                | 89 (12.3)    |
| High (>1200 MET value)          | 47 (10.0)                        | 423 (90.0)               | 470 (65.1)   |
| **Sedentary behaviour**         |                                  |                          |              |
| <240 minutes per day            | 48 (9.2)                         | 475 (90.8)               | 523 (73.0)   |
| ≥240 minutes per day            | 34 (17.6)                        | 159 (82.4)               | 193 (27.0)   |

MET=metabolic equivalent
with undiagnosed diabetes mellitus found that the age of the participants, their marital status, history of hypertension, diabetes family history, history of gestational DM, current smoking practices and sedentary behaviour were the main factors that revealed statistical significant association with undiagnosed diabetes mellitus. The odds ratio of undiagnosed DM was about 4 times higher (AOR=3.62, 95%CI=1.12, 12.09) in those study participants aged 35-44 years, about 6 times higher (AOR=5.99, 95%CI=1.54, 23.24) in those study participants aged 45-54 years, compared to those aged 25-34 years. The occurrence of undiagnosed DM among single study participants were about 91% less likely (AOR=0.09, 95%CI=0.02, 0.42) compared to those currently in union study participants. In this study, the odds of undiagnosed diabetes mellitus were about 4.5 times higher (AOR=4.5, 95%CI=1.74, 11.63) among those study participants having previous history of hypertension compared to those without previous history of hypertension. The odds of undiagnosed diabetes mellitus were about 10 times higher (AOR=9.86, 95%CI=4.25, 22.89) among those participants with history of diabetes among 1st degree relatives compared to those without the family history of diabetes (Table 3).

The study also found that the occurrence of undiagnosed DM was about 3 times higher (AOR=3.01, 95%CI=1.17, 8.39) among those with a history of gestational diabetes. The occurrence of undiagnosed DM was about 95% less likely (AOR=0.05, 95%CI=0.01, 0.17) among those studied population without current smoking practices compared to those with the practices. Moreover, the odds of undiagnosed DM were about 2 times higher (AOR=2.13, 95%CI=1.04, 4.34) among those participants with sedentary behaviour (more than 4 hours per day) compared to those having sedentary behaviour of less than 4 hours per day. Lastly, the current study found that sex, body mass index, alcohol drinking practices, socioeconomic factors like educational status, income, and healthy behaviours like physical activity, eating fruits and vegetables, didn’t reveal a statistically significant association with undiagnosed DM (Table 3).

### Discussions

The present study found that the percentage of people living with undiagnosed DM in our study area is 11.5%. The results obtained were comparable to those of Zhou et al. On the other hand, we have found a relatively higher proportion of undiagnosed DM compared to the results of a previous local study. This might be due to the geographical differences and associated lifestyle among the studied population.

The prevalence is even higher when compared to the 2012 country level, as estimated by the IDF. This relative higher prevalence of undiagnosed DM in this study is in line with the worldwide expected greatest increase of DM, especially in developing countries. The major incidence of diabetes in developing countries will be due to the increase of urbanization and lifestyle changes, which include increasingly sedentary behaviours, less physical activity and nutrition transition, as indicated by the higher intake of foods that are rich in calories but nutrient-poor.

Our finding showed that most cases of undiagnosed DM were more likely to be greater than 35 years old. The occurrence was significantly higher among older age group participants compared to younger age groups, which is in line with the previous local study. This is probably due to people’s tendency to exercise less, lose muscle mass and gain weight as they get older. It is established

### Table 3. Multivariate logistic regression analysis of factors associated with undiagnosed diabetes among residents of East Gojjam, northwest Ethiopia, 2016.

| Variables                                | Coding categories     | AOR (95%CI) |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| Living residence                         | Urban                 | 1.18 (0.55, 2.53) |
|                                          | Rural                 | 1           |
| Sex of study participants                | Male                  | 1.43 (0.72, 2.81) |
|                                          | Female                | 1           |
| Age group of participants (years)        | 25-34                 | 1           |
|                                          | 35-44                 | 3.62 (1.12, 12.09) |
|                                          | 45-54                 | 5.99 (1.54, 23.24) |
|                                          | 55-64                 | 3.59 (0.86, 14.95) |
|                                          | ≥65                   | 3.33 (0.80, 13.84) |
| Marital status                           | Currently married     | 1           |
|                                          | Never married         | 0.09 (0.02, 0.42)** |
|                                          | Divorced              | 0.84 (0.26, 2.76) |
|                                          | Widowed               | 0.90 (0.33, 2.48) |
| Education status                         | No formal education   | 1           |
|                                          | Primary education     | 0.84 (0.34, 2.06) |
|                                          | Secondary education   | 1.33 (0.43, 4.17) |
|                                          | Certificate and above | 1.39 (0.42, 4.61) |
| Monthly household income (ETB)           | <7500                 | 1.03 (0.55, 1.91) |
|                                          | ≥7500                 | 1           |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)                  | <18                   | 1.58 (0.56, 5.02) |
|                                          | 18-24                 | 1           |
|                                          | ≥25                   | 1.32 (0.51, 3.42) |
| History of hypertension                  | Yes                   | 4.50 (1.74, 11.63)** |
|                                          | No                    | 1           |
| Diabetes family history                  | Yes                   | 9.86 (4.25, 22.89)** |
|                                          | No                    | 1           |
| History of gestational diabetes          | Yes                   | 3.01 (1.17, 8.39)* |
|                                          | No                    | 1           |
| Current smoking                          | Yes                   | 1           |
|                                          | No                    | 0.05 (0.01, 0.17)** |
| Current alcohol drinking                 | Yes                   | 0.53 (0.28, 1.03) |
|                                          | No                    | 1           |
| Physical activity level in a typical week| Low                   | 0.56 (0.21, 1.45) |
|                                          | Moderate              | 1           |
|                                          | High                  | 0.49 (0.21, 1.16) |
| Sedentary behaviour                      | <240 minutes per day  | 1           |
|                                          | ≥240 minutes per day  | 2.13 (1.04, 4.34)* |

*P<0.05, **P<0.005

![Figure 1. Prevalence of undiagnosed diabetes mellitus of the zone by districts and sex, northwest Ethiopia, 2016.](image)
that the more fatty tissue people have, the more resistant their cells become to insulin. Moreover, we found a higher prevalence of undiagnosed DM among married participants which is also in line with the previous study. This finding, however, is in contrast with another study conducted elsewhere, which revealed no statistically significant association between marital status and DM. Thus, further studies might be required to explore this association.

In this study, relative occurrence of undiagnosed DM was significantly higher among study participants with a history of hypertension, which is consistent with previous studies. This occurrence partially due to physiological traits; this means that the effects caused by each disease tend to make the other disease more likely to occur. In addition, the two diseases are more likely to occur together simply because they have important shared risk factors. We also demonstrated that study participants with sedentary behaviour were at higher risk of diabetes mellitus, which is also consistent with previous studies conducted elsewhere. This may be due to the fact that physical activity helps one to control his/her weight, use up glucose as energy and make once cells more sensitive to insulin. Respondents with a history of diabetes among 1st degree relatives were at higher risk of undiagnosed diabetes mellitus, which is supported by previous studies. This might be attributed to the genetics of diabetes. In addition, lifestyle choices tend to run in the family. Sedentary parents tend to have sedentary children. Parents with unhealthy eating habits are likely to pass them on to the next generation. Moreover genetics play a big part in determining weight. Also, the current study found that undiagnosed diabetes were significantly higher in those participants with history of gestational diabetes. It is an established fact that individuals with gestational diabetes have an increased risk of developing diabetes mellitus in the future. Furthermore, the present study found the occurrence of undiagnosed diabetes was significantly higher among those participants with current smoking practices, which is also supported by previous studies conducted elsewhere. Several reasons have been suggested to elaborate these associations. It has been established that smoking is a possible risk factor for insulin resistance as well as worsen glucose metabolism. In addition it is known that smoking has been associated with a risk of chronic pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer, which intern leads to the risk of diabetes.

Finally, the present study found that socioeconomic status don’t affect significantly the association with undiagnosed DM, which is consistent with a previous study conducted elsewhere. In contrast to these findings, another study has showed an inverse association between diabetes mellitus and socioeconomic status. Accordingly, developing countries are experiencing an increased risk of diabetes, compared to other countries.

The strength of this study is that we used a community-based approach based on the WHO standards to assess DM status, in order to enhance generalizability of our findings. However, the study might have several limitations: social desirability bias in giving the correct responses, though conditions are settled to remove/minimize this; recall bias for questions asking prior conditions of the participants; temporal relationship is not covered due to the nature of cross sectional study. In addition, it provided a chance for interviewer bias, as the study is interviewer based.

Conclusions

In conclusion, this study found a relatively high prevalence of undiagnosed diabetes in the study area. The occurrence of the undiagnosed DM was significantly higher when associated with the age of the participants, their marital status, history of hypertension, diabetes familiar history, history of gestational diabetes mellitus, current smoking practices and sedentary behaviour. Thus, to minimise the problems associated with the occurrence of DM, efforts have to be made, particularly by the individuals involved in health practice, to early detect the disease and thereby initiate a suitable therapeutic service, before complications arise. In addition, a healthy behaviour is strongly suggested (i.e. stop smoking and watching television).
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