Vitamin D receptor activation reduces VCaP xenograft tumor growth and counteracts ERG activity despite induction of TMPRSS2:ERG
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ABSTRACT

Whether vitamin D is chemopreventive and/or has potential therapeutically in prostate cancer is unresolved. One confounding factor is that many prostate cancers express a TMPRSS2:ERG fusion gene whose expression is increased both by androgens and by vitamin D receptor (VDR) activation. Two challenges that limit VDR agonist use clinically are hypercalcemia and the cooperation of VDR with ERG to hyper-induce the 1α,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ metabolizing enzyme, CYP24A1, thus reducing VDR activity. Using the VCaP TMPRSS2:ERG positive cell line as a model, we found that a nonsecosteroidal CYP24A1 resistant VDR agonist, VDRM2, substantially reduces growth of xenograft tumors without inducing hypercalcemia. Utilizing next generation RNA sequencing, we found a very high overlap of 1,25D(OH)₂D₃ and VDRM2 regulated genes and by drawing upon previously published datasets to create an ERG signature, we found activation of VDR does not induce ERG activity above the already high basal levels present in VCaP cells. Moreover, we found VDR activation opposes 8 of the 10 most significant ERG regulated Hallmark gene set collection pathways from Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA). Thus, a CYP24A1 resistant VDR agonist may be beneficial for treatment of TMPRSS2:ERG positive prostate cancer; one negative consequence of TMPRSS2:ERG expression is inactivation of VDR signaling.

INTRODUCTION

The role of vitamin D in chemoprevention or treatment of prostate cancer is controversial. Some epidemiological studies have found an inverse correlation between sunlight exposure or serum vitamin D metabolite levels and risk of prostate cancer or aggressive disease, but others have found no correlation or even an inverse correlation [1–8]. 1α,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ (1,25D(OH)₂D₃) is the active vitamin D metabolite and is a ligand for the vitamin D receptor (VDR), a hormone activated transcription factor. VDR is widely expressed including in prostate and in prostate cancer. Treatment of prostate cancer cell lines with 1,25D(OH)₂D₃ typically is growth inhibitory in vitro although the extent of growth inhibition varies [9–11]. Inadequate dietary vitamin D results in elevated proliferation in mouse prostate epithelium [12] and some prostate cancer cell xenograft studies have shown a reduction in tumor growth upon VDR activation [13–15]. Despite promising pre-clinical results, clinical application of 1,25D(OH)₂D₃ has been disappointing with minimal to no effect reported [16, 17]. The best characterized physiological role for VDR is regulation of calcium and bone. Thus, one
VDR action in prostate cancer has been studied in a limited number of models. About half of human prostate cancers contain a chromosomal rearrangement between the TMPRSS2 promoter and the coding region of an ETS transcription factor forming a TMPRSS2:ETS fusion gene [21]. The most common TMPRSS2:ETS fusion is TMPRSS2:ERG; this fusion promotes growth in prostate cancer cells, in mouse prostate, and in xenograft models [22–26]. TMPRSS2:ERG is induced by both the androgen receptor (AR) [21] and, as we have shown, VDR [10] raising the concern that VDR action in these tumors might be growth promoting rather than inhibitory. However, basal levels of ERG in fusion positive VCaP cells are 2000-fold higher than fusion negative LNCaP cells [21]. This raises the question of whether AR or VDR-mediated induction of TMPRSS2:ERG further increases ERG activity or if ERG activity already is maximal in these cells. As we have shown, one novel and potentially harmful effect of elevated ERG is its cooperation with VDR to hyper-induce the 1,25D(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3} metabolizing enzyme, CYP24A1, reducing levels of 1,25D(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3} and thus VDR activity [27]. We have shown that EB1089, a less calcemic 1,25D(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3} analog that is reported to be resistant to CYP24A1, inhibits growth of LNCaP xenograft tumors [13], but was unsuccessful in inhibiting growth of TMPRSS2:ERG expressing VCaP xenograft tumors [27]. This may have been due to an inability to deliver sufficient levels of agonist to reduce growth in the VCaP model \textit{in vivo} without inducing hypercalcemia [27]. This left the question of whether any VDR agonist could inhibit growth of TMPRSS2:ERG positive cells \textit{in vivo} unanswered.

In this study, we have tested a novel nonsecosteroidal VDR agonist, VDRM2, which has a large safety margin against hypercalcemia and is not predicted to be a substrate for CYP24A1 [28]. Although nonsecosteroidal agonists are less potent, VDRM2 was as efficacious in reducing growth of VCaP cells \textit{in vitro} as was 1,25D(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3}, it shared a nearly identical gene expression profile, and reduced VCaP tumor growth without inducing hypercalcemia \textit{in vivo}. A comparison of our gene expression data with available data sets revealed that the level of ERG in VCaP cells was so high that neither treatment with 1,25D(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3} nor an androgen receptor agonist increased ERG target gene expression. Moreover, Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) showed that treatment with 1,25D(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3} or VDRM2 counteracted the ERG dependent enrichment of c-Myc, E2F, and other Hallmark concepts.

**RESULTS**

**VDRM2 induces VDR target genes and reduces growth of VCaP cells**

To determine the efficacy of VDRM2 in prostate cancer cells, we compared the activity of 1,25D(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3} and VDRM2. 1,25D(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3} is a secosteroid and is the active metabolite for VDR (Figure 1A). VDRM2 (LSN2148936) (Figure 1B) is a nonsecosteroidal VDR agonist that has been shown to restore bone mineral density in osteopenic, ovariectomized rats [28]. Although VDRM2 is much less potent than 1,25D(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3}, the window between the concentration required to achieve a desired biological response in bone and the concentration that causes hypercalcemia is 57-fold for VDRM2 compared to 7.3-fold for 1,25D(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3} [28]. Treatment of VCaP cells with 1,25D(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3} or VDRM2 resulted in a dose dependent increase in mRNA of VDR target genes CYP24A1 (Figure 1C) and TMPRSS2 (Figure 1D). The EC\textsubscript{50} values for CYP24A1 and TMPRSS2 were calculated based on Figure 1C and Figure 1D, respectively. For CYP24A1, the EC\textsubscript{50} for 1,25D(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3} is 13.84 nM (Supplementary Figure 1A) and 298.54 nM for VDRM2 (Supplementary Figure 1B). For TMPRSS2, the EC\textsubscript{50} for 1,25D(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3} is 1.89 nM (Supplementary Figure 1C) and 52.12 nM for VDRM2 (Supplementary Figure 1D). Fold change calculations for CYP24A1 induction by 1,25D(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3} are as follows, 10 nM (63.8×), 30 nM (604×), and 100 nM (722×) and for VDRM2, 300 nM (201×), 1 µM (333×), and 3 µM (386×) relative to vehicle control. Fold change calculations for TMPRSS2 for induction by 1,25D(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3} are as follows, 3 nM (265×), 10 nM (3.6×), 30 nM (4.1×), and 100 nM (3.5×) and for VDRM2, 100 nM (2.6×), 300 nM (2.8×), 1 µM (3.1×), and 3 µM (3.4×) relative to vehicle control. Additionally, using concentrations of agonist optimized for cell growth reduction, 1,25D(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3} and VDRM2 both reduce growth of VCaP cells at day 9 and day 12 post seeding (Figure 1E). Importantly, VDRM2 reduces growth of VCaP cells to the same extent as 1,25D(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3}, although higher concentrations are needed as expected. Treatment with either VDR agonist increases VCaP cell doubling time from about 52 hours to about 62 hours (Figure 1F).

**VDRM2-dependent VDR activity is sustained in VCaP cells**

We have shown previously that there is a hyper-induction of CYP24A1 mRNA levels in VCaP cells treated with 1,25D(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3} due to collaboration of ERG with VDR; consequently, 1,25D(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3}-dependent VDR activity is reduced in a time-dependent manner consistent with metabolism of 1,25D(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3} [27]. VDRM2 is structurally dissimilar to 1,25D(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3} and would not be predicted to be a substrate for CYP24A1. To determine whether VDRM2 is metabolized or inactivated in VCaP cells, the levels of VDR-mediated gene expression were measured as a surrogate for stability of VDR agonists. VCaP cells were treated with sub-maximum doses of either 1,25D(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3} or VDRM2 for 96 and 24 hours and RNA was isolated. In contrast to 1,25D(OH)\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{3}, which lost activity at 96 hours, VDRM2-dependent
VDR activity is increased in a time-dependent manner as measured by increased mRNA expression of VDR target genes CYP24A1 (Figure 2A) and TRPV6 (Figure 2B). To determine whether the difference observed between 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$ and VDRM2 was due to differences in agonist concentration, the assay was also completed using 100 nM and 300 nM 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$ and again 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$-dependent VDR activity was reduced in a time-dependent manner measured by decreased mRNA expression of VDR target genes CYP24A1 (Figure 2C) and TRPV6 (Figure 2D). To further examine remaining activity of the VDR agonists after incubation with VCaP cells, we assayed the residual VDR agonist in the medium. 293T cells were transfected with plasmids for an agonist dependent VDR-RXR two-hybrid luciferase reporter and treated with conditioned medium from the VCaP cells.

Figure 1: VDRM2 induces VDR target genes and reduces VCaP cell growth. (A) Chemical structure of the active vitamin D metabolite 1α,25-dihydroxyvitamin D$_3$ (1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$). (B) Chemical structure of non-secosteroidal VDR agonist, VDRM2 (LSN2148936). (C, D) VCaP cells were treated with the indicated doses of vehicle (EtOH), 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$ (1,25D), or VDRM2 for 24 hours, harvested, and RNA was purified. VDR target genes CYP24A1 and TMPRSS2 were measured by RT-qPCR and normalized to 18S. (E) VCaP cells were treated with the indicated doses of vehicle (EtOH), 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$, or VDRM2 for twelve days; medium and treatments were replenished every third day. Cells were counted using a Beckman-Coulter Counter. (F) Doubling time was calculated for vehicle (EtOH), 100 nM 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$, or 3 µM VDRM2 treated cells from day 9 to day 12 from three independent experiments. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, relative to vehicle control. n = 3, representative graph, mean ± SEM.
or hormones incubated with medium alone (see Figure 3A for a schematic of the assay and methods). We found that VDRM2-dependent VDR activity is maintained after incubation with VCaP cells whereas 1,25D(OH)_{2}D_{3}-dependent activity is significantly reduced at sub-maximum (30 nM) (Figure 3B) and higher concentrations (100 nM or 300 nM) of 1,25D(OH)_{2}D_{3} (Figure 3C). Neither 1,25D(OH)_{2}D_{3} nor VDRM2-dependent VDR activity is lost when the ligands are incubated without cells (mock) suggesting the ligands are stable within the times tested and the maintenance of VDRM2-dependent signaling is due to lack of metabolism. As depicted in our model, these findings suggest that high basal levels of ERG, due to the TMPRSS2:ERG fusion gene, cooperate with VDR on the CYP24A1 promoter to hyper-induce CYP24A1 expression leading to reduced 1,25D(OH)_{2}D_{3}-dependent VDR activity and signaling (dashed arrow) (Figure 4A). In contrast, VDRM2 is not inactivated by the increased expression of CYP24A1 and therefore VDRM2-dependent VDR activity and signaling remains intact (Figure 4B).

**VDRM2 reduces growth of VCaP xenograft tumors**

Whether a VDR agonist can reduce the growth of a prostate cancer cell line containing a TMPRSS2:ERG rearrangement in vivo has not been resolved. To address this deficiency, we used the VCaP cell line in the DRS xenograft

**Figure 2: VDRM2-dependent VDR activity is sustained in VCaP cells.** (A, B) VCaP cells were treated with vehicle (EtOH), 30 nM 1,25D(OH)_{2}D_{3} (1,25D) or 300 nM VDRM2 for 96 or 24 hours, harvested, and RNA was purified. VDR target genes CYP24A1 and TRPV6 were measured using RT-qPCR and normalized to 18S. (C, D) VCaP cells were treated with vehicle (EtOH), 100 nM or 300 nM 1,25D(OH)_{2}D_{3} for 96 or 24 hours, harvested, and RNA purified. VDR target genes CYP24A1 and TRPV6 were measured using RT-qPCR and normalized to 18S. **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, relative to respective 24 hour time point. n = 3, representative graph, mean ± SEM.
Figure 3: VDRM2 is not metabolized in VCaP cells. (A) Diagram of the experimental procedure. VCaP cells were treated with vehicle (EtOH), 30 nM, 100 nM, or 300 nM 1,25D(OH)₂D₃ (1,25D), or 300 nM VDRM2 for 96 or 24 hours (Conditioned medium). Plates with no cells were incubated with the same concentrations of agonists for 96 hours (Mock) and fresh medium was prepared with the same concentrations of agonists at the end of the 96 hour incubation (Control). 293T cells were transfected with a VDR-RXR two-hybrid luciferase reporter as described in methods. A total of 100 µl of conditioned medium from VCaP cells in Figure 2 as well as media from the mock and control conditions were added to the transfected 293T cells in 1.5 ml of medium for 24 hours and then harvested. The cells were lysed and luciferase activity was measured and normalized to β-galactosidase activity. (B) Media assayed from VCaP cells treated with vehicle (EtOH), sub-maximum concentrations of 1,25D(OH)₂D₃ (30 nM), or 300 nM VDRM2 from Figure 2A, 2B. (C) Media assayed from VCaP cells treated with vehicle (EtOH) or higher concentrations of 1,25D(OH)₂D₃ (100 nM or 300 nM) from Figure 2C, 2D. **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, relative to respective 24 hour time point. n = 3, representative graph, mean ± SEM.
model (a combination of prostate cancer cells, prostate stromal cells (HPS-19I), and Matrigel) [29]. Twenty male NCr Nude mice were randomly assigned to either vehicle (sesame oil), or VDRM2 (3 µg/kg) groups. VCaP cells and HPS-19I cells were mixed with Matrigel and injected subcutaneously into the left and right flank of each mouse. The day after injection of the tumors, mice started treatment via oral gavage five days per week. VDRM2 treated mice had smaller average tumor volumes at day 47 and 53 post implantation (Figure 5A) and significantly reduced tumor mass (Figure 5B). Images of tumors from vehicle (Figure 5C) and VDRM2-treated (Figure 5D) mice display marked size differences. Compared to the initial measurement, there was no statistically significant reduction in average start versus end weights of the treated mice (Figure 5E). A critical limitation of studies using VDR agonists is the possibility of hypercalcemia. Serum calcium levels were significantly elevated in VDRM2-treated mice; however, the average serum calcium level for VDRM2 treated mice was within the normal range indicated by the lines on the graph (Figure 5F). To determine whether VDRM2 is acting systemically, we measured renal Cyp24a1 mRNA and found significant induction in VDRM2-treated mice as expected (Supplementary Figure 2).

Figure 4: Hyper-induction of CYP24A1 limits the 1,25D(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) but not the VDRM2-dependent actions of VDR. The presence of the TMPRSS2:ERG fusion gene leads to high basal levels of ERG that cooperate with activated VDR to hyper-induce CYP24A1 expression in VCaP cells. (A) 1,25D(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\) (1,25D) is a substrate for CYP24A1 and 1,25D(OH)\(_2\)D\(_3\)-dependent VDR activity is reduced after incubation with VCaP cells. (B) VDRM2 is not predicted to be a substrate for CYP24A1 and VDRM2-dependent VDR activity is sustained after incubation with VCaP cells. VDRE: Vitamin D response element, EBS: Ets binding site.
Figure 5: VDRM2 reduces tumor growth in a VCaP xenograft model. (A) Tumor measurements were taken using digital calipers once per week starting on Day 22 when the tumors were palpable for measuring. \( n = 9 \) vehicle, \( n = 9 \) VDRM2. (B) At the end of the study mice were euthanized and tumors were removed and weighed using a digital balance. \( n = 9 \) vehicle, \( n = 9 \) VDRM2. (C) Images of the tumors harvested from vehicle-treated mice. (D) Images of the tumors harvested from VDRM2-treated mice. (E) Mice were weighed at the start and end of the study and weights plotted. \( n = 10 \) vehicle, \( n = 8 \) VDRM2. (F) Under deep anesthesia mice were exsanguinated via cardiac puncture and serum was separated from the blood. Serum samples were sent to the Baylor College of Medicine Pathology Core for serum calcium analysis. \( n = 10 \) vehicle, \( n = 8 \) VDRM2. \(*p < 0.01, **p < 0.001, \) mean ± SEM.
RNA-sequencing reveals extensive overlap of 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$ and VDRM2 regulated genes and GSEA shows that c-Myc signaling is a major target of VDR action

We used next generation sequencing to compare the transcriptome of 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$ and VDRM2-treated VCaP cells at concentrations of ligands that yielded comparable levels of CYP24A1 induction in pilot analyses. A heat map shows 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$ and VDRM2 mediated gene expression is very similar (Figure 6A). Comparing the numbers of genes upregulated (Figure 6B) and downregulated (Figure 6C) at least 1.5-fold reveals a greater than 80% overlap of induced VDR target genes. An examination of the “unique” genes revealed that most were also regulated, but fell below the 1.5-fold cut off increasing the percent regulated by both ligands to > 90% (Supplementary Tables 1–4). Lists of the top 30 genes upregulated or downregulated by 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$ (Supplementary Tables 5, 6) and VDRM2 (Supplementary Tables 7, 8) have been provided. The list contains a number of previously identified VDR regulated genes including CYP24A1, SULT1C2, TRPV6, TMPRSS2, and CEBPβ as well as a number of novel genes. To query pathways regulated by VDR ligand treatment, Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) was completed. Analysis of the top 5 Hallmark gene set pathways shows a reduction in c-Myc and E2F pathways by both agonists consistent with our understanding of VDR signaling in the LNCaP lineage of prostate cancer cells [30, 31]. This further confirms the similarity of pathways regulated by 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$ and VDRM2, and suggests our dataset is a useful tool for further inquiry into VDR regulated pathways (Figure 6D) (see Supplementary Table 9 for normalized enrichment scores and q-values).

Treatment of VCaP cells with VDR agonist does not induce an ERG target gene signature

We have previously reported that despite induction of ERG, 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$ reduces growth of VCaP cells [10]. However, we have not addressed whether 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$ induces a pattern of gene expression consistent with an ERG target gene signature. Comparatively, VCaP cells express several orders of magnitude higher ERG mRNA than LNCaP cells at basal levels and there is no induction of ERG in LNCaP cells with 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$ or R1881 (Figure 7A). Consistent with this, in previous studies (Kim et al. ref Figure 4B), we found that although ERG protein was highly expressed in VCaP and somewhat further induced by 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$, ERG protein was undetectable in LNCaP cells [27]. By drawing upon previously published datasets as well as our own, we have created an ERG signature. The ERG dataset (E-MTAB-2838) is from VCaP cells treated with siRNA targeting the type IV TMPRSS2:ERG fusion variant for 48 hours [32], the DHT dataset (GSE62473) is from VCaP cells treated with dihydrotestosterone (DHT) for 24 hours, and the 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$ dataset is from our RNA-seq from VCaP cells treated with 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$ for 24 hours. A Venn diagram shows the number of genes significantly downregulated upon treatment with siRNA targeting ERG (siERG IVB) (i.e. ERG induced), the number of genes significantly upregulated by 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$, treatment, and the number of genes significantly upregulated by DHT treatment in VCaP cells (Figure 7B). We formed the ERG signature based on the genes that were significantly downregulated in the siERG IVB group versus control siRNA treated cells. By comparing this signature to the genes significantly upregulated by 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$ or DHT versus vehicle treatment, we found that of the ERG-regulated genes, only 3.9% overlapped with 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$-regulated genes and 3.7% overlapped with DHT-regulated genes. This suggests that the basal amount of ERG in VCaP cells is high enough to induce the ERG target gene signature and the additional increase in ERG transcript levels with either 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$ or DHT does not have a significant impact on expression of ERG target genes. Furthermore, by comparing GSEA from siRNA control vs ERG IVB to 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$ or VDRM2-treated VCaP cells, we found treatment with either ligand inversely correlates with 8 of the 10 top ERG-regulated Hallmark gene set concepts suggesting that despite the induction of ERG transcript levels, 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$ or VDRM2 treatment has a net negative effect on pathways regulated by ERG (Figure 7C) (see Supplementary Table 10 for normalized enrichment scores and q-values).

DISCUSSION

Despite some promising in vitro and pre-clinical studies, the capacity of activated VDR to inhibit prostate cancer growth in humans is unclear. There are at least two major challenges in using VDR activation as a therapy in prostate cancer. The first is inducing sufficient activation of tumor VDR without adverse systemic side effects. VDR regulates calcium metabolism [33] and high levels of 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$ result in hypercalcemia [34]. Efforts to identify VDR ligands that are relatively more potent than 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$ in inducing activities in specific tissues or in tumors relative to their ability to induce hypercalcemia have yielded some candidates although all retain the capacity to induce hypercalcemia [35–37]. Despite this caveat, these may be more useful than 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$ (calcitriol). A second confounding factor in comparing the pre-clinical studies with studies in humans is that more than 50% of human tumors contain the TMPRSS2:ERG rearrangement. We have shown that 1,25D(OH)$_2$D$_3$ increases expression of TMPRSS2:ERG [10] and that ERG acts synergistically with VDR to hyper-induce CYP24A1, the enzyme that inactivates
Figure 6: RNA sequencing reveals extensive overlap of 1,25D(OH)_{2}D_{3} and VDRM2-regulated genes and GSEA recapitulates known VDR target pathways in prostate cancer. VCaP cells were treated with vehicle, 10 nM 1,25D(OH)_{2}D_{3} (1,25D), or 1 µM VDRM2 for 24 hours and RNA was purified. Transcriptomic profiling was assessed using RNA-Seq on an Illumina HiSeq2000. (A) Heat map showing an ANOVA comparison of vehicle, 1,25D(OH)_{2}D_{3}, or VDRM2-treated VCaP cells (q < 0.25). (B) Venn diagram comparing genes upregulated at least 1.5-fold by 1,25D(OH)_{2}D_{3} or VDRM2. (C) Venn diagram comparing genes downregulated at least 1.5-fold by 1,25D(OH)_{2}D_{3} or VDRM2. (D) The top 5 pathways significantly regulated via GSEA using the Hallmark gene set collection, sorted in ascending order by the normalized enrichment score (NES).
Figure 7: Defined ERG target gene signature is not increased in 1,25D(OH)2D3-treated VCaP cells. (A) LNCaP or VCaP cells were changed to stripped serum and treated for 24 hours with vehicle (EtOH), 10 nM 1,25D(OH)2D3 (1,25D), 100 nM 1,25D(OH)2D3, or 10 nM R1881 and RNA was purified. ERG mRNA was measured by RT-qPCR and normalized to 18S. (B) Venn diagram showing comparison of a previously published microarray from VCaP cells treated with siRNA against ERG (siERG IVB), a previously published microarray from VCaP cells treated with DHT, and our RNA-seq data from VCaP cells treated with 10 nM 1,25D(OH)2D3. The siERG IVB group denotes genes significantly downregulated at least 1.5-fold relative to control siRNA (genes requiring ERG for expression) and the DHT and 1,25D(OH)2D3 groups denote genes significantly upregulated at least 1.5-fold relative to vehicle control. (C) The top 10 pathways significantly regulated via GSEA using the Hallmark gene set collection comparing siERG IVB (ERG induced), 1,25D(OH)2D3, and VDRM2-treated VCaP cells plotted as normalized enrichment score. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.001, relative to respective LNCaP treatment. n = 3, representative graph, mean ± SEM.
1,25D(OH)₂D₃ [27]. Depletion of ERG in VCaP cells reduced VDR mediated induction of CYP24A1 in VCaP cells without altering induction of other VDR target genes [27]. Moreover, lentiviral mediated expression of ERG in LNCaP cells also greatly increased the VDR-mediated induction of CYP24A1 showing that this is not limited to one cell background [27]. TMPRSS2:ERG is growth promoting in VCaP prostate cancer cells and in mouse models [23–25], although whether androgen or 1,25D(OH)₂D₃ induction of the already highly expressed TMPRSS2:ERG increases ERG activity had not been examined.

There is evidence that a high level of CYP24A1 and/or other factors that limit tumor levels of 1,25D(OH)₂D₃ can prevent VDR mediated growth inhibition [38, 39]. CYP24A1 is overexpressed in prostate cancer [39]. In the DU145 prostate cancer cell line, CYP24A1 is overexpressed causing resistance to 1,25D(OH)₂D₃-mediated growth inhibition unless CYP24A1 activity is blocked by inhibitors [38, 40]. Furthermore, a reduction of CYP24A1 expression in DU145 xenograft tumors results in robust 1,25D(OH)₂D₃-mediated growth inhibition in vivo in an otherwise recalcitrant cell line [39]. Systemic inhibition of CYP24A1 can result in hypercalcemia and thus we sought to identify a CYP24A1 resistant VDR agonist, which could be given in sufficient quantities to reduce VCaP xenograft growth. In our previous study, the less calcemic, CYP24A1 resistant 1,25D(OH)₂D₃ analog, EB1089, did not reduce VCaP xenograft tumor growth in vivo despite cell growth reduction in vitro [27] and efficacy in LNCaP xenograft tumors [13]. This discrepancy in action between in vitro VCaP cell growth and in vivo xenograft tumor efficacy of EB1089 in the VCaP model is likely due to the limitation of the amount of EB1089 given due to risk of hypercalcemia in vivo. In vitro, LNCaP cells are more responsive to VDR-mediated growth inhibition than are VCaP cells [27] and also responded to EB1089 in vivo [13].

An alternative approach is to utilize nonsecosteroidal VDR agonists that are not predicted to be substrates for CYP24A1 metabolism and show greater discrimination between levels required to induce a desired biological response and those that induce hypercalcemia. A nonsecosteroidal VDR agonist, LG190119, has been shown previously to inhibit growth of LNCaP xenograft tumors in vivo without causing hypercalcemia [15]. We have tested a newer nonsecosteroidal VDR agonist, VDRM2, from Lilly that has been shown to restore bone mineral density in osteopenic, ovariectomized rats [28]. Although it is a less potent agonist than 1,25D(OH)₂D₃ [28], in VCaP cells it induces VDR target genes (Figure 1C, 1D) and, most importantly, reduces cell growth equivalently (Figure 1E) although at higher concentrations than 1,25D(OH)₂D₃. In order to determine the stability of VDRM2 in cells that overexpress CYP24A1, we used two different biological assays and found by VDR target gene induction (Figure 2A, 2B) or activation of a VDR agonist-dependent VDR-RXR two-hybrid reporter (Figure 3B), that VDRM2 is stable and is not lost due to other, unanticipated mechanisms. In contrast, 1,25D(OH)₂D₃ was clearly inactivated presumably through sequential modifications by CYP24A1 (Figure 2A–2D; Figure 3B, 3C).

VDRM2 substantially reduced VCaP xenograft growth without significant adverse effects. Moreover, in this experiment, the mice were maintained on a diet containing 200 IU (5 µg/kg) of dietary vitamin D shown to induce human relevant serum 25-hydroxvitamin D₃ levels in mice [12]. Therefore, the effect on tumor growth, while significant, might have been even greater if we mimicked human vitamin D deficiency. Nevertheless, our study is the first to show that a VDR agonist reduces growth of TMPRSS2:ERG positive xenograft tumors in vivo (Figure 5A–5D). Importantly, VDRM2 did not induce hypercalcemia in most of the treated mice (Figure 5F). Although some mice lost weight, the average weight loss was not statistically significant (Figure 5E). Whether a lower dose of VDRM2 would be equally efficacious in reducing tumor growth with less elevation of calcium is worth testing. Previous studies have shown nonsecosteroidal VDR mimics do not bind well to human or rodent serum vitamin D binding protein (DBP) and it is this attribute that has been suggested to play a role in decreased calcium mobilization [41, 42]. It is important to note we used an athymic mouse model to complete our xenograft studies and thus VDR actions on some components of the immune system were not present in this model. There is great interest in and some success of immune-related therapies [43–46] in cancer. VDR regulates T cells [47], dendritic cells [48, 49], and the reprogramming of pancreatic stromal cells to reduce markers of inflammation [50]. Some of these actions may improve response of tumors to VDR agonists, while others may limit response. This could be addressed, in future by using more advanced humanized mouse models [51–53] to study the immune modulatory effects of VDR activation.

Transcriptome analysis of VDR signaling in prostate cancer cells has been limited to microarray analysis [54–58] and has not included a TMPRSS2:ERG positive cell line. Our study is the first to explore VDR action in prostate cancer using next generation RNA sequencing of the TMPRSS2:ERG positive VCaP cell line. The overlap between 1,25D(OH)₂D₃ and VDRM2 regulated genes (Figure 6B, 6C) including those that are regulated in the same direction, but fall below the 1.5-fold cut-off is extremely high. This suggests that the mechanism for the enhanced window between inducing VDR activity and causing hypercalcemia is not due to selective activation of a sub-set of VDR target genes within the prostate cancer cell. Rather, it likely is due to systemic differences in cell specific uptake, half-life in circulation, and/or actions in other tissues. There is little agreement as to which genes are induced by 1,25D(OH)₂D₃ in prostate cancer cells with
discrepancies between cell lines and even between studies in the same cell type. In this study, we detect induction of CYP24A1 and TRPV6, two VDR target genes regulated in most tissues. Other genes, which have previously been reported to be regulated in LNCaP cells including CEBPδ [59], TMPRSS2 [10], NDRG1 [58], and c-Myc [31] are also found in our data set. However, under the conditions used, we did not detect IGFBP3 regulation [60], for example. Analysis of the Hallmark gene set concepts shows that down-regulation of Myc and E2F targets are the three most significant concepts from GSEA. This is consistent with our finding in the LNCaP/C4-2 lineage that the down-regulation/inactivation of c-Myc mimics the 1,25D(OH)₂D₃-mediated growth inhibition [31]. Because c-Myc induces E2F family members, it is not surprising that E2F concepts are reduced. The fourth category is a reduction in G2/M. In some cell lines, 1,25D(OH)₂D₃ causes a G1 arrest and a reduction in c-Myc also will cause G1 accumulation. The fifth most significant concept is induction of an androgen response. In normal prostate, the role of androgen in the epithelial cells is differentiating and to produce prostate specific secreted proteins such as PSA. Figure 7B shows that about 11% of the VDR-regulated genes also are regulated by androgen receptor.

The question of whether VDR agonist would be beneficial or harmful in TMPRSS2:ERG positive prostate cancer has been an important question since we observed that VDR induces its expression [10]. Even in hormone depleted media, basal levels of ERG in VCaP cells are orders of magnitude higher than in TMPRSS2:ERG negative LNCaP cells (Figure 7A). The addition of either R1881 or 1,25D(OH)₂D₃ increases ERG expression only about 4-fold over basal levels in VCaP cells (Figure 7A). To test whether 1,25D(OH)₂D₃-mediated induction of TMPRSS2:ERG resulted in more ERG activity, we compared the 1,25D(OH)₂D₃ induced genes with a set of genes whose expression is reduced when ERG is reduced (ERG regulated genes). Because androgen receptor also induces TMPRSS2:ERG, we similarly compared with androgen (DHT) regulated genes. As shown in Figure 7B, there was very little overlap of the 1,25D(OH)₂D₃ or DHT signature with the ERG signature. From these observations we conclude there is no significant induction of ERG activity upon the addition of hormone in VCaP cells and the majority of ERG activity is likely from high basal levels of ERG expression possibly due to the open chromatin nature of the region around the TMPRSS2:ERG fusion [61]. One possible limitation of our study is the heterogeneity of TMPRSS2:ERG expression in prostate cancer patient tumors [62–65]. This is particularly important given that the VCaP cell line expresses high basal levels of ERG and addition of 1,25D(OH)₂D₃ or R1881 modestly increases ERG RNA levels but does not induce ERG activity. Given that the patient data demonstrate heterogeneity of ERG expression in TMPRSS2:ERG positive prostate cancer, we cannot completely exclude the possibility that VDR-mediated induction of ERG will cause an increase in ERG activity in cells with low basal levels of TMPRSS2:ERG expression. However, activation of VDR also counteracts many of the actions of ERG. Figure 7C shows the 10 most significant Hallmark GSEA ERG concepts. Remarkably, 1,25D(OH)₂D₃ and VDRM2 have the opposite effect on 8 out of 10 categories and no effect in the other two inflammation-based concepts. Included in the most significant for ERG are the same Myc and E2F concepts that were found to be most significant for 1,25D(OH)₂D₃ and VDRM2.

In summary, we have identified VDRM2 as a VDR agonist that overcomes two challenges to VDR agonist use clinically. VDRM2 significantly reduces TMPRSS2:ERG positive VCaP xenograft tumor growth without causing hypercalcemia or significant weight loss in mice and VDRM2 is stable in cells that overexpress CYP24A1. Moreover, at least in this model, the VDR-mediated induction of TMPRSS2:ERG does not increase ERG activity. Remarkably, VDR counteracts many of the actions of ERG. We speculate that one of the harmful actions of ERG is the synergistic induction of CYP24A1 reducing endogenous VDR activity and that a CYP24A1 resistant VDR agonist may be useful in patients with TMPRSS2:ERG positive tumors.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials and reagents

The VDR agonist 1α,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃, (1,25D(OH)₂D₃) was purchased from Selleck Chemicals (Houston, TX). LSN2148936 (VDRM2) was graciously provided by Eli Lilly and Company (Indianapolis, Indiana) [28]. Agonists were dissolved in 100% ethanol and stored at −20°C protected from light. Vehicle treatments contained no more than 0.1% ethanol. Unless specifically described, all other reagents were a minimum of reagent grade.

Cell lines

VCaP cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA) and cultured in DMEM F12 1:1 (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). LNCaP cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection and cultured in RPMI 1640 (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum. 293T cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection and cultured in DMEM (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum. HPS-19I cells were cultured in BFS medium (90% DMEM, 5% fetal calf serum, 5% Nu-Serum, penicillin (100 units/ml), streptomycin (100 µg/ml), insulin...
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was used to calculate doubling of 100 MA). After 24 hours, the medium was replenished. A total using Lipofectamine 2000 (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA). and 100 ng pCR 3.1 β-galactosidase expression plasmid [67] using Lipofectamine 2000 (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA). Briefly, 293T cells were transfected with 10 ng pCMV-AD-hVDR (prey), 30 ng pCMV-BD-hRXRα (bait), 500 ng pFR-Luc reporter gene (gifts of Dr. Peter Jurutka) [67] and 100 ng pCR 3.1 β-galactosidase expression plasmid [68] using Lipofectamine 2000 (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA). After 24 hours, the medium was replenished. A total of 100 µl of medium from the treated VCaP cells was added to a corresponding well of the 293T cells containing 1.5 ml of fresh medium. Additionally, 100 µl of medium from the mock condition as well as 100 µl from the control condition was added to a corresponding well of 293T cells. After 24 hours, the 293T cells were harvested in ice-cold phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA), collected by centrifugation for 1 minute at 13,000 rpm, and the PBS was aspirated. Cells were lysed in 200 µl of Reporter Lysis Buffer (Promega, Madison, WI) containing protease inhibitors (GenDepot, Barker, TX) by vortexing the cells until resuspended and completing one freeze/thaw cycle at −80°C. Lysates were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 1 minute. Luciferase activity was measured from 100 µl of lysate using the Luciferase Assay System (Promega, Madison, WI) and β-galactosidase activity was measured using 50 µl of lysate as previously described [69]. The data are reported as luciferase/β-galactosidase activity and expressed as relative light units.

Quantitative RT-PCR
RNA was prepared using TRIzol (GenDepot, Barker, TX). A total of 0.5 µg of RNA was converted to cDNA using amfiRivert cDNA Synthesis Platinum Master Mix (GenDepot, Madison, WI) on an ABI 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System. mRNA quantity was determined by standard curve analysis and the data are reported as a ratio of the quantity of the target gene divided by the quantity of a housekeeping gene. The human primer sets used were as follows: CYP24A1 forward 5′-CCGTAAGCTTCTTTTGCAG-3′ and reverse 5′-CCCAGCGGCTGGAGATC-3′, TRPV6 forward 5′-AGGGATCGGTGTGCCTC-3′ and reverse 5′-AGGGATCGGTGTGCCTC-3′, TMPRSS2 forward 5′-CAGGGCCTGGACATTTA-3′ and reverse 5′-AGA GCCGAGATGAGCAGAAC-3′, TIMP2 forward 5′-GCCGAGATGAGCAGAAC-3′, and reverse 5′-GGGATTCCGGGAGATGAGCAGAAC-3′.

Animal studies
20 male NCr nude sp/sp mice 5 weeks of age were purchased from Taconic (Cambridge City, Indiana). The mice were housed and treated in accordance with IACUC regulations and the NIH Care and Use Guide in the transgenic mouse facility at Baylor College of Medicine. Mice were transitioned to an AIN-93 diet modified (5 µg/ml), and testosterone (0.5 µg/ml) [66]. Cells were cultured in a humidified incubator at 5% CO2 and were cultured for not more than three months before restarting passage. All cells have undergone short tandem repeat (STR) analysis and are routinely tested for mycoplasma. All cell experiments contained three independent samples/treatment and each experiment was completed a minimum of three times unless otherwise indicated.

Growth assay
VCaP cells were plated at 100,000 cells per well in 6-well plates (Corning, Tewksbury, MA). Cells were treated with vehicle (EtOH), 30 nM 1,25D(OH)2, 100 nM 1,25D(OH)2, 300 nM VDRM2, 1 µM VDRM2 or 3 µM VDRM2. Media and treatments were changed every three days. Cell counts were measured using a Beckman-Coulter Counter on Day 0, Day 9, and Day 12 after plating. Doubling time was calculated for vehicle (EtOH), 100 nM 1,25D(OH)2, or 300 nM VDRM2 for 96 hours. Others were treated 72 hours post treatment and each experiment was completed a minimum of three times unless otherwise indicated.

Stability of VDR agonists
Treatment of cells with VDR agonists: VCaP cells were plated at 400,000 cells per well in 6-well plates. Cells were allowed to adhere for 4 days. Media was replenished and some of the cells were treated with vehicle (EtOH), 30 nM, 100 nM, or 300 nM 1,25D(OH)2, or 300 nM VDRM2 for 96 hours. Others were treated 72 hours post medium replenishment with vehicle (EtOH), 30 nM, 100 nM, or 300 nM 1,25D(OH)2, or 300 nM VDRM2 for 24 hours. For the incubation controls, the mock condition was prepared by adding 3 ml of medium to 6-well plates containing no cells and treating with vehicle (EtOH), 30 nM, 100 nM, or 300 nM 1,25D(OH)2, or 300 nM VDRM2 for 96 hours and the control condition was prepared from 3 ml of media containing vehicle (EtOH), 30 nM, 100 nM, or 300 nM 1,25D(OH)2, or 300 nM VDRM2. All cells and conditioned media were harvested at the end of 96 hours. RNA was isolated from the cells and VDR target genes measured. The medium from all conditions was collected and the remaining agonist in the medium was assayed using a mammalian two-hybrid assay, which measures agonist dependent interaction of VDR and its heterodimer partner, RXR. Briefly, 293T cells were transfected with 10 ng pCMV-AD-hVDR (prey), 30 ng pCMV-BD-hRXRα (bait), 500 ng pFR-Luc reporter gene (gifts of Dr. Peter Jurutka) [67] and 100 ng pCR 3.1 β-galactosidase expression plasmid [68] using Lipofectamine 2000 (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA). After 24 hours, the medium was replenished. A total of 100 µl of medium from the treated VCaP cells was
to contain 200 IU/kg of vitamin D that gives serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels similar to human levels [12] from Research Diets Inc. (New Brunswick, NJ).

Xenograft tumors

VCaP cells were trypsinized with 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA) and mixed with HPS-19I prostate stromal cells [70] at a ratio of 4:1 with 2 million VCaP cells, 500,000 HPS-19I cells, and phenol red free Matrigel (Corning, Tewksbury, MA) using the DRS model previously described [29]. The cells were injected subcutaneously into the left and right flank of the mice.

Treatments

Mice were treated 5 days per week via a 22-gauge gavage needle with 50 µl of vehicle (EtOH) or VDRM2 3 µg/kg of body weight dissolved in sesame oil.

Measurements

Mice were weighed twice per week. Once the tumors were palpable, they were measured using digital calipers once per week. Tumor volume was calculated using a modified ellipsoid volume formula: \( \pi/6 \times (L \times W)^{3/2} \). One-Way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test was used to analyze differences in mouse weight. Two-Way ANOVA with Sidak’s multiple comparisons test was used to analyze differences in tumor volume.

Serum calcium analysis

Animals were anesthetized using Isoflurane, USP (Abbott Labs, Irving, Texas) and blood was collected via cardiac puncture at the completion of the study. Blood was allowed to clot at room temperature for at least 30 minutes. The blood was centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 1 min to separate the serum. Serum was collected using a glass Pasteur pipette and transferred to a fresh Eppendorf tube. Serum samples were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. Serum was submitted to the Baylor College of Medicine Pathology Core for serum calcium analysis. An unpaired, two-tailed \( t \)-test was used to analyze differences in serum calcium levels.

Tumor collection

At the completion of the study, mice were anesthetized using Isoflurane, USP. Once the animals were deeply anesthetized, cardiac exsanguination was performed to collect blood followed by cervical dislocation as a secondary method to ensure death. The tumors were measured a final time with digital calipers, and the tumor mass was determined after resection. An unpaired, two-tailed \( t \)-test was used to analyze differences in tumor mass.

Kidney RNA extraction

Kidneys were collected, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C. Kidneys were crushed on dry ice with a metal mallet and transferred to individual 2 ml tubes containing Lysing Matrix D (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, California). One ml of TriReagent was added and kidneys were homogenized using a TissueLyser LT (Qiagen, Germantown, MD). In order to ensure complete homogenization, lysates were added to QIAShredder columns (Qiagen, Germantown, MD) and centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 1 minute. The aqueous phase was extracted by adding 200 µl of chloroform to the lysate followed by shaking and centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 15 minutes at 4°C. Total RNA was purified using the RNeasy RNA Isolation Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD). An unpaired, two-tailed \( t \)-test was used to analyze differences in kidney RNA levels.

RNA sequencing

VCaP cells were plated at 400,000 cells per well in 6-well plates and allowed to adhere for 72 hours. Medium was replenished and the cells were treated with vehicle (EtOH), 10 nM 1,25D(OH)\(_2\)D, or 1 µM VDRM2 for 24 hours. Three biological replicates were used for the RNA sequencing. Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy RNA Isolation Kit. RNA quality was assessed using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) and all samples passed RIN and 28S:18S ratio analysis. Library construction consisted of a 200 bp short-insert library and read length was 100 paired-end sequencing with 50 million reads per sample. Detection of sequencing fragments was via the Illumina HiSeq2000 (Illumina, San Diego, CA). Sequencing data was mapped using TopHat2 [71] onto the human genome, genome build UCC hg19, and gene expression was assessed using Cufflinks2 [72]. Significantly changed genes were determined using the R statistical system; significance was assessed using ANOVA, and the Benjamini-Hochberg method was employed for multiple hypothesis testing correction. Significance was assessed at \( q \)-value < 0.25. The \( q \)-value is a false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted \( p \)-value. Enriched pathways were determined using the Gene Set Enrichment (GSEA) method [73], and the gene set collection from the Molecular Signature Database (MSigDB). Heat maps were generated using the R statistical system.

Statistical analysis

All cell experiments contained three independent samples per treatment and each experiment was completed a minimum of three times unless otherwise indicated. All cell data are plotted as mean ± SEM (standard error of
the mean) and are representative of three independent experiments except Figure 1C–1D where two independent samples per condition were completed a minimum of three times and are averaged on the graph. One-way ANOVA with Tukey’s or Sidak’s multiple comparisons test were used to analyze cell experiment results with GraphPad Prism version 7 (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA) and considered to be statistically significant at $p < 0.05$.
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