Study the rainfall variability and impact of El Nino episode on rainfall and crop productivity at Parbhan
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ABSTRACT. The weekly rainfall data for 36 years (1981-2016) recorded at Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani were analyzed for mean seasonal, weekly rainfall and also weekly rainfall probabilities. The mean seasonal rainfall was 796 mm, received in 38 rainy days. The seasonal rainfall indicated that there is 53% chance of receiving less than 700 mm with variable intensities and 36% chance of getting more than normal rainfall and 11% chance of seasonal rainfall, in between 700 mm to 800 mm. The mean weekly rainfall during crop season was 45.8 mm with a CV of 116%. Highest mean weekly rainfall was recorded 71.8 mm with SD (95.3) and CV (132.7%) in 30 MW. Sowing of Kharif crops should be undertaken during 247 MW to 277 MW. Significant and positive correlation between yield and rainfall was observed for Soybean, Pigeonpea, Black gram, Green gram and rice. The predictability of productivity of crops using seasonal rainfall is 10-20% variation in productivity for all the crops at the Centre. The El Nino episode was negatively influencing Southwest monsoon and annual rainfall as well as during the months of July and September. El Nino episodes exhibit more negative influence on productivity of all the crops except rice crop. Among the different categories of El Nino, weak events exerted more negative impact on productivity of short duration crops (i.e., sorghum, soybean and Black gram) as compared to moderate and strong El Nino events.
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1. Introduction

The water scarcity zone of Maharashtra is characterized by inadequate, distributed and unpredictable rainfall. Rainfall studies particularly probability analysis is of great help in selection of crops and varieties, crop management practices, contingent crop planning, plant protection measures and related farm operations for sustained crop production in this area. Transpiration through plant parts utilizes a lot of moisture from the soil. Hence the amount of rainfall received during the life period of crop, plays an important role in the final product of the crop. It is, therefore, possible to estimate crop yields from total rainfall received during crop period (Mehta et al., 2002). Thus, an attempt has been made to analyze rainfall statistics, its variability and probability and to develop yield prediction models using rainfall and productivity of important dry farming crops of the region. Weather and climate in general are the most important inputs for the agricultural production. However, in the semiarid tropics like Marathwada region of Maharashtra state, the sunshine (Radiation) and
temperature are not limiting factors but the rainfall in particular under rainfed farming system is the major input, which puts the agricultural production at risk (Jadhav et al., 1999). The rainfed farming area is about 85 per cent of the total cultivable area in Marathwada. The dependence of economy on rainfall is typified by the well-known saying that ‘Budget of India is a gamble of the monsoon’. Rainfall is an important component not only for the crop production but also for horticultural crops, livestock, fisheries, forestry and other areas; such as transport, storage and marketing of agricultural produce. Food production in the country is known to be dependent on the rainfall pattern. Monsoon behavior in relation to food grain production is of major interest not only to agrometeorologists, planners and economists but also to researchers from several other disciplines since the economy of the country depends on monsoon activity and there by the resulting food production. Crop growth and yield variation can be primarily attributed to inter seasonal climatic variability in terms of changes in temperature, rainfall and input management.

2. Materials and method

The weekly rainfall data and number of rainy days recorded at the Meteorological observatory, AICRP on Agrometeorology, VNMMK, Parbhani (Latitude 19°16’ N, Longitude 76°47’ E and Altitude 409 m MSL) for the period of 1981 to 2016 (36 years) has been utilized in this study and crop data was collected from Department of Agriculture, Government of Maharashtra website (www.mahagri.gov.in) (Anonymous, 2017). Amongst the weather parameters, rainfall is an important factor in agriculture. Every drop of rainfall received during the crop growth stages has its own share in influencing the crop yields. Thus, this combined effect of rainfall on the crop yields can be studied on the basis of rainfall pattern prevailing during the crop growth stages.

The mean, standard deviation (SD) and coefficient of variation (CV%) of weekly and seasonal rainfall (from 24th to 40th Meteorological weeks) were calculated as per standard procedure. The initial probability of getting less than 15 mm, more than 15 mm, 30 mm and 45 mm were calculated by simple probability method. The correlation and regression studies were worked out using rainfall (X) as independent variable and yield (Y) as dependent variable to derive information on rainfall-yield relationship and to develop yield prediction models for important crops viz., Rice, pearl millet, sorghum and cotton of dry farming region (Mehta et al., 2002).

The average annual, seasonal and monthly rainfall for the years with weak, strong and moderate El Niño was calculated and compared with the normal rainfall for the years 1985 to 2016 Table 1. The percentage change in seasonal rainfall during the El Niño years compared to normal rainfall was also computed for Winter season, Summer season, Southwest monsoon, Post monsoon and Annual period, in Parbhani district.

3. Results and discussion

The monsoon season, commences usually from the second week of June and withdrawal, by the end of September to early October. The rainfall data showed that the seasonal rainfall varied from 376.3 mm (2014) to over 1522.7 mm (1988) (Fig. 1) and rainy days ranged from 24 (1984, 2013 & 2015) to 60 (1988) (Fig. 3) with a mean seasonal rainfall of 778.9 mm (CV 37.9%) which is
DAKHORE et al.: RAINFALL VARIABILITY AND IMPACT OF EL NIÑO EPISODE ON R/F

Fig. 2. Mean weekly rainfall distribution, probability, standard deviation and CV at Parbhani district of Marathwada region (1981 to 2016)

| Intensity   | Years          |
|-------------|----------------|
| Weak        | 2004, 2006 and 2014 |
| Moderate    | 1986, 1994, 2002 and 2009 |
| Strong      | 1987, 1991, 1997 and 2015 |
| Normal      | Remaining 22 years was normal |

Source: Rao et al. (2011)

This is also confirmed by less weekly rainfall variability observed in MW 39 (Fig. 2). Similarly >45% probability of rainfall of rain is noted in MW 24, 27, 28, 30, 31 in Kharif which is beneficial for germination and growth of crops. However, the conditional probability of a wet week followed by a wet week (more than 30 mm/week) would provide better information on optimum sowing time at a place. The distribution of rainfall within the crop period is more important than the total amount of rainfall in a season. The results of variability parameters of the productivity of different crops (Table 3) revealed that sorghum, Pigeon pea, soybean and black gram production is more or less homogeneous (30-50%), whereas there is a large variation in the yield of cotton and green gram due to erratic rainfall distribution pattern during different years. Correlation coefficient between mean rainfall with crop productivity significant and positive correlation was observed for soybean, pigeon pea, black gram, green gram and rice. The predictability of productivity of crops using seasonal rainfall is less than 20% at the center for all the crops except rice which explained 20% variation in productivity.

3.1. Seasonal rainy days

The data of seasonal mean actual and normal rainy days during 1981 to 2016 for parbhani district in
Marathwada region was depicted in Fig. 3. The seasonal mean rainy days and trend line showed that decreasing trend for in Parbhani. The results indicated that variation in seasonal rainy days trend observed in Parbhani and year 2002 to 2016 Actual rainy days was less as compare to normal except 2008, 2014 and 2016. It is clearly understood that the micrometeorological changes were observed intra district and intra annual and which affects on agriculture production and productivity.

3.2. **Effect of El Niño episode on rainfall (mm)**

Influence of El Niño episodes on rainfall (mm) of Parbhani districts was analyzed and calculate the percentage change in monthly, seasonal and annual rainfall of during the El Niño years compared to normal year of Parbhani districts is given in Tables 4&5. The results revealed that the average southwest monsoon and annual rainfall received during the years with El Niño was found to be less compared to normal years and the average rainfall during the post monsoon, summer and winter season is higher during El Niño years compared to normal years in Parbhani districts Table 4.

The percentage change in monthly rainfall of southwest monsoon season during the El Niño years compared to normal monthly rainfall in Parbhani

### TABLE 2

Weekly rainfall, its variability and probability (1981 to 2016) at Parbhani

| Met. Week (SMW) | Weekly rainfall statistics (mm) | Initial probability (%) of getting weekly rainfall | Maximum rainfall (mm) in a single week |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                 | Mean | SD  | CV % | ≤15 mm % | >15 mm % | >30 mm % | >45 mm % | Amount | Year   |
| 24th MW         | 44.8 | 38.9 | 84.7  | 27.8     | 16.7     | 13.9     | 41.7   | 181.8  | 1990   |
| 25th MW         | 37.9 | 43.6 | 115.1 | 36.1     | 13.9     | 19.4     | 30.6   | 220.8  | 1992   |
| 26th MW         | 41.0 | 51.8 | 126.3 | 47.2     | 8.3      | 13.9     | 30.6   | 241.5  | 2002   |
| 27th MW         | 36.4 | 41.1 | 112.7 | 47.2     | 8.3      | 5.6      | 38.9   | 121.6  | 2003   |
| 28th MW         | 48.2 | 51.4 | 106.6 | 36.1     | 11.1     | 11.1     | 41.7   | 214.9  | 2005   |
| 29th MW         | 44.7 | 53.1 | 118.8 | 33.3     | 25.0     | 11.1     | 30.6   | 186.7  | 1988   |
| 30th MW         | 71.8 | 95.3 | 132.7 | 25.0     | 13.9     | 11.1     | 50.0   | 500.3  | 2005   |
| 31st MW         | 52.3 | 55.0 | 105.2 | 30.6     | 22.2     | 8.3      | 38.9   | 182.1  | 2006   |
| 32nd MW         | 56.4 | 73.8 | 130.9 | 33.3     | 30.6     | 8.3      | 27.7   | 271.2  | 2006   |
| 33rd MW         | 43.1 | 49.7 | 115.2 | 38.9     | 19.4     | 8.3      | 33.3   | 204.8  | 1989   |
| 34th MW         | 52.6 | 53.9 | 102.6 | 33.3     | 13.9     | 11.1     | 41.7   | 207.7  | 2009   |
| 35th MW         | 52.2 | 57.1 | 109.5 | 36.1     | 13.9     | 8.3      | 41.7   | 191.6  | 1988   |
| 36th MW         | 45.2 | 42.0 | 93.1  | 27.8     | 27.8     | 2.8      | 41.7   | 138.8  | 1988   |
| 37th MW         | 36.9 | 43.0 | 116.6 | 47.2     | 13.9     | 5.6      | 33.3   | 166.5  | 1994   |
| 38th MW         | 48.0 | 46.3 | 96.5  | 33.3     | 11.1     | 8.3      | 47.2   | 150.6  | 2013   |
| 39th MW         | 29.3 | 40.1 | 136.8 | 50.0     | 22.2     | 8.3      | 19.4   | 150.2  | 1990   |
| 40th MW         | 38.2 | 67.1 | 175.7 | 55.6     | 11.1     | 11.1     | 22.2   | 322.8  | 2001   |
| Average         | 45.8 | 53.1 | 116.0 | 37.6     | 16.7     | 9.8      | 36.0   | -      | -      |
| SE ±           | 2.36 | 3.49 | 5.00  | 2.13     | 1.63     | 0.92     | 2.05   | -      | -      |

### TABLE 3

Variability of crop productivity (kg/ha), correlation coefficient with rainfall and R^2 value for various crops

| Parameters | Rice | Black Gram | Green Gram | Soybean | Red Gram | Cotton | Sorghum |
|------------|------|-------------|------------|---------|----------|--------|---------|
| Mean       | 558  | 351         | 442        | 1295    | 553      | 360    | 1098    |
| SD         | 290.4| 166.6       | 331.6      | 539.3   | 201.5    | 259.6  | 360.1   |
| CV%        | 52.1 | 47.4        | 75.1       | 41.6    | 36.4     | F72.2  | 32.8    |
| Correlation| 0.446**| 0.207       | 0.143      | 0.345*  | 0.315*   | 0.394* | 0.276*  |
| R^2        | 0.20 | 0.14        | 0.12       | 0.12    | 0.10     | 0.14   | 0.11    |

*, ** Significant at 5% and 1% probability level respectively.
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Fig. 3. Mean Actual and normal rainy days at Parbhani district of Marathwada

**TABLE 4**

Percentage change of seasonal and annual rainfall (mm) of parbhani

|                      | Winter | Summer | Southwest monsoon | Post monsoon | Annual |
|----------------------|--------|--------|-------------------|--------------|--------|
| El Nino Years (mm)   | 9.1    | 31.9   | 683               | 102.6        | 826.5  |
| Normal Years (mm)    | 8.6    | 20.7   | 721.6             | 79.4         | 830.3  |
| PC%                  | 5.2    | 53.9   | -5.3              | 29.2         | -0.5   |

**TABLE 5**

Percentage change of monthly rainfall (mm) during monsoon at Parbhani

|                   | June  | July  | August | September |
|-------------------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|
| El Nino Years (mm)| 157.8 | 209.5 | 214.5  | 158.5     |
| Normal Years (mm) | 126.6 | 210.8 | 203.5  | 180.7     |
| PC %              | 24.6  | -0.6  | 5.4    | -12.3     |

**TABLE 6**

Percentage change of productivity of Kharif crops under different categories of El Nino activity

| Crops       | Annual | Category |
|-------------|--------|----------|
|             | El Nino Years (Kg/ha) | Normal Years (Kg/ha) | PC% | Weak PC% | Moderate PC% | Strong PC% |
| Rice        | 540.7  | 528.0    | 2.4  | -45.9    | 13.8         | -9.0       |
| Sorghum     | 862.0  | 1199.0   | -28.1 | -42.4    | -11.2        | -30.8      |
| Cotton      | 143.1  | 226.5    | -36.8 | -21.1    | -24.9        | -64.5      |
| Pigeon pea  | 406.5  | 604.6    | -32.8 | -40.6    | -9.9         | -47.8      |
| Soybean     | 995.2  | 1243.6   | -20.0 | -43.3    | 3.8          | -20.3      |
| Black gram  | 202.2  | 408.3    | -50.5 | -52.8    | -26.4        | -43.4      |
| Green gram  | 246.9  | 408.0    | -39.5 | -43.1    | -23.3        | -52.0      |
district (Table 5). The average monthly rainfall of July and September received less rainfall while in the June and August month received more rainfall during El Niño years as compared to normal years. In the Parbhani districts average monthly rainfall of July and September month received 0.6 per cent and 12.3 per cent less rainfall during El Niño years as compared to normal years.

3.3. Effect of El Nino episode on crop productivity of major kharif crops

The study was undertaken in the selected major crops grown in Parbhani. The Major crops of Parbhani are cotton, sorghum, soybean, black gram, pigeon pea and rice during Kharif season. In these above mentioned crops, detailed analysis was carried out to find the changes in productivity of some major crops in Parbhani districts due to El Nino episode (Table 6). The average productivity of all the crops during Kharif season decreased by more than 20 per cent in Parbhani districts except Rice because area of rice crop is very less. Weak El Niño years was more negatively affected on productivity of all kharif crops, it might be due to the short duration crops like green gram, black gram, soybean, sorghum and rice was exposed for dry spells occurred in July and September months at critical growth stages of these crops. During Weak El Nino years the short duration crop was highly imparted due to soil moisture deficit at critical growth stages. While Strong and moderate El Nino years the crops was not exposed to soil moisture deficit hence yield was better compare to weak El nino years. Crops are most sensitive to water deficits during the pod filling stage of development (Wesgate et al., 1993). A reduction in pod number, as much as 20 percent, as a result of flower abortion, is often reported as being highly affected by soil water deficits. Seeds per pod and seed size are also impacted but to a lesser extent than pod number. Stressed plants often mature earlier, shortening the grain filling period causing reduced seed weight and yield (Sionit and Kramer, 1977). Percentage change of weak El Niño years was more than 40 per cent less productivity as compared to normal years except cotton crops (-21.1). The percent change in average productivity of kharif crops over Parbhani district most negative impact in weak and strong El Nino years as compared to moderate El Nino years.

4. Conclusions

The seasonal rainfall indicated that there is 53% chance of getting more than normal rainfall and 11% chance of in between 700 mm to 800 mm. Total rainfall and rainy days was more fluctuation of Parbhanhi district and rainy days show decreasing trend. The mean weekly rainfall was 45.8 mm with a CV of 116%. Initial probabilities exceeded P = 0.5 of receiving >45 mm rainfall week was observed in 30th MW and CV 86.7% was low of 24th MW. The predictability of productivity of crops using seasonal rainfall is less than 20% at the centre for all the crops except rice. The El Nino episode was negatively influence of Southwest and annual rainfall as well as month of July and September. Weak and strong El Nino events exerted more negative impact on productivity of all the crops as compare to moderate and annual events.
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