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ABSTRACT

Climate change and the increasing greenhouse effect are serious problems of global concern today. The existence of forests plays an essential role in carbon sequestration and storage to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) concentration in the atmosphere. Forest Area with the Special Purpose (KHDTK) of Gunung Bromo has an area of 126,291 ha that is designated as an educational forest. The area has been managed by Universitas Sebelas Maret since 2019 and consists of three main tree species, namely Pinus merkusii, Swietenia mahagoni, and Dalbergia latifolia. This study aims to estimate the potential uptake and economic valuation of carbon stocks in the KHDTK of Gunung Bromo. Stratified Systematic Sampling with Random Start was used as an inventory method in this study. The estimation of above-ground carbon uptake used general allometric equations (non-destructive method). Furthermore, the carbon economy valuation referred to the range of calculating the average carbon price for each program specification. The results showed that the estimated carbon of tree stands in KHDTK of Gunung Bromo reached 75.81 tonnes C/ha with a carbon economic valuation of USD 758.1/ha or equivalent to IDR 10,856,863.80/ha. Exploring the potential for biodiversity (flora and fauna) and environmental services such as carbon sink will support the ecotourism program in KHDTK of Gunung Bromo.

1. Introduction

Global warming is a form of ecosystem imbalance due to the increasing average temperature of the earth’s atmosphere, sea, and land (Rizki et al. 2009). Global warming occurs when the earth experiences years of rising temperature caused by climate change. Climate change is due to increased carbon dioxide concentrations derived from fossil fuels resulting from various human activities. Due to global warming, there has been an increase in the average temperature of the earth’s seas and land caused by industrial activity and reduced land cover, especially forests, due to the rate of deforestation (Wardhana 2011; Yuniawati and Tampubolon 2021).

Forests absorb CO₂ during photosynthesis and store it as organic matter in plant biomass. The amount of organic matter stored in forest biomass per unit area and per unit time is the basis of forest productivity, which illustrates forest ability to reduce CO₂ emissions in the atmosphere through their physiological activities (Yulistyarini et al. 2009). Forests also have an essential role...
and benefit life both directly and indirectly. According to Zainuddin and Tahnur (2018), one of the efforts to solve the problem of climate change is to improve the quality of forests to maintain their ecological function. Given the importance of the role of the forest in the absorption and storage of carbon in biomass, research is needed to encourage the continued development of carbon assessment methods in biomass. In addition to ecological functions, forests also have economic and socio-cultural functions that must be balanced and dynamic to support national development. Therefore, forests must be managed, protected, and utilized continuously for the welfare of the people. Furthermore, the negotiations on reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation, as well as the role of conservation, sustainable forest management, and enhancement of forest carbon stock in developing countries during the commitment period of post-Kyoto Protocol, also led to more attention being focused on the methodology of biomass and carbon stock estimation (UNFCCC 2009).

The Ministry of Environment and Forestry authorized Universitas Sebelas Maret (UNS) in 2018 to manage Forest Area with Special Purpose (KHDTK) of Gunung Bromo in Karanganyar, Central Java, Indonesia. KHDTK of Gunung Bromo was initially a forest area that functioned as tourism and was managed by Surakarta Forest Management Unit (KPH) Perhutani. KHDTK of Gunung Bromo has an area of 126,291 ha. Based on management, the area of KHDTK of Gunung is divided into blocks with several potentials that can be utilized for development and research in forest management, forest use and forest products, and ecotourism.

The potential is in the form of biological and non-biological potential. This area has the potential of forest resources in plant forests dominated by Pinus merkusii, Swietenia mahagoni, and Dalbergia latifolia. Apart from being overgrown with Pinus merkusii for their sap to be extracted as the main commodity, the forest area in KHDTK of Gunung Bromo is also overgrown with various plants in the form of trees, bamboo, shrubs, and herbs.

Kepel et al. (2017) stated that the determination of the important value of ecosystems and being able to be done with ecological approaches also through the economic valuation of each function of biotic and abiotic components in those ecosystems. According to Rochmayanto et al. (2010), the value of the carbon economy is one of the values of environmental services that can be used as a criterion for decision making. This research aims to discover the potential of carbon deposits and obtain the economic value of carbon in the forest area of KHDTK of Gunung Bromo. It is crucial because there is no information regarding the potential valuation of the carbon economy stored in KHDTK Gunung Bromo. Exploring the potential for biodiversity (flora and fauna) and environmental services such as carbon sink will support the ecotourism program in KHDTK of Gunung Bromo. Programs can be developed at KHDTK of Gunung Bromo to take advantage of biodiversity, landscape beauty, and carbon conservation in the forest, namely ecotourism such as forest healing tourism, beekeeping, bird watching, and trees adoption. In addition, the future management of KHDTK of Gunung Bromo is also expected to be an essential spotlight in the development of ecotourism which will later become a unique attraction for people in Central Java Province in particular Indonesia and even internationally in general.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study area

The study was conducted in KHDTK of Gunung Bromo, Karanganyar, Central Java, Indonesia. KHDTK of Gunung Bromo is managed by Universitas Sebelas Maret, which is expected to explore the potential of existing biodiversity to the fullest and wisely create environmental sustainability by maintaining biodiversity and minimizing climate change. KHDTK of Gunung Bromo is geographically located at 7° 34' 21.93"–7° 35' 38.90" S and 110° 59' 40.39"–111° 0' 49.36" E (Fig. 1). Furthermore, KHDTK of Gunung Bromo is administratively located in Delingan, Gedong, and Sewurejo villages with the following regional boundaries (UPT Pusdiklathut 2019):
1. North: Sewurejo Village, Mojogedang District,
2. South: Delingan and Gedong Village, Karanganyar District,
3. West: Gedong Village, Karanganyar District,
4. East: Delingan Village, Karanganyar District.

Gunung Bromo Forest is a production forest that dominated by Pinus merkusii, Swietenia mahagoni, and Dalbergia latifolia. It is located at 200–337.5 masl with a rainfall of 190 mm/month. The region’s average temperature is 26–27°C with a relative humidity of 70–83%. Based on Schmidt and Ferguson’s classification, the type of rainfall in the region is classified as type C, which is a rather wet, wooded area with leaves that fall in the dry season. Finally, the soil types in this area are alfisol and inceptisol.
2.2. Method

Inventory activities were carried out to acquire the timber potential of KHDTK of Gunung Bromo. The activity used a sampling intensity of 5% of the total area of Gunung Bromo Forest, covering an area of 126,291 ha. The sampling plots were determined using stratified systematic sampling with a random start with a circular sampling plot having a radius of 17.8 m, or an area of 0.1 ha. The number of sampling plots was as many as 60 plots. The data collected include the name of the tree species, diameter at breast height (dbh), and tree height. Diameter measurements were carried out on pole-level stands starting from 10-20 cm diameter and tree-level stands with a diameter > 20 cm.

Calculation of tree biomass above-ground level on KHDTK of Gunung Bromo used common allometric equations. Such equations are an alternative to a more simple and cost-effective approach than destructive methods (Khan et al. 2020). The allometric equations used in estimating tree biomass above-ground level are as follows (Chave et al. 2014; Khan et al. 2020):

\[
AGB = 0.0673 \times (\rho D^2 H)^{0.976}
\]

where \( AGB \) is above-ground biomass (kg/tree), \( D \) is the diameter at breast height (cm), \( H \) is tree height (m), and \( \rho \) is wood density (g/cm³).

The equation is a proper biomass guessing model for pan-tropic countries and can be used well in all types of forests and bioclimatic conditions (Chave et al. 2014). A generalized linear model of stand-level carbon stocks showed significant relationships to stand basal area, wood density, mean tree height, and maximum/dominant tree height (Khan et al. 2020). Furthermore, because the tree’s carbon stock does not reach 50% and is in the range of 45-50% (Kauffman and Donato 2012; Zhang et al. 2016), then we use the number 0.47 (47%) as a multiply of tree biomass estimates to obtain an estimation of above-ground carbon (AGC) (Dong et al. 2020; Khan et al. 2020). Finally, each sample plot’s last individual AGC tree is summed and converted into stand carbon stock (Mg/ha) for each species (Khan et al. 2020).

Forest biomass can be used to estimate carbon stores stored in vegetation because 50% of the biomass is composed of carbon (Brown 1997) so that the results of the biomass calculation can be changed in the form of carbon (ton/ha) by multiplying the biomass value by the conversion factor of 0.5.

\[
Carbon\ (C) = Biomass \times 0.5
\]

The economic valuation of carbon depends on the goals and standards of the world economy (Perez-Verdin et al. 2016). Based on the Deforestation and Forest Degradation Reduction (REDD) Program, the average carbon price for land change prevention and reforestation projects in 2010 was USD 5 and 9/tCO₂e, respectively. As for the REDD+ program, the maximum price is around USD 25/tCO₂e, and the carbon price related to the forest management improvement program is around USD 136/tCO₂e (Perez-Verdin et al. 2016; Peters-Stanley and Hamilton 2012; Torres et al. 2015). In determining the economic value of carbon, the approach used is a proxy market approach where the carbon price is USD 10/ton (Hutajulu and Afifah 2019).

3. Results and Discussion

Forests have a role to play in absorbing CO₂ in the atmosphere through chlorophyll vegetation and are aided by abiotic factors such as sunlight and water. Forests consisting of trees in the growth phase will absorb more CO₂, while forests that have slowed their growth can only
store carbon stocks. Carbon stocks are stored in biomass or forest ecosystems (Ministry of Forestry 2013). Therefore, the sustainable forest amount of stored carbon will continue to grow. The carbon in this stand will be stored in the form of biomass.

KHDTK of Gunung Bromo is a plantation forest dominated by Pinus merkusii, Swietenia mahagoni, and Dalbergia latifolia. The area has considerable potential in efforts to increase carbon absorption. For example, Drupadi et al. (2021) stated that P. merkusii land cover produces the highest tree biomass and stored carbon compared to mixed land cover. The distribution of potential stands in KHDTK of Gunung Bromo is presented in Table 1.

Table 1. The stands distribution in the KHDTK of Gunung Bromo

| Tree species         | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|----------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Pinus merkusii       | 1,306     | 67.80          |
| Swietenia mahagoni   | 471       | 24.45          |
| Dalbergia latifolia  | 36        | 1.87           |
| Others               | 113       | 5.87           |
| Total                | 1,926     | 100.00         |

The analysis was carried out on 60 plots size 0.1 ha/plot, with varying trees in each plot. Table 1 shows that the distribution of the stand’s potential is dominated by P. merkusii, followed by S. mahagoni, D. latifolia, and other species. KHDTK of Gunung Bromo is a monoculture forest dominated by P. merkusii as the main tree previously managed by Perhutani. P. merkusii was selected as it is commonly planted in industrial plantations in Java. In addition, some certain areas outside of Java preferred this species due to various advantages in terms of technical, economic, ecological, and social (Sallata 2013). S. mahagoni and D. latifolia are interesting to be managed for long-term wood production. The other species, such as Tectona grandis, Durio zibethinus, and Albizia chinensis, were existed in a small number.

Table 2 shows that the diameter class of the tree is varied in each species. P. merkusii consists of 13 diameter classes, S. mahagoni consists of 10 diameter classes, D. latifolia consists of 4 diameter classes, and other species consists of 10 diameter classes. The number of trees in each class of diameter shows varying numbers. The most frequency in P. merkusii was the diameter class of 4 with a diameter interval of 0.20–0.23 m. S. mahagoni has the most frequency in the diameter class of 3 with a diameter interval of 0.21 – 0.30 m, while D. latifolia has the most frequency in diameter class of 1 with a diameter interval of 0.08–0.16 m. In KHDTK of Gunung Bromo, about 80% of the area is dominated by monoculture forests extending to the eastern part of the region. This monoculture forest is planted with P. merkusii for daily production previously managed by Perhutani, while the rest is an intercropping of S. mahagoni and D. latifolia.

The results showed that the larger the diameter class, the fewer stand frequency (Table 2). Variations in diameter classes on each type of stand can be influenced by habitat conditions and the level of competition of each individual. This is in line with Pebriandi et al. (2014), stating that competition in obtaining nutrients, sunlight, water, and growing space affected plant growth. In this study, trees with large diameter classes were unable to compete, resulting in fewer frequencies.

CO₂ is one of the important components in photosynthesis. During photosynthesis, trees will absorb CO₂ and compose carbohydrates, which will then be stored in the form of biomass (Uthbah et al. 2017). Thus, the amount of biomass produced can be used to determine the number of carbon stocks or the amount of CO₂ absorbed by trees. Tree biomass value is directly proportional to the
carbon value because carbon content will follow each addition of biomass content. The biomass amount of each species in KHDTK of Gunung Bromo is presented in Table 3. The highest biomass was observed in *P. merkusii*, mainly dominated by the diameter class of 7 of 22,133.47 kg/ha.

**Table 2.** The diameter class distribution of each species in KHDTK of Gunung Bromo

| Tree species       | Dbh class | The interval of dbh (m) | Frequency |
|--------------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|
| *Pinus merkusii*   | 1         | 0.08 – 0.11             | 35        |
|                    | 2         | 0.12 – 0.15             | 105       |
|                    | 3         | 0.16 – 0.19             | 141       |
|                    | 4         | 0.20 – 0.23             | 226       |
|                    | 5         | 0.24 – 0.27             | 208       |
|                    | 6         | 0.28 – 0.31             | 215       |
|                    | 7         | 0.32 – 0.35             | 167       |
|                    | 8         | 0.36 – 0.39             | 105       |
|                    | 9         | 0.40 – 0.43             | 63        |
|                    | 10        | 0.44 – 0.47             | 25        |
|                    | 11        | 0.48 – 0.51             | 11        |
|                    | 12        | 0.52 – 0.55             | 4         |
|                    | 13        | 0.56 – 0.59             | 1         |
| *Swietenia mahagoni* | 1         | 0.01 – 0.10             | 3         |
|                    | 2         | 0.11 – 0.20             | 129       |
|                    | 3         | 0.21 – 0.30             | 190       |
|                    | 4         | 0.31 – 0.40             | 120       |
|                    | 5         | 0.41 – 0.50             | 18        |
|                    | 6         | 0.51 – 0.60             | 5         |
|                    | 7         | 0.61 – 0.70             | 1         |
|                    | 8         | 0.71 – 0.80             | 2         |
|                    | 9         | 0.81 – 0.90             | 1         |
|                    | 10        | 0.91 – 1.00             | 2         |
| *Dalbergia latifolia* | 1         | 0.08 – 0.16             | 18        |
|                    | 2         | 0.17 – 0.25             | 16        |
|                    | 3         | 0.26 – 0.34             | 1         |
|                    | 4         | > 0.35                  | 1         |
| Others             | 1         | 0.07 – 0.17             | 32        |
|                    | 2         | 0.18 – 0.28             | 35        |
|                    | 3         | 0.29 – 0.39             | 27        |
|                    | 4         | 0.40 – 0.50             | 3         |
|                    | 5         | 0.51 – 0.61             | 8         |
|                    | 6         | 0.62 – 0.72             | 3         |
|                    | 7         | 0.73 – 0.83             | 1         |
|                    | 8         | 0.84 – 0.94             | 1         |
|                    | 9         | 0.95 – 1.05             | 2         |
|                    | 10        | 1.06 – 1.16             | 1         |

**Table 3** shows that the highest biomass on *S. mahagoni* stands was in the diameter class 4 of 13,060.35 kg/ha, and in *D. latifolia* stands was in the diameter class of 2 of 566.42 kg/ha. The rest of the species has the highest biomass in the diameter class of 3 of 3,678.91 kg/ha. The results showed that the larger the class of tree diameter in each species does not show the greater the amount of biomass, but rather depends on the frequency or number of trees in each species. The total biomass of all species in KHDTK of Gunung Bromo was 161,306.75 kg/ha.
Table 3. The biomass of each species in KHDTK of Gunung Bromo

| Tree species          | Dbh Class | Interval of dbh (m) | Biomass (kg/ha) |
|-----------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Pinus merkusii        | 1         | 0.08 – 0.11         | 335.49          |
|                       | 2         | 0.12 – 0.15         | 1,136.11        |
|                       | 3         | 0.16 – 0.19         | 4,263.18        |
|                       | 4         | 0.20 – 0.23         | 8,526.38        |
|                       | 5         | 0.24 – 0.27         | 14,466.88       |
|                       | 6         | 0.28 – 0.31         | 19,131.77       |
|                       | 7         | 0.32 – 0.35         | 22,133.47       |
|                       | 8         | 0.36 – 0.39         | 15,380.54       |
|                       | 9         | 0.40 – 0.43         | 10,582.96       |
|                       | 10        | 0.44 – 0.47         | 6,416.23        |
|                       | 11        | 0.48 – 0.51         | 2,202.34        |
|                       | 12        | 0.52 – 0.55         | 880.06          |
|                       | 13        | 0.56 – 0.59         | 412.00          |
| **Total**             |           |                     | **105,867.40**  |
| Swietenia mahagoni    | 1         | 0.01 – 0.10         | 9.53            |
|                       | 2         | 0.11 – 0.20         | 2,551.35        |
|                       | 3         | 0.21 – 0.30         | 9,408.59        |
|                       | 4         | 0.31 – 0.40         | 13,060.35       |
|                       | 5         | 0.41 – 0.50         | 3,319.29        |
|                       | 6         | 0.51 – 0.60         | 1,334.70        |
|                       | 7         | 0.61 – 0.70         | 675.82          |
|                       | 8         | 0.71 – 0.80         | 1,566.40        |
|                       | 9         | 0.81 – 0.90         | 997.27          |
|                       | 10        | 0.91 – 1.00         | 3,980.59        |
| **Total**             |           |                     | **36,903.89**   |
| Dalbergia latifolia   | 1         | 0.08 – 0.16         | 265.56          |
|                       | 2         | 0.17 – 0.25         | 566.42          |
|                       | 3         | 0.26 – 0.34         | 76.28           |
|                       | 4         | > 0.35              | 432.33          |
| **Total**             |           |                     | **1,340.59**    |
| Others                | 1         | 0.07 – 0.17         | 475.15          |
|                       | 2         | 0.18 – 0.28         | 2,293.13        |
|                       | 3         | 0.29 – 0.39         | 3,678.91        |
|                       | 4         | 0.40 – 0.50         | 523.55          |
|                       | 5         | 0.51 – 0.61         | 2,879.92        |
|                       | 6         | 0.62 – 0.72         | 1,352.53        |
|                       | 7         | 0.73 – 0.83         | 771.20          |
|                       | 8         | 0.84 – 0.94         | 1,193.50        |
|                       | 9         | 0.95 – 1.05         | 2,513.76        |
|                       | 10        | 1.06 – 1.16         | 1,513.22        |
| **Total**             |           |                     | **17,194.86**   |

The value of carbon stock is determined by measuring the biomass of the stand at pole and tree growth stages. Once the above-ground biomass data is known, the carbon stored in the stands in the KHDTK of Gunung Bromo was estimated. The carbon stored data is presented in Table 4. The results showed that the estimated total carbon stock contained in KHDTK of Gunung Bromo was 75.81 Mg/ha or 75.81 tonnes C/ha. The highest carbon stock is obtained from pine stands at 49.76 Mg/ha. This is because pine stands have the most frequency among other stands. The high value of biomass and carbon stock in the land with P. merkusii cover is presumably due to tree height parameters that affected the biomass of the trees (Drupadi et al. 2021). Bismark et al. (2008) stated that the increase in biomass and carbon stocks is due to the size of the trees, particularly the
tree height parameter. The value of carbon absorption in KHDTK of Gunung Bromo is 75.81 tonnes C/ha following the conditions of plantation forest in Java Island capable of absorbing carbon in the range of 42.17 tonnes C/ha - 144.41 tonnes C/ha (Rochmayanto et al. 2014).

**Table 4.** The carbon stock of stand in KHDTK of Gunung Bromo

| Tree species          | Total carbon stock (kg/ha) | Total carbon stock Mg/ha |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| *Pinus merkusii*     | 49,757.68                   | 49.76                    |
| *Swietenia mahagoni* | 17,344.83                   | 17.34                    |
| *Dalbergia latifolia*| 630.08                      | 0.63                     |
| Others               | 8,081.59                    | 8.08                     |
| **Total**            | **75,814.17**               | **75.81**                |

Economic valuation of natural resources is an economic tool that uses specific valuation techniques to estimate the monetary value of goods and services provided by natural resources and the environment (Rianse and Abdi 2010; Roslinda et al 2020). The economic valuation of the potential carbon stock in KHDTK of Gunung Bromo used an assumption of a carbon price of USD 10/ton from the voluntary markets. The use of carbon price from voluntary markets has the advantage because it is resulted from the observed market behavior and reflects the average economic income that forest owners could obtain from selling carbon offsets in the market, assuming there are no transaction costs (Naime et al. 2020; Noniini and Fiala 2019). The results of the economic valuation of the carbon in KHDTK of Gunung Bromo are presented in **Table 5**.

**Table 5.** Economic valuation of carbon in KHDTK of Gunung Bromo

| Tree species          | Carbon value USD/ha | Carbon value IDR/ha* |
|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| *Pinus merkusii*     | 497.6               | 7,126,204.24         |
| *Swietenia mahagoni* | 173.4               | 2,483,287.41         |
| *Dalbergia latifolia*| 6.3                 | 90,223.25            |
| Others               | 80.8                | 1,157,148.92         |
| **Total**            | **758.1**           | **10,856,863.80**    |

Note: *USD 1 = IDR 14,321.15 (Januari 2022).

The results showed that the economic value of potential carbon stock in KHDTK of Gunung Bromo was USD 758.1/ha or equivalent to IDR 10,856,863.80/ha (**Table 5**). With the growing issue of carbon trading, Indonesia has made a significant contribution to reducing carbon emissions. However, reducing emissions to meet Indonesia’s target of a 41% reduction below business-as-usual levels would require even more substantial efforts, including implementing energy conservation programs and pursuing mitigation measures for other sectors and gases (Wijaya et al. 2017).

The potential carbon sequestration and economic value in KHDTK of Gunung Bromo could increase the mitigation of emission reductions while increasing the country’s foreign exchange. Based on the results of this study, KHDTK of Gunung Bromo has a potential carbon economic value, so forest management should be carried out to increase the economic value of the forest through carbon sequestration and environmental services. The utilization of forest areas should not be based on exploitation activities but should be focused on the efforts to protect and maintain the sustainability of forest resources (Arfitryana et al. 2021). The forest management of KHDTK of
Gunung Bromo could be done by maximizing the economic value of environmental services and natural beauty that can indirectly benefit the communities surrounding the forest. The programs that can be developed at KHDTK of Gunung Bromo to take advantage of biodiversity, landscape beauty, and carbon conservation in the forest are ecotourism such as forest healing tourism, beekeeping, bird watching, and trees adoption. This also could be an opportunity for KHDTK of Gunung Bromo to provide funding in managing and developing educational forests.

4. Conclusions

The results showed that the estimated size of carbon stock in KHDTK of Gunung Bromo was 75.81 tonnes C/ha. The carbon economic value valuation in KHDTK of Gunung Bromo was USD 758.1/ha or equivalent to IDR 10,856,863.80/ha. By knowing the potential of carbon stock and its economic value, the management of KHDTK of Gunung Bromo could enhance the environmental services. KHDTK of Gunung Bromo management can develop programs to take advantage of biodiversity, landscape beauty, and carbon conservation in the forest, namely ecotourism such as forest healing tourism, beekeeping, bird watching, and trees adoption. This also could be an opportunity for KHDTK of Gunung Bromo to provide funding in managing and developing educational forests.
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