The Notch1/CD22 signaling axis disrupts Treg cell function in SARS-CoV2-associated multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children

One Sentence Summary: Notch1-CD22 Axis Promotes Immune dysregulation in MIS-C
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**Experimental Procedures**

**Single-cell RNA sequencing.** Cryopreserved PBMCs were thawed in plain RPMI (HyClone) pre-warmed to 37°C, washed in PBS (HyClone) and resuspended in FACS buffer (PBS with 1.5% FBS (Genesee Scientific) and 2.5 mM EDTA (Invitrogen)) for CD4 T cell enrichment through negative selection (Miltenyi Biotec). Samples were studied in 2 independent experiments: experiment 1 included 3 pediatric controls, 1 pre-treatment MIS-C patient, and 4 post-treatment MIS-C patients; experiment 2 included 1 pediatric control, 2 pre-treatment MIS-C patients, and 1 post-treatment MIS-C patient.

CD4 T cells isolated from each sample were stained with Hashing antibodies targeting CD298 and β2 microglobulin (BioLegend TotalSeq™-C anti-human Hashtag, Clones: LNH-94 and 2M2) for subsequent sample identification. To that end, cells were spun at 500 g for 7 min at 4°C, resuspended into 75 μL Fc block (BioLegend, Cat. No. 422302, 1:20 dilution) and incubated for 10 min at 4°C. 75 μL of hashing antibody (6.7 μg/mL working concentration) were then added and samples were incubated for 30 min at 4°C, with gentle resuspension midway. Cells were washed three times in Hash Staining buffer (BioLegend, Cat. No. 420201) and resuspended in PBS with 0.4% BSA (Sigma, Cat. No. A7030) at a concentration of 1,000 cells per μL. Finally, samples were pooled in equal ratio (7,500 cells per sample) for further processing, thus limiting technical batch effects (1). For the first experiment, the total of 60,000 cells pooled from 8 samples were split across two 10x Genomics chip channels, while the second experiment (30,000 cells from 4 samples) was loaded on one single channel. Cells were encapsulated, barcoded and lysed to enable the generation of cDNA libraries for transcriptome and HTO sequencing.
using the 10x Genomics technology (2). Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000.

**Single-cell RNA sequencing clustering analyses.** Sequencing data from each 10x run were processed with the CellRanger pipeline (10x Genomics) for demultiplexing and gene alignment (2). The resulting raw count matrices were imported in R (v4.0.2 and above) using Seurat (v4.0.3) (3). Data from all 3 runs were merged into one Seurat object. Genes detected in <1 per 10,000 cells were filtered out, leaving a transcriptomic coverage of 21,675 genes. High quality cells with >1400 unique molecular identifiers (UMIs), >700 genes, a log10(gene) to log10(UMI) ratio >0.84 and mitochondrial to nuclear gene ratio <0.08 were retained for downstream analyses. Quality control revealed no significant batch effect: similar distributions were observed for the metrics mentioned above across different runs and experiments.

HTO data were normalized using centered log ratios before applying Seurat::HTODemux() with the clara method and a positive quantile cutoff of 0.98. Doublets and cells with unclear HTO assignment were excluded (Stoeckius et al., 2018). Transcriptomic data for the remaining cells were normalized using Seurat::SCTransform() and regressing out the effects of the mitochondrial gene ratio, number of UMIs and number of genes detected. Principal components (PCs) were calculated from the top 2000 variable features to reduce the data before mapping to a reference PBMC dataset using Azimuth (3). Cells mapped to CD4 T cell subsets were retained while contaminating lymphocytes were excluded, leaving a total of 29,754 Azimuth-annotated CD4 T cells for downstream analyses. SCT normalization and PCs calculation were repeated at this stage, to account for the top 3000 variable features after exclusion of
TRAV, TRAJ, TRBV and TRBJ genes, thereby enabling cell clustering by transcriptomic profile independently of clonal identity. To control for inter-sample variability, the data were integrated by source sample using Harmony (4). Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) coordinates were then computed from the first 50 components of the harmony reduction, and graph-based clustering analysis was run on the first 40 components using Seurat::FindNeighbors() and Seurat::FindClusters(). A resolution of 0.6 was retained to define clusters. Seurat-defined clusters were manually annotated, with an initial coarse characterization based on the abundance of cells classified as naïve versus effector/memory by Azimuth. In parallel, genes significantly upregulated in each cluster were identified with Seurat::FindAllMarkers() using the Wilcoxon rank sum test. Significance was defined as a p-value of <0.05 and a log2 fold change (LFC) in gene expression >0.25. Heatmaps were generated with Seurat::DoHeatmap() applied to the scaled SCT data on a random subsample of 100 cells per cluster. To confirm upregulation of NF-κB genes, a signature score for the TNFα signaling via NF-κB geneset, sourced from the MSigDB Hallmark collection (5), was calculated at the single-cell level using Seurat::AddModuleScore().

**Pseudobulk differential expression analyses (DEA).** For pseudobulk differential expression analyses (DEA), gene expression level data was aggregated at the patient level for each subset of interest, namely Tregs and activated Tconv. For this analysis, we considered as Treg any cell assigned to Seurat Cluster 15 (FOXP3-expressing cells) or annotated as Treg by Azimuth, which added up to 1,925 Treg cells across all 12 patients. Similarly, we considered as activated Tconv any cell assigned to Seurat Clusters 9 to 14 and annotated as CD4 TCM, CD4 TEM, CD4 CTL or CD4 Proliferating by Azimuth (6,674
cells). PC analyses of the aggregated transcriptomic data highlighted healthy control 4 as a strong outlier among both Treg and activated Tconv subsets, leading us to exclude this patient from pseudobulk DEA. Independent pairwise analyses contrasting each of the 3 patient groups (MIS-C pre-treatment, MIS-C post-treatment and control) were run using DESeq2 (version 1.34.0). Log2 fold change (LFC) values were corrected using the apeglm shrinkage estimator and used as input for gene set enrichment analyses (GSEA) against the MSigDB Hallmark collection, performed with clusterProfiler (version 4.2.2). Heatmaps of gene expression for significant genes (defined as an adjusted p-value <0.05) were generated from the centered rlog-normalized count data using pheatmap (version 1.0.12).

**Gene pathway analysis using the Fischer and Monte-Carlo tests.** To identify if a pathway is relevant to MIS-C or acute-COVID-19 (mild and severe pediatrics patients), a comparison between MIS-C or acute-COV19 and the eight databanks described above was performed using the following steps below. To minimize false positive and artifactual results, all samples were processed using the same pipeline, Variant Explorer (VExP) (6), starting with their raw data (Fastq files).

Step 1 (fastq to vcf file): Raw data were processed to obtain vcf files using the human reference assembly 19, BWA (alignment, v0.7.17), PICARD (mark/delete duplicates, v2.23.3), SAMTOOLS (variant calling, v1.10), and GATK (multi-sample variant calling, v4.1.8.1). When only bam files were available, PICARD (v2.23.3) was used to revert to fastq files. Further, ANNOVAR (2020Apr) and custom VExP scripts were used to add annotations from relevant genetic databases into each vcf file.
Step 2 (Variant filtering): Variant analysis was performed in each family based on three filtering criteria: first, include variants predicted by ANNOVAR to have a potential functional coding consequence, including stop gain or loss, splice site disruption, indel, and nonsynonymous. Second, variants are filtered based on allele frequency in control populations (gnomAD, ExAC, EVS, 1000GP, and internal data from 8114 unaffected individuals from BCH). Heterozygous/hemizygous variants were included if minor allele frequency (MAF) was <0.0005 (0.05%) in any database. In comparison, homozygous variants were included only if MAF was ≤0.00005 (0.005%) and for compound heterozygous models the MAF cutoff was ≤0.01 (1%) with no homozygous variant reported in any database. The variants were further prioritized to include those with read depth ≥10X, alternative depth ≥5X, allele balance ≥0.20, and deleterious prediction (4 or more of 23 softwares, including PolyPhen, SIFT, FATHMM, and CADD).

Step 3 (gene-enrichment): A gene-enrichment test was performed to identified rare pathogenic variants and lost/gain of function (stop-lost/gain, frameshift deletions/insertions and canonical splicing sites) using 8,626 pathways from Gene-Ontology [Gene-Ontology database: http://geneontology.org/] and KEGG [KEGG database: https://www.genome.jp/kegg/] databases (8,299 and 327 respectively). The Frequencies (families) of these rare coding pathogenic variant gene were calculated for each pathway using 3 different genetic models: a) Homozygous variants, b) Heterozygous variants and c) Homozygous and/or 2 or more heterozygous variants in the same gene with a minimum distance between them of 100 base pairs (compound heterozygous filters). P values were calculated using 2 methods: traditional Fisher test
(two sided) and Monte-Carlo method. The expectation for one event (pathway) using Monte Carlo method is described by the following formula:

\[
F^k = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{10,000} X_i^k
\]

Where “F” represents the number of families with rare pathogenic variants in the "k" pathway (k=1:8,626 pathways) and “X” is a random control group with the same number of samples of the comparison group, for MIS-C, 39 samples and for acute-19, 24 samples. Independent samples were taken random using a uniform distribution and 4682 samples described above. “N” is the total number of independent simulations (10,000 in total). The use of independent samples was very important to establish fairness in our tests, so then we use only one sample per family (probands).

**Transcriptome Profiling.** Treg cells were isolated from either Foxp3\textsuperscript{EGFP\textsubscript{cre}} or Foxp3\textsuperscript{EGFP\textsubscript{cre} Rosa26\textsuperscript{N1c/+}} mice by cell sorting. mRNA was isolated using Qiagen RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen). RNA was then converted into double-stranded DNA (dsDNA), using SMART-Seq v4 Ultra Low Input RNA kit (Clontech). dsDNA was then fragmented to 200-300 bp size, using M220 Focused-ultrasonicator (Covaris), and utilized for construction of libraries for Illumina sequencing using KAPA Hyper Prep Kit (Kapa Biosystems). Libraries were then quantified using Qubit dsDNA HS (High Sensitivity) Assay Kit on Agilent High Sensitivity DNA Bioanalyzer.

Gene-level read counts were quantified using feature Counts and the latest Ensembl mouse annotation (GRCm38.R101). Raw data were trimmed using Trimmomatic (version 0.39, default parameters), tool for Illumina NGS data. To identify differentially expressed genes, we used 3 algorithms: DESeq2 (version 1.26.0), edgeR (version 3.28.1) and Lima
Bioconductor packages with default parameters. Count tables were normalized to TPM (Transcripts per Million) for visualizations and QC. Sample clustering, path analyses and integration of the results were performed using a custom-made pipeline available upon request (Variant Explorer RNAseq). Transcripts were called as differentially expressed when the adjusted p values were below 0.05, fold-changes over ±1.5 and false discovery rate (FDR) were below 0.05. For our path analyses, we tested 10,715 biological pathways from KEGG and GO annotations. We filtered the results using an adjusted p value below 0.001.
Fig. S1. Single-cell transcriptomic analyses of circulating CD4+ T cells from control, pre- and post-treatment MIS-C subjects. A. Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) of normalized and harmonized dataset, split by disease group and color-coded by cluster. Clusters were delineated using Seurat. B. Frequencies (%) of...
each cluster among total CD4+ T cells for each patient. **C.** Heatmap showing expression of the top genes in each cluster, as determined using Seurat. **D.** UMAP split by disease group and color-coded by expression of CD69, NFkB1, FOXP3, Numb, NUMBL and NOTCH1 at the single-cell level. **E.** UMAP split by disease group and color-coded by single-cell score for the TNFα signaling via NF-κB gene set (MSigDB Hallmark) and NOTCH signaling. **F.** TNFα signaling via NF-κB gene set mean score, averaged per cluster and patient. Multiple T-test comparisons significant at an FDR of 0.05 are indicated with a star. **G, H.** LFC distributions of genes belonging to each of the corresponding enriched hallmarks. Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was run against the MSigDB hallmark database using ranked LFC derived from pseudobulk DEA of pre-treatment MISC versus control subjects in Tconv.
Figure S2: Flow cytometry analysis of Notches receptors expression on MISC Tconv: A-D. Flow cytometric analysis of Notch1 (A), Notch4 (B), Notch2 (C) and Notch3 (D) expression in CD4+ Tconv cells of healthy control subjects, and patients with Kawasaki disease, adult subjects with severe COVID-19, pediatric subjects with mild or severe COVID-19 and MIS-C subjects.
Fig. S3. Notch receptor expression on circulating CD4+ Treg and Tconv cells in MIS-C. A to D. Flow cytometric analysis, cell frequencies and mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of Notch2 (A,B) and Notch3 expression (C,D) in CD4+ Treg and Tconv cells of healthy control subjects, and patients with Kawasaki disease, adult subjects with severe COVID-19, pediatric subjects with mild or severe COVID-19 and MIS-C subjects. E-F. Flow cytometric analysis, and cell frequencies of co-expression of Notch1 and Notch2 and Notch1 and Notch4 on circulating Treg cells (E) and Tconv (F) of MIS-C subjects.
Each symbol represents one subject. Numbers in flow plots indicate percentages. Error bars indicate SEM. Statistical tests: *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, ****P<0.0001 by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post hoc analysis (A to F).
Fig. S4. Characterization of circulating CD4\(^+\) Treg and Tconv cells in MIS-C. A, B.
Flow cytometric analysis and cell frequencies of T cell activation state markers (CD45RA, CD45RO) on Tconv (A) and Treg (B) cells of the respective subject groups. C and D.
Flow cytometric analysis and frequencies of IFN\(_\gamma\) and IL-17-expressing Treg (C) and Tconv (D) cells of the respective subject groups. Each symbol represents one subject. Numbers in flow plots indicate percentages. Error bars indicate SEM. Statistical tests: *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, ****P<0.0001 by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post hoc analysis (A to D).
Figure S5: Comparison of MISC patients’ characteristics based on the country of residency: A-B. Cell frequencies of Notch1 and Notch4 expression in CD4⁺ Treg (A) and Tconv cells (B) of MISC from North America or Europe. C, D, E. Cell frequencies of mucosally imprinted (CD62L⁻CD38⁺) (C), CD22 (D) and ITGB7 (E) on Treg cells form the respective groups. F. serum concentrations of IL-1β, IL-6, TNF, IP-10, IFNL1, IL-8, IL12p70, IFNα, IFNλ2/3, IFNγ and IL-10, in the respective patient group subjects. Each symbol represents one subject. Error bars indicate SEM. Statistical tests: *P<0.05, ****P<0.0001 by student-t-test (A and F).
Fig. S6: Characterization of circulating CD4⁺ Treg in MIS-C with specific mutation in NUMB/NUMBL. A to I. Flow cytometric analysis and cell frequencies of Notch1 (A to C), N1c expression (D to F) and CD22 (G to I) in CD4⁺ Treg of healthy control subjects, and MIS-C patients with a specific mutation in NUMBLeu94phe (A,D,G), NUMBLSer79Ile (B,E,H) and NUMBLVal88Met (C, F, I).
Fig. S7: Attributes of mucosal T cells in MIS-C and Poly I:C-treated *Foxp3*\textsuperscript{EGFP\textsuperscript{Cre}}*\textsuperscript{R26\textsuperscript{N1c/+}} mice. A. Flow cytometric analysis and graphical representation of mucosal imprinted (CD62L^-CD38^+) Treg and Tconv cells in healthy control subjects, pediatric subjects with mild Covid-19 and MIS-C patients. B. Relative *ITGB7* gene expression in cell clusters of healthy control subjects (gray) and in MIS-C patients pre (purple) and post-treatment (blue) inferred from scRNA-seq analysis. C and D. Flow cytometric analysis and graphical representation of colonic T cell (CD3^+CD4^+), Treg (CD3^+CD4^+Foxp3^+) and activated Tconv (CD4^+CD44^+CD62L^-) cells of *Foxp3*\textsuperscript{EGFP\textsuperscript{Cre}} and *Foxp3*\textsuperscript{EGFP\textsuperscript{Cre}}*\textsuperscript{R26\textsuperscript{N1c/+}} mice subjected to Poly I:C treatment. E. Flow cytometric analysis and graphical representation of colonic CD22^+ Treg and Tconv cells of *Foxp3*\textsuperscript{EGFP\textsuperscript{Cre}} and *Foxp3*\textsuperscript{EGFP\textsuperscript{Cre}}*\textsuperscript{R26\textsuperscript{N1c/+}} mice subjected to Poly I:C treatment. F. Flow cytometric analysis and graphical representation of IFN\textgamma and IL-17 expressing Tconv and Treg cells of *Foxp3*\textsuperscript{EGFP\textsuperscript{Cre}} and *Foxp3*\textsuperscript{EGFP\textsuperscript{Cre}}*\textsuperscript{R26\textsuperscript{N1c/+}} mice subjected to Poly I:C treatment. Each
symbol represents one human subject (A), one cell (B) or one mouse (C to F). Numbers in flow plots indicate percentages. Error bars indicate SEM. Statistical tests: One-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post hoc analysis (A,C to F) Two-way ANOVA with Sidak’s post hoc analysis (B); *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, ****P<0.0001.
Fig. S8. Anti-CD22 mAb therapy of Poly I:C-induced disease in Foxp3EGFPCreR26N1c/+ mice is B cell-independent. A. Flow cytometric analysis and frequencies of CD22 expression in Treg cells of Foxp3EGFPCre, Foxp3EGFPCreNotch1c+/− and Foxp3EGFPCreNotch1c+/−/RBPI+/− mice. B. Weight indices of Poly I:C treated Foxp3EGFPCre and Foxp3EGFPCreR26N1c/+ mice co-injected with anti-CD22 mAb or with an anti-CD20mAb. C. Flow cytometric analysis and graphical representation of different splenic B cell populations [CD19+, CD19+CD38+IgMhigh, germinal B cells (GL7+), CD19+CD38+IgMlow and memory B cells (IgD−CD27+)] of Foxp3EGFPCre and Foxp3EGFPCreR26N1c/+ mice subjected to Poly I:C treatment. D. Flow cytometric analysis and graphical representation of colonic T cell (CD3+CD4+), Treg cells (CD3+CD4+Foxp3+) and activated Tconv (CD4+CD44+CD62L−) of Poly I:C treated Foxp3EGFPCre and Foxp3EGFPCreR26N1c/+ mice co-injected with anti-CD22 mAb or with an anti-CD20mAb. E. Flow cytometric analysis and graphical representation of IFNγ and IL-17 expressing colonic Tconv and Treg cells of Poly I:C treated Foxp3EGFPCre and Foxp3EGFPCreR26N1c/+ mice co-injected with anti-CD22 mAb or with an anti-CD20mAb. Numbers in flow plots indicate percentages. Error bars indicate SEM. Statistical tests: One-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post hoc analysis (A, C to E), Two-way ANOVA with Sidak’s post hoc analysis (B); *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, ****P<0.0001.
Fig. S9: Poly I:C-induced multiorgan inflammatory disease in Foxp3YFPCreNUMBΔ/Δ mice. A. Flow cytometric analysis of Numb expression in Tconv and Treg cells from Foxp3YFPCre and Foxp3YFPCreNumbΔ/Δ mice. B. Cell frequencies and MFI of Numb expression on Treg cells from the respective mice. C. Scheme of mouse Poly IC treatment. D. Body weight index change and peak weight loss of the Foxp3YFPCre and Foxp3YFPCreNumbΔ/Δ mice treated with Poly IC alone or in combination with anti-CD22 mAb. E and F. Flow cytometric analysis and cell frequencies of CD44+CD62L− (E) and IFNγ+IL-17− Tconv cells (F). G to J. frequencies of Notch1+ (G), MFI of Notch1c+ (H), CD22 (I) and α4β7 (J) in Treg cells after Poly I:C treatment alone or in combination with anti-CD22 mAb. K to M Flow cytometric analysis and cell frequencies of Gut CD4 (K), of CD44+CD62L− (L) and IFNγ+IL-17− (M) gut Tconv cells. Numbers in flow plots indicate
percentages. Error bars indicate SEM. Statistical tests: One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc analysis (B to H, J to M); *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, ****P<0.0001.
Fig. S10. Treg cell instability in Poly I:C-treated Foxp3^{EGFP}R26^{N1c/-} mice. A to C, Volcano plot (A), pathway analysis (B) and heat map (C) of gene transcripts of WT or CD22+ Treg cells isolated at steady-state from Foxp3^{YFP} and Foxp3^{EGFP}R26^{N1c/+} mice (n=3). D to F, Volcano plot (D), pathway analysis (E) and heat map (F) of gene transcripts of CD22- or CD22+ Treg cells isolated at steady state from Foxp3^{EGFP}R26^{N1c/+} mice. G, MFI of splenic Treg cell markers of WT or CD22+ Treg cells isolated at steady state from Foxp3^{YFP} and Foxp3^{EGFP}R26^{N1c/+} mice. H, Flow cytometric analysis and MFI of splenic Treg cell markers of Poly I:C-treated Foxp3^{EGFP} and Foxp3^{EGFP}R26^{N1c/+} mice.
co-treated with isotype control mAb or anti-CD22 mAb, as indicated. I, Cell frequencies of Helios and NRP1 expression on splenic Treg cells in the groups shown in (H). Each symbol represents one mouse. Error bars indicate SEM. Statistical tests: Student-t-test (G), One-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post hoc analysis (H,I); **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, ****P<0.0001.
Table S1: Clinical characteristics of patient and control subjects.

|                                | MIS-C N=45 | Pedi COVID-19 N=50 | KD N=5 | Pedi Controls N=18 |
|--------------------------------|------------|---------------------|--------|--------------------|
| **Patient Demographics**       |            |                     |        |                    |
| Age-ys (median, IQR)           | 8.0, 5.0-12.4 | 13.0, 3.0-16.0     | 7.0, 1.5-10.5 | 3.5, 1.0-5.8      |
| Sex (#, % female)              | 30, 68%    | 24, 48%             | 2, 40% | 6, 33%             |
| **Race & Ethnicity (#, %)**    |            |                     |        |                    |
| White, non-Hispanic            | 25, 56%    | 43, 86%             | 3, 60% | 15, 83%            |
| Black, non-Hispanic            | 4, 9%      | 0, 0%               | 0, 0%  | 0, 0%              |
| Hispanic                       | 9, 20%     | 4, 8%               | 1, 20% | 2, 11%             |
| Asian                          | 0, 0%      | 0, 0%               | 1, 20% | 1, 6%              |
| Other                          | 2, 4%      | 1, 2%               | 0, 0%  | 0, 0%              |
| Unknown                        | 5, 11%     | 2, 4%               | 0, 0%  | 0, 0%              |
| **Pre-existing Conditions (#, %)** |          |                     |        |                    |
|                                | 15, 33%    | 7, 14%              | 2, 40% | 0, 0%              |
| **BMI kg/m^2 (median, IQR)**   | 19.3, 16.1-25.6 | 18.6, 16.9-23.8 | 17.0, 14.6-20.5 | -                  |
| **Case Definitions/Criteria (#, %)** |        |                     |        |                    |
| WHO MIS-C                      | 42, 93%    | -                   | -      | -                  |
| CDC MIS-C                      | 45, 100%   | -                   | -      | -                  |
| Complete KD Criteria           | 6, 13%     | -                   | 3, 60% | -                  |
| Incomplete KD Criteria         | 6, 13%     | -                   | 2, 40% | -                  |
| **Clinical Features (#, %)**   |            |                     |        |                    |
| Fever                          | 45, 100%   | 36, 72%             | 5, 100% | -                  |
| Rash                           | 22, 49%    | 1, 2%               | 5, 100% | -                  |
| Condition                                | PCR Positive | Seropositive |
|------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Conjunctivitis                           | 26, 58%      | 1, 2%        | 5, 100%    | -            |
| Mucositis                                | 8, 18%       | 1, 2%        | 3, 60%     | -            |
| Extremity Changes                        | 12, 27%      | 1, 2%        | 4, 80%     | -            |
| Lymphadenopathy                          | 11, 24%      | 0, 0%        | 2, 40%     | -            |
| Shock                                    | 14, 31%      | 0, 0%        | 0, 0%      | -            |
| GI Symptoms                              | 43, 96%      | 10, 20%      | 2, 40%     | -            |
| Abdominal Pain                           | 28, 62%      | 4, 8%        | 1, 20%     | -            |
| Vomiting                                 | 30, 67%      | 5, 10%       | 2, 40%     | -            |
| Diarrhea                                 | 24, 53%      | 3, 6%        | 0, 0%      | -            |
| Respiratory Symptoms                     | 18, 40%      | 27, 54%      | 0, 0%      | -            |
| Cough                                    | 4, 9%        | 19, 38%      | 0, 0%      | -            |
| Dyspnea                                  | 3, 7%        | 4, 8%        | 0, 0%      | -            |
| Hypoxia                                  | 9,20%        | 7, 14%       | 0, 0%      | -            |
| Infiltrate on Chest Imaging              | 5,11%        | 9, 18%       | 0, 0%      | -            |
| Neurologic Symptoms                      | 6,13%        | 6, 12%       | 0, 0%      | -            |
| Headache                                 | 3,67%        | 3, 6%        | 0, 0%      | -            |
| Anosmia/Dysgeusia                        | 0, 0%        | 2, 4%        | 0, 0%      | -            |
| Altered Mental Status                    | 1, 2%        | 0, 0%        | 0, 0%      | -            |
| CN Palsy                                 | 0, 0%        | 0, 0%        | 0, 0%      | -            |
| Meningismus                              | 1, 2%        | 0, 0%        | 0, 0%      | -            |
| Seizure                                  | 0, 0%        | 1, 2%        | 0, 0%      | -            |

| **SARS-CoV-2 Testing (#, %)** |
|-------------------------------|
| PCR positive                  | 16, 36%       | 50, 100%     | 0, 0%      | -            |
| Seropositive                  | 41, 91%       | 6, 12%       | 0, 0%      | -            |
| Laboratory Features (median, IQR) | 0.91, 0.53-1.35 | 1.8, 1.22-3.35 | 0.87, 0.65-2.57 | - |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---|
| Lowest ALC x10^3/mL            | 10.0, 9.2-10.7 | 12.6, 10.9-13.8 | 11.0, 8.2-12.1 | - |
| Lowest Hgb g/dL                | 192, 126-236   | 269, 207-353   | 340, 156-414   | - |
| Lowest Plt x10^3/mL            | 16.0, 7.8-24.0 | 0.3, 0.1-6.3   | 5.8, 4.1-21.3  | - |
| Highest CRP mg/dL              | 502, 305-1134  | 143, 70-326    | 175, 134-779   | - |
| Highest Ferritin ng/mL         | 3.1, 1.5-6.2   | 0.8, 0.5-1.4   | 1.7, 1.2-3.9   | - |
| Highest ALT U/L                | 40, 22-79      | 31, 17-48      | 50, 41-133     | - |
| Highest Cr mg/dL               | 0.47, 0.39-0.61| 0.54, 0.26-0.68| 0.34, 0.27-0.60| - |
| Highest BNP pg/mL              | 1118, 185-2150 | 34, 13-130     | 35, 13-422     | - |
| Highest Troponin ng/mL         | 0.02, 0.01-0.11| 0.01, 0.01-0.02| 0.01, 0.01-0.01| - |

| Cardiovascular Features (#, %) | - | 0, 0% | - |
|--------------------------------|---|------|---|
| EF <55%                        | 16, 36% | - | 0, 0% |
| Coronary Artery Dilation       | 3, 7%  | - | 0, 0% |
| Coronary Artery Aneurysm       | 3, 7%  | - | 2, 40% |

| Clinical Interventions (#, %) | - | 0, 0% | - |
|-------------------------------|---|------|---|
| ICU Admission                 | 14, 31% | 2, 4% | 0, 0% |
| Vasopressors                  | 9, 20% | 0, 0% | 0, 0% |
| Supplemental O2               | 8, 18% | 8, 16% | 0, 0% |
| CPAP                          | 0, 0%  | 3, 6% | 0, 0% |
| BiPAP                         | 5, 11% | 1, 2% | 0, 0% |
| Mechanical Ventilation        | 1, 2%  | 0, 0% | 0, 0% |
| Immunomodulatory Treatments (#, %) | 41, 91% | 3, 6% | 4, 80% | - |
|-----------------------------------|---------|-------|---------|---|
| Any Immunomodulatory Treatment    | 37, 82%| 1, 2% | 4, 80% | - |
| IVIG                              | 31, 69%| 2, 4% | 2, 40% | - |
| Glucocorticoids                   | 5, 11% | 0, 0% | 1, 20% | - |
| Anakinra                          | 3, 7%  | 0, 0% | 0, 0%  | - |
| Other                             | -       | -     | -       | - |

MIS-C, multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children; pedi, pediatric; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; KD, Kawasaki disease; yrs, years; WHO, World Health Organization; CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; GI, gastrointestinal; CN, cranial nerve; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; ALC, absolute lymphocyte count; Hgb, hemoglobin; Plt, platelet count; CRP, C-reactive protein; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; Cr, creatinine; BNP, B-type natriuretic peptide; EF, ejection fraction; ICU, intensive care unit; O2, oxygen; CPAP, continuous positive airway pressure; bilevel positive airway pressure; IVIG, intravenous immunoglobulin

*42/45 MIS-C patients were PCR tested for SARS-CoV-2. 44/45 MIS-C patients underwent serologic testing for SARS-CoV-2. All children with acute COVID-19 had PCR testing and 8 had serologic testing. All KD patients had PCR and serologic testing.

▲ All children with MIS-C and KD had an echocardiogram performed.

▼ Immunomodulatory treatment before biosample collection. Pre-treatment samples were obtained from 5/33 MIS-C and 1/5 KD patients.
### Table S2: Antibodies used for flow cytometry

| Markers | company   | catalogue  | Clone     |
|---------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Foxp3   | Thermofisher | 48-5773-82 | FJK-16S   |
| IFNγ    | Biolegend | 505825     | XMG1.2    |
| Helios  | Thermofisher | 47-9883-42 | 22F6      |
| CD4     | Biolegend | 100451     | GK1.5     |
| CD3     | Biolegend | 100203     | 17A2      |
| IL-17   | Biolegend | 506922     | TC11-18H10.1 |
| CD45    | Biolegend | 103140     | 30-F11    |
| Notch4  | Biolegend | 128407     | HMN4-14   |
| CD279   | Thermofisher | 12-9985-82 | J43       |
| CD44    | Biolegend | 103032     | IM7       |
| CD62L   | Biolegend | 104412     | MEL-14    |
| N1c     | Biolegend | 629106     | mN1A      |
| α4β7    | Biolegend | 120606     | DATK32    |
| p-Erk   | Biolegend | 369506     | 6B8B69    |
| p-PLCγ  | Biolegend | 612404     | A17025A   |
| pS6     | CST       | 5316       | D57.2.2E  |
| p-Akt\(^{1873}\) | BD    | 560378     | M89-61    |
| p-Akt\(^{1908}\) | BD    | 558375     | J1-223.371|
| CD22    | Biolegend | 126112     | OX-97     |
| Human antibodies | | | |
| CD3     | Biolegend | 300318     | HIT3a,    |
| Protein | Vendor       | Catalog Number | dye combination | Clone   |
|---------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|---------|
| CD4     | Biolegend    | 300530         |                 | RPA-T4  |
| Foxp3   | Thermofisher | 48-4776-42,56-4716-41 |               | PCH-101 |
| Notch1  | BD Pharmingen| 566023         |                 | HMN1-519|
| Notch2  | BD Pharmingen| 742291         |                 | HMN2-25 |
| Notch3  | BD Pharmingen| 744828         |                 | HMN3-21 |
| Notch4  | BD Pharmingen| 563269         |                 | HMN4-2  |
| CD25    | Thermofisher | 12-0259-42     |                 | BC96    |
| CD127   | Biolegend    | 351320         |                 | A019D5  |
| IFNγ    | BD Biosciences| 560741  |                 | 4S.B3   |
| ITGB7   | BD           | 551082         |                 | FIB504  |
| CCR7    | Biolegend    | 353208         |                 | G043H7  |
| CD38    | Biolegend    | 397114         |                 | S17015A |
| CD22    | Biolegend    | 302516         |                 | HIB22   |
| CD45RA  | Biolegend    | 304134         |                 | HI100   |
| CD45RO  | Biolegend    | 304236         |                 | UCHL1   |
| IL17    | Biolegend    | 512315         |                 | BL168   |
| CD62L   | Biolegend    | 304810         |                 | DREG-56 |
| Purified anti-CD22 | Biolegend | 302502 |                 | HIB22   |
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