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Abstract: As an advanced treatment of desulfurization wastewater, centrifugal spray drying technology, which can achieve a zero liquid discharge target, has attracted wide attention and great interest in recent years. However, the results of previous studies were based on the laboratory-scale centrifugal spray dryer. In order to study the evaporation characteristics of desulfurization wastewater and the parameter optimization of the dryer, the evaporation model of wastewater droplets was established. The effects of parameters such as the angle of the deflectors, gas–liquid ratio and atomizer speed on droplet evaporation were studied by numerical simulation. The results show that with the increase in the angle of the deflectors, the swirl effect of flue gas flow field is more obvious and the time and axial distance required for the complete evaporation of the droplets are shorter. Reducing the gas–liquid ratio will make the average evaporation time longer. Moreover, a higher atomizer speed is helpful for the evaporation of the droplets. The optimum gas–liquid ratio and rotational speed are found to be 9300 m³/Nm³ and 16,000 rpm, respectively.
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1. Introduction

With the development of industrialization and urbanization, people’s demand for electricity is increasing [1,2]. China’s energy structure is dominated by coal, which will produce pollutants, such as smoke, dust, sulfur oxides and other pollutants, when burning [3]. A large amount of sulfur oxides (including SO₂ and SO₃) is an important cause of acid rain and smog, which seriously endanger human health [4–6]. At present, common flue gas desulfurization (FGD) processes include dry, wet and semi-dry methods, among which the wet limestone-gypsum FGD desulfurization technology is the most widely used [7,8]. In order to maintain the material balance in the slurry circulation system of an FGD device, prevent the chlorine concentration in the flue gas from exceeding the specified value and ensure gypsum quality a certain amount of wastewater must be discharged from the system. However, desulfurization wastewater contains various suspended solids, supersaturated sulfites, sulfates and heavy metals [9]. If not handled properly, it will cause serious secondary pollution to the environment. For this reason, China has begun to increase the treatment of desulfurization wastewater and put forward zero liquid discharge (ZLD) processes for coal-fired power plants.

Wastewater treatment is an important part of environmental protection and it has been developed at the technical level [10–12]. Traditional crystallization and evaporation technology covers a large area and high cost, which gradually limits its application [13,14]. Therefore, ZLD technology has been developed and applied to a certain extent [15,16]. Recently, spray drying technology, an advanced ZLD process of desulfurization wastewater,
has received more attention [17]. One technical approach is to spray wastewater into the flue between the air preheater and electrostatic precipitator and use the preheating and evaporation of the flue gas [9,18]. Then, the crystalline salt and dust are captured by the precipitator. This method is simple and low in cost, but there are some problems, such as the flue wall being susceptible to corrosion and the evaporation efficiency being affected by boiler load [19]. Another way is to introduce partial hot flue gas from the upstream of the air preheater into the centrifugal spray dryer. The wastewater is atomized into small droplets through the centrifugal atomizer and instantaneously evaporated due to absorbing the waste heat of flue gas. After the droplets are completely evaporated, the dry matter separated from the FGD wastewater is crystallized into solid particles and captured in the electrostatic precipitator or the follow-up dust collector, as shown in Figure 1 [20]. Due to high evaporation efficiency and small impact on downstream equipment, the method has good application prospects [21,22].

Figure 1. Typical treatment process of spraying FGD wastewater in centrifugal spray dryer for evaporation.

Many researchers have studied the evaporation characteristics of droplets by means of theoretical calculations and experimental methods. Ceidk et al. [23] proposed an empirical relationship between the average droplet diameter and gas flow. They found that, when the liquid flow rate is constant, the mean droplet diameter decreases as the gas flow rate increases, but the size distribution is basically unchanged. Liang et al. [24] studied the effects of different operating parameters, such as temperature increasing rate and droplet volume, on the evaporation and crystallization characteristics of desulfurization wastewater droplets. It was found that increasing the heating rate can improve the evaporation and crystallization efficiency of the droplets simultaneously, while an excessively large droplet volume will cause the crystallization rate to decrease. Kim et al. [25] investigated the synergistic effect of ambient pressure and temperature on the evaporation time of the droplets. The results show that, when the temperature is higher, the evaporation time has a negative correlation with environmental pressure. However, the situation is reversed when the temperature is below a certain critical value.

Considering the difficulty in obtaining detailed flow field, temperature field and humidity field data through experimental methods, some scholars have used computational fluid dynamics (CFD) to numerically simulate the spray drying process and give various evaporation models [26–29]. Gradinger et al. [30] established a zero-dimensional droplet evaporation model and numerical solution algorithm for spray simulation, which are in line with the experimental results in a wide temperature range. Ma et al. [31] performed
a numerical simulation on the evaporation process of desulfurization wastewater and found that increasing flue gas temperature, as well as reducing atomized particle size, is beneficial to the evaporation of wastewater, while the effect of flue gas flow rate on this can be ignored. Zhang et al. [32] used the DPM model to investigate the evaporation process of a droplet group in a centrifugal spray dryer. They clarified that the droplets experienced multiple vortex motions in the tower, which increased the evaporation rate. Moreover, most of the droplets evaporate at a constant temperature at the wet bulb temperature. Wilson and Javed et al. [33,34] found that the use of rotating airflow in the spray dryer can increase the rate of heat and mass transfer between gas and liquid that directly determines the evaporation time and evaporation distance of the droplet.

Although there have been many reports, they are mainly focused on laboratory-scale spray drying research and actual model size has an important influence on the results. The evaporation rate of desulfurization wastewater is closely related to the flow field distribution of flue gas, which depends on the structure of flue gas distributor in the dryer. In addition, some operating parameters of the dryer have an important influence on the heat and mass transfer behavior between the droplets and flue gas. In this work, wastewater evaporation was carried out in the centrifugal spray dryer for a coal-fired power plant, rather than in a laboratory-scale one. Different from the previous experimental methods, CFD technology is used to perform numerical analysis on the dryer and more detailed data can be obtained. Moreover, the influence of structural parameters and operating parameters, including the angle of the deflectors in the hot air distributor, the gas–liquid ratio and the rotational speed of the atomizer on the droplet evaporation characteristics, is studied, which can provide a theoretical guide for the design and optimization of evaporation equipment in the flue.

2. Numerical Method
2.1. Study Object

A centrifugal spray dryer for desulfurization wastewater installed in the bypass flue of a 330 MW coal-fired unit is considered as the research model of CFD in this study, which is shown in Figure 2. It has a diameter of 7.2 m and a height of 11.7 m, including the gas distributor and tower body. The gas distributor is mainly composed of the gas inlet, volute, inner flow channel, outer flow channel and several deflectors and the atomizer is located directly below the gas distributor. On the one hand, the flue gas enters into the volute from the inlet to generate rotary flow. Then, it enters into the inner and outer flow channels with 24 deflectors installed respectively. These deflectors guide the flue gas, making it spiral down into the tower body. On the other hand, the atomizer rotates at a high speed and the desulfurization wastewater is thrown out of the orifice channel by centrifugal force, thereby atomizing into droplets. The angle $\theta$ of the inner and outer deflectors can be changed, as shown in Figure 3. Among them, the axis is parallel to the tapered wall, where the deflector is located. Because of its complex structure, the model was established by the software ANSYS ICEM V19.0, using unstructured tetrahedral mesh. Due to the large velocity gradient in the hot air distributor and atomizer, a local mesh refinement (increasing the mesh number) was carried out in the relevant area to improve the calculation accuracy, as shown in Figure 4.
Figure 2. Schematic diagram of centrifugal atomization dryer: (a) dryer structure; (b) gas flow direction.
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2.2. Mathematical Model

The atomization drying of desulfurization wastewater involves the heat and mass transfer process between gas phase and liquid phase. Since the drying tower generally operates under slight negative pressure, the gas density does not change much, so the flue gas can be regarded as an incompressible ideal gas. The governing equation is shown below.

Continuity equation:

$$\frac{D\rho_g}{Dt} + \rho_g (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_g) = 0$$

(1)

Momentum equation:

$$\rho_g \frac{Du_g}{Dt} = \rho_g f_i + \nabla \cdot p_{ij}$$

(2)

Energy equation:

$$\rho_g c_v \frac{DT}{Dt} = k_g \nabla^2 T + \varphi$$

(3)

Component transport equation:

$$\frac{\partial (\rho_g Y_s)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho_g u_g Y_s)}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (D \rho_g \frac{\partial Y_s}{\partial x_i}) + \omega_s + S_{Y_s}$$

(4)

Unlike flue gas, the momentum equation of desulfurization wastewater is solved by the Lagrange method. Since the gas density is much smaller than the droplet, the virtual mass force, Saffman lift force, thermophoretic force and Brownian force are small, so the above forces can be ignored and only the drag force and gravity on the droplet are considered. Then, the momentum equation can be described by Equation (5):

$$\frac{du_p}{dt} = F_D (u_g - u_p) + \frac{g \rho_p - \rho_g}{\rho_p}$$

(5)

where $F_D$ is drag force, $u_g$ and $u_p$ are the velocity of the gas phase and the velocity of droplet particles, respectively and $\rho_g$ and $\rho_p$ are the density of the gas phase and the density of the droplets. Among them, $F_D$ can be expressed by Equation (6):

$$F_D = \frac{18 \mu_g C_D \Re_p}{\rho_p d_p^2}$$

(6)

where $\mu_g$ is the dynamic viscosity, $C_D$ is the drag coefficient and $\Re_p$ is the relative Reynolds number of gas and liquid.

Due to the large temperature difference, the droplets are heated by the flue gas and the temperature rises rapidly. After reaching the boiling point, a strong heat and mass transfer process occurs with the flue gas. After the droplets are completely evaporated, the water vapor is mixed with flue gas and the temperature gradually reaches the equilibrium point. This process is divided into three stages:

(1) When the liquid temperature is lower than the evaporation temperature, the droplet only conducts the heat transfer process with the flue gas and the quality does not change. Regardless of radiation heat transfer, the heat transfer equation, at this time, is:

$$m_p c_p \frac{dT_p}{dt} = h A_p (T_S - T_p)$$

(7)

where $m_p$, $c_p$, $T_p$ and $A_p$ are the mass, specific heat capacity at constant pressure, temperature and surface area of droplet particles, respectively, $T_S$ is the temperature of the incoming flue gas and $h$ indicates the convective heat transfer coefficient.

(2) When the liquid temperature is greater than the evaporation temperature and less than the boiling temperature, the heat absorbed by the droplet from the flue gas is used
for the temperature increase and the evaporation process at the same time. Under these circumstances, the heat transfer equation is:

$$m_p c_p \frac{dT_p}{dt} = h A_p (T_g - T_p) + \frac{dm_p}{dt} h_l$$

(8)

where $h_l$ is the latent heat of liquid.

The evaporation rate of the droplet is related to the concentration gradient between gas and liquid, which is determined by Equation (9):

$$N_i = k_c (C_{i,s} - C_{i,g})$$

(9)

where $N_i$ is the steam molar flux, $k_c$ is the mass transfer coefficient and $C_{i,s}$ and $C_{i,g}$ are the vapor concentration on the droplet surface and in the main gas stream, respectively. Furthermore, $k_c$ is determined by the Nusselt number and its value adopts the recommended value given by the literature [35]:

$$Nu = \frac{k_c d}{D_{i,m}} = 2 + 0.6 Re^{1/2} Sc^{1/3}$$

(10)

(3) When the liquid temperature reaches boiling temperature, the unevaporated droplets and the flue gas undergo a strong heat and mass transfer process and the boiling rate equation is as follows:

$$\frac{d(d_p)}{dt} = \frac{4k_g}{\rho_p c_p, g d_p} (1 + 0.23 \sqrt{Re_p}) \ln \left[ 1 + \frac{c_{p,g}(T_g - T_p)}{h_l} \right]$$

(11)

where $Re_p$ is the Reynolds number of the liquid droplet relative to the gas motion.

2.3. Numerical Procedures

Because the pressure change in the dryer is small and the time also has little effect on the flow field change, the pressure solver is selected for steady-state simulation. In order to reflect the influence of the high-speed and complex vortex on the gas flow, the realizable $k$-$\varepsilon$ model was selected for turbulence equation and the standard wall function was used [36]. The component transport model was activated to simulate the change of the concentration of each component when the droplets were evaporating. The discrete phase was described using the DPM model based on the Lagrange coordinates. Since turbulence has an important influence on the trajectory of the droplets, a random trajectory model is used to describe the turbulent diffusion of the droplets. First, carry out continuous phase simulation until convergence; then, activate the DPM discrete model and iterate through the mutual coupling of the continuous phase and the discrete phase until convergence.

3. Numerical Conditions

3.1. Boundary Conditions and Operating Parameters

For the continuous phase, velocity inlet and outflow conditions were adopted. Because the outer walls of the spray dryer and flue are spread with a thicker insulation layer, resulting in little thermal loss of flue gas, the wall conditions were set to be adiabatic. Since the incompletely evaporated desulfurization wastewater droplets stick to the wall surface and cause corrosion problems, the wall surface conditions for trapping the droplets are set to monitor the occurrence of this situation. Desulfurization wastewater contains a variety of ions, such as $Ca^{2+}$, $Mg^{2+}$, $Na^+$, $Cl^-$ and $SO_4^{2-}$, and there are, mostly, $Na^+$ and $Cl^-$. Therefore, a NaCl solution is used to represent it. Gas–liquid ratio is defined as the ratio of liquid volume to gas volume in standard state. The saturated vapor pressure of salt solution is lower than that of pure water, making it more difficult to evaporate. In this paper, the saturated vapor pressure of the NaCl solution was obtained according to the literature [37]. The detailed operating parameters of the design case are shown in Table 1.
Table 1. Parameters of design case.

| Fluid          | Parameter                   | Value  |
|----------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Flue gas       | Velocity (m/s)              | 13.25  |
|                | Volume flow (Nm³/h)         | 30,000 |
|                | Temperature (K)             | 613    |
|                | Volume fraction of each component (%) |        |
|                | N₂                          | 3.00   |
|                | O₂                          | 75.26  |
|                | CO₂                         | 13.60  |
|                | H₂O                         | 8.14   |
| Desulfurization wastewater | Temperature (K) | 323    |
|                | Gas–liquid ratio (m³/Nm³)    | 10,000 |
|                | Rotational speed (rpm)       | 13,000 |
|                | Average droplet size (µm)   | 50     |

Table 2. Running parameters for each load.

| Load/MW | Volume Flow of Flue Gas (t/h) | Inlet Flue Gas Temperature (K) | Volume Flow of Wastewater (t/h) | Inlet Wastewater Temperature (K) |
|---------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 250     | 18.31                         | 600                            | 1.2                            | 323                              |
| 280     | 21.17                         | 603                            | 1.26                           | 323                              |
| 330     | 19.98                         | 599                            | 1.33                           | 323                              |
| 400     | 29.3                          | 629                            | 2.01                           | 323                              |

Table 3. Outlet temperature (T) and outlet H₂O mass fraction (W) of measurement and simulation.

| Load/MW | Measurement T/K | Simulation T/K | Relative Error%/ | Measurement W/% | Simulation W/% | Relative Error% |
|---------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 250     | 431             | 436            | −1.16            | 11.28           | 11.52          | −2.13           |
| 280     | 429             | 440            | −2.56            | 11.28           | 10.92          | 3.21            |
| 330     | 429             | 435            | −1.40            | 11.84           | 11.63          | 1.77            |
| 400     | 435             | 422            | 3                | 12.1            | 11.83          | 2.63            |

3.2. Reliability Verification to CFD Model

To verify the reliability of the model, four different load conditions were simulated. Table 2 lists the actual operating parameters of each load. Table 3 shows the outlet temperature and H₂O mass fraction from the simulation and actual measurement. Comparing the simulation results with the actual measurement results, it is found that the relative error is less than 5%, which proves that the model has high reliability and is acceptable in engineering.

Table 4. Outlet temperature (T) and outlet H₂O mass fraction (W) of measurement and simulation.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Effect of Angle of Deflector

By adjusting the angle of the deflector, the flue gas flow field can be changed, thereby affecting the evaporation characteristics of the desulfurization wastewater droplets. In this work, the angles of the inner and outer deflectors are set as 0°, 15°, 30°, and 45°, respectively, to investigate the changes of the flow field and the evaporation condition of the droplets. Due to the large temperature difference and relative velocity between the droplets and flue gas when they are just in contact, the evaporation rate of the droplets is large. Therefore, the flow field distribution near the outlet of the hot air distributor has an important influence on the evaporation characteristics of the droplets.

Figure 6 shows the effect of different inner deflectors angles on the flow field at the outer deflector angle of 0°. It can be seen that flue gas streamlines are basically vertical
downward, when the angle is 0°. Where there is a high-speed zone around the atomizer, the reason is that the velocity of droplet injection is higher, which enhances the flue gas velocity. With the angle increasing, the flue gas streamlines form a certain angle with the axis, forming a spiral-down gas flow inside the desiccator. This means that the flue gas can stay longer in there and, then, have a longer contact time with the droplets, which is beneficial to the evaporation of the droplets. The partial enlargement view from Figure 6 shows the details of the streamline. The internal streamline presents a spiral trend, while the outer streamline is basically vertical downwards, which suppresses the swirling effect of the gas to a certain extent.

![Figure 6](image-url)  
*Figure 6. Outlet flue gas streamlines of the hot air distributor at different inner deflector angles: (a) 0°; (b) 15°; (c) 30°; (d) 45°.*

The effects of different outer deflector angles on the flow field at the inner deflector angle of 0° are shown in Figure 6. With the increase in the angle, the flue gas forms a stronger spiral gas flow inside the desiccator, which is similar to the law shown in Figure 7. Moreover, it also shows that the same angle of the outer panel is able to generate airflow with greater spiral strength, relative to the inner panel, in contrast to Figure 7. Therefore, increasing the outer diffuser angle may be preferred, when considering enhanced flue gas swirling.
Figure 7. Outlet flue gas streamlines of the hot air distributor at different outer deflector angles: (a) 0°; (b) 15°; (c) 30°; (d) 45°.

Figure 8 presents the variation of mean evaporation time of the droplets at different angles of the inner and outer deflectors. The mean evaporation time of the droplets decreases with increasing the angle of deflectors. This is because the flue gas changes direction to spiral down after passing through the inclined deflectors, which increases the relative velocity between gas and liquid and, then, the evaporation rate of droplets. Since flue gas entering from the inner layer of the flue gas splitter is first in contact with the droplets, at which point the temperature difference and relative velocity between gas and liquid are the largest, that is, the rate of heat transfer is the largest, changing the angle of the inner deflectors has a greater effect on the evaporation rate of the droplets under the same circumstances. In addition, because the momentum of the droplets is relatively small, the movement of the droplets is more influenced by the flue gas and the relative velocity decreases rapidly, resulting in the evaporation time of the droplets not changing significantly with the angle of the deflectors.

The effect of the angle of the deflectors on the average axial evaporation distance of the droplets can be seen in Figure 9. Compared to the vertical plates (both 0°), when the angle of the inner and outer deflectors is changed from 0° to 45°, the average axial evaporation distance of the droplets is shortened by 1.3 m and 1.1 m, respectively, which illustrates that increasing the angle of the deflectors appropriately allows the droplets to evaporate at a shorter axial distance. Because the droplets first contact with flue gas at the outlet of the inner layer of the splitter, increasing its evaporation rate, the change of the angle of the inner deflectors has a greater impact.
Figure 8. Variation of mean evaporation time with angle of deflector.

Figure 9. Variation of mean axial distance with angle of deflector.

4.2. Effect of Gas–Liquid Ratio

In actual operation, because of the frequent changes in power plant load, the wastewater treatment volume of the drying tower also changes accordingly. When the flue gas volume is 30,000 Nm³/h, the wastewater treatment volume is set to 3.61, 3.23, 3 and 2.61 m³/h, respectively, and the gas–liquid ratio is 8300, 9300, 10,000 and 11,500 m³/Nm³.

Figure 10 shows the temperature distribution at different gas–liquid ratios. The temperature of flue gas in the hot air distributor is the highest (613 K). When the hot gas contacts the wastewater droplets around the atomizer, the temperature drops rapidly. In Figure 10a,b, there is an obvious low temperature area under the atomizer, indicating that there is a strong phenomenon of heat and mass transfer, which is the main evaporation area of the droplet. With the increase in the gas–liquid ratio, the corresponding hot smoke volume per unit number of droplets increases, the temperature in the dryer increases gradually and the low temperature area is smaller and smaller. When the gas–liquid ratio is 8300, 9300, 10,000 and 11,500 m³/Nm³, the outlet temperature of the dryer is 389.8, 406.8, 423 and 446.2 K, respectively. In addition, the temperature distribution of the lower part of the dryer is uniform under four cases, which proves that the water has completely evaporated.
Figure 10. Temperature field distribution with different gas–liquid ratios: (a) 8300 m³/Nm³; (b) 9300 m³/Nm³; (c) 10,000 m³/Nm³; (d) 11,500 m³/Nm³.

The mean time and axial distance required for the complete evaporation of the droplets are shown in Figure 11 under different gas–liquid ratios. As the gas–liquid ratio decreases, the evaporation time and distance of the droplets show a similar increasing trend, but the increasing amplitude gradually decreases. On the one hand, with the decrease in desulfurization wastewater flow rate, the amount of hot flue gas corresponding to a unit number of droplets increases, which increases the heat and mass transfer rate between gas and liquid, thus promoting the evaporation effect of droplets. On the other hand, the lower the flow of desulfurization wastewater, the greater the flue gas resistance of the droplets and the faster the relative velocity attenuation, which hinders the further improvement of its evaporation efficiency. When the wastewater treatment volume is less than 3 m³/h, the increase in gas–liquid ratio has similar promoting and inhibiting effects on evaporation efficiency, resulting in little change in evaporation time and distance.

Figure 11. Variation of mean evaporation time and axial distance with gas–liquid ratio.
The curves of droplet percentage changing with mean evaporation time at different gas–liquid ratios are shown in Figure 12. It can be clearly seen that the change trend of the evaporation percentage curve of each droplet is similar. In the initial stage (<0.02 s), the rate of droplet evaporation was rapid and the evaporation percentages all exceeded 20%. With the extension of mean evaporation time, the growth trend of the curve slowed down and the evaporation rate corresponding to the droplets gradually decreased. This phenomenon depends on the temperature difference and relative velocity, which are larger when the droplets just evaporate, between droplets and flue gas, implying a greater heat and mass transfer rate between the gas phase and the liquid phase. It can also be seen, from Figure 12, that when the mean evaporation time is the same, the droplet evaporation percentage increases as the gas–liquid ratio increases.

![Figure 12. Variation of droplet evaporation percentage with mean evaporation time under different gas–liquid ratios.](image)

The trajectories of the droplets under different gas–liquid ratios are shown in Figure 13. When the gas–liquid ratio is low, the trajectories of the droplets are umbrella-shaped and spread downward. The higher the gas–liquid ratio, the more the trajectories shrink inward. The reason is that, under the influence of centrifugal force and flue gas resistance, the droplet performs a parabolic-like motion in the initial stage. Then, they spiral downward along with the flue gas. A higher gas–liquid ratio means that the flue gas resistance per unit number of droplets increases and it is easier to move with the flue gas during the evaporation process. Appropriately reducing the gas–liquid ratio can improve the operating efficiency of the dryer, but a too low gas–liquid ratio will cause the droplets to evaporate longer in the axial direction, causing them to hit the wall. For instance, when the gas–liquid ratio is 8300 m$^3$/Nm$^3$, 0.11% of the droplets are captured by the wall, which shows that the inner wall of the dryer may be corroded under these working conditions. Therefore, the suitable gas–liquid ratio is 9300 m$^3$/Nm$^3$. 
4.3. Effect of Rotational Speed

The atomizer is an important part of the dryer and its rotational speed is an important factor that affects the evaporation effect of the atomized droplets. Based on the gas–liquid ratio of 9300 m³/Nm³, the cases of 13,000, 16,000, 19,000 and 22,000 rpm were simulated, respectively. Figure 14 shows the H₂O concentration field distribution at different rotational speeds. In Figure 13a–d, the H₂O concentration increases rapidly and distributes uniformly after the hot flue gas contacts the droplets. It can be seen, from Figure 14a, that there is an obvious high concentration area under the outlet of the hot air distributor. This is because the droplet evaporation area is more concentrated at low rotation speed, resulting in higher concentration. With the increase in rotational speed, the low temperature region gradually decreases until it disappears.
The effect of rotational speed on the average time and axial distance required for the complete evaporation of the droplets is shown in Figure 15. As the rotational speed increases, the average evaporation time and axial distance of the droplets decrease. When the rotational speed is increased from 13,000 rpm to 22,000 rpm, the evaporation time and axial distance are reduced by 16.14% and 22.7%, respectively. This is because increasing the rotational speed enables the atomized droplets to obtain a higher initial speed, which increases the relative speed between gas and liquid, strengthens the convection heat transfer inside the dryer and promotes the evaporation of droplets. Moreover, the higher initial velocity means that the rigidity of droplets is stronger and the downward...
velocity component is smaller, so that the droplet evaporates completely within a shorter axial distance.

![Figure 15. Variation of mean evaporation time and axial distance with rotational speed.](image1)

The changing curves of the evaporation percentage of the droplets are shown in Figure 16 under different rotational speeds with mean evaporation time. At the same time, the evaporation percentage of the droplets increases with the increase in the rotational speed, indicating that the evaporation rate of the droplets has improved. The main reason is that increasing the rotational speed of the atomizer can increase the initial relative velocity of small droplets with flue gas, thus improving the convective heat transfer efficiency. In addition, the droplet particle size decreases with increasing evaporation time, resulting in a decrease in the specific surface area, which impedes the evaporation of the droplets to some extent, as evident from the curve changing trend of Figure 16.

![Figure 16. Variation of droplet evaporation percentage with mean evaporation time under different rotational speeds.](image2)

It can also be seen, from Figure 16, that, when the rotational speed is greater than 16,000 rpm, the average time required for the complete evaporation of the droplets is basically unchanged. The reason is that the higher the rotational speed, the faster the droplet size reduction rate. As the specific surface area of the droplets becomes smaller, the evaporation rate decreases. At the same time, in conjunction with the droplet trajectory shown in Figure 17, it can be seen that a higher rotational speed (over 19,000 rpm) causes...
some droplets to leave the main evaporation zone, which, instead, limits the shortening of the evaporation time. In addition, 0.15% of droplets adhere to the inner wall in these working conditions, which is likely to cause corrosion problems. In summary, the droplet evaporation effect is better when the rotational speed is 16,000 rpm.

5. Conclusions

A simulation study of centrifugal spray drying was carried out in the desulphurization wastewater dryer of a 330 MW power plant. Through reliability verification, it is found that the simulation results are in good agreement with the actual measurement results, which proves that the numerical model can accurately reflect the actual operating conditions. This study draws the following conclusions:

(1) The angle of the deflectors has a great influence on the flue gas flow field in the spray dryer. As the angle increases, the swirling effect of the airflow becomes stronger. In the same situation, the influence of increasing the angle of the outer deflectors is more obvious than that of the inner one.

(2) Increasing the angle of the deflectors can effectively shorten the mean time and axial distance required for the complete evaporation of the droplets. Among them, the change in the angle of the inner deflectors has a greater impact on the droplet evaporation effect.

(3) The flue gas forms a high-speed area under the outlet of the hot air distributor and most of the liquid droplets evaporate in this area. As the gas–liquid ratio decreases, the high-speed zone expands radially, showing an umbrella shape.

(4) The mean time and axial distance required for complete evaporation of droplets increase with the decrease in the gas–liquid ratio and the increasing amplitude gradually decreases. When the gas–liquid ratio is 8300 m$^3$/Nm$^3$, 0.11% of the droplets are captured by the wall, which is likely to cause corrosion problems. Thus, the best gas–liquid ratio is 9300 m$^3$/Nm$^3$.

(5) Increasing the rotational speed of the atomizer is conducive to shortening the mean evaporation time and axial distance of droplets to a certain extent, but excessive rotational speed may cause the droplets to adhere to the wall. The results show that 16,000 rpm is the optimum rotational speed.
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Nomenclature

Roman symbols

| Symbol | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| A      | surface area of the particle (m²) |
| C      | specific heat capacity (J·kg⁻¹·K⁻¹) |
| C_D    | drag coefficient |
| C_i,s  | vapor concentration on the droplet surface (kmol·m⁻³) |
| C_i,g  | vapor concentration in the main stream of gas (kmol·m⁻³) |
| d      | diameter of the droplet (m) |
| D      | diffusion coefficient (m²·s⁻¹) |
| D_i,m  | diffusion coefficient of vapor (m²·s⁻¹) |
| f_i    | volume force (N) |
| F_D    | drag force (N) |
| g      | gravity acceleration (m·s⁻²) |
| h      | convective heat transfer coefficient (J·m⁻²·K⁻¹·s⁻¹) |
| h_lg   | latent heat of vaporization (J·kg⁻¹) |
| k_c    | mass transfer coefficient (m·s⁻¹) |
| k_g    | thermal conductivity of gas (J·m⁻¹·K⁻¹·s⁻¹) |
| m      | mass of the droplet (kg) |
| N_i    | molar flux (kmol·m⁻³·s⁻¹) |
| Nu     | Nusselt number (h_d_p/k_g) |
| p_i    | gas pressure (Pa) |
| Re_p   | relative Reynolds number of gas and liquid (ρ d_p | u_p − u | /μ_g) |
| Sc     | Schmidt number |
| S_y_s  | corresponding source (kg·m⁻³·s⁻¹) |
| T      | Temperature (K) |
| u_g    | gas velocity (m·s⁻¹) |
| u_g    | gas velocity vector (m·s⁻¹) |
| Y_s    | mass fraction of gas component s (%) |

Greek symbols

| Symbol | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| θ      | deflector angle (°) |
| μ      | dynamic viscosity (mPa·s) |
| ρ      | density (kg·m⁻³) |
| φ      | viscous dissipation function |
| ω_s   | net mass production rate of component s (kg·m⁻³·s⁻¹) |

Subscripts

| Symbol | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| g      | gas |
| p      | droplets |
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