Simulation and experimental study on PID control of a quadrotor MAV with perturbation
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ABSTRACT

This paper presents a proportional-integral-derivative (PID) flight controller for a quadrotor micro air vehicle (MAV). The MAV (Parrot Mambo minidrones) is small, therefore, a slight perturbation will affect its performance. Hence, for the actuated dynamics, roll (ϕ), pitch (θ), yaw (ψ), and z stabilization, a PID control scheme is proposed. Furthermore, the same controller technique is also applied for under-actuated dynamics x and y position control. The Newtonian model is simulated using Simulink with a normal Gaussian noise of force as external disturbances. Using Simulink support package for Parrot Minidrones by MATLAB and based on the simulation parameter, the algorithm is deployed using Bluetooth® Low energy connection via personal area network (PAN). A slight force by hand is applied as perturbation during hovering to investigate system performances. Finally, the simulation and experimental on this commercial MAV, Parrot Mambo minidrones shows good performance of the flight controller scheme in the presence of external disturbances.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, many quadrotor drones have been developed based on their size and purposes such as mini drones, hobby drones, professional drones, selfie drones, and FPV racing drone [1]. Mini drone or micro air vehicle (MAV) defined as a small scale UAV with mass < 0.1 kg get attention by educator/researcher due to it reliable and safe to perform in denied GPS space such as in the halls, schools, and more [2].

DJI and Parrot are among the well-known manufacturers that have established and commercialized quadrotor drones which cover most of those types including for educations. For instance, DJI developed Tello EDU, which can be programmed through Scratch 2.0, SDK 2.0, and even support Swift Playgrounds for iOS user [3]. In a while, Parrot has developed AR Drone 2.0, Rolling Spider and Mambo with all model support to be programmed via MATLAB/Simulink. AR Drone 2.0 toolbox is developed and shared by researchers while Simulink Support Package for Rolling Spider which recently upgraded to Simulink Support Package for Parrot Minidrones is add-on hardware support packaged provided by MATLAB based on Aerospace Blockset developed by MIT [4].

The mini drone’s quadrotor structure is simple, yet under-actuated and dynamically unstable system makes it complicated to design a full controller. Therefore, most of the research used common techniques
by creating two virtual inputs; roll angle and pitch angle for position control which required a very stabilized attitude controller [5-7]. Various control method has been presented such as an backstepping control [8-12], feedback linearization linear control [13, 14], sliding mode control (SMC) for attitude and altitude [15-19], proportional-integral-derivative (PID) [20-23] and LQR control [24, 25] and fuzzy logic control [26, 27].

This paper presents a PID control for under-actuated Parrot Mambo mini-drone focus on attitude stabilization during position control. The advantages of PID is its practicability and easy to be implemented just based on the system tracking error [28, 29]. The Parrot Mambo (6-DOF quadrotor mini drone), includes with ultrasonic, accelerometer, gyroscope, air pressure and down-facing camera sensors. It allows Bluetooth® Low Energy connection to deploy algorithms wirelessly via personal area network (PAN). This paper contributes a demonstration of simulation and experimental results of the PID controller applied to a commercial MAV (Parrot Mambo minidrone).

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the nonlinear dynamic model based on the Parrot Mambo, and the design of the PID for both attitude and position control of the quadrotor. Section 3 presents the simulation and experiment results of controllers. Section IV is the conclusion of the paper.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

In this section, the nonlinear dynamics model of the Parrot Mambo mini-drone is presented using Newton-Euler formulation.

2.1. Quadrotor dynamics

In (1) [30] describes the translational dynamic model, while (2) represents the rotational dynamic model for ×-model of the Parrot Mambo mini-drone, as shown in Figure 1.

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= \frac{1}{m} U_1 (S\psi S\phi + C\psi S\theta C\phi) \\
\dot{y} &= \frac{1}{m} U_1 (-C\psi S\phi + S\psi S\theta C\phi) \\
\dot{z} &= g + \frac{1}{m} (C\theta C\phi) U_1 \\
\ddot{\phi} &= \frac{(l_{yy} - l_{zz})}{l_{xx}} \dot{\psi} - \frac{l_r}{l_{xx}} (\Omega_d) \theta + \frac{l}{l_{xx}} U_2 \\
\ddot{\theta} &= \frac{l_{xx} - l_{yy}}{l_{yy}} \dot{\phi} + \frac{l_r}{l_{yy}} (\Omega_d) \phi + \frac{l}{l_{yy}} U_3 \\
\ddot{\psi} &= \frac{(l_{xx} - l_{yy})}{l_{xx}} \dot{\theta} + \frac{l}{l_{xx}} U_4
\end{align*}
\]  

(1)

where S and C stand for trigonometric operator ‘sin’ and ‘cos’ respectively, g is gravitational coefficient, m is quadrotor mass, \( \phi \), \( \theta \), \( \psi \) represent roll, pitch, and yaw respectively. \( l_{xx}, l_{yy}, \) and \( l_{zz} \) is the total moment of inertia, \( J_r \) is the rotor moment of inertia, \( \Omega_d \) is the total of angular speed, \( U_1, U_2, U_3, \) and \( U_4 \) are the control inputs. Table 1 shows the physical parameters of the Parrot Mambo, which is written in the source code obtained from the compilation of simulation model.

![Figure 1. Parrot Mambo minidrone](image-url)
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Table 1. Parrot Mambo model physical parameters

| Specification                  | Parameter | Unit | Value  |
|--------------------------------|-----------|------|--------|
| Quadrotor mass                 | m         | kg   | 0.063  |
| Lateral moment arm             | l         | m    | 0.0624 |
| Thrust coefficient             | b         | Ns²  | 0.0107 |
| Drag coefficient               | d         | Nms² | 0.78264 x 10⁻³ |
| Rolling moment of inertia      | Ix        | kgm² | 0.0000582857 |
| Pitching moment of inertia     | Iy        | kgm² | 0.000016914  |
| Yawing moment of inertia       | Iz        | kgm² | 0.0001    |
| Rotor moment of inertia        | Jr        | kgm² | 0.1021 x 10⁻⁶ |

2.2. Attitude controller

The attitude controller is designed using classical PID as:

\[ U_i = k_i^p e_i + k_i^d \int e_i \, dt + k_i^i \dot{e}_i \quad (i = \phi, \theta, \psi) \]  

(3)

where \( e_i = \dot{i} - \dot{i} \) and \( \dot{e}_i = \ddot{i} - \ddot{i} \) are the error and derivative error between the desired signal and actual signal, and \( k_i^p, k_i^d \), and \( k_i^i \) are the PID gains parameter \((i = \phi, \theta, \psi)\).

2.3. Altitude controller

The altitude controller is designed using classical PID with a gravity compensator controller and described as:

\[ U_z = k_z^p e_z + k_z^d \int e_z \, dt + k_z^i \dot{e}_z + mg \] 

(4)

where \( e_z = z_d - z \) and \( \dot{e}_z = \dot{z}_d - \dot{z} \) are the error and derivative error between the desired signal and actual signal, and \( k_z^p, k_z^d \), and \( k_z^i \) are the PID gain parameter.

2.4. Position controller

For the position control, since the MAV is operating around hover, the small-angle assumption \((S\phi_d \equiv \phi_d, S\theta_d \equiv \theta_d \text{ and } C\phi_d = C\theta_d = 1)\) is applied to simplify (1) as:

\[ \ddot{x} = \frac{1}{m} U_1 (\phi_d S\psi + \theta_d C\psi) \] 

\[ \ddot{y} = \frac{1}{m} U_1 (-\phi_d C\psi + \theta_d S\psi) \] 

(5)

Therefore, from (4), a virtual control signal is proposed to control the x and y position and can be implemented as:

\[ \phi_d = (u_x S\psi - u_y C\psi) \] 

\[ \theta_d = (u_x C\psi + u_y S\psi) \] 

(6)

where \( u_x \) and \( u_y \) are the inputs control signal designed using PID as:

\[ u_x = k_x^p e_x + k_x^d \int e_x + k_x^i \dot{e}_x \] 

\[ u_y = k_y^p e_y + k_y^d \int e_y + k_y^i \dot{e}_y \] 

(7)

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, simulation and real-time implementation are carried out to verify the performance of the Parrot Mambo mini drone.

3.1. Simulation results

Based on the dynamics of quadrotor MAV in (1, 2) and PID controller in (3, 4, 7), the simulations were conducted through MATLAB/Simulink® R2019a by setting third-order solver with 0.005 second sampling period. The dynamics \( z, \phi, \theta, \text{ and } \psi \) were evaluated with the presences of external disturbances, a normal Gaussian noise of force defined as \( d(t) = [(0,0.5)N,(0,0.5)N,(0,0.5)N,(0,0.5)N] \) at the time interval \( d(t) > 10 \text{ s} \) [15]. Figure 2(a) shows the altitude and the attitude response of the PID controller with
a with the presence of external disturbance. As shown, the PID controller able to converge to zero even with the presence of external disturbances after 10 s. Figure 2(b) shows the controller control inputs were sensitive to the noise after 10 seconds period.
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Figure 2. PID controller with the presence of external disturbance, (a) Altitude and attitude response, (b) The control inputs

### 3.2. Experimental results

By using the Simulink Support Package for Parrot minidrones, the Parrot Mambo algorithm is deployed via Bluetooth. The state estimation was predefined in the provided package. The sensor fusion algorithm was designed using a complementary filter and Kalman filter to process onboard sensors such as the ultrasonic sensor, IMU, air pressure sensor, and optical flow sensor [2]. Figure 3 shows an experimental setup for MAV using Simulink for simulation and straight away can be programmed wirelessly through Bluetooth for real-time implementation.

The information from the real-time experiment is obtained from built-in memory in Parrot Mambo mini drone which is designed to log essential data such as sensors, positions (x, y, z) and rotational (roll, pitch, yaw). Initially, the desired altitude is set at \( z = -1.1 \) meter, while for the rotational angle yaw is set as \( \psi = 0 \). Table 2 shows the PID parameters used in this experiment.

![Experimental Setup](image)

Figure 3. Experimental setup for MAV
### Table 2. PID parameters the Parrot Mambo controller

|                | Kp | Ki | Kd  |
|----------------|----|----|-----|
| Roll, $\phi$   | 0.011 | 0.01 | 0.0028 |
| Pitch, $\theta$| 0.013 | 0.01 | 0.002 |
| Yaw, $\psi$    | 0.004 | 0.02 | 0.012 |
| Z              | 0.800 | 0.24 | 0.500 |
| Y              | -0.2 | 0.002 | 0.1 |
| X              | 0.2 | 0.05 | -0.1 |

### 3.2.1. Hovering (altitude tracking without perturbations)

In Figure 4(a), altitude $z$ can reach 1.1 m as the desired with slightly oscillations contra to the simulation (nominal model). The system performances are affected due to parametric uncertainties, i.e., hull is included for safety, which increased the weight and inertia moments, and noise from onboard sensors. The roll angle and pitch angle capable of following the desired response from the virtual controller, and yaw angle were forced to converge to zero. Figure 4(b) shows motors angular velocities during hovering.

![Figure 4](image)

(a) Altitude and attitude responses, (b) Motors angular velocities

### 3.2.2. Hovering (altitude tracking with perturbations)

In this experiment, an external disturbance consist of a force by hand is applied twice to the quadrotor at 10 s and 15 s during hovering. Since the Parrot Mambo is small MAV, a slight perturbation will affect its performances. Figure 5(a) shows the output response of the system subject to an external perturbation. Figure 5(b) shows motors angular velocities during hovering subject to an external perturbation.

### 3.2.3. Position tracking

In this experiment, the initial position of the Parrot Mambo minidrone is set as $[x, y, z]^T = [0,0,0]^T$ m and the desired final position of the Parrot Mambo minidrone is set to $[x, y, z]^T = [1,1,-1.1]^T$ m. In order to accomplish this, a Stateflow block is used to design the path planning. On the beginning, the Parrot Mambo minidrone is set to hover by setting the output as $[x, y, z]^T = [0,0,-1.1]^T$ m. After 4 seconds, the MAV is set to move forward by $[x, y, z]^T = [1,0,0]^T$ m and then after 15 seconds the MAV is set to move to the right side by $[x, y, z]^T = [1,1,-1.1]^T$ m, and finally hover at that condition afterward. Figure 6(a) shows the output response of the Parrot Mambo minidrone to follow the path as planned. From the figure, trajectory $x$ and $y$ is forced to converge to dedicated output with slightly oscillation due to parameter uncertainties as mention above. Nevertheless, the output response of the attitude $\phi, \theta, \psi$, and altitude $z$ shows great stability in following the desired signal. Figure 6(b) shows motors angular velocities during position tracking.
4. CONCLUSION

This paper presents a position and an attitude control implemented on the commercial MAV. For the attitude control, a PID control was proposed to stabilize the MAV subjected to small perturbation. Furthermore, for the position control, a PID controller with gravity compensator was utilized to ensure quadrotor able to manoeuvre successfully to the desired position by following the path as planned. Finally, in the conducted simulations and experiments on the Parrot Mambo minidrone with small perturbations, the PID controller demonstrates the MAV can achieve attitude stabilization, hover at desired altitude and then follow the desired trajectory as planned. For future work, a robust controller such as the adaptive PID or the sliding mode control techniques can be simulated and implemented on this commercial MAV.
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