Time-dependent perpendicular fluctuations in the driven lattice Lorentz gas
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We present results for the fluctuations of the displacement of a tracer particle on a planar lattice pulled by a step force in the presence of impenetrable, immobile obstacles. The fluctuations perpendicular to the applied force are evaluated exactly in first order of the obstacle density for arbitrarily strong pulling and all times. The complex time-dependent behavior is analyzed in terms of the diffusion coefficient, local exponent, and the non-Skellam parameter, which quantifies deviations from the dynamics on the lattice in the absence of obstacles. The non-Skellam parameter along the force is analyzed in terms of an asymptotic model and reveals a power-law growth for intermediate times.

In experiments of active microrheology, a tracer particle is pulled through an environment by optical or magnetic tweezers [1–3]. The goal is to infer material properties of the environment in the nonlinear regime not accessible by merely monitoring the thermally agitated motion of a particle as in passive microrheology [4]. In the presence of pulling, these systems are driven strongly out of equilibrium, and new phenomena such as force-thinning [5–7], (transient) superdiffusive behavior, and enhanced diffusion [8–10] emerge.

The nonlinear regime of such systems can be investigated theoretically by considering generic models with a strong repulsive interaction between the tracer and the particles comprising the environment. For the motion of the tracer, one conventionally considers Brownian motion in continuum or random walks on lattices. For these cases, the environment can consist of dilute and immobile obstacles up to crowded environments with a certain underlying dynamics. For lattice models, progress and even exact results have been presented [11–21], whereas in continuum, continuous-time random walks [22–24], Langevin equations [25, 26], kinetic theory [27], and the framework of mode-coupling theory of the glass transition [28–34] have been successfully employed. For active microrheology in suspensions of hard spheres performing Brownian motion [35–39], exact results have been obtained in first order of the density for the stationary mobility [35] and the stationary diffusion coefficient parallel and perpendicular to the field [37].

Here, we employ a lattice model for a tracer in the presence of quenched disorder realized by immobile and impenetrable obstacles. At time zero, we switch on a constant step force pulling the tracer and monitor the time-dependent dynamics and the approach to the stationary state. For this model, it is possible to solve for the complete time-dependent dynamics in first order of the obstacle density and arbitrarily strong driving. Previously, we have discussed the time-dependent velocity and the growth of the fluctuations along the force given by the variance of the displacement of the tracer particle [14, 21]. Here, we extend and elaborate the solution for the case of the fluctuations perpendicular to the applied force on the tracer encoded in the respective mean-square displacement. We characterize the time-dependent dynamics in terms of the diffusion coefficient, the local exponent encoding sub- and superdiffusive behavior, and the non-Skellam parameter, which encodes deviations from the free motion of the tracer on the lattice similar to the non-Gaussian parameter for Brownian motion in continuum.

The main results of this work can be summarized in the following way: In equilibrium, the time-dependent diffusion coefficient is a monotonically decreasing function. This is no longer the case in the presence of a field where the perpendicular diffusion coefficient shows both a decrease as well as an increase over time. The time-dependent behavior of the perpendicular diffusion coefficient is observed in the local exponent where transiently subdiffusive and superdiffusive regimes characterize the approach to the stationary state. These subdiffusive and superdiffusive regimes become visible in the non-Skellam parameter as positive and negative contributions. In the stationary state, the diffusion coefficient perpendicular to the applied force is characterized by density-induced nonanalytic contributions for small driving. The diffusion coefficient increases monotonically with increasing force and is bounded from above in the limit of strong driving.

This work is organized as follows. In section I the driven lattice Lorentz gas is defined, and the notation used throughout this work is introduced. The general solution strategy relying on a scattering theory to account for repeated encounters of the tracer with the same obstacle is elaborated in section II, and the formal solution is presented in section III. Readers whose primary concern is about results rather than the theoretical techniques may skip these sections upon first reading and jump directly to section IV, where the main results are presented. The discussion is followed by a summary and conclusion in section V.

I. THE MODEL

We consider a tracer particle performing a random walk on a square lattice of lattice spacing $a$ that we set to unity: $\Lambda = \{ \mathbf{r} = (x,y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} : x,y \in [-L/2, L/2] \}$, linear size $L \in 2\mathbb{N}$ with periodic boundary conditions and
\[ N = L^2 \] sites. The random walker performs successive jumps to its nearest-neighbors, \( \mathcal{N} = \{ \pm e_x, \pm e_y \} \). The lattice consists of free sites, accessible to the tracer as well as sites with randomly placed immobile hard obstacles of density \( n \) (fraction of excluded sites). If the tracer attempts to jump onto an obstacles site, it remains at its initial position before the jump. The waiting time of the tracer at every site is Poisson-distributed with mean waiting time \( \tau \).

Statistical information about the random walk is encoded in the site-occupation probability density. Since the time evolution is described by a linear master equation, it is convenient to adopt a bra-ket notation. We define an abstract ket \( |p(t)\rangle \) encoding the site-occupation probability density, which can then be expanded in the complete and orthonormal basis of all position kets \( \{|r\rangle : r \in \Lambda\} \):

\[
|p(t)\rangle = \sum_{r \in \Lambda} |r\rangle \langle r| p(t) \rangle.
\] (1)

Hence, the probability to find the random walker at time \( t \) at site \( r \) is given by the overlap \( \langle r| p(t) \rangle \). The evolution in time of the density \( |p(t)\rangle \) is determined by the master equation \( \partial_t |p(t)\rangle = \hat{H} |p(t)\rangle \) with “Hamiltonian” \( \hat{H} \). In the position basis it obtains the following form:

\[
\partial_t \langle r| p(t) \rangle = \sum_{r' \in \Lambda} \langle r| \hat{H} |r'\rangle \langle r'| p(t) \rangle,
\] (2)

where the matrix elements \( \langle r| \hat{H} |r'\rangle \) encode the transition rates from site \( r' \) to \( r \).

First we consider the reference case in which there are no impurities on the lattice and every site is accessible to the tracer. Driving is introduced via a force that pulls the tracer along the \( x \)-direction of the lattice. We measure the strength of the force in the dimensionless number \( F = \text{force} \cdot (\text{lattice spacing})/k_B T \). The force introduces a bias in the corresponding nearest-neighbor transition probabilities \( \hat{W}(d \in \mathcal{N}) \), and local detailed balance \( \hat{W}(e_x)/\hat{W}(-e_x) = \exp(F) \) and \( \hat{W}(e_y)/\hat{W}(-e_y) = 1 \) along both lattice directions suggests

\[
\hat{W}(\pm e_x) = \frac{e^{\pm F/2}}{e^{F/2} + e^{-F/2} + 2},
\] (3)

\[
\hat{W}(\pm e_y) = \frac{1}{e^{F/2} + e^{-F/2} + 2}.
\] (4)

We consider non-normalized rates \( \Gamma/\tau \hat{W}(d \in \mathcal{N}) \) with dimensionless rate \( \Gamma = \cosh(F/2) + 1/2 \), and the mean waiting time \( \tau \) sets the time scale. This reference case then defines the unperturbed Hamiltonian

\[
\hat{H}_0 = \frac{\Gamma}{\tau} \sum_{r \in \Lambda} \left[ -|r\rangle \langle r| + \sum_{d \in \mathcal{N}} \hat{W}(d) |r\rangle \langle r-d| \right].
\] (5)

In the presence of hard obstacles, transitions to and from impurities are prohibited, which can be formally accounted for by writing the Hamiltonian as \( \hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{V} \), such that the “potential” \( \hat{V} \) cancels the forbidden transitions. In particular, for a single impurity at site \( s_1 \), we obtain \( \hat{V} = \hat{v}(s_1) \equiv \hat{v}_1 \), and the only non-vanishing matrix elements affect the obstacle site \( s_1 \) and its nearest-neighbors \( s_1 - d, d \), with \( d \in \mathcal{N} \) leading to \( \langle s_1| \hat{v}_1 |s_1 \rangle = (\Gamma/\tau) \), \( \langle s_1| \hat{v}_1 |s_1 - d \rangle = -\Gamma/\tau \hat{W}(d) \), \( \langle s_1 - d| \hat{v}_1 |s_1 \rangle = -\Gamma/\tau \hat{W}(-d) \), and \( \langle s_1 - d| \hat{v}_1 |s_1 - d \rangle = (\Gamma/\tau) \hat{W}(d) \). The complete potential \( \hat{V} \) for \( N_I \) impurities is then obtained in first order of the density \( n = N_I/N \) by summing over all single-obstacle potentials: \( \hat{V} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_I} \hat{v}_i \).

The force on the tracer is switched on a time \( t = 0 \), and we use the thermal equilibrium state \( |p(t = 0)\rangle = |p_{eq}\rangle = 1/N \) in the absence of driving \( F = 0 \) as the initial condition. Formally, the tracer is allowed to start also at an impurity site, however these contributions can be exactly corrected in first order of the density at the end of the calculation.

**II. SOLUTION STRATEGY**

We first solve for the dynamics of a particle in the absence of obstacles and express the time-dependent site-occupation probability density in terms of the time-evolution operator \( \hat{U}_0(t) \) via \( \hat{W}(d \in \mathcal{N}) = \hat{U}_0(t)|p_{eq}\rangle \). The time-evolution operator for the free dynamics fulfills the differential equation \( \partial_t \hat{U}_0(t) = \hat{H}_0 \hat{U}_0(t) \) with initial condition \( \hat{U}_0(0) = 1 \), and is given by \( \hat{U}_0(t) = \exp(\hat{H}_0 t) \). Since the free Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}_0 \) is translationally invariant, it is diagonal in the plane-wave basis defined by

\[
|k\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{r \in \Lambda} \exp(i k \cdot r) |r\rangle,
\] (6)

with wave vector \( k = (k_x, k_y) \in \Lambda^* = \{ (2\pi x/L, 2\pi y/L) : (x, y) \in \Lambda \} \), and scalar product \( k \cdot r = k_x x + k_y y \). Then, the invariance under translation implies \( \langle k| \hat{H}_0 | k'\rangle = \epsilon(k) \delta(k, k') \) with Kronecker-Delta \( \delta(k, k') \) and eigenvalue \( \epsilon(k) \) of the free Hamiltonian:

\[
\epsilon(k) = -\frac{\Gamma}{\tau} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{N}} \left[ (1 - \cos(k \cdot d)) + i \sin(k \cdot d) \right] \hat{W}(d).
\] (7)

Hence, the time-evolution operator for the free dynamics, \( \hat{U}_0(t) = \exp(\hat{H}_0 t) \), is also diagonal in the plane-wave basis with \( \langle k| \hat{U}_0(t) | k'\rangle = \exp[i \epsilon(k)t] \delta(k, k') \).

All moments of the time-dependent displacement in the absence of obstacles are contained in the self-intermediate scattering function \( \hat{F}_0(k, t) = \langle e^{-ik \cdot \Delta x(t)} \rangle_0 \), which is defined in terms of the time-evolution operator \( \hat{U}_0(t) \) via

\[
\hat{F}_0(k, t) = \sum_{r, r' \in \Lambda} e^{-ik \cdot (r-r')} \langle r| \hat{U}_0(t) | r'\rangle \langle r'| p_{eq} \rangle,
\] (8)

with initial distribution \( \langle r'| p_{eq} \rangle = 1/N \). It is directly connected to the eigenvalue of the time-evolution operator.
in the plane-wave basis with

\[ F_0(k, t) = \langle k | \hat{U}_0(t) | k \rangle = \exp[\epsilon(k)t]. \]  

(9)

In the presence of obstacles, we express the dynamics in terms of the scattering formalism borrowed from quantum mechanics [40]. We define the propagator \( \hat{G} \) by the Laplace transform of the respective time-evolution operator \( \hat{U}(t) \) for a configuration \( \hat{V} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \hat{v}_i \) of obstacles:

\[ \hat{G}(s) = \int_0^\infty dt \, e^{-st} \hat{U}(t) = (s - \hat{H})^{-1}. \]  

(10)

In particular, the free propagator \( \hat{G}_0 = (s - \hat{H}_0)^{-1} \) is diagonal in the plane-wave basis with the eigenvalue

\[ \hat{G}_0(k) = \langle k | \hat{G}_0 | k \rangle = \frac{1}{s - \epsilon(k)}. \]  

(11)

The dependence on the Laplace frequency \( s \) will be suppressed throughout.

The scattering operator \( \hat{T} = \hat{V} + \hat{V}\hat{G}_0\hat{T} \) accounts for all possible collision events of the tracer with the obstacle disorder and connects both propagators via the relation

\[ \hat{G} = \hat{G}_0 + \hat{G}_0 \hat{T} \hat{G}_0. \]  

(12)

Inserting the obstacle configuration \( \hat{V} = \sum_{i}^{N_1} \hat{v}_i \) into the scattering operator expansion \( \hat{T} = \hat{V} + \hat{V}\hat{G}_0\hat{V} + \hat{V}\hat{G}_0\hat{V}\hat{G}_0\hat{V} + \cdots \), one arrives at

\[ \hat{T} = \sum_{i}^{N_1} \hat{v}_i + \sum_{j,k=1}^{N_1} \hat{v}_j \hat{G}_0 \hat{v}_k + \sum_{l,m,n=1}^{N_1} \hat{v}_l \hat{G}_0 \hat{v}_m \hat{G}_0 \hat{v}_n + \cdots. \]  

(13)

The possible scattering events can be arranged in terms of repeated collisions with the same obstacle \( \hat{v}_i \hat{G}_0 \hat{v}_j \) and distinct collisions \( \hat{v}_i \hat{G}_0 \hat{v}_j \) with two different obstacles \( i \neq j \). This classification is conveniently expressed in terms of the scattering operator of a single obstacle,

\[ \hat{t}_i = \hat{v}_i + \hat{v}_i \hat{G}_0 \hat{v}_i + \hat{v}_i \hat{G}_0 \hat{v}_i \hat{G}_0 \hat{v}_i + \cdots, \]  

(14)

which accounts for all possible repeated collisions of the tracer with the same obstacle \( \hat{v}_i \). The scattering operator \( \hat{T} \) can then be written in terms of these scattering operators \( \hat{t}_i \), leading to the multiple scattering expansion

\[ \hat{T} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \hat{t}_i + \sum_{j,k=1}^{N_1} \hat{t}_j \hat{G}_0 \hat{t}_k + \sum_{l,m,n=1}^{N_1} \hat{t}_l \hat{G}_0 \hat{t}_m \hat{G}_0 \hat{t}_n + \cdots. \]  

(15)

Since here we are not interested in a particular configuration of obstacles, we take an average over the disorder realizations \([\cdot]_{\text{av}}\), which also restores translational invariance. Then we evaluate the scattering operator in the plane-wave basis, \( \langle k | [\hat{T}]_{\text{av}} | k \rangle \), where only diagonal elements are non-vanishing. The first sum in the scattering expansion [Eq. (15)] is then identified as contributions in first order of the density \( n \) and is called the independent-scatterer approximation [41]. The remaining contributions are of order \( n^2 \) and higher and describe correlated scattering events between different obstacles.

An exact expression for the disorder-averaged propagator in the plane-wave basis, \( [G]_{\text{av}}(k) := \langle k | [\hat{G}]_{\text{av}} | k \rangle \), in first order of the density of the obstacles \( n \) is then obtained as

\[ [G]_{\text{av}}(k) = G_0(k) + nNt(k)G_0(k)^2 + O(n^2), \]  

(16)

with the forward-scattering amplitude \( t(k) = \langle k | \hat{t} | k \rangle \) of a single obstacle. Since the forward-scattering amplitude is itself of order \( O(1/N) \), the disorder-averaged propagator converges in the limit of large lattices \( L \to \infty \). The disorder-averaged propagator is connected to the self-energy \( \Sigma(k) \) in terms of the Dyson equation

\[ [G]_{\text{av}} = \frac{1}{G_0(k)^{-1} - \Sigma(k)}. \]  

(17)

Thus, the contributions to the self-energy in first order of the density are encoded in the disorder-averaged propagator \( [G]_{\text{av}}(k) \). The moments of displacement can then be obtained in the frequency domain as certain derivatives with respect to the wave vector \( k \). In our calculation, the tracer particle is allowed to start at an obstacle site where it remains forever. We trivially correct for this behavior by multiplying the disorder-averaged propagator \( [G]_{\text{av}}(k) \) with \( 1/(1 - n) = 1 + n + O(n^2) \) and keeping only contributions in first order of the density. Hence, we obtain

\[ [G]_{\text{av}}^n(k) = G_0(k) + n[G_0(k) + Nt(k)G_0(k)^2] + O(n^2). \]  

(19)

The remaining task is the calculation of the forward-scattering amplitude \( t(k) \). The scattering operator for a single obstacle \( \hat{v} \) fulfills the relation

\[ \hat{t} = \hat{v} + \hat{v}\hat{G}_0\hat{t} = \hat{v} + \hat{t}\hat{G}_0\hat{v}. \]  

(20)

Due to nearest-neighbor hopping, the only non-vanishing matrix elements \( \langle r'| r \rangle \) in the real-space basis \( r \) correspond to the distinguished subspace consisting of the location of the obstacle, which we put at the origin \( 0 \), and its neighboring sites \( N \). Thus, the operator identity [Eq. (20)] can be read as a 5 \( \times \) 5 matrix inversion problem, and we can restrict our calculations to the distinguished subspace spanned by the impurity site and its neighbors.
We introduce the basis \{e_{-2}, e_{-1}, e_0, e_1, e_2\}, where we identify \(e_{±2} := ±e_y\), \(e_{±1} := ±e_x\), and \(e_0 := 0\) such that the single-obstacle potential \(\hat{v}\) takes the form

\[
v = \frac{1}{4\tau} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e^{F/2} & -e^{-F/2} & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & -e^{F/2} & 4\Gamma & -e^{-F/2} & -1 \\
0 & 0 & -e^{-F/2} & e^{F/2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (21)

Note that the sum in each column evaluates to zero, which reflects the conservation of probability. For the matrix form of the propagator \(\hat{G}_0\) in real space \(r\), we start with the exact solution of the time-evolution operator in the limit of large lattices \(L \to \infty\) [42, 43]:

\[
\langle r|\hat{U}_0(t)|r'\rangle = \exp(F\Delta x/2)\langle r|\hat{u}_0(t)|r'\rangle,
\] (22)

where we introduced the symmetric propagator

\[
\langle r|\hat{u}_0(t)|r'\rangle = e^{-\Gamma t/2} I_{\Delta x}(t/2\tau) I_{\Delta y}(t/2\tau),
\] (23)

with modified Bessel function \(I_m(\cdot)\) of integer order \(m\). Note that the symmetric propagator still depends on the force via the dimensionless rate \(\Gamma\). We define the Laplace transform of the symmetric part of the time-evolution operator \(\hat{g}(s) = \int_0^\infty dt e^{-st}\hat{u}(t)\), and we obtain the matrix form of the free propagator in the basis \(\{e_{-2}, e_{-1}, e_0, e_1, e_2\}\) as

\[
\hat{G}_0 = \begin{pmatrix}
g_{00} & e^{F/2}g_{11} & g_{10} & e^{-F/2}g_{11} & g_{20} \\
e^{-F/2}g_{11} & g_{00} & e^{-F/2}g_{10} & e^{F/2}g_{11} & g_{20} \\
g_{10} & e^{F/2}g_{10} & g_{00} & e^{-F/2}g_{10} & e^{F/2}g_{11} \\
e^{F/2}g_{11} & g_{10} & e^{F/2}g_{10} & g_{00} & e^{F/2}g_{11} \\
g_{20} & e^{F/2}g_{11} & g_{20} & e^{F/2}g_{10} & g_{00}
\end{pmatrix}
\] (24)

where we abbreviated the propagators for coming back to the same site, \(g_{00} := \langle e_0|\hat{g}|e_0\rangle\), arriving at a neighboring site, \(g_{10} := \langle e_1|\hat{g}|e_0\rangle\), arriving at the next-neighboring site along a diagonal, \(g_{20} := \langle e_2|\hat{g}|e_{-2}\rangle\), and along a diagonal, \(g_{11} := \langle e_1|\hat{g}|e_{-2}\rangle\).

III. SOLUTION

To illustrate the solution strategy, we reconsider the case of no driving, \(F = 0\), where a solution was achieved much earlier in a different way [44–46]. For the calculation of the scattering \(t\)-matrix, we perform a change of basis adapted to the symmetry of the problem in the case of no driving:

\[
\sqrt{2}|p_y\rangle = |e_{-2}\rangle - |e_2\rangle,
\]

\[
\sqrt{2}|p_x\rangle = |e_{-1}\rangle - |e_1\rangle,
\]

\[
2|d_{xy}\rangle = |e_{-2}\rangle - |e_{-1}\rangle - |e_1\rangle + |e_2\rangle,
\]

\[
2\sqrt{5}|s\rangle = |e_{-2}\rangle + |e_{-1}\rangle - 4|e_0\rangle + |e_1\rangle + |e_2\rangle,
\]

\[
\sqrt{5}|n\rangle = |e_{-2}\rangle + |e_{-1}\rangle + |e_0\rangle + |e_1\rangle + |e_2\rangle.
\] (25)

The first two vectors are reminiscent of dipoles, the third one is of quadrupolar type, whereas the last two are invariant under rotations. One observes, that the last mode \(|n\rangle\) is connected to the conservation of probability via \(\langle n|\hat{v} = 0\) and acts as a neutral mode. The respective transformation is encoded in the orthogonal matrix

\[
M = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \\
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (26)

The new basis [Eq. (25)] and thus the orthogonal transformation \(M = MTG_0M\), is diagonal up to the nonvanishing entries \(\langle s|\hat{G}_0|n\rangle\) and \(\langle n|\hat{G}_0|s\rangle\). However, the scattering \(t\)-matrix, becomes diagonal again with

\[
t' = (1 - v')^{-1} v'
\]

\[
= \text{diag} \left( \frac{1}{4\tau + (g_{00} - g_{10})s}, \frac{1}{4\tau + (g_{00} - g_{10})s}, \frac{1}{4\tau + (g_{00} - g_{10})s}, 0 \right).
\] (27)

To determine the matrix elements of the scattering \(t\)-matrix in the plane-wave basis, we only have to consider contributions from the distinguished subspace \(r \in 0 \cup \mathcal{N}\). Thus, we decompose the projection of the wave vector onto the distinguished subspace \(\hat{P}[k] = (1/\sqrt{N}) \sum_{\nu=-2}^{2} e^{ik\nu\nu} |e_{\nu}\rangle\) in the new basis introduced by the transformation \(M\) [Eq. (26)]:

\[
\sqrt{N}\hat{P}[k] = -i\sqrt{2}\sin(k_y)|p_y\rangle - i\sqrt{2}\sin(k_x)|p_x\rangle
\]

\[
+ [\cos(k_x) - \cos(k_y)]|d_{xy}\rangle
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} [\cos(k_x) + \cos(k_y) - 2]|s\rangle
\] (28)

\[
+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} [2\cos(k_x) + 2\cos(k_y) + 1]|n\rangle.
\]

Since the scattering \(t\)-matrix for the equilibrium case is diagonal in this basis, the matrix element \(\hat{t}[k] = \langle k|\hat{t}|k\rangle\) can be readily calculated:

\[
N\hat{t}[k] = \frac{2[\sin^2(k_x) + \sin^2(k_y)]}{4\tau + (g_{00} - g_{10})s} + \frac{[\cos(k_x) - \cos(k_y)]^2}{4\tau + (g_{00} - g_{10})s}
\]

\[
+ \frac{[\cos(k_x) + \cos(k_y) - 2]^2}{4\tau (g_{00} - g_{10})s}, \quad \text{for } F = 0.
\] (29)
which in principle can also be obtained from Ref. [47].

For finite force, $F \neq 0$, the applicable symmetry transformations reduce considerably. However, it is still advantageous to use the orthogonal matrix $M$ [Eq. (26)]. Then, the matrices for the obstacle potential $v' = M^T v M$ and the propagator $G_0' = M^T G_0 M$ contain the following nonvanishing entries indicated by $*$:

$$v' = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_0' = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (30)$$

The vanishing of the last row in $v'$ again reflects the conservation of probability $\langle n | \dot{v} = 0$. Moreover, the $| p_y \rangle$ mode decouples from the problem by the residual mirror symmetry. This leads to

$$(1 - v' G_0') = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (31)$$

such that the scattering $t$-matrix in the driven case assumes the following form:

$$t' = (1 - v' G_0')^{-1} v' = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (32)$$

The scattering $t$-matrix inherits the structure of the potential $v$ reflecting conservation of probability and decoupling of the $| p_y \rangle$ mode. The evaluation of the scattering $t$-matrix essentially reduces to solving the $3 \times 3$ matrix problem, which can be efficiently implemented in computer algebra.

For the moments, we need the derivatives of the wave vector in the distinguished subspace $\hat{P}|k\rangle$ with respect to $k_x$ and $k_y$. They are given by the following expressions:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial k_x} \sqrt{N} \hat{P}|k\rangle \bigg|_{k=0} = -i\sqrt{2}|p_x\rangle, \quad (33)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial k_x^2} \sqrt{N} \hat{P}|k\rangle \bigg|_{k=0} = |d_{xy}| - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} |s| - \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} |n|, \quad (34)$$

and similarly

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial k_y} \sqrt{N} \hat{P}|k\rangle \bigg|_{k=0} = -i\sqrt{2}|p_y\rangle, \quad (35)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial k_y^2} \sqrt{N} \hat{P}|k\rangle \bigg|_{k=0} = -|d_{xy}| - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} |s| - \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} |n|, \quad (36)$$

Thus, for the first order derivatives after $k_x$ and $k_y$ and for large wavelength $k = 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial Nt}{\partial k_x} \bigg|_{k=0} = i\sqrt{10} \langle p_x | \hat{t} | n \rangle, \quad (37)$$

$$\frac{\partial Nt}{\partial k_y} \bigg|_{k=0} = i\sqrt{10} \langle p_y | \hat{t} | n \rangle = 0, \quad (38)$$

whereas the second order derivatives are given by

$$\frac{\partial^2 Nt}{\partial k_x^2} \bigg|_{k=0} = \sqrt{5} \langle d_{xy} | \hat{t} | n \rangle - \langle s | \hat{t} | n \rangle + 4 \langle p_x | \hat{t} | p_x \rangle \quad (39)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 Nt}{\partial k_y^2} \bigg|_{k=0} = -\sqrt{5} \langle d_{xy} | \hat{t} | n \rangle - \langle s | \hat{t} | n \rangle + 4 \langle p_y | \hat{t} | p_y \rangle. \quad (40)$$

Expressions of the scattering $t$-matrix for higher-order derivatives can be readily computed by determining the corresponding derivatives with respect to the wave vector [Eq. (28)] and identifying the nonvanishing matrix entries from Eq. (32). Explicit expressions for the matrix elements in Eqs. (37) - (40) in terms of the propagators $g_{00}, g_{10}, g_{11}, g_{20}$ are given in Appendix D.

The remaining task is the calculation of the four propagators $g_{00}, g_{11}, g_{10}, g_{20}$. They can be expressed in terms of complete elliptic integrals $K|m| := \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta [1 - m \sin^2(\theta)]^{-1/2}$ and $E|m| := \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta [1 - m \sin^2(\theta)]^{1/2}$. For the equilibrium case, $F = 0$, these propagators have been known for a long time [48]. For finite force, the essential modification consists of a shift in the frequency $s \rightarrow s + (\Gamma - 1)/\tau$ as inferred from Eq. (23). Starting with $g_{11}$ and $g_{00}$, we obtain [49]

$$\frac{\pi}{2\tau} g_{11} = -\frac{2(\Gamma + s\tau)^2 E[(\Gamma + s\tau)^{-2}]}{\Gamma + s\tau} - \frac{1 - 2(\Gamma + s\tau)^2 K[(\Gamma + s\tau)^{-2}]}{\Gamma + s\tau}, \quad (41)$$

as well as

$$\frac{\pi}{2\tau} g_{00} = \frac{K[(\Gamma + s\tau)^{-2}]}{(\Gamma + s\tau)} \quad (42)$$

The propagators are not independent and are related to each other by the identities $\langle e_0 | (s - H_0) G_0 | e_0 \rangle = 1$ and $\langle e_0 | (s - H_0) G_0 | e_2 \rangle = 0$, which follow from the definition of the propagator [Eq. (10)]. Evaluation of these expressions leads to the relations

$$(\Gamma + s\tau) g_{00} - g_{10} = \tau, \quad 4(\Gamma + s\tau) g_{10} - (2g_{11} + g_{20} + g_{00}) = 0, \quad (43)$$

from which the remaining propagators $g_{10}$ and $g_{20}$ follow directly as

$$\frac{\pi}{2\tau} g_{10} = -\frac{\pi}{2} + K[(\Gamma + s\tau)^{-2}] \quad (44)$$

and

$$\frac{\pi}{2\tau} g_{20} = -\frac{2(\Gamma + s\tau)(\pi - 2E[(\Gamma + s\tau)^{-2}])}{\Gamma + s\tau} + K[(\Gamma + s\tau)^{-2}]. \quad (45)$$
IV. MOMENTS PERPENDICULAR TO THE FIELD

A. Mean-square displacement

With the scattering $t$-matrix for a single obstacle in the plane-wave basis $t(k)$ and the free propagator $G_0(k) = [s - \epsilon(k)]^{-1}$ [Eq. (11)], all moments of the displacement in first order of the density are determined by the disorder-averaged propagator $[\hat{G}_{\text{av}}^c(k)]$ [Eq. (19)]. Then, the moments can be obtained by derivatives with respect to the wave vector $k$. Since the expressions are lengthy, computer algebra is advantageous for their evaluation.

For the model considered here, the velocity and the wave vector $k$ are symmetric with respect to the $y$ axis, the displacement $\Delta y(t) = y(t) - y(0)$ along that direction vanishes in the mean, $\langle \Delta y(t) \rangle = 0$. The first nonvanishing moment of the displacement $\Delta y(t)$ is given by the mean-square displacement $\langle \Delta y(t)^2 \rangle$, which is obtained in the frequency domain via

$$-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial k_y^2} [\hat{G}_{\text{av}}^c |_{k=0} = -\frac{\partial^2 G_0}{\partial k_y^2}|_{k=0} + n \left[ \frac{\partial^2 G_0}{\partial k_y^2} + G_0^2 \frac{\partial^2 Nt}{\partial k_y^2} \right] |_{k=0},$$

where $\partial^2 G_0/\partial k_y^2 |_{k=0} = -2D_0^0/s^2$ with bare diffusion coefficient $D_0^0 = 1/4\tau$, and $\partial^2 Nt/\partial k_y^2 |_{k=0}$ is given in Eq. (40).

In the absence of obstacles the mean-square displacement perpendicular to the field reduces to $\langle \Delta y(t)^2 \rangle_0 = 2D_0^0$. Deviations from the bare case without obstacles can be characterized by the time-dependent diffusion co-efficient perpendicular to the field defined via

$$D_y(t) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \langle \Delta y(t)^2 \rangle.$$

For short times $t \to 0$, the time-dependent diffusion coefficient is solely determined by the first jump event of the random walker leading to $D_y(t \to 0) = D_0^0(1-n) [\text{Fig. 1}].$ For vanishing force $F = 0$, we recover the analytic solution for the time-dependence of the perpendicular diffusion coefficient in terms of the Laplace transform $D_y(s,F) = \int_0^\infty dt \ e^{-st} D_y(t,F)$:

$$\hat{D}_y(s) = \frac{D_0^0}{s} + \frac{D_0^0}{s} \left[ 1 - \frac{2}{1 + D_0^0(g_{20} - g_{00})} \right].$$

with $\hat{D}_y(s) := \hat{D}_y(s,F = 0)$ and the propagators $g_{20}$ and $g_{00}$ in equilibrium ($\Gamma = 1$), which have been calculated earlier [44–46]. The long-time behavior of the time-dependent diffusion coefficient is encoded in the respective small-frequency behavior $s \to 0$:

$$\hat{D}_y(s) \simeq \frac{D_0^0}{s} [1 - n(\pi - 1)] - \frac{D_0^0}{2} n\pi \ln(s\tau).$$

The stationary-state diffusion coefficient $D_y^\text{eq} := D_y^\text{eq}(t \to \infty)$ is obtained from the frequency-domain representation via

$$D_y^\text{eq} = \lim_{s \to 0} s \hat{D}_y(s) = D_0^0[1 - n(\pi - 1)].$$

The logarithmic divergence for small frequencies [Eq. (49)] arises due to the repeated encounters of the tracer with the same obstacle and corresponds to an algebraic decay to the stationary-state diffusion coefficient in the time domain:

$$D_y^\text{eq}(t) - D_y^\text{eq} \simeq \frac{\pi n D_0^0}{2} \frac{\tau}{t}, \quad t \to \infty.$$
In particular, we recover the algebraic decay for the long-
time behavior of the velocity-autocorrelation function of the tracer [44]:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} D_y^{\text{eq}}(t) \simeq -\frac{n \pi D_y^0}{2} t, \quad t \to \infty, \tag{52}
\]

with bare perpendicular diffusion coefficient \(D_y^0 = 1/4\tau\).

In the presence of a force \(F > 0\), the time-dependent behavior of the diffusion coefficient becomes much more complex as shown in Fig. 1, where we compare stochastic simulations (see Appendix A) with the numerically inverted analytic solution (see Appendix B). For any finite force \(F\), the approach to the stationary diffusion coefficient perpendicular to the force, \(D_y := D_y(t \to \infty)\), is always nonmonotonic. First, the diffusivity of the tracer decreases, similar to the equilibrium case, until a point of least diffusivity is reached at intermediate times. Then, the diffusion coefficient increases again until the stationary state is reached. For sufficiently large driving, the stationary diffusion coefficient \(D_y\) becomes larger than the short-time diffusion coefficient in the presence of obstacles, \(D_y(t \to 0) = D_y^0(1 - n)\). The perpendicular diffusion coefficient is bounded by \(D_y(F \to \infty) = D_y^0(1 - n/2)\) in first order of the density, as can be rationalized by the analytic solution [Fig. 2]. Deviations from the first-order theory become apparent at large forces \((F = 10)\) [Fig. 1]. This observation is consistent with the general insight, that the range of validity of the first-order solution depends on the magnitude of the force: The larger the force, the smaller the density has to be for the theory to be an accurate representation of the simulation [14, 21].

For small driving \(F \to 0\), a series expansion of our solution reveals that the stationary diffusion coefficient perpendicular to the field exhibits a density-induced non-

analytic behavior:

\[
D_y = D_y^{\text{eq}} + nD_y^0 F^2 [A \ln(1/|F|) + B] + O[F^2 \ln(1/|F|)]^2 \tag{53}
\]

with coefficients \(A = (\pi + 4)/16 \approx 0.446\) and \(B = [7(\pi + 4) \ln(2) - \pi(\pi^2 - \pi + 2)/(\pi - 2)]/32 \approx 0.332\). Similar nonanalytic behavior has been found for the stationary velocity and the stationary diffusion coefficient parallel to the force in the driven lattice Lorentz gas [14, 21].

The question of how the increase of the diffusivity is related to a transient superdiffusive behavior of the tracer can be answered by considering the local exponent

\[
\alpha(t) := \frac{d \ln(\langle \Delta y(t)^2 \rangle)}{d \ln(t)} = \frac{2D_y(t)t}{\langle \Delta y(t)^2 \rangle}. \tag{54}
\]

Then, (transient) subdiffusive behavior is defined by \(\alpha(t) < 1\) while superdiffusion is related to \(\alpha(t) > 1\). For forces \(F \geq 1\), the increase in the diffusivity corresponds to a superdiffusive increase of the fluctuations [Fig. 3]. For increasing force, the time window of the superdiffusive regime becomes more and more pronounced, and for the highest force considered \((F = 10)\), the stationary state is essentially approached only superdiffusively.

**B. Non-Skellam parameter**

To further assess the effects of the obstacle disorder on the motion of the tracer, we evaluate the mean-quartic displacement perpendicular to the force, \(\langle \Delta y(t)^4 \rangle\), and define the non-Skellam parameter:

\[
\gamma_y(t) = \frac{\langle \Delta y(t)^4 \rangle - \langle \Delta y(t)^2 \rangle^2}{3(\Delta y(t)^2)^2} - 1. \tag{55}
\]
The logarithmic contribution in the non-Skellam parameter for long times manifests itself as a density-induced logarithmic divergence of the super-Burnett coefficient in equilibrium [47, 50, 51]:

\[
D^{(2)}(t) = \frac{1}{4!} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \langle \Delta y(t)^4 \rangle - 3 \langle \Delta y(t)^2 \rangle^2 \right) = \frac{n}{32\pi} \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \right) \ln(t/\tau) + O(1), \quad t \to \infty.
\]

It is also possible to define a non-Skellam parameter \(\gamma_x(t)\) parallel to the applied force in terms of the centralized fluctuating variable for the displacement, \(\Delta x(t) = \langle x(t) \rangle\). The analytic solution can be worked out in principle but is considerably more involved since also the mean displacement \(\langle \Delta x(t) \rangle\) and the mean-cubic displacement \(\langle \Delta x(t)^3 \rangle\) contribute. Here, we only give a characteristic feature of the non-Skellam parameter along the force, \(\gamma_x(t)\), by employing an asymptotic model for large forces [21]. In this model, the tracer always jumps along the field and moves along one-dimensional lanes until it hits an obstacle and stops. For large forces, this model captures the dynamics in the driven lattice as long as the diffusive time scale is not reached such that the tracer passes the obstacle blocking its path [21]. Then, by working out the solution, the non-Skellam parameter shows an increase of \(\gamma_x(t) = n e^{3F/2}(t/\tau)^3/960\) at intermediate times which can also be observed in stochastic simulation at large driving and small obstacle densities. A similar behavior is also found in the fluctuations along the field measured in terms of the variance \(\langle \Delta x^2(t) \rangle = \langle \Delta x(t) \rangle^2\). For large forces, the variance increases superdiffusively, \(\langle \Delta x^2(t) \rangle \sim t^3\), and the respective window of superdiffusive motion grows exponentially with the force \(\sim e^{F/2}\) [21]. Thus, since the window of superdiffusion can be made arbitrarily large, the driven lattice Lorentz gas exhibits a true superdiffusive exponent of 3 parallel to the force that is again found in the non-Skellam parameter at intermediate times, \(\gamma_x(t) \sim t^3\).

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

We have solved for the dynamics of a tracer particle on a planar lattice in the presence of immobile, hard obstacles. At time zero, a force pulling the tracer along a lattice direction is switched on, driving the system out of equilibrium. Here, the dynamics of the tracer has been analyzed in terms of the fluctuations perpendicular to the applied force as encoded in the diffusion coefficient, the local exponent, and the non-Skellam parameter.

In equilibrium where no force acts on the tracer, the time-dependent diffusion coefficient decreases monotonically to its stationary state value. This behavior is no longer true for nonvanishing driving, and the point of least diffusivity is always attained at intermediate times. For increasing force, the stationary diffusion coefficient perpendicular to the force can increase beyond the short-time diffusion coefficient in the presence of obstacles, but
it never grows beyond a certain bound irrespective of the force.

The time-dependent local exponent shows subdiffusive and superdiffusive transient behavior of the order of the density when the force exceeds a certain threshold. A similar behavior is found for the non-Skellam parameter, which encodes the effect on the dynamics induced by the obstacles such that positive (negative) contributions in the non-Skellam parameter indicate subdiffusive (superdiffusive) behavior as found in the local exponent. In equilibrium, the non-Skellam parameter exhibits a logarithmic dependence for long times, which is also reflected in a logarithmic divergence of the respective super-Burnett coefficient.

The fluctuations parallel to the applied force have been evaluated just recently [21]. For small driving, both directions exhibit similar behavior in terms of density-induced nonanalytic contributions in the stationary-state diffusion coefficients and the qualitative behavior of the time-dependent approach to the stationary state. In contrast to the stationary-state diffusion coefficient perpendicular to the field where the effects are of the order of the density and bounded from above, the diffusion coefficient parallel to the field exhibits an exponential growth for increasing driving and can become arbitrarily large. Moreover, the local exponent exhibits a true superdiffusive exponent of 3 for large driving, which is absent in the fluctuations perpendicular to the field. This exponent is again found at intermediate times in the non-Skellam parameter parallel to the field.

The saturation of the perpendicular diffusion coefficient for increasing driving is a peculiarity of the lattice parameter parallel to the field.

The solution strategy elaborated here can be extended to continuous time via a Poisson transform [43, 60]. Following this idea, the displacement \( \Delta y(t) \) is then expressed in terms of elliptic integrals [52, 53] such that the overall numerical evaluation of the transport properties should still be feasible.

The nonanalytic dependence on the force as well as the vanishing of the long-time tails should prevail for all densities except in the vicinity of the percolation transition. Both are directly connected to each other in terms of the propagators and therefore they are merely two sides of the same coin. Upon approaching the percolation transition where the infinite cluster becomes self-similar and anomalous transport emerges in equilibrium [54–56], driving may introduce new interesting phenomena [57, 58], such as an anomalous mean displacement [59].
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Appendix A: Stochastic simulation

The stochastic simulation of the driven lattice Lorentz gas is performed in discrete time measured in the number of jumps \( J \) of the tracer particle. The moments of the displacement in discrete time, \( \langle \Delta y_J^m \rangle \), are then transformed to continuous time via a Poisson transform [43, 60]

\[
\langle \Delta y(t)^m \rangle = \sum_{J=0}^{\infty} \langle \Delta y_J^m \rangle \Psi_J(\Gamma t),
\]

with \( \Psi_J(t) = (t/\tau)^{J} e^{-t/\tau} / J! \) and \( \Gamma = [\cosh(F/2) + 1]/2 \).

For small obstacle densities, the fluctuations of the free dynamics are much larger than the obstacle-induced response, and it is advantageous to adapt the approach of Ref. [60]. Following this idea, the displacement \( \Delta y_J \) is split into two distinct parts:

\[
\Delta y_J = \Delta y_{0,J} + (\Delta y_J - \Delta y_{0,J}).
\]

The first contribution, \( \Delta y_{0,J} \), represents the free dynamics in the absence of obstacles, whereas the second contribution, \( \Delta y_J - \Delta y_{0,J} \), accounts for the influence of the obstacles on the dynamics of the tracer. By measuring the second contribution in simulations, the mean-square displacement perpendicular to the field is then obtained as

\[
\langle \Delta y(t)^2 \rangle = \langle \Delta y(t)^2 \rangle_0 + \sum_{J=0}^{\infty} \langle \Delta y_J^2 - \Delta y_{0,J}^2 \rangle \Psi_J(\Gamma t),
\]

with the mean-square displacement in the absence of obstacles, \( \langle \Delta y(t)^2 \rangle_0 = 2D_y^0 \).
Appendix B: Numerical inversion of the frequency-dependent response functions

The relevant response functions are obtained in the frequency domain and are transformed to the time domain via an inverse Laplace transform. To illustrate the technique, we consider a real function \( f(t) \) and apply the Laplace transform

\[
\hat{f}(s) = \int_0^\infty dt \, e^{-st} f(t),
\]

with complex frequency \( s = \sigma + i\omega \) in the complex right half-plane. We take the real part of both sides and express the real part of \( \hat{f}(s) \) as twice the cosine transform of the symmetric function \( e^{-\sigma|t|} f(|t|) \):

\[
2 \text{Re}[\hat{f}(\sigma + i\omega)] = \int_{-\infty}^\infty dt \, e^{-\sigma|t|} f(|t|) \cos(\omega t). \quad (B2)
\]

With the relation

\[
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \, \cos(\omega t) \cos(\omega t') = \delta(t + t') + \delta(t - t'),
\]

which can derived from the formal representation of the Dirac delta function \( 2\pi \delta(t - t') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \, e^{i\omega(t-t')} \) \([61, 62]\), we obtain the real function \( f(t) \) from the Laplace transform \( \hat{f}(s) \) via

\[
f(t) = \frac{2e^{\sigma t}}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, \text{Re}[\hat{f}(\sigma + i\omega)] \cos(\omega t), \quad t \geq 0.
\]

The back-transform [Eq. (B4)] is implemented numerically by a suitable Filon formula \([63]\). For the numerical evaluation of the complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind, we use an implementation provided by the mpmath multi-precision library \([64, 65]\). In general, it is numerically much more stable to use \( \sigma = 0 \), since the exponential increase vanishes. However, this is not possible for functions with finite long-time limit \( f(t \to \infty) = \lim_{s \to 0} s \hat{f}(s) \) such as the time-dependent diffusion coefficient \( D(t) \). In these cases, it is advantageous to perform the numerical back-transform on the function \( \Delta \hat{f}(s) = \hat{f}(s) - f(t \to \infty)/s \) for \( \sigma = 0 \) with

\[
f(t) - f(t \to \infty) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \, \text{Re}[\Delta \hat{f}(i\omega)] \cos(\omega t), \quad t \geq 0,
\]

and trivially add the long-time limit \( f(t \to \infty) \) after the numerical back-transform.

Appendix C: Symmetry transformation

In the case of no driving, \( F = 0 \), the dihedral group \( D_4 \) contains all symmetry transformations that are possible for the obstacle site and its four neighbors. A matrix representation of the group can be directly obtained by writing the symmetry transformations with respect to the basis \( \{ e_{-2}, e_{-1}, e_0, e_1, e_2 \} \) introduced earlier. For example, the counterclockwise rotation \( C_4 \) by an angle of \( \pi/2 \) is given by

\[
D(C_4) = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

The dihedral group \( D_4 \) consists of five different classes \( C_k \) and thus five irreducible representations \( \alpha \) with characters \( \chi^\alpha(C_k) \). The number \( m_\alpha \) of irreducible representations \( \alpha \) in our matrix representation can then be determined by the formula \([66]\)

\[
m_\alpha = \frac{1}{h} \sum_k N_k [\chi^\alpha(C_k)]^* \chi(C_k), \quad (C2)
\]

with the number \( N_k \) of elements in the class \( C_k \), \( \chi(C_k) \) the character of the matrix representation, and \( h \) the number of elements in the group \( D_4 \). Then, one obtains \( m_{A_1} = 2 \), \( m_{A_2} = 0 \), \( m_{B_1} = 1 \), \( m_{B_2} = 0 \), and \( m_E = 1 \), and the respective projection operators \( P^\alpha \) are then determined by

\[
P^\alpha = \frac{l_\alpha}{h} \sum_g [\chi^\alpha(g)]^* D(g) \quad (C3)
\]

as a sum over all group elements \( g \) and the dimensionality \( l_\alpha \) of the irreducible representation \( \alpha \).

This leads to the projection operators

\[
P^{A_1} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
P^{B_1} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
P^E = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Appendix D: Matrix elements of the scattering $t$-matrix

Here, we give explicit expressions for the matrix elements of the scattering $t$-matrix in terms of the propagators $g_{00}$, $g_{10}$, $g_{11}$, and $g_{20}$. First, we give the determinant of $(1 - v'G'_0)$:

$$\text{det}[(1 - v'G'_0)] = (s/4)[1 + (1/4\tau)(g_{20} - g_{00})][2g_{11}(s(2g_{10} - g_{00})[1 + (1/4\tau)(g_{20} - g_{00})]) + 8(1/4\tau)g_{10} + 1] +$$
$$- s(g_{00}[-(1/4\tau)g_{20} + (1/4\tau)g_{00} - 3g_{00} + g_{00}] + g_{10}(g_{20} + 3g_{00})) - 8(1/4\tau)g_{11}^2 +$$
$$+ 8(1/4\tau)g_{00}(g_{00} - 2g_{10}) - 2g_{10}\cosh(F/2) - 2g_{10} + g_{20} + 10].$$

(D1)

The matrix elements $\langle p_y|\hat{t}|p_y\rangle$, $\langle p_x|\hat{t}|p_x\rangle$, $\langle d_{xy}|\hat{t}|n\rangle$, and $\langle s|\hat{t}|n\rangle$ can then be written in the following way:

$$\langle p_y|\hat{t}|p_y\rangle = (1/4\tau)[1 + (1/4\tau)(g_{20} - g_{00})]^{-1},$$

(D2)

$$\text{det}[(1 - v'G'_0)] \cdot \langle p_x|\hat{t}|p_x\rangle = 4i(1/4\tau)s[1 + (1/4\tau)(g_{20} - g_{00})] \sinh(F/2) \{[1/4\tau][2g_{10}^2 - 2g_{11}g_{10} + (g_{20} + g_{00})g_{10} +$$
$$- g_{00}(g_{20} + g_{00})] \cosh(F/2) + (1/4\tau)[2g_{10}^2 - g_{00}(g_{20} + g_{00})] + g_{10}\}.$$

(D3)

$$\text{det}[(1 - v'G'_0)] \cdot \langle d_{xy}|\hat{t}|n\rangle = (2/\sqrt{5})(1/4\tau)^2(-2g_{11} + g_{20} + g_{00})s[1 + (1/4\tau)(g_{20} - g_{00})] \times$$
$$\times \{(1/4\tau)[g_{00}(2g_{11} + g_{20} + g_{00}) - 4g_{10}^2 - g_{00}] \sinh^2(F/2),$$

(D4)

$$\text{det}[(1 - v'G'_0)] \cdot \langle s|\hat{t}|n\rangle = (2/5)(1/4\tau)s[1 + (1/4\tau)(2g_{11} - g_{20} - g_{00})][1 + (1/4\tau)(g_{20} - g_{00})] \times$$
$$\times [-4(1/4\tau)g_{10}^2 + (1/4\tau)g_{00}(2g_{11} + g_{20} + g_{00}) + 4g_{10}] \sinh^2(F/2).$$

(D5)

For the last three entries, we have multiplied by the determinant $\text{det}[(1 - v'G'_0)]$ to simplify the resulting expressions. The matrix element $\langle p_x|\hat{t}|p_x\rangle$ with

$$\text{det}[(1 - v'G'_0)] \cdot \langle p_x|\hat{t}|p_x\rangle = C_{22}\langle p_x|\hat{v}|p_x\rangle + C_{32}\langle d_{xy}|\hat{v}|p_x\rangle + C_{42}\langle s|\hat{v}|p_x\rangle,$$

is expressed in terms of the cofactors

$$C_{22} = s[1 + (1/4\tau)(g_{20} - g_{00})][1/4\tau][g_{10}^2(1/4\tau)[8g_{11} - 4(g_{20} + g_{00})] + 2] +$$
$$+ g_{00}((1/4\tau)[g_{00}(g_{20} + g_{00})^2 - 4g_{11}^2 - g_{20} - g_{00}] \cosh(F/2) + (1/4\tau)[2g_{10}^2 - g_{00}(g_{20} + g_{00})] + g_{00}]].$$

(D7)

$$C_{32} = (1/4\sqrt{2\tau})(g_{20} - g_{00})s[1 + (1/4\tau)(g_{20} - g_{00})][(1/4\tau)[4g_{10}^2 - 4g_{11}(g_{00} + g_{20} + g_{00})] + g_{00}] \sinh(F/2),$$

(D8)

$$C_{42} = (1/4\sqrt{10\tau})[1 + (1/4\tau)(g_{20} - g_{00})] \sinh(F/2)\{-4(1/4\tau)^2(g_{20} - g_{00})[2g_{11} - g_{00}] + g_{10}(-2g_{10} + g_{20} + g_{00})] +$$
$$- 8(1/4\tau)[g_{10}^2(4(1/4\tau)g_{11} - (1/4\tau)g_{20} - 3(1/4\tau)g_{00} + 1] + g_{00}((1/4\tau)[g_{00}(g_{20} + g_{00}) - 2g_{11}^2 - g_{00}] \cosh(F/2) +$$
$$+ (g_{20} - g_{00})g_{00}s[1/(4\tau)(-2g_{11} + g_{20} + g_{00}) - 1] - 4(1/4\tau)(2g_{10} - g_{20} - g_{00})(g_{10} + g_{00}) - 4g_{00}]].$$

(D9)

and the matrix elements of the obstacle potential $\hat{v}$ in the basis $\{p_y, p_x, d_{xy}, s, n\}$ with $\langle p_x|\hat{v}|p_x\rangle = \cosh(F/2)/4\tau$, $\langle d_{xy}|\hat{v}|p_x\rangle = -\sinh(F/2)/4\sqrt{2\tau}$, and $\langle s|\hat{v}|p_x\rangle = \sqrt{5/2}\sinh(F/2)/4\tau$.
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