Dislocated distal radial fractures in adult patients: 4 weeks versus 6 weeks of cast immobilisation following reduction, a multicentre randomised controlled trial, study protocol
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ABSTRACT

Introduction Up to 30% of patients with a dislocated distal radial fracture (DRF) treated with closed reduction and cast immobilisation suffer from long-term functional restrictions. It remains unclear, whether duration of cast immobilisation influences functional outcome. The aim of this study is to evaluate whether the functional outcome of dislocated DRFs could be improved by shortening the period of immobilisation.

Methods and analysis A single blinded multicentre randomised controlled trial is initiated. Four weeks of plaster cast immobilisation is compared with six week plaster cast immobilisation in adult patients with adequate reduced DRFs. Primary outcome parameters are functional outcome measured with the Patient Rated Wrist Evaluation after 1 year of follow-up (FU). Secondary outcomes are: Disability of Arm, Shoulder and Hand Score after 1 year, 36-Item Short Form Health Survey after 1 year, functional outcome earlier in FU (6 weeks, 12 weeks and 6 months), range of motion, pain level and complications: number of re-interventions, secondary dislocation, delayed and non-union.

Ethics and dissemination The medical ethical committee VUMC approved the study protocol (2018.004, NL62861.029.17). The expectation of this study is that a shorter immobilisation period will result in improved outcomes. This multicentre study will be conducted in heterogeneous groups of patients suffering both non-dislocated and dislocated fractures. Present literature indicates that both treatment options that are used within this study are accepted protocols for treatment of dislocated DRFs. This trial will provide level-I evidence for the period of immobilisation in reduced distal radial fractures can be treated non-operatively in a plaster with excellent functional results.2,3 Nevertheless, up to 30% of patients with a dislocated DRF suffer from long-term functional restrictions following conservative treatment as neuropathy, arthrosis and stiffness.4

Unstable DRFs are liable to dislocate within the first 2 weeks, only 7–8% dislocate after this time and none after 6 weeks.5–7 Therefore, a period of up to 6 weeks of immobilisation is advised, although, this is still a matter of debate in literature.8—10 Two prospective studies of patients with dislocated and reduced DRF showed that a shorter immobilisation period was safe, without increased numbers of (re)dislocations of the fracture.8,10 Besides, the outcome seemed to be better on the long-term, in terms of wrist motion and grip strength. Unfortunately, these studies were non-randomised and conducted in heterogeneous groups of patients suffering both non-dislocated and dislocated fractures. Obviously, the best treatment of reduced DRF will be short, safe and will lead to an early return of

INTRODUCTION

Distal radial fractures (DRF) are common fractures and account for up to 20% of all extremity fractures.1 Most of these patients
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Strengths and limitations of this study

► This study is designed as a single blinded study, it was not possible to perform this study in a double-blinded setting.
► This multicentre study will be carried out in two hospitals in the Netherlands.
► This study uses validated outcomes (Patient Rated Wrist Evaluation, Quick Disability of Arm and Shoulder, 36-Item Short Form Health Survey).
► This study uses both statistical as well as minimal clinical important difference.
► This trial will provide level-I evidence for the period of immobilisation in reduced distal radial fractures.
function. To assess whether reduction of the immobilisation period with 2 weeks will lead to better functional outcome, a multicentre randomised controlled trial will be conducted.

The patient reported functional outcome after 1 year will be assessed using validated instruments: the Patient Rated Wrist Evaluation (PRWE), the Quick Disability of Arm and Shoulder (DASH) and 36-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36). Other outcome measures will be the functional outcome earlier in follow-up (FU), the amount of pain measured on the visual analogue scale (VAS), number of secondary dislocations, number of re-interventions, range of motion, delayed and non-union and complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS).

The aim of this trial is to compare the results of 4 weeks of cast immobilisation with 6 weeks of cast immobilisation in closed and adequate reduced DRF. Usually, an immobilisation period of 5 or 6 weeks is preferred as non-operative treatment of closed and adequate reduced DRF. Despite the minimal evidence in literature, this immobilisation period can be questioned. A randomised clinical trial with sufficient power will be needed to provide scientific support for a preferred treatment strategy for reduced DRF.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

This study will be conducted as a prospective single blinded multicentre randomised clinical trial in two large teaching hospitals. In this study, 4 weeks of plaster immobilisation will be compared with 6 weeks of plaster immobilisation (figures 1 and 2). The methods of this study protocol are comparable to a previous published article comparing 3 weeks of cast immobilisation to 5 weeks of cast immobilisation in adult patients with non-displaced DRF. Patients will be treated in a lower arm cast in neutral position. Following immobilisation, treatment will be the same for both groups, in which additional physiotherapy after removal of the cast is advised and exercises to train wrist function will be given. As extra structured advise programmes may cause no extra benefit for the patient, this was not generally prescribed. However, during FU visits, patients will be asked if they were treated by a physiotherapist. If this is the case, details on the number of sessions per week and the total number of weeks the patient received physiotherapy, will be collected.

Participants

Evaluation of eligible patients will take place either at the emergency department (ED) or at the outpatient department. They will receive written information and a consent form from the attending physician, the clinical investigator or a research assistant. Patients will be eligible if they follow the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria
1. Age >18 years.
2. Primary dislocated unilateral DRF.
3. Independent for activities of daily living.

Exclusion criteria
1. Fracture of the contralateral wrist.
2. Ipsilateral fractures proximal of the DRF.
3. Pre-existent abnormalities or functional deficits of the fractured wrist that influences the patient reported function of the wrist.
4. Open fractures.
5. Language ability to understand the Dutch patient information and questionnaires.

Patients will only be able to participate if closed reduction of the DRF is adequate. The indication for reduction will be set, using the Lidström criteria for misalignment. Patients will only be able to participate in this study if reduction is performed successfully. Successful reduction will be classified as: radial shortening <3 mm, dorsal tilt <10° or intra-articular step-off <2 mm, according the guidelines of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons.

After providing informed consent, eligible patients will be randomised after 2 weeks when the fracture has proven to be stable. An independent research assistant will perform concealed permuted block randomisation using a computer-generated randomisation schedule after stratification for fracture type, gender and age. Allocation will be at random in four blocks. To prevent bias, stratification by age (younger and older than 60 years) and gender will be performed (table 1).

Randomisation between another 2 or 4 weeks cast immobilisation will be performed to complete a total of 4 and 6 weeks of cast immobilisation, respectively. Randomisation will occur after informed consent.

The primary outcome measure of this study is PRWE after 1 year. The secondary outcome measures are The QuickDASH score after 1 year; the SF-36 Healthy Survey after 1 year; functional outcome after 8 weeks, 3 months and 6 months; range of motion; pain level after 8 weeks, 3 months, 6 months and 1 year; Lidström score and fracture-related complications: secondary dislocation after...
Table 1 Stratification by gender and age (younger and older than 60 years)

| Patient characteristics | Randomisation |
|-------------------------|--------------|
| List 1 Male < 60 years of age | ABAB AABB ABBA BABA |
| List 2 Male > 60 years of age | BAAB BBAA ABAB ABBA |
| List 3 Female < 60 years of age | AABB ABBA BAAB BBAA |
| List 4 Female > 60 years of age | ABBA BABA ABAB AABB |

A, 4 weeks; B, 6 weeks.

cast removal, number of re-interventions, delayed and non-unions and CRPS.

PRWE score is the most responsive instrument for evaluating the outcome in patients with DRF. The PRWE is a validated 15-item (scored 1–10), self-reported questionnaire designed to help describe the disability experienced by people with disorders of the wrist and also to monitor changes in symptoms and function over time. Scores will be transformed to a 0–100 score. A higher score will indicate greater disability.

The DASH outcome measure is a validated 30-item, self-reported questionnaire designed to help describe the disability experienced by people with upper-limb disorders and also to monitor changes in symptoms and function over time. The QuickDASH is a shortened version of the DASH Outcome Measure. Instead of 30 items, the QuickDASH uses 11 items (scored 1–5) to measure physical function and symptoms in people with any or multiple musculoskeletal disorders of the upper limb. At least, 10 of the 11 items must be completed for a score to be calculated. The scores will be transformed to a 0–100 scale for easy comparison. A higher score will indicate greater disability.

The SF-36 is a validated 36-item, self-reported questionnaire designed to describe the quality of life. The score consists of eight subgroups: vitality, mental health, social role, emotional role, physical role, general health, bodily pain and physical functioning. The subgroups are transformed to a 0–100 scale. The lower the score will be, the more disability, an higher score will indicate less disability.

After inclusion, all patients will be followed for 1 year in total. Clinical assessments will occur at the time of admission (ED), 1 week (3–10 day window), 2 weeks (11–18 day window), 4 weeks (24–32 day window) or 6 weeks (5–7 week window), 3 months (11–15 week window), 6 months (5–7 month window) and 12 months (11–14 month window) after inclusion.

At each FU visit, the research coordinator or research assistant will ascertain patient status (ie, secondary interventions, adverse events/complications, deaths) and will verify information within medical records. All adverse events will be addressed to the principal investigator.

At each FU visit, the patients will be asked to indicate the actual pain level on a VAS. Patients will also be asked if they have any complaints of their treatment and will be asked if they are currently treated by a physical therapist. At each visit from 8 weeks onwards, the range of motion of the wrist will be measured using a goniometer, according to the reference values for joint range of motion published by the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. In addition, patients will be asked to complete the questionnaires relating to disability (QuickDASH score, PRWE, SF-36).

Plain X-rays of the wrist will be made at the time of presentation in the hospital (ED), after 1 and 2 weeks, 4 or 6 weeks and at the FU visit after 8 weeks, 3 months, 6 months and 1 year. The X-ray at 1 year will be taken in order to determine the grade of degenerative joint changes. Time to define the presence of a delayed- or non-union will be at 3 or 6 months (figures 1-3).

The primary outcome will be the PRWE Score, of which the minimal clinically important difference is 11.5 points. The SD of the PRWE is 14.0. Based on a difference of 11.5 points, the sample size of 27 patients per treatment group is calculated with a power (1-β) of 80% and a type I error (α) of 5%, allowing for 10% dropout. In this study, we decided to include 45 patients per treatment group. To allow a 10% dropout in this study, in total 100 patients will be included.

Data from the demographic data collection and the outcome parameters will be cleaned blindly from the treatment data. Data are presented as mean scores with 95% confidence intervals. The analysis of this study will be carried out according to the intention-to-treat principle, that is, the patients will remain in the group they will be randomly allocated to at baseline. Analysis of functional outcome will be assessed using repeated-measures analysis of variance (GLM 4) with the time as the within-group factor and the treatment as the between-group factor. Post hoc analysis will be performed on the time of randomisation. Group comparisons at the different time points will be carried out with the aid of general linear models with the time of randomisation as the independent variable.
points will be made only when the overall repeated-measures tests are statistically significant. All scores will be tested for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Parametric variables will be compared using the Student’s t-test, while non-parametric and ordinal variables will be compared using the Mann-Whitney U statistic. Nominal variables will be compared across independent groups using the chi-squared test or Fisher’s exact test. Homogeneity of variance will be assessed using Levene’s test. Also, a multiple regression will be performed. SPSS statistical software (version 24.0) will be used for the analysis, in which two-tailed p value <0.05 will be considered significant.

**Patient and public involvement**

Patients were not involved in the research process. Although, during the time span the study is carried out, participants receive an annually update on the progress of the study by a specially developed newsletter.

**ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION**

Present literature indicates that 4 weeks of immobilisation as well as 6 weeks of immobilisation are both accepted protocols for treatment of displaced DRF. In daily practice, a 6 weeks immobilisation period is mostly used. To assess the clinical controversy on this duration of treatment, this study is initiated.

The studies done for assessing the immobilisation periods of DRF have their limitations of using non-validated outcome score lists, which makes it impossible to conclude with certainty shorter immobilisation periods of DRF are preferred.

The expectation of this study is that a shorter duration of plaster cast immobilisation is beneficial for the patients. This risk of specific complications is low and generally similar in both treatment options.

The Medical Ethical Committee VUmc has approved the study protocol (2018.004).

This trial will provide Level-1 evidence for the comparison of functional outcome between the two treatment options for displaced DRF. Results of this study are expected to be published as a prospective, multicentre, randomised controlled trial article in 2021.
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