Effects of 45S5 bioglass on surface properties of dental enamel subjected to 35% hydrogen peroxide
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INTRODUCTION

Tooth discoloration may compromise the beauty of smile and thus boost the demand of patients for esthetic dental assistance. Among various therapies, tooth bleaching has rapidly gained prevalence as an easy, affordable and conservative way of treating discolored teeth. The underlying mechanism of tooth whitening is not fully understood. It is commonly accepted that peroxide can penetrate into the hard tissue where it generates free radicals to oxidize organic chromophores, leading to a reduction of color particularly in dentine. Despite the absence of dispute about its efficacy, general concerns still remain regarding the negative impact of hydrogen peroxide (HP) on enamel. Numerous studies found bleaching agents could induce chemical, structural and mechanical changes of enamel. Besides, the mineral reduction of bleached enamel is not only limited in the enamel surface, but also extends to the enamel subsurface. Therefore, it is still necessary to minimize potential damages on enamel caused by HP exposure.

To achieve this, previous studies have incorporated fluoride into bleaching regimens to decrease demineralization and hasten rehardening of enamel. However, other studies reported that the fluoride uptake was not enhanced in bleached enamel, and fluoridation bleaching gels could not promote remineralization of predemineralized enamel. Despite the conflicting results, a major problem with fluoride is its low solubility, leading to a rapid deposition of fluorapatite in the enamel surface layer, thus blocking deeper ionic penetration and full-layer remineralization. Besides, for a unit cell stoichiometry of Ca₁₀(PO₄)₆F₂, 10 mol of calcium and 6 mol of phosphate ions are required for every 2 mol fluoride ions, thus making calcium and phosphate ions the limiting factor for remineralization. Apart from topical fluoridation, biomimetic materials, such as nanohydroxyapatite and calcium phosphopeptide-amorphous calcium phosphate (CPP-ACP), were also investigated to reduce mineral loss, maintain structural integrity and remineralize the white spot lesions. These agents were used mostly after bleaching or mixed with bleaching agents. A recent study showed that using CPP-ACP before bleaching could also bring protective effect. However, the rationale of such application sequences has been rarely scrutinized, and which application sequence would bring optimum protective effect has not been evaluated in the literature.

45S5 bioglass (BG), originally developed by Hench et al., consists of 45% SiO₂, 24.5% Na₂O, 24.5% CaO and 6% P₂O₅ in weight. It is a highly biocompatible material possessing remarkable osteoconductivity, osteoinductivity and controllable biodegradability. In aqueous...
media, this material is capable of forming hydroxyapatite that resembles biological mineral, and thus was widely used in bone regeneration and tissue engineering. Specializing for dental application, the commercial products derived from 4555 bioglass include PerioGlas (NovaBone Osteobiologics, Jacksonville, FL, USA) and NovaMin (NovaMin Technology, Alachua, FL, USA). Previous studies suggested that BG can occlude dentine tubules, inhibit dentine demineralization and promote dentine remineralization via interfacial apatite precipitation. This apatite layer was reported tightly adherent to dentine tubules and resistant to acid and brushing-abrasion wear challenge. Furthermore, BG has also been shown capable of inhibiting and reversing initial caries progression in enamel. These studies indicate the potential of BG in preventing and restoring the enamel defect induced by bleaching agents. In principle, BG may form a protective layer on the enamel surface to inhibit demineralization when used before HP bleaching, or enhance remineralization when used after HP bleaching. Moreover, BG, as an alkaline salt, may buffer the acidity of HP and reduce demineralization when mixed with HP.

Therefore, the present study aimed to investigate whether BG, when used before and after HP bleaching, or mixed with HP for bleaching, can benefit the bleaching therapy as evaluated in terms of color, microhardness and morphology of bovine enamel. The null hypothesis was that incorporating BG into bleaching regimen can reduce the whitening efficacy and does not protect enamel demineralization induced by acid HP.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Characterization of BG**

4555 BG was provided by the Nuohumian Bio Sci. & Tech Co., Ltd (Wuhan, China). The powder was characterized by scanning electron microscopy coupled with electron-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDXS), X-ray diffraction (XRD) and Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). SEM-EDXS (S-2460N; Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) was used to examine the surface morphology and constituents of BG. The crystalline phase was detected by XRD (X’Pert PRO; PANalytical, Almelo, Netherlands) operating at 10°–70° 20, 40 kV and 40 mA under Cu Kα radiation. The absorption spectra were recorded using FTIR (Nicolet 6700 spectrometer; Nicolet, Madison, WI, USA) on pellet samples obtained by pressing BG with KBr.

**Specimen preparation**

Permanent bovine incisors were obtained from a local slaughterhouse and stored at 4 °C in 0.1% thymol solutions until use. Cubic enamel blocks (5×5×4 mm³) were prepared with a diamond-coated band saw under continuous water cooling (Struers Minotom; Struers, Copenhagen, Denmark) and embedded in Orthodontic Resin (L.D. Caulk, Milford, CT, USA). The natural labial surfaces were serially smoothed with water-proof SiC abrasive papers (800–5000 grit; Struers, Copenhagen, Denmark), thus removing the outermost part of enamel layer (approximately 200 μm as measured by a vernier caliper). Subsequently the specimens with mirror-like surfaces were ultrasonically cleaned for 5 min in distilled water bath, and inspected under a stereomicroscope (SMZ1000; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) to exclude those with cracks or defects. Finally, the specimens were stored at Hank’s balanced salts solution until use.

**Treatment procedure**

The specimens were randomly assigned to six treatment groups (Table 1). During the treatment period, the specimens were stored in an incubator with 95%±5% relative humidity at 37 °C. At treatment intervals, the specimens were thoroughly rinsed with air-water spray for 15 s and coated with cotton tissues containing distilled deionized water (DDW) to avoid dehydration. The treatment procedure was repeated in triplicate so that the total application time of 35% HP (Jingxin Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd, Hangzhou, China) was 60 min. A mass fraction of 7.5% was used to make the BG+DDW and BG+HP suspensions.

**Color change**

The colorimetric values were obtained using a spectrophotometer (SpectraScan PR-650; Photo Research, Los Angeles, CA, USA) in a darkened laboratory. The instrument, using wave length from 380 nm to 780 nm at 4 nm intervals, was warmed up for 30 min and pre-calibrated with a white reflectance standard (WS-1; Ocean optics, Dunedin, FL, USA). The 1.5 mm circular center of each specimen was recorded in terms of the Commission Internationale De L’Eclairage system under conditions of standard illuminant D65, 95 mm working distance, 10° observer and 45-0 geometry. Commission Internationale De L’Eclairage L*a*b* system provides a numeric three-dimensional color space, with L* representing dark-to-light, a* green-to-red and b* blue-to-yellow. Each specimen was measured in triplicate and rotated by 120° between two consecutive measurements. The overall color difference ∆E was determined according to the formula: ∆E = (∆L*² + ∆a*² + ∆b*²)½, where ∆L*, ∆a* and ∆b* represented the difference between L*, a* and b* at baseline and endpoint of the experiment.

**Surface and cross-section microhardness analysis**

Surface microhardness (SMHbaseline) of the sound enamel was evaluated with a Knoop diamond indenter (Duramin-1/2; Struers, Copenhagen, Denmark) at 50 g load for 15 s dwell time. Five indentations spaced 50 μm perpendicularly from each other were made at the center of the exposed window. After the treatment procedure, the specimens were ultrasonically cleaned for 5 min, and reassessed for final surface microhardness (SMHfinal) in Knoop hardness number (KHN). Another five indentations was averaged parallel (space=150 μm) to the baseline indentations. The percentage of surface microhardness loss was calculated as follows:

\[
SMH_L = \left(\frac{SMH_{baseline}-SMH_{final}}{SMH_{baseline}}\right) \times 100\%
\]

Subsequently, five blocks in each group were randomly selected to perform cross-sectional microhardness tests (CSMH) according to Cury et al. Briefly, the specimens were longitudinally sectioned through the center and two lanes of indentations each were made at the depths of 25, 50, 75, 100, 150 and 200 μm from the outer enamel surface. Microhardness indentations were made using a Knoop diamond indenter under a 25-g load for 15 s. CSMH values were
converted to mineral content according to Featherstone et al.\textsuperscript{25} volume percentage of mineral=4.3 KHN\textsuperscript{1/2}+11.3.

**SEM-EDXS analysis**
After the SMH analysis, representative specimens from each group were selected for SEM preparation. These specimens were ultrasonically cleaned for 5 min, air-dried and gold sputter-coated. Morphological alterations of enamel surface were observed using scanning electron microscope (S-2460N; Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). To observe the BG precipitation on enamel surface from BG+DDW and BG+HP suspensions, additional four specimens were prepared and treated with the same procedures as those in either group BG or group BG during HP. Then without ultrasonically cleaning, these specimens were directly air-dried and submitted to SEM preparation.

**Chemical analysis of BG dissolution**
BG+DDW and BG+HP suspensions were stirred at a constant rate of 75 r\textsuperscript{-min\textsuperscript{-1}} at 37 °C for 20 min. The pH value was measured with a calibrated digital electrode (Orion 4-Star Plus Benchtop pH/ISE Meter; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Beverly, CA, USA). The filtrates of the suspensions for ionic release profiling were obtained by sequential passage through 0.45 \( \mu \) m and 0.2 \( \mu \) m Whatman filter (Puradisc 13 syringe filter; GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI, USA). The free Ca, Na, Si and P were determined using inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES, optima 2000DV; Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Each element was measured in triplicate.

**Statistical analysis**
Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 17.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data normality and variance homogeneity were tested by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test and Levene’s test. The SMHL and \( \Delta E \) among groups were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance followed by least significant difference test and Tamhane’s T2 test, respectively. CSMH and ionic release profiles were evaluated by two-way analysis of variance and post hoc multiple comparison Games–Howell tests. The significance level was set at 0.05.

**RESULTS**

**Characterization of BG**
Figure 1a shows the SEM image of BG. The morphology of the powder was characterized by micrometric particles with irregular shape. EDXS identified the constituents of Ca, Si, Na, O and C, while the presence of C was attributed to the carbon-tabs on which the sample was mounted (Figure 1b). The XRD pattern exhibited a peak centered at 2\( \theta \)=32° with a broad contour and low intensity, indicating the amorphous state of BG (Figure 1c). The FTIR spectrum is showed in Figure 1d. The bands at 1 026, 934 cm\textsuperscript{-1} were attributed to Si–O–Si asymmetric, symmetric stretching modes and 496 cm\textsuperscript{-1} to Si–O–Si bending mode, respectively.

![Image](image-url)  
**Figure 1** Characterization of BG. (a) SEM image; (b) EDXS; (c) XRD pattern; (d) FTIR spectrum. BG, bioglass; EDXS, electron-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy; FTIR, Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy; SEM, scanning electron microscopy; XRD, X-ray diffraction.
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Table 2 Effect of different treatments on enamel color parameters

| Groups       | ΔL       | Δa       | Δb       | ΔE       |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| DDW          | -0.32(1.10) | -0.41(0.47) | -1.23(0.85) | 1.85(0.61) |
| BG           | -0.12(1.41) | -0.49(0.36) | -0.70(0.84) | 1.50(0.94) |
| HP           | 4.04(2.91)  | -0.83(0.46) | -2.56(1.57) | 5.54(1.83) |
| BG before HP | 3.79(1.71)  | -0.84(0.57) | -3.01(1.21) | 5.08(1.71) |
| BG after HP  | 3.82(2.20)  | -0.79(0.75) | -2.87(2.05) | 5.32(2.10) |
| BG during HP | 3.24(2.93)  | -0.55(1.22) | -2.74(1.78) | 5.15(2.06) |

SMHL was significantly lower (P=0.05) in group BG before HP and BG after HP compared to group BG. Different lower case superscript letters (a-d) denote statistical significant difference for ΔE in different groups (P<0.05).

Table 3 Surface microhardness analysis according to the treatments

| Groups       | SMHbaseline | SMHfinal | SMHL/% |
|--------------|-------------|----------|-------|
| DDW          | 383.11(28.76)| 380.00(26.85)| 0.66(3.33) |
| BG           | 373.47(24.91)| 369.89(26.76)| 0.64(3.80) |
| HP           | 370.04(28.70)| 299.97(22.76)| 19.60(5.45) |
| BG before HP | 382.53(33.57)| 328.80(33.41)| 14.00(4.46) |
| BG after HP  | 372.73(25.83)| 313.14(22.76)| 15.87(4.48) |
| BG during HP | 373.22(36.66)| 336.58(19.13)| 9.48(4.86) |

Mean values (standard deviations). Different lower case superscript letters (a-d) denote statistical significant difference for SMHL in different groups (P<0.05).

Color change

The mean colorimetric change according to different treatments is illustrated in Table 2. The ΔE of group HP, BG before HP, BG after HP and BG during HP were significantly higher than those of group DDW (P=0.000, P=0.000, P=0.002, P=0.002) and group BG (P=0.000, P=0.001, P=0.001). No statistically significant difference of the ΔE was found among group HP, BG before HP, BG after HP, and BG during HP (P=0.100), and between group DDW and BG (P=0.841). The SMHL of group BG before HP, BG after HP and BG during HP was significantly higher than that of group DDW (P=0.000, P=0.000, P=0.000) and group BG (P=0.000, P=0.000, P=0.000), but lower that of group HP (P=0.003, P=0.043, P=0.000). Group BG during HP showed significantly lower SMHL than group BG before HP and BG after HP (P=0.015, P=0.001). No statistically significant difference of SMHL was found between group BG before HP and BG after HP (P=0.308).

Surface and cross-section microhardness analysis

Surface microhardness evaluations are illustrated in Table 3. The baseline data were equivalent among all groups (P=0.841). The SMHL of group BG before HP, BG after HP and BG during HP was significantly higher than that of group DDW (P=0.000, P=0.000, P=0.000) and group BG (P=0.000, P=0.000, P=0.000), but lower that of group HP (P=0.000, P=0.000, P=0.000). Group BG during HP showed significantly lower SMHL than group BG before HP and BG after HP (P=0.015, P=0.001). No statistically significant difference of SMHL was found between group BG before HP and BG after HP (P=0.308).

The profiles of volume percent mineral vs. individual depth from the surface are shown in Table 4 and Figure 2. Group HP showed mineral content reduction extending beyond 100 µm from enamel surface. The mineral content of group BG before HP and BG after HP was significantly lower than group BG during HP, but lower than group HP within 25–75 µm from enamel surface (P<0.05). No statistically significant difference of mineral content was found between group BG before HP and BG after HP within 25–75 µm (P>0.05). However, in deeper depth of 100 µm, group BG after HP was not as effective as group BG before HP and BG during HP in maintaining the mineral content (P<0.05).

Table 4 Enamel mineral content values of different groups

| Depth from surface/µm | DDW  | BG    | HP    | BG before HP | BG after HP | BG during HP |
|------------------------|------|-------|-------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| 25                     | 94.01(1.24) | 94.65(1.16) | 86.32(1.24) | 89.89(1.06) | 89.27(0.61) | 91.03(1.29) |
| 50                     | 95.25(1.35) | 94.24(1.72) | 87.64(1.89) | 90.58(1.41) | 90.21(1.03) | 92.96(0.76) |
| 75                     | 94.89(1.14) | 95.38(1.55) | 90.20(1.31) | 92.81(0.85) | 92.51(1.01) | 95.01(0.86) |
| 100                    | 95.51(1.42) | 95.14(1.57) | 92.87(0.82) | 94.89(1.00) | 93.49(1.34) | 94.85(1.08) |
| 150                    | 94.89(0.84) | 95.20(1.90) | 95.06(1.45) | 95.65(1.24) | 95.22(1.31) | 95.40(1.14) |
| 200                    | 94.99(1.16) | 95.81(2.12) | 95.04(1.32) | 94.76(1.46) | 94.60(2.04) | 95.37(1.86) |

Mean values (standard deviations). Different lower (a-d) and upper (A-D) case letters indicate statistically significant differences (P<0.05) for inter-group and intra-group comparison, respectively.

SEM analysis

Representative SEM micrographs of enamel morphology are shown in Figure 3. The polished mirror-like enamel surfaces were unchanged in group DDW, BG and BG during HP. The most obvious morphological alteration was observed on enamel surfaces in group HP. The enamel prisms were eroded visible and the normal interprismatic structures were demolished with porosities. The slight morphological alteration was also detected in group BG before HP and BG after HP, with vague interprismatic destruction.

Figure 2 Comparative cross-sectional mineral profiles for different groups.
Figure 4 shows the retained BG on enamel surfaces treated with BG+DDW and BG+HP suspensions. The treated enamel surfaces were covered with disorderly packed BG particles, and BG particles from BG+HP suspension were more dispersed than those from BG+DDW suspension. Under higher magnification, unlike the irregular BG surfaces from BG+DDW suspension, remarkable dumbbell-like crystallites were formed and aggregated into flower-like appearance on BG surfaces from BG+HP suspension.
Chemical analysis of BG dissolution
After addition of BG, a rapid increase of pH (Figure 5a) occurred within 30 s of dissolution, and the pH of HP solution was elevated from 2.31 to 4.73. Ionic release profiles were shown in Figure 5b. Two-way analysis of variance analysis of Na, Ca, Si and P showed a statistically significant main effect for different suspensions and dissolution time \((P=0.000)\), as well as time–suspensions interaction \((P=0.000)\). The ionic release of BG was significantly faster in HP compared to that in DDW.

**DISCUSSION**

The null hypothesis of this study was rejected because incorporating BG into bleaching regimen reduced the mineral loss and morphological alterations of enamel surface without impeding the whitening efficacy. The present study highlighted the beneficial effect of incorporating BG into bleaching regimen as well as the rationale of using BG during HP bleaching rather than before and after HP bleaching.

Dental enamel is the hardest and stiffest mineralized biological tissue containing approximately 96% mineral, 3% water and 1% organic matter by weight. In the present study, enamel specimens were obtained from bovine incisors. Bovine enamel was recognized as a reliable substitute for human enamel due to their similarity of physicochemical properties, such as composition, density, hardness and tensile strength.26–27 Despite a higher lightness with the shade of bovine enamel than in human enamel, 28 use of young bovine teeth is considered a practicable model for evaluating bleaching regimens.8 The superficial aprismatic enamel is generally hypermineralized and rich in fluorapatite, and thus is more resistant to demineralization.29 This layer was removed and polished to retain a uniform mirror-like surface, minimizing the variance among teeth.

Currently, no standard protocol has been established to investigate the effects of bleaching regimens on dental hard tissues. It is generally designed based on whether the bleaching regimen is performed at home or in office. The at home bleaching regimens using low concentrations of HP or carbamide peroxides (CP) commonly requires application time for weeks, during which demineralization and remineralization will interact.30 Therefore an in office bleaching regimen using high concentration of HP was selected to avoid the influence of alternating de/remineralization on the effect of BG. Likewise, artificial saliva was also not used to store the enamel samples during treatment intermission, as it would remineralize the enamel and interact with BG, and thus interfere with the protective effect of BG against HP. In addition, the Knoop indenter penetrates about half as deep as the Vickers indenter,8 therefore, it is more sensitive than a Vickers indenter to differentiate superficial hardness changes. Overall, the simple set of present study offers a best-case scenario to effectively monitor the enamel change in a short time range.

The tooth whitening is achieved by decomposing HP into active oxygen radicals, which can destroy the double bonds or oxidize other chemical moieties in the conjugated chain of chromophore.3 In this decolourization process can be evaluated by visual shade and spectrophotometer, whilst the latter is more objective and reproducible for quantitative evaluation of tooth color change.31 In the present study, all bleaching groups showed typical color shift pattern of increased \(L^*\) and decreased \(E^*\) values, which was consistent with previous studies.14,30 Besides, because \(\Delta E\) values of at least 3.3 are known to be visually perceptible,32 all bleaching treatments can be considered effective for tooth whitening with \(\Delta E\) ranging from 5.08 to 5.54. Although it is conceivable that BG deposits may impair penetration of peroxide and impede the whitening efficacy, this speculation was not confirmed as \(\Delta E\) values were equivalent among all bleaching groups. In addition, we expected that using BG during HP might enhance the whitening efficacy. However, this was not supported by our results. Previous studies showed similar results that increasing the pH value of HP from 3 to 5 could not brought significantly greater enamel color change, unless the pH was elevated above 7.30 It is possible that in moderate acid condition around 4.73 after addition of BG, the decomposition rate of HP is still limited to result in an enhanced whitening efficacy. In addition, our findings also suggest that short-term use of BG alone could not produce whitening effect. Therefore, the whitening ability of BG incorporated bleaching regimens should be mainly attributed to the HP.

Increased enamel mineral loss and pits, depressions and porosities of enamel surface were reported after HP bleaching.5,14,30 The oxidation-reduction reaction of the bleaching agent can lead to dissolution of both organic and inorganic dental matrices up to the point that only carbon dioxide and water remain.33 In the present study, HP induced severe enamel SMH, CSMH loss and microstructure destruction, which was in agreement with these studies.5,14,30 It was suggested that morphological change of enamel is mainly due to the acidity of HP, while microhardness loss to the combined effects of demineralization and destruction of organic matter by HP (ref. 34). In contrast, pre/post-bleaching use of BG possessed much milder SMH, CSMH loss and morphological changes, indicating obvious protective effect of BG against HP. A recent study showed using BG after bleaching could.
remineralize enamel surface by increase the superficial Ca and P contents.5 The findings in this study corroborate that using BG after bleaching can enhance mineral gain in both enamel surface and subsurface layer and further reveal that pre-bleaching use of BG can also reduce mineral loss. It is important to consider that BG, as an inorganic compound in the class of highly biocompatible materials, reacts in aqueous environments to release Ca\(^{2+}\), Na\(^+\), and PO\(_4\)\(^{3–}\) (ref. 23). More specifically, the rapid ionic exchange of Na\(^+\) with H\(^+\) or H\(_2\)O\(^+\) at glass/liquid interface, as confirmed by initial rapid increase of pH and Na\(^+\), allowed Ca\(^{2+}\) and PO\(_4\)\(^{3–}\) to be released to form supersaturated ionic reservoir for the enamel apatite. After BG network dissolution, silanols underwent rearrangement by polycondensation and served as the nucleation site. The disposition of free calcium and phosphate together with undissolved BG particles formed a protective calcium phosphate-rich layer on enamel surface. It also possible that the opening of diffusion channels on bleached enamel facilitated diffusion of calcium and phosphate into deeper enamel layers to favor remineralization over demineralization, as supported by the milder etched change after the pre/post-bleaching use of BG. Considering saliva induced maximum apatite growth of BG (ref. 19), we further assume that BG mixed with saliva would bring even better protection when used before and after HP bleaching. This hypothesis will be investigated in future studies.

The most important finding of this study is that using BG during HP could more effectively reduce the mineral loss of enamel while retaining the enamel surface integrity, demonstrating optimum protective effect compared to pre/post-bleaching use of BG. As an alkaline salt, elevated the pH of HP to 4.73 and reduced its acidity. Besides, the mineral ionic release of BG in HP was much faster in both magnitude and rate than that in DDW. Moreover, in acid condition, the released mineral ions may have a greater capacity to penetrate into deeper enamel layer compared to that in neutral condition, as supported by previous study.46 These effects together might account for the enhanced protection of using BG during HP. Generally, long reaction time has limited the application of BG as an efficient remineralization agent. Previous studies showed that the dentine remineralization can be improved by using nanometric BG particles, or supplying BG with phosphoric acid, nitric acid, and CO\(_2\) laser.19-20,37-38 In the present study, faster ionic release of BG indicates that HP can also catalyze the reaction of BG. Moreover, the unexpected formation of dumbbell-like and flower-like crystallites in BG+HP suspension supports this speculation. However, these crystals were not detected by XRD (data not shown), possibly because that the mineral phases were not voluminous enough to result in significant diffraction intensity over the noisy amorphous background. It should be noticed that there was still slight microhardness reduction in BG during HP group. HP could alter the enamel organic matrix by protein oxidation,34 and denaturation of enamel organic matrix resulted in significant change of enamel hardness.39 Considering microhardness reflects not only mineral content but also its related organic matrix, it is reasonable to assume that oxidative degradation contributed to the microhardness loss observed in this study.

To maintain long-term stability, the majority of commercial HP products are made highly acidic and concentrated. It was also reported that carbopol, a frequently used stabilizer, caused a continuing demineralization of enamel even at neutral pH (ref. 40). Although the superficial changes of bleached enamel can be restored by salivary electrolytes,45 these initial bleached lesions might be further aggravated to form erosion-abrasion lesions. Therefore, a ‘milder’ or even ‘non-invasive’ bleaching approach brought by BG supplement may help to prevent the irreversible alterations of enamel surface. In addition, bleaching often produces an uneven, dull and lifeless appearance of tooth due to the decrease of enamel luster and translucency. Whether BG can prevent such overbleached tooth appearance has yet to be investigated. Therefore, further clinical trials are warranted to confirm the beneficial effect of incorporating BG into tooth bleaching therapy.

CONCLUSIONS

Within the limitation of this study, we may conclude that the 35% HP solution resulted in significant microhardness loss and morphological change of enamel. Using BG alone could not whiten the enamel, and the combination of BG and HP did not alter the whitening efficacy. Compared to pre/post-bleaching use of BG, using BG during HP was the optimal way to reduce the microhardness loss of enamel while retaining the enamel surface integrity. The alkalinity and accelerated ionic releasing of BG in HP make BG a promising biomimetic adjunct for bleaching therapy to ensure the lifelong integrity of tooth.
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