HP1 Recruits Activity-Dependent Neuroprotective Protein to H3K9me3 Marked Pericentromeric Heterochromatin for Silencing of Major Satellite Repeats
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Abstract

H3 lysine 9 trimethylation (H3K9me3) is a histone posttranslational modification (PTM) that has emerged as hallmark of pericentromeric heterochromatin. This constitutive chromatin domain is composed of repetitive DNA elements, whose transcription is differentially regulated. Mammalian cells contain three HP1 proteins, HP1α, HP1β and HP1γ These have been shown to bind to H3K9me3 and are thought to mediate the effects of this histone PTM. However, the mechanisms of HP1 chromatin regulation and the exact functional role at pericentromeric heterochromatin are still unclear. Here, we identify activity-dependent neuroprotective protein (ADNP) as an H3K9me3 associated factor. We show that ADNP does not bind H3K9me3 directly, but that interaction is mediated by all three HP1 isoforms in vitro. However, in cells ADNP localization to areas of pericentromeric heterochromatin is only dependent on HP1α and HP1β. Besides a PGVLL sequence patch we uncovered an ARKS motif within the ADNP homeodomain involved in HP1 dependent H3K9me3 association and localization to pericentromeric heterochromatin. While knockdown of ADNP had no effect on HP1 distribution and heterochromatic histone and DNA modifications, we found ADNP silencing major satellite repeats. Our results identify a novel factor in the translation of H3K9me3 at pericentromeric heterochromatin that regulates transcription.

Introduction

The physiological template of genetic information in all eukaryotic cells is chromatin. As biological relay station and signaling platform chromatin integrates a variety of endogenous and exogenous cellular inputs. The various signals are thought to direct distinct local and global functional states of chromatin, therefore controlling the capacity of a cell’s genome to store, release, and inherit biological information. In the repeating unit of chromatin, the nucleosome, DNA is wrapped around an octamer of core histone proteins (two copies each of H2A, H2B, H3, and H4).

On a cytological level, functionally different types of chromatin have been described: euchromatin appears less condensed, is thought to have a more “open” conformation, contains the majority of genes, replicates early and throughout S-phase, and is mostly transcriptionally active. Heterochromatin, in contrast, appears condensed with relative even spacing of nucleosomes, is thought to have a more “closed” conformation, comprises few genes, is replicating late in S-phase, and is largely transcriptionally silent (for review see [1]. Distinct regions of facultative heterochromatin are characteristic for differentiated cells, while constitutive heterochromatin is found in all cells at specific chromosomal territories, namely telomeres, centromeres and pericentromeric regions. The latter are essential for chromosome replication containing repetitive satellite sequences. In S. pombe transcription from such regions is involved in the establishment and maintenance of the heterochromatin state implicating an RNAi dependent mechanism [2,3,4]. In higher eukaryotes centromeric and pericentromeric transcription varies during cellular development and differentiation [5]. However, the exact mechanism(s) by which the activity of these elements is regulated has not been fully elucidated.

Besides regulated incorporation of histone variants, the architecture of chromatin on the nucleosomal level is essentially the same for all of the genome. Divergence is achieved via regional restricted methylation of DNA as well as numerous post-translational modifications of the histone proteins (PTMs). These include acetylation and mono-(me1), di-(me2) and tri-(me3) methylation of numerous lysine residues in all four core histones. The high complexity of histone PTMs gives an enormous potential for differential functional responses [6]. Transcriptionally active areas of the genome are largely associated with global hyperacetylation of histone lysine residues as well as site specific methylation of H3 lysine 4 (H3K4me) and H3 lysine 36 (H3K36me) [7,8]. On the other hand, pericentromeric heterochromatin in higher eukaryotes is enriched in H3 lysine 9 trimethylation (H3K9me3), H4 lysine 20 trimethylation (H4K20me3) as well as H3 lysine 27 monomethylation (H3K27me1). Linkage to H3 arginine 2 dimethylation (H3R2me2) and H4 arginine 3 dimethylation (H4R3me2) has also been described [9,10]. Especially, H3K9me2/3 has been recognized as a hallmark of heterochromatin [8]. In S. pombe it could be
shown that this PTM is central to establishment and maintenance of heterochromatin at the centromeric but also mating type regions [3,4,11]. Nevertheless, the molecular and functional relation of the histone PTM status and particular chromatin structures at heterochromatin has not been fully described.

Di- and trimethylation of histone H3 lysine 9 are mediated by the Suv39h1/h2 isoenzymes, CLLD8/KMT1F as well as the ESET/SETDB1 histone methyltransferase [12,13,14]. SETDB1 is mainly found in euchromatic regions, where it participates in gene silencing [15]. In contrast, CLLD8/KMT1F and Suv39h-like enzymes localize to pericentromeric heterochromatin [14].

Suv39h1/2/Suv39h2 double knockout MEF cells fail to show H3K9me3 at these loci indicating that Suv39h1/h2 are the main HMTases establishing H3K9 trimethylation at pericentromeric regions [9]. The level of transcripts from the major satellite components of the SWI/SNF (mating type switching/sucrose nonfermenting) complex, as interaction partners of ADNP [46]. However, the exact chromatin roles of ADNP have not been elucidated.

Results

Identification of ADNP as H3K9me3 associated protein

To gain insights into heterochromatin formation and maintenance we used a histone peptide pulldown approach. To identify proteins interacting with the H3K9me3 histone PTM H3 (aa 1-21) peptides unmodified or trimethylated at lysine 9 were immobilized on magnetic beads and incubated with HeLa S3 nuclear extract. Proteins bound to the different affinity matrices were separated by SDS-PAGE. Whole lanes were cut into pieces, digested with trypsin and subjected to MS/MS analysis (Figure 1A). Full sets of factors from the different pulldowns were subtracted yielding proteins specifically interacting with the H3 tail depending on the K9me3 modification. Among the factors identified in such experiments were several known H3K9me3 interaction partners like the three isoforms of HP1, HP1γ and HP1γ as well as CDYL1 [47]. One factor that had so far not been described in the context of heterochromatin or H3K9me3 was the activity dependent neuroprotector protein, ADNP. As Figure 1B shows a total of 36 (partially overlapping) peptides of this H3K9me3 interacting protein could be identified (overall sequence coverage of 43%).

To independently confirm the results of the MS analysis, we repeated the H3 peptide pulldowns from HeLa S3 nuclear extracts. Using a monoclonal antibody in western blotting experiments we could confirm specific enrichment of ADNP exclusively on the H3K9me3 matrix compared to the unmodified peptide and the mock control (Figure 1C; see Figure S2 for antibody characterization).

ADNP associates with H3K9me3 in chromatin context

Since short peptides do not represent the targeting and binding situation in the cell, we analyzed H3K9me3 binding of ADNP in a chromatin context. We set up an in vitro chromatin reconstitution system that makes use of uniformly K9me3 modified H3 obtained by native protein ligation (see Figure S1 and [47,48,49,50] for details of the recombinant chromatin template). To analyze ADNP interaction biotinylated recombinant chromatin with or without the H3K9me3 modification was bound to streptavidin coated beads. This matrix was used to pull out binding proteins of HeLa S3 nuclear extracts. After extensive washing the bound fractions were analyzed by western blotting. As Figure 2A shows, ADNP was clearly enriched on the H3K9me3 chromatin template compared to the unmodified chromatin control or the mock (beads only) reaction.

Next, we analyzed the subcellular localization of ADNP by immunostaining MEF cells using an anti-ADNP antibody. DNA was counterstained with DAPI to visualize heterochromatic areas, which are mainly representing pericentromeric heterochromatin. In mouse cells these areas are generally enriched in the H3K9me3 histone PTM [8,51]. ADNP staining in interphase cells revealed a speckled distribution in the cell nucleus with additional enrichment at DAPI-dense regions. There, significant overlap with the H3K9me3 modification was observed (Figure 2B). In addition, tubulin-like staining was seen in the cytoplasm of some cell immunofluorescence preparations. A similar distribution was death on E8.5–9.5 [44]. More than 200 factors were found transcriptionally up or down regulated in absence of ADNP [45]. Further, co-immunoprecipitation experiments identify components of the SWI/SNF (mating type switching/sucrose nonfermenting) complex, as interaction partners of ADNP [46].
found in NIH3T3 cells at interphase (Figure S3). The tubulin-like staining was also observed in mitotic NIH3T3 cells where we found ADNP excluded from chromatin from metaphase to telophase (Figure S3). In subcellular fractionation experiments of NIH3T3 cells no ADNP could be detected in the cytoplasmic fraction codistributing with β-tubulin. In contrast, ADNP was found largely enriched in the nuclear insoluble chromatin fraction (Figure 2C). As tubulin-like staining of the anti-ADNP antibody also varied with fixation conditions (data not shown), we infer the cytoplasmic patterning of ADNP to be an artifact of the antibody staining procedure.

Due to the large number of histone H3 genes in mammalian cells, the H3K9 site cannot be mutated in this system. Therefore, trimethylation of H3K9 cannot be removed from the cells directly. To address the role of H3K9me3 in the enrichment of ADNP at pericentromeric heterochromatin we repeated the anti-ADNP immunolocalization in Suv39h1, Suv39h2 double knockout cells. Suv39 is the methyltransferase that is mainly responsible for H3K9me2/3 at pericentromeric heterochromatin. In the absence of Suv39h1/h2 H3K9me3 at pericentromeric heterochromatin of mouse cells is lost [51]. Indeed, as Figure 2D shows no enrichment of H3K9me3 at DAPI-dense areas could be seen in the Suv39h1, Suv39h2 double knockout MEF cells. ADNP was indeed found absent from pericentromeric heterochromatin in these cells. In contrast, the speckled distribution of ADNP throughout the nucleus was still visible. From these experiments we conclude that ADNP enrichment at pericentromeric heterochromatin is dependent on the presence of Suv39h1/h2 enzymes and likely is governed by recruitment of the factor to the H3K9me3 chromatin mark.

ADNP does not bind H3K9me3 directly but is targeted to pericentromeric heterochromatin via HP1

ADNP was recently suggested to interact with HP1α [45]. Therefore, we asked whether ADNP is capable of binding to H3K9me3 directly or only in the presence of HP1. We performed peptide pulldown experiments using H3K9me3 and unmodified H3 peptides immobilized on magnetic beads and beads without peptides as control (Figure 3A). FLAG-tagged ADNP was expressed in TNT reticulocyte extract and incubated with the different affinity matrices in the absence or presence of recombinant HP1 isoform proteins. Without HP1 ADNP did not bind to any of the differentially loaded beads with or without immobilized peptides. However, when co-incubated with recombinant HP1α, HP1β or HP1γ ADNP bound specifically to the H3K9me3 peptide. This interaction was concomitant with recruitment of the different HP1 isoforms to the H3K9me3 matrix. In contrast, we detected only background interaction of ADNP or the different HP1 proteins to the unmodified peptide.

To test whether recruitment of ADNP to H3K9me3 is also mediated by HP1 in vivo, we made use of mouse HP1−/− knockout
cells [52,53,54]. Immunofluorescence analysis of HP1α, HP1β or HP1γ single knockout MEF cells showed that ADNP localization to DAPI dense areas of pericentromeric heterochromatin is not affected by the lack of one single HP1 isoform (Figure S4). We then expanded the analysis to HP1αHP1β double knockout MEF cells. Here, HP1γ is the only HP1 isoform expressed. In immunofluorescence analysis of corresponding wild type MEF cells we found HP1γ partially enriched at pericentromeric heterochromatin where it colocalized with DAPI dense areas. A diffuse nuclear staining was also visible (Figure 3B, top row). In contrast, in the HP1αHP1β double knockout MEF cells HP1γ did not display enrichment at DAPI dense areas but only showed nuclear diffuse distribution (Figure 3B, bottom row). Interestingly, absence of HP1α and HP1β did not have a pronounced effect on pericentromeric heterochromatin as DAPI dense areas that coincided with H3K9me3 were still observed (Figure S5). No enrichment of ADNP at DAPI dense areas could be detected in HP1αHP1β double knockout MEF cells (Figure 3B, bottom row).

To verify that ADNP displacement from pericentromeric heterochromatin in these cells is not an effect of absence of H3K9me3, or loss of ADNP and/or HP1γ we assessed the protein and modification levels using western blotting. No significant changes in the expression levels of ADNP or HP1γ or the level of H3K9me3 could be detected in HP1αHP1β double knockout MEF cells compared to corresponding wild type MEF cells (Figure S6). We conclude that presence of HP1α or HP1β is necessary for recruitment of ADNP to H3K9me3 containing pericentromeric heterochromatin.

The homeodomain of ADNP is necessary and sufficient for localization to pericentromeric heterochromatin

Besides nine Zn fingers the primary sequence of ADNP contains a predicted NLS as well as a homeodomain (Figure 4A). Within the homeodomain a PGVLL region at position 819–823 could serve as a PxVxL HP1-interaction motif [28]. To test whether the ADNP homeodomain is indeed sufficient for localization of the factor to pericentromeric heterochromatin in vivo we analyzed two different deletion mutants of the protein. Shown in Figure 4B are CFP-ADNP constructs corresponding to full-length ADNP, the protein lacking the homeodomain [CFP-ADNP(Δ741-846)] as well as the homeodomain alone [CFP-ADNP(701-846)] all containing the nuclear localization signal (NLS). These constructs were transiently co-expressed with RFP-HP1β as a heterochro-
matin marker in NIH3T3 cells. Analysis of unfixed, living cells of low expression level of the fusion constructs revealed subnuclear enrichment of the wild type CFP-ADNP fusion protein to pericentromeric heterochromatin reminiscent of the untagged, endogenous factor. Interestingly, the punctuate distribution of the exogenously overexpressed fusion protein was far less pronounced compared to immunodetection of the endogenous protein using the anti-ADNP antibody (compare to Figure 2A). While full-length ADNP and the homeodomain alone co-localized with HP1 the mutant protein lacking the homeodomain did not display enrichment at any particular site in the nucleus. Obviously, the homeodomain is required and sufficient for ADNP localization to pericentromeric heterochromatin.

Besides a PGVLL sequence patch an ARKS motif within the ADNP homeodomain is involved in HP1 dependent H3K9me3 association and localization to pericentromeric heterochromatin.

Next to a PgVxL HP1 consensus binding PGVLL sequence the ADNP homeodomain contains an ARKS sequence stretch (pos 765–768) (Figure 5A). Within histone H3 methylated ARKS motifs around lysine 9 and lysine 27 have been shown to bind HP1 and Polycomb chromo domain proteins [21]. To further characterize the ADNP–HP1 interaction, the homeodomain was mutated at the putative HP1 interaction sites. Lysine 767 within the ARKS motif was changed to arginine. This should prevent a possible methylation event, thereby destroying the ARKS binding cassette, which might be bound by the HP1 chromo domain. In the PGVLL motif valine 821 was mutated to a glutamate. A corresponding mutation has been shown to strongly reduce binding to the HP1 chromoshadow domain of Sp100A and LBR [55]. Wild type ADNP, the single point mutants and the double mutant were fused to YFP and stably transfected in NIH3T3 cells using an inducible system. Expression levels of the ADNP wild type and mutant YFP-fusion proteins after induction were comparable, but significantly above the endogenous factor (Figure S7). As with transiently overexpressing cells analysis of unfixed, cells revealed enrichment of wild type YFP-ADNP at DNA-dense areas, which were stained with Hoechst 33342 dye. Also, almost no punctuate distribution in the nucleus was seen. In this setting the K767R mutation had no effect on ADNP localization (Figure 5B). In contrast, the V821E mutation within the PGVLL motif lead to strong reduction of the ADNP signal at regions of pericentromeric heterochromatin. However, in all cells analyzed a faint but significant signal of the mutant YFP-fusion protein remained at pericentromeric heterochromatin. This residual ADNP enrichment was, however, absent for the K767K/V821E double mutant protein.

We then confirmed these results in vitro. Wild type and mutant FLAG-tagged ADNP proteins were expressed in TNT reticulocyte extract and used in H3K9me3 peptide pulldown experiments in conjunction with recombinant HP1. As Figure 5C shows, wild type and K767R mutant ADNP were bound to H3K9me3 peptide in a HP1 dependent manner. In contrast, recovery of the V821E mutant ADNP protein was clearly reduced. No interaction with the double mutant K767R/V821E ADNP protein was observed under the same conditions.

If interaction between the HP1 chromoshadow domain and the PGVLL motif is the main mechanism of ADNP binding to H3K9me3, then association of ADNP with H3K9me3 should be...
strongly reduced by a mutation within this region. Mutation of tryptophan 170 to alanine (W170A) of HP1β has been described to abolish HP1-PxVxL interaction without affecting HP1 dimerization and H3K9me3 binding [27]. We analyzed this recombinant, mutant HP1β protein in H3K9me3 peptide pull-down experiments with wild type FLAG-tagged ADNP expressed in TNT reticulocyte extract (Figure 5D). W170A mutation had no effect on HP1β binding to the H3K9me3 peptide. Yet, recruitment of ADNP to H3K9me3 was severely impaired in presence of the mutant HP1β W170A protein compared to the wild type HP1β protein. We further note that ADNP did have no effect on the recruitment of HP1β to the H3K9me3 peptide in these experiments. We conclude that interaction of the PGVLL motif within the homoeodomain with the chromoshadow domain dimer of HP1 is a major element of ADNP H3K9me3 association. However, there is contribution, albeit minor, of the ARKS motif that also affects H3K9me3 association and localization of ADNP to heterochromatin.

ADNP functions in silencing of major satellite repeats

Misregulation of chromatin modification marks, delocalization of HP1 and derepression of major satellite repeat transcription have been described in Suv39h1, Suv39h2 double knockout MEF cells [16, 56]. Since ADNP is lost from pericentromeric heterochromatin in these cells (see Figure 2), we reasoned that ADNP might act downstream of Suv39 and H3K9me3 in maintenance pathways of constitutive heterochromatin. To assess ADNP function at pericentromeric heterochromatin we established siRNA knockdown in NIH3T3 cells. As Figure 6A shows, transfection of ADNP siRNA lead to significant reduction of protein levels compared to the scrambled siRNA and untransfected (mock) controls. First, we assessed possible effects of reduced ADNP amounts onto histone methylation marks associated with pericentromeric heterochromatin. However, no effects on the levels and distribution of H3K9me3, H3K27me1 and H4K20me3 could be observed in ADNP knockdown NIH3T3 cells (Figure S8 and S9). Similarly, no reduction of CpG methylation at major satellite repeat sequences could be detected in these cells (Figure S10). Also, distribution of the HP1α, HP1β and HP1γ proteins appeared normal after ADNP knockdown (Figure S11).

ADNP has been demonstrated to be involved in transcriptional activation as well as repression during mouse embryogenesis [45]. To analyze whether ADNP plays a role in Suv39h1/h2 directed silencing of major satellite repeats [16] we first addressed the transcriptional activating or repressive potential of ADNP. In chromatin context we found a GAL4(DBD)-ADNP fusion protein when targeted to an upstream UAS to slightly activate transcription at low doses, but to repress basal transcription at
higher doses from a minimal TK promoter construct, which was stably integrated into the genome of 293 HEK cells (Figure 6B). The repressive activity observed for ADNP was not as high as for SMRT, a well-established transcriptional corepressor [57], but nevertheless robust. Similar results were observed when the reporter construct was not stably integrated, but transiently transfected in 293 HEK cells (data not shown).

To test whether ADNP has silencing function at pericentromeric heterochromatin, we determined the transcriptional status of major satellite repeats. We measured the abundance of major satellite transcripts relative to GAPDH using reverse transcription and real-time PCR. In such an analysis, Suv39h1, Suv39h2, as well as HP1α and HP1β, double knockout MEF cells showed clear increase in major satellite repeat transcription compared to the respective wild type MEF cells (Figure 6C). Interestingly, in the ADNP knockdown NIH3T3 cells the level of major satellite transcripts was also elevated compared to the cells treated with the scrambled siRNA or untransfected (mock) cells (Figure 6D). If ADNP is causally involved in silencing of major satellite repeat transcription, then increased ADNP levels should result in further repression. To test this hypothesis, we overexpressed YFP-ADNP in NIH3T3 cells. As Figure 6F shows, elevated ADNP levels indeed caused significant decrease of transcripts from the major satellite repeats. We conclude that ADNP is causally involved in silencing of these essential repetitive DNA elements.

Discussion

ADNP localization to H3K9me3 marked pericentromeric heterochromatin

Until now ADNP studies have focused on extracellular signaling functions in neuroprotection [37,44,58,59,60,61] or transcriptional regulation of individual genes in euchromatin [42,45]. While biochemical studies have found association of ADNP with components of the SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex [46], we describe here a novel aspect of ADNP biology, accumulation at pericentromeric heterochromatin. We ascribe the fact that ADNP has not been seen at these pronounced subnuclear structures before mainly to two effects. Immunofluorescence analysis with anti-ADNP antibodies requires special fixation and staining techniques. Otherwise, no significant enrichment at pericentromeric heterochromatin can be observed. Also, in different cell systems (e.g., mouse vs. human cells and fibroblasts vs. neuronal cells) the overall localization of ADNP might vary. We are confident that ADNP is a bona fide heterochromatin accessory protein as imaging of live cells stably expressing YFP-ADNP in the absence of any fixation procedure also shows strong enrichment of the protein at DAPI-dense regions (Figure 5B). We do not think that this is an effect of overexpressing ADNP as we biochemically observed clear enrichment of endogenous ADNP at H3K9me3 a hallmark of heterochromatin. Our fractionation results in combination with the stable transfected cell lines indicate tubulin-like patterning of ADNP immunostaining in the cytoplasm is artificial. Lastly, we think that dotted appearance of ADNP immunostaining in the cell nucleus might be a reflection of the staining procedure as almost no such distribution was detected in the YFP-ADNP cell lines without fixation.

Role of HP1 in ADNP localization

While we identified ADNP in a biochemical screen for H3K9me3 interacting factors, subsequent experiments indicated ADNP does not directly bind this histone PTM. Analysis of the
ADNP primary sequence does not reveal any of the characterized methyl-lysine binding modules such as chromo domains, PHD fingers or ankyrin repeats [62,63]. Indeed, in vitro translated ADNP from reticulocyte extracts does not interact with H3K9me3 peptides. Conversely, we find that all three mammalian HP1 isoforms, HP1α, HP1β and HP1γ bind to ADNP and mediate its interaction with H3K9me3 in vitro. Further, we observed exclusion of ADNP from chromosomes during M-phase (Figure S3) simultaneously to HP1, which indicates HP1 dependent chromatin recruitment [64]. While knockout of single HP1 isoforms did not affect ADNP localization, the protein was absent from pericentromeric heterochromatin in HP1α/HP1β double knockout MEF cells possibly indicating that targeting of ADNP can be mediated by HP1α or HP1β but not by HP1γ. Obviously, heterodimerization of HP1γ with HP1α or HP1β or factors regulated by HP1α and HP1β are necessary for HP1γ localization to pericentromeric heterochromatin [53]. We do not yet know whether HP1α or HP1β also require heterodimerization with other isoforms for localization or whether they act self-sufficient in ADNP recruitment. Further studies will also have to address whether HP1γ is at all capable of interacting with ADNP in cells. In this context it is nevertheless noteworthy that HP1β animals as well as siRNA studies involving HP1α and HP1β “knock-down” indicate neuronal defects [52,65]. Disruption of ADNP-HP1β interaction and proper ADNP localization might here be causally involved.

Apart from HP1 proteins additional factors could contribute to ADNP heterochromatin localization. For example, Brg1 of the SWI/SNF complex interacts with the C-terminus of ADNP [46].
Brg1 knock-out causes misorganization of pericentromeric heterochromatin [66]. Further, potential binding of ADNP to DNA could stabilize heterochromatin association. While ChIP studies have shown that ADNP can bind multiple gene promoters [43] it is unclear whether this interaction is direct. With the large number of putative DNA binding domains (nine Zn fingers, homeodomain) ADNP could possibly be attracted to repetitive DNA sequences within heterochromatin.

Protein motifs involved in ADNP localization

Our results indicate that the CSD of HP1 proteins mediate ADNP interaction, which is reminiscent of several HP1 protein interactions that have been analyzed [67,68]. However, we show that besides a CSD interacting PnVfX consensus PGVLL motif an ARKS motif is also involved in H3K9me3 association and ADNP localization. We consider four scenarios. (i) The ARKS motif might influence ADNP multimerization, which might be required for stable HP1 binding. We exclude this possibility, since all ectopically expressed ADNP homeodomain mutant proteins interact with the endogenous protein comparable to the wild type factor (Figure S12). (ii) The lysine residue within the ARKS motif might be methylated in vivo thereby generating a HP1 CD interaction interface. Synergistic binding of the HP1 CD to this PTM and the CSD to the PGVLL motif might be required for stable ADNP targeting. HP1 CSD dimerization might allow for simultaneous HP1 CD binding to H3K9me3 and to the ADNP ARKS motif. So far, we did not detect ADNP methylation in vivo or in vitro labeling experiments. Also, interaction of recombinant and thereby unmethylated ADNP with HP1 is significantly reduced after K767R mutation, an effect that recuperates the in vivo findings. Lastly, we directly tested HP1 interaction with a methylated peptide spanning the ADNP ARKS region and did not detect binding (Figure S13). (iii) The ARKS motif might be required for homeodomain full structural integrity. Since HP1 has been shown to bind several proteins within different domains via PnVfX motifs this type of interaction seems not to require a certain structure. (iv) The ARKS motif might bind to another factor that stabilizes HP1 ADNP interaction and localization to pericentromeric heterochromatin.

ADNP function at pericentromeric heterochromatin

Complete deficiency of ADNP in mouse embryos results in changes in gene expression profile [45]. However, it is unclear whether ADNP is directly or indirectly involved in euchromatin gene regulation. We show transcriptional repressive activity of ADNP when targeted to a reporter gene. Our results of ADNP knockdown indicate derepression of major satellite repeat transcription. We do not detect any effect of reduced ADNP levels onto HP1 localization, heterochromatin histone PTM levels and localization, nor onto DNA methylation levels. These are all effects that have been observed in Suvi9h1, Suvi9h2 double knockout cells [9,16,34,51,56]. As we show ADNP is downstream of the Suvi9/3H3K9me3/HP1 axis, we think that ADNP has a function at pericentromeric heterochromatin.

Alternatively, ADNP might directly target RNA polymerase(s) or basal transcription factors involved in major satellite transcription.

Materials and Methods

DNA Constructs

For protein expression cDNA corresponding to the open reading frame of ADNP was amplified from an EST clone (IMAGE: 3448801, GenBank NM_009628) using PCR. For in vivo experiments ADNP and point mutants were cloned into a derivative pcDNA3.1 vector (Invitrogen) generating C-terminal fusion to a 2x FLAG-2xHA epitope tag. For expression in mammalian cells the cDNA was cloned into pECEFP-C1, pEYFP-C1 (Clontech) and DBD-GAL4-null (gift of Dr. J. Rice, [69]) and subcloned from pEYFP-C1 into pGEXV5 (Invitrogen). Mutagenesis (K767R, V821A and the homeodomain deletion Δ741-846) was performed by fusion PCR. The homeodomain was subcloned by PCR using primers for the region encoding aa 701-846. Human HP1α, HP1β and HP1γ (GenBank: BC006921, BC002609 and BC000954) directly fused to a His6 tag were used as template. The 5’-end was cloned into pET11a vector (Novagen). The CMV renilla and Gal4-SMRT plasmids were gifts of Dr. J. Rice [69] and the mRFP-HP1β plasmid was obtained from Dr. B. van der Zaal. Further details of the used DNA constructs are available upon request.

Southern Blot Analysis

Genomic DNA was prepared as described [70] and digested with TaqI restriction enzyme (NEB). Samples were separated on 1% agarose gels and stained with ethidium bromide. Southern blot was performed according to [70] with modifications: Gels were rinsed in H2O, depurinated for 20 min in 0.25 M HCl and neutralized for 30 min in 0.4 M NaOH. Downward transfer of the DNA onto a positively charged nylon transfer membrane (GE Healthcare) was performed over night using 0.4 M NaOH as transfer buffer. Major satellite DNA was detected using the DIG High Prime DNA Labeling and Detection Starter Kit I (Roche Diagnostics). The probe for major satellite was generated by PCR using DIG-labeled dUTP. DIG in a ratio of 1:3. Genomic DNA isolated from NIH3T3 cells served as template. Major satellite repeat primers were Fwd: 5’-ATATGTTTGAGAAAATGAAATACG-3’ and Rev: 5’-CCGTCAGTGCGATTTGATTTTTCAC-3’ [71].

Real Time PCR

Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol® reagent (Invitrogen). First strand synthesis of cDNA was performed using the Superscript II Kit (Invitrogen). Before cDNA synthesis contaminating genomic DNA was removed by digestion with DNase I (1 U/μg RNA, 30 min, 37°C) (NEB). Reactions were stopped by adding EDTA to a final concentration of 10 mM and heating for 10 min at 75°C. cDNA was used for real time PCR using iQ SYBR Green Supermix on a MJ Research DNA engine Opticon (Bio-Rad). To calculate the relative expression levels, the major satellite signals were normalized to the signals of GAPDH as follows: Ct_major satellite repeat - Ct_GAPDH = ΔCt. Averages of the 2^-ΔΔCt values from triplicate experiments were plotted with the standard deviation of the triplicates as error bars. The PCR primers amplifying transcripts from major satellite repeats were the same as for generating the Southern blot probe. GAPDH primers: Fwd: 5’-AGGTGCGGTTGCAAGGATTG-3’, Rev: 5’-TGTAGGAGCATGAGTTGAGGTA-3’.
Western Blotting

For western blot analysis primary antibodies were used as follows: anti-ADNP (BD Biosciences), 1:1,000; anti-H4 (Abcam), 1:1,000; anti-β-tubulin (Sigma), 1:20,000; anti-FLAG (Sigma), 1:1,000; anti-His (Santa Cruz), 1:250.

Peptide and Chromatin Pulldowns

Peptides used for pulldown studies carried a biotinylated lysine residue at the C-terminus: H3unmod., ARTKQTARKSTG-GKAPRKQLK-biotin; H3K9me3, ARTKQTARK/me3/STGK-KAPRKQLK-biotin. Recombinant chromatin carrying the same modifications was prepared as described [47]. Peptide and chromatin pulldowns were carried out according to [47]. Proteins were either detected by western blot procedures or analyzed by mass spectrometry (MS).

Mass Spectrometry

SDS PAGE gels were stained with Coomassie Blue and entire gel lanes were cut into 23 slices of equal size. Proteins within the slices were digested according to Shevchenko et al. [72]. Peptides were extracted and analyzed by LC-coupled tandem MS on an Orbitrap XI mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). CID fragment spectra were searched against NCBInr database using MASCOT as search engine.

Cell Culture

NIH3T3 (ATCC) and HEK 293 TK22 (gift of Dr. J. Rice, [69]) cells were grown at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere, 5% CO2 using Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM glutamine, and 1x penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen) (DMEM+). 200 NIH3T3 cells were seeded per dish. 24 h prior treatment. A total amount of 1.2 μg DNA per well was transfected using Lipofectamine2000 (Invitrogen). For transfection the total DNA contained 2 ng of CMV renilla plasmid, the plasmids expressing the GAL4-tagged protein of interest in different amounts (10 ng–200 ng) and empty pcDNA3.1 vector to reach 1.2 μg plasmid DNA in total. 48 h post transfection cells were detached from the wells by pipetting in medium and transferred to 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation for 5 min at 3000 rpm, RT. Cell pellets were lysed and processed for dual luciferase assay (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Firefly and renilla luminescence signals were read using a Chameleon II plate reader (Hidex Oy). Firefly luminescence signals were normalized against renilla luminescence signals and control transfected cells.

Immunofluorescence

Cells were seeded on glass cover slips in 6-well plates. For ADNP staining in interphase cells were treated with nocadazole (200 nM, in DMSO) for 5 min prior to fixation. Cover slips were washed two times with PBS and fixed for 10 min in 3% paraformaldehyde in PBS at 37°C. Cover slips were washed once with PBS and cells were permeabilized for 7 min (0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS). Cells were washed once with PBS and blocked for 30 min in blocking solution (1x PBS, 2% BSA, 5% normal goat serum (v/v)). Primary antibodies (anti-ADNP (BD Biosciences) 1:70; anti-H3K9me3 (Millipore) 1:1,000; anti-H1P1β (Millipore) 1:5,000; anti-H1P1β (Millipore) 1:10,000; monoclonal anti-H1P1γ (Millipore) 1:15,000; polyclonal anti-H1P1α and anti-H1P1γ (Millipore) 1:1,000) and fluorescein labeled secondary antibodies (anti-mouse-Alexa555 and anti-rabbit-Alexa488 (Molecular Probes) 1:1,000) were applied in blocking solution for 1 h at room temperature followed by a post fixation step (3% paraformaldehyde in PBS, 15 min at RT). Cover slips were washed three times in water and mounted in Mowiol including 50 μg/ml DAPI. Slides were dried overnight at RT and analyzed using a Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope. For live cell imaging cells were grown in glass bottom dishes in DMEM+ lacking phenol red.

ADNP and histone peptides

Pepitides used for pulldown experiments were as follows: ADNPunmod. biotin-EDDSYEARKSTGLTKYFNKQP, ADNPK-767me3, biotin-EDDSYEARK/me3/STGLTKYFNKQP; H3unmod., ARTKQTARKSTG-GKAPRKQLK-biotin; H3K9me3, ARTKQTARK/me3/STGK-KAPRKQLK-biotin. Peptides used for FP measurements were as follows: ADNPunmod., EDDSYEARKSF-LTKY; ADNPK767me3, EDDSYEARK/me3/STFLTKY; H3unmod., ARTKQTARKSTGGKA; H3K9me3, ARTKQTARK/me3/STGGKA.

Fluorescence Polarization Binding Assays

Pepitides used for fluorescence polarization (FP) measurements were labeled using NHS-fluorescin (Invitrogen). Single labeled...
species were separated by C18 reversed phase HPLC and analyzed by MALDI MS. FP assays were essentially carried out and analyzed as described [73]. Titration series of 10 μl volume in 384 well plates were read multiple times on a Plate Chameleon II plate reader (HIDEX Oy). Multiple readings and independent titration series were averaged after data normalization.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Characterization of recombinant chromatin templates. (A) Agarose gel (1%) of free DNA template (12 x 200-601 DNA) and the indicated chromatin assembly reactions using either unmodified H3 or H3K9me3 stained with ethidium bromide. The running position of size standards (MW) is indicated on the left. (B) The indicated free DNA and chromatin assembled chromatin templates were digested with Mnase for the indicated time periods. Reactions were run on a 1% agarose gel and stained with ethidium bromide. (C) The indicated chromatin templates were run on an SDS PAGE gel and stained with Coomassie Blue. The running position of size standards (MW) is indicated on the left. (D) H3unmod. and H3K9me3 chromatin templates were analyzed by western blotting using the indicated antibodies.

Figure S2 Specificity of the anti-ADNP monoclonal antibody. Extracts prepared from NIH3T3 cells treated with ADNP siRNA, corresponding scrambled siRNA (scr.) or untransfected (mock) were analyzed by western blotting using anti-ADNP monoclonal antibody or anti-β-tubulin antibodies. Different exposures of the ECL secondary antibody detection reaction are shown. Black arrowhead marks running position of ADNP; open arrowhead marks running position of β-tubulin.

Figure S3 Localization of ADNP during the cell cycle. Immunofluorescence analysis of ADNP in NIH3T3 cells at interphase (A) and during M-phase (B), DNA was visualized using DAPI. Bars, 5 μm (A and B, lane 2) or 10 μm (B, rows 1, 3–4).

Figure S4 Absence of single HP1 isoform proteins does not affect ADNP localization to pericentromeric heterochromatin. Immunofluorescence analysis of ADNP in wild type (wt) or mutant MEF cells of the indicated genomic background after knock out of the indicated HP1 genes. DNA was visualized using DAPI. Bars, 7.5 μm.

Figure S5 Absence of HP1α and HP1β does not affect localization of H3K9me3. Immunofluorescence analysis of H3K9me3 in MEF cells derived from HP1α/HP1β double knockout mice (HP1αβdn). DNA was visualized using DAPI. Bars, 7.5 μm.

Figure S6 Absence of HP1α and HP1β does not affect ADNP, HP1γ or H3K9me3 levels. Western blot analysis of total cell extracts from wild type (wt) and HP1α/HP1β double knockout (HP1αβdn) MEF cells using the indicated antibodies.

Figure S7 Expression levels of YFP-ADNP stable transfected cell lines. Western blot analysis of untransfected NIH3T3 cells or NIH3T3 cells stably expressing wild type YFP-ADNP (wt) or the indicated single or double mutant fusion proteins using the anti-ADNP antibody. The black arrowhead indicates the running position of endogenous ADNP; the open arrowhead indicates the running position of the YFP-ADNP fusion proteins.

Figure S8 Nuclear distribution of H3K9me3, H3K27me1 and H4K20me3 is not affected by ADNP knockdown. Immunofluorescence analysis of H3K9me3 (A), H3K27me1 (B) and H4K20me3 (C) in wild type (wt) and Suv39h1, Suv39h2 double knockout (Suv39h1/h2) MEF cells (top). Immunofluorescence analysis of H3K9me3 (A), H3K27me1 (B) and H4K20me3 (C) in untreated (mock) and NIH3T3 cells transfected with scrambled or ADNP targeting siRNAs (bottom). DNA was visualized using DAPI. Bars, 25 μm (B, upper row) or 5 μm.

Figure S9 Levels of histone modifications in ADNP knockdown NIH3T3 cells are not changed. Western blot analysis of untreated (mock) and NIH3T3 cells transfected with scrambled (scr.) or ADNP targeting siRNAs as well as wild type (wt) and Suv39h1, Suv39h2 double knockout (Suv39h1/h2) MEF cells was digested with the restriction enzyme TaqI and separated on a 1% agarose gel. Ethidium bromide staining of the gel (A) and Southern blot (B) using a major satellite repeat probe are shown.

Figure S10 Knockdown of ADNP does not affect DNA methylation levels. Genomic DNA of untreated (mock) and NIH3T3 cells transfected with scrambled (scr.) or ADNP targeting siRNAs as well as wild type (wt) and Suv39h1, Suv39h2 double knockout (Suv39h1/h2) MEF cells was digested with the restriction enzyme TaqI and separated on a 1% agarose gel. Ethidium bromide staining of the gel (A) and Southern blot (B) using a major satellite repeat probe are shown.

Figure S11 Nuclear distribution of HP1α, HP1β and HP1γ is not affected by ADNP knockdown. Immunofluorescence analysis of HP1α (A), HP1β (B) and HP1γ (C) in wild type (wt) and Suv39h1, Suv39h2 double knockout (Suv39h1/h2) MEF cells (top). Immunofluorescence analysis of HP1α (A), HP1β (B) and HP1γ (C) in untreated (mock) and NIH3T3 cells transfected with scrambled (scr.) or ADNP targeting siRNAs (bottom). DNA was visualized using DAPI. Bars, 5 μm.

Figure S12 Mutation of the ADNP homeodomain does not affect protein multimerization. The indicated YFP-ADNP fusion proteins or YFP were immunoprecipitated from nuclear extracts of the corresponding stable transfected NIH3T3 cell lines using anti-GFP-antibodies. Western blot analysis of the immunoprecipitated (precipitated) material using the anti-ADNP antibody is shown. The black arrowhead indicates the running position of endogenous ADNP; the open arrowhead indicates the running position of the YFP-ADNP fusion proteins.

Figure S13 HP1 does not bind to ADNP K767me3. (A) The indicated ADNP and histone H3 peptides were used in pulldown experiments of HeLa S3 cell nuclear extract. Beads without coupled peptides were used as control (mock). Specifically recovered proteins were analyzed by western blotting using the indicated antibodies. (B) Fluorescence polarization binding assay of recombinant HP1β using the indicated H3 (unmodified, K9me3) and ADNP (unmodified, K767me3) peptides. The averaged fluorescence polarization signal from three independent titration reactions is shown.
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