Bilateral aggressive malignant granulosa cell tumour with essentially different immunophenotypes in primary and metastatic lesions comprising predominantly sarcomatoid and fibrothecomatous patterns – looking for prognostic markers: a case report
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Abstract

We present an unusual case of a young woman with rare bilateral, very aggressive ovarian granulosa cell tumour (GCT), comprised of granulosa, sarcomatoid and fibrothecomatous fields with significantly different immunostaining of primary and metastatic tumours showing stronger WT1, Bcl2, fascin and EGFR expression in metastases. Despite radical surgery and chemotherapy the tumour recurred rapidly and the patient died 16 months later. Such results clearly demonstrate the usefulness of immunostaining for the above markers as prognostic/predictive factors and the need for careful assessment of the immunoprofile of both primary and metastatic tumours, which can be useful for therapy and follow-up planning in GCT cases.
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Introduction

Granulosa cell tumours (GCTs) are rare tumours, accounting for 2-5% of primary ovarian tumours, that develop unilaterally (> 95% of cases) in peri- and postmenopausal women. The tumour behaviour is difficult to predict. Little information about definitive prognostic markers, except for the stage, has been reported [1]. These tumours have malignant potential, although metastasis or recurrence usually occurs late, as much as 20-30 years following the primary diagnosis [2].

Here we report an unusual case of a young woman who developed bilateral ovarian GCTs with a very aggressive course, rapid recurrence, and a short time of survival. We examined the immunostaining pattern of a broad range of markers, trying to identify indicators of this phenotype of GCT.
Case report

A 35-year-old nulliparous woman was admitted to the Department of Gynaecological Oncology, Oncology Centre, Bydgoszcz, Poland, for a relaparotomy. Three months earlier, she underwent a laparotomy. During the surgical procedure, an encapsulated tumour 30 cm in diameter, filling the small pelvis, in adhesion with the sigmoid colon and lesser omentum, was found. The tumour was enucleated. The intraoperative pathological examination results were ambiguous and revealed only the presence of atypical cells. Due to young age, nulliparous status and imprecise histopathological diagnosis, the decision concerning possible radical surgery was postponed until an unequivocal diagnosis could be obtained. The postoperative material was consulted at the Maria Skłodowska-Curie Memorial Cancer Centre and Institute of Oncology, Warsaw, Poland and the malignant lesion was diagnosed. Before the second laparotomy, ultrasonography revealed only a solid cystic mass in the left ovary. Tumour markers were normal (AFP 3.11 IU/ml, CA-125 19.51 U/ml and CEA 0.4 U/ml).

At the re-laparotomy, a left ovarian tumour 7 cm in diameter and an 8 cm tumour of the small intestine adherent to the sigmoid and left appendages were discovered. The patient underwent a radical hysterectomy, and tumour masses in the mesentry of the small intestine and in the colon were removed with clear margins. The tumour comprised mainly atypical fibrothecomatosus and sarcomatoid patterns, with a trace of granulosa cell differentiation surrounded by spindle-shaped stromal cells. The mesenteric and colonic tumours comprised sarcomatoid and sarcomatoid/fibrothecomatosus components, respectively. Histochemistry and immunocytochemistry indicated GCT (Figure 1). The tumours comprised more than 60% Ki67-positive cells and numerous cells with bizarre nuclei. The immunophenotypes of the ovarian, mesenteric, and colonic tumours differed (Table I, Figure 2). Immunostaining for CD56, CD99, and calretinin was negative in the sarcomatoid areas, and their expression levels were lower in fields with mixed fibrothecomatosus and sarcomatoid differentiations than in fibrothecomatosus regions of the tumour. Moreover, the metastatic cells showed stronger and more extensive staining for CD117 and CK AE1/3 (both components), and weaker or no staining for calretinin and CK MNF116. Both the ovarian and metastatic tumours were negative for

Figure 1. Histopathological and immunohistochemical features of malignant granulosa cell tumour. The tumour was composed predominantly of sarcomatoid (A) and thecomatous (B-D) cells with vacuolated cytoplasm. A trace of Call-Exner bodies differentiation type was also seen (E). Tumour cells stained positively for calretinin (F), CD56 (G), CD99 (H), and vimentin (I). Immunoreactivity was visualized with DAB, and the sections were counterstained with haematoxylin. Scale bars = 50 μm
p73, p63, p53, CA125, desmin, CD34, E-cadherin, CK5/6, CK20, and CK HMW. Although HER2 immunostaining was negative, chromogenic in situ hybridization revealed an increased number of HER2 gene copies assessed according to a breast cancer evaluation protocol (Zymed Inc.) up to 4.4 and 2.1 copies per bizarre and non-bizarre nuclei, respectively.

Ten days after surgery, positron emission tomography-computed tomography revealed no abnormal fluorodeoxyglucose uptake. The patient received bleomycin, etoposide, and cisplatin combination chemotherapy (3 courses, total dose of bleomycin was 1800 mg (9 administrations), etoposide – 210 mg (7 administrations) and cisplatin – 495 mg (9 administrations)). Systematic follow-up examination was performed every 2 months. Lung X-ray and NMR (nuclear magnetic resonance) imaging at 6 months and abdominal cavity ultrasound examinations 6 and 8 months after the re-laparotomy revealed no atypical findings. The serum levels of tumour markers after the re-laparotomy (examined 6 times) were normal (CA-125 from 12.74 U/ml to 15.72 U/ml; LDH from 221 U/l to 395 U/l, AFP from 3.92 ng/ml to 7.01 ng/ml, HCG from 0 mIU/ml to 0.73 mIU/ml, CEA from 0.8 ng/ml to 11 ng/ml and Ca 15-3 from 11.9 U/ml to 13.3 U/ml). Tumour recurrence ensued after a 14-month asymptomatic course, and a lesion measuring 6 cm × 5.3 cm × 12.0 cm infiltrating the bladder wall was diagnosed with NMR imaging. The patient received palliative radiotherapy (20 Gy in five fractions of 400 cGy; Co-60 radiation/6 MV/15 MV). The patient died 16 months after the re-laparotomy.

**Discussion**

The dynamics of the carcinogenesis process, which affect the biological malignancy of the tumour, are regulated by various genetic and epigenetic factors, including microenvironment and status and condition of the immune system [2, 3]. Tissue changes during the menstrual cycle or pregnancy can reflect epigenetic and microenvironmental hormonal regulation, which can also affect the development and progression of the tumour [2-4]. Epithelial cancers, arising in women mostly within organs related to reproduction (ovary, breast, cervix), seem to be highly sensitive to hormonal changes, particularly in younger patients. The GCTs metastasize and recur only in 20% of patients 10 years after the original diagnosis. However, in our patient the tumour recurred very rapidly, despite radical surgery and chemotherapy. The stage at the time of diagnosis is the most important prognostic factor of GCTs. It is very important to identify new markers that could help to predict aggressive course, rapid recurrence or chemotherapy response. There are only a few immunohistochemical prognostic markers in GCTs [1, 5]. One of our purposes was to determine the immunostaining profile of an ovarian metastatic GCT and indicate potential prognostic markers.

The tumour of our patient showed the expression of sex cord-stromal marker (calretinin) and a very high mitotic index (28/10 HPF) compared with most patients with a GCT (< 5/10 HPF) [2, 5]. Unlike McCluggage et al. [6], who reported on a malignant fibrothecomatous tumour belonging to the same group of sex cord-stromal tumours as GCTs, we observed different immunophenotypes in the primary and metastatic neoplasms. We found very clear expression of WT1, in contrast to Cathro and Stoler [7], who found weak or variable WT1 expression. High expression of WT1 can be related to an unfavourable prognosis in tumour patients [8]. In vitro studies show that cell lines expressing WT1 and Bcl2 are resistant to chemotherapeutic agents [9]. In our patient, WT1-induced upregulation of antiapoptotic Bcl2 protein might have caused chemoresistance of the metastatic sarcomatoid

| Marker | Tumour | Immunostaining (intensity/% of area; localization) |
|--------|--------|--------------------------------------------------|
| EGFR   | Primary | –                                                 |
|        | Mesenteric | –                                               |
|        | Colonic: sarcomatoid field | –                                               |
|        | Colonic: thecomatous field | +/75; C                                       |
| WT1    | Primary | +/10; C                                           |
|        | Mesentery | +/5; C                                           |
|        | Colonic: sarcomatoid field | +/+30; +++/20; C                                  |
|        | Colonic: thecomatous field | –                                               |
| Bcl2   | Primary | +/1; C                                           |
|        | Mesentery | –                                               |
|        | Colonic: sarcomatoid field | +/+20; C                                       |
|        | Colonic: thecomatous field | –                                               |
| ERβ    | Primary | +/70; C, N                                       |
|        | Mesentery | +/35; ++/50; C, N                                  |
|        | Colonic: sarcomatoid field | ++/70; C, N                                    |
|        | Colonic: thecomatous field | ++/100; C, N                                    |
| Fascin | Primary | +++/90; C                                       |
|        | Mesentery | +/45; +++/40; C                                   |
|        | Colonic: sarcomatoid field | +/10; ++/50; +++/30; C                           |
|        | Colonic: thecomatous field | +/50; C                                        |

C – cytoplasmic staining, N – nuclear staining. Staining intensity was scored as follows: negative –, weak +, moderate ++, and strong +++.
cells. Similarly, chemoresistance of fibrothecomatous cells and more aggressive course might have resulted from overexpression of EGFR [10, 11]. Weaker and less abundant expression of fascin within the metastatic tumours might indicate a more favourable prognosis [12], although in our patient, its expression was as strong within the sarcomatoid component as in the primary tumour.

In our patient, the greater expression of EGFR, Bcl-2, and WTI in the metastatic lesions and fascin in the primary tumour seemed to be a predictor of the poor course of GCT and to reflect a more aggressive phenotype, which might indicate early recurrence.

In conclusion, our findings indicate the possible existence of a fundamental difference between...
primary and metastatic cells. These results also indicate the need for more aggressive therapy of GCTs with stronger WT1, Bcl2, fascin, and EGFR expression in the metastases. Immunostaining for the above markers may provide potential predictors of GCT malignancy. We cannot exclude the possibility that metastatic expression of ERβ and EGFR might suggest the usefulness of hormone and anti-EGFR therapy, respectively.
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