ENHANCING MUSIC FEATURES BY KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER FROM USER-ITEM LOG DATA
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ABSTRACT

In this paper, we propose a novel method that exploits music listening log data for general-purpose music feature extraction. Despite the wealth of information available in the log data of user-item interactions, it has been mostly used for collaborative filtering to find similar items or users and was not fully investigated for content-based music applications. We resolve this problem by extending intra-domain knowledge distillation to cross-domain: i.e., by transferring knowledge obtained from the user-item domain to the music content domain. The proposed system first trains the model that estimates log information from the audio contents; then it uses the model to improve other task-specific models. The experiments on various music classification and regression tasks show that the proposed method successfully improves the performances of the task-specific models.

Index Terms—Music feature extraction, user-item log, knowledge distillation, knowledge transfer, neural networks.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recent advances in deep learning have shown to be very successful in many application areas, and music information retrieval (MIR) is not an exception. Remarkable performance gains seen in several MIR-related tasks such as genre classification, mood estimation, automatic music transcription, and music recommendation, to name a few, are mainly due to powerful deep learning models trained on a large amount of data. One of the major drawbacks in this approach is, however, that the performance of the deep learning-based algorithms is highly dependent on the amount of annotated training data.

The process of labeling and verifying music data requires more time and effort than other types of data, such as images. Furthermore, the fact that one music piece can have multiple attributes or labels make the process even more difficult. Some publicly available datasets such as GTZAN contain manually labeled tags; hence the quality of the tags are guaranteed. However, the types of tags, as well as the amount of the data, are limited. The datasets such as million song dataset [2] and MagnaTagATune provide the user-generated label. They are known to provide a wide variety of unique tags; however, they can cause false negative problems since the users create the labels based on the their own criteria, often skipping some crucial information. Hence, the conventional research efforts were limited to use the tags that frequently appear [4,5].

We aim to alleviate the above-mentioned problems of the audio data with the use of user listening log data (user-log). This approach is mainly motivated by the previous music recommendation research which used audio content analysis to estimate missing user-log [6] and the follow-up studies [7,8]. According to Lee et al. [8], the user-log contains abundant useful information; their method based on the low-dimensional song representations derived from the user-log shows similar result compared to the audio-based approaches in the auto-tagging task. Besides, the main advantage of user-log is that unlike music tags, it can be collected without additional human efforts. It is constantly accumulating through the listening behavior of people.

Figure[1] shows our pilot experiment to test whether we can extract different types of information from the user-log data compared to the audio tags. The first model (‘audio tags’) is trained with the genre tags provided in the dataset; the second model (‘user-log’) is trained with the user-log embedding and concatenated to the linear classifier. Even if the second approach does not use the genre information in network training, it successfully predicts the genre and the class-wise performance differs from the case of using audio tags. This suggests joint exploitation of the user-log data with the audio tags to be promising. Nevertheless, the previous approaches failed to simultaneously exploit the user-log data with the audio tags due to the difficulty in the cross-domain data conversion.

We tackle this problem with the training approach inspired by the knowledge transfer. Knowledge transfer in the neural network implies the use of the weight of the learned model for other tasks. It is generally assumed that knowledge transfer models have extensive information because they are trained from large dataset or because the model capacity is large. Depending on the methods to utilize a trained model, it can be classified as transfer methods and distillation methods. The transfer methods directly use the outputs of the learned networks. Some methods exploited their intermediate activation as a feature for different tasks [6,7]; while others used their outputs for the fine-tuning [10]. On the other hand, the distillation methods, often referred to as teacher-student methods, utilize a posterior distribution of the teacher network itself to train other models [11]. Previous distillation-based approaches were proposed to solve within-domain applications [12,13] or semi-supervised tasks [14,15] with the purpose of the network compression.

In this paper, we introduce a novel method that transfers the knowledge from the user-log to music features. To our best knowledge, this is the first approach to use the user-log data in the frame of knowledge transfer. We train a model to predict the user-log information from audio, transfer it to other task-specific models, and compare our approach with the conventional transfer methods for various music-related tasks.
2. PROPOSED METHOD

Figure 2 shows an overview of the proposed method. It is designed to improve the performance of general music-related tasks by utilizing user-log information.

The user-log specifically exists as a user-item matrix indicating whether a particular user has listened to a particular song. Since the raw user-log is sparse and high-dimensional, it is usually converted into a compressed representation through dimension reduction methods such as matrix factorization. This is the typical representation of the song in the collaborative filtering (CF) method in the music recommendation systems, which is referred to as CF embedding.

One of the advantages of using CF embedding vectors is their size; it does not require human labor to generate the ground-truth. Therefore, it is relatively easy to obtain the CF embedding vectors from the large-scale music database compared to the other features that require human labeling. Nevertheless, it has only been used for the music recommendation systems since the method to utilize the CF embedding vectors has not been fully investigated.

In order to successfully utilize the CF embedding vectors, we employ knowledge transfer method. To this end, we first train the model (CF estimator) that estimates the CF embedding vectors from the audio contents using massive user-log data; then we use the CF estimator’s output to regularize the task-specific models which are trained with small-scale datasets. In specific, we constrain the penultimate layers of the task-specific models by using the estimated CF embedding to calculate additional loss function.

3. EXPERIMENTS

3.1. Training CF estimator

3.1.1. Dataset

Music and log data from Melon are used to train the network. The songs are randomly drawn from the Melon’s preview excerpts which have played more than once in May 2018. A single log contains information about who listened to which song. The number of the logs collected is about 4.7M, and when converting it to a binary user-item matrix, there are 5M users and 2.5M songs with non-zero values of.

We selected the middle-30 second, which is similar to previous studies of the preview excerpt and resampled to 16,000 Hz. Mel spectrogram is extracted on GPU using Kapre [20] with the 512 FFT points (32ms) and hop size of 375 (23ms). For training,
The structure of the CF estimator network. The network is constructed using the successive double_conv block and max-pooling layer. Double_conv block has two stacks of batch normalization layer, ReLU, and convolutional layer and SE block with the ratio of 8 after the last convolutional layer. All the convolutional layers have (3 x 3) of filter size and the same number of channels. $F$ means the number of channels.

Adam [21] optimizer with a learning rate of 0.001 was used, and we choose the model which shows the least loss in the validation set. The experiment is implemented in Keras [22] with Tensorflow [23] backend.

### 3.2. Transfer to other tasks

#### 3.2.1. List of tasks

Since the CF embedding vector is a song-level descriptor, it is proper to apply the proposed method to the song-level classification/regression tasks. Six datasets are used in our experiments; five of them are related to music and the other one contains acoustic scene data. The last dataset is used to investigate the applicability of the proposed method even when it is applied for the audio classification task.

- Genre classification tasks on the EmoMusic [23], GTZAN [1], ExtendedBallRoom [25], FMA small [26], and FMA medium datasets.
- Music emotion regression task on the EmoMusic dataset. Two independent models are trained to predict valence and arousal.
- Acoustic scene classification task on DCASE2016 [27] dataset.

#### 3.2.2. Experiment configuration

The purpose of the experiment is to investigate the transition in performance of the task-specific models when the proposed knowledge transfer method is applied. A total of four training methods including the proposed method are used. The baseline method (base) trains the network from scratch. The first transfer method (fix) uses CF estimator as the feature extractor and only the output layer is trained for the regression or classification task. The second transfer method (init) uses weights of the CF estimator to initialize the weights of the task-specific model. In this case, the entire weights of the model have to be trained. The proposed method (kd) only adds distillation loss to base. The loss function is calculated with the activation of the penultimate layer and the output of the CF estimator; the sum of the mean squared error and the cosine proximity is used to calculate the loss function.

Except for GTZAN and BallRoomExtended datasets, the predefined training and test sets are used for evaluation. The two datasets without pre-defined set are evaluated using stratified 10-fold cross-validation. We use the models that have the least task-specific loss in training for evaluation. In a dataset without validation set or where we perform cross-validation, the validation set is selected out of the train set.

### 4. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Experimental results are illustrated in Figure 4. Except the results in Figure 4(b) and (c) which are evaluated through cross-validation, the remaining results are evaluated through single experiment. That is, the results listed include variants of the experiment, and additional iterations are needed to determine statistical significance between methods. However, we can see trends in each method through experimental results performed under various conditions.

In various datasets and experimental conditions, kd shows not always, but mostly high performance. In particular, it always shows similar or higher performance than base, except for the 32 channels of Figure 4(g). For 24 experiments that performed classification tasks based on four model capacities in six datasets, kd shows statistically significant improvement of 2.62 % points over base (Student paired t-test). init also shows high performance in most experiments. However, the tendency of improvement is less stable than kd, as there is a case where the performance is lower than base as shown in Figure 4(f). In fact, for all classification experiments, there is a performance improvement of 2.20 % points over base, which is slightly lower than that of kd. However, there is no statistically significant difference between the two methods. This suggests that the knowledge trained from user-log can be delivered through both the proposed method and the conventional transfer method.

The proposed method has an advantage in that it can be applied irrespective of the network structure. Because the distillation method is mainly used for the network compression, it is assumed that the structure of the task-specific network differs from that of the network which transfers the knowledge. Therefore, the proposed method is likely to be used in various ways in practical applications. Another advantage is that it does not cause severe performance degradation. Even if the case of Figure 4(h), where trained knowledge is not very helpful to the target task, the proposed method improves performance. We assumed that the two loss functions competitively affect
the task-specific model, and the network selectively receives useful information for the task.

CF embedding itself is not a generally well-defined descriptor for all music or audio related tasks. Since the direct use of the estimated CF embedding (fix) always shows the lowest performance, except for the Figure 4(a) and (d). In particular, the result of the acoustic scene classification task on Figure 4(h), which is not a music-related task, shows slightly higher performance than a random guess. Meanwhile, in Figure 4(a), fix shows the best accuracy in three out of four model capacities. Considering EmoMusic’s experimental results show low overall performance, it is assumed that the structure used in the experiment is not suitable for this data set. We thought of the reason as the size of the dataset; EmoMusic is the smallest dataset in the experiment. In this case, CF embedding rather than the direct genre label is better ground-truth for network training. It suggests that estimated CF embedding contains the high-level information related to music and sometimes it is more powerful descriptor than the human labeled ground-truth.

In a series of experiments, there is no noticeable trend between the capacity of the model and the transfer method. We thought that model capacity would not have had a significant impact because regularization modules such as batch normalization and SE blocks are used from base structure. In fact, the results of Figure 4(b) and (c) are similar to state-of-the-art regardless of the capacity of the models. Rather, there appears to be a relationship between learned knowledge and transfer effect. There is a similar trend between fix and init, fix and kd, which is more prominent in the latter. It implies that the type of learned knowledge has a great influence on knowledge transfer, especially the proposed method, but further studies are required to clarify the key variables associated with it.

5. CONCLUSION

In this study, we proposed the knowledge transfer method that uses user-log information for music feature extraction. We made song descriptors from the user-item log and trained the model that aims to predict the song descriptors from the audio contents. Then we tried to apply the learned knowledge to various task-specific networks. According to the experimental results, the proposed distillation method successfully transferred the information of the user-log to the task-specific network as it utilized the information as an additional loss. Our method has advantages in that it can be similarly applied to various music-related tasks as well as it is the first approach that successfully utilized user-log data in conjunction with the music content data.
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