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Effectiveness of a health education intervention on the knowledge, attitude and practices of teachers regarding physical and psychosocial health of adolescents in Amritsar, Punjab
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INTRODUCTION

Adolescents constitute about 22% of the population of India.¹ It is a transition phase from childhood to adulthood. The transition is not only just physical but significant cognitive changes also take place.² Most of the adolescents go through this period with little or no knowledge of the body’s impending physical, physiological and psychological changes.³ As they are ill prepared to deal with these changes, they try to gather information from peers and unauthentic sources. Demands of culture, gender, globalization and poverty push millions of adolescents prematurely into adult roles exposing them to serious risks, which makes them vulnerable to drug abuse, premarital sex, STDs, HIV/AIDS, depression etc. Their level of maturity and social status is no match for these challenges, unless they...
School education has been described as a social vaccine and it can serve as a powerful preventive tool. As a large proportion of young people are in schools, schools provide an effective route for communicating with them. School-based programmes for smoking prevention have been widely developed and evaluated. After parents, it is the teachers who spend most of the time and have maximum opportunity to communicate and educate adolescents.

A lot of programs are going on for girls but not the same for boys. Boys have become the ‘new disadvantaged’ as a result of efforts to eradicate female disadvantage in the education system. Boys have a greater exposure to the external environment than girls. While discussing their problems it was found that male students expressed a preference for male teachers because of the perceived shared experiences, interests and ways of thinking. Boys felt that men have a better comprehension of their play and were better able to relate.7

In our country, especially in Punjab, only a few studies are available about teacher’s knowledge, attitude and practices regarding adolescent health. Thus this study was planned as it attempts to study the impact of health education on the knowledge, attitude and practices of teachers regarding the physical and psychosocial health of adolescents.

**METHODS**

This educational intervention study was carried out in the senior secondary schools of Amritsar and the Department of Community Medicine, Government Medical College, Amritsar. Purposive sampling technique was used. Due permission was taken from the Institutional Ethics Committee before conducting the study. The study was conducted from March 2008 to March 2009. A questionnaire was developed based on the manual “Learning for Life: a guide to family health and life skills education for teachers and students” published by NCERT in collaboration with UNESCO. It was pretested in a pilot study and necessary modifications were done. After obtaining the list of all the 129 senior secondary schools (only co-ed and boys schools) in the district with the due written permission of the District Education Officer, the Principals of these schools were contacted. Principals of 50 schools agreed for the study and gave written permission for the study. A detailed schedule of visits was prepared in consultation with District Education Officer and the Principals of these schools. These schools were visited and written informed consent was taken from the male teachers (teaching class 9th-12th) who agreed to participate in the study (Table 1). No distinction was made regarding the subject they taught during recruitment. All of the 189 male teachers who agreed to participate were then invited in the batches of 20-25 on the prefixed dates to the Department of Community Medicine, GMC, Amritsar for a session on the physical, psychosocial and reproductive health of adolescents of one and half hour duration. 155 teachers finally came for the session. Changes occurring during puberty, nutritional requirements of adolescents, risk factors for drug abuse and its prevention were the main topics discussed during the session based on the standard manual. To assess the retention of the knowledge gained by them, which was also the objective of the study, those 155 teachers were again approached in their respective schools after a period of three months and the same questionnaire was administered. The reproductive health part of the study has already been published. The present study focuses only on the physical and psychosocial health of adolescents.

**Table 1: The teachers were categorised according to this table during data entry.**

| S.no | Topics                                      | Adequate                                                                 | Partial                                    | No knowledge                                 |
|------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| 1.   | Pubertal changes                            | had knowledge about the physical, psychosocial and sexual changes         | had knowledge about any one of these changes | who did not respond to the questions correctly. |
| 2.   | Height and weight gained during adolescence. | Who knew about both gain of weight and height during adolescence          | who responded correctly to one of the questions | who did not respond to the questions correctly. |
| 3.   | Calorie and Protein requirement during adolescence. | who knew about both calorie and protein requirements during adolescence | who responded correctly to one of the questions | who did not respond to the questions correctly. |
| 4.   | Adverse effects of junk food.               | who knew about obesity, heart problems, diabetes, hypertension and problems related to these | who responded correctly with any one of the adverse effects. | who did not respond to the question correctly |
| 5.   | School health programmes                    | who knew about all the components like health education, medical check ups, environmental sanitation and cleanliness. | who responded correctly with any one of the components | who did not respond to the question correctly. |
| 6.   | Drug abuse                                  | who knew about the reasons for drug abuse and common drugs used.          | who responded correctly to one of the questions. | who did not respond to the questions correctly. |
Statistical analysis

Data thus collected was entered into Microsoft Excel and analysed using SPSS version 20 software. Descriptive tables were generated to elaborate the findings while Chi-square test and p-value were applied to find any significant differences between the pre and post intervention levels of knowledge.

RESULTS

The responses of only 155 teachers, who came for the educational session and were followed up, were considered and analysed.

Table 2: Distribution of teachers according to their age, qualification and their social background.

| Distribution                      | Number | %     |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--------|
| According to age (years)          |        |        |
| 21-30                             | 24     | 15.48  |
| 31-40                             | 49     | 31.61  |
| 41-50                             | 42     | 27.10  |
| 51-60                             | 40     | 25.81  |
| Total                             | 155    | 100    |
| According to their qualification  |        |        |
| 12th pass/diploma                 | 13     | 8.39   |
| Graduate                          | 47     | 30.32  |
| Post Graduate                     | 94     | 60.65  |
| Phd                               | 1      | 0.64   |
| Total                             | 155    | 100    |
| According to their social background |       |        |
| Rural                             | 60     | 38.71  |
| Urban                             | 95     | 61.29  |
| Total                             | 155    | 100    |

49 teachers (31.61%) were in the age group of 31-40 yrs, followed by 42 (27.10%) in 41-50 yrs age group and 40 (25.16%) in 51-60 yrs age group. Majority 94 (60.65%) of teachers were post graduates followed by 47 (30.32%) graduates. 95 (61.29%) of the teachers had urban background while 60 (38.71%) had rural back ground (Table 2).

While assessing the knowledge of the teachers about adolescent problems it was found that maximum 74 (47.7%) teachers were aware of the psychosocial problems of adolescents (depression, anxiety, inferiority complex, behavioural problems, problems in interaction with opposite sex, lagging behind in studies etc). Only 41 (26.5%) teachers knew about the sexual problems (masturbation, nightfall, premartial sex and myths related to sexuality) and 37 (23.9%) teachers were aware of the physical problems (under weight, short height, obesity, common ailments and injuries). The number of teachers having knowledge about the sexual and physical problems increased to 66 (42.6%) and 59 (38.1%) respectively after intervention which was found to be statistically significant (Table 3).

Table 3: Distribution of teachers according to the knowledge about problems of adolescents.

| Knowledge about problems of adolescents | Before intervention N (%) | After intervention N (%) | Chi sq, p value |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Psychosocial                           | 74 (47.7)                 | 90 (58.1)                | 3.314, p > 0.05 |
| Sexual                                 | 41 (26.5)                 | 66 (42.6)                | 8.920, p < 0.01 |
| Physical                               | 37 (23.9)                 | 59 (38.1)                | 7.303, p < 0.01 |
Table 4: Distribution of teachers according to the knowledge about different aspects of adolescent health.

| Knowledge about changes during adolescence | Before intervention | After intervention | Chi sq, p value |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Adequate                                   | N (07.1)            | N (%)              |                 |
| Partial                                    | 110 (71)            | 121 (78.1)         |                 |
| No knowledge                               | 34 (21.9)           | 19 (12.3)          |                 |
| Total                                      | 155 (100)           | 155 (100)          |                 |

| Knowledge about height and weight gained during adolescence | Before intervention | After intervention | Chi sq, p value |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Adequate                                                    | 11 (07.1)           | 15 (9.7)           | 5.384, p>0.05   |
| Partial                                                     | 110 (71)            | 121 (78.1)         |                 |
| No knowledge                                                | 34 (21.9)           | 19 (12.3)          |                 |
| Total                                                       | 155 (100)           | 155 (100)          |                 |

| Knowledge about calorie and protein requirements during adolescence | Before intervention | After intervention | Chi sq, p value |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Adequate                                                            | 11 (07.1)           | 15 (9.7)           | 5.384, p>0.05   |
| Partial                                                             | 110 (71)            | 121 (78.1)         |                 |
| No knowledge                                                       | 34 (21.9)           | 19 (12.3)          |                 |
| Total                                                               | 155 (100)           | 155 (100)          |                 |

| Knowledge about adverse effects of junk food                      | Before intervention | After intervention | Chi sq, p value |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Adequate                                                           | 11 (07.1)           | 15 (9.7)           | 5.384, p>0.05   |
| Partial                                                            | 110 (71)            | 121 (78.1)         |                 |
| No knowledge                                                      | 34 (21.9)           | 19 (12.3)          |                 |
| Total                                                              | 155 (100)           | 155 (100)          |                 |

| Knowledge about school health programmes                          | Before intervention | After intervention | Chi sq, p value |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Adequate                                                           | 11 (07.1)           | 15 (9.7)           | 5.384, p>0.05   |
| Partial                                                            | 110 (71)            | 121 (78.1)         |                 |
| No knowledge                                                      | 34 (21.9)           | 19 (12.3)          |                 |
| Total                                                              | 155 (100)           | 155 (100)          |                 |

| Knowledge about drug abuse                                         | Before intervention | After intervention | Chi sq, p value |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Adequate                                                           | 11 (07.1)           | 15 (9.7)           | 5.384, p>0.05   |
| Partial                                                            | 110 (71)            | 121 (78.1)         |                 |
| No knowledge                                                      | 34 (21.9)           | 19 (12.3)          |                 |
| Total                                                              | 155 (100)           | 155 (100)          |                 |

Table 5: Distribution of teachers according to the help they extended to the students.

| Help extended by the teachers to adolescent students | Before intervention | After intervention | Chi sq, p value |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Providing Counselling                                | 56 (36.1)            | 86 (55.5)          | 11.695, p<0.01  |
| Discussing with parents                              | 19 (12.3)            | 29 (18.7)          | 2.465, p<0.05   |
| Advising them to consult Doctor                      | 12 (7.7)             | 25 (16.1)          | 5.187, p<0.05   |

Table 6: Distribution of teachers according to their opinion about factors which help and which adversely affects health of adolescents.

| Factors which help adolescents to cope up better | Before intervention | After intervention | Chi sq, p value |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Regular health check ups, counselling            | 120 (77.4)          | 127 (81.9)         | 0.976, p>0.05   |
| Social support                                   | 101 (65.2)          | 117 (75.5)         | 3.957, p<0.05   |
| Health education                                 | 91 (58.7)           | 104 (67.1)         | 2.336, p>0.05   |
| Sports                                           | 76 (49)             | 90 (58.1)          | 2.542, p>0.05   |
| Good diet                                        | 61 (39.4)           | 83 (53.5)          | 6.277, p<0.05   |
| Yoga/ meditation                                 | 35 (22.6)           | 57 (36.8)          | 7.481, p<0.01   |

Continued.
Most of the teachers were of the opinion that provision of better information from the right sources helps the adolescents to reduce risky behaviours, understand the process of growth better, develop personality and develop a scientific attitude to remove myths (Table 7).

### DISCUSSION

The study group of 155 respondents was largely composed of teachers of urban background mostly in the age group of 31-40 yrs. The baseline knowledge of the teachers in most of the aspects of adolescent health was found to be low. A similar study conducted by Shah P et al (2010) in schools of 3 urban cities of north India on 1500 teachers showed that major gaps exist in health and nutrition-related knowledge and behaviour of urban Indian teachers. It also concluded that private school teachers had significantly better knowledge than government school subjects.6

A significant increase in the knowledge was observed post intervention in most of the above areas. In the present study, more number of teachers were aware of the psychosocial problems of adolescent boys as compared to the physical and sexual problems. This might be because psychosocial problems are usually considered as major one as compared to the physical and sexual problems which are considered as a normal part of the developmental process. It is important to have knowledge about adolescent problems as various studies on school going children and adolescents revealed that the prevalence of psychosocial problems in them is in the range of 20%-33%. In a study done by Ahmed et al (2002-2003) among 390 male adolescents in rural and urban schools of Aligarh, the overall prevalence of psychosocial problems was found to be 17.9%.9

After intervention the number of teachers having adequate knowledge regarding height and weight gained during adolescence and about calorie and protein requirement during adolescence increased. The change was found to be statistically significant. The level of knowledge was more among teachers who had adolescent children as compared to those who did not and this correlation was found to be statistically significant. This might be due to their personal involvement in the well being and development of their own children in adolescent age. The level of knowledge of the calorie and protein requirement was less among teachers with urban background as compared to those with rural background and this co-relation was found to be statistically significant. However only 7.7 % of teachers had adequate knowledge about the harmful effects of junk food which increased to 16.1% after intervention. The change was statistically significant but still the overall knowledge continues to be inadequate. The knowledge of the teachers about nutritional health plays a great role in inculcating healthy dietary habits in the students. A study conducted by the Department of Community Medicine, GMCH, Chandigarh by Puri et al in 2007 on adolescent school children, in which teachers acted as health educators and health messengers, showed that there was increase in the number of subjects bringing tiffin from one third in the pre intervention phase to half in the post intervention phase.10

Only 28 (18.1%) had adequate knowledge about drug abuse which increased to 56 (36.1%) after intervention. Training school teachers to be advocates of drug abuse prevention awareness in school settings emerged as a major subtheme in the study done by Perumbilly and Anderson on the substance abuse prevention in India in 2015. In India, school teachers and religious

---

**Table 7: Distribution of teachers according to their opinion regarding the advantages of equipping adolescents with better information about adolescent issues.**

| Factors adversely affecting adolescent health | Before intervention | After intervention | Chi sq, p value |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Lack of knowledge about adolescent problems | 92 (59.4)           | 105 (67.7)        | 2.353, p>0.05  |
| Exposure to risky behaviour                 | 86 (55.5)           | 100 (64.5)        | 2.634, p>0.05  |
| Junk food                                   | 66 (42.6)           | 86 (55.5)         | 5.163, p>0.05  |
| Internet/TV                                 | 64 (41.3)           | 82 (52.9)         | 4.195, p>0.05  |
| Peer pressure                               | 38 (24.5)           | 61 (39.4)         | 7.851, p>0.01  |
| Lack of health check ups/counselling        | 26 (16.8)           | 34 (21.9)         | 1.323, p>0.05  |

---

**Table 7 (continued):**

| Does providing better information helps them to | Before intervention | After intervention | Chi sq, p value |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Reduce risky behaviours                        | 149 (96.1)          | 153 (98.7)        | 2.186, p>0.05  |
| Understand the process of growth               | 147 (94.8)          | 153 (98.7)        | 6.120, p>0.05  |
| Develop personality                           | 147 (94.8)          | 151 (97.4)        | 2.054, p>0.05  |
| Develop scientific attitude to remove myths   | 145 (93.5)          | 154 (99.4)        | 8.071, p>0.05  |
professionals traditionally have been viewed as critical sources of care and formation. Therefore, if teachers are equipped with accurate health promotion related information, their messages are likely to be perceived as credible and bring about the change in behaviour towards substance abuse.\textsuperscript{11} Significant changes were observed in the practices of teachers regarding extending help to the adolescents in the form of providing counselling, discussing about their problems with the parents and advising them to consult a doctor. Educating parents and teachers to improve the quality of relationship with children to ensure a safe, secure and appropriate environment has helped in reducing psychological disorders and social misbehaviour.\textsuperscript{12}

Lack of knowledge about adolescent problems, exposure to risky behaviour, junk food, internet/TV, peer pressure, lack of health check-ups/counselling were considered as factors adversely affecting adolescent health. Mass media plays an important role in habit picking and decides the lifestyle pattern. Its influence is clearly shown in a study from Chennai done in the age group 11 to 17 yrs reporting that, 90% eat either food or snacks while watching TV, 82% buy food products and snacks based on advertisement, 59% skipped outdoor activities for TV, 42% follows diet and 42% exercise to get the body like their favourite media personality.\textsuperscript{13} Television viewing in childhood and adolescence is associated with overweight, poor fitness, smoking and raised cholesterol in adulthood.\textsuperscript{14}

**CONCLUSION**

In the present study the overall knowledge of the teachers in most of the aspects of the adolescent health was found to be low. After the health education was provided to them, significant favourable changes in the knowledge of the teachers were observed. The need for regular in service training of the teachers is being highlighted in this study. It is also emphasised that the teachers can play a proactive role in adolescent health programmes by imparting health education to the adolescents.
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