Low versus high dose erythropoiesis-stimulating agents in hemodialysis patients with anemia: A randomized clinical trial
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Abstract

The increased risks of death and adverse events with erythropoiesis-stimulating agent (ESA) therapy targeting a higher hemoglobin level are established. It is uncertain whether the adverse effects of ESA therapy are related to dose and are mitigated when a fixed low ESA dose is used. We conducted a multicenter, prospective randomized open-label, blinded-endpoint (PROBE) trial to evaluate fixed low versus high dose ESA therapy on patient outcomes. We intended to recruit 2104 hemodialysis patients >18 years with anemia or receiving ESA treated at dialysis clinics in Italy. The intervention was fixed low (4000 IU epoetin alfa equivalent weekly) or high (18,000 IU epoetin alfa equivalent weekly) dose ESA for 12 months. Primary outcomes were serum transferrin, ferritin, albumin, C-reactive protein and ESA dose. Secondary outcomes were the composite of death or cardiovascular event, all-cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality, myocardial infarction, stroke, cardiovascular hospitalization, and quality of life. Study recruitment was terminated after inclusion of 656 participants with convergence of ESA dose between groups during follow up. Fixed low dose ESA had uncertain effects on serum ferritin (delta of delta (DD) 3.9 ng/ml, 95% CI -85.0 to 92.8), transferrin (9.2 mg/dl, -6.3 to 24.8), transferrin saturation (3.7%, -5.0 to 12.3), serum...
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Low versus high dose ESA trial

Introduction

Erythropoiesis—stimulating agents (ESA) revolutionized anemia management in chronic kidney disease (CKD) after early proof-of-concept studies and randomized trials showed that ESAs increased hemoglobin levels and reduced the need for blood transfusions.[1–4] Observational studies found that lower hemoglobin levels were associated with cardiovascular and mortality outcomes,[5, 6] which prompted widespread anemia correction with ESAs.[7] As the focus of randomized trials changed from anemia management measured by hemoglobin levels to prevention of cardiovascular endpoints, larger randomized trials compared different hemoglobin targets on mortality and vascular events.[8, 9] Unexpectedly, these studies showed that complete anemia correction increased the risks of death[10] and major cardiovascular events.[11] This evidence led to lower recommended hemoglobin levels and re-evaluation of the relative roles of ESA dose and targeted hemoglobin levels on adverse patient outcomes.[12]

Secondary analyses of hemoglobin target trials and observational studies suggested that higher ESA doses needed to achieve anemia correction were associated with higher risks of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular events,[13–15] raising the possibility that the association between a higher hemoglobin target and adverse patient outcomes was mediated through ESA dose and the need for a trial to test the hypothesis. However, the titration of ESA to hemoglobin target has been so universal in both research and clinical practice that no major trial has evaluated whether a fixed low treatment dose can correct anemia while mitigating adverse effects of treatment on mortality or cardiovascular outcomes and maintain quality of life.[11]

We conducted the Clinical Evaluation of the DOSE of erythropoietin (C.E. DOSE) trial to test the hypothesis that, in patients with anemia and end-stage kidney disease treated with dialysis, fixed low dose ESA would lower rates of mortality and cardiovascular complications but maintain health-related quality of life compared with a fixed high dose strategy.[16]

Methods

This study was a multicenter, Prospective Randomized Open—label, Blinded-Endpoint (PROBE)[17], parallel group, controlled trial compared fixed low dose with fixed high dose ESA treatment. The protocol has been published and is provided as S1 File (English) and S2 File (Italian).[16] The study was designed in 2005 and received funding in 2006.[18] The study was approved by ethics committees (S1 Appendix) and coordinated by the Fondazione Mario Negri Sud with funding from the Italian Medicines Agency (Agenzia Italiana del Farmaco [AIFA]: grant number FARM6X822T) (S2 Appendix). The trial was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov, number NCT00827021 (https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00827021). The trial has been reported according to the 2010 CONSORT checklist of information to
include when reporting a randomized trial (S3 Appendix). All participants gave written informed consent before involvement in the study.

Study population

The 41 study sites included 38 public hospitals and three private outpatient hemodialysis clinics in Italy. Eligible patients were adults aged ≥18 years, long—term outpatient based hemodialysis, anemia without ESA treatment (hemoglobin below 10 g/dl) or were already receiving treatment with an ESA (and had a hemoglobin level below 13 g/dl). Patients who had a known contraindication to ESA treatment were ineligible. Participant recruitment occurred between 13 July 2009 and 19 July 2013.

Intervention

Participants were randomized to fixed low dose ESA (epoetin alfa or beta 4,000 IU or darbepoetin alfa 20 mcg weekly) or fixed high dose ESA (epoetin alfa or beta 18,000 IU or darbepoetin alfa 90 mcg weekly) without a washout period. Although this was a fixed dose trial, a hemoglobin value outside the pre—specified safety range of 9.5–12.5 g/dl prompted the treating physician to increase or decrease the study drug dose by 25% by protocol. After dose adjustment, a fixed treatment dose was again maintained. The dosing algorithm for ESA used by clinicians is described the S4 Appendix.

Randomization and blinding

Patients were randomly assigned to low dose or high dose ESA with randomization stratified by dialysis clinic and in randomly permuted blocks of six. The random sequence for the allocation program was created in FileMaker Pro 10 and allocation was concealed to researchers by using remote, central assignment of treatment via telephone contact with masked researchers at the central trial coordination unit. Participants and investigators were not masked to group allocation, but assessors collecting and evaluating data for treatment outcomes were unaware of treatment assignment. Participants received non—randomized co—interventions according to the usual clinical practice within the study center to achieve and maintain clinical quality performance measures (S5 Appendix).

Follow-up

Routine data were collected by study researchers at the clinical sites using a paper-based case report form derived from routinely-collected dialysis care records, laboratory systems, or from information provided by the participants to their dialysis physician. Measurements of hemoglobin levels and vital signs were performed at visits at 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 6, and 12 months after randomization. Other laboratory assessments were performed at baseline, and 6 and 12 months. Health-related quality of life was self—reported by participants using the Kidney Disease Quality of Life Short Form (KDQOL-SF™) version 1.3 at 0, 6, and 12 months. Information about deaths was collected from death certificates generated by regional health authorities. Adverse events were assigned as serious adverse events by the treating clinician at the investigation site and field—based researchers who were unaware of treatment allocation assigned the adverse events to specific Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) categories.
Outcomes

Primary outcomes were serum transferrin, ferritin, albumin, C-reactive protein and ESA dose. Secondary outcomes were the composite of death or cardiovascular event, all-cause mortality, cardiovascular death, dialysis vascular access thrombosis, hypertension, seizures, blood transfusion, or health-related quality of life domains at months 6 and 12. Follow up was completed on 19 July 2014. Definitions of study endpoints are reported in S6 Appendix. An independent end-point adjudication committee including two cardiologists and two nephrologists who were unaware of treatment allocation reviewed all available endpoint documentation (including treatment charts, death certificates and medical records) to provide masked adjudication of mortality and cardiovascular outcome events.

Sample size

We aimed to recruit 2104 adults to detect a risk reduction in the composite end-point of mortality and major cardiovascular event with the experimental intervention (low fixed ESA dose) of 15% (hazard ratio = 0.85) at 4 years with an expected annual incidence of the composite endpoint of 15% based on data from existing trials.[9, 19] We determined that this number of participants would provide the study with a power of 80%, with a two—sided type 1 error of 5% and allowing for a non-adherence rate of 5%. An on-treatment risk of 15% per annum was based on existing randomized trials evaluating erythropoiesis stimulating agents in adults with end-stage kidney disease.[9]

During the trial recruitment period (2009–2014), clinical guidelines were published in 2012 that suggested a more conservative approach to ESA treatment than previously, by starting ESA therapy when then hemoglobin was between 9.0–10.0 g/dl.[12] A warning was issued by the US Food and Drug Administration in 2011 that ESA treatment should not be used to maintain hemoglobin concentrations above 11.0 g/dl.[20] As a result of these new recommendations, slow recruitment of the trial occurred. The trial sponsor changed the primary outcome from the composite of death or major cardiovascular event to biochemical markers of ESA responsiveness including the individual endpoints of ferritin, transferrin, transferrin saturation, serum albumin, and C-reactive protein and mean ESA dose at end of treatment. The sample size was recalculated by the sponsor to include 900 patients followed for 12 months to detect a “small effect size” (standardized mean difference of 0.2, with an alpha = 0.05 and 1-beta = 0.80) of fixed dose treatment on these individual endpoints. The trial recruitment was stopped early at 656 participants by the trial steering committee due to slow recruitment and convergence of ESA dose in the two treatment groups.

Statistical analysis

Summaries of continuous variables were calculated as means (SD) for normally distributed data and as medians with interquartile ranges for skewed data; categorical variables are presented as frequencies (proportions). Survival curves were generated according to the Kaplan—Meier method and were expressed as a cumulative incidence. We compared the two treatment groups using Cox proportional hazards model to estimate the hazard ratio (HR) and 95% CI. For the analysis of quality of life, blood pressure and individual biochemical endpoints, we used multilevel regression models with an unstructured correlation-type matrix to account for repeated data measures, and expressed the difference between groups as a delta of delta (DD; the difference between dose (low versus high) over time (12 months versus baseline) together with the corresponding 95% CI.[21] The risk of serious and any adverse event during the follow-up was estimated through a Poisson regression analysis. Incidence rates (IR) for adverse events were expressed as the number of events per 1000
participant-years of follow up and the risk between groups was expressed as an incidence rate ratio (IRR). All patients were followed until death or the end of the trial, with censoring of data at the time a patient underwent kidney transplantation or was lost to follow up. For the composite outcome, a subgroup analysis by age, gender, diabetes, and presence of cardiovascular disease was performed. All analyses were conducted according to the intention-to-treat principle without imputation.

To determine whether the treatment effect varied according to the clinical response to ESA treatment status, a priori sensitivity analyses were planned with inclusion of only patients who showed ESA resistance. We used a modified definition described in the Handling Erythropoietin Resistance with Oxpentifylline (HERO) Trial, in which we considered patients to have ESA resistance if they had a hemoglobin level \( \leq 12 \) g/deciliter on average during the 3 months before randomization and a weekly ESA dose at randomization \( \geq 200 \) IU/kg body weight/week for epoetin alfa or beta or \( \geq 1 \mu g/kg \) body weight/week for darbepoetin at randomization.[22] All statistical tests were two—tailed. Analyses were performed using SAS Software Release 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

**Results**

**Recruitment and baseline characteristics**

656 patients were recruited (Fig 1). After randomization, 25 patients did not receive allocated treatment (10 in the low dose group and 15 in the high dose group). Of the 10 patients randomized to low dose and who did not receive allocated treatment, one was not prescribed treatment, eight received the incorrect dose, and one was not treated based on a physician’s decision. Of the 15 patients randomized to high dose therapy who did not receive allocated treatment, one patient withdrew consent, seven did not meet inclusion criteria, and seven did not receive the correct treatment dose. The study included a median follow-up duration of 364 participant-years.
days (95% CI 21–365 days). At that time, 619 patients (94.4%) had completed a 12-month follow-up visit or had died. This included 311 (96.0%) in the low dose and 308 (92.8%) in the high dose group. Baseline characteristics were well-balanced between the treatment groups except for a history of dyslipidemia and statin use which were more frequent in the high dose group (Table 1). The raw study data are provided in Tables A-D in S3 File.

Hemoglobin levels
The hemoglobin levels were similar in the low dose and high dose groups at baseline (Table 1) and diverged significantly reaching maximal separation at between 2 and 3 months, before complete convergence of hemoglobin levels at 12 months, in parallel with loss of ESA dose separation (mean hemoglobin at 12 months 11.2 ± 0.7 g/dl in low dose; p = 0.73 versus 11.2 ± 0.8 g/dl in high dose group) (Fig 2).

Outcomes

Primary outcomes. There was initial separation of ESA dose between treatment groups at randomization, with rapid subsequent convergence of ESA dose (Fig 2). ESA dose remained significantly different in the low dose and high dose groups at 12 months (8770 IU, 95% CI 7863–9676 IU in low dose group versus 10,337 IU, 95% CI 9432–11,242 IU in high dose group; p = 0.01). At the end of follow-up, 147 participants were receiving allocated fixed dose ESA (n = 61 in high dose group and n = 86 in low dose group).

The median time from randomization to the first ESA dose adjustment was 61 days (IQR 32–110) in the low dose and 54 days (IQR 31–92) in the high dose group (p = 0.17) (S1 Table). The median time from randomization to stabilization of hemoglobin levels was 34 days (IQR 28–87) in the low dose and 34 days (IQR 28–92) in the high dose group (p = 0.41). The total number of dose changes in the same time period was 28.0 per 100 patient-months (95% CI 22.9–34.3) with low dose (p = 0.89) and 28.5 per 100 patient-months (95% CI 24.3–33.4) with high dose ESA.

There was no difference at end of treatment between low dose and high dose groups for serum ferritin, serum transferrin, or transferrin saturation, albumin, or C-reactive protein levels (Table 2).

Secondary outcomes. Fixed dose ESA had uncertain effects on the composite of outcome of death from any cause, nonfatal myocardial infarction, nonfatal stroke, or hospitalization for cardiovascular cause (HR 0.95, 95% CI 0.66–1.37, p = 0.78; Table 3 and Fig 3) and individual endpoints including death (HR 0.98, 95% CI 0.64–1.52, p = 0.95), fatal myocardial infarction (HR 0.69, 95% CI 0.19–2.44, p = 0.57), nonfatal myocardial infarction (HR 0.52, 95% CI 0.18–1.52, p = 0.23), hospital admission from cardiovascular causes (HR 0.93, 95% CI 0.50–1.72, p = 0.80), dialysis vascular access thrombosis (HR 0.80, 95% CI 0.41–1.54, p = 0.50), or hypertension (HR 1.85, 95% CI 0.62–5.56, p = 0.28) (Fig 3). Risk estimates for fatal stroke, nonfatal stroke, and hospital admission for seizures were not available due to zero events in one or both treatment groups.

Overall, 126 serious adverse events occurred in patients allocated to low dose ESA whereas 146 serious adverse events were experienced by patients allocated to high dose ESA (incidence rate ratio 0.88, 95% CI 0.69–1.12, p = 0.31) (Table 4). There were 227 adverse events in the low dose group and 232 in the high dose group (incidence rate ratio 1.00, 95% CI 0.83–1.20, p = 0.99). The most frequent serious adverse events related to infections and infestations, cardiac disorders, and vascular disorders (S2 and S3 Tables).

Overall, 358 (54.5%) patients completed the health-related quality of life questionnaire at baseline (Table 5). A difference of 5 points or more in a domain was considered to indicate a
Table 1. Baseline characteristics.

| Variable                                      | Low dose group (n = 324) | High dose group (n = 332) |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Age (years)                                  | 65.2 ± 15.2              | 66.6 ± 12.9               |
| Sex                                           |                          |                           |
| Female                                        | 117 (36.1)               | 135 (40.7)                |
| Male                                          | 207 (63.9)               | 197 (59.3)                |
| Ethnic origin                                 |                          |                           |
| White                                         | 304 (93.8)               | 314 (94.6)                |
| Black                                         | 2 (0.6)                  | 2 (0.6)                   |
| Other                                         | 2 (0.6)                  | 2 (0.6)                   |
| Primary cause of end-stage kidney disease     |                          |                           |
| Primary glomerulonephritis                    | 54 (16.7)                | 58 (16.7)                 |
| Hypertension/diabetes/vascular disease        | 133 (41.0)               | 147 (44.3)                |
| Congenital including cystic disease           | 25 (7.7)                 | 23 (6.9)                  |
| Interstitial nephritis                        | 5 (1.5)                  | 6 (1.8)                   |
| Pyelonephritis                                | 16 (4.9)                 | 19 (5.7)                  |
| Hereditary disorder                           | 5 (1.5)                  | 5 (1.5)                   |
| Other                                         | 17 (5.2)                 | 10 (3.0)                  |
| Coexisting conditions                         |                          |                           |
| Hypertension                                  | 237 (73.1)               | 244 (73.5)                |
| Dyslipidemia                                  | 78 (24.1)                | 109 (32.8)                |
| Diabetes                                      | 70 (21.1)                | 90 (27.1)                 |
| Chronic lung disease                          | 45 (13.9)                | 41 (12.3)                 |
| Ischemic heart disease                        | 60 (18.5)                | 80 (24.1)                 |
| Transient ischemic attack                     | 13 (4.0)                 | 16 (4.8)                  |
| Heart failure                                 | 27 (8.3)                 | 25 (7.5)                  |
| Cardiac arrhythmia                            | 45 (13.9)                | 41 (12.3)                 |
| Seizures                                      | 3 (0.9)                  | 4 (1.2)                   |
| Thromboembolic event                          | 14 (4.3)                 | 19 (5.7)                  |
| Wait-listing for kidney transplantation       | 39 (12.0)                | 30 (9.0)                  |
| Previous kidney transplantation               | 35 (10.5)                | 36 (10.8)                 |
| Smoking status                                |                          |                           |
| Current                                       | 31 (9.6)                 | 38 (11.4)                 |
| Former                                        | 81 (25.0)                | 79 (23.8)                 |
| Never smoked                                  | 195 (60.2)               | 199 (59.9)                |
| Medications                                   |                          |                           |
| Antihypertensive                              |                          |                           |
| Diuretic                                      | 29 (9.0)                 | 29 (8.7)                  |
| Beta blocker                                  | 83 (25.6)                | 84 (25.3)                 |
| Calcium channel blocker                       | 96 (29.6)                | 83 (25.0)                 |
| Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor       | 50 (15.4)                | 39 (11.8)                 |
| Angiotensin II receptor blocker               | 45 (13.9)                | 36 (10.8)                 |
| Aspirin                                       | 129 (39.8)               | 126 (38.0)                |
| Vitamin D compound                            | 190 (58.6)               | 196 (59.0)                |
| Phosphate-binding agent                       | 250 (77.2)               | 251 (75.6)                |
| Calcimimetic agent                            | 57 (17.6)                | 58 (17.5)                 |
| Statin                                        | 77 (23.8)                | 109 (32.8)                |
| Iron therapy                                  | 184 (56.8)               | 191 (57.5)                |

(Continued)
minimally-important clinical difference. [23] During treatment, there was a higher domain score favoring low dose therapy for physical functioning (7.0 points, 95% CI 0.6 to 13.4, \( p = 0.04 \)), role limitations (emotional) (13.6 points, 95% CI 0.5 to 26.7, \( p = 0.04 \)), and physical composite score (2.4 points, 95% CI 0.1 to 4.7, \( p = 0.04 \)).

Participants assigned to low dose therapy experienced a higher risk of red cell blood transfusion (HR \( 2.44, 1.23–4.76, p = 0.007; \) Table 3). The mean (SD) hemoglobin at the time of first blood transfusion was 7.68 (0.85) g/dl in the low dose group and 7.95 (0.74) g/dl in the high dose group (\( p = 0.10 \)).

There was no evidence of differences in pre-dialysis systolic or diastolic blood pressure between treatment groups (S4 Table).

In subgroup analyses for the composite endpoint of death from any cause, nonfatal myocardial infarction, nonfatal stroke, or hospitalization for cardiovascular cause, no differential effects based on participant age, gender, history of diabetes, or pre—existing ischemic heart disease were observed (S5 Table). When analyses for the composite endpoint were restricted to patients with ESA resistance at randomization (\( n = 100 \)), there was no difference in the risk of the primary endpoint comparing low dose with high dose ESA therapy (HR \( 0.93, 95\% \) CI \( 0.43–2.00, p = 0.84 \)).

Table 1. (Continued)

| Variable                                      | Low dose group (\( n = 324 \)) | High dose group (\( n = 332 \)) |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Erythropoiesis-stimulating agent              |                                |                                 |
| Weekly erythropoietin-stimulating agent dose   |                                |                                 |
| Epoetin alfa or beta (international units)   | 8000 (5000–15,000)             | 9000 (6000–16,000)              |
| Darbepoetin alfa (\( \mu \)g)                | 40 (25–60)                     | 30 (20–60)                      |
| Clinical characteristics                      |                                |                                 |
| Weight (kg)                                   | 68.3 (14.3)                    | 69.3 (16.5)                     |
| Blood pressure (mm Hg)                        |                                |                                 |
| Systolic                                      | 134.9 (25.2)                   | 134.2 (22.6)                    |
| Diastolic                                     | 71.1 (14.0)                    | 70.5 (13.9)                     |

Results of blood tests

| Variable                                      | Low dose group (\( n = 324 \)) | High dose group (\( n = 332 \)) |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Hemoglobin (g per liter)                      | 11.0 (1.0)                     | 11.0 (1.0)                      |
| Hematocrit (%)                                | 34.4 (3.8)                     | 34.4 (3.7)                      |
| Albumin (g per deciliter)                     | 3.71 (0.44)                    | 3.70 (0.47)                     |
| Phosphorus (mg per deciliter)                 | 4.66 (1.68)                    | 4.80 (1.56)                     |
| Serum transferrin (mg per deciliter)          | 189 (159–220)                  | 187 (154–214)                   |
| Transferrin saturation (%)                    | 23.8 (17.0–32.7)               | 23.0 (17.0–31.9)                |
| Ferritin (ng per milliliter)                  | 322 (408–522)                  | 300 (376–477)                   |
| Intact parathyroid hormone** (picograms per milliliter) | 211 (71–339)   | 187 (78–334)                    |
| C-reactive protein (mg per liter)             | 0.9 (0.3–4.1)                  | 0.9 (0.4–4.0)                   |

Dialysis characteristics

| Variable                                      | Low dose group (\( n = 324 \)) | High dose group (\( n = 332 \)) |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Time treated with dialysis (months)           | 47 (15–80)                     | 51 (11–83)                      |
| Duration per dialysis treatment (minutes)     | 232 (23.5)                     | 232 (21.0)                      |
| Blood flow (milliliters/minute)               | 298 (33.5)                     | 299 (34.3)                      |
| \( Kt/V \) urea                                | 1.40 (0.35)                    | 1.43 (0.38)                     |

Data are mean (SD) or median (IQR), or frequency (percentage).

**Data were collected as pmol/l and converted to pg/ml.

\( doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172735.t001 \)
The primary objective of the C.E. DOSE trial was initially to determine whether treatment of anemia with fixed low dose ESA to treat anemia could mitigate the risk of death and major adverse cardiovascular events.

**Discussion**

The primary objective of the C.E. DOSE trial was initially to determine whether treatment of anemia with fixed low dose ESA to treat anemia could mitigate the risk of death and major adverse cardiovascular events.
cardiovascular events among people with end-stage kidney disease while maintaining health-related quality of life compared with fixed high dose treatment. Findings from this study showed that a strategy to prescribe fixed low dose ESA in patients treated with dialysis was not feasible within a trial setting and that fixed dose therapy had uncertain effects on serum iron and inflammation markers. The study was not able to address whether fixed dose therapy had effects on mortality, cardiovascular events, or health-related quality of life.

A fixed low dose approach to ESA therapy has been postulated to improve hemoglobin levels (and potentially patient quality of life) while avoiding the harms associated with high ESA doses required to achieve complete anemia correction.\[25\] The results of C.E. DOSE showing

| Table 2. Primary endpoints. |
|-----------------------------|
| **Hematin c** | **Baseline** | **12 months** | **Difference between high dose and low dose over time (12 months versus baseline; 95% CI)** | p value |
|                | Low dose | High dose | Low dose | High dose | p value |
| Serum ferritin, ng/ml | 464.5 (28.0) | 426.9 (27.6) | 474.9 (31.6) | 441.2 (32.2) | 3.9 (-85.0 to 92.8) | 0.93 |
| Serum transferrin, mg/dl | 189.9 (4.0) | 187.5 (3.9) | 186.4 (4.7) | 193.2 (4.8) | 9.2 (-6.3 to 24.8) | 0.24 |
| Transferrin saturation, % | 29.4 (2.2) | 29.6 (2.2) | 28.1 (2.4) | 32.0 (2.4) | 3.7 (-5.0 to 12.3) | 0.41 |
| Serum albumin, g/dl | 3.8 (0.8) | 3.7 (0.6) | 3.8 (0.5) | 3.7 (0.6) | -0.03 (-0.2 to 0.1) | 0.65 |
| C-reactive protein, mg/l | 5.3 (13.2) | 3.8 (8.0) | 6.1 (16.0) | 5.2 (15.3) | -0.6 (-3.3 to 2.1) | 0.69 |

a Data are unadjusted means (and standard error)
b Data are unadjusted means (difference between low dose and high dose treatment from baseline to 12 months; delta of delta) and 95% confidence interval The p value indicates the difference between low dose and dose treatment over time (delta of delta [DD]).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172735.t002

cardiovascular events among people with end-stage kidney disease while maintaining health-related quality of life compared with fixed high dose treatment. Findings from this study showed that a strategy to prescribe fixed low dose ESA in patients treated with dialysis was not feasible within a trial setting and that fixed dose therapy had uncertain effects on serum iron and inflammation markers. The study was not able to address whether fixed dose therapy had effects on mortality, cardiovascular events, or health-related quality of life.

A fixed low dose approach to ESA therapy has been postulated to improve hemoglobin levels (and potentially patient quality of life) while avoiding the harms associated with high ESA doses required to achieve complete anemia correction.\[25\] The results of C.E. DOSE showing

| Table 3. Secondary endpoints. |
|-----------------------------|
| **Outcome** | **Low dose group** | **High dose group** | **Hazard ratio (95% CI)** | p value |
|                | (n = 324) | (n = 332) |                  |          |
| Composite of death from any cause, nonfatal myocardial infarction, nonfatal stroke, or hospitalization for cardiovascular cause* | 54 (17%) | 60 (18%) | 0.95 (0.66–1.37) | 0.78 |
| Death from any cause | 40 (12%) | 43 (13%) | 0.98 (0.64–1.52) | 0.95 |
| Fatal myocardial infarction | 4 (1%) | 6 (2%) | 0.69 (0.19–2.33) | 0.57 |
| Nonfatal myocardial infarction | 5 (2%) | 10 (3%) | 0.52 (0.18–1.52) | 0.23 |
| Fatal stroke | 2 (1%) | 0 (0%) | Not estimable | -- |
| Nonfatal stroke | 0 | 0 | Not estimable | -- |
| Hospital admission from cardiovascular causes | 19 (6%) | 21 (6%) | 0.93 (0.50–1.72) | 0.80 |
| Dialysis vascular access thrombosis | 16 (5%) | 20 (6%) | 0.80 (0.41–1.54) | 0.50 |
| Hospital admission for seizures | 0 | 0 | Not estimable | -- |
| Hypertension | 9 (3%) | 5 (2%) | 1.85 (0.62–5.56) | 0.28 |
| Red blood cell transfusion | 30 (9%) | 15 (5%) | 2.44 (1.23–4.76) | 0.007 |

Data were expressed as number and percent.

* Participants may have had multiple cardiovascular events during follow-up. The composite endpoint reflects only the first occurrence of any of the individual components of the endpoint.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172735.t003
A  Time to composite endpoint (mortality or major cardiovascular event)

No. at risk

|          | Time after randomization (months) |
|----------|----------------------------------|
| Low dose | 324 297 283 265 254 250 243      |
| High dose| 332 296 279 261 251 246 229      |

HR 0.95, 95% CI 0.66–1.37; p=0.78

B  Time to death

No. at risk

|          | Time after randomization (months) |
|----------|----------------------------------|
| Low dose | 324 301 292 279 269 262 254      |
| High dose| 332 305 293 277 267 262 244      |

HR 0.98, 95% CI 0.64–1.52; p=0.95

Fig 3. Effects of low dose versus high dose ESA on composite endpoint of mortality and major cardiovascular event) and all-cause mortality at 12 months. HR = hazard ratio.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172735.g003
no evidence of difference between low and high fixed dose ESA strategies on cardiovascular endpoints are congruent with the TREAT trial, a large-scale randomized trial comparing darbepoetin alfa treatment aiming for a hemoglobin target of approximately 13 g/dl versus placebo with rescue darbepoetin alfa therapy if the hemoglobin level fell below 9 g/dl in 4038 patients with type 2 diabetes and CKD. In that study, there was no significant difference in the risk of a composite of death or cardiovascular events in the group assigned to darbepoetin alfa and no clinical improvement in any quality of life domain, although there were modest improvements in patient-reported fatigue and an absolute reduction in the proportion of patients receiving red cell blood transfusions during 29.1 months of follow up. Taken together, existing trials and the C.E. DOSE study indicate that for many patients with CKD, higher hemoglobin targets reduce life expectancy while it is uncertain whether low fixed ESA dose strategies can mitigate risks of death or cardiovascular events due to the difficulties in sustaining high fixed dose therapy within a trial setting. The benefits of higher hemoglobin targets or

Table 4. Adverse events and serious adverse events.

| Outcome                                      | Low dose group (N = 324) | High dose group (N = 332) |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Adverse events                               |                         |                          |
| Any adverse event                            | 227 (58)                | 232 (58)                 |
| Most common (≥2 per 1000 patient-months of follow-up) in high dose arm |                         |                          |
| Infections and infestations                  | 53 (14)                 | 47 (12)                  |
| Cardiac disorders                            | 22 (6)                  | 21 (5)                   |
| Vascular disorders                           | 24 (6)                  | 14 (4)                   |
| Gastrointestinal disorders                   | 22 (6)                  | 11 (3)                   |
| Injury, poisoning and procedural complications| 18 (5)                  | 13 (3)                   |
| Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders| 10 (3)                  | 13 (3)                   |
| Nervous system disorders                     | 13 (3)                  | 8 (2)                    |
| Neoplasms (benign, malignant, unspecified)    | 9 (2)                   | 9 (2)                    |
| Surgical and medical procedures              | 6 (2)                   | 12 (3)                   |
| Metabolism and nutritional disorders         | 8 (2)                   | 9 (2)                    |
| Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders       | 3 (1)                   | 7 (2)                    |
| Blood and lymphatic system disorders         | 1 (0.3)                 | 7 (2)                    |
| Serious adverse events                       |                         |                          |
| Any serious adverse event                    | 126 (3)                 | 146 (4)                  |
| Most common (≥1 per 1000 patient-months of follow-up) in high dose arm |                         |                          |
| Infections and infestations                  | 33 (9)                  | 25 (6)                   |
| Cardiac disorders                            | 22 (6)                  | 18 (5)                   |
| Vascular disorders                           | 13 (3)                  | 7 (2)                    |
| Surgical and medical procedures              | 8 (2)                   | 9 (2)                    |
| Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders| 9 (2)                  | 7 (2)                    |
| Neoplasms (benign, malignant, unspecified)    | 6 (1)                   | 5 (1)                    |
| Gastrointestinal disorders                   | 7 (2)                   | 4 (1)                    |
| Injury, poisoning and procedural complications| 7 (2)                   | 3 (1)                    |
| Metabolism and nutritional disorders         | 7 (2)                   | 3 (1)                    |

Adverse events are reported as number of events (incidence rate per 1000 per 1000 patient-months of follow-up). Adverse events organized by System Organ Class (MedDRA Terminology).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172735.t004
fixed high ESA dose therapy appear limited to the avoidance of blood transfusions. There is no evidence from the C.E. DOSE study and previous trials that a higher hemoglobin level or fixed ESA dose clearly improves quality of life, [27] and this indication alone may not be an appropriate reason to commence or continue ESA therapy targeting higher hemoglobin levels.

The C.E. DOSE study highlights the considerable challenge of recruiting participants to a trial evaluating fixed dose ESA in an era of changing practice patterns, increasing restraint in recommended hemoglobin targets, and US Food and Drug Administration cautions about ESA therapy. [20] As the C.E. DOSE trial investigators secured funding in 2006, [18] evidence of increased all-cause mortality with higher hemoglobin treatment targets was yet to emerge [10] and all existing trials of ESAs evaluated hemoglobin targets, rather than different dose approaches. [11] Secondary analyses of existing trials subsequently showed an association

### Table 5. Kidney Disease Quality of Life Short Form (KDQOL SF-36) domains.

| SF-36 domain                  | Baseline Low dose group* | Baseline High dose group* | 12 months Low dose group* | 12 months High dose group* | Difference between low dose and high dose group over time (12 months versus baseline; delta of delta (DD)) (95% CI) [24]† | p value |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Physical functioning          | 51.0 (2.4)               | 52.0 (2.2)                | 52.3 (2.8)                | 46.4 (2.8)                | 7.0 (0.6 to 13.4)                                                                                 | 0.03    |
| Burden of kidney disease      | 34.6 (1.8)               | 36.0 (1.8)                | 38.6 (2.3)                | 37.4 (2.2)                | 2.6 (-3.5 to 8.7)                                                                                 | 0.40    |
| Quality of social interaction | 71.3 (1.6)               | 71.1 (1.6)                | 70.1 (2.1)                | 73.3 (2.0)                | -3.5 (-9.3 to 2.3)                                                                                | 0.24    |
| Cognitive function            | 76.1 (1.6)               | 73.9 (1.6)                | 74.9 (2.1)                | 74.8 (2.0)                | -2.2 (-8.0 to 3.6)                                                                                | 0.46    |
| Symptoms                      | 75.5 (1.2)               | 77.7 (1.2)                | 81.8 (1.6)                | 80.7 (1.5)                | 3.3 (-1.2 to 7.8)                                                                                 | 0.15    |
| Effects of kidney disease     | 67.0 (1.6)               | 65.2 (1.6)                | 68.4 (2.1)                | 67.8 (2.0)                | -1.3 (-6.9 to 4.3)                                                                                | 0.66    |
| Sleep                         | 60.1 (1.6)               | 60.6 (1.6)                | 62.0 (2.1)                | 63.6 (2.0)                | -1.0 (-6.8 to 4.8)                                                                                | 0.73    |
| Social support                | 69.2 (2.1)               | 71.0 (2.1)                | 63.3 (2.9)                | 67.3 (2.8)                | -2.1 (-11.0 to 6.8)                                                                               | 0.64    |
| Work status                   | 23.5 (2.7)               | 23.7 (2.7)                | 30.7 (3.4)                | 26.7 (3.3)                | 4.2 (-4.9 to 13.3)                                                                                | 0.36    |
| Dialysis staff encouragement  | 87.4 (1.3)               | 87.7 (1.3)                | 90.8 (1.6)                | 87.7 (1.6)                | 3.5 (-1.0 to 8.0)                                                                                 | 0.13    |
| Overall health                | 56.1 (1.7)               | 56.3 (1.7)                | 59.3 (2.1)                | 56.3 (2.1)                | 3.2 (-2.6 to 9.0)                                                                                 | 0.29    |
| Patient satisfaction          | 74.8 (1.5)               | 75.6 (1.5)                | 73.7 (1.9)                | 74.1 (1.9)                | 0.5 (-4.8 to 5.8)                                                                                 | 0.86    |
| Role limitations, physical    | 37.0 (3.2)               | 37.7 (3.2)                | 48.8 (4.3)                | 41.4 (4.2)                | 8.4 (-4.4 to 21.2)                                                                                | 0.20    |
| Pain                          | 60.7 (2.1)               | 61.7 (2.1)                | 67.5 (2.7)                | 63.2 (2.7)                | 5.2 (-2.5 to 12.9)                                                                                | 0.18    |
| General health                | 37.5 (1.5)               | 39.1 (1.5)                | 38.4 (2.0)                | 38.6 (1.9)                | 1.4 (-3.9 to 6.7)                                                                                 | 0.61    |
| Emotional well-being          | 62.8 (1.6)               | 62.0 (1.6)                | 62.1 (2.0)                | 62.9 (2.0)                | -1.6 (-7.0 to 3.8)                                                                                | 0.56    |
| Role limitations, emotional   | 49.0 (3.4)               | 49.3 (3.4)                | 63.7 (4.5)                | 50.4 (3.4)                | 13.6 (0.5 to 26.7)                                                                                | 0.04    |
| Social function               | 63.9 (1.9)               | 64.0 (1.9)                | 62.5 (2.5)                | 62.9 (2.4)                | -0.3 (-6.9 to 6.3)                                                                                | 0.94    |
| Energy/fatigue                | 47.3 (1.7)               | 47.4 (1.7)                | 47.7 (2.1)                | 48.3 (2.1)                | -0.5 (-6.3 to 5.3)                                                                                | 0.87    |
| Physical composite            | 35.9 (0.8)               | 36.6 (0.8)                | 38.2 (1.0)                | 36.5 (1.0)                | 2.4 (0.1 to 4.7)                                                                                  | 0.04    |
| Mental composite              | 44.5 (0.8)               | 44.2 (0.8)                | 45.1 (1.1)                | 45.2 (1.1)                | -0.4 (-3.3 to 2.5)                                                                                | 0.78    |

*Data are estimated means (standard error of mean).
†Data are unadjusted means (delta of delta indicating difference between groups from baseline to 12 months) and 95% CI.

A lower score is indicative of a lower quality of life. For example, a lower pain score is indicative of a higher level of self-reported pain. Each domain has a maximum score of 100; a difference of 5 points or more in a domain score may indicate a minimally-important clinical difference. A positive delta of delta indicates a higher quality of life score with fixed low dose therapy. Data are reported in the patients who provided data for all quality of life outcomes at baseline (n = 179 in each group). Data were not reported for sexual function domain as there were only 17 patients in the high dose group and 22 patients in the low dose group who provided scores for this outcome. The p value indicates the difference between low dose and high dose treatment over time.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172735.t005
between high doses of ESA therapy and increased risks of death, myocardial infarction, and heart failure,[13] suggesting the need to examine the effectiveness and safety of ESA fixed dose strategies rather than hemoglobin targets in ESA trials. During the recruitment phase of C.E. DOSE, the TREAT trial reported showing no advantage for ESA treatment to a higher hemoglobin target versus placebo (with rescue darbepoetin alfa therapy),[26] and the cumulative evidence[10, 11] resulted in recommendations in 2011 from the US Food and Drug Administration for more conservative ESA dosing, warning that no trial had identified a safe hemoglobin target level, ESA dose, or dosing strategy.[20] Clinical guidelines in 2012 similarly became more conservative than previous, suggesting ESA therapy was used to avoid hemoglobin levels below 9.0 g/deliter.[12] The practice change from near-full anemia correction to ESA therapy administered by weighing the balance of harms (mortality and cardiovascular events) with expected benefits (quality of life and avoidance of transfusions) for individual patients during the conduct of C.E. DOSE resulted in lower levels of clinician investigator equipoise than initially expected, slow recruitment, and lower ESA doses given than specified in the trial protocol, leading to loss of ESA dose separation between the two treatment groups.

Although there was no statistical difference between treatment doses on mortality and cardiovascular events, there was an increased risk of blood transfusion among patients assigned to low dose treatment. In the dialysis setting, information from this study and the TREAT trial together might suggest that patients for whom a blood transfusion might be particularly disadvantageous (such as potential recipients of a kidney transplant who wish to minimize allo-sensitization or those with iron overload diseases) might reasonably consider short-term ESA therapy at a fixed dose when the hemoglobin level is below 9 g/dl. Patients might also appropriately consider avoiding ESA therapy even with the potential to minimize risk of blood transfusions due to the uncertain risks of mortality and cardiovascular events and evidence showing increased cardiovascular events including stroke with a higher hemoglobin target.[10, 11]

This trial provides short-term data on the use of a fixed dose ESA strategy in adults with end-stage kidney disease. It is the first and only ESA fixed dose trial to date beyond initial proof of concept studies[28, 29] and was multicenter, including academic and non-academic centers to maximize applicability to a range of clinical settings and practices. However, the trial has limitations that should be considered when assessing the implications for clinical practice. First, the trial was recruited to 31% of the intended target and therefore had inadequate statistical power to provide conclusive data about the effect of fixed low dose ESA therapy on mortality and major cardiovascular events. Beneficial or harmful effects of fixed dose ESA strategies cannot be ruled in or out based on these results. Reduced planned follow up from 4 years to 12 months additionally restricted the power of the study to provide information about the longer-term implications of fixed low dose ESA. In addition, treatment doses in the high and low dose groups started to converge at three months, coinciding with the peak hemoglobin levels observed among patients in the high dose arm. At study end, complete convergence of hemoglobin levels and near merging of ESA dose reduced the separation of the treatment strategies in each arm and accordingly the potential for the trial to discern clinical effects of different fixed ESA doses. It is possible that a trial design with a lower planned dose in both arms (for example, 4000 IU/weekly epoetin alfa or beta (as high dose) versus placebo (as low dose)) might have prevented a loss of dose separation due to smaller increases in hemoglobin levels in the higher treatment arm and greater adherence to a study protocol in the context of more conservative practice for anemia care in the dialysis setting. Another limitation was the lack of masking to treatment dose for investigators that may have impacted on clinical decisions to administer blood transfusions or alter treatment doses. However, there was no statistical difference in the hemoglobin level at which blood transfusions were administered to patients in the high dose compared to low dose ESA groups.
In conclusion, fixed low dose ESA therapy had uncertain effects on serum iron and inflammation markers, all-cause mortality and cardiovascular events and health-related quality of life. Maintaining a fixed ESA dose strategy for 12 months was not feasible in a trial setting.
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