A systematic review identifying common data items in neonatal trials and assessing their completeness in routinely recorded United Kingdom national neonatal data
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Abstract

Background We aimed to test whether a common set of key data items reported across high impact neonatal clinical trials could be identified, and to quantify their completeness in routinely recorded United Kingdom neonatal data held in the National Neonatal Research Database (NNRD). Methods We systematically reviewed neonatal clinical trials published in four high impact medical journals over 10 years (2006-2015) and extracted baseline characteristics, stratification items, and potential confounders used to adjust primary outcomes. Completeness was examined using data held in the NNRD for identified data items, for infants admitted to neonatal units in 2015. The NNRD is a repository of routinely recorded data extracted from neonatal Electronic Patient Records (EPR) of all admissions to National Health Service (NHS) Neonatal Units in England, Wales and Scotland. We defined missing data as an empty field or an implausible value. We reported common data items as frequencies and percentages alongside percentages of completeness. Results We identified 44 studies involving 32,095 infants and 126 data items. Fourteen data items were reported by more than 20% of studies. Gestational age (95%), sex (93%) and birth weight (91%) were the most common baseline data items. The completeness of data in the NNRD was high for these data with greater than 90% completeness found for 9 of the 14 most common items. Conclusion High impact neonatal clinical trials share common data items. In the United Kingdom, these items can be obtained at a high level of completeness from routinely recorded data held in the NNRD. The feasibility and efficiency using routinely recorded EPR data, such as that held in the NNRD, for clinical trials, rather than collecting these items anew, should be examined. Registration PROSPERO registration number CRD42016046138, registered prospectively 17th August 2016
Introduction

High quality randomised controlled trials are considered the gold standard research approach to identify causality or demonstrate treatment efficacy. There are many treatment uncertainties in neonatal practice (1) that would benefit from being subjected to high quality randomised clinical trials (2). However, the high cost of undertaking large and methodologically robust trials (3) means that only a small number are undertaken each year: the median cost of randomised controlled trials was estimated between $43 and $103,254 per participant (4) and publicly funded pragmatic neonatal trials cost £1.5-2 million (6). A key driver of cost in clinical trials is data collection; the mean costs of trial data collection using conventional Case Record Forms have been estimated to be €1,135 per participant (7). More efficient collection, for example using electronic case record forms (7) and routinely available clinical data (8), provide opportunities to reduce costs and facilitate neonatal trials to improve the limited evidence base upon which much of neonatal care currently relies.

Methods to increase the efficiency of clinical trial data collection have been described by organisations such as the Institute of Medicine (9) and the Clinical Trials Transformation Initiative (10); these include targeted collection of common core data items, and extraction of trial data from existing sources, such as Electronic Patient Record (EPR) systems or disease registries; these approaches are most likely to be applicable to pragmatic trials (11). The use of existing “real-world” data sources such as these provides additional advantages: they can provide up-to-date incidence estimates for baseline and outcome event rates to better inform sample size calculations, and the accuracy and completeness of key data items can be estimated in advance from historical data to inform trial feasibility at the planning stage, and address widely held concerns about poor quality of data from existing sources (12). However, because not all data items held
within a routinely recorded database or registry will be relevant to clinical trials, the data items that are “core” (10) for clinical trials in a particular clinical area need to be established. Established approaches exist for the definition of Core Outcome Sets (13), but none for core non-outcome data for clinical trials, for example baseline or background data, and items used in randomisation.

An increasing proportion of neonatal Cochrane reviews are inconclusive because of insufficient high-quality data from randomised trials (2). Neonatal care in the United Kingdom is well placed to develop large, efficient trials that use existing data: all infants admitted for National Health Service (NHS) neonatal care in England, Scotland and Wales have clinical data recorded in a summary EPR system as part of routine clinical care, and predefined data (14) are extracted to form the National Neonatal Research Database (NNRD). The effectiveness and efficiency of using routinely recorded clinical data held in the NNRD for data-enabled neonatal trials, are currently being investigated (15). We hypothesised that a set of common data items have been reported across neonatal trials that impact clinical practice; the aim of this study was to identify common neonatal data items. As there is no established approach for the identification of common baseline data items we undertook a systematic review to identify baseline data items reported in neonatal trials. A secondary aim was to quantify the completeness of these commonly reported items in the NNRD to inform whether this could be used as the sole or principal data source for clinical trials.

Methods

Systematic review

To identify data commonly reported in neonatal trials we conducted a systematic review of neonatal clinical trials published in high impact journals. We developed a protocol with explicitly defined objectives, information to be extracted, and statistical methods. We
prospectively registered the protocol with PROSPERO International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews, registration number CRD42016046138 (https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero), registered on 17th August 2016.

We searched the four most highly cited general medical journals that publish neonatal trials (16) (New England Journal of Medicine, Lancet, British Medical Journal, and Journal of the American Medical Association) over a 10 year period from January 1st 2006 to December 31st 2015, using the PubMed database. The PubMed search strategy is described in supplemental item 1. We extracted randomised clinical trials written in English that tested an intervention delivered to newborn infants in a neonatal unit setting, with no restriction on the disease area or treatment type. Prior to data extraction we changed the inclusion criteria for studies to include trials of infants born at more than 34 gestational weeks, so that the results would be more generalisable to neonatal trials. We did not include trials where an intervention was applied to a pregnant mother and infant outcomes were reported. Two authors (SJ and CG) independently performed the screening of each potentially relevant record and reviewed full text where necessary to assess eligibility. Discrepancies between the authors were resolved through discussion.

Two authors (SJ, CG) independently extracted the following items from included clinical trials: baseline items, items used in stratification or minimisation (randomisation), and items used to adjust primary outcomes. Other study characteristics that we extracted included whether the trial was multi-centre and whether it involved preterm or term infants. Outcome data were not extracted as these are the subject of other parallel work (17). A comprehensive list of reported data items and frequencies was extracted. Items were combined where appropriate, for example administration of different medications was combined into the item “medications”. Preterm studies were defined as studies
involving babies with a gestational age of less than 37 weeks or weighing less than 1,500 grams and term studies as studies on babies born at or above 37 weeks gestation. A formal risk of bias assessment was not conducted as the interest of this study was limited to the data collected, not the interventions or the measure of efficacy.

**Data completeness**

Data completeness in the NNRD was examined for infants born in England, Scotland and Wales during the period January 1\textsuperscript{st} 2015 to December 31\textsuperscript{st} 2015 for the first 7 postnatal days. The NNRD contains over 400 different data per each baby; data held in the NNRD are extracted from individual infants’ EPR data routinely recorded by healthcare professionals as part of clinical care. Details of the Neonatal Dataset are searchable at the following webpage (14) and descriptive data for infants within the NNRD are available here (18). We calculated the completeness in the NNRD of each data item reported by at least 20% of clinical trials included in the systematic review.

We defined incompleteness as an empty field or an implausible value. Where an item identified through the systematic review (for example *birth weight*) directly matched a corresponding NNRD field, the completeness of these items was directly calculated.

Where an item identified in the systematic review mapped to several fields in the NNRD (for example *respiratory support*, identified in the systematic review, maps to several NNRD fields, including respiratory support, mode of ventilation, non-invasive respiratory support, nitric oxide, tracheostomy, surfactant (14)), completeness was determined by at least one value that was not missing or implausible (according to the neonatal data set data dictionary definition) over the multiple possible NNRD fields.

**Results**
**Systematic review**

We identified 161 articles in the literature search. We excluded 117 articles leaving 44 eligible to be included in the review (figure 1). Twenty-nine studies included only preterm babies, 6 only term babies and 9 studies included both terms and preterm babies (table 1). The majority of studies (91%) were multicentre trials and overall included 30,968 participants (table 1).

The median number of baseline data items reported in the 44 included trials was 12. Gestational age, sex and birth weight were collected as baseline items for 42 of 44 studies (table 2). Fourteen data items were reported by at least 20% of studies; 66 baseline data items were reported by 1 study alone (supplementary table 1). No study reported all 14 of the most common data items.

Sixteen stratification items were reported by 35 trials. Neonatal unit identifier (57%) and gestational age (39%) were the most common items used for stratification during randomisation. Two (13%) of these stratification items were reported by more than 20% of trials and 9 (56%) were reported by 1 study only (supplementary tables). Twenty-four items were reported by 33 trials to adjust the primary outcome. Of these, 3 (13%) were reported by more than 20% of all trials and 12 (50%) were reported by 1 study only (supplementary tables). Eight (50%) stratification and 9 (38%) adjustment items were in the top 14 background data items. A full list of all common items can be found in the supplementary tables.

**Data completeness**

In 2015, 96,699 infants were admitted to 180 neonatal units in England, Wales and Scotland. Admitted infants received 472,187 days of neonatal care during the first 7 days following birth (data not shown).
The completeness of common data items in the NNRD are summarised by age groups in table 3. Data completeness in the NNRD is 99.9% for gestational age at birth, 99.9% for sex, 100% for birth weight, 99.7% for multiple birth and 100% for respiratory support on day 1 (table 3). The majority of data items were more than 90% complete, exceptions include maternal ethnicity (70.2%), mode of delivery (81.4%) and Apgar score at 5 minutes (79.1%). Completeness was higher for all data items for preterm (mean completeness 94.4%) compared to term babies (mean completeness 89.2%) (table 3).

Discussion

We have identified a common set of non-outcome data items reported in high impact neonatal trials. We find that 12 of these 14 data items can be obtained from the NNRD with high completeness for most items (table 3). The common data items identified here have previously been validated against independently collected trial data (18) where they were shown to be highly accurate and complete in the NNRD. This supports the assertion that non-outcome data held in the NNRD can be used to support large, efficient neonatal trials. We recognise that the trials included in the systematic review also reported a wide range of additional non-outcome data items that were not included in the common set identified here. In planning future pragmatic neonatal trials, the completeness and accuracy of additional data items critical to the integrity of a planned trial can be evaluated using approaches similar to those applied here. However, the finding that reported data items were variable even between similar trials (supplemental table 2) suggests that some reported data items may not have been critical to trial integrity, and that harmonisation of non-outcome data items may improve the consistency and efficiency of future neonatal trials. The common non-outcome data items we identify here, and their completeness and accuracy (18) in the NNRD, can be used to assess the suitability and feasibility of using the NNRD and other similar routinely recorded data sources for
neonatal trials.

Data completeness of the NNRD has previously been calculated by Battersby et al (18) in relation to a single clinical trial between 2008 and 2015. In this study percentage completeness was very similar to that found in the present study where common data items examined multiple births, gestational age, sex and birth weight, indicating that data completeness within the NNRD for these items is consistent over time. The present study builds upon this work by examining completeness for a wider range of empirically identified non-outcome data items, therefore extending the relevance of these results to a wider range of potential clinical trials. For large neonatal trials in the United Kingdom, we demonstrate that the core non-outcome data items identified here are held in the NNRD to a high degree of completeness. For some core non-outcome data items, such as gestational age at birth, we show that the likelihood of missing data in clinical trials utilising the NNRD is small. These results can be used to develop and apply approaches to improve the recording of critical data items with lower completeness in a targeted way, for example mode of delivery.

Common data sets in other clinical and research areas have been identified using a variety of methods. Doods et al. (19) identified common data groups and elements for feasibility analysis in cardiovascular, diabetes, inflammatory, oncology and neurology through the use of an expert panel, but did not review the literature or include expertise from outside the field. This study identified a wide range of laboratory tests for feasibility studies. Diagnostic test data were not identified in our systematic review of large neonatal trials as commonly reported non-outcome data items, indicating that such data items are not as relevant to the pragmatic neonatal trials that are the focus of this work. Sheehan et al. (20) outline previously developed common data element sets, and some of the challenges inherent in adopting and using such set. Chari et al. (21) conducted a
systematic review of included trials and observational studies to identify common data elements in chronic subdural hematoma studies and, in keeping with our results, identified a core set of commonly reported non-outcome items. The approach that we used was a more limited systematic review of trials published in high impact journals. This approach was chosen \textit{a-priori} to focus on data-items reported in trials that influence neonatal practice. This was a pragmatic decision and there are limitations to this approach: by limiting our review to general medical journals we may have missed influential trials published in specialty journals, and have not sampled the range of outcomes reported in smaller trials. Furthermore, no approach to date has sought parent or patient views on the importance of different non-outcome data items; this may be important given the different priorities identified by these groups compared to health professionals and researchers \textsuperscript{22}. The examples cited here demonstrate the interest in, and potential value of, common sets of non-outcome data items, across different specialties. The development of an established methodological approach, analogous to that developed by the COMET initiative \textsuperscript{13} would increase the consistency, robustness and comparability of such endeavours in future.

Our study has focused on defining the data items usually recorded at baseline or used as explanatory data items in clinical trials. To our best knowledge there have been no previous attempts to identify core non-outcome trial data items such as these. We included the most common data items used in randomisation, which are often selected to conduct pre-specified subgroup analyses, and to adjust for the primary outcome. These items are often overlooked when exploring the impact of data quality in trials, despite the importance of completeness of these items for preserving statistical power and avoiding misinterpretation of results. We did not focus on outcome data items because the methodology to identify these data is well developed and such work is underway in
neonatal medicine (17). A limitation of our study is that data may have been selectively reported thus introducing bias, however this is lessened as the included journals review protocols are designed to ensure those items listed in the protocol are presented in the main trial outcomes publication. A further limitation of our study was that some items identified were dichotomous, for example presence or absence of infection prior to trial enrolment and it was not possible to calculate completeness for such items as absence of the condition is not always actively recorded. Age was found to be a common data item, however it is calculated using gestational age which is highly complete in the NNRD and therefore completeness for age was not calculated. An additional limitation stems from the fact that some data items collected in clinical trials did not directly align with data items in the NNRD, therefore there may be a loss of information from aggregating several data items into a common data item held by the NNRD to assess data quality. Furthermore, included trials used different approaches to ascertain commonly reported data items, for example the most commonly reported data item - gestational age - may be derived from maternal reported data, ultrasound measurement or clinical evaluation. Data held within the NNRD are extracted from routine clinical information used to inform clinical care, these clinically relevant data may be more appropriate for pragmatic trials than more granular data items reported in trials. Differences between trials and routinely recorded data sources in how data items are ascertained and synthesised have the potential to introduce biases into clinical trials seeking to use such routinely recorded data. Where such differences are randomly distributed between trial arms, the impact may be limited to lower precision, rather than systematic bias in favour of one trial arm. Further exploration is needed to understand how to accurately assess and synthesise similar data items and to quantify the direction and magnitude of potential biases. It is important to note that some NNRD data items had between 10 and 30% missing data.
The implications of such degrees of missingness depend on the role of the data item in the trial, but are likely to lead to a loss of precision (23). Baseline variables have a role in pre-specified statistical analyses of outcomes in order that treatment effects can be estimated more precisely. Where the baseline is missing, there are methods which do allow incomplete baseline variables to be included without removing the patients with missing baselines, and to achieve some increase in precision. This is relevant to individually randomised trials, whereas incomplete baseline may have a greater impact in trials randomising centre clusters when baseline completeness varies by centre. Baseline variables are also used to describe the trial population, for example to allow readers to judge generalisability, and a high level of baseline completeness may be important for this purpose. Finally, baseline variables are important for subgroup analyses and missing data may limit such analyses. The results presented here will allow the impact that different degrees of missingness have in neonatal trials to be further explored and modelled to better understand which trials are most suitable to use routinely recorded data. The more widespread use of routinely collected data for clinical trials also has the potential to improve the recording of such data (24). Another limitation is that we did not evaluate the accuracy of common non-outcome data-items in the NNRD in this study, although this has recently been undertaken (18). Completeness and accuracy are key factors in determining the suitability of using routinely recorded clinical data for clinical trials and should be evaluated for all data items deemed critical to any trial seeking to use such data.

The clinical and economic efficiency of using routinely recorded common data items has been demonstrated by trials that have used common registries such as SWEDEHEART (25, 26). Common data items, as identified here and in core outcome sets (27), can be used to ensure existing primary data capture systems such as EPR systems and registries capture appropriate data for trials, and in planning such trials. High accuracy and completeness of
data are critical for trials; it may however, not be feasible to evaluate such metrics for all data items within a database or registry – common data items and core outcome sets can be used to target quality assessment of data items most critical to a range of clinical trials. Ongoing data-enabled pilot trials that use routinely recorded data held in the NNRD (15) will provide prospective data regarding the feasibility of such an approach in the neonatal field.

Conclusion

Neonatal trials in high impact journals report a common set of non-outcome data items in their primary publications. In the UK, our study indicates that these core non-outcome data can be obtained from the NNRD; the feasibility and efficiency using routinely recorded EPR data such as that held in the NNRD for neonatal clinical trials, rather than collecting these items anew, should be examined. We suggest that when planning primary data collection systems such as EPR systems, registries or clinical databases, consideration is given to fostering a culture of completeness and ensuring that important items are accurately and completely captured.
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### Tables

**Table 1** The identified studies and their characteristics

| Author and Year | Title                                                                 | N  | Intervention Arm                                                                 |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Azzopardi       | Moderate hypothermia to treat perinatal asphyxial encephalopathy    | 325| Total body cooling and intensive care                                             |
| 2009 (28)       |                                                                      |    |                                                                                  |
| Azzopardi       | Effects of hypothermia for perinatal asphyxia on childhood outcomes | 325| Standard care with hypothermia                                                  |
| 2014(29)        |                                                                      |    |                                                                                  |
| Ballard         | Inhaled Nitric Oxide in Preterm Infants Undergoing Mechanical Ventilation | 582| Nitric Oxide                                                                     |
| 2006(30)        |                                                                      |    |                                                                                  |
| Bassler         | Early inhaled Budesonide for the prevention of bronchopulmonary dysplasia | 856| Early inhaled budesonide                                                        |
| 2015(31)        |                                                                      |    |                                                                                  |
| Baud            | Effect of early low-dose hydrocortisone on survival without bronchopulmonary dysplasia in extremely preterm infants (PREMILOC): a double-blind, placebo-controlled, | 521| Hydrocortisone                                                                 |
| 2016(32)        |                                                                      |    |                                                                                  |
| Reference | Paper Title | Authors | Year | Study Details | Page | Key Points |
|-----------|-------------|---------|------|---------------|------|-----------|
| Beardsall 2008 (33) | Early insulin therapy in very-low-birth-weight infants | Beardsall | 2008 | Multicentre, Randomised, Trial | 386 | Early insulin |
| Benjamin 2014 (34) | Effect of fluconazole prophylaxis on candidiasis and mortality in premature infants, a randomized clinical trial | Benjamin | 2014 | Randomised, Clinical, Trial | 361 | Fluconazole |
| Brocklehurst 2011 (35) | Treatment of neonatal sepsis with intravenous immune globulin | Brocklehurst | 2011 | Polyvalent IgG immune globulin | 3493 |
| Carlo 2010 (36) | Target ranges of oxygen saturation in extremely preterm infants | Carlo | 2010 | Oxygen saturation 85-89% | 1316 |
| Carr 2009 (37) | Granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor administered as prophylaxis for reduction of sepsis in extremely preterm, small for gestational age neonates (PROGRAMS): a single-blind, multicentre randomised controlled trial | Carr | 2009 | Granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor | 280 |
| Ceelie 2013 (38) | Effect of intravenous paracetamol on postoperative morphine requirements in neonates and infants undergoing major noncardiac surgery | Ceelie | 2013 | Continuous morphine | 71 |
| Costeloe 2016 (39) | Bifidobacterium breve BBG-001 in very preterm infants: a randomised controlled phase 3 trial | Costeloe | 2016 | Probiotic B breve BBG-001 | 1310 |
| Davidson 2016 (40) | Neurodevelopmental outcome at 2 years of age after general anaesthesia and awake-regional anaesthesia in infancy (GAS): an international multicentre, randomised controlled trial | Davidson | 2016 | Awake-regional anaesthesia | 719 |
| Fergusson 2012 (41) Finer 2010 (42) | Effect of fresh red blood cell transfusions on clinical outcomes in premature, very low-birth weight infants Early CPAP versus surfactant in extremely preterm infants | Fergusson | 2012 | Fresh red blood cell transfusions | 377 |
| Fivez 2016 (43) | Early versus late parenteral nutrition in critically ill children | Fivez | 2016 | Late parenteral nutrition | 1440 |
| Gopel 2011 (44) | Avoidance of mechanical ventilation by surfactant treatment of spontaneously breathing preterm infants: an open-label randomised, controlled trial | Gopel | 2011 | Surfactant without ventilation | 220 |
| Harris 2013 (45) | Dextrose gel for neonatal hypoglycaemia (the Sugar Babies study): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial | Harris | 2013 | Dextrose gel | 237 |
| Hyttel-Sorenson 2015 (46) | Cerebral near infrared spectroscopy oximetry in extremely preterm infants: phase II randomised clinical trial | Hyttel-Sorenson | 2015 | Cerebral near infrared spectroscopy monitoring | 166 |
| Kelleher 2013 (47) | Oroonasophageal suction versus wiping of the mouth and nose at birth: a randomised equivalency trial | Kelleher | 2013 | Gentle wiping of the face, mouth and nose with a towel | 488 |
| Kimberlin 2011 (48) | Oral acyclovir suppression and neurodevelopment after neonatal herpes | Kimberlin | 2011 | Oral acyclovir | 74 |
| Kimberlin 2015 (49) | Valganciclovir for symptomatic congenital cytomegalovirus disease | Kimberlin | 2015 | Valganciclovir therapy | 96 |
| Kirpalani 2013 (50) | A trial comparing noninvasive ventilation strategies in preterm infants | Kirpalani | 2013 | Nasal intermittent positive-pressure ventilation | 1007 |
| Leuchter 2014 (51) | Association between early administration of high-dose erythropoietin in preterm infants and brain MRI | Leuchter | 2014 | Recombinant human erythropoietin | 165 |
| Author          | Year | Study Details                                                                 | Page | Key Term(s)                                      |
|-----------------|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Makrides        | 2009 | Neurodevelopmental outcomes of preterm infants fed high-dose docosahexaenoic acid | 657  | High docosahexaenoic acid diet                |
| Manley          | 2013 | High-flow nasal cannulae in very preterm infants after extubation             | 303  | High-flow nasal cannulae                     |
| Manzoni         | 2007 | A multicentre, randomized trial of prophylactic fluconazole in preterm neonates | 322  | Fluconazole                                   |
| Manzoni         | 2009 | Bovine lactoferrin supplementation for prevention of late-onset sepsis in very low birth weight neonates | 472  | Lactoferrin                                  |
| Mercier         | 2010 | Inhaled nitric oxide for prevention of bronchopulmonary dysplasia in premature babies (EUNO): a randomised controlled trial | 800  | Inhaled nitric oxide                         |
| Morley          | 2008 | Nasal CPAP or intubation at birth for very preterm infants                    | 610  | CPAP                                          |
| Morris          | 2008 | Aggressive vs conservative phototherapy for infants with extremely low birth weight | 1974 | Aggressive phototherapy                      |
| Morris          | 2013 | Percutaneous vesicoamniotic shunting versus conservative management for fetal lower urinary tract obstruction (PLUTO): a randomised trial | 31   | Percutaneous vesicoamniotic shunting         |
| Moss            | 2006 | Laparotomy versus peritoneal drainage for NEC and perforation                 | 117  | Primary peritoneal drainage                  |
| Natalucci       | 2016 | Effect of early prophylactic high-dose recombinant human erythropoietin in very preterm infants on neurodevelopmental outcome at 2 years | 365  | Prophylactic early high-dose recombinant human erythropoietin (rhEPO) |
| Schmidt         | 2012 | Survival without disability to age 5 years after neonatal caffeine therapy for apnea of prematurity | 1640 | Caffeine therapy                            |
| Schmidt         | 2013 | Effects of targeting higher vs lower arterial oxygen saturations on death or disability in extremely preterm infants | 1201 | Oxygen saturation 85%-89%                     |
| Shankaran       | 2012 | Childhood outcomes after hypothermia for neonatal encephalopathy              | 190  | Hypothermia                                   |
| Shankaran       | 2014 | Effect of depth and duration of cooling on deaths in the NICU among neonates with hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy, a randomised clinical trial | 364  | 32deg for 72h 33.5deg for 120h 32deg for 120h |
| Slater          | 2010 | Oral sucrose as an analgesic drug for procedural pain in newborn infants: a randomised controlled trial | 44   | Sucrose solution                             |
| Stenson         | 2013 | Oxygen saturation and outcomes in preterm infants                            | 2448 | Oxygen saturation of 85-89%                  |
| Taddio          | 2006 | Intravenous Morphine and topical tetracaine for treatment of pain in preterm neonates undergoing central line placement | 132  | Tetracaine or Morphine or Both               |
| Tarnow-Mordi    | 2016 | Outcomes of two trials of oxygen-saturation targets in preterm infants       | 1858 | Lower oxygen-saturation range                |
| Vaucher         | 2012 | Neurodevelopmental outcomes in the early CPAP and pulse oximetry trial        | 990  | Early CPAP with a limited ventilation strategy |
Late outcomes of a randomized trial of high-frequency oscillation in neonates

Table 2  Data items reported in more than 20% of studies and stratified by the age of the study participants

| Baseline Characteristics                  | Preterm Studies (n=29) | Term Studies (n=6) | Mixed Ages Studies (n=9) |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| Gestational age                           | 29 (100%)              | 4 (67%)           | 9 (100%)                |
| Sex                                       | 29 (100%)              | 6 (100%)          | 6 (67%)                 |
| Birth weight                              | 29 (100%)              | 5 (83%)           | 6 (67%)                 |
| Antenatal steroids                        | 25 (86%)               | 1 (17%)           | 1 (11%)                 |
| Multiple births                           | 21 (72%)               | 1 (17%)           | 2 (22%)                 |
| Respiratory support                       | 17 (59%)               | 3 (50%)           | 3 (33%)                 |
| Mode of delivery                          | 14 (48%)               | 2 (33%)           | 5 (56%)                 |
| Infection                                 | 15 (52%)               | 3 (50%)           | 3 (33%)                 |
| Drug treatment                            | 15 (52%)               | 0 (0%)            | 5 (56%)                 |
| Maternal ethnicity                        | 15 (52%)               | 1 (17%)           | 3 (33%)                 |
| Apgar score 5 minutes                     | 13 (45%)               | 0 (0%)            | 2 (22%)                 |
| Maternal age                              | 6 (21%)                | 1 (17%)           | 6 (67%)                 |

Stratification Items

| Neonal Unit Identifier                    | 22 (76%)               | 1 (17%)           | 2 (22%)                 |
| Gestational age                           | 14 (48%)               | 1 (17%)           | 3 (33%)                 |

Primary Outcome Adjusting Items

| Gestational Age                           | 17 (59%)               | 1 (8%)            | 1 (11%)                 |
| Neonal Unit Identifier                    | 10 (34%)               | 1 (8%)            | 2 (22%)                 |
| Birth weight                              | 9 (31%)                | 0 (0%)            | 1 (11%)                 |

Table 3  Data completeness in the NNRD for the data items reported in 20% of studies or more

| Age | Preterm (n=37,424) (%) | Term (n=59,130) (%) | Unknown (n=145) (%) | All (n= 96,699) (%) |
|-----|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Gestational Age                          | 100.0                | 100.0               | 0                   | 99.9                |
| Sex                                       | 99.9                 | 99.9                | 99.3                | 99.9                |
| Birth Weight                              | 100.0                | 100.0               | 91.7                | 100.0               |
| Antenatal Steroids                        | 94.5                 | 89.7                | 4.8                 | 91.4                |
| Maternal Ethnicity                        | 75.6                 | 66.9                | 1.4                 | 70.2                |
| Multiple Births                           | 100.0                | 99.8                | 11.7                | 99.7                |
| Mode of Delivery                          | 90.7                 | 75.7                | 2.8                 | 81.4                |
| Apgar Score at 5 minutes                  | 87.6                 | 73.9                | 0.7                 | 79.1                |
| Maternal Age                              | 96.6                 | 89.2                | 3.4                 | 92.0                |
| Inborn‡                                    | 98.8                 | 96.6                | 6.2                 | 97.3                |
| Drug Treatment in the first 1 day‡*        |                      |                     |                     | 91.9                |
| Respiratory support in the first 1 day*    |                      |                     |                     | 100.0               |

‡ Corresponding NNKD data item: place of birth

* Corresponding NNKD data item: any medication recorded on day 1 of admission

* For babies less than 28 weeks gestational age (n=1,967)

Supplemental Tables
Supplemental Table 1- All baseline data items reported by the studies stratified by whether the study recruited preterm or term infants. Data items refer to infant characteristics unless otherwise stated.

| Data Item                                      | Preterm Studies | Term Studies | Mixed Ages Studies |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|
|                                               | (n=29)          | (n=6)        | (n=9)             |
| Gestational age                               | 29 100%        | 4 67%        | 9 100%            |
| Sex                                           | 29 100%        | 6 100%       | 6 67%             |
| Birth weight                                  | 29 100%        | 5 83%        | 6 67%             |
| Antenatal steroids                            | 25 86%         | 1 17%        | 1 11%             |
| Multiple birth                                | 21 72%         | 1 17%        | 2 22%             |
| Respiratory Support                           | 17 59%         | 3 50%        | 3 33%             |
| Mode of delivery                              | 14 48%         | 2 33%        | 5 56%             |
| Infection                                     | 15 52%         | 3 50%        | 3 33%             |
| Drug treatment                                | 15 52%         | 0 0%         | 5 56%             |
| Mother’s Ethnicity                            | 14 48%         | 0 0%         | 5 56%             |
| Age at randomisation                          | 11 38%         | 6 100%       | 2 22%             |
| Born at study hospital                        | 13 45%         | 0 0%         | 2 22%             |
| Mother age                                    | 6 21%          | 1 17%        | 6 67%             |
| SES                                           | 5 17%          | 0 0%         | 2 22%             |
| Blood test on the neonatal unit               | 3 10%          | 0 0%         | 2 22%             |
| Head Circumference                            | 3 10%          | 1 17%        | 1 11%             |
| Apgar Score at 1 Minute                       | 2 7%           | 1 17%        | 2 22%             |
| Family Setup                                  | 3 10%          | 0 0%         | 2 22%             |
| Temperature                                   | 3 10%          | 2 33%        | 0 0%              |
| Mother Parity                                 | 1 3%           | 0 0%         | 3 33%             |
| Apgar Score at 10 Minutes                     | 0 0%           | 2 33%        | 2 22%             |
| Early Feeding Characteristics                  | 4 14%          | 0 0%         | 0 0%              |
| pH                                           | 2 7%           | 0 0%         | 2 22%             |
| Intraventricular Haemorrhage                  | 3 10%          | 0 0%         | 1 11%             |
| Umbilical cord blood tests                    | 3 10%          | 0 0%         | 1 11%             |
| Birth Length                                  | 2 7%           | 0 0%         | 1 11%             |
| Pre-eclampsia                                 | 2 7%           | 0 0%         | 1 11%             |
| Retinopathy                                   | 2 7%           | 0 0%         | 1 11%             |
| Smoking during pregnancy                      | 2 7%           | 0 0%         | 1 11%             |
| Patent Ductus Arteriosus                      | 2 7%           | 0 0%         | 1 11%             |
| Umbilical Arterial pH                         | 2 7%           | 0 0%         | 1 11%             |
| Weight                                        | 1 3%           | 1 17%        | 1 11%             |
| NEC                                           | 3 10%          | 0 0%         | 0 0%              |
| Mother BMI                                    | 0 0%           | 0 0%         | 2 22%             |
| Amplitude Integrated Electroencephalography   | 0 0%           | 2 33%        | 0 0%              |
| Delivery Complications                         | 0 0%           | 2 33%        | 0 0%              |
| Clinical Seizures                             | 0 0%           | 2 33%        | 0 0%              |
| Clinical Risk Index for Babies Score          | 2 7%           | 0 0%         | 0 0%              |
| Neutropenia                                   | 2 7%           | 0 0%         | 0 0%              |
| Hypertension                                  | 1 3%           | 0 0%         | 1 11%             |
| Blood glucose                                 | 0 0%           | 0 0%         | 2 22%             |
| Score for Neonatal Acute Physiology II Score  | 2 7%           | 0 0%         | 0 0%              |
| Diabetic Mother                               | 0 0%           | 0 0%         | 2 22%             |
| White cell count                              | 1 3%           | 0 0%         | 1 11%             |
| Bronchopulmonary Dysplasia                    | 2 7%           | 0 0%         | 0 0%              |
| Base Deficit                                  | 0 0%           | 0 0%         | 2 22%             |
| Seizure                                       | 0 0%           | 0 0%         | 2 22%             |
| Encephalopathy                                | 0 0%           | 0 0%         | 2 22%             |
| Complications in pregnancy                    | 0 0%           | 0 0%         | 2 22%             |
| Intrapartum complications                     | 0 0%           | 0 0%         | 2 22%             |
| Mother in Labour                              | 1 3%           | 0 0%         | 1 11%             |
| Duration of surgery                           | 0 0%           | 1 17%        | 1 11%             |
| Haemoglobin level at NICU                     | 1 3%           | 0 0%         | 1 11%             |
| Partial pressure of carbon dioxide before extubation | 2 7%     | 0 0%         | 0 0%              |
| FiO2                                          | 2 7%           | 0 0%         | 0 0%              |
| Periventricular Leukomalacia                  | 2 7%           | 0 0%         | 0 0%              |
| Umbilical cord packed cell volume             | 0 0%           | 1 17%        | 0 0%              |
| Mother weight                                 | 0 0%           | 1 17%        | 0 0%              |
| Mother haemoglobin                            | 0 0%           | 1 17%        | 0 0%              |
| Umbilical Cord Haemoglobin                    | 1 3%           | 0 0%         | 0 0%              |
| Blood Group                                    | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
|-----------------------------------------------|---|----|---|----|---|----|
| Amniotic Fluid Volume                         | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Renal Pelvis Dilatation                       | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Renal Pelvis Severe Hydronephrosis            | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Macrocytic Renal Appearance                   | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Respiratory support through endotracheal tube | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Vitamin A                                     | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Respiratory severity score                    | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Clinical complications                        | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Epidural                                      | 0 | 0% | 1 | 17%| 0 | 0% |
| Neutrophil count                              | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Cranial ultrasound abnormality                | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Proteinuria                                   | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Surgical procedure                            | 0 | 0% | 1 | 17%| 0 | 0% |
| Surgical stress                               | 0 | 0% | 1 | 17%| 0 | 0% |
| PRISM3                                        | 0 | 0% | 1 | 17%| 0 | 0% |
| PIM2                                          | 0 | 0% | 1 | 17%| 0 | 0% |
| hearing defects                               | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 11% |
| Language Spoken                               | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 11% |
| STRONGkids risk                               | 0 | 0% | 1 | 17%| 0 | 0% |
| PELOD score                                   | 0 | 0% | 1 | 17%| 0 | 0% |
| Emergency admission                           | 0 | 0% | 1 | 17%| 0 | 0% |
| Diagnostic group                              | 0 | 0% | 1 | 17%| 0 | 0% |
| Condition on admission                        | 0 | 0% | 1 | 17%| 0 | 0% |
| Risk factors for neonatal hypoglycaemia       | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 11% |
| Weight change during pregnancy                | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 11% |
| Intended method of feeding                    | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 11% |
| Cause of infection                            | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Bowel perforation or definite NEC             | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Surgery in previous 7 days                    | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Risk of death                                 | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| C-reactive protein                            | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Duration of membrane rupture                  | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Source of intravenous immune globulin or placebo | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| No prenatal care                              | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 11% |
| Medical insurance                             | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 11% |
| Magnesium given during Labour                 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 11% |
| Meconium-stained amniotic fluid               | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 11% |
| HSV type                                      | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 11% |
| HSV DNA                                       | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 11% |
| Evidence of HSV disease on MRI                | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 11% |
| CMV disease                                   | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 11% |
| Microcephaly                                  | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 11% |
| Chorioretinitis                               | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 11% |
| Neuroimaging results                          | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 11% |
| BSER of best ear                              | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 11% |
| Previous preterm births                       | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Total Parenteral Nutrition                    | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Umbilical catheter positioned                 | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Duration of stay in NICU                      | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Central venous catheter positioned            | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Hematocrit                                    | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Positive result on Coombs test                | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Billirubin                                    | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Renal echogenicity                            | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 11% |
| Bladder wall thickness                        | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 11% |
| Platelet count                                | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Retinopathy of Prematurity                    | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Right heel lanced                             | 0 | 0% | 1 | 17%| 0 | 0% |
| Death of infant in delivery room              | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Epinephrine in the delivery room              | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Fluid or normally sterile body fluid          | 1 | 3% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |

Supplemental Table 2 - The most common baseline data items by each identified study.

Black indicates the study presented the data item at baseline.
| Author and Year | G | S | B | A | M | M | R | M | L | A | D |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Geist 2011      |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Tietjen 2011    |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Ahr 2013        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Boll 2010       |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Geissmann 2016  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Troeger 2015    |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Tietjen 2010    |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Geissmann 2016  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Geissmann 2017  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Tietjen 2011    |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Ahr 2013        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Boll 2010       |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Geissmann 2016  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Troeger 2015    |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Ahr 2013        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Boll 2010       |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Geissmann 2016  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Tietjen 2011    |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Ahr 2013        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Boll 2010       |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |

### Respiratory
- Ballard 2006
- Bassler 2015
- Baud 2016
- Carlo 2010
- Finer 2010
- Gopel 2011
- Kelleher 2013
- Kirpalani 2013
- Manley 2013
- Mercier 2010
- Morley 2008
- Schmidt 2012
- Schmidt 2013
- Stensen 2013
- Tarnow-Mordi 2016
- Vaucher 2012
- Zivanovic 2014

### Infection
- Benjamin 2014
- Brocklehurst 2011
- Carr 2009
- Costeloe 2016
- Kimberlin 2011
- Kimberlin 2015
- Manzoni 2007
- Manzoni 2009

### Neurological
- Azzopardi 2009
- Azzopardi 2014
- Hyttel-Sorensen 2015
- Leuchter 2014
- Natalucci 2016
- Shankaran 2012
- Shankaran 2014

### Gastrointestinal
- Moss 2006

### Genitourinary
- Morris 2013

### Other
- Beardsall 2008
- Ceelie 2013
Supplemental Table 3 - All data items used as stratifying items during randomisation reported by the studies and by the age of infants included in the studies

| Stratification Items                                      | Preterm Studies (n=29) | Term Studies (n=6) | Mixed Ages Studies (n=9) | All Studies (n=44) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| Centre                                                    | 22 (76%)               | 1 (17%)           | 2 (22%)                  | 25 (57%)           |
| Gestational age                                           | 13 (45%)               | 1 (17%)           | 3 (33%)                  | 17 (39%)           |
| Birth weight                                              | 7 (24%)                | 0 (0%)            | 1 (11%)                  | 8 (18%)            |
| Sex                                                       | 4 (14%)                | 0 (0%)            | 0 (0%)                   | 4 (9%)             |
| Multiple birth                                            | 3 (10%)                | 0 (0%)            | 0 (0%)                   | 3 (7%)             |
| Inborn                                                    | 2 (7%)                 | 0 (0%)            | 0 (0%)                   | 2 (5%)             |
| Infant Age                                                | 0 (0%)                 | 2 (33%)           | 0 (0%)                   | 2 (5%)             |
| Abnormality on amplitude-integrated electroencephalography | 0 (0%)                 | 1 (17%)           | 0 (0%)                   | 1 (2%)             |

Supplemental Table 4 - All data items used as confounders to adjust the primary outcome reported by the studies and by the age of infants included in the studies
| Primary Outcome Confounders | Preterm Studies (n=29) | Term Studies (n=6) | Mixed Ages Studies (n=9) | All Studies (n=44) |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Gestational Age             | 17 (59%)               | 1 (17%)           | 1 (11%)                  | 19 (43%)          |
| Centre                      | 10 (34%)               | 1 (17%)           | 2 (22%)                  | 13 (30%)          |
| Birth Weight                | 9 (31%)                | 0 (0%)            | 1 (11%)                  | 10 (23%)          |
| Sex                         | 7 (24%)                | 1 (17%)           | 0 (0%)                   | 8 (18%)           |
| Multiple Births             | 7 (24%)                | 0 (0%)            | 0 (0%)                   | 7 (16%)           |
| Ventilation                 | 5 (17%)                | 0 (0%)            | 0 (0%)                   | 5 (11%)           |
| Steroids                    | 5 (17%)                | 0 (0%)            | 0 (0%)                   | 5 (11%)           |
| Maternal Education          | 3 (10%)                | 1 (17%)           | 0 (0%)                   | 4 (9%)            |
| Abnormality on Amplitude-Integrated Electroencephalography | 0 (0%) | 1 (17%) | 2 (22%) | 3 (7%) |
| Severity of Illness         | 1 (3%)                 | 1 (17%)           | 0 (0%)                   | 2 (5%)            |
| Nutrition                   | 1 (3%)                 | 1 (17%)           | 0 (0%)                   | 2 (5%)            |
| Infant Age                  | 0 (0%)                 | 2 (33%)           | 0 (0%)                   | 2 (5%)            |
| Caffeine Use                | 1 (3%)                 | 0 (0%)            | 0 (0%)                   | 1 (2%)            |
| Time of Randomisation       | 1 (3%)                 | 0 (0%)            | 0 (0%)                   | 1 (2%)            |
| Diagnostic Group            | 0 (0%)                 | 1 (17%)           | 0 (0%)                   | 1 (2%)            |
| Maternal Diabetes           | 0 (0%)                 | 0 (0%)            | 1 (11%)                  | 1 (2%)            |
| Central Nervous System Involvement | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (11%) | 1 (2%) |
| Risk Factors Possibly Associated with Invasive Fungal Infection | 1 (3%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (2%) |
| Use of H2 Blockers          | 1 (3%)                 | 0 (0%)            | 0 (0%)                   | 1 (2%)            |
| Daily Milk Intake           | 1 (3%)                 | 0 (0%)            | 0 (0%)                   | 1 (2%)            |
| Pneumatosis                 | 1 (3%)                 | 0 (0%)            | 0 (0%)                   | 1 (2%)            |
| Platelet Count              | 1 (3%)                 | 0 (0%)            | 0 (0%)                   | 1 (2%)            |
| Familial Clustering         | 1 (3%)                 | 0 (0%)            | 0 (0%)                   | 1 (2%)            |
| Mode of Delivery            | 1 (3%)                 | 0 (0%)            | 0 (0%)                   | 1 (2%)            |

**Supplemental File**

**Supplemental item 1**

NeoCODE Pubmed search strategy

`(((neonat*) OR preterm)) AND (((BMJ.[Journal]) OR JAMA.[Journal]) OR N Engl J Med. [Journal]) OR Lancet.[Journal])`
Figures

Figure 1
Flow of studies through the systematic review
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