Evaluation of sticky trap colour for thrips (Thysanoptera) monitoring in pea crops (*Pisum sativum* L.)
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Abstract
We compared the effectiveness of three different trap colours (blue, yellow and white) to identify the optimal trap colour for the monitoring of the thrips *Frankliniella intonsa*, *Thrips tabaci*, *Thrips fuscipennis* and *Aeolothrips intermedius* in the three pea cultivars, namely Polar, Izolda and Tarchalska. The number of captured thrips specimens was significantly affected by trap colour, pea cultivar and interaction between these factors. The two most attractive trap base colours for thrips were blue (peak at 450 nm) and yellow (peak at 550 nm). Irrespective of the pea cultivar, most *F. intonsa* were captured on the blue and yellow traps. Blue traps were the most attractive to *T. fuscipennis* and *T. tabaci*, followed by yellow and white ones, and yellow traps were most attractive to *A. intermedius*. More thrips were caught on the traps located in the plots with cultivars characterised by a longer growing season Tarchalska and Izolda, which were inhabited by large numbers of thrips. There was a significant interaction effect between trap colour and pea cultivar on the number of caught *F. intonsa* and *T. fuscipennis* in both years and for *T. tabaci* in 2010. Overall, among the tested trap colours, blue traps were the most effective ones for monitoring thrips in pea fields and could be used as an early detection tool. Yellow traps may be risky because they reduce population densities of the predaceous *A. intermedius* in pea fields, thus leading to an increase in pest numbers.
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Introduction

Globally, many species of thrips (Thysanoptera) are pests of vegetables, fruits and ornamentals (Lewis 1997). Pea crops can be infested by a number of harmful organisms, among which thrips are a numerous and economically important group (Ferrarezi et al. 2016). Pobozniak (2013) has determined 18 species of thrips on pea crops, of which three herbivorous species (*Frankliniella intonsa*, *Thrips fuscipennis* and *Thrips tabaci*) and one predatory species (*Aeolothrips intermedius*) together accounted for over 95% of the total number of all detected thrips. Among the known herbivorous thrips species, the onion thrips (*T. tabaci*) is the primary pest of pea pods (Gaskel 1997; Pobozniak 2013). On pods, the formation of silvery spots, which later turn brown, can be clearly seen, and the pods undergo deformation primarily from the spout and at the base. This damage leads to a reduced yield quality and to a reduction in weight by up to 70–80%, depending on the pea cultivar (Shelton and North 1987; Pobozniak 2013). At the time of flowering, pea fields are heavily colonised by thrips attracted to flowers, such as the flower thrips (*F. intonsa*) and the rose thrips (*T. fuscipennis*). Both species feed on the flowers, sucking the generative organs and parts of the perianth. As a result of their feeding, the flowers show dark, necrotic spots (Ábrahám 2008; Kirk 1984). Current control strategies for thrips in pea mainly rely on chemicals, and farmers use different types of insecticides. However, repeated application of chemicals is not a desirable practice, as this could lead to undesirable resistance problems (Sahito et al. 2013; Shelton et al. 2006). To avoid further resistance of this pest, different non-chemical control methods need to be evaluated.
coloured sticky traps can be a simple, low-cost method and an effective tool for monitoring the presence of insects and their numbers. For the monitoring of the flight activity of *T. tabaci*, blue (Liu and Chu 2004; Trdan et al. 2005b), yellow (Jenser et al. 2001; Teulon and Penman 2012) and white (Kahrer 1992) traps have been proposed, depending on different crops. For *Frankliniella occidentalis* and *F. intonsa*, mainly blue (Allsopp 2010; Chu et al. 2000; Seo et al. 2006), yellow (Atakan and Canhilal 2010; Lim and Mainali 2009) or white (Hoddle et al. 2002; Mateus and Mexia 1995) colours have been investigated as attractive. Blue-, yellow- and white-coloured sticky traps are commercially available for the control of some insect pests in many countries. However, the use of sticky traps for capturing species of herbivorous insect pests is risky because they may also capture non-targeted predatory insects and reduce their numbers. Thus, the selection of trap colour should also be based on the knowledge of what colour attracts predatory thrips. According to Rőth et al. (2016), the colour of traps used for controlling thrips should ensure a high correlation between the number of captured thrips and the size of their population in the crop. So far, however, there have been only a few studies aimed at correlating insect counts on coloured traps with the extent of infestation of the surrounding crop (Allan and Gillet-Kaufman 2018; Roth et al. 2016). In the present study, we compare the effectiveness of three different trap colours (blue, yellow and white) to identify the optimal trap colour for the early detection and monitoring of harmful thrips with a low number of captured predatory thrips species. We also determine the relationship between the number of thrips captured on traps and those found directly on plants. Our earlier studies (Pobozniak and Swiderski 2011; Pobozniak 2013) indicate that some morphological features and the development phenology of pea cultivars are the main causes for the varying attractiveness of pea cultivars to thrips. For this reason, the attractiveness of coloured traps was compared on three selected pea cultivars to evaluate their impacts on the number of thrips captured on traps. The obtained data may be used for estimating the abundance of thrips feeding on pea plants, which can be helpful in determining the optimal time for pesticide application, thus reducing their usage and avoiding a build-up of pesticide residues in the environment and the food chain.

Materials and methods

Experimental design

The colour preference of thrips was investigated in a field of three pea (*P. sativum*) cultivars with different ripening times, the early cv. Polar, the medium–early cv. Izolda, and the medium–late cv. Tarchalska, from May to mid-July in 2009 and 2010. All pea cultivars used in the study were obtained from the Polish seed companies, namely the Spójnia Breeding and Seed Production Company in Nokowo, PlantiCo Breeding and Seed Production Company in Zielonki Parcela and the Danko Plant Breeding Company in Choryń. Field experiments were set up at the Experimental Station of the Agricultural University in Krakow, located in Mydlniki (near Krakow, southern Poland, 50°04′N, 19°51′E, 207 m above sea level). The trial was arranged in a completely randomised design with four replications for each of the pea cultivars. The plots, measuring 16 m² (4 x 4 m), were separated by 1-m-wide paths. Seeds were sown (250 kg/ha) in rows, 0.3 m apart, on 3 April 2009 and 9 April 2010. Plant density was about 120 plants/m². The phenological growth stages of the pea cultivars in all growing seasons were recorded on each sampling date and classified according to Feller et al. (1995) and Weber and Bleiholder (1990), as shown in Table 1. Average daily temperature and precipitation data were obtained with HOBO Pro RH/Temp. Sensors (Onset Computer Corp., USA), located at the Experimental Station (Table 1).

Trap reflectance

Relative trap reflectance was determined from direct measurements under laboratory conditions, using a portable spectroradiometer with an external integrated sphere (LI-1800, LI-COR Lincoln, Nebraska, USA) in the radiation range of 400-700 nm. Reflectance measurements were conducted on three differently coloured (blue, yellow and white) insect sticky traps in three repetitions (Fig. 1).

Thrips sampling with traps

Three differently coloured sticky traps (produced by P.P.H. Medchem, Stara Iwiczna, Poland), blue, yellow and white (measuring 10 x 20 cm), were installed 1 m apart in the middle of each plot. Trap order was random in each collection period, and the traps were attached to a wooden stake so that their bottom edge was 10–15 cm below the tops of the plants. The traps were monitored and replaced at 4- to 10-day intervals (i.e. sampling period) from the beginning of pea plant emergence (May) until pea harvest (July). Upon the removal of the coloured sticky traps, they were wrapped with clear plastic cling film and transferred to the laboratory to determine thrips number.

Thrips sampling from plants

Thrips were caught from pea plants using a standard entomological sweep net with a diameter of 35 cm, produced by the Paradox Company in Krakow, Poland. A single sample
consisted of 25 sweeps within each plot and was stored in a plastic bag. The samples from the plants were obtained at the same time when the traps were collected from the pea plots. Adult thrips collected from plants were extracted and kept in a conservation fluid (60% alcohol with glycerol) until examination in the laboratory.

Table 1  Phenological development stages of different pea cultivars and average daily temperature and rainfall totals in the observation periods in the seasons 2009–2010

| Year | Month and day | June | July |
|------|---------------|------|------|
| 2009 | May           |      |      |
|      |               | 13   | 17   |
| Polar|               | L/I  | F    |
|      |               | F/P  | P    |
|      |               | P    | P    |
| Izolda|              | L/I  | L/I  |
|      |               | L/F  | F*   |
|      |               | F/P  | P    |
| Tarchalska|        | L/I  | L/I  |
|      |               | L/I  | F*   |
|      |               | F/P  | P    |
|      |               | P    | P    |
| Range of days | 01–13 | 14–17 | 18–21 |
|      | 22–26         | 27–02 | 03–09 |
|      | 10–18         | 19–26 | 27–01 |
| Average temp. (°C) | 12.7 | 12.8 | 16.0 |
|      | 15.1          | 11.5  | 13.3 |
|      | 15.2          | 16.1  | 20.1 |
|      | 16.1          | 20.4  | 20.4 |
|      | 17.2          | 17.2  | 17.4 |
| Sum of precipitation (mm) | 18.5 | 16.0 | 15.4 |
|      | 4.2           | 61.0  | 4.1  |
|      | 16.4          | 90.3  | 0.0  |
|      | 14.0          | 14.3  |      |
| 2010 | May           | 11   | 22   |
|      |               | 26   | 29   |
| Polar|               | L/I  | L/I  |
|      |               | L/I  | F/P  |
|      |               | P    | P    |
| Izolda|              | L/I  | L/I  |
|      |               | L/F  | F*   |
|      |               | F/P  | P    |
| Tarchalska|        | L/I  | L/I  |
|      |               | L/I  | F*   |
|      |               | F/P  | P    |
|      |               | P    | P    |
| Range of days | 01–11 | 12–20 | 23–26 |
|      | 27–29         | 30–06 | 07–10 |
|      | 11–15         | 16–23 | 24–29 |
| Average temp. (°C) | 12.9 | 10.4 | 14.5 |
|      | 15.0          | 15.7  | 22.4 |
|      | 19.9          | 15.7  | 19.9 |
|      | 17.0          | 20.1  | 19.4 |
| Sum of precipitation (mm) | 82.5 | 184.0 | 15.9 |
|      | 0.0           | 100.9 | 16.0 |
|      | 30.8          | 4.0    | 27.8 |
|      | 0.0           | 27.8  | 0.0  |

L development of main shoot and leaves (BBCH 35–39), I inflorescence emergence (BBCH 50–59), F flowering (BBCH 60–69), P development of pods (BBCH 70–75)

*Full flowering: 50% of open flowers
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Thrips identification

After the traps were transferred to the laboratory, each of the coloured traps was examined, and all thrips collected were counted using a stereoscopic microscope. Specimens of *A. intermedius* were separated from other species under a binocular microscope. To determine the harmful thrips species, it was necessary to remove them from the sticky traps (using mineral spirits) so that *F. intonsa*, *T. fuscipennis* and *T. tabaci* could be separated using a stereoscopic microscope with light transmission. Subsequently, the thrips (when necessary) were placed on microscope slides in Heinz solution and identified to species level under a compound microscope. Adult thrips collected from plants were mounted on microscope slides in accordance with the methodology proposed by Zawirska (1994) and identified to species level according to Zawirska (1994) and Strassenzur (2003).

Statistical analysis

Each thrips species was separately analysed for each year using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), with trap colour and pea cultivar as the main effects. Additionally, one-way ANOVA was performed to investigate the differences among cultivars with respect to thrips infestation. Data were normalised using log_{10}(x+1) transformation, and the residual plots of the transformed data were examined to ensure that the data were normally distributed. Comparisons of the mean numbers of thrips obtained in trap sampling and from plants were made using Duncan’s multiple range test (*P* < 0.05). Tables and figures show untransformed means. To determine the relationship between the number of thrips caught on the traps and those obtained from plants, Pearson’s linear correlation coefficient (r) was calculated for log_{10}(x+1)-transformed data, and its significance was estimated at *P* < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using the software PASW Statistics 13.0 by SPSS Inc.

**Results**

**Trap colour and cultivar preference**

In the field experiment, the number of captured thrips specimens was significantly affected by trap colour, pea cultivar and interaction between these factors (Table 2). The two most attractive trap base colours for thrips were blue and yellow. The blue trap differed considerably from the yellow one by having a moderately high reflectance in the violet region and a prominent peak in the blue region (450 nm), while the yellow traps had a peak at 550 nm (green region) and high reflectance in the yellow, orange and red spectral regions (from 550 to 700 nm). White traps had increasing reflectance in the violet region and were broadly reflective across the visible spectrum (Fig. 1). The species most caught on the blue traps were *T. fuscipennis* and *T. tabaci*. Irrespective of the pea cultivar, the mean number of these thrips species caught on the blue traps was significantly higher than that on the yellow and white traps in both years. The highest numbers of *F. intonsa* were captured on the blue and yellow traps; however, significantly more thrips were captured on yellow traps in 2010. In the case of *A. intermedius*, the yellow traps were significantly the most attractive. In both years, the white traps were significantly less attractive to all tested thrips species (Table 3). The cultivar effect was the strongest in the case of *T. tabaci* in 2009 (*F* = 36.889, *P* < 0.000) and *T. fuscipennis* in 2010 (*F* = 26.303, *P* < 0.000). In the case of *F. intonsa*, significant cultivar effect was found only in 2009 (*P* < 4.642) (Table 2). More thrips were caught on the traps located in the plots with cultivars characterised by a longer growing season, which were inhabited by large numbers of thrips, namely of the cultivars Tarchalska or Izolda (Tables 3, 4). In 2009, most *F. intonsa* were captured on the blue traps located in the plots with cv. Izolda and Tarchalska and on the yellow traps in the plots with cv. Polar, while in 2010, the opposite pattern was observed. In

| Table 2 ANOVA results showing the effects of cultivar and trap colour on the number of adult specimens of *Frankliniella intonsa*, *Thrips fuscipennis*, *Thrips tabaci* and *Aeolothrips intermedius* in the seasons 2009–2010 |
| --- |
| **Source** | **df** | **Year** | **F** | **p** | **F** | **p** |
| **Frankliniella intonsa** | | | | | |
| Cultivar | 2 | 2009 | 4.642* | 0.018* | 1.327 | 0.282 |
| Trap colour | 2 | 2009 | 196.616** | 0.000** | 218.637 | 0.000** |
| Interaction | 4 | 2009 | 3.248** | 0.027* | 2.950 | 0.038* |
| **Thrips fuscipennis** | | | | | |
| Cultivar | 2 | 2009 | 6.089** | 0.007** | 26.303 | 0.000** |
| Trap colour | 2 | 2009 | 141.937** | 0.000** | 205.543 | 0.000** |
| Interaction | 4 | 2009 | 5.304** | 0.003** | 6.831 | 0.000** |
| **Thrips tabaci** | | | | | |
| Cultivar | 2 | 2009 | 36.889** | 0.000** | 13.045 | 0.000** |
| Trap colour | 2 | 2009 | 60.525** | 0.000** | 129.990 | 0.000** |
| Interaction | 4 | 2009 | 4.304** | 0.008** | 0.229 | 0.919 |
| **Aeolothrips intermedius** | | | | | |
| Cultivar | 2 | 2009 | 24.010** | 0.000** | 5.916 | 0.007** |
| Trap colour | 2 | 2009 | 43.757** | 0.000** | 98.307 | 0.000** |
| Interaction | 4 | 2009 | 2.444** | 0.070 | 1.879 | 0.143 |

*Significant at *P* < 0.05; **significant at *P* < 0.01
In terms of individual numbers caught on sticky traps, T. fuscipennis was second. A significantly higher number of thrips was collected from blue traps located in the plots with the cultivars Izolda and Tarchalska in 2009 and Polar and Izolda in 2010 (Table 3); a similar relationship was found in the case of T. tabaci. The mean number of T. tabaci specimens captured on the blue traps was greater than that on the yellow traps, the second most preferred colour by thrips. A significantly higher number of onion thrips was collected from blue traps located in the plots with cultivar Tarchalska in 2009 (Table 3). The mean number of the predatory A. intermedius caught on the yellow traps was higher than that on the blue and white traps in both years. There was no significant effect of interaction between trap colour and pea cultivar on the number of caught T. tabaci specimens in 2010 and A. intermedius specimens in both years (Tables 2, 3).

### Changes in thrips population densities on coloured sticky traps and on plants over time

During both study years, the first thrips specimens were observed on the sticky traps when the pea plants were emerging, which was around the 10th of May (Figs. 2, 3, 4, 5). Herbivorous thrips were usually detected on the traps a few days earlier or at the same time as on plants. In the initial period of pea plant colonisation by F. intonsa and T. fuscipennis (1st and 2nd Dec. May), the number of flying thrips captured on the traps greatly exceeded the number of those collected from plants. Both thrips species were caught in similar numbers on the blue and yellow sticky traps, ranging from 0.7 to 12.2 thrips per one trap over one sampling period in 2009 and up to 14.7 thrips/trap in 2010. In turn, the colonisation of plants by F. intonsa and T. fuscipennis in the initial period did not exceed 1.5 specimens/plot on average (Figs. 2, 3). The species T. tabaci was less attracted by the sticky traps, despite its larger population on plants.
In 2009, in the initial period of plant colonisation, when the average number of onion thrips on plants, depending on the cultivar, did not exceed 6.2 individuals/plot, the mean number of thrips captured on the traps ranged from 0.5 to 4.0 on the blue, from 0.0 to 3.2 on the yellow and up to 1.7 on the white traps. In 2010, a similar or slightly greater number of thrips were caught on the blue traps (from 0.75 to 2.25 thrips/trap) compared with those collected from plants (1.0 to 1.75 thrips/plot), while fewer were captured on the yellow (0.0 to 1.0 thrips/trap) and white traps (0.0 to 0.75 thrips/trap) (Fig. 4). In both years, the number of captured flying thrips considerably increased in the pre-blooming, i.e. bud formation, period (BBCH 50–59), and the majority of the species was strongly associated with flowers, i.e. *F. intonsa* and *T. fuscipennis* were captured on the traps in the blooming period (BBCH 60–69) (from 20th of May to mid-June) (Figs. 2, 3). At that time, the average number of adult thrips captured on the blue traps was usually higher than that on the yellow ones and from 3 to 5.5 times greater than the average number recovered from plants. Following this period (BBCH 70–75), the populations of flower and rose thrips on plants declined rapidly, and thrips were already abandoning the cultivars which ended flowering. Subsequently, gradually decreasing numbers of flower and rose thrips were caught on the traps, although in some cases, a massive accumulation of thrips on the traps was observed at the end of the growing season (Figs. 2, 3). The numbers of *T. tabaci* peaked at flowering time and then, after a temporary decline, increased on plants again during pod development (Fig. 4). In general, the larger numbers of *T. tabaci* on flowers and pea pods corresponded to the greater numbers of this species on the sticky traps. During the population peak of onion thrips, the blue traps always caught more adults than the yellow ones, catching from 2 to 6 (in 2009) and to 7.7 (in 2010) times more when compared to the thrips collected from plants. The number of *T. tabaci* adults on coloured traps and plants decreased from the end of June, which coincided with the end of pod development (Table 1, Fig. 4). The population density of *A. intermedius* on the cultivars Polar and Izolda remained steady throughout the growing season. Only in cv. Tarchalska, thrips numbers increased steadily from mid-May in 2009 (BBCH 37–39) and from the beginning of June (BBCH 50–59) in 2010. Yellow was the most attractive colour, followed by blue and white. The time of catching the largest number of *A. intermedius* on all tested coloured traps was not correlated with the period of their most abundant occurrence on plants (sometimes separated by up to 3 to 4 weeks) and was not correlated with a particular stage of pea development (Table 1; Fig. 5). When leaving the pea plots, larger numbers of flying *A. intermedius* accumulated mainly on the yellow traps (Fig. 5).

Comparison of traps with plants within all sampling periods indicates a significantly high positive correlation for *F. intonsa* in both years and for all tested colour traps ($r = 0.483–0.795$) (Table 5). Also, for *T. tabaci* caught almost on all tested colour traps, a significantly positive correlation

---

**Table 4** Source of variation and the number of adult specimens of *Frankliniella intonsa*, *Thrips fuscipennis*, *Thrips tabaci* and *Aeolothrips intermedius* captured with an entomological sweep net from plants of pea cultivars ‘Polar’, ‘Izolda’ and ‘Tarchalska’ in the seasons 2009–2010 (one-way ANOVA)

| Year | Source: cultivar | df | Mean ± SE number of adult specimens | Mean | F | p |
|------|-----------------|----|------------------------------------|------|---|---|
|      | Frankliniella intonsa |    |                                    | 2009 | 2010 |
| Polar | 3.3 ± 0.2 c<sup>a</sup> | 2 | 38.370 | 0.000** | 3.4 ± 0.3 b |
| Izolda | 8.1 ± 0.6 a | 2 | 37.259 | 0.000** | 4.8 ± 0.1 a |
| Tarchalska | 4.3 ± 0.4 b | 2 | 7.482 | 0.012** | 4.7 ± 0.3 a |
| Thrips fuscipennis | 5.2 ± 0.5 b | 2 | 18.388 | 0.000** | 3.1 ± 0.4 c |
| Izolda | 14.5 ± 2.1a | 2 | 37.259 | 0.000** | 13.4 ± 1.1a |
| Tarchalska | 7.3 ± 0.6 b | 2 | 7.8 ± 0.8 b | | |
| Thrips tabaci | 3.2 ± 0.3 c | 2 | 13.948 | 0.002** | 2.6 ± 0.3 a |
| Izolda | 4.2 ± 0.2 b | 2 | 3.598 | 0.071 | 3.3 ± 0.1 a |
| Tarchalska | 6.0 ± 0.5 a | 2 | 3.3 ± 0.1 a | | |
| Aeolothrips intermedius | 0.6 ± 0.1 c | 2 | 62.783 | 0.000** | 1.8 ± 0.2 a |
| Izolda | 1.2 ± 0.1 b | 2 | 2.478 | 0.139 | 2.1 ± 0.1 a |
| Tarchalska | 2.7 ± 0.2 a | 2 | 1.3 ± 0.4 a | | |

<sup>a</sup>Means in columns marked with different letters are significantly different from each other (multiple Duncan’s test $P < 0.05$)

<sup>*</sup>Significant at $P < 0.05$; **significant at $P < 0.01$
Fig. 2  Seasonal fluctuations in the number of adult Frankliniella intonsa caught in the three pea cultivars Polar (a, d), Izolda (b, e) and Tarchalska (c, f) with blue, yellow and white sticky traps and in the number of those caught from plants with a sweep net in 2009 (a–c) and 2010 (d–f)
The numbers of thrips caught on blue and yellow traps was not significantly positive relationship (from May 15 to 17, and during the entire month, there were first days of June were particularly rainy, with downpours on plants depended on air temperature and rainfall and were increased when the flowers were scarce because they feed on pollen and were probably looking for food. In earlier studies, yellow-coloured traps were suggested (Cho et al. 1995; Lim and Mainali 2009) as suitable for monitoring F. intonsa. In the detailed experiments by Seo et al. (2006), blue colour proved to be most attractive to flower thrips. In contrast to their findings, the results of our current study indicate that both blue and yellow traps captured F. intonsa to a similar extent, while T. fuscipennis was most attracted to blue sticky traps. However, the difference between the blue and yellow colours was not significant, irrespective of the sampling period. The lack (in many cases) of a significant correlation between T. fuscipennis caught on plants and those caught on traps might be the result of flying thrips from adjacent pea plots being attracted to some of the coloured traps, thus dispersing individuals so that they did not land on the nearby plants. This would have reduced the populations on plants and inflated some trap catches.

The colour preference shown by different Frankliniella species has been investigated in several studies for different crops. Blue-coloured traps hold the strongest attraction for F. occidentalis (Allsopp 2010; Broughton and Harrison 2012; Chu et al. 2000), white-coloured ones for F. bispinosa (Childers and Brecht 1996) and for F. schultzei (Mueva et al. 2014) and yellow (Blumthal et al. 2005) and neon yellow traps for F. occidentalis (Demirel and Cranshaw 2005; Röth et al. 2016). According to some authors, there are flower-infesting species of thrips, e.g. T. imaginis and T. obscuratus (Teulon and Penman 2012), which are most attracted to traps whose colour corresponds to the colour of the flowers of their host plant. We found, however, that white traps did not attract F. intonsa and T. fuscipennis, despite the flowers of the host pea plants having an off-white colour. The white colour was also unattractive to the florivorous Frankliniella bispinosa in an olive grove (Allan and Gillet-Kaufman 2018). In contrast to the two flower-feeding taxa mentioned above, the disparities in the number of T. tabaci during different phenological phases of pea were not as large, and the onion thrips were present in all plant samples, regardless of the development stage of pea development. In the initial period of onion thrips settlement, the blue traps always caught more adults than the yellow and white traps at an earlier time and in consistently higher numbers when compared to the numbers of thrips found on plants, indicating that blue traps are the most sensitive ones and could function as an early warning of onion thrips activity.

As the population of T. tabaci on plants increased, the number of adults on the traps also increased, and a similar order in the effectiveness of traps in capturing thrips was seen, with blue traps generally being the most effective ones and white traps being the least effective ones. Comparison of thrips captures by trapping date indicates that both blue and yellow traps can predict an increase or decrease in the thrips population on plants, which can be helpful in determining the time of the most intense colonisation by onion thrips. In contrast to rose thrips, a similar analysis showed
Fig. 4 Seasonal fluctuations in the number of adult *Thrips tabaci* caught in the three pea cultivars Polar (a, d), Izolda (b, e) and Tarchalska (c, f) with blue, yellow and white sticky traps and in the number of those caught from plants with a sweep net in 2009 (a–c) and 2010 (d–f)
Fig. 5 Seasonal fluctuations in the number of adult *Aeolothrips intermedius* caught in the three pea cultivars Polar (a, d), Izolda (b, e) and Tarchalska (c, f) with blue, yellow and white sticky traps and in the number of those caught from plants with a sweep net in 2009 (a–c) and 2010 (d–f).
a significant positive correlation for adults of onion thrips on plants as well as for adults caught on blue, yellow and white traps in all cultivars. Most likely, this is because *T. tabaci* also feeds on young foliage, and after flowering, it remains in the pea crop and continues to feed on pea pods. Blue colour was also more attractive to *T. tabaci* than yellow in lettuce and onion plots (Natwick et al. 2007). In the detailed experiments by Brødsgaard (1989), blue colour proved to be the most attractive, but it seems that different shades of blue show differences in attractiveness. In contrast to our results and those mentioned above, Gharekhani et al. (2014) showed that yellow traps were more appropriate for monitoring onion thrips in garlic, onion and tomato crops. In turn, Jenser et al. (2001) reported that although yellow traps caught specimens of onion thrips in high numbers, a significant difference was not established in the attractiveness between yellow and blue traps in tobacco crops. Similar to our results, the authors mentioned above showed a low attractiveness of white traps to *T. tabaci*. Rőth et al. (2016), studying visual systems of *T. tabaci* based on captures with coloured traps, concluded that yellow and white traps were similarly attractive to onion thrips, while fluorescent yellow had the strongest and blue the weakest attraction. Many studies have shown that differences in the shade of the same colour and in the interaction between thrips and host plants may explain why a given species of thrips prefers a different colour in different experiments. For this reason, the experimental methodology should include not only the description of the trap colour, but also the identification and presentation of the reflectance spectrum. It is important to provide a detailed physical characterisation of the traps used by including their reflectance patterns, as many different shades of colours currently available, and those likely to be produced in the future, may have a different attractiveness to pest insects. Comparing the relative light reflectance of blue and yellow traps, we detected that blue traps had a high reflectance in the violet region, with a prominent peak in the blue region (450 nm), while yellow traps reflected more light in the 550–780 nm range. This agrees with the study by Natwick et al. (2007), who report that blue sticky cards, which were the most attractive to *T. tabaci*, reflected considerably more light in the 400–500 nm range. In turn, Rőth et al. (2016) found that intense reflectance in the greenish yellow region (540–570 nm) had attraction for *T. tabaci*, whereas the bluish green region of light, around 500 nm, was attractive to *F. occidentalis*. In our study, high reflectance in the green region and high reflectance in the yellow region were shown by the yellow traps, which captured fewer thrips than the blue ones, but the numbers were relatively high. The contradictory results of our study might be explained by some factors exerting influence on colour preference by thrips. The appearance of a colour does not only depend on the reflected wavelength, but also on other physical characteristics such as shininess or smoothness of a given surface, as well as trap size, dispersal distance and direction of thrips movement (Moreno et al. 1984; Roth et al. 2016). Recent

| Cultivar      | Trap colour | Year | *Frankliniella intonsa* | *Thrips fuscipennis* | *Thrips tabaci* | *Aeolothrips intermedius* |
|---------------|-------------|------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
|               |             |      | *r*                     | *P*                 | *r*            | *P*                       |
| Polar         | Blue        | 2009 | 0.532                   | 0.000              | 0.534          | 0.000                     | 0.773 | 0.000                     | 0.349 | 0.020                     |
|               |             |      | 0.721                   | 0.000              | 0.170          | 0.270                     | 0.720 | 0.000                     | 0.638 | 0.000                     |
|               | White       | 2009 | 0.487                   | 0.001              | 0.522          | 0.000                     | 0.589 | 0.000                     | 0.264 | 0.084*                    |
|               |             |      | 0.795                   | −0.229             | 0.136          | 0.000                     | 0.603 | 0.000                     | 0.616 | 0.014                     |
| Izolda        | Blue        | 2009 | 0.626                   | 0.000              | 0.521          | 0.000                     | 0.725 | 0.000                     | 0.388 | 0.009                     |
|               |             |      | 0.658                   | 0.000              | 0.218          | 0.154                     | 0.663 | 0.000                     | 0.353 | 0.019                     |
|               | White       | 2009 | 0.608                   | 0.000              | 0.506          | 0.000                     | 0.686 | 0.000                     | 0.416 | 0.005                     |
|               |             |      | 0.771                   | 0.000              | 0.123          | 0.427*                    | 0.693 | 0.000                     | 0.439 | 0.003                     |
| Tarchalska    | Blue        | 2009 | 0.548                   | 0.000              | 0.649          | 0.000                     | 0.471 | 0.001                     | 0.515 | 0.000                     |
|               |             |      | 0.680                   | 0.000              | 0.267          | 0.079*                    | 0.382 | 0.011                     | 0.353 | 0.019                     |
|               | White       | 2009 | 0.494                   | 0.001              | 0.605          | 0.000                     | 0.440 | 0.003                     | 0.554 | 0.000                     |
|               |             |      | 0.605                   | 0.000              | 0.291          | 0.055*                    | 0.444 | 0.003                     | 0.028 | 0.855*                    |
|               | White       | 2010 | 0.548                   | 0.000              | 0.649          | 0.000                     | 0.471 | 0.001                     | 0.515 | 0.000                     |
|               |             |      | 0.354                   | 0.000              | 0.060          | 0.699*                    | 0.122 | 0.429*                    | −0.097| 0.532*                    |

*No significant at *P* < 0.05
studies by Otieno et al. (2018) indicate that adding blue narrow bandwidth LEDs with a peak emission of 445 nm to the blue sticky traps enhanced their performance for trapping western flower thrips as compared to conventional blue sticky traps. Also, the effects of other stimuli (biochemical, physiological, etc.) may modify insect behaviour (Koschier et al. 2002; Otieno et al. 2018; Symporien et al. 2018). In a detailed study on the susceptibility of pea cultivars to infestation and feeding by T. tabaci, Poboziak (2013) stated that thrips were affected by the higher contents of green pigments in the leaves of pea plants, and the cultivars with grassy or rich green-coloured leaves were the ones most frequently colonised by onion thrips. The positive phototaxis to the green part of the spectrum has also been observed in thrips by Diaz-Montano et al. (2012). There have, however, not yet been any physiological studies to provide information on the photoreceptor system of onion thrips, but, as suggested by some authors, the reflectance of the host plant plays an important role in the selection of a host plant by the insects (Bálint et al. 2013).

The numbers of A. intermedius, which is considered as predaecous, preying on thrips and aphids (Trdan et al. 2002), were lower on white and blue traps than on yellow ones. The lack of a significant correlation (in some cases) between the numbers of thrips caught on white or yellow traps and the samples from plants can be explained by a high variation in flight density. Yellow is a broad-spectrum colour that attracts many insect species, including thrips. However, surprisingly, our results show that yellow traps were less effective in attracting herbivorous thrips (mainly the most harmful T. tabaci) than blue traps. Additionally, the use of yellow traps may be risky because they reduce the densities of the predaecous A. intermedius in pea fields, thus leading to an increase in pest numbers. Yellow traps also attract more Coccinella septempunctata (Maredia et al. 1992) and aphidophagous Syrphidae (Laubertie et al. 2006). Overall, among the tested trap colours, blue traps were the most effective ones in monitoring thrips in pea fields and could be used almost effortlessly, in contrast to the time-consuming sampling of plants, for an early detection of the pest. We found that white traps were not very attractive to thrips. Based on our results, we recommend blue traps for monitoring thrips in pea fields, even though the behavioural mechanisms behind this preference in thrips are not clear. Consequently, the use of blue sticky traps can provide a more ecological control, taking into account the protection of predatory thrips and the sustainability of ecosystems.
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