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Abstract

Background and Aim: Abnormality karyotype of couples can produce susceptibility to infertility or abortion. Therefore, the first step to study these couples should be determining karyotype by cytogenetics method. In this study assay the prevalence of chromosomal abnormalities these couples from western provinces of Iran.

Method: A cytogenetics study was performed on 200 individuals (124 women (28.1 ± 5) and 76 males (30.7 ± 5)) with infertility and/or abortion with age average 30.81 ± 5 using lymphocyte culture and high-resolution G-banding method.

Results: Normal karyotype and various types of chromosomal abnormalities were observed in 138 (69%) and 62 (31%) individuals, respectively. Also, the frequency of translocations, insertions, deletions, inversions and duplications were 40.32% (25), 22.6% (14), 17.74% (11), 9.7% (6) and 9.7% (6) respectively, that the highest frequency was related to changes in transposition or chromosomal displacement with 25 cases (40.3%). In addition, the types of chromosomal abnormalities involving translocations, insertions, deletions, inversions and duplications were detected in 15, 9, 6, 3 and 2 persons, respectively, from 35 patients with RLP and 10, 5, 5, 3 and 4 persons, respectively, of 27 patients with infertility.

Conclusion: This issue can also play a role in the planning of pregnant mother’s health.
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Introduction

Clinically, abortion is defined as the termination of pregnancy before the 18th to 20th week of pregnancy, with an embryo weighing less than 500 grams [1]. Also, Recurrent abortion or recurrent pregnancy loss (RLP) is defined as; is three or more consecutive pregnancy losses prior to 20 weeks from the last menstrual period. Studies strongly suggest that in fertile couples (infertility is: the failure to achieve a clinical pregnancy after 12 months or more of regular unprotected sexual intercourse), pregnancy occurs in at least 60% of normal cycles. Studies also suggest that 50% of these fertility end in the uterus before implantation. The ending date for pregnancy is about 30% shortly after implantation (before the clinical diagnosis of pregnancy), even after clinical diagnosis of pregnancy, 25% of pregnancies usually end in abortion during the first 14 weeks. The most dangerous time is between 6 and 8 weeks after the last menstrual period, with more than 50% of aborted foetuses at this time having chromosomal problems [2-5]. In fact, more than 80% of abortions occur in the first 12 weeks of pregnancy, and chromosomal abnormalities are at least responsible for 50% of them. After the first trimester, abortion rates and incidence of chromosomal abnormalities decrease [3]. Chromosomal abnormalities may occur due to structural disturbances and the displacement of chromosomal fragments in the parent chromosomes or disruptions in the number of embryo chromosomes. Parental chromosomal complexation in 2-3% is abnormal abortion, usually due to chromosomal displacement, chromosome reversal, ringing, and chromosomal microscopy [4-8]. The rate of abortion is 30% immediately after implantation (before the pregnancy is clinically diagnosed). Even after having a pregnancy diagnosed clinically, about a quarter of pregnancies are aborted, which usually occurs within the first 14 weeks. The most dangerous time between weeks 6 and 8 is from the last menstruation. Half of the babies aborting at this time have a chromosomal abnormality. Birth defects have been observed in 3% of the live births, with a significant proportion of these defects (20%) related to chromosomal abnormalities or gene mutations. Some of the aneuploidy, including aneuploise, 13, 18, 21, X, and Y chromosomes can lead to the birth of the baby alive and
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Materials and Methods

This study was a descriptive cross-sectional research and the samples consisted of 200 patients with recurrent abortions and/or infertility with mean age of 30.83 ± 5 from western provinces. 124 women (28.1 ± 5) and 76 males (30.7 ± 5) were identified and selected through genetic laboratories, genetic counselling centres, forensics and infertility centres, as well as obtaining written consent from them. Blood samples were collected and metaphase chromosome spreads were prepared from phytohemagglutin (PHA)-stimulated cultures of peripheral blood lymphocytes at the 800-1000 band level using standard cytogenetics methods. Briefly, at first 10 ml syringes were washed with heparin solution. The specimens were then transferred to sterile tubes and stored at 4°C until the test (maximum 7 hours). Before using the specimens, tubes containing blood were shaken several times. Then, the blood sample was homogenized in heparin tubes of 3 to 5 cc, which causes the plasma to be mixed with the blood sample. For each patient, 2 Falcon 15 cc tubes were selected. One tube was added to the new born cell serum, 4 cc hamf 10 and 0.1 cc PHA (culture stimulant). In another tube, 5 cc pbmax was added, which is a completely nutritious medium including a culture stimulant. At that time, 500 lindas were sampled in each tube (because of the use of two separate tubes, one tube should be used if one does not grow). After sampling, the tubes containing the sample were kept in a 37°C incubator for 72 hours. After 72 hours and reaching the harvest stage, 120 landas were added to each tube and stored at 37°C for 12 minutes. After 5 minutes of 12 minutes, both tubes were identical (because at this stage, each tube grown and reached the time of the harvested so as to have enough of the two precipitates). After the completion of 12 minutes, the tubes (which were joined at this time) were centrifuged at 1500 and 10 minutes. After 10 minutes, the tubes were centrifuged and the supernatant was poured. A hypotonic solution (0.75 g of powdered KCl in 100 ml of pb) was added to the tubes for 14 minutes and continued for 25 minutes at Ben Mari 37°. After 25 minutes, 1 cc of fixation solution (to fix the wind cells that occurred during the hypotonic phase) was poured into 1500 centrifuge for 10 minutes. After 10 minutes, samples were pipetted with glass pipettes. Firstly, 10 drops of slow-air tweeter were added to the tubes. By adding the fixator, the fixed-winded cells shrink and the metaphases out of the cells. After completion of pipetting, the samples were centrifuged for 1500 rpm for 10 minutes. After this stage the precipitate was applied to the tapered tube of the Falcon tube and the supernatant was discarded and a 5 cc fixator was added and the tubes were shaken vigorously (This step is done to wash the sample so that the remaining RBCs were removed). After the addition, the 5 cc sample fixators were centrifuged for 10 minutes with 1500 rpm. After the end of the centrifugation, the samples were ready to stick. At this stage, a humid environment is required to completely open metaphases. For this purpose, an incinerator is used. Ensure that the ambient temperature reaches the desired level for 30 minutes before starting the machine. The slides were cleaned with sterilized gas and then washed away. After wetting the lambs from the patient’s sample, 2 to 3 drops were poured onto the lamella (to the extent that it covers the lamina and drops do not come onto each other). After dropping the drops, they drowned 2 drops of the fixator. The fixator proves the cells and metaphases on the lam. After drying the lam is seen under the microscope. At the end stage, first, 0.5 g of trypsin powder was dissolved by 50 cc PBS, considered as stock, then 10 cc of the stock was loaded with 90 cc PBS, and slides that were previously incubated at 70°C between 5 and 7 hours, they were placed within this solution for 30 seconds. After 30 seconds, the slides were quickly inserted into PBS to eliminate the corrosivity of the trypsin, after which the slides were removed from PBS. Then they were painted with Giemsa color, diluted with PBS, for 3 minutes. In order to examine the karyotype under the microscope, a series of chromosomes was first identified. Then homologous chromosomes were identified. The chromosomes and their pairs were numbered then the chromosome abnormalities were identified. Typically, 20 metaphases were studied for each patient. In the presence of mosaic, 50 metaphases were examined and the results recorded. Infect, the chromosomes were treated with trypsin and then stained with Giemsa stain after ageing. GTG high resolution–banded chromosomes were analysed 20 random metaphases spreads from two independent cultures photographing four metaphase spreads for karyotyping (karyotyping system Ikaros, MetaSystem, USA) according to the International System for Human Cytogenetics Nomenclature criteria

Results

Figure 1 Frequency ratio of healthy patients to non-healthy. 138 (69%) healthy karyotypes and 62 (31%) patients had one of the chromosomal defects.
Of the 200 individuals who became karyotypes, 138 (69%) healthy karyotypes and 62 (31%) patients had one of the chromosomal defects of Inversion, Deletion, Insertion, Duplication and Translocation \((\text{Figure 1})\). Of the total 200, 138 were healthy, with 81 of them female and 57 males. Of the 62 (31%) patients with chromosomal abnormalities, 41 were females and 21 were male \((\text{Table 1})\).

In addition, out of 62 chromosomal changes, the frequency of translocations, insertions, deletions, inversions and duplications were 40.32% (25), (22.6%) (14), (17.74%) (11), (9.7%) (6) and (9.7%) (6) respectively. The highest frequency was related to changes in transposition or chromosomal displacement with 25 cases (40.3%). The list of identified disorders is presented in \(\text{Table 2}\). Other results were that chromosome number 3 had the most changes, and the chromosomes 16, 17, 18 and Y chromosomes were unchanged.

| Sex     | The number of healthy karyotypes | Number of referrals | Frequency based on gender | A total of 200 patients |
|---------|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| female  | 81                               | 124                 | 65.30%                    | 40.50%                  |
| male    | 57                               | 76                  | 75.00%                    | 28.50%                  |

\(\text{Table 2}\) List of chromosomal abnormalities detected.

| Types of chromosomal abnormalities | Observed disorders in individuals                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Inversions                        | 46, xx, inv (3) (q21q26) \hspace{1cm} 46, xx, inv (3) (q22q24) \hspace{1cm} 46, xx, inv (15) (q26.2) \hspace{1cm} 46, xy, inv (7) \hspace{1cm} 46,xx,inv (3;1) (p12p21) |
| Insertions                        | 46, xy, ins (2) (p13q21q31) \hspace{1cm} 46, xy, ins (13) (q14.2q21.1) \hspace{1cm} 46, xy, ins (12) \hspace{1cm} 46, xy, ins (7) (q21.1) \hspace{1cm} 46, xx, ins (6) (q16.1) \hspace{1cm} 46, xy, ins (9) (q34.2) \hspace{1cm} 46, xx, ins (3) (4;10) (q13.1q21.3) \hspace{1cm} 46, xx, ins (8) (q24.2) \hspace{1cm} 46, xx, ins (9;3)(p12p14.1) \hspace{1cm} 46, xx, ins (7) (q21.1) |
| Deletions                         | 46, xy, del(x)(p21p21) \hspace{1cm} 46, xy, del (7) (q11.2p15.2) \hspace{1cm} 46, xy, del (3) (q25.2) \hspace{1cm} 46, xy, del (5) (q13) \hspace{1cm} 46, xx, del (2) (p21p21) \hspace{1cm} 46, xx, del (3) (p13p31) \hspace{1cm} 46, xx, del (1) (q12q23) \hspace{1cm} 46, xx, del (15) (p11.2) \hspace{1cm} 46, xx, del (19) (q13.2) \hspace{1cm} 45, xx,-22 \hspace{1cm} 46, xx, del (9) (q12) |
| Translocations                    | 46, xy, t (5,11) \hspace{1cm} 46, xy, t (10,14) |
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Discussion

Clinically, abortion is defined as the termination of pregnancy before the 20th or 24th week of pregnancy, with an embryo weighing less than 500 grams. In this study, 200 individuals with a mean age of 30.83 ± 5 years with a history of repeated abortion and infertility were examined by cytogenetics method. According results 138 (69%), 62 (31%) of patients had been normal and abnormal karyotype, respectively. The frequency of translocations, insertions, deletions, inversions and duplications were 40.32% (25), (22.6%) (14), (17.74%) (11), (9.7%) (6) and (9.7%) (6) respectively. Also, the results showed that 35 and 27 persons, respectively, complaining of RLP and infertility had chromosomal abnormalities from 62 individuals with abnormality. The frequency of translocations, insertions, deletions, inversions and duplications were 40.32% (25), 22.6% (14), 17.74% (11), 9.7% (6) and 9.7% (6), respectively. In addition, the types of chromosomal abnormalities involving translocations, insertions, deletions, inversions and duplications were detected in 15, 9, 6, 3 and 2 persons, respectively, from 35 patients with RLP and 10, 5, 5, 3 and 4 persons, respectively, of 27 patients with infertility. The highest frequency was related to changes in transposition or chromosomal displacement with 25 cases (40.3%) that was more common than others in both samples. Frequent abortions (two or more unsuccessful pregnancies) affect 2-5% of couples (15). More than 50% of couples who have a history of recurrent abortions are referred to as unspecified or idiopathic causes [8,9]. Studies show that chromosomal...
analysis can determine the cause of 80% of cases of repeat unexplained abortions in women over 35 years of age [15-18]. The cause of 50% of early pregnancy is the most common cause of abnormal abortion during the first trimester of pregnancy, chromosomal abnormalities [19]. More than 80% of abortions occur in the first 12 weeks of pregnancy, and chromosomal abnormalities are at least responsible for 50% of them. After the first trimester, the rate of abortion and the incidence of chromosomal aberrations decrease [20]. Since 1962, Smith has shown that cytogenetics abnormalities of parents are associated with frequent abortions in the family. Several studies have been carried out in this area around the world [21]. In a study conducted in Saudi Arabia, al-Hussein et al. showed 193 couples with a history of repeated abortions that among the mothers with an average age of 30.31 years, genetic abnormalities were found in 11 (2.85%) cases [22].

In a study conducted by Hugo et al. over a four-year period on abortion products in the United States, it was shown that of 273 abortions, 17(6.3%) were caused by chromosomal abnormalities such as displacement and drug abuse [21]. In a study by Rozana Oliveira Gonçalves and colleagues on 240 abortion patients in Brazil, the prevalence of chromosomal abnormalities was 7.3% in women, the most abnormality associated with X chromosome mosaic and the frequency of chromosomal abnormalities in males was 2.1% [23]. A similar study was conducted in the north-eastern Iran on 728 couples by Ghaeze et al., out of 11.7%, they had non-healthy karyotype [24]. Most of the changes identified were related to a balanced transposition with a frequency of 37.85% among other changes. In a study in India, the 1484 aborted patients by Dubey et al. found that the frequency of chromosomal abnormalities in this population was 2%. Among the identified abnormalities in this population, the most change was related to transcription with the frequency of 68.2% [25]. However, in a study by Rajasekhar and colleagues on 420 Indian patients, the frequency of chromosomal abnormalities was reported to be 8.57% [26].

Conclusion

The high prevalence of chromosomal anomalies in the studied population (31%) makes it necessary to carry out genetic counselling and subsequent genetic tests for couples with abortion and infertility before going to pregnancy to prevent the birth of more affected families. This can play a significant role in the planning of pregnant women’s health.
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