Pancreatic hardness: Correlation of surgeon’s palpation, durometer measurement and preoperative magnetic resonance imaging features
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AIM
To evaluate the correlation between subjective assessments of pancreatic hardness based on the palpation, objective measurements using a durometer, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings for assessing pancreatic hardness.

METHODS
Eighty-three patients undergoing pancreatectomies were enrolled. An experienced surgeon subjectively evaluated the pancreatic hardness in the surgical field by palpation. The pancreatic hardness was also objectively evaluated using a durometer and preoperative MRI findings.

RESULTS
The correlation coefficients between subjective palpation and objective measurements were moderate to strong. MRI findings correlated more strongly with subjective palpation than with durometer measurements.

CONCLUSIONS
Subjective palpation, objective measurements, and MRI findings can be used to assess pancreatic hardness, with MRI findings showing the strongest correlation.
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evaluated using a durometer. Preoperative MRI findings were evaluated by a radiologist in terms of the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values, the relative signal intensity decrease (RSID) of the pancreatic parenchyma, and the diameter of the pancreatic parenchyma and duct. Durometer measurement results, ADC values, RSID, pancreatic duct and parenchyma diameters, and the ratio of the diameters of the duct and parenchyma were compared between pancreases judged to be soft or hard pancreas on the palpation. A correlation analysis was also performed between the durometer and MRI measurements.

RESULTS
The palpation assessment classified 44 patients as having a soft pancreas and 39 patients as having a hard pancreas. ADC values were significantly lower in the hard pancreas group. The ductal diameter and duct-to-pancreas ratio were significantly higher in the hard pancreas group. For durometer measurements, a correlation analysis showed a positive correlation with the ductal diameter and a negative correlation with ADC values.

CONCLUSION
Hard pancreases showed lower ADC values, a wider pancreatic duct diameter and a higher duct-to-pancreas ratio than soft pancreases. Additionally, the ADC values, diameter of the pancreatic duct and duct-to-pancreas ratio were closely correlated with the durometer results.
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Core tip: The texture of the pancreas is an important predictive factor for the development of postoperative complications following pancreatic surgery. Durometric measurements correlated well with surgeons’ palpation-based assessment of the hardness of pancreas and histologic evaluation for fibrosis and fat content. If we could estimate the texture of the pancreas preoperatively, it would be very helpful for surgeons to prepare for the possibility of postoperative pancreatic leakage or fistula. Preoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can be used to predict the hardness of pancreas. Apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values measured in MRI were significantly lower in the hard pancreas compared to soft pancreas. The ductal diameter and duct-to-pancreas ratio were significantly higher in the hard pancreas. For durometer measurements, a correlation analysis showed a positive correlation with the ductal diameter and a negative correlation with ADC values.
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INTRODUCTION
The texture of the pancreas is an important predictive factor for the development of postoperative complications following pancreatic surgery. Postoperative pancreatic leakage or fistula formation is more frequent in soft pancreases than hard pancreases[1-5]. The pancreatic texture is determined by a combination of fatty infiltration and fibrotic change. A decreased pancreatic fat content and increased fibrosis are related to a harder pancreatic texture. A hard pancreas resulting from fibrosis has a high suture-hold capacity and low pancreatic juice secretion; thus, pancreatic leaks or pancreatic fistulae occur less frequently in patients with a hard pancreas[6-8].

The texture of the pancreas can be evaluated based on the histologic characteristics of the pancreas, including the degrees of fibrosis and fatty infiltration. However, a considerable amount of time is necessary to acquire histologic results. Therefore, the most commonly used method to assess pancreatic hardness in practice is the surgeon’s subjective determination of pancreatic hardness during the operation. Given that a surgeon’s palpation-based determination may not be reproducible, objective measurement using a durometer was suggested[9]. Durometric measurements correlated well with surgeons’ palpation-based assessment and histologic evaluation for fibrosis and fat content[6,9]. One additional benefit of the durometer is that the durometer is easy to use, and quantitative assessment is possible.

The surgeon’s palpation-based determination and durometer measurement can both be performed intraoperatively. If we could estimate the texture of the pancreas preoperatively, it would be very helpful for surgeons to prepare for the possibility of postoperative pancreatic leakage or fistula. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is one possible candidate for the preoperative evaluation of the texture of the pancreas. Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) can be applied to the pancreas to measure pancreatic fibrosis[10-12]. The degree of fibrosis is negatively correlated with apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values[10,11]. An increase in the fat content is noted in soft pancreases, and the fat content of the pancreas can be estimated using in- and opposed-phase MRI[13]. As pancreatic hardness is caused by increased fibrosis, parenchymal atrophy and duct dilatation resulting from fibrosis are also important parameters to predict pancreatic hardness[14]. Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the correlation among the subjective palpation-based assessment, objective measurements using a
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

This retrospective study was approved by the Institutional Review Board, and the requirement for informed consent was waived. From September 2014 to November 2016, 145 patients underwent some resection of the pancreas, including Whipple's operation, pylorus-preserving pancreatoduodenectomy (PPPD), and distal pancreatectomy. Imaging analysis could not be performed in 62 patients for following reasons: there was no preoperative MRI (n = 32), the preoperative MRI was performed at another hospital (n = 18), DWI was not included in the preoperative MRI (n = 6), the ADC value could not be measured due to little residual pancreatic parenchyma (n = 5), and in-and opposed-phase chemical shift images were not included in the MRI (n = 1). Ultimately 83 patients (50 men and 33 women) with a mean age of 66.6 ± 10.3 years old (range, 34-89) were enrolled in this study.

Measurement of pancreas stiffness (or intraoperative texture analysis)

The surgeon who performed the operation subjectively evaluated the pancreatic hardness by palpation during the operation before resection of the pancreas. The pancreatic hardness was classified into the following four categories: very hard, hard, soft or very soft. Objective measurement of the pancreatic hardness was performed by the same surgeon during the operation using a durometer. A Rex Durometer (Rex Gauge, Buffalo Grove, IL, United States) was placed perpendicular to the pancreatic parenchyma where no tumor was located (Figure 1). The unit of the durometer result was displayed using a 0- to 100-point scale in durometer units (DU).

MRI protocol

All MRI examinations were performed using a 3-T MR unit (Magnetom Verio; Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) with an 8-channel phase-array coil. The detailed parameters of the routine MRI protocol are summarized in Table 1. MR examination consisted of fat-suppressed T2-weighted images, T1-weighted images, chemical shift in- and opposed-phase images, contrast-enhanced T1-weighted images with fat suppression and diffusion-weighted images.

Image analysis

A radiologist with 7 years of experience performed the image analysis. The ADC value of the pancreatic parenchyma was measured three times using an operator-defined irregular shaped ROI (50-100 mm²) on axial images. The mean and minimum ADC values from the ROI with the smallest standard deviation among the three measurements were used for analysis. Estimation of the fat content in the pancreas was performed using a previously suggested method called relative signal intensity decrease (RSID)¹³,¹⁵. The signal intensity (SI) of the spleen was used to normalize the pancreatic SI. The RSID was calculated using the following formula: RSID = 100 × (Pin/Sin - Pop/Sop)/(Pin/Sin). Pin and Pop were the signal intensities of the pancreas during in-phase and opposed-phase imaging, respectively. Sin and Sop were the signal intensities of the spleen during in-phase and opposed-phase imaging. The diameters of the pancreatic duct and pancreatic parenchyma at the body-to-tail junction were measured to evaluate the severity of pancreatic atrophy, and the ratio of duct to parenchyma parameters for assessing pancreatic hardness.

Table 1  Magnetic resonance imaging sequences and parameters

| Parameter       | T2WI HASTE | T1WI | DWI |
|-----------------|------------|------|-----|
| TR              | 800        | 3.2  | 4500|
| TE              | 95         | 1.3  | 56  |
| ETL             | 70         | 1    |     |
| Thickness (mm)  | 6          | 2.8  | 6   |
| Slice gap       | 0          | 0    | 0   |
| FOV (mm²)       | 380 × 309  | 380 × 309 | 400 × 313 |
| Matrix size     | 320 × 156  | 384 × 250 | 120 × 94 |
| NEX             | 2          | 1    | 47  |
| b factor (s/mm²)|            | 0, 500|

TR: Repetition time; TE: Echo time; ETL: Echo train length; FOV: Field of view; HASTE: Half-Fourier acquisition single-shot turbo spin-echo; NEX: Number of excitations; DWI: Diffusion-weighted image; T1WI: T1-weighted image; T2WI: T2-weighted image.
RESULTS

Subjective analysis of pancreatic hardness and image analysis

The surgeon classified 39 patients as having a hard pancreas and 44 patients as having a soft pancreas. The duct diameter and D/P ratio in the hard pancreas group were significantly greater than in the soft pancreas group (\(P < 0.001\)). The mean and minimal ADC values in the hard pancreas group were significantly lower than in the soft pancreas group (\(P = 0.012, 0.004\), respectively) (Table 2). The RSID was not different between two groups. Two representative cases are displayed in Figures 2 and 3.

Durometer and image analysis

The durometer measurement was significantly lower in the soft pancreas group [median 11, interquartile range (IQR) 8-13] than in the hard pancreas group (median 25, IQR 21-28) (\(P < 0.001\)). The mean and minimal ADC were negatively correlated with durometer measurement, and the correlation was statistically significant (\(P < 0.040, r = -0.280\) and \(P < 0.010, r = -0.223\), respectively). The ductal diameter showed a significant correlation with the durometer measurement (\(P < 0.005, r = 0.303\)). There was also significant correlation between the D/P ratio and the durometer measurement (\(P < 0.019, r = 0.257\)).
The diameter of the pancreatic parenchyma and RSID were not correlated with the durometer measurement (P = 0.724, r = 0.039 and P = 0.052, r = 0.214, respectively).

Factors associated with pancreatic hardness

Univariate logistic regression analysis showed that duct diameter, D/P ratio, and ADC_{mean} were the factors that were significantly associated with subjective pancreatic hardness. Because the durometer measurement is an objective measurement of the hardness of the pancreas, it was excluded from the regression analysis. These three significant factors and ADC_{mean}, which had borderline significance in the univariate analysis, were used in the multivariate regression analysis. Only ductal diameter remained significant after multivariate regression analysis. These data are summarized in Table 3.

DISCUSSION

In this study, three methods to measure the hardness of the pancreas, including radiologic findings, durometer measurements and surgeon palpation, were well correlated with each other. Given that the durometer measurement during the operation correlated well with the surgeon’s subjective measurement, the durometer could be considered a good objective measurement method. Among the studied radiological factors, ductal diameter was the factor that most highly correlated with durometer measurement. The mean and minimal pancreatic ADC values were negatively correlated to a statistically significant extent. Therefore, preoperative radiologic evaluation can be useful to predict the texture of the pancreas.

The single radiological factor that remained associated with pancreatic hardness after multivariate regression was ductal diameter. More fibrosis of the pancreas makes the pancreas harder, and duct dilatation is aggravated as the pancreas atrophies and fibrosis progresses. In our study, the diameter of the pancreatic parenchyma was not correlated with durometer measurement. Therefore, dilatation of
the duct is more important to predict fibrosis of the pancreas. As post pancreatectomy fistulae develop less frequently in fibrotic pancreases, duct dilatation may be a predictive marker for pancreatic hardness and risk of postoperative fistula.[6]

There have been several studies regarding the prediction of the texture of the pancreas using preoperative MRI. Advanced MR techniques, such as intravoxel incoherent motion (IVIM) and MR elastography (MRE), have been applied to evaluate pancreas stiffness[17,18]. However, we used more common techniques, including DWI and in- and opposed-phase chemical shift images, because such emerging techniques are not generally available at all institutions. Additionally, the unique feature of our study is the correlation between the MRI measurements and the durometer measurements, which is the more intuitive and direct parameter for the hardness of the pancreas. Our results that the duct diameter and ADC were well correlated with the durometer measurement may be more useful clinically.

In this study, the ADC value was significantly correlated with durometer measurement. The ADC value was independently associated with pancreatic fibrosis and pancreatic stellate cell activity, which is known to produce desmoplasia in chronic pancreatitis and pancreas cancer[10,11]. Considering pancreatic hardness is associated with fibrosis, a low ADC value due to a hard texture can be explained by the decreased diffusion of water and the high density of

**Table 3** Univariate and multivariate regression analyses assessing the association between the factors and pancreatic hardness

|                     | Univariate |                     | Multivariate |                     |
|---------------------|------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|
|                     | Coefficient| SE                  | P value      | Coefficient | SE | P value |
| Parenchyma diameter | -0.022     | 0.044               | 0.611        | 0.007      | 0.003 | 0.923 |
| Duct diameter       | 0.520      | 0.137               | < 0.001      | 1.001      | 0.380 | 0.008 |
| D/P ratio           | 4.819      | 1.442               | 0.001        | -3.616     | 4.102 | 0.378 |
| ADCmean (mm²/s)     | -0.001     | 0.001               | 0.083        | 0         | 0.003 | 0.923 |
| ADCmin (mm²/s)      | -0.002     | 0.001               | 0.017        | -0.004     | 0.003 | 0.166 |
| RSID (%)            | 0.013      | 0.014               | 0.356        | -         | -     | -     |

D/P ratio: Duct/parenchyma ratio; ADC: Apparent diffusion coefficient; ADCmean: Mean ADC value; ADCmin: Minimal ADC value; RSID: Relative signal intensity decreases; SE: Standard error.
fibrin within the tissue. The usefulness of the ADC to evaluate for fibrosis or hardness of the tissue has already been proven in other organs such as the liver and kidney\cite{19-21}. In our study, the minimal ADC value showed a higher degree of correlation than the mean ADC value. As the minimal ADC value indicates the most severe diffusion restriction, this measurement may represent the severity of the fibrosis more accurately than the mean ADC value.

Pancreatic hardness can be associated with fibrosis and fat content. In a previous study, the histological fibrosis stage but not the histological fat fraction differed among groups with different intraoperative pancreatic textures. However, the fat fraction on MRI was a significant factor that correlated with advanced fibrosis of the pancreas, and the fat fraction increased as the degree of fibrosis rose. Furthermore, the fat fraction on histologic examination was not correlated with a subjective assessment of pancreatic texture\cite{18}. Our study showed similar results, and the RSID tended to be higher in the hard pancreas group than in the soft pancreas group, though the difference was not statistically significantly different. A larger proportion of fat in the tissue causes a greater signal decrease in opposed-phase compared with in-phase imaging; thus, a higher RSID means more fat in the tissue. Based on these results, we assumed that the fat fraction of the pancreas may be elevated with fibrosis and that the fat fraction may not independently affect pancreatic hardness.

There are several limitations in this study. First, advanced radiologic techniques such as IVIM and MRE were not applied. As they are relatively new and highly costly, they are not commonly used in many hospitals. Therefore, we thought that generally available techniques including DWI and chemical shift imaging were more useful clinically. Second, image analysis was performed by one radiologist, and the durometer measurements were performed by one surgeon. We could not evaluate the reproducibility of these radiologic and durometric analyses. However, repeated durometer measurement during the operation to evaluate reproducibility was impossible as we did not want to prolong operation time any more than strictly necessary. As the radiologic and durometric assessments were performed by experts, we believe that the reproducibility of the measurement was sufficiently high. Third, considering the retrospective nature of this study, selection bias cannot be avoided. Furthermore, many candidates were not enrolled because of the lack of MRI data. However, we enrolled almost all patients who had data for durometer measurement and MRI to try to overcome this shortcoming.

In conclusion, hard pancreases showed lower ADC values, wider pancreatic duct diameters and higher duct-to-pancreas ratios than soft pancreases. Additionally, the ADC value, pancreatic duct diameter and duct-to-pancreas ratio also correlated well with the durometer results.
