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Abstract

The main objective of the implementation of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in Indonesia is to bring accelerated development in all aspects so that the poverty rate is expected to decrease even disappear. One of the programs that aimed to reduce poverty rates in Indonesia is the provision of housing for the community, especially the underprivileged. Moreover, it is a the million houses program that proclaimed by the Ministry of Public Works and People’s Housing, and this program is correlated with the SDGs, especially goal 11: sustainable cities and communities. The research is relying on secondary data basis primarily from books, journals, published reports and online news. It is expected that this study is able to provide a new insight for the government in building a community residential area, which is to integrate development plans into three dimensions (social, economic, and environment) which are the pillars of sustainable development. Unfortunately, nowadays the government is relying too hard on achieving quantity and a little focus on quality. Furthermore, an experience on the MDGs edition can be an important lesson for the government in realizing SDGs, especially now that SDGs are in line with the points that contained in the RPJMN. Therefore, if the government is able to maximise this global development agenda, it is not impossible that the million houses program can be one of the leading programs in overcoming the problem of poverty, especially housing shortage in Indonesia.
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INTRODUCTION

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) is a global policy initiated by the United Nations (UN) to be applied locally by the members in order to end an extreme poverty for the realisation of sustainable development (UN, 2015). As this program aims to eradicate poverty, it is expected that presence of the SDGs is able to help the acceleration of the development in all aspects in developing countries, including Indonesia.

SDGs is a form of refinement of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) which have broader objectives (17 goals) than MDGs (8 Goals). In more details, SDGs offers a broad goal, including; involve more countries both developed and developing countries, expanding sources of funding, emphasis on human rights, inclusive, the involvement of civil society, the completion target of a more progressive, and load the ways of implementation (Bappenas, 2016). Furthermore, Kumar et. al. (2016) said that the SDGs reflect the increasing consolidation of the convergence of the international development agenda, and to strengthen the equity, human rights, and non-discrimination. However, it could not be stated yet that the SDGs will be more successful than the MDGs, but indeed the presence of SDGs cover up the weaknesses of MDGs.

There are 17 goals and 169 targets that included into SDGs documents (UN, 2015), including ten targets that related to sustainable housing development. Moreover, the accomplishment target that contained in SDGs documents has been included in Indonesian development priorities, and to achieve them, the government realise that it is necessary to syner-gies policies planning at the national level and also local government. Thus, the targets of SDGs have been in line with the Rencana Pembangunan Jangka Menengah Nasional (RPJMN) 2015-2019 at the national level, and it has been structured in the form of programs, indicators, and indications of funding support.

Housing and its problem are one of SDGs resolution, as it is mentioned in Goal 11 (sustainable cities and communities). However, it is lack of research in Indonesia that focused on SDGs and housing matters deeply. Susanti et. al. (2016) correlated the sustainable issues in the smart city and residential density topic but did not argue the SDGs in the discussion. Moreover, Lubis and Sinaga (2018) promoted the SDGs in the opening of discussion, but the research is focused on helping the low-income families to access the affordable house by using the implementation of philanthropy-related regulations. Therefore, this research will examine the implementation of SDGs in Indonesia and it’s housing program, with the hope that this research can generate a new insight for the government in building public housing, which is to build a house by promoting the concept of sustainability.

The discussion will be divided into three sub-discussion, first of all, the transformation and comparison of MDGs and SDGs. Secondly, what is the action plan that taken by the Indonesian government to succeed the SDGs in 2030? And lastly, it will be a focus on Goal 11, especially housing program named a million houses which implemented to tackle the housing shortages toward to end the social and economic problems (zero goals).

RESEARCH METHODS

This paper is a qualitative study that utilises secondary data as the main research analysis. Andrews, et.al. (2012) stated secondary data analysis as one of the research strategies that utilise a quantitative or qualitative data set that has been available, and the data is used to reveal new problems or test the results of previous research. Meanwhile, Johnston (2014) argued that secondary data analysis as a research technique which is rarely
used in various fields, despite that this technique is known as a systematic method of research.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The presence of a global agenda is able to provide a new insight into a country in carrying out its development. Starting from Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), then it is continued by Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) that is currently running. SDGs aim to build and expand the coverage of the MDGs that have ended in the same year when SDGs launched, and this international platform tries to integrate economic and social development with environmental sustainability.

Meanwhile, creating a sustainable city and settlement is one of the important agendas of attention to the formation of SDGs, especially Goal 11 that is to realise urban and residential areas that are inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable.

MDGs to SDGs

With the end of the implementation of the MDGs by 2015, the United Nations (UN) as the highest institution in the world continue to invite the members to remain committed to undertaking the development that is globally and implemented locally jointly. The collective agreement was born on 25 September 2015 by the legalisation of documents Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. Since that time, the MDGs officially replaced by the SDGs. Here will be discussed the differences between both of them, as it can be seen in Table 1.

The mission that promoted by the SDGs is zero percent, this is likely a lesson that drawn from the MDGs where many countries were able to exceed the target which was only 50%. Furthermore, all countries both developed and developing are eligible to take part in sustainable development. Meanwhile, regarding formulation process, the MDGs built by elites, compare to SDGs was erected in a way that was more participatory by involving some communities around the world through a survey conducted by the UN and its partner agencies. The survey itself was named Myworld Survey, and it can be accessed by real-time through the site data.myworld2015.org. Last, SDGs offers broader goals than the MDGs, it can be seen from the number of objectives and indicators used to achieve this development program, and there are no housing development issues in the MDGs.

In the implementation, there are many controversies and criticisms against MDGs. Bello and Suleman (2011) identified several problems on MDGs; (i) the methodology is inconsistent and difficult to assess the progress, (ii) indicators of quality data are lacking, (iii) the targets are too ambitious and unrealistic, (iv) some countries and regions made biased by the baseline year, (v) the reports of number of countries riddled with inconsistencies. The MDGs is unfair because of some countries unable to gain the full benefit of MDGs, especially African countries (Clemens and Moss, 2005; Easterly, 2008). On the other hand, Rippin (2013) believed that the MDGs has given the lessons for the stakeholders to formulate any new development concept. Thus, by his weaknesses, the MDGs failed to bring the prosperity to some countries; however, the MDGs succeed to stimulated the new era of the development program which is the Sustainable Development Goals.

Despite the fact that the SDGs is intended to replace the MDGs, it cannot yet be known whether the achievement of the SDGs will surpass the MDGs. Battersby (2016) argued that SDGs presented new goals as well as same gaps. Moreover, SDGs is not a binding agreement, but only voluntary agreements. This can cause harm to the achievement of targets, as the
The state may feel more tempted to withhold its commitments (Fitri and Vonda, 2016). Thus, SDGs is not a perfect human product, however, by its comprehensive goals, it is likely that the existence of SDGs will at least mask the weaknesses of the MDGs.

**SDGs in Indonesia**

At the end of the program, Indonesia has managed to achieve most of the goals of 49 of the 67 indicators of the MDGs. Nevertheless, according to Bappenas (2016) there are still some achievement targets were not fully achieved, as well as the disparity in attainment of the objectives between provinces is still very broad, among others; (i) poverty reduction which is based on the national poverty line, (ii) an increase in the minimum consumption under 1400 kcal/capita/day, (iii) a decrease in maternal mortality rate, (iv) countermeasures of HIV/AIDS, (v) water supply and sanitation in the rural area. It is not surprising that Indonesia not entirely successful to implement MDGs because they started ten years late from the baseline year. However, Indonesian government still has an opportunity in SDGs; thus they will be continued to finish the problems that have not been done in MDGs.

And to implement the SDGs in Indonesia, the government appointed the Badan Perencanaan dan Pembangunan Nasional (Bappenas) as coordinator to for-

| MDGs (2000-2015) | SDGs (2015-2030) |
|------------------|------------------|
| **50 percent**   | **100 percent**  |
| The goal is half, like halving poverty. Target tends to bear. The attainment in 2015, many countries were able to exceed the target. | The target is to end all forms of social problems such as hunger and poverty completely (zero) without the slightest remaining. |
| **From developed countries to developing countries** | **Universally applicable** |
| MDGs posit that poor and developing countries that have a lot of homework to be completed. Meanwhile, the developed countries support the efforts made by these countries through the provision of funds. | SDGs looked all countries have homework; therefore, each state must overcome. Each country together in synergy to make policy and find the necessary resources. |
| **Top-Down approach** | **Bottom-Up approach** |
| MDGs were formulated by the elites (UN and OECD) without any process of consultations or meetings and a survey of residents. | The formulation of SDGs is more participatory than MDGs, there was face to face meetings and attended by more than 100 countries. Also, there was a citizen survey. |
| **Partial solution** | **Comprehensive solution** |
| The eight purposes of the MDGs were not comprehensive. Some important issues such as ecology and environmental were not getting attention. Likewise the issue of taxes and the financing of development, and so forth. | Contains 17 objectives which seek to change the structure and systems. There are global issues such as; good governance, social inequality, urban problems, and so forth. |
mulate a Rencana Aksi Nasional (RAN) which can be used as a reference for all stakeholders both at national and regional level. RAN is the five years work plan document for the implementation of various activities that directly and indirectly support the achievement of SDGs that adapts to the national target. Moreover, RAN is expected to be able to build commitment of stakeholders at a national and provincial level to succeed in achieving SDGs in 2030.

To formulate the RAN, the Bappenas integrated the SDGs, as the SDGs has become a reference in the national development programs in Indonesia, with RPJMN 2015-2019 which is the five years planning document for the medium term. RPJM is the third phase of the Rencana Pembangunan Jangka Panjang (RPJP) 2005-2025, and it has been a reference for each ministry/agency and other stakeholders in designing of strategic planning and the work plan in Indonesia. Furthermore, the attainment of SDGs and RPJMN 2015-2019 in RAN have conducted in the form of policy formulation, an establishment of programs/activities, objectives, indicators, and guarantee the provision of funding sources.

SDGs and RPJMN primarily derived from a different perspective, RPJMN designed based on regional issues concerning the welfare and sovereignty of Indonesia, while SDGs centred on global issues related to the continuity of the world that should be followed by all countries. Even though so, substantively, there were no significant contradictions in both the development agenda. Even in chapter 3.4 RPJMN written that the government is ready to bring the national development programme to a global context, which ultimately SDGs mentioned as a reference.

| No. | RPJMN 2015-2019                                                                 | SDGs                   |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1.  | Bringing back the country to protect the people and provide security to all citizens. (10 actions) | Goals 9-10, 13, and 16-17 |
| 2.  | Establishing the clean, efficient, democratic, and reliable governance. (5 actions) Indonesia builds from the periphery to strengthen the local and the villages within the framework of a unitary state. (3 actions) Strengthening the country’s presence in reforming the law enforcement system and corruption-free, dignified and reliable. (6 actions) Improving the quality of human life and the society in Indonesia. (5 actions) Improving people’s productivity and competitiveness in the international market. (11 actions) Realising economic independence by moving the strategic sectors of the domestic economy. (9 actions) A revolution of national character. (1 action) Strengthening a diversity and cultural restoration Indonesia. (1 action) | Goals 5, 10, and 16-17 Goals 1-12 Goals 5, 10, and 14-16 Goals 1-4, 6, 8, and 10 Goals 8-11, and 17 Goals 2, 6-9, and 12-15 Goal 4 Goals 5, 10, and 16-17 |
However, it should be stressed that the SDGs is not the foreign interests that forced into the national development agenda, it is on the contrary that the SDGs is full accordance with the ideals of national development and bullet point contained in the SDGs is to strengthen RPJMN. Therefore, the SDGs can be easily integrated into the national development agenda. Furthermore, the convergence of the national agenda with the global agenda offers an opportunity for countries to engage internationally and regionally, and the 17 goals of SDGs will provide a platform for a sustainable global partnership and provide benefits to the countries and stakeholders for their participation. And the similarity between SDGs with RPJMN can be seen in table 2.

The national development agenda is discussed in chapter six of RPJMN 2015-2019, there are nine targets that Indonesian government wants to gain in this medium-term, and all of the 17 goals of SDGs are parallel and have been included in nine goals of RPJMN 2015-2019. The table shows that Goal 10 of SDGs (reduced inequalities) is the most severe problem, and it is raising in Indonesia (World Bank, 2016). Thus, it is not surprising that seven of the nine targets contained in RPJMN focuses on reducing inequality. Meanwhile, housing development issue which is the primary concentrate of this essay include two points of RPJMN 2015-2019, those are points 3 and 6.

Achievement of the target of SDGs has been established through the Presidential Regulation No. 59 of 2017 on the Implementation of the Achievement of Sustainable Development Objectives, while Government Regulation Number 14 the Year 2016 on the Implementation of Housing and Settlement Area is a specific description of the implementation plan of Goal 11. The birth of these two legal products is one a form of seriousness demonstrated by the government towards the realization of SDGs in 2030.

### The Million Houses Program

One of the bases for assessing the quality of life and welfare of communities is housing, and it is also a crucial feature of sustainable development (Golubchikov and Badyina, 2012). As it is known along that the shelter is one of three primary requirements in addition to clothing and food, thus it can be said that the house is an absolute necessity and a prerequisite for prosperity. Unfortunately, not everyone can meet housing needs, and it can happen because of lack of income, so the ability to buy or rent is low, and it also could be due to unavailability of land for building, especially in urban areas.

Housing problems faced in the modern era is more complex than for the time being because there is a shortage of housing supply everywhere around the world. It is estimated that 863 million people currently occupy the slums area, and the number is projected to double by 2030 (Millington and Cleland, 2017). Therefore, urban poverty eradication which has been mandated in SDGs is a major challenge that must be addressed.

In Indonesia, there are still many people who are forced to become homeless which eventually causes them to have to sleep in a place that is not suitable as; under a bridge, riverbank, railroads, and other slum areas. According to the static table of BPS (2017), the percentage of households that have their homes has increased from 78% in 2010 to 82.63% in 2015, unfortunately, it decreased to 79.61% in 2017. Thus, although there are fluctuations that have resulted in a house ownership declining in the last two years, overall, the percentage of home ownership continues to increase over time.

Meanwhile, according to the data referred to the government, the slums area in Indonesia currently is 38,431 hectares, with details of 23,473 acres in urban areas and 11,957 hectares of the countryside (Pitoko, 2016). The effort to
free the slum areas integrated with the provision of houses for low-income people, and to meet housing needs, the Indonesian government through the Ministry of Public Works and Public Housing has been running the program a million homes since 2015. This program is part of government efforts to succeed the SDGs especially Goal 11, and the following of Table 3 describes the million houses program in RAN.

From the figure, it can be seen that both SDGs and RPJMN have one vision, that is to free the vile area to be a comfortable space for the community to live, and by its power and resources building houses is likely a simple task for government. Despite the fact that building a house is an easy thing for the government, it can be a difficult circumstance to build a home that meets sustainability characteristic.

Sustainability is maintaining the continuity of the human needs for an extended period into the future (Common and Stagl, 2005), and to accomplish a sustainable home there are three aspects of sustainable development that have to consider, namely; environmental, social, and economic (Mignaqui, 2014). Moreover, Golubchikov and Badyina (2012) said that sustainable housing is crucial to be applied for the benefit of the future because it can offer a vast spectrum in promoting economic development, environmental management, improved quality of life and social equality. Thus, the million homes program is a great effort from the government to overcome the shortage of houses and providing the affordable houses for low-income families in Indonesia, but the quantity must be accompanied by quality, that is to build a home which is sustainable. According to Teferi and Newman (2017), the development of policies concerning urban revitalisation currently has been evolving from total clearance and eviction approaches to a laxer, more economically, socially, and environmentally sustainable approach. Thus, nowadays sustainability is a concept that is being warmly discussed and applied by stakeholders.

Discussing those dimension of sustainable more deeply. First, let have a look at environmental sustainability. Environmental aspects of sustainable housing are everything that related to climate change and the environment, and how the environmental impact of the housing itself (Golubchikov and Badyina, 2012). In 2015, about 33 million people live in slums (Agustian, 2015), and that was not a good environmental to live. It has been believed that there are many environmental problems caused by slums, such as the

### Table 3. The RAN (Goal 11 of SDGs/Housing Development)

Source: Bappenas (2016)

| Target of SDGs | Indicator | Target of RPJMN | Indicator | Baseline (2015) | Target (2030) |
|----------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|
| By 2030, ensure access for all to adequate housing, safe, affordable housing, and essential services, as well as organise slum. | The proportion of urban population living in slums, informal settlements or house that is not feasible. | Achieving a reduction of urban slums | Regional urban slum area | 38,431 ha | ha |
sanitation and drainage which are not in a healthy functioning, as well as the waste that has not been managed properly, and others environmental problems. Then these conditions provide a source of pollution which eventually led to the root of the spread of diseases. Moreover, as has been mentioned earlier that recently the slum areas in Indonesia is 38,431 acres, and approximately 2,562 hectares have to be alleviated annually to meet the realisation of SDGs that is zero percent in 2030. Thus, the million housing project which likely to apply environmental sustainability will be able to be a bridge to overcome the environmental problems that are nearby, as is the case with public health, energy efficiency, water and sanitation, waste management and recycling, green spaces, and others environmental mitigation measures.

Second, providing low-cost housing with high quality, inclusive and diverse (mixed-tenure and mixed-income), healthy, safe and comfortable, and well-integrated into the broader socio-spatial are the characteristics of social sustainability (Golubchikov and Badyina, 2012). According to Kemempupera (2016), the million houses program aim to build one million units annually, with details of 700,000 units specifically earmarked for low-income people, and 300,000 units for non-low income. Thus, the purposes of the million houses program is to provide the low-cost housing for low-income people, and also there are some units traded for commercial needs, so it is not only affordable but also inclusive and diverse which mean that this program represents social aspects of sustainability.

However, this program has the potential of social risks which is the emergence of social jealousy between beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries caused by inaccuracy target recipients of the program, especially for those who are entitled to a house but not included in the list of the beneficiaries. Moreover, it is a fact that Indonesian government have bad experience in delivering a program, and some previous experience shows there was an imprecision target in allocating social assistance, for example, rice for the poor program; that there were still some people who were not entitled to be beneficiaries of this social program (World Bank, 2012). Of course, the case should be a lesson for the government to run the program as accurately as possible. So the million homes program will be thoroughly enjoyed by low-income people.

The last is economic dimensions of sustainability. Everything that related to the functioning of housing will have implications on the operation of the economy, such as standards of productivity and human mobility; standard household expenditure and public spending; platform of economic activity and the primary field of work; and part of the natural resources and energy flow (Golubchikov and Badyina, 2012). The million houses program is likely as the economic locomotive which will run others economic activities. First, this housing construction needs worker; thus it will take a lot of employees with different skills to fill some positions needed. Second, this housing development will open a great opportunity for a private investor to invest the funds that will be profitable for them. Third, the wheels of the economy will be faster, seeing the needs of construction goods will increase, then when ready for the habitation of the occupants require some new household furniture. And others economic benefits. Moreover, as it is mainly targeted for low-income families, the affordable price is required.

Tibajuka (2009) said that affordable housing is seen as a primary instrument of social welfare because it can reduce poverty, create justice, and guarantee the rights of the housing. Moreover, the most important thing that affordable housing can provide is; it can present all three dimensions of the sustainability aspect, and
this can also affect the 13 goals set in the SDGs out of 17 goals directly and indirectly (UN, 2014). Thus, affordable housing is a productive asset which has a significant contribution to the national welfare and economic development.

Nevertheless, since running in 2015, the million houses program unfortunately never caught one million units, only 699,770 units in 2015 and 805,169 units in 2016 (Pitoko, 2017). According to Caiserio (2016), at least there are seven main challenges faced currently by the government in order to realise a million homes program, namely; (i) the housing data are less accurate; (ii) the program has not been well communicated to stakeholders in the region; (iii) the housing is not a major program of local government; (iv) the local government regulations relating to the construction house/residential not yet supported; (v) housing construction licencing in the form of requirements and permit issuance process is complicated; (vi) the land prices are expensive and limited, (vii) the high requirements of mortgages by Bank of Indonesia. By those huge obstacles, it is not surprising that the government unable to realise one million units annually, however, there is an increasing number from 2015 to 2016 which shows that there is a sight of progress in the effort to achieve the one million target annually. Moreover, the government still have a long time to realise the goal, and it is likely the program will meet the objective at the end of the program if the government improve their performance by fix the data accuracy, build a better communication to local authorities and the bank, and also controlling the land price. Thus, the zero poverty is likely will be achieved in 2030.

CONCLUSION

There is an exclusion of economic and environmental aspects in the MDGs, and it too relies on social development issues only, such as poverty, health, and education. The imbalances of development become a shortage of MDGs, and SDGs present to balance the development plan by integrating the three dimensions (social, economic, and environmental) as well which eventually became the three top pillars of sustainable development. Moreover, SDGs was born through an open process, and it applies universally that makes all nations have a chance to develop.

Indonesia has gained valuable experience in the implementation of the global agenda such as the MDGs into their national policies, of course, it can be easier for the government to re-adopt the world program such as SDGs. Convergence is happening between SDGs and RPJMN, and it represents the union of global objectives that have been reflected in the national agenda. This convergence has been integrated explicitly into national policies and regional levels as well.

The million houses program is an excellent program to help low-income families to have their own homes, and it also supports the sustainable development as long as it meets environment, social, and economic aspects. Unfortunately, the government unable to achieve a million target annually. However, it will be better if the government focus more on quality which is applying sustainability concept to the program rather than quantity which is pursuing millions target annually. Therefore, the Success of this program is likely will depend on the political willingness of the government and community participation in the long term. However, regardless of its obstacles, it still a long way to go for the government to deal with the complications and objec- tify the program in 2030.
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