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Guesswork of a Quantum Ensemble

Michele Dall’Arno, Francesco Buscemi, and Takeshi Koshiba, Member, IEEE

Abstract—The guesswork of a quantum ensemble quantifies the minimum number of guesses needed in average to correctly guess the state of the ensemble, when only one state can be queried at a time. Here, we derive analytical solutions of the guesswork problem subject to a finite set of conditions, including the analytical solution for any qubit ensemble with uniform probability distribution. As explicit examples, we compute the guesswork for any qubit regular polygonal and polyhedral ensemble.

Index Terms—Guesswork, quantum states, quantum measurements, quantum state discrimination.

I. INTRODUCTION

We consider a communication scenario involving two parties, Alice and Bob. An ensemble $\rho$ of quantum states with labels in a set $\mathcal{M}$ is given and known to both parties. At each round, Alice picks a label $m \in \mathcal{M}$ with probability $\text{Tr}[\rho(m)]$ and hands state $\rho(m)$ to Bob. Bob aims at correctly guessing label $m$ being allowed to query one element of $\mathcal{M}$ at a time, until his query is correct, at which point the round is over. The cost function incurred by Bob is the average number of guesses, or guesswork, until he correctly guesses $m$. Bob’s most general strategy consists of performing a quantum measurement $\pi$ outputting an element $n$ from the set $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{M}$ of numberings of $\mathcal{M}$ and querying the elements of $\mathcal{M}$ in the order specified by $n$. Hence, the guesswork is given by the occurrence of label $m$ in numbering $n$, averaged over all numberings. Using the formalism [1] of quantum circuits, the setup is as follows:

$$m \in \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\rho(m)} \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\pi(n)} n \in \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{M}. \tag{1}$$

The guesswork has been extensively studied for classical ensembles [2]–[12], but only very recently tackled for quantum ensembles [13]–[15]. While previous works focused on the derivation of entropic bounds, our aim is instead the derivation of analytical solutions. Our main result, Theorem 1, provides an analytical solution subject to a finite set of conditions. In particular, Corollary 1 provides the analytical solution for any qubit ensemble with uniform probability distribution, thus disproving the conjecture [13] that analytical solutions exist only for binary and symmetric ensembles. As explicit examples, in Corollaries 2 and 3 we explicitly compute the minimum guesswork of any qubit regular polygonal and polyhedral ensembles, respectively. This proves a conjecture [14] on the guesswork of the square qubit ensemble.

II. FORMALIZATION

In this section we define the guesswork problem. We use standard results from quantum information theory [1].

First, we introduce the sets of ensembles and numbering-valued measurements that appear in the setup of Eq. (1). For any finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, we denote with $\mathcal{L}_+(\mathcal{H})$ the cone of positive semi-definite operators on $\mathcal{H}$. For any finite set $\mathcal{M}$, we denote with $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{M}$ the set of numberings given by

$$\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{M} := \{n : \{1, \ldots, |\mathcal{M}|\} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} | n \text{ bijective}\}.$$ 

We denote with $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H})$ the set of ensembles given by

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H}) := \left\{ \rho : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_+(\mathcal{H}) \left| \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \text{Tr}[\rho(m)] = 1 \right. \right\},$$

and with $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H})$ the set of numbering-valued measurements given by

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H}) := \left\{ \pi : \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_+(\mathcal{H}) \left| \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{M}} \pi(n) = 1 \right. \right\}.$$ 

Next, we introduce the probability distributions that describe the setup in Eq. (1). For any ensemble $\rho$ and any numbering-valued measurement $\pi$, we denote with $p_{\rho, \pi}$ the joint probability distribution that the outcome of $\pi$ is numbering $n$ and that the $t$-th guess is correct, that is $n(t) = m$. In formula:

$$p_{\rho, \pi} : \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{M} \times \{1, \ldots, |\mathcal{M}|\} \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

$$(n, t) \mapsto \text{Tr}[\rho(n(t)) \pi(n)],$$
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for any $\rho \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H})$ and any $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_M, \mathcal{H})$. We denote with $q_{\rho, \pi}$ the probability distribution that the $t$-th guess is correct, obtained marginalizing the joint probability distribution $p_{\rho, \pi}$. In formula:

$$q_{\rho, \pi} : \{1, \ldots, |M|\} \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

$$t \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_M} p_{\rho, \pi}(n, t),$$

for any $\rho \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H})$ and any $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_M, \mathcal{H})$.

Finally, we are in a position to introduce the guesswork. The guesswork $G$ is a function mapping any pair $(\rho, \pi)$ of ensemble and numbering-valued measurement into the expectation value of the number $t$ of guesses, averaged with the probability distribution $q_{\rho, \pi}$ of correctness of the $t$-th guess. In formula:

$$G : \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_M, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$$

$$(\rho, \pi) \mapsto \frac{1}{t} \sum_{t=1}^{|M|} q_{\rho, \pi}(t, t).$$

The minimum guesswork $G_{\text{min}}$ is a function mapping any ensemble $\rho$ into the minimum over numbering-valued measurements of the guesswork $G$. In formula:

$$G_{\text{min}} : \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$$

$$\rho \mapsto \min_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_M, \mathcal{H})} G(\rho, \pi).$$

### III. Main Results

In this section we derive the analytical solution of the guesswork problem subject to a finite set of conditions, including any qubit ensemble with uniform probability distribution.

In order to state our main result, we need the following definitions. For any finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, we denote with $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ the space of Hermitian operators on $\mathcal{H}$. For any finite set $\mathcal{M}$ and any ensemble $\rho \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H})$, we denote with $E_\rho : \mathcal{N}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ the map given by

$$E_\rho (n) := \sum_{t=1}^{|M|} (2t - |M| - 1) \rho(n(t)),$$

for any $n \in \mathcal{N}_M$. For any numbering $n \in \mathcal{N}_M$, we denote with $\overline{n}$ the reversed numbering. In formula:

$$\overline{n}(t) := n(|M| + 1 - t),$$

for any $t \in \{1, \ldots, |M|\}$. We denote with $\Pi_- (\cdot)$ and $\Pi_0 (\cdot)$ the projectors on the negative and null parts of $(-\cdot)$, respectively. We denote with $\{\pi_{\rho, n} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_M)^{n \in \mathcal{N}_M}\}$ the family of numbering-valued measurements given by

$$\pi_{\rho, n}(n) := \begin{cases} (\Pi_- + \frac{1}{2} \Pi_0)(E_\rho(n)) & \text{if } n \in \{n^*, \overline{n}\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for any $n^*, n \in \mathcal{N}_M$. It follows from Lemma 1 that the corresponding guesswork is given by

$$G(\rho, \pi_{\rho, n^*}) = \frac{|M| + 1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \|E_\rho(n^*)\|_1,$$

for any $n^* \in \mathcal{N}_M$.

Upon denoting with $| \cdot |$ the absolute value of operator $(-\cdot)$, the following theorem provides analytical solutions of the minimum guesswork problem subject to a finite set of conditions.

**Theorem 1:** For any finite set $\mathcal{M}$, any finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and any ensemble $\rho \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H})$, if there exists numbering $n^* \in \mathcal{N}(M)$ such that

$$|E_\rho(n^*)| \geq E_\rho(n),$$

for any $n \in \mathcal{N}_M$, then numbering-valued measurement $\pi_{\rho, n^*} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_M, \mathcal{H})$ minimizes the guesswork, that is

$$G_{\text{min}}(\rho) = G(\rho, \pi_{\rho, n^*}).$$

We remark that, while the minimum guesswork problem is by definition an optimization problem over a continuous set, the conditions given by Eq. (3) are finite in number and hence can be checked by exhaustive search. If they hold, Eq. (2) provides the analytical solution of the minimum guesswork problem.

**Proof:** Due to Lemma 1 one has $G_{\text{min}}(\rho) = (|M| + 1 + x_\rho)/2$, where

$$x_\rho := \min_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_M)} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_M} \text{Tr} \left[ E_\rho(n) \frac{\pi(n) - \pi(\overline{n})}{2} \right].$$

Since for any $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_M)$ the sum is lower bounded by its minimum term, one has

$$x_\rho \geq y_\rho := \min_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_M)} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_M} \text{Tr} \left[ E_\rho(n) \frac{\pi(n) - \pi(\overline{n})}{2} \right].$$

Using Lemma 2, for any $n \in \mathcal{N}_M$ the minimum over $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_M)$ can be computed leading to

$$y_\rho = - \max_{n \in \mathcal{N}_M} \|E_\rho(n)\|_1.$$

Using Eq. (3), Lemma 3, and again $E_\rho(n) = -E_\rho(\overline{n})$, the maximum over $n \in \mathcal{N}_M$ can be computed leading to

$$y_\rho = - \|E_\rho(n^*)\|_1.$$

Since $G(\rho, \pi_{\rho, n^*}) = (|M| + 1 + y_\rho)/2$, the statement follows. \hfill \Box

The following corollary provides the analytical solution of the minimum guesswork problem for any qubit ensemble with uniform probability distribution.

**Corollary 1:** For any finite set $\mathcal{M}$, any two dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and any ensemble $\rho \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H})$ such that the prior probability distribution $\text{Tr}[\rho(\cdot)] = |M|^{-1}$ is uniform, there exists numbering $n^* \in \mathcal{N}_M$ such that measurement $\pi_{\rho, n^*}$ minimizes the guesswork, that is

$$G_{\text{min}}(\rho) = G(\rho, \pi_{\rho, n^*}).$$

We remark that Corollary 1 recasts the minimum guesswork problem, by definition an optimization problem over a continuous set, as an optimization problem over a finite set, that can be therefore performed by exhaustive search.

**Proof:** Since by hypothesis $\text{Tr}[\rho(\cdot)] = |M|^{-1}$, one has

$$\text{Tr}[E_\rho(n)] = 0,$$
for any \( n \in N_M \). Hence, since by hypothesis \( H \) is two-
dimensional, one has

\[
|E_\rho(n)| = \|E_\rho(n)\|_1 \frac{1}{\pi},
\]

for any \( n \in N_M \). Hence, the range \( |E_\rho(N(M))| \) is totally
ordered. Hence, there exists \( n^* \) such that

\[
|E_\rho(n^*)| \geq |E_\rho(n)| \geq E_\rho(n),
\]

for any \( n \in N_M \). Hence the statement follows from
Theorem 1. \( \Box \)

IV. Explicit Examples

In this section we provide the minimum guesswork of any qubit
regular polygonal or polyhedral ensemble by explicitly solving the
optimization over a finite set given by Corollary 1.

Corollary 2 (Regular Polygonal Ensembles): For any dis-
crete set \( M \), any two-dimensional Hilbert space \( H \), and any
bijective ensemble \( \rho \in \mathcal{E}(M,H) \) whose range \( \rho(M) \) is
proportional to a regular polygon in the Bloch circle, one has

\[
G_{\min}(\rho) = \frac{|M|+1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{2, \cos(\frac{\pi}{|M|})+1}{|M| \sin(\frac{\pi}{|M|})}, & \text{if } |M| \text{ even,} \\
\frac{\cos(\frac{\pi}{|M|})}{|M| \sin(\frac{\pi}{|M|})}, & \text{if } |M| \text{ odd.}
\end{array} \right.
\]

Proof: Due to Corollary 1, there exists numbering \( n^* \in N(M) \)
such that \( G_{\min}(\rho) = G(\rho, \pi_{\rho,n^*}) \). Due to Lemma 4,
\( q_{\rho,\pi_{\rho,n^*}} \) is not increasing. One way of representing \( n^* \)
is as follows. Without loss of generality take \( M = \{1, \ldots, |M|\} \)
and \( \rho(m) = |M|^{-1} |\psi_m\rangle \langle \psi_m| \), where \( |\psi_m\rangle = \cos(2\pi m/|M|) |0\rangle + \sin(2\pi m/|M|) |1\rangle \). Then one has

\[
n^*(m) = \begin{cases} 2m & \text{if } m < \frac{|M|+1}{2}, \\ -2m+2|M|+1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

Numbering \( n^* \) is illustrated in Fig. 1 for \( |M| = 8 \). By
summing finite trigonometric series, for \( |M| \) even one has

\[
E_\rho(n^*) = \frac{1}{|M|} \left[ -2 \cot \left( \frac{\pi}{|M|} \right)^2 - 1 - \cot \left( \frac{\pi}{|M|} \right) \right].
\]

and for \( |M| \) odd one has

\[
E_\rho(n^*) = \frac{1}{2|M|} \left[ -\cot \left( \frac{\pi}{2|M|} \right)^2 - \cot \left( \frac{\pi}{2|M|} \right) \right].
\]

By explicit computation one has

\[
\|E_\rho(n^*)\|_1 = \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{\frac{\cos(\frac{\pi}{|M|})+1}{|M| \sin(\frac{\pi}{|M|})}}, & \text{if } |M| \text{ even,} \\
\frac{2}{|M| \sin(\frac{\pi}{2|M|})}, & \text{if } |M| \text{ odd.} \end{cases}
\]

Hence the statement follows from Eq. (2). \( \Box \)

Corollary 3 (Regular Polyhedral Ensembles): For any dis-
crete set \( M \), any two-dimensional Hilbert space \( H \), and any
bijective ensemble \( \rho \in \mathcal{E}(M,H) \) whose range \( \rho(M) \) is
proportional to a regular polyhedron in the Bloch sphere, one has

\[
G_{\min}(\rho) = G(\rho, \pi_{\rho,n^*}) \text{, when } \rho \in \mathcal{E}(M,S^2) \text{ is a bijective ensemble such that } \rho(M) \text{ is proportional to a regular polyhedron (}\{|M| = 8 \text{ in the figure) in the Bloch sphere.}
\]

Proof: Due to Corollary 1, there exists numbering \( n^* \in N(M) \)
such that \( G_{\min}(\rho) = G(\rho, \pi_{\rho,n^*}) \). For \( |M| = 4 \) any
\( n^* \in N(M) \) such that \( G_{\min}(\rho) = G(\rho, \pi_{\rho,n^*}) \), hence the
result for $|M| = 4$ follows. Let us consider the case $|M| > 4$. Due to Lemma 4, $q_{p, \pi}$ is not increasing. Since the range $\rho(M)$ is centrally symmetric, that is
\[
\rho(M) = |M|^{-1} I - \rho(M),
\]
any $n^*$ with $q_{p, \pi}$, not increasing satisfies
\[
\rho(n^*(\cdot)) + \rho(n^*(\cdot)) = |M|^{-1} I.
\]
Since fixing the value of $n^*(t)$ also fixes the value of $\pi^*(t)$, numbering $n^*$ can be found in $|M|!$ steps. Also, since regular polyhedra are vertex transitive, the choice of $n^*(1)$ is irrelevant, hence $n^*$ can be found in $|M| = 20$ and hence $|M|! = 10^8$. Numbering $n^*$ is illustrated in Fig. 2 for $|M| = 6$. Hence the results for $|M| > 4$ follow. Further details can be found in Ref. [15], where algorithms for the classical computation of the quantum guesswork in analytical closed form based on the present results are provided and analyzed.

\section*{APPENDIX}

In this appendix we derive technical results needed for the derivation of our main results.

**Lemma 1:** For any finite set $M$, any finite dimensional Hilbert space $H$, any ensemble $\rho \in E(M, H)$, and any numbering-valued measurement $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_M, H)$, the guesswork $G(\rho, \pi)$ is given by
\[
G(\rho, \pi) = \frac{|M| + 1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_M} \text{Tr} \left[ E_{n} (\pi) \pi(n) - \pi(\pi(n)) \right].
\]

**Proof:** By definition of map $E_{n}$ one has $G(\rho, \pi) = (|M| + 1 + x_{p, \pi})/2$, where
\[
x_{p, \pi} := \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_M} \text{Tr} \left[ E_{n} (\pi) \pi(n) \right].
\]
Using the identity $E_{n} (\pi) = -E_{n} (\pi)$, one has
\[
x_{p, \pi} = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_M} \text{Tr} \left[ E_{n} (\pi) \pi(n) - \pi(\pi(n)) \right].
\]
Hence the statement follows.

For any finite dimensional Hilbert space $H$ and any operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, let $\mathcal{P}_A(\cdot) = \sum_{a} |a\rangle\langle a|$, where $\{|a\rangle\}$ is a complete set of eigenvectors of $A$.

**Lemma 2:** For any finite dimensional Hilbert space $H$ and any $X \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, if $|X| \leq 1$ one has that $|\text{Tr}[AX]| \leq \|A\|_1$.

**Proof:** Since $\mathcal{P}_A$ is linear, positive, and unital, by the hypothesis it follows that $|\text{Tr}[\mathcal{P}_A(X)]| \leq 1$. Since $\text{Tr}[AX] = \text{Tr}[\mathcal{P}_A(X)]$, the statement follows.

**Lemma 3:** For any finite dimensional Hilbert space $H$ and any $X \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, if $-X \leq A \leq X$ one has that $\|A\|_1 \leq \|X\|_1$.

**Proof:** Since $\mathcal{P}_A$ is linear and positive and $\mathcal{P}_A(A) = A$, by the hypothesis it follows that $\mathcal{P}_A(X) \leq A \leq \mathcal{P}_A(X)$. Since $|\mathcal{P}_A(X) - A| = 0$ and by the hypothesis it follows that $X \geq 0$, one has $|A| \leq \mathcal{P}_A(X)$. Since $\mathcal{P}_A$ is trace preserving, by tracing both sides the statement follows.

The following lemma provides a necessary condition for any measurement to attain the minimum guesswork.

**Lemma 4:** For any discrete set $M$, any finite dimensional Hilbert space $H$, and any ensemble $\rho \in \mathcal{E}(M, H)$, a measurement $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_M, H)$ minimizes the guesswork, that is $G_{\min}(\rho) = G(\rho, \pi)$, only if $p_{p, \pi}(n, \cdot)$ is not increasing for any $n \in \mathcal{N}(M)$.

**Proof:** We show that for any measurement $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_M, H)$ there exists a measurement $\pi' \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_M, H)$ such that $p_{p, \pi'}(n, \cdot)$ is not increasing for any $n \in \mathcal{N}_M$ and $G(\rho, \pi') \leq G(\rho, \pi)$, with equality if and only if $p_{p, \pi'}(n, \cdot) = p_{p, \pi}(n, \cdot)$ for any $n \in \mathcal{N}_M$. Let $\{g_n : \{1, \ldots, |M|\} \rightarrow \{1, \ldots, |M|\}^n \text{ bijective} \} \in \mathcal{N}_M$ be a family of permutations such that $p_{p, \pi}(n, g_n(\cdot))$ is not increasing for any $n \in \mathcal{N}_M$. Let $f : \mathcal{N}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_M$ be given by $f(n) := n \circ g_n$, for any $n \in \mathcal{N}_M$. Let $\pi' \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{N}_M, H)$ be the coarse graining of $\pi$ given by
\[
\pi'(n') := \sum_{n \in f^{-1}[n']} \pi(n),
\]
for any $n' \in \mathcal{N}_M$, where $f^{-1}[n']$ denotes the counter-image of $n'$ with respect to $f$. By explicit computation one has
\[
q_{p, \pi}(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_M} \sum_{n' \in \mathcal{N}_M} \text{Tr} \left[ \rho(n^*(t)) \pi(n') \right] = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_M} \text{Tr} \left[ \rho(n^*(t)) \pi(n) \right] = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_M} p_{p, \pi}(n, g_n(t)) ,
\]
for any $t \in \{1, \ldots, |M|\}$. Hence by construction
\[
\sum_{t \in \{1, \ldots, T\}} q_{p, \pi'}(t) \geq \sum_{t \in \{1, \ldots, T\}} q_{p, \pi}(t)
\]
for any $T \in \{1, \ldots, |M|\}$, with equality if and only if $p_{p, \pi}(n, \cdot) = p_{p, \pi'}(n, \cdot)$ for any $n \in \mathcal{N}(M)$. Hence the statement follows by definition of guesswork.

\section{V. CONCLUSION}

The guesswork of a quantum ensemble quantifies the minimum number of guesses needed in average to correctly guess the state of the ensemble, when only one state can be queried at a time. Here, we derived analytical solutions subject to a finite set of conditions, including analytical solutions for any qubit ensemble with uniform probability distribution, thus disproving the conjecture [13] that analytical solutions only exist for binary and symmetric ensembles. As explicit examples, we computed the guesswork for any qubit regular polygonal and polyhedral ensemble, thus proving a conjecture [14] on the guesswork of the square qubit ensemble.
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