Transcriptional Reprogramming at Genome-Scale of *Lactobacillus plantarum* WCFS1 in Response to Olive Oil Challenge
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Dietary fats may exert selective pressures on *Lactobacillus* species, however, knowledge on the mechanisms of adaptation to fat stress in these organisms is still fragmentary. This study was undertaken to gain insight into the mechanisms of adaptation of *Lactobacillus plantarum* WCFS1 to olive oil challenge by whole genome transcriptional profiling using DNA microarrays. A set of 230 genes were differentially expressed by *L. plantarum* WCFS1 to respond to this vegetable oil. This response involved elements typical of the stringent response, as indicated by the induction of genes involved in stress-related pathways and downregulation of genes related to processes associated with rapid growth. A set of genes involved in the transport and metabolism of compatible solutes were downregulated, indicating that this organism does not require osmoprotective mechanisms in presence of olive oil. The fatty acid biosynthetic pathway was thoroughly downregulated at the transcriptional level, which coincided with a diminished expression of genes controlled by this pathway in other organisms and that are required for the respiratory function, pyruvate dehydrogenase activity, RNA processing and cell size setting. Finally, a set of genes involved in host-cell signaling by *L. plantarum* were differentially regulated indicating that olive oil can influence the expression of metabolic traits involved in the crosstalk between this bacterium and the host.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Recent studies have shown that the type of dietary fat has a direct impact in the composition of the gut microbiota (de Wit et al., 2012; Patterson et al., 2014) however, the mechanisms underlying these changes are poorly understood.

Olive oil, the main fat of the Mediterranean diet, is considered premium oil from a nutritional viewpoint (Estruch et al., 2013). This vegetable oil but not butter, shapes the host microbial ecosystem by increasing the population of the *Lactobacillaceae* family (Hidalgo et al., 2014). Gaining insight into the mechanisms by which olive oil exert selective pressures on lactobacilli could be a step forward to better understand the transformation changes in the gut microbiota induced by this oil. In addition, knowledge on how olive oil stress the metabolic traits of lactobacilli can be of utility to better understand the uneven impact of these organisms...
in relation to metabolic diseases linked to fat intake (Karlsson et al., 2011; Drissi et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014; Toral et al., 2014; Heeney et al., 2016), more in view that Lactobacillus species constitute a major population in the small intestine (Kleerebezem and Vaughan, 2009) where all fats are adsorbed (Krajalnik-Brown et al., 2012).

Information on the mechanisms of adaptation of lactobacilli to olive oil is also of interest to apply this vegetable oil as vehicle for selected microorganisms that may contribute to a better management of gut microbial ecosystem and host health. Insights into the mechanisms by which olive oil exerts selective pressures on lactobacilli may be of special interest for olive oil attributes, as the activity of olive microbiota during the oil extraction process (from which Lactobacillus species are part) could be a critical point for virgin olive oil quality (Vichi et al., 2011). In addition, this knowledge will expand the catalog of transcriptional datasets that has been recently launched to unravel the specific traits used by this organism to adapt to plants (Filannino et al., 2016).

Among lactobacilli, Lactobacillus plantarum is a suitable organism to study the mechanisms of adaptation to olive oil, because it successfully colonizes the olive and is part of the olive microbiota (Landete et al., 2010), occurs in our diets (Ahrné et al., 1998) and colonizes the gastrointestinal (GI)-tract from animals (de Vries et al., 2006). Therefore, to leverage information on the mechanisms of adaptation of L. plantarum to olive oil we examined the expression profile of the model strain L. plantarum WCFS1 in response to a challenge with this vegetable oil by transcriptomics.

Studying the effects of olive oil on the gene transcription in L. plantarum has also interest from a fundamental viewpoint. It is to note that L. plantarum feedback inhibits the endogenous biosynthesis of fatty acids upon the presence of exogenously added fatty acids (Sabaitis and Powell, 1976). At present, it is unclear whether this inhibition may be important for the biogenesis of L. plantarum, as it occurs in Escherichia coli (Yao et al., 2012) or in yeast mitochondria (Kursu et al., 2013) where the fatty acid biosynthesis (FAB) pathway dysfunction affects critical aspects of cell biogenesis. Considering that the biosynthesis of fatty acids is feedback inhibited in L. plantarum by fatty acids (Sabaitis and Powell, 1976), whole transcriptome microarray profiling in response to olive oil could decipher functions intersecting with the FAB pathway in this bacterium. In view of the above mentioned, the objective of this work is to provide insights on how virgin olive oil affects the expression profile of L. plantarum WCFS1 at genome scale.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial Strain, Culture Conditions, and Olive Oil**

*Lactobacillus plantarum* WCFS1 was kindly provided by Dr. Michiel Kleerebezem (NIZO Food Research, The Netherlands) and grown in Man-Rogosa-Sharpe (MRS) broth (Difco Laboratories, Madrid, Spain) (de Man et al., 1960) at 30°C without shaking. This strain is a colony isolate of *L. plantarum* NCIMB 8826, which was isolated from human saliva and persists in the digestive tract of mice and humans better than other Lactobacillus sp. isolated from the human intestine (Vesa et al., 2003). Extra Virgin Olive Oil (EVOO) from the Picual variety harvested during the 2014–2015 season was obtained from a local producer (Jaén, south of Spain).

**RNA Extraction**

Twelve paired independent *L. plantarum* WCFS1 batch cultures (50 mL each) were grown in MRS to an OD$_{600}$ ≈ 0.8–0.9. Then, a culture of each pair (twelve cultures) was centrifuged at 9,000 × g for 5 min at 20°C and cells washed twice in 100 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.0. The washed cells were suspended in 0.5 ml of 100 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.0 and then induced with 0.5 ml of EVOO (final EVOO concentration 50%) by vortex-shaking during 10 min at room temperature. Washed cells (uninduced) were used as controls. These cells were suspended in 1 ml of 100 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.0 and subjected to vortex-shaking during 10 min at room temperature. The induced cells and their corresponding controls were cooled on ice for 7 min and centrifuged at 4°C. The pellet was mixed with 2 ml of quenching buffer (60% methanol, 66.7 mM HEPES, pH 6.5, −40°C). Following quenching, the cells were centrifuged at 9,000 × g for 10 min at −10°C and suspended in an extraction mixture (500 µL 1:4 chloroform-acid phenol, 30 µL of 10% SDS, 30 µL Na-acetate 3M pH 5.2, 400 µL Tris-EDTA buffer [10 mM Tris(hydroxymethyl)amino methane, 1 mM EDTA] pH 7.4, 15 mg of polyvinylpoly-pyrrolidone, and 500 mg of glass beads (φ, 75–150 µm). The cells were broken under frozen conditions in a FastPrep™ FP120 (SAVANT) using three treatments of 5000 rpm for 40 seconds and chilled 1 min between cycles. The suspension was then centrifuged at 4°C at 10,000 × g for 2 min. After two extractions with 500 µL of chloroform the supernatant containing the RNA was immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C (Saulnier et al., 2007). NanoDrop ND1000 instrument was used for RNA quantification. The A$_{260}$/A$_{280}$ and A$_{260}$/A$_{230}$ ratios were measured to check RNA purity. Integrity and quality of RNA samples were determined by electrophoresis on agarose gels. Two treatments with DNase I (Ambion) were applied and the absence of genomic DNA was confirmed by PCR (Saulnier et al., 2007).

**Microarray: cDNA Synthesis, Purification, and Hybridization**

Before first-strand cDNA synthesis, RNA integrity was evaluated using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). Fluorescently labeled cDNA was obtained by using the SuperScript Indirect cDNA Labeling System (Invitrogen). After, the Cy3 and HyPer5 fluorescent dyes (Amersham Biosciences) were coupled to the aminooxy-modified first-strand cDNA, and purification of probes was carried out with the CyScribe GFX Purification Kit. Labeling efficiency was assessed using a NanoDrop ND1000 spectrophotometer. Preparation of probes and hybridization at 65°C during 17 h was performed as described on the Two-Color Microarray Based Gene Expression Analysis Manual (Quick Amp Labeling) with Tecan HS Pro Hybridization (V. 5.71/Agilent Technologies). Slide L. plantarum
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housekeeping genes (16S rRNA applied, and the geometric mean of the most stably expressed normalized. The Bestkeeper analysis (Pfaffl et al., 2004) was used to determine the efficiency (E) as $E = 10^{-1/slope}$. The expression levels of target genes were normalized. The Bestkeeper analysis (Pfaffl et al., 2004) was applied, and the geometric mean of the most stably expressed housekeeping genes (16S rRNA, ldhD, gapB, dnaG, and gyrA) was used as a normalization factor. The expression ratios measured by microarrays and by qRT-PCR assay were plotted, and the linear correlation coefficient was calculated ($y = 1.2024x – 1.5843; R^2 = 0.89$) (Table 1).

Data Analysis

Images were captured with a GenePix 4000B (Axon) and spots quantified using GenPix software (Axon). Background correction and normalization of expression data were performed using the methods normexp and loess in LIMMA, respectively (Smyth and Speed, 2003). The expected False Discovery Rate (FDR) was controlled to be less than 5%. Genes were considered differentially expressed when nominal p-values were <0.05 and had a fold change (FC) equal or higher than ±2.0. FC was calculated as the average of the FC between significantly regulated probes. Hybridizations and statistical analysis were performed by the Genomics Facility at Centro Nacional de Biotecnología, CSIC, Spain.

### Microarray Data Accession Number

The microarray data provided in this study have been deposited in NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (Edgar et al., 2002) genomics data repository and are accessible through GEO Series accession number GSE89534.

### Fatty Acid Profile of Virgin Olive Oil

Analysis of the fatty acid composition of EVOO was performed by GC after derivatization to fatty acid methyl esters (FAME). A volume of 2 mL CH3ONa 0.5 M in methanol was added to a 10–20 mg EVOO sample. The solution was vortex-shaken for 1 min and incubated 15 min at 60°C. Then, after 2 mL CH3COCl in methanol (1:10 v/v) was added, the solution was vortex-shaken for 1 min, incubated 60 min at 60°C and let stand for 10 min. Finally, 1 mL of distilled water and then 3 mL hexane were added. The solution was vortex-shaken for 1 min and centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 5 min at 4°C. The organic layer was separated and analyzed by GC.

Fatty acid methyl esters were analyzed by GC using a chromatograph (Agilent 7820A GC system) equipped with a Flame Ionization Detector (FID). Samples were introduced into a HP-23 column (60 m × 0.25 m × 0.25 μm, Agilent) at 100°C and the temperature was increased at 8°C/min to 145°C (maintained for 20 min); 6°C/min to 195°C (maintained for 10 min); 3°C/min to 215°C (maintained for 5 min); 10°C/min to 230°C (maintained for 5 min). The flow rate of He, used as carrier gas, was 1 mL/min. Injector and FID temperatures were 250 and 260°C, respectively. The absolute amount of each FAME was quantified using GenPix software (Axon) and spots quantified using GenPix software (Axon). Background correction and normalization of expression data were performed using the methods normexp and loess in LIMMA, respectively (Smyth and Speed, 2003). The expected False Discovery Rate (FDR) was controlled to be less than 5%. Genes were considered differentially expressed when nominal p-values were <0.05 and had a fold change (FC) equal or higher than ±2.0. FC was calculated as the average of the FC between significantly regulated probes. Hybridizations and statistical analysis were performed by the Genomics Facility at Centro Nacional de Biotecnología, CSIC, Spain.

### Table 1 | RT-qPCR validation of nine differentially expressed genes according to microarray data.

| Locus tag | Locus | Description | Expression ratios<sup>a,b</sup> |
|-----------|-------|-------------|----------------------------------|
| _lp_1880_ | –     | Hypothetical protein | Microarray<sup>c</sup> 3.11 0.08 |
| _lp_0997_ | cspC  | Cold sock protein CspC | 1.8 1.01 |
| _lp_0009_ | rpsF  | 30S ribosomal protein S6 | 1.48 0.68 |
| _lp_2755_ | –     | Membrane protein | 1.34 0.45 |
| _lp_2057_ | ldhD  | D-lactate dehydrogenase | 1.14 0.38 |
| _lp_2368_ | atpF  | H(+)-transporting two-sector ATPase, B subunit | −0.96 −3.04 |
| _lp_1449_ | –     | Cell surface protein, CscB family | −1.27 −3.55 |
| _lp_2035_ | aroE  | 3-phosphoshikimate 1-carboxyvinyltransferase | −1.34 −3.71 |
| _lp_0265_ | pts5ABC | PTS system trehalose-specific transporter subunit IB | −2.16 −3.23 |
| _lp_0007_ | gyrA  | DNA gyrase subunit A | −0.69 −2.93 |

<sup>a</sup>Genes ratios > 1.5-fold changes (FC, either increase or decrease) were statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); except for gyrA gene (regarded as a constitutive gene) <sup>b</sup>Values close to zero indicate no change respect to reference genes (16S rRNA, gyrA, dnaG) <sup>c</sup>log2ratio(M), where ratio(M) = FC log2 ratios of average FC.
individual FAME was calculated by multiplying the percentage of each FAME by the amount of nonoxidized fatty acid monomers (FAM) giving results equivalent to those obtained using internal standard (C13:0).

**HPLC/ESI-MS Analysis of Olive Oil Phenolics**

The phenolic fraction of EVOO samples (500 µL) was obtained by three-phase chloroform/methanol/water (1:1:1, v/v/v) extraction. The methanol/water (1:1) phase was collected, filtered through a 0.22 µm nylon membrane and directly injected into the HPLC system. Stock solutions of selected olive oil phenolics of the highest available purity (hydroxytyrosol (Sextrup Biotech), p-coumaric acid (Sigma) and oleuropein (Extrasynthèse)) were prepared in methanol at a concentration of 20 µg/mL and stored at −20°C. Hydroxytorosol was selected as it occurs in large quantities in virgin olive oils, oleuropein as the olive precursor of hydroxytyrosol and p-coumaric as representative of the hydroxycinnamic acids present in olive oil. The standard solutions were prepared by serial dilution of stock solutions with water/methanol (50:50, v/v).

HPLC/ESI-MS analyses of the selected olive oil phenolics (oleuropein, hydroxytyrosol, and p-coumaric acid) were carried out on an Agilent 1200 chromatography system equipped with a diode array detector (DAD) and a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Agilent G6410B) with an electrospray interface.

Separation of phenolics was achieved on a Zorbax Eclipse Plus column (100 mm × 4.6 mm × 3.5 µm, Agilent) using gradient elution with solvent A (water/0.1% formic acid) and solvent B (acetoniitrile/0.1% formic acid). The following gradient was used: 0 min 10% B; 15 min 30% B; 30 min 50% B; 32 min 10% B; 35 min 10% B. The flow rate set at 0.6 ml/min, and the injection volume was 10 µl. The analytes were quantified against authentic compounds as external standards.

**RESULTS**

**Olive Oil Characteristics**

The fatty acid composition of the EVOO used in this study is shown in **Table 2**. This oil showed a fatty acid composition similar to previously reported olive oils obtained from the same olive variety (Picual) (Olivero-David et al., 2014). Oleic acid was, by far, the major fatty acid in the EVOO amounting up to 76% of the fatty acids. Overall, monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs) accounted for 79.5% of total fatty acid content whereas saturated fatty acids (SFAs) and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) represented the 15.7 and 4.8% of the total FAs, respectively.

Regarding the phenolic compounds selected for analysis, the concentrations of hydroxytyrosol (**Table 2**) and p-coumaric acid (**Table 2**) found in the EVOO parallel well with concentrations previously found for these phenolics in olive oils from the same variety (Picual) (Bayram et al., 2012). As to oleuropein, it was not detected in our oil which is in line with its virtual absence or low concentration in olive oils (Perri et al., 1999) as a result of hydrolysis to yield mainly hydroxytyrosol during fruit maturation.

**Overview of the L. plantarum WCFS1 Transcriptional Response to EVOO**

The impact of EVOO on the transcriptomic profile of *L. plantarum* WCFS1 was evaluated by sorting all genes whose transcript level showed changes (log$_2$ ratio) of at least ±2.0 (**p** < 0.05). The microarray data revealed that approximately 7% of the genes from *L. plantarum* WCFS1 were differentially expressed in presence of EVOO (**Figure 1**). Overall, 230 transcripts were affected (123 upregulated; 107 downregulated) after 10 min of exposure to EVOO as compared to transcriptomes of control cells (**t** = 0). The differentially expressed genes,
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Genes coding for components of the electron transport chain required for the respiration metabolism of L. plantarum WCFS1 were down-regulated upon EVOO. Gene ndh2
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FIGURE 2 | Transcriptional inhibition of the fatty acid biosynthesis (FAB) from L. plantarum WCFS1 by olive oil. (A) Schematic diagram of the type II FAB pathway according to the annotated genes in L. plantarum WCFS1. PDHc (PDH complex; * see text for genes affected within this complex); PFL (pyruvate formate lyase); AccABCD (Acetyl-CoA carboxylase); FabD (Malonyl-CoA:ACP transacylase); FabH, FabF (β-Ketoacyl-ACP synthase); FabG (β-Ketoacyl-ACP reductase); FabI (enoyl-ACP reductase). (B) Schematic diagram of the pathway required to get the physiologically active form of the acyl carrier protein (ACP) according to the annotated genes in L. plantarum WCFS1. KDTB pantetheine-phosphate adenylyltransferase; CoAE (Dephospho-CoA kinase). PPT (4′-phosphopantetheinyl transferase). (→), Stages transcriptionally downregulated by olive oil.

Stress-Responsive Pathways in L. plantarum during EVOO-Induced Stress

Several subsets of established-responsive genes involved in countering stress were modulated by EVOO. This response included the induction of genes coding for cold-shock proteins (lp_0031 [cspL], lp_1160 [cspP], lp_0997 [cspC]); universal stress protein (uspA) paralogs (lp_1747, lp_2652); proteases (lp_0786 [clpP], lp_1903 [clpB]) and a molecular chaperone (lp_0727 [groES]).

Seven genes coding for proteins involved in the response to oxidative stress response in this microorganism (Serrano et al., 2007), were also induced. The encoded proteins included components of the thioredoxin-thioreductase (Trx-TrxR) system (thioredoxin (lp_2270 [trxA2], lp_2633 [trxH]), a glutaredoxin-like protein (lp_0694 [nrdH]) and four spx-encoded proteins (lp_0836 [spx1], lp_1928 [spx2], lp_2228 [spx3], lp_3579 [spx5]). Other transcriptional modifications related to countering oxidative stress included upregulation of the gene coding for the ribosomal protein 50S L31 (lp_0512) which has been previously reported to respond to thiol stress (Paget et al., 2001).

Elements Typically Involved in the Stringent Response are Responsive to EVOO

Genes related to major physiological processes typically involved in the stringent response (SR) were differentially transcribed in presence of EVOO. The SR, which is triggered on exposure to nutritional or other environmental stresses in bacteria (Potrykus and Cashel, 2008), affects the expression of genes related to stress
survival (such as those described above) and also of genes related to processes involved in cell proliferation.

Regarding genes involved in cell proliferative processes we observed alteration in the expression of rRNA genes which is, together with downregulation of genes related to nucleotide and FAB, the most conserved feature of the SR. From 15 rRNA genes affected, nine were downregulated (lp_1036 [RPL2], lp_1040 [RPS3], lp_1041 [RPL16], lp_1046 [RPL24], lp_1047 [RPL5], lp_1048 [RPS14], lp_1052 [RPL18], lp_1053 [RPS5]), lp_1061 [RPS11] and six upregulated (lp_0009 [RPS6], lp_0512 [RPL31], lp_0737 [RPS30A], lp_1636 [RPS16], lp_1973 [RPS21], lp_2126 [RPS20]). In addition, genes coding for cell division proteins (lp_0542 (divIC, septum formation initiator) and lp_2272 (zapA, cell-division Z-ring component, stimulator of FtsZ polymerization)) were upregulated whereas the gene coding for the DnaB helicase (lp_1512), a component of the replisome, was downregulated. Noteworthy, a large accumulation of tRNA$^\text{Ser}$ (tRNA40, tRNA44, and tRNA50) and at lesser extent of tRNA$^\text{Leu}$ (tRNA80), was observed. The presence of EVOO affected the expression of five RNA modification enzymes. Two out of these genes code for RNase H activity (lp_1853 (ribonuclease HIII), lp_2953 (ribonuclease H1)), while other for exodeoxyribonuclease V (lp_2168). The remaining two genes encode for enzymes dedicated to tRNA modification (lp_1579 (miaA)) and lp_3688 (ribonuclease P). Except lp_3688 (ribonuclease P), which was upregulated, all these genes were downregulated.

The exposure to EVOO modified the expression pattern of genes involved in the de novo biosynthesis of purines. Thus, genes involved in the biosynthesis of ribose 5-P (which is the precursor of purines) such as lp_2183 (ADP-ribose pyrophosphatase) and lp_0498 (deoxyribonuclease transporter), were downregulated. In addition, a key gene involved in the biosynthesis of ribose (lp_1869, dihydrofolate reductase) and a gene requiring (tetrahydro)folate to produce formyl-methionyl (lp_1616, methionyl-tRNA formyltransferase), were downregulated. Furthermore, genes coding for “Nudix” hydrolases (lp_2826, lp_0864), which are related to nucleotide metabolism, were up-regulated. These hydrolases are involved in the metabolism of a wide range of nucleoside 5’-diphosphate compounds (Kraszewska, 2008), including nucleotide-based secondary messengers such as (p)ppGpp or adenosine tetraphosphate (Ap4A). A run in the Phyre2 modeling server1 to probe the likely extent of structural similarity to other proteins showed Ap4A hydrolases as the best hits for the Nudix hydrolases encoded by lp_2826 and lp_0864. Therefore, these hydrolases are potentially involved in ATP production from adenosine tetraphosphatase (Ap4A).

Beside genes related to the SR, the presence of EVOO altered the expression of genes involved in the metabolism of intracellular phosphate pools, which play an important role in regulating the central metabolism of lactic acid bacteria (Levering et al., 2012). Upregulated genes within this function were lp_0330 (fructose biphosphatase aldolase), lp_0746 (substrate binding protein of the phosphate ABC transporter), and lp_3170 (phosphoglucerase mutase). Gene lp_1083 (transketolase, which produces glyceraldehyde-3P from ribose-5P), was downregulated.

**DISCUSSION**

This study provides insights on how EVOO, the main fat in the Mediterranean diet, affects the expression profile of L. plantarum WCFS1 at genome scale (Figure 3).

**Transcriptome Alterations Related to the SR**

Genome-wide analyses revealed the modulation of a large number of genes typically involved in the SR. This response included the repression of genes required for cell proliferation (Figure 3), the accumulation of tRNA and the induction of uspA whose product leads to a continuous growth arrest (Kvint et al., 2003). Overall this profile is indicative of redirection of cellular resources and energy away from ribosome biosynthesis to contribute to growth rate control.

Owing to the SR, well established-responsive genes coding for proteases and molecular chaperones were also induced. A previous study has shown that cells of Lactobacillus sakei did not display stress responses on solid media containing olive oil (Xu et al., 2016). In the same vein transcriptomic datasets from L. plantarum growing in plant (carrot or pineapple) juices showed that these cells did not undergo high levels of environmental stress (Filannino et al., 2016). Although these results are in apparent contrast with the stress responses found in this study, the differences can be explained by the fact that L. sakei cells growing on olive oil-supplemented media or L. plantarum growing on plant juices were sampled after prolonged times of growth in these media (16 h or more) (Filannino et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2016). In contrast to our olive oil-misfit cells, these Lactobacillus cells have probably acquired some degree of adaptation, or are adapted, to thrive in the tested plant-like media at the time of sampling to perform expression studies (Filannino et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2016).

The observed transcriptional response indicated that L. plantarum WCFS1 challenged with EVOO underwent oxidative stress as several genes encoding for proteins involved in the thiol-specific oxidative stress response of this microorganism (Serrano et al., 2007), including up to four srx genes, were induced. This response might be caused by the phenolic compounds present in the EVOO, such as hydroxytyrosol or p-coumaric acid (Table 2), which may undergo auto-oxidation and provide a pro-oxidant environment. In support of this hypothesis L. plantarum WCFS1 also displayed a thiol-specific oxidative stress response to p-coumaric acid stress (Reverón et al., 2012).

The induction of the SR is widely accepted to be triggered by (p)ppGpp accumulation. The synthesis of this alarmon e consumes GTP thereby resulting in a decline of GTP/ATP ratio which determines the promoter preferences of RNA polymerase. However, L. plantarum lacks of an SpoT homolog, which is the (p)ppGpp synthase that uniquely senses fatty acids as a signal to produce the alarmon e (Hauryling et al., 2015). This poses the
question on how \textit{L. plantarum} can regulate the GTP/ATP ratio in response to fat stress. Regarding this issue, the transcriptomic response to EVOO revealed downregulation of genes involved in GTP biosynthetic (purine biosynthesis), and GTP-consuming pathways (such as folate biosynthesis) which may contribute to control the intracellular GTP levels, a cellular requirement for viability (Kriel et al., 2012). In the same vein, upregulation of two “Nudix” hydrolases involved in ATP production from adenosine tetraphosphate (Ap4A) may also contribute to decrease the GTP/ATP ratio (Figure 3).

\textbf{FAB Pathway as Potential Regulatory Hub in Biogenesis}

The transcriptional response to EVOO advances knowledge on how the \textit{L. plantarum} FAB pathway is inhibited at the transcriptional level by fatty acids (Figure 2). The presence of EVOO downregulated the expression of genes involved in the feeding (PDH), initiation and elongation stages of the FAB pathway (Figure 2). Furthermore, downregulation was extended to genes involved in the biosynthesis of cofactors (coA and lipoic acid) required to get the physiologically active form of key proteins of this pathway (ACP and PDH, respectively) (Figure 2). This expression profile is related to the high fatty acid content in EVOO (Table 2) and is consistent with the feedback inhibition of the rate of FA biosynthesis previously observed in \textit{L. plantarum} upon exogenous addition of fatty acids to the medium (Sabaitis and Powell, 1976).

Recently, the FAB pathway has been proposed to play a central role in \textit{Escherichia coli} (Yao et al., 2012) as well as in yeast mitochondria biogenesis (Kursu et al., 2013). FAB pathway dysfunction leads to cell size and shape alterations (Hiltunen et al., 2010; Yao et al., 2012), impairment of the respiratory function in yeast mitochondria (Hiltunen et al., 2010) and alteration of RNA processing and lipoic acid attachment to lipoic acid-dependent complexes. At present, it is unclear whether FAB pathway is important for the biogenesis of \textit{L. plantarum}, as well. However, our transcriptional profile revealed that, besides downregulation of FAB pathway genes, similar functions of \textit{L. plantarum} were affected by EVOO. These included the...
induction of genes involved in cell shape and size adjustment, down-regulation of genes coding for components of the electron transport chain required for the respiration metabolism of L. plantarum WCFS1 (Lechoardeur et al., 2011) and the differential expression of up to five RNA processing enzymes and genes involved in the lipoic acid attachment to PDH. Interestingly, this coincidence indicates that the FAB pathway might also play a regulatory role in the biogenesis of L. plantarum.

Expression Profile of Functions Related to Host-Cell Signaling

It is to note that, some of the above mentioned cell envelope functions affected at the transcriptional level by EVOO are not only involved in cell shape determination but also in the interaction with the host. For example, the PGHs encoded by the induced lytA and lytH genes generate fragments during PG cleavage that are able to modulate the host response (Rolain et al., 2012). Interestingly, other genes involved in the synthesis of surface molecules that are reported as mediators of bacteria-host interactions were also differentially regulated upon EVOO. Thus, tagB, a key gene in the biosynthesis of teichoic acids (which own immunomodulatory properties) and lp_1643, a gene coding for a recently identified mucus binding glycoprotein (Fredriksen et al., 2013; Douillard and de Vos, 2014), were downregulated. In the same vein, modulation of genes coding for cps chain length regulators may have an impact in the immune response since the chain length of cps is an important factor for polysaccharide-induced immune response (Kalka-Moll et al., 2000). This profile is indicative that EVOO can influence the expression of metabolic traits involved in the crosstalk between this bacterium and the host (Figure 3).

CONCLUSION

The response of L. plantarum WCFS1 to olive oil as studied by transcriptomics advances knowledge on the response of lactobacilli to dietary fats. The datasets revealed the involvement of elements typical of the SR in the adaptive behavior of L. plantarum to this fat. Expression profiling at genome scale increase our insight on how the FAB pathway is regulated in this organism and its potential interaction with functions related to cell biogenesis.
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