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A B S T R A C T

Introduction: Soft-tissue lesions are frequently encountered by radiologists in everyday clinical practice. Clinical details and imaging characteristics on various imaging modalities have been methodically assessed to establish the diagnosis for lesions that have typical clinical and imaging features and narrow the differential diagnosis. The aim of this article was to aid radiologist in characterizing the imaging features soft tissue lesion

Material and methods: In this prospective study ultrasound and MRI with correlative radiography and computed tomography was carried out on 40 patients who were referred to radiology with suitable clinical diagnosis. Final diagnosis was done with the histo-pathological findings.

Results: Among 40 patients in the present study, 68% cases were malignant, and 32% cases were benign in which the common age group was between 31-40 years in both malignant and benign patients.

Conclusion: Soft-tissue lesions are routinely faced by radiologists in daily clinical practice. On imaging depiction of these soft-tissue lesions remains problematic. By detailedly using clinical history and various imaging features help in determine the diagnosis or narrow the differential diagnosis. From the present study, we conclude that Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) is a well-established imaging tool for the characterization of soft-tissue tumors. In this article a review of latest updated classification of soft tissue tumour has been discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

Soft tissue lesions range from non-neoplastic conditions to benign and malignant tumors. Soft tissue tumors are classified histologically on the basis of the adult tissue they resemble.1,2 Lesion emerging from the fat does not nominate lipo-sarcoma, but rather that it is a malignant mesenchymal tumor that has differentiated into tissue that microscopically similar to normal adult fat.3 Since deficient in microscopic features needed for accurate diagnosis, many sarcomas are poorly distinguished. In such cases, immune histo-chemical stains have aided pathologists in identifying their pattern of differentiation, allowing accurate classification. Despite the pathologist’s best efforts, however, approximately 5–15% of soft-tissue sarcomas cannot be further classified.3,4 Hardly 1% of all tumours make up the soft tissue tumors. The annual incidence of soft tissue tumors is approximately 300 per 100,000 people. Soft tissue sarcomas arise most commonly in the extremities, chest wall and retroperitoneum and are more common in older people and males, although age and gender vary for the various histological types. Soft-tissue lesions are frequently encountered by radiologists in everyday clinical practice. Clinical details and imaging characteristics on various imaging modalities has been methodically assessed to establish the diagnosis for lesions that have typical clinical and imaging features and narrow the differential diagnosis. Current research aimed to study MRI characteristics of different soft tissue tumors and to assess the local tumor staging of soft tissue tumor, to aid surgeons by extensions of the lesion with surrounding neurovascular bundles and bone and to identify purely benign soft tissue lesion so that unwanted biopsy and surgery will be avoided.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

In this prospective study ultrasound and MRI with correlative radiography and computed tomography was carried out on 40 patients who were referred to radiology at S.S. Institute of medical sciences Davangere and clinical images and inputs were from Dr B R Ambedkar Medical College, Bengaluru with suitable clinical diagnosis. Final diagnosis was done with the histo-pathological findings. The study period was 2 years (May 2017 to May 2019). This study was based on prospective analysis of 40 patients with soft tissue lesions. A patient who fulfills the inclusion and exclusion criteria was selected for the study.
Inclusion criteria
1. Patients with soft tissue lesion
2. Either sex

Exclusion criteria
1. Pregnant women;
2. Patient having history of claustrophobia.
3. Patient having history of metallic implants insertion, cardiac pacemakers and metallic foreign body insitu.

Procedure methodology
After necessary required consent were obtained and subjected to, ultrasound and MR imaging with correlative radiography or computed tomography whenever required was performed at our institution. Magnetic resonance imaging were carried out on a 1.5-T MRI system (GE Signa 1.5T).

RESULT
In the present study, 68% cases were malignant, and 32% cases were benign in which the common age group was between 31-40 years in both malignant and benign patients. Parameters are most consistently associated with malignancy with higher sensitivity, specificity and PPV are size>8cm, T2w heterogeneous hyper intensity, heterogeneous contrast enhancement, osseous and neurovascular involvement, peri-tumoraledema, Intrallesional necrosis and ill-defined margins. So MRI can be considered as modality of choice for the evaluation of soft tissue tumors which his highly sensitive in detection. It must be stress that MR imaging...
DISCUSSION

Soft tissue originate mainly from mesenchyme and by accord composed of fibrous tissue, fat, skeletal muscle, vascular structures and peripheral nervous system. Neoplastic growth of soft tissue arises from the non-epithelial extra skeletal connective tissue of the body like tendons, blood vessels and muscles are usually mesodermal in origin. Various imaging features have been described.

Radiograph

Radiography is the evaluation of choice despite imaging advances in our era and in all suspected soft-tissue mass must begin with a radiograph. Even though not much details will depicted with radiography and most often it will be unrewarding. May be helpful in clinically palpable lesion caused by an underlying skeletal deformity, which may disguise as a soft-tissue mass. Soft-tissue calcifications can be portrayed well with radiograph. For example, they may reveal juxtaarticular osteocartilaginous masses of synovial chondromatosis, peripherally more mature ossification of myositis ossificans, phleboliths within a hemangioma or characteristic bone changes of other processes with associated soft-tissue involvement. Lateral radiograph of a 30 year male presented with swelling around elbow showing soft tissue sarcoma has been depicted in figure 1.

Ultrasound

Ultrasoundography is the routine investigation sorted after radiograph in a clinical suspected case of soft tissue tumors of the extremities. Accuracy of ultrasonography is high in the assessment of soft tissue. Accuracy also depends on sound knowledge of the radiologist. Due to advance imaging methods clinician will request for the same, hence whenever a suspected case of soft tissue tumour has been requested for MRI or CT, a correlative ultrasound will help in further clarify the ultrasound features. Superficial probe is usually used for the examination. 15 year old boy with forearm mass, colour doppler shows moderate degree of intrinsic vascularity. Mass was initially diagnosed as hemangioma is depicted in figure 2. Final histologic diagnosis was pilomatricoma.

Computed tomography

Computed tomography has a major role characterization of soft-tissue lesion. Bone involvement of soft-tissue tumors can be best evaluated. With 3D reconstructions, further adds to the evaluation. Correlative CT plays complimentary role in diagnosis of these masses, and delineate what information may be gained for treatment planning. Figure 3: Coronal CT shows heterogenous mass lesion in left shoulder region (b) T2-weighted axial section showing iso to hyper-intense soft tissue mass lesion. (c) Magnetic resonance spectroscopy image showing multiple feeding channels supplying the neoplastic lesion arising from axillary and subclavian arteries and their branches. Four discriminating features are:

1. Mineralization pattern
2. Density
3. Pattern of bone involvement
4. Lesion vascularity.
Anatomy and MRI appearance of soft tissue

Soft tissue tumor is a neoplastic growth that usually are mesodermal in origin, that is non-epithelial extra skeletal connective, soft tissues of the body, such as the muscles, tendons and blood vessels which. Neoplastic growth of soft tissue arises from the non-epithelial extra skeletal connective tissue of the body like tendons, blood vessels and muscles are usually mesodermal in origin. Mainly elastin and collagen are the constituents of soft tissue. In addition non-cellular, fibrous components of a cell also make up part of this tissue. Elasticity and hydrated state of the cells are sorting of these cells.

Classification and features of soft tissue tumors

Soft-tissue sarcomas are a rare heterogeneous group of malignancies that account for almost 20% of paediatric and 1% of adult malignancies, approximately 20–40% of which occur in the torso.3 The Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database estimates that there were 13,000 new cases of soft-tissue sarcomas in 2012 in the United States.6 Although commonly perceived to be exceedingly rare, soft-tissue sarcomas are comparable in annual incidence to other cancers such as esophageal (17,500 new cases), gastric (21,000 new cases), and cervical (12,000 new cases) cancers. 34 The reported incidence of soft-tissue sarcomas is also likely underestimated. For example, in a prospective population-based study performed in France between March 2005 and February 2007, Ducimetière et al.3 performed a centralized review of molecular analyses of all suspected cases across the region and concluded that the observed incidence of sarcomas was higher than expected. The study found a crude incidence rate and world age-standardized incidence rate of 6.4 and 4.8 cases per 100,000, respectively, which are higher than the 1–3 cases per 100,000 reported in most prior studies in the United States and Europe3,4, and an overall incidence of 1.3% of all new cancers in the region. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs)(18%), unclassified sarcomas (16%),and liposarcomas (15%) were the most common sarcomas in the Ducimetière et al. study, and 40% of the soft-tissue sarcomas occurred in the torso.

Revised WHO Classification

Soft tissue tumors (STT) represent a complex group of lesions that may show a broad range of differentiation. The WHO (World Health Organization) classification was established and up-to-dated in 2013 for the purpose of uniformity.5 The WHO system helps to unify the lexicon for the performance of clinical trials and consensus guide for the multidisciplinary working group of specialists such as radiologists, pathologist and orthopedic oncologists, to improve the patient “management and outcome. The nomenclature has been adapted by the American Joint Cancer Commission (AJCC) for sarcoma staging and by the College of American Pathologists Cancer protocols for soft tissue sarcomas. The 2013 classification is the 4th edition and replaces the previous edition in 2002.7 The major modifications from the previous edition are the addition of three new chapters: gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST), nerve sheath tumors, and undifferentiated/unclassified sarcomas.

The WHO classification incorporates detailed clinical, histological, and genetic data. There are also imaging features in the new edition of soft tissue tumor classification. The classification of soft tissue tumors of the WHO includes the following groups:

1. Adipocytic tumors
2. Fibroblastic/myofibroblastic tumors
3. So-called fibrohistiocytic tumors
4. Smooth muscle tumors,
5. Pericytic (perivascular) tumors,
6. Skeletal muscle tumors,
7. Vascular tumors,
8. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors,
9. Nervesheath tumors,
10. Chondroosseous tumors,
11. Tumors of uncertain differentiation
12. Undifferentiated/unclassified sarcomas.

Generally, the WHO classification divides soft-tissue tumors in four categories according to biological potential.7

Benign Tumors
- Do not recur or metastasize after resection
- Recurrence is non-destructive if it occurs
- Example: Lipoma.

Intermediate Locally Aggressive Tumors
- Locally infiltrative and destructive
- Often recur after resection but do not metastasize
- Example: Desmoid tumor, well-differentiated liposarcoma.

Intermediate Rarely Metastasizing Tumors
- Locally aggressive and can recur
- These sarcomas metastasize in less than 2% of cases
- Example: dermato fibrosarcoma protuberans (DFSP).

Malignant Tumors
- Most common type of soft-tissue tumor.
- Recur with a high risk of metastasis
- Example: GIST, myxoid liposarcoma.

The new classification has incorporated more detailed cytogenetic and molecular data in accordance with the rapidly increasing knowledge of genetics of tumors. Soft-tissue sarcomas are divided into several categories5,6,7

Note: WHO-World Health Organization, DFSP-dermato fibrosarcoma protuberance, SFT-solitary fibrous tumor, GIST-gastrointestinal stromal tumor, STT-soft tissue tumor, PNET-primitive neuroectodermal tumor

Adipocytic Tumors
- These group of tumors constitute the largest incidence of mesenchymal /soft tissue tumors.
- Examples are lipomas, angiolipomas, and liposarcomas, adipocytic tumors.
- Atypical lipoma and liposarcoma are considered similar by WHO classification and considered locally aggressive with no potential for metastasis.5,6
- The terms “mixed-type liposarcoma” and myxolipoma have been deleted.
- Diffuse lipoblastoma is now the better term for lipoblastomatosis in pediatrics.
- Alterations been done in the clarity of the term ‘differentiated liposarcoma’ and is now been subdivided
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into four groups (see Table 1).

- Chondroid lipoma may be similar to myxoid liposarcoma. Chondroid lipoma mostly has calcifications, which are best demonstrated by radiographs or computed tomography.

**Fibroblastic/Myofibroblastic Tumors**
- Constitutelarge group of mesenchymal tumors, mostly contain fibroblastic as well as myofibroblastic elements.6
- Nodular fasciitis, proliferative fasciitis, and proliferative myositis are identified as neoplastic in the current WHO classification, previously they were taught to be reactive lesions.
- Other changes were the inclusion of the closely related giant cell fibroblastoma and dermatofibrosarcomaprotuberans (DFSP), formerly included in volume on skin lesions. DFSP is categorized as a rarely metastasizing (intermediate) tumor, although it should be noted that metastatic potential is gained only when a component of a fibrosarcomatous change is present.10
- There are 50% chances of recurrence in case of giant cell fibroblastoma, hence it has been grouped in the locally aggressive (intermediate) category.10 But giant cell fibroblastomas do not metastasize.
- Hemangiopericytoma has been deleted from the classification.
- Lipomatous hemangiopericytoma and giant cell angiofibromahave also been included as soft tissue tumours.
- The term “atypical myxoinflammatory fibroblastic tumor” was introduced for as synonym for “myxoinflammatory fibroblastic sarcoma.” This new term better reflects the extremely low potential for metastasis for this tumor type.11

**So-Called Fibrohistiocytic Tumors**
- Malignant fibrous histiocytoma (MFH) has been removed from the current WHO classification.
- MFH and its subtypes have been reclassified in the new separate group of unclassified/undifferentiated sarcomas (group 12). An example for MFH has been depicted on figure 4.
- Malignant tumours has not been included in group 3. It includes the common tenosynovial giant cell tumors, the uncommon giant cell tumor of soft tissues, and the plexiformfibrohistiocytic tumor.

**Smooth Muscle Tumors**
- No important changes in this category.
- Smooth muscle tumors arising at non-cutaneous, non-uterine locations have been the focus of appreaciable conceptual shift in the past.
- Angioleiomyoma (vascular leiomyoma) was redistributed to the category of pericytic (perivascular) tumors, this was the only changes.

**Pericytic (Perivascular)Tumors**
- This category has been first included in 2002 edition, during whichhemangiopericytoma was deleted from this group. But was listed as synonym for solitary fibrous tumor (group 2).
- In this group, Glomustumor are infrequent but most common tumor categorized on imaging. They are made up of cells like the modified smooth muscle cells of the normal glomus body.
- Angioleiomyoma (vascular leiomyoma) has been added on to this group.11

**Skeletal Muscle Tumors**
- Two entities have been added: rhabdomyoma and rhabdomyosarcoma.
- Rhabdomyoma is subdivided into cardiac and extracardiac types and pathologically as adult, fetal (immature skeletal muscle fibers), and genital (female or male genital tract).
- Extracardiac adult rhabdomyoma is rarely reported on imaging.
- Spindle cell/sclerosingrhabdomyosarcoma is recognized as a separate tumour different from embryonalrhabdomyosarcoma.

**Vascular Tumors**
- Benign vascular tumours are very common and most frequently occur in the skin.
- It is often difficult to determine whether they represent malformations, true neoplasms, or reactive processes.13
- Hemangiomas are classified histologically as synovial, venous, arteriovenous, mixed malformations, and intramuscular.
- “Pseudomyogenic (epithelioid sarcoma-like) hemangioendothelioma” has been added as a rarely metastasizing neoplasm.
- These tumours are mainly seen in 2nd to 3rd decade of age with presentation of multi-site nodules in different planes of a limb and involve the cutaneous as well as deep soft tissues and the bone.
- This tumour tends to be highly FDG-avid, and therefore PET-CT is useful for the detection of deep lesions.

**Chondro-Osseous Tumors**
- There are no changes on the chondro-osseous soft tissue tumours.
- Myositis ossificans was regarded as a (myo)fibroblastic lesion in the 2002 version, and extra skeletal myxoidchondrosarcoma (EMC) was also classified in the tumours of uncertain differentiation, since it shows little evidence of cartilaginous differentiation, despite the name.
- Mesenchymalchondrosarcoma of soft tissues occurs less frequently than the EMC, but nearly half of them esenchymalchondrosarcomasare extraskeletalin location.12
- An example for myositis ossificans has been depicted in figure 5.
- Extraskeletal osteosarcoma, soft tissue osteosarcoma, shows similar histologic features of bone osteosarcoma without systematic genetic differences.10

**Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumours**
- This group has been added, gastrointestinal stromal tumour (GIST), which is the most common primary mesenchymal tumour in the gastrointestinal tract.
Histologically GIST are classified as benign, uncertain malignant potential, and malignant.

- Prognostic factors are tumour size, mitotic activity, and anatomical site.
- Almost 50% arise in the stomach, 30% in the small intestine, and the rest for the colon, rectum, and esophagus and primary disseminated with unspecified site of origin.
- Isolated cases have been reported in the appendix. As GIST present with abdominal symptoms and are detected by ultrasound or CT scans of the abdomen, these lesions are not referred to as musculoskeletal tumours as such.

Peripheral Nerve Sheath Tumors

- Nerve sheath tumours were previously included in the 2007 WHO classification of tumours of the central nervous system.
- Although imaging reviews on soft tissue tumours already regarded nerve sheath tumours previously as typical soft tissue tumours, nerve sheath tumours have been included for the first time in the WHO classification of soft tissue tumours since 2013.
- Hybrid nerve sheath tumours, such as schwannoma/perineurioma and neurofibromata/schwannoma, have been included in the group of peripheral nerve sheath tumours.
- The latter might be related to NF-2, NF-1, or schwannomatosis.

Tumours of Uncertain Differentiation

- Tumors with unknown clear line of cell differentiation are included in this group. It includes a long list of tumors.
- New entities have been included: acral fibromyxoma (digital fibromyxoma), hemosiderotic fibrolipomatous tumor, phosphaturic mesenchymal tumor, and atypical fibroxanthoma.
- Among the group of malignant lesions, primitive neuroectodermal tumor (PNET) has been dropped as a synonym for Ewing’s sarcoma in order to minimize confusion with similarity named lesions in the CNS and female genital tract.
- Extraskeletal myxoid chondrosarcoma is included in this category as there is no convincing evidence of cartilaginous differentiation.
- Deep (“aggressive”) angiomyxoma is an uncommon slowly growing neoplasm with a predilection for pelvic and perineal regions and tendency to local recurrence and characteristic features on MR.
- Ossifying fibromyxoid tumor of the soft tissue is a well-circumscribed lobulated hard tumor covered by a thick fibrous pseudocapsule.
- It was surprising that the term “synovial sarcoma” remained unchanged in the current updated classification.
- As the lesion is not derived from true synovial cells and may involve virtually any body part, the term “synovial sarcoma” is indeed a misnomer.
- Therefore, future revisions of the WHO classification on STT should consider to abandon the confusing term “synovial sarcoma.”

Undifferentiated/Unclassified Sarcoma

- This new category of tumors, introduced for the first time in the 2013 classification, recognizes the fact that a small, but significant, subset of sarcomas cannot be classified into any presently defined categories.
- This group of tumors was previously included in the fibrohistiocytic group (group 3), namely, “malignant fibrous histiocytoma.”
- This group of tumors might have spindle cell, pleomorphic, round cell, or epithelioid morphology.
- A subset of radiation-associated sarcomas falls into this category.
- These lesions show no definable line of differentiation using currently available technologies.
- Dedifferentiated types of specific sarcomas are not included in this category.
- Undifferentiated/unclassified sarcoma accounts for up to 20% of all sarcomas and about a quarter of these are radiation-associated tumors.
- It is likely that this group of lesions will be subject to future reclassification along with ongoing progress in molecular genetics.

CONCLUSION

Radiologists come across soft-tissue lesions routinely in everyday practice, despite advances in imaging distinguishing of these soft-tissue lesions remains problematic. Clinical details and imaging characteristics on various imaging modalities has been methodically assessed to establish the diagnosis for lesions that have typical clinical and imaging features and narrow the differential diagnosis. From the present study, we conclude that Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) is a well-established imaging tool for the detection and local staging of soft-tissue tumours. MR imaging exhibited different advantages like determining the origin of these lesion in defining their extent and relation to adjacent structures, assessing operability by identifying osseous, neurovascular bundles and joint space involvement by soft tissue tumours. In addition computed tomography or radiograph can be correlatively performed wherever required to further narrow down the diagnosis. As a result, MR images can be particularly useful for characterizing lesions that do not require imaging follow-up or biopsy by pattern recognition. Also a review on latest updated classification of soft tissue tumour has been portrayed.
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