Expanded haemodialysis: from operational mechanism to clinical results
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ABSTRACT
Recent advances in chemical composition and new production techniques resulted in improved biocompatibility and permeability of dialysis membranes. Among these, the creation of a new class of membranes called medium cut-off (MCO) represents an important step towards improvement of clinical outcomes. Such membranes have been developed to improve the clearance of medium to high molecular weight (MW) solutes (i.e. uraemic toxins in the range of 5–50 kDa). MCO membranes have peculiar retention onset and cut-off characteristics. Due to a modified sieving profile, MCO membranes have also been described as high-retention onset. The significant internal filtration achieved in MCO haemodialysers provides a remarkable convective clearance of medium to high MW solutes. The marginal loss of albumin observed in MCO membranes compared with high cut-off membranes is considered acceptable, if not beneficial, producing a certain clearance of protein-bound solutes. The application of MCO membranes in a classic dialysis modality characterizes a new technique called expanded haemodialysis. This therapy does not need specific software or dedicated hardware, making its application possible in every setting where the quality of dialysis fluid meets current standards.
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INTRODUCTION
In spite of remarkable advances, high rates of hospitalization and mortality still characterize haemodialysis (HD) therapy. Although survival and quality of life have improved compared with the past, results are still unsatisfactory. New strategies and solutions are definitely required to respond to unmet clinical needs [1–3]. Poor clinical outcomes, however, are not only due to the increased age and comorbidity of the population, but also due to intrinsic limitations of current techniques. These are due to the inability of current dialysis membranes to remove the full spectrum of uraemic toxins accumulated in the body [4–6]. Complications such as anaemia, skeleton abnormalities, neuropathies and dialysis-related amyloidosis in patients with adequate urea kinetics and \( \frac{Kt}{V} \) have been correlated with uraemic toxins in the molecular range of 5000–50 000 Da not being adequately cleared by current dialysis techniques [5, 7, 8]. Classic and new uraemia retention molecules have been identified [7, 9, 10]. In particular, high levels of parathyroid hormone, fibroblast growth factor 23, osteoclastin, osteoprotegerin and other molecules involved in bone and calcium–phosphate metabolism have been associated with osteodystrophy. Hepcidin and proteins inhibiting bone marrow and erythropoiesis are responsible of uraemic anaemia. Homocysteine and mediators of inflammation have been correlated with accelerated atherosclerosis and cardiovascular complications. Increased leptin levels result in a significant reduction of appetite. Recently, \( \kappa \) free light chains (\( \kappa \)-FLCs; 22 000 Da) and \( \lambda \) free light chains (\( \lambda \)-FLCs; 42 000 Da) have also been identified as toxic molecules in uraemic patients [5]. Several of these molecules have a molecular weight (MW) well beyond the removal capacity of classic high-flux (HF) membranes and have molecular radii larger than the pores of dialysis membranes [11, 12]. Figure 1 summarizes the spectrum of uraemic toxins with specific reference to the MW of different retained molecules. Classic HF membranes are effective in removing small and some middle molecules, but other molecules are retained. Using different HF membranes, we demonstrated that convection (flux) is the most important determinant of beta-2 microglobulin (\( \beta 2M \)) removal [7, 8]. Such studies paved the way for wide use of haemodiafiltration (HDF) as a technique to exploit the maximum removal capacity of HF membranes [7, 13, 14]. HDF is now applied, especially in Europe and...
Asia, with online production of replacement fluid (online HDF), allowing achievement of convective volumes up to 25 L/session [14, 15]. A convective clearance >23 L/session in online HDF showed survival benefits over standard HD in a clinical study [15]. In spite of simplified procedures and automatic equipment, online HDF requires a complex setup and dedicated technology, which are not universally approved or available. In spite of results achieved by online HDF, clinical outcomes in dialysis are still suboptimal and unsatisfactory. Mortality is still high and so is the rate of hospitalization and cardiovascular complications [16].

**INNOVATION IN HD IS MANDATORY**

Because of the unsolved challenges in HD, there is a high demand for innovative therapeutic solutions, new strategies, new materials and devices potentially capable of achieving improved clinical outcomes. This requires not only advanced research in the area of biomaterials and membranes, but also the design of specific techniques to exploit the maximum benefits from new membranes and materials. The evolution in biomaterials and membrane technology represents a good opportunity to find solutions for unanswered questions [17, 18]. New sciences such as microfluidics and nanotechnology may further contribute to significant progress in this direction [11, 19–22]. We can anticipate improvements in patient care thanks to treatment personalization and precision medicine. In fact, we might improve our results by refining therapeutic targets for specific patients, analysing their individual characteristics.

**NEW DEVELOPMENTS IN DIALYSIS MEMBRANES**

The advent of machines with ultrafiltration (UF) control systems ~25 years ago led to the wide application of HF membranes. Thanks to their higher hydraulic permeability and larger pore dimensions, such membranes improved middle-molecule clearance and permitted the development of new techniques such as haemofiltration and HDF [14, 23]. Further evolution in membrane technology led to the development of protein-leaking membranes, also called super-flux or high cut-off membranes [17]. They are more permeable than conventional HF membranes and allow removal of larger solutes, with the drawback of some albumin loss. These membranes are used to remove toxins in the high MW range that are elevated in blood during sepsis, rhabdomyolysis and haematological disorders. In such circumstances, high MW solutes such as cytokines, myoglobin or FLCs are the main targets for removal. The limitation of these membranes however is the albumin leak due to the pore size opening, and their use is therefore indicated for only a few sessions in diffusive mode. Wider or prolonged application is not advised until more information is available on clinical benefits, side effects and threats.
MEDIUM CUT-OFF MEMBRANES

A new class of membranes called medium cut-off (MCO) has been recently introduced [18, 24, 25]. Despite the simplified definition indicating an intermediate value of cut-off, a more complex set of features characterizes these membranes [12].

Evaluation of a dialysis membrane includes the identification of permeability characteristics in terms of sieving capacity. The sieving curve shows a progressive reduction of the observed values for solute sieving as the solute MW increases. When 90% of the solute is retained in the filtration process (sieving = 0.1), the corresponding MW of that solute defines the cut-off value of the membrane (MWCO). On the other side of the sieving curve, the MW at which 10% of the solute is retained (sieving = 0.9) defines the retention onset of the membrane (MWRO). The peculiar permeability profile of MCO membranes is displayed in Figure 2. The pore size distribution of the two membranes is the key factor in determining the different sieving properties and the remarkable separation of the two curves. In the multidimensional evaluation of dialysis membranes recently proposed [12], the MWRO value can be used to differentiate membranes independently depending on the cut-off value. As shown in the figure, the MCO membrane presents an MWRO in the range of β 2M, while at that MW classic HF membranes display a sieving value of 0.45. At the same time, the MWCO is very close to the value of HF membranes, limiting the loss of albumin. For this reason, the MWCO membranes have also been defined as high retention onset membranes [11, 26]. MCO membranes are designed to improve clearances of medium to large MW solutes while avoiding significant albumin loss. This may represent a new approach to the treatment of uraemia, with potential effects on clinical outcomes.

EXPANDED HD

The clinical application of MCO may require updating the nomenclature of dialysis techniques. The term expanded HD (HDx) has been proposed to define a treatment where diffusion and convection are conveniently combined inside a hollow-fibre dialyser equipped with an MCO membrane [26, 27]. A standard dialysis machine can easily perform this technique without specific software or additional complex technology (Figure 3). Because convective clearance (K) results from the product of the UF rate (Qf) and sieving (S) of the selected molecule (K = Qf × S), when the sieving is low, the only way to increase K is to increase Qf. In the past, this was difficult due to limited hardware capabilities, membrane fouling, low routine blood flows and the requirement of expensive bags of substitution fluid. With the advent of online HDF, the problem of fluid procurement has been solved and high convection rates have been made possible thanks to the combined pre- and post-dilution configuration. HDx with MCO membranes represents a step forward in terms of efficiency and simplification (Figure 3). In HDx, the convective transport required to remove medium to large MW solutes is the result of a complex mechanism hidden inside the MCO haemodialysar [28, 29]. Reduction of the fibre inner diameter increases the wall shear rate, with a cleaning effect at the blood membrane interface and improved solute transport [30]. The increase of the end-to-end pressure drop produces significant implications on the cross-filtration profile along the length of the fibres. The combination of hydraulic permeability and geometric structure of the fibres enhances the process of internal filtration and backfiltration [31]. This mechanism, although invisible, allows a significant amount of convection inside the dialyser, where filtration takes place in the proximal part and backfiltration compensates for the excessive filtration rate in the distal part [32]. The UF control system of the dialysis machine regulates the process and provides the exact amount of net filtration required for the scheduled weight loss of the patient. A blood flow of 300 mL/min is sufficient to achieve optimal clearance in the system.

THEORETICAL MODELS AND EXPERIMENTAL DATA

We have recently provided a theoretical model to characterize internal filtration in MCO haemodialysers [32]. Among different theoretical models, linear equations seem to represent the best fit for empirical results achieved in experimental conditions (Figure 4). This model allows one to estimate haematomcit variations along the length of the haemodialysers and to derive local
cross-filtration at different points of the fibre bundle. In particular, for the Theranova 400 (1.7 m²) filter (Theranova, San Francisco, CA, USA) at blood flows of 300 and 400 mL/min, internal filtration was estimated to be 30 and 40 mL/min, respectively. Those values obtained from the linear model described in Lorenzin et al. [32] were then compared with the results achieved in a real experimental setting using a technique that was previously validated [33, 34]. Blood and dialysate compartment pressure along with transmembrane pressure (TMP) were measured in a closed *in vitro* circuit with human blood (*blood flow (QB) = 300 and 400 mL/min; dialysate flow (QD) = 500 mL/min; net UF rate (net UF = 0 mL/min)). A non-diffusible marker molecule (albumin macro-aggregates) labelled with metastable ⁹⁹Tc was used to evaluate local cross-filtration at different points along the length of the filter. Relative variations in the concentration of the marker molecule along the length of the filter reported in the lower right panel of Figure 4 were used to calculate local cross-filtration. Based on the marker concentration profiles, internal filtration (IF) was estimated. For the Theranova 400, internal filtration was 29.7 and 41.6 mL/min for QB of 300 and 400 mL/min, respectively, confirming the validity of the linear theoretical model.

**PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS OF INTERNAL FILTRATION**

We can make a simulation using the sieving curves in Figure 2 and the internal filtration values obtained for a 2.0 m² Theranova haemodialyser. Recent studies on HDF have suggested achieving at least 23 L/session of UF [15]. Considering the MW of β 2M and the sieving properties of a standard HF membrane, total β 2M clearance per session will be $23 \times 0.5 = 11.5$ L. To achieve the same result with an MCO membrane where $S = 0.9$, one will only need 12.7 L. According to our studies [32–34], a 2.0 m² MCO haemodialyser generates an average internal filtration of 40 mL/min at zero net filtration in a 240-min dialysis session (*Qb = 300 and Qd = 500, net UF = 16 mL/min*), the internal filtration will be 56 mL/min with a backfiltration of 40 mL/min. An internal filtration of 56 mL/min will provide a total of 13 440 mL of filtration that, when multiplied by 0.9 (sieving), will produce an overall β 2M clearance of 12.96 L. The result is comparable and even superior to the numbers achievable with HDF, with a simpler treatment and fewer technical requirements. With this mechanism and the improved sieving characteristics, HDx may obtain additional benefits in terms of clearances for solutes such as FLCs, whose clearance in HDF is marginal.

**HDx AND MCO MEMBRANES: THE DYNAMIC DUO**

The shape of the sieving curve of the MCO membrane is peculiar and optimized to perform HDx. Using a simple UF-controlled HD technique, solute clearances in the spectrum of MWs traditionally retained with other techniques and membranes appear enhanced. In HDF, large amounts of UF are achieved with high TMP and then replaced in the venous line after multiple steps of filtration of fresh dialysate. In HDx, the convection flow is maintained by internal filtration but it is compensated by the mechanism of backfiltration inside the filter. The special configuration of the MCO membrane with reduced inner diameter allows for high rates of internal filtration and backfiltration.
elements to optimize internal filtration and the mechanism of filtration–backfiltration. There is no need for complicated equipment and a blood flow of $\geq 300\,\text{mL/min}$ and a dialysate flow of $\geq 500\,\text{mL/min}$ are adequate. Due to the significant amount of backfiltration occurring during treatment, water purity is important to avoid back transport of contaminants. One issue that remains open is the effect of marginal leakage of albumin during HDx. This will be clarified in long-term studies, as
the effects of uraemic toxins are usually retained by classic membranes. We explored the behaviour of albumin on six patients treated for >6 months and the results are reported in Figure 5. We measured albumin loss once per week and found an amount between 2 and 4 g/session. This resulted in absolutely no variation in albumin concentration after 6 months of HDx therapy with Theranova (Figure 5).

CONCLUSIONS

The need to improve outcomes in clinical dialysis requires a continuous process of innovation and research [10, 35, 36]. The case of MCO membranes and HDx is a typical example of progress and innovation in dialysis. Of course, more evidence will be required to support the hypothesis that this dynamic duo may produce a significant effect in dialysis outcomes. However, the rationale is solid and the initial results are encouraging [37, 38]. The execution of a large randomized controlled trial is unlikely due to a lack of resources, but also due to a better understanding of the difference between the statistical and clinical meaning of an intervention. Several years of observation and an enormous sample would be required because of high dropout rates in long-term studies and the relatively low frequency of events considered as solid endpoints. Furthermore, the heterogeneity of the studied population and the different referral patterns will make it difficult to decide who should be the candidate for the trial and what the exclusion criteria should be. It will be easier to perform simple pragmatic trials utilizing registries and big data analysis derived from electronic medical records once HDx is sufficiently adopted in the clinical routine.

The required evidence may result from group analysis or even single-patient evaluation according to precision medicine criteria. A new emerging question concerns the indications for HDx and the identification of the population or the individuals who are likely to benefit from the application of this technique. We must determine if HDx should be best used as a rescue therapy in patients with uraemic toxin–related complications (erythropoietin-resistant anaemia, malnutrition-inflammation complex syndrome, bone and cardiovascular abnormalities) or as an elective therapy for incident patients before severe complications develop. HDx could be an ideal transition therapy for patients moving from peritoneal dialysis and waiting for a transplant. This is an area where the application of precision medicine and treatment personalization will be highly useful [38–41]. The response to these questions may come from personal experience and pragmatic trials, as has happened for previous innovative therapies such as bicarbonate dialysis and HDF. The application and rapid diffusion of these techniques in the past were the result of personal experience and self-evidence. We could never demonstrate statistically the superiority of bicarbonate over acetate, of HF membranes over cuprophan and of UF control systems over manual TMP regulation, but the adoption of such innovations has been rapid and extensive. If HDx maintains the promises coming from its rationale, we may imagine seeing a similar phenomenon in the years to come.
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