Chitopentaose inhibits hepatocellular carcinoma by inducing mitochondrial mediated apoptosis and suppressing protective autophagy
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Abstract
Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the most prevalent and deadliest cancers. In this study, the anti-tumor effect of singular degree of polymerization (DP) chitooligosaccharides (COS) (DP 2–5) and the underlay molecular mechanisms were investigated on HCC cell line HepG2. MTT assay showed that (GlcN)5 have the best anti-proliferation effect among the different DP of COS (DP2-5). Furthermore, the administration of (GlcN)5 could decrease mitochondrial membrane potential, release cytochrome c into cytoplasm, activate the cleavage of Caspases9/3, thus inducing mitochondrial-mediated apoptosis in HepG2 cells (accounting for 24.57 ± 2.25%). In addition, (GlcN)5 treatment could increase the accumulation of autophagosomes. Further investigation showed that (GlcN)5 suppressed protective autophagy at the fusion of autophagosomes and lysosomes. Moreover, the inhibition of protective autophagy flux by (GlcN)5 could further decrease cell viability and increase the apoptosis rate. Our findings suggested that (GlcN)5 suppressed HepG2 proliferation through inducing apoptosis via the intrinsic pathway and impairing cell-protective autophagy. COS might have the potential to be an agent for lowering the risk of HCC.
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Introduction
Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) ranks fifth in male cancer patients and the ninth in female cancer patients around the world, and it is characterized by a high mortality rate (Stewart and Wild 2014). Current treatments against HCC include liver transplantation, surgical resection, local ablation, and targeted molecular therapy such as Sorafinib, a multikinase inhibitor against vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2/3 and raf-kinase (Rahbari et al. 2011). However, potentially curative therapies are restricted by liver source, patients’ disease stage, and liver function, and patients receiving targeted molecular therapy suffer from several side effects such as fatigue, diarrhea, and hand-foot skin reaction (Dong and Roberts 2010). In addition, drug resistance is another issue that highly affects the prognosis of HCC patients (Hwang et al. 2007). Therefore, searching for alternative effective agents with high safety, efficiency, and quality is urgently needed for improving the treatment for HCC.

Chitooligosaccharides (COS) is the hydrolysis product of chitosan, which exert multiple bioactivities including anti-inflammatory (Bahar et al. 2012), anti-microbials (Jumaa et al. 2002), anti-Alzheimer’s disease (Jia et al. 2016), anti-obesity (Li et al. 2018), anti-oxidative stress (Qiao et al. 2011), wound healing (Ueno et al. 1999), and improving plant defense response (He et al. 2018). Recently, the anti-cancer property of COS has received...
high attention. Previous studies had revealed that COS could inhibit the progression of several cancers including colon carcinoma, cervical cancer and gastric cancer (Luo et al. 2014; Zhao et al. 2019; Yuan et al. 2018). However, most of these studies were performed with the combination of COS with different degrees of polymerization (DP), and the anti-tumor effect of COS with singular DP was quite unclear.

Inducing apoptosis is a common strategy for inhibiting cancer progression (Wong 2011). Moreover, it was reported that the inhibition of autophagy could enhance apoptosis in HCC (Longo et al. 2008). Indeed, the crosstalk of autophagy and apoptosis impacts the progression of tumors and, therefore, is highly concerned (Eisenberg-Lerner et al. 2009). However, it remains unknown whether the crosstalk of apoptosis and autophagy is involved in the COS mediated anti-cancer effect, as well as how it works.

This research was designed to determine the effect of singular DP COS on HCC cell proliferation in vitro and explore the role of COS in the regulation of apoptosis and autophagy.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and reagents

Human liver epithelial HepG2 cell line was purchased from the Type Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) was purchased from Gibco (Gaithersburg, MD). Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) was purchased from HyClone (Logan, UT). Antibodies against cleaved cysteinyl aspartate specific protease (caspase)-9 (1:1000), cleaved caspase-3 (1:1000), Bcl2 (1:1000), Bax (1:1000), β-actin (1:1000), and microtubule-associated proteins 1A/1B light chain 3B (LC3B) (1:1000), and other chemical agents were of the analytical grade.

Characterization of COS

The separation of COS and high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) were described previously in our recent work (Li et al. 2018). The molecular weight of COS was detected using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF–MS, Biosystems 4700 Proteomics Analyzer, Applied Biosystem Inc., Foster City, CA). 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid was used as the matrix. 1H nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) was performed on Bruker Avance-600 NMR spectrometer (Billericia, MA). COS was dissolved in D2O. Fourier transform–infrared (FT-IR) spectrometer ( Nicolet 6700, Nicolet Instrument Co., Madison, WI) was used to detect the structure of chitopentaose (GlcN)5.

Cell culture and viability assay

HepG2 cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO2 at 37 °C. The viability of HepG2 cells was measured by MTT assay as described previously (Mosmann 1983). Briefly, cells were seeded into a 96-well plate at a density of 1 × 104 per well for 24 h, and then exposed to different concentrations (1–7 mg/mL) of COS with different DP. Afterward, a solution containing 100 μg MTT was added to each well and incubated for 4 h at 37 °C. After removing the solution, the formazan was dissolved with 150 μL of DMSO. The absorbance at 570 nm was read on a microplate reader (Tecan, Zürich, Switzerland). The cell viability was defined as the percentage of the control group. The calculated 50% inhibition concentration (IC50) value was calculated using Graphpad Prism (Ver. 7.0, Graphpad Software, La Jolla, CA) through non-linear regression.

Determination of HepG2 apoptosis

Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate isomer I (FITC)/propidium iodide (PI) dual staining

Annexin V-FITC/PI staining was conducted to detect the apoptotic cells. Briefly, cells were seeded in a 6-well plate at a density of 5 × 10⁵ per well overnight and then exposed to COS. After 48 h, cells were harvested and centrifuged at 1000g for 5 min and washed with phosphate buffer saline (PBS) once. Afterward, cells were suspended with 200 μL of staining buffer. 5 μL of Annexin V-FITC and 5 μL of PI were added and cells were incubated in the darkroom for 20 min. Finally, 300 μL of staining buffer was added. The apoptotic cells were determined using flow cytometry (BD Bioscience, Franklin Lakes, NJ).

DAPI staining and morphological analysis

Cells were seeded in a 24-well plate at a density of 1 × 10⁵ per well and treated with COS for 48 h. Culture medium was removed and cells were fixed with 4% (v/v) paraformaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature. After treatment, cells were stained with DAPI solution (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) for 20 min at room temperature. The
nuclear morphological analysis was determined using an inverted fluorescence microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan).

**Detection of mitochondrial membrane potential**

The mitochondrial membrane potential was measured using the JC-1 mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP) assay kit (Yeasen, Shanghai, China). In brief, HepG2 cells were seeded in a 96-well plate and treated with COS for different dosages for 48 h. JC-1 staining solution was added to each well and the cells were incubated at 37 °C for 20 min. Afterward, the supernatant was removed, and each well was washed twice. The red (excitation 490 nm, emission 530 nm) and green (excitation 525 nm, emission 590 nm) fluorescence were detected using a microplate reader. The MMP was represented as the ratio of green/red fluorescence.

**Determination of cell autophagy**

**Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)**

Cells were planted in a 6-well plate. After being incubated with or without CQ for 2 h, cells were treated with COS for 48 h. After being harvested, the cells were fixed with 4% glutaraldehyde overnight at 4 °C and washed 3 times with PBS. Afterward, cells were fixed with 2% osmium tetroxide for 4 h at 4 °C and dehydrated with gradient ethanol. After being embedded and selected, the cells were stained with uranyl acetate and lead acetate. The samples were observed using an electron microscope (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan).

**Transfection of mRFP-GFP-LC3**

The transfection of mRFP-GFP-LC3 and detection of the fusion of LC3 and lysosomes were performed using an mRFP-GFP-LC3 adenovirus vector kit (Hanbio, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. Briefly, HepG2 cells were seeded in a 24-well plate and incubated with adenovirus to transfect the mRFP-GFP-LC3 vector for 6 h. After being treated with 3-MA or CQ for 2 h to block the autophagy flux, the cells were incubated with chitopentaose for 48 h. The fluorescence signals were detected using inverted fluorescence microscopy.

**Colocalization of LC3 and lysosomes**

Immunofluorescence was used to detect the colocalization of LC3 and lysosomes. Cells were seeded in a 24-well plate and pre-treated with or without CQ for 2 h and then treated with COS for 48 h. After removing the medium, cells were fixed with methanol for 20 min on ice and rinsed with PBS 3 times. The cells were blocked with PBS containing 5% FBS and 1% Triton 100 and incubated with primary antibody at 4 °C overnight. After being washed 3 times with PBS, the cells were incubated with FITC-labeled (for LC3 detection) or Alexa Fluor 594-labeled (for lysosome detection) secondary antibody (1:50) (Yeasen, Shanghai, China) for 1 h at room temperature. An anti-fade mounting medium (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) was used to preserve the fluorescence signals. The fluorescence signals were detected using an inverted fluorescence microscope. The colocalization analysis was conducted through ImageJ software (ver. 1.52a, Wayne Rasband, NIH). Pearson correlation coefficient was used to represent the colocalization of red and green fluorescence signals.

**RNA extraction and quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) assays**

Cells were seeded in a 6-well plate at a density of $5 \times 10^6$ per well overnight and then exposed to chitopentaose for 48 h. RNA was extracted using an RNA extraction kit (Promega, Fitchburg, WI) according to the manufacturer’s guidance. Primers were synthesized through Sagon (Shanghai, China). Reverse transcription was conducted using a reverse transcription kit (Promega, Fitchburg, WI) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. qPCR was conducted on a real-time thermal cycler (Bio-rad, Hercules, CA). The relative amount of target mRNA was calculated by the comparative cycle threshold ($C_t$) method ($2^{-\Delta C_t}$) by normalizing target mRNA $C_t$ values to those for β-actin.

**Protein extraction and western-blot**

For total protein extraction, after being treated with different concentration of chitopentaose, HepG2 cells were lysed with a RIPPA (Thermo, Waltham, MA) and 1 mM PMSF (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) cocktail using an ultrasonic cell disruptor. Separation of cytosolic protein and mitochondrial protein was performed using a cytosol-mitochondrial isolation kit (Beyotime, Shanghai, China). Protein concentration was analyzed using a BCA kit (Beyotime, China). An equal amount of protein was electrophoresed by 12% or 15% sodium laurylsulfonate (SDS) gel and transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membrane by the wet transfer method (Bio-rad, Hercules, CA). The membrane was blocked with TBST (20 mM Tris-base, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20) containing 5% (m/v) non-fat milk powder and incubated with primary antibody at proper dilutions overnight at 4 °C. After being washed 3 times with TBST, the membrane was incubated with secondary antibody (1:3000) for 1 h at room temperature. The immunoblot on the membrane was developed with an enhanced chemiluminescence system. β-actin was used as an internal reference. The relative protein concentration was expressed as folds of the control group. The intensity of the immunoblot was quantified with ImageJ software.
Statistical analysis
All the experiments were replicated more than three times. The data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical analysis was performed on SPSS (Ver. 22 SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). One-way/two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and t-test were performed to evaluate the data. Significances were considered to exist at the level of $p < 0.05$.

Results and discussion
Characterization of (GlcN)$_5$
The result of the MALDI-TOF–MS analysis is shown in Fig. 1a. The mass/charge ($m/z$) of (GlcN)$_5$ was 846.3 mass units corresponding to its [M + Na$^+$] ion-peak, which was in line with a previous study (Chen et al. 2019). The results of the $^1$H NMR analysis is shown in Fig. 1b. There were absorbance peaks at 5.30 ppm (H-1α), 4.74 ppm (H-1), four absorbance peaks at 3.91–3.34 (H-3, H-4, H-5, H-6), absorbance peaks at 2.89–3.92 ppm (H-2), while no peak at 1.92 ppm (Acetyl-H) was found, which indicated that (GlcN)$_5$ was highly deacetylated. The purity of (GlcN)$_5$ was identified by the HPLC result (Fig. 1c), which showed one peak and the retention time was 26.2 min. These results indicated that (GlcN)$_5$ was of high purity and degree of deacetylation.

Anti-proliferation effect of COS
HepG2 cells were incubated with different concentrations of COS (DP 2–5) for 48 h. As shown in Fig. 2, the anti-proliferation effect of COS was showed in a dose- and DP-dependent manner. These data suggested that COS could suppress HepG2 proliferation, but each singular DP COS had a different inhibitory effect on HepG2. Various previous researches showed the anti-proliferation effect of COS on tumor cells. The relative cell viability when treated with 1 mg/mL COS for 48 h were reported ranging from 65 to 90% (Luo et al. 2014; Shen et al. 2009; Xu et al. 2008). All these reported generally used a complicated COS mixture (DP 2–9, for example (Xu et al. 2008)), which had different characteristic including the degree of N-acetylation (DA), DP, and the mean molecular weight. COS with lower DA, higher DP, and larger mean molecular weight showed better anti-proliferation effect on cancer cells (Kim et al. 2012), and
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our results further verified that COS with higher DP had a better inhibition effect on HepG2 cell proliferation, and (GlcN)₅ showed better inhibitory effect on HepG2 cells compared with (GlcN)₁₋₄. Besides, low concentration of (GlcN)₂ promoted HepG2 cell proliferation, which could be explained by that: low concentration of (GlcN)₂ might be used or enhance the synthesis of glycogen to promote HepG2 cell proliferation (Heni et al. 2011; Kirkman and Whelan 1986).

COS induced apoptosis to HepG2 cells

The unbalance between cellular apoptosis and proliferation regulates the cancer pathological progression (Fong et al. 2006). Therefore, inducing apoptosis might be a strategy to inhibit tumor progression. To investigate whether COS would induce apoptosis, Annexin V-FITC/PI staining was conducted. The cross gate separated the cells into four groups: normal cells (FITC⁻/PI⁻), early apoptosis cells (FITC⁺/PI⁻), late apoptosis cells (FITC⁺/PI⁺), and mechanically damaged cells (FITC⁻/PI⁺). As shown in Fig. 3A, after being incubated with COS, the proportion of apoptosis cells (early apoptosis + late apoptosis) was increased with the increase of DP, and (GlcN)₅ induced a significant increase in apoptotic cells (p < 0.05), accounting for 24.57 ± 2.25%. Thus, the possible mechanism of the suppression activity was investigated using (GlcN)₅ in the following research.

Chromatin condensation and DNA fragmentation are the hallmarks of apoptosis (Liu et al. 1998). As shown in Fig. 3B, the white arrows pointed out the cells underwent chromatin condensation or DNA fragmentation. Compared with the control group, (GlcN)₅ induced morphological changes in cell nucleus in a dose-dependent manner. These findings suggested that COS could induce apoptotic phenotype in HepG2 cells. Several natural compounds were reported to increase apoptotic phenotype in tumor cells including nuclear condensation and, therefore, having the potential intervention effect against cancer (Hellmann et al. 2018; Salma et al. 2009).

COS activate mitochondrial-caspase apoptosis

Bcl-2 family proteins are highly involved in the progression of apoptosis (Mariadoss et al. 2019). The ratio of anti and pro-apoptosis protein in the Bcl-2 family is a key indicator to evaluate the effect of apoptosis stimuli. Real-time quantitative PCR was performed to detect the relative expression of bax and bcl-2. After being treated with (GlcN)₅, the relative expression of the pro-apoptotic genes, bax, increased significantly (p < 0.05), while the anti-apoptotic genes, bcl-2, showed no significant change. The ratio of bax/bcl-2 increased significantly (p < 0.05) in a dose-dependent manner, indicating that cells underwent apoptosis (Fig. 4A). In line with the qPCR results, the relative expression of Bcl-2 decreased significantly, while Bax increased significantly after
being treated with (GlcN)₅. Thus, the ratio of Bax/Bcl-2 increased significantly \((p < 0.05)\) using western blot confirmation (Fig. 4D), suggesting that cells treated with (GlcN)₅ showed an apoptotic tendency.

A decrease in MMP would result in the structural change in mitochondria and consequently leads to the redistribution of cytochrome c (Gottlieb et al. 2003; Mariadoss et al. 2020). JC-1 staining kit was used to examine the change of MMP. As shown in Fig. 4B, the ratio of green/red fluorescence decreased significantly with a dose-dependent manner, suggesting MMP was decreased after (GlcN)₅ treatment. The release of cytochrome c from mitochondria to the cytoplasm was also detected by western blot. As shown in Fig. 4C, the relative expression of cytoplasmic cytochrome c of (GlcN)₅ groups were significantly increased compared with the control group \((p < 0.05)\). In line with a previous study, a polypeptide extracted from *Ciona savignyi* had a similar effect.
with (GlcN)$_5$ that depolarized mitochondria, released cytochrome c into cytoplasm, and induced apoptosis (Cheng et al. 2012).

The release of cytochrome c from mitochondria to cytoplasm could activate pro-caspase-9, which consequently cleaves other caspase family proteins and finally executes cell to apoptosis (Vijayakumar et al. 2020; Vijayalakshmi et al. 2019). As shown in Fig. 4D, the relative expression of cleaved caspase-3, cleaved caspase-9, and cleaved PARP increased significantly ($p < 0.05$) when cells were treated with (GlcN)$_5$. These findings above revealed that (GlcN)$_5$ could alter the expression of Bcl-2 family protein, decrease the mitochondrial membrane potential, release cytochrome c from mitochondria to the cytoplasm, led to the cleavage of caspase-3 and caspase-9, which cleavage PARP, and finally induced apoptosis in HepG2 cells. Xu et al. reported similar results, that COS could increase the cleavage of PARP (Xu et al. 2008), however, whether the proteins in the caspase family were involved in the cleavage of PARP was not illustrated in their study. In this paper, we demonstrated that (GlcN)$_5$ induced
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PARP cleavage in HepG2 cells via the mitochondria-caspase pathway.

**COS induced autophagy markers**

Autophagy plays a dual role during the physiological process and tumorigenesis including maintenance of cell survival and the defeat of cell malfunction (Hippert et al. 2006; Mizushima 2007). It was reported that the inducing (Yo et al. 2009) and suppression (Law et al. 2014) of autophagy could both inhibit tumor cell viability. In the present study, we further investigated the effect of (GlcN)₅ on autophagy in HepG2 cells. TEM is a golden standard to detect the formation of autophagosomes. As shown in Fig. 5A, after being treated with (GlcN)₅, HepG2 showed an increase in the formation of autophagosomes compared to the control group. Meanwhile, the markers of autophagy include the conversion of LC3-I to LC3-II which indicates the accumulation of autophagosomes. In the present study, after cells being treated with (GlcN)₅, the green dots increased compared with control group, suggesting that (GlcN)₅ could accumulate autophagosomes (Fig. 5B). These data were

---

**Fig. 5** (GlcN)₅ increased autophagy markers. A Representative image of TEM. Scale bar represents 2 μm. Autophagosomes per cell were counted and quantified. B Immunofluorescence results for LC3 puncta detection. Scale bar represents 50 μm. LC3 puncta per cell were counted and quantified. C Western blot analysis for p62, Beclin1, and LC3B. Cells were treated with different concentration of (GlcN)₅. Cells were treated with or without (GlcN)₅ (5.5 mM). Data were represented as mean ± SD. Significance between groups was marked by various letters, a, b, c, etc. (One-way ANOVA). **, p < 0.01, ***, p < 0.001 (t-test)
consistent with the blots of LC3B (Fig. 5C), in which LC3-II expression increased in a dose-dependent manner after (GlcN)$_5$ treatment. In addition, the Beclin1 showed no significant change, and the p62 blots increased significantly ($p < 0.05$) compared with control group, which suggested that the (GlcN)$_5$ treatment might have no effect on the formation of autophagosomes but suppress the degradation of autophagosomes.

### COS suppressed autophagy flux

The accumulation of autophagosomes might be caused by the increase of the formation of autophagosomes or by the reduction of the degradation of autophagosomes (Meng et al. 2018). To further investigate these two possibilities, two chemical inhibitors were co-incubated with (GlcN)$_5$: CQ, an inhibitor of the fusion of autophagosomes and lysosomes, and 3-MA, an inhibitor of the formation of autophagosomes.

The TEM results showed that in comparison with the control group, the formation of autophagosomes increased in HepG2 cells treated with CQ alone group and (GlcN)$_5$ group (Fig. 6A). Moreover, the co-incubation of CQ and (GlcN)$_5$ group induced an increase of the numbers of autophagosomes, suggesting (GlcN)$_5$ might have a similar effect with CQ on autophagy, which suppressed the degradation of autophagosomes. This was further confirmed by the western blot assay. As shown in Fig. 6B, the p62 and LC3-II blot increased as the administration of CQ, almost the same as (GlcN)$_5$ group, when compared to the control group, while the increase was even more significant ($p < 0.05$) when the cells were co-incubated with CQ and (GlcN)$_5$.

Furthermore, we checked the autophagic flux after CQ and/or (GlcN)$_5$ treatment using the mRFP-GFP-LC3 vector system in HepG2 cells. In this study, the LC3 proteins were labeled with red and green fluorescence protein, respectively. When the fusion of lysosomes and autophagosomes occurs, the green fluorescence quenches due to the decrease of pH in lysosomes and only the red dots remain. Conversely, yellow dots (the merge of green dots and red dots) emerged when the autophagy flux was suppressed at the late stage. As shown in Fig. 6C, the treatment of (GlcN)$_5$ led to an increase of yellow dots, which was consistent with the CQ group, indicating the suppression of autophagy flux at the late stage. The co-incubation of CQ and (GlcN)$_5$ resulted in a significant ($p < 0.05$) increase in the yellow dots when compared with the control group, CQ group, and (GlcN)$_5$ group, showing a synergistic effect. In addition, 3-MA could not inhibit the accumulation of yellow dots when co-treated with (GlcN)$_5$, suggesting that (GlcN)$_5$ might not increase the formation of autophagosomes. To further explore whether (GlcN)$_5$ impair the fusion of autophagosomes and lysosomes, colocalization analysis of LC3 puncta and lysosomes was conducted by immunofluorescence. LC3B proteins were labeled with green fluorescence, representing autophagosomes, and LAMP1 proteins were labeled with red fluorescence, representing lysosomes. As shown in Fig. 6D, with the treatment of (GlcN)$_5$, the Pearson correlation coefficient of LC3B and LAMP1 decreased significantly ($p < 0.05$), indicating low colocalization. Similar situations were found in the CQ group and co-administration group (CQ + (GlcN)$_5$). These results suggest (GlcN)$_5$ inhibited the fusion of lysosomes and autophagosomes and impaired autophagy flux at the late stage, finally suppressed the autophagy in HepG2 cells.

### The role of autophagy in cell viability and apoptosis

The role of autophagy on tumor progression varies according to cell type and cancer stage (Glick et al. 2010). On one hand, autophagy prevents tumorigenesis by reducing inflammation and necrosis. On the other hand, it also protects the tumor by promoting cell viability under stress. In this study, we further evaluated the crosstalk between autophagy and apoptosis in HepG2, as well as investigated the role of autophagy in cell viability and apoptosis. As shown in Fig. 7A, cell viability decreased significantly ($p < 0.01$) with the CQ treatment. The co-incubation of CQ and (GlcN)$_5$ suppressed the proliferation of HepG2 cells significantly ($p < 0.01$) when compared with the CQ group and (GlcN)$_5$ group, suggesting the role of autophagy was to protect HepG2 cells from death. In accordance with the annexin V-FITC/PI dual staining assay (Fig. 7B), the co-incubation of CQ and (GlcN)$_5$ induced a significant apoptotic phenotype, suggesting the inhibition of autophagy induced apoptosis. In western blot assay for further substantiation (Fig. 7C), the co-incubation of CQ and (GlcN)$_5$ induced a significant increase ($p < 0.05$) in the relative expression of cleaved caspase-3, cleaved caspase-9, and cleaved PARP. These results indicated that the suppression of protective autophagy by (GlcN)$_5$ in HepG2 cells could further lead to apoptosis and inhibition of cell proliferation.

### Conclusions

COS treatment suppressed the proliferation of HepG2 tumor cells through inducing apoptosis. Among the four kinds of singular DP COS, (GlcN)$_5$ exerted the best effect on suppressing proliferation and inducing apoptosis. Further investigation revealed that (GlcN)$_5$ could increase Bax expression and decrease Bcl-2 expression both in mRNA and protein level. Moreover, (GlcN)$_5$ reduced the mitochondrial membrane potential, and released cytochrome c, thus inducing apoptosis via the intrinsic apoptosis pathway. In addition, (GlcN)$_5$ could block the fusion of lysosomes and autophagosomes to
impair autophagy flux, which further suppressed the proliferation but promoted apoptosis in HepG2 cells. Our findings indicated that \((\text{GlcN})_5\) could be a potential therapeutic agent for HCC. However, studies on the inhibition effect of \((\text{GlcN})_5\) on various tumor cells and in vivo studies should be conducted to further substantiation.

Fig. 6 (GlcN)$_5$ suppressed autophagy flux. **A** TEM results. Scale bar represents 2 μm. Autophagosomes per cell were counted and quantified. **B** Western blot analysis for p62 and LC3B. **C** LC3 puncta detection through transfection of mRFP-GFP-LC3. Scale bar represents 50 μm. LC3 puncta per cell were counted and quantified. **D** Colocalization analysis for autophagosomes and lysosomes. Scale bar represents 50 μm. Cells were treated with or without \((\text{GlcN})_5\) (5 mM), CQ (5 μM), or 3-MA (5 mM). Data were represented as mean ± SD. Significance between groups was marked by various letters, a, b, c, etc. (One-way ANOVA)
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