A Weak-Lensing Mass Reconstruction of the Large-Scale Filament Feeding the Massive Galaxy Cluster MACSJ0717.5+3745
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ABSTRACT
We report the first weak-lensing detection of a large-scale filament funneling matter onto the core of the massive galaxy cluster MACSJ0717.5+3745.
Our analysis is based on a mosaic of 18 multi-passband images obtained with the Advanced Camera for Surveys aboard the Hubble Space Telescope, covering an area of ~ 10 × 20 arcmin². We use a weak-lensing pipeline developed for the COSMOS survey, modified for the analysis of galaxy clusters, to produce a weak-lensing catalogue. A mass map is then computed by applying a weak-gravitational-lensing multi-scale reconstruction technique designed to describe irregular mass distributions such as the one investigated here. We test the resulting mass map by comparing the mass distribution inferred for the cluster core with the one derived from strong-lensing constraints and find excellent agreement.
Our analysis detects the MACSJ0717.5+3745 filament within the 3 sigma detection contour of the lensing mass reconstruction, and underlines the importance of filaments for theoretical and numerical models of the mass distribution in the Cosmic Web. We measure the filament’s projected length as ~ 4.5 h⁻¹_74 Mpc, and its mean density as (2.92 ± 0.66)×10⁸ h⁻²_74 M☉ kpc⁻². Combined with the redshift distribution of galaxies obtained after an extensive spectroscopic follow-up in the area, we can rule out any projection effect resulting from the chance alignment on the sky of unrelated galaxy group-scale structures. Assuming plausible constraints concerning the structure’s geometry based on its galaxy velocity field, we construct a 3D model of the large-scale filament. Within this framework, we derive the three-dimensional length of the filament to be 18 h⁻¹_74 Mpc. The filament’s deprojected density in terms of the critical density of the Universe is measured as (206 ± 46) × f_EW, a value that lies at the very high end of the range predicted by numerical simulations. Finally, we study the distribution of stellar mass in the field of MACSJ0717.5+3749 and, adopting a mean mass-to-light ratio (M_*/L_X) of 0.73 ± 0.22 and assuming a Chabrier Initial-Mass Function, measure a stellar mass fraction along the filament of (0.9 ± 0.2)%, consistent with previous measurements in the vicinity of massive clusters.
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1 INTRODUCTION
In a Universe dominated by Cold Dark Matter (CDM), such as the one parameterised by the ΛCDM concordance cosmology, hi-
erarchical structure formation causes massive galaxy clusters to form through a series of successive mergers of smaller clusters and groups of galaxies, as well as through continuous accretion of surrounding matter. N-body simulations of the dark-matter distribution on very large scales \citep{Bond1996,YessShandarin1999,Aragon-Calvo2007,Hahn2007} predict that these processes of merging and accretion occur along preferred directions, i.e., highly anisotropically. The result is the “cosmic web” \citep{Bond1996}, a spatially highly correlated structure of interconnected filaments and vertices marked by massive galaxy clusters. Abundant observational support for this picture has been provided by large-scale galaxy redshift surveys \citep[e.g.,][]{GellerHuchra1983,York2000,Colless2001} showing voids surrounded and connected by filaments and sheets of galaxies.

A variety of methods have been developed to detect filaments in surveys, among them a “friends of friends” algorithm \citep[FOF,][]{HuchraGeller1982} combined with “Shapefinders” statistics \citep{ShethJain2003}; the “Skeleton” algorithm \citep{Novikov2006}; a two-dimensional technique developed by \cite{Moody1983}; and the Smoothed Hessian Major Axis Finder (SHMAFF, \cite{Bond2010}).

Although ubiquitous in large-scale galaxy surveys, filaments have proven hard to characterise physically, owing to their low density and the fact that the best observational candidates often turn out to be not primordial in nature but the result of recent cluster mergers. Specifically, attempts to study the warm-hot intergalactic medium (WHIM, \cite{CenOstriker1999}), resulting from the expected gravitational heating of the intergalactic medium in filaments, remain largely inconclusive because it is hard to ascertain for filaments near cluster whether spectral X-ray features originate from the filament or from past or ongoing clusters mergers \citep{Kaastra2006,Rasmussen2007,Galeazzi2006,Williams2010}. Some detections appear robust as they have been repeatedly confirmed \citep{Fang2003,Fang2007,Williams2010} but are based on just one X-ray line. An alternative observational method is based on a search for filamentary overdensities of galaxies relative to the background \citep{Pimbblet2004,Ebeling2004}. When conducted in 3D, i.e., including spectroscopic galaxy redshifts, this method is well suited to detecting filament candidates. It does, however, not allow the determination of key physical properties unless it is supplemented by follow-up studies targeting the presumed WHIM and dark matter which are expected to constitute the vast majority of the mass of large-scale filaments. By contrast, weak gravitational lensing offers the tantalising possibility of detecting directly the total mass content of filaments \citep{Mead2010}, since the weak-lensing signal arises from luminous and dark matter alike, regardless of its dynamical state.

Previous weak gravitational lensing studies of binary clusters found tentative evidence of filaments, but did not result in clear detections. One of the first efforts was made by \cite{Clowe1998} who reported the detection of a filament apparently extending from the distant cluster RXJ1716+67 (\(z = 0.81\)), using images obtained with the Keck 10m telescope and University of Hawaii (UH) 2.2m telescope. This filamentary structure would relate two distinct subclusters detected on the mass and light maps. The detection was not confirmed though. Almost at the same time \cite{Kaiser1998} conducted a weak lensing study of the supercluster MS0302+17 with the UH8K CCD camera on the Canada France Hawaii Telescope (CFHT). Their claimed detection of a filament in the field was, however, questioned on the grounds that the putative filament overlapped with both a foreground structure as well as with gaps between CCD chips. Indeed, \cite{Gavazzi2004} showed the detection to have been spurious by means of a second study of MS0302+17 using the CFHT12K camera. A weak gravitational lensing analysis with MPG/ESO Wide Field Imager conducted by \cite{Gray2002} claimed the detection of a filament in the triple cluster A901/902. The candidate filament appeared to connect two of the clusters and was detected in both the galaxy distribution and in the weak-lensing mass map. However, this detection too was of low significance and coincided partly with a gap between two chips of the camera. As in the case of MS0302+17, a re-analysis of the A901/A902 complex using high-quality HST/ACS images by \cite{Heymans2008} failed to detect the filament and led the authors to conclude that the earlier detection was caused by residual PSF systematics and limitation of the KS93 mass reconstruction used in the study by \cite{Gray2002}. A further detection of a filament candidate was reported by \cite{Dietrich2005} based on a weak gravitational lensing analysis of the close double cluster A222/A223. However, as in other similar cases, the proximity of the two clusters connected by the putative filament raises the possibility of the latter being a merger remnant rather than primordial in nature.

In this paper we describe the first weak gravitational analysis of the very massive cluster MACSJ0717.5+3745 (\(z = 0.55\), \cite{Edge2003,Ebeling2004,Edge2007,McMullin2008,McMullin2009}); Optical and X-ray analyses of the system \citep{Ebeling2004,Ma2008,Ma2009} find compelling evidence of a filamentary structure extending toward the South-East of the cluster core. Using weak-lensing data to reconstruct the mass distribution in and around MACSJ0717.5+3745, we directly detect the reported filamentary structure in the field of MACSJ0717.5+3745.

The paper is organized as follows. After an overview of the observational data in Section 2, we discuss the gravitational lensing data in hand in Section 3. The modeling of the mass using a multi-scale approach is described in Section 4. Results are
discussed in Section 5, and we present our conclusions in Section 6.

All our results use the ΛCDM concordance cosmology with \( \Omega_M = 0.3, \Omega_\Lambda = 0.7, \) and a Hubble constant \( H_0 = 74 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1} \), hence 1″ corresponds to 6.065 kpc at the redshift of the cluster. Magnitudes are quoted in the AB system.

## 2 OBSERVATIONS

The MAssive Cluster Survey (MACS, [Ebeling et al. 2001]) was the first cluster survey to search exclusively for very massive clusters at moderate to high redshift. Covering over 20,000 deg^2 and using dedicated optical follow-up observations to identify faint X-ray sources detected in the ROSAT All-Sky Survey, MACS compiled a sample of over 120 very X-ray luminous clusters at \( z > 0.3 \), thereby more than tripling the number of such systems previously known. The high-redshift MACS subsample ([Ebeling et al. 2007]) comprises 12 clusters at \( z > 0.5 \), MACS0717.5+3745 is one of them. All 12 were observed with the ACIS-I imaging spectrograph onboard the Chandra X-ray Observatory. Moderately deep optical images covering 30 × 27 arcmin^2 were obtained in five passbands (B, V, R, I) with the SuprimeCam wide-field imager on the Subaru 8.2m Telescope, and supplemented with u-band imaging obtained with MegaCam on the Canada France Hawaii Telescope (CFHT). Finally, the cores of all clusters in this MACS subsample were observed with the Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) onboard HST in two bands, F606W & F814W, for 4.5ks in both bands, as part of programmes GO-09722 and GO-11560 (PI Ebeling).

### 2.1 HST/ACS Wide-Field Imaging

A mosaic of images of MACS0717.5+3745 and the filamentary structure to the South-East was obtained between January 24 and February 9, 2005, with the ACS aboard HST (GO-10420, PI Ebeling). The 3×6 mosaic consists of images in the F606W and F814W filters, observed for roughly 2.0 ks and 4.0 ks respectively (1 & 2 HST orbits). Only 17 of the 18 tiles of the mosaic were covered though, since the core of the cluster had been observed already (see Table 1 for more details).

Charge Transfer Inefficiency (CTI), due to radiation damage of the ACS CCDs above the Earth’s atmosphere, creates spurious trails behind objects in HST/ACS images. Since CTI affects galaxy photometry, astrometry, and shape measurements, correcting the effect is critical for weak-lensing studies. We apply the algorithm proposed by Massey et al. (2010) which operates on the raw data and returns individual electrons to the pixels from which they were erroneously dragged during readout. Image registration, geometric distortion corrections, sky subtraction, cosmic ray rejection, and the final combination of the dithered images are then performed using the standard MULTIDRIZZLE routines [Koekemoer et al. 2002]. MULTIDRIZZLE parameters are set to values optimised for precise galaxy shape measurement [Rhodes et al. 2007], and output images created with a 0.03″ pixel grid, compared to the native ACS pixel scale of 0.05″.

### Table 1. Overview of the HST/ACS observations of MACS0717.5+3745.

| R.A. (J2000) | Dec (J2000) | Date       | Exposure Time (s) | Date       | Exposure Time (s) | Programme ID |
|-------------|------------|------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|--------------|
| 07 17 32.93 | +37 45 05.4| 2004-04-02*| 4470*             | 2004-04-02 | 4560              | 9722         |
| 07 17 31.81 | +37 49 20.6| 2005-02-08 | 1980             | 2005-02-08 | 4020              | 10420        |
| 07 17 20.38 | +37 47 07.5| 2005-01-27 | 1980             | 2005-01-27 | 4020              | 10420        |
| 07 17 08.95 | +37 44 54.3| 2005-01-27 | 1980             | 2005-01-27 | 4020              | 10420        |
| 07 17 43.23 | +37 47 03.1| 2005-01-30 | 1980             | 2005-01-30 | 4020              | 10420        |
| 07 17 20.18 | +37 42 38.8| 2005-02-01 | 1980             | 2005-02-01 | 4020              | 10420        |
| 07 17 54.26 | +37 44 49.3| 2005-01-27 | 1980             | 2005-01-27 | 4020              | 10420        |
| 07 17 42.82 | +37 42 36.3| 2005-01-24 | 1980             | 2005-01-25 | 4020              | 10420        |
| 07 17 31.39 | +37 40 23.3| 2005-02-01 | 1980             | 2005-02-01 | 4020              | 10420        |
| 07 18 05.46 | +37 42 33.6| 2005-02-04 | 1980             | 2005-02-04 | 4020              | 10420        |
| 07 17 54.02 | +37 40 20.6| 2005-02-04 | 1980             | 2005-02-04 | 4020              | 10420        |
| 07 17 42.79 | +37 38 05.7| 2005-02-05 | 1980             | 2005-02-05 | 4020              | 10420        |
| 07 18 16.65 | +37 40 17.7| 2005-02-05 | 1980             | 2005-02-05 | 4020              | 10420        |
| 07 18 05.22 | +37 38 04.9| 2005-02-05 | 1980             | 2005-02-05 | 4020              | 10420        |
| 07 17 53.79 | +37 35 52.0| 2005-02-05 | 1980             | 2005-02-05 | 4020              | 10420        |
| 07 18 27.84 | +37 38 01.9| 2005-02-08 | 1980             | 2005-02-08 | 4020              | 10420        |
| 07 18 16.40 | +37 35 49.1| 2005-02-08 | 1980             | 2005-02-08 | 4020              | 10420        |
| 07 18 04.97 | +37 33 36.2| 2005-02-09 | 1980             | 2005-02-09 | 4020              | 10420        |

### Table 2. Overview of groundbased imaging observations of MACS0717.5+3745.

| Subaru | CFHT |
|--------|------|
|        |      |
| B      | 0.4  |
| V      | 0.6  |
| R_C    | 0.8  |
| I_C    | 0.4  |
| z'     | 0.5  |
| u*     | 1.9  |
| J      | 1.8  |
| K_s    | 1.7  |

Exposure (hr) 0.4 0.6 0.8 0.4 0.5 1.9 1.8 1.7

Seeing (arcsec) 0.8 0.7 1.0 0.8 0.6 1.0 0.9 0.7

*: Cluster core; observed through F555W, rather than F606W filter.
2.2 Groundbased Imaging

MACSJ0717.5+3745 was observed in the B, V, Rc, I, and z′ bands with the Suprime-Cam wide-field camera on the Subaru 8.2m telescope (Miyazaki et al. 2002). These observations are supplemented by images in the u’ band obtained with the MegaPrime camera on the CFHT 3.6m telescope, as well as near-infrared imaging in the J and Ks bands obtained with WIRcam on CFHT. Exposure times and seeing conditions for these observations are listed in Tab. 2 (see also C.-J. Ma, Ph.D. thesis). All data were reduced using standard techniques which were, however, adapted to deal with special characteristics of the Suprime-Cam and MegaPrime data; for more details see Donovan (2007).

The groundbased imaging data thus obtained are used primarily to compute photometric redshifts which allow the elimination of cluster members and foreground galaxies that would otherwise dilute the shear signal. To this end we use the object catalogue compiled by Ma et al. (2008) which we describe briefly in the following. Imaging data from the passbands listed in Tab. 2 were seeing-matched using the technique described in Kartaltepe et al. (2008) in order to allow a robust estimate of the spectral energy distribution (SED) for all objects within the field of view. The object catalogue was then created using the SExtractor photometry package (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) in “dual mode” using the R-band image as the reference detection image. More details are given in Ma et al. (2008).

2.3 Spectroscopic and Photometric Redshifts

Spectroscopic observations of MACSJ0717.5+3745 (including the full length of the filament) were conducted between 2000 and 2008, mainly with the DEIMOS spectrograph on the Keck-II 10m telescope on Mauna Kea, supplemented by observations of the cluster core region performed with the LRIS and GMOS spectrographs on Keck-I and Gemini-North, respectively. The DEIMOS instrument setup combined the 600ZD grating with the GC455 order-blocking filter and a central wavelength between 6300 and 7000 Å; the exposure time per MOS (multi-object spectroscopy) mask was typically 3×1800 s. A total of 18 MOS masks were used in our DEIMOS observations; spectra of 1752 unique objects were obtained (65 of them with LRIS, and 48 with GMOS), yielding 1079 redshifts, 537 of them of cluster members. Figure 1 shows the area covered by our spectroscopic survey as well as the loci of the targeted galaxies. The data were reduced with the DEIMOS pipeline developed by the DEEP2 project.

Photometric redshifts for galaxies with $m_{Rc}<24.0$ were computed using the adaptive SED-fitting code Le Phare (Arnouts et al. 1999, Ilbert et al. 2006, 2009). In addition to employing $\chi^2$ optimization during SED fitting, Le Phare adaptively adjusts the photometric zero points by using galaxies with spectroscopic redshifts as a training set. This approach reduces the fraction of catastrophic errors and also mitigates systematic trends in the differences between spectroscopic and photometric redshifts (Ilbert et al. 2006).

Further details, e.g. concerning the selection of targets for spectroscopy or the spectral templates used for the determination of photometric redshifts, are provided by Ma et al. (2008). The full redshift catalogue as well as an analysis of cluster substructure and dynamics as revealed by radial velocities will be presented in Ebeling et al. (2012, in preparation).
3 WEAK GRAVITATIONAL LENSING ANALYSIS

3.1 The ACS catalogue

Our weak-lensing analysis is based on shape measurements in the ACS/F814W band. Following a method developed for the analysis of data obtained for the COSMOS survey and described in Leauthaud et al. (2007), hereafter L07, we use the SExtractor photometry package (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) to detect sources in our ACS imaging data in a two-step process. Called the “Hot-Cold” technique (Rix et al. 2004, L07), it consists of running SExtractor twice: first with a configuration optimised for the detection of the faint objects (the “cold” step), then a second time with a configuration optimised for the detection of the faint objects (the “hot” step) that contain most of the lensing signal. The resulting object catalogue is then cleaned by removing spurious or duplicate detections using a semi-automatic algorithm that defines polygonal masks around stars or saturated pixels.

Star-galaxy classification is performed by examining the distribution of objects in the magnitude (MAG_AUTO) vs peak surface-brightness (MAG_MAX) plane. This diagram allows us to separate three classes of objects: galaxies, stars, and any remaining spurious detections (i.e., artifacts, hot pixels and residual cosmic rays). Finally, the drizzling process introduces pattern-dependent correlations between neighbouring pixels which artificially reduces the noise level of co-added drizzled images. We apply the remedy used by L07 by simply scaling up the noise level in each pixel by the same constant $F_x \approx 0.316$, defined by Casertano et al. (2000).

3.2 Foreground, Cluster & Background Galaxy Identifications

Since only galaxies behind the cluster are gravitationally lensed, the presence of cluster members and foreground galaxies in our ACS catalogue dilutes the observed shear and reduces the significance of all quantities derived from it. Identifying and eliminating as many of the contaminating unlensed galaxies is thus critical.

As a first step, we identify cluster galaxies with the help of the catalogue of photometric and spectroscopic redshifts compiled by Ma et al. (2008) from ground-based observations of the MACSJ0717.5+3745 field; the limiting magnitude of this catalogue is $m_B = 24$. According to Ma & Ebeling (2010), all galaxies with spectroscopic redshifts $0.522 < z_{\text{spec}} < 0.566$ and with photometric redshifts $0.48 < z_{\text{phot}} < 0.61$ can be considered to be cluster galaxies. An additional criterion can be defined using the photometric redshifts derived as described in Sect. 3. Taking into account the statistical uncertainty of $\Delta z = 0.021$ of the photometric redshifts, galaxies are defined as cluster members if their photometric redshift satisfies the criterion:

$$|z_{\text{phot}} - z_{\text{cluster}}| < \sigma_{\text{phot-\zeta}},$$

with

$$\sigma_{\text{phot-\zeta}} = (1 + z_{\text{cluster}})\Delta z = 0.036,$$

where $z_{\text{phot}}$ and $z_{\text{cluster}}$ are the photometric redshift of the galaxy and the spectroscopic redshift of the galaxy cluster respectively. Reflecting the need for a balance between completeness and contamination, these redshift limits are much more generous than those used in conjunction with spectroscopic redshifts, which set the redshift range for cluster membership to $3\sigma \sim 0.0122$. For more details, see C.-J. Ma (Ph.D. thesis), as well as [Ma et al.] (2008); [Ma & Ebeling] (2010).

In spite of these cuts according to galaxy redshift, the remaining ACS galaxy sample is most likely still contaminated by foreground and cluster galaxies, the primary reason being the large difference in angular resolution and depth between the ACS and Subaru images. The relatively low resolution of the groundbased...
data causes the Subaru catalogue to be confusion limited and makes matching galaxies between the two catalogues difficult, especially near the cluster core. As a result, we can assign a redshift to only \( \sim 15\% \) of the galaxies in the HST/ACS galaxy catalogue.

For galaxies without redshifts, we use colour-colour diagrams (B−R vs R−I, Fig. 2 and B−V vs u−B, Fig. 4) to identify foreground and cluster members. Using galaxies with spectroscopic or photometric redshifts from the full photometric Subaru catalogue with a magnitude limit of \( m_{R_{25}} = 25 \), we identify regions marked dominated by unlensed galaxies (foreground galaxies and cluster members). In the BRI plane we find B−R < 2.6(R−I) + 0.05; (R−I) > 1.03; or (B−R) < 0.9 to best isolate unlensed galaxies; in the UBV plane the most efficient criterion is B−V < −0.5(u−B) + 0.85.

Figures 3 and 5 show the galaxy redshift distributions before and after the colour-colour cuts (BRI or uBV) are applied. The results of either kind of filtering are similar. The uBV selection is more efficient at removing cluster members and foreground galaxies at \( z \leq 0.6 \) (20% remain compared to 30% for the BRI criterion) but also erroneously eliminates part of the background galaxy population. Comparing the convergence maps for both colour-colour selection schemes, we find the uBV selection to yield a better detection of structures in the area surrounding the cluster, indicating that suppressing contamination by unlensed galaxies is more important than a moderate loss of background galaxies from our final catalogue (see Sect. 5 for more details).

Since the redshift distribution of the background population peaks at 0.61 < \( z < 0.70 \) (cyan curve in Fig. 5) we assign, in the mass modelling phase, a redshift \( z = 0.65 \) to background galaxies without redshift.

### 3.3 Shape measurements of Galaxies & Lensing Cuts

#### 3.3.1 Theoretical Weak Gravitational Lensing Background

The shear signal contained in the shapes of lensed background galaxies is induced by a given foreground mass distribution. In the weak-lensing regime this shear is observed as a statistical deformation of background sources. The observed shape of a source galaxy, \( \epsilon \), is directly related to the lensing-induced shear, \( \gamma \), according to the relation:

\[
\epsilon = \epsilon_{\text{intrinsic}} + \epsilon_{\text{lensing}},
\]

where \( \epsilon_{\text{intrinsic}} \) is the intrinsic shape of the source galaxy (which would be observed in the absence of gravitational lensing), and

\[
\epsilon_{\text{lensing}} = \frac{\gamma}{1 + \kappa}.
\]

Here \( \kappa \) is the convergence. In the weak-lensing regime, \( \kappa \ll 1 \), which reduces the relation between the intrinsic and the observed shape of a source galaxy to

\[
\epsilon = \epsilon_{\text{intrinsic}} + \gamma.
\]

Assuming galaxies are randomly oriented on the sky, the ellipticity of galaxies is an unbiased estimator of the shear, down to a limit referred to as “intrinsic shape noise”, \( \sigma_{\text{intrinsic}} \) (for more details see LO7; Leauthaud et al. 2010, hereafter L10). Unavoidable errors in the galaxy shape measurement are accounted for by adding them in quadrature to the “intrinsic shape noise”:

\[
\sigma_{\epsilon}^2 = \sigma_{\text{measurement}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{intrinsic}}^2.
\]

The shear signal induced on a background source by a given foreground mass distribution will depend on the configuration of the lens-source system. The convergence \( \kappa \) is defined as the dimensionless surface mass density of the lens:

\[
\kappa(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 \varphi(\theta) = \frac{\Sigma(D_{OL}(\theta))}{\Sigma_{\text{crit}}},
\]

where \( \theta \) is the angular position of the background galaxy, \( \varphi \) is the deflection potential, \( \Sigma(D_{OL}(\theta)) \) is the physical surface mass density of the lens, and \( \Sigma_{\text{crit}} \) is the critical surface mass density defined as

\[
\Sigma_{\text{crit}} = \frac{c^4 D_{OS}}{4 \pi G D_{OL} D_{LS}}.
\]

Here, \( D_{OL}, D_{OS}, \) and \( D_{LS} \) represent the angular distances from the observer to the lens, from the observer to the source, and from the lens to the source, respectively.

Considering the shear \( \gamma \) as a complex number, we define

\[
\gamma = \gamma_1 + i \gamma_2,
\]

where \( \gamma_1 = |\gamma| \cos 2\phi \) and \( \gamma_2 = |\gamma| \sin 2\phi \) are the two components of the shear, \( \gamma \), defined previously, and \( \phi \) is the orientation angle. With this definition, the shear is defined in terms of the derivatives of the deflection potential as:

\[
\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2} (\varphi_{11} - \varphi_{22}),
\]

\[
\gamma_2 = \varphi_{12} = \varphi_{21},
\]

with

\[
\varphi_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j}, \quad i, j \in (1, 2).
\]

Following Kaiser & Squires (1993), the complex shear is related to the convergence by:

\[
\kappa(\theta) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int d^2 \theta' \text{Re}[D(\theta - \theta') \gamma(\theta')].
\]

Here \( D(\theta) \) is the complex kernel, defined as

\[
D(\theta) = \frac{\theta_1^2 - \theta_2^2 + 2i \theta_1 \theta_2}{|\theta|^4},
\]

and \( \text{Re}(z) \) defines the real part of the complex number \( z \). The asterisk denotes complex conjugation. The last equation shows that the surface mass density \( \kappa(\theta) \) of the lens can be reconstructed straightforwardly if the shear \( \gamma(\theta) \) caused by the deflector can be measured locally as a function of the angular position \( \theta \).

#### 3.3.2 The RRG method

To measure the shape of galaxies we use the RRG method (Rhodes et al. 2004) and the pipeline developed by LO7. Having been developed for the analysis of data obtained from space, the RRG method is ideally suited for use with a small, diffraction-limited PSF as it decreases the noise on the shear estimators by correcting each moment of the PSF linearly, and only dividing them at the very end to compute an ellipticity.

The ACS PSF is not as stable as one might expect from a space-based camera (Rhodes et al. 2007) showed that both the size and the ellipticity pattern of the PSF varies considerably on time scales of weeks due to telescope 'breathing’. The thermal expansion and contraction of the telescope alter the distance between the primary and the secondary mirrors, inducing a deviation of the effective focus and thus from the nominal PSF which becomes larger and more elliptical. Using version 6.3 of the TinyTim ray-tracing
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In order to optimize the signal-to-noise ratio, we introduce an inverse-variance weighting scheme following L10:

\[ w_\gamma = \frac{1}{\sigma_\gamma^2} \]

Hence faint small galaxies which have large measurement errors are down-weighted with respect to sources that have well measured shapes.

3.3.4 Lensing Cuts

The last step in constructing the weak-lensing catalogue for the MACSJ0717.5+3745 field consists of applying lensing cuts, i.e., to exclude galaxies whose shape parameters are ill-determined and will increase the noise in the shear measurement more than they add to the shear signal. However, in doing so, we need to take care not to introduce any biases. We use three galaxy properties to establish the following selection criteria:

- Their estimated detection significance:
  \[ \frac{S}{N} > \frac{\text{FLUX}\_\text{AUTO}}{\text{FLUXERR}\_\text{AUTO}} > 4.5; \]
  where \( \text{FLUX}\_\text{AUTO} \) and \( \text{FLUXERR}\_\text{AUTO} \) are parameters returned by SExtractor;
- Their total ellipticity:
  \[ e = \sqrt{e_1^2 + e_2^2} < 1; \]
- Their size as defined by the RRG \( d \) parameter:
  \[ d > 0.13". \]

The requirement that the galaxy ellipticity be less than unity may appear trivial and superfluous. In practice it is meaningful though since the RRG method allows measured ellipticity values to be greater than 1 because of noise, although ellipticity is by definition restricted to \( e \leq 1 \). Because Lenstool prevents ellipticities to be larger than 1, we removed the 251 objects with an ellipticity greater than unity from the RRG catalogue (2% of the catalogue). Serving a similar purpose, the restriction in the RRG size parameter \( d \) aims to eliminate sources with uncertain shapes. PSF corrections become increasingly significant as the size of a galaxy approaches that of the PSF, making the intrinsic shape of a galaxy difficult to measure.

Our final weak-lensing catalogue is composed of 10170 background galaxies, corresponding to a density of \( \sim 52 \) galaxies arcmin\(^{-2}\). In addition to applying the aforementioned cuts, and in order to ensure an unbiased mass reconstruction in the weak lensing regime only, we also remove all background galaxies located in the multiple-image (strong-lensing) region defined by an ellipse aligned with the cluster elongation and with a semi-major axis of 55\(^{\prime}\), a semi-minor axis of 33\(^{\prime}\). From the resulting mass-map presented in Sect. 5 we \( a\)-posteriori derived a convergence histogram of the pixels outside this region, and found that 90% of them are smaller than \( \kappa \sim 0.1 \), with a mean value of \( \kappa = 0.03 \). The shear and convergence are so weak because the ratio \( D_{\text{LS}}/D_{\text{OS}} = 0.14 \) for background galaxies at redshift \( z_{\text{med}} = 0.65 \) and the cluster at \( z = 0.54 \) (see Sect. 4).
4 MASS DISTRIBUTION

The mass modelling for the entire MACSJ0717.5+3745 field is performed using the LENSTOOL [Jullo et al. (2007)] software, using the adaptive-grid technique developed by Jullo & Kneib (2009) and modified by us for weak-lensing mass measurements. Because LENSTOOL implements a Bayesian sampler, it provides many mass maps fitting the data that can be used to obtain a mean mass map and to determine its error.

4.1 Multi-Scale Grid Method

We start with the method proposed by Jullo & Kneib (2009) to model the cluster mass distribution using gravitational lensing. This recipe uses a multi-scale grid of Radial Basis Functions (RBFs) with physically motivated profiles and lensing properties. With a minimal number of parameters, the grid of RBFs of different sizes provides higher resolution and sharper contrast in regions of higher density where the number of constraints is generally higher. It is well suited to describe irregular mass distributions like the one investigated here.

The initial multi-scale grid is created from a smoothed map of the cluster K-band light and is recursively refined in the densest regions. In the case of MACSJ0717.5+3745, this method is fully adaptive as we want to sample a wide range of masses, from the cluster core to the far edge of the HST/ACS field where the filamentary structure is least dense. Initially, the field of interest is limited to a hexagon, centred on the cluster core and split into six equilateral triangles (see Fig. 1 in Jullo & Kneib 2009). This initial grid is subsequently refined by applying a splitting criterion that is based on the surface density of the light map. Hence, a triangle will be split into four smaller triangles if it contains a single pixel that exceeds a predefined light-surface-density threshold.

Once the adaptive grid is set up, RBFs described by Truncated Pseudo Isothermal Elliptical Mass Distributions (PIEMD) (see, e.g., Kassiola & Kovner 1993; Kneib et al. 1996; Limousin et al. 2003; Ellisdóttir et al. 2007) are placed at the grid node locations. The analytical expression of the TIMD mass surface density is given by

$$\Sigma(R) = \sigma_0^2 f(R, r_{core}, r_{cut})$$

with

$$f(R, r_{core}, r_{cut}) = \frac{1}{2G} \frac{r_{cut}}{r_{core} - r_{cut}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_{core}^2 + R^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_{cut}^2 + R^2}} \right)$$

Hence, $f$ defines the profile, and $\sigma_0^2$ defines the weight of the RBF. This profile is characterized by two changes in slope at radius values of $r_{core}$ and $r_{cut}$. Within $r_{core}$, the surface density is approximately constant, between $r_{core}$ and $r_{cut}$, it is isothermal (i.e., $\Sigma \propto r^{-1}$), and beyond $r_{cut}$ it falls as $\Sigma \propto r^{-3}$. This profile is physically motivated and meets the three important criteria of a) featuring a finite total mass, b) featuring a finite central density, and c) being capable of describing extended flat regions, in particular in the centre of clusters.

The RBFs’ $r_{core}$ value is set to the size of the smallest nearby triangle, and their $r_{cut}$ parameter is set to $3r_{core}$, a scaling that

---

1 LENSTOOL is available online: [http://lamwww.oamp.fr/lenstool](http://lamwww.oamp.fr/lenstool)

---

Figure 6. Distribution of grid nodes and galaxy-scale potentials, superimposed on the K-band light map of MACSJ0717.5+3745. Cyan crosses represent the location of 468 RBFs at the nodes of the multi-scale grid; magenta circles represent galaxy-scale potentials used to describe the contribution of individual cluster members. The white dashed line defines the HST/ACS field of view. The white cross marks the cluster centre at 07:17:30.025, +37:45:18.58 (R.A., Dec.).

Jullo & Kneib (2009) found to yield an optimal compromise between model flexibility and overfitting. We then adapt the technique proposed by Diego et al. (2007) to our multiscale grid model to fit the weak-lensing data ($\kappa \ll 1$). Assuming a set of $M$ images and a model comprised of $N$ RBFs, the relation between the weights $\sigma_{0i}^2$ and the $2M$ components of the shear is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1^1 \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_N^1 \\ \gamma_1^M \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_N^M \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_1^{(1,1)} & \cdots & \Delta_1^{(1,N)} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \Delta_N^{(1,1)} & \cdots & \Delta_N^{(1,N)} \\ \Delta_1^{(M,1)} & \cdots & \Delta_1^{(M,N)} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \Delta_N^{(M,1)} & \cdots & \Delta_N^{(M,N)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{01}^2 \\ \vdots \\ \sigma_{0N}^2 \\ \cdots \\ \sigma_{01}^2 \\ \cdots \\ \sigma_{0N}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\Delta_i^{(1,j)} = \frac{D_{LS}^i}{D_{OS}} \gamma_1^{(i,j)},$$

$$\Delta_i^{(M,j)} = \frac{D_{LS}^i}{D_{OS}} \gamma_M^{(i,j)},$$

where

$$\gamma_1^{(i,j)} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\theta_1} \Phi(R_{ij}) - \partial_{\theta_2} \Phi(R_{ij}));$$

$$\gamma_M^{(i,j)} = \partial_{\theta_2} \Phi(R_{ij}) - \partial_{\theta_1} \Phi(R_{ij}),$$

and

$$R_{ij} = |\theta_i - \theta_j|.$$
method will be provided in a forthcoming paper (Jullo et al. 2012, in preparation).

Figure 5 shows the distribution of the 468 RBFs superimposed on the light map used to define the multi-scale grid. The smallest RBF has a $r_{\text{core}} = 26''$. Note that although Lenstool can perform strong lensing, and reduced-shear optimization, here the proposed formalism assumes weak-shear with $\kappa \ll 1$. To get an unbiased estimator, we remove the background galaxies near the cluster core from the catalogue, but we keep RBFs in this region as we found it improves the mass reconstruction. In order to check our weak-shear assumption, we multiply the resulting convergence map by the factor $1 - \kappa$, and find this minor correction only represents about 5% at 300 kpc and less than 1% at 500 kpc. It confirms that working with a weak-shear assumption in this case is not biasing our results. On Fig. 5 the black dashed curve corresponds to the corrected weak-shear optimization, while the black curve represents the weak-shear optimization profile. Both shows a really good agreement with the strong-lensing results (magenta curve).

4.2 Cluster Member Galaxies

Our catalogue of cluster members is compiled from the photometric catalogue of Ma et al. (2008). Within the HST/ACS study area we identify as cluster members 1244 galaxies with spectroscopic and/or photometric redshifts within the redshift ranges defined in Sect. 4.1. We use these galaxies’ $K_S$-band luminosities as mass estimators (see below for details).

All cluster members are included in the lens model in the form of truncated PIEMD potentials (see Sect. 4.1) with characteristic properties scaled according to their K-band luminosity:

$$r_{\text{core}} = r_{\text{core}}^* \left( \frac{L}{L_*^*} \right)^{1/2},$$

$$r_{\text{cut}} = r_{\text{cut}}^* \left( \frac{L}{L_*^*} \right)^{1/2},$$

and,

$$\sigma_0 = \sigma_0^* \left( \frac{L}{L_*^*} \right)^{1/4}.$$

These scaling relations are found to well describe early-type cluster galaxies (e.g. Wuyts et al. 2004, Fritz et al. 2005) under the assumptions of mass tracing light and the validity of the Faber & Jackson (1976) relation. Since the mass, $M$, is proportional to $\sigma^2 r_{\text{cut}}$, the above relations ensure $M \propto L$, assuming that the mass-to-light ratio is constant for all cluster members.

To find suitable values of $r_{\text{core}}^*, r_{\text{cut}}^*$, and $\sigma_0^*$ we take advantage of the results of the strong-lensing analysis of MACS0717.5+3745 recently conducted by Limousin et al. (2012). Their mass model of the cluster also included cluster members, using the scaling relations defined above (see also Limousin et al. 2007). For a given $L^*$ luminosity, given by $m^* = 19.16$, the mean apparent magnitude of a cluster member in K-band, Limousin et al. (2012) set all geometrical galaxy parameters (centre, ellipticity, position angle) to the values measured with SEXTRACTOR, fixed $r_{\text{core}}^*$ at 0.3 kpc, and then searched for the values of $\sigma_0^*$ and $r_{\text{cut}}^*$ that yield the best fit. We here use the same best-fit values, $r_{\text{core}}^* = 60$ kpc and $\sigma_0^* = 163$ kpc/s, to define the potentials of the cluster members. Hence, all parameters describing cluster members are fixed in our model; their positions are marked by the magenta circles in Fig. 5.

4.3 Mass Modeling

The mass reconstruction is conducted using LENSTOOL which implements an optimisation method based on a Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) approach (Jullo et al. 2007). We chose this approach because we want to propagate as transparently as possible errors on the ellipticity into errors on the filament mass measurement. This method provides two levels of inference: parameter space exploration and model comparison, by means of the posterior Probability Density Function (PDF) and the Bayesian evidence, respectively.

All of these quantities are related by the Bayes Theorem:

$$\Pr(\theta|D, M) \propto \frac{\Pr(D|\theta, M)\Pr(\theta|M)}{\Pr(D|M)},$$

where $\Pr(\theta|D, M)$ is the posterior PDF, $\Pr(D|\theta, M)$ is the likelihood of a realisation yielding the observed data $D$ given the parameters $\theta$ of the model $M$, and $\Pr(\theta|M)$ is the prior PDF for the parameters. $\Pr(D|M)$ is the probability of obtaining the observed data $D$ given the assumed model $M$, also called the Bayesian evidence. It measures the complexity of the model. The posterior PDF will be the highest for the set of parameters $\theta$ that yields the best fit and is consistent with the prior PDF. Jullo et al. (2007) implemented an annealed Markov Chain to converge progressively from the prior PDF to the posterior PDF.

For the weak-lensing mass mapping, we implement an additional level of complexity based on the Gibbs sampling technique (see Massive Inference in the Bayesys manual, Skilling 1998). Basically, only the posterior distribution of the most relevant RBFs is explored. The number of RBFs to explore is an additional free parameter with a Poisson prior. Exploring possible values of this prior in simulations, we find that the input mass is well recovered when the mean of this prior is set to 2% of the total number of RBFs in the model. The weights of the RBFs, $\sigma_0^2$, are decomposed into the product of a quantum element of weight, $q$, common to all RBF, and a multiplicative factor $\zeta$. In order to have positive masses, we make $\zeta$ follow a Poisson prior (case MassInf=1), and $q$ to follow the prior distribution $\pi(q) = dq^2 q \exp(-q/q_0)$, and we fix the initial guess $q_0 = 10$ km/s. The final distribution of $\sigma_0^2$ is well approximated by a distribution $\pi(q)$ with $q_0 = 12$ km/s, and we find that 16.8 ± 4.8 RBFs are necessary on average to reconstruct the mass distribution given our data, i.e. about 3.5% of the total number of RBFs in the model. This new algorithm is fast, as it can deliver a
The 2-in parallel on five processors clocked at 2 GHz. more than 4 weeks to converge. The new algorithm has been test— the previous algorithm used in Jullo & Kneib (2009) was taking more than 4 weeks to converge. The new algorithm has been tested in parallel on five processors clocked at 2 GHz.

We define the likelihood function as (see e.g. Schneider et al. (2000):

$$Pr(D | \theta) = \frac{1}{Z_L} \exp(-\frac{\chi^2}{2})$$

where $D$ is the vector of ellipticity component values, and $\theta$ is a vector of the free parameters $\sigma^2_\gamma$. $\chi^2$ is the usual goodness-of-fit statistic:

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{(\tilde{\gamma}_{ij} - 2\gamma_{ij}(\theta))^2}{\sigma^2_\gamma}.$$  

(3)

The $2M$ intrinsic ellipticity components ($M$ is the number of background sources), are defined as follows:

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{\text{intrinsic},i,j} = \tilde{\gamma}(\theta_j) - 2\gamma_{ij}(\theta)$$

(4)

and, are assumed to have been drawn from a Gaussian distribution with variance defined in Sect. 3.3.1.

$$\sigma^2_\gamma = \sigma^2_{\text{intrinsic}} + \sigma^2_{\text{measurement}}.$$  

The normalization factor is given by

$$Z_L = \prod_{i=1}^M \sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_{\gamma_i}.$$  

Note the factor of 2 in Eqn. 3 because of the particular definition of the ellipticity in RRG (see Sect. 3.3.2). The logarithmic Bayesian evidence is then given by

$$\log(E) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\chi^2)^2 d\lambda$$

where the average is computed over a set of 10 MCMC realizations at any given iteration step $\lambda_t$, and the integration is performed over all iterations $\lambda_t$ from the initial model ($\lambda = 0$) to the best-fit result ($\lambda = 1$). An increment in $d\lambda$ depends on the variance between the 10 likelihoods computed at a given iteration, and a convergence rate that we set equal to 0.1 (see Bayesys manual for details). The increment gets larger as the algorithm converges towards 1.

5 RESULTS

5.1 Kappa Map : Standard mass reconstruction

We test our catalogue of background galaxies by mapping the convergence $\kappa$ in the MACS0717.5+3745 field. We use the method described in Sect. 3.3.1 which is based on the inversion equation found by Kaiser & Squires (1993) and developed further by Seitz & Schneider (1995). It shows that the best density reconstructions are obtained when the smoothing scale is adapted to the strength of the signal. We find a smoothing scale of 3 arcmin to provide a good compromise between signal-to-noise considerations and map resolution. We estimate the noise directly from the measured errors, $\sigma_{\text{measurement}}$, averaged within the grid cells.

The resulting $\kappa$-map is shown in Fig. 9 overlaid on a smoothed image of the K-band light from cluster galaxies. We identify two substructures south-east of the cluster core whose locations match the extent of the filamentary structure seen in the galaxy distribution. The first of these, near the cluster core, coincides with the beginning of the optical filament; the second falls close to the apparent end of the filament close to the edge of our study area. A simple inversion technique thus already yields a 2 sigma detections of parts of the filament.

The Chandra observation of MACS0717.5+3745 shows X-ray detections of two satellite groups of galaxies embedded in the filament (private communication H. Ebeling, see also Fig. 1 of Ma et al. 2009, and Fig. 10 of this paper). Their X-ray coordinates (R.A., Dec, J2000) are: i) 07:17:53.618, +37:42:10.46, and ii)
07:18:19.074, +37:41:13.14 (cyan crosses in Fig. 3). The first of these, close to the filament-cluster interface, is detected by the inversion technique, but not the second. Conversely, the peak in the convergence map in the southeastern corner of our study area is not detected in X-rays.

5.2 Mass Map : Iterative mass reconstruction

The primary goal of this paper is the detection of the large-scale filament with weak-lensing techniques and the characterisation of its mass content. To calibrate the mass map of the entire structure (i.e., filament + cluster core) and overcome the mass-sheet degeneracy, we compare the weak-lensing mass obtained for the cluster core with the technique described in Sect. 4, with the strong-lensing (SL) results from Limousin et al. (2012) for the region covered by a single ACS tile. Spectroscopic follow up of the lensed features allowed the determination of a well calibrated mass model. The cyan contours in Fig. 9 show the mass distribution obtained from their analysis. Four mass components, associated with the main light components, are needed to satisfy the observational constraints. The cluster mass reported by Limousin et al. (2012) for the region covered by a single ACS field-of-view is $M_{\text{WL}}(R < 500 \text{ kpc}) = (1.06 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{15} h_{74}^{-1} M_{\odot}$, where the cluster centre is taken to be at the position quoted before (07:17:30.025 +37:45:18.58). This position was adopted as the cluster centre because it marks the barycenter of the Einstein ring measured by Meneghetti et al. (2011). Another SL analysis of MACSJ0717.5+3745 was performed by Zitrin et al. (2009) who report a mass of $M_{\text{WL}}(R < 350 \text{ kpc}) = (7.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{14} h_{74}^{-1} M_{\odot}$.

Figure 10 compares the mass density profiles derived from the SL analysis (magenta line) and from our WL analysis (black line); note the very good agreement. The WL density profile has been cut up to ~300 kpc as this region corresponds to the multiple-image regime, therefore does not contain any WL constraints.

In Fig. 8, the SL density profile is extrapolated beyond the multiple-image region (the cluster core), while the WL density profile is extrapolated into the multiple-image region. The WL mass thus obtained for the cluster core is $M_{\text{WL}}(R < 500 \text{ kpc}) = (1.04 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{15} h_{74}^{-1} M_{\odot}$ in excellent agreement with the value measured by Limousin et al. (2012). We also measure $M_{\text{WL}}(R < 350 \text{ kpc}) = (5.10 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{14} h_{74}^{-1} M_{\odot}$, in slight disagreement with the result reported by Zitrin et al. (2009). But the excellent agreement at larger radii between strong- and weak-lensing results without any adjustments validates our redshift distribution for the background sources, and our new reconstruction method.

Figure 10 compares the mass contours from the two different gravitational-lensing analyses for the core of MACSJ0717.5+3745 and illustrates the remarkable agreement between these totally independent measurements. Our WL analysis requires a bi-modal mass concentration which coincides with the two main concentrations inferred by the SL analysis of Limousin et al. (2012).

5.2.2 Detection of a filamentary structure

Figure 10 shows the mass map obtained for the whole HST/ACS mosaic using the modeling and optimization method described...
in Sect. 4. Also shown are the X-ray surface brightness as observed with Chandra/ACIS-I, and the cluster K-band light. A filamentary structure extending from the south-eastern edge of the cluster core to the south-eastern edge of the ACS mosaic area is clearly visible in all three datasets. The projected length of the filament is measured as $4.5 \, h_{74}^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}$, and the mean density in the region of the filament is found to be $(2.92 \pm 0.66) \times 10^4 \, h_{74}^{-2} \, \text{M}_\odot \, \text{kpc}^{-2}$. The mass concentration detected to the South-East of the cluster core corresponds to a low-mass structure comparable to a galaxy group and indeed coincides with a poor satellite cluster of MACSJ0717.5+3745 that has been independently identified as an overdensity of galaxies and as a diffuse peak in the X-ray surface brightness. The second, more compact, X-ray emitting galaxy group (almost due East of the cluster core and outside the filament) is also detected.

To assess the validity of this filament detection, we test the robustness of our multi-scale grid optimization method. As a first step we create a uniform grid with a RBF of size $r_{\text{core}} = 21''$ and 3169 potentials. We found that using this uniform grid added noise in locations where no structures were detected neither in the optical, nor in the mass map produced with the multi-scale grid. The Bayesian evidence obtained for the uniform grid (pixel size of $r_{\text{core}} = 21''$) is $\log(E) = -6589$, compared to $\log(E) = -6586$ for the multi-scale grid (pixel size of $r_{\text{core}} = 26''$). The small difference between these two values demonstrates that the reduced number of RBFs in our multi-scale grid does not compromise the measurement. This is further confirmed by a test using a low-resolution uniform grid with a pixel size of $r_{\text{core}} = 43''$ comprising 817 RBFs, for which we found a Bayesian evidence of $\log(E) = -6582$. All 3 grids equivalently reproduce the data. Our multi-scale grid model provides an intermediate resolution map.

Having established that the use of an adaptive grid has no detrimental effect on the Bayesian evidence, the second test consisted in increasing the resolution of the multi-scale grid to a pixel size of $r_{\text{core}} = 13''$, resulting in 2058 potentials. We found that the noise increased with the resolution, whereas all real mass concentrations maintained their size. The Bayesian evidence obtained with this high-resolution multi-scale grid is $\log(E) = -6608$. A WL mass reconstruction with the high-resolution multi-scale grid recovers the density profile obtained with the multi-scale grid using $r_{\text{core}} = 26''$ pixels. However, in view of the large difference between these two evidence values, it is clear that such an increase in resolution is not required by the data.

## 6 PROPERTIES OF THE FILAMENT

In this Section, we first summarise briefly the results of previous studies of the MACSJ0717.5+3745 filament, and then discuss the filament’s properties as derived from our weak-lensing analysis.

The detection of a filamentary structure in the field of the cluster MACSJ0717.5+3745 was first reported by [Ebeling et al. (2004)], who discovered a pronounced overdensity of galaxies with $V$–$R$ colours close to the cluster red sequence, extending over $4h_{74}^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}$ at the cluster redshift. Extensive spectroscopic follow-up of over 300 of these galaxies in a region covering both the cluster and the filament confirmed that the entire structure is located at the cluster redshift, $z = 0.545$. This direct detection of an extended large-scale filament connected to a massive, distant cluster lent strong support to predictions from theoretical models and numerical simulations of structure formation in a hierarchical scenario, according to which large-scale filaments funnel matter toward galaxy clusters inhabiting the nodes of the cosmic web. Taking advantage of the opportunity provided by this special target, [Ma et al. (2008)] pursued a wide-field spectroscopic analysis of the galaxy population of both the cluster and the filament. Along the filament, they found a significant offset in the average redshift of galaxies, corresponding to $\sim 630 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$ in velocity, as well as a decrease in velocity dispersion from the core of the cluster to the end of the filament.

[Ma & Ebeling (2010)] studied the morphology of cluster members across a $100 \, h_{74}^{-2} \, \text{Mpc}^2$ area to investigate the effect of cluster environment on star formation using HST/ACS data. They explored the relation between galaxy color and density. They showed that the 1-D density profile of MACSJ0717.5+3745 cluster members followed the filament from the core to beyond the virial radius falling, then slightly rising and flattening at $\sim 2h_{74}^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}$.

To physically characterise the large-scale filament, we 1) analyse its density profile (Fig. 14); 2) study the variation of its diameter as a function of distance to the cluster core (Fig. 12); 3) derive a schematic picture of its geometry and orientation along the line of sight (Figs. 13 & 14); and 4) attempt to measure the mass in stars within the entire structure (Fig. 15).

### 6.1 Density Profiles

Figure 11 shows the density profile across the entire study area as a function of distance from the cluster core (Fig. 12). 3) derive a schematic picture of its geometry and orientation along the line of sight (Figs. 13 & 14); and 4) attempt to measure the mass in stars within the entire structure (Fig. 15).
The mean density in this region is \(\frac{2}{84}\times 10^9 \, M_\odot \, \text{kpc}^{-2}\). The embedded galaxy group detected also in the cluster light and at X-ray wavelengths is responsible for the peak in the density profile at a distance of about \(2 h^{-1}_{74} \, \text{Mpc}\) from the cluster centre. The dip in the filament profile at \(\sim 2.8 h^{-1}_{74} \, \text{Mpc}\) from the cluster centre coincides with a dip visible also in projection in Fig. 10 (see Sect. 6.3 for more details on the 3D geometry of the large-scale filament). The filament clearly dominates the radial density profile from \(\sim 2 h^{-1}_{74} \, \text{Mpc}\) from the cluster centre until the edge of the HST/ACS field.

Fitting any of these profiles with parametric models (NFW, SIS, etc) is not meaningful in view of the complexity and obvious non-sphericity of these structures. We note, however, that the overall density profile decreases as \(R^{-2}\) until about \(2 h^{-1}_{74} \, \text{Mpc}\), where the beginning of the filament causes a dramatic flattening of the density profile. For illustrative purposes, Fig. 11 shows two different slopes, \(R^{-1}\) and \(R^{-2}\).

### 6.2 Filament Size

We define the region of the filament on the mass map by a density threshold of \(1.84 \times 10^9 \, h^{-1}_{74} \, M_\odot \, \text{kpc}^{-2}\) which corresponds to the 3 sigma detection contour of the lensing mass reconstruction, and then define the central axis of the filament with respect to this contour (magenta line in Fig. 10). Under the simplifying assumption that the filament cross-section is spherical (i.e., the filament resembles a cylinder of variable radius), the filament diameter is given by the perpendicular distance of the contour to this axis. The uncertainty of this measurement is assessed by repeated this procedure for every mass map produced by LENSTOOL, and adopting the standard deviation of the results as the error of the filament diameter.

Figure 12 shows the results thus obtained as a function of distance from the cluster centre. We find the filament’s diameter to decrease with increasing distance from the cluster centre, albeit with mild local variations. Recognizable features are the local peak at \(1.85 h^{-1}_{74} \, \text{Mpc}\) from the cluster centre where the presence of an embedded group of galaxies (marked by a blue cross in Fig. 10) can again be matched to local peaks in the filament density.

Figure 13. Schematic presentation of the geometry of the large-scale filament along the line of sight, as deduced from radial-velocity measurements. Over the first 4 Mpc (in projection) the filament’s average inclination angle is found to be \(\alpha \approx 75\). Beyond this projected distance, the filament curves increasingly toward the plane of the sky, eventually rendering its projected mass surface density too low to be detectable. In this sketch, radial velocities as observed are split into two components: peculiar velocities and Hubble flow, all expressed relative to the radial velocity of the cluster core. (Adapted from Ebeling et al. 2012.)

Over the first 4 Mpc (in projection) the filament’s average inclination angle is found to be \(\alpha \approx 75\). Beyond this projected distance, the filament curves increasingly toward the plane of the sky, eventually rendering its projected mass surface density too low to be detectable. In this sketch, radial velocities as observed are split into two components: peculiar velocities and Hubble flow, all expressed relative to the radial velocity of the cluster core. (Adapted from Ebeling et al. 2012.)

### 6.3 3D-properties of the large-scale filament

In order to derive intrinsic properties of the filament from the observables measured in projection, we need to know the three-dimensional orientation and geometry of the filament and, specif-
in units of the critical density of the Universe.

We stress that this is an average value: a more complex density distribution along the filament is not only possible but also physically plausible. The density of the filament depends strongly on its inclination angle $\alpha$ which is reasonably well constrained on average but is uncertain at large cluster-centric distances (see Fig. [13]). Figure [14] shows how sensitively the deduced density of the filament depends on $\alpha$. In addition, embedded mass concentrations like the X-ray bright group of galaxies highlighted in Fig. [10] and clearly detected also in the mass surface density profile (Fig. [11]) will cause local variations in the filament’s density. A more comprehensive discussion of dynamical considerations regarding the 3D geometry of the cluster-filament complex is provided in Ebeling et al. (in preparation).

![Figure 14](image1.png)

**Figure 14.** Mass density of the filament in units of $\rho_{\text{crit}}$ as a function of the orientation angle $\alpha$. The red diamond highlights the density for the adopted average inclination angle of 75 deg.

![Figure 15](image2.png)

**Figure 15.** Density profile of the stellar component in the whole HST/ACS field of MACSJ0717.5+3745. The stellar mass is obtained using the Arnouts et al. (2007) relation for a red galaxy population, and an Salpeter IMF. The mean $M_*/L_K$ ratio is equal to 0.94 ± 0.41 for our galaxy population. The two green curves represent two different slopes: $R^{-1}$ & $R^{-2}$, light and dark green respectively.

### 6.4 Stellar Mass Fraction

To compute the stellar mass, $M_*$, across our study region we use the relation $\log(M_*/L_K) = a\alpha + b$ established by Arnouts et al. (2007) for quiescent (red) galaxies in the the VVDS sample (Le Fèvre et al. 2005) and adopting a Salpeter initial mass function (IMF). Here $L_K$ is the galaxy’s luminosity in the K band, $z$ is its redshift, and the parameters $a$ and $b$ are given by

$$a = -0.18 \pm 0.03 \quad b = -0.05 \pm 0.03.$$  

We apply this relation to the K-band magnitudes of all cluster members, defined using the redshift criteria presented in Sect. 3. The K-band magnitude limit of our catalogue is 23.1. The resulting projected mass density in stars is shown in Fig. [15] as a function of distance from the cluster centre. It decreases in proportion to the total projected mass density depicted in Fig. [11] as illustrated by the two different slopes, $\Sigma \sim R^{-1}$ and $\Sigma \sim R^{-2}$, shown in both figures. Hence the measured mass-to-light ratio is approximately constant across the study area, with a mean value of $\langle M_*/L_K \rangle = 0.94 \pm 0.41$.

To compare our result with those obtained by Leauthaud et al. (2012) for COSMOS data we need to adjust our measurement to account for the different IMF used by these authors. Applying a shift of 0.25 dex to our masses to convert from a Salpeter IMF to a Chabrier IMF, we find $\langle M_*/L_K \rangle_{\text{Chabrier}} = 0.73 \pm 0.22$ for quiescent galaxies at $z \sim 0.5$, in good agreement with Leauthaud et al. (2012).

The fraction of the total mass in stars, $f_\ast$, i.e., the ratio between the stellar mass and the total mass of the cluster derived from our weak-lensing analysis, is $f_\ast = (1.3 \pm 0.4)\%$ and $f_\ast = (0.9\pm0.2)\%$ for a Salpeter and a Chabrier IMF, respectively. The latter value is slightly lower than that obtained for COSMOS data by Leauthaud et al. (2012), possibly because of different limiting K-band magnitudes or differences in the galaxy environments probed...
(the COSMOS study was conducted for groups with a halo mass comprised between \(10^{11}\) and \(10^{14} M_\odot\) and extrapolated to halos of \(\sim 10^{15} h^{-1} M_\odot\)). Finally, we compute the total stellar mass within our study area and find \(M_* = (8.62 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{10} M_\odot\) and \(M_* = (6.71 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{10} M_\odot\) for a Salpeter and a Chabrier IMF, respectively.

7 SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We present the results of a weak-gravitational lensing analysis of the massive galaxy cluster MACS\,J0717.5+3745 and its large-scale filament, based on a mosaic composed of 18 HST/ACS images covering an area of approximately \(10 \times 20\) arcmin\(^2\). Our mass reconstruction method uses RRG shape measurements \cite{Rhodes2007}, a multi-scale adaptive grid designed to follow the structures’ K-band light and including galaxy-size potentials to account for cluster members; and the LENSTOOL software package, improved by the implementation of a Bayesian MCMC optimisation method that allows the propagation of measurement uncertainties into errors on the filament mass. As a critical step of the analysis, we use spectroscopic and photometric redshifts, as well as colour-cut cuts, all based on ground-based observations, to eliminate foreground galaxies and cluster members, thereby reducing dilution of the shear signal from unlensed galaxies.

A simple convergence map of the study area, obtained with the inversion method of \cite{Seitz1995}, already allows the detection of the cluster core (at more than 6\(\sigma\) significance) and of two extended mass concentrations (at 2 to 3\(\sigma\) significance) near the beginning and (apparent) end of the filament.

The fully optimised weak-lensing mass model yields the surface mass density shown in Fig.\,10. Its validity is confirmed by the excellent agreement between the mass of the cluster core measured by us, \(M_{\text{NFW}}(R < 500\) kpc) = (1.04 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{15} h^{-1} M_\odot\), and the one obtained in a strong-lensing analysis by \cite{Limousin2012}, \(M_{\text{NFW}}(R < 500\) kpc) = (1.06 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{15} h^{-1} M_\odot\).

Based on our weak-lensing mass reconstruction, we report the first unambiguous detection of a large-scale filament feeding the growth of a massive galaxy cluster at a node of the Cosmic Web. The projected length of the filament is approximately \(4.5 h^{-1}\) Mpc, and its mean mass surface density (2.92 \pm 0.66) \times 10^5 h^2 \rho_0 h^{-2} M_\odot\) kpc\(^{-2}\). We measure the width and mass surface density of the filament as a function of distance from the cluster centre, and find both to decrease, albeit with local variations due to at least four embedded mild mass concentrations. One of these, at a projected distance of \(1.85 h^{-1}\) Mpc from the cluster centre, coincides with an X-ray detected galaxy group. The filament is found to narrow at a cluster-centric distance of approximately \(3.6 h^{-1}\) Mpc as it curves south and, most likely, also recedes from us. We find the cluster’s mass surface density to decrease as \(r^{-2}\) until the onset of the filament flattens the profile dramatically.

Following the analysis by \cite{Ebeling2012}, in preparation) we adopt an average inclination angle with respect to the plane of the sky of 75\(^\circ\) for the majority of the filament’s length. For this scenario and under the simplifying assumption of a cylindrical cross-section we obtain estimates of the filament’s three-dimensional length and average mass density in units of the Universe’s critical density of \(18 h^{-1}_7\) Mpc and \((206 \pm 46)\rho_0\), respectively. However, additional systematic uncertainties enter since either quantity is sensitive to the adopted inclination angle. These values lie at the high end of the range predicted from numerical simulations (e.g., \cite{Colberg2005}), which is not unexpected given the extreme mass of MACS\,J0717.5+3745.

The lensing surface mass density and the spectroscopic redshift distribution suggest the consistent picture of an elongated structure at the redshift of the cluster. The galaxy distribution along the filament appears to be homogeneous, and at the cluster redshift (see \cite{Ebeling2004}). This motivates our conclusion of an unambiguous detection of a large scale filament, and not a superposition of galaxy groups projected on the plane of the sky.

Finally, we measure the stellar mass fraction in the entire MACS\,J0717.5+3745 field, using as a proxy the K-band luminosity of galaxies with redshifts consistent with that of the cluster-filament complex. We find \(f_*= (1.3 \pm 0.4)\%\) and \(f_* = (0.9 \pm 0.2)\%\) for a Salpeter and Chabrier IMF, respectively, in good agreement with previous results in the fields of massive clusters \cite{Leauthaud2012}.

Our results show that, if shear dilution by unlensed galaxies can be efficiently suppressed, weak-lensing studies of massive clusters are capable of detecting and mapping the complex mass distribution at the vertices of the cosmic web. Confirming results of numerical simulations (e.g., \cite{Colberg1999, Colberg2005, Oroui2011}), our weak-lensing mass reconstruction shows that the contribution from large-scale filaments can be significant and needs to be taken into account in the modelling of mass density profiles. Expanding this kind of investigation to HST/ACS-based weak-lensing studies of other MACS clusters will allow us to constrain the properties of large-scale filaments and the dynamics of cluster growth on a sound statistical basis.
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