Abstract

There is argument as to the extent to which there has been an increase over the past few decades in the frequency of the extremes of climatic parameters, such as temperature, storminess, precipitation, etc, an obvious point being that Global Warming might be responsible. Here we report results on those parameters of which we have had experience during the last few years: Global surface temperature, Cloud Cover and the MODIS Liquid Cloud Fraction. In no case have we found indications that fluctuations of these parameters have increased with time.

1 Introduction

‘Global Warming’ (GW) has been blamed for a number of the World’s ills but, although there is agreement that the Global mean temperature is increasing, and with it the frequency of extremes of some climate parameters, for others there is not yet a consensus (IPCC, 2007). For example, the IPCC state that ‘heat waves will be more intense and longer lasting’ and ‘precipitation will increase in tropical, mid- and high-latitude regions’ but other changes are uncertain. Insofar as such changes might be associated with changes in temperature, cloud cover and atmospheric water content - and their latitudinal dependence - our work involving ‘fluctuations’ in these quantities has relevance.

There is no doubt about the importance of climate variability over ‘short’ periods, as well as long term trends: such changes as can be laid at the door of climate change (especially droughts and floods) have already had a serious effect on the international economy; for the period 1985-1999, the loss to the international Gross World Product was about 0.3% (Houghton, 2009).

It is understandable that GW as such, and its effects, are variable over the Earth’s surface. Concerning the magnitude of the warming, from say, 1950 to 2000, the increase for ‘sub-continental scale regions’ vary from 0.1 to 1.8°C, with a rather broad distribution (Broham et al., 2006). Such variations might be expected to reflect in temporal variations, too.
Concerning the observations of the extremes over the surface of the Earth for 'storminess', there is some confusion. For the North European and North Atlantic, a recent work (Hanna et al, 2008) has found no significant increase in storminess since 1830, the period over which good records exist. However, as the authors point out, other workers (e.g. Kaas and Anderson, 1999) have found a tendency towards more severe storms in the last few decades in extratropical regions. Yet others did not find a sustained longer-termed increase in storminess since the 19th Century, (e.g. Alexanderson et al., 1998).

Our own work is restricted in object: to examine the possibility of a changed frequency of fluctuations of temperature (GISS.NASA), cloud cover (ISCCP) and liquid cloud fraction (MODIS), the 'fluctuations' usually relating to year by year. In some cases the period over which the study can be made is rather short, in view of the (satellite) data being only of recent availability, specifically, the ISCCP data started to become available in 1983. This shortness may not be too serious, however, in view of the current rapid rate of surface temperature increase (0.6°C since 1983, compared with 0.35°C for the previous 60 years, see Figure 1, which comes from the IPCC Report, 2007).

Although the IPCC Report gives a probability of less than 10% that Global Warming is due to causes other than anthropogenic gases (AG) our inspection of the details shows that the probability is nearer 2-3%. In any event we consider that AG are very important and since these are generated on Earth in a very non-random manner, in both space and time, extremes might be expected to be detected even over a short, recent, time base.

Some remarks are necessary about the science behind a link between Global Warming and the frequency of extreme 'events'. A general remark that can be made concerns the well-known sensitivity of 'climate' to small changes of input parameters: atmospheric and oceanic circulation, precipitation etc. caused by positive feedbacks. An illustration of the sensitivity of the atmosphere to perturbations comes from the Pinatubo volcano of 1991: the change in oceanic heat was about $3 \cdot 10^6$ times the energy injected by the volcano itself (dust injected into stratosphere which reduced the intensity of solar radiation reaching the Earth's surface was responsible). The value comes from an estimate of the energy involved in the outburst ($\sim 10^{16} J$) compared with the reduction in oceanic energy of $\sim 3 \cdot 10^{22} J$ (Crouch et al., 2005). A natural consequence of GW is that there are local variations, but predictions have not been unanimous (Houghton, 2009) and climate modellers are still very active in this area. It is because of the expected (and observed) local variations, such as those identified by the IPCC, that our studies have included the latitude variations of the parameters under examination.

We know of no detailed predictions of the likely variability of the parameters to be examined by us, although related ones have been made with respect to precipitation. Specifically, Alan and Soden (2008) have studied extreme precipitation events in an anthropogenically warmed climate. Their observations revealed a distinct link between extremes of rainfall and temperature, a link stronger than that predicted by climate models. Importantly, work by Tebaldi et al. (2006), also concerning precipitation, has shown that the standard devi-
ation of the precipitation intensity (annual total precipitation divided by the number of days) followed the Global surface temperature up to the year 2000 (their limit) and their prediction for 2000 to 2010 was a marked increase as seen. Thus, if this feature is symptomatic of the other climate parameters studied by us we might expect an increase in fluctuations.

2 The expected incidence of climatic fluctuations

We define the fluctuation as the deviation of the particular value (e.g. temperature, low cloud cover - LCC or liquid cloud fraction - LCF) from the 6- or 3-degree polynomial fit of the temporal behaviour of the parameter in question. It is appreciated that all 3 are interconnected, but the fluctuations could well differ. It is possible that the fluctuations would be different (bigger?) for the period when, following the anthropogenic effects, the temperature change was bigger. It is possible that changes due to variations in solar irradiance, ‘SI’, alone, which many believe was probably responsible for much of the increase in mean Global temperature prior to the 1950s (e.g. Haigh, 2002) did not affect the fluctuations but that the incidence of anthropogenic gases (AG) has done, the reason being the difference in the manner of injection of the extra energy: external (SI) and internal to the atmosphere (AG), the external being ‘smoothly’ distributed but the latter ‘patchily’.

The mean Global surface temperature vs time (Figure 1) can be taken as the starting point for an examination of temperature fluctuations. Such fluctuations might be expected to start to change in 1950 when AG started to bite. On this basis the fluctuations might increase/decrease continuously with time from about 1970, after a transitional period conditioned by thermal capacity (two decades?).

3 Temperature fluctuations

3.1 Surface temperature fluctuations vs latitude

We have used the GISS.NASA temperature series (GISS.NASA) to find the root mean square (RMS) temperature vs latitude for the whole time range: 1880 - 2010 with the result shown in Figure 2. We are aware of the limitations in the temperature series as a function of latitude, particularly in the Polar regions, where the early measurements were very sparse, both in place and in time. The relevance of Figure 2 is, in part, to search for evidence of the IPCC contention that ‘it is very likely that heat waves have become more frequent over most land areas’ (over the last 50 years ) and ‘it is very likely that cold days, cold nights and frosts have become less frequent over most land areas, while hot days and hot nights have become more frequent over land’. The ‘land’ area aspect can be examined by way of the latitude dependence and this is illustrated in Figure
2 where the fraction of land mass (land/(land+ocean)) is also plotted as a function of latitude.

Inspection of Figure 2 shows evidence for a general similarity between the dependence of RMS temperature on latitude and that of land fraction on latitude. An exception is for latitudes above 64° N where, although the land fraction is small, the temperature fluctuations are large. This divergence is not too unexpected in view of a number of ‘peculiarities’ in this latitude region; most notably the greatest magnitude of the ’1940’s temperature peak’ and the undoubted vagaries of polar ice with its attendant albedo consequences (snow changing to water causes a reduced albedo and increase SI absorption.

Our study showing the general correlation of temperature fluctuations with land fraction corroborates the IPCC conclusions mentioned above. A ready explanation lies in the small thermal inertia of the land compared with the oceans allowing land temperatures to respond more readily to external forcing.

3.2 Surface temperature fluctuations vs time for the Globe

The surface temperature data for the whole Globe have been divided into 6 sets, each of 20 years duration, and the frequency distributions of monthly values are as shown in Figure 3. ‘20 years’ has been taken as the interval so as to give a ‘reasonable’ number of months (240) for the statistical analysis in each period. It has no relevance to the 11 year or 22 year solar cycle. The absence of marked changes from one interval to the next suggests that it is not too long.

Inspection of Figure 3 shows little variation of the RMS with time (the next section will quantify this) but, coupled with the increase in Global mean temperature with time (Figure 1), the number of months per year with absolute, not relative temperature greater than some particular value has increased markedly. Comparing the periods 1910-1929 and 1990-2009 we note that whereas, in the latter, half the months had mean temperatures above 14.4°C, for the former there were none. This rather obvious result is not inconsistent with the IPCC’s observation that the frequency of heat waves is increasing.

The relative variability of Global mean temperature is perhaps of equally important significance in that it bears on the stability of the atmosphere as a function of time. Figure 4 shows the RMS deviation vs. time. The variation is interesting in that, if anything, it illustrates an increased stability of the temperature since 1930s. Why there should have been a significant reduction at this time, which predated the 1970, when we had expected changes to occur, is not at all understood.

4 Fluctuations in Cloud Cover vs time

A considerable number of analyses have taken place of the correlations of cloud cover with cosmic ray (CR) and solar irradiance (SI) variations. Particular attention has focussed on the low cloud cover (LCC; ISCPP), i.e. those clouds with tops below 3.2 km.
In our previous work (Erlykin and Wolfendale, 2010) we pointed out that the mean LCC fell slowly with time, although we considered that it was an artifact due to the dependence of mean cloud height on surface temperature, which has been increasing. This change would not be expected to have had an effect on the fluctuations in the LCC.

A study has been made of the manner in which the RMS fluctuation in LCC has changed with time (over the whole period), represented by \( b_{LCC-time}(\%y^{-1}) \), as a function of latitude (compared with Figure 2 for the RMS temperature for the whole period, also as a function of latitude). The result is shown in Figure 5a. It is apparent that there is very little departure from constancy, i.e. cloud fluctuations have not varied much with time and are largely independent of latitude.

Another indicator of cloud cover is that provided by MODIS: the ‘liquid cloud fraction’ (LCF). Figure 5b shows a comparison of the values for the slopes for all latitude bands. Again all slopes are either consistent with zero or negative, which means that there is no increase of LCF fluctuations with time.

Interestingly, the mean LCF (not fluctuation but actual value) falls with time, having a mean linear slope of \(-0.173\pm0.013 \%y^{-1}\). This can be compared with the slope for the total cloud cover (HCC+MCC+LCC) of \(-0.054\pm0.010 \%y^{-1}\) (from Erlykin and Wolfendale, 2010). The annual variations can be used as a datum; they are (peak-to-peak) \(\sim7\%\) for LCF and \(\sim2.3\%\) for the total cloud cover. The ratio of the slopes, for LCF and total cloud cover, is thus about the same as that for their respective annual variations. This adds weight to the use of the LCF as well as the total cloud cover for our fluctuation studies.

5 Conclusions

Inspection of the Figures shows three main features, as follows:

(a) The dependence of fluctuations of annual temperature on latitude is as expected in the sense that (with the exception of the anomalous North Polar region) it correlates well with land fraction: latitude bands with higher oceanic fractions having smaller fluctuations. This is a natural consequence of the oceans having a higher thermal capacity than land.

(b) Cloud fluctuations have not changed with time, at least over the last 20 years.

(c) The RMS of the mean monthly Global temperature appears to have fallen with time but perhaps in a stepwise fashion. The step occurred in the vicinity of 1930, several decades before it would have been expected. A conceivable explanation is that it represents an early manifestation of the effect of increased anthropogenic gases and that some aspects of climate became more stable than before but a more likely cause is the inevitable greater uncertainties in the measurements then.
It is unlikely that volcanoes have contributed to the change in RMS: Figure 1 shows the major volcanoes in ‘our’ period and it is apparent that they contributed to most of the points in Figure 4 so that the effect on the overall trend should be small.

The conclusion must be that there is no evidence for an increase in relative temperature fluctuations since anthropogenic gases started to bite in or about 1950, at least for averages over 20° latitude ranges. However, there needs to be caution because of the problem with the significantly higher temperature fluctuations before about 1930. Going further back than about 1900, - to 1000 (Crowley, 2000) provides no evidence for a trend in RMS with time over this period. Specifically, the mean RMS was 0.08 ± 0.02°C for 10-yearly temperature values with no significant systematic trend. The values in Figure 4 are higher because they refer to the spread of monthly values; the 10-yearly values have considerable smoothing.

None of the foregoing is at variance with the conclusion of the IPCC Report, indeed it is supportive of it in pointing up the importance of distinguishing between oceanic and land changes. Concerning ‘heat waves’, although the fluctuations in temperature about their bi-decadal means have not changed (Figure 3) the frequency of appreciable numbers of months having temperature greater than a particular absolute temperature has, of course, increased with time.
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Captions to the Figures

Figure 1 Global mean surface temperatures over the 20th Century from observations ( IPCC, 2007, full line ). Vertical dashed lines indicate the eruptions of volcanoes: S - Santa Maria, A - Agung, E - El Chichon, P - Pinatubo. Horizontal dotted line - the mean Global temperature during the last century: 13.86°C.

Figure 2 Fluctuations in annual surface temperature vs latitude (full circles). Also shown is the ratio of land to (land + ocean) for the various latitude bands (open circles). The temperature RMS values relate to 20° intervals in latitude as do those for the ratio of land to (land + ocean). The lines just join the points, in fact the actual variation will be smooth.

Figure 3 Distribution of Global Surface temperatures about the 3-degree polynomial fits.

Figure 4 Temporal variation of the global temperature fluctuations in the last 130 years. The bracketed value under each point is the difference in average temperature for each 20-year time interval from the datum level in Figure 1.

Figure 5 Temporal dependence of the LCC and LCF fluctuations as a function of latitude.
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