Correlation of Total Polyphenolic Content with Antioxidant Activity of Hydromethanolic Extract and Their Fractions of the *Salvia officinalis* Leaves from Different Regions of Morocco
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The purpose of this study is to determine the total content of phenols, flavonoids, and condensed tannins, as well as on the antioxidant activity of the extract, and their fractions were measured by 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP), phosphomolybdate reduction (or total antioxidant capacity), and cyclic voltammetry (CV). The hydromethanolic extract of *Salvia officinalis* showed the highest values of total phenolic (176 mgGAE/g of extract) and condensed tannins (162.53 mgEC/g of extract) from the Boulemane and Khenifra regions, respectively. The results showed that the best DPPH assay was found in the ethyl acetate fraction of *Salvia officinalis* leaves of the Boulemane region (IC50 = 0.002 mg/ml). For the ethyl acetate and butanolic fractions of *Salvia officinalis* leaves, those collected from different regions have a better reducing capacity (EC50 = 0.021 mg/ml, respectively). For the total antioxidant capacity, the best activity was found in the aqueous fraction of *Salvia officinalis* leaves of the Boulemane region (108 mgGAE/g of extract). By the cyclic voltammetry method, hydromethanolic extract of *Salvia officinalis* leaves from the Boulemane region showed an important result (288.8 mgGAE/g). There was a positive correlation between total phenol content (TPC), condensed tannin content (TCT), and total antioxidant capacity (TAC) (r = 0.932, r = 0.896, respectively). The main compounds that have been identified in the hydromethanolic extract of *Salvia officinalis* are ascorbic acid, gallic acid, 4-hydroxybenzoic acid, tannic acid, and rutin. Due to their antioxidant property, the leaf extracts from *Salvia officinalis* are used as natural preservative ingredients in food and/or pharmaceutical industries.

1. Introduction

The medicinal and aromatic plants have a considerable content of bioactive compounds with specific biochemical or organoleptic properties, allowing their use in the pharmaceutical, cosmetic, or food industries and biopesticides. Despite the progress made in the research on synthetic drugs, plants and their products are still considered the main sources of medicines and have a great use in the pharmaceutical industry [1]. Several epidemiological studies suggest that antioxidant-rich plants play a protective role in health and disease [2], and their consumption has lowered the risk of cancer, heart disease, and hypertension [3]. The main compounds of phytochemicals that can contribute to the total antioxidant capacity of the plant include polyphenols and vitamins (C and E). The phenolic compounds of the plants are hydroxyderivatives of benzoic acid and cinnamic acids and have been reported as having antioxidant and anticarcinogenic effects. The phenolic compounds, including flavonoids, are important in plant defense mechanisms against invasive bacteria and other types of environmental stress [4]. It has long been recognized that flavonoids have
anti-inflammatory, antiallergic, antiviral, and anti-proliferative activities [4, 5]. Several reports indicate that the antioxidant potential of medicinal plants may be related to the concentration of their phenolic compounds which include phenolic acids, flavonoids, anthocyanins, and tannins [6]. These compounds are of great value to prevent appearance or progression of many human diseases [7]. The antioxidants are compounds that help to retard or inhibit lipid oxidation and when added to foods tend to minimize rancid, slow the formation of toxic oxidation products, help maintain the nutritional quality, and increase their shelf life [8]. Indeed, their role as natural antioxidants allows the body to fight against a large number of diseases, which is increasingly of interest for the prevention and treatment of cancer [9], inflammatory [10], cardiovascular [11], and neurodegenerative diseases [12].

Among 900 species of the genus Salvia of Lamiaceae family [13], there are well-known representatives from ancient times for their curative properties, including Salvia officinalis, one of the earliest traditionally used species [13]. Salvia officinalis is a plant of immense commercial importance. Because of its flavoring properties, this plant has been widely used in the preparation of many foods. In popular medicine from Asia and Latin America, it has been used for the treatment of different types of disorders including seizure, ulcers, gout, rheumatism, inflammation, dizziness, tremors, paralysis, diarrhea, and hyperglycemia [14]. In traditional medicine in Europe, Salvia officinalis L. has been used to treat mild dyspepsia, excessive sweating, age-related cognitive impairment, and inflammatory in the throat and skin [15]. It is considered as a stimulant for anemic people, also for stressed and depressed people, and advised for students during the exam period. Moreover, it is applied in gargle against inflammatory of the mouth, abscesses, and also for the cleaning and healing of wounds. The commercial importance of the plant Salvia officinalis is due to the richness of phenolic and volatile compounds such as essential oil. It occupies an important place in the cosmetic food industries because of its biological properties. However, the polyphenols and essential oils of Salvia officinalis also possess biological properties such as antibacterial [16], antioxidant, antitumor [14], antinociceptive, and anti-inflammatory activities [17] and cytotoxic and cytogenetic effects [18]. The results of several studies suggest that Salvia officinalis has a power antioxidant activity. The phenolic compounds are isolated from the extract of Salvia officinalis such as carnosol, rosmarinic, and carnosic acids, followed by caffeic acid, rosmanol, rosmadial, genkwanin, and cirsimaritin with the most effective antioxidant activity [19]. In addition, rosmarinic acid and flavonoids of Salvia officinalis especially quercetin and rutin have stronger antioxidant activity [20].

The aim of this study was to study the total content of phenols, flavonoids, and condensed tannins and the antioxidant activity of the hydromethanolic extract and its fractions by ethyl acetate and n-butanol of Salvia officinalis L. obtained from different regions of Morocco. The different methods used to evaluate the antioxidant activity are scavenging of free radicals (DPPH), reduction of iron (FRAP), reduction of phosphomolybdate (or total antioxidant capacity), and cyclic voltammetry (CV).

2. Methods and Materials

2.1. Plant Sample. Salvia officinalis is a plant of immense commercial importance. Because of its flavoring properties, this plant has been widely used in the preparation of many foods. The leaves of Salvia officinalis L. were collected in April 2017 from two different regions Khenifra and Boulemane of Morocco. Only the aerial part (leaves) were collected and dried for 15 days in the shade at room temperature. The plant sample was identified in the Ecology Department of Scientific Institute of Rabat, Morocco, Mohammed V University. The voucher specimen (No. 2938) was deposited in the Herbarium of the Scientific Institute of Rabat.

2.2. Phytochemical Screening Tests. The phytochemical screening is the main way to highlight the abundant families of secondary metabolites in the studied plant extracts. This screening has focused either on the formation of insoluble complexes using precipitation reactions or the formation of a complex colored using colored reactions. The characterization of different chemical groups was done according to experimental protocols [21], and the most commonly used operations are maceration, infusion, and decoction.

2.3. Preparation of Crude Extract by Solid-Liquid Extraction (SLE) and Their Fractionation by Liquid-Liquid Extraction (LLE) of Salvia officinalis. The plant Salvia officinalis was dried in a dry and ventilated place, protected from sunlight. Then, it was crashed completely and weighed (M = 30 g). The leaves of Salvia officinalis were extracted by solvent using the Soxhlet method in a hydroalcoholic mixture methanol: water (70:30, v/v), for 6 hours. The extracts were filtered with Whatman filter paper, and the filtrate is evaporated under reduced pressure by using rotavapor (1st step) (Figure 1) and recovered with distilled water and partitioned successively using ethyl acetate and n-butanol (2nd step) (Figure 1). The extracts were concentrated and redissolved and kept at 6°C. We have obtained the following: hydroethanolic extract (MeOH), ethyl acetate fraction (EtOAc), and butanol fraction (BuOH).

2.4. Determination of Total Phenol Content (TPC). Total phenol content (TPC) in the extracts of Salvia officinalis was determined by method using the Folin–Ciocalteu reagent [22]. In brief, 20 µl of the extract was mixed with 1.5 ml of Folin–Ciocalteu reagent (10%). After 10 min, 1.5 ml of sodium carbonate is added (Na2CO3), and finally, the mixture was diluted with distilled water. After 2 hours incubation at room temperature, the absorbance was measured at 760 nm. The TPC concentration was determined from standard gallic acid curve equation (y = 0.095x + 0.003), and the results were expressed as mg gallic acid equivalents per gram of extract (mgGAE/g of extract).
2.5. Determination of Total Flavonoid Content (TFC).
Total flavonoid content (TFC) in the extracts of *Salvia officinalis* was estimated by the colorimetric method using aluminium chloride [23]. In brief, 0.1 ml of 10% of AlCl₃ methanol solution was added to 40 μl of extract. After that, the mixture solution was diluted with 20 ml distilled water and completed with absolute methanol at 50 ml and then left 2 hours in the dark. The absorbance was measured at 433. The total flavonoid concentration of the extract was determined from standard quercetin curve equation (\( y = 0.073x - 0.081 \)), and the results were expressed as mg quercetin equivalents per gram of extract (mgQE/g of extract).

2.6. Determination of Condensed Tannin Content. Total tannin content (TTC) was estimated by using the vanillin assay method [23]. 3 ml of the vanillin/methanol solution (4%, w/v) and 1.5 ml of concentrated hydrochloric acid were added to 20 μl of extract and allowed to react at room temperature for 20 min. The absorbance was measured at 499 nm. The total condensed tannin concentration of the extract was determined from standard catechin curve equation (\( y = 0.158x + 0.0026 \)), and the results were mg catechin equivalents per gram of extract (mgCE/g of extract).

2.7. Identification of Phenolic Compounds by HPLC.
The qualitative analysis of phenolic compounds was performed using a HPLC Jasco LC-4000 system (Jasco International Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), consisted of quaternary pump (PU-4180) DAD detector as described previously by Skendi et al. [24] and a reversed-phase column (Nucleodur 100-5 μm C₁₈ (250 mm x 4.6 mm)). The mobile phase consists of three solvents: (A) 1% acetic acid in water, (B) acetonitrile, and (C) methanol, and the following gradient program was performed: at 0 min, the proportion of A: B: C was 90: 0: 0; at 10 min, 80: 4:16; at 25 min, 75: 5:20; at 30 min, 65: 5:30; at 31 min, 40: 0:60; at 37 min, 35: 20: 45; and at 50 min, 20: 80: 0. The system was allowed to operate for another 5 min at 100% of B in order to clean the column before rebalancing it with the initial conditions. The mobile phase flow rate was 1.3 ml/min. The injection volume was 50 μl of the hydro-methanolic extract (F0), and the detection was performed by UV-Vis spectroscopy to measure the absorbance at wavelength equal to 260 and 330 nm. The identification of phenolic compounds was made by comparing retention times and spectra with those of standard commercially available compounds.

2.8. Evaluation of Antioxidant Activity

2.8.1. DPPH* Method (2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl).
The DPPH radical solution is prepared in advance by dissolving 2.4 mg of DPPH* in 100 ml of absolute ethanol. The series of dilutions of the *Salvia officinalis* extract were prepared in ethanol solvent. In brief, 200 μl of various concentrations of extracts prepared in ethanol solvent was mixed with 2.8 ml of DPPH ethanol solution. Finally, the solutions were incubated for 30 min in the dark at room temperature. The reaction of the DPPH radical was estimated by measuring the absorption at 515 nm, and ascorbic acid was used as a positive control. The percentage of inhibition of DPPH* (PI%) was calculated as follows [23]:

\[
\text{PI\%} = \left( \frac{A_0 - A_1}{A_0} \right) \times 100,
\]

with \( A_0 \) as absorbance of the solution containing only DPPH* radical solution as a negative control and \( A_1 \) as absorbance of the sample solution in the presence of DPPH*.

The half-inhibitory concentration IC₅₀ was determined from the inhibition percentage (PI%) curve as a function of the extract concentrations; the lower the value of IC₅₀ is, the more the extract is powerful with respect to the radicals.

2.8.2. FRAP Method (Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power).
The reducing power of the plant extract was determined by applying the method described by Lim et al. [25], and 1 ml of extract at different concentrations (0-1 mg/ml) were mixed with 2.5 ml of the phosphate buffer solution (0.2 M, pH = 6.6) and 2.5 ml of potassium ferricyanide [K₃Fe(CN)₆] (1%). The mixtures were incubated at 50°C for 20 min, followed by addition of 2.5 ml of 10% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid. The mixture was then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. After 10 min, 2.5 ml of the mixture was mixed with 2.5 ml of distilled water and 0.5 ml of [FeCl₃, 6 H₂O] (0.1%) was added to it. The absorbance was read at 700 nm, using ascorbic acid as a positive control. The concentration EC₅₀, which is defined as the effective concentration at which the absorbance is equal to 0.5, is the index used to compare and express the potency of the reducing capacities of bioactive substances [26].

2.8.3. Phosphomolybdate Method (or Total Antioxidant Capacity).
The total antioxidant polyphenol capacity of...
Salvia officinalis L. was evaluated by the phosphomolybdate method according to the procedure of Prieto et al. [27]. In brief, 20 µl of the extract was mixed with 1 ml of the reagent solution (0.6 M sulfuric acid, 28 mM sodium phosphate, and 4 mM molybdate ammonium). The mixtures were incubated at 95°C for 90 min. The absorbance was determined at 695 nm, using 1 ml of the reagent solution (0.6 M sulfuric acid, 28 mM sodium phosphate, and 4 mM molybdate ammonium) and 1 ml of methanol as a negative control. The total antioxidant capacity (TAC) concentration was determined from standard gallic acid curve equation ($y = 0.902x + 0.036$), and the results were expressed as mg gallic acid equivalents per gram of extract (mgGAE/g of extract).

2.8.4. Cyclic Voltammetry. The dissolved extracts in the sodium acetate buffer solution-acetic acid 0.1 M at pH = 3.6 as a support electrolyte were prepared for analysis. The calibration curves were constructed with gallic acid solutions that were also dissolved in the sodium acetate-acetic acid 0.1 M buffer solution at pH = 3.6. The total antioxidant activity (TAA) obtained by electrochemical methods was expressed in terms of gallic acid equivalent. Cyclic voltammograms were achieved from zero to 1000 mV at a scan rate of 25 mV/s. All measurements were made at room temperature using a conventional three-electrode system consisting of a glass carbon-working electrode (3 mm diameter), a platinum auxiliary electrode, and a reference electrode Ag/AgCl. Before each measurement, electrolyte solutions were desiccated with an inert gas (N₂) for 5 min.

3. Result and Discussion

3.1. Phytochemical Screening. The results of the phytochemical tests performed on the extracts of Salvia officinalis leaves of the two regions Khenifra and Boulemane show the abundant presence of catechin and gallic tannins, sterols, and triterpenes; on the other hand, we see a less abundant presence of flavonoids. However, mucilages, alkaloids, and saponins are absent in Salvia officinalis leaves. The work achieved out by Mekhaldi et al. showed that the Salvia officinalis extract present tannins, flavonoids, terpenoids, and sterols, but alkaloids and saponins are absent [28]. Another study focused on S. verbenaca where the same phytochemical families were identified after extracting the aerial part of the plant, and this showed the presence of condensed tannins, flavonoids, cardiac glycosides, and the absence of mucilage.

3.2. Total Concentration of Phenol (TPC), Flavonoid (TFC), and Condensed Tannin (TCT) of Salvia officinalis L. The phenolic total concentration (TPC), flavonoid (TFC), and condensed tannin (TCT) of the hydromethanolic extract and their fractions of ethyl acetate, butanolic, and aqueous of Salvia officinalis L. of both regions (Boulemane and Khenifra) were calculated from the calibration curve of gallic acid (mgGAE/g of extract), quercetin (mgQE/g of extract), and catechin (mgCE/g of extract) (Table 1).

The TPC concentration of the leaves of Salvia officinalis was measured using the Folin–Ciocalteu method. The values varied from 70.5 to 176.5 mgGAE/g of extract in two regions (Khenifra and Boulemane), and the highest TPC concentration was observed in the hydromethanolic extract and aqueous fraction (Khenifra 176 and Boulemane 85.1 mgGAE/g of extract). TFC and TTC concentrations of the plant extracts were also measured (Table 1); for TFC concentration, the values ranged from 1.92 mgQE/g of extract for butanolic fraction of Salvia officinalis from Khenifra region to 9.1 mgQE/g of extract for the hydromethanolic extract of Salvia officinalis from Boulemane region. However, the levels of TTC concentration varied from 0.42 to 162.53 mgCE/g of extract, and the highest level TTC concentration was remarked in the aqueous fraction of Salvia officinalis in the Boulemane region (162.53 mgCE/g of extract).

Their values can be compared with those of other Salvia species obtained by Alimpić et al. [29]. They measured a large number of phenolic compounds in aqueous extracts of Salvia amplexicaulis and Salvia ringsia (226.30 and 189.01 mgGAE/g, respectively), and these values are higher than ours despite the fact that they used water extraction. This difference from our results is probably due to the preparation and extraction method, distilled water temperature, or geoclimatic factors. However, flavonoids were more abundant in the extract of S. Justicia (32.36 mgQE/g). Other work carried out by Stagos et al. showed that the highest total phenol content in the aqueous extracts from S. fruticosa of Greece is in the order of 190 mgGAE/g [17]. This value is higher than that found in our study although we used hydromethanolic extraction. Krimat et al. showed the phenolic and flavonoid contents in hydromethanolic extract of Salvia chuidaee in the order of 39.06 mgGAE/g and 4.68 mgQE/g, respectively, and this value is less than that obtained in this study [30]. Topçu et al. showed the variation in polyphenol contents relative to the polarity of the extraction solvent [31]. This difference is likely due to the fact that the assay by these reagents is not polyphenolic specific, but many compounds can react with this reagent, giving an apparent high phenolic rate [32]. The contents reported by the authors are very high compared to these results, and this difference probably finds its explanation in the difference of work condition and the relationship used to calculate the content. The quantitative variability in the polyphenol content in these plant species is probably due to the variability qualitative of phenolic compounds of the plant extracts [33], to the genotypic factors [34], the biotic conditions (species, physiological organ, and stage), abiotic and the nature of the soil (edaphic factors) [35], and the type of microclimate and also the bioclimatic stages where these plants grow [36].

3.3. Identification of Phenolic Compounds by HPLC. The elution profile of the compounds derived from the methanolic extract was followed at 260 and 330 nm, the wavelength characteristics of the phenolic (Figure 2). Indeed, all phenols are detected in the ultraviolet region with a
maximum adsorption peak at 260 and 280 nm due to the presence of the phenolic cycle. The identification is based on the comparison of the different constituents retention times of the extracts with those of the different standards analyzed under the same conditions. The qualitative analysis of the *Salvia officinalis* extract carried out using an HPLC apparatus coupled to PDA detector is presented in Figure 2. According to the HPLC chromatogram of *Salvia officinalis* (Figure 2), we have identified the molecules used as standards at different wavelengths 260 and 330 nm in the hydromethanolic extract (F0) (Figure 2). By comparing the retention times of the standards with the molecules contained in the extract, we detected the presence of the same molecules in the hydromethanolic extract (F0). In addition, we have noticed that the chromatogram shows peaks of ascorbic acid, gallic acid, 4-hydroxybenzoic acid, tannic acid, and rutin and that they absorb at 260 nm more than 330 nm. At these wavelengths, we did not identify the quercetin molecule in the extract.

### 3.4. Antioxidant Activity Measured by Spectrophotometry.

In this study, the antioxidant capacity of *S. officinalis* extracts of plants collected of the Boulemane and Khenifra regions was evaluated using four common antioxidant methods, namely, DPPH*, FRAP, TAC, and CV. DPPH is one of the most used methods to measure the ability of compounds to act as free radical scavenger. It is widely used to evaluate the antioxidant capacity of plant extracts or individual phenolic compounds. In general, DPPH is estimated by using the light absorption of the antioxidants at different wavelengths 510 nm due to the presence of the phenolic cycle. The identification is based on the comparison of the different constituents retention times of the extracts with those of the different standards analyzed under the same conditions. The qualitative analysis of the *Salvia officinalis* extract carried out using an HPLC apparatus coupled to PDA detector is presented in Figure 2. According to the HPLC chromatogram of *Salvia officinalis* (Figure 2), we have identified the molecules used as standards at different wavelengths 260 and 330 nm in the hydromethanolic extract (F0) (Figure 2). By comparing the retention times of the standards with the molecules contained in the extract, we detected the presence of the same molecules in the hydromethanolic extract (F0). In addition, we have noticed that the chromatogram shows peaks of ascorbic acid, gallic acid, 4-hydroxybenzoic acid, tannic acid, and rutin and that they absorb at 260 nm more than 330 nm. At these wavelengths, we did not identify the quercetin molecule in the extract.

#### Table 1: Total concentration of phenolic (TPC), flavonoid (TFC), and condensed tannin (TCT) of hydromethanolic extract and their fractions of ethyl acetate and butanolic of *Salvia officinalis* L.

| Fractions          | TPC (mgGAE/g of extract) | TFC (mgQE/g of extract) | TCT (mgCE/g of extract) | TPC (mgGAE/g of extract) | TFC (mgQE/g of extract) | TCT (mgCE/g of extract) |
|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Hydromethanolic     |                          |                         |                         |                          |                         |                         |
| (F0)               | 82                       | 9.1                     | 90.98                   | 176                      | 3.62                    | 31.45                   |
| Ethyl acetate (F1) | 74.15                    | 4.9                     | 17.91                   | 170.5                    | 3.22                    | 0.42                    |
| Butanolic (F2)     | 70.5                     | 5.01                    | 4.16                    | 172                      | 1.92                    | 4.37                    |
| Aqueous (F3)       | 85.1                     | 9.07                    | 162.53                  | 176.5                    | 3.86                    | 23.67                   |

Based on the results obtained in these tests, it is evident that the interaction of an antioxidant with DPPH depends not only on the concentration but also on the structure and nature of the antioxidants. Some compounds react very quickly with the DPPH radical. Athamena et al. have also found a difference according to the test used [40]. This can explain this variation in terms of the results obtained. It is important to note that, in the FRAP test, all the reduced substances (not just phenolic compounds) react in the reaction medium [41].
this test, hydrogen and the electron are transferred from the reducing compound (antioxidant) to the oxidizing complex (FRAP). This transfer depends on the redox potential, the pH of the medium, and the antioxidant compound structure. This activity may be due to the presence of phenolic acids and flavonoids such as gallic, ascorbic, tannic acids, quercetin, and rutin. According to Turkmen et al., the polyphenols appear to be effective donors of hydrogen to the DPPH radical because of their ideal structural chemistry [42]. Other minor phenolic compounds should not be neglected because the synergy between different chemicals should be taken into account in biological activity [43]. Other work carried out by Belkhiri
et al., using hydromethanolic extraction (methanol-water, 85/15, v/v) showed that the crude extract of *Salvia verbenaca* recorded a value of IC$_{50}$ = 0.0336 mg/ml. This value is better than this obtained in this study [44], and Martins et al. have found that the methanol/water extract of *Salvia officinalis* has an important antioxidant activity with an IC$_{50}$ = 0.0329 mg/ml [45]. Other work carried out by Mekhaldi et al. has shown that the extract of the *salvia officinalis* records a value of IC$_{50}$ = 0.0372 mg/ml [28]. Kontogianni et al. had also found that the ethyl acetate extract of *Salvia officinalis* exhibits antiradical activity [46]. Krimat et al. also showed that hydromethanolic crude, ethyl acetate, and n-butanol fractions of *Salvia chudaei Batt* exhibited high scavenging activity with IC$_{50}$ values of 0.0192, 0.0081, and 0.0883 mg/ml, respectively [30]. Lu and Foo had evaluated the antioxidant activity of rosmarinic acid and flavonoid derivatives of the *Salvia officinalis* extract by two methods; scavenging of free radical DPPH$^-$ and reduce the Mo(IV) in Mo(V). They have therefore noticed that the derivatives of rosmarinic acid were potent antioxidants more than flavonoids [47]. Miliauskas et al. have shown that *Salvia officinalis* has an important antioxidant activity because of its phenolic compounds [48]. This antioxidant activity is due to the presence of phenolic compounds in the extract [49]. The antioxidant activity of phenolic compounds depends on the structure, in particular the number and positions of the hydroxyl groups on the aromatic rings [50]. In fact, several studies have reported the presence of a direct correlation between antioxidant activity and the reduction power of plant constituents [37]. The level of correlation between phenolic content and antioxidant activity is an interesting aspect, but it should be taken into account that phenolic compounds respond differently in the analysis, depending on the number of phenolic groups they have, and total phenolics content does not incorporate necessarily all the antioxidants that may be present in an extract [32]. After all the combinations made, we record the absence of correlation between the four techniques of antioxidant power and polyphenol content, and this confirmation is widespread in the literature [51]. It is highly accepted that it is not necessarily the high polyphenol content exhibits a potent antioxidant activity [52].

### 3.5. Antioxidant Activity Measured by Cyclic Voltammetry

Cyclic voltammetry as electrochemical technique has been reported as a simple and rapid analytical tool for estimating the total antioxidant capacity of different components of plant extract. Cyclic voltammetric experiment was performed in sodium acetate-acetic acid buffer solution (pH = 3.6). The total antioxidant capacity of the sample is a function combining two sets of parameters. The first is the oxidation potential, whereas the second is the intensity of the anodic current (Ia), reflecting the concentration of the components [53]. The cyclic voltammograms of gallic acid solutions, in concentrations of 0.007 to 0.06 mg/mL in the buffer system and with a pH 3.6, were recorded and represented in Figure 3(a). The oxidation peaks in the 400–500 mV region can be observed. The curves of the anodic current (Ipa) as a function of the concentration of gallic acid to obtain calibration line are represented in Figure 3(b).

The resultant relationship ($y = 32.97x + 0.536$), in the gallic acid concentration range of 0.007 to 0.06 mg/mL, is obtained with $R^2 = 0.95$. The cyclic voltammetry leads to a better linearity of the calibration curves and to a higher linearity interval. The cyclic voltammograms of the *Salvia officinalis* hydromethanolic extract from two regions were obtained at a concentration of 0.1 mg/mL (Figure 4).

In the cyclic voltammograms, the oxidation peaks were observed in the 0.507 and 0.439 mV potentials in the hydromethanolic plant extract from the Boulemane and Khenifra regions, respectively (Table 3). The oxidation peak anodic potential (Epa) depends on the antioxidant molecule structure. The phenolic compounds with metadiphenols and isolated phenols, such as t- resinatrol, ferulic acid, morin, vanillic acid, and p-coumaric acid, generally have high oxidation peak potentials compared to those with ortho- or para-diphenol groups, such as morin and tannic acid [54, 55]. The total antioxidant activity (TAA) of the extract at the concentration of 0.1 mg/mL was calculated using the calibration curve, of gallic acid as the standard. The peak anodic current (Ipa) values represent the oxidation of the phenolic compounds contained in the hydromethanolic extract of the plant with equal values (Ipa = 24.35 and 12.33 μA cm$^{-2}$), respectively, for the Boulemane and Khenifra regions. These results are shown in Table 3. The results show that the highest antioxidant activity in the hydromethanolic extract of *Salvia officinalis* in the Boulemane region is in the order of 288.8 mg GAE/g and followed by the Khenifra region in the order of 143.2 mg GAE/g, which shows that the hydromethanolic extract of *Salvia officinalis* from the Boulemane region is more important than that in the hydromethanolic extract of *Salvia officinalis* in the Khenifra region.

| Fractions              | Boulemane region | Khenifra region |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
|                        | DPPH IC$_{50}$ (mg/ml) | FRAP EC$_{50}$ (mg/ml) | TAC (mg GAE/g of extract) | DPPH IC$_{50}$ (mg/ml) | FRAP EC$_{50}$ (mg/ml) | TAC (mg GAE/g of extract) |
| Hydromethanolic (F0)   | 0.04             | 0.037           | 57.091           | 0.075             | 0.031             | 88.670                 |
| Ethyl acetate (F1)     | 0.002            | 0.029           | 21.136           | 0.068             | 0.021             | 39.307                 |
| Butanolic (F2)         | 0.007            | 0.021           | 60.803           | 0.089             | 0.047             | 76.482                 |
| Aqueous (F3)           | 0.009            | 0.039           | 108.006          | 0.092             | 0.035             | 103.850                |

Ascorbic acid as positive control = 0.032 mg/ml
Figure 3: Cyclic voltammograms of the gallic acid solution at increasing concentrations of 0.003 to 0.06 mg/ml (a). The curves of the peak anodic current (Ipa) as a function of the concentration of gallic acid (b).

Figure 4: Cyclic voltammograms of the Salvia officinalis hydromethanolic extract from Boulemane and Khenifra regions.

Table 3: Electrochemical parameters and total antioxidant activity of the hydromethanolic extract of Salvia officinalis L (0.1 mg/mL) for two regions.

| Regions | Electrochemical parameters | Hydromethanolic extract |
|---------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
|         | Peak anodic potential (Epa) (V) | 0.507 |
|         | Peak anodic current (Ipa) (i/µA cm⁻²) | 24.35 |
|         | Concentration (mgGAE/ml) | 0.722 |
|         | Total activity antioxidant (TAA) (mgGAE/g) | 288.8 |
| Boulemane | | |
|         | Peak anodic potential (Epa) (V) | 0.439 |
|         | Peak anodic current (Ipa) (i/µA cm⁻²) | 12.33 |
|         | Concentration (mg/ml) | 0.358 |
|         | Total activity antioxidant (TAA) (mgGAE/g) | 143.2 |
| Khenifra | | |
Table 4: Correlation established between phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity of hydromethanolic extracts by different methods.

|       | DPPH | FRAP | TAC | TPC  | TFC  | TCT  |
|-------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|
| DPPH  | 1    |      |     |      |      |      |
| FRAP  | 0.828| 1    |     |      |      |      |
| TAC   | 0.948| 0.609| 1   |      |      |      |
| TPC   | 0.771| 0.283| 0.932| 1    |      |      |
| TFC   | −0.078| −0.623| 0.239| 0.573| 1    |      |
| TCT   | 0.715| 0.202| 0.899| 0.996| 0.639| 1    |

3.6. Correlation between Phenolic Compounds and Antioxidant Activity of Extract and Fractions of Salvia officinalis.

In order to reveal the correlation between total concentration of phenols (TPC), flavonoids (TFC), and condensed tannins content (TCT), the antioxidant activity by different methods (DPPH, FRAP, and TAC) is presented in Table 4. In Table 4, a strong correlation is observed between TPC and TCT ($R^2 = 0.996$), indicating with high probability that the TPC is mainly responsible for the antioxidant activity of the samples. We have found most important positive correlation between total phenol (TPC), condensed tannins (TCT), and IC$_{50}$ concentrations with an $R^2 = 0.771$ and 0.715, respectively, indicating that 77.1 and 71.5% of the antioxidant capacity of extracts is due to the contribution of phenolic compounds and condensed tannins and that they are the dominant antioxidants in these extracts, but there is no correlation between of total flavonoid content (TFC) and DPPH (Table 4). On the contrary, we noticed a relatively negative mean correlation between FRAP concentrations and total flavonoid content (TFC) with an $R^2 = −0.623$, and we also find that the low positive correlations were observed between the FRAP and TPC and TCT ($R^2 = 0.283$ and 0.202), respectively. For total antioxidant capacity (TAC), the strong and positive correlation with total phenol contents (TPC) and condensed tannins (TCT) are greater with $R^2 = 0.932$ and 0.899, respectively, but for the total flavonoid content (TFC), the correlation of these compounds to reduce MO(VI) in Mo(V) is only 23.9% or the correlation coefficient equal to 0.239. The high positive correlation between phenolic compounds and different antioxidant activity demonstrated that phenolic compounds may be contributed to antioxidant activity, as it has been reported in other species [56–60]. Table 4 shows the correlations between DPPH, FRAP, and TAC of antioxidant power for the purpose of showing that different methods are complementary and the antioxidant compounds react either as an antioxidant or as an oxidant in the reaction medium of each method. We observed that the concentration of inhibition of DPPH free radicals of phenolic compounds in extracts occurs in one way or another with percentages greater than 80%. The DPPH method has correlation coefficients of the order of $R^2 = 0.828$ and 0.948 corresponding to the methods: FRAP and TAC, respectively. There is also the presence of a significant positive correlation between total antioxidant capacity (TAC) and FRAP with an $R^2 = 0.609$.

4. Conclusion

Our data revealed the changes in phytochemical contents along with the antioxidant activity of Salvia officinalis leaves as a function of region and solvent used. The Salvia officinalis had the bioactive compounds (phenolic, flavonoid, and tannin content) which exhibited higher antioxidant activity, and the ethyl acetate, butanol, and aqueous fractions showed the better results in all the in vitro methods of antioxidant assays studied. Furthermore, the correlation observed between the antioxidant activity results and the phenolic as well as the condensed tannin contents although limited indicates that these compounds are among the predominant sources of the antioxidant activity in Salvia officinalis leaves. Finally, DPPH radical scavenging activity, ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP), phosphomolybdate reduction (or total antioxidant capacity), and cyclic voltammetry (CV) showed that leaf and hull extracts with potent activity can be introduced as a source of natural antioxidant.
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