Clean intermittent catheterization in patients with spinal cord injury: knowledge of nurses
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Spinal cord injury results in failure to empty the bladder, leaving the individual exposed to the risk of recurrent urinary tract infection, vesicoureteral reflux and even loss of renal function. Clean intermittent catheterization (CIC) is the method of choice for emptying the bladder in these cases. Although it has a simple technique, its performance should be well oriented in order to avoid complications such as infections or traumas. Guidance for the technique should be performed during the hospitalization period due to the injury and the nurse is responsible for this action. Objective: To evaluate the knowledge of nurses working in a trauma care hospital in relation to clean intermittent catheterization. Methods: Questionnaire constructed based on the guidelines of the European Association of Urological Nurses, applied to 18 nurses from a university hospital, a reference in the treatment of spinal trauma, regarding neurological dysfunction of the lower urinary tract and clean intermittent catheterization. Results: The participants presented expressive knowledge about lower urinary tract neurological dysfunction and CIC. There were errors regarding the CIC technique in the indication of the use of procedure gloves, in the lubrication of the catheter, collection of periodic urine cultures, use of antibiotics and in the need for instructions before discharge from hospital. Conclusion: Although the sample demonstrated knowledge on several issues related to the subject, the errors indicate the need for training and especially awareness of the responsibility of guidance before discharge from hospital.

DESCRIBUTORS: Urinary catheterization; Health education; Nurse; Enterostomal therapy.

RESUMO

Introdução: A lesão medular resulta em falha no esvaziamento da bexiga, deixando o indivíduo exposto a risco de infecção reccorrente de trato urinário, refluxo vesicoureteral e até perda da função renal. O cateterismo intermitente limpo (CIL) é o método de escolha para esvaziamento da bexiga nesses casos. Apesar de ter uma técnica simples, sua realização deve ser bem orientada a fim de evitar complicações como infecções ou traumas. A orientação para a técnica deve ser realizada ainda no período de internação pela lesão, cujo responsável é o enfermeiro. Objetivo: Avaliar o conhecimento dos enfermeiros que atuam em hospital de atendimento ao trauma com relação ao cateterismo intermitente limpo. Métodos: Questionário construído com base nas
INTRODUCTION

Nurses feel every day the need to develop their scientific knowledge in search of answers to health problems in order to achieve excellence in care. An effective way to achieve this goal is education in health, which provides the expansion of knowledge, with prospects of overcoming difficulties and gaining greater autonomy. There are especially challenging health conditions for the nurse, and spinal cord injury is one of them. This is an overwhelming event in the life of the human being that causes damage to the structures of the spinal canal leading to changes in motor, sensory and autonomic functions, with consequent changes in the urinary pattern, in the phases of bladder filling and emptying. Spinal cord injuries are predominantly caused by trauma in automobile accidents, firearm injuries and falls.

Again, mentioning the urinary system, in the spinal cord injury there is an interruption in the communication between the spinal cord and the central nervous system. This condition is defined as neurological lower urinary tract dysfunction (NLUTD) and may manifest as overactive bladder, with involuntary contractions in the urine storage phase, or underactive, with bladder distention and overflow, both with risk of urinary infection and vesicoureteral reflux.

The NLUTD often results in high post-void residual (PVR) volume, so it requires catheterization for complete bladder emptying on a periodic basis. Clean intermittent catheterization (CIC) is the first technique of choice. Its technique requires the use of sterile gloves and antiseptics and can be used by patients or caregivers in the home environment. The CIC procedure was first reported in scientific publications by Lapidés et al. in 1972, who demonstrated that urinary tract infection (UTI) in NLUTD is caused by PVR and bladder distention, not necessarily by asepsis of the technique, thus proving to be more important to maintain a regularity of emptying than an aseptic technique.

The steps to be followed are hygiene of the genital area and hands and lubrication and introduction of the catheter. The independence in performing the procedure may not be achieved by patients with high injuries, but the possibility of improved motor function, i.e., improved hand mobility, arises after the first year.
of discharge when there is monitoring and counseling the patient on bladder control 9.

Although it is the method of choice for bladder emptying and prevention of complications of NLUTD, CIC is not free of complications. Even with a relatively simple technique, it needs to be performed in a systematic way; for this, the patient must be trained by the nurse still in the period of hospitalization 10. According to the Ministry of Health’s guidelines for attention to the person with spinal cord injury, every patient with spinal cord injury needs to be directed to the CIC before discharge from hospital independently of the urodynamic study. Therefore, it is essential that the nurses who assist these patients are able to give such guidance 3.

The educational process regarding the CIC is of great importance. The possibility of training in the hospital environment favors the observations of patients’ acceptance and rejection, facilitates knowledge of their own body, location of the urethra, the importance of personal hygiene care, among other aspects 11.

Given the scenario presented and the experience of the researchers, the following objective was outlined: identify whether the nurses working in hospitals that provide care to the person with spinal cord injury are prepared to guide the CIC. The publication of the results is expected to shed light on the subject in order to motivate the development of care protocols that ensure early and quality training for the patient in clean intermittent catheterization in order to avoid complications.

METHODS

Descriptive cross-sectional exploratory study with quantitative approach. The research was conducted at a university hospital in the state of Minas Gerais. Participated in the research nurses who worked in the hospitalization units that admit patients with spinal cord injury. The sample was nonprobabilistic and for convenience, the recruitment was carried out through the work schedule provided by the responsible nurse, the participating nurse was invited in person on the day of data collection, during working hours. Nurses on vacation, sick leave, maternity leave and activities from which they could not be absent at the time of the survey evaluation questionnaire were excluded.

Data were collected from February 6 to 22, 2019, after approval of the project by the Committee on Ethics and Research with Human Beings, with opinion No. 3,114,560. The researchers went to the selected sectors after talking to the responsible nurse and approached each nurse in person with eligibility criteria for the study. All ethical and technical aspects of the research were explained in detail and the study questionnaire was applied only by signing the Free and Informed Consent Form. The questionnaire was prepared by the researchers for this survey based on international guidelines. The instrument was composed of data characterization of the sample and true and false questions about the steps and care in performing the technique. The quantitative variables were described by mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum value. Categorical variables were described by absolute and relative frequency.

The analysis was made through absolute and relative frequencies and cross-references of the knowledge data of right and wrong for each item about the nurse’s knowledge regarding the CIC, with age, formation, time and place of performance as the identification variables. All analyses were performed with the help of the statistical software Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS), version 24.0.

RESULTS

Eighteen nurses participated in the study. Table 1 shows the sample profile data. It is noted that the sample was composed predominantly of women, with an average age of 37.6 years, with a post-graduation course, and an average of 11 years working in the field.

Table 2 shows the frequency and percentage of correct responses signaled by nurses for each content approached regarding clean intermittent catheterization.

It is observed that most nurses are aware that spinal cord injury results in an underactive or overactive bladder, and that both can lead to chronic urinary retention. However, a small percentage of the sample indicated that the CIC should be guided before discharge and more than half of the participants cited that it should be taught only after the result of the urodynamic study.

Most nurses agreed that the CIC can be performed by a caregiver committed to maintaining the recommended
### Table 1. Sample profile.

| Variable                     | Classification | Results* |
|------------------------------|----------------|----------|
| Age (years)                  |                | 37.6 ± 7.5 (23 - 52) |
| Gender                       |                |          |
| Female                       | 14 (77.8)      |          |
| Male                         | 4 (22.2)       |          |
| Graduate course              |                |          |
| No                           | 5 (27.8)       |          |
| Yes                          | 13 (72.2)      |          |
| Sector of activity           |                |          |
| Surgical clinic 1            | 7 (38.9)       |          |
| Surgical clinic 2            | 6 (33.3)       |          |
| Surgical clinic 3            | 5 (27.8)       |          |
| Working time as a nurse (years) | 11 ± 7.3 (1 - 25) |

*Standard deviation (minimum – maximum)

### Table 2. Frequency and percentage of correct responses by nurses regarding clean intermittent catheterization.

| Question                                                                 | Result n (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Consequences of spinal cord injury                                       | 13 (72.2)    |
| CIC guidance before discharge                                           | 7 (38.9)     |
| CIC indication after urodynamic examination                              | 10 (55.6)    |
| Technique by the caretaker performed without touching                    | 15 (83.3)    |
| Realization of the technique without infrastructure                      | 13 (72.2)    |
| Hand hygiene with running water and soap                                 | 16 (88.9)    |
| Use of alcohol gel for hand hygiene                                      | 14 (77.8)    |
| Use of sterile gloves                                                    | 16 (88.9)    |
| Use of procedural gloves                                                 | 6 (33.3)     |
| No-touch technique by the caregiver                                      | 14 (77.8)    |
| Use of antiseptics in genital hygiene                                    | 11 (61.1)    |
| Genital hygiene with water and wet wipe                                  | 12 (66.7)    |
| Dermatitis for antiseptics                                              | 13 (72.2)    |
| Water soluble lubricating gel                                            | 13 (72.2)    |
| Lidocaine gel injection                                                  | 9 (50.0)     |
| Lubrication with oily products                                           | 17 (94.4)    |
| Extension of lubrication of male/female catheter                         | 6 (33.3)     |
| Slow introduction until urine drainage starts                             | 14 (77.8)    |
| Penis angle for insertion                                                | 15 (83.3)    |
| To force the insertion in case of resistance                             | 16 (88.9)    |
| Suprapubic precatheterization pressure                                   | 12 (66.7)    |
| Catheter size (08 to 12 Fr)                                              | 12 (66.7)    |
| Reuse of the catheter                                                    | 16 (88.9)    |
| Indication for single use                                                | 17 (94.4)    |
| Optimal frequency of the technique                                       | 13 (72.2)    |
| Importance of the bladder diary in defining frequency                    | 18 (100)     |
| Suspension of CIC if presence of spontaneous diuresis                    | 16 (88.9)    |
| Reduction of intervals if urinary loss                                   | 15 (83.3)    |
| Reduction of water intake                                                | 18 (100)     |
| Urine culture and antibiotic therapy                                      | 4 (22.2)     |
frequency of the procedure, and that it should be performed even if infrastructure such as sinks and toilets are lacking. Hand hygiene has also been a high percentage of correctness, the majority indicated the recommendation for running water and liquid soap and that alcohol gel should not replace complete hygiene.

Most respondents agreed that there is no indication of the use of sterile gloves, but most were wrong not to indicate the performance of the technique without procedural gloves, even with hygienized hands. As for the indication of technique without touch by the caregiver, wearing gloves or gauze, most people agreed that this is the best recommendation.

Genital hygiene issues had a large percentage of successes. Most noted that there is no indication of use of antiseptics, that water or wet tissue are the options for all catheterizations and that frequent use of antiseptics can lead to local dermatitis. As for the lubrication of the catheter, the majority agreed that it should be with water soluble gel and that oily lubricants are not indicated; there were errors in the sense of not discouraging the injection of lidocaine in the urethra and also in the extension of lubrication of the catheter for men and women.

There was an expressive percentage of correct responses regarding the slow introduction of the catheter, angulation of the penis, not forcing the passage of the catheter and not doing suprapubic pressure before the catheterization. The data showed similar behavior in the catheter caliber items, no indication of catheter reuse.

The nurses also responded predominantly correctly regarding the ideal frequency for catheterization, the importance of the bladder diary to define the frequency, the nonsuspension of the procedure in the presence of spontaneous urination and the reduction of the interval in cases of frequent urinary loss.

All respondents agreed that there is no indication to reduce water intake and most were wrong to indicate periodic urine culture and antibiotic treatment in cases of bacteriuria.

The variables of characterization of the sample were crossed with the knowledge variables regarding the subject. For the comparison of the two groups, regarding quantitative variables, the Student’s t-test was used for independent samples or the nonparametric Mann–Whitney test. The categorical variables were analyzed considering Fisher’s exact test. To evaluate the association between two quantitative variables Spearman’s rank-order correlations were estimated, values of p < 0.05 indicated statistical significance. There was no significant association between the correct answers and the variables gender, sector of activity, time of formation and post-graduation.

**DISCUSSION**

The profile of the sample studied is consistent with the profile of Brazilian nursing, also demonstrated by other studies regarding predominant gender, mean age and education12,13.

The question of urological consequences of spinal cord injury had a good percentage of success, showing that the nurses know that the patients attended will have the urination pattern altered. Urological changes caused by spinal cord injury are one of the greatest concerns of rehabilitation professionals, because inadequate bladder function, when treated incorrectly, causes unfavorable conditions such as urinary infection, bladder stones, penoscrotal fistulas, vesicoureteral reflux, hydronephrosis and can even lead to loss of renal function3.

An intriguing fact, perhaps the most worrying, was the orientation of the pre-discharge CIC. Few interviewees agreed that it is mandatory, even though they agreed that the patients will present important dysfunctions from the spinal cord injury. In this aspect, the Guidelines for Attention to the Person with Spinal Cord Injury indicate that the CIC should be instituted on a mandatory basis, regardless of the early performance of the urodynamic examination, from hospital discharge, and the orientation of the patient or caregiver before discharge3.

Regarding the accomplishment of the technique by a caregiver, predominantly with correct answers by the sample, the Brazilian Society of Urology and the European Association of Urological Nurses emphasize the need to train patients, relatives and caregivers on the correct procedure of clean intermittent catheterization in order to avoid complications. In the cases of patients without manual agility, due to loss of movement and lack of adaptation to auxiliary devices or due to learning difficulty, the presence of a caregiver to be trained to perform the technique is essential14. An applied research in a hospital in the countryside of São Paulo with 141 users of CIC showed in its result that half of these
patients need help from relatives, caregivers or primary care health professionals to perform the procedure15.

The majority of the sample agreed that the CIC should be performed even without infrastructure, to maintain its optimal regularity and no storage of urine above 500 ml. Users of CIC should be attentive to the question of infrastructure, however they should not refrain from doing the CIC due to lack of a clean location, being away from home or on a travel; because regular catheterization acts in the prevention of infections and other consequences related to stagnant diuresis16.

Regarding hand hygiene with soap and water, no substitution by alcohol gel and no need for sterile gloves, most of them responded correctly and showed to know the subject, but on the topic of using procedure gloves, the majority responded that it is necessary, which can burden and hinder the procedure. The recommendation for hand hygiene with water and soap, preferably liquid soap, is cited by several authors6,8,14. In the absence of infrastructure such as sinks, they guide to carry water in a bottle or other utensil to wash hands and the urethral meatus8. There are professionals who indicate the use of the wet wipe in the sanitization of the urethra, as an alternative in the lack of other resources14. No references were found indicating the use of alcohol gel as a substitute for water and soap in the CIC technique, but it is worth considering the strong campaign conducted by the hospital infection control services, ensuring its action in the absence of dirt and with the need for friction. The technical reference manual for hand hygiene not related to CIC states that hygiene can be performed by rubbing the hands with alcohol solution or with water and soap, the two techniques being able to leave the patient free of contaminations of microbiota17.

As for the use of gloves, sterile gloves are recommended for aseptic technique in hospital environment. Procedure gloves can be worn in the home environment. Their use, however, is dispensable in the clean technique, maintaining the previous hygienization of the hands. The only exception where clean intermittent catheterization should be performed with the rigor of the sterile technique is in immunosuppressed neuropathic patients14,15.

The participants agreed that the no-touch technique can be performed by the caregiver. The no-touch technique consists of introducing the catheter without touching the portion that will come into contact with the bladder. It is the most suitable technique and the ready-to-use catheters (lubricated and hydrophilic) are the most suitable for the execution6. An integrative review of articles on CIC best practices conducted from 2010 to 2015 demonstrated that the CIC procedure with the no-touch technique reduces the likelihood of urinary tract infection compared to sterile intermittent catheterization18.

There is no indication of the use of antiseptic for genital hygiene before the CIC and more than half of the participants responded correctly. Studies show that the use of antiseptics causes dermatitis, which is in line with the response of the nurses surveyed. The most correct form of hygiene of the urethra is the cleaning with a wet gauze with water, if there is no visible dirt, or wet wipe making circular movements two or three times before the introduction of the catheter, remembering that the genital area must be sanitized with soap and water twice a day at the time of the catheterization; and more than half of the sample also responded correctly6,8,19. A systematic review with meta-analysis sought to compare the efficacy of urethral meatus cleaning before bladder catheterization with water or saline solution and antiseptics and proved that there was no statistically significant difference when comparing the two methods in the question of UTI prevention. Therefore, they suggested revising guidelines to reduce costs and prevent allergies that can be caused by antiseptics20.

Most of those surveyed indicated as correct the use of water-soluble gels for lubrication before CIC, agreeing with the literature that indicates the use of gel lubrication in uncoated catheters, the lubricants indicated are water soluble gels. In the clean technique it is not necessary the use of sterile gels6,8,14,21. The literature indicates the use of hydrophilic lubricants; therefore, the use of oily products is contraindicated. Oily products, because they are hydrophobic, are detached from the catheter when they come into contact with urine and leave residues inside the bladder, thus favoring the formation of bladder stones8.

Half of the interviewees were wrong to point out that the lidocaine injection before catheterization is correct. Lidocaine injection is not indicated due to frequent use, which may result in systemic absorption6, in addition to mobilizing the distal microbial flora to the proximal portion of the urethra. An integrative review of the literature regarding the application of 2% lidocaine in male urinary catheterization showed that no statistically significant difference was observed regarding the instillation or not of intraurethral lidocaine. Nor has it been demonstrated that the analgesic activity of lidocaine is
efficient, and there is no consensus on how long to wait to introduce the catheter or whether it should be introduced immediately. There are gaps in the literature on the subject, and new studies are suggested.\textsuperscript{21}

Although there are no publications on the subject, there is a consensus among professionals to not suggest lidocaine injection in CIC for two reasons. The first reason is the frequent displacement of the distal flora of the urethra to the proximal region. The second is related to lidocaine itself, because it is not known what the absorption by the urethral mucosa would be, considering the large number of procedures throughout life. Reasons that justify the absence of lidocaine injection guidance in CIC consensus and guidelines.

Most participants were right about the slow introduction of the catheter, penis angulation and contraindication to force the introduction in case of resistance. The slow introduction of the catheter as well as avoiding forcing the passage if resistance is experienced are essential care to prevent trauma and the development of urethral stenosis.\textsuperscript{14} Prostate enlargement or involuntary sphincter contraction can cause resistance, in the case of the prostate, and a slight reduction in penis angulation can help; as for sphincter contraction, the catheter should be kept in the same position, awaiting its relaxation.\textsuperscript{8,14}

The majority of the sample was correct for contraindication of abdominal pressure or suprapubic compression precatheterization. Retrospective analysis of medical records of patients with spina bifida and underactive bladder showed that Valsalva maneuver is harmful due to high bladder pressure and high pressure applied to the perineum with abdominal effort.\textsuperscript{22} Qualitative and descriptive research applied in family members of children with neurogenic bladder and in use of CIC observed the adoption of inadequate techniques such as abdominal massage for urination.\textsuperscript{23}

As for the ideal catheter caliber, it should be of sufficient diameter to allow urine free flow in an acceptable time, with as little caliber as possible so as not to cause injury to the urethra according to the European Association of Nurses in Urology guideline.\textsuperscript{6} The participants accurately marked the indication of sizes from 8 to 12 Fr for adults.

Reuse of the catheter is not recommended and most nurses answered this statement correctly. A literature review on UTIs and costs related to the single use or reuse of different catheters from 2014 to 2018 confirmed that there is not enough safe scientific research to guide reuse of catheters. Reusing catheters exposes the user to catheter hygiene techniques that have not been tested in consistent research. The catheter reuse time was also not defined.\textsuperscript{24} Authors of another bibliographical review considered the prescription of a single catheter for each procedure as a measure to prevent infection.\textsuperscript{16} They reinforce the lack of satisfactory research on an ideal method for cleaning multiple-use catheters, which is the reason for not recommending it.\textsuperscript{16,24}

A large percentage of the surveyed nurses answered that the ideal frequency of performing the technique should be maintained and pointed out the importance of the bladder diary to define these intervals. The specialist health professional should indicate the number of catheterizations to be performed in 24 hours according to information on daily fluid intake and volume of drained urine, data extracted from the bladder diary. The volume of diuresis at each procedure should not exceed 400 ml, higher volumes lead to risk of UTI by distention.\textsuperscript{6,16}

It is not advisable to reduce the water intake so that there is no change in the color and odor of the urine. All participants assertively agreed with this statement. Water intake is considered one of the best practices for prevention of urinary tract infection.\textsuperscript{16} If hydration is efficient, urine is diluted and maintains constant diuresis. The daily intake volume indicated is 25–35 ml/kg. The abusive ingestion of liquids, on the other hand, increases the chance of bladder hyperdistention and overflow, signaled by incontinence. The person with NLUTD may present urinary loss due to overflow or detrusor hyperactivity. The presence of spontaneous diuresis does not indicate the possibility of suspension of the CIC, a question agreed upon by a large number of participants.

A small part of those surveyed agreed that periodic urine culture and antibiotic therapy, if bacteriuria, is not indicated. Patients with CIC have a colonized urinary tract, so urine cultures tend to be positive. The UTI should be investigated for signs and symptoms that patients should be advised to recognize. The culture in these cases serves only to guide the choice of drug treatment.\textsuperscript{3,6,14}

**CONCLUSION**

The sample studied showed considerable knowledge about neurological lower urinary tract dysfunction and clean intermittent catheterization. Questions regarding the indication of the procedure without gloves, no need
for periodic urine culture and treatment for asymptomatic bacteriuria, contraindication of injecting lidocaine into the urethra on a regular basis and the amount of lubricant in the catheter for insertion were items in which less than half of the sample was correct, indicating the need for updating activities.

The response with a large number of errors that proved more worrying was regarding the patient’s orientation to CIC before discharge. The majority of the sample did not mark this statement as true, with the location of the sample being a reference in the care of the spinal cord injury and every nurse should be aware and safe for orientation during the period of hospitalization.

It is necessary to discuss the topic among services that provide such services in order to shed light on the need for training programs and implementation of assistance protocols.
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