neuronal subpopulations toward a desynchronized state. \cite{1,2,3} Studies have shown that peripheral vibrotactile stimulation accesses central sensory networks and produces a characteristic cortical response. \cite{4} In this study, we investigated the tolerability and efficacy of peripheral vibrotactile coordinated reset stimulation (PVCRS) \cite{5} in 5 subjects with idiopathic Parkinson’s disease (PD).

Four subjects were off therapy (see Supporting Information); 1 subject was on medication during stimulation. The PVCRS pattern was delivered with C-2 tactors (Engineering Acoustics Inc.; Supporting Information) to both hands, on all fingers (not the thumb), Figure S1A, and consisted of 3 cycles, each containing a randomized sequence of 4 vibratory bursts equally spaced in time and followed by 2 silent cycles off stimulation (“pause”; Fig. S1B). \cite{5}

The evaluation schedule included off-therapy testing before stimulation (baseline, day 1), on-stimulation testing (days 1-3), and off-therapy testing (day 3 and 1 and 4 weeks poststimulation; Fig. S1C). Outcomes included a blinded rating of the Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (motor, UPDRS III), quantitative measures of forward walking using 9-axis inertial measurement units (APDM Inc.), and the kinematics of repetitive wrist flexion extension (rWFE) using solid-state gyroscopes (Moton Bioengineering). The acute effect of PVCRS compared outcomes at baseline, off therapy, with those on stimulation, whereas cumulative outcomes compared baseline measures with those off therapy, on day 3, and 1 and 4 weeks after PVCRS.

This study demonstrated that 3 days of PVCRS was safe, tolerable, and resulted in acute and cumulative improvements in quantitative measures of gait impairment and bradykinesia in PD. Gait asymmetry, arrhythmicity, and rWFE frequency improved acutely on stimulation on the second day of stimulation ($P < 0.001$, $P < 0.001$, and $P = 0.006$, respectively) and third day of stimulation ($P < 0.001$, $P < 0.001$, and $P = 0.016$, respectively) compared with baseline (Fig. 1A-C). There was a cumulative effect of PVCRS on both gait impairment and wrist bradykinesia (Fig 1D-F). Off therapy, gait asymmetry, wrist rhythm (rWFE CV_{95}), and angular velocity (rWFE Vrms) were still better than at baseline, 1 week after PVCRS ($P = 0.001$, $P < 0.05$, and $P = 0.004$, respectively) and 4 weeks after PVCRS ($P < 0.001$, $P < 0.05$, and $P = 0.006$, respectively). One subject, who was on medication during stimulation, also demonstrated long-term improvement in gait asymmetry and arrhythmicity. No significant effect was found on the blinded UPDRS III scores across the group.

To our knowledge this is the first demonstration that PVCRS is tolerable and efficacious in PD. There was acute (on stimulation) and cumulative (off therapy) improvement in gait and bradykinesia in PD. The cumulative benefit suggests that peripheral CR stimulation may have a persistent desynchronizing effect on sensorimotor networks, as demonstrated using subthalamic electrical CR neurostimulation. \cite{6}

The improvement in 1 subject, stimulated on medication, suggests that a future PVCRS trial may be possible on medication (Table S2). A sham stimulation condition will be important in future trials to minimize the placebo effect, although this was less likely to have contributed to the cumulative improvement.

---

### Supporting Data

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher’s website

**Supporting Reset Vibrotactile Stimulation Shows Prolonged Improvement in Parkinson’s Disease**

Coordinated reset stimulation delivers brief high-frequency trains in a patterned sequence and may reset the phases of neuronal subpopulations toward a desynchronized state. \cite{1,2,3} Studies have shown that peripheral vibrotactile stimulation accesses central sensory networks and produces a characteristic cortical response. \cite{4} In this study, we investigated the tolerability and efficacy of peripheral vibrotactile coordinated reset stimulation (PVCRS) \cite{5} in 5 subjects with idiopathic Parkinson’s disease (PD).

Four subjects were off therapy (see Supporting Information); 1 subject was on medication during stimulation. The PVCRS pattern was delivered with C-2 tactors (Engineering Acoustics Inc.; Supporting Information) to both hands, on all fingers (not the thumb), Figure S1A, and consisted of 3 cycles, each containing a randomized sequence of 4 vibratory bursts equally spaced in time and followed by 2 silent cycles off stimulation (“pause”; Fig. S1B). \cite{5}

The evaluation schedule included off-therapy testing before stimulation (baseline, day 1), on-stimulation testing (days 1-3), and off-therapy testing (day 3 and 1 and 4 weeks poststimulation; Fig. S1C). Outcomes included a blinded rating of the Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (motor, UPDRS III), quantitative measures of forward walking using 9-axis inertial measurement units (APDM Inc.), and the kinematics of repetitive wrist flexion extension (rWFE) using solid-state gyroscopes (Moton Bioengineering). The acute effect of PVCRS compared outcomes at baseline, off therapy, with those on stimulation, whereas cumulative outcomes compared baseline measures with those off therapy, on day 3, and 1 and 4 weeks after PVCRS.

This study demonstrated that 3 days of PVCRS was safe, tolerable, and resulted in acute and cumulative improvements in quantitative measures of gait impairment and bradykinesia in PD. Gait asymmetry, arrhythmicity, and rWFE frequency improved acutely on stimulation on the second day of stimulation ($P < 0.001$, $P < 0.001$, and $P = 0.006$, respectively) and third day of stimulation ($P < 0.001$, $P < 0.001$, and $P = 0.016$, respectively) compared with baseline (Fig. 1A-C). There was a cumulative effect of PVCRS on both gait impairment and wrist bradykinesia (Fig 1D-F). Off therapy, gait asymmetry, wrist rhythm (rWFE CV_{95}), and angular velocity (rWFE Vrms) were still better than at baseline, 1 week after PVCRS ($P = 0.001$, $P < 0.05$, and $P = 0.004$, respectively) and 4 weeks after PVCRS ($P < 0.001$, $P < 0.05$, and $P = 0.006$, respectively). One subject, who was on medication during stimulation, also demonstrated long-term improvement in gait asymmetry and arrhythmicity. No significant effect was found on the blinded UPDRS III scores across the group.

To our knowledge this is the first demonstration that PVCRS is tolerable and efficacious in PD. There was acute (on stimulation) and cumulative (off therapy) improvement in gait and bradykinesia in PD. The cumulative benefit suggests that peripheral CR stimulation may have a persistent desynchronizing effect on sensorimotor networks, as demonstrated using subthalamic electrical CR neurostimulation. \cite{6}

The improvement in 1 subject, stimulated on medication, suggests that a future PVCRS trial may be possible on medication (Table S2). A sham stimulation condition will be important in future trials to minimize the placebo effect, although this was less likely to have contributed to the cumulative improvement.
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