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Abstract

We show that the canonical central extension of the group of sections of a Lie group bundle over a compact manifold, constructed in [NW09], is universal. In doing so, we prove universality of the corresponding central extension of Lie algebras in a slightly more general setting.

I Setting of the Problem

Let $\mathfrak{g} \to M$ be a finite-dimensional, locally trivial bundle of Lie algebras. A cocycle on its Lie algebra of sections can then be constructed as follows. For any Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$, the derivations $d \in \text{der}(\mathfrak{k})$ act naturally on its second symmetric tensor power $S^2(\mathfrak{k})$ by $d \cdot (x \otimes y) = d(x) \otimes y + x \otimes d(y)$, and we denote the quotient by $V(\mathfrak{k}) := S^2(\mathfrak{k})/\langle \text{der}(\mathfrak{k}) \cdot S^2(\mathfrak{k}) \rangle$. The symmetric bilinear form $\kappa : \mathfrak{k} \otimes \mathfrak{k} \to V(\mathfrak{k})$ given by

$$\kappa(x, y) := [x \otimes y]$$

satisfies $\kappa(d(x), y) + \kappa(x, d(y)) = 0$ for all $d \in \text{der}(\mathfrak{k})$ and is universal with this property. Now let $\nabla$ be a Lie connection on $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e. one that satisfies $\nabla[\xi, \eta] = [\nabla\xi, \eta] + [\xi, \nabla\eta]$ for all sections $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{g})$. Then $\nabla$ induces a flat connection $d\nabla$ on $V(\mathfrak{g})$ by $d\nabla[\xi \otimes \eta] = [\nabla\xi \otimes \eta] + [\xi \otimes \eta, \nabla\eta]$, where $V(\mathfrak{g})$ is the vector bundle one obtains by applying $\mathfrak{k} \mapsto V(\mathfrak{k})$ fibrewise. The connection $d\nabla$ does not depend on $\nabla$, as any two Lie connections differ by a pointwise derivation, which acts trivially on $V(\mathfrak{g})$. We therefore omit the subscript and simply write $d$. Using the identity $d \kappa(\xi, \eta) = \kappa(\nabla\xi, \eta) + \kappa(\xi, \nabla\eta)$ and the compatibility of $\nabla$ with the Lie bracket, it is not hard to check that

$$\omega_{\nabla} : \Gamma_c(\mathfrak{g}) \times \Gamma_c(\mathfrak{g}) \to \Omega^1_c(M, V(\mathfrak{g})), \ (\eta, \xi) \mapsto [\kappa(\eta, \nabla\xi)]$$

(1)

defines a Lie algebra cocycle, where $\Omega^1_c(M, V(\mathfrak{g}))$ denotes $\Omega^1(M, V(\mathfrak{g}))/\langle \text{der}(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot \Omega^1(\mathfrak{g}) \rangle$, and the subscript $c$ denotes compact support.

The classes $[\omega_{\nabla}]$ in $H^2(\Gamma_c(\mathfrak{g}), \Omega^1_c(M, V(\mathfrak{g})))$ are naturally parameterised by the quotient $\Omega^1(M, \text{der}(\mathfrak{g}))/\Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$, as the Lie connections constitute an affine space over $\Omega^1(M, \text{der}(\mathfrak{g}))$, and $\nabla - \nabla' = \text{ad}_\Xi$ for some $\Xi \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{g})$ implies that $(\omega_{\nabla} - \omega_{\nabla'})(\xi, \eta) = [\kappa(\eta, [\Xi, \eta])] = -[\kappa(\xi, [\Xi, \eta])]$ is a coboundary. In particular, the class $[\omega_{\nabla}] = [\omega]$ is canonical if the fibres are semi-simple, because in that case $\text{der}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}$. We endow our spaces of smooth forms and sections with the usual LF-topology (cf. [Mai02]), and denote the continuous linear maps and cohomologies by $\text{Hom}_c$ and $H^*_c$ respectively. The first result of this paper is now the following

**Proposition I.1.** If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a finite-dimensional Lie algebra bundle with semi-simple fibres, then $[\omega] \in H^2_c(\Gamma_c(\mathfrak{g}), \Omega^1_c(M, V(\mathfrak{g})))$ is (weakly) universal, i.e. the map

$$\text{Hom}_c(\Omega^1_c(M, V(\mathfrak{g})), X) \to H^2_c(\Gamma_c(\mathfrak{g}), X), \ \varphi \mapsto [\varphi \circ \omega]$$

(2)

is bijective for each topological vector space $X$, considered as a trivial $\Gamma_c(\mathfrak{g})$-module.
II.4 smooth principal bundle and such that \( L \) (fibrewise) exact sequence see \([\text{Nee02a}], \text{Th. 7.9}\) there exists a central extension \( \Gamma(\text{Ad}(Fr(K)))_0 \) of the fibration \( Fr(K) \rightarrow M \), thus \( \Gamma(\text{Ad}(Fr(K)))_0 \) acts naturally on \( V(\mathfrak{f}) \), \( \text{Aut}(K) \) acts naturally on \( V(\mathfrak{f}) \), and \( \text{Aut}(K)_0 \) acts trivially because \( \text{der}(\mathfrak{f}) \) does so.)

If now \( \mathcal{D}_K := \rho(\pi_1(M)) \) is finite, then by \([\text{NW09}], \text{Cor. 4.18}\) the period group \( \Pi_\omega \) associated to \( \omega \) is discrete, and by \([\text{Nee02a}], \text{Th. 7.9}\) there exists a central extension

\[
\mathbb{T}_\mathfrak{f}(M,V(\mathfrak{f}))/\Pi_\omega \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}(\mathfrak{f})_0 \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}(K)_0
\]

(i.e. a short exact sequence of locally convex Lie groups with central kernel that is a smooth principal bundle, cf. \([\text{Nee02a}]\) where \( c: \hat{\Gamma}(K)_0 \rightarrow \Gamma(K)_0 \) denotes the simply connected cover. In order so see that \( c \circ q \) also defines a central extension we observe that \( \Gamma(K)_0 \) is a covering group of \( \Gamma(\text{Ad}(Fr(K)))_0 \). In fact, the exact sequence \( Z(\mathfrak{f}) \rightarrow K \rightarrow \text{Inn}(K) \subseteq \text{Aut}(K) \) induces a (fibrewise) exact sequence

\[
Z(\mathfrak{f}) \rightarrow K \rightarrow \text{Inn}(K),
\]

which leads to a covering \( \Gamma(Z(\mathfrak{f})) \rightarrow \Gamma(K)_0 \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{Inn}(K))_0 \) \( (\Gamma(Z(\mathfrak{f})) \) is discrete since \( Z(\mathfrak{f}) \) is so). Note that \( \text{Inn}(K) \) is obtained from \( K \) by a push-forward along the morphism \( K \rightarrow \text{Inn}(K) \) of \( \text{Aut}(K) \)-spaces. Since \( K \) is semi-simple, we have that \( \text{Inn}(K) \) is an open subgroup of \( \text{Aut}(K) \), and thus \( \Gamma(\text{Ad}(Fr(K)))_0 = \Gamma(\text{Inn}(K))_0 \). It has been shown in \([\text{NW09}], \text{Cor. 22}\) that the adjoint action of \( \Gamma(\text{Ad}(Fr(K))) \) integrates to an action of \( \Gamma(\text{Ad}(Fr(K)))_0 \), and since \( \Gamma(K)_0 \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{Ad}(Fr(K)))_0 \) is a covering, the adjoint action of \( \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \Gamma(\text{ad}(Fr(\mathfrak{f}))) \) integrates to an action of \( \Gamma(\mathfrak{g})_0 \) on \( \mathbb{T}_\mathfrak{f}(M,V(\mathfrak{f}))/\Pi_\omega \). From \([\text{Nee02a}], \text{Rem. 7.14}\) it now follows that

\[
Z \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}(K)_0 \xrightarrow{c \circ q} \Gamma(K)_0 \tag{3}
\]

with \( Z := \ker(c \circ q) \cong (\mathbb{T}_\mathfrak{f}(M,V(\mathfrak{f}))/\Pi_\omega) \times \pi_1(\Gamma(K)_0) \) is a central extension, which turns out to be universal:

**Theorem I.2.** Let \( K \rightarrow M \) be a finite-dimensional Lie group bundle over a compact and connected manifold \( M \), such that its typical fibre \( K \) is semi-simple and has finitely generated \( \pi_0(K) \). If, moreover, \( \mathcal{D}_K \) is finite, then the central extension (3) is universal for abelian Lie groups modelled on Mackey-complete locally convex spaces.

This means that for each central extension \( A \rightarrow \hat{G} \rightarrow \Gamma(K)_0 \) of locally convex Lie groups that is a smooth principal bundle and such that \( L(A) \) is Mackey-complete, there exists a unique morphism
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\[ \varphi: \Gamma(K)_0 \to \hat{G} \] such that the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Z & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(K)_0 \\
\downarrow^{\varphi|_Z} & & \uparrow^\varphi \\
\Lambda & \longrightarrow & \hat{G} \\
\end{array}
\]

commutes.

In general it is not easy to decide whether the group \( D_K \) is finite. In order to make the above theorem applicable, we list here some conditions that ensure the finiteness of \( D_K \).

- If the image of the connecting homomorphism \( \delta: \pi_1(M) \to \pi_0(\text{Aut}(K)) \) of the fibration \( \text{Fr}(K) \to M \) is finite, then \( D_K \) is finite. This is in particular the case if \( K \) is trivial, reproducing the universal central extension of \( \Gamma(K)_0 \).\( = C^\infty(M,K)_0 \) of \( \text{MN03} \).

- If \( k \) is compact and simple (or, more generally, central simple real), then the Killing form is universal and \( \text{Aut}(K) \)-invariant. Thus the homomorphism \( \pi_0(\text{Aut}(K)) \to \text{GL}(V(\mathfrak{k})) \) vanishes, so that \( D_K \) and \( V(\mathfrak{g}) \) are trivial.

- If \( \pi_0(K) \) and \( Z(K)_0 \) are both finite, then so is \( \pi_0(\text{Aut}(K)) \), and therefore \( D_K \). This can be seen as follows. The image of the natural homomorphism \( \text{Aut}(K) \to \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{k}) \times \text{Aut}(\pi_0(K)) \) is both open and closed, and its kernel is naturally identified with the group of crossed homomorphisms from \( \pi_0(K) \) to \( Z(K)_0 \). Since both the kernel and the image have finitely many connected components (the group \( \pi_0(\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{k})) \) is finite, see [Whi57, Thm. 4] or [Gün10]), \( \text{Aut}(K) \) must have finitely many components as well.

Note that the concepts of universality and weak universality of cocycles and central extensions that are used in our main references [Nee02b] and [Mai02] differ slightly, but coincide in the case of perfect Lie algebras. We will see in the next section that \( \Gamma(K) \) is in fact perfect. We will give precise references for the equality of these concepts at each stage where an ambiguity might occur.

Our results prove the universality of the above central extension of gauge algebras which has already been claimed at some places in the literature, see for instance [LMNS98] or [MW04]. Note also that a common mistake is made in some treatments of this subject by considering the Killing form as universal invariant bilinear form \( \kappa \), which is not always justified (see above).

## II Universality of the Lie Algebra Cocycle

This section is devoted to the proof of Proposition I.1, in which a pivotal role will be played by the fact that our Lie algebra cocycles are essentially local in nature. We will state and prove this in a slightly more general setting where the fibres of our Lie algebra bundle are allowed to be infinite-dimensional. More precisely, we will require \( \mathfrak{g} \to M \) to be a locally trivial bundle of locally convex topological Lie algebras, with a base \( M \) that is finite-dimensional but not necessarily compact. We then equip its space of compactly supported sections \( \Gamma_c(\mathfrak{g}) = \lim_{\to} \Gamma_K(\mathfrak{g}) \) with the usual inductive limit topology.

Throughout this section, \( X \) will denote an arbitrary topological vector space, considered as a trivial module for the Lie algebra in question.

**Definition II.1.** A (not necessarily continuous) 2-cocycle on \( \Gamma_c(\mathfrak{g}) \) with values in \( X \) is called diagonal if \( \psi(\eta, \xi) = 0 \) whenever \( \text{supp}(\eta) \cap \text{supp}(\xi) = \emptyset \).

Combined with Proposition II.4, the following Lemma shows that all continuous 2-cocycles are diagonal if the fibres of \( \mathfrak{g} \) are topologically perfect, and that all 2-cocycles (also the non-continuous ones) on \( \Gamma_c(\mathfrak{g}) \) are diagonal if the fibres of \( \mathfrak{g} \) are finite-dimensional and perfect.
Lemma II.2. If $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{A}|_U)$ is topologically perfect for each open $U \subseteq M$, then every continuous cocycle is diagonal. If, moreover, each $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{A}|_U)$ is perfect, then every cocycle is diagonal.

Proof. Suppose that $\xi$ and $\eta$ in $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{A})$ have disjoint support and set $U := M \setminus \text{supp}(\xi)$. Since $\xi$ and $\eta$ have disjoint support, we have that $\eta|_U \in \Gamma_c(\mathcal{A}|_U)$. By assumption, we can write

$$\eta|_U = \lim_{i \to} \eta_i,$$

where $(\eta_i)_{i \in I}$ is a convergent net and $\eta_i = \sum_j [\mu_{j,i}, \tau_{j,i}]$ is a finite sum of commutators in $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{A}|_U)$.

In case that $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{A}|_U)$ is perfect, we may assume that $I$ is finite.

We now set $\mu_{j,i}'$ to be the continuous extension of $\mu_{j,i}$ by zero, and likewise we define $\nu_{j,i}'$ by extending $\tau_{j,i}$. Observe that we have in particular $[\xi, \mu_{j,i}'] = [\xi, \nu_{j,i}'] = 0$. This now implies

$$\psi(\xi, \eta) = \psi(\xi, \lim_{i \to} \sum_j [\mu_{j,i}', \nu_{j,i}']) = \lim_{i \to} \sum_j \psi(\xi, [\mu_{j,i}', \nu_{j,i}'])$$

$$= \lim_{i \to} \sum_j \left( \psi(\mu_{j,i}', [\xi, \nu_{j,i}']) + \psi(\nu_{j,i}', [\mu_{j,i}', \xi]) \right) = \lim_{i \to} \sum_j \left( \psi(\mu_{j,i}', 0) + \psi(\nu_{j,i}', 0) \right) = 0$$

for each cocycle in the case of finite $I$ and for each continuous cocycle in the case of arbitrary $I$.

Remark II.3. The previous proof also works for the Lie algebra of compactly supported vector fields $\text{Vec}_c(M)$ (cf. [Jan10, Cor. 7.4] and [SP54]), and can even be generalised (cf. [Ame75]) to $\text{Vec}(M)$. Now both Lie algebras satisfy the conditions from Lemma II.2, so that their second Lie algebra cohomology is diagonal (cf. [GF70, Cor. 6.3]). Results such as Peetre’s theorem [Pee60] tell one that continuity and diagonality are not worlds apart, which raises the interesting question of whether $H^2(\text{Vec}(M), \mathbb{R})$ is in fact isomorphic to the continuous cohomology $H^2_c(\text{Vec}(M), \mathbb{R})$. The latter has been calculated explicitly (cf. [GF70] and [Fuk86]).

Proposition II.4. If the fibres of $\mathcal{A}$ are topologically perfect, then so is $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{A})$. If the fibres of $\mathcal{A}$ are finite-dimensional perfect Lie algebras, then $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{A})$ is even perfect. The same conclusions hold in particular for $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{A}|_U)$ with arbitrary open $U \subseteq M$.

Proof. Since each $\xi \in \Gamma_c(\mathcal{A})$ is compactly supported, it can be written as $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i$ with each $\xi_i$ having compact support in a trivialising open subset $U_i$. In order to substantiate the first claim, it thus suffices to show that $C_c^\infty(U_i, \mathfrak{t})$ is topologically perfect if $\mathfrak{t}$ is topologically perfect. We clearly have

$$[C_c^\infty(U_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}, C_c^\infty(U_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}] = C_c^\infty(U_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}.$$

Now $C_c^\infty(U_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}$ is dense in $C_c^\infty(U_i) \otimes \mathcal{T}$ ($\mathcal{T}$ denoting the uniform completion of $\mathfrak{t}$), and since $C_c^\infty(U_i) \otimes \mathcal{T} \cong C_c^\infty(U_i, \mathcal{T})$ [Gro55, Chap. II, p. 81], $C_c^\infty(U_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}$ is dense, considered as a subspace of $C_c^\infty(U_i, \mathfrak{t})$. Thus $[C_c^\infty(U_i, \mathfrak{t}), C_c^\infty(U_i, \mathfrak{t})]$ is dense in $C_c^\infty(U_i, \mathfrak{t})$.

If $\mathfrak{t}$ is a finite-dimensional, perfect Lie algebra, then all spaces considered above are in fact equal and we have

$$[C_c^\infty(U_i, \mathfrak{t}), C_c^\infty(U_i, \mathfrak{t})] = [C_c^\infty(U_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}, C_c^\infty(U_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t}] = C_c^\infty(U_i) \otimes \mathfrak{t} = C_c^\infty(U_i, \mathfrak{t}).$$

For the next result, recall that a monopresheaf is a presheaf that satisfies the “local identity” axiom but not necessarily the “gluing” axiom.

Corollary II.5. If the fibres of $\mathcal{A}$ are topologically perfect, then $\mathcal{S}_c(U) = H^2_c(\Gamma_c(\mathcal{A}|_U), X)$ constitutates a monopresheaf of vector spaces. If the fibres are finite-dimensional perfect Lie algebras, then the same applies to $\mathcal{S}(U) = H^2(\Gamma_c(\mathcal{A}|_U), X)$.

Proof. If $V \subseteq U$, then the restriction map $\rho^V_U: \mathcal{S}(U) \to \mathcal{S}(V)$ is defined as $\rho^V_U(|\psi_U|) = |\psi_V|$ with $\psi_V(\xi, \eta) := \psi_U(\xi, \eta)$, where $\xi$ and $\eta$ denote the extensions by zero of $\xi$ and $\eta$ from $V$ to $U$. 
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In particular, \( \psi^V \) is continuous if \( \psi^U \) is so. The class \([\psi^V]\) does not depend on the choice of \( \psi^U \in [\psi^V] \). Indeed, if \( \psi^U - \psi^U' = \delta \beta_U \), then \( \psi^V(\xi, \eta) - \psi^V(\xi, \eta) = \beta_U(\xi, \xi) = \delta \beta_V(\xi, \eta) \), where again \( \beta_V \) is continuous if \( \beta_U \) is. The presheaf property \( \rho_W^V \circ \rho_V^U = \rho_W^U \) is clear from the definition.

The fact that this presheaf is actually a monopresheaf will now follow from Lemma II.2 and Proposition II.4, which tell us that the cocycles considered are diagonal. Let \( \{V_i\}_{i \in I} \) be an open cover of \( U \). For the ‘local identity’ axiom to hold, we must prove that \([\psi^U]\) vanishes if its restriction \([\psi_i]\) (with \( \psi := \psi_i \)) vanishes for all \( i \in I \). Replace \( \{V_i\}_{i \in I} \) by a subcover that intersects every compactum in only finitely many \( V_i \), and equip it with a partition of unity \( \{\lambda_i\}_{i \in I} \). Let \( \psi = \delta \beta \). We then define

\[
\beta_U(\chi) := \sum_{i \in I} \beta_i(\lambda_i \chi)
\]

for \( \chi \in \Gamma_c(\mathcal{R}|U) \), the sum containing only finitely many nonzero terms because \( \text{supp}(\chi) \) intersects only finitely many \( V_i \). Clearly \( \beta_U \) is continuous if all the \( \beta_i \) are. We prove that \( \psi^U = \delta \beta_U \). By diagonality of the cocycle \( \psi_U \), we can write \( \psi_U(\lambda_i \xi, \eta) = \psi_U(\lambda_i \xi, \lambda_i \eta) \), where \( \lambda_i \) is some function with support contained in \( V_i \) that satisfies \( \lambda_i^2 \equiv 1 \) on a neighbourhood of \( \text{supp}(\lambda_i) \). Indeed, \( \psi_U(\lambda_i \xi, \eta - \lambda_i \eta) = 0 \) because \( \lambda_i \xi \) and \( \eta - \lambda_i \eta \) have disjoint support. We can therefore write

\[
\psi_U(\xi, \eta) = \sum_{i \in I} \psi(\lambda_i \xi, \lambda_i \eta) = \sum_{i \in I} \psi(\lambda_i \xi, \lambda_i \eta) = \sum_{i \in I} \beta(\lambda_i \lambda_i^2(\xi, \eta)) = \beta_U(\xi, \eta),
\]

where in the last step we used \( \lambda_i \lambda_i^2 = 1 \). Thus \([\psi^U] = [\delta \beta^U] = 0 \), as required.

**Proposition II.6.** The assignment \( \mathcal{F}(U) = \text{Hom}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}^U(U, V(\mathcal{R})), X) \) constitutes a sheaf of vector spaces. The same goes for \( \mathcal{F}_c(U) = \text{Hom}_c(\overline{\mathcal{O}}^U_c(U, V(\mathcal{R})), X) \).

Recall that \( \overline{\mathcal{O}}^U(U, V(\mathcal{R})) \) was defined as \( \Omega^U(U, V(\mathcal{R}))/d\Omega^U(U, V(\mathcal{R})) \). For two vector spaces \( X \) and \( Y \), \( \text{Hom}(X,Y) \) denotes the space of linear maps from \( X \) to \( Y \). If \( X \) and \( Y \) happen to be topological vector spaces, then \( \text{Hom}(X,Y) \) is the space of continuous linear maps.

**Proof.** Let \( W \subseteq V \), with \( W \) and \( V \) open in \( M \). The restriction \( \rho_W^V : \mathcal{F}(V) \to \mathcal{F}(W) \) is the dual of the map \( \iota_{VW} : \overline{\mathcal{O}}^V(U, V(\mathcal{R})) \to \overline{\mathcal{O}}^W(U, V(\mathcal{R})) \) defined as follows. Take \( \rho_W^V \in \Omega^V(W, V(\mathcal{R})) \), choose a representative \( \omega^V \), extend it by zero to \( \omega^V \in \Omega^W(V, V(\mathcal{R})) \) and take its class \([\omega^V]\) in \( \overline{\mathcal{O}}^W(\mathcal{R}|W) \). The result does not depend on the choice of representative. Indeed, if \( \omega^V = \omega^V' = d \gamma^V \) with \( \gamma^V \in \Omega^W(V, V(\mathcal{R})) \), then one can extend \( \gamma^V \) by zero to \( \gamma^V \in \Omega^W(V, V(\mathcal{R})) \) to find \( \omega^V - \omega^V' = d \gamma^V \). We can therefore define \( \iota_{VW}([\omega^V]) = [\omega^V] \). The fact that \( \mathcal{F}(U) := \text{Hom}(\overline{\mathcal{O}}^U(U, V(\mathcal{R})), X) \) with \( \rho_W^V : \mathcal{F}(V) \to \mathcal{F}(W) \) is a presheaf, \( \rho_X^W \circ \rho_W^V = \rho_X^V \), follows from the fact that \( \mathcal{F}(U) := \overline{\mathcal{O}}^U(U, V(\mathcal{R})) \) with \( \iota_{VW} : \mathcal{F}(V) \to \mathcal{F}(W) \) is a precosheaf, \( \iota_{VW} \circ \iota_{W^X} = \iota_{V^X} \).

An analogous statement holds for \( \mathcal{F}_c \) because the maps \( \iota_{VW} \) are continuous.

In order to show that \( \mathcal{F} \) is a sheaf, it suffices to show that \( \mathcal{F} \) is a cosheaf. For this, one needs to check (II.68, Prop. 1.3]) the following 3 statements.

1. For all open \( V, W \subseteq M \), we have \( \iota_{V\cup W} \mathcal{F}(V) + \iota_{V\cup W} \mathcal{F}(W) = \mathcal{F}(V \cup W) \).

2. If \( \iota_{V\cup W} \mathcal{F}(\omega^W) = \iota_{V\cup W} \mathcal{F}(\omega^V) \) for \( \omega^V \in \mathcal{F}(V) \) and \( \omega^W \in \mathcal{F}(W) \), then there exists an element \( \omega^{V\cap W} \in \mathcal{F}(V \cap W) \) such that \( \omega^V = \iota_{V\cap W} \omega^{V\cap W} \) and \( \omega^W = \iota_{V\cap W} \omega^{V\cap W} \).

3. For every system \( \{V_i\}_i \) directed upwards by inclusion, the natural map \( \lim \mathcal{F}(V_i) \to \mathcal{F}(\cup V_i) \) is an isomorphism.

Statement 1 is true because one can use a partition of unity \( \{\lambda_V, \lambda_W\} \) to write \( \omega_{V\cup W} = \lambda_V \omega_{V\cap W} + \lambda_W \omega_{V\cap W} \).

We proceed to prove statement 2. Suppose that \( \iota_{V\cup W} \mathcal{F}(\omega^V) = \iota_{V\cup W} \mathcal{F}(\omega^W) \). Then \( \omega^V = \omega^W + d \gamma_{V\cup W} \), with \( \text{supp}(\gamma_{V\cup W}) \subseteq V \cup W \). Write \( \gamma_{V\cup W} = \gamma_V - \gamma_W \), with \( \text{supp}(\gamma_V) \subseteq V \) and \( \text{supp}(\gamma_W) \subseteq W \). Then \( \omega_V - d \gamma_V = \omega_W - d \gamma_W \), so that the support of both is contained in \( V \cap W \). Statement 2 then holds with \( \omega_{V\cap W} = \omega_V - d \gamma_V = \omega_W - d \gamma_W \) in \( \mathcal{F}(V \cap W) \).
Finally, we verify statement 3 by first observing that since the support of any \( \omega \in \Omega^2(U) \) is compact, it is contained in some \( V_{i_1} \cup \ldots \cup V_{i_k} \). This shows surjectivity. To verify injectivity, we observe that if \( [\omega] = 0 \) in \( \check{F}(U) \), then \( \omega = d\gamma \) for \( \gamma \in \Omega^1(U) \). Since \( \text{supp}(\gamma) \) is compact, we have \( \gamma \in \Omega^1(U) \). Thus \([\omega] = 0 \) in \( \check{F}(V_{i_1}) \cup \ldots \cup V_{i_k} \) and, consequently, in \( \check{F}(U) \).

From now on, we restrict attention to the case where the fibres of \( \mathcal{X} \) are finite-dimensional, semi-simple Lie algebras. We have exhibited the sheaf \( \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C}(U) = \text{Hom}_\mathcal{C}(\Omega^2(U), X) \) and the monopresheaf \( \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{C}(U) = H^2_\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{U})) \). The canonical class \([\omega] \) then induces a morphism

\[
\mu_U : \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{C}(U)
\]

of presheaves. For \( \xi, \eta \in \Gamma_\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}(U)) \), we have \( \omega(\eta, \xi) = [\kappa(\eta, \nabla \xi)] \) in \( \Omega^1(U, \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{U})) \), and the morphism \( \mu_U \) is then simply defined as \( \mu_U \varphi = [\varphi \circ \omega] \).

If \( U \subset M \) is a trivialising neighbourhood, then a local trivialisation \( \mathcal{X}(U) \cong U \times \mathcal{T} \) induces isomorphisms \( \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C}(U) \cong \text{Hom}_\mathcal{C}(\Omega^2(U), X) \) and \( \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{C}(U) \cong H^2_\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{U})) \). The map \( \mu_U \) then takes the shape \( \varphi \mapsto [\varphi \circ \omega_U] \) with \( \omega_U \). The cocycle \( \omega_{\mathcal{U}; X} = \omega_U \) in the local trivialisation (der(\mathcal{T}) \cong \mathcal{T} for semi-simple Lie algebras) so that \( \kappa(\omega_{\mathcal{U}; X}) \) corresponds with \( \kappa(f, dg + [A, g]) \). This differs from \( \kappa(f, dg) \) by a mere coboundary \(-\kappa(A, [f, g]) \). The following theorem shows that \( \mu_U \) is an isomorphism for sufficiently small \( U \).

**Theorem II.7.** If \( \mathcal{T} \) is a finite-dimensional semi-simple Lie algebra and \( U \) is a finite-dimensional manifold, then the cocycle

\[
\omega_U : C_c(U, \mathcal{T}) \times C_c^\infty(U, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \Omega^1(U, \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{U}))/dC_c^\infty(U, \mathcal{X}), \quad (f, g) \mapsto [\kappa(f, dg)]
\]

is (weakly) universal. This means that the linear map

\[
\text{Hom}_\mathcal{C}(\Omega^1_c(U, \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{U}))/dC_c^\infty(U, \mathcal{X}), X) \rightarrow H^2_\mathcal{C}(C_c^\infty(U, \mathcal{X}), X), \quad \varphi \mapsto [\varphi \circ \omega_U]
\]

is an isomorphism.

Note that since \( C_c^\infty(M, \mathcal{T}) \) is not unital we cannot use [Mai02, Th. 16] directly, as claimed in [Mai02, Cor. 18].

**Proof.** The combination of [Mai02, Th. 11] and [Mai02, Th. 16] shows that

\[
\text{Hom}(\Omega^1_c(U, \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{U}))/dC_c^\infty(U, \mathcal{X}), X) \rightarrow H^2_\mathcal{C}(C_c^\infty(U, \mathcal{X}), X), \quad \varphi \mapsto [\varphi \circ \omega_U]
\]

is an isomorphism. It remains to be shown that the canonical inclusion \( i : C_c^\infty(U, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(U, \mathcal{T}) \) induces an isomorphism \( H^2_\mathcal{C}(i) \). First we note that we can extend each cocycle \( \omega \) on \( C_c^\infty(U, \mathcal{T}) \) to \( C_c^\infty(U, \mathcal{T}) \) if we interpret \( \mathcal{T} \) as constant functions. In other words, we set \( \omega(x, y) = 0 \) for \( x, y \in \mathcal{T} \), and \( \omega(f, x) := \omega(f, \lambda \cdot x) \) for \( f \in C_c^\infty(U, \mathcal{T}) \), \( x \in \mathcal{T} \) and \( \lambda \in C_c^\infty(U) \) with \( \lambda \equiv 1 \) on \( \text{supp}(f) \). Since \( \omega \) is diagonal, this does not depend on the choice of \( \lambda \). The extension is again a cocycle \( \omega([-f, g]) + \text{cyclic} = \omega([\lambda \cdot x, [f, g]]) + \text{cyclic} = 0 \) and \( \omega([-f, [x, g]]) + \text{cyclic} = \omega([-f, \lambda \cdot x, \lambda \cdot g]) + \text{cyclic} = 0 \) for \( x, y \in \mathcal{T} \), \( f, g \in C_c^\infty(U, \mathcal{T}) \), and \( \lambda \) equal to 1 on \( \text{supp}(f) \) (and \( \text{supp}(g) \)), \( \lambda \) equal to 1 on \( \text{supp}(\lambda) \). This shows that \( H^2_\mathcal{C}(i) \) is surjective.

If \( \omega \in \ker(H^2_\mathcal{C}(i)) \), then \( \omega(f, g) = \lambda([f, g]) \) for \( f, g \in C_c^\infty(U, \mathcal{T}) \) and \( \lambda : C_c^\infty(U, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow X \) linear and continuous. If we extend \( \lambda \) to 0 by \( \lambda \), then \( \omega' = \omega - \lambda \) is a cocycle that vanishes on \( C_c^\infty(U, \mathcal{T}) \). We have \( \omega'([-f, g], [x, f]) = 0 \) and as the last two terms vanish, so does the first. Since \( C_c^\infty(U, \mathcal{T}) \) is perfect, \( \omega' \) vanishes on \( C_c^\infty(U, \mathcal{T}) \) and \( \mathcal{T} \), and factors through a cocycle on \( \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \), which is a coboundary by Whitehead's Lemma. Thus \( H^2_\mathcal{C}(i) \) is also injective.

Summarising, we have defined a morphism \( \mu : \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{C} \) from a sheaf to a monopresheaf that is an isomorphism on sufficiently small subsets \( U \) of \( M \), at least in the case that the fibres of \( \mathcal{X} \) are semi-simple. According to the following standard proposition, the monopresheaf \( \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{C} \) must
then in fact be a sheaf, and the morphism $\mu$ an isomorphism of sheaves. This means that in particular $\rho_M : F_{c\alpha}(M) \to S_{c\alpha}(M)$ is an isomorphism. In other words, the map $\varphi \mapsto [\varphi \circ \omega]$ is an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{c\alpha}(\Gamma_c(M, V(\mathfrak{g})), X) \cong H^2_{c\alpha}(\Gamma_c(\mathfrak{g}), X),$$

proving Proposition I.1.

**Proposition II.8.** Let $F$ be a sheaf, $S$ a monopresheaf (i.e. a presheaf that satisfies the local identity axiom), and let $\mu : F \to S$ be a morphism of presheaves such that each $x \in M$ has an open neighbourhood $V$ such that $\mu_W : F(W) \to S(W)$ is an isomorphism for any open $W \subseteq V$. Then $S$ is a sheaf, and $\mu$ an isomorphism.

**Proof.** We show that $\mu_U : F(U) \to S(U)$ is an isomorphism for arbitrary open $U \subseteq M$. Fix an open cover $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$ of $U$ such that $\mu_V : F(V) \to S(V)$ is an isomorphism for all $W \subseteq V_i$. First of all, we show that $\mu_U$ is injective. Suppose that $\mu_U(f_U) = 0$ in $S(U)$. Then certainly $\rho_{V,i}(\rho_{V,U}(f_U)) = 0$ for all $i \in I$, and since $\rho_{V,i}$ is an isomorphism we have $f_U := \rho_{V,i}(f_U) = 0$. But if $f_U = 0$ for all $i \in I$, then $f_U$ must be 0 by the 'local identity' axiom for $F$. Next, we show that $\mu_U$ is surjective. Given $s_U \in S(U)$, we construct an $f_U \in F(U)$ such that $\mu_U(f_U) = s_U$. Set $s_i := \rho_{V,i}(s_U)$, so $\rho_{V,i}(s_i) = \rho_{V,i}(s_j)$ by the presheaf property of $S$. (We write $V_{ij} = V_i \cap V_j$.) Set $f_i := \mu_{V,i}(s_i)$ and observe $\rho_{V,i}(\rho_{V,j}(f_i)) = \rho_{V,i}(\rho_{V,j}(s_i) = \rho_{V,j}(\rho_{V,i}(s_j)) = \rho_{V,i}(\rho_{V,j}(f_j))$. Since $\rho_{V,i}$ is an isomorphism, $\rho_{V,i}(f_i) = \rho_{V,j}(f_j)$. By the gluing property of $F$, the $f_i$ then extend to an $f_U \in F(U)$ with $\rho_{V,i}(f_U) = f_i$. Since $\rho_{V,U}(\mu_U(f_U)) = s_i$ for all $i \in I$, we must have $\mu_U(f_U) = s_U$ by the 'local identity' axiom for $S$.

Note that the last proposition not only yields Proposition I.1 by eqn. (5), but it also shows that $S_{c\alpha}(M) = H^2_{c\alpha}(\Gamma_c(\mathfrak{g}), X)$ actually constitutes a sheaf.

**Remark II.9.** Another situation where the preceding argument would apply is the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{pu}(\mathcal{H})$, for $\mathcal{H}$ a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. If we endow $PU(\mathcal{H})$ with the norm topology, then it becomes an infinite-dimensional Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{pu}(\mathcal{H})$ and equivalence classes of smooth principal $PU(\mathcal{H})$-bundles are in bijection with $H^3(M, \mathbb{Z})$ for each finite-dimensional manifold $M$ [MW09]. Picking such a bundle $P \to M$ we consider the associated Lie algebra bundle $\mathfrak{g} \to M$. Since $PU(\mathcal{H})$ also acts on the central extension $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{pu}(\mathcal{H})$ we get a central extension $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathfrak{g}$ of Lie algebra bundles and one of Lie algebras

$$\Gamma_c(\mathfrak{g}) \to \Gamma_c(\mathfrak{h}).$$

As above, Proposition II.8 would yield the universality of this central extension if one knew that this were the case for *trivial bundles*, i.e., for the associated current algebras. A particularly interesting example would be $M = G$ a simple, 1-connected and compact Lie group and

$$[P] \in \text{Bun}(G, PU(\mathcal{H})) \cong H^3(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

a generator (cf. [NSW11]).

**III Universality of the Gauge Group Extension**

In this section, we will use the following Recognition Theorem from [Nee02b] in order to prove Theorem I.2.

**Theorem III.1.** Let $Z \to \hat{\mathcal{H}} \to H$ be a central extension of Lie groups such that $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is a connected and locally convex Lie group with perfect Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$, $\mathfrak{z} := L(\mathbb{Z})$ is Mackey complete,

1. the induced Lie algebra extension $\mathfrak{z} \to \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is $\mathbb{R}$-universal and
2. $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is simply connected.
If the derived extension \( \mathfrak{z} \to \hat{\mathfrak{h}} \to \mathfrak{h} \) is universal for a Mackey complete space \( \mathfrak{a} \), then \( \hat{\mathfrak{H}} \) is universal for each regular abelian Lie group \( \mathfrak{A} \) with \( L(\mathfrak{A}) = \mathfrak{a} \).

**Proof.** This is [Nee02b, Th. 4.13], boiled-down to the case that \( \mathfrak{h} \) is perfect. The condition \( \pi_1(H) \subseteq D(\hat{\mathfrak{H}}) \) of [Nee02b, Th. 4.13] is automatically satisfied since in this case \( D(\hat{\mathfrak{H}}) \) coincides with the universal covering group of \( \mathfrak{H} \) by definition. Moreover, by [Nee02b, Lem. 4.5] universality and weakly universality of Lie algebra extensions are equivalent. The conclusion of [Nee02b, Th. 4.13] can be strengthened to yield the universality of \( \mathfrak{Z} \to \hat{\mathfrak{H}} \to \mathfrak{H} \) by [Nee02b, Lem. 4.5].

**Proof.** (of Theorem I.2) Proposition I.1 and [Nee02b, Lem. 1.12] show that the induced Lie algebra extension (2) is universal (even in the stronger sense of [Nee02b]). By the Recognition Theorem it thus remains to check that \( \hat{\Gamma}(\mathfrak{K})_0 \) is simply connected. We first note that by [Nee02a, Rem. 7.14] we have \( \mathcal{Z} \cong \Omega^1_c(M, V(\mathfrak{K}))/\Pi_\omega \times \pi_1(\Gamma(\mathfrak{K})_0) \). If we consider the long exact homotopy sequence

\[
\pi_2(\Gamma(\mathfrak{K})_0) \to \pi_1(\mathcal{Z}) \to \pi_1(\hat{\Gamma}(\mathfrak{K})_0) \to \pi_1(\Gamma(\mathfrak{K})_0) \to \pi_0(\mathcal{Z}),
\]

then \( \delta_2 \) is surjective by [Nee02a, Prop. 5.11] and \( \delta_1 \) is an isomorphism by construction. Thus \( \hat{\Gamma}(\mathfrak{K})_0 \) is simply connected.
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