Stereotactic radiotherapy of brain metastases: clinical impact of three-dimensional SPACE imaging for 3T-MRI-based treatment planning
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Abstract
Purpose For planning CyberKnife stereotactic radiosurgery (CK SRS) of brain metastases (BM), it is essential to precisely determine the exact number and location of BM in MRI. Recent MR studies suggest the superiority of contrast-enhanced 3D fast spin echo SPACE (sampling perfection with application-optimized contrast by using different flip angle evolutions) images over 3D gradient echo (GE) T1-weighted MPRAGE (magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo) images for detecting small BM. The aim of this study is to test the usability of the SPACE sequence for MRI-based radiation treatment planning and its impact on changing treatment.

Methods For MRI-based radiation treatment planning using 3T MRI in 199 patients with cerebral oligometastases, we compared the detectability of BM in post-gadolinium SPACE images, post-gadolinium MPRAGE images, and post-gadolinium late-phase MPRAGE images.

Results When SPACE images were used for MRI-based radiation treatment planning, 29.8% and 16.9% more BM, respectively, were detected and included in treatment planning than in the post-gadolinium MPRAGE images and the post-gadolinium late-phase MPRAGE images (post-gadolinium MPRAGE imaging: n total = 681, mean ± SD 3.4 ± 4.2; post-gadolinium SPACE imaging: n total = 884, mean ± SD 4.4 ± 6.0; post-gadolinium late-phase MPRAGE imaging: n total = 796, mean ± SD 4.0 ± 5.3; P post-gadolinium SPACE imaging versus post-gadolinium MPRAGE imaging < 0.0001, P post-gadolinium SPACE imaging versus post-gadolinium late-phase MPRAGE imaging < 0.0001).

Conclusion For 3T MRI-based treatment planning of stereotactic radiosurgery of BM, we recommend the use of post-gadolinium SPACE imaging rather than post-gadolinium MPRAGE imaging.
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Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| BM           | Brain metastasis |
| CK           | CyberKnife |
| CR           | Contrast rate |
| GE           | Gradient echo |
| MPRAGE       | Magnetization-prepared rapid acquisition of gradient echo |
| MRI          | Magnetic resonance imaging |
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Introduction

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a safe and effective diagnostic examination technique for radiation treatment planning for cerebral metastases. The decision on the optimal radiotherapy for patients with cerebral oligometastases depends to a great extent on the number and location of the metastases. While whole-brain radiotherapy (WBRT) is performed for diffuse metastasis, for oligometastases, stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) is used to protect neurocognitive function [1–4]. In order to detect even the smallest BM, the diagnostic accuracy of the MR examination technique is thus critical.

In 2000, Hochstenbag et al. reported on the significance of the MRI examination in pretherapeutic staging of BM [5]. Through the use of MRI alone, the number of newly detected BM in SCLC patients increased by 15%. In this study on a 0.5T MRI, only T1-weighted spin echo (SE) sequences with a relatively large slice thicknesses of 10 mm were used. However, pulsation artifacts of the vessels in the posterior fossa result in poor image quality in standard SE images. Metastases in the brainstem or cerebellum can thus be missed. With the introduction of contrast-enhanced 3D GE T1-weighted MPRAGE (magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo) images, Wenz et al. were able to detect considerably more metastases in 1.5T MRI-prepared rapid gradient echo) images, Wenz et al. were able to detect considerably more metastases in 1.5T MRI than with SE sequences alone [6]. This was primarily due to the thinner slices. The superior spatial resolution of the 3D GE sequences is associated with a greater susceptibility to the thinner slices. The superior spatial resolution of the 3D GE sequences is associated with a greater susceptibility to the cortical vessels, the contrast enhancement of very small cerebral metastases is much clearer in 3D SPACE than in 3D MPRAGE images. Kato et al. showed in an initial study with 16 patients with BM that 3D SPACE visualizes metastases significantly better than 3D MPRAGE due to the better contrast rate (CR) [10].

The clinical significance of this fast (turbo) spin echo technique as black blood imaging for radiotherapy has not yet been studied. This clinical study aims to investigate whether 3D SPACE can be integrated in preradiotherapy staging and radiation treatment planning. The objective of the study is to clarify how many more metastases are detected using 3D SPACE compared with 3D MPRAGE in radiation treatment planning and what effect the higher number of metastases has on the later radiation technique (SRS versus WBRT). A metastasis count of \( \leq 4 \) BM was specified as the upper limit for SRS according to the guidelines from 2015 [13].

Several authors have reported that the lesion contrast of BM increases with delayed imaging time [14, 15]. For departments that do not have access to black blood imaging sequences such as 3D SPACE for radiation treatment planning, it should be tested whether a 3D MPRAGE late-phase contrast-enhanced image can detect the same number of metastases as 3D SPACE.

Materials and methods

Patients

All patients had an external diagnostic MRI examination and were referred to our CyberKnife (Accuray Inc. Sunnyvale, CA, USA) center for SRS with suspected BM. The study enrolled 214 consecutive patients with brain oligometastases (no more than 4 lesions in diagnostic MRI, no extracranial metastases) who had an MRI for radiation planning for SRS between May 2016 and February 2018 at the Department of Radiation Oncology and Radiation Therapy at Heidelberg University Hospital. All patients were older than 18 years and had no contraindication to an MRI examination. The MRI data of 15 patients could not be evaluated because of the absence of BM in MRI-based radiation treatment planning (MPRAGE and SPACE sequences; \( n = 7 \) patients after chemotherapy) or had incomplete MRI sequences due to poor general condition (\( n = 8 \) patients). The patient characteristics of the remaining 199 patients are summarized in Table 1.

Magnetic resonance imaging

MRI was carried out on a 3T scanner (Magnetom SKYRA®, Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany) using
Table 1  Patient characteristics

| All patients, no | 199 |
|------------------|-----|
| **Gender, no. (%)** |     |
| Female           | 112 (56.3) |
| Male             | 87 (43.7)  |
| **Age, years**   |     |
| Median (range)   | 62 (20–90) |
| IQR              | 17 |
| **Immunomodulating therapy, no. (%)** |     |
| No immunomodulating therapy | 143 (71.9) |
| Immunomodulating therapy | 56 (28.1) |
| **Primary tumor type, no. (%)** |     |
| Lung             | 110 (55.3) |
| Melanoma         | 29 (14.6)  |
| Breast           | 28 (14.1)  |
| Renal cell carcinoma | 13 (6.5) |
| Cancer of unknown primary (CUP) | 5 (2.5) |
| Others           | 14 (7.0)   |
| **Previous radiotherapy to the brain, no. (%)** |     |
| No SRS/WBRT      | 154 (77.4) |
| SRS              | 26 (13.1)  |
| WBRT             | 19 (9.5)   |

IQR interquartile range, SRS stereotactic radiosurgery, WBRT whole-brain radiotherapy

*aPercentages may not add up to 100 because of rounding

Table 2  Brain metastases’ characteristics

|                | Early-phase MPRAGE | Late-phase MPRAGE | SPACE |
|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------|
| Total number   | 681                | 796               | 884   |
| Mean (SD)      | 3.4 (4.2)          | 4.0 (5.3)         | 4.4 (6.0) |
| Median (range) | 2 (0–31)           | 2 (1–37)          | 2 (1–37) |
| IQR            | 3                  | 3                 | 4     |

| Grouping of patients by number of brain metastases, no. (%) |       |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| 0                                                           | 3 (1.5) |
| 1–4                                                         | 156 (78.4) |
| 5–10                                                       | 27 (13.6) |
| >10                                                        | 13 (6.5) |

IQR interquartile range, SD standard deviation, MPRAGE magnetization-prepared rapid acquisition of gradient echo, SPACE sampling perfection with application-optimized contrast by using different flip angle evolutions

Statistical methods

The paired-samples t-test was used to compare the mean number of BM on the standard MPRAGE sequence with the mean number of metastases on the late-phase MPRAGE and SPACE sequences. Spearman rank-order correlation coefficients (r) were computed to test for associations between the number of metastatic lesions on the early-phase MPRAGE sequence and the number of additional metastatic lesions on the late-phase MPRAGE and SPACE sequences. All calculations were performed using SPSS version 27 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) with p<0.05 considered significant.
Results

A summary of the BM characteristics is shown in Table 2. Overall, we detected 29.8% more BM on the 3D SPACE sequences and 16.9% more BM on the 3D MPRAGE late-phase sequences than on the established standard 3D MPRAGE sequences. Incidental findings were detected in 3% of the 199 patients and included one meningioma and five developmental venous anomalies (DVA). The mean numbers of BM on the 3D SPACE and 3D MPRAGE late-phase sequences were significantly higher than those found on the standard 3D MPRAGE sequences ($p < 0.0001$, paired-samples t-test for both comparisons). Of note, lesions of less than 2 mm in diameter on the 3D SPACE sequence were not seen in either the established standard 3D MPRAGE or the 3D MPRAGE late-phase sequence.

Fig. 1  a MPRAGE post gadolinium: cystic metastasis in the right temporal lobe poorly differentiable from surrounding structures. b MPRAGE late post gadolinium: increasing contrast enhancement of the right temporal metastasis. c SPACE post gadolinium: metastasis clearly more differentiable from the cortical veins. d CyberKnife (Accuray Inc. Sunnyvale, CA, USA) treatment plan
Fig. 2  a MPRAGE post gadolinium: periventricular metastasis (arrow) poorly differentiable from periventricular vessels.  

b SPACE post gadolinium: significantly improved visualization of periventricular metastasis (arrow) due to signal suppression of periventricular vessels.

Fig. 3  Correlation between the number of metastatic lesions in the early-phase MPRAGE sequence and the number of additional metastatic lesions in the late-phase MPRAGE sequence (a) and the SPACE sequence (b).

Spearman’s correlation $r_s = 0.38$

95% CI 0.05-0.64

$p = 0.015$

Spearman’s correlation $r_s = 0.49$

95% CI 0.24-0.68

$p < 0.001$
Table 3  Numbers (and percentages) of patients, metastases, and SRS treatments by grouping the patients with 0–4 metastases in the early-phase MPRAGE sequence according to the presence or absence of additional metastases on the SPACE and late-phase MPRAGE sequences

| Detection of metastatic lesions relative to early-phase MPRAGE imaging | Patients | Metastases | Decision for SRS based on SPACE imaging | SRS performed |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Early-phase MPRAGE | Late-phase MPRAGE | SPACE | Patients (%) | Metastases (%) | Late-phase MPRAGE | (%) |
| Additional metastases on SPACE imaging | 16 | 35 | 35 | 54 | SPACE: +19 Late-phase MPRAGE: +0 | 12 (75.0) | 33 (61.1) | 11 (91.7) (81.8) |
| Additional metastases on SPACE ± late-phase MPRAGE imaging | 18 | 35 | 71 | 86 | SPACE: +51 Late-phase MPRAGE: +36 | 10 (55.6) | 28 (32.6) | 9 (90.0) (82.1) |
| No additional metastases on SPACE±late-phase MPRAGE imaging | 125 | 230 | 230 | 230 | SPACE: +0 Late-phase MPRAGE: +0 | 125 (100) | 230 (100) | 121 (96.8) (96.1) |

MPRAGE magnetization-prepared rapid acquisition of gradient echo, SPACE sampling perfection with application-optimized contrast by using different flip angle evolutions

Metastatic lesions near the cortical vessels (Fig. 1c) and periventricular metastases (Fig. 2b) were particularly well detected by the 3D SPACE sequence.

At the patient level, the 3D SPACE sequence showed 57.8% more metastatic lesions than the standard 3D MPRAGE sequence in 60 of the 199 patients (554 versus 351 metastases in 30.2% of the patients), and the 3D MPRAGE late-phase sequence detected 39.1% more lesions than the standard 3D MPRAGE sequence in 41 of the 199 patients (409 versus 294 metastases in 20.6% of the patients). The higher the number of BM detected in the standard 3D MPRAGE sequence, the higher the number of additional metastases in the 3D MPRAGE late-phase sequence (for details see Fig. 3).

**Modification of radiotherapy based on SPACE findings**

In this study, 80% of the patients (n=159) had cerebral oligometastases with between 0 and 4 BM. In a total of 125 oligometastatic patients in whom the standard early-phase MPRAGE showed 0–4 metastases, no additional metastases were found in the late-phase MPRAGE and SPACE scans. The SPACE scan alone detected 19 additional metastases in 16 oligometastatic patients, so SRS treatment was indicated for 12 patients. In 11 patients, these additional metastases could be irradiated using SRS. For one patient, the radiation treatment planning MRI showed additional calvarial metastases, so WBRT was carried out.

In 18 oligometastatic patients, more metastases were found simultaneously in the late-phase MPRAGE (n=36) and in the SPACE (n=51) scans than in the standard early-phase MPRAGE scan. In this patient group, the SPACE scan showed more metastases than the late-phase MPRAGE in 10 patients, so these patients were given a recommendation for SRS from the tumor board. SRS was carried out in a total of 9 patients. One patient with only one brain metastasis could not be treated with SRS because he could not tolerate prolonged immobilization during treatment. He was given WBRT with boost for this metastasis (details are shown in Table 3). Summarizing the two oligometastatic groups, a total of 20 oligometastatic patients were given SRS for the additional metastases detected solely on the basis of SPACE.

**Discussion**

Several studies have shown that black blood (BB) MRI (SPACE, VISTA) detects significantly more brain lesions <5 mm [10, 16, 17]. This study showed for the first time that BB imaging (SPACE) can be effectively integrated into stereotactic radiation treatment planning with the CyberKnife. Among the multifactorial causes for the superior detectability of BM using SPACE are the better signal-to-noise ratio and the higher spatial resolution in the submillimeter range. The sequence is clearly superior to MPRAGE in detecting smaller short-axis diameters and thus also smaller metastases. However, false-positive findings due to insufficient suppression of cortical vessels or small contrast enhancement of an immunological inflammatory response due to antibody treatment can be misinterpreted to be a metastasis.

In the late-phase MPRAGE imaging, cerebral metastases show contrast blush as an expression of neovascularization compared with early-phase MPRAGE imaging (Fig. 1b). In addition, washout of the contrast agent was seen in the cerebral vessels in late-phase MPRAGE imaging (Fig. 1b). This
facilitates better differentiation of the intracerebral vessels from metastases in late-phase MPRAGE imaging as well.

The 3D SPACE image showed more intracerebral metastases and, above all, smaller metastases in MRI-based radiation treatment planning compared with conventional 3D MPRAGE. These metastases would not have been included in radiation treatment if only contrast-enhanced 3D MPRAGE had been used. This would have led later to a poorer clinical outcome of the patients, as a total of 197 metastases were not detected in conventional 3D MPRAGE. Another advantage is the administration of one dose of MR contrast with a good signal-to-noise ratio of 3D SPACE even in the submillimeter range. The administration of three times as much gadolinium, as described by Donahue et al. when using 1-mm thick MPRAGE sequences, is not necessary with 3D SPACE [18]. The good spatial resolution allowed false-positive lesions such as cortical veins to be recognized and excluded from the radiation treatment planning [10].

Image acquisition for radiation treatment planning places special demands on MRI. For radiation treatment planning for CyberKnife radiosurgery on the cranium in particular, slice thicknesses less than 1 mm are required with three-dimensional resolution and a good signal-to-noise ratio. This would not be possible with conventional 2D T1 spin echo sequences. In addition to good spatial resolution, low geometric distortion in the MR image is also important. The sharp delineation of the contrast enhancement of a metastasis in 3D SPACE allows precise determination of the target volume with a steep dose gradient, while simultaneously protecting the surrounding brain tissue. For the radiotherapist, detection and target volume contouring of small BM are considerably easier in 3D SPACE, as there is no interference from cortical veins.

Our patients were treated between 2016 and 2018, at a time when SRS of brain metastases was limited to up to four brain metastases. Now it is not uncommon to treat up to 10 metastases or more [19]. Therefore, the change in treatment concept based on SPACE imaging needs to be considered in the current management of BM, as many centers would still offer SRS for more brain lesions. We assume that the more lesions are included in the treatment concept, the greater the benefit of SPACE imaging.

One limitation of the study is that as in earlier studies, there is no histopathological confirmation of the irradiated brain lesion. Due to the poor prognosis and high mortality rate, not all patients were available for follow-up examinations.

Another limitation is that we used a 12-channel head coil for acquisition of the 3D SPACE and 3D MPRAGE sequences for radiation treatment planning. According to Reichert et al., we would have needed 30% less time if a 32-channel head coil had been used [20].

**Conclusion**

Using 3D SPACE is a practical alternative to the routinely used T1-weighted 3D MPRAGE, especially for radiosurgery treatment planning for BM. Our data show that 3D SPACE allows detection of significantly more cerebral metastases that can be included in radiation treatment planning. For radiotherapists, the increasing use of SRS even for patients with up to 10 BM increases the importance of precise pretherapeutic detection of all cerebral lesions in the MRI examination for radiation treatment planning [19, 21]. The further clinical significance of using 3D SPACE for radiation treatment planning on patient outcome and quality of life after radiosurgery is currently being tested in an ongoing two-armed prospective randomized phase II study at our center (Clinical Trial Code: NCT03303365).
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