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A B S T R A C T

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) was initially described as a viral infection of the respiratory tract. It is now known, however, that several other organs are affected, including the brain. Neurological manifestations such as stroke, encephalitis, and psychiatric conditions have been reported in COVID-19 patients, but the neurotropic potential of the virus is still debated. Herein, we sought to investigate SARS-CoV-2 infection in human neural cells. We demonstrated that SARS-CoV-2 infection of neural tissue is non-permissive, however, it can elicit inflammatory response and cell damage. These findings add to the hypothesis that most of the neural damage caused by SARS-CoV-2 infection is due to a systemic inflammation leading to indirect harmful effects on the central nervous system despite the absence of local viral replication.

1. Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is the causative agent of the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19), an airborne infectious disease. Most affected patients have symptoms of respiratory infections, such as fever, dry cough, and dyspnea, and 5 to 10% of them evolve to severity/death. Respiratory failure is the main event that explains fatality in COVID-19. Some authors raised the possibility that at least part of the respiratory manifestations caused by COVID-19 could be due to direct viral damage to the respiratory center located in the brain stem (Gupta et al., 2020).

Apart from respiratory symptoms, anosmia and ageusia were...
identified as hallmarks of COVID-19. Indeed, evidence of neurological disease associated with COVID-19 is increasing; 30 to 60% of patients further present one or more neurological symptoms, including pares-
thesia, altered consciousness, and headache (De Felice et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020). Besides, COVID-19 patients are at a 7-fold higher risk to suffer a stroke, and 2–6% progress to cerebrovascular disease (Piffi and Mocco, 2020). Less frequent neurological manifestations include encephalopathy, encephalitis and Guillain-Barré syndrome (Ellul et al., 2020; Mao et al., 2020). In addition, there is mounting evidence for neurological sequelae following recovery from COVID-19, such as cognitive decline and cortical hypofunction (Hosp et al., 2021).

Neuroinvasive capacity was shown for other highly pathogenic coronaviruses, including the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) (Li et al., 2016) and SARS-CoV (Glass et al., 2004; Xu et al., 2005). Similarly to SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2 uses the angio-
tensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor as the main cellular entry (Yan et al., 2020). ACE2 is highly expressed in nasal epithelial cells, which underlies the initiation of respiratory tract infection (Sungnak et al., 2020), but is also present in multiple tissues (Hammig et al., 2004). Studies dissecting the putative mechanisms whereby SARS-CoV-2 enters the central nervous system (CNS) are still scarce. At first, it was speculated that the virus infects the olfactory neurons and reaches the brain by anterograde axonal transport. However, it was shown in ro-
dents that SARS-CoV-2 infects non-neural cells in the olfactory epithelium rather than olfactory neurons, which could be attributed to the lack of expression of ACE2 in these cells (Bram et al., 2020; Bryche et al., 2020). In contrast, a recent study found viral particles throughout ol-
factory neuron projections and in the olfactory bulb of a few patients (Meinhart et al., 2020) and other hypotheses have been proposed (Barrantes, 2021). Therefore, due to these conflicting findings, it is still debatable whether the mechanism of SARS-CoV-2 invasion in the CNS is via olfactory neurons.

Viral infections targeting the brain were successfully modeled in vitro using human neurospheres and brain organoids (Garcez et al., 2016; Qian et al., 2016). These models are comprised of neural cells in a tissular arrangement more like the CNS, which support more complex cellular interactions and consequently more reliable responses to viral infection. Because of that, these models became valuable for investi-
gating SARS-CoV-2 infection in the brain tissue. While some researchers found that the virus infects neurons and glia (Jacob et al., 2020a; Meschi et al., 2020; Song et al., 2020), or a small subset of neurons (Dobrindt et al., 2021), others were unable to detect viral antigens in these cells (Pellegrini et al., 2020), suggesting SARS-CoV-2 neural infection was dependent on experimental conditions and/or viral isolates. Addition-
ally, even fewer studies measured whether infection led to the produc-
tion of new infective particles, or virions.

Here, we investigated SARS-CoV-2 infection in human neurospheres (NP) derived from induced pluripotent stem cells. Although viral infection was found to be non-permissive, it led to inflammatory response and cytotoxicity which was correlated to the amount of virus in the inoculum. We suggest that despite insufficient viral infection and replication in the brain parenchyma, there are consequences of the viral presence in the tissue, which may be enhanced by the entry of inflam-
matory molecules resulting from a generalized inflammatory response.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cell cultivation

Human induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells were obtained from the Coriell Institute for Medical Research repository (GM23279A) or produced in house with CytoTune®-iPS 2.0 Sendai Reprogramming Kit (A16517- Invitrogen) from skin fibroblasts (Da Silveira Paulsen et al., 2012) or urine epithelial cells (Sochacki et al., 2016) (1 donor for each cell type) (Table 1). iPS cells were cultured in StemFlex media (A33494 - Thermo Fisher Scientific) on top of Matrigel (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ). Neural Stem Cells (NSCs) were generated from iPS cells following the published Life Technologies protocol for “Induction of Neural Stem Cells from Human Pluripotent Stem Cells” (Publication number: MAN0008031). NSCs were cultured in neural expansion me-
dium (Advanced DMEM-F12 and Neurobasal medium 1:1 with 1% neural induction supplement) on a surface previously coated with Gel-
 trex LDEV-Free (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A1413302) for expansion.

Neuropheres (NP) were prepared in suspension as follows: NSCs were split with Accutase (Merck Millipore, SCR005) and 3 × 10^5 cells (9 × 10^5 cells/NP) were plated in a 6 well-plate, being cultured on an orbital shaker at 90 rpm for 7 days in standard culture conditions. At day 3, the culture medium was changed to induce differentiation, containing Neurobasal medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 12348017)/DMEM-F12 (Life Technologies, 11330-032) 1:1, supplemented with 1x N2 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 17502001) and 1x B27 (Thermo Fisher Sci-
entific, 17500401), and it was changed each 3–4 days (Casas et al., 2018). Alternatively, NP were prepared directly in 96 well plates. NSCs were split with Accutase (Merck Millipore, SCR005), centrifuged at 300 × g for 5 min and resuspended in the same differentiation medium described for the 6 well-plate NP. Subsequently, 9 × 10^5 cells in 150 μL were plated per well of a round bottom Ultra-low attachment 96-well plate (Corning, 7007), followed by centrifugation at 300 × g for 3 min to assure sedimentation. To minimize neurosphere damage, the medium of each well was changed every other day by only removing 70–100 μL and adding 150 μL of new medium. iPS cell-derived human card-
 diomyocytes (CM) were purchased from Pluricell (São Paulo, Brazil) (Cruvinel et al., 2020). CM were used between day 30 and day 40 of differen-
tiation. Cell differentiation was determined by staining for card-
 diac specific troponin T protein and quantification by flow cytometry and found to be 86 ± 6.2%.

2.2. Total RNA isolation

NP were cultured in 6-well plates for 7 days, harvested and imme-
diately frozen at –80 °C until further processing. Total RNA isolation was performed using ReliaPrep™ RNA Tissue Miniprep System (Prom-
ega Corporation) according to manufacturer’s instructions. For car-
diomyocytes, total RNA was isolated using TRIzol™ reagent, according to manufacturer’s recommendations (Thermo Fisher Scientific). RNA concentration and quality were quantified on a NanoDrop™ 2000c Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific); and integrity and purity were evaluated by 1.8% agarose gel electrophoresis using a photo-
documentation device equipped with a UV lamp (L-Pix, Locuss Bio-
tecnologia). Then, samples were digested with DNase I, Amplifica-
tion Grade, following the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific). 2 μg of RNA from DNase-treated samples were reverse transcribed using M–MLV for complementary DNA generation (cDNA) (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

2.3. Quantitative reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction (qRT-
PCR)

For gene expression analysis of NP and cardiomyocytes, qRT-PCR
reactions were conducted in three replicates with a final reaction volume of 10 μl in MicroAmp Fast Optical 96 Well Reaction Plates (Thermo Fisher Scientific) containing 1X GoTaq qPCR Master Mix (Promega Corporation), 300 nM CxR Reference Dye, a final concentration of 200 nM of each SYBR green designed primers (Angiotensin I Converting Enzyme 2 (ACE2; forward: 5′-CGAAGCAGACAGTGGTTCTA-3′; reverse: 5′-GGCGAACGTGAGCTGTCC-3′) thermo Fisher Scientific) and 10 ng of cDNA per reaction. Appropriate controls (no reverse transcriptase and template-negative controls) were incorporated into each run. Briefly, the reactions were performed on a StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System thermocycler (Applied Biosystems). Thermal cycling program comprised of a denaturing step at 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycling stages at 95 °C for 15 sec, 57 °C for 15 sec, 72 °C for 15 sec and melt curve stage 95 °C, 15 sec; 60 °C, 1 min; 95 °C, 15 sec. The relative expression of the genes of interest was normalized by human reference genes: Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate Dehydrogenase (GAPDH; forward: 5′-GCCCTCAAGACACCTGG-3′; reverse: 5′-CCACACCCCTGTGTGAG-3′) and Hypoxantheme Phosphoribosyltransferase 1 (HPRT-1; forward: 5′-CGCTGATTGATGATGATGAACC-3′; reverse: 5′-AGAGGCCTACAATGTGATGCC-3′). qPCR data analysis was performed with the N0 method implemented in LinRegPCR v. 2020.0, which considers qPCR mean efficiencies estimated by the window-of-linearity method (Ramakers et al., 2003; Ruijter et al., 2009). Briefly, N0 values were calculated in LinRegPCR using default parameters. Then, the arithmetic mean of N0 values from gene of interest (GOI) was normalized by taking its ratio to the N0 geometric mean of the reference genes (REF: GAPDH and HRRT-1; N0GOI/N0REF).

2.4. SARS-CoV-2 propagation

SARS-CoV-2 obtained from a nasopharyngeal swab from a confirmed case in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (GenBank accession no. MT710714, PANGO lineage B.1 (Rambaut et al., 2020), clade 20C (Hadfield et al., 2018) was expanded in African green monkey kidney cells (Vero, subtype E6). Virus isolation was performed after a single passage in cell culture in a 150 cm² flask, previously infected with SARS-CoV-2 at multiplicity of infection (MOI) 0.01. All procedures related to virus culture were handled in a biosafety level 3 (BSL3) facility, according to WHO guidelines. Virus stocks were kept at −80 °C.

2.5. Cell infection

NP cultivated for 7 days were infected with SARS-CoV-2 at MOI 0.1 and 0.01. Following 1 h incubation, the inoculum was partially replaced by fresh medium and NP were cultivated for additional 2 and 5 days, with orbital shaking at 90 rpm in standard conditions (5% CO2 and 37 °C). The medium was not completely replaced to avoid excessive stress as explained above. NP exposed to uninfected Vero’s culture medium were used as controls of infection (Mock). NP (~50 to 200) were used per experimental group for each analysis. Culture supernatants were collected at 2- and 5-days post-infection for virus titration by plaque forming units (PFU) assay and/or real time qRT-PCR. The assay was performed in a single experiment, consisting of three cell lines generated from 3 independent donors.

In lieu of the formerly described method, seven-days-old NP were separately (one per well) infected for 1 h or 24 h with SARS-CoV-2 at different MOIs (0.1, 1, and 10). After incubation, the inoculum was partially replaced by fresh medium, for the reasons stated above, and NP were cultured in standard conditions (5% CO2 and 37 °C). Supernatants and NP were collected at 24 h, 48 h and 72 h post-infection. NP exposed to uninfected Vero’s culture medium were used as controls of infection (Mock). 5 to 24 NP were distributed by each experimental group.

Cardiomyocytes were infected with SARS-CoV-2 at MOI 0.1 for 1 h. Next, the inoculum was replaced by fresh medium and cultured in standard conditions for 48–72 h. After that, the monolayer was fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) solution.

2.6. Immunofluorescence staining

NP were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde solution (Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 h, followed by cryopreservation with 30% sucrose solution overnight. Then, samples were embedded in O.C.T. compound (Sakura Finetek, Netherland) and frozen at −80 °C. The O.C.T. blocks were sectioned in 20 μm slices with a Leica CM1860 cryostat. After washing with PBS, sections were incubated in permeabilization/blocking solution (0.3% Triton X-100/3% goat serum) for 2 h. The primary antibody was incubated overnight at 4 °C (anti-double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) monoclonal antibody (1:200, Scicens)). Then, sections were incubated with secondary antibody goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488; 1:400, A-11001 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 2 h. Nuclei were counterstained with 0.5 μg/mL 40–6-diamino- 2-phenylindole (DAPI) for 10 min and the slides were mounted with Aqua-Poly/Mount (Polysciences).

After fixation, cardiomyocytes were washed with PBS and then incubated with permeabilization/blocking solution (0.3% Triton X-100/3% bovine serum albumin) for 1 h. Then, cells were incubated with primary antibody anti-dsRNA (1:200) overnight at 4 °C. On the next day, cells were incubated with the secondary antibody goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 (1:400) for 1 h. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI for 5 min and mounted with 50% PBS-Glycerol.

Images of NP and cardiomyocytes were acquired on a Leica TCS-SP8 confocal microscope with the 63x and 20x objective, respectively. Then, images were analyzed and quantified with Image J software.

2.7. Flow cytometry

CM were plated on GelTrex™ (Thermo Scientific)-coated 6-well plates up to days 30–32 of differentiation. Then, cells were dissociated, fixed, with 1% paraformaldehyde solution and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton (Sigma Aldrich) and 0.1% Saponin (Sigma Aldrich). CM were stained with anti-TNN1T2 (1:2500, B-512960 - Thermo Scientific). The data of each batch of differentiation was acquired using a Canto BD Flow cytometer and analyzed using the FlowJo Commercial software considering 1%-2% of false-positive events. iPSC cells were used as negative control for the reaction for definitive CM. We used samples incubated only with secondary antibodies to draw the limits of positive events.

2.8. Identification and quantification of neural progenitor population

NP were fixed, cryopreserved, embedded in O.C.T. compound and frozen as described in the immunofluorescence staining section. After cryosectioning at 20 μm, NP were washed in PBS and incubated in permeabilization solution (0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS) for 20 min followed by incubation in blocking solution (3% bovine serum albumin/5% goat serum) for 2 h. The primary antibody was incubated overnight at 4 °C [human Pax6 polyclonal antibody (1:100, Thermo Fischer Scientific)]. Then, sections were incubated in blocking solution, as described previously; followed by secondary antibody goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488; 1:400, A-11008 - Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 2 h. Nuclei were counterstained with 0.5 μg/mL 40–6-diamino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) for 10 min and slides were mounted with Aqua-Poly/Mount (Polysciences).

Images of NP were acquired on a Leica TCS-SP8 confocal microscope with the 63x objective and analyzed with Image J software. PAX6 + cells were manually identified with the 63x objective, and the number of PAX6 + cells was counted. The number of PAX6 + cells per experiment was counted using Image J software. The results were reported as the mean ± standard deviation (SD).

2.9. Plate forming unit assay

For virus titration, monolayers of Vero E6 cells (2 × 10⁴ cell/well) in 96-well plates were infected with serial dilutions of supernatants containing SARS-CoV-2 for 1 h at 37 °C. Semi-solid high glucose DMEM
medium containing 2% FBS and 2.4% carboxymethylcellulose was added and cultures were incubated for 3 days at 37 °C. Then, the cells were fixed with 10% formalin for 2 h at room temperature. The cell monolayer was stained with 0.04% solution of crystal violet in 20% ethanol for 1 h. Plaque numbers were scored in at least 3 replicates per dilution by independent readers blinded to the experimental group and the virus titers were determined by plaque-forming units (PFU) per milliliter.

2.10. Molecular detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA

The total RNA from NP’s supernatant was extracted using QIAamp Viral RNA (Qiagen), according to manufacturer’s instructions. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed using QuantiTect Probe RT-PCR Kit (Quiagen®) in a StepOne™ Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Amplifications were carried out in 25 μl reaction mixtures containing 2X reaction mix buffer, 50 μM of each primer, 10 μM of probe, and 5 μl of RNA template. Primers, probes, and cycling conditions recommended by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) protocol were used to detect the SARS-CoV-2. Alternatively, genomic (ORF1p) and subgenomic (ORF1sgRNA) were detected, as previously described (Wölfel et al., 2020). In summary, for detection of gRNA, the following primers and probe targeting for sequences downstream of the start codons of the gene E were used (E_Sarbeco_F; ACACGTAGTTAATAGTTAATGCGT / E_Sarbeco_R; ATATGGCACGATGCCAGCA / E_Sarbeco_R; FAM-ACACCTGGACCCACCTACGCGCTGG-BBQ); and for sgRNA detection, a leader-specific forward primer (sgLeadSARSCoV2-F; CGATCTCCTG-TAGATCTGTTCTC) was used with the reverse primer and probe for gene E above mentioned, and described in (Corman et al., 2020; Wölfel et al., 2020).

2.11. Western blot analysis

Two days post-infection (d.p.i), 100 μl of sample buffer without bromophenol blue (62.5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, containing 10% glycerol, 2% SDS and 5% 2-mercaptoethanol) was added to the NP and then, samples were frozen at −80 °C. Next, samples were gently broken down with a disposable pestle (BAF 19923001- Sigma, Bel-Art) and cell extracts were boiled at 95 °C for 10 min and centrifuged at 4 °C 16,000 × g for 15 min to remove insoluble material. Protein content was estimated using the Bio-Rad Protein Assay (#5000006, Biorad). After addition of bromophenol blue (0.02%), extract samples (40 μg/lane for NP and 15 μg/lane for Vero cells) were separated by electrophoresis on a 10% SDS polyacrylamide gel and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes. Membranes were blocked in 5% non-fat milk in Tris-glycine (0.089 M) and PBS (pH 7.6). The blots were incubated for 2 h at room temperature. Membranes were then incubated overnight at 4 °C in the presence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 SP (1:2000, #GTX632604 - GeneTex) and anti-actin (1:2000, MAB1501, Millipore) diluted in TBS-T with 5% non-fat milk. Then, membranes were incubated with peroxidase-conjugated antibody goat anti-Mouse IgG (H + L), HRP-conjugate (1:10,000, G21040 - Molecular Probes). The signals were developed using ECL Prime Western Blotting System (#GERP22232, Sigma) for five minutes and chemiluminescence was detected with an Odyssey-FC System® (Imaging System - LI-COR Biosciences). Membranes were also stripped for re-staining by incubating for three cycles of 10 min in stripping buffer (pH 2.2, 200 mM glycine, SDS 0.1%, and 1% Tween-20), the buffer was discarded, then the membranes were washed for 5 min with PBS (three times) and 5 min with 0.1% TBS-T (three times). Next, the membranes were blocked again and processed for immunolabeling as described above.

2.12. Cytokine multiplex assay and LDH cytotoxicity assay

A multiplex biometric immunoassay containing fluorescent dyed microbeads was used to measure cytokines in the cell culture supernatant (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). The following cytokines were quantified: IL-1β, IL-1RA, IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-7, IL-8, IL-9, IL-10, IL-12(p70), IL-13, IL-17, basic FGF, Eotaxin, G-CSF, GM-CSF, IP-10, MCP-1, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, PDGF-BB, RANTES, TNF-α, and VEGF; and cytokine levels were calculated by Lumixen technology (Bio-Plex Workstation; Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA). The analysis of data was performed using software provided by the manufacturer (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA). A range of 0.51–8000 pg/mL recombinant cytokines was used to establish standard curves and the sensitivity of the assay. Cytotoxicity was determined according to the activity of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) in the culture supernatants using a CytoTox™ Kit (Promega, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and presented as OD (optical density) of infected cells minus OD of mock. Statistics were performed using GraphPad Prism software version 8. Numerical variables from NP experiments were tested regarding their distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk test. For those following a normal (parametric) distribution, One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Dunnet’s post-hoc test was used to compare differences among groups; and for nonparametric data, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s post-hoc test was used to compare differences.

2.13. SARS-CoV-2 strains sequence analyses

Nucleotide sequences from our strain (GenBank accession No. MT710714), from strains used in others works (Song et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020), and from SARS-CoV-2 reference genome (Wuhan-Hu-1, GenBank accession No. NC_045512.2) were retrieved from NCBI database and from Multiple Sequence Alignment (MSA). Visualization of SARS-CoV-2 sequences was performed using ClustalW (Thompson et al., 1994) implemented in MEGA X program- version 10.1.8 (Kumar et al., 2018). Maximum Likelihood phylogenetic analyses were conducted using the JTT matrix-based model (Jones et al., 1992) with confidence assessed by bootstrap with 1000 replicates. For identification of amino acid mutations, the coding data was translated assuming a Standard genetic code and compared to the SARS-CoV-2 reference genome Wuhan-Hu-1.

3. Results

3.1. SARS-CoV-2 replication in human neural cells is non-permissive

Histopathological analyses of several tissues from an infant deceased with COVID-19 fully described in a case report from our group (Gomes et al., 2020), showed viral presence by immunofluorescence (IF) with anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike protein (SP) in the choroid plexus (ChP), lateral ventricle (LV) lining, and in some locations of the frontal cerebral cortex (S1 Fig). SARS-CoV-2 infection was confirmed by quantitative Reverse Transcription–Polymerase Chain Reaction (qRT-PCR) (S1 Table). The heart and the lungs, which have been previously demonstrated to be permissive to SARS-CoV-2 infection (Gneechi et al., 2020; Pérez-Bermejo et al., 2020; Schaller et al., 2020; Tabary et al., 2020), also showed presence of the virus (S1 Table and (Gomes et al., 2020)). We sought to verify whether the expression levels of the ACE2 receptor, which could be retrieved from Protein Atlas and Allen Brain Atlas databases (Hawrylycz et al., 2012; Uhlen et al., 2015) could correlate to the differences in viral infection in different brain areas. These databases contain RNAseq levels from donors between 0 and 96 years-old (Protein Atlas) and 24 and 57 years-old (Allen Brain Atlas). For comparison, we also looked at the expression levels in the heart and lungs, which are known to be infected by SARS-CoV-2. We found that ChP had higher ACE2 levels than other brain regions assessed (Fig. 1) and the heart and lungs expressed higher levels of ACE2 in comparison with most neural tissues (Fig. 1A).

NP have been previously used to investigate ZIKV infection and in studies to address drug neurotoxicity and neural activity (Garcez et al.,
NP are obtained from neural stem cells (NSCs) cultured in suspension to form cellular aggregates in the presence of neural differentiation medium. This process triggers the differentiation of NSCs into neural cell types including neurons and astrocytes. Neuronal, astrocytic and neural progenitor proteins have been identified in a proteomic analysis of neurospheres recently published by our group (Goto-Silva et al., 2021). Here, a characterization of NP shows immunostaining for neurons (MAP-2), astrocytes (s100b) and neural progenitors (Nestin) (Fig. 1B-D). Human iPS cells-derived CM express the ACE2 receptor and were used as an in vitro model for cardiac SARS-CoV-2 infection (Marchiano et al., 2020; Pérez-Bermejo et al., 2020). The CM presently
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**Fig. 1. ACE2 expression in adult human tissues and iPS-derived cells.** (A) ACE2 expression in adult human tissues based on Protein Atlas and Allen Brain Atlas databases. Consensus normalized expression (NX) and z-score are used to represent the relative abundances within the respective databases (Citations in the main text). NA = not analyzed in the database. Characterization of NP and CM. NP were cultivated for 7 days and CM were differentiated for 35 days and characterized by IF (B-D and F) and flow cytometry (E). NP were immunostained for (B) Nestin (neural progenitors), (C) S100b, (astrocytes); and (D) MAP-2 (neurons). (E) Representative flow cytometry graph showing a quantification of 83.2% TNNT2+ cells from one of the cell batches used in this study; (F) CM immunostained for cardiac troponin T (TNNT2). Scale bars: (B-D) 40 µm and (F) 250 µm. (G) Relative mRNA expression levels of ACE2 in iPSC-derived cultures; NP cultivated for 7 days and CM differentiated for 35 days. ($n = 3$ cell culture batches for both), normalized to reference genes, GAPDH and HPRT-1. The data correspond to 3 independent cell lines for NP.
were counterstained with DAPI (blue). ZIKV-infected NP (MOI 0.5 for 2 h, 3 d.p.i) and SARS-CoV-2-infected CM (MOI 0.1 for 1 h, 2 d.p.i) were used as positive immunoreactive for dsRNA and CS (arrows, Fig. 2 A and S3B Fig, dsRNA ( Fig. 2 A and inset), and CM infected with SARS-CoV-2 were differentiated neural cells. In contrast, NP infected with Zika virus (ZIKV) were immunoreactive for SARS-CoV-2 infection has been associated with cytokine storm as a poor prognosis of disease progression (Tay et al., 2020). Misbalance of cytokine levels in the CNS microenvironment is associated with several pathologies (Guzman-Martinez et al., 2019; Lima et al., 2019; Mutso et al., 2020; Sochocka et al., 2017), hence the need to uncover the brain

**Fig. 2. SARS-CoV-2 replication in NP is non-productive.** (A) IF staining for SARS-CoV-2, with anti-dsRNA (green), in cryosections of 5 d.p.i. NP (MOI 0.1). Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). ZIKV-infected NP (MOI 0.5 for 2 h, 3 d.p.i) and SARS-CoV-2-infected CM (MOI 0.1 for 1 h, 2 d.p.i) were used as positive controls for dsRNA labeling and SARS-CoV-2 infectivity, respectively. Scale bar: 50 µm (B) Detection of SARS-CoV-2 SP by WB. Protein extracts from Vero cells (MOI 0.1 for 24 h) were used as positive control. Gel loading was assessed by beta-actin staining. (C) Real-time qRT-PCR of genomic and subgenomic RNA levels of SARS-CoV-2 in the supernatants of NP 5 d.p.i. SARS-CoV-2-infected Vero cells were used for comparison. (D) Plaque forming units (PFU) assay showed no infective viral progenies at 2 or 5 d.p.i in the supernatant of NP (MOI 0.1) (Fig. 2D), whereas for CM at 2 d.p.i (MOI 0.1) there was on average 2.8 × 10⁶ PFU/mL (data not shown). The analysis of the virus inoculum in NP at day 0 contained on average 3 × 10⁶ PFU/mL, confirming that they were exposed to infectious virus particles (Fig. 2D). The aforementioned detection of viral genomic RNA contrasts with the absence of infectious virus progeny and may indicate that neural cells were a dead-end for SARS-CoV-2 infection, or that the viral RNA detected in the supernatant was a residual of the inoculum.

3.2. SARS-CoV-2 infection of neural cells triggers an increase in pro-inflammatory cytokines

SARS-CoV-2 infection was used mostly TNNT2 positive (86 ± 6.2% positive cells at 30–32 days of differentiation) (Fig. 1E and F).

Then we checked whether human NP and CM would mirror ACE2 levels of brain and, consequently, serve the purpose of modeling SARS-CoV-2 infection. ACE2 expression in NP was, on average, almost one order of magnitude lower than in CM. (Fig. 1G). This shows that indeed NP reproduce the differences in tissue expression of ACE2 and validate the use of NP as a neural model of SARS-CoV-2 infection in vitro. Interestingly, NSGs express much less ACE2 and CD147, whereas AXL is unchanged, when compared to NP (S2B Fig), the former two well-established SARS-CoV-2 receptors. These differences suggest that neurons may be less susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection than differentiated neural cells.

Having established the viability of these models, we then exposed NP and CM to the new coronavirus. SARS-CoV-2-infection in NP was addressed using multiplicity of infection (MOI) 0.01 and 0.1 and a 1 h exposure to viral particles, all conditions known to infect other virus-permissive cells, such as CM, Vero and Calu-3 cells (Han et al., 2020; Lamers et al., 2020; Marchiano et al., 2020; Pérez-Bermejo et al., 2020). Like other previous works, at first we evaluated SARS-CoV-2 infection using immunodetection of viral macromolecules (Dobrindt et al., 2021; Jacob et al., 2020b; Song et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2020). At five days post infection (d.p.i.), SARS-CoV-2 could not be detected by immunostaining for double-stranded (ds)RNA (Fig. 2A). Additionally, infected NP did not stain with anti-SARS-CoV-2 convalescent sera (CS) (S3A Fig). In contrast, NP infected with Zika virus (ZIKV) were immunoreactive for dsRNA (Fig. 2A and inset), and CM infected with SARS-CoV-2 were immunoreactive for dsRNA and CS (arrows, Fig. 2A and S3B Fig, correspondingly). The absence of immunostaining for SARS-CoV-2 was surprising because at 5 dpi it was expected that the virus would have replicated. At an earlier time point, 2 dpi, we also failed to detect viral proteins, using both anti-SARS-CoV-2 SP (Fig. 2B) and anti-SARS-CoV-2 CS (S3C Fig) with Western blotting (WB). On the other hand, infected Vero cells showed prominent bands corresponding to the molecular weights of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and nucleoprotein, as detected by anti-SARS-CoV-2 SP (Fig. 2B) and anti-SARS-CoV-2 CS (S3C Fig), respectively.

Despite the absence of SARS-CoV-2 detection, the supernatant of NP harvested 5 d.p.i. showed approximately 10⁴ and 10⁵ copies of genomic and subgenomic viral RNA, respectively, which were at least 4 orders of magnitude lower than the observed in infected Vero cells (Fig. 2C). The detection of sgRNA indicates that there had been cell entry and initiation of negative-strand synthesis of the viral genome (Wölfl et al., 2020). The plaque forming unit (PFU) assay showed no infective viral progenies at 2 or 5 d.p.i in the supernatant of NP (MOI 0.1) (Fig. 2D), whereas for CM at 2 d.p.i (MOI 0.1) there was an average 2.8 × 10⁶ PFU/mL (data not shown). The analysis of the virus inoculum in NP at day 0 contained on average 3 × 10⁶ PFU/mL, confirming that they were exposed to infectious virus particles (Fig. 2D). The aforementioned detection of viral genomic RNA contrasts with the absence of infectious virus progeny and may indicate that neural cells were a dead-end for SARS-CoV-2 infection, or that the viral RNA detected in the supernatant was a residual of the inoculum.

3.2. SARS-CoV-2 infection of neural cells triggers an increase in pro-inflammatory cytokines
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**Fig. 2. SARS-CoV-2 replication in NP is non-productive.** (A) IF staining for SARS-CoV-2, with anti-dsRNA (green), in cryosections of 5 d.p.i. NP (MOI 0.1). Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). ZIKV-infected NP (MOI 0.5 for 2 h, 3 d.p.i) and SARS-CoV-2-infected CM (MOI 0.1 for 1 h, 2 d.p.i) were used as positive controls for dsRNA labeling and SARS-CoV-2 infectivity, respectively. Scale bar: 50 µm (B) Detection of SARS-CoV-2 SP by WB. Protein extracts from Vero cells (MOI 0.1 for 24 h) were used as positive control. Gel loading was assessed by beta-actin staining. (C) Real-time qRT-PCR of genomic and subgenomic RNA levels of SARS-CoV-2 in the supernatants of NP 5 d.p.i. SARS-CoV-2-infected Vero cells were used for comparison. (D) Plaque forming units’ assay from the supernatants of the NP at 2 and 5 d.p.i (MOI 0.1). Data was plotted as average plus standard error. (E) Multiplex luminex assay for IL-7 and TNF-α from the supernatant of NP collected 5 d.p.i. (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01). Collected data corresponds to an exposure of NP from 3 different cell lines (Table 1) to SARS-CoV-2 (PANGO lineage B.1, Nextstrain clade 20C) in one experimental infection. Each donor data point corresponds to the average of a duplicate technical measurement and is connected within the different experimental groups (cell lines). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
inflammation associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection. To investigate if SARS-CoV-2 generates a direct inflammatory response in neural tissues, the supernatant of infected NP was collected at 5 d.p.i. and analyzed by Multiplex Luminex assay to measure over 20 cytokines and chemokines (Fig. 2E and S4 Fig). The levels of IL-7 and TNF-α in the infected NP were higher compared with mock condition (Fig. 2E). The levels of other cytokines and chemokines were not affected by SARS-CoV-2 infection in these conditions (S4 Fig).

3.3. Prolonged exposure to higher inocula of SARS-CoV-2 does not elicit permissive infection of NP

The exposure time of NP to the virus inoculum and the low MOIs are sufficient to detect the virus in permissive cells, including Vero and CM. The same conditions, in contrast, did not prompt the detection of viral particles by neither IF nor WB in NP nor even infective particles in the culture supernatant. Arguably, this evidence of non-permissiveness could be explained by insufficient exposure time of the cells during viral inoculation. A previous report showed infection of NP after 24 h inoculation time at higher MOIs (Zhang et al., 2020). Additionally, it is speculated that the initial amount of virus in the inoculum is crucial in determining the severity of COVID-19 symptoms (Imai et al., 2020). To clarify these questions, NP were then exposed to a longer infection time and to higher MOIs. We emulated the protocols for neurospheres generation and infection with SARS-CoV-2 described by others in order to better compare our results with previously published data (Zhang et al., 2020).

We compared the capacity of SARS-CoV-2 to generate infectious viral particles in NP using 1 h and 24 h incubation times and MOIs of 0.1, 1 and 10. Despite longer exposure and higher viral titers, these conditions also did not support the production of novel infective particles, as measured by plaque forming units assay of the respective supernatants (Fig. 3A). However, SARS-CoV-2 SP was detectable by IF in NP incubated for 24 h with the virus and analyzed 24 h post infection (Fig. 3B). On the other hand, after 48 h and 72 h of the same 24 h-infection, the NP had no detectable SP immunostaining, suggesting that viral proteins are only present transiently and then cleared (Fig. 3B and S3D Fig). Remarkably, at a time point in which no virus could be detected in NP, LDH measurements of culture supernatants revealed increased cytotoxicity at MOI 1 and 10, irrespective of incubation time with the virus inoculum (Fig. 3C). These data indicate that exposure of NP to SARS-CoV-2 at high MOIs is neurotoxic (Fig. 3). Also, the incubation time with the inoculum does not seem to affect the outcome of infection, since prolonged exposure time did not increase the production of viral particles or augment the extent of cell damage.

Neural stem cells in the brain have an important role in multipotency and self-renewal. In a study done on ocular donor tissues and iPScell-derived eye organoid model, it was observed that the limbus, an epithelial structure (Fig. 1 A). Our protocol for differentiating NP generates cortical brain-like tissue (Fig. 1B-D) (Garcéz et al., 2017; Goto-Silva et al., 2021), which recapitulates the low expression levels of ACE2 mRNA observed in the human cortical tissue (Fig. 1G). ACE2 levels are increased in patients with comorbidities, suggesting a relationship between ACE2 expression and susceptibility to severe COVID-19 (Pinto et al., 2020). Strategies to regulate the expression of ACE2 have been proposed as therapies to fight viral infection (Annweiler et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020). Also, it remains unaddressed if neuropsychiatric conditions and neurodegenerative diseases impact the expression of ACE2 in the brain (Rocha et al., 2018) and how they could interfere with the susceptibility of CNS infection. Indeed, there is an increased risk for mental illness in COVID-19 patients, especially those who had previously psychiatric episodes (Mazza et al., 2020). Moreover, there is a raised concern that in utero infection might cause future neurodevelopmental disorders in the offspring (López-Díaz et al., 2021). In this sense, the current work contributes to the understanding of brain developmental consequences of SARS-CoV-2 by demonstrating that progenitors express relatively lower levels of ACE2 and CD147 and are not damaged by exposure to low MOIs, most likely to occur during a COVID pregnancy. In addition, human neurospheres and brain organoids could be a model for in vitro
Fig. 3. Prolonged exposure to higher inocula of SARS-CoV-2 does not elicit permissive infection of NP but higher MOIs are cytotoxic. NP were inoculated with SARS-CoV-2 for 1 h or 24 h at indicated MOIs. (A) At 72 h post-infection, culture supernatants were harvested to quantify infectious virus titers in Vero cells by plaque forming units' assay. (B) NP inoculated for 24 h were immunostained with anti-SARS-CoV-2 SP (green) and counterstained with DAPI (blue) at 24 h and 48 h post-infection. Scale bars: 40 µm. (C) Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH) levels were measured by colorimetric assay in NP exposed to viral particles for 1 h or 24 h and analyzed at 24 h, 48 h and 72 h post infection. Collected data correspond to the infection of 5 NP from 1 cell line, produced in house from urine epithelial cells, in one experimental infection. Each data point corresponds to measurements from single NP from one experimental infection. (D) Analysis of neural progenitor (PAX6⁺) population 5 d.p.i. at M.O.I. 0.01 and 0.1 from 2 cell lines (C1 and C19), one experimental infection. Cryosections of NP were immunostained for PAX6 and the fraction of PAX6⁺ cells was quantified. Each data point represents 1 NP, and a total of 20 NP from 2 different cell lines were analyzed per group. **** p < 0.0001. (E) Representative IF staining for neural progenitors (PAX6⁺, green), in cryosections of NP (MOI 0.01 and 0.1, 5 d.p.i.). Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar: 50 µm. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
observed between the studies. Finally, the T265I nsp2 mutation could not be excluded as responsible for the differences in viral replication in permissive cells such as Vero (Fig. 2C), however, this was not associated with increased binding of neutralizing antibodies (Weissman et al., 2020). This has been reviewed by Giani and Chen (2021). However, it remains possible that the viral variant and host cell genetics are determinant to permissiveness, i.e., presence of viral progeny, of SARS-CoV-2 infection in the brain.

The genetic comparison between our strain and the strains of other works showed little variations (Table 2) that, nevertheless, caused potentially significant alterations in the amino acid sequence of viral proteins (Table 3). Indeed, most of these variations might reflect the genetic diversity of the SARS-CoV-2 since the original outbreak (Phan, 2020). The strain used in the current work has a D614G mutation that renders the virus highly infectious by increasing ACE2 interaction (Korber et al., 2020). This D614G spike mutation has also been demonstrated to be sites of infection (Bradley et al., 2020; Mallaapaty, 2020; Remmelink et al., 2020; Tabary et al., 2020), produce infectious viral progeny in vivo with MOIs ranging from 0.01 to 1 (Han et al., 2020; Lamers et al., 2020). Therefore, it seems unlikely that even in severe neuro-COVID cases such as the one cited here, neural cells would be exposed to quantities equal to or more than one plaque forming virus per cell. Our observation that ChP is infected to a much greater extent than other regions of the brain, together with the lack of infectious virus particles in vitro with MOI < 1, argue against the clinical relevance of using high titers of SARS-CoV-2 for modeling the infection in vitro. A study using viral titers of MOI 0.5 showed that SARS-CoV-2 exclusively infects ChP cells in brain organoids, but no other cell types. In the same report, even at higher viral titers (MOI 10), infection of neurons was minor (Pellegrini et al., 2020). Our observation that SARS-CoV-2 infects the ChP without significantly spreading to other brain areas (S1 Fig) and the absence of a productive infection in human NP with SARS-CoV-2 at MOI of 0.1, 1 and 10 even at increased inoculation time (Fig. 3), corroborate these findings.

Despite non-permissiveness to SARS-CoV-2 infection of the brain parenchyma, the data presented here also points to direct pro-inflammatory and cytotoxic response of this tissue. This direct effect is probably related to the number of viral particles able to first get in contact with the CNS. It was observed in NP an increase in IL-7 and TNFα levels following infection with low MOIs, but not of other inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6. IL-7 has antiviral activity (Pellegrini et al., 2011) and neurotrophic effects in neurons (Araujo and Cotman, 1993; Michaelson et al., p. 7, 1996) and its increase at MOIs 0.01 and 0.1 may correlate with a protective neuroimmune response dependent on virus titer amount. TNFα is secreted by astrocytes and microglia in response to injury, including viral infection, inducing neuronal damage (Figueiredo et al., 2019). It also regulates the permeability of the blood–brain barrier and neuronal plasticity (McCoy and Tansey, 2008; Probert, 2015). Both cytokines are increased in ICU-committed COVID-19 patients when compared to non-ICU patients, suggesting they are indeed correlated with disease severity (Huang et al., 2020). Certainly, these results are interesting with respect to a direct inflammatory response from neural cells that had been in contact with SARS-CoV-2 and deserve further investigation in neural tissue culture models that present well stabilized immune response. A pro-inflammatory response in NP was suggested as a consequence of the upregulation of innate immune response protein TLR4 by ZIKA infection (Garcez et al., 2017). However, the immune response in NP still needs to be further comprehended in terms of how it parallels developing and mature human brains’ responses. Others have observed enrolment of pro-inflammatory pathways

### Table 2

| Virus Strain     | NC_045512.2 Wuhan-01 | MT710714.1 This study | MT230904.1 Zhang et al | MT020880.1 Song et al | MT246667.1 Song et al |
|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| T265I            | 0.06                 | 0.09                 | 0.04                  | 0.00                 | 0.00                 |
| S3884L           |                      |                      |                       |                      |                      |
| D614G            |                      |                      |                       |                      |                      |
| C207R            |                      |                      |                       |                      |                      |
| R183P            |                      |                      |                       |                      |                      |

The values correspond to the divergence in sequences of SARS-CoV-2 when comparing the strain in rows with the strain in columns. Sequences were compared with maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis as described in the Material and Methods section.

### Table 3

| Virus Strain     | NSP2 | NSP7 | NSP14 | SPIKE (RBD) | SPIKE | M | ORF8 |
|------------------|------|------|-------|-------------|-------|---|------|
| MT710714.1 - This study | T265I | S3884L |       | V367F       | D614G |   |      |
| MT230904.1 - Zhang et al  |      |      |       |             |       | C207R |      |
| MT020880.1 - Song et al    | S5932F |       |       |             |       | R183P |      |
| MT246667.1 - Song et al    | S5932F |       |       |             |       |      |      |
| MN985325.1 - Song et al    | S5932F |       |       |             |       |      |      |

Each amino acid mutation was accessed through sequence pairing comparison to the SARS-CoV-2 reference genome (Wuhan-Hu-1).

The correlates between the divergence in sequences of SARS-CoV-2 when comparing the strain in rows with the strain in columns. Sequences were compared with maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis as described in the Material and Methods section.
using different iPScell-derived models in contact with the new coronavirus (Makovoz et al., 2020; Giani & Chen, 2021). Nevertheless, the fact that proinflammatory cytokines were upregulated, however immature or unknown the NP immune response is, certainly merits mention and more studies. It is expected that in the complex scenario of the reaction to viral infection, multiple cytokines are regulated promoting cell protection and also limiting infection by inducing cell death. Therefore, the extent of neural damage should correspond to a balance between these responses.

We hypothesize that virus particles reaching the brain parenchyma could trigger inflammatory response leading to tissue damage. Notably, neuronal symptoms are more frequent in severe patients with exacerbated inflammation, compared to mild or moderate ones, suggesting that an overall increase in the inflammatory response may set place for CNS damage (Mao et al., 2020). Low type I interferon response moting cell protection and also limiting infection by inducing cell death. Therefore, the extent of neural damage should correspond to a balance between these responses.
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