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Summary

The process of aging results in a host of changes at the cellular and molecular levels, which include senescence, telomere shortening, and changes in gene expression. Epigenetic patterns also change over the lifespan, suggesting that epigenetic changes may constitute an important component of the aging process. The epigenetic mark that has been most highly studied is DNA methylation, the presence of methyl groups at CpG dinucleotides. These dinucleotides are often located near gene promoters and associate with gene expression levels. Early studies indicated that global levels of DNA methylation increase over the first few years of life and then decrease beginning in late adulthood. Recently, with the advent of microarray and next-generation sequencing technologies, increases in variability of DNA methylation with age have been observed, and a number of site-specific patterns have been identified. It has also been shown that certain CpG sites are highly associated with age, to the extent that prediction models using a small number of these sites can accurately predict the chronological age of the donor. Together, these observations point to the existence of two phenomena that both contribute to age-related DNA methylation changes: epigenetic drift and the epigenetic clock. In this review, we focus on healthy human aging throughout the lifetime and discuss the dynamics of DNA methylation as well as how interactions between the genome, environment, and the epigenome influence aging rates. We also discuss the impact of determining ‘epigenetic age’ for human health and outline some important caveats to existing and future studies.
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Introduction

The biology of aging has been a consistent source of interest among the public and researchers alike. Main questions for exploration include what happens to result in the host of phenomena associated with age and what strategies can slow this progression. Beginning at birth, developmental programs result in age-related changes to gene expression, growth, and physiology. Over the entire life course, these changes can be seen in physical manifestations, especially in advanced age with its associated functional declines caused by the accumulation of cellular damage, paired with a diminished ability to repair this damage (Lopez-Otin et al., 2013).

One phenomenon associated with aging is a change in patterns of epigenetic modifications. Epigenetics is most commonly defined as modifications to DNA and DNA packaging that do not involve changes to the DNA sequence and that are potentially transmissible to daughter cells (Bird, 2007). Given its dynamic nature, epigenetics has been referred to as the interface between the genome and the environment (Feil & Fraga, 2012). Epigenetics includes modifications to histone proteins, noncoding RNAs, and DNA methylation; here, we focus on the latter as it is a more accessible mark for quantitative measurements and thus is more often used in human population studies. The most common form of DNA methylation involves the addition of a methyl group to the 5’ cytosine of C-G dinucleotides, referred to as CpGs. These nucleotide pairs are relatively sparse in the genome, and areas of comparatively high CpG density are referred to as CpG islands, identified as regions > 200 bp with > 50% G+C content and 0.6 observed/expected ratio of CpGs (Saxonov et al., 2006; Illingworth & Bird, 2009). These islands tend to be less methylated compared to nonisland CpGs and are often associated with gene promoters, while the regions immediately surrounding CpG islands are referred to as ‘shores’, followed by ‘shelves’. Approximately 60–70% of genes have a CpG island associated with their promoters, and promoters can be classified according to their CpG density (Saxonov et al., 2006; Weber et al., 2007). Levels of DNA methylation at a promoter-associated CpG island are generally negatively associated with gene expression, although some specific genes show the opposite effect (Weber et al., 2007; Lam et al., 2012; Gutierrez Arcelus et al., 2013). Interestingly, this negative correlation is not upheld when comparing expression and DNA methylation for a specific gene across individuals (van Eijk et al., 2012; Lam et al., 2012; Gutierrez Arcelus et al., 2013; Wagner et al., 2014). Conversely, DNA methylation in the gene body is often positively associated with levels of gene expression (Lister et al., 2009; Gutierrez Arcelus et al., 2013). DNA methylation also functions to repress repetitive elements, such as Alu and LINE-1, which are generally highly methylated in the human genome (Kochanek et al., 1993; Alves et al., 1996).

Just as patterns of gene expression differ across tissues, so do patterns of DNA methylation (Byun et al., 2009; Ziller et al., 2013). In fact, tissue of origin is the primary difference in DNA methylation profiles from different samples, regardless of whether they originate from the same or different individuals (Davies et al., 2012; Ziller et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2015). DNA methylation can affect transcription factor binding sites, insulator elements, and chromatin conformation, resulting in multiple levels of control of expression (reviewed in Jones, 2012). In addition to normal DNA methylation, variations and derivatives of the methyl mark on CpG dinucleotides have also been reported, including hydroxymethyl, formyl, and carboxyl groups, as well as methyl marks that occur at non-CpG sites. These variants are very rare and many of the technologies commonly used to assess DNA methylation do not distinguish between...
them, and so for the purposes of this review, all such modifications to DNA will be referred to as ‘DNA methylation’.

Recently, there has been an increase in the number of studies examining associations between DNA methylation in age across the lifespan and across tissues. In this review, we outline the dynamics of DNA methylation over the lifespan and define two distinct phenomena that collectively contribute to these changes. We focus only on healthy aging; for excellent reviews of the epigenetics of age-associated disease including cancer, please see Baylin & Jones (2011), Bergman & Cedar (2013), and Teschendorff et al. (2013).

DNA methylation dynamics during aging
Changes in DNA methylation occur throughout the lifetime, beginning at conception. Early studies assessing levels of DNA methylation both globally and at specific regions observed age-associated changes (Wilson et al., 1987; Drinkwater et al., 1989; Fuke et al., 2004; Kwabi-Addo et al., 2007). These studies examined DNA methylation through assessment of global methylcytosine/cytosine ratios by immune, colorimetric, and HPLC analyses, and occasionally by assaying DNA methylation at repetitive elements. Based on these early studies, it was hypothesized that DNA methylation was not accurately maintained over cell divisions, resulting in a gradual loss and increase in variability over the lifespan (Cooney, 1993). This phenomenon has been referred to as ‘epigenetic drift’ (Egger et al., 2004; Martin, 2005). More recent work has shown that both Alu and LINE-1 repetitive elements exhibit decreased DNA methylation levels and increased variability with age (Bollati et al., 2009; Talens et al., 2012). Furthermore, samples from the same individuals taken 8 years later showed that Alu elements lose methylation longitudinally, further verifying this pattern (Bollati et al., 2009). Together, these data demonstrate that gradual change in DNA methylation occurs with age, both generally across the genome and specifically at repetitive elements.

With the advent of microarray technology, it became possible to assess a large number of specific genomic sites for age-related changes in DNA methylation. Microarray studies confirmed a decrease in DNA methylation with age, while site-specific analysis indicated an increase in variability of DNA methylation with age. The latter was first noted in monozygotic twins, and subsequently in unrelated individuals (Fraga et al., 2005; Martin, 2005; Poulsen et al., 2007; Kaminsky et al., 2009; Martino et al., 2011). These studies also supported the idea that DNA methylation showed reduced stringency in maintenance over the lifespan, resulting in an increase in interindividual variability along with the overall decrease in DNA methylation. Hereafter, findings discussed here are quantitative and sequence- or array-based except where noted.

Recent studies have expanded our understanding of the dynamics of DNA methylation over the lifespan, identifying genomic locations where changes preferentially occur. In neonatal blood, DNA methylation levels are lower than that observed at most other points during the lifespan (Martino et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012). Interestingly, the age of the parents appears to affect DNA methylation in a subset of sites, perhaps relating to evidence that sperm DNA methylation is also associated with age (Adkins et al., 2011; Jenkins et al., 2013). After birth, average DNA methylation levels increase in blood throughout the first year of life (Martino et al., 2011; Herbstman et al., 2013). These changes occur preferentially at CpG island shores and shelves, enhancers, and promoters lacking CpG islands (McCay et al., 2014). In both blood and buccal epithelial cells, DNA methylation between monozygotic twins has been shown to become more variable in the first year of life (Martino et al., 2011, 2013). This phenomenon may indicate that the shared prenatal environment confers a high degree of epigenetic similarity between children, whereas subsequent variations in the postnatal environment result in epigenetic divergence after birth. After the first year, median global DNA methylation levels are relatively stable, with the exception of certain regions that frequently gain DNA methylation (Martino et al., 2011).

The first few years of life have been extensively studied; however, there are relatively few reports of changes in DNA methylation throughout later childhood and adolescence. Studies that examined this period of human development have reported that DNA methylation levels increase rapidly and then stabilize by adulthood in both brain and blood (Alisch et al., 2012; Lister et al., 2013). From adulthood to advanced age, overall levels of DNA methylation remain stable in blood, while interindividual variability increases over that time (Talens et al., 2012; Weidner et al., 2014). Postadulthood, many studies have found a mean decrease in blood DNA methylation with increasing age (Bjornsson et al., 2004; Boks et al., 2009; Heyn et al., 2012; Horvath et al., 2012; Hannum et al., 2013; Johansson et al., 2013; Florath et al., 2014; Weidner et al., 2014). These changes are less likely to occur in promoters and more likely to be observed in enhancers (Johansson et al., 2013). Regions that gain DNA methylation with age are enriched for CpG islands, while nonislands tend to lose DNA methylation with age (Rakyan et al., 2010; Heyn et al., 2012; Horvath et al., 2012; Florath et al., 2014; Weidner et al., 2014).

These findings demonstrate an interesting pattern—sites that show overall low DNA methylation, such as promoter-associated CpG islands, tend to increase methylation with age, while those with high DNA methylation such as intergenic nonisland CpGs tend to lose methylation with age. As most CpGs are located outside of CpG islands and are highly methylated, this translates to an overall loss of DNA methylation in later life as well as a tendency for DNA methylation levels to shift toward the mean with increased age (Saxonov et al., 2006; Weber et al., 2007; Illingworth & Bird, 2009; Hannum et al., 2013; Teschendorff et al., 2013; Weidner et al., 2014).

Despite a gain in DNA methylation in early life and gradual loss in later life across the genome, these changes are not symmetrical. They differ in two major ways: (i) the rate of change is much higher in early life than later life, and (ii) the genomic locations of the changes are quite different. In early life, DNA methylation is gained globally, but more at island shores and intergenic regions, while in later life, DNA methylation is lost globally, but still gained at islands and shores (Alisch et al., 2012; Gentilini et al., 2013; McClay et al., 2014).

Beyond the general trends of DNA methylation levels changing with age, more specific examples of age-related DNA methylation changes can be seen. Gain of DNA methylation during aging has been reported to be enriched at targets of Polycomb proteins, which generally show high levels of DNA methylation (Viré et al., 2006; Teschendorff et al., 2010; Heyn et al., 2012; Horvath et al., 2012). Beyond Polycomb targets, we can expect future research will decipher the chromatin neighborhoods associated with aging-related DNA methylation changes.

Although the patterns described above have been mostly observed in blood, they have been replicated in other tissues. Overall, most tissues fit with the pattern of increase in average DNA methylation early in life, with a gradual decrease later in life (Grönniger et al., 2010; Ong & Holbrook, 2014). For example, many studies have shown that brain regions follow this pattern, showing rapid changes in DNA methylation in the early life period and then slowing gradually over the lifespan (Horvath et al., 2012; Numata et al., 2012 Lister et al., 2013). Given that DNA methylation profiles are highly divergent in different tissues, comparing DNA methylation across tissues presents unique challenges.
In addition to general patterns of DNA methylation change with age, it has been repeatedly shown that DNA methylation levels at specific sites in the genome are so highly associated with age and that in some cases, they have been used to accurately predict chronological age (Bocklandt et al., 2011; Horvath et al., 2012; Hannum et al., 2013; Florath et al., 2014; Weidner et al., 2014). These sites underlie the concept of the ‘epigenetic clock’. While this term was originally coined to describe a multivariate age predictor, it is clear that the phenomenon of the epigenetic clock is also reflected in studies that reported highly age-associated CpGs. These epigenetic clock sites have been found both within a specific tissue and across tissues, and have been shown to be much more concordant across tissues than gene expression changes across tissues with age (Horvath et al., 2012; Hannum et al., 2013; Horvath, 2013; Florath et al., 2014; Weidner et al., 2014). These findings imply that the epigenetic clock is distinct from age-related epigenetic drift.

Epigenetic drift vs. the epigenetic clock: two phenomena underlying the relationship between DNA methylation and aging

Both epigenetic drift and the epigenetic clock contribute to age-related DNA methylation changes, but in fundamentally different ways. While both are related to age, epigenetic drift represents the tendency for increasing discordance between epigenomes over time. Conversely, the epigenetic clock refers to specific sites that are consistently related to age across individuals. In some studies, the terms epigenetic drift and the epigenetic clock have been used interchangeably, though the necessity to discriminate between them has been identified (Teschendorff et al., 2013). Here, we define epigenetic drift as the collection of DNA methylation changes that are associated with age across an individual but are not common across individuals. The epigenetic clock, on the other hand, represents those sites that are associated with age across individuals and can thus in some cases be used to predict chronological age (Fig. 1).

Epigenetic drift is now understood to comprise age-related changes in the epigenome that include those that are acquired environmentally as well as stochastically (Fraga et al., 2005; Fraga & Esteller, 2007; Kaminsky et al., 2009; Hannum et al., 2013; Teschendorff et al., 2013). Early indications of epigenetic drift were noted in cell culture studies, after the observation that clones of a single cell line became epigenetically divergent upon multiple passages (Humphreys et al., 2001). The concept was then used to describe the increase in discordance of DNA methylation between monozygotic twins as they age (Fraga et al., 2005; Fraga & Esteller, 2007; Poulsen et al., 2007). Since then, it has been shown that epigenetic drift does not necessarily occur at specific CpGs across individuals, as a site that undergoes a stochastic change in DNA methylation in one person is not likely to show the same relationship with age in another person (Fig. 1). Epigenetic drift can be observed generally in cross-sectional studies, as evidenced by increased discordance between epigenomes with advanced age (Heyn et al., 2012; Talens et al., 2012). However, in order to identify regions that are susceptible to epigenetic drift within an individual, longitudinal studies are required.

In contrast, epigenetic clock sites show a relationship between age and DNA methylation that is consistent between individuals (Horvath et al., 2012; Hannum et al., 2013). The hypothesis behind this phenomenon rests upon the idea that specific sites in the genome undergo changes in DNA methylation with age that are progressive and common across individuals and sometimes even tissues (Horvath, 2013).

Several recent studies have attempted to differentiate sites comprising the epigenetic clock from background epigenetic drift by determining which CpG sites that change with age are found across a population (Rakyan et al., 2010; Bocklandt et al., 2011; Bell et al., 2012; Horvath et al., 2012; Numata et al., 2012; Horvath, 2013; Florath et al., 2014; Weidner et al., 2014). Interestingly, although epigenetic age and chronological age are highly correlated across study population, there is significant interindividual variability (Horvath, 2013; Weidner et al., 2014). This indicates that some people’s epigenome is more concordant with their chronological age than others. When tracked in a large population, the distribution of DNA methylation levels suggests that these sites change rapidly with age until adulthood, at which point the rate of change slows considerably (Horvath, 2013; Lister et al., 2013). An important characteristic of the epigenetic clock is that it can be tissue specific, meaning that different sites may be better correlated with age in specific tissues, although pan-tissue epigenetic clocks have also been identified (Teschendorff et al., 2010; Horvath et al., 2012; Day et al., 2013). In one study, an analysis examining 20 different tissues using a multitissue-derived age predictor found that tissues differ in their apparent epigenetic ages, with some reflecting chronological age more
accurately than others (Horvath, 2013). It is likely, then, that there may exist specific sites within a tissue that more accurately predict chronological age for that tissue. Many factors of tissues or cell types may affect their apparent epigenetic aging rate, including differences in cell division rate, respiration rate and energy expenditure, or exposure to environmental factors. Interestingly, analysis of induced pluripotent stem cells shows that their predicted epigenetic age is significantly lower and very close to 0 compared to their source somatic tissues (Horvath, 2013).

**Concordance in epigenetic clock sites across studies**

A number of studies have published lists of epigenetic clock sites in blood (Bocklandt et al., 2011; Rakyan et al., 2011; Bell et al., 2012; Numata et al., 2012; Hannum et al., 2013; Horvath, 2013; Florath et al., 2014; Weidner et al., 2014). For the purposes of this review, we attempted to identify high-confidence candidates for epigenetic clock sites in blood based on the most highly replicated age-related sites across eight studies. These studies were all performed using either the Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation27 or HumanMethylation450 BeadChip (27k array and 450k array, respectively) and were all performed on peripheral blood. We found no sites that replicated in seven or more studies, and decided on a list of 14 sites that were identified in a minimum of four studies. To minimize potential confounding by interindividual differences in blood cell composition (see below for more detail), we filtered for sites that were found in the only study that compared age-associated sites to sites known to be associated with blood cell type (Weidner et al., 2014). This resulted in a final list of 11 CpGs, shown in Table 1. It is important to note that Study 5 identified pan-tissue epigenetic clock sites, so the sites not found in Study 5 may be blood specific (Horvath, 2013). Of the 11, eight show an increase in DNA methylation with age and are found in CpG islands, while the three that show a decrease in DNA methylation are found in island shores. This pattern mimics the general pattern where islands gain DNA methylation and nonislands lose DNA methylation with age. Despite obvious limitations, including the relatively low density of sites assessed and the low number of overlapping sites, these 11 sites are likely candidates for important biological associations with aging in blood. However, further work is required to identify replicable epigenetic clock sites.

**Causes of aging-associated changes in DNA methylation**

One of the main questions remaining in the study of DNA methylation dynamics and age, including both epigenetic drift and the epigenetic clock, is why these changes occur. A remarkable aspect of DNA methylation is that it can be modified by external factors, and in some cases, the resulting marks are heritable through cell divisions. This balance of responsiveness to stimuli and heritability results in a unique mechanism for lasting signatures of prior exposures that accumulate over the lifetime (Cortessis et al., 2012; Feil & Fraga, 2012). As an example of a specific exposure that has been shown to leave a lasting signature, cigarette smoke has been linked to changes in DNA methylation at the AHRR locus both in smokers and in children of smokers (Saxonov et al., 2006; Monick et al., 2012; Joubert et al., 2012; Shenker et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2013 Elliott et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2014; Shah et al., 2014). Smoking-associated DNA methylation changes have also been found in genes involved in inflammatory networks, important candidates in the risk of age-related diseases such as heart disease and stroke (Breitling et al., 2012; Dogan et al., 2014). Other environmental influences, such as abuse or adversities in childhood, have also been linked to stable DNA methylation differences that persist into adulthood (Meaney, 2010; Essex et al., 2011; Borghol et al., 2012; Lam et al., 2012; Klengel et al., 2013). The accumulation of these environmental exposures, either shared or unshared across individuals, would then contribute to epigenetic change with age.

In addition to the environmental signatures, DNA methylation changes with no obvious cause or pattern have been observed and ascribed to the reduced capability of faithfully maintaining epigenetic marks over cell divisions (Fraga et al., 2005; Martin, 2005). Changes in the functionality of epigenetic machinery in addition to exposure of the genome to environmental factors might therefore also contribute to increasing epigenetic diversity with age (Fraga & Esteller, 2007).

While evidence suggests that both environmental and stochastic factors are associated with epigenetic changes with age, it is not clear whether they contribute differently to epigenetic drift and the epigenetic clock. The hypothesized decrease in the stability of DNA methylation with age could occur randomly, resulting in epigenetic drift, or preferentially and regularly at certain sites, which would appear as

Table 1 Eleven CpG sites associated with age in blood in at least four of the eight studies examined

| Study | Distance to closest TSS | Closest TSS gene name | Chr | Relationship to island |
|-------|------------------------|----------------------|-----|------------------------|
| cg21801378 | ↑↑ ↑↑ ■ | CELF6 | 15 | Island |
| cg22736354 | ↑↑ ↑↑ ↑↑ ↑↑ ■ | NHLRC1 | 6 | Island |
| cg00059225 | ↑↑ ↑↑ ↑↑ ↑↑ ■ | GLRA1 | 5 | Island |
| cg01820374 | ↓↓ ↓↓ ↓↓ | LAG3 | 12 | N_Shore |
| cg06291867 | ↑↑ ↑↑ ↑↑ ↑↑ | HTR7 | 10 | Island |
| cg06493994 | ↑↑ ↑↑ ↑↑ ↑↑ | SCG1 | 6 | Island |
| cg09809672 | ↓↓ ↓↓ ↓↓ | EDARADD | 1 | N_Shore |
| cg17861230 | ↑↑ ↑↑ ↑↑ ↑↑ | PDE4C | 19 | Island |
| cg19722847 | ↓↓ ↓↓ ↓↓ | IPO8 | 12 | S_Shore |
| cg21296230 | ↑↑ ↑↑ ↑↑ ↑↑ | GREM1 | 15 | Island |
| cg27320127 | ↑↑ ^ ^ | KCNK12 | 2 | Island |

1: (Bell et al., 2012) 2: (Bocklandt et al., 2011) 3: (Florath et al., 2014) 4: (Hannum et al., 2013) 5: (Horvath, 2013) 6: (Numata et al., 2012) 7: (Weidner et al., 2014) 8: (Rakyan et al., 2011)

Sites can be positively (↑) or negatively (↓) correlated with age. Studies 3 and 8 did not indicate the direction of change, and sites found to be associated with age in those studies are indicated by a square (■).
What can we learn from DNA methylation and aging?

Both epigenetic drift and the epigenetic clock describe changes in DNA methylation with age, and both are almost certainly associated with age-related phenotypes. Epigenetic drift reflects the global decrease in stability and precision of DNA methylation with age. However, as it is a (epi)genome-wide phenomenon without consistent sites, its usefulness in terms of determining health outcomes may be limited, as longitudinal samples from the same individual would be required to track its progress. The epigenetic clock, on the other hand, has potential as a biomarker of aging as it may represent functional age-related epigenetic changes that are common across individuals. In fact, the epigenetic clock has already been used to predict the epigenetic age of tissue samples (Horvath, 2013). In any application of epigenetic age as a biomarker, the predicted epigenetic age when compared to chronological age across many individuals would generally show a linear relationship (Fig. 2A). However, some individuals would likely be in the ‘off-diagonal’ region of this comparison, with an epigenetic age that is higher (‘epigenetically old’) or lower (‘epigenetically young’) than their chronological age. This trend has already been observed using the age predictors described in many of the studies described in Table 1, one study in particular found that centenarians had an unusually young epigenetic age (Gentilini et al., 2013). An intriguing possibility is to predict health outcomes for those in the epigenetically old or epigenetically young groups relative to those who display concordant epigenetic and chronological ages, supported by a very recent study which associated accelerated epigenetic age with increased mortality (Marioni et al., 2015) (Fig. 2B). In this way, epigenetic age could be a more powerful predictor than chronological age for future health decline.

In addition to potential age-related biomarkers that could predict future health, an epigenetic signature of age based on the epigenetic clock could give insight into why some age-related phenotypes occur, thus opening areas for potential intervention and attenuation of the harmful physical manifestations of age. In a prospective study of healthy individuals, sites that gained DNA methylation with age were also found to have greater variability across women who developed cervical cancer compared to those women who developed cervical cancer within the following three years compared to those women who developed cervical cancer (Boks et al., 2014). However, the consistency of epigenetic clock sites across a wide variety of people implies a third effect that is suggestive of a functional or structural reason why specific sites are more likely to undergo change with age. Further studies are required to disentangle these potential contributors to epigenetic aging.

Considerations for studies of epigenetics and aging

The enormous interest in epigenetics as a reporter and potentially a mediator of aging is a sign for the perpetuation of studies on DNA methylation and age. Given the current status of the field, there are a number of considerations for studies looking to assess this relationship. The first are study design and technology. While both cross-sectional and longitudinal have advantages, the study design must be able to address the specific questions being asked about the DNA methylation and age. As epigenetic drift is distinct in each individual, longitudinal studies are necessary for explorations of epigenetic drift over age. These studies have the benefit of being able to control for differences between individuals; however, the costs and time investment can be prohibitive. In contrast, either longitudinal or cross-sectional studies are appropriate for studies assessing the epigenetic clock. In terms of technology, the Illumina 450K array is the current gold standard for DNA methylation.
arrays, but it has limitations in terms of genomic coverage at regions that may potentially be important to aging, such as of repetitive elements and long noncoding RNAs. As the study of DNA methylation and aging develops, improvements in technology will begin to give us a better understanding of the genomic locations where these changes occur. For studies of epigenetic drift or the epigenetic clock, the integration of environmental exposures and health outcomes will be a particular challenge, though highly pertinent as exposures such as sun exposure and stress have already been shown to affect DNA methylation levels at age-associated sites (Grönninger et al., 2010; Tapp et al., 2013; Boks et al., 2014 Noreen et al., 2014).

Cell composition is a major confounding factor for epigenetic studies, as cell lineage is a major determinant of DNA methylation. A recent study showed that patterns of DNA methylation associated with age can easily be confounded with signatures of specific white blood cell types, as the relative frequencies of white blood cells change over the lifespan (Weng et al., 2009; Jaffe & Irizarry, 2014). For example, if the frequency of T cells declines with age, then a site that is methylated in T cells may show declining methylation when comparing across ages, but this is due to the change in cell frequency rather than at the site itself. The authors of this study proposed that to be certain that specific sites are reliable, it is vital to correct for cell types across individuals, although some epigenetic clock sites have been shown to not associate with cellular composition (Talens et al., 2012; Horvath, 2013; Jaffe & Irizarry, 2014). While this example refers specifically to blood, the same consideration is important for analysis of any tissue sample containing more than one cell type (Guintivano et al., 2013; Montaño et al., 2013). In the future, studies of age and DNA methylation will need to either include cell counts, use reference-free cell admixture control, or, at the very least, check their age-related hits against regions known to differ across cell types in order for results to be reliable. It should be noted, however, that the correction methods will not identify age-related signatures that are present in a cell type that constitutes a small fraction of the tissue being studied, as these will still be overwhelmed by signals from more frequent cell types. In that case, simply separating the tissue of interest into its component cells and analyzing them individually will be necessary to identify such patterns. It is important to note that many of the studies discussed in this review have not been adjusted for differences in cellular composition with age. Therefore, tissue-specific epigenetic clock sites in some of these studies may not be accurate; however, the overall patterns of DNA methylation change with age have been validated in studies that did correct for cellular composition (Lam et al., 2012; Lister et al., 2013; Jaffe & Irizarry, 2014).

Another consideration is the effect of genetic variation on the relationship between epigenetics and age, about which very little is currently known. Genetic variation has been shown to contribute to longevity, evidenced by the fact that longevity runs in families (Schoenmaker et al., 2006). Clear relationships also exist between DNA methylation and genetic variability, and recent studies have identified genetic loci whose variation is strongly associated with DNA methylation at nearby sites (Fraser et al., 2012; Gamazon et al., 2013; Gutierrez Arcelus et al., 2013; Moen et al., 2013). Thus, it is possible that genetic variants associated with longevity may also be correlated with DNA methylation changes. At this point, however, it is not clear whether these would be limited to site-specific DNA methylation changes or whether genetic variants may affect the function of DNA methylation machinery, resulting in differences in rates of epigenetic drift.

Further evidence for genetic contributions to epigenetic aging come from studies examining age and DNA methylation that have also observed high correlation of DNA methylation levels between twins at more than one age (Bell et al., 2012; Martino et al., 2013). Similar studies in adults have shown greater concordance in DNA methylation profiles between monozygotic twins than dizygotic twins (Kaminsky et al., 2009; Bell et al., 2011). One study examined twins to determine the heritability of discordance between epigenetic and chronological age and determined that at birth, the heritability is 100% but drops to 39% in adulthood (Horvath, 2013). These findings support the idea that genetic heritability of DNA methylation is overcome by environmental perturbations during the lifespan. Hence, genetic variants that affect DNA methylation levels can do so throughout the aging process, but the effects may vary at different times during the lifespan.

Finally, it is important to consider the causative versus correlative role of DNA methylation with respect to its relationship with age. As yet, it is unknown what function, if any, DNA methylation changes with age have. An appealing hypothesis is that epigenetic drift is a marker of age, suggesting that increases in variability with age are a by-product of the aging process itself. The consistency of the epigenetic clock, however, points to a common age-related epigenetic mechanism across individuals. It is thus possible that these common changes are important contributors to the aging process, rather than a consequence, or they could possibly be beneficial adaptive changes occurring as a response to aging. Future work addressing this hypothesis is necessary before we can fully understand the role of DNA methylation changes that occur with age.

### Concluding remarks

DNA methylation changes that are associated with age can be considered part of two related phenomena, epigenetic drift and the epigenetic clock. We have defined epigenetic drift as the global tendency toward median DNA methylation caused by stochastic and environmental individual-specific changes over the lifetime. The epigenetic clock, on the other hand, refers to specific sites in the genome that have been shown to undergo age-related change across individuals and, in some cases, across tissues. We hope that this clarification of terminology will improve our understanding of age-related DNA methylation changes as well as clarify the labeling of these distinct phenomena across researchers in the field.

A number of aspects of age-related DNA methylation remain, which should be further scrutinized. First, it is expected that certain life periods, such as early childhood, puberty, and advanced age, result in accelerated epigenetic changes. Most studies of DNA methylation and age have examined changes within specific periods of life—the first few years of life or adulthood to old age, for example. Moving forward, it will be important to determine what periods during the lifespan are the most changeable, which highlights the need for more rigorous studies. Moreover, work on the effects of environmental stimuli on the rates of epigenetic aging would contribute insight into how or why specific environmental exposures result in increased mortality. It could be hypothesized that people who are exposed to factors that affect mortality show advanced epigenetic compared to chronological age, although these effects may be tissue specific. Additionally, several recent cross-sectional studies have published epigenetic clocks. Comparison of these sites across longitudinal studies, while controlling for confounders in DNA methylation such as tissue type, cellular composition, ethnicity, and environment, is necessary to confirm a consistent, reliable, and independent signature of DNA methylation and aging. This type of age predictor could be of use in a number of areas. One potential application is in forensics, where the ability to determine the approximate age of a person from a biological sample would be invaluable (Lee et al., 2012).
In health, epigenetic age could be used to target or assess interventions or treatments. However, the health-related potential of epigenetic age still awaits an assessment of concordance between epigenetic and chronological age across a large population with longitudinal tracking of health during the aging process. This field has immense potential to inform human populations and will undoubtedly continue to develop in the near future.
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