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ABSTRACT
The 511 keV positron annihilation emission remains a mysterious component of the high energy emission of our Galaxy. Its study was one of the key scientific objectives of the SPI spectrometer on board the International Gamma-Ray Astrophysics Laboratory satellite. In fact, a lot of observing time has been dedicated to the Galactic disk with a particular emphasis on the central region. A crucial issue in such an analysis concerns the reduction technique used to treat this huge quantity of data, and more particularly the background modeling. Our method, after validation through a variety of tests, is based on detector pattern determination per ~6 month period, together with a normalization variable on a few hour timescale. The Galactic bulge is detected at a level of ~7σ, allowing more detailed investigations. The main result is that the bulge morphology can be modeled with two axisymmetric Gaussians of 3°2 and 11°8 FWHM and respective fluxes of 2.5 and 5.4 ×10−3 photons cm−2 s−1. We found a possible shift of the bulge center toward negative longitude at l = −0:6 ± 0:2. In addition to the bulge, a more extended structure is detected significantly with flux ranging from 1.7 to 2.9 ×10−3 photons cm−2 s−1 depending on its assumed geometry (pure disk or disk plus halo). The disk emission is also found to be symmetric within the limits of the statistical errors.
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1. INTRODUCTION
The detection of the 511 keV positron annihilation line emission from the central region of our Galaxy was one of the early and important successes of gamma-ray astronomy (Leventhal et al. 1978). Although positron annihilation gives rise to the strongest γ-ray line signal from our Galaxy, three decades of dedicated observational and theoretical efforts failed to unveil the origin of the Galactic positrons.

With existing instrumentation, the emission appears to be diffuse; no point sources of annihilation radiation have yet been detected (Malet et al. 1995; Milne et al. 2000; Knödlseder et al. 2005; Teegarden et al. 2005; De Cesare et al. 2006; Weidenspointner et al. 2006). Its spatial distribution seems to be symmetric around the Galactic center (bulge), with an extent of 6°−8° (Full Width at Half-Maximum) and a 511 keV flux measured to be around (1−3) ×10−3 photons cm−2 s−1 (Leventhal et al. 1978; Milne et al. 2000; Kinzer et al. 2001; Knödlseder et al. 2005; Weidenspointner et al. 2008; Bouchet et al. 2008).

There is no firm conclusion as to the origin of the positrons due to the modest angular resolution and limited sensitivity of γ-ray instruments. Further, the theoretical interpretation is complex since the distribution of the potential sources of positrons is unknown and many uncertainties remain regarding the composition of the interstellar gas, the structure and strength of the magnetic field in the Galaxy, and the physics of positron diffusion and thermalization.

Annihilation radiation from the disk and/or halo is still more difficult to study because of its lower surface brightness (Milne et al. 2000; Kinzer et al. 2001) but it potentially provides complementary clues to the positron production processes involved. Only a few instruments have been able to spatially resolve the disk emission from the brighter bulge one. Previous sparse measurements had shown that the annihilation emission from the disk is brighter in the longitude range |l| < 18° − 35° with very poor indications on the latitude extent (Gehrels et al. 1991; Milne et al. 2000; Kinzer et al. 2001). Using more than four years of data from the SPI/INTEGRAL imaging spectrometer, its spatial distribution has been constrained in the longitude range |l| < 100° and latitude extent |b| < 10° (Weidenspointner et al. 2008).

In the following, we first present briefly the instrument and data selection. Then, we describe the analysis method developed for our purpose. As the background determination is a critical issue for high energy data, particularly for extended emission and when the data encompasses a very long period, we deeply investigate this point and make extensive statistical tests to validate our results. Finally, the 511 keV sky distribution is derived using two approaches: an imaging method and a sky model fitting, allowing us to test several parameterized model distributions representing the Galactic bulge, disk, and/or halo. All the results are discussed and summarized in the last section.

2. INSTRUMENT AND OBSERVATIONS
The ESA’s International Gamma-Ray Astrophysics Laboratory (INTEGRAL) observatory was launched from Baikonour, Kazakhstan, on 2002 October 17. The spectrometer SPI (Vedrenne et al. 2003) observes the sky in the 20 keV–8 MeV energy range with an energy resolution ranging from 2 to 8 keV. It consists of an array of 19 high-purity germanium detectors operating around 80 K, the spectroscopic performance being maintained thanks to regular annealings of the detection plane. Its geometric surface is 508 cm2 with a thickness of 7 cm. The

* Based on observations with INTEGRAL, an ESA project with instruments and science data center funded by ESA member states (especially the PI countries: Denmark, France, Germany, Italy, Spain, and Switzerland), the Czech Republic, and Poland, with the participation of Russia and USA.
assembly is surrounded by a 5 cm thick bismuth germanate (BGO) which provides background rejection. In addition to its spectroscopic capability, SPI can image the sky with a spatial resolution of \( \sim 2.6 \) (FWHM) over a field of view of 30\(^{\circ}\), thanks to a coded mask located 1.7 m above the detector plane. The instrument’s in-flight performance is described in Roques et al. (2003). Because of the small number of detectors, SPI’s imaging capability relies on a specific observational strategy, which is based on a dithering procedure by steps of \( \sim 2^{\circ} \). Each pointing lasts between 30 and 60 minutes. We have analyzed observations recorded from 2003 February 22 to 2009 January 2, covering the entire sky. Data polluted by solar flares and radiation belt entries are excluded. In order to completely suppress the effects due to radiation belts and to secure the data set, we also suppress systematically the first and last 10 exposures of each revolution.

Finally, after image analysis and cleaning, \( \sim 1.1 \times 10^{6} \) corresponding to 39,294 pointings (or exposures) are kept for the present study. The resulting exposure map is depicted in Figure 1.

3. DATA ANALYSIS

The signal recorded by the SPI camera on the 19 Ge detectors is composed of contributions from each source (point-like or extended) in the field of view convolved by the instrument aperture, plus the background. For extended/diffuse sources, we assume a spatial morphology given by an analytical function or an emission map. For \( N_{p} \) sources located in the field of view, the data \( D_{p} \) obtained during an exposure (pointing) \( p \), for a given energy band, can be expressed by the relation:

\[
D_{p} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{s}} R_{p,j} S_{p,j} + B_{p},
\]  

where \( R_{p,j} \) is the response of the instrument for the source \( j \), \( S_{p,j} \) is the flux of the source \( j \), and \( B_{p} \) is the background recorded during the pointing \( p \). \( D_{p}, R_{p,j}, \) and \( B_{p} \) are vectors of 19 elements. For a given set of \( N_{p} \) exposures, we have to solve a system of \( N_{p} \) equations (Equation (1)). For that, it is mandatory to reduce the number of unknowns.

The first way of doing that takes advantage of the relative stability of the background pattern to rewrite the background term as

\[
B_{p} = A_{p} \times U \times t_{p},
\]

where \( A_{p} \) is a normalization coefficient per pointing, \( U \) is the “uniformity map” or background count rate pattern on the SPI camera, and \( t_{p} \) is the effective observation time for pointing \( p \). \( U \) and \( t \) are vectors of 19 elements (one per detector).

The number of unknowns (free parameters) in the set of \( N_{p} \) equations is then \( N_{p} \times (N_{s} + 1) \) (for the \( N_{s} \) sources and the background intensities). Fortunately, a further reduction can be obtained since, at 511 keV, point sources are weak and constant within their error bars. Concerning the background intensity we consider it variable and test several timescales. Finally, we have \( N_{f} \sim 39,000 \) if the background intensity is allowed to vary on the one exposure timescale (\( \sim 2700 \) s) and \( N_{b} \lesssim 6000 \) if the background intensity varies on a \( \sim 6 \) hr timescale.

The global background count rate pattern on the SPI camera can also vary but on a much longer timescale (several months). We did not find any noticeable pattern variation over 6 months of data, corresponding to the interval between 2 annealings. With this compromise: hours variability for the intensity parameter and modest (\( \sim 6 \) months) variability for the background pattern, we obtain adequate fits to our measurements with an optimized number of parameters.

We performed our analyses in the 508.25–513.75 keV band. Such a 5.5 keV wide band centered at 511 keV takes into account the Germanium energy resolution (FWHM \( \sim 2.05 \) keV) including its degradation between two consecutive annealings (5%). At this energy, the gain calibration (performed orbit wise) accuracy is better than \( \pm 0.01 \) keV. Finally, only single-detector event data are used (Roques et al. 2003).

3.1. Core Algorithm

The tools used for background modeling, imaging, and model fitting were specifically developed for the analysis of SPI data and described in Bouchet et al. (2008). To determine the sky model parameters we adjust the data through a multi-component fitting algorithm, based on the maximum likelihood test statistics. We used Poissonian statistics to evaluate the quality of various sky models. The core algorithm to handle such a large, but sparse system is based on the MUMPS\(^1\) software together with an error bar computation technique dedicated and optimized for the INTEGRAL/SCI response matrix structure (Amestoy et al. 2006; Rouet 2009; Tzvetomila 2009).

3.2. Background

3.2.1. Modeling

The modeling of the instrumental background is an important issue within the data analysis. Since two detectors failed (detector 2 on 2003 December 7 and detector 17 on 2004 July 17) during the period spanned by the observations, the distribution of the instrumental background in the detector plane changes significantly.

This uniformity map or background pattern (Equation (2)) can be derived from empty field observations. The dedicated INTEGRAL/SCI “empty field” observations are rare. But by making the hypothesis that the 511 keV emission is essentially concentrated along the Galactic plane and in the bulge, many exposures can be considered as empty-field observation at this energy. In practice, we built a set of empty fields using exposures whose pointing latitude satisfies \( |b| > 30^{\circ} \). Furthermore, we

\(^1\) Developed by the IRIT/ENSEEIH laboratory http://mumps.enseeiht.fr.
exclude pointings closer than 30° from Cyg X-1 and the Crab Nebula since they are potential emitters in the 505–515 keV energy range. Finally, 5729 of the 39,294 exposures can be used to fix the background patterns. Table 1 displays periods between consecutive annealings (~6 months), the revolutions spanned, the total number of exposures, the number of exposures used to build/test the “empty field,” and the statistics about the location in longitude and latitude of these exposures.

3.2.2. Basic Characteristics

We define the quantity \( u \) to quantify the properties of the background pattern for each “empty-field” period,

\[
\begin{align*}
    u &= U / U_{\text{moy}} \\
    U_{\text{moy}} &= \frac{1}{N_{\text{eff}}} \sum_{d=1}^{N_{\text{eff}}} U(d).
\end{align*}
\]

\( N_{\text{eff}} \) is the number of working detectors (19, 18, or 17), \( u \) and \( U \) are vectors of \( N_{\text{eff}} \) elements. \( u \) represents the detector plane count rates normalized to the mean.

Then, for each empty field period, we determine the background pattern as the time averaged vector \( u \). Figure 2 displays the resulting pattern for two periods. The difference between the two patterns is essentially due to the failure of two detectors (2 and 17).

To follow the pattern variation during the mission, we studied the evolution of this quantity for each detector. Figure 3 displays the evolution of the count rate measured on two detectors for each period using the empty field data set. This variation is very small, except at the detector failures. We also tried to improve the pattern determination by allowing a time dependency or by subdividing each “empty field” period in the consequence, we used the \( F \)-test shows that the fit is not improved. In another way to determine the background pattern is to solve Equation (1) for the source and the background simultaneously. While this method provides a better \( \chi^2 \) (the global model being more flexible), the resulting patterns are model dependent. This is understandable in terms of an unavoidable cross-talk between the extended source emission and the background pattern. As a

Figure 2. 508.25–513.75 keV relative pattern for the empty-field corresponding to revolutions 97–131 (dash-dot line) and 647–713 (solid line).

Table 1

| Inter Annealing Period Number | Empty-field Limits First-last Revolutions | Data Total Exposure Number | Percentage of Empty-field | Empty-field Exposures Distribution \( l < 0^\circ/b < 0^\circ \) | \( 0^\circ/l < 0^\circ/b < 0^\circ \) |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1                           | 44–92                                     | 3328                     | 12.6                     | 192/226/8/410                   | 128/97/129/96                  |
| 2                           | 97–131                                    | 1816                     | 8.4                      | 58/95/101/52                    |                                 |
| 3                          | 137–139                                   | 4334                     | 5.2                      | 128/97/129/96                   |                                 |
| 4                          | 210–214                                   | 277                      | 8.7                      | 0/24/24/0                       |                                 |
| 5                           | 215–277                                   | 3730                     | 13.0                     | 218/268/181/305                 |                                 |
| 6                           | 283–325                                   | 2767                     | 18.8                     | 199/321/72/448                  |                                 |
| 7                           | 331–394                                   | 3350                     | 10.6                     | 138/240/186/192                 |                                 |
| 8                           | 401–445                                   | 2668                     | 15.2                     | 296/110/406                     |                                 |
| 9                           | 452–505                                   | 3093                     | 15.2                     | 278/191/401/68                  |                                 |
| 10                          | 512–564                                   | 3309                     | 8.0                      | 51/213/46/218                   |                                 |
| 11                          | 571–640                                   | 4364                     | 19.2                     | 342/495/261/576                 |                                 |
| 12                          | 647–713                                   | 3361                     | 25.0                     | 425/414/252/587                 |                                 |
| 13                          | 720–775                                   | 2697                     | 36.9                     | 877/117/407/587                 |                                 |

Notes.

\( ^a \) Detector 2 failure on 2003 December 7 (between revolution 139 and 140),

\( ^b \) Detector 17 failure on 2004 July 17 (between revolution 214 and 215). There is enough empty-field exposures to compute the detector pattern before (revolutions 210-214) and after (revolutions 215–277) the failure of detector 17. In the case of detector 2’s failure, the detector pattern can be derived only after revolution 140 (revolutions 140–204).
Figure 3. 508.25–513.75 keV pattern for detector 3 (dash-dot line) and 12 (solid line) as a function of the empty-field number. The dotted lines indicate when the failures of detectors 2 and 17 occurred.

Figure 4. 508.25–513.75 keV residual distribution for the entire empty field data set (residuals minimum and maximum are respectively −4.02 and 4.46). The distribution is compared to a normal distribution with a variance of 0.94 (dashed line). Moreover, the normality properties are supported by various statistical tests.

Figure 5. 508.25–513.75 keV residual distribution for our reference sky model (residual minimum and maximum are respectively −4.97 and 4.36. The distribution is compared to a normal distribution having a variance of 0.996 (dashed line).

Figure 5. 508.25–513.75 keV residual distribution for the entire empty field data set (residuals minimum and maximum are respectively −4.02 and 4.46). The distribution is compared to a normal distribution with a variance of 0.94 (dashed line). Moreover, the normality properties are supported by various statistical tests.

3.2.3. Background Intensity Variability

The background model has been tested on our total data set (39,294 exposures; see Table 1). The sky model consists of two point sources, namely Cyg X-1 and the Crab nebula, two axisymmetric Gaussians of FWHM 3.2 and 11.8 to describe the bulge, and a Robin 1–2 Gyr disk (Robin et al. 2003) to represent the spatial morphology of the disk. Equation (1) is solved for \( S_j \) and \( A_p \), \( U \) being fixed and computed from the empty-field data set (5729 exposures) as described above.

First, the background intensity \( A_p \) is assumed to vary on a timescale of \( \sim 6 \) hr. The distribution of residuals between our model (sky plus background) and the data appears quite satisfactory (Figure 5). Second, \( A_p \) is allowed to vary on the pointing timescale (\( \sim 1800–3600 \) s). The \( F \)-test shows that the second option does not improve the fit. In addition, the \( \sim 6 \) hr timescale analysis produces slightly reduced error bars (less free parameters) for background and source components while their intensities are perfectly compatible with those obtained with a more variable background.

Finally, the standard configuration used for the subsequent analysis consists of a fixed background pattern per period between two annealings with an intensity variable on a 6 hr timescale.

3.3. Investigation of the Bulge Morphology

3.3.1. Maps

The maps provide a model independent view of the sky but each pixel intensity is poorly determined due to the large number of unknowns. To gather reliable information, a 508.25–513.75 keV sky map has been built using cells of size \( \delta l = 5^\circ \times \delta b = 5^\circ \) (Figures 6 and 7).
Figure 6. 508.25–513.75 keV INTEGRAL SPI smoothed (top hat of 2 pixels) significance map. Pixel size is $5^\circ \times 5^\circ$.
(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 7. 508.25–513.75 keV INTEGRAL SPI smoothed (top hat of 2 pixels) intensity map in photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Pixel size is $5^\circ \times 5^\circ$.
(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Emission profiles are also derived using pixels of $\delta l = 16^\circ \times \delta b = 16^\circ$, the central one being subdivided into a finer grid (pixel size of $\delta l = 3^\circ:2 \times \delta b = 3^\circ:2$). The reconstruction algorithm described in Bouchet et al. (2008) provides the flux and error for each sky pixel. In this energy range, the emission is almost entirely due to the annihilation line, the contribution of the other components being negligible. The emission profiles (Figures 8 and 9) are peaked toward and symmetric around the Galactic center. However, together with the map, they suggest that the emission is not limited to a bulge structure but exhibits an additional extended component (Galactic disk emission) revealed by the long exposure.

3.3.2. Modeling the Bulge with Gaussian Profiles

With more than $10^9$ s of exposure time, a single Gaussian (e.g., Knödlseder et al. 2005) does not provide an acceptable description of the bulge geometry. We obtain a better adjustment of the data with two Gaussians of FWHM 2:6 and 11:0, together with an extended component representing the Galactic disk
modeled by a Robin 1–2 Gyr disk (≈220° FWHM longitude extension, ≈5:5 FWHM latitude extension). This two Gaussian model has been used for simplicity. Adding one or more sources simultaneously to the model, like GRS1758-258, GS1826-24, or H1743-22, known to emit above 100 keV, does not significantly affect the parameters of the Gaussians; none of these sources are detected above 2σ. However, a significant change is obtained by introducing 1E1740.7-2942. It may simply reflect that the bulge profile contains small-scale diffuse emission structures, localized in the central ∼3°. Furthermore, the modest angular resolution of SPI makes it difficult to distinguish between point sources, point-like and small-scale diffuse emission. The Gaussian parameters depend weakly on the assumed disk geometry (for example the 240μ map is slightly peaked at the Galactic center) but remain well inside the error bars.

We also investigate a more complex bulge shape considering different widths in l and b. This gives Δl = (4.0°±1.4°) and Δb = (2.5°±1.0°) for the smaller Gaussian and Δl = (9.6°±2.5°) and Δb = (15°±2°) for the larger one. The statistics do not allow the derivation of any meaningful conclusion, but in all cases, these parameters stay compatible with those of the axisymmetric model.

3.3.3. Possible Shift of the Gaussian Centroid

In the following step, we let the centroids of the Gaussians l₀, b₀, which are moving together, free. The fit gives l₀ = (−0°.64±0.21°) and b₀ = (0°.06±0.19°). These values depend slightly on the chosen configuration (in particular, we tried several widths of the Gaussians), but all the parameters remain compatible. Figure 10 shows the 1σ uncertainty zone in the longitude and the latitude for the centroid. In this configuration, the introduction of an additional source is no longer needed.

To go further, we built a model composed of a set of nested shells of constant density centered at (l, b) = (−0°.6, 0°) with radii

![Figure 8](image_url)

Figure 8. 508.25–513.75 keV longitude profile for |b| < 8°. Dotted-dashed line corresponds to the sum of 3/2 and 11/8 axisymmetric Gaussians. Dotted line corresponds to the extended distribution (Robin 1–2 Gyr disk model). The sum of both has been integrated on the same bins as the data (dashed histogram). The sky is divided into 16°×16° pixels except the central one which is divided into pixels of 3°×3°.
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Figure 9. Same as Figure 8 but latitude profile for |l| < 8°.

![Figure 10](image_url)

Figure 10. 2D 1σ error box on the bulge position for 3 disk models. The bulge is modeled with 3/2 and 11/8 axisymmetric Gaussians. The disk is modelled by (a) a Robin 1–2 Gyr disk (solid line), (b) a Robin 1–2 Gyr disk segmented in 4 parts (short-dashed line) and (c) a Gaussian with a longitude FWHM of 250° and latitude FWHM of 6° (long-dashed line). The best center is found at (a) l₀ = −0.64 ± 0.20 and b₀ = 0.06 ± 0.20, (b) l₀ = −0.57 ± 0.24 and b₀ = 0.06 ± 0.20, and (c) l₀ = −0.63 ± 0.20 and b₀ = 0.06 ± 0.20.
determined above. We thus conclude that the centroid emission appears slightly offset from the Galactic center direction. This result, while marginally significant, is all the more remarkable since Kinzer et al. (2001) have found a similar shift of the bulge toward the negative longitude in the OSSE/CGRO data (1σ shift measured between −0:25 and −1°).

Finally, we consider that the best configuration (hereafter called the reference model) consists of: two Gaussians centered at \( l_0 = -0.6 \) and \( b_0 = 0.0 \) representing the bulge plus an extended disk component (Robin 1–2 Gyr). Then, the best fit FWHM of the Gaussians are \((3:2^{+1.1}_{-0.5})\) and \((11:8^{+1.9}_{-1.2})\) with fluxes of \((2.48 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-4} \) photons cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) and \((5.36 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4} \) photons cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) respectively, the disk flux being \((1.83 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{-3} \) photons cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\), providing a Bulge to Disk ratio of 0.44. Using the ratio calculated by Knödlseder et al. (2005, their Table 3) to infer the 511 keV line luminosities and the factor \((e^+/\gamma_{511}) = 1.64\) from Brown & Leventhal (1987), we obtain annihilation rates of \(1.1 \times 10^{43} \) s\(^{-1}\) in the bulge and \(0.8 \times 10^{43} \) s\(^{-1}\) in the disk.

### 3.4. Disk/Halo Emission

We then attempted to describe the extended spatial distribution superimposed on the central bulge with various spatial geometries. Simple geometric shapes (i.e., two dimensional Gaussians) as well as more physical maps (CO, NIR, Robin disk (Robin et al. 2003)) were tested. Figure 13 summarizes the result of the correlation map study, with the \(\Delta x^2\) (which varies similarly as the reduced maximum log-likelihood ratio) plotted for each of the tracer maps. Best results are obtained for a Robin disk (in the 0.15–3 Gyr range corresponding to an old stellar population) or NIR/DIRBE 240 \(\mu\) and 1.25 \(\mu\) maps, which happen to be good tracers of the \(^{26}\)Al line emission (Knödlseder 1999). Simple bi-dimensional Gaussians of latitude FWHM \(\sim 5°\) and longitude FWHM \(\sim 250°\) also give good results. We note that the disk exhibits a larger longitude extension than the previously reported values: first by OSSE (Kinzer et al. 2001), though the study is based on a longitudinally truncated data set distribution, and more recently by Weidenspointner et al. (2008). Even though it is difficult to describe the emission in greater detail, this result represents a good indication for a bulge/disk structure. Extended disk structure flux (for the most plausible disks) is around \(1.7 \times 10^{-3} \) photons cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) and the bulge/disk ratios range from 0.25 to 0.7.

This analysis excludes single halo models (modeled by axisymmetric Gaussians). However, in the past, OSSE data have often been compared with bulge models including some non-Gaussian broadening (wings), featuring a bulge + halo central geometry. We have tested this kind of configuration by considering a stellar halo (or spheroid) model proposed by Robin et al. (2003). The central region profile is built following the law:

\[
N \times (a/R_0)^m \quad a > a_c,
\]

\[
N \times (a_c/R_0)^m \quad a < a_c,
\]

\[
a^2 = x^2 + y^2 + (z/\epsilon)^2,
\]

where \(N\) represents a normalization constant and \(x, y, z\), the cartesian coordinates in the bulge reference frame. We obtain a good fit to the data with the axis ratio, \(\epsilon = 0.8, m = -2.6, a_c = 200\) pc, and \(R_0 = 8.5\) kpc. The 240 \(\mu\) and 1.25 \(\mu\) NIR/DIRBE and Robin 1–3 Gyr maps remain the best tracers of the disk emission. We note further that assuming these geometries leads to higher B/D ratios (between 1.4 and 2.1 for the best models) since here B stands for the bulge plus the halo wings.

#### 3.4.1. Disk Symmetry

To search for a disk asymmetry, we first used the galactocentric model and we divide the disk (Robin 1–2 Gyr) in 4 parts: (1) \(-180° < l < -50°\), (2) \(-50° < l < 0°\), (3) \(0° < l < 50°\), and (4) \(50° < l < 180°\). The fluxes of these different parts are respectively: (1) \((0.84 \pm 0.27)\), (2) \((0.44 \pm 0.07)\), (3) \((0.29 \pm 0.07)\), and (4) \((1.31 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-3}\) photons cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). Those values,
while compatible with those of Weidenspointner et al. (2008), support a symmetric disk within the quoted errors with a west to east ratio of 1.5 ± 0.4. In fact, the main difference between both analyses concerns the background treatment. In Weidenspointner et al. (2008), the background pattern is determined once per orbit and simultaneously with the sky fluxes. It thus becomes model dependent, inducing additional uncertainties in the results (see discussion in Section 3.2.2).

Furthermore, we note that the disk flux in $|l| < 50^\circ$ depends on the assumed bulge geometry and position and is hence model dependent. Now, using our best model for the bulge, i.e., the off-centered Gaussian described in Section 3.3.3, the split disk fluxes in regions (1), (2), (3), and (4) are then $(0.82 \pm 0.27), (0.38 \pm 0.07), (0.34 \pm 0.07)$, and $(1.32 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-3}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ with a west to east ratio of $1.1 \pm 0.4$.

We have investigated the dependency of this ratio as a function of the bulge centroid. Figure 14 displays the strong correlation between these two values and clearly proves that an asymmetric disk is not required by the data.

**4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

We presented a detailed investigation of the morphology of the Galactic emission in the narrow annihilation line at 511 keV. Since the period covered by the data encompasses more than 6 years, the background determination is a key point in the analysis. We have chosen to describe the background with a series of fixed patterns (about one per 6 months), together with a normalization adjusted by timebins of 6 hr. The patterns have been measured in the sky regions outside the Galactic disk, with the advantage of being independent of the sky model. This method has been validated through various statistical tests and represents a major difference between our analysis and that presented in Weidenspointner et al. (2008). While the reality might be more complex, the bulge morphology is well described by two axisymmetric Gaussians, centered at $b_0 = -0^\circ 64$ and $b_0 = 0^\circ 06$, with FWHM of 3$^\circ 2$ and 11$^\circ 8$ and fluxes of $(2.48 \pm 0.26)$ and $(5.36 \pm 0.50) \times 10^{-3}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively. While marginally significant, it is similar to the shift of the bulge toward negative longitudes seen in the OSSE data (Kinzer et al. 2001).

We confirm also the existence of a disk emission with a longitude extent over 150$^\circ$–250$^\circ$ and latitude over 5$^\circ$–7$^\circ$.

Many spatial distributions can be used to model the disk/halo geometry. Among them, the best fits are obtained with disk distributions extending over $\sim 200^\circ \times (5^\circ \sim 6^\circ)$ (Gaussian shapes, 240$\mu$ and 1.25$\mu$ NIR/DIRBE maps or Robin 1–3 Gyr models). The disk flux is around $1.7 \times 10^{-3}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ leading to a bulge-to-disk flux ratio of 0.4. This one ranges from 0.25 (for the 1.25$\mu$ NIR/DIRBE map which presents a broad bump emission in the Galactic center region) to 0.7 (for less extended distributions like 150$^\circ \times 5^\circ$ Gaussian). This kind of disk emission morphology, widened in longitude, suggests an old stellar population as the main Galactic positron source. When allowing a halo contribution (Robin stellar halo model), the flux reaches a value of $\sim 2.9 \times 10^{-2}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, with

![Figure 13](image1.png) Maximum log likelihood-ratio (MLR) as a function of the tracer map. The left part corresponds to physical maps (1 CO map (Dame et al. 2001)). The central part corresponds to pure halo emission modelled with axisymmetric Gaussians. In the last part, the disk is assumed to have a Gaussian morphology whose latitude and longitude FWHM are indicated horizontally and vertically, respectively. Gaussians with longitude > 250$^\circ$ FWHM are not shown as they do not improve the MLR. The bulge is always modeled with the two off-centered Gaussians.

![Figure 14](image2.png) Top panel: MLR value as a function of the bulge center position in longitude. Bottom: ratio of flux in $-50^\circ < l < 0^\circ$ to $0^\circ < l < 50^\circ$ as a function of the bulge center position. The curves depict the results for two models: (a) The bulge is modelled with two axisymmetric Gaussians of 3$^\circ$ and 11$^\circ$, the disk with a 1–2 Gyr Robin disk (solid line and filled circle). (b) The longitude and latitude FWHM of the Gaussians modeling the bulge have been fitted for each longitude position. The disk is modeled by a bi-dimensional Gaussian of FWHM 250$^\circ \times 6^\circ$ (Dotted line and diamonds).
With our best fit determination, the disk is found to be symmetric with a west to east ratio of 1.1. It is clear that the disk results are very sensitive to the assumed bulge morphology, with a strong coupling between the parameters of both structures. Figure 14 illustrates that, considering the error bars on the bulge position, it is impossible to claim a disk asymmetry. The observed trend can be understood in terms of unavoidable cross-talk between both emissions (bulge/disk) in the common region: when the bulge is allowed to move, the reconstructed disk flux is affected.

The INTEGRAL mission has significantly advanced positron astronomy. We begin to have a reliable view of the annihilation emission from the inner Galaxy, and can hope to possibly constrain the extended emission. It should bring some breakthrough in the quest for the mysterious origin of positrons. At the same time, spectroscopy of the annihilation emission has yielded important insights into the conditions of the medium in which the positrons annihilate (Churazov et al. 2005, Jean et al. 2006).

Our work can be summarized as follows.

1. 511 keV emission is significantly detected ($70\sigma$ in data space) toward the galactic bulge region, and, at a lower level ($25\sigma$) from the Galactic disk
2. There is no evidence for a point-like source in addition to the diffuse emission, down to a typical flux limit of $4 \times 10^{-5}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ ($2\sigma$).
3. Among the variety of possible disk geometries, we favor extended ($\sim 200^\circ \times (5^\circ$–$6^\circ)$) disk distributions, suggesting an old stellar population as the main Galactic positron source. The bulge-to-disk flux ratio ranges from 0.25 to 0.7. When allowing a halo contribution, the bulge-to-disk flux ratios evolves from 1.7 to 2.1.
4. For our reference model (two Gaussians representing the bulge + Robin 1–2 Gyr disk), we obtain annihilation rates of $1.1 \times 10^{43}$ s$^{-1}$ in the bulge and $0.8 \times 10^{43}$ s$^{-1}$ in the disk.
5. The disk asymmetry reported in Weidenspointner et al. (2008) is not supported by our analysis.
6. The bulge emission appears almost spherically symmetric around the Galactic Centre with an extension of $12^\circ$. Its center seems slightly shifted toward negative longitudes at $l = -0^\circ.64 \pm 0^\circ.20$.

The INTEGRAL SPI project has been completed under the responsibility and leadership of CNES. We are grateful to ASI, CEA, CNES, DLR, ESA, INTA, NASA and OSTC for support.
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