The development of mathematics higher order thinking skills instrument for grade VIII junior high school
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Abstract. 21st-century learning activities require higher order thinking skills including critical and creative thinking. High-level mathematical thinking skills are tools to train and improve higher order thinking skills in mathematics. This study aimed to produce products in the form of a high level mathematical thinking skills instrument of grade VIII based on Bloom's Taxonomy containing C4 (Analyze), C5 (Evaluate), and C6 (Creating) questions. Indicators of higher order thinking include analyzing, organizing, differentiating, and linking. Evaluating is judging, checking and criticizing. While creating is generalizing, designing, and producing. This research was conducted at three junior high schools in Jakarta that consisted of 41, 36 and 36 students respectively. The sample of this research was grade VIII students. The study was conducted during the even semester in 2017. Data collection method used higher order thinking skill test of the eight grade students then analyzed data with the calculation of validity, reliability, difficulty level, and discrimination power. The result showed that the instrument was valid, reliable, the difficulty level was moderate, and the discrimination power was moderate so the problems in this instrument could be used by teachers to measure students’ high order thinking skills.

1. Introduction
An international study measuring the student’s performance in mathematics and natural science, Trend in Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), showed that in 2015, Indonesia ranked 45 out of 50 countries. Based on the data of mathematics content and cognitive domain, the percentage of Indonesian participants with the correct answer in a matter of understanding was higher than that of in the matter of application and reasoning. Moreover, the results of Programme Internationale for Student Assessment (PISA) test showed that in 2015, Indonesian students ranked 62 out of 70 countries in mathematics with an average score of 386. Indonesia’s score was 386 in mathematics. This value is below the international average, 493. This shows that Indonesian students’ higher order thinking skills in mathematics is still below expectation. The curriculum used in Indonesian education is the Curriculum 2013 Revision 2017 (K13 2017). The K13 2017 intends to promote higher order thinking skill (HOTS), literacy and character education [1]. The aim is to improve students’ problem-solving skills so that they are able to overcome difficulties in their learning activities and everyday life. Qualified teachers are the key to achieving this goal [2].

Higher order thinking process is a mental activity that involves multifaceted, creative, and unconstrained thinking that leads to useful solution [3–6]. Learners generally have a variety of abilities. The ability to think creatively and critically are both the ability to create a diverse way of completion and produce the right answer to a difficult problem. These skills result in valid explanation, decision, performance, and product within the context of existing knowledge and experience and they promote continued growth in these and other intellectual skills [7].
The studies on improving critical thinking skills have used a variety of strategies and learning models such as problem-based approach [8], the application of writing strategy [9], collaborative approach work [10], and guided inquiry learning model [11]. Thinking skill is divided into two categories: higher order thinking skill (HOTS) and lower order thinking skill (LOTS). LOTS deals with regular implementation of mathematics formula in solving equations, while HOTS entails strategy and manipulation [12-13]. It is crucial that learners be introduced to problems that require analyzing, interpreting and manipulating of information and producing a correct solution. Bloom states that education should focus on mastery subject and the achievement of higher order thinking as oppose to the use of utilitarian that simply means learning as a means of transferring words [14]. The instrument in this study was formulated based on the Bloom’s taxonomy on the ability of higher order thinking skills that requires a high level problem solving skill.

2. Method
This study used research and development method to produce and develop higher order thinking skills instrument for the students. This study was conducted using formative research proposed by Tessmer [15] which was modified as shown in the flowchart.
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**Figure 1.** Flowchart of formative research design.

Figure 1 shows that the research method consisted of several stages: (1) preliminary or preparatory phase, (2) self-evaluation including analysis and design stage, (3) expert reviews or properness test of the product, followed by small group or small-scale trials, (4) field test or large-scale trial, and (6) final prototyping instrument. The research subject from small groups in grades VIII was intended to provide advice on higher order thinking skills instrument. The research received the material about mathematics in grade VIII for the field test.

The purpose of this study was to produce and develop instrument, to determine the properness and effectiveness of the product, and also to determine the profile of higher order thinking skills of students on the topics of number patterns, Cartesian fields, relation and function, straight line equations, linear equations with two variables, Pythagoras theorem, circles, wake-up flat space, statistics, and probabilities. Properness test was done by giving product properness questionnaire to the experts and students. Product effectiveness test was done by calculating the validity, reliability, difficulty level, and the discrimination power. The profile of higher order thinking skills was determined by analysing the students’ answers to the problems. Data from field tests were used to calculate the feasibility of the instrument.

3. Result and discussion
The results of this study included the design, properness, and effectiveness of instrument, and a profile of the students’ higher order thinking skills. The developed higher order thinking skills instrument consisted of 20
problems description. These problems represented the indicators of the higher order thinking skill. The indicators of the higher order thinking skill were as follows: first, student could recognize and distinguish between the causes and the result of a complicated scenario; the student could analyse incoming information and divide it into smaller sections to find patterns and relationships; and the student could identify and formulate questions. Second, the student could describe the idea and the methodology of an evaluation by using match and standard criteria to ensure the effectiveness; the student could hypothesize, criticize, and do the test; and the student could accept or reject a statement based on predetermined criteria. Third, in creation, the student could make a generalization of ideas; the student could determine a way to solve the problem, and the student could organize the parts of something into a new structure that did not exist before [16].

Higher order thinking skills instrument was given to experts and students to measure the properness. The properness questionnaire was prepared with some aspects of the assessment criteria, i.e. the aspect of content, construct, language, time allocation, and instructions. The properness test of higher order thinking skills instrument of students was done by experts in one stage. It was conducted before the higher order thinking skills instrument was tested in a small-scale trial. The result about the properness of higher order thinking skills instrument is shown in table 1.

Table 1. The result of properness higher order thinking skills instrument.

| No | Aspects  | Validator 1 | Validator 2 | Validator 3 | Validator 4 | $l_i$ | $V_a$ |
|----|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|
| 1  | Content  | 3           | 4           | 4           | 5           | 4     |       |
| 2  | Construct| 2.78        | 3.4         | 3.95        | 4.3         | 3.6   | 3.52  |
| 3  | Language | 3           | 4           | 3.3         | 4.7         | 3.75  |       |
| 4  | Time     | 2           | 3           | 3           | 3           | 2.75  |       |
| 5  | Instruction | 3         | 3           | 3           | 5           | 3.5   |       |
|    | Criteria |             |             |             |             |       | Quite | Valid |

The profile of students’ higher order thinking skills instrument can be seen from the answers regarding the students’ ability to analyze, evaluate, and create. The result for the field test of ability higher order thinking skills instrument is presented in table 2.

Table 2. Profile of higher order thinking skills.

| Interval values | Frequency | Percentage (%) | Category   |
|-----------------|-----------|----------------|------------|
| 80 < Value ≤ 100| 8         | 7.08           | Very good  |
| 60 < Value ≤ 80 | 27        | 23.89          | Good       |
| 40 < Value ≤ 60 | 37        | 32.74          | Moderate   |
| 20 < Value ≤ 40 | 32        | 28.32          | Low        |
| 0 < Value ≤ 20  | 9         | 7.96           | Very Low   |
| Amount of subject | 113      | 100            |            |
| The average value | 50        |                | Moderate   |

Table 2 shows that the average value obtained by all of the students was 50 which was in the moderate category, so it could be concluded that in general grade VIII students had moderate ability in solving problems and understanding the problems that were not routine or higher order thinking skills. The score of the students in doing questions of higher order thinking skills is shown in figure 2. Figure 2 shows that the students’ scores were normally distributed which meant that students were able to understand the problems that were not routine or higher order thinking skills.
Figure 2. Scores of students in doing questions.

The result of validity test of higher order thinking skills was valid ($r_{XY} > r_{table}$) as shown in table 3.

| Item | $r_{XY}$ | $r_{table}$ | Conclusion | Category |
|------|----------|-------------|------------|----------|
| 1    | 0.5193   |             |            | Moderate |
| 2    | 0.5243   |             |            | Moderate |
| 3    | 0.5626   |             |            | Moderate |
| 4    | 0.4117   |             |            | Moderate |
| 5    | 0.5309   |             |            | Moderate |
| 6    | 0.5841   |             |            | Moderate |
| 7    | 0.6835   |             |            | Moderate |
| 8    | 0.6188   |             |            | Moderate |
| 9    | 0.6043   |             |            | Moderate |
| 10   | 0.6620   |             |            | Moderate |
| 11   | 0.7577   | 0.1555      | Valid      | Good     |
| 12   | 0.7957   |             |            | Good     |
| 13   | 0.7314   |             |            | Good     |
| 14   | 0.7583   |             |            | Good     |
| 15   | 0.7036   |             |            | Good     |
| 16   | 0.6476   |             |            | Moderate |
| 17   | 0.6185   |             |            | Moderate |
| 18   | 0.6861   |             |            | Moderate |
| 19   | 0.7161   |             |            | Moderate |
| 20   | 0.5428   |             |            | Moderate |

As shown in table 3, as many as 15 items fell into the moderate category and 5 items fell into good category. As a whole, the instrument could be verified valid.

The result of the reliability test of higher order thinking skills instrument was reliable. The $r$ value was 0.9219 and it fell into very good category.

The result of the difficulty level of the higher order thinking skills instrument showed that one item was hard, seventeen items were moderate, and two items were easy. This is shown in table 4.
Table 4. The difficulty level of the higher order thinking skills instrument.

| Item | Difficulty Level | Category |
|------|------------------|----------|
| 1    | 0, 3938          | Moderate |
| 2    | 0, 6615          | Moderate |
| 3    | 0, 3368          | Moderate |
| 4    | 0, 5170          | Moderate |
| 5    | 0, 4963          | Moderate |
| 6    | 0, 4904          | Moderate |
| 7    | 0, 3931          | Moderate |
| 8    | 0, 2904          | Difficult |
| 9    | 0, 7887          | Easy     |
| 10   | 0, 7777          | Easy     |
| 11   | 0, 3374          | Moderate |
| 12   | 0, 5736          | Moderate |
| 13   | 0, 6134          | Moderate |
| 14   | 0, 4729          | Moderate |
| 15   | 0, 4808          | Moderate |
| 16   | 0, 5863          | Moderate |
| 17   | 0, 4882          | Moderate |
| 18   | 0, 4226          | Moderate |
| 19   | 0, 4652          | Moderate |
| 20   | 0, 3285          | Moderate |

The result of the discrimination power of the higher order thinking skills instrument is shown in table 5.

Table 5. Discrimination power test of higher order thinking skills.

| Item | Discrimination Power | Category |
|------|----------------------|----------|
| 1    | 0, 3226              | Moderate |
| 2    | 0, 4570              | Good     |
| 3    | 0, 3629              | Moderate |
| 4    | 0, 2769              | Moderate |
| 5    | 0, 4382              | Good     |
| 6    | 0, 5161              | Good     |
| 7    | 0, 5672              | Good     |
| 8    | 0, 4879              | Good     |
| 9    | 0, 3871              | Moderate |
| 10   | 0, 4778              | Good     |
| 11   | 0, 6734              | Good     |
| 12   | 0, 6754              | Good     |
| 13   | 0, 5847              | Good     |
| 14   | 0, 5746              | Good     |
| 15   | 0, 5833              | Good     |
| 16   | 0, 5706              | Good     |
| 17   | 0, 4167              | Good     |
| 18   | 0, 4879              | Good     |
| 19   | 0, 6653              | Good     |
| 20   | 0, 3165              | Moderate |
Table 5 shows that there were five moderate items and fifteen good items. Therefore, it could be concluded that the instrument satisfied the discrimination power criteria.

4. Conclusion

The higher order thinking skills instrument used to measure grade VII junior high school students was moderate and effective. It was a valid, reliable, with moderate level of difficulty and moderate discrimination power. The students were able to understand the problem that was not routine or higher order thinking skills which included analysis, evaluation, and creation. This instrument can be used for junior high school to practice higher order thinking skills and provide references for teacher on the matter of promoting higher order thinking skills. These skills are important for students to compete in the national and international world, especially in mathematics.
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