Search for flavor changing neutral currents via quark-gluon couplings in single top quark production using 2.3 fb$^{-1}$ of $p\bar{p}$ collisions
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We present a search for flavor changing neutral currents via quark-gluon couplings in a sample of single top quark final states corresponding to 2.3 fb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity collected with the D0 detector at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider. We select events containing a single top quark candidate with an additional jet, and obtain separation between signal and background using Bayesian neural networks. We find consistency between background expectation and observed data, and set limits on flavor changing neutral current gluon couplings of the top quark to up quarks ($t_{gu}$) and charm quarks ($t_{gc}$). The cross section limits at the 95% C.L. are $\sigma_{t_{gu}} < 0.20$ pb and $\sigma_{t_{gc}} < 0.27$ pb. These correspond to limits on the top quark decay branching fractions of $B(t \rightarrow gu) < 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ and $B(t \rightarrow gc) < 3.9 \times 10^{-3}$.

PACS numbers: 14.65.Ha, 12.15.Ji, 13.85.Qk

The observation of electroweak production of single top quarks was reported in 2009 [1, 2]. Those measurements, as well as the evidence for $t$-channel single top quark production [3], focus on standard model (SM) production of single top quarks. The single top quark final state is sensitive to several models of physics beyond the SM [4], in particular those in which flavor changing neutral current (FCNC) couplings between a gluon, a top quark, and up or charm quarks may be large. Examples include models with multiple Higgs doublets.
such as supersymmetry [3–7], those with new dynamical interactions of the top quark [8–10]. In principle, such interactions can also be produced through SM higher-order radiative corrections, however, their effects are too small to be observed [3]. Stringent limits exist for FCNC top quark couplings to photons and Z bosons from studies of production and decay of top quarks [14–17]. The first limits on gluon FCNC couplings to the top quark were obtained in a D0 analysis based on 0.23 fb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity [18]. The CDF Collaboration searched for FCNC production of single top quarks, without extra jets, through gluon-quark interactions [19, 20], using a dataset corresponding to 2.2 fb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity [21]. The limits on the FCNC couplings are $\kappa_{tgu}/\Lambda < 0.018$ TeV$^{-1}$ and $\kappa_{tgc}/\Lambda < 0.069$ TeV$^{-1}$, where $\Lambda$ is the scale of the new interactions which generate these couplings (of order 1 TeV).

The FCNC coupling of a gluon to a top quark and a light quark results in either s-channel production and decay [Fig. 1 (a) and (d)] or in t-channel exchange [Fig. 1 (b) and (c)] of a virtual particle. The largest contribution to the production cross section (83% for $tgu$ and 66% for $tgc$) is from the diagram in Fig. 1(a). The final state in each case contains a top quark and a light quark or gluon, a topology similar to SM t-channel single top quark production. We do not consider the single top quark final state without extra jets that was explored by the CDF Collaboration [21] due to its different final state topology and significantly smaller signal event yield for a given coupling.

The FCNC couplings can be parametrized in a model-independent way, using an effective Lagrangian [22, 23] of the form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{FCNC}} = \frac{\kappa_{tgf}}{\Lambda} g_s f \sigma^{\mu\nu} \frac{\lambda^a}{2} G^a_{\mu\nu},$$

where $f = u$ or $c$, with $u$, $c$, and $t$ representing the quark fields; $\kappa_{tgf}$ defines the strength of the $tgu$ or $tgc$ couplings; $g_s$ and $\lambda^a$ are the strong coupling constant and color matrices; $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ and $G^a_{\mu\nu}$ are the Dirac tensor and the gauge field tensor of the gluon. The FCNC single top quark production cross section depends therefore quadratically on the factor $\kappa_{tgf}/\Lambda$. For a coupling of $\kappa_{tgf}/\Lambda = 0.015$ TeV$^{-1}$, the next-to-leading order (NLO) cross sections at a top quark mass of 170 GeV are $\sigma^{\text{NLO}}_{tgu} = 0.29$ pb and $\sigma^{\text{NLO}}_{tgc} = 0.020$ pb [24]. The top quark decay branching fraction to a gluon and any quark also depends quadratically on the factor $\kappa_{tgf}/\Lambda$ [25], but this branching fraction is negligible for coupling factors considered in this analysis [26].

We search for FCNC production of single top quarks in association with a quark or gluon, where the top quark decays to a $W$ boson and a $b$ quark, and the $W$ boson subsequently decays to a lepton (electron or muon) and a neutrino. The main backgrounds to this final state are from $W$+jets production, including $W+c$-quarks and $W+b$-quarks, with smaller contributions from $t\bar{t}$, SM single top quarks ($tb + t\bar{q}b$), as well as multijets, dibosons, and $Z$+jets production. We base the analysis on the dataset and event selection from the single top quark production observation Letter [1], using 2.3 fb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity collected with the D0 detector [20] at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider.

The detector has a central tracking system, consisting of a silicon microstrip tracker and a central fiber tracker, both located within a 1.9 T superconducting solenoidal magnet, with designs optimized for tracking and vertexing at pseudorapidities $|\eta| < 3$ and $|\eta| < 2.5$, respectively [27, 28]. A liquid-argon and uranium calorimeter has a central section covering pseudorapidities $|\eta|$ up to $\approx 1.1$, and two end calorimeters that extend coverage to $|\eta| \approx 4.2$, with all three housed in separate cryostats [30]. An outer muon system, at $|\eta| < 2$, consists of a layer of tracking detectors and scintillation trigger counters in front of 1.8 T toroids, followed by two similar layers after the toroids [31].

We select events containing a lepton, missing transverse energy ($E_T$), and two to four jets with transverse momentum $p_T > 15$ GeV and $|\eta| < 3.4$ (allowing for jets from gluon radiation), with the leading (highest $p_T$) jet additionally satisfying $p_T > 25$ GeV [32]. We require $20 < E_T < 200$ GeV for events with two jets and $25 < E_T < 200$ GeV for events with three or four jets. Events must contain only one isolated electron with...
$p_T > 15$ GeV and $|\eta| < 1.1$ ($p_T > 20$ GeV for three- or four-jet events), or one isolated muon with $p_T > 15$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.0$. The multijets background, where a jet is misidentified as an isolated lepton, is kept to approximately 5% of the total background by requiring the scalar sum of all transverse energies, $H_T(\text{lepton}, E_T, \text{alljets})$, to be greater than 110 to 160 GeV, depending on the lepton flavor and jet multiplicity, and by requiring that the $E_T$ is not collinear with the axes of the lepton or the leading jet in the transverse plane. To enhance the fraction of top quark events, one of the jets is required to be identified as originating from $b$ quark fragmentation through a neural network (NN) $b$-tagging algorithm \cite{32}. To partially reject background from $W+b\bar{b}$, $t\bar{t}$, and SM single top quark events, each event is required to contain only one $b$-tagged jet (vetoing double-tagged events), in contrast to SM single top quark analyses where double-tagged events are also considered.

We model the FCNC signals and SM single top quark background with the SINGLETOP Monte Carlo (MC) generator \cite{34}, using CTEQ6M parton distribution functions \cite{35, 36}. The ALPGEN leading-order MC event generator \cite{33}, interfaced to PYTHIA for showering and hadronization \cite{38}, is used to model $t\bar{t}$, $W+$jets, and $Z+$jets background, while PYTHIA is used to model diboson ($WW$, $WZ$ and $ZZ$) production. We set the mass of the top quark to 170 GeV, and use the CTEQ6L1 parton distribution functions \cite{35, 36}. We use GEANT \cite{39} to simulate the response of the D0 detector to MC events.

To model the effects of multiple interactions and detector noise, data from random $p\bar{p}$ crossovers are overlaid on MC events. The SM single top quark, $t\bar{t}$, diboson and $Z+$jets backgrounds are normalized to their predicted cross sections \cite{40, 42}. The $W+$jets background normalization and jet angular distributions are obtained from data samples without $b$-tagging requirements, and its flavor composition is determined from data samples with different numbers of $b$-tagged jets. We model the background from multijets production using data containing lepton candidates that fail one of the lepton identification requirements, but otherwise resemble the signal events. In the muon channel, where a secondary muon in a jet is misidentified as an isolated muon, this is accomplished by reversing the tight isolation criterion, whereas in the electron channel, where a jet is misidentified as an electron, we reverse the tight electron identification criteria \cite{43, 44}.

We select a total of 3735 lepton+jets data events with only one $b$-tagged jet. The sample composition is given in Table \ref{tab:table1}.

We further improve the sensitivity to FCNC through an application of Bayesian neural networks (BNN) \cite{44–46}, with settings identical to those detailed in Ref. \cite{1}. A BNN is an average over many individual neural networks \cite{47} (100 networks are used in this analysis), where the parameters for each network are sampled from

| Source | 2 jets | 3 jets | 4 jets |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| FCNC signal |         |        |        |
| $tgu$   | 34 ± 4 | 16 ± 3 | 5 ± 1  |
| $tgc$   | 54 ± 7 | 23 ± 4 | 7 ± 2  |
| Background |        |        |        |
| $W+$jets | 1660 ± 146 | 560 ± 54 | 154 ± 15 |
| $Z+$jets and dibosons | 204 ± 34 | 72 ± 14 | 22 ± 6 |
| SM single top | 112 ± 15 | 46 ± 7 | 14 ± 3 |
| $t\bar{t}$ | 152 ± 24 | 277 ± 42 | 278 ± 41 |
| Multijets | 184 ± 47 | 66 ± 15 | 27 ± 5 |
| Total background | 2312 ± 170 | 1021 ± 84 | 495 ± 53 |
| Data     | 2277   | 958    | 500    |
Systematic uncertainties on the modeling of signal and background are described in Ref. [44], with main uncertainties being from corrections to the jet energy scale and the b-tag modeling, with smaller contributions arising from MC statistics, corrections for jet-flavor composition in W+jets events, and from the normalization of W+jets, multijets, and tt production. The total uncertainty on the background is (8–16)%, depending on the analysis channel. For jet energy scale, b-tag modeling and W+jets modeling, we vary not only the normalization but also consider effects on the shape of the final discriminant. When setting limits on the FCNC couplings, an additional signal cross section uncertainty of 8.8% from the NLO calculation is included [24].

Since the data are consistent with the background expectation, we set upper limits on the FCNC cross sections and couplings using a Bayesian approach [45]. Following the analysis strategy of our previous work [18], we form a two-dimensional Bayesian posterior density for the cross sections and for the square of the FCNC couplings, using the BNN distributions for data, background, and signals. Systematic uncertainties are taken into account with Gaussian distributions for data, background, and signals. Systematic uncertainties are taken into account with Gaussian priors, including correlations among bins and signal and background sources. We choose priors that are flat and non-negative in the FCNC couplings squared and hence in the FCNC cross sections. The posterior density as a function of the FCNC cross sections \(\sigma_{tg_\mu}\) and \(\sigma_{tg_c}\) is shown in Fig. 4(a).

We similarly form a two-dimensional Bayesian posterior density as a function of \((\kappa_{tg_\mu}/\Lambda)^2\), as shown in Fig. 4(b), adding systematic uncertainties to the FCNC cross sections.

One-dimensional posterior densities as a function of \(\sigma_{tg_\mu}\) and \(\sigma_{tg_c}\) are derived from the general two-dimensional posterior, by integrating over the \(\sigma_{tg_c}\) or \(\sigma_{tg_\mu}\) axes, respectively. One-dimensional posteriors are similarly derived as a function of \((\kappa_{tg_\mu}/\Lambda)^2\) and \((\kappa_{tg_c}/\Lambda)^2\) and are shown in Fig. 5. This procedure keeps the measurement free of theoretical assumptions concerning the relationship between the two FCNC cross sections and couplings. For each quantity, we also compute expected limits by replacing the count in data in each bin by the background sum. The expected posterior densities for \((\kappa_{tg_\mu}/\Lambda)^2\) and \((\kappa_{tg_c}/\Lambda)^2\) are also shown in Fig. 5 together with the 95% C.L. limits. The observed limits are below the expected limits, consistent with Fig. 5(b), which shows that the data count is below the background expectation for several bins in the high BNN output region. Since the FCNC decay branching fraction is proportional to the square of the coupling, the limits on the couplings can be translated into decay branching fraction limits based on the NLO calculation [23]. The limits on cross sections, couplings and branching fractions are summarized in Table 4.

In summary, we have presented a search for FCNC interactions in the gluon coupling of top quarks to
up quarks or charm quarks. Using a sample of 2.3 fb\(^{-1}\) of integrated luminosity recorded by the D0 experiment at the Tevatron Collider at Fermilab, we set limits on the couplings of \(\kappa_{tg}/\Lambda < 0.013 \text{ TeV}^{-1}\) and \(\kappa_{tg}/\Lambda < 0.057 \text{ TeV}^{-1}\), without making assumptions about the \(tgc\) and \(tgu\) couplings, respectively. The corresponding limits on top quark decay branching fractions are \(\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow gu) < 2.0 \times 10^{-4}\) and \(\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow gc) < 3.9 \times 10^{-3}\). These branching fraction limits are the most stringent and improve on the previous best limits by factors of two for \(\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow gu)\) and 1.5 for \(\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow gc)\) [21]. They improve on D0’s previous result by a factor eight as a result of a larger data set and significant improvements in analysis [18].
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TABLE II: Observed 95% C.L. upper one-dimensional limits on the FCNC cross sections, couplings, and branching fractions.

|                  | \(tgu\) | \(tgc\) |
|------------------|---------|---------|
| Cross section    | 0.20 pb | 0.27 pb |
| \(\kappa_{tg}/\Lambda\) | 0.013 TeV\(^{-1}\) | 0.057 TeV\(^{-1}\) |
| \(\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow fg)\) | \(2.0 \times 10^{-4}\) | \(3.9 \times 10^{-3}\) |

FIG. 4: Bayesian posterior probability as a function of (a) the \(\sigma_{tgu}\) and \(\sigma_{tgc}\) cross sections and (b) the squares of the couplings.

FIG. 5: One-dimensional Bayesian posterior probability as a function of (a) \((\kappa_{tg}/\Lambda)^2\) and (b) \((\kappa_{tg}/\Lambda)^2\).
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