Activity of paromomycin against *Leishmania amazonensis*: Direct correlation between susceptibility *in vitro* and the treatment outcome *in vivo*
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**A B S T R A C T**

Paromomycin is an aminoglycoside antibiotic approved in 2006 for the treatment of visceral leishmaniasis caused by *Leishmania donovani* in Southeast Asia. Although this drug is not approved for the treatment of visceral and cutaneous leishmaniasis in Brazil, it is urgent and necessary to evaluate the potential of this drug as alternative for the treatment against species responsible for these clinical forms of the disease. In Brazil, *Leishmania amazonensis* is responsible for cutaneous and diffuse cutaneous leishmaniasis. The diffise cutaneous form of the disease is difficult to treat and frequent relapses are reported, mainly when the treatment is interrupted. Here, we evaluated paromomycin susceptibility *in vitro* of a *L. amazonensis* clinical isolate from a patient with cutaneous leishmaniasis and the reference strain *L. amazonensis* M2269, as well as its *in vivo* efficacy in a murine experimental model. Although never exposed to paromomycin, a significant differential susceptibility between these two lines was found. Paromomycin was highly active *in vitro* against the clinical isolate in both forms of the parasite, while its activity against the reference strain was less active. *In vivo* studies in mice infected with each one of these lines demonstrated that paromomycin reduces lesion size and parasite burden and a direct correlation between the susceptibility *in vitro* and the effectiveness of this drug *in vivo* was found. Our findings indicate that paromomycin efficacy *in vivo* is dependent on intrinsic susceptibility of the parasite. Beyond that, this study contributes for the evaluation of the potential use of paromomycin in chemotherapy of cutaneous leishmaniasis in Brazil caused by *L. amazonensis*.

**1. Introduction**

Leishmaniasis is a neglected disease endemic in more than 98 countries located in tropical and subtropical areas. This parasitic disease is caused by flagellate protozoa belonging to the genus *Leishmania* that are transmitted through the bite of hematophagous insects known as phlebotomines (Burza et al., 2018). The clinical manifestations of leishmaniasis in humans are related to the species of the infecting parasite and the susceptibility of the host ranging from a visceral form to a tegumentary disease (Murray et al., 2005). The latter form may be classified in different forms of disease: localized, disseminated, diffuse and mucocutaneous leishmaniasis (Reithinger et al., 2007). The estimated global prevalence of the disease is 1.3 million cases per year. In Brazil, about 25,000 new cases of tegumentary leishmaniasis have been reported annually (Alvar et al., 2012). Different clinical forms of the tegumentary disease in Brazil are caused mainly by *Leishmania braziliensis*, the most prevalent species, followed by *L. amazonensis* and *L. guyanensis* (Alvar et al., 2012; Reithinger et al., 2007). Beyond cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL), *L. amazonensis* may also cause diffuse cutaneous leishmaniasis (DCL), a severe form of the disease associated with a strong inhibition of the T-cell immune response (Silveira, 2019). This form of the disease is mainly associated with this species in Brazil. In other regions beyond Brazil, DCL may also be caused by *L. mexicana* and *L. aethiopica* (Burza et al., 2018). While DCL is characterized by multiple non-ulcerative nodules and papules that disseminate in the body of the...
patient; in the CL, an erythema develops at the site of bite of sandfly, followed by a nodule that ulcerates after a variable period of some weeks up to 6 months (Burza et al., 2018; Reithinger et al., 2007).

Chemotherapy of leishmaniasis in Brazil is limited to pentavalent antimonials and amphotericin B (available in deoxycholate and liposomal formulations), drugs that have several limitations related to toxicity, efficacy and parenteral administration (Uliana et al., 2018). In addition, a failure rate of approximately 50% has been described in patients with CL treated with pentavalent antimonials due to different species of the parasite (Chrusciak-Talhari et al., 2011; Machado et al., 2010), indicating the urgency for alternative drugs for treatment in Brazil. Paromomycin (PM) is a broad-spectrum aminoglycoside antibiotic that has been shown to be an effective oral agent for a large number of infectious agents, from bacteria to intestinal protozoa (Davidson et al., 2009). Clinical studies have demonstrated high efficacy of PM in the treatment of visceral leishmaniasis (VL) in India and Bangladesh, with cure rates higher than 90% when administered intramuscularly (Jamil et al., 2015; Sundar et al., 2007). PM has a short half-life (around 2–3 h in patients) and have been also proposed in combination therapies against VL (van Griensven et al., 2010). Clinical studies in Southeast Asia using PM in combination with miltefosine or antimonials and amphotericin B demonstrated that treatments were effective and safe, with shorter duration of the treatment and lower dose of drugs administered compared to the monotherapy using amphotericin B (Rahman et al., 2017; Sundar et al., 2011). Cases of clinical resistance have not been described so far, which demonstrates its potential for use in treating of leishmaniasis (Croft et al., 2006). There are no reports of drug resistance of L. amazonensis to PM in the treatment of patients with CL caused by species that are endemic in Brazil. Topical treatment using PM plus methylbenzethonium chloride had a final clinical response of 85.7% in patients with shorter duration of the treatment and lower dose of drugs administered compared to the monotherapy using amphotericin B demonstrated that treatments were effective and safe, with shorter duration of the treatment and lower dose of drugs administered compared to the monotherapy using amphotericin B (Rahman et al., 2017; Sundar et al., 2011). Cases of clinical resistance have not been described so far, which demonstrates its potential for use in treating of leishmaniasis (Croft et al., 2006).

Although the susceptibility of a L. amazonensis reference strain has already been determined (de Morais-Teixeira et al., 2014), reports about the susceptibility of Brazilian isolates of this species are limited. Here, we evaluate the activity of PM in vitro against a reference strain and a clinical isolate from a patient with CL caused by L. amazonensis. A significant variation in drug susceptibility between these lines was found, with a direct correlation between PM susceptibility in vitro and clinical efficacy using a BALB/c mouse model.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Ethics statement

Animal experiments were approved by the Ethics Committee for Animal Experimentation of UNICAMP (Protocol: 4797-1/2018), according to the guidelines of the Sociedade Brasileira de Ciencia de Animais de Laboratório (SBCAL) and of the Conselho Nacional de Controle da Experimentação Animal (CONCEA). The procedures involving the patient were approved by Human Research Ethics Committee of Instituto de Infectologia Emílio Ribas and it was registered at Plataforma Brasil (http://plataformabrasil.saude.gov.br) under the number of CAAE: 07801112.1.0000.0061. The patient signed a term informed consent, previously to the procedures.

2.2. Drug

For in vitro drug assays, stocks of PM sulfate (100 mM [aq]) (Sigma-Aldrich) were prepared and kept at −20 °C until use. For in vivo experiments, PM was prepared daily in PBS, in concentrations of 75, 150, 300 and 600 μg/kg PM, considering an average weight per mouse of 20 g.

2.3. Parasites and animals

Promastigotes of the reference strain L. amazonensis (MHOM/BR/1973/M2269) and ER256 clinical isolate (MHOM/BR/2012/ER256) were grown at 25 °C in M199 medium (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with HEPES 40 mM [pH 7.4], adenine 0.1 mM, hemin 0.25%, 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Thermo Scientific), 50 U/mL penicillin and 50 μg/mL streptomycin (Kapler et al., 1990). The ER256 clinical isolate (MHOM/BR/2012/2506) was obtained from a woman patient with CL from Instituto de Infectologia Emílio Ribas, São Paulo. Parasites were obtained from an aspiration of skin lesions performed as part of the follow up procedure. Material of the skin lesion was subjected to initial cultivation in the diphasic agar medium NNN (Novy, Mac Neal, Nicolle), followed by cultivation in M199 medium, both incubated at 25 °C. Once isolated in culture, the isolate was typed as L. amazonensis through PCR sequencing of the internal transcribed ribosomal DNA (Cupollo et al., 1995). The GenBank accession number is MT523027.

Female BALB/c mice (aged 4–6 weeks) were obtained from CEMIB (Centro Multidisciplinar para Investigação Biológica) of UNICAMP. Animals were kept in mini-isolators and received food and water ad libitum.

2.4. Drug susceptibility in promastigotes and intracellular amastigotes of L. amazonensis and cytotoxicity assays

The susceptibility of L. amazonensis promastigotes to PM was determined using the MTT colorimetric assay, after incubation of 2 × 10^6 parasites per well in a 96-well plate in M199 medium for 24 h at 25 °C in the presence of increasing concentrations of PM as previously described (Espada et al., 2017). Promastigotes were counted in a Neubauer haemocytometer and experiments were carried out in triplicate.

For intracellular amastigotes, we first determined the cytotoxicity of PM against bone-marrow derived macrophages (BMDM) from BALB/c mice as described (Zamboni and Rabinovitch, 2003). BMDM were plated in 24-well culture dishes in 300 μL of RPMI 1640 (Thermo Scientific) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (around 3 × 10^5 macrophages per well) in a 5% CO_2 atmosphere for 24 h at 37 °C. Later, BMDM were incubated in complete RPMI 1640 medium in increasing concentrations of PM (25-3,000 μM) for 48 h or 72 h. After this period, RPMI medium containing PM was removed and macrophages were washed with warmed PBS, followed by addition of 100 μL of trypan blue (2.5 μg/mL) (Vitrocell, Brazil) for 10–15 min for detaching macrophages. Then, approximately 400 μL of PBS and 10 μL of 0.4% Trypan Blue (Sigma Aldrich) were added for each well and viable and non-viable macrophages were counted in a Neubauer haemocytometer in three independent experiments performed in duplicate.

To determine PM susceptibility of intracellular amastigotes, BMDM were plated at a density of 3 × 10^5 macrophages per well in complete RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum on round glass coverslips 24-well culture dishes in a 5% CO_2 atmosphere for 24 h at 37 °C allowing macrophages to adhere.

**Abbreviations**

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| AST | aspartate transaminase |
| ALT | alanine transaminase |
| BMDM | bone-marrow derived macrophages; bp, base pair |
| CL | cutaneous leishmaniasis |
| DCL | diffuse cutaneous leishmaniasis |
| PCR | polymerase chain reaction |
| PM | paromomycin |
| VL | visceral leishmaniasis |
Stationary-phase promastigotes of *L. amazonensis* were used to infect macrophages in a ratio of 5:1 (parasites per macrophages) in a 5% CO$_2$ atmosphere at 34°C. After 4 h, non-internalized parasites were removed by washing with warmed PBS and infected macrophages were cultivated in fresh RPMI 1640 medium containing increasing PM concentrations (0.1–200 μM) for 72 h. Infected macrophages were then fixed in methanol (Sigma-Aldrich) and stained with a panoptic haematological method (Laborclin, Brazil). The percentage of infected macrophages and the number of intracellular amastigotes were determined by counting 100 macrophages in three independent experiments in duplicate.

2.5. Mice infections and treatment with PM

Groups of five female BALB/c mice were infected in their footpads using $10^5$ stationary-phase promastigotes of both lines of *L. amazonensis*. Parasites were injected subcutaneously in the right hind footpad in a final volume of 30 μL. After five weeks of infection, PM was administered intraperitoneally in doses of 75, 150, 300, and 600 mg/kg per day for 14 consecutive days. As control, an untreated group for each line was used. Effectiveness of the treatment was evaluated by weekly measurements of lesion size and by quantification of parasite loads in the infected footpad at the end of treatment by quantitative real-time PCR (see below). A caliper was used for measuring the difference in the thickness between the infected and contralateral uninjected footpad. In addition, infected footpad of animals infected with both lines of the parasite were submitted to histopathological examination of infected tissues at the end of the treatment. Body weight of the animals was also recorded weekly and levels of aspartate transaminase (AST), alanine transaminase (ALT) and creatinine in the blood of infected and treated animals were evaluated at the end of the treatment.

2.6. Evaluation of parasite burden in treated mice by quantitative real-time PCR

At the end of the treatment, each group of treated and untreated animals were euthanized and about 25–50 mg of tissue from the infected footpad was obtained. Genomic DNA was extracted using the PureLink Genomic DNA kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer. Total genomic DNA was quantified in a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and then used for evaluation of parasite burden by quantitative real-time PCR. The construction of standard curves was performed with genomic DNA of *L. amazonensis* previously isolated from promastigotes and serial dilutions of genomic DNA ranging from $2 \times 10^2$ to 2 parasites were used, considering that each diploid genome of *L. amazonensis* has approximately 0.1 pg (Nicolas et al., 2002). Ultrapure water and uninfected mouse DNA were used as negative control. For each reaction, 4 μL DNA extract samples (diluted 1/100) was amplified in 10 μL of SYBR Green, 1.2 mM MgCl$_2$ and 500 nM of each primer in a total volume of 20 μL. For the quantitative PCR reaction, an initial cycle of 3 min at 95 °C for denaturation were used, followed by 40 cycles of amplification. The steps of each cycle were: 15 s at 95 °C and 30 s at 60 °C. The pair of primers used were G6PDH-F (5′-CGCTYCTCCAGGGCTAGCA-3′) and G6PDH-R (5′-AGGCGGYGTAGATGCAGGCAA-3′) which amplifies a 110 bp fragment of the glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase gene (g6pdh), a single copy gene in *L. amazonensis* (Castillo et al., 2006). Triplicates of each dilution corresponding to genomic DNA of 2 $\times 10^2$ to 2 parasites and duplicates of each sample were included. The equipment used was StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

2.7. Statistical analysis

The determination of CC$_{50}$, EC$_{50}$ and ED$_{50}$ values were determined by sigmoidal regression curves. Data on lesion size, parasite burden by quantitative real-time PCR, body weight and biochemical parameters were analyzed for statistical significance by One Way ANOVA, followed by Tukey’s post-test. The value of $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 7 software.

3. Results

3.1. Susceptibility of promastigotes and intracellular amastigotes of *Leishmania amazonensis* to PM

A previous screening of PM susceptibility *in vitro* against a panel of clinical isolates from Instituto de Infectologia Emilio Ribas, a reference center for treatment of leishmaniasis in the city Sao Paulo, allowed us to identify a clinical isolate, ER256, highly susceptible to PM. This isolate was typed as *L. amazonensis* by sequencing the internal transcribed ribosomal DNA (ITS), as previously described (Gosch et al., 2018). Sequence analysis of full sequence of ITS indicated 99% identity with the reference strain *L. amazonensis* (MHOM/BR/73/M2269) (GenBank accession number AJ000316.1), a strain isolated from a patient of the Amazon region (Miles et al., 1980).

The EC$_{50}$ of PM against the ER256 clinical isolate was around 14.5-fold lower than the reference strain of *L. amazonensis* M2269 in promastigote form of the parasite (Table 1 and Fig. 1). We extend the analysis of PM activity against intracellular amastigotes, the form responsible for the disease in humans. Initially, we determined the toxicity of PM against macrophages after 48 and 72 h in presence of the drug. The cytotoxicity assay showed that PM has low toxicity to macrophages with a CC$_{50}$ value of 962.4 ± 65.1 μM after 48 h with an increase of cytotoxicity after 72 h of incubation (CC$_{50}$ = 536.6 ± 27.1 μM) (Supplementary Fig. 1). The activity of PM against intracellular amastigotes in infected macrophages was significantly different between the clinical isolate and the reference strain M2269. While the EC$_{50}$ value for the clinical isolate was 0.54 μM, the EC$_{50}$ for M2269 strain was 61 μM, indicating that this isolate was more than 100-fold more susceptible than the reference strain, and reflecting a significant difference in the selectivity index (SI) for these two lines of parasites (Table 1 and Fig. 1B). The SI value for PM in each line of parasite was calculated by the ratio between the macrophage cytotoxicity corresponding to 72 h (CC$_{50}$ = 536.6 ± 27.1 μM) and the activity against intracellular amastigotes (EC$_{50}$) of each strain (Table 1). Although a lower number of amastigotes per infected macrophage was found for ER256 isolate, a similar percentage of infected macrophages in absence of PM was observed for the clinical isolate and the reference strain, indicating that the high susceptibility to PM of the clinical isolate is not due to its infectivity *in vitro* (Table 1 and Fig. 1C-D). Finally, a concentration dependent effect was observed in infected BMDM treated with PM for both lines (Fig. 1B–D).

| Promastigote* | Amastigote* | SI | Infection (%)* |
|--------------|-------------|----|----------------|
| L. amazonensis | 145.23 | 730.4 | 61 ± 111.9 | 8.6 | 66% |
| M2269 | ± 23.04 | 9.48 | 4.86 | 993.7 | 59% |
| L. amazonensis | 9.98 | 101.8 | 5.46 | 111.9 | 8.6 |
| ER256 | 2.97 | ± 0.11 | |

SI: Selectivity Index, which corresponds to the ratio between the CC$_{50}$ and EC$_{50}$ values of intracellular amastigotes. The CC$_{50}$ value for BMDM was 536.6 ± 27.1 μM.

* Three independent experiments carried out in triplicate per strain/isolate.

* Three independent experiments carried out in duplicate per strain/isolate.

* EC$_{50}$ ± standard deviation in μM.

* Percentage of infected BMDM.
3.2. Effectiveness of PM against L. amazonensis lines in vivo

In humans, PM is administered by intramuscular route, in doses of 11–20 mg/kg/day (Zulfiqar et al., 2017). Preliminary findings using doses of up to 75 mg/kg PM by intramuscular route in mice infected with L. amazonensis strain M2269 showed a reduction in lesion size of only 40–50% (data not shown). The maximum volume to be administered intramuscularly in mice is 30 μL (Flecknell, 2009) and the PM solubility is 50 mg/mL, which means that the maximum dosage that could be administered by intramuscular route in mice would be 75 mg/kg.

Fig. 2. Evaluation of PM efficacy in mice infected with L. amazonensis M2269 and ER256 isolate. Evolution of lesion size in infected animals with L. amazonensis M2269 or ER256 over the weeks (A and D respectively). Lesion size represents the average difference between infected and contralateral non-infected hind footpads (five mice per group). Animals were treated with 150 and 600 mg/kg/day of PM intraperitoneally after five weeks post-infection for 14 days. Lesion size at the end of the treatment (8th week post-infection) of five animals infected per group with each line of parasite is indicated (M2269 and ER256 lines are indicated by B and E respectively). Parasite burden was determined by quantitative real-time PCR of animals infected with L. amazonensis M2269 strain (C) and L. amazonensis ER256 isolate (F) treated or not with PM. At the end of the treatment (8th week post-infection), animals were euthanized and DNA of the lesion of the infected hind footpad was isolated. Statistical analysis was performed with One Way ANOVA, followed by the Tukey post-test, *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. Unreated, group of infected animals not treated with PM.
Initially, efficacy of PM was assessed in BALB/c mice infected with *L. amazonensis* strain M2269 treated intraperitoneally with four doses of PM (75, 150, 300 and 600 mg/kg) over 14 days. In infected animals treated with PM, lesions were significantly smaller when compared to the untreated animals (Supplementary Fig. 2). In the group of animals treated with 600 mg/kg PM, lesions were significantly reduced and two animals fully resolved their lesion (Supplementary Fig. 2). At the end of the treatment, body weight of animals of each group were determined due the high dosages of PM administered in the treated animals, particularly dosages of 300 and 600 mg/kg. There was no significant difference in the average body weight of the groups of untreated and treated animals with PM, indicating that there was no toxicity in the dosages administered (Supplementary Fig. 3). After determining the activity of PM against *L. amazonensis* in vivo, we evaluated if the high susceptibility to PM in intracellular amastigotes of clinical isolate ER256 would affect the treatment outcome and parasite burden when compared to the reference strain M2269. BALB/c mice were infected with each one of the lines and treated with two different dosages of PM (150 and 600 mg/kg PM) for 14 days. Untreated animals of both lines had similar disease and no significant difference in the progression of disease and in the quantification of parasite burden was found (Fig. 2). When compared to untreated animals, lesions size in animals infected with both lines and treated with doses of 150 and 600 mg/kg were significantly smaller (Fig. 2). Infections with M2269 strain partially resolved the lesions (Fig. 2A–B), while infections with ER256 isolate responded better in the highest dose of PM, with animals clinically cured at the end of the treatment (Fig. 2D–E). Reduction of the parasite burden was dose dependent in animals infected with both lines (Fig. 2C and 2F). The number of ER256 parasites was lower when compared to the untreated animal (more than 100-fold), while animals infected with M2269 strain, an approximately 10-fold reduction was found in animals treated with 600 mg/kg PM (Fig. 2C and 2F). The effective doses that eliminated 50% of the parasites in the lesions (ED$_{50}$), according to the values obtained by quantitative real-time PCR, were calculated and corresponded to 200 mg/kg for the M2269 strain and 60 mg/kg for ER256 clinical isolate. Histopathological analysis of tissues of animals treated and untreated with PM indicated a direct correlation between parasite burden and PM dosage, corroborating the data of lesion size and parasite burden (Figs. 2 and 3). Although parasites persist in animals treated with 600 mg/kg PM in both lines of the parasites, a significant reduction in the number of intracellular amastigotes was found in infections with ER256 when compared to infections with M2269, where parasites were easily identified (Fig. 3C and 3F).

Finally, at the end of the treatment with PM, no significant change in body weight was found among animals and biochemical analysis of ALT, AST and creatinine showed no statistical difference among the groups animals (uninfected, infected and infected and treated with 150 and 600 mg/kg PM) (Supplementary Fig. 4), indicating no adverse effect due the
high dosage of PM used, particularly 600 mg/kg.

4. Discussion

This study investigated the activity of PM against the reference strain of *L. amazonensis* M2269 and a clinical isolate isolated from a patient with CL. PM has been used in Southeast Asia in the treatment of VL caused by *L. donovani* (Jamil et al., 2015; Sundar et al., 2007; Sundar and Rai, 2005). Although some authors have argued against the use of PM as monotherapy and the emergence of drug resistance was already reported after selection in vitro (den Boer and Davidson, 2006; Jhingran et al., 2009; Rastrojo et al., 2018), clinical studies using PM against CL are still limited. PM was already used in combination with sodium stibogluconate against DCL due to *L. aethiopica*. Lesions in treated patients were completely cured, with minimal side effects and no relapse was reported after 21 months after the end of the treatment (Teklemariam et al., 1994). PM was also effective against *L. panamensis* using a topical formulation (PM plus gentamicin) in skin lesions, with a cure rate of approximately 80% (Sosa et al., 2019).

Here, we first evaluated the in vitro susceptibility of PM in two lines of *L. amazonensis* and then its efficacy in the treatment of CL was analyzed through in vivo assays using infected BALB/c mice. In Brazil, only pentavalent antimonials and amphotericin B are available for treatment of leishmaniasis, and alternatives for treatment are urgent needed. The clinical isolate ER256 was highly susceptible in vitro when compared to the reference strain of the same species. The EC50 values for the reference strain were 14.5 and 113-fold higher in promastigotes and intracellular amastigotes when compared with the clinical isolate. Previous reports have already shown variable PM susceptibility in species and isolates of the parasites of the genus *Leishmania* (de Morais-Teixeira et al., 2014; Utaile et al., 2013). These observations confirm our findings with these two lines of the parasite that were never exposed to PM and therefore confirm that this variation in susceptibility is intrinsic to these lines. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report that describes a significant variation in PM susceptibility between two or more isolates from the same species of the parasite (more than 100-fold in intracellular amastigotes). PM resistant mutants selected in vitro by drug pressure or even chemical mutagenesis, for example, reach levels of resistance not higher than 5 to 10-fold (Bhattacharya et al., 2019; Jhingran et al., 2009; Rastrojo et al., 2018). Even an in vitro selection protocol using intracellular amastigotes was not able to select PM resistant parasites lines higher than 4-fold, when compared to the EC50 of untreated parasites (Hendricks et al., 2012, 2014).

Paromomycin resistance studies in *Leishmania* have demonstrated that translation machinery is the main target of the PM, as revealed by proteomics of susceptible and resistant lines of *L. donovani* and by structural analysis of the *Leishmania* ribosome in complex with the drug through of an atomic resolution electron cryo-microscopy (Chawla et al., 2011; Jhingran et al., 2009; Shalev-Benami et al., 2017). Interestingly, whole genome sequencing of *L. infantum* resistant lines identified the presence of the mutations in genes that code proteins involved in translation, corroborating these findings (Bhattacharya et al., 2019). Among these proteins, the most relevant was CDPK1, a protein kinase involved in the control of translation (Bhattacharya et al., 2019). A reduction in the uptake of the drug and a less pronounced reduction in the membrane potential were also observed in PM resistant lines. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report that describes a significant variation in PM susceptibility between two or more isolates from the same species of the parasite (more than 100-fold in intracellular amastigotes). PM resistant mutants selected in vitro by drug pressure or even chemical mutagenesis, for example, reach levels of resistance not higher than 5 to 10-fold (Bhattacharya et al., 2019; Jhingran et al., 2009; Rastrojo et al., 2018). Even an in vitro selection protocol using intracellular amastigotes was not able to select PM resistant parasites lines higher than 4-fold, when compared to the EC50 of untreated parasites (Hendricks et al., 2012, 2014).

Regarding in vitro susceptibility, both lines of *L. amazonensis* were more susceptible to PM in intracellular amastigotes than promastigotes. These findings corroborate previous reports that show higher activity of PM against the form of the parasite responsible for human disease (Rastrojo et al., 2018; Utaile et al., 2013). Similarly, miltefosine and amphotericin B are also more active against intracellular amastigotes when compared to promastigotes of *L. amazonensis* (Coelho et al., 2014; Reimao et al., 2013).

Considering the differential PM activity in vitro in these two lines, the effectiveness of this drug in vivo was evaluated in a murine experimental model, in order to investigate whether the PM susceptibility in vitro would affect the clinical outcome. After a previous assay using four different dosages of PM by intraperitoneal route, animals infected with each line were treated with two dosages of PM (150 and 600 mg/kg). At the end of the treatment, a significant reduction in the lesion size and parasite burden in mice infected with the clinical isolate was found, but not with the reference strain M2269. In this case, a significant decrease in lesion size was found in animals infected with strain, but the parasite burden was not significantly reduced and parasites persist at the highest dosage used. On the other hand, PM activity in vivo against the isolate ER256 demonstrated a direct correlation with the lesion size and correlated well with the parasite burden. In this case, although genomic DNA of the parasite was still detected at the highest dosage used, parasites in the infected footpad were scarce in histological analyses. Our findings demonstrate a direct correlation of activity of PM in vitro and the clinical outcome in mice infected with these lines of *L. amazonensis* and that the treatment outcome with PM is dependent on intrinsic susceptibility of the parasites. Previous studies did not report similar correlation in isolates with differential susceptibility in vitro to antimonials or miltefosine for example (Coelho et al., 2014; Rijal et al., 2007; Yardley et al., 2006), although the levels of differential susceptibility in these studies were not so high, as described here.

Recently, PM was investigated in vivo, using BALB/c mice as a model, against two species responsible for tegumentary disease, *L. major* and *L. mexicana*. PM showed antiparasitic activity against *L. major* when administered at dosage of 50 mg/kg, with significant reduction in the lesion size and parasite burden (Wijnant et al., 2017, 2018). On the other hand, the same dosage was used to treat animals infected with *L. mexicana* and no clinical and parasitological cure was found (Wijnant et al., 2017). One possible explanation for low efficacy against *L. mexicana* could be the low dosage used (50 mg/kg for 10 days); here the ED50 values for M2269 strain and ER256 clinical isolate were 200 mg/kg and 60 mg/kg respectively and therefore higher than used against *L. mexicana*. Another possibility, according to our findings, would be the low intrinsic susceptibility of this strain of *L. mexicana*, in this case (>360 μM) (Wijnant et al., 2017). The EC50 values of the reference strain M2269 and the clinical isolate ER256 for intracellular amastigotes were 61 μM and 0.54 μM respectively. Finally, it is important to state that despite the high dosages of PM used in this study, they did not affect the body weight of treated animals or cause adverse effects (measured by the blood levels of the liver enzymes, ALT and AST, and creatinine). Increased levels of liver enzymes ALT and AST may be caused by liver damage (Mc Gill, 2016), while increased levels of creatinine indicate renal failure (Srisawat and Kellum, 2011).

In a BALB/c model infected with *L. major*, *L. mexicana* or *L. amazonensis*, a topical formulation of PM containing gentamicin was tested. In these models, this formulation was effective against all species, with lesions completely healed and no relapse was reported after the end of the treatment (Grogi et al., 1999). Here, the intraperitoneal route was used to treat animals infected with *L. amazonensis*, with a significant reduction in the size of the lesion. In this case, the animals were not followed up after the end of the treatment and a possible relapse would be expected even at the highest dosage used, since parasites were detected at the end of the treatment.

Taken together, our findings indicate that PM effectiveness in vivo is dependent on intrinsic activity against *L. amazonensis* and that susceptibility in vitro may be useful to evaluate the potential of PM against the parasite in vivo. In a scenario where PM is highly active against the parasite in vitro, this drug may be considered as potential partner in drug combination studies against CL.
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Appendix B. Antivirulence agents

Antivirulence agents may be defined as therapeutic compounds that antagonize or reverse influences of virulence factors during infection. Antivirulence agents have been categorized into reductive and protective agents. Reductive agents target and counteract virulence factors at the pathogen level, while protective agents target host defense mechanisms. Antivirulence agents can be considered as potential tools for the development of novel antileishmanial drugs.

1. Reductive Agents

(a) Aminoglycosides

Aminoglycosides, such as amikacin, streptomycin, and neomycin, are known to affect translation and vesicle-mediated trafficking as revealed by proteomics of Leishmania donovani. These compounds have been shown to inhibit the expression of virulence factors, such as lipoxygenase and galactose oxidase, in L. donovani. They also have been found to reduce the intracellular survival of Leishmania amastigotes in macrophages.

(b) Oxidative Stress Modulators

Oxidative stress modulation has been identified as a potential strategy for the development of antileishmanial drugs. Compounds such as N-acetylcysteine, glutathione, and vitamin C have been shown to reduce oxidative stress and improve treatment outcomes in leishmaniasis.

(c) Proteasome Inhibitors

Proteasome inhibitors, such as bortezomib, have been shown to affect the proteolytic activity of the viral proteasome, leading to the degradation of virulence factors. They have been found to reduce the intracellular survival of Leishmania amastigotes in macrophages.

(d) Nitric Oxide Synthase Inhibitors

The inhibition of nitric oxide synthase (NOS) has been shown to affect the expression of virulence factors in Leishmania. Compounds such as N-6-phenylacetamido-N’-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-L-lysine has been shown to inhibit NOS activity, leading to a decrease in the expression of virulence factors.

(e) Steroid Hormone Analogs

Steroid hormone analogs, such as triamcinolone acetonide, have been shown to affect the expression of virulence factors in Leishmania. They have been found to reduce the intracellular survival of Leishmania amastigotes in macrophages.

(f) Other Reductive Agents

Other reductive agents, such as salicylate, benzoquinone, and resveratrol, have been shown to affect the expression of virulence factors in Leishmania. They have been found to reduce the intracellular survival of Leishmania amastigotes in macrophages.

2. Protective Agents

(a) Antioxidants

Antioxidants, such as N-acetylcysteine, glutathione, and vitamin C, have been shown to reduce oxidative stress and improve treatment outcomes in leishmaniasis.

(b) Antimicrobial Agents

Antimicrobial agents, such as rifampicin, have been shown to affect the expression of virulence factors in Leishmania. They have been found to reduce the intracellular survival of Leishmania amastigotes in macrophages.

(c) Immune Modulators

Immune modulators, such as corticosteroids, have been shown to affect the expression of virulence factors in Leishmania. They have been found to reduce the intracellular survival of Leishmania amastigotes in macrophages.

(d) Other Protective Agents

Other protective agents, such as interferon-gamma, have been shown to affect the expression of virulence factors in Leishmania. They have been found to reduce the intracellular survival of Leishmania amastigotes in macrophages.

Conclusion

The development of antivirulence agents as potential tools for the development of novel antileishmanial drugs can be considered as a promising strategy. Further research is needed to fully understand the mechanisms of action of these compounds and to identify their potential as antileishmanial drugs.
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