THE APPARENT DISPLACEMENT METHOD AS A TOOL IN LEVELING DATA PROCESSING APPLIED FOR VALIDATED DETERMINATION OF GROUND DEFORMATION
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Abstract
Area of Inowrocław town is considered as tectonically active due to halotectonic movement of salt dipair situated there. The geodetic surveys carried out for the determination of terrain surface deformations over the years revealed characteristics of the movement. The evaluated vertical displacements based on measurements of benchmarks show different characteristics, depending on distant points assumed as reference benchmarks. This raises problems in analysis, interpretation of the results and decision makings in master planning. The authors demonstrate a method of adjustment calculations that minimize the inconsistencies between previously elaborated heights and inconsistencies of points considered as stable benchmarks. Thanks to the new approach the point considered as reference were recognized as unstable. And for the others more consistent values of the displacements were obtained: several benchmarks demonstrated higher difference of displacement values in compare to the initial approach in adjustments ranging in the interval of –9 mm – +3 mm.
1. INTRODUCTION

The area of Inowroclaw is specific both in its mining and geological aspects. Although the area is considered as post mining (salt mining) area, there are ground deformations that can be observed. The terrain surface is affected by several factors diapirism (uplifts) and hydrogeological processes as subrosion (subsidence). A significant part of benchmarks demonstrates vertical displacements of positive values (uplifts), which are caused by halotectonics. This process relates to a specific geological structure – the presence of salt diaper, which is situated just below the city area. Due to the mining operations and the catastrophic effects of uncontrolled explorations at the beginning of the twentieth century a leveling network was established. Its area size and the number of its benchmarks was increasing by years. Most of the benchmarks were installed on the walls of heavy buildings. The Solno, the last company operating in Inowroclaw was closed down and in 1995 regular leveling measurements were stopped. New surveys were of the area started in 2002 and a new research network with new control points was installed in the ground. Dozen of years of measurements provided different rates of height changes evaluated for new benchmarks, which were installed in the soil (ground benchmarks) and the old ones mounted on walls. As it turned out, it has made some problems in deformation analysis. Rates of ground deformations are taken into consideration in classification processes in master planning. The essential problem is in establishing what should be the criteria for the assessment of validity of the determined displacements demonstrated by the benchmarks. The significance of the problem of adjustment of leveling data to obtain “good” heights or displacements was discussed among others in the papers (Han et al. 2014; Malarski 2016; Wolski and Toś 2017; Wolski and Granek 2020).

So, it is with this problem in mind that the authors demonstrate the application of the apparent displacement method to determine the most consistent assessment of the degree of the displacements of the benchmarks.

2. A BRIEF OUTLINE OF THE NATURAL CONDITIONS OF THE DEFORMATION PROCESS

Due to the geoenvironmental specificity of the study area, also due to the long dilatation of the mining activity carried out there, despite its small size it has been the subject of many research works in the field of geology, geomorphology, mine engineering, geodesy etc.

A large number of scientific papers have been devoted to the geological structure of the salt diapir (Poborski 1957; Bujakowski 1986; Poborska-Młynarska 1984) and to the effects of mining operations (Budyk 1933; Kortas 1996). The brief of the geological characteristics of the Inowroclaw area which we now present is limited only to issues related to the ground deformation process observed there.

The shape of the salt diapir in Inowroclaw resembles an ellipse in its horizontal projection (with the longer NS axis, about 3 km long, and the shorter WE one of 1 km length). It occurs in the zone of the moraine plain, which is distinguished by a lack of convex marginal forms (Fig. 1). The western and eastern walls of the dome lie steeply or almost vertically.

The salt dome is covered with Quaternary formations, in the northern part additionally also with Jurassic formations (often strongly dislocated). The top surface of the deposit, lying at a depth of 120–190 m, is covered with a gypsum cap with a thickness of 50–180 m. The formations of the cap were formed as a result of the underground dissolution and erosion.
The subrosion process results in a degradation of the salt diapir because of hydrogeological changes in the rock mass. They cause all kinds of leaching of the salt, leading to the formation of weakness zones in the rock mass, whose the mechanical properties are significantly lower. They also cause a system of caverns, which were penetrated by miners in old times. The sinkholes formed on the surface are the result of this natural process. Mining activity, however, intensified the migration of deep water, with the result that at the beginning of the 20th century, a series of catastrophic sinkholes appeared in the town. The visible traces of deformation from that period are still visible today in the presence of numerous empty squares in the town, which have been deemed to be unsuitable for development. This movement related to the migration of the natural underground brine dissolving rock salt and was observed in times of old mining activities, when it was carried over a small size of area in the southern part of the deposit (Budryk 1933; Szczerbowski 2005). The phenomenon occurs due to the leaching of salt on the rock salt top surface and on in the cap deposits and as a result of the solutions circulating in the rock mass a loss of soluble components occurs, and these components are carried away in this degradation process beyond the dome. Based on the relevant calculations, K. Poborska-Młynarska (1984) determined an annual leaching degree of 6 mm per unit area, noting at the same time that the given value may be higher due to the accompanying processes. The loss of rock mass in the vertical profile does not have necessarily to reveal itself directly as ground subsidence. But the direct effect of the process is the salt karst phenomena which is the main cause of the sinkholes which had been observed on the surface many years before (the last in 2003). Moreover, the factor compensating for the subsidence of the top surface of the salt diapir is an uplifting resulted from a secondary crystallization of the gypsum and rock salt from the circulating saline water and the halokinetic movement of the salt masses upwards.

A consideration of both the geological conditions and the mining activities carried out in the salt deposit are important from the point of view of the observed deformation of the land surface but with the decreasing influence of the old mining exploitation the outline of vertical displacements, which more and more reveal the effects of natural processes, becomes more varied.

Ground surface movements roughly reflect the contemporary tectonic activity of the Inowroclaw salt
structure. The performance of the vertical displacements of the benchmarks of the research network for the last quarter-century shows its significant mobility. The results of measurements were discussed in the context of the state of the tectonic mobility of the area and the geological structure of the dome. Unusual agreement in the rates of geological processes (salt diapir uplift) determined by geodetic measurements and estimated by geological methods over a long period of time confirms the accuracy of previous ideas about the contemporary diapir movements of the Inowroclaw Salt Dome. The repeated leveling evaluated for the last two time intervals has provided similar regions and rates of uplifts, giving an additional support of consistency of the measurements. These evaluations have been a the result of the lowering of the salt mirror as a result of the effect of its natural degradation, and also taking in consideration the influences of exploitation (with various methods. (Szczerbowski and Gawałkiewicz 2005; 2020).

3. GEODETIC MEASUREMENTS

The oldest leveling in Inowroclaw was carried out by Caville in 1894, the next in 1905 by Weinreich, but the valuable measurements, from which the results are available to us were performed in 1913 (Kortas 1996). In 1956, the intensification of the mining exploitation of the salt deposit and the development of the subsidence basin made it necessary to expand the observation network with new points (Tarczyński 1984). The leveling network covered the entire town of Inowroclaw and large adjacent areas. The design of the leveling network included two levels of leveling: basic and filling. The maximum possible number of benchmarks from the leveling from 1913 and 1933 (Szpetkowski 1959) was included in the network. Measurements were connected to four benchmarks considered as reference points. The measurements were made by the use of a precise leveling method carried out at annual intervals, although from 1973 the entire network was observed every 2 years. In 1978 the existing network was expanded with new points covering the entire area of mining influence, thus creating a modern network of points, many of which, unfortunately, no longer exist.

In 1986 subsequent to governmental decision to close the mine and a process of flooding its workings began. This process was finally completed in 1991. Thus, mining activities in the area of Inowroclaw were finally terminated. The leveling measurements showed an almost complete cessation of ground surface subsidence. These leveling measurements, performed in 1995, were the last to be carried out under the mine’s authority.

In the years 2002, 2004, 2005 and 2018, research was conducted in order to actually stop surface movements in Inowroclaw (Szczerbowski 2004; 2005; 2007). In 2002, a research network with 17 earth benchmarks was established. These so-called geodynamic points were additionally applied in GPS and gravimetric measurements. In this way, the old mining network was enhanced with benchmarks installed in the ground. Currently, several of these benchmarks have been destroyed, the remaining ones are the only ground points used in precise leveling measurements which are taken for analysis of any deformation of terrain surface in Inowroclaw.

In the years 2002–2018, the measurements were carried out on nearly 100 benchmarks, which cover about 2.5 km² of the area of the town, and the total length of the leveling sections was usually over 20 km. The results of the benchmark height measurements were verified with additional observations on selected sections.

Moreover, as it was mentioned the ground benchmarks were applied in GPS measurements of height changes (vertical displacements), and the GPS sessions ensured the appropriate accuracy of the determined heights (related to the point 3403 of the POLREF network). I should be pointed out that leveling measurements were always made with reference to points located outside the former mining area of the Solno mine.

As part of the last campaign carried out in 2018, the number of benchmarks involved in the measurements was clearly smaller. The reasons for the loss of geodetic marks are mainly the result of the renovation and reconstruction of the facades of buildings. However, heights were determined for 80 benchmarks in the town: over 70 wall benchmarks and several ground points (so-called geodynamic, GPS series). The measurements covered nearly 2.4 km² of the town of Inowroclaw, and the length of the leveling lines were approximately 18 km.

The benchmark stability analysis is based on the rigorous adjustment of the leveling network with a benchmark placed in its central part, which is assumed as the reference benchmark. It should be as close as possible to the geometric center (centroid) of the figure formed by the network points. This procedure can be repeated...
by adopting another point from the central part of the area. In this way, errors of the determined heights of the benchmarks are calculated by minimizing the total length of the leveling sections in relation to the reference benchmark (due to its central position). It is crucial to determine the most reliable values of vertical displacements. It should be noted that the determined displacement values are often close to the values of the error of their determination, hence a method of apparent displacements is applied. The method has been discussed in some manuals devoted to the elaborations of surveying results (Bryś and Przewłocki 1998; Prószyński and Kwaśniak 2006).

Due to the initial alignment of the leveling results obtained in measurements of 2018 the maximum error of the point height was $m_H = \pm 1.30$ mm and the average error $m_{H_{\text{mean}}} = \pm 0.94$ mm. The distant points were considered as reference benchmarks in rigorous adjustment: 126 and GPS10. The same points were considered as reference benchmarks in an adjustment of the measurements of 2005. Similar values of the errors were obtained in previous measurements carried out in the period of 2002-2004. The values of the heights were expressed in the Amsterdam normal height system.

The values of vertical displacements of the $i$-th point in time interval 2005–2018 were determined from the relationship:

$$\Delta H_i = H_{2018}^i - H_{2005}^i$$

and the mean errors of the vertical displacement of the $i$-th point from the formula:

$$m_{\Delta H_i} = \pm \sqrt{m_{H_{\text{mean}}^2}^2 + m_{H_{\text{mean}}^2}^2}$$

The average value of error of the displacement evaluated for the 2005–2018 period in the initial adjustment amounted to a value of $m_{\Delta H} = \pm 1.34$ mm.

In the new approach the point of 130 ID was considered as the reference benchmark due to its location (nearly centroid) and the small value of the calculated error. The In calculation $H = 100$ m was presumed as its provisional height. The rigorous adjustment was made with an application of the leveling data obtained in the measurements carried out in 2005 and in 2018. As the result of all this data the calculations were able to provide provisional (relative) heights of the points and their errors.

According to the apparent displacement method and proceeding from on the basis of the calculations, the values of the vertical displacements were verified (checking the consistency criterion), assuming that the permissible height difference from the two independently aligned measurements must meet the criterion:

$$|\Delta H_i| \leq k \cdot m_{\Delta H_i}$$

where:
- $k$ – significance coefficient (assumed $k = 2$ for the statistical significance $\alpha = 0.05$).
- $m_{\Delta H2005-2018}$ – average apparent error of the vertical displacement of the $i$-th benchmark.

Moreover,
- the average error of apparent vertical displacement in in measurements of the 2005 survey campaign was $\pm 0.78$ mm;
- the average apparent error of vertical displacement in in measurements of the 2018 survey campaign was $\pm 0.66$ mm;

The analysis of the constancy of points in the network using the apparent displacement method shows that out of the 77 points measured in total of both of the survey campaigns, the assumed criterion meets 7 points:

411 ($H_{2009} = 87.81692$ m), $m_{DH} = \pm 1.88$ mm),
770 ($H_{2009} = 95.72974$ m), $m_{DH} = \pm 0.81$ mm),
197 ($H_{2009} = 97.94204$ m), $m_{DH} = \pm 0.6$ mm),
198 ($H_{2009} = 95.71018$ m), $m_{DH} = \pm 0.41$ mm),
72 ($H_{2009} = 94.58602$ m), $m_{DH} = \pm 0.33$ mm),
130 ($H_{2009} = 100$ m), $m_{DH} = \pm 0.73$ mm),
71 ($H_{2009} = 95.13187$ m), $m_{DH} = \pm 1.33$ mm).

Following on from these results these consistent points were taken in further adjustment as the reference benchmarks. The results of the calculations for points selected as a sample are presented in Tab. 1.

On this basis, the apparent displacements $H_{2005-2018}(S)$ were transformed to a new reference level according to the relationship:

$$S = \frac{1}{u} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{u} \Delta H_{2005-2018}$$

$S$ – the displacement (shift) of reference level
($S = -0.73$ mm);
$u$ – the number of consistent points fulfilling criterion ($u = 7$).
Tab. 1. Outcomes of the first step in the calculation by the apparent displacements method of leveling results carried out in Inowroclaw in 2005 and 2018

| Point's ID | H2005 [m] | \( m_{H2005} \) [mm] | H2018 [m] | \( m_{H2018} \) [mm] | DH2005-2018 [mm] | Average apparent error \( m_{DH2005-2018} \) [mm] | \( |\Delta H 2005-2018| \) [mm] | State of consistency |
|------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| GPS8       | 94.04646  | 0.88             | 94.02806  | 0.77             | -18.40           | 1.17                         | 18.40             | not consistent    |
| GPS9       | 90.99258  | 1.27             | 90.98149  | 0.98             | -11.09           | 1.60                         | 11.09             | not consistent    |
| GPS13      | 98.39267  | 0.72             | 98.36091  | 0.51             | -31.76           | 0.88                         | 31.76             | not consistent    |
| 25         | 91.76907  | 0.97             | 91.76266  | 0.82             | -6.41            | 1.27                         | 6.41              | not consistent    |
| 27         | 95.71684  | 0.91             | 95.70748  | 0.73             | -9.36            | 1.17                         | 9.36              | not consistent    |
| 31         | 98.89677  | 0.76             | 98.88018  | 0.58             | -16.59           | 0.96                         | 16.59             | not consistent    |
| 52         | 100.66176  | 0.65             | 100.63652 | 0.54             | -25.24           | 0.85                         | 25.24             | not consistent    |
| 57         | 95.32406  | 0.88             | 95.30468  | 0.73             | -19.38           | 1.14                         | 19.38             | not consistent    |
| 62         | 94.45710  | 0.8              | 94.44831  | 0.7              | -8.79            | 1.06                         | 8.79              | not consistent    |
| 63         | 94.72740  | 0.79             | 94.72002  | 0.69             | -7.38            | 1.05                         | 7.38              | not consistent    |
| 66         | 95.61411  | 0.67             | 95.59973  | 0.61             | -14.38           | 0.91                         | 14.38             | not consistent    |
| 67         | 96.36192  | 0.69             | 96.34662  | 0.58             | -15.30           | 0.90                         | 15.30             | not consistent    |
| 71         | 95.13127  | 0.49             | 95.13187  | 0.36             | 0.60             | 0.61                         | 0.60              | consistent        |
| 72         | 94.58642  | 0.54             | 94.58602  | 0.46             | -0.40            | 0.71                         | 0.40              | consistent        |
| 77         | 91.53643  | 0.8              | 91.52784  | 0.73             | -8.59            | 1.08                         | 8.59              | not consistent    |
| 78         | 89.67648  | 0.86             | 89.66576  | 0.79             | -10.72           | 1.17                         | 10.72             | not consistent    |
| 79         | 87.96662  | 0.93             | 87.95533  | 0.87             | -11.29           | 1.27                         | 11.29             | not consistent    |
| 126        | 86.11775  | 0.97             | 86.10384  | 0.89             | -13.91           | 1.32                         | 13.91             | not consistent    |
| 130        | 100.00000 | 0                | 100       | 0                | 0.00             | 0.00                         | 0.00              | consistent        |
| 411        | 87.81953  | 1.39             | 87.81692  | 1.09             | -2.61            | 1.77                         | 2.61              | consistent        |

average = 0.78 average = 0.66

| number of consistent points = |
| number of not consistent points = |
| sum = |

\[ S \text{ – reference level shift} = -0.73 \]
The last stage of the procedure was to determine “real” displacements after a shift operation. The value of the shift (T) was assumed as 9.9 mm and it was calculated as being the average value of the differences between the values of the apparent displacements and values calculated in an initial adjustment:

\[ T = \Delta H_{2005-2018} - \Delta H_{2005-2018(S)} \]

So, this strict alignment provided new values for the displacements considered to be the most probable. The results of this stage of procedure are presented in Tab. 2.

The average value of the displacement error evaluated in adjustment of this method is ±1.02 mm. It is clearly less than in the initial adjustment (±1.34 mm). Considering the obtained values of the displacements it is easy do determine points which demonstrated deviation in the values of the displacements calculated in the initial adjustment and also by the apparent displacements method. The results of the comparative analysis are presented in Fig. 2. The vast majority of the points

| Point’s ID | \( H_{2005} \) | \( m_{2005} \) | \( H_{2018(S)} \) | \( m_{2018} \) | \( \Delta H_{2005-2018(S)} \) | Displacements reduced by T |
|------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| GPS8       | 94.04646       | 0.88           | 94.03063        | 0.98           | -15.83                      | -5.92                     |
| GPS9       | 90.99258       | 1.27           | 90.98524        | 0.79           | -7.34                       | 2.57                      |
| GPS 13     | 98.39267       | 0.72           | 98.36039        | 0.94           | -32.28                      | -22.37                    |
| 25         | 91.76907       | 0.97           | 91.76220        | 1.52           | -6.87                       | 3.04                      |
| 27         | 95.71684       | 0.91           | 95.70699        | 1.35           | -9.85                       | 0.06                      |
| 31         | 98.89677       | 0.76           | 98.87965        | 1.06           | -17.12                      | -7.21                     |
| 52         | 100.66176      | 0.65           | 100.63801       | 0.80           | -23.75                      | -13.84                    |
| 57         | 95.32406       | 0.88           | 95.30704        | 0.97           | -17.02                      | -7.11                     |
| 62         | 94.45710       | 0.80           | 94.44798        | 1.29           | -9.12                       | 0.79                      |
| 63         | 94.72740       | 0.79           | 94.71968        | 1.27           | -7.72                       | 2.19                      |
| 66         | 95.6149.91     | 0.67           | 95.59936        | 1.12           | -14.75                      | -4.84                     |
| 67         | 96.36192       | 0.69           | 96.34624        | 1.06           | -15.68                      | -5.77                     |
| 71         | 95.13127       | 0.49           | 95.12994        | 0.00           | -1.33                       | 8.58                      |
| 72         | 94.58642       | 0.54           | 94.58609        | 0.00           | -0.33                       | 9.58                      |
| 77         | 91.53643       | 0.80           | 91.52965        | 1.19           | -6.78                       | 3.13                      |
| 78         | 89.67648       | 0.86           | 89.66756        | 1.30           | -8.92                       | 0.99                      |
| 79         | 87.96662       | 0.93           | 87.95713        | 1.47           | -9.49                       | 0.42                      |
| 126        | 86.9.91775     | 0.97           | 86.10564        | 1.52           | -12.11                      | -2.20                     |
| 130        | 100.00000      | 0.00           | 99.99927        | 0.00           | -0.73                       | 9.18                      |
| ...        | ...            | ...            | ...             | ...            | ...                         | ...                       |
| 411        | 87.81953       | 1.39           | 87.82141        | 0.00           | 1.88                        | 11.79                     |

average = 0.78

average = 0.99

Tab. 2. Final outcomes of the first step calculation by the apparent displacements method of leveling results carried out in Inowrocław in 2005 and 2018

Tab. 2. Ostateczne rezultaty obliczeń z zastosowaniem metody przemieszczeń pozornych dla wyników pomiarów niwelacyjnych zrealizowanych w Inowrocławiu w latach 2005 i 2018
show deviation of the values within range of the error. However there are points, which determined displacements clearly deviate from values assumed as the most probable, i.e. obtained by the apparent displacements method: 71 (3.06 mm), 126 (2.2 mm), 132 (–2.63 mm), 57 (–3.34 mm), GPS 8 (–3.79 mm), GPS 9 (–6.93 mm), GPS 10 (–8.6 mm), 411 (–8.66 mm). So it can be seen that the benchmarks 126 and GPS10, assumed as reference points in the initial adjustment, were displaced in fact. The distribution of differences between displacements obtained in the both methods is presented in a form of a map on Fig. 3.

However, the new approach in adjustment doesn’t influence to any great extent the spatial distribution of the displacements.

4. FINIAL REMARKS

The noticeable development of leveling instruments used fro survey operation and measurement data processing (digital electronic level is a standard for instruments used at present) has as led to better precision, accuracy and reliability of results in the determination of heights. The demand for a high-quality vertical positioning of points is required in many fields and for many applications. One of these is for the determination of ground displacements in urban areas. It involves the use of proper measurements, consistent reference benchmarks, correct adjustments etc.

This study proposes an approach in adjustment calculations known as the apparent displacement method. Despite the fact that the standard adjustments provided results (vertical displacements of points) with high accuracy (small errors of determined displacements), we felt that an application of the method for adjusting a level network was made them much better. So, thanks to the numerical results of the method, the vertical displacement signals demonstrated by benchmarks in a level network in Inowrocław have become much more validated. Thanks to the method the point considered as reference were recognized as unstable. For The vast majority of the points difference between the displacements obtained o the base of the standard approach and those calculated by the apparent displace-
ments method is minimal (from −1.5 mm to +1.5 mm). Several points demonstrated higher difference ranging in the interval −9 mm – +3 mm.

The results presented in this case study show that the proposed approach can properly depict the displacements and minimize the inconsistencies between previously elaborated heights and inconsistencies of points considered as reference benchmarks.
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Fig. 3. The spatial distribution of differences between the corrected and primary calculated values of the vertical displacements of the benchmarks

Ryc. 3. Rozkład przestrzenny różnic pomiędzy skorygowanymi a pierwotnie obliczonymi wartościami przemieszczeń pionowych reperów