ABSTRACT
EBMT (Example-Based Machine Translation) is proposed. EBMT retrieves similar examples (pairs of source phrases, sentences, or texts and their translations) from a database of examples, adapting the examples to translate a new input. EBMT has the following features: (1) It is easily upgraded simply by inputting appropriate examples to the database; (2) It assigns a reliability factor to the translation result; (3) It is accelerated effectively by both indexing and parallel computing; (4) It is robust because of best-match reasoning; and (5) It well utilizes translator expertise. A prototype system has been implemented to deal with a difficult translation problem for conventional Rule-Based Machine Translation (RBMT), i.e., translating Japanese noun phrases of the form "N_1 no N_2" into English. The system has achieved about a 78% success rate on average. This paper explains the basic idea of EBMT, illustrates the experiment in detail, explains the broad applicability of EBMT to several difficult translation problems for RBMT and discusses the advantages of integrating EBMT with RBMT.

1 INTRODUCTION
Machine Translation requires handcrafted and complicated large-scale knowledge (Nirenburg 1987). Conventional machine translation systems use rules as the knowledge. This framework is called Rule-Based Machine Translation (RBMT). It is difficult to scale up from a toy program to a practical system because of the problem of building such a large-scale rule-base. It is also difficult to improve translation performance because the effect of adding a new rule is hard to anticipate, and because translation using a large-scale rule-based system is time-consuming. Moreover, it is difficult to make use of situational or domain-specific information for translation.

In order to conquer these problems in machine translation, a database of examples (pairs of source phrases, sentences, or texts and their translations) has been implemented as the knowledge (Nagao 1984; Sumita and Tsutsumi 1988; Sato and Nagao 1989; Sadler 1989a; Sumita et al. 1990a, b). The translation mechanism retrieves similar examples from the database, adapting the examples to translate the new source text. This framework is called Example-Based Machine Translation (EBMT).

Section 2 explains the basic idea of EBMT. Section 3 discusses the broad applicability of EBMT and the advantages of integrating it with RBMT. Sections 4 and 5 give a rationale for section 3, i.e., section 4 illustrates the experiment of translating noun phrases of the form "N_1 no N_2" in detail, and section 5 studies other phenomena through actual data from our corpus. Section 6 concludes this paper with detailed comparisons between RBMT and EBMT.

2 BASIC IDEA OF EBMT
2.1 BASIC FLOW
In this section, the basic idea of EBMT, which is general and applicable to many phenomena dealt with by machine translation, is shown.

Figure 1 shows the basic flow of EBMT using translation of "kieru"[cut / be sharp]. From here on, the literal English translations are bracketed. (1) and (2) are examples (pairs of Japanese sentences and their English
Translations) in the database.

Examples similar to the Japanese input sentence are retrieved in the following manner. Syntactically, the input is similar to Japanese sentences (1) and (2). However, semantically, "kachou" [chief] is far from "houchou" [kitchen knife]. But, "kachou" [chief] is semantically similar to "kanojo" [she] in that both are people. In other words, the input is similar to example sentence (2). By mimicking the similar example (2), we finally get "The chief is sharp".

Although it is possible to obtain the same result by a word selection rule using fine-tuned semantic restriction, note that translation here is obtained by retrieving similar examples to the input.

- Example Database
  (data for "kireru" [cut/ be sharp])
  (1) houchou wa kireru -> The kitchen knife cuts.
  (2) kanojo wa kireru -> She is sharp.
- Input
  kachou wa kireru -> ?
- Retrieval of similar examples
  (Syntax) Input = (1), (2)
  (Semantics) kachou /= houchou
  kachou = kanojo
  (Total) Input = (2)
- Output
  -> The chief is sharp.

Figure 1 Mimicking Similar Examples

2.2 DISTANCE

Retrieving similar examples to the input is done by measuring the distance of the input to each of examples. The smaller a distance is, the more similar the example is to the input. To define the best distance metric is a problem of EBMT not yet completely solved. However, one possible definition is shown in section 4.2.2.

From similar examples retrieved, EBMT generates the most likely translation with a reliability factor based on distance and frequency. If there is no similar example within the given threshold, EBMT tells the user that it cannot translate the input.

3 BROAD APPLICABILITY AND INTEGRATION

3.1 BROAD APPLICABILITY

EBMT is applicable to many linguistic phenomena that are regarded as difficult to translate in conventional RBMT. Some are well-known among researchers of natural language processing and others have recently been given a great deal of attention.

When one of the following conditions holds true for a linguistic phenomenon, RBMT is less suitable than EBMT.
(Ca) Translation rule formation is difficult.
(Cb) The general rule cannot accurately describe phenomena because it represents a special case, e.g., idioms.
(Cc) Translation cannot be made in a compositional way from target words (Nagao 1984; Nitta 1986; Sadler 1989b).

This is a list (not exhaustive) of phenomena in J-E translation that are suitable for EBMT:
- optional cases with a case particle ("~ de", "~ ni",...)
- subordinate conjunction ("~ ba ~", "~ nagara ~", "~ tara ~",..., "~ baai ~",...)
- noun phrases of the form "N1 no N2".
- sentences of the form "N1 wa N2 da"
- sentences lacking the main verb (eg. sentences of the form "~ o-negaishimasu")
- fragmental expressions ("hai", "sou-desu", "wakarimashita",...)(Furuse et al. 1990)
- modality represented by the sentence ending ("~tainodesuga", "~seteitadakimasu",...)(Furuse et al. 1990)
- simple sentences (Sato and Nagao 1989)

This paper discusses a detailed experiment for "N1 no N2" in section 4 and prospects for other phenomena, "N1 wa N2 da" and "~ o-negaishimasu" in section 5.

Similar phenomena in other language pairs can be found. For example, in Spanish to English translation, the Spanish preposition "de", with its broad usage like Japanese "no", is also effectively translated by EBMT. Likewise, in German to English translation, the German complex noun is also effectively translated by EBMT.

3.2 INTEGRATION

It is not yet clear whether EBMT can or should deal with the whole process of translation. We assume that there are many kinds of phenomena. Some are suitable for EBMT, while others are suitable for RBMT.

Integrating EBMT with RBMT is expected to be useful. It would be more acceptable for users if RBMT were first introduced as a base system, and then incrementally have its translation performance improved by attaching EBMT components. This is in the line with the proposal in Nagao (1984). Subsequently, we proposed a practical method of integration in
previous papers (Sumita et al. 1990a, b).

4 EBMT FOR "N_t no N_s"

4.1 THE PROBLEM

"N_t no N_s" is a common Japanese noun phrase form, "no" in the "N_t no N_s" is a Japanese adnominal particle. There are other variants, including "deno", "karano", "madeno" and so on.

Roughly speaking, Japanese noun phrases of the form "N_t no N_s" correspond to English noun phrases of the form "N_s of N_t" as shown in the examples at the top of Figure 2.

| Japanese                  | English                          |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| youka no gogo             | the afternoon of the 8th        |
| kaigi no mokuteki        | the object of the conference    |
| kaigi no sankaryou       | the application fee for the conf. |
| kyouto deno kaigi        | the conf. in Kyoto              |
| isshukan no kyuka        | a week's holiday                |
| mitsu no hoteru          | three hotels                    |

Figure 2 Variations in Translation of "N_t no N_s"

However, "N_s of N_t" does not always provide a natural translation as shown in the lower examples in Figure 2. Some translations are too broad in meaning to interpret, others are almost ungrammatical. For example, the fourth one, "the conference of Kyoto", could be misconstrued as "the conference about Kyoto", and the last one, "hotels of three", is not English. Natural translations often require prepositions other than "of", or no preposition at all. In only about one-fifth of "N_t no N_s" occurrences in our domain, "N_s of N_t" would be the most appropriate English translation. We cannot use any particular preposition as an effective default value.

No rules for selecting the most appropriate translation for "N_t no N_s" have yet been found. In other words, the condition (Ca) in section 3.1 holds. Selecting the translation for "N_t no N_s" is still an important and complicated problem in J-E translation.

In contrast with the preceding research analyzing "N_t no N_s" (Shimazu et al. 1987; Hirai and Kitahashi 1986), deep semantic analysis is avoided because it is assumed that translations appropriate for given domain can be obtained using domain-specific examples (pairs of source and target expressions). EBMT has the advantage that it can directly return a translation by adapting examples without reasoning through a long chain of rules.

4.2 IMPLEMENTATION

4.2.1 OVERVIEW

The EBMT system consists of two databases: an example database and a thesaurus; and also three translation modules: analysis, example-based transfer, and generation (Figure 3).

Examples (pairs of source phrases and their translations) are extracted from ATR's linguistic database of spoken Japanese with English translations. The corpus contains conversations about registering for an international conference (Ogura 1989).

Figure 3 System Configuration

The thesaurus is used in calculating the semantic distance between the content words in the input and those in the examples. It is composed of a hierarchical structure in accordance with the thesaurus of everyday Japanese written by Ohno and Hamanishi (1984).

Analysis

kyouto deno kaigi

Example-Based Transfer

d  Japanese          English
0.4 toukyou deno taizai the stay In Tokyo
0.4 honkon deno taizai the stay In Hongkong
0.4 toukyou deno go-taizai the stay In Tokyo
1.0 oosaka no kaigi the conf. In Osaka
1.0 toukyou no kaigi the conf. in Tokyo

Generation

the conf. In Kyoto

Figure 4 Translation Procedure

Figure 4 illustrates the translation procedure with an actual sample. First, morphological analysis is performed for the input phrase,"kyouto[Kyoto] deno kaigi [conference]". In this case, syntactical
analysis is not necessary. Second, similar examples are retrieved from the database. The top five similar examples are shown. Note that the top three examples have the same distance and that they are all translated with "in". Third, using this rationale, EBMT generates "the conference in Kyoto".

4.2.2 DISTANCE CALCULATION

The distance metric used when retrieving examples is essential and is explained here in detail. Here we suppose that the input and examples (I, E) in the database are represented in the same data structure, i.e., the list of words' syntactic and semantic attribute values (referred to as and \( I_i, E_i \) for each phrase.

The attributes of the current target, "N1 no N2", are as follows: 1) for the nouns "N1" and "N2": the lexical subcategory of the noun, the existence of a prefix or suffix, and its semantic code in the thesaurus; 2) for the adnominal particle "no": the kinds of variants, "deno", "karano", "madeno" and so on. Here, for simplicity, only the semantic code and the kind of adnominal are considered.

Distances are calculated using the following two expressions (Sumita et al. 1990a, b):

\[
\text{(1) } d(I, E) = \sum d(I_i, E_i) \cdot w_i
\]

\[
\text{(2) } w_i = \sqrt{\frac{\text{freq. of t.p. when } E_i = I_i}{2}}
\]

The attribute distance, \( d(I_i, E_i) \) and the weight of attribute, \( w_i \), are explained in the following sections. Each translation pattern (t.p.) is abstracted from an example and is stored with the example in the example database (see Figure 6).

(a) ATTRIBUTE DISTANCE

For the attribute of the adnominal particle "no", the distance is 0 or 1 depending on whether or not they match exactly, for example, \( d("deno", "deno") = 0 \) and \( d("deno", "no") = 1 \).

For semantic attributes, however, the distance varies between 0 and 1. Semantic distance \( d(0 \leq d \leq 1) \) is determined by the Most Specific Common Abstraction (MSCA) (Kolodner and Riesbeck 1989) obtained from the thesaurus abstraction hierarchy. When the thesaurus is \((n+1)\) layered, \((k/n)\) is assigned to the concepts in the \(k\)-th layer from the bottom. For example, as shown with the broken line in Figure 5, the MSCA("kaigi" [conference], "taizai" [stay]) is "koudou" [actions] and the distance is 2/3. Of course, 0 is assigned when the MSCA is the bottom class, for instance, MSCA("kyoto"[Kyoto], "toukyou" [Tokyo]) = "timei"[place], or when nouns are identical (MSCA(N, N) for any N).

(b) WEIGHT OF ATTRIBUTE

The weight of the attribute is the degree to which the attribute influences the selection of the translation pattern (t.p.). We adopt the expression (2) used by Stanfill and Waltz (1986) for memory-based reasoning, to implement the intuition.

| t.p. freq. | t.p. freq. | t.p. freq. |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| B in A 12/27 | B in A 3/3 | B 9/24 |
| AB 4/27 | AB 9/24 |
| B from A 2/27 | B in A 2/24 |
| BA 2/27 | A's B 1/24 |
| ... ... ... | ... ... ... |
| B to A 1/27 | B on A 1/24 |
| (E1=timei) | (E2=deno) | (E3=soudan) |

\[\text{Figure 6 Weight of the } i\text{-th attribute}\]
In Figure 6, all the examples whose E2 = "deno" are translated with the same preposition, "in". This implies that when E2 = "deno", E2 is an attribute which heavily influences the selection of the translation pattern. In contrast to this, the translation patterns of examples whose E1 = "timei"[place], are varied. This implies that when E1 = "timei"[place], E1 is an attribute which is less influential on the selection of the translation pattern.

According to the expression (2), weights for attributes, E1, E2 and E3 are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
W_1 &= \sqrt{\frac{12}{27} + \frac{4}{27} + \ldots + \frac{1}{27}} = 0.49 \\
W_2 &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{3}} = 1.0 \\
W_3 &= \sqrt{\frac{9}{24} + \frac{9}{24} + \ldots + \frac{1}{24}} = 0.54
\end{align*}
\]

(c) TOTAL DISTANCE

The distance between the input and the first example shown in Figure 4 is calculated using the weights in section 4.2.2(b), attribute distances as explained in section 4.2.2(a) and expression (1) at the beginning of section 4.2.2.

\[
d(\text{'kyouto'[Kyoto] 'deno'[in] 'kaigi'[conference],} \text{'toukyou'[Tokyo] 'deno'[in] 'taizai'[stay]}) = d('kyouto','toukyou')*0.49 + d('deno','deno')*1.0 + d('kaigi','taizai')*0.54 = 0.49 + 0.49 + 0.49/3*0.54 = 0.4
\]

4.3 EXPERIMENTS

The current number of words in the corpus is about 300,000 and the number of examples is 2,550. The collection of examples from another domain is in progress.

4.3.1 JACKKNIFE TEST

In order to roughly estimate translation performance, a jackknife experiment was conducted. We partitioned the example database (2,550) in groups of one hundred, then used one set as input (100) and translated them with the rest as an example database (2,450). This was repeated 25 times.

Figure 7 shows that the average success rate is 78%, the minimum 70% and the maximum 89% [see section 4.3.4].

It is difficult to fairly compare this result with the success rate of the existing MT system. However, it is believed that current conventional systems can at best output the most common translation pattern, for example, "B of A", as the default. In this case, the average success rate may only be about 20%.
4.3.3 SUCCESS RATE PER DISTANCE

Figure 9 shows the relationship between the success rate and the distance between the input and the most similar examples retrieved. This graph shows that in general, the smaller the distance, the better the quality. In other words, EBMT assigns the distance between the input and the retrieved examples as a reliability factor.

![Figure 9 Success Rate per Distance](image)

4.3.4 SUCCESSES AND FAILURES

The following represents successful results:

1. The noun phrase "kyouto-eki [Kyoto-station] no o-mise [store]" is translated according to the translation pattern "B at A" while the similar noun phrase, "kyouto[Kyoto] no shiten [branch]" is translated according to the translation pattern "B in A";
2. The noun phrase of the form "N1 no hou" is translated according to the translation pattern "A", in other words, the second noun is omitted.

We are now studying the results carefully and are striving to improve the success rate.

(a) About half of the failures are caused by a lack of similar examples. They are easily solved by adding appropriate examples.

(b) The rest are caused by the existence of similar examples: (1) equivalent but different examples are retrieved, for instance, those of the form "B of A" and "AB" for "rokugatsu [June] no futsu-ka [second]". This is one of the main reasons the graphs (Figure 7 and 8) show an up-and-down pattern. They can be regarded as a correct translation or the distance calculation may be changed to handle the problem;

2. Because the current distance calculation is inadequate, dissimilar examples are retrieved.

5 PHENOMENA OTHER THAN "N1 no N2"

This section studies the phenomena, "N1 wa N2 da" and "~ o-negaishimasu" with the same corpus used in the previous section.

5.1 "N1 wa N2 da"

A sentence of the form "N1 wa N2 da" is called a "da" sentence. Here "N1" and "N2" are nouns, "wa" is a topical particle, and "da" is a kind of verb which, roughly speaking, is the English copula "be".

The correspondences between "da" sentences and the English equivalents are exemplified in Figure 10. Mainly, "N1 wa N2 da" corresponds to "N1 be N2" like (a-1) ~ (a-4).

However, sentences like (b) ~ (e) cannot be translated according to the translation pattern "N1 be N2". In example (d), there is no Japanese counterpart of "payment should be made by". The English sentence has a modal, passive voice, the verb make, and its object, payment, while the Japanese sentence has no such correspondences. This translation cannot be made in a compositional way from the target words which are selected from a normal dictionary. It is difficult to formulate rules for the translation and to explain how the translation is made. The conditions (Ca) and (Cc) in section 3.1 hold true.

Conventional approaches lead to the understanding of "da" sentences using contextual and extra-linguistic information. However, many translations exist that are the result of human translators' understanding. Translation can be made by mimicking such similar examples.

Example (e) is special, i.e., idiomatic. The condition (Cb) in section 3.1 holds.

(a) N1 be N2

N1, N2

watashii[I] jonson[Johnson]
kochira[this] jimukyoku[secretariat]
denwa-bango[telephone number] 06-951-0866[06-951-0866]
sanka-hi[fee] 85,000-en[85,000 yen]

(b) N1, cost N2

yokoushuu[proc.] 30,000-en[30,000 yen]

(c) for N1, the feels N2

kigyou[companies] 85,000-en[85,000 yen]

(d) payment should be made by N2

hiyou[fee] ginnkou-furikomi [bank-transfer]

(e) the conference will end on N2

saishuu-bi[final day] 10gatsu12nichi[Oct. 12th]

Figure 10 Examples of "N1 wa N2 da"

The distribution of N1 and N2 in the examples
of our corpus vary for each case. Attention should be
given to 2-tuples of nouns, \( (N_1, N_2) \). \( N_2 \)s of (a-4), (b) and (c) are similar, i.e., both mean "prices". However
\( N_2 \)s are not similar to each other. \( N_2 \)s of (a-4) and (d)
are similar, i.e., both mean "fee". However, the \( N_2 \)s
are not similar to each other. Thus, EBMT is
applicable.

5.2 "~ o-negaishimasu"

Figure 11 exemplifies the correspondences
between sentences of the form "~ o-negaishimasu"
and the English equivalents.

(a) may I speak to N jimukyoku[secretariat] o

(b) please give me N go-juusyo[address] o

(c) please pay by N genkin[cash] de...

(d) yes, please hai...

(e) thank you voroshiku...

Figure 11 Examples of "~ o-negaishimasu"

Translations in examples (b) and (c) are
possible by finding substitutes in Japanese for
give me and pay by , respectively. The conditions (Ca)
and (Cc) in section 3.1 hold. Usually, this kind of
supplement is done by contextual analysis. However,
the connection between the missing elements and the
noun in the examples is strong enough to reuse,
because it is the product of a combination of
translator expertise and domain specific restriction.
Examples (a), (d) and (e) are idiomatic expressions.
The condition (Cb) holds. The distribution of the
noun and the particle in the examples of our corpus
varies for each case in the same way as in the "da"
sentence. EBMT is applicable.

6 CONCLUDING REMARKS

Example-Based Machine Translation (EBMT)
has been proposed. EBMT retrieves similar examples
(pairs of source and target expressions), adapting
them to translate a new source text.

The feasibility of EBMT has been shown by
implementing a system which translates Japanese
noun phrases of the form "N_1 no N_2" into English
noun phrases. The result of the experiment was
encouraging. Broad applicability of EBMT was
shown by studying the data from the text corpus.
The advantages of integrating EBMT with RBMT were
also discussed. The system has been written in
Common Lisp, and is running on a Genera 7.2
Symbolics Lisp Machine at ATR.

(1) IMPROVEMENT

The more elaborate the RBMT becomes, the
less expandable it is. Considerably complex rules
concerning semantics, context, and the real world, are
required in machine translation. This is the notorious
AI bottleneck: not only is it difficult to add a new
rule to the database of rules that are mutually
dependent, but it is also difficult to build such a rule
database itself. Moreover, computation using this
huge and complex rule database is so slow that it
forces a developer to abandon efforts to improve the
system. RBMT is not easily upgraded.

However, EBMT has no rules, and the use of
examples is relatively localized. Improvement is
effected simply by inputting appropriate examples
into the database. EBMT is easily upgraded, which
the experiment in section 4.3.2 has shown: the
more examples we have, the better the quality.

(2) RELIABILITY FACTOR

One of the main reasons users dislike RBMT
systems is the so-called "poisoned cookie" problem.
RBMT has no device to compute the reliability of
the result. In other words, users of RBMT cannot
trust any RBMT translation, because it may be
wrong without any such indication from system.
Consider the case where all translation processes have
been completed successfully, yet, the result is
incorrect.

In EBMT, a reliability factor is
assigned to the translation result according
to the distance between the input and the
similar examples found [see the experiment in
section 4.3.3]. In addition to this, retrieved examples
that are similar to the input convince users that the
translation is accurate.

(3) TRANSLATION SPEED

RBMT translates slowly in general because it
is really a large-scale rule-based system, which
consists of analysis, transfer, and generation modules
using syntactic rules, semantic restrictions, structural
transfer rules, word selections, generation rules, and
so on. For example, the Mu system has about 2,000
rewriting and word selection rules for about 70,000
lexical items (Nagao et al. 1986).

As recently pointed out (Furuse et al. 1990),
conventional RBMT systems have been biased
toward syntactic, semantic, and contextual analysis,
which consumes considerable computing time.
However, such deep analysis is not always necessary
or useful for translation.

In contrast with this, deep semantic analysis
is avoided in EBMT because it is assumed that
translations appropriate for given domain
can be obtained using domain-specific
examples (pairs of source and target
expressions). EBMT directly returns a translation
without reasoning through a long chain of rules [see
sections 2 and 4).

There is fear that retrieval from a large-scale example database will prove too slow. However, it can be accelerated effectively by both indexing (Sumita and Tsutsumi 1988) and parallel computing (Sumita and Iida 1991). These processes multiply acceleration. Consequently, the computation of EBMT is acceptably efficient.

(4) ROBUSTNESS

RBMT works on exact-match reasoning. It fails to translate when it has no knowledge that matches the input exactly.

However, EBMT works on best-match reasoning. It intrinsically translates in a fail-safe way (see sections 2 and 4).

(5) TRANSLATORS EXPERTISE

Formulating linguistic rules for RBMT is a difficult job and requires a linguistically trained staff. Moreover, linguistics does not deal with all phenomena occurring in real text (Nagao 1988).

However, examples necessary for EBMT are easy to obtain because a large number of texts and their translations are available. These are realization of translator expertise, which deals with all real phenomena. Moreover, as electronic publishing increases, more and more texts will be machine-readable (Sadler 1989b).

EBMT is intrinsically biased toward a sublanguage: strictly speaking, toward an example database. This is a good feature because it provides a way of automatically tuning itself to a sublanguage.
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