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Chimeric coronavirus-like particles carrying severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SCoV) S protein protect mice against challenge with SCoV
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Summary
We tested the efficacy of coronavirus-like particles (VLPs) for protecting mice against severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SCoV) infection. Coexpression of SCoV S protein and E, M and N proteins of mouse hepatitis virus in 293T or CHO cells resulted in the efficient production of chimeric VLPs carrying SCoV S protein. Balb/c mice inoculated with a mixture of chimeric VLPs and alum twice at an interval of four weeks were protected from SCoV challenge, as indicated by the absence of infectious virus in the lungs. The same groups of mice had high levels of SCoV-specific neutralizing antibodies, while mice in the negative control groups, which were not immunized with chimeric VLPs, failed to manifest neutralizing antibodies, suggesting that SCoV-specific neutralizing antibodies are important for the suppression of viral replication within the lungs. Despite some differences in the cellular composition of inflammatory infiltrates, we did not observe any overt lung pathology in the chimeric-VLP-treated mice, when compared to the negative control mice. Our results show that chimeric VLP can be an effective vaccine strategy against SCoV infection.

© 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction
Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) is a newly emerged disease caused by SARS coronavirus (SCoV). SARS originated in Southern China in 2002 and spread to five different continents causing >8000 infection and >700 deaths.
before its apparent eradication as a human infection in 2004 [1]. Healthcare systems in affected areas were severely stressed and additional economic costs in trade and travel were very high. It is not known if the virus will be reintroduced into the human population but ancestral coronaviruses are widely distributed in bats and are thought to have adapted to civets and then to humans in recent time periods [2,3]. Because emerging viruses tend to reemerge as conditions change [4], it is highly desirable to develop safe and efficacious vaccines and/or antivirals to prevent SCoV infections.

All coronaviruses, including SCoV, carry four structural proteins: nucleocapsid (N) protein and three envelope proteins, namely spike (S) protein, a type I transmembrane glycoprotein; envelope (E) protein; and membrane (M) protein, which has three membrane-spanning domains. Coronavirus S protein is responsible for virus adsorption to susceptible cells through a specific virus–receptor interaction and induces membrane fusion between viral envelope and host cell membrane [5]. S protein is a main player for determining coronavirus tissue tropism, host specificity and viral pathogenicity [6–12]. Because most coronavirus neutralizing antibodies recognize S protein [1,13], it is not surprising that most of the current SCoV vaccine candidates are either the S protein subunit itself or those carrying S protein [14–19]. Furthermore, prophylactic administration of monoclonal antibodies directed at the SCoV S protein protects animals against subsequent SCoV challenge [20–23]. These studies point out that neutralizing antibodies that recognize SCoV S protein are sufficient to prevent or decrease the morbidity and mortality associated with SCoV infection by primarily suppressing replication of the challenge virus.

Coronavirus-like particles (VLPs) are produced from the cells coexpressing the S, M, and E proteins [24]; expression of the latter two proteins are sufficient for VLP production [24]. M protein plays a central role in virus assembly, while S protein is assembled into coronavirus particles through S protein–M protein interaction [25–28]. Further, interactions of the M protein with the RNA packaging signal of the viral RNA [29] and with N protein [29–32] drive incorporation of the helical nucleocapsid complex, which consists of the viral genome and N protein, into virus particles. Vaccinia virus and/or alphavirus replicons have been used to express coronavirus proteins to enable generation of VLPs [33–35], while we have reported production of SCoV VLPs from 293T cells that are co-transfected with four eukaryotic pCAGGS-based expression plasmids, each of which encodes SCoV S, M, N and E proteins [36]. Others have also reported production of SCoV VLP from insect cells [37,38] and mammalian cells [39].

During our studies of coronavirus assembly, we found an efficient production of chimeric VLPs carrying SCoV S protein and murine coronavirus (mouse hepatitis virus or MHV) M, N and E proteins from cells coexpressing those proteins. In mice immunized with the chimeric VLPs, the present study describes elicitation of antibodies that neutralized SCoV and suppressed challenged SCoV replication in the lungs. These findings suggest that the use of chimeric VLP is an effective vaccine strategy against SCoV infection.

Materials and methods

Cells and virus

Vero E6 cells, 293T cells and CHO cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified minimum essential medium (DMEM) supplemented with penicillin (100 units/ml), streptomycin (100 μg/ml), 0.2% sodium bicarbonate and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). The Urbani strain of SCoV was obtained from T.G. Ksiazek at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Atlanta, GA), and a working stock of this virus was prepared by serially passaging a portion of the seed virus twice in Vero E6 cells. The culture fluid from infected cells was clarified by low-speed centrifugation and was filtered using a 0.45 μm filter, portioned, and frozen at −80°C. Medium from uninfected Vero E6 cells was similarly treated and processed.

Plasmid construction

The plasmids, pCAGGS-MHV-A59/S, pCAGGS-MHV-A59/E, pCAGGS-MHV-A59/M, and pCAGGS-A59/N, were constructed by inserting the entire region of MHV structural proteins, S, E, M and N, respectively, into a chicken beta-actin promoter-based expression plasmid, pCAGGS-MCS; plasmid pMH54 [40] was obtained from Paul Masters and used as a template for PCR amplification of MHV structural genes. Construction of plasmids, pCAGGS-S expressing SCoV S protein, pCAGGS-E expressing SCoV E protein, pCAGGS-M expressing SCoV M protein, and pCAGGS-N expressing SCoV N protein, was described previously [36].

Preparation and purification of VLPs

For preparation of MHV VLPs, subconfluent 293T cell cultures in 100-mm tissue culture dishes were cotransfected with 14 μg of pCAGGS-MHV-A59/S, 0.4 μg of pCAGGS-MHV-A59/E, 3.5 μg of pCAGGS-MHV-A59/M, and 14 μg of pCAGGS-A59/N using TransIT-293 reagent (Mirus). At 3 days posttransfection, the culture media were collected, centrifuged at 1550 × g for 10 min and filtered through a 0.45-μm filter to remove cell debris. The MHV VLPs were pelleted down through 20% sucrose cushion at 26,000 rpm for 3 h by using a Beckman SW 28 rotor. After the pellets were suspended in NTE buffer (100 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris—HCl, pH 7.0, 1 mM EDTA), the VLPs were centrifuged using a Beckman SW 28 rotor at 26,000 rpm for 3 h on a discontinuous sucrose gradient consisting of 60, 50, 30, and 20% sucrose. The VLPs at the interface of 30 and 50% sucrose were collected, diluted and further purified on a discontinuous sucrose gradient consisting of 60, 50, 30, and 20% sucrose at 26,000 rpm for 18 h. Purified and concentrated VLPs at the interface between 50 and 30% sucrose were collected, diluted and pelleted through a 20% sucrose cushion at 26,000 rpm for 2 h. The pellets were suspended in NTE buffer and kept at −80°C until further use. For preparation of SCoV VLP, a mixture of 2.8 μg of pCAGGS-S, 13.2 μg of pCAGGS-E, 1.4 μg of pCAGGS-M, and 2.8 μg of pCAGGS-N was cotransfected into 293T cells grown on a 100-mm tissue culture plate. Chimeric VLPs were generated by transfecting 293T cells or CHO cells in a
100-mm tissue culture plate with 14 μg of pCAGGS-S, 0.4 μg of pCAGGS-MHV-A59/E, 3.5 μg of pCAGGS-MHV-A59/M, and 14 μg of pCAGGS-A59/N. SCoV VLPs and chimeric VLPs were purified using the same procedure as described for the MHV VLP purification.

Quantitation of VLP amounts

The total protein concentration of VLPs was quantitated by Bio-Rad DC protein assay according to the manufacturer’s instructions (BIO-RAD, CA).

Western blot analysis

Western blotting was performed as described previously [36]. For detection of MHV S, M and N proteins, we used anti-MHV serum, which was produced by immunizing rabbits with a purified JHM strain of MHV, kindly provided by Susan Baker, Loyola University of Chicago. For detection of SCoV S protein, a mixture of purified rabbit polyclonal anti-SCoV S protein antibody (ABGENT, cat. no. AP6000a) and polyclonal rabbit anti-SCoV S protein antibody (IMGENEX, cat. no. IMG 541) was used. SCoV N protein was detected by using rabbit polyclonal anti-SCoV N protein antibody (IMGENEX, cat. no. IMG 548), and SCoV M protein was detected by using a mixture of SCoV PUMP antibody-N-terminal (ABGENT, cat. no. AP6008a), SCoV PUMP antibody C-terminal (ABGENT, cat. no. AP6008b) and anti-SCoV M antibodies (ProSci, cat. no. 3527P and cat no. 3529P).

Colloidal Coomassie blue staining

After washing the gel with water, proteins in the gel were stained by soaking the gel with Bio-Safe Coomassie Stain (BIO-RAD) with gentle agitation for 1 h. The gel was rinsed extensively with water overnight.

Electron microscopic analysis of chimeric VLP

Carbon-coated, 200-mesh copper grids were floated on drops of chimeric VLP for 10 min. After washing the grids with water three times, negative staining was performed using 2% phosphotungstic acid (pH 7.0) for 1 min. After air drying of the grids, the sample was examined under a Philips 201 transmission electron microscope, and pictures were taken at 60 kV.

Animals

Six- to 8-week-old, female Balb/c mice (Charles River laboratory, Wilmington, MA) were housed in cages covered with barrier filters in an approval biosafety level 3 animal facility maintained by the University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston, Texas. All of the mouse experiments were performed using experimental protocols approved by the University of Texas Medical Branch Investigational Animal Care and Use Committee; all of the experiments were carried out following National Institutes of Health and United States Department of Agriculture guidelines.

Procedures for immunization and SCoV challenge

MHV VLPs, chimeric VLPs or influenza virus vaccine were adjusted to twice their desired final concentration (μg/0.1 ml) and then mixed with an equal volume of phosphate buffered saline (PBS) or alum adjuvant (Imject alum, Pierce, cat. no. 77161). At day 0, they were administered intramuscularly (i.m.) using 1-ml tuberculin syringes (Norm-Ject, Tuttlingen, Germany) and 26-g, 3/8-in. beveled needles (Becton Dickinson, cat. no. 305110). In control groups, mice were inoculated i.m. with Vero E6 cell culture fluid, a mixture of influenza vaccine and alum, or alum alone, or they were left untreated. Mice were inoculated on day 0 and, as indicated in the body of the paper a second injection was given on day 28 in most experiments. SCoV was inoculated directly into the nares of mice (40 μl) that were lightly anesthetized with isoflurane (IsoFlo; Abbott Laboratories; North Chicago, IL). Mice that were inoculated with 1 × 10^6 TCID₅₀ of SCoV at day 0 to provide post-infection immunity did not undergo a second inoculation. In most of our experiments, immunized mice were challenged by intranasal (i.n.) inoculation of 1 × 10⁶ TCID₅₀ of SCoV at day 56 and they were euthanized at day 58, while in some experiments mice were inoculated with SCoV at day 28 and they were euthanized at day 30. Day 2 post inoculation was chosen because of reports that peak titer occurs at that time [23] and this was confirmed in our laboratory.

Titration of SCoV-specific neutralizing antibodies

Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and then bled from the retro-orbital sinus plexus. After heat inactivation at 56 °C for 30 min, sera were stored at 4 °C. The assay for virus-specific neutralizing antibodies was performed on serial 2-fold diluted samples of each serum beginning at a dilution of 1:5 using 2% FBS-DMEM as the diluent in 96-well tissue culture plates (Falcon 3072); the final volume of the serially diluted samples in each well was 60 μl. After addition of 120 TCID₅₀ of SCoV in 60 μl into each well, the samples were incubated for 45—60 min at room temperature. Then 100 μl of these mixtures, containing 100 TCID₅₀ of SCoV, were transferred into duplicate wells of confluent Vero E6 cells grown in 96-well microtiter plates. After 72 h incubation, when the virus control wells exhibited advanced virus-induced CPE, the neutralizing capacity of individual serum samples was confirmed in our laboratory.

Collection of lungs, histology, immunohistochemistry, and virus titration

Two days post SCoV challenge, mice were euthanized and their lungs were removed. Lung lobes, including right middle lobe, right lower lobe, accessory lobe and left lobe, were removed and placed in 10% buffered formalin for subsequent histological examination and immunohistochemistry (IHC) as described previously [41]. For virus titration, the remaining lobes of the lungs were weighed and frozen at −80 °C before being homogenized in PBS/10% FBS solution.
using the TissueLyser (Qiagen; Retsch, Haan, Germany). The homogenates were then centrifuged, and SCoV titers in the clarified fluid were determined in a TCID$_{50}$ assay in quadruplicate wells of Vero E6 cells in 96-well plates. Titers of virus in lung homogenates were expressed as TCID$_{50}$/gram of the lungs (log$_{10}$) and the minimal detectable level of virus was 2.3 log$_{10}$ TCID$_{50}$/g.

Formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissue sections were subjected to the standard hematoxylin and eosin and IHC staining for evaluating histopathology and detecting SCoV antigen, respectively [41].

Statistical analysis

By using a statistical program Instat, (version 3, Graphpad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA), we performed the Kruskal–Wallis non-parametric analysis of variance (ANOVA) test to compare arithmetic mean SCoV lung titers and neutralizing antibody titers; undetectable virus titers were assigned a value of 1.8 (the minimal detection limit being 2.3 log$_{10}$/g lung) and undetectable virus-specific neutralizing titers were assigned a value of 10.

Results

Production and characterization of chimeric VLPs

We have previously reported the successful production of SCoV VLPs from 293T cells cotransfected with four pCAGGS-based plasmids, each of which encodes SCoV S, M, N or E proteins [36] without using exogenous viruses for protein expression. In the study reported here we varied the amount of each plasmid for cotransfection and were able to generate approximately 1.3 μg of SCoV VLPs from 2 × 10$^7$ 293T cells. Colloidal Coomassie blue staining and Western blot analysis identified SCoV S, N and M proteins in the purified SCoV VLPs (Fig. 1A). Likewise, transfection of four pCAGGS-based plasmids, each of which encoded MHV S, E, M or N proteins, resulted in production of MHV VLPs (Fig. 1A). After optimization, we obtained approximately 22.3 μg of purified MHV VLPs from 2 × 10$^7$ 293T cells, demonstrating that the efficiency of MHV VLP production was substantially better than that of SCoV VLP production. Because M and E proteins drive VLP assembly and release [24], and coronavirus S protein is incorporated into VLPs through M–S interaction [25,27,28,42], these data indicated that MHV M and E proteins resulted in more efficient VLP production than did SCoV M and E proteins.

We next tested whether coexpression of SCoV S protein and MHV E, N and M protein could result in the efficient production of chimeric VLPs which would contain high concentrations of the SCoV S protein. If SCoV S protein interacts with MHV M protein, then the robust VLP production machinery driven by MHV M and E proteins, could result in efficient chimeric VLP production. Chimeric VLP production, indeed, occurred from 293T cells that were cotransfected with plasmids, each of which expressed SCoV S protein, MHV N protein, MHV M protein or MHV E protein (Fig. 1A). Colloidal Coomassie blue staining and Western blot analysis of purified chimeric VLP showed the presence of SCoV S, MHV N and MHV M proteins in chimeric VLPs, with only a low level of host protein contamination. Negative staining of chimeric VLP revealed a typical spherical coronavirus structure with peplomers (Fig. 1B). After optimization, approximately 8.7 μg of purified chimeric VLPs was produced from 2 × 10$^7$ 293T cells; the efficiency of chimeric VLP production was about 8 times better than that of SCoV VLPs.

We next tested efficiencies of chimeric VLP production from Vero and CHO cells, both of which have been used for the preparation of human vaccines [43–47]. By using various DNA transfection reagents and combinations of different concentrations of each plasmid for transfection, the efficiencies of chimeric VLP production from Vero cells were about 10 times lower than those from 293T cells (data not shown). We found that when we used TransIT-293, the production of chimeric VLPs from CHO cells was about one-third of those from 293T cells in repeated experiments (data not shown). Prolonged incubation of transfected 293T cells and CHO cells for more than 4 days posttransfection did not improve the accumulation of chimeric VLPs in the supernatant (data not shown).

Serum neutralizing antibody titers and lung SCoV titers in the mice immunized once with chimeric VLPs

For assessing the usefulness of chimeric VLPs as a SCoV vaccine candidate, we first tested the effects of immunization of mice with chimeric VLPs on serum neutralizing antibody production and inhibition of SCoV replication in the lung. Six-to-eight-week-old female Balb/c mice were inoculated i.m. once with a mixture of 2 μg of chimeric VLPs prepared from 293T
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Effects of chimeric VLP amounts on neutralizing antibody titers and inhibition of challenged SCoV replication in the lungs

To study the immunogenicity of chimeric VLPs, three groups of mice were inoculated i.m. with 0.5, 1, or 2 μg mixed with alum. Other groups of mice received a mixture of 2 μg of chimeric VLPs and PBS, 2 μg of MHV VLPs and alum, 1 μg of influenza A virus vaccine and alum, Vero E6 cell culture fluid, live SCoV, or alum alone, or the mice received no treatment. Mean neutralizing titers at 28 days post inoculation in mice receiving 0.5, 1, or 2 μg chimeric VLPs with alum were 20 ± 10, 84 ± 75, and 110 ± 60, respectively (Fig. 3A). In the absence of alum, 2 μg chimeric VLPs induced a lower but significant neutralizing antibody response of 12.5 ± 5. The mice infected with SCoV had the neutralizing titer of 70 ± 20. The mice inoculated with 2 μg of MHV VLP had no detectable virus-specific neutralizing antibodies, demonstrating that MHV S protein did not elicit neutralizing antibodies against SCoV. The neutralizing antibody titers at 28 days post inoculation were not investigated in the groups of mice inoculated with influenza vaccine and alum, alum alone, or in those receiving no treatment.

At day 28, these mice, except for those untreated and those that had been inoculated with live SCoV, were boosted with the same material. Then the neutralizing antibody titers at day 56 were determined (Fig. 3B). Mice injected with chimeric VLPs and alum had no increase in titer at the lowest dose, but 1- and 2-μg doses resulted in marked booster responses. Animals receiving the highest dose developed titers of 200 ± 97.7, while those infected with SCoV had the neutralizing titer of 97 ± 60. A second inoculation of a mixture of 2 μg chimeric VLPs with PBS efficiently boosted median neutralizing antibody titers to 57 ± 21, which was higher than the titer following 0.5 μg of chimeric VLP mixed with alum (15 ± 5.7). No neutralizing antibodies were detected at the lowest dilution tested in groups receiving MHV VLP, influenza vaccine and alum, alum alone, or placebo or in those left untreated.

All mice were challenged i.n. with live SCoV on day 56 and sacrificed 2 days later. As expected, maximal pulmonary virus titers were detected in the groups of mice inoculated with placebo (6.9 log₁₀/g), MHV VLPs (6.2 log₁₀/g), influenza vaccine and alum (7.5 ± 0.1), alum alone (7.3 ± 0), and in those left untreated (8.5 ± 0) (Fig. 3C). Partial protection was obtained in groups of mice inoculated twice with 0.5 μg chimeric VLP mixed with alum (5.5 log₁₀/g), 2 μg chimeric VLPs and PBS (3.9 log₁₀ ± 1.1) or 1 μg chimeric VLPs mixed with alum (3.1 log₁₀ ± 0.5). Notably, no virus...
Figure 3  SCoV-specific neutralizing serum antibody titers in the immunized mice and SCoV titers in lungs of mice 2 days after intranasal challenge with SCoV at day 56. On day 0, mice were either left untreated or were inoculated i.n. with placebo (medium from Vero E6 cells), a mixture of 2 μg MHV VLPs and PBS, a mixture of 2 μg chimeric VLP and PBS, a mixture of 2 μg chimeric VLP and alum, a mixture of 1 μg chimeric VLP and alum, a mixture of 0.5 μg chimeric VLP and alum, 1 μg Flu vaccine plus alum, and with PR8 virus. (A) The graph represents virus-specific serum neutralizing antibody titers at 28 days post inoculation. Number of animals/group = 5. (B) At 56 days blood samples were collected, and then mice, excluding those inoculated with live SCoV and left untreated, were re-inoculated with the same material. The graph represents virus-specific, serum-neutralizing antibody titers at 28 days post inoculation. The vertical dashed line in (A) and (B) denote the minimal antibody detection level in this assay (1/10 dilution). (C) Mice were inoculated with 1 × 10⁶ TCID₅₀ of SCoV at day 56. After 2 days, mice were euthanized, and the lung virus titers were determined. The vertical dashed line in (C) denotes the minimal virus detection level in this assay (2.3 log₁₀ TCID₅₀/g lung). The data from two independent experiments were combined and are represented in (B) and (C). The number of mice used for (B) and (C) were: 7 for placebo, SCoV, and 2 μg chimeric VLP with PBS; 6 for 2 μg chimeric VLP with alum; 5 for MHV VLP with alum and 1 μg chimeric VLP with alum; 4 for 0.5 μg chimeric VLP with alum; and 3 for all other groups.

Histopathological examination and IHC of the lungs of the immunized mice after SCoV challenge

Histopathological examination of the groups of mice that neither produced neutralizing antibodies nor suppressed SCoV replication in the lungs (i.e., mice treated with Vero E6 cell culture fluid, inoculated with a mixture of influenza virus vaccine and alum, and with alum alone, as well as those left untreated), revealed moderate interstitial pneumonia (Fig. 4A and B). The bronchial epithelium appeared to be the main affected target with prominent cellular cytoplasmic swelling and blebbing. Extensive accumulation of cellular debris and necrotic epithelial cells, accompanied by inflammatory infiltrates, occurred in some bronchioles (Fig. 4A). We also observed a moderate infiltration of mononuclear cells around peribronchiolar and perivascular regions of infected tissues and a mild-to-moderate thickening of the bronchiolar interstitial tissues and alveolar walls with mononuclear cell infiltration (Fig. 4B). IHC staining demonstrated the presence of SCoV N protein within bronchiolar epithelial cells, but not within cells of alveolar lining (Fig. 4C). In contrast to the prominent pathology and viral replication in the lungs of the control animals, mild interstitial pneumonia was found, upon viral challenge, in the mice previously immunized with mixtures of either chimeric VLP and PBS, or chimeric VLP and alum, and in those infected with live SCoV (Fig. 4D, E, G, H). Specifically, cytopathology, i.e., swelling and blebbing, was rarely observed in bronchiolar epithelial cells, despite the presence of some desquamated cells within the airway lumen. Additionally, the thickening of bronchiolar interstitial tissues and the alveolar wall and cellular infiltration were less prominent when compared with those of the control groups. There was no big difference in the lung pathology between mice inoculated with VLP and PBS and those inoculated with VLP and alum. We noticed that inflammatory mononuclear cells seemed to be the main, if not only, cellular component of the cellular infiltrates around the peribronchiolar and perivascular regions of mice initially primed with live SCoV.

In addition to inflammatory mononuclear cells, we observed the infiltration of neutrophils and eosinophils around the bronchioles and blood vessels of mice inoculated with a mixture of chimeric VLPs and PBS and that of chimeric VLPs and alum (Fig. 4D and E); counting of the infiltrating cells at the five fields of each mouse’s lung tissues revealed that infiltrating eosinophils represented 13.2 ± 9.6% and 22.2 ± 9.9% of all the infiltrating cells in mice inoculated with a mixture of chimeric VLP and PBS and in those inoculated with chimeric VLP and alum, respectively. In contrast,
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Figure 4  Lung histopathology and immunohistochemistry of the control placebo and immunized mice at 2 days post SCoV challenge. Mice (n = 5 for all groups) were inoculated with VeroE6 cell culture fluids twice at days 0 and 28 (A–C), immunized with a mixture of chimeric VLPs and PBS at days 0 and 28 (D and G), chimeric VLPs and alum (E and H) at days 0 and 28, or live SCoV at day 0 only (F and I). These mice were challenged with SCoV at day 56 and sacrificed at day 58. (A) Bronchiolar epithelial cells showed swelling and blebbing of the luminal cytoplasm, and extensive cellular debris comprised of necrotic epithelium and inflammatory cells in the airway lumen. Moderate peribronchiolar mononuclear inflammatory cell infiltrates are also present (hematoxylin and eosin staining) (magnification, ×200). (B) Thickening of the bronchiolar interstitial tissues and alveolar walls with mononuclear cell infiltration (magnification, ×200). (C) SCoV N antigen was distributed in bronchiolar epithelial cells, as determined by immunohistochemistry (magnification, ×400). (D–F) Bronchiolar epithelial cells showed rare swelling and blebbing of the luminal cytoplasm, and the rare presence of cellular debris in airways (magnification, ×200). The peribronchiolar mononuclear, neutrophil and eosinophil infiltrates (D, inset) (magnification, ×400). (G–I) SCoV antigens were not detected by immunohistochemistry in the lungs of these mice (magnification, ×400).

Discussion

The present study tested the efficacy of immunization of mice with chimeric VLPs for elicitation of anti-SCoV-neutralizing antibodies, suppression of SCoV replication in...
the lungs, and SCoV-mediated lung cytopathology. Although various strategies for SCoV vaccines, including expression of SCoV S protein in other viruses [18,48,49], inactivated SCoV particles [50–53], DNA vaccines [17,54], recombinant S protein [55,56] and other approaches [57,58], have been reported, to our knowledge this is the first report testing VLPs propagated in mammalian cells as SCoV vaccine candidates. It appears that chimeric VLPs produced from mammalian cells in this study were more immunogenic than were SCoV VLPs produced by using a baculovirus-expression system [38]; immunization of the mice four times with 100 μg of the baculovirus-derived SCoV VLPs in each immunization elicited neutralizing antibody titers that were no longer higher than those obtained in the present study. Use of inactivated SCoV as a SCoV vaccine is a similar vaccine strategy that was explored in the present study. Others reported that immunizing mice twice with 1 μg of double-inactivated SCoV with alum elicited high titers of neutralizing antibodies [50]. A major negative aspect of the use of inactivated SCoV vaccines is that extreme care must be taken to completely inactivate infectious SCoV in the vaccine preparations. In contrast, a VLP-based vaccine strategy eliminates this safety concern.

Accumulated data suggest the importance of the compatibility of the S protein endodomain (or a cytoplasmic tail) and M protein for assembly of S protein into coronavirus or VLPs [40,59,60]; in past reports, S protein assembly occurred if these two regions are derived from the same virus, but not from different coronaviruses. Accordingly, we were surprised to find the efficient production of chimeric VLPs carrying SCoV S protein and MHV-derived N, M and E proteins. The present data suggest that the endodomain of SCoV S protein interacted efficiently with MHV M protein and assembled into VLPs. The endodomain of coronavirus S proteins has two partially overlapping sub-regions, a N-terminal, ~18-residue-long, cysteine-rich region and a C-terminal, ~27-residue-long, charge-rich region (Fig. 5). MHV lacking the very C-terminal 12-amino-acid segment of the S protein endodomain is viable and replicates well in cell culture, suggesting that the removal of 12 carboxy-terminal residues does not inhibit interactions between the mutant S protein and the M protein [25,26]. In contrast, replication is severely impaired in MHV mutants lacking the very C-terminal 22 amino acids or 25 amino acids of the S protein endodomain, i.e., constituting the majority of the charge-rich region [26]. Furthermore, a single point mutation of a charged amino acid to alanine in the charge-rich region of the endodomain has no detectable effect on the incorporation of a heterologous protein containing MHV S endodomain into virions, whereas mutants containing multiple charged residues-to-alanine significantly reduce the incorporation of the protein into virions [26]. These data suggest that the charge-rich region of the endodomain plays a key role in the selective inclusion of the S protein into the virion. We noted that there were 6 charged residues between the transmembrane domain and the C-terminal 12 amino acids in both SCoV and MHV, while only 3 charged residues are found in feline infectious peritonitis virus (FIPV) (Fig. 5). FIPV S protein is not assembled into VLPs or viruses that carry MHV M, N and E proteins [25]. Accordingly, the presence of the same number of charged residues at the region of the endodomain, which is considered to be important for S protein assembly into virus particles, in both SCoV and MHV implies that both viruses had a similar structure in this portion of the endodomain, allowing them to interact with MHV M protein.

Inoculation of mice with a mixture of chimeric VLPs and alum, but not that of MHV VLPs and alum, induced antibodies that neutralized SCoV (Fig. 3). The lack of heterologous protection confirmed that the SCoV S protein is the relevant constituent of the chimeric VLPs. As has been observed in other VLP systems [61,62], the particles themselves are immunogenic after 1 or 2 injections and provided protection when challenge was carried out after the second i.m. injection of only 2 μg. Addition of alum adjuvant enhanced induction of neutralizing antibodies, and indeed titers reached those post-infection after two injections of 2 μg. All VLP groups had significant protection as evidenced by reduction in lung viral titers 2 days after virulent virus challenge, the optimum time to detect replication in the Balb/c mouse [23]. Higher neutralizing antibody titers correlated with lower virus content, and the 2 μg plus alum groups had no detectable virus. Overall, our data were in agreement with past reports revealing the importance of SCoV-specific

Figure 5  Amino acid sequences of endodomains of SCoV, MHV and FIPV. A CLUSTALW alignment [80] of the carboxy-terminal of S protein sequences of SCoV (strain Urbani, GenBank accession no. AY278741), MHV (strain A59, GenBank accession no. NC_001846), and FIPV (GenBank accession no. AY994055). The transmembrane domain, cytoplasmic domain, cystein-rich region, and charge-rich region are indicated. Charge-residues in the membrane proximal region are shaded. Twelve residues near C-terminal region are in boxes. Asterisks represent identical residues.
neutralizing antibodies, most of which recognize S protein, for curbing SCoV replication in the lung [21,63—67]. Immunization of mice with Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus replicon particles encoding SCoV N protein induced an enhanced immunopathology, that included infiltrating eosinophils in the lungs, following SCoV challenge [68], implying the possibility that a SCoV vaccine lacking N protein expression may be desirable, as it would reduce the potential risk of immunopathological changes primed by immunization. In this regard, chimeric VLPs carrying MHV N protein or those lacking N protein (unpublished data) could eliminate the possible induction of SCoV N protein-induced immunopathology. The efficient production of chimeric VLP from CHO cells as we report in this study is promising as a new strategy for preparing VLP-based SCoV vaccine from mammalian cells; its suitability for preparing large quantities of VLP-based vaccine against SCoV remains to be determined. Further improvements of chimeric VLP production, e.g., codon-optimization of the SCoV S gene and MHV N, M and E genes in the expression plasmids and development of an inexpensive transfection reagent and/or procedure, would be required for the substantial enhancement of chimeric VLP production.

Accumulated data suggest that antibodies against the FIPV S protein fail to protect cats from FIPV challenge and enhance virus replication in the host through antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) [69—73]. It has been reported that antibodies that neutralize most human SCoV isolates enhance entry of a SCoV isolate from the civet in the cell culture level [74], but it is unclear whether ADE occurs in animals that are immunized with SCoV vaccine candidates. We did not observe signs of ADE in our study; the mice that were immunized with chimeric VLPs or initially inoculated with SCoV efficiently suppressed challenged SCoV replication. S protein in chimeric VLPs was derived from a SCoV Urbani strain, which was also used as the challenge virus, and VLPs induced antibodies that readily neutralized SCoV in vitro. In this regard, it is worth noting that immunization of mice with a Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus replicon carrying S protein of the Urbani strain showed limited protection against heterologous SCoV that contained S gene from the human GD03 isolate [68].

Subbarao et al. reported mild and focal peribronchiolar mononuclear inflammatory infiltrates and SCoV antigens in bronchiolar epithelial cells in the lungs of BALB/c mice at 2 days post SCoV inoculation [23]. Staining with SCoV monoclonal antibody to N protein, we also found SCoV antigen within bronchiolar epithelial cells after challenge of immunized mice. Consistent with the SCoV titers in the lungs, no viral antigen was detected in the lungs of the mice inoculated with chimeric VLPs. The absence of SCoV antigen in the lungs of VLP-immunized mice or mice pre-exposed to live virus, as indicated by the results of IHC staining (Fig. 4B), strongly argue for the efficacy of chimeric VLPs in protecting against SARS-CoV infection. SCoV-induced histopathological changes that were detected in immunized mice in our study appeared to be similar or slightly more severe, especially with respect to the changes in the bronchioles, than those described in the report of Subbarao et al. [23]. After SCoV challenge, the mice immunized with chimeric VLPs showed mixed peribronchiolar inflammatory infiltrates and a slight thickening of the peribronchiolar interstitium and alveolar walls. These lesions in immunized mice were milder than seen in unimmunized mice, demonstrating the effects of chimeric VLP immunization in suppressing SCoV-induced cytopathological changes in the lungs. The mice immunized with a mixture of chimeric VLP and alum had higher neutralizing antibody titers than did those immunized with a mixture of the chimeric VLP and PBS, while both groups of the mice showed similar levels of SCoV-induced lung cytopathology, suggesting neither alum nor neutralizing antibody titers were sole determinant of the severity of inflammatory responses. Possible cellular immune responses against chimeric VLP proteins were suggested by the observation that the mice inoculated with a mixture of chimeric VLPs and PBS and that of chimeric VLPs and alum, but not other groups of mice, showed infiltration of neutrophils and eosinophils around the bronchioles and blood vessels. In contrast, it has been reported that immunization of mice with a mixture of inactivated SCoV with adjuvant MF59 primary elicited humoral immune response [75]. Further investigation, using not only Balb/c mice but also other SCoV susceptible mice [41,76,77] and other animal models [78,79], will be necessary to evaluate the implications and impact of cellular immune activities, including pulmonary infiltration of neutrophils and eosinophils, as well as the utility of chimeric VLPs as a SCoV vaccine.
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