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Abstract

This document aims to describe a final approach and a landing trajectory generation method under the condition of total loss of thrust of the commercial transport aircraft to improve the flight safety. The thesis starts making an historical overview and treating some cases where we can study this phenomenon. With this historical approach, we want to investigate real situations of total loss of thrust and also we want to know the result of these cases in order to verify the safety aspect.

The body of this document consists in the description of a 2-dim two point boundary value problem being numerically solvable. In this part, we start with a theoretical approach that describes the situation with some equations. With this explanation, we will know how the situation is in a physical aspect. After this part, we focus on the implementation of a Matlab program using the previous equations described where we have make a simulation of some cases of total loss of thrust. The aim of this part is to deal with the landing previously described making a numerical approach. With this, we will be able to extract various conclusions about technical and safety aspects.

The conclusion of this document is a revision of the theoretical and numerical results and their relation with some safety and technical aspects.
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1. Introduction

This project consists in the review of the historical cases of total loss of thrust landing in civil airplanes, the theoretical approach to this situation and the generation of a trajectory, using the program Matlab, that can reproduce an idealization of this type of landing in 2 dimensions.

1.1 Motivation

The landing of a civil airplane is one of the most delicate parts of the flight. During the landing a lot of complications can appear like tailwind, lateral wind or various atmospheric problems. It is usual to deal with a landing with these types of problems like a typical landing with limited visibility for the appearance of fog, or a typical landing under different types of storms. The total loss of thrust it can be considered as one of the most dangerous situations of landing.

There is only one chance to land the aircraft under the condition of total loss of thrust. Also, the control power is very limited, although auxiliary power unit (APU) and ram turbine (RAT) begin to work. So the aircraft only can descend when both engines are damaged.

The trajectory for landing should be searched immediately after total loss of thrust for safety consideration. QRH (Quick Reference Handbook) is a document where the pilot can find how to enable a successfully relight of one or both engines, which is always assumed to be achieved, but if we restart engines we could miss the opportunity for the pilot to find a landing site and plan a flight trajectory. There is some flight management architecture to assist the pilot during this landing to find a proper landing site, such as the Emergency Planner (EFP).
1.2 Objectives

This section outlines which are the main purposes of this thesis.

The main objective of this project is to be able to generate a final approach and landing trajectory for a civil airplane in total loss of thrust using the program Matlab. In this document there is an implementation using Matlab that generates the trajectory described in order to achieve a correct 2 dimension idealization of the landing in total loss of thrust. Therefore the objectives of the project are:

- Making an historical overview of the total loss of thrust landings and understanding the reasons.
- Obtaining a theoretical approach of the problem in order to understand correctly what is the landing under conditions of total loss of thrust.
- Implementing a Matlab program to generate the landing trajectory.
- Understanding the main safety implications as a result of this type of landing.
2. Historical Overview

In this section we focus on some historical cases of total loss of thrust that have required gliding landing.

2.1 The Gimli Glider

The Gimli Glider is the name of an Air Canada. On July 23, 1983, Air Canada Flight 143, ran out of fuel at an altitude of 12,500 metres (41,000 ft) above sea level, in a flight from Montreal to Edmonton. The flight could glide the aircraft safely to an emergency landing at an auto racing track.

The subsequent investigation revealed that the amount of fuel that had been loaded was miscalculated because of a confusion using the metric system, that had recently been replaced the imperial system.

![Figure 2.1: Picture of the Gimli Glider after its landing at a racing track.](image)

After the pilots noticed that there is not enough fuel, they immediately look in their emergency checklist trying to fly the aircraft with both engines out, but this section did not exist. Fortunately, Captain Pearson was an experienced glider pilot, so he had familiar notions of gliding, a manoeuvre that had never been used in commercial flight.
2.2 TACA Flight 110

TACA Flight 110 was an international flight operated by TACA Airlines, traveling from Belize to New Orleans. On May 24, 1988, the Boeing 737-300 lost power in both engines but its pilots made an emergency landing with no deaths. The captain of the flight, Carlos Dardano of El Salvador, had only one eye due to a previous accident.

Investigation revealed that during descent from FL 350 (35,000 feet or 11,000 metres), Captain Dardano noticed areas of precipitation in their path.

The flight entered clouds at FL 300 (30,000 feet or 9,100 metres) and they encountered heavy rain and hail that makes the aircraft turbulence. Passing through 16,500 feet (5,000 m), both engines flamed out, leaving the jet gliding without any thrust or electrical power. The auxiliary power unit (APU) started to work and pilots were able to restart the engines using this power supply. However, the engines would not reach a normal acceleration and were not able to produce enough thrust. The pilots landed the aircraft gliding to an area of eastern New Orleans.

Figure 2.2: Picture of the Boeing 737-300 after its landing.
2.3 Tuninter Flight 1153

Tuninter Flight 1153 was a Tuninter Airlines international flight from Bari, Italy, to Djerba, Tunisia. On 6 August 2005, the Tuninter ATR-72 suffered an accident and fell into the Mediterranean Sea about 18 miles (29 km) from the city of Palermo. Sixteen of the 39 people on board died. The accident resulted from engine fuel exhaustion due to the wrong installation of fuel quantity indicators.

![Figure 2.3: Picture of the ATR-72 floating over the Mediterranean Sea.](image)

On the flight from Bari to Djerba, both engines cut out in mid-flight. The aircraft's right engine failed at 23,000 feet (7,000 metres). The aircraft began to descend and also the left engine failed. The fuel indicator did not detect the fuel exhaustion because the incorrectly installation. After the engine failure, the Captain requested an emergency landing in Palermo, Sicily. The ATR glided for 16 minutes, but was unable to reach the runway and the plane was forced to ditch into the sea. The aircraft broke into three sections due to the impact.

The entire aircraft floated for some time after the crash, but only the central fuselage and the wings remained floating. Patrol boats from Palermo arrived 46 minutes after the accident and began the rescue and recovery.
2.4 US Airways Flight 1549

On January 15, 2009, US Airways Flight 1549, an Airbus A320 piloted by Captain Chesley B. "Sully" Sullenberger and First Officer Jeffrey Skiles, made an unpowered emergency water landing in the Hudson River after multiple bird strikes caused both jet engines to fail. All 155 passengers and staff aboard the Airbus A320 successfully evacuated from the partially submerged into the river; they were rescued by watercraft. Several occupants suffered injuries, a few of them serious, but only one required hospitalization overnight. The incident came to be known as the "Miracle on the Hudson", and Captain Sullenberger and the crew were considered as heroes.

After, more or less, three minutes into the flight, the plane had an encounter with a flock of Canada geese during departure. The bird strike caused both jet engines to quickly lose power.

As the aircraft lost altitude, the pilots decided that the plane could not reach any potential landing track. They glided over the Hudson and finally made an emergency landing into the river.

![Figure 2.4: Picture of the Airbus A320 floating over the Hudson River.](image)
3. Theoretical Approach and Main Concepts

This section describes the theoretical approach that we have used to study this problem.

In order to study the Final Approach and landing Trajectory Generation for Civil Airplane in Total loss of Thrust, we must consider this problem as a 2 dimension Two Point Boundary Value Problem (TPBVP). Then, the situation of the problem is the shown in figure 3.1.

As we can see, the landing trajectory is composed mainly by 4 segments:

- **Steep Glideslope:**
  This is the starting segment and there is a linear relation between the altitude (h) and the horizontal distance (x). This segment composes the majority of the landing total trajectory.

- **Circular Flare:**
  After the first linear segment, the trajectory makes a circular correction of the trajectory with a certain radius. This radius will be calculated in this paper in another section and depends on factor like the weight, the aircraft velocity or the sustentation surface. In this segment there is a parabolic relation between the altitude (h) and the horizontal distance (x).

- **Exponential Decay:**
  Once the circular segment has been completed, the trajectory goes into an exponential segment. This segment small compared with the others. In this part there is an exponential relation between the altitude (h) and the horizontal distance (x).
- **Shadow Glideslope:**
  After the exponential segment, the trajectory finally ends in a linear segment until the aircraft touch down. In this segment, also there is a linear relation between the altitude (h) and the horizontal distance (x).

The parameters that we use in landing trajectory generation are shown in Table 1. Some of them are also describe parameters shown in figure 3.1.

| Numbers | Parameters | The meaning of parameters                        |
|---------|------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| 1       | $\gamma_1$| steep glideslope                                 |
| 2       | $\gamma_2$| shallow glideslope                               |
| 3       | XAIMPT     | the aim point of landing                         |
| 4       | HDECAY     | scale factor of exponential flare                |
| 5       | R          | radius of curvature of the circular flare        |
| 6       | XZERO      | intercept of the steep glideslope to the ground  |
| 7       | X_K        | downrange distance to the origin of the circular flare arc |
| 8       | H_K        | altitude distance to the origin of the circular flare arc |
| 9       | XEXP       | downrange distance to exponential flare initiation |
| 10      | HCLOOP     | altitude distance to circular flare initiation   |
| 11      | $\sigma$  | decay rate of the exponential flare              |
| 12      | XTER       | downrange distance to shallow glideslope initiation |

*Table 1: Landing Trajectory Parameters.*
3.1 Simplified Model

In order to simplify the implementation of the simulator in Matlab, and also to simplify some mathematical problems, we have decided to reduce our Landing trajectory to only 2 segments. These two segments are the Steep Glideslope and the Circular Flare. The situation is the figure 3.1. Being x1 and h1 the intersection between the first and the second segment.

![Figure 3.1: Simplified model of the landing trajectory.](image)

3.2 Mathematical description

Assuming a flat, non-rotating Earth, the equations of motion for flight are:

\[-D - m \cdot g \cdot \sin \gamma = m \cdot \dot{v} \]

\[L - m \cdot g \cdot \cos \gamma = m \cdot v \cdot \dot{\gamma} \]

Being $D$ the drag, $L$ the sustentation, $m$ the mass of the aircraft, $v$ the aircraft velocity and $\gamma$ the flight path angle.
3.2.1 Altitude

We start this mathematical description showing the equations that define the altitude of the trajectory as a function of the horizontal distance (x):

\[ h_{STEEP} = (X - XZERO) \times \tan \gamma \rightarrow \text{Steep Glideslope}. \]
\[ h_{CIRC} = H_K - \sqrt{R^2 - (X - X_K)^2} \rightarrow \text{Circular Flare}. \]

We can rewrite the previous equation in their differential form:

\[ \frac{dh_{STEEP}}{dx} = \tan \gamma \rightarrow \text{Steep Glideslope}. \]
\[ \frac{dh_{CIRC}}{dx} = \frac{X - X_K}{H_K - h} \rightarrow \text{Circular Flare}. \]

In order to ensure the continuity between segments, the trajectory parameter and derivative between two segments should be continuous:

\[ \frac{dh}{dx} \bigg|_{x=x_1} = -\frac{x_1 - X_K}{h_1 - H_K} = \tan \gamma \]
\[ \frac{h_1}{x_1 - XZERO} = \tan \gamma \]

Also, we need to define the parameter HCLOOP:

\[ HCLOOP = H_K - R \times \cos \gamma \]

3.2.2 Flight Path angle

The definition of the Flight Path angle is the angle comprised between the horizontal and the velocity vector of the aircraft. In the figure 3.2.2 we can see clearly the definition and its relation with the Pitch angle and the Angle of attack.

Figure 3.2.2: Definition of Flight Path angle.
According to the definition of flight path angle:

\[ \gamma = \arctan \frac{dh}{dx} \]

We look for a differential equation of the flight path angle for each segment of the trajectory:

\[ \frac{dy}{dh_{\text{STEEP}}} = 0 \rightarrow \text{Steep Glideslope.} \]

\[ \frac{dy}{dh_{\text{CIRC}}} = \frac{1}{(H_K - h) \cdot \tan \gamma} \rightarrow \text{Circular Flare.} \]

In the first segment of the trajectory, the flight path angle does not change, but during the second segment there is an evolution of this angle that depends on the altitude. To see clearly this relation we can integrate the differential equation and get:

\[ \gamma_{\text{CIRC}} = \arccos (\text{constant} \times (H_K - H_{\text{CIRC}})) \]

The constant in the equation depends on the initial conditions. For example, if our flight path angle is -4 degrees in the Steep Glideslope segment, the constant is 7.7 \times 10^{-4}.

### 3.2.3 Aircraft velocity

This section outlines the different considerations about the aircraft velocity.

In order to describe correctly the evolution of the aircraft velocity during the landing trajectory, it is important to define the stall speed:

\[ V_s = \sqrt{\frac{2 \times W}{\rho \times S \times C_{\text{LMAX}}}} \]

By definition, this speed coincides with the maximum aircraft lift and we obtain usually with attack angle around 15 degrees. Hereafter the lift suffer a drastic reduce if we increase the attack angle over that value. In the figure 3.2.3 we can see the situation described.
According to the velocity described previously, the landing regulations set that at the beginning of the landing linear segment, the speed must be:

\[ V_A = 1.3 \times V_S \]

And the speed touch down after finishing the circular segment must be:

\[ V_{TD} = 1.15 \times V_S \]

After this deceleration during the air landing trajectory, the aircraft reduce its velocity quickly in the landing track. The differential equations that describe the motion and the deceleration time are:

\[
\frac{dx}{dV} = \frac{W}{g \left( T - D - \mu_f \times (W - L) \right)} V
\]

\[
\frac{dt}{dV} = \frac{W}{g \left( T - D - \mu_f \times (W - L) \right)} V
\]

Where \( \mu_f \) is the stop coefficient, \( x \) is the horizontal distance, \( V \) the aircraft velocity, \( t \) the time spent, \( T \) the thrust, \( D \) the drag and \( L \) the lift.

We can make some consideration about these previous parameters. The stop coefficient is always between 0.3-0.4 and the thrust is zero if we are considering that there is no reverse flow during the aircraft stop.
3.2.4 Dynamic Pressure

The dynamic pressure is defined in a physical sense, as the kinetic energy per unit volume of a fluid particle. This parameter helps us to study the aerodynamic stress experienced by our civil airplane travelling at a certain velocity. Assuming that flight altitude monotonically decreases, the equation that define the dynamic pressure is:

\[ Q = \frac{W \cdot \cos \gamma}{S \cdot C_L} - \frac{2 \cdot W \cdot \sin \gamma}{\rho \cdot g} \cdot \left( \frac{d\gamma}{dh} \right) \]

Where \( \gamma \) represents the flight path angle. The differential form of the previous equation is:

\[ \frac{dQ}{dh} = \left( \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dh} - \frac{\rho \cdot g \cdot S \cdot C_D}{W \cdot \sin \rho} \right) \cdot Q - \rho \cdot g \]

We must noticed that the lift and drag coefficients depends on the match number and on the attack angle.

3.2.5 Radius

If we want to obtain a correct value of our radius for the circular segment, we have to study the situation carefully. The Circular Flare segment is shown in figure 3.2.4.1

![Figure 3.2.4.1: Circular Flare segment.](image)

As we can see, the aircraft follow a circular trajectory completing a part of a circumference. If we want to calculate an adequate radius for this part of the landing, we have to consider the forces balance in the aircraft when it reaches XAIMPT. This balance is shown in figure 3.2.4.2.
As we can see in figure 3.2.4.2 there are 3 important vertical forces. The vertical acceleration in XAIMPT it is zero because is when the aircraft touch down so:

\[ \sum F = 0 \]

\[ L - W - F_{CENTRIPETAL} = 0 \]

\[ \frac{1}{2} \rho S V_{TD}^2 C_{LMAX} - W - \frac{W}{g} \frac{V_{TD}^2}{R} = 0 \]

Where \( V_{TD} \) is the touch down velocity and it has been defined previously, \( S \) is the lift surface and \( C_{LMAX} \) is the maximum lift coefficient. From last equation we can obtain our desired radius:

\[ R = \frac{W V_{TD}^2}{g \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho S V_{TD}^2 C_{LMAX} - W \right)} \]

So now, we have defined the radius for our Circular Flare segment. Following the regulations, we know that the value of the angle between the horizontal line and the linear segment must be around 3 degrees (\( \Upsilon_i \)).
According to this, we must also fix the value of XZERO doing some geometrical considerations that are shown in figure 3.2.4.3:

\[
XZERO = R \cdot \sin \gamma_1 - \frac{HCLOOP}{\tan \gamma_1}
\]

Figure 3.2.4.3: Definition of XZERO.

### 3.3 Density

During the landing trajectory, the density varies as a function of the altitude. This is important to define correctly some parameters. In Table 2, we can see the variation described.

| Altitud (metros) | Valores de la densidad del aire ambiente |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
|                 | Mínimo (kg/m³) | Promedio (kg/m³) | Máximo (kg/m³) |
| 0               | 1.1405        | 1.2254           | 1.3167         |
| 305             | 1.1101        | 1.1886           | 1.2735         |
| 610             | 1.0812        | 1.1533           | 1.2302         |
| 914             | 1.0524        | 1.1197           | 1.2222         |
| 1000            | 1.0444        | 1.1101           | 1.1902         |
| 1219            | 1.0252        | 1.0861           | 1.1501         |
| 1524            | 0.9996        | 1.0556           | 1.1133         |
| 1829            | 0.9739        | 1.0236           | 1.0764         |
| 2000            | 0.9595        | 1.0076           | 1.0572         |
| 2134            | 0.9483        | 0.9931           | 1.0412         |
| 2438            | 0.9243        | 0.9643           | 1.0060         |
| 2743            | 0.8986        | 0.9355           | 0.9723         |
| 3000            | 0.8794        | 0.9115           | 0.9467         |
| 3048            | 0.8762        | 0.9082           | 0.9419         |

Table 2: Density variation with altitude.
4. Matlab implementation

This section explains the Matlab implementation of the program that simulates the Landing of a Civil Airplane in total Loss of Thrust.

First of all, the script is composed by a definition of all the landing trajectory parameters that we need to generate the simulation.

```matlab
%definitions
rc=1.23;% density at the sea level
gamma0=3*pi/180;% initial flight path angle
gamma=[gamma0];% flight path angle vector
v2=1.3*sqrt((S*W)/(S*rc*Cmax));%1.3 of stall speed; begging of air trajectory
v2f=1.15*sqrt((S*W)/(S*rc*Cmax));%1.15 of stall speed; final of air trajectory
R=(W*v2^2)/(2*(rc*S*v2f^2*Cmax-W));
Xaimpt=0;
Hx=R;
Hloop=Hx-R*cos(gamma0);
hinitial=1500;
```

*Figure 4.1: Landing parameters definition 1.*

In figure 4.1 and figure 4.2 are defined all the parameters needed for the posterior implementation. As is shown in figure 4.1, we have definition an initial flight path angle (-4 degrees) because is the most usual for the linear segment of the landing trajectory. Also, we have defined \( Y_1 \) following the regulations for the approach in the linear segment. In addition, we have also defined an initial altitude that allows us to study clearly the evolution of the altitude.

```matlab
Xzero=-(R*sin(gamma0)-Hloop/tan(gamma0));
Xinitial=-(Xzero+(hinitial/tan(gamma0)));
Xk=Xaimpt;
x=linspace(Xinitial,0,abs(Xinitial)+1);
h=[hinitial];
vair=[v2];% speed on air trajectory
vstep=(v2-v2f)/length(x);
```

*Figure 4.2: Landing parameters definition 2.*
4.1 Altitude loop

The first thing that we have implemented is the relation between the horizontal distance (x) and the altitude (h). In order to achieve a correct trajectory generation, we have programmed this part as a loop. The loop implementation is shown in figure 4.1.1.

```matlab
24 % Altitude loop
25 - for i=2:length(x)
26 -  v2bucle=vair(i-1)-vstep;
27 -  vair=[vair v2bucle]; % velocity vector during the landing in the air
28 -  if h(i-1)>Hloop
29 -  hstep=abs((x(i)-Xzerc))*tan(gamma1);
30 -  h=[h hstep];
31 -  elseif h(i-1)<Hloop
32 -  hcirc=Hk-sqrt(R^2-(x(i)-Xk)^2);
33 -  h=[h hcirc];
34 -  end
35 - end
```

*Figure 4.1.1: Altitude loop in Matlab.*

In this part the implementation has consists on an iteration process. We have been increasing the horizontal distance 1 meter and have calculated the value of the altitude in each iteration using the previous equation defined in the section 3.2.1. Depending on which segment of the trajectory the aircraft is, the value of the altitude for this iteration is calculated with the equation for the linear segment or with the equation for the circular segment. Finally, these values are accumulated in a vector called *h*.

4.2 Flight Path angle loop

In this loop, we have implemented the equations that relate the Flight Path angle with the altitude. These equations are described more carefully in section 3.2.2. The work done with Matlab is shown in figure 4.2.1.
As it is shown in the previous figure, we have defined the flight path angle for the linear segment and for the circular segment. During the Steep Glideslope (linear segment) the flight path angle remains constant and is -4 degrees. Once the aircraft descends to the Circular Flare segment, the flight path angle for each altitude is defined with the equation of line 44 that it has been described section 3.2.2. Each value is accumulated in a vector called $\gamma$.

4.3 Density loop

As it has been explained in section 3.3, the density is not constant during the landing. It is important to define correctly the density if we want to obtain reliable result of the equations for each segment. The definition that we have done in Matlab is shown in figure 4.3.1.

The implementation of this part has been simplified and we have defined an average value of the density in various altitude segments.
4.4 Velocity loop

In this section the implementation of the velocity is divided into 2 parts. On the one hand, the aircraft during its air landing trajectory has been defined into the altitude loop as we can see in figure 4.4.1.

As you can see, we have considered a linear deceleration during the air landing trajectory. The Civil Airplane starts with a velocity \( v_2 \) (1.3 stall speed) and it touches down with a velocity \( v_{2f} \) (1.15 stall speed). To iterate the velocity, we have set a velocity step according to the total horizontal distance. This is because, if we want to plot the horizontal distance with the velocity, the vectors length must coincide.

On the other hand, the deceleration of the airplane on the landing track has been implemented in another loop. First of all, we have defined some parameters that remain constant during the deceleration in the landing track. These parameters are shown in figure 4.4.2.

As you can see, it is imposed a non-existent thrust, but we also can impose a reverse thrust. This would be a negative value. Also, it is important to notice that the lift and drag coefficients depend on the airplane model that you have selected.

Once defined these previous parameters, we have calculated the velocity in each iteration using the equation described in section 3.2.3 and these values are accumulated in a vector as is usual. The procedure is realized in figure 4.4.3.
Finally, we have done some corrections in this loop for some parameters in order to get the same vector length for the correlations that we want to plot. Also, we have imposed a condition in order to make our velocity zero and not to obtain a negative value. These corrections are shown in figure 4.4.4.

4.5 Dynamic Pressure loop

In this section, we implement the evolution of the dynamic pressure during the landing trajectory. In order to define it correctly, we must use the equations described in section 3.2.4. The loop is shown in figure 4.5.1.
As it is shown, we have defined the dynamic pressure for the linear segment, where the flight path angle does not change with the altitude, and for the circular segment, where there is a change in flight path angle with the altitude.

Nevertheless the result of this loop is not reliable. The changes in flight path angle and in altitude are really small. For these reason, the loop gives us an asymptotic result that does not coincide with the real value of the dynamic pressure during the landing. For this reason, we have decided to maintain constant the dynamic pressure assigning it a typical value for landings. This value is approximately 2600 N per each m\(^2\).
5. Simulation results

This section focuses on the study of the simulation results of the program implemented in Matlab.

We have managed this part as a comparison between 2 civil airplanes that have different landing trajectory parameters. On the one hand, we study a Boeing 737-100 and on the other hand, an Airbus A380-800. The landing parameters are shown in figures 5.1 and 5.2.

As you can see in the figures, we are comparing two really different civil airplanes. Airbus A380 is much larger and heavier than Boeing 737. This is because we want to obtain different results in the Matlab simulation and for this reason, we have chosen civil airplanes with completely different parameters.

To obtain the weight and the lift surface is easy looking for internet. This does not happen if we want to find the aerodynamic coefficients. We have found the dates in a web called Airfoil tools. This web gives us some aerodynamic characteristics of each airplane depending on the profile that engineers have used to design it. Nevertheless, these profiles are 2 dimension designs that were used in the past and nowadays, engineers used CFD (Computational Fluid Dynamics) models in 3 dimensions using some mesh programs as ANSYS Fluent.

What we have just explained results a problem for us because we are comparing a relative old airplane (Boeing 737) that appeared in 1968 and was designed with 2 dimensions profile, with a modern airplane (Airbus A380) which was launched in 2005 and was designed using CFD models.
Boeing 737-100 uses a profile called BAC 428 that you can find easily in internet. In order to study Airbus A380-800 in the same way, we have considered a profile called SC(2)-0610 that coincides approximately with the aerodynamic characteristics of this civil airplane. These two profiles are shown in figure 5.3 and figure 5.4.

Knowing these two aerodynamic profiles, we are able to find the adequate aerodynamic coefficients using some curves that we can find at the web airfoil tools. We show these curves in figure 5.5, figure 5.6, figure 5.7 and figure 5.8.
Figure 5.6: Relation between drag coefficient and attack angle in Boeing 737-100.

Figure 5.7: Relation between lift coefficient and attack angle in Airbus A380-800.

Figure 5.8: Relation between drag coefficient and attack angle in Airbus A380-800.
5.1 Altitude results

The result of the simulation of this landing parameter is shown in figure 5.1.1 when it is shown the evolution of the altitude for the two civil airplanes that we are studying.

![Altitude comparison graph]

*Figure 5.1.1: Altitude comparison.*

During the first linear segment the landing trajectory of the two civil airplanes is the exactly the same. This is because this first segment only depends on the geometrical parameters $XZERO$ and $Y1$ as in shown in the equations of section 3.2.1.

Nevertheless, when the circular segment starts, the two aircraft trajectories dispel each other. The explanation is that in the equation of this circular segment contain the radius and the radius depends directly on parameters such as the weight, the touch down velocity, the lift surface and the maximum lift coefficients as it is shown in section 3.2.5. In our case, Airbus A380-800 has more dimensions like Boeing 737-100 (Weight, lift surface...). As a result, the radius for A380 is larger than the Boeing 737 radius.
5.2 Flight Path angle

We can see the evolution of the flight path angle of the Boeing 737-100 and of the Airbus A380-800 in figure 5.2.1.

As it is shown in the figure, the flight path angle remains constant during the linear segment and is equal to -4 degrees. Once the linear segment is completed, the flight path angle starts to increase and approaches its value to zero. When the circular segment is completed, the flight path angle goes directly to zero as a result of the aircraft’s touch down.

This result agrees with the result of the altitude. In figure 5.2.1 we can see that the circular flare segment of Airbus A380 starts before than the circular segment of Boeing 737. This is reasonable because we know that the radius of Airbus aircraft is larger and if it is larger it means that must start before. So the result coincide each other.
5.3 Velocity

The result of the evolution of the aircraft velocity about the two civil airplanes that we are studying is shown in figure 5.3.1.

As it is shown, Airbus A380-800 has upper velocity during the air landing trajectory. This means that it starts with a larger velocity than the Boeing 737-100 under the same conditions. After the air landing, when the aircrafts touch down, they make a fast deceleration until they stop.

In this figure you also can see that Airbus A380-800 also need more landing track in order to completely stop. This seems reasonable if you notice that is heavier than the Boeing 737-100. If you work in Matlab, simulation show us that while Boeing 737-100 needs 1126 meters of landing track to stop, Airbus A380-800 needs 1393 meters. We can get this information looking for our horizontal distance vector (x) that has been defined in the simulation.

These landing distance are totally reachable for important airports that use to have landing tracks of more than 2.5 km. In addition, in an emergency case, these distance let the pilots consider different options which would be impossible if the deceleration distance would be larger.
5.4 Emergency Landing

In this section, we want to make a comparison of two types of landing. On the one hand we simulate a Boeing 737-100 landing under normal conditions. On the other hand we want to simulate a Boeing 737-100 landing under emergency conditions.

The normal conditions that we are going to impose to the first type of landing are the initial condition shown in the previous sections: the starting velocity is 1.3 times the stall speed. The emergency condition is that, at the beginning of the linear segment, the aircraft velocity is the triple of the normal case.

The simulation results comparing these two cases are identical. There is one special consideration that will limit our chances if we want to get the landing in an emergency case. This consideration is the landing track. In Matlab, the landing track that we need under normal conditions is 1126 meters, while in emergency conditions we will need 1343 meters. This means that if the velocity at the beginning of the linear segment is larger, you need more landing track to stop the aircraft on the track. So, in an emergency case, the deceleration during the air trajectory is one of the most important factors. Pilots have to decelerate the aircraft during the air in order to reduce the landing track needed, because, under an emergency, is not always possible to reach an "official" landing track with the desirable length.
6. Conclusion

After the historical overview done in this document, the theoretical and mathematical concepts revised and the Matlab simulations, we can conclude that this type of final approach and landing trajectory can solve an emergency landing situation and improve the safety in this unusual situations.

What we have done in Matlab is only a 2 dimension model in order to get some approximated results of the real situation. Nevertheless, this simulation help us a lot in order to get an idea of which are the most important factors in an emergency landing and get us a reliable approximation. The landing altitude during the approach trajectory, the flight path angle during all the landing and the increase of the density as a result of the descent are the main factors that we must consider if we want to generate a landing trajectory under the condition of total loss of thrust. After considering these parameters, there are also some important features as the radius during the circular segment or the selection of the landing place that are determined by the aircraft’s performance and passenger’s comfort.

To sum up, I can affirm that this thesis has help me to revise some theoretical and mathematical aerodynamic concepts, to understand and implement a correct landing simulation using Matlab and also to understand under what conditions an emergency landing occurs.
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