Co-production of acetoin and succinic acid by metabolically engineered Enterobacter cloacae
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Abstract

Background: Renewable chemicals have attracted attention due to increasing interest in environmental concerns and resource utilization. Biobased production of industrial compounds from nonfood biomass has become increasingly important as a sustainable replacement for traditional petroleum-based production processes depending on fossil resources. Therefore, we engineered an Enterobacter cloacae budC and ldhA double-deletion strain (namely, EC∆budC∆ldhA) to redirect carbon fluxes and optimized the culture conditions to co-produce succinic acid and acetoin.

Results: In this work, E. cloacae was metabolically engineered to enhance its combined succinic acid and acetoin production during fermentation. Strain EC∆budC∆ldhA was constructed by deleting 2,3-butanediol dehydrogenase (budC), which is involved in 2,3-butanediol production, and lactate dehydrogenase (ldhA), which is involved in lactic acid production, from the E. cloacae genome. After redirecting and fine-tuning the E. cloacae metabolic flux, succinic acid and acetoin production was enhanced, and the combined production titers of acetoin and succinic acid from glucose were 17.75 and 2.75 g L⁻¹, respectively. Moreover, to further improve acetoin and succinic acid production, glucose and NaHCO₃ modes and times of feeding were optimized during fermentation of the EC∆budC∆ldhA strain. The maximum titers of acetoin and succinic acid were 39.5 and 20.3 g L⁻¹ at 72 h, respectively.

Conclusions: The engineered strain EC∆budC∆ldhA is useful for the co-production of acetoin and succinic acid and for reducing microbial fermentation costs by combining processes into a single step.
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synthesis methods, microbial fermentation methods have the advantages of easy access to feedstock, environmental friendliness and mild process conditions [4, 5]. Therefore, microbial fermentation is considered to be an environmentally friendly method for the production of acetoin, which has made great progress in recent years. Many microorganisms synthesize acetoin during the mixed acid fermentation process, such as Enterobacter, Klebsiella, Lactococcus, Bacillus, Serratia and Saccharomyces species [6]. Acetoin is an intermediate product of the 2,3-butanediol biosynthesis pathway [7]. It is produced from pyruvate through α-acetolactate by two enzymes, including α-acetolactate synthase (budB) and α-acetolactate decarboxylase (budA), and finally converted to 2,3-butanediol by 2,3-butanediol dehydrogenase (budC) with the consumption of NADH [8]. Several studies have reported that the deletion of 2,3-butanediol dehydrogenase (budC) improves the production of acetoin in different species of microorganisms [2, 3, 9, 10].

In a traditional acetoin fermentation process, succinic acid is an undesirable by-product. However, succinic acid, a C4 dicarboxylic acid, has been used as a precursor for various chemicals, ion chelators, and additives in the food and pharmaceutical industries [11]. In addition, succinic acid can be converted into other chemicals, such as γ-butyrolactone, 1,4-butanediol, and tetrahydrofuran, and act as the precursor of polybutylene succinate synthesis. In E. coli, the reductive branch of the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) pathway function is the key pathway for the synthesis of succinic acid. The carboxylation of phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) to oxaloacetate (OAA) catalyzed by PEP carboxylase (PEPC) is considered the most important reaction. In this step, 1 mol CO2 is assimilated to form OAA [2]. Therefore, CO2 is an essential substrate for succinic acid biosynthesis, and it has been demonstrated that the production of succinic acid can be increased by sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO3) addition to the culture medium [12, 13]. Additionally, when grown under anaerobic conditions, E. coli metabolizes phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) and pyruvate via the glycolytic pathway to form ethanol, lactic acid, and formic acid [14]. Therefore, changing the carbon flux towards the synthesis of succinic acid by metabolic engineering is very important [15]. Currently, numerous industrially used microorganisms have been metabolically engineered for succinic acid production by fermentation [16–19].

An earlier study revealed that E. cloacae can produce 40.67 g L−1 2,3-butanediol and 21.79 g L−1 succinic acid from xylose [20]. In this study, to redirect the carbon flux, a double-deletion mutant (strain ECbudCΔldhA) of E. cloacae was developed by deleting 2,3-butanediol dehydrogenase (budC) to produce acetoin and by deleting lactate dehydrogenase (ldhA) to improve succinic acid production (Fig. 1). Moreover, the feeding mode and time of glucose and NaHCO3 during the fermentation of the ECbudCΔldhA strain were optimized, which further enhanced the production of acetoin and succinic acid. The present findings demonstrated a potential practical strategy for the simultaneous production of two commercial products in a single fermentation step by redirecting the carbon flux and optimizing the culture conditions.

**Results**

**Construction of the metabolically engineered strains**

*Enterobacter cloacae* has an extraordinary ability to utilize biomass for 2,3-butanediol production, during which intermediary acetoin is formed [10]. Acetoin reductases (also known as 2,3-butanediol dehydrogenase) catalyze the transformation reaction from acetoin to 2,3-butanediol. Knockout of the budC gene would generate the ECbudC strain, which mainly produces acetoin. A previous study showed that reducing the carbon flux to lactate, ethanol, and acetate by-products can be performed by deleting the ldhA, adhE, and pta genes in *K. pneumoniae* [7]. In this study, to further reduce lactic acid production in the fermentation process, the ldhA gene was disrupted from the wild type and ECbudC strains to generate strains ECΔldhA and ECbudCΔldhA, respectively. The budC and ldhA gene knockout of these strains was verified by screening with colony PCR (data not shown).

To determine the effects of deleting budC and ldhA on cell growth, wild type and three deletion mutants, ECbudC, ECΔldhA, and ECbudCΔldhA, were grown under 60 g L−1 glucose and 5 g L−1 NaHCO3 at 35 °C at 150 rpm, followed by comparison of the growth curves. The initial inoculum of these cultures was the same (OD600 = 0.15). The growth results are shown in Fig. 2. The ECbudC and ECbudCΔldhA strains grew slower than the wild type in the first 12 h. The OD600 values were 5.62, 4.58, and 4.54 for the wild type, ECbudC, and ECbudCΔldhA strains, respectively, after 24 h (Fig. 2). The growth rates of the ECbudC and ECbudCΔldhA strains were reduced by 22.7% and 23.7%, respectively, in comparison with the wild type. In contrast, compared with the wild type, the ECΔldhA strain exhibited increased cell growth. The OD600 value was 5.77 for the ECΔldhA strain (Fig. 2a). The glucose concentration in the medium of wild type and ECΔldhA strains was depleted after 24 h of fermentation. The glucose concentrations of ECbudC and ECbudCΔldhA were depleted at 36 h (Fig. 2b). Jang et al. [9] reported that deletion of budC resulted in reduced cell growth and glucose consumption rate in *Enterobacter aerogenes*. These...
results indicated that deletion of the budC gene in E. cloacae cells might reduce the growth rate and glucose consumption.

Effects of metabolic engineering on enhanced co-production of acetoin and succinic acid
The wild type and ECAbudC strains were grown at 35 °C in 250 mL shake flasks containing 50 mL of fermentation medium supplemented with 90.0 g L⁻¹ glucose and 5.0 g L⁻¹ NaHCO₃. The fermentation was finished when...
glucose was consumed nearly completely. As shown in Table 1, EC∆budC produced various organic acids and ethanol, with acetoin being a major product that accumulated to 18.6 g L\(^{-1}\), resulting in a 0.419 yield (mol mol\(^{-1}\) glucose). The concentrations of succinic acid, 2,3-butaneediol, lactic acid, acetic acid and ethanol were 1.05, 7.7, 2.6, 2.75, and 4.75 g L\(^{-1}\), respectively. The succinic acid content of the EC∆budC strain was decreased by 2.24-fold in comparison with that of the wild type strain. Succinic acid was measured as 2.35 and 1.05 for the wild type and EC∆budC strains, respectively. Lactic acid formation was not detected in the wild type strain. However, the lactic acid content of EC∆budC (2.6 g L\(^{-1}\)) was increased compared with that of the control and wild type (not detected).

\(\text{d-Lactate dehydrogenase (encoded by ldhA) catalyzes the conversion of pyruvate to d-lactic acid by coupling with the oxidation of NADH in } E.\ claoae [21]).\) In this study, to reduce lactic acid production in the fermentation process, the ldhA gene was inactivated in the wild type. The results indicated that the fermentation products of the EC∆ldhA strain were similar to those of the wild type (Table 1). The difference is that the succinic acid content of the EC∆ldhA strain was increased by 19% in comparison with that of the wild type.

To achieve a higher yield of acetoin and succinic acid co-production, the EC∆budC∆ldhA strain was constructed by knocking out ldhA genes in strain EC∆budC. EC∆budC∆ldhA produced various organic acids and ethanol, with acetoin being a major product that accumulated to 17.75 g L\(^{-1}\), resulting in a yield of 0.397 (mol mol\(^{-1}\) glucose). The concentrations of succinic acid, 2,3-butaneediol, acetic acid and ethanol were 2.75, 8.15, 1.4, and 5.05 g L\(^{-1}\), respectively. Lactic acid was not observed in the ECbudC∆ldhA strain. The succinic acid content of the ECbudC∆ldhA strain was increased by 2.24-fold in comparison with that of the ECbudC strain. The final concentrations of succinic acid were measured as 1.05 and 2.75 g L\(^{-1}\) for the ECbudC and ECbudC∆ldhA strains, respectively. The succinic acid yield was measured as 0.046 and 0.018 (mol mol\(^{-1}\) glucose) for the ECbudC∆ldhA and ECbudC strains, respectively.

The results indicated that elimination of 2,3-butaneediol and lactic acid formation in the ECbudC∆ldhA strain led to enhanced acetoin and succinic acid co-production, and the maximum acetoin and succinic acid yields were obtained as 0.397 and 0.046 mol mol\(^{-1}\) glucose, respectively.

**Effect of NaHCO\(_3\) concentration on metabolite production by the ECbudC∆ldhA strain**

Previous studies have shown that CO\(_2\) is a key parameter in batch succinic acid fermentation. The amount of dissolved CO\(_2\) can be increased effectively by the addition of NaHCO\(_3\) to the medium [20]. To compare the effects of NaHCO\(_3\) levels, different concentrations of NaHCO\(_3\) (0, 2.5, 5, 7.5, and 10 g L\(^{-1}\)) were added to the fermentation medium. As shown in Table 2, when grown in fermentation medium without NaHCO\(_3\), the final production of acetoin after 24 h was 16.45 g L\(^{-1}\). The concentrations of succinic acid, 2,3-butaneediol and ethanol were 1.15, 3, and 6.25 g L\(^{-1}\), respectively. However, a higher concentration of NaHCO\(_3\) led to a negative effect on acetoin production. The concentration of acetoin was decreased by 7, 19, 10.6, and 24.6% for 2.5, 5, 7.5, and

\(\begin{array}{l|c|c|c|c}
\text{Strain} & \text{WT} & \text{ΔbudC} & \text{ΔldhA} & \text{ΔbudC∆ldhA} \\
\hline
\text{Final pH} & 5.92 ± 0.007 & 6.08 ± 0.071 & 6.09 ± 0.0035 & 5.9 ± 0.028 \\
\text{Consumed glucose (g L\(^{-1}\))} & 92.2 ± 0 & 90.8 ± 0 & 92.7 ± 0 & 91.4 ± 4.34 \\
\text{Final OD\(_{\text{600}}\)} & 8.92 ± 0.057 & 7.83 ± 0.028 & 9.345 ± 0.274 & 8.245 ± 0.12 \\
\text{Final succinic acid (g L\(^{-1}\))} & 2.35 ± 0.071 & 1.05 ± 0.071 & 2.8 ± 0.141 & 2.75 ± 0.071 \\
\text{Final acetoin (g L\(^{-1}\))} & 1.25 ± 0.071 & 186 ± 0.99 & 1.35 ± 0.212 & 17.75 ± 0.354 \\
\text{Final 2,3-BDO (g L\(^{-1}\))} & 28.75 ± 0.212 & 7.7 ± 0.849 & 29.05 ± 0.212 & 8.15 ± 0.071 \\
\text{Final lactic acid (g L\(^{-1}\))} & ND & 2.6 ± 0.283 & ND & ND \\
\text{Final acetic acid (g L\(^{-1}\))} & 1.3 ± 0.283 & 2.75 ± 0.071 & 1.3 ± ± 0.00 & 1.4 ± 0.141 \\
\text{Final ethanol (g L\(^{-1}\))} & 5.0 ± 0.141 & 4.75 ± 0.778 & 5.05 ± 0.354 & 5.05 ± 0.212 \\
\text{Lactic acid (mol mol\(^{-1}\))} & ND & 0.057 ± 0.006 & ND & ND \\
\text{Succinic acid yield (mol mol\(^{-1}\))} & 0.039 ± 0.001 & 0.018 ± 0.001 & 0.046 ± 0.002 & 0.046 ± 0.001 \\
\text{Acetoin yield (mol mol\(^{-1}\))} & 0.028 ± 0.002 & 0.419 ± 0.022 & 0.03 ± 0.005 & 0.397 ± 0.008 \\
\text{2,3-BDO yield (mol mol\(^{-1}\))} & 0.623 ± 0.005 & 0.170 ± 0.019 & 0.626 ± 0.005 & 0.178 ± 0.002 \\
\end{array}\)

Each date indicates the mean ± SD from two experiments.

ND not detected.
10 g L\(^{-1}\) NaHCO\(_3\) addition, respectively. When grown in fermentation medium supplemented with different NaHCO\(_3\) levels (0, 2.5, 5, 7.5, and 10 g L\(^{-1}\)), the concentrations of succinic acid were slightly enhanced from 1.15 to 1.55 g L\(^{-1}\) within 24 h. The maximum acetoin and succinic acid yields (0.469 and 0.034 mol mol\(^{-1}\) glucose) were obtained when 2.5 g L\(^{-1}\) NaHCO\(_3\) was added. Furthermore, the cell growth and acetic acid titer were also improved, while the amount of 2,3-butanediol slightly decreased. Therefore, the optimum NaHCO\(_3\) concentration for the combined production of acetoin and succinic acid was 2.5 g L\(^{-1}\).

### Fed-batch fermentation for co-production of acetoin and succinic acid

To increase the production of acetoin and succinic acid, fed-batch fermentation was performed using strain EC\(\Delta\)budC\(\Delta\)ldhA with an initial glucose concentration of 57.8 g L\(^{-1}\). NaHCO\(_3\) (1 g L\(^{-1}\)) was added at fermentation times of 6 and 12 h. Next, glucose (20 g L\(^{-1}\)) and NaHCO\(_3\) (2 g L\(^{-1}\)) were added simultaneously at 24, 36, 48, 60, 72, and 84 h of fermentation.

Figure 3 shows 47.6 g L\(^{-1}\) acetoin and 7.35 g L\(^{-1}\) succinic acid from 171.25 g L\(^{-1}\) glucose obtained in 96 h by the EC\(\Delta\)budC\(\Delta\)ldhA strain. The acetoin and succinic acid yields were 0.568 and 0.065 mol mol\(^{-1}\) glucose, respectively. In fed-batch fermentation, the maximum acetoin and succinic acid yields were obtained as 0.565 and 0.071 mol mol\(^{-1}\) glucose, respectively, after 72 h. Compared with batch fermentation (Table 2), the maximum acetoin and succinic acid yields of fed-batch fermentation were increased by 1.2- and 2-fold, respectively. The results indicated that the acetoin and succinic acid co-production of the EC\(\Delta\)budC\(\Delta\)ldhA strain was improved by fed-batch fermentation.

### Optimization of fed-batch fermentation for co-production of acetoin and succinic acid

A previous study showed that after glucose was depleted, the accumulated products were reused by Klebsiella pneumoniae as a carbon source [2]. Our previous work also found that with a low glucose concentration during cultivation, succinic acid did not accumulate (data not shown). To prevent the exhaustion of glucose, the glucose concentration was increased during fed-batch fermentation to achieve higher acetoin and succinic acid co-production. In this study, the optimal conditions for acetoin and succinic acid fermentation were determined by the glucose and NaHCO\(_3\) times and modes of feeding. The initial glucose and NaHCO\(_3\) concentrations were 60 g L\(^{-1}\) and 2.5 g L\(^{-1}\), respectively. When the glucose concentration was reduced to approximately 30 g L\(^{-1}\), glucose was added to the fermentation medium. Glucose (25, 35, 35 and 35 g L\(^{-1}\)) was added at 12, 24, 36 and 48 h of fermentation, respectively. NaHCO\(_3\) (1, 1, 5, 5, 5, and 2 g L\(^{-1}\)) was added at 6, 12, 24, 36, 48, and 60 h of fermentation, respectively. The time-course results of the production of succinic acid are shown in Fig. 4. After growth for 72 h, the maximum production of acetoin and succinic acid was measured as 39.5 g L\(^{-1}\) and 20.3 g L\(^{-1}\), respectively, in optimized fed-batch fermentation. The acetoin and succinic acid yields were 0.439 and 0.168 mol mol\(^{-1}\) glucose, respectively. The maximum acetoin and succinic acid yields were obtained as 0.559 and 0.322 mol mol\(^{-1}\) glucose, after 36 h. Compared with fed-batch fermentation (Fig. 3),

### Table 2 The fermentation performance of EC\(\Delta\)budC\(\Delta\)ldhA strain under different NaHCO\(_3\) concentration

| NaHCO\(_3\) conc. (g L\(^{-1}\)) | 0      | 2.5    | 5      | 7.5    | 10     |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Final pH                        | 5.43±0.58 | 5.92±0.21 | 5.94±0.191 | 6.2±0.127 | 6.56±0.021 |
| Consumed glucose (g L\(^{-1}\)) | 67.45±1.626 | 66.7±4.808 | 61±3.111 | 64.65±4.879 | 67±4.667 |
| Final OD\(_{600}\)              | 4.21±0.078 | 5.155±0.007 | 5.305±0.064 | 5.84±0.113 | 5.79±0.226 |
| Final succinic acid (g L\(^{-1}\)) | 1.15±0.212 | 1.5±0.283 | 1.4±0.141 | 1.45±0.212 | 1.55±0.212 |
| Final acetoin (g L\(^{-1}\))    | 16.45±0.212 | 15.3±1.273 | 13.3±0.707 | 14.7±1.414 | 12.4±1.556 |
| Final 2,3-BDO (g L\(^{-1}\))    | 3±0.283 | 2.65±0.212 | 2±0.141 | 2.7±0.283 | 1.95±0.495 |
| Final lactic acid (g L\(^{-1}\)) | ND     | ND     | 0.9±0 | 1.2±0 | 1.5±0.141 |
| Final acetic acid (g L\(^{-1}\)) | ND     | ND     | 6.25±0.354 | 6.8±0.283 | 6.15±0.212 | 6.05±0.212 | 6.1±0.566 |
| Succinic acid yield (mol mol\(^{-1}\)) | 0.026±0.004 | 0.034±0.004 | 0.032±0.02 | 0.034±0.02 | 0.036±0.01 |
| Acetoin yield (mol mol\(^{-1}\)) | 0.499±0.018 | 0.469±0.005 | 0.446±0.001 | 0.465±0.01 | 0.381±0.028 |
| 2,3-BDO yield (mol mol\(^{-1}\)) | 0.089±0.011 | 0.079±0.001 | 0.066±0.001 | 0.083±0.002 | 0.059±0.019 |

Each date indicates the mean±SD from two experiments

ND not detected

*Table 2: The fermentation performance of EC\(\Delta\)budC\(\Delta\)ldhA strain under different NaHCO\(_3\) concentration*
the succinic acid titer of optimized fed-batch fermentation was increased by 2.8-fold. However, when only the budC gene was deleted, we found that a large amount of lactic acid (15 g L\(^{-1}\)) was produced under the same optimized conditions, and caused a decrease in the production of succinic acid (Additional file 1: Fig. S1). This is similar to the results in Table 1. Thus, deletion of the ldhA gene of E. cloacae is required. The results indicated that the succinic acid production of the EC\(\Delta\)budC\(\Delta\)ldhA strain was significantly increased by optimizing the glucose and NaHCO\(_3\) feeding mode and time during fermentation, further enhancing the co-production concentrations of acetoin and succinic acid.

**Fed-batch fermentation for co-production of acetoin and succinic acid in a bioreactor**

Previous studies have shown that cultivating the EC\(\Delta\)budC\(\Delta\)ldhA strain in a 250 mL flask through an optimized fed-batch culture method can increase the production of acetoin and succinic acid. Therefore, the same optimized fed-batch fermentation conditions were implemented in a 3-L bioreactor.

As shown in Fig. 5, the initial glucose and NaHCO\(_3\) concentrations were 60 g L\(^{-1}\) and 2.5 g L\(^{-1}\), respectively. Glucose (25, 35, 25, 10, and 10 g L\(^{-1}\)) was added at 12, 24, 36, 48, and 60 h of fermentation, respectively. NaHCO\(_3\) (1, 1, 5, 5, 5, and 2 g L\(^{-1}\)) was added at 6, 12, 24, 36, 48, and 60 h of fermentation, respectively. The
time-course results are shown in Fig. 5. After growth for 82 h, the maximum production of acetoin and succinic acid was measured as 38 g L⁻¹ and 16.3 g L⁻¹, respectively. The acetoin and succinic acid yields were 0.490 and 0.157 mol mol⁻¹ glucose, respectively.

Discussion
Several studies have also shown that the inactivation of budC significantly improves the production of acetoin. Indeed, previous reports have shown that the deletion of the budC gene could decrease 2,3-butanediol. Three butanediol stereoisomers, namely, (2R,3R)-2,3-butanediol, (2S,3S)-2,3-butanediol, and meso-2,3-butanediol, are found in many bacterial species, such as Enterobacter cloacae [10, 22], Klebsiella pneumoniae [23], and Bacillus licheniformis [24], and meso-2,3-butanediol and (2S,3S)-2,3-butanediol are the major forms that accumulate in E. cloacae [25]. However, when the budC gene was deleted, a small amount of 2,3-butanediol could still be detected [3, 22, 23, 26, 27]. In this study, the budC gene was knocked out, and we observed that the production of meso-2,3-butanediol and (2S,3S)-2,3-butanediol decreased (data not shown).

A previous study characterized a budC and glycerol dehydrogenase (encoded by gldA and dhaD)-deficient Klebsiella pneumoniae strain, which removes 2,3-butanediol under conditions wherein glycerol is used as a carbon source. These findings suggested that dhaD and gldA may be involved in 2,3-butanediol formation [22]. Another study reported diacetyl production by inactivating budA, budC, and diacetyl reductases (also known as glycerol dehydrogenase, encoded by gdh) in E. cloacae SDM. When the gdh and budC genes were both inactivated in the strain E. cloacae SDM (ΔbudA), (2R,3R) 2,3-butanediol could be slightly detected [10]; these results show that there is a third enzyme responsible for 2,3-butanediol production in the E. cloacae strain. In the present work, disruption of the budC gene remarkably decreased the production of 2,3-butanediol by almost 2.7-fold compared to that of the wild type and ECΔldhA strains (Table 1). However, small amounts of 2,3-butanediol were still detected in a few of the ECΔbudC and ECΔbudCΔldhA strains, indicating the presence of other genes encoding enzymes that convert acetoin to 2,3-butanediol in E. cloacae.

Theoretically, the formation of 1 mol succinic acid from glucose requires 1 mol of CO₂ [20, 28]. Therefore, CO₂ is indispensable for succinic acid biosynthesis, and many studies have demonstrated that succinic acid production can be increased by adding HCO₃⁻ to the fermentation medium [12, 28]. Cheng et al. [28] increased succinic acid production in K. pneumoniae by adding HCO₃⁻ to the fermentation medium. In another study, Wu et al. [20] reported yields of 40.67 g L⁻¹ 2,3-butanediol and 21.79 g L⁻¹ succinic acid by adding NaHCO₃ to E. cloacae. In this study, supplying NaHCO₃ during batch fermentation may enhance succinic acid production by improving the quantity of dissolved CO₂ and by increasing the carbon flux to succinic acid. When grown in fermentation medium without NaHCO₃, the final acetoin production (16.45 g L⁻¹) was slightly higher; however, the final amount of succinic acid produced was only 1.15 g L⁻¹. When grown in fermentation medium supplemented with NaHCO₃, succinic acid production was 34.8% higher than the amount produced during batch fermentation without NaHCO₃ (Table 2).
In general, the production of succinic acid was higher under anaerobic conditions, and bacterial producers of succinic acid can be found among facultative and strictly anaerobic rumen bacteria such as *Mannheimia succinic- iproducens* [29], *Actinobacillus succinogenes* [30], and *Anaerobiospirillum succinic-iproducens* [31]. *E. cloacae* is a facultative anaerobe, and when it is cultured under anaerobic conditions, the glucose consumption rate of the ΔbudCΔldhA strain is slower, resulting in lower production concentration of acetoin. In addition, when cultured under anaerobic conditions, the ΔbudCΔldhA strain was found to produce lactic acid (Additional file 1: Fig. S2). Although we only knocked out p-lactate dehydrogenase, this may activate other lactate dehydrogenases under anaerobic conditions, such as l-lactate dehydrogenase, leading to the production of lactic acid.

A previous study showed that reducing the carbon flux to lactate, ethanol, and acetate by-products can be performed by deleting the *ldhA*, *adhE*, and *pta* genes in *K. pneumoniae* [32]. In this study, by blocking lactic acid synthesis pathways to redirect more carbon sources to succinic acid synthesis in wild type *E. cloacae*, the engineered ECbudC significantly increased succinic acid yield. This engineering approach may represent a practical strategy involving the deletion of *ldhA* and *budC* genes to reduce carbon flux towards the formation of by-products.

### Conclusions

In this study, we engineered an *E. cloacae budC* and *ldhA* double-deletion strain (namely, ECbudCΔldhA) to produce succinic acid and acetoin. The highest acetoin and succinic acid titers achieved by this engineered strain were 39.5 and 20.3 g L⁻¹, respectively, during optimization of fed-batch fermentation conditions. Our findings demonstrated that the ECbudCΔldhA strain would be useful for the simultaneous production of commercial products (acetoin and succinic acid) and the prevention of by-product formation, thus reducing the cost of microbial fermentation in a single step.

### Methods

#### Bacterial strains

The strains used in this study are described in Table 3. *Escherichia coli* and *E. cloacae* were grown in LB broth with rotary shaking agitation at 200 rpm at 37 °C and 35 °C, respectively. Ampicillin (100 µg mL⁻¹) and kanamycin (50 µg mL⁻¹) were added to LB broth. *E. cloacae* (CICC 10011) was purchased from the China Center of Industrial Culture Collection (China). *E. coli* DH5α was used as the host for all recombinant plasmid constructs. *E. coli* S17-1 λpir, which is able to host pIR6K and its derivatives, was used for conjugation with *E. cloacae*.

#### Table 3 Strains and plasmid used in this study

| Name               | Relevant genotype                                                                 | Source and ref         |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Strains            |                                                                                   |                        |
| DH5α               | F"q80 lac ZΔM15 Δ(lacZYA-arg F) U169 endA1 recA1 hsdR17(rk−,mk+) supE44A− thi−1 gyrA96 relA1 phoA, Recipient in transformations | Sangon Biotech        |
| S17-1 λpir         | RP4-2(Km:Tn7::Mu-1) pro-B2 LAMpir recA1 endA1 thiE1 hsdR17 creC10; conjuga-              | Zoman Biotechnology    |
| *E. cloacae* CICC 10011 | Wild type                                                                              | CICC                   |
| ECbudC             | *E. cloacae* CICC 10011 budC disruption mutant strain                                 | This study             |
| ECldhA             | *E. cloacae* CICC 10011 ldhA disruption mutant strain                                  | This study             |
| ECbudCΔldhA        | *E. cloacae* CICC 10011 budC and ldhA disruption mutant strain                        | This study             |
| Plasmid            |                                                                                   |                        |
| pGEM-T Easy Vector | Cloning vector, Ap'                                                                  | Promega                |
| pTonI6K            | pGEM-T Easy Vector with 0.6 kb oriI6K fragment, Ap'                                 | This study             |
| pTBCup             | pGEM-T Easy Vector with 0.5 kb budC upstream fragment, Ap'                           | This study             |
| pTBCdown           | pGEM-T Easy Vector with 0.5 kb budC downstream fragment, Ap'                         | This study             |
| pTLAup             | pGEM-T Easy Vector with 0.5 kb ldhA upstream fragment, Ap'                           | This study             |
| pTLAdown           | pGEM-T Easy Vector with 0.5 kb ldhA downstream fragment, Ap'                         | This study             |
| pK18mobSacB        | oriTR4lac SacB lacZa Plac Pmbi; mobilization and counterselection, Kan'              | BCRC                   |
| pRL27              | Mini-Tn5 transposon (oriR6K) delivery vector, Kan'                                   | [33]                   |
| pKRI6K             | R6K replicon; gene replacement vector, Kan'                                         | This study             |
| pKΔbudC            | pKRI6K derivative, carries a 771 bp deletion of budC, Kan'                           | This study             |
| pKΔldhA            | pKRI6K derivative, carries a 990 bp deletion of ldhA, Kan'                           | This study             |

CICC, The China Center of Industrial Culture Collection, China; BCRC, The Bioresource Collection and Research Centre, FIRDI, Taiwan
Plasmid construction

Plasmids constructed and used are described in Table 3. The gene replacement vector of *E. cloacae* was constructed by a previously described method [22]. The R6K origin of replication was amplified with primers (BspHI-oriR6K-F and BsaXI-oriR6K-R) using the plasmid pRL27 as a template. The 0.6 kb oriR6K fragment was ligated to the pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) to create the plasmid pTorR6K. The oriR6K fragment (BspHI/BsaXI) was digested from pTorR6K and cloned into plasmid pK18mobsacB to create the suicide plasmid pKR6K. The plasmid pKR6K was used for gene knockout by homologous recombination in *E. cloacae*.

Gene knockout mutants of *E. cloacae* were constructed using the suicide vector pKR6K. To construct the *budC* and *ldhA* gene replacement vector of *E. cloacae*, the selected flanks were 510 bp long and homologous to sequences upstream and downstream of the region targeted for deletion. The upstream and downstream flanking sequences of the *budC* and *ldhA* genes were amplified with their respective primers (EcoRI-budCup-F/EcoRI-budCdown-R and EcoRI-ldhAdown-F/SphI-ldhAdown-R) using the total genomic DNA of *E. cloacae* as a template for PCR and cloned into plasmid pTBCup and pTBCdown, respectively. The two fragments were ligated to pKR6K digested with *EcoRI* and *XbaI*, producing pKΔbudC. The *IdhA* upstream and downstream fragments were digested by *EcoRI* and *XbaI* from plasmids pTLAup and pTLAdown, respectively. The two fragments were ligated to pKR6K digested with *EcoRI* and *XbaI*, producing pKΔldhA. Then, the plasmids pKΔbudC and pKΔldhA were transformed into *E. coli* S17-1. *E. coli* S17-1 (pKΔbudC and pKΔldhA) was used as the donor in conjugation with *E. cloacae*. The primer sequences are shown in Table 4.

### Gene knockout in the chromosome of *E. cloacae*

Allelic exchange of *E. cloacae* was performed as previously described [10] with slight modifications. The constructed strains used are described in Table 3. Strain ECΔbudC was constructed by allelic exchange of plasmid pKΔbudC into *E. cloacae*. Strain ECΔldhA was constructed by allelic exchange of plasmid pKΔldhA into *E. cloacae*. Strain ECΔbudC/ΔldhA was constructed by allelic exchange of plasmid pKΔldhA into *E. cloacae* strain ECΔbudC. Colonies with confirmed deletions were screened by PCR using specific primers. The primer sequences are shown in Table 4.

### Batch and fed-batch fermentations

The seed culture, batch fermentation, and fed-batch fermentation were carried out according to the procedure described by Wu et al. [20]. Sterilized glucose was added before fermentation. Wild type and gene knockout

---

### Table 4 Primers used in this study

| Primer                        | Sequence                                                                 | References or source |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| BspHI-oriR6K-F                | 5′-aatttcatgacgttcaacctcttgatgatagct-3′                                 | [23]                 |
| BsaXI-oriR6K-R                | 5′-aatttcatgacgttcaacctcttgatgatagct-3′                                 | This study           |
| EcoRI-budCup-F                | 5′-aatttcatgacgttcaacctcttgatgatagct-3′                                 | This study           |
| EcoRI-budCdown-R              | 5′-aatttcatgacgttcaacctcttgatgatagct-3′                                 | This study           |
| XbaI-budCup-F                 | 5′-aatttcatgacgttcaacctcttgatgatagct-3′                                 | This study           |
| SphI-budCdown-F               | 5′-aatttcatgacgttcaacctcttgatgatagct-3′                                 | This study           |
| budCup-F                      | 5′-aatttcatgacgttcaacctcttgatgatagct-3′                                 | This study           |
| budCdown-R                    | 5′-aatttcatgacgttcaacctcttgatgatagct-3′                                 | This study           |
| budC-F                        | 5′-aatttcatgacgttcaacctcttgatgatagct-3′                                 | This study           |
| SphI-budCup-F                 | 5′-aatttcatgacgttcaacctcttgatgatagct-3′                                 | This study           |
| SphI-budCdown-R               | 5′-aatttcatgacgttcaacctcttgatgatagct-3′                                 | This study           |
| EcoRI-budCup-F                | 5′-aatttcatgacgttcaacctcttgatgatagct-3′                                 | This study           |
| EcoRI-budCdown-R              | 5′-aatttcatgacgttcaacctcttgatgatagct-3′                                 | This study           |
| XbaI-budCup-F                 | 5′-aatttcatgacgttcaacctcttgatgatagct-3′                                 | This study           |
| SphI-budCup-F                 | 5′-aatttcatgacgttcaacctcttgatgatagct-3′                                 | This study           |
| SphI-budCdown-R               | 5′-aatttcatgacgttcaacctcttgatgatagct-3′                                 | This study           |
| IdhAup-F                      | 5′-aatttcatgacgttcaacctcttgatgatagct-3′                                 | This study           |
| IdhAdown-R                    | 5′-aatttcatgacgttcaacctcttgatgatagct-3′                                 | This study           |
| IdhA-F                        | 5′-aatttcatgacgttcaacctcttgatgatagct-3′                                 | This study           |
| IdhA-R                        | 5′-aatttcatgacgttcaacctcttgatgatagct-3′                                 | This study           |
strains of *E. cloacae* were inoculated into flasks (250 mL) containing 50 mL of seed culture medium and cultured overnight at 35 °C with continuous shaking at 150 rpm. The fermentation medium contained final concentrations of 5% (v/v) seed medium.

Batch fermentation and fed-batch fermentation were conducted in 250 mL flasks containing 50 mL of medium. Cultivation was carried out at 35 °C with a speed at 150 rpm. The pH was maintained by the addition of NaHCO₃. Samples were withdrawn periodically to measure the OD₆₀₀ and the concentrations of glucose, succinic acid, acetoin, and by-products. Each experiment described in this research was performed in two replicates.

Fed-batch fermentations in the bioreactor
Seed culture (5%, v/v) was inoculated into the fermentation medium, and fed-batch fermentation was carried out in a 3-L stirred-vessel bioreactor (BLBIO-3GC, Bailun, China) containing 1.5-L of fermentation medium under 0.5 vvm air flow. Cultivation was performed at 35 °C with a speed at 300 rpm and an aeration rate of 0.5 vvm. The pH was maintained by the addition of NaHCO₃.

Analytical methods
Glucose, succinic acid, acetoin, and by-products were analyzed by the methods described in Wu et al. [20]. Samples were measured by HPLC (LC20, Shimadzu, Japan) using an Aminex HPX-87H column (Bio Rad, USA) with a refractive index detector (RID-20A).
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