Value of serum 1,5-anhydroglucitol measurements in childhood obesity in the continuum of diabetes
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Purpose: The prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and obesity are currently increasing. Accordingly, the concept of “preventing diabetes” in high-risk groups has become more important in diabetic care, but the use of glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) as a measure has limitations in this field. The aim of this study was to investigate the utility of 1,5-anhydroglucitol (1,5-AG) in assessing prediabetes status in obese children.

Methods: The medical records of 74 subjects aged 6–19 years (of which 27 were overweight/obese and 47 had diabetes) who had 1,5-AG data were reviewed retrospectively. We compared 1,5-AG with HbA1c using the Pearson correlation test to assess the clinical utility of 1,5-AG.

Results: 1,5-AG levels were higher (31.1 ± 10.1 μg/mL vs. 7.4 ± 7.3 μg/mL) and HbA1c levels were lower (5.5% ± 0.3% vs. 8.9% ± 2.7%) in the overweight/obese group than in the diabetics group. The range of 1,5-AG levels in obese children was wide (16.8–59.3 μg/mL), and did not have significance with HbA1c. A negative correlation between 1,5-AG and HbA1c was significant in the entire subject (r = –0.822, P < 0.001), and also in the HbA1c range of 5.5% to 8% (r = –0.736, P < 0.001).

Conclusion: 1,5-AG is a valuable index in the HbA1c range of 5.5%–8% and it might be considered an early glycemic control index in insulin-resistant obese children with an HbA1c level above 5.5%. Moreover, the 1,5-AG level assessment should be presented as a supplementary tool for better compliance, as well as being an improvement in diabetes management for the short-term glucose control in relatively well-controlled diabetes patients with an HbA1c level below 8%.
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Introduction

The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial emphasized the need to lower glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels to decrease the risk of chronic complication of diabetes. HbA1c is the gold standard marker of glycemic control and a useful tool in preventing diabetic complications and educating patients in the clinical setting.

However, HbA1c has a number of limitations. HbA1c is a mean value of glycemia over 2–3 months and cannot capture daily glucose fluctuations. It is also unable to distinguish between fasting plasma glucose and postprandial blood glucose (PBG). Recently, it has been suggested that postprandial hyperglycemia and glycemic excursions contribute to the risk of long-term complications in diabetes, increasing their importance in diabetes management. Furthermore, HbA1c levels may be affected in patients with anemia, hemolysis, transfusion, renal disease, liver disease, alcoholism, drug use, and genetic hemoglobin abnormalities.

Wild et al. estimated the prevalence of diabetes for all age groups to be 4.4% by 2030 and predicted that if the prevalence of obesity increased, the number of diabetics would be much higher than the estimated value. Thus, it is important to detect individuals with prediabetes.
who are at high-risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and with undiagnosed diabetes, so that they receive appropriate early management\(^\text{10}\).

The three major fundamental components of metabolic disturbances in diabetes are fasting hyperglycemia, postprandial hyperglycemia, and acute glucose fluctuations\(^\text{4,6}\). According to previous studies, postprandial hyperglycemia and glycemic excursion are associated with cardiovascular disease\(^\text{11-13}\). Frequent checking of self-monitored blood glucose (SMBG) levels was used to evaluate postprandial hyperglycemia and variation in blood glucose levels\(^\text{4,6}\). However, this has limitations in reflecting glucose fluctuations precisely. Continuous glucose monitoring systems (CGMSs) are considered the gold standard for assessing glycemic excursion, but are quite expensive and invasive for wide application\(^\text{14,15}\). The compound 1,5-anhydroglucitol (1,5-AG) is a glucose analog that declines in hyperglycemic subjects. Recent studies have shown that 1,5-AG is reflective of PBG, glucose excursions, and short-term glucose control status\(^\text{8,14,15}\). The Food and Drug Administration has recently validated 1,5-AG as a marker of short-term (2–3 weeks) glucose control status\(^\text{2,16}\).

The purpose of this study was to assess the clinical utility of 1,5-AG in early diabetes detection in patients with prediabetes status by comparison with HbA1c in overweight and obese (OWOB) children.

**Materials and methods**

1. **Study population**

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Konkuk University Medical Center, Seoul, Korea (IRB No.: KUH1090036). The need for informed consent was waived. In total, 74 participants diagnosed with OWOB (body mass index [BMI] \(z\)-score ≥1.04, same as the 85th percentile) or diabetes were enrolled between August 2011 and January 2015 at Konkuk University Medical Center. The subjects (44 girls, 30 boys) were 14.3±3.6 years of age and were classified into two groups: OWOB and diabetes. The OWOB group included patients who were overweight (1.04≤BMI \(z\)-score<1.65, \(n=12\)) or obese (BMI \(z\)-score≥1.65, \(n=15\)) by 2007 Korean National Growth Charts\(^\text{17}\), and the diabetes group included patients who were type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM, \(n=26\)) or T2DM (\(n=21\)). The exclusion criteria included acute or severe chronic diseases, severe comorbid disorders, and other acute illnesses that affected blood glucose. No subject had anemia, chronic kidney disease, or liver failure, and none were pregnant.

2. **Biochemical measurement**

Measurement of serum glycemic markers was performed as follows: plasma AG concentrations were measured using an enzymatic colorimetric assay (Kyowa Medex, Tokyo, Japan). And, HbA1c was assayed by high-performance liquid chromatography using Variaiant TM II turbo (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA).

**Table 1. Characteristics and laboratory findings in subjects with OWOB group and diabetes group (n=74)**

| Variable | OWOB (n=27) | Diabetes (n=47) | P-value |
|----------|-------------|----------------|---------|
| Age (yr) | 12.6±1.2 | 15.3±1.2 | 0.002 |
| Sex, male/female | 15/12 | 15/32 | - |
| Body mass index, \(z\)-score | 1.9±0.7 | 0.5±1.2 | <0.001 |
| Underweight (<21.65) | - | - | - |
| Normal (21.65≥\(z\)-score<3) | - | 30 | - |
| Overweight (21.65≥\(z\)-score<3) | 12 | 8 | - |
| Obese (\(z\)-score≥3) | 15 | 8 | - |
| HbA1c (%) | 5.5±0.3 | 8.9±2.7 | <0.001 |
| Glucose (mg/dL) | 97.1±10.0 | 205.8±129.8 | <0.001 |
| 1,5-AG (µg/mL) | 31.1±10.1 | 4.7±13.7 | <0.001 |
| Ln (1,5-AG) | 3.4±0.3 | 1.5±1.0 | <0.001 |
| Creatinine (mg/dL) | 0.6±0.1 | 0.7±0.1 | 0.001 |
| AST (IU/L) | 29.4±12.0 | 28.3±4.2 | 0.847 |
| ALT (IU/L) | 37.0±28.7 | 34.7±53.2 | 0.839 |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L) | 173.3±32.9 | 182.4±46.1 | 0.366 |
| LDL cholesterol (mmol/L) | 102.7±27.7 | 98.0±39.4 | 0.588 |
| HDL cholesterol (mmol/L) | 48.0±10.1 | 57.7±15.1 | 0.004 |
| Triglyceride (mmol/L) | 116.2±71.9 | 118.0±114.6 | 0.918 |
| Diabetes duration (yr) | - | 3±3 | - |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation or number. \(P\)-values were calculated by Student t-test. OWOB, overweight/obese; HbA1C, glycosylated hemoglobin; Ln (1,5-AG), logarithmic transformed 1,5-AG values; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein.

According to previous study, the level of HbA1c for assessing impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) is 5.5%. And HbA1c>8% is usually considered to indicate poorly controlled diabetes\(^\text{2,16}\). Thus, we divided the subjects into three groups by HbA1c: <5.5%, 5.5%–8%, and >8%. The relationship between 1,5-AG and HbA1c was compared depending on this classification.

**3. The criteria of HbA1c and 1,5-AG**

To determine the reference value of 1,5-AG, we followed previous studies. Kim and Park\(^\text{20}\) suggested that diabetes subjects with low 1,5-AG (<10 µg/mL) were more prone to diabetic complications than those with high 1,5-AG (≥10 µg/mL). In another population-based cohort study in Japan, 23.1 µg/mL was determined as the cutoff value of nondiabetic reference subjects\(^\text{20}\). Thus, we accepted 10 µg/mL as the lower cutoff, and 23.1 µg/mL as the upper cutoff value of 1,5-AG.

**4. Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics ver. 21.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive statistics are presented as mean±standard deviation or proportions. Student t-test and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were
used to compare the mean values of variables. Logarithmic transformation of the 1,5-AG values was performed to assess the linear correlation between logarithmic transformed 1,5-AG values (ln [1,5-AG]) and HbA1c. We compared 1,5-AG with HbA1c using the Pearson correlation test. P-values<0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

**Results**

1. Comparison of clinical parameters between the OWOB and diabetes groups

The clinical characteristics of the subjects are presented in Table 1. The subjects were composed of two groups: the OWOB (n=27; overweight:obese, 12:15; 36.5% of all subjects) and diabetes (n=47; T1DM:T2DM, 26:21; 63.5% of all subjects) groups.

In OWOB group, the mean age was 12.6±2.9 years, the HbA1c was 5.5%±0.3%, and the 1,5-AG was 31.1±10.1 μg/mL. In Diabetes group, the mean age was 15.3±3.5 years, the levels of HbA1c was 8.9%±2.7%, and the 1,5-AG was significantly lower than OWOB group as 7.4±7.3 μg/mL. The mean duration of diabetes was 3.3±3.0 years.

The mean age of the OWOB group was 12.6±2.9 years, younger than that of the diabetes (15.3±3.5 years). The levels of HbA1c and glucose were significantly lower, whereas 1,5-AG was higher in the OWOB group than in the diabetes group (31.1±10.1 μg/mL vs. 7.4±7.3 μg/mL, P<0.001). The HbA1c of the OWOB group was 5.5%±0.3%, and that of the diabetes group was 8.9%±2.7%. The mean duration of diabetes was 3.3±3.0 years.

The subjects were divided into 3 subgroups according to HbA1c: <5.5%, 5.5%–8%, and >8%. The patients' characteristics and the average values of the clinical parameters (age, gender, BMI z-score, and laboratory findings: glucose, lipid panel, and creatinine) were assessed using ANOVA and are presented in Table 2. Among them, the differences in HbA1c, glucose, and 1,5-AG were significant (P<0.001).

2. Distribution of 1,5-AG and the correlation between 1,5-AG and HbA1c

Most of the subjects with HbA1c<5.5% were in the OWOB group, except one who had well-controlled T2DM. This group showed substantial variation in 1,5-AG (17.9–44.3 μg/mL), and had no correlation between 1,5-AG and HbA1c levels. For HbA1c>8%, all subjects were diabetics and none of the OWOB were included. The 1,5-AG levels were 2.4±1.1, in the range of 0.6–4.9 μg/mL, and also 1,5-AG did not correlate with HbA1c.

**Table 2. Comparison of the subjects' clinical parameters according to HbA1c**

| Variable               | HbA1C<5.5% (n=12) | 5.5%≤HbA1C<8% (n=38) | HbA1C>8% (n=24) | P-value |
|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Age (yr)               | 11.9±3.4          | 14.3±3.6             | 15.5±3.0        | 0.017*  |
| Sex, male:female       | 7.5               | 15.23                | 8.16            | 0.358   |
| Body mass index, z-score | 1.8±0.3          | 1.2±1.3              | 0.3±2.5         | 0.002*  |
| HbA1c (%)              | 5.3±0.2           | 6.4±0.8              | 11.0±2.2        | <0.001* |
| Glucose (mg/dl)        | 96.0±10.9         | 124.4±52.6           | 267.3±148.2     | <0.001* |
| 1,5-AG (µg/mL)        | 29.6±9.0          | 20.4±13.2            | 2.4±1.1         | <0.001* |
| Ln (1,5-AG)            | 3.3±0.3           | 2.8±0.7              | 0.7±0.6         | <0.001* |
| Creatinine (mg/dl)     | 0.5±0.1           | 0.7±0.1              | 0.7±0.1         | 0.007*  |
| AST (U/L)              | 28.8±10.5         | 24.5±10.7            | 35.3±38.8       | 0.223   |
| ALT (U/L)              | 34.4±28.3         | 28.2±23.2            | 47.8±71.5       | 0.262   |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L) | 170.8±44.8   | 168.8±26.2           | 199.5±53.1      | 0.012*  |
| LDL cholesterol (mmol/L) | 100.3±37.4       | 93.2±27.5            | 110.0±43.8      | 0.194   |
| HDL cholesterol (mmol/L) | 47.8±10.1       | 53.0±12.3            | 59.1±17.3       | 0.061   |
| Triglyceride (mmol/L)  | 113.2±42.1        | 99.6±72.8            | 148.9±145.7     | 0.169   |

Values are presented as means±standard deviation or number. HbA1C, glycosylated hemoglobin; Ln (1,5-AG), logarithmic transformed 1,5-AG values; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein. Statistical significance was assessed by one-way analysis of variance among groups. The same letters indicate a nonsignificant difference between groups based on the Scheffe multiple comparison test. *P<0.05.
However, for the HbA1c range of 5.5%–8%, each 1,5-AG was distributed more widely in the range of 3.8–59.3 μg/mL, and correlated significantly with HbA1c ($r = -0.736$, $P < 0.001$).

Fig. 1A shows the distribution of 1,5-AG according to HbA1c levels. The relationship between ln (1,5-AG) and HbA1c is shown in Fig. 1B. 1,5-AG was negatively correlated with HbA1c in the entire subject ($r = -0.822$, $P < 0.001$), as it was in the diabetes group ($r = -0.719$, $P < 0.001$). However, the 1,5-AG levels in the OWOB group had a wide range (16.8–59.3 μg/mL) and were not correlated with HbA1c (Table 3). For HbA1c ≤8%, ln (1,5-AG) was inversely correlated with HbA1c ($r = -0.746$, $P < 0.001$). Among the diabetes in this range, 1,5-AG levels were <10 μg/mL in nine subjects and ≥10 μg/mL in 14 subjects. The 1,5-AG levels in the latter group increased, up to 29.7 μg/mL, close to the normal range.

**Discussion**

1,5-AG is a six-carbon monosaccharide, the 1-deoxy form of glucose, that was first discovered in 1888 [16,21]. Because of its structural similarity to glucose, absorption of 1,5-AG is competitively inhibited by glucose in the proximal tubule if the renal threshold for glycosuria (generally >180 mg/dL in serum glucose) is reached [8,21], after which levels of 1,5-AG in serum decrease rapidly [8,21]. Thus, patients with diabetes usually have markedly lower 1,5-AG levels than the healthy population [22]. The reported 1,5-AG range is quite wide, 0.49–110 μg/mL, and the intra- and interassay coefficients of variation are small [23]. The sensitivity and specificity of 1,5-AG has been reported as being superior to HbA1c and fructosamine, respectively, and is 84.2% and 93.1% when the cutoff of the 1,5-AG concentration is determined as 14 μg/mL [24,25].

The amount of 1,5-AG is generally maintained at approximately 500–1,000 mg in total [23]. This originates mainly from food (4.4 mg/day), whereas its biosynthesis only provides a small source (~0.5 mg/day) [23]; 1,5-AG is balanced by urinary excretion, and its renal reabsorption is ~99.9% [16]. However, it can be affected by medications, diet, age, gender, race, and various pathological conditions (renal disease, liver disease, gastrectomy state, and cystic fibrosis) [19]. 1,5-AG is derived mainly from food and is influenced by diet and some medications [19]. The major food source is soy, and a small amount is included in rice, meat, fish, fruit, vegetable, tea, milk, and cheese [23]. A previous study showed that 1,5-AG decreased with aging in both sexes, and was higher in males than in females [27]. 1,5-AG was also significantly higher in Asian and African patients than in Caucasians [19,23].

1,5-AG is suitable for evaluating short-term glucose status, glucose excursions, and PBG and thus is suitable for use in monitoring strict glycemic control [19,28]. Because postprandial hyperglycemia is a known risk factor for cardiovascular disease, control of PBG is an important target in glycemic control [11]. Stettler et al. [29] showed that 1,5-AG was an optimal indicator of the 2-hour PBG value. According to Schindhelm et al. [30], 1,5-AG was significantly inversely correlated with PBG, and the strongest association was seen in the second week, compared with HbA1c, which is considered to reflect mean glucose levels over the last 3 months. Sun et al. [14] analyzed the correlation between 1,5-AG and glycemic excursion, using CGMS, and 1,5-AG was more closely related to glycemic excursions than HbA1c. However, unlike SMBG or CGMS, 1,5-AG does not provide information about the peak timing of hyperglycemia [19]. Besides, in some patients, there is a discordance between 1,5-AG and other glycemic markers; the reason for this discordance is presently unknown [31].

Recently, markedly increased T2DM, even in children, could lead to profound economic costs for diabetic care and
managing complications related to diabetes, and the importance of identifying prediabetics has increased (32). Thus, today, early detection of prediabetics and appropriate intervention in early diabetes are very important in preventing the progress of diabetes (32). Several prospective studies showed that 5-year cumulative incidence of diabetes was 12%–25% in subjects with HbA1c between 5.5% and 6% (33-36). Data from National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey indicated that the subjects with HbA1c between 5.5% and 6% were prone to impaired fasting glucose or IGT. Therefore, subjects with HbA1c between 5.5% and 6% should be initiated preventive intervention (37). In this study, we decided a lower cutoff of HbA1c, 5.5%, for prediabetes.

In this study, we compared 1,5-AG with HbA1c, the traditional standard marker of glycemic control, in the OWOB and diabetes groups. The 1,5-AG levels were significantly inversely correlated with HbA1c 5.5%–8%. The 1,5-AG values of diabetes subjects overlapped with the OWOB group when HbA1c was ≤8%, whereas most of the poorly controlled diabetics (HbA1c≥8%) had prominently low levels of 1,5-AG, and HbA1c was ≤8%, whereas most of the poorly controlled diabetics (HbA1c≥8%) had prominently low levels of 1,5-AG, and this result was consistent with a previous report on severely uncontrolled diabetes (37). According to this, 1,5 AG was a valuable index in prediabetic obese children and relatively well-controlled diabetic patients with HbA1c between 5.5% and 8%.

As mentioned earlier, 1,5-AG might be a more valuable marker of a detailed glucose status for the segment with HbA1c≤8%. This may imply that 1,5-AG better reflects glycemic changes of prediabetes than HbA1c. It was previously reported that serum 1,5-AG concentrations were lower in nondiabetic subjects with a family history of T2DM than in those with no family history (38). The level of 1,5-AG, while maintained within the normal range, was decreased in IGT subjects (39). The proportion of this reduction was correlated with the degree of glycemic tolerance impairment. 1,5-AG precisely detected slight glycemic changes promptly, even in the near-normoglycemic range (39). Also, HbA1c, fasting glucose, and 1,5-AG were reported as good predictive factors of T2DM in obese patients (40). Among them, HbA1c and 1,5-AG were strong predictive variables of prediabetes just before T2DM in insulin-resistant obese patients (40). Therefore, we suggested that the level of 1,5-AG could play a role in the continuum of diabetes in obese subject with a HbA1c level of less than 6.5% with glucose fluctuations and postprandial hyperglycemia. 1,5-AG is a valuable index in the HbA1c range 5.5%–8% and it might be considered as an early glycemic control index in obese patients with HbA1c levels of more than 5.5%, such as IGT or insulin-resistant obese children. Also, 1,5-AG level assessments should be stressed as a supplementary tool for better compliance as well as improvement of short-term glucose control in diabetes management in relatively well-controlled diabetes patients with an HbA1c level of less than 8%.

This study had several limitations. First, it is a retrospective study based on past medical records. Second, the small sample size and short follow-up durations of diabetes were additional limitations. Third, we could not examine patient glucose variability or peak glucose levels by CGMS or SMBG and were unable to include patients with large glucose variability. However, we did not select specific patients, thus more accurately reflecting a realistic diabetic population. Further studies are needed for 1,5-AG to be recognized as an early glycemic marker in prediabetes.
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