Catalytic Conversion of Starch to 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural by Tin Phosphotungstate
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Heteropoly acids containing Brønsted and Lewis acids show excellent catalytic activity. Brønsted acids promote the depolymerization of polysaccharides (such as starch and cellulose) into glucose, while Lewis acids catalyze the conversion of glucose to 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF). Designing stable Brønsted-Lewis acid-containing bifunctional heterogeneous catalysts is crucial for the efficient catalytic conversion of polysaccharides to HMF. In this study, a series of Brønsted-Lewis acid bifunctional catalysts (SnₓPW, X = 0.10–0.75) were investigated for the conversion of cassava starch to HMF. The structure of the catalysts was characterized by X-ray diffraction, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, Pyridine Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. The acid strength and acid capacity were also investigated. The effects of reaction time, temperature, catalyst concentration, and cassava starch concentration on the selectivity, conversion rate, and yield were examined. The results showed that, among the analyzed catalysts, Sn₀.₁PW presented the best ability under the test conditions for catalyzing the conversion of starch to HMF. At the optimized conditions of a reaction temperature of 160°C, a catalyst dosage of 0.50 mmol/gstarch, and a 1 h reaction time, the starch conversion rate was 90.61%, and the selectivity and yield of HMF were 59.77 and 54.12%, respectively. Our findings contribute to the development of HMF production by the dehydration of carbohydrates.
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INTRODUCTION

Abundant renewable biomass is an ideal and promising alternative for the production of valuable chemicals (Perea-Moreno et al., 2019). Among various compounds derived from biomass, 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) is an important and versatile platform intermediate for the production of fuels and chemicals such as 2,5-furandicarboxilic acid (FDCA), 2,5-dihydroxymethylfuran (2,5-DMF), 5-ethoxymethylfurfural (5-EMF), and levulinic acid (LA). Among these chemicals, 2,5-DMF and 5-EMF have attracted much attention because of their excellent biofuel characteristics. 5-EMF can be prepared without a cumbersome hydrogenation step, requiring only HMF and ethanol etherification (Mascal and Nikitin, 2008). At present, multiple catalysts have been reported for the catalytic conversion of HMF to 2,5-DMF (Huang et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014). 5-EMF is considered a very good fuel additive. Its energy density is close to that of...
gasoline (8.8 kwh/L) and diesel (8.7 kwh/L), and higher than that of fuel ethanol (6.1 kwh/L; Mascal and Nikitin, 2008). FDCA may replace terephthalic acid, the main component of polyethylene terephthalate. Based on the wide application of FDCA as a platform chemical, FDCA is listed as one of the 12 important glycosyl platform chemicals for the production of bio-based chemicals and materials.

Various carbohydrates can be used to synthesize HMF, e.g., fructose (Akien et al., 2012), glucose (Chheda et al., 2007), sucrose (Yu et al., 2017), starch (Chun et al., 2010), and cellulose (Fang et al., 2020). Generally, there are two pathways for the conversion of glucose to HMF. One is the direct dehydration of glucose to HMF, and the other includes two steps: glucose isomerization to fructose, followed by the dehydration of fructose to HMF (Choudhary et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2018). The first pathway is usually more difficult than the second one because of the different homomorphic conformation distributions of glucose and fructose in solution. While the isomerization of glucose into fructose is usually completed in an alkaline reaction system (Binder and Raines, 2009; Su et al., 2009), the dehydration of fructose to HMF requires an acidic environment (Nie et al., 2020). The introduction of metal ions through Brønsted acidic catalysts that are effective in the conversion of glucose to HMF (Carniti et al., 2009; Su et al., 2009), the dehydration of fructose is usually completed in an alkaline reaction system (Binder and Raines, 2009; Su et al., 2009), while phosphotungstic acid (HPW) provides Brønsted acid sites that promote starch hydrolysis to glucose and fructose, while phosphotungstic acid (HPW) provides Brønsted acid sites that promote starch hydrolysis to glucose and fructose, and then dehydration to HMF. We found a significant increase in HMF yield when moving from HPW to Sn-doped HPW, without any significant structural changes to the catalyst, which we attribute to the introduction of Lewis acid sites to the catalyst. The effects of reaction temperature, reaction time, catalyst, and substrate concentration on the conversion of cassava starch, HMF yield, and selectivity were studied.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

Cassava starch was obtained from Hongfeng Starch Co., Ltd. (Guangxi, China). Methanol and glacial acetic acid were of chromatographic grades, and all other reagents were of analytical grade and used without further purification. Phosphotungstic acid hydrate, Sn chloride (99.998%), 5-hydroxymethyl-2-furadene (99%), dimethyl sulfoxide (anhydrous solvent grade), and tetrahydrofuran (anhydrous solvent grade) were obtained from Shanghai Aladdin Industrial Inc. (China).

**Catalyst Preparation**

Sn phosphotungstate catalysts Sn₉Pₓ (x = 0.10–0.75) were synthesized as reported in the literature (Okuhara et al., 2000). A series of Sn₉Pₓs were prepared by titration, with the Sn content ranging from 0.1 to 0.75 mmol. Six parts of phosphotungstic acid (1 mmol) were completely dissolved in 100 ml of distilled water, into which SnCl₄ was slowly added, respectively. These mixtures were maintained under magnetic stirring at 60°C for 6 h until the solution was clear and transparent. Subsequently, the prepared solution was slowly dried at 60°C until the water completely evaporated and a white solid appeared. After drying, the white precipitate was calcined in a tubular furnace at 300°C for 2 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. Subsequently, the catalysts of Sn₉Pₓ (x = 0.10, 0.20, 0.30, 0.40, 0.50, 0.60, and 0.75) were obtained.
Catalyst Characterization
The chemical structure of the catalysts was analyzed using X-ray diffraction (XRD; Mini-Flex600), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR; Tensor II, Bruker), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS; Thermo Scientific K-Alpha++), and inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES; 7,700 e, Agilent). The XRD test conditions were as follows: CuKa ray (λ) of 0.15406 nm, Ni filter, 40 kV, and 40 mA; and the data were collected in the 2θ range of 5–80° with a step of 0.02° at a scanning speed of 5°/min. FTIR spectroscopy was performed using a potassium bromide tablet method. The wavelength scanning range was 4,000–400 cm⁻¹, and the scanning was performed 32 times. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS; Thermo Scientific K-Alpha++) with a monochromatized Alka X-ray source (1,486.6 eV) was used to analyze the relative atomic ratios and chemical environment of the elements in the catalyst. The analyzer was operated in the constant analyzer energy mode, and the vacuum in the analysis chamber was approximately 5 × 10⁻⁹ mbar. The measured high-resolution XPS spectra were analyzed using a Thermo Avantage v5.9921 trial version. The charge of the tested catalysts was corrected using an indeterminate carbon signal and Thermo Scientific K-Alpha++ with a monochromatized Alka X-ray source (1,486.6 eV). The energy mode and vacuum were the same as in the previous analysis chamber, with a binding energy of 284.8 eV. The relative content of the elements in the catalyst was analyzed by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES; 7,700 e, Agilent). The amount and ratio of Bronsted and Lewis acids in the catalysts were measured using Pyridine Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (Py-IR; Thermo Fisher Nicolet iS50). The catalyst was treated at 200°C for 2 h in a vacuum, cooled to room temperature, and then adsorbed with anhydrous pyridine for 30 min. The temperature was raised at 5°C/min, and the data were recorded at 120, 180, and 350°C.

Analysis of Hammett Acid Strength of Catalysts.
Following a previously reported method (Shi et al., 2012), we measured the Hammett acid strength by exposing the catalysts (5 mg) to an ethanol solution to select Hammett indicators (methyl red: pKa = +4.8; 4-nitroaniline standard: pKa = +0.99; dicinnamalacetone: pKa = −3.0; chalcone: pKa = −5.6; anthraquinone: pKa = −8.2; p-nitrotoluene: pKa = −11.35), as summarized in Supplementary Table S1. Based on the results, dicinnamalacetone was used as an indicator. Spectrophotometry was used to evaluate the acidic function of the catalyst (Kondamudi et al., 2010; Parveen et al., 2016). The catalyst samples (5 mg) were suspended in ethanol solution and ultrasonicated for 2 h. The Hammett acidity function (Brei, 2003) was determined by spectrophotometry using the chosen indicator. The experiments for each sample were run in triplicate, and the average values were analyzed. The Hammett acid strength (Hₐ) was described in Eq. 1.

\[
H_a = pK (I_{aq}) + \log \left( \frac{[I]}{[HI]} \right),
\]

where \( pK (I_{aq}) \) is the pKa of the Hammett indicator, and \([HI]\) and \([I]\) are the concentrations of the acidified and non-acidified parts of the indicator, respectively. The absorbance of the blank (dicinnamalacetone) and different catalysts were measured at 300–800 nm using a spectrophotometer (Analytikjena, SPECORD 50 PLUS), as shown in Table 1 and Supplementary Figure S1.

Starch Hydrolysis
Starch hydrolysis was conducted in a 50 ml steel autoclave lined with quartz. In a typical run, starch (0.2 g), DMSO (4.5 ml), THF (10.5 ml), saturated NaCl solution (5 ml), and catalyst (0.1 mmol) were added to the reactor and well mixed. The reaction mixture was heated to a specified temperature under continuous stirring (400 rpm). After the reaction was completed, the reactor was quickly cooled in an ice bath, and the mixture was centrifuged to separate the filtrate from the unreacted starch (3,000 rpm, 10 min). The filtered solution, which was used to produce HMF, was analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). The conversion of starch was determined based on the mass change of the solid mixture. The starch dehydration to HMF in DMSO-THF-water mixtures using Sn phosphotungstate catalyst was influenced by several parameters, including catalyst acidity and strength, time, temperature, amount of catalyst, initial starch concentration, and catalyst Sn⁴⁺ content. The addition of DMSO and THF as co-solvents for water binding had three functions (Pumrod et al., 2020): limiting the rehydration of HMF to formic acid (FA) and LA, improving the reaction rate, and ensuring that no insoluble humus was formed.

Analysis of 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural
The concentration of HMF in the reaction mixture was measured by the external standard method and detected by HPLC (1,260II, Agilent) using an ultraviolet detector and a ZORBAX Eclipse XDC-C18 column (4.6 mm × 250 mm, 5-Micron, Agilent, United States) at 284 nm. The column was operated at 30°C, and the detector at 40°C. A 90:10 (V:V) mixture of 1% glacial acetic acid solution (by volume fraction) and methanol was used as the mobile phase at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min. The injection volume was 20 µl for a filtered (0.22 µm) 10-fold diluted sample. The yield and selectivity of HMF and the conversion rate of starch are shown in Eqs 2–4, respectively.

\[
Y_{HMF} = \left( \frac{C_1 V_1 + C_2 V_2}{126 \times m_d} \right) \times 100\% ,
\]

\[
S_{selectivity} = \left( \frac{Y_{HMF}}{C_{conversion}} \right) \times 100\% ,
\]

\[
C_{conversion} = \left( \frac{m_d - m_s}{m_d} \right) \times 100\% ,
\]

where \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are the molar concentrations of HMF in water and organic solvent, respectively, in mg/ml; \( V_1 \) and \( V_2 \) are the
TABLE 1 | Starch conversion and HMF production over a series of SnxPW with different Sn loading.

| Catalyst    | H0 | B/L | Elemental analysis | Conversion (%) | Selectivity (%) | HMF yield (%) |
|-------------|----|-----|-------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
|             | Sn (%) | P (%) |                  |                |                |               |
| HPW         | −1.77 | 2.82 | 0 | 1.08 | 93.30 | 25.21 | 23.52 |
| Sn0.1PW     | −1.63 | 2.62 | 0.45 | 1.06 | 90.61 | 59.77 | 54.12 |
| Sn0.2PW     | −1.34 | 1.89 | 0.85 | 1.07 | 92.92 | 51.87 | 48.20 |
| Sn0.3PW     | −1.32 | 0.89 | 1.16 | 1.10 | 94.42 | 44.60 | 42.12 |
| Sn0.4PW     | −1.21 | 0.88 | 1.59 | 1.03 | 93.77 | 48.42 | 45.40 |
| Sn0.5PW     | −0.96 | 0.65 | 2.01 | 1.03 | 96.42 | 39.77 | 38.35 |
| Sn0.75PW    | −0.54 | 0.55 | 3.06 | 1.13 | 99.88 | 31.93 | 31.90 |

* A catalyst sample (5 mg) was dissolved in an absolute ethanol solution of 6 mg/L diconnamalicetone (pK_a = −3.0), sealed, and ultrasonicated at room temperature for 2 h.
* Measurement by pyridine infrared spectroscopy at 180°C.
* Calculated by ICP-AES.
* Reaction conditions: 0.2 g of starch and 0.5 mmol/g starch of catalysts were dissolved in a mixture of 5 ml saturated NaCl solution and 15 ml organic solvent (THF/DMSO = 7/3, V/V) at 180°C for 60 min.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Catalyst Characterization

The XRD patterns of HPW, Sn0.1PW, and Sn0.75PW are shown in Figure 1. Pure HPW shows characteristic diffraction peaks at 10.35°, 14.60°, 17.85°, 20.79°, 23.25°, 25.49°, 29.50°, 31.32°, 34.71°, and 37.83° (Ren et al., 2015), respectively. The main diffraction peaks of Sn0.1PW and Sn0.75PW were similar to those of HPW, indicating that the HPAs (i.e., Sn phosphotungstic acid) still preserved the crystallinity and secondary structure of HPW, despite the addition of Sn ions.

The FTIR spectra of Sn0.1PW, Sn0.75PW, and HPW are shown in Figure 2. HPW presented four characteristic peaks of tetrahedral oxygen P-Oa, non-shared oxygen for each octahedron (end oxygen) W=Oa, different trimetallic cluster corner top-shared oxygen (Bridge Oxygen) W-Oa, and the same trimetallic cluster-shared oxygen (Bridge Oxygen) W-Oc at 1,080, 983, 887, and 805 cm⁻¹, respectively, which were attributed to typical Keggin anions (Rafiee et al., 2005). For Sn0.1PW and Sn0.75PW, the four characteristic peaks were also clearly observed, but their intensities clearly decreased. Therefore, the Sn0.1PW and Sn0.75PW catalysts maintained the unique Keggin structure of H3PW12O40, and the basic structure of HPA remained unchanged. Sn did not enter the internal structure of heteropoly anions, as it was located outside the heteropoly anions as counter charge ion (Marcio Jose da and Cesar Macedo de, 2018). To further understand the surface composition discrepancy of Sn0.1PW, Sn0.75PW, and HPW, the high-resolution XPS spectra of Sn and tungsten in the catalysts were investigated (Figure 3). The Sn 3d spectrum was
deconvoluted into two characteristic peaks at approximately 487.48 and 495.78 eV, which were attributed to Sn 3d$_{5/2}$ and Sn 3d$_{3/2}$ (Sánchez et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2020; Qiu et al., 2020) (Figure 3A), respectively, indicating the generation of Sn (IV) species with Lewis acidity. The Sn loading decreased, i.e., Sn 3d$_{3/2}$ was decomposed into two peaks located at 493.38 and 495.98 eV, respectively, demonstrating the presence of a small amount of Sn (II) oxide in the sample. According to the literature for magnetite

![FIGURE 3 | XPS spectra of (A) Sn 3d of Sn$_{0.1}$PW and Sn$_{0.75}$PW; and (B) W 4f of HPW, Sn$_{0.1}$PW, and Sn$_{0.75}$PW.](image)

![SCHEME 1 | Pathway of HMF formation from starch to glucose to fructose to HMF.](image)
The main peaks at 36.18 and 38.28 eV shown in the W 4f spectrum corresponded to W 4f$_{7/2}$ and W 4f$_{5/2}$ (Figure 3B). The binding energies of W 4f$_{7/2}$ and W 4f$_{5/2}$ in Sn$_{0.1}$PW and Sn$_{0.75}$PW showed a blueshift and slight decrease, respectively, and were weaker than that of pure HPW likely due to the interaction of the metal ion Sn$^{n+}$ ($n = 2, 4$) with the anionic Keggin structural unit (Zhao et al., 2015). According to the literature (Choudhary et al., 2013; Tang et al., 2015), for the isomerization of glucose to fructose, Lewis and Brønsted acids have different functions in the conversion of glucose to HMF (Scheme 1). Lewis acids induce glucose isomerization to fructose, and then fructose loses three molecules of water to form HMF using Brønsted acids (Cai et al., 2013; Cai et al., 2014). The Hammett acid strength range of the acid sites is shown in Table 1. The acidic strength of Sn$_x$PW was between −1.63 and −0.54, which was lower than that of pure HPW. The catalytic acid strength decreased in the order HPW > Sn$_{0.1}$PW > Sn$_{0.2}$PW > Sn$_{0.3}$PW > Sn$_{0.4}$PW > Sn$_{0.5}$PW > Sn$_{0.75}$PW. The results of Py-FTIR spectroscopy used to analyze the surface acidic sites of the catalyst are shown in Figure 4. Two characteristic absorption bands of strong Lewis and Bronsted acid sites could be observed at 1,452 and 1,537 cm$^{-1}$. In addition, the characteristic absorption band at 1,488 cm$^{-1}$ was associated with both Brønsted and Lewis acid sites. The size of the peak areas corresponding to the characteristic absorption bands of Brønsted and Lewis acids indicates the abundance of Brønsted and Lewis acid sites, and the ratio of these sites was calculated based on their peak areas at the corresponding absorption bands. HPW provided dominant Brønsted acid sites, and the introduction of Sn changed the proportion of Brønsted and Lewis acids on the catalyst. Based on the peak intensities at 1,537 and 1,452 cm$^{-1}$, the concentration of Brønsted acid sites decreased, and the concentration of Lewis acid sites increased with an increasing Sn loading. Shi et al. (2012) also reported that HPW had higher Bronsted acidity than phosphotungststates with Keggin structure.

Catalytic Performance of Catalysts With Different Sn Loadings

As shown in Table 1, the pure HPW presented a 23.52% yield and 25.71% selectivity to HMF. The highest HMF yield (54.12%) and highest starch selectivity (59.77%) were obtained with Sn$_{0.1}$PW. These results indicate that the efficiency of the catalytic dehydration of glucose to HMF was relatively low in the presence of only a Bronsted acid and without the assistance of a Lewis acid. Thus, the Lewis acid sites assigned by Sn were important for the dehydration of glucose to HMF. The HMF yield decreased from 54.12 to 31.90% as the Sn ion loading increased from 0.41% (Sn$_{0.1}$PW) to 3.01% (Sn$_{0.75}$PW). These results indicate that the catalytic activity was related to the number of Bronsted and Lewis acids. The stronger Bronsted acid was more conducive to the hydrolysis of α-1,4-glycosidic bonds and α-1,6-glycosidic bonds in starch. The Lewis acid was more favorable for the conversion of glucose to HMF. Therefore, Sn$_{0.1}$PW, which presented the strongest protonic acid site, showed the best catalytic activity for the hydrolysis of starch and further conversion to HMF. Therefore, Sn$_{0.1}$PW was used in the following conversion experiments.

Optimization of Conversion Conditions

The effect of the reaction temperature and time on the starch conversion to HMF were evaluated, as shown in Figure 5. Both reaction temperature and time affected the yield of HMF. At different temperatures, from 140 to 180°C, the HMF yield first increased to the maximum value and then decreased with an increase in reaction time. At 170 and 180°C, the HMF yield reached its maximum values (42.6 and 40.93%, respectively) at 1 and 0.5 h, respectively. The results showed that with an increase in reaction temperature, the conversion rate of starch to HMF...
increased, and the time for the HMF yield to reach its maximum at this temperature shortened. The higher reaction temperatures (170 and 180°C) and longer reaction times led to a decrease in the HMF yield. The reason for this phenomenon might be that the probability of side reactions increased with the reaction time at high temperatures, which led to the generation of soluble polymers and insoluble humin (Huang et al., 2018). At 160°C, the maximum HMF yield reached 54.12%, and the starch conversion and HMF selectivity were 90.61 and 59.77% for 1 h (Supplementary Figure S3). With an increase in reaction time, the yield tended to decrease. The yield of HMF was 35.30% when the reaction time was 4 h at 160°C. At low reaction temperatures (140°C), the optimal HMF yield was as high as 37.74%, with a 3.5% fructose yield and a 15.83% glucose yield after 3 h (Supplementary Figure S2A,B). As shown in Supplementary Figure S2B, longer reaction times resulted in the generation of more by-products, such as LA and FA, whose amount, however, was very small, 3.56 and 1.43% at 140°C after 4 h, respectively.

Figure 6 shows the effects of reaction temperature on starch conversion, HMF selectivity, and HMF yield at the same reaction time (1 h). At 140, 150, 160, 170, and 180°C, the starch conversion rate was 87.25, 89.30, 90.61, 97.63, and 99.14%, but the HMF selectivity was 28.21, 39.69, 59.73, 52.08, and 48.25%, respectively, which indicated that starch hydrolysis was conducive to the production of glucose within a certain temperature range. However, higher temperatures (>160°C) caused significant side reactions, leading to the reduction of HMF selectivity (yield; Guo et al., 2012; Qu et al., 2012). Therefore, the optimal reaction conditions for Sn0.1PW to catalyze the conversion of starch to HMF were 160°C with a reaction time of 1 h.

Figure 7 shows the effect of Sn0.1PW dosage on the conversion of starch to HMF (160°C, 1 h). The HMF yield increased remarkably, from 37.90 to 54.12%, when the catalyst dosage increased from 0.25 to 0.50 mmol/g (based on the starch weight). However, the yield decreased slightly as the amount of catalyst was further increased. The maximum HMF yield (54.12%) was obtained for 0.50 mmol/gstarch. The effects of catalyst amount on the yield of glucose, fructose, and by-products were also investigated. With an increase in the catalyst dosage, the content of glucose and fructose in the solvent decreased gradually, while the content of LA and FA gradually increased (Supplementary Figure S4). A possible reason for this pattern was that while the conversion of starch to HMF was accelerated by the increase in catalyst loading, other side reactions, such as HMF reactions with water to synthesize LA and FA or cross-polymerization to form soluble polymers and insoluble humus, were also promoted (Xiao et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2017).

Catalytic Mechanism
Based on the properties and experimental studies of Sn0PW, a possible catalytic mechanism is proposed (Peng et al., 2019), which primarily can be divided into three steps, as shown in Scheme 2: first, starch molecules are hydrolyzed under acidic conditions to break the glycosidic bond and produce glucose; second, glucose is isomerized to fructose; finally, the fructose molecules undergo a dehydration reaction and shed three water molecules to form HMF. In the second process, Snn + electrophilically attacks glucose molecules and acts on the oxygen atom of the aldehyde group to form an intermediate, which then isomerizes to fructose. Under the Brønsted acid sites on the surface of the catalyst, hydroxyl groups of fructose molecules undergo a dehydration reaction and shed three water molecules to form HMF. In the second process, Sn(n + 1) electrophilically attacks glucose molecules and acts on the oxygen atom of the aldehyde group to form an intermediate, which then isomerizes to fructose. Under the Brønsted acid sites on the surface of the catalyst, hydroxyl groups of fructose molecules undergo a dehydration reaction and shed three water molecules to form HMF. In the second process, Sn(n + 1) electrophilically attacks glucose molecules and acts on the oxygen atom of the aldehyde group to form an intermediate, which then isomerizes to fructose.
efficient catalytic hydrolysis isomerization dehydration. The concentration ratio of Bronsted and Lewis acid sites must be controlled accurately to achieve the highest yield of HMF from starch. This discovery provides the possibility for bifunctional catalysts containing Bronsted and Lewis acids to be used as efficient catalysts for biomass conversion to HMF.

CONCLUSION

It is feasible for modern biorefineries to develop economic and effective catalysts to achieve a high yield and selectivity of HMF from biomass rich in hexose. In this work, a series of acid-base bifunctional SnₓPW (x = 0.10, 0.20, 0.30, 0.40, 0.50, 0.60, and 0.75) catalysts were prepared, characterized, and tested for the conversion of starch to HMF. This type of catalyst is easy to prepare, and the concentration ratio of Bronsted and Lewis acids can be easily controlled by controlling the amount of SnCl₄. The exchange of Snⁿ⁺ (n = 2,4) in HPAs could lead to a deep modification of its properties. Among the prepared catalysts, Sn₀.₁₁ PW exhibited the highest activity (90.61% starch conversion, 59.77% HMF selectivity, and 54.12% HMF yield). The combination of Bronsted and Lewis acid sites was more beneficial than pure HPW to the formation of HMF. This work helps to clarify the characteristics of the HPA-catalyzed conversion of starch to HMF and develop an HPA-based catalyst to efficiently convert renewable biomass into valuable chemicals. Certainly, the development of high activity catalysts and methods for the economic and effective separation and purification of products is key to the industrial production of HMF. Considering the existing problems, the following aspects will become research hotspots in the future: 1) designing efficient green catalysts to optimize the activity, selectivity, stability, and reusability of catalysts; 2) developing new functional green solvents, focusing on the combination of different green and functional solvents, and studying the coupling law of solvents and catalysts; and 3) developing a high-efficiency separation technology for HMF to realize its high-efficiency separation from solvent/catalyst systems.
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