Entropic uncertainty relation in neutrino oscillations
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Abstract Neutrino oscillation is deemed as an interesting physical phenomenon and shows the nonclassical features made apparently by the Leggett–Garg inequality. The uncertainty principle is one of the fundamental features that distinguishes the quantum world to its classical counterpart. And the principle can be depicted in terms of entropy, which forms the so-called entropic uncertainty relations (EUR). In this work, the entropic uncertainty relations that are relevant to the neutrino-flavor states are investigated by comparing the experimental observation of neutrino oscillations to predictions. From two different neutrino sources, we analyze ensembles of reactor and accelerator neutrinos for different energies, including measurements performed by the Daya Bay collaboration using detectors at 0.5 and 1.6 km from their source, and by the MINOS collaboration using a detector with a 735 km distance to the neutrino source. It is found that the entropy-based uncertainty conditions strengths exhibits non-monotonic evolutions as the energy increases. We also quantify the systemic quantumness measured by quantum correlation, and derive the intrinsic relationship between quantum correlation and EUR. Furthermore, we utilize EUR as a criterion to detect entanglement of neutrino-flavor state. Our results could illustrate the potential applications of neutrino oscillations on quantum information processing in the weak-interaction processes.

1 Introduction

The intriguingly physical phenomena of neutrino oscillations were proposed over half a century ago [1–3]. Subsequently, some experimental collaboration groups achieved the convincing evidences of the transitions among various neutrino flavors from the various neutrino sources, including solar neutrinos [4–6], atmospheric neutrinos [7, 8], reactor neutrinos [9, 10] and accelerator neutrinos [11–14]. In the regime of three generation neutrinos, neutrinos and antineutrinos can be simultaneously generated and they are available in the different kinds of flavors, namely, the electron e, muon μ and tau τ neutrinos [15]. The interestingly physical details of neutrinos have been revealed on measuring and analyzing the refined oscillations parameters [16–19].

Generally, the so-called neutrino oscillation is a physical process that one flavor can transform to another flavor in the course of the propagation due to the nonzero mass and mixing. Vast numbers of promising experiments have verified such behaviors by utilizing the natural neutrino and man-made neutrino. Fundamentally, the neutrino oscillations are the three-flavor oscillations [20]. The three-flavor oscillations can map into the neutrino states, treating them as the three-mode systems, i.e., the three-qubit quantum systems [21, 22]. For the special cases, the neutrino oscillations can be also simplified into the effective two-flavor oscillations. Likewise, the two-flavor oscillations can be considered as a two-mode system, to that the two-qubit system [23–27]. According to the normal ordering of the neutrino mass spectrum \( m_1 < m_2 < m_3 \), the best fit values of neutrino oscillations parameters are \( \Delta m^2_{21} = 7.50 \times 10^{-5} \text{eV}^2 \), \( \Delta m^2_{31} = 2.457 \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2 \), \( \Delta m^2_{32} = 2.382 \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2 \), \( \theta_{12} = 33.48^\circ \), \( \theta_{23} = 42.3^\circ \) and \( \theta_{13} = 8.50^\circ \) [28, 29].

Neutrinos interact only via weak processes. Hence, compared to other particles widely exploited in quantum information processing, neutrinos exhibit smaller decoherence and can sustain the coherence over astrophysical length scales. There could be many importantly potential applications in the domain of quantum information science. Motivated by this, various attempts have been made to explore the quantumness of neutrino system by means of flavor transition probabilities, including Leggett–Garg inequality [24, 30–32], Svetlichny inequalities [29], quantum discord [23], quantum entanglement [33], and quantum coherence [26]. Moreover, the quan-
tum information theoretic tools are capable of addressing some intractable issues in neutrino oscillations, which are the distinct problem of the neutrinos nature between Dirac and Majorana fermions [34], and the mass-degeneracy problem [35]. However, so far, there have been no observations on entropic uncertainty relations in neutrino systems. Entropic uncertainty relation gives rise to many potential applications in the course of quantum information processing [36], including entanglement witness, quantum randomness, quantum metrology, quantum steering, quantum key distribution, and so on. Exploring the entropic uncertainty relations of neutrino systems may be open an exciting window to utilize neutrinos in the domain of quantum information science.

Uncertainty principle is originally put forward by Heisenberg, and is viewed as one of the backbones in quantum mechanics [37]. Importantly, the uncertainty principle virtually provides a threshold of our ability to accurately predict the measurement outcomes for a pair of incompatible observables on a given quantum system. Whereafter, Kennard [38] and Robertson [39] derived the uncertainty principle \( \Delta P \cdot \Delta R \geq \frac{1}{2} \langle \Phi | [P, R] | \Phi \rangle \) by utilizing the standard deviation with respect to two arbitrary non-commuting observables \( P \) and \( R \) for the state \( | \Phi \rangle \). Later, Deutsch conjectured the celebrated entropic uncertainty relation (EUR) in terms of Shannon entropy [40], which was improved by Kraus [41] and subsequently proved by Maassen and Uffink [42]. EUR is generalized to the new version in the presence of a quantum memory, viz., quantum-memory-assisted entropic uncertainty relation (QMA-EUR) [36,43,44]. Soon afterwards, many works have made some efforts to improve and generalize QMA-EUR [45–53]. Furthermore, some promising experiments have been achieved to demonstrate the entropic uncertainty relation [54–59].

In this paper, we pay attention to investigate QMA-EUR in experimentally observed neutrino oscillations. It can be obtained that the entropic uncertainty for neutrino-flavor states and the lower bound of uncertainty are non-monotonic as the energy increases. Quantum correlation for neutrino-flavor states exhibits strong robustness in the order of km and is a dependent factor of the lower bound. The result shows that entropic uncertainty relations can be used as a credible criterion for quantum entanglement of neutrino-flavor states.

The outline of the paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we first review the fundamental characteristics of neutrino oscillations and use the tools of quantum information theory to illuminate the two-flavor mode entangled states. In Sect. 3, we explore QMA-EUR and quantum correlation in experimentally observed neutrino oscillations, and further reveal the intrinsic relationship between both of them. Finally, we end up our paper with conclusions and discussions in Sect. 4.

2 Two-flavor neutrino oscillations

The standard neutrinos model is composed of three distinct neutrino flavors. However, we mainly focus on the energy and distance on oscillations between two-flavor states with a two-state approximation [60]. Therefore, in the limit of relativity, we can analyze the oscillations between two-flavor states by means of the Bloch sphere formalism [61], which geometrically corresponds to the space of pure states of a generic two-level system.

In the limit of two-flavor, the Hamiltonian for neutrino propagation reads (setting \( \hbar = c = 1 \)) [31,62,63]

\[
\hat{H} = \left( \frac{m_1^2 + m_2^2}{4p} + \frac{V_C}{2} + V_N + p \right) I_{2 \times 2} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} V_C - \omega \cos 2\theta & \omega \sin 2\theta \\ \omega \sin 2\theta & \omega \cos 2\theta - V_C \end{pmatrix} + r \cdot \sigma/2 + r_0 I_{2 \times 2},
\]

where \( \theta \) denotes the mixing angle of neutrino vacuum, \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) stand for the different mass states, respectively. \( \omega = (m_2^2 - m_1^2)/2p \) stands for the oscillation frequency and \( p \approx E \) is the relativistic neutrino momentum-energy. Owing to the coherent forward scattering of neutrinos with electrons (neutrons) in matter, the charged (neutral) current potential can be expressed by \( V_{C(N)} = \sqrt{2} G_F n_{e(n)} \) with the Fermi coupling constant term \( G_F \cdot \sigma = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z) \) denotes a two-dimension Pauli matrices vector, \( I_{2 \times 2} \) represents the \( 2 \times 2 \) identity matrix. The term \( r_0 I_{2 \times 2} \) is proportional to \( I_{2 \times 2} \) and can identically affect all flavor states, and thus it almost entirely never contributes to the oscillations of the different flavors. For the neutrino with an energy \( E_n \), the time evolution of the flavor states can be controlled by an unitary operator \( \hat{U} \) related to \( \hat{H}_{osc} \equiv r \cdot \sigma/2 \) expressed as

\[
\hat{U} (\omega_n; t_i; t_j) \equiv \hat{U} (\psi_{n;ij}) = \exp \left( -i \int_{t_i}^{t_j} \hat{H}_{osc} (\omega_n) \, dt \right) = \cos \left( \psi_{n;ij} \right) I_{2 \times 2} - i \sin \left( \psi_{n;ij} \right) (\hat{\sigma} (\omega_n) \cdot \sigma),
\]

where \( \omega_n \) denotes the oscillation frequency in regard to the energy \( E_n \) and \( \psi_{n;ij} \equiv |r (\omega_n)| (t_i - t_j)/2 \) stands for the accumulated phase in the propagating period from \( t_i \) to \( t_j \) with the energy \( E_n \). In the limit of neglecting the matter effects, the accumulated phase \( \psi_{n;ij} \) is written as

\[
\psi_{n;ij} \simeq \frac{\omega_n}{2} (t_j - t_i) = \frac{1}{4E_n} (m_2^2 - m_1^2) (t_j - t_i).
\]

The evolution of the neutrino is only dependent on the accumulated phase \( \psi_{n;ij} \), not the individual times \( t_i \) and \( t_j \). As a
result, the flavor neutrino states will evolve as
\[
|\nu_\alpha (t)\rangle = \hat{U} (\omega_\alpha; t_i; t_j) |\nu_\alpha\rangle = a_{\alpha\alpha} (t) |\nu_\alpha\rangle + a_{\alpha\beta} (t) |\nu_\beta\rangle
\]
(4)
in the flavor basis, where \(\alpha, \beta = e, \mu\) being associated with electron- and muon-flavor neutrino states. \(|\nu_\alpha\rangle\) denotes the flavor state with respect to the initial time \(t = 0\). The probabilities of detecting the neutrino in states \(|\nu_\alpha\rangle\) and \(|\nu_\beta\rangle\) are\( P_{\alpha\alpha} = |a_{\alpha\alpha} (t)|^2 \) and \( P_{\alpha\beta} = |a_{\alpha\beta} (t)|^2 \), respectively, i.e., the survival probability \(\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_\alpha\) and the oscillation probability \(\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_\beta\). And they satisfy \( P_{\alpha\alpha} + P_{\alpha\beta} = 1 \). The occupation number states of neutrinos are imposed by taking advantage of the following correspondence [23]
\[
|\nu_\alpha\rangle \equiv |1\rangle_\alpha \otimes |0\rangle_\beta \quad \equiv \quad |10\rangle,
\]
\[
|\nu_\beta\rangle \equiv |0\rangle_\alpha \otimes |1\rangle_\beta \quad \equiv \quad |01\rangle.
\]
(5)
From the above statements, the flavor states of the neutrino in principle can be considered as a two-qubit state with form of
\[
|\nu_\alpha (t)\rangle = a_{\alpha\alpha} (t) |10\rangle + a_{\alpha\beta} (t) |01\rangle.
\]
(6)

3 QMA-EUR and quantum correlation in the experimentally observed neutrino oscillations

Basically, the QMA-EUR may be clearly explained based on a guessing game (the uncertainty game). To be explicit, there exists a pair of legitimate participants (say, Alice and Bob) in this game. Bob prepares two particles \((A\) and \(B)\), and sends particle \(A\) entangled with his quantum memory \(B\) to Alice. After receiving \(A\), Alice measures it by randomly choosing measurement \(P\) or \(R\), and then tells Bob her measuring choice. Based on Alice’s measurement choice, Bob is able to guess Alice’s measurement results with minimal deviation limited by the uncertainty’s lower bound.

In the following, let us briefly recall the entropic uncertainty relation with quantum memory (i.e., QMA-EUR), which can be written by
\[
S (P|B) + S (R|B) \geq -\log_2 c (P, R) + S (A|B),
\]
(7)
where \( S (P|B) = S (\hat{\rho}_{PB}) - S (\hat{\rho}_B) \) is the conditional von Neumann entropy of the post-measurement state with \(\hat{\rho}_{PB} = \sum_i \langle \psi_i^P | A \rangle \langle \psi_i^P | B \rangle \hat{\rho}_{AB} \langle \psi_i^P | A \rangle \langle \psi_i^P | B \rangle \) after performing the measurement on particle \(A\) by the observable \(P\) with the eigenstates \(|\psi_i^P\rangle\). \(\hat{\rho}_B\) represents an identical operator in the Hilbert space of \(B\). \(c (P, R) = \max_{j,k} \left| \langle \psi_j^P | \psi_k^R \rangle \right|^2 \) represents the maximal overlap.

And \( S (A|B) = S (\hat{\rho}_{AB}) - S (\hat{\rho}_B) \) denotes the conditional von Neumann entropy of systemic state \(\hat{\rho}_{AB}\) with \( S (\hat{\rho}_{AB}) = -\text{tr} (\hat{\rho}_{AB} \log_2 \hat{\rho}_{AB}) \) and \(\hat{\rho}_B = \text{tr}_A (\hat{\rho}_{AB})\) [64].

Next, we recall quantum correlation for a bipartite system. Here, quantum correlation is measured by quantum discord (QD), which is given by [65]
\[
QD (\hat{\rho}_{AB}) = I (\hat{\rho}_{AB}) - CC (\hat{\rho}_{AB}),
\]
(8)
where \( I (\hat{\rho}_{AB}) = S (\hat{\rho}_A) + S (\hat{\rho}_B) - S (\hat{\rho}_{AB}) \) is the systemic total correlation, representing the mutual information between two subsystems \(A\) and \(B\). The quantum mutual information consists of two different parts (the classical part and the quantum part). The classical part (classical correlation) is described as \(CC (\hat{\rho}_{AB}) = \max \{ \Pi_i^B | S (\hat{\rho}_A) - S (\hat{\rho}_A | \Pi_i^B |) \} \). The maximum is taken over the set of all possible positive operator-valued measures (POVMs) \(\{ \Pi_i^B \} \) on the subsystem \(B\) and \( S (\hat{\rho}_A | \Pi_i^B |) = \sum_i p_i S (\hat{\rho}_A | \Pi_i^B |) \) stands for the averaged conditional entropy of \(\hat{\rho}_A = \frac{1}{p_i} \text{tr}_{AB} (\Pi_i^B \hat{\rho}_{AB} \Pi_i^B) \) is the post-measurement state with the corresponding probability \(p_i = \text{tr}_{AB} (\hat{\rho}_{AB} \Pi_i^B)\). Therefore, the quantum part (quantum correlation) is easily obtained
\[
QD (\hat{\rho}_{AB}) = S (\hat{\rho}_B) - S (\hat{\rho}_{AB}) + \min \{ \Pi_i^B | S (\hat{\rho}_A | \Pi_i^B |) \}. \]
(9)

3.1 QMA-EUR and quantum correlation in the muon neutrino oscillations

Considering the muon neutrino in the initial time \(t = 0\), the evolutive states for two-flavor neutrino oscillations are written as
\[
|\nu_\mu (t)\rangle = a_{\mu\tau} (t) |\nu_\tau\rangle + a_{\mu\mu} (t) |\nu_\mu\rangle,
\]
(10)
where the oscillation probabilities for the tau flavor state \(\nu_\tau\) corresponds to \(P_{\mu\tau} (t) = |a_{\mu\tau} (t)|^2\), and the survival probabilities for the muon flavor state \(\nu_\mu\) is \(P_{\mu\mu} (t) = |a_{\mu\mu} (t)|^2\), respectively. The effective atmospheric \(\Delta m_{\mu\mu}^2\) measured in \(\nu_\mu\) disappearance experiments is \(\Delta m_{\mu\mu}^2 = \sin^2 \theta_{12} \Delta m_{32}^2 + \cos^2 \theta_{12} \Delta m_{31}^2 + \sin \theta_{13} \sin 2\theta_{12} \tan \theta_{23} \Delta m_{32}^2 \cos \Delta [66].\)

In MINOS and MINOS+ Collaborations, the muon (anti) neutrino production is based on the proton beams of accelerators, and the length of baseline is 735 km and it covers the energy of 0.5 \(\sim\) 50 GeV. It is clear that the ratio \(L/E\) ranges from 15 km/GeV to 1500 km/GeV. In particular, the MINOS+ data provide significant additional statistical power.
integrated in the range from 4 to 8 GeV energy over the lower energy MINOS data. The evolutions of probabilities (survival probabilities of the muon neutrino oscillation) is plotted as a function of $L/E$ shown as Fig. 1. In the figure, the experimental result based on the data of MINOS and MINOS+ Collaborations (blue square) are addressed from Refs. [17,18].

To further explore the QMA-EUR and the quantum correlation in experimentally observed muon neutrino oscillations, the evolutive states for two-flavor neutrino are

$$\hat{\rho}_{AB}^\mu (t) = |a_{\mu \mu}(t)|^2 |10\rangle \langle 10| + a_{\mu \tau}(t) a^*_{\mu \mu}(t) |01\rangle \langle 01|$$

$$+ |a_{\mu \tau}(t)|^2 |01\rangle \langle 01|$$

$$+ a_{\mu \mu}(t) a_{\mu \tau}^*(t) |10\rangle \langle 01|$$

(11)

in the orthonormal basis $|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle$. Herein, we choose a pair of spin-1/2 Pauli observables $(P, R) = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y)$ as the incompatibility. By some calculations, one can obtain that the maximal overlap $c(P, R) = 1/2$. By combining Eq. (7), the entropic uncertainty ($U^\mu$) and the uncertainty’s lower bound of $(U_b^\mu)$ are the left-hand side (LHS) and right-hand side (RHS) of Eq. (7), which can be described as

$$U^\mu = S(\hat{\rho}_{PB}^\mu (t)) + S(\hat{\rho}_{RB}^\mu (t)) - 2S(\hat{\rho}_{B}^\mu (t))$$

$$= 2(P_{\mu \mu} \log_2 P_{\mu \mu} + P_{\mu \tau} \log_2 P_{\mu \tau} + 1),$$

(12)

$$U_b^\mu = S(\hat{\rho}_{AB}^\mu (t)) - S(\hat{\rho}_{B}^\mu (t)) - \log_2 c (P, R)$$

$$= P_{\mu \mu} \log_2 P_{\mu \mu} + P_{\mu \tau} \log_2 P_{\mu \tau} + 1,$$

(13)

$$QD (\hat{\rho}_{AB}^\mu (t)) = -P_{\mu \mu} \log_2 P_{\mu \mu} - P_{\mu \tau} \log_2 P_{\mu \tau}$$

(14)

in terms of the transition probabilities, respectively. As a result, an interesting and nontrivial result can be obtained as

$$U^\mu = 2U_b^\mu = 2 - 2QD (\hat{\rho}_{AB}^\mu (t)) .$$

(15)

This equality states that the quantum correlation quantified by QD is completely responsible for the dynamics of the entropy-based uncertainty and its lower bound in the physical process of muon neutrino oscillations. Specifically, the uncertainty is completely anti-correlated with the quantum correlation of two-flavor neutrino states $\hat{\rho}_{AB}^\mu (t)$, i.e., the stronger quantum correlation will lead to the smaller entropic uncertainty in the current architecture.

In Fig. 2, the QMA-EUR and the quantum discord are drawn with respect to the ratios $L/E$ for both theoretical and experimental results. It is seen that the theoretical lines are periodically oscillating with the same period $T = 513.215$. When the ratio at the points $L/E = (2n + 1) 256.608$ with integers $n \in [0, 2]$, yielding the maximal entangled state $|01\rangle + |10\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, the entropic uncertainty and the uncertainty’s lower bound coincide, and reach the minimum value $LHS = RHS = 0$. In the contrary, the quantum discord is maximized with the value $QD = 1$. From viewpoint of quantum resource theory, it implies that more quantum resources could be harvested with regard to the neutrinos propagation in the MINOS/MINOS+ experiments. For the ratio at the points $L/E = n \times 513.215$ with positive integers $n \in [1, 2]$, generating the product state $|01\rangle$, the entropic uncertainty and the lower bound reach the maximum value $LHS=RHS=2$. However, the quantum discord is minimized with the value of QD.

Moreover, we explore the application of QMA-EUR as entanglement witness. Quantum entanglement is a vital quantum resource and the basis of many protocols in quantum information processing. Here, we use the concurrence $C(\hat{\rho}_{AB}) = \max \{0, \sqrt{\lambda_1} - \sqrt{\lambda_2} - \sqrt{\lambda_3} - \sqrt{\lambda_4}\}$ as a measurement of two-flavor neutrino state’s entanglement [67], and $\lambda_i$ represent the eigenvalues of the non-Hermitian matrix $\hat{\rho}_{AB}(\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y) \hat{\rho}_{AB}^*(\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y)$ in the decreasing order. In fact, there exists a criterion as to entanglement detection that the system consisting of $A$ and $B$ must be entangled when its conditional von Neumann entropy $S(A|B) < 0$. In connection with QMA-EUR in Eq. (7), if the entropy satisfies

$$S(P|B) + S(R|B) + \log_2 c (P, R)$$

$$= 2(P_{\mu \mu} \log_2 P_{\mu \mu} + P_{\mu \tau} \log_2 P_{\mu \tau} + 1 < 0, $$

(16)

we can deduce $S(A|B) < 0$, which is regarded as an indicator that the bipartite neutrino-flavor state is entangled definitely.

Consequently, we make use of the sufficient condition of judging quantum entanglement expressed in Eq. (16) to
Fig. 2  a The entropic uncertainty and the uncertainty’s lower bound in Eq. (7) in theory (solid line) and experiment (square) with the error bars in regard to the distance traveled per energy \( L/E \) for the initial \( \mu \)-flavor state; b The quantum discord quantified by Eq. (9) in theory and experiment with the error bars in regard to \( L/E \) for the initial \( \mu \)-flavor state. LHS (solid line, red) denotes the entropic uncertainty [the left-hand side of Eq. (7)]; RHS (solid line, green) represents the uncertainty’s lower bound [the right-hand side of Eq. (7)]; QD (solid line, violet) corresponds to the quantum discord.

We firstly prepare an electron neutrino in the initial time \( t = 0 \), the time evolution of states for the two-flavor neutrino oscillations are

\[
|\nu_e(t)\rangle = a_{ee}(t) |\nu_e\rangle + a_{e\mu}(t) |\nu_\mu\rangle
\]

with the probabilities \( P_{ee}(t) = |a_{ee}(t)|^2 \) and \( P_{e\mu}(t) = |a_{e\mu}(t)|^2 \) for detecting the neutrino in the electron flavor state \( \nu_e \) and the muon flavor state \( \nu_\mu \), respectively. In Ref. \([66]\), the effective atmospheric \( \Delta m^2_{ee} \) measured in \( \nu_e \) disappearance experiments is given by

\[
\Delta m^2_{ee} = \cos^2 \theta_{12} \Delta m^2_{31} + \sin^2 \theta_{12} \Delta m^2_{32}.
\]

In Fig. 4, we provide the evolution relation of survival probabilities versus the ratio \( L/E \), with the experimental results and the theoretical predictions. Therein, the experimental data we adopted is from the Daya Bay neutrino experiments \([19]\), and explicitly EH1, EH2 and EH3 stand for all the measurement positions of the Daya Bay collaboration, where they take advantage of \( \beta \) decay to generate the electron antineutrino source with different baselines and energies. They utilized the Daya Bay Reactor Neutrino Experiment to detect the electron antineutrino disappearance, the
The survival probabilities $\nu_e \to \nu_e$ (solid line, blue) versus the distance traveled per energy $L/E$ with the initial electron flavor state. The solid line is theoretical value and the data of Daya Bay Collaboration in three different underground experimental halls (EH1: square; EH2: upper triangle; EH3: circle) are taken from Ref. [19].

Energy in the experiment ranges from 1 MeV to 8 MeV. It shows that the effective $L/E$ is with $[0, 1]$ km/MeV. As can be seen in Fig. 4, for the short-range oscillations (with the current ratios $L/E$) in the Daya Bay experiment, the survival probabilities of finding the electron flavor state $\nu_e$ is more than 0.9 all the time. For $L/E \approx 0.5$, the survival probability comes to the minimum value. Correspondingly, the oscillation probability of detecting other flavor state reaches the maximum value.

In order to investigate the QMA-EUR and the quantum correlation in experimentally observed electron neutrino oscillations, the time evolutive states for the initial electron flavor state are given by

$$\hat{\rho}_{AB}^e(t) = |a_{ee}(t)|^2 |10\rangle \langle 10| + a_{ee}(t) a_{e\mu}^* (t) |10\rangle \langle 01| + |a_{e\mu}(t)|^2 |01\rangle \langle 01| + a_{e\mu}(t) a_{ee}^* (t) |01\rangle \langle 10|$$

in the orthonormal basis $\{|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle\}$. According to Eqs. (7) and (9), the entropic uncertainty, the uncertainty’s lower bound and quantum correlation are analytically derived as

$$U^e = S(\hat{\rho}_{PB}^e(t)) + S(\hat{\rho}_{RB}^e(t)) - 2S(\hat{\rho}_{AB}^e(t))$$

$$U^b = S(\hat{\rho}_{AB}^e(t)) - S(\hat{\rho}_{B}^e(t)) - \log_2 (P, R)$$

and

$$QD(\hat{\rho}_{AB}^e(t)) = -P_{ee} \log_2 P_{ee} - P_{e\mu} \log_2 P_{e\mu}.$$
As to the ratio $L/E$ in the region $[0, 1]$, the neutrino-flavor state’s concurrence can be always detected except for the points $L/E = 0$, however, the entropy is larger than zero. It shows that the entropy estimation is ineffective to detect the entanglement in electron antineutrino oscillations. This result can be interpreted as that the large survival probabilities of finding the electron flavor states lead to the relatively small concurrence. Thus, it is difficult to detect entanglement by using the entropic uncertainty relations.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, quantum-memory-assisted entropic uncertainty relation and quantum correlation have been investigated in neutrino oscillations with an initial muon or electron neutrino. To be specific, the entropic uncertainty, the lower bound of uncertainty and the systemic quantum correlation have been analyzed in experimentally observed neutrino oscillations from two different neutrino sources, i.e., MINOS/MINOS+ and Daya Bay collaborations. It is found that the uncertainty and the lower bound is non-monotonic as the energy increases. Notably, they exhibit the periodically oscillating evolutions in muon neutrino oscillations. Remarkably, we have disclosed the intrinsic relationships among the entropic uncertainty, its lower bound and quantum correlation, and proved that the quantum correlation is uniquely responsible for the dynamics of both the entropic uncertainty and its lower bound in neutrino systems, obtaining that the uncertainty and its bound are fully anti-correlated with QD.

Moreover, we explore the application of the entropic uncertainty relations on entanglement witness, it can witness the neutrino-flavor state’s entanglement in muon neutrino oscillations in the regions of $L/E \in ([1.0\times10^{46} + n\times513.215, 402.746 + n\times513.215])$ with $n \in [0, 2]$, and this never occurs in antielectron neutrino oscillations. The survival probabilities of finding the electron flavor state is more than 0.9 all the time, which leads to relatively small entanglement compared to the muon neutrino oscillations. Therefore, the entropic uncertainty relation is ineffective to detect the entanglement in electron antineutrino oscillations within the current framework. It is obtained that the entropic uncertainty relations can be treated as the reliable entanglement witness for the muon neutrino oscillations in the practical experiments of neutrino oscillations. Therefore, we argue that our investigations could shed light on quantum measurement estimation and be applicable in the further quantum information science with neutrinos.

Acknowledgements We thank Prof. Jiajie Ling and Dr. Shiqi Zhang for instructive discussions. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 61601002, 11875167 and 11575001), Anhui Provincial Natural Science Foundation (Grant Nos. 1808085MB30, 1808085MB24), Anhui Province University Natural Science Research Project (Grant Nos. KJ2019A0534, KJ2018A03102).
No. 1508085QF139), and the fund from CAS Key Laboratory of Quantum Information (Grant No. KQI201701).

Data Availability Statement This manuscript has no associated data or the data will not be deposited. [Authors’ comment: This manuscript does not have associated data in a data repository.]

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Funded by SCOAP3.

References

1. B. Pontecorvo, Inverse beta processes and nonconservation of lepton charge. Sov. Phys. JETP 7, 172 (1958)
2. Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa, S. Sakata, Remarks on the unified model of elementary particles. Prog. Theor. Phys. 28, 870 (1962)
3. R. Davis Jr., D.S. Harmer, K.C. Hoffman, Search for neutrinos from the sun. Phys. Rev. Lett. 20, 1205 (1968)
4. B. Aharmim et al., (SNO Collaboration), Combined analysis of all three phases of solar neutrino data from the sudbury neutrino observatory. Phys. Rev. C 88, 025501 (2013)
5. K. Abe et al., (Super-Kamiokande Collaboration), Solar neutrino measurements in Super-Kamiokande-IV. Phys. Rev. D 94, 052010 (2016)
6. M. Agostini, K. Altemuller et al., (BOREXINO Collaboration), Comprehensive measurement of pp-chain solar neutrinos. Nature 562, 505 (2018)
7. M.G. Aartsen et al., (IceCube Collaboration), Determining neutrino oscillation parameters from atmospheric muon neutrino disappearance with 3 years of IceCube DeepCore data. Phys. Rev. D 91, 072004 (2015)
8. K. Abe et al., (Super-Kamiokande Collaboration), Atmospheric neutrino oscillation analysis with external constraints in Super-Kamiokande I-IV. Phys. Rev. D 97, 072001 (2018)
9. T. Araki et al., (KamLAND Collaboration), Measurement of neutrino oscillation with KamLAND: evidence of spectral distortion. Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 081801 (2005)
10. F.P. An et al., (Daya Bay Collaboration), Observation of electron-antineutrino disappearance at daya bay. Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 171803 (2012)
11. M.H. Ahn et al., (K2K Collaboration), Measurement of neutrino oscillation by the K2K experiment. Phys. Rev. D 74, 072003 (2006)
12. P. Adamson et al., (MINOS Collaboration), Measurement of neutrino oscillations with the MINOS detectors in the NuMI Beam. Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 131802 (2008)
13. P. Adamson et al., (MINOS Collaboration), Improved search for muon-neutrino to electron-neutrino oscillations in MINOS. Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 181802 (2011)
14. K. Abe et al., (T2K Collaboration), Indication of electron neutrino appearance from an accelerator-produced off-axis muon neutrino beam. Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 041801 (2011)
15. F. Feruglio, Pieces of the flavour puzzle. Eur. Phys. J. C 75, 373 (2015)
16. D.G. Michael et al., (MINOS Collaboration), Observation of muon neutrino disappearance with the MINOS detectors and the NuMI neutrino beam. Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 191801 (2006)
17. A.B. Sousa, (MINOS and MINOS+ Collaborations), First MINOS+ data and new results from MINOS. AIP Conf. Proc. 1666, 110004 (2015)
18. P. Adamson et al. (MINOS+ Collaboration), Precision constraints for three-flavor neutrino oscillations from the full MINOS+ and MINOS data set. arXiv:2006.15208v2 (2020)
19. F.P. An et al., (Daya Bay Collaboration), New measurement of antineutrino oscillation with the full detector configuration at daya bay. Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 111802 (2015)
20. M. Bustamante, J.F. Beacom, W. Winter, Theoretically palatable flavor combinations of astrophysical neutrinos. Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 161302 (2015)
21. C.A. Argüelles, B.J.P. Jones, Neutrino oscillations in a quantum processor. Phys. Rev. Res. 1, 033176 (2019)
22. M. Blasone, F. Dell’Anno, S. Di Mauro, F. Illuminati, Multiparticle entangled states in particle mixing. Phys. Rev. D 77, 096002 (2008)
23. A.K. Alok, S. Banerjee, S.U. Sankar, Quantum correlations in terms of neutrino oscillation probabilities. Nucl. Phys. B 909, 65 (2016)
24. Q. Fu, X. Chen, Testing violation of the Leggett-Garg-type inequality in neutrino oscillations of the Daya Bay experiment. Eur. Phys. J. C 77, 775 (2017)
25. F. Ming, X.K. Song, J. Ling, L. Ye, D. Wang, Quantification of quantumness in neutrino oscillations. Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 275 (2020)
26. X.K. Song, Y.Q. Huang, J.J. Ling, M.H. Yang, Quantifying quantum coherence in experimentally observed neutrino oscillations. Phys. Rev. A 98, 050302(R) (2018)
27. M. Blasone, F. Dell’Anno, S. Di Siena, F. Illuminati, A field theoretical approach to entanglement in neutrino mixing and oscillations. Europhys. Lett. 106, 30002 (2014)
28. M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz, Updated fit to three neutrino mixing: status of leptonic CP violation. JHEP 1411, 052 (2014)
29. S. Banerjee, A.K. Alok, R. Srikanth, B.C. Hiesmayr, A quantum information theoretic analysis of three-flavor neutrino oscillations. Eur. Phys. J. C 75, 487 (2015)
30. D. Gangopadhyay, D. Home, A.S. Roy, Probing the Leggett-Garg inequality for oscillating neutral kaons and neutrinos. Phys. Rev. A 88, 022115 (2013)
31. J.A. Formaggio, D.I. Kaiser, M.M. Murasky, T.E. Weiss, Violation of the Leggett-Garg inequality in neutrino oscillations. Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 050402 (2016)
32. D. Gangopadhyay, A.S. Roy, Three-flavoured neutrino oscillations and the Leggett-Garg inequality. Eur. Phys. J. C 77, 260 (2017)
33. M. Blasone, F. Dell’Anno, S. Di Siena, F. Illuminati, Entanglement in neutrino oscillations. Europhys. Lett. 85, 50002 (2009)
34. M. Richter, B. Dziewit, J. DajKA, Leggett-Garg $\kappa_3$ quantity discriminates between Dirac and Majorana neutrinos. Phys. Rev. D 96, 076008 (2017)
35. J. Naikoo, A.K. Alok, S. Banerjee, S. Uma Sankar, G. Guarnieri, C. Schultz, B.C. Hiesmayr, A quantum information theoretic quantity sensitive to the neutrino mass-hierarchy. Nucl. Phys. B 951, 114872 (2020)
36. D. Wang, F. Ming, M.L. Hu, L. Ye, Quantum-memory-assisted entropic uncertainty relations. Annalen der Physik 531, 1900124 (2019)
37. W. Heisenberg, Über den anschaulichen Inhalt der quantentheoretischen Kinematik und Mechanik. Z. Physik 43, 172 (1927)
38. E.H. Kennard, Zur Quantenmechanik einfacher Bewegungstypen. Z. Physik 44, 326 (1927)
39. H.P. Robertson, Violation of Heisenberg’s Uncertainty Principle. Phys. Rev. 34, 163 (1929)
40. D. Deutsch, Uncertainty in quantum measurements. Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 631 (1983)
41. K. Kraus, Complementary observables and uncertainty relations. Phys. Rev. D 35, 3070 (1987)
42. H. Maassen, J.B.M. Uffink, Generalized Entropic Uncertainty Relations. Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 1103 (1988)
43. J.M. Renes, J.C. Boileau, Conjectured Strong Complementary Information Tradeoff. Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 020402 (2009)
44. M. Berta, M. Christandl, R. Colbeck, J.M. Renes, R. Renner, The uncertainty principle in the presence of quantum memory. Nat. Phys. 6, 659 (2010)
45. A.K. Pati, M.M. Wilde, A.R. Usha Devi, A.K. Rajagopal, Sudha, Quantum discord and classical correlation can tighten the uncertainty principle in the presence of quantum memory. Phys. Rev. A 86, 042105 (2012)
46. T. Pramanik, P. Chowdhury, A.S. Majumdar, Fine-Grained Lower Limit of Entropic Uncertainty in the Presence of Quantum Memory. Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 020402 (2013)
47. L. Maccone, A.K. Pati, Stronger Uncertainty Relations for All Incompatible Observables. Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 260401 (2014)
48. L. Rudnicki, Z. Puchala, K. Życzkowski, Strong majorization entropic uncertainty relations. Phys. Rev. A 89, 052115 (2014)
49. S. Zozor, G.M. Bosyk, M. Portesi, General entropy-like uncertainty relations in finite dimensions. J. Phys. A 47, 495302 (2014)
50. P.J. Coles, M. Piani, Improved entropic uncertainty relations and information exclusion relations. Phys. Rev. A 89, 022112 (2014)
51. S. Liu, L.Z. Ma, H. Fan, Entropic uncertainty relations for multiple measurements. Phys. Rev. A 91, 042133 (2015)
52. F. Adabi, S. Salimi, S. Haseli, Tightening the entropic uncertainty bound in the presence of quantum memory. Phys. Rev. A 93, 062123 (2016)
53. J.L. Huang, W.C. Gan, Y.L. Xiao, F.W. Shu, M.H. Yung, Holevo bound of entropic uncertainty in Schwarzschild spacetime. Eur. Phys. J. C 78, 545 (2018)
54. R. Prevedel, D.R. Hamel, R. Colbeck, K. Fisher, K.J. Resch, Experimental investigation of the uncertainty principle in the presence of quantum memory and its application to witnessing entanglement. Nat. Phys. 7, 757 (2011)
55. C.F. Li, J.S. Xu, X.Y. Xu, K. Li, G.C. Guo, Experimental investigation of the entanglement-assisted entropic uncertainty principle. Nat. Phys. 7, 752 (2011)
56. W.C. Ma, Z.H. Ma, H.Y. Wang, Z.H. Chen, Y. Liu, F. Kong, Z.K. Li, X.H. Peng, M.J. Shi, F.Z. Shi, S.M. Fei, J.F. Du, Experimental Test of Heisenberg’s Measurement Uncertainty Relation Based on Statistical Distances. Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 160405 (2016)
57. Z.X. Chen, J.L. Li, Q.C. Song, H. Wang, S.M. Zangi, C.F. Qiao, Experimental investigation of multi-observable uncertainty relations. Phys. Rev. A 96, 062123 (2017)
58. W.M. Lv, C. Zhang, X.M. Hu, H. Cao, J. Wang, Y.F. Huang, Experimental test of the trade-off relation for quantum coherence. Phys. Rev. A 98, 062337 (2018)
59. H.Y. Wang, Z.H. Ma, S.J. Wu, W.Q. Zheng, Z. Cao, Z.H. Chen, Z.K. Li, S.M. Fei, X.H. Peng, V. Vedral, J.F. Du, Uncertainty equality with quantum memory and its experimental verification. npj Quantum Inf. 5, 39 (2019)
60. C. Giunti, C.W. Kim, Fundamentals of neutrino physics and astrophysics (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007)
61. P. Mehta, Topological phase in two flavor neutrino oscillations. Phys. Rev. D 79, 096013 (2009)
62. L. Camilleri, E. Lisi, J.F. Wilkerson, Neutrino masses and mixings: Status and Prospects. Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 58, 343 (2008)
63. H. Duan, G.M. Fuller, Y.Z. Qian, Collective neutrino oscillations. Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 60, 569 (2010)
64. M.A. Nielsen, I.L. Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002)
65. H. Ollivier, W.H. Zurek, Quantum discord: a measure of the quantumness of correlations. Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 017901 (2001)
66. H. Nunokawa, S. Parke, R.Z. Funchal, Another possible way to determine the neutrino mass hierarchy. Phys. Rev. D 72, 013009 (2005)
67. W.K. Wootters, Entanglement of Formation of an Arbitrary State of Two Qubits. Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2245 (1998)