The Effects of Knee Joint and Hip Abduction Angles on the Activation of Cervical and Abdominal Muscles during Bridging Exercises
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Abstract. [Purpose] The purpose of this study was to examine the effects of the flexion angle of the knee joint and the abduction angle of the hip joint on the activation of the cervical region and abdominal muscles. [Subjects] A total of 42 subjects were enrolled 9 males and 33 females. [Methods] The bridging exercise in this study was one form of exercise with a knee joint flexion angle of 90°. Based on this, a bridging exercise was conducted at the postures of abduction of the lower extremities at 0, 5, 10, and 15°. [Result] The changes in the knee joint angle and the hip abduction angle exhibited statistically significant effects on the cervical erector spinae, adductor magnus, and gluteus medius muscles. The abduction angles did not result in statistically significant effects on the upper trapezius, erector spinae, external oblique, and rectus abdominis muscles. However, in relation to the knee joint angles, during the bridging exercise, statistically significant results were exhibited. [Conclusion] When patients with both cervical and back pain do a bridging exercise, widening the knee joint angle would reduce cervical and shoulder muscle activity through minimal levels of abduction, permitting trunk muscle strengthening with reduced cervical muscle activity. This method would be helpful for strengthening trunk muscles in a selective manner.
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INTRODUCTION

For spinal stabilization, the harmonious coordination of all of the trunk muscles is more important than the activation of specific muscles3. The spine is stabilized by the co-activation of the trunk muscles, and the spine muscles can be divided into global and local muscles. The global muscles are large muscles located on the body surface surrounding the abdominal and lumbar regions. These muscles mainly produce torque and are involved in overall trunk stability. Local muscles are intrinsic muscles located deep in the abdominal and lumbar regions. These muscles are directly connected to the spine and are therefore involved in the micro control and inter-segmental stabilization of the spine2. Controlled cooperation between the global and local muscles maintains the state of spinal stabilization3, 4. Therefore, the co-contraction of the trunk muscles is necessary to maintain proper spinal stability in the treatment and prevention of lumbar pain1, 3, 5.

A number of studies on the control of posture and movement have recently been conducted. In particular, various studies of core stability have attracted great interest7, 8. In light of this, bridging exercises have been used in trunk stabilization programs at clinics. Bridging exercises consist of postures in which patients with lumbar pain feel comfortable and pain is decreased. In addition, the exercises can retrain the global and local muscles to coordinate with each other in a proper ratio9. Bridging exercises also have an important relationship with functional movements, such as movements in bed, use of the toilet for patients, the removal of pressure, getting dressed using the lower extremities, and gait-related pelvic movements. Further, exercises include important motions that enable weight-bearing on the feet and the performance of the kneeling posture. They also increase the control of posture in moving from a sitting position to a standing position, and strengthen the extensor muscles of the lower spine and hip joints in preparation for the stance phase of gait10. Moreover, the torque that influences the trunk in a state of pelvic stabilization is reported to be effectively delivered to the hip joints and lower extremities10. Such stabilization of the trunk is necessary in order to increase spinal and pelvic stability during functional postures and movements, to strengthen related muscles and to recover control and balance of muscle movements9.

Murphy et al.11) reported on the relationship between cervical dysfunction and pelvic imbalance. They noted that the tension of the soft tissues that are stretched from the cervical region, such as a chain of muscles, skin, and fasciae, can create pelvic imbalance2. In addition, Lee and Lee12) reported that during bridging exercises, the contraction of the abductors in the lower extremities and the activation of the abdominal muscles increased.

Kavic et al.14) conducted a study on muscle loading and
spinal stability in which healthy adults performed lumba stabilization exercises. They reported that the abdominal curl, the side bridging exercise of the right isometric side support, the bridging exercise with the right leg lift, and the general bridging exercise had significantly high correlations with activation of the rectus abdominis muscle. However, during these bridging exercises, the activation of the abdominal muscles is considered to differ depending on the distance of the space between the feet that support the knee joint from the floor. In this respect, the present study attempted to identify the effects of the flexion angle of the knee joint and the abduction angle of the hip joint on the activation of the cervical region and abdominal muscles.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

The subjects of this study were 45 healthy adults in their 20’s and 30’s, both male and female, who understood and consented to participate and had the muscular strength, range of motion, and ability to balance that would enable them to perform the required exercises. Subjects with nervous and cardiopulmonary system problems or orthopedic musculoskeletal issues related to the trunk and lower extremities were excluded. A total of 42 subjects were selected, 9 males and 33 females. Their average age was 23.46 ± 2.72 years, their average weight was 58.60 ± 10.21 kg, and their average height was 164.09 ± 7.92 cm. The bridging exercise in this study was one form of exercise with a knee joint flexion angle of 90°. The bridging exercise was conducted with the lower extremities abducted at 0, 5, 10, and 15°. When performing the bridging exercise, the subjects crossed their arms and placed them on the chest. Then, the trunk and lower extremity were elevated to form a straight line, in which hip flexion was 0°. To prevent increase of lumbar lordosis during the bridging exercise, the exercise was practiced after learning the lumbar neutral position through the pelvic posterior tilting exercise. All trials were repeated three times and the trial order was randomized using a table of random sample numbers. Each exercise lasted for 5 seconds. The muscle activity data of the middle 3 seconds, excluding the first and last one seconds, used for the analysis. To prevent fatigue during the exercise, there was a 1-minute break after each 5-second exercise. A surface EMG – MP150 WSW (BIOPAC system Inc. CA, USA) was used to record the subjects’ muscle activities during the bridging exercise, and electrodes were attached to their cervical erector spinae, upper trapezius, external oblique, rectus abdominis, erector spinae, adductor magnus, and gluteus medius. The collected data were analyzed with SPSS for Windows (Ver. 18.0), and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was employed to compare muscle activities of the lower limbs. As a post-hoc test, the LSD test was used to examine differences.

RESULTS

During the bridging exercise, the effects of the knee joint angle and the hip abduction angle on cervical and abdominal muscle activities were examined. The changes in the knee joint angle and the hip abduction angle exhibited statistically significant effects on the cervical erector spinae, adductor magnus, and gluteus medius muscles (p<0.05). The abduction angles did not result in statistically significant effects on the upper trapezius, erector spinae, external oblique, or rectus abdominis muscles (p>0.05). However, the knee joint angles, during the bridging exercise exhibited, statistically significant effects on these muscles (p<0.05).

According to the results of the post-hoc tests, the cervical erector spinae muscle showed statistically significant changes in the bridging exercises at knee joint angles of 90° and 60°, and at abduction angles of 0° and 15° (p<0.05). The upper trapezius muscle exhibited statistically significant changes at a knee joint angle of 120°, and at all abduction angles (p<0.05). The abductor magnus muscle also showed statistically significant changes at a knee joint angle of 120° and at all abduction angles (p<0.05) while the gluteus medius muscle showed statistically significant changes at a knee joint angle of 120° and at all abduction angles (p<0.05) while the gluteus medius muscle exhibited statistically significant changes at abduction angles of 0°, 10°, and 15° (p<0.05). At a knee joint angle of 90°, the gluteus medius muscle showed statistically significant changes at all abduction angles (p<0.05). However, at a knee joint angle of 45°, it showed statistically significant changes at all abduction angles (p<0.05) (Tables 1–7).

DISCUSSION

The stabilization of the trunk is necessary to increase spinal and pelvic stability in functional postures and movements, to strengthen the relevant muscles, and to recover control and balance of muscles and movements4,5. Abdominal muscle activity is an essential element in hip muscles, stabilization of the pelvis against pulling forces, and the forces on the trunk in state of pelvic stabilization are ef-

Table 1. Comparison of CE muscle activation among the various bridging exercise positions

|        | 0°       | 5°       | 10°      | 15°      |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 120    | 102.98±4.81 | 101.94±2.15 | 102.24±2.72 | 103.52±6.33 |
| 90     | 102.43±4.73 | 104.97±10.71 | 105.44±8.00 | 109.26±13.54 |
| 60     | 105.40±5.89 | 113.36±19.19 | 111.63±18.60 | 121.91±44.58 |
| 45     | 106.16±6.12 | 108.14±8.94  | 107.78±8.75  | 108.86±8.10  |
|        | 5.83     | 0.00      |          |          |

Unit: %RVC, mean±SD, *: p<0.05, CE: Cervical Erector Spinæ
Table 2. Comparison of TU muscle activation among the various bridging exercise positions

|     | 0°     | 5°     | 10°    | 15°    |
|-----|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 120 | 112.99±14.67 | 107.63±10.94 | 106.54±9.61 | 107.48±8.00 |
| 90  | 113.90±18.94  | 115.29±23.81  | 121.38±23.87  | 119.39±21.33  |
| 60  | 124.62±36.44  | 152.72±75.67  | 145.12±68.16  | 169.18±101.82  |
| 45  | 155.96±78.01  | 150.97±97.75  | 153.11±95.88  | 163.74±110.56  |

Unit: %RVC, mean±SD, *: p<0.05, TU: Trapezius Upper Fiber

Table 3. Comparison of EO muscle activation among the various bridging exercise positions

|     | 0°     | 5°     | 10°    | 15°    |
|-----|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 120 | 102.44±2.90  | 101.22±3.49  | 101.40±3.67  | 101.42±3.36  |
| 90  | 102.73±2.22  | 102.43±3.64  | 102.77±4.36  | 103.86±6.16  |
| 60  | 104.05±3.33  | 103.54±4.87  | 104.20±5.54  | 104.93±5.82  |
| 45  | 104.53±6.19  | 104.05±5.12  | 104.03±5.00  | 104.22±5.03  |

Unit: %RVC, mean±SD, *: p<0.05, EO: External Oblique

Table 4. Comparison of RA muscle activation among the various bridging exercise positions

|     | 0°     | 5°     | 10°    | 15°    |
|-----|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 120 | 103.79±3.60  | 102.98±2.07  | 103.20±1.92  | 103.60±2.28  |
| 90  | 104.06±2.63  | 104.24±3.08  | 104.53±2.74  | 105.36±3.40  |
| 60  | 106.43±3.99  | 106.01±3.66  | 106.02±3.02  | 106.57±4.22  |
| 45  | 106.58±4.67  | 107.33±4.54  | 106.54±4.06  | 107.04±4.03  |

Unit: %RVC, mean±SD, *: p<0.05, RA: Rectus Abdominis

Table 5. Comparison of ES muscle activation among the various bridging exercise positions

|     | 0°     | 5°     | 10°    | 15°    |
|-----|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 120 | 1420.53±1242.32 | 1103.67±1197.76 | 1319.80±1206.87 | 1300.06±1159.79 |
| 90  | 1623.86±1311.32 | 1633.84±1438.24 | 1549.16±1326.70 | 1575.55±1323.07 |
| 60  | 1987.64±1549.80 | 2042.17±1643.34 | 1934.93±1546.34 | 2019.28±1643.39 |
| 45  | 2099.32±1661.83 | 2443.00±1726.72 | 2299.87±1726.72 | 2405.60±1889.67 |

Unit: %RVC, mean±SD, *: p<0.05, ES: Erector Spinalis

Table 6. Comparison of AM muscle activation among the various bridging exercise positions

|     | 0°     | 5°     | 10°    | 15°    |
|-----|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 120 | 113.28±23.25  | 102.16±24.98  | 100.03±20.71  | 100.10±20.97  |
| 90  | 120.82±15.85  | 115.28±35.85  | 114.40±32.67  | 112.55±31.76  |
| 60  | 137.62±44.14  | 132.95±55.65  | 129.02±53.17  | 126.82±48.67  |
| 45  | 137.14±53.30  | 126.69±45.80  | 123.91±41.11  | 125.20±49.20  |

Unit: %RVC, mean±SD, *: p<0.05, AM: Adductor Magnus
effectively delivered to the hip joints and lower extremities\(^{10}\). This study aimed to examine the influences of the knee joint angle and the hip abduction angle on the activation of the trunk, cervical, and abdominal muscles during a specific bridging exercise. To this end, electromyograms were recorded of seven muscles during bridging exercises with different according feet-to-hip length changes, i.e., changes of the knee joint angle with the hip in a neutral position without abduction of the lower extremities. The EMGs exhibited statistically significant changes in all the muscles. However, changes in muscle activity at different hip abduction angles during the bridging exercise showed statistically significant results only in the cervical erector spinae, adductor magnus, and gluteus medius muscles. These results indicate an increase in abdominal pressure due to the contraction of the abdominal muscles in accordance with changes in the knee joint angle. However, while abdominal muscle activity did not change during abduction, the muscles involved in pelvic abduction as well as the cervical and shoulder muscles, which served as a fixation point on the floor during the bridging exercise, exhibited increased activity.

Knowing the levels of trunk muscle activity during trunk stabilization exercises is important for controlling exercise intensity when designing and prescribing exercise programs\(^{9}\). In the present study, abduction of the hip joint increased the activation of the cervical and shoulder muscles rather than strengthening the trunk muscles; therefore, it would not be helpful for patients with both cervical and back pain. Lee\(^{13}\) stated that when a patient complains of cervical pain, an increase in the knee joint angle can result in a corresponding decline in the muscle activity of the cervical region.

In conclusion, when patients with both cervical and back pain do a bridging exercise, widening the knee joint angle and reducing cervical and shoulder muscle activity through minimal levels of abduction, cervical muscle activity can be reduced and trunk muscle strength reinforced. This method would be helpful for strengthening trunk muscles in a selective manner.
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**Table 7.** Comparison of GM muscle activation among the various bridging exercise positions

| Kne flex | 0° | 5° | 10° | 15° |
|----------|----|----|-----|-----|
| Hip abd  |    |    |     |     |
| 120      | 131.42±29.98 | 141.90±39.40 | 166.91±62.29 | 178.10±58.11 |
| 90       | 156.09±43.14 | 188.46±66.26 | 191.32±59.42 | 207.68±74.42 |
| 60       | 149.11±35.78 | 170.10±48.18 | 185.64±56.86 | 198.07±75.98 |
| 45       | 141.70±40.42 | 174.28±66.31 | 178.41±61.46 | 190.91±66.45 |

*Unit: %RVC, mean±SD, *: p<0.05, GM: Gluteus Medius*