Cancers arise through a process of somatic evolution that can result in substantial sub-clonal heterogeneity within tumours. The mechanisms responsible for the coexistence of distinct sub-clones and the biological consequences of this coexistence remain poorly understood. Here we used a mouse xenograft model to investigate the impact of sub-clonal heterogeneity on tumour phenotypes and the competitive expansion of individual clones. We found that tumour growth can be driven by a minor cell subpopulation, which enhances the proliferation of all cells within a tumour by overcoming environmental constraints and yet can be outcompeted by faster proliferating competitors, resulting in tumour collapse. We developed a mathematical modelling framework to identify the rules underlying the generation of intra-tumour clonal heterogeneity. We found that non-cell-autonomous driving of tumour growth, together with clonal interference, stabilizes sub-clonal heterogeneity, thereby enabling inter-clonal interactions that can lead to new phenotypic traits.
Surprisingly, we observed no strict correlation between the increase in sub-clonal frequencies and the growth rate of tumours (Fig. 2a–c). The LOXL3-overexpressing sub-clone underwent the greatest (~tenfold) expansion in population frequency, yet failed to promote overall tumour growth. On the other hand, both CCL5 and IL11, each capable of driving outgrowth of tumours, exhibited approximately eightfold and fourfold expansion, respectively. To address the link between clone-specific expansion and tumour growth more directly, we calculated rates of expansion in cell numbers over the initially transplanted cells using a volume-based cellularity inference of $4.1 \times 10^5$ cells per mm$^3$ (Fig. 2d, Extended Data Fig. 3a). Only IL11 was capable of non-cell-autonomous tumour growth driving. We saw enhanced expansion of both IL11-expressing and parental cells. Increased growth of CCL5-driven tumours was only attributable to cell-autonomous expansion of CCL5-expressing cells. This finding was consistent with the observed delay in tumour outgrowth driven by CCL5 compared to IL11-driven tumours (Fig. 2a, inset).

We did not observe a positive correlation between tumour weights and final percentages of IL11 expressing cells (Extended Data Fig. 4a). An increase in the initial frequency of the IL11 sub-clone also did not further enhance tumour growth (Extended Data Fig. 4b). Parental cells expressed undetectable basal levels of IL11 (Extended Data Fig. 4c, d) and the non-cell-autonomous driving of tumour growth was observed with four independent derivations of the IL11 overexpressing sub-clones using two distinct lentiviral backbones that provide different levels of expression (Extended Data Fig. 4c–e). This observation strongly suggests that the phenomenon was IL11-specific and did not require additional stochastic events.

We then initiated tumours in which all the sub-clones, present at the initial 1:18 ratio, were set to compete against one another. These tumours grew faster than monoclonal tumours, suggesting additive growth-promoting interactions among the sub-clones (Fig. 2a). However, omitting the IL11 sub-clone (2:18 ratio of control LacZ, sub-clone was used to maintain 1:18 ratio of the remaining sub-clones) blocked the increased growth of polyclonal tumours, reducing clonal expansions (Fig. 2e and Extended Data Fig. 5a). Therefore, non-cell-autonomous stimulation by IL11 was both necessary and sufficient to drive tumour growth.

Sub-clonal cooperation in metastasis

In addition to accelerated growth rates, polyclonal tumours displayed regions of extensive haemorrhage and multiple cysts (Fig. 2f), indicative of increased blood and lymphatic vessel leakage. Consistently, a large fraction of polyclonal tumours were metastatic: 7/12 analysed animals displayed lymph node metastases, 6/12 displayed metastatic nodes on the peritoneal wall and 4/7 contained tumour cells in the bone marrow (Fig. 2g). Animals bearing polyclonal tumours accumulated peritoneal fluid and demonstrated signs of systemic toxicity, requiring euthanasia at earlier time points compared to other groups.

FIGF was the only other sub-clone displaying elevated vascular leakage in monoclonal tumours, albeit with incomplete penetrance. Hence we asked whether the combination of IL11 and FIGF could recapitulate the metastatic phenotypes of polyclonal tumours. Indeed, FIGF/IL11 tumours displayed an increase in tumour volume and extensive haemorrhage (Fig. 2f, Extended Data Fig. 5b), with 4/7 animals presenting both lymph node and peritoneal wall metastases. Therefore, our data suggest that biological interactions between distinct sub-populations can lead to the emergence of new tumour phenotypes.

Mechanisms of IL11-driven tumour growth

Elevated tumour growth implies an increase in net cell proliferation rates, either by stimulating proliferation or by inhibiting cell death. IL11-driven tumours displayed a subtle, but significant, increase in proliferation rates compared to parental tumours (Fig. 3a). Apoptosis rates were similar (Extended Data Fig. 1b). This increase in cellular proliferation could result either from a direct autocrine/paracrine stimulation of cell

Figure 1 | Experimental system. a. Growth of tumours upon mammary fat pad transplantation of indicated cell lines, n = 10 per group, combined data from 2 independent experiments, error bars indicate s.e.m. b. Representative images of indicated staining. Arrows indicate necrotic areas. H&E, haematoxylin and eosin. c. Experimental scheme.

Non-cell-autonomous tumour driving

We first investigated whether individual sub-clones, initially present as a minor sub-population competing against parental cells, could affect tumour properties. We focused on tumour growth and metastasis, features that are most relevant clinically and amenable to quantification. Although we observed variability between the groups in morphology, proliferation and vascularization (Extended Data Fig. 1), only the chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 5 (CCL5) and interleukin 11 (IL11) overexpressing sub-clones were able to enhance tumour growth (Fig. 2a, b).

None of the tumours were metastatic, as evaluated by in vivo bioluminescence imaging and examination of draining lymph nodes, peritoneal walls and bone marrow (data not shown).

We then analysed the population frequencies of individual sub-clones within the tumours using a genomic quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) approach, using clone-specific and reference amplicons (Extended Data Fig. 2).
growth or from indirect effects mediated by the microenvironment. IL11 signals through a unique and specific receptor, IL11R, that forms a signalling complex with the GP130 co-receptor shared with other IL6 cytokine family members\(^1\). IL11 promotes growth of gastric carcinoma via direct stimulation of epithelial cells\(^2\). Similar stimulation of tumour growth via non-cell-autonomous signalling between tumour cells, involving two related cytokines, IL6 and LIF, was reported in glioblastomas\(^3\). We therefore asked whether modulation of IL11R expression in carcinoma cells affects the ability of IL11 to induce tumour growth. Neither overexpression nor short hairpin (shRNA)-mediated downregulation of IL11R affected IL11-driven tumour growth (Fig. 3b and Extended Data Fig. 7). Furthermore, IL11 significantly promoted growth of 2/4 additional breast cancer cell lines despite low or undetectable levels of IL11R (Fig. 3c, d).

Independence of tumour growth from direct stimulation of tumour cells by IL11 prompted us to investigate changes in the tumour microenvironment. IL11-driven tumours displayed higher intratumoral vascular density compared to parental ones (Fig. 3e, f), more dispersed patterns of collagen organization and had more stromal fibroblasts (Extended Data Fig. 8). Both increased vascularity and reorganization of the extracellular matrix have been implicated in the promotion of tumour growth\(^4,5\), suggesting that the tumour-promoting effects of IL11 may be attributable to microenvironmental changes.

**Clonal competition dynamics**

Contexts of polyclonal tumours strongly inhibited the expansion of individual sub-clones in comparison to monoclonal tumours (Fig. 2c). This phenomenon is known as clonal interference: when multiple clones with higher than average fitness emerge in a population at the same time, they interfere with each other; this slows down the rate of clonal evolution\(^6\). However, the reduced expansion of individual sub-clones in IL11-driven polyclonal tumours could also be the result of a growing population. Therefore, to distinguish between the effects of clonal interference and expanding tumour volume, we determined clonal expansions in slower growing polyclonal tumours without IL11 (Fig. 2c). We found that while the removal of IL11 significantly affected clonal composition of the tumours (\(P < 0.0001\) for the interaction factor in a two-way ANOVA), expansion of most of the sub-clones remained inhibited. This indicates that clonal interference is a major determinant of the differences in the competitive dynamics in polyclonal tumours.

To investigate the rules of tumour growth and to predict clonal dynamics on a longer timescale, we then developed a mathematical framework incorporating clonal interference and heterogeneity. First, we investigated the growth behaviour of monoclonal tumours, finding that tumours exhibited an exponential growth pattern (Extended Data Fig. 3b). We then estimated the clone-specific exponential growth rates for each monoclonal growth experiment. With these rates we predicted tumour sizes in polyclonal tumours adding a dynamic interaction term (Fig. 4a, Extended Data Fig. 3c, d and Supplementary Information).

In order to account for interactions between a driver clone and other clones, we investigated a hierarchy of nested, increasingly complex mathematical descriptions of clonal dynamics for their ability to predict data from individual polyclonal growth experiments. The null hypothesis of no clonal interactions was easily rejected. The best agreement between model predictions and experimental observations in polyclonal tumours was achieved by including a constant positive growth effect of the IL11 clone on all other clones. Higher-order interactions involving multiple drivers did not improve the predictive power of the model. The best-fitting model was then used to predict heterogeneity in polyclonal tumours over longer timescales. In the absence of IL11, clonal heterogeneity was predicted to eventually vanish, as clones with the highest proliferation rates outcompete less fit clones. In contrast, non-cell-autonomous stimulation of cell growth supports clonal diversity over clinically relevant timescales (Fig. 4b).

As anti-cancer therapy exerts selective pressures that can affect evolutionary dynamics, we investigated the effect of treatment with doxorubicin, a commonly used chemotherapeutic agent in breast cancer, on the diversity of the tumour cell population. Two rounds of doxorubicin administration substantially inhibited tumour growth and cell proliferation in polyclonal tumours (Extended Data Fig. 6a, b). Instead of the expected changes in the expansion of specific sub-clones differing in drug sensitivity, we found that the amplitude of clonal expansion and
IL11 drives tumour cell proliferation via microenvironmental changes. a, Quantification and representative images of anti-BrdU immunohistochemical staining in control and IL11-driven tumours. b, Tumour volumes 31 days post-transplantation of parental MDA-MB-468 cells, cells overexpressing or with downregulated IL11Rα, n = 5 per group. c, Tumour weights of contralateral parental and IL11 expressing tumours formed by the indicated cell lines. d, Levels of expression of IL11Rα mRNA in indicated cell lines, normalized to MDA-MB-468. e, Quantification of average number of CD31+ vessels per field and tumour volumes. f, Representative images of anti-CD31 immunohistochemical staining. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001, respectively of unpaired (a, b, e) or paired (c) Student’s t-test. Error bars indicate s.e.m. Data shown are representative of at least 2 independent experiments.

The lack of correlation between clonal expansion and tumour growth prompted examination of the competition between IL11 and LOXL3 sub-clones. The latter showed the strongest expansion in population frequency without being able to drive tumour growth (Fig. 2d). IL11 accelerated the growth of tumours with LOXL3 competitors beyond the growth rates seen with IL11/parental (IL11/P) controls (Fig. 4c),...
consistent with the ability of faster proliferating LOXL3 cells to obtain additional benefit from IL11. However, upon sample collection, 1:18 IL11/LOXL3 tumours contained very little solid tissue. Most of the volume was filled with interstitial fluid, probably a remnant of necrotic liquefaction, whereas 1:18 IL11/IP and 1:1 IL11/LOXL3 tumours remained solid (Fig. 4d, e).

Analysis of clonal composition revealed that LOXL3 had outcompeted the IL11 sub-clone below the detectability threshold in 1:18 IL11/LOXL3 tumours. In contrast, 1:1 IL11/LOXL3 tumours contained reduced, but substantial proportions of IL11 cells (Fig. 4f). Loss of IL11 cells most probably reflects differences in proliferation rates rather than apoptotic elimination of slower dividing cells seen in other experimental contexts. 23. We did not observe elevated rates of apoptosis in IL11 cells bordering LOXL3+ cells in 1:1 IL11/LOXL3 tumours, and occasional IL11+ cells could still be detected in 1:18 IL11/LOXL3 tumours (Extended Data Fig. 9). Additionally, the resulting clonal frequencies were consistent with predictions of our mathematical model (Supplementary Information). Most probably, elimination of IL11 sub-clone restored microenvironmental barriers, thereby prohibiting the maintenance of a large tumour. These findings provide experimental support for the idea that a clone responsible for driving tumour outgrowth can be outcompeted by a clone with faster proliferation, leading to tumour collapse. 24, 25.

Discussion

Widespread tumour heterogeneity challenges the common assumption that tumour growth and malignant phenotypes are driven by dominant clones that have the highest cell-autonomous fitness advantage (Fig. 4g). Previous studies in Drosophila and mouse models demonstrated that tumour growth can be supported by a small population of cells via direct non-cell-autonomous stimulation. 26, 27. Furthermore, the cross-talk between sub-populations of tumour cells has been implied in metastasis. 28. Our results suggest that tumours can be driven by a sub-population of cells that does not have higher fitness, but instead stimulate growth of all tumour cells non-cell-autonomously by inducing tumour-promoting microenvironmental changes (Fig. 4h, middle). Conversely, non-cell-autonomous clonal expansion does not necessarily translate into increased tumour growth rates (Fig. 4h, left). The non-cell-autonomous driver sub-clone can be outcompeted by a sub-clone with higher proliferative output, thus collapsing the tumour (Fig. 4h, right). Notably, in our experiments IL11-expressing cells were initially intermingled with the competitors. Under the scenario of stochastic activation of expression, benefits of secretion of non-cell-autonomously acting factors might be skewed to the producer clone due to spatial considerations. Therefore, although extensive intermingling of evolutionarily diverged sub-populations has been reported for primary tumours, 29 it will be important to evaluate the effects of tumour topology in future studies.

Our results provide direct experimental evidence that clonal interference limits clonal expansions in tumours. Our modelling predicts that non-cell-autonomous driving of tumour growth can maintain clonal diversity over clinically relevant timeframes. In turn, clonal diversity can lead to clinically important phenotypic properties as suggested by the emergence of metastatic dissemination due to interactions between IL11- and FGF-expressing sub-populations. Non-cell-autonomous driving of tumour growth and inter-clonal interactions suggest that experimental analysis and clinical diagnostics focusing only on the most abundant sub-population of tumour cells might be misleading.
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METHODS

Cell lines. Breast cancer cell lines were obtained from the following sources: MDA-MB-468, MDA-MB-453, and HCC1954 from ATCC; MCF10DCIS.com from Dr. F. Miller (Karmanos Cancer Institute, Detroit, MI); SUM149PT from Dr. S. Ethier, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI), and 21NT from Dr. A. Pardee (Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA). Cells were cultured in media recommended by the provider, their identity confirmed by short tandem repeats (STR) analysis, and regularly tested for mycoplasma.

Generation of MDA-MB-468 derive lines (‘sub-clones’). Entry of DNA ORFs in pDONR223 or pENTR221 were obtained from human ORFeome collection v5.1 or Life Technologies, respectively. Lentiviral expression constructs were generated by Gateway swap into pLent6.3/V5-Dest vector (Life Technologies) or pHAGE-EF (used for IL11 swap only, vector obtained from S. Elledge laboratory, Harvard Medical School) destination vectors and sequence verified. Assembling viral particles and transductions were performed following Life Technology protocols. Parental MDA-MB-468 cell lines were transduced with mCherry/luciferase lentiviral construct (obtained from C. Mitsiades laboratory, DFCI) before derivation of specific sub-clones. Each derivative line was generated from a pool of 1 × 10^5 to 2 × 10^5 transduced cells. Lentiviral-mediated expression was verified by immunoblotting against V5 tag and further confirmed by immunohistochemistry in vivo. The GFP sub-clone was derived by lentiviral transduction of pLVX-AcGFP (Life Technologies).

qPCR analysis of clonal composition. The frequency of individual clones within tumours was determined by analysing the change in qPCR signal from the initial mixture, which was precisely defined through mixing of clones based on cell counts, and the terminal tumour. qPCR was performed using Life Cycle 4800 (Roche) using SYBR green method with reaction mixtures purchased from Kapa Biosystems. Signals from individual clones were determined using a primer anchored in lentiviral backbone (anchor) and a primer specific for the clone-defining factor. As an internal reference we used primers specific for the peri-centromeric region of chromosome 12, which does not display copy number alterations in the MDA-MB-468 cell line. Primer sequences are listed below. The primers employed in the quantitation displayed linear amplification with >95% amplification efficiency. Change of frequency relative to the initial mixture was determined from Ct values for clone specific and internal reference qPCR signal on a ddCT method. Clonal proportions in polyclonal tumours were normalized based on total frequency of 1. For calculation of fold expansion, we used the clonality data to infer number of independent clones, taking intoferences between tumour mass and cellularity as described in the Supplementary Information.

Target sequence of primers. pLent6.3/V5-DEST expressed: anchor TCCAGCTGTGGTGAAATCTGT; IL11 GTTGCTGTAATCTCTTCTTGTT; GFPI CCCTTCTCTCTTCTTG; CGCTT CGCGAGTTTGAG; VEGFB CAATAGAGCCTCCAGCAGGTGA; IVH GTGTCAAGTGGTGAATC; HGTC AATGCTGAGTGGCAGGCT; HCFP CTTCTTTCTGCTCCGTC; TCCAAT TGTCTTCTTGCC; CTCG CGCGAAATTCTTG; VEGFR2 CTGCGCCGCTCTTG; IL11R GGGAAATTTTCTTG; IL11Ra shRNA5 TGGGAATCTCTCTTTGTA; IL11R shRNA#6 TGGGACCACTGATAGG; IL11R shRNA#7 TGGGACCATACAAAGGAGAT; IL11R shRNA#8 TGGCGTCTTTGGGAATCCTTT; IL11R shRNA#9 ACTGATAGGGCCACCTACATC.

qPCR primers for IL11 knockdown. IL11 ELISA. Cells were plated at 1 × 10^5 per well in a 6-well plate and left overnight at 37°C with 5% CO\(_2\). The next morning, the media was replaced and the cells returned to the incubator. After 5 h of incubation, the cells and the media were collected on ice in order to determine the concentrations of intracellular and secreted IL11, respectively. The harvested cells were counted, resuspended in PBS and lysed by rapid freeze thaw cycles. The media and cell lysates were used for human IL11 ELISA (RayBiotech; ELH-IL11-001) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The values were adjusted for cell numbers as well as final volume to get an estimate of relative concentrations of IL11 in the two vector derivates.

Histological, immunohistochemical and multi-color immunofluorescence analyses. For histological analyses, 3-μm sections of formalin fixed paraffin embedded or paraffin unstained xenografts were visualized with hematoxylin and eosin stain and from multiple standard protocols. For analyses of collagen content, the tumour sections were stained with Masson’s trichrome stain kit (American Mastertech) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Immunohistochemical analyses of bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU, Roche cat#11710376001, clone BMC9318, mouse monoclonal IgG1, 1:100, Ki-67 (Dako M72400, clone M-1, mouse monoclonal IgG, 1:100, CD31 (Neomarkers RB10333, rabbit polyclonal, 1:50) and smooth muscle actin (SMA, Dako M085101, clone 1A4, mouse monoclonal IgG2a, 1:250) were performed using 5-μm sections of FFPE xenografts. The tissues were deparaffinized and rehydrated. After heat-induced antigen retrieval in citrate buffer (pH 6 for BrdU and Ki-67) or Dako target retrieval solution (pH 6.7, pH 9 for CD31 and SMA), the samples were blocked with 3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol followed by goat serum and stained with the primary for 1 h at room temperature. The samples were then incubated with anti-mouse or anti-rabbit IgG biotinylated antibody (1:100 dilution) for 30 min at room temperature followed by the ABC peroxidase system (Vectastain, ABC System Vector Laboratories). DAB (3,3′-diaminobenzidene) was used as the colorimetric substrate. The samples were washed twice with PBS-Tween 0.05% between incubations. Then the slides were counterstained with Harris haematoxylin or 1% methyl green.

Scoring for the expression of each marker was done as follows: the percentage of Ki67 and BrdU+ cells were estimated by counting an average of 1,500–2,000 cells per sample using ImageJ 1.45 s software from 4–6 randomly selected regions of the xenografts. Vessel density was scored by counting the number of CD31+ vessels per 20× field for 4–6 randomly selected fields in the tumour and the average was calculated.

Multicolour immunofluorescence for cleaved caspase 3 (Cell Signaling cat#9661, rabbit monoclonal IgG, 1:50) and/or V5 (Invitrogen R960-25, mouse monoclonal IgG2a, 1:100) was performed similarly as above. After heat-induced antigen retrieval at pH 6, the samples were blocked with goat serum and stained with the primary overnight at 4°C followed by incubation with goat anti-rabbit IgG Alexa 488-conjugated (Life Technologies, 1:100 dilution, for detection of cleaved caspase 3) and goat anti-mouse IgG2a Alexa 555-conjugate (Life Technologies, 1:100 dilution, for detection of V5) for 45 min at room temperature. The samples were protected for long-term storage with VECTASHIELD HardSet Mounting Medium with DAPI (Vector laboratories, cat #H-1500). Before image analysis, the samples were stored at −20°C for at least 3 days. Different immunofluorescence images from multiple regions of each sample were collected with a Nikon Ti microscope attached to a Yokogawa spinning-disk confocal unit using a 60× plan apo objective, and OrcaER camera controlled by Andor IQ software. The montage images were created using the stitching plugin in Fiji (ImageJ) 1.48 software.

Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labelling (TUNEL) assay. FFPE sections of the xenografts were deparaffinized and rehydrated. Sections were then treated with 60 μg ml^-1^ proteinase K (20 mg ml^-1^, Invitrogen, DNase- and RNase-free) in PBS at 15 min at room temperature. Tissue digestion was stopped by consecutive washes in PBS and TdT buffer (Thermo Scientific). The sections were blocked with 3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol to inhibit endogenous peroxidase activity. TUNEL assays were performed at 37°C for 1 h in TdT buffer, 150 mM NaCl, 2 μM biotin 16-UTP (Roche) and 80 μl per ml TdT (Thermo Scientific: EP0162). Following washing in PBS, labelled cells were visualized with the ABC peroxidase system (Vectastain, ABC System Vector Laboratories) using DAB (3,3′-diaminobenzidine) as the colorimetric substrate. The slides were counterstained with Harris haematoxylin. The percentage of TUNEL+ cells were estimated
by counting an average of 600–1000 cells per sample using ImageJ 1.45 s software from 4–6 randomly selected regions of the tumours.

**Statistical analysis.** Sample size was determined based on pilot experiments followed by larger-scale studies to obtain significant differences (including the animal experiments). Estimation of variation within experimental group, normality test and statistical analyses indicated in figure legends were performed with Prism software (Graph Pad), or with Wolfram Mathematica. Unless otherwise specified, \( P \) values refer to the results of the two-tailed \( t \)-test.

30. Preibisch, S., Saalfeld, S. & Tomancak, P. Globally optimal stitching of tiled 3D microscopic image acquisitions. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1463–1465 (2009).
Extended Data Figure 1 | Proliferation, apoptosis and vascularization in selected groups. a–c, Quantification and representative pictures of immunohistochemical analysis for markers of proliferation (a), apoptosis (b), and vascularization (c). Each dot represents an individual tumour, error bars indicate s.d.
**Extended Data Figure 2 | Estimations of clonal frequencies.** a, Schematic outline of the quantification of clonal composition based on qPCR. Changes in clonal frequencies are determined based on changes in the ratios of clone-specific and a human-specific reference amplicon between initial mixtures and the resulting tumours. b, Reproducibility of clonality analysis between two different DNA preparations/qPCR from same tumour. c, Correlation between the results obtained using fluorescent-activated cell sorting (FACS) and qPCR based determination of clonal frequency after 6 weeks in vitro culture. Green fluorescent protein (GFP) labelled parental cells were mixed with individual sub-clones at initial ratios of 20:1. $R^2$ indicates goodness of fit of linear regression.
Extended Data Figure 3 | Mathematical model. a, Upper panel: estimation of tumour volume–density relation. The dashed line represents a linear regression with slope 0.33 ($P < 0.01$). Red dots are predictions for which one value of the pair was missing. Inset, tumour density over time from clone-vs-parental competition experiments (dots). Tumour density did not correlate with the time of sample collection (line, linear regression with slope $0.012$, $P = 0.68$). Lower panel, schematic of estimation of cell numbers in tumour samples from two dimensional slices. b, Tumour volume over time from experiments (empty circles) and linear regression (exponential tumour growth law, black lines), with 0.95 confidence intervals (grey areas). Inset: comparison of $P$ values using different growth laws. c, Flow chart of mathematical modelling approach. d, Upper panel, growth dynamics under non-cell-autonomous driving, according to mathematical model (model B, see Supplementary Information), driver effect of IL11 was set to a typical value of $0.012$/day. Example of four individual sub-clones (for example, IL11, LOXL3, slow-growing CCL5, LacZ), total tumour size indicated by dashed line; lower panel, frequency dynamics for the same set.
Extended Data Figure 4 | Reproducibility and frequency-independence of tumour-growth promoting effects of IL11. a, Relation between tumour weight and fraction of IL11 sub-clone cells upon tumour sample collection. b, Final weights of tumours initiated from the indicated mixtures of IL11 expressing and parental cells using pLenti6.3 backbone; \( n = 21 \) for the 5.6% IL11, \( n = 10 \) for the other groups. c, d, Secreted (pg per \( 10^6 \) cells per hour) (c) and intracellular (pg per \( 10^6 \) cells) (d) levels of IL11 protein determined by ELISA in parental cells and in the IL11-expressing clones derived using the indicated lentiviral constructs. e, Growth kinetics of tumours initiated by transplantation of mixtures containing IL11-expressing cells from the indicated backbones competing with the parental cells.
Extended Data Figure 5 | IL11 in clonal cooperation. a, Expansion (fold-change over initial number of cells) of indicated sub-clones in the polyclonal tumours initiated with/without IL11 sub-clone, *n* = 10 per group.

b, Growth curves of the tumours initiated by transplantation of the indicated groups. IL11 + FIGF indicates tumours initiated by 1:1 mixtures of IL11 and FIGF sub-clones.
Extended Data Figure 6 | The effects of doxorubicin on tumour growth and clonal composition. a–c, Tumour growth (a), assessment of cell proliferation by BrdU staining (b) and clonal composition (c) of tumours initiated by polyclonal mixtures followed by treatment of the animals bearing established tumours with vehicle control or doxorubicin. Arrows mark intraperitoneal injections of doxorubicin (5 mg per kg) or vehicle. The inset in c quantifies changes in frequency of clones expanding and shrinking compared to the initial frequencies. Interaction factor for two-way ANOVA between control and doxorubicin groups is statistically significant ($P = 0.0059$). d, Shannon index for clonal diversity of vehicle and doxorubicin treated tumours, *$P < 0.05$ in two-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test.
Extended Data Figure 7 | Validation of IL11Rα shRNA. As the commercially available IL11Rα antibodies are not sufficiently sensitive to detect endogenous IL11Rα protein in the MDA-MB-468 cells, we tested the ability of shRNA to downregulate the expression of exogenously expressed IL11Rα. Cells overexpressing IL11Rα were stably transduced with IL11Rα-targeting shRNAs and the expression of IL11Rα and β-actin (loading control) were analysed by immunoblotting.
Extended Data Figure 8 | The effects of IL11 on the tumour microenvironment. 

a. Collagen organization in parental and IL11 expressing tumours. Representative images of collagen structure (blue) in the indicated tumours as determined by tri-chrome staining. 
b. Smooth muscle actin positive (SMA) stromal cells in control and IL11 expressing tumours. Representative images of immunohistochemical staining for SMA.
Extended Data Figure 9 | IL11 cells are not specifically eliminated in IL11/LOXL3 tumours. 

a, Immunofluorescence analysis of apoptosis in 1:1 IL11/LOXL3 tumours. Apoptotic marker cleaved caspase 3 (yellow) indicates lack of increase in apoptosis in IL11 (red, V5⁺) cells bordering LOXL3 (V5⁻), as LOXL3 cDNA has a stop codon before the tag). Grey dashed line demarcates the border of the necrotic area, where most of cell death occurs. 

b, Occasional IL11⁺ cells (indicated by arrows) could still be detected in the remnants of 1:18 IL11/LOXL3 tumours.
Extended Data Table 1 | List of factors employed in sub-clonal derivations

| Official gene symbol | Official gene name                     | Rationale for picking                              |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| LACZ                 | beta-D-galactosidase                   | Control                                           |
| GFP                  | green fluorescent protein             | Control                                           |
| VEGFA                | vascular endothelial growth factor A  | Angiogenesis                                      |
| VEGFB                | vascular endothelial growth factor B  | Lymphangiogenesis, metastasis                     |
| VEGFC                | vascular endothelial growth factor C  | Angiogenesis, lymphangiogenesis, and metastasis   |
| LOXL1                | lysyl oxidase-like 1                  | Invasion and metastasis                           |
| LOXL3                | lysyl oxidase-like 3                  | Invasion and metastasis                           |
| SPP1                 | secreted phosphoprotein 1             | Promotion of tumor growth through recruitment of bone marrow-derived cells |
| IHH                  | indian hedgehog                       | Activation of stroma                              |
| FIGF                 | c-fos induced growth factor           | Lymphangiogenesis, metastasis                     |
| CXCL12               | chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 12      | Leukocyte infiltration, proliferation, metastasis |
| CXCL14               | chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 14      | Increased motility and invasiveness               |
| SHH                  | sonic hedgehog                        | Promotion of tumor growth                         |
| VCAN                 | versican                              | Invasion, metastasis and growth                    |
| HGF                  | hepatocyte growth factor              | Migration, adhesion and angiogenesis              |
| CCL5                 | chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 5        | Recruitment of monocytes                          |
| IL11                 | interleukin 11                        | Bone metastasis                                   |
| ANGPTL4              | angiopoietin-like 4                   | Angiogenesis and metastasis                       |
| IL6*                 | interleukin 6                         | Survival, proliferation                           |

*An IL6 expressing sub-clone was generated and tested in the pilot experiments but was excluded due to high systemic toxicity.