Critical Review on the Photodegradation Ability of Graphene and its Derivatives against Malachite Green, Methylene Blue, and Methyl Orange
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Abstract: Owing to the oxidizing, catalytic ability, and adsorbing property, graphene-based composites and their derivatives have been an ideal component for water purification and thereof. The colossal poly-aromatic π–π network and oxygen-rich groups within the functionalized graphene materials play a decisive interaction with the water pollutants viz. agricultural run-off, industrial dye effluents, and bio-medical waste via electrostatic interactions, hydrogen bonding, and photon enriched oxidations. Among various pollutant dyes, malachite green (MG), methylene blue (MB), and methyl orange (MO) have been used extensively by aqua cultural farms, tanneries, research fraternities, and textile industries due to their multifarious usage. This review unveils the cost-effective graphene preparation from various chemical synthesis processes over other conventional methods and its effectiveness in removing MG, MB, and MO with composites comprising carbonaceous materials, metal oxides, metal hybrids, and conducting organic polymers. The adsorption capacities, pseudo-1st order kinetics, degradation efficiency, and pH had been highlighted chronologically to draw a conclusive nature of graphene and its derivatives as a potential remedial against these three pollutant dyes. This article also focused on various emerging materials that had been used with functionalized graphene recently to enhance degradation efficiency and expunge multiple toxic dyes for advanced water treatment processes.
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1. Introduction

In contrast to other allotropes of carbon, graphene has its significance with extraordinary chemical and physical properties making its application highly diverse than other allotropes, i.e., diamond, graphite, or CNT. Earlier mono-layered graphene has found its usage in electronics and solar cells, while bi-layer, tri-layer, and few layers had shown remarkable properties in contrast to single-layer graphene. Recently, the chemical and physical properties of multi-layered graphene highlighted its application in catalysis as well as an eco-friendly adsorbent in the environmental cause, but the preparation of graphene has been quite subtle and challenging ever since its discovery. Commercially, graphene was prepared from graphite precursors. A high-quality mono-layer is derived from sophisticated chemical vapor deposition (CVD), laser ablation, and plasma arc processes, making the finished product highly expensive. However, environmental crisis and decontamination of toxic effluents require functionalized graphene derivatives.
Away from this production monopoly - relying vastly on graphite precursor and exfoliation technique, the solubility of graphene hindered in various chemical reactions as traditional knowledge portrays pristine graphene doesn’t disperse or blend in any solvent. The functionalization of graphene with various functional groups and hetero atoms had solved the dispersion issue in solvents and aqueous medium, relying completely on the conventional Hummers method and improved Hummers method [1, 2]. Therefore, substantial efforts were made to create graphene, a multi-dimensional research-operating, and industry-friendly material, to perfect its dispersion in various solvents, including an aqueous medium at a much-reduced cost. Fortunately, the preparation from non-graphitic sources over the last few years has been a steady trend amongst industries and research fraternities to address this dispersion issue. Thus, apart from CVD, bottom-up synthesis from organic molecules in the solid and liquid phase has emerged but is still in its genesis stage with niche applications.

Apart from dispersion in solvents, the bottom-up strategy had been quite impeccable for inserting hetero-atoms at the desired positions within the graphitic sheet and designing the length, breadth, and chain of graphene derivatives. The absence of a governing principle and fundamental chemistry behind the formation of graphene and its derivatives from organic monomers made this entire synthesis quite challenging. Economical production of scalable graphene and its derivatives is the success mantra, but the ability to combat environmental issues will be a vital advantage. Amongst various inorganic and organic pollutants discharged into the water body, the organic effluents, including organo-phosphorus, detergents, organochlorine, petroleum, aromatic compounds, polymers, and dyes, had been the most detrimental for living organisms.

The complex aromatic structure of dyes makes them highly soluble in an aqueous system and difficult to bio-degrade in the discharged water bodies from various industries. Synthetic dyes like malachite green (MG), methylene blue (MB), and methyl orange (MO) are mandatory to be treated before discharging as effluents within permissible limits as prescribed by environmental regulations. These are highly resistant to light and mild oxidizers, but complete removal by basic anaerobic digestion isn’t possible. However, treating these colored effluents with a single conventional method such as like ozonation, coagulation, membrane filtration, or flotation would decolorize partly, and therefore photodegradation is an attractive alternative. In this article, an extensive literature survey revealed functionalized graphene against three commonly used pollutant dyes, i.e., MG, MB, and MO were done.

2. Synthesis of Graphene and its Derivatives from Chemical Methods

In contrast to ‘top-down’ approaches involving shear exfoliation and abrasive wear [3, 4], ‘bottom-up’ synthesis enables control on the graphene sheet structure due to judicious designing of monomer molecule through polymerization/ aromatization or graphitization. At specific positions, this bottom-up chemical synthesis strategy enables precise doping, making graphene functional with heteroatoms very definite. The earliest chemical synthesis was chemical vapor deposition (CVD) which was attributed to the synthesis of carbon-nanotube (CNT) and had been well dominated in the chemical assembly of molecules to prepare graphene ever since its discovery. Similarly, graphene preparation from non-graphitic precursors was done using epitaxial growth from SiC precursors in the vapor phase. Other methods like plasma arc discharge and laser induction process relied on non-graphitic precursors. Still, the sophisticated instruments and inert conditions make these methods dependent on gaseous phase akin to CVD. According to Web of Science, the histogram
portrayed in Figure 1, revealed the increase in CVD usage for graphene preparation over other preparation techniques chronologically.
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**Figure 1.** Total number of articles with different preparation methods for graphene and its derivatives.

Thus, designing the graphene sheet with controlled features relies on non-graphitic precursors rather than natural graphite. A literature survey shows over 5000+ research articles only on the usage of CVD to design graphene derivatives on various substrates. However, the economic aspect and energy sustainability are hampered with CVD due to high temperature, sophisticated set-up, inert atmosphere, and gaseous phase, making the cost reliability of graphene beyond the scope of commercialization. Synthesis of graphene and its derivatives via condensation and aromatization of organic molecules in solid-phase via heat treatment had been a new strategy as well as economically feasible. Hence, to avoid the vapor phase and continue synthesis in solid-phase heat treatment from non-graphitic precursors, Dong *et al.* first reported the synthesis of GO from citric acid [5]. Initially, GQD was prepared, and after prolonged heating GO was formed at 200°C on prolonged heating; however, the fundamental mechanism behind this synthesis route was uncleared.

Awe-inspiringly, various coupling reactions including Suzuki, Heck, Negishi, Sonogashira, and Ullmann reaction had been a part of graphene synthesis with the concept of coupling of large aryl halide molecules into graphitic sheet via condensation and polymerization. In 2008, Yang *et al.* synthesized armchair graphene nanoribbons based on Suzuki-Miyaura polymerization from diiodobenzene using FeCl₃ catalyst [6]. This particular Suzuki-Miyaura coupling was also observed in the polymerization of 1,2-dibromobenzene using Pd catalyst into armchair graphene ribbons with a poor yield ~ 56% [7]. This coupling was also performed by Li *et al.* to obtain a graphene armchair under an inert atmosphere using Pd(PBu₃)₂ catalyst with a 73% yield [8]. On the principle of Yamamoto polymerization, Gemayel and co-workers prepared nanoribbons of graphene from dichloride condensation followed by oxidative addition using Ni catalyst [9]. Similarly, Huang *et al.* synthesized para-armchair graphene nanoribbons with bulky side chains via Yamamoto coupling followed by Diels-Alder reaction of anthracenyl groups [10].
Figure 2. Schematics of the formation of graphene products from various precursors via chemical synthesis: (a) Synthesis of graphene nanoribbons [6]. Reprinted with permission from ref [6]. Copyright 2008 American Chemical Society; (b) Synthesis of graphene nanoribbons. Syntheses of \( N = 6 \) aGNR and its edge-functionalized analogs [8]. Reprinted from open-source ref [8]; (c) Hierarchical Ullmann coupling for the generation of porous N-doped Graphene Nanoribbons [11]. Reprinted with permission from ref [11]. Copyright 2020 American Chemical Society; (d) Schematic representation of molecular precursors 1 and 2 used to prepare chevron-type nanoporous graphene [12]. Reprinted with permission from ref [12]. Copyright 2020 American Chemical Society; (e) Bottom-up solution synthesis of \( N=9 \) armchair graphene nanoribbons [7]. Reprinted with permission from ref [7]. Copyright 2016 John Wiley & Sons.

Notably, nanoribbons of graphene with 50 nm length were designed from poly(p-phenylene ethynylene) molecule instead of conventional small halide monomer utilizing Sonogashira coupling, relying on Cul catalyst [13]. In 2020, Jacobse and co-workers recently fabricated nano-porous graphene on Au substrate under a nitrogen atmosphere [12]. In figure 2, various precursors were represented to synthesize graphene and its derivatives via different coupling reactions chemically. In a similar fashion, N-doped graphene nanoribbons were constructed using silver catalyzed Ullmann polymerization [11]. Henceforth, it can be clearly understood that coupling reactions followed by polymerization were suitable for designing graphene nanoribbons with specific catalysts under specific reaction conditions and parameters; however, the yield of the product had been less than the conventional heat treatment method. On the other hand, heating organic molecules at their respective melting range in the solid phase were extremely limited and challenging. Concurrent to the existing polymerization of haloaryls, it was also found certain compounds like tartaric acid, m-aminophenol, citric acid, galactose, and glucose effectively produced functionalized graphene in a single step [14, 15].
3. Photocatalytic Degradation of Dyes with Functionalized Graphene and its Derivatives

The extensive wastewater disposal into waterbodies from industries containing organic dyes led to fatal jeopardy for human and aquatic life ubiquitously. The global production of these organic dyes releases 5 – 20% of the dyes as textile effluents into the ecosystem [16]. The remedy against such critical water pollution issues had been hydrolysis, oxidation of these dyes, and chemical reactions within the wastewater-using microorganism to create metabolites. Apart from these conventional treatments, the emergence of photocatalysts and their potential in decriminalizing toxic dyes has dwindled this problem meticulously. The photocatalytic efficiency of various materials to dissociate dyes decreases significantly due to the high recombination ratio of electrons and holes produced from irradiation of ultraviolet light. To compensate for this shortage, materials are exposed to prolong visible light sources making the process cost-effective. Moreover, there are various setbacks with powder-based catalysts like separation after every run and continuous stirring. The sedimentation process, filtration, and centrifugation are commonly used to recover spent catalyst, but a large portion of materials had been lost during the treatment of dyes.
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**Figure 3.** Total number of research articles with nanocomposites of graphene and its derivatives with metal nanoparticles in degradation of MG, MB, and MO.

Initially, metallic nanoparticles were largely used as photocatalysts, and currently, the trend has moved to demonstrate the synergistic effect of metal nanoparticles with ceramics, alloys, other metal nanoparticles, carbonaceous nanomaterials, and pyrolyzed products. Graphene and its derivatives have been used extensively with metal nanoparticles as nanocomposites and nano-hybrids to enhance the photo-catalytic ability. The high surface area of graphene-based materials promotes a high reactive site for these metal nanoparticles, but graphene acting solely as a photocatalyst is quite limited. Moreover, after prolonged use, their metallic counterparts tend to cause heavy metal pollution in water bodies and hamper soil quality [17]. The use of graphene and its derivatives solely as a photocatalyst is quite limited, and degrading major toxic dyes like malachite green, methylene blue, and methyl orange has been quite challenging. However, according to Web of Science, a literature report showed graphene’s role with metal nanoparticles in decolorization of these three dyes had been severely used under the illumination of the ultraviolet and visible lamp. In figure 3, the histogram as per the Web of Science literature survey had shown the enormous figures of the use of graphene and its derivatives with metal nanoparticles in photodegradation of these three dyes. The exhaustive use of graphene with metal nanoparticles as nano-hybrid and nanocomposites
makes the material and process quite expensive apart from imposing the threat of metal toxicity in water.

Metals (viz. Cu, Ag, Au, Ni, Pd, Pt, Ti, Zr, Sn, Zn, Cd, Fe, Mn, Mo, Co, Y, W) had been used with graphene and its derivatives; hence metal toxicity is quite pronounced in search for a remedy against organic dye effluents. The complete literature survey of the use of only graphene and its derivatives in photocatalytic degradation of malachite green, methylene blue, and methyl orange has been shown in the forthcoming sections.

4. Photocatalytic Degradation of Malachite Green (MG) with Graphene and its Derivatives

The early use of malachite green was to control saprolegniasis in the aquaculture industry; since then, the annual production of malachite green has increased [18]. Nowadays, as a cationic dye, it has found its usage in food and medicine production also largely as a dye in biological staining, sericulture, paper, wood, tannery, and textile industries [19, 20]. Clinical investigation elucidated malachite green's hazardous and carcinogenic effects as a liver tumor promoter. The mammalian cells are susceptible to the high cytotoxic effects of malachite green, and humans suffer from respiratory tract irritation upon inhalation, and ingestion leads to gastrointestinal tract infections. Several cases had been reported about irritation to skin upon contact and permanent damage to the cornea. Thus, the detrimental effects on aquatic life mostly affect gonads, nephrons, gills, liver, and gonadotropic cells [21].
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**Figure 4.**
Effect of pH in degradation of MG (a) Removal efficiency of methyl green onto GO nanosheets at different pH values and a temperature of 18 °C [22]. Reprinted with permission from ref [22]. Copyright 2012 American Chemical Society; Adsorption isotherms and the corresponding fitting plots of RB, MG and AF dye molecules on (b) GO foam, and (c) GO precipitate at 37 °C and natural pH (~3). The concentration of GO foam is 0.3 g L\(^{-1}\), and adsorption time is 8 h. (d) Comparison plot of adsorption isotherms of RB dye on GO foam and GO precipitate [26]. (b-d) Reprinted from open-source ref [26].
Sharma and Das, in 2013, reported the photodegradation of malachite green (MG) using graphene oxide (GO) prepared from the Hummers’ method [22]. The dye sample was decolorized within 2 h, but the concentration was very low ≤ 10 ppm made the initiative approach quite feeble. Interestingly, the adsorption capacity increased with a gradual rise in pH towards alkalinity, as demonstrated in figure 4.a. A couple of years later, Zhang et al. reported the degradation of MG using graphene oxide caged within cellulose beads [23]. Varying the amount of GO, the decontamination was monitored, and >96 % of the dye content was removed after 24 h. Suresh and co-workers eliminated dual dye viz. MG and methylene blue with the advent of reduced graphene oxide (rGO) [24]. The material was reduced in a green process using cinnamon extract, but low concentrations of dyes ~ 5 ppm were degraded within 40 mins. Similarly, to sustain a green process, Upadhyay et al. synthesized rGO from grape extract and used it to remove MG [25]. Upon comparing GO and rGO, the latter exhibited complete degradation within 6 h while GO decolorized MG within 3 h.

In 2016, Rathour and co-workers obliterated 79 % of MG with GO nanosheets at pH 8, but the increase in the dye concentration led to the decline of degradation percentage [27]. Robati et al. used GO and rGO to decompose MG in various pH environments [28]. It was found at acid medium, the adsorption was significantly high for GO than rGO. At the same time, the temperature was elevated to 308 K. In a facile green process, 3D porous graphene hydrogel was synthesized by Shi et al. and was used in pseudo-first-order removal of 140 ppm MG, MB, and crystal violet [29]. The doping of nitrogen and sulfur in graphene hydrogen enhanced the degradation process due to electrostatic interactions and π-π interactions with the dye molecule. Although the photocatalytic reactions degraded the dye at high concentrations, the temperature and pH dependency factor cannot be underestimated for industrial scale. The immiscible nature of GO requires constant stirring; therefore, to avoid settling of the photocatalyst Jayanthi et al. synthesized GO foams to decolorize acriflavine, MG, and rhodamine B (RB) [26]. The 3D architecture of GO adsorbed MG in an alkaline medium, but the dye concentration was subsequently very low ~ 10 ppm (figure 4b-d). Gupta and Khatri used high surface area (~ 931 m² gm⁻¹) rGO to wane MG level in simulated waste water model [30]. Complete degradation was possible in acidic conditions (pH 3.7); however, the process was tedious ~ 7 h. In 2018, Wei et al. performed the detection of MG in fish extracts using GO nanosheets. The sensing detection was based on the spray-ionization substrate in mass spectrometric technique; however, 98% of MG was converted into leuco-malachite green metabolite [31]. Sykam et al. reduced GO using tulsi green tea, and the synthesized rGO was used in supercapacitors and degradation of 50 ppm MG, MB, and methyl orange (MO) [32]. At pH 6, the degradation of MG is quite pronounced, and the adsorption capacity of MG maximized to 416 mg gm⁻¹. A year later, Xing and co-workers fabricated GO and rGO from needle coke to decolorize 100 ppm MG solution [33]. The results highlighted that the multilayered graphitic planes of GO prepared from coke had high adsorption capacity than conventional GO prepared from natural graphite. Tang et al. prepared amphiphilic graphene-based aerogel to decolorize multiple dyes viz. MG, MB, MO, and RB at various pH [34]. The material successfully adsorbed four hazardous dyes, but the dye concentration was low ~ 20 ppm, and the catalytic cycle of the photocatalyst didn’t exceed over thrice.

In 2020, Wang et al. functionalized GO with acrylic acid to degrade multiple dyes, i.e., MG, MB, MO, and RB [35]. The adsorption of MG was ~ 582 mg gm⁻¹ with 100-ppm dye concentration; however, the pH was maintained at high alkaline conditions (pH ~ 10). The dispersive enhancement of GO further in an aqueous medium with aminated lignin was carried out by
Chen and co-workers, which was further used to remove MG from wastewater [36]. The results were promising with 91% decolorization, and it remained ~ 89% after the 5th cycle. Söğüt et al. synthesized sulfonated rGO for Zn uptake, and degradation of MG was carried out under pH 9-10 [37]. The adsorptive capacity increased with the sulfur-based functionalized groups of GO, but the degradation was subjected to heat treatment ~ 80°C. Recently, the Chinese research team degraded 100 ppm of MG, MB, MO, RB, and acid orange with acid orange sulfur-doped GO [38]. Interestingly, the degradation didn’t plummet after the 20th cycle, but sulfur itself violated the green process. In table 1, various other derivatives of graphene (viz. functionalized, non-functionalized, doped, metal composites) had been used over the years to degrade malachite green under visible light irradiation successfully.

Table 1. Tabular representation of the photodegradation of MG with functionalized graphene-based materials.

| Material                      | Dye     | pH | Order of the reaction (min⁻¹) | Degradation (%) | Adsorption capacity (mg·gm⁻¹) | Ref.  |
|-------------------------------|---------|----|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------|
| ZnO-GO                        | MG      | -  | -/-                           | 78              | -                             | [39]  |
| TiO₂-GO                       | MG      | -  | 0.0674/-/                   | 80              | -                             | [40]  |
| MIL-101-GO                    | MG      | 5  | -/-                           | 92              | 886                           | [41]  |
| NiO-GO                        | MB      | 8  | -/-                           | 97.54           | -                             | [42]  |
| GO                            | MG, MB, R6 | -  | -/-                           | 35.53 (MG), 83(MB) | -                             | [43]  |
| Fe₃O₄-GO                      | MG, CV, BG | 3  | -/-                           | 95.6 (MG)       | -                             | [44]  |
| starch-poly(acrylamide)/GO/hydroxyapatite | MG      | 5.5 | 0.0874/-/                   | 59              | 297                           | [45]  |
| ZIF-8/CoFe₂O₄-GO              | MG      | 6  | 0.04836/-/                   | 98.96           | 2610                          | [46]  |
| Corn straw powder/TiO₂-GO     | MG, MB, RB | -  | 0.11497/-/                  | -               | 242                           | [47]  |
| Fe₃O₄-GO                      | MG, MB, MV | 6  | 0.0390.052/-/               | -               | -                             | [48]  |
| MnV₁₃/GO/PANI                 | MG      | 2  | 0.01389/-/                   | >95             | 17                            | [49]  |
| Guar gum/GO                   | MG, MB, RB | 11 | -/-                           | 98 (MG), 90 (MB) | 230 (MG)                      | [50]  |

† *MG – Malachite Green, MB – Methylene Blue, MO – Methyl Orange, RB – Rhodamine B, R6 – Rhodamine 6G, CV – Crystal Violet, BG – Brilliant Green, MV – Methyl Violet.

5. Photocatalytic Degradation of Methylene Blue (MB) with Graphene and its Derivatives

The high solubility of methylene blue (MB) in water and alcohols makes it a widely used chemical in textiles and pharmaceutical industries [51]. However, as an *in vitro* dye usage in photodynamic therapy, biological staining, aquaculture, redox indicator, photosensitizer to generate single oxygen and chromoendoscopy, it is one of the most vital and demanding dyes [52, 53]. In spite of therapeutic values and major clinical applications of MB, it has been a potent threat to human life and aquatic organisms in high dosage. The cytotoxic effects had revealed high concentrations of MB affect proliferation and apoptosis of annulus fibrous cells, as well as several investigations, had shown teratogenic effects on the human fetuses [54, 55]. Hence, removal of MB had been a top priority amongst most commercially available dyes, and the earliest metal-free graphene-based photocatalytic degradation of MB was performed by Sun et al. in 2012 [56]. GO, and rGO were used as photocatalysts to degrade phenol and MB under visible light illumination after 3 h.

Peng et al. prepared porous rGO to adsorb phenol, and MB was degraded completely after 4 h; however, the concentration of the dye was extremely low ~ 10 ppm [55]. Interestingly,
Fu and co-workers compared Pd-based GO hybrid with metal-free GO in the degradation of MB [54]. In contrast to its metal counterparts, metal-free GO successfully degraded MB within 7 minutes, while its counterparts took 16 minutes. Subsequently, Hu et al. used sulfur-based graphene composite to mitigate MB toxicity in water under UV and visible light irradiation in a separate manner [57]. The results were fecund as 99.8% dye broke down in visible light irradiation; however, the toxic effect of sulfur materials cannot be nullified. Liu et al. demonstrated the photo-catalytic effects of rGO on 10-ppm MB solution, but the removal process was tedious even for such a low dosage [58].

In 2015, Babu et al. compared the photocatalytic efficiency of rGO with CuO-TiO$_2$ nanocomposite in the degradation of MB under diffused sunlight [59]. However, rGO played a crucial role as an adsorbent in removing MB, but the metallic composite degraded the toxic dye more briskly. In Figure 5a, the TEM images clearly illustrated the graphene layers stacked upon one another with TiO$_2$ and CuO nanoparticles making them a high surface area adsorbent and a promising candidate for MB degradation. On the other hand, to accomplish metal-free photocatalyst, Ai et al. fabricated carbon nitride (C$_3$N$_4$) blended with graphene in the removal of MB and other organic pollutants from wastewater, herein as depicted in TEM images in Figure 5b, the composite of C$_3$N$_4$ – GO also comprised of flake-like structures with high surface area [60]. Interestingly, pure C$_3$N$_4$ is more sensitive under UV irradiation, while graphene-based C$_3$N$_4$ damaged the dye under visible light illumination within a span of 90 mins. The functionalization of graphene exhibited the oxidation property, and every researcher exploited this ability of graphene in the removal of dyes; hence in a similar trend Song et al. exhibited the photo-efficiency of GO degrading MB [61]. The dye adsorbed on the surface of GO ~ 95 %, but this optimal removal required a high amount of photo-catalyst: dye, i.e., 1:3 (Figure 5c). Suresh et al. emphasized the green reduction of GO and used clove extract to prepare rGO, exploit the antibacterial properties of rGO, and use it in decolorization of 5 ppm MB solution [62].

A year later, the use of graphene-polyaniline (PANI) metal-free composite was used as a photocatalyst by Neelgund and co-workers in the degradation of MO, MB, and RB [63]. The recyclability of the catalyst showed multiple times usage, but the dye concentration was feeble ~ 10 ppm. The Italians incorporated sulfonated GO on the surface of Nafion members to adsorb cationic dyes, i.e., MO and MB, in darkness as well as in visible light [64]. The experiment
successfully established that GO is a photocatalyst than just a mere adsorbent as degradation was more pronounced in visible light than in darkness. Similarly, Xu et al. degraded MB in darkness and under visible light illumination with fabricated wrinkle graphene hybrids blended with porphyrin [65]. The results were promising to confirm the photocatalytic ability of graphene hybrids under visible light. Shinde et al. developed metal-free graphene with the doping of sulfur and selenium in the graphitic sheet in the annealing process of GO [66]. The photocatalyst was used in water splitting and degradation of 10 ppm MB; however, the degradation process took over 3.5 h. In 2017, Li and co-workers fabricated rGO polydopamine carbon nitride composite to decolorize 5 ppm MB [67]. Singh et al. used soluble graphene nanosheets from petrol soot and used them to remove MB. However, the isolation process gained value-added product as well as showed anti-bacterial activities, but the photocatalyst could degrade low concentration (10 ppm) of dye due to lack of functionalized group in the isolated graphene nanosheet [68, 69].

Liu et al. compiled agricultural corn waste with GO as an adsorbent component for MB [70]. Over ~ 414 mg gm\(^{-1}\) of the cationic dye adsorbed but was largely dependent on the basic pH environment. Wang et al. showed photocatalytic activity of C\(_3\)N\(_4\)-GO composite in degradation of MB, but the decomposition took nothing less than ~ 4 h [71]. In a similar trend, Yang and co-workers used C\(_3\)N\(_4\)-rGO nanosheets to degrade MB upon visible light illumination [72]. The degradation efficiency was ~ 79%, but the dye concentration was ~ 10 ppm. Cellulose acetate mixed with GO showed photocatalytic activity on MB and indigo carmine under UV light illumination. However, complete degradation was possible with 10-ppm dye content, but increasing the concentration ~ 60 ppm, there was a drastic fall in degradation efficiency ~ 70 % [73]. On the other hand, B doped GO showed better photodegradation ability than the former, and within 100 and 50 min, it was able to degrade 70% of MO and MB, respectively [74].

The use of metal-free graphene as adsorbent and photocatalyst increased at a whooping rate since 2019; Huang et al. prepared CNT-porous graphene composite to adsorb ~ 232 mg gm\(^{-1}\) MB at 328 K [75]. However, the reaction also occurred at room temperature, but the
desorption started after the 5th cycle, as shown in Figure 6a. Singh et al. used water-soluble graphene to mitigate a mixture of RB, MB, and crystal violet under visible light and neutral pH conditions. The bio-assay showed the photodegraded wastewater was used to promote sustainable growth in wheat plants, demonstrated in Figure 6b [76]. Das and co-workers pyrolyzed lentils to prepare N-doped graphene and used it to sense ascorbic acid and remove MB within 75 mins [77]. Almost 99% of the blue dye was removed, but this success was limited as dye concentration was ~ 5 ppm and depended on the monochromatic visible light source. Ranjan et al. used GO foam to degrade MO, MB, and RB, but the concentration was poor ~ 10 ppm [78]. Interestingly, a high dosage of Congo red and MB was removed (as high as 100 ppm) by Shen and co-workers with GO hydrogel, and micro-organisms encapsulated [79]. Christy et al. degraded multicomponent comprising of MB, MG, RB, and CuII with aminated guar gum – GO hydrogel within 2 h [80]. Over 90% of MB was degraded, but the adsorption depended on a highly acidic environment (pH ~ 3).

Similarly, GO was piled with bentonite clay to form a composite in decolorization of 87% MB by Gogoi and co-workers [81]. The photocatalyst was reused for the 3rd time, but the degradation percentage was reduced to 75. Wang et al. reported removal of MB with glycine functionalized rGO; however, the dye content was very low ~ 4 – 12 ppm [82]. The Egyptians assembled porphyrin molecules on GO and used them against 81 and 95% removal of MO and MB, respectively [83]. On the other hand, Fadillah et al. used alginate modified GO to remove MB (under 30 mins), but the adsorption relied completely on acidic pH 3 environment [84]. Thus, GO showed spark as a photocatalyst, and thereby metal-free co-catalyst were used to form composites such as tourmaline to counter heavy metal contamination and toxic dye affluents (MB), as shown by Xu and co-workers [85]. On altering the composite ratio, the adsorption capacity attained 454.55 mg gm⁻¹ but attained a high concentration of dye ~ 200 ppm; the removal process was hampered. Yue et al. reported the synthesis of single-wall CNT-rGO nanocomposite in degradation of MB, which took 1 day to remove 95% of the dye under the electrosorption process [86]. Siong and co-workers solely used metal-free rGO to degrade 98% of MB successfully, but the process was tedious ~ 6 h and involved basic buffer condition ~ pH 11 [87].

Figure 7. (a) The influence of pH to GO-Alkali/lignin (AL) aerogel adsorb MB and Zeta potential of GO-AL aerogel [88] Reprinted with permission from ref [88]. Copyright 2020 Elsevier. (b) Langmuir model adsorption isotherm of MB on rGO at different temperatures [89] Reprinted from Open source.
Recently in 2020, Wu et al. prepared a lignin-based GO composite to adsorb a colossal amount of MB (as high as ~ 1186 mg gm\(^{-1}\)) under room temperature as well as worked efficiently under neutral pH conditions, as exhibited in figure 7a [88]. Similarly, under pH 7-8, Sharma and co-workers used rGO prepared over high-temperature 500-1100\(^{\circ}\) C and used it in the removal of MB under 2 h [90]. Mauoche et al. prepared N-doped GO aerogel to adsorb MB varying the dye concentration 5-25 ppm; hence the adsorption was higher than GO, but the dye concentration was poor [91]. Arias et al. synthesized rGO with a citric acid-reducing agent to promote the eco-friendly process of preparing photocatalyst [89]. Although the synthesis procedure was environmentally benign, the degradation of MB required a high temperature ~ 60\(^{\circ}\)C, and dye adsorption was moderate, figure 7b. The use of GO on polymeric membrane established high-efficiency removal of organic dyes comprising of mixed dyes, i.e., MB, MO, RB, basic blue, and congo red [92]. Zhang et al. constructed a C\(_3\)N\(_4\)-GO hybrid to remove MB under 3 h, but the purification percentage was comparatively moderate ~ 83% [93]. Earlier the porphyrin-based graphene composite demonstrated metal-free photocatalytic ability, and thereby Ussia and co-workers were compelled to use it in purifying MB contaminating water [94]. The degradation percentage reached ~ 60% after 5 h but the process involved rigorous stirring and bubbling the photocatalyst in the dye solution. Sandhu and co-workers compared the photodegradation quality between GO and rGO in the safe removal of MB solution under UV and visible light irradiation [95]. The results proved that GO was a better catalyst than rGO in the percentage removal of dye under both luminous environments. Therefore, this showed that degradation of high concentration of MB, i.e., ≥ 100 ppm, is quite limited and challenging; hence, it established that functionalized graphene derivative demonstrated photodegradation abilities. In Table 2, photodegradation of MB with various functionalized graphene derivatives comprising metals, ceramics, organic polymers had been represented chronologically.

### Table 2. Tabular representation of the photodegradation of MB with functionalized graphene-based materials.

| Material                     | Dye | pH | Order of the reaction (min\(^{-1}\)) | Degradation (%) | Adsorption capacity (m\(^\text{2}\)gm\(^{-1}\)) | Ref. |
|------------------------------|-----|----|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|------|
| TiO\(_2\)/GO                 | MB  | -  | 0.263/1                             | 70              | -                                             | [96] |
| N-TiO\(_2\)/GO              | MB  | -  | /-/-                                | 66              | -                                             | [97] |
| FeOx/Gallic acid/ GO         | MB  | 6  | /-/-                                | 100             | -                                             | [98] |
| PVA/TiO\(_2\)/GO            | MB, MV | -  | 0.0141/-                           | 95              | -                                             | [99] |
| PVA/GO                      | MB  | -  | 0.833/-                            | 70              | -                                             | [100]|
| TiO\(_2\)/GO                | MB, MO | 7  | 0.268/0.00655                      | 100 (MB), 84 (MO) | -                                             | [101]|
| ZnO/polypyrrole/ GO         | MB  | -  | 0.0011/-                           | 55              | -                                             | [102]|
| Ag\(_2\)SO\(_4\)/AgBr/ GO  | MB, MO, RB | -  | /-/-                                | 88 (MB), 99 (MO) | -                                             | [103]|
| ZnO/GO                      | MO, CV, CR, NR | 7  | 0.00616/-                          | 62.8            | -                                             | [104]|
| TiO\(_2\)/Ag/AgCl/GO       | MB  | -  | 0.0013/-                           | 80              | 112.6                                         | [105]|
| TiO\(_2\)/GO                | MB  | -  | 0.0035/-                           | 60              | -                                             | [106]|
| Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)/GO         | MB  | 2  | 0.1953/-                           | 99              | -                                             | [107]|
| Pd/GO                       | MB, MO, CR | -  | /-/-                                | -               | 2630                                          | [108]|
| Polythiophene/GO            | MB  | -  | 0.1149/-                           | 77              | -                                             | [109]|
| Ag\(_2\)CrO\(_4\)/C\(_3\)N\(_4\)/GO | MB, RB | -  | 0.04329/-                         | 95              | -                                             | [110]|
| Ag/ZnO/GO                   | MB  | -  | 0.0176/-                           | 97              | -                                             | [111]|
| FeOOH/GO                    | MB  | 3.1| 0.0443/-                           | 99              | 150                                           | [112]|
| TiO\(_2\)/GO                | MB  | -  | /-/-                                | 92              | -                                             | [113]|
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| Material                  | Dye                  | pH | Order of the reaction (min⁻¹) MG/MB/MO | Degradation (%) | Adsorption capacity (m²/gm⁻³) | Ref. |
|--------------------------|----------------------|----|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|------|
| CuFe₂O₄/ZnO/GO           | MB, MO, RB           | -  | -/-                                   | 84 (MB), 82 (MO) | 130                           | [114]|
| Ag₂PO₄/GO                | MB, RB               | -  | -0.75/-                               | 99              | -                             | [115]|
| Nanodiamond/Pd/GO        | MB                   | -  | -/-                                   | 90              | -                             | [116]|
| CeO₂/GO                  | MB                   | -  | -0.0266/-                             | 95              | 41.07                         | [117]|
| N-Cd/Ag₃PO₄/GO           | MB, RB               | -  | -0.0651/-                             | 95              | -                             | [118]|
| MOF/GO                   | MB                   | -  | -/-                                   | 90              | -                             | [119]|
| CdO/GO                   | MB, MO, RB           | 10 | - 0.044/0.029                         | 93.3 (MB), 89 (MO) | -                             | [120]|
| Fe-Ce₂(CO₃)₃/GO          | MB, RB               | 7  | -0.10036/-                            | 93.72           | 162.81                        | [121]|
| C₆H₄/GO                  | MB                   | -  | -/-                                   | 75              | -                             | [122]|
| FePO₄/GO                 | MB                   | -  | -/-                                   | 50              | -                             | [123]|
| WO₃/GO                   | MB, IC               | -  | -/-                                   | 97.03           | -                             | [124]|
| Polyethylene glycol GO   | MB                   | 7  | -0.14/-                               | 91              | 92.3                          | [125]|
| ZnO/GO                   | MB                   | -  | -0.0034/-                             | 45              | -                             | [126]|
| WSe₂/NGO                 | MB                   | -  | -0.0572/-                             | 99.3            | -                             | [127]|
| Agricultural waste/GO    | MB, IC               | 12 | -0.10804/-                            | 98.76           | 414.03                        | [70]  |
| Cellulose acetate/GO     | MB, IC               | 2  | -0.0066/-                             | 90              | -                             | [73]  |
| Fe-Co₃S₄/GO              | MB, MO, RB           | 7  | -/-                                   | 100 (MB), 100 (MO) | 83.52                        | [128]|
| Ag-TiO₂/GO               | MB                   | -  | -/-                                   | 79.8            | -                             | [129]|
| BaTiO₃/GO                | MB                   | -  | -0.0066/-                             | 80              | -                             | [130]|
| ZnS/GO                   | MB, MO               | -  | -/-                                   | 97.1 (MB), 97.6 (MO) | -                             | [131]|
| ZnO/GO                   | MB                   | 6  | -/-                                   | 86.9            | 42                            | [132]|
| NiO/GO                   | MB                   | 7  | -0.0062/-                             | 97              | -                             | [133]|
| ZnO/NGO                  | MB, MO               | -  | -0.0251/-                             | 99 (MB), 75 (MO) | 42.99                        | [134]|
| Ag/P/GO                  | MB                   | -  | -0.0313/-                             | 94              | -                             | [135]|
| TiO₂/GO                  | MB                   | 4  | -0.052/-                              | 94.98           | -                             | [136]|
| TiO₂/GO                  | MB                   | -  | -/-                                   | 15.9            | -                             | [137]|
| N- TiO₂/GO               | MB                   | -  | -0.0146/-                             | 80              | -                             | [138]|
| PVDF/ZnO/GO              | MB                   | 9.5| -0.022/-                              | 86.8            | -                             | [139]|
| GO                       | MB, RB               | -  | -0.0598/-                             | -               | -                             | [78]  |
| ZnO/GO                   | MB, RB               | -  | -0.021/-                              | 99.23           | 46.27                         | [140]|
| MgFe₂O₄/TiO₂/GO          | MB                   | -  | -0.044/-                              | 95              | 58.48                         | [141]|
| CeO₂/GO                  | MB                   | 7  | -/-                                   | 81.1            | -                             | [142]|
| Zn₁ₓGa₄₋ₓO₇₃₋ₓNₓ/GO      | MB                   | -  | -0.95/-                               | 96              | -                             | [143]|
| Fe₂O₃/WO₃/GO             | MB, CV               | -  | -/-                                   | 75              | -                             | [144]|
| Gd₂O₃/GO                 | MB                   | 7  | -/-                                   | 99              | -                             | [145]|
| TiO₂/SiO₂/GO             | MB                   | -  | -0.134/-                              | 99              | -                             | [146]|
| Bentonite clay/GO        | MB                   | -  | -0.127/-                              | 87              | -                             | [81]  |
| Ag/GO                    | MB, RB, AY           | -  | -0.242/-                              | 98              | -                             | [147]|
| PAN/ZnO/GO               | MB, IC               | 5  | -/-                                   | 96              | -                             | [148]|
| AgCl-xGO                 | MB                   | -  | -0.989/-                              | 95              | -                             | [149]|
| Porphyrin-GO             | MB, MO               | -  | -0.0046/0.023                        | -               | -                             | [83]  |
| Au/Fe₂O₃/dopamine/GO     | MB, MO               | -  | -0.0046/0.023                        | -               | -                             | [150]|
| ZnO/CNT/GO               | MB                   | -  | -0.015/-                              | 99              | -                             | [151]|
| Au/GO                    | MB, CR, R6           | -  | -0.53/-                               | 90              | 46.4                          | [152]|
| Yb₂(MoO₄)₃/Yb₂MoO₄/GO    | MB                   | 3  | -0.0884/-                             | 98              | -                             | [153]|
| PTh-WSe₂/NGO             | MB                   | -  | -0.02601/-                            | 94.8            | -                             | [154]|
| TiO₂/GO                  | MB                   | -  | -/-                                   | 98.67           | 91.25                         | [155]|
| Cu₂O/GO                  | MB, RB               | -  | -/-                                   | 84              | -                             | [156]|
| Cellulose/Cu₂O/GO        | MB                   | -  | -/-                                   | 98              | -                             | [157]|
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6. Photocatalytic Degradation of Methyl Orange (MO) with Graphene and its Derivatives

Methyl orange is a universal indicator involved in various acid-base and redox titrations in quantitative estimation. In forensic science, methyl orange has a significant role in qualitatively determining heroin, morphine, cocaine, codeine, and various other alkaloids in biological fluids [189]. Apart from histological usage as a stain, this azo dye had endless demand as printing textiles, dyeing fabrics, leather, and fibrous paper, making it one of the most necessary commercial dyes in the international market. Despite being an essential coloring material, the detrimental effects of MO are quite adverse on human beings and aquatic life. Among MG, MB, and MO, the latter is the most hazardous and toxicological test that revealed genotoxicity in humans [190, 191].

The degradation of MO with metal-free graphene-based photocatalyst was reported back in 2013 by Peng and Li, both the Chinese researchers who developed sulfur-doped GO [192]. However, the composite wasn’t sufficient to break the azo bonds of the dye; thus mere
83% was decolorized after 3 h of reaction. In 2015, Tong et al. used graphitic C$_3$N$_4$ coupled with GO nanosheets against 20 ppm MO under visible light illumination, and after 4 h, 92% of the dye was removed [190].

A couple of years later, Gong and co-workers prepared GO nanosheets using electrochemical exfoliation, and the material was used to adsorb MO at various pH 2-10. It was found that ~ pH 2 the adsorption was highest ~ 139 mg gm$^{-1}$, but the catalyst to dye ratio was high [191]. In 2018, Xu et al. developed a GO-based membrane filter to purify MO and other dye contents in wastewater with the enzymatic assist. This bio-catalytic approach was quite new, but the relative activity order for MO was quite less than other dyes such as reactive brilliant blue [193]. Wu et al. used graphene-like C$_3$N$_4$ nanosheets to adsorb MO on the surface of this porous material with a high surface area ~ 669 m$^2$ gm$^{-1}$ under a neutral pH environment [194]. Vellaichamy and co-workers in 2019 grafted N-doped graphene on C$_3$N$_4$ and degraded 98.2% within 35 min of irradiation exposure [195]. The photo-catalyst demonstrated remarkable degrading ability at pH 7 even after the 5th cycle, but the dye concentration was ~ 10 ppm and was not used for high concentration trials. Interestingly, the adsorption selectivity of organic dyes on GO surface was shown by Molla and co-workers, consisting of a mixture of three dyes, i.e., MB, RB, and MO [196]. The tuning of electrostatic interactions on the GO surface showed MB and RB adsorption on the photocatalyst's surface while MO was negated from adsorbing. Fang Wang used N-graphene prepared from GO to remove MO from wastewater [197]. The degradation took 5 h with an exiguous ratio of catalyst to dye, i.e., 1:40; however, the concentration of MO was moderate ~ 50 ppm and relied on Xe light source.

Labiadh and Kamali, in 2020, synthesized 3D graphene at various temperatures 300 – 700°C to retain high porosity and active cavity for MO removal adsorption site [198]. In figure 8, it was shown GO prepared after calcination ~ 300°C had the most potential to degrade MO over other variants of GO. The adsorbent not only removed 97.3% MO but was fruitful in degrading MB and congo red into fragments, but the photodegradation assay was done on a moderate dye concentration of 50 ppm. Song et al. prepared an anthraquinone-based rGO composite to obliterate 80% MO from an aqueous medium, but the experiments were performed on 5 ppm dye content [199]. Thus, amongst MG, MB, and MO, the removal of MO is quite challenging with metal-free graphene-based materials, and the literature review suggested that breaking the azo-bond of the cationic dye at high concentration needs a high surface area, electrostatic interaction, and active sites in the photo-catalyst.

![Figure 8. UV–Vis spectra of MO solutions in the presence of regenerated graphene samples after 15 min of adsorption [198]. Reprinted with permission from ref [198]. Copyright 2020 Elsevier.](https://nanobioletters.com/)
In Table 3, photodegradation of MO with various graphene derivatives comprising metals, ceramics, and polymeric composites was represented chronologically.

Table 3. Tabular representation of the photodegradation of MO with functionalized graphene-based materials.

| Material              | Dye      | pH | Order of the reaction (min⁻¹) | Degradation (%) | Adsorption capacity (mg/g) | Ref. |
|-----------------------|----------|----|-------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|------|
| TiO₂/GO               | MO       | -  | -/--                         | 35             | -                        | [200]|
| Fe-MOF/GO             | MO       | 3  | -/0.0114                     | 98             | -                        | [201]|
| Ag-Cu₂O/GO           | MO       | -  | -/--                         | 90             | -                        | [202]|
| GO                    | MO       | 2  | -/0.019                      | 88             | 138.69                   | [191]|
| MnO₂/GO               | MO       | 7  | -/0.025                      | 93.76          | 127.5                    | [203]|
| TiO₂/ZnO/GO          | MO       | -  | -/--                         | 53.8           | -                        | [204]|
| MO, RS                | MO       | -  | -/--                         | 100            | -                        | [205]|
| Ag₃PO₄/GO            | MO       | -  | -/0.00304                    | 90             | -                        | [206]|
| Ti/GO                 | MO       | 3  | -/--                         | 100            | 207.6                    | [207]|
| Ag₃PO₄/CoFe₂O₄/GO    | MO       | -  | -/--                         | 91             | -                        | [208]|
| Fe₂O₃/ZnO/GO         | MO       | 7  | -/0.0558                     | 92.8           | -                        | [209]|
| ZnO/GO                | MO       | 7  | -/0.025                      | 95             | -                        | [210]|
| CeO₂/GO              | MO       | -  | -/0.01488                    | 87             | 72.68                    | [211]|
| Fe₂O₃/ZnO/GO         | MO       | -  | -/--                         | 84.87          | -                        | [212]|
| CD/TiO₂/GO           | MO, MB   | -  | -/--                         | 95.54 (MB), 92.47 (MO) | -              | [213]|
| GO                    | MO       | 7  | -/--                         | 65             | -                        | [214]|
| B₅O₅/Cu/GO           | MO       | -  | -/0.051                      | 93             | -                        | [215]|
| TiO₂/GO               | MO       | -  | -/--                         | 45             | 103                      | [216]|
| NiS/MeO₃/GO          | MO       | 4  | -/--                         | 97             | -                        | [217]|
| CuO/Cu₁₀/GO          | MO       | -  | -/0.01                       | 95             | -                        | [218]|
| Gd₂O₃/Bi₂O₃/GO       | MO       | 6  | -/0.028                      | 95             | 544                      | [219]|
| CuO/Cu₁₀/GO          | MO       | -  | -/--                         | 93             | 20                       | [220]|
| N₂CD/WO₃/GO         | MO, CR   | 7  | -/0.0631                     | 84             | 92                       | [221]|
| TiO₂/GO               | MO       | -  | -/--                         | 77             | -                        | [222]|
| Co₃O₄/TiO₂/GO       | MO, CR   | 7  | -/0.0631                     | 90             | 152.5                    | [223]|
| TiO₂/GO               | MO       | 7  | -/0.0631                     | 84             | 24                       | [224]|
| Ag₂/Co₂O₂/GO        | MO       | -  | -/0.041                      | 98             | 24                       | [225]|
| NGO                  | MB, MO   | 7  | 0.082/0.00233/0.00313        | 95 (MG), 97.9 (MB), 99.6 (MO) | -              | [226]|
| GQD                  | MB, MO   | 8  | -/0.01133/0.00519           | 79.4 (MB), 52 (MO) | -                        | [227]|

7. Conclusions

The extraordinarily high surface area, zero bandgap nature, and many conjugated (-bonds proved graphene to be a promising candidate for the degradation of carcinogenic pollutants in water. Multiple graphenes and their numerous hybrids had been used against the successful removal of malachite green (MG), methylene blue (MB), and methyl orange (MO), as summarized in this review. Chronological studies have revealed the importance of doping, mixing, and loading various components with functionalized graphene helped boost the degradation efficiency against these pollutant dyes. The poly-aromatic resonating π-system of graphene oxide (GO) and reduced graphene oxide (rGO) were initiated a free radical mechanism with the conjugated dye molecules via electrostatic interactions, hydrogen bonding, π-π stacking and oxidative photonic interactions. The hydrophilic nature of GO and rGO vastly augments their ability to interact with these pollutant dyes via adsorption or chemical interaction leading to the breakdown of these high resonances stabilized dye molecules.
Various adsorption isotherm models viz. Temkin, Langmuir, Freundlich proposed the pseudo-1st order kinetics between the adsorbing graphene structure and the pollutant dyes. Certain cases have shown graphene-based photodegrading materials had high recovery and recycling abilities than their metallic counterparts. Thus in upcoming years, it is highly anticipated high-quality, defect-free, functionalized graphene and its derivatives might be obtained via chemical synthesis route for the mitigation of these agricultural, pharmaceutical, and industrial effluents in a broad-scale pragmatic way.
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