Hippocampal glutathione depletion with enhanced iron level in patients with mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer’s disease compared with healthy elderly participants
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Oxidative stress has been implicated in Alzheimer’s disease, and it is potentially driven by the depletion of primary antioxidant, glutathione, as well as elevation of the pro-oxidant, iron. Present study evaluates glutathione level by magnetic resonance spectroscopy, iron deposition by quantitative susceptibility mapping in left hippocampus, as well as the neuropsychological scores of healthy old participants (N=25), mild cognitive impairment (N=16) and Alzheimer’s disease patients (N=31). Glutathione was found to be significantly depleted in mild cognitive impaired (P<0.05) and Alzheimer’s disease patients (P<0.001) as compared with healthy old participants. A significant higher level of iron was observed in left hippocampus region for Alzheimer’s disease patients as compared with healthy old (P<0.05) and mild cognitive impairment (P<0.05). Multivariate receiver-operating curve analysis for combined glutathione and iron in left hippocampus region provided diagnostic accuracy of 82.1%, with 81.8% sensitivity and 82.4% specificity for diagnosing Alzheimer’s disease patients from healthy old participants. We conclude that tandem glutathione and iron provides novel avenue to investigate further research in Alzheimer’s disease.
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Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease is characterized by gradual irreversible loss of memory and deterioration of cognitive function and daily activities. The histopathologic features of Alzheimer’s disease include synaptic degeneration, hippocampal neuronal loss, cortical deposition of extracellular amyloid plaques (Aβ) and intracellular neurofibrillary tangles. Despite its serious consequences and alarming incidence, pathogenesis of Alzheimer’s disease and disease-modifying therapeutic interventions are still under research.

Oxidative stress (OS) and the role of antioxidant, glutathione (GSH) has been implicated in the pathophysiology of Alzheimer’s disease. GSH is a thiol-containing tripeptide (γ-glutamyl-cysteinyl-glycine) with an exposed highly reactive sulphydryl group (-SH), which neutralizes reactive oxygen and radicals. It is also involved in the chelation of metal ions. When oxidized, GSH takes the dimeric form of GSSG, which is inactive for radical scavenging and is restored to GSH via a redox cycle involving electron-acceptor NADPH and GSH reductase (EC 1.6.4.2).

GSH is broadly distributed in the brain and can be quantified by magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS). MRS studies have revealed that GSH levels are significantly depleted in the hippocampal areas, frontal and cingulate cortices in mild cognitive impaired (MCI) and Alzheimer’s disease.
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disease as compared with age-matched cognitively unaffected healthy old (HO) participants. GSH levels in the hippocampus and frontal cortices have also been found to be positively correlated with Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) scores. GSH depletion has also been confirmed by several post-mortem studies of the frontal lobe tissue in Alzheimer’s disease and MCI, the hippocampus in MCI, and the cingulate cortex in Alzheimer’s disease.

Recent studies suggest higher cortical iron burden is associated with cognitive deterioration in the natural history of Alzheimer’s disease. The underlying mechanism is likely related to increased concentrations of the free cytoplasmic ferrous (Fe2+) content, which induces Fenton’s reaction to generate hydroxyl radicals (•OH) and lipid peroxidation, the signature of regulated cell death known as ferroptosis. GSH binds with cytoplasmic free iron, subsequently preventing ferrous iron from undergoing uncontrolled redox reactions which potentially causes oxidative damage. GSH suppresses iron toxicity by directly chelating metal ions and preventing them from undergoing redox cycling. It also acts as the substrate for the activity of GSH peroxidase 4, the checkpoint lipid peroxide-scavenging enzyme that blocks ferroptosis. Increased iron levels in tissue deplete GSH levels, possibly by direct oxidation.

Quantitative susceptibility mapping (QSM) provides a specific marker for iron burden in the grey matter (GM). Increased iron deposition in hippocampal region using QSM predicted accelerated deterioration in composite (episodic memory) cognition test scores in amyloid-confirmed patients with Alzheimer’s disease. Whether iron accumulation in the hippocampal region actually increases in patients with MCI still remains to be determined as there are mixed evidences of increased QSM in MCI compared with HO. One study reported that iron deposition in hippocampal region measured using QSM has significant differences for HO, MCI and Alzheimer’s disease. They reported that iron deposition increases significantly for MCI and Alzheimer’s disease as compared with HO and increases significantly from MCI to Alzheimer’s disease. Another study reported no significant difference in iron deposition comparing either HO to MCI or MCI to Alzheimer’s disease, but a significant elevation was reported in Alzheimer’s disease compared to HO.

To the best of our knowledge, no study has evaluated hippocampal GSH, iron, and neuropsychological scores simultaneously and analyzed the outcomes among the three study groups, HO, MCI and Alzheimer’s disease. We hypothesized that in Alzheimer’s disease, iron level increases and GSH decreases in the left hippocampus (LH) region. This might set up conditions conducive to oxidative damage and ferroptosis. To test this hypothesis, a cross-sectional study was conducted where we used MRS to assay hippocampal GSH together with iron deposition measured using QSM of the LH region and compared HO participants to MCI or Alzheimer’s disease patients.

Methods

Participant recruitment

A total of 72 participants (HO, N = 25; MCI, N = 16; Alzheimer’s disease, N = 31) were included in the study. HO participants were recruited in collaboration with HelpAge India (National Capital Region, Delhi), whereas patients diagnosed with MCI and Alzheimer’s disease were recruited from the outpatient department, Department of Neurology by the two Neurologists, Dr. Manjari Tripathi (MD, DM), All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi and Dr. Arun Garg (MD, DM), Medanta, Gurgaon. MCI was diagnosed as per the revised Petersen criteria. Alzheimer’s disease was diagnosed as per the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke (NINCDS) and the Alzheimer’s disease and Related Disorders Association (ADRDA) revised criteria. The eligibility criteria for all HO participants included ≥55 years of age. Participants with past or current psychiatric symptoms such as depression and/or anxiety; manic or psychotic episode, co-morbid alcohol or substance use disorder were excluded from the HO category. Participants with known contraindication for MRI (any metallic implants or claustrophobic) were also excluded from the study. The study was approved by the Institutional Human Ethics Committee at the National Brain Research Centre, Gurgaon. The purpose of the study was explained to all the participants and/or to the accompanying relatives before obtaining their written informed consent.

Neuropsychological studies

Neuropsychological testing was performed prior to MRI and MRS scans. Clinical dementia rating (CDR) scale was used to determine the severity of dementia symptoms. Cognitive functions were assessed using the Standardized Mini-Mental Status Examination (SMMSE) for assessing global cognition. Shulman’s clock drawing test (CDT) was performed to assess visuospatial functioning. Trail making test (TMT) Parts A and B were evaluated to assess visual attention, processing speed, and executive functioning. Higher CDR, CDT, and TMT signify enhanced cognitive impairment. To emphasize on memory measurements, SMMSE 5-item word list delayed recall score and CDR memory score were computed as an indirect measure of memory performance.

MRI and MRS data acquisition

All 1H MRS on humans and phantom, and MRI data from human participants were acquired using a 3T MR scanner (Achieva, Philips, Netherlands), which was equipped with a dual-tuned (1H/31P) transmit/receive volume head coil (Rapid corporation, Germany) and 8 channel SENSitivity Encoding (SENSE) volume head coil.
**QSM data acquisition**

Anatomical T1-weighted and gradient-echo scans were acquired using an 8-channel SENSE volume head coil. Three-dimensional Fast Field Echo (FFE) sequence was used with five echoes to generate phase and magnitude images to evaluate the susceptibility changes in the brain. Gradient-echo scans were performed using multi-FFE pulse sequence with following parameters: Field of view (FOV) = 240 × 200 × 160 mm³, voxel resolution = 1 × 1 × 1 mm³, echo time (TE) = 3.9 ms, echo time increment (δTE) = 5 ms, repetition time (TR) = 35 ms, no. of echoes = 5, no. of slices (with zero gap) = 160, resulting the scan time of 4 min 40 s. Three-dimensional T1-weighted image was acquired using Turbo Field Echo (TFE) sequence with TR = 8.4 ms, TE = 3.8 ms, no. of slices = 160, slice thickness = 1 mm, FOV = 228 × 180 × 160 mm³, flip angle = 8°, matrix = 228 × 92 × 160, and voxel resolution = 1 × 1 × 1 mm³, resulting in scan time of 5 min 11 s.

**GSH MEGA-PRESS data acquisition**

All 1H MRI/MRS data were collected in an axial plane for anatomical localization. Subsequently, for voxel placement, 2D T2-weighted MRI images with turbo spin echo sequence were acquired in three planes with the following acquisition parameters: TR = 3000 ms, TE = 80 ms, flip angle = 90°, turbo factor = 15, and zero slice gap. The voxel was placed at left hippocampal area (voxel size 2.5 × 2.5 × 2.5 cm³) using reference anatomical landmarks. The lower margin of the medial temporal region just above the skull base served as a reference to position MRS voxel in the LH region. For selective refocusing of the evolution of J-coupled GSH spins (H₃ of cysteine) at 2.80 ppm, the editing pulse was set at 4.40 ppm for GSH spins (H₄ of cysteine) and referred to as ‘ON’ as mentioned in the earlier published works. Off-resonance pulse was set at 5.00 ppm (referred to as ‘OFF’). Twenty interleaved spectral dynamics (each as an average of 16 number of spectral acquisitions) were acquired. Based on previous studies, the 90° excitation pulse and 180° refocusing pulse bandwidths were set at 2.4 kHz and 1.2 kHz, respectively, and other experimental parameters were set as follows: TE = 120 ms, TR = 2500 ms and SW = 2000 Hz. Water suppression was accomplished using Chemical Shift Selective Suppression pulse sequence, and excellent shimming of the voxel with water linewidth of ≤11–18 Hz was achieved using second-order pencil beam–volume in 3T Philips Scanner. MRS scan time for MEGA-PRESS scan was ∼14 min.

**QSM data processing**

QSM data were processed using STI Suite version 3.0 in MATLAB 2018b and customized SUMEDHA package. Phase and magnitude images were extracted from the DICOM file, and a binary mask was created using Brain Extraction Tool (BET) using FSL. The raw-phase images of individual echoes were processed using STI Suite. The phases were unwrapped using a Laplacian-based algorithm. Background field was removed with the help of brain masks using Variable Sophisticated Harmonic Artifact Reduction for Phase data method.
Square method was applied to process phase images of individual echoes separately to compute susceptibility maps.\textsuperscript{36} Average QSM image was further computed by averaging the susceptibility maps obtained for each echo.

Susceptibility values for the specific region of interests (ROIs) were computed using the $T_1$-weighted anatomical image. Initially, magnitude and $T_1$-weighted images were bias-corrected using advanced normalization tools (ANTS) toolbox.\textsuperscript{37} Subsequently, bias-corrected files were denoised using ANTS. Denoised gradient magnitude image and $T_1$-weighted image along with averaged QSM image were re-oriented to a standard space using FSL.\textsuperscript{33} Subsequently, magnitude image was registered to $T_1$-weighted image performed using the FLIRT (FMRIB’s Linear Image Registration Tool) using FSL. The registered $T_1$-weighted image was segmented into anatomical regions using recon-all pipeline of Freesurfer.\textsuperscript{38} The LH region (severely affected in Alzheimer’s disease), cerebellum (relatively spared in Alzheimer’s disease), and middle frontal white matter (WM) regions (a reference region for QSM calculations) were separately extracted and converted to binary mask. All the masks were visually inspected for the correctness and were manually corrected using ITK-SNAP while being overlaid on QSM and $T_1$-weighted images. To minimize the partial volume effects, the most inferior and superior slices of the masks and voxels of the tissue boundary were excluded. Individual binary masks were applied to QSM image, and the mean susceptibility of the region were computed. Figure 1A represents the segmented LH mask overlaid on $T_1$-weighted MRI and QSM image for HO, MCI and Alzheimer’s disease participants. Susceptibility values from the ROIs were referenced to a middle frontal WM region as this region is known to be associated with the least susceptibility variation for HO, MCI and Alzheimer’s disease groups.\textsuperscript{39}

### Absolute GSH quantitation

The absolute quantitation of \textit{in vivo} GSH was performed with external calibrated referencing using GSH phantom-based in our earlier work.\textsuperscript{10}

\[
\text{Absolute Concentration of GSH} = \frac{\text{Area}_{GSH} - k \times \frac{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{TR}{T1_{Phantom}}\right)}{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{TR}{T1_{GSH}}\right)}}{m} \times \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{TE}{T2_{Phantom}}\right)}{\exp\left(-\frac{TE}{T2_{GSH}}\right)}
\]

The relaxation times ($T_1$ and $T_2$) of GSH, both for phantom and brain tissue were inferred from published literature using 3T Philips scanner, details of which have been elaborated in our earlier work.\textsuperscript{10} Existing literature reports negligible GSH levels within CSF, with the GSH signal principally emerging from GM and WM brain tissues.\textsuperscript{43} To eliminate the effect of increased CSF or tissue degeneration within the MRS voxel, a partial volume correction (PVC) methodology was employed based on our earlier work.\textsuperscript{10,44} The brain tissue segmentation of the MRI was performed using SPM12 (Wellcome Centre for Human Neuroimaging at University College London) and calculation of PVC correction factors were performed using the KALPANA package.\textsuperscript{40}

### Statistical analysis

The characteristics (age) of participants and outcome measures (GSH concentration, susceptibility value, and neuropsychological scores) on a continuous scale have been summarized using mean $\pm$ SD, whereas categorical variable (gender) have been reported as male (M) to female (F) ratio. Age, GSH concentration, susceptibility values and neuropsychological scores were assessed for normality using sample size, mean, SD, skewness and homoscedasticity using Levene’s test. Box-Cox transformation has been applied for non-normally distributed outcome variables; (susceptibility, SMMSE, CDT and TMT-B). Among these variables, only susceptibility values satisfy the normality assumption. The $\chi^2$ test was used to assess categorical variables, gender and CDR scores. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was performed for evaluating difference in age, GSH concentration and susceptibility among the groups of participants (HO, MCI, and Alzheimer’s disease). Differences in SMMSE score, CDT score and TMT-B among participant groups were assessed by a non-parametric test, Kruskal–Wallis test. TMT-A scores were assessed by Welch one-way ANOVA test due to the non-homogeneous nature of data. Pairwise comparison was computed using the Tukey–Kramer \textit{post hoc} test.

Moreover, for assessing the diagnostic utility of GSH concentration and susceptibility value over the LH region to

### GSH MEGA-PRESS data processing

GSH MRS data obtained using MEGA-PRESS experiments were processed using in-house signal processing package KALPANA\textsuperscript{10,40} developed using MATLAB (The MathWorks); detailed data processing scheme has been elaborated in our earlier work.\textsuperscript{9,40} Briefly, the selective peak suppression of residual water ($-70$ Hz, $70$ Hz) and lipid ($-480$ Hz, $520$ Hz) peaks was accomplished using Hankel–Lanczos single value decomposition filtering method.\textsuperscript{41} Baseline noise was reduced using Gaussian and exponential filters. Singular spectrum analysis was employed for spectral baseline estimation, and subsequently, spectral fitting was accomplished using time and frequency domain non-linear least square cost function optimization.\textsuperscript{9,42} Figure 1B illustrates the comparative GSH peak in LH region among the HO, MCI and Alzheimer’s disease participants. GSH peak area from processed data was quantified to absolute concentration values (mM) using external reference (GSH phantom) as elaborated in our earlier work.\textsuperscript{10}
differentiate the three study groups (HO, MCI and Alzheimer’s disease), receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) analyses were performed. Multivariate ROC curve analysis was also performed using the predicted probability values obtained by binary logistic regression for the combined effects of GSH concentration and susceptibility value changes. For each ROC curve, the area under the curve (AUC), sensitivity, specificity and accuracy were reported. Two-tailed significance levels for all the statistical analyses were set at $P<0.05$. Most statistical analyses were performed using MedCalc software (version 15.8) and verified with the NINS-STAT, a MATLAB-based in-house statistical toolbox. Linear regressions were performed using Prism 9.0 (Graphpad). The outcome variables were CDT and CDR scores, whereas the predictor variable was either iron or GSH levels. The models were collapsed across diagnoses since the sample size was too small to permit analysis of separate diagnoses.

Data availability
The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Results
The demographic information along with metabolite concentration, susceptibility, and neuropsychological measurements of the participant groups are presented in Table 1.
The mean age of total 42 males and 30 females (N = 72) was 69.01 ± 6.83 years.

QSM data for three HO participants were not included in the analysis owing to their poor image quality. QSM data for nine Alzheimer’s disease patients were excluded as two did not complete the acquisition session and seven data were of poor quality. A total of 60 participants (HO, N = 22; MCI, N = 16; Alzheimer’s disease, N = 22) were included in the QSM study. MRS data (GSH) of three MCI patients were not included in the analysis as they did not complete the acquisition session. Five MRS data in the Alzheimer’s disease group were excluded as four were not present for the acquisition session and one image was of poor data quality. A total of 64 participants (HO, N = 25; MCI, N = 13; Alzheimer’s disease, N = 26) were included in the MRS study.

To assess the GSH level in HO, MCI and Alzheimer’s disease, we analyzed the mean ± SD of GSH PVC concentration in LH region for each of the three cohorts (Table 1). The mean GSH concentration was significantly different among HO, MCI, and Alzheimer’s disease groups (P < 0.001). Pairwise comparisons between the groups revealed a significant mean difference in GSH in the LH for both Alzheimer’s disease (P < 0.001) and MCI (P = 0.018) as compared with HO, whereas no difference (P = 0.191) was observed between MCI and Alzheimer’s disease (Fig. 2). In tandem, significant change was detected in mean susceptibility (suggestive of iron level) in the LH region among HO, MCI and Alzheimer’s disease groups (P < 0.05). Post hoc analysis revealed iron levels in Alzheimer’s disease was different than HO (P = 0.029) and MCI (P = 0.025) (Fig. 2). However, iron in the LH region for MCI was not significantly different to HO (P = 0.965). No significant differences were reported for iron levels in the cerebellar region (Supplementary Figure 1).

GSH and susceptibility level differences were also assessed using age as a covariate, as age differs across the groups (Table 1). Pairwise comparisons between the groups showed significant lower levels of GSH in both MCI (MD = 0.36, P = 0.006) and Alzheimer’s disease (MD = 0.592, P < 0.001) as compared with HO, where MD is a mean difference value (Table 2). Similarly, pairwise comparisons between the regions revealed significant higher susceptibility levels in Alzheimer’s disease as compared with HO (MD = 0.006, P = 0.023) and MCI (MD = 0.007, P = 0.013) (Table 2).

ROC analysis was performed to assess the diagnostic utility of GSH concentration and iron level in LH region and their combined effect to distinguish MCI and Alzheimer’s disease from HO and from each other (Fig. 3, Table 3). Iron levels were differentiating Alzheimer’s disease from HO group (P = 0.006) with 0.744 AUC, 68.2% accuracy and MCI from Alzheimer’s disease (P = 0.021) with 0.722 AUC and 80.4% accuracy. Meanwhile, GSH levels showed slight improved classification of MCI from HO group (P = 0.017) with 0.738 AUC, 70.9% accuracy and Alzheimer’s disease from HO group (P = 0.0001) with 0.868 AUC, 80.8% accuracy. However, GSH levels were not potentially differentiated in MCI and Alzheimer’s disease group (P = 0.079) and iron levels were not discriminating HO and MCI groups (P = 0.595). Higher accuracy was observed by combining GSH and iron levels in Alzheimer’s disease from HO participants with 82.1% accuracy (P = 0.000). Fig. 3 depicts the diagnostic accuracy tests conducted using ROC curves for independent GSH, iron, and their combined effect to differentiate HO, MCI and Alzheimer’s disease. Furthermore, memory measures from neuropsychology tests associated more with the GSH. The association of GSH with memory recall (r = 0.44) improved in comparison to the SMMS total score (r = 0.307).

### Table 2 Post hoc analysis of (a) GSH and (b) susceptibility after adjustment of age

| Group comparison               | Mean difference | S.E. of difference | t-statistic | P-value |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------|---------|
| (a) GSH conc.                 |                 |                    |             |         |
| Alzheimer’s disease versus HO | -0.592          | 0.108              | -5.472      | <0.001  |
| Alzheimer’s disease versus MCI| -0.232          | 0.129              | -1.789      | 0.074   |
| HO versus MCI                 | 0.36            | 0.130              | 2.765       | 0.006   |
| (b) Susceptibility            |                 |                    |             |         |
| Alzheimer’s disease versus HO | 0.006           | 0.003              | 2.277       | 0.023   |
| Alzheimer’s disease versus MCI| 0.007           | 0.003              | 2.460       | 0.013   |
| HO versus MCI                 | 0.001           | 0.003              | 0.353       | 0.724   |

Based on estimated marginal means.
Discussion

Previously conducted independent studies have reported the association of Alzheimer’s disease pathology with GSH depletion and iron burden. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the GSH concentration and iron level in the LH region, a characteristically affected area in Alzheimer’s disease. LH was the ROI studied by tandem MRS and QSM imaging because it is more impacted in Alzheimer’s disease than the right hippocampus. The hippocampus is primarily involved in memory formation. In patients with Alzheimer’s disease, hippocampal atrophy and LH volume loss have been correlated with poor performance on memory tasks, cognitive impairment and reduced neuropsychological performance compared with healthy controls. One study reported significant reduction in LH volume (−11%, \(P = 0.02\)) in patients with MCI as compared with controls but no differences were reported in right hippocampal volume (−4%). In addition to the atrophy, LH differs significantly in shape between patients with Alzheimer’s disease and HO and is reportedly more informative in diagnosis of amnestic MCI than the right hippocampal region.

GSH is present in the mM range in brain tissue. Our findings provide in vivo confirmatory evidence to the previously conducted post-mortem human studies that have reported Alzheimer’s disease-associated brain GSH depletion. We previously reported that GSH levels are unaltered in the cerebellum but are significantly depleted in...
both hippocampus and frontal cortex in Alzheimer’s disease compared with HO. The present study corroborates these findings and aligns them with changes in iron levels in the same regions (Supplementary Figure 1).

Iron is the most abundant transition metal in human body and plays several essential functions including oxygen transport, mitochondrial respiration, protein and DNA synthesis, myelination, dendrite development and neurotransmitter biosynthesis.53 QSM is an in vivo MRI technique that has been validated for its ability to selectively quantify iron burden in GM of brain.19,34 Several groups have used QSM for investigating the impact of brain iron burden on the natural history of Alzheimer’s disease.20,21 Notably, higher iron levels in hippocampal region predict accelerated deterioration in composite cognition tests for episodic memory.19 In agreement with our findings, one research group observed significantly increased hippocampal susceptibility in Alzheimer’s disease compared with HO.20 Based on the ROC analysis, iron deposition measured using QSM were concluded to be significantly different between HO and MCI (P = 0.018) or Alzheimer’s disease (P < 0.0001).20 In another study, iron levels were reported to be significantly increased in the hippocampal region in Alzheimer’s disease compared with HO.21

Iron distribution in the adult human brain is quite heterogeneous as assessed by post-mortem measurements.54 Our QSM findings are in agreement with an autopsy study that reported significantly higher iron levels in the hippocampus (288 ± 20 mg/g dry weight in Alzheimer’s disease, N = 10; 216 ± 16 mg/g dry weight in HO, N = 11), but no change was observed in the cerebellum for Alzheimer’s disease in comparison to HO (306 ± 54 mg/g dry weight in Alzheimer’s disease, N = 10; 297 ± 28 mg/g dry weight in HO, N = 11).55 A meta-analysis of seven studies also indicated a trend (P = 0.056) of increased iron levels in the hippocampal areas of autopsy brains in Alzheimer’s disease.56 No change was observed in the iron levels in the cerebellum.

GSH binds cytoplasmic free iron, subsequently preventing ferrous iron from undergoing uncontrolled redox reactions potentially causing oxidative damage.16 A chemical shift of cysteine of GSH β-H from 2.80/2.95 ppm to 3.22 ppm has been attributed to GSH-iron complexation and subsequent oxidation of GSH to GSSG.16,57

A recent large study on post-mortem tissue proposed that the level of tissue iron is a trait that influences the probability of neurodegeneration in Alzheimer’s disease by ferroptosis, a regulated cell-death pathway that is initiated by signals such as GSH depletion and lipid peroxidation.15 This underscores the synergistic interplay between increased iron burden and decreased GSH levels, which would bring about more lipid peroxidation and cell death.

In the present study, MRS technique is used to assay left hippocampal GSH together with iron deposition measured using QSM of the same region. Significant differences in GSH and iron levels were found in Alzheimer’s disease as compared to HO. GSH depletion is said to be an early event in progression of Alzheimer’s disease,22,58 but to the best of our knowledge, pro-oxidant iron has been examined with GSH in the same group of population for the first time.

Limitations

This study is based on cross-sectional data and therefore does not address direction or sequence of events for the association of GSH and iron with Alzheimer’s disease pathology and their causal process. The results presented in this study are also limited by a modest sample size and cross-sectional study design, therefore there is a need for replication in a study with a larger cohort to qualitatively assess the causality of Alzheimer’s disease progression with GSH and iron alterations. Finally, it is to be noted that our hippocampal voxels contained the substantial fraction of extraneous non-hippocampal tissue due to technological limitation. Thus, presented GSH values are exclusively from the hippocampal region plus small amount from outside hippocampal region due to voxel size.
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