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Abstract

The article tackles the characteristics of social work in Italy, focusing on some specific features of this professional domain within the broader framework of the Italian welfare system. Indeed, given the historical roots of Italian welfare regime and the model of governance of policies, social assistance benefits and services are the less developed component of welfare provisions. This is one of the reasons for the late full acknowledgement and regulation of the social worker at the national level, requiring specific skills and competences and therefore a specific academic curriculum. In the first part of the article, we present the development of social work in Italy, with particular attention to the creation of academic courses and the formal regulation as a profession. Then we present the current situation of social work and social workers in Italy, taking into consideration the weakness of social assistance and the effects of the financial crisis. In fact, the crises had an impact on the dimensions and composition of vulnerable population, which is more and more large and fragmented, putting growing pressure on social assistance offices and on social workers. At the same time, because of fiscal austerity, resources for welfare benefits have been reduced in these years, changing the organizational settings and worsening the working conditions of social workers. This article has been written mainly considering the situation before the pandemic, which had an impact on increasing social inequalities as well as posing hard challenges to the health and social welfare system.
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Resumo

O artigo aborda as características do Serviço Social em Itália, concentrando-se em algumas particularidades desse domínio profissional no quadro mais amplo do sistema di Welfare italiano. De fato, dadas as raízes históricas do regime de bem-estar italiano e o modelo de governança das políticas, os benefícios e serviços de assistência social são o componente menos desenvolvido das disposições de bem-estar. Esta é uma das razões para o reconhecimento e a regulamentação tardias do assistente social a nível nacional, requerendo competências e habilidades específicas e, portanto, um currículo acadêmico específico. Na primeira parte do artigo, apresentamos o desenvolvimento do serviço social na Itália, com particular atenção na criação acadêmica dos cursos e a regulamentação jurídica como profissão. Em seguida, destacamos o contexto atual do serviço social e dos assistentes sociais na Itália, considerando a fragilidade da assistência social e os efeitos da crise financeira. A crise, de fato, teve impacto sobre as dimensões e na composição da população vulnerável, cada vez mais numerosa e fragmentada, exercendo uma pressão crescente sobre as estruturas de assistência social e sobre os assistentes sociais. Ao mesmo tempo, nestes anos, devido a austeridade fiscal, os recursos para os benefícios sociais foram reduzidos, alterando as configurações organizacionais e deteriorando as condições de trabalho dos assistentes sociais.

Palavras-chave: Serviço Social, Assistentes sociais, Itália
Resumen Expandido

1. Una breve introducción al enfoque de los servicios sociales (“assistenza sociale”) en Italia

En Italia la asistencia social (servicios sociales) es un área de las políticas públicas que se ha caracterizado históricamente por una débil regulación legal, siendo testigo de la escasa atención política que ha recibido.

La primera ley de asistencia social/servicios sociales después de la unificación del país (1861) se promulgó en 1890 (“legge Crispi”) y dio relevancia pública a las organizaciones benéficas religiosas locales.

Solo en el año 2000, se aprobó finalmente la importantísima ley n. 328 que trazó un marco completamente nuevo para la asistencia social/servicios sociales con varias innovaciones, tanto en lo que respecta a las competencias en los diferentes niveles de gobierno como a los instrumentos de gestión y planificación de las políticas, y a las prestaciones que debían aplicarse de forma homogénea en el país. Esta ley, largamente esperada por todos los actores del trabajo social en Italia, fue una innovación realmente importante, pero en 2001, sólo un año después, se aprobó una ley constitucional que promovía una fuerte regionalización de las competencias. El artículo 117 enumera un conjunto de materias políticas reservadas al Estado (justicia, impuestos, política exterior, política monetaria, seguridad social,...) y otro conjunto sometido a la “competencia concurrente” entre Estado y Regiones (derecho laboral, educación,...). Todas las demás materias están reservadas a las Regiones, incluidos los servicios sociales, siendo los municipios los que se encargan de las prestaciones y servicios. En consecuencia, el gobierno nacional tiene un poder muy débil en esta materia, salvo el privilegio de determinar los “livelli essenziali delle prestazioni” (el nivel básico de servicios y prestaciones) para establecer un nivel mínimo de protección social en todo el país. Sin embargo, este conjunto de prestaciones básicas aún no se ha definido.

Esta configuración institucional ha dado lugar a un desarrollo muy desigual y diferenciado de la asistencia social a nivel regional, una dinámica que se vio profundizada por las medidas de austeridad adoptadas tras la crisis financiera de 2008. La crisis tuvo un impacto muy fuerte tanto en la población vulnerable que demanda protección social, como en la, ya de por sí, débil capacidad del sistema de bienestar para dar respuestas.

Por el lado de los recursos destinados a protección social, se aplicaron cuatro tipos principales de medidas de austeridad: congelación de salarios, recortes salariales, reducción de personal y reforma de las pensiones. Los recursos destinados al Fondo Nacional de Políticas Sociales, principal fuente de recursos para las políticas locales de asistencia social, se redujeron fuertemente en la última década, a pesar del aumento de los dos últimos años (fig. 1). En consecuencia, las administraciones locales (Regiones y municipios) deben depender cada vez más de los impuestos locales, pero los ingresos imponibles varían drásticamente al pasar del norte al sur.

Estas enormes diferencias en el gasto, que siguen la división norte/sur, tienen un impacto relevante en la extensión y la calidad de los servicios sociales, y por supuesto en los trabajadores sociales, como mostramos en los siguientes párrafos.
El trabajo social (“servizio sociale”) se introdujo en Italia al final de la Segunda Guerra Mundial, cuando muchas organizaciones, tanto laicas como católicas, decidieron crear escuelas para formar trabajadores sociales (“asisstenti sociali”) que apoyaran la reconstrucción del país. Durante la Conferencia de Tremezzo en 1946, que puede definirse como el hito para la fundación del trabajo social en Italia, se decidió que los cursos no se integrarían dentro del sistema universitario debido a la falta de vocación profesional en la tradición académica italiana.

El reconocimiento legal del título no se produjo hasta 1987 y la creación del Diploma Universitario en Trabajo Social tuvo lugar en 1993 (“Diploma Universitario in Servizio Sociale”). Además, ese mismo año se creó el registro profesional de los trabajadores sociales, que regula el acceso a la profesión y vigila el comportamiento profesional de los trabajadores sociales. De acuerdo con el registro profesional, los diplomados en trabajo social deben superar un examen estatal para convertirse en trabajadores sociales registrados.

En 1999, tras el proceso de Bolonia sobre la armonización de los títulos de educación superior en, Italia introdujo una reforma nacional de la educación superior, que estableció tres niveles de títulos (BA/MA/Ph.D.) en todas las facultades universitarias. En lo que respecta al trabajo social, esto dio lugar a una licenciatura de tres años titulada “Ciencias del Trabajo Social” (más tarde “Trabajo Social”) y un máster de dos años en “Planificación y Gestión de Políticas y Servicios Sociales” (más tarde “Trabajo Social y Políticas Sociales”).

Cabe destacar que, durante este proceso, el trabajo social como área peculiar de investigación no fue reconocido como una disciplina académica autónoma, como en muchos otros países, y fue incluido en el sector académico de la Sociología General. Esto fue, y sigue siendo, una debilidad importante del Trabajo Social como disciplina, en la medida en que se frustra la carrera académica de los investigadores en este campo, limitando además la investigación teórica y empírica sobre temas de trabajo social.

Dada la falta de reconocimiento académico del Trabajo Social como disciplina específica, y por tanto la escasez de personas con un currículo académico, la última reforma de los planes de estudio en trabajo social fue muy insatisfactoria. De los 180 créditos de la licenciatura, el reglamento ministerial estableció un mínimo de 15 créditos para las materias de Trabajo Social y 18 créditos para las prácticas curriculares (“tirocinio”). Esto significa que los estudiantes pueden obtener una licenciatura...
en Trabajo Social tras haber completado sólo tres cursos de asignaturas de Trabajo Social a lo largo de su carrera.

Las prácticas de los estudiantes, sin embargo, tienen un papel relevante en los programas formativos de Trabajo Social, tal vez debido a la escasez de cursos relacionados con este. No obstante, es limitada en términos de horas en comparación con nuestros homólogos europeos y podría decirse que no está tan bien estructurada.

Otros elementos críticos están relacionados con el hecho de que los planes de estudio no se han centrado en la preparación necesaria para afrontar los retos que los trabajadores sociales están experimentando en los servicios sociales. En su lugar, los planes de estudio suelen estar conformados por los recursos disponibles para el profesorado a nivel departamental. Por lo tanto, puede haber más cursos relacionados con la sociología o el derecho o la ciencia política o la pedagogía, dependiendo de la vocación del departamento y de los recursos docentes disponibles.

3. El trabajo social como profesión en Italia

A lo largo de las décadas, el trabajo social italiano fue ganando reconocimiento formal como profesión peculiar. El proceso formal comenzó en los años 80 con el reconocimiento de un título educativo homogéneo para todo el territorio nacional, como base para la cualificación como trabajador social. Continuó hasta 1993, cuando se logró el pleno reconocimiento legal del título profesional y se estableció el registro profesional.

El número de trabajadores sociales muestra una tendencia al aumento constante. En 1999, pocos años después de la creación del Registro Profesional, los trabajadores sociales inscritos eran 27.000; casi 20 años después, en 2018, 44.000 personas están inscritas como trabajadores sociales en alguno de los 20 colegios profesionales territoriales (“Ordine Professionale”/“Consiglio Regionale del Ordine”), con un incremento de alrededor del 60%.

En cuanto a sus actividades y entorno de trabajo, los trabajadores sociales empleados en los municipios se dedican a ofrecer el primer punto de acceso, con la tarea de analizar situaciones para el "trabajo social profesional", dirigido a la elaboración y realización de planes de intervención. Según la Ley 328/00, los municipios tienen cuatro áreas principales de intervención relacionadas con el apoyo a las familias y los niños, incluida la protección de la infancia, el apoyo social a las personas con discapacidad, a los adultos vulnerables y marginados y la asistencia social a las personas mayores.

En el sector sanitario, los trabajadores sociales trabajan principalmente en equipos multidisciplinares, según las características del servicio. De hecho, según las leyes nacionales, el sector sanitario ofrece servicios especializados para familias y para niños con discapacidades, en servicios para personas con problemas de adicción a las drogas, al alcohol o al juego, o con problemas de salud mental. A menudo, se dedican a los hospitales. En estos servicios los trabajadores sociales trabajan en estricto contacto con los médicos de diversas especialidades como psiquiatría, psiquiatría infantil, pero también ginecólogos, psicólogos, enfermeras, educadores, etc. En estos contextos los trabajadores sociales pueden luchar por el reconocimiento, cuando se les compara con profesiones con un estatus social mejor reconocido y con un lenguaje diferente.
4. La compleja morfología de los contextos organizacionales del trabajo social

La arquitectura organizacional del trabajo social es bastante compleja en Italia. Aunque la prestación de servicios sociales se reconoce institucionalmente como obligación derivada de un derecho social subjetivo, la prestación real de las prestaciones sociales, los servicios de atención y otras instancias del trabajo social está muy diferenciada en todo el país. Esta diferenciación depende tanto de factores legislativos como organizativos. La ausencia de una definición uniforme de las intervenciones mínimas de la prestación social afecta a la heterogeneidad de los servicios. La descentralización del poder legislativo a las regiones ha provocado una mayor diferenciación.

El principal "lugar" organizativo para el acceso, la gestión y la prestación de los servicios sociales son los municipios. En consecuencia, los municipios siguen siendo el empleador más importante de trabajadores sociales. La estructura organizativa de los municipios, así como las formas de gestión de los servicios sociales dentro de los municipios, son muy diferentes. Las grandes ciudades pueden tener departamentos de políticas sociales/servicios sociales muy dotados de personal y bien estructurados. En estos contextos, los trabajadores sociales suelen ocuparse de un grupo específico de clientes (personas mayores, discapacitados, niños, etc.). A la inversa, en los pueblos más pequeños, los trabajadores sociales suelen participar en actividades dedicadas a clientes heterogéneos y tienen menos oportunidades de especializarse en temas peculiares.

Debido a las limitaciones financieras, así como a la voluntad de potenciar unidades más especializadas, un número creciente de departamentos de políticas sociales de pueblos pequeños se han unido en asociaciones intermunicipales (PIM). La creación de una PIM implica compartir recursos económicos y profesionales, así como definir mecanismos de gobernanza. Dentro del sistema legislativo italiano se puede encontrar una pluralidad de opciones jurídicas y organizativas para la creación y gobernanza de las PIM. Esto ha dado lugar a una gran diferenciación de las PIM dentro de las regiones y entre ellas.

Además, cada pueblo puede ser miembro de una asociación público-privada (APP). Las APP suelen establecerse con un doble objetivo: desarrollar servicios innovadores (compartiendo las competencias y los recursos de los agentes públicos y privados, por ejemplo) y/o superar la asimetría entre los agentes públicos (es decir, el principal) y los privados (es decir, el agente) cuando se subcontrata un servicio público. La difusión de las PIM y las APP contribuye a la "hibridación" de los proveedores de servicios sociales.

Dentro de este escenario, es importante incluir a las organizaciones privadas como empresas sociales. En Italia, la tipología más extendida de empresa social son las cooperativas sociales, es decir, una forma peculiar de organización sin ánimo de lucro que mantiene los principios mutualistas y cooperativos en la gestión de los recursos económicos. Esto significa que los miembros del consejo de administración de la organización son elegidos por sus trabajadores, adoptando el criterio del voto per cápita. Esto significa que los trabajadores sociales (como cualquier otro trabajador de una cooperativa social) pueden participar (más o menos activamente) en la gobernanza de la organización.

5. Conclusiones: Trabajar como trabajadores sociales en tiempos de austeridad

El proceso de externalización y la creación de empresas asistenciales público-privadas han inyectado en el trabajo social un elemento de precariedad. La inestabilidad de las condiciones laborales afecta principalmente a los jóvenes trabajadores sociales, tanto en el sector público como en el privado.
Más allá de la precariedad, la reducción del número de profesionales disponibles se ha traducido en un aumento de la carga de trabajo, especialmente en el sector público, y en un aumento de las dificultades para adecuar las limitaciones organizativas a las intervenciones profesionales.

Además, los servicios sociales se enfrentan a la aparición de nuevas necesidades de protección social, que se manifiestan en un aumento y cambio de las demandas de los trabajadores sociales, tanto en términos de cantidad como de calidad. En general, los usuarios de los servicios aportan ahora un tipo de cuestiones complejas y multifacéticas, en las que los problemas sociales, agravados por la crisis económica, se entremezclan con los problemas relacionales y el aislamiento social. Los medios de comunicación social y de masas también juegan un papel importante en el aumento de la desconfianza hacia las instituciones y los profesionales, alimentando a veces la agresión hacia los trabajadores sociales.

Nota: Este artículo ha sido escrito considerando, principalmente, la situación antes de la pandemia. Esta ha tenido un gran impacto en el aumento de las desigualdades sociales y ha planteado serios desafíos para los sistemas de salud y de bienestar social.
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SOCIAL WORK AND SOCIAL WORKERS IN ITALY

1. A short introduction to the approach to social assistance in Italy

In Italy social assistance is an area of public policies historically characterised by weak legal regulation as a result of the scarce political attention it has received (Conti e Silei, 2013). It would be beyond the scope of this paper to give a detailed analysis of the reasons for that; we will outline some salient events in order to understand the cultural and political framework within which social workers play their role in the Italian social protection system.

As it is well known, the Italian welfare state belongs to the Mediterranean regime (Ferrera 1996), based on the pivotal role of the family as a distributive actor, the centrality of the male breadwinner regime and welfare protection oriented to defend the income capacity of the adult male through work legislation and, above all, pensions. The role of the State in providing social services to support people in case of need has been historically very weak, namely because of the importance of the family and, above all, the very long tradition of catholic charities providing support to the poor. Summarizing, the rational of the Italian welfare state is that 1) the family is responsible for the wellbeing of its members, 2) welfare policies have to protect the main income earner of the family, 3) private charities offer relief to the poor, 4) public social services have a residual role. Following this model of welfare protection, the legal regulation of social assistance has traditionally been weak, erratic and incomplete, including – until recently - the social work as a distinguished profession in welfare state administration (as discussed in par. 2).

The first law on social assistance after the unification of the country (1861) was enacted in 1890 ("legge Crispi") and gave public relevance to local religious charities without a definition of the services to be offered to the poor. Substantially, every city or village was autonomous about the set of services to be implemented: it is worth mentioning that that law was definitely repealed only in 2000, 110 years later. The situation did not change radically after WW2, except for some constitutional rights acknowledged to some categories (protection of minors, disabled, aged). With the creation in 1970 of the Regions as the intermediate level of government between the State and the municipality, a situation of concurrence between State and Regions on social assistance emerged, caused again by an “under law-making” in the field.
In 2000 the very important law n. 328 was finally approved after an unusual - for Italy – wide public debate on the modernization of the welfare State. This law drew a completely new legal framework for social assistance with several innovations in terms of both competences at different levels of government, tools for management and planning of policies, and benefits to be implemented homogeneously throughout the country. This law, long awaited by all actors of welfare in Italy, was a really important innovation for Italy, but in 2001, only 1 year later, the same coalition in power in the Parliament, approved a constitutional law – the so called “Riforma del titolo V” – with a strong regionalization of powers based on the principle of subsidiarization (horizontal and vertical). Article 117 of the new Fifth Section of the Constitution (entitled “Regions, Provinces and Municipalities”) lists a set of policy areas that are reserved to the State (armed forces and police, justice, taxes, foreign policy, monetary policy, social insurance …) and another set that are subjected to “concurrent responsibility” between State and Regions (labour law, education, scientific research, protection of environment …). All other subjects are reserved to the Regions, including social assistance, with municipalities charged with the delivery of benefits and services. The consequence of this change of the Constitution is that the national level has very little power on the decisions taken at the Regional level, with one important exception. Indeed, the central State has the duty to determine the “livelli essenziali delle prestazioni” (the basic level of services and benefits) in order to establish a minimum level of social protection throughout the country. Twenty years after the reform of the Constitution this set of basic allowances has not yet been defined (Ranci, 2008) for two very reasons: 1) the shortage of resources devoted to social assistance and the sharp differences between southern regions and the rest of the country; 2) the fact that it would imply the acknowledgement of personal rights to social assistance, and therefore the right to expect to receive that set of allowances, which would imply an increase of expenditure.

This institutional set-up has led to a highly unequal and differentiated development of social assistance at regional level, as several studies have shown (Kazepov & Genova, 2006; Kazepov, 2009, 2011; Ciarini, 2012; Gori, 2004; Bifulco, 2015), a dynamic that was deepened by the austerity measures taken after the 2008 financial crisis. The crisis had a very strong impact both on the vulnerable populations demanding social protection, and on the, already weak, capacity of the welfare system to provide answers. On the first side, all statistical indicators of social vulnerability increased after the 2008 crisis, without recovering the pre-crisis level: absolute poverty doubled from 3.5% to 7%, relative poverty of families with three or more children increased from 21% to 32%, unemployment rate doubled from
6% to 12%, youth unemployment rates more than doubled from 20% to 42%, and so on (Saraceno et al., 2020). The two main pillars on which the Italian welfare system is based – stable employment of male breadwinner and stable and cohesive nuclear family – was dramatically affected by the crisis, widening the area of vulnerability and, consequently, the demand for social protection.

On the side of resources destined to welfare, four main types of austerity measures were implemented: wage freezes, cuts to salaries, staff reduction, and pension reform. Although the Italian government had already adopted similar measures since the ’90s, the economic and financial crisis escalated the problem, pushing the central government to implement increasingly severe cuts to public expenditures. Above all, two measures had a particular impact on social work: the cut of resources for social policies and the freeze in public sector recruitment (on this see par. 3 and 4).

The resources allocated to the National Fund for Social Policies (FNPS), the main source of resources for local social assistance policies, were strongly reduced in the last decade, notwithstanding the increase in the last two years (fig. 1). As a consequence, local administrations (Regions and municipalities) must rely more and more on local taxation, but the taxable income varies dramatically moving from north to south. The effect is shown in fig. 2 on average social expenditure of municipalities at regional level. These huge differences on expenditures, that follow the north/south cleavage, have a relevant impact on the extension and quality of social services, and of course on social workers, as we show in the following paragraphs.

---

**Fig. 1**

Resources allocated to the FNPS (National Fund for Social Policies), in millions, 2002-2019

![Graph showing resources allocated to the FNPS from 2002 to 2019](image)

Note: Italian Ministry of welfare
2. The late and incomplete academic legitimization of social work

Social work was introduced in Italy at the end of the Second World War when many organizations, both lay and catholic, decided to set up schools to train social workers to support the reconstruction of the country. During the Tremezzo Conference in 1946, that can be defined as the milestone for the social work foundation in Italy (Giraldo, 2013; Campanini, 2013), a very lively discussion concerning the type of establishment for such education took place. Although many professors in social work schools came from the academic world, it was decided that the courses would not be integrated within the university system because the lack of professional vocation in the Italian academic tradition (Ferrarotti, 1965).

The idea was that the private sector was better equipped to host a curriculum that focused on both theoretical and practical training. This ambivalence profoundly affected the development of social work education, which by then did not enjoy formal recognition from the government, although students aspiring to follow social work courses were required to possess a secondary school diploma (maturità) and pass many entrance exams.
Some critical thinking persisted in several directors and teachers of the social work schools. They highlighted the lack of research and theorisation in social work curriculum, besides the non-recognition of the course at the university level. For these reasons, seven universities promoted the Scuole a fini speciali (Schools for special purposes) within their curricula. These universities included the University of Siena in 1956, followed by Rome in 1966 (both La Sapienza and St. Maria Assunta), Parma and Florence in 1969 and Pisa and Perugia in the 1970s.

A reviewing process initiated by the Minister for Universities in the eighties, led, in 1985 to the legalisation of social work education, establishing that the courses would be offered only within the university system, under the structure of “school for special purposes”.

The legal recognition of the title happened in 1987 and the creation of the University Diploma in Social Work took place in 1993. Moreover, in the same year the social Workers professional register was created, which regulates the access to the profession and monitors the professional behaviour of social workers. According to the professional register, social work diploma holders are required to pass a State examination in order to become registered social workers. These State examinations are to be held on university premises with examiners including both academics and social workers appointed by the Professional Order.

The first Research Doctorate in Sociology, Theory and Methodology of Social Work was established at Trieste University in 1994, and an experimental Degree Course in Social Work has been organised by the University of Trieste and the University of LUMSA in Roma since 1998. They offered social workers the possibility, with a three years’ diploma, which may be obtained from either a private or university institution, to enrol at this integrative year in order to obtain the title of laurea.

In 1999, following the Bologna process on the harmonization of higher education degrees in Europe (Campanini, 2015), Italy introduced a national higher education reform, which established three levels of degrees (BA/MA/Ph.D.) in all university faculties. As to what concerns social work, this resulted in a three-years Bachelor degree entitled “Sciences of Social Work” (later “Social Work”) and a two-years Master's Degree in “Planning and Management of Politics and Social Services” (later “Social work and Social Policies”).

As a consequence of the Bologna reform, the professional register was split into two sections: Section A for those who completed the master’s degree and section B for those
who completed the bachelor’s degree. Consequently, two different examinations were introduced.

Concerning the current situation, in the academic year 2018/2019 36 BA are offered by Italian universities. Concerning the MA, there are 35 programs with a vast array of names. The most frequent ones are named: Social work and social policies; Planning and organisation of social work, and, to a lesser extent: Work, social citizenship and interculturality; Social work in complex contexts; Methodology, organisation and evaluation of social services; Society and local development; and Innovation and social work, to name a few.

It is worth noting that during this process, social work as a particular area of research was not recognized as an autonomous academic discipline, like in many other countries, and was included in the General Sociology academic sector. This was, and still is, an important weakness of social work as a discipline, as far as the academic career for researchers in this field is thwarted, limiting furthermore the theoretical and empirical research on social work issues.

It is interesting to compare the Italian story with what happened in Spain more or less in the same years, where social work was recognised as a specific academic discipline. In 1990 teachers from the private schools were employed in the Universities, offering them the chance of acquiring bachelor’s degrees and Phd within a ten-year timeframe, so that they could take up an academic career (Pastor Seller, 2014).

In Italy, neither guarantees were provided for teachers who were teaching social work under the previous system, nor the possibility to participate in the public competitions for the recruitment of professors, acknowledging the specialization acquired as teachers and professionals.

The Italian situation of the teachers in social work disciplines has been and still is very critical (Bertotti, 2021). The large majority are social workers employed in social services teaching in universities with temporary contracts and very low salaries. Until now, very few permanent academic positions in social work have been established: at the time we are writing, there are less than 20 researchers or professors with a background in social work, as the general standard in education approved by IASSW (International Association of Schools of Social Work) and IFSW (International Federation of Social Workers) would require. Moreover, in the last ten years, the social work specific courses were seriously cut in terms of number and of hours. Given the lack of an academic acknowledgement of social
work as a specific discipline, and therefore the shortage of people with an academic curriculum, the last reform of the curricula in social work, despite the requests of the professional register, of the AIDOSS (the Association of Italian Teachers in Social Work), and also the opinion by the EASSW (European Association of Schools of Social Work), was very unsatisfactory. Out of 180 credits for the BA, the ministerial regulation established a minimum of 15 credits for social work disciplines and 18 credits for curricular internship (tirocinio). This means that students can earn a BA degree in social work after having completed only three courses of social work disciplines over the course of their major. Usually, one course is dedicated to Foundations and principles of social work, while the two others to Methods and Techniques of social work. Furthermore, nothing was specified for the MA, except the 10 credits for curricular internship.

It is clear that this curriculum structure has affected the professional skills of social workers, of whom, paradoxically, is required a greater breadth of competences because of the globalisation. The few mandatory social work courses are very general, and none of them deals with specific intervention methods or clients’ problems. Further, none of the mandatory courses relate directly to human rights, social justice, advocacy or policy practice.

Internship placement of students, however, has a relevant role in social work programs (Dellavalle 2011), perhaps because of the scarcity of social work-related courses. Notwithstanding this, it is limited in terms of hours compared to our European homologues and arguably not as well-structured (Campanini, 2009). The organisation of placements varies widely across institutions: in some universities, there are specific offices charged with the coordination of internship placements, while in others students are left to themselves. In some cases, supervision is carried out by employees of the hosting institution, but in some cases these supervisors are not social workers. Only in very few universities do students have the possibility to reflect on their internship in small groups supervised by field teachers. These cases, while rare, are hugely beneficial for students to get feedback on their experiences and to connect theory and practice.

Other critical elements relate to the fact that, despite the orientation of the Bologna process and the Tuning Methodology (www.unideusto.org), the curricula have not focused on the preparation required for facing the challenges that social workers are experiencing in the social services. Instead, the curricula are often shaped by the resources available at the faculty and departmental levels. Therefore, there may be more courses related to sociology or law or political science or pedagogy, depending on the vocation of the Department and on the teaching resources available. Teaching is not adequately oriented towards the
development of skills and teaching methods are still too teacher-centred (as opposed to student-centred). Italian universities still follow the traditional mode of lecturing, from the pulpit, while student-centred teaching methods process tend to foster more critical and creative thinking. Moreover, too many students are admitted into the programmes (up to 400 in some universities) given the number of teachers available. This is due to the growing pressure on universities to increase the number of freshmen in order to gather more financial resources, while in the past the average number of students admitted to social work schools was 35 to 60 per year. The current increase in the teacher/student ratio is hampering a more student-focused learning process.

An additional problem is the lack of analysis of the motivations and attitudes of the students who are enrolling in social work programs. Access examinations in the majority of universities are based on questions relating to general cultural issues. The scores on these tests and the grades of the final high school examination are the only criteria on which selection is based. The issue is that in Italy, a selection based on the assessment of student motivations or their attitudes towards the social work profession is not possible because it is deemed as a discriminatory approach. This discrimination violates the Italian constitution, which guarantees to all citizens the right to choose their education. To improve the preparedness of students in scientific disciplines, and to make up for the deficiencies of the curricula, it is imperative to organise more seminars or laboratories, and to provide proper supervision and tutorship in relation to placement (Dellavalle, 2011). However, in the case of social work education, these expenses are viewed as an additional burden by the universities' administrative bodies. Further, the constraining structure of the programs makes it difficult to find the time to undertake such activities.

Despite all of these problems, many social work teachers in different universities are more and more adopting active teaching methodologies (Bertotti, 2012; Rizzo, 2012; Fazzi, 2016). These teachers find themselves juggling with various regulations, for example, a security law that stipulates that all furniture should be fixed to the floor, hindering the attempts to use less formal ways of teaching. Some universities conduct workshops on different issues, such as: communication and writing skills; intercultural and interdisciplinary approaches; seminars and group work for active learning; good practices; training through role-playing activities; getting in touch with clients as experts by experience.

These are only a few examples of good practices that are being carried out in different social work programs across the country in order to lift up the quality of education and there is a growing sentiment regarding the need to develop cross cutting and so called soft
competences, as communicative skills, critical thinking, creativity as key factors for facing the future challenges of social work (Lorenz at al, 2020; Bertotti et al., 2021). However, the big financial crisis started in 2008 has had an adverse impact on universities.

In the following paragraph we discuss how the spread of the neoliberalism had an impact on the social profession and the organisation of social services.

3. Social work as a profession in Italy

In parallel with the regulation of the academic status of social work, above mentioned, the Italian social work gained formal recognition as a profession in its own right, acquiring the 5 attributes foreseen by Greenwood (1957). It was a long process taking almost 20 years, started in the 1980s with the recognition of the education title, as the basis for the qualification as social worker. It continued up to 1993, when the full legal recognition of the professional title was achieved, and the professional register was established (n.84/1993 Act, named “Regulations of the profession of social worker and constitution of the professional register”, ‘Ordinamento della professione di assistente sociale e istituzione dell’albo professionale’). Registration is compulsory to be allowed to work as a social worker, and it is conditional upon passing a special State-run examination, in addition to the degree awarded by a university.

In contrast with other European experiences where access to the social work register is managed by mixed committees (Orme & Rennie, 2006), the Italian register is self-regulated, which means that the Consiglio (Board) is elected from and by social workers. The profession is regulated by means of a professional code of ethics whose violations are judged by a disciplinary committee, also elected by members.

In 1995, the order of social work was settled, with a national council and 20 regional councils. Three years later, the first ethical code was approved, then reviewed in 2009 and in 2020 a third revision was issued. Since 2012, social workers must engage in continuing professional training, through a system of credits.

The establishment of the professional order contributed to define the sense of identity of the profession (Fargion, 2008) with an effort to define specific competences and boundaries, especially in relation to other cognate professions (Abbott, 1988). It is now one of the most relevant sources to preserve the professional image and community.
The number of social workers shows a steadily increasing trend. In 1999, a few years after the establishment of the Register, there were 27,000 (EISS, 2001, 32); almost 20 years later, in 2018, 44,000 people were registered as social workers in one of the 20 regional order (CNOAS, 2018), with an increase of about 60% (Bertotti, 2021).

Their areas of employment varied according to changes in the Italian social assistance system that passed from an almost total presence of social worker in public services to an increase of employment in the Third Sector. Moreover, the reform of the National Health system during the 1990s, that introduced forms of quasi-market system, also stressed the implementation of services more strictly focused on health care rather than integrated forms of social and health care (Bifulco, 2017). The social assistance reform of 2000 (Law n.328) then attributed several social functions to municipalities, that later, after the severe cuts on resources and moved to forms of externalization, as mentioned later. These changes in the Italian welfare system are clearly visible in the numbers of social workers’ areas of employment through the decades. Gathering different studies, table 1 provides some evidence of these changes, even though they are not strictly comparable (Bertotti, 2021, p. 118). In 1999, the data collected after the establishment of the national register (Censis, 1999) showed that Italian social work is in its great majority carried out in public agencies (74,10%) where social workers act as ‘civil servants’, and are often politically engaged (Sgroi, 2001; Sicora, 2015; Fazzi & Rosignoli, 2020). Ten years later, in 2008, a similar sample survey carried out by Facchini (2010), still showed a prevalence of social workers employed in the public sector, (69,9%) with an increase of those working for municipalities (45,5%), and a decrease of those employed in the health sector (24,4%), but also the move towards mixed forms of welfare. In fact, the number of social workers employed in the third sector increased to 18% of respondents (Facchini & Lorenz, 2013). The last data provided by the CNOAS (2018) give further evidence of changes in the Italian welfare system: social workers employed in public local services and health services dropped to 41,5% (respectively to 26,2% and 15,3%), whilst the number of those employed in the third sector and similar services increased to 29% (CNOAS, 2018).
Table 1 – Distribution of social workers by sector

| Year | Local administrations | Public health services | Third sector | Other | Total |
|------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------|-------|-------|
| 1999 | 39,5                  | 34,6                   | na           | na    | 100   |
| 2008 | 45,5                  | 24,4                   | 18,0         | 12,1  | 100   |
| 2018 | 26,2                  | 15,3                   | 29,0         | 29,5  | 100   |

Notes: Bertotti (2021, p.118)

As regards to their activities and working environment, social workers employed in municipalities are hired to deal with first access points, with the task of analysing situations and for 'professional social work', aimed at drafting and realizing plans for interventions. According to the L. 328/00 municipalities have four main areas of intervention related to support to families and children including child protection, social support for people with disabilities, for vulnerable and marginalised adults and social assistance for elderly people. Usually municipal social services’ teams are made up of professional social workers, sometimes including social work educators and, seldomly, psychologists. As will be mentioned later, municipalities may have different organisational settings with an impact on social workers’ work ranging from a more specialised to a more generalist and community based approach.

On the other hand, in the health sector social workers mainly work in multi-disciplinary teams, according to the features of the service. In fact, according to national laws, the health sector provides specialised services for families and for children with disabilities, services for people with problems of drug, alcohol or game addiction, or with mental health problems. Often, they are employed in hospitals. In these services social workers works in strict contact with doctors, with several specialisations as psychiatry, child psychiatry, but also gynaecologists, psychologists, nurses, educators, etc. In these contexts social workers may struggle for recognition, when compared with professions with a stronger social status and with a different language, but are also often very much appreciated for the possibility of including social work in the medical perspective (Fargion et al., 2017; Olivetti, 2015). Team composition and interprofessional collaboration in the third sector may vary to a great extent, according to the wide diversity of services they provide.
As for the social work methods mainly adopted in Italy, working with individuals and using a case work approach is the prevalence in Italy, often associated with work with families (Facchini & Lorenz, 2013; Campanini, 2007). For instance, according to Facchini (2010) about 40% of working time is employed in direct relationship with clients and it is rated with an average interest level of 8.5 out of 10.

Following the traditional distinction of the methods of social work, the same study also witnessed the reduced spread of community work and group work. The former seems to be more common in municipal social services and in the third sector, with an increasing trend in the second decade of 2000s because of the reduction of resources and the call for a stronger involvement of the community. As for group work, it shows a similar trend: it is more common in the health sector, in family counselling centres as self-help support for women, or in mental health centres or with people with addiction problems. Alongside these changes, several studies also show in Italy the increase of the ‘paper work’ connected with the introduction of managerial policies, stressing performances and measurements that induced a bureaucratization of work practices (Harlow et al, 2012). To complete the picture, preventative work that was carried out by social workers, through campaigns of awareness and the creation of self-help support groups, also shows a decrease. The recent development of the SOCISS (Società Italiana di Servizio Sociale) as an independent association, based on a strong alliance between social work research and professional practice is providing an interesting ground for the innovation of the social work.

4. The complex morphology of organizational contests of social work

The organizational architecture of social work is quite complex in Italy. Although the provision of social services is institutionally recognized as a declination of a subjective social right, the actual delivery of social welfare benefits, care services and other instances of social work is highly differentiated across the country. This differentiation depends both on legislative and organizational factors. As illustrated in the first paragraph, the absence of a uniform definition of the minimal interventions of social provision affects the heterogeneity of services. The devolution of the legislative power to Regions has brought about a further differentiation.

The differentiation of the mechanisms of organizational and provision of social services is not only a “territorial” issue, i.e. a misalignment of available resources across Regions. It is
possible to argue that a subtler layer of differentiation emerges considering the heterogeneity of organizational contexts in which social workers perform their activities.

The main organizational “location” for the access to, management and delivery of social services are municipalities. Consequently, municipalities are still the most important employer of social workers (Facchini & Lorenz, 2013). From an institutional point of view, municipalities hold the administrative ownership of social services. However, the organizational structure of municipalities, as well as the forms of management of social services within municipalities, are very different. A primary source of differentiation is the size of cities and villages. Italy is a country characterized by the presence of a plurality of small villages, with very few cities that have more than 150.000 inhabitants. Large cities may have well-staffed and well-structured departments of social policies/social services. In such contexts, social workers typically deal with a specific target of clients (elderly, disabled people, children etc.). As a consequence, they are specialized in tackling issues related to their category of clients. Vice-versa, in smaller villages, social workers are likely to be involved in activities devoted to heterogeneous clients and they have fewer opportunities to specialize in specific subjects.

Because of financial constraints, as well as the will of enhancing more specialised units, a growing number of departments of social policies of small villages have joined into inter-municipal partnerships (IMPs). The creation of an IMP implies sharing economic and professional resources, as well as defining mechanisms of governance (Citroni et al, 2013). Within the Italian legislative system, a plurality of juridical and organizational options for the creation and governance of IMPs may be found. Basically, each IMPs may choose its institutional configurational, although some Regions have tried to conform to the “morphology” of IMPs (Fedele e Moini, 2006). The opportunity of autonomously defining organizational and institutional configurations led to a terrific differentiation of IMPs within and across regions (Rossi, 2014). Within this polymorphic scenario, social workers may be called to work in different villages that are members of a IMP. This means they are expected to move each day to different villages, having reduced occasions for encountering clients and colleagues.

Moreover, each village may be a member of public-private partnership (PPP). PPPs are frequently established with a twofold purpose: developing innovative services (sharing skills and resources of public and private actors, e.g.) and/or overcoming the asymmetry between public actors (i.e. the principal) and private actors (i.e. the agent) when outsourcing a public service.
The establishment of a PPP may affect social workers’ self-perception of their professional and institutional status. Firstly, within a PPP a social worker employed by a municipality (or other public administrations) can collaborate with colleagues employed by private actors (mainly social enterprises). As already said above, social workers employed by public actors frequently enjoy better working conditions, in terms of income, stability of contracts, working hours and opportunities for balancing work and life. Secondly, within the setting of a PPP, a social worker may be expected to report to more than one supervisor: the leader of his/her employer and the leader of the PPP. Since most PPP are mainly financed by public actors, social workers who participate to the PPP being employed by private members may feel a lower professional and organizational status than his/her colleagues employed by public actors.

The diffusion of IMPs and PPPs contributes to the “hybridization” of providers of social services. Concisely, the notion of hybrid organization may refer both to organizations set up by a network of actors (such as IMPs and PPPs) and to pre-existing public organizations who are employing professionals through private-style contractual agreements [Dorigatti et al., 2018]. This possibility comes from the change of the juridical status of some typologies of public organizations, who are becoming private organizations (e.g. IPAB1 who have been transformed into private foundations). In this case, “older” workers maintain the status of public employees, while the new ones are employed with private contractual agreements.

Within this scenario, it is important to include private organizations, such as social enterprises. In Italy, the most widespread typology of social enterprise are social cooperatives, i.e. a specific form of not-for-profit organization that maintains mutual and cooperative principles in the governance of economic resources. This means that the members of the board of the organization are elected by its workers, adopting the criteria of per capita vote. This means that social workers (as any other workers of a social cooperative) can be engaged (more or less actively) in the governance of the organization. Since a growing number of social cooperatives are involved in the planning of local social services, it is important to stress that social workers may participate to the implementation of social services both as professional and as managers (or members of the board of directors) of their own organization.

---

1 IPAB is the acronym of “Istituzioni di Pubblica Assistenza e Beneficenza” (Institutions for Public Assistance and Philanthropy), an old typology of public organizations aimed at delivering a variety of social and educative services.
Finally, it is important to mention that the Government is implementing a structural reform of the Third Sector, a very broad and heterogeneous domain of entrepreneurial activities (who share the principle of not pursuing profit). Although the full implementation of this reform is still to come, it could have some important implications for the regulation of the relationships between public and private actors even in the field of social welfare.

Conclusions: Working as social workers in times of austerity

These changes have had a widespread impact on social workers, affecting their employment conditions and straining the overall sense of professional identity.

The process of outsourcing and the creation of public-private welfare companies have injected into social work an element of precariousness, hitherto absent (Facchini & Lorenz, 2013). Instability of working conditions affects mainly young social workers, both in the public sector as well as the private one. For instance, a study carried out in Lombardy in 2012-13 showed that 85% of respondents were employed with long term contracts; this rate dropped to 48.3% for social workers under 30 (Casartelli & Dessi, 2013). Similarly, a national survey on employment opportunities for social work graduates from 2006 to 2012 (Tognetti, 2015) shows that the first place of employment for newly graduated social workers is mainly in the third sector, but also that even in the public sector 45% of new graduates have temporary contracts. Moreover, the average time needed to find a first job is lengthening (Niero et al., 2015). The employment conditions in the third sector may vary widely depending on the type of non-profit organization, whether they are social cooperatives, charities, simple associations, more or less structured, and on their ability to perform in the quasi-market competition of social welfare.

Beyond precariousness, the reduced number of practitioners available has resulted in increased workloads, especially in the public sector, and in increasing difficulties in matching organizational constraints with professional interventions. At the level of frontline social workers, the retrenchment of public funding is an additional worsening factor on social work practice, as it means fewer resources and services delivered to those in need. As the provision of material supports is often the base to develop deeper helping relationships, the lack of possibilities to provide services becomes a major threat to the role of professionals.

Furthermore, social services face the emergence of new social protection needs, displayed by an increase and change of demands on social workers, both in terms of quantity and
quality. In addition to traditional clients, a new middle-class is now seeking assistance in relation to debt or housing problems, and loss of job. In general, service users now bring a complex and multifaceted kinds of issues, in which social problems, exacerbated by the economic crisis, are intertwined with relational problems and social isolation. Confronted with these challenges, social workers call for a space for rethinking and for innovating services and the ways used for supporting people. Instead, they find themselves caught up in the role of “gate keepers” of reduced resources rather than being a helping professional. In this position, they are often on the frontline of protest and distress of service users that may result in violent acts against social workers, as argued in a recent research on violence against social professions (Sicora e Rosina, 2019).

Social and mass media also play an important role in increasing the distrust towards institutions and professionals, sometimes fueling the aggression towards social workers. Although this is not a new phenomenon (it began with the spread of neoliberal policies and the attack on public institutions), it has increased with the spread of neoliberal and populist discourses. At the time of writing this article, it is mainly associated to a criminalization of social workers in the field of child protection.

The introduction of the NPM principles has worsened the situation, undermining the professional relation with clients as well as the working conditions and professional integrity. Social workers have been criticized for being too discretionary, disregarding the need for standardization and financial constraints. Often, the top management ignore professional evaluations, thus eroding the possibility for social workers ‘to be reliable and trustworthy’ with clients. Moreover, under the NPM regulations, cuts have also reduced opportunities for further professional training, supervision and peer support, as well as time for case discussion in professional teams (Bertotti, 2014; Sammarco and Tilli, 2012). In the face of these new demands and expectations, the gap between dwindling resources and overwhelming workloads produces acute and widespread distress amongst social workers. Such response is often expressed in terms of feeling helpless and inadequate, ‘being under siege’ or constantly working “under a state of emergency”, and is conducive to depression and withdrawal (Bertotti, 2016).

The strategies adopted to manage the mounting pressures have substantially changed the professional role of social workers. For instance, Riva (2014) analyzed strategies adopted by social workers to deal with the swelling caseloads and showed how these strategies, such as sidestepping professional protocols or postponing the assignment of the case, are often odds with both professional guidelines and social work values. Since this fact does not
seem to be questioned, it is argued that social workers tend to prioritize speed as part of the quality of response, showing an attitude that may be interpreted as an effect of the market-oriented, “customer satisfaction” culture, where performance is assessed in terms of quantity instead of quality. On the contrary, interpreting similar results on their studies, Tousijn e Dellavalle (2017) argue that the process of restructuring social work practices under the pressure of managerialism may be seen as the emergence of new forms of professionalism. They show how social workers find the ways to interpret managerial principles by adapting them to social work values.

According to a critical and radical perspective, this trend can be interpreted as an aspiration to create an ‘austerity social worker’, whose precarious contract and low recognition imbue social workers with low expectations about their career and social roles (Garrett, 2014). Rather than being an agent of change, this is a professional figure “encouraged to be politically docile, viewing budgeting and the rationing of services to clients as paramount” (Garrett & Bertotti, 2017, p.37).

The reactions of social workers are varied and range from depression to protest. Some social workers tend to experience low moods and opt for withdrawal, others may express their discontent, demanding more recognition and denouncing the gap between organisational demands and the ethos of the profession (Burgalassi e Noci, 2012). Dissatisfaction seems to be more widespread within the public sector, whereas in the third sector social workers consider that their professionalism is more recognized and valued, at least in some regions of Italy (Fazzi, 2012).

Clearly, the combination of austerity measures and the way social services are managed have put under severe pressure the relationship between the professional and their employers. In a study carried out by one of the authors, the sense of belonging to the organization and the focus on the needs of service users are severely strained, resulting in different postures. They may range from a detached attitude to adaptation or critical engagement, following seniority and kind of context (Bertotti, 2016).

In this picture, it is not easy to identify which developments are foreseeable for Italian social work in the near future. Alongside the delusion and resignation, there are several attempts to react positively, trying to understand how new forms of professionalism can be forged and how the cultural project of the profession is to be refunded. The national professional council is highly engaged in this effort, often taking several public initiatives and upholding the efforts to innovate and rethink the professional project.
One of these goes in the direction of developing a more aware and strong capacity of policy practice and increase the ability of social workers of thinking themselves as agent of change. This includes interventions carried out within the professional context with the aim of influencing social policies. Social workers should develop capacities to transform their daily activity in a meaningful analysis of the social needs and activate the community, supporting the groups with social advocacy initiatives. Should also be able to develop innovative proposals and make concrete steps to realize the social work mission to enhance human rights and social justice.

References

Abbott, A. (1988). *The System of Professions: An Essay on the Division of Expert Labour*. University of Chicago Press.

Bertotti, T., (2012). Specificità e proposte per una nuova didattica del servizio sociale. *Rassegna di Servizio Sociale*, 4, 105–127.

Bertotti T (2014). Il servizio sociale negli anni della crisi: riduzione delle risorse e impatto sulla professione [Social Work in the year of the global crisis: resources reduction and profession]. *Autonomie Locali E Servizi Sociali*, 3, 491-510. https://doi.org/10.1447/79287

Bertotti, T. (2016). Resources Reduction and Welfare Changes: Tensions between Social Workers and Organisations. The Italian Case in Child Protection Services. *European Journal of Social Work*, 19(6), 963-976. https://doi.org/10.1080/13691457.2015.1030363

Bertotti, T. (2021). Social Work Education in Italy: Backwards and Forwards in the Establishment of the Social Work Discipline. In M. Laging & N. Zganec (Eds) *Social Work Education in Europe. Traditions and Transformations* (111-135). Springer.

Bertotti, T. Fazzi, L, Rosignoli, A. (2021). Il servizio sociale: le competenze chiave. Carocci Faber.

Bifulco, L. (2015). *Il welfare locale: processi e prospettive*. Carocci editore.

Bifulco, L. (2017). *Social Policies and Public Action*. Routledge.
Burgalassi, M. e Noci, E. (2012). Il giudizio degli assistenti sociali sul sistema locale di welfare. In Marco Burgalassi (Ed.). Promuovere il benessere in tempo di crisi, (pp. 153-178). Carocci editore.

Campanini, A. (2007). Country Perspectives. Social Work in Italy. European Journal of Social Work, 10(1), 107-116.

Campanini, A. (2009). I mille volti del tirocinio in Italia e in Europa. In A. Campanini (Ed.), Scenari di welfare e formazione al servizio sociale in un’ Europa che cambia (pp.199-234). Edizioni Unicopli.

Campanini, A. (2013), La Formazione al servizio sociale in Italia e in Europa: aspetti storici e prospettive. In Milena Diomede Canevini e Annamaria Campanini, Servizio sociale e lavoro sociale: questioni disciplinari e professionali (pp. 161-179). Il Mulino.

Campanini, A (2015). Bologna Process. In James D. Wright (Ed.). International Encyclopedia of the Social and Behavioral Sciences, 2nd edition, Vol 2 (pp. 741-746). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-097086-8.28010-0

Casartelli, A. e Dessi C. (2013). Una professione alle corde? Gli assistenti sociali di fronte alla crisi del welfare. Prospettive Sociali e Sanitarie, 12, 1-5.

Censis (1999) (Ed.). Essere protagonisti del futuro. Scenari di sviluppo per il ruolo di assistente sociale. Fondazione Censis.

Ciarini, A. (2012). Le politiche sociali nelle regioni italiane. Costanti storiche e trasformazioni recenti. Il Mulino.

Citroni, G., Lippi, A. & Profeti, S. (2013). Remapping the State: Inter-Municipal Cooperation through Corporatisation and Public-Private Governance Structures. Local Government Studies, 39(2), 208–234. https://doi.org/10.1080/03003930.2012.707615

CNOAS, Consiglio Nazionale Ordine Assistenti Sociali (2018). Notiziario Ordine Assistenti Sociali, 1/2018. https://cnoas.org/notiziario/Conti, F. e Silei, G. (2013). Breve storia dello Stato sociale. Carocci editore.

Dellavalle, M. (2011). Il tirocinio nella formazione al servizio sociale. Carocci editore.

Dorigatti, L., Mori, A. e Neri, S. (2018). Pubblico e privato nei servizi sociali ed educativi: il ruolo delle istituzioni del mercato del lavoro e delle dinamiche politiche. La Rivista delle Politiche Sociali, 3, 209-231.
EISS, Ente Italiano di Servizio Sociale (2001). Rapporto sulla situazione del servizio sociale, [report on Social Work In Italy] pp. 23-46. Tipigraf, s.c.n..

Facchini, C. (Ed.) (2010). Fra impegno e professione: Gli assistenti sociali come soggetti del Welfare. Il Mulino.

Facchini, C. & Lorenz, W. (2013). Between differences and common features: The work of social workers in Italy. International Social Work, 56(4), 439-454.

Fargion, S. (2008) Reflections on social work’s identity: International themes in Italian practitioners’ representation of social work. International Social Work, 51(2), 206-219.

Fargion, S., Wielander, W. e Pintarelli, D. (2017). Il servizio sociale in ambito ospedaliero: riflessioni sull’interprofessionalità in un contesto di squilibrio di potere. Autonomie Locali e Servizi Sociali, 2, 299-314.

Fazzi, L. (2012). Social work in the public and non-profit sectors in Italy: what are the differences? European Journal of Social Work, 5, 629-644. https://doi.org/10.1080/13691457.2011.557180

Fazzi, L. (2016). Are we educating creative professionals? The results of some experiments on the education of social work students in Italy. Social Work Education, 1, 89-99. https://doi.org/10.1080/02615479.2015.1120716

Fazzi L. & Rosignoli A. (2020). Social work education in Italy: problems and perspectives. In S.M Sajid, R. Baikady, C. Sheng-Li, & H. Sakaguchi (Eds.). The Palgrave Handbook of Global Social Work Education (pp. 119-132). Palgrave Macmillan.

Fedele, M. e Moini, G. (2006). Cooperare conviene? Intercomunalità e politiche pubbliche. Rivista Italiana Di Politiche Pubbliche, 1, 71-98.

Ferrarotti, F. (1965). Servizio Sociale ed Enti Pubblici nella società italiana in trasformazione. Armando Editore.

Ferrera, M. (1996). The “Southern Model” of welfare in social Europe. Journal of European Social Policy, 6(1), 17-37.

Garrett, P.M. (2014). Re-Enchanting Social Work ? The Emerging ‘Spirit’ of Social Work in an Age of Economic Crisis. British Journal of Social Work, 44(44), 503-521.

Garrett, P.M. & Bertotti, T.F. (2017). Social work and the politics of ‘austerity’: Ireland and Italy. European Journal of Social Work, 20(1), 29-41. https://doi.org/10.1080/13691457.2016.1185698
Giraldo, S. (2013). Formazione al servizio sociale. In A. Campnini (diretto da) Nuovo dizionariaio di servizio sociale (pp.259-270). Carocci editore.

Gori, C. (Ed.) (2004). La riforma dei servizi sociali in Italia: l’attuazione della legge 328 e le sfide future. Carocci editore.

Greenwood, E. (1957). Attributes of a profession, Social Work, 2(3), 44-55.

Harlow, E., Berg, E., Barry, J. & Chandler, J. (2012). Neoliberalism, managerialism and the reconfiguring of social work in Sweden and the United Kingdom. Organization, 20(4), 534-550. https://doi.org/10.1177/1350508412448222

Kazepov, Y. (2009). La dimensione territoriale delle politiche sociali in Italia. Carocci editore.

Kazepov, Y. (2011) Le politiche socioassistenziali. In U. Ascoli (Ed.) Il welfare in Italia (pp. 103-145). Il Mulino.

Kazepov, Y. & Genova, A. (2006). From government fragmentation to local governance: welfare reforms and lost opportunities in Italy. In Paul Henman and Menno Fenger (Eds.) Administering welfare reform: international transformations in welfare governance (pp. 233-255). Policy Press. https://doi.org/10.1332/policypress/9781861346520.003.0011

Legge 8 novembre 2000, n. 328. Legge quadro per la realizzazione del sistema integrato di interventi e servizi sociali. Gazzetta Ufficiale della Repubblica Italiana n. 265 del 13 novembre 2000 - Supplemento ordinario n. 186.

Lorenz, W. A., Fargion, S., Nothdurfter, U., Nagy, A., Berger, E., Rainer, S., & Frei, S. (2020). Negotiating Quality for Social Work: A Participatory Research Project in South Tyrol. Research on Social Work Practice, 30(5), 505-514. https://doi.org/10.1177/1049731519897309

Niero, M., Rossi, P. e Tognetti, M. (2015). Professione e forza lavoro. In M. Tognetti (Ed.), Voglio fare l’assistente sociale (pp. 155-197). Franco Angeli Edizioni.

Olivetti Manoukian, F. (2015). Oltre la crisi: cambiamenti possibili nei servizi sociosanitari. Guerini e Associati.

Orme, J. & Rennie, G. (2006) The Role of Registration in Ensuring Ethical Practice, International Social Work, 49(3), 333-344.

Pastor Seller, E. (2014) (Coord.). El trabajo social ante el reto de la crisis y la educación superior. Universitas S.A.
Ranci Ortigosa, E. (Ed.) (2008). *Diritti sociali e livelli essenziali delle prestazioni. Prospettive Sociali e Sanitarie*, raccolta IQuid, Istituto per la ricerca sociale-IRS.

Riva, V. (2014). *Etnografia del servizio sociale. Professionalità e organizzazione nel lavoro dell’assistente sociale*. Vita e Pensiero.

Rizzo, A.M. (2012). *Una riflessione sugli approcci teorici che sottendono i metodi di insegnamento*. *Rassegna di Servizio Sociale*, 4, 99-104.

Rossi, P. (2014). *L’organizzazione dei servizi socioassistenziali. Istituzioni, professionisti e assetti di regolazione*. Carocci editore.

Saraceno C., Benassi, D. & Morlicchio, E. (2020). *Poverty in Italy. Features and drivers in a European Perspective*. Policy Press.

Sammarco, G., Tilli, C. (2012), *La collocazione lavorativa degli assistenti sociali e il loro ruolo negli assetti organizzativi*, in M. Burgalassi (a cura di), *promuovere il benessere in tempo di crisi*, pp. 73-118.

Sgroi, E. (2001). *Introduzione: il servizio sociale come professione*. In EISS, *Rapporto sulla situazione del servizio sociale* (pp. 23-46) Tipigraf, s.c.n.

Sicora, A. (2015). Social work education and practice in Italy: emerging issues, challenges and concerns. *Quaderni del CSAL, numero speciale “Social work around the world: Colors and shapes in a complex mosaic”*, anno VII, 13, 45-60.

Sicora A. e Rosina, B. (2019). (Eds.) *La violenza contro gli assistenti sociali in Italia*. Franco Angeli Edizioni.

Tognetti M. (2015). *Voglio fare l’assistente sociale. Formazione e occupazione dei laureati in Servizio sociale in tempi di crisi e discontinuità*, Franco Angeli Edizioni.

Tousijn, W. e Dellavalle, M. (Eds) (2017). *Logica professionale e logica manageriale. Una ricerca sulle professioni sociali*. Il Mulino.

---

**NOTES**

1 As stated at point 5.1. of the Global standard for Social Work Education and Training issued by IASSW: “The provision of professional staff, adequate in number and range of expertise, who have appropriate qualifications as determined by the development status of the social work profession in any given country. As far as possible a Masters level qualification in social work, or a related discipline (in countries where social work is an emerging discipline), should be required” (www.iassw-aiets.org).

*Trabajo Social Global – Global Social Work*, Vol 11 (2021), e20913 1-29