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ABSTRACT:

Carbon dioxide (CO2) emission and other greenhouse gases are rising day by day due to anthropogenic activities which lead to global warming and cause natural disasters. Thus REDD+ comes up with an initiative to reduce emissions from deforestation through Carbon accounting, in which under developing countries Measure, Report, and Verify (MRV) the sum of Above Ground Biomass (AGB)/carbon stored in a particular forest. Nonetheless, the major challenge for REDD+ is to find an accurate method for biomass estimation. The purpose of this study was to model and map the AGB and carbon stock of Gilgit-Baltistan, Pakistan. For this purpose, we linked Landsat 8 and forest inventory data to assess the potential of Vegetation Indices (Vis) derived AGB estimation. Inventory data consisted of the field measurements from 480 plots that data was collected in the year (June-Oct) 2016 in a 72,971 km² (28,174 sq mi) study area, in Gilgit-Baltistan. Out of these plots, 287 was used in Calibration and 191 is used for Validation. This paper provides a regression equation between the reflection values from the Landsat-8 satellite image and sample areas where terrestrial aboveground biomass (AGB) was calculated by direct measurement method. As a result of the calculations made, a positive linear correlation between AGB and NDVI was relatively high compared to other vegetation indices i.e. 0.59 in the year 2016 or for the year 2013.

1. INTRODUCTION

Carbon is one of the most essential elements of the earth. It exists in the form of carbon dioxide (CO2) gas in the earth’s atmosphere. It has a very small concentration about 0.04% in the atmosphere but is chief among the greenhouse gases (GHG) with great influence on global climate patterns (Vashum & Jayakumar, 2012). A report on climate change (IPCC, 2014) has declared that CO2 concentration in the atmosphere is increasing continuously by approximately 1.5 ppm (parts per million) per year. It was estimated that CO2 concentration in the atmosphere has been reached up to 430 ppm in 2011 from 280 ppm in the pre-industrial era (1950) and through this trend of rising CO2 concentration, it has been predicted the earth’s surface temperature may increase from 3.7°C to 4.8°C before next century (Sarker, 2010).

Forest dominates the dynamics of the global carbon cycle being the largest natural carbon pool of terrestrial ecosystems. (Kurz, Apps, Webb, & McNamee, 1992). They act as the source of carbon emission and carbon sequestration simultaneously. Forest continuously exchanges CO2 with the atmosphere and is directly affected by natural processes and anthropogenic activities (S. Brown, 2002).

Around 31% of the total terrestrial area of the Earth is covered by forest; of some 22% is closed forest (able to store maximum Carbon). They intake CO2 from the atmosphere and water from the ground to produce carbohydrates (carbon-containing compounds) to make their biomass and release oxygen in the atmosphere through the photosynthesis process (Vashum & Jayakumar, 2012). On average, around 50% of the biomass can be assessed as the carbon content for all tree species (MacDicken, 1997).

Carbon sequestration is a natural and deliberate process of removing CO2 from the atmosphere and storing it in forest ecosystem in the form of soil’s organic component and woody biomass of roots, trunks, foliage, and branches of the trees (K. Brown & Pearce, 1994; McCarthy, 2001) while anthropogenic activities like deforestation, decomposition of plant’s parts, burning of fossil fuels, and change in forest area leads towards the CO2 emission. Biomass can be considered as the quantity of alive matter stored in a habitat (Kindermann, McCallum, Fritz, & Obersteiner, 2008), stated either as the number of organisms per unit volume or area of habitat, produced by the photosynthesis process with the energy input from the sun and CO2 from the atmosphere. The study of biomass is significant as it is a major carbon cycle component and assists in determining the climate change and global warming phenomenon. Total Aboveground Biomass (AGB) assessment in a forest, termed as biomass density, is a very useful way to estimate the amount of resource available. The majority of studies on the biomass assessment have focused on AGB, as it stores a vast amount of total accumulated biomass in the forest ecosystem whereas the belowground biomass is rarely measured because it requires labor-intensive and time-consuming in-situ measurements. As carbon makes up approximately 50% of vegetative biomass, quantification of the biomass is important in forest ecosystem studies to estimate carbon pools at multiple scales (Ghasemi et al., 2011).

In the last few years, remote sensing methods have become prevalent for spatially explicit quantification of forest biophysical parameters in terms of AGB or growing stock volume. These methods are closely associated with the quantity of carbon available in forest components and enabled to monitor biomass on a regional and even on a global scale realistic and cost-effective way (Hamdan, Aziz, & Rahman, 2011; Malhi et al., 2002; Yavasli, 2013; Zheng et al., 2004).

Although, AGB cannot be assessed directly through any remote sensing instruments. We required field measurements of allometric functions for the implementation and evaluation of AGB estimation models (Chave et al., 2005; Goetz et al., 2009; Hamdan et al., 2011). The radiometry is sensitive to forest stand structure (tree density and crown size) and texture, and correlation is drawn between in-situ field measurements and spectral responses derived from multi-spectral remote sensing.
data using a chosen method (Ghasemi et al., 2011; Yavasli, 2013).

Despite various proposals to stop deforestation, the world continues to lose forests every year. This alarming situation has led researchers to conduct studies on the decline of forest reserves all over the world. Various researches verify the potential of integrating high-resolution remote sensing digital data and aerial photography for understanding changes in land cover patterns.

Climate change is happening worldwide due to anthropogenic activities which affect several environmental, social, and economic aspects of life. Greenhouse gases level is rising and is now at their highest concentrations. Thus UNFCC under the REDD+ program recommended a monitoring system that combines remote sensing and ground-based inventories for estimating forest biomass and carbon stock (IPCC, 2014; Malhi et al., 2002).

The major challenge for REDD+ is to come up with an accurate method to measure and estimate the forest biomass most specifically in tropical forests. Several studies have been done using medium resolution optical satellite images to estimate AGB.

These studies derive Indices (Vis) such as Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), Enhanced vegetation Indices (EVI), and the Simple ratio (SR) from optical images of Landsat-TM to estimate AGB since the indices correlate with AGB estimated from the field data.

The study of biomass is significant as it is a major carbon cycle component and assists in determining the climate change and global warming phenomenon. The Total AGB assessment of a forest, termed as biomass density, is a very useful way for estimation the number of resources available. The estimation of forest AGB is a challenging job, particularly in areas with complex forest stand structures and environmental conditions, and requires precise and consistent estimation methods (Lu, 2006). To date, no universally applicable method has been developed for the estimation of biomass, although many attempts have been made during the last few decades.

In Remote Sensing based biomass assessment, biomass equation is still important to estimate plot biomass which is correlated with spectral data for large-scale mapping. Models derived from RS and verified with ground data can be used appropriately to predict AGB for a given landscape.

The study aims to estimate the AGB by integrating Landsat 8 derived VI in the Gilgit-Baltistan forest. Thus, moving a step forward in assisting the REDD+ initiative to achieve its goals towards sustainable forest management. The objectives include the above-ground biomass estimation by integrating Vegetation indices (Vis) i.e NDVI, TNDVI, GNDVI, SAVI, TSAVI, MSAVI2, to assess biomass and carbon stock estimates per unit area (ha) using field data, to map AGB and carbon stock of Gilgit-Baltistan forest, estimation and validation of AGB for selected sites using regression models, to compare the Linear and Exponential relationship between the Vis and AGB.

2. STUDY AREA

The Gilgit-Baltistan of Pakistan stretches from 35°-37’N (latitude) to 72°-75’E (longitude). Having an almost 1.8 million population (GBWFE, 2013) and is spread over an area of 72,496 sq. km, bordering China, Afghanistan, and India. This region has been divided into seven districts; Gilgit, Diamer, Skardu, Ghanche, Ghizer, Astore, and Hunza-Nagar. Each of these districts has been sub-divided into subdivisions. The area has a multifaceted topography ranging from mountain ranges and water sinks, source lakes and riverine, the world’s largest glaciers, and indeed, forests. Forest cover in Gilgit-Baltistan is roughly estimated at four percent while about five percent of the land is covered in forest plantations. It is this wood that the mafia is after. The mountainous region consisting of more than 108 peaks, of which fifty peaks are above 7000 meters above sea level, and none of the peaks are less than 4000 meters. GB has a varying climate depending on the locations of the region. The climate in the western Himalayan zone is moist, whereas the Karakoram and Hindu Kush zones possess a dry climate. It is recorded that Gilgit and Chilas are very hot in summer during the daytime, but cold at night. The areas like Astore, Khaplu, Yasin, Hunza, and Nagar are cold all year round.

![Figure 1. Study Area Map.](Image)

| Variable                  | Attributes       |
|---------------------------|------------------|
| Latitude                  | 35°-37’N        |
| Longitude                 | 72°-75’E        |
| Total Area covered        | 72,496 sq. Km   |
| Natural Forest Covered    | roughly 4%       |
| land covered in forest plantations (e.g., planted fruit trees, poplars, etc) | roughly 5.36 % |
| Total No of Tree species identified during the filed campaigns | Nine |
|                           | Abies pindrow   |
|                           | Cedrus deodara  |
|                           | Picea smithiana |
|                           | Pinus wallichiana |
|                           | Pinus gerardiana |
|                           | Quercus ilex    |
|                           | Betula utilis   |
|                           | Juniperous communis |
|                           | Taxus baccata   |

Table 1. Major Physical Characteristics of the Gilgit-Baltistan.

3. MATERIAL AND METHODS

3.1 Datasets

Materials including software, satellite image datasets, and field observations are an important part of any research. Therefore,
this section introduces the list of materials used to conduct this research.

### 3.1.1 Satellite Image acquisition

The Landsat8 OLI/TIRS C1 Level-1 satellite images which are composed of 30*30 km² tile (Ortho-images with UTM/WGS84 projection), were acquired from the earthexplorer.usgs.gov website. The acquisition date of the images are as follow.

Images consist of nine spectral bands with a spatial resolution of 30 meters for Bands 1 to 7 and 9. The ultra-blue Band 1 is useful for coastal and aerosol studies. Band 9 is useful for cirrus cloud detection. The resolution for Band 8 (panchromatic) is 15 meters. Thermal bands 10 and 11 are useful in providing more accurate surface temperatures and are collected at 100 meters. The approximate scene size is 170 km north-south by 183 km east-west (106 mi by 114 mi).

| Landsat8 imagery | Land Cloud Cover | Date of acquisition |
|------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Landsat-8 OLI   | 148-36           | 4.6                 |
|                  | 150-34           | 2.51                |
|                  | 150-35           | 5.70                |
|                  | 150-36           | 3.29                |
|                  | 151-35           | 7.45                |
|                  | 148-35           | 6.17                |
|                  | 149-34           | 3.09                |
|                  | 149-35           | 1.87                |
|                  | 149-36           | 1.22                |

Table 2. Landsat 8 Operational Land Imager (OLI) and Thermal Infrared Sensor (TIRS) Launched 2016.

### 3.1.2 Image Processing

The data analysis process was carried out in different phases, depending on the type which included Landsat-8 satellite images. The processing was done using various software such as ArcGIS and ENVI.

### 3.1.3 Pre-processing/radiometric correction

Radiometric correction of Landsat-8 optical images was done to improve the quality of the image by using ENVI software. The main purpose of radiometric correction was to reduce the atmospheric and sun angle effects (Baillarin et al., 2012). The images were transformed from radiance to surface reflectance, by applying the Dark Object Subtraction (DOS) method using the ArcGIS software. The DOS method works by removing the darkest pixel in each band that might affect by atmospheric scattering (Chave, 1988). The images were mosaicked and the study area was extracted afterward.

### 3.1.4 Software and tools

Apart from the satellite datasets and the software used, field equipment was also considered important for conducting this research.

| Software       | Purpose                      |
|----------------|------------------------------|
| ENVI 5.1       | Image processing             |
| ArcMap 10.3    | Extracting Vegetation indices pixel value |

### 3.2 Methodology

The methodology, which has been adopted for the analysis of field measurements, pre-processing of Landsat-8 images, and details about the models developed for retrieval and assessment of AGB for the study sites can be found below.

#### 3.2.1 Symbols and Units: Use the SI (System International) Units and Symbols. Unusual characters or symbols should be explained in a list of nomenclature.

#### 3.3 Deriving Vegetation Indices (VIs) from Landsat Optical satellite image

The indices were computed using Landsat-8 image spectral bands through SNAP software. The selection of the indices was based on its performance in biomass estimation in previous studies. There are over 150 vegetation indices but for this study, only 6 indices were selected.

#### 3.3.1 Broadband VIs

Vis is sensitive to the canopy leaf area. The indices are used for monitoring of the vegetation since they use the near-infrared (NIR) spectral band which has a high reflectance of vegetation and the red spectral band which has high absorption by vegetation. For this study the following broadband indices were used.

#### 3.3.2 Normalized Difference vegetation index (NDVI)
NDVI is one of the most widely used VI for biomass estimation (Rouse et al., 1974).

\[
NDVI = \frac{(NIR - RED)}{(NIR + RED)}
\]

### 3.3.3 Soil-adjusted Vegetation Index (SAVI)

The SAVI is structured similar to the NDVI but with the addition of a “soil brightness correction factor”. Where NIR is the reflectance value of the near-infrared band, RED is the reflectance of the red band, and L is the soil brightness correction factor. The value of L varies by the green vegetation cover in very high vegetation regions, L=0; and in areas with no green vegetation, L=1. Generally, an L=0.5 works well in most situations and is the default value used. When L=0, then SAVI = NDVI.

\[
SAVI = \frac{1.5 \times (NIR - RED)}{(NIR + RED + 0.5)}
\]

### 3.3.4 Transformed Soil Adjusted Vegetation Index (TSAVI)

\[
TSAVI = \frac{\{a(NIR - aRED - b)\}}{RED + aNIR - ab}
\]

### 3.3.5 Transformed NDVI

To avoid dealing with negative values derived from NDVI, a constant of 0.5 was added, and to approximate the normal distribution, a square root was introduced.

\[
TNDVI = \sqrt{NDVI + 0.5}
\]

### 3.3.6 Green Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (GNDVI)

This index is similar to NDVI except that it measures the green spectrum from 540 to 570 nm instead of the red spectrum. This index is more sensitive to chlorophyll concentration than NDVI.

\[
GNDVI = \frac{(NIR - GREEN)}{(NIR + GREEN)}
\]

### 3.4 Coefficient of determination (R²)

The observed and modelled results are compared and their correlation is evaluated to know how well the model works by using the following equation.

\[
R^2 = \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Q_{obs} - Q_{ob}) (Q_{mod} - Q_{ob})}{\left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Q_{obs} - Q_{ob})^2 \right]^{0.5} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Q_{mod} - Q_{mod})^2 \right]^{0.5}} \right\}^2
\]

The R² ranges from zero to one, with higher R² values representing better model performance.

---

### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This Section describes the results and outcomes in terms of AGB estimation models and AGB spatial distribution in terms of maps of study areas, which have been developed by applying the designed methodology.

#### 4.1 Calculation of Vegetation Indices (VIs)

The calculation of the VIs was done using SNAP software. All six indices were computed using the formula stated in the methodology. The six VIs resulted images which can be as seen in Figure below.
4.2 Statistical analysis

The correlation observed between indices and biomass indicate that infrared images allow a better determination of crop biomass in the study area, more information on the characteristics is given below in the Table.

**Figure 3.** Calculation of Vegetation Indices (VIs) 2016.

**Figure 4.**

| Index   | Equation       | R²     |
|---------|----------------|--------|
| NDVI    | y = 0.2773x^{0.156} | 0.5907 |
| GNDVI   | y = 0.3863x^{0.1067} | 0.5389 |
| SAVI    | y = 0.4159x^{0.158} | 0.5908 |
The remote sensing data by using the single index or combining different spectral indices of optical satellite imagery for AGB estimation can in the future be utilized for reliable estimation and assessment of the changes in biomass.

The study demonstrated encouraging results in forest AGB mapping of the normal vegetated area using Landsat imagery; thus, it is helpful and valuable for vital information mining from the Landsat series when it is applied to global forest AGB estimation.

The maps generated will be instrumental for formulating spatially-targeted climate change mitigation and sustainable land management strategies.

In the future, the performance will be improved by incorporating other important environmental data (e.g., distance to the city center and roads, as well as human disturbance) and other up-to-date remote sensing techniques (e.g., Tandem-X and LiDAR), as well as the stochastic component of AGB.

The study will be helpful for “sustainable forest management” and preserve biomass.

Due to the cloud cover we were not able to use the Sentinel or high resolution imagery from March to October.

Due to the large scale mapping and the complex terrain area the correlation of AGB from indices with the field In-Situ observed data due to which the results seems not so strong.
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Table 4. Comparison between Biomass Estimation Methods.

| Index     | Equation                                           | $R^2$ | Author                  |
|-----------|----------------------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| NDVI      | $NDVI = \frac{(NIR - RED)}{(NIR + RED)}$          | 0.59  | Rouse et al., (1974)    |
| GNDVI     | $GNDVI = \frac{(NIR + RED)}{(NIR + GREEN)}$       | 0.53  | Gitelson et al., (1996) |
| SAVI      | $SAVI = \frac{1.5 \times (NIR)}{(NIR + RED)}$     | 0.59  | Huete (1988)            |
| TSAVI     | $TSAVI = \frac{RED + a(NIR - aRED - b)}{b}$       | 0.58  | Baret et al., (1989)    |
| TNDVI     | $TNDVI = \sqrt{NDVI + 0.5}$                       | 0.57  | Tucker (1979)           |
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