The Niederschlag fluorite-(barite) deposit, Erzgebirge/Germany—a fluid inclusion and trace element study
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Abstract
The Niederschlag fluorite-barite vein deposit in the Western Erzgebirge, Germany, has been actively mined since 2013. We present the results of a first comprehensive study of the mineralogy, petrography, fluid inclusions, and trace element geochemistry of fluorite related to the Niederschlag deposit. Two different stages of fluorite mineralization are recognized. Stage I fluorite is older, fine-grained, associated with quartz, and forms complex breccia and replacement textures. Conversely, the younger Stage II fluorite is accompanied by barite and often occurs as banded and coarse crystalline open-space infill. Fluid inclusion and REY systematics are distinctly different for these two fluorite stages. Fluid inclusions in fluorite I reveal the presence of a low to medium saline (7–20% eq. w (NaCl+CaCl2)) fluid with homogenization temperatures of 140–180 °C, whereas fluorite II inclusions yield distinctly lower (80–120 °C) homogenization temperatures with at least two high salinity fluids involved (18–27% eq. w (NaCl+CaCl2)). In the absence of geochronological data, the genesis of the earlier generation of fluorite-quartz mineralization remains enigmatic but is tentatively related to Permian magmatism in the Erzgebirge. The younger fluorite-barite mineralization, on the other hand, has similarities to many fluorite-barite-Pb-Zn-Cu vein deposits in Europe that are widely accepted to be related to the Mesozoic opening of the northern Atlantic Ocean.
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Introduction
The Niederschlag fluorite-barite mine is located close to the town of Oberwiesenthal in the Western Erzgebirge of Germany. It commenced operation in 2013 and is owned and operated by the Erzgebirgische Fluß- und Schwerspatwerke GmbH (EFS). As the only active fluorite-barite mine in the Erzgebirge, the Niederschlag deposit produces around 100,000 t of raw fluorite concentrate per year, corresponding to ca. 20,000 t of acid grade fluorite product. Initially, barite was also exploited from the shallower parts of the deposit and processed at the Clara mine, Schwarzwald (Kuhn and Duba 2017). The production of barite concentrates has, however, been discontinued.

The major ore body at the Niederschlag deposit is ~3–12 m thick, steeply dipping vein with a strike length of about 1 km, which extends from Germany across the border into the Czech Republic. On the Czech side, the vein is referred to as the Kovarska deposit; the name Niederschlag deposit thus only refers to the vein on the German side. Inferred resources for the Niederschlag deposit were last reported by (Kuschka 2002) as ~1.15 Mt of fluorite and 0.56 Mt of barite. The shallowest portion of the Niederschlag vein system is also marked by the occurrence of five-element mineralization, which was historically exploited for silver and cobalt from medieval times until the nineteenth century (Lipp and Flach 2003). After World War II, intense exploration for uranium...
was carried out and around 132.7 t of uranium were produced between 1949 and 1954 (Wismut 1999). The fluorite mineralization was only discovered during (rather unsuccessful) exploration of uranium resources of deeper portions of the Niederschlag vein. Uranium mining thus ceased in 1954, when all known reserves of uranium mineralization had been mined out.

The fluorite-barite mineralization of the Niederschlag deposit received renewed interest during the 1970s, when state-owned companies of the German Democratic Republic (GDR) carried out an extensive exploration program aimed to constrain fluorite and barite resources (Kuschka 2002). At the time, the decision was taken to retain the resources of the Niederschlag deposit for later development. It is thus not surprising that the decision of the EFS to develop the current mining operation was based essentially on GDR exploration results. In fact, no new drill cores were obtained and mineral processing test work was based on historic bulk samples that were still available at surface from the 1970’s exploration program.

Besides the Niederschlag deposit, there are several fluorite-barite vein deposits known in the Erzgebirge region (Baumann et al. 2000). All these deposits, though mined in the past, are currently regarded sub-economic; however, these may well become interesting targets for renewed exploration, especially since fluorite and barite are considered as critical raw materials by the EU Commission (2017). Arguably the most important example is the Schönbrunn-Bösenbrunn deposit that was a major fluorite producer until 1991 when it was closed as a consequence of German reunification (Kuschka and Hahn 1996). The Freiberg district, on the other hand, was a major producer of fluorite and barite until 1969 (Wagenbreth 1988).

The known fluorite-barite veins have in common that the available geoscientific literature is rather generic (Kuschka 2002) and that modern geochemical data is scant. Genetic models are thus outdated or not available at all. A notable exceptions are recent studies on the Freiberg district (Bauer et al. 2019; Ostendorf et al. 2019), where Sm-Nd geochronology and fluid inclusion analyses have illustrated that fluorite-barite mineralization is of Mesozoic age and related to the migration and mixing of shallow crustal, highly saline brines. This mineralization style is very similar to many other fluorite-barite veins known from Variscan basement exposures in Europe, with examples from Germany, France, Spain, Poland, Czech Republic, and Great Britain (Boiron et al. 2010; Kraemer et al. 2019; Muchez et al. 2005; Walter et al. 2016). The formation of this vein-style mineralization is typically related to the opening of the northern Atlantic in the Cretaceous (Walter et al. 2018b) and that share fluid inclusion systematics (e.g., (Baatartsogt et al. 2007; Walter et al. 2017)).

This contribution is dedicated to place first constraints on the origin of fluorite-barite mineralization of the Niederschlag deposit. For this purpose, field geological and petrographic studies were complemented by bulk rock (solution ICP-MS) and in situ trace element (LA-ICP-MS) analyses of different generations of fluorite in order to reconstruct hydrothermal fluids and ore-forming processes. The results are compared with other examples of vein-type fluorite-barite deposits in the Erzgebirge (Trinkler et al. 2005; Wolff et al. 2015b) and elsewhere in Central Europe (Bau and Dulschi 1995; Nadoll et al. 2019; Schwinn and Markl 2005).

### Regional geological setting

Fluorite-barite mineralization of the Niederschlag deposit is hosted by Latest Neoproterozoic to Early Paleozoic (570–460 Ma) sedimentary and igneous units of the Saxo-Thuringian Zone as a part of the Variscan Orogenic belt (Rötzler and Plessen 2010). These were deformed and metamorphosed during the Paleozoic collision of Gondwana and Laurussia (Kroner and Romer 2013) with peak metamorphism timed at 340 Ma (Krönner and Willner 1998). The metamorphic basement units were intruded by voluminous granitoids (330–315 Ma; Tichomirowa and Leonhardt 2010), rhyolitic and lamprophyre dikes (Romer et al. 2010; von Sckendorff et al. 2004; Seifert 2008) (Fig. 1a). Felsic magmatism (325–285 Ma) during the late stages of orogenic collapse (Förster et al. 2007; Hoffmann et al. 2013) was closely associated with the formation of a variety of types of magmatic-hydrothermal ore deposits in the Erzgebirge (Burisch et al. 2019; Ostendorf et al. 2019; Zhang et al. 2017).

The Post-Variscan geological evolution of the Erzgebirge is poorly constrained due to the almost complete erosion of the former cover succession. It has, however, been inferred that subsidence and concomitant basin formation following the Variscan Orogeny led to a thick sedimentary cover, overlying the Variscan basement units (Pälichen and Walter 2008). Apatite fission-track thermochronology indicates that the maximum thickness of the sedimentary cover reached 2–3 km during the Mesozoic (Wolff et al. 2015a). During the Cretaceous, the Elbe rift graben was reactivated, which led to marine transgressions and sedimentation.

Exhumation of the Erzgebirge was related to the Cenozoic Eger rift, which was accompanied by the emplacement of abundant phonolitic and basaltic dikes. Rifting also resulted in uplift and erosion of the Erzgebirge block, eventually resulting in the exposure of the metamorphic units—and the ore deposits hosted therein (Pälichen and Walter 2008).

### Local geological setting

Numerous hydrothermal veins with different styles of mineralization are known from the Niederschlag-Bärenstein district, which spans across an area of ~ 10 × 15 km. Veins comprise different stages and styles of mineralization, which include...
Sn-quartz, polymetallic-Pb-Zn-(Cu)-quartz, U-carbonate-(quartz), fluorite-quartz, fluorite-barite-Pb-Zn-Cu-sulfides, and Ag-Bi-Co-Ni-As-carbonate (five element association) assemblages. Most of these veins are sub-economic (Fig. 1c). The Niederschlag fluorite-barite vein deposit is related to the Scheibenberg-Niederschlag-Kovářská-fault, a discrete NNW-SSE striking fault system that is mineralized along a strike length of ~2.5 km. The economically relevant fluorite resource is, however, restricted to an only ~1 km-long segment of the structure, with vein thickness ranging from 4 to 12 m (Kuschka 2002). The upper levels of the Niederschlag deposit cut across a diverse set of rock types comprising garnet-muscovite schist, paragneisses, marble, quartzite as well as graphite-rich schist—all belonging to a high-pressure low-temperature metasedimentary nappe structure of the passive Gondwana margin having protholit ages of 460–500 Ma (Mingram 1998; Rötzler and Plessen 2010). Approximately 200 m below the present-day land surface, the host lithology changes from carbon-rich metasedimentary units to para- and orthogneisses.

**Hydrothermal mineralization and vertical zoning**

Mineralization at Niederschlag is polystadial and shows vertical zoning that has been described in great detail by Kuschka (2002). The most shallow part of the vein predominantly comprises of a five element vein assemblage (U-Ag-Co-Ni-As minerals) associated with fluorite, barite, carbonates, and quartz (“U-Bi-Co-Ni” after Kuschka 2002). With increasing depth, the abundance of fluorite increases at the expense of barite and U-Ag-Co-Ni-As minerals. Seventy meters below the modern ground level (m.b.g.l.), fluorite and barite prevail (Fig. 1c). It is the latter assemblage that is currently being exploited and that has been the subject of this investigation.
Underground exposures reveal two distinct stages of fluoro-
rite mineralization (Fig. 2a-c): Fluorite I ("flq" after Kuschka
2002) is fine-grained, varicoloured and intergrown with
quartz, forming colloform bands and complex breccia bodies
(Fig. 2c). Intensive alteration (silicification, fluoritization) of
vein selvages and intensely altered fragments of host rock
within the vein are closely associated with fluorite I
mineralization.

Fluorite II cuts across fluorite I and is thus clearly younger
(Fig. 2a). Fluorite II ("bafl" after Kuschka 2002) is coarse
grained; it forms massive aggregates and/or continuous bands
intergrown with variable amounts of barite (Figs. 2b and 3b).
These two minerals are accompanied by quartz and minor
tetrahedrite, sphalerite, galena, and chalcopyrite. Whereas
Stage I mineralization is reported to be present in approxi-
mately equal quantity/thickness and composition throughout
the entire explored vertical depth profile of the Niederschlag
vein, Stage II mineralization has been reported to show a
systematic increase of fluorite and red barite abundance at
the expense of white barite with depth (Kuschka 2002). At
depths shallower than 200 m b.g.l., white barite has been
reported as the most abundant mineral of Stage II, whereas
below this depth, fluorite II becomes more abundant (Kuschka
2002). This distinct change in the mineralogy of the vein-infill
roughly coincides with the lithological change from mica-
schist to gneiss.

Fig. 2 a Exposure of the main hydrothermal vein at level 6.2
north of the Niederschlag underground mine. Massive Stage I
fluorite is cross-cut by banded fluorite and barite of Stage II. b
Stage II mineralization composed of banded barite (of) and bluish fluorite (flII). c Green and purple stage I
fluorite exhibits banded and colloform textures. Intensively altered
(bleached and silicified) host rock clasts are encapsulated by fluorite
and quartz (photo taken by: Dr. Uwe Lehmann, Sächsisches Landesamt
für Umwelt, Landwirtschaft und Geologie)
An intense alteration halo that extends between 20 cm and up to 3 m into the host rock can be recognized around the Niederschlag vein (Kuschka 2002). Alteration is characterized by strong silicification of the wall rock and is invariably associated with stage I fluorite. In direct contact to the vein, the primary minerals of the host rock may be entirely replaced by quartz, with only minor amounts of kaolinite. Thin marble units show the most intensive alteration, being completely replaced by fluorite and quartz (Kuschka 2002).

Alteration related to Stage II is significantly less pronounced and usually affects only a few millimeters to centimeters of the vein selvage (Kuschka 2002). The alteration assemblage related to Stage II comprises mostly clay minerals, which selectively replaced metamorphic micas.

Cenozoic phonolitic dikes cut the Niederschlag vein, resulting in distinct discoloration and recrystallization of fluorite in the immediate contact (cm to a few dm) with the dike (Friedländer 2019). This contact metamorphic overprint affects the fluorite only very locally and, therefore, will not be described in detail in this contribution. The phonolite dikes are associated with multi-stadial magmatism related to the Cenozoic Eger Graben rifting (Ulrych et al. 2011) with a
particular age of 26 Ma for the dike crosscutting the Niederschlag deposit (Walther 2005). The crosscutting relation between fluorite mineralization and the phonolite dykes thus provides a minimum age for fluorite mineralization.

Samples and methodology

Sampling

Thirtytwo fluorite samples including both fluorite stages were collected from two exposures in the active underground mine (mine levels 5.1 North at 240 m b.g.l. and 6.1 level South at 280 m b.g.l.); from drill cores from the 1970s exploration program that are stored at the Geological Survey of the Free State of Saxony (drill cores 33/74 and 139/36) and from the geoscientific collections of the TU Bergakademie Freiberg. Twentyeight doubly polished thick sections (~250 μm) were prepared for petrographic studies, including cathodoluminescence imaging, scanning electron microscopy, and fluid inclusion analyses. Six of these sections were used for LA-ICP-MS analyses. Eight bulk rock samples from various parts of the vein were used for bulk ICP-MS analyses.

Microscopy

All samples were studied by optical (transmitted and reflected light) microscopy and the investigations were complemented by scanning electron microscopy. Mineral textures were investigated using a Carl Zeiss Axio Imager M1m light microscope (transmitted and reflected light), equipped with an AxioCamMRc5 camera. Backscattered electron images and energy-dispersive X-ray spectra were acquired using a Quanta FEG 600 scanning electron microscope with two Bruker Quantax Dual XFlash 5010 detectors. Measurement conditions were 25 kV acceleration voltage and a beam current of 10 nA. Cathodoluminescence imaging (CL) was executed on carbon-coated, polished thin sections using a “hot cathode” CL microscope HC1-LM (cf., Neuser et al. 1995). The system was operated at 14 kV accelerating voltage and a current density of about 10 A/mm².

Microthermometry

Microthermometric analyses were carried out with a Linkam THSMG600 fluid inclusion stage combined with an Olympus BX53 microscope. The following phase transitions of synthetic standards of CO₂–H₂O and H₂O inclusions were used for calibration: Tm (CO₂), Tm (ice) and Th. Standard material was analyzed daily before each measurement campaign to check for potential calibration drifts. Petrographic descriptions of fluid inclusion assemblages (FIAs) were classified as primary (p), secondary (s), pseudo-secondary (ps), or isolated (i) fluid inclusions (van den Kerkhof and Hein 2001). Liquid-vapor ratios were estimated by image analysis and are given as LₓVᵧ (x + y = 1). To ensure reproducibility, each phase transition was measured three times. Phase changes were measured with a heating rate of 1 °C/min, resulting in instrumental uncertainties of ±0.2 °C for melting temperatures and ±1 °C for homogenization temperatures. Fluid inclusions (FIIs) with signs of post-entrapment alteration (e.g., necking down) or evidence of metastable behavior (e.g., absence of hydrohalite for FIIs with Te of −52 °C) were excluded from the data set.

Laser ablation inductively-coupled mass-spectrometry

LA-ICP-MS analyses of fluorite were carried out on six polished thin sections at the GeoForschungsZentrum Potsdam with a Geolas Compx Pro 193 nm excimer laser coupled to a Thermo iCAP TQ mass spectrometer. NIST 610 served as an external standard, while ideal stoichiometric Ca content was used as internal standard for ⁴⁴Ca (Gagnon et al. 2003). A spot size of 44 μm with a repetition rate of 5 Hz and laser energy density of 5 J cm⁻² was set for measurements. Data were processed using the trace elements IS data reduction scheme (Woodhead et al. 2007) in iolite3.63 (Paton et al. 2011). The following isotopes were measured with their respective average detection limits given in brackets: ⁷Li (4.38 mg/kg), ²³Na (91.77 mg/kg), ²⁴Mg (2.84 mg/kg), ³⁹K (26.34 mg/kg), ⁴⁵Sc (3.28 mg/kg), ⁵⁵Mn (8.71 mg/kg), ⁵⁷Fe (40.15 mg/kg), ⁶⁰Zn (7.37 mg/kg), ⁷¹Ga (0.36 mg/kg), ⁸⁵Rb (0.54 mg/kg), ⁸⁸Sr (0.08 mg/kg), ⁸⁹Y (0.13 mg/kg), ¹³⁷Ba (0.32 mg/kg), ¹³⁵La (0.52 mg/kg), ¹⁴⁰Ce (0.56 mg/kg), ¹⁴¹Pr (0.14 mg/kg), ¹⁴⁶Nd (0.38 mg/kg), ¹⁴⁷Sm (0.39 mg/kg), ¹⁵³Eu (0.04 mg/kg), ¹⁵⁷Gd (0.21 mg/kg), ¹⁵⁹Tb (0.03 mg/kg), ¹⁵³Dy (0.10 mg/kg), ¹⁶⁰Ho (0.02 mg/kg), ¹⁶⁶Er (0.01 mg/kg), ¹⁶⁹Tm (0.02 mg/kg), ¹⁷²Yb (0.07 mg/kg), ¹⁷⁵Lu (0.02 mg/kg), ²⁰⁸Pb (0.27 mg/kg).

Solution ICP-MS

Handpicked fluorite separates (over 99% fluorite) were rinsed with deionized water, dried and powdered in an agate mortar in a planetary mill at the Helmholtz Institute Freiberg for Resource Technology to a grain size smaller than 63 μm. The powders were acid-digested according to the protocol of Kraemer et al. (2019) with a mixture of suprapure HF-HClO₄ in a Picotrace DAS digestion unit and analyzed with a Perkin-
Elmer Nexion 350x ICP-MS at the Geochemistry Laboratory of Jacobs University Bremen. REY concentrations are normalized to European Shale (EUS) of Bau et al. (2018). Normalized data is indicated with the subscript SN (shale-normalized).

Results

The following sections summarize macroscopic and microscopic petrographic observations of both fluorite stages as well as fluid inclusion and trace-element data. The complete background data set is provided in the electronic supplement (Table ES1, ES2, ES3, and ES4).

Macroscopic and microscopic observations

The two underground exposures that were available for this study reflect full horizontal profiles from the host rock through the Niederschlag vein with a width of about 4 m. The contact of the host rock and vein is marked by a distinct and intense alteration halo, which extends about 3 m wide into the host rock on both sides of the vein. Consistent with observation reported by Kuschka (2002), host rock alteration is characterized by strong silification. The contact between the altered host rock and Stage I mineralization is typically sharp, but intense brecciation of the host rock is common. At the selvage of the vein, fluorite I is intimately intergrown with fine-grained quartz, which together form mineralization Stage I. No other minerals were observed for Stage I; however, perimorphs of quartz and fluorite with rhombohedral outlines are common and may represent former carbonates. Stage I comprises multiple generations of thin (1 mm to 1 cm), irregularly shaped colloomorphic bands of fluorite and quartz/chalcedony, which all together form masses that may reach up to several meters in thickness (Fig. 2c). Crystal sizes range from sub-mm to centimeters. Fluorite within Stage I forms continuous layers but may also occur as disseminated euhedral fluorite grains enclosed by fine-grained quartz (Fig. 3a-b). Massive fluorite domains exhibit a distinct alternating layering of purple and green colored fluorite. Less commonly, pale yellow to colorless fluorite can be observed. Mostly green and purple fluorite layers exhibit botryoidal textures, which are composed of individual prismatic fluorite grains. These layered masses are often brecciated and re-cemented by younger generations of layered purple and green fluorite. Complex sectoral zoning is characteristic of purple fluorite (Fig. 3c). The sector zonation ranges from deep blue to yellow-blue colors, indicating primary growth features. In contrast, massive green fluorite is characterized by blue luminescence color without distinct sectoral zoning. Chalcedony with disseminated hematite fills microfractures in fluorite I; this marks the end of mineralization Stage I.

Minerals of the second fluorite stage (fluorite II) cuts Stage I mineralization. Coarse-grained fluorite II crystals are up to several centimeters in size and show cubic crystal shapes. Fluorite II is commonly of pale bluish color. Less frequently, yellowish to white-colored colors are observed. Fluorite II is distinctly zoned, with massive cores and rims that display oscillatory zoning (Fig. 3d). Similar zoning patterns have been frequently reported in studies from other fluorite vein deposits e.g., (Schwinn and Markl 2005).

Fluorite II is accompanied by barite and minor amounts of base metal sulfides and quartz (Fig. 3a-b). Red-colored barite (with fine-grained hematite) is present as aggregates, up to 5 cm in size, or forms discrete bands of up to several centimeters in thickness, which are intergrown with variable amounts of fluorite II. Locally, barite is pseudomorphously replaced by quartz (Burisch et al. 2017). The intensity of this replacement process appears to be high, where barite is entirely encapsulated by fluorite II. Millimeter to a few centimeter-sized, large aggregates of sulfides (mostly chalcopyrite, galena, and tetrahedrite) occur in variable abundances associated with fluorite II and quartz.

Fluid inclusion petrography

Suitable fluid inclusions for microthermometric analyses were recognized in fluorite of Stages I and II. Fluorite and quartz of the first mineralization stage yield only few primary fluid inclusions suitable for microthermometry. There are zones in fluorite I crystals that are strongly enriched in fluid inclusions; the inclusions do show heterogeneous fluid inclusion assemblages with unsystematic behavior of the measured fluid inclusions. CL imaging revealed a very fine-grained texture of these inclusion-rich fluorite grains (Fig. 4c-d), strongly suggesting that these fluid inclusions (FIs) are related to recrystallization of fluorite I and thus record no primary information (Sander and Black 1988) and have therefore been rigorously excluded from the data set. Fluid inclusions that can unambiguously be identified as primary occur as clusters in massive purple fluorite I and have diameters that range from 20 to 30 μm. Secondary inclusions are widespread in fluorite I. They are mostly present along discrete micro-fractures and do propagate across grain boundaries.

Fluorite II, in contrast, contains abundant fluid inclusion assemblages of primary, pseudo-secondary, and secondary origin with inclusions up to 100 μm in size (Fig. 4c-d). The inclusions mostly occur in clusters of primary origin or, rarely, aligned along growth zones. Two-phase liquid-vapor inclusions are dominant, though three-phase liquid-vapor-solid inclusions can also occasionally be observed (Fig. 4d). The solid phases are irregularly shaped and do not melt upon heating. Some inclusions show evidence for post-entrapment modification; these were excluded from the data set.
Microthermometry

Microthermometric analyses of 34 primary inclusions in 9 assemblages hosted by fluorite I show eutectic temperatures \( T_e \) around \(-52 \, ^\circ C\), which indicates that the fluid inclusions can best be described in the NaCl-CaCl\(_2\)-H\(_2\)O system. Hydrohalite was detected in all analyzed inclusions; it is observed to melt invariably before ice upon heating. Hydrohalite melting temperatures \( T_m \) (hh) range between \(-21.2 \, ^\circ C\) and \(-25.6 \, ^\circ C\). Final ice melting temperatures \( T_m \) (ice) are between \(-4.4 \, ^\circ C\) and \(-15 \, ^\circ C\). Corresponding total salinities range from 7.1 to 19.6% eq. w \( (NaCl+CaCl_2) \) with the \( Na/(Na+Ca) \) ratio ranging from 1 to 0.52 (Figs. 5b, 6a-c). The variation of total salinities and \( Na/(Na+Ca) \) ratios within fluid inclusion assemblages is relatively small, namely, <2% eq. w \( (NaCl+CaCl_2) \) and <0.3, respectively (Table 1).

Microthermometric data of 124 individual fluid inclusions in 25 assemblages related to fluorite II (Fig. 4) show eutectic temperatures of around \(-52 \, ^\circ C\), which again indicates that they can best be described as NaCl-CaCl\(_2\)-H\(_2\)O-fluids. Calculated salinities vary between 19 and 27% eq. w \( (NaCl+CaCl_2) \) (Fig. 6a-c); this variability is observed not only between but also within fluid inclusion assemblages (FIA). Average \( Na/(Ca+Na) \) ratios of FIAs vary between 0.43 and 0.82, again very similar to the variability documented within individual FIAs (0.41–0.73). There is no obvious correlation between \( Na/(Na+Ca) \)-ratio and temperature or total salinity (Table 2). Some of the primary FI contain unknown greenish, prismatic solid inclusions; these do not show dissolution nor any recognizable volume change upon heating to 200 \, ^\circ C\). Fluid inclusions containing such solid inclusions are marked by more variable microthermometric results than fluid inclusions without solid inclusions.

REY geochemistry

REY data are reported here for three samples of fluorite I and five samples of fluorite II that were analyzed as mineral separates using ICP-MS. These results are reported together with mineral chemical data for the two fluorite generations obtained with LA-ICP-MS on three thick sections containing fluorite I, and three thick sections containing fluorite II. Results

Fig. 4  a Transmitted light microphotograph of sample SH-FB-Nds-10 showing euhedral to subhedral fluorite I crystals. The core of the larger fluorite crystal is free of fluid inclusion, whereas its rim contains numerous fluid inclusions.  b Cathodoluminescence microphotograph of the section showed in A, reveals the micro-crystalline texture of the larger fluorite grain, while the smaller euhedral grain (upper right) is well-crystallized.  c Transmitted light microphotograph of sample SHNds-P8A showing a euhedral fluorite II crystal with growth zones that host numerous primary fluid inclusions as well as fine-grained Fe-Mn oxides.  d Transmitted light microphotograph of sample SHNds-P8C showing a primary fluid inclusion containing ice, hydrohalite, liquid, and vapor at \(-30 \, ^\circ C\).
obtained by both analytical approaches are consistent with one another (Fig. 7).

Fluorite I has relatively low absolute REY concentrations with values ranging from 82.0 to 124.0 mg/kg total REY content (Fig. 7a) in the bulk samples. Shale-normalized REY (REY SN) patterns show conspicuously flat trends. Small negative Ce and positive Y anomalies can be observed. Despite their different macroscopic appearance, green and purple fluorite I varieties show the same REY SN patterns. In situ LA-ICP-MS analyses of fluorite I show more substantial variations in REY compositions between individual laser spots (Fig. 7a). Particularly, LREE vary considerably even within single crystals (Fig. 7a).

Stage II fluorite, in contrast, has distinctly higher total REY concentrations, ranging from 177.9 to 272.0 mg/kg. The REY SN patterns of Stage II fluorite are characterized by depletion of LREE and HREE, while MREE are distinctly enriched, resulting in a bell-shaped REY SN pattern (Fig. 7b). Individual LA-ICP-MS analyses of Stage II fluorite show relatively uniform REY SN patterns. Yttrium shows a positive anomaly, whereas the LREE are systematically depleted.

Table 1  Fluid inclusion microthermometry data of fluorite I and II of the Niederschlag fluorite deposit summarized as fluid inclusion assemblages

| Assemblage code | Stage | n | Avg. Th in °C | Min. Th in °C | Max. Th in °C | Avg. salinity | Min. salinity | Max. salinity | Avg. Na-Ca-ratio | Min. Na-Ca-ratio | Max. Na-Ca-ratio |
|-----------------|-------|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
A distinct positive Y$_{SN}$ anomaly is evident in both stages, but the concentration of Y in Stage II fluorite is about an order of magnitude higher than in Stage I fluorite. All fluorite samples investigated in this study show a fractionation of Y from Ho and comprise similar Y/Ho fractionation ratios, ranging from 53.9–72.3 in fluorite I and 53.4–73.2 in fluorite II.

Discussion

In the following, we discuss petrographic, microthermometric, and geochemical data of the two different fluorite generations to constrain the nature of the associated fluids and the ore-forming processes. In the absence of geochronological evidence, we will only briefly speculate on possible geodynamic settings of the two mineralization stages.

Nature of hydrothermal fluids

Fluid inclusion evidence suggests low temperatures of formation (140 to 180 °C) for the fluorite-quartz assemblage of Stage I. Fluorite I was precipitated from a low to medium saline Na-Ca-Cl-H$_2$O-fluid. The absence of S-bearing minerals (neither sulfides nor sulfates) in this stage is rather unusual (Burisch et al. 2017; Burisch et al. 2018; Keim et al. 2018; Kraemer et al. 2019) and indicates that the fluids that were involved in the formation of the fluorite mineralization were nominally S-free. Furthermore, intense host rock alteration associated with Stage I suggests a high reactivity of the fluid towards the silicate-dominated host rock (gneiss, mica schist). Silicification, weak kaolinization, as well as the replacement of presumable early carbonates and marble horizons are all indicative of low pH fluids.

Fluid inclusion assemblages in fluorite II, in contrast, yield even lower temperatures (80–120 °C), but higher salinities (18–27% eq. w (NaCl+CaCl$_2$)) that can also be best-described in the Na-Ca-Cl-H$_2$O system (Fig. 6c). Fluorite II is invariably associated with sulfides and substantial quantities of barite, indicating that both reduced and oxidized sulfur as well as Pb, Zn, Cu, and Ba were available during ore formation. Host rock alteration related to this stage is very subordinate at best, macroscopically often not visible at all. This may suggest that the hydrothermal fluid responsible for fluorite II–barite mineralization was non-reactive or close to equilibrium with the silicate host rock. Similar characteristics have been reported from many fluorite vein deposits in Central Europe. They have been interpreted as mixtures of a deep-seated basement brine with certain proportions of a saline sedimentary fluid (Bauer et al. 2019; Boiron et al. 2010; Fusswinkel et al. 2013; Gleeson et al. 2001).

REY sources and water-rock interaction

Although REY concentrations obtained for individual spots of in situ LA-ICP-MS analyses are marked by substantial variations (especially for fluorite I), average values are consistent with bulk ICP-MS analyses of fluorite concentrates. Therefore, the fluorite compositions (Fig. 7a-b) are used as a reliable indicator for the REY systematics inherent to fluorite I and fluorite II stages. Although the REY systematics of the two fluorite stages are very distinct, they share a positive Y$_{SN}$ anomaly. This Y$_{SN}$ anomaly is a common feature of many fluorite occurrences worldwide, such as the ones from the Pennine Ore field (Great Britain), Harz mountains (Germany) or the Schwarzwald (Germany) (Fig. 8b) (Bauer and Dulski 1995; Kraemer et al. 2019; Walter et al. 2018b). It is thought to reflect the the lower stability of YF$^+$-fluoride complexes relative to HoF$^{2+}$-fluoride complexes in hydrothermal solutions (Loges et al. 2013).

The overall flat REY$_{SN}$ pattern of fluorite I is very unusual and has not been reported from other fluorite vein deposits in Central Europe. It also lacks similarity to fluorite associated with greisen and skarn deposits in the Erzgebirge that formed at higher temperatures (Korges et al. 2019; Lefebvre et al. 2019; Wolff et al. 2015b). The flat pattern is, however, very similar to the REE$_{SN}$ patterns of the unaltered host rock (Mingram et al. 2004; Tichomirowa et al. 2012) (Fig. 8a). Even the small negative Ce anomaly of fluorite I may have been derived from the host rocks (Moeller and Bau 1993). These observations may be used to suggest that intensive
Host-rock alteration associated with Stage I buffered the REY budget of the hydrothermal fluid responsible for the formation of fluorite I. Heterogeneous fluid inclusions (which were not included in the microthermometric data set) and long-prismatic habit of particularly green fluorite layers of stage I further indicate that precipitation of fluorite I was relatively fast and far from equilibrium, thus inhibiting mineral-specific fractionation of REYs.

Bell-shaped REY Sn patterns of fluorite II, in contrast, bear close resemblance to many other low-temperature fluorite vein deposits across Europe (Bau and Dulski 1995; Kraemer et al. 2019; Nadoll et al. 2019; Sánchez et al. 2010; Schwinn and Markl 2005). REY Sn patterns—together with the previously discussed analogies in terms of fluid composition and mineral paragenesis—provide strong support that Stage II fluorite-barite mineralization at the Niederschlag deposit was caused by mixing of at least two chemically contrasting crustal fluids (Boiron et al. 2010; Walter et al. 2018a).

**Mechanisms of fluorite formation**

The solubility of fluorite in aqueous hydrothermal fluids is mainly dependent on pH, temperature, and the chemical composition of the mineralizing fluid(s) (Barnes 2015; Richardson and Holland 1979). The latter authors have highlighted that the most efficient mechanisms for fluorite precipitation are fluid cooling, an increase of pH, fluid-rock interaction, or mixing of two chemically contrasting fluids. Based on the fluid inclusion and REY evidence provided in this contribution, different processes of fluorite precipitation are invoked for the two stages observed at the Niederschlag deposit.

The range of homogenization temperatures documented by FIA in fluorite I (140 to 180 °C) does not appear large enough
to support cooling as a major precipitation mechanism. The high variability of salinities (7–19% eq. w) (NaCl-CaCl₂) and the fairly constant Na/Ca ratio indicate dilution of the ore-fluid with meteoric fluids, since admixing of a low salinity meteoric fluid would affect temperature and salinity, whereas the Na/Ca would—considering the low absolute mass of dissolved solids in the meteoric fluid—remain constant. On the other hand, intense host rock alteration and the similarity of fluorite I and host rock REYSN patterns indicate that fluid-rock interaction may also have contributed to ore-formation. Stage I fluorite-quartz mineralization is intimately associated with intense host rock silicification and REY systematics of fluorite I and host rock REYSN patterns indicate that fluid-rock interaction may also have contributed to ore-formation. Stage I fluorite-quartz mineralization is intimately associated with intense host rock silicification and REY systematics of fluorite I and host rock REYSN patterns indicate that fluid-rock interaction may also have contributed to ore-formation.

### Mineralizing systems

To our knowledge, there are no analogues to the Stage I fluorite-quartz mineralization documented here for the Niederschlag deposit anywhere else in Central Europe. This, combined with the current lack of geochronological data, renders the identification of a regional geotectonic context difficult for this mineralization stage. However, massive fluorite veins in Central Chinese Orogenic Belt (CCOB, (Pei et al. 2017; Pei et al. 2019; Xiang et al. 2010)) have many characteristics (mineralogy, texture, and geochemistry) similar to mineralization at Niederschlag (Burisch et al. 2016a; Seelig and Bucher 2010). However, recent studies have demonstrated that a sedimentary origin of fluorine in such systems is more likely (Burisch et al. 2016b; Burisch et al. 2018; Walter et al. 2018a). Mixing of a Ca-rich deep-seated basement brine with a shallower fluorine-bearing sedimentary fluid is thus considered the most important process of mineral formation for the Stage II fluorite-barite-sulfide assemblage at the Niederschlag deposit.

### Table 2 REY-concentrations of fluorite stages I and II determined by Bulk solution ICP-MS

| Abbreviations: LOQ limit of quantification |
|------------------------------------------|
| mg/kg SHFB-Nds-05 Stage II SHFB-Nds-03 Stage II SH-Nds-PO8 Stage II SH-Nds-P14 Stage I SH-Nds-P7a Stage II SH-Nds-P7b Stage II Mb-Ns-01 Stage I MbNs-02 Stage I LOQ |
| Y | 126.71 | 166.71 | 113.75 | 21.97 | 129.78 | 198.47 | 26.64 | 22.63 | 0.02 |
| La | 2.00 | 3.66 | 2.00 | 17.30 | 4.77 | 4.40 | 10.16 | 23.08 | 0.02 |
| Ce | 6.27 | 9.92 | 6.39 | 31.10 | 14.32 | 9.75 | 14.72 | 33.18 | 0.02 |
| Pr | 1.21 | 1.69 | 1.28 | 5.39 | 2.33 | 1.45 | 3.33 | 6.98 | 0.01 |
| Nd | 6.96 | 9.44 | 7.31 | 21.22 | 11.80 | 7.55 | 13.76 | 27.24 | 0.06 |
| Sm | 4.35 | 6.79 | 4.23 | 2.93 | 5.32 | 4.44 | 2.98 | 3.54 | 0.08 |
| Eu | 1.36 | 2.24 | 1.35 | 0.45 | 1.34 | 1.38 | 0.54 | 0.51 | 0.02 |
| Gd | 10.06 | 15.97 | 8.66 | 2.25 | 9.78 | 12.42 | 2.86 | 2.39 | 0.04 |
| Tb | 1.63 | 2.83 | 1.59 | 0.24 | 1.70 | 2.22 | 0.38 | 0.27 | 0.01 |
| Dy | 10.02 | 16.66 | 10.75 | 1.49 | 11.17 | 14.87 | 2.47 | 1.49 | 0.04 |
| Ho | 1.73 | 2.78 | 2.13 | 0.30 | 2.21 | 2.83 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 0.01 |
| Er | 3.66 | 6.68 | 5.51 | 0.88 | 5.57 | 7.33 | 1.53 | 0.96 | 0.02 |
| Tm | 0.35 | 0.79 | 0.71 | 0.13 | 0.68 | 0.81 | 0.24 | 0.15 | 0.01 |
| Yb | 1.43 | 4.16 | 3.57 | 0.97 | 3.44 | 3.61 | 1.61 | 1.06 | 0.02 |
| Lu | 0.17 | 0.56 | 0.50 | 0.16 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.25 | 0.16 | 0.01 |

### Table 3 Overview of genetic characteristics of stage I and II mineralization

| Stage I mineralization | Stage II mineralization |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Analogues | Vein-type fluorite deposits in the Central Chinese Orogenic Belt |
| Precipitation mechanism | Mixing with meteoric fluids and host rock interaction |
| Source of fluorine | Possibly Li-F-granites |
| Geotectonic setting | Lower Permian post-orogenic rifting |
| | Fluorite-barite Pb-Zn-Cu deposits across Europe |
| | Fluid mixing of basement and sedimentary fluids |
| | Likely sedimentary derived F |
| | Mesozoic rifting |
fluorite I mineralization. The formation of such massive fluo-
rite veins in the CCOB coincides with intense post-orogenic
magmatic activity. This is similar to the geotectonic evolution
in the Erzgebirge in the immediate aftermath of the Variscan
Orogeny in the Permian. Assuming that the formation of fluo-
rite is related to post-orogenic rifting, formation temperatures
of FIs between 140 and 180 °C suggest a significantly en-
hanced geothermal gradient of 70–80 °C/km, taking into ac-
count that not more than 2 km of Variscan basement have
been eroded since Permian times (Bauer et al. 2019; Wol-
ff et al. 2015a). Such an elevated geothermal gradient at shallow
crustal levels would, in turn, be indicative of a distal/shallow
magmatic-hydrothermal environment (Browne and Ellis
1970; Simmons et al. 2005; Simmons and Browne 2000).
This is in good agreement with fluid composition marked by
low pH and devoid of sulfur and base metals (Table 3). Such a
fluid may well have been associated with Late Variscan high-
F granitoids that abound in the area around the Niederschlag
deposit (e.g., Eibenstock granite). Such granites may well
have served directly (fractional crystallization) or indirectly
(sub-solidus leaching) as the source of fluorine.

In contrast, stage II fluorite-barite-sulfide mineralization of
the Niederschlag deposit shares close similarities to other
vein-hosted fluorite deposits in the Erzgebirge (Bauer et al.
2019; Ostendorf et al. 2019) and elsewhere across Central
Europe. Therefore, it is most likely that the formation of
Stage II fluorite at the Niederschlag deposit is related to the
far-field effects of the opening of the northern Atlantic during
the Mesozoic (Table 3). In this extensional system, fluid
mixing occurs across fluid unconformities (i.e., interface
between two chemically contrasting fluids; Bons et al. 2014;
Burisch et al. 2016b; Burisch et al. 2018) near the base of
sedimentary basins (Boiron et al. 2010; Richard et al. 2013).
The nature of the sedimentary basin present at that time is not
well constrained for the Erzgebirge. However, Jurassic marine
sediments are recorded in the nearby Elbe zone, and apatite U-
He ages suggest that a 3–5 km thick cover was present during
the Jurassic (Wolff et al. 2015a). The thickness of this sedi-
mentary cover constrains the minimum depth of formation of
Stage II fluorite. Homogenization temperatures are consistent
with this consideration, if a normal to the slightly elevated
geothermal gradient (25–35 °C) is assumed.

In situ (dotted lines) and bulk (straight lines) analyses of stage II fluorite. Compared to fluorite I these are
depicted in LREE, substantially enriched in MREE, and slightly enriched in HREE. Compared to fluorite I, fluorite II shows a distinct positive Y-
anomaly.

Fig. 7 LA-ICP-MS (dotted lines) and bulk ICP-MS (straight lines) anal-
yses of stage I and II fluorites normalized to European shale (Bau et al.
2018). a In situ (dotted lines) and bulk (straight lines) fluorite analyses of
stage I have flat patterns. They invariably exhibit minor negative Ce and
positive Y anomalies. b In-situ (dotted lines) and bulk (straight lines)
fluorite analyses of stage II fluorite. Compared to fluorite I these are

Fig. 8 Shale-normalized REY diagrams of Niederschlag fluorites in
comparison to literature data. a Range of fluorite I analyses (this study)
are indicated in green, REY pattern of the gneisses, and micaschists that
are hosted by lithologies of the Niederschlag deposit are indicated as gray
lines (Mingram et al. 2004). b The dark blue area indicates the range of
fluorite II analyses of this study, the light blue area refers to analyses of
fluorite from Freiberg (Trinkler et al. 2005), Erzgebirge, and the yellow
area indicates analyses of fluorites from various deposits in the Harz
Mountains (Alles et al. 2018)
Conclusions

Two very distinct stages of fluorite mineralization can be recognized at the Niederschlag deposit. These two stages are not only different by mineral assemblage and textural appearance but also by fluid inclusion systematics and REY trace element distribution. These differences document profound disparities in the nature of the two mineralizing systems that both explored the same structural conduit at different times. The Stage I fluorite-quartz assemblage has attributes akin to a shallow (magmatic-) hydrothermal environment that can be related to Permian orogenic collapse and subsequent rifting in the Erzgebirge. This is the first time that (circumstantial) evidence links the formation of a large fluorite deposit with this otherwise prolific metallogenetic period. In contrast, Stage II fluorite—closely associated with barite and base metal sulfides—has striking similarities to other fluorite-barite deposits in Central Europe. It can therefore be safely associated with the opening of the Atlantic during the Mesozoic.
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