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Abstract

$^{93}$Mo is an important long-lived radionuclide in nuclear waste, and is required to be measured during the characterization of decommissioning waste. However, no commercial $^{93}$Mo solution is available to be calibrated and used as standard in the analysis of nuclear waste. This work presents a method for separation of $^{93}$Mo from Nb metal used in cyclotron as a target holder and irradiated with protons for long time. The separation of $^{93}$Mo from Nb matrix was implemented by combination of precipitation and chromatographic separation. The Nb matrix was first removed by precipitating oxides-hydroxides of Nb (e.g. $\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5$) and then by Fe(OH)$_3$ co-precipitation; Mo in the solution was purified using an alumina ($\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$) column. A decontamination factor of ca. $10^5$ was achieved for Nb. A pure carrier-free $^{93}$Mo solution was successfully prepared, and the $^{93}$Mo purity was verified by liquid scintillation spectrometry.
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Introduction

$^{93}$Mo is produced by neutron activation of stable $^{92}$Mo (14.65% of abundance) within the structural materials of nuclear reactors. With progress in nuclear decommissioning activities, determination of $^{93}$Mo in nuclear wastes (especially decommissioning wastes) is required in the waste characterization and management. However, $^{93}$Mo is still not well characterized and investigated; its half-life $[(4.0 \pm 0.8) \times 10^3 \text{ years} \ [1]]$ is reported with a relatively high (20%) uncertainty.

$^{93}$Mo decays by electron capture, and does not emit any $\gamma$-line that can be easily measured by $\gamma$-spectrometry. It is mostly detected by X-ray spectrometry through its X-rays of 16.521 keV, 16.615 keV, 18.607 keV and 18.623 keV (which are the $K_{\alpha2}$, $K_{\alpha1}$, $K_{\beta3}$ and $K_{\beta1}$ lines of decay product Nb, respectively) [2–5]. However, $^{93m}$Nb also emits these X-rays, in the same ratio as $^{93}$Mo. Therefore, complete separation of $^{93}$Mo from $^{93m}$Nb before measurement of $^{93}$Mo is of paramount importance [5, 6]. The Mo/Nb ratios in the Mo fraction separated from zircaloy hulls from a nuclear reactor were reported to be 100–1000 [6].

By detection of its Auger-electrons, $^{93}$Mo can also be measured by liquid scintillation counting (LSC). The major advantages of LSC over X-ray spectrometry for measurement of $^{93}$Mo are better availability, higher detection efficiency and lack of self-absorption. Nevertheless, the measurement of $^{93}$Mo using LSC is scare; only very few reported LS spectra of $^{93}$Mo are available [7, 8].

In addition, a standard solution of $^{93}$Mo is not commercially available, even a $^{93}$Mo solution is not accessible. Therefore, the preparation of a high purity $^{93}$Mo solution is an important issue in the determination and investigation of $^{93}$Mo. This work aims to separate $^{93}$Mo from a proton irradiated $^{93}$Nb (natural Nb) target.

The similar chemical properties of Mo and Nb makes the separation of trace amount of $^{93}$Mo from the proton irradiated Nb bulk a major challenge. Only a few methods were reported for separation of $^{93}$Mo from niobium matrix. Sadeghi [9] reported a solvent extraction procedure for separation of Mo from $\text{NbO}_2$ target solution, and obtained a Mo purity of $> 99.9\%$. Das [10] reported a method for separation of Mo from Nb, Zr and Y using solvent extraction; but this method is mainly dedicated to separate large excess of Mo from trace amounts of Nb, Zr and Y.
Both Mo and Nb readily form anionic species, which behave usually similarly on anion exchange chromatography, and are not retained on cation exchange resin [11–14]. Their distribution coefficients on anion exchange resin differ significantly only in 40% HF media [15] due to their different behavior in the formation of anion with fluoride. However, it was reported that the recovery of Mo was unsatisfying using a method based on this feature [16]. Shimada reported two methods to separate Mo from — among others — Nb using TEVA resin [5, 17]. The major drawback of these methods is the unsatisfying decontamination of Nb in the Mo fraction.

Butement [18] separated Nb from Mo by co-precipitating Nb on Fe(OH)₃. After adding Fe³⁺ to the solution, he adjusted pH to 10 with NH₃·H₂O. With this method, more than 90% of Nb was precipitated, and less than 0.5% Mo was observed in the precipitate. As several ions are co-precipitated on Fe(OH)₃, similar methods are often used to purify Mo [19] (but no explanation was found in the literature). Bombard [2] applied such a method to separate Mo from Nb in 4 M NH₃·H₂O medium. Although some (≈ 10%) losses of Mo were observed, the separation was successful, as a decontamination factor (DF) of 1.98 × 10⁴ was reported for Nb after two precipitation-filtration-separation cycles. Puech [20] also used this method and supposedly reported a very similar DF (1.8 × 10⁴) [21].

Alumina (Al₂O₃) is well known to retain Mo (both as MoO₄²⁻ and MoO₂⁴⁻) in diluted HNO₃ (pH = 3.0 ± 0.5) [22, 23], which is widely used in production of ⁹⁹Mo/⁹⁹mTc-generators. However, no data about the behavior of Nb on alumina column, or about the behavior of Mo or Nb on alumina column in HF medium have been reported. For separation of Mo from irradiated Nb, a high DF is needed to get rid of the large amount of Nb matrix (including ⁹³⁹Nb, ⁹⁴⁹Nb and ⁹⁴⁸Nb), whereas no reported method meets this requirement. This work aims to develop an effective method for separation of Mo from Nb in order to prepare a pure ⁹³Mo solution from irradiated Nb target.

Experimental

Reagents and materials

Analytical grade reagents were used. Ca. 35 mg irradiated Nb was taken from a Nb target holder of a GE Healthcare PETtrace 800 cyclotron, which has been used and exposed to proton (ca. 14 MeV) for a long time; so ⁹³Mo was expected to be produced by the reaction of ⁹³⁹Nb(p, n)⁹⁴Mo.

Single element standard solutions (10 μg/mL) of Mo and Nb were purchased from LabKings, and used as carrier in the chemical separation as well as calibration standards for ICP-AES measurement.

99.8% Nb powder and pieces of 99.95% Mo wire (both purchased from Alfa Aesar) were used in the process of method development.

Alumina column was prepared by loading 2 g of neutral activated alumina (≤ 100 mesh, Brockmann), which was soaked in water, in a standard TrisKem column (7 mm diameter × 6 cm length). The active length of column was 50 mm. The prepared column was rinsed with 20 mL distilled water (to remove the finest particles) and conditioned with 10 mL 0.05 M HF (or another appropriate concentration, corresponding to the loading solution).

Model experiments (using stable Mo and Nb metals)

Typically 25–50 mg Nb and ca. 16 μg Mo were dissolved in the mixture of 1 mL 40% HF and 1 mL 68% HNO₃. Solution was evaporated to dryness, the residue was dissolved with 0.5 mL 40% HF, which was evaporated to dryness again. 5 mL 6 M HF was added to the residue and the beaker was warmed slightly, until the residue was completely dissolved. Distribution of Mo between alumina and HF acid was studied in both batch and column models. In the batch experiments, 1 g alumina was added to 10 mL HF solution of different concentration with the same amounts of Mo (16 μg). Suspensions were shaken for 2 min and kept for overnight (> 12 h). The suspension was centrifuged to separate the supernatant. Mo concentrations in the supernatants were measured.

In the chromatographic experiments, Mo and Nb were prepared in 0.02 M, 0.05 M, 0.1 M and 0.5 M HF solutions, which were loaded onto conditioned alumina columns. After rinsing the columns with 5 mL HF (whose concentrations were the same as those of the sample solutions) and then with 10 mL distilled water, Mo was eluted using 20 mL 1 M NH₃·H₂O.

Radiochemical separation of Mo from Nb

Ca. 15 mg irradiated Nb was transferred to a Teflon beaker, and dissolved in the mixture of 1 mL 40% HF and 1 mL 68% HNO₃. 30 μg Mo carrier (3 mL standard solution) was added, and the solution was evaporated to dryness. After adding 0.5 mL 40% HF, it was evaporated to dryness again. 5 mL 6 M HF was added, and the beaker was covered and warmed slightly until the residue was completely dissolved. The solution was transferred into a 50 mL plastic centrifuge tube and diluted with distilled water until 25 mL.

10 mL 25% NH₃·H₂O was added to form white Nb₂O₅ precipitate. The sample was shaken for 5 min, and then centrifuged (4 min, 3000 rpm). The supernatant was transferred into another tube and 5 mL solution of FeCl₃ (16 mg/mL Fe) was added to form brown Fe(OH)₃ precipitate. The supernatant obtained after shaking and centrifugation was
transferred into a Teflon beaker, evaporated to dryness 3 times after adding a mixture of ca. 1 mL 36% HCl and ca. 1 mL 68% HNO₃, then 3 times after adding ca. 1 mL 40% HF. It was dissolved in 5 mL 0.1 M HF, and 5 mL distilled water was added.

The solution was loaded onto a conditioned alumina column, followed by rinsing with 10 mL 0.05 M HF and then with 10 mL distilled water. Mo was eluted with 10 mL 6.7 M NH₃·H₂O, the eluate was evaporated gently to dryness, and the residue was dissolved in 5 mL distilled water. A 200 μL aliquot was taken and diluted with 3 mL 3% HNO₃ for the measurement of stable Mo and Nb by ICP-AES to calculate chemical recovery of Mo and DF of Nb. 20 mL Ultima Gold LLT cocktail was added to the remaining Mo fraction for the LSC measurement of ⁹³Mo. A schematic diagram of the separation procedure is presented in Fig. 1.

The other half of the irradiated Nb (ca. 15 mg) was processed following the same procedure, but no carrier (stable Mo) was added.

**Measurements**

ICP-AES (Varian Vista, with AX CCD equipped with ICP Expert II (Agilent Vista PRO Instrument) software) was used for determination of stable element concentration. For measurement of Mo, its 201.512 nm, 202.032 nm, 203.846 nm, 204.598 nm, 268.414 nm, 277.539 nm, 281.615 nm and 284.824 nm lines were used. For measurement of Nb, its 210.942 nm, 229.568 nm, 269.706 nm, 272.198 nm, 294.154 nm, 295.088 nm, 309.417 nm and 313.078 nm lines were used. Standard solutions of Mo and Nb were diluted to the range of 10-1000 ng/mL using 3% HNO₃ for calibration of the instrument.

As HF damages the glass pieces of the instrument, samples with high (> 0.5 M) concentration of HF were diluted using 3% HNO₃. To solutions containing less (≤ 0.5 M) HF, 0.5 M H₃BO₃ was added in stoichiometric quantity to complex the excess HF [24]. It has to be taken into account that boric acid increases the number of false signals in the 201.512 nm and 203.846 nm lines for Mo measurement. Components of chemicals used in the separation procedure also interfere with some of the lines, such as Fe with the 201.942 nm line of Nb or Al with the 281.615 nm line of Mo [25].

LSC spectra were acquired using a Wallac Quantulus 1220 ultra low level liquid scintillation spectrometer, and the spectra analysis was implemented using EasyView software.

**Results and discussion**

**Removal of niobium by precipitation of Nb₂O₅**

In the model experiments, almost all initial Mo (≥ 98%) and (9 ± 6)% of initial Nb was found in the supernatant during the Nb₂O₅ precipitation step. (91 ± 6)% of Nb was precipitated, which is firmly better, than that reported by Espartero [26] with only 50–60% being precipitated, and 46–92% reported by Osváth [27] using a similar procedure. These results indicate that precipitation of Nb₂O₅ can be used as a pre-concentration step for removal of most of Nb, but the DF (≈ 10) is insufficient.

**Removal of niobium by precipitation of Fe(OH)₃**

The preliminary experiments showed that precipitation of Fe(OH)₃ in water (adding 25% NH₃·H₂O dropwise until pH ≈ 8) caused both Nb and Mo being co-precipitated, and only 11–15% of Mo was found in the supernatant. On the contrary, when a much bigger volume of 25% NH₃·H₂O was added and Fe(OH)₃ was precipitated in 2 M, 4 M or 6 M NH₃·H₂O solution (pH ≫ 8), most of Mo (92 ± 9)%, but only (0.31 ± 0.16)% of Nb occurred in the supernatant. This indicates that the concentration of NH₃·H₂O plays an important role in the separation of Mo from Nb in this step. Similar results were reported using 6 M NH₄C₂H₃O₂ [19] or 4 M NH₃·H₂O [2]. It is not clear why high concentration of NH₃·H₂O can prohibit Mo to be precipitated or adsorbed on the precipitate of hydroxides. It might be attributed to the formation of soluble MoO₄²⁻, as Mo can form MoO₄²⁻ in alkaline solution, but not in neutral, acidic or slightly alkaline solution [28]. According to another possible explanation, the excess NH₃·H₂O forms some soluble complexes with Mo. Anyway no correlation between concentration of NH₃·H₂O and DF of Nb was found, indicating that this step is not sensitive to the concentration of NH₃·H₂O.

It was observed that Mo in the supernatant from the hydroxide precipitation could not be efficiently adsorbed on alumina column when the supernatant was directly loaded onto the column. A good retention of Mo was achieved by evaporating the supernatant to dryness.

---

Fig. 1 Flowchart of the separation procedure
evaporating it repeatedly after adding a mixture of 36% HCl and 68% HNO₃, then evaporating it repeatedly after adding 40% HF, and finally re-dissolving the residue in diluted HF solution. This probably is a consequence of the chemical form of Mo: a possible ammine complex has to be decomposed; but further investigation is needed to clearly explain the phenomenon.

### Loading Mo onto alumina column

The batch experiments (Table 1) show that Mo was quantitatively adsorbed on the alumina (> 99%) when HF concentration was lower than 0.05 M. When the HF concentration was increased to 0.1–0.5 M, some of Mo occurred in the supernatant.

The column experiments (Table 2) show that both Mo and Nb were well retained on alumina, when the loading solution was prepared in 0.02–0.1 M HF (Nb was also well retained in 0.5 M HF).

It was also observed that most of Mo (> 95%) could be adsorbed on the alumina column when the solution was prepared in diluted HNO₃ (< 1 M). However, some small fractions of Mo (up to 7%) occurred sometimes in the effluent. Importantly, various fractions of Nb (up to 51%) also occurred in the effluent, indicating that Nb could not be well retained on the alumina column.

No leakage of Mo or Nb was observed when column was rinsed with water. However, both Mo and Nb (retained from HF) have leaked when column was rinsed with 0.1–1 M HNO₃. Therefore 0.05 M HF was finally selected as loading and rinsing solution.

### Eluting Mo from alumina column

For elution of Mo, 1 M NH₃·H₂O is typically used [29, 30]; but highest recovery (≥ 80%) was achieved using concentrated (25% or 13.4 M) NH₃·H₂O [31]. Our results (Table 3) show that the more concentrated the NH₃·H₂O eluent, the more efficient the elution of Mo. However, total recoveries of Mo are within 86–99% (being typically over 92%). Concentrations of Nb in the eluate were below detection limit in all the Mo fractions, indicating that the DF of Nb is higher than 10⁴.

### Performance of the complete separation procedure

Two simulated solutions with high Nb and low Mo concentration were treated using the developed procedure. The results (Table 4) show that more than 75% of Mo can be separated, and DFs of Nb are higher than 3x10⁵. The separation of ⁹³Mo from the irradiated Nb target holder sample shows a relatively low recovery of only 48%. The slightly lower DF of ≥ 8.9 × 10⁴ for Nb is estimated, this is attributed to the detection limit of Nb in the eluate of Mo.

### Measurement using LSC

LSC spectrum of the separated ⁹³Mo is presented in Fig. 2. Peak of ⁹³Mo was found in channels 25–325. This is in good agreement with the reported LSC spectra of ⁹³Mo [7, 8]. Spectral quench parameter (SQP) of spectrum was 828. According to Roos [32], this is corresponding to (52 ± 5)% detection efficiency, which gives an activity of (26.4 ± 2.6) Bq.

### Conclusions

A fast and reliable procedure was developed to separate Mo from irradiated Nb. The method is based on the combination of precipitation of Nb₂O₅, co-precipitation with Fe(OH)₃ as well as alumina column separation. Purity of separated Mo was checked by ICP-AES; Mo recovery was

---

**Table 1** Adsorption of Mo on alumina at different concentration of HF media (batch experiments)

| Concentration of HF | Mo in the supernatant (%) |
|---------------------|---------------------------|
| 0 M                 | ≤ 0.9                     |
| 0.01 M              | ≤ 0.9                     |
| 0.02 M              | ≤ 0.9                     |
| 0.05 M              | ≤ 0.9                     |
| 0.1 M               | 3.3                       |
| 0.5 M               | 5.4                       |

*In the 0.05 M and below solutions the measured concentrations were below limit of detection

---

**Table 2** Retention of Mo and Nb on alumina column in different media of the loading solution

| Loading solution | Mo in eluate (%) | Nb in eluate (%) |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 0.02 M HF        | ≤ 0.4            | ≤ 1.2            |
| 0.05 M HF        | ≤ 0.7            | ≤ 1.2            |
| 0.1 M HF         | ≤ 1.6            | ≤ 1.2            |
| 0.5 M HF         | (68 ± 4)         | ≤ 1.2            |
| 0.5 M HNO₃       | (4.5 ± 0.3)      | (6.4 ± 0.3)      |
| 0.5 M HNO₃       | ≤ 0.1            | (0.2 ± 0.1)      |
| 0.5 M HNO₃       | (0.5 ± 0.1)      | (0.6 ± 0.1)      |
| 0.5 M HNO₃       | (1.5 ± 0.5)      | (1.6 ± 0.6)      |
| 0.5 M HNO₃       | (0.6 ± 0.3)      | (51 ± 2)         |
| 0.5 M HNO₃       | (0.9 ± 0.8)      | (2.9 ± 0.3)      |
| 0.5 M HNO₃       | (1.1 ± 0.6)      | (15 ± 1)         |
| 0.5 M HNO₃       | (3.8 ± 3.6)      | (13 ± 5)         |
| 0.5 M HNO₃       | (7.0 ± 5.3)      | (22 ± 14)        |
48%, DF of Nb was $\geq 8.9 \times 10^4$. LSC spectrum of $^{93}$Mo was acquired. Our results indicate that the developed separation procedure can be used for preparation of standard solution of $^{93}$Mo.
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