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Background: Osteogenesis imperfecta (OI), a heritable connective tissue disorder with wide clinical variability, predisposes to recurrent fractures and bone deformity. Management requires a multidisciplinary approach in which intramedullary rodding plays an important role, especially for moderate and severe forms. We investigated the patterns of surgical procedures in OI in order to establish the benefits of rodding. The main hypothesis that guided this study was that rodded participants with moderate and severe OI would have lower fracture rates and better mobility.

Methods: With data from the Linked Clinical Research Centers, we analyzed rodding status in 558 individuals. Mobility and fracture data in OI Types III and IV were compared between rodded and non-rodded groups. Univariate regression analyses were used to test the association of mobility outcomes with various covariates pertinent to rodding.

Results: Of the individuals with OI, 42.1% had undergone rodding (10.7% of those with Type I, 66.4% with Type III, and 67.3% with Type IV). Rodding was performed more frequently and at a younger age in femora compared with tibiae. Expanding intramedullary rods were used more frequently in femora. In Type III, the rate of fractures per year was significantly lower (p ≤ 0.05) for rodded bones. In Type III, the mean scores on the Gillette Functional Assessment Questionnaire (GFAQ) and Brief Assessment of Motor Function (BAMF) were higher in the rodded group. However, Type-IV non-rodded subjects had higher mean scores in nearly all mobility outcomes. OI type, the use of expanding rods in tibiae, and anthropometric measurements were associated with mobility outcomes scores.

Conclusions: Current practice in 5 orthopaedic centers with extensive experience treating OI demonstrates that most individuals with moderate and severe types of OI undergo rodding procedures. Individuals with severe OI have improved mobility outcomes and lower fracture rates compared with their non-rodded peers, which suggests that early bilateral rodding benefits OI Type III. Our analysis showed a change in practice patterns in the final years of the study in the severe forms, with earlier and more simultaneous rodding procedures performed.

Level of Evidence: Therapeutic Level III. See Instructions for Authors for a complete description of levels of evidence.

Osteogenesis imperfecta (OI) is a heritable connective tissue disorder, which predisposes patients to recurrent fractures and bone deformity and presents with variable phenotypic severity. Although originally classified by Sillence et al. into 4 categories, new subtypes were later described and expanded to 7 subtypes. The current genetic classification has extended to at least 16 subtypes. A commonly accepted nomenclature does not yet exist. However, decisions regarding surgical procedures and other medical decisions are usually made on the basis of the clinical severity of the disease using a modified...
Silence classification identifying mild disease (Type I), moderate disease (Type IV), and severe disease (Type III). Type-I patients have quantitative deficits in type-I collagen, near-normal stature, and little bone bowing, and patients with moderate and severe types of OI produce a defective type-I collagen and experience multiple long-bone deformities and fractures, with the lower extremities typically involved to a greater extent. The treatment of OI comprises a multidisciplinary effort that involves genetic evaluation, bisphosphonates, pain management, physical therapy, and orthopaedic care. Although bisphosphonates may increase bone mineral density and facilitate rodding surgical procedures, these medications have not been shown to have an effect on fractures related to bone bowing.

Intramedullary rodding is indicated in individuals with moderate to severe OI and a subset with mild OI who develop disability from recurrent fractures or progressive deformity. The technique was first proposed by Sofield and Millar in 1959; in 1963, telescoping rods designed to elongate as children grew were introduced. The typical operative intervention consists of realignment osteotomies and intramedullary fixation. Over the last 2 decades, new rods and modifications of techniques with less extensive surgical incisions have been introduced to decrease morbidity.

The determination of the best time to perform rodding depends on the patient’s clinical situation. Some have suggested rodding in patients who are attempting to stand. Others have suggested lower-extremity alignment and protection as important factors to consider in nonambulatory individuals. Although surgical treatment at younger ages may require earlier revision due to growth, this relative disadvantage may be countered by the benefits of early surgical stabilization.

Many factors impact mobility, including the severity of OI, intramedullary rodding, and anthropometric factors. Engelbert et al. reported intramedullary rodding to be associated with greater OI severity, specifically interference with walking ability. We previously reported that the phenotypic severity of OI has a significant influence on mobility outcomes. Additionally, some studies have described the benefits of rodding with respect to mobility. Anthropometric measurements have also been related to the severity of the condition and mobility outcomes.

Collaborative multicenter studies in rare diseases can lead to a better understanding of the disease and provide novel therapeutic options. We investigated the patterns of surgical procedures in OI in order to establish the benefits of rodding.

### Table I: Participants with Rodded Bones (Tibiae Only, Femora Only, and Both Femora and Tibiae) per OI Type

| OI Type | No. of Participants | Rodded Participants* | Rodded Bones* |
|---------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
|         |                     | Femur(s) Only | Tibia(s) Only | Femur(s) and Tibia(s) |
| Type I  | 244                 | 26 (10.7%) | 18 (69.2%) | 2 (7.7%) | 6 (23.1%) |
| Type III| 110                 | 73 (66.4%) | 12 (16.4%) | 3 (4.1%) | 58 (79.5%) |
| Type IV | 153                 | 103 (67.3%) | 39 (37.9%) | 4 (3.9%) | 60 (58.3%) |
| Type V  | 18                  | 10 (55.6%) | 3 (30%) | 4 (40%) | 3 (30%) |
| Type VI | 12                  | 10 (83.3%) | 6 (60%) | 0 (0%) | 4 (40%) |
| Type VII| 5                   | 2 (40%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 2 (100%) |
| Unclassified | 16 | 11 (68.8%) | 3 (27.3%) | 1 (9.1%) | 7 (63.6%) |
| Total   | 558                 | 235 (42.1%) | 81 (34.5%) | 14 (6%) | 140 (59.6%) |

*The values are given as the number of patients, with the row percentage in parentheses.

### Table II: Participants with OI Who Underwent Bilateral Rodding of the Femora or Tibiae

| OI Type | Femoral Rodding* | Bilateral Femoral Rodding* | Tibial Rodding* | Bilateral Tibial Rodding* |
|---------|------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Type I  | 24               | 9 (37.5%) | 8 | 2 (25.0%) |
| Type III| 70               | 67 (95.7%) | 61 | 56 (91.8%) |
| Type IV | 99               | 83 (83.8%) | 64 | 50 (78.1%) |
| Type V  | 6                | 0 (0.0%) | 7 | 6 (85.7%) |
| Type VI | 10               | 8 (80.0%) | 4 | 3 (75.0%) |
| Type VII| 2                | 2 (100.0%) | 2 | 2 (100.0%) |
| Unclassified | 10 | 9 (90.0%) | 8 | 7 (87.5%) |

*The values are given as the number of patients, with or without the percentage in parentheses.*
The main hypothesis that guided this study was that rodded participants with moderate and severe OI would have lower fracture rates and better mobility.

**Materials and Methods**

The Linked Clinical Research Centers (LCRC) consist of 5 clinical sites in North America: Baylor College of Medicine, Kennedy Krieger Institute in collaboration with Nemours/ Alfred I. duPont Hospital for Children, Oregon Health & Science University, Shriners Hospital for Children-Chicago, and Shriners Hospital for Children-Montreal. The OI LCRC conducted a multicenter, longitudinal, observational study that provided data for this work. Protocol approval was obtained from the institutional review board at each participating site and informed consent was obtained from the subjects or their legal guardians.

All individuals with a clinical or molecular diagnosis of OI who attended these 5 clinical centers between 2008 and 2015 were enrolled. Genotypic information was used to classify patients when available. For participants without a molecular diagnosis, the site principal investigator and project principal investigator were required to be in agreement with regard to the subtype of OI based on specific criteria. The OI LCRC conducted a multicenter, longitudinal, observational study that provided data for this work. Protocol approval was obtained from the institutional review board at each participating site and informed consent was obtained from the subjects or their legal guardians.

The following data were collected from the baseline visit: OI type, age at enrollment, sex, height, weight, rodding location (femur or tibia), type of rod (expanding intramedullary rodding: Fassier-Duval or Bailey-Dubow rod; or non-expanding intramedullary rodding: Kirschner wire, Rush rod, or other), and history of bisphosphonate use. The mobility metrics included were age at first walk, Gillette Functional Assessment Questionnaire (GFAQ), Functional Mobility Scale (FMS), and Brief Assessment of Motor Function (BAMF). All metrics, except FMS and BAMF, were self-reported. Mobility data were analyzed from individuals ≥2 years of age.

The location and the number of fractures that occurred in the year preceding each visit, starting at enrollment and continuing through annual visits for up to 5 years, were recorded. The number of fractures per year for femora and tibiae was calculated and was compared between rodded and non-rodded bones. When the date of rodding was available, age at rodding, rodding sequence, and simultaneous rodding (>1 bone, femur and/or tibia, within 1 month) for lower limbs were derived.

**Statistical Analysis**

Roddling data were summarized by OI type. Height, weight, and body mass index (BMI) were converted to age and sex-specific z-scores based on reference data. Normality was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Differences in the mean...
mobility scores between rodded and non-rodded groups were compared using a t test or nonparametric test as appropriate. Differences in proportions for GFAQ tasks between groups were compared using a chi-square test. Univariate linear (for continuous variables) and logistic regressions (for discrete variables) were used to evaluate mobility outcome predictors in the rodded group ($\alpha = 0.05$).

The analysis of the relations of rodding with mobility outcomes and fractures was focused on Type-III and IV subjects because they underwent rodding more frequently than Type-I subjects. Other OI types were not considered because of low subject numbers. The frequency of simultaneous rodding and the age of rodding in Type-III and IV subjects were analyzed considering the year of rodding, as, during the final years of the study (2003 to 2015), important changes in rodding techniques were made, including the introduction of new telescoping rods.

**Results**

In the LCRC, 558 individuals were enrolled (44.6% male); the median age was 12.4 years (range, 0.0 to 67.2 years), and the mean follow-up was 3.2 years (range, 1.0 to 5.0 years). From mobility scores between rodded and non-rodded groups were compared using a t test or nonparametric test as appropriate. Differences in proportions for GFAQ tasks between groups were compared using a chi-square test. Univariate linear (for continuous variables) and logistic regressions (for discrete variables) were used to evaluate mobility outcome predictors in the rodded group ($\alpha = 0.05$).

The analysis of the relations of rodding with mobility outcomes and fractures was focused on Type-III and IV subjects because they underwent rodding more frequently than Type-I subjects. Other OI types were not considered because of low subject numbers. The frequency of simultaneous rodding and the age of rodding in Type-III and IV subjects were analyzed considering the year of rodding, as, during the final years of the study (2003 to 2015), important changes in rodding techniques were made, including the introduction of new telescoping rods.

**Results**

In the LCRC, 558 individuals were enrolled (44.6% male); the median age was 12.4 years (range, 0.0 to 67.2 years), and the mean follow-up was 3.2 years (range, 1.0 to 5.0 years). From

| TABLE III Lower-Limb Rodded Bones per OI Type |
|---------------------------------------------|
| OI Type | No. of Rodded Bones | % Rodded | Mean Age of Rodding (yr) | % Rodded | Mean Age of Rodding (yr) | Mean No. of Rodded Bones per Patient (Range) |
|--------|---------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Type I | 43                  | 76.7%    | 6.7                      | 23.3%    | 8.4                      | 0.2 (0 to 4)                     |
| Type II| 254                 | 53.9%    | 4.1                      | 46.1%    | 5.5                      | 2.3 (0 to 4)*                    |
| Type IV| 396                 | 61.5%    | 7.5                      | 38.5%    | 9.0                      | 1.9 (0 to 4)*                    |
| Type V | 19                  | 31.6%    | 9.8                      | 68.4%    | 8.8                      | 1.0 (0 to 3)*                    |
| Type VI| 25                  | 72.0%    | 4.2                      | 28.0%    | 8.8                      | 2.1 (0 to 4)*                    |
| Type VII| 8                   | 50%      | 9.4                      | 50%      | 10.2                     | 1.6 (0 to 4)†                   |
| Unclassified | 34         | 55.9%    | 5.1                      | 44.1%    | 6.1                      | 2.1 (0 to 4)*                   |

*Significant at p ≤ 0.01 (Mann-Whitney test for the mean number of rodded bones per patient compared with OI Type I). †Significant at p ≤ 0.01 (t test for the mean number of rodded bones per patient compared with OI Type I).
this cohort, 235 individuals who underwent rodding in the femur or tibia were identified (Table I). Of the Type-III and IV subjects, approximately 67% of all subjects had undergone a rodding in the femur or tibia compared with only 10.7% of Type-I subjects. As shown in Table II, for Type-I subjects, unilateral femora were the most common rodding location (Figs. 1-A and 1-B), and bilateral femoral and tibial rodding was more frequent in Type-III subjects (Figs. 2-A, 2-B, and 2-C) and Type-IV subjects.

The mean number of bones with rods per patient was significantly higher ($p < 0.01$) for all other OI types when compared with Type-I subjects. For example, the mean number of rodded bones was 0.2 in Type-I subjects compared with 2.3 in Type-III subjects (Table III). Also, rodding of femora was performed earlier, at a mean age (and standard deviation) of $6.65 \pm 2.18$ years, than rodding of tibiae, at $8.14 \pm 1.56$ years. Expanding intramedullary rods were used significantly more frequently in femora ($p \leq 0.01$) (Table IV) (Figs. 3-A, 3-B, and 4).

In individuals with rodding of the femur and tibia, the sequence of rodding was also analyzed. Femora were rodded first in 70.9% of cases. The simultaneous rodding of lower-limb bones was performed in 45.7% of OI Type-III subjects and 34.3% of OI Type-IV subjects ($p < 0.05$). The percentage of simultaneous rodding and the age of rodding before and after the beginning of 2003 were evaluated. The number of surgical procedures with simultaneous rodding for Type-III and IV subjects increased from 31.3% to 43.1% ($p < 0.05$), and for Type-III subjects, the mean age of first rodding decreased from 5.0 to 3.7 years ($p = 0.09$).

Of 263 Type-III and IV subjects, 45.6% completed the fracture form during the annual follow-up visits (51.5% of these with fracture data from 1 visit, 36% from 2 to 3 visits, and 12.5% from 4 to 5 visits).

The rate of fractures per year in rodded compared with non-rodded femora and tibiae was significantly lower ($p < 0.05$) for rodded bones in Type-III subjects but not significantly different for Type-IV subjects (Table V).

Patients started walking before undergoing rodding in 51.2% of Type-III cases and 86.8% of Type-IV cases. We compared mobility outcomes in Type-III and IV rodded and non-rodded groups (Table VI). In Type-III subjects, significantly better results ($p \leq 0.05$) in the GFAQ walking ability

| Rod Type                                      | Femora     | Tibiae    |
|----------------------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| Expanding intramedullary rod                 | 69.7%*     | 36.9%*    |
| Non-expanding intramedullary rod             | 30.3%      | 66.1%     |

*Significant at $p \leq 0.01$ (Mann-Whitney test for expanding rods in femora compared with expanding rods in tibiae).

**Figs. 3-A and 3-B** Anteroposterior radiographs of the lower limbs of a 27-month-old boy with OI Type III and severe deformity of the femora and tibiae. The patient has been undergoing cyclic bisphosphonate treatment since infancy; his weight was 9.5 kg. **Fig. 3-A** Preoperative radiograph showing the severe deformity of the femora and tibiae. **Fig. 3-B** Postoperative radiograph. When the patient became more mobile and attempted pulling to stand, he underwent fragmentation and rodding of both femora and tibiae, the 2 legs (the tibia and the femur in each) were staged 2 weeks apart. The diameter of the tibiae precluded the use of expanding rods, so non-expanding rods were used in both tibiae (2.38-mm [3/32-inch] Steinmann pins) and expanding rods (3.2-mm Fassier-Duval) were inserted in the femora.
score and the BAMF were found for the rodded group, with no significant differences for the FMS 5-m (p = 0.93), FMS 50-m (p = 0.69), and FMS 500-m tasks (p = 0.63). On the contrary, in Type-IV subjects, the non-rodded group showed better results for nearly all mobility outcomes.

As the numerical scores for the mobility metrics may not capture aspects required for daily functioning, mobility parameters were assessed using GFAQ tasks, with a percentage of subjects responding “yes” to being able to complete each of the GFAQ tasks (see Figs. 5 and 6). In Type-III subjects, a greater proportion of individuals in the rodded group responded “yes” to specific tasks compared with the non-rodded group. In contrast, the non-rodded group performed better than the rodded group in Type-IV subjects.

Table VII shows the results of the univariate logistic and linear regression analysis between different parameters and mobility outcomes in the Type-III and IV rodded groups.

**Discussion**

Since its introduction, intramedullary rodding has had an essential role in management of OI with the objective of reducing bone deformity and periods of inactivity due to fractures.

Considering all types of OI, we found that femoral rodding was performed more frequently than tibial rodding, and it was performed first when both bones were rodded non-simultaneously. Most Type-I patients only underwent femoral rodding procedures, and combined femora and tibiae were rodded more frequently in Type-III and IV subjects. Although the reason for the initial rodding was not queried, for most of the Type-IV patients, the surgical procedure occurred after they started walking, which suggests recurrent fractures and progressive bowing of lower limbs related to weight-bearing. For Type-III subjects, about half of the participants required a surgical procedure before being able to walk, probably due to a higher incidence of early bone deformity in this group. In addition, it was found that in Type-III subjects, simultaneous rodding was significantly more frequent than in Type-IV subjects. Interestingly, the percentage of individuals who eventually underwent rodding was similar between Type-III and IV individuals. The relatively high rate of rodding in moderate and severe types of OI in this series likely reflects the familiarity and expertise with OI of the centers involved.

Femora and tibiae are usually rodded bilaterally in moderate and severe forms but unilaterally in mild forms. In agreement with Azzam et al. and Ruck et al., we found that expanding intramedullary rods were used more often in femora and non-expanding rods were used more often in tibiae. Although this study could not compare the results of surgical procedures over different time periods, it did show that the practice of rodding has shifted toward simultaneous rodding of multiple bones in Type-III and IV

---

**TABLE V Fractures per Year for OI Types III and IV: Rodded Group Compared with Non-Rodded Group**

| Variable    | OI Type III* |  | OI Type IV* |  |
|-------------|--------------|---|-------------|---|
|             | Femora       | Tibiae       | Femora       | Tibiae       |
| Rodded      | Non-Rodded   | Rodded       | Non-Rodded   | Rodded       | Non-Rodded   |
| Fractures per year | 0.79† (0.25 to 2.00) | 1.31† (0.33 to 4.00) | 0.57† (0.25 to 1.00) | 0.84† (0.50 to 1.00) | 0.87† (0.25 to 2.00) | 0.79† (0.25 to 1.50) | 0.93† (0.33 to 2.50) | 0.81† (0.25 to 1.50) |

*The values are given as the mean, with the range in parentheses. †Significant at p ≤ 0.05 (Mann-Whitney test for the rodded group compared with the non-rodded group per bone type). ‡Nonsignificant.
subjects and to earlier surgical procedures in Type-III subjects, most likely because of improvements in surgical instrumentation and techniques.

In addition to correcting long-bone deformity, reducing the fracture rate is another goal of rodding\textsuperscript{9,10,32,33}. We found that the Type-III rodded group had a significantly lower fracture rate.

### TABLE VI Mobility Outcomes for OI Types III and IV: Rodded Group Compared with Non-Rodded Group

| Mobility Outcome (Scale Range) | OI Type III* | OI Type IV* |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                               | Rodded      | Non-Rodded  | Rodded      | Non-Rodded  |
| FMS 5 m (1 to 6)              | 2.84 ± 2.11†| 2.83 ± 2.41†| 4.20 ± 1.96‡| 4.94 ± 1.76‡|
| FMS 50 m (1 to 6)             | 2.19 ± 1.86†| 2.00 ± 1.95†| 3.78 ± 2.14‡| 4.64 ± 2.04‡|
| FMS 500 m (1 to 6)            | 1.65 ± 1.58‡| 2.00 ± 1.95†| 3.24 ± 2.29‡| 4.39 ± 2.20‡|
| GFAQ walking ability score (1 to 10) | 4.19 ± 3.06§| 2.40 ± 3.00§| 6.92 ± 2.97§| 8.17 ± 2.86§|
| BAMF lower limbs (1 to 10)    | 6.48 ± 2.49§| 4.13 ± 2.58§| 8.44 ± 1.83‡| 9.06 ± 1.84‡|

*The values are given as the mean and standard deviation in points. †Nonsignificant (Mann-Whitney test for rodded bones compared with non-rodded bones for OI Types III and IV). ‡Significant at \( p \leq 0.05 \). §Significant at \( p \leq 0.01 \).

Fig. 5
Percentage of Type-III subjects responding “yes” to being able to complete each task from the GFAQ: the rodded group compared with the non-rodded group.
rate than the non-rodded group. This improvement was not seen in the Type-IV group, probably because of the wide clinical variability of this group. There exists a subset of individuals in the Type-IV group who have excellent mobility and low fracture rates and have never required rodding procedures.

Little is known about functional outcomes following rodding procedures in OI. In this study, the Type-III rodded group showed better results in most mobility outcomes than the non-rodded group, except for the FMS. This benefit of a surgical procedure was not observed in Type-IV subjects, most likely because only the patients with more severe OI typically require rodding, so those who do not require a surgical procedure likely have better mobility. Conversely, it is possible that some participants in the OI Type-III non-rodded group had contraindications to rodding because of increased severity.

Functional outcomes and quality of life have been reported to be related to condition severity. In agreement with Kruger et al., we found that the OI type was associated with mobility outcomes in the rodded group. Additionally, within the rodded group, a height deficit was associated with reduced mobility outcomes, agreeing with the literature in which height has been related to condition severity. Although the use of BMI in populations with short stature is controversial because it may overestimate the proportion of obesity, many studies found a high prevalence of elevated BMI, especially in individuals with OI Type III. Our results showed that increased BMI had an association with poor results across mobility outcomes.

When looking at the predictors of mobility outcomes in the rodded group, even though expanding rods were used less frequently in tibiae, they showed better results. However, it is unknown if this is related to the type of rod or the size of the bone, as expanding rods are typically used in larger bones.

Some have postulated that a younger age of rodding could be a positive determinant of results. In our study, we found no relation between the age of rodding and mobility outcomes, in agreement with other studies, which suggests that rodding criteria based on functional outcomes should be employed rather than age. In future work, gait analysis may play an important role in preoperative planning.
### TABLE VII Predictors of Mobility Outcomes in OI Types III and IV: Rodded Group

| Predictor | GFAQ Walking Ability Score† | FMS 5 m† | FMS 50 m† | FMS 500 m† | BAMF Lower-Extremity Gross Motor Scale† | Conclusions |
|-----------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------------------|-------------|
| OI Type III or IV vs. OI Type I | 10.2† | 2.2† | 4.4† | 5.7† | 5.7† | OI Types III and IV showed worse mobility outcome compared with OI Type I |
| Non-expanding vs. expanding rods in tibiae | 3.6§ | NS | 4.4† | 3.6§ | 2.9† | Subjects with non-expanding rods in tibiae showed worse results than those with expanding rods |
| Non-expanding vs. expanding rods in femora | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | No significant differences in mobility outcome for subjects with expanding or non-expanding rods in femora |
| Bisphosphonates: no or yes | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | No significant differences in mobility outcome for rodded subjects with or without treatment with oral or intravenous bisphosphonates |
| Simultaneous rodding of both femora vs. 1 femur | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | No significant differences in mobility outcome for subjects with simultaneous or non-simultaneous femoral rodding |
| Simultaneous rodding of femur and tibia vs. 1 femur | 6.5† | 3.9† | 6.4† | 4.1† | 6.3† | Subjects with tibiae and femora rodded simultaneously had significantly worse mobility outcome |
| Sequence of rodding: 2 femora vs. 1 femur first | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | No significant differences in mobility outcome for subjects with 1 femoral rodding first compared with 2 femora first |
| Linear regressions: $Y = A + B \times X$ | | | | | | |
| Standardized height | 0.22† | 0.18† | 0.21† | 0.13† | 0.21† | A weak association was found between standard height and all of the mobility outcome (direct relation) |
| Standardized weight | 0.10† | 0.07† | 0.10† | 0.07† | 0.08† | A weak association was found between standard weight and all of the mobility outcomes (direct relation) |
| Standardized BMI | 0.11† | 0.05† | 0.06† | 0.06† | 0.10† | A weak association was found between standard BMI and all of the mobility outcomes (direct relation) |
| Age at first rodding | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | No association was found between the age at the time of the first rodding surgical procedure reported and the mobility outcome |

*NS = no significant correlation. †The values are given as the odds ratio for the logistic regression or as the coefficient of determination ($R^2$) for the linear regression. ‡Significant at $p \leq 0.05$. §Significant at $p \leq 0.01$. 
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A simultaneous rodding surgical procedure has been associated with benefits including reducing rehabilitation time\(^\text{11}\), number of anesthesia procedures, postoperative pain management needs, and hospital stay days\(^\text{7}\). In this study, having 1 or 2 femora rodded at the same time showed no differences in mobility outcomes and less favorable results were found for the case of simultaneous femoral and tibial rodding. However, we must point out that this last finding could be related to the severity of the disease of the participants who needed this type of surgical procedure.

Some studies have postulated that use of bisphosphonates could facilitate a rodding surgical procedure\(^\text{11,13,14,39}\) and benefit mobility, muscle force, and well-being\(^\text{7,14,34}\), and other studies found no changes in mobility\(^\text{7,14,42,43}\). A recent systematic review concluded that children given intravenous bisphosphonates have increased mobility\(^\text{7,14}\). However, in this study, we found no correlation between the use of bisphosphonates and mobility outcomes in the rodded group.

A limitation of this study was that mobility outcomes before and after the surgical procedures, where benefits in both OI types III and IV have been reported\(^\text{7,14,34}\), were not compared, and the data were analyzed at variable times after the surgical procedure. Also, fracture information was available for only about half of the population, with data collected in a variable number of visits, and, even though multidisciplinary treatment, which is associated with the best results\(^\text{7,14,42,43}\), was offered in all centers, the contributions of the different interventions and their interactions were not evaluated.

In conclusion, the analysis of current practice at 5 orthopaedic centers with extensive experience treating OI demonstrated that most individuals with moderate and severe types of OI undergo rodding procedures and that rodded individuals with severe OI have improved mobility outcomes and lower fracture rates. Not all individuals with moderate OI require rodding; in fact, the non-rodded group performed better, probably representing the variability of function within that group. Future multicenter studies evaluating the outcomes before and after surgical procedures could be helpful to better delineate the effects of rodding in the moderate group.

However, we present evidence that early bilateral rodding is beneficial in OI Type-III subjects. We have shown a change in practice patterns in the past few years in the severe forms of OI, with more simultaneous rodding procedures and earlier rodding, likely as a result of improvements in surgical procedures.
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