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ABSTRACT

Objectives: This study evaluated the characteristics of individuals with voluntary behavioural changes (cancellation and postponement of bookings) during the early stages of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in Japan. In addition, the temporal trends of these changes were captured.

Study design: A cross-sectional analysis and a time series analysis were conducted.

Methods: A nation-wide retrospective panel survey was conducted at the end of March 2020 (n = 1052). Odds ratios for cancellations/postponements with respect to individual characteristics were calculated in the analysis. To determine the temporal trend, the incidence ratios were compared throughout the time series analysis for four time periods: period 1, before the announcement of the Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC) from the World Health Organisation (WHO) (January 1–31); period 2, after the announcement of PHEIC (February 1–26); period 3, after the announcement of school closures by the Japanese government (February 27–March 11); and period 4, after the announcement of the pandemic by the WHO (March 12–31).

Results: In total, 72% of respondents cancelled or postponed their bookings at least once, and about half of the changes occurred in period 3. Elderly individuals’ changes in gatherings were, on average, 5.9 times (95% confidence interval [CI] 1.9–17.9) higher than those of young individuals. The incidence rate of change in gatherings during period 3 was 7.11 times (95% CI: 5.16–9.81) higher than in period 2 and 3.15 times (95% CI: 2.25–4.43) higher than in period 4. Significant interaction terms were observed in age and residential city size, but not sex, of the respondents.

Conclusions: A significant proportion of the Japanese population voluntarily changed their behaviour during the early stages of the COVID-19 outbreak, and the government’s announcement of school closures was a key trigger during this time.

© 2021 The Royal Society for Public Health. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

The novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has resulted in many deaths and severe economic losses worldwide. The World Health Organisation (WHO) declared the outbreak to be a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC) on January 31, 2020, when the number of globally confirmed cases reached 98261 (Japan: 12 cases2). The total number of infected cases is still showing an increasing trend and was >16.8 million globally3,4 (Japan: approximately 34,500 cases2) as of July 31, 2020. A considerable number of countries implemented a forcible lockdown in individual cities or nationwide to prevent the spread of SARS-CoV-2. For example, China implemented a lockdown at the end of January, whereas Italy, Spain and the UK did so on March 9, March 14 and March 17, respectively. New York in the US was locked down on March 22.5 Such lockdowns are supported by penalties to violations of the restrictions.

While the COVID-19 vaccine is still under development, subsequent waves of COVID-19 outbreak are likely to occur, and the overall duration of the COVID-19 pandemic could continue until
With the projection of prolonged or intermittently occurring outbreaks and in the absence of pharmaceutical interventions, maintaining physical distance is crucial in preventing the transmission of SARS-CoV-2; hence, voluntary behavioural changes are extremely important. Unfortunately, the roles of voluntary behavioural changes during the pandemic, especially in its early stages, have remained unknown because surveys targeting individual behavioural changes are lacking. Unlike other countries, Japan did not adopt any lockdown measure. Instead, Japan has heavily relied on voluntary behavioural changes and cooperation. This provides a unique case study to reveal insights into COVID-19 policymaking.

This study used data from a nationwide online questionnaire survey conducted in Japan at the end of March 2020. Individual characteristics of those who voluntarily cancelled or postponed their bookings (e.g. trips, leisure activities or gatherings) to prevent transmission of the coronavirus are described. Furthermore, the temporal trends in voluntary behavioural changes are clarified. In this analysis, the announcement of school closures by the Japanese government on February 27, before the declaration of a state of emergency, was a focus time point. This study evaluated how the school closure announcement triggered a change in behaviours.

**Methods**

Two epidemiological analyses were conducted. First, a cross-sectional analysis took place to identify the characteristics of individuals who cancelled or postponed their bookings due to the COVID-19 outbreak. Second, a time-series analysis to evaluate the temporal trends of voluntary changes in behaviours was performed.

**Questionnaire survey**

An online nationwide retrospective panel survey in Japan between March 23 and March 30 was implemented. Thus, respondents were asked to recall behavioural changes (i.e. booking types and dates) based on memory. The details of this survey have been described in a previous study. briefly, the survey aimed to reveal various changes in the lives of individuals and data collected included: (1) individual or household characteristics; (2) changes in booking behaviour and other daily life activities; and (3) factors for behavioural changes and consequences caused by COVID-19 at the early stage of the outbreak in Japan. In total, valid data were collected from 1052 respondents.

**Changes in booking behaviours**

In this study, cancellations or postponements of bookings as behavioural changes against the spread of COVID-19 were the main focus. In the questionnaire survey, respondents were asked to report cancellations/postponements of bookings and detail initial dates of changes. Nine types of bookings were included, which were further classified into four categories: (1) domestic tourism and business trips; (2) international tourism and business trips; (3) leisure activities, such as eating out, music concerts, sporting events and cinema trips; and (4) mass gatherings (e.g. joining a party).

The Japanese government declared a state of emergency due to COVID-19 on April 7, 2020, when the number of globally confirmed cases reached 1,279,722 (Japan: 4341). The declaration enabled prefectural governors to take stronger preventive actions, instruct residents to stay at home, and restrict the operation of schools and other facilities, although there were no enforcements or legal penalties. Because the declaration was made after the online survey, all observed cancellations/postponements in this study occurred voluntarily, without any enforcement.

**Major public announcements**

Three major public announcements by the WHO and Japanese government, which took place before declaring the state of emergency in Japan, were considered in this study. The first was the PHEIC announcement by the WHO on January 31, the second was the announcement of temporary school closures by the Japanese government on February 27, and the third was the COVID-19 outbreak being declared a pandemic by the WHO on March 11.

Information, including dates, on these three major public announcements was not provided to respondents.

**COVID-19 data**

In evaluating the relationships between the reported number of confirmed COVID-19 cases and cancellations/postponements of bookings, infection data were obtained from the website of the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. It should be noted that these data do not contain the number of individuals who tested positive at airport quarantine.

**Individual characteristics**

When investigating the relationships between individual characteristics and voluntary cancellations/postponements of bookings, the following three individual characteristics were focused upon: sex (male and female), age (15–39, 40–59 and ≥60 years) and city size of residence (large-, middle- and small-sized cities). Large-sized cities included Tokyo (23 wards), Yokohama, Kawasaki, Saitama, Chiba, Sagamihara, Nagoya, Kyoto, Sakai, Osaka and Kobe. Middle-sized cities indicated those ordinance cities other than the aforementioned large-sized cities. All other cities were classified as small-sized cities. In addition, several other characteristics were also considered, including educational level (high school, university/college and graduate school), occupation (employee, non-regular employee/other), household income (<3000k, 3000k–4999k, 5000k–9999k and ≥10000k Japanese Yen), marital status (no: never married/bereavement/divorce, and yes: married), living with a junior high school-aged or younger member in the household (yes and no) and living with an elderly person (≥65 years) in the household (yes and no).

**Statistical analyses**

In the cross-sectional analysis, the associations between individual characteristics and cancellations/postponements were examined by calculating the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) using logistic regression analysis.

For the time-series analysis, cancellations/postponements observed between January 1 and March 31 were investigated and classified into four time periods: period 1, before the PHEIC announcement (January 1 to 31 [31 days]); period 2, after the PHEIC announcement (February 1 to 26 [26 days]); period 3, after the school closure announcement (February 27 to March 11 [14 days]); and period 4, after the pandemic announcement (March 12 to 31 [20 days]). The incidence rate ratios (IRR) and 95% CIs of cancellation/postponement events were then calculated for the four categories of cancellations/postponements by comparing with the period in period 2 (reference), based on a Poisson regression model. The IRRs of confirmed COVID-19 infection cases were also calculated. Furthermore, cancellations or postponements of bookings may show interaction effects between individual characteristics.
and time periods. To confirm this, the statistical interaction between each characteristic and time variable was evaluated at a significance level of 0.10 by including a corresponding interaction term in the Poisson model. Subsequently, stratified analyses by individual characteristics were conducted. SPSS software (IBM Inc., Japan, version 26.0) was used in all analyses. A P-value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

Characteristics of the 1052 respondents are shown in Table 1. The sex and city/town size categories were almost equally distributed. In terms of age and occupation, 74% of respondents were in the core working-age population (20–60 years) and 41.2% were classified as company employee/officer/self-employed.

Fig. 1 shows the numbers and percentages of cancellations/postponements of bookings. Among all respondents, 573 individuals (54%) cancelled or postponed at least one booking (within the nine major bookings categories) before the end of March 2020.

The net percentage of cancellations/postponements was 72%, excluding 260 individuals who did not have any booked activity. The category with the largest number of cancellation/postponement was gatherings, followed by eating out and domestic tourism trips. Examining the net percentage of cancellations/postponements, the highest was observed in sporting events (94%) and the lowest was noted in eating out (only 39%).

Table 2 shows the estimated associations between the three major individual characteristics (sex, age, and city size) and cancellation/postponement of bookings. The percentages of cancellations/postponements were similar across sexes, and statistically significant ORs were not observed for any booking type. In terms of age, only bookings for cinema trips and gatherings show significant differences across age groups, in which cancellations/postponements were higher in the middle-aged (40–59 years) and elderly (≥60 years) groups than those in the young group (15–39 years). Cancellations/postponements of cinema trips and gatherings in elderly individuals were 4.2 times (95% CI: 1.3–13.2) and 5.9 times (95% CI: 1.9–17.9) higher, respectively, than those in young individuals. Regarding city size, domestic and international business trips were more likely to be cancelled or postponed for individuals living in large-sized cities (3.4 times [95% CI: 1.1–10.3] higher for domestic trips and 12.5 times [95% CI: 1.6–102.9] higher for international trips) than those living in small-sized cities. However, city size was not associated with other cancellations/postponements. Thus, cancellations/postponements of bookings did not show remarkable differences in terms of sex or residential city size of the individual for most of the nine booking types. The ORs with respect to other individual characteristics are shown in Supplementary Table S1. Individuals who had a higher educational level, were married or were living with a child of junior high school-aged or younger were more likely to cancel or postpone their bookings.

Fig. 2 shows the cumulative numbers of cancellations/postponements and confirmed COVID-19 infection cases. An exponential increasing trend of cancellations/postponements was observed by the end of February; this increasing trend started earlier than the increasing cumulative infection cases. Period 1 (January 1–31) accounted for 2.4% of the total cancellations/postponements (n = 35), period 2 (February 1–26) accounted for 17.9% (n = 258), period 3 (February 27–March 11) accounted for 50.0% (n = 721) and period 4 (March 12–31) accounted for 29.6% (n = 427). The cumulative numbers of cancellations/postponements stratified by characteristics (sex, age, and city size) are shown in Supplementary Fig. S1. Similar trends of increasing numbers of cancellations/postponements after the announcement of school closures were observed across all characteristics.

The numbers and IRRs of reported confirmed infection cases and cancellations/postponements for the four time periods in the time-series analysis are shown in Table 3. Compared with Period 2, the IRR of confirmed COVID-19 cases were 5.06 times (95% CI: 4.22–6.07) higher in period 3 and 12.43 times (95% CI: 10.55–14.63) higher in period 4. In contrast, the IRRs of cancellations/postponements in period 3 were higher than those in period 4. In particular, the IRRs of gatherings were 7.11 (95% CI: 5.16–9.81) times in period 3 and 3.15 (95% CI: 2.25–4.43) in period 4.

Results of the stratified analysis by individual characteristics are shown in Fig. 3. No significant interaction was observed between sex and time period. In contrast, significant interaction terms were observed across age groups and city sizes. In period 3, the IRRs for domestic trips were higher in the young group (15–39 years) than in the middle-aged group (40–59 years) [P for interaction: PI = 0.09] and older age group (≥60 years) [PI = 0.03]. A similar trend was also observed with respect to leisure-related bookings in period 3. Regarding interaction effects between time

---

**Table 1** Characteristics of respondents (respondent n = 1052).

| Characteristic | n (%) |
|---------------|------|
| Sex | Male | 532 (50.6) |
| | Female | 520 (49.4) |
| Age group in years | 15–19 | 72 (6.8) |
| | 20–29 | 168 (16.0) |
| | 30–39 | 206 (19.6) |
| | 40–49 | 221 (21.0) |
| | 50–59 | 185 (17.6) |
| | 60–64 | 111 (10.6) |
| | >65 | 89 (8.3) |
| City/town size | Small | 318 (30.2) |
| | Middle | 317 (30.1) |
| | Large | 417 (39.6) |
| Educational level (being in/graduated) | High school | 340 (32.3) |
| | University/College | 661 (62.8) |
| | Graduate School | 51 (4.8) |
| Occupation | Employ/employee | 433 (41.2) |
| | Employer/self-employed | 50 (4.8) |
| | Employee/Public servant/organisation employee | 15 (1.4) |
| | Family member (any school or college/university) | 145 (13.8) |
| | Part-time job | 33 (3.1) |
| | Non-regular employee (including pensioner) | 167 (15.9) |
| | Unemployed | 112 (10.6) |
| | Students | 97 (9.2) |
| Household income in JPY Yen | <3000k | 254 (24.1) |
| | 3000k–4999k | 293 (27.9) |
| | 5000k–9999k | 387 (36.8) |
| | ≥10,000k | 118 (11.2) |
| Marital Status | Never married/bereavement/divorce | 517 (49.1) |
| | Married | 535 (50.9) |
| Living with a junior high school-aged or younger in the household | No | 837 (79.6) |
| | Yes | 215 (20.4) |
| Living with an elderly person (≥65 y) in the household | No | 759 (72.1) |
| | Yes | 293 (27.9) |
Fig. 1. Numbers and percentages of cancellations or postponements of bookings (respondents n = 1052). The percentages in the parentheses on the right side are the rate of cancellations or postponements in respondents, excluding those who answered not applicable.

Table 2
Numbers and odds ratios (ORs) for cancellations or postponements of bookings according to individual characteristics (sex, age, city size) in the cross-sectional analysis (n = 1052).

| Individual characteristics | Sex | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) | OR (95% CI) |
|----------------------------|-----|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| n (%)                      |     |             |             |             |
| Sex                        |     |             |             |             |
| Domestic trips             |     |             |             |             |
| Tourism                    | Men | 93 (62.8)   | ref          | 85 (72.0)   | 1.5 (0.9–2.6) |
|                           | Women| 76 (77.6)   | ref          | 20 (87.0)   | 3.5 (0.5–7.1) |
| Business                   | Men | 51 (87.9)   | ref          | 28 (80.0)   | 7.3 (0.2–1.7) |
|                           | Women| 35 (87.5)   | ref          | 10 (83.3)   | 7.0 (0.1–4.3) |
| International trips        |     |             |             |             |
| Tourism                    | Men | 21 (77.8)   | ref          | 39 (86.7)   | 1.9 (0.5–6.5) |
|                           | Women| 14 (87.5)   | ref          | 27 (87.1)   | 1.0 (0.2–5.9) |
| Leisure activities         |     |             |             |             |
| Eating out                 | Men | 130 (41.9)  | ref          | 115 (36.9)  | 0.7 (0.6–1.1) |
|                           | Women| 70 (88.6)   | ref          | 80 (87.9)   | 7.8 (0.4–2.4) |
| Music concert              | Men | 73 (94.8)   | ref          | 53 (93.0)   | 1.8 (0.2–3.0) |
|                           | Women| 87 (75.0)   | ref          | 91 (77.8)   | 3.0 (0.6–2.1) |
| Sporting event             | Men | 34 (89.5)   | ref          | 73 (94.8)   | 2.1 (0.5–9.1) |
|                           | Women| 46 (65.7)   | ref          | 100 (78.7)  | 1.9 (1.0–3.7) |
| Cinema trip                | Men | 73 (76.0)   | ref          | 196 (85.6)  | 1.9 (1.0–3.4) |
|                           | Women| 107 (86.3)  | ref          | 97 (80.8)   | 0.9 (0.3–1.3) |
| Gatherings                 | Men | 183 (83.2)  | ref          | 161 (87.5)  | 4.9 (0.8–2.5) |
|                           | Women| 111 (88.8)  |             |             |             |
| Age                       |     | 15–39 y     | 40–59 y      | ≥60 y       |
| Domestic trips             |     |             |             |             |
| Tourism                    | Men | 50 (68.5)   | ref          | 90 (65.2)   | 0.9 (0.5–1.6) |
|                           | Women| 22 (84.6)   | ref          | 65 (80.2)   | 0.7 (0.2–2.4) |
| Business                   | Men | 21 (77.8)   | ref          | 39 (86.7)   | 1.9 (0.5–6.5) |
|                           | Women| 14 (87.5)   | ref          | 27 (87.1)   | 1.0 (0.2–5.9) |
| International trips        |     |             |             |             |
| Tourism                    | Men | 50 (87.7)   | ref          | 77 (86.5)   | 0.9 (0.3–2.4) |
|                           | Women| 34 (89.5)   | ref          | 73 (94.8)   | 2.1 (0.5–9.1) |
| Business                   | Men | 46 (65.7)   | ref          | 100 (78.7)  | 1.9 (1.0–3.7) |
|                           | Women| 73 (76.0)   | ref          | 196 (85.6)  | 1.9 (1.0–3.4) |
| Leisure activities         |     |             |             |             |
| Eating out                 | Men | 69 (39.2)   | ref          | 75 (40.3)   | 1.0 (0.7–1.6) |
|                           | Women| 41 (85.4)   | ref          | 39 (86.7)   | 1.1 (0.3–3.6) |
| Music concert              | Men | 37 (94.9)   | ref          | 44 (89.8)   | 0.5 (0.1–2.6) |
|                           | Women| 54 (78.3)   | ref          | 57 (76.0)   | 0.9 (0.4–1.9) |
| Sporting event             | Men | 104 (86.3)  | ref          | 97 (80.8)   | 0.9 (0.3–1.3) |
|                           | Women| 107 (86.3)  | ref          | 97 (80.8)   | 0.9 (0.3–1.3) |

CI, confidence interval; NE, not evaluated.

* The percentage was calculated as follows: cancellations/postponements numbers divided by the total number of participants, excluding the those who did not have scheduled events.
periods and city sizes, the IRRs for international trips were higher for individuals living in large-sized cities than the IRRs for those in small-sized cities in period 3 (PI = 0.03). The IRRs for leisure-related bookings were lower in respondents from middle-sized cities than IRRs for those in small-sized cities in Period 3 (PI = 0.09). It was noted that the initial IRRs of cancellations/postponements in period 2 differed across age groups and city sizes. In period 2, the IRRs for cancellations/postponements in all four booking categories were lower for the young group (15–39 years) than other age groups. In terms of city sizes, in period 2, the IRRs for domestic and international trips were lower for individuals residing in middle-sized cities, the IRRs for leisure-related bookings were lower for those in small-sized cities, and IRRs for gatherings were lower for respondents in large-sized cities. The results of interaction and stratified analysis by other individual variables are shown in Supplementary Fig. 2. Different IRRs for cancellations/postponements of some bookings were observed with respect to certain characteristics, particularly household income.

Fig. 4 shows the percentages of triggers for changes in bookings. During the survey, about half of the respondents reported that recommendations from government were a trigger for changing their bookings (answered as agree/fully agree, 47%); however, approximately one-quarter of respondents did not agree that recommendations from the government were trigger for changing their bookings.

Discussion

This study revealed differences in voluntary behavioural changes (cancellations/postponements of bookings) across individual characteristics and between four key time periods in the early stages of the COVID-19 outbreak in Japan. During the early stages, even though no enforcement of physical distancing measures were implemented, 72% of respondents voluntarily changed their behaviour at least once. The announcement of school closures by the government was a key trigger for the initiative of behavioural changes across all age groups. The observed high percentage (72%) of cancellations/postponements is roughly consistent with the observations of two recent studies: a cross-sectional survey conducted on 11,324 Japanese individuals at the end of March reported that 85% of respondents maintained physical distance,13 and a two-wave panel survey (wave 1, end of February; wave 2, beginning of April)
investigating the implementation status of five recommended measures by WHO in Japan showed that 67.4–82.2% of respondents maintained physical distance. In contrast to these two studies, the current investigation focused on the cancellation/postponement of bookings. Although it is unclear whether the proportion of cancellations/postponements determined in this study (72%) is sufficient to prevent virus transmission, this finding has provided evidence of the basic behavioural characteristics of individuals who will voluntarily prepare for the expected new wave of the pandemic. Many countries have implemented extreme restrictions, which may not be sustainable for a long period because of the resulting detrimental effects on human lives, society and the economy. To respond to intermittently occurring outbreaks of COVID-19 in a sustainable manner, human behavioural changes are crucial. Although there are differences in cultural and social backgrounds across countries, the results of this study are
important. Cross-country learning is essential to better prepare for future pandemics.

Furthermore, this study has revealed temporal changes in behaviour, which sharply increased after the announcement of school closures by the Japanese government. The declaration of school closures did not directly restrict activities for all Japanese individuals; however, the announcement was an important trigger for initiating behavioural changes against the spread of COVID-19. A previous study reported that risk perception impacts individual preventive behaviour, but paradoxically, the risk perceived by individuals was not necessarily correlated with the actual risk. One international comparison study further showed that belief in the efficacy of health behaviours was related to the COVID-19 voluntary compliance behaviours, but perceiving oneself as vulnerable and the perceived severity of catching COVID-19 was of little importance. In the present study, the incidence of increasing behavioural changes started earlier than the increasing number of confirmed COVID-19 cases (Fig. 2). Moreover, another study, in which the effects of non-pharmaceutical interventions were evaluated across 131 countries, reported that school closures were associated with reduced time-varying reproduction number (R) of SARS-CoV-2. In contrast, this study has clarified a different role of school closures (the first national-level countermeasure for COVID-19 in Japan) in encouraging voluntary behavioural changes in a certain proportion of the population. In other words, school closures might be a key trigger for voluntary behavioural changes in a variety of activities planned at the early stages of the pandemic.

Several studies have been conducted on the basis of online surveys to monitor perception and psychological responses during or after the COVID-19 outbreak. For instance, compliance and mental health were measured in Italy, knowledge and perceptions were monitored in the US and UK, and psychological responses, behavioural changes and public perceptions were evaluated in Wuhan and Shanghai, China. Because these countries/cities implemented a forced lockdown in the early stages of the outbreak, the evidence, in which the real voluntary behavioural changes are measured in the early stages, is insufficient. Some international comparisons were made with respect to physical distancing, washing hands and wearing face masks. Because behavioural changes may not be the same across countries, further extensive intercountry comparisons should be conducted. In addition, in Germany, a weekly COVID-19 Snapshot Monitoring system, named COSMO has been implemented. This system has been routinely measuring public perceptions of risks, protective and preparedness behaviours, and public trust. It is worth establishing a similar continuous monitoring system to capture behavioural changes.

In this study, elderly and middle-aged individuals were more likely to cancel or postpone their bookings than younger individuals, particularly for leisure-related bookings and gatherings. This finding is consistent with a previous study in Japan, which reported that younger individuals (age <30 years) were reluctant to implement proper prevention measures. In the present study, such behavioural changes across age groups were also observed in period 2 (as the reference time period [i.e. before the announcement of school closures]): the percentage of young individuals cancelling or postponing their leisure-related bookings was 14%, which was lower than that of the elderly group (19%) (Fig. 3). However, after the announcement of school closures (period 3), young individuals increased their cancellations/postponements (49%) more than the elderly group (42%). Thus, young individuals perceived the infection risks later than the elderly population, and an intensive alert/messaging campaign aimed at younger individuals would be important during the early stages of an outbreak. Regarding other characteristics, such as residential city size, educational level, occupation, household income and living with an elderly person, significant differences were identified for cancellations/postponements; although there was no clear tendency, time lags for behavioural changes existed across these individual characteristics. No significant differences in behavioural changes were observed between men and women, although women were more likely to make behavioural changes than men (Table 2). A study in the US reported that women adhere more to preventive health practices, such as social distancing and hand-washing, than men in the spread of coronavirus. This tendency was also reported in another study. Further investigations are recommended to identify specific determinants of behavioural changes.

This study has several limitations. First, although the respondents were selected by matching the distributions of age, sex and residential regions with those of the whole population, some respondents may not answer the questionnaire for various reasons, such as being unwilling to provide their personal information or having no time to answer the survey. As a result, selection bias may exist in this study, and the estimated cancellation rate may be potentially higher than in real situations. However, we compared the IRR between the time before and after school closures; thus, the effects of such selection bias were minimised, particularly in our time-series analysis. Second, this study relied on human memory to recall behavioural changes (i.e. booking types and dates). Human memory may involve recall bias, even though retrospective surveys have been widely applied. Using a buffer period (minimum: 14 days) for assessing each public announcement in the time-series analysis has weakened such bias of recalling the dates; however, the bias is not zero. Further studies are required on the basis of the panel approach to robustly and quantitatively evaluate the continuous trend of behavioural changes by reflecting dynamic behavioural decision-making mechanisms. Lastly, extensive inter-country comparisons should be conducted to derive more scientifically sound evidence for supporting policy decisions against the current and future pandemics.

Conclusions

This study was an initial attempt to reveal voluntary behavioural changes at the early stages of the COVID-19 outbreak in Japan, emphasising that the observed behavioural changes occurred without any forced physical distancing measures, which could be applicable globally. The government announcement of school closures was identified as a key trigger for behavioural changes in Japan. These temporal trends should be continuously monitored and updated to help governments implement cost-effective and effect-maximising policy measures.
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