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In this study, an aluminosilicate residue from insulators of high voltage transformers was used for the adsorption of basic dyes. The absorbent was characterized by X-ray fluorescence analysis, X-ray diffraction analysis, scanning electron microscopy, multimolecular adsorption theory (Branauer-Emmet-Teller (BET)) and determination of the point of zero charge (pH PZC). The effect of solution pH and adsorbent mass, the kinetic and thermodynamic behavior at different temperatures and the application of non-linear isotherm models of Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin and Dubinin-Radushevich were investigated. The pH PZC value for the aluminosilicate was 3.7. The best conditions for adsorption of methylene blue and crystal violet dyes were pH 8.0 and adsorbent mass of 1100 mg in 25 mL. The best fit for the experimental data was obtained applying the pseudo-second-order kinetic model, with an equilibrium time of 480 to 720 min, and the activation energy suggests a physical adsorption mechanism. Isothermal parameters suggest a heterogeneous, favorable and predominantly physical surface adsorption. The thermodynamic studies indicated that the adsorption process is not spontaneous and is exothermic and the Gibbs energy values (∆Gº) suggest physisorption.
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Introduction

The textile industry is one of the biggest consumers of dyes, which are used in the production stages, and thus the control and treatment of the effluents generated are required, since they have a high toxic organic load. The degradation of aquatic environments is strongly related to an increase in the organic load provided by these industrial dyes. Environmental changes due to dyes discarded without proper treatment disrupt the natural dynamics of biological communities, with negative impacts being associated with the pH, turbidity, chemical and biological oxygen demand, the amount of total solids in suspension and other physicochemical parameters of the hydric body. Many techniques can be used to remove dyes from effluents, including oxidation, photocatalytic degradation, adsorption and others. Adsorption is of particular interest due to the fact that trace elements can be removed and the adsorbent can be recovered. The effectiveness of effluent treatments applying an adsorption process is related to the adsorbent, the adsorbate and the medium of diluted solutions. In general, adsorption processes are more versatile and superior to other techniques for wastewater treatment in terms of initial cost, simplicity of design, ease of operation, sensitivity to toxic substances and removal of dyes even from dilute solutions. A wide variety of adsorbents are available for this purpose, such as activated carbon, carbonized watermelon rind, coconut shell, clays, silicas, chitosan, chitosan-activated charcoal composite, and chitosan-epichlorohydrin/zeolite, and these can be reused in the process several times.

Companies that supply electricity generate residues of ceramic material from electrical insulators, through equipment maintenance and modernization processes, and these accumulated over time. The characteristics of this material differ from those of traditional ceramics. Its basic composition consists of kaolin, clay, quartz and potassic feldspar, and it is referred to by the generic name of white ceramic. Chemically, it is composed of hydrated aluminum silicates \(x\text{SiO}_2/y\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\) and iron, along with a limited number of minerals comprised of alkaline and alkaline earth elements called aluminosilicates. The adsorbent structure can lead to greater adsorption efficiency and ion exchange capacity than the conventional methods for such treatments and this material is suitable for use as a catalyst.
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Investigations into the adsorption mechanisms of clay minerals, as well as their derivatives and residues, are of interest for the treatment of wastewater, due to their low-cost, abundance in nature, wide availability locally, low sensitivity to toxic substances, cation exchange capacity and high chemical stability. The modification of clays and residues can increase the processing cost, but treatments applying these residues to remove organic and inorganic dyes from effluent can be viable and highly reliable. The effectiveness of ceramic residues as adsorption agents for basic dyes is associated with the chemical and structural composition of the adsorbent and the removal of cationic dyes by natural clay is strongly dependent on the process temperature, initial dye concentration and pH of the solution.

In this study, the use of waste material as an adsorbent was investigated through its application in the treatment of diluted aqueous solutions of dyes used at textile plants. The residue from insulators of high voltage transformers (aluminosilicate) was subjected to ASTM tests to ensure that the chemical composition and physicochemical properties of the waste of electrical insulators are constant and reproducible. The material was used in adsorption experiments to investigate the ion exchange with dyes present in wastewater from textile plants, such as methylene blue and crystal violet. The samples were characterized by vibrational spectroscopy in the infrared region with Fourier transform (FTIR), X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), X-ray fluorescence (XRF) and Brunauer-Emmet-Teller (BET) multimolecular adsorption analysis in N2 atmosphere and the point of zero charge (PZC) was determined. Physicochemical parameters were also investigated through studies on the kinetics, adsorption isotherms, pH, adsorbent dosage and thermodynamics.

**Experimental**

**Materials**

The aluminosilicate (ALMS) residue from high voltage transformers was obtained from the company Enel. The material was cleaned to remove visible residues, crushed in mills up to a suitable granulometric size, separated using sieves of 80 to 150 mesh, and packaged in hermetically-sealed polyethylene vials, in different granulometries, in a clean dry environment. Methylene blue (MB) and crystal violet (CV) dyes were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA). Hydrochloric acid (HCl), sodium hydrate (NaOH) and all the other compounds were obtained as analytical reagents from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA).

**Characterization of the aluminosilicate residue**

The FTIR spectroscopy study of ALMS and ALMS/dyes was performed on a PerkinElmer Frontier spectrophotometer, Model FT-IR/NIR (Waltham, USA). The chemical analysis was performed by X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (XRF), using a Thermo spectrometer by Malvern Panalytical, model Claisse M4 Fusion (Malvern Hills, UK), with a power of 4.2 KV, according to the ISO 29581-2. The average pore diameter (D), specific surface area (S) and pore volume (Vp) were obtained from N2 adsorption measurements taken on an automatic physisorption analyzer model ASAP 2020 by Micromeritics Instrument Corp., (Norcross, GA, USA). The morphology was analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) on a Jeol Ltd., model JSM-IT300 microscope, with an electron acceleration voltage of 7 kV (Akishima, Japan). X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the powdered samples were collected on an Oxford diffractometer, model 51-ADD0048 (Yarnton, UK) (λ = 1.54 Å), in the range of 10-80°, using a continuous scan with a velocity of 0.05° min⁻¹.

**Study on the pH_{pzc}**

To determine the point of zero charge (PZC), 20 mL of 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaCl at different pH values (1-11) was added to 20 mg of the adsorbent. The samples were shaken at 100 rpm for 24 h at 298 K, after which time the solutions were filtered and the final pH was measured. To determine the pH_{pzc}, a graph of the final pH as a function of the initial pH was constructed, where pH_{pzc} is the point at which the difference between final pH – initial pH = 0.

**Adsorption experiments**

The concentrations of the dyes before and after the equilibrium were determined on a PerkinElmer UV-Vis spectrophotometer, model Lambda 25 (Waltham, USA) at wavelengths of 665 and 589 cm⁻¹ for methylene blue (MB) and crystal violet (CV), respectively. The adsorption studies were carried out in triplicate. The dye removal (%) and adsorption capacity (qₑ) were calculated as follows:

\[
\text{removal (\%)} = \frac{C_0 - C_{eq}}{C_0} \times 100 \\
qₑ = \frac{(C_0 - C_{eq}) \times V}{m}
\]

where \(C_0\) and \(C_{eq}\) are, respectively, the concentrations (in mg L⁻¹) of the dyes in the aqueous phase before and after
(C_{eq}) the equilibration, q_e is the equilibrium adsorption capacity (mg g^{-1}), m is the adsorbent mass (g) and V is the solution volume (L).

For the pH studies, 100 mg of adsorbent were added to 25 mL of the dye solution with a concentration of 10 mg L^{-1} and the suspensions were kept under stirring (110 rpm) for 24 h at 298 K. Solutions of HCl and NaOH (0.1 mol L^{-1}) were used to adjust the initial pH. For the effect of the mass on the adsorption process, 25 mL of the dye solution at a concentration of 10 mg L^{-1} were used, at the optimum pH, and the suspension was kept under stirring for 24 h at 298 K. The mass of the adsorbent varied from 200 to 1500 mg.

The experiments to investigate the adsorption kinetics were carried out at 298, 308 and 318 K with dyes concentration of 10 mg L^{-1} and adsorbent mass of 1100 mg, at optimum pH. The kinetics were studied using the pseudo first order (equation 3), pseudo second order (equation 4), intraparticle diffusion (equation 5) and Elovich (equation 6) models.

\[
\log(q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - \frac{k_2}{2.303}t 
\]

\[
t = \frac{1}{q_i} + \frac{1}{q_t}t 
\]

\[q_t = k_d (t)^{1/2} \]

\[
q_e = \frac{1}{\beta} \ln(\alpha \beta) + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln t 
\]

where q_t (mg g^{-1}) is the quantity adsorbed at time t, q_e (mg g^{-1}) is the quantity adsorbed at equilibrium and k_2 (min^{-1}) and k_d (g mg^{-1} min^{-1}) and k_2 are the pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order and diffusion kinetics rate constants, respectively, and \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) are the Elovich model parameters.

The isothermal adsorption experiments were conducted at 298, 308 and 318 K at optimum pH for 24 h. The concentrations of the dye solutions ranged from 0.625 to 20 mg L^{-1}, the adsorbent mass was 1100 mg, and the time was that required to reach equilibrium. The correlation of the equilibrium adsorption parameters was analyzed applying the following non-linear isotherm models: Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin and Dubinin-Radushkevich (D-R).

The Langmuir isotherm model considers a mechanism of physical adsorption and non linearity, and is obtained through the general formula: 

\[
q_e = \frac{q_{max} \times K_L \times C_{eq}}{1 + K_L \times C_{eq}} 
\]

where q_e is the concentration of dye adsorbed by the adsorbent in mg g^{-1}, C_{eq} the final concentration of the dye in solution, q_{max} the maximum adsorption capacity in mg g^{-1}, and K_L (L mg^{-1}) the Langmuir constant.

The R_L parameter is an adimensional constant, called the equilibrium parameter, and it represents the degree of adsorption of the system. This parameter is calculated through the Langmuir isotherm model, and is defined by equation 8.

\[
R_L = \frac{1}{1 + K_L \times C_0} 
\]

The value of the R_L parameter indicates whether the process is favorable or unfavorable. Values of R_L greater than 1 indicate that the isothermal process is unfavorable, R_L equals 1 indicates that the isotherm is linear, R_L values between 0 and 1 indicate that the process is favorable, and when R_L equals zero the process is irreversible.

In the case of the Freundlich isotherm model, it is considered that the adsorption of the molecules of the adsorbate occurs in multilayers on the adsorbent surface. Its parameters obtained by the construction of an adsorption isotherm indicate the heterogeneity of the adsorbent surface or the adsorption intensity in the system. The non linearized form was given by the formula below.

\[
q_e = K_F \times C_{eq}^{1/n} 
\]

The Freundlich parameter n indicates the degree of non-linearity between the initial concentration, the equilibrium concentration, and the maximum adsorption capacity of the system. Values equal to 1 indicate that the adsorption process is linear while values lower than 1 indicate that the adsorption process is chemical and favorable for the entire concentration range studied. Values greater than 1 indicate that the process is physical and favorable for a higher concentration range, but unfavorable for lower concentrations.

Proposed in 1940, the Temkin isotherm model is based on assessing the indirect interactions that occur between adsorbent and adsorbate. The nonlinear form of the Temkin and Pyzhev model is expressed as:
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\[ q_e = B_T \ln \left( K_T \frac{C_{eq}}{C_0} \right) \]  

where \( K_T \) is the Temkin isotherm constant (L g\(^{-1}\)), \( B_T = RT/b \), \( b \) is the Temkin constant related to the heat of sorption (J mol\(^{-1}\)), \( T \) is the absolute temperature (K) and \( R \) is the ideal gas constant (8.314 J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)).

The nature of the adsorption (i.e., chemical or physical) can be determined from the Dubinin-Radushkevich (D-R) isotherm.\(^{52,53}\) The nonlinear form of the Dubinin-Radushkevich (D-R) isotherm is:

\[ q_e = q_m e^{-B \varepsilon} \]  

where \( B \) is the sorption energy constant (mol\(^2\) J\(^{-2}\)), \( q_m \) is the theoretical monolayer sorption capacity (mol g\(^{-1}\)), and \( \varepsilon \) is Polanyi potential, which is given as:

\[ \varepsilon = RT \ln(1 + 1/C_0) \]  

where \( R \) is the ideal gas constant (8.314 J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)) and \( T \) is the solution temperature (K).\(^{44}\) The value of the mean sorption energy,\(^{52} \) \( E \) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)), is expressed as:

\[ E = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2B)}} \]  

The value of this parameter indicates the most likely type of adsorption mechanism occurring: \( 1 < E < 8 \) kJ mol\(^{-1}\) is related to physical adsorption and \( 8 < E < 16 \) kJ mol\(^{-1}\) is associated with a chemical adsorption process (ion-exchange).\(^{46}\)

Additionally, the effect of temperature was evaluated with the dye solutions at 298, 308 and 318 K. In this procedure, 1100 mg of adsorbent was placed in a glass bottle containing 50 mL of 10 mg L\(^{-1}\) dyes solutions, for the time required to reach equilibrium. Performing the adsorption study at different temperatures allows the thermodynamic parameters, that is, the variations in the Gibbs energy (\( \Delta G^\circ \)), entropy (\( \Delta S^\circ \)) and enthalpy (\( \Delta H^\circ \)), to be determined. These parameters can be calculated using the following equations:\(^{29,43-45}\)

\[ \ln K_d = \frac{\Delta S^\circ}{R} - \frac{\Delta H^\circ}{RT} \]  

\[ K_d = \left( \frac{C_0 - C_{eq}}{C_{eq}} \right) \frac{V}{m} \]  

\[ \Delta G^\circ = \Delta H^\circ - T\Delta S^\circ \]

where \( K_d \) is the partition coefficient in (mL mg\(^{-1}\)), \( R \) is the universal gas constant (8.314 J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)), \( T \) is the absolute temperature (K), \( C_0 \) is the initial concentration, \( C_{eq} \) is the equilibrium concentration, \( V \) is the volume (in L) and \( m \) is the mass (in mg).\(^{53,44}\)

**Results and Discussion**

**Characterization**

The FTIR spectra for the aluminosilicate and aluminosilicate with dyes were found to be similar (Figure 1), suggesting that the mass of dye retained in the adsorbent is very small (predominantly on the surface). The spectra indicate the presence of bond (Si–O) stretching of the accompanying minerals\(^{17}\) at 795 cm\(^{-1}\), silica bands characteristic of the quartz (Si–O–Si) of siloxane groups linked to vicinal silanols\(^{18,29,31}\) at 1079 cm\(^{-1}\), a C–N bond stretching band at 1211 cm\(^{-1}\) typical of aliphatic and aromatic amines,\(^{29,31}\) and weak absorption at 639 to 666 cm\(^{-1}\) with a doublet signal, indicating the presence of aromatic rings (R–Ar) originating from the dye.\(^{29,31}\)

![Figure 1. FTIR spectra of ALMS and ALMS after adsorption of MB and CV dyes.](image)

The X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (XRF) results were used to quantify the contents of the following oxides: SiO\(_2\), Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), Mn\(_2\)O\(_3\), CaO, MgO, SO\(_3\), P\(_2\)O\(_5\), Na\(_2\)O and K\(_2\)O (Table 1). The main compounds found in the adsorbent were silicon dioxide (SiO\(_2\)), aluminum oxide (Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)), sodium oxides and potassium oxide, indicating that the structure of the material provides, primarily, the exchange of K\(^+\) and Na\(^+\) cations (Table 1). The adsorbent material presented an Si/Al ratio of 4.2 (m:m), suggesting that it has the characteristics of an acid adsorbent,\(^{30}\) functioning as a Bronsted-Lowry acid. Acid adsorbents are recommended for the removal of cationic dyes such as methylene blue and crystal violet.\(^{28,29}\)
The morphology of the ALMS sample is shown in Figure 2 where it can be observed that it has rough and striated irregular surface and a non-porous appearance. Based on the measurement results for the specific surface area (S), pore volume (Vp) (Table 2) and average pore diameter (D), and the BET spectra shown in Figure 3, the adsorbent was characterized as a non-porous material, suggesting that adsorption occurred predominantly on the surface of the material (Table 2). Although aluminosilicate has a low surface area compared to activated carbon (neutral material), it has a greater surface charge, provides ion exchange at low cost, is easy to regenerate and represents an efficient alternative for wastewater treatment. In this study, cationic dyes were used, to ensure a greater influence from the surface charge than the large surface area.

X-ray diffraction patterns provide three basic types of information: the position of the peaks are characteristic of certain materials; the intensity of the peaks are associated with the chemical composition and the location of atoms in unit cells; and the shape of the peaks is related to the quality of the crystals. The diffractogram for the adsorbent (Figure 4a) had peaks (2θ) at 20.85, 26.60 and 26.65º, indicating the presence of the crystalline phase of silica (SiO₂) within the aluminosilicate, a member of the quartz family, thus identifying a partially-organized crystalline or semi-crystalline material. This analysis is based on the large amount of SiO₂ found in the XRF analysis of the adsorbent. The peaks at 20.80, 20.90, 26.65 and 26.85º in the reference

![Figure 2. SEM micrographs of the adsorbent: (a) 200x and (b) 5000x.](image)

![Figure 3. BET results for the surface area.](image)

Table 1. The chemical analysis of the adsorbent

| Element | SiO₂ | Fe₂O₃ | Al₂O₃ | MnO₂ | TiO₂ | CaO | MgO | SO₃ | P₂O₅ | Na₂O | K₂O |
|---------|------|-------|-------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|
| Content / % | 72.3 | 1.26  | 17.1  | 0.02 | 0.51 | 0.86| 1.2 | 0.00| 0.05 | 1.20 | 4.2 |

Table 2. Physicochemical properties of the adsorbent

| Property | S / (m² g⁻¹) | Vp / (cm³ g⁻¹) | D / nm |
|----------|--------------|----------------|-------|
| Adsorbent| 0.3396       | 1.52 x 10⁻¹    | 12.1972 |

S: specific surface area; Vp: pore volume; D: average pore diameter.
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diffractogram for the quartz (Figure 4b), suggest there is quartz in crystalline phase.

Adsorption study

Study on the pH

The chemical structure and composition of an adsorbent surface are intrinsically linked to its adsorption capacity. The point of zero charge (pH<sub>PZC</sub>) indicates the pH value at which a solid has an electrically null load on its surface, that is, the number of positive charges is equal to the number of negative charges. Thus, if the pH of the solution is less than the pH<sub>PZC</sub> of the material, the surface will be positively charged and, conversely, if the pH of the solution is greater than the pH<sub>PZC</sub> of material, the surface will be negatively charged. Adsorption will occur more efficiently when the pH of the solution favors the contact and mass transfer of the adsorbate (fluid phase) to the adsorbent surface (solid phase). Based on the relationship between the initial pH and the final pH of the solution, the pH<sub>PZC</sub> was calculated from the arithmetic mean of the points, in triplicate, for which the final pH is constant (Figure 5).

The value found to the pH<sub>PZC</sub> parameter of the adsorbent was 3.7. Table 3 shows the pH<sub>PZC</sub> of different silicates found in the literature. The pH<sub>PZC</sub> found in this study is similar to values reported in the literature.

| Material               | pH<sub>PZC</sub> | Reference                  |
|------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| Silicas/Germany        | 4.1              | Komulski<sup>58</sup>      |
| SiO₂/Shokubai          | <4.0             | Komulski<sup>58</sup>      |
| Pyrogenic silicas      | 4.3              | Komulski<sup>58</sup>      |
| Pretreated kaolinite   | 3.7              | Zhuang and Yu<sup>60</sup> |
| SiO₂-K/SiO₂-I          | 3.8/4.5          | Milonjic et al.<sup>54</sup>|
| Silicon insulators     | 3.5              | Raiteri et al.<sup>62</sup>|
| ALMS                   | 3.7              | this study                 |

pH<sub>PZC</sub>: point of zero charge; ALMS: aluminosilicate.

Effect of pH on the adsorption system

The study of pH in the system is a main factor in the adsorption of dyes. The effect of pH on dye adsorption onto ALMS was analyzed over the pH range of 5-8 because reports in the literature indicate that pH < pH<sub>PZC</sub> can cause the dealumination (Al<sup>3+</sup>) of adsorbent material, reducing the ion exchange potential by half. Values of pH > 8 are inconsistent with the Beer-Lambert law and were neglected. Figure 6 shows that with increasing pH, the adsorption capacity (q) increases slightly. When the initial solution pH was increased from 5 to 8, the adsorption increased from 0.149 to 0.162 mg g⁻¹ for methylene blue and 0.216 to 0.271 mg g⁻¹ for crystal violet. For both dyes, the highest adsorption capacity (q) was observed at pH 8. Alkaline solutions provide an increase in negative groups on the surface of the adsorbent (pH > pH<sub>PZC</sub>) promoting the interaction of the dyes crystal violet (Figure 7a) and methylene blue (Figure 7b) with the surface of the material. This increase in electrostatic attraction between
the ALMS and the dyes is associated with a small degree of competition for $H^+$ ions or other metal ion substitution in alkaline solutions, providing negative surface charges, as described in the literature.\textsuperscript{10,29,43,66-68}

Mass effect

Figure 8 shows the effect of adsorbent mass on the adsorption of the dyes methylene blue and crystal violet onto aluminosilicate. The results indicate that with a greater amount of adsorbent mass the percentage of dye removal in solution increased, up to a maximum of 1100 mg. At this mass, the system reached the equilibrium concentration since the process was predominantly influenced by the grain size and external surface area of the material (BET analysis). An increase in the adsorption capacity ($q$) as a function of mass has also been observed in other studies.\textsuperscript{30,50}

Adsorption kinetics

The adsorption kinetics (Figure 9) was investigated to establish the optimal contact time between the adsorbent and the adsorbate (dyes), when equilibrium is reached by the system.\textsuperscript{69} In this experiment the temperature was varied: 298, 308 and 318 K. The other parameters in the system were kept fixed. The temperature variation allowed the time at which the system reached equilibrium to be identified, verifying an influence on the adsorption process.\textsuperscript{29} The activation energy ($E_a$) of the adsorption system was calculated (Table 4) along with the equilibrium concentration coefficient ($q_e$) according to the contact time\textsuperscript{70} at different temperatures (Figure 9). It was observed that the equilibrium occurred between 480 and 510 min for methylene blue (Figure 9a) and between 720 and 780 min for crystal violet (Figure 9b). A marked increase in the $q_e$ values and removal percentage was verified in the first moments of the adsorption process after which the adsorption process presented a lower rate of variation until the equilibrium was reached. The initial dye removal rate in the adsorption system was high, followed by a slower reaction over time until the system reached equilibrium.

The kinetic models were constructed and the pseudo-second-order model best described the adsorption of the dyes (Table 4), with coefficients that were close to or equal to 1. Some studies involving the application of models to explain the kinetics of adsorption onto silicas and their derivatives reported in the literature provided correlations with the pseudo-second-order model.\textsuperscript{29,38,43,66-68}

Table 5 shows the physical-chemical parameters obtained in the kinetics study of dyes. It was observed that the rate constant ($k_2$) in the pseudo-second-order model decreased with an increase in temperature, evidencing faster kinetics.\textsuperscript{43,66} In fact, it can be observed that the equilibrium time tends to decrease with an increase in temperature. It can be observed that the experimental and calculated adsorption capacity ($q$) have very similar values.

The activation energy was determined by equation 17:

\[
\ln k_2 = \ln A - \frac{E_a}{R} \times \frac{1}{T}
\]  

(17)

where $k_2$ is the kinetic rate constant of pseudo-first-order, $T$ is the temperature in Kelvin, $R = 8.314$ J K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$ and $A$ is the frequency factor or pre-exponential factor. The activation energy in the crystal violet adsorption process was higher than that for methylene blue, because an increase in temperature can strongly influence the
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rate constant decrease.\textsuperscript{29,43} The $E_a$ value indicates if the adsorption process is physical or chemical, being physical for the range of 4 to 40 kJ mol$^{-1}$ and chemical for 40 to 95 kJ mol$^{-1}$.\textsuperscript{71} Thus, the $E_a$ value obtained in this study suggests that a physical mechanism is involved in the adsorption (Table 5).

**Adsorption isotherms**

The adsorption isotherms at different temperatures of methylene blue and crystal violet are shown in Figure 10 and the parameters obtained are listed in Table 6.

The $q_{\text{max}}$ values obtained ranged from 0.35 to 0.52 mg g$^{-1}$, indicating that the adsorbent has little affinity with the dyes. This low affinity can be explained by the fact that

**Table 4.** Adj. R-square for kinetic models of the dye adsorption onto the adsorbent

| Dye          | Temperature / K | Pseudo-first-order | Pseudo-second-order | Elovich | Intraparticle diffusion |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|-------------------------|
| Methylene blue| 298             | 0.9328             | 0.9918              | 0.9546  | 0.7384                  |
|              | 308             | 0.7996             | 0.9811              | 0.8152  | 0.6407                  |
|              | 318             | 0.9544             | 0.9816              | 0.9045  | 0.8132                  |
| Crystal violet| 298             | 0.7390             | 0.9912              | 0.7218  | 0.8257                  |
|              | 308             | 0.9333             | 0.9963              | 0.9516  | 0.8159                  |
|              | 318             | 0.9402             | 0.9933              | 0.9033  | 0.8097                  |

$R^2$: adj. R-square.

**Table 5.** Physical-chemical parameters of the pseudo-second order model in the adsorption of methylene blue and crystal violet dyes

| Dye          | Temperature / K | $k_2$ (g mg$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$) | $q_e$ (calculated) / (mg g$^{-1}$) | $q_e$ (experimental) / (mg g$^{-1}$) | Equilibration time / min | $E_a$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$) |
|--------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Methylene blue| 298             | $7.64 \times 10^{-2}$         | 0.36                              | 0.35                              | 510                      | 19.0                  |
|              | 308             | $6.15 \times 10^{-2}$         | 0.39                              | 0.38                              | 480                      | 19.0                  |
|              | 318             | $4.69 \times 10^{-2}$         | 0.45                              | 0.41                              | 480                      | 20.0                  |
| Crystal violet| 298             | $17.68 \times 10^{-2}$        | 0.24                              | 0.24                              | 780                      | 29.3                  |
|              | 308             | $12.28 \times 10^{-2}$        | 0.29                              | 0.29                              | 720                      | 29.3                  |
|              | 318             | $0.0840 \times 10^{-2}$       | 0.29                              | 0.29                              | 720                      | 29.3                  |

$q_e$: equilibrium adsorption capacity.
the material goes through a sintering processes, which can modify the active sites capable of promoting ion exchange and adsorption.30

Table 7 shows the maximum adsorption capacity of similar industrial and ceramic solid residues found in the literature.72-77

It was observed that the temperature has very little influence on the adsorption process (Figure 10) and the methylene blue adsorption process is less sensitive to the temperature effect than the adsorption of crystal violet onto the aluminosilicate.42 The application of a physical or chemical treatment may increase the adsorption capacity of the clays and ceramic residues.26,78

The Langmuir and Freundlich parameters (K_L, n, and K_F) are also of the same order of magnitude as those reported in the literature, according to Bentahar et al.29 and Ong et al.42 In this study, the maximum adsorption capacity calculated using the Langmuir isotherm is much closer to the experimental adsorption value and with this approach there was a good fit with the equilibrium data, with a high correlation coefficient (R^2).

| Dye            | Isotherm | Parameter          | 298 K     | 308 K     | 318 K     |
|----------------|----------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Methylene blue | Langmuir | q_{max} / (mg g⁻¹) | 0.37      | 0.37      | 0.38      |
|                |          | K_L / (L mg⁻¹)     | 0.54      | 2.89      | 0.87      |
|                |          | R_L                | 0.11      | 0.02      | 0.07      |
|                |          | R²                  | 0.9921    | 0.9015    | 0.9835    |
|                | Freundlich| K_F / (L g⁻¹)     | 0.12      | 0.23      | 0.17      |
|                |          | l/n                | 0.48      | 0.26      | 0.33      |
|                |          | n                  | 2.09      | 3.85      | 3.02      |
|                |          | R²                  | 0.9731    | 0.9897    | 0.8947    |
|                | Temkin   | K_F / (L g⁻¹)     | 7.08      | 69.93     | 8.97      |
|                |          | B                  | 0.07      | 0.06      | 0.08      |
|                |          | b / (J mol⁻¹)      | 35.4      | 42.7      | 33.0      |
|                |          | R²                  | 0.9941    | 0.9910    | 0.9706    |
|                |           | B                  | 2.62 × 10⁻⁴ | 4.00 × 10⁻⁷ | 1.33 × 10⁻⁴ |
|                |           | E / (kJ mol⁻¹)     | 436.9     | 1118.0    | 61.3      |
|                |           | R²                  | 0.9300    | 0.7843    | 0.9223    |
|                | D-R      | B                  | 2.62 × 10⁻⁴ | 4.00 × 10⁻⁷ | 1.33 × 10⁻⁴ |
|                |          | E / (kJ mol⁻¹)     | 436.9     | 1118.0    | 61.3      |
|                |          | R²                  | 0.9300    | 0.7843    | 0.9223    |
| Crystal violet | Langmuir | q_{max} / (mg g⁻¹) | 0.35      | 0.52      | 0.49      |
|                |          | K_L / (L mg⁻¹)     | 0.60      | 0.11      | 0.19      |
|                |          | R_L                | 0.10      | 0.38      | 0.26      |
|                |          | R²                  | 0.9978    | 0.9644    | 0.9844    |
|                | Freundlich| K_F / (L g⁻¹)     | 0.11      | 0.06      | 0.09      |
|                |          | l/n                | 0.49      | 0.63      | 0.58      |
|                |          | n                  | 2.05      | 1.58      | 1.71      |
|                |          | R²                  | 0.9817    | 0.9790    | 0.9696    |
|                | Temkin   | K_F / (L g⁻¹)     | 10.7      | 2.49      | 1.98      |
|                |          | B                  | 0.06      | 0.08      | 0.10      |
|                |          | b / (J mol⁻¹)      | 41.3      | 32.0      | 26.4      |
|                |          | R²                  | 0.9667    | 0.9018    | 0.9859    |
|                |           | B                  | 2.90 × 10⁻⁴ | 1.38 × 10⁻⁴ | 4.72 × 10⁻⁴ |
|                |           | E / (kJ mol⁻¹)     | 415.2     | 190.3     | 32.5      |
|                |           | R²                  | 0.9589    | 0.7933    | 0.8862    |

q_{max}: maximum adsorption capacity; K_L: the Langmuir constant; R_L: equilibrium Langmuir parameter; R²: correlation coefficient; K_F: Freundlich constant; l/n: empirical parameter; K_T: Temkin isotherm constant; B_T = RT/b, b is the Temkin constant related to the heat of sorption, T is the absolute temperature and R is the ideal gas constant; B: sorption energy constant; E: adsorption energy.
Table 7. Maximum adsorption capacity ($q_{\text{max}}$) of the materials and ceramic residues found in the literature

| Adsorbent                     | $q_{\text{max}}$ / (mg g$^{-1}$) | Dye used | Reference                |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|
| Carbonized watermelon        | 200.00                           | MB       | Jawad et al.$^{15}$      |
| Biomass                      | 50.60                            | MB       | Jawad et al.$^{16}$      |
| Carbon modified chitosan     | 56.70                            | MB       | Jawad et al.$^{22}$      |
| Waste materials              | 1.26                             | CV       | Mittal et al.$^{72}$     |
| Gangue ceramic microbeads    | 2.17                             | MB       | Zhou et al.$^{73}$       |
| Glass wool                   | 2.24                             | MB       | Chakrabarti and Dutta$^{48}$ |
| Red mud                      | 2.49                             | CV       | Wang et al.$^{75}$       |
| Fly-ash                      | 1.20                             | MB       | Woolard et al.$^{76}$    |
| Fly-ash (SFA)                | 1.47                             | MB       | Janos et al.$^{77}$      |
| Chrome sludge                | 0.51                             | MB       | Sanghi and Verma$^{78}$  |

MB: methylene blue, CV: crystal violet.

Figure 10. Adsorption isotherms obtained at different temperatures (a) methylene blue and (b) crystal violet. Conditions: $V_{SC} = 50$ mL; pH = 8.0; mass = 1100 mg; $T = 25 \degree C$; time = 24 h.
obtained in this study, regardless of the adsorbent, was > 1, again suggesting that the process is favorable.

The constant β obtained from the D-R isotherm allows an estimation of the adsorption energy (E) (see equation 13), which provides information on the adsorption mechanism. The values for the adsorption energy (E) of the dyes in ALMS were all lower than 8 kJ mol⁻¹, suggesting a weak interaction and indicating that the dye adsorption onto ALMS occurs through a physical mechanism.²⁹,⁷⁸

**Thermodynamic parameters of the adsorption process**

Table 8 shows the thermodynamic parameters obtained for the dye adsorption onto ALMS. It can be observed that the dye removal by the adsorbent decreased with an increase in temperature, suggesting an exothermic adsorption process.⁴³ On the other hand, in studies on the adsorption equilibrium and adsorption isotherms at different temperatures the qₘₐₓ values showed an insignificant increase at different temperatures. These small differences can be explained by considering the Gibbs energy of individual systems.⁴

The enthalpy values (ΔH°) associated with the dyes adsorption onto the adsorbent were found to be negative (Table 8), indicating an exothermic adsorption process.⁴³ The entropy values (ΔS°) were also negative, indicating that there is a decrease in the randomness at the solid-solution interface.²⁹,⁷⁸⁻⁸²

The Gibbs energy values (ΔG°) indicated that the adsorption process was not spontaneous and decreases with an increase in temperature.⁶⁶,⁸³ This suggests that the adsorption process is mainly influenced by the area of contact between the adsorbent and the adsorbate. This, in turn, is directly influenced by the grain size, the adsorbent mass and the degree of randomness of the system at the solid-solution interface. Some authors have reported positive Gibbs energy values (ΔG° > 0) for soils, clay minerals and their derivatives.⁸²

The Gibbs free energy value provides information on the adsorption mechanism. The ΔG° values for physisorption are in the range of −20 to 0 kJ mol⁻¹,⁸⁴ for chemisorption they are between −80 and −400 kJ mol⁻¹, and for an equilibrium between physisorption and chemisorption the range is −20 to −80 kJ mol⁻¹.³¹,⁵⁵ The Gibbs free energy values for the adsorption process (Table 8) were lower than 20 kJ mol⁻¹, thus suggesting a physisorption process.²⁹,³¹,⁶⁹,⁸⁴⁻⁸⁶

**Conclusions**

The adsorbent material had an Si/Al ratio of 4.2 (m:m), suggesting that it can be characterized as an acid adsorbent. It has a rough and striated surface with the presence of silica crystals (quartz), associated with partially-organized crystalline materials. The most efficient dye adsorption occurred at pH 8.0. The adsorption kinetics was favored by an increase in temperature and lower activation energy, and the pseudo-second-order kinetic model provided the best fit. The adsorption process was found to be predominantly physical, exothermic, favorable and non-spontaneous.
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