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Limiting global-mean temperature increase to 1.5–2 °C could reduce the incidence and spatial spread of dengue fever in Latin America

Felipe J. Colón-González‡,§, Ian Harris*, Timothy J. Osborn§, Christine Steiner São Bernardo§, Carlos A. Peres§, Paul R. Hunter**, Rachel Warren**, Detlef van Vuuren*, and Iain R. Lake*  
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The Paris Climate Agreement aims to hold global-mean temperature well below 2 °C and to pursue efforts to limit it to 1.5 °C above preindustrial levels. While it is recognized that there are benefits for human health in limiting global warming to 1.5 °C, the magnitude with which these societal benefits will be accrued remains unquantified. Crucial to public health preparedness and response is the understanding and quantification of such impacts at different levels of warming. Using dengue in Latin America as a study case, a climate-driven dengue generalized additive mixed model was developed to predict global warming impacts using five different global circulation models, all scaled to represent multiple global-mean temperature assumptions. We show that policies to limit global warming to 2 °C could reduce dengue cases by about 2.8 (0.8–7.4) million cases per year by the end of the century compared with a no-policy scenario that warms by 3.7 °C. Limiting warming further to 1.5 °C produces an additional drop in cases of about 0.5 (0.2–1.1) million per year. Furthermore, we found that by limiting global warming we can limit the expansion of the disease toward areas where incidence is currently low. We anticipate our study to be a starting point for more comprehensive studies incorporating socioeconomic scenarios and how they may further impact dengue incidence. Our results demonstrate that although future climate change may amplify dengue transmission in the region, impacts may be avoided by constraining the level of warming.

climate change impacts | disease modeling | Latin America

T here is a growing concern about the potential impacts of climate change upon human health (1–4). The effects of climate change on vector-borne infectious diseases have caught a great deal of attention (5–9) because climatic factors such as temperature, precipitation, and humidity modulate many aspects of their biology such as the reproduction rate of the vector and the transmission rate of the pathogens they carry (10–12). Vector-borne diseases impose a large health and economic burden in many regions (13–15), and so it is crucial, from a public health perspective, to quantify the impacts of climate change on such diseases.

Dengue is a rapidly spreading vector-borne viral disease that is endemic to over 100 countries. Dengue has been recently estimated to cause ~390 million cases per year, using a statistical and cartographic approach (16) [almost four times the ~100 million cases per year previously estimated by the World Health Organization based on country-specific reporting (17)]. About 54 million of these cases occur in Latin America and the Caribbean (LATAM) (16). Several studies have investigated the potential impacts of climate change upon future dengue occurrence, but most of those studies are ≥15 y old, have used a maximum of three general circulation models (GCMs), and are based on low-resolution climate model data for their computations of dengue risk (18–21). More recent studies have investigated the effects of climate change on the spatial distribution of the dengue mosquito vector Aedes aegypti (22), but the presence of the vector in an area does not mean that human cases will occur. Climatic and nonclimatic factors could prevent disease from occurring. For example, low temperatures increase the extrinsic incubation (12, 23) period of the virus, which may exceed the temperature-sensitive longevity of A. aegypti mosquitoes [i.e., the maximum longevity in the field is only about 33 d at temperatures of about 27 °C (24, 25)], reducing the risk of transmission. Moreover, even if the climate was conducive for dengue, access to preventive measures such as air conditioning and window screening could inhibit disease occurrence (26). Also, most previous research has focused on the impacts of climate change associated with a warming of 2 °C or more (27–29).

The Paris Agreement under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change aims to hold global-mean temperature well below 2 °C and to pursue efforts to limit it to 1.5 °C above preindustrial levels this century (30). Recent research reveals that a reduction of warming from 2 °C to 1.5 °C could lead to shorter heat waves, lower risk of coral reef degradation, and nonclimatic factors could prevent disease from occurring. For example, low temperatures increase the extrinsic incubation (12, 23) period of the virus, which may exceed the temperature-sensitive longevity of A. aegypti mosquitoes [i.e., the maximum longevity in the field is only about 33 d at temperatures of about 27 °C (24, 25)], reducing the risk of transmission. Moreover, even if the climate was conducive for dengue, access to preventive measures such as air conditioning and window screening could inhibit disease occurrence (26). Also, most previous research has focused on the impacts of climate change associated with a warming of 2 °C or more (27–29).

This study is a multigeneral circulation model, multisenario modeling exercise developed to quantify the dengue-related health benefits of limiting global warming to 1.5–2.0 °C above preindustrial levels in Latin America and the Caribbean. We estimate the impact of future climate change and population growth on the additional number of dengue cases and provide insights about the regions and periods most likely affected by changes in the length of the transmission season. Here, we show that future climate change may amplify dengue transmission and that significant impacts could be avoided by constraining global warming to 1.5 °C above preindustrial levels. Our work could be a starting point for future risk assessments incorporating other important drivers of disease such as urbanization and international traveling.
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shorter dry spells, and a 10-cm lower global sea-level rise by 2100 (relative to year 2000 levels) (31). While it is recognized that limiting global warming to 1.5 °C could also be beneficial for human health, a fundamental unknown is the impact upon dengue fever. Here, we use a multi-GCM, multiscenario approach to investigate and quantify the risks avoided by limiting global-mean temperature to 1.5 °C above preindustrial levels compared with that occurring at 2 °C or 3.7 °C. Using the ClimGen pattern-scaling tool (32), we generated climate projections from five Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) climate models (33). We scaled the simulated patterns of climate change of each model, using the Integrated Model to Assess the Global Environment (IMAGE) modeling framework, which identifies socioeconomic pathways and projects the climatic implications of different climate and energy policy scenarios (34). Specifically, we investigated a business-as-usual scenario where global-mean temperature rises by 3.7 °C by 2100. We compared this scenario with two alternative scenarios where, due to mitigation strategies, there is a 66% probability of holding global-mean temperature increase below 1.5 °C and 2.0 °C.

We quantified the likely number of dengue cases and changes in the length of the dengue transmission season for the period 1961–1990 and for each of the three scenarios for the periods 2040–2069 (2050s) and 2086–2115 (2100). The estimated number of cases was computed using clinical and laboratory-confirmed dengue reports for the three most populated countries in LATAM (Brazil, Colombia, and Mexico) and fitting a climate-driven empirical model of dengue incidence that accounts for long-term and seasonal trends (35) and whose structure and climatic parameters were selected using time-series cross-validation (TSCV) (36) so that the model captured the spatial and temporal variations in observed dengue data.

Results
We fitted different model specifications for our empirical dengue model, regressing the dengue data for Brazil, Colombia, and Mexico with all possible combinations of climatic predictors using TSCV (Materials and Methods). The lowest mean absolute error (MAE = 103 cases per month) was achieved with a negative binomial generalized additive mixed model (GAMM) including temperature lagged 0–2 mo ($T_{0:2}$) and potential evapotranspiration (PET) lagged 0–2 mo ($PET_{0:2}$) as climatic covariates. That model was selected for projecting the potential impacts of climate change. The model output captures well the observed temporal trends on dengue transmission pop in the three countries with most observed reports falling within the confidence intervals (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). The country-specific MAE estimates were 99, 48, and 188 monthly dengue cases for Brazil, Colombia, and Mexico, respectively.

The effects of future climate on the population at risk for dengue for the multimodel ensemble mean were then projected for LATAM from Mexico to northern Argentina and are summarized in Table 1. We averaged the mean predicted number of cases across all climate change scenarios and compared them with the baseline (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). The number of dengue cases for the 2050s period was, on average, 260% larger than the 1961–1990 baseline scenario with about 6.9 million extra cases per year. A 234% average increase was estimated for the 2100 period with 5.8 million extra cases per year. We note that the population at risk for dengue is estimated to be lower by the end of the century, but only for the 1.5 °C and 2.0 °C scenarios.

Critically, we show that limiting global warming to about 2.0 °C above preindustrial levels could reduce the number of dengue cases by ~0.8 (0.3–2.0) million cases per year compared with a no-policy scenario with a 3.7 °C warming for the 2050s period and by ~2.8 (0.8–7.4) million cases per year for the 2100 period. Constraining global warming to 1.5 °C produces an additional drop in dengue cases of ~0.3 (0.1–0.5) million avoided cases by the middle of the century and ~0.5 (0.2–1.1) million avoided cases by the end of the century. It is important to note that when comparing the 3.7 °C scenario with the 1.5 °C scenario, the estimated benefit by the end of the century corresponds to ~77% (25–200%) of the estimated mean number of cases for the baseline period (1961–1990).

Fig. 1 highlights the areas likely to experience significant changes in the expected number of dengue cases under the 1.5 °C scenario for the 2050s period. We note that southern Mexico, many Caribbean Island states, northern Ecuador, Colombia, Venezuela, and the coastal Brazilian states will be most affected by increases in dengue cases. Similar geographical patterns were observed under the 2.0 °C and 3.7 °C scenarios both for the 2050s and the 2100 periods.

Table 1. Multi-GCM ensemble mean (and range) of the predicted number of dengue cases (million cases per year) in LATAM under different climate change scenarios

| Scenario | Baseline | 2050s | 2100 |
|----------|----------|-------|------|
| 1.5 °C   | 4.3 (3.0–6.1) | 10.7 (7.0–16.7) | 8.8 (5.9–13.6) |
| 2.0 °C   | 11.0 (7.1–17.2) | 9.3 (6.1–14.7) |
| 3.7 °C   | 11.8 (7.4–19.2) | 12.1 (6.9–22.1) |

The baseline values are the same for all climate change scenarios.

Fig. 2 depicts the changes in the length of the dengue transmission season (LTS) compared against the baseline scenario. Fig. 2 shows that the areas experiencing increases in LTS of more than 3 mo show considerably lower increase if warming is constrained...
The simulated increases in LTS under the 3.7 °C warming scenario might be related to a slight fall in global-mean temperature to 1.5 °C compared with a 3.7 °C warming scenario. Such benefits are evident in southern Mexico, Central America, the coasts of Ecuador, the Andean foothills, Cuba, Haiti, the Dominican Republic, southwestern Venezuela, southern Brazil, and most of the Brazilian coastline.

Discussion

For this study, we used one of the largest panels (144 mo) of subnational monthly dengue reports for Latin America (350 unique geographical units composing the whole of Mexico and Colombia and 25% of the Brazilian municipal counties) ever collated, covering a latitudinal range between 30°N and 30°S and accounting for ~60% of the total dengue reports for the region. Such a detailed set of spatiotemporal dengue data allows us to model the local and temporal variation in ecological aspects of transmission in more detail than in previous studies (20, 21).

Our model projections show that climate change is likely to amplify the risk of mosquito-transmitted diseases in LATAM by increasing the risk of infection (1, 20, 21, 37) and by altering the LTS (5). We demonstrate that climate change may lead to increases of up to 7.5 (3.1–14.9) million additional cases per year by the middle of the century under a 3.7 °C scenario. Limiting warming to 2.0 °C could reduce the number of additional dengue cases to 6.7 (2.8–12.9) million, while limiting it to 1.5 °C could reduce such increase even further to 6.4 (2.7–12.4) million cases per year. Our estimated 10.7–11.8 million dengue cases by 2050 are in line with previous annual estimates of dengue activity in the region (16). For context, the population of LATAM by 2015 was estimated to be about 632 million people with a mean rate of natural population increase of 11.9 individuals per 1,000 people. Thus, each year about 7.5 million new susceptible individuals could be added to the population without considering migration and international traveling.

The simulated increases in LTS under the 3.7 °C scenario indicate considerable potential to expand the endemic establishment of the disease toward areas where incidence is currently low (38, 39). Such southward expansion could be reduced by limiting warming to 1.5 °C. The predicted expansion might be related to more conducive conditions for *Aedes* mosquitoes (40). It is important to note that populations in low-transmission areas are likely to be immunologically naive due to low transmission intensity (41) and are consequently more likely to succumb to major epidemics. Moreover, public health systems in some of these regions are woefully unprepared for dealing with major dengue epidemics.

We estimate that increases in the number of dengue cases will be greater for the 2050s period than for the 2100 period for two of the climate change scenarios (warming at 1.5 °C and at 2.0 °C above preindustrial levels). This reduction in dengue cases is related to a slight fall in global-mean temperature under these two scenarios which could gradually lead to less conducive climatic conditions for dengue transmission in some

![Fig. 2. Changes in the LTS under the (A) 1.5 °C, (B) 2.0 °C, and (C) 3.7 °C warming scenarios by 2100. The different colors represent changes in LTS between 2100 and the 1961–1990 baseline (in months) for the ensemble mean of the multi-GCM ensemble.](image)
regions, possibly due to lower environmental suitability for A. aegypti as estimated by ref. 22. We note that lower temperatures increase the development time and gonotrophic cycle of the vector, decrease its biting rate, and reduce its ability for transmitting the virus to a human host, reducing disease transmission (12, 42).

In this study we show that reductions in warming from 3.7 °C to 2.0 °C or 1.5 °C above preindustrial levels may result in important health benefits although the estimated number of cases will still be above current levels. Specifically, we predict that limiting warming to 1.5 °C will reduce the estimated number of dengue cases by 0.3 (0.1–0.5) million toward the middle of the century and by 0.5 (0.2–1.1) million by the end of the century compared with a scenario projected to reach 2.0 °C of global-mean warming by 2100. Moreover, limiting warming to 1.5 °C will reduce the expected number of cases by 1.1 (0.4–2.5) million by the 2050s and by 3.3 (1.0–8.5) million by 2100 compared with a scenario where global-mean temperature warms 3.7 °C. Thus, our findings emphasize that holding the increase in global-mean temperature at about 1.5 °C above preindustrial levels may significantly reduce public health risks.

Although our spatially explicit projections of dengue risk provide useful information for public health preparedness, there are some caveats. First, our results have not considered the mass deployment of a vaccine which would significantly reduce the risk of dengue. Recent studies suggest that a tetravalent dengue vaccine is efficacious against virologically confirmed dengue cases (43, 44). However, the evaluation of this tetravalent vaccine indicates that its mean efficacy is only around 58% with some variation between serotypes (45). Second, we have based our risk estimates on one of the most comprehensive dengue datasets yet assembled. Still, other determinants of disease such as socioeconomic development, intervention deployment, urbanization, and the international movement of people and goods, not explicitly accounted for in our model, may produce significant changes in the levels of risk experienced by the affected populations (21, 26, 37). Importantly, to this date there are no publicly available continuous, gridded socioeconomic data for the contemporary and future periods that could be incorporated into such modeling exercises. This situation highlights the need for the development of such socioeconomic datasets (46). Third, the quality of surrogate climatic variables is likely to vary widely between and within countries, adding uncertainty to our estimates. To our knowledge, there are no available studies or datasets quantifying between- or within-country variation in surveillance data quality. Thus, while we adopted a GAMM approach (Materials and Methods) to control for those effects, we highlight the need for further quantifying the quality of epidemiological surveillance data.

Materials and Methods

Dengue Surveillance Data. Monthly laboratory-confirmed dengue reports were obtained from the Colombian (portal.sivigila.ins.gob.co/sivigila/documentos/Docs_1.pdf) and Mexican (www.epidemiologia.salud.gob.mx/anuario/html/anuarios.html) Ministries of Health while suspected (clinical) reports were obtained from the Brazilian Ministry of Health (tabnet.dados.gov.br/brg/dfe/dctfhtm.exe?sinanvir/nvia/denguebr.def). Data from Mexico and Brazil were obtained for the period January 2001 to December 2012, while Colombian data were retrieved for the period January 2007 to December 2012. Colombian data were retrieved at the department level (n = 32), Mexican data at the state level (n = 32), and Brazilian data at the municipal county level (n = 5566). The Brazilian municipal counties are considerably smaller in area and population than the Colombian departments or the Mexican states, and their data were characterized by low case counts. The Brazilian municipal counties were consequently aggregated into larger geographical units by dividing their centroid coordinates into 286 latitude–longitude intervals and merging all counties with centroid coordinates within the bin to smooth the data. Missing dengue counts were imputed for areas with less than 20% missing values using a singular value decomposition-based method (47), included in the bcv package (48) for R (49). Areas with over 20% missing counts (n = 4,177) were removed.

Climate Observations. Gridded monthly mean temperature (°C), total precipitation (mm–mo–1), and potential evapotranspiration (mm–mo–1) data were obtained from the Climatic Research Unit (CRU) TS3.24 climate archives (crudata.uea.ac.uk/cru/cru_ts_4.01) at a 0.5° × 0.5° resolution for land cells only for the period January 2001 to December 2012 (50). Three-month moving averages of mean temperature, total precipitation, and potential evapotranspiration were used as predictors to account for the delayed effects of climate on dengue incidence (9). These were obtained for each administrative unit using the extract method included in the R (49) raster package (51).

Climate Change Projection Data. Future climate data were derived for a single “middle-of-the-road” shared socioeconomic pathway (SSP2) and for three different global temperature change scenarios developed using the IMAGE modeling framework (34). The first scenario assumes no additional (to the Cancun pledges) climate policy takes place and, under those conditions, IMAGE simulates global-mean temperature rising to 3.7 °C above preindustrial levels by 2100. The second and third scenarios on the other hand, assume stringent mitigation strategies to obtain a 66% probability that global-mean temperature will remain below 1.5 °C and 2.0 °C, respectively. For each of these three scenarios, gridded climate data were generated for three 10-year time slices (2040–2049 and 2068–2115 as well as observed climate data for 1961–1990) by scaling patterns of climate change by the globally averaged temperature change. The climate change patterns were 딸 from CMIP3 (33) climate model simulations for use in the ClimGen pattern-scaling tool (32). We selected the same five CMIP5 models as used previously by the Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Intercomparison Project fast-track project to sample a wide range of potential climate changes: Hadley Global Environment Model 2 - Earth System (HadGEM2-ES), Institut Pierre Simon Laplace Coupled Model Version Five A - Low Resolution (IPSL-CM5A-LR), an atmospheric chemistry version of the Model for Interdisciplinary Research on Climate Earth System Model (MIROC-ESM-CHEM), Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory Earth System Model with MOM, version 4 component (GFDL-ESM2M), and the Norwegian Earth System Model (NorESM1–M) (6, 33). The scaled climate change patterns were combined with the CRU TS (50) observed baseline climate on a 0.5° latitude–longitude grid and with CRU TS observed monthly variability. A notable modification to this standard pattern-scaling approach is that the monthly precipitation variability is also perturbed according to the changes in precipitation variability simulated by each of the five climate models used here, thus representing increases or decreases in future precipitation variance and distribution skewness (32). Projections were generated for monthly mean temperature and precipitation and also for mean minimum and maximum temperatures, cloud cover, and vapor pressure from which PET was calculated using the Penman–Monteith method.

Historic Population Data. Global gridded total population counts were retrieved at a 2.5-arcmin resolution from the Gridded Population of the World project (sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/datacollection/gpw-v3/about-us) at 5-y intervals for the period 2000–2010. Population data were aggregated into climate regions using the Climate Data Operators software (52) for consistency with the climate data. Total population estimates were scaled to agree with the United Nations World Population Prospects yearly population estimates (https://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/Download/Standard/Fertility/). Monthly estimates for each grid box were derived using linear interpolation (6, 9). The estimated population for each geographical unit included in the study was then calculated using the extract method included in the R (49) raster package (51).

Future Population Scenario Data. Global-scale, spatially explicit population projections consistent with the new SSPs (53) were used to estimate the future population at risk for dengue transmission. We used the SSP2 population scenario, which projects moderate population density and change compared with the other scenarios (53). Data were obtained at 10-y intervals for the period 2010–2100 at a 0.5° × 0.5° resolution.

Model Specification. We obtained more than one dengue observation per geographical area violating the assumption of independence of standard regression models (54). Also, we expect each area to vary independently from other areas in the model due to climatic and nonclimatic determinants of dengue (26, 39). Mixed models provide a solution to the correlated within-area errors by allowing each area to have its own intercept and slope (54). Consequently, the expected number of dengue reports E(Yi) for region i = 1,...,N at time t = 1,...,T was modeled using a GAMM.
Negative binomial and quasi–maximum-likelihood Poisson models were fitted to investigate possible overdispersion in the data. The model specification with the lowest dispersion parameter and the lowest MAE was selected. The general algebraic definition of the models is given by

\[ \log(\mu_t) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_t + \epsilon_t, \]

where \( \mu_t \) is the expected number of dengue cases at time \( t \), and \( \epsilon_t \) is the random error term. The term \( \beta_0 \) denotes the intercept, \( \beta_1 \) is the coefficient of the predictor of interest, and \( X_t \) is the vector of predictor variables at time \( t \).

Best Subset of Climatic Predictors. The best subset of climatic predictors producing the lowest prediction error was defined using a TSCV algorithm (36). Models were fitted using all climatic predictors in isolation, as well as all their possible combinations. Thus, we iteratively fitted all possible models containing one climatic predictor, then two climatic predictors, and so on, until all climatic predictors were included in a single model. The accuracy of each model was evaluated, calculating their MAE.

Training and test sets were created to implement the TSCV. The initial training set comprised 90% of the total number of observations (\( n \) = 144) per region. At each step (\( k \)), a further observation per region was added to the training set. Consequently, at time step \( k = 1 \), the training set comprised observations for month \( t = 1, \ldots, 130 \); at \( k = 2 \) it comprised observations for \( t = 2, \ldots, 131 \), and so on until the training set contained the observations for \( t = n - 1 \), where \( n \) is the total number of months in the dataset. The test set contained the first observation for each region immediately after the last observation in the training set. Thus, at time step \( k = 1 \), the test set contained all observations for \( t = 131 \); at \( k = 2 \), it contained all observations for \( t = 132 \), and so on until the test set contained the observations for month \( t = n \). We calculated the MAE at each time step \( k = 1, \ldots, K \), after which the MAE of each subset of climatic predictors (\( MAE_k \)) was calculated by averaging the subset-specific values across all time steps.

Model Predictions Under Climate Change. Cross-validated model outputs were used to generate spatially explicit predictions of dengue cases at a 0.5° x 0.5° resolution for the periods 2050s and 2100. Model predictions were computed based on future climate and population scenario data. The predicted number of cases for a grid box was then multiplied by a factor of 11.5 to account for potential underreporting in the dengue data (56). We then evaluated changes in the number of dengue cases and in the length of the transmission season. The LTS was calculated from the predicted incidence rate per month. LTS = 1 for a given month if the predicted incidence rate per 100,000 people > 10.
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