Identification of hub genes and outcome in colon cancer based on bioinformatics analysis

Background: Colon cancer is one of the leading malignant neoplasms worldwide. Until now, the concrete mechanisms of colonic cancerogenesis are largely unknown; identification of driven genes and pathways is, therefore, of great importance for monitoring and conquering this disease. This study aims to explore the potential biomarkers and therapeutic targets for colon cancer treatment.

Methods: The gene expression profile of GSE44076 from Gene Expression Omnibus database, including 98 primary colon cancers and 98 normal distant colon mucosa, was deeply analyzed. GEO2R tool was used to screen the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between colon cancer tissues and normal samples. Gene Ontology analysis and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathway analysis were performed for screening DEGs using Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery database and Panther database. Moreover, Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes, Cytoscape software, and Molecular Complex Detection plug-in were used to visualize the protein–protein interaction of these DEGs.

Results: A total of 497 DEGs were obtained, including 129 upregulated genes mainly enriched in Hippo signaling pathway, Wnt signaling pathway, and cytokine–cytokine receptor interaction and 368 downregulated genes enriched in retinol metabolism, steroid hormone biosynthesis, drug metabolism, and chemical carcinogenesis. Using Molecular Complex Detection software, three important modules were selected from the protein–protein interaction network. Moreover, 20 hub genes with high degree of connectivity were selected, including COL1A1, CXCL5, GNG4, TIMP1, and so on. The Kaplan–Meier analysis for overall survival and correlation analysis were applied among the hub genes.

Conclusion: Taken together, DEGs, especially the hub genes such as COL1A1, might be the driven genes in colon cancer progression. More importantly, they might be the novel biomarkers for diagnosis and guiding therapeutic strategies of colon cancer.
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Introduction

Colon cancer is one of the leading tumors of gastrointestinal tract worldwide. In 2017, there were an estimated 135,430 new cases with 50,260 deaths from this disease in USA. As one of the multifactorial diseases, risk factors such as drinking alcohol, red and processed meat consumption, older age, and family history are closely corrected with the incidence of colon cancer. Many therapeutic methods, including surgical resection, chemotherapy, as well as radiotherapy, are widely used for treating colon cancer. The prognosis of colon cancer is strongly associated with the stage of the disease at diagnosis. Also, due to the lack of effective methods for early detection,
patients are usually diagnosed at an advanced stage, thus leading to a particularly poor prognosis.\textsuperscript{4,5} Even worse, most of the patients with advanced colon cancer usually suffer tumor recurrence due to drug resistance.\textsuperscript{6} Also, these patients are not responsive to novel immune checkpoint therapy.\textsuperscript{7} Therefore, it is of paramount importance to explore specific early diagnostic biomarkers and effective therapeutic targets.

Molecular biomarkers have potential values as diagnostic and prognostic tools in colon cancer. Recently, several markers have been utilized for the screening and diagnosis of colon cancer. For example, the level of carcinoembryonic antigen is closely correlated to the lymph node metastasis and tumor stage in cancers and it can be used as a screening marker for colon cancer patients.\textsuperscript{8} The expression of epidermal growth factor receptor, vascular endothelial growth factor, and proliferating cell nuclear antigen in colon cancer is significantly associated with the survival rate.\textsuperscript{9} In terms of miRNA biomarkers, the combination of miR-378, miR-199a, and miR-92a in plasma is considered as an effective method to distinguish colon cancer cases from normal controls.\textsuperscript{10} However, these indicators are not suitable for clinical practice owing to their low specificity, oversensitivity (can be elevated in many types of tumors), and lack of clinical evidence. Accordingly, it was necessary to investigate the molecular mechanisms in colon cancer and to detect novel and specific biomarkers and targets.

In recent years, high-throughput sequencing and gene profile have been widely used in life sciences.\textsuperscript{11,12} Gene expression analysis based on bioinformatics analysis can find many differentially expressed genes (DEGs) which play critical roles in tumor initiation and progression. Some of the DEGs are even considered as potential molecular targets and diagnostic biomarkers. However, most of these data were only left to molder or uploaded to public databases after the projects were finished. Reanalyzing the data for new researches, therefore, might be an economical and efficient method since some studies have been carried out on gastric cancer and breast cancer.\textsuperscript{13,14}

In this study, we have downloaded GSE44076 from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database and utilized GEO2R online tool to identify the DEGs between colon cancer and normal samples. Additionally, other approaches including Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis, protein–protein interaction (PPI) network construction, sub-modules analysis, overall survival (OS) analysis, genes correlation analysis, and construction of non-coding RNA-related regulatory network were used for analyzing these data. The aims of our study were to identify novel biomarkers and potential therapeutic targets for colon cancer.

**Materials and methods**

**Data collection**

The gene expression profile (GSE44076) of paired normal adjacent mucosa and colon cancer samples from 98 individuals based on platform of GPL13667 (Affymetrix Human Genome U219 Arrays) was downloaded from the GEO (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/)\textsuperscript{13} database, which was deposited by Sanz-Pamplona et al.\textsuperscript{16} In this study, colon cancer and paired adjacent (~5–10 cm) pathologically normal mucosa tissue samples were obtained from the patients with histologically confirmed diagnoses of colon adenocarcinoma. The adjacent mucosa tissue samples were taken from the proximal resection margin (~5–10 cm). All cases were from a homogenous series of early-stage (II) patients without receiving chemotherapy before surgery.

**Identification of DEGs**

GEO2R (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/geo2r/)\textsuperscript{17} is an online tool that allows researchers to compare samples from two or more groups in a GEO series. Moreover, GEO2R can analyze most GEO series with gene symbol. We, therefore, used it to screen out the DEGs between colon cancer samples and normal samples; the cutoff criteria were \(|\log FC| \geq 2\) and adjusted \(P\)-value <0.05. Finally, 497 DEGs were picked out, including 129 upregulated genes and 368 downregulated genes, and the top 20 genes with high degree of connectivity were selected as the hub genes.

**GO and KEGG analysis of DEGs**

GO is commonly used to annotate genes from high-throughput genome or transcriptome data.\textsuperscript{18} Additionally, KEGG databases is a knowledge base used to deal with biological pathways and diseases.\textsuperscript{19} The Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID, https://david.ncifcrf.gov/)\textsuperscript{20} is a functional annotation tool for multiple genes or proteins.\textsuperscript{20} \(P<0.05\) was considered statistically significant.

**PPI network and sub-modules analysis**

The Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING) is an online software that contains comprehensive information of multiple proteins and is mainly used to evaluate the PPI information.\textsuperscript{21} Cytoscape was used to visualize the PPI networks of DEGs. Confidence score \(\geq 0.4\) and maximum number of interactors =0 were selected as...
the cutoff criteria. The Molecular Complex Detection plug-in of Cytoscape was utilized to illuminate the biological significance of gene modules in colon cancer with degree cutoff = 2, node score cutoff = 0.2, k-core = 2, and max. depth = 100. Functional enrichment analysis of each module was performed by DAVID. Besides, 20 hub genes were selected in STRING following confidence score ≥ 0.4 and maximum number of interactors ≤ 5.

Validation of hub genes

The Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA; http://gepi.a.cancer-pku.cn/index.html) is a web server for analyzing the gene expression profiles of 9,736 tumors and 8,587 normal samples from the Cancer Genome Atlas and the Genotype-Tissue Expression projects. It provides functions including prognosis analysis, tumor and normal differential expression analysis, genes correlation analysis, and so on. Thus, we could verify the expression levels of hub genes in colon cancer tissues and normal tissues. The genes’ correlation analysis is also performed using this tool.

Survival analysis of hub genes

PROGeneV2 (www.compbio.iupui.edu/proggene) is a database that contains over 19,000 samples from 134 cohorts in 21 cancer types. PROGeneV2 mainly provides survival analysis based on the expression of one gene or the ratio between two genes. The data of PROGeneV2 are based on GEO, the Cancer Genome Atlas database, and published papers. The HR with 95% CIs and log-rank P-value are calculated and presented on the plot.

Prediction of related non-coding RNAs of hub genes

Gene-Cloud Biotechnology information (GCBI; http://www.gcbi.com.cn) is a newly developed tool which can be used for predicting the interactive regulations of genes and non-coding RNAs, genes, transcription factors, and so on. We could visualize the regulatory networks of hub genes using GCBI.

Results

Identification of DEGs and hub genes

The gene expression profile of GSE44076 between colon cancer and paired normal samples was evaluated using the GEO2R online analysis tool, following the cutoff criteria |logFC| ≥ 2 and adjusted P-value < 0.05. A total of 497 DEGs were screened, including 129 upregulated genes and 368 downregulated genes (Table 1). In addition, 20 hub genes were picked out according to the degree of connectivity (Table 2; Figure 1).

GO function and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis

To get a better understanding of the candidate DEGs, GO function and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis were performed using several online databases, including DAVID software, KEGG pathway (http://www.genome.jp/kegg), and Panther (http://www.pantherdb.org).

GO analysis performed by DAVID and Panther classified DEGs into three functional groups: biological process group, cellular component group, and molecular function group. As shown in Figure 2, upregulated DEGs were mainly enriched in the regulation of cell proliferation, cell cycle, cell cycle process, and biological adhesion in biological process, whereas downregulated DEGs referred to biological adhesion, cell adhesion, and chemical homeostasis (Figure 2). As for the cellular component analysis, the upregulated DEGs were significantly enriched in the extracellular region, extracellular region part, and extracellular space and the downregulated DEGs were enriched in the extracellular region, extracellular region part, and cell fraction. In addition, the data of molecular function displayed that the upregulated DEGs were significantly enriched in endopeptidase activity, cytokine activity, and growth factor activity (Figure 2), while the downregulated DEGs were enriched in calcium ion binding, carboxylic acid binding, and monocarboxylic acid binding (Figure 2).

Table 3 and Figure 3 present the significantly enriched KEGG pathway terms of the upregulated and downregulated DEGs. The upregulated DEGs were significantly enriched in Hippo signaling pathway, Wnt signaling pathway, and cytokine–cytokine receptor interaction (Figure 3A), while the downregulated DEGs were enriched in retinol metabolism, steroid hormone biosynthesis, drug metabolism, and chemical carcinogenesis (Figure 3B). These enriched terms and pathways might provide an insight toward further research directions about the role of DEGs in colon cancer progression.

PPI network analysis of hub genes and sub-modules

PPI is defined as the physical contacts of high specificity established between two or more protein molecules as a result of biochemical events and/or electrostatic forces. According to the results from the STRING databases, we
Yang et al constructed the PPI network of the top 20 hub genes with higher degree of connectivity (Figure 1). These hub genes involved COL1A1, CXCL5, GNG4, TIMP1, SPP1, and LPAR1, and they might play a crucial role in colon cancer progression. Based on the GO function, KEGG pathway analysis, and the survival analysis, we found that CXCL5 and GNG4 were found enriched in chemokine signaling pathway, while SPP1 and COL1A1 were enriched in extracellular matrix (ECM)–receptor interaction.

Moreover, the PPI network of DEGs with a total of 497 nodes and 968 edges was analyzed using Molecular Complex Detection plug-in. The top three significant modules

| DEGs       | Gene names                                      |
|------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Upregulated DEGs | FOXQ1, MMP7, CLDN1, DPEP1, KIAA1199, KRT23, MMP3, ILB, TESC, COL1A1, LY6G6D, ASCL2, MMP1, CDH3, GDF15, TRIM29, TRIB3, TGFBI, ETY4, SLC6A6, REG1A, CTHRC1, INHBA, CXCL3, COL1A1, LGR5, CEl, MSX2, MMP1, PHLD1, CH3L1, CXCL1, CC2D4A, NDK1, SLC40A1, CLDN2, NFE2L2, FABP6, SLC7A5, PDX1, PSAT1, ACSL5, MTHFD1L, TACSTD2, CXCL5, EPHG1, AZGP1, VSNL1, NEBL, C9, COL1A1, SERPINB5, SPP1, DACH1, MACC1, C2, UBEC2, FAM3B, PMI1H, MMP12, JUB, ZAK, TNFRSF12A, SRPX2, AXIN2, KL10, CGRFE1, ATP1A1, TPX2, KRT6B, SNTB1, ANLN, GZMB, NDK2, ESM1, CXCL2, GT2F21D1, NEK2, ARID3A, RPS14, C7orf68, RNF43, AGT, SLC3D3, TEAD4, REG3A, HPS6ST2, SLC22A3, AHCY, TGFβ2, TCF7, GALNT6, CDKN2A, CELSRI, KLK6, BMP7, CDC25B, FAP, FAM176A, SHISA1, PMEPA1, DEFA6, FXNDS, LRBP8, HOMER1, TIMP1, TP4A3, SOX9, TRIP13, MADD2L1, LEF1, PLAU, COL1A2, SCD, MYC, GNG4, DUSP27, CD44, EGF6L, LCN2, KIAA1549, SHROOM4, FAM92A1, APOC2, EREERE1, TOP1MT, TOMM34, BUB1 |
| Downregulated DEGs | PPP2R3A, KLF9, HAPLN1, EGLN3, LPH, CDA, RDHS, EIF4E3, PI6, NKX2-3, PID1, PPAPA2, PAG1, LOMFL1, EPHC2, EFEPM1, TDP2, FRMD3, HSPB8, GSN, MAHA, ATP2A3, PTGS, RPS1, LMO3, SLC22A23, MAFP4, CASP5, ADIPQO, RCAN2, ENTPD8, HBO, KIT, NR1H4, MXXI, CPA3, SYAC, PAPSP2, CCL19, FGL2, FAM129A, MXXI, DXXO1, PRKACB, TMEM140, EA2, IQGAP2, PROM2, AOC3, SPARCL1, GMPA6, GLIP2R, CHL1, GFR2, FBLN1, CASQ2, PLK2, BARX2, PBLD, LEPREL1, CRYAB, LRRCC6, MAOB, FAM55B, SLITRK6, DEFB1, NRXN1, CFH, SAMD9, RHBDO2, AKR1C1, GLDN, MATN2, GAP43, FAM150B, HPGS, MFSD4, MYLK, RBPMS2, RICH2, CTSG, SGK2, KIF16F, TRIM36, CCL8, UCHL1, MIER3, ASAP3, CPNEB, BEX1, EPB41L4A, GGT6, AMN, OASL, VIPR1, ST6GALNAC6, RGS13, KIAA1121, G3PA3, MEPI, ISX, GHR, CKB, MAP, TUBAL3, NAA, RHOF, AKR1C2, KRT20, PLCD1, SLC22A18S, ABCB1, AFF3, ITM2A, PLIN1, HSD3B2, SEMA3D, BMP2, F13A1, SHISA3, RNGF12, P2RY14, IL6, SLC20A1, DSC2, MCOLN2, MUC2, C1orf115, MAOA, ACADS, ADRA2A, CHBD2, MRPB1, CDDCB80, ABC13, HAND2, ABHD3, MOY1A, TNFRSF17, PDE6A, PLC1, CLIC5, C1orf99, HOG1A, ACTG2, C1orf97, MTF1, GPT, ANGPTL1, IGFBB, CCL8, LAP1, MUSTN1, WISP2, CES2, ARGE3, SLC1A2, KRT14, SLC22A1, SPON1, HHR1T, SCG2A1, PTN, SDRP, RMGA, OStapha, PHLP2, METTL7A, PTRHR, CNTN3, NRS2, SULT1B1, DNASE1L3, SCG2, KCNMA1, CNN1, HSD11B2, PL2G10, ADAMDEC1, FGFR2, TEK1, SELENBP1, SULTR2K3, FXD3, GNT2, CDHB19, SLC16A4, DHR51, PD4, ITM2C, CD36, PIGZ, USP2, CDDCB8, PPARC1A, GPX3, MALL, MT1F1, CLU, TP3N1P2, ILI2, RASSLA, EMP1, TSPAN1, TMEM72, NPY1R, ATP1A2, WDR78, ENTPD5, THR8, SPINK5, PGMS5, NAP1L2, SYNM, ZBTB7C, PIRG, PTPRR, SGP1, SMPDL3A, POCLCE2, RUNC1CB, TMCC3, XHO, TMEM220, FABP1, SPP2, MYOT, AGATP9, TMEM37, FABP2, NR3C2, TMEM171, DPT, SLC9A2, FAM107A, AHCL2, TSPAN7, ARL14, IFIT1, SECM1, IG, KLF4, CILR, REP13, SRPX2, ANK2, GDPD3, PLN, EPB41L3, BCAS1, CHRD1L, SEMA6A, SDCBP2, DES, LIFR, MMP28, B3GNT7, ZNF611, PRIMA1, MUC2, HMCG52, CPM, CEACAM1, CA12, GNT3, PDE4A, CLDN23, HLA2, LRRCC19, SEMAD5, FHL1, MT1G, BTN8L, STNMD2, LDHD, RERG1, MIRRN1, MHI1, MFAP5, BMP3, UGT2A3, SYNPO2, CIN1, C1orf88, AKR1B5, CDKN2B, A13BP, MAMDC2, GB3, TMEM100, MUC4, LI, SP2, HEPACAM2, FAM23A, LGALS2, INS53, EDN3, MT1H, SI, LAM1, PCK1, NTN3L, SLC17A4, CWGH4, BEST2, C1orf68, CXCL12, RSD2, GREM2, C1orf65, GCC, PLLC5, PYY, PLLP1, HPGD, CF2, C6orf105, FAM53D, HRLS2, CLEC3B, SCDNB1, FGBB, FABP4, TRPM6, VSIG2, C7, SCARAS, BEST4, PAI2, ITL1N, ABCA8, C2orf40, CLCA1, HSD17B2, OSTBETA, VIP, SLC26A2, SLC26A3, C2orf88, ANPEP, UGT2B17, C57, PXY, CHGA, OGN, CLDN8, SNRP1, DHR59, OTOP2, MT1M, CA2, CD177, CEACAM7, ABCG2, SLC4A4, ADH1B, GUC1A2, CA4, ZG16, GUC2B, MS4A12, AQP8, TMIGD1, CLCA4, CA1 |

Note: The upregulated genes are listed from the largest to the smallest of fold changes, and the downregulated genes are listed from the smallest to the largest of fold changes.

Abbreviation: DEGs, differentially expressed genes.
were selected, and the functional annotations of the genes involved in the modules were analyzed (Figure 4). KEGG pathway enrichment analysis showed that these three modules were mainly associated with chemokine signaling pathway, cytokine–cytokine receptor interaction, cell cycle, miRNAs in cancer, mineral absorption, and so on.

**Survival analysis and the expression levels of hub genes**

PROGgeneV2 – Pan Cancer Prognostics Database (www.compbio.iupui.edu/proggene) online software is freely available for analyzing the prognostic information of the 20 hub genes. It showed that higher mRNA expressions of COL1A1 (HR: 1.62 [1.22–2.16], \( P < 0.01 \)), CXCL5 (HR: 1.25 [1.08–1.43], \( P < 0.01 \)), GNG4 (HR: 1.25 [1.03–1.51], \( P = 0.02 \)), TIMP1 (HR: 2.1 [1.32–3.36], \( P < 0.01 \)), and SPP1 (HR: 1.44 [1.17–1.78], \( P < 0.01 \)) were related to poor OS in colon cancer patients, whereas higher expression of LPAR1 (HR: 0.58 [0.35–0.96], \( P = 0.03 \)) was associated with better OS (Figure 5). Then, the hub genes levels between cancer patients and healthy controls were detected using GEPIA (http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/index.html). Figure S1 shows that the expression levels of COL1A1, CXCL5, GNG4, TIMP1, and SPP1 were increased in cancer patients compared to normal controls, whereas LPAR1 was downregulated. Also, these data are in keeping with the results from the profile of GSE44076. The correlation analysis among the hub genes was also performed using GEPIA, and Figure S2 shows that the mRNA levels of CXCL5 and COL1A1, SPP1

| Genes     | Degree of connectivity | Adjusted \( P \)-value |
|-----------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| CXCL12    | 20                     | 2.23E–39              |
| CXCL1     | 17                     | 1.74E–34              |
| SST       | 16                     | 1.89E–49              |
| NPY       | 16                     | 1.82E–45              |
| PYY       | 15                     | 2.17E–57              |
| LPAR1     | 15                     | 1.43E–60              |
| CCL19     | 15                     | 1.31E–16              |
| GNG4      | 15                     | 1.29E–19              |
| CXCL5     | 14                     | 3.44E–19              |
| CXCL2     | 14                     | 8.67E–24              |
| CXCL3     | 14                     | 5.04E–38              |
| NPY1R     | 14                     | 2.58E–39              |
| CCL28     | 14                     | 7.13E–30              |
| TIMP1     | 10                     | 6.56E–35              |
| SPP1      | 10                     | 3.90E–31              |
| MMP3      | 8                      | 1.65E–38              |
| GCG       | 7                      | 8.52E–45              |
| KIT       | 7                      | 1.46E–31              |
| BMP2      | 6                      | 2.38E–39              |
| COL1A1    | 5                      | 1.04E–39              |

**Figure 1** The protein–protein interaction network of top 20 hub genes.

**Notes:** The proteins encoded by the selected hub genes form the complex network by biochemical events and/or electrostatic forces. They may serve a distinct biological function as a complex in colon cancer progression.
Figure 2 GO enrichment analysis results of DEGs in colon cancer.

Notes: (A) GO enrichment analysis results of upregulated DEGs in colon cancer. (B) GO enrichment analysis results of downregulated DEGs in colon cancer. The significant enriched GO terms of DEGs in colon cancer were based on their functions.

Abbreviations: DEGs, differentially expressed genes; GO, Gene Ontology.
# Table 3 KEGG pathway analysis of DEGs associated with colon cancer

| Term                                      | Count | Genes                                                                 | P-value     | FDR         |
|-------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| **Upregulated DEGs**                      |       |                                                                       |             |             |
| Hsa04390: Hippo signaling pathway         | 8     | AJUBA, AXIN2, BMP7, LEF1, MYC, NKD1, TCF7, TEAD4                      | 1.01E–06    | 0.00117     |
| Hsa04310: Wnt signaling pathway           | 7     | AXIN2, LEF1, MMP7, MYC, NKD1, NKD2, TCF7                             | 6.29E–07    | 0.00343     |
| Hsa04060: cytokine–cytokine receptor interaction | 8     | BMP7, CXCL1, CXCL2, CXCL3, CXCL5, IL8, INHBA, TNSFRF1/2A              | 3.24E–06    | 0.0106      |
| Hsa05206: microRNAs in cancer             | 6     | CD44, CDC25B, CDKN2A, MYC, PLAU, SERPINB5                            | 0.0004926   | 0.0106      |
| Hsa04512: ECM–receptor interaction        | 5     | CD44, COL1A1, COL1A2, COL1A3, GNG4, IL8                              | 0.0001806   | 0.0106      |
| Hsa05323: rheumatoid arthritis            | 5     | CXCL1, CXCL5, IL8, MMP1, MMP3                                       | 0.0002647   | 0.0106      |
| Hsa05202: transcriptional misregulation in cancer | 6     | ETV4, GZMB, IL8, MMP3, MYC, PLAU                                    | 0.0003515   | 0.0131      |
| Hsa04668: TNF signaling pathway           | 5     | CXCL1, CXCL2, CXCL3, CXCL5, MMP3                                    | 0.0000378   | 0.0131      |
| Hsa05146: amoebiasis                      | 5     | COL1A1, COL1A2, COL1A3, CXCL1, IL8                                  | 0.0046173   | 0.0131      |
| Hsa04062: chemokine signaling pathway     | 6     | CXCL1, CXCL2, CXCL3, CXCL5, GNG4, IL8                                | 0.0004165   | 0.0168      |
| **Downregulated DEGs**                    |       |                                                                       |             |             |
| Hsa00830: retinol metabolism              | 18    | ADH1C, DHR59, ADH1B, ADH1A, UGT1A1, RDH5, UGT1A7, UGT1A6, UGT1A10, UGT1A9, UGT2B17, UGT1A8, UGT1A3, UGT1A5, UGT1A4, UGT2A3, UGT2B15, RETSAT | 1.21E–13    | 1.50E–10    |
| Hsa00140: steroid hormone biosynthesis    | 17    | HSD3B2, HSD17B2, UGT1A1, UGT1A7, UGT1A10, AKR1C2, UGT1A6, UGT1A9, UGT2B17, UGT1A8, UGT1A3, UGT1A5, UGT1A4, HSD1B2, UGT2A3, UGT2B15, AKR1C1 | 2.64E–13    | 3.27E–10    |
| Hsa00983: drug metabolism – other enzymes | 15    | XDH, UGT1A7, UGT1A10, UGT1A6, UGT1A9, CES2, UGT2B17, UGT1A8, UGT1A3, UGT1A5, UGT1A4, CDA, UGT2A3, UGT2B15, UGT1A1 | 1.94E–12    | 2.41E–09    |
| Hsa00982: drug metabolism – cytochrome P450 | 17    | MAOA, MAOB, ADH1C, ADH1B, ADH1A, UGT1A1, UGT1A7, UGT1A6, UGT1A10, UGT1A9, UGT2B17, UGT1A8, UGT1A3, UGT1A5, UGT1A4, UGT2A3, UGT2B15 | 3.92E–12    | 4.86E–09    |
| Hsa00053: ascorbate and aldarate metabolism | 12    | UGT1A7, UGT1A10, UGT1A6, UGT1A9, UGT2B17, UGT1A8, UGT1A3, UGT1A5, UGT1A4, UGT2A3, UGT2B15, UGT1A1 | 1.26E–11    | 1.56E–08    |
| Hsa00980: metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450 | 17    | ADH1C, ADH1B, ADH1A, UGT1A1, UGT1A7, UGT1A6, UGT1A10, AKR1C2, UGT1A6, UGT1A9, UGT2B17, UGT1A8, UGT1A3, UGT1A5, UGT1A4, UGT2A3, UGT2B15, AKR1C1 | 1.58E–11    | 1.96E–08    |
| Hsa00040: pentose and glucuronate interconversions | 13    | UGT1A7, UGT1A10, UGT1A6, UGT1A9, UGT2B17, UGT1A8, UGT1A3, UGT1A5, UGT1A4, AKR1B10, UGT1A4, UGT2A3, UGT2B15, UGT1A1 | 2.33E–11    | 2.89E–08    |
| Hsa00860: porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism | 12    | UGT1A7, UGT1A10, UGT1A6, UGT1A9, UGT2B17, UGT1A8, UGT1A3, UGT1A5, AKR1B10, UGT1A4, UGT2A3, UGT2B15, UGT1A1 | 3.00E–09    | 3.72E–06    |
| Hsa05204: chemical carcinogenesis         | 15    | UGT1A7, UGT1A10, UGT1A6, UGT1A9, UGT2B17, UGT1A8, UGT1A3, UGT1A5, UGT1A4, ADH1C, ADH1B, ADH1A, UGT2A3, UGT2B15, UGT1A1 | 5.93E–09    | 7.35E–06    |
| Hsa04972: pancreatic secretion            | 11    | KCNMA1, SLC26A3, CLCA1, CLCA4, PLA2G10, ATP2A3, PLA2G2A, CPA3, ATP1A2, C2A, SLC4A4 | 8.23E–05    | 0.101949    |

**Abbreviations**: DEGs, differentially expressed genes; ECM, extracellular matrix; FDR, false discovery rate; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.
and COL1A1, SPP1 and TIMP1, and TIMP1 and COL1A1 were obviously positively correlated, respectively.

**Construction of the regulatory networks of hub genes**

In the present study, we noticed the above-mentioned six genes were mainly involved in several pathways, including ECM–receptor interaction, chemokine signaling pathway, and cell cycle. Given that non-coding RNAs and genes could comprise regulatory networks to participate in many cellular pathways, we then predicted the targeted miRNAs and related lncRNAs of COL1A1, CXCL5, GNG4, TIMP1, SPP1, and LPAR1 using GCBi (http://www.gcbi.com.cn/). Thus, we constructed the interaction networks so as to achieve

| Pathway                                                                 | 0  | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Wnt signaling pathway                                                  |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Transcriptional misregulation in cancer                                |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| TNF signaling pathway                                                  |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Thyroid cancer                                                         |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| TGF-beta signaling pathway                                             |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Rheumatoid arthritis                                                  |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Protein digestion and absorption                                      |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| PPAR signaling pathway                                                |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Pathways in cancer                                                    |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| MicroRNAs in cancer                                                   |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Hippo signaling pathway                                               |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Endometrial cancer                                                    |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| ECM–receptor interaction                                              |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Cytokine–cytokine receptor interaction                                 |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Colorectal cancer                                                      |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Chemokine signaling pathway                                           |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Cell cycle                                                             |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Bladder cancer                                                        |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |

**Notes:** DEGs functional and signaling pathway enrichment was performed using the online tools of KEGG pathway, Gene Ontology analysis, and Panther. \( P<0.05 \) was considered to have statistical significance and to achieve significant enrichment. The enrichment significance \(-\log_{10} P\) of different term is shown as different length and color of each bar (pathway).

**Abbreviations:** DEGs, differentially expressed genes; ECM, extracellular matrix; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.
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Hub genes and their prognostic roles in colon cancer

Figure 4 The top three modules from the protein–protein interaction network.
Notes: (A) Module 1; (B) the enriched pathways of module 1; (C) module 2; (D) the enriched pathways of module 2; (E) module 3; (F) the enriched pathways of module 3.
Abbreviation: FDR, false discovery rate.
Figure 5 Prognostic values of six genes in colon cancer patients.

Notes: Prognostic values of (A) COL1A1, (B) CXCL5, (C) GNG4, (D) TIMP1, (E) SPP1, and (F) LPAR1 were obtained in the PROGgeneV2 – Pan Cancer Prognostics Database (www.compbio.iupui.edu/proggene) online software.

Abbreviation: OS, overall survival.
a better understanding of the regulatory relationships. Figure 6 displays the regulatory networks of these key genes. For example, Figure 6A shows that COL1A1 might be a direct target of miR-29b-3p. Moreover, IncRNA H19, one of inflammation-related IncRNAs, may also regulate the function/level of COL1A1. A previous study has demonstrated that IncRNA H19 could target miR-29b-3p to inhibit its expression. Thus, we hypothesized that there might be a ceRNA network (IncRNA H19/miR-29b-3p/COL1A1) in the development of colon cancer. Moreover, IncRNA CCDC26 might simultaneously regulate CXCL5 (Figure 6B), GNG4 (Figure 6C), and SPP1 (Figure 6E) according to the networks. We also found that IncRNA IGF2-AS was presented in the networks of COL1A1 (Figure 6A), TIMP1 (Figure 6D), SPP1 (Figure 6E), and LPAR1 (Figure 6F). Taken together, these regulatory networks/pathways might provide new insights into the mechanisms of colonic carcinogenesis and targeted therapy.

Discussion

In recent years, although the morbidity of colon cancer has declined, it is still one of the leading malignant tumors worldwide. Specific biomarkers and effective targets are still needed to be identified.

In this study, a total of 497 DEGs were picked out from the profile of GSE44076, including 129 upregulated genes and 368 downregulated genes. Upregulated DEGs were mainly involved in several functional terms such as cell proliferation, cell cycle, extracellular region, and endopeptidase activity, while downregulated DEGs were enriched in biological adhesion, cell adhesion, extracellular region, and calcium ion binding. The KEGG pathways of upregulated DEGs involved Hippo signaling pathway, Wnt signaling pathway, and cytokine–cytokine receptor interaction, while the downregulated DEGs were enriched in retinol metabolism, steroid hormone biosynthesis, drug metabolism, and chemical carcinogenesis. Among the DEGs, 20 hub genes had higher degree of connectivity in the PPI network. Survival analysis showed that six of the hub genes, including COL1A1, CXCL5, TIMP1, GNG4, SPP1, and LPAR1, were associated with the OS of colon cancer patients. Higher mRNA expression levels of COL1A1, CXCL5, GNG4, TIMP1, and SPP1 were related to poor OS for colon cancer patients, whereas higher expression of LPAR1 might predict better OS.

LPAR1 is one of the G protein-coupled transmembrane lysophosphatidic acid receptors which may negatively regulate the activities of cell motility and colon formation. Besides, according to the KEGG pathways analysis, CXCL5 and GNG4 were enriched in chemokine signaling pathway, while SPP1 and COL1A1 were enriched in ECM–receptor interaction. Recent studies have shown that chemokine signaling pathway and ECM–receptor interaction might play crucial roles in the metastasis and growth of colon cancer, suggesting that these five genes might be potential therapeutic targets.

COL1A1 is an important structural component of the ECM which belongs to collagen family. COL1A1 participates in the process of focal adhesion and may influence the metastatic ability of cells. Studies have reported the abnormal expression of COL1A1 in several cancers, suggesting that five genes might be potential therapeutic targets. However, the clinical value of COL1A1 in colon cancer is rarely mentioned. It was reported that COL1A1 was high expressed in inflamed mucosa in inflammatory bowel disease, and inflammatory bowel disease was commonly considered as an important risk factor for developing colon cancer. In azoxymethane-induced colon cancer, COL1A1 also presented a higher expression level, and it might be the predictor of relapse in high-risk stage II and stage III colon cancer patients. Immunohistochemistry result showed that COL1A1 protein expression was increased in colon cancer tissues as compared with the matched normal controls, and that overexpression of the nine genes signature (including COL1A1) might predict poor outcome in colon cancer.

CXCL5 is one of the secreted signaling proteins which belongs to the chemokine family. As one of the proinflammatory and pro-oncogenic molecules, high level of CXCL5 is associated with rapid tumor formation and predicts a poor prognosis. Kawamura et al have found that preoperative serum CXCL5 might be a novel biomarker for prognosis analysis of colorectal cancer patients, and the data are consistent with our findings that higher expression of CXCL5 was associated with poor OS. Similarly, high expression level of GNG4 is a prognostic factor for monitoring the treatment response in locally advanced rectal cancer patients. To date, the roles and mechanisms of GNG4 and CXCL5 in colonic carcinogenesis are still unclear. Here, CXCL5 and GNG4 were found to be significantly enriched in chemokine signaling pathway, indicating that chemokine signaling pathway might be an effective way to inhibit colon cancer.
In summary, a total of 497 DEGs and 20 hub genes were picked out, and COL1A1, CXCL5, GNG4, TIMP1, SPP1, and LPAR1 might be the novel biomarkers in colon cancer. Besides, the related gene regulatory networks under the regulations of non-coding RNAs are constructed. Our results indicate that data mining and integration is a useful approach to screen the core genes and to understand the mechanisms of carcinogenesis. This study provides powerful clues for colon
cancer research, and further experimental studies are required to verify the findings of the present analysis.
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Supplementary materials

Figure S1 Expression levels of six DEGs in colon cancer tissues and normal tissues.

Notes: GEPIA (http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/index.html) was used to verify the expression levels of six genes, (A) COL1A1, (B) CXCL5, (C) GNG4, (D) TIMP1, (E) SPP1, (F) LPAR1, in colon cancer tissues and normal tissues. The components are colored as the key at the lower left. *P<0.05.

Abbreviations: DEGs, differentially expressed genes; GEPIA, Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis.
Figure S2 The correlation analysis among the hub genes.

Notes: (A) CXCL5 and COL1A1, (B) SPP1 and COL1A1, (C) SPP1 and TIMP1, and (D) TIMP1 and COL1A1 were obviously positively correlated, respectively.

Abbreviation: TPM, transcripts per million.