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Abstract

Background: Effects of simvastatin on serum level of adiponectin, a protein conferring benefits in both cardiovascular and metabolic system, are not fully determined.

Methods: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) was performed. Studies were identified by searching of Pubmed, Embase, and the Cochrane Library databases. Heterogeneity among the RCTs was determined by Cochrane’s Q test and I² statistics. Meta-analysis was performed with random-effect model or fixed-effect model according to the heterogeneity. Meta-regression and subgroup analyses were performed to analyze the source of heterogeneity.

Results: Twelve RCTs with 16 comparisons and 1042 patients were included. Overall, serum adiponectin was not significantly affected by simvastatin (WMD: 0.42 μg/mL; 95% CI, -0.66 – 1.50 μg/mL). However, significant heterogeneity was detected (Cochrane’s Q test: p < 0.01; I² = 83%). Subsequent meta-regression analyses indicated that treatment duration was a significant determinant of the effects of simvastatin treatment on serum adiponectin (Coefficient 0.04, p = 0.03). Subgroup analyses demonstrated that simvastatin treatment was associated with increased adiponectin in studies with treatment duration of 12 weeks (WMD: 3.65 μg/mL; p < 0.01), but not in studies with treatment duration of ≤8 weeks (WMD: -0.20 μg/mL; p = 0.38). The different between the two strata was significant (p < 0.01).

Conclusions: Treatment with simvastatin of 12 weeks may increase the serum level adiponectin in patients at risk for cardiovascular diseases, but not for the short term treatment of ≤8 weeks.
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Background

Accumulating evidence from previous clinical trials has confirmed the role of statins, a class of medications used to lower low-density-lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) levels, as the cornerstone for the primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular diseases [1, 2]. The subsequent studies regarding the mechanisms of statins indicate that many other potential mechanisms contribute to the benefits of statins in patients at risk for cardiovascular diseases (CVDs), such as anti-inflammation, antioxidant, and stabilization of the atherosclerotic plaques [3]. Simvastatin, as a representative medication of the first generation statins, has become one of the most commonly used statins for the treatment of hypercholesterolemia and dyslipidemia [4, 5]. The efficacy and safety of this medication have been well established in previous clinical trials [6]. Therefore, further elucidation of its potential therapeutic mechanisms in patients with cardiovascular diseases other than lipids-lowering is of significance. Recent studies have suggested that simvastatin may have influence on glucose metabolic pathways, such as glucose transport, insulin secretion, and insulin resistance [7]. However the potential mechanisms underlying these effects remain to be determined.

Adiponectin is a protein that is synthesized in adipose tissue and exerts both the cardiovascular and metabolic benefits [8, 9]. Previous experimental studies suggest that the beneficial effects of adiponectin include multiple mechanisms, such as anti-inflammatory, anti-oxidant, anti-atherogenic, and anti-thrombotic, as well as improving
insulin resistance and anti-diabetes [10]. Consistently, higher plasma level of adiponectin has been related to the decreased risks of CVDs and diabetes mellitus (DM) [11, 12], suggesting the potential role of adiponectin as an important target for the prevention and treatment of CVDs and DM. Previous studies have suggested that simvastatin treatment may affect serum level of adiponectin [13–24]. However, these studies are generally of limited scale and results of these studies are not always consistent. Therefore, in this study, we performed a meta-analysis to evaluate the effect of simvastatin on serum level adiponectin. The results of our study may be of significance to further elucidate the potential mechanisms of potential influence of simvastatin on cardiovascular and metabolic systems.

Methods
Database searching
This systematic review and meta-analysis was performed in accordance with the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) statement [25] and the Cochrane’s Handbook of Systematic Review and Meta-analyses [26]. We searched the Pubmed, Embase, and Cochrane Library databases with the words “simvastatin” paired with “adiponectin”, which were limited to studies in humans. The final search was completed on Nov 20th, 2016. The references of the original studies were manually screened for possible relevant studies.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria
In accordance with the aim of the meta-analysis, studies were included if they met all of the following criteria: (a) designed as RCTs and published as full-length article in English; (b) included participants randomized to simvastatin (with no limitations to the dose and treatment duration) or control group; (c) circulating adiponectin levels were reported; and (d) data (means and standard deviations [SDs]) regarding changes of adiponectin from baseline were reported or could be calculated. Reviews, nonhuman studies, observational studies without longitudinal follow-up, cross-sectional studies, duplicate publications, and studies in which changes of adiponectin were not reported or unavailable were excluded.

Data extraction and quality evaluation
The database searching, data extraction and study quality evaluation were independently performed by two authors (WC and ZH), and the discrepancies were resolved by consensus. For studies with more than one intervention group (e.g. different statin dosages), multiple comparisons were considered and the controls were split into multiple groups to overcome a unit of analysis error [26]. Data regarding study design, patient characteristics (health status, number of participants, mean age, gender, mean body mass index [BMI]), intervention strategies (dosages, and treatment durations), adiponectin measurement methods and the type of adiponectin measured were extracted. The seven domains of the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool was applied to evaluate the quality of the included RCTs, which addressing aspects of sequence generation, allocation concealment, participant and personnel blinding, outcome assessor blinding, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome reporting, and other potential threats to validity.

Statistics
The main outcome for the current meta-analysis was the change of serum adiponectin level between baseline and endpoint in response to statin therapy as compared with controlled. The pooled effect was expressed as weighted mean difference (WMD) with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Heterogeneity among the included studies was formally tested using Cochrane’s Q test, and significant heterogeneity was considered if p values < 0.10 [26]. The I² statistic, which describes the percentage of total variation across studies that is due to heterogeneity rather than chance, was also examined, and values of I² > 50% indicated significant heterogeneity [27]. A random-effect was applied to estimate the overall outcome if I² > 50%, otherwise, a fixed-effect model was used. To identify whether differences in study characteristics were potential contributors to heterogeneity, we performed univariate meta-regression and subgroup analyses subsequently, and predefined study characteristics included age, gender, mean BMI, and dosage and treatment duration of simvastatin. Potential publication bias was assessed with a funnel plot and Egger’s regression asymmetry test [28]. P values were two-tailed and statistical significance was set at 0.05. The meta-analysis and statistical analysis were performed with RevMan software (Version 5.3; Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK) and Stata software (version 12.0; Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA).

Results
Database searching
The process of database searching and study identification was shown in Fig. 1. Briefly, 174 records were retrieved after initial database searching and 12 RCTs [13–24] were finally included. Two of the included studies [18, 21] had more than one interventional arm with different doses of simvastatin, and multiple comparisons were included.

Study characteristics and quality evaluation
The characteristics of the included studies were summarized in Table 1. Briefly, these RCTs generally included patients at risk for CVDs, such as those with hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, diabetes, or carotid atherosclerosis.
The mean ages of the patients varied from 45 to 60 years, and the BMI ranged from 24 to 39 Kg/m$^2$. Simvastatin was administered in the treatment group with the doses of 10, 20, 40 and 80 mg/d, and durations of 2 to 12 weeks. The serum adiponectin was measured via enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay in most of the included studies, and the total circulating adiponectin levels were measured in all of the included studies. The quality of the study as evaluated by the Cochrane risk of biases tool was presented in Table 2, and the overall quality of the included studies were moderate.

### Effects of simvastatin treatment on serum adiponectin

Overall, 16 comparisons with 594 patients in the simvastatin group and 448 in the control group were included in the meta-analysis. Significant heterogeneity was detected (Cochrane’s Q test: $p < 0.01$; $I^2 = 83$%); therefore, the random-effect model was applied. The pooled results indicated that serum adiponectin was not significantly affected by simvastatin (WMD: 0.42 μg/mL; 95% CI, -0.66–1.50 μg/mL; $p = 0.45$; Fig. 2). Pooled results with only double-blinded, placebo-controlled trials [13–16, 18, 23] retrieved similar results (WMD: -0.15 μg/mL; 95% CI, -0.64–0.34 μg/mL; $p = 0.54$).

### Treatment duration and the effects of simvastatin treatment on serum adiponectin

In view of significant heterogeneity among the included, we subsequently performed univariate meta-regression analyses to explore the potential source of heterogeneity. We found that simvastatin treatment duration was a significant determinant of the effects of simvastatin treatment on serum adiponectin (Coefficient 0.04, $p = 0.03$; Table 3), but were not for other potential variables such as age, gender, BMI, or dosages of simvastatin. Specifically, longer treatment duration was associated with more remarkable increment of adiponectin following simvastatin, which may partly explain the heterogeneity. This was confirmed by results of subgroup analyses which demonstrated that simvastatin treatment was associated with increased adiponectin in studies with treatment duration of 12 weeks (WMD: 3.65 μg/mL; 95% CI, 2.14–5.16 μg/mL; $p < 0.01$; $I^2 = 48$%; Fig. 2), but not in studies with treatment duration of ≤8 weeks (WMD: -0.20 μg/mL; 95% CI, -0.65–0.34 μg/mL; $p = 0.38$; $I^2 = 0$%; Fig. 2). The different between the two stratum was significant ($p < 0.01$).

### Publication bias

No significant publication biases were indicated by the funnel plots (Fig. 3) or the results of Egger’s significance tests for the effects of individual simvastatin treatment on circulating adiponectin ($p = 0.47$).

### Discussion

In this study, by pooling the results of previous published studies, the overall results of the meta-analysis showed that simvastatin treatment was not associated with significant change of adiponectin in patients at risk for CVDs. However, considerable heterogeneity exists among these studies, and results of subsequent analyses suggested that treatment duration may influence the effect of simvastatin treatment on serum adiponectin. Indeed, subgroup analyses indicated that simvastatin treatment was associated with significantly enhanced adiponectin level in studies with treatment duration of 12 weeks, but not in those of ≤8 weeks. These results suggested that simvastatin may enhance the serum level of adiponectin at least after 12 weeks of treatment duration, and chronic benefits of simvastatin in cardiovascular and metabolic systems may involve the regulation of serum adiponectin.

Our study has clinical relevance in the following aspects. Firstly, a previous meta-analysis indicated that patients with higher serum level of adiponectin were with a 17% lower risk of coronary artery disease (CAD) [12]. Therefore, the preventative effects of simvastatin on CAD may be related to their stimulatory effect on adiponectin. Interestingly, recent studies have indicated an inverse association between serum adiponectin levels and carotid intima-media thickness, an early manifestation of atherosclerosis [29]. Secondly, long-term administration of simvastatin has been reported to be associated
| Author (year) | Design | Population | Number of subjects | Mean age | Male | Mean BMI | Dose | Duration | Adiponectin measurement |
|--------------|--------|------------|--------------------|----------|------|----------|------|----------|-------------------------|
| Koh 2004 [13] | R, DB, PC, CO | HTN patients | 47 | 570 | 42.6 | 25.2 | 20 | 8 | ELISA |
| Koh 2005 [14] | R, DB, PC | T2DM patients | 50 | 590 | 60.0 | 25.5 | 20 | 8 | ELISA |
| Devaraj 2007 [15] | R, DB, PC | MetS patients | 50 | 510 | 28.0 | 39.0 | 40 | 8 | RIA |
| Pfutzner 2007 [16] | R, DB, PC | Non DM patients of increased CV risk | 84 | 589 | 36.9 | 31.3 | 40 | 12 | RIA |
| Gouni-Berthold 2008 [17] | R | Healthy male | 48 | 31.4 | 100.0 | 25.4 | 40 | 2 | RIA |
| Koh 2008–10 mg\(^a\) [18] | R, DB, PC | HC patients | 38 | 57.4 | 46.8 | 25.9 | 10 | 8 | ELISA |
| Koh 2008–20 mg\(^a\) [18] | R, DB, PC | HC patients | 40 | 58.2 | 46.9 | 26.7 | 20 | 8 | ELISA |
| Koh 2008–40 mg\(^a\) [18] | R, DB, PC | HC patients | 39 | 59.8 | 46.0 | 26.6 | 40 | 8 | ELISA |
| Koh 2008–80 mg\(^a\) [18] | R, DB, PC | HC patients | 39 | 590 | 47.6 | 26.3 | 80 | 8 | ELISA |
| Koh 2009 [20] | R, SB, PC | HC patients | 85 | 585 | 38.8 | 24.9 | 20 | 8 | ELISA |
| Hu 2009 [19] | R | T2DM patients with carotid atherosclerosis | 43 | 570 | 53.5 | 24.3 | 40 | 12 | ELISA |
| Koh 2011b–20 mg\(^b\) [21] | R, SB, PC | HC patients | 67 | 57.7 | 46.1 | 24.4 | 20 | 8 | ELISA |
| Koh 2011b–40 mg\(^b\) [21] | R, SB, PC | HC patients | 67 | 597 | 44.9 | 24.5 | 40 | 8 | ELISA |
| Moezzi 2014 [23] | R, DB, PC, CO | Patients of increased CV risk | 102 | 45.1 | 39.2 | 30 | 40 | 4 | ELISA |
| Krysiak 2014 [22] | R, SB, PC | HC patients | 44 | 51.5 | 59 | 26.8 | 40 | 12 | ELISA |
| Koh 2015 [24] | R, SB, PC | HC patients | 102 | 57 | 52.9 | 24.7 | 20 | 8 | ELISA |

**Abbreviations:** BMI, body mass index; R, random; DB, double-blinded; PC, placebo controlled; CO, crossover; SB, single-blinded; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; RIA, radioimmunoassay; HC, hypercholesterolemic; HTN, hypertension; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; MetS, metabolic syndrome; CV, cardiovascular; DM, diabetes mellitus.

\(^a\)The study by Koh et al (2008) [18] included four simvastatin treatment arms with dosages of 10, 20, 40, 80 mg/d respectively, and these comparisons were included separately.

\(^b\)The study by Koh et al (2011b) [21] included two simvastatin treatment arms with dosages of 20 and 40 mg/d respectively, and both the comparisons were included separately.
| Author (year)         | Sequence generation | Allocation concealment | Blinding of participants and personnel | Blinding of outcome assessment | Incomplete outcome data | Selective outcome reporting | Other potential threats | Total |
|----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| Koh 2004 [13]        | Unclear             | Unclear                | Yes                                    | Yes                           | Yes                    | Unclear                   | Unclear                 | 3     |
| Koh 2005a [14]       | Unclear             | Unclear                | Yes                                    | Yes                           | Yes                    | Unclear                   | Unclear                 | 3     |
| Devaraj 2007 [15]    | Unclear             | Unclear                | Yes                                    | Yes                           | Yes                    | Unclear                   | Unclear                 | 3     |
| Pfutzner 2007 [16]   | Unclear             | Unclear                | No                                     | No                            | Yes                    | Unclear                   | Unclear                 | 1     |
| Gouni-Berthold 2008 [17] | Unclear         | Unclear                | Yes                                    | Yes                           | Yes                    | Unclear                   | Unclear                 | 3     |
| Koh 2008—10 mg a [18] | Unclear             | Unclear                | Yes                                    | Yes                           | Yes                    | Unclear                   | Unclear                 | 3     |
| Koh 2008—20 mg a [18] | Unclear             | Unclear                | Yes                                    | Yes                           | Yes                    | Unclear                   | Unclear                 | 3     |
| Koh 2008—40 mg a [18] | Unclear             | Unclear                | Yes                                    | Yes                           | Yes                    | Unclear                   | Unclear                 | 3     |
| Koh 2008—80 mg a [18] | Unclear             | Unclear                | Yes                                    | Yes                           | Yes                    | Unclear                   | Unclear                 | 3     |
| Koh 2009 [20]        | Unclear             | Unclear                | Yes                                    | Yes                           | Yes                    | Unclear                   | Unclear                 | 3     |
| Hu 2009 [19]         | Unclear             | Unclear                | Yes                                    | Yes                           | Yes                    | Unclear                   | Unclear                 | 3     |
| Koh 2011b-20 mg b [21] | Unclear            | Unclear                | No                                     | No                            | Yes                    | Unclear                   | Unclear                 | 1     |
| Koh 2011b-40 mg b [21] | Unclear            | Unclear                | No                                     | No                            | Yes                    | Unclear                   | Unclear                 | 1     |
| Moezzi 2014 [23]     | Unclear             | Unclear                | Yes                                    | Yes                           | Yes                    | Unclear                   | Unclear                 | 3     |
| Krysiak 2014 [22]    | Unclear             | Unclear                | No                                     | No                            | Yes                    | Unclear                   | Unclear                 | 1     |
| Koh 2015 [24]        | Unclear             | Unclear                | Yes                                    | No                            | Yes                    | Unclear                   | Unclear                 | 2     |

Yes, low risk of bias; Unclear, uncertain risk of bias; No, high risk of bias

*The study by Koh et al (2008) [18] included four simvastatin treatment arms with dosages of 10, 20, 40, 80 mg/d respectively, and these comparisons were included separately

*The study by Koh et al (2011b) [21] included two simvastatin treatment arms with dosages of 20 and 40 mg/d respectively, and both the comparisons were included separately
with increased new-onset diabetes (NOD), although the mechanisms were not clear [30]. In view of the important role of adiponectin in pathogenesis of insulin resistance and DM, suppression of serum adiponectin has been proposed to be potential mechanisms underlying the effects of statins on NOD [31]. Our studies did not support that simvastatin was associated with decreased serum adiponectin, which indicated that simvastatin may increase the risk NOD via mechanisms other than suppression of adiponectin. Finally, the enhanced serum level of adiponectin was observed in studies with simvastatin treatment of 12 weeks, suggesting that future studies regarding the potential benefits of simvastatin in CVDs should at least be performed with 12-week of medication administration.

The potential mechanisms underlying regulatory effect of chronic simvastatin treatment on adiponectin were not fully understood at this stage, although the findings of some experimental studies may provide some evidence. An early in vitro study found that simvastatin could significantly increase the lipopolysaccharide-induced adiponectin secretion and mRNA expression in a dose-dependent manner, indicating that simvastatin could exert beneficial effects on prevention of obesity-induced metabolic changes in adipocytes [32]. Another in vitro study indicated that simvastatin counteracted the stimulatory effect of tumor necrotic factor α on secretion and expression of adiponectin, implying a potential anti-atherogenic effect during the inflammatory process [33]. Of note, these in vitro studies were performed to investigation the acute effect of simvastatin on adipocytes. Future in vivo studies with chronic administration of simvastatin are warranted to clarify the mechanisms underlying the regulatory effect of simvastatin on adiponectin.

Our study has limitations which should be noted when interpreting the results. Firstly, the quality of the included RCTs was modest and the scales of the studies

Table 3: Impact of study characteristics to the effects of statins therapy on serum adiponectin concentrations: results of univariate meta-regression analyses

| Study characteristics | Coefficient | 95% CI | p   |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------|-----|
| Mean age (years)      | -0.07       | -0.22 to 0.09 | 0.36 |
| Male (%)              | 0.03        | -0.04 to 0.10 | 0.36 |
| BMI (kg/m²)           | 0.03        | -0.23 to 0.29 | 0.79 |
| Dose (mg/d)           | 0.04        | -0.03 to 0.11 | 0.24 |
| Duration (weeks)      | 0.40        | 0.05 to 0.74  | 0.03 |

Abbreviations: WMD weighed mean difference, CI confidence interval, BMI body mass index.
were small. Further RCTs with high quality and adequate sample size are needed to confirm our results. Secondly, the follow-up durations of the RCTs were up to 12 weeks. Effects of simvastatin on serum adiponectin beyond 12 weeks deserve further investigation. Thirdly, many other factors, such as concurrent medications, diet factors, exercise habits, and sex hormone levels may modify the effects of simvastatin on serum adiponectin levels, but this was difficult to control and may have contributed to confounding of the results. Finally, effects of other statins on circulating adiponectin deserve further evaluation.

Conclusions

In conclusion, treatment with simvastatin of 12 weeks may increase the serum level adiponectin in patients at risk for cardiovascular diseases, but not for the short term treatment of ≤8 weeks. These results suggest that chronic benefits of simvastatin in cardiovascular and metabolic systems may involve the regulation of serum adiponectin.
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