Sequence-independent DNA binding and replication initiation by the human origin recognition complex
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We report that a highly purified human origin recognition complex (HsORC) has intrinsic DNA-binding activity, and that this activity is modestly stimulated by ATP. HsORC binds preferentially to synthetic AT-rich polydeoxynucleotides, but does not effectively discriminate between natural DNA fragments that contain known human origins and control fragments. The complex fully restores DNA replication to ORC-depleted Xenopus egg extracts, providing strong evidence for its initiator function. Strikingly, HsORC stimulates initiation from any DNA sequence, and it does not preferentially replicate DNA containing human origin sequences. These data provide a biochemical explanation for the observation that in metazoans, initiation of DNA replication often occurs in a seemingly random pattern, and they have important implications for the nature of human origins of DNA replication.
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sites. The Chinese hamster ovary cell DHFR locus typifies this group, and consists of a 55-kb intergenic region that has recently been shown to contain a minimum of 20 initiation sites that are used with different efficiencies (Dijkwel et al. 2002 and references therein). Despite the discovery of these mammalian origins, no consensus DNA sequence emerges among them. Additionally, only three described human origins, including the lamin B2 origin, the PRKDC/MCM4 intergenic region, and the region upstream of the human TOP1 gene, have been shown to interact with ORC in vivo (Keller et al. 2002, Ladenburger et al. 2002). Lack of a unifying sequence or conclusive evidence for specific ORC binding at human origins illustrates the difficulty in the characterization of human replicators.

In the early embryonic cell cycles of Xenopus laevis and Drosophila melanogaster, DNA replication initiates in a sequence-independent manner, and this feature is retained in replication-competent Xenopus egg extracts (Blow and Laskey 1986; Newport 1987, Hyrien and Mechali 1992, 1993; Mahbubani et al. 1992; Walter et al. 1998; Sasaki et al. 1999). Two fundamentally distinct models can explain sequence-independent initiation. In one, metazoan ORCs have no intrinsic sequence specificity, and the observation that ORCs are not randomly distributed in somatic cells is attributable to the effects of chromatin structure, or because ORC is recruited to DNA via additional proteins that are sequence-specific. Alternatively, it may be that ORC does have intrinsic affinity for a specific replicator sequence. In this case, the lack of sequence-specificity in embryonic systems might reflect the very high concentration of the complex present in embryos [Rowles et al. 1996; Walter and Newport 1997], or an embryo-specific modification of ORC that renders it sequence-nonspecific. Importantly, recent data indicate that in Xenopus egg extracts, each ORC recruits many initiation-competent MCM2–7 complexes that become widely distributed on chromatin surrounding ORC (Edwards et al. 2002). Thus, if replication initiates stochastically from these distributed MCM complexes, initiation would appear sequence-independent even if ORC were bound site-specifically. In summary, it remains unclear whether the mechanism of DNA binding by ORC in somatic and embryonic cells is fundamentally the same or different.

A better understanding of the physical properties of ORC is expected to advance our understanding of metazoan origins. Although most of what is known about ORC comes from the S. cerevisiae complex, significant progress has been made in the characterization of the Drosophila and S. pombe ORCs. As described above, S. pombe replicators are composed of asymmetric AT-rich sequence motifs. These AT-rich stretches are bound by the S. pombe origin recognition complex (SpORC), and this binding is mediated through a unique N-terminal DNA-binding domain found in SpOrc4 that contains nine copies of the HMG-I/Y-related AT-hook motif (Chuang and Kelly 1999). SpORC interacts through this domain with multiple sites in a known S. pombe origin with similar affinity, which suggests that SpORC binding to S. pombe replicators is caused by the collective effect of multiple AT-rich binding sites (Kong and DePamphilis 2001, Lee et al. 2001, Chuang et al. 2002). This mode of replicator recognition is distinct from that of the S. cerevisiae origin recognition complex (ScORC), which recognizes one ACS element per replicator. Unlike ScORC, SpORC DNA binding is not ATP-dependent (Kong and DePamphilis 2001, Lee et al. 2001; Chuang et al. 2002; see also Takahashi and Masukata 2001). The D. melanogaster origin recognition complex (DmORC) has been shown in vivo and in vitro to bind the AT-rich chorion gene amplification control elements ACE3 and ori-β, but no distinct ARS-like element has been determined at these sites. Additionally, DmORC appears to require ATP for binding to ACE3 (Austin et al. 1999; Chesnokov et al. 2001).

Despite large differences in the sequence composition of eukaryotic replicators, the biochemical events underlying replication initiation are highly conserved throughout eukaryotes [for review, see Bell and Dutta 2002]. The combined work in S. cerevisiae, Xenopus, S. pombe, and Drosophila has shown that in G1, chromatin-bound ORC recruits the initiation factors cdc6, cdt1, and the hexameric MCM2–7 complex, the putative replicative DNA helicase [Labib and Diffler 2001]. Together these proteins comprise the prereplication complex (pre-RC). As cells progress from G1 into S phase, the pre-RC is activated by CDK (cyclin-dependent kinase) and Cdc7 [Dbf4-dependent kinase], leading to origin unwinding and recruitment of DNA polymerases [Takisawa et al. 2000]. Although the chromatin-binding properties of mammalian ORC and MCM2–7 in the cell cycle are consistent with the above paradigm [for review, see Fujita 1999, Mendez and Stillman 2000, Natale et al. 2000; Okuno et al. 2001; Sun et al. 2002], formal proof is lacking to show a direct role for the human origin recognition complex [HsORC] in biochemically initiating replication from cellular origins.

A biochemical analysis of HsORC will be valuable in determining how this protein selects replication initiation sites. Human ORC has been reconstituted by coinfection of insect cells with viruses encoding its subunits [Dhar et al. 2001; Vashee et al. 2001]. Using a variation of this approach, we prepared highly purified HsORC containing all six subunits. In filter binding assays, this complex bound to a DNA fragment from the human lamin B2 origin, and the binding was modestly stimulated by ATP. In competition experiments using synthetic deoxynucleotide polymers, HsORC bound better to poly(dA) · poly(dT) than to poly(dG) · poly(dC). However, HsORC did not effectively discriminate between naturally occurring DNA fragments, including AT-rich DNA derived from the lamin B2 and DHFR oriβ origins, and control DNA fragments from prokaryotic sources with significantly lower AT content. To examine whether the purified HsORC was active, we assayed its function in a soluble cell-free DNA replication system derived from Xenopus eggs. We show that the purified HsORC fully restored DNA replication in extracts depleted of the endogenous X. laevis ORC [XIORC] complex. We further
found that HsORC replicated several different plasmids of prokaryotic composition, and that it formed pre-RCs on randomly chosen prokaryotic sequences. Finally, even when HsORC was used in limiting concentrations, it did not preferentially replicate DNA templates containing known human origins. These data can explain why any DNA fragment serves as a replicator in human cells (Krysan et al. 1993), and why initiation events occur in zones at some loci. We discuss a model in which all initiation events, even those that are highly localized, are stimulated by an ORC that has little intrinsic sequence-specificity.

Results

Purification of recombinant human ORC

In an effort to better characterize human ORC, we first sought to purify the complex to homogeneity. To do this, we expressed the HsOrc2 subunit, epitope-tagged with the hemagglutinin [HA] and hexahistidine [His6] moieties, and the other five HsORC subunits in Sf9 insect cells using the baculovirus system (Vashee et al. 2001). Recombinant HsORC was solubilized from a chromatin-enriched fraction using 0.5 M NaCl and purified by sequential affinity chromatography on Ni-agarose and F7 anti-HA antibody-agarose. The eluate from the F7 anti-HA antibody-agarose was analyzed by SDS/PAGE and silver staining (Fig. 1A). The purified preparation contained six proteins with mobilities consistent with the molecular weights (MW) of the HsOrc1–HsOrc6 subunits [Fig. 1A]. The HsOrc2–HsOrc5 subunits stained with similar intensities, suggesting that they were present at comparable levels in this preparation. The HsOrc1 subunit stained with lower intensity, suggesting that it was present at substoichiometric amounts. Analysis of a number of independent preparations of purified HsORC revealed that the relative amount of HsOrc1 varied from preparation to preparation and was consistently less than the amounts of HsOrc2–HsOrc5. HsOrc6 also stained weakly and was only observed when the other subunits were overstained [Fig. 1A; see also Fig. 4A, below], suggesting that HsOrc6, like HsOrc1, was present in substoichiometric amounts in our purified recombinant HsORC. The presence of HsOrc6, as well as the other HsORC subunits, was confirmed by Western blot analysis [Fig. 1B]. In previous studies, we and others have shown that a number of stable subcomplexes can be formed from HsOrc2–HsOrc5 subunits expressed in insect cells [Dhar et al. 2001a; Vashee et al. 2001]. Therefore, it is likely that our preparations of purified HsORC contain such subcomplexes, in addition to the holocomplex comprising all six subunits.

Recombinant human ORC has intrinsic DNA-binding activity

To examine whether recombinant HsORC is able to bind DNA, we carried out a series of nitrocellulose-filter bind-
ing assays with a 450-bp fragment of duplex DNA containing the human lamin B2 origin region (lamin B2 II). This fragment encompasses potential start sites for leading-strand DNA synthesis as recently described by Falaschi and coworkers (Abdurashidova et al. 2000). Figure 1C shows an example of one such assay in which various concentrations of purified recombinant HsORC were incubated with 0.5 nM 32P-labeled lamin B2 II DNA probe in the presence or absence of ATP. In the presence of ATP, half-maximal binding to the labeled probe was observed at 0.4 ng/µL HsORC. Although the precise concentration of active complexes in this preparation of HsORC is unknown, the data indicate that HsORC binds to lamin B2 II with at least nanomolar affinity (see also Fig. 4, below). HsORC also bound tightly to the probe in the absence of ATP, but its apparent affinity for DNA was approximately one-fifth of that observed in the presence of ATP. The stimulation of the DNA-binding activity of HsORC by ATP was observed in multiple experiments with a variety of DNA molecules. The magnitude of the stimulatory effect ranged from three- to fivefold. This behavior is unlike that of the S. cerevisiae or S. pombe ORCs, the former is completely dependent on ATP for DNA binding [Klemm et al. 1997], whereas the latter exhibits no dependence on ATP [Kong and Depamphilis 2001, Lee et al. 2001, Chuang et al. 2002].

We tested several different nucleotides at a wide range of concentrations for their ability to stimulate the binding of recombinant HsORC to the 32P-labeled lamin B2 II DNA probe in nitrocellulose-filter binding assays [Fig. 1D]. Under the conditions of our experiment, ATP stimulated binding at all concentrations tested, even as low as 10 nM. In contrast, CTP, GTP, and UTP stimulated DNA binding only at concentrations of 10 µM and higher. Neither ADP nor AMP stimulated the ability of HsORC to bind the probe, even at concentrations as high as 1 mM. These data indicate that recombinant HsORC prefers ATP at least several hundredfold more than other nucleotides. We also observed that a nonhydrolyzable analog of ATP, ATPγS, was able to stimulate the DNA-binding activity of HsORC at concentrations of 50 nM and higher, suggesting that ATP hydrolysis is not required for stimulation [Fig. 1D]. These results are in agreement with those previously reported for other ORCs that are dependent on ATP for DNA-binding activity [Klemm et al. 1997; Chesnokov et al. 2001].

Recombinant HsORC preferentially binds to AT-rich sequences

To better understand the DNA-binding properties of recombinant HsORC, we determined the ability of different synthetic polynucleotides to compete for binding of HsORC to the 32P-labeled lamin B2 II DNA probe. The relative binding affinity of HsORC for each competitor DNA was estimated from the DNA concentration required to reduce binding of the labeled probe by 50% in the nitrocellulose filter-binding assay. The results of representative competition experiments are shown in Figure 2A. When unlabeled lamin B2 II DNA was used as competitor, we observed the expected 50% reduction of HsORC binding to the labeled probe at a competitor:probe ratio of ~1:1 [Fig. 2A, diamonds]. Polynucleotides containing exclusively A and T residues, such as poly[dA] · poly[dT] or poly[dA-dT] · poly[dA-dT], were more effective competitors than lamin B2 II DNA [Fig. 2A, closed and open squares]. Based on the results of multiple assays, we estimated that the affinities of recombinant HsORC for poly[dA] · poly[dT] and poly[dA-dT] · poly[dA-dT] are ~45- and 5-fold greater, respectively, than for the lamin B2 II DNA probe. In contrast, the relative binding affinities of HsORC for poly[dG] · poly[dC] and poly[dG-dC] · poly[dG-dC] were approximately three- and eightfold lower, respectively, than for the lamin B2 II DNA probe [Fig. 2A, closed and open squares].
open circles). Interestingly, the competition data for poly[dI] · poly[dC] and poly[dI-dC] · poly[dI-dC] were very similar to those obtained for poly[dA] · poly[dT] and poly[dA-dT] · poly[dA-dT], respectively (Fig. 2A, cf. triangles and squares). Because the minor grooves of poly[dI] · poly[dC] and poly[dA] · poly[dT] are structurally similar, this finding suggests that minor groove contacts play an important role in determining the DNA-binding properties of recombinant HsORC.

To confirm that the observed DNA-binding activity was caused by recombinant HsORC and not to a contaminating protein in our preparation, we carried out a competition experiment in which we monitored the distribution of protein, rather than DNA, in the bound and unbound fractions. For this purpose, DNA was immobilized on magnetic beads and incubated with recombinant HsORC in the presence or absence of a 10-fold excess of poly[dA] · poly[dT] as competitor. After removal of the unbound protein, the HsORC subunits bound to the immobilized DNA were recovered in SDS and detected by Western blotting (Fig. 2B, lane 3). In the absence of competitor DNA, all of the HsOrc1 and most of the HsOrc6 in the purified HsORC preparation was recovered in the DNA bound fraction (Fig. 2B, cf. lanes 1,2,3). Significant amounts of the HsOrc2–HsOrc5 subunits were also recovered in the bound fraction, but a large proportion of each of these subunits remained in the unbound fraction [Fig. 2B, cf. lanes 2 and 3]. This observation is consistent with the possibility, discussed above, that our purified HsORC preparations contain subcomplexes of HsOrc2–HsOrc5, as well as holocomplexes of all six subunits. The data suggest that holocomplexes bind preferentially to DNA. When an excess of poly[dA] · poly[dT] was added to the binding reaction, all six HsORC subunits were recovered exclusively in the unbound fraction [Fig. 2B, cf. lanes 4 and 5]. This finding demonstrates conclusively that recombinant HsORC is capable of binding to poly[dA] · poly[dT] with high affinity and also provides confidence in the validity of the results obtained by nitrocellulose-filter binding assays.

**HsORC does not effectively discriminate between origin DNA and control DNA**

Several characterized human origins are AT-rich and contain long stretches of As and Ts [AT tracts]. Because HsORC exhibited a preference for synthetic polynucleotides that were AT-rich, we wondered whether HsORC interacted preferentially with the AT-rich sequences present in origins. We therefore compared the abilities of various DNA fragments derived from mammalian origins to compete for binding of HsORC to the 32P-labeled lamin B2 ori II DNA probe. In the first set of experiments, we studied a 2-kb region surrounding the start site of DNA replication near the lamin B2 gene [lamin B2 ori]. The complete 2-kb lamin B2 origin fragment, as well as three subfragments, were tested as competitors [Fig. 3A]. One subfragment [lamin B2 II] bound HsORC with approximately the same affinity as the complete lamin B2 origin [Fig. 3A, cf. squares and diamonds]. The other two subfragments [lamin B2 I and lamin B2 III] exhibited slightly lower [threefold to fourfold] affinities for HsORC [Fig. 3A, triangles and circles]. The relative binding affinities of the subfragments were roughly correlated with their respective AT contents (51% AT for lamin B2 II, 51% AT for lamin B2 III, 48% AT for lamin B2 I, and 48% AT for lamin B2 III).
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41% AT for lamin B2 I, and 32% AT for lamin B2 III. Similar experiments were performed with the Chinese hamster DHFR oriβ. The DNA fragments derived from the DHFR origin had relatively high AT contents (59%–63%). All were excellent competitors (Fig. 3B), and their relative affinities for HsORC fell within a narrow [two-fold] range. One of these fragments, fragment DHFR ori I (61% AT), contains four long A tracts, but it did not compete better than fragment DHFR ori III (63% AT) which has no A tracts [Fig. 3B, cf. squares and circles]. Based on these results, it appears that AT tracts do not confer preferential binding, whereas the overall AT content may have a modest effect.

To extend this analysis, we also tested the ability of prokaryotic sequences to compete for HsORC binding. Figure 3C shows the results obtained with several fragments derived from the plasmids pBluescript II KS- and pUC19. The relative affinities of these fragments for HsORC fell within a fourfold range. Interestingly, two of the prokaryotic DNA fragments, pBS I (45% AT) and pBS II (52% AT), competed for HsORC binding as well or better than the lamin B2 II origin fragment [51% AT; Fig. 3C, cf. squares, circles, filled diamonds]. In addition, using lamin B2 ori II as the internal standard, it is evident that pBS I, which has low AT content and lacks A tracts, binds HsORC equally as well as DHFR ori I, which has a high AT content and four long A tracts [Fig. 3, cf. B and C]. Collectively, the data presented in Figure 3 show that our purified HsORC binds to all naturally occurring DNA sequences with affinities that fall into a narrow range. We conclude that recombinant HsORC does not have a strong preference for DNA sequences found in the vicinity of mammalian origins, even when they contain several AT tracts and their AT content is above average.

Properties of DNA affinity-purified HsORC

As noted above, the preparation of HsORC described in Figure 1A, although highly purified, likely contained substoichiometric amounts of Orc1 and Orc6. Given that Orc1 is known to be required for ATP-dependent DNA binding in yeast, we were concerned about its low abundance in our preparations. We therefore further purified the complex using dsDNA affinity chromatography. A large amount of HsORC subunits 2–6 flowed through the column [Fig. 4A, lane 2]. In contrast, all the HsORC1 was retained on the column [Fig. 4A, lane 3]. Therefore, this affinity-purification step resulted in significant enrichment of HsORC1-containing complexes (“holocomplexes”), and it suggests that HsORC1 is required for DNA binding. HsORC holocomplexes had a similar affinity for DNA as the original preparation [cf. Figs. 1C and 4B]. Assuming this more purified HsORC contains few if any subcomplexes, and that most of the molecules are active in DNA binding, the apparent dissociation constant of HsORC for lamin B2 II DNA was estimated at ~3 nM in the presence of ATP. Like the original HsORC preparation, the DNA-binding activity of the holocomplex preparation was stimulated only moderately by ATP [Fig. 4B, cf. squares and circles]. Similarly, HsORC holocomplexes bound to AT-rich sequences with higher affinity than to GC-rich sequences [Fig. 4C] and bound to multiple DNA fragments in the lamin B2 origin region with similar affinities [Fig. 4D]. Importantly, HsORC holocomplexes did not discriminate significantly between origin and control DNA fragments [Fig. 4E]. We conclude that the biochemical properties of the original HsORC preparation accurately reflect those of ORCs that contain more stoichiometric amounts of the HsOrc1 subunit.

Human ORC supports initiation of DNA replication in a cell-free system

We wished to determine whether our preparation of purified recombinant HsORC was active for DNA replication. To this end, we turned to a cell-cycle-regulated in vitro DNA replication system derived from X. laevis egg extracts [Walter et al. 1998]. The system uses two extracts, egg cytosol [EC] and NucleoPlasmic Extract [NPE]. EC supports the events that occur in the G1 phase of the cell cycle, including the formation of the prereplication complex (pre-RC) by the ordered assembly of ORC, cdc6, cdt1, and the MCM2–7 complex onto added DNA. The pre-RC is activated for initiation by the subsequent addition of NPE, which contains high levels of the protein kinases cdk2 and cdc7, and therefore mimics the S phase [Walter et al. 1998; Walter 2000]. To test if the purified human ORC was functional, we asked whether the endogenous Xenopus ORC could be replaced with purified HsORC. Anti-XlOrc2 antibodies were used to deplete XlOrc2 from egg cytosol, and the depleted extract was supplemented with HsORC. Subsequently a eukaryotic DNA template, demembranated Xenopus sperm chromatin, was added and after 30 min, NPE was supplied to initiate DNA replication. It was not necessary to deplete XIORC from NPE because NPE contains potent inhibitors of de novo pre-RC formation [Walter et al. 1998]. As expected, extracts that were mock-depleted supported DNA replication [Fig. 5A, lanes 2,5,8], whereas extracts depleted of XlOrc2 were inactive for DNA replication [Fig. 5A, lanes 3,6,9]. Addition of the recombinant HsORC restored DNA replication of the XlOrc2-depleted extracts to the level seen in mock-depleted extract. In this experiment, 73% of total input DNA was replicated after 45 min [see Fig. 5A legend]. Similar replication efficiencies were achieved in all subsequent replication assays [data not shown]. The results in Figure 5A were quantitated using a PhosphorImager, the maximum amount of DNA replication achieved was arbitrarily assigned a value of 100, and the results were graphed [Fig. 5B]. Rescue of DNA replication by HsORC was not simply caused by readdition of HsOrc2 because a complex containing Orc2–Orc6 was unable to rescue DNA replication (C. Cvetic, S. Vashee, T.J. Kelly, and J.C. Walter, unpubl.). Indeed, we found that XIOrc2 depletion also removed XIOrc1 [data not shown], as previously reported [Romanowski et al. 1996]. Finally, we found that all six subunits of HsORC load onto chromatin in egg extracts [Fig. 6, data not shown].
indicating that the entire complex is functioning to stimulate DNA replication. We conclude that our preparation of recombinant HsORC is active.

Sequence-independent initiation of DNA replication by HsORC

It has been shown both in vivo and in vitro in Xenopus egg extracts that embryonic XIORC does not require a eukaryotic origin sequence for initiation of DNA replication [Hyrien and Mechali 1992; Mahbubani et al. 1992; Walter et al. 1998]. To determine whether human ORC requires a specific DNA sequence for initiation, we tested whether HsORC would be able to support DNA replication of pBluescript (pBS), a DNA template of exclusively prokaryotic composition. Our system is well suited to ask such a question because, unlike conventional nuclear assembly egg extracts [Blow and Laskey 1988], the nucleus-free replication system supports highly efficient and XIORC-dependent DNA replication of simple DNA substrates such as pBS [Walter et al. 1998]. Endogenous XIORC was removed from the extract using XIOrc2 antibodies, and the extracts were supplemented with HsORC. We found that HsORC was able to replicate pBS with an efficiency close to that of endogenous XIORC [Fig. 6A, cf. circles and triangles]. Therefore, human ORC does not appear to require a eukaryotic origin sequence to initiate DNA replication. To confirm that HsORC-dependent replication on pBS used a normal initiation mechanism, we asked whether it was Cdk2-dependent. As shown in Figure 6B, p27 Kip, a Cdk2 inhibitor, severely reduced replication in this reaction, demonstrating that replication stimulated by HsORC follows a physiological mechanism.

A possible explanation for the efficient replication of pBS by HsORC is that pBS contains a “cryptic origin” that resembles human origin sequences. In theory, a simple way to test this idea would be to map where initiation events occur on pBS. However, we have recently shown that in Xenopus egg extracts, ORC recruits many initiation competent MCM2–7 complexes that become widely distributed on chromatin [Edwards et al.
Consequently, even if ORC binding were localized, the pattern of initiation events may well not be. Therefore, we used a different strategy to address the possibility of a cryptic origin. We reasoned that if a cryptic origin exists, most DNA sequences chosen at random from pBS would not be expected to support replication initiation. We therefore asked whether HsORC could load onto three different 200-bp fragments of pBS chosen at random. All three fragments have a similar AT content of ∼45%. The fragments were PCR-amplified using a biotinylated primer and then immobilized on streptavidin-coated magnetic beads to allow for their removal from the extracts. Using this system, we previously showed that the Xenopus ORC can assemble pre-RCs on linearized pBS [Edwards et al. 2002]. When HsORC was added to XIOr2-depleted egg cytosol, pre-RC formation occurred on all three immobilized DNA fragments [Fig. 6C, lanes 1–3]. Although these experiments measure MCM2–7 binding rather than DNA replication initiation, they fully survey ORC’s role in replication initiation, as it has been shown in Xenopus extracts that ORC becomes dispensable once MCM2–7 has been loaded onto the chromatin [Hua and Newport 1998; Rowles et al. 1999]. In summary, the data in Figure 6 demonstrate that HsORC supports DNA replication of prokaryotic DNA, and that this likely occurs because it can stimulate pre-RC formation on any DNA sequence.
Human ORC replicates origin-containing and “originless” plasmids with the same efficiency

In the above experiments, it is possible that HsORC was added at saturating concentrations, thereby allowing HsORC to functionally interact with any DNA sequence. To address this issue, we performed replication assays using HsORC at limiting concentrations on plasmids containing or lacking known human origins. As shown in Figure 7A, panel I, the replication of a plasmid containing 5.8 kb of the DHFR ori\(_{\text{H9252}}\) locus was essentially the same as the replication of a similarly sized prokaryotic plasmid (pET24gp4-63) in mock-depleted extracts. The ori\(_{\text{H9252}}\) DNA fragment is known to contain a replicator element as it is sufficient to induce DNA replication at ectopic chromosomal locations in mammalian cells (Altman and Fanning 2001). When extracts were depleted of XIORC and supplemented with HsORC, the two plasmids also replicated at similar efficiency with all concentrations of HsORC used, including the lowest concentration, where replication was 5% of the control [Fig. 7A, panels II–IV]. Similar results were obtained when comparing a lamin B2 ori plasmid (containing 2 kb of DNA encompassing the start site of DNA replication) with a different prokaryotic plasmid (φpR110), as shown in Figure 7B. Finally, we performed this experiment on a third plasmid containing the intergenic region between the human MCM4 and PRKDC genes, the first characterized human origin that is also an HsORC-binding site in vivo (Ladenburger et al. 2002). In this case, the same general result was observed, except that replication of the control plasmid (φpR110) was slightly better than that of the ori plasmid when ORC was limiting (Fig. 7C).

These results suggest that even when human ORC is limiting, it has the same propensity to initiate synthesis on origin and nonorigin DNA in Xenopus egg extracts.

To examine more directly whether HsORC preferentially replicates substrates that contain a human origin, we added a plasmid containing the lamin B2 origin (B2),
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as well as a control plasmid (B2Δ) to the same extract. We created the control plasmid by removing from the lamin B2 ori plasmid a 450-bp fragment containing the ORC-binding site and the replication initiation start site [see Materials and Methods]. When tested individually, both plasmids replicated with similar efficiency in mock-depleted [Fig. 7D, lanes 1–4] or XIORC-depleted extracts supplemented with 2 ng/µL or 4 ng/µL HsORC [Fig. 7D, lanes 7–10,13–16]. When added together, the two plasmids also replicated with similar efficiency by HsORC [Fig. 7D, lanes 11,12,17,18]. This comparison is best made by examining the supercoiled forms of the plasmids, as these are easily distinguishable [see arrows]. At both concentrations of HsORC, the amount of DNA replication in the supercoiled forms of B2 and B2Δ differed by no more than 20% [data not shown]. HsORC was limiting in these experiments because DNA replication by no more than 20% (data not shown). HsORC/H9004 replication in the supercoiled forms of B2 and B2Δ contains nine AT-hook motifs known to make minor groove contacts [Huth et al. 1997; Chuang and Kelly 1999]. Interestingly, the similar affinity of HsORC for poly[dA] · poly[dT] and the AT-rich polynucleotides [Fig. 2A] suggests that some of its preference for AT-rich DNA depends on minor groove interactions, because the minor grooves of poly[dA] · poly[dT] and poly[dA] · poly[dC] are functionally similar. However, none of the subunits of HsORC contains a canonical AT-hook motif, so any minor groove interactions must be mediated by other unidentified motifs. It is interesting to speculate that HsORC may sometimes be recruited to DNA via interaction with an AT-hook motif-containing protein that became fused to Orc4 in the S. pombe complex. Importantly, although HsORC and SpORC share a preference for AT-rich-containing DNA, SpORC differs from HsORC in that it is found to bind with greater affinity to origin DNA than to control DNA in different assays [Chuang and Kelly 1999; Lee et al. 2001; Chuang et al. 2002]. This observation implies that SpORC binds more selectively than HsORC to AT-rich DNA. Indeed, AT tracts have been shown to be important for the function of some origins in S. pombe [Kim and Huberman 1998; Okuno et al. 1999], whereas no such requirement has been demonstrated for human origins.

Of the three ORCs that have been biochemically characterized in some detail, the properties of HsORC may resemble most closely those of the Drosophila ORC [Austin et al. 1999; Chesnokov et al. 2001]. Our studies show that HsORC can bind to DNA in the absence of ATP, although DNA binding is stimulated twofold by fivelfold by ATP. Similarly, the binding of DmORC to DNA is largely nonspecific and independent of ATP, but stimulation of binding by ATP can be observed under special experimental conditions [e.g., excess competing DNA]. Although it is clear that DmORC, like HsORC, can bind nonspecifically to many different DNA sequences in vitro, it has also been reported that the protein exhibits some preference for AT-rich sites within ACE3 and oriβ, specialized DNA elements that direct gene amplification in Drosophila follicle cells [Austin et al. 1999]. Determination of the extent of this preference awaits quantitative binding studies, and it will be interesting to determine whether DmORC is able to initiate replication in a sequence-independent manner.

Our purified preparation of HsORC containing six subunits was similar in composition to the ORCs of the
budding and fission yeasts, D. melanogaster, and X. laevis [Bell and Stillman 1992; Gossen et al. 1995; Rowles et al. 1996; Moon et al. 1999]. However, there were subtle differences—the HsOrc1 and HsOrc6 subunits were consistently underrepresented compared with the other subunits, but HsORC1-containing complexes could be enriched using DNA affinity chromatography, and their properties were the same as the less homogeneous ORC preparation. Because we have also observed similar underrepresentation of HsOrc1 and HsOrc6 in ORCs purified from human cells [Vashee et al. 2001], we suspect that these subunits may be less tightly associated with the complex than the other subunits. It is worth noting that several recent studies have suggested that the regulation of expression or localization of HsOrc1 and HsOrc6 during the cell cycle may differ from that of the other HsORC subunits [Mendez and Stillman 2000; Natale et al. 2000; Chesnokov et al. 2001; Kreitz et al. 2001; Prasanth et al. 2002].

DNA sequence requirements for replication activity of HsORC

HsORC supported efficient DNA replication of three different plasmids of exclusively prokaryotic composition. Replication of these plasmids was not likely because of cryptic sites that resemble eukaryotic replicators because HsORC also nucleated prereplication complex formation on three short, randomly chosen prokaryotic DNA fragments. Together, these data support the argument that HsORC is able to initiate DNA replication from essentially any DNA sequence. These results notwithstanding, it was possible that HsORC might preferentially replicate certain sequences over others. This does not appear to be the case because even when HsORC was severely limiting, replication on plasmids containing various human origins was no more efficient than replication of prokaryotic plasmids, or on control plasmids with the origin removed, in the case of lamin B2. These data demonstrate that the lack of specificity is not due to saturating concentrations of HsORC. It was conceivable that sequence-independent initiation by HsORC in Xenopus egg extracts was due to an embryonic-specific factor that binds DNA cooperatively with HsORC, rendering it sequence nonspecific. However, the fact that the purified complex, isolated from an eukaryotic expression system, binds without sequence specificity in filter binding assays does not support this model. Additionally, we find that to achieve efficient DNA replication, significantly higher concentrations of HsORC are required than efficient filter binding [cf. Figs. 1C, 4A and 7]. This finding suggests that DNA-binding conditions in extracts are more stringent than in a purified system, and it supports the argument against a cooperativity model in which an embryonic factor increases the affinity of HsORC for nonspecific DNA.

A model for origin targeting of HsORC

Our data help to account for many observations that have been difficult to explain using the conventional replicon model. First, it has been shown that essentially any DNA fragment greater than ∼15 kb, including fragments derived from prokaryotic sources, can replicate autonomously when introduced into human embryonic kidney cells [Krysan et al. 1993]. These results are exactly what is expected if the initiator protein has low sequence specificity. Second, at several chromosomal loci, initiation occurs at many sites within 10–50-kb “initiation zones” [Little et al. 1993; Dijkwel and Hamlin 1995; Dijkwel et al. 2000; Ina et al. 2001]. At one of these loci, DHFR, there is evidence that before the “origin decision point” in mid-G1, potential replication start sites [pre-RCs] are even more widely distributed than the initiation events detected in S phase [Gilbert et al. 1995; Wu and Gilbert 1996; Okuno et al. 2001], and this observation applies to many chromosomal locations (F. Li and D. Gilbert, pers. comm.). Although these observations could be explained by the recruitment of many MCM2–7 complexes to chromatin via a highly localized ORC [Edwards et al. 2002], they are also consistent with the idea that HsORC itself binds to many sites within the initiation zone.

The foregoing arguments notwithstanding, initiation of DNA replication in metazoans is not completely random, and in some cases, it may be highly specific. How can a model in which ORC binds sequence nonspecifically account for these data? To explain the absence of completely random initiation, we propose, as have others [Gilbert 2001], that HsORC binding is affected by chromatin structure. There is some indirect evidence for this idea. First, positioning of a nucleosome directly over the ACS region in S. cerevisiae abrogates replicator activity, presumably by blocking ORC binding [Simpson 1990]. Second, ORC binding and initiation events are frequently localized to CpG islands, undermethylated regions of open chromatin structure [Antequera and Bird 1999; Ladenburger et al. 2002]. A restrictive effect of chromatin would explain the completely random replication initiation seen on plasmids [Krysan et al. 1993], if chromatin structure is not fully formed on these DNA templates. In those cases in which replication initiation appears to be highly localized [Aladjem et al. 1995; Abdurashidova et al. 2000; Cimbora et al. 2000], HsORC might bind DNA cooperatively with a chromosomal protein(s) that is sequence-specific. Precedents for this idea come from the observation that DmORC binding to the chorion locus is dependent on E2F [Royzman et al. 1999] and by experiments suggesting that HsORC might be recruited to the EBV origin of DNA replication directly or indirectly by EBNA-1, a highly sequence-specific viral initiator protein [Chaudhuri et al. 2001; Dhar et al. 2001b; Schepers et al. 2001].

An alternative view of origin site selection is that HsORC is highly sequence-specific. In this case, endogenous HsORC would have to be posttranslationally modified in a way that is not recapitulated in our preparations of HsORC purified from insect cells. The modification would have to affect ORC binding such that it prefers origin DNA over random DNA by several orders of magnitude. A highly specific ORC would provide a
satisfactory explanation for the initiation event seen at the lamin B2 locus. However, the same ORC that binds specifically at one locus should not bind randomly at another, and so it would be difficult to explain why any DNA can support DNA replication in vivo (Krysan et al. 1993). At present, there is no way to conclusively determine the intrinsic DNA-binding properties of endogenous HsORC. However, our data indicate that the affinity of HsORC for origin and non-origin DNA molecules is quite high, with $K_a$ in the low nanomolar range. Given this high affinity, it is hard to imagine the existence of other, more “specific” recognition sequences on chromosomal DNA, as such sites would have binding constants in the picomolar range to effectively compete for the available HsORC. Given all the data, in our view, the more parsimonious model is one in which ORC is sequence nonspecific. Whatever the ultimate answer, in this study we have shown conclusively that HsORC is able to initiate DNA replication from any DNA sequence, explaining the extraordinary flexibility with respect to where DNA replication initiation can occur in cells. One advantage of this flexible mechanism is that it allows great plasticity of genome organization during evolution (Gilbert 2001). Chromosome rearrangements and other changes could more easily be tolerated because they would not create large regions in the genome that lack origins of replication.

Materials and methods

Purification of recombinant HsORC

For purification of recombinant HsORC, a baculovirus expression vector containing an N-terminal hemagglutinin (HA) and hexahistidine (His$_6$)-tagged HsORC2 gene was constructed as follows: the full-length cDNA was amplified by PCR using oligonucleotides, 5'-GAAGGCTTATGAGTAAACCAGATTA AAGG-3’ and 5'-GGGTATTCTACCTCAGGCCTCTTCTTTCC TTTTCT-3’ as primers and a HeLa MATCHMAKER cDNA library (Clontech) as template. The PCR product was digested with Stul and KpnI and cloned into the corresponding sites in a pFastBac1 plasmid (Invitrogen, Inc.) containing the HA-His$_6$-Spacer4 fusion gene (Chuang et al. 2002) and confirmed by sequencing. The recombinant virus was produced and amplified according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Bac-to-Bac Baculovirus Expression Systems, Invitrogen, Inc.). Recombinant baculoviruses expressing the other five HsORC subunits have been described previously (Vashee et al. 2001).

S9 insect cells were cultured at 27°C in Grace’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin (50 units/mL), and streptomycin (50 µg/mL, Invitrogen, Inc.). For expression of HsORC, S9 insect cells (2 × 10$^6$ cells/mL) were coinfected with six recombinant baculoviruses expressing all six subunits at a multiplicity of infection of 4. After 48 h, cells from 300-mL cultures were harvested and washed once with ice-cold hypotonic buffer (20 mM HEPES at pH 7.5, 5 mM KCl, 0.5 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol) containing 0.2 M sucrose and collected by centrifugation. Nuclei were prepared as described (Challberg and Kelly 1979) and lysed by incubation with ISLB (20 mM Tris-HCl at pH 6.8, 0.4 M sorbitol, 150 mM potassium acetate, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 5 mM MgSO$_4$, 1% Triton X-100) on ice for 10 min. The suspension was centrifuged at 21,000 g at 4°C for 15 min, and the resulting pellet was extracted with OPB2 (50 mM HEPES at pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM EGTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 10% glycerol, 0.01% Tween 20) plus 500 mM NaCl on ice for 1 h. The supernatant collected after centrifugation at 140,000 g at 4°C for 45 min was incubated with 1 mL of Ni-agarose (Qiagen) at 4°C for 2 h. The resin was washed with 15 mL of OPB2 plus 500 mM NaCl, and bound proteins were eluted with OPB2 plus 500 mM NaCl, containing 250 mM imidazole. The eluate from the Ni-agarose step was incubated with 0.25 mL of anti-HA antibody-conjugated agarose (F7-agarose, Santa Cruz Biotech) at 4°C for 2 h. The beads were washed once with OPB2 plus 500 mM NaCl, once with OPB2 plus 250 mM NaCl, and twice with OPB2 plus 100 mM NaCl. The F7-agarose-bound proteins were eluted by incubation with OPB2 plus 100 mM NaCl, containing 2 mg/mL HA peptide, at 4°C overnight. This step yielded a nearly homogeneous HsORC, which was used for in vitro experiments.

DNA-binding assays

For nitrocellulose filter-binding assays, a 450-bp fragment (lamin B2 ori II) that corresponds to positions 3750–4200 bp from the human lamin B2 origin (GenBank accession no. M94363) was synthesized by PCR using the following oligonucleotides: 5'-GGGAATTCCTAGCGTCGCCGCCTGCAGCCTCT-3’ and 5'-GGAATTCATCATCGTGCAAAGATCGCTTT-3’, as the primers. The PCR DNA fragment was labeled at terminal restriction sites with [α-32P]dATP (Applied Bioscience) using the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I. The 15-μL binding reactions contained 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 75 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.1 mM EDTA, 5 mM dithiothreitol, 0.1% Tween 20, 120 µg/mL BSA, 0.5 mM radio-labeled lamin B2 II, and the indicated concentrations of HsORC. Where indicated, ATP was added at a final concentration of 1 mM. After incubation at 25°C for 30 min, the reaction mixtures were passed through HA nitrocellulose filters (MF-Membrane Filters, Millipore Corp.), washed with 2 mL of OPB2, dried, and quantitated in a scintillation spectrometer. For DNA-binding assays testing the effects of various nucleotides, the binding reactions were performed as described above except that 0.75 ng/μL HsORC was used and the concentrations of nucleotides were as indicated in Figure 1D.

For competition assays, synthetic deoxyribonucleotide polymers were purchased from Amersham Biosciences. Lamin B2 origin competitors, lamin B2 ori (2500–4500 bp), lamin B2 ori I (2500–3800 bp), lamin B2 ori II (3750–4200 bp), and lamin B2 ori III (4150–4500 bp) were synthesized by PCR. The numbers correspond to those of the human lamulamb sequence (GenBank accession no. M94363). DHFR oriβ competitors, DHFR ori (1991–3120 bp), DHFR ori I (1991–2451 bp), DHFR ori II (2450–2800 bp), and DHFR ori III (2801–3120 bp) were synthesized by PCR. The numbers correspond to those of GenBank accession no. Y09885. The pUC19 sequence was amplified by PCR using the following oligonucleotides: 5' -CGTATCATGGTCTACCT-3' and 5'-CAGCTTCCCGAAGGAGGAGG-3', as primers and the plasmid pMCD (Caddle et al. 1990) as template. The pBSI I, II, and III competitors were generated by cleaving the plasmid pBluescript II SK’ with the restriction enzyme Earl. The assays were performed as described above with the following modifications: 5 nM of radioactive lamin B2 II (~25 ng), indicated concentrations of competitors, and 10–20 nM of HsORC were used. For the DNA-binding experiments of Figure 2B, a 2.3-kb DNA fragment containing the Epstein-Barr virus oriP was amplified by PCR using 5’-biotinylated oligonucleotide, Bio-5'-TCCAAC CAAACGGACTCTGAGGG-3’ and 5’-CCAATACGGCAAAC GCCTTCTCC-3’, as primers, and pGEMoriP (Frappier and O'Donnell 1991) as template. The PCR product was then
coupled to streptavidin-conjugated magnetic beads (Dynalbeads M-280 Streptavidin, Dynal Corp.) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The binding assays were performed by incubating 150 fmole of HsORC with beads containing 75 fmole of oriP DNA in 15 µL of OPB2 buffer with 120 µg/mL BSA and 75 mM NaCl at 25°C for 30 min. Where indicated, a 10-fold excess of poly[dA] · poly[dT] was included in the reaction mixture. Beads were washed three times with 200 µL of OPB2 plus 75 mM NaCl. The bound proteins were released by addition of 1× SDS loading dye and separated by 10% SDS-PAGE followed by Western blotting analysis with antibodies against HsORC subunits 1 through 6 (Vashee et al. 2001).

DNA replication and immobilized DNA-fragment-binding assays

Egg cytosol preparation, NPE preparation, and DNA replication assays were carried out as described (Walter et al. 1998). Plasmid templates for replication included pMCD (Caddle et al. 1990), p49 (Connelly et al. 1998), pET24gp463 (Lee and Richardson 2001), 610pRI10 (Ikeda and Richardson 1986), pBS (Stratagene), and pUC19 lamin B2, which was created by PCR amplification of the region surrounding the lamin B2 origin (2500–4500 bp) from human genomic DNA (Clontech) using the following primers: 5′-GGGGTACCGGACTACAACTCCCACACGAC-3′ and 5′-GGGTTACCTGGCAGCTCAAGTCTTAAAGAC-3′ of poly(dA) · poly(dT) was included in the reaction mixture. The binding assays were performed by incubating 15 µL of OPB2 buffer with 120 µg/mL BSA and 75 mM NaCl. The bound proteins were released by addition of 1× SDS loading dye and separated by 10% SDS-PAGE followed by Western blotting analysis with antibodies against HsORC subunits 1 through 6 (Vashee et al. 2001).

DNA replication and immobilized DNA-fragment-binding assays

Egg cytosol preparation, NPE preparation, and DNA replication assays were carried out as described (Walter et al. 1998). Plasmid templates for replication included pMCD (Caddle et al. 1990), p49 (Connelly et al. 1998), pET24gp463 (Lee and Richardson 2001), 610pRI10 (Ikeda and Richardson 1986), pBS (Stratagene), and pUC19 lamin B2, which was created by PCR amplification of the region surrounding the lamin B2 origin (2500–4500 bp) from human genomic DNA (Clontech) using the following primers: 5′-GGGGTACCGGACTACAACTCCCACACGAC-3′ and 5′-GGGTTACCTGGCAGCTCAAGTCTTAAAGAC-3′ of poly(dA) · poly(dT) was included in the reaction mixture. The binding assays were performed by incubating 15 µL of OPB2 buffer with 120 µg/mL BSA and 75 mM NaCl. The bound proteins were released by addition of 1× SDS loading dye and separated by 10% SDS-PAGE followed by Western blotting analysis with antibodies against HsORC subunits 1 through 6 (Vashee et al. 2001).
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