Gene expression and metabolism preceding soft scald, a chilling injury of ‘Honeycrisp’ apple fruit
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Abstract

Background: ‘Honeycrisp’ is an apple cultivar that is susceptible to soft scald, a chilling injury expressed as necrotic patches on the peel. Improved understanding of metabolism associated with the disorder would improve our understanding of soft scald and contribute to developing more effective management strategies for apple storage. It was expected that specific gene expression and specific metabolite levels in the peel would be linked with soft scald risk at harvest and/or specific time points during cold storage.

Results: Fruit from nine ‘Honeycrisp’ apple orchards that would eventually develop different incidences of soft scald between 4 and 8 weeks of cold air storage were used to contrast and determine differential transcriptomic and metabolomic changes during storage. Untargeted metabolic profiling revealed changes in a number of distinct pathways preceding and concurrent with soft scald symptom development, including elevated γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA), 1-hexanol, acylated steryl glycosides, and free p-coumaryl acyl esters. At harvest, levels of sesquiterpenoid and triterpenoid acyl esters were relatively higher in peel of fruit that did not later develop the disorder. RNA-seq driven gene expression profiling highlighted possible involvement of genes and associated metabolic processes with soft scald development. These included elevated expression of genes involved in lipid peroxidation and phenolic metabolism in fruit with soft scald, and isoprenoid/brassinosteroid metabolism in fruit that did not develop soft scald. Expression of other stress-related genes in fruit that developed soft scald included chlorophyll catabolism, cell wall loosening, and lipid transport while superoxide dismutases were up-regulated in fruit that did not develop the disorder.

Conclusions: This study delineates the sequential transcriptomic and metabolomic changes preceding soft scald symptom development. Changes were differential depending on susceptibility of fruit to the disorder and could be attributed to key stress related and mediating pathways.
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Background

Cold storage can result in various necrotic injuries of the peel or flesh of apples that can render the product unmarketable. Soft scald of ‘Honeycrisp’ and other susceptible cultivars is caused by cold stress and is typically comprised of ribbon-like browned and sunken peel tissue, with sharply demarcated edges [1, 2]. Economic losses resulting from this disorder can be substantial, and incidence is unpredictable among years and even among orchards in the same region [3] and from tree-to-tree [4, 5]. Pre-harvest conditions influencing soft scald risk include orchard climate [4] and harvest date, where fruit from later harvests are more susceptible to soft scald [6]. Treatments reducing soft scald incidence include pre-harvest application of the ethylene-action inhibitor 1-methylcyclopropene (1-MCP) [7] and post-harvest temperature conditioning, where fruit are acclimated at ~10 °C for 7–10 d prior to colder storage at ~3 °C [3, 8].

Pre- and postharvest fruit physiology associated with soft scald incidence has been difficult to determine. The success of treatments such as temperature conditioning prior to cold storage for alleviating soft scald, in addition to increased disorder incidence and severity at lower temperatures, indicate soft scald is a chilling injury [3]. Also, greater soft scald incidence on more mature fruit suggests that physiological processes related to ripening may result in enhanced disorder susceptibility [6]. 1-Hexanol production has also been linked with soft scald, as the compound accumulates in symptomatic fruit, and exogenous application can enhance disorder development [9].

Previous research has enabled progress towards utilizing metabolomic approaches to better understand apple postharvest disorder development. A study using a RNA-seq approach, indicated that external CO₂ injury, a peel disorder of ‘Empire’, may be related to the methylation state of specific promoter regions [20]. RNA-seq was used to evaluate transcriptional changes preceding internal CO₂ injury in ‘Jonagold’ indicating gene expression related to energy metabolism and ethylene regulation were most impacted in tissue expected to develop symptoms [21]. Another multi-genic study targeted catalytic genes involved in phenolic metabolism and the impacts of superficial scald symptom development, linking the disorder with polyphenol oxidase gene expression while expression of other genes involved in catalytic steps of phenolic metabolism remained unchanged [22].

The objective of this study was to determine specific metabolites and expressed genes which are unique to fruit peel at risk for developing soft scald during storage. We hypothesized that gene expression differences and differences of metabolite levels would exist at-harvest and following cold storage imposition among orchards depending upon soft scald risk. We expected that analysis of metabolic profiles and gene expression profiles would reveal biochemical pathways related to the inhibition or risk of disorder development.

Results

Fruit maturity, quality, and soft scald incidence

Harvest maturity assessment indicated that apples used for metabolomic and transcriptomic analysis were harvested at different maturities among orchards (Additional file 1: Table S1). Soft scald incidence was also different depending upon the orchard where the fruit was grown (Fig. 1), and there was no clear association between harvest maturity, harvest date, and soft scald risk. Later sequential harvests from orchard A had higher soft scald incidence than earlier harvests, but fruit from later harvest dates from other locations did not have higher disorder incidence. Expression of genes upregulated with apple fruit ripening did not indicate clear differences of maturity/ripeness at harvest, during storage, or associated with soft scald risk (Additional file 2: Figure S1).
Untargeted multivariate analysis of gene expression and metabolism

RNA-seq was used to evaluate the transcriptomic changes in nine orchards at harvest. Three of these orchards (orchards A, M, and P) also had samples analyzed at 2, 4, and 8 weeks; furthermore, fruit from orchard A were harvested 3 times at 2 week intervals (H1, H2, and H3). An average of 4.37 million reads were produced per sample, and 84 % mapped to the apple genome [23]. 53,411 genes were consistently detected in all samples out of the 63,523 physically annotated genes. The dataset was reduced to ~23,400 genes where expression exceeded an average value of 4 RPKM and, then, to the 5000 gene models that had the greatest variability among the conditions employed as experimental contrast. These were used to generate the principal components analysis (PCA) and ANOVA simultaneous components analysis (ASCA) models. Over 800 metabolites were used to generate the PCA and ASCA models for the metabolomic evaluation.

PCA was used to compare the transcriptome and metabolome among orchards during the 8 week storage period. Separate models were generated for each global dataset since the metabolome evaluation included more orchards. The transcriptome scores indicated substantial change between harvest and later storage (Fig. 2c and d, scores coded by storage week) with transcriptomic divergence between fruit with low risk and high risk for soft scald (Fig. 2a and b, scores coded by soft scald susceptibility) in the first three principal components. Fruit from low risk orchards tended to have lower PC2 scores at all sampling points than those from high risk orchards (Fig. 2c). A relatively small portion of the overall variance was accounted for by the first three principal components of this model (PC1, 24 %; PC2, 16 %; PC3, 7 %). The relative divergence between at-harvest and 2 week scores indicated the initiation of cold storage accounted from much of the variance in PC1-2. Following the first 2 weeks of storage, scores were not different between high risk and low risk fruit, but demonstrated the continued impact of storage duration on the transcriptome by separation of 8 wk scores in PC3 (Fig. 2d). The PCA model generated using the metabolome indicated differences among samples according to soft scald risk in the first two principal components (Fig. 3a), with the exception of peel from the first harvest of orchard A, which were less mature at harvest (Additional file 1: Table S1). The metabolome of orchard N from 4 to 8 week was different than the others in this plane (Fig. 3c and d). As with the transcriptome model, a relatively small portion of the total variance contributed to the first three principal components (PC1, 16 %; PC2, 11 %, PC3, 8 %).

Metabolomic and transcriptomic divergence related to soft scald development in storage

ASCA was used to reveal transcripts and metabolites which were significantly up or down regulated over the storage period in each risk category. ASCA models of the metabolomic and transcriptomic datasets also illustrated the transition of the transcriptome starting at the beginning of cold storage while revealing key differences in the metabolome within each soft scald risk category that were not clear in the PCA model. The ASCA model supported the PCA model with respect to the widespread transcriptomic change provoked by cold storage. When plotted over the storage period, the first two ASCA components (62 % of the variance) summarized transcriptomic changes in response to chilling, with scores of fruit from high risk orchards increasing and
low risk decreasing (Fig. 4a). While still explaining 21% of the variance in the model, changes in the scores of the second component over time were not clearly associated with any obvious experimental factor.

Component one (72% of the variance) of ASCA model compiled using the metabolome revealed scores that decreased in low risk fruit and increase in high risk fruit between 2 and 4 weeks, or around the time when the first soft scald symptoms begin to appear (Fig. 4b). Taken together, the ASCA models of the transcriptome and metabolome illustrated an immediate response to the cold storage by the transcriptome followed by the metabolome beginning to take place around the time of symptom development in fruit peel from high risk orchards.

BiNGO overexpression analysis and visualization was chosen to generate GO networks highlighting biological processes that were differentially up-regulated, as determined by ASCA analysis, during storage for fruit from high risk and low risk orchards. Processes that were differentially up-regulated during storage of apples that did not later develop soft scald (Fig. 5a) are largely related to energy production including carbohydrate and polysaccharide biosynthesis. Superoxide and other reactive oxygen species metabolic processes were up-regulated in these fruit as was expression of multiple superoxide dismutase (SOD) genes indicating these fruit mustered a coordinated response to stress beginning at 4 weeks but not earlier given there were no differences in these processes in low risk fruit at harvest or at 2 weeks (see below). A number of SODs were, instead, more highly expressed at harvest, but not beyond, in high risk fruit (see below). Up-regulated biological processes in high risk fruit (Fig. 5b) involved amino acid metabolism...
including glutamate biosynthesis, glutamine, and proline catabolism, specifically proline dehydrogenase activity. Other processes included copper ion transport and iron ion homeostasis processes as well as oxidoreductase, acetoacetate synthase, and iron binding activity.

Other genes consistently up-regulated over the entire storage period in fruit that developed soft scald included cation transport (MDP0000178043), an expansin-like precursor (MDP0000568045) and pectate lyase (MDP0000828931), a cytochrome P450 (MDP0000307340), and an oleosin (MDP0000122458) (Fig. 6). Among fruit that did not develop soft scald, the list included another cytochrome P450 (MDP0000692178), a deoxyxylulose-5-phosphate synthase (MDP0000253952) and two squalene epoxidases (MDP0000202883 and MDP0000638870), all of which can be involved in isoprenoid metabolism.

**Soft scald risk transcriptomics and metabolomics preceding disorder development**

RobiNA was employed to analyze differential gene expression of fruit from all orchards at-harvest according to soft scald risk, and differential gene expression at 2 weeks of fruit from three orchards according to soft scald risk, including fruit from an orchard with three sequential harvest dates (each harvest treated as an individual orchard). As the PCA and ASCA analysis indicated, most of the change in the transcriptome occurred during cold storage and it was expected that expression of genes associated with high or low risk fruit at-harvest or 2 weeks may not remain different across the whole storage period. Consequently, to account for changes occurring during the first 2 weeks of storage, transcriptomic and metabolomic data were individually compared at each of these time points.
Eight hundred fifty-five genes were differentially expressed at harvest and 5726 genes were differentially expressed at 2 weeks. There were differentially expressed genes (DEG) in common for both the at-harvest and 2 weeks lists from fruit from both the low risk and high risk orchards (Additional file 3: Figure S2). Multiple GO process categories of at-harvest and 2 week DEGs were overrepresented in fruit from both low risk (Fig. 7) and high risk orchards (Fig. 8).

Up-regulated genes at-harvest in fruit from high risk orchards included multiple superoxide dismutases (MDP0000201158, MDP0000121919, MDP0000258717, MDP0000321336, MDP0000250286, MDP0000188546, MDP0000272510), triacylglyceride metabolism, and genes involved in stress-related chloroplastic metabolism. At 2 weeks following cold storage imposition, multiple lipoxygenases (MDP0000262884, MDP000081005, MDP0000423544, MDP0000312397, MDP0000172092, MDP0000275210), triacylglyceride metabolism, and genes involved in stress-related chloroplastic metabolism.
Fig. 5 BiNGO overexpression analysis (processes) of significantly up-regulated gene models over the 8 week storage period from fruit harvest from low risk (a) and high risk (b) orchards in the ASCA “risk*time” model. Colored nodes represent GO terms significantly overrepresented; the intensity of orange color indicates p-value and size represents the number of genes.
MDP0000923670, MDP0000146677) and phenolic metabolism related genes (MDP0000139075, MDP0000191304, MDP0000261492, and MDP0000388769), both classes indicative of a stress response, were also up-regulated.

Up-regulated genes at-harvest in fruit from low risk orchards included genes involved in lipid metabolism. Following the beginning of cold storage, up-regulated genes included isoprenoid metabolism and, unlike those grown in high risk orchards, other metabolic processes ostensibly transpiring in the chloroplast. Triacylglyceride (TAG) lipase, superoxide metabolic process, and reactive oxygen species metabolic processes categories were overrepresented both low risk and high risk fruit. Although there were SODs categorized into this process, they were different genes depending upon the risk category. Overall, differential gene expression related to cold storage imposition of fruit from high risk orchards was stress-related, while gene expression was related to stress mediation and normal chloroplastic function in low risk fruit.

No genes were common between the DEG and the experiment-wide ASCA analyses for fruit from either low or high risk orchards, although some genes were up-regulated at-harvest and 2 weeks in both risk categories (Additional file 3: Figure S2). Up-regulated processes at both 0 and 2 weeks in fruit from low risk orchards included only two phytosulfokine genes which were categorized as “growth factor activity” and “cell proliferation”. In fruit from high risk orchards, these included transferase activity, specifically C6, redox activity, ion binding, and transcription factor binding. Interestingly, RNA binding factors included in this list were entirely Myb transcription factors.

Metabolites from multiple biochemical pathways different between fruit from high and low risk orchards.
according to risk and risk × time over the entire storage period are listed in Table 1. GABA levels were higher in fruit from high risk orchards over the whole storage period. Other metabolites, also elevated with risk, included a monogalactosyldiacylglyceride (MGDG; C18:2, C18:2), 1-hexanol, 2-methylbutanol, β-alanine, and two
partially identified p-coumaryl acyl esters. Apple peel from low risk orchards contained elevated levels of multiple classes of metabolites across the storage period. Among these were multiple isoprenoid compounds including farnesyl oleate, farnesyl linoleate, and farnesyl linolenate as well as one other partially identified farnesyl acyl ester. In addition to these, three partially identified triacylglycerides (TAGs) were elevated in this tissue (Table 1). Shikimic and aspartic acid content were also higher in this risk category.

Metabolites were also directly compared between risk categories at-harvest and 2 weeks to indicate whether early changes in the metabolome could be linked with soft scald risk. As indicated by the summary of metabolomic change in the ASCA model (Fig. 4b), the impact of cold storage was not as immediately apparent on the metabolome as it was on the transcriptome. In all, 374 metabolites were significantly (α = 0.05) linked with risk category at-harvest and 329 at 2 weeks. The top 50 metabolites (in significance) at-harvest and 2 weeks were clustered using Pearson’s correlation coefficient and Ward’s clustering algorithm and represented as a heatmap (Fig. 9). Differences between soft scald risk categories at these early time points was less dramatic and, many times, less consistent than the transcriptome. This is clear where the first harvest of orchard A (OrchA_H1) was in the low risk category but grouped independently of other low risk orchards in the first principal components in an unsupervised multivariate analysis (PCA) (Fig. 3a). During cold storage, the differences in the both
Table 1: Identified, partially identified, and unidentified metabolites different between risk categories in 'Honeycrisp' peel from orchards at low or high risk to develop soft scald by 8 weeks cold air storage overall (ASCA; leverage = 0.90 and alpha = 0.001). Abbreviations and mass spectral tags are included in columns 2 and 3, respectively. The evaluation method used for each component were non-polar (no symbol), volatile (*), or TMS-Oxime (**). (Continued)

| Metabolite                  | Mass spectral tag (RT, m/z, Target ion) | low risk orchards | high risk orchards |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| trienol735                  | (18.8, 203.1789)                       |                   |                   |
| LC276                       | (21.5, 109.1024)                       |                   |                   |
| LC154                       | (17.2, 556.5310)                       |                   |                   |
| LC641                       | (11, 335.2938)                         |                   |                   |
| LC27                        | (24, 919.7877)                         |                   |                   |
| LC203                       | (24.3, 381.3709)                       |                   |                   |
| Butyl 2-methylbutenoatec    | (7.4, 57)*                             |                   |                   |
| sester167                   | (18.1, 203.1809)                       |                   |                   |
| LC421                       | (23.4, 365.342)                        |                   |                   |
| LC196                       | (23.1, 367.3574)                       |                   |                   |
| LC278                       | (24.7, 395.3835)                       |                   |                   |
| chlor660                    | (12.4, 337.3098)                       |                   |                   |
| LC634                       | (13.3, 283.2654)                       |                   |                   |
| sester642                   | (18.1, 205.1952)                       |                   |                   |
| LC201                       | (23.7, 391.3569)                       |                   |                   |
| LC414                       | (23, 391.3581)                         |                   |                   |
| LC156                       | (15.7, 367.3565)                       |                   |                   |
| LC210                       | (15.1, 365.3413)                       |                   |                   |
| LC403                       | (22.4, 391.3575)                       |                   |                   |
| TAG(18:3,18:3,18:3)g         | (23.3, 873.6960)                       |                   |                   |
| TAG420                      | (11.8, 207.1737)                       |                   |                   |
| LC589 TAG                   | (23.3, 595.4729)                       |                   |                   |
| Z,E α-farnesenec            | (12.8, 93)*                            |                   |                   |
| LC194                       | (22.8, 365.3414)                       |                   |                   |
| LC198                       | (23.4, 389.3412)                       |                   |                   |
| LC557                       | (14.8, 631.4927)                       |                   |                   |
| LC531                       | (14.5, 366.3725)                       |                   |                   |
| sester650                   | (11.6, 205.1945)                       |                   |                   |
| LC408                       | (22.6, 389.3434)                       |                   |                   |
| LC171                       | (18.6, 505.4271)                       |                   |                   |
| sterB481                    | (7.8, 441.3738)                        |                   |                   |
| LC204                       | (24.2, 393.3711)                       |                   |                   |
| LC783                       | (33.3, 681.6336)                       |                   |                   |
| p-coumaryl esterf           | (12.2, 133.0653)                       |                   |                   |
| aspartic acidc              | (10.5, 232.2)**                        |                   |                   |
| LC623                       | (24.5, 573.4877)                       |                   |                   |
| LC358                       | (19.7, 565.5668)                       |                   |                   |
the metabolic and transcriptic profile of orchard A, first harvest (Orch A_H1) were not as important for explaining the distribution of variance in these factors in the PCA model, and did not group separately in the first several principal components in PCA. The PCA model explained less of the variation than ASCA, and as such, should be interpreted in tandem with and in the context of other analyses. Fruit from low risk orchards had elevated levels of other isoprenoids at harvest. Levels of an unidentified steryl myristilate (21.7, 409.3824; [RT, m/z 22.7, 409.3841]) at 2 weeks but not beyond. Comparison with authentic 2,3-epoxysqualene, cycloartenol, β-amyrin, and α-amyrin standards produced chromatographic peaks that indicated the unknowns are more polar as did the previous standards esterified with lauric and palmitic acid. In addition to elevated campester (6'-O-linoleoyl) β-D-glucoside (CGL) content in fruit from high risk orchards throughout storage, other parts of phytosterol metabolism were also impacted by soft scald risk at-harvest or immediately following cold storage imposition. Campesterol and β-sitosteryl linoleate (BSL) levels were elevated at 2 weeks in fruit from high risk orchards.

Metabolites with significantly different levels at-harvest and/or 2 weeks and also in the ASCA model were considered the most accurate appraisal of metabolism associated with scald risk (Fig. 9). These included many of the aforementioned components, particularly GABA, glutamate, β-alanine, shikimic acid, MGDG, and tentatively identified p-coumaryl esters in high risk orchards. Levels of 3 TAGs as well as the farnesyl esters were consistently higher in fruit from low risk orchards at-harvest and for the entire storage period.

Comparison of gene expression and metabolite results indicated that gene expression both preceded or occurred alongside changes in levels of linked metabolites. However, links between gene expression associated with direct regulation or production of a metabolite and levels of that metabolite were not obvious. Other relationships between gene expression and metabolite levels did occur together exclusively in peel from high or low risk orchards and indicate, at the very least, possible links between genetic regulation and metabolite levels as impacted by scald risk. Examples of potential coordinated activity include elevated LOX expression following cold storage imposition preceding increased 1-hexanol levels in high risk orchards, genes involved in glutamic acid biosynthesis and glutamine metabolism were up-regulated alongside elevated GABA levels in fruit from high risk orchards, upregulation of PAL and p-coumaryl ester in fruit from high risk orchards, and genes related to isoprenoid metabolism with elevated levels of α-farnesene, farnesyl esters, unidentified sterols, and unidentified steryl esters in apples from low risk orchards.

Correlation analysis (Additional file 4: Figures S3 and Additional file 5: Figure S4) revealed a few highly correlated changes between gene expression and metabolites although none with obvious regulatory or mechanistic associations. In separate correlation networks comprised of 4387 expressed genes/metabolites found in high risk fruit and 880 expressed genes/metabolites found in low risk fruit, levels of few metabolites and transcripts were highly correlated (Additional file 5: Figures S4 and Additional file 6: Table S2). A subnetwork containing highly correlated genes and metabolites (r ≥ 0.95) in high risk fruit contained Zinc finger proteins and a protein translation factor, (MDP0000170739, MDP0000224773, MDP0000232642, MDP0000606526) along side acetaldehyde and hexyl 2-methylbutyrate. Subnetworks containing both upregulated genes and metabolites with higher levels in low risk fruit peel included three unidentified genes and a NADP-dependent D-sorbitol 6-
Fig. 9  (See legend on next page.)

1-Hexanol
2-methyl-1-butanol
B-alanine
Butyl-2-methylbutenoate
Cumaroyl asyl conjugate (#659)*
Cumaroyl asyl conjugate (#623)*
GABA
Glutamic acid
MGDG (18:2, 18:2)*
Fameyol oleate*
Fameyol linoleate*
Fameyol linolenate
Sesquiterpene conjugate (#642)*
Shikimic acid
Phytosterol-like (#263)*
TAG (18:1, 18:1, 18:2)*
TAG (18:3, 18:3, 18:2)*
TAG (18:2, 18:2, 18:1)*
Ursolic acid-like (#474)*

Bold = increased levels in fruit from high risk orchards
Plain text = increased levels in fruit from low risk orchards
*Tentative identification based on mass spectral features, chromatography, and accurate mass
Discussion

Maturity and environmental effects on disorder incidence in relation to gene expression and metabolism

Previous studies have indicated fruit maturity impacts soft scald susceptibility, with later harvests typically having greater disorder incidence [6, 24]. In the present study, standard maturity indices (firmness, starch, Brix, titratable acidity) indicated differences of fruit maturity among orchards although advanced maturity was not always associated with soft scald risk (Table 1). Levels of other genes (Additional file 2: Figure S1) reported as upregulated in apples during ripening [25] further indicated the orchards used in this study represented a range of fruit maturities when harvested although not elevated soft scald risk in every case. There were other cases where transcript and metabolite levels associated with processes that may occur alongside advancing fruit maturity were also elevated in fruit from orchards with higher soft scald risk. For instance, a chlorophyllide a oxygenase (MDP0000188069, MDP000150374), an enzyme involved in chlorophyll degradation [26], was upregulated in fruit from high risk orchards at harvest. Pheophorbide a oxygenase can increase via de novo synthesis both during senescence [27] and is proposed to be involved in chlorophyll catabolism during fruit de-greening [28], which occurs in apple peel during ripening [29].

These results indicate that, while maturity is important, other factors related to orchard and other pre-harvest conditions may have equal or greater impact on scald risk aside from maturity. Crop load [8] and environmental factors, such as orchard location and pre-harvest temperature [4], may influence gene expression levels and metabolic changes associated with soft scald risk. Incorporating these latent factors by using fruit from multiple orchards from relatively diverse locales was a critical to this evaluation. Metabolic differences among orchards were apparent in both the metabolomic and transcriptomic PCA models, which may result, in part, from the interaction of environmental conditions and fruit maturity. For example, fruit from orchard N, where metabolites clustered independently from other samples at 4 and 8 weeks, were obtained from an orchard 400 km from any other orchard with a similar scald outcome.

Summary of overall transcriptomic and metabolomic changes

Results of both the PCA and ASCA illustrate an overall shift in the transcriptome between 0 and 2 weeks, or with the beginning of cold storage, followed by a shift in the metabolome between 2 and 4 week (Figs. 3 and 4). These trends outline a metabolic sequence where the transcriptome changes rapidly in response to chilling and, then, the metabolome as a consequence. Chilling can result in numerous cellular perturbations in plants, including lipid phase change and membrane leakage [30]. ‘Honeycrisp’ is sensitive to postharvest storage temperature as warmer storage temperatures are less likely to provoke soft scald, so changes beginning at this point may include various adaptive responses [31]. In this way, interrogation of the transcriptome and metabolome was a means for revealing which metabolomic and transcriptomic changes were most associated with symptom development.

Metabolism differentiating soft scald risk categories

Different statistical analyses were aimed at examining metabolism in response to chilling as well as those over the entire storage evaluation. As the initial response to chilling seems to be staggered, it may not be expected that gene expression and the resulting metabolite products would be directly correlated during the initial response to cold storage. Because of this, any association between the two global data sets were based on similarities of GO processes and metabolite levels during this period. Nevertheless, pairwise correlation analysis did reveal a few highly correlate metabolites-gene combinations, albeit with no obvious regulatory or catalytic connection as annotated (Additional file 4: Figures S3 and Additional file 5: Figure S4).

Glutamic acid and GABA metabolism in fruit from high risk orchards

Consistent gene expression in fruit peel from high risk orchards included processes involved in glutamate biosynthesis. Likewise, glutamic acid and GABA levels were elevated in fruit from high risk orchards at the time of first sampling from cold storage at 2 weeks and continuing through the final 8 week assessment. In a previous ‘Honeycrisp’ study, levels of GABA were also higher in tissue next to the browned tissue of soft scald/soggy breakdown [16]. GABA and alanine have also been reported to increase in response to low oxygen stress in...
'Granny Smith' apples [32]. GABA may have a role in protecting plants from oxidative stress and its accumulation has been linked to abiotic stress in numerous other studies [33, 34]. β-alanine levels were also elevated in fruit from high risk orchards. β-alanine was also higher in the browned tissue of soggy breakdown, an internal storage disorder of 'Honeycrisp', in a previous study [16].

Phenolic metabolism is impacted differentially depending on scald risk

At 2 weeks, five identified and partially identified p-coumaryl ester levels were elevated in fruit from high risk orchards. Two of these metabolites were significant in ASCA analysis, indicating levels continued to increase beyond initial cold stress. p-Coumaryl esters are major components apple peel cutin [35] and the presence of these monomers may represent unpolymerized substrate for cutin biosynthesis. Also, monolignols such as p-coumaryl alcohol are primarily precursors for lignin and lignan biosynthesis [36], the latter having activity in plant defense. In apple, studies have indicated these compounds increase in conjunction with light-induced anthocyanin accumulation and have antioxidant activity [37], and circumstantial evidence has attributed their presence to cultivar-specific resistance to superficial scald [38]. The p-coumaryl malate [39] and amide conjugates are also produced in response to pathogenic and abiotic stress [40].

Phenylalanine ammonium lyases (PAL) (MDP0000139075, MDP0000191304, MDP0000261492, and MDP000388769) were also overrepresented at 2 weeks in fruit from high risk orchards. PALS are involved in the first regulatory step of phenolic biosynthesis which includes the p-coumaryl esters as well as phenylpropanoids. Phenylpropanoids are a large class of chemical compounds that includes monolignols (precursors to lignin), flavonoids, proanthocyanidins, phenylpropanoid esters, and acylated polyamines [36]. In apple fruit tissue, PAL activity is enhanced by ethylene action during fruit ripening [41] and is associated with total flavanoid concentration [42]. In addition to some cutin monomers, p-coumaryl-CoA is the final precursor molecule preceding an array of phenylpropanoid compounds, including flavonoids, proanthocyanidins, phenylpropanoid esters, and acylated polyamines [36].

Processes related to mediation of reactive oxygen species upregulated in low risk orchards with cold stress

As may be expected, metabolism associated with this period could be largely characterized as stress response, even in peel from low risk orchards. The longer-term storage transcriptomic trends (0–8 weeks) indicated reactive oxygen species (ROS) processes present in fruit peel from orchards that did not develop soft scald, including upregulated SOD genes. However, SOD was upregulated in fruit from high risk orchards prior to cold storage initiation. Previous apple postharvest disorder studies report that ROS are involved in postharvest disorder incidence or, possibly mediation [21], as ROS can have numerous adaptive relationships with stress [43].

Elevated lipoxygenase expression and 1-hexanol content in fruit from high risk orchards

1-Hexanol content was higher in high-risk fruit at harvest, 2 weeks, and overall during storage. Higher 1-hexanol levels have been detected prior to [44] and with soft scald symptoms [45]. 1-Hexanol, hexyl acetate, hexanal, and hexyl butyrate, applied to Jonathan apples, increased soft scald incidence [9]. As a result, it was hypothesized that hexanol is a toxic volatile that induces soft scald [44]. 1-Hexanol can be produced by β-oxidation of long-chain fatty acids [46, 47] but more likely via lipoxygenase activity [48]. Hydroperoxide lyase catalyzes hexanal biosynthesis from linoleic acid [48] which is, in turn, converted to 1-hexanol by alcohol dehydrogenase [49]. In ‘Anna’ apples, treatment with an ethylene action inhibitor (1-methylcyclopropene; 1-MCP) did not affect headspace 1-hexanol production [50], indicating that 1-hexanol production is not directly dependent on ethylene-mediated ripening processes, or possibly, not entirely associated with fruit ripening. In ‘Granny Smith’ apples, the concentration of esters containing the hexyl moiety increases during air storage in peel (16 weeks and 24 weeks) [51] which may indicate 1-hexanol production is related to senescence. In the current study, lipoxygenase (MDP000262884, MDP0000180081005, MDP0000423544, MDP0000312397, MDP0000172092, MDP0000923670, MDP0000146677) expression was overrepresented at 2 weeks of storage in peel from high risk orchards, although this result does not directly correspond with the elevated levels of 1-hexanol observed throughout storage. Other functions for lipoxygenases include defense signaling and peroxidation of membranes [52]. Their activity shortly after storage inception could result from the stress response to chilling temperatures. In summary, 1-hexanol levels may reflect an increase in fruit maturity at harvest, or the presence of pre-harvest stress.

Sesquiterpenoid levels are enhanced in fruit from low risk orchards

Other non-polar compounds were elevated at harvest in fruit from low risk orchards including farnesyl esters as well as unidentified sterols and steryl esters. Farnesyl esters including farnesyl oleate, farnesyl linoleate, farnesyl linolenate and an uncharacterized sesquiterpene conjugate (18.1, 205.1952) were higher at harvest and overall
during storage in fruit from low risk orchards. These meroterpenoids are a type of natural compound containing both terpenoid and non-terpenoid components [53] and were recently first reported in higher plants as a component of ‘Gala’ apple wax [54]. Apple fruit produces both farnesol and α-farnesene which can be autoxidized to yield epoxides and endoperoxides and, then 2,6,10-trimethyldodeca-2,7(E),9(E),11-tetraen-6-ol [55]. The farnesyl esters with elevated levels in low risk orchards are esters of farnesen-15-ol and not the same as the α-farnesene oxidation product which was also detected, as were other partially identified farnesyl acyl esters that were not linked with any risk category. A physiological or metabolic role for these components in plants has not been established, although isoprenoid compounds may be an important component of membrane stability [56].

α-Farnesene is sesquiterpene produced by apple fruit. Studies suggest α-farnesene production occurs primarily in fruit peel [55], and early studies indicate it is the predominant sesquiterpene produced by apple [12]. Oxidation products of α-farnesene are associated with superficial scald of apples and pears [57]. In other organisms, sesquiterpene conjugates mitigate the response to nitric oxide [58] and sesquiterpene production increases in response to stress [59], although whether these sesquiterpenes have a similar role to those in the present study is unknown. In vitro, sesquiterpenes and sesquiterpene alcohols can reduce lipid oxidation [60]. The enzyme specifically involved with the synthesis of α-farnesene in apples (α-farnesene synthase [AFS1]) has been extensively evaluated [34, 61, 62] but, in the present study, levels of neither of the principal sesquiterpene metabolites in apple ([E,E] α-farnesene and [Z,E] α-farnesene) nor AFS1 expression were different based on scald risk.

Overexpression analysis supported the relationship between increased sesquiterpene synthesis and gene expression within this pathway. The GO category “Diphosphomevalonate activity” and deoxyxylulose-5-phosphate synthase expression were overrepresented in fruit from low risk orchards at 2 weeks. The former enzyme functions early in the mevalonic acid (MVA) pathway and precedes all isoprenoid biosynthesis in the cytosol/ER, including sesquiterpene and triterpenoid (phytosterol) compounds [63]. The latter is the first and rate limiting step in chloroplastic isoprenoid biosynthesis [64]. Previous studies of other plant species indicate that sesquiterpene synthesis can take place via both the cytostolic MVA and chloroplastic methyl-d-erythritol 4-phosphate (MEP) pathways [65, 66], and even that precursors specific to sesquiterpene synthesis can be transported between the chloroplast and cytoplasm [67], indicating potential modes of sesquiterpene synthesis previously unreported in apples.

**Phytosterol ester and triterpenoid biosynthesis**

At 2 weeks, the identified phytosterols and phytosterol conjugates including β-sitosteryl linolenate (BSLn) and two unidentified metabolites with similar mass spectral peaks, were elevated in low risk fruit. Phytosterols are triterpenoid compounds synthesized via the mevalonic acid pathway located in the cytosol/ER [68]. An association between phytosterol composition and resistance to chilling injury in apple has been previously demonstrated, where steryl esters, such as BSLn, remained higher in fruit treated with antioxidant or ethylene action inhibitor to reduce superficial scald [15]. In ‘Honeycrisp’, another sitosterol ester (β-sitosterol linoleate), remained highest in the tissue of wholly healthy fruit, compared to tissue from fruit affected by soggy breakdown [16]. Also in ‘Honeycrisp’, acylated sitosterol glucosides (palmitoyl and stearate) levels were higher in cortex of fruit with soggy breakdown following low temperature storage [16]. In the present study, neither whole fruit samples nor browned tissue were assessed separately at the time points when symptoms of disorders were present, so this phenomenon may not have been detectable. CGL levels were higher in high risk orchards throughout storage (ASCA), while campesterol was elevated in low risk orchards at 2 weeks. In tomatoes, chilling enhances levels of campesterol and isofucosterol [69], but increases of free sterols do not necessarily result in increases of conjugated sterols. Controls and mechanisms of phytosterol conjugation have only been sparingly characterized in plants and less so in fruit.

However, some evidence of upregulation of the initial steps in this pathway was observed. Levels of two squalene epoxidase (monooxygenase) (MDP0000202883 and MDP0000638870) transcripts were higher in fruit from low risk orchards throughout storage, although levels decreased in fruit from all orchards after 2 weeks. This enzyme catalyzes one of the early steps in sterol biosynthesis [70] and is thought to be rate limiting. Along with expression of the above genes, unidentified sterols, which appeared to be controlled by similar mechanisms as CTOL production with respect to superficial scald risk in ‘Granny Smith’ in our earlier work (unpublished) and two unidentified steryl esters (steryl laurate and steryl palmitate) levels, were higher in fruit from low risk orchards at harvest, although levels of an additional unidentified steryl esters were higher in fruit from low risk orchards at 2 weeks. As already mentioned, these compounds were not related to squalene, amyrin, or cycloartenol although there are a variety of phytosterol precursors with the same nominal mass.

In addition to the aforementioned squalene epoxidases, gene expression potentially related to phytosterol production included significantly higher levels of a
transcript encoding HMG-CoA reductase (MDP000251253) in fruit from high risk orchards compared to those from low risk orchards beginning at 4 weeks of storage and continuing through the final measurement at 8 weeks. This enzyme catalyzes the first committed step of the MVA pathway and activity can be enhanced by feedback from products of enzymes in the sterol biosynthesis pathway [70, 71], although it would also precede MVA sesquiterpene synthesis. However, expression of sterol methyltransferase (SMT1; MDP000012279) was also higher during cold storage, although in high risk fruit, with the greatest increase between harvest and 2 weeks. There were no significant increases of sterol levels alongside expression of this gene, and overall, differential enhancement of free sterols appeared to be transient as none of the phytosterols/sterols or phytosterol/sterol conjugates were present in the group of combined significant compounds common to the analyses performed.

**Triacylglycerides and lipids levels are altered according to risk**

At-harvest and throughout storage, levels of three partially identified triacylglycerides (TAG) were higher in fruit from all orchards that did not develop soft scald, while MGDG (C18:2, C18:2) was higher in fruit from high risk orchards. However, levels of this MGDG were also higher in fruit from low risk orchards following placement in cold storage (Fig. 9). MGDGs are a major lipid species comprising the thylakoid membrane and is synthesized in the inner plastid envelopes [72]. MGDG functions to establish and maintain photosystem II dimer structure [87]. Previous work revealed specific TAGs elevated in the brown necrotic tissue of soggy breakdown [16] but length, saturation and intermolecular organization of acyl components in that study may differ from present TAGs, which have not been fully characterized. It has been demonstrated that acyl moieties respond to temperature changes in apples, and differ with respect to physiological disorder outcome [15]. With respect to related gene expression, an oleosin transcript (MDP0000122458) was also elevated throughout storage fruit from high risk orchards. Oleosins function in the formation and stabilization of oil bodies containing TAGs [73] but are not typical in non-oil producing organs such as apple fruit peel. Nevertheless, the summation of these results indicate that TAGs and MGDG lipids may have a role in normal function of apple fruit cells following chilling, presumably in maintaining cellular or organellar membrane stability.

**Ethanol and ethyl esters are not impacted by soft scald risk**

Ethanol and its acyl esters are produced by tissue affected by soggy breakdown, a disorder of the cortex that often occurs alongside with soft scald [16]. Levels of these compounds were not elevated in any treatment or at any time in the current study. Early in storage it is possible that levels of ethanol and ethyl esters had not accumulated to appreciable levels, but absence of significance later in storage may indicate they are not an important component of soft scald symptom development.

**Conclusions**

Soft scald symptom development is the result of an adverse reaction to cold storage commonly employed to store apple fruit. Our results outline a process by which cold storage triggers a widespread shift in the transcriptome within the first 2 weeks followed by a shift in the metabolome. The processes and pathways involved in that shift were different depending on whether apples from a specific orchard developed soft scald. Factors that mitigated scald risk include not only fruit maturity, but also orchard cultural and environmental conditions not accounted for by this study. Processes and pathways indicating high risk were stress responses related to glutamate and phenolic metabolism as well as LOX and C6 volatile metabolism. Low risk was indicated by elevated levels of farnesol acyl esters and other isoprenoids as well as overexpression within the initial portions of isoprenoid biosynthesis and triterpenoid biosynthesis. In summary, environmental, cultural, and ontogenic factors all contributed to whether fruit remained healthy or developed soft scald and, accordingly, how metabolism was altered once fruit were placed in cold storage.

**Methods**

**Sample collection**

‘Honeycrisp’ apples (Malus x domestica Borkh.) were obtained from nine orchards distributed among the Lake Chelan/Brewster, Columbia Basin, and Yakima Valley growing regions in Washington State and the Hood River growing region of Oregon between September 2 and October 21, 2011. To determine the effects of harvest-timing on postharvest soft scald, fruit from one site was harvested at three different times, to represent an early, mid-, and late harvest (Orchard A). Fruit were stored in regular air at 1 °C. At harvest, and at 2, 4, and 8 weeks of storage, quality was assessed, and fruit peel tissue was sampled for metabolic and transcriptomic analysis. Soft scald and soggy breakdown incidence was visually assessed at each time point on 48 fruit.

**Fruit maturity assessment**

Internal ethylene concentration (IEC), fruit firmness, titratable acidity, starch pattern index, weight, and color were assessed on 16 fruit at each time point. Peel background color was rated using a color wheel as a guide (United States Department of Agriculture, Standard
Ground Color Chart for Apples and Pears in Western States). The same person rated all the fruit for the entire experiment.

Firmness was analyzed using a Mohr Digi-Test 1.25 penetrometer (Mohr & Associates, Richland, WA, USA) equipped with an 11-mm tip on one pared surface of each fruit. The maximum external fruit pressure (N), designated M1, indicates the firmness of the fruit from the peel boundary to a depth of 0.813 cm.

Starch hydrolysis was visually assessed on a full width tissue slice cut from the fruit equator using a 1–6 scale from the Washington Tree Fruit Research Commission (1 = no hydrolysis, all tissue black, 6 = hydrolysis complete, tissue white) [74] after staining with a 0.024 M I-KI solution. The same person visually assessed starch throughout the experiment.

Fresh juice, prepared using a Champion juicer (Plastaket Mfg., Lodi, CA, USA), was used to measure soluble solids content (SSC), using a handheld refractometer (ATAGO, Tokyo, Japan), and titratable acidity (TA) by titrating 10 mL juice with 0.1 M KOH to pH 8.2 using an autotitrator (TIM850, Radiometer Analytical, Copenhagen, Denmark).

IEC was measured by piercing the calyx end of the fruit with a wide-bore needle equipped with a rubber serum stopper. A 1 ml plastic syringe with a 1 inch needle (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) was used to pierce the septum and slowly draw up 1 mL of gas sample from the core cavity. 0.5 mL of the gas was injected into a 5880A GC-FID (Hewlett-Packard, Avondale, PA, USA) equipped with a 50-cm, 0.32-cm-i.d. glass column packed with 80–100 mesh Porapak Q (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA). The 5880 GC-FID was calibrated daily using 0.5 mL gas containing 9.01 μL (0.368 μmol) per L ethylene (Scotty Analyzed Gases, Bellefonte, PA, USA). The temperature of injector, oven and detector was 100 °C, 130 °C, and 200 °C, respectively. Gas flows for air, N2, and H2 were 300, 30, and 30 ml min⁻¹, respectively.

For each sample replication, peel tissue was removed and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Samples were cryo-ground in a N2 (l) cooled rotary mill (A 11 Basic Analytical Mill, IKA Works Inc., Wilmington, NC, USA) then returned to −80 °C for up to 4 months prior to metabolomic and transcriptomic analysis.

Metabolic profiling

Five replications were assessed per orchard and time point. Metabolic extraction and instrumental analyses were carried out similar to Rudell et al. [13], Rudell et al. [15], and Leisso et al. [16]. Volatile metabolites present in ground tissue were assessed using a GC-MS volatile headspace sampling system. Sugars, sugar alcohols, organic acids, and amino acids were derivatized via a trimethylsilyl(oxime) protocol and assessed via GC-MS. Non-polar compounds, including phytosterols, p-coumaroyl esters, lipids, carotenoids, and chlorophylls, were extracted from tissue using an acetone/hexanes phase extraction protocol and analyzed utilizing accurate mass high performance liquid chromatograph equipped with a tandem quadrupole time-of-flight mass selective detector (HPLC- QTOF-MS). Compounds whose identity was confirmed by co-elution with authentic standards are indicated in Additional files 6: Table S2).

RNA isolation and mRNA-seq library construction

For each sample replication, three fruit were peeled directly into liquid nitrogen. Transcriptomic profiling was performed on three composite (3 fruit each) biological replications for each orchard/time point combination using the protocol described by Gapper et al. [20]. Briefly, total RNA was extracted using a chloroform phase extraction and purified using a RNAEasy column (Qiagen). RNA-seq libraries were created using total RNA according to Zhong et al. [75]. mRNA was isolated from total RNA, fragmented and used as a template for cDNA synthesis via reverse transcription (Superscript III, Invitrogen). After the first strand of cDNA synthesis, the second strand was synthesized via a dUTP mix utilizing dUTP instead of dTTP. Ends of the double-stranded cDNAs were then repaired (Enzymatics), 3A tailed by the Klenow enzyme (Enzymatics), and universal TruSeq adapters (Illumina) were ligated. After ligation, the second strand was digested by uracil DNA glycosylase (UDG) to produce strand-specific enrichment of the library. The UDG-digested cDNA was then used as a template to enrich the libraries by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using primers containing the TruSeq barcodes, and the high-fidelity enzyme Phusion (NEB) with the conditions described in Gapper et al. [20]. 20 ng of the libraries were pooled for sequencing; 48 were multiplexed per sequencing reaction using an Illumina HiSeq 2000/2500 next-generation sequencer at the Weill Medicine School Sequencing Facility (Cornell University, New York City, NY, USA).

Bioinformatics

Forty base pair single-end, strand-specific RNA-Seq reads were filtered by aligning rRNA and tRNA sequences to adapter using Bowtie, allowing two mismatches. High-quality reads were then aligned to an apple predicted cDNA list [76] using Tophat (allowing one segment mismatch). After alignments, raw counts were normalized to reads per kilobase of exon model per million mapped reads (RPKM). Housekeeping gene expression was
analyzed to confirm their constitutive expression (Additional file 8: Figure S5).

**Data analyses**

The data analysis strategy is summarized in Table 2. Data analyses were performed to determine metabolites and gene expression that differ specifically at-harvest and 2 weeks following cold storage imposition among orchards with different soft scald incidence. Metabolites and transcripts differing at these time points according to eventual scald incidence may be indicative of scald risk. To achieve this, orchards were divided into “low-risk” and “high-risk” groups, based on soft scald incidence outcomes at 12 weeks (see Fig. 1 for disorder incidence; low risk: A_H1, C, M, N, P; high risk: A_H2, G, F, K, A_H3, L [fruit from orchard A_H2 developed soft scald at 12 weeks, and therefore was classified as high risk]). Any incidence of soft scald resulted in the orchard as a high risk). Any incidence of soft scald resulted in the orchard being classified as high risk. To define how known experimental factors were involved in differential gene expression among orchards that differ in soft scald susceptibility, principal components analysis (ASCA) was applied [77–79]. This analysis combines elements of multivariate statistics to delineate the primary latent variables, or trends in the data, along with determining which metabolites or RNA sequences differ according to discriminant classification, which was orchard risk for soft scald.

**Comparative analysis of gene expression**

To define how known experimental factors were involved in differential gene expression among orchards that differ in soft scald susceptibility, principal components analysis (PCAs) of means centered/standard deviation squared normalized RNA-seq data was performed using Unscrambler (Camo Inc., Woodbridge, NJ, USA), and ANOVA simultaneous component analysis (ASCA) was performed using MetaboAnalyst [77], with orchards categorized according to susceptibility (0.1; low and high risk) and time (0, 2, 4, 8 weeks). For ASCA, data were first filtered to remove gene models whose average value was less than 4 RPKM (reducing to ~23,400 gene models), and then further filtered to 5000 gene models using non-parametric relative standard deviation to retain transcripts with the greatest variability among the conditions. Expression data were mean-centered, divided by their standard deviation and, then, analyzed as time series repeated measures. The model was validated using permutation test statistics, and was significant at $p < 0.005$ for

### Table 2 Summary of data analyses procedure for both transcriptomic and metabolomic data of ‘Honeycrisp’ apple fruit to predict postharvest soft scald risk

| Data type | Timepoints | Objective | Analysis |
|-----------|------------|-----------|----------|
| Transcriptomic, normalized | 0–8 wk | Latent trends | Principal components analysis (PCA) |
| normalized | 0–8 wk | Differential expression in the multivariate case | ANOVA-simultaneous component analysis |
| raw counts | 0 wk | Pre-harvest environmental effects | RobiNA edge R differential expression according to soft scald risk$^a$ |
| raw counts | 2 wk | Effects of chilling | RobiNA edge R differential expression according to soft scald risk$^a$ |
| Metabolomic, means centered, standard deviation squared | 0–8 wk | Latent trends | Principal components analysis (PCA) |
| | 0–8 wk | Differential expression in the multivariate case | ANOVA-simultaneous component analysis |
| | 0 wk | Pre-harvest environmental effects | $t$-test/ Pearson’s correlation + Ward clustering algorithm heatmap visualization |
| | 2 wk | Effects of chilling | $t$-test/ Pearson’s correlation + Ward clustering algorithm heatmap visualization |
| Transcriptomic and metabolomic, normalized and set to a common scale by means centering | 0–8 wk | Correlation among expressed genes and metabolites | Pearson’s correlation via Cytoscape Expression Correlation plug-in at $r = 0.95$ , followed by network subtraction with the Network Analyzer plug-in |

*Data sets were analyzed separately to find differences between high and low risk orchards at-harvest, after 2 weeks cold storage, and overall. $^a$Soft scald risk assigned categorically as “low risk”/“high risk” based on disorder incidence at 12 weeks of storage. “Low risk”, no fruit exhibited soft scald symptoms. “High risk”, the presence of any fruit with soft scald symptoms.*
risk, time, and risk*time (leverage = 0.90). Transcripts which were significant in the model were determined for risk, time and risk*time.

**Comparison of at-harvest gene expression**
To determine the differences among orchards at harvest, expression data were analyzed using RobiNA [80]. Data from nine orchards were used, one with three harvests. Data were imported as a raw counts table, and differential expression was analyzed with the edgeR package [81], using a \( p \)-value cutoff of \( p < 0.05 \), corrected for false discovery rate (FDR) [82]. Input was filtered to remove transcripts with a less than two-fold change. Dispersion was estimated globally.

**Comparison of chilling-related gene expression**
To reveal the impact of chilling, 2 week RNA-seq data were also analyzed using RobiNA [80], applying the edgeR package [81] using a cutoff of \( p < 0.05 \), corrected by false discovery rate (FDR) [82]. Data were imported as a raw counts table. A subset of samples from orchards were available for 2 week analysis (orchards A [3 harvests], G, M).

**Functional analysis of significant gene expression**
Gene models significant for consistent differential change over the storage period in ASCA as well as at-harvest and 2 week DEGs were analyzed using gene ontology (GO) [83, 84] to perform an overrepresentation analysis according to comparatively elevated levels in fruit from orchards with low risk for soft scald or fruit from orchards susceptible to soft scald. Significant genes were uploaded to Cytoscape 2.8.3 [85, 86] and analyzed via the BinGO 2.44 plug-in [83]. The ontology file used was GO-basic.obo, download from Geneontology.org (03/03/2015). The GO annotation was obtained for *Malus x domestica* from the genome database for Rosaceae [23, 76]. For the analysis, the whole annotation was used as a reference set. Up-regulated genes were assessed for overrepresentation using the BinGO plugin v 2.44 [83] for Cytoscape v 2.8.3 [85, 86]. Overrepresentation was assessed using a hypergeometric test at \( p < 0.05 \), corrected by false discovery rate (FDR) for each of the GO categories “biological process”, “cellular component”, and “molecular function” [84]. Overrepresented categories were visualized as nodes.

**Comparative analysis of metabolites**
To summarize metabolism contributing to differences among orchards, both principal components analysis PCA and ASCA were performed on 833 metabolites. Orchards were categorized by risk (0, 1; low and high risk) and time (0, 2, 4, 8 weeks) using 9 orchards [one orchard (A) with three harvest dates]. Data were first scaled using mean-centering and dividing by the standard deviation. Data were analyzed as time series repeated measures. The model was validated using permutation test statistics at a significance of \( p < 0.005 \) for risk, time, and risk*time. Identified metabolites that were significant in the model were determined (leverage = 0.90 and alpha = 0.001).

**At harvest metabolic profiling**
To determine differences among orchards according to soft scald risk at the time of harvest, at-harvest metabolite data were analyzed using a \( t \)-test \((p < 0.05)\) and cluster analysis (Pearson’s \( r \), Ward’s clustering algorithm) and visualized using a heatmap generated using MetaboAnalyst [77, 78]. Prior to analysis, data were normalized using autoscaling procedures (mean-centered and divided by the standard deviation of each variable). Data from nine orchards were used, one with three harvests.

**Chilling response at 2 weeks in the metabolome**
To determine the differential effects of chilling, 2 week metabolite data were analyzed using a \( t \)-test \((p < 0.05)\) and visualized using a cluster analysis (Pearson’s \( r \), Ward’s clustering algorithm) to organize a heatmap using online software from MetaboAnalyst [85, 86]. Although more data were available, in order to directly correspond with the RNA-seq data, the 2 week data from three orchards were used to compare with the dataset used for gene expression analysis, one orchard had three harvests and differing soft scald outcomes were based on expected differences of maturity.

**Correlation and network analysis of gene expression and metabolite data**
Metabolites and genes with increased levels/expressions in fruit peel from low risk or high risk orchards were normalized using means centering. Low risk and high risk correlation networks were created using Pearson’s correlation coefficient \((r \geq |0.95|)\) in Cytoscape [85, 86]. Network subtractions (high risk – low risk; low risk – high risk) were performed using Network Analyzer, a pre-installed Cytoscape app [85, 86], to determine highly correlated element that differentiated fruit peel from high risk or low risk orchard given all experimental factors.

**Additional files**

Additional file 1: Table S1. Quality and maturity of fruit from Honeycrisp orchards used for the metabolomic (all orchards) and transcriptomic (orchards A_H1, A_H2, A_H3, M, and P) analyses. (DOCX 34 kb)

Additional file 2: Figure S1. At-harvest expression (RPKM) heatmap genes associated with apple ripening. (DOCX 228 kb)
Acknowledgements
The authors’ acknowledge David A. Buchanan for operation and maintenance of chromatographic instruments and Chris Sater for chromatographic data analysis.

Funding
This research was supported by the USDA Specialty Crops Research Initiative (Project number 2010-S-1181-21446), the Washington Tree Fruit Research Commission, and AgroFresh, Inc.

Availability of data and material
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRP081273.

Authors’ contributions
RSL participated in the design, performed the storage experiment, performed the metabolic profiling portions, and drafted the manuscript. NEG participated in the design and performed the RNAseq analysis. JPM co-conceived the study, participated in the design and draft preparation. JGW participated in the design and performed the storage experiment and fruit quality analysis. NLS participated in the metabolic profiling and data analysis. JRS and JWJ co-conceived the study, participated in the design, and performed the RNAseq analysis. DRR co-conceived the study, participated in the design, and draft preparation. IH participated in the design and performed the RNAseq analysis. RJS and JWJ co-conceived the study, participated in the design, and performed the RNAseq analysis. NLS participated in the metabolic profiling and data analysis. RSL participated in the design, performed the storage experiment, and fruit quality analysis. NLS participated in the metabolic profiling and data analysis. JRS and JWJ co-conceived the study, participated in the design, and performed the RNAseq analysis. DRR co-conceived the study, participated in the design, and draft preparation. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Not applicable.

Author details
1 United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Tree Fruit Research Laboratory, Wenatchee, WA, USA. 2 School of Plant Science, Horticulture Section, Cornell University, Ithaca NY14853, USA. 3 AgroFresh Solutions Inc. 130 Technology Center Way Wenatchee, Wenatchee WA 98801, WA, USA. 4 Boyce Thompson Institute for Plant Research, Cornell University, Ithaca NY14853, USA. 5 United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Plant, Soil, and Nutrition Laboratory, Ithaca NY14853, USA. 6 The New Zealand Institute for Plant and Food Research, Ltd, Auckland, New Zealand. 7 The New Zealand Institute for Plant and Food Research, Ltd, Havelock North, New Zealand. 8 Washington Tree Fruit Research Commission, Wenatchee, WA, USA. 9 BIOSYST-MeBioS, KU Leuven, Heverlee, Belgium.

Received: 12 April 2016 Accepted: 13 August 2016
Published online: 12 October 2016

References
1. Snowden A. A color atlas of post-harvest diseases and disorders of fruits and vegetables. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 1990.
2. Barker J. Wastage in imported fruit; its nature, extent and prevention. Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, Food Investigation Report. 1930634–5.
3. Watkins C, Nock J, Weis SA, Laytany SS, Beaudry RM. Storage temperature, diphenylamine, and pre-storage delay effects on soft scald, soggy breakdown, and bitter pit of ‘Honeycrisp’ apples. Postharvest Biol Tech. 2004;32:213–21.
4. Tong CBS, Bedford DS, Luby JJ, Propsom FM, Beaudry RM, Matthies JP, Watkins CB, Weis SA. Location and temperature effects on soft scald in ‘Honeycrisp’ apples. HortSci. 2003;38:1153–5.
5. Moran R, DeEll J, Halteman W. Effects of preharvest precipitation, air temperature, and humidity on the occurrence of soft scald in ‘Honeycrisp’ apples. HortSci. 2009;44:1645–7.
6. Watkins CB, Erkan M, Nock JE, Lungenman KA, Beaudry RM, Moran RE. Harvest date effects on maturity, quality, and storage disorders of ‘Honeycrisp’ apples. HortSci. 2005;40:164–9.
7. DeEll JR, Ehsan-Moghadam B. Preharvest 1-methylcyclopropane treatment reduces soft scald in ‘Honeycrisp’ apples during storage. HortSci. 2010;45:414–7.
8. Delong JM, Parke RG, Harrison PA, Embree CG, Nichols DS, Wright AH. The influence of crop-load, delayed cooling and storage atmosphere on post-storage quality of ‘Honeycrisp’ apples. J Hort Sci Biotechnol. 2006;81:391–6.
9. Wills RBH, Scott KJ. Hexanol and hexyl acetate and soft scald of apples. Phytochem. 1970;9(1):305–6.
10. Lurie S, Watkins CB. Superficial scald, its etiology and control. Postharvest Biol Tech. 2012;65:44–60.
11. Anet EFLI. Superficial scald, a functional disorder of stored apples VIII. Volatile products from the autoxidation of α-farnesene. J Sci Food Agric. 1972;23:605–8.
12. Huelin FE, Coggiola IM. Superficial scald, a functional disorder of stored apples. V.—Oxidation of α-farnesene and its inhibition by diphenylamine. J Sci Food Agric. 1970;21:44–8.
13. Rudell D, Matthies J, Hertog M. Metabolomic change precedes apple superficial scald symptoms. J Ag Food Chem. 2009;57:9459–66.
14. Whitaker BD, Solomos T, Harrison DJ. Quantification of α-farnesene and its conjugated trienol oxidation products from apple peel by C18-HPLC with UV detection. J Ag Food Chem. 1997;45:760–5.
15. Rudell DR, Buchanan DA, Leisso RS, Whitaker BD, Matthies JP, Zhu Y, Varanasi V. Ripening, storage temperature, ethylene action, and oxidative stress alter apple peel phytoalexin metabolism. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2011;13:1328–40.
16. Leisso RS, Buchanan DA, Lee J, Matthies JP, Sater C, Hannah I, Watkins CB, Gapper N, Johnston JW, Schaffer RJ, Hertog M. L-Malic acid, Nicoril BM, Rudell DR. Chilling-related cell damage of apple (Malus x domestica Borkh.) fruit cortical tissue impacts antioxidant, lipid and phenolic metabolism. Physiol Plantarum. 2015;153:204–20.
17. Argenta L, Fan X, Matthies J. Delaying establishment of controlled atmosphere or CO2 exposure reduces ‘Fuji’ apple CO2 injury without excessive fruit quality loss. Postharvest Biol Technol. 2000;20:221–9.
18. Lee J, Matthies JP, Rudell DR. Antioxidant treatment alters metabolism associated with internal browning in ‘Braeburn’ apples during controlled atmosphere storage. Postharvest Biol Tech. 2012;68:32–42.
19. Lee J, Rudell D, Davies P, Watkins C. Metabolic changes in 1- methylcyclopropane (1-MCP)-treated ‘Empire’ apple fruit during storage. Metabolomics. 2012;8:742–53.
20. Gapper NE, Rudell DR, Giovannoni JJ, Watkins CB. Biomarker development for internal CO2 injury prediction in apples through exploration of both transcriptome and DNA methylation changes. AoB Plants. 2013;5:p021021.
21. Mellidou I, Buts K, Hatoum D, Ho QT, Johnston J, Watkins C, Schaffer RJ, Gapper N, Giovannoni JJ, Rudell DR, Hertog M. L-Malic acid, Nicoril BM. Transcriptomic events associated with internal browning of apple during postharvest storage. BMC Plant Biol. 2014;14:328.
22. Busisato N, Farnetti B, Tadiello A, Vrhovsek U, Cappellin L, Biasioli F, Velasco R, Costa G, Costa F. Target metabolite and gene transcription profiling during...
the development of superficial scald in apple (Malus x domestica Borkh.). BMC Plant Biol. 2014;14:1–13.

23. Velasco R, Zarhikli A, Auffricht J, Dinhinga A, Cestaro A, Kalyanaraman A, Fontana P, Bhataungar SK, Tiqggo M, Pruss D, Salvi S, Pindo M, Baldi P, Castelli S, Cavaliucco M, Coppola G, Costa F, Cova V, Dal Ri A, Goresnykin V, Komjanc M, Longhi S, Magnapo G, Malacame G, Malony M, Micheletti D, Moretto M, Perazzoli S, Si-Ammour V, Vezzulli S, Zini E, Eldredge G, Fitzgerald LM, Gutin N, Lanchbury J, Macalma T, Mitchell JT, Reid J, Wardell B, Kodira C, Chen Z, Desany B, Niazi F, Palmer M, Koepeke T, Jiwan D, Schaeffer S, Krishnan V, Wu C, Chu VT, King ST, Vick J, Tao Q, Mraz A, Stormo A, Stormo K, Bogden R, Ederle D, Stella A, Vecchietti A, Kater MM, Masiero S, Lasserre P, Lespinasse Y, Allan AG, Bus V, Chagine D, Crowhurst RN, Gleave AP, Lavezzo F, Jaworczyk FA, Prosz S, Rozu P, Sterck L, Toppo S, Lazardi B, Hellens RP, Ducel C, Gutin A, Burmann RE, Gardiner SE, Skolnick M, Egholm M, Van de Peer Y, Salamini F, Viola R. The genome of the domesticated apple (Malus x domestica Borkh.). Nat Genet. 2010;42:833–9.

24. Wango JM, Watkins CB. Maturity and storage quality of ‘Honeycrisp’ apples. Horticotechnology. 2004;14:496–9.

25. Harb J, Gapper NE, Giovannini JJ, Watkins CK. Molecular analysis of softening and ethylene synthesis and signaling pathways in a non-softening apple cultivar, ‘Honeycrisp’ and a rapidly softening cultivar, ‘McIntosh’. Postharvest Biol Tech. 2012;64:94–103.

26. Takamiali K, Tsuchiya T, Dhta H. Degradation pathway(s) of chlorophyll: what has gene cloning revealed? Trends Plant Sci. 2000;5:426–31.

27. Hörtensteiner S. Chlorophyll degradation during senescence. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol. 2004;55:373–99.

28. Kräutler B. Chlorophyll breakdown and chlorophyll catabolites in leaves and fruit. Photochem Photobiol. 2008;8:7114–20.

29. Prasanna V, Prabha TN, Thanaranthan RN. Fruit ripening phenomena - An overview. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2007;47:1–19.

30. Thomashow MF. Plant cold acclimation: freezing tolerance genes and regulatory mechanisms. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol. 1999;50:571–99.

31. Watkins CB, Nock JF. Postharvest treatments to decrease soggy breakdown and soft scald disorders of honeycrisp apples. New York Fruit Quart. 2003;11:33–5.

32. Cukuro D, Zeman M, Brizozara S, Cestaro A, Licausi F, Luchinat C, Santucci C, Tenori C, Van Veen H, Zuccolo A, Ruperti B, Tonutti P. Extreme hypoxic conditions induce selective molecular responses and metabolic reset in detached apple fruit. Front Plant Sci. 2016;7:146.

33. Shelp BJ, Bozzo GG, Trobacher CP, Zarei A, Deyman KL, Brikis CJ. Hypothesis/review: contribution of putrescine to 4-amino-butyrate (GABA) production in response to abiotic stress. Plant Sci. 2012;193–194:130–5.

34. Gapper NE, Bai J, Whittaker BD. Inhibition of ethylene-induced α-farnesene synthase gene PfAFS1 expression in ‘O’Anjou’ pears with 1-MCP reduces synthesis and oxidation of α-farnesene and delays development of superficial scald. Postharvest Biol Technol. 2006;41:225–33.

35. Riley RG, Kolattukudy PE. Evidence for covalently attached p-coumaric acid and ferulic acid in cutins and suberins. Plant Physiol. 1975;65:650–4.

36. Vogt T. Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis. Mol Plant. 2010;3:2–20.

37. Cefarelli G, D’Arboresa B, Fiorentino A, Bizzo A, Monaco P. Isolation, characterization, and antioxidant activity of E- and Z-p-coumaryl fatty acid conjugates from cv. Annurca apple fruits. J Ag Food Chem. 2005;53:2652–9.

38. Whittaker BD, Schmidt WF, Kirk MC, Barnes S. Novel fatty acid esters of α synthesis and oxidation of α-farnesene synthase. Physiol Plant. 2002;130:1–25.

39. Lister CE, Lancaster JE, Walker JRL. Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) activity and its relationship to anthocyanin and flavonoid levels in New Zealand-grown apple cultivars. J Amer Soc Hort Sci. 1996;121:281–5.

40. Apel K, Hirt H. Reactive oxygen species: metabolism, oxidative stress, and signal transduction. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol. 2004;55:373–99.
68. Ohyama K, Suzuki M, Kikuchi J, Saito K, Muranaka T. Dual biosynthetic pathways to phytosterol via cycloartenol and lanosterol in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106:725–30.

69. McDonald RE, McCollum TG, Baldwin EA. Heat treatment of mature-green tomatoes: differential effects of ethylene and partial ripening. J Am Soc Hortic Sci. 1998;123:457–62.

70. Wentzinger LF, Bach TJ, Hartmann M-A. Inhibition of squalene synthase and squalene epoxidase in tobacco cells triggers an up-regulation of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase. Plant Physiol. 2002;130:334–46.

71. Wang Z, Guling O, Yao R, Li F, Yeats TH, Rose JKC, Jetter R. Two oxidosqualene cyclases responsible for biosynthesis of tomato fruit cuticular triterpenoids. Plant Physiol. 2011;155:540–52.

72. Aronsson H. The galactolipid monogalactosyldiacylglycerol (MGDG) contributes to photosynthesis-related processes in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Signal Behav. 2008;3:1093–5.

73. Huang AHC. Oleosins and oil bodies in seeds and other organs. Plant Physiol. 1996;110:1055–61.

74. Hanrahan H. Starch Iodine Index: ‘Honeycrisp’. 2012. http://www.treeruineat研究 infectious images/stories/2012_Honeycrisp_starch_scale__COLOR_.pdf. Accessed 12 Aug 2011.

75. Zhong S, Joung J-G, Zhen Y, Chen Y-r, Liu B, Shao Y, Xiang JZ, Zhangjun F, Giovannoni J. High-throughput Illumina strand-specific RNA sequencing library preparation. Cold Spring Harb Prot. 2011;8:940–9.

76. Jung S, Ficklen SP, Lee T, Cheng C-H, Blenda A, Zheng P, Yu J, Bombarely A, Cho I, Ru S, Evans K, Peace C, Abbott AG, Mueller LA, Olmstead MA, Main D. The Genome Database for Rosaceae (GDR): year 10 update. Nucleic Acids Research. 2014;42:D1237–44.

77. Xia J, Mandal R, Sinelnikov I, Broadhurst D, Wishart DS. MetaboAnalyst 2.0—a comprehensive server for metabolomic data analysis. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;1:7.

78. Xia J, Psychogios N, Young N, Wishart DS. MetaboAnalyst: a web server for metabolomic data analysis and interpretation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009;37:W652–60.

79. Smilde AK, Jansen JJ, Hoesloot HC, Lamers R-JAN, van der Greef J, Timmerman ME. ANOVA-simultaneous component analysis (ASCA): a new tool for analyzing designed metabolomics data. Bioinformatics. 2005;21:3043–8.

80. Lohse M, Bolger AM, Nagel A, Fernie AR, Lunn JE, Stitt M, Usadel B. RobiRNA: a user-friendly, integrated software solution for RNA-Seq-based transcriptomics. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40:W622–7.

81. Robinson M, McCarthy D, Smyth G. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Biogeoecosciences. 2010;26:139–40.

82. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc Ser B Methodol. 1995;57:289–300.

83. Maere S, Heymans K, Kuiper M. BiNGO: a Cytoscape plugin to assess overrepresentation of gene ontology categories in biological networks. Bioinformatics Applications Note. 2005;21:3448–9.

84. Marcel Kansy, Christian Wilhelm, Reimund Goss, (2014) Influence of thylakoid membrane lipids on the structure and function of the plant photosystem II core complex. Planta 240 (4):781-796