Interleukin-6 signaling in patients with chronic heart failure treated with cardiac resynchronization therapy
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Abstract

Introduction: Increased expression of interleukin-6 (IL-6) has been described in left ventricular dysfunction in the course of chronic heart failure. Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) is a unique treatment method that may reverse the course of chronic heart failure (CHF) with reduced ejection fraction (HF-REF). We aimed to evaluate the IL-6 system, including soluble IL-6 receptor (sIL-6R) and soluble glycoprotein 130 (sgp130), in HF-REF patients, with particular emphasis on CRT effects.

Material and methods: The study enrolled 88 stable HF-REF patients (63.6 ±11.1 years, 12 females, EF < 35%) and 35 comorbidity-matched controls (63.5 ±9.8 years, 7 females). Forty-five HF-REF patients underwent CRT device implantation and were followed up after 6 months. Serum concentrations of IL-6, sIL-6R and sgp130 were determined using ELISA kits.

Results: The HF-REF patients had higher IL-6 (median: 2.6, IQR: 1.6–3.8 vs. 2.1, IQR: 1.4–3.1 pg/ml, p = 0.03) and lower sIL-6R concentrations compared to controls (median: 51, IQR: 36–64 vs. 53. IQR 44–76 ng/ml, p = 0.008). There was no significant difference between sgp130 concentrations. In the HF-REF group IL-6 correlated negatively with EF (r = –0.5, p = 0.001) and positively with BNP (r = 0.5, p = 0.001) and CRP concentrations (r = 0.4, p = 0.02). Patients who presented a positive response after CRT showed a smaller change of sIL-6R concentration compared to nonresponders (ΔsIL-6R: –0.2 ±7.1 vs. 7 ±14 ng/ml; p = 0.04).

Conclusions: HF-REF patients present higher IL-6 and lower sIL-6R levels. IL-6 concentration reflects their clinical status. CRT-related improvement of patients’ functional status is associated with a smaller change of sIL-6R concentration in time.
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Introduction

Chronic heart failure (CHF) is one of the most common cardiovascular disorders. It is associated with unfavorable outcome and often coexists with other chronic diseases that further affect the prognosis [1, 2]. Among a variety of parameters reflecting disease severity, left ventricular...
ejection fraction (LVEF) remains one of the strongest predictors of long-term prognosis in those patients. In recent years a significant improvement of CHF patients’ therapy has been observed. One of the treatment methods dedicated for patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HF-REF) and ventricular conduction disturbances is cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) [3]. Cardiac resynchronization therapy effectiveness is based on biventricular pacing, which can improve left ventricular systolic function by correcting the electromechanical dysynchrony [4]. This results in an improvement of patients’ functional capacity as well as reduction of rehospitalizations and mortality. However, every third patient does not benefit from the therapy [5, 6]. Therefore, the mechanisms as well as novel diagnostic methods predicting the unsatisfactory CRT response are sought [7, 8].

Inflammatory activation is involved in the pathogenesis of many cardiovascular disorders, including left ventricular dysfunction. Both experimental and clinical evidence suggests that proinflammatory cytokines play an important role in progression and unfavorable outcome of the disease. Interleukin 6 (IL-6) is one of the most important mediators in cardiovascular disorders and is considered a biomarker as well as a potential target for the therapy [9]. However, its role in both cardiovascular physiology and pathology is often unclear and heavily disputed [9, 10]. Interleukin 6 signals through the transmembrane receptor composed of a specific IL-6R and catalytic subunit gp130 (glycoprotein 130), while the soluble receptors IL-6 receptor (sIL-6R) and glycoprotein 130 (sgp130) act as additional IL-6 activity regulators. Soluble IL-6R after formation with available IL-6 of the IL-6/sIL-6R complex is capable of binding to membrane glycoprotein 130 (gp130) and inducing intracellular signaling. This phenomenon, called trans-signaling, enables IL-6 to stimulate the cells that lack an endogenous membrane-bound IL-6 receptor (mIL-6R). The regulating mechanism of production of sgp130 as well as sIL6-R is still unclear. Both of them are produced by proteolytic cleavage and shedding of the membrane-anchored receptors and by alternative mRNA splicing [11]. Given the controversies whether cardiomyocytes have membrane-bound IL-6R, IL-6 activity in the heart is very likely to be based on trans-signaling. The activity of the sIL-6R/IL-6 complex is naturally balanced by the presence of a soluble form of gp130 (sgp130), which is a decoy receptor, functioning as an inhibitor of IL-6/sIL-6R complex activity and is a crucial inhibitory regulator of the IL-6 pathway in human heart [12, 13].

High levels of IL-6 and sIL-6R have been reported in several chronic inflammatory and autoimmune diseases as well as in cardiovascular disorders [14, 15]. Investigators have demonstrated elevated circulating levels of IL-6 and sIL-6R in HF patients, with a progressive increase in relation to neurohormonal activation as well as decreasing functional capacity and left ventricle (LV) function [9, 16]. Moreover, elevated myocardial gene expression of this cytokine was observed in end-stage human HF of both ischemic and non-ischemic etiology [14, 17]. Interestingly, it was suggested that IL-6-related sustainable gp130 signaling may be cardioprotective, whereas excessive activation of gp130 results in maladaptation and HF [18].

In this study we aimed to evaluate and compare three components of IL-6 signaling in CHF patients. Therefore we studied the association between serum IL-6 and its soluble receptors sgp130 and sIL-6R in the context of clinical as well as biochemical evidence of LV dysfunction. Another aspect is to look at CRT as a unique CHF treatment to get a better insight into mechanisms underlying the reversal of the disease course.

**Material and methods**

The study included 88 patients with stable, optimally pharmacologically treated chronic heart failure with ejection fraction lower than 35% assessed in echocardiography (HF-REF group) (Table I).

The CHF etiology included ischemic (51 (58%) patients) and dilated (37 (42%) patients) cardiomyopathy. Forty-five of these patients with QRS complex duration > 120 ms and left bundle branch block (LBBB) (41 patients) or > 150 ms with non-LBBB QRS morphology (4 patients) further underwent the procedure of CRT device implantation regardless of the leading rhythm (sinus or atrial fibrillation). Implanted CRT devices were produced by Medtronic (75% of devices) and Biotronik (25%). More than 97% of biventricular pacing was considered as satisfactory for Medtronic or fulfilling the advanced resynchronization criteria for the Biotronik device [19, 20]. The percentage of biventricular pacing was specified on the basis of simultaneous stimulation of both left and right ventricles detected by the CRT device.

The reference cohort consisted of 35 volunteers (outpatient) matched for age, sex, body weight (Table I) and comorbidities such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, ischemic heart disease or atrial fibrillation. All patients underwent the same diagnostic assessment: a medical history with assessment of NYHA functional class, physical examination, transthoracic echocardiography, cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET), 6-minute walk test (6MWT) and venous blood tests. Forty-five HF-REF patients treated with CRT underwent this same procedure after 6 months. Patients with active or recent infection or moderate to
severe chronic lung disease were excluded from the study. Two-dimensional echocardiographic measurements included analysis with Simpson’s method. Echocardiography was also used to exclude clinically silent but significant heart abnormalities in the control group. A positive response to CRT was defined by improvement in clinical CHF symptoms (lower NYHA class, longer 6MWT distance) and at least a 15% relative reduction in LV end-systolic volume (LVESV) in echocardiography, indicating reverse remodeling, according to previous studies [21, 22].

Cardiopulmonary exercise test was performed using symptoms limited treadmill exercise with the RAMP protocol in both groups. Of the numerous parameters, peak oxygen uptake (peak VO₂ and percentage (%) of predicted), dead space/tidal volume (VD/VT) ratio and the slope of the VE/VO₂ relationship from the initiation to peak exercise, VD/VT – oxygen uptake.

### Table I. Demographic, functional, echocardiographic and laboratory characteristics of chronic heart failure patients and control group

| Parameter                              | HF-REF       | Control      | P-value |
|----------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| Patients, n                            | 88           | 35           |         |
| Age [years]                            | 63.6 ±11.1   | 63.5 ±9.8    | 0.9     |
| Female gender, n (%)                   | 12 (13.6)    | 7 (20)       | 0.4     |
| BMI [kg/m²]                            | 28.7 ±4.4    | 28.3 ±4      | 0.6     |
| Pharmacotherapy:                       |              |              |         |
| Angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor, n (%) | 74 (84)      | 12 (34)      | < 0.001 |
| Angiotensin receptor blocker, n (%)    | 10 (13)      | 6 (17)       | 0.2     |
| β-blocker, n (%)                       | 86 (98)      | 18 (51)      | < 0.001 |
| Aldosterone antagonist, n (%)          | 82 (93)      | 5 (14)       | < 0.001 |
| Loop diuretic, n (%)                   | 75 (85)      | 5 (14)       | < 0.001 |
| Statin, n (%)                          | 71 (81)      | 11 (31)      | < 0.001 |
| Acetylsalicylic acid, n (%)            | 56 (64)      | 10 (29)      | < 0.001 |
| Functional parameters:                 |              |              |         |
| NYHA functional class                  | 2.6 ±0.6     | –            |         |
| 6MWD [m]                               | 377.4 ±120   | 518.3 ±98.7  | < 0.001 |
| Peak VO₂ [ml/kg/min]                   | 17.1 ±6.61   | 23.8 ±5.9    | < 0.001 |
| Peak VO₂ (% of predicted)              | 60.1 ±21.3   | 85.7 ±29.2   | < 0.001 |
| Peak VD/VT                             | 0.2 ±0.07    | 0.17 ±0.055  | 0.04    |
| VE/VCO₂ slope                          | 32.8 ±8.2    | 27.3 ±3.6    | < 0.001 |
| Echocardiography:                      |              |              |         |
| EF [%]                                 | 24.3 ±6.8    | 62 ±6.1      | < 0.001 |
| LVEDV [ml]                             | 224 ±81.7    | 96.8 ±24.3   | < 0.001 |
| LVESV [ml]                             | 173.8 ±72.1  | 37.7 ±12     | < 0.001 |
| Laboratory analyses:                   |              |              |         |
| BNP [pg/ml]                            | 190.9, IQR 88–411 | 25.7, IQR 14.7–48.1 | < 0.001 |
| CRP [mg/dl]                            | 1.9, IQR 1.15–3.1 | 1.5, IQR 0.9–2.4 | 0.2 |
| Erythrocytes [10⁶/µl]                  | 4.6 ±0.5     | 4.9 ±0.5     | 0.003   |
| Hemoglobin [g/dl]                      | 13.9 ±1.3    | 14.4 ±1.8    | 0.005   |
| Creatinine [mg/dl]                     | 1.1 ±0.3     | 0.9 ±0.2     | 0.01    |
| Uric acid [mg/dl]                      | 7.1 ±1.9     | 6 ±1.6       | 0.03    |
| IL-6 signaling:                        |              |              |         |
| Interleukin-6 [pg/ml]                  | 2.6, IQR 1.6–3.8 | 2.1, IQR 1.4–3.1 | 0.03 |
| Soluble IL-6 receptor [ng/ml]          | 51.7 ±18.9   | 63.3 ±27.6   | 0.008   |
| Soluble glycoprotein 130 [ng/ml]       | 310.9 ±68.2  | 306.5 ±59.4  | 0.7     |

6MWD – 6 minute walk test distance, BMI – body mass index, BNP – brain natriuretic peptide, CRP – C-reactive protein, EF – ejection fraction, HF-REF – patients with chronic heart failure with reduced ejection fraction, LVEDV – left ventricle end-diastolic volume, LVESV – left ventricle end-systolic volume, VD/VT – dead space to tidal volume ratio, VE/VCO₂ slope – minute ventilation – carbon dioxide production relationship from the initiation to peak exercise, VO₂ – oxygen uptake.
VCO₂, relationship from the initiation to peak exercise (VE/VCO₂, slope) were used for the analysis.

Fasting peripheral venous blood samples were obtained from HF-REF patients and controls. Serum aliquots of about 1.5 ml were stored at –80°C for future analysis. Concentrations of IL-6, sIL-6R and sgp130 were determined using commercially available ELISA kits (R&D Systems, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The mean minimum detection limit was 0.04 pg/ml for IL-6. High Sensitivity, 6.5 pg/ml for IL-6sR and 0.08 ng/ml for sgp130. ELISA assays recognized both free cytokines and their complexes. Blood samples were also analyzed for B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP), C-reactive protein (CRP), blood count, lipid profile, uric acid and creatinine concentrations in the local laboratory.

The study was approved by the institutional medical ethics committee and complied with the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients were included in the study after signing informed consent for participating in the study, including taking and storage of blood samples.

Statistical analysis

The distribution of all variables was verified with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or median values with interquartile range (IQR) as appropriate. Statistical analysis was performed using Student’s t-test or Mann-Whitney U test. Where appropriate, Pearson’s or Spearman’s correlation coefficients were used to examine the relationship between two continuous variables. P-value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. The statistical software package Statistica 10 (StatSoft, USA) was used for analysis.

Results

The clinical and biochemical characteristics of the HF-REF and control group are shown in Table I. HF-REF patients had higher IL-6 and lower sIL-6R serum concentrations compared to controls, while there was no significant difference between sgp130 concentrations (Table I). Furthermore, the analysis of sIL-6R to sgp130 ratio showed lower values in HF-REF compared to the control group (0.17 ±0.07 vs. 0.22 ±0.11, p = 0.006) (Figure 1).

In the HF-REF group the baseline concentrations of sgp130 correlated with IL-6 (r = 0.4, p = 0.001) and sIL-6R (r = 0.2, p = 0.02). Moreover, IL-6 concentration correlated positively with BNP (r = 0.5, p = 0.008) and CRP concentrations (r = 0.4, p = 0.02). Concentration of sgp130 correlated with BNP (r = 0.4, p < 0.001).

In HF-REF patients IL-6 level correlated negatively with left ventricular EF (r = –0.5, p = 0.001), while sIL-6R correlated negatively with LVEDV (r = –0.3, p = 0.03). Among CPET parameters dead space/tidal volume (VD/VT) ratio and VE/VCO₂ slope correlated with sIL-6R level (r = 0.3, p = 0.03; r = 0.3, p = 0.04, respectively).

After 6 months of CRT significant improvement in NYHA functional class (2.3 ±0.4 vs. 2.8 ±0.4, p < 0.001), 6MWD (417 ±98.9 vs. 367.3 ±108.1 m, p < 0.001) and echocardiographic parameters, e.g. EF (32.5 ±9.5% vs. 23.6 ±6.1%, p < 0.001) and LVEF (141.7 ±83.8 vs. 189.5 ±67.6 ml, p = 0.014), were observed (Table II). During this observational period no significant changes in pharmacotherapy were made.

There were no significant differences in baseline concentrations of IL-6 and the receptors sIL-6R and sgp130 between groups of HF-REF patients who were considered to be responders (n = 30) or nonresponders (n = 15) according to the clinical response to CRT (Table III).

After 6 months, 30 patients who benefited from resynchronization (responders) showed a smaller change of sIL-6R concentration when compared to those with worse outcome (nonresponders) (ΔsIL-6R: –0.2 ±7.1 vs. 7 ±14 ng/ml; p = 0.04) (Figure 2).

Such changes of IL-6 and sgp130 were not observed (Figures 3 and 4).

After 6 months of CRT, IL-6 concentration correlated negatively with 6MWT distance (r = –0.4,
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The analysis of the CRT group divided according to BNP concentration into patients with normal (BNP ≤ 100 pg/ml) and increased concentration revealed no differences at baseline, while after 6 months of CRT patients with elevated BNP had higher concentrations of IL-6 (3.3, IQR: 1.9–4.4 vs. 1.7, IQR: 1.2–2.1 pg/ml, p = 0.02) and sgp130 (328 ±71.7 vs. 278 ±64.6 ng/ml, p = 0.03).

The analysis of percentage of resynchronization revealed no significant differences between responders and nonresponders (99.7 ±0.75% vs. 97.6 ±5.2%, respectively p = 0.116). An unsatisfactory percentage of resynchronization in 6-month observation was observed in 6 (13.3%) patients, all of them nonresponders according to combined clinical and echocardiographic criteria, while in the responders group all patients presented a good percentage of biventricular pacing (p = 0.002). Hence, a good percentage of resynchronization did not ensure a positive response to CRT, but an unsatisfactory percentage of biventricular pacing led to a lack of clinical benefit from CRT.

Discussion

In the present study we analyzed the association of IL-6 and its two soluble receptors sgp130 and IL-6R with the clinical course of patients with chronic heart failure with reduced ejection fraction. The analysis of the HF-REF group revealed higher IL-6 and lower sIL-6R serum levels when compared to controls, while sgp130 concentra-

### Table II. Characteristics of chronic heart failure patients treated with cardiac resynchronization therapy at baseline and 6-month follow-up

| Parameter | CRT group baseline | CRT group 6M | P-value | Nonresponders 6M | Responders 6M | P-value |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------|---------|------------------|---------------|---------|
| Patients, n | 45 45 15 30 | | | |
| Age [years] | 64.6 ±10.4 65 ±10.6 65.9 ±10.2 64.5 ±10.8 | 0.5 | | |
| Female gender, n (%) | 7 (24.4) 7 (24.4) 1 (7.1) 6 (19.3) | 0.2 | | |
| BMI [kg/m²] | 28.5 ±4 28.9 ±4.1 0.06 29.2 ±4.8 28.2 ±3.7 | 0.4 | | |
| Functional parameters: | | | | | |
| NYHA functional class | 2.8 ±0.4 2.3 ±0.4 < 0.001 2.4 ±0.4 2.3 ±0.5 | 0.7 | | |
| 6MWD [m] | 367.3 ±108.1 417.3 ±98.9 < 0.001 415.4 ±71 418.2 ±110.7 | 0.8 | | |
| Peak VO₂ [ml/kg/min] | 16.9 ± 6.9 16.8 ±5.4 0.7 13.9 ±5.1 18.3 ±5 | 0.02 | | |
| Peak VO₂ (% of predicted) | 63.7 ±23.7 66.5 ±20.4 0.6 51.5 ±14.4 74.8 ±18.6 < 0.001 | | | |
| Peak VD/VT | 0.2 ±0.08 0.2 ±0.07 0.1 0.2 ±0.05 0.2 ±0.08 | 0.5 | | |
| VE/VCO₂ slope | 32.5 ±8.2 30.9 ±6.4 0.1 33.7 ±7.3 29.3 ±5.4 | 0.046 | | |
| Echocardiography: | | | | | |
| EF (%) | 23.6 ±6.1 32.5 ±9.5 < 0.001 25.5 ±8 36.1 ±8.1 < 0.001 | | | |
| LVEDV [ml] | 239.2 ±77.1 199.3 ±92.7 0.06 275.6 ±113.6 158.3 ±41.1 < 0.001 | | | |
| LVESV [ml] | 189.5 ±67.6 141.7 ±83.8 0.01 212.1 ±104.2 103.9 ±32.6 < 0.001 | | | |
| Laboratory analyses: | | | | | |
| BNP [pg/ml] | 194, IQR 71–667 166, IQR 55–521 0.09 462, IQR 190–715 82.3, IQR 28–288 | 0.01 | | |
| CRP [mg/dl] | 2.7 ±3.1 1.9 ±1.4 0.1 2.2 ±1.8 1.7 ±1.1 | 0.3 | | |
| Erythrocytes [10⁸/µl] | 4.4 ±0.4 4.47 ±0.59 0.5 4.5 ±0.7 4.4 ±0.5 | 0.8 | | |
| Hemoglobin [g/dl] | 13.3 ±1.3 13.3 ±1.7 0.8 13.4 ±2 13.3 ±1.3 | 0.8 | | |
| Creatinine [mg/dl] | 1.1 ±0.3 1.1 ±0.4 0.7 1.3 ±0.4 1 ±0.3 | 0.01 | | |
| Uric acid [mg/dl] | 6.9 ±2.1 7.1 ±2 0.6 7.8 ±2.2 6.6 ±1.9 | 0.1 | | |

6M – heart failure patients after 6 months of cardiac resynchronization therapy, 6MWD – 6 minute walk test distance, BMI – body mass index, BNP – brain natriuretic peptide, CRP – C-reactive protein, CRT – cardiac resynchronization therapy, EF – ejection fraction, LVEDV – left ventricle end-diastolic volume, LVESV – left ventricle end-systolic volume, VD/VT – dead space to tidal volume ratio, VE/VCO₂ slope – minute ventilation – carbon dioxide production relationship from the initiation to peak exercise, VO₂ – oxygen uptake.
tion did not significantly differ. This observation may generate a hypothesis that sIL-6R decrease is an adaptive anti-inflammatory mechanism in response to chronic IL-6 overexpression in patients with HF-REF. This fact is highlighted by observations on nonresponders, who demonstrated an increase of sIL-6R in the course of CRT.

Another aspect of our study is the link between CRT and changes in the IL-6 signaling. It is known that the increase of heart chambers’ load, myocardial injury and underperfusion of peripheral tissues in CHF lead to the increase of cytokine secretion from the mononuclear cells and the myocardium [23]. Previous reports demonstrated that CRT helps to reduce the overload and restore left ventricular systolic function [24]. Based on that knowledge, we hypothesized a CRT-related decrease in pro-inflammatory activation. In our study after 6 months of resynchronization therapy we did not observe a decrease of IL-6 concentration. This observation is probably due to the chronic activation of the inflammatory cascade in heart failure and coexistence of other active chronic cardiovascular comorbidities of known proinflammatory potential, including hypertension or ischemic heart disease. The clinical improvement observed in responders to CRT in our study may not be sufficient to limit IL-6 secretion, especially in the presence of many comorbidities. Moreover, the relatively short follow-up period may not be sufficient to demonstrate either reversal of the inflammatory activation or statistically significant progression, due to the relatively small number of patients who deteriorated. Similar research was conducted by Brouwers, who also did not report differences in markers of inflammation, including IL-6, between responders and nonresponders, while a positive response to CRT was associated with a decrease in BNP and tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) levels [25]. However, the further analysis of HF-REF patients after 6 months of resynchronization therapy showed that those with elevated BNP had higher concentrations of IL-6 and sgp130. This observation as well as Brouwers’ findings indicate the fundamental role of BNP in the evaluation of HF-REF patients’ status. Another study showed that 48-hour CRT interruption resulted in the worsening of left ventricular systolic function, deterioration of mitral regurgitation and increase in serum concentrations of hs-CRP BNP and IL-6 in the responders group, while in CRT nonresponders no significant changes were observed [26]. Moreover, this study showed that changes in serum concentrations of IL-6 in responders following CRT interruption correlated with changes in thoracic fluid content (TFC) – a marker of pulmonary congestion [26].

It is still unclear if the increase in IL-6 level is primary or secondary to HF pathogenesis and pro-

| Parameter | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CRT baseline (n = 45) | 2.1, IQR 1.5–3.5 | 1.9, IQR 1.3–3.2 | 0.5 | 2.3 ±1.2 | 0.2 | 3.3 ±1.3 |
| CRT 6M (n = 45) | 4.7 ±1.7 | 4.9 ±1.1 | 1.2 | 4.5 ±1.6 | 0.3 | 5.5 ±1.9 |
| Nonresponders baseline (n = 15) | 2.3 | 4.5 | 1.3 | 4.3 | 1.4 | 4.5 |
| 6M | 3.3 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 3.1 |
| Responders baseline (n = 30) | 2 | 2 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 3.4 |
| Responders 6M (n = 30) | 2 | 2 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 3.4 |
| Nonresponders 6M (n = 15) | 2 | 2 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 0.4 | 3.4 |
| Soluble IL-6 receptor [ng/ml] | 299.4 ±62.9 | 310.8 ±74.6 | 0.2 | 315 ±79.3 | 0.2 | 315 ±79.3 |
| Soluble glycoprotein 130 [ng/ml] | 299.4 ±62.9 | 310.8 ±74.6 | 0.2 | 315 ±79.3 | 0.2 | 315 ±79.3 |
| Soluble glycoprotein 130 [ng/ml] | 299.4 ±62.9 | 310.8 ±74.6 | 0.2 | 315 ±79.3 | 0.2 | 315 ±79.3 |

Table III. Serum concentrations of interleukin-6, soluble interleukin-6 receptor and soluble glycoprotein 130 in HF-REF patients before and after 6 months of cardiac resynchronization therapy.
progression, while the major role in the disease seems to be pro-inflammatory. This results from the observation that the anti-inflammatory effects of IL-6 are mainly related to classical membrane-bound signaling, while most of the studies underline the pro-inflammatory effect of IL-6 due to trans-signaling, with particular contribution to the myocardium [27, 28]. The IL-6 trans-signaling mechanism is largely regulated by local changes in sIL-6R and the ratio of sIL-6R and sgp130 [29]. However, local changes may not reflect the overall mechanisms, which are much more complex. Our data revealed...
the serum alterations in sIL-6R to sgp130 ratio in patients with HF-REF compared to controls. We did not, however, find significant changes of sgp130 in time, which may reflect the more stable part of the IL-6 transduction system, associated with insulin resistance and metabolic disturbances. Our patients represented an optimally treated stable CHF cohort with a relatively short observation period, when few changes occurred with respect to metabolic disturbances. The lack of initial differences between responders and non-responders may be due to the fact that in 6 patients the worse clinical outcome was due to an unsatisfactory percentage of biventricular pacing.

Previous reports on cardiovascular disorders have demonstrated that sIL-6R concentration was elevated in such common conditions as myocardial ischemia and metabolic syndrome and was related to the inflammatory activation of myocardium [30, 31]. In our study basal sIL-6R concentrations correlated with unfavorable parameters of ventilatory inefficiency measured in CPET (VE/VO2 slope). Taken together, these findings highlight that sIL-6R may serve as a switch for IL-6 activation of a maladaptive pathway resulting in disease progression and further HF development. However, there is a lack of data relating to the IL-6 trans-signaling changes in the context of the CRT response.

In our study, patients who improved after 6 months of CRT had stable sIL-6R levels, while non-responders showed a trend to increased values. Sgp130 concentrations were comparable between the HF-REF group and controls and remained stable after 6 months of resynchronization therapy. Sgp130 is a product of gp130 transformation, whose expression is relatively stable and less regulated. Increased sgp130 concentration has been associated with many other CV diseases that may cause HF, e.g. ischemic heart disease, metabolic syndrome and hypertension [32, 33]. A large-scale investigation of the associations of sgp130 and IL-6 with outcomes in ischemic HF (CORONA trial) showed sgp130 to be a strong predictor for overall mortality and CV death, including death from worsening of HF, while IL-6 did not show an association with any of these [34]. However, in comparison to our study CORONA was a much larger and hence much more heterogeneous population, where the association of sgp130 with metabolic response and insulin sensitivity may play a pathological role [35].

Based on previous reports and our results, we presume trans-signaling to be the main IL-6 regulatory mechanism that changes in the course of the resynchronization therapy, and this mechanism in large part is based on changes of sIL-6R concentration. These findings indicate that IL-6-system changes in the course of CHF as well as in response to CRT include alterations of both cytokine and soluble receptor levels. Increased sIL-6R concentration seems to be associated with worse clinical status, so its diminished concentration might be considered an adaptive regulatory mechanism decreasing unfavorable IL-6 trans-signaling. This hypothesis based on our results requires further studies. A growing body of evidence suggests that the distinction between the physiological and pathophysiological effects of IL-6 signaling is dependent on the mode of action (trans-signaling vs. canonical pathway).

In conclusion, patients with optimally treated HF-REF present higher IL-6 and lower sIL-6R serum levels than respective controls. CRT-related improvement of the patient’s functional status is associated with a smaller change of sIL-6R concentration compared to those who do not benefit from CRT. Thus an unsatisfactory response to CRT is possibly associated with higher IL-6 activity via trans-signaling. The exact role of the IL-6 pathway in the CRT response remains to be established.
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