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Abstract: A phosphinine-borane adduct of a Me₆Si-functionalized phosphinine and the Lewis acid B(C₆F₅)₃ has been synthesized and characterized crystallographically for the first time. The reaction strongly depends on the nature of the substituents in the α-position of the phosphorus heterocycle. In contrast, the reaction of B₃H₆ with various substituted phosphinines leads to an equilibrium between the starting materials and the phosphinine-borane adducts that is determined by the Lewis basicity of the phosphinine. The novel phosphinine borane adduct (6-B(C₆F₅)₃) shows rapid and facile insertion and [4 + 2] cycloaddition reactivity towards phenylacetylene. A hitherto unknown dihydro-1-phosphabarrelene is formed with styrene. The reaction with an ester provides a new, facile and selective route to 1-R-phosphininium salts. These salts then undergo a [4 + 2] cycloaddition in the presence of Me₆Si-C=CH₂ and styrene to cleanly form unprecedented derivatives of 1-R-phosphabarrelenium salts.

Introduction

Tertiary phosphines (PR₃) readily react with various electrophilic species. For instance, they can be protonated and also form phosphine-borane adducts upon reaction with boranes (BR₃).[1] Boranes are particularly important as protecting groups for phosphines, especially for the synthesis of P-stereogenic compounds, as they can easily be removed afterwards by reaction with amines or more basic phosphines.[2] In recent years, the interaction of phosphines with boranes has been widely explored in the context of “frustrated Lewis pairs” (FLPs).[3] The reactivity of phosphines towards boranes is typically related to the pronounced basicity and nucleophilicity of phosphines, but steric factors on both the donor and the acceptor site also play a significant role.[4] In contrast to classical phosphines, Λ₃,₃-phosphinines (phosphabenzenes) are extremely weak bases and very poor nucleophiles. This can be attributed to the rather high 3 s character of the phosphorus lone pair in C₆H₃P, which is much higher than the value found for the nitrogen lone pair in pyridine C₅H₅N (64 % 3 s vs. 29 % 2 s character).[5] Nevertheless, phosphines are very good π-acceptors due to an energetically low-lying LUMO.[6] Thus, they readily form coordination compounds particularly with late transition metals in low oxidation states.[7] On the other hand, little is known about the reactivity of phosphinines towards main group-based Lewis acids. Reed and co-worker succeeded in protonating the phosphorus atom in 2,4,6-tris-λ₅-C₆-B₈H₁₁⁺ to afford the salt [H(CH₂Bu)₅]¹⁺[CHB₁₁Me₆Cl₆]⁻ (1-H, Figure 1).[8]

Recently we have shown direct methylation of phosphinine 2 by using the strong alkylating reagent [(CH₂Cl)₂][Al(OEt)₄] at 25 °C. According to DFT calculations by Erhart and Frenking, the bond dissociation energy of a phosphinine–borane adduct is about 70 % that of a pyridine-borane adduct (25.8 vs. 35.6 kcal mol⁻¹).[10] Based on this calculated value, the formation of phosphinine-borane adducts should consequently be possible. As a first indication, Nöth and Deberitz observed a decrease in the vapor pressure upon adding B₃H₆ to 2,4,6-triphenylphosphinine (3) at low temperatures.[11] This indicated the formation of the adduct 3-B₃H₆ (Figure 1). At room temperature, however, the equilibrium shifted again to the starting materials. Even though this observation was mentioned in a
footnote almost 50 years ago, no additional reports on adduct formations of phosphinines with boranes, or other main group compounds have been published since, even though borane adducts with five-membered aromatic phosphorus heterocycles are known. In this respect, we recently found that the basicity and nucleophilicity of phosphinines can be increased significantly by introducing σ-donating Me3Si substituents to the aromatic phosphorus heterocycle. This allowed for the synthesis and characterization of the first phosphinine selenides (4 = Se, 5 = Se). These results prompted us to investigate the reaction between phosphinines and boranes in more detail and in this work we report the first preparation, crystallographic characterization and reactivity of a phosphinine-borane adduct.

Results and Discussion

As mentioned above, the presumed existence of a phosphinine-borane adduct (3-BH3) is based solely on the observed reduction of the vapor pressure when adding B2H6 to 2,4,6-triphenylphosphinine 3 (Figure 1). In order to obtain more conclusive data and to verify the assumption, we first decided to repeat this experiment. Diborane was condensed into a solution of 3 in dichloromethane at T = −70 °C. In order to shift the equilibrium more to the side of the product, an excess of diborane was used and the solution was investigated by means of low-temperature NMR spectroscopy. At T = −70 °C, the 31P {1H} NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture shows a second, yet rather small, signal at δ = 163.1 ppm (cf. δ = 179.0 ppm for 3). The equilibrium of the reaction is clearly located on the side of the starting materials, even though a large excess of diborane was used. This is also confirmed by the 11B NMR spectrum of the solution. Even if the experiment corroborates the evidence for the existence of a phosphinine-borane adduct, any subsequent isolation and characterization of the phosphinine–borane adduct remained unsuccessful due to its low concentration (ratio 3/3-BH3 ≈ 20 : 1). We anticipated that the higher basicity and nucleophilicity of Me3Si-substituted phosphinines could shift the equilibrium in favor of the sought-after products. Therefore, phosphinines 4 and 5 were reacted with a slight excess of diborane (Scheme 1). Analogous to the reaction of 3 with B2H6, the reaction mixture was kept at temperatures below T = −70 °C and investigated by means of low-temperature NMR spectroscopy. For both reactions a new, broad signal can be observed in the 31P{1H} NMR spectra, which is slightly shifted to higher field when compared to the starting material (4: δ = 230.7 ppm; 4-BH3: δ = 209.4 ppm; 5: δ = 256.4 ppm; 5-BH3: δ = 226.0 ppm).

Much to our delight, phosphinine 5 shows the highest conversion (5-BH3/5 = 98:2), while phosphinine 4 forms considerably less of the phosphinine–borane adduct (4-BH3/4 = 88:12, Figure 2a).

This is perfectly in line with our assumption that the more basic phosphinine 5 should shift the equilibrium more to the

![Figure 1. Reactivity of phosphinines towards Lewis acids and selenium, and a brief summary of this work.](image1)

![Scheme 1. Reaction of phosphinines 4 and 5 with diborane.](image2)

![Figure 2. a) 31P{1H} NMR spectrum of the reaction of 4 with B2H6. b) Enlargement of the signal of 4-BH3. c) 11B NMR spectrum of 4-BH3.](image3)
right side of the reaction equation (see above and Scheme 1). We noticed, however, that increasing the temperature to room temperature only had a marginal influence on the position of the equilibrium. In the case of the reaction of 4 with BH₃, a splitting of the new signal in the 31P{¹H} NMR spectrum into a doublet (J_P,H = 18 Hz) can be observed (Figure 2b). As ¹³B has a nuclear spin of 3/2, splitting of the 3¹P{¹H} NMR signal into a quartet is to be expected. Our observation might thus be due to a significant broadening of these signals. In the ¹¹B NMR spectra of the reaction mixtures, a new signal at δ = −34.6 (5-BH₃) or −35.3 ppm (4-BH₃) can be observed in addition to the signal for excess diborane. The chemical shifts are in good agreement with values typically found for phosphine-borane adducts. Moreover, the ¹³B signal for 4-BH₃ shows a quartet, caused by the coupling to the three ¹H atoms. This signal is additionally split into a doublet by coupling to the ³¹P atom with J_P,B = 18 Hz. The same coupling constant is also found in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (Figure 2c). This confirms the existence of a phosphinine–borane adduct. The ¹H NMR spectra of the reaction mixtures show that the aromatic protons are additionally split into a doublet by coupling to the nuclear spin of ²¹N. The signals of the borane protons can be found at δ = 1.5 ppm.

As BH₃ is highly reactive, we also attempted to use the commercially available BH₃·SMe₂ adduct as a borane source for the reaction with 4. In this case, we could also observe the formation of 4-BH₃, however, the equilibrium of the reaction was clearly located on the side of the starting material, even when using a large excess of BH₃·SMe₂. This can most likely be attributed to a competition between dimethylsulfide and the phosphinine to form an adduct with the Lewis acidic borane. Once again, this clearly shows the low basicity and nucleophilicity of phosphinines compared to classical phosphines.

During the course of our NMR investigations, we noticed that a subsequent reaction took place with the initially formed phosphinine–borane adducts 4-BH₃ and 5-BH₃, even at T = −70 °C, which made crystallization and further characterization of 4-BH₃ and 5-BH₃ impossible. While warming the NMR samples up to room temperature, a colorless, viscous oil formed inside the NMR tube and within a few weeks, a slightly yellow solid formed. Additionally, when the adducts were stored at low temperatures, a colorless solid formed over time, which turned into a pale yellow solid as the final product after a few months. In the ¹¹B NMR spectrum only a large number of very broad signals can be detected while the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra showed mainly a very broad signal at δ = 21.4 ppm. Boranes are frequently used in the hydroboration of double bonds and the hydroboration of phospha-alkenes has previously been reported. It is therefore reasonable to assume that the subsequent hydroboration of the P=C double bond in 4-BH₃ and 5-BH₃ slowly takes place, while insoluble polymers are finally formed (Scheme 2). According to quantum chemical calculations by Ermolaeva and Ionkin as well as experimental work by Yoshifuji et al. on the reaction of phospha-alkenes with BH₃, we suggest that the regioisomer 5(H)-BH₃, shown in Scheme 2, is initially formed. The chemical shift of δ = −21.4 ppm, observed during the further conversion of 5-BH₃, is in line with the chemical shift of δ = −6.4 ppm reported by Yoshifuji et al. for the product of the hydroboration of a phospha-alkene, which subsequently dimerized. Moreover, Arbuzov and co-workers reported polymerization reactions with hydroborated phospha-alkenes. This finding could explain the formation of the insoluble solid in the reaction mixtures of 4-BH₃ and 5-BH₃, which could not be further characterized thus far.

In order to avoid hydroboration of the P=C double bond, we decided to use the strong Lewis acid B(C₆F₅)₃ in combination with phosphinines 3−5 in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature. However, no reaction could be observed as judged by ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 3a).

Appropriately, formation of the adduct between phosphinines 3−5 and the sterically demanding B(C₆F₅)₃ is hindered by the bulky phenyl and Me₃Si substituents at the α-position of the phosphinine. We therefore considered the reaction of phosphinine 6, which contains Me₃Si substituents at the 3,5-positions of the ring, with B(C₆F₅)₃ in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature. Compound 6 was recently reported by us and its gas phase basicity was calculated to be higher than that of unsubstituted phosphinine C₅H₅P, but lower than for 5, which contains Me₃Si groups at the 2,6-positions.

Nevertheless, we were delighted to detect only one signal in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture, which is shifted upfield with respect to the starting material (δ = 176.6 vs. 206.4 ppm). The ¹H, ¹³P and ¹¹B NMR spectra also indicate the successful and quantitative conversion of 6 to the phosphinine–borane adduct 6-B(C₆F₅)₃ (Scheme 3b).

![Scheme 2. Potential hydroboration of the P=C double bond in 5-BH₃ and subsequent polymerization.](image-url)
We considered that the high Lewis acidity of B(C₆F₅)₃ might also allow the formation of adducts with less basic phosphines, such as 3,5-diphenylphosphinine (7). Gratifyingly, the quantitative formation of 7-B(C₆F₅)₃ was also observed upon reaction of 7 with B(C₆F₅)₃ in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature. (²¹P (¹H) NMR: δ = 182.7 ppm). As already anticipated, these results clearly show that steric factors play a significant role in the interaction between phosphinines and boranes with strong Lewis acidic properties.

Crystals of 6-B(C₆F₅)₃, suitable for X-ray diffraction, were obtained by slow evaporation of a solution of the phosphinine–borane adduct in a mixture of dichloromethane and n-pentane and the molecular structure of the first crystallographically characterized phosphinine-borane adduct, along with selected bond lengths, angles and distances are depicted in Figure 3.

The P(1)–B(1) distance of 2.042 Å is shorter than in the corresponding triphenylphosphine adduct Ph₃P–B(C₆F₅)₃ (2.181 Å).[19] Figure 3 clearly shows that sterically demanding substituents in the α-position of the phosphorus heterocycle, such as Me₂Si or Ph groups, would indeed prevent any adduct formation. Interestingly, a closer look at the solid-state structure of 6-B(C₆F₅)₃ reveals that F(5) is located directly above the phosphorus atom. One of the electron lone pair of F(5) points directly towards the LUMO of the phosphinine, while the distance between F(5) and P(1) is 2.868 Å, which is shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii (3.34 Å).[20] Additionally, the boron-phosphorus bond is slightly tilted out of the plane of the phosphinine ring (Figure 3b). These observations could indicate a significant fluorine–phosphorus interaction, which might stabilize the adduct further. However, the NMR spectra do not show such an interaction at room temperature nor at T = −70 °C, as all ortho-fluorine atoms remain magnetically equivalent.

In order to gain more insight into a possible interaction between P(1) and F(5), the electron density distributions were calculated for 6-B(C₆F₅)₃, and the results are depicted in Figure 4 as Laplacian plots through the atoms P(1), B(1) and F(5). This indicates that a bond-critical point (red arrow) can be detected between P(1) and F(5), however, the value of 0.098 e Å⁻³ is very low. In comparison, the value for the bond critical point between P(1) and B(1) is 0.678 e Å⁻³. We therefore suggest that the presence of a bond-critical point between P(1) and F(5) should not be over interpreted.

Instead, we propose on the basis of the calculations and the charges of the atoms that the fluorine–phosphorus interaction can rather be attributed as an electrostatic effect. This is best visualized in the electrostatic potential plot of 6-B(C₆F₅)₃, in which the green, negatively polarized fluorine atom (F5) is directly positioned above the red, positively polarized phosphorus atom (Figure 5, left). For comparison, the electrostatic potential plot of the pyridine–B(C₆F₅)₃ adduct was also calculated (Figure 5 right). In this case, a higher electron density can be observed at the heteroatom of the ring due to the higher electronegativity of nitrogen, compared to phosphorus. Consequently, the fluorine atom is positioned above the C–N bond.
bond. The $\text{B(CF}_3)_3$ moiety shows the expected propeller-like geometry with no unusually short N–F contacts.

As expected, we found that the phosphorus-boron interaction in such Lewis pairs is rather weak, due to the low basicity and nucleophilicity of the aromatic phosphorus heterocycle (see above). The calculated bond dissociation enthalpy for the reaction $\text{LB} + \text{B(CF}_3)_3 \rightarrow \text{LB} - \text{B(CF}_3)_3$ (LB = Lewis base) is $\Delta H^\circ = -54.9 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ for 6-B(CF$_3$)$_3$, which is almost half the value obtained for the triphenylphosphine adduct $\text{Ph}_3\text{P} - \text{B(CF}_3)_3$ ($\Delta H^\circ = -110.2 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$).

Based on our observations and due to the strong polarization of the phosphorus heterocycle caused by the interaction with the Lewis acid, we anticipated that 6-B(CF$_3$)$_3$ might exhibit a pronounced reactivity particularly towards unsaturated substrates. Previous studies have shown that activated alkynes can react with certain phosphinines, phosphinine-metal complexes, 1-methylphosphininium salts, phosphinine-sulfides and phosphinine-selenides to form 1-phosphabarrelene derivatives.

18.3 ppm in the $\delta$-selective reactivity at room temperature to a new compound 9. Interestingly, a transient intermediate (8) can be observed during the course of the reaction, which fully converts to 9 when access phenylacetylene is applied. In the 1:1 reaction, the ratio between the starting material 6-B(CF$_3$)$_3$ and the product reported in literature before. Nevertheless, the formation of phosphonium alkynyl borate salts ($\text{R}_3\text{PH}^+ \text{PhC} = \text{C} - \text{B(CF}_3)_3$) is also observed in this case, resulting from deprotonation of the alkyne by the basic phosphine. By performing the reaction of 6-B(CF$_3$)$_3$ with PhC=CH in a 1:1 ratio, additional information on the mechanism of the product formation could be obtained. Figure 7 shows the $^{31}\text{P}^\text{[H]}$ NMR spectra of the reaction mixture at $t = 10$ and 80 min after addition of the alkyne to the phosphinine–borane adduct.

Figure 7. $^{31}\text{P}^\text{[H]}$ NMR spectra for the reaction of 6-B(CF$_3$)$_3$ with PhC=CH at a 1:1 ratio.
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9 remains constant after \( t = 80 \text{ min} \). The chemical shift of 8 at \( \delta = 140.0 \text{ ppm} \) in the \( ^{31}\text{P}'{\text{H}} \) NMR spectrum is characteristic for a phosphininium salt (see below). We therefore anticipate that intermediate 8 is a zwitterionic alkenyl-phosphininium borate salt, which is first generated by insertion of PhC=CH into the dative P—B bond (Scheme 5). Intermediate 8 further reacts rapidly in a \([4+2]\) cycloaddition reaction with PhC=CH to form the final product 9 (Scheme 5). As a matter of fact, 1-R-phosphininium salts readily react with alkynes to 1-R-phosphabarrelene salts even at low temperature.\(^{22,25}\) Cycloaddition reactions at highly polarized Te/B-heterocycles have also been observed by Stephan et al.\(^{26}\) By means of \( ^{31}\text{P} \) NMR spectroscopy we can further rule out that 8 is the 1-H-phosphininium salt, which might be generated by deprotonation of phenylacetylene.

As cycloaddition reactions of C—C double bonds to six-membered aromatic phosphorus heterocycles are unprecedented in the literature, we subsequently treated 6-B(C\(_5\)F\(_3\)) with styrene (Scheme 6).

Again, we could observe the rapid, quantitative and selective conversion of 6-B(C\(_5\)F\(_3\)) to a new product, which shows a single resonance at \( \delta = -38.0 \text{ ppm} \) in the \( ^{31}\text{P}'{\text{H}} \) NMR spectrum. Crystals of the product, suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow evaporation of the solvent. The result of the X-ray crystal structure analysis is depicted in Figure 8 along with selected bond lengths and angles and unambiguously confirms that a racemic mixture of the cycloaddition product 10-B(C\(_5\)F\(_3\)) had been formed. This compound represents the first example of a dihydro-1-phosphabarrelene derivative. It is interesting to note that only one regiosomer is formed in the conversion of 6-B(C\(_5\)F\(_3\)) with styrene. In 10-B(C\(_5\)F\(_3\)) the phenyl group of the former styrene substrate is pointing away from the B(C\(_5\)F\(_3\)) moiety, most likely due to steric effects during the \([4+2]\) cycloaddition step, as this reaction is orbital controlled.\(^{22,23}\)

Motivated by these initial results, we attempted to apply 6-B(C\(_5\)F\(_3\)) in typical frustrated Lewis-pair reactions.\(^{27}\) As styrene derivatives react with diaryl-substituted esters in the presence of the FLP system RI/P/B(C\(_5\)F\(_3\)) to form substituted olefins, we decided to treat 6-B(C\(_5\)F\(_3\)) first with ester 11 (Scheme 7).\(^{28}\)

Much to our surprise, the reaction of 6-B(C\(_5\)F\(_3\)) with 11 in CDCl\(_3\) proceeds instantaneously. The NMR spectroscopic analysis of the reaction mixture reveals again the selective and quantitative formation of a single, new species. This compound shows a resonance at \( \delta = 156.8 \text{ ppm} \) in the \( ^{27}\text{P}'{\text{H}} \) NMR spectrum, which is corroborated in the corresponding \( ^{1}\text{H} \) NMR spectrum with a coupling constant of \( ^{3}\text{P}'{\text{H}} = 27.0 \text{ Hz} \) to the alkyl CH. This is in line with the presence of the 1-R-phosphorininium salt 12, as the \( ^{31}\text{P}'{\text{H}} \) NMR signal of the related 1-methyl-phosphorininium cation can be observed at \( \delta = 160.2 \text{ ppm} \).\(^{19,21}\) Most intriguingly,
phosphininum salts can currently only be prepared in a multistep synthesis, or alternatively, with the very strong methylation reagent \((\text{CH}_3)_2\text{Cl}\) due to the low nucleophilicity of the phosphorus atom (see above, Figure 1).\(^9,21,29\) Our first results, depicted in Scheme 8, thus impressively show that various novel phosphininium salts might be easily formed by making use of phosphinine–borane adducts in combination with aromatic alkyl esters. To this end, 1-R-phosphininium salts can be generated and further explored, which are otherwise synthetically inaccessible. However, at this point, we do not have any insight into the reaction mechanism of this surprisingly fast quaternization reaction, which can occur either through a single-electron (radical) or a two-electron transfer mechanism during the C–O bond scission reaction.\(^28\)

Subsequently, we added styrene to 11 and observed again the spontaneous formation of a single species, which shows a signal at \(\delta = -6.4\) ppm in the \(^31\)P{\(^1\)H} NMR spectrum. The same immediate reactions occurs, when 6 was mixed with B(C\(_6\)F\(_5\))\(_3\) and styrene in an equimolar ratio with CHCl\(_3\) as solvent. However, we could not detect any signals of the substituted olefin 13 by means of \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 8).

Crystals, suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained of the reaction product 14 by slow evaporation of the solvent. The result of the X-ray crystal structure analysis is depicted in Figure 8 along with selected bond lengths and angles.

Interestingly, crystallographic characterization of 14 (Figure 9) reveals the first example of a dihydro-1-R-phosphabarrelenium salt. The compound forms by a fast and regioselective \([4+2]\) cycloaddition of styrene to the aromatic 1-R-phosphinine heterocycle. It should be mentioned that the reaction of a related 1-methyl-1-phospha-7-bora-norbornadiene with phenylacetylene has recently been described by us.\(^30\)

Finally, we also converted rapidly, quantitatively and selectively a mixture of 6, ester 11 and Me\(_3\)Si\(\equiv\)CH towards the corresponding 1-R-phosphabarrelenium salt 15 (Scheme 8), which we could also characterize crystallographically (Figure 10).

Scheme 8. Reaction of 6 with B(C\(_6\)F\(_5\))\(_3\), ester 11, styrene and TMS-acetylene.

**Figure 9.** Molecular structure of 14 in the crystal. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms and co-crystallized THF are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å]: P(1)–C(1): 1.783(2); P(1)–C(8): 1.8199(19); P(1)–C(5): 1.779(2); P(1)–C(6): 1.821(2); C(1)–C(2): 1.347(3); C(2)–C(3): 1.525(3); C(3)–C(4): 1.533(3); C(4)–C(5): 1.341(3); B(1)–O(1): 1.514(2).

**Figure 10.** Molecular structure of 15 in the crystal. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: P(1)–C(1): 1.7951(19); P(1)–C(5): 1.7873(19); P(1)–C(6): 1.792(2); C(1)–C(2): 1.342(3); C(2)–C(3): 1.558(3); C(4)–C(5): 1.339(3); C(6)–C(7): 1.338(3); B(1)–O(1): 1.510(2); C(5)–P(1)–C(6): 100.35(9); C(5)–P(1)–C(1): 99.68(9); C(1)–P(1)–C(6): 101.00(9).
Conclusion

In conclusion, we could demonstrate that the equilibrium of the reaction between 2,4,6-triphenylphosphinine and B₃H₆ is almost exclusively located on the side of the starting materials, even at low temperature. In contrast, more basic Me₃Si-substituted phosphinines lead to a shift of the equilibrium towards the products, although complete conversion to phosphinine–borane adducts is still not achieved. This demonstrates that Me₃Si-substituted phosphinines are still rather weak Lewis bases. Moreover, hydride of the P=Si double bond is observed with B₃H₆, which presumably leads to the formation of polymeric species. The use of the much stronger, yet more sterically demanding, Lewis acid B(C₆F₅)₃ still not achieved. This demonstrates that phosphinines, in the ortho position of the phosphinine prevent any reaction with B(C₆F₅)₃ whereas 3,5-bis(trimethylsilyl)phosphinine as well as 3,5-diphenylphosphinine undergo complete conversion to the phosphinine–borane adduct. In the case of 3,5-bis(trimethylsilyl)phosphinine, the product could be characterized crystallographically. The solid-state structure reveals an interaction between the fluorine atoms of the Lewis acid; this is supported by theoretical calculations. We could further highlight that novel phosphinine–borane adduct shows distinct insertion and subsequent [4+2] cycloaddition reaction towards phenylacetylene. This results in a zwitterionic diborane adduct. In the presence of an ester, the phosphinine undergo complete conversion to the phosphinine–borane adduct. In the case of 3,5-bis(trimethylsilyl)phosphinine, the diborane adduct is formed. In the presence of compound 9, Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.
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Roll out the barrelene: The synthesis and crystallographic characterization of a phosphinine–borane adduct has been achieved for the first time. The novel Lewis adduct shows rapid insertion and [4 + 2] cycloaddition reactivity towards phenylacetylene, whereas a hitherto unknown dihydro-1-phosphabarrelene is formed with styrene. Reaction with an ester provides a new, facile and selective route to 1-\(R\)-phosphininium salts. These subsequently undergo a [4 + 2] cycloaddition in the presence of alkenes and alkynes to cleanly form unprecedented derivatives of 1-\(R\)-phosphabarrelenium salts.