Sports Psychiatric Examination in Competitive Sports

Zusammenfassung

Die Sportmedizinische Untersuchung (SMU) wird in vielen Ländern vor bzw. während der Ausübung von Leistungssport empfohlen. Die dezentralisierte Exploration psychischer Beschwerden und Erkrankungen im Sinne eines psychiatrischen Basis-Assessment innerhalb der SMU ist noch nicht die Regel. Die Implementierung eines Psychiatrischen Basis-Assessments (PBA) in die SMU ist vorgeschlagen und inhaltlich dargestellt. Auffällige Befunde in dem PBA, Krisen, Notfälle sowie auffällige Änderungen des Verhaltens sollten zur weiteren psychiatrischen Evaluation (SPE) durch dafür qualifizierte Kinder-, Jugend- und Erwachsenenpsychiater und -psychotherapeuten führen. Ziel ist es, anhand diagnostischer und prozeduraler Standards, Risiken für die psychische Gesundheit, Belastungen und bereits manifeste Erkrankungen rechtzeitig zu erkennen und einer qualifizierten, fachärztlichen bzw. fachpsychologischen Behandlung zuzuführen. Die diagnostischen Standards sollen die Forschung begünstigen und der Nutzen durch Studien überprüft werden.
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Introduction

Mental illness occurs with similar frequency in competitive sports as in the general population (55). Some sports are associated with an increased, sport-specific risk for the development of mental illness. Mental stress and illness increase the risk for injury, and vice versa (55). Therefore, a diagnostic methodology is needed to detect psychiatric complaints as early as possible in competitive athletes. The diagnostics of psychiatric complaints and illnesses is one of the central tasks of medical or psychiatric-psychotherapeutic activities and forms the foundation of medical and psychotherapeutic action (10, 49).

While sports medicine can look back on a long tradition and anchoring in competitive sports, sports psychiatry is not yet really established in competitive sports (15). This is reflected on the one hand by the still insufficient sports psychiatric treatment possibilities, and on the other hand by the still insufficient evaluation of psychiatric complaints and illnesses in the Pre-Participation Examination (PPE; in Germany SMU; in Switzerland: SPU). Research that promotes a differentiated understanding of mental illness in competitive sport and possible sport-specific phenotypes also needs further development (4). There is a lack of data on the utility of psychiatric assessment within or complementary to PPE.

The requirements for reliable diagnostics in terms of specificity and sensitivity as well as with regard to time and money economy are high; in addition, overdiagnosis must be avoided and the rate of false positive results must be kept low (13, 51). Acceptance on the part of the physicians/psychiatrists, performing the test and the athletes concerned must also be taken into account. Sports psychiatrists and
psychotherapists pursue the goal of identifying and adequately treating all athletes in need of assessment and treatment. On the other hand, the motivation of athletes to undergo extensive and time-consuming screening for possible psychiatric complaints and illnesses every year is considered to be rather low. Thus, there is a risk of underdiagnosis.

It is of great importance that mental stress and illnesses in the field of competitive sports receive attention, are adequately included in standard diagnostics, and thus also become less taboo and less stigmatized. At the same time, however, care must be taken to ensure that neither pathologization nor psychiatrization occurs, for example, non-treatment-relevant competitive anxiety. Therefore, there is a need not only for reliable diagnostic tools, but also for action guidelines and decision heuristics that steer the diagnostic process and support the diagnosis and the subsequent intervention planning.

To date, there are no internationally established, uniform diagnostic standards within sports medicine with regard to mental illnesses. Specific diagnostics, together with a well-founded etiological understanding, is the only way to set the course for goal-oriented therapeutic measures that are intended to promote, maintain and restore the performance and health of athletes. The procedure should be designed age-appropriately so that it can be applied to children, adolescents and adults in competitive sports. The PPE corresponds to such diagnostics. The focus of PPE is on physical complaints, the prevention of serious diseases and injuries, for example sudden cardiac death.

A position paper by leading European professional societies emphasized the predominant benefit of cardiac screening for the diagnosis of cardiac disease in the PPE, provided specific guidance on this, and established criteria for interpretation of findings (50). PPE also serves to assess risk and also has a preventive character (41). In addition to physical complaints, which should ideally be detected and treated at an early stage through regular examinations before health problems or performance impairments develop, psychological stress factors, including diagnosable mental illnesses, are also widespread in competitive sports and can significantly limit performance (4, 67).

Athletes are characterized by versatile resources and resilience factors (e.g., high motivation, self-efficacy), but also show an increased risk with regard to the development of some mental illnesses, e.g., due to injuries, failure, and very high demands on physical and mental performance (27). For example, there is a particular risk of developing an eating disorder in the context of weight-sensitive sports (11). Mental health must be differentiated from mental strength (30). Athletes can develop psychiatric complaints and be mentally strong at the same time, which is why mental health complaints can remain undetected. Therefore, the implementation of a diagnostic standard for mental complaints is necessary. This also applies to athletes with physical disabilities, whose special needs must be taken into account (68).

### Table 1

| Factor                                      | DGSP | ÖGSMP | SEMS | USA | DBS | IOC | FIFA | UEFA |
|---------------------------------------------|------|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| General life satisfaction                   |      | (L-1) |      |     |     |     |      |      |
| General wellbeing                           |      | WHO-5 |      |     |     |     |      |      |
| Psychiatric pre-diagnosis                   | x    | x     | x    |     |     |     |      |      |
| General mental complaints                   |      | x     | x    |     |     |     |     |      |
| Depression                                  |      | WHO-5 |      |     |     |     |      |      |
| Anxiety                                     |      | WHO-5 |      |     |     |     |      |      |
| Sleep problems                              | x    | WHO-5 |      |     |     |     |      |      |
| Concentration                               |      |       |      |     |     |     |      |      |
| Recreation                                  | x    |       |      |     |     |     |      |      |
| Performance                                 |      | x     | x    |     |     |     |      |      |
| Satisfaction with physique                  |      |       |      |     |     |     |      |      |
| Social integration                          |      |       |      |     |     |     |      |      |
| Experience of harassment, abuse            | x    |       | x    |     |     |     |      |      |
| Pain                                        |      |       | x    |     |     |     | x    | x    |
| Eating behavior                             |      | x     | x    |     |     |     |     |     |
| Weight, size                                | x    |       | x    |     |     |     |     |     |
| Nicotine                                    | x    |       | x    |     |     |     |     |     |
| Alcohol                                     | x    | x     | x    |     |     |     |     |     |
| Drugs                                       | x    |       | x    |     |     |     |     |     |
| Doping substances                           | x    | x     | x    |     |     |     |     |     |
| Psychosocial risk factors                   | x    |       |      |     |     |     |      |      |
| TUE                                         |      | x     |     |     |     |     |     |      |

Content of the national Pre-Participation Examinations and the recommendations of several Sport Associations. DBS=German Para-Sport Association e.V., DGSP=German Society for Sport Medicine and Prevention, FIFA=World Soccer Association, IOC=International Olympic Committee, ÖGSMP=Austrian Society for Sport Medicine and Prevention, SEMS=Sport & Exercise Medicine Switzerland, TUE=Therapeutic Use Exemption, UEFA=European Soccer Association, USA=Consensus of the US American medical societies. x=existing, (x)=planned, X°=in “Neurology”, ≈=conditional existing.
To date, the data collection regarding mental illness has only found its way into the PPE in isolated cases. There are differing views on the necessity of collecting such data. The question arises as to what should be included in psychiatric assessment in the PPE and what scope is accepted by athletes.

While in most countries PPE is not legally regulated and sports federations recommend or require PPE, there are countries where all competitive athletes are legally required to perform PPE (45, 69, 72). In German-speaking countries, the implementation of PPE is essentially based on voluntariness. In the case of voluntariness, there is a risk that athletes unfit for sport remain undetected and despite medical contraindication - actively participate in sport (37). Standardized algorithms exist for performing PPE (37, 56).

The cost of the examination must usually be borne by the athletes themselves. This can render access to PPE difficult or restrict it, especially in economically weaker countries (20).

Both the national societies for sports medicine and the international sports federations have developed their own standards for the diagnostics of psychiatric complaints within sports medicine diagnostics. Accordingly, the different examination forms and the questions they contain are heterogeneous.

The International Olympic Committee (IOC) points out the purpose of data collection for the purpose of monitoring health problems in sport and developing appropriate prevention measures (3).

Data on the usefulness of PPE as a prevention measure are scarce, and no evaluation of the psychiatric data collected as part of PPE (e.g., pre-existing psychiatric conditions, substance use, mental health complaints) has yet been conducted.

In addition, a standardized algorithm for further sports psychiatric evaluation (SPE) of suspicious findings or reported complaints has not yet been established. The integration of questions on mental health or psychiatric complaints and illnesses may help to identify previously unrecognized complaints in need of treatment at an early stage (1).

Table 1 shows the partly different contents of the PPE on mental health complaints of the German Society for Sports Medicine and Prevention (DGSP), Austrian Society for Sports Medicine and Prevention (ÖGSMSP), Sport & Exercise Medicine Switzerland (SEMS), and the federation of US professional societies as well as the German Disabled Sports Association (DBS), the World Football Association (FIFA), and the European Football Association (UEFA), compared with the IOC recommendations (Table 1) (55).

The PPE of the SEMS includes the WHO-5 Well-Being Index (WHO-5) as well as questions on eating behavior, possible psychosocial stress and substance use. This PPE thus proves to be the most comprehensive in terms of recording mental health. In the USA, the Patient Health Questionnaire in its short form with 4 questions (PHQ-4) is part of the PPE (39, 43).
Assessment of Mental Health Complaints and Illnesses in Competitive Sports

Available assessments of mental health and well-being in competitive sports, actually not included in PPE, include the Baron Depression Screener for Athletes (BDSA) (5) and the Sport Mental Health Assessment Tool 1 (SMHAT-1) (29). The BDSA is intended as a self-screening tool for athletes, with ten items for typical depressive symptoms. Given a score of ≥5, further evaluation by a psychiatrist and psychotherapist is recommended.

Gouttebarge et al. propose the SMHAT-1 as a screening for psychological complaints to be used by sports physicians or other “licensed health professionals” such as sports psychologists, sports physical therapists, or athletic trainers (29). The SMHAT-1 is designed for athletes 16 years of age and older. The Athlete Psychological Strain Questionnaire (APSQ: 10 items) is used to determine stress exposure. A score ≥17 or higher should be assessed by the aforementioned caregivers to determine whether further clarification is needed. In the next step, six questionnaires will be used for screening:
- General Anxiety Disorder-7 (GAD-7; 7 Items) (66)
- Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9; 9 Items) (38)
- Athlete Sleep Screening Questionnaire (ASSQ: 15 Items) (59)
- Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test Consumption (AUDIT-C; 3 Items) (61)
- Cutting Down, Annoyance by Criticism, Guilty Feeling, and Eye-openers Adapted to Include Drugs (CAGE-AID: 4 Items) (12)
- Brief Eating Disorder in Athletes Questionnaire (BEDA-Q: 9 Items) (47)

If all results are negative, a brief intervention (e. g. psychoeducation, mindfulness exercises, mental skills training) and subsequent monitoring should take place.

If at least the result of one questionnaire is positive, a detailed complaint assessment should be performed by sports physicians or clinically trained sports psychologists. If the score is ≥1 on item 9 (life distress thoughts) of the PHQ-9, the athlete’s safety should be ensured immediately.

An evaluation using SMHAT-1 runs the risk that highly adapted athletes or those with other complaints are not recorded, especially because no Psychiatric Basis Assessment (PBA) in the narrower sense is integrated. The comprehensive assessment relies solely on self-report questionnaires, with the possibility of false negative results (“underreporting”) (44).

Conducting of medical diagnostics by persons who have neither medical/psychiatric nor clinical-psychological training should be critically questioned. Neither approach (BDSA and SMHAT-1) has been widely used to date.

For the diagnostics of relative energy deficiency in sport (RED-S), the RED-S Specific Screening Tool (RTS) is a questionnaire (males: 25 items, females: 31 items) that differentiates between under-16-year-old and 16-year-old or older athletes (26). Another valid screening tool is the Brief Eating Disorder in Athletes Questionnaire (BEDA-Q) with 9 items (47). The RED-S Clinical Assessment Tool (RED-S CAT) was developed as a clinical instrument (53). Based on clinical features and medical findings, the risk for complications is signaled via the categories “Red,” “Yellow,” and “Green light,” accompanied by a Return to Play (RTP) procedure. This describes a gradual re-entry into sport. In the case of a RED-S requiring treatment, a treatment contract is drawn up.

The aforementioned diagnostics tools or procedures have not yet found widespread application or entry into diagnostic algorithms. In addition, the Profile of Mood States 2nd Edition (POMS) should be mentioned, which is mainly used in sports psychology (33, 48). It is relatively comprehensive (adults: 65 items, ages 13-17: 60 items). Two short versions with 35 items each are available. With its five subscales, the POMS primarily measures aspects of mood, which can also reflect depressive and anxious complaints.

Psychiatric Basic Assessment

Based on the existing situation, the question arises as to how the assessment of mental health complaints within the PPE in the sense of a PBA can look in the future. The question also arises as to when and to what extent this should be followed by an SPE. There are partly different perspectives on these questions among sports psychiatrists as well as among sports physicians. There is usually consensus that the costs and benefits should be weighed against and should be in an adequate relationship to each other. Hitherto no model or guideline exists for the structured and systematic psychiatric diagnostic in competitive sports.

In order to be able to meet the need for early detection and prevention, a standard psychiatric screening as well as further examinations in case of conspicuous results within the framework of the PPE are required.

An appropriate algorithm is required for the implementation of sports psychiatric diagnostics in sports medicine and competitive sports. For this to succeed, results must be unambiguous and the time required must be minimal. Such a model will be presented here. It is based on the annual PPE and includes the PBA as a part of the PPE (Figure 1). As a diagnostic standard in competitive sports, an annual PPE should be mandatory and a PBA should be integrated.

The following parameters should always be included as PBAs in an PPE and elicited (Table 2):

The psychiatric case history (perhaps assessment) should include previous psychiatric diagnoses, current mental health problems and illnesses, as well as psychosocial risk factors and subjective social integration.

---

**Table 2**

| GENERAL ITEMS SUBSTANCE ANAMNESIS |
|----------------------------------|
| Psychiatric pre-diagnosis Medication incl. supplements |
| General mental Complaints Therapeutic use exemption (TUE) |
| Psychosocial risk factors Nicotine |
| Social integration Alcohol |
| General life satisfaction Drugs |
| Generell well-being Doping substances |

**Table 4**

| COMPLAINT-SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE-RELATED CONTENT |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Depressive complaints Recreation |
| Anxiety complaints Performance |
| Sleep problems Satisfaction with physique |
| Concentration Pain |
| Eating behavior Weight, HWR, abdominal girth, BMI |
| Experience of harassment, abuse |

---

**REVIEW**

Sports Psychiatric Examination in Competitive Sports
In addition to the use of medications and supplements, the existence of a therapeutic use exemption should be examined, supplemented by assessing substance history, including doping. This should be done with as little effort as possible, e.g. by means of two-choice questions ("yes", "no"), which should be added to the PBA. An appropriate questionnaire is to be developed. The assessment of quality of life, i.e., life satisfaction and well-being, is part of the psychiatric diagnostics (49).

The extent of general life satisfaction can develop or change independently of general well-being. The single item L-1 can be used to assess general life satisfaction (8). The WHO-5 is recommended for screening for depressive and anxiety-related symptoms and associated sleep disorders. The advantage of the WHO-5 is that it concisely assesses the possible effects of psychological stress and the most frequently occurring mental health symptoms (Table 3). It maps general well-being and its impairment by depressive or anxiety-related complaints at low cost (5 items) and has proven successful in different patient populations (23, 70, 76).

The ability to concentrate and eating behavior should also be assessed. There are no suitable short scales for this, nor for questions about experiences of violence and abuse. Two-choice questions can also be used here for screening. In the case of experiences of violence, it should be borne in mind that not only possible experiences as a victim are meant, but also those as a witness. Furthermore, perceived performance capacity, recovery, any pain and satisfaction with the physique should be assessed (Table 4). A useful addition can be the definition of so-called “Red Flags”, based on Skårderud’s “Red & Yellow Lights” (52).

In the case of the sports psychiatric evaluation as an extension of the PPE, it must be made transparent who receives which information. Such binding and standardized regulations could be ratified via the professional societies or the major sports associations. In the case of the SPE, it cannot be automatically assumed that the health insurers are obliged to pay benefits; if a mental disorder requiring treatment is identified, it must be assumed that the costs will be covered.

In acute crisis events – following a sports medicine assessment - SPE may also be indicated; in psychiatric emergencies, it should be performed after acute care (Figure 2).

The collection of the medical history and psychopathological findings forms the basis of the SPE. In addition, a mini-ICF-APP and assessment of the level of functioning by the Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF) or the Children’s Global Assessment Scale (CGAS) should be performed (42, 49, 64). The Mini-ICF-APP maps the extent of impairment due to mental health problems in 13 life domains. GAF and CGAS are scales that depict the general level of functioning on the basis of the severity of mental health problems and illnesses. In line with the salutogenesis model, self-efficacy should be assessed with the scale for general self-efficacy expectations (SWE) (63) and resilience with the short form of the resilience scale (RS-13) (40) (Table 5). In the case of conspicuous findings, a mini-DIPS is conducted as a structured interview to elicit psychiatric complaints and help assign diagnoses (46).

In the case of conspicuous screening, further and specific diagnostics with validated instruments should be carried out in a complaint-specific manner and, as a matter of principle, not only by means of self-report questionnaires, but also in the form of interviews. The proposed selection of test instruments is intended to facilitate the operationalization of the assessment and comprehensive data evaluation (see Supplemental Material Online, Table 6).

The above-mentioned instruments are not intended to replace the clinical examination and the exploration of complaints, but rather to supplement them in a targeted manner specific to the complaint or diagnosis. They serve as a comprehensive assessment, as a follow-up for quality assurance.
Conclusions

It is necessary to further professionalize psychiatric-psychotherapeutic care in competitive sports (15). This includes the development of uniform quality standards in the diagnostics of mental health problems and illnesses, including the development of suitable screening instruments. This applies in particular to the question of up to what point mental health problems can still be treated without psychiatrists or clinical psychologists, or at what point the involvement of qualified experts should be required. In this context, the definition of so-called “red flags” can be helpful, such as the "red and yellow lights" for RED-S (52). The integration of psychiatric screening as part of PPE is not yet widespread but is considered useful. Aspects such as time economy and acceptance as well as cost coverage must be considered.

The (sports-) psychiatric assessment should be performed using a standardized algorithm. The proposed procedure can be operationalized and the benefit can be verified by studies. From a scientific perspective, the implementation of standard diagnostics for the assessment of mental stress and illnesses within the framework of PPE makes sense, since pooled data sets enable greater meaningfulness. The organizational and financial effort must be in reasonable proportion to the benefit. In particular, the aim should be to achieve the lowest possible rate of false-positive findings and to avoid stigmatizing athletes.
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