Treatment of lower part of glenoid fractures through a novel axillary approach: A case report
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Abstract

BACKGROUND
Based on the location and size of the fracture block, open reduction and internal fixation can be employed or assisted for shoulder arthroscopy in the treatment of glenoid fractures. However, the treatment of lower part of glenoid fractures through a novel axillary approach has not been reported so far.

CASE SUMMARY
A 22-year-old right-handed man was transferred to our outpatient clinic because of right shoulder injury during a traffic accident. X-ray examination after admission suggested the fracture of the lower part of the right glenoid and an ipsilateral proximal humeral fracture. Three-dimensional (3D) computed tomography (CT) further suggested that the size of the fracture block of the lower part of the right glenoid was 3.4 mm × 16.2 mm. The patient was diagnosed as the fracture of the lower part of the glenoid, also known as bony Bankart lesion without shoulder dislocation. After general anesthesia, the patient was surgically treated with the open reduction internal fixation through a novel axillary approach. 3D CT and shoulder joint function were reexamined at 12 mo of follow-up, showing acceptable recovery.

CONCLUSION
This case report describes a novel axillary approach adopted in an open reduction with cannulated screw and wire anchor internal fixation. After a follow-up for more than 12 mo, 3D CT and shoulder joint function examinations display a good recovery.
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The patient denied the history of right shoulder disease and operation. Right arm. No abnormal sensation in the right upper limb was examined. The patient had right shoulder pain with limited movement, and could not raise the arm.

**Chief complaints**
A 22-year-old right-handed man suffered from right shoulder pain for 24 h because of the traffic accident.

**History of present illness**
The patient had right shoulder pain with limited movement, and could not raise the arm. No abnormal sensation in the right upper limb was examined.

**History of past illness**
The patient denied the history of right shoulder disease and operation.
Physical examination
Physical examinations showed right shoulder tenderness, limited movement of the right shoulder, no numbness, limited finger movement, and vascular injury.

Laboratory examinations
The results of preoperative laboratory examinations were normal.

Imaging examinations
X-ray examination after admission suggested the lower part of the right glenoid fracture with an ipsilateral proximal humeral fracture (Figure 1A). Three-dimensional (3D) computed tomography (CT) further suggested that the size of the fracture block was $3.4 \text{ mm} \times 16.2 \text{ mm}$ (Figure 1B).

FINAL DIAGNOSIS
According to the history and preoperative imaging examination, the patient was finally diagnosis as the lower part of the right glenoid fracture with an ipsilateral proximal humeral fracture.

TREATMENT
On day 5 after the injury, the patient was surgically treated by ORIF through a novel axillary approach following general anesthesia. The patient was placed on a fluoroscopic operating table in a lateral position. A longitudinal incision was made in the armpit, followed by exposure of the anterior edge of the latissimus dorsi by separating subcutaneous tissues (Figure 2A and B). The axillary nerve under the latissimus dorsi was exposed and separated, which was traversed from the medial side of the armpit to the lateral side. Subsequently, the posterior brachial circumflex artery and vein, under the axillary nerve, were exposed. The blood vessels and nerves were protected by a tender traction. Next, the joint capsule of lower part of the glenoid was exposed between the gap of axillary nerve and circumflex blood vessel (Figure 2C and D). Moreover, the joint capsule was cut transversely to expose the fracture block, fracture end and articular surface of the glenoid. Anatomic reduction was achieved under a direct vision. After the fracture reduction, two 1.5 mm fine Kirschner wires were used for temporary fixation, and then the fracture block was fixed with one cannulated screw (3 mm in diameter, 18 mm in length), and then two 2.7 mm wire anchors were used to strengthen the fixation (Figure 2E). After removal of the glenohumeral joint under a direct vision, the fracture block was exposed and fixed stably. The gauze equipment was counted, and the wound was washed and sutured layer by layer.

Another anteromedial approach of the shoulder joint under the same body position was adopted for surgical treatment of the ipsilateral proximal humeral fracture. After open reduction, the proximal humeral locking plate was used for fixation.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP
The patient’s forearm was suspended for 3 wk postoperatively. Postoperative exercises of the elbow, wrist and hand were encouraged during the first 3 wk. A passive range of motion exercises were initiated at the third wk. At the sixth wk, patients were instructed to start active auxiliary exercises. During the follow-up period for more than 12 mo, imaging (including fracture of subaxillary glenoid and ipsilateral proximal humeral) (Figure 1) and functional examinations were conducted (Table 1).

DISCUSSION
Ideberg classification of scapular fractures is the most common classification of intra-articular glenoid fractures. The classification system is modified by Goss, involving 6 types of fractures[10]. Among them, type II fractures are those of the lower part of the glenoid, which induce the instability and dislocation of the shoulder joint[11]. If surgical treatment is required, reduction and wire anchor fixation for small fractures
can be performed under the shoulder arthroscopy. However, arthroscopic reduction and fixation are difficult to be performed in cases with large fracture masses or those with the scapular neck involved. van Oostveen et al[12] believed that appropriate surgical methods according to different types of fractures can achieve satisfactory results.

In this study, a novel axillary approach in the lateral decubitus position was designed. It is generally known that the axillary has a relatively complex anatomical structure, and there are considerable important blood vessels and nerves passing through the axillary. Vascular and nerve damage can be easily caused because of the complicated anatomical structure. The axillary approach proposed in this study was a novel approach initiated from the anterior edge of the latissimus dorsi and passed through the space between the axillary nerve and the circumflex scapular vessel (Figure 2). This approach can avoid the main blood vessels and nerves in the armpit, which also directly enters to the subscapular fracture area. Moreover, the proposed approach had multiple advantages like an easy exposure of the operation area, a direct access to the surgical site, achievement of fracture reduction and fixation under a direct vision, and prevention of the axillary nerve and vessel damages.

In the present study, the Constant score of shoulder joint reached 95 in the patient with inferior rim fractures of the glenoid after surgical treatment, which was better than the average Constant score of 82 reported by Bartoníček et al[13].
Figure 2 Intraoperative photos and sketches. A: Surgical marker; B: A longitudinal incision was made in the armpit, followed by exposure of the anterior edge of the latissimus dorsi by separating subcutaneous tissues; C: The posterior brachial circumflex artery and vein, under the axillary nerve, were exposed. The blood vessels and nerves were protected by a tender traction; D: The fracture block was fixed with one cannulated screw and two wire anchors were used to strengthen the fixation; E: The sketches more vividly describes the whole operation process.

Shoulder arthroscopy has great advantages in the treatment of Bankart lesions[14, 15]. Generally speaking, surgery is preferred to displaced glenoid fossa fractures[16]. However, when the shoulder arthroscopy fails to reduce and fix scapular glenoid fractures with other parts of the scapula, ORIF is a good choice[17, 18]. Besides, ORIF is also suitable for fractures of the lower part of glenoid. Thus, the axillary approach was developed here. Besides the reduction and internal fixation of fresh fractures, the novel axillary approach was also proven to be a very good choice for patients with bone lesions, cysts, old fracture nonunion and subluxation of shoulder joint around the lower part of glenoid.

CONCLUSION

This case report describes a novel axillary approach adopted in the open reduction with cannulated screw and wire anchor internal fixation. The patient was surgically treated by ORIF through the novel axillary approach following general anesthesia. After a follow-up for more than 12 mo, 3D CT and shoulder joint function reexaminations showed a good recovery.
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