Large-Scale Mapping of Moiré Superlattices by Hyperspectral Raman Imaging
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Moiré superlattices can induce correlated-electronic phases in twisted van der Waals materials: strongly correlated quantum phenomena emerge, such as superconductivity and the Mott-insulating state. However, moiré superlattices produced through artificial stacking can be quite inhomogeneous, which hampers the development of a clear correlation between the moiré period and the emerging electrical and optical properties. Here, it is demonstrated in twisted-bilayer transition-metal dichalcogenides that low-frequency Raman scattering can be utilized not only to detect atomic reconstruction, but also to map out the inhomogeneity of the moiré lattice over large areas. The method is established based on the finding that both the interlayer-breathing mode and moiré phonons are highly susceptible to the moiré period and provide characteristic fingerprints. Hyperspectral Raman imaging visualizes microscopic domains of a 5° twisted-bilayer sample with an effective twist-angle resolution of about 0.1°. This ambient methodology can be conveniently implemented to characterize and preselect high-quality areas of samples for subsequent device fabrication, and for transport and optical experiments.

1. Introduction

Long-range periodicity arising from the moiré potential landscape has enabled fundamental changes to the electronic and phononic properties of van der Waals homo- and heterostructures.[8] The period of the moiré superlattice can be conveniently tuned by twist angle, but is, in general, quite challenging to characterize. Considerable effort has been invested into mapping of the moiré superlattice. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) can visualize moiré superlattices at the ultimate spatial resolution of single atoms.[2] Unfortunately, the high-energy electron beam tends to create defects in these 2D materials, and TEM necessitates specific sample preparation, such as suspension or support by thin membranes, which introduces strain and is not fully compatible with subsequent device fabrication and transport measurements. Various scanning probe microscopy (SPM) methods have been developed to visualize moiré superlattices.[3] In these measurements, the top surface of the twisted bilayers must be exposed to the tip, while most high-quality twisted-bilayer samples are still fabricated with top and bottom hBN encapsulation for transport measurements and optical spectroscopy. A convenient method that can map out the moiré period over large areas and is also applicable to encapsulated layers is thus still lacking. Low-frequency Raman spectroscopy has emerged as a powerful tool to characterize van der Waals homo- and heterostructures through their interlayer breathing mode and shear modes,[4] which offer rich information such as the number of layers and the stacking configuration. In twisted structures, though, the atomic periodicity of the two adjacent layers no longer matches in an in-plane direction.[5] The shear mode is thus generally not expected to contribute to Raman spectra of twisted bilayers.[6] The interlayer breathing mode, on the other hand, could conceivably persist even in twisted structures.[7] Recent calculations[1f] have suggested that the interlayer breathing mode can be sensitive to the twist angle and therefore potentially enable its determination. It remains somewhat unclear, however, how a moiré superlattice as sketched in the schematic of Figure 1a influences the interlayer breathing mode in an experiment.

2. Results and Discussion

Here, we show that low-frequency Raman scattering of the interlayer breathing mode and moiré phonons in bilayer transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs) offers a uniquely sensitive probe of the twist angle. We demonstrate a significant shift of
the frequency of the breathing mode with changes to the moiré period, which is quantified simultaneously by the frequency of the moiré phonons. The approach provides a convenient method to map out the microscopic inhomogeneity of the moiré superlattice with diffraction-level resolution over large areas. Hyperspectral imaging of a 5° twisted bilayer of WSe₂ allows us to distinguish individual domains featuring loose interfacial contact, local rotational sliding motion, and atomic reconstruction over a total sample area exceeding 1000 µm².

We begin by fabricating twisted-bilayer WSe₂ to investigate how the interlayer breathing mode and moiré phonons change with the twist angle, i.e., the moiré period. As detailed in the Experimental Section, the samples are prepared through mechanical exfoliation and a sequential deterministic dry-transfer technique, with the twist angle confirmed by polarization-resolved second-harmonic generation (SHG). Figure 1b shows low-frequency Raman spectra of sixteen twisted-bilayer WSe₂ samples with different twist angles between 0° (3R stacking) and 60° (2H stacking). Prominent peaks appear below 50 cm⁻¹, where the low-frequency breathing and shear modes are generally expected. The measurement is carried out with an unpolarized detection configuration as detailed in the Experimental Section and in Figure S1a in the Supporting Information. It has been shown in natural multilayers that the breathing mode can only be observed under the condition where excitation and detection are polarized in parallel, while the shear mode can be observed in both parallel- and cross-polarized arrangements. By comparing parallel- and cross-polarized Raman scattering spectra in Figure S1b (Supporting Information), we identify the breathing mode of twisted bilayers in Figure 1b and mark this by an asterisk.

Although commensurate crystallographic superlattices can only emerge at certain twist angles, incommensurate quasi-crystalline moiré patterns can form for nearly every twist angle. The moiré period in homobilayers is defined as \( \lambda = 0.5a / \sin(\theta/2) \) where \( a \) is the in-plane lattice constant and \( \theta \) is the effective twist angle between the bilayers. Since TMDC monolayers have a \( \text{C}_3 \) symmetry, \( \theta \) is smaller than 30°. We first discuss the bilayers with twist angles between 0° and 3°, where, in an ideal case, the moiré period can be expected to be largest. Surprisingly, these samples all show an identical breathing mode at 27 cm⁻¹ (marked by asterisks in Figure 1b), independent of the twist angle. The same independence on twist angle is also observed for the interlayer shear mode shown in Figure S1b in the Supporting Information. This independence can be interpreted as either indicating that the breathing mode is insensitive to the moiré superlattice; or else that a well-defined moiré superlattice does not actually form in these samples. On the other hand, starting from twist angles of 4°, the interlayer breathing mode does show a significant twist-angle

---

**Figure 1.** Twist-angle dependence of low-frequency Raman scattering. a) Illustration of twisted-bilayer WSe₂ in real space and the low-energy interlayer breathing mode with and without the presence of a moiré pattern. The green and orange lines sketch the landscape of the top and bottom layers. b) Low-frequency Raman spectra of bilayer WSe₂ as a function of twist angle. The interlayer breathing modes are marked by asterisks and the dashed lines indicate the moiré phonon. The breathing mode (B) and shear mode (S) from the 2H natural bilayer (60°) are shown for reference. c) The twist-angle dependence of the breathing-mode frequency (red dots) clearly distinguishes three regimes of twist angles: atomic reconstruction (purple), where the breathing mode stiffens; large moiré unit cells (blue), where the breathing mode softens with increasing moiré period; and small moiré unit cells (yellow tint), where the breathing mode remains near constant with twist angle. The gray-dotted curve shows the twist-angle dependence of the moiré period. Errors from peak fitting are below the diameter of the spheres.
dependence. At the same time, additional peaks (marked by dashed lines in Figure 1b) arise at higher frequencies. A continuous blueshift of the breathing mode with increasing twist angle is observed in bilayers up to twist angles of ~14°, beyond which their intensity drops significantly. For bilayers with twist angles between 14° and 45°, the frequency of the breathing mode remains nearly constant and its intensity stays weak. From 30° onward, the moiré period is expected to increase again.[d,11] For the bilayer with 55° twist angle, the intensity of the breathing mode recovers, and the frequency simultaneously shifts to the red, showing a similar Raman spectrum as the 5° twisted bilayer. For the 59° twisted bilayer, the breathing mode suddenly jumps to a significantly higher frequency, beyond that of the 0° twisted bilayer but identical to the frequency found for 2H natural (60°) bilayers of WSe2. Figure 1c summarizes the twist-angle dependence of the breathing-mode frequencies (red spheres) as extracted from the Raman spectra, which is significantly different from the observation in MoSe2/MoS2 heterobilayers.[12] Intriguingly, this twist-angle dependence clearly coincides with the calculated moiré period (gray dotted line). The diameter of the data points is chosen to be larger than the error from fitting the peak positions. Note that this error can be smaller than the spectral resolution and is mainly limited by the signal-to-noise ratio.

To experimentally quantify the moiré period, we examine the moiré phonons, which were first observed by Lin et al. in twisted-bilayer MoS2,[14] but remain untested for other TMDC materials. Moiré phonons refer to phonon modes that are outside of the zone center $\Gamma$ and Raman inactive in natural bilayers, but which become active in twisted bilayers due to the folding of the phonon bands by the moiré superlattice. The characteristic feature of moiré phonons is the frequency shift with the change of the twist angle, i.e., the moiré period. Figure 2a shows the Raman spectra of twisted-bilayer WSe2 over a broad frequency range, with peaks assigned to moiré phonons marked by arrows. These peaks feature significant shifts with the variation of the twist angle. Figure 2b summarizes the correlation between peak positions and twist angles. As detailed in Note S1 (Supporting Information), we calculate the folded longitudinal acoustic (LA) phonon and transverse acoustic (TA) phonon of twisted-bilayer WSe2 based on the phonon dispersion of the monolayer WSe2.[13] As shown in Figure 2b, the calculated folded LA phonon (red line) and TA phonon (blue line) branches overlap well with the experimental peak positions. Deviations between the experimental points and the calculation may arise due to the fact that the phonon dispersion is calculated for an unstrained monolayer. Slight variations of the phonon frequencies due to the van der Waals interaction between the layers are therefore possible. Also, in the calculation, the interactions between the layers with the substrate are not taken into account.

The appearance of moiré phonons in the Raman spectra offers direct evidence for the formation of the moiré superlattice. The concurrent appearance of the moiré-phonon bands and the shifting of the breathing mode with twist angle indicates that the breathing mode is indeed sensitive to the moiré superlattice. Observation of the same interlayer breathing and shear-mode frequencies for twisted bilayers with twist angles smaller than 3° provides straightforward evidence of atomic reconstruction, which was recently observed in stacked van der Waals homo- and heterostructures employing a range of microscopy techniques.[12,6,14] With reconstruction, the atomic sites relax to a lower-energy configuration and, depending on the twist angle, form domains that follow the 2H- or 3R-stacking geometry. Assuming that such reconstruction is effective for the 59° twisted-bilayer WSe2 sample, the interlayer breathing-mode frequency would indeed be expected to closely resemble that of the natural 2H-stacking bilayer WSe2. The ~3° maximum twist angle threshold for this reconstruction appears to agree with recent experimental observations[6,14] as well as calculations.[12,14] When the twist angle rises above this threshold, the moiré superlattice becomes stable and leads to a significant softening of the interlayer breathing mode. This mode then stiffens again with decreasing moiré period, i.e., with increasing twist angle. Such a correlation between the interlayer breathing mode and the twist angle is rather unexpected from the perspective of the interlayer spacing alone, since bilayers with smaller twist
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angles are expected to have smaller interlayer distances and therefore a larger interlayer force constant in the picture of a linear chain model. The correlation can be rationalized by considering the mixing between in-plane and out-of-plane modes. When the moiré pattern forms, the superlattice is no longer flat but acquires a periodic corrugation as illustrated in Figure 1a, which enables mixing of the out-of-plane and the in-plane displacement modes. Indeed, in the 4° and 5° twisted bilayers, the intensity of the interlayer breathing modes is not completely suppressed in a cross-polarization configuration, in stark contrast to the case of bilayers with larger twist angles as shown in Figure S1b in the Supporting Information. For bilayers with twist angles between 14° and 45°, the constant frequency of the interlayer breathing mode coincides with a minor change of the moiré period in this angular range. The interlayer breathing-mode frequency can thus clearly identify three distinct regimes of interlayer coupling, marked in Figure 1c: the occurrence of atomic reconstruction (purple), and the presence of large (blue), and small (yellow) moiré periods. Crucially, both the interlayer breathing mode as well as the folded acoustic phonons appear to have the largest susceptibility to twist angle in the regime of large moiré periods, where strong electronic correlation phenomena are expected to emerge.

Next, we explore the possibility of using the breathing mode and the moiré phonons to map out inhomogeneities of twisted bilayers. Figure 3a shows microscope images of a θ = 5° twisted-bilayer WSe₂ sample. For WSe₂ homobilayers, twist angles between 4° and 5.1° are particularly interesting, since for this range of angles, a series of highly correlated electron phases has been reported. Areas in the optical microscopy image that are dark purple correspond to the twisted bilayer, whereas the light-purple area indicates the monolayer region (marked 1L). We perform hyperspectral Raman imaging over the entire sample area. Figure 3b,c shows the spatially resolved intensity and frequency of the breathing mode, delivering rich information at a spatial resolution of 2 µm (top panels) and 1 µm (bottom panels). Comparing Figure 3a–c, we identify three different areas of the bilayer labeled α, β, and γ. As for the monolayer area, the α area does not show a breathing mode, although this sample region is identified clearly as a bilayer from the optical microscopy images in Figure 3a and Figure S2 (Supporting Information). We attribute this absence of the interlayer breathing mode to a loose contact between the layers in the α area. Such cleavage can occur when ambient humidity is sufficiently high that a thin water layer may form between layers during the stamping process. In contrast, both β and γ bilayer areas show intense interlayer breathing modes, but the vibrational frequency in these two sample areas differs by more than 8 cm⁻¹, which is far beyond the small local variation in twist angle. Instead, the Raman spectrum of the γ bilayer region is similar to that of a θ = 0° twisted-bilayer WSe₂, with a breathing mode frequency close to 30 cm⁻¹ and no discernible moiré phonons. This absence indicates that significant rotational sliding and atomic reconstruction occur in the γ area. With such rotational sliding in mind, we further characterize the local variations of the twist angle in the close-up region around the β area in the lower panels. As shown in the bottom panel of Figure 3c, distinct domains (marked 1 and 2) show up in this β area and exhibit different and distinct breathing-mode frequencies. The same domains are observed in the spatial distribution of the moiré-phonon frequencies in the bottom panel of Figure 3d. This imaging based on the moiré phonon is significantly noisier than that based on the interlayer breathing mode in Figure 3c, which can be attributed to the order of magnitude reduction.

Figure 3. Low-frequency Raman mapping of a 5° twist-angle WSe₂ bilayer over a 40 × 40 µm² area. a) Reflection image of the sample (dark purple) under white-light illumination. The single-layer region (light purple) is marked by “1L”. b) Spatially resolved intensity of the interlayer breathing mode for the same sample area. c) Spatially resolved Raman frequency of the interlayer breathing mode. d) Spatially resolved Raman shift for the folded LA phonon. For each column, the lower panels show a 20 × 20 µm² close-up region as marked in the upper panels. The Raman mapping uses a step size of 2 µm in the top panels and 1 µm below. The Raman frequency of the interlayer breathing mode distinguishes three different areas α, β, and γ. Domains 1 and 2 in the β area are distinguished by the Raman frequencies of both the breathing mode and the moiré LA phonon.
in Raman intensity from the moiré phonons compared to the interlayer breathing modes. Therefore, within a limited acquisition time, imaging based on the interlayer breathing mode has the advantage of an improved signal-to-noise ratio. Nevertheless, the moiré phonon provides direct evidence of the moiré superlattice, and its linewidth can be a good indicator of the homogeneity of the superlattice given that a broader range of moiré periods will directly lead to a wider distribution of moiré phonon frequencies and hence broader Raman peaks. A cross comparison between different phonon modes in the hyperspectral imaging provides a more complete overview of the sample. The scanning speed and signal-to-noise ratio can be improved either by utilizing the spatial resolution of modern imaging spectographs, or by improving the detection efficiency of the Raman system. Since there is currently no dichroic beam splitter on the market that would be suitable for measuring in this low frequency range of Raman scattering, an improved signal-to-noise ratio can be achieved by replacing the 50:50 beam splitter with either Bragg[15] or “Nano Edge”[18] filters. Finally, we plot typical Raman spectra for the areas α, β, and γ in Figure 4a, and for domains 1 and 2 of the β region in Figure 4b. The Raman spectra from the bilayer areas α, β, and γ differ strongly, while the spectra from domains 1 and 2 are rather similar. The interlayer breathing mode and folded LA and TA phonons are all present in the spectra for both domains in the β sample area, although there are clear shifts in their frequencies. The frequency difference of the folded LA phonon between domains 1 and 2 is about 3.5 cm⁻¹, which corresponds to a variation in twist angle of ≈0.4° around the nominal value of 5° according to the dispersion plotted in Figure 2b. A typical Raman spectrometer with a high-resolution grating can easily reach a resolution of below 0.5 cm⁻¹, implying a resolution in twist angle of better than 0.1° around 5°. The spectrometer we used in our measurements (SP2750, Princeton Instruments) has a spectral resolution of ≈0.5 cm⁻¹. The precision in determining a single peak position can significantly exceed the spectral resolution when the signal-to-noise ratio is sufficient to allow peak fitting. Therefore, the twist angle resolution is expected to be even better in these experiments. However, the exact angular resolution can vary with the twist angle following the dispersion in Figure 2b. Finally, to evaluate the stability of the moiré superlattice under laser exposure, we performed a time series of Raman spectra on the 5° twisted-bilayer WSe₂ sample as shown in Figure S3 in the Supporting Information. We observed no detectable shift of either moiré-phonon or breathing-mode peaks before degradation occurs, which is significantly suppressed when the sample is placed in vacuum.

3. Conclusion

We have demonstrated that low-frequency Raman scattering can probe the local moiré period in twisted-bilayer TMDCs through both the interlayer breathing mode and moiré phonons. The moiré superlattice homogeneity can be mapped out conveniently across a sample area spanning more than 1000 µm². We find that twisted bilayers fabricated by mechanical exfoliation and deterministic stacking can exhibit inhomogeneities over length scales of tens of micrometers. Twist angles in the stacked bilayer can deviate locally from the value inferred from the difference between the crystal orientations of the individual monolayers as determined by polarization-resolved SHG. Hyperspectral Raman imaging can clearly distinguish these different areas, identifying loose interfacial contact, atomic reconstruction, and rotational sliding. In particular, we show that the atomic reconstruction, which was only expected in bilayers with small twist angles (<3°), can also occur to ≈5° twisted bilayer possibly with the assistance of rotational sliding. Such ambient optical techniques offer a straightforward method of precharacterizing the moiré superlattice on large scales in order to identify high-quality regions and well-defined twist angles prior to device fabrication, transport measurements, or other optical characterization. Knowledge of the twist-angle dependence of the interlayer breathing mode can be directly transferred to tip-enhanced Raman spectroscopy to spatially resolve the moiré superlattice on even finer length scales below the supercell level.
4. Experimental Section

Sample Preparation and Predetermination of Twist Angle: Monolayer WS$_2$ and MoS$_2$ flakes were exfoliated from bulk crystals (HQ Graphene) onto commercial poly(dimethylsiloxane) PDMS films (Gem-Pak, Gel-film X4) using Nitto tape (Nitto Denko, SPV 224P). To obtain twisted TMDC bilayers, one single monolayer flake was first partially stamped onto a silicon chip with a 285 nm SiO$_2$ layer on top. The remaining part of the monolayer flake was then transferred on top of the first flake after rotating the silicon chip to a desired twist angle $\theta$. The two stamping processes were carried out sequentially using an optical microscope combined with translation stages. The silicon chip was placed on a heating stage, which was set to 65 °C during the stamping. Figure S2 (Supporting Information) shows an example of a 5° twisted-bilayer WS$_2$ sample resulting from this process. The twist angles are further characterized by measuring the crystal orientation of the monolayer areas of the individual subsections. This orientation is determined by measuring the copolarized SHG intensity as a function of the relative angle between crystal axis and laser polarization.[5] Raman Spectroscopy: A continuous-wave, 532 nm laser was focused down to a ~1 μm diameter spot on the sample through a 0.7 numerical-aperture microscope objective (Nikon, 100×) under ambient conditions. The excitation power was set to 2.5 mW and the Raman scattering signal was collected by the same objective. After passing through a 50:50 beam splitter and a set of Bragg filters (OptiGrate), the signal was dispersed by an 1800 grooves/mm grating in a spectrometer with a 750 mm focal length (SP2750, Princeton Instruments) and detected by a CCD camera (PIXIS 100, Princeton Instruments). For cross-polarization measurements, a polarizer was placed right after the Bragg filters. The integration time was set to 60 s for unpolarized Raman-scattering measurements (in Figures 1b and 2a) and 180 s for the cross-polarized Raman-scattering measurement (in Figure S1b in the Supporting Information). For hyperspectral Raman imaging (Figures 3 and 4), the integration time was set to 10 s per spot and there were 400 spots per imaging, which led to about 67 min of measurement time.
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Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.
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