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Abstract
We give an algorithm for finding the index of a positive outer automorphism of the free group, and prove the algorithm exits in a finite time.
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Introduction

We consider an automorphism \( \varphi \) of the free group \( F_N \) (\( N \geq 2 \)). The Scott conjecture, proven by Bestvina-Handel ([2]) states that the fixed subgroup \( Fix(\varphi) = \{ u \in F_N; \varphi(u) = u \} \) of \( \varphi \) has rank at most \( N \). Another proof of this result was given several years later in [10], and was improved soon after in [9] by adding a key ingredient to the formula. The automorphism \( \varphi \) induces a homeomorphism \( \partial \varphi \) on the Gromov boundary \( \partial F_N \) of \( F_N \). Define \( a(\varphi) = \#(\text{Att}(\partial \varphi)/Fix(\varphi)) \) as the number of equivalence classes of points of \( \partial F_N \) that are attracting (in the topological sense) for \( \partial \varphi \). In the article [9], the index of \( \varphi \) is defined as \( \text{ind}(\varphi) = \text{rk}(Fix(\varphi)) + \frac{1}{2}a(\varphi) - 1 \) and it is proven that \( \text{ind}(\varphi) \leq N - 1 \). Further investigations are made, and the index of an outer automorphism is defined. The outer class \( \Phi \) of the automorphism \( \varphi \) is the set \( \{ i_w \circ \varphi; w \in F_N \} \) where \( i_w \) is a conjugacy; for any \( u \in F_N \), \( i_w(u) = w^{-1}uw \). The index of \( \Phi \) is defined by

\[
\text{ind}(\Phi) = \sum_{[\psi]} \max(0, \text{ind}(\psi))
\]

where the sum is taken over all isogredience classes of \( \Phi \); two automorphisms \( \psi \) and \( \chi \) of \( \Phi \) are isogredient if there is \( v \in F_N \) such that \( \chi = i_v \circ \psi \circ i_v^{-1} \). It is again stated in [9] that \( \text{ind}(\Phi) \leq N - 1 \).

In the present article, we wish to give an algorithm able to compute explicitly (for a certain class of automorphisms) the FO-index (for Full Outer index) of \( \varphi \) defined by \( \text{ind}(\Phi_\infty) = \max_{k \in \mathbb{N}}(\text{ind}(\Phi^k)) \) where \( \Phi^k \) is the outer class of \( \varphi^k \). In particular, the algorithm will indicate the relevant isogredience classes, give a basis of the fixed subgroups, and give representatives of the equivalence classes of attracting points.

This index is of particular relevance when attempting to give a geometric interpretation of the dynamics of automorphisms of free groups. It is now standard ([10], [9], [12]) to represent the dynamics of an automorphism \( \varphi \) with an \( \mathbb{R} \)-tree (a geodesic and 0-hyperbolic metric space) \( T_\varphi \) on which the free group acts isometrically and the automorphism acts as a homothety; such a tree is called the invariant tree of \( \varphi \). In fact, the proofs of [10] and [9] are mostly of a geometric nature, using this invariant tree. In [8], it is stated that the whole dynamics on \( T_\varphi \) can be deduced from studying the induced isometric action of the free group on certain compact subsets of \( T_\varphi \), the metric completion of \( T_\varphi \). These subsets are called the limit sets of \( T_\varphi \), and each one is associated to a basis of the free group. A detailed analysis of these limit sets is made in [7], and it is proven that the general structure of these sets does not depend on the basis chosen, but on the FO-indices of \( \varphi \) and its inverse. Depending on these indices, the limit sets can either be a finite union of finite (with respect to the number of points with degree at least three) trees, a finite union of non finite trees, a cantor set whose convex hull is a finite tree, or a cantor set whose convex hull is a non finite tree. In [3], an example of cantor set (with an interval as convex hull) is treated, and [11] deals with a non finite tree. In any case, there are still some unanswered questions regarding the dynamics on these limit
sets and their understanding starts with the FO-index.

Section 1 recalls classical definitions of symbolic dynamics and group theory. We endow $F_N$ with a basis $A_N$ and we see the free group as the set of words with letters in $A_N$ or $A_N^{-1} = \{a^{-1} : a \in A_N\}$. We assume the automorphism $\varphi$ is positive (for any $a \in A_N$, all the letters of $\varphi(a)$ are in $A_N$) and primitive (there exists an integer $k$ such that for any $a \in A_N$, all the letters of $A_N$ are contained in $\varphi^k(a)$).

In section 2, we prove that the FO-index of $\varphi$ can be obtained by only studying a compact subset of the double boundary $\partial^2 F_N = (\partial F_N \times \partial F_N) \setminus \Delta$ (where $\Delta$ is the diagonal) of $F_N$. This subset only depends on $\varphi$; it is called the attracting subshift of $\varphi$ and is denoted $\Sigma_{\varphi}$. This eventually leads up to new formulas for the FO-index. These formulas depend on one key element; the singularities of the attracting subshift.

Here, singularities are introduced as combinatorial objects. Namely, they are finite sets of at least two elements of $\Sigma_\varphi$ that are all fixed points of a common homeomorphism $\partial^2 \psi$ (where $\partial^2 \psi$ is the homeomorphism induced by $\psi$ on $\partial^2 F_N$) with $\psi = i_w \circ \varphi^k$ for some $w \in F_N$ and $k \geq 1$. It is important to observe that singularities have a natural geometric interpretation when dealing with an automorphism coming from a pseudo-Anosov on a surface, as they correspond to the singularities of the stable foliation.

We define the singularity graph, which contains all the information needed to compute the FO-index. The singularity graph is determined by a set of nodes (the singularities), a set of finite edges which are joining two singularities, and a set of infinite edges which are anchored at a single node. The main result of section 2 is the following.

**Theorem 0.1.** Let $\varphi$ be an $A_N$-positive primitive automorphism and let $\mathcal{G}$ be the singularity graph associated to $\varphi$. Suppose the automorphism $\psi = i_w \circ \varphi^k$ for some $w \in F_N$ and $k \geq 1$ is such that $\partial^2 \psi$ fixes all the points of a singularity $\Omega$, and define $\mathcal{G}_\Omega$ as the connected component of $\mathcal{G}$ containing $\Omega$. Then we have:

- the number of equivalence classes of attracting points of $\partial \psi$ is equal to the number of infinite edges of $\mathcal{G}_\Omega$,
- the rank of the fixed subgroup of $\psi$ is equal to the rank of the fundamental group of $\mathcal{G}_\Omega$.

The singularity graph also allows to determine a base of the fixed subgroup of $\psi$ and give finite expressions of representatives of the equivalence classes of attracting points. It should be noted that a similar graph is defined in [5], and although its primary use was to determine the fixed subgroup of an automorphism, the graph also contains attracting points. In fact, the present article shares several similarities with [5].

It all comes down to finding singularities, which is the aim of the study of section 3. We obtain the following result.

**Theorem 0.2.** There exists an algorithm able to find all the singularities in a finite number of steps.

We use the properties of a common tool in symbolic dynamics; the prefix-suffix automaton. We explain in section 3.1 how this automaton allows us to identify singularities with relative ease, and an algorithm identifying all the singularities is detailed in section 3.2.

We end the article by giving two typical examples (section 4).
1 Automorphisms of the free group

Let $F_N$ be the free group on $N \geq 2$ generators and let $\partial F_N$ be its Gromov boundary. The double boundary $\partial^2 F_N$ is defined by

$$\partial^2 F_N = (\partial F_N \times \partial F_N) \setminus \Delta,$$

where $\Delta$ is the diagonal.

Let $A_N = \{a_0, \ldots, a_{N-1}\}$ be a basis of $F_N$. The set of inverse letters is denoted by $A_N^{-1} = \{a_0^{-1}, \ldots, a_{N-1}^{-1}\}$. Fixing $A_N$ as a basis, we consider $F_N$ to be the set of finite reduced words $v = v_0v_1\ldots v_p$ with letters in $(A_N \cup A_N^{-1})$; reduced means for all $0 \leq i < p$, we have $v_i^{-1} \neq v_{i+1}$. The length of the word $v$ is $|v| = p + 1$. If $u$ and $u'$ are words of $F_N$, writing $|uu'|$ refers to the length of the reduced word $v$ defined by $v = uu'$. The identity element of $F_N$ is identified with the empty word $\epsilon$ and has length 0.

We will often need to specify that the concatenation of two elements is cancellation free. Following from [5], for $u \in F_N$ and $u' \in F_N$, we write $u * u'$ if no cancellations occur between $u$ and $u'$; in other words, if $u_p$ is the last letter of $u$ and $u'_0$ is the first letter of $u'$, then $u_p^{-1} \neq u'_0$.

This notation will be used very frequently throughout this article.

With $A_N$ as basis of $F_N$, the set $\partial F_N$ is the set of points $V = \{v_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with letters in $(A_N \cup A_N^{-1})$ and such that $v_i^{-1} \neq V_{i+1}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

The free group $F_N$ acts continuously on $\partial F_N$ by left translation: if $v = v_0 \ldots v_p \in F_N$ and $V = V_0V_1\ldots \in \partial F_N$, then $vV = v_0 \ldots v_{p-i}V_{i+1} \ldots V_p\ldots$ where $V_0 \ldots V_i = v_p^{-1} \ldots v_{i+1}^{-1}$ is the longest common prefix of $v^{-1}$ and $V$, is in $\partial F_N$. We write again $v * V$ if no cancellation occur between $v$ and $V$. Obviously, $F_N$ also acts on $\partial^2 F_N$: if $v \in F_N$ and $(U, V) \in \partial^2 F_N$, then $v(U, V) = (vu, vV) \in \partial^2 F_N$.

Remark 1.1. In order to avoid possible confusions, sequences of elements of $F_N$ or $\partial F_N$ will be denoted $(V_n)_n$ rather than simply $(V_n)_n$, and we will keep the notation $V_n$ to refer to the $n$th letter of $V$.

Remark 1.2. We will refer to elements of $F_N$ as words, while elements of $\partial F_N$ and $\partial^2 F_N$ will be called points.

A word $u \in F_N$ is a prefix of an element $V \in F_N \cup \partial F_N$ if $V = u * V'$ for some $V' \in F_N \cup \partial F_N$. The word $u$ is a suffix of the word $v \in F_N$ if $v = v' * u$ for some $v' \in F_N$. A prefix or suffix $u$ of a word $v$ is said to be strict if $u \neq v$. We will say that $V \in F_N \cup \partial F_N$ is pure positive (resp. pure negative) if all of its letters are in $A_N$ (resp. $A_N^{-1}$). The word $V$ is pure if it is either pure positive or pure negative. We assume the empty word $\epsilon$ to be neither pure positive nor pure negative. Lastly, for a word $v$ of $F_N$, a pair $v_iv_{i+1}$, where $v_i \in A_N$ (resp. $v_i \in A_N^{-1}$) and $v_{i+1} \in A_N$ (resp. $v_{i+1} \in A_N^{-1}$), is called an orientation change.

An automorphism $\varphi$ of $F_N$ is $A_N$-positive if for all $a \in A_N$, $\varphi(a)$ is pure positive. When working with an $A_N$-positive automorphism, we always consider its representation over the free group endowed with the basis $A_N$. The automorphism $\varphi$ induces a homeomorphism $\partial \varphi$ on $\partial F_N$, and a homeomorphism $\partial^2 \varphi$ on $\partial^2 F_N$.

Throughout this paper, $F_N$ will refer to the free group endowed with the basis $A_N$ and we always assume $N \geq 2$. 


1.1 The attracting subshift

We define the shift map $S$ on $\partial^2 F_N$:

$$S : \partial^2 F_N \rightarrow \partial^2 F_N, \quad (X,Y) \mapsto (Y_0^{-1}X, Y_0^{-1}Y),$$

where $Y_0$ is the first letter of $Y$. For any point $Z \in \partial^2 F_N$, we define the $S$-orbit of $Z$ as the set $\{S^n(Z); n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

An $A_N$-positive automorphism is primitive if there is a positive integer $k$ such that all letters of $A_N$ are letters of $\varphi^k(a)$ for any $a \in A_N$.

Let $\varphi$ be an $A_N$-positive primitive automorphism and let $a$ be a letter of $A_N$. The primitivity condition implies that we can find an integer $k$ such that $\varphi^k(a) = p \ast a \ast s$ where $p$ and $s$ are non-empty pure positive words of $F_N$. Now define

$$X = \lim_{n \to +\infty} p^{-1} \varphi^k(p^{-1}) \varphi^{2k}(p^{-1}) \ldots \varphi^{nk}(p^{-1}),$$

$$Y = \lim_{n \to +\infty} as \varphi^k(s) \varphi^{2k}(s) \ldots \varphi^{nk}(s).$$

The attracting subshift of $\varphi$ is the closure of the $S$-orbit of the point $(X,Y)$:

$$\Sigma_\varphi = \{S^n(X,Y); n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

The map $S$ is a homeomorphism on $\Sigma_\varphi$. The attracting subshift only depends on $\varphi$ and not on the choice of the letter $a$ or the integer $k$ (this is stated in different contexts in both [13] and [1] (for example)). Note that $\partial^2 \varphi(\Sigma_\varphi)$ is a subset of $\Sigma_\varphi$. For any power $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ of $\varphi$, the automorphism $\varphi^k$ is also $A_N$-positive, primitive, and its attracting subshift is $\Sigma_{\varphi^k} = \Sigma_\varphi$.

The projection of $\Sigma_\varphi$ on its first (resp. second) coordinate will be denoted $\Sigma^-_\varphi$ (resp. $\Sigma^+_\varphi$). The set $\Sigma_{\varphi_{per}} \subset \Sigma_\varphi$ of periodic points of $\partial^2 \varphi$ is defined by

$$\Sigma_{\varphi_{per}} = \{(X,Y) \in \Sigma_\varphi; \exists k \in \mathbb{N}^*; \partial^2 \varphi^k(X,Y) = (X,Y)\}.$$

Finally, we define the half lamination as the set $L(\varphi) = F_N.(\Sigma^-_\varphi \cup \Sigma^+_\varphi)$.

**Remark 1.3.** We will most often keep the notation $U$ (resp. $V$, $(U,V)$) to refer to a point in $\Sigma^-_\varphi$ (resp. $\Sigma^+_\varphi$, $\Sigma_\varphi$), while generic points of $\partial F_N$ will be denoted $X$ or $Y$.

1.2 The index of an automorphism

The index of an automorphism $\varphi$ (see [9]) of the free group $F_N$ is defined by:

$$\text{ind}(\varphi) = rk(Fix(\varphi)) + \frac{1}{2}(a(\varphi) - 2),$$

where $Fix(\varphi) = \{u \in F_N; \varphi(u) = u\}$ and $a(\varphi)$ is the number of equivalence classes of attracting points of $\partial F_N$: specifically, $a(\varphi) = \#(Att(\partial \varphi)/Fix(\varphi))$. Here, the word attracting should be understood in the topological sense.

The set of conjugacy $i_w : u \rightarrow w^{-1}uw$ of $F_N$ is denoted $Inn(F_N)$. Recall that the outer class $\Phi$ of $\varphi$ is the set of automorphisms $\{i_w \circ \varphi; i_w \in Inn(F_N)\}$. Two automorphisms $\psi$ and $\chi$ of $\Phi$ are isogredient if there is $v \in F_N$ such that $\chi = i_v \circ \psi \circ i_{v^{-1}}$. The index of the outer automorphism $\Phi$ (see [9]) is defined by:
\[ \text{ind}(\Phi) = \sum_{[\psi]} \max(0, \text{ind}(\psi)), \]

where the sum is taken over all isogredience classes of \( \Phi \).

The aim of this paper is to provide an algorithm able to find the index defined below.

**Definition 1.4.** Let \( \Phi_\infty \) be the set \( \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} \Phi_k \), where \( \Phi_k \) is the outer class of \( \varphi^k \). The FO-index (for Full Outer index) of \( \varphi \) is defined by

\[ \text{ind}(\Phi_\infty) = \max_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} (\text{ind}(\Phi_k)). \]

The reader is referred to [9] for a geometric approach of the problem, and for a proof of the following result.

**Theorem 1.5** ([9]). Let \( \varphi \) be an automorphism of \( F_N \) and let \( \Phi_\infty \) be the set \( \{ i_w \circ \varphi^k; i_w \in \text{Inn}(F_N), k \in \mathbb{N}^* \} \). Then we have:

\[ \text{ind}(\Phi_\infty) \leq N - 1. \]

### 1.3 Prefix-suffix representation

In this section, we slightly adapt definitions and results given by V. Canterini and A. Siegel in [4]. In order to be able to use these concepts freely, we first need to check that an \( A_N \)-positive primitive automorphism can not be \( S \)-periodic (its attracting subshift is not finite).

**Proposition 1.6.** An \( A_N \)-positive primitive automorphism \( \varphi \) is not \( S \)-periodic.

**Proof.** Suppose \( \varphi \) is \( S \)-periodic. Any point \( W = (U,V) \) of its attracting subshift \( \Sigma_\varphi \) is \( S \)-periodic: there exists a non empty pure positive word \( v \) of \( F_N \) such that \( W = vW \). We may assume \( V \) is fixed by \( \partial \varphi \) (if not, we simply take a power of \( \varphi \)). Since \( V = vV \) is also a fixed point of \( \partial \varphi^{-1} \), we have \( V = \varphi^{-1}(v)V \), which gives \( \varphi^{-1}(v) = v^h \) and \( v = \varphi(v^h) \) for some \( h \in \mathbb{Z} \).

If \( h < 0 \), then \( v^h \) and \( \varphi(v^h) \) are pure negative, while \( v \) is pure positive.

If \( h = 0 \), then \( v = v^h = \varphi(v^h) = \epsilon \) and we have a contradiction.

If \( h > 0 \), then \( |v^h| > |v| \). Since \( \varphi \) is primitive, the word \( v \) contains all the letters of \( A_N \) and \( |\varphi(v^h)| > |v^h| > |v| \), which is impossible. \( \square \)

Let \( \varphi \) be an \( A_N \)-positive primitive automorphism and \( \Sigma_\varphi \) its attracting subshift. The **prefix-suffix automaton** of \( \varphi \) is defined as follows:

- \( A_N \) is its set of vertices,
- \( P = \{(p,a,s) \in F_N \times A_N \times F_N; \exists b \in A_N; \varphi(b) = p \ast a \ast s\} \) is the set of labels,
- there is an edge labeled \( (p,a,s) \) from \( a \) to \( b \) if and only if \( \varphi(b) = p \ast a \ast s \).

The set of sequences of labels of infinite walks in this automaton is denoted \( D \) and is called the set of admissible developments.

**Proposition 1.7.** If \( (p_i, a_i, s_i)_{i \geq 0} \) is in \( D \), then for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), \( \varphi(a_{n+1}) = p_n \ast a_n \ast s_n \).
In [4], the authors define a map \( \rho_\varphi : \Sigma_\varphi \to D \) which gives a representation of the attracting subshift’s structure. We will refer to \( \rho_\varphi \) as the \textbf{prefix-suffix development map} associated to \( \varphi \). If \( W = (U, V) \) is a point in \( \Sigma_\varphi \), then \( \rho_\varphi(W) = (p_i, a_i, s_i)_{i \geq 0} \) is called the \textbf{prefix-suffix development} of \( W \), and it is such that

- if \( (s_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \) is not eventually constant equal to \( \epsilon \), then \( V = \lim_{n \to +\infty} a_0 s_0 \varphi(s_1) \ldots \varphi^n(s_n) \),
- if \( (p_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \) is not eventually constant equal to \( \epsilon \), then \( U = \lim_{n \to +\infty} p_0^{-1} \varphi(p_1^{-1}) \ldots \varphi^n(p_n^{-1}) \).

Those developments whose prefix or suffix sequence end up being constant equal to \( \epsilon \) are identified in [4], and they will require special attention throughout this article. Let \( \mathcal{D} \) be the subsets of \( D \) defined by

- \( D_{\min} = \{(p_i, a_i, s_i)_{i \geq 0} \in D; \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, p_i = \epsilon \} \),
- \( D_{\max} = \{(p_i, a_i, s_i)_{i \geq 0} \in D; \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, s_i = \epsilon \} \),
- \( D_\epsilon = \{(p_i, a_i, s_i)_{i \geq 0} \in D; (\exists i_0 \in \mathbb{N}; \forall i \geq i_0, p_i = \epsilon) \text{ or } (\exists i_0 \in \mathbb{N}; \forall i \geq i_0, s_i = \epsilon) \} \).

\textbf{Theorem 1.8 ([4])}. Let \( \varphi \) be an \( A_N \)-positive primitive automorphism, \( \Sigma_\varphi \) its attracting subshift, \( \Sigma_{\varphi_{per}} \subset \Sigma_\varphi \) its set of periodic points, and \( \rho_\varphi : \Sigma_\varphi \to D \) its prefix-suffix development map. The sets \( D, D_{\min}, D_{\max}, D_\epsilon \) are defined as above.

The map \( \rho_\varphi \) is continuous and onto. It is one-to-one except on \( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} S^n(\Sigma_{\varphi_{per}}) \) and it verifies

- \( \rho_\varphi(\Sigma_{\varphi_{per}}) = D_{\min} \) and \( \rho_\varphi^{-1}(D_{\min}) = \Sigma_{\varphi_{per}} \),
- \( \rho_\varphi(S^{-1}(\Sigma_{\varphi_{per}})) = D_{\max} \) and \( \rho_\varphi^{-1}(D_{\max}) = S^{-1}(\Sigma_{\varphi_{per}}) \),
- \( \rho_\varphi\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} S^n(\Sigma_{\varphi_{per}})\right) = D_\epsilon \) and \( \rho_\varphi^{-1}(D_\epsilon) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} S^n(\Sigma_{\varphi_{per}}) \).

We keep the notations of the theorem above. As we will see later on, it is important to understand the actions of \( \varphi \) and \( S \) on prefix-suffix developments. The action of \( \varphi \) is straightforward and can be easily verified. If \( W \in \Sigma_\varphi \) and \( \rho_\varphi(W) = (p_i, a_i, s_i)_{i \geq 0} \), then \( \rho_\varphi(\partial^2 \varphi(W)) = (\epsilon, b, r)(p_{i-1}, a_{i-1}, s_{i-1})_{i \geq 1} \) with \( \varphi(q_0) = b * r \).

Studying the action of \( S \) on developments involves being careful with empty prefixes or suffixes. In particular, we can not deduce the development of \( S(W) \) (resp. \( S^{-1}(W) \)) from \( \rho_\varphi(W) \) if the latter is in \( D_{\min} \) (resp. \( D_{\max} \)).

Let \( W \in \Sigma_\varphi \) be such that \( \rho_\varphi(W) = (p_i, a_i, \epsilon)_{0 \leq i < i_0}(p_i, a_i, s_i)_{i \geq i_0} \) with \( s_{i_0} \neq \epsilon \). We decompose \( s_{i_0} \) into \( s_{i_0} = a_{i_0}' * s_{i_0}' \) where \( a_{i_0}' \) is a letter of \( A_N \). Then we have

\[
\rho_\varphi(S(W)) = (\epsilon, a_{i_0}', s_{i_0}')_{0 \leq i < i_0}(p_{i_0}, a_{i_0}', s_{i_0}')_{i_0}(p_i, a_i, s_i)_{i \geq i_0},
\]

where for all \( 0 \leq j < i_0, \varphi(a_{j+1}) = a_j' * s_j' \).

If \( W \) is such that \( \rho_\varphi(W) = (\epsilon, a_i, s_i)_{0 \leq i < i_0}(p_i, a_i, s_i)_{i \geq i_0} \) with \( p_{i_0} \neq \epsilon \), we decompose \( p_{i_0} \) into \( p_{i_0} = p_{i_0}' * a_{i_0}' \) where \( a_{i_0}' \) is a letter of \( A_N \). The development of \( S^{-1}(W) \) is then given by

\[
\rho_\varphi(S^{-1}(W)) = (p_{i_0}', a_{i_0}', \epsilon)_{0 \leq i < i_0}(p_{i_0}', a_{i_0}', a_{i_0}s_{i_0})_{i_0}(p_i, a_i, s_i)_{i \geq i_0},
\]

where for all \( 0 \leq j < i_0, \varphi(a_{j+1}') = p_{j}' * a_{j}' \).
2 The attracting subshift contains all the information needed to compute the FO-index

The aim of this section is to explain how the FO-index can be obtained by studying the attracting subshift alone. We will start by showing that if an automorphism $\psi$ has positive index, then there is an automorphism $\psi'$ in the isogredience class of a power $\psi^h$ ($h > 0$) of $\psi$ such that $\partial^2 \psi'$ has at least two attracting points in the attracting subshift. This will lead to the introduction of the important concept of singularity. A singularity is a set of points of the attracting subshift that are fixed by a common homeomorphism. The current section ends with two new formulas for the FO-index, both of which only require singularities. These formulas are the base of an algorithm able to determine the FO-index of an automorphism. In section 3, we explain how the prefix-suffix representation can be used to find the singularities in a finite time.

From now on, defining an $A_N$-positive primitive automorphism $\varphi$ implicitly defines its attracting subshift $\Sigma_\varphi$, the projection $\Sigma^-_\varphi$ (resp. $\Sigma^+_\varphi$) of $\Sigma_\varphi$ on its first (resp. second) coordinate, the half lamination $L(\varphi) = \mathcal{F}N.(\Sigma^-_\varphi \cup \Sigma^+_\varphi)$, the outer class $\Phi_k$ of $\varphi_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and the set $\Phi_\infty = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} \Phi_k$.

We assume $\varphi$ is an $A_N$-positive primitive automorphism all along section 2.

2.1 Automorphisms with attracting points outside the half lamination have negative indices

For an automorphism $\psi$ of $\Phi_\infty$, we show in this section that if $\partial \psi$ has an attracting point $X$ in $\partial F_N \setminus L(\varphi)$ then $X$ is its only attracting point, and conclude $\psi$ has negative index.

We define illegal pairs, which will play an important part in our study of attracting points.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $a$ and $b$ be two elements of $A_N \cup A_N^{-1}$. We say that $ab$ is an illegal pair if $|\varphi^k(ab)| < |\varphi^k(a)| + |\varphi^k(b)|$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In other words, applying $\varphi$ to $ab$ yields cancellations.

Illegal pairs are a recurring problem in the study of the dynamics of automorphism of the free group. In particular, they are ground for the introduction of the train-track representatives, defined in [2] by M. Bestvina and M. Handel. Their role in this article is explained in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $v = v_0 \ldots v_h$ be a non empty word of $F_N$ with no illegal pairs and such that $\varphi(v) = p \ast v \ast s$ with $p, s \in F_N$ and $s$ non empty. The point $Y = \lim_{n \to +\infty} s \varphi(s) \ldots \varphi^n(s)$ is in $\Sigma^-_\varphi \cup \Sigma^+_\varphi$.

**Proof.** In this proof, we will say a letter $v_i$ of $v$ is singular if $\varphi(v_0 \ldots v_{i-1})$ is a prefix of $pv_0 \ldots v_{i-1}$ and $\varphi(v_{i+1} \ldots v_h)$ is a suffix of $v_{i+1} \ldots v_h s$. Observe that if $v_i$ is singular, then it is also a letter of $\varphi(v_i)$.

First, remark there needs to be at least one singular letter: if there were none, the word $\varphi(v_0)$ would be a prefix of $p$ and $\varphi(v_0 \ldots v_j)$ would be a prefix of $pv_0 \ldots v_{j-1}$ for any $1 \leq j \leq p$, which is impossible. Note that, as $\varphi$ is primitive, the word $s$ is empty if and only if $v_h$ is the only singular letter and verifies $\varphi(v_h) = q \ast v_h$ for some non empty word $q$. Hence, since
we supposed \( s \) is non empty, if \( v_j \) is a singular letter such that \( \varphi(v_j) = q' \ast v_j \), then \( j \neq h \) and the letter \( v_{j+1} \) is also a singular and is such that \( \varphi(v_{j+1}) = v_{j+1} \ast r' \) for some non empty \( r' \).

From now on, \( v_i \) is a singular letter and we have \( \varphi(v_i) = q \ast v_i \ast r \) with \( r \) non empty. Define

\[
Y = \lim_{n \to +\infty} s \varphi(s) \ldots \varphi^n(s), \\
Y' = \lim_{n \to +\infty} v_i r \varphi(r) \ldots \varphi^n(r).
\]

Since \( \varphi(v) = p \ast v \ast s \), there exists an integer \( j \) such that \( r \ldots \varphi^j(r) = v_{i+1} \ldots v_h s \ast s' \) for some empty or pure word \( s' \). We obtain \( r \varphi(r) \ldots \varphi^{j+1}(r) = v_{i+1} \ldots v_h s \varphi(s) \varphi(s') \), and we conclude easily that \( Y = v_i \ldots v_h Y' \).

The point \( Y' \) can easily be seen as a point of \( \Sigma^- \cup \Sigma^+ \) and we conclude.

We are now able to state the main result of this section. This proposition will allow us to ignore all the points of \( \partial F_N \setminus L(\varphi) \) when searching for the FO-index.

**Proposition 2.3.** Suppose there is a point \( X \) of \( \partial F_N \setminus L(\varphi) \) that is attracting for \( \partial \psi \) with \( \psi = i_w \circ \varphi \). Then \( w \neq \epsilon \) and we have:

\[
X = \lim_{n \to +\infty} w^{-1} \varphi(w^{-1}) \ldots \varphi^n(w^{-1}).
\]

**Proof.** Define \( w(0) = \epsilon \) and \( w(n) = w^{-1} \varphi(w(n-1)) \) for any \( n \in \mathbb{N}^* \). Take a point \( V \in \Sigma^- \cup \Sigma^+ \) and a prefix \( v \in F_N \) of \( X \) such that \( \lim_{n \to +\infty} \partial \psi^n(vV) = X \). For any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), define \( \psi^n(vV) = x_{(n)} \ast X_{(n)} \) where \( x_{(n)} \) is the largest common prefix of \( w_{(n)} \) and \( \partial \psi^n(vV) \).

We suppose the sequence \( |x_{(n)}| \) does not go to infinity with \( n \). Since the sequence \( (\partial \psi^n(vV))_n \) converges as \( n \) goes to infinity, the sequence \( x_{(n)} \) then needs to be eventually constant. There exists an integer \( n_0 \) such that for any \( n \geq n_0 \), we have \( x_{(n)} = x \); moreover, there exists an integer \( h \) such that \( x = w_{(h)}r^{-1} \) with \( \varphi^h(w) = q \ast r \). We deduce that for any \( n \geq n_0 \), we have \( \partial \varphi(X_{(n)}) = \varphi(r)q \ast X_{(n+1)} \). Also, \( x \) is such that \( X = x \ast X' \) where \( X' = \lim_{n \to \infty} X_{(n)} \).

Observe the point \( X' \) only contain a finite number of illegal pairs. Indeed, the point \( vV \) only contains a finite number of illegal pairs and applying \( \varphi \) (or \( \partial \varphi \)) does not increase this number.

Suppose \( X' \) has no illegal pairs. Due to the primitivity of \( \varphi \), we can choose a long enough prefix \( u \) of \( X' \) so that \( \varphi(u) = \varphi(r)q \ast u \ast s \) with \( s \) non empty. We deduce \( X' = \lim_{n \to +\infty} u \varphi(s) \ldots \varphi^n(s) \), and we conclude with lemma 2.2 that \( X \in L(\varphi) \).

Suppose \( X' \) has at least one illegal pair, and suppose \( X'_{i-1}X'_i \) is its last illegal pair. Since illegal pairs can only appear in the images of illegal pairs, there must be a word \( u = X'_0 \ldots X'_{i-1} \) such that \( \varphi(X'_0 \ldots X'_{i-1} \ast u) = \varphi(r)q \ast X'_0 \ldots X'_{i-1} \ast u \ast s \). Again thanks to the primitivity of \( \varphi \), we can take \( u \) long enough so that \( s \) is non empty. We deduce \( X' = \lim_{n \to +\infty} X'_0 \ldots X'_{i-1} \ast u \varphi(s) \ldots \varphi^n(s) \), and we conclude again with lemma 2.2 that \( X \in L(\varphi) \).

We obtain a contradiction in both cases, an we conclude that the sequence \( |x_{(n)}| \) must go to infinity with \( n \), and that \( X \) is as stated.

Recall that \( L(\varphi^k) = L(\varphi) \) for any \( k \in \mathbb{N}^* \). As proposition 2.3 still holds when taking powers of \( \varphi \), we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 2.4.** If \( \psi \) is an automorphism of \( \Phi_\infty \) such that \( \partial \psi \) has an attracting point outside \( L(\varphi) \), then \( \text{ind}(\psi) = -\frac{1}{2} \).
Proof. It is proven in [9, proposition I.1] that an attracting point can not be a point of \( \partial(\text{Fix}(\psi)) \). Hence, the fact that \( \partial\psi \) has only one attracting point also implies its fixed subgroup has rank 0, and we conlude.

2.2 Automorphisms with positive indices

For an automorphism \( \psi \) with positive index, there are three possible setups we need to study:

- \( \psi \) has a trivial fixed subgroup and \( \partial\psi \) has at least three attracting points,
- \( \psi \) has a fixed subgroup of rank (exactly) 1 and \( \partial\psi \) has at least one attracting point,
- \( \psi \) has a fixed subgroup of rank at least 2.

In this section, we study each setup and prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let \( \varphi \) be an \( A_N \)-positive primitive automorphism. For any \( \psi \in \Phi_\infty \) with a positive index, there exists an automorphism \( \psi' \) in the isogredience class of \( \psi^h \) (for some \( h \geq 1 \)) such that \( \partial^2\psi' \) has at least two attracting points in \( \Sigma_\varphi \).

2.2.1 Preliminary results on attracting points of the attracting subshift

We still consider \( \varphi \) to be an \( A_N \)-positive primitive automorphism. We start by showing that it is equivalent for points of \( L(\varphi) \) to be attracting or fixed by a homeomorphism \( \partial\psi \) with \( \psi \in \Phi_\infty \). From there on, we will use both terms indifferently. Of course, any attracting point of \( \partial\psi \) is also fixed, and we only need to prove the converse is true for points of \( L(\varphi) \).

**Proposition 2.6.** Let \( \psi = i_w \circ \varphi^k \) be an automorphism of \( \Phi_\infty \). If \( X \in L(\varphi) \) is fixed by \( \partial\psi \) (that is \( \partial\psi(X) = X \)), then \( X \) is attracting for \( \partial\psi \).

Proof. The bounded cancellation theorem, stated in [6] and credited there to Grayson and Thurston, tells us there exists an integer \( C(\varphi) \) such that

\[
|\varphi(u * v)| \geq |\varphi(u)| + |\varphi(v)| - C(\varphi)
\]

for all words of the form \( u * v \).

Suppose \( X = u * V \) with \( V \in \Sigma_\varphi^- \cup \Sigma_\varphi^+ \). Since \( X \) is fixed by \( \partial\psi \) and \( V \) is pure, there exists an integer \( i \) such that, for any prefix \( v_{(0)} \) of \( V \) with \( |v_{(0)}| > i \), we have \( \psi(u * v_{(0)}) = u * v'_{(0)} \) and \( u * v'_{(0)} \) is a prefix of \( X \). Since the automorphism \( \varphi \) is \( A_N \)-positive and primitive, there exists an integer \( j > i \) such that, for any prefix \( v_{(1)} \) of \( V \) with length \( |v_{(1)}| > j \), we have \( \psi(u * v_{(1)}) = u * v_{(1)} * v'_{(1)} \) with \( |v'_{(1)}| > C(\varphi) \), and we conclude.

As suggested by the results of the previous section, any point \( X \) that is attracting for \( \partial\psi \), where \( \psi \) is an automorphism of \( \Phi_\infty \) with a positive index, needs to be a point of \( L(\varphi) \). Our aim is to restrain even further the set in which we will look for attracting points to \( \Sigma_\varphi \). This will be achieved by carefully choosing the representatives of the isogredience classes that we want to study.

**Proposition 2.7.** Let \( \psi \) is an automorphism of \( \Phi_\infty \). If \( \partial\psi \) has an attracting point \( vV \), with \( v \in F_N \) and \( V \in \Sigma_\varphi^+ \cup \Sigma_\varphi^- \), then \( V \) is an attracting point of \( \partial(i_v \circ \psi \circ i_{v-1}) \).

Similarly, if the word \( vu \in F_N \) is fixed by \( \psi \), then the word \( uv \) is fixed by \( i_v \circ \psi \circ i_{u-1} \).
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In addition, we prove the following proposition in order to make the transition to points of $\partial^2 F_N$.

**Proposition 2.8.** Let $\psi = i_w \circ \varphi^k$ be an automorphism of $\Phi_\infty$, let $V \in \Sigma^+_\varphi$ (resp. $U \in \Sigma^-_\varphi$) be an attracting point of $\partial \psi$ and let $U$ (resp. $V$) be such that $(U,V)$ is a point of $\Sigma_\varphi$. The point $(U,V)$ is an attracting point of $\partial^2 \psi^h$ for some $h \geq 1$.

**Proof.** The main argument is there exist $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\partial^2 \varphi^k(U,V) = S^p(U,V)$. This is a consequence of a theorem of [13] stating that the canonical projection of $\Sigma^+_\varphi$ (resp. $\Sigma^-_\varphi$) is finite-to-one. If $\psi = \varphi^k$, the integer $p$ needs to be 0 and we are done. If $\psi \neq \varphi^k$, note that $p \neq 0$ and define $w^{-1}$ to be the prefix of length $|p|$ of $U$ (resp. $V$) if $p > 0$ (resp. $p < 0$). The point $(U,V)$ is then attracting for $\partial^2(i_w \circ \varphi^k)$ and the automorphism $i_{w^{-1}} \circ \varphi^k$ is necessarily a power of $\psi$. \hfill \Box

Note that, as we are ultimately interested in the FO-index, studying powers of automorphisms has no influence on the outcome.

We now state a few results on automorphisms $\psi = i_w \circ \varphi^k \in \Phi_\infty$ such that $\partial^2 \psi$ has an attracting point in $\Sigma_\varphi$. As we will see in section 3, the word $w$ plays a major part in the search for automorphisms with positive indices. We start here with some preliminary results.

**Proposition 2.9.** Let $\psi = i_w \circ \varphi^k$, with $w \neq \epsilon$, be an automorphism of $\Phi_\infty$. If $\partial^2 \psi$ fixes a point $W \in \Sigma_\varphi$, then $w$ is pure.

**Proof.** If $\partial^2 \psi$ fixes $W = (U,V)$, then $V = w^{-1} \partial \varphi^k(V)$ and $wV = \partial \varphi^k(V)$. Since $V$ is in $\Sigma^+_\varphi$, then so are $\varphi^k(V)$ and $wV$. We are left with three options:

- $w$ is pure positive,
- $w$ is pure negative (in which case $w^{-1}$ is a prefix of $V$),
- $w = w_{(p)}w_{(n)}$ with $w_{(p)}$ pure positive and $w_{(n)}$ pure negative.

Similarly, $U = w^{-1} \partial \varphi^k(U)$, $wU \in \Sigma^-_\varphi$ and $w$ verifies one of the following conditions:

- $w$ is pure positive (in which case $w^{-1}$ is a prefix of $U$),
- $w$ is pure negative,
- $w = w_{(m)}w_{(q)}$ with $w_{(m)}$ pure positive and $w_{(q)}$ pure negative.

Hence, if $w$ is not pure, then $w = w_{(p)}w_{(n)}$ with $w_{(p)}$ pure positive and $w_{(n)}$ pure negative and $w = w_{(m)}w_{(q)}$ with $w_{(m)}$ pure negative and $w_{(q)}$ pure positive, which is impossible. \hfill \Box

We insist on the fact that $w$ can not be any word of $F_N$. In addition to its purity, the above proposition tells us $w^{-1}$ is a prefix of $U$ (resp. $V$) if $w$ is pure positive (resp. negative), so that $wU$ (resp. $wV$) is always a point of $\Sigma^+_\varphi$ (resp. $\Sigma^-_\varphi$). We deduce the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.10.** Let $\psi = i_w \circ \varphi^k$, with $w \neq \epsilon$, be an automorphism of $\Phi_\infty$ such that $W \in \Sigma_\varphi$ is a fixed point of $\partial^2 \psi$.

- If $w$ is pure positive, then $S^{|w|} \circ \partial^2 \varphi^k(W) = W$.
- If $w$ is pure negative, then $S^{-|w|} \circ \partial^2 \varphi^k(W) = W$.
Finally, we give a little insight on automorphisms \( \psi \) such that \( \partial^2 \psi \) fixes more than one point of \( \Sigma_\phi \). The proposition below is trivially verified and is one of the fundamental ideas of the algorithm to come.

**Proposition 2.11.** Let \( \psi = i_w \circ \varphi^k \), with \( w \neq \epsilon \), be an automorphism of \( \Phi_\infty \). Let \( W = (U, V) \) and \( W' = (U', V') \) be two points of \( \Sigma_\phi \) fixed by \( \partial^2 \psi \). If \( w \) is pure positive, then we have

\[
U = U' = \lim_{n \to +\infty} w^{-1} \varphi^k(w^{-1}) \varphi^{2k}(w^{-1}) \cdots \varphi^{(n-1)k}(w^{-1}) \varphi^{nk}(w^{-1}).
\]

If \( w \) is pure negative, then

\[
V = V' = \lim_{n \to +\infty} w^{-1} \varphi^k(w^{-1}) \varphi^{2k}(w^{-1}) \cdots \varphi^{(n-1)k}(w^{-1}) \varphi^{nk}(w^{-1}).
\]

Note that this is not always true if \( w = \epsilon \).

Recall we want to prove theorem 2.5; namely, we want to be able to identify automorphisms with positive indices with automorphisms fixing several points of the attracting subshift. This means we will need to find new points of the attracting subshift that are attracting for a given automorphism. This is the purpose of the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.12.** Let \( v = v_0 v_1 \ldots v_h \in F_N \) be a non empty pure word such that \( \varphi(v) = p v^* s \) with \( p, s \in F_N \) possibly empty. There exists \( k \geq 1 \) such that the homeomorphism \( \partial^2 (i_p \circ \varphi)^k \) has an attracting point \( (U, V) \) in \( \Sigma_\phi \) verifying \( V_0 = v_0 \) (resp. \( U_0 = v_0 \)) if \( v \) is pure positive (resp. negative).

**Proof.** Since \( v \) is pure and \( \varphi \) is \( A_N \)-positive, the word \( v \) does not contain any illegal pair.

First suppose \( p \) is empty. This means \( v_0 \) is the first letter of \( \varphi(v_0) \). The point \( X = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \varphi^n(v_0) \) is then a point of \( \Sigma_\phi^- \cup \Sigma_\phi^+ \) that is fixed by \( \partial \phi \), and we conclude with proposition 2.8.

We now assume \( p \) is not empty. We actually work with \( \varphi(v^{-1}) = s^{-1} v^{-1} p^{-1} \). Recall that a letter \( v_i^{-1} \) of \( v^{-1} \) is singular if \( \varphi(v_i^{-1} \ldots v_0^{-1}) \) is a suffix of \( v_i^{-1} \ldots v_0^{-1} p^{-1} \) and \( \varphi(v_i^{-1} \ldots v_{i+1}^{-1}) \) is a prefix of \( s^{-1} v_i^{-1} \ldots v_{i+1}^{-1} \).

Define \( X' = \lim_{n \to +\infty} p^{-1} \varphi(p^{-1}) \cdots \varphi^n(p^{-1}) \). Following the proof of lemma 2.2 provides a singular letter \( v_i^{-1} \) of \( v^{-1} \) such that \( \varphi(v_i^{-1}) = r^{-1} v_i^{-1} q^{-1} \) with \( q^{-1} \) non empty and such that the point \( X = v_i^{-1} \ldots v_0^{-1} X' \) is in \( \Sigma_\phi^- \cup \Sigma_\phi^+ \). If \( v \) is pure positive (resp. negative) then choose a point \( Y \) of \( \Sigma_\phi^+ \) (resp. \( \Sigma_\phi^- \)) such that \( (X, Y) \) (resp. \( (Y, X) \)) is in \( \Sigma_\phi \), and define \( (U, V) = S^{-i-1}(X, Y) \) (resp. \( (U, V) = S^{i+1}(Y, X) \)). Observe that \( U = X' \) (resp. \( V = X' \)) if \( p \) is pure positive (resp. negative) and conclude with proposition 2.8 that the point \( (U, V) \in \Sigma_\phi \) is fixed by \( \partial^2(i_p \circ \varphi)^k \) for some integer \( k \geq 1 \) and verifies \( V_0 = v_0 \) (resp. \( U_0 = v_0 \)) if \( v \) is pure positive (resp. negative). \( \square \)

We now move on to proving theorem 2.5 for each of the three possible cases of automorphisms with positive indices.

### 2.2.2 Automorphisms with trivial fixed subgroups

In this section, we assume \( \psi = i_w \circ \varphi^k \) is an automorphism with a trivial fixed subgroup and a positive index. Using propositions 2.7 and 2.8, we can simply assume \( \partial^2 \psi \) has an attracting
point \((U, V)\) in \(\Sigma_\varphi\) and \(\partial\psi\) has an other attracting point \(X \neq U\) and \(X \neq V\). As \(\text{ind}(\psi) > 0\), we must have \(X = v \ast V'\) for some \(v \in F_N\) and \(V' \in \Sigma^-_\varphi \cup \Sigma^+_\varphi\).

Obviously, if \(v\) is empty, then proposition 2.8 tells us some power of \(\partial^2 \psi\) fixes at least two points of \(\Sigma_\varphi\). We suppose \(v \neq \epsilon\). We also assume \(v_0 \neq U_0\) and \(v_0 \neq V_0\) (where \(v_0, U_0, V_0\) are the first letters of \(v, U\) and \(V\) respectively); if it were not the case, we could simply find an automorphism in the isogredience class of \(\psi\) verifying this property. The main point is the following.

**Proposition 2.13.** Let \(v_0\) be the first letter of \(v\). There is a point \((U'', V'') \in \Sigma_\varphi\) that is attracting for \(\partial^2 \psi^h\) for some integer \(h \geq 1\) and such that \(v_0 = U''_0\) (resp. \(v_0 = V''_0\)) if \(v_0 \in A^{-1}_N\) (resp. \(v_0 \in A_N\)).

**Proof.** Define \(v = v_{(0)} \ast v_{(1)} \ast \cdots \ast v_{(p)}\), where for all \(0 \leq i \leq p\), \(v_{(i)}\) is non empty and pure and for all \(0 \leq i \leq p - 1\), \(v_{(i+1)}\) is pure negative (resp. positive) if \(v_{(i)}\) is pure positive (resp. negative). Since \(\varphi\) is \(A_N\)-positive, the number of orientation changes (pairs \(v_jv_{j+1}\) where \(v_j \in A_N\) (resp. \(v_j \in A^{-1}_N\)) if \(v_{j+1} \in A^{-1}_N\) (resp. \(v_{j+1} \in A_N\))) can not increase when applying \(\varphi\).

We assume \(v_{(0)}\) is pure positive; a similar approach can be used to prove the result when \(v_{(0)}\) is pure negative. Obviously, the point \(v \ast V'\) has a finite number of orientation changes and applying \(\partial\psi\) to \(v \ast V'\) does not change this number. Hence, considering \(w\) is either empty or pure by proposition 2.9, we must have \(\partial \Phi^k(v \ast V') = v'_{(0)} \ast v_{(1)} \ast \cdots \ast v_{(p)} \ast V'\), where \(v'_{(0)}\) is one of the following:

- \(v'_{(0)} = \epsilon\),
- \(v'_{(0)}\) is pure negative,
- \(v'_{(0)}\) is pure positive and is a strict suffix of \(v_{(0)}\),
- \(v'_{(0)}\) is pure positive and \(v_{(0)}\) is a suffix of \(v'_{(0)}\).

We need to have \(w^{-1}v'_{(0)} = v_{(0)}\). In the first three cases, the word \(w^{-1}\) has to be non empty and pure positive. This means the first letter of \(v_{(0)}\) must be equal to the first letter of \(w^{-1}\).

Since \(\partial \Phi(V) = V = w^{-1} \ast \partial \Phi^k(V)\), we obtain \(v_0 = V_0\), which contradicts our hypothesis.

For the fourth case to be possible, the word \(w^{-1}\) needs to be either empty or pure negative and we must have \(\Phi^k(v_{(0)}) = w \ast v_{(0)} \ast s\), where \(s\) is either empty or pure positive. We conclude with proposition 2.12. \(\square\)

### 2.2.3 Automorphisms with fixed subgroups of rank 1

We start with a preliminary result regarding elements of fixed subgroups.

**Proposition 2.14.** Let \(\psi = i_w \circ \varphi^k\) be an automorphism of \(\Phi_\infty\) and let \(u\) be a non empty word of \(F_N\) such that \(\psi(u) = u\). Then the word \(u\) is not pure.

**Proof.** Suppose \(u\) is pure and choose an integer \(n\) such that \(|u^n| > |w|\). The word \(u\) is fixed by \(\psi\), and the automorphism \(\varphi\) is \(A_N\)-positive; this implies the word \(\varphi^k(u^n u^n) = u^n u^n w^{-1}\) is also pure. This can only happen if \(w\) is either empty or pure and if we have either \(u^n = w^{-1} \ast u'\) for some non empty \(u' \in F_N\) or \(u^n = u' \ast w\) for some non empty \(u' \in F_N\). In both cases, we have \(|wu^n u^n w^{-1}| = |u^n u^n|\).

Recall that \(\varphi\) is primitive. We can assume \(k\) is large enough so that \(|\Phi^k(u)| > |u|\) (otherwise we work with powers of \(\psi\)). We obtain \(|\Phi^k(u^n u^n)| > |u^n u^n|\), which is impossible. \(\square\)
For the end of this section, \( \psi = i_u \circ \varphi^k \) is an automorphism of \( \Phi_\infty \) with a fixed subgroup of rank (exactly) 1 and (at least) one attracting point \( X = v \ast V' \), with \( v \in F_N \) and \( V' \in \Sigma^- \cup \Sigma^+ \). Let \( u \) be a base of its fixed subgroup. As any automorphism in the isogredience class of \( \psi \) also has a fixed group of rank (exactly) 1 and has as many attracting points as \( \psi \), we can assume (proposition 2.7) \( \psi \) was chosen so that \( u \) is cyclically reduced (if \( u_0 \) and \( u_p \) are its first and last letter respectively, we have \( u_0 \neq u_p^{-1} \)), and \( u_p \in A_N \) (resp. \( u_p \in A_N^{-1} \)) if \( u_0 \in A_N \) (resp. \( u_0 \in A_N^{-1} \)) (this last condition is possible because \( u \) is not pure).

**Lemma 2.15.** The word \( w \) is empty or pure positive (resp. empty or pure negative) if \( u_0 \in A_N \) (resp. \( u_0 \in A_N^{-1} \)).

**Proof.** Choose an integer \( n \) large enough so that we have both \( |u^n| > |w| \) and \( u^n u^n v V' = u^n u^n v V' \). Since \( u \) is fixed by \( \psi \), we have \( \varphi^k(u^n u^n) = w \ast u^n u^n w^{-1} \). Moreover, since \( u \) is cyclically reduced, we actually have either \( \varphi^k(u^n u^n) = w \ast u^n u^n w^{-1} \) or \( \varphi^k(u^n u^n) = w u^n \ast u^n w^{-1} \) (or possibly both). We assume \( \varphi^k(u^n u^n) = w \ast u^n u^n w^{-1} \) (otherwise we work with \( u^{-n} \)).

Both \( v V' \) and \( u^n \ast u^n v V' \) are attracting for \( \partial \psi \), and we have \( \partial \varphi^k(u^n \ast u^n v V') = w \ast u^n \ast u^n \ast u^n \ast u^n \ast u^n \). Since \( V' \in \Sigma^- \cup \Sigma^+ \), there is a finite number of orientation changes in (the reduced form of) \( w \ast u^n v V' \). On the one hand, applying \( \partial \varphi \) can not increase the number of orientation changes since \( \varphi \) is \( A_N \)-positive; on the other hand, \( w \ast u^n \ast u^n v V' \) can not have less orientation changes than \( u^n \ast u^n v V' \). We deduce \( u^n \ast u^n v V' \) and \( w \ast u^n \ast u^n v V' \) have the same number of orientation changes and conclude.

Recall that \( u_p \) is the last letter of \( u \) and that \( u_p \in A_N \) (resp. \( u_p \in A_N^{-1} \)) if \( u_0 \in A_N \) (resp. \( u_0 \in A_N^{-1} \)). Define \( u_{(0)} \) (resp. \( u_{(q)} \)) as the longest pure prefix (resp. suffix) of \( u \). We get from lemma 2.15 that \( \varphi^k(u) = w \ast u \ast w^{-1} \) contains as many orientation changes as \( u \) and deduce \( \varphi^k(u_{(0)}) = w \ast u_{(0)} \ast s \) for some empty or pure word \( s \) and \( \varphi^k(u_{(q)}) = w \ast u_{(q)} \ast s' \) for some empty or pure word \( s' \). Applying proposition 2.12 twice then yields the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.16.** There exists an integer \( h \geq 1 \) such that \( \partial^2 \psi^h \) has two attracting points \( (U, V) \) and \( (U''', V'') \) in \( \Sigma_\varphi \) such that \( V_0 = u_0 \) and \( V_0'' = u_p^{-1} \) (resp. \( U_0 = u_0 \) and \( U_0'' = u_p^{-1} \)) if \( u_0 \in A_N \) (resp. \( u_0 \in A_N^{-1} \)).

Observe that the word \( u \) is also fixed by the automorphism \( i_{w u^h} \circ \varphi^k \) for any integer \( h \), although there are only a finite number of value for \( h \) that yield an automorphism with a positive index (\( h = 0 \) possibly being the only one). Interestingly enough, with lemma 2.15 giving \( w u^h = w \ast u^h \), one can easily prove, for any \( h \neq 0 \),

\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} u^{-h} \varphi^k(u^{-h} w^{-1}) \cdots \varphi^{kn}(u^{-h} w^{-1}) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} u^{-n}.
\]

Note however, that such a point is not attracting ([9, proposition I.1])

**2.2.4 Automorphisms with fixed subgroups of rank at least 2**

As in the previous section, the idea is to choose a correct automorphism to work with. However, with a fixed subgroup of rank more than 1, the existence of such an automorphism is not as obvious, and requires a preliminary work.

**Lemma 2.17.** Let \( \psi_0 \) be an automorphism of \( \Phi_\infty \) with a fixed subgroup of rank at least 2. There exists an automorphism \( \psi \) in the isogredience class of \( \psi_0 \) and a word \( u = u_0 \cdots u_p \in F_N \) such that:
• (1) $u$ is fixed by $\psi$,
• (2) $u$ is cyclically reduced,
• (3) $u_p \in A_N$ (resp. $u_p \in A_N^{-1}$) if $u_0 \in A_N^{-1}$ (resp. $u_0 \in A_N$),
• (4) no strict prefix or suffix of $u$ is fixed by $\psi$.

We will say $u$ is $\psi$-reduced.

**Proof.** Choose a word $u_{(0)} \in F_N$ that is fixed by $\psi_0$. Suppose $u_{(0)}$ is not $\psi_0$-reduced. It is easy to find a pair $(\psi_1, u_{(1)})$ that will verify the first three conditions (proposition 2.7). If the fourth is also verified, we are done. If not, let $u_{(2)}$ be a strict prefix or suffix of $u_{(1)}$ that is fixed by $\psi_1$. The point here is that $|u_{(2)}| < |u_{(0)}|$. Hence, iterating the process will effectively yield a pair $(\psi, u)$ satisfying all four conditions.

For the end of this section, $\psi = i_u \circ \varphi^k$ is an automorphism of $\Phi_\infty$ with a fixed subgroup of rank at least 2. We suppose $\psi$ was chosen so that there exists a $\psi$-reduced word $u = u_0 \ldots u_p$.

We choose a non empty word $v$ that is fixed by $\psi$ and such that neither $u$ nor $u^{-1}$ is a prefix or a suffix of $v$.

**Lemma 2.18.** There exists a non empty word $v''' \in F_N$ such that $uvu^{-1} = u_0 * v''' * u_0^{-1}$.

**Proof.** Since $u$ is $\psi$-reduced and since $u^{-1}$ is not a prefix of $v$, there exists a non empty suffix $v'$ of $v$ and a positive integer $i$ such that $uv = u_0 \ldots u_{i-1} * v'$. Since $u$ is not a suffix of $v$, there is a possibly empty prefix $v''$ of $v'$ and a positive integer $j$ such that $v'u^{-1} = v'' * u_{j-1} \ldots u_0^{-1}$.

We conclude immediately if $v'''$ is non empty. If $v'''$ is empty, we first note that $uvu^{-1}$ can not be empty as $v \neq \epsilon$. We also recall that since $uvu^{-1}$ is fixed by $\psi$, it can not be a strict prefix or suffix of $u$ (because $u$ is $\psi$-reduced) and we conclude.

We are now able to proceed as in the previous section.

**Lemma 2.19.** The word $w$ is empty or pure positive (resp. empty or pure negative) if $u_0 \in A_N$ (resp. $u_0 \in A_N^{-1}$).

**Proof.** Choose an integer $n$ large enough so that we have $|u^n| > 2|w|$. Since $u$ is fixed by $\psi$, we have $\varphi^k(u^n) = w * u^n w^{-1}$. Moreover, since $u$ is cyclically reduced, we actually have either $\varphi^k(u^n) = w * u^n w^{-1}$ or $\varphi^k(u^n) = w * u^n w^{-1}$ (or possibly both). We assume $\varphi^k(u^n) = w * u^n w^{-1}$ (otherwise we work with $u^{-n}$). Using lemma 2.18 then yields

$$\varphi^k(u^n * u_0 * v''' * u_0^{-1} * u^{-n}) = w * u^n * u_0 * v''' * u_0^{-1} * u^{-n} * w^{-1}.$$

Again, applying $\varphi$ can not increase the number of orientation changes, and the word $w * u^n u_0 * v''' u_0^{-1} * u^{-n} * w^{-1}$ can not have less orientation changes than $u^n * u_0 * v''' * u_0^{-1} * u^{-n}$.

Hence, both words have the same number of orientation changes, and we conclude.

Everything else is copied from the previous section, and we obtain the same proposition for this last type of automorphism.

**Proposition 2.20.** There exists an integer $h \geq 1$ such that $\varphi^h$ has two attracting points $(U, V)$ and $(U'', V'')$ in $\Sigma_\varphi$ such that $V_0 = u_0$ and $V_0'' = u_p^{-1}$ (resp. $U_0 = u_0$ and $U_0'' = u_p^{-1}$) if $u_0 \in A_N$ (resp. $u_0 \in A_N^{-1}$).
2.3 The singularity formula

We still assume \( \varphi \) is an \( A_N \)-positive primitive automorphism, \( \Sigma_\varphi \) is its attracting subshift, \( \Phi^k \) is the outer class of \( \varphi^k \) and \( \Phi^\infty = \{ i_w \circ \varphi^k ; w \in F_N, k \in \mathbb{N}^* \} \).

Recall that \( \text{ind}(\Phi^k) = \sum \max(0, \text{ind}(\psi)) \), where the sum is taken over all isogredience classes of \( \Phi^k \). Choose an integer \( k \) such that \( \text{ind}(\Phi^k) = \text{ind}(\Phi^\infty) \). For each isogredience class of automorphisms with positive indices, propositions 2.13, 2.16 and 2.20 give us a representative \( \psi \) such that \( \partial^2 \psi \) fixes several points \( \Sigma_\varphi \). The set of points of \( \Sigma_\varphi \) fixed by \( \partial^2 \psi \) is defined as a singularity.

**Definition 2.21.** A singularity is a set \( \Omega \) of (pairwise distinct) points of \( \Sigma_\varphi \) satisfying the following conditions:

- \( \Omega \) contains at least two elements,
- there exists an automorphism \( \psi \in \Phi^\infty \) such that all the points of \( \Omega \) are fixed points of \( \partial^2 \psi \),
- for any \( h \in \mathbb{N}^* \), if \( (U, V) \in \Sigma_\varphi \) is a fixed point of \( \partial^2 \psi^h \), then \( (U, V) \in \Omega \),
- there exist two points \( (U, V) \) and \( (U', V') \) of \( \Omega \) such that we have either \( V_0 \neq V'_0 \) or \( U_0 \neq U'_0 \) or both.

We say that the automorphism \( \psi \) fixes the singularity \( \Omega \).

For any singularity \( \Omega \), we define \( H_\Omega \) (for Halves of points of \( \Omega \)) as the set of coordinates of points of \( \Omega \):

\[
H_\Omega = \bigcup_{(U, V) \in \Omega} \{U, V\}.
\]

Obviously, knowing that an automorphism \( \psi \in \Phi^\infty \) fixes a singularity is (most often) not enough to deduce its index, as the singularity may not contain all the attracting points of \( \partial \psi \) and (apparently) does not tell us anything regarding the fixed subgroup of \( \psi \). We are going to show that all the missing informations are actually contained in other singularities, which will be fixed by automorphisms in the isogredience class of \( \psi \).

Also, the reader should keep in mind that defining an automorphism which fixes a singularity implicitly declares it has a positive index.

2.3.1 The singularity graph

In [5], M. Cohen and M. Lustig give an algorithm able to determine the fixed subgroup of an automorphism. The algorithm involves constructing a graph whose connected components represent the isogredience classes, and whose fundamental group represents the fixed subgroups. In this section, we obtain similar results. We adapt the definitions to highlight the importance of the singularities and to also include the attracting points. This will result in a way to compute the FO-index by only using singularities.

**Definition 2.22.** The **singularity graph** \( \mathcal{G} \) associated to the automorphism \( \varphi \) is the graph defined by the triplet \( (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E}_f, \mathcal{E}_i) \) where:

- \( \mathcal{N} \) is the set of nodes. It is the set of singularities.
• $\mathcal{E}_f$ is the set of finite edges. Finite edges are oriented and each one is labeled with a non empty pure positive word of $F_N$. There is a finite edge labeled $u$ from $\Omega$ to $\Omega'$, and we write it $(\Omega, \Omega', u)$, if
  
  - there exist $(U, V) \in \Omega$ and $(U', V') \in \Omega'$ such that $(U, V) = u(U', V')$,
  
  - for any strict prefix $v$ (resp. suffix $v'$) of $u$, no singularity contains $v^{-1}(U, V)$ (resp. $v'(U', V')$).

• $\mathcal{E}_i$ is the set of infinite edges. We don’t require them to be oriented, but they are labeled with points of $\Sigma^- \cup \Sigma^+$. An infinite edge is anchored at a unique singularity. There is an infinite edge labeled $V \in H_\Omega$ anchored at $\Omega$, and we write it $(\Omega, V)$, if
  
  - for any finite edge $(\Omega, \Omega', u)$, we have $V_0 \neq u_0$ (where $V_0$ and $u_0$ are the first letters of $V$ and $u$ respectively),
  
  - for any finite edge $(\Omega', \Omega, u)$, we have $U_0 \neq u_p^{-1}$ (where $u_p$ is the last letter of $u$).

It should be noted that if $(\Omega, V)$ and $(\Omega, V')$ are two infinite edges, then $V_0 \neq V'_0$. Indeed, if $V$ and $V'$ are two points of $H_\Omega$ with a common prefix $u \neq \epsilon$, then there exists a finite edge $(\Omega, \Omega', u)$ (if $u$ is pure positive) or $(\Omega', \Omega, u^{-1})$ (if $u$ is pure negative) and neither $V$ nor $V'$ can label an infinite edge.

The connected component containing a singularity $\Omega$ will be denoted $\mathcal{G}_\Omega$.

A path in a singularity graph is a tuple $(\Omega_0, \ldots, \Omega_h)$ of nodes such that, for any $0 \leq i < h$, there is a finite edge from $\Omega_i$ to $\Omega_{i+1}$ or from $\Omega_{i+1}$ to $\Omega_i$. We will say that this path starts at $\Omega_0$ and ends at $\Omega_h$. Note that there can be no edge from one singularity to itself. The path is called a cycle if $\Omega_h = \Omega_0$. It is a trivial cycle if for any $0 \leq i < h$, we have $\Omega_i = \Omega_{h-i}$.

We also define the path map $\gamma$ of the singularity graph $\mathcal{G}$:

- if $(\Omega_0, \Omega_1, u)$ is a finite edge of $\mathcal{G}$, then define $\gamma(\Omega_0, \Omega_1) = u$ and $\gamma(\Omega_1, \Omega_0) = u^{-1}$,

- if $(\Omega_0, \ldots, \Omega_h)$ is a path in $\mathcal{G}$, then define $\gamma(\Omega_0, \ldots, \Omega_h) = \gamma(\Omega_0, \Omega_1) \ldots \gamma(\Omega_{h-1}, \Omega_h)$.

It is important to note that, from the definition of finite edges, if $(\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \Omega_2)$ is a path with $\Omega_0 \neq \Omega_2$, then we actually have $\gamma(\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \Omega_2) = \gamma(\Omega_0, \Omega_1) \ast \gamma(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)$. In particular, if $\gamma(\Omega_0, \ldots, \Omega_h) = \epsilon$, then the path $(\Omega_0, \ldots, \Omega_h)$ is a trivial cycle.

Lastly, we loosely use the notation $\pi_1(\mathcal{G})$ to refer to the fundamental group of the topological graph associated to $\mathcal{G}$.

**Theorem 2.23.** Let $\varphi$ be an $A_N$-positive primitive automorphism. Let $k$ be an integer such that $\text{ind}(\Phi_\infty) = \text{ind}(\Phi^k)$ (where $\text{ind}(\Phi_\infty)$ is the FO-index of $\varphi$ and $\Phi^k$ is the outer class of $\varphi^k$) and let $\psi$ be an automorphism of $\Phi^k$ that fixes a singularity $\Omega$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ the singularity graph of $\varphi$ and $\mathcal{G}_\Omega$ the connected component of $\mathcal{G}$ containing $\Omega$.

- (1) We have $rk(\pi_1(\mathcal{G}_\Omega)) = rk(\text{Fix}(\psi))$.

- (2) The number of infinite edges of $\mathcal{G}_\Omega$ is equal to the number of equivalence classes of attracting points of $\partial \psi$.

**Proof.** We start by proving the following lemma.
Lemma 2.24. If \((\Omega, \Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_h)\) is a path in \(G_\Omega\), then for any point \(V \in H_{\Omega_h}\), the point \(\gamma(\Omega, \Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_h) V\) is fixed by \(\partial \psi\).

Proof. Let \((\Omega, \Omega_1, u)\) (resp. \((\Omega_1, \Omega, v)\)) be a finite edge in \(G_\Omega\). We get from the definition of finite edges, and from proposition 2.7, that any point \(V \in H_{\Omega_1}\) is fixed by \(\partial(i_u \circ \psi \circ i_{u^{-1}})\) (resp. \(\partial(i_{v^{-1}} \circ \psi \circ i_v)\)). In both cases, any point of \(H_{\Omega_1}\) is fixed by \(\partial(i_{\gamma(\Omega, \Omega_1)} \circ \psi \circ i_{\gamma(\Omega, \Omega_1)^{-1}})\).

Recall for any two words \(u(0)\) and \(u(1)\) of \(F_N\), we have \(i_{u(1)} \circ i_{u(0)} = i_{u(0)u(1)}\). Hence, by induction, if \((\Omega, \Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_h)\) is a path, then any point of \(H_{\Omega_h}\) is fixed by \(\partial(i_{\gamma(\Omega, \Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_h)} \circ \psi \circ i_{\gamma(\Omega, \Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_h)^{-1}})\), and we conclude. \(\square\)

Corollary 2.25. Singularities of \(G_\Omega\) are fixed by automorphisms in the isogredience class of \(\psi\). Conversely, if \(\psi'\) is in the isogredience class of \(\psi\) and fixes a singularity \(\Omega'\), then \(\Omega'\) is a node of \(G_\Omega\).

We now prove proposition (1). It is clear from the lemma above that for any cycle \(c\) starting and ending at \(\Omega\), the word \(\gamma(c)\) is fixed by \(\psi\).

Conversely, suppose \(u\) is a non empty word of \(F_N\) that is fixed by \(\psi\). Recall that \(\psi\) fixes the singularity \(\Omega\); for any point \(X \in H_{\Omega}\), the point \(uX\) is fixed by \(\partial \psi\). According to proposition 2.13, there is a point \((U, V) \in \Omega\) and a non empty prefix \(u(0)\) of \(u\) such that \(u = u(0) * u'\) and \(u(0)\) is a prefix of either \(U\) or \(V\). Suppose \(u(0)\) is the largest prefix of \(u\) that is also a prefix of \(U\) or \(V\). Define \(\psi' = i_{u(0)} \circ \psi \circ i_{u(0)^{-1}}\) and \((U', V') = u^{-1}_0(U, V)\). The point \((U', V')\) is fixed by \(\partial \psi'\), the point \(u'X\) is fixed by \(\partial \psi'\), and we have \(u'_0 \neq V'_0\) and \(u'_0 \neq U'_0\). We deduce from proposition 2.13 (and from the fact that \(\psi' \in \Phi_k\) with \(\text{ind}(\Phi_k) = \text{ind}(\Phi_{\infty})\)) that \(\psi'\) fixes a singularity \(\Omega'\). Note that due to the definition of finite edges, there might not be a finite edge between \(\Omega\) and \(\Omega'\); there is, however, a path joining them. We can now iterate the process, and each new iteration will consume the word \(u\) until we eventually fall back to \(\Omega\). We obtain a cycle \(c\) starting and ending at \(\Omega\) such that \(\gamma(c) = u \neq e\), ensuring the cycle is not trivial.

Finally, recall that \(\gamma(c) = e\) (where \(c\) is a cycle) if and only if \(c\) is a trivial cycle. Hence, if \(\{c_0, \ldots, c_k\}\) is a set of independant cycles all starting and ending at \(\Omega\), then \(\{\gamma(c_0), \ldots, \gamma(c_k)\}\) is a set of independant generators of Fix\((\psi)\), and conversely. We obtain the following important theorem, which also concludes the proof of proposition (1).

Theorem 2.26. Suppose \(\text{rk}(\pi_1(G_\Omega)) = h + 1\) and let \(\{c_0, \ldots, c_k\}\) be a set of independant cycles of \(G_\Omega\) all starting and ending at \(\Omega\). Then \(\{\gamma(c_0), \ldots, \gamma(c_k)\}\) is a base of Fix\((\psi)\).

We move on to proposition (2). We start by showing that every equivalence class of attracting points is represented by an infinite edge. Let \(X\) be an attracting point of \(\partial \psi\). Recall from corollary 2.4 that we must have \(X = v * V\) for some word \(v \in F_N\) and point \(V \in \Sigma_\omega^c \cup \Sigma_\omega^+\). We suppose \(V \in \Sigma_\omega^+\), the other case is proved in a similar way. Considering \(\psi \in \Phi_k\) and \(\text{ind}(\Phi_k) = \text{ind}(\Phi_{\infty})\), if \((U, V) \in \Sigma_\omega^c\), then \(v(U, V)\) is fixed by \(\partial^2 \psi\). If \(v(U, V)\) is a point of \(\Sigma_\omega^c\), we simply define \((U', V') = v(U, V)\) and \(\psi_1 = \psi\). If not, let \(v_{(1)} = v_h \ldots v_p\) be the longest suffix of \(v\) such that \(v_{p-1}v_h^{-1}\) is a prefix of either \(U\) or \(V\). Define \(v(0) = v_{0} \ldots v_{h-1}\) and \((U', V') = v_h \ldots v_p(U, V)\). Observe \((U', V')\) is a point of \(\Sigma_\omega^c\) and we have \(v_{h-1} \neq U'_0\) and \(v_{h-1} \neq V'_0\). The automorphism \(\psi_1 = i_{v_{(0)}} \circ \psi \circ i_{v_{(0)}^{-1}}\) is such that \(\partial^2 \psi_1\) has a fixed point \((U', V')\) in \(\Sigma_\omega^c\) and \(\partial \psi_1\) has a fixed point \(v_{h-1}^{-1} \ldots v_{p}^{-1}Y\) (for any \(Y \in H_\Omega\)). Applying proposition 2.13 tells us \(\psi_1\) fixes a singularity \(\Omega_1\) which is in \(G_\Omega\) by corollary 2.25.

Now, if there is a finite edge \((\Omega_1, \Omega_2, u)\) where \(u\) is a prefix of \(V'\) (note that by definition of finite edges, if \(u_0 = V'_0\), then \(u\) is a prefix of \(V'\)), then we define \((U'', V'') = u^{-1}(U', V')\) and...
\(\psi_2 = i_u \circ \psi_1 \circ i_{u-1}\). Iterate this process for as long as it is possible; this can only happen a finite number of times since there is a finite number of singularities (the index of an automorphism is finite) and since for any cycle \(c\), the word \(\gamma(c)\) can not be pure positive (from proposition 2.14). We end up with an automorphism \(\psi_n\) that fixes a singularity \(\Omega_n\) of \(\mathcal{G}_\Omega\) and such that \(\partial \psi_n\) fixes a point \(V^{(n)} \in \Sigma_\varphi^+\), with \(V^{(n)} = v'V\) for some \(v' \in \mathcal{F}_N\). In addition, for any finite edge \((\Omega_n, \Omega_{n+1}, u')\), the word \(u'\) is not a prefix of \(V'\) and we conclude that \((\Omega_n, V^{(n)})\) is an infinite edge of \(\mathcal{G}_\Omega\).

The fact that an infinite edge represents an equivalence class of attracting points is clear from lemma 2.24. Finally, we deduce from proposition (1) of this theorem, and from the definition of finite edges that an equivalence class can not be represented more than once, and we conclude.

2.3.2 Redefining the index

Constructing the singularity graph effectively gives us all the information needed to compute the FO-index of an automorphism. It also greatly details the structure of the fixed subgroups and the equivalence classes of attracting points. The singularity graph may actually be considered a little heavy to manipulate in case we’re only interested in the FO-index. We show here that we can determine the FO-index with the singularities alone, regardless of the structure of the singularity graph.

For two points \(V, V'\) (resp. \(U, U'\)) of \(\Sigma_\varphi^+\) (resp. \(\Sigma_\varphi^-\)), we denote \(V \sim V'\) (resp. \(U \sim U'\)) if \(V_0 = V'_0\) (resp. \(U_0 = U'_0\)).

**Theorem 2.27.** The FO-index of \(\varphi\) can be obtained by using the formula

\[
\text{ind}(\Phi^\infty) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\Omega} \left( \#(H_\Omega/\sim) - 2 \right)
\]

where the sum is taken over all singularities.

**Proof.** Choose an integer \(k\) such that \(\text{ind}(\Phi^\infty) = \text{ind}(\Phi^k)\). Let \(\mathcal{G}\) be the singularity graph, let \(\Omega_0\) be a singularity fixed by \(\psi_0 \in \Phi^k\) and let \(\mathcal{G}_{\Omega_0}\) be the connected component of \(\mathcal{G}\) containing \(\Omega_0\). Suppose \(\mathcal{G}_{\Omega_0}\) contains \(n\) nodes and \(m\) finite edges, and observe that \(rk(\pi_1(\mathcal{G}_{\Omega_0}))\) is simply given by \(m - (n - 1)\).

We say that a finite edge of \(\mathcal{G}\) is adjacent to a node \(\Omega\) if there exist \(u\) and \(\Omega'\) such that either \((\Omega, \Omega', u)\) or \((\Omega', \Omega, u)\) is a finite edge of \(\mathcal{G}\). For any singularity \(\Omega\) of \(\mathcal{G}_{\Omega_0}\), it is easy to check that we have

\[
\#(H_\Omega/\sim) = \#(\text{infinite edges anchored at } \Omega) + \#(\text{finite edges adjacent to } \Omega).
\]

Obviously, a finite edge is adjacent to two nodes. Hence, taking the sum over all singularities of \(\mathcal{G}_{\Omega_0}\) and using theorem 2.23 yields

\[
a(\psi_0) + 2 \, rk(Fix(\psi_0)) + 2(n - 1) = \sum_{\Omega \in \mathcal{G}_{\Omega_0}} \#(H_\Omega/\sim)
\]

(recall that \(a(\psi_0)\) is the number of equivalence classes of attracting points of \(\partial \psi_0\) (see section 1.2)) and we conclude.
We end this section by giving another formula for the FO-index. While slightly more complicated to write, it will allow for a more direct use of the informations given by the algorithm to come.

First, observe that if \( \Omega \) is the singularity fixed by \( \varphi^k \) for some integer \( k \geq 1 \), then for any two distinct points \( V \) and \( V' \) of \( H_{\Omega \varphi} \), we have \( V_0 \neq V'_0 \). Indeed, any point \( V \) of \( \Sigma^- \cup \Sigma^+ \) fixed by \( \varphi^k \) verifies \( V = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \varphi^{kn}(V_0) \).

Also, recall from proposition 2.11 that if \( \psi = i_w \circ \varphi^k \) with \( w \neq \epsilon \) fixes a singularity \( \Omega \), then all the points of \( \Omega \) have a common first or second coordinate. For two points \( W = (U, V) \) and \( W' = (U', V') \) of \( \Sigma_{\varphi} \), we denote \( W \approx W' \) if \( U_0 = U'_0 \) and \( V_0 = V'_0 \).

**Theorem 2.28.** Suppose no singularity is fixed by a power of \( \varphi \). Then the FO-index of \( \varphi \) is defined by

\[
\text{ind}(\Phi_\infty) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_\Omega \left( \#(\Omega / \approx) - 1 \right) \right)
\]

where the sum is taken over all singularities.

Suppose \( \Omega_{\varphi} \) is the singularity fixed by a power of \( \varphi \). The FO-index of \( \varphi \) is then defined by

\[
\text{ind}(\Phi_\infty) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \#(H_{\Omega_{\varphi}}) - 2 + \sum_{\Omega \neq \Omega_{\varphi}} \left( \#(\Omega / \approx) - 1 \right) \right)
\]

where the sum is taken over all singularities that are not \( \Omega_{\varphi} \).

This last formula is indeed quite powerful, as it allows us to obtain the FO-index while disregarding completely the possible fixed subgroups and the isogredience classes.

### 3 Identifying singularities

We now focus on the study of singularities. In section 3.1, we explain how prefix-suffix developments can be used to identify points of a common singularity. These results will provide a ground for section 3.2, in which we give an algorithm able to determine all the singularities in a finite number of steps.

#### 3.1 Prefix-suffix developments of fixed points

We assume again that \( \varphi \) is \( A_N \)-positive primitive automorphism; its attracting subshift is denoted \( \Sigma_{\varphi} \) and we define \( \Phi_\infty = \{i_w \circ \varphi^k; w \in F_N, k \in \mathbb{N}^* \} \). We also define the prefix-suffix representation map \( \rho_{\varphi} \) as in section 1.3. We explained the action of the shift map \( S \) on prefix-suffix developments, and we saw that we can not deduce the development \( \rho_{\varphi}(S(W)) \) (resp. \( \rho_{\varphi}(S^{-1}(W)) \)) if the sequence of suffix (resp. prefix) of \( \rho_{\varphi}(W) \) is constant equal to \( \epsilon \). Lemma 3.1 will allow us to avoid having to deal with these situations. As before, \( \Sigma_{\varphi_{\text{per}}} \subset \Sigma_{\varphi} \) is the set of periodic points of \( \partial^2 \varphi \).

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \((U, V)\) be a point of \( \Sigma_{\varphi} \) fixed by \( \partial^2 \psi \) for some automorphism \( \psi = i_w \circ \varphi^k \) of \( \Phi_\infty \) with \( w \neq \epsilon \). If \( w \) is pure positive (resp. negative), then \((U, V)\) is not a point of \( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S^n(\Sigma_{\varphi_{\text{per}}}) \) (resp. \( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S^{-n}(\Sigma_{\varphi_{\text{per}}}) \)).
Proof. Let \((U', V')\) be a fixed point of \(\partial^2 \varphi^k\) and suppose \((U, V)\) is on the \(S\)-orbit of \((U', V')\): we have \((U, V) = v^{-1}(U', V')\) for some prefix \(v\) of \(V'\) or \(U'\). The word \(v\) needs to be non empty if we want \(w\) to be non empty. We get from proposition 2.7 that the point \((U, V)\) is fixed by \(\partial^2 (i_v \circ \varphi^k \circ i_{v^{-1}}) = \partial^2 (i_{\varphi^k(v^{-1})}v \circ \varphi^k)\). Define \(w = \varphi^k(v^{-1})v\). Since \(v\) is a prefix of \(V'\) or \(U'\) and \((U', V')\) is fixed by \(\partial^2 \varphi^k\), then \(v\) must be a strict (from proposition 2.14) prefix of \(\varphi^k(v)\). Hence, if \(v\) is a prefix of \(V'\) (resp. \(U'\)), then \(w\) is pure negative (resp. positive).

The following theorem is the base of the current study and justifies the use of prefix-suffix developments as a tool to find singularities.

**Theorem 3.2.** For any \(A_N\)-positive primitive automorphism \(\varphi\), if \(W \in \Sigma_\varphi\) is a fixed point of \(\partial^2 \psi\) with \(\psi = i_w \circ \varphi^k \in \Phi_\infty\), then \(\rho_\varphi(W)\) is preperiodic (eventually periodic) with a period of length \(\leq k\).

**Proof.** If \(\rho_\varphi(W) = (p_i, a_i, s_i)_{i \geq 0}\), then \(\rho_\varphi(\partial^2 \varphi^k(W)) = (\epsilon, a'_i, s'_i)_{0 \leq i < k}(p_i-k, a_i-k, s_i-k)_{i \geq k}\) (see section 1.3). We start with the case \(w = \epsilon\). We have

\[
\rho_\varphi(W) = (p_i, a_i, s_i)_{i \geq 0} = \rho_\varphi(\partial^2 \varphi^k(W)) = (\epsilon, a'_i, s'_i)_{0 \leq i < k}(p_i-k, a_i-k, s_i-k)_{i \geq k}.
\]

We now suppose \(w\) is pure positive. The point \(W\) is fixed by \(S^{|w|} \circ \partial^2 \varphi^k\) (proposition 2.10) and from lemma 3.1, we can deduce \(\rho_\varphi(S^{-|w|}(W))\) from \(\rho_\varphi(W)\). Applying \(S^{-|w|}\) to the development of \(W\) will only modify the \(i_0\) first terms of the development, and we have

\[
\rho_\varphi(S^{-|w|}(W)) = (q_i, b_i, r_i)_{0 \leq i < i_0}(p_i, a_i, s_i)_{i \geq i_0} = (\epsilon, a'_i, s'_i)_{0 \leq i < k}(p_i-k, a_i-k, s_i-k)_{i \geq k}.
\]

If \(w\) is pure negative, then \(W\) is fixed by \(S^{-|w|} \circ \partial^2 \varphi^k\) (proposition 2.10) and the same arguments will apply for \(\rho_\varphi(S^{|w|}(W))\).

We are going to show that the converse also holds. It will be easier for us to work with eventually constant development, rather than preperiodic ones. This can be achieved by considering powers of \(\varphi\): recall that for all \(k \in \mathbb{N}^*, \varphi^k\) is also an \(A_N\)-positive primitive automorphism, and its attracting subshift is \(\Sigma_{\varphi^k} = \Sigma_\varphi\). We denote by \(\rho_{\varphi^k}\) \((k \geq 1\) the prefix-suffix development map associated to \(\varphi^k\).

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \(W\) be a point of \(\Sigma_\varphi\). If \(\rho_\varphi(W) = (p_i, a_i, s_i)_{i \geq 0}\) then \(\rho_{\varphi^k}(W) = (q_j, b_j, r_j)_{j \geq 0}\) with, for all \(j \geq 0\),

\[
\begin{align*}
q_j &= \varphi^{j-1}(p_{jk+(k-1)}) \varphi^{k-2}(p_{jk+(k-2)}) \cdots \varphi(p_{jk+1})p_{jk}, \\
b_j &= a_{jk}, \\
r_j &= s_{jk} \varphi(s_{jk+1}) \cdots \varphi^{k-2}(s_{jk+(k-2)}) \varphi^{k-1}(s_{jk+(k-1)}).
\end{align*}
\]

Consequently, if \(\rho_\varphi(W)\) is preperiodic with a period of length \(k\), then \(\rho_{\varphi^k}(W)\) is eventually constant.

We now only work with eventually constant development. An eventually constant development will be denoted \((p_i, a_i, s_i)_{0 \leq i < n}(p, a, s)_*\), thereby signifying that the triplet \((p, a, s)\) is repeated indefinitely. We start with points with constant developments.
Lemma 3.4. Let $W = (U, V)$ be a point of $\Sigma_\varphi$ with $\rho_\varphi(W) = (p, a, s)$. The point $W$ is fixed by $\partial^2(i_p \circ \varphi)^h$ for some integer $h \geq 1$. Moreover, if $p$ and $s$ are both non empty, then $h = 1$.

Proof. Recall (section 1.3) that if $p \neq \epsilon$, then $U = \lim_{n \to +\infty} p^{-1} \varphi(p^{-1}) \ldots \varphi^n(p^{-1})$, and if $s \neq \epsilon$, then $V = \lim_{n \to +\infty} a s \varphi(s) \ldots \varphi^n(s)$. Also recall we have $\varphi(a) = pas$.

First observe that if $p = \epsilon$, then $V = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \varphi^n(a)$. We conclude with proposition 2.8 that $W$ is fixed by $\partial^2 \varphi^h$ for some $h \geq 1$.

If $p \neq \epsilon$, it is easy to see that $U$ is fixed by $\partial_i \circ \varphi$. If $s = \epsilon$, then we use again proposition 2.8. If we also have $s \neq \epsilon$, then we get from the fact that $\varphi(a) = pas$ that $W$ is fixed by $\partial^2 (i_p \circ \varphi)$.

In section 1.3, we have explained the action of the shift map on prefix-suffix developments. We can deduce from this study that if $W$ is a point of $\Sigma_\varphi$ with a preperiodic development, then there is an integer such that $\rho_\varphi(S^j(W))$ is constant. We obtain a converse to theorem 3.2.

Proposition 3.5. Let $W$ be a point of $\Sigma_\varphi$ with an eventually constant development, then $W$ is fixed by $\partial^2 \psi$ for some $\psi \in \Phi_\infty$.

Recall that the aim is to be able to find singularities; this goes through identifying points fixed by a common homeomorphism. We now know we only need to look among points with preperiodic (or eventually constant) prefix-suffix developments. To advance further, we are going to elaborate on proposition 3.5. Namely, for a point $W$ fixed by $\partial^2(i_w \circ \varphi)^h$, we are going to deduce $w$ from the prefix-suffix development of $W$. We will use the maps defined below.

Let $\gamma_{\varphi_-}$ and $\gamma_{\varphi_+}$ be the $F_N$ to $F_N$ maps defined, for every $u = u_0 u_1 \ldots u_p$ in $F_N$, by

- $\gamma_{\varphi_-}(u) = \varphi(u_p) u_0 u_1 \ldots u_{p-1}$,
- $\gamma_{\varphi_+}(u) = u_1 \ldots u_{p-1} u_p \varphi(u_0)$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $\varphi$ be an $A_N$-positive primitive automorphism. Let $W$ be a point of $\Sigma_\varphi$ such that $\rho_\varphi(W) = (p_i, a_i, s_i)_{0 \leq i < n}(p, a, s)$* and $\rho_\varphi(S^j(W)) = (p, a, s)$* for some integer $j$.

Then $W$ is a fixed point of $\partial^2 (i_w \circ \varphi)^h$ for some integer $h \geq 1$ and

- (1) if $j \geq 0$, then $w = \gamma_{\varphi_-}^j(p)$,
- (2) if $j < 0$, then $w^{-1} = \gamma_{\varphi_+}^{-j-1}(s)$.

Proof. (1) The case $j = 0$ is handled in lemma 3.4. We suppose $j > 0$. Observe that $p$ cannot be empty; if it were, the constant part of $\rho_\varphi(W)$ would not be $(p, a, s)$. Define $S^j(W) = (U, V)$ and let $u$ be the prefix of length $j$ of $U$; this gives $W = u^{-1}(U, V)$. We get from lemma 3.4 and proposition 2.7 that there is an integer $h \geq 1$ such that $W$ is fixed by $\partial^2 (i_u \circ \varphi)^h$ with $w = \varphi(u^{-1}) pu$. Observe that $u = p^{-1} \ldots \varphi^{-1}(p^{-1}) r^{-1}$ for some integer $k$ and some strict suffix $r$ of $\varphi^k(p) = qr$. We deduce $w = \varphi(r)q$. The word $p$ is obviously pure positive, and we deduce $j = |r| + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} |\varphi^i(p)|$. Note that $\gamma_{\varphi_+}^{-j-1}(\varphi^i(p)) = \varphi^{i+1}(p)$ for any integer $i \geq 0$ and conclude $w = \gamma_{\varphi_-}^j(p)$.

(2) Suppose $j < 0$, and observe again that $s$ cannot be empty. Define $S^j(W) = (U, V)$ and let $v$ be the prefix of length $|j|$ of $V$, giving $W = v^{-1}(U, V)$. Similarly, there is an integer $h \geq 1$
such that $W$ is fixed by $\partial^2(i_w \circ \varphi)^h$ with $w = \varphi(v^{-1})pv$. Observe that $v = as \ldots \varphi^{k-1}(s)q$ for some integer $k$ and some strict prefix $q$ of $\varphi^k(s) = qr$. Recall $\varphi(a) = pas$ and deduce $w = \varphi(q^{-1})r^{-1}$. Again, $s$ is pure positive, and we obtain $|j| = |a| + |q| + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} |\varphi^i(s)|$. Since $\gamma_{\varphi^i(s)}^i(s) = \varphi^{i+1}(s)$ for any integer $i \geq 0$, we can conclude $w^{-1} = \gamma_{\varphi^i(s)}^i(s)$. □

We are now able to state the main result of this section. The theorem below will be used as a base to identify singularities.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let $\varphi$ be an $A_N$-positive primitive automorphism. Let $W$ and $W'$ be two distinct points of $\Sigma_\varphi$ with $\rho_\varphi(W) = (p, a, s)^*$ and $\rho_\varphi(W') = (q, b, r)^*$.

- (1) If for any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\gamma_{\varphi^i}^{-i}(p) \neq \gamma_{\varphi^i}^{-i}(q)$ (resp. $\gamma_{\varphi^i}^{-i}(s) \neq \gamma_{\varphi^i}^{-i}(r)$), then for any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, the points $S^{-i}(W)$ and $S^{-j}(W')$ (resp. $S^{i+1}(W)$ and $S^{j+1}(W')$) do not belong to a common singularity.

- (2) If $i$ and $j$ are the smallest integers such that $\gamma_{\varphi^i}^{-i}(p) = \gamma_{\varphi^i}^{-i}(q)$ (resp. $\gamma_{\varphi^i}^{-i}(s) = \gamma_{\varphi^i}^{-i}(r)$), then $S^{-i}(W)$ and $S^{-j}(W')$ (resp. $S^{i+1}(W)$ and $S^{j+1}(W')$) belong to the same singularity $\Omega$. Moreover, the singularity $\Omega$ is fixed by $(i_w \circ \varphi)^h$ for some integer $h \geq 1$ and $w = \gamma_{\varphi^i}^{-i}(p)$ (resp. $w^{-1} = \gamma_{\varphi^i}^{-i}(s)$).

**Proof.** Proposition (1) is a direct consequence of theorem 3.6.

For proposition (2), define $S^{-i}(W) = (U, V)$ and $S^{-j}(W') = (U', V')$ (resp. $S^{i+1}(W) = (U, V)$ and $S^{j+1}(W') = (U', V')$) if $\gamma_{\varphi^i}^{-i}(p) = \gamma_{\varphi^i}^{-i}(q)$ (resp. $\gamma_{\varphi^i}^{-i}(s) = \gamma_{\varphi^i}^{-i}(r)$). Observe that $i$ and $j$ would not be the smallest integers for which $\gamma_{\varphi^i}^{-i}(p) = \gamma_{\varphi^i}^{-i}(q)$ (resp. $\gamma_{\varphi^i}^{-i}(s) = \gamma_{\varphi^i}^{-i}(r)$) if we had $V_0 = V'_0$ (resp. $U_0 = U'_0$). Conclude with theorem 3.6. □

Keeping the notation of the theorem above, note that it is possible for $S^{-i}(W)$ and $S^{-j}(W')$ (resp. $S^{i+1}(W)$ and $S^{j+1}(W')$) to belong to the same singularity even if $i$ and $j$ are not the smallest integers for which $\gamma_{\varphi^i}^{-i}(p) = \gamma_{\varphi^i}^{-i}(q)$ (resp. $\gamma_{\varphi^i}^{-i}(s) = \gamma_{\varphi^i}^{-i}(r)$). This is typical of singularities containing two distinct points $(U, V)$ and $(U', V')$ with both $U_0 = U'_0$ and $V_0 = V'_0$ (see example 4.1).

Also, it is important to recall that different points may have the same prefix-suffix development. This happens on the $S$-orbits of points of $\Sigma_{\varphi^i}$ (see section 1.3). As theorem 3.7 only take the prefix-suffix development into consideration, if using theorem 3.7 tells us $S^n(W)$ and $S^n(W')$ belong to $\Omega$, then we also know that for any point $W(0)$ (resp. $W'(0)$) such that $\rho_\varphi(W) = \rho_\varphi(W(0))$ (resp. $\rho_\varphi(W') = \rho_\varphi(W'(0))$), the point $S^n(W(0))$ (resp. $S^n(W'(0))$) belongs to $\Omega$.

### 3.2 An algorithm for finding singularities

#### 3.2.1 There is a only finite number of prefix-suffix developments to consider

We assume again $\varphi$ is an $A_N$-positive primitive automorphism, $\Sigma_\varphi$ is its attracting subshift and $\rho_\varphi$ is its prefix-suffix development map. We want to prove that singularities can be determined in a finite number of steps using the results of section 3.1. Namely, we are going to run pairs of points of $\Sigma_\varphi$ with constant prefix-suffix developments through theorem 3.7.
Obviously, there are only a finite number of points \( W \) such that \( \rho_\varphi(W) \) is constant; it is given by
\[
\sum_{a \in A_N} \text{(number of occurrences of } a \text{ in } \varphi(a)).
\]
However, in order to identify singularities, one should also work with points \( W \) such that \( \rho_\varphi^k(W) \) is constant for some integer \( k \geq 1 \). Bounding the number of such points then comes down to bounding the integer \( k \).

For a point \( W \) of \( \Sigma_\varphi \) with a preperiodic prefix-suffix development, the length of the minimal period of the periodic part of the prefix-suffix development of \( W \) is simply called the \( \rho \)-power of \( W \).

**Proposition 3.8.** Let \( W \) be a point of singularity \( \Omega \). The \( \rho \)-power of \( W \) is at most \( 4N - 4 \).

**Proof.** First assume that \( W \) is in a singularity \( \Omega \) and that \( \rho_\varphi(W) = (p_i, a_i, s_i)_{i \geq 0} \) with \( p_i = \epsilon \) (resp. \( s_i = \epsilon \)) for any \( i \geq i_0 \). Observe that for any vertex \( a \) of the prefix-suffix automaton, there is exactly one edge ending at \( a \) whose label has an empty prefix (resp. suffix). We conclude the \( \rho \)-power of \( W \) is at most \( N \).

Assume now that \( W \) is in a singularity \( \Omega \) with \( \rho_\varphi(W) = (p_i, a_i, s_i)_{i \geq 0} \) and that neither \( (p_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \) nor \( (s_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \) is eventually constant equal to \( \epsilon \). Recall from section 1.3 that \( \rho_\varphi(\partial^2 \varphi(W)) = (\epsilon, b, r)(p_{i-1}, a_{i-1}, s_{i-1})_{i \geq 1} \). Observe that \( \Omega \) needs to be fixed by an automorphism \( \psi = i_w \circ \varphi^k \) with \( w \neq \epsilon \). Also note that there is an integer \( j \) such that \( S^j \circ \partial^2 \varphi(W) \) is in a singularity \( \Omega' \) fixed by an automorphism \( \psi' = i_{w'} \circ \varphi^{k'} \) with \( w' \neq \epsilon \). All that is left to do is count the maximum possible number of distinct points of singularities that are not fixed by a power of \( \varphi \).

Recall the FO-index \( \text{ind}(\Phi_\infty) \) of \( \varphi \) is at most \( N - 1 \) (theorem 1.5) and theorem 2.28 tells us
\[
\text{ind}(\Phi_\infty) = \frac{1}{2} \left( (\#(H_\Omega \varphi) - 2) + \sum_{\Omega \neq \Omega_\varphi} (\#(\Omega/ \approx) - 1) \right)
\]
where \( \Omega_\varphi \) is the singularity fixed by some power of \( \varphi \) and the sum is taken over all singularities that are not \( \Omega_\varphi \). It is now easy to see that the number of distinct points of singularities that are not fixed by a power of \( \varphi \) is maximal when

- no singularity is fixed by a power of \( \varphi \),
- \( \text{ind}(\Phi_\infty) = N - 1 \)
- there are \( 2N - 2 \) singularities, each containing two points.

In that case, we have actually shown that \( W \) is fixed by an automorphism \( \psi = i_w \circ \varphi^k \) with \( k \leq 4N - 4 \), which is more than we wanted.

This bound may very well not be sharp. Define the forward (resp. backward) singularities as the singularities such that all the points have a common first (resp. second) coordinate. It is likely, however unproved, that the forward (resp. backward) singularities may only be responsible for at most half of the maximum FO-index, dropping the bound of the proposition above to \( 2N - 2 \). One may check \( 2N - 2 \) is reached for any \( N \geq 2 \) by the automorphism
\[
\phi : \begin{align*}
a_0 &\mapsto a_0 a_1 \\
a_i &\mapsto a_{i-1} \text{ for any } 1 \leq i < N
\end{align*}
\]
We elaborate slightly on proposition 3.8. First, consider a point $W$ of a singularity $\Omega$. There is an automorphism $\psi = i_w \circ \varphi^k$ such that $\partial^2 \psi(W) = W$, and we assume $k$ to be minimal. If $w \neq \epsilon$, then we obtain $k \leq 4N - 4$ from theorem 2.28 (the argument is the same as the one given in the proof of proposition 3.8 for points whose prefix-suffix developments do not have eventually empty prefixes or suffixes). However, as it is seen on the following example, the power $k$ may exceed $4N - 4$ if $w$ is empty.

Define $U = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \varphi^n(a^{-1})$ and $V = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \varphi^n(a)$. Since $aa$ is contained in $\varphi^2(b)$, then the point $(U, V)$ is in $\Sigma_{\varphi}$. Moreover, $(U, V)$ is in a singularity and it is easy to check the smallest integer $k$ such that $\partial^2 \varphi^k(U, V) = (U, V)$ is $k = 30$.

Second, even if for any point $W$ of a singularity $\Omega$, there exists an automorphism $\psi = i_w \circ \varphi^k$ with $k \leq 4N - 4$ such that $\partial^2 \psi(W) = W$, the singularity itself may not be fixed by an automorphism $i_v \circ \varphi^h$ with $h \leq 4N - 4$ (assuming $h$ minimal). Consider the following example on 14 letters.

Define $U = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \varphi^n(a^{-1})$,

- $V^{(a)}(\alpha) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \varphi^{2n}(\alpha)$ if $\alpha$ is a or $b$,
- $V^{(a)}(\alpha) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \varphi^{5n}(\alpha)$ if $\alpha$ is $c, d, e, f$ or $g$ and
- $V^{(a)}(\alpha) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \varphi^{7n}(\alpha)$ if $\alpha$ is $t, u, v, w, x, y$ or $z$.

The points $(U, V^{(a)})$ are fixed by

- $\partial^2 \varphi^2$ if $\alpha$ is a or $b$,
• $\partial^2 \varphi^3$ if $\alpha$ is $c, d, e, f$ or $g$

• $\partial^2 \varphi^7$ if $\alpha$ is $t, u, v, w, x, y$ or $z$,

but the singularity $\Omega = \{(U, V^{(\alpha)}); \alpha \in \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, t, u, v, w, x, y, z\}\}$ is fixed by $\varphi^{70}$.

Thanks to proposition 3.8, we now know identifying the singularities can be done by running a finite number of pairs of points of $\Sigma_\varphi$ through theorem 3.7. We still need to verify that theorem 3.7 effectively answers in a finite time.

### 3.2.2 Comparing two prefix-suffix developments takes a finite time

Let $W$ and $W'$ be two points of $\Sigma_\varphi$ such that $\rho_{\varphi^k}(W) = (p, a, s)*$ and $\rho_{\varphi^k}(W') = (q, b, r)*$. Suppose $i$ and $j$ are the smallest integers such that $w = \gamma^i_{\varphi^k}(p) = \gamma^j_{\varphi^k}(q)$. Then we can find a prefix $w(0)$ of $w$ such that $w(0) = \varphi^k(ax)y(0) = \varphi^k(by)$ where $a$ and $b$ are distinct elements of $A_N$, the words $x$ and $y$ are either empty or pure positive and $y(0)$ is a strict suffix of $\varphi^k(y_p)$ if $y_p$ is the last letter of $y$. Applying $\varphi^{-k}$ then gives $ax\varphi^{-k}(y(0)) = by$. This can only happen if $\varphi^{-k}(y(0)) = u*v$ with $u$ pure negative and such that $|u| = |ax|$ and $v$ pure positive. Of course there is a similar reasoning to be made for $\gamma_{\varphi^k}$.

**Definition 3.9.** For any $1 \leq k \leq 4N - 4$, define $\mathcal{E}_k$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}_k$) as the set of strict and non empty suffixes (resp. prefixes) $y$ (resp. $x$) of words $\varphi^k(a)$ for all $a \in A_N$ that verify $\varphi^{-k}(y) = u(y) \ast v(y)$ (resp. $\varphi^{-k}(x) = u(x) \ast v(x)$) with $u(y)$ (resp. $u(x)$) empty or pure negative and $v(y)$ (resp. $v(x)$) non empty and pure positive.

The $\gamma_{\varphi^k}$-bound (resp. $\gamma_{\varphi^k}$-bound) is defined by $\max \{|u(y)|\}$ (resp. $\max \{|u(x)|\}$).

We now prove it takes a finite time to determine whether two points with constant prefix-suffix developments can yield (using theorem 3.7) two points belonging to a common singularity.

**Proposition 3.10.** Let $W$ and $W'$ be two points of $\Sigma_\varphi$ such that $\rho_{\varphi^k}(W) = (p, a, s)*$ and $\rho_{\varphi^k}(W') = (q, b, r)*$ with $(p, a, s) \neq (q, b, r)$. There exist integers $i_0$ and $j_0$ such that, if for any $0 \leq m \leq i_0$ and $0 \leq n \leq j_0$, we have $\gamma^m_{\varphi^k}(p) \neq \gamma^n_{\varphi^k}(q)$, then for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\gamma^m_{\varphi^k}(p) \neq \gamma^n_{\varphi^k}(q)$. The same holds for $\gamma_{\varphi^k}$.

**Proof.** In this proof, the $\gamma_{\varphi^k}$-bound is simply denoted $g_k$. First, if $p = \epsilon$ (resp. $q = \epsilon$) and $q \neq \epsilon$ (resp. $p \neq \epsilon$), then we obviously have $\gamma^m_{\varphi^k}(p) \neq \gamma^n_{\varphi^k}(q)$ for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Suppose now $p \neq \epsilon$ and $q \neq \epsilon$. Let $i$ (resp. $j$) be the smallest positive integer for which there exists a pure positive word $x$ (resp. $y$) with $|x| > g_k$ (resp. $|y| > g_k$) such that $\gamma^i_{\varphi^k}(p) = \varphi^k(x) \ast x'$ (resp. $\gamma^j_{\varphi^k}(q) = \varphi^k(y) \ast y'$) empty or pure positive. Observe the existence of such integers is a consequence of the primitivity of $\varphi$.

If $|\gamma^i_{\varphi^k}(p)| > |\gamma^j_{\varphi^k}(q)|$ (resp. $|\gamma^j_{\varphi^k}(q)| > |\gamma^i_{\varphi^k}(p)|$) then define $i_0 = i$ (resp. $j_0 = j$) if $i_0$ (resp. $i_0$) as the smallest integer such that $|\gamma^{i_0}_{\varphi^k}(q)| > |\gamma^i_{\varphi^k}(p)|$ (resp. $|\gamma^{j_0}_{\varphi^k}(p)| > |\gamma^j_{\varphi^k}(q)|$). If $|\gamma^i_{\varphi^k}(p)| = |\gamma^j_{\varphi^k}(q)|$, then define $i_0$ and $j_0$ to be the smallest integers such that $|\gamma^{i_0}_{\varphi^k}(p)| > |\gamma^i_{\varphi^k}(p)|$ and $|\gamma^{j_0}_{\varphi^k}(q)| > |\gamma^j_{\varphi^k}(q)|$. Again, this is possible because $\varphi$ is primitive.
Let $\varphi$ be an $A_N$-positive primitive automorphism and let $\Sigma$ be its attracting subshift. The following algorithm determines all the singularities of $\Sigma$ in a finite number of steps.

(1) Set $k = 1$ and determine the $\gamma_{\varphi^k}$-bound and $\gamma_{\varphi^k}$-bound (definition 3.9).

(2) Define $L_k$ as the set of loops (cycles of length 1) of the prefix-suffix automaton associated to $\varphi^k$. Note this does not actually require defining the whole automaton. Simply list all triplets $(p, a, s)$ of $F_N \times A_N \times F_N$ verifying $\varphi^k(a) = p \ast a \ast s$.

(3) For all pairs $((p, a, s), (q, b, r))$ of distinct elements of $L_k$,

(3.1) search for the smallest integers (see proposition 3.10 for a condition of existence using the $\gamma_{\varphi^k}$-bound) $i$ and $j$ such that $\gamma_{\varphi^k}^i(p) = \gamma_{\varphi^k}^j(q)$. If $i$ and $j$ exist, then

(3.1.1) define $w = \gamma_{\varphi^k}^i(p) = \gamma_{\varphi^k}^j(q)$,

(3.1.2) define a new singularity $\Omega$ containing all the points $W$ such that there exist $W_0$ (resp. $W(1)$) with $W = S^{-1}(W_0)$ and $\rho_{\varphi^k}(W_0) = (p, a, s)*$ (resp. $W = S^{-1-1}(W(1))$ and $\rho_{\varphi^k}(W(1)) = (q, b, r)*$ (see theorem 3.7).

(3.1.3) Associate the label $\psi = i_w \circ \varphi^k$ to the singularity $\Omega$. Observe that $\psi$ does not necessarily fix the singularity (section 3.2.1), but one of its power does.

(3.1.4) Search (among already known singularities) for a singularity $\Omega_0$ labeled $\psi_0$ with $\psi_0 = \psi^h$ for some integers $h, h'$. If $\Omega_0$ exists, then define $\Omega' = \Omega \cup \Omega_0$, associate the label $\psi_0$ if $h \geq h'$ and $\psi$ if $h < h'$ (we keep the smallest automorphism as label) to $\Omega'$ and disregard singularities $\Omega$ and $\Omega_0$.

(3.1.5) Calculate the new current index using theorem 2.28 and exit if it reaches $N - 1$ (theorem 1.5).

(3.2) Search for the smallest integers (see proposition 3.10 for a condition of existence using the $\gamma_{\varphi^k}$-bound) $i$ and $j$ such that $\gamma_{\varphi^k}^i(s) = \gamma_{\varphi^k}^j(r)$. If $i$ and $j$ exist, then

(3.2.1) define $w^{-1} = \gamma_{\varphi^k}^i(p) = \gamma_{\varphi^k}^j(q), \quad 27$
Proposition 3.11. Let $\Omega$ be a new singularity containing all the points $W$ such that there exist $W = S^{i+1}(W_0)$ and $\rho_{\varphi^k}(W_0) = (p, a, s)*$ (resp. $W = S^{i+1}(W_1)$ and $\rho_{\varphi^k}(W_1) = (q, b, r)*$) (see theorem 3.7).

(3.2.3) Reproduce steps (3.1.3), (3.1.4) and (3.1.5).

(4) If $k = 4N - 4$ then calculate the index using theorem 2.28 and exit. If $k < 4N - 4$ then set $k = k + 1$, determine the new $\gamma_{\varphi^-}$-bound and $\gamma_{\varphi^+}$-bound and go back to step (2).

Note that the singularity graph can be easily constructed thanks to the next proposition.

**Proposition 3.11.** Let $W$ and $W'$ be two points of $\Sigma_{\varphi}$ with $\rho_{\varphi^k}(W) = (p_i, a_i, s_i)_{0 \leq i < n} (p, a, s)^*$ and $\rho_{\varphi^k}(W') = (q_i, b_i, r_i)_{0 \leq i < n} (p, a, s)^*$.

- If $p \neq \epsilon$ and $s \neq \epsilon$ then $W$ and $W'$ are on the same $S$-orbit.
- If $p = \epsilon$ or $s = \epsilon$, then there is a point $W''$ such that $\rho_{\varphi^k}(W'') = \rho_{\varphi^k}(W'')$ and $W$ and $W''$ are on the same $S$-orbit.

One may also observe that two points $W$ and $W'$ of $\Sigma_{\varphi}$ with $\rho_{\varphi^k}(W) = (p_i, a_i, s_i)_{0 \leq i < n} (p, a, s)^*$ and $\rho_{\varphi^k}(W') = (q_i, b_i, r_i)_{0 \leq i < n} (q, b, r)^*$ and $(p, a, s) \neq (q, b, r)$ may still be on the same orbit if (and this is not sufficient) $p = \epsilon$ (resp. $s = \epsilon$) and $r = \epsilon$ (resp. $q = \epsilon$).

## 4 Examples

### 4.1 First example

Consider the $\{a, b, c\}$-positive primitive automorphism $\phi$ defined by

$$
\phi : a \mapsto ba, \quad b \mapsto babac, \quad c \mapsto b,
$$

$$
\phi^{-1} : a \mapsto c^{-1}a, \quad b \mapsto c, \quad c \mapsto a^{-1}a^{-1}b.
$$

The set of loops of the prefix-suffix automaton associated to $\phi$ is simply

$$
\mathcal{L}_1 = \{(b, a, \epsilon), (\epsilon, b, abac), (ba, b, ac)\}.
$$

One may check that no singularities are created, and we move on to $\phi^2$.

$$
\phi^2 : a \mapsto babacba, \quad b \mapsto bababababacbabca, \quad c \mapsto babac
$$

$$
\phi^{-2} : a \mapsto b^{-1}ac^{-1}a, \quad b \mapsto a^{-1}ab^{-1}b, \quad c \mapsto a^{-1}ca^{-1}cc
$$

The set $\mathcal{L}_2$ of loops of the prefix-suffix automaton associated to $\phi^2$ is quite large, and we only mention relevant triplets. Obviously, there is a singularity

$$
\Omega_0 = \{S^i(W) \in \Sigma_{\phi}; \rho_{\phi^2}(W) \in \{(babac, a, \epsilon), (bababababacbabca, b, \epsilon), (baba, c, \epsilon)\}\}.
$$

Considering $\phi^2(u)$ starts with the letter $b$ for any letter $u \in A_N$, we deduce $\Omega_0$ contains exactly 3 points. Also observe the triplets $(babababa, b, acabab)$, $(babac, b, abababab)$ are in $\mathcal{L}_2$ and we have

$$
\gamma_{\varphi^2}(babac) = \varphi^2(e)babac = babacbabacbab
$$

which gives the singularity $\Omega_1 = \{W_{(1)}, W'_{(1)}\}$ with
\[ \rho_{\varphi^2}(W_{(1)}) = (babcbaba, b, acab) \] and \[ \rho_{\varphi^2}(S^1(W_{(1)}')) = (bab, b, ababcbab). \]

Finally, the triplet \((b, a, bacba)\) is also in \(L_2\) and we have

\[ \gamma_{\varphi^2}(b) = \gamma_{\varphi^2}(babcbaba) \]

which gives a singularity \(\Omega_2 = \{ W_{(2)}, S^{-1}(W_{(1)}), S^{-1}(W_{(1)}') \}\) with

\[ \rho_{\varphi^2}(S^1(W_{(2)})) = (b, a, bacba). \]

At that point, \(#(H_{\Omega_0}) = 4, #(\Omega_1/ \approx) = 2\) and \(#(\Omega_2/ \approx) = 2\) (because \(S^{-1}(W_{(1)}) \approx S^{-1}(W_{(1)}')\)) and the FO-index of \(\phi\) reaches the maximum possible value of 2. We obtain the singularity graph described on figure 1, which contains two connected components. The infinite edges labeled with an element of \(\Sigma^-_\varphi\) (resp. \(\Sigma^+_\varphi\)) are conventionally drawn going left (resp. right).

![Singularity graph associated to \(\phi\).](image)

### 4.2 Second example

The following example is a standard automorphism coming from a pseudo-Anosov on a surface of genus 2 with one boundary component. Alternatively, one can see it as the coding automorphism associated to an interval exchange transformation. Consider the \(\{a, b, c, d\}\)-positive primitive automorphism \(\phi\) defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi &: \quad a \mapsto abdcd \\
b &: \quad abdbd \\
c &: \quad accd \\
d &: \quad acd \\
\phi^{-1} &: \quad a \mapsto da^{-1}b^{-1}ad^{-1} \\
b &: \quad da^{-1}bd^{-1}cd^{-1}ad^{-1}b^{-1}ad^{-1} \\
c &: \quad da^{-1}bda^{-1}cd^{-1}ad^{-1}b^{-1}ad^{-1} \\
d &: \quad da^{-1}bda^{-1}dc^{-1}d
\end{align*}
\]
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Note that, since \( \phi(u) \) starts with \( a \) and ends with \( d \) for any letter \( u \in A_N \), we can deduce the prefix-suffix development map is a bijection. The set of loops of the prefix-suffix automaton associated to \( \phi \) is

\[ \mathcal{L}_1 = \{ (\epsilon, a, bdacd), (abd, a, cd), (a, b, dbd), (abd, b, d), (a, c, d), (ac, c, d) \} \]

There are a lot of obvious pairs yielding singularities. We get:

- \( \Omega_0 = \{ W_0, W'_0 \} \) with \( \rho_\phi(W_0) = (a, b, dbd) \) and \( \rho_\phi(W'_0) = (a, c, cd) \),
- \( \Omega_1 = \{ W_1, W'_1 \} \) with \( \rho_\phi(W_1) = (abd, a, cd) \) and \( \rho_\phi(W'_1) = (abd, b, d) \),
- \( \Omega_2 = \{ W_2, W'_2 \} \) with \( \rho_\phi(W_2) = (ac, c, d) \) and \( \rho_\phi(W'_2) = (ac, d, e) \),
- \( \Omega_3 = \{ W_3, W'_3 \} \) with \( \rho_\phi(S^{-1}(W_3)) = (abd, a, cd) \) and \( \rho_\phi(S^{-1}(W'_3)) = (a, c, cd) \),
- \( \Omega_4 = \{ W_4, W'_4 \} \) with \( \rho_\phi(S^{-1}(W_4)) = (abd, b, d) \) and \( \rho_\phi(S^{-1}(W'_4)) = (ac, c, d) \).

Also, observe \( \gamma_\phi(dbd) = bdacd \), and deduce there is a singularity \( \Omega_5 = \{ W_5, W'_5 \} \) with \( \rho_\phi(S^{-2}(W_5)) = (a, b, dbd) \) and \( \rho_\phi(S^{-1}(W'_5)) = (\epsilon, a, bdacd) \). Observe that these singularities give \( \phi \) a maximum FO-index of 3. We obtain the singularity graph of figure 2, composed of a single connected component.

![Figure 2: Singularity graph associated to \( \phi \).](image)

The graph contains a cycle which, as stated (theorem 2.26), tells us about the fixed subgroups of the automorphisms fixing the singularities. For example, \( \Omega_0 \) is fixed by \( i_a \circ \phi \), and one can check that the cycle

\[ ca^{-1}be^{-1}da(bd)^{-1} \]

starting and ending at \( \Omega_0 \) in the singularity graph is effectively fixed by \( i_a \circ \phi \).
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