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1. Introduction

Discrete-time systems have a prominent role in many branches of non-linear sciences. Hamiltonian (or area-preserving) maps, for instance, are particularly relevant for the modeling of classical dynamical systems [1, 2]. The study of chaos in such systems has followed two main lines. The first one considers some individual trajectories in order to explore and characterize the system’s main topological properties [1, 2]. The second one investigates the distribution functions of statistical ensembles or, more specifically, some transport properties of the associated maps [3]. The present work belongs to this latter group.

In the past, many investigations of transport properties for Hamiltonian maps have been motivated by the paradigmatic Chirikov–Taylor standard map [4]. Although considerable progress in the study of diffusion has been achieved in the last 25 years [5]–[12], higher-order transport coefficients have been particularly overlooked. The fourth-order coefficient $B$, known as the Burnett coefficient [3], for instance, plays a central role in the large deviations theory: its magnitude gives the first indication of the deviation of a density function from a Gaussian packet.

Here, we consider some non-Gaussian features of the chaotic transport for Hamiltonian maps by means of high-order corrections to the spectral properties of the associated Perron–Frobenius operator $U$. Exponential relaxation for $U$ was rigorously established for hyperbolic systems by Pollicott and Ruelle [13, 14]. The relaxation rates $\gamma_m$, known as Pollicott–Ruelle resonances, are related to the poles $z_m = e^{\gamma_m}$ of
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the resolvent $R(z) = (z - U)^{-1}$. These resonances are located inside the unit circle in the complex $z$ plane, despite the spectrum of $U$ being confined to the unit circle because of unitarity [15]. The normal late time evolution of density or correlations functions are dominated by the leading Pollicott–Ruelle (LPR) resonances. Recently, this mathematically well-established result has been confirmed in the high stochasticity approximation for some mixed systems [16,17]. In [17], the LPR resonances are analytically calculated for the generic radial twist map [1]

$$I_{n+1} = I_n + K f(\theta_n),$$
$$\theta_{n+1} = \theta_n + c\alpha(I_{n+1}) \mod 2\pi,$$

(1)

defined on the cylinder $-\pi \leq \theta < \pi$, $-\infty < I < \infty$. We call $f(\theta)$ and $\alpha(I) = \alpha(I + 2\pi r)$, respectively, the impulse function and the rotation number. The constants $c$, $r$, and $K$ are assumed to be real, and $K$ is named the stochasticity parameter. One can also consider non-periodic cases by taking the limit $r \to \infty$. The LPR resonances for (1) were obtained in [17] without any high stochasticity approximation, up to corrections of second order in the wavenumber. It is shown, in particular, that the wavenumber dependence of the LPR resonances determines the transport coefficients.

In the present paper, we extend the results of [17] to higher-order wavenumber corrections with the purpose of evaluating the Burnett coefficient for the map (1). Kurtosis, in particular, is then explicitly calculated. Our results are compared with numerical simulations for some specific models, namely the standard map, the sawtooth map, and two maps with non-linear rotation numbers: a periodic one (the tangent map), and a non-periodic one (the cubic map). In all cases, a very good agreement is obtained. Our results allow us also to infer a characteristic timescale delimiting the onset of the Markovian regime for the density function. We show that such a characteristic timescale is sharper than the others previously obtained in the literature.

2. Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis of the map (1) is best carried out in Fourier space. The conditional probability that an initial state $(I_0, \theta_0)$ evolves to a final state $(I_n, \theta_n)$ is given by

$$\int dI d\theta \rho_n(I, \theta).$$

The Fourier expansion of the distribution function $\rho_n(I, \theta)$ can be written as

$$\rho_n(I, \theta) = \sum_m \int dq e^{i(m\theta + qI)} a_n(m, q),$$

(2)

where the initial density is given by $\rho_0 = \delta(I - I_0)\delta(\theta - \theta_0)$, and thus $a_0(m, q) = (2\pi)^{-2}e^{-i(m\theta_0 + qI_0)}$. The expected values of the moments $I^p$ can be calculated from the Fourier amplitudes $a_n(m, q)$ by

$$\langle I^p \rangle_n = (2\pi)^2[(i\partial_q)^p a_n(q)]_{q=0},$$

(3)

where $a_n(q) \equiv a_n(0, q)$. An alternative way to calculate the moments is given by the following expression,

$$F_n(q) \equiv \langle \exp[-iq(I - I_0)] \rangle_n,$$

(4)
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known as the Van Hove incoherent intermediate scattering function \[3\]. In the limit \(q \to 0\), \(F_n(q)\) becomes the generating function of the generalized moments:

\[
F_n(q) = \exp \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-iq)^l}{l!} C_l(n),
\]

(5)

where \(C_l\) denotes the cumulant moments \([18, 19]\). Defining \(\Delta I \equiv I - I_0\), the first cumulants are given by

\[
C_1 = \langle \Delta I \rangle_n,
\]

(6)

\[
C_2 = \langle (\Delta I)^2 \rangle_n - C_1^2,
\]

(7)

\[
C_3 = \langle (\Delta I)^3 \rangle_n - 3C_1C_2 - C_1^3,
\]

(8)

\[
C_4 = \langle (\Delta I)^4 \rangle_n - 3C_2^2 - 4C_1C_3 - 6C_1^2C_2 - C_1^4.
\]

(9)

The existence of cumulant moments satisfying the equation (5) is not assumed a priori. Note, however, that \(F_n(q)\) is analytic around the origin \(q = 0\) if and only if all moments \(\langle (\Delta I)^l \rangle_n\) exist and are finite. This condition breaks down in cases of distributions with ‘fat tails’, like the non-Gaussian Levy stable distributions \([20]\). The Van Hove function (4) can be calculated explicitly from the density (2):

\[
F_n(q) = \int dI d\theta e^{-i(q(I-I_0))\rho_n(I,\theta)} = (2\pi)^{2} e^{i(qI_0)\rho_n(q)}.
\]

(10)

Assuming that the evolution law for the relevant Fourier amplitude \(a_n(q)\) is exponential for long times,

\[
a_n(q) = \exp[n\gamma(q)]a_0(q),
\]

(11)

and that the initial relevant amplitude is given by \(a_0(q) = (2\pi)^{-2}\rho^{-i(qI_0)}\), the dispersion rate \(\gamma(q)\) can be obtained from the limit \(n \to \infty\) of the Van Hove function,

\[
\gamma(q) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln[F_n(q)].
\]

(12)

Combining the generating function (5) with the dispersion rate (12), one can define the generalized transport coefficients \(D_{2l}\) by

\[
D_{2l} \equiv \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2n} \frac{C_{2l}(n)}{(2l)!} = \frac{(-1)^l}{(2l)!} \partial^2_{q} \gamma(q)|_{q=0}.
\]

(13)

If \(C_1 = 0\), the Einstein formula for the diffusion coefficient \(D\) is obtained by setting \(l = 1\),

\[
D \equiv \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2n} \langle (\Delta I)^2 \rangle_n = -\frac{1}{2} \partial^2_{q} \gamma(q)|_{q=0},
\]

(14)

while the Burnett coefficient \(B\) is obtained for \(l = 2\),

\[
B \equiv \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{4!n} \left[ \langle (\Delta I)^4 \rangle_n - 3 \langle (\Delta I)^2 \rangle_n^2 \right] = \frac{1}{4!} \partial^4_{q} \gamma(q)|_{q=0}.
\]

(15)

The diffusion \(D\) and the Burnett \(B\) coefficients are the bases for our analysis on non-Gaussian features of the chaotic transport for the map (1).
2.1. Accelerator modes

Before starting our analysis, however, one should warn about the so-called accelerator modes [1], corresponding to fixed points $(\theta^*, I^*)$ of (1):

$$K f(\theta^*) = 2\pi r L_I, \quad c\alpha(I^*) = 2\pi L_\theta,$$

where $L_\theta$ and $L_I$ are integers satisfying the stability condition

$$|2 + cK f'(\theta^*)\alpha'(I^*)| \leq 2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

Typically, trajectories diffuse normally, although some of them may be dragged along the accelerated modes, if they do exist. These rare events become meaningful for sufficiently high timescales, resulting in anomalous diffusion of Levy type for map parameters satisfying (17). In such a case, the diffusion coefficient behaves locally between normal dynamics and accelerator modes, for which one has $D \sim n^{\beta - 1}$ for $1 < \beta < 2$ [20, 21].

In the case of the standard map, these divergences result in peaks for the value of $D$ for $K = 2m\pi$, with decreasing amplitude as $K$ increases [1].

3. Higher-order Pollicott–Ruelle resonances

The dispersion rate (12) for the system (1) was considered in [17] up to order $O(q^2)$ by means of the decomposition of the resolvent $R(z) = (z - U)^{-1}$, based on the projection operator techniques utilized by Hasegawa and Saphir [22] and Balescu [20] for the standard map. The operator $U$ defines the law of evolution of the Fourier amplitudes, $a_n(q) = U^n a_0(q)$. Its iteration $U^n$ can be formally obtained through the identity $\oint_C dz R(z) z^n = 2\pi i U^n$, where the contour of integration lies outside the unit circle. One then introduces the mutually orthogonal projection operator $P = |q, 0\rangle \langle q, 0|$, which selects the relevant state, and its complement $Q = 1 - P$, leading to

$$a_n(q) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C dz \frac{z^n}{z - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} z^{-j} \Psi_j(q)} a_0(q),$$

where $\Psi_j(q)$ are the so-called memory functions for the system (1). The resulting integral is solved by the method of residues by truncating the infinite denominator series at $j = N$ and then taking the limit $N \to \infty$. The non-trivial leading pole was evaluated in the limit $n \to \infty$ by the well-known Newton–Raphson iterative method starting with $z_0 = 1$ [17].

The $O(q^4)$ correction of the LPR resonances can be obtained by introducing into the denominator of equation (18) the $O(q^4)$ corrections to the value of $z$,

$$z = 1 - Dq^2 + O(q^4),$$

and repeating the same steps done in [17]. Taking into account that $\Psi_0(q) = 1 + O(q^2)$ and $\Psi_{j\geq 1}(q) = O(q^2)$, the higher-order LPR resonance can be rewritten as

$$\gamma(q) = \ln \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 + j Dq^2) \Psi_j(q) + O(q^6).$$

\hspace{1cm} (20)
The memory functions $\Psi_j(q)$ are the same ones obtained originally in [17] for the system (1):

$$\Psi_0(q) = J_0(-Kq),$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (21a)

$$\Psi_1(q) = \sum_m J_{-m}(-Kq)J_m(-Kq)G_0(r, mc),$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (21b)

$$\Psi_{j \geq 2}(q) = \sum_{\{m\}} \sum_{\{\lambda\}^j} J_{-m_1}(-Kq)J_{m_j}(-Kq)G_{\lambda_1}(r, m_1c) \times \prod_{i=2}^j G_{\lambda_i}(r, m_ic)J_{m_{i-1}-m_i} \left[-K \left(q + r^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \lambda_k \right) \right].$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (21c)

The Fourier decompositions of the $\alpha(I)$ and $f(\theta)$ functions are, respectively,

$$G_I(r, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\theta \exp\{-i[x\alpha(r\theta) - l\theta]\}, \hspace{0.5cm} (22)$$

$$J_m(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\theta \exp\{-i[m\theta - x f(\theta)]\}. \hspace{0.5cm} (23)$$

Hereafter, the following convention is adopted: wavenumbers denoted by Roman indices can only take non-zero integer values, whereas wavenumbers denoted by Greek indices can take all integer values, including zero. For fixed $j$, the sets of wavenumbers are defined by $\{m\} = \{m_1, \ldots, m_j\}$ and $\{\lambda\}^j = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_j\}$, where the dagger denotes the restriction $\sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_i = 0$. We introduce also the following series expansion for the function (23):

$$J_m(x) = \delta_{m,0} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{m,n} x^n, \hspace{0.5cm} (24)$$

where

$$c_{m,n} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{i^n}{n!} \int d\theta \ f^n(\theta) e^{-im\theta}. \hspace{0.5cm} (25)$$

4. Transport coefficients and kurtosis

The general exact diffusion coefficient can be obtained by using the definition (14) and the LPR resonance (20), taking into account (21a)–(21c),

$$\frac{D}{D_{ql}} = 1 + 2 \sum_{m \geq 1} \sigma_{m,m} \text{Re}[G_0(r, mc)] + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\{m\}} \sum_{\{\lambda\}^j} \sigma_{m_1,m_j} G_{\lambda_1}(r, m_1c) \times \prod_{i=2}^j G_{\lambda_i}(r, m_ic)J_{m_{i-1}-m_i} \left(-\frac{K}{r} \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \lambda_k \right), \hspace{0.5cm} (26)$$

where the condition $c_{0,1} = 0$ (and thus $C_1 = 0$) is required, $D_{ql} = -c_{0,2} K^2$ is the quasilinear diffusion coefficient and $\sigma_{m,m'} = c_{-m_1c_{m_1}} / c_{0,2}$ [17]. The diffusion coefficient (26) gives the lowest-order macroscopic description of the diffusion process. If the evolution process
Chaotic Hamiltonian transport

is asymptotically truly diffusive, then the angle-averaged density should have a Gaussian contour after a sufficiently long time. A first indication of the deviation of a density function from a Gaussian packet is given by the Burnett coefficient $B$ defined by (15). The dimensionless fourth-order cumulant

$$\kappa(n) \equiv \frac{\langle (\Delta I)^4 \rangle_n}{\langle (\Delta I)^2 \rangle_n^2}$$

is usually called the kurtosis. For Gaussian densities, $B = 0$ and $\kappa = 3$ for all times. Combining (14), (15), and (27), we obtain for sufficiently long times

$$\kappa = 3 + \frac{6B/D^2}{n}. \quad (28)$$

The Burnett coefficient $B$ can be evaluated in the chaotic regime by truncating the resonance (20) at $j = 2$ so that (15) can now be applied to (20), yielding

$$B \approx -\frac{5}{2}D^2 + 2DD_q - \frac{1}{2}D\partial_q^2\Psi_1 + \frac{1}{4!}\partial_q^4(\Psi_0 + \Psi_1 + \Psi_2) \quad (29)$$
calculated at $q = 0$. In order to verify these results, we calculate the Burnett coefficients and the respective kurtosis for some particular cases of maps (1) and compare with the respective numerical simulations. To this purpose, it is important to choose intermediate values of $n$, since exaggerated values tend to erase non-Gaussian fluctuations quickly.

4.1. The standard map

The standard map corresponds to the choice $c_0(I) = I$ and $f(\theta) = \sin \theta$ in (1). The memory functions (21a)–(21c) are rather simple for the case of linear rotation number since one has $G_{\lambda}(1, x) = \delta_{\lambda,x}$. For the standard map, $J_m(x)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind $J_m(x)$, $D_q = K^2/4$, and $\sigma_{m,m'} = (\pm \delta_{m,\pm 1})(\pm \delta_{m',\pm 1})$. The final expressions for $D$ and $B$ in the chaotic regime for the standard map are given by

$$\frac{D}{D_q} = 1 - 2J_2(K) + \mathcal{O}(K^{-1}), \quad (30)$$

$$\frac{B}{D_q^2} = -\frac{1}{4} + J_0(K) + 2J_2(K) + J_4(K) + \frac{1}{2}J_2(2K) + \mathcal{O}(K^{-1}). \quad (31)$$

The kurtosis (28) can be evaluated straightforwardly. Figure 1 depicts the calculated kurtosis and the results of numerical simulations for the standard map. We notice, in particular, the presence of accelerator modes.

4.2. The sawtooth map

The sawtooth map corresponds to $c_0(I) = I$ and $f(\theta) = \theta$. As for the standard map, $G_{\lambda}(1, x) = \delta_{\lambda,x}$. On the other hand, in this case, $J_m(x) = \sin[\pi(m - x)]/\pi(m - x)$, $D_q = K^2\pi^2/6$, and $\sigma_{m,m'} = (6/\pi^2)((-1)^{m-m'}/mm')$, leading to

$$\frac{D}{D_q} = 1 - \frac{1}{6}S_3(K), \quad (32)$$

$$\frac{B}{D_q^2} = -\frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{3}S_3(K) + \frac{3 + 2K}{2 + K}C_4(K) + \frac{9 + 10K + 3K^2}{(2 + K)^2}S_5(K). \quad (33)$$
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Figure 1. Kurtosis as a function of $K$ for the standard map for $c = 1$ and $n = 10$. The dots correspond to numerically computed values and the solid line to the theoretical result in the chaotic regime, up to terms of order $O(K^{-1})$. For each value of $K$, $10^5$ random initial conditions are considered in the numerical simulation. This plot shows good agreement with numerical calculations although the accelerator modes give rise to spikes in the figure. The dashed line corresponds to the kurtosis calculated from the results of Tabet et al presented in [24]. See section 4.5 for further details. As one can see, the present results give rise to a superior description.

both up to $O(K^{-2})$ order, where the functions $S_j(K)$ and $C_j(K)$ are given by

$$S_{2j+1}(K) \equiv \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{72 \sin(\pi m K)}{(K + 2)(\pi m)^{2j + 1}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (34)$$

$$C_{2j}(K) \equiv \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{72 \cos(\pi m K)}{(K + 2)(\pi m)^{2j}},$$ \hspace{1cm} (35)$$

and $S_2(K) = C_{2j+1}(K) = 0$. Figure 2 presents the comparison of the kurtosis calculated from (32) and (33) with the numerical simulations for the sawtooth map. As one can see, the absence of accelerator modes contributes to the excellent agreement of the numerical simulation with the theoretical predictions.

A pertinent comment here is that, as one can see from figures 1 and 2, for a fixed $n$, the limit for the kurtosis $\kappa$ is not 3 as $K \to \infty$. From the asymptotic values of (30), (31) and (32), (33), it is easy to show that, for $n = 10$, the kurtosis in the limit $K \to \infty$ tends to the values $57/20$ and $72/25$, respectively, for the standard and sawtooth maps. Similar results hold also for other maps. The conclusion that, for fixed $n$, the limit of high stochasticity is not enough to assure a Gaussian regime is interesting and certainly would deserve a deeper analysis.
4.3. The tangent map

We call the tangent map the choice of \( f(\theta) = \theta \) and \( \alpha(I) = \tan(I/2) \) in (1). It is our first example of a map with a non-linear rotation number. The functions \( J_m(x) \) are the same as in the sawtooth map. The functions \( G_\ell(1, x) \) can be calculated by complex residues from their definition (22). Introducing the variable \( s = \tan(\theta/2) \) and taking into account the identity \( \arctan s = \arctanh i s \), we have

\[
G_\ell(1, x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - i s)^{\ell-1} e^{ixs}}{(1 + i s)^{\ell+1}} \, ds. \tag{36}
\]

For \( \ell = 0 \), (36) has two single poles on the complex plane located at \( z = \pm i \). For positive \( x \) and negative \( x \), one closes the integration path of (36), respectively, by the positive \( \text{Im}(z) \) and negative \( \text{Im}(z) \) semiplanes, giving simply

\[
G_0(1, x) = e^{-|x|}. \tag{37}
\]

Let us now consider \( \ell > 0 \). Notice that \( G_\ell(1, x) \) can be evaluated for negative \( \ell \) by observing that \( G_{-\ell}(1, x) = G_\ell(1, -x) \). The integral (36) has a unique pole of order \( \ell + 1 \) at \( z = i \) for \( \ell > 0 \). In such a case, for negative \( x \) we can close the integration path in the negative \( \text{Im}(z) \) semiplane and conclude that \( G_\ell(1, x) = 0 \) for \( \ell > 0 \) and \( x < 0 \). For positive \( x \) we close the integration path in the positive \( \text{Im}(z) \) semiplane and obtain

\[
G_\ell(1, x) = 2 \frac{(-1)^\ell}{\ell!} \left[ \frac{d^\ell}{ds^\ell} ((s + 1)^{\ell-1} e^{-xs}) \right]_{s=1}, \tag{38}
\]
Chaotic Hamiltonian transport

Figure 3. Diffusion (a) and kurtosis (b) as a function of $K$ for the tangent map with $c = 3$ and $n = 10$. The dots correspond to the numerically computed values and the solid line to the theoretical result in the chaotic regime. For each value of $K$, $10^5$ random initial conditions are considered in the numerical simulations. Both plots also exhibit good agreement between the predictions and the numerical simulations.

for $\ell > 0$ and $x \geq 0$. As illustrative examples, the very first functions (38) are given by

$$G_1(1, x) = 2xe^{-x},$$

$$G_2(1, x) = 2x(x - 1)e^{-x},$$

$$G_3(1, x) = \frac{2}{3}x(2x^2 - 6x + 3)e^{-x},$$

for $x \geq 0$.

The expressions for $D$ and $B$ are obtained from (26) and (29), respectively. Figure 3 depicts the comparison between the calculated diffusion and kurtosis for the tangent map and the numerical simulations.
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4.4. The cubic map

We call the cubic map the choice $\alpha(I) = I^3/3$ and $f(\theta) = \sin \theta$ in (1). It is our example of a map with non-periodic non-linear rotation number. Due to the non-periodicity, some parts of our formalism will be modified. First, we rewrite the function (22) in the following way:

$$G_{\lambda}(r, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{-\pi r}^{\pi r} du \, e^{-i(x\alpha(u) - \lambda r^{-1}u)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(42)}

The non-periodic rotation number corresponds to the limit $r \to \infty$. In this case, we apply the following overall replacements in our formulae:

$$r^{-1} \lambda \rightarrow s,$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(43)}

$$r^{-j} \sum_{\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_j\}} \rightarrow \int ds_1 \times \cdots \times ds_j,$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(44)}

$$rG_{\lambda}(r, x) \rightarrow G(s, x),$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(45)}

where the function $G(s, x)$ is now given by

$$G(s, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dI \, e^{-i(x\alpha(I) - sI)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(46)}

Performing analogous calculations to the periodic case, we obtain the new set of memory functions,

$$\Psi_0(q) = J_0(-Kq),$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(47a)}

$$\Psi_1(q) = \sum_m J_{-m}(-Kq)J_m(-Kq)G(0, mc),$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(47b)}

$$\Psi_{j\geq2}(q) = \sum_m J_{-m_1}(-Kq)J_{m_j}(-Kq) \int_{S^+} d\vec{s} G(s_1, m_1c) \times \prod_{i=2}^j G(s_i, m_ic)J_{m_{i-1}-m_i} \left[-K \left(q + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} s_k\right)\right],$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(47c)}

where $d\vec{s} = ds_1 \times \cdots \times ds_j$, with the domain of integration defined by $S^+ = \{(s_1, \ldots, s_j) : \sum_{i=1}^j s_i = 0\}$. Finally, the diffusion coefficient will be given by

$$\frac{D}{D_{ql}} = 1 + 2\sum_{m=1}^\infty \sigma_{m,m} \Re \{G(0, mc)\} + \sum_{j=2}^\infty \sum_{\{m\}} \sigma_{m_1,m_j} \int_{S^+} d\vec{s} G(s_1, m_1c) \times \prod_{i=2}^j G(s_i, m_ic)J_{m_{i-1}-m_i} \left[-K \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} s_k\right].$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(48)}

Note that, for non-periodic linear rotation numbers, we have $G(s, x) = \delta(s - x)$, and the diffusion formula (48) coincides with the periodic linear rotation number version of (26).
For the cubic map, the function $G(s, x)$ can be calculated by means of Airy functions [23]:

$$G(s, x) = x^{-1/3} \text{Airy}(-x^{-1/3}s).$$  \hfill (49)

The power dependence $x^{-1/3}$ in (49) may create a false impression of divergence of the series (48) for the cases where $c^{1/3} \ll 1$. In order to avoid this problem, one can define

$$c \equiv c_1 c_2, \quad c_2^{1/3} I \equiv x,$$

and rewrite the cubic map as

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + K c_2^{1/3} \sin \theta_n, \quad \theta_{n+1} = \theta_n + c_1 x_n^{3/2} \mod 2\pi.$$  \hfill (51)

From (50), it follows that

$$\begin{align*}
(\Delta x)^2 &= c_2^{2/3} (\Delta I)^2, \\
\mathcal{D}(x) &= c_2^{2/3} \mathcal{D}(I).
\end{align*}$$

Assuming that

$$K \gg c^{-1/3},$$

the rescaling

$$c_1 = K^3 c, \quad c_2 = K^{-3}$$

prevents any potential problem of divergence for maps with small $c$.

For small values of $K$, the memory functions $\Psi_{j \geq 2}(q)$ give rise to high oscillatory combinations of Airy and Bessel functions, with integrals that are very difficult to estimate. On the other hand, the high stochastic condition (53) implies that Airy($c_1^{-1/3} s$) $\approx$ Airy(0) even for sufficiently high values of $|s|$ for which Bessel functions already decay as $J_m(s) \sim e^{i \pi |s|^{-1/2}}$. Thus, in this regime, the rate $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}_{ql}$ for the cubic map can be estimated by

$$\left| \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}_{ql}} - 1 \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_j}{(K c^{1/3})^j},$$

where

$$b_1 = 2 \text{Airy}(0),$$

$$b_{j \geq 2} = \text{Airy}^j(0) \sum_{\{m\}} \frac{\pm \delta_{m_1, \pm 1} \pm \delta_{m_j, \pm 1}}{m_1 \times \cdots \times m_j} \times \int \cdots \int \prod_{i=2}^{j} J_{m_{i-1} - m_i} \left( -\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} s_k \right) = \frac{1}{2} [2 \text{Airy}(0)]^j \sum_{\{m_i = 2l_i + 1\}} \frac{\pm \delta_{m_1, \pm 1} \pm \delta_{m_j, \pm 1}}{m_1 \times \cdots \times m_j} = 8 \text{Airy}^2(0) \delta_{j,2},$$

$$\text{doi:10.1088/1742-5468/2008/01/P01005}$$
leading, as expected, to $\lim_{K \to \infty} D = D_{ql}$. Indeed, the quasilinear regime for the diffusion is rapidly attained for high values of $K$, without oscillations; see figure 4. Similar results hold for any rotation number of the type $\alpha(I) \propto I^p$, for $p > 1$. In such cases, $G(x,0) \propto x^{-1/p}$ and (53) will be replaced by $K \gg c^{-1/p}$.

Regarding the kurtosis for the cubic map, similar arguments can be used to show that

$$\kappa \sim 3 - \frac{6}{5n},$$

also without oscillations for $K \gg c^{-1/3}$. Since the diffusion is quasilinear for such values of $K$, the memory functions $\Psi_j$ can be disregarded for all $j \geq 1$. In this limit, from (29), we have simply $B/D^2 \sim -1/5$, and, hence, this leads to (58). Figure 5 depicts the behavior of the kurtosis for the cubic map.

4.5. Comparison with previous approaches

It is instructive to compare our results with others previously obtained in the literature in the context of the standard map. Tabet et al [24], for instance, do not calculate...
Figure 5. Numerically calculated kurtosis for the cubic map with $c = 10^{-4}$ (a) and $c = 10^{-1}$ (b). For each value of $K$, $10^5$ random initial conditions are considered in the numerical simulations. As is the case for the diffusion coefficient (figure 4), quasilinear behavior is expected to occur for $K > 21$ and $K > 2$, respectively.

the Burnett coefficient explicitly. They use, instead, the Fourier path technique [1, 5] to calculate the moments $\langle (\Delta I)^4 \rangle_n$ and $\langle (\Delta I)^2 \rangle_n$, from which we can evaluate the respective rate $B/D^2$ by means of the definitions (14) and (15). One has

$$\frac{B}{D^2} = -\frac{1}{4} + 3J_2(K) + 2J_4(2K) + O(K^{-1}).$$  (59)

On the other hand, Balescu [25] utilizes a related, but somewhat different approach from ours to calculate kurtosis for the standard map. He also does not explicitly calculate the kurtosis or the Burnett coefficient, but he presents a non-Markovian approach for the relevant amplitudes, for which the propagator $F_n(q)$ is given by

$$F_n \approx \Psi_0^n + (n - 2)\Psi_0^{n-3}\Psi_2 + \frac{1}{2}(n - 5)(n - 6)\Psi_0^{n-6}\Psi_2^2$$  (60)

for $n \geq 6$, where memory functions $\Psi_{j \geq 3}$ contributing with terms of order $O(K^{-1})$ are disregarded. The corresponding Burnett coefficient can be calculated by means of (12) and (15), leading exactly to the same result we have obtained here:

$$\frac{B}{D^2} = -\frac{1}{4} + J_0(K) + J_2(K) + J_4(K) + \frac{1}{2}J_4(2K) + O(K^{-1});$$  (61)

compare with (31) and (30). Although equations (59) and (61) have the same asymptotic value, they are sufficiently different even in the high stochastic regime. However, it becomes difficult to note differences in the kurtosis calculated in both cases for large values of $n$ (Tabet et al used $n = 50$, for instance). For relatively smaller values, equation (61) gives a much better agreement with numerical simulations than (59), as one can see in figure 1.

doi:10.1088/1742-5468/2008/01/P01005
5. Gaussian characteristic timescale

Equation (28) suggests the existence of a characteristic time: the Gaussian timescale $n_G$, defined by

$$n_G \equiv \max_K \left[ 6(|B|/D^2) \right],$$

where $[x] = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{Z} | x \leq n\}$ is the ceiling function. For $n \gg n_G$, the transport process is typically Gaussian, up to order $O(q^6)$. In fact, for $n \gg n_G$, the expansion of the propagator $\exp[n \gamma(q)]$ gives the well-known Gaussian density

$$\exp[n \gamma(q)] = 1 - Dnq^2 + \frac{1}{2} Dn^2 \kappa^4 q^4 + O(q^6) \approx \exp(-Dnq^2) + O(q^6),$$

where $\kappa$ is given by equation (28). The Gaussian timescale $n_G$ has also a second and no lesser important interpretation: in the regime $n \gg n_G$, the time evolution of the relevant amplitudes becomes Markovian. This is easy to realize since, for $n \gg n_G$, the higher-order corrections can be neglected and the LPR resonance (20), which gives the exact expression for the normal diffusion coefficient [17], can be taken as the approximate propagator. Hence, for $n \gg n_G$, equation (11) holds perfectly and, furthermore, becomes the following Markovian master equation:

$$a_n(q) \approx \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Psi_j(q) a_{n-j}(q).$$

The Gaussian timescale $n_G$ is sharper than some characteristic times obtained previously in the literature, such as, for instance, Balescu’s memory time $n_M$ introduced in [25]. Balescu obtained the propagator (60) by means of the general non-Markovian Bandtlow and Coveney master equation [26]. The convolution of the master equation is truncated at the memory time $n_M$, leading to

$$a_{n+1}(q) \approx \sum_{j=0}^{n_c} \Psi_j(q) a_{n-j}(q),$$

where

$$n_c = \begin{cases} n & \text{for } n \leq n_M, \\ n_M & \text{for } n > n_M. \end{cases}$$

Notice that, for $n \gg n_M$, equation (65) becomes the Markovian equation (64). By means of some numerical experiments with the decay of memory functions for the standard map, Balescu concludes that $n_M = 4$ and, in such a case, obtained equation (60).

Evidently, we expect that the two timescales to be related by $n_G = n_M + 1$. The advantage of $n_G$ is that it can be calculated judiciously by means of (62) for a generic class of systems like (1). Moreover, typically, $n_G$ is sharper than Balescu’s memory time. In figure 6 we can observe the behavior of the rate $[6|B|/D^2]$ as a function of $K$ for the standard map and for the sawtooth map. The theoretical prediction given by (62) is confirmed for $K > 4$ for the standard map ($K > 2$ for the sawtooth map). However, it is important also to point out that the Gaussian time (62) evidently fails in
Figure 6. Gaussian timescale as a function of $K$ for the standard map (a) and for the sawtooth map (b), both with $c = 1$ and $n = 10$. For the standard map, we have $n_G = 3$ (or $n_M = 2$) for $K > 4$ (for the sawtooth map, for $K > 2$), in excellent agreement with our expectations. For large $K$, $n_M$ falls to 1, indicating the absence of correlations of order $K^{-1/2}$ for large $n$, for both cases.

The description of the weak-stochasticity regime, since the effects of the KAM surfaces and the stable islands become increasingly important as $K$ decreases, requiring not only the calculation of further memory functions but the inclusion of the source term involving initial conditions (see [26]). For instance, let us consider equation (65) for the general linear rotation number case by applying the Balescu approach for $n_M = 2$ (see the appendix). New calculations of the Burnett coefficient by means of the propagator (A.6) give

$$B \approx -\frac{5}{2}D^2 + 2DD_{ql} + \frac{1}{4!}\partial^4_q(\Psi_0 + \Psi_2)_{q=0},$$

that is, exactly equation (29) for $\Psi_1 = 0$! Hence, we should expect in the chaotic regime

$$n_G = 3 \quad \text{for } c\alpha(I) = I.$$

6. Summary and discussion

In this paper, we have performed a detailed analysis of the non-Gaussian aspects of the normal transport in Hamiltonian discrete systems. The general class of area-preserving
Chaotic Hamiltonian transport maps represented by (1) has been chosen because it comprises a large number of physical situations and has the paradigmatic standard map as a particular case. The map (1) was recently studied in [17], where the basis for the study of the higher-order transport coefficients, including non-hyperbolic systems, was initiated. The LPR resonances of the system (1) were enhanced in its wavenumber dependence with corrections of order $q^4$, so that the corresponding fourth-order Burnett coefficient could be evaluated. Numerical simulations were performed for four particular cases of (1), and excellent agreement with the theoretical predictions is obtained.

We have also established a Gaussian timescale $n_G$ given by equation (62). For $n \gg n_G$, the density function acquires a Gaussian contour and its time evolution is ruled by a Markovian master equation. We also show that $n_G$ is related to the memory time $n_M$ defined by Balescu [25] by $n_G = n_M + 1$. For maps such that $c \alpha(I) = I$ we conclude that $n_G = 3$, a sharper result than Balescu’s memory time $n_M$.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank E Guérón for enlightening discussions and G Dalpian for providing computing resources. This work was supported by funds of FAPESP, CNPq, and UFABC. AS is grateful to Professor V Mukhanov for the warm hospitality at the Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Munich, where part of this work was carried out.

Appendix. Balescu’s approach for $n_M = 2$

In this appendix we show that the cut-off time $n_M$ in the Balescu equation (65) can be taken as $n_M = 2$ in the chaotic regime. For every calculation, we retain arbitrary powers of $\Psi_0$ and terms of order $\Psi_2$ and $\Psi_2^2$ (recalling that $\Psi_1 = 0$ for the linear rotation number case). Equation (65) can be cast in the form $a_{n+1}(q) = F_n(q)a_n(q)$, where

$$F_{n+1}(q) = \sum_{j=0}^{n_c} \Psi_j(q)F_{n-j}(q), \quad F_0(q) \equiv 1,$$

with $n_c = n$ for $n \leq n_M$ and $n_c = n_M$ for $n > n_M$. The next six propagators $F_n$ are given by

$$F_1 = \Psi_0,$$
$$F_2 = \Psi_0^2,$$
$$F_3 = \Psi_0^3 + \Psi_2,$$
$$F_4 = \Psi_0^4 + 2\Psi_0\Psi_2,$$
$$F_5 = \Psi_0^5 + 3\Psi_0^3\Psi_2,$$
$$F_6 = \Psi_0^6 + 4\Psi_0^3\Psi_2 + \Psi_2^2.$$

Thus, we can write a general expression for $F_n$:

$$F_n = \Psi_0^n + x_n\Psi_0^{n-3}\Psi_2 + y_n\Psi_0^{n-4}\Psi_2^2,$$

with the following initial conditions (note that $\Psi_0^k \Psi_2^2 \sim \Psi_2^2 \sim q^4$ for finite $k$):

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = 0, & x_{n \geq 2} = n - 2, \\
y_{n \leq 5} = 0, & y_6 = 1. \end{cases}$$
On the other hand, equation (A.1) gives
\[ F_n = \Psi_0 F_{n-1} + \Psi_2 F_{n-3}, \quad n \geq 3. \] (A.4)

Substituting equation (A.2) into (A.4) and comparing the coefficients, we obtain
\[ \begin{cases} x_n = x_{n-1} + 1, \\ y_n = y_{n-1} + x_{n-3}. \end{cases} \] (A.5)

Solving the system (A.5) with the initial conditions (A.3), we finally obtain the propagator
\[ F_n \] for
\[ n \geq 6: \]
\[ F_n = \Psi_0^n + (n - 2)\Psi_0^{n-3}\Psi_2 + \frac{1}{2}(n - 5)(n - 4)\Psi_0^{n-4}\Psi_2^2, \] (A.6)

which may be compared to (60).
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