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Abstract: Canine filariasis is caused by several nematode species, such as Dirofilaria immitis, Dirofilaria repens, Brugia pahangi, Brugia malayi, and Acanthocheilonema reconditum. Zoonotic filariasis is one of the world’s neglected tropical diseases. Since 2000, the World Health Organization (WHO) has promoted a global filarial eradication program to eliminate filariasis by 2020. Apart from vector control strategies, the infection control of reservoir hosts is necessary for more effective filariasis control. In addition, many studies have reported that Wolbachia is necessary for the development, reproduction, and survival of the filarial nematode. Consequently, the use of antibiotics to kill Wolbachia in nematodes has now become an alternative strategy to control filariasis. Previously, a case of subconjunctival dirofilariasis caused by Dirofilaria spp. has been reported in a woman who resides in the center of Bangkok, Thailand. Therefore, our study aimed to principally demonstrate the presence of filarial nematodes and Wolbachia bacteria in blood collected from domestic dogs from the Bangkok Metropolitan Region, Thailand. A total of 57 blood samples from dogs with suspected dirofilariasis who had visited veterinary clinics in Bangkok were collected. The investigations for the presence of microfilaria were carried out by using both microscopic and molecular examinations. PCR was used as the molecular detection method for the filarial nematodes based on the COI and ITS1 regions. The demonstration of Wolbachia was performed using PCR to amplify the FtsZ gene. All positive samples by PCR were then cloned and sequenced. The results showed that the filarial nematodes were detected in 16 samples (28.07%) using microscopic examinations. The molecular detection of filarial species using COI-PCR revealed that 50 samples (87.72%) were positive; these consisted of 33 (57.89%), 13 (22.81%), and 4 (7.02%) samples for D. immitis, B. pahangi, and B. malayi, respectively. While the ITS1-PCR showed that 41 samples (71.93%) were positive—30 samples (52.63%) were identified as containing D. immitis and 11 samples (19.30%) were identified to have B. pahangi, whereas B. malayi was not detected. Forty-seven samples (82.45%) were positive for Wolbachia DNA and the phylogenetic tree of all positive Wolbachia was classified into the supergroup C clade. This study has established fundamental data on filariasis associated with Wolbachia infection in domestic dogs in the Bangkok Metropolitan Region. An extensive survey of dog blood samples would provide valuable epidemiologic data on potential zoonotic filariasis in Thailand. In addition,
this information could be used for the future development of more effective prevention and control strategies for canine filariasis in Thailand.
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1. Introduction

Filariasis is a mosquito-borne parasitic disease, which is an important public health concern in tropical and subtropical areas. It affects approximately 886 million people in 52 countries worldwide [1]. The disease is commonly found in Southeast Asian countries [2]. Filariasis has been reported as an important zoonosis from dogs and cats, both of which are well recognized as reservoirs for filariasis [3]. Several major canine filarial species have been documented in several localities around the world, including *Dirofilaria immitis*, *Dirofilaria repens*, *Brugia malayi*, *Brugia pahangi*, *Brugia ceylonensis*, *Cercopithifilaria grassii*, *Acanthocheilonem astronematus reconditum*, and *Acanthocheilonem astronematus dracunculoides* [4–6]. In Thailand, the common filarial worms in dogs are *D. immitis*, *B. malayi*, *B. pahangi*, and *A. reconditum* [7–9]. *D. immitis* is the most common species; it causes canine heartworm disease or canine dirofilariasis and is widespread. The disease is endemic in tropical and subtropical regions throughout the world [10]. Among the neglected tropical diseases, filariasis was selected as a particular target for achieving elimination by 2020, and this has been published in the World Health Organization (WHO) roadmap [11,12]. Previously, the elimination and control strategy of filariasis was based on the control of the mosquito vectors, such as those in the *Mansonia*, *Anopheles*, *Aedes*, *Culex*, and *Ochlerotatus* genuses [13,14]. However, animals, especially dogs, can also serve as the reservoirs of filarial nematodes. Therefore, the control of infection in all potential reservoirs is also essential. Moreover, a study in dog reservoirs might provide a more in-depth understanding of the patterns and epidemiologic data of filariasis, and it could also contribute to a decrease in the number of human cases. Zoonotic filariasis has been reported globally. In Thailand, several human cases of zoonotic filariasis have been documented. Some examples include the ocular *Dirofilaria* infections caused by *Dirofilaria* spp. [15] and *D. repens* [16] in the patients from Phangnga and Nakhon Si Thammarat provinces, respectively. The most recently reported case was in 2018. A 67-year-old woman residing in Bangkok presented with subconjunctival dirofilariasis. Molecular analysis of the sample obtained from the eye of the patient showed that it was caused by an unknown *Dirofilaria* spp. closely related to *Dirofilaria hongkongensis* [17].

Several reports suggest that filarial nematodes serve as hosts for an obligate bacterial endosymbiont, *Wolbachia* [18–20]. *Wolbachia*, a gram-negative intracellular bacterium, plays an important role in nematode biological development, reproduction, and survival. It also provides critical metabolites to the filarial nematode [11,21,22]. In addition, the correlation between *Wolbachia*–nematode symbiosis has provided treatment strategies for the control and eradication of the filarial infection in the host using *Wolbachia* as a target of antibiotic treatment [23,24]. Many studies show that filarial nematode in dogs can be diagnosed through the examination of circulating microfilariae [25–27]. However, when the Giemsa stain is used for characterization, it is difficult to differentiate and identify definite species among the closely related microfilaria species because of the similarities in their morphology. As previously mentioned, there is a report on the zoonotic case in Bangkok caused by an unknown *Dirofilaria* species, and the morphology identification problem of the blood stage nematode and the relationship between nematodes and *Wolbachia* remain unsolved. Therefore, the aims of our study are primarily to determine the presence of filaria nematodes in domestic dog blood samples from the Bangkok Metropolitan Region, Thailand using molecular techniques to precisely identify the species of detected filarial nematodes. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was used as a molecular technique to amplify the cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene and internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1) region, which are both suitable molecular markers given the high degree of genetic variation in filarial nematodes. The study was also used to investigate the status of *Wolbachia* symbionts in filarial
The presence of Wolbachia bacteria was demonstrated by PCR to amplify the filamenting temperature-sensitive protein mutant Z (FtsZ) gene, which plays a role in the cell division of Wolbachia and can also be found in high copy numbers. Sequences obtained from the study were used for phylogenetic tree construction. Information obtained from the study provides preliminary data on filariasis associated with Wolbachia infection in domestic dogs in Bangkok, Thailand. These data will be useful in the future for reservoir- and Wolbachia-based programs for filariasis control.

2. Results

2.1. Morphological Characteristics of Filarial Worm

Microfilariae were detected in 16 of 57 (28.07%) dog blood samples collected in the Bangkok Metropolitan Region using the Giemsa staining technique. The morphological identification for microfilariae species found that 11 samples (19.30%) were Dirofilaria spp. and 5 samples (8.77%) were Brugia spp. (Table 1). For the Dirofilaria spp., Giemsa staining showed unsheathed microfilaria and the relative positions of the nerve ring (NR), excretory pore (Ex.P), excretory cell (Ex.C), first genital cell (G1), anal pore (AP), and the terminal nucleus (TN) at the tail. For the Brugia spp., Giemsa staining showed clear sheathed microfilaria and one nucleus in the elongated cephalic space. The position of the nerve ring (NR), excretory pore (Ex.P), anal pore (AP), and two discrete overlapping terminal nuclei at the tail end are shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Microfilaria of Dirofilaria spp. (A,C,D,F) and Brugia spp. (B,E,G,H), Giemsa stain, 100×. The morphological marks show the position of several structures: The cephalic space (CS), nerve ring (NR), excretory pore (Ex.P), excretory cell (Ex.C), genital cell (G1), anal pore (AP), and terminal nucleus (TN).
2.2. Molecular Detection of Filarial Nematode

Both COI-PCR and ITS1-PCR were able to detect filarial nematode DNA in the blood samples. Of the 57 blood samples collected, 50 (87.72%) samples were amplified. The nucleotide sequences of the partial COI gene contained approximately 690 bp (Figure S1) and were able to classify filarial nematodes into three species: *D. immitis*, *B. pahangi*, and *B. malayi*, accounting for 33 (57.89%), 13 (22.81%), and 4 (7.02%) of the samples, respectively. Meanwhile, 41 samples (71.93%) were amplified for filarial nematode DNA using PCR annealed specifically to the ITS1 region, which has approximately 600 bp for *D. immitis* (52.63%) and 550 bp for *B. pahangi* (19.30%) (Table 1, Figure S2). According to the sequence analysis of all sequences included in this study (Figures S3 and S4), the results of partial COI sequence comparisons between the species showed a sequence similarity percentage of 98.9%–100% (mean of 99.72%), 98.2%–100% (mean of 99.05%), and 98.6%–100% (mean of 99.22%) for *D. immitis*, *B. pahangi*, and *B. malayi* respectively, whereas the sequence similarity of ITS1 was 83.3%–100% (mean of 92.2%) and 96.3%–100% (mean of 98.3%) for *D. immitis* and *B. pahangi*, respectively. The phylogenetic tree based on the partial COI and ITS1 sequence showed that all isolated samples from *D. immitis* clustered together in one group. Two isolated from *B. pahangi* and *B. malayi* were clustered together, and they were clearly separated branches despite being placed in the same genus (Figures 2 and 3). The partial nucleotide sequences of the COI and ITS1 obtained in this study were deposited in the GenBank under accession numbers MK250707–MK250757 and MK250758–MK250799, respectively. Based on the results, COI-PCR could be better suited for filarial species identification than ITS1-PCR. Additionally, present studies showed that COI evolves much more quickly than ITS1, revealing low intraspecific and interspecific molecular variability for filarial worms.

### Table 1

The results of microscopic examinations and PCR for the detection of filarial worm DNA from blood dog specimens (n = 57). Positive controls used the DNA of *Dirofilaria immitis*, *Brugia pahangi*, and *Brugia malayi*.

| Species          | Microscopic Examination (%) | Molecular Detection (%) |
|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
|                  |                             | COI                     |
| *Dirofilaria*    | 11 (19.30%)                 | 33 (57.89%)             |
| *spp.*           |                             | 30 (52.63%)             |
| *Brugia*         | 5 (8.77%)                   |                         |
| *spp.*           |                             |                         |
| *D. immitis*     |                             |                         |
| *B. pahangi*     | 13 (22.81%)                 | 11 (19.30%)             |
| *B. malayi*      | 4 (7.02%)                   | 0                       |
| **Total**        | 16 (28.07%)                 | 50 (87.72%)             |
|                  |                             | 41 (71.93%)             |

2.3. Wolbachia Detection Using Nested PCR

Wolbachia DNA was detected based on the Wolbachia protein-coding housekeeping *FtsZ* gene (147 bp) (Figure S5). The result showed that 47 of 57 (82.45%) blood dog samples were positive for Wolbachia DNA. The species detected consisted of *D. immitis* (57.89%), *B. pahangi* (19.30%), and *B. malayi* (5.26%) (Table 2). The nucleotide sequence of *FtsZ* of the Wolbachia endosymbiont (Figure S6) had a similarity of 94.5%–100% (mean of 96.94%). The phylogenetic tree based on the *FtsZ* gene revealed that 47 of the *Wolbachia* DNA samples in this study could be clearly classified into supergroup C (Figure 4).
Table 2. Filarial worm tested for infection with *Wolbachia* using nested PCR of the *FtsZ* gene (*n* = 57). Positive controls used the DNA of *Wolbachia* supergroup B in *Aedes albopictus* mosquitoes.

| Species     | Positive for *Wolbachia* (%) |
|-------------|------------------------------|
| *D. immitis*| 33 (57.89%)                  |
| *B. pahangi*| 11 (19.30%)                  |
| *B. malayi* | 3 (5.26%)                    |
| **Total**   | 47 (82.45%)                  |

Figure 2. Phylogenetic tree of filarial worms constructed from the partial *COI* sequences. The red color represents the individual and combination identification sequences compared with reference isolates obtained from GenBank. Branch support was estimated based on 1000 bootstrap replicates.
Figure 3. Phylogenetic tree of filarial worms constructed from ITS1 sequences. The red color represents the individual and combination identification sequences compared with reference isolates obtained from GenBank. Branch support was estimated based on 1000 bootstrap replicates.

Figure 4. Phylogenetic tree of Wolbachia from filarial worms constructed from the partial FtsZ sequences. The red color represents the individual and combination identification sequences compared with reference isolates obtained from GenBank. Branch support was estimated based on 1000 bootstrap replicates.
3. Discussion

Canine filarioids are important nematodes that are transmitted to vertebrate hosts through arthropods and mosquitoes. Nematodes can cause severe disease in dogs and, potentially, transmit the disease to humans [28]. Control of canine filariasis through vector control and the early treatment of infected reservoir hosts is essential. Therefore, a highly specific and sensitive technique for the diagnosis of canine filarioids from an early stage of infection is necessary. Although the gold standard method for the diagnosis of microfilariae in a blood smear is microscopic examination on glass slides stained with Giemsa or hematoxylin and eosin [29,30], this technique requires considerable expertise, has low sensitivity, and cannot clearly discriminate among closely related species of filarial nematodes, such as *D. immitis*, *D. repens*, and *D. reconditum* or *B. malayi* and *B. pahangi* [31]. Serologic and molecular techniques with high sensitivity and specificity for filariasis diagnosis have been developed as additional methods for the detection of microfilariae [6,32–34]. In this study, microscopic examination gives a low positive result for microfilariae (28.07%) compared to PCR. We investigated conventional PCR for both canine filarial and *Wolbachia* bacterial infections in dog blood samples. PCR could be used to increase the detection rate of microfilaria DNA and also to differentiate filarial parasites among closely related species. This study also suggests that *COI* sequences can act as useful genetic markers in distinguishing between *D. immitis*, *B. pahangi*, and *B. malayi*; however, *ITS1* can detect only *D. immitis* and *B. pahangi*. Thus, the *COI*-PCR method is a more suitable method for the PCR-based detection of filarial nematode infections in dog blood specimens than *ITS1*-PCR. Therefore, we recommend using the *COI* gene for filarial detection because it is commonly used in the study of filarial DNA detection and has shown a large number of homolog sequences available in GenBank for the comparison and evaluation of results. Unfortunately, the limitation of this study was that the number of dog blood samples was quite low in number. To increase the accuracy of these assays, a larger sample size might be needed.

There are several reports on the prevalence of major *D. immitis* infection in dogs from various countries, including China [35], Korea [36], Iran [37], Portugal [38], Hungary [39], and Thailand [7–9,40]. In this current study, we also found that *D. immitis* is the most frequent species found in dog blood samples using both *COI*-PCR (57.89%) and *ITS1*-PCR (52.63%). This is followed by *B. pahangi*, detected in 22.81% of samples using *COI*-PCR and 19.30% of samples using *ITS1*-PCR. In contrast, *B. malayi* can be detected in only 7.02% of samples using *COI*-PCR. According to previous studies, both *B. malayi* and *B. pahangi* infections in dogs [41–43] and cats [7,9,44] have been found in different regions of southern Thailand, such as the Narathiwat and Satun provinces [7–9]. However, the information on filariasis in dogs caused by *B. malayi* and *B. pahangi* in terms of their relationship with their hosts, geographic distribution, and association with human or veterinary diseases is quite limited. Our study showed that the blood samples from dogs in the Bangkok Metropolitan Region were infected with both *B. malayi* and *B. pahangi*. Therefore, we suspect that domestic dogs may be a potential reservoir for *B. malayi* and *B. pahangi* in Bangkok. Further surveys throughout the country to investigate the prevalence of *B. malayi* and *B. pahangi* infection in a greater number of dog samples are needed in order to investigate the exact role of dogs as the natural reservoir hosts of *B. malayi* and *B. pahangi*. Further investigations on the mosquito vectors are also needed for the development of control protocols in the future.

The preliminary study of the phylogenetic tree based on the partial *COI* sequences of *B. malayi*–positive samples showed they were clustered together with *B. malayi* from France (accession no. KP760171.1) and Italy (accession no. AJ271610.1) with short branch lengths. The *B. pahangi* sequences of *COI* were also clustered together with *B. pahangi* from Malaysia (accession no. DQ977746.1 and EF534735.1), France (accession no. KP760172.1), and Italy (accession no. AJ271611.1) with small branch lengths. This is also true for *B. pahangi* sequences based on the *ITS1* region. According to the result of the phylogenetic tree of filarial worms constructed from partial *COI* sequences, two isolated samples from *B. malayi* and *B. pahangi* were in the same cluster and could be clearly separated. For this reason, the *COI* sequence was useful for identifying these congeneric species, and *COI*-PCR also
showed better amplification for multiple species than ITS1-PCR in the present study. Oh et al. (2017) suggested that COI is the so-called “barcode” for the identification of filarial species diversity [45]. The strength of this study is that this method can be used as a screening and survey tool to study the molecular epidemiology of filarial infection among the high-risk population. In this study, we did not find D. hongkongensis as we expected. This might be due to the small number of samples, and other vertebrates may be the definitive host for D. hongkongensis. Interestingly, many filarial nematodes contain Wolbachia, an obligate bacterial endosymbiont, which plays an important role in the biological development of filarial nematodes and is involved in targeted therapy against them, leading to the loss of worm fertility and viability upon antibiotic treatment [23,24]. Wolbachia can be classified into at least seven supergroups based on the FtsZ and Wolbachia surface protein (wsp) sequences [46–49]. Wolbachia supergroups C and D have been detected in nematodes, whereas four supergroups (i.e., A, B, E, and H) have only been found in arthropods [50]. Furthermore, supergroup F has been found in both arthropod and nematode species [47]. Our results showed the preliminary study of the phylogenetic tree of all positive Wolbachia from 33 (57.89%), 11 (19.30%), and 3 (5.26%) samples of D. immitis, B. pahangi, and B. malayi, respectively. These were classified into a clade of supergroup C, which is closely related to the Wolbachia endosymbiont of D. immitis from Italy (accession no. AJ495000) and the Wolbachia endosymbiont of Onchocerca ochengi (accession no. HE660029) and Onchocerca volvulus (accession no. HG810405) from the United Kingdom [51]. In terms of Wolbachia and nematode symbiosis, it was revealed that Wolbachia are obligate mutualists for filariae worms and are necessary for the development, fertility, and vitality of adult filariae [52]. Wolbachia are found in all developmental stages of the filarial nematode but rapidly increase in number as the nematode transitions from its insect vectors to mammalian hosts. The Wolbachia titres further increase during the development of the larvae into the adult stages. The high titre of Wolbachia is also found within oocytes and infected embryos [53,54]. This study highlights PCR as the diagnostic tool for filariasis and the presence of Wolbachia in dogs from the Bangkok Metropolitan Region, Thailand. Regarding the limitations of the study, the examination of additional dog blood samples from geographical regions of Thailand is required for a more complete and robust understanding of the phylogeography of Wolbachia and filariasis in Thailand. The use of bacteria as a new control trend simultaneously targeting the vector and filarial parasites should also be explored in the future.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Ethics Statement

The study was approved by the animal research ethics committee of Chulalongkorn University Animal Care and Use Protocol (CU-ACUP), Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand (COA No. 022/2561).

4.2. Sample Collection

A total of 57 blood samples were collected from domestic dogs in the Bangkok Metropolitan Region, Thailand by collaborating with veterinarians at the Small Animal Teaching Hospital, Chulalongkorn University and Faculty of Veterinary Science, Mahidol University Salaya Campus. The specimens were stored at 4 °C and transferred to the laboratory of the Vector Biology and Vector Borne Disease Research Unit, Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University for filarial worm detection (Table S1). These samples were differentiated for morphological identification and molecular detection.

4.3. Microscopic Examination

Drops of blood were smeared on glass slides. Thick smears were fixed with absolute methanol (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for one minute, stained with Giemsa (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) in a phosphate buffer (with a pH of 7.2), examined under a light microscope (CH20,
Olympus, Tokyo, Japan), and morphologically identified for microfilariae with or without sheath species using the taxonomic key [55–57].

4.4. DNA Extraction

DNA was extracted from the dog blood samples by using a blood DNA extraction kit (Invisorb Spin Blood Mini Kit, STRATEC Molecular, Berlin, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Extracted DNA was eluted in 50 µL of elution buffer. Extracted DNA samples were kept at –80 °C for long-term storage.

4.5. Molecular Identification of Canine Filarial

The microfilariae DNA samples were amplified for partial COI genes and ITS1 regions. For the COI gene, two oligonucleotide primers from previous studies [58], COI-int-F (5′-TGATTGTTGGTTTTGTTAA-3′) and COI-int-R (5′-ATAAGTAGCATATCATAATC-3′), were used for PCR amplification. The PCR reactions were performed in a total volume of 20 µL containing 50 ng of DNA template, 10× buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), 25 mM of MgCl2 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), 2 mM of dNTPs (GeneAll, Korea), 10 µM each of forward and reverse primers, and five units of Taq DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The thermal profile was as follows: 94 °C for 3 min; 40 cycles of 94 °C for 45 s, 52 °C for 45 s, and 72 °C for 90 s; and 72 °C for 7 min. The ITS1 region was PCR-amplified using forward primer ITS1-F (5′-GGTGAACCTGCGGAAGGATC-3′) and reverse primer ITS1-R (5′-CTCAATGCGTCTGCAATTCGC-3′), and this was performed in 25 µL of reaction mixture containing 50 ng of DNA template, 10× buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), 25 mM of MgCl2 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA), 2 mM of dNTPs (GeneAll, Korea), 10 µM of each primer, and five units of Taq DNA polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The PCR conditions were 94 °C for 5 min; 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 58 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 45 s; and 72 °C for 10 min [31]. The positive controls used in this study consisted of D. immitis, B. pahangi, and B. malayi DNA, whereas double-distilled water was used as a negative control. The PCR products were analyzed via 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis, stained with ethidium bromide, and visualized with Quantity One Quantification Analysis Software Version 4.5.2 (Gel DocEQ System; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

4.6. Wolbachia Bacterial Detection

The nested PCR screening for Wolbachia bacteria was amplified by using two pairs of primer. Two primers, including Wol1-fwd (5′-CCTGTACTATATCCAAAGAATTACTG-3′) and Wol1-R (5′-AC TATCCCTTATATGTCTCATATTTC-3), were used for the first round. For the second round of amplification, two primers, Wol7-fwd (5′-GGTGAACCTGCGGAAGGATC-3′) and Wol7-R (5′-AGC ACCGAGCCCTTAG-3′), that were previously designed on the FtsZ region were used [59]. The PCR mixtures were 25 µL in total, consisting of 50 ng of DNA template, 10× buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), 25 mM of MgCl2 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), 2 mM of dNTPs (GeneAll, Korea), 300 nM of each primer, and five units of Taq DNA Polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The thermal profiles used were as described previously. The positive control for Wolbachia PCR used was the DNA of Wolbachia supergroup B in Aedes albopictus mosquitoes, while double-distilled water was used as a negative control. The PCR products were examined using 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis, stained with ethidium bromide, and visualized under ultraviolet transilluminator Quantity One Quantification Analysis Software Version 4.5.2 (Gel DocEQ System; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

4.7. Cloning and Sequencing

All positive PCR amplicons were ligated into pGEM-T Easy Vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), and the recombinant plasmids were used to transform a competent Escherichia coli DH5α strain.
Transformed cells were cultured and recombinant plasmids were then extracted using Invisorb® Spin Plasmid Mini kit (STRATEC Molecular, Berlin, Germany) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Plasmids were sequenced by a commercial service at AITBIOTECH, Singapore and MACROGEN, Korea.

4.8. Sequence Analysis and Phylogenetic Tree Construction

The sequences were aligned using BioEdit Sequence Alignment Editor Version 7.2.5 [60]. The phylogenetic trees were constructed using the maximum-likelihood method with IQ-TREE on the IQ-TREE web server (http://iqtree.cibiv.univie.ac.at/) with 1000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates. The best-fit model of substitution was found using the auto function on the IQ-TREE web server [61]. The phylogenetic tree was finally viewed and edited with FigTree v1.4.4 software (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

5. Conclusions

Between the aforementioned tested PCR methods, the COI-based method was more suitable for diagnosing canine filaria than the ITS1-based method. This COI-PCR method could differentiate *D. immitis*, *B. malayi*, and *B. pahangi* by their amplicon sizes in a single-tube PCR. This method could be useful for the epidemiological survey of filarial infection in humans, mosquitoes, and other reservoirs in Thailand. In addition, the detection of *Wolbachia* within filaria-infected dogs in our locality shows the potential of using the bacteria as a new control trend to be done simultaneously with targeting the vector and filarial parasites.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2076-0817/8/3/114/s1, Figure S1: PCR amplification of the partial COI gene for filarial nematode, Figure S2: PCR amplification of the ITS1 region for the filarial nematode, Figure S3: Sequence alignment of the filaria nematode based on the partial COI gene, Figure S4: Sequence alignment of the filaria nematode based on the ITS1 region, Figure S5: PCR amplicons of the FtsZ specific to Wolbachia, Figure S6: Sequence alignment of Wolbachia bacteria based on the FtsZ gene, Table S1: Raw data of samples.
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