Are low levels of serum bicarbonate associated with risk of progressing to impaired fasting glucose/diabetes? A single-centre prospective cohort study in Beijing, China
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ABSTRACT

Aims Bicarbonate is involved in many human essential metabolic processes, but little is known about the association between serum bicarbonate and glucose metabolism. This study aims to investigate the association between serum bicarbonate and the risk of progressing to impaired fasting glucose (IFG)/diabetes mellitus (DM).

Setting The data were obtained from a large-scale prospective cohort study in a single health centre in Beijing.

Participants A total of 5318 participants aged 18–70 years who underwent health examinations annually with baseline fasting plasma glucose (FPG) ranging from 3.9 to 5.5 mmol/L, without a history of either diabetes or concomitant chronic diseases, were enrolled in this 6-year observational study.

Primary outcome measures A logistic regression analysis was used to calculate ORs for progressing to IFG/DM by the category of baseline serum bicarbonate. In addition, an analysis of the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve for predicting IFG was performed.

Results Of the 5318 participants, 210 developed IFG after a median 2.2 years of follow-up. After adjusting for sex, age, FPG, body mass index, systolic blood pressure, serum creatinine, serum alanine aminotransferase and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol at baseline, the participants in the first (OR 4.18, 95% CI 2.42 to 7.21; p<0.001), second (OR 3.02, 95% CI 1.71 to 5.33; p<0.001) and third (OR 2.12, 95% CI 1.15 to 3.89; p=0.015) quartiles of serum bicarbonate had higher odds for progressing to IFG/DM compared with those in the highest quartile. The area under the ROC curve for predicting IFG/DM was 0.69 (95% CI 0.65 to 0.72; p<0.001).

Conclusions Lower serum bicarbonate is associated with higher risk of the development of IFG/DM.

INTRODUCTION

The increasing prevalence of pre-diabetes and diabetes is a prominent threat to public health.1 People with impaired fasting glucose (IFG) are asymptomatic and are at high risk of developing diabetes2 and cardiovascular disease.3 Without a change in lifestyle or appropriate treatment, approximately 9% of patients with IFG will develop type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) within 3 years.4

IFG is characterised by a reduction in early-phase insulin secretion, a severe hepatic insulin resistance and normal or near-normal muscle insulin resistance.5 6 An in vitro study showed that isolated rat islets incubated in the absence of bicarbonate had a completely inhibited first phase of glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (GSIS), and the second phase was reduced by approximately 65%.7 Meanwhile, a previous cohort study demonstrated that high-serum bicarbonate is associated with low risk of progression to T2DM in women.8 Bicarbonate-rich isotonic fluid is secreted by the exocrine pancreas and is involved in many essential human metabolic processes and maintains the acid-base balance.9 Exocrine pancreatic insufficiency in humans may be associated with insulin deficiency and diabetes mellitus (DM).10 11 In diabetic rats, impaired GSIS is coupled with
exocrine pancreatic lesions, suggesting that changes in the islet microenvironment lead to the in vivo malfunction of β cells. In addition, low bicarbonate is independently associated with insulin resistance. However, the effect of bicarbonate on IFG remains relatively undefined.

The current large-scale cohort study was therefore designed to assess the association between bicarbonate and the risk of progression to IFG/DM.

METHODS
Participants
The present study was performed in the physical examination centre of Beijing Tongren Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China. From January 2006 to December 2006, a total of 12,001 participants accepted physical examination. After screening the patients for eligibility, 8,107 participants aged 18–70 years old with fasting plasma glucose (FPG) ranging from 3.9 to 5.5 mmol/L were chosen to take part in the study. The major exclusion criteria included prior diagnosis of diabetes, thyroid-related disease, renal disease, fatty liver disease, coronary heart disease, New York Heart Association Class III/IV heart failure or cancer. Diet, alcohol consumption and smoking status were self-reported and were assessed by use of semiquantitative questionnaires. Patients taking medications that could affect the results, such as diuretics or antacid medications at the baseline or during the observation, were excluded as well. From January 2007, the participants received telephone calls every 3 months, and were required report on self-monitored plasma glucose, blood pressure, exercise frequency and medication use. Each participant came to the examination centre annually for physical and laboratory examinations. Hypertension was defined as either systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥140 mm Hg, diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥90 mm Hg or use of antihypertensive medications.

The follow-up of the last participant was completed in December 2012. From 2007 to 2012, the number of participants at each visit was 7,742 (95.5%), 7,272 (89.7%), 6,656 (82.1%), 6,081 (75.0%), 5,627 (69.4%) and 5,118 (63.1%) (not including those who had reached IFG/DM). After 6 years of follow-up, 5,318 participants had completed all the visits, 1,622 withdrew from the follow-up, 1,139 failed to contact and 28 died.

Definition of progressing to IFG/DM
We used a modified version of the diagnostic criteria recommended by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) in 1997 as our definition of IFG. The ADA defined IFG as FPG ≥6.1 mmol/L (≥110 mg/dL) and <7.0 mmol/L (<126 mg/dL) and DM as FPG ≥7.0 mmol/L (≥126 mg/dL). In this study, the participants with an FPG from 3.9 to 5.5 mmol/L at baseline and with an FPG ≥26.1 mmol/L (including ≥7.0 mmol/L) after follow-up were defined as ‘progressing to IFG/DM’.

Laboratory procedures
All the participants who enrolled in our study received physical examinations, and their blood samples were collected. Weight and height were measured during the physical examination (MW-900A, Lejia, Hebei, China) and were used to calculate body mass index (BMI) (kg/m²). Blood pressure was measured with the person in a seated position after a 5 min rest with an electronic blood pressure monitor (TM-2656VP, Aieande, Japan). Morning blood was sampled to make sure the patients were fasting for more than 6 hours. Serum bicarbonate, which was measured using an indirect ion-selective electrode method, and other biochemical parameters, including FPG, total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, serum creatinine (Cr), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST), were all measured by a UniCel DxC 800 automatic biochemistry analyser (Beckman Coulter, CA, USA).

Statistical analyses
The results for continuous variables in the cohort study were given as mean±SD, and the proportions were given as percentages. We divided the data at the median and into quartiles according to the serum bicarbonate levels at baseline. The baseline characteristics of each group were compared by a χ² test or a one-way analysis of variance test. Three logistic regression models were used to calculate the ORs of progressing to IFG/DM. We considered those variables possibly related to blood glucose and bicarbonate levels as potential confounders for adjustment.

First, we performed logistic regression analyses between bicarbonate and each confounder separately to obtain crude OR values, the factors whose p<0.2 in this step were selected to make further adjustments. Model 1 was unadjusted. Model 2 was adjusted for age, FPG and sex. Model 3 was adjusted for age, FPG, sex, BMI, SBP, serum Cr, LDL-C and ALT. A subgroup analysis was performed in the logistic regression model 3 between individuals with serum bicarbonate below the median level and those with serum bicarbonate above the median level. The subgroups were categorised by age, sex, BMI, SBP and LDL-C. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of serum bicarbonate was conducted for predicting IFG/DM. The optimum cut-off point was defined as the point that had the maximum sensitivity plus specificity. In addition, we calculated the prevalence of IFG/DM by deciles of the distribution of serum bicarbonate values. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS V.19.0 statistical software (SPSS). P<0.05 was considered significant.

Patient and public involvement
The development of the research questions and outcome measures was not informed by patients’ priorities, experience or preferences. Participants will be sent a short message or an email of the brief findings when the main article is published.
A total of 5318 participants (male 2307, female 3011) completed the follow-up and were enrolled in the cohort study; the baseline characteristics were categorised by quartile of serum bicarbonate levels and are shown in table 1. The bicarbonate levels analysed ranged from 20.1 to 31.0 mmol/L, with mean±SD (26.7±2.2) mmol/L; the normal reference values in our lab for serum bicarbonate are 24.2–30.7 mmol/L. The participants with the lowest levels of serum bicarbonate were more likely to be male and older in age; these participants also had higher BMI, SBP, DBP, ALT and AST and had a greater chance of a history of hypertension. The participants with the lowest levels of serum bicarbonate had lower levels of FPG, serum Cr, TC, TG and LDL-C. We compared the demographic and laboratory data between those who dropped out and those participants who continued in the study; there was no statistically significant difference.

Follow-up analysis
After a median 2.2 years of follow-up, 210 (male, 122; female, 88) participants developed IFG/DM. The number of IFG/DM events by groups (serum bicarbonate low to high) was 57, 55, 52 and 46 (table 2). In the unadjusted logistic regression model 1, the participants in the first (OR 6.58, 95% CI 3.87 to 11.20; p<0.001), second (OR 2.99, 95% CI 1.70 to 5.25; p<0.001) and third (OR 1.94, 95% CI 1.06 to 3.55; p=0.031) quartiles of serum bicarbonate had higher odds of IFG/DM compared with those in the fourth quartile (table 2). The univariate logistic regression analysis to assess the association between serum bicarbonate and the risk of development of IFG/DM yielded an OR of 3.16 (95% CI 2.28 to 4.37; p<0.001) when comparing the participants with the serum bicarbonate below the median level versus those with the serum bicarbonate above the median level (online supplementary table S1).

In models 2 and 3, after adjusting for different variables, the ORs were attenuated but remained significant. The participants with serum bicarbonate below the median level had higher odds of incident IFG/DM of 2.34 (95% CI 1.67 to 3.28; p<0.001) compared with those above the median with adjustment in model 3 (online supplementary table S1).

The area under the ROC curve (AUC) of serum bicarbonate for predicting IFG/DM was 0.69 (95% CI 0.65 to 0.73) (figure 1). The area under the ROC curve of serum bicarbonate for predicting hypertension was 0.62 (95% CI 0.57 to 0.66) (figure 2).

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of participants by quartile of serum bicarbonate

| Characteristics | Serum bicarbonate (mmol/L) | Quartile 1 (<25.8) | Quartile 2 (25.8–26.8) | Quartile 3 (26.8–28.0) | Quartile 4 (≥28.0) | P values |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------|
| n               |                             | 1340                | 1426                   | 1322                   | 1230              |         |
| Male (%)        |                             | 47.1                | 43.1                   | 39.9                   | 43.3              | 0.003** |
| Age (years, mean (SD)) |                        | 23.5 (2.2)          | 22.4 (2.0)             | 22.3 (1.8)             | 22.2 (2.1)        | <0.001*** |
| Married (%)     |                             | 85.7                | 86.1                   | 84.2                   | 84.0              | 0.002** |
| Nationality: Han (%) |                        | 90.3                | 91.2                   | 91.4                   | 90.6              | 0.002** |
| Current smoking (%) |                        | 15.6                | 14.7                   | 14.3                   | 15.0              | <0.001*** |
| Body mass index (kg/m², mean (SD)) |                   | 23.5 (2.2)          | 22.4 (2.0)             | 22.3 (1.8)             | 22.2 (2.1)        | 0.001** |
| Hypertension (%) |                             | 4.8                 | 3.1                    | 0.9                    | 1.5               | <0.001*** |
| Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg, mean (SD)) |                   | 110.5 (8.6)         | 110.1 (7.9)            | 110.2 (7.6)            | 110.6 (8.7)       | NS      |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg, mean (SD)) |                   | 80.8 (6.7)          | 70.4 (9.2)             | 70.2 (6.3)             | 70.7 (8.6)        | <0.001*** |
| ALT (U/L, mean (SD)) |                        | 17.3 (12.4)         | 17.8 (10.9)            | 18.4 (8.5)             | 18.5 (10.2)       | 0.005** |
| AST (U/L, mean (SD)) |                        | 25.1 (4.2)          | 24.3 (4.6)             | 24.1 (4.7)             | 24.8 (3.6)        | 0.03*   |
| Serum creatinine (µmol/L, mean (SD)) |                   | 65.6 (11.3)         | 66.7 (13.2)            | 67.5 (12.2)            | 70.5 (12.2)       | <0.001*** |
| Fasting blood glucose (mmol/L, mean (SD)) |                   | 5.0 (0.2)           | 5.1 (0.2)              | 5.1 (0.2)              | 5.1 (0.2)         | 0.02*   |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L, mean (SD)) |                   | 4.6 (0.6)           | 4.6 (0.5)              | 4.7 (0.5)              | 4.7 (0.6)         | 0.01*   |
| Triglyceride (mmol/L, mean (SD)) |                   | 0.9 (0.5)           | 1.0 (0.5)              | 1.0 (0.5)              | 1.1 (0.5)         | 0.03*   |
| HDL (mmol/L, mean (SD)) |                        | 1.5 (0.3)           | 1.5 (0.3)              | 1.5 (0.3)              | 1.5 (0.3)         | NS      |
| LDL (mmol/L, mean (SD)) |                        | 2.8 (0.5)           | 2.8 (0.5)              | 2.8 (0.5)              | 2.9 (0.5)         | 0.04*   |
| Serum bicarbonate (mmol/L, mean (SD)) |                   | 25.4 (1.1)          | 26.5 (0.9)             | 27.7 (0.6)             | 28.8 (0.7)        | <0.001*** |

Data are mean (SD) unless otherwise indicated. P values are from one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for those reported as means and χ² test for those reported as percentages. P values are divided into four categories and footnoted: *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; NS, no significance.
The analysis of the ROC curve yielded a sensitivity of 61.9%, specificity of 68.1%, positive predictive value of 7.4% and a negative predictive value (NPV) of 97.8%. The optimum cut-off value (figure 1) to predict IFG was 26.1 (25.30–28.42) mmol/L of serum bicarbonate.

Figure 2 shows the prevalence of IFG/DM by deciles of the distribution of serum bicarbonate values. As the concentration of serum bicarbonate decreased, the percentage of participants progressing to IFG/DM increased. The participants in the first three deciles (with serum bicarbonate <26.0 mmol/L) had a higher prevalence of IFG than the participants in the 4th to 10th deciles (with serum bicarbonate >26.0 mmol/L).

Logistic regressions were conducted by the cut-off value of the ROC curve. The participants with serum bicarbonate below the cut-off points had ORs of 3.47 (95% CI 2.61 to 4.61; p<0.001) for model 1, 2.40 (95% CI 1.77 to 3.26; p<0.001) for model 2 and 2.32 (95% CI 1.71 to 3.16; p<0.001) for model 3 (online supplementary table S2). In model 3, the logistic regression results matched closely with the results obtained by the ‘high’ and ‘low’ categorisations of the median serum bicarbonate level (online supplementary table S3).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we observed that low levels of serum bicarbonate increased the prevalence of IFG/DM independent of risk factors, including age, sex, baseline FPG, BMI, SBP,

---

**Table 2** ORs of impaired fasting glucose in groups by quartile of baseline serum bicarbonate

| Groups and models | Quartile of serum bicarbonate (mmol/L); OR (95% CI)† |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| All               | Quartile 1 (<25.8) | Quartile 2 (25.8–26.8) | Quartile 3 (26.8–28.0) | Quartile 4 (>28.0) |
| IFG events        | 57                    | 55                      | 52                        | 46                        |
| Model 1           | 6.58 (3.87 to 11.20) | 2.99 (1.70 to 5.25) | 1.94 (1.06 to 3.55)*               | Reference                  |
| Model 2           | 4.52 (2.62 to 7.77)  | 2.96 (1.68 to 5.20) | 2.17 (1.18 to 3.97)**            | Reference                  |
| Model 3           | 4.18 (2.42 to 7.21)  | 3.02 (1.71 to 5.33) | 2.12 (1.15 to 3.89)***           | Reference                  |

Model 1: unadjusted.
Model 2: adjusted for age, sex and fasting blood glucose (FBG).
Model 3: model 2+adjusted for body mass index, systolic blood pressure, serum creatinine, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT).

*P=0.031; **P=0.012; ***P=0.015.
P values for all other models were <0.001.
†Unless otherwise stated.

IFG, impaired fasting glucose.
serum Cr, LDL-C and ALT. In addition, the results of the AUC suggested that serum bicarbonate concentration had a moderate but significant predicting ability on the development of IFG/DM.

A prospective, nested case–control study among women, 98% were white, found an association between higher plasma bicarbonate concentration and lower odds of incident T2DM. Although the authors did not address the specific mechanism, they suggested this association may be explained by metabolic acidosis promoting insulin resistance. Various theories have been proposed to mediate the influence of dietary acid load and insulin resistance, including decreased insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in muscle, disrupted binding of insulin to the insulin receptor and inhibited insulin signalling pathway. This work is consistent with previous observations; Redondo et al demonstrated that insulin deficiency is a major contributor to diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) but, conversely, the metabolic effects of ketoacidosis may temporarily decrease further the ability to secrete insulin. A probable relationship links the low-pH environment and impaired insulin secretion, but the precise mechanism needs more exploration. As mentioned above, IFG is characterised by a reduction in early-phase insulin secretion and severe hepatic insulin resistance. Thus far, there has been little research elucidating the effect of bicarbonate on insulin secretion.

Ion channels play an important role in the regulation of pancreatic β-cell electrical activities and, thus, in GSIS. At high-glucose concentrations, the closure of the ATP-sensitive potassium channels (K_ATP channels) leads to depolarisation of β cells and the activation of Ca²⁺ influx. The increase in intracellular Ca²⁺ facilitates insulin granule exocytosis and promotes insulin secretion. Recently, cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) was proved to modulate the glucose-induced electrical activities and insulin secretion in pancreatic β cells. CFTR is a cAMP-regulated chloride channel that has been proved to contribute to the transport of bicarbonate. The expression of CFTR in pancreatic β cells may be influenced by different concentrations of extracellular bicarbonate, which leads to a different level of insulin secretion. The exocrine and endocrine parts of the pancreas interact with each other, and the exocrine insufficiency leads to the dysfunction of endocrine islets. Recently, Fortunato et al demonstrated autophagy and necroptosis signalling were also involved in exocrine insufficiency, suggesting that new signalling pathway might participate in the regulation of islet glucose metabolism. Nevertheless, the precise mechanism underlying the association between bicarbonate and insulin secretion awaits further investigation.

We have noticed the unexpected relationship between serum bicarbonate and FPG, serum Cr, TC, TG and LDL at baseline. The results in table 1 were obtained in 5318 participants at baseline, where there was a bias for sex distribution (male 48%). A total of 8107 participants were admitted, but more than 2000 withdrew or were lost to follow-up. We made another statistical analysis of these characteristics for all the 8107 participants (male 48%), and we found no significant difference among the four groups. These biochemical parameters can be influenced by many other factors, such as diet or activity. The association with bicarbonate needs to be validated by another logistic regression statistical analysis.

A U-shaped association between serum bicarbonate levels and mortality has been shown in various studies of chronic kidney disease (CKD). Dobre et al demonstrated that in patients with CKD, persistent serum bicarbonate >26 mmol/L was associated with increased risk of heart failure events and mortality. However, in another study among National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III participants, low-serum bicarbonate was not observed to be a strong predictor of mortality in people without CKD. The present non-CKD research is not contradicted with these two studies, but our data should be interpreted with caution.

The AUC of the ROC curve of serum bicarbonate was 0.69, which indicates a poor discrimination for serum bicarbonate of predicting IFG/DM. However, the 97.8% NPV results demonstrated great predictive value for the absence of development of IFG/DM. Bicarbonate above a certain level is protective. The optimum cut-off value for predicting progression to IFG/DM was 26.1 mmol/L for serum bicarbonate, which was very close to the median level. The mechanism of progressing to IFG/DM is complicated and should not be predicted by a single parameter. Low bicarbonate is a necessary but not sufficient cause of progression to IFG/DM.

However, the current study has several limitations. First, there is a lack of data to shed light on the mechanism of the association between low bicarbonate and progression (eg, no data on insulin resistance markers, insulin secretion or pancreatic exocrine insufficiency). Second, this is a single-centre study in an Asian population, which limits the generalisation of the conclusion. Third, we do not have data on arterial or venous pH, which limits the ability to precisely characterise the acid status of the participants. Additionally, a single measurement of bicarbonate decreases the accuracy of the data, and whether dietary supplementation of alkali would increase the insulin secretion or reduce the risk of IFG/DM is not discussed. Other limitations are the sizeable proportion of lost-to-follow-up participants; the adjustment for confounder variables influencing the glucose metabolism may have been incomplete, such as dietary variables, especially water/beverage drinking habits and the consumption of medications that may change the pH. These findings need validation in an independent cohort to prove reproducibility.

In conclusion, our present research found an association between lower serum bicarbonate and higher risk of progressing to IFG/DM in Chinese people. The prevention of IFG/DM could benefit from controlling serum bicarbonate at a relatively higher level within the normal range in the population. Subsequent studies are required...

---

**Table 1**

| Parameter   | Median (IQR) | Univariate Analysis |
|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Serum Cr    | 83 (67–97)   | Significant          |
| Serum TG    | 1.57 (1.19–1.93) | Significant         |
| Serum LDL-C | 3.12 (2.56–3.92) | Significant         |
| Serum bicarbonate | 27.8 (25.0–29.9) | Significant         |

**Notes:**

- IQR: Interquartile range
- Significant: p < 0.05
to explore the underlying mechanism in which the regulation of insulin resistance or insulin secretion could be involved.
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