Variations on gut health and energy metabolism in pigs and humans by intake of different dietary fibers
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Abstract
Many studies have reported that dietary fibers play a crucial role in promoting intestinal health of the host, since it strengthens functions of epithelial barrier and meanwhile maintains intestinal homeostasis of the host by modulating gut microbiota and short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) production. Pig is a good animal model to study effects of dietary fiber on gut health and microbial community. This review has summarized the relevant knowledge available based on roles of various dietary fibers in gut health and energy metabolism of pigs and humans. Evidences summarized in our review indicated that modulating intestinal microbial composition and SCFA production by consuming specific dietary fibers properly could be conducive to health improvement and disease prevention of the host. However, types of dietary fiber from edible foods exert divergent impacts on gut health, energy metabolism, microbial composition, and SCFA production. Therefore, more attention should be focused on different responses of various dietary fibers intake on host metabolism and health.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Prebiotics are food components that can be selectively fermented, leading to changes in composition and activity of gut microbiota, then contributing to improvement of host health (Gibson et al., 2017). Dietary fibers derived from foods, which include cellulose, hemicelluloses, pectin, gums, mucilage, undigested oligosaccharide, and resistant starch, are usually considered to behave as prebiotics in human health and nutrition (Mudgil & Barak, 2013). Dietary fibers are polysaccharides which linked with more than 10 glycosidic bonds, and they are partially or completely fermented by gut microbiota in the hindgut of pigs and humans to synthesize short-chain fatty acids (SCFA). Dietary fibers could decrease transit time of digesta, increase stool bulk, and reduce blood cholesterol and glucose (Jarrar et al., 2019; Kerckhoffs et al., 2003; Mudgil & Barak, 2013). Apart from those directly physiological responses originated from physicochemical properties of dietary fibers, it could also improve growth and activity of the intestinal microbiota, which underlie some prebiotic effects on host health and disorders prevention (Gensollen et al., 2016). Intestinal microbiota community shaped via microbial fermentation of dietary fiber is beneficial to host health through regulating physiological processes of the intestine and functions of mucosal immunity. More specifically, gut microbiota intensify integrity of the gut barrier comprised by intestinal epithelial cells, suppress colonization of enteric pathogens, and produce antibacterial peptides in the mucus layer of host intestine (Bäumler & Sperandio, 2016; Natividad & Verdu, 2013).
The SCFA produced by microbial fermentation of dietary fibers mainly include acetate, propionate, and butyrate, which play an important role in regulating energy metabolism, immunological function, and gut cell proliferation of the host (Koh et al., 2016). Butyrate is a source of energy for colonocytes to maintain the gut barrier, whereas acetate and propionate are delivered to peripheral circulation through the portal vein to participate in metabolisms of the liver and peripheral tissues (Liu, Wang, et al., 2018; Liu, Zhao, et al., 2018). In addition, several studies have demonstrated that SCFA has diverse metabolic and regulatory activities, such as modulating immune functions, providing energy for cell turnover, and being a histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor (Flint et al., 2012; Thangaraju et al., 2009). Furthermore, there is a broad consensus that SCFA act as physiological signaling molecules to adjust biological processes associated with host health and nutrition. Many researchers reported that SCFA mediates glucose homeostasis by activating G protein-coupled receptors (GPR 41 and 43) and stimulating enteronecrosis factor-α (TNF-α), interleukin-10 (IL-10), and chemokine monocytes chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1) to enhance intestinal immune barrier function by inhibiting activity of HDAC and stimulating expression of G protein-coupled receptors (Montagne et al., 2003; Smith et al., 2013). Nicolucci et al. (2017) reported that obese patients who consumed inulin reduced plasma triglyceride IL-6 concentrations. Therefore, SCFA plays a crucial role to regulate responses of dietary fiber fermentation by gut microbiota on host metabolisms and health.

As reported by Cappai et al. (2013), a higher starch digestibility from cereals is positively related to a lower amylase to amylopectin ratio in the starch composition under a same starch concentration condition, as starch with high amylose content is less digestible. Therefore, structure and composition of dietary fibers may play an important role in fiber fermentability by gut microbiota. Evidences showed effects of different types of dietary fibers derived from edible foods on gut health and energy metabolism of the host were associated with their physical characteristics and fiber composition (Zhao et al., 2019). Microbial metabolites produced from microbial fermentation of fiber are also varying when pigs and humans consume different types of dietary fiber. A higher proportion of valeric acid accounted for total SCFA was observed when hulled shredded acorns are fed to pigs (Cappai et al., 2020). Illustrating these effects on development of gastrointestinal tract in humans is challenging because of difficulty in sample collection. Alternatively, pigs are a good model to study effects of dietary fibers on gut health and microbial composition in humans, considering high similarity of the intestinal biology and gut microbiota community between pigs and humans (Lee et al., 2011). Our hypothesis is that roles of different types of dietary fiber in regulating gut health and host metabolism vary. Therefore, this review summarizes effects of different dietary fibers with varying physicochemical properties derived from commercial diets on energy metabolism, gut morphology, gut barrier function, intestinal microbiota, and SCFA production in both pigs and humans, and practice of dietary intervention using dietary fibers to maintain host health and metabolism. Considering various proportions of dietary fibers derived from different fiber-rich foods and their different physicochemical properties, it is crucial to ingest a variety of fiber-rich foods to benefit animal and human health.

2 | DEFINITION AND CLASSIFICATION OF DIETARY FIBERS

A widely accepted definition is that dietary fiber is one of the carbohydrates that are indigestible by endogenous enzymes in pigs and humans and meanwhile exert vital impacts on maintaining normal physiological function and energy metabolism of the host (Cummings & Stephen, 2007). Dietary fiber is mainly divided into oligosaccharides and polysaccharides. Oligosaccharides are nondigestible carbohydrates composed of 3–9 monosaccharides which are connected with either α 1–4 or α 1–6 glycosidic bonds, and mainly include fructo-oligosaccharides, galacto-oligosaccharides and isomalto-oligosaccharides, human milk oligosaccharides and xyloligosaccharides (Borderías et al., 2005). Edible foods provide many oligosaccharides to pigs and humans, which usually have sweet taste and exhibit prebiotic effects on gut microbiota and host health (Cheng et al., 2017). Polysaccharides are complex carbohydrates, composed of 10 up to several thousand monosaccharides, which are predominantly composed of resistant starch, cellulose, hemicellulose, and β-glucan. Cellulose is the most abundant polysaccharides consisting of up to 10,000 glucose monomer units per molecule, and it is the major component of the plant cell wall, which is only partially fermented in the intestine of pigs and humans. Hemicellulose is also a component of the plant cell wall, but it has both linear and branched molecules containing 50–200 pentose units and hexose units, such as β-glucan, glucomannan, arabinoxylan, and xylan (Adebowale et al., 2019). β-glucan is a branched polysaccharide with glucose polymers which lead to its high solubility and fermentability in the intestine (Bashir & Choi, 2017). Resistant starch, which is divided into four types based on its structure and fermentability, is a kind of starch that can pass through small intestine of humans without being fully digested, and reach large intestine to be degraded by beneficial gut bacteria (Sajilata et al., 2006). Pectin, characterized by high viscosity and fermentability, is composed of three different polysaccharides: homogalacturonans, rhamnogalacturonans, and galactomannans (Rejai & Salehi, 2016). Different kinds of dietary fibers derived from edible foods are showed in Table 1. Generally, polysaccharides in legume seeds primarily consist of raffinose, stachyose, and verbascose, and cereal-derived polysaccharides are made up of xyloligosaccharide and β-glucan. Fructo-oligosaccharide is a main fiber component in fruits, and resistant starch is primary nondigestible carbohydrates in root vegetables. Chemical compositions and physical properties of the common cereal and cereal by-products are presented in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. Overall, there is large
| Categories                | Degree of polymerization | Type          | Structure | Source                                                  |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Oligosaccharides          | 3–9                     | Malto-Oligosaccharides | α-glucans | Starch hydrolysis process                              |
|                           |                         | Non-α-glucan oligosaccharides | Raffinose | Soybean meal, peas, rapeseed meal, sunflower meal, cottonseed meal |
|                           |                         | Stachyose     | Fructo-oligosaccharides | Banana, onion, barley                                      |

(Continues)
| Categories          | Degree of polymerization | Type                          | Structure | Source                        |
|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|
|                     |                          | Galacto-oligosaccharides      | ![Galacto-oligosaccharides](image) | Milk                          |
|                     |                          | Xylo-oligosaccharides         | ![Xylo-oligosaccharides](image) | Cereals Bardana, onion        |
| Polysaccharides     | ≥10                      | Resistant starch              | ![Resistant starch](image) | Peas, fava beans, raw potato  |
|                     |                          | Cellulose                     | ![Cellulose](image)           | Most foods                    |
|                     |                          | Mixed linked β-glucan         | ![Mixed linked β-glucan](image) | Barley, oats, rye             |
|                     |                          | Arabinoyxlan                  | ![Arabinoyxlan](image)        | Rye, wheat, barley, cereal by-products |
|                     |                          | Xyloglucans                   | ![Xyloglucans](image)         | Pea hulls                     |
|                     |                          | Rhamnogalacturans             | ![Rhamnogalacturans](image)   | Soybean meal, sugar beet pulp |
| Categories     | Degree of polymerization | Type       | Structure | Source             |
|----------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------|--------------------|
| Noncell wall   |                          | Galactans  | ![Structure](image1) | Lupins            |
|                |                          | Fructans   | ![Structure](image2) | Chicory roots, rye |
|                |                          | Mannan     | ![Structure](image3) | Coconut cake, palm cake |
|                |                          | Pectin     | ![Structure](image4) | Fruits            |
|                |                          | Guar gums  | ![Structure](image5) | Guar              |
TABLE 2 Compositions of dietary fibers in common cereals (on dry matter basis)

| Item, g/kg | Maize | Wheat | Rye | Barley | Oat |
|-----------|-------|-------|-----|--------|-----|
| Soluble NSP | 9 | 25 | 42 | 56 | 40 |
| Rhamnose | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Arabinose | 3 | 7 | 12 | 6 | 3 |
| Xylose | 2 | 9 | 20 | 6 | 2 |
| Mannose | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Galactose | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Glucose | 1 | 4 | 6 | 39 | 28 |
| Uronic acids | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Insoluble NSP | 66 | 74 | 94 | 88 | 110 |
| Rhamnose | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Arabinose | 19 | 22 | 24 | 22 | 15 |
| Xylose | 28 | 38 | 41 | 50 | 78 |
| Mannose | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Galactose | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 5 |
| Glucose | 9 | 7 | 20 | 8 | 5 |
| Uronic acids | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| Cellulose | 22 | 20 | 16 | 43 | 82 |

Abbreviation: NSP, nonstarch polysaccharides.

variation in composition of chemical constituents and physical properties among cereal and cereal by-products.

3 | PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF DIETARY FIBERS

Major physical characteristics of dietary fibers include the following aspects: solubility, water-holding capacity, viscosity, swelling capacity, and bulk density. Based on solubility, dietary fibers in edible foods are divided into two categories: soluble and insoluble chemical components (Ferrario et al., 2017). Water-holding capacity is ability of dietary fibers to combine with water for forming colloidal suspensions, and this ability depends on types of glycosidic bonds and compositions of polysaccharides (Lan et al., 2012). Viscosity is an important physical characteristic that affects physiological function of dietary fibers. Viscosity of pectin and glucan is greater than that of the cellulose and lignin in edible foods for pigs and humans (Dikeman & Fahey, 2006). Moreover, dietary fibers with long chains are easier to form net structures than short-chain fractions, leading to greater viscosity of long-chain dietary fibers. Swelling occurs when structure of dietary fibers solubilizes and is dispersed by incoming water, and therefore, swelling degree is dependent on water-binding capacity of dietary fiber (Knudsen et al., 2013). Expansion and dispersion of dietary fibers allow more rapid access by microbial enzymes, resulting in increased fiber fermentability and SCFA production. Bulk density is defined as the degree of consistency measured by the quantity of mass per unit volume occupied by the fibrous materials (Elleuch et al., 2011). A lower bulk density would lead to more fullness in the gastrointestinal tract, resulting in a reduced appetite and feed intake. In the future, there will be less variation on physical characteristics of different dietary fibers, but the relationship between their physical characteristics and host health and metabolism have been barely studied.

4 | DIETARY FIBERS AND GUT HEALTH AND ENERGY METABOLISMS OF THE HOST

Increasingly, researchers have proven that dietary fibers improve gut health of the host (Figure 1 and Table 4). One reason for improvement in gut health is direct responses of dietary fibers on gut development and intestinal motility, resulting in improving gut morphology and capacity of nutrient absorption. Additionally, dietary fibers shape gut microbial compositions to regulate gut health of the host. Dietary fibers are fermented by gut bacteria to produce SCFA, such as acetate, propionate, and butyrate, which enhance gut health and immune function in pigs and humans. However, different results have been reported focusing on effects of different types of dietary fibers on SCFA production, gut microbiota composition, as well as gut health and disease of the host.

4.1 | Dietary fibers and intestinal development

Intake of dietary fibers reduces energy density in edible foods. To compensate, small intestines of pigs and humans need to expand area intestinal villi for improved nutrient absorption, which stimulates intestinal development to meet the nutrient requirements of the host, leading to the increased gastrointestinal tract weight (Serena et al., 2008). Physiological basis for intestinal digestion and nutrient absorption is morphology of the intestinal mucosa, including villus height and the crypt depth. Mucosa morphology reflects intestinal capacity of nutrient absorptions. A decreased ratio of villus height to crypt depth usually relates to impaired digestion and absorption of nutrients by intestinal mucosa. On the contrary, increased ratio of villus height to crypt depth usually indicates improved intestinal mucosal function, and enhanced digestion and absorption of nutrients (Furuse, 2010). Serena et al. (2008) used gestating sows to demonstrate that diets based on wheat and barley with high concentrations of dietary fibers increased colon weight of gestation pig. Bikker et al. (2006) observed that neonatal piglets fed high concentration of soluble dietary fibers sourced from wheat middlings, sunflower meal, and sugar beet pulp tended to increase length of small intestine and improve amylase activity in the small intestinal brush border. Hopwood et al. (2004) also found that pearl barley, rich in glucan and resistant starch, increased weight of colon and caecum in neonatal piglets. Similarly, dietary fibers intake increased villus height to crypt depth ratio and improved absorptive ability of the small intestine in pigs (Jha et al., 2019). Schiavon et al. (2004) showed that an improvement in viscosity of intestinal digesta accelerated cell exfoliation in the apical part of the intestinal
### Table 3: The compositions of dietary fibers in common cereal by-products (on dry matter basis)

| Item, g/kg | Maize | Wheat | Rye | Barley | Oat |
|-----------|-------|-------|-----|--------|-----|
|           | Flour | Bran | Gluten | Flour | Bran | Middlings | Bran | Middlings | Dehulled | Hull meal | Feed meal | Hull meal |
| Soluble NSP | 8     | 32    | 6    | 16    | 29   | 71       | 63   | 62         | 50      | 20        | 42       | 13        |
| Rhamnose  | 0     | 0     | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0        | 0    | 0          | 0       | 0         | 0        | 0         |
| Arabinose | 3     | 6     | 1    | 3     | 7    | 21       | 11   | 17         | 4       | 3         | 2        | 2         |
| Xylose    | 3     | 5     | 1    | 7     | 10   | 31       | 33   | 30         | 7       | 0         | 1        | 0         |
| Mannose   | 1     | 1     | 3    | 0     | 1    | 2        | 1    | 1          | 1       | 0         | 2        | 1         |
| Galactose | 1     | 2     | 0    | 2     | 2    | 3        | 2    | 2          | 2       | 1         | 2        | 0         |
| Glucose   | 0     | 6     | 2    | 2     | 8    | 11       | 13   | 10         | 34      | 13        | 33       | 8         |
| Uronic acids | 1     | 12    | 0    | 2     | 2    | 3        | 2    | 3          | 1       | 3         | 2        | 1         |
| Insoluble NSP | 13   | 240   | 14   | 17    | 273  | 101      | 321  | 199        | 58      | 267       | 39       | 295       |
| Rhamnose  | 0     | 0     | 0    | 0     | 0    | 0        | 0    | 0          | 0       | 0         | 0        | 0         |
| Arabinose | 3     | 66    | 3    | 6     | 83   | 27       | 67   | 53         | 17      | 48        | 8        | 26        |
| Xylose    | 3     | 111   | 3    | 8     | 138  | 36       | 180  | 89         | 29      | 184       | 15       | 212       |
| Mannose   | 0     | 3     | 0    | 1     | 4    | 6        | 2    | 6          | 2       | 3         | 1        | 1         |
| Galactose | 0     | 18    | 0    | 0     | 7    | 4        | 10   | 7          | 0       | 5         | 1        | 9         |
| Glucose   | 5     | 10    | 6    | 4     | 27   | 21       | 53   | 40         | 8       | 12        | 10       | 12        |
| Uronic acids | 2     | 32    | 2    | 0     | 13   | 7        | 8    | 5          | 2       | 15        | 3        | 35        |
| Cellulose | 0     | 83    | 5    | 3     | 72   | 19       | 39   | 27         | 19      | 192       | 8        | 196       |

*Abbreviation: NSP, nonstarch polysaccharides.*
villus by fiber intake, resulting in reduced villus height and deep crypt depth. However, intake of dietary fiber derived from corn bran and wheat bran did not affect villus height and crypt depth of the jejunum and ileum in pigs, but enhanced activities of sucrase and maltase (Chen et al., 2014). One important reason that dietary fibers can benefit development of the gastrointestinal tract is that they directly disrupt surface structure of the mucosal layer and increase speed of cell shedding, which causes compensatory growth of mucosal cells. In addition, SCFA produced by gut microbiota through microbial fermentation of dietary fibers in the large intestine of the host reduces pH of the gut, and stimulates cell division and cell proliferation. Specifically, butyrate provides energy for proliferation of the epithelial cells in the host intestine, modifies gene expression for epidermal growth factor, and repairs damaged epithelial cells, which all promote intestinal growth and development (Koh et al., 2016; Liu, Wang, et al., 2018; Liu, Zhao, et al., 2018). Furthermore, SCFA simulates secretion of gastrin and glucagon-like peptides, which boost proliferation of the epithelial cells in the host intestine (Tolhurst et al., 2012). Overall, dietary fiber accelerates motility and development of gastrointestinal tract through its physical function and prebiotic responses of SCFA produced by microbial fermentation of dietary fiber.

4.2 Dietary fibers and intestinal mucosal barrier

Mucosal barrier of intestine is composed of the epithelial cell barrier and the mucosal barrier attached to the epithelial cells. Mucosal barrier mainly consists of mucins, intestinal trefoil peptide, antimicrobial peptide, cytokines, and secretory immunoglobulin A (Bai et al., 2010). Zhou et al. (2016) showed that resistant starch intake significantly increased secretion of mucin by colonic goblet cells of pigs. Vila (2017) observed that intake of cereal foods, corn bran, and wheat bran significantly improved mucin-2 level in the ileum and colon of pigs. Che et al. (2014) demonstrated that colonic mucin level in pigs fed pea fiber diet was 16% higher than that fed a control diet. Chen et al. (2013) reported that diets supplied with 10% wheat bran or pea fiber improved gut barrier functions of the neonatal piglets, which was caused by enhanced expression of the tight junction protein between the ileum and colonic epithelial cells (ZO-11) and...
the Toll-like receptor (TLR2) mRNA (Chen et al., 2013). However, intake of corn bran and soy fiber did not affect intestinal barrier functions compared with a control group. Addition of arabinoxylan in neonatal piglet’s diets significantly increased an amount of IgA secreted in the intestine and a number of goblet cells, and reduced intestinal permeability (Chen et al., 2015). Under the condition of high temperature, pigs and humans can synthesize heat shock protein (HSP) to alleviate heat stress and support stability of the mucosal barrier in the host intestine. A recent study illustrated that chicory fiber intake significantly increased expression of HSP27 in the ileum and colon of pigs, and expression of HSP27 in the ileum was positively correlated with the soluble uronic acid intake (Liu et al., 2012). Furthermore, many researchers have reported that SCFA production is beneficial to secretion of mucosal proteins in pig intestine, but concentrations and types of SCFA can influence expression of mucosal proteins (Barcelo et al., 2000; Hatayama et al., 2007). Fundamentally, high levels of dietary fibers supplementation can decrease energy density in diets, leading to an increase in food intake and greater digesta flow in the intestine, which promotes renewal of mucosal protein, thus affecting intestinal mucosal layer. Moreover, dietary fibers stimulate intestinal epithelial cells to secret mucosal protein, and produce growth factors and metabolites such as arachidonic acid, all of which are beneficial to goblet cell proliferation and mucosal protein secretion. Furthermore, dietary fibers modify intestinal barrier by altering microbial community. Desai et al. (2016) reported that there was an interactive relationship between dietary fibers and mucosal barrier of the colon. With inadequate amount of dietary fibers, gut bacteria could only maintain their own growth by using colonic mucin as a nutrient source, inevitably leading to the erosion of the mucosal barrier. It was suggested that gut bacteria play a key role in interactive relationships between dietary fibers and mucosal layer or intestinal epithelium. However, different dietary fibers have discrete structures, such as monosaccharide type, glycosidic bond, and physicochemical property, thus exerting different impacts on intestinal barrier in pigs and humans (Hamakerb & Tuncil, 2014).

### TABLE 4 Summary of dietary interventions with dietary fibers to regulate gut health and alleviate metabolic syndrome in pig model and humans

| Subject of study | Types of dietary fibers | Prebiotic response | References |
|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Pig              | Wheat, barley           | Colon weight      | Serena et al. (2008) |
| Pig              | Sunflower meal, sugar beet pulp, wheat fiber | Intestine weight, amylase activity | Bikker et al. (2006) |
| Pig              | Pearl barley            | Colon weight      | Hopwood et al. (2004) |
| Pig              | Sugar beet pulp         | Gut morphology    | Schiavon et al. (2004) |
| Pig              | Corn bran, wheat bran   | Enzyme activity   | Chen et al. (2014) |
| Pig              | Wheat bran, pea fiber   | Tight junction protein and toll-like receptor expression | Chen et al. (2013) |
| Pig              | Pea fiber               | Colonic mucin level | Che et al. (2014) |
| Pig              | Arabinoxylan            | Goblet cell number and sIgA secretion | Chen et al. (2015) |
| Pig              | Chicory fiber           | HSP27 expression  | Liu et al. (2012) |
| Pig              | Resistant starch        | Mucin secretion   | Zhou et al. (2016) |
| Pig              | Corn bran, wheat bran   | Mucin-2 expression | Vila (2017) |
| Hypercholesterolemic patient | β-glucan from oat bran | Serum cholesterol | Kerckhoffs et al. (2003) |
| Healthy individual | Cereal fiber            | Serum cholesterol | Jarrar et al. (2019) |
| Healthy individual | Resistant starch        | Inulin sensitivity | Giles et al. (2019) |
| Younger and middle-aged patient | Cereal fiber with low glucose index | Alleviate type II diabetes | Schulze et al. (2004) |
| Diabetic patient | Whole grain             | GLP-1 expression, hemoglobin A1c levels | Zhao et al. (2018) |
| Diabetic patient | Whole grain             | Plasma glucose, insulin, and ghrelin responses | Silva et al. (2015) |
| Healthy individual | Whole grain             | Glycemic control  | Venn and Mann (2004) |
| Healthy individual | Barley Kernel-based bread | Gut hormones and insulin sensitivity index | Nilsson et al. (2015) |
| Nonobese healthy individual | Oligofructose | Hormones to regulate appetite | Pedersen et al. (2013) |
| Nonobese healthy individual | Fruits and vegetables | Limit long-term weight gain | Mozaffarian et al. (2011) |
| Obese patient   | Inulin                  | Serum triglyceride and IL-6 | Nicolucci et al. (2017) |

Abbreviations: CRC, colorectal cancer; GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1; HSP, heat shock protein; IL-6, interleukin-6.
4.3 | Dietary fibers and short-chain fatty acids production

Dietary fiber fermented by gut microbiota leads to the production of SCFA, including acetate, propionate, and butyrate, along with lactate and some gases like hydrogen, carbon dioxide, and methane (den Besten et al., 2013). Process of microbial fermentation of dietary fiber to produce SCFAs involves a series of principle reactions, and is mediated by compositions and abundances of gut microbiota (Koh et al., 2016; Louis et al., 2014). Acetate accounts for about 60% of the total SCFAs produced in the large intestine, whereas small quantities of propionate and butyrate are produced by microbial fermentation (Lunn & Buttriss, 2010). Lactate is primarily produced in the upper gut, but acetate, propionate, and butyrate are synthesized in the colon and cecum, which are associated with specific microbial community localization in the foregut and hindgut of the host (Zhao et al., 2019). In the foregut, Lactobacillus is the primary lactate-producing bacteria, and an abundance of Lactobacillus would reduce in the hindgut due to gut environment, such as pH and oxygen concentration. In addition, Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes are dominant bacteria in the hindgut and account for more than 90% of total bacteria, and Prevotellaceae, Ruminococcaceae, and Lachnospiraceae are primarily bacteria on the family level to produce SCFAs by microbial fermentation of dietary fiber (Liu et al., 2017). The SCFA can be absorbed rapidly by intestinal epithelial cells and influence gene expression, cell differentiation, and proliferation (Mu et al., 2017).

Acetate is absorbed by the portal vein and acts on energy source to muscle tissues while propionate is converted to glucose in the liver (Makki et al., 2018; Williams et al., 2001). Butyrate is easily metabolized by β-oxidation in the mitochondria and provides from 60% to 70% of the total energy demand of colonic epithelial cells (Corrêa-Oliveira et al., 2016; Mentschel & Claus, 2003). In addition to being an important respiratory fuel, butyrate is considered beneficial for gut health of the host because it promotes proliferation of mucosa, differentiation of epithelial cells, and function of colonic barrier in the host intestine (Mu et al., 2017).

The SCFA facilitates microbial growth and bacteriocin secretion in the intestine, and then enhances immune barrier and microbial community structure of the intestine, resulting in improving gut health of the host (Liu, Wang, et al., 2018; Liu, Zhao, et al., 2018). During process of microbial fermentation to dietary fibers, SCFA produced decreases pH of the intestinal environment and promotes proliferation of the intestinal epithelial cells (Morrison & Preston, 2016). A decreased pH provides a suitable growth environment for the beneficial bacteria, such as Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus, and further reduces intestinal pH value and bacteria susceptible to acidic conditions, resulting in inhibiting growth of harmful bacteria and invasion of pathogens. In addition, many beneficial bacteria shaped by SCFA can secret bacteriocin to kill harmful bacteria and then improve health of the host. Bacteriocins lacticin, nisin, and bioengineered nisin variants, which are bacteriocins produced by strains of Lactococcus lactis, have been shown to be effective in vitro against clinically relevant diseases and disorders (Rea et al., 2013).

The SCFA produced by microbial fermentation decreases secretion of proinflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), and promotes anti-inflammatory cytokine interleukin-10 (IL-10) and chemokine monocytes chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1), to enhance intestinal immune barrier function (Montagne et al., 2003). The SCFA production was also reported to modulate the immune function of the host by inhibiting the activity of HDAC and stimulating the expression of G protein-coupled receptors (Smith et al., 2013). Sodium butyrate regulates release of interleukin-2 (IL-2), IL-6, interleukin-8 (IL-8), and TNF-α by inhibiting HDAC activity and activating the activator protein 1 (AP-1) signaling pathway in intestinal epithelial cells to enhance the intestinal immune function of the host (Cox et al., 2009; Tan et al., 2014). At the same time, sodium butyrate effectively regulates function of T lymphocytes through motivating G protein-coupled receptors (GPR43) to reduce the level of inflammatory factor IL-2 and to increase the secretion of anti-inflammatory factor interleukin-4 (IL-4) and antimicrobial peptide LL-37, which ultimately inhibits the inflammation response of the host (Cleophas et al., 2016; Macpherson et al., 2008). Overall, these results indicate that dietary fiber plays a crucial part in immune function of the host by increasing the SCFA concentration.

Many researchers reported that SCFA mediates glucose homeostasis and fat acids metabolism in the host by activating GPR41, GPR43, and stimulating enteroendocrine L-cells to produce GLP-1 and peptide YY, resulting in improved insulin sensitivity (Mudgil & Barak, 2013; Tolhurst et al., 2012). Pedersen et al. (2013) reported that oligofructose stimulated GLP-1 and insulin secretion to increase host appetite, resulting in depressing intake of food and incidence of obesity. Furthermore, a consumption of barley kernel-based bread to healthy human volunteers, which is rich in β-glucan, improved glucose metabolism and prevented the risk of obese disease (Nilsson et al., 2015). Similar, Mozaffarian et al. (2011) reported that nonobese healthy individual who consumed fibers from fruits and vegetables could limit weight gain for a long time. In addition, a recent study assessed the relationship between various types of dietary carbohydrates and insulin resistance, and results showed adolescents with dietary fiber intervention reduced possibility of insulin resistance, but no significantly associations were observed for rest of the carbohydrate variables (Castro-Quezada et al., 2019). Resistant starch consumption decreased digestible carbohydrates oxidation and serum glucose concentration with improved insulin sensitivity after meals (Giles et al., 2019). Therefore, it is a promising strategy to regulate glucose and fatty acids metabolism, and prevent human obesity and diabetes by specific dietary fiber consumption by modulating cellular receptors of GPRs (Schulze et al., 2004; Venn & Mann, 2004). However, Haenen et al. (2013) did not find an improvement in intestinal expression of GPR41 and GPR43 when pigs were fed diets high in resistant starch. Nielsen et al. (2015) observed a lower expression of GPR41 when feeding a diet supplemented with high concentration of arabinoxylan. Furthermore, Hooda et al. (2010) reported that oat β-glucan intake increased the net SCFA absorption in the portal vein of catheterized pigs, but reduced the production of insulin mediated by GLP-1 activity, which is consistent with the
previous finding in diabetic patients (Silva et al., 2015). Ingerslev et al. (2014) did not observe a link between SCFA absorption and the portal GLP-1 flux. Discrepancy of observations above should be primarily associated with types and structures of dietary fibers.

Among the dietary fiber fractions, β-glucan is receiving more attention because it is an easily fermentable energy source for intestinal microbiota. β-glucan is certainly fermented by most of gut microbiota, except for Enterobacteriaceae (Stack et al., 2010). Intestinal microbiota could produce lactic acid to reduce intestinal pH and further selectively facilitate proliferation of Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium (Stack et al., 2010). Oat bran, a soluble dietary fiber source rich in β-glucan, produces almost twice the amount of SCFA per gram of dietary fiber compared with wheat bran during microbial fermentation (Zhao et al., 2020). Bach Knudsen and Canibe (2000) detected higher concentration of lactate (11.6 vs. 3.4 mmol/kg of digested feed) and greater proportion of butyrate accounted for total organic acid (91% vs. 61%) in the small intestine of pig’s model after feeding diets supplemented with oat bran than wheat bran. Zhao et al. (2019) reported that intake of oat bran by pigs had significantly distinct improvement on amounts of lactic acid produced in the fore gut, and soybean hulls and sugar beet pulp fed to pigs. They also observed acetate, propionate, and butyrate concentrations in the hindgut in oral bran treatment were higher than corn bran and wheat bran. Freire et al. (2000) investigated the effects of dietary wheat bran, sugar beet pulp, soybean hulls, or alfalfa meal intake on total SCFA production in the cecum of pigs. They found that dietary soybean hulls consumption increased total SCFA concentration by 11.2%, 30.5%, and 27.2% compared with dietary wheat bran, sugar beet pulp, and alfalfa meal, respectively (Freire et al., 2000). Overall, variation in fermentability and SCFA production among different types of dietary fibers could be mainly ascribed to the differences in their chemical compositions and physicochemical properties. There is great potential to improve gut health and immune function of humans by regulating the intake of different kinds of dietary fibers to manipulate the production of SCFA.

The present argument is that SCFA produced in the intestine not only derived from microbial fermentation of dietary fibers, but also resulted from the secretion of the nondietary fiber components (Montoya et al., 2016). Montoya et al. (2017) reported that SCFA sourced from in vitro fermentation of dietary fibers in kiwifruit using fecal microbiota of humans only accounted for 30% of the total SCFA production. They explained that the main endogenous nondietary fiber components are soluble dietary fibers derived from the intestinal mucin in the small intestine, whereas microbial cell was the main component of the main endogenously losses as an insoluble dietary fiber in the whole gastrointestinal tract (Montoya et al., 2017).

In addition, the SCFA concentration measured in many in vivo studies only came from microbial fermentation of dietary fibers, but a part of SCFA absorption by epithelial cells in the gut of the host was usually ignored. A common method to quantify the net absorption of SCFA is to collect blood samples from the portal vein and mesenteric artery simultaneously, and then analyze the SCFA concentrations via a portal vein-catheterized pig model. Net portal absorption and concentration of SCFA in the portal vein or mesenteric artery estimated via catheterized pigs depend on the types of dietary fibers in cereal-based diets fed to pigs. For instance, diets rich in arabinoxylan can stimulate proliferation of butyrate-producing microorganisms and butyrate production in the large intestine, and increase the net portal absorption of butyrate compared with diets high in resistant starch with equal amount of dietary fibers (Ingerslev et al., 2014; Nielsen et al., 2015). Therefore, it would be extremely difficult to measure actual produced SCFA in vivo, as produced SCFA are rapidly metabolized by gut microbiota or absorbed by the host. The absorption and net production of SCFA, rather than a real-time concentration of SCFA in the gut, derived from gut microbiota to ferment different types of dietary fibers should be quantified to understand the fermentable capacity of dietary fibers and the metabolic pathway of SCFA in the gut of the host.

### 4.4 Dietary fibers and gut microbiota

Microbial community in the host intestine is a complex and dynamic ecosystem that produces crucial metabolites to regulate host metabolism, such as SCFA, 5-hydroxytryptamine, polymyxin, and bacitracin. Microbial metabolites depress proliferation of harmful bacteria and balance interactive competition between “beneficial bacteria” and “harmful bacteria.” In addition, microbial metabolites play important roles in maintaining intestinal barrier, facilitating immunological function, and modulating gene expression of host metabolism (Cani, 2016; Guo et al., 2008). An increment of microbial activity was found in the intestine of pigs fed diets containing a high content of dietary fiber, as indicated by increased bacterial counts and ATP concentration (Liu, Wang, et al., 2018; Liu, Zhao, et al., 2018). It indicates that dietary fibers can activate microbial activity, resulting in producing more microbial metabolites. Many reports have indicated that Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes are the two dominant phyla in the gastrointestinal tract of pigs and humans, which account for about 90% of the gut microbiota (Bian et al., 2016; Liu, Wang, et al., 2018; Liu, Zhao, et al., 2018). Firmicutes utilize dietary fibers to produce SCFA, especially butyrate. Bacteroidetes have a great capacity for degradation of dietary fibers to produce propionate, such as Prevotella (Flint et al., 2008). Mu et al. (2017) reported that dietary supplementation with alfalfa meal increased populations of Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes compared to wheat bran in neonatal piglets. Similarly, diets rich in resistant starch increased relative populations of some specific members of Firmicutes, as well as a ratio of Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes in human’s intestine (Maier et al., 2017). In contrast, Ferrario et al. (2017) detected an increase in numbers of Bacteroidetes and a decrease in populations of Firmicutes in subjects supplied with dietary inulin. Further, specific bacteria in phylum of Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes to ferment different types of dietary fibers has not been known well.

A population of Lachnoclostridium in fecal samples is influenced by dietary fibers supplementation, which has a connection with the obesity in humans (Amadou et al., 2016). The abundance
of Ruminococcus_1 increased when pigs were fed diets containing soybean hulls, and it was reported that Ruminococcus_1 can ferment dietary fibers to produce SCFA (Pryde et al., 2002). Che et al. (2014) and Chen et al. (2014) showed that diets containing pea fiber increased the number of Lactobacillus in the colon of pigs, but pea fiber had no significant effects on the number of Bifidobacteria and Escherichia coli, while diets containing wheat bran significantly increased the number of Bifidobacteria in pigs. The relative abundances of Lactobacillus, the dominant species of the lactate-producing bacteria in the ileum, and Prevotella in the colon were positively correlated with the concentration of dietary chyomic fiber (Liu et al., 2012). Furthermore, high resistant starch supplementation in diets of adult pigs increased the relative abundances of Faecalibacterium prausnitzii and Ruminococcus bromii, and reduced the population of pathogenic bacteria Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas (Haenen et al., 2013).

Improved numbers of Bifidobacteria spp. and a decrease populations of total anaerobes or Clostridia in the feces were observed when the pigs were fed diets containing 0.5% fructo-oligosaccharide in combination with Bifidobacterium longum (Clarke et al., 2017). Zhao et al. (2018) reported that dietary barley supplementation increased Lactobacilli spp. and Bifidobacterium spp. populations, but decreased numbers of Enterobacteria spp. in the cecum of pigs in comparison with a corn-based diet, which may be attributed to greater β-glucan levels in barley diet compared with a corn diet (Zhao et al., 2018). Oat fiber and β-glucan isolates, coming from fermented oat-based products containing both native and microbial β-glucan, promote growth of Bifidobacterium spp. in the intestine of pigs and humans (Martensson et al., 2005). Pieper et al. (2008) found that dehulling barley with high amounts of soluble nonstarch polysaccharides favored growth of xylan-degrading and glucan-degrading bacteria (Pieper et al., 2008), whereas β-glucan from hulled barley favored growth of Lactobacilli spp. in weaned pigs (Pieper et al., 2008). These results suggest that different sources of β-glucan have inconsistent responses on microbial composition and abundance in the intestine of the host, which could be associated with the structure and physical properties of different β-glucan source, such as solubility and molecule weight. Moreover, an increase of Bifidobacteria spp. and Enterobacteria spp. abundances in ileal digesta were observed when growing pigs were fed diets supplemented with guar gum or cellulose (Owusu-Asiedu et al., 2006). Nielson et al. (2014) showed that diets supplemented with arabinoxylan increased populations of Bifidobacterium spp. and Lactobacillus spp. in the colon of pigs.

Small intestine is mainly responsible for food digestion and absorption, while large intestine is important for microbial fermentation of substances (Healey et al., 2020). Hindgut of pigs and humans contain a larger proportion of Firmicutes than small intestine, indicating that large intestine might undertake a crucial role in microbial fermentation of dietary fiber (Flint et al., 2008). Escherichia-Shigella, Lactobacillus, Streptococcus, and Enterococcus, dominant genera of intestinal microbiota in ileal digesta, are the major bacterial species with greater abundances compared with large intestine of the pig (Zhao et al., 2019). Greater populations of Escherichia-Shigella and Streptococcus are always considered as pathogenic bacteria related to host infection and enteric diseases, such as diarrhea symptoms. Thus, occurrences of enteropathies are primarily associated with microbial composition in the upper gut (Healey et al., 2020). Lactobacillus is a beneficial bacterial species to improve gut health of the host, so that it is extremely crucial to maintain balance of different bacteria in the gut by dietary nutrients intervention. An altered gut microbiota composition derived from lack of low dietary fibers could lead to a severe deterioration of mucus layer and increased susceptibility to infections and chronic inflammatory diseases (Desai et al., 2016; Makki et al., 2018). Therefore, dietary fiber ingested from foods has a potential to prevent against metabolic diseases in humans by reshaping composition of gut microbiota. However, effects of dietary fiber on intestinal bacterial community vary among different studies, which could be attributed to different types of dietary fiber types, as well as variation on quantity of dietary fiber, available for microbial fermentation in the gut. Furthermore, a study reported consuming inulin at breakfast after a longer fasting period had a greater response on fecal microbiota of individuals (Sasaki et al., 2019), which indicated dietary habit play an important role in regulation of microbial community after ingesting dietary fibers.

5 | SUMMARY AND PERSPECTIVE

There are many complicated and subtle interactions between types of dietary fiber and gut microbiota, SCFA production, and host health. It has been widely accepted that SCFA, especially butyrate, plays a critical role in modulating and improving gut health. However, there are still many vague aspects about underlying relationships between dietary fiber and host health. Major challenges related to dietary fiber research may include (1) to identify the specific mechanisms of SCFA produced from dietary fiber fermented by gut microbiota on functions of animal tissues and organs, (2) to clarify the relationship between host health and gut microbiota shaped by the dietary intervention with dietary fibers, (3) to further illustrate different responses of various dietary fibers derived from edible foods and their combinations on host health. Overall, we suggest that more attention should be focused on specific chemical constituents and physical characteristics of various dietary fibers when they take actions in regulating host metabolism and health.
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