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We study differential-difference equation 
\[ (d/dx)t(n+1,x) = a(t(n,x), t(n+1,x), (d/dx)t(n,x)) \]
with unknown \( t(n,x) \) depending on continuous and discrete variables \( x \) and \( n \). Equation of such kind is called Darboux integrable, if there exist two functions \( F \) and \( I \) of a finite number of arguments \( x \), \( \{t(n+k,x)\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}, \{(d/dx)^kt(n,x)\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \), such that \( D_kF=0 \) and \( DI=I \), where \( D_k \) is the operator of total differentiation with respect to \( x \) and \( D \) is the shift operator: \( Dp(n)=p(n+1) \). Reformulation of Darboux integrability in terms of finiteness of two characteristic Lie algebras gives an effective tool for classification of integrable equations. The complete list of Darboux integrable equations is given in the case when the function \( f \) is of the special form \( f(u,v,w)=w+g(u,v) \). © 2009 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3251334]

I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we continue investigation of integrable semidiscrete chains of the form

\[ \frac{d}{dx}t(n+1,x) = f \left( t(n,x), t(n+1,x), \frac{d}{dx}t(n,x) \right), \]

started in our previous paper1 (see also Refs. 2–4). Here \( t(t,n,x) \) and \( t_1=t(n+1,x) \) are unknown. Function \( f=f(t,t_1,t_2) \) is assumed to be locally analytic and \( \partial f/\partial t_2 \) is not identically zero. Nowadays discrete phenomena are very popular due to their applications in physics, geometry, biology, etc. (see Refs. 5–8 and references therein).

Below we use subindex to indicate the shift of the discrete argument: \( t_k=t(n+k,\cdot), k \in \mathbb{Z} \), and derivatives with respect to \( x \): \( t'_1=(d/dx)t(n,x), t'_2=(d^2/dx^2)t(n,x), t'_m=(d^m/dx^m)t(n,x) \), \( m \in \mathbb{N} \). Introduce the set of dynamical variables containing \( \{t'_k\}_{k=\infty}^{-\infty}; \{t'_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \).

We denote through \( D \) and \( D_x \) the shift operator and the operator of the total derivative with respect to \( x \) correspondingly. For instance, \( Dh(n,x)=h(n+1,x) \) and \( D_xh(n,x)=(d/dx)h(n,x) \).

Functions \( I \) and \( F \), both depending on \( x \) and a finite number of dynamical variables, are called, respectively, \( n \) - and \( x \)-integrals of \( (1) \), if \( DI=I \) and \( D_xF=0 \) (see also Ref. 9). One can see that any \( n \)-integral \( I \) does not depend on variables \( t_m, m \in \mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\} \), and any \( x \)-integral \( F \) does not depend on variables \( t'_m, m \in \mathbb{N} \).

Chain \( (1) \) is called Darboux integrable if it admits a nontrivial \( n \)-integral and a nontrivial \( x \)-integral.

Note that all Darboux integrable chains of the form \( (1) \) are reduced to the d’Alembert equation \( \omega_1-\omega_3=0 \) by the following “differential” substitution \( w=F+I \). Indeed, \( D_x(D-1)w=(D-1)D_xF+D_x(D-1)I=0 \). This implies that any arbitrary Darboux integrable chains of the form \( (1) \)

---
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are connected with one another by the substitution

\[
F(x,u,u_t,u_{tt},\ldots) + I(x,u,u_t,u_{tt},\ldots) = \tilde{F}(x,v,v_t,v_{tt},\ldots) + \tilde{I}(x,v,v_t,v_{tt},\ldots),
\]

which is evidently split down into two relations,

\[
F(x,u,u_t,u_{tt},\ldots) = \tilde{F}(x,v,v_t,v_{tt},\ldots) - h, \quad I(x,u,u_t,u_{tt},\ldots) = \tilde{I}(x,v,v_t,v_{tt},\ldots) + h,
\]

where \( h \) is some constant.

The idea of such kind integrability goes back to Laplace’s discovery of cascade method of integration of linear hyperbolic-type partial differential equation with variable coefficients made in 1773 (see Ref. 10). Roughly speaking the Laplace theorem claims that a linear hyperbolic partial differential equation admits general solution in a closed form if and only if its sequence of Laplace invariants terminates at both ends (see Ref. 11). More than hundred years later Darboux applied the cascade method to the nonlinear case. He proved that a nonlinear hyperbolic equation is integrable (Darboux integrable) if and only if the Laplace sequence of the linearized equation terminates at both ends. This result has been rediscovered very recently by Anderson and Kamran12 and Sokolov and Zhiber.13

An alternative approach was suggested by Shabat and Yamilov in 1981 (see Ref. 14). They assigned two Lie algebras, called characteristic Lie algebras, to each hyperbolic equation and proved that the equation is Darboux integrable if and only if both characteristic Lie algebras are of finite dimensions.

The purpose of the present article is to study characteristic Lie algebras of the chain (1) introduced in our papers3-4 and convince the reader that in the discrete case these algebras provide a very effective classification tool.

We denote through \( L_x \) and \( L_n \) characteristic Lie algebras in \( x \)- and \( n \)-directions, respectively. Remind the definition of \( L_x \). Rewrite first the chain (1) in the inverse form \( t_x(n-1,x) = g(t(n,x),t(n-1,x),t_x(n,x)) \). It can be done (at least locally) due to the requirement \( (\partial f/\partial t_x) (t_x(t_1,t_2) \neq 0 \). An \( x \)-integral \( F=F(x,t,t_{x1},t_{x2},...) \) solves the equation \( D_x F=0 \). Applying the chain rule, one gets \( KF=0 \), where

\[
K = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + t_x \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + f \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} + g \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{-1}} + f_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} + g_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{-2}} + \cdots.
\]

Since \( F \) does not depend on the variable \( t_x \), then \( XF=0 \), where \( X=\partial/\partial t_x \). Therefore, any vector field from the Lie algebra generated by \( K \) and \( X \) annihilates \( F \). This algebra is called the characteristic Lie algebra \( L_x \) of chain (1) in \( x \)-direction. The notion of characteristic algebra is very important. One can prove that chain (1) admits a nontrivial \( x \)-integral if and only if its Lie algebra \( L_x \) is of finite dimension. The proof of the next classification theorem from Ref. 1 is based on the finiteness of the Lie algebra \( L_x \).

**Theorem 1.1: Chain**

\[
t_{x1} = t_x + d(t,t_1)
\]

admits a nontrivial \( x \)-integral if and only if \( d(t,t_1) \) is one of the following kinds:

1. \( d(t,t_1) = A(t_1-t) \),
2. \( d(t,t_1) = c_1(t_1-t) + c_2(t_1-t)^2 + c_3(t_1-t) \),
3. \( d(t,t_1) = A(t_1-t)e^{\alpha t} \),
4. \( d(t,t_1) = A(t_1-t)e^{\alpha t} + c_1(e^{\alpha t_1} - e^{-\alpha t}) \),

where \( A=A(t_1-t) \) is an arbitrary function of one variable and \( \alpha \neq 0, c_1 \neq 0, c_2, c_3, c_4 \neq 0, c_5 \neq 0 \) are arbitrary constants. Moreover, some nontrivial \( x \)-integrals in each of the cases are

i. \( F = x + f^{t_1-t} (du/A(u)) \), if \( A(u) \neq 0, F = t_1-t, \) if \( A(u) = 0 \),
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(ii)

\[
F = \frac{1}{-c_2 + c_1} \ln \left| \frac{t_2 - t_1}{t_3 - t_2} + c_2 \right| + \frac{1}{c_2} \ln \left| \frac{t_2 - t_1}{t_1 - t} - c_2 + c_1 \right|
\]

for \( c_2(c_2 - c_1) \neq 0, \)

\[
F = \ln \left| \frac{t_2 - t_1}{t_3 - t_2} \right| + \frac{t_2 - t_1}{t_1 - t}
\]

for \( c_2 = 0, \)

\[
F = \frac{t_2 - t_1}{t_3 - t_2} + \ln \left| \frac{t_2 - t_1}{t_1 - t} \right|
\]

for \( c_2 = c_1. \)

(iii)

\[
F = \int^{t_3 - t_2}_{t_1 - t} e^{-au} du \int^{t_3 - t_1}_{t_1 - t} du \frac{A(u)}{A(u)}
\]

(iv)

\[
F = \frac{(e^{at} - e^{at_1})(e^{at_1} - e^{at_2})}{(e^{at} - e^{at_1})(e^{at_1} - e^{at_2})}
\]

In what follows we study semidiscrete chains admitting not only nontrivial \( x \)-integrals but also nontrivial \( n \)-integrals. First of all we will give an equivalent algebraic formulation of the \( n \)-integral existence problem. Rewrite the equation \( DI = I \) defining \( n \)-integral in an enlarged form,

\[ I(x, t_1, f, f_x, \ldots) = I(x, t, t_x, t_{xx}, \ldots). \] (4)

The left hand side contains the variable \( t_1 \) while the right hand side does not. Hence we have \( D^{-1} (d/dt_1) DI = 0 \), i.e., the \( n \)-integral is in the kernel of the operator

\[ Y_1 = D^{-1} Y_0 D, \]

where

\[ Y_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + D^{-1}(Y_0 f) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_x} + D^{-1} Y_0 (f_x) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{xx}} + D^{-1} Y_0 (f_{xx}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{xxx}} + \cdots \] (5)

and

\[ Y_0 = \frac{d}{dt_1}. \] (6)

It can easily be shown that for any natural \( j \) the equation \( D^{-1} Y_0 D^j I = 0 \) holds. Direct calculations show that

\[ D^{-1} Y_0 D^j = X_{j-1} + Y_j, \quad j \geq 2, \]

where

\[ Y_{j+1} = D^{-1}(Y_j f) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_x} + D^{-1} Y_j (f_x) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{xx}} + D^{-1} Y_j (f_{xx}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{xxx}} + \cdots, \quad j \geq 1, \] (7)
The following theorem defines the characteristic Lie algebra $L_n$ of the chain (1).

**Theorem 1.2:** (Ref. 3) Equation (1) admits a nontrivial $n$-integral if and only if the following two conditions hold.

1. Linear space spanned by the operators $\{Y_j\}_{j=1}^n$ is of finite dimension, denote this dimension by $N$.
2. Lie algebra $L_n$ generated by the operators $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_N, X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_N$ is of finite dimension. We call $L_n$ the characteristic Lie algebra of (1) in the direction of $n$.

We use $x$-integral classification Theorem 1.1 and $n$-integral existence Theorem 1.2 to obtain the complete list of Darboux integrable chains of the form (3). The statement of this main result of the present paper is given in the next theorem.

**Theorem 1.3:** Chain (3) admits nontrivial $x$- and $n$-integrals if and only if $d(t, t_1)$ is one of the kind.

1. $d(t, t_1)=A(t_1-t)$, where $A(t_1-t)$ is given in implicit form $A(t_1-t)=(d/d\theta)P(\theta)$, $t_1-t=P(\theta)$, with $P(\theta)$ being an arbitrary quasipolynomial, i.e., a function satisfying an ordinary differential equation,

\[ P^{(N+1)} = \mu_k P^{(k)} + \cdots + \mu_1 P + \mu_0 P, \]

with constant coefficients $\mu_k$, $0 \leq k \leq N$.

2. $d(t, t_1)=C_1(t_1^2-t^2)+C_2(t_1-t)$.

3. $d(t, t_1)=\sqrt{C_3e^{2at}+C_4e^{2(1+i)at}}+C_5 e^{2at}$.

4. $d(t, t_1)=C_3(e^{\alpha x_1}+e^{\alpha x_2})+C_4(e^{-\alpha x_1}+e^{-\alpha x_2})$.

where $\alpha \neq 0$, $C_p, 1 \leq i \leq 6$, are arbitrary constants. Moreover, some nontrivial $x$-integrals $F$ and $n$-integrals $I$ in each of the cases are the following.

1. $F=x-\int t e^{\alpha x} dx/A(s), I=L(D_s)x_0$, where $L(D_s)$ is a differential operator which annihilates $(d/d\theta)P(\theta)$ where $D_s\theta=1$.
2. $F=\{(t_1-t_2)/(t_2-t_1)\}/\{(t_1-t_2)/(t_1-t)\}, I=t_1-t_2-C_1t_2-C_2t_1$.
3. $F=\{e^{\alpha x_1}+e^{\alpha x_2}\}/\{(e^{\alpha x_1}+e^{\alpha x_2})/e^{\alpha x_1}/e^{\alpha x_2}\}, I=t_1-C_3e^{\alpha x_1}-C_4e^{-\alpha x_1}$.

Equation of the form $\tau=\Lambda(\tau)$, where $\tau=t_1-t$, is integrated in quadratures. But to get the final answer one should evaluate the integral and then find the inverse function. The general solution is given in an explicit form,

\[ t(n, x) = t(0, x) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} P(x+c_j), \]

where $t(0, x)$ and $c_j$ are arbitrary functions of $x$ and $j$, respectively, and $\Lambda(\tau)=\Lambda(\theta)$, $t_1-t=\Lambda(\theta)$. Actually we have $\tau=\Lambda(\theta)\theta_2=\Lambda(\theta)$, which implies $\theta_2=1$, so that $\tau(n, x)=P(x+c_\theta)$. By solving the equation $t(n+1, x)-t(n, x)=P(x+c_\theta)$ one gets the answer above. Requirement for $\tau=A(\tau)$ to be Darboux integrable induces condition on function $P$ to satisfy a linear ordinary differential equation with constant coefficients.

The $x$-integrals in the cases (2) and (4) given in Theorem 1.3 are written as cross ratios of four points $t, t_1, t_2, t_3$ and, respectively, points $e^i, e^{i1}, e^{i2}, e^{i3}$. Due to the well known theorem, given four points $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4$ in the projective complex plane $CP$ can be mapped to other given four points $w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4$ by one and the same Möbius transformation,
\[ z = R(w) := \frac{a_{11}w + a_{12}}{a_{21}w + a_{22}} \tag{10} \]

such that \( z_j = R(w_j) \), where \( j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), if and only if

\[
\frac{z_4 - z_2}{z_4 - z_2} \frac{z_4 - z_2}{z_3} = \frac{w_4 - w_2}{w_4 - w_3} \frac{w_4 - w_2}{w_4 - w_3 - w_1}. \tag{11}
\]

Evidently function \( F \) for the case (2) [as well as for the case (4)] can immediately be found from the equation \( I = c(x) \) which is equivalent to Riccati equation \( t_x = C_1 t^2 + C_2 t + c(x) \). It is well known that cross ratio of four different solutions of the Riccati equation does not depend on \( x \).

Studying the examples below we briefly discuss connection between discrete models and their continuum analogs. The case (3) with \( C_3 = 1 \) and \( \alpha = 1 \) leads in the continuum limit to the equation

\[
u_{xy} = e^\nu/\nu_x^2 + 1 \tag{12}\]

found earlier in Ref. 13. Indeed set \( t(n, x) = u(x, y) \) and \( C_4 = -2 + e^2 \), where \( y = n \epsilon \). Then substitute \( \tau = \epsilon u_x + O(\epsilon^2) \) as \( \epsilon \to 0 \) into the equation \( t_1 - t_2 = e^{\sqrt{2}\epsilon^2} + C_4 e^{2\epsilon^2} + 1 \) and evaluate the limit as \( \epsilon \to 0 \) to get (12). It is remarkable that Eq. (12) has the same integral (\( y \)-integral) \( I = 2u_{xx} - u_y^2 - e^{2u} \) as its discrete counterpart.

The chain \( t_1 - t_2 = (e^{\epsilon} - e^{-\epsilon})/2 \) goes to the equation \( u_{xy} = \frac{1}{2} e^{\epsilon} u_x \) in the continuum limit. Its \( n \)-integral \( I = t_1 - t_2 = C_\epsilon e^{(r+1/2)^2} \) [it comes from the case (3) for appropriate choice of the parameters] being a discrete version of the Liouville equation \( u_{xy} = e^u \), also has a common integral \( I = 2t_{xx} - t_y^2 \) with its continuum limit equation. Note that the chain defines the Bäcklund transform for the Liouville equation.

Let us comment the list of the equations in Theorem 1.3. Case (1) is degenerate, it is reduced to a first order ordinary differential equation and easily integrated. Equation (2) with \( C_2 = 0 \) is given in Ref. 9. Case (3) for \( C_4 = \pm C_3 \) is found in Ref. 15. To the best of our knowledge Eqs. (2)–(4) are new except these two cases.

The article is organized as follows. In Sec. II general results related to the Lie algebra \( L_n \) of Eq. (1) are given. Section III is split into four subsections. Theorem 1.1 from Sec. I gives a complete list of Eq. (3) admitting nontrivial \( x \)-integral. This list consists of four different types of equations (3). In each subsection of Sec. III one of these four different types from Theorem 1.1 is treated by imposing additional condition for an equation to possess nontrivial \( n \)-integrals. The conclusion is provided in Sec. IV.

## II. GENERAL RESULTS

Define a class \( F \) of locally analytic functions each of which depends only on a finite number of dynamical variables. In particular, we assume that \( f(t, t_1, t_2) \in F \). We will consider vector fields given as infinite formal series of the form

\[
Y = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} y_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k} \tag{13}\]

with coefficients \( y_k \in F \). Introduce notions of linearly dependent and independent sets of the vector fields (13). Denote through \( P_N \) the projection operator acting according to the rule

\[
P_N(Y) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{N} y_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k}. \tag{14}\]

First we consider finite vector fields as
Z = \sum_{k=0}^{N} z_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t[k]}.

(15)

We say that a set of finite vector fields $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_m$ is linearly dependent in some open region $U$, if there is a set of functions $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_m \in \mathcal{F}$ defined on $U$ such that the function $|\lambda_1|^2 + |\lambda_2|^2 + \cdots + |\lambda_m|^2$ does not vanish identically and the condition

$$
\lambda_1 Z_1 + \lambda_2 Z_2 + \cdots + \lambda_m Z_m = 0
$$

holds for each point of region $U$.

We call a set of the vector fields $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_m$ of the form (13) linearly dependent in the region $U$ if for each natural $N$ the following set of finite vector fields $P_N(Z_1), P_N(Z_2), \ldots, P_N(Z_m)$ is linearly dependent in this region. Otherwise we call the set $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_m$ linearly independent in $U$.

Now we give some properties of the characteristic Lie algebra introduced in Theorem 1.2. The proof of the first two lemmas can be found in Ref. 3. However, for the reader’s convenience we still give the proof of the second lemma.

**Lemma 2.1:** If for some integer $N$ the operator $Y_{N+1}$ is a linear combination of the operators $Y_i$ with $i \leq N$: $Y_{N+1} = \alpha_1 Y_1 + \alpha_2 Y_2 + \cdots + \alpha_N Y_N$, then for any integer $j > N$, we have a similar expression $Y_j = \beta_1 Y_1 + \beta_2 Y_2 + \cdots + \beta_N Y_N$.

**Lemma 2.2:** The following commutativity relations take place: $[Y_0, X_1] = 0$, $[Y_0, Y_1] = 0$, and $[X_1, DX_1 D^{-1}] = 0$.

**Proof:** We have

$$
[Y_0, X_1] = \left[ \frac{d}{dt_1}, \frac{d}{dt_{1-1}} \right] = 0,
$$

$$
[Y_0, Y_1] = D^{-1}[DY_0 D^{-1}, Y_0] D = D^{-1} \left[ \frac{d}{dt_2}, \frac{d}{dt_1} \right] D = 0,
$$

$$
[X_1, DX_1 D^{-1}] = D[D^{-1} X_1 D, X_1] D^{-1} = D[X_2, X_1] D^{-1} = 0.
$$

Note that

$$
Y_{k+1} = D^{-1} Y_k D, \quad k \geq 2, \quad D^{-1} Y_1 D = X_1 + Y_2.
$$

The next three statements turned out to be very useful for studying the characteristic Lie algebra $L_m$.

**Lemma 2.3:** (Reference 1) If the Lie algebra generated by the vector fields $S_0 = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \partial / \partial w_j$ and $S_1 = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} c(w_j) \partial / \partial w_j$ is of finite dimension then $c(w)$ is one of the forms

1. $c(w) = a_1 + a_2 e^{\lambda w} + a_3 e^{-\lambda w}$,
2. $c(w) = a_1 + a_2 w + a_3 w^2$, where $\lambda \neq 0$, $a_1$, $a_2$, and $a_3$ are some constants.

**Lemma 2.4:**

1. Suppose that the vector field

$$
Y = \alpha(0) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \alpha(1) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} + \alpha(2) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} + \cdots,
$$

where $\alpha(0) = 0$ solves the equation $[D^1, Y] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta(k) \partial / \partial t_k$. Then $Y = \alpha(0) \partial / \partial t$.

2. Suppose that the vector field
\[
Y = \alpha(1) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_x} + \alpha(2) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{xx}} + \alpha(3) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{xxx}} + \cdots
\]

solves the equation \([D_x, Y] = hY + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta(k) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k},\) where \(h\) is a function of variables \(t, t_x, t_{xx}, \ldots, t_{+2}, \ldots, t_{+n}\), then \(Y = 0\).

**Lemma 2.5:** For any \(m \geq 0\), we have

\[
[D_x, Y_m] = - \sum_{j=1}^{m} D^{-j}(Y_{m-j}(f)) Y_j - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Y_m(D^{-(k-1)}g) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{-k}} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Y_m(D^{k-1}f) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k}.
\]

(18)

In particular,

\[
[D_x, Y_0] = - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Y_0(D^{k-1}f) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k},
\]

(19)

\[
[D_x, Y_1] = - D^{-1}(Y_0(f)) Y_1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Y_1(D^{-(k-1)}g) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{-k}} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Y_1(D^{k-1}f) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k}.
\]

(20)

Both Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 easily can be derived from the following formula

\[
[D_x, Y] = (\alpha_0(0) - \alpha(1)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Y(D^{-(k-1)}g) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{-k}} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Y(D^{k-1}f) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\alpha(k) - \alpha(k+1)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t[k]}.
\]

(21)

Suppose that Eq. (1) admits a nontrivial \(n\)-integral. Then, by Theorem 1.2, its characteristic Lie algebra \(L_n\) is of finite dimension. Linear space of the basic vector fields \(\{Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_N\}\) is also finite dimensional. We have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.6:** Dimension of \(\text{span}\{Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_N\}\) is finite and equal, say \(N\) if and only if the following system of equations is consistent:

\[
D_x(\lambda_N) = \lambda_N (A_{N,N} - A_{N+1,N+1}) - A_{N+1,N},
\]

\[
D_x(\lambda_{N-1}) = \lambda_{N-1} (A_{N-1,N-1} - A_{N+1,N+1}) + \lambda_N A_{N,N-1} - A_{N+1,N-1},
\]

\[
D_x(\lambda_{N-2}) = \lambda_{N-2} (A_{N-2,N-2} - A_{N+1,N+1}) + \lambda_{N-1} A_{N-1,N-2} + \lambda_N A_{N,N-2} - A_{N+1,N-2},
\]

\[
\vdots
\]

\[
D_x(\lambda_2) = \lambda_2 (A_{2,2} - A_{N+1,N+1}) + \lambda_3 A_{3,2} + \cdots + \lambda_N A_{N,2} - A_{N+1,2},
\]

\[
D_x(\lambda_1) = \lambda_1 (A_{1,1} - A_{N+1,N+1}) + \lambda_2 A_{2,1} + \lambda_3 A_{3,1} + \cdots + \lambda_N A_{N,1} - A_{N+1,1}.
\]

\[
0 = \lambda_1 A_{1,0} + \lambda_2 A_{2,0} + \lambda_3 A_{3,0} + \cdots + \lambda_N A_{N,0} - A_{N+1,0}.
\]

(22)

**Proof:** Suppose that the dimension of \(\text{span}\{Y_k\}_1^N\) is finite, say \(N\), then, by Lemma 2.1, \(Y_1, \ldots, Y_N\) form a basis in this linear space. So we can find factors \(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N\) such that
\[ Y_{N+1} = \lambda_1 Y_1 + \lambda_2 Y_2 + \cdots + \lambda_N Y_N. \]  
(23)

Take the commutator of both sides with \( D_x \) and get by using the main commutativity relation (18) the following equation:

\[
- \sum_{j=0}^{N+1} A_{N+1,j} Y_j = D_x(\lambda_1)Y_1 + D_x(\lambda_2)Y_2 + \cdots + D_x(\lambda_N)Y_N
\]

\[
- \left( \lambda_1 \sum_{j=0}^1 A_{1,j} Y_j + \lambda_2 \sum_{j=0}^2 A_{2,j} Y_j + \cdots + \lambda_N \sum_{j=0}^N A_{N,j} Y_j \right).
\]

Now replace \( Y_{N+1} \) at the left hand side by (23) and collect coefficients of the independent vector fields to derive the system given in the theorem.

Suppose now that the system (22) in the theorem has a solution. Let us prove that the vector field \( Y_{N+1} \) is expressed in the form (23). Let

\[ Z = Y_{N+1} - \lambda_1 Y_1 - \lambda_2 Y_2 - \cdots - \lambda_N Y_N. \]
(24)

Let us find \([D_x,Z]\).

\[ [D_x,Z] = [D_x,Y_{N+1}] - D_x(\lambda_1)Y_1 - \cdots - D_x(\lambda_N)Y_N - \lambda_1[D_x,Y_1] - \lambda_2[D_x,Y_2] - \cdots - \lambda_N[D_x,Y_N] \]

\[ = - \sum_{j=0}^{N+1} A_{N+1,j} Y_j - D_x(\lambda_1)Y_1 - \cdots - D_x(\lambda_N)Y_N \]

\[ - \left( \lambda_1 \sum_{j=0}^1 A_{1,j} Y_j + \lambda_2 \sum_{j=0}^2 A_{2,j} Y_j + \cdots + \lambda_N \sum_{j=0}^N A_{N,j} Y_j \right) + \sum_{k=-\infty, k \neq 0}^{\infty} \beta(k) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k}. \]

Replace now \( D_x(\lambda_1), \ldots, D_x(\lambda_N) \) by means of the system (22). After some simplifications one gets

\[ [D_x,Z] = - A_{N+1,N+1} Z + \sum_{k=-\infty, k \neq 0}^{\infty} \beta(k) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k}. \]  
(25)

By Lemma 2.4 we get \( Z = 0. \)

The proof of the next three results can be found in Ref. 4.

**Lemma 2.7:** If the operator \( Y_2 = 0 \) then \([X_1,Y_1]) = 0.\)

The reverse statement to Lemma 2.7 is not true as the equation \( t_1 = t_1 + e^t \) shows (see Lemma 3.4 below).

**Lemma 2.8:** The operator \( Y_2 = 0 \) if and only if we have

\[ f_{i_1} + D^{-1}(f_{i_1})f_{i_1} = 0. \]
(26)

**Corollary 2.9:** The dimension of the Lie algebra \( L_n \) associated with \( n \)-integral is equal to 2 if and only if (26) holds, or the same \( Y_2 = 0. \)

Now let us introduce vector fields

\[ C_1 = [X_1,Y_1], \quad C_k = [X_1,C_{k-1}], \quad k \geq 2. \]  
(27)

It is easy to see that

\[ C_m = X_1^m D^{-1}(Y_0(f)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_x} + X_1^m D^{-1}(Y_0 D_x(f)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{xx}} + X_2^m D^{-1}(Y_0 D_x^2(f)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{xxx}} + \cdots. \]
(28)

**Lemma 2.10:** We have
\[ [D_x, C_m] = - g_{t_1} X_1^m D_x^{-1} Y_0(f) X_1 - X_1^m D_x^{-1} Y_0(f) Y_1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j^{(m)} C_j \]  

where 
\[ A_j^{(m)} = X_1^j \left\{ C(m, j - 1) g_{t_{j-1}} - C(m, j) \frac{g_{t_j}}{g_{t_0}} \right\}, \quad m \geq 1, \quad C(m, k) = \frac{m!}{k! (m-k)!}. \]

In particular,
\[ [D_x, C_1] = - g_{t_1} X_1 D_x^{-1} Y_0(f) X_1 - X_1 D_x^{-1} Y_0(f) Y_1 - \left( g_{t_{-1}} - \frac{g_{t_1}}{g_{t_0}} \right) C_1. \]

**Proof:** We prove the lemma by induction on \( m \). Note that for any vector field,
\[ A = \beta(0) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \beta(1) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} + \beta(2) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} + \cdots, \]
acting on the set of functions \( H \) depending on variables \( t_{-1}, t, t_{[k]}, k \in \mathbb{N} \), formula (21) becomes
\[ [D_x, A] = - (\beta(0) g_i + \beta(1) g_{i_1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i-1}} + (\beta_i(0) - \beta(1)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} + (\beta_i(1) - \beta(2)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} + (\beta_i(2) - \beta(3)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_3} + \cdots. \]

Applying the last formula with \( C_1 \) instead of \( A \), we have
\[ [D_x, C_1] = - g_{t_1} X_1 D_x^{-1} Y_0(f) X_1 - X_1 D_x^{-1} Y_0(f) Y_1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{ D_x X_1 D_x^{-1} Y_0 D_x^{k-1}(f) - X_1 D_x^{-1} Y_0 D_x^{k-1}(f) \} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{[k]}}. \]

Since
\[ [Y_0, D_x] G(t, t_1, t_{[2]}, t_{[3]}, \ldots) = f_{t_1} G_{t_1} = f_{t_1} Y_0 G, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad Y_0 D_x = D_x Y_0 + f_{t_1} Y_0 \]
and
\[ [D_x, X_1] H(t_{-1}, t, t_{[2]}, t_{[3]}, \ldots) = - g_{t_{-1}} H_{t_{-1}} = - g_{t_{-1}} X_1 H, \]
then
\[ D_x X_1 D_x^{-1} Y_0 D_x^{k-1}(f) - X_1 D_x^{-1} Y_0 D_x^{k-1}(f) = \{ D_x X_1 D_x^{-1} Y_0 - X_1 D_x^{-1} Y_0 D_x \} D_x^{k-1}(f) = \{ D_x X_1 D_x^{-1} Y_0 \}
\]
\[ - X_1 D_x^{-1} [D_x Y_0 + f_{t_1} Y_0] D_x^{k-1}(f) \]
\[ = [D_x X_1] D_x^{-1} Y_0 D_x^{k-1}(f) X_1 (D_x^{-1} Y_0(f)) D_x^{-1} Y_0 D_x^{k-1}(f) \]
\[ - D_x^{-1}(Y_0(f)) X_1 D_x^{-1} Y_0 D_x^{k-1}(f) = - g_{t_{-1}} X_1 D_x^{-1} Y_0 D_x^{k-1}(f) \]
\[ - X_1 D_x^{-1}(Y_0(f)) D_x^{-1} Y_0 D_x^{k-1}(f) = - D_x^{-1}(Y_0(f)) X_1 D_x^{-1} Y_0 D_x^{k-1}(f). \]

Therefore,
\[ [D_x, C_1] = -g_t X_1 D^{-1} Y_0(f) X_1 - X_1 D^{-1} Y_0(f) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} X_1 (D^{-1} Y_0(f)) D^{-1} Y_0 D^{-1}(f) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\]

\[ \geq g_t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} X_1 D^{-1} Y_0 D^{-1}(f) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\] - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} X_1 D^{-1} Y_0 D^{-1}(f) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = -g_t X_1 D^{-1} Y_0(f) X_1 \]

\[ -X_1 D^{-1} Y_0(f) Y_1 = (g_{t_1} + D^{-1}(f_1)) C_1 \]

that proves the base of the mathematical induction. Assuming Eq. (29) is true for \( m-1 \), we have

\[ [D_x, C_m] = [D_x, [X_1, C_{m-1}]] = -[X_1, [C_{m-1}, D_x]] - [C_{m-1}, [D_x, X_1]] = [X_1, [D_x, C_{m-1}]] + [C_{m-1}, g_{t_1} X_1] \]

\[ = [X_1, [D_x, C_{m-1}]] + C_{m-1}(g_{t_1}) X_1 - g_{t_1} C_m = \left[ X_1 - g_t X_1^{-1} D^{-1} Y_0(f) X_1 - X_1^{-1} D^{-1} Y_0(f) Y_1 \right. \]

\[ - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} A_j^{(m-1)} C_j \] + \left. g_{t_1} X_1^{-1} D^{-1} Y_0(f) X_1 - g_{t_1} C_m = -g_t X_1^{-1} D^{-1} Y_0(f) X_1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} X_1 (A_j^{(m-1)}) C_j \right. \]

\[ -g_t X_1^{-1} D^{-1} Y_0(f) X_1 - X_1^{-1} D^{-1} Y_0(f) Y_1 \cdot \]

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} A_j^{(m-1)} C_j + g_{t_1} X_1^{-1} D^{-1} Y_0(f) X_1 - g_{t_1} C_m = -g_t X_1^{-1} D^{-1} Y_0(f) X_1 - X_1^{-1} D^{-1} Y_0(f) Y_1 \]

\[ - \left\{ A_j^{(m-1)} + g_{t_1} \right\} C_m - \left\{ X_1^{-1} D^{-1} Y_0(f) + X_1 (A_j^{(m-1)}) \right\} C_1 - \sum_{j=2}^{m-1} \left\{ X_1 (A_j^{(m-1)})\right\} C_j \]

\[ = -g_t X_1^{-1} D^{-1} Y_0(f) X_1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j^{(m)} C_j, \]

where

\[ A_1^{(m)} = X_1^{-1} D^{-1} Y_0(f) + X_1 (A_j^{(m-1)}) = X_1^{-1} \left\{ C(m, 0) g_{t_1} - C(m, 1) \frac{g_t}{g_{t_1}} \right\}, \]

\[ A_j^{(m)} = X_1 (A_j^{(m-1)}) + A_j^{(m-1)} = X_1 \left\{ C(m - 1, 0) g_{t_1} - C(m - 1, 1) \frac{g_t}{g_{t_1}} \right\}, \]

\[ + X_1^{-1} \left\{ C(m - 1, 2) g_{t_1} - C(m - 1, 1) \frac{g_t}{g_{t_1}} \right\} = X_1^{-1} \left\{ \frac{g_t}{g_{t_1}} \right\}, \]

\[ A_m^{(m)} = A_{m-1}^{(m-1)} + g_{t_1} = (m - 1) g_{t_1} - \frac{g_t}{g_{t_1}} + g_{t_1} = m g_{t_1} - \frac{g_t}{g_{t_1}} \]

that finishes the proof of the lemma.

Assume equation \( t_{t_1} = f(t, t_1, t_2) \) admits a nontrivial \( n \)-integral. Then we know that the dimension of Lie algebra \( L_\sigma \) is at least 2 by Corollary 2.9.
Consider case when the dimension of $L_0$ is at least 3 and $C_1 \neq 0$. Since linear space generated by vector fields $C_1, C_2, C_3, \ldots$, is of finite dimension, then there exists a natural number $N$, such that

$$C_{N+1} = \mu_1 C_1 + \mu_2 C_2 + \cdots + \mu_N C_N,$$

and $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_N$ are linearly independent. By Lemma 2.10 we have

$$[D_x, C_{N+1}] = -g_{i}A^{(N+1)}_{0}X - A^{(N+1)}_{0}Y - A^{(N+1)}_{1}C - \cdots - A^{(N+1)}_{N}C_n - A^{(N+1)}_{N+1}\{\mu_1 C_1 + \mu_2 C_2 + \cdots + \mu_N C_N\},$$

where $A^{(k)}_{0} = \frac{D^{k}}{H}D^{-1}Y_{0}(f)$. On the other hand,

$$[D_x, C_{N+1}] = D_{x}(\mu_1)C_1 + D_{x}(\mu_2)C_2 + \cdots + D_{x}(\mu_N)C_N + \mu_1(-g_{i}A^{(0)}_{0}X - A^{(0)}_{0}Y - A^{(1)}_{1}C)$$

$$+ \mu_2(-g_{i}A^{(0)}_{0}X - A^{(0)}_{1}C - A^{(2)}_{2}C_2) + \cdots + \mu_N(-g_{i}A^{(N)}_{0}X - A^{(N)}_{1}C).$$

Linear independence of $X_1, Y_1, C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_N$ allows us to compare coefficients before $X_1, C_k, 1 \leq k \leq N$ in the last two presentations for $[D_x, C_{N+1}]$. We have

$$-A^{(N+1)}_{0} = -\mu_1 A^{(1)}_{0} - \mu_2 A^{(2)}_{0} - \cdots - \mu_N A^{(N)}_{0},$$

$$-A^{(N+1)}_{1} = -\mu_1 A^{(1)}_{1} - \mu_2 A^{(2)}_{1} - \cdots - \mu_N A^{(N)}_{1} + D_{x}(\mu_1),$$

$$-A^{(N+1)}_{k} = -\mu_1 A^{(1)}_{k} - \mu_2 A^{(2)}_{k} - \cdots - \mu_N A^{(N)}_{k} + D_{x}(\mu_k), \quad 2 \leq k \leq N - 3,$$

$$-A^{(N+1)}_{N-2} = -\mu_1 A^{(1)}_{N-2} - \mu_2 A^{(2)}_{N-2} - \cdots - \mu_N A^{(N)}_{N-2} + D_{x}(\mu_{N-2}),$$

$$-A^{(N+1)}_{N-1} = -\mu_1 A^{(1)}_{N-1} - \mu_2 A^{(2)}_{N-1} - \cdots - \mu_N A^{(N)}_{N-1} + D_{x}(\mu_{N-1}),$$

$$-A^{(N+1)}_{N} = -\mu_N A^{(N)}_{N} + D_{x}(\mu_N).$$

Thus we have proven the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.11:** Consistency of the system (30) is necessary for the existence of a nontrivial $n$-integral to the chain (1).

One can specify the system. Since

$$A^{(N+1)}_{N} = X_{1} \left\{ C(N+1,N-1)g_{t_{-1}} - C(N+1,N)g_{t_{1}} \right\} = \frac{(N+1)N}{2} \frac{g_{t_{-1}t_{-1}}}{g_{t_{1}}} - (N+1) \frac{g_{t_{-1}t_{-1}}}{g_{t_{1}}} g_{t_{-1}t_{-1}},$$

$$A^{(N+1)}_{N+1} = \left\{ C(N+1,N)g_{t_{-1}} - C(N+1,N+1)g_{t_{1}} \right\} = (N+1)g_{t_{-1}} - \frac{g_{t_{1}}}{g_{t_{-1}}},$$

$$A^{(N)}_{N} = \left\{ C(N,N-1)g_{t_{-1}} - C(N,N)g_{t_{1}} \right\} = Ng_{t_{-1}} - \frac{g_{t_{1}}}{g_{t_{-1}}}$$

the last equation of (30) becomes
\[
\left\{ \frac{(N + 1)N}{2} g_{t^{-1}} \frac{g_{t^{-1}} - g_{t^{-1}}}{g_{t^{-1}}} \right\} + \mu_{N}\left\{ (N + 1)g_{t^{-1}} - \frac{g_{t}}{g_{t}} \right\} = \mu_{N}\left\{ Ng_{t^{-1}} - \frac{g_{t}}{g_{t}} \right\} - D_{A}(\mu_{N}),
\]
that can be rewritten as
\[
\frac{(N + 1)N}{2} g_{t^{-1}} \frac{g_{t^{-1}} - g_{t^{-1}}}{g_{t^{-1}}} + \mu_{N}\left\{ (N + 1)g_{t^{-1}} - \frac{g_{t}}{g_{t}} \right\} = \mu_{N}\left\{ Ng_{t^{-1}} - \frac{g_{t}}{g_{t}} \right\}.
\]

If \( C_{1} = 0 \) then, by Lemma 2.10,
\[
0 = X_{f}D^{-1}Y_{0}(f) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{-1}}D^{-1}(f_{1}).
\]

III. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

A. Case 1: \( t_{1} = t_{x} + A(t_{t} - t) \)

Introduce \( \tau = t_{1} - t \) and rewrite the equation as \( \tau = A(\tau) \). Study the question when this equation admits a nontrivial \( n \)-integral or the same when the corresponding Lie algebra \( L_{n} \) is of finite dimension. Since
\[
Y_{0}f = A'(\tau)\tau_{1} = D_{A}(\tau),
\]
\[
Y_{0}f_{x} = A''(\tau)A(\tau) + A'(\tau)A'(\tau) = D_{A}(\tau)D_{A}(\tau),
\]
and \( Y_{0}D_{f} = (D_{A}(\tau))^{k+1} \), we can write \( Y_{1} \) as
\[
Y_{1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} D^{-1}(D_{A}(\tau))^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial D_{t}^{k}}, \tag{33}
\]
Now let us introduce new variables: \( \tau_{x} = t, \tau = t_{1} - t, \tau_{-1} = t - t_{-1}, \tau_{j} = t_{j+1} - t_{j} \). Since
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{x}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{-1}},
\]
then the expression (33) for \( Y_{1} \) becomes
\[
Y_{1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{x}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{-1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} D^{-1}(D_{A}(\tau))^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial D_{t}^{k} \tau_{x}}. \tag{34}
\]
One can ignore the term containing \( \partial / \partial \tau \) since coefficients in the vector fields used below do not depend on \( \tau \).

Multiply \( Y_{1} \) by \( A(\tau_{-1}) \),
\[
A(\tau_{-1})Y_{1} = A(\tau_{-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{x}} + A(\tau_{-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{-1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A(\tau_{-1})D^{-1}(D_{A}(\tau))^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial D_{t}^{k} \tau_{x}}. \tag{35}
\]

Introduce
\[
p(\theta) = A(\tau_{-1}(\theta)), \quad \text{where} \quad d\theta = \frac{d\tau_{-1}}{A(\tau_{-1})}. \tag{36}
\]

Equation (35) becomes
\begin{equation}
A(\tau_1)Y_1 = p(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} D_k^4(p(\theta)) \frac{\partial}{\partial D_k^4 \tau_1}.
\end{equation}

Now instead of \( X_1 = \partial / \partial \tau_1 \), define

\[ \bar{X}_1 = A(\tau_1)X_1 = -A(\tau_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_1} + A(\tau_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_2}. \]

It is indeed with new variables

\begin{equation}
\bar{X}_1 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{p(\theta)}{p(\theta_1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1}.
\end{equation}

Note that \([D_x, \bar{X}_1] = D_x(p(\theta)/p(\theta_1))W_1\), where \( W_1 = \partial / \partial \theta_1 \). Since \([D_x, X_1] = -X_1(g)X_1 - X_1(g_1)X_2\), then \([D_x, \bar{X}_1] \in L_n\). Therefore, we have two possibilities:

(i) \( D_x \left( \frac{p(\theta)}{p(\theta_1)} \right) = 0 \) or

(ii) \( W_1 \in L_n \).

First let us consider case (i). We have

\[ D_x \left( \frac{p(\theta)}{p(\theta_1)} \right) = \frac{p'(\theta)p(\theta_1) - p(\theta)p'(\theta_1)}{p^2(\theta_1)} = 0. \]

Solving this differential equation we get \( p(\theta) = A(\tau_1(\theta)) = \mu e^\lambda \theta \). Since \( d\theta / d\tau_1 = 1 / A(\tau_1) \), we have \( A(\tau) = \lambda \tau + c \).

Now concentrate on case (ii). Since \( D_x(p(\theta)/p(\theta_1))W_1 \in L_n \), then \( W_1 \in L_n \) and, due to (38), \( W = \partial / \partial \theta \in L_n \).

Lemma 3.1: If equation \( \tau_1 = A(\tau) \) admits a nontrivial n-integral then function \( p(\theta) \), defined by (36), is a quasipolynomial.

Proof: Instead of \( Y_1, X_1 \), take the pair of the operators \( W = \partial / \partial \theta \) and

\begin{equation}
Z = A(\tau_1)Y_1 - W = p(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_1} + D_x(p(\theta)) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_x} + D_k^4(p(\theta)) \frac{\partial}{\partial D_k^4 \tau_x} + \cdots.
\end{equation}

Construct a sequence of the operators

\[ C_1 = [W, Z], \quad C_2 = [W, C_1], \quad C_k = [W, C_{k-1}], \quad k \geq 2. \]

Since algebra \( L_n \) is of finite dimension then there exists number \( N \), such that

\[ C_{N+1} = \mu_0 Z + \mu_1 C_1 + \cdots + \mu_N C_N, \]

and vector fields \( Z, C_1, \ldots, C_N \) are linearly independent.

Direct calculations show that \([D_x, W] = [D_x, Z] = 0\). Therefore, we have \([D_x, C_j] = 0 \) for all \( j \).

It follows from (41) that

\[ 0 = D_x(\mu_0)Z + D_x(\mu_1)C_1 + \cdots + D_x(\mu_N)C_N, \]

which implies \( D_x(\mu_j) = 0 \). Clearly \( \mu_j = \mu_j(\theta) \) and \( D_x(\mu_j) = \mu_j'(\theta) = 0 \). Hence \( \mu_j \) is constant for all \( j \geq 0 \).

Look at the coefficients of \( \partial / \partial \tau_x \) in (41) and get

\begin{equation}
\mu_0 p(\theta) + \mu_1 p'(\theta) + \cdots + \mu_N p^{(N)}(\theta) = p^{(N+1)}(\theta).
\end{equation}

This means \( p(\theta) \) is a quasipolynomial, i.e., it takes the form
\[ p(\theta) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} q_j(\theta)^{e_j} \theta. \]  

\[ (43) \]

Lemma 3.2: Let \( p(\theta) \) is an arbitrary quasipolynomial solving a differential equation of the form \( (42) \) and which does not solve any equation of this form of less order. Then the equation \( t_{1x} = t_x + A(t_1-t) \) with \( A \) found from the conditions, 

\[ A(\tau_{-1}) = p(\theta), \]

\[ \tau_{-1} = \int_0^1 p(\widetilde{\theta}) \, d\widetilde{\theta} \]

admits a nontrivial \( n \)-integral.

Proof: Introduce

\[ L(D_{x}) = D_{x}^{N+1} - \mu_{N}D_{x}^{N} - \mu_{N-1}D_{x}^{N-1} - \cdots - \mu_{1}D_{x} - \mu_{0}. \]

Equation \( (42) \) can be rewritten as \( L(D_{x})p(\theta) = 0. \) However, \( L(D_{x})p(\theta) = L(D_{x})A(\tau_{-1}). \) Since \( L(D_{x})t_{1x} = L(D_{x})t_{x} + L(D_{x})A(\tau) \) and \( L(D_{x})A(\tau) = 0, \) we have \( L(D_{x})t_{1x} = L(D_{x})t_{x}. \) But \( L(D_{x})t_{1x} = DL(D_{x})t_{x}, \) therefore \( DL(D_{x})t_{x} = L(D_{x})t_{x}. \) Denote \( L(D_{x})t_{x} = I \) so we have \( DL = I. \) Hence \( L(D_{x})t_{x} \) is an \( n \)-integral.

Therefore the condition \( (43) \) is necessary and sufficient for our equation to have nontrivial \( n \)-integral.

Example 1: Take \( p(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} e^{\theta} + \frac{1}{2} e^{-\theta} = \cosh \theta, \) then

\[ A(\tau_{-1}) = \cosh \theta, \]

\[ \tau_{-1} = \sinh \theta + c, \]

or \( A(\tau_{-1})^2 - (\tau_{-1} - c)^2 = 1 \) which gives \( A(\tau_{-1}) = \sqrt{1 + (\tau_{-1} - c)^2}, \) where \( c \) is arbitrary constant, is Darboux integrable. Moreover, its general solution is given by \( t(n,x) = G(x) + nc + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \sinh(x + c_k), \) where \( G(x) \) is arbitrary function depending on \( x \) and \( c_k \) are arbitrary constants.

B. Case 2: \( t_{1x} = t_x + c_1(t_1-t) + c_2(t_1-t)^2 + c_3(t_1-t) \)

Lemma 3.3: If equation \( t_{1x} = t_x + d(t,t_1) = t_x + c_1(t_1-t) + c_2(t_1-t)^2 + c_3(t_1-t) \) admits a nontrivial \( n \)-integral, then there exists a natural number \( k \) such that

\[ kc_1 - (k+1)c_2 = 0. \]  

\[ (44) \]

Proof: Introduce vector fields \( T_1 = [X_1, Y_1], \) \( T_m = [X_1, T_{m-1}], \) \( m \geq 2. \) Direct calculations show that

\[ [D_x, T_1] = (-c_1 + 2c_2)X_1 + (-c_1 + 2c_2)Y_1 + (d_{1x}(t_1, t) - d_1(t_1, t))T_1, \]

\[ [D_x, T_m] = -A^{(m)}_{m-1}T_{m-1} - A^{(m)}_mT_m, \]  

\[ (45) \]

where

\[ A^{(m)}_j = X_j^{m-j} \{ -C(m, j - 1) d_{t_1}(t_{-1}, t) + C(m, j) d_{t}(t_{-1}, t) \}, \]

\[ C(m, k) = \frac{m!}{k! (m-k)!}. \]

Due to finiteness of algebra \( L_n, \) there exists natural number \( M, \) such that
\[ T_{M+1} = \mu_1 T_1 + \mu_2 T_2 + \cdots + \mu_M T_M, \]
and \( T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_M \) are linearly independent. We have
\[
[D_s, T_{M+1}] = [D_s, \mu_1 T_1 + \mu_2 T_2 + \cdots + \mu_M T_M],
\]
that can be rewritten by (45) in the following form:
\[
-A^{(M+1)}_M T_M - A^{(M+1)}_{M+1} (\mu_M T_M + \mu_{M-1} T_{M-1} + \cdots + \mu_1 T_1)
\]
\[
= D_s(\mu_1)T_1 - \mu_1(c_1 - 2c_2)X_1 - \mu_1(c_1 - 2c_2)Y_1 - \mu_1A^{(1)}_1 T_1 + D_s(\mu_2)T_2 - \mu_2A^{(2)}_2 T_1 - \mu_2A^{(2)}_2 T_2
\]
\[
+ \cdots + D_s(\mu_M)T_M - \mu_M A^{(M)}_M T_M - \mu_M A^{(M)}_{M-1} T_{M-1} - \mu_M A^{(M)}_{M-2} T_{M-2} - \cdots.
\]

Compare coefficients before the operators. The coefficient before \( X_1 \) and \( Y_1 \) gives \( -\mu_1(c_1 - 2c_2) = 0 \). In this case we have two choices: \( \mu_1 = 0 \) or \( c_1 - 2c_2 = 0 \). The second one gives (44) with \( k = 1 \). If \( c_1 - 2c_2 \neq 0 \), then \( \mu_1 \neq 0 \). Using this, from the coefficient of \( T_1 \) we get \( -\mu_2 A^{(2)}_2 = 0 \). Again, we have that either \( \mu_2 = 0 \) or \( A^{(2)}_2 = 0 \). If \( A^{(2)}_2 = 0 \) we stop, if not then \( \mu_2 = 0 \) and we continue to compare the coefficients. Using \( \mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0 \), the coefficient before \( T_2 \) gives \( -\mu_2 A^{(3)}_3 = 0 \) which means \( \mu_3 = 0 \) or \( A^{(3)}_3 = 0 \). Same as before: if \( A^{(3)}_3 = 0 \), we stop, if not then \( \mu_3 \neq 0 \) and we continue to the procedure.

If \( \mu_1 = \cdots = \mu_M = 0 \) then \( T_{M+1} = 0 \) and \( [D_s, T_{M+1}] = 0 = -A^{(M+1)}_M T_M - A^{(M+1)}_{M+1} T_{M+1} = -A^{(M+1)}_M T_M \) since \( T_1, \ldots, T_M \) are linearly independent then \( T_M \neq 0 \) and therefore \( A^{(M+1)}_M = 0 \). It follows \( A^{(k)}_{k-1} = 0 \) for some \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, M + 1 \). Evaluate \( A^{(k)}_{k-1} \),
\[
A^{(k)}_{k-1} = C(k, k - 2) d_{k-1, t_1 - t} (t_1, t) + C(k, k - 1) d_{k-1, t} (t_1, t) = -k(k - 1)(c_2 - c_1) + k(c_1 - 2c_2) = k[c_1 - (k + 1)c_2].
\]

Let us rewrite the equation in case (2) as
\[
\tau_1 = c_1 \tau + c_2 \tau^2 + c_3 \tau,
\]
where \( \tau = t_1 - t \). We have two important relations.

1. \( Y_0 f = D_s \ln H \), where
\[
H = \frac{\theta^{\beta/e}}{\theta + e}^{\gamma/e}, \quad \theta = \frac{t_1}{\tau}, \quad \epsilon = \frac{c_1}{c_2} - 1.
\]

2. \( Y_1 f = D_s \ln RH_{-1} \), where
\[
H_{-1} = D^{-1} H, \quad R = \frac{\theta}{\theta + \epsilon}, \quad \text{when } e \neq 0.
\]

The case \( e = 0 \), i.e. \( c_1 = c_2 \), is not realized due to Lemma 3.3. The case \( c_2 = 0 \), due to Lemma 3.3, leads to \( c_1 = 0 \), and the equation becomes \( t_1 = t_1 + c_3(t_1 - t) \) with an \( n \)-integral \( I = t_1 - c_3 t_1 \).

These two relations allow us to simplify the basis operators \( Y_0, Y_1, X_1 \). Really, we take
\[
\tilde{Y}_1 = H_{-1} Y_1, \quad \tilde{Y}_0 = H Y_0,
\]
and get \([D_s, \tilde{Y}_0] = 0\) and \([D_s, \tilde{Y}_1] = \Lambda \tilde{Y}_0\), where \( \Lambda = -(H_{-1}/H)D_s \ln(RH_{-1}) \).

First we will restrict the set of the variables as follows: \( t_1, t_{-1}, t_1, t_k, \ldots \) and change the variables \( t' = t, \tau_{-1} = t - t_{-1} \) keeping the other variables unchanged. Then some of the differentiations will change,
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t^*} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{-1}}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{-1}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{-1}}.
\]

So we have \(X_1 = -\partial/\partial \tau_{-1} = -\hat{X}_1\) and

\[
\tilde{Y}_1 = H_{-1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t^*} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{-1}} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^\infty H_{-1} D^{-1}(Y_0 D_{-1}^k f) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{[k]}}.
\]

Since \([D_x, \hat{X}_1] = D_x (\ln R_{-1}) \hat{X}_1\), one can introduce \(\tilde{X}_1 = (1/R_{-1}) \hat{X}_1\) and get \([D_x, \tilde{X}_1] = 0\). Here \(R_{-1} = D^{-1} R\).

Introduce vector fields \(C_2 = [\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{Y}_1], C_3 = [\tilde{X}_1, C_2], C_k = [\tilde{X}_1, C_{k-1}], k \geq 3\). We have

\[
[D_x, C_{j+1}] = \tilde{X}_1(\Lambda) \tilde{Y}_0, \quad j \geq 1.
\]

Since the algebra \(L_n\) is of finite dimension then there is a number \(N\), such that

\[
C_{N+1} = \mu_0 C_N + \cdots + \mu_2 C_2 + \mu_1 \tilde{Y}_1,
\]

where \(\tilde{Y}_1, C_1, C_2, \ldots\) are linearly independent.

Applying the commutator with \(D_x\) one gets \(D_x(\mu_j) = 0\) for \(j = 1, \ldots, N\) and

\[
(\tilde{X}_1 - \mu_0 \tilde{X}_1 - \cdots - \mu_1) \Lambda = 0.
\]  

All the operators in our sequence have coefficients depending on \(\tau, \tau_{-1}, t\). So do \(\mu_j = \mu_j(\tau, \tau_{-1}, t)\). But the relation \(D_x \mu_j(\tau, \tau_{-1}, t) = 0\) shows that \(\partial \mu_j/\partial t = 0\, \text{i.e.,} \, \mu_j = \mu_j(\tau, \tau_{-1})\). Since the minimal \(x\)-integral for an equation in case (2) depends on variables \(t, t_1, t_2, t_3\), the relation \(D_x(\mu_j) = 0\) implies that \(\mu_j\) is constant for all \(j\).

Introduce new variables \(\tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}, \eta\) as

\[
\tilde{t}_1 = t_1, \quad \tilde{t} = t^*, \quad \eta = \ln \left( \frac{\tau_{-1}}{\tau_{-1} + \frac{1}{e}(t_1 - t^*)} \right), \quad \text{or the same} \quad \tau_{-1} = \frac{\tau}{e^{\eta}(1 - e^\eta)}.
\]

Then

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{-1}} = \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \tau_{-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t^*} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}} + \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \tilde{t}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}_1} + \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \tilde{t}_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}.
\]

In these new variables \(\tilde{X}_1\) takes the form

\[
\tilde{X}_1 = \frac{\tau_{-1}(\theta_{-1} + e)}{\theta_{-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{-1}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta},
\]

and Eq. (48) becomes
\[
\left( \frac{d^N}{d\eta^N} - \mu_N \frac{d^{N-1}}{d\eta^{N-1}} - \cdots - \mu_1 \right) \Lambda = 0,
\]  

(50)

where
\[
\Lambda = -\frac{H^{-1}}{H} (\tau x + D_1 \ln H_{\tau_1}) = -\frac{H^{-1}}{H} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + D^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial t_1} \right) = -\frac{H^{-1}}{H} (c_1 - 2c_2)(\tau - \tau_1).
\]  

(51)

Let us show that \( c_1 - 2c_2 = 0 \). Assume contrary. It follows from (50) and (51) that both functions \( H_{\tau_1} \) and \( \tau_1 H_{\tau_1} \) must be quasipolynomials in \( \eta \).

Due to (46) and (49), we have
\[
H_{\tau_1} = -\frac{\tau}{\epsilon} e^\eta (1 - e^\eta)^{1/\epsilon - 1}
\]
and
\[
\tau_1 H_{\tau_1} = -\frac{\tau^2}{\epsilon^2} e^{2\eta} (1 - e^\eta)^{1/\epsilon - 2}.
\]

To be quasipolynomials in \( \eta \) it is necessary that \( \epsilon = 1/m \) for some natural \( m \geq 2 \).

Rewrite our vector fields \( \bar{X}_1, \bar{Y}_1 \) in the new variables;
\[
\bar{X}_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta},
\]
\[
\bar{Y}_1 = H_{\tau_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + H_{\tau_1} \left( \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \tau_1} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} + \cdots.
\]

Study the projection on the direction \( \partial / \partial \eta \).

The operators \( \bar{X}_1 = \partial / \partial \eta \) and \( H_{\tau_1}(\partial \eta / \partial \tau + \partial \eta / \partial \tau_1) \partial / \partial \eta \) generate a finite dimensional Lie algebra over the field of constants. Due to Lemma 2.3 in this case the coefficient \( H_{\tau_1} \partial \eta / \partial t \) should be of one of the forms
\[
\bar{c}_1 e^{\bar{a} \eta} + \bar{c}_2 e^{-\bar{a} \eta} + \bar{c}_3 \quad \text{or} \quad \bar{c}_1 \eta^2 + \bar{c}_2 \eta + \bar{c}_3,
\]  

(52)

but we have
\[
H_{\tau_1} \left( \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \tau_1} \right) = \left( 1 + \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} - 1 \right) e^\eta \right) (1 - e^\eta)^{1/\epsilon},
\]
with \( 1/\epsilon = m \geq 2 \) and it is never of the form (52). This contradiction shows that \( c_1 - 2c_2 = 0 \). \( \square \)

**C. Case 3:** \( t_1 = t_x + A(t_1 - t) e^{\alpha t} \)

Introduce \( \tau = t_x - t \) and rewrite the equation as \( \tau_x = A(\tau)e^{\alpha t} \). Study the question when the equation admits a nontrivial \( n \)-integral or the same when the corresponding Lie algebra \( L_n \) is of finite dimension.

Instead of the vector fields \( Y_0 = \partial / \partial t_1 \) and \( Y_1 = \partial / \partial t + D^1(\partial f / \partial t_1)(\partial / \partial t_3) + D^1(\partial f / \partial t_{13}) + \cdots \), we will use the vector fields \( \bar{Y}_0 = A(\tau)Y_0 \) and \( \bar{Y}_1 = A(\tau_1)Y_1 \). They are more convenient since they satisfy more simple relations,
as operators acting on the enlarged set $t_1$, $t$, $t_{-1}$, $t_{-2}$, ..., $t_x$, $t_{xx}$, ..., Here the coefficient $\lambda_1$ is

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{A(\tau_1)}{A(\tau)} \left( A' (\tau) - \alpha A(\tau) - A'(\tau) e^{-\alpha \tau} e^{\alpha t} \right).$$

Since the equation is represented as $\tau = A(\tau) e^{at}$ it is reasonable to introduce new variables as $\tau = t$, $\tau_1 = t - t_{-1}$, $\tau_2 = t_{-1} - t_{-2}$, such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t \tau_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t \tau_2}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{-1}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{-1} \tau_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{-1} \tau_2}.$$
Due to Lemma 2.3, we get that containing all possible commutators and all possible linear combinations with constant coefficients. By looking at the projection on we get an algebra generated by and all possible linear combinations with constant coefficients. Due to Lemma 2.3, we get that \(e^{\alpha x} \) can be only one of the forms (a) \(e^{\alpha x} = c_1 e^{\beta y} + c_2 e^{\gamma y} + c_3\) and (b) \(e^{\alpha x} = c_1 y^2 + c_2 y + c_3\), where \(\beta, c_1, c_2, c_3\) are some constants.

The equation \(A(x_1) = (1/\alpha)(d/d\eta) e^{\alpha x}\) implies that in case (a) we have \(A(x_1) = (\beta/\alpha) x (c_1 e^{\beta y} - c_2 e^{\gamma y})\), or the same

\[
A^2(\tau) = \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2} [(e^{\alpha x} - c_3)^2 - 4c_1 c_2],
\]
and in case (b) we have \(A(x_1) = (1/\alpha)(2c_1 y + c_2)\), or the same,

\[
A^2(\tau) = \frac{4c_1}{\alpha^2} e^{\alpha x} + \frac{c_2^2 - 4c_1 c_3}{\alpha^2}.
\]

In addition to the operators \(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2, \tilde{Y}_0, \tilde{Y}_1\) introduced above, we will use \(Y_2 = A(x_2) D^{-1}(1/\eta) \partial_x + A(x_2) D^{-1}(1/\eta) \partial_{xx} + \cdots\) defined as \(Y_2 = A(x_2) Y_2\). It satisfies the commutativity relation

\[
[D, Y] = \lambda Y + \xi Y + \nu X_1,
\]
where

\[
\xi = - \frac{A(x_2)}{A(x_1)} D^{-1}(1/\eta) = - \frac{A(x_2)}{A(x_1)} \{(-A(\alpha x_1) + \alpha A(\alpha x_1)) e^{-\alpha x_1} + A'(\alpha x_1) e^{\alpha x_1} - A'(\alpha x_1) e^{-\alpha x_1}\}
\]

\[
\lambda = - \frac{A(x_2)}{A(x_1)} D^{-1}(1/\eta)\quad \text{and} \quad \nu = -\lambda e^{\alpha x_1}.
\]

**Lemma 3.4:**

1. **Equation** \(t_1 = t_1 + (\beta / \alpha)(e^{\alpha x} - c_3) e^{\alpha t}\) admits a nontrivial n-integral if and only if \(c_3 = \pm 1\).
2. **Equation** \(t_1 = t_1 + c_2 e^{\alpha t}, \; c_2 \neq 0\) does not admit a nontrivial n-integral.

**Proof:** In this case the equation \(\tau_1 = A(\tau) e^{\alpha t}\) is reduced by evident scaling of \(x\) and \(t\) to

\[t_1 = t_1 + e^t \quad \text{or} \quad t_1 = t_1 + e^t + e e^t .\]

By induction on \(m\) one can easily see that for the equation \(t_1 = t_1 + e^t\), the basic vector fields \(Y_m\) are

\[Y_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t},\]

\[Y_2 = e^{\alpha x} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + e^{\alpha x} (t_2 - e^{\alpha x} - 1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \cdots .\]

Since these vector fields \(Y_m, m \geq 1\), are linearly independent then equation \(t_1 = t_1 + e^t\) does not admit a nontrivial n-integral.

For equation \(t_1 = t_1 + e^t + e e^t\), the basic vector fields \(Y_m\) are
\[ Y_1 = \frac{\partial }{\partial t} + e^{t} \frac{\partial }{\partial x} + e^{t} (t + e^{t}) \frac{\partial }{\partial txx} + \cdots, \]

\[ Y_m = (e + 1) e^{t -(m-1)} \frac{\partial }{\partial t} + (e + 1) e^{t -(m-1)} (t + (1 - e) e^{t -(m-1)}) \frac{\partial }{\partial txx} + \cdots. \]

One can see that vector fields \( Y_m, m \geq 1 \), are linearly independent if \( e \neq \pm 1 \). Therefore, if \( e \neq \pm 1 \), equation \( t_{1x} = t_x + e^{t} + e^{t} \) does not admit a nontrivial \( n \)-integral. If \( e = -1 \), the equation becomes \( t_{1x} = t_x + e^{t} - e \), and one of its \( n \)-integrals is \( l = t_x - e \). If \( e = 1 \), the equation becomes \( t_{1x} = t_x + e^{t} + e^{t} \), and one of its \( n \)-integrals is \( l = 2 t_x - t_x^{2} - e^{2} \).

**Lemma 3.5:** Let equation \( t_{1x} = t_x + A(t_{1x})e^{at} \) with (a) \( A^2(\tau) = (\beta^2 / \alpha^2)((e^{\alpha \tau} - c_3)^2 - 4c_1c_2) \) or (b) \( A^2(\tau) = (4c_1 / \alpha^2)e^{\alpha \tau} + (c_2 - 4c_1c_3) / \alpha^2 \) admit a nontrivial \( n \)-integral. Then in case (a), we have, \( A(t_{1x}) = (\beta / \alpha) \sqrt{(e^{(\alpha \tau)(\tau)}) - c_3} - c_3 + 1 \), where \( c_3 \) is an arbitrary constant, and in case (b), we have \( A(t_{1x}) = ce^{\alpha t^2 / (\alpha - 1)} \), where \( c \) is an arbitrary constant.

In cases (a) and (b) the corresponding \( n \)-integrals are \( l = (\alpha / 2) t_x^2 - t_xx + (\alpha / 2) e^{2at} \) and \( l = -(\alpha / 2) t_xx^2 + t_xx \).

**Proof:** Note that

\[ D_{x}\rho = \lambda, \quad \text{where} \quad \rho = \frac{A(\tau_x) - e^{\alpha \tau_x}}{A(\tau_{xx})}. \]

This implies that the vector field,

\[ R_2 = \hat{Y}_2 - \rho \hat{Y}_1, \]

satisfies very simple and convenient relation,

\[ [D_{x}R_2] = \xi \hat{Y}_0 + \nu \hat{X}_1, \quad \xi = - \frac{A(\tau_x)}{A(\tau_{xx})} D^{-1}(Y_{1f}) - \rho \lambda, \quad \nu = e^{\alpha \tau_x} \frac{A(\tau_x)}{A(\tau_{xx})} D^{-1}(Y_{1f}). \]

Study now the sequence

\[ R_{j+1} = [\hat{X}, R_j], \quad j \geq 2, \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{X} = \hat{X}_1 + e^{-\alpha \tau_x} 1 \]

Direct calculations show that

\[ [D_{x}R_m] = \hat{X}^{(m-2)}(\xi) \hat{Y}_0 + \hat{X}^{(m-2)}(\nu) \hat{X}_1 + b_m \hat{X}_2. \tag{60} \]

Since \( \hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \hat{Y}_0, R_2 \) are linearly independent, then there exists a number \( N \geq 2 \), such that

\[ R_{N+1} = \mu_N R_N + \mu_{N-1} R_{N-1} + \cdots + \mu_2 R_2 + \mu_1 \hat{X}_1 \]

and

\[ [D_{x}R_{N+1}] = [D_{x}\mu_N R_N + \mu_{N-1} R_{N-1} + \cdots + \mu_2 R_2 + \mu_1 \hat{X}_1]. \tag{61} \]

We use \( [D_{x}, \hat{X}_1] = \alpha A(\tau_x)e^{-2\alpha t_x + \alpha t_x} \hat{X}_2, [D_{x}, \hat{X}_2] = 0 \), and (60) to compare the coefficients before linearly independent vector fields \( R_k \) and \( \hat{Y}_0 \) in (61). We have, \( D_{x}(\mu_k) = 0, k = 2, 3, \ldots, N \), and

\[ \hat{X}^{(N-1)}(\xi) = \mu_N \hat{X}^{(N-2)}(\xi) + \cdots + \mu_2 \hat{Y}_0. \tag{62} \]

Under the change in variables
\[ \eta = z, \quad \eta_{-1} = z_{-1} - q(z), \quad \frac{\partial q(z)}{\partial z} = -e^{-\alpha z}, \]
equation (62) is reduced to

\[ (D_z^{N-1} - \mu_N D_z^{N-2} - \cdots - \mu_2) \tilde{\xi} = 0, \quad (63) \]
where \( \mu_k = \mu_k(\tau_{-1}, \tau_{-2}) = \mu_k(z_{-1}) \). Since \( D_z(z_{-1}) = 0 \), \( D_z(z) = e^{\alpha z} \neq 0 \), and \( 0 = D_z(\mu_k) = D_{z_{-1}}(\mu_k)D_z(z_{-1}) + D_z(\mu_k)D_z(z) \), then coefficients \( \mu_k \) do not depend on variable \( z \). Since, due to (63),

\[ \tilde{\xi} = - \frac{A(\tau_{-2})}{A(\tau)} e^{-\alpha z} e^{\alpha [A(\tau_{-1}) + \alpha A(\tau_{-1}) + A'(\tau_{-2}) e^{-\alpha z}] + A(\tau_{-2}) e^{\alpha [A'(\tau) - \alpha A(\tau)] - A'(\tau_{-2}) e^{-\alpha z}]} - A'(\tau_{-1}) e^{-\alpha z}] + A(\tau_{-1}) e^{-\alpha z} e^{\alpha [A'(\tau) - \alpha A(\tau) - A'(\tau_{-1}) e^{-\alpha z}] \}} \]
is a quasipolynomial in \( z = \eta \) for any \( \tau \) and \( t \), then \( (d/d\tau)(\tilde{\xi}A(\tau) e^{-\alpha t}) \) is a quasipolynomial as well. Hence we have

\[ (A''(\tau) - \alpha A'(\tau))[A(\tau_{-2}) + A(\tau_{-1}) e^{-\alpha z}] \]
is a quasipolynomial in \( z \), which is possible only if \( A''(\tau) - \alpha A'(\tau) = 0 \) or \( A(\tau_{-2}) + A(\tau_{-1}) e^{-\alpha z} \) is a quasipolynomial in \( z \).

In case (a) we have

\[ A'(\tau) - \alpha A'(\tau) = -\alpha \beta c_4 \frac{e^{2\alpha \tau}}{(\sqrt{e^{\alpha^2} - c_3^2} - c_4)}, \quad c_4 = 4c_1c_2, \]
and in case (b) we have

\[ A''(\tau) - \alpha A'(\tau) = -4c_1^2 \alpha \tau e^{-2\alpha \tau} \left( \frac{4c_1}{\alpha} e^{\alpha \tau} + \frac{c_2 - 4c_1c_3}{\alpha^2} \right)^{-3/2}. \]

Therefore, \( A''(\tau) - \alpha A'(\tau) = 0 \) if \( c_1c_2 = 0 \) in case (a) and if \( c_1 = 0 \) in case (b). Both these cases are considered in Lemma 3.4.

It follows from \( dq/\partial z = -e^{-\alpha z} \) that, in case (a), if \( r = \sqrt{c_3^2 - 4c_1c_2} \neq 0 \), then

\[ q(\eta) = -\frac{1}{\beta r} \ln \left| \frac{e^{\beta \eta} - p_1}{e^{\beta \eta} - p_2} \right|, \quad p_1 = -c_3 + r, \quad p_2 = -c_3 - r, \]
and if \( r = \sqrt{c_3^2 - 4c_1c_2} = 0 \), then

\[ q(\eta) = \frac{1}{c_1 \beta (e^{\beta \eta} - p_1)}. \]

In case (b), if \( r_1 = \sqrt{c_3^2 - 4c_1c_3} \neq 0 \), then

\[ q(\eta) = -\frac{1}{\beta r_1} \ln \left| \frac{\eta - p_1^*}{\eta - p_2^*} \right|, \quad p_1^* = -c_2 + r_1, \quad p_2^* = -c_2 - r_1, \]
and if \( r_1 = \sqrt{c_3^2 - 4c_1c_3} = 0 \), then

\[ q(\eta) = \frac{1}{c_1 \beta (\eta - p_1^*)}. \]

In case (a) we have
\[
\frac{\alpha}{\beta} (A(\tau_2) + A(\tau_1)) e^{\alpha t_2} = c_1 e^{\beta t_1} e^{-\beta t_2} + 2 e^{-\beta t_2} (c_1 e^{\beta t_1} + c_2 e^{-\beta t_1} + c_3)
\]

\[
= c_1 e^{\beta t_1} e^{-\beta t_2} (c_1 e^{\beta t_1} - c_2 e^{-\beta t_1} + 1) + c_2 e^{-\beta t_2} (c_1 e^{\beta t_1} - c_2 e^{-\beta t_1} - 1) + c_3 c_1 e^{\beta t_1}
\]

\[
- c_3 c_2 e^{-\beta t_2} (c_1 e^{\beta t_1} - c_2 e^{-\beta t_1}) + c_2 e^{-\beta t_2} (c_1 e^{\beta t_1} - 1) + c_3 c_1 e^{\beta t_1} - c_3 c_2 e^{-\beta t_2}.
\]

One can see that \(A(\tau_2) + A(\tau_1) e^{\alpha t_2}\) is a quasipolynomial in case (a) only if \(r = \sqrt{c_1^2 - 4c_1 c_2}\) \(\pm 1\). If \(r = \pm 1\), function \(A(t_1 - t)\) becomes \((\beta/\alpha) \sqrt{(e^{\alpha t_1} - c_1)^2 - c_2 + 1}\), where \(c_3\) is an arbitrary constant, and one of \(n\)-integrals for \(t_1 = t + (\beta/\alpha) e^{\alpha t} \sqrt{(e^{\alpha t_1} - c_1)^2 - c_2 + 1}\) is \(I = (\alpha/2) t_1^2 - t_1 x + (\alpha/2) e^{2\alpha t}\).

In case (b) direct calculations show that

\[
A(\tau_2) + A(\tau_1) e^{\alpha t_2} = Q(z) + P(z, z_1) + J(z, z_1),
\]

where \(Q(z)\) is some function depending only on \(z\), \(P(z, z_1)\) is a polynomial function of two variables, and

\[
J(z, z_1) = - \frac{2c_1}{\alpha} z_1 q(z) (2c_1 z + c_2).
\]

Since \(A(\tau_2) + A(\tau_1) e^{\alpha t_2} - P(z, z_1) = Q(z) + J(z, z_1)\) is a quasipolynomial in \(z\), then

\[
\frac{\partial (Q(z) + J(z, z_1))}{\partial z_1} = \frac{2c_1}{\alpha} q(z) (2c_1 z + c_2)
\]

is also a quasipolynomial in \(z\), which is possible only if \(r_1 = \sqrt{c_1^2 - 4c_1 c_2} = 0\). If \(r_1 = 0\) we have \(A(t_1 - t) = c e^{(\alpha/2)(t_1 - t)}\), where \(c\) is an arbitrary constant, and the corresponding \(n\)-integral is \(I = (\alpha/2) t_1^2 + t_1 x\).

**D. Case 4:** \(t_1 = t + c_4 (e^{\alpha t} - e^{-\alpha t}) + c_5 (e^{-\alpha t} - e^{\alpha t})\)

It is clear that this equation has a nontrivial \(n\)-integral which is \(I = t_1 - c_4 e^{\alpha t} + c_5 e^{-\alpha t}\). It satisfies the equation \(DI = I\) since \(DI = t_1 - c_4 e^{\alpha t} + c_5 e^{-\alpha t} = I\).

**IV. CONCLUSION**

In this article we studied differential-difference equations of the form (1) from the Darboux integrability point of view. The problem of classification of Darboux integrable chains is studied by reducing it to an adequate algebraic form. We use the fact that the chain (1) is Darboux integrable if and only if its characteristic Lie algebras \(L_s\) and \(L_p\) both are of finite dimension to obtain the complete list of Darboux integrable chains of the particular form \(t_1 = t + d(t, t_1)\).
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