Heterologous xylose isomerase pathway and evolutionary engineering improve xylose utilization in \textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae}
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Xylose utilization is one key issue for the bioconversion of lignocelluloses. It is a promising approach to engineering heterologous pathway for xylose utilization in \textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae}. Here, we constructed a xylose-fermenting yeast SyBE001 through combinatorial fine-tuning the expression of \textit{XylA} and endogenous \textit{XKS1}. Additional overexpression of genes \textit{RKI1}, \textit{RPE1}, \textit{TKL1}, and \textit{TAL1} in the non-oxidative pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) in SyBE001 increased the xylose consumption rate by 1.19-fold. By repetitive adaptation, the xylose utilization rate was further increased by \sim 10-fold in the resultant strain SyBE003. Gene expression analysis identified a variety of genes with significantly changed expression in the PPP, glycolysis and the tricarboxylic acid cycle in SyBE003.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Efficient utilization of xylose is critical for economical cellulosic ethanol production because xylose is the second abundant sugar in lignocellulosic hydrolysates (Li et al., 2010; Qin et al., 2012). Xylose metabolic pathways from natural xylose-fermenting microorganisms have been heterologously expressed in \textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae}, which is one of the most promising microbes for cellulosic ethanol production (Zha et al., 2012, 2014). However, the utilization of xylose is much slower than glucose utilization in engineered yeasts (Wang et al., 2014; Shen et al., 2015). Improvement of xylose utilization in engineered yeasts is an urgent need for efficient conversion of cellulosic resources.

The xylose reductase/xyitol dehydrogenase (XR/XDH) pathway from fungus, one of the two available ways so far, converts xylose into xylulose catalyzed by NADPH-dependent xylose reductase and NAD\textsuperscript{+}-preferred xyitol dehydrogenase with xyitol as the by-product. The xylose isomerase (XI) pathway converts xylose to xylulose by XI encoded by the gene \textit{XylA}. In both pathways, xylulose is then channeled into the glycolytic pathway through the non-oxidative pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) to produce ethanol under anaerobic conditions. Several approaches have been applied to optimize the XR/XDH pathway to reduce xyitol production and increase ethanol yield, including protein engineering of the cofactor preference of XR or XDH.
for cofactor recycling, overexpression of the genes in the PPP, and heterologous expression of xylose-specific transporters (Jeppsson et al., 2006; Karhumaa et al., 2007a; Matsushika et al., 2008; Petschacher and Nietzky, 2008; Bengtsson et al., 2009; Runquist et al., 2009). As an alternative, the XI pathway bypasses the cofactor imbalance and can achieve a much higher ethanol yield close to the theoretical value (Kuyper et al., 2003; Brat et al., 2009; Ha et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2014). However, the overall xylose utilization rate by the XI pathway in yeast is notably lower than that by the XR/XDH pathway, and so efforts are required to increase the xylose utilization rate by this pathway (Kuyper et al., 2003; Chu and Lee, 2007; Karhumaa et al., 2007b; Brat and Boles, 2009; Ha et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2014). As an alternative, the XI pathway bypasses the cofactor imbalance and can achieve a much higher ethanol yield close to the theoretical value (Kuyper et al., 2003; Brat et al., 2009; Ha et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2014). However, the overall xylose utilization rate by the XI pathway in yeast is notably lower than that by the XR/XDH pathway, and so efforts are required to increase the xylose utilization rate by this pathway (Kuyper et al., 2003; Chu and Lee, 2007; Karhumaa et al., 2007b; Brat and Boles, 2009; Ha et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2014).

Rational metabolic modifications to accelerate xylose uptake and metabolism were employed in recombinant S. cerevisiae expressing the XI pathway (Kuyper et al., 2005a; Lee et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2012; Nijland et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015). (f) One or all genes encoding the enzymes in the non-oxidative PPP were over-expressed in S. cerevisiae to increase the flux toward the glycolytic pathway; (ii) XKS1 encoding xylose kinase responsible for phosphorylation of xylose was over-expressed to improve xylose fermentation; (iii) Directed evolution of a XI was conducted to accelerate xylose utilization; and (iv) Heterologous xylose-specific transporters were over-expressed to enhance xylose transport. Efficient xylose utilization was achieved in the strain RWB217 by overexpression of XylA, XKS1 and the four genes of RPE1, RKI1, TAL1, and TKL1 in the PPP together with deletion of GRE3 (Kuyper et al., 2005a). However, these modifications did not visibly improve xylose utilization in other host yeasts for unknown reasons (Karhumaa et al., 2007b; Zhou et al., 2012). Thus, alternative approaches need to be introduced to improve xylose utilization in recombinant S. cerevisiae.

Evolutionary engineering was an efficient approach to improving the utilization of non-favored carbon sources such as arabinose and galactose in S. cerevisiae (Wisselink et al., 2010; Hong et al., 2011; Scalcinati et al., 2012; Demeke et al., 2015). Similarly, such a strategy has been successfully applied to evolve the XI pathway for accelerated xylose fermentation (Kuyper et al., 2005b; Zhou et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2014). In this study, a combinatorial design was used to modulate the expression of XylA from fungus Piromyces and endogenous XKS1 in S. cerevisiae. The rational engineering for the non-oxidative PPP and evolutionary engineering resulted in an efficient xylose-utilizing strain.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and Media

*Escherichia coli* DH5α was used for plasmid construction in this study and was grown in Luria-Bertani medium with 100 mg/L ampicillin. Yeast strains used in the study are listed in Table 1. YNB medium with 20 g/L glucose was used in the construction of recombinant yeasts (Zha et al., 2013). Agar plates were prepared by the addition of 20 g/L agar. Adaptive evolution was performed in YNB medium with 20 g/L xylose. The fermentation was conducted in YPX medium (10 g/L yeast extract, 20 g/L peptone, and 20 g/L or 40 g/L xylose) or YPGX medium (10 g/L yeast extract, 20 g/L peptone, 20 g/L glucose, and 20 g/L xylose).

| Strain | Genotype | Sources |
|--------|----------|---------|
| L2612  | MATαα::ura3, trp1 | Jin et al., 2003 |
| SyBE001| [TDH1p-XKS1, pRS425-TDH3p-XylA] | This study |
| SyBE002| [TDH1p-RPE1, PGK1p-TAL1], trp1:: [PGK1p-FK111, TDH3p-TKL1] | This study |
| SyBE003| Evolved from SyBE002 | This study |
| SyBE002-TKL2Δ | Yeast knock-out strain | Open biosystems |
| Plasmid | | |
| pTDH1XK | Yplac211, TDH1p-XKS1 | This study |
| pTDH3XK | Yplac211, TDH3p-XKS1 | This study |
| PGK1XK | Yplac211, PGK1p-XKS1 | This study |
| pTDH3XK | pRS425, TDH3p-XylA [Piromyces] | This study |
| pHXK2X | pRS425, HXK2p-XylA [Piromyces] | This study |
| pPGK1X | pRS425, PGK1p-XylA [Piromyces] | This study |
| pAUR101-RPE1-TAL1 | pAUR101, TDH1p-RPE1-PGK1t, PGK1p-TAL1-PGK1t | This study |
| pRS304-RKI1-TKL1 | pRS304, PGK1p-RK11-PGK1t, TDH3p-TKL1-PGK1t | This study |

Table 1: The strains and plasmids used in this study.
To knockout gene TKL2 in SyBE002, the TKL2 knockout box was amplified from the genomic DNA of the strain tkl2Δ from the strain collection of single-gene deletion (Open Biosystems, Huntsville, Ala, USA). The constructed knockout DNA fragment was used directly for transformation into SyBE002.

All the primers used in this study were listed in Supplementary Table S2.

Transformation

The transformants of plasmids pTDH3XI, pHXK2XI, pPGK1XI, pTDH13XK, pTDH13XK, pPGK1XK, and pRS304-RKI-TKL1 were selected in YNB medium with 20 g/L glucose lacking specific amino acids. Integration of pAUR101-RPE1-TAL1 was performed in YNB plate supplemented with 0.5 mg/L Aureobasidin A (Takara Bio, Kyoto, Japan). The TKL2-knockout strain was selected on YNB plate with 200 mg/L G418 (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). All the transformation was conducted according to the standard procedures (Gietz et al., 1995).

Adaptive Evolution on Xylose

The evolution was carried out in 50 mL YNB medium with 20 g/L xylose in 250 mL flasks at 30°C and 150 rpm under oxygen-limited conditions. For each adaptation cycle, growth was initiated with the cell density of 0.2 (OD₆₀₀). Further evolution cycles were repeated with the identical conditions and ceased until the constant cell density. After the evolution of about 40 transfers in 75 days, cells from the shaking flasks were streaked on YNB plates with 20 g/L xylose for isolation of a single colony. Twenty colonies with the biggest sizes were chosen for transfers in 75 days, cells from the shaking flasks were streaked on YNB plates with 200 mg/L G418 (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). All the transformation was conducted according to the standard procedures (Gietz et al., 1995).

Anaerobic Fermentation

Yeast seeds were cultivated in YNB medium with 20 g/L glucose at 30°C and 200 rpm and inoculated into fresh media when cells reached mid-log phase. Anaerobic fermentation was performed in 100 mL of YPX or YPGX in 250-mL flasks sealed by a rubber stopper with a needle to release CO₂ produced during fermentation. Flasks were incubated in a rotary shaker (Honor, Tianjin, China) with shaking (150 rpm) at 30°C. Initial cell densities were 1.0 of OD₆₀₀ for the fermentation with 20 g/L xylose, and 2.0 of OD₆₀₀ was used for other fermentation. Cell density was monitored by measuring the OD₆₀₀ using a 756 spectrophotometer (Kanasi, Tianjin, China). The experiments were repeated twice independently.

Analysis of Sugars and Fermentation Products

Sugars and fermentation products were analyzed using an HPLC system consisting of a Waters 1515 pump (Milford, MA, USA) and a Waters 2414 refractive index detector. The substances were separated on an Aminex HPX-87H carbohydrate analysis column (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) at 65°C using 5 mM sulphuric acid as the mobile phase with a flow rate of 0.6 mL/min.

Transcriptional Analysis by Quantitative RT-PCR

After anaerobic growth on 20 g/L xylose for 18 h, the cells were harvested by centrifugation (4,000 rpm) for 5 min at 4°C, followed by two washes with ice-cold water. Then cells were stored in liquid nitrogen before use. Total RNA was extracted by Mini RNA dropout kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China). RNA integrity and quality were verified by RNA electrophoresis and NanoDrop-1000 (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). Totally 1 μg of RNA was used for each reverse transcription with the Reverse Transcription Kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China). Transcription was performed for 1 h at 37°C. The quantitative measurement was carried out using RealMaster Mix Kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China). For each reaction, a total of 20 μL was used consisting of 9 μL RealMaster Mix buffer, 0.5 μL each of forward and reverse primer (10 μM each), 0.5 μL cDNA template, and 9.5 μL ddH₂O. The primers (Supplementary Table S3) were designed according to the sequences from Saccharomyces Genome Database (http://www.yeastgenome.org). Quantitative RT-PCR was run in an ABI7300 Thermo cycler and the conditions employed were as follows: 95°C for 2 min; 94°C for 15 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 40 s (40 cycles). Three biological replicates were performed for each gene. The threshold cycle value (Ct) for each sample was determined using the ABI7300 software. The data was normalized using the gene ACT1 as the internal standard and analyzed according to the 2⁻ΔΔCT method (Schmittgen and Livak, 2008). ΔCT was obtained by subtracting the Ct values of ACT1 from the Ct values of the gene of interest. ΔΔCT was then calculated by subtracting mean ΔCT of the samples in SyBE002 from mean ΔCT of samples in SyBE003. Fold changes of gene expression were calculated by the equation of 2⁻ΔΔCT.

Statistical Analysis

Student’s t-test was introduced to statistically analyze the data using statistical function tools of Microsoft Excel 2010. Fisher’s exact test and Pearson’s χ² test were applied to determine the significant association between the expression levels in SyBE002 and those in SyBE003. All tests of statistical significance were two sided. P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Genetic Construction of Xylose-fermenting Yeasts Expressing the Xylose Isomerase Pathway

The enzyme products of XylA and XKS1 catalyze the formation of 5-phosphate-xylose that was metabolized by the following non-oxidative PPP (Jin et al., 2003; Lonn et al., 2003). Balancing the expression of XylA and XKS1 can be a way to optimize xylose metabolic pathway and improve xylose utilization. We
evaluated the effects of different promoters on balancing the expression of XylA and XKS1. The promoters with different transcriptional strengths were applied to tune the expression of XylA and XKS1. Promoters TDH3p, HXK2p, and PGK1p were applied to express XylA in a multicopy plasmid pRS425 (Lu and Jeffries, 2007). Promoters TDH1p, TDH3p, and PGK1p were used to control the expression of XKS1. This strategy generated nine recombinant strains with different expression levels of XylA and XKS1 (Figure 1).

These strains were then comparatively characterized in terms of cell growth, xylose consumption, and xylitol production under oxygen-limited conditions (Figure 1, Supplementary Table S4). The strains with XKS1 under the control of TDH1p showed higher abilities of xylose utilization than those with XKS1 controlled by TDH3p or PGK1p (Figure 1A). Xylitol production in this group was also much lower than other two groups (Figure 1B). In addition, the cell densities of the cultures were highest in the strains with XKS1 controlled by TDH1p (Figure 1C).

Expression of XylA had little effect on xylose utilization. When XKS1 was expressed by TDH1 promoter, the strain with XylA controlled by TDH3p, named SyBE001, showed a slightly higher xylose utilization rate and cell density (Figures 1A,C). The xylose consumption rate of SyBE001 was 8.9%-79.4% higher than other eight strains (Figure 1A). Thus, we genetically constructed an optimal recombinant S. cerevisiae through fine-tuning the expression of XylA and XKS1.

In the strain SyBE001, the xylose consumption rate was 0.037 g/L/h. The ethanol yield and xylitol yield was 0.28 and 0.19 g/g, respectively. This might be ascribed to the limited flux through the non-oxidative PPP. Enzymes of the non-oxidative PPP are considered to be rate-limiting in xylose metabolism (Karhumaa et al., 2005; Kuyper et al., 2005a; Chu and Lee, 2007). To eliminate these bottlenecks, we over-expressed genes RPE1, RKI1, TAL1, and TKL1 in SyBE001, resulting in strain SyBE002. As shown in Supplementary Figure S1, the expression of the genes was enhanced by 40–134-fold in SyBE002. The fermentation performances of SyBE001 and SyBE002 were also compared to examine the roles of RPE1, RKI1, TAL1, and TKL1 (Figure 2). Compared with strain SyBE001, the xylose consumption rate of SyBE002 increased by 1.19-fold and the ethanol production was enhanced by 1.51-fold accordingly. Meanwhile, xylitol yield and glycerol yield decreased by 21% and 43%, respectively. The results demonstrated that overexpression of genes in the non-oxidative PPP could significantly improve xylose fermentation. However, the resultant strain SyBE002 consumed xylitol still at a low rate, and therefore further modification is needed to achieve rapid ethanol production from xylose.

**Evolutionary Engineering of SyBE002 on Xylose**

To accelerate xylose metabolism in SyBE002, evolutionary engineering was applied. After a 75-day evolution conducted under oxygen-limited conditions, the candidate colonies were selected based on colony sizes as reported before (Du et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2013). Twenty biggest colonies were picked and evaluated in terms of xylose consumption, and the colony exhibiting the highest xylose consumption rate was designated as SyBE003 and investigated further.

**Fermentation of SyBE002 and SyBE003 on Xylose**

To compare their abilities to produce ethanol from xylose, the anaerobic fermentation of SyBE002 and SyBE003 was first carried out on 20 g/L xylose (Figure 3).
strain SyBE003 metabolized more than 95% of the total xylose, while strain SyBE002 consumed only 7% (Figure 3A). After 48 h, SyBE003 finished consumption of all xylose and produced 8.47 g/L ethanol with a yield of 0.40 g/g xylose, while SyBE002 consumed only 10% of the total xylose (Table 2; Figure 3A), indicating that xylose utilization was increased more than 10 times after adaptation. The xylitol yield in SyBE003 was 0.01 g/g, indicating that almost all the assimilated xylose was utilized instead of being secreted as a byproduct, while strain SyBE002 had a 14-fold higher xylitol yield (Table 2). In addition, the glycerol yield in SyBE003 was 0.05 g/g xylose, which was 37.5% lower than that of SyBE002 (Table 2).

The performance of SyBE003 was also examined under a higher concentration of xylose (40 g/L) (Figure 3B). To achieve a higher ethanol productivity, the initial cell density was elevated to 2.0 of OD600 (Figure 3B). After 36 h, 96% xylose was consumed by SyBE003, and the xylitol consumption rate increased up to ~1 g/L/h. In contrast, only 5% xylose was utilized by SyBE002. The xylitol yield and glycerol yield in SyBE003 decreased by ∼4-fold and 44% compared to those in SyBE002, respectively. Consistently, the ethanol yield in SyBE003 (0.43 g/g) was 48% higher than that in SyBE002 (0.29 g/g) (Table 2). These results clearly demonstrated that the performance of the evolved strain SyBE003 on xylose was significantly improved after evolution.

**Fermentation of SyBE002 and SyBE003 on Mixed Sugars**

To achieve economical feasibility of ethanol fermentation on lignocellulosic hydrolysates, efficient co-utilization of xylose, and glucose is necessary. Thus, we characterized the performance of SyBE003 on mixed sugars. As shown in Figure 4, glucose was preferably consumed by both SyBE002 and SyBE003 during the first 12 h followed by the utilization of xylose. In SyBE003, 93% xylose was consumed in 24 h, while only 16% of the xylose was utilized by SyBE002. The final ethanol yield in SyBE003 reached 0.41 g/g sugar, 8% higher than that in SyBE002 (0.38 g/g sugar) (Figure 4). The xylitol yield of SyBE003 was 13-fold lower than that of SyBE002 whereas the glycerol yield of both strains was almost the same (Table 2). Taken together, the results demonstrated that the xylose-fermenting S. cerevisiae SyBE003 obtained through evolutionary engineering was an efficient ethanol producer on mixed sugars of glucose and xylose.

**The Expression Profile of Genes in Xylose Metabolism**

No mutations were observed in the promotors and gene sequences of XylA and XKS1 (sequencing primers in Supplementary Table S5). To investigate the transcriptional changes of SyBE002 after evolution, the expression of genes in PPP, glycolysis, and the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle was monitored using real-time quantitative RT-PCR. As shown in Figure 5 (raw data in Supplementary Data 2), most of the genes in the initial xylose metabolic pathway showed no significant differences in transcription level except XylA, XKS1, TKL2, and ZWF1.

The expression level of XylA in SyBE003 was 0.53-fold of that in SyBE002. Despite transcriptional decrease of XylA, the enzymatic activity of X1 in SyBE003 (0.255 ± 0.020 U/mg) was comparable to that in SyBE002 (0.263 ± 0.022 U/mg). The underlying mechanism for this inconsistency will be investigated in the following study.

The expression level of XKS1 increased by threefold in SyBE003 compared to SyBE002, representing the requirement of abundant expression of XKS1 for efficient xylose metabolism. In the non-oxidative PPP, the expression levels of most genes did not change significantly except for TKL2, which encodes the minor isozyme of transketolase. The expression level of TKL2 was down-regulated in SyBE003 along with the decreased expression of TKL1 encoding the major isozyme of transketolase. Gene ZWF1 coding for glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase was also down-regulated in SyBE003, which was indicative of a depressed activity of the oxidative PPP part in the unique style of xylose metabolism (Figure 5).

The key genes PGK1 and PYK1 in glycolysis showed lower levels of mRNA transcripts in SyBE003 compared with those in SyBE002 (Figure 5). The expression of gene PDC1 coding for the major isozyme of pyruvate decarboxylase complex, which is responsible for acetic acid and ethanol production, was also down-regulated. Similarly, the genes in the TCA cycle such as CIT2, KGD1, SDH2, FUM1, and MDH1 exhibited dramatically reduced expression in SyBE003, indicating a
decreased respiratory response to xylose, which was also reported in recombinant xylose-fermenting yeasts (Jin et al., 2004). On the contrary, the transcripts for the gluconeogenic enzymes ICL1 and FBP1 in SyBE003 were induced to 1.50- and 2.05-fold of the levels in SyBE002, respectively. Overall, comparatively transcriptional profiling showed that the improved xylose fermentation involved decreased transcription burden of XylA, rearrangement of PPP, decreased glycolysis activity, repressed respiration activity, and enhanced gluconeogenesis.

**Effect of TKL2 Disruption on Xylose Fermentation**

To confirm the positive effect of decreased expression of TKL2, this gene was knocked out in SyBE002 and the resulting strain SyBE002-TKL2Δ was compared with SyBE002 in terms of xylose fermentation (Figure 6). The results showed that SyBE002-TKL2Δ consumed 13.0% more xylose than SyBE002 (Figure 6). The final ethanol production of SyBE002-TKL2Δ was 13.0% higher than that of SyBE002. The ethanol, xylitol, and glycerol yields in SyBE002-TKL2Δ were nearly identical to those in SyBE002. The results demonstrated that the decreased expression of TKL2 did improve xylose fermentation. Single disruption of TKL2 did not achieve a xylose-fermenting strain as efficient as SyBE003, indicating that the enhanced phenotype was associated with multiple genes besides TKL2.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we constructed an efficient xylose-fermenting *S. cerevisiae* SyBE003 through the combinatorial
expression of key genes and evolutionary engineering. The strain SyBE003 can rapidly utilize xylose and produce ethanol with high yield. Initial rational design of the expression of XylA and XKS1 led to an optimal combination, in which XylA and XKS1 were expressed by TDH3p and TDH1p, respectively. Combinatorial modulation of expression of multiple genes through promoter shuffling is a widely used strategy to optimize metabolic pathways. Lu and Jeffries (2007) used MGPS (multiple-gene-promoter shuffling) to successfully optimize the expression of TAL1, TKL1, and PYK1 for improved xylose fermentation. The 15000-fold increased taxadiene production was achieved in a recombinant E. coli through combined fine-tuning of the expression of genes in the mevalonic acid pathway and taxadiene biosynthesis pathway (Ajikumar et al., 2010). In this study, combinatorial optimization of XylA and XKS1 improved xylose utilization to some extent. Gene XKS1 showed a more important role in regulation of xylose utilization during the optimization process. In a previous study, increased expression of XKS1 improved cell growth and viability when S. cerevisiae was cultivated on xylulose (Richard et al., 2000). Overexpression of XKS1 in the XYL1 and XYL2-expressing S. cerevisiae increased xylose consumption rate by more than onefold under anaerobic conditions (Toivari et al., 2001). The positive effect of XKS1 overexpression was also observed in a recombinant S. cerevisiae expressing XylA (Lee et al., 2012). However, excessive overexpression of XKS1 can also bring adverse effects on xylose metabolism and slow down xylose uptake, which has been reported (Jin et al., 2003; Matsushika and Sawayama, 2008).

Evolutionary engineering is an easy and effective method to reprogram the xylose metabolic pathway amid the limited understanding of transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation of xylose metabolism so far. Many studies have reported the success of evolutionary engineering in improving xylose utilization. Through evolutionary engineering, improved utilization of xylose and arabinose was obtained after chemostat adaptation of ~1800 h (Garcia Sanchez et al., 2010). The aerobic growth rate of xylose-fermenting yeast increased by threefold after adaptive evolution (Liu and Hu, 2010). In the present study, the use of evolutionary engineering for xylose utilization improvement is directly related to the growth rate. It was reported that evolutionary engineering of growth-coupled metabolic engineering designs can lead to a significant increase in the production rate and a reduction in byproduct formation (Fong et al., 2005). The evolved strain SyBE003 showed not only significant improvement in growth rate but also an obvious reduction in xylitol production (Table 2).

Microorganisms are able to adapt rapidly to different environmental conditions such as carbon source-limited condition. Under a given environment, deleterious and beneficial mutations might occur. The deleterious mutations will be removed by the selective pressure from the environment and accumulation of beneficial mutations will occur. The evolution patterns are hard to predict and random factors such as founder events greatly determine the trait of the population (Simões et al., 2008; Kolbe et al., 2012). Different colonies might have different mutations that can contribute to improved xylose-fermenting property. Physiological fitness can be obtained through different shifts in genomic sequence space (Conrad et al., 2011). Comparative systematic analysis of isolates from intermediate steps of the evolutionary process such as expression profile and proteome profile will help us to understand the mechanism of evolutionary procedure.

The comparison between evolved strains and parent strains at transcriptional levels has provided an opportunity for establishing links between genotype and phenotype, which allows for rational approaches to further modifications (Hong et al., 2011). Transcriptional analysis of a recombinant xylose-fermenting strain TMB3399 and the mutant TMB3400 demonstrated that the improved performance involved xylose transport, initial xylose metabolism and PPP (Wahlbom et al., 2003). New putative bottlenecks in xylose metabolism were identified by proteomic analysis (Karhumaa et al., 2009). In this study, through real-time RT-PCR analysis of SyBE002 and SyBE003, some potential bottlenecks were identified in xylose metabolism, which offered new gene targets for further metabolic engineering of the XI pathway.

The transcriptional level of XylA was down-regulated in the evolved strain, which might be ascribed to the decrease in copy number because of the integration into the genomic DNA from a multicopy plasmid, about 60 in copy number (Futcher, 1986).
FIGURE 5 | Quantitative analysis of transcriptional levels of genes in the xylose metabolic pathway and the central carbon metabolism pathway. Cells of SyBE002 and SyBE003 were cultivated at 20 g/L xylose and harvested after 18 h of fermentation for transcriptional analysis. The name of each gene is shown on the left side of the boxes. The values on the right side of the boxes indicate the ratios of transcriptional levels in SyBE003 to those in SyBE002.
In our study, extraction of the plasmid pTDH3XI carrying Qi et al. Engineering yeast for xylose utilization

Moreover, from SyBE003 failed while it was successful from SyBE002. Research has also observed that from as SyBE003 the PCR template (data not shown). Early optimize the metabolic pathway (Dueber et al., 2009; Ajikumar 2012). These results suggested that integration of chromosome might happen during the adaptation. Reducing the transcriptional burden has been an important means to optimize the metabolic pathway (Dueber et al., 2009; Ajikumar et al., 2010; Bond-Watts et al., 2011). Thus, efficient xylose utilization requires optimal expression of XylA. Alper and co-workers developed a XylA mutant (E15D, E114G, E129D, T142S, A177T, and V433I) through directed evolution, which was 77% more active than the wild type (Lee et al., 2012). Expression of the mutant by a single copy plasmid improved ethanol production by 88%. Expression of the XylA mutant could achieve a high enough XI activity under a low transcript level, which could additionally improve xylose fermentation.

Comparison of gene expression also indicated reprogramming of TKL. PPP has a lower flux capacity than glycolysis, which contributes to the lower consumption rate of xylose than that of glucose (Kuyper et al., 2005a; Chu and Lee, 2007; Matsushika et al., 2009; Shen et al., 2015). Thus, metabolic engineering of xylose metabolism often involves overexpression of genes in the non-oxidative PPP. In this study, the enhanced expression of RPE1, RKI1, TKL1, and TAL1 indeed increased xylose consumption rate (Figure 2). In PPP, TKL1 is important for the production of the precursors needed for the biosynthesis of aromatic amino acids and nucleic acids. However, excessive expression of TKL1 can cause the imbalance between PPP and the glycolytic pathway (Matsushika et al., 2012). The expression level of TKL1, which was under the control of the strongest promoter TDH3, might be too high in this study. Therefore, TKL1 and TKL2 were down-regulated to keep the balance of PPP and the glycolytic pathway during the evolution process. Metzger observed that overexpression of the transketolase-encoding gene from Scheffersomyces stipitis caused slow xylose consumption in a xylose-utilizing S. cerevisiae (Metzger and Hollenberg, 1994). The rates of xylose consumption and ethanol production were slightly impaired when TKL1 or TKL2 was over-expressed in the recombinant yeast expressing XYL1/XYL2/XKS1 (Matsushika et al., 2012). So far, fine-tuning the expression of genes in PPP has never been reported. The result reported in this study indicates that fine-tuning the expression of a gene such as TKL may be an important alternative to optimize xylose metabolism in recombinant S. cerevisiae.

Besides reprogramming of PPP, the transcriptional levels of PGK1 and PYK1 were down-regulated in SyBE003. Pyruvate kinase, encoded by PYK1, catalyzes ATP generation in glycolysis and is a sensor of the cytosolic ATP/ADP ratio (Larsson et al., 2000). 3-phosphoglycerate kinase, encoded by the gene PGK1, is another enzyme catalyzing the formation of ATP in glycolysis. Expression levels of PYK1 and PGK1 are indicators of ATP concentration in cells. ATP is a co-substrate of many kinases such as XK and any imbalance between ATP consumption and generation will decrease metabolic fluxes and eventually shut down the pathway. In SyBE002 the xylose metabolism was restricted and excessive ATP might exist, causing the imbalance of ATP pool. Thus, the decrease in expression of PYK1 and PGK1 in SyBE003 might achieve the balance of ATP pool under anaerobic xylose fermentation. Moreover, the increase of XKS1 expression was observed in SyBE003, whose enzyme product XK consumed ATP. This is consistent with a previous study showing that a higher ATP level was observed in the strain with slower xylose consumption (Toivari et al., 2001).

Although potential bottlenecks were identified in PPP, glycolysis, gluconeogenesis, and the TCA cycle in the present study, other limitations apart from these pathways need clarification. Many transcription factors have been reported to be associated with the regulation of non-favored sugars such as xylose, arabinose, and galactose (Salusjarvi et al., 2008; Turcotte et al., 2010). In one study, the transcription factor RGT1 responsible for regulation of HXT genes showed higher expression in xylose-grown yeast cells than those grown on glucose, providing possible clues in engineering xylose transportation for improved xylose utilization (Salusjarvi et al., 2008). In another instance, the expression of a mutant transcription factor RAS2 (Tyr112) increased growth rate on galactose in S. cerevisiae (Hong et al., 2011). Therefore, global identification of metabolic bottlenecks in xylose metabolism will
provide a comprehensive understanding of xylose metabolism and regulation.

The xylose-fermenting \textit{S. cerevisiae} SyBE003 is derived from a laboratory strain L2612 of limited resistance to multiple inhibitors present in lignocellulosic hydrolysates. These inhibitors will greatly restrain cell growth and ethanol productivity especially during the period of xylose consumption (Palmqvist and Hahn-Hagerdal, 2000; Zhu et al., 2015). Increasing the tolerance to multiple inhibitors is the urgent challenge to construct a robust strain that can efficiently produce ethanol from biomass hydrolysates.

CONCLUSION

We obtained an efficient xylose-fermenting strain SyBE003 through the combinatorial design of key genes and evolutionary engineering. The optimization process identified an optimal combination of XylA and XKS1. Evolutionary engineering is a feasible approach to rapidly reconstruct an efficient strain for xylose fermentation, and the xylose utilization rate increased about 10-fold during the adaptation.
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