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ABSTRACT
The purpose of this paper is to review the basic principles of linear optics. A paraxial optical system is represented by a symplectic matrix called the transference, with entries that represent the fundamental properties of a paraxial optical system. Such an optical system may have elements that are astigmatic, tilted or tilted. Nearly all the familiar optical properties of an optical system can be derived from the transference. The transference is readily obtainable, as shown, for Gaussian and astigmatic optical systems, including systems with elements that are decentred or tilted. Four special systems are described and used to obtain the commonly used optical properties including power, refractive compensation, vertex powers, neutralising powers, the generalised Prentice equation and change in vergence across an optical system. The use of linear optics in quantitative analysis and the consequences of sylmecticity are discussed.

A systematic review produced 84 relevant papers for inclusion in this review on optical properties of linear systems. Topics reviewed include various magnifications (transverse, angular, spectacle, instrument, aniseikonia, retinal blur), cardinal points and axes of the eye, chromatic aberrations, position and design of intraocular lenses, flipped, reversed and catadioptric systems and gradient indices. The optical properties are discussed briefly, with emphasis placed on results and their implications. Many of these optical properties have applications for vision science and eye surgery and some examples of using linear optics for quantitative analyses are mentioned.

INTRODUCTION
This review introduces the reader to linear optics, which, at its heart, is the study of paraxial optical systems that include elements that may be astigmatic, tilted and decentred, such as the eye. Linear optics allows one to obtain explicit formulae for optical properties and give insight into the relationships and dependencies among the optical properties. An advantage of linear optics is that it gives insight into understanding clinical phenomena in astigmatic heterocentric optical systems, such as the eye, and as a first step towards the design process. Linear optics is based on first-order optics to give information about the position of the image and the geometry of the blur patch, whereas higher-order aberrations and exact ray tracing techniques give information about the quality of an image. Together, defocus and astigmatism provide the greatest contribution to the image quality and are the optical components typically measured and corrected by conventional lenses.

Linear optics makes use of two concepts, the ray state or ray state vector and the transference of an optical system, also known as the system matrix, 2–4 ray transfer matrix, 5 ray transference, 6 system matrix 7 or ABCD matrix. 5 8 9 The transference is a symplectic matrix that represents all the fundamental first-order optical properties of an optical system. 6 10 For a Gaussian optical system that has elements that are centred and rotationally symmetric, the order of the transference is $2 \times 2^{11-14}$, where the elements are astigmatic, the transference is $4 \times 4$, 6 15 and where, in addition, the elements are decentred or tilted, such as in the eye, a $5 \times 5$ augmented transference can be used. 2 16

The ray vector 6 17 or ray state 18 is a matrix that defines the ray in terms of its transverse position and reduced inclination at a transverse plane. The transference operates on the incident ray state to provide the emergent ray state from a system. The arrangement of the entries of the transference or system matrix and corresponding ray state vector may differ 2–4 6 7 16 19–21 from the arrangement in this review.

The Results explain how to define an optical system, obtain the transference and how the transference operates on the incident ray state to obtain the emergent ray state. Four special systems are presented, leading to a discussion on how to obtain the most commonly used derived properties. In linear optics, familiar optical properties such as power, front-vertex and back-vertex powers, refractive compensation, thick lens power, transverse and angular magnification, neutralising powers and prismatic effect are derived from the transference and are generalised to three-dimensional and
four-dimensional concepts for thick systems. The transference is a matrix that belongs to the real symplectic Lie group, which poses restrictions for quantitative analysis of linear optical systems because transferences do not constitute a linear (or vector) space; for example, they cannot be added. Options that are currently available for quantitative analysis of optical systems using transformed transferences are mentioned.

The Discussion reviews a range of topics where linear optics has been applied, including magnification, referred apertures, cardinal points, axes of the eye, chromatic aberrations, flipped, reversed and catadioptric systems, Gradient Indices (GRINs) and intraocular lenses (IOLs). Studies using linear optics to analyse their data are mentioned. Most of the Discussion is summarised and given without proof; however, the proofs are available in the relevant referenced papers.

METHOD
Relevant papers were sought by searching in Scopus, MEDLINE, PubMed, Web of Science and AOSIS (for studies from South Africa). Key words used included linear optics, transference, eye, astigmatism and system matrix in varying combinations. Papers were included if the topic made use of linear optics and the transference or system matrix, with application to the human eye and was published in English. A total of 84 papers were obtained as a result of this systematic search. An additional 34 references were included that were not identified by the systematic search but were considered relevant.
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**Figure 1** A system S is bound by an entrance plane T₀ and an exit plane T. The left-handed coordinate axis system is indicated with longitudinal axis Z and horizontal Y₀₁ and vertical Y₀₂ axes in T₀ and Y₁ and Y₂ in T. A ray, with incident ray segment R₀, is incident on S at T₀ with transverse position Y₀ and inclination α₀. The ray, with ray segment R, emerges from S at T with transverse position y and inclination α. The refractive index is n₀ upstream and n downstream of the system. Refracting elements of the system are not shown.

RESULTS: LINEAR OPTICS
An optical system S is bound by two transverse planes, an entrance plane T₀ and an exit plane T, and has a longitudinal axis Z, shown in figure 1. Such an optical system may consist of a series of elementary systems, namely homogenous gaps and refracting or reflecting surfaces that may be astigmatic, tilted and decentred. Together, T₀, T and Z define the optical system. The ordered set \(( Y₁ Y₂ Z )\) defines a left-handed coordinate axis system, as shown in figure 1.

A linear optical system with centred elements that may be astigmatic is represented by a \(4 \times 4\) transference matrix S as

\[
S = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}
\]

where Harris defines the fundamental first-order properties of the system as A the dilation, B the disjugacy, C the divergence and D the divarication. Each of A, B, C and D is a \(2 \times 2\) submatrix. A and D are unitless, while B is in units of length and C is in units of inverse length, for example, dioptres. The fundamental properties are strictly properties of the system itself and not properties of light, such as vergence.

There are two types of elementary system, the thin system and the homogeneous gap. A thin system may be a refracting surface or a thin lens. The transference of an astigmatic refracting surface or thin lens with power F is

\[
S = \begin{pmatrix} I & O \\ -F & I \end{pmatrix}
\]

sometimes referred to as the refraction matrix. F is a \(2 \times 2\) symmetric matrix, defining the astigmatic dioptric power. O and I are \(2 \times 2\) null and identity matrices, respectively.

The transference of a homogenous gap of width z and refractive index n is

\[
S = \begin{pmatrix} I & \zeta I \\ O & I \end{pmatrix}
\]

where \(\zeta = \frac{z}{2}\) is the reduced width. The transference for this elementary transference is sometimes referred to as the translation matrix.

A compound system is made up of optical system S₁ with transference S₁, followed by systems S₂, S₃, etc. The transference of the compound system S₁S₂S₃…Sₘ with \(m\) juxtaposed optical systems is given by

\[
S = Sₘ \cdots S₃S₂S₁
\]

Note that multiplication is in reverse order. Early versions used the inverse of the transference, avoiding the necessity of multiplying in reverse and referred to a matrix of the second order for Gaussian or centred systems and a matrix of the fourth order for astigmatic systems.

A paraxial ray is defined by its transverse position y and reduced inclination α at transverse plane T as
y is a $2 \times 1$ matrix with Cartesian coordinates $y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}^T$ representing the position of the ray in the transverse plane $T$ relative to $Z$. $\alpha$ is the reduced inclination; $\alpha = \delta a$ where $a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}^T$ is a $2 \times 1$ matrix representing the inclination of the ray at $T$, relative to $Z$ and $a$ is the refractive index of the medium. Superscript $o$ represents the position of the ray in the transverse plane $T$ relative to $Z$.

Thus far we have looked at the $2 \times 2$ transference of a Gaussian system and the $4 \times 4$ transference of an optical system with astigmatic elements. When a system has elements that are tilted or decentred, Harris\textsuperscript{16} defines a $4 \times 1$ partitioned matrix or system vector

\[ \delta = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon \\ \pi \end{pmatrix} \]

which accounts for all the effects of prism, tilt and decentration. The $2 \times 1$ submatrices are $\mathbf{e}$, the \textit{transverse translation} and $\pi$ the \textit{deflectance}, and along with $\mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{B}$, $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ are also fundamental first-order properties of the system.\textsuperscript{2, 25, 45} $\mathbf{e}$ has units of length and $\pi$ is unitless or can be thought of as radians.

Harris\textsuperscript{16} showed that it is possible to combine all six fundamental properties into one $5 \times 5$ \textit{augmented transference} as

\[ \mathbf{T} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{S} & \delta \\ \mathbf{o}^T & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{e} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} & \pi \\ \mathbf{o}_T^T & \mathbf{o}_T^T & 1 \end{pmatrix} \]

where $\mathbf{o}$ is a $4 \times 1$ or $2 \times 1$ null matrix and the fifth row is trivial.\textsuperscript{10, 16, 54} The optical nature of a first-order system can be completely characterised by the six fundamental properties. The converse is also true; that is, given a matrix of the type in equation 12 in which submatrix $\mathbf{S}$ is symplectic, an optical system can be constructed, in principle, with linear optical character represented by the given matrix.\textsuperscript{54} The $5 \times 1$ augmented ray state is given as

\[ \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \delta \\ \mathbf{y} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \alpha \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \]

and similar to equation 6 the basic equation generalises to

\[ \mathbf{T} \gamma_0 = \gamma \]

To obtain the transference of a compound system, equation 4 generalises to\textsuperscript{2, 16}

\[ \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}_4 \cdots \mathbf{T}_3 \mathbf{T}_2 \mathbf{T}_1 \]

where the elementary systems have transferences

\[ \mathbf{T} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{o} \\ -\mathbf{F} & \mathbf{I} & \pi \\ \mathbf{o}_T & \mathbf{o}_T & 1 \end{pmatrix} \]
for a refracting surface with astigmatic power \( F \)

\[
\mathbf{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

and prismatic power \( \pi \) and \( \mathbf{v} \) for a homogenous gap of reduced width \( \mathbf{c} \). \(^{2,55}\) Substituting the transference \( \mathbf{T} \) and the ray states \( \mathbf{y}_0 \) and \( \gamma \) into equation 14, we obtain the two matrix equations

\[
\mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}_0 + \mathbf{B} \alpha_0 + \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{y} \quad (17)
\]

and

\[
\mathbf{C} \gamma_0 + \mathbf{D} \alpha_0 + \pi = \alpha \quad (18)
\]

These two equations form the basis for many of the derivations and relationships in linear optics. By definition, symplectic matrices are of the order \( 2n \times 2n \), however, the augmented transference is referred to as augmented symplectic which has implications for quantitative analyses. \(^{10,54}\)

### Four special systems

The four \( 2 \times 2 \) fundamental properties are related through symplecticity and each is a modification of a familiar optical property. \(^{6,10}\) Consequently, four special systems are defined and occur when each of the four fundamental properties, is null. \(^{15,17}\) These four special systems are shown in figure 2.

Setting \( \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{O} \) reduces equation 7 to \( \mathbf{B} \alpha_0 = \mathbf{y} \) which implies that a pencil of rays of reduced inclination \( \alpha_0 \) entering an optical system at \( \mathbf{T}_0 \) will focus at a point in the exit-plane \( \mathbf{T} \). Such a system is referred to as exit-plane focal. Where the system is an eye, \( \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{O} \) represents emmetropia. \(^{40}\) Harris \(^6\) refers to \( \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{O} \) as the ‘condition for emmetropia’. Consequently, Harris \(^6\) regards \( \mathbf{A} \) as the ametropia of the eye, such that, when \( \mathbf{A} \neq \mathbf{O} \) the eye is ametropic. \( \mathbf{A} \) is a scalar matrix, that is \( \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_s \), \( \mathbf{A} > 0 \) represents a hyperopic eye and \( \mathbf{A} < 0 \) a myopic eye. Where \( \mathbf{A} \) is not a scalar matrix the eye is astigmatic.

When \( \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{O} \), equation 7 simplifies to \( \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}_0 = \mathbf{y} \) and rays from a point with position \( \mathbf{y}_0 \) at \( \mathbf{T}_0 \) are conjugate with a point with position \( \mathbf{y} \) at \( \mathbf{T} \). For a conjugate system, \( \mathbf{A} \) represents the transverse magnification. \(^6,15\) For a Gaussian system \( \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}_0 = \mathbf{y} \), where \( \mathbf{A} \) is the familiar transverse magnification in a conjugate system. The transference for a conjugate system is also referred to as the object-image matrix. \(^4,56\) For example, from a reading plane to the retina of an eye that is accommodated at the reading plane.

For \( \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{O} \), equation 8 becomes \( \mathbf{D} \alpha_0 = \alpha \). A pencil of parallel rays entering an afocal system will exit parallel. \( \mathbf{D} \) represents an angular magnification. \(^6\) For a Gaussian this is the familiar \( \mathbf{D} \alpha_0 = \alpha \). \(^{15}\)

Finally, if \( \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{O} \) equation 8 becomes \( \mathbf{C} \gamma_0 = \alpha \) and rays entering the system from a point with position \( \mathbf{y}_0 \) on \( \mathbf{T}_0 \) will emerge from the system all with the same inclination \( \alpha \). Such a system is entrance-plane focal. \(^6\) This is the situation, for example, in ophthalmoscopy. The entrance plane is the retina and the exit plane is the cornea of an emmetropic eye. Light is traversing the eye in the reverse sense. The optical system is a reversed eye.

Some of these special systems are used to obtain certain familiar derived properties. Each of \( \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C} \) and \( \mathbf{D} \), when applied in this manner, are generalised magnifications. \(^{57}\)

### Derived properties

There are a number of familiar optical properties of paraxial systems that are used regularly, such as power, refractive compensation, front- and back-vertex powers, neutralising powers, cardinal points, axes of the eye, etc. These properties can be derived from the transference and defined in terms of the fundamental properties. Except for the optical axis, which needs the length of the system in addition to the fundamental properties, all of the derived properties can be derived directly from the transference. \(^{58}\)

The derived properties are obtained by making use of the four special systems or the two matrix equations 7 and 8. We look at some of the familiar derived properties that are used in optics.

The dioptric power of optical systems in general was first defined by Harris \(^{17,28}\) as

\[
\mathbf{F} = -\mathbf{C} \quad (19)
\]

implying that power is a first-order optical property derived from the transference. For Gaussian systems, this simplifies to the scalar \( \mathbf{F} = -\mathbf{C} \). For refracting surfaces and thin lenses, \( \mathbf{F} \) is symmetrical, however, for thick astigmatic systems \( \mathbf{C} \) and, hence \( \mathbf{F} \), may be asymmetric, \(^{57}\) a consequence of multiplication that can be observed from equations 4 and 15.

A thin lens of power \( \mathbf{F}_0 \) may be placed immediately in front of the cornea such that it fully compensates the eye for distance vision. Together, the eye and refractive compensation create an exit-plane focal system or condition for compensation, \(^3\) as shown in figure 2A. As an example, we derive the corneal-plane refractive compensation. If the transference of the eye is \( \mathbf{S}_e \), then the transference of the compensating thin lens and eye is

\[
\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}_e \mathbf{S}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_e & \mathbf{B}_e \\ \mathbf{C}_e & \mathbf{D}_e \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\mathbf{F}_0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_e - \mathbf{B}_e \mathbf{F}_0 & * \\ \mathbf{C}_e - \mathbf{D}_e \mathbf{F}_0 & * \end{pmatrix}
\]

We set \( \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{O} \) and solve for \( \mathbf{F}_0 \) to obtain \(^6\)

\[
\mathbf{F}_0 = \mathbf{B}_e^{-1} \mathbf{A}_e \quad (20)
\]

The front-neutralising power of an optical system is obtained similarly. The transference of the neutralised system is

\[
\mathbf{S}_n = \mathbf{S}_n \mathbf{S}_m = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\mathbf{F}_m & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ \mathbf{C} - \mathbf{D} \mathbf{F}_m & * \end{pmatrix}
\]

---

**Figure 2** The four special systems for optical systems. (A) Exit-plane focal, (B) conjugate, (C) afocal and (D) entrance-plane focal.\(^{106}\)
Neutralised systems are afocal, so we set \( \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{O} \mathbf{D} \) and solve to obtain the front-neutralising power \( \mathbf{F}_{in} = \mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{C} \). The front-vertex power is the negative of the front-neutralising power and is therefore given as

\[
\mathbf{F}_{iv} = -\mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{C} \tag{21}
\]

Similarly, the transference of the system with back-neutralising lens is \( \mathbf{S}_n = \mathbf{S}_m \mathbf{S} \). This is also an afocal system and so we set \( \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{O} \mathbf{D} \) and solve for the back-neutralising power \( \mathbf{F}_{bn} = \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \). The back-vertex power is the negative of the back-neutralising power. The back-vertex power of an optical system with transference \( \mathbf{S} \) is therefore given as \( \mathbf{F}_{iv} = -\mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \).

Vertex powers are measures of vergence (discussed below) and the matrices are symmetric as is the case for neutralising powers.\(^a\)

A thin lens has a transference of the form given by equation 2. Substituting into equation 6 and multiplying we obtain two equations \( y_0 = y \) and \( -\mathbf{F}y_0 + \mathbf{I} \alpha_0 = \alpha \), which can be rearranged to

\[
-\mathbf{F}y_0 = \mathbf{p} \tag{23}
\]

where prismatic effect is defined as \( \mathbf{p} = \alpha - \alpha_0 \). This is the generalised form of Prentice’s equation.\(^2\)\(^\text{15}\)\(^\text{28}\)\(^\text{61}\)\(^\text{62}\)

Two thin astigmatic lenses juxtaposed have transference given by equations 2 and 4,

\[
\mathbf{S}_1 \mathbf{S}_2 \mathbf{S}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -\mathbf{F}_2 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -\mathbf{F}_1 & I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -\mathbf{F}_2 - \mathbf{F}_1 & I \end{pmatrix} \]

and from equation 19 we obtain the familiar relationship \( \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_1 + \mathbf{F}_2 \).

A thick lens is made up of an astigmatic refracting surface of power \( \mathbf{F}_t \), followed by a gap of reduced thickness \( \tau \) and then a second astigmatic refracting surface of power \( \mathbf{F}_2 \). The transference of the system is (equations 2 to 4)

\[
\mathbf{S}_1 \mathbf{S}_2 \mathbf{S}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet \\ -\mathbf{F}_2 + \tau \mathbf{F}_2 \mathbf{F}_1 - \mathbf{F}_1 & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \]

and gives the generalised Gullstrand equation for the power of a bitoric thick lens.\(^\text{17}\)\(^\text{19}\)\(^\text{59}\)

\[
\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_1 + \mathbf{F}_2 - \tau \mathbf{F}_2 \mathbf{F}_1 \tag{24}
\]

The advantage of using linear optics lies in the simplicity of the ray tracing, using the ray state vector, from incidence to emergence through an optical system. However, occasionally it may be necessary to trace the vergence across the optical system. The vergence from an object point at incidence onto an optical system is \( \mathbf{L}_0 = \mathbf{L}_0 \mathbf{I} \) a \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix where \( \mathbf{L}_0 = n \mathbf{l}_0 \) and \( \mathbf{l}_0 \) is the radius of curvature of the wavefront, measured from the wavefront to the object point. The vergence matrix \( \mathbf{L} \) can be traced through the system using an augmented step-long method or linear ray optics approach.\(^63\) Alternatively, it can be traced through the system in terms of the transference. On emergence from the system, Harris\(^28\)\(^\text{64}\) gives the vergence as

\[
\mathbf{L} = (\mathbf{D} \mathbf{L}_0 - \mathbf{C})^{-1} (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B} \mathbf{L}_0) \tag{25}
\]

For a distant object the incident vergence is \( \mathbf{L}_0 = \mathbf{O} \mathbf{D} \), equation 25 simplifies to \( \mathbf{L} = -\mathbf{C} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \), which is the back vertex-power, equation 22. When an object point is on the entrance plane \( \mathbf{L}_0 = \mathbf{O} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{l}_0 \) and equation 25 simplifies to \( \mathbf{L} = -\mathbf{D} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \).

The principal meridians of an astigmatic wavefront are orthogonal and the vergence matrix is always symmetric,\(^3\)\(^4\)\(^\text{64}\) a consequence of symplecticity.\(^64\) For astigmatic vergence, the position of the two line foci is given by a position matrix \( \mathbf{Z} = n \mathbf{l}^{-1} \), in units of length. For \( \mathbf{Z} \) a singular matrix there is a point or line focus. The eigenstructure\(^65\) of \( \mathbf{Z} \) gives the positions \( z \pm \) of the two line foci. \( z \) may be positive, negative, zero (a point or line focus) or undefined, usually interpreted as infinite. The eigenmeridians indicate the orientation of the two principal meridians and the line foci lie orthogonal to their corresponding eigenmeridians.\(^65\)

Wavefront aberrations, including the Zernike polynomials for defocus, astigmatism, coma and spherical aberration have been included in \( 2 \times 2 \) radial and tangential ray transfer matrices.\(^5\) The parameters and shape of the wavefronts in terms of Zernike coefficients can be obtained the same way as utilised in Shack-Hartman wavefront sensor measurements.\(^66\) The aberrated wavefront propagates both forward and backwards along its normal. A ray transfer matrix represents wavefront characterised by Zernike coefficients and normalised for the given the pupil radius.\(^67\)

### Quantitative analysis

Quantitative analysis is necessary for statistical and other purposes. For example, the question arises ‘What is an average eye?: Harris\(^67\) states ‘by average, we mean having an optical character as a whole that is representative or central to the optical characters of eyes in a set of eyes’. Eyes are not merely the sum of their parts. Consider refractive error; two eyes with the same refractive error may have different ocular biometry and consequently, different retinal image sizes. There is, therefore, more to the optics of eyes than its refractive error. The average of the refractive error of two or more eyes, is exactly that; the average of their refractive errors, and similarly for the average of corneal powers and other components of the eye. The averages of the components of the eyes do not necessarily represent the optical character of the eye taken as a whole.\(^48\)\(^50\)

Because of symplecticity, transferences do not constitute a linear space. This means that they cannot be added nor multiplied by a scalar. The transference, therefore, does not lend itself to conventional methods of quantitative analysis, including the calculation of an arithmetic average, and cannot be applied to calculate, for example, an average eye. Several transformations to vector spaces have been proposed. Initial attempts made use of four characteristic matrices,\(^49\)\(^50\)\(^68\)\(^69\) in particular the point characteristic \( \mathbf{P} \) and the angle characteristic \( \mathbf{Q} \). This method was followed by the exponential-mean-log transference\(^48\)\(^49\)\(^52\)\(^53\) and the Cayley transform.\(^13\)\(^23\)\(^51\)\(^70\)
There are four characteristic transforms. The point characteristic $P$ is given as

$$P_5 = \begin{pmatrix} B^{-1}A & -B^{-1} & B^{-1}e \\ -B^{-1} & DB^{-1} & -DB^{-1}e + \pi \end{pmatrix}$$

for the $5 \times 5$ transference $T$ (equation 12), and the angle characteristic $Q$ is given as

$$Q_5 = \begin{pmatrix} C^{-1}D & C^{-1} & C^{-1} \pi \\ C^{-1} & AC^{-1} & e - AC\pi \end{pmatrix}$$

The characteristic matrices are $5 \times 5$ matrices, each with a trivial bottom row which is omitted for brevity. Sometimes it is preferable to work with a trivial bottom row which is omitted for brevity. Sometimes this ignores the effects of tilt and decentration of the elements in the optical system. The units for $P_5$ are inverse length and of $Q_5$ are inverses of each other. $P$ and $Q$ do not always exist; the characteristic matrices break down when the inverse of $B$ or $C$ does not exist, respectively for $P$ and $Q$. This is obviously not a problem for eyes. The implication is that $P$ cannot be applied to conjugate systems and $Q$ cannot be applied to afocal systems.

The point characteristic defines a vector space. The same is true of the other three characteristics. Thus, each of the characteristics lend themselves to quantitative analysis. Obtaining the average of $N$ optical systems requires each transference to be transformed to the desired characteristic matrix, averaged, and then transformed back to a transference. The first entry of $P$ is the corneal-plane refractive compensation in an eye, given by equation 20, making $P$ the characteristic of particular interest to ophthalmology and optometry.

Another option for calculating the average of a set of eyes, or any optical systems, is the exponential-mean-log transference given by

$$T = \exp \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \log T_j \right)$$

where $N$ is the number of systems in the set. The calculation is easily performed using software such as MATLAB and the functions $\text{logm}$ and $\text{expm}$. The principal logarithm of a symplectic matrix with no negative eigenvalues (unlikely for eyes) is a $4 \times 4$ Hamiltonian matrix ($H_4$) and the exponential of a Hamiltonian matrix is symplectic. An augmented symplectic matrix can be transformed into an augmented Hamiltonian matrix with form

$$H_5 = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{A} & B & e \\ \hat{C} & -\hat{A}^T & \pi \end{pmatrix}$$

where $B$ and $C$ are symmetric and the omitted bottom row is null. Of the 25 entries, 14 are independent. The units of a Hamiltonian matrix or transformed transference are the same as those of the transference. Hamiltonian matrices fulfill the requirements for a vector space and therefore the arithmetic mean of Hamiltonian matrices is Hamiltonian.

Yet another option for quantitative analysis also transforms the transference $T$ to a Hamiltonian matrix, but this time making use of the Cayley transform. There are several Cayley transforms defined in the literature, however, the one given as $C(T) = (I - T)(I + T)^{-1}$ is its own functional inverse. The Cayley mean is given as

$$T = C^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} C(T_j) \right)$$

Change in optical character of an eye is of obvious interest in eye surgery. This can be quantified as a difference in one of the vector spaces. It is possible to define 10-dimensional spaces for $P_5$, $Q_4$ and $H_4$ and 14-dimensional spaces for $P_3$, $Q_3$ and $H_3$. A coordinate vector is obtained for each of these spaces that is $10 \times 1$ or $14 \times 1$, respectively. An inner-product space needs to be dimensionally homogenous. The physical dimension of $Q_4$ and $Q_3$ is length ($L$) and of $P_4$ is inverse length ($L^{-1}$) and therefore these three coordinate vectors define an inner-product space. The $P_5$, $H_4$ and $H_3$ have mixed units and cannot define an inner-product space. These inner-product spaces allow one to calculate distances (magnitudes) and angles in the space between two optical systems or eyes.
DISCUSSION: APPLICATIONS

Studies have defined the familiar optical properties using linear optics such as magnifications, cardinal points and structures, chromatic aberrations, systems that are flipped, reversed and reflected and systems that include GRINs. These theoretical studies, along with research studies that have based their analyses in linear optics, including quantitative analyses, are discussed. The discussion is intended to be complete with respect to the range of topics, however, each topic is discussed briefly in the interests of brevity, with references providing details.

Magnification, including spectacle and instrument magnifications

The concept of spectacle magnification is familiar and is expressed as the product of power and shape factors. The power factor depends on the position of the entrance pupil which is an image and becomes fuzzy in an astigmatic eye and, therefore, the power factor and, consequently, the spectacle magnification do not readily generalise for astigmatic eyes. The factor and, consequently, the spectacle magnification in an astigmatic eye and, therefore, the power factor depends on the position and is expressed as the product of power and shape factors. The power factor depends on the position and is expressed as the product of power and shape factors.

The concept of spectacle magnification is familiar and is expressed as the product of power and shape factors. The power factor depends on the position of the entrance pupil which is an image and becomes fuzzy in an astigmatic eye and, therefore, the power factor and, consequently, the spectacle magnification do not readily generalise for astigmatic eyes. The factor and, consequently, the spectacle magnification in an astigmatic eye and, therefore, the power factor depends on the position and is expressed as the product of power and shape factors. The power factor depends on the position and is expressed as the product of power and shape factors.

The concept of spectacle magnification is familiar and is expressed as the product of power and shape factors. The power factor depends on the position of the entrance pupil which is an image and becomes fuzzy in an astigmatic eye and, therefore, the power factor and, consequently, the spectacle magnification do not readily generalise for astigmatic eyes. The factor and, consequently, the spectacle magnification in an astigmatic eye and, therefore, the power factor depends on the position and is expressed as the product of power and shape factors. The power factor depends on the position and is expressed as the product of power and shape factors.

Figure 3  The eye with corneal plane $T_k$, retinal plane $T_R$ and plane with restricting aperture $T_P$ which separates the eye $S_{E}$ into anterior $S_A$ and posterior $S_P$ subsystems. The length of the eye from $T_k$ to $T_R$ is $z$. There is refractive index $n_0$ upstream and $n$ downstream of the system. A ray is incident on $T_k$ with incident inclination $a_k$, traverses the aperture with position $Y_P$ and arrives at the retina with position $Y_R$ and inclination $a_R$. The elliptical aperture is referred upstream to $T_k$, the effective corneal patch indicated by the dashed ellipse or downstream to $T_k$, the blur patch indicated by the dashed ellipse.

tracing to include instrument magnification of objects at finite distances in front of an astigmatic eye.

An afocal optical instrument, such as a telescope has a generalised magnification $M_G$. Such an afocal instrument may include astigmatic refracting elements. In this case, the instrument and eye interact such that each eye, viewing distant objects, sees differently through the afocal instrument. The difference in observations depends on the eye’s disjugacy $B_R$. A number of magnifications $M$ are obtainable and define both the image size magnification and the image blur magnification. The equations define the magnification $M$ of the object to the image at the retina. These image size and image blur magnifications are defined for the eye alone, or an instrument and eye.

Spectacle and instrument magnification $M$ is a $2 \times 2$ matrix representing magnification as a product $M$ or as a percentage as $G = (M - I) \times 100\%$. The spectacle magnification relates the retinal image position $Y_R$ to the object position $Y_O$ through $Y_R = M_Y O$ for both the right and left eyes. Discrepant retinal image sizes can be represented by the aniseikonic magnification matrix as $M_2 = M_r M_l^{-1}$, where subscripts $r$ and $l$ represent right and left spectacle magnification, respectively. Unit magnification $I$ represents retinal images of the same size. The diagonal entries of $M$ relate magnification in the horizontal and vertical meridians, while the off-diagonal entries relate to rotation of the images relative to each other and, in particular, the vertical declination error in binocular vision which will give the image the appearance of tilting towards or away from the observer.

Interpreting the magnification $M$ represented by a $2 \times 2$ matrix becomes somewhat more involved than for scalar magnification, especially in cases where $M$ is asymmetric. The magnification matrix $M$ results in magnifications
along two principal meridians, resulting in rotation and an anamorphic distortion of the image.\textsuperscript{84} \textsuperscript{86} The eigenstructure\textsuperscript{27} is important to interpreting the magnification.\textsuperscript{27} \textsuperscript{63} \textsuperscript{84} \textsuperscript{87} For a symmetric matrix $\mathbf{M}$, the eigenvalues will be real and the eigenvectors orthogonal. The magnification can be interpreted in the same way as for the dioptic power matrix, that is, as two scalar magnifications (the eigenvalues) along the two eigenmeridians and magnification crosses can be drawn or thought of in a similar way as the familiar power cross.\textsuperscript{27} \textsuperscript{87} There and magnification crosses can be drawn or thought of the image that is rotated through $180^\circ$. Where a meridian has zero magnification, this would indicate that the image reduces to a line. When $\mathbf{M}$ is asymmetric, it is possible to obtain complex eigenvalues and eigenmeridians. In this situation, Harris\textsuperscript{25} interprets the magnification as a symmetric magnification followed by a rotation or a reflection in an axis that is not an eigenmeridian.\textsuperscript{27} \textsuperscript{43} In contrast, Espinós and Micós\textsuperscript{86} propose that the degree of asymmetry of $\mathbf{M}$ is derived from the asymmetry of the optical system and derive an additive relationship of the power matrix and derive an additive relationship of symmetric and antisymmetric components, however, this study is limited to lateral magnification produced by thin lenses and thick optical systems.

**Aperture referral including blur patches and the effective corneal patch**

A pencil of light, from an object, is restricted by an aperture within the system, such as the pupil. The restricted pencil of rays will form a blur patch at the retina and, similarly, of all the rays incident on the cornea, those that reach the retina are also restricted by the aperture, but referred upstream to the cornea (figure 3).\textsuperscript{1} \textsuperscript{88} \textsuperscript{89} This effective corneal patch has implications for corneal surgery, such as refractive surgery, corneal inlays and optic zones for contact lenses. In addition, pinhole apertures are available for surgical insertion as a corneal inlay, or a pinhole IOL at the iridial plane. In the presence of two apertures, that is, pinhole and pupil, one takes the role of the restricting aperture.

The retinal blur patch, effective corneal patch, projection field and field of view are all related through a common aperture, usually the pupil.\textsuperscript{88} An aperture at some longitudinal position within the optical system may have the effect of restricting the pencil of rays. Elliptical apertures are considered in this study, a circle being a special case of an ellipse. This restricted pencil can be traced upstream or downstream and its shape, size, orientation and transverse position at a referred plane will be determined by both the geometry of the aperture and the refractive elements upstream or downstream of the aperture. The position, both longitudinally and transversely, of an object referred downstream or an image referred upstream will also have an influence on the geometry of the referred aperture.\textsuperscript{1}

The general equation for aperture referral is $\mathbf{R}_R = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{R}_P$, where $\mathbf{R}_P$ is the generalised radius of the elliptical aperture and $\mathbf{R}_R$ is the generalised radius of the referred aperture. $\mathbf{R}$ defines the geometry of an ellipse described by its major $a$ and minor $b$ semidiameters.\textsuperscript{1} \textsuperscript{88} \textsuperscript{89} A circular aperture is a special case of the elliptical aperture where $a = b$. $\mathbf{M}$ is a generalised linear magnification matrix that may be asymmetric and that depends on the system, longitudinal position of the aperture, object or image position and direction of referral, that is, upstream or downstream.\textsuperscript{1} \textsuperscript{18} \textsuperscript{26} \textsuperscript{27} \textsuperscript{88} \textsuperscript{90} $\mathbf{R}_R$ is defined for all finite ellipses, including degenerate ellipses, that is, point and line segments (or foci). The referred aperture may be rotated and/or reflected and magnified by different amounts along the diameters.\textsuperscript{1}

**Intraocular lenses**

A number of studies have applied the use of linear optics to calculations of IOLs. Expressions are available for predicting the refraction given the IOL power, the necessary IOL power given the desired target refraction, refractive power for a phakic IOL and for treating the IOL as either a thin or a thick lens.\textsuperscript{7} \textsuperscript{30} \textsuperscript{91}–\textsuperscript{95} Haigis\textsuperscript{26} used linear optics to compare the available theoretical IOL formulae. The effects of meridional magnification and aniseikonia were considered\textsuperscript{91} \textsuperscript{94} as well as the impact of decentration of the toric IOL on residual refraction.\textsuperscript{7} \textsuperscript{92} \textsuperscript{95} The corneal-plane refractive compensation is sensitive to changes in the power and axial position of an IOL.\textsuperscript{96} The residual refraction can be used to first, estimate the position and orientation of a toric IOL to best compensate for the eye’s refraction and second, to estimate the amount of axial translation and rotation of a toric IOL that may have occurred postoperatively.\textsuperscript{92}

**Cardinal points and axes of the eye**

Interest in the various lines and axes of the eye has increased in recent years with the need for accurate placement of IOLs and corneal surgery including refractive surgery and inlays such as the KAMRA pinhole inlay. Many of these axes are defined with respect to certain cardinal points. It turns out that in an astigmatic system, just as the image or focal point is broken up into two orthogonal line foci separated by an interval of Sturm, so too, cardinal points are broken up in this way. In other words, in an astigmatic system such as the eye, cardinal points are usually not points, they are, instead, a ‘fuzzy’ zone called a node.\textsuperscript{23} However, the lines of a nodal structure or node are not necessarily orthogonal nor is there always a pair of lines and similarly for principal structures.\textsuperscript{23} \textsuperscript{97} For an optical system that has elements that are decentred or tilted such that $\delta \neq \phi$, the node may also be decentred.\textsuperscript{25} These two effects have obvious implications.
for concepts such as the visual axis which is defined in terms of nodal points.\textsuperscript{25,98}

Special points include the six familiar cardinal points, the incident (subscript 0) and emergent focal (F\textsubscript{0} and F), principal (P\textsubscript{0} and P) and nodal points (N\textsubscript{0} and N) as well as the anti-principal (P\textsubscript{o} and P\textsubscript{o}) and antinodal points (N\textsubscript{o} and N\textsubscript{o}). The approach in linear optics is unified and shows that the special points are all related and are special cases of a large class of special structures.\textsuperscript{15,75} The positions of the incident points are a generalised distance

$$Z_{Q0} = n_0 c^{-1} (D - X)$$

from T\textsubscript{0} and the emergent points a generalised distance

$$Z_{Q} = -n c^{-1} (A^T - X^{-1})$$

from T, provided C and X are non-singular and where subscript Q indicates the special point corresponding to the $2 \times 2$ generalised magnification X for each special point. X relates all special rays through the system through

$$X \alpha_0 = \alpha$$

By substituting the corresponding X for each of the special points, it is possible to obtain the position $Z_{Q0}$ with respect to T\textsubscript{0} for incident points Q\textsubscript{0} and $Z_{Q}$ with respect to T for emergent points Q. The generalised magnifications X are given as follows; for nodal points $X = \frac{1}{n} I$, for principal points $X = I$, for the incident focal point $X = O$, for the emergent focal point $X = \infty I$, for the anti-nodal points $X = -\frac{1}{n} I$, and for the anti-principal points $X = -I$.\textsuperscript{25,97} Generalised distance Z is a $2 \times 2$ matrix and its eigenstructure aids in its interpretation. The eigenvalues give the longitudinal distances $Z_{Q0}^+$ and $Z_{Q0}^-$ measured from T\textsubscript{0} or $Z_{Q}^+$ and $Z_{Q}^-$ measured from T and the eigenvectors or eigenmeridians give the orientations for the lines.\textsuperscript{25,62} The generalised distance $Z_{Q}$ may be asymmetric for P\textsubscript{0}, P, N\textsubscript{0}, N, P\textsubscript{o}, P\textsubscript{o}, N\textsubscript{o} and N, implying that the lines of the structure or node are not necessarily orthogonal.\textsuperscript{25,97} The detailed interpretation of Z was discussed earlier.

To further illustrate the idea that the special points are unified rather than distinct structures with no relationship among them, Harris\textsuperscript{11} shows how locator lines can be obtained for Gaussian systems and drawn using a graphical construction. All of the incident special points (F\textsubscript{0}, P\textsubscript{0}, N\textsubscript{0}, P\textsubscript{0} and N\textsubscript{0}) lie on the incident locator line L\textsubscript{0} while all of the emergent special points (F, P, N, P, and N) lie on the emergent locator line L. The slopes of L\textsubscript{0} and L are related through the relationship $-n_0/n_1$.\textsuperscript{11} While this graphical construction is limited to Gaussian systems, changes to a schematic eye, such as due to ocular accommodation or refractive surgery, result in changes to the position and slope of the locator lines, clearly indicating shifts in position of each of the special points.

Pascal’s ring is a second schema available to illustrate the unified relationships among the points. Pascal’s ring is a hexagon that shows equalities among the points and, like the locator line graph, can be used to illustrate changes to the positions of the points when one or more elements in the system is changed.\textsuperscript{14,99}

The optical axis does not depend on the cardinal points, however, the cardinal points are defined as lying on the optical axis. Clearly this necessitates a definition for an optical axis that holds for all eyes.

Optical systems may have no optical axis, a unique optical axis or an infinity of optical axes.\textsuperscript{58} Traditionally, an optical axis may be defined as a ray that traverses an optical system in a straight line, without undergoing deflection or translation.\textsuperscript{45,58} However, defining an optical axis this way means that eyes, with the exception of schematic eyes, do not have an optical axis.\textsuperscript{92,100} Harris,\textsuperscript{45,58} defines an optical axis, where it exists, as ‘a straight line along which a ray both enters and leaves the system’. The emphasis is on the alignment of the ray segments before and after the system and not on its path within the system. It turns out that by defining an optical axis in this way, every eye has an optical axis (the test for existence) and that optical axis is unique (the test for uniqueness).

The optical axis is obtained from the transference as the displacement at T\textsubscript{0} with respect to the longitudinal axis $D$.\textsuperscript{58}

The visual axis of an eye is defined as the incident ray segment of the nodal ray that arrives at the centre of the fovea.\textsuperscript{100} In an eye that suffers from astigmatism, nodal points do not exist as points and so the definition fails. However, Harris\textsuperscript{58} points out that eyes with astigmatism do have nodal rays and he proposes a revised definition for visual axes. A nodal ray is any ray whose incident and emergent segments are parallel, that is $a_0 = a$.\textsuperscript{45,98,100} Nodal rays may undergo translation. A visual axis is defined as a nodal ray with two segments, an internal V and external V\textsubscript{0} segment\textsuperscript{98} and is not one continuous ray or line through the eye. The internal segment reaches the fovea at $R$ with $a_\alpha = a_\beta$, while the external segment is in object-space and of greater clinical relevance.

The pupillary axis is defined as the line perpendicular to the cornea that passes through the centre of the entrance pupil of the eye.\textsuperscript{82,100} Clinically, it is the line that objectively determines a person’s direction of gaze. The entrance pupil is an image of the pupil seen through the cornea and in an eye with astigmatic anterior elements, this image breaks up into two line foci and the familiar interval of Sturm and becomes blurred, and consequently, the pupillary axis is not well defined. A modified definition that includes astigmatic eyes and decentered or tilted anterior elements is ‘the pupillary axis is the infinite straight line containing the incident segment of the ray that passes through the centre of the (actual) pupil and is perpendicular to the first surface of the eye’.\textsuperscript{101} Defining the pupillary axis this way allows the definition to extend to the compound system of an eye with optical compensation such as a contact lens or IOLs. The pupillary axis is obtained from the transference for the anterior subsystem of the eye T\textsubscript{A} and additionally needs the curvature $K_\alpha$ and tilt $m_\alpha$ of the cornea. $K_\alpha$ is the $2 \times 2$ symmetric matrix defining the minimum and
maximum curvatures of the cornea and $m_K$ is a $2 \times 1$ tilt vector.

The line of sight, also known as the foveal chief ray, is the line joining the fixation point and the centre of the entrance pupil. The line of sight is taken to be ‘the infinite straight line defined by the portion of the foveal chief ray in object space, that is, the portion incident onto infinite straight line defined by the portion of the foveal axis and this is summarised in table

| Axis                  | Output | Inputs         | Additional information needed |
|-----------------------|--------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| Optical axis          | $y_K, a_K$ | $n_0, n, T$   | $z$ (axial length of the eye) |
| Visual axis $V_0$     | $y_K, a_K$ | $n_0, T$       | $Z_0$ (position of the eye)   |
| Pupillary axis        | $y_K, a_K$ | $n_0, T_A$ (top block row) | $K_K$ (curvature of the astigmatic cornea) |
| Line of sight         | $y_K, a_K$ | $n_0, T, T_A$, (top block rows) | $y_R$ (position through pupil) |

The inputs including additional information needed to obtain the four axes are indicated. The input and output variables are shown in figure 3.

Chromatic aberrations

Relationships are available for the wavelength-dependent refractive indices for the reduced eye and a four-surface eye, which allow one to obtain the reduced distance $\zeta$ and power of the refracting surface $F$, both of which depend on the refractive indices of the media and are therefore wavelength-dependent. One is thus able to obtain the wavelength-dependent or frequency-dependent transfluence for the eye from the elementary transferences (equations 2 and 3).  

The transfluence of a reduced eye or Le Grand’s four-surface eye, can be obtained for any chosen wavelength or frequency. The fundamental properties of the $2 \times 2$ transfluence for model eyes are nearly linear in frequency and hyperbolic in wavelength. For the reduced eye $D = 1$ and is independent of frequency. By transforming the frequency-dependent transferences of Emseley’s reduced eye and Le Grand’s eye to Hamiltonian space, using the Cayley transform, fitting a straight line in Hamiltonian space, and transforming back to a symplectic matrix, a relationship is obtained for the transfluence for a model eye on the frequency of light across the visible light spectrum.

When dichromatic light is traced from an object point through a Gaussian optical system, the light makes two point foci, for example blue ($b$) and red ($r$), at different positions. Longitudinal chromatic aberration $\delta z = z_b - z_r$ is the distance from the red to the blue image points, measured along the optical axis, while transverse chromatic aberation $\delta y = y_b - y_r$ is the distance between the two foci, in the transverse direction. $\delta$ represents a chromatic difference. Chromatic aberration is defined from the red to the blue focus, that is from low to high frequency or energy in contrast to the classical definition of chromatic aberration which gives the chromatic aberrations as unsigned. The chromatic aberrations are distances between images and are therefore dependent on the position of the object point and the system itself. If the object position is changed, the chromatic aberration changes too.

The classical definition of chromatic aberration is limited to Gaussian systems, that is stigmatic powers and centred optical systems. Chromatic aberration is the only first-order aberration and is therefore suited to methods in linear optics. In an optical system that has astigmatic elements the image breaks up into two line foci, separated by the familiar interval of Sturm. The image is no longer a point, but a fuzzy (noisy) region and its longitudinal position can be represented by a $2 \times 2$ distance matrix $Z$, which is related to vergence through $Z = nL^{-1}$. In the case of chromatic aberration, each of the red and blue foci will break up into the familiar interval of Sturm and the longitudinal chromatic aberration generalises to $\delta Z = Z_b - Z_r$. The eigenstructure of $Z_r$ provides the positions and orientations of the orthogonal red line foci, and similarly for the blue orthogonal line foci. Interestingly, the orientations of the red line foci are not necessarily in alignment with the blue line foci. Similarly, the transverse chromatic aberration is given as a $2 \times 1$ vector as $\delta y = y_b - y_r$, where each of $y_b$ and $y_r$ are the transverse position vectors of the astigmatic red and blue images.
Chromatic properties of the eye are defined for astigmatic heterocentric eyes from the frequency-dependent transferences. Aperture-independent chromatic properties include chromatic difference in power, \( \delta P = P_B - P_T \) (from equation 19) and chromatic difference in refractive compensation, \( \delta F_0 = F_{0b} - F_{0r} \) (from equation 20).\

Aperture-dependent chromatic properties of the eye are all dependent on the longitudinal position of the limiting aperture as well as the distance of the object from the eye. Aperture-dependent chromatic properties include chromatic difference in position \( \delta y_R \) and inclinaion \( \delta a_R \) at the retina which additionally depend on the position \( y_0 \) of the chief ray through the aperture as well as the transverse object position \( y_0 \) or \( a_K \); chromatic difference in image size \( \delta (\Delta y_R) \) or angular spread \( \delta (\Delta a_R) \) at the retina depend on the object size \( \Delta y_0 \) or \( \Delta a_k \) and chromatic magnifications are the generalised ratio of the blue to the red image size \( M_{R,k} \) and angular spread \( M_{aR} \).\

Consistent with the symbols introduced earlier, \( y \) is a 2 \( \times \) 1 position vector, \( a \) is a 2 \( \times \) 1 unreduced inclination vector, \( M \) is a 2 \( \times \) 2 generalised magnification matrix, and subscripts \( O \) represent the object plane, \( K \) is at the corneal plane, \( P \) at the pupillary or iridal plane and \( R \) at a plane immediately in front of the retina (figure 3). \( \delta \) indicates a chromatic difference and \( \Delta \) a physical size difference.

The aperture-dependent chromatic properties are dependent on both longitudinal and transverse changes in position of the aperture. In an eye the aperture is usually the pupil, however, it may be a surgically implanted aperture, such as a corneal pinhole inlay which is sensitive to misalignment. The implication is that introducing an artificial aperture such as a corneal pinhole inlay may alter the aperture-dependent chromatic properties of the eye.

An achromatic axis is one that traverses the eye with no dispersion. Specifically, the Le Grand-Ivanoff achromatic axis is the polychromatic ray that reaches the retina at the same point, without dispersion. It is not possible to find a polychromatic ray that can fulfil this definition, however, it is possible to define a dichromatic ray, that minimises the chromatic spread at the retina.

The Thibos-Bradley achromatic axis is actually a chief nodal axis and is not strictly achromatic. In the presence of astigmatism the nodal points also break up into fuzzy regions similar to the interval of Sturm for an astigmatic focus. In addition, chromatic dispersion will cause the cardinal points to spread out for a polychromatic pencil. The Thibos-Bradley chief nodal axis is therefore the nodal ray, described as the incident and emergent nodal rays, that intersect the pupil at its centre. For a polychromatic incident pencil, the incident and emergent axes are usually distinct, depend on frequency and are therefore not truly achromatic.

Systems that are flipped, reversed, reflect and that account for anatomical symmetry

Optical systems are often flipped or reversed, for example, the Jackson cross-cylinder, telescopes in low vision and even the eye during ophthalmoscopy. The effect of flipping the system is that light travels through the system in reverse. Flipped systems may be flipped about any axis \( \theta \) and each of these flips will affect the fundamental properties of the system differently, resulting in a flipped transference \( T^\theta \). The flipped system makes use of a flipped PV-axis to maintain the left-hand rule for the axes (figure 1). A catadioptric system has light travelling forwards through the system and then in reverse after reflection at a surface. The reversed system used for a catadioptric system makes use of a reversed Z-axis and resulting right-handed axis system and is slightly different to the flipped system. The transference of a reversed system is given as

A system that accounts for anatomical symmetry of the optical character of eyes is slightly different to a flipped or reversed system. For example, under symmetry, an axis of 30° in the right eye is equivalent to an axis of 150° in the left eye. This has the effect of changing the sign of the off-diagonal elements of each of the 2 \( \times \) 2 fundamental properties as well as the first entry of each of the 2 \( \times \) 1 fundamental properties. This situation has relevance for quantitative analysis of astigmatic eyes, where both left and right eyes are included in the sample.

In the case of catadioptric systems, the system may be partitioned into subsystems. For example, Purkinje images PVIII is reflected off the front surface of the crystalline lens. The system is made up of the dioptic system of cornea and anterior chamber and transference \( \mathbf{T} \), the anterior catadioptric system with transference \( \mathbf{T} \) and the reversed subsystem of anterior chamber and cornea and transference \( \mathbf{T} \). The transference of the catadioptric system is therefore \( \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{T} \), multiplied in reverse as usual (equations 4 and 15).

There are two types of catadioptric subsystems, the anterior catadioptric subsystem \( \mathbf{T} \), which reflects forwards travelling light back upstream, for example Purkinje images PI to PIV and the posterior catadioptric subsystem \( \mathbf{T} \), that reflects backwards travelling light downstream, such as found with Purkinje images PV–PVII. The catadioptric transference has the form of equation 16, with \( C = 2n_p \mathbf{K} \) and \( \pi = 2n_p \mathbf{m} \) for \( \mathbf{T} \) and \( C = -2n_p \mathbf{K} \) and \( \pi = -2n_p \mathbf{m} \) for \( \mathbf{T} \). \( \mathbf{K} \) is the 2 \( \times \) 2 astigmatic curvature matrix (similar to the power matrix), \( \mathbf{m} \) is the 2 \( \times \) 1 tilt matrix, \( n_p \) is the refractive index immediately anterior to the reflecting surface and \( n_p \) is the refractive index of the medium immediately downstream of the reflecting surface.
Langenbucher et al. described the stigmatic centred catadioptric system and used Le Grand’s eye to illustrate the positions of the seven Purkinje images on phakic and pseudophakic model eyes. An eye has a unique optical axis. Harris obtained equations for the optical axis of catadioptric systems with both an odd and even number of reflecting surfaces. For an eye with Purkinje systems it turns out that there are an infinity of optical axes.

**Gradient index**

The system with a radial GRIN material may present with a medium that varies radially or perpendicular to the optical axis. A decreasing radial-gradient has the maximum along the optical axis and rays traversing this system are attracted to the optical axis and oscillate or rotate about the optical axis in a periodic motion, like a corkscrew. An example is an optical fibre. A decreasing radial-gradient has the index increasing away from the optical axis and rays are repelled from the optical axis. The transference of such a medium has no periodic structure. The transference is symplectic and the power, front- and back-vertex powers and the cardinal points may be obtained from the transference.

In the human eye, the GRIN crystalline lens is a combination of radial and linear GRIN systems. The lens is a decreasing GRIN lens, and the refractive index is a function of the transverse \( y \) and longitudinal \( z \) positions, describing a transverse parabolic distribution. The transference of a GRIN lens will depend on the maximum and minimum refractive indices, age, lens thickness, radii of curvature of the lens and nucleus front- and back-surfaces, number of shells and the power chosen to represent the distribution of the GRIN across a normalised distance.

**Quantitative studies**

Transferences were used to analyse the cornea pre and post corneal refractive surgery and showed that the cornea needs to be treated as a thick lens system rather than a thin lens. The change in lateral magnification, including astigmatism, at the retina preoperatively and postoperatively and between follow-ups for corneal surgery can be modelled using the transference and is estimated from keratometry, refraction, vertex distance and anterior chamber depth. This has relevance to aniseikonia and is especially relevant to surgery on corneas with high astigmatism.

**CONCLUSION**

Linear optics is a powerful tool that allows for surfaces that are astigmatic and decentred or tilted. Linear optics works with two concepts, the system and the ray. The fundamental properties, obtained from the transference \( \text{T} \), are properties of the eye or system alone, while position \( \gamma \) and reduced inclination \( \alpha \) are properties of the ray at a transverse plane. The transference operates on the incident ray to determine the emergent ray.

Only linear optics allows one, in the case of systems with elements that may be astigmatic and decentred, to obtain explicit formulae for relationships and so gives immediate insight into which variables depend on each other, for example, various axes, radii of curvature of the lens and nucleus on the maximum and minimum refractive indices, age, position \( \gamma \) and reduced inclination \( \alpha \).

Linear optics is a three-dimensional generalisation of Gaussian optics. An advantage of linear optics over Gaussian optics is that it allows for systems that are astigmatic or decentred. This cannot be done completely with Gaussian optics, nor can thick systems with prism be handled by Gaussian optics. Linear optics is basically an application of linear algebra which is a hugely developed and sophisticated mathematical field. The eye's function is essentially linear and is well modelled with linear optics. Linear optics is the natural tool for the optical behaviour of the eye.

An advantage of linear optics is that, within the limits of first-order or paraxial optics, linear optics gives insight into the optical system; how astigmatism and decentration or tilt of the elements affect the light traversing the system. For example, using linear optics, Harris showed that in the presence of astigmatism, the nodal points and principal points break up into fuzzy nodes similar to the focal lines and interval of Sturm, however, the pair of lines are not necessarily orthogonal nor are there necessarily a pair of lines. In a system with decentred elements, the cardinal points or nodes may be decentred. Another example is the geometry of the blur patch in the presence of astigmatism. Linear optics shows that in an astigmatic system, not only is the blur patch elliptically shaped, but as the light travels and passes through the limiting aperture, so the blur patch may rotate and reflect with respect to the aperture. Similarly, the aperture may be referred upstream to locate the effective corneal patch which may also be elliptical and rotated with respect to the aperture.

Paraxial optics accounts for most of the aberrations in the eye. Linear optics is ideally suited for modelling paraxial optical phenomena, many of which have been derived from the transference. A disadvantage is that linear optics is not exact, nor does it treat higher-order aberrations, however, it provides a starting point for possible refinement using other models of optics, such as geometrical optics.

The transference is easily obtained for schematic eyes, including the reduced eye. Le Grand’s eye, an astigmatic schematic eye and an eye with a GRIN lens. One important advantage of linear optics is modelling optical properties to aid understanding of clinical phenomena. For example, linear optics was used to illustrate chromatic aberrations in an astigmatic eye. The effects of image magnification due to astigmatism is obtained as a 2 × 2 matrix and aniseikonia...
in astigmatic eyes is illustrated and interpreted using linear optics. Another advantage is to use linear optics as a first step towards the design process. Linear optics has been used in the design and modelling of IOLs and to compare the available theoretical IOL formulae.

Almost all the familiar optical properties of the system can be obtained from the transference alone. One exception is the optical axis which needs, in addition to the transference, the axial length of the system. The fundamental properties have an affine dependence on the width of gaps and curvature and tilt of surfaces within the system. Most other properties, such as refractive index, refractive compensation, cardinal points and changes to other internal structures are more complicated than that.

An advantage of linear optics is its holistic approach to studying optical systems, such as the eye. The transference represents a chosen optical system in its entirety and predicts the behaviour of rays traversing the system. The special rays obey the relationship \( \mathbf{x}_0 = \alpha \) (equation 28) illustrating how the cardinal and special points and structures are unified rather than separate concepts. Linear optics makes it possible to perform quantitative analyses on a set of optical systems and to calculate changes to an optical system, for example, over time or due to surgery or obtain the difference between two optical systems, rather than the individual components.

The aim of this paper has been to introduce the basic principles of linear optics, including the transference, the fundamental properties of paraxial optical systems and the optical properties derived from the transference. A number of optical properties have been presented here, including power, refractive compensation, vertex powers, various magnifications, cardinal points and axes of the eye, chromatic aberrations, GRINs and the effects of position and design of IOLs, flipped, reversed and catadioptric systems. Many of these optical properties have applications for ophthalmic and vision science and eye surgery.
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