Microarray and Degradome Sequencing Reveal MicroRNA Differential Expression Profiles and Their Targets in Pinellia pedatisecta
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Abstract

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are endogenous small non-coding RNAs which play a critical role in gene regulation in plants. Pinellia pedatisecta is one of the most important herbs in traditional Chinese medicine, but there are no microRNAs of Pinellia pedatisecta were deposited in miRBase and the research of the related miRNA biological functions is still insufficient. To detect Pinellia pedatisecta miRNAs and discover their expression difference with Pinellia ternata, we carried out a microarray profiling. A total of 101 miRNAs belonging to 22 miRNA families were detected both in Pinellia pedatisecta and Pinellia ternata respectively, among them 21 miRNAs showed their differentially expression. GO (gene ontology) term enrichment analysis of the target genes of differential expression miRNAs reveal that these miRNAs mainly affect the reproduction, transcription factor activity and plant developmental process. To elucidate the target function of miRNAs, we constructed a degradome library from Pinellia pedatisecta leaf. The result showed that a total of 18 transcript were identified as targets of miRNAs and further analysis indicated that miR156 and miR529 may function together to repress SPL14.
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Introduction

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are endogenous ~21-nucleotide (nt) non-coding RNAs derived from single-stranded stem-loop RNA precursors; these RNA molecules regulate gene expression by guiding targeted mRNA cleavage or translational inhibition at the transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels [1,2]. Mature miRNAs are incorporated into the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), which regulates the expression of the complementary target miRNAs via the Argonaute (AGO) endoribonucleases [3]. Increasing evidence demonstrates that miRNAs are important for biological processes including developmental regulation, hormone response, leaf polarity establishment, morphogenesis, and stress adaptation [4,5,6]. Given that plant miRNAs usually have near-perfect complementary to their target sites in the protein-coding regions of mRNAs, most plant miRNAs function like siRNAs that guide target mRNA cleavage [7]. To date, miRNA microarray analysis is a rapid method for detecting and profiling a large number of miRNAs. Aside from its use in Arabidopsis thaliana [8] and Oryza sativa [9], miRNA microarrays have been successfully applied in other plant species, such as Brassica napus [10], Solanum lycopersicum [11], and Medicago truncatula [12]. Based on known miRNA sequences of a plant species, It may be used for plants with limited sequence information.

Plant miRNAs generally regulate gene expression by guiding targeted mRNA cleavage [13]. Thus, the key to completely understanding the biological functions of miRNAs is to discover their target genes. Computational prediction [14], AGO coimmunoprecipitation [15], and luciferase reporters [16] were always applied to identify the targets of miRNAs. However, these methods have several limitations, such as false positives during target gene prediction and the laborious methods for confirming predicted targets with their high cost and relatively small scale. Degradome sequencing is a novel approach based on next-generation sequencing; It can identify the target transcripts of miRNAs with high throughput [17]. The method involves deep sequencing, bioinformatics analysis, and 5′-rapid amplification of cDNA ends (5′-RACE) which has been
successfully applied in the global identification of miRNA–target RNA pairs in *A. thaliana* [18], *O. sativa* [19], *Physcomitrella patens* [20], *Glycine max* [21], and *Zea mays* [22], among others.

*Pinellia pedatisecta* is one of the most important herbs in traditional Chinese medicine. This plant is a monocotyledonous perennial herbaceous species of the Araceae family native to Asia [23]. *P. pedatisecta* is characterized by a spathe without a constriction between the tube and blade. Compared with *P. ternata* which the properties and morphology are similar to other *Pinellia* species, *P. pedatisecta* contains pedate leaf blades and lacks a transverse septum inside its spathe [24]. In addition, *P. pedatisecta* tubers are commonly used as an antitussive, expectorant, and a anticancer drug, with relatively higher effectiveness than the tubers of other *Pinellia* species [25]. However, limited studies have focused on *P. pedatisecta* at the molecular level, especially its miRNA [24,26]. There are no microRNAs of *Pinellia pedatisecta* were deposited in miRBase and the research of the biological functions which the related miRNAs performed in *P. pedatisecta* is currently insufficient. This is the first report revealed miRNAs differential expression profile between *P. pedatisecta* and *P. ternata* and used degradome sequencing to identify the gene targets of *P. pedatisecta* miRNAs. In-depth analysis of the potential gene targets of miRNAs was performed to understand miRNA function in *P. pedatisecta*.

**Results**

**Overview of Pinellia miRNA microarray**

To identify miRNAs in the *Pinellia* species, a miRNA microarray was used; this microarray contained probes complementary to known mature miRNAs from 43 plant species in the miRBase database [27]. The miRNA chip was hybridized with Cy5 and Cy3 probe pairs of *P. pedatisecta* and *P. ternata*, respectively. On the macro level, 101 unique plant miRNAs from 22 miRNA families were commonly identified from *P. pedatisecta* and *P. ternata*. Most of the identified miRNA families (miR159, miR156, miR166, miR167, and miR319) were highly conserved with numerous members, whereas several known but non-conserved miRNA families (miR528, miR894, miR1432, miR1450, miR2919, miR2936, and miR3946) had just one member (Figure 1) [28]. In addition, we investigated the homology between the identified miRNAs in this study and known miRNAs in other species. To a large extent, the *Pinellia* miRNAs were identified based on their high homology with *O. sativa* miRNAs which considered a reference species for studying monocotyledons (Figure 2).

**Differential Expression of P. pedatisecta miRNAs**

Although several dynamic factors influence miRNA function, the miRNA expression patterns were considered the major components that determine miRNA activity [29]. Thus, we
compared the accumulation of known miRNA families using microarray signals to identify further the differential miRNA expression between P. pedatisecta and P. ternata. Based on a cut-off value and $p < 0.01$, 21 miRNAs from 12 families (Table 1) were differentially expressed. Among these, 14 miRNAs (from the miR156, miR166, miR168, miR397, miR528, miR535, and miR1450 families) were more abundant in P. pedatisecta (log$_2$ fold change greater than 1), whereas the remaining 7 miRNAs (from the miR894, miR165, miR159, miR396, and miR2919 families) showed lower expression (log$_2$ fold change less than −1). Previous studies reported that miR156, miR159, miR165/166, miR168, miR396, and miR397 participate in developmental regulation, transcription factor activity and stress adaptation by targeting SBP [30], MYB [31], the homeodomain-leucine zipper [32], AGO [33], growth response factor (GRF) [34], and laccase [35].

miRNA validation by qRT-PCR

qPCR was widely considered to be more accurate and quantitative methods for miRNA expression profiling than microarrays [36]. Based on the miRNA microarray results, 7 miRNAs differentially expressed in P. ternata and P. pedatisecta were selected for qRT-PCR analysis to confirm the presence and expression of the miRNAs. As shown in Figure 3, all miRNAs were detected by qRT-PCR and most results of qRT-PCR were consistent with the microarray data. In particular, miR159f, miR396e, and miR894 were more abundant in P. ternata, whereas miR528 and miR397b were more abundant in P. pedatisecta. However, the expression patterns of miR156g and miR535 differed between the microarray and qRT-PCR analyses. This finding may be attributed to the different sensitivity and specificity of the two technologies.

Prediction of differentially expressed miRNA targets

Plant miRNAs usually regulate gene expression by guiding targeted mRNA cleavage [37]. Thus, the biological functions of miRNAs may be completely understood by discovering their target genes. To date, the Pinellia genome has yet to be sequenced, and no expressed sequence tags are available. According that conserved miRNA may regulated conserved targets [28], We used the Rice MSU RNA database (version 7.0) to computationally predict potential differentially expressed miRNA targets. Three computational target prediction algorithms (WMD3 http://wmd3.weigelworld.org/cgi-bin/webapp.cgi?page=TargetSearch;project=stdwmd, Targetfinder and psRNATarget http://plantgrn.noble.org/psRNATarget/) were used. The Results revealed that 159 potential genes were targeted by 18 differential expression miRNAs. As shown in Table S1, 11 out of 18 miRNAs targeted transcription factors, thereby suggesting that these miRNAs mediate negative post-transcriptional regulation.
mediated regulatory networks were constructed using the Cytoscape [38]. According to their miRNA expression patterns, these networks could be classified into two categories (Figure 4A). The results support the well-known miRNA-mediated regulation. For example, the miR156 family was more abundant in biological process and molecular function [41] (via “Singular Enrichment Analysis”; species, Oryza sativa MSU 7.0; reference, Rice MSU 7.0 nonTE transcript). The genes targeted by differentially expressed miRNAs were strongly associated with development (57.97%), transcription factor activity (37.68%), reproduction (33.33%) and cell differentiation (21.74%) (Table S2). Many miRNA families were involved in the same biological process. For example, miR156, miR168 and miR396 participated in “multicellular organismal development” within the “Biological Process” category (Figure 5A). while the target genes of miR156, miR159, and miR165 were enriched with the GO term “transcription factor activity” within the “Molecular Function” category (Figure 5B). In addition, We observed that the enriched GO terms “reproductive structure development,” “anatomical structure development,” “post-embryonic development,” and “multicellular organismal process” were potentially related to “organ development”. It indicated that these differentially expressed miRNAs were associated with organ development in Pinellia.

Identification of miRNA targets by degradome sequencing

Accurate validation of miRNA targets is important to thoroughly reveal the biological roles of miRNAs. The degradome sequencing approach was applied to identify the gene targets of P. pedatisecta miRNAs and elucidate the potential biological functions of its miRNAs [17]. A total of 19 429 349 raw reads, with 93% (18 137 269) of the sequences having the 5′ ends of uncapped, polyadenylated RNAs were generated.

### Table 1. Comparison of the expression patterns of miRNAs in P. pedatisecta and P. ternata leaves.

| miRNA family | miRNA Name | Sequence (5′ to 3′) | P. ternata Signal | P. pedatisecta Signal-B | Log2 Ratio (B/A) | Predicted function of miRNAs |
|--------------|------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| miR156       | ghr-miR156c | UGUCAGAGAGAUGAGUGAC | 101               | 1,192                   | 3.56            | Squamosa promoter-binding protein |
|             | osa-miR156e | CGACAGAAGAGAAGAGCAUA | 202               | 1,594                   | 2.98            |                                 |
|             | vvi-miR156e | UGAGACAGAGAGAUGAGAC | 89                | 632                     | 2.83            |                                 |
|             | sbi-miR156e | UGACAGAAGAGAAGAGCAC | 296               | 2,105                   | 2.82            |                                 |
|             | bna-miR156e | UGACAGAAGAGAAGAGACAC | 468              | 3,200                   | 2.77            |                                 |
|             | aly-miR156g | CGACAGAAGAGAAGAGCAC | 536               | 3,295                   | 2.61            |                                 |
|             | aly-miR156a | UGACAGAAGAGAAGAGACAC | 557               | 3,401                   | 2.61            |                                 |
| miR159       | ptc-miR159f | AUUGAAGUGAGAAGGACUCGA | 7,570            | 3,150                   | -1.27           | MYB transcription factors      |
|             | pta-miR159b | UUGGAUUGAAGAAGACUCCC | 3,465            | 1,265                   | -1.45           |                                 |
|             | zma-miR159e | AUUGGUGUUGAGAGAAGACAC | 3,250           | 1,166                   | -1.48           |                                 |
| miR165       | aly-miR165a | UCGCAAGGCGUUCGUACC | 714               | 327                     | -1.13           |                                 |
| miR166       | aly-miR166a-5p | GGAUUGUGUUCGCGUCUCGG | 84               | 722                     | 3.49            |                                 |
| miR168       | aly-miR166a-3p | CCGCCUUGAGAUCAGUCUAU | 94            | 974                     | 3.38            | Polyadenylate-binding protein |
|             | hvu-miR168-5p | UCGGUUGGUGAGACAGUCUG | 427             | 1,027                   | 1.27            |                                 |
| miR396       | gna-miR396e | UUCACACGCUUUCUGAACGU | 2,078            | 731                     | -1.51           | Growth regulating factor       |
| miR397       | ptc-miR397b | CCAUUGAUGGCGGCGUGAUG | 87              | 2,301                   | 4.72            | Laccase                       |
| miR528       | osa-miR528 | UGGAAGGCGCAGAGAGAGAG | 1,099           | 7,565                   | 2.78            |                                 |
| miR535       | aq-miR535 | UGACACGAGAGAGAGAGCAGC | 9,629          | 19,234                  | 1.00            |                                 |
| miR894       | ptt-miR894 | CGUUCACGUCGCCGUCUACC | 4,403          | 2,105                   | -1.06           |                                 |
| miR1450      | ptc-miR1450 | UUCACAGGUGGCGUUGAGUAC | 421           | 8,285                   | 4.30            |                                 |
| miR2919      | osa-miR2919 | AAGGGGCGGCGGCGGAAAGA | 1,924          | 369                     | -2.38           |                                 |

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0075978.t001
Figure 3. Validation and expression analysis of miRNAs by qRT-PCR (*P. pedatisecta/P. ternate*). Blue indicates the miRNA relative expression generated from microarray; Red indicates the miRNA relative expression tested by qRT-PCR. The 5.8s RNA was chosen as the endogenous control. The error bars indicate the standard deviations obtained from three independent experiments of qRT-PCR.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0075978.g003

Figure 4. Differential-expression microRNA-mediated regulatory networks. (A) Network mediated by high expression microRNAs in *P. pedatisecta*. (B) Network mediated by low expression microRNAs in *P. pedatisecta*. The predicted regulatory relationships between miRNAs and targets were denoted by blank lines. The targets of blue nodes were annotated at the bottom and all the networks were constructed using Cytoscape [35].

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0075978.g004
We used the rice genome for the degradome sequencing study. After removing the respective adaptor sequences and pairing these with *O. sativa* cDNA, 29% (5,328,833) of the distinct degradome reads from 35,430 cDNAs were perfectly matched to the rice genome. Subsequently, the 15 nt upstream and downstream of these mapped cDNAs sequences were extracted to generate the 30 nt target signatures, which were designated as “t-signatures.” These t-signatures were collected to identify and classify the cleaved miRNA targets by the CleaveLand pipeline [42]. The targets of 18 miRNAs from 4 miRNA families which identified by the *Pinellia* miRNA double-color microarray were detected (Table 2). 7 members of miR156 family and miR157d were identified to cleave the same gene: LOC_Os08g39890, which encodes for SPL14 (SBP-box gene family). Furthermore, the result showed that miR156g could target LOC_Os09g31438 which encodes for SPL17. miR529 has four types of potential gene targets, including glucose-1-phosphate adenylyltransferase, SAUR30 (an auxin-responsive member of the SAUR gene family), RNA recognition motif-containing proteins, and retrotransposon proteins (Figure 6). miR529 apparently performs its additional functions in *Pinellia* by targeting multiple genes.

To determine whether SPL14 could be regulated by miR156, we compared the present results with those reported in the literature. The SPL14 target of miR156 was previously validated by experiments of several independent laboratories [43,44,45,46]. Jiao [45] mapped the miR156-directed cleavage sites of SPL14 using 5′ RACE. The sequencing of 14 randomly chosen clones showed that 13 clones had 5′ ends of the cleaved fragments in the central region of the miR156 target site, thereby confirming that SPL14 can be precisely cleaved by miR156. However, miR529 shares a 14 nt to 16 nt sequence that is significantly homologous with miR156. Given the high sequence similarity, miR529 was predicted to cleave the same genes LOC_Os08g39890 and LOC_Os09g31438 at an overlapping site. By considering that miRNA-guided cleavage occurs precisely between the 10th and 11th nucleotide from the 5′ end of miRNA, we analyzed the results of 5′ RACE by Jiao et al. [45]. We speculated that two clones were generated during 5′ RACE by miR529 but not by miR156 (Figure 7). SPL14 in plants may be targeted by the combined activity of both miR156 and miR529. In addition, miR156 predominantly regulates SBP transcription. However, whether miR156a and miR529b target the same gene SPL14 remains to be verified by more methods.

**Discussion**

miRNAs widely exist in animals and plants. Increasing evidence demonstrates that miRNAs have critical roles in regulating development, hormone response, stress response, and other biological processes [47]. Although an increasing amount of plant miRNAs are registered in the miRBase database, miRNA studies on *Pinellia* are very limited. Given the special growth and reproduction mechanisms of *P. pedatisecta*, the identification of *P. pedatisecta* miRNAs and their targets will greatly advance current knowledge on the physiological functions of miRNAs. In the present study, 101 unique plant miRNAs from 22 miRNA families were identified in *P. pedatisecta* and *P. ternata* by miRNA microarray analysis.

Based on the plant miRNA conservation across 71 plant species, Zhang et al. [48] classified miRNA into four classes (highly conserved, moderately conserved, lowly conserved,
Table 2. *P. pedatisecta* miRNA targets identified by degradome sequencing.

| miRNA family | miRNA Name | miRNA annotation | RAP_ID | Alignment Score | Alignment Range | Cleavage Site | Category |
|--------------|------------|------------------|--------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|----------|
| miR156       | osa-miR156 | OsSPL14-SBP-box gene family member | LOC_Os08g39890 | 2.5 | 991-1011 | 1002 | I |
| bna-miR156a  | OsSPL14-SBP-box gene family member | LOC_Os08g39890 | 1 | 991-1011 | 1002 | I |
| vvi-miR156e  | OsSPL14-SBP-box gene family member | LOC_Os08g39890 | 2 | 992-1011 | 1002 | I |
| ppt-miR156a  | OsSPL14-SBP-box gene family member | LOC_Os08g39890 | 1 | 992-1011 | 1002 | I |
| sbi-miR156e  | OsSPL14-SBP-box gene family member | LOC_Os08g39890 | 1 | 992-1011 | 1002 | I |
| ptc-miR156k  | OsSPL14-SBP-box gene family member | LOC_Os08g39890 | 0.5 | 992-1011 | 1002 | III |
| vvi-miR156h  | OsSPL14-SBP-box gene family member | LOC_Os08g39890 | 0.5 | 992-1011 | 1002 | I |
| gma-miR156g  | OsSPL17-SBP-box gene family member | LOC_Os09g31438 | 2 | 673-692 | 683 | III |
| miR157       | aly-miR157d | OsSPL14-SBP-box gene family member | LOC_Os08g39890 | 2 | 992-1011 | 1002 | I |
| miR529       | bdi-miR529 | glucose-1-phosphate adenylyltransferase large subunit | LOC_Os03g52460 | 3.5 | 45-66 | 56 | II |
|              | bdi-miR529 | OsSAUR30-Auxin-responsive | LOC_Os07g29310 | 4 | 500-521 | 511 | II |
|              | bdi-miR529 | OsSAUR30-Auxin-responsive | LOC_Os07g29310 | 4 | 504-525 | 515 | I |
|              | bdi-miR529 | Retrotransposon protein, putative, SINE subclass | LOC_Os08g16830 | 4 | 169-190 | 180 | III |
|              | agc-miR529 | glucose-1-phosphate adenylyltransferase large subunit | LOC_Os03g52460 | 4 | 45-66 | 56 | II |
|              | far-miR529 | glucose-1-phosphate adenylyltransferase large subunit | LOC_Os03g52460 | 4 | 45-66 | 56 | II |
|              | osa-miR529b | glucose-1-phosphate adenylyltransferase large subunit | LOC_Os03g52460 | 4 | 45-66 | 56 | II |
|              | osa-miR529b | RNA recognition motif containing protein | LOC_Os02g01700 | 4 | 690-711 | 701 | I |
| miR319       | zma-miR319a-5p | retrotransposon protein | LOC_Os01g57960 | 3.5 | 662-681 | 672 | III |

and non-conserved). We identified nine highly conserved miRNA families (miR156/157, miR171, miR165/166, miR159/319, miR396, and miR168), three moderately conserved miRNA families (miR164, miR167, and miR397), and eight lowly conserved miRNA family (miR399, miR528, miR894, miR1432, miR1450, miR2919, miR2936, and miR3946). In addition, highly and moderately conserved families have more members than others, thereby suggesting that these miRNAs have important and conserved functions in the plant kingdom. Furthermore, these miRNAs were primarily based on their high homology with the miRNAs of *O. sativa*. It suggested that most of the known miRNA families are highly conserved during the evolution of various plant species. Based on known miRNA sequence information from well-studied plant species, we can apply miRNA-based experimental strategies in plant whose genome has not been fully sequenced.

Ason et al. [49] reported that miRNA conservation does not always indicate conserved expression. Several miRNAs have differential expression levels with absolute conservation of the miRNA sequence between species. Increasing evidence associates miRNA expression to cell proliferation and developmental regulation. Thus, the differential expression of miRNAs between plants probably reflects the changes in growth and differentiation, as well as changes in the overall shape of developing tissues, which leads to their physiological differences [50,51]. Analyzing the special expression patterns of miRNAs between *P. ternata* and *P. pedatisecta* would provide useful information regarding their regulatory roles in plant physiological processes. Based on signals generated from the miRNA double-color microarray, we compared the miRNA expression profiles of *P. ternata* and *P. pedatisecta* and discovered 21 differentially expressed miRNAs.

Among the differentially expressed miRNAs, miR156, miR159, miR168, miR396, and miR397 were predicted to target SBP transcription factors, MYB family members, the PINHEAD, GRF, and laccase. The miRNA target genes have been validated in previous experiments; these genes have crucial functions in the growth and development of different plant species. For example, the MYB transcription factors participate in the response to ABA accumulation. Members of the miR159 family redundantly regulate the expression of *MYB33* and *MYB65*. However, only the double mutant mir159ab has pleiotropic developmental defects, including reduced apical dominance and curled leaves. The miR159-controlled MYB regulation is absolutely critical for proper plant development [52]. miR168 overexpression may also affect the accumulation of miR159 which target gene PentHAE/2ZWILLE has overlapping functions with the ARGONAUTE1 gene [53]. ARGONAUTE1 (AGO1) is an RNA endonuclease of the miRNA pathway; its expression is regulated by miR168. It is well established that miR159 was sensitive to perturbations in the miRNA pathway; its expression is regulated by miR168...
target sites are conserved in Arabidopsis, rice, maize, Populus, and other plants [32,48]. It is important in plant response to environmental stress, including oxidative stress, drought stress, cold stress, nutrient-deprivation, and copper homeostasis. In addition, Li et al. [49] reported that laccase has an important function in the early morphological formation of somatic embryogenesis, of which miR397 is a key regulator.

To understand the potential biological function of these miRNAs, we used AgriGO to identify 159 target genes of 18 differentially expressed miRNAs, which were mainly involved in 36 physiological processes. The vast majority of enriched GO terms are related to development, transcription factor activity, multicellular organismal process and reproduction. The targets of certain miRNAs are involved in identical physiological processes. Thus, the various miRNAs target different genes but regulate the same processes. For example, miR156, miR159, miR165, miR168 and miR396 probably co-participate in multicellular organismal development, reproduction, anatomical structure development cell differentiation and transcription factor activity. These results showed that miRNAs in Pinellia are involved in developmental regulation and cause mild alterations in the organ phenotypes of P. ternata and P. pedatisecta. However, whether these differentially expressed miRNAs alter phenotypes remains to be investigated via gene overexpression or silencing in transgenic plants.

Quantitative real-time PCR is generally more sensitive than microarray and northern blot analysis. This method allows for the absolute quantification of transcript abundance. Thus, qRT-PCR has been used for the independent validation of differentially expressed miRNAs determined by miRNA microarrays. The qRT-PCR data for most differentially expressed miRNAs (miR159f, miR396e, miR397b, miR528, and miR894) showed reproducible correlation with the microarray data. In particular, miR528 was upregulated by sixfold to sevenfold in P. pedatisecta as compared with P. ternata, whereas miR159 was downregulated by threefold. Thus, the results were closely correlated with the microarray data. However, the expected upregulation of miR156g was not detected in P. pedatisecta. Similarly, miR535 was upregulated in P. ternata, but not in P. pedatisecta. Microarrays face the significant challenge of accurately distinguishing 5’ and 3’ nucleotides including Affymetrix, Agilent, and Illumina microarray platforms [36]. Our study also meet this phenomenon as show in Table 1(miR156 family). We think that the inconsistent expression levels of miR535 and miR156 between microarrays and qRT-PCR will be caused by different sensitivity levels which microarray hardly accurately distinguish the miRNA sequences at the ends of the bases. However, It is also useful to analysis the result of microarray, Because the same family always target the same gene [28].

Figure 6. Identified miRNA targets by degradome sequencing are presented in the form of t-plots. The T-plots which were referred to as ‘target plots’ by German et al indicated the distribution of the degradome tags along the sequences of targets (top). The alignment of miRNA: miRNA show the matching degree between miRNA and its target sequence (bottom). The two dots indicate matched RNA base pairs; one dot indicates a GU mismatch. The red spot and arrow represents the sliced target site. The y-axis measures the intensity of the cleavage signal, and the x-axis indicates the position of the cleavage signal on a specific transcript. (A) Regulation of SBP-box gene family by miR156 and miR157 family identified by degradome sequencing. (B) Different target genes cleaved by the miR529 family.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0075978.g006
The accurate identification of miRNA target genes may reveal the regulatory functions of miRNAs. In this study, we identified 18 potential targets for 4 miRNA families in *P. pedatisecta* by degradome sequencing. Among these gene targets, 55.6% belonged to category I, thereby indicating that miRNAs are important for cleaving these target genes. It is worth noting that 7 members of the miR156 family could cleave the same gene coding for SPL14 (SBP-box gene family). Meanwhile, miR156g could target another gene (SPL17) of the SBP-box gene family. These findings suggest that the targets of the same miRNA family usually belong to the same gene family. Moreover, we found that the SBP-box gene was cleaved by a pair of miRNAs (miR156 and miR157), in a manner similar to that of *Arabidopsis* [18], grapevine [54], cucumber [55], and other plant species. These data suggested the presence of a combinatorial gene regulation pathway involving a pair of miRNAs in *Pinellia*.

Unfortunately, the target genes of several *Pinellia*-conserved miRNAs were not identified. Similarly, the targets of the non-conserved miRNAs were also limited. This result may be attributed to the lack of complete mRNA data. Moreover, the rice genome was used instead of the *Pinellia* genome, thereby restricting the comprehensive detection of targets to a certain extent. Conversely, miRNAs have essential roles in temporally and spatially coordinating the developmental processes [56]. The lack of analysis for different developmental stages, tissues, organs, or stress conditions may be another reason. In addition, plant miRNAs can regulate their target mRNAs through translational inhibition and transcript cleavage. For example, miRNA172 can base-pair with the mRNA of the floral homeotic gene *APETALA2*; this miRNA silences *APETALA2* expression primarily through translational inhibition [57]. Degradome sequencing cannot detect these translational repression targets.

Overlapping the results between degradome sequencing and prediction, we found that miR529 which shares a 14 nt to 16 nt sequence of significant homology with miR156 was predicted to cleave the same genes LOC_Os08g39890 and LOC_Os09g31438 at overlapping site. To further study this phenomenon, we analyzed the miR156-verified experimental data of 5' RACE by Jiao et al. [45] and speculated that miR156 predominantly regulates SPL14. However, SPL14 may be targeted by both miR156 and miR529 in special developmental stages or different tissues. This result is consistent with the *tasselsheath4* (*tsh4*) gene, which is a miRNA-targeted SBP-box transcription factor involved in establishing boundaries within the maize inflorescence. Cleavage analysis of *tsh4* transcripts from wild-type tassels by Chuck et al. [44] showed that the majority of cleavage (68%) occurred between base pairs 10 and 11 of the predicted miRNA-binding site of miR529, whereas 31% occurred near the predicted site for miR156. Thus, miR156 and miR529 function together to repress *tsh4* within inflorescences. However, we did not detect any detectable SPL14 cleavages by miR529 in *Pinellia* leaves by

---

**Figure 7. Cleavage analyses with respect to SPL14 targeted by miR529 and miR156.** (1) SPL14 mRNA(LOC_Os08g39890) aligned with miR529 and miR156. (2) miR529 and miR156 sequence alignments. * means homology sequence. (3) Cleavage sites of SPL14 transcript by miR156 were determined by Jiao et al using 5' RACE assay. The number of clones which represent the cleavage frequencies is indicated above the sequence.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0075978.g007
degradome sequencing. Three possible reasons may account for these findings. First, miR529 did not demonstrate a predominant role in SPL14 regulation, and the cleaved fragments were too few to be detected. Second, SPL14 may be stringently regulated by miR529 in a specific spatial or temporal expression pattern. Third, SPL14 in *Pinellia* leaves may be targeted by miR156.

In summary, 101 miRNAs from 22 miRNA families were detected in both *P. pedatisecta* and *P. ternata*, with 21 differentially expressed miRNAs. Based on the computational prediction and GO analysis, we found that these differentially expressed miRNAs were involved in the development of *Pinellia* organs, thereby providing useful information regarding their regulatory roles in plant physiological processes and further enabling us to thoroughly test their roles in *Pinellia*. In addition, We identified 18 potential targets for 4 miRNA families in *P. pedatisecta* by degradome sequencing. Based on the experimental data analysis of 5′ RACE by Jiao et al. [45], we speculate that SPL14 in plants may be targeted by both miR156 and miR529 in a combinatorial manner. This report offers a foundation for the further investigation of the functional regulatory roles of miRNAs and their target genes in *P. pedatisecta*.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant materials and total RNA extraction**

*P. ternata* and *P. pedatisecta* plants were maintained in a greenhouse at the Zhejiang Sci-Tech University. Leaves with same developmental stages, plant size, and vigor from both *P. ternata* and *P. pedatisecta* plants were selected and quickly frozen in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA was extracted with the mirVana™ miRNA Kit (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. After quantification, the integrity of the isolated RNA was validated by separating the major rRNA bands on agarose gels.

**miRNA Microarray Design**

Based on the known miRNAs in the miRBase database, we designed a miRNA microarray containing 1957 unique plant miRNAs, representing 2521 well-characterized miRNA from 43 plant species (http://microrna.sanger.ac.uk/). Among the 2521 miRNAs, 190 were from *A. thaliana*, 324 from *O. sativa*, 198 from *P. patens*, 196 from soybean, 174 from *M. truncatula*, 202 from *Z. mays*, and the remaining 1237 from 37 other plant species. The unique mature miRNAs were spotted onto a µParafo™ microfluidic microchip using in situ parallel synthesis and RNA hybridization-optimized probes. Each chip contained two replicates of each probe. For microarray quality control, 5S rRNA was designed as the internal positive control, whereas blank and non-homologous nucleic acids served as negative controls. In addition, eight quality control probes were synthesized to perfectly match or to have a single-nucleotide mismatch with eight external spiked-in synthetic RNAs (20-mer).

**Microarray hybridization and data analysis**

The miRNA microarray experiment was performed according to the protocol provided by LC Sciences. Total RNA (1 µg) was used as the starting material for microarray assay. The small RNAs (<300 nt) were size-fractionated using a YM-100 microcon centrifugal filter (Millipore) from the total RNA extracted from leaf tissues. After the small RNAs were 3′-extended with a poly(A) tail using poly(A) polymerase, whereas an oligonucleotide tag was ligated to the poly(A) tail for later fluorescent dye staining. To balance hybridization melting temperatures, we chemically modified the detection probes. Hybridization was performed in a µParafo® microfluidic chip station (LC Sciences) in 100 µL 6× SSPE buffer (0.90 mol/L NaCl, 60 mmol/L Na₂HPO₄, and 6 mmol/L ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; pH 6.8) containing 25% (v/v) formamide; samples were incubated at 34 °C overnight. After hybridization, we washed each microarray in 0.1× SSPE buffer and used the tag-specific Cy3 and Cy5 dyes to label *P. ternata* and *P. pedatisecta*. The hybridization images were digitized using an Axon Gene Pix 4000B Microarray Scanner (Axon/Molecular Device, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) and analyzed using the Array-Pro image analysis software (Media Cybernetics). Raw data were used by first subtracting the background and then normalizing the signals with a locally weighted regression (LOWESS) filter (miRNA microarray data were deposited into the NCBIGEO with accession no. GSE48322). Two criteria were used to accept a miRNA signal as detectable: (1) a signal intensity higher than three times the background standard deviation, and (2) spot CV < 0.5 (where CV = signal standard deviation/signal intensity). Signals from *P. ternata* and *P. pedatisecta* plants were compared using the paired, two-tailed Student’s t-test; only signals with p < 0.05 and differential expression greater than a threefold increase or decrease were considered significant (Cebeci and Budak, 2009).

**Computational prediction of miRNA targets**

To predict the genes targeted by differentially expressed miRNAs, three computational target prediction algorithms (psRNAtarget http://plantgrn.noble.org/psRNATarget/, Targetfinder and WMD3 http://wmd3.weigelworld.org/cgi-bin/webapp.cgi?page=TargetSearch;project=stdwmd) were used. The miRNA sequences were reverse-complemented and matched against the Rice MSU (version 7.0) mRNA database. Each mismatch is converted to a weighted score according to the criterion in Jones-Rhoades and Bartel [58]. Mismatched pairs or single nucleotide bulges scores 1, and G:U pairs scores 0.5. The mismatched and G:U pair scores were doubled within the core segment to reflect the importance of complementarity to the miRNA 5’ end for target site function [59]. Perfect matches were given a score of “0”, and a cutoff score of “4” was selected to minimize the number of non-authentic targets. The data predicted by these algorithms were combined and the overlaps were calculated(Table S1).

**Expression validation of miRNA using qRT-PCR**

Quantitative RT-PCR was used to validate the differences in the expression levels of miRNAs in *P. pedatisecta* and *P. ternata* and non-homologous nucleic acids served as negative controls. In addition, eight quality control probes were synthesized to perfectly match or to have a single-nucleotide mismatch with eight external spiked-in synthetic RNAs (20-mer).
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After sequencing. Based on the microarray results, 7 miRNAs with were simultaneously collected with those for degradome selected, and primers were designed according to Varkonyi-Gasic et al. [60] (Table S3). Total RNA (250 ng) was reverse-transcribed into the miRNA cDNA by RTase M-MLV (Takara Bio, D2639A) using stem-loop primers by following the manufacturer’s protocol. qRT-PCR with SYBR Green (Invitrogen, 11733-038) was performed using the Applied Biosystems 7900 apparatus with the following cycling parameters: 50 °C for 2 min, 95 °C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturing at 95 °C for 15 s and annealing at 60 °C for 30 s, with a plate read between each cycle. A dissociation stage (melting curve analysis) was then performed. The threshold cycle (Ct) was defined as the cycle number at which the fluorescence signal exceeded the fixed threshold. For normalized sample Ct values, the 5.8s rRNA was used (GenBank ID: AF469037.1; forward primer, 5′-GATGAAGAACGTAGCGAAATG-3′; reverse primer, 5′-TCGATGTTTCAAGGGGATT-3′) was used. The 2ΔΔCt method was used to analyze the relative changes in gene expression based on the qRT-PCR experiments.

**Degradome library construction and data analysis**

To identify the potential target miRNAs, a degradome library using cleaved ends of polyadenylated transcripts was constructed from *P. pedatisecta* leaves based on the method described previously by German [17]. Briefly, approximately 1 mg of poly(A)-enriched RNA was directly ligated to a chimeric 5′- RNA adapter (5′-GUUCAGAGUUUACGUCGCAUCCACGACGACG-3′) containing a 3′ Ecoq 15I (NEB, R0646S) recognition site using T4 RNA ligase (Promega, M10151). Reverse transcription was carried out to generate first-strand cDNA using an oligo(dT) with a 3′-adapter sequence (5′-CAGCGACAGAATTAATACGACT-3′); reverse primer, 5′-CGAGCACAGAATTAATACGACT-3′). After transcribed into the miRNA cDNA by RTase M-MLV (Takara Biosystems, M0202S). These products were recovered by PAGE and PCR purification and digestion with Ecoq I, a double-stranded 3′-DNA adapter (top, 5′-NNTCGTATGCCGTCTTCTGCTTG-3′; bottom, 5′-CAAGCAGAAACGGCATACGA-3′) with degenerate nucleates in the overhang region was ligated to the Ecoq I digestion products using T4 DNA ligase (NEB, M0202S). These products were recovered by PAGE and PCR amplification (18 cycles of 98 °C for 10 s, 60 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 15 s.). The final cDNA library was the purified and sequenced using a Solexa/Illumina genome analyzer (LC Sciences, Hangzhou, China). Raw sequencing reads were obtained using the Illumina Pipeline (version 1.5) software to remove adaptor sequences and low-quality reads. In addition, to easily analyze the miRNA targets and RNA degradation patterns, t-plots were built according to the distribution of signatures (and abundances) along these reads [61]. The identification and classification of categories of the sliced miRNA targets were processed according to the CleaveLand pipeline (Axell Laboratory, Pennsylvania State University, USA).
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Table S2. GO (Gene Ontology) term enrichment analysis of the targets of differentially expressed miRNA. (XLS)
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