Cytoplasmic poly (A)-binding protein critically regulates epidermal maintenance and turnover in the planarian Schmidtea mediterranea
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ABSTRACT
Identifying key cellular events that facilitate stem cell function and tissue organization is crucial for understanding the process of regeneration. Planarians are powerful model systems to study regeneration and stem cell (neoblast) function. Here, using planaria, we show that the initial events of regeneration, such as epithelialization and embryonic organization are critically regulated by a novel cytoplasmic poly A-binding protein, SMED-PABPC2. Knockdown of smed-pabpc2 leads to defects in epidermal lineage specification, disorganization of epidermis and ECM, and deregulated wound healing, resulting in the selective failure of neoblast proliferation near the wound region. Polyosome profiling suggests that epidermal lineage transcripts, including zfp-1, are translationally regulated by SMED-PABPC2. Together, our results uncover a novel role for SMED-PABPC2 in the maintenance of epidermal and ECM integrity, critical for wound healing and subsequent processes for regeneration.
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INTRODUCTION
Planarians have emerged as a tractable model system with which to study regeneration and stem cell biology. Their remarkable regenerative prowess comes from a population of adult somatic stem cells, called neoblasts, present throughout the mesenchyme of the animal (Baguñà, 2012; Wolff, 1962). Upon amputation or injury, neoblasts proliferate in two characteristic mitotic peaks in order to meet the demands of the regenerative process (Wenemoser et al., 2013). The blastema grows and differentiates further to form missing tissues and organs. Recent studies have also highlighted the role of non-neoblasts cells in instructing neoblasts for planarian regeneration. For example, position control genes (PCGs) that provide positional cues to neoblasts are expressed in the muscle cells (Witchley et al., 2013).

Recent efforts have uncovered broad roles for different post-transcriptional processes in stem cell/neoblast maintenance and differentiation (Guo et al., 2006; Palakodeti et al., 2008; Routhana et al., 2010; Sasidharan et al., 2013; Solana et al., 2013; Lakshmanan et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2010). Here, we describe the role of cytoplasmic poly(A)-binding protein (PABPC) in neoblast function. PABPCs are RNA-binding proteins conserved across eukaryotes, and their role in translational regulation has been extensively studied (Gorgoni et al., 2011; Goss and Kleiman, 2013; Wang et al., 2010). However, PABPC also regulates mRNA turnover, nonsense-mediated decay and miRNA-mediated repression (Gorgoni et al., 2011; Goss and Kleiman, 2013).

Metazoans express multiple genes encoding PABPC: five in humans, three in Xenopus, two each in C. elegans and mouse, and one in yeast (Mangus et al., 2003). These genes are spatiotemporally regulated and have varied functions (Goss and Kleiman, 2013). In the planarian Dugesia japonica, two genes encoding cytoplasmic poly(A)-binding protein, dj-pabpc1 and dj-pabpc2 have been reported. dj-pabpc1 expression is ubiquitous and its knockdown leads to severe regeneration defects. Knockdown animals fail to form the blastema and lyse within 2 days post amputation (dpa) (Rouhana et al., 2010). However, the mechanism by which it regulates regeneration is not known. In Schmidtea mediterranea, smed-pabpc1 is expressed in the germline tissue, and its knockdown leads to a block in meiotic progression, suggesting a role for SMED-PABPC1 in spermatogenesis (Wang et al., 2010). Our study identified an additional cytoplasmic poly(A)-binding protein, smed-pabpc2 (referred to as pabpc2) from the Schmidtea mediterranea transcriptome (Resch et al., 2012), which is a homologue of dj-pabpc1.

pabpc2 is expressed in majority of the cell types, apart from the pharynx and terminally differentiated epidermal cells (NB.22.1e+ and laminB+). Knockdown of pabpc2 leads to drastic regeneration and homeostatic defects in Schmidtea mediterranea. As PABPC in eukaryotes is a known translation initiator, we performed polyosome profiling followed by transcriptome sequencing from control and knockdown animals to identify the targets of PABPC2. Strikingly, a specific set of transcripts that are essential for epidermal lineage...
determination, including zfp-1, were translationally repressed in knockdown animals. Extensive molecular characterization of pabpc2 knockdown phenotypes revealed defects in the epidermal turnover that led to defective organization of the epidermal tissue along with the loss of extracellular matrix (ECM) integrity. Further analysis showed that the epidermal defects in knockdown animals led to sustained injury response, which subsequently resulted in the failure of activation of the second mitotic peak that is essential for blastema formation. Taken together, our data points to a crucial role for PABPC2 in the maintenance of epidermal and ECM integrity, which in turn is essential for neoblast function and regeneration in planarians.

**RESULTS**

*pabpc2* showed enriched expression in epidermal lineage, gut and neoblasts

Smed-PABPC2 protein has well-conserved RRM domains and shows 44% identity to mammalian cytoplasmic poly (A)-binding protein 1 (Fig. S1A, B). Fluorescent in situ hybridization to study the expression pattern revealed that *pabpc2* is mostly expressed in all the cell types of planarians, except in the pharynx (Fig. 1A). Fluorescent in situ hybridization on *pabpc2* knockdown animals showed the absence of *pabpc2* staining, suggesting that the *pabpc2* probe is specific (Fig. S1C). We then investigated the expression of *pabpc2* in different cell types from the available single cell sequencing (SCS) data (https://radiant.wi.mit.edu/app/), which identified transcripts enriched in different cell types (Wurtzel et al., 2015). In general, *pabpc2* expression is observed in all the cell types, but is enriched in the epidermal lineage, gut and neoblasts (Fig. S1D). The expression of *pabpc2* in different cell lineages was also confirmed by colocalization studies using lineage and tissue-specific markers for epidermal lineage (*prog1*, *agat1*), brain (*chat*), gut (*porcupine*), muscle (*collagen*), and neoblast (*smedwi1*). Co-expression studies revealed that 88%, 86%, 80% and 99% of *pabpc2*+ cells colocalized with *prog1*, *agat1*, *smedwi1* and *porcupine*, respectively (Fig. 1B). However, 35% and 48% of the *pabpc2*+ cells colocalized with *collagen* and *chat*, respectively, confirming the single-cell sequencing data, which showed enriched expression of *pabpc2* in the epidermal lineage, gut and neoblasts. Interestingly, *pabpc2* did not colocalize with terminally differentiated epidermal cells (laminB+ and Nb.22.1e+) (Fig. S1E). Next, we investigated its functional role in planarian regeneration and homeostasis.

*pabpc2* is essential for planarian regeneration and homeostasis

Bacteria expressing *pabpc2* dsRNA were fed to the animals for knockdown of the gene. Green fluorescent protein (*gfp*) dsRNA was used as a negative control. Knockdown of *pabpc2* in uncut animals resulted in the formation of lesions within 5–6 days post-2nd feed and the animals underwent lysis by day 11 (Fig. S2A). In regenerating animals, *pabpc2* knockdown resulted in a smaller blastema that regressed by 3–4 dpa and the animals subsequently lysed by 5–7 dpa (Fig. 2A). The control animals (*gfp* dsRNA-treated animals) showed no defects even after 3 weeks post-feeding. In summary, these results clearly show that *pabpc2* is crucial for homeostasis and regeneration in planarians.

*pabpc2* regulates transcripts essential for epidermal lineage during planarian regeneration

PABPCs enhance translation initiation by interacting with the translation initiation factor eIF4G and form a ‘closed loop’ mRNA conformation that is essential for the ribosome assembly (Taran and Sachs, 1996). To identify the transcripts that were translationally repressed upon *pabpc2* knockdown during regeneration, ribosome-associated RNA (RAR) was analyzed at 24 hpa in control and *pabpc2* knockdown animals. mRNA from the ribosomal complexes (monosomes and polysomes) was purified, and deep sequencing was performed to identify the transcripts depleted from the translational pool in *pabpc2* knockdown animals. We also performed deep sequencing of cellular mRNA from the control and knockdown animals at 24 hpa to identify the transcripts that were transcribed but not translated (Fig. 2Bi).

The reads were mapped to the transcriptome database (see Materials and Methods) to identify the transcripts that were translationally repressed in *pabpc2* knockdown animals. A total of 4412 transcripts with an adjusted P-value of less than 0.05 and a minimum of 10 reads mapping to each of the transcripts after normalization were considered for further analysis (Table S1). Recent efforts based on the single-cell transcriptome analysis assigned 4787 transcripts to 13 different cell types from planaria (Wurtzel et al., 2015). From our transcriptome analysis, we identified 991 of these 4787 transcripts with coverage for each cell type varying from ~6 to 44% (Fig. S2B).

The transcripts were classified into three broad categories based on a change of at least twofold calculated between the *pabpc2* knockdown and mock-treated animals. The categories include: (1) transcripts that were translationally downregulated; (2) transcripts that were transcriptionally downregulated; and (3) transcripts that were either unaffected or upregulated both transcriptionally and translationally. We observed that 462 out of 991 (46.7%) transcripts fell into the 3rd category, representing all cell types (Fig. 2Bi). This suggests that PABPC2 is not a global regulator of translation in planaria but regulates translation of specific transcripts.

In *pabpc2* knockdown animals, category 1 transcripts (actively transcribed but not translated) were found in all the cell types to varying extents (Fig. 2Bi). The majority of these transcripts belonged to epidermal lineage (27%) followed by gut (17%). In spite of the low coverage (10%) of epidermal lineage transcripts from our transcriptome pool (Fig. S2B), the majority of these transcripts belonged to category 1, suggesting that PABPC2 could potentially be an active regulator of epidermal lineage transcripts. As further proof, we also found that among different classes of the neoblast population, the majority of transcripts in the category 1 belonged to the zeta class (essential for epidermal lineage) and gamma class (essential for gut lineage). Some of the epidermal lineage transcripts depleted from the translational pool include *gata123*, *soxP-3* and *zfp-1*, which are essential for the epidermal lineage formation (Fig. 2C) (van Wolfswinkel et al., 2014). Next, we probed the nature of the translationally repressed transcripts belonging to other cell types, such as neural, gut, muscle and proteronephridia. Interestingly, none of the well-characterized transcripts essential for neural (*chat*, *PC2*, *gugas*), gut (*nkx 2.2*), muscle (*tropomyosin, smed-mhc-1*) and proteronephridia (*pou2/3, rootletin, sisx1/2-2*) specification was affected (Fig. 2C) (Forsthoefer et al., 2012; Scimone et al., 2014; Witchley et al., 2013).

We also investigated the transcripts belonging to category 2, which were transcriptionally downregulated. Strikingly, majority of the category 2 transcripts belonged to either epidermal progenitors (49.4%) or gut cells (7.6%) (Fig. 2Bi). Some of the well-characterized epidermal progenitor markers such as *prog1*, *agat-1*, *odc* and *cyp1a1* were transcriptionally downregulated (Fig. 2C).

The RAR data suggest that in *pabpc2* knockdown animals, the *zfp-1* translation was defective but the transcript levels remain
unchanged, whereas the *prog1* and *agat1* transcript levels were significantly reduced (Fig. 2C). We confirmed these results by real-time PCR on control and knockdown animals at 24 hpa and found no change in overall *zfp-1* transcript level (Fig. S2C). However, the levels of *prog1* and *agat1* were dramatically reduced (Fig. S2D). Here, we assume that the decrease in levels of transcripts such as *zfp-1* from the translation pool subsequently leads to the failure of the formation of epidermal progenitors in the knockdown animals. Interestingly, the transcripts from other cell types that were translationally downregulated did not result in overt phenotypes.

To validate the RAR data, which showed the potential role of PABPC2 in epidermal lineage formation, we performed whole-mount *in situ* hybridization on *gfp* and *pabpc2* knockdown animals with several known progenitors for epidermis (*prog1, agat1, smedwi1, collagen, porcupine* and *chat*). In accordance with RAR and transcriptome data, we observed a
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Fig. 2. PABPC2 regulates epidermal lineage transcripts. (A) Timeline showing RNAi feed schedule. Images were taken at 3, 4 and 5 dpa after dsRNA treatment. White arrowheads show normal blastema in control animals. Red arrowheads highlight the defective blastema and the lesions in knockdown animals (100/100). Scale bars: 200 μm. (B) The method used to identify the transcripts associated with the ribosome and cellular transcripts in the gfp and pabpc2 knockdown animals. *+, no change; ↓, decrease. (Bii) Stack bar depicting number and percentage of transcripts that belong to different categories across various cell types. Fold change was calculated by taking the ratio of the normalized number of transcripts between pabpc2 and gfp knockdown animals. (C) Scatter plot of fold-change values (PABPC/GFP) showing the distribution of transcripts between the transcriptional and translational pool. Transcripts from different categories are marked as four quadrants A, B, C and D. Transcripts that belong to epidermal lineage and epidermal progenitors are highlighted in red and blue, respectively. Some well-known markers belonging to different cell types and wound-healing genes are labeled in the scatter plot. (D) Whole-mount in situ hybridization using different progenitor markers such as prog1, agat1, pou2/3, hn4 and pax6a at 2 dpa in gfp and pabpc2 knockdown animals. Epidermal progenitors (prog1 and agat1) showed a significant reduction in the expression upon pabpc2 knockdown, unlike other progenitors (hn4, pax6a and pou2/3). White arrows indicate staining in the blastema. Scale bars: 200 μm (n=10). (E) Confocal images showing BrdU and progenitor (prog1, hn4 and pax6a)-positive cells in gfp and pabpc2 knockdown animals. BrdU was injected post-2nd feed and animals were fixed 2 days post-BrdU injections. Equal numbers of BrdU cells were counted in gfp and pabpc2 knockdown animals and the numbers of colocalized cells were counted for each progenitor. The histogram depicts the fold change in colocalized cells in gfp and pabpc2 knockdown animals. Error bars were calculated from biological replicates. Yellow arrows indicate co-labeled cells. Scale bars: 5 μm. n=6. See also Fig. S2.

The dramatic decrease only in the epidermal progenitors in the knockdown animals (Fig. 2D). To further confirm the crucial role of PABPC2 in the differentiation of neoblasts into epidermal progenitors, we also performed BrdU labeling on uncut control and knockdown animals. We administered BrdU at 24 h post-2nd feeding and fixed the animals after 2 days. Around a 90% decrease in the formation of new epidermal progenitor cells was observed in pabpc2 knockdown animals compared with control. However, no defect was observed in the formation of progenitors of gut and brain (Fig. 2E). Taken together, our results demonstrate the role of PABPC2 in the generation of epidermal lineage, crucial for both epidermal regeneration and turnover.

*pabpc2* knockdown animals have disorganized epidermal tissue and extracellular matrix

Our results based on the expression pattern and molecular function of PABPC2 categorically highlight its role in epidermal maintenance and/or turnover. To further confirm this, we investigated the effect of pabpc2 knockdown on the epidermal tissue. Whole-mount *in situ* hybridization on control and pabpc2 knockdown animals at 3 dpa for the epidermal marker N.B.22.1.e, which marks marginal adhesive glands and ventral mouth opening (Reddien et al., 2007; Tazaki et al., 2002), revealed a complete absence of its expression, suggesting a drastic defect in the epidermal tissue. In contrast, other tissue-specific markers, such as cavl1, which marks the tubules of the protonephridia, and chat, which marks the bilobed structure and the ventral nerve cord of CNS (Rink et al., 2011; van Wolfswinkel et al., 2014; Wagner et al., 2011), showed normal expression patterns in both control and knockdown animals (Fig. 3A). Furthermore, we also validated the disorganization of epidermal cells in knockdown animals by immunostaining with anti-rootletin antibody, which marks the ciliated epidermal cells. In control animals, immunostaining revealed a concentric organization of rootlets in the ciliated epidermal cells. However, in the knockdown animals the organization of the rootlets was disrupted, revealing the abnormal organization of the epidermal cells (Fig. S3A).

Epidermal tissue is primarily made up of two cell types: epithelial cells and gland cells. Interspersed between the epithelial cells are the gland cells that contain rod-shaped secretory granules called rhabdites. Studies have shown that rhabdite-forming cells contribute to the successive renewal of epidermal cells (Hori, 1979; Skaer, 1965). Rhabdites are formed in the mesenchyme and migrate to the epidermal tissue through ducts of the gland cells. Once in the epidermis, they are rapidly released out through the exterior opening of the gland cells to form the mucous layer (Martin, 1978). Electron microscopy (EM) studies to investigate the organization of epidermal tissue in pabpc2 knockdown revealed disorganization of epidermal tissue as early as 12 hpa in knockdown animals (Fig. 3B). The knockdown animals lacked epithelial cells and had rhabdite-like bodies throughout the epidermis, which showed a dramatic increase by 3 dpa (Fig. 3B). Similar results were also observed in the uncut knockdown animals (Fig. S3B).

The defect in the epidermal tissue in the knockdown animals is potentially due to the failure in the generation of the epidermal progenitors. This was supported by the whole-mount *in situ* hybridization carried out in pabpc2 knockdown animals as early as 6 hpa, which showed decrease in the epidermal progenitors (Fig. S2D). We further tested the sensitivity of epidermal progenitor formation to the PABPC2 levels by feeding low doses of pabpc2 dsRNA to the animals (one dose of dsRNA feed). The animals fed with low dose of dsRNA showed significant decrease in the prog1+ and agat1+ cells (Fig. S3C), suggesting that PABPC2 is crucial for the epidermal progenitor formation. In planarians, the epidermal layer is attached to the sub-epidermal tissue via an extracellular matrix (ECM) (Hori, 1979; Hori, 1991). As pabpc2 knockdown disrupts organization of the epidermal cells, we also investigated the attachment of epidermal tissue to the ECM in the knockdown animals. Sagittal sections stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin revealed severe blistering and detachment of the epidermis from the underlying ECM in knockdown animals as early as 24 hpa, which dramatically increased by 3 dpa (Fig. 3C). We next investigated whether the epidermal detachment could be due to the disorganization of the ECM using collagen as a marker. Collagen is the major component of the ECM and is secreted by the epidermal cells in planaria (Hori, 1980). Mammalian collagen IV antibody, which crossreacts with the planarian collagen in the ECM, was used to study the ECM organization. The control animals showed an intact, closely packed collagen staining between epidermal and sub-epidermal layers. However, pabpc2 knockdown animals showed diffused staining by 24 hpa, which was spread across epidermal and sub-epidermal layer by 3 dpa (Fig. 3D). These results clearly demonstrate that PABPC2 is essential for the maintenance of epidermis and ECM integrity.

*pabpc2* knockdown animals showed sustained wound response during regeneration and homeostasis

Next, we investigated the role of PABPC2 in wound healing because of its requirement for the maintenance of the epidermal tissue. In planarians, it has been reported that within 10–15 min post-amputation (mpa) epidermal cells near the injury site close the wound as a result of muscle contraction (Chandebois, 1980). This is followed by passive stretching of the pre-existing epithelial cells near the wound region to form a thin film of cells (Chandebois, 1980; Morita et al., 1969). However, the importance of the epidermis in wound closure and its impact on the stem cell function has not been elucidated. The epidermal organization near
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the cut surface in pabpc2 knockdown animals was studied by staining the animals with the lectin concanavalin A, which marks the boundaries of the epidermal cells (Zayas et al., 2010). In the control treated animals, the epidermal cells near the wound region were elongated and subsequently lead to the closure of the wound (Fig. 3E, Fig. S3D). Interestingly in pabpc2 knockdown animals, pre-existing epithelial cells near the wound region failed to stretch and showed a rounded appearance as early as 10 min post-amputation (Fig. 3E). This defect in the knockdown animals persisted at a later time point, 24 hpa (Fig. S3D), suggesting a defect in the wound closure.

We reasoned that the knockdown animals might sense the epithelialization defect as a sustained injury leading to the deregulation of some of the early wound-response genes. Whole-mount in situ hybridization analysis of pabpc2 knockdown animals indeed showed an upregulation of early wound-healing genes such as notum, fos-1 and egr like 1 (egr1/l) near the blastema at 24 hpa in pabpc2 knockdown animals. Scale bars: 50 µm. n=10. Arrowheads show the expression of transcripts in the blastema region. (G) Quantification of level of expression of wound-healing genes by in situ hybridization analysis of pabpc2 knockdown animals. Scale bars: 20 µm. n=5. (F) Whole-mount in situ hybridization showing upregulation of early wound-healing genes such as notum, fos-1 and egr like 1 (egr1/l) near the blastema at 24 hpa in pabpc2 knockdown animals. Scale bars: 50 µm. n=5. (E) Schematic showing stretching of epithelial cells near the wound region. Confocal images of gfp and pabpc2 knockdown animals stained with concanavalin A-FITC showing the organization of dorsal epidermal tissue near the amputated region at 10 min post-amputation (mpa). The image is tilted. Scale bars: 100 µm. n=5. (D) Maximum intensity projections of z-stacks of gfp and pabpc2 knockdown sagittal sections stained with collagen IV antibody at 24 h and 3 days post amputation. Arrows showing disorganization of ECM in pabpc2 knockdown animals. Scale bars: 20 µm. n=10. (C) Histological sections showing the organization of the epidermal tissue on the non-regenerating side at 12 hpa and 3 dpa in (Wenemoser et al., 2012), showed sustained expression even at gene, the expression of which is normally seen until 1 hpa (Fig. 3E, Fig. S3D). Interestingly in pabpc2 knockdown animals at 24 hpa, the error bars are drawn from biological triplicates and indicate s.e.m. *P<0.05. See also Fig. S3.

Fig. 3. SMED-PABPC2 is essential for maintaining epidermal and ECM integrity and epithelialization. (A) Whole-mount in situ hybridization showing expression of the differentiated tissue markers chat, cavil and NB.22.1e in gfp and pabpc2 knockdown animals. Scale bars: 500 µm. White arrows indicate loss of NB.22.1e expression in pabpc2 knockdown animals. n=10. (B) EM images showing organization of the epidermal tissue on the non-regenerating side at 12 hpa and 3 dpa in gfp and pabpc2 knockdown animals. Arrows show rhodamine-like cells in knockdown animals. Ep, epidermis. n=5. (C) Histological sections showing the organization of epidermis after pabpc2 knockdown in regenerating animals. Sagittal sections made from the regenerating animals at 24 h and 3 days post-amputation were stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin. Arrows show peeling of the epidermis in pabpc2 knockdown animals in regions away from amputation. Scale bars: 20 µm. n=9. (D) Maximum intensity projections of z-stacks of gfp and pabpc2 knockdown sagittal sections stained with collagen IV antibody at 24 h and 3 days post amputation. Arrows showing disorganization of ECM in pabpc2 knockdown animals. Scale bars: 20 µm. n=10. (E) Schematic showing stretching of epithelial cells near the wound region. Scale bars: 200 µm. n=5. (F) Whole-mount in situ hybridization showing upregulation of early wound-healing genes such as notum, fos-1 and egr like 1 (egr1/l) near the blastema at 24 hpa in pabpc2 knockdown animals. Scale bars: 50 µm. n=10. Arrowheads show the expression of transcripts in the blastema region. (G) Quantification of level of expression of wound-healing genes by in situ hybridization analysis of pabpc2 knockdown animals. Scale bars: 20 µm. n=5. (E) Schematic showing stretching of epithelial cells near the wound region. Confocal images of gfp and pabpc2 knockdown animals stained with concanavalin A-FITC showing the organization of dorsal epidermal tissue near the amputated region at 10 min post-amputation (mpa). The image is tilted. Scale bars: 100 µm. n=5. (F) Whole-mount in situ hybridization showing upregulation of early wound-healing genes such as notum, fos-1 and egr like 1 (egr1/l) near the blastema at 24 hpa in pabpc2 knockdown animals. Scale bars: 50 µm. n=10. Arrowheads show the expression of transcripts in the blastema region. (G) Quantification of level of expression of wound-healing genes by qRT-PCR. Fold-change of wound-healing gene levels in pabpc2 knockdown animals at 24 hpa. The error bars are drawn from biological triplicates and indicate s.e.m. *P<0.05. See also Fig. S3.

pabpc2 knockdown leads to a defect in neoblast proliferation near the wound region
Neoblast proliferation in Schmidtea mediterranea occurs in two distinct phases during regeneration: first, body-wide proliferation at 4-12 hpa; and second, specific proliferation near the amputated region, which occurs at 2-3 dpa (Wenemoser and Reddien, 2010). The second mitotic phase is crucial for blastema formation. As pabpc2 knockdown animals showed regression of the blastema, we investigated the status of the two mitotic peaks during regeneration in the control and pabpc2 knockdown animals. The changes in the two mitotic peaks can be measured by calculating the ratio of the number of proliferating cells (H3PS10 stained) near the wound region compared with away from the wound region per unit area (P-ratio). A P-ratio close to one indicates the first mitotic peak, which is a body-wide response because of the even distribution of proliferating neoblasts across the regenerating animal. A P-ratio greater than one indicates second mitotic peak, because of the increased number of neoblasts present near the wound region (Fig. 4A). We measured the P-ratios at 12 hpa (first mitotic peak) and 3 dpa (second mitotic peak) in gfp and pabpc2 knockdown animals. The P-ratio was close to one at 12 hpa in both gfp and pabpc2 knockdown animals, suggesting normal activation of the first mitotic peak (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, the P-ratio remained close to one at 3 dpa in pabpc2 knockdown animals, whereas the P-ratio in the control animals was greater than one, indicating that PABPC2 is crucial for the second mitotic peak in the regenerating animals (Fig. 4A). However, there was no significant reduction in the overall number of the H3P+ cells, suggesting that pabpc2 knockdown has no effect on the overall proliferation of neoblasts upon amputation (Fig. 4A). We also performed whole-mount in situ hybridization with a neoblast marker, smedwi-1, at 3 dpa and found no observable changes in the expression of smedwi-1 (Fig. S4A). We predicted that the reduction in the number of H3P+ cells near the wound region would be compensated for by the increase in the number of dividing cells away from the wound region. This prediction was tested by counting the number of H3P+ cells in the region away from the wound site. We observed a 1.4-fold (P≤0.001) increase in the number of mitotic cells away from the wound region in pabpc2 knockdown animals at 3 dpa (Fig. 4A). This suggests that, although the total number of neoblasts in pabpc2 knockdown animals is similar to control animals, the defect in mitosis near the wound region is compensated for by an increase in mitosis away from the wound region in knockdown animals. Taken together, the data presented clearly demonstrate that the knockdown of pabpc2 leads to the defect in the localized proliferation of neoblasts near the wound region, resulting in the regression of the blastema.

Our previous result showed that the knockdown of pabpc2 in the uncult animals led to the expression of early wound-response genes, suggesting a sustained injury throughout the animals. The sustained early wound response has been implicated in the prolonged global proliferation in the regeneration animals (Lin and Pearson, 2017). We predicted that the rate of neoblast proliferation would increase in the uncult animals after pabpc2 knockdown due to the sustained expression of early wound-response genes. We indeed found 1.5-fold increase in the number of H3P+ cells in the knockdown animals compared with the control animals (Fig. S4B). The sustained expression of the early wound-response genes in the regenerating animals could possibly explain the prolonged global proliferation and a failure in localized proliferation.

pabpc2 knockdown animals show subsequent loss of progenitors in the blastema
In regenerating planarians, the bimodal pattern of neoblast proliferation was shown to be crucial for blastema formation (Wenemoser and Reddien, 2010). The regenerating blastema is
typically characterized by the presence of neoblast progenitors (Rink, 2013). As we observed a failure in the localized proliferation of neoblasts leading to a defective blastema formation, we investigated the presence of several known progenitors near the wound region at 3 dpa in gfp and pabpc2 knockdown animals. Whole-mount in situ hybridization for epidermal progenitors (prog1, agat1 and egr5) (Tu et al., 2015), and progenitors of other tissues, such as gut (hnf4), protonephridia (pou2/3) and brain (pax6A) (Scimone et al., 2011, 2014; Wagner et al., 2011) showed a drastic reduction in their expression at 3 dpa near the blastema (Fig. 4B). Together, these results confirm that pabpc2 knockdown animals, which showed failure in the localized proliferation, had a defective blastema.

Fig. 4. Effect of smed-pabpc2 knockdown on neoblast proliferation and blastema formation. (A) Max intensity projections of confocal images showing H3PS10\(^+\) cells in regenerating animals in gfp and pabpc2 knockdown animals. Scale bars: 100 µm. n=21. Schematic showing the procedure of calculating the P-ratio. Animals were divided in the ratio of 1:2 from the cut side and considered as regenerating side (RS) and region away from wound (RAW), respectively. Mitotic cells were calculated in both the regions and normalized to per unit animal area. P ratio=RS/RAW. (i) The P ratio at 12 hpa and 3 dpa in gfp and pabpc2 knockdown animals. pabpc2 knockdown animals showed a P ratio close to 1 even at 3 dpa, unlike control animals. The difference between the P ratios in control and knockdown animals at 3 dpa was significant (**P<0.0001). n.s., non-significant. (ii) The total number of mitotic cells in gfp and smed-pabpc2 knockdown animals at 12 hpa, 2 dpa and 3 dpa. (iii) Cell numbers in the region away from the wound at 12 hpa and 3 dpa. A significant increase was observed in cell number in RAW in pabpc2 knockdown animals at 3 dpa (*P<0.05) (n=21). (B) Whole-mount in situ hybridization showing expression of progenitor markers, prog-1, agat-1, egr-5, hnf4, pax6A and pou2/3 in pabpc2 knockdown and gfp knockdown animals at 3 dpa. White arrows indicate the staining observed in the blastema. Scale bars: 50 µm. n=10. See also Fig. S4.
pabpc2 knockdown animals showed no gross defects in sub-epidermal muscle layer but failed to express PCGs at 3 dpa

The pronounced defect in ECM and epidermis in pabpc2 knockdown animals led us to investigate the effect of pabpc2 knockdown on sub-epidermal cells. Planarian sub-epidermal layer is made up of circular, longitudinal and diagonal fibers that form the muscle net (Cebría et al., 1997). We used 6G10 antibody that marks muscle fibers to study the organization of sub-epidermal muscle cells (Ross et al., 2015). Immunostaining of the control and pabpc2 knockdown animals showed no gross defect in the muscle organization (Fig. 5A). This result was further corroborated by the EM studies, which showed the normal appearance of muscles in the sub-epidermal layers (Fig. 5A). Whole-mount in situ hybridization to study the expression pattern of collagen, which is a marker for the muscle cells, also showed no significant changes at 18 hpa and 3 dpa in control and pabpc2 knockdown animals (Fig. 5A). We also investigated the expression of collagen in uncut pabpc2 knockdown animals and found no observable change (Fig. S5A). Thus, our results suggest that the knockdown of pabpc2 did not have a profound effect on the organization of the sub-epidermal muscle layer in planaria.

In planarians, the sub-epidermal muscle layer expresses most of the PCGs that provide positional cues essential for neoblast differentiation (Witchley et al., 2013). We investigated the expression of the PCGs such as notum, sfrp and gspas, which specify anteriority, and wnt1, wnt11-1 and wnt11-2, which specify posteriority (Gurley et al., 2010; Mii and Taira, 2009; Petersen and Reddien, 2011). Whole-mount in situ hybridization on pabpc2 knockdown animals at 3 dpa showed a complete absence of PCG expression both in the anterior and posterior regenerating tissue (blastaema) (Fig. 5B). The reduction in the expression of PCGs could be either due to the disorganization of epidermal tissue or to their translational downregulation resulting from pabpc2 knockdown. We tested both these possibilities by knockdown of zfp-1 and by transcriptome sequencing of RAR. The zfp-1 knockdown animals, which have perturbed epidermal organization, did not show any loss of PCG expression (wnt11-1 and notum) at 3 dpa (Fig. 5C), suggesting that the disruption of epidermal organization per se does not affect PCG expression. We also investigated the translational status of the PCGs in pabpc2 knockdown animals. The transcriptome sequencing of RAR revealed more than twofold upregulation in the association of the PCG transcripts (wnt11-5, sfrp3, evi/WIs and slit1) with the translational pool in the knockdown animals at 24 hpa. This suggests that the PABPC2 is not required for the translation of PCG transcripts (Fig. S5B).

Although we observed upregulation of notum at 24 hpa in the knockdown animals, its expression was subsequently downregulated by 3 dpa (Fig. 3F). It is very well known that some of the PCGs encoding members of Wnt and TGFβ signaling pathways are also wound-response genes that are expressed after 6 hpa (Wenemoser et al., 2012). As pabpc2 knockdown animals have sustained expression of early wound-response genes, the absence of PCG expression seen at 3 dpa could be an effect of deregulated wound response.

In pabpc2 knockdown animals, we also observed disorganization of the ECM, which was not observed in the zfp-1 knockdown animals (Fig. S5C). Here, we speculate that the loss of PCGs expression in the sub-epidermal tissue could be due to the disorganization of the ECM. This was supported by the studies in vertebrate models, which showed that the ECM interaction with the tissue types was crucial for the regulation of gene expression (Boudreau et al., 1995; Spencer et al., 2007). However the crosstalk between ECM and sub-epidermis that is crucial for the regulation of PCG expression in planaria needs to be further investigated.

**DISCUSSION**

Regeneration is a complex process mediated by stem cell function and tissue organization. Studies from hydra, amphibian and planaria have shown that non-stem cells express various tissue patterning genes essential for regeneration (Lengfeld et al., 2009; McCusker and Gardiner, 2014; Takahashi and Fujisawa, 2009; Witchley et al., 2013). However, the potential role of non-stem cells during regeneration remains largely unexplored. In this study, we show the pivotal role of epidermal integrity and the crucial role played by the RNA-binding protein PABPC2 in epithelialization and epidermal turnover during planarian regeneration and homeostasis. *smed-pabpc2* is expressed in multiple cell types, except pharynx and terminally differentiated epidermal cells (NB.22.1e+ and laminB+). Strikingly, pabpc2 knockdown animals showed perturbation mainly in epidermal tissue despite its absence in the epidermal cells. This defect in the epidermal organization could be either due to the defect in the formation of the epidermal progenitors and/or maintenance of the epidermal integrity. Whole-mount in situ hybridization for epidermal progenitors on animals fed with a low dose of pabpc2 dsRNA (1st feed) showed substantial reduction in the progenitor population. However, the other progenitors remained seemingly unaffected, even after 48 h post-amputation, suggesting that epidermal progenitors are exquisitely sensitive to pabpc2 knockdown compared with the other progenitors. This result was also supported by the BrdU-labeling experiments, which showed failure in differentiation of neoblasts to epidermal progenitors. Furthermore, we also observed no detectable expression of pabpc2 in epidermal cells, which suggests that PABPC2 might not be directly involved in the maintenance of epidermal tissue. Taken together, our results indicate that the defects observed in the epidermal tissue are most likely due to the defect in the epithelial lineage specification. However, it is difficult to rule out the direct role of PABPC2 in epidermal organization exclusively based on the lack of expression of pabpc2 in NB.22.1e+ and laminB+ cells. Currently, the lack of markers to study various epidermal cell types limits our understanding regarding the expression of pabpc2 in those cell types.

Epidermis is well characterized for its protective function. Upon amputation/injury, epidermal cells provide the first response by covering the wound within a few hours (Chandebois, 1980; Morita and Best, 1974). It has been shown that the epithelial cells near the site of injury come into direct contact to the underlying sub-epidermal muscle (Schurmann and Peter, 1998). In pabpc2 knockdown animals, we observed the rounded appearance of the epithelial cells near the wound region, suggesting a defect in the attachment of the epithelial cells to the underlying sub-epidermal muscle. In addition, we also observed blistering of the epidermal tissue and the disorganization of the ECM in the regions away from the wound in the pabpc2 knockdown animals. RNA-binding proteins such as PABPs have been implicated in formation of integrin-rich focal adhesion complexes that are essential for epidermis-ECM interactions (Babic et al., 2009; de Hoog et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2009). Depletion of these RNA-binding proteins alters cell morphology and the ability of the cell to spread post-adhesion (Chicurel et al., 1998; de Hoog et al., 2004; Katz et al., 2012). Thus, we speculate that the knockdown of pabpc2 may result in the disruption of cell matrix adhesion, resulting in a defect in epithelialization. However, it is not clear whether the blistering defects observed are either a consequence of a defect in the
epidermal turnover or a direct effect of pabpc2 knockdown on the epidermal organization.

Furthermore, in pabpc2 knockdown animals, we also observed prolonged expression of the early wound-response genes (jun-1, fos-1, egr11 and notum). In the regenerating animals, these genes are upregulated within 30 mpa to 3 hpa, and their expression is subsequently downregulated by 12 hpa (Wenemoser et al., 2012; Wurtzel et al., 2015). We believe the epidermal defect in the knockdown animals was perceived as a sustained injury leading to the upregulation and prolonged expression of the early wound-response genes. This was also supported by the enriched expression of wound-response genes jun-1 and fos-1 in pabpc2 knockdown uncut animals. However, it is also possible that PABPC2 directly regulates expression of these wound-healing genes.

Fig. 5. smed-pabpc2 does not affect sub-epidermal muscle cells but affects PCGs expression. (A) Confocal images showing the organization of muscle cells stained with anti-6G10 antibody near the blastema after 18 h and 3 dpa in gfp and pabpc2 knockdown animals. Images were taken using LSM700 confocal microscope. Scale bars: 100 µm. n=6. EM images and whole-mount in situ hybridization with collagen showing muscle organization in gfp and pabpc2 knockdown animals at 18 hpa and 3 dpa. Arrows indicate sub-epidermal muscle cells. Scale bars: 200 µm. n=5. (B) Whole-mount in situ hybridization showing expression of anterior (sfrp-1, notum and gpas) and posterior (wnt-1, wntf1-1 and wntf1-2) PCGs in control and pabpc2 knockdown animals in the blastema at 3 dpa. Arrows mark the expression of PCGs in the blastema. Scale bars: 50 µm. (C) Whole-mount in situ hybridization showing expression of notum and wntf1-2 in zfp-1 knockdown animals at 3 dpa in the blastema region. Unlike pabpc2 knockdown animals, zfp-1 knockdown animals expressed PCGs in the blastema. Scale bars: 50 µm. n=8. Arrows indicate PCG expression in the blastema.
genes, which is currently difficult to address due to the lack of antibody.

Localized proliferation of neoblasts occurs only in the amputated animals near the site of injury (Wenemoser and Reddien, 2010). However, the factors essential for the switch from global to localized proliferation remains unknown. Lin and Pearson (2017) have shown that the prolonged expression of the early wound-response genes in *yorkie* knockdown animals affected the bimodal proliferation of neoblast. *Yorkie* is a transcriptional co-activator in the Hippo kinase cascade, the knockdown of which led to prolonged global proliferation of neoblasts and delayed second phase of proliferation, resulting in the defective blastema formation (Lin and Pearson, 2017). Similarly, knockdown of *follistatin*, an early wound-response gene, showed failure in second phase of neoblast proliferation and blastema formation (Gaviño et al., 2013; Roberts-Galbraith and Newmark, 2015). Together, these studies suggest a strong correlation between the regulation of wound response and proliferation of neoblasts crucial for blastema formation. In *pabpc2* knockdown animals, the sustained global neoblast proliferation and failure in localized proliferation could be an outcome of prolonged early wound response. The other possibility could be the downregulation of the intrinsic factors in the neoblasts upon *pabpc2* knockdown, resulting in the failure of localized proliferation.

Studies have shown that the localized proliferation is essential for blastema formation (Gaviño et al., 2013; Lin and Pearson, 2017; Roberts-Galbraith and Newmark, 2015). In *pabpc2* knockdown animals, failure in the neoblast proliferation near the wound region explains the defect in blastema formation, which was verified by the absence of progenitors in the blastema at 3 dpa. Our result suggests that the defect in the blastema could be a consequence of defect in epithelial-basement membrane attachments, which have been suggested to have an instructive role in planarian blastema formation (Reddien and Sanchez Alvarado, 2004).

The best characterized function of poly (A)-tail-bound PABPC is enhancing translation initiation by interacting with translation initiation factors bound at the 5′-end of the mRNA. Although considered as a ‘global’ effector of translation, the individual mRNAs that are translationally regulated vary among different PABPCs. For example, in *Xenopus*, the knockdown of the three different PABPCs showed distinct cellular and developmental phenotypes (Smith et al., 2014). Studies in *C. elegans* have also shown that of the two PABP isoforms, PAB-1, but not PAB-2, is essential for fertility (Smith et al., 2014). This suggests that each PABPC has a specific set of targets that it regulates, although the factors that impart specificity are not well understood.

RAR analysis in control and *pabpc2* knockdown animals revealed that PABPC2 regulates the translation of specific set of targets, such as *zfp-1*, *gata123* and *odc-1*, that are essential for epidermal lineage formation. Thus, these results highlight the pivotal role of PABPC2 in regulating the translation of the transcripts that are essential for the formation of epidermal progenitors in planarians, and this is crucial for the maintenance of epidermal organization.

The fact that the animals lyse within one week post-amputation and that PABPC is a multi-functional protein make it difficult to delineate the primary and secondary consequences. Given that epidermal defects manifest themselves much earlier than other tissues, it is most likely the primary consequence of *pabpc2* knockdown. Thus, we speculate that the epiderelial phenotype might be the cause of all other defects, including the defect in localized proliferation of the neoblast (Fig. 6).

The cellular events that facilitate regeneration in planaria are comparable with the events crucial to tissue regeneration in higher metazoans. For example, the events essential for wound healing in planaria, such as epithelialization of the wound surface, are also observed during the cutaneous wound healing in vertebrates (Arwert et al., 2012; Jamora, 2014). Similarly, mobilization of the neoblast stem cells to the site of injury, which is crucial for planarian regeneration, has also been shown to be essential in metazoans (Arwert et al., 2012; Jamora, 2014). However, the possible influence of epidermal and ECM organization during regeneration has not been comprehensively investigated in higher metazoans. In the current study, we uncover a novel role for PABPC2 in epidermal turnover and organization, which is essential for planarian regeneration.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Planarian culture**

Animals used in this study belonged to the sexual strain of the species *Schmidtea mediterranea*. They were maintained at 20°C in planarian media (2 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM KCl, 0.1 mM MgSO4, 0.12 mM NaHCO3 in distilled water), and fed beef liver paste twice a week. Animals were starved one week prior to any experiments.

**RNAi experiments**

RNAi was carried out using the feeding protocol described previously (Reddien et al., 2005). Post-feeding, animals were cut into three fragments: head, trunk and tail.

**Whole-mount in situ hybridization and double fluorescence in situ hybridization**

Digoxigenin- or fluorescein-labeled RNA probes were synthesized using an *in vitro* transcription kit (Roche). Whole-mount in situ hybridization and double fluorescence in situ hybridization were carried out as described previously (Pearson et al., 2009; King and Newmark, 2013).

**Whole-mount immunostaining**

Animals were treated in 2% HCl for 5 min on ice. They were then incubated in Carnoy’s fixative for 2.5 to 3 h. One rinse in absolute methanol and overnight bleaching in 6% hydrogen peroxide followed fixation. The animals were then rehydrated in graded methanol PBS washes, and incubated in blocking solution (10% horse serum in PBSTx) for 6 h. Primary antibody (anti phospho-histone H3 ser10, Abcam 06-570; 6G10, DSBB; and rottelin antibody, a kind gift from Dr Jochen Rink, Max Planck Institute of Molecular Cell Biology and Genetics, Dresden, Germany) incubation was carried out overnight at room temperature at dilutions of 1:250 (rottelin antibody) or 1:100 (H3PS10 antibody). After four washes (2 h each) at room temperature with blocking solution, animals were incubated in secondary antibody solution (Alexa Fluor donkey anti mouse-488 and Alexa Fluor donkey anti rabbit-488, Molecular Probes) overnight at room temperature. For concavalin A-FITC staining, animals were incubated for 4 h at room temperature after blocking in Carbo-free blocking solution (Vector Labs) at a dilution of 1:2500. For 6G10 immunostaining, bleached animals were blocked in 10% horse serum in PBSTx blocking solution and were incubated with anti 6G10 antibody (diluted 1:5) overnight at 4°C.

**Image acquisition and quantitation**

Images were acquired using the LSM 780 laser scanning confocal microscope. Quantitation of H3P-positive cells was carried out using the multiple pointer tool on ImageJ. RS/RAW quantitation was carried out by counting H3P-positive cells on both the regenerating as well as non-regenerating ends of the animal. The animals were divided in the ratio of 1:2 for RS and RAW, respectively, and the number of cells was counted in respective regions and was normalized to the body area. Colocalization of the different progenitor and tissue population agat1, prog1, collagen, smedwi1, chat and porcupine with *pabpc2* was quantified using MATLAB (Mathworks). (See supplementary Materials and Methods for details.)
Embedding and cryosectioning
Regenerating fragments were treated with 2% HCl and fixed in Carnoy’s fixative. The dehydrated animals were given two washes for 30 min in 100% xylene. Xylene was replaced by melted paraffin wax for 1 h at 70°C and the animals were embedded in paraffin wax in commercially available molds (Tissue-Tek, 4566). Animals were subsequently sectioned sagittally at 16 µm using a Cryostat Leica 1850.

Immunostaining on sections
Slides containing planarian sections were fixed with 4% formaldehyde for 20 min. They were washed thrice in PBS and subsequently blocked for 1 h in 10% horse serum in PBSTx (PBS+0.3% Triton-X). Overnight incubation with primary antibody was performed at 4°C (rabbit anti-mouse collagen IV, Abcam, ab-756p; 1:200). Secondary antibody was incubated for 45 min (Alexa-Fluor anti-rabbit 488, Molecular Probes). Sections were then washed and stained with DAPI.

Transmission electron microscopy
Samples were prepared as described previously (Brubacher et al., 2014). N-block staining using 2% uranyl acetate was carried out for 1 h prior to dehydration with graded ethanol series. Ultrathin sections of 60 nm were cut using an RMC cryo ultra-microtome equipped with a diamond knife; sections were then placed on 200 mesh copper grids. Stained sections were observed with a TEM (Tecnai G2 Spirit Bio-TWIN) operating at 100 kV. The electron micrographs were digitized using Adobe Photoshop by adjusting the contrast and the brightness balance.

Polysome profiling
Animals were soaked in cycloheximide (CHX) for 2 h at 10 µg/ml concentration in planaria media. They were than macerated in cold CMF (Ca²⁺/Mg²⁺-free media) with CHX followed by collagenase treatment for 30 min to dissociate them into cells. The cells were than pelleted and washed three times with CMF+CHX and resuspended in 500 µl of lysis buffer (6 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 50 mM DTT, 5% glycerol, 1× PIC, RNAse inhibitor and CHX). Cells were incubated in lysis buffer for 30 min at 4°C and then vigorously pipetted up and down for complete lysis of cells. Planarian lysate was loaded onto a sucrose gradient (15%-45%) and centrifuged at 276,960 g for 2 h. The sucrose gradient was then loaded on to an ISCO Teledyne Gradient fractionator. Sixty percent sucrose was pumped from below to push the sucrose gradient to the UV chamber. Constant absorbance was measured and the fractions were collected.

qRT-PCR analysis
Total RNA from samples of five or six animals was extracted with Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) and cDNAs were synthesized with SuperScriptII Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen). qRT-PCR experiments were then performed using the Absolute qRT-PCR SYBR Green Master Mix (Thermo Scientific). Experiments were performed on three biological replicates per time point. Each biological replicate was technically replicated three times in each reaction. smed-actin was used for normalization. Students t-test was used to test for statistical significance.

Following primers were used for qRT-PCR: smed-jun1, 5′-TCCAGTACCAACT-3′ and 5′-AAAGCGCGTTTITCTTTTGT-3′; smed-fos1, 5′-GGGGTAACGGTACTAAGCT-3′ and 5′-GGCTGATCTTGCAT-3′; smed-traf1, 5′-AACGCAAGATTGAAAGTA-3′ and 5′-GGGAAAACCTTGCATT-3′; smed-zfp-1, 5′-AGCCAAAATAGTCCAGTCAC-3′ and 5′-GCCTGCTCGTGT-3′.

mRNA purification and transcriptome sequencing
Total RNA was purified using TRZol reagent from the desired polysome fraction and also from the whole animal, and sequenced using Illumina
TrueSeq RNA Sample preparation kit v2 on HiSeq. Details of RNA-seq analysis can be found in the supplementary Materials and Methods.

**BrdU labeling and immunofluorescence**
10 mg/ml BrdU solution was prepared in 1× planaria media containing 10% DMSO, which was injected in planarians. BrdU staining was carried out as described previously (Vasquez-Doorman and Petersen, 2014) with few modifications. After fluorescence in situ hybridization development as described previously (King and Newmark, 2013), POD was inactivated with 2N HCl for 45 min, followed by antibody labeling of BrdU. Rat anti-BrdU (Abcam) was used at 1:1000 dilution.
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Supplementary figures and methods

Supplementary methods:

Image Quantitation

We used confocal image stacks with three channels (nucleus marked with Hoechst 25 (Ch1), tissue markers and pabpc2 marked with different fluorophores, Ch2 and Ch3 respectively. (Ch3). The three different channels are read as three separate arrays for independent analysis along 3D. To cover the complete brightness range of the acquired confocal images, the co-localization algorithm first enhances the contrast of the images. The next step is to convert all the images to their binary counterparts. For this, we employ Matlab’s inbuilt thresholding technique using Otsu’s method to choose the optimum threshold. Otsu’s thresholding method chooses an optimal value of the threshold by minimizing the interclass variance of black and white pixels. The holes in the image are filled to provide continuity. The binary images are then filtered with an average filter to remove the noisy pixels. The next step is to filter the binary images of the tissue marker channel with an average filter and then to find the regions that have more than 200 bright connected pixels across 3D of the stack. This ensures elimination of noisy pixels and non-specific binding sites of the fluorophore. This is the mask for the tissue marker population. We next count the number of pabpc2 positive cells in the 3D stack. The binary images of the pabpc2 positive cells are processed in a manner similar to that of marker positive cells to obtain the mask for pabpc2 positive cells. Co-localization of the tissue marker with pabpc2 is determined by simply multiplying the masks of the tissue marker and pabpc2 cells. The resultant stack is finally scanned to count the co-localization in the cells. Also, once the cells with tissue markers are located, an edge detection algorithm using Canny’s edge detector traces the boundary of the stained region. The edges are then overlaid on the pabpc2 positive image. This provides an estimate of the co-localization of pabpc2 with different tissue markers.
Transcriptome analysis

We obtained ~50 to 80 million reads from sequencing. These reads were adapter trimmed using Trimmomatic (Bolger et al., 2014). Reads that mapped to *Schmidtea mediterranea* mitochondrial genome, rRNA and other contamination databases were removed and remaining reads were taken for analysis. We used Tophat v2.0.9 (Trapnell et al., 2009) to align the reads back to Smed SxlV4 genome. We collated Smed Unigenes (Robb et al., 2015), Dresden v6 (Brandl et al., 2016) and Oxford (Blythe et al., 2010) transcriptome using CD-HIT (Li and Godzik, 2006) (with 90% protein sequence identity cutoff) and obtained ~47,000 unique clusters. We derived gene co-ordinates by mapping to SmedSxlV4 genome using Blat (Kent, 2002). For this collated gene model, we derived raw read count using HTSeq (Anders et al., 2015). The data was normalized using DESeq (Anders and Huber, 2010). To remove noise in the data, we used minimum cut-off of at least 10 normalized reads in all the four samples. We performed two-tailed Fisher exact test to derive statistical significance using R. Adjusted P values are obtained by correcting P values from Fisher exact using bonferroni method.

From previous literatures we mined all known and reported progenitors in planaria. We also mined data from (Wurtzel et al., 2015) and (van Wolfswinkel et al., 2014). These datasets were blasted with the collated transcript model. Transcripts that showed at least 90% sequence identity & coverage were considered as best hit and further used for analysis.
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Figure S1. Related to Figure 1: A) PABPC2 protein with domain organization. The domain organization of PABPC2 predicted using Conserved Domain Database (CDD). The protein has four RNA Recognition Motif (RRM) domains and a poly (A) binding protein (PABP) domain. B) Phylogenetic tree depicting the relationship of PABPC2 with other PABPC across different species among metazoans. C) FISH showing lack of pabpc2 staining in pabpc2 KD animals depicting specificity of pabpc2 probe used. Animals were fixed 2 days post 2nd feed. Bars, 500µm (n=5). D) Seurat plot showing expression of Smed-pabpc2 across different cell types obtained from single cell transcriptome (Wurtzel et al., 2015) (Source: https://radiant.wi.mit.edu/app/). E) Double fluorescent in situ hybridization (dFISH) showing co-expression of pabpc2 with laminB and Nb.22.1e. The first panel images were taken at 20X. Bars, 50µm. White box represents the zoomed in area. Probes are indicated; (Green arrows) epidermal cells; Bars, 5µm; n=6.
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**Figure S2. Related to Figure 2:** A) Time line showing RNAi feed schedule. Images showing homeostatic defects in animals after *pabpc2* knockdown. The uncut animals treated with *pabpc2* dsRNA showed lesions on the body and subsequently lysed (100/100). *Gfp* dsRNA treated animals were used as negative control. All images were taken using Olympus BX53 wide-field microscope. Bars, 100µm. Graph showing the survival of uncut *pabpc2* KD animals. *Pabpc2* KD animals died 11 days post dsRNA treatment. *Gfp* dsRNA treated animals survived even after 21 days post treatment (not depicted in the graph). Error bars are drawn from three biological replicates. B) Stack bar showing percentage of cell type specific transcripts coverage in our transcriptome compared to the available single cell transcriptome data. C) qPCR analysis was done to check *zfp-1* transcript level in *gfp* and *pabpc2* KD animals 24 hpa. Histogram depicting no significant change in *zfp-1* transcript level. Error bars are drawn from three biological replicates. D) WISH showing decrease in expression of epidermal progenitors *prog1* and *agat1* as early as 6hpa. Bars, 200 µm; n=8/10.
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A. gfp KD  
  3d  
  pabpc2 KD  
  3d

B. gfp KD  
  pabpc2 KD

C. prog-1  
  24h  
  agat-1  
  24h

D. agat-1  
  24h

E. jun-1  
  24h  
  fos-1  
  24h

F. TRAF1 notum egr3 egr_like_1  
  Fold change (gfp KD/pabpc2 KD)

G. TRAF1 notum egr3 egr_like_1  
  Fold change (pabpc2 KD/gfp KD)
Figure S3. Related to Figure 3. A) Confocal images of gfp and pabpc2 KD animals 3d post amputation stained with anti-rootletin antibody to visualize ciliated epidermal cells. Images were taken on ventral side below the eye region at 40X at 1.1 and 2.3 magnifications respectively. Pabpc2 KD animals show disorganized pattern of ciliated cells. Gfp KD was used as a control. Bars, 20µm and 10µm for 1.1 and 2.3 magnification respectively; n=10. B) EM studies showing epidermal tissue organization in homeostasis worms 10 days post RNAi induction in gfp and pabpc2 KD animals. Yellow arrows indicate disorganized gland cells and reduced basal lamina in pabpc2 KD animals as compared to the control animals. GC- gland cells, MI- Microvilli, ECM- Extracellular matrix, Ep- Epidermis. Bars, 2µm; n=5. C) WISH indicating loss of expression of epidermal progenitors prog1 and agat1 in pabpc2 KD animals 2 days post 1st feed (1f2d). Bars, 200µm; n=5. D) Confocal images of gfp and pabpc2 KD animals stained with concavalinA-FITC showing the organization of epidermal tissue near the amputated region at 24hpa. Bl- Blastema. Bars, 50µm; n=12. E) WISH showing up regulation of wound healing genes like jun-1 and fos-1 in pabpc2 KD animals 2f1d post induction of RNAi compared to the control animals. Bars, 100µm; n=5. Arrows indicate expression of genes in KD animals. F) Bar graph showing fold change in transcriptional pool of wound healing genes in pabpc2 KD animals 24hpa. n.s.- non-significant. G) Quantification of level of expression of wound healing genes by qRT-PCR. Histogram showing fold change of wound healing genes in pabpc2 KD animals 2f1d post induction of RNAi compared to the control animals. The error bars are drawn from biological triplicates and asterisk represent statistical significance. Error bars represent standard error of mean (SEM).
Figure S4

A. WISH using neoblast marker, smedwi1 showed no observable change in the expression at 3dpa in gfp and pabpc2 KD animals. Bars, 200µm; n=10.

B. Max intensity projections of confocal images showing H3PS10+ cells in homeostasis animals in gfp and pabpc2 KD animals 2 days post 2nd feed (2f2d). KD animals show 1.5-fold increase (p<0.01) in neoblast proliferation. Bars, 200µm; n=8.

Figure S4. Related to Figure 4. A) WISH using neoblast marker, smedwi1 showed no observable change in the expression at 3dpa in gfp and pabpc2 KD animals. Bars, 200µm; n=10. C) Max intensity projections of confocal images showing H3PS10+ cells in homeostasis animals in gfp and pabpc2 KD animals 2 days post 2nd feed (2f2d). KD animals show 1.5-fold increase (p<0.01) in neoblast proliferation. Bars, 200µm; n=8.
Figure S5

A. WISH with collagen probe in gfp and pabpc2 KD uncut animals 4 days post 2nd feed (2f4d). Bars, 100µm; n=6. B) Bar graph showing fold change in transcriptional and translational pool of some of the position control genes (PCGs) in pabpc2 KD animals 24hpa. C) Maximum intensity projections of Z-Stacks of gfp and zfp-1 KD animals sagittal sections stained with Collagen IV antibody at 4d post amputation. Bars, 20µm; n=6.