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Abstract
The prospect of improving or maintaining cognitive functioning has provoked a steadily increasing number of cognitive training interventions over the last years, especially for clinical and elderly populations. However, there are discrepancies between the findings of the studies. One of the reasons behind these heterogeneous findings is that there are vast inter-individual differences in how people benefit from the training and in the extent that training-related gains are transferred to other untrained tasks and domains. In this paper, we address the value of incorporating neural measures to cognitive training studies in order to fully understand the mechanisms leading to inter-individual differences in training gains and their generalizability to other tasks. Our perspective is that it is necessary to collect multimodal neural measures in the pre- and post-training phase, which can enable us to understand the factors contributing to successful training outcomes. More importantly, this understanding can enable us to predict who will benefit from different types of interventions, thereby allowing the development of individually tailored intervention programs.
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Introduction
Cognitive training has become increasingly popular (see Strobach and Karbach 2020, for a review) as the elderly population has rising life expectancy and therefore growing risk of cognitive and functional decline. Moreover, the cognitive demands for academic and occupational success are increasing with each generation. The main promise of cognitive training interventions is to induce lasting performance gains in cognitive domains that go beyond the practiced task and are relevant for daily functioning. Training-induced changes are thought to be triggered by a prolonged mismatch between situational demands and range of functions and performance an individual’s cognitive system is able to support (Lövdén et al. 2010). This mismatch fosters adaptive structural brain changes (e.g., neurogenesis, synaptogenesis, long-term potentiation) that effectively increase the possible range of cognitive performance to meet the altered environmental demands. Although the results of many training studies are promising, there is high variability across studies and individuals in such training-induced plastic changes (e.g., Katz et al. 2016, for a review), and even meta-analyses on the topic reveal conflicting conclusions (e.g., Kassai et al. 2019; Melby-Lervåg and Hulme 2016 vs. Au et al. 2016; Karbach and Verhaeghen 2014; Nguyen et al. 2019). On the one hand, this reflects large differences between studies in terms of training type, training features, and target population (see Fig. 1, panel 3), but it also highlights large inter-individual differences in performance gains.

In recent years, these individual differences in training-induced cognitive performance gains have attracted considerable scientific interest (e.g., Bürki et al. 2014; Karbach et al. 2017; Lövdén et al. 2012). According to the supply-demand mismatch model (Lövdén et al. 2010), the extent to which mismatch drives plastic changes depends on the current state of flexibility of the cognitive system. For instance, if environmental demands greatly exceed the existing functional capacity—as would be the case when asking a 4-year-old to maintain 7 digits in working memory—the impetus for change will be reduced. When the inter-individual variation is high, averaging across participants can be misleading (e.g., Moreau and Corballis 2018). It is now clear that the “one-fits-all” solutions are not working for cognitive training, and it is...
time to move towards individualized training programs (Colzato and Hommel 2016; Karbach and Unger 2014; Kliegel and Bürki 2012). In order to do that, we need to (1) determine which inter-individual differences lead to the variation in training-related outcomes and (2) understand the mechanisms leading to training gain and transfer.

In an attempt to identify individual characteristics that might influence the success of a training regimen, previous studies focused on age, sex, education, baseline cognitive performance, intelligence, personality, and motivation (e.g., Katz et al. 2016, for a review; see Fig. 1, panel 2). The results of these studies remain inconclusive. For instance, most studies agree that baseline cognitive performance is associated with training-related changes, but there is no agreement on the direction of this relation. Some reports found greater training-induced gains in individuals with higher baseline performance (Foster et al. 2017; Wiemers et al. 2019), whereas others concluded that individuals with low baseline performance benefit more because they have more room to improve (Jaeggi et al. 2011; Zinke et al. 2014). There is an increasing trend to combine basic demographic, psychometric, and behavioral measures with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-based measures of brain morphological and functional characteristics to resolve these inconsistencies. The rationale is that brain markers are reliable indicators of the current functional organismic capacity, i.e., the possible range of cognitive performance. Neural predictors can be rather specific (e.g., hippocampal subfield volume) or more general (e.g., whole-brain functional connectivity patterns), depending on the complexity of the cognitive functions they are believed to support.

Moreover, direct assessment of training-induced change in brain structure and function has advanced the understanding of the mechanisms underlying cognitive performance increments. In this article, we give an overview of findings on brain structural and functional predictors of cognitive improvement as well as training-related brain changes. We discuss implications for future training research and address existing practical challenges.

### Brain Structural Factors Predicting Training-Induced Gain

#### Regional Volumes

There are several studies showing that the volume of certain brain regions is associated with learning complex skills. The study of Erickson et al. (2010) with young adults revealed that the volume of striatum, but not hippocampus, predicted successful learning of a complex video game, which required coordination of various cognitive, motor, and perceptual systems. Similarly, another study (Basak et al. 2011) demonstrated in older adults that larger regional gray matter volumes (of the cerebellum, the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, and the anterior cingulate cortex) predicted better learning rates in a real-time strategy video game. Using a standard dual-task paradigm, Verghese et al. (2016) found a correlation between the volume of the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) and multitasking training outcomes; however, the reported relation was negative, i.e. smaller volumes were associated with
higher gains. Due to the diversity of methods used in these studies, a direct comparison is not possible and independent replications of the nature and direction of effects are needed.

According to the findings of Engvig et al. (2012b), pretraining hippocampal volumes were positively associated with memory improvements after a strategy-based cognitive training program in adults with memory complaints. Baseline hippocampal volumes were also found to predict improvements in mathematical skills after tutoring in primary-grade school children (Supekar et al. 2013). The researchers also investigated the effects of individual differences in behavioral measures on skill acquisition, and interestingly, they showed that neither pre-training IQ, working-memory performance, nor mathematical abilities predicted the performance gains.

Findings of another study (Strangman et al. 2010) using memory strategy training and fully automated morphometric brain parcellation technique with traumatic brain injury patients revealed that the volumes of the hippocampus, the DLPFC, and the posterior parietal cortex were positively correlated with training-related memory improvement. However, another study (Peter et al. 2018) using memory strategy training found that the volume of entorhinal cortex rather than the hippocampus was predictive of episodic memory improvement in patients with mild cognitive improvement. They also showed that baseline cognitive performance was not associated with memory improvement. Different segmentation and parcellation algorithms, different regions of interests, and different target populations may have contributed to these disparate findings.

Notably, not only regional volumes but also individual differences in cortical thickness can predict cognitive gains in older adults following a metamemory training program (Park et al. 2018). The authors investigated which brain characteristics can predict post-training cognitive improvement and showed the lower cortical thickness in parietal and occipital regions (cuneus, precuneus, posterior cingulate) was correlated with higher cognitive gains. Importantly, training-related cognitive performance improvements in this study were measured using a battery of tests assessing verbal and non-verbal memory, language skills, short-term memory, and verbal fluency. Unfortunately, the use of a composite score did not allow conclusions as to whether the training improved all or only a subset of the above skills.

Taken together, the results demonstrate that brain morphology before cognitive training can predict how the trainees benefit from the training program (see Fig. 1, panel 1). Unfortunately, due to vast differences between the study protocols, analysis methods, and study populations, the studies do not tell a completely coherent story yet. Currently, most findings should be interpreted in the context of the given study and sample characteristics to avoid over-generalization. We can summarize that hippocampal volume has been associated with learning and memory improvement, and striatal volume was found to play a role when acquiring complex new skills such as the skills needed in video game playing (e.g., cognitive flexibility, integration and coordination of motor and perceptual information).

**Structural Connectivity**

Studies show that structural connectivity of the brain is related to cognitive function in healthy (Deary et al. 2006) and clinical populations (e.g., Baykara et al. 2016; Damoiseaux et al. 2009). Based on the following studies, it can be argued that the integrity of white matter tracts can be used to predict cognitive training outcomes.

Ray et al. (2017) investigated the relevance of microstructural integrity of several white matter regions for video game learning in younger and older adults. They found that white matter integrity in the fornix/stria terminalis was related to action game learning while white matter integrity in the cingulum/hippocampus was related to strategy game learning. Furthermore, they showed that although the participants’ baseline cognitive abilities predicted general learning ability, they did not differentiate between different types of learning gains, whereas white matter integrity was sensitive to these differences. This demonstrates a differential predictive validity of brain measures vs. behavioral measures.

In their study, de Lange et al. (2016) demonstrated that in older participants as compared with younger participants, white matter structural integrity declined, especially in the anterior brain regions. More importantly, they showed that the baseline white matter integrity of the brain regions affected by aging, including the anterior corpus callosum, the left anterior thalamic radiation, and the right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, predicted the effects of memory training on memory performance in older adults.

In the above-mentioned multi-strategic metamemory training study, Park et al. (2018) investigated the structural connectivity in older adults and its association with cognitive improvements following training. They showed that white matter integrity in the right splenium of the corpus callosum predicted changes in a composite cognitive test score in the training group. Moreover, white matter anisotropy of right and left crura of fornix predicted memory enhancement specifically. Importantly, none of the baseline cognitive abilities predicted cognitive improvement, which shows the value of incorporating brain measures into cognitive training research. Similarly, Wolf et al. (2014) studied the association between structural integrity and transfer of training gains. They trained participants in logical reasoning and tested short-term and long-term transfer to fluid intelligence scores. The results of this study revealed that maintenance of transfer effects was associated with the integrity of the corpus callosum, and not with age or general intelligence. To be able to predict who will maintain training and transfer effects gives
us the opportunity to properly select the target population and, for example, to adapt the intensity or duration of the training for those who have declined structural integrity.

The aforementioned studies show that structural integrity of the brain can provide valuable information on who can have a faster learning rate, who can benefit from different types of learning tasks, and who can show higher cognitive gains and transfer effects (see Fig. 1, panel 1). A higher integrity of white matter means more efficient neuronal conduction in the brain, which allows for effective information transfer (Kanai and Rees 2011). As several studies already showed, higher structural integrity also translates to better learning and better training outcomes.

**Brain Functional Factors Predicting Cognitive Gain**

Not only the structure but also the functional organization and connectivity can inform us about trainability potential. In this section, we review studies showing an association between baseline brain function and training-related gains.

**Resting-State Functional Connectivity**

MRI studies demonstrated a relation between the neural activation of brain networks and task performance, as well as learning performance (Baldassarre et al. 2012; Ventura-Campos et al. 2013). Based on the assumption that learning performance is influenced by the connectivity patterns of intrinsic whole-brain networks, a study (Yamashita et al. 2015) investigated the relation between functional connections in the brain and subsequent learning. They showed that functional connections within the task-relevant networks predicted participants' learning ability of working memory tasks.

The following studies also focused on functional connectivity of whole-brain networks, since complex behavior is accepted to be a result of an interplay between different networks in the brain. Additionally, these studies used graph theory to quantify the brain’s network organization and connectivity patterns. Graph theory is a mathematical model to quantify, illustrate, and analyze complex brain networks (structural and functional) and is widely used in neuroimaging research (for more information, see Bullmore and Sporns 2009; Sporns 2018). It has to be noted, however, that different types of connectivity analyses render differential results. While whole-brain connectivity is reasonably reliable, the reliability of individual resting-state connections is relatively low, though the application of multivariate prediction models can improve the reliability (cf. Sripada et al. 2020).

Several studies found that whole-brain modularity—a measure of balance between integration and segregation of brain networks—as calculated from resting-state functional MRI can predict gains related to cognitive interventions. The main finding is that higher brain network modularity is associated with better learning, higher training–related gains, and transfer (see Fig. 1, panel 1). These results have been established with patients with brain injury (Arnemann et al. 2015), older (Gallen et al. 2016), and younger adults (Baniqued et al. 2019), and following cognitive or physical training (Baniqued et al. 2018). The same principle is also valid in other types of learning such as motor learning: in their study, Mattar et al. (2018) found that a lower correlation between baseline motor and visual regions, in other words, more modular visual and motor networks, was predictive of faster learning.

The explanation of these findings is that modular networks at rest have overall high performance because they can adapt to the external demands faster (learn), and this reduces the brain’s general wiring cost. It means modularity enables successful learning as it enables the brain to process information faster and adapt faster to changing environments (Elslefsen et al. 2015; Wig 2017; for a review of modularity as a marker of intervention-related plasticity, see Gallen and De Esposito 2019).

**Task-Based fMRI**

Additionally, there are studies investigating task-based MRI to predict training gains. One example is a study with older adults (Heinzel et al. 2014) that examined the brain responses under different working memory (WM) loads (pre-training) and their correlation with cognitive gains resulting from WM training. Their conclusion was that older adults with activation patterns similar to young adults (lower activation at low WM load, and higher activation at high WM load) gained more from cognitive training. Furthermore, the pre-training activity of motor network has been also associated with training-related improvements in WM ability (Simmonite and Polk 2019). The authors discuss the relevance of motor network in terms of its relation to the ability of manipulating internal information.

In line with video game training studies (e.g., Erickson et al. 2010), Vo et al. (2011) showed that not only the volume but also the activity of striatum predicts learning success in a complex video game training. It is important to note, however, that not all studies found brain measures to be predictive of performance gains. In the abovementioned study, Heinzel et al. (2014) also investigated the predictive power of WM-load–dependent functional connectivity and reported that there was no association between baseline connectivity and training outcome in the older sample. Considering the reliability issues related to task-based fMRI (Elliott et al. 2020; see “Practical challenges”), researchers should be cautious when interpreting their results (especially for prediction purposes).
and not solely rely on task-based fMRI results for investigating individual differences.

The studies mentioned so far address the possibility of using neuroimaging methods to predict cognitive training gains (see Fig. 1, panel 1). The idea is that the baseline brain state of trainees (either the volume of relevant brain structures, the structural integrity of white matter or resting-state functional connectivity) has an influence on how they process information, i.e., how they learn and benefit from training (for an overview of the prediction studies please see Table 1).

Training-Induced Neural Changes

Brain Structural Changes Following Cognitive Training

There are different types of structural changes as reported in cognitive training studies: volume changes in cortical or subcortical structures, changes in cortical thickness, and in structural connectivity. Engvig et al. (2010) applied an intensive memory training program and found increases in cortical thickness in older adults. Furthermore, these cortical changes were correlated positively with the memory improvements observed after the training. The same group also revealed that the training had positive influence on white matter integrity in older adults (Engvig et al. 2012a). Lövdén et al. (2010) also reported increased white matter integrity in older adults after a multidomain (working memory, episodic memory, and perceptual speed) training program. Similarly, Takeuchi et al. (2010) investigated the effect of working memory training on structural connectivity and showed training-related positive changes in white matter integrity in regions that are involved in working memory processes. Additionally, this study also disclosed a positive correlation between changes in structural connectivity and the amount of working memory training, indicating a direct relation between the training and structural change. Furthermore, another study (Caeyenberghs et al. 2016) reported an increased structural (white matter) network connectivity, indicating greater global integration within a frontoparietal network following a working memory training. Accordingly, training-related improvements were associated with increased global efficiency, which provides an indication of how effectively information is integrated across the entire network.

On the other hand, despite these promising findings, some studies found no structural volume changes after an attention training (Mozolic et al. 2010) or multidomain training program (Adcock et al. 2020; Suo et al. 2016). Furthermore, some studies did not find changes in white matter integrity following a working memory (Fissler et al. 2017) or multidomain training program (Lampit et al. 2015). It is also important to keep in mind that not all studies directly investigated or reported the relation between the structural and cognitive changes. Some studies reported a positive association between neural and cognitive changes in gray matter (Engvig et al. 2010; Lampit et al. 2015) and in white matter (Engvig et al. 2012a; de Lange et al. 2017), whereas others did not (WM; Lövdén et al. 2010; Román et al. 2017). These inconsistent findings might be the results of the diversity of training programs and dosage, and further research is needed to clarify the factors leading to structural changes.

To conclude, a majority of the studies indicate that structural changes are relevant for measuring cognitive changes as a result of cognitive training (see Fig. 1, panel 4 and 5), and these brain changes could be used as biomarkers of neuroplasticity. Here, one cautionary note is needed. Single pre-post measures of brain volume can be associated with misleading results in cognitive training research. According to the expansion-renormalization model (Wenger et al. 2017), learning and skill acquisition can lead to a brain volume expansion in task-relevant areas due to an increase of, for example, neurons and synapses. However, this increase is usually followed by a selection process leading to a partial or even complete return to baseline volume (cf. Wenger et al. 2017). Thus, training-induced structural changes should be tracked across multiple time points during and after training and need to be complemented by functional assessments of cognitive performance to reveal a complete picture of their plasticity. As cognitive training involves skill acquisition (Gathercole et al. 2019), this model could apply to cognitive training–related structural plasticity. Empirical evidence for the model in this specific context is still needed, but it needs to be considered when interpreting changes in brain volume.

Functional Brain Changes Following Cognitive Training

In addition to the structural changes, several studies to date also revealed significant training-induced functional brain changes. Brehmer et al. (2011) investigated functional changes following an intense working memory training in older adults. Their results suggested an intervention-related increase in the neural efficiency in frontoparietal regions: participants receiving adaptive working memory training showed decreased brain activation while performing a difficult task as compared with the control group. Importantly, these changes were functionally relevant for the behavioral outcome, since the magnitude of the functional changes was correlated with the amount of cognitive improvement. In their study, Kirchhoff et al. (2012) trained older adults with semantic encoding strategies and showed that trained participants had increased encoding-related brain activity in regions associated with semantic memory (prefrontal and left temporal regions). Furthermore, training gains were correlated with the activity...
increases. Both of these studies revealed task-related activity changes in the brain following cognitive training, but the direction of the effects differed. Studies investigating training-related changes in cortical activity report both increases (e.g., Kirchhoff et al. 2012; Nyberg et al. 2003; Olesen et al. 2004) and decreases (e.g., Brehmer et al. 2011; Miró-Padilla et al. 2019). On the other hand, other studies reveal a u-shaped pattern of changes following cognitive training in cortical (e.g., Hempel et al. 2004) and subcortical activation (e.g., Kühn et al. 2013). Accordingly, training can at first stimulate increases in activity, which are then followed by activity decreases suggesting more automatized processing or increased neural efficiency. These studies further highlight the importance of multiple measurements during and after cognitive training to yield a better understanding of the temporal dynamics of training-related neural changes.

In addition to task-based fMRI, some studies employed resting-state fMRI to reveal training-induced changes in functional connectivity. One study showed that working memory training with young adults resulted in increased functional connectivity between the key nodes of default mode network (DMN) (Takeuchi et al. 2013). A similar pattern of results was observed in older adults following a multidomain intervention (cognitive training and exercise): functional connectivity between parts of the DMN increased, and this increase was associated with improvements in cognitive performance (Li et al. 2014). Chapman et al. (2015) demonstrated increases in functional connectivity within the DMN and central executive network (CEN) after a strategy-based training in older adults. Moreover, similar functional changes in brain networks were also reported in participants with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and Alzheimer’s disease (AD) (Barban et al. 2017).

Besides changes in functional connectivity within networks (e.g., DMN), there are also training-induced changes in connectivity patterns between networks. One example is the maintained segregation between DMN and CEN after a multidomain cognitive training in older adults, whereas the control group showed reduced segregation between these networks (Cao et al. 2016). Takeuchi et al. (2013) also showed decreases in the connectivity between a key DMN node and nodes of an external attention system following a working memory training in young adults. Taken together, there are conflicting results regarding the activity changes following cognitive training. One possibility is that these changes are dynamic and the studies failed to reveal the full temporal dynamics of those changes. Alternatively, the type of training is critical for the pattern of activity changes (Belleville et al. 2014) and the large methodological variability between studies results in conflicting conclusions. For the functional connectivity, the findings are more consistent: training results in increased connectivity within relevant networks, and decreased connectivity between networks, leading to increased segregation and increased modularity in the brain, which enables higher overall performance (Ellefsen et al. 2015).

There are a variety of structural and functional brain measures that can predict cognitive training–related gains, and cognitive training further induces structural and functional changes in the brain. In order to establish the clinical importance of these brain changes, studies should systematically investigate how baseline measures or post-training changes are linked to the observed behavioral changes.

Furthermore, studies also showed that structural, functional, and behavioral changes occur on different time scales. Neural changes can occur in the absence of behavioral changes (Beauchamp et al. 2016), and functional changes can occur in the absence of structural changes (Lampit et al. 2015; Mozolíc et al. 2010). Therefore, it is important to have multiple multimodal measurements to reveal and to understand the dynamic structural, functional, and behavioral changes and determine whether the training-related gains reflect plasticity, i.e., changes in functional capacity, rather than mere flexibility.

**Prediction of Transfer and Maintenance of Training Gains**

An important aim in cognitive training research is to predict generalization and long-term maintenance of training gains. Here, we suggest that investigating not only pre-training brain measures but also training-induced differences in brain structure, function, and connectivity can shed some light onto the underlying neural mechanisms of training-related changes, their transfer and maintenance (see Fig. 1, panels 4–7).

There are very few studies that investigated this particular relationship. In a study by Nikolaidis et al. (2014), participants played a video game that trained working memory, attention, and motor control skills. The findings revealed that functional activity changes in regions related to working memory (superior parietal lobe, precuneus and postcentral gyrus) predicted transfer to an untrained working memory task. Their results support the hypothesis that transfer is more likely to occur if training and transfer tasks share the same underlying neural mechanisms (Jonides 2004). This notion is also supported by the study of Dahlin et al. (2008). In their study, they showed that working memory training gains transferred only to an untrained task that activated the same brain region (striatum) as the training task.

The research into maintenance effects is even scarcer, since many studies do not include a follow-up measurement. One study (Parisi et al. 2014) investigated the effects of a cognitive training program (including attention, information processing, and executive function training modules) in multiple sclerosis patients. The results revealed that changes in the resting-state functional connectivity (from pre- to post-training) in the
default mode network and of the anterior cingulum were associated with cognitive performance (attention and executive functions) measured 6 months after the training.

These findings support the idea that cognitive training–related neural changes can indeed predict transfer to untrained tasks and even maintenance of training gains. Thus, cognitive neuroscience approach can offer an understanding of patterns of brain activity, brain networks, and anatomical structures that modulate transfer and maintenance. It is particularly desirable to have a marker that can tell before the training who is going to show sustained benefits.

**Practical Challenges**

Systematically incorporating neuroimaging into cognitive training research makes sense on a theoretical level; however, there are practical challenges. One of the biggest challenges is the time and financial cost of using neuroimaging. However, progress in understanding cognitive training–related gains and what makes a successful intervention has been relatively slow. This mainly relates to the lack of understanding of neural changes underlying cognitive plasticity. Therefore, many research teams working on cognitive interventions are already emphasizing the importance of neuroimaging in the cognitive training field (e.g., Belleville and Bherer 2012; Brehmer et al. 2014; Taya et al. 2015).

Additionally, there are technical challenges to overcome: using different neuroimaging protocols and modalities might make it difficult to compare findings from different studies with small sample sizes (and practically, due to the cost of neuroimaging, studies are very often severely underpowered even though large samples would be necessary particularly to analyze individual differences in performance gains and brain changes). As mentioned before, an important issue in training research is the retest reliability of MRI-based measures. While it is beyond the scope of this review to discuss the details of the reliability problem, there are methodological papers addressing this issue for different modalities and data analysis methods (e.g., brain volumes, Schnack et al. 2004; fMRI and functional connectivity, Dubois and Adolphs 2016; Noble et al. 2019; Shah et al. 2016; network neuroscience, Bassett and Sporns 2017; resting-state fMRI, Zuo and Xing 2014; structural connectivity; Buchanan et al. 2014; Roine et al. 2019). Although most of the methods used in the studies mentioned here have sufficient reliability, a recent meta-analysis reported that task-fMRI measures have poor reliability and therefore are unsuitable for investigating individual differences (Elliott et al. 2020). The authors recommend using multivariate methods, validating results in independent samples, and combining task-based data with resting-state fMRI to increase the value and reliability of existing datasets.

**Concluding Remarks and Future Directions**

This review presents preliminary but promising evidence suggesting that baseline brain characteristics can be employed to predict cognitive training gains. Furthermore, inter-individual neural differences at baseline and change induced by the training could be associated with transfer and maintenance of these gains. Most of the studies incorporating neuroimaging methods into cognitive training research investigated training-induced changes and not the predictive value for training gains, transfer, and maintenance. With this review, we want to encourage researchers to look at the brain from this perspective—as is already done in clinical research—and approach their data with new questions.

Tools from graph theory have been widely used to analyze the brain as a complex network and to explore and quantify brain-behavior relations (Sporns 2014). It is now possible to quantitatively synthesize data from different studies (e.g., Duda and Sweet 2019) and to build better neuromarkers by using multivariate, predictive models and by integrating multimodal data (Scheinost et al. 2019; Woo et al. 2017). These methods are already applied in various studies to predict behavior (e.g., disease state, cognitive status, response to treatment) with promising results (see Sui et al. 2020). It is time that the cognitive training field takes advantage of the new era, too. Ideally, we would invest in establishing more multi-centered collaborations and in designing projects with standardized multimodal imaging (structural and functional MRI) and standardized training protocols. It makes sense to have multiple measurement points (pre, during, post training and several follow-ups), and it is particularly important to assess the relation between brain alterations and behavior changes to emphasize the meaningfulness and relevance of neural changes. This can be the next step to increase our understanding of how the brain responds to training, how different measures (e.g., structure and function) interact with each other influencing training outcomes, and it may provide the basis for designing informed interventions.

Incorporating neural measures systematically into cognitive training research can help us to understand the brain mechanisms facilitating learning and to determine brain characteristics that enable transfer and long-term maintenance of changes induced by cognitive training (see Fig. 1). Identifying the brain characteristics predicting how individuals benefit from different types of cognitive training would allow selecting the right training program, tailoring training...
| Study                          | Neuroimaging method                  | Sample                                      | Groups                                     | Intervention                                      | Intervention duration and intensity | Main outcome                                                                                       |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Basak et al. (2011)           | Structural MRI (T1, analyzed by voxel-based morphometry) | 20 older adults (age 62–75)                | No control group                          | Rise of Nations (real-time strategy game)       | 15 × 1.5 h training (over 5–6 weeks) | Larger baseline volumes of the motor, supplementary motor areas, and cerebellum, along with dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, somatosensory area, and anterior cingulate cortex are associated with faster strategy-based video game skill acquisition. |
| de Lange et al. (2016)        | Structural MRI (DTI)                  | 104 older adults (age = 73.4 ± 2.9)         | (Groups are not mutually exclusive)       | Memory strategy training (Active control group: attending scientific lectures + home assignments) | 10 weeks of memory training (single group session each week + 8 weekly assignments—min 4 should be completed) | Lower MD—better white matter integrity—in the anterior corpus callosum, the left anterior thalamic radiation, and the right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus was associated with greater performance gains. |
| Engvig et al. (2012b)         | Structural MRI (T1)                   | 19 subjects with memory complaints (age 42–77 years) | No control group                          | Memory training program (strategy training)     | 8-week training (weekly 1-h class session and four individual homework assignments) | Larger baseline hippocampal volumes are related to greater verbal recall improvements after training. |
| Erickson et al. (2010)        | Structural MRI (T1)                   | 36 young adults (age 18–28)                 | Fixed priority training (N = 18)            | Space Fortress Game                              | 10 × 2 h training                    | The baseline volume of the striatum, but not the hippocampus, predicted the improvement in performance in a complex video game. Ventral striatal volumes were predictive of learning during initial phases of learning but not during later phases, whereas dorsal striatal volumes were predictive of both early and late learning. |
| Park et al. (2018)            | Structural MRI (T1 and DTI)           | 49 older adults (age > 55) with subjective memory complaints | Training group (N = 39, age = 69.81 ± 4.90) and Passive control group (N = 10, age = 70.40 ± 3.95) | Multi-strategic memory training (MMT)            | 10 × 1.5 h training                  | Thinner cortices of precuneus, cuneus, and posterior cingulate gyrus and higher white matter anisotropy of the splenium of corpus callosum predicted cognitive improvement in the training group. |
| Peter et al. (2018)           | Structural MRI (T1)                   | 25 patients with amnestic mild cognitive impairment (age range 60–82; mean age = 73.4 ± 5.3 years) | No control group                          | Mnemonic strategy                               | Six weekly sessions of 1.5 h each 6-month follow-up cognitive assessment | Patients with larger left entorhinal cortex volume improved significantly more in verbal delayed recall after cognitive training. |
| Ray et al. (2017)             | Structural MRI (DTI)                  | 31 younger (age = 25.84 ± 4.52), 31 older adults (age = 65.84 ± 6.77) | No control group                          | Two different types of video games, an action game and a strategy game | Game playing for 3 h (1.5 h/game), 27 games on average (~13 games for action and ~14 for strategy). | White matter FA in the right fornix/stria terminalis was correlated with action game learning, whereas white matter FA in the left cingulum hippocampus was correlated with strategy game learning. |
| Strangman et al. (2010)       | Structural MRI (T1)                   | 50 adults with traumatic brain injury (mean age: 47.2 ± 11.4 years) | No control group                          | Memory strategy training                        | Twice weekly sessions for 6 weeks, 90 min per session, for a total of 12 sessions | The volumes of the hippocampus, the lateral prefrontal cortex, the thalamus, and several subregions of the cingulate cortex were predictive of training outcome. |
| Supukar et al. (2013)         | Structural MRI (T1, analyzed by VBM)  | 40 children in grade 3 (ages 8–9)           | Math tutoring (N = 24)                     | Math-tutoring program                           | Eight-week training, 22 lessons, 15–20 h | Baseline hippocampal volume predicted arithmetic performance improvements (larger volume, greater improvement). |
Table 1 (continued)

| Study                        | Neuroimaging method | Sample                          | Groups                                      | Intervention                                      | Intervention duration and intensity | Main outcome                                                                 |
|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Verghese et al. (2016)       | Structural MRI (T1) | 100 young adults                | Multitasking training (N = 50, mean age = 24.3) | Multitasking training: Simultaneous visual and auditory discrimination task | Daily 56 blocks of 18 trials, 3024 training trials overall | Also, baseline intrinsic functional connectivity of the hippocampus with dorsolateral and ventrolateral prefrontal cortices and the basal ganglia predicted performance improvements. Importantly, individual differences in behavioral measures did not predict performance gains. Smaller volumes within the left rostral DLPFC are associated with greater multitasking performance gains. |
| Wolf et al. (2014)           | Structural MRI (DTI) | 41 healthy adults (age range 60–85 years, 69.93 ± 7.90) | Training group (N = 41) | Logical reasoning training | 11 sessions over a 4-week period with three sessions (1 h each) per week | The structural integrity of corpus and genu of the corpus callosum predict transfer of logical reasoning training gains to fluid intelligence in healthy elderly. |
| Arnemann et al. (2015)       | Resting-state fMRI Modularity analysis | 11 patients (age range 24–62 years with chronic acquired brain injury) | Cognitive training group (N = 11) | Cognitive training in goal-oriented attention self-regulation | 20 h of group-based training, 3 h of individual training, and 20 h of home practice over 5 weeks | Patients with higher baseline modularity showed higher cognitive training-related improvements in attention and executive functions. |
| Baniqued et al. (2019)       | Resting-state fMRI Modularity analysis | 139 young adults (age range 18–30 years) | Training group (N = 64) | Working memory and reasoning game training | 10 training sessions in 3–4 weeks (2–3 sessions per week) | Higher baseline brain modularity predicts larger cognitive training-related gains in young adults, especially in individuals with lower baseline performance. |
| Gallen et al. (2016)         | Resting-state fMRI Modularity analysis | 29 healthy elderly adults (age range 57–70 years) | Cognitive training group (N = 15; age: 63.1 ± 2.9) | “Strategic memory and reasoning training” (SMART) | 12 weeks, weekly 1 h group training, 2 × 1 h home practice | Higher modularity at baseline was associated with higher training gains. This relationship was stronger for association cortex modules. |
| Heinzel et al. (2014)        | Task-based fMRI (n-back task, 16 blocks, different working memory load conditions (0-, 1-, 2-, and 3-back)) | 18 younger (age 4.1 ± 2.43) and 19 healthy older adults (age 66.0 ± 3.73) | No control group | Adaptive N-back training | 4 weeks (12 training sessions) | Negative correlation between pretest 1-back activation of frontoparietal working memory network and training gain, positive correlation with higher pretest activation in 3-back (frontoparietal WM network), and training gain in older adults. Functional connectivity in the WM network at pretest did not predict training gains in the older sample. |
| Simmonite and Polk (2019)    | Task-based fMRI pre- and post-training | 24 younger (age 25.2 ± 3.2) and 15 | No control group | COGITO study Working memory training | 101 1-h training sessions, 12 tasks practiced | Pre-training activity in the motor network predicted training-related change in (latent) working memory ability. |
| Study | Neuroimaging method | Sample | Groups | Intervention | Intervention duration and intensity | Main outcome |
|-------|---------------------|--------|--------|--------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Vo et al. (2011) | Task-based fMRI (two blocks of an oddball task, two blocks of playing Space Fortress game, two blocks doing both) | 34 young adult participants (age 22 ± 3) | No control group | Space Fortress game training | 2-h training sessions (total of 20 h) | Pre-training activity patterns in the dorsal striatum were predictive of individuals’ learning success in a complex video game. |
| Yamashita et al. (2015) | Resting-state fMRI | 29 young adults (age range 19–24) | No control group | 3-back working memory training | Short training, total duration 80–90 min | Connectivity within the left frontoparietal network most strongly contributed to prediction of the individual performance plateau. Additionally, connections of the task-activated networks with less-activated networks showed a comparable contribution. |
| Dahlin et al. (2008) | Task-based fMRI (Letter memory, 3-back and Stroop tasks) before and after 5 weeks of training | Experiment 1: 22 younger adults (age range 20–31) | Training group (N = 15, age 23.67 ± 2.92) | One letter-memory task and five updating tasks. | 5 weeks of training, three sessions/week, each lasting 45 min | There was a transfer to a 3-back test of working memory, but not to a Stroop test, after 5 weeks of training in updating. The transfer effect was dependent on the activation of striatum between the training task and transfer task. No transfer was observed to a task that did not engage striatal regions in pre-training, as in the older participants. |
| Nikolaidis et al. (2014) | Task-based fMRI (Space Fortress game) pre- and post-training | 45 young adults (age 21.74 ± 5.09) | No control group | Space Fortress game training | Total 30 h, split into 15 × 2-h training sessions | Activation changes (from pre- to post-training) in the superior parietal lobe, postcentral gyrus, and precuneus, regions involved in working memory, predict changes in performance in an untrained working memory task (Sternberg memory search task). |
| Parisi et al. (2014) | Resting-state fMRI (behavioral follow-up after 6 months) | 18 female multiple sclerosis patients (age range 25–64) | Training group (N = 9, mean age 47.7) | Training program of attention, information processing and executive functions (Plan a Day and Divided Attention parts of the RehaCom package) | 12 weeks 3 × 1 h sessions per week | Changes in resting-state functional connectivity of the right posterior cingulated cortex and right inferior parietal lobule, two core regions of the default mode network, predicted cognitive performance at 6 months follow-up. |
parameters (e.g., the type, duration, and intensity) to individual needs and ultimately to enhance the benefits of cognitive interventions.
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