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Abstract

**Aim:** Malignant melanoma is the most frequent skin cancer in children and adolescents. It comprises 1–3% of all malignancies in this study. Our aim was to evaluate the clinical aspects, histopathologic features, and treatment outcomes of our patients with malignant melanoma.

**Material and Methods:** Patients aged <15 years who were treated between 2003 and 2018 for malignant melanoma were retrospectively analyzed.

**Results:** Seventeen patients (10 females, 7 males), with a median age of 7 years (range, 7 months-13 years) were evaluated. Five patients had congenital melanocytic nevi. All had cutaneous melanoma except one with mucosal (conjunctival) melanoma. The most frequent primary tumor site was the lower extremities (35%). Sentinel lymphoscintigraphy, sentinel node biopsy, and PET/CT were performed as the staging procedures at initial diagnosis. Localized disease was present in eight patients; nine had regional lymph node metastasis. The only treatment was surgery in localized disease; surgery and adjuvant interferon treatment was given in patients with regional lymph node metastasis. Three developed distant metastasis (bone, lung, brain) at a median of 9 months. A three-year-old patient received a BRAF inhibitor (vemurafenib), and a 13-year-old patient received a check point inhibitor (ipilimumab); both died of progressive disease. The median follow-up for all patients was 25 months. The 5-year overall survival was 76.6%.
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Conclusion: Although malignant melanoma is rare in children, prognosis is good if diagnosed early. Physicians should be aware of skin lesions and full-layer biopsy should be obtained in suspicious skin lesions. Patients with congenital melanocytic nevi should also be followed up cautiously.
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Introduction

Malignant melanoma (MM) primarily occurs in adults; approximately 2% of all melanomas occur in children and adolescents (1). Melanoma most commonly arises from the skin with only a small minority of patients designated as having ocular, mucosal surfaces, and visceral organs (2). Due to melanoma’s rarity in children, the approach to diagnosis and treatment in pediatric patients has been adopted mostly from adult guidelines. Recently, several studies have focused on the clinical characteristics, pathologic features, systemic therapy, and survival rates of pediatric patients with melanoma in an effort to increase our knowledge (2–8).

In this study, our aim was to evaluate the demographic characteristics, treatment, and outcomes of children with MM in our center.

Material and Methods

Seventeen children aged <15 years who were diagnosed as having and treated for MM between 2003 and 2018 were retrospectively evaluated. Their demographic characteristics, pathologic features, treatment details, response to treatment, and follow-up data were assessed.

Patients diagnosis, stage, and treatment were adopted from adult guidelines. The American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) staging system was used (9). Sentinel lymphoscintigraphy, sentinel node biopsy, and positron emission tomography (PET/CT) were performed as the staging procedures at initial diagnosis. In localized disease, surgery was the only treatment. Sentinel lymph node biopsy or dissection was performed in patients either with positive or suspicious findings in lymphoscintigraphy or with Breslow thickness >1 mm or if positron emission tomography – computed tomography (PET-CT) revealed metastasis to the lymph nodes. High-dose interferon alfa 2b (IFN alfa2b) (nine million units/day, three days per week, subcutaneous) was used as adjuvant treatment in patients with positive sentinel lymph nodes, after local surgery and lymph node dissection. Patients with distant metastasis and patients who relapsed received systemic chemotherapy; they received immunotherapy if they had no response or had further progression with approval of the Ministry of Health for reimbursement.

Table 1. Patients and tumor characteristics

| Number of patients | 17 |
|--------------------|----|
| Sex, female/male   | 10/7 |
| Age, median (year) | 7 |
| Body site          |    |
| Trunk              | 3 (17.6%) |
| Head/neck          | 4 (23.5%) |
| Upper extremities  | 4 (23.5%) |
| Lower extremities  | 6 (35%) |
| Congenital nevi    | 5 (29%) |
| Histopathologic features |    |
| Breslow thickness (median), mm | 3.4 (1.5–15) |
| Mitotic index (median)/mm2 | 4 (0.5–23) |
| Ulceration         | 10 (58.8%) |
| AJCC               |    |
| I                  | 2 (11.8%) |
| II                 | 6 (35.2%) |
| III                | 9 (53%) |
| IV                 | 0 |

AJCC: The American Joint Committee on Cancer

The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Approval was obtained for the study from the local ethics committee of our hospital (October 27, 2017; Decision number: 404098). Written informed consent was obtained from the parents of all patients.

Statistical Analysis

All analyses were performed using the SPSS 21.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The Kaplan-Meier test was used to calculate overall survival (OS). Overall survival was defined as the time from the date of histologic diagnosis to death or the last follow-up.

Results

Twenty children with MM were assessed, three patients who were only consulted and referred to other centers were excluded. Thus, 17 (10 females, 7 males) children were included in our analysis (Table 1). Sixteen had cutaneous melanoma and one had mucosal (conjunctival) melanoma. The median age was 7 years (range, 7 months-13 year), 10 patients were aged younger than 10 years and six were aged younger than four years.
The most frequent primary tumor site was the lower extremities (n=6, 35%) followed by the upper extremities (n=4, 23.5%), the head and neck (n=4, 23.5%), and the trunk (n=3, 17.6). Five patients (29%) had spitzoid melanoma and in five children developed MM in the site of the congenital nevus (Fig. 1).

The median Breslow thickness was 3.4 (range, 1.5–15) mm, and Clark level IV predominated (41%). Median mitotic index was 4 (range, 0.5–23) /mm² and ulcerated melanoma was found in 58.8% of lesions. Localized disease was present in eight patients; nine had regional lymph node metastasis at diagnosis. Three developed distant metastasis (bone, lung, brain) during follow-up (Fig. 2). According to the AJCC system, two patients (11.8%) were stage I, six (35.2%) were stage II, and nine (53%) were stage III at the initial diagnosis.

**Treatment**

The only treatment was primary tumor resection with adequate safety margins in eight (47%) patients with localized disease. Local surgery with adequate safety margins and sentinel lymph node biopsy or dissection with adjuvant high-dose interferon (IFN) alfa2b for one year was used in nine patients (53%) with regional lymph node metastasis. Adverse effects with IFN alfa 2b were fever and chills for one to two days following injection, and in one patient vitiligo was seen four months after the initiation IFN alfa 2b and continued during IFN treatment.

Despite adjuvant therapy with IFN alfa 2b, one patient whose MM had developed in the site of the large congenital hairy nevus, presented with regional lymph node metastasis 10 months after initiating IFN. This patient underwent local excision and temozolomide was initiated (200 mg/m²/dx5 days every 28 days); however, after six weeks he presented with multiple bone metastasis. His BRAF mutation testing was positive for V600K/E/R in the tumor and he was treated with vemurafenib. Upon approval of the Ministry of Health for reimbursement, vemurafenib therapy was started at a dose of 240 mg (375
mg/m²) twice daily. One month later he died of progressive disease (10).

A patient with conjunctival melanoma who was under follow-up developed distant metastasis in the preauricular lymph nodes, bone, and lung, one year after diagnosis. His BRAFV600E mutation was negative. He was given temozolomide (200 mg/m²/dx5 days every 28 days) p.o as the first-line therapy; due to further progression he received immunotherapy (ipilimumab) as second-line upon receiving approval of the Ministry of Health for reimbursement, and palliative radiotherapy to some metastatic bone sites due to intractable pain. He died of progressive disease 19 months after diagnosis.

Overall survival
The median follow-up for all patients was 25 (range, 2–149) months. Three patients developed distant metastasis at a median of nine months after diagnosis and they died at a median of four months after metastasis. Two of the three patients who had distant metastasis were BRAFV600E mutation-negative. Two patients who died (age 7 months, age 3 years) due to distant metastasis had large congenital melanocytic nevus.

In all patients, the 3 and 5-year OS was 76.6%; in children aged ≥10 years, the 3 and 5-year OS was 83.3% (Fig. 3).

Discussion
Pediatric melanoma accounts for less than 3% of pediatric cancers during childhood and adolescence (11). The low incidence of pediatric melanoma and the lack of classic melanoma criteria may be the reason for the delay in diagnosis (12). Due to its rarity, clinical experience of all pediatric patients with melanoma receiving treatment either as compassionate use or within a trial or multicenter experience should be reported such that our knowledge on the demographic characteristics and effect-adverse events of therapeutic characteristics accumulates.

In our study, there were more female patients (58.2%) than males. In previous pediatric series, in some there was female predominance (2, 3, 8, 13), in others a male predominance (4, 5).

In the literature, adolescents aged 15–19 years account for most cases of pediatric melanoma (73.2%); 17.3% were in patients aged 10 to 14 years, 5.7% were in children aged 5 to 9 years, and 1- to 4-year-old children accounted for only 3.8% of cases (2). In our study, only patients aged <15 years were evaluated, patients ≥15 years of age were treated and followed up by medical oncologists (14).

In previous pediatric studies, congenital melanocytic nevi have been reported as constituting 1.5–16% of MM cases (3–5, 13). In our study, five (29%) patients had congenital melanocytic nevi. The lifetime risk for melanoma in giant congenital nevus is estimated to be between 5% and 10%, with most reported cases occurring during the first five years of life (15). The median age of our five patients with congenital nevi was 3 years (7 months–7 years). A study on the pathologic pattern of giant congenital nevi suggested that the presence of severely atypical epithelioid-shaped melanocytes with high mitotic count, such as >5 mitoses/mm², with ulceration and partial chromosomal copy number changes by molecular studies, were features that should raise greatest concern for the possibility of developing melanoma (16). In two of the five patients with large congenital melanocytic nevus in our cohort, both of whom died, the median mitoses in the tumor was 22/mm² with ulceration (range, 20–23/mm²), in the other three with small congenital melanocytic nevus, median mitoses was 3 (range, 2–3)/mm². In SEER data in adults, superficial spreading and nodular melanomas were common, none had a congenital nevus (17). Childhood melanoma may not behave biologically like its adult counterpart, so in clinical practice, dermatologists, pediatric oncologists, and plastic surgeons should be aware of congenital nevi appearance and pathologic features because they have significant predictive capabilities for patient prognosis and survival.

In most of our patients, the primary site was the extremities (58.8%), similar to other studies (3, 4). The trunk has
been reported as a more frequent site in patients aged >10 years (2, 8, 13). In adults, it is estimated that 84% of patients with melanoma present with localized disease (stage I-II), 9% with regional (stage III), and 4% with distant metastatic disease (18). According to the AJCC system, 47% of our patients presented with localized disease and 53% presented with regional disease without distant disease at initial diagnosis. According to the American National cancer database, it has been reported that young children have an increased incidence of advanced disease (2). Possible reasons include delayed diagnosis or age-related differences in biologic behavior that are not yet understood. The clinical and pathologic characteristics of melanoma in children can make diagnosis difficult (2).

In some reports, survival rates differed in childhood and adolescents. The 3 and 5-year OS in all patients in our study was 76.6%. The outcome in children aged ≥10 years was higher numerically than in younger patients (83.3% vs. 73%); however the number of patients was too limited for statistical analysis. In Ferrari et al. (5) Italian rare tumors project, the 5-year event-free survival (EFS) was worse in patients younger than 14 years than in older patients (64.6% vs. 94.7%). Lange et al. (2) also reported that in the American National cancer database, the OS of all stages was worse for children aged 1–9 years than for older patients (77% vs. 87%). However, other studies reported better outcomes of melanoma in childhood (aged <10 or <14 years) compared with adolescents (in the range of 92–100% vs. 89–94%) (3, 4, 8, 13). In adults, 5-year survival has been reported as more than 90% in localized disease, between 20–70% in stage III, and less than 10% in patients with metastasis (9). It is unknown whether age reflects a crude surrogate of declining immune competence, or other comorbidities, or differences in the biologic behavior of melanoma in patients of different age groups (19).

Surgery with adequate margins is the recommended treatment for patients with localized melanoma. Sentinel lymph node biopsy should be considered in patients with thin lesions (<1 mm) with ulceration, mitotic rate greater than 1/mm², young age, and in patients with lesions larger than 1 mm with or without adverse features. If the sentinel lymph node is positive, the option to undergo a complete lymph node dissection should be discussed (20). In pediatric patients, adjuvant therapies have been adopted from adult guidelines (20). The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) recommendation management options for completely resected stage III melanoma in adults are high-dose or PEGylated IFN or high-dose ipilimumab (21). In children and adolescents with surgically resected, histologically proven, stage III melanoma involving regional lymph nodes receiving adjuvant IFN (high-dose and PEGylated) therapy, the survival and EFS at 20 months were reported as 90.9±9.1% and 74.1±14.3%, respectively, in one study (22) and three-year estimates of OS and EFS were 95.2±5.8% and 91.3±7.5%, respectively, in the other study (23). In our patients, adjuvant therapy with high-dose IFN was used in 47% of cases (n=8).

Two of our patients received BRAF inhibitor or ipilimumab at recurrence. However, none had a response and they died of progressive disease. Although the safety of ipilimumab (anti-CTLA-4) (7) has been established in pediatric patients with melanoma, there was no objective response to ipilimumab in 12 patients with unresectable stage IIIc or stage IV pediatric melanoma in a phase I clinical trial, thus the authors suggested that there was no role for single agent ipilimumab in pediatric tumors and recommended further pediatric studies with a combination with other checkpoint inhibitors or immune-modifying agents (7). We observed no response in our patient with ipilimumab either. In a phase II study in adolescents with unresectable stage III or IV malignant melanoma, ipilimumab was found to be safe and the objective response was 28.26% (2/12) (24). This phase II study was closed prematurely because of slow recruitment based on the rare study population, availability of ipilimumab off-protocol, and competing trials with emerging therapies such as anti-PD-1 antibodies, which made single-agent ipilimumab treatment less desirable for the patients and treating physicians (24).

The NCCN panel considers single-agent BRAF inhibitor monotherapy with vemurafenib as appropriate first-line systemic treatment options for metastatic disease with any type of activating BRAF mutation (V600E, V600K, V600R, and others) in adults. Objective responses have been reported in 48–53% of cases (21). Some authors suggested that due to the challenges with new therapies (e.g., ipilimumab, nivolumab, vemurafenib, trametinib), adjuvant IFN for high-risk pediatric patients deserves consideration (23). However, in a prospective study with vemurafenib in pediatric patients aged 12–17 years with surgically unresectable, BRAF mutation-positive stage IIIc or IV melanoma, there was no objective tumor response (6); we also observed no response in our patient (10).

In adults, systemic combination treatments for metastatic cutaneous melanoma is recommended because there is some evidence that combined treatments work better than single treatments: anti-PD1 monoclonal antibodies, alone or with anti-CTLA4, improved progression-free survival compared with anti-CTLA4 monoclonal antibodies alone; Anti-PD1 monoclonal antibodies performed bet-
ter than anti-CTLA4 monoclonal antibodies in terms of OS, and a combination of BRAF plus MEK inhibitors was associated with better OS for BRAF-mutated melanoma compared with BRAF inhibitors alone (25).

The limitation of our study is that it is a retrospective, single-institution study with a limited number of pediatric patients aged <15 years. Despite the limited number of patients, it is the largest series reported in children in our country and region.

Conclusion

Although MM is rare in children, prognosis is good if diagnosed early. Physicians should be aware of skin lesions and full-layer biopsy should be obtained in suspicious skin lesions. Patients with congenital melanocytic nevi or dysplastic nevi should also be followed cautiously. Regarding BRAF and checkpoint inhibitors in treatment of children with advanced stage melanocytic melanoma, further international multicenter studies that reach larger numbers of patients are needed.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Young JL Jr, Percy CL, Asire AJ, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality in the United States, 1973-77. Natl Cancer Inst Monogr 1981; 57: 1–187.
2. Lange JR, Palis BE, Chang DC, Soong SJ, Balch CM. Melanoma in children and teenagers: an analysis of patients from the National Cancer Data Base. J Clin Oncol 2007; 25: 1363–8. [CrossRef]
3. Brecht IB, De Paoli A, Bisogno G, et al. Pediatric patients with cutaneous melanoma: A European study. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2018; 65: e26974. [CrossRef]
4. Offenmueller S, Leiter U, Bernbeck B, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcome of 60 pediatric patients with malignant melanoma registered with the German Pediatric Rare Tumor Registry (STEP). Klin Padiatr 2017; 229: 322–8. [CrossRef]
5. Ferrari A, Bisogno G, Cecchetto G, et al. Cutaneous melanoma in children and adolescents: the Italian rare tumors in pediatric age project experience. J Pediatri 2014; 164: 376–82.e1–2. [CrossRef]
6. Chisholm JC, Suvada J, Dunkel IJ, et al. BRIM-P: A phase I, open-label, multicenter, dose-escalation study of vemurafenib in pediatric patients with surgically incurable, BRAF mutation-positive melanoma. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2018; 65: e26947. [CrossRef]
7. Merchant MS, Wright M, Baird K, et al. Phase I Clinical Trial of Ipilimumab in Pediatric Patients with Advanced Solid Tumors. Clin Cancer Res 2016; 22: 1364–70. [CrossRef]
8. Eggen CAM, Durgaram VVL, van Doorn R, et al. Incidence and relative survival of melanoma in children and adolescents in the Netherlands, 1989-2013. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2018; 32: 956−61. [CrossRef]
9. Balch CM, Gershenson JD, Soong SJ, et al. Final version of 2009 AJCC melanoma staging and classification. J Clin Oncol 2009; 27: 6199−206. [CrossRef]
10. Büyükkapu Bay S, Kebudi R, Zülükar B, et al. Cutaneous adverse event associated with vemurafenib in a 3-year-old pediatric patient with BRAF mutation-positive metastatic melanoma and factor X deficiency. Melanoma Res 2019; 29: 99–101. [CrossRef]
11. Campbell LB, Kreicher KL, Gittleman HR, Strodtbeck K, Barnholtz-Sloan J, Bordeaux JS. Melanoma Incidence in Children and Adolescents: Decreasing Trends in the
12. Mitkov M, Chrest M, Diehl NN, Heckman MG, Tollefson M, Jambusaria-Pahlajani A. Pediatric melanomas often mimic benign skin lesions: A retrospective study. J Am Acad Dermatol 2016; 75: 706–11.e4. [CrossRef]

13. Brecht IB, Garbe C, Gefeller O, et al. 443 paediatric cases of malignant melanoma registered with the German Central Malignant Melanoma Registry between 1983 and 2011. Eur J Cancer 2015; 51: 861–8. [CrossRef]

14. Tas F, Erturk K. Elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate is associated with metastatic disease and worse survival in patients with cutaneous malignant melanoma. Mol Clin Oncol 2017; 7: 1142–6. [CrossRef]

15. Price HN, Schaffer JV. Congenital melanocytic nevi—when to worry and how to treat: Facts and controversies. Clin Dermatol 2010; 28: 293–302. [CrossRef]

16. Yélamos O, Arva NC, Obregon R, et al. A comparative study of proliferative nodules and lethal melanomas in congenital nevi from children. Am J Surg Pathol 2015; 39: 405–15. [CrossRef]

17. Cavanaugh-Hussey MW, Mu EW, Kang S, Balch CM, Wang T. Older Age is Associated with a Higher Incidence of Melanoma Death but a Lower Incidence of Sentinel Lymph Node Metastasis in the SEER Databases (2003-2011). Ann Surg Oncol 2015; 22(7): 2120–6. [CrossRef]

18. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2015. CA Cancer J Clin 2015; 65: 5–29. [CrossRef]

19. Balch CM, Soong SJ, Gershenwald JE, et al. Age as a prognostic factor in patients with localized melanoma and regional metastases. Ann Surg Oncol 2013; 20: 3961–8.

20. PDQ Pediatric Treatment Editorial Board. Unusual Cancers of Childhood Treatment (PDQ®). Health Professional Version. National Cancer Institute(US) 2002 (cited 2018 August 28). Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK65876/.

21. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. Melanoma,v1.2018. Available from: https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/PDF/melanoma.pdf.

22. Navid F, Furman WL, Fleming M, et al. The feasibility of adjuvant interferon alpha-2b in children with high-risk melanoma. Cancer 2005; 103: 780–7. [CrossRef]

23. Navid F, Herzog CE, Sandoval J, et al. Feasibility of Pegylated Interferon in Children and Young Adults With Resected High-Risk Melanoma. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2016; 63: 1207–13. [CrossRef]

24. Geoerger B, Bergeron C, Gore L, et al. Phase II study of ipilimumab in adolescents with unresectable stage III or IV malignant melanoma. Eur J Cancer 2017; 86: 358–63. [CrossRef]

25. Pasquali S, Hadjinicolau AV, Chiarion Sileni V, Rossi CR, Mocellin S. Systemic treatments for metastatic cutaneous melanoma. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2018; 2: CD011123. [CrossRef]