Interaction of PM$_{2.5}$ and pre-pregnancy body mass index on birth weight: A nationwide prospective cohort study
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Background: Fine particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$), one of the most common air pollutants worldwide, has been associated with many adverse birth outcomes in some studies. Pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI) is an important indicator of maternal obesity that may also contribute to a wide range of birthweight outcomes. Both PM$_{2.5}$ and maternal obesity have been found associated with issues on neonatal birthweight respectively, and more attentions and interests are focusing on their combined effect on pregnancy outcomes.

Purpose: To explore the modifying effect of pre-pregnancy BMI on the association between gestational PM$_{2.5}$ and birthweight; to investigate the interactive effect between gestational PM$_{2.5}$ and pre-pregnancy BMI on birthweight among pregnant women during three trimesters and the whole pregnancy.

Methods: This nationwide cohort study used the National Free Preconception Health Examination Project (NFPHEP) data collected from January 1, 2010, to December 31, 2012. A total population of 248,501 Chinese women from 220 counties registered this project. Pre-pregnancy BMI as a common anthropometric examination was collected during preconception investigation, and gestational PM$_{2.5}$ was derived from a hindcast model for historical PM$_{2.5}$ estimation from satellite-retrieved aerosol optic depth. Subgroup analysis was conducted to explore a potential modifying effect on the association between PM$_{2.5}$ and birthweight during pregnancy by four pre-pregnancy BMI subgroups. Interaction analysis by introducing product terms to multivariable linear regression was also used to examine whether there was an interactive relationship between PM$_{2.5}$ and pre-pregnancy BMI.
Results: Totally, 193,461 participants were included in our study. The average concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ was 75.33 $\mu$g/m$^3$. Higher exposure of PM$_{2.5}$ during the entire pregnancy was associated with higher birthweight (17.15 g per 10 $\mu$g/m$^3$; 95% CI:16.15, 18.17). Each 10 $\mu$g/m$^3$ increase in PM$_{2.5}$ during the first, second, and third trimesters was associated with increases in birthweight by 14.93 g (95% CI: 13.96, 15.89), 13.75 g (95% CI: 12.81, 14.69), and 8.79 g (95% CI: 8.09, 9.49), respectively. Higher pre-pregnancy BMI per kg/m$^2$ was associated with an increase of birthweight by 7.012 g (95% CI: 6.121, 7.902). Product terms between PM$_{2.5}$ and pre-pregnancy BMI were significant for the first, second trimesters, and the entire duration of pregnancy.

Conclusions: Our results found both gestational PM$_{2.5}$ exposure and pre-pregnancy BMI respectively correlated with the increase of birthweight. A negative interaction between pre-pregnancy BMI and gestational PM$_{2.5}$ was discovered in term of birthweight gain. Avoidance of high-dose exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ during the early and middle stages of pregnancy and pre-pregnancy overweight/obesity may help prevent high birthweight.
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Introduction

Birthweight is an important determinant of both maternal and neonatal health as well as lifelong well-being. High birthweight has been proved to be strongly correlated with health conditions such as cardiovascular diseases, obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus (1, 2). High birthweight coupled with postnatal growth may increase the early presence of cardiometabolic risk factors and vascular imprinting (3). Studies have shown that the increased prevalence of high birthweight or large for gestational age (LGA) becomes a worldwide health issue (4). The prevalence of macrosomia in China was 6.9% in 2007-2008, and ranged from 7.3% to 8.7% in 2010-2014, higher than the average of 23 low-income and middle-income countries (5, 6), while the incidence of low birthweight in babies (≥28 gestational weeks) was unchanged between 2012-2018 at around 5.5% (5). Birthweight is closely related to intrauterine environment, whose establishment is rooted in multi-factorial interactions including maternal metabolic status, genetic expression, life style, and also physical environment (7–9).

Recently, studies on the combined effect of metabolism and environmental factors on health have increased, of which maternal obesity and air pollution such as PM$_{2.5}$ attract a lot of attention (10, 11). Obesity has emerged as a major issue. From 1995 to 2014, the prevalence of overweight raised from 4.2% to 14.0% and the obesity rate from 1.0% to 6.4% (5). Pre-pregnancy BMI, as an acceptable indicator of metabolic gradations of thinness and fatness, has profound effects on both mothers and fetuses by influencing the intrauterine environment. Pre-pregnancy overweight/obesity was found associated with higher risks of maternal gestational diabetes mellitus, pre-eclampsia, post-delivery weight retention and dysglycemia (12–14). Adverse outcomes on fetus due to pre-gestational overweight/obese could be preterm birth, stillbirth, caesarean delivery and LGA (15, 16). As for the environmental factors, adverse impacts of PM$_{2.5}$ on public health have been huge concerns worldwide, especially in developing countries. However, studies on the adverse effects of gestational PM$_{2.5}$ exposure on birthweight can be controversial and inconsistent (17, 18). Majority of studies demonstrated correlations between PM$_{2.5}$ and low birthweight or small for gestational age (SGA) (19–25), while some studies confirmed associations between PM$_{2.5}$ and LGA or macrosomia (10, 17, 18, 26). Previous studies pointed out that marked differences on PM$_{2.5}$ pollution between rapidly developing and developed countries might cause inconsistency in results (17, 18). Air pollution was an extremely serious issue of China during 2010s, when many provinces and cities had annual average PM$_{2.5}$ over 80 $\mu$g/m$^3$ (18). A recent study showed that the five most polluted megacities (Delhi, Cairo, Xi’an, Tianjin and Chengdu) all had an annual average concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ greater than 89 $\mu$g/m$^3$ in 2013, while PM$_{2.5}$ in many other countries could be much lower (23, 24, 27, 28). Race is another
difference between countries and studies exemplified by the fact that the Asian prevalence of overweight/obesity is far less than Caucasian (29). Studies on the combination effect between gestational weight gain (GWG) and air pollutions such as PM$_{2.5}$ have reported similar interactions on birth outcomes, and we hope to take further steps in exploring a potential interaction of Chinese population between maternal obesity and air pollutions on birthweight.

Our study was based on a large national cohort during 2010s when air pollution was extremely serious in China, compared to previously reported studies in developed countries. Combining both maternal metabolic status and atmospheric environment, it is necessary to explore their effects on birthweight respectively and jointly. We aimed to explore whether higher dose of PM$_{2.5}$ exposure during pregnancy was associated with growth in birthweight nationwide in China; to explore a potential interaction effect between pre-pregnancy BMI and PM$_{2.5}$ on birthweight.

**Materials and methods**

**Study design and population**

Data for this national cohort study were derived from the National Free Preconception Health Examination Project (NFPHEP) operated by the National Research Institute for Family Planning and conducted in 220 counties from 31 provinces and province-level municipalities. The project was launched by the National Health Commission of China, lasted for 3 years from January 1, 2010, to December 31, 2012, with the aim to provide free preconception health examinations and follow-up of pregnancy outcomes for married couples planning a pregnancy within next 6 months. The health examinations were conducted by trained and qualified staff and the data was collected in a face-to-face way of investigation, including 371 items in total such as maternal medical history, contraception measures, familial disorders, physical and laboratory examinations, life style and demographic characteristics of parents as well as neonatal birth outcomes. Other detailed information on design, organization and implementation of this project were recorded elsewhere (30–32). The study was approved by the institutional review board of the National Research Institute for Family Planning, Beijing, China and received formal consent from the participants.

**Outcome and exposure assessment**

The outcome of our study was birthweight in continuous values recorded by information recorders. Infants with implausible birthweights ($\leq 500$ g or $\geq 5000$ g) were excluded for sensitivity analysis. PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in 31 provinces were obtained from the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention estimating historical PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in China from satellite data by using an ensemble machine-learning model (33). The missing satellite data were filled by multiple imputation. The modeling domain was divided into seven regions using a spatial clustering method, and a set of machine learning models were trained in each region separately. A spatial cluster-based model was expected to capture the spatiotemporal variation in PM$_{2.5}$ more accurately by controlling unobserved spatial heterogeneity. To put it simple, the machine-learning model was composed of two parts: three prediction models and the ensemble model. The prediction models for PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations included generalized additive model, random forest model and extreme gradient boosting model, and the ensemble model was an ensemble GAM model combining the three individual models, which could obtain a spatially continuous prediction surface. The model was trained by satellite data and PM$_{2.5}$ ground monitoring records at 1,593 monitoring stations across mainland China from 2013 to 2016 and data during the first months of 2017 was applied for hindcast evaluation. PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in 2008 in Beijing was also obtained to evaluate the model. The final ensemble prediction characterized the spatiotemporal distribution of daily PM$_{2.5}$ well with the cross-validation (CV) $R^2 0.76$, root mean square error, RMSE 16 $\mu$g/m$^3$. Daily county-specific PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were collected and calculated in the form of trimester-specific mean values, in which first trimester refers to 1-3 months' gestation, second trimester refers to 4-6 months' gestation, and the third trimester refers to the rest months of gestation. In our study, subjects who moved during the follow-up were excluded to ensure the homogeneity of prenatal living environment. Pre-pregnancy BMI was segmented into four groups (BMI$<18.5$ kg/m$^2$, BMI 18.5-23.9 kg/m$^2$, BMI 24.0-28.0 kg/m$^2$, BMI$\geq 28.0$ kg/m$^2$), corresponding to four distinct BMI levels, “underweight”, “normal”, “overweight” and “obese” respectively (31).

**Referred variables**

The variables selected and analyzed in our study were maternal and neonatal characteristics such as neonate’s sex, maternal age, gestational week, educational level, maternal smoking or alcohol intake during pregnancy, multiparity, pre-pregnancy diabetes mellitus, pre-pregnancy hypertension, birth weight, the season of delivery.

**Statistical analysis**

Unadjusted and adjusted linear regressions were used to evaluate the associations between trimester-specific PM$_{2.5}$
concentrations and neonatal birthweight as well as the association between pre-pregnancy BMI and birthweight. The confounding factors for adjustment included maternal age at delivery, neonatal sex (male, female), smoking or alcohol intake during pregnancy (still, quit, never), gestational week, maternal educational level (junior high school, senior high school, college), prolonged pregnancy (yes, no), multiparity (yes, no), pre-pregnancy diabetes mellitus (yes, no), and pre-pregnancy hypertension (yes, no) (17, 18). The dose-response relationships between PM$_{2.5}$ concentration and birthweight were further investigated using restricted cubic spline models (node was 4). Subgroup analysis was conducted in four BMI intervals ("underweight", “normal”, “overweight” and “obese”) to explore whether the dose-response relations between PM$_{2.5}$ and birthweight would change under different BMI levels. Segments of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations used for calculating the average birthweights for each segment were conducted based on the IAQI (individual air quality index) recommended by WHO, which were 0-35 μg/m$^3$ (Chinese guideline II), 35-75 μg/m$^3$ (mild), 75-115 μg/m$^3$ (moderate), 115-150 μg/m$^3$ (severe) (34, 35). Multivariable linear regression was conducted involving main effect items, interaction effect item, and other confounding factors for adjustments. Centralized variables were multiplied as an interactive item and added in a regression model. β coefficients and 95% CI were reported. Sensitivity analysis was conducted by excluding some extreme observations in birthweight (<500 g or ≥5,000 g). Multivariable linear regressions of PM$_{2.5}$ and pre-pregnancy BMI with birthweight (without outliers), and interaction analysis between PM$_{2.5}$ and pre-pregnancy BMI were conducted.

The data cleaning process and descriptive analysis were conducted using SPSS 26.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Absolute standardized difference was used to check the imbalance of baseline characteristics among different pre-pregnancy BMI subgroups, and a value larger than 0.1 was regarded as baseline imbalance (36). Since absolute standardized difference can only be calculated between two groups, the maximum value among different groups was used. Linear regressions, restricted cubic spline curves, interaction effect analysis and subgroup analysis were all performed using R version 4.1.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria), and “foreign”, “rms”, “ggplo2”, “survival”, “MASS”, “splines” packages were applied in our analysis. A two-sided P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

The process of inclusion and exclusion of participants was recorded in Figure 1. The distribution of PM$_{2.5}$ across the entire pregnancy in China was calculated according to different provinces and recorded in supplementary materials (Table S1).
An initial population of 248,501 participants were preliminarily screened by a standard of exclusion and inclusion in a flowchart (Figure 1). The definition of loss to follow-up was that participants had preconception examination but had not received pre-natal or post-natal examination and questionnaires yet by 1 month after the expected date of confinement. Participants whose birthplaces and follow-up places did not match were excluded. 241,587 participants were further screened by removing subjects of loss to follow-up (5,357), birth defects (254), medical abortions (2,476), induced labor (433), ectopic pregnancies (171), still births (357), preterm births (3,570), and non-singleton births (8,871). The selection process was further conducted by removing participants with unclear neonatal sex (15,326), extreme maternal age (<16 or >50) at delivery or missing (1,622), extreme pre-BMI (<12 or >50) or missing (6,688), unreported cigarette or alcohol consumption (1,521), unreported education levels (1,258) and unreported gestational week (5). The final 193,461 participants were included in our study. The distribution of PM$_{2.5}$ over the entire pregnancy in 29 provinces of China was recorded in Table S1 (two provinces were not listed due to small numbers involved in our study). There were 15 provinces and 50,328 (26.0%) participants correspondingly having their average PM$_{2.5}$ lower than 60 g/m$^3$.

Table 1 showed the baseline characteristics of pregnancy women and neonates included and excluded in our study. Male neonates (n=102,203) take the percentage of 52.8% of the population, which were more than female neonates (n=91,258). The mean duration of gestation was 39.25 weeks. The average birth weight of overall included newborns (n=91,258). The mean duration of gestation was 39.25 weeks. PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in each trimester and whole pregnancy were also calculated and the interaction effect was statistically significant between exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ and pre-pregnancy BMI (β=−0.033; 95% CI, −0.048, −0.018; p=0.003), showing a negative interaction in which PM$_{2.5}$ might have less increasing impact on birthweight affected by different levels of pre-pregnancy BMI. Similar interactions could also be seen in both second trimester (P<0.001) and whole pregnancy (P=0.001), while the third trimester showed no significant interaction (p=0.329). The interaction effects were shown in Figure 4. The slopes of regression lines decreased as BMI increased from 18.5 to 28.0, illustrating negative interactions in the first, second trimesters and the whole pregnancy. In sensitivity analysis including 192,326 women and neonates whose birthweight ranged between 530 and 4970 kg/m$^3$, the results were consistent with the main findings (Tables S3, 4).

Discussion

Our study innovatively explored the effect of intrauterine environment on neonatal birthweight from both the metabolic and physical environmental factors. An interaction between pre-pregnancy BMI and PM$_{2.5}$ was discovered in the first and second
trimesters as well as the whole pregnancy. We also found a nonlinear relationship between PM_{2.5} and birthweight increase, confirming the positive correlation between PM_{2.5} exposure and fetal weight gain. Over 190,000 pregnant women were included in our study, and the wide distribution of participants across the country provided good external validity.

First, the positive association between gestational PM_{2.5} and the neonatal birthweight denoted that exposure to PM_{2.5} during pregnancy related to higher risks of high birthweight and LGA. It should be noticed that birthweight increase should not be equal to better outcomes and high birthweight entailed higher risks of mortality and morbidity (35). The restricted cubic spline curves generally presented an increasing trend, although appeared to reach a plateau at about 50-60 μg/m^{3} of PM_{2.5} (at the mild level). The curves implied that birthweight was more sensitive to slight or mild PM_{2.5} pollution, and pregnant women should be alert even at a slightly mild level of air pollution. It was noticed that PM_{2.5} exposure was highest in the third trimester. Seasonality is an important factor in which heavy PM_{2.5} usually occurs during more polluted seasons such as winter (19). Our data presented a higher percentage of neonates born in winter, which could partially explain a significant increase of PM_{2.5} exposure in the third trimester. A similar increasing effect of pre-pregnancy BMI on birthweight was also found in three trimesters. A study by Yu et al. found that pre-pregnancy overweight or obese increased the risk of LGA (OR=1.53; 95% CI, 1.44-1.63), high birthweight (OR=2.00; 95% CI, 1.84-2.18), macrosomia (OR=1.67; 95% CI, 1.42-1.97), and probably subsequent offspring overweight or obese (38). In addition, maternal weight gain during pregnancy was found as a mediator between pre-pregnancy BMI and birthweight increase (39).

In our study, a negative interaction effect was unprecedentedly established between gestational PM_{2.5} exposure and pre-pregnancy BMI on neonatal birthweight in the first, second trimesters and the whole pregnancy, in which pre-pregnancy BMI compromised the increasing effect of PM_{2.5} on birthweight. Pregnancy is a well-known stage of susceptibility in oxidative stress, mainly produced by a normal systemic inflammatory response (40). Obesity is characterized by chronic inflammation along with many other metabolic syndromes such as diabetes mellitus and hypertension (11). The interpretation of such a negative interaction can be put in two aspects: the lifestyle and biological factors. It is well-known that obesity is related to an individual’s living habits. Overweight or obese people may have unhealthy lifestyle habits such as overeating and less outdoor exercise, and the amount of outdoor exercise directly affects the amount of PM_{2.5} exposure. Therefore, we speculate that overweight and obese individuals have reduced PM_{2.5} exposure by spending less time outdoors compared to normal weight, thereby weakening the original role of PM_{2.5} in increasing birthweight. For the biological factors, it has been discovered that maternal metabolic environment affects ovum production, gene expression of zygote and placental development during pregnancy (8). Obesity increases the susceptibility of environmental pollution during the pregnancy mainly by

| Characteristics                        | Number or mean | % or SD |
|----------------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Neonate’s sex                          |                |         |
| Male                                   | 102,203        | 52.8%   |
| Female                                 | 91,258         | 47.2%   |
| Gestational week                       | 39.25          | 1.45    |
| Birthweight (g)                        | 3326.66        | 514.23  |
| Pre-pregnancy BMI * (kg/m^2)           | 21.04          | 2.61    |
| PM_{2.5} (μg/m^3)                      |                |         |
| First trimester                        | 71.09          | 29.13   |
| Second trimester                       | 71.85          | 29.65   |
| Third trimester                        | 81.47          | 32.75   |
| Whole pregnancy                        | 75.33          | 22.57   |
| Maternal age (year)                    | 25.23          | 3.93    |
| Smoking during pregnancy               |                |         |
| Yes                                    | 728            | 0.4%    |
| Quit                                   | 1,095          | 0.6%    |
| Never                                  | 191,638        | 99.1%   |
| Drinking during pregnancy              |                |         |
| Yes                                    | 1,181          | 0.6%    |
| Quit                                   | 1,266          | 0.7%    |
| Never                                  | 191,014        | 98.7%   |
| Educational level                      |                |         |
| Junior high school or below            | 137,737        | 71.2%   |
| Senior high school                     | 37,015         | 19.1%   |
| College or higher                      | 18,709         | 9.7%    |
| Prolonged pregnancy                    |                |         |
| No                                     | 188,825        | 97.6%   |
| Yes                                    | 4,636          | 2.4%    |
| Multiparity                            |                |         |
| No                                     | 152,584        | 78.9%   |
| Yes                                    | 40,877         | 21.1%   |
| Pre-pregnancy diabetes mellitus        |                |         |
| No                                     | 193,440        | 100.0%  |
| Yes                                    | 21             | 0.0%    |
| Pre-pregnancy hypertension             |                |         |
| No                                     | 193,363        | 99.9%   |
| Yes                                    | 98             | 0.1%    |
| Season of delivery                     |                |         |
| Spring                                 | 52,053         | 26.9%   |
| Summer                                 | 30,288         | 15.7%   |
| Autumn                                 | 49,900         | 25.8%   |
| Winter                                 | 61,220         | 31.6%   |

*BMI, body mass index.
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epigenetic inheritance in fetus (41). Studies have shown that the activity of insulin-sensitive genes in obese pregnant women is significantly down-regulated in early pregnancy, leading to elevated insulin levels (42). High insulin levels enhance the activity of the IGF-1 pathway in obese pregnant women and affect fetal growth and development through the placenta, increasing the risk of fetal overweight (43). Elevated concentrations of TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6 and leptin may also worsen insulin resistance and increase fetal overgrowth (44). Similarly, the mechanism of how PM2.5 affects fetal weight can also attribute to epigenetic inheritance. Recent studies have found that PM2.5 may affect fetal growth and development by changing fetal IGF2 gene expression level (41). IGF-2 is a growth factor in homology with IGF-1, which is expressed by an imprinted gene on paternal chromosome 11 (45). The loss of paternalistic imprinting leads to IGF-2 overexpression and fetal overgrowth (45). IGF-1 receptor (IGF1R) is widely expressed in different tissues and both insulin, IGF-1 and IGF-2 can bind to IGF1R to activate downstream signal transduction, while IGF-2 receptor can only be specifically bound by IGF-2 (46). Based on these evidence, we conclude that obese women with pre-pregnancy BMI may increase maternal and placental deposition of metabolites such as lipids through high levels of IGF-1, and this strong effect may cover up the PM2.5’s effect on IGF-2 gene expression. However, the mechanism of environmental factors and metabolic factors on fetal growth and development is rather complex. Further research is necessary to explore the mechanisms of biological interaction during the pregnancy. It is

### TABLE 2 Linear regression between PM$_{2.5}$ concentration and birth weight $^\text{a}$.

| PM$_{2.5}$ concentration (μg/m$^3$) | Unadjusted | Adjusted |
|------------------------------------|------------|----------|
|                                   | $\beta$ (95% CI) | P value | $\beta$ (95% CI) | P value |
| First trimester                    | 1.001 (0.923, 1.080) | <0.001 | 1.493 (1.396, 1.589) | <0.001 |
| Second trimester                   | 0.872 (0.795, 0.950) | <0.001 | 1.375 (1.281, 1.469) | <0.001 |
| Third trimester                    | 0.870 (0.800, 0.940) | <0.001 | 0.879 (0.809, 0.949) | <0.001 |
| Whole pregnancy                    | 1.697 (1.596, 1.798) | <0.001 | 1.715 (1.615, 1.817) | <0.001 |

$^\text{a}$ Adjusted for maternal age at delivery, neonatal sex, smoking during pregnancy, drinking during pregnancy, gestational week, pre-pregnancy BMI, educational level, prolonged pregnancy, multiparity, pre-pregnancy diabetes mellitus, pre-pregnancy hypertension, seasons.

### FIGURE 2

Dose-response relationship between PM$_{2.5}$ concentration and birth weight in first trimester (A), second trimester (B), third trimester (C), and whole pregnancy (D), using cubic restricted model, adjusted for maternal age at delivery, neonatal sex, smoking during pregnancy, drinking during pregnancy, gestational week, pre-pregnancy BMI, educational level, prolonged pregnancy, multiparity, pre-pregnancy diabetes mellitus, pre-pregnancy hypertension, seasons.
noteworthy that there is no interaction found in the third trimester, which should be the crucial period of fetal growth and least affected by genetic factors. These mechanisms suggest that such an interaction may interfere the early and middle stage of pregnancy by mainly affecting the regulation of epigenetics and growth factors and thus play a profound role in late pregnancy as well as postnatal growth.

This is the first analysis to our knowledge of the potential interaction between pre-pregnancy BMI and gestational PM2.5 in a large Chinese cohort study. Interactions between pre-pregnancy BMI and many other factors were also discovered by previous studies. An interaction between pre-pregnancy BMI and gestational passive smoking was found related to macrosomia and LGA (47) and the effect of smoking during pregnancy on SGA and birth weight was markedly reduced among obese and overweight women (48). Interactive effects between different types of metabolic disorders were also assessed. Pre-pregnancy overweight/obesity and hypertensive disorders jointly increasing the risks of obesity and hypertension in offspring or throughout their life course (28, 49). In our study, the negative interaction effect implied a biological interaction between in vivo and in vitro factors, which could be conceptualized in one of two ways: PM2.5 might dampen BMI’s effect on birthweight, and/or higher pre-pregnancy BMI might attenuate PM2.5’s effects on birthweight. As for the contradictions of opposite adverse outcomes of PM2.5 on birthweight in different studies, we suppose that different metabolic status between different races and lifestyles, especially maternal overweight/obesity, can interfere the consistency in how PM2.5 affects birthweight. However, the detailed mechanisms remained unclear.

Our study has some strengths and several limitations. First, a large nationwide cohort study was conducted aiming to find a potential interaction between air pollution and metabolic status on birthweight. Another strength was to contradict the conventional opinion that pre-pregnancy BMI and air pollutions jointly had additive effect on birthweight (11), which shed light on future studies. In addition, to our current knowledge, this is the first study on a large Chinese cohort exploring the biological interaction between pre-pregnancy BMI and PM2.5 on birthweight, linking the metabolic status and environmental stressors in a combined manner. Furthermore, our study also provided a reasonable assumption that such an interaction may interfere fetal growth signal pathways. One of the limitations of our study was that we applied the estimated PM2.5 concentration instead of the ground monitored levels as the result of no ground monitoring station had been established until 2013. Another limitation of our study was the lacks of records of the actual residence of pregnant women. Although we ruled out individuals whose labor places were not coincident with their registered residence, mobility could still probably exist. We suggest that the public and researchers pay more attention to the potential risk of the complex relationship between air pollution and metabolic abnormalities on pregnancy outcomes, and hope to provide some enlightenment for future research.

| Unadjusted | Adjusted |
|------------|----------|
| β (95% CI) | P value | β (95% CI) | P value |
| 7.219 (6.342, 8.096) | <0.001 | 7.012 (6.121, 7.902) | <0.001 |

*Adjusted for maternal age at delivery, neonatal sex, smoking during pregnancy, drinking during pregnancy, gestational week, educational level, prolonged pregnancy, pre-pregnancy diabetes mellitus, pre-pregnancy hypertension, seasons.
Conclusion

Both maternal exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ during pregnancy and pre-pregnancy BMI have positive associations with birth weight throughout pregnancy. More importantly, our findings indicate a negative interaction between pre-pregnancy BMI and PM$_{2.5}$ on birthweight in the first, second trimesters and the whole pregnancy. Further experiments and researches are necessary to identify the biological mechanism of interaction.
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TABLE 4 Interaction between PM$_{2.5}$ (µg/m$^3$) and pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m$^2$) on birthweight (g).

| Variable          | $\beta$ (95% CI) $^a$ | P     |
|-------------------|------------------------|-------|
| First trimester   |                        |       |
| BMI               | 6.502 (6.049, 6.955)   | <0.001|
| PM$_{2.5}$        | 0.996 (0.956, 1.036)   | <0.001|
| BMI*PM$_{2.5}$    | -0.033 (-0.048, -0.018)| 0.030 |
| Second trimester  |                        |       |
| BMI               | 6.721 (6.266, 7.176)   | <0.001|
| PM$_{2.5}$        | 0.910 (0.851, 1.310)   | <0.001|
| BMI*PM$_{2.5}$    | -0.042 (-0.057, -0.027)| 0.004 |
| Third trimester   |                        |       |
| BMI               | 6.703 (5.814, 7.592)   | <0.001|
| PM$_{2.5}$        | 0.880 (0.810, 9.500)   | <0.001|
| BMI*PM$_{2.5}$    | -0.013 (-0.039, 0.013) | 0.329 |
| Whole pregnancy   |                        |       |
| BMI               | 6.164 (5.271, 7.056)   | <0.001|
| PM$_{2.5}$        | 1.725 (1.623, 1.827)   | <0.001|
| BMI*PM$_{2.5}$    | -0.062 (-0.099, -0.024)| 0.001 |

$^a$ Adjusted for maternal age at delivery, neontal sex, smoking during pregnancy, drinking during pregnancy, gestational week, educational level, prolonged pregnancy, multiparity, pre-pregnancy diabetes mellitus, pre-pregnancy hypertension, seasons.

$^b$ Interaction centralized: $x'=x-\mu$.
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FIGURE 4

Interaction effects between PM$_{2.5}$ and pre-pregnancy BMI in the first (A), second (B) trimesters and the whole pregnancy (C).
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