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Abstract
Survival analysis is one of the statistical procedures analyzing data in the form of survival time and variables that affect survival time, namely survival time data starting from the beginning of the study (time origin/start point) until the time an event or endpoint occurs. In the field of health data is obtained from observations of patients who were observed and recorded the time event of each individual. The event in question can be in the form of death, recurrence of new diseases, or recovery. This study will discuss the application of cox proportional hazard regression to determine the cox proportional hazard model on DHF patients. Determine the factors that affect the recovery rate of DHF patients and determine the hazard ratio value of DHF patients at Abdul Moeloek Hospital in Bandar Lampung in 2019. Regression Cox proportional hazard is used because the cox proportional hazard model does not depend on the assumption of the distribution of the time of occurrence; the results are almost the same as the parametric model. They can estimate the hazard ratio without knowing the baseline hazard. Based on the selection of the best model with backward elimination and the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), the best model is obtained with a four-variable model, namely Leukocyte, Hemoglobin, Hematocrit, and Thromobocyte. These four variables are factors that have a significant effect on the patient's length of stay. Then this study also looked at the value of the hazard ratio which thrombocyte are the variables with the largest hazard ratio value, which means the thrombocyte variable has the highest risk level.
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1. Introduction

Various studies in biology, physics, agriculture, and medicine will usually produce data related to an individual's lifetime. Survival data can be analyzed with survival analysis. Lifetime data is a nonnegative variable. Statistical analysis used to analyze lifetime data is called survival analysis [1]. Survival analysis is one of the statistical procedures for analyzing data in the form of survival time and variables that affect survival time. Survival time data starts from the beginning of the time of research (time origin/start point) until the time of occurrence of an event or endpoint [2]. In survival analysis, there are three methods, namely parametric, semi-parametric, and nonparametric. The semi-parametric method that is often used is cox regression. Cox regression is used because the cox model does not depend on the assumption distribution from the time of its occurrence. The results of the Cox regression are almost the same as the results of the parametric model. They can estimate the hazard ratio without knowing the baseline hazard function. Also, this model is a safe model to choose when in doubt to determine its parametric model, so there is no fear about the wrong choice of parametric models [3]. The Cox proportional hazard regression model has the assumption that the hazard function of different individuals is proportional or the ratio of the hazard function of two different individuals is constant [4]. Censored data is data that cannot be observed in full, due to missing individuals or for other reasons, so that data cannot be retrieved or until the end of the observation, the individual has not experienced a particular event. If it is in the opposite condition then the data is called uncensored data [4]. In survival analysis there are 3 types of censorship, they are right sensor, the left sensor, and the interval sensor. In this study, the right sensor will be used because there are patients who disappear before the expected event that occurs namely the recovery event [5]. In this study data health will be used, namely patient data for Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever in Abdul Moeloek Hospital.

Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever (DHF) is one of the diseases that every coming of the rainy season becomes a topic of discussion between the government and the community. It is a disease that can spread quickly and often cause death in a short time. One modeling estimate indicates 390 million dengue virus infections per year (95% credible interval 284–528 million), of which 96 million (67–136 million) manifest clinically (with any severity of disease) [6]. Another study on the prevalence of dengue estimates that 3.9 billion people are at risk of infection with dengue viruses. Despite the risk of infection existing in 129 countries [7], 70% of the actual burden is in Asia [6]. According to WHO, the number of dengue cases reported to WHO increased over 8 fold over the last two decades, from 505,430 cases in 2000, to over 2.4 million in 2010, and 4.2 million in 2019 [8]. Reported deaths between the years 2000 and 2015 increased from 960 to 4032. Research on DHF was conducted by [9] survival analysis in patients with Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever (DHF) at the Haji Hospital Surabaya using the Weibull regression model.

According to the Indonesian Ministry of Health, In Lampung province, in 2020 the period of January to March ranks first in the provinces with the highest DHF cases, with 3,423 cases with 11 deaths[10]. The hospital that will be used as a research sample is the Abdul Moeloek Hospital in Bandar Lampung. So based on these explanations the author is interested in conducting a study of DHF patients with the title "Survival Analysis Using Cox Proportional Hazard Regression approach in Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever (DHF) case in Abdul Moeloek Hospital Bandar Lampung in 2019".

2. Statistical Model

2.1 Probability Density Function

Probability density function is the probability of an individual dying or failing in the time interval \( t \) to \( t + \Delta t \). Probability density function is denoted by \( f(t) \) and is formulated by:

\[
f(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \left[ \frac{P(t < T < (t + \Delta t))}{\Delta t} \right] = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \left[ \frac{P(T > (t + \Delta t)) - P(T > t)}{\Delta t} \right]
\]  

(1)
2.2 Survival Function
The survival function \( S(t) \) states that opportunities do not fail until the time limit \( t \). If \( T \) symbolizes survival time greater than \( t \), then the equation is as follows:
\[
S(t) = P(T > t) = 1 - F(t)
\]  

(2)

2.3 Hazard Function
The hazard function or failure function of the survival time \( T \) denoted \( h(t) \) is the probability of an individual achieving a specific event at time \( t \), provided that it has survived until that time [3]. Hazard function is defined as follows:
\[
h(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{P(t \leq T < t + \Delta t | T \geq t)}{\Delta t} = f(t) \frac{S(t)}{S(t)}
\]  

(3)

2.4 Assumption of Proportional Hazard
To test the proportional hazard assumption in a Cox proportional hazard model there are two ways to test it [2].

2.4.1 Testing proportional hazard assumption using the log-minus-log survival plot
If the log-minus-log survival plot shows a parallel or intersecting curve, then the proportional hazard assumption is not met.

2.4.2 Testing the proportional hazard assumption with schoenfeld residual
In Schoenfeld residuals if \( p - \text{value} < 0.05 \), the covariates tested did not meet the proportional hazard assumption [11], with the test statistics as follows:
\[
\chi^2_{\text{hie}} = \frac{[\Sigma_i (\gamma_i - \gamma) h_i]_i^2}{\Sigma_i \Sigma (\gamma_i - \gamma)^2}
\]  

(4)

2.5 Cox Proportional hazard (Cox PH) Model
In general, the form of the model Cox proportional hazard regression is:
\[
h(t) = h_0(t) e^{\beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \cdots + \beta_k X_k}
\]  

(5)

2.6 Estimation of parameters in the cox proportional hazard Model
Cox regression uses partial likelihood for estimating parameters. Estimation of \( \beta_j \) can be obtained by maximizing the first derivative of the log partial likelihood function, which is as follows:
\[
\frac{\partial \ln L(\beta)}{\partial \beta_j} = 0 \rightarrow \Sigma_{i=1}^r \left[ \Sigma_{j=1}^k X_{ij} - \frac{\Sigma_{t \in R(t)}^{x_{ij}} \exp(\Sigma_{j=1}^k x_{ij} \beta_j)}{\Sigma_{t \in R(t)}^{x_{ij}} \exp(\Sigma_{j=1}^k x_{ij} \beta_j)} \right] = 0
\]  

(6)

2.7 Newton-Raphson Procedure
Used to maximize the partial likelihood function. The algorithm used in the Newton Raphson’s method is assumed \( c = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) and \( I(\hat{\beta}_c)^{-1} \) is the inverse of \( I(\hat{\beta}_c) \) Is as follows:
\[
\hat{\beta}_{c+1} = \hat{\beta}_c - I(\hat{\beta}_c)^{-1} U(\hat{\beta}_c)
\]  

(7)

2.8 Testing the Significance of Model parameters
How to test the significance of the cox model parameters, namely [12]:

3
2.8.1 Partial Likelihood Ratio Test
This test statistic is used to test the hypothesis that one or several $\beta_j$ regression parameters for the model are zero. If $p-value < 0.05$, indicates that there is at least one independent variable that affects survival time. With test statistics as follows:

$$ G = -2[\ln L(0) - \ln L(\beta_j)] $$

2.8.2 Wald Test
This test is used to test the effect of parameters separately, which is denoted by W. If $p-value < 0.05$, indicates that the independent variables affect the survival time. With the test statistics as follows:

$$ W = \left( \frac{\hat{\beta}_j}{SE(\hat{\beta}_j)} \right)^2 $$

2.9 Hazard ratio
The hazard ratio value is used to determine the level of risk (tendency) that can be seen from the comparison between individuals with the condition of the free variable X in the success category with the failure category. The hazard ratio for individuals with $x = 0$ versus $x = 1$ is:

$$ Hazard \ Ratio = \frac{h_0(t|x = 0)}{h_0(t|x = 1)} = \frac{h_0(t)}{h_0(t)e^{\hat{\beta}}} $$

3. Data Analysis
The data of this study are DHF patient data obtained from Abdul Moeleoek Hospital Bandar Lampung.

| Variable | Explanation | Type | Category |
|----------|-------------|------|----------|
| $Y$      | The length of time the patient was treated until healed (Survival Time) | Continuous | |
| $X_2$    | Age         | Continuous | |
| $X_3$    | Gender      | Category | $1=\text{Male}$, $0=\text{Female}$ |
| $X_4$    | Hemoglobin (HB) | Category | $1=\text{normal}$, $0=\text{abnormal}$ |
| $X_5$    | Leukocyte   | Category | $1=\text{normal}$, $0=\text{abnormal}$ |
| $X_6$    | Hematocrit  | Category | $1=\text{normal}$, $0=\text{abnormal}$ |
| $X_7$    | Thrombocyte | Category | $1=\text{normal}$, $0=\text{abnormal}$ |

3.1 Descriptive Statistics
The following table is a descriptive statistics that explains the average, Standard Deviation minimum ana maximum of the research variables.
Table 2. Descriptive Statistics

| Variable      | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum | Maximum | N  |
|---------------|------|---------|---------|---------|----|
| Survival Time | 4.4  | 1.45    | 2       | 7       | 59 |
| Age           | 31.1 | 11.93   | 16      | 68      | 59 |
| Leukocyte     | 5.8  | 2.88    | 1.5     | 17      | 59 |
| Hematocrit    | 40.1 | 5.58    | 25      | 49      | 59 |
| Hemoglobin    | 14.4 | 2.09    | 8.5     | 18.3    | 59 |
| Thrombocyte   | 49.458 | 68.436.8 | 5.000 | 334.000 | 59 |

Based on Figure 1, shows that out of 59 DHF patients, the percentage between the number of DHF female patients is higher than male namely 45.76% and 54.24% female. The percentage of DHF patients with normal Thrombocyte was only 6.78% while those who were not normal were 93.22%, normal leukocytes were 62.71% while those who were not normal were 37.29%, normal hemoglobin was 72.88% while those who were abnormal were 27.12%, normal hematocrit was 42.37% while those who were not normal normal 57.63%. This shows that Thrombocyte and hematocytes tend to be abnormal whereas hemoglobin tends to be normal.

3.2 Checking the Proportional Hazard Assumption

In testing the assumptions of PH, this study uses Residual Schoenfeld, as follows:

Table 3. Residual Schoenfeld

| Variable | Correlation | P-Value | Decision       |
|----------|-------------|---------|----------------|
| Age      | -0.09222    | 0.4951  | Failed to reject $H_0$ |
Based on table 3 shows that for each variable has $p-value > 0.05$, it can be concluded that the assumption of PH is fulfilled for all independent variables.

3.3 Parameter Estimation and Parameter Significance Testing

To estimate the initial parameters, enter all independent variables so that the estimated parameters obtained in the table below.

| Variable    | DF | Parameter Estimate |
|-------------|----|--------------------|
| Age         | 1  | -0.00985           |
| Gender      | 1  | -0.20363           |
| Hemoglobin  | 1  | 1.07375            |
| Leukocyte   | 1  | 0.86867            |
| Hematocrit  | 1  | 0.97812            |
| Thrombocyte | 1  | 1.43470            |

Next, the parameter significance testing will be carried out simultaneously and partially. In testing the significance of these parameters simultaneously tested with the likelihood ratio test.

| Test          | Chi-Square | Pr > ChiSq |
|---------------|------------|------------|
| Likelihood Ratio | 28.1907    | <0.0001    |

Seen in table 5 shows that the value of the likelihood ratio test is 28.1907 with $p-value (< 0.0001) < \alpha = 0.05$. So it can be concluded that there is at least one significant independent variable, or it can be interpreted that the model contributes to the recovery rate of DHF patients. Because there is at least one significant variable, it will be continued with separate testing.

| Variable    | DF | Wald Chi-Square | Pr > ChiSq |
|-------------|----|----------------|------------|
| Age         | 1  | 0.6960         | 0.4041     |
| Gender      | 1  | 0.5126         | 0.4740     |
| Hemoglobin  | 1  | 7.5065         | 0.0061     |
| Leukocyte   | 1  | 7.4293         | 0.0064     |
| Hematocrit  | 1  | 6.4783         | 0.0109     |
| Thrombocyte | 1  | 5.5327         | 0.0187     |

In table 6 shows that the age and gender variables have values of $p-value > 0.05$ or more significant level. It can be concluded that the age and gender variables have no significant effect. For the variables of Hemoglobin, Leukocytes, Hematocrit and Thrombocytes have a $p-value < 0.05$ so it can be concluded that the variables Hemoglobin, Leukocytes, Hematocrit and Thrombocytes significantly influence the length of stay variable.
3.4 Selection of the best model
In this study, backward elimination will be used to determine the best model, starting with removing the insignificant independent variables and the elimination process will stop when all variables are significant, then the value of AIC (Akaike Information Criterion) will be seen. Here are the results of backward elimination by removing age and gender variables.

| Variable    | DF | Chi-Square | Pr > ChiSq |
|-------------|----|------------|------------|
| Hemoglobin  | 1  | 9.9915     | 0.0016     |
| Leukocyte   | 1  | 6.7969     | 0.0091     |
| Hematocrit  | 1  | 5.5416     | 0.0186     |
| Thromobocyte| 1  | 5.2491     | 0.0220     |

In Table 7, shows that the partial test results for the Hemoglobin, Leukocyte, Hematocrit and Thromobocyte variables are all significant with a $P - value < 0.05$ so that the backward elimination stage is stopped. Next will be seen the value of AIC (Akaike Information Criterion) of the steps that have been taken, starting from the full model to the third step by eliminating the age and gender variables. The following AIC values for each model:

| Model | Variable                        | AIC   |
|-------|---------------------------------|-------|
| 1     | Enter all the independent variables into the model (full model) | 368,873 |
| 2     | Without Variable $X_2$(Gender)  | 367,607 |
| 3     | Without Variable $X_2$(Gender) and $X_1$(Age) | 366,113 |

Table 8. shows that of the three models, the model that has the smallest AIC model 3, with a value of 366,113. From the stage of selecting the cox proportional hazard model 3 regression model (without Variable $X_2$(Gender) and $X_1$(Age)) is the model that meets the best model criteria because model 3 has the smallest AIC value with significant independent variables. After getting the best model, parameter estimation will be carried out on the variables that enter the model.

| Variable    | DF  | Parameter Estimate |
|-------------|-----|--------------------|
| Hemoglobin  | 1   | 1.20211            |
| Leukocyte   | 1   | 0.82141            |
| Hematocrit  | 1   | 0.86475            |
| Thromobocyte| 1   | 1.38290            |

Table 9. Parameter estimation with the best model

From Table 9, shows the estimated parameters of the variable hemoglobin ($X_3$), leukocyte ($X_4$), hematocrit ($X_5$) dan Thromobocyte ($X_6$). From the parameter estimation results in table 4.11, the best cox proportional hazard model obtained after testing the parameters and selecting the model is as follows:

$$h(t) = h_0(t) \exp (1.20211 X_3 + 0.82141 X_4 + 0.86475 X_5 + 1.38290 X_6)$$

3.5 Hazard ratio
To see the rate of recovery of DHF patients, hazard ratio will be used. The following are hazard ratio values for DHF patient variables:
| Variable    | Parameter Estimate | Hazard Ratio |
|------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Hemoglobin | 1.20211            | 3.327        |
| Leukocyte  | 0.82141            | 2.274        |
| Hematocrit | 0.86475            | 2.374        |
| Thromobocyte| 1.38290            | 3.986        |

Based on Table 10, hazard ratio values can be seen to explain the cure rate of DHF patients.

a. The normal hemoglobin variable has a cure rate of 3.327 times compared to patients with abnormal hemoglobin.

b. The normal leukocyte variable has a cure rate of 2.274 times compared to patients with abnormal leukocytes.

c. The normal hematocrit variable has a cure rate of 2.374 times compared to patients with abnormal hematocrit.

d. The normal Thromobocyte variable has a cure rate of 3.986 times compared with Thromobocyte abnormal.

4. Conclusions

In the study of survival analysis in DHF patients in Abdul Moeloek Hospital Bandar Lampung 2019, the following conclusions are obtained:

1. The model obtained from the process of modeling the cox proportional hazard regression in DHF patient data in Abdul Moeloek Hospital Bandar Lampung 2019 is:

\[
h(t) = h_0(t) \exp(1.20211 X_3 + 0.82141 X_4 + 0.86475 X_5 + 1.38290 X_6)
\]

2. From the model that has been obtained, the factors that influence the recovery of DHF patients in Abdul Moeloek Hospital are Hemoglobin, Leukocytes, Hematocrit and Thrombocyte.

3. From the value of the hazard ratio obtained, the cure rate of patients with abnormal Hemoglobin has a longer recovery rate compared to normal Hemoglobin, patients with abnormal Leukosti have a longer recovery rate compared to normal Leukocytes, patients with abnormal hematocrit have a longer recovery rate than patients with normal hematocrit, as well as patients with abnormal Thromobocyte have a longer recovery rate than patients with normal Thromobocyte.
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