Association of corrected QT interval with long-term mortality in patients with syncope
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Abstract

Introduction: The electrocardiographic parameters QRS duration, QRS-T angle and QTc can predict mortality in patients with cardiovascular disease. The prognostic value of these parameters in hospitalized patients with syncope needs investigation.

Material and methods: We retrospectively studied 590 consecutive patients hospitalized with syncope. After excluding patients with baseline abnormal rhythm, QT-prolonging medications, and missing data, 459 patients were analyzed. Baseline demographic characteristics, co-morbidities, medication use, San Francisco Syncope Rule (SFSR) and Osservatorio Epidemiologico sulla Sincope nel Lazio (OESIL) score and data on mortality were collected. The categorical variables and continuous variables of the 2 groups of patients with prolonged QTc and normal QTc interval were analyzed by Fischer’s exact test and Mann-Whitney Test. A stepwise Cox regression model was used for time to death analysis.

Results: Of 459 patients, prolonged QTc interval was observed in 122 (27%). Mean follow-up was 41 months. Patients with prolonged QTc interval had higher prevalence of cardiovascular disease, OESIL score, high risk SFSR, hypertension, dyslipidemia, coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, and increased mortality. Stepwise Cox regression analysis showed that significant independent prognostic factors for time to death were prolonged QTc interval ($p = 0.005$), age ($p = 0.001$), diabetes mellitus ($p = 0.001$) and history of malignancy ($p = 0.006$). QRS duration and QRS-T angle were not independent predictors of mortality.

Conclusions: A prolonged QTc interval is an independent predictor of long-term mortality in hospitalized patients with syncope.
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Introduction

Electrocardiographic parameters have been associated with increased mortality in patients with cardiovascular disease. These may include: QRS duration [1–3], QRS-T angle [4, 5], corrected QT interval [6], QTc dispersion [7, 8], and heart rate variability [9]. The QT interval represents the time from the onset of ventricular depolarization to the end of repolarization.
This on the surface electrocardiogram (ECG) is measured from the onset of Q wave to the end of the T wave [10]. QT interval varies with heart rate and needs to be corrected for the same. Among the several methods available to correct the heart rate, Bazzett’s formula is commonly used. Patients with congenitally long QTc syndrome have increased incidence of cardiac arrest or sudden cardiac death which may be the presenting symptom in as much as 13% of untreated patients [11]. Although prolonged QTc increases mortality in certain subgroups, its clinical significance and value in predicting total and cardiovascular mortality in the general population remains unclear [12]. QTc interval has been associated with increased mortality in patients with coronary heart disease [13, 14], diabetes mellitus [15], and congestive heart failure [16]. The association of QTc interval and mortality in patients hospitalized with syncope has not been adequately studied [6]. In this study, we report the association of various electrocardiographic parameters (QTc prolongation, QRS duration and QRS-T angle) with long-term mortality among patients presenting with syncope.

**Material and methods**

We retrospectively studied 590 consecutive patients hospitalized with syncope from January, 2007 to October, 2010 after obtaining Institutional Review Board approval. A total of 94 patients with paced rhythm (16), bundle branch block (51), atrial fibrillation (23) and atrial flutter (4) were excluded from the study as this would lead to inaccuracies in measurement of electrocardiographic parameters. Due to missing data (in 15 patients) and patients currently taking QT-prolonging medications (22), 37 more patients were excluded. A total of 459 patients were included in the final analysis.

| Variables | Normal QTc interval ≤ 450 (M), ≤ 460 (F) (n = 337) | Prolonged QTc interval QTC > 450 ms (M), > 460 ms (F) (n = 122) | Value of ρ |
|-----------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Men, n (%) | 178 (53) | 72 (59) | 0.25 |
| Age, mean ± SD [years] | 65.6 ±16.8 | 65.3 ±17.1 | 0.98 |
| Caucasian race, n (%) | 245 (73) | 85 (70) | 0.56 |
| Prior syncope, n (%) | 80 (24) | 28 (23) | 0.99 |
| Prior cardiovascular disease, n (%) | 109 (32) | 54 (44) | 0.02 |
| OESIL! Score | 191 (57) | 96 (79) | < 0.001 |
| High risk SFSR$ score, n (%) | 74 (22) | 36 (30) | 0.1 |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%) | 219 (65) | 101 (83) | < 0.001 |
| Hypertension, n (%) | 153 (45) | 70 (57) | 0.02 |
| Dyslipidemia, n (%) | 73 (22) | 44 (36) | 0.002 |
| Coronary artery disease, n (%) | 6 (2) | 14 (11) | < 0.001 |
| Congestive heart failure, n (%) | 28 (8) | 17 (14) | 0.08 |
| Cerebrovascular accident/transient ischemic attack, n (%) | 10 (10) | 21 (17) | 0.054 |
| History of malignancy, n (%) | 67 (20) | 30 (25) | 0.3 |
| Glomerular filtration rate [ml/min/1.73 m²] | 72 ±28 | 72 ±29 | 0.56 |
| QRS duration [ms] | 90 ±12 | 109 ±31 | < 0.001 |
| QRS T angle [degrees] | 47 ±41 | 74 ±55 | < 0.001 |
| Vasovagal syncope, n (%) | 70 (21) | 26 (21) | 0.89 |
| Syncopal etiology undetermined, n (%) | 103 (30) | 45 (37) | 0.21 |
| Volume depletion, n (%) | 32 (9) | 18 (15) | 0.12 |
| Cardiac causes of syncope*, n (%) | 83 (25) | 15 (12) | 0.004 |
| Mortality, n (%) | 41 (12) | 31 (25) | 0.001 |

*Atrial and ventricular arrhythmias, second-degree or third-degree atrioventricular block, acute coronary syndrome, aortic stenosis, and hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy; M – men, F – women, !Osservatorio Epidemiologico sulla Sincope nel Lazio score, $San Francisco syncope rule
Cases were defined as those with QTc prolongation while controls were those with normal QTc intervals (based upon corrected QTc interval more than 450 ms in males and 460 ms in females is considered prolonged) [17].

Baseline demographic characteristics and co-morbidities are shown in Table I. Mean follow-up was 41 ±18 months. The diagnostic work-up for syncope included blood pressure recordings with orthostatic changes, electrocardiograms, cardiac biomarkers, telemetry, Holter recordings, and 2-dimensional echocardiograms in all patients. Computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging scans of the head, carotid ultrasonograms, stress testing, coronary angiograms, and electrophysiology studies were also performed in selected patients if considered appropriate by the treating physician. The various etiologies identified for syncope are summarized in Table II.

We calculated the risk prediction scores for syncope – Osservatorio Epidemiologico sulla Sincope nel Lazio (OESIL) risk score [18] and San Francisco syncope rule (SFSR) [19] in all our patients. The OESIL score is calculated by the presence of the following 4 characteristics which indicate poor outcome: age > 65 years, history of cardiovascular disease, syncope without prodrome, and an abnormal ECG [18]. High risk SFSR score indicates presence of at least one of the following risk factors: abnormal ECG, a complaint of dyspnea, a hematocrit less than 30%, a systolic blood pressure less than 90 mm Hg, or a history of congestive heart failure [19]. Prior cardiovascular disease is defined as the presence of one of the following: any form of structural heart disease, prior or current evidence of congestive heart failure, peripheral arterial disease, stroke or transient ischemic attack. Electrocardiogram (ECG) is considered abnormal by the presence of rhythm abnormalities, atrio-ventricular or intra-ventricular conduction delay, past myocardial infarction, or ST-T changes consistent with or related to myocardial ischemia. Data on mortality were collected online from vital statistics website using 3 patient identifiers (name, date of birth, and social security number).

All patients had ECGs recorded with a Philips Pagewriter Touch Interpretive ECG Machine and stored using the Philips TraceMaster Vue ECG management system version C.01. The TraceMasterVue ECG management system is a computer system that allows viewing, manual editing, printing, and archiving of digitally stored ECG data. The QT interval that was calculated by the computerized software was manually validated individually by 2 of our authors who were blinded on the outcome data. For QT interval validation, each individual lead was magnified to fit a 15.5 inch high resolution screen. An electronic caliper calibrated to accurately measure to the nearest 0.1 mm was used to calculate the QT interval. QT interval was measured from the beginning of the Q wave to the visual return of the T wave. If the end of the T wave was interrupted by a U wave, the nadir between the T and U wave was taken as end of the T wave. QTc interval was calculated in two consecutive beats in all leads, and the mean was taken as QTc interval duration. The heart rate correction of QT interval (QTc) was calculated using the Bazett’s formula. As per the American Heart Association Electrocardiology and Arrhythmias Committee, Council on Clinical Cardiology, the American College of Cardiology Foundation, and the Heart Rhythm Society recommendation, a QTc interval more than 450 ms in men and 460 ms in women is considered prolonged [17].

**Statistical analysis**

All of the data were collected in Microsoft excel version 2010 (Redmond, Washington). The categorical variables and continuous variables were analyzed by Fischer’s exact test and the Mann-Whitney Test. A stepwise Cox regression model was created to predict the time to death. The Kaplan-Meier curves were created to find out the survival rates of those with and without QTc prolongation. The log rank test (Mantel-Cox method) was used to compare these survival curves. All the data were analyzed using SPSS 20 version (Chicago, IL). A p value of less than 0.05 is considered statistically significant.

| **Etiology of syncope** | **Results, n (%)** |
|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Vasovagal               | 96 (21)           |
| Volume depletion        | 50 (11)           |
| Etiology undetermined   | 148 (32)          |
| Orthostatic hypotension | 15 (3)            |
| Sick sinus syndrome     | 16 (3)            |
| Advanced second-degree or third-degree atrioventricular block | 11 (2) |
| Supraventricular tachycardia | 8 (2) |
| Ventricular tachycardia  | 23 (5)            |
| Acute coronary syndrome | 22 (5)            |
| Aortic stenosis         | 13 (3)            |
| Intracranial causes     | 9 (2)             |
| Drug overdose           | 8 (2)             |
| Hypoglycemia            | 6 (1)             |
| Hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy | 4 (1) |
| Carotid sinus hypersensitivity | 4 (1) |
| Other causes            | 26 (5)            |
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Table II. Etiology of syncope on work-up in 459 patients
Results

Of the 459 patients, mean age 65 ±17 years, included in the final analysis, 250 (54%) were men. Of 459 patients, 108 (23%) had a history of prior syncope, 110 (24%) had diabetes mellitus, and 117 (25%) had a prior diagnosis of coronary artery disease. Of these 459 patients, 122 (27%) had a prolonged QTc interval. The demographics of the patients by QTc interval are shown in Table I. Patients with a prolonged QTc interval had a higher prevalence of cardiovascular disease, OESIL score, high risk SFSR, hypertension, dyslipidemia, coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, QRS duration, QRS-T angle, and increased mortality.

The etiologies of syncope in our study population are shown in Table II. Idiopathic (32%), vasovagal (21%), and volume depletion (11%) were the common etiologies in our study population in descending order. During a mean follow-up of 41 ±18 months, 72 patients (16%) died.

Table III shows the results of multivariate analysis to determine the independent predictors of mortality in patients hospitalized with syncope. All characteristics in Table I that were found significant on univariate analysis along with age and sex were included in stepwise Cox regression analysis to determine predictors of time to death. There was no significant difference in survival between men and women admitted with syncope. QRS duration was not significant in the univariate analysis to predict mortality ($p = 0.11$), and hence not included in stepwise Cox regression analysis. Prolonged QTc (> 450 ms in men and > 460 ms in women) was an independent predictor of mortality ($p = 0.005$) along with age ($p = 0.001$), diabetes mellitus ($p = 0.001$), and history of malignancy ($p = 0.006$). QRS duration and QRS-T angle were not significant independent predictors of mortality. Figure 1 shows the Kaplan-Meier survival curves for those with and without a prolonged QTc interval.

In addition, we performed a subgroup analysis looking at the predictors of mortality in patients without structural heart disease. Multivariate analysis by Cox proportional hazards showed that the only significant independent predictor of mortality in the 378 patients without structural heart disease was a prolonged QTc interval (odds ratio = 2.427; 95% CI: 1.323–4.452; $p = 0.004$).

We compared the sensitivity and specificity of the OESIL and SFSR scores to determine long-term mortality (41 ±18 months) with the QTc interval alone and in combination. Scores of ≥ 1 and ≥ 2 are

| Variable | Value of $p$ | Hazards ratio | 95% CI for Exp(B) |
|----------|-------------|--------------|------------------|
| Age      | 0.001       | 1.041        | 1.017 1.065      |
| Sex      | 0.198       | 0.716        | 0.430 1.191      |
| OESIL score | 0.213     |              |                  |
| Prior cardiovascular disease | 0.238 | 1.557 | 0.747 3.245 |
| SFSR high risk | 0.107 | 1.751 | 0.885 3.464 |
| Diabetes mellitus | 0.001 | 2.271 | 1.369 3.766 |
| Prior cerebrovascular accident or transient ischemic attack | 0.288 | 1.440 | 0.735 2.822 |
| QRS T angle | 0.530 | 1.002 | 0.996 1.007 |
| History of malignancy | 0.006 | 2.350 | 1.284 4.302 |
| QTc > 460 ms in women and > 450 ms in men | 0.005 | 2.115 | 1.259 3.552 |
| Glomerular filtration rate | 0.311 | 0.995 | 0.985 1.005 |

1Osservatorio Epidemiologico sulla Sincope nel Lazio score, 5San Francisco syncope rule

Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier survival curves for those with and without prolonged QTc interval ($p < 0.001^*$)

* $p$ value by log rank test
considered high-risk SFSR and OESIL, respectively. As a single test, high-risk SFSR has the highest sensitivity (0.54) compared to high-risk OESIL (0.33) and QTc prolongation (0.25). QTc prolongation and high-risk OESIL score have a comparable specificity (0.73) which is better than a high-risk SFSR score (0.54). When combining these tests, QTc prolongation or high-risk SFSR score or high-risk OESIL score has the highest sensitivity (0.75). QTc prolongation plus high-risk OESIL has the highest specificity (0.96).

Discussion

In this retrospective study, we found that a prolonged QTc interval independently predicted mortality in patients admitted to the hospital with the diagnosis of syncope. The process of repolarization in the ventricular myocardial cells is not entirely homogenous. If this heterogeneity that normally exists is increased, it results in prolongation of the QT interval. This increased heterogeneity can predispose to the development of malignant ventricular arrhythmias, including torsades de pointes [14]. In patients with underlying cardiac disease, ventricular repolarization heterogeneity is increased resulting in QTc interval prolongation [15]. Although prolonged QTc interval is suggested to come from sympatovagal balance, the primary determinant of QTc interval is left ventricular systolic performance [16]. Also, men with prolonged QTc interval are at a higher risk of coronary artery disease and related mortality independent of other risk factors [6]. Hence, prolonged QTc interval is a useful indicator that could help unravel underlying cardiac disease, which could predict long-term mortality. Prolonged QTc has also been shown to increase mortality in patients with diabetes mellitus [15] and congestive heart failure [9, 15].

Although corrected QT interval has been shown to predict mortality in specific subgroup of patients as mentioned above, its importance in the general population remains unclear. In a review of 7 prospective cohort studies looking at prolonged QTc and mortality in the general population [12], one study reported no association between prolonged QTc interval and mortality. The other six studies reported inconsistent associations overall and across subgroups. The only consistent finding was in the subgroup of patients with prior cardiovascular disease. The relative risks of prolonged QTc interval ranged from 1.1 to 3.8 for total mortality, 1.2 to 8.0 for cardiovascular mortality, and 1.0 to 2.1 for sudden death in patients with prior cardiovascular disease. The authors concluded that there was inconsistent evidence for association of QTc with total mortality, cardiovascular mortality, and sudden death, except perhaps in patients with prior cardiovascular disease [12].

In a retrospective study of 348 patients presenting to the emergency department with syncope, prolonged QTc interval was defined as a QTc interval ≥ 440 ms [6]. In this study, patients with a prolonged QTc interval had significantly higher mortality when compared to those with a normal QTc interval (22% vs. 11%; p = 0.004). After adjustment for covariates in the Cox regression model, age ≥ 65 years (HR 7.9; 95% CI: 1.9–32.9; p = 0.004) and QTc interval ≥ 500 ms (HR 3.5; 95% CI: 1.56–8.12; p = 0.002) were predictors of increased mortality among patients with syncope. A QTc interval ≥ 440 ms was not independently associated with increased mortality. The causes of syncope were not identified in that study population [6].

The SFSR and OESIL are commonly used risk prediction scores to evaluate short-term outcomes in patients with syncope. The SFSR score is the only risk predictor that has been validated in various settings. This simple, 5-step clinical decision score is intended to identify patients at risk of short-term serious outcomes [19, 20]. In a recent meta-analysis, a pooled estimate of sensitivity of the San Francisco Syncope Rule was 0.87 (95% CI: 0.79–0.93). The pooled estimate of specificity was 0.52 (95% CI: 0.43–0.62) [21].

Colivicchi et al. [18] derived the OESIL score based on an arithmetic sum of 4 important predictors of mortality at 1 year by multivariate analysis. These investigators identified a significant increase in mortality as the OESIL score increased, both in the derivation and validation cohort. In subsequent studies, a high-risk OESIL score had a sensitivity of 90% and a specificity of 76% for short-term mortality [22].

The present study is the first study showing the sensitivity and specificity of the SFSR score, the OESIL score, and a prolonged QTc interval alone and in combination in predicting long-term mortality in patients with syncope. The SFSR score has the highest sensitivity, and the OESIL score and prolonged QTc have the highest specificity in predicting long-term mortality when used alone. When combining these tests, QTc prolongation or high-risk SFSR score or high-risk OESIL score has the highest sensitivity (0.75). QTc prolongation plus high-risk OESIL has the highest specificity (0.96).

Diabetes mellitus was a significant independent predictor of mortality (p = 0.001) in our patients with syncope. In a recent study of 22,189 patients with 23,951 emergency department visits for syncope, diabetes (p = 0.002) was identified as a significant independent predictor of 30-day mortality [23]. Our study identified syncope as a predictor of long-term mortality.

The study has the limitations of being a retrospective study with lack of data regarding the cause of death. Although every effort was made to eval-
ulate differences in baseline variables that might have contributed to differences in mortality, there may have been other variables not accounted for that could have influenced outcome in this study. The present study was a single center study, and thus the results reflect the demographics and standard of care representative of a single region of the United States.

This study confirms the clinical utility of QTc interval as a risk prediction tool for long-term mortality in patients presenting with syncope due to various etiologies. We conclude that in patients hospitalized with the diagnosis of syncope, a QTc interval more than 450 ms in men and 460 ms in women is an independent predictor of long-term mortality along with age, history of malignancy, and diabetes mellitus.
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