Effect of Metakaolin and Condensed Silica Fume on the Rheological and Structural Properties of Self-compacting Concrete
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Abstract This article deals with the comparison between the metakaolin and condensed silica fume contributions in the flyash based self-compacting concrete (SCC). Self-compacting concrete with mineral admixtures like flyash and condensed silica fume is prepared by cement replacing partially at 20 and 10 percentages respectively. M40 grade of the concrete was designed by adjusting the ratio of the fine aggregate to the total aggregate volume to fulfill the requirements of the SCC. Another M40 grade of SCC is designed with the flyash and metakaolin as the mineral admixtures with the same percentage of replacement for cement by mass. Rheological properties are examined as per EFNARC specifications for flowability; passing ability and segregation resistance for both the triple blended self-compacting concretes (TBSCC). For getting the required flowability of concrete and for modifying the viscous nature of the concrete, superplasticizer and viscosity modifying agents are additionally added to the concrete. The structural properties like compression and split tensile strengths of the specimens are recorded by conducting the standard tests. By comparing the strength results, it is concluded that metakaolin and silica fume have only marginal changes between them in the rheological as well as the mechanical properties of the triple blended self-compacting concrete.
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1. Introduction

Compaction of the concrete has a very significant role in obtaining the required strength of the concrete. But in the fabrication of thin concrete structural elements, the compaction is too difficult, and also for placing the fresh concrete in the dense reinforcement zones. To overcome these difficulties, in the late 90’s Okamura, Ochi and Ozawa have introduced the self-compacting concrete technique. With this, the concrete fills all the places of the formwork by its weight and there is no need for the compaction for becoming dense. The self-compacting concrete can be placed either by pouring or pumping, depending upon the structural elements like slab, beam, and column. Due to the addition or replacement of mineral admixtures such as flyash, condensed silica fume, and metakaolin the workability property of the concrete is influenced and also enhances the structural properties like compression, tensile and flexural strengths. The chemical
admixture like superplasticizer (SP) improves the flowability of the concrete. The viscosity of the fresh concrete is modified by incorporating the viscosity modifying agent (VMA) along with SP.

Masahiro Ouchi [1] studied the influence of the superplasticizer on the properties of the self-compacting concrete. In this article, the authors concluded that the dosage of the superplasticizer on the volume of the concrete will affect the rheological properties, and an optimum dosage is evolved. Cyr. M. Mouret [2] did the experimental investigation on the rheological characterization of super plasticized cement pastes containing mineral admixtures, and the consequences on self-compacting concrete. There was improvement reported in the flowability of concrete. Brouwers, H, Radix, H [3] studied the experimental way of obtaining self-compacting concrete and a theoretical approach was also given by the authors. P. Dinakar and S. N. Manu [4] gave the mix design for high strength self-compacting concrete using metakaolin as one of the mineral admixtures. Siddique, R [5] investigated the contribution of class F flyash on the properties of the self-compacting concrete. Corinaldesi, V, Moriconi, G [6] investigated the characterization of self-compacting concrete prepared with different fibers and mineral admixtures. Siddique, R [7] examined the coal flyash and the bottom ash contributions on the strength properties of self-compacting concrete, and the authors also studied the water to cement ratio’s effect on the fresh and hardened properties of SCC. Assem Hassan and Mohammed lakherim [8] studied the effect of metakaolin and silica fume on the rheology of the self-compacting concrete. Vikassrivastava, Rakesh Khanna [9] examined the effect of silica fume and metakaolin on the structural properties of the concrete. Ramanathan, P [10] conducted the tests on the performance of self-compacting concrete containing different mineral admixtures, and conclusions are drawn from the experimentation giving that mineral admixtures play a major role on the strength of the SCC. Ioannis P. Sfikas [11] did the experimental investigation on the rheology and mechanical properties of the self-compacting concrete containing metakaolin and presented improved results. Hafez E. Elyamany [12] studied the effect of the filler type of material on the physical, mechanical, and microstructural properties of the self-compacting concrete. Akinpelu, MA [13] did the experimental work for the evaluation of the relation between splitting tensile strength and the compressive strength of self-compacting concrete. EFNARC-2005(The European Federation of National Associations Representing for Concrete-Specifications for the self-compacting concrete) [14] gave the detailed specifications for SCC. The details of various tests to be conducted for the flowability of SCC were also given. ACI (American Concrete Institute)237R-07-2007[15] gave the various recommendations on the preparation, flowability, and tests for SCC. The objective of this research article is comparison between the admixtures which will contributed rheological and structural properties of the SCC.

2. Material and Mix Design

2.1. Material Used

2.1.1. Cement

53 grade of Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) confirming to IS:10269-2015 [16] is used as binding material. The specific surface area of this cement is around 2800 cm²/gram, specific gravity is 3.15, and the consistency of the cement is 32%. All these physical properties of the OPC are satisfied by the sample.

2.1.2. Fine Aggregate

River sand is used as a fine aggregate in this experimentation. The fineness modulus of this sand is determined and recorded as 2.82. Depending upon the percentage of passing in the various sizes of the sieves, it is confirmed that this fine aggregate is confirming to Zone – II. The specific gravity of this material is 2.64. These are fulfilling the provisions of IS:383-2016 [17].

2.1.3. Coarse Aggregate

As per specification of the code of practice for the coarse aggregate IS:383-2016[17], the physical properties of these materials such as specific gravity, bulk density, and fineness modulus are recorded as 2.64,1610 kg/m³, and 6.78 respectively.

2.2. Mineral Admixtures

2.2.1. Flyash (FA)

In this experimentation, the F type flyash was used as the main supplementary cementitious material (SCM). It is obtained from the Ramagundam thermal power plant in Andhra Pradesh, India. The specific surface area of the flyash is recorded as 4750 cm²/gm by conducting Blaine’s permeability test. The cement can be replaced by this type of flyash ranging from 15% to 25% by mass of the cement. The optimum percentage may vary depending upon the application. In this work, 20 % of this mineral admixture is used as SCM.

2.2.2. Condensed silica fume (SF)

Condensed silica fume consists mainly of silica in non-crystalline form and contains a large percentage of active silicon dioxide (SiO₂). This admixture is used to replace OPC by 9-15%. In this work, 10 % of CSF is used as a replacement of cement because of its high specific surface area which is around 15000 cm²/gram.

2.2.3. Metakaolin (MK)
Metakaolin is the most effective pozzolanic material for use as partial replacement to OPC because it has more compatible properties with the cement. It is a by-product and is formed by calcination of clay. It may be used to replace cement at ranges of 5-10% by weight of the cement. In this experimentation, 10% of Metakaoline is used for the replacement of cement.

The physical and chemical properties of all these mineral admixtures are shown in tables 1 and 2. The mineral admixtures were used at optimum percentages as a replacement to OPC. In this experimentation, mineral admixtures are added in one set of concrete as 10% CSF, and 20% FA, and in another set of concrete as 10% MK, and 20% FA.

2.3. Chemical Admixtures

A high-performance superplasticizer based on polycarboxylic ether (PCE) is used in this experimentation as a superplasticizer (SP). It is commercially available as Glenium B233. In addition to this, VMA (Viscosity Modifying Agent) is also used to minimize the tendency of the highly fluid mix to segregate. Commercially it is available as Glenium-2. These chemicals are supplied by M/s BASF INDIA LTD.

2.4. Mix Design

Based on physical properties like specific gravity, fineness modulus, and other values, the M40 grade of normal concrete (NC) is designed as per IS 10262-2009[19] specification. The proportion of the materials shown in table 3. The mix design for SCC can be obtained by rearranging the fine aggregate to the total aggregate volume ratio between 0.54 to 0.68. After so many trials, the following mix for SCC is adopted which is fulfilling the primary requirements like flowability, passing ability, and segregation resistance as per EFNARC [14]. Final proportions of the materials are given in table 4.

| Mix Identification | Abbreviation |
|--------------------|--------------|
| M1-SF0MK0FA0       | Mix1 with 0% of condensed silica fume, 0% of metakaolin, 0% flyash |
| M2-SF0MK10FA30     | Mix2 with 0% of condensed silica fume, 10% of metakaolin, 30% flyash |
| M3-SF10MK10FA20    | Mix3 with 0% of condensed silica fume, 10% of metakaolin, 20% flyash |
| M4-SF10MK10FA20    | Mix4 with 10% of condensed silica fume, 0% of metakaolin, 20% flyash |

Here cement is blended with mineral admixtures like flyash, condensed silica fume, and metakaolin for a total of 30% replacement to cement. For one set of concrete, it is 30% replacement of flyash alone, for remaining two sets of the concrete flyash is blended with condensed silica fume and metakaolin.

2.5. Properties of the Fresh Self-compacting Concrete (Rheological properties)

The rheological properties of the fresh concrete are flowability, passing ability, and segregation resistance which are determined by slump cone test, V-funnel test, and L-box test respectively. The mixed abbreviations, the quantities of materials, and rheological test results are shown in tables 5, 6, and 7.

| Table 1. Physical properties of admixtures
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| S no | Properties | Flyash | Condensed silica fume | Metakaolin |
| 1 | Specific Gravity | 2.30 | 2.20 | 2.50 |
| 2 | Specific Surface Area (cm²/gram) | 3500 - 3800 | 150000 | 200000 | 70000 - 90000 |
| 3 | Colour | Grey | Dark grey | white |
| 4 | Structure | Mostly globular | Non-crystalline | Non-crystalline |

| Table 2. Chemical composition of various mineral admixtures (percentages) *
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| S no | Oxides | Flyash | Condensed silica fume | Metakaolin |
| 1 | Silicon dioxide SiO₂ | 55 | 90 | 52 |
| 2 | Aluminum oxide Al₂O₃ | 20-70 | 1 | 40 |
| 3 | Ferric Oxide Fe₂O₃ | 10-15 | 0.03 | 1.20 |
| 4 | Calcium Oxide CaO | 1.63 | 0.10 | 2.0 |
| 5 | Magnesium oxides | 3.96 | 0.20 | 0.65 |
| 6 | Sulfur trioxide SO₃ | 0.65 | 23 | 0.00 |

**The above data is collected from ACI international seminar on High volume Flyash and Blended concrete – 2001[18].**
Table 6. Quantity of Materials per M³ of Concrete (M40), with Admixtures

| Mix  | Cement (Kg) | Fine aggregate (Kg) | Coarse aggregate (Kg) | Condensed silica fume (Kg) | Metakaolin (Kg) | Flyash (Kg) | Superplasticizer (SP) | Percentage of concrete | Viscosity modifying agent (VMA) | Percentage of concrete | Water (liter) |
|------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| M1   | 455         | 1065                | 625                   | 0                         | 0               | 0           | 1                     | 0.1                      | 205                      |                        |              |
| M2   | 318         | 1065                | 625                   | 0                         | 0               | 136         | 1                     | 0.1                      | 205                      |                        |              |
| M3   | 318         | 1065                | 625                   | 46                        | 91              | 0           | 1                     | 0.1                      | 205                      |                        |              |
| M4   | 318         | 1065                | 625                   | 46                        | 91              | 0           | 1                     | 0.1                      | 205                      |                        |              |

Table 7. Rheological properties of the Tri-blended Self Compacting Concrete (TBSCC)

| Mix                  | Slump flow in mm | V funnel in seconds | L- box in the ratio \( \frac{H_2}{H_1} \) | Remarks                              |
|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| M1-SF₀MK₀FA₀        | 610              | 9                   | 0.88*                                 | \( \frac{H_2}{H_1} \)-height of concrete in vertical limb, \( \frac{H_1}{H_1} \)-height of concrete in horizontal limb |
| M2-SF₀MK₀FA₃₀       | 630              | 7                   | 0.90                                  |                                      |
| M3-SF₅MK₁₀FA₂₀      | 650              | 6.5                 | 0.91                                  |                                      |
| M4-SF₁₀MK₀FA₂₀      | 680              | 5                   | 0.92                                  |                                      |

3. Results and Discussions

It is observed that the rheological properties of these triple blended self-compacting concrete (Table 7) are found to be as per the norms of the EFNARC (14) specifications. In the SCC mix mentioned in table 4, it is observed that the mineral admixtures have influenced the primary properties of the SCC like passing, free-flowing, and no segregation. For all the mixes mentioned in table 5, along with the mineral admixtures, superplasticizer and viscosity modifying agents were added up to 1.0 and 0.1 percentages by weight of the cement respectively. It is indicated (table) that SCC with flyash and condensed silica fume as mineral admixtures which replaced cement at 20, 10 percentages respectively by mass (M4) have better rheological properties, compared to the other combination of the admixtures at the same percentages. Because the C-S-H gel bond is more in this combination of the mix.

3.1. Mechanical Properties of the Mixes

For determination of the structural properties of these triple blended self-compacting concrete, standard cubes, and cylinders were cast as per the specifications of the Indian standard code. The curing period for these samples is 28 days. The basic strengths are evaluated by conducting the compressive, and the split tensile tests as per IS-516[20].

3.2. The Compressive Strength

For obtaining the getting the compressive strength results, a total of 36 standard cubes of size 150 mm x 150mm x 150 mm were cast for four combinations of the mixes (Table 7). These samples were tested at 3 days, 14 days, and 28 days. The variation of these strengths is plotted in fig 1. The best mix for getting more compressive strength of SCC is 10% condensed silica fume with a 20% flyash (M4-SF₁₀MK₀FA₂₀). From these results, it can be seen that Mix-M4 (20% flyash and 10% condensed silica fume) has 28 days strength which is marginally more compared to the same grade of Mix with 20% flyash and 10% metakaolin. (M₃-SF₀MK₁₀FA₂₀)

The best-fit log curve for this compressive strength is arrived as,

\[ f_{cc} = 29.415 \ln (t) + 16.049, \]

Where, \( f_{cc} \) compressive strength in MPa(N/mm²), for M4 mix and, \( t \) is the age of the concrete sample in days.
3.3. The Split Tensile Strength

For the above 4 combinations of the concrete mixes, a total of 36 number of standard cylinders of size 150mm diameter and 300mm height were cast. These samples were cured for 3 days, 14 days, and 28 days in potable water. The final test results are plotted in fig 2. The better mix (M4) with 10% condensed silica fume and 20% flyash has shown the highest split tensile strength. Mix-M4 which has 20% flyash and 10% condensed silica fume has 28 days split tensile strength more than the same grade of Mix-M3, with a 20% flyash and 10% metakaolin. The best fit linear curve for this strength is given by $F_{ct} = 0.44t + 3.2933$, where

1) Mineral Admixtures like flyash, silica fume, metakaolin etc contribute towards better flowability of the concrete. The condensed silica fume because of its high specific surface area (fineness) has given better flowability compared to metakaolin. Hence, the combinations of flyash with silica fume has given better flowability compared to the combination of flyash with metakaolin.

2) Chemical admixtures like super plasticizer and viscosity modifying agents are necessary to maintain the rheological properties of SCC. They influence the strength and durability properties to certain extent.

3) Due to the combination of flyash and metakaolin there is some increase in the strength properties of SCC. The strength increase due to the combination of flyash and silica fume is more than the former because of higher fineness of silica fume.

4) The silicate content in the condensed silica fume is much more than the other admixtures and this causes more C-S-H gel formation and it results in more flowability without segregation.

4. Conclusions

The concluding remarks on the present experimental investigations are given as follows.
5) The flow of the SCC which has condensed silica fume as one of the admixtures is 12 percent more compared to the basic SCC without mineral admixture. The flow of the SCC with metakaolin is only 6% higher compared to the basic. This has been established as a result of workability test conducted on SCC.

6) The structural properties of the tri-blended SCC have shown that 10% CSF and 20% flyash combination has given optimum values of compressive strength, and the split tensile strength. These values are around 10% and 8% more in comparison with basic SCC.
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