A structure of substrate-bound Synaptojanin1 provides new insights in its mechanism and the effect of disease mutations
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Abstract Synaptojanin1 (Synj1) is a phosphoinositide phosphatase, important in clathrin uncoating during endocytosis of presynaptic vesicles. It was identified as a potential drug target for Alzheimer’s disease, Down syndrome, and TBC1D24-associated epilepsy, while also loss-of-function mutations in Synj1 are associated with epilepsy and Parkinson’s disease. Despite its involvement in a range of disorders, structural, and detailed mechanistic information regarding the enzyme is lacking. Here, we report the crystal structure of the 5-phosphatase domain of Synj1. Moreover, we also present a structure of this domain bound to the substrate diC8-PI(3,4,5)P3, providing the first image of a 5-phosphatase with a trapped substrate in its active site. Together with an analysis of the contribution of the different inositide phosphate groups to catalysis, these structures provide new insights in the Synj1 mechanism. Finally, we analysed the effect of three clinical missense mutations (Y793C, R800C, Y849C) on catalysis, unveiling the molecular mechanisms underlying Synj1-associated disease.

Introduction

Phosphoinositides (PIPs) are membrane lipids that, together with their corresponding soluble inositol phosphates (IPs), regulate various cellular processes, including membrane recruitment of proteins, actin polymerization, synaptic vesicle trafficking and exo- and endocytosis (Di Paolo and De Camilli, 2006; Balla, 2013; Ueda, 2014). The dynamic control of the membrane distribution and relative abundance of the seven naturally occurring PIPs by a multitude of phosphoinositide kinases and phosphatases forms a versatile signaling mechanism able to tune the spatial and temporal regulation of many crucial events in the cell (Fruman et al., 1998; Anderson et al., 1999; Hsu and Mao, 2015).

The inositol polyphosphate 5-phosphatases (5PPases) form a family of Mg2+-dependent enzymes, containing ten members in mammals, that catalyse the hydrolytic removal of the phosphate group on the 5-position of lipid-bound and soluble inositol phosphates (Figure 1, Figure 1—figure supplement 1). Based on their substrate specificity, the mammalian 5PPases can be further subdivided into four groups (Majerus et al., 1999): the type I 5PPase INPP5A (Speed et al., 1996), the type II 5PPases OCRL, INPP5B, INPP5J (or PIPP), SKIP, Synaptojanin1 (Synj1) and Synaptojanin 2 (Synj2) (Lowe, 2005; Trésaugues et al., 2014; Mochizuki and Takenawa, 1999; Ijuin et al., 2000; McPherson et al., 1996; Nemoto et al., 1997), the type III 5PPases, SHIP1 and SHIP2.
(Rohrschneider et al., 2000; Le Coq et al., 2017), and the type IV 5PPase INPP5E (or Pharbin) (Asano et al., 1999). Among the type II 5PPases, the closely related Synj1 and Synj2 contain a similar domain arrangement, with in addition to the central 5PPase domain, an N-terminal suppressor of actin 1 (SAC1)-like domain and a C-terminal proline-rich domain (PRD). As such, Synj1 and Synj2 are unique in having two phosphatase activities, where the 5PPase domains can hydrolyse PI(4,5)P₂, PI(3,4,5)P₃, IP₃, and IP₄, while the SAC1-like domain can degrade PI(3)P, PI(4)P, and PI(3,5)P₂ (Hsu and Mao, 2015; Cestra et al., 1999; Whisstock et al., 2002). Both Synaptojanin proteins are implicated in clathrin-mediated endocytosis, where Synj2 is involved in the early stages of this process (Rusk et al., 2003), while the brain-specific 145 kDa splice isoform of Synj1 promotes clathrin uncoating during the late stages of endocytosis (Perera et al., 2006; Ramjaun and McPherson, 1996). In agreement with this role, knock-out studies of Synj1 in mice (Cremona et al., 1999) and Drosophila melanogaster (Verstreken et al., 2003) show endocytic defects at the neuronal synapses, indicating that Synj1 plays a critical role in synaptic function. This key function at the synapse is further illustrated by the implication of Synj1 in several diseases. Brain autopsy of Down syndrome (DS) patients revealed an excessive expression of the Synj1 protein, that is encoded on the triplicated chromosome 21, and it was shown that the overexpression of Synj1 leads to PI(4,5)P₂ deficiency and learning deficits in Down syndrome model mice (Voronov et al., 2008; Arai et al., 2017).
Elevated levels of Synj1 are also found in individuals showing a high risk for the development of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) (Berman et al., 2008; Martin et al., 2015; Miranda et al., 2018). Based on these findings, Synj1 has been proposed as a potential attractive two-faced target for novel DS and AD treatments (Cossec et al., 2012). Additionally, inhibition of the 5-phosphatase activity of Synj1 has also been found to hold promise toward drug development for TBC1D24-associated epilepsy and DOORS syndrome (Fischer et al., 2016; Lüthy et al., 2019). On the other hand, recessive loss-of-function mutations in Synj1 are associated with either early-onset atypical parkinsonism or refractory epileptic seizures with severe progressive neurodegeneration (Hardies et al., 2016; Xie et al., 2019; Taghavi et al., 2018; Hong et al., 2019; Bouhouche et al., 2017).

Out of the ten 5PPase domains present in human, high-resolution structural information has only been published for three representatives: INPP5B, OCRL, and SHIP2 (Trésaugues et al., 2014; Mills et al., 2016). In addition, an unpublished structure of INPP5E has been deposited in the protein data bank (PDB 2XSW). So far no experimentally determined structure is available for the 5PPase domain of either Synj1 or Synj2, although the very first 5PPase structure ever to be determined was the one of a Synaptojanin homolog of the yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe (Tsujishita et al., 2001).

In addition to the structural data of the 5PPase domains in their apo form, crystal structures in complex with reaction products and inhibitors, together with detailed studies on the structurally and mechanistically related Apurinic/Apyrimidinic endonucleases (APE), have yielded some insights in the catalytic mechanism of the hydrolysis reaction (Whisstock et al., 2000). Indeed, structural and sequence comparison revealed similarities in the active site architecture of the 5PPases and APE1, a Mg$^{2+}$-dependent enzyme that catalyses the cleavage of the phosphodiester bond on the 5’ side of the abasic site in DNA using a conserved aspartate as catalytic base and a conserved histidine that presumably stabilizes the phosphorane transition state. Moreover, leaving group activation has been proposed to occur via a Mg$^{2+}$-bound water molecule, although the exact role of the Mg$^{2+}$-ion in the catalytic mechanism of 5PPases has not been fully established (Trésaugues et al., 2014; Mills et al., 2016; Aboelnga and Wetmore, 2019). A crystal structure of the S. pombe Synaptojanin (SPSynj) homolog in complex with inositol-(1,4)-bisphosphate provided a first snapshot of a potential enzyme-product complex (Tsujishita et al., 2001). However, subsequent structures of the catalytic domain of INPP5B in complex with diC8-PI(4)P and diC8-PI(3,4)P$_2$ revealed another orientation of these reaction products, suggesting that the placement of the ligand in the SPSynj structure does not correspond to the genuine position of the product (Trésaugues et al., 2014). Further elucidation of the complete hydrolysis mechanism is however hampered by the lack of 5PPase structures in complex with reaction substrates showing directly the exact placement of the 5-phosphate group in the active site.

In this study we report the first structure of the catalytic 5PPase domain of human Synj1 by using Nanobody-aided crystallography. Moreover, we were able, for the first time, to solve a structure of a 5PPase domain with the substrate diC8-PI(3,4,5)P$_3$ trapped in its active site, revealing the placement of, and the interactions with, the 5-phosphate group. In combination with a detailed kinetic analysis of the hydrolysis reaction catalysed by the Synj1 5PPase domain, these structures provide additional insights in the catalytic mechanism. Finally, we investigated in detail the effect of the three currently described homozygous missense mutations in the 5PPase domain (Y793C, R800C, Y849C) associated with either (young onset) Parkinson’s disease or intractable epilepsy with neurodegeneration (Hardies et al., 2016; Xie et al., 2019; Taghavi et al., 2018) on the reaction rate for different substrates, thus providing insights in the molecular mechanisms underlying these diseases.

Results

The crystal structure of the 5-phosphatase domain of Synj1 and its complex with the substrate diC8-PI(3,4,5)P$_3$

Since structural information regarding the 5-phosphatase (5PPase) domain of human Synj1 is currently lacking, we set-out to crystallize a construct of the 5PPase domain spanning residues 528–873 (Synj1$_{528-873}$). Upon multiple unsuccessful attempts to obtain well diffracting crystals, we turned to Nanobody (Nb)-assisted crystallization. After llama immunization, library construction and two successive rounds of phage display panning, six Synj1$_{528-873}$-specific Nb families were obtained (data...
not shown). Various Synj1_{528–873}-Nb complexes were used to set-up crystallization screens and well diffracting crystals belonging to space group C121 were obtained for the complex between Synj1_{528–873} and Nb13015 (hereafter called Nb15).

The first dataset was collected and refined at 2.3 Å resolution (Table 1). The structure was solved using molecular replacement, revealing three Nb15-Synj1_{528–873} complexes in each asymmetric unit (AU) (Figure 2—figure supplement 1A). Since Synj1_{528–873} consistently behaves as a monomer in solution, as assessed through gel filtration, it can be assumed that the three complexes in the AU are merely interacting via crystallographic contacts. Despite being soaked overnight with IP₆ (inositol-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexakisphosphate), no density accounting for this molecule was observed in the active site, and therefore we will further refer to this structure as an apo-structure. However, several blobs of density in the structure could be modelled as orthophosphates, suggesting that these result from hydrolysis of IP₆ (Figure 2—figure supplement 2). Furthermore, all three Synj1_{528–873} molecules contain density close to N543 and E591, which corresponds to the position of a Mg²⁺-ion in other 5PPase structures (Trésaugues et al., 2014), and which was therefore also here modelled as Mg²⁺-ions (Figure 2—figure supplement 2). While these Mg²⁺-ions were modelled at a very similar position as in the structure of INPP5B in complex with the product diC₈-PI(3,4)P₂ (Trésaugues et al., 2014), it must be noted that the distances to residues N543 and E591 are rather long.

Superposition of the three Synj1_{528–873} molecules present in the AU shows that they are very similar, with root-mean square deviations (rmsd) for superposition of all main chain atoms of chain A on chain C and E of 0.69 Å and 0.49 Å, respectively (Figure 2—figure supplement 1B). Overall, Synj1_{528–873} adopts a fold that is very similar to the fold of the catalytic domain of other 5-phosphatases (Figure 2—figure supplement 3, Supplementary file 1A), and is composed of two β sheets forming a β-sandwich surrounded by seven α-helices (Figure 2A; Trésaugues et al., 2014; Tsujishita et al., 2001). Nb15 forms interactions via its three CDR loops with a loop and short 3₁₀-helix (aa 641–654) connecting β4 and β5 of Synj1_{528–873}, on the opposite side of the 5PPase active site, thus leaving the active site open for ligand binding (Figure 2A).

A second crystal of the Nb15-Synj1_{528–873} complex, was soaked with 1 mM diC₈-PI(3,4,5)P₃ and data were collected and refined at 2.73 Å resolution (Table 1). Analysis of the electron density in the active sites of the three molecules in the asymmetric unit revealed that one active site (corresponding to chain A) contains unambiguous density for the diC₈-PI(3,4,5)P₃ substrate including the scissile 5-phosphate group (Figure 2B–C, Figure 2—figure supplement 1C, Figure 3), thus showing that we were able to trap the non-hydrolysed substrate by using a strategy of short substrate soaking followed by flash freezing and assisted by the slower substrate turnover under the conditions used for crystallization (Figure 4—figure supplement 1, Figure 4—figure supplement 1—source data 1). The other two Synj1_{528–873} active sites (corresponding to chain C and E) contain weaker and discontinuous electron density, probably due to substrate already being hydrolysed to a larger extent because of subtle differences in kinetics of substrate access/hydrolysis and product release in these sites depending on the local environment of the crystal packing. The electron density in these active sites was modelled as phosphate ions, with three phosphates in chain C at positions corresponding to the 1-, 4-, and 5-phosphates of diC₈-PI(3,4,5)P₃, while in chain E only a single phosphate could be modelled between the expected position of the phosphates present on the 4- and 5-position of the substrate (Figure 2—figure supplement 2). Analysis of the density revealed that two out of three Synj1_{528–873} molecules (chain A and C) also show density close to residues N543 and E591, where Mg²⁺-ions were modelled (Figure 2—figure supplement 2). Despite the difference in the occupancy of diC₈-PI(3,4,5)P₃ in the active sites, superposition of the Synj1_{528–873} molecules (corresponding to chain A, C, and E) does not reveal any large differences in active site loops and residues, indicating that no significant substrate-induced conformational changes take place (Figure 2D).

**Enzyme-substrate interactions in the Synj1_{528–873– diC₈-PI(3,4,5)P₃ complex**

The current crystal structure of Synj1_{528–873} bound to diC₈-PI(3,4,5)P₃ provides the first experimental structural view of any inositol polyphosphate 5-phosphatase (5PPase) in complex with a trapped genuine substrate, allowing us to describe and analyse the enzyme-substrate interactions in detail.

In particular, the Synj1_{528–873–diC₈-PI(3,4,5)P₃ structure for the first time reveals the exact location and interactions with the scissile phosphate (5 P). This phosphate is oriented towards two regions previously described to correspond to conserved sequence motifs characteristic for the 5PPase
|                      | Synj\textsuperscript{1}\textsubscript{528-873} \cdot Apo | Synj\textsuperscript{1}\textsubscript{528-873} \cdot diC8-PI(3,4,5)P\textsubscript{3} |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| **PDB code**         | 7A0V                                               | 7A17                                               |
| **Data collection**   |                                                     |                                                    |
| Synchrotron          | Diamond                                             | Soleil                                             |
| Beamline             | i03                                                 | Px2a                                               |
| Wavelength (Å)       | 0.98                                                | 0.98                                               |
| Resolution range (Å)*| 87.06–2.30 (2.43–2.30)                              | 87.39–2.73 (3.02–2.73)                             |
| Space group          | C121                                                | C121                                               |
| Unit cell dimensions (Å) |                                               |                                                    |
| a                    | 168.87                                              | 169.32                                             |
| b                    | 108.79                                              | 109.21                                             |
| c                    | 100.97                                              | 100.90                                             |
| Unit cell angles (˚)  |                                                     |                                                    |
| α                    | 90.00                                               | 90.00                                              |
| β                    | 120.72                                              | 120.62                                             |
| γ                    | 90.00                                               | 90.00                                              |
| Spherical completeness (%)* | 77.1 (26.4)                                      | 76.2 (22.8)                                       |
| Ellipsoidal completeness (%)* | 92.3 (95.0)                                   | 91.5 (57.3)                                       |
| Unique reflections   | 53789                                               | 32149                                              |
| Mean (I)/SD(I)*      | 11.2 (1.4)                                          | 5.3 (1.4)                                          |
| CC(1/2)*             | 0.997 (0.512)                                       | 0.964 (0.474)                                      |
| Multiplicity*        | 7.0 (5.7)                                           | 3.5 (3.6)                                          |
| R<sub>r</sub>\textsubscript{mea}(%)* | 14.5 (125.1)                                     | 28.2 (123.7)                                       |
| **Refinement**       |                                                     |                                                    |
| Resolution range (Å) | 86.81–2.30 (86.83–2.73)                            |                                                    |
| R<sub>work</sub> (%) | 19.64                                               | 19.88                                              |
| R<sub>r</sub>\textsubscript{free}(%)† | 25.23                                             | 25.74                                              |
| **Model content**    |                                                     |                                                    |
| Molecules per AU     | 6                                                   | 6                                                  |
| Protein atoms per AU | 10574                                              | 10624                                              |
| Ligand atoms per AU  | 39                                                  | 85                                                 |
| Metal atoms per AU   | 3                                                   | 2                                                  |
| Water molecules per AU | 359                                             | 71                                                 |
| Wilson B factors (Å\textsuperscript{2}) | 38.08                                           | 43.28                                              |
| Average B factors (Å\textsuperscript{2}) |                                              |                                                    |
| Protein atoms        | 47.35                                               | 44.88                                              |
| Ligand atoms         | 65.62                                               | 67.11                                              |
| Metal atoms          | 60.08                                               | 42.62                                              |
| Water molecules      | 42.68                                               | 22.93                                              |
| Rmsd bonds (Å)       | 0.002                                               | 0.006                                              |
| Rmsd angles (˚)      | 0.456                                               | 1.15                                               |
| Ramachandran plot (%) (favored, outliers) | 95.79, 0.23                                       | 97.00, 0.46                                        |

* Values in parentheses are for the high-resolution shell.

† R<sub>r</sub>\textsubscript{free} is based on a subset of 5% of reflections omitted during refinement.

AU, asymmetric unit.
Figure 2. Structure of the Nb15-Synj$^{528-873}$ complex in presence or absence of the substrate diC8-PI(3,4,5)P$_3$. (A) Apo-structure of the Nb15-Synj$^{528-873}$ complex. Synj$^{528-873}$ (chain E) is represented in different shades of magenta, while the Nb (chain F) is represented in grey with indication of the different CDR regions. The Mg$^{2+}$-ion is shown as a salmon sphere. (B) The Nb15-Synj$^{528-873}$ complex bound to diC8-PI(3,4,5)P$_3$. Synj$^{528-873}$ (chain A) is represented in different shades of green, while the Nb (chain B) is represented similar as in (A). The Mg$^{2+}$-ion is shown as an orange sphere and diC8-PI(3,4,5)P$_3$ is shown as yellow sticks. (C) Zoom-in on the active site region of Synj$^{528-873}$ with bound diC8-PI(3,4,5)P$_3$ (yellow sticks), Mg$^{2+}$ (orange sphere) and the nucleophilic water molecule (red sphere) shown with their corresponding 2F$_{o}$-F$_{c}$-map contoured at 1σ. Residues D730 and N732, which play a role in the activation of the nucleophilic water, are shown as green sticks. (D) Superposition of the apo (magenta) and diC8-PI(3,4,5)P$_3$-bound (green) Synj$^{528-873}$ structure.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 2:

Figure supplement 1. Content of the asymmetric unit (AU) of the apo and the diC8-PI(3,4,5)P$_3$-bound Nb15-Synj$^{528-873}$ complex.

Figure 2 continued on next page
family: WXGDXN(Y/F)R (residues 727–734) and P(A/S)W(C/T)DR(I/V)L (residues 802–809) (Jefferson and Majerus, 1996), while it also interacts with a number of other highly conserved active site residues (Figure 3, Figure 1—figure supplement 1, Figure 2—figure supplement 3, Supplementary file 1B). The first oxygen of 5 P (O\textsubscript{3p}) is within hydrogen bonding distance of N732 (3.0 Å), while it is also appropriately oriented to form a weak hydrogen bond or salt bridge with H689 (3.6 Å). The second oxygen of 5 P (O\textsubscript{4p}) can form a (weak) salt bridge or hydrogen bond with H859 (3.3 Å). The O\textsubscript{PF} oxygen is also oriented towards the Mg\textsuperscript{2+}-ion, although, in contrast to what was previously suggested (Trésaugues et al., 2014), the Mg\textsuperscript{2+}-O\textsubscript{PF} distance of 4.7 Å is too long to account for a direct metal coordination interaction. The third oxygen of 5 P (O\textsubscript{5p}) potentially forms a weak hydrogen bond with Y784 (3.5 Å) and an electrostatic interaction with R734 (3.5 Å) (Figure 3). D730 and N732 form a pair of conserved residues belonging to one of the conserved sequence motifs of the 5PPase family. It has previously been shown that D730 corresponds to the general base required to activate a water molecule for nucleophilic attack on P\textsubscript{5}. Analysis of the electron density shows such a water molecule, located at 3.1 Å from D730 and 3.5 Å from the P\textsubscript{5}-atom (Figure 2C and 3). Additionally, the structure also reveals a hydrogen bond between the water molecule and N732 (2.6 Å), probably required for proper orientation of the water molecule for nucleophilic attack. This thus shows a direct role of the D730/N732 pair in activation of the nucleophilic water.

The phosphate on position 4 (4 P) forms extensive interactions with the enzyme through the conserved P4-interacting-motif (P4IM), containing Y784, K798, and R800 (Figure 3; Figure 1—figure supplement 1; Trésaugues et al., 2014; Mills et al., 2012). These multiple binding interactions explain the preference of Synj\textsubscript{1528–873} and most other 5PPases for substrates phosphorylated on the 4-position (Schmid et al., 2004). In addition, the Mg\textsuperscript{2+}-ion is located at a distance of 3.6 Å from the O\textsubscript{PF}-atom of the 4 P group. It is also noteworthy that a non-proline cis peptide bond is found between active site residues Y784 and K785, belonging to the P4IM region. This cis peptide bond is also conserved in the structure of OCRL, INPP5B, SHIP2, and INPP5E, and, while it was not modelled as such in the SPSynj structure, the density can account for a cis peptide in that latter structure. While the occurrence of such bonds is mostly of functional importance (Jabs et al., 1999), the exact relevance to the 5PPase mechanism is not entirely clear as it is found both in the apo and ligand-bound structures.

In contrast to the 4 P and 5 P groups, the phosphate on position 3 (3 P) is solvent exposed and does not form any interaction with enzyme residues. The only direct contacts between Synj\textsubscript{1528–873} and the inositol group of diC8-PI(3,4,5)P\textsubscript{3} are mediated by a weak Van der Waals interaction (3.8 Å) between the β-carbon of A692 and the C\textsubscript{s} atom of the inositol ring (Figure 3). Additionally, K669 is located at 2.8 Å from the OH-group on position 6 of the inositol ring, forming a hydrogen bond. Besides the inositol ring, also the phosphate on position 1 (1 P) is commonly used by all 5PPases for substrate recognition and binding (Figure 2—figure supplement 3, Supplementary file 1B). In our structure, the 1 P of diC8-PI(3,4,5)P\textsubscript{3} forms strong interactions with the side chains of N668 (2.2 Å) and K669 (2.7 Å) (Figure 3). Finally, the lipid anchors of diC8-PI(3,4,5)P\textsubscript{3} are interacting with two hydrophobic regions, as also described for other 5PPases (Trésaugues et al., 2014; Mills et al., 2012). The first region is formed by residues V593 to T606 and has been called lipid chain 1 recognition motif (LC1R), while the second region is formed by residues T660 to N668 and has been called lipid chain 2 recognition motif (LC2R) (Figure 1—figure supplement 1; Trésaugues et al., 2014).

**Kinetic analysis of the Synj1 5-phosphatase activity**

A detailed and systematic kinetic analysis of the contribution of the different phosphate groups of the phosphoinositide substrate to Synj1 catalysis is currently lacking. To investigate the contribution of the different inositol phosphate groups (3 P, 4 P, and 5 P) and the Mg\textsuperscript{2+}-cofactor on binding and
Figure 3. Enzyme-substrate interactions in the Synj1<sub>528-873</sub>-diC8-PI(3,4,5)P<sub>3</sub> complex. (A) Zoom-in on the active site where Synj1<sub>528-873</sub> forms interactions with different groups of the diC8-PI(3,4,5)P<sub>3</sub> substrate (yellow sticks). The residues coloured in gold are forming interactions with the 1 P group or inositol ring of the PIP (gold dashes), residues coloured in magenta form interactions with the 4 P group (magenta dashes), and residues shown in green are interacting with the 5 P group (green dashes). Residues important for activation of the nucleophilic water (red sphere) are shown in cyan. Figure 3 continued on next page.
while two residues shown in salmon are interacting with the Mg\(^{2+}\)-ion (orange sphere). (B) Schematic representation of the interactions between Synj\(^{1528-873}\) and diC8-PI(3,4,5)P\(_3\). The same colour-code as in (A) was used, except for the substrate that is shown in black. Distances (in Å) between interacting atoms are indicated.

substrate turnover, we therefore performed a full steady-state kinetic analysis of Synj\(^{1528-873}\), using IP\(_3\), diC8-PI(3,4,5)P\(_3\), diC8-PI(4,5)P\(_2\), diC8-PI(3,5)P\(_2\), and diC8-PI(5)P as substrates (Figure 4A, Table 2, Figure 4—source data 1).

Based on the overall specificity constant (k\(_{cat}\)/K\(_M\)) the following order in substrate preference is observed: diC8-PI(4,5)P\(_2\) = diC8-PI(3,4,5)P\(_3\) > IP\(_3\) > diC8-PI(5)P = diC8-PI(3,5)P\(_2\). While this trend is similar to what has been previously reported based on activity measurements at a single substrate concentration (Schmid et al., 2004), it differs from the substrate preference profile of SPSynj where the following profile was found: IP\(_3\) = diC4-PI(4,5)P\(_2\) > diC4-PI(3,5)P\(_2\) = diC4-PI(3,4,5)P\(_3\) (Chi et al., 2004). This indicates that the yeast homolog is not an ideal model system to study the mechanism of human Synj1.

The contribution of the acyl chains to catalysis can be deduced by comparing the kinetic parameters of diC8-PI(4,5)P\(_2\) and its corresponding head group IP\(_3\) (Figure 4B). This comparison shows that diC8-PI(4,5)P\(_2\) has a nearly 13-fold higher k\(_{cat}\)/K\(_M\) value than IP\(_3\), due to a 2.5-fold higher affinity (lower K\(_M\)), but mainly due to the 5-fold higher turnover rate (k\(_{cat}\)), suggesting that the acyl chains are required to properly orient the head group in the active site for catalysis.

The contribution of the 4 P group to substrate binding and turnover can be obtained by comparing either diC8-PI(3,4,5)P\(_3\) with diC8-PI(3,5)P\(_2\) or diC8-PI(4,5)P\(_2\) with diC8-PI(5)P (Figure 4B). Overall, this analysis for the k\(_{cat}\)/K\(_M\) value reveals a very large contribution of the 4 P group to Synj1 activity by more than 3 orders of magnitude (corresponding to ΔG\(_{overall}\) = 4.3–4.7 kcal/mol). Interestingly, comparing the individual kinetic constants (k\(_{cat}\) and K\(_M\)) of diC8-PI(3,4,5)P\(_3\) and diC8-PI(3,5)P\(_2\) reveals that this overall contribution is mainly attributed to the catalytic turnover (k\(_{cat}\)), with the 4 P group contributing a factor 340 to catalysis (corresponding to ΔG\(_{catalysis}\) = 3.5 kcal/mol). In contrast, the 4 P group only contributes relatively little to ligand binding, with removal of the 4 P group leading to a 7-fold increase in the K\(_M\) value (corresponding to ΔG\(_{binding}\) = 1.2 kcal/mol). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time it is unequivocally shown that the phosphoinositide 4 P group directly contributes to substrate turnover by the Synj1 5PPase domain, having important consequences for its catalytic mechanism (see Discussion).

On the other hand, comparing diC8-PI(3,4,5)P\(_3\) with diC8-PI(4,5)P\(_2\) shows an overall small effect of the 3 P group on the catalytic parameters, which corresponds to the solvent-exposed 3 P group in our structure.

Finally, the contribution of the Mg\(^{2+}\)-ion to catalysis was quantified by comparing the catalytic parameters for diC8-PI(4,5)P\(_2\) between Mg\(^{2+}\)-bound and Mg\(^{2+}\)-free Synj\(^{1528-873}\) (Figure 4B). Removal of Mg\(^{2+}\) severely impacted activity with a decrease in the specificity constant (k\(_{cat}\)/K\(_M\)) by 4 orders of magnitude (ΔG\(_{overall}\) = 5.4 kcal/mol). This decrease in activity is mainly caused by a decrease in substrate turnover (1400-fold decrease in k\(_{cat}\), ΔG = 4.3 kcal/mol) rather than an effect on substrate binding (7.5-fold increase in K\(_M\), ΔG\(_{binding}\) = 1.2 kcal/mol). The observed contribution of the Mg\(^{2+}\)-ion mainly to catalysis rather than substrate binding is in good agreement with the structure of the enzyme-substrate-complex. In this structure, the Mg\(^{2+}\)-ion is located at a relatively large distance from the substrate’s 4 P group (3.6 Å), accounting for a relatively weak binding interaction. On the other hand, its contribution to catalysis can be accounted for by either a role in water-mediated leaving group activation and/or by a stabilizing interaction with the phosphorane transition state (see Discussion).

**Impact of missense disease mutations on the Synj1 5-phosphatase activity**

Missense and nonsense mutations in the 5PPase domain of Synj1 have been associated with several neurological disorders, such as early-onset seizures and early-onset atypical Parkinson’s disease (Hardies et al., 2016; Xie et al., 2019; Taghavi et al., 2018; Hong et al., 2019; Bouhouche et al., 2017). At the moment of writing this manuscript, three homozygous point variants in the 5PPase domain of Synj1 had been described in patients: the Y793C mutation leading to typical levodopa-
Figure 4. Kinetic analysis of the contribution of different substrate groups to the Synj1\textsubscript{528–873} 5-phosphatase activity. (A) Michaelis-Menten curves obtained for Synj1\textsubscript{528–873} with different substrates: diC8-PI(3,4,5)P\textsubscript{3}, diC8-PI(4,5)P\textsubscript{2}, diC8-PI(3,5)P\textsubscript{2}, diC8-PI(5)P, IP\textsubscript{3}, and diC8-PI(4,5)P\textsubscript{2} in the absence of Mg\textsuperscript{2+}. The turnover number (k\textsubscript{cat}), the Michaelis-Menten constant (K\textsubscript{M}) and specificity constant (k\textsubscript{cat}/K\textsubscript{M}) are given for every measurement, together with the standard error. Each datapoint is the average of three independent measurements with the error bars representing the standard deviation. (B) Paesmans, Martin, et al. eLife 2020;9:e64922. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.64922
Figure 4 continued

Schematic overview of the contribution of the different groups of the diC8-PI(3,4,5)P3-substrate to the Synj1528–873 mechanism, with the acyl chains coloured in gold, the 3 P group in blue, the 4 P group in magenta, the 5 P group in green and the Mg2+ ion in orange. The ΔΔG value shows the contribution of the acyl chains, 3 P, 4 P, and Mg2+ ion to catalysis (kcat), binding (Kcat), and overall catalytic efficiency (kcat/KM). The more positive the ΔΔG value, the larger the contribution of the respective group to either catalysis, binding or overall catalytic efficiency.

The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 4:

Source data 1. Steady-state enzyme kinetics data of Synj1528–873 wild-type in combination with different substrates.
Figure supplement 1. The 5-phosphatase activity of Synj1528–873 is affected by Nb15 and acidic pH.
Figure supplement 1—source data 1. Steady-state enzyme kinetics data of Synj1528–873 wild-type using diC8-PI(3,4,5)P3 as substrate at pH 5.5 and in presence of an excess of Nb15 (comparable to the crystallization conditions).
Figure supplement 2. Steady-state enzyme kinetics of the Synj1528–873 Y793C mutant in combination with different substrates.
Figure supplement 2—source data 1. Steady-state enzyme kinetics data of the Synj1528–873 Y793C mutant in combination with different substrates.
Figure supplement 3. Steady-state enzyme kinetics of the Synj1528–873 R800C mutant in combination with different substrates.
Figure supplement 3—source data 1. Steady-state enzyme kinetics data of the Synj1528–873 R800C mutant in combination with different substrates.
Figure supplement 4. The (GST-tagged) Synj1528–873 Y849C mutant shows no 5-phosphatase activity.

Table 2. Steady-state kinetic parameters of Synj1528–873 and the Synj1528–873 Y793C, R800C and Y849C mutants in combination with different substrates.

|        | Synj1528–873 | Synj1528–873 Y793C | Synj1528–873 R800C | Synj1528–873 Y849C |
|--------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| diC8-PI(3,4,5)P3 |                |                    |                    |                    |
| kcat (s⁻¹) | 30.6 ± 2.4   | 7.6 ± 0.9          | 21.8 ± 4.2         | NMA                |
| KM (μM)   | 18 ± 5       | 29 ± 10            | 155 ± 49           | NMA                |
| kcat/KM (10³ (M⁻¹s⁻¹)) | 1692 ± 474 | 259 ± 97           | 141 ± 52           | NMA                |
| diC8-PI(4,5)P2 |                |                    |                    |                    |
| kcat (s⁻¹) | 85.3 ± 7.0   | 32.0 ± 3.2         | 6.4 ± 1.2          | NMA                |
| KM (μM)   | 39 ± 8       | 117 ± 25           | 161 ± 52           | NMA                |
| kcat/KM (10³ (M⁻¹s⁻¹)) | 2171 ± 482 | 274 ± 64           | 40 ± 15            | NMA                |
| IP3      |                |                    |                    |                    |
| kcat (s⁻¹) | 16.4 ± 1.1   | 1.5 ± 0.2          | 0.055 ± 0.007      | NMA                |
| KM (μM)   | 96 ± 20      | 864 ± 171         | 289 ± 78           | NMA                |
| kcat/KM (10³ (M⁻¹s⁻¹)) | 171 ± 38  | 1.7 ± 0.4          | 0.19 ± 0.06        | NMA                |
| diC8-PI(3,5)P2 |                |                    |                    |                    |
| kcat (s⁻¹) | 0.09 ± 0.01  | 0.012 ± 0.001      | ND                 | ND                 |
| KM (μM)   | 133 ± 35     | 101 ± 25           | ND                 | ND                 |
| kcat/KM (10³ (M⁻¹s⁻¹)) | 0.62 ± 0.19 | 0.12 ± 0.03        | 0.29 ± 0.01        | ND                 |
| diC8-PI(5)P |                |                    |                    |                    |
| kcat (s⁻¹) | ND           | ND                 | ND                 | ND                 |
| KM (μM)   | ND           | ND                 | ND                 | ND                 |
| kcat/KM (10³ (M⁻¹s⁻¹)) | 1.45 ± 0.07 | 0.14 ± 0.01        | 1.2 ± 0.1          | ND                 |
| diC8-PI(4,5)P2 without Mg²⁺ |                |                    |                    |                    |
| kcat (s⁻¹) | 0.06 ± 0.01  | ND                 | ND                 | ND                 |
| KM (μM)   | 289 ± 85     | ND                 | ND                 | ND                 |
| kcat/KM (10³ (M⁻¹s⁻¹)) | 0.22 ± 0.08 | ND                 | ND                 | ND                 |

ND, not determined.
NMA, no measurable activity.

responsive parkinsonism (Xie et al., 2019), the R800C mutation leading to asymmetric parkinsonism and seizures (Taghavi et al., 2018), and the Y849C mutation leading to early-onset treatment-resistant seizures and progressive neurological decline (numbering based on Synaptojanin1-145 isoform 2) (Hardies et al., 2016; Figure 1). To aid in rationalizing the contribution of these mutations...
in the onset of disease, their impact on the kinetic parameters for different substrates was determined (Table 2).

The Y793 residue is present on the large loop that contains the P4IM. Although its side chain is pointing away from the active site, it is located in between active site residues Y784 and K785 on the one hand and K798 and R800 on the other hand, all located in the P4IM (Figure 5A–B). Y793 could potentially stabilize the conformation of this loop by making hydrogen bonds with Y786 and the main chain carbonyl of P782. The Parkinson’s disease mutation Y793C has a clear but rather moderate effect on the Synj1528-873 SPPase activity. The largest decrease in activity is observed for IP₃, where the measured catalytic efficiency (k_{cat}/K_M) of the mutant is 100-fold lower compared to the wild-type enzyme. On the other hand, the mutation has a rather similar and less pronounced effect on the catalytic efficiency of hydrolysis of the phosphoinositides, with a 8-, 7-, 5-, and 10-fold reduction for diC₈-PI(4,5)P₂, diC₈-PI(3,4,5)P₃, diC₈-PI(3,5)P₂, and diC₈-PI(5)P, respectively (Figure 4—figure supplement 2, Figure 4—figure supplement 2—source data 1). This decreased overall catalytic efficiency is due to an effect on both substrate binding (higher K_M) and turnover rate (smaller k_{cat}) for IP₃ and diC₈-PI(4,5)P₂, while for diC₈-PI(3,4,5)P₃ and diC₈-PI(3,5)P₂ it can nearly completely be attributed to an effect on catalytic turnover. The observation that hydrolysis of all the phosphoinositides is affected to a similar degree suggests that the Y793C mutation indeed leads to an increased flexibility or conformational change of the entire P4IM-containing loop, potentially by disrupting the interactions with Y786 and P782.

Similar to Y793, the R800 residue is located in the P4IM, just before the conserved sequence motif P(A/S)W(C/T)DR(I/V)L. However, in contrast to the Y793 residue, its side chain is pointing into
the active site and directly interacts with the substrate via multiple (charged) hydrogen bonds with the 4 P group (Figure 5A,B). The clinical R800C mutation has a clear impact on the overall 5PPase activity (k_{cat}/K_{M}) of Synj1_{528–873}, with the extent of the effect varying depending on the substrate. The largest overall effect is also here observed for IP_3 with a 900-fold decrease of k_{cat}/K_{M} due to the mutation, while the k_{cat}/K_{M} value decreases 54-, 12-, 2-, and 1.2-fold for diC8-PI(4,5)P_3, diC8-PI(3,5)P_2, and diC8-PI(5)P, respectively (Figure 4—figure supplement 3A, Figure 4—figure supplement 3—source data 1). From these values it is clear that the R800C mutation has a pronounced effect on the 5PPase reaction for substrates containing a phosphate group on position 4, while the mutation has almost no effect on the reaction for substrates without the 4 P group (Figure 4—figure supplement 3B). This effect on k_{cat}/K_{M} is due to a combination of effects on catalytic turnover (k_{cat}) and binding (K_{M}). The mutation has a rather small but consistent effect on binding of the 4-P-containing substrates. On the other hand, the R800C mutation has a very high impact on the k_{cat} value of IP_3, which could be caused by misalignment of this smaller substrate in the active site pocket if the interaction with the R800 sidechain is lost. Also for diC8-PI(4,5)P_2 a significant effect of the R800C mutation on k_{cat} is observed, which indicates that R800 contributes to substrate turnover via its interaction with the 4 P group, potentially by properly aligning it for catalysis (see Discussion). Rather unexpectedly, only a small effect on k_{cat} is observed for the R800C mutation using diC8-PI(3,4,5)P_3 as a substrate (Figure 4—figure supplement 3A).

The Y849 residue is buried for the largest part in the hydrophobic core of the protein on one of the β-strands (β12) forming the central β-sandwich fold of the 5PPase domain (Figure 5A, Figure 1—figure supplement 1). Here, its side chain is surrounded by residues G776, V778, F780, R807, L846 and V862, while its phenol hydroxyl groups forms H-bonds with E775 and the main chain amino-group of V808 (Figure 5C). In agreement with this location in the hydrophobic core, the Y849C mutant could not be expressed in the soluble fraction as a His-tagged protein, but a small amount should be noted that also the catalytically important H859 residue is located close to the nucleophilic water molecule, and, depending on the orientation of the side chain, H859 could either form an interaction with the O_{P_{5′}}-atom of the 5 P group or with this water molecule. The 5 P group is further

Discussion

In this paper, we report the first structural information on the 5PPase domain of Synj1 (Synj1_{528–873}) to 2.3 Å resolution, relying on a strategy of Nanobody-aided crystallization to obtain well diffracting crystals. In addition to the Synj1_{528–873} structure in the apo state, a short soak with diC8-PI(3,4,5)P_3 followed by flash freezing, also enabled us to trap this substrate in one of the active sites of the protein molecules present in the asymmetric unit, representing the very first structure of any 5PPase in complex with a genuine substrate. This structure thus reveals the interactions with all the important phosphate groups, including the scissile 5-phosphate group. Together with a detailed kinetic analysis of the contribution of these phosphate groups to catalysis, this allows us to propose a refined model for the catalytic mechanism of the 5PPase reaction extending on the previous models based on analogies with the apurinic/apyrimidinic base excision repair endonucleases (Whisstock et al., 2000; Aboelnga and Wetmore, 2019; Dlakic, 2000; Mol et al., 2000; Erzberger and Wilson, 1999), as shown in Figure 6.

In agreement with its conserved role in AP endonucleases and as previously suggested (Trésaugues et al., 2014), D730 is appropriately positioned to act as a general base by abstracting a proton from an attacking water molecule. Indeed, careful analysis of the electron density in the Synj1_{528–873} diC8-PI(3,4,5)P_3-bound structure reveals electron density accounting for such a water molecule located at 3.1 Å from D730 and at 3.5 Å from the P_5′-atom. This water molecule is furthermore held in place via a hydrogen bond with the conserved N732 residue (Figure 3; Figure 6). It should be noted that also the catalytically important H859 residue is located close to the nucleophilic water molecule, and, depending on the orientation of the side chain, H859 could either form an interaction with the O_{P_{5′}}-atom of the 5 P group or with this water molecule. The 5 P group is closely surrounded by multiple residues, H689, N732, R734, Y784, and H859, many of them potentially bearing a positive charge. Interactions of these residues with the 5 P group in the ground state...
contribute to binding, while stabilization of the excess of negative charge building up in the trigonal bipyramidal phosphorane transition state would contribute to catalysis. Previous mutagenesis studies on mouse INPP5A, yeast Inp52P and human INPP5B have shown that mutation of the residues corresponding to H689 and H859 negatively impact the activity, although from these studies it is not clear whether this is due to an effect on binding or catalysis (Whisstock et al., 2000; Jefferson and Maje rus, 1996). While multiple interactions are formed with the 5 P group, none of the residues is seen within interaction distance of the oxygen bridging the scissile phosphate to the inositol ring (O5) and

**Figure 6.** Proposed catalytic mechanism(s) of the 5-phosphatase reaction of Syn1. The nucleophilic water is activated by proton transfer to the catalytic base D730, allowing attack on the scissile phosphate (P5), and resulting in a phosphorane transition state with excess negative charge that is stabilized by several surrounding residues. Two routes for leaving group activation are envisioned. In route 1 (upper pathway) a Mg2+-activated water molecule acts as general acid by donating a proton to the leaving hydroxylate. Route 2 (lower pathway) corresponds to a mechanism of substrate-assisted catalysis, where the adjacent 4 P group acts as general acid, potentially assisted by transfer of a proton from K798.

Paesmans, Martin, et al. eLife 2020;9:e64922. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.64922
thus in an appropriate position to act as general acid to activate the leaving group. The O$_2^-$-atom is however located at a distance of 4.0 Å of the Mg$^{2+}$-ion allowing this distance to be bridged by a Mg$^{2+}$-coordinated water molecule as previously suggested (Tresaugues et al., 2014). Additionally, the O$_{br}$-atom is located in our structure at 3.9 Å from one of the oxygens (O$_{br}$) of the 4 P group. This distance could decrease during the reaction path, allowing a direct role of the 4 P group in leaving group activation. We therefore envision two potential scenarios for leaving group activation to occur, indicated as route 1 and route 2 in Figure 6. Route 1 envisions a direct role of the Mg$^{2+}$-ion in leaving group activation by activating a Mg$^{2+}$-bound water molecule to donate a proton to the leaving O$_2^-$-atom, although such a water is not observed in our structure. A direct role of Mg$^{2+}$ in catalysis also agrees with its observed large contribution to catalytic turnover ($\Delta$G$_{catalysis} = 4.3$ kcal/mol, Figure 4). In this scenario, the observed role of the 4 P group in catalysis could for example be due to a stabilizing hydrogen bond to the O$_2^-$-atom in the transition state (Figure 6). Route 2, on the other hand, corresponds to a mechanism of substrate-assisted catalysis, with a more direct role of the adjacent 4 P group in leaving group activation, where the O$_{br}$-hydroxyl group of the 4 P group would transfer a proton to the leaving O$_2^-$-atom. The transferred proton could potentially originally come from the K798 residue in a proton relay mechanism (Figure 6). In our structure, the O$_{br}$-atom from 4 P is located at 3.9 Å from the O$_2^-$-atom, but small rearrangements in going towards the transition state could easily bring both atoms in close contact. The residues surrounding the 4 P group could in turn contribute to catalysis by properly orienting the 4-phosphate for this role. Such a scenario is in good agreement with our kinetic data showing that the 4 P group has a large contribution to substrate turnover, with removal of the 4 P moiety (i.e. comparing diC8-PI(3,4,5)P$_3$ with diC8-PI(3,5)P$_3$) leading to a 340-fold reduction in $k_{cat}$ ($\Delta$G$_{catalysis} = 3.5$ kcal/mol, Figure 4). Moreover, we also showed that the R800 residue contributes to catalysis via its interaction with the 4 P group. Within this scenario, the Mg$^{2+}$-ion could play its observed role in catalysis via a direct interaction with the negative charges building upon the O$_2^-$-atom in the transition state. It should of course be noted that the latter mechanism would not apply for the 5Pases that also efficiently catalyse the hydrolysis of PIPs missing the 4 P group (such as SHIP1, SHIP2, and to a lesser extent OCRL). At this point no conclusive distinction between these two scenarios can be made.

Apart from being a potential drug target for Alzheimer’s disease, Down syndrome, and TBC1D24-linked epilepsy, loss-of-function mutations in Synj1 also lead to disease, including epilepsy and Parkinson’s disease (Hardies et al., 2016; Xie et al., 2019; Taghavi et al., 2018; Hong et al., 2019; Bouhouche et al., 2017). This is an important point to take into account in a potential drug design effort. To rationalize such an effort and to find the available therapeutic window for inhibitory drug design, it is of paramount importance to characterize and quantify the effect of the disease-associated mutations on Synj1 activity in detail. At the moment of writing this manuscript, three disease-associated homozygous missense mutations had been described in the 5PPase domain of Synj1: Y793C, R800C, and Y849C (numbering based on Synaptojanin1-145 isoform 2) (Hardies et al., 2016; Xie et al., 2019; Taghavi et al., 2018). Our structural data and detailed kinetic analysis of the mutants in comparison to the wild-type enzyme allow us to gain deeper understanding in the molecular basis underlying the associated diseases. Our data shows that the Y849C mutant does not display any 5PPase activity with any of the tested substrates. The crystal structure shows that Y849 is nearly completely buried in the core of the protein (Figure 5C) and the Y849C mutation leads to severe problems in expressing this protein in a soluble form. Together, this indicates that the Y849C mutant corresponds to a near complete loss-of-function mutation due to disruption of the protein fold. In contrast, the R800 residue is located in the active site where it forms important interactions with the 4-phosphate group of the substrate (Figure 5B). Our kinetic analysis of the R800C mutant shows that this residue contributes to both substrate binding and catalysis, specifically via its interaction with the 4 P group. The contribution to substrate turnover ($k_{cat}$) can be explained by a model where R800 acts by orienting the 4 P group in an orientation suitable for subsequent proton transfer to the inositol 5-hydroxylate leaving group, as outlined above (Figure 6, route 2). Interestingly, the magnitude of the overall effect of the R800C mutation depends on the substrate that is being considered, with a decrease in $k_{cat}/K_M$ of a factor 900, 54, and 12 for IP$_3$, diC8-PI(4,5)P$_2$ and diC8-PI(3,4,5)P$_3$, respectively. Whether this difference in activity toward the different substrates is physiologically relevant and leads to an imbalance in the cellular distribution of PI (3,4,5)P$_3$, PI(4,5)P$_2$, and IP$_3$, remains to be seen. Such an imbalance of the PI(3,4,5)P$_3$/PI(4,5)P$_2$ ratio, with a relative increase in PI(4,5)P$_2$ versus PI(3,4,5)P$_3$ as expected from the kinetics of the R800C
mutant, has previously been linked to the occurrence of Parkinson’s disease (Sekar and Taghibiglou, 2018). Finally, the Y793 residue is located on the same active site loop as R800, and likely contributes to stabilizing the loop conformation rather than being directly involved in interactions with the substrate (Figure 5B). Our kinetic analysis of the Y793C clinical mutant shows that the 5PPase activity of Synj1 is diminished between 5- and 10-fold for all the substrates in a rather indiscriminatory way.

As a general trend, it can be observed that the impact of the mutations on the catalytic efficiency of the Synj1528–873 enzyme in vitro links with the severity and age of onset of the clinical manifestations in the patients that are homozygous carriers of these Synj1 mutations. Indeed, the very early onset of the severe neurodegeneration observed for the patients carrying a Y849C mutation (Hardies et al., 2016), corresponds well with our observation that this mutation leads to a complete loss of 5PPase activity. On the other hand, the R800C mutation leads to a decrease in overall activity of 54- to 12-fold for the most relevant substrates PI(4,5)P₂ and PI(3,4,5)P₃ and was reported to associate with parkinsonism and seizures at age 24 (Taghavi et al., 2018), while the even smaller effects on activity we observe for the Y793C mutation, with a decrease in activity of 8-fold for PI(4,5)P₂ and 7-fold for PI(3,4,5)P₃, leads to Parkinson’s disease at later age (Xie et al., 2019). Although care should be taken with extending these observations to other mutations, this seems to indicate that the impact of the missense mutations on the kinetics of the 5PPase reaction in vitro can be used to a certain level to predict the severity of the disease outcome in patients who are homozygous for the corresponding mutation. Finally, these observations also have implications for the window that is available to therapeutically target the 5PPase domain of Synj1 in DS, AD, and TBC1D24-associated DOORS syndrome. While it was previously shown that genetic ablation of one Synj allele, corresponding to half of the normal cellular activity, was sufficient to rescue the disease phenotype in TBC1D24-mutant flies (Fischer et al., 2016), we show here that a close to 10-fold reduction of Synj1 activity on the long term could lead to disease in its own respect, thus still leaving a window for inhibitor design.

Materials and methods

| Key resources table |
|---------------------|
| Reagent type (species) or resource | Designation | Source or reference | Identifiers | Additional information |
| Gene (Homo sapiens) SYNJ1 | NCBI | Gene ID: 8867 | | |
| Strain, strain background (Escherichia coli) BL21(DE3) pLysS | Weiner et al., 1994 | Genotype: F \( \text{hsdS}_{8}\) \( \text{r}_{B}\) \( \text{m}_{B}\) gal dcm (DE3) pLysS (Cm\( ^{R}\)) | Chemically (CaCl\( _{2}\)) competent |
| Strain, strain background (E. coli) WK6 (Su) | Zell and Fritz, 1987 (PMID:3038536) | Genotype: Δlac-proAB galE str\( ^{A/F'}\) (lac\( ^{I}\) lacZ\( ^{D}\) M15 proA\( ^{B}\) B\( ^{+}\)) | Chemically (CaCl\( _{2}\)) competent |
| Strain, strain background (M13 helper phage) | Kanamycin-resistant VCSM13 | Stratagene | 200251 |
| Recombinant DNA reagent pET28a (plasmid) | Novagen | | 69864 |
| Recombinant DNA reagent pGEX-4T1 (plasmid) | GE Healthcare | GE28-9545-49 |
| Recombinant DNA reagent pMESy4 (plasmid) | Pardon et al., 2014 (DOI: 10.1038/nprot.2014.039) | GenBank KF415192 |
| Chemical compound, drug IP\( _{6}\) (D-mylo-inositol 1,2,3,4,5,6-hexakis phosphate) | Merck Millipore | | 407125 |
| Chemical compound, drug IP\( _{3}\) (D-mylo-inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate) | Merck Millipore | | 407137 |
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| Reagent type (species) or resource | Designation | Source or reference | Identifiers | Additional information |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| Chemical compound, drug           | diC8-PI(5)P | Echelon Biosciences | P-5008      |                        |
| Chemical compound, drug           | diC8-PI(4,5)P₂ | Echelon Biosciences | P-4508      |                        |
| Chemical compound, drug           | diC8-PI(3,5)P₂ | Echelon Biosciences | P-3508      |                        |
| Chemical compound, drug           | diC8-PI(3,4,5)P₃ | Echelon Biosciences | P-3908      |                        |
| Chemical compound, drug           | disodium-4-nitrophenyl phosphate (DNPP) | Sigma | N-4645 |                        |
| Sequence-based reagent            | Y793C_F     | This paper         | PCR primers |                        |
| Sequence-based reagent            | Y793C_R     | This paper         | PCR primers |                        |
| Sequence-based reagent            | R800C_F     | This paper         | PCR primers |                        |
| Sequence-based reagent            | R800C_R     | This paper         | PCR primers |                        |
| Sequence-based reagent            | Y849C_F     | This paper         | PCR primers |                        |
| Sequence-based reagent            | Y849C_R     | This paper         | PCR primers |                        |
| Peptide, recombinant protein      | CaptureSelect Biotin anti-C-tag conjugate | Thermo Fisher Scientific | 7103252100 |                        |
| Peptide, recombinant protein      | Streptavidin Alkaline Phosphatase | Promega | V5591 |                        |
| Commercial assay or kit           | Malachite Green Phosphate Assay kit | Gentaur | POMG-25H |                        |
| Software, algorithm               | autoPROC    | Vonrhein et al., 2011 | RRID:SCR_015748 | https://www.globalphasing.com/autoproc/ |
| Software, algorithm               | STARANISO   | Tickle et al., 2018 | RRID:SCR_018362 | http://staraniso.globalphasing.org/cgi-bin/staraniso.cgi |
| Software, algorithm               | Phaser      | McCoy et al., 2007 | RRID:SCR_014219 | https://www.phenix-online.org/documentation/reference/phaser.html |
| Software, algorithm               | Phenix.Ligand Fit | Terwilliger et al., 2006 | RRID:SCR_016736 | https://www.phenix-online.org/documentation/reference/ligandfit.html |
| Software, algorithm               | Phenix.Refine | Afonine et al., 2012 | RRID:SCR_016736 | https://www.phenix-online.org/documentation/reference/refinement.html |
| Software, algorithm               | Coot        | Emsley et al., 2010 | RRID:SCR_014222 | https://www2.mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk/personal/pemsley/coot/ |
| Software, algorithm               | MolProbity  | Chen et al., 2010  | RRID:SCR_014226 | http://molprobity.biochem.duke.edu |
| Software, algorithm               | PDB-REDO server | Joosten et al., 2014 | RRID:SCR_018936 | https://pdb-redo.eu/ |
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| Reagent type (species) or resource | Designation | Source or reference | Identifiers | Additional information |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| Software, algorithm               | PyMOL       | Schrödinger         | RRID:SCR_000305 | https://pymol.org/2/     |
| Software, algorithm               | GraphPad Prism version 8 | Graphpad Software | RRID:SCR_002798 |                         |
| Software, algorithm               | CCP4 suite  | Winn et al., 2011 (DOI: 10.1107/S0907444910045749) | RRID:SCR_001591 |                         |
| Software, algorithm               | Clustal Omega | Madeira et al., 2019 (DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkz268) | RRID:SCR_001591 | http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/ |
| Software, algorithm               | ESPript      | Robert and Gouet, 2014 (DOI: 10.1093/nar/gku316) | RRID:SCR_006587 | http://espript.ibcp.fr/ESPript/ESPript/ |
| Software, algorithm               | ACD/ChemSketch version 2019.2.1 | Advanced Chemistry Development | RRID:SCR_007255 | http://www.acdlabs.com/ |

**Cloning, protein expression, and protein purification**

The open reading frame (ORF) encoding the 5-phosphatase domain of Synj1 (residues 528–873) was amplified from the full length Synj1 ORF (NCBI - Gene ID: 8867) and an N-terminal TEV cleavage site was added. This PCR product was digested with NdeI and NotI and ligated into a pET28a expression vector (Novagen). The Synj1<sub>528–873</sub> ORF was also amplified and inserted into a pGEX-4T1 expression vector (GE Healthcare) containing a pre-inserted TEV-site using the BamHI and NotI sites (GE Healthcare). QuickChange site-directed mutagenesis was used to insert the Y793C and R800C mutations into the pET28a-Synj<sub>528–873</sub> plasmid, while the Y849C mutation was introduced in the pGEX-4T1-nTEV-Synj<sub>528–873</sub> plasmid. The resulting plasmids were verified by sequencing (Eurofins Genomics).

Plasmids containing the wild-type or mutant ORFs were transformed in <i>E. coli</i> BL21 (DE3) pLysS cells. Cells were grown at 37°C in Terrific Broth (TB) medium supplemented with the appropriate antibiotics until an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.6 was reached. Subsequently, protein expression was induced by addition of 1 mM IPTG and after an incubation period of 17 hr at 20°C, cells were harvested by centrifugation.

All steps of Synj<sub>1528–873</sub> purification (WT and mutants) were performed at 4°C. The bacterial pellets containing His-tagged wild-type, Y793C, and R800C proteins were resuspended in buffer A (25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, and 5 mM MgCl₂) supplemented with 10 mM imidazole pH 8, 1 mM DTT, 1 μg/ml leupeptin protease inhibitor (Roth), 0.1 mg/ml AEBSF serine protease inhibitor (Roth), 2 μM pepstatin A (Promega) and 50 μg/ml DNaseI (Sigma), and lysed with a cell-disruptor system (Constant Systems). After clearance of the lysate via centrifugation, the supernatant was loaded onto a Ni<sup>2+</sup>-NTA-Sepharose column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with buffer A supplemented with 10 mM imidazole pH 8. After extensive washing, the protein was eluted by increasing the imidazole concentration to 1 M and fractions containing the protein of interest were pooled. To cleave the His-tag, 1 mg of His-tagged TEV protease was added per 10 mg of His-Synj<sub>1528–873</sub> and the mixture was dialysed overnight against buffer A supplemented with 1 mM DTT. The mixture was then loaded onto a Ni<sup>2+</sup>-NTA-Sepharose column, to remove the His-tagged TEV protease and any remaining non-cleaved protein. The bacterial pellets containing GST-tagged wild-type and Y849C protein were resuspended in buffer B (25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol and 5 mM MgCl₂) supplemented with 1 mM DTT, 1 μg/ml leupeptin protease inhibitor, 0.1 mg/ml AEBSF serine protease inhibitor, 2 μM pepstatin A and 50 μg/ml DNaseI, and cells were lysed as before. The cell lysate was cleared by centrifugation and the supernatant was incubated with Glutathione Sepharose 4 Fast Flow beads (GE Healthcare) for 1 hr, then packed into an empty PD-10 column (GE Healthcare). Following extensive washing, the protein was eluted with buffer B supplemented with 10 mM of reduced glutathione. As a final purification step, the His-tagged or tag-less proteins were loaded on a Superdex 75 s column (GE Healthcare), while the GST-tagged proteins...
were loaded on a Superdex 200 s column (GE Healthcare), using buffer B supplemented with 1 mM DTT as running buffer.

**Nanobody (Nb) generation and purification**

A llama was immunized with His-Synj1\(^{528-873}\). A six-week immunization protocol was followed consisting of weekly immunizations of 200 µg (first two weeks) or 100 µg (last four weeks) protein in presence of GERBU adjuvant. All animal vaccinations were performed in strict accordance with good practices and EU animal welfare legislation. Blood was collected four days after the last injection. Library construction, Nb selection via phage display and Nb expression and purification were performed as described previously (Pardon et al., 2014). Briefly, the variable domains of the heavy-chain antibody repertoire from the llama were subcloned in a pMESy4 phage display vector, which adds a C-terminal His-tag and EPEA-tag (=CaptureSelect C-tag). This resulted in an immune library of \(2.4 \times 10^9\) transformants. This Nb-repertoire was expressed on phages after rescue with the VCSM13 helper phage, and two consecutive rounds of phage display were used to select for phages expressing Nbs that bind to the 5PPase domain of Synj1. Therefore, two different coating strategies were used. In the first coating strategy, biotinylated Synj1\(^{528-873}\) (as well His-tagged as non-tagged) was captured on neutravidine-coated 96 well-plates and all binding and washing steps were performed in buffer B (25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol and 5 mM MgCl\(_2\)). In the second coating strategy, Synj1\(^{528-873}\) was captured directly on the bottom of a 96-well plate and all binding and washing steps were performed in buffer B supplemented with 1 mM DTT. After phage display selection, an ELISA screen was performed on crude cell lysates of *E. coli* expressing the Nbs, in order to confirm binding. Synj1\(^{528-873}\) was coated on the ELISA plate. Incubation with the Nb-containing cell extracts and all washing steps were performed in buffer B. Binding of the Nbs was detected via their EPEA-tag using a 1:4000 CaptureSelect Biotin anti-C-tag conjugate (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in combination with 1:1000 Streptavidin Alkaline Phosphatase (Promega). Colour was developed by adding 100 µl of a 3 mg/ml disodium-4-nitrophenyl phosphate solution (DNPP, Sigma) and measured at 405 nm. Sequence analysis was used to classify the binding Nb clones in sequence families.

For Nb production and purification, pMESy4 vectors, containing the Nb ORFs, were transformed in *E. coli* WK6 (Su\(^{-}\)) cells. Cells were grown at 37°C in TB medium supplemented with 100 µg/ml ampicillin, 0.1% glucose, and 2 mM MgCl\(_2\), until an OD\(_{600}\) of 0.6 was reached. Nb expression was induced by adding 1 mM IPTG. After incubation for 17 hr at 28°C, cells were harvested by centrifugation and subjected to an osmotic shock to obtain the periplasmic extract. Subsequently, an affinity purification step on Ni\(^{2+}\)-NTA sepharose and a SEC step on a Superdex 75 16/60 column (in buffer C: 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol) were used to purify the Nbs.

**Crystallization and data collection**

To form the Nb-Synj1\(^{528-873}\) complex, 250 µM of Synj1\(^{528-873}\) was mixed with 500 µM of Nb and incubated for 1 hr on ice. Subsequently, a Superdex 75 10/30 column (in buffer B: 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, and 5 mM MgCl\(_2\)) was used to separate the complex from the excess of Nb.

Initial crystallization conditions were found using the Wizard III and IV (Rigaku) and SG1 screen (Molecular Dimensions) by the sitting-drop vapour-diffusion method at 20°C using a Mosquito robot (SPT Labtech). After optimization two similar conditions yielded good quality crystals of the Nb15-Synj1\(^{528-873}\) complex prepared at 25 mg/ml. Condition 1 was composed of 15% PEG 4000, 0.1 M sodium citrate pH 5 and 10% 2-propanol, while condition 2 contained 15% PEG 3350, 0.1 M sodium citrate pH 5.5 and 13% ethanol. Crystals from these conditions were soaked overnight with mother liquor supplemented with either 1 mM IP\(_6\) (inositol-(1,2,3,4,5,6)-hexakisphosphate) (Merck Millipore) (condition 1) or 1 mM diC8-PI(3,4,5)P\(_3\) (Echelon Biosciences) (condition 2). Subsequently, the former crystals were transferred to a cryo-solution containing mother liquor supplemented with 25% glycerol, while the latter crystals were again very briefly soaked in mother liquid supplemented with 1 mM diC8-PI(3,4,5)P\(_3\) and 15% glycerol as cryo-protectant, immediately followed by flash freezing in liquid nitrogen.

All data were collected at 100 K. Diffraction data from the crystal soaked with IP\(_6\) was collected at the i03 beamline of the DIAMOND synchrotron (\(\lambda = 0.980105\)) using an Eiger2 XE 16M detector.
Data from the crystal soaked with diC8-PI(3,4,5)P₃ was collected at the Proxima 2a beamline of the SOLEIL synchrotron (λ = 0.976246) equipped with an Eiger X 9M detector. Diffraction data were integrated and scaled with autoPROC (Global Phasing Limited; Vonrhein et al., 2011), using the default pipeline which includes XDS, Truncate, Aimless, and STARANISO (Tickle et al., 2018). Anisotropy analysis by STARANISO showed that diffraction data were anisotropic, with diffraction limits along the reciprocal axes of 2.71 Å along 0.781 a* - 0.625 c*, 2.41 Å along b* and 2.30 Å along 0.975 a* + 0.222 c* for the structure obtained from the IP₆-soaked crystal (Appendix 1—figure 1A), and 2.86 Å along 0.043 a* + 0.999 c*, 2.73 Å along b* and 3.14 Å along −0.974 a* + 0.228 c* for the structure obtained from the diC8-PI(3,4,5)P₃-soaked crystal (Appendix 1—figure 1B). Automated resolution cutoff of anisotropic corrected data by STARANISO resulted in a 2.30 Å and a 2.73 Å resolution structure for the IP₆- and diC8-PI(3,4,5)P₃-soaked crystals respectively (using I/σ(I) > 1.4 as a cut-off criterion).

Structure determination and refinement

For the IP₆ soaked crystal the phase problem was solved by molecular replacement using Phaser (McCoy et al., 2007) from the PHENIX suit (Liebschner et al., 2019). The structures of other 5-phosphatase domains (namely the 5-phosphatase domains of Schizosaccharomyces pombe Synaptojanin, human INPP5B, human INPP5B in complex with diC8-PI(4)P and human OCRL) and a random Nb were used as search models (PDB entries 5-phosphatases: 1i9y, 3n9v, 3mtc and 4cmn; PDB entry Nb: 4nc2). Since the resulting structure had no IP₆ bound, we flagged it as an apo-structure. The structure of the diC8-PI(3,4,5)P₃-containing crystal was solved by refining the data against the refined apo-structure, taking care to use the same set of reflections for cross-validation. Analysis of the 2Fo-Fc, Fo-Fc, and omit maps revealed unambiguous electron density for diC8-PI(3,4,5)P₃. Subsequently, the ligand was inserted using Phenix.LigandFit (Terwilliger et al., 2006) followed by a refinement using Phenix.Refine (Afonine et al., 2012).

Models were improved by iterative cycles of refinement with Phenix.Refine (Afonine et al., 2012) and manual building in Coot (Emsley et al., 2010). Molprobity (Chen et al., 2010) was used for structure validation. As a final optimization, the diC8-PI(3,4,5)P₃-bound structure was submitted to the PDB-REDO server (Joosten et al., 2014). X-ray data collection and refinement statistics are listed in Table 1.

Coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under accession codes PDB 7A0V (apo Synj₁528–873) and PDB 7A17 (diC8-PI(3,4,5)P₃-bound Synj₁528–873).

Structural analysis

All structural figures were produced with PyMOL (The PyMol Molecular Graphic System, version 2.0 Schrödinger, LLC, https://pymol.org/2/). Superpose in CCP4 (Krissinel and Henrick, 2004) was used to determine the root-mean-square deviation (rmsd) between the different Synj₁528–873 chains present in one asymmetric unit, and between one Synj₁528–873 chain and the structures of the 5PPase domain of the other 5PPases. Multiple sequence alignment of the 5PPase domains of all human 5PPases and 5Psynj was performed via Clustal Omega (Madeira et al., 2019). ESPript was used to assign secondary structures (Robert and Gouet, 2014). ACD/ChemSketch (version 2019.2.1, Advanced Chemistry Development, Inc, Toronto, ON, Canada, http://www.acdlabs.com, 2020) was used to draw the schematic representations of the interactions in the active site.

Enzyme kinetics

The enzymatic activity of wild-type and mutant Synj₁528–873 using the substrates IP₃ (Sigma), diC8-PI (3,4,5)P₃, diC8-PI(4,5)P₂, diC8-PI(3,5)P₂, and diC8-PI(5)P (Echelon Biosciences) was measured using the Malachite Green phosphate assay (Gentaur) that detects the release of free orthophosphates (P). A full steady-state Michaelis-Menten analysis of Synj₁528–873 wild-type and the R793C and R800C mutants was performed via initial rate measurements using an enzyme concentration optimized for each enzyme/substrate combination to convert around 10% of substrate over the total measuring time, and at varying substrate concentrations (typically within the range 5–500 µM, depending on the Kₘ value). For the GST-tagged Y849C mutant, time measurements at a single substrate concentration of 120 µM (for IP₃, diC8-PI(4,5)P₂, and diC8-PI(3,4,5)P₃) and an enzyme concentration of 1 µM were performed. To assess the effect of Nb15 on catalysis, measurements were
carried out by incubating Synj1528-873 with a 40-fold excess (100 nM) of Nb15 for 10 min at 25°C prior to the assay. All measurements were done at 25°C in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 2 mM MgCl2 and 1 mM DTT, except for the measurements performed at pH 5.5 where the HEPES was replaced by 25 mM sodium citrate pH 5.5. At different time points, 80 μl of each reaction mixture was transferred to a 96 well plate containing 20 μl of the Malachite Green working reagent to stop the reaction. After 30 min of incubation, the absorption of the samples was measured in a SPECTROstarNano (BMG Labtech) plate reader at 620 nm. The absorption was plotted against time and a linear trendline was drawn through the plotted points. To obtain the initial reaction velocity (v), the slopes were divided by the slope of a standard curve (measured in quadruplicate). The velocity divided by the enzyme concentration (v/E0) was plotted against the substrate concentration and the curve was fitted on the Michaelis-Menten equation in GraphPad Prism (version 8, GraphPad Software, La Jolla, California USA, http://www.graphpad.com) to determine k_{cat} and K_{M}. Each datapoint was measured in triplicate. ∆∆G values were calculated as follows: ∆∆G_{overall} = - R.T. ln ([k_{cat}/K_{M}substrate 2]/[k_{cat}/K_{M}substrate 1]); ∆∆G_{binding} = - R.T. ln ([1/K_{M}substrate 2]/[1/K_{M}substrate 1]); ∆∆G_{catalysis} = - R.T. ln ([k_{cat}substrate 2]/[k_{cat}substrate 1]).

Acknowledgements

We thank the staff at the beamlines Proxima 2a of the Soleil synchrotron (France) and i03 of the Diamond synchrotron (United Kingdom) for assistance during data collection. We thank the members of the Versées lab for comments and discussions. This work was supported by the Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (FWO grant number G0D3317N to PV and WV) and Strategic Research Program Financing from the VUB (WV). JP, EM and BD received a fellowship from the Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek. JP and CG solved the structures. JP, EM, BD, MB, RS and YL produced and purified proteins and performed biochemical experiments. EP, JS, PV and WV supervised experiments and aided in interpreting data. WV designed the study. JP, EM, CG and WV wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional information

Competing interests

Patrik Verstreken: Reviewing editor, eLife. The other authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding

| Funder                              | Grant reference number | Author                  |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek    | 1S04918N               | Jone Paesmans           |
| Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek    | 1S09120N               | Ella Martin             |
| Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek    | G0D3317N               | Patrik Verstreken       |
|                                     |                        | Wim Versées             |
| Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek    | 11D4621N               | Babette Deckers         |
| Vrije Universiteit Brussel          |                        | Wim Versées             |

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

Jone Paesmans, Ella Martin, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review and editing; Babette Deckers, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - review and editing; Marjolijn Berghmans, Ritika Sethi, Yannick Loeys, Formal analysis, Investigation,
Writing - review and editing; Els Pardon, Jan Steyaert, Patrik Verstreken, Supervision, Methodology, Writing - review and editing; Christian Galicia, Formal analysis, Supervision, Investigation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review and editing; Wim Versées, Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Writing - original draft, Project administration, Writing - review and editing

Author ORCIDs
Jone Paesmans https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3292-4609
Ella Martin https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9607-7074
Babette Deckers https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3855-4776
Marjolijn Berghmans http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8699-6915
Els Pardon https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2466-0172
Jan Steyaert https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3825-874X
Christian Galicia https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6080-7533
Wim Versées https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4695-696X

Decision letter and Author response
Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.64922.sa1
Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.64922.sa2

Additional files
Supplementary files
- Supplementary file 1. (A) Comparison of the 5PPase domain of human Synj1 (Synj1528–873) with the corresponding 5PPase domain of the other human inositol polyphosphate 5-phosphatases and SPSynj. The root-mean-square deviation (rmsd) after superposition of the structures was determined using CCP4 SUPERPOSE. Furthermore, the sequence identity was determined using Clustal Omega. (B) Residues interacting with the diC8-PI(3,4,5)P3 substrate in Synj1528–873 and the corresponding residues in the other nine human 5PPases and SPSynj. Completely conserved residues are written in white with a red background and similar residues are written in red.
- Transparent reporting form

Data availability
Diffraction data have been deposited in the PDB under the accession code 7A0V and 7A17. All data generated or analysed during this study are included in the manuscript and supporting files. Source data files have been provided for Figure 4 and Figure 4—figure supplements 1–3.

The following datasets were generated:

| Author(s)          | Year | Dataset title                                                                 | Dataset URL                       | Database and Identifier       |
|--------------------|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Paesmans J, Galicia C, Martin E, Versées W | 2020 | Crystal structure of the 5-phosphatase domain of Synaptojanin1 in complex with a nanobody | https://www.rcsb.org/structure/7A0V | RCSB Protein Data Bank, 7A0V   |
| Paesmans J, Galicia C, Martin E, Versées W | 2020 | Crystal structure of the 5-phosphatase domain of Synaptojanin1 bound to its substrate diC8-PI(3,4,5)P3 in complex with a nanobody | https://www.rcsb.org/structure/7A17 | RCSB Protein Data Bank, 7A17   |

References
Aboelnga MM, Wetmore SD. 2019. Unveiling a Single-Metal-Mediated phosphodiester bond cleavage mechanism for nucleic acids: a multiscale computational investigation of a human DNA repair enzyme. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 141:8646–8656. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.9b03986, PMID: 31046259
Afonine PV, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Echols N, Headd JJ, Moriarty NW, Mustyakimov M, Terwilliger TC, Urzhumtsev A, Zwart PH, Adams PD. 2012. Towards automated crystallographic structure refinement with phenix.refine. Acta Crystallographica Section D Biological Crystallography 68:352–367. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444912001308, PMID: 22505256

Anderson RA, Boronenkov IV, Doughman SD, Kunz J, Loijens JC. 1999. Phosphatidylinositol phosphate kinases, a multifaceted family of signaling enzymes. Journal of Biological Chemistry 274:9907–9910. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.274.15.9907

Arai Y, Jjin T, Takenawa T, Becker LE, Takashima S. 2002. Excessive expression of synaptojanin in brains with down syndrome. Brain and Development 24:67–72. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0387-7604(01)00405-3, PMID: 11891094

Asano T, Mochizuki Y, Matsumoto K, Takenawa T, Endo T. 1999. Phargin, a novel inositol polyphosphate 5-phosphatase, induces dendritic appearances in fibroblasts. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 261:188–195. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-291X(99)80881-7, PMID: 10405344

Ball TA. 2013. Phosphoinositides: tiny lipids with giant impact on cell regulation. Physiological Reviews 93:1019–1137. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00028.2012, PMID: 23895561

Berman DE, D’Almi C, Voronov SV, McIntire LB, Zhang H, Moore AZ, Staniszewski A, Arancio O, Kim TW, Di Paolo G. 2008. Oligomeric amyloid-beta peptide disrupts phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate metabolism. Nature Neuroscience 11:547–554. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2100, PMID: 18391946

Bouchouche A, Tesson C, Regragui W, Rahmani M, Drouet V, Tibar H, Souiri T, Ben El Haj R, Bouslam N, Yahyaoui M, Brice A, Benomar A, Lesage S. 2017. Mutation analysis of consanguineous moroccan patients with Parkinson’s disease combining microarray and gene panel. Frontiers in Neurology 8:1–11. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2017.00567, PMID: 29163333

Ceatra G, Castagnoli L, Dente L, Minenkov O, Petrelli A, Migone N, Hoffmüller U, Schneider-Mergener J, Cesareni G. 1999. The SH3 domains of endophilin and amphiphysin bind to the proline-rich region of synaptojanin 1 at distinct sites that display an unconventional binding specificity. Journal of Biological Chemistry 274:32001–32007. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.274.45.32001, PMID: 10542231

Chen VB, Arendall WB, Headd JJ, Keedy DA, Immormino RM, Kapral GJ, Murray LW, Richardson JS, Richardson DC. 2010. MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. Acta Crystallographica Section D Biological Crystallography 66:12–21. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444909042073, PMID: 20057044

Chi Y, Zhou B, Wang WQ, Chung SK, Kwon YU, Ahn YH, Chang YT, Tsujishita Y, Hurley JH, Zhang ZY. 2004. Comparative mechanistic and substrate specificity study of inositol polyphosphate 5-phosphatase Schizosaccharomyces pombe synaptojanin and SHIP2. Journal of Biological Chemistry 279:44987–44995. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M406416200, PMID: 15316017

Cessec JC, Lavaur J, Berman DE, Rivals I, Hoischen A, Stora S, Ripoll C, Mircher C, Grattau Y, Olovmarin JC, de Chaumont F, Lecourtois M, Antonarakis SE, Velman JA, Delabar JM, Duyckaerts C, Di Paolo G, Potier MC. 2012. Trisomy for synaptojanin1 in down syndrome is functionally linked to the enlargement of early endosomes. Human Molecular Genetics 21:3156–3172. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/dds142, PMID: 22511594

Cremona O, Di Paolo G, Wenk MR, Lüthi A, Kim WT, Takei K, Daniell L, Nemoto Y, Shears SB, Flavell RA, McCormick DA, De Camilli P. 1999. Essential role of phosphoinositide metabolism in synaptic vesicle recycling. Cell 99:179–188. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81649-9, PMID: 10535736

Di Paolo G, De Camilli P. 2006. Phosphoinositides in cell regulation and membrane dynamics. Nature 443:651–657. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05185, PMID: 17035995

Dlakić M. 2000. Functionally unrelated signalling proteins contain a fold similar to Mg2+-dependent endonucleases. Trends in Biochemical Sciences 25:272–273. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0968-0004(00)01582-6, PMID: 10838565

Emsley P, Lohkamp B, Scott WG, Cowtan K. 2010. Features and development of coot. Acta Crystallographica Section D Biological Crystallography 66:486–501. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444910004793, PMID: 20383002

Erzberger JP, Wilson DM. 1999. The role of Mg2+ and specific amino acid residues in the catalytic reaction of the major human abasic endonuclease: new insights from EDTA-resistant incision of acyclic abasic site analogs and site-directed mutagenesis. Journal of Molecular Biology 290:447–457. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.1999.2888, PMID: 10390343

Fischer B, Lüthy K, Paesmans J, De Koninck C, Maes I, Swerts J, Kuenen S, Utterhoeven V, Verstreken P, Versées W. 2016. Skywalker-TBC1D24 has a lipid-binding pocket mutated in epilepsy and required for synaptic function. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 23:965–973. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.3297, PMID: 27669036

Fruman DA, Meyers RE, Cantley LC. 1998. Phosphoinositide kinases. Annual Review of Biochemistry 67:481–507. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.67.1.481, PMID: 9759495

Hardies K, Cai Y, Jardel C, Jansen AC, Cao M, May P, Djemilé T, Hachon Le Camus C, Keymolen K, Deconinck T, Bambhani V, Long C, Sajani SA, Helbig KL, Sulis A, Balling R, Helbig I, De Jonghe P, Depienne C, De Camilli P, et al. 2016. Loss of SYNJ1 dual phosphatase activity leads to early onset refractory seizures and progressive neurological decline. Brain 139:2420–2430. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/aww180, PMID: 27435091

Hong D, Cong L, Zhong S, He Y, Xin L, Gao X, Zhang J. 2019. Clonazepam improves the symptoms of two siblings with novel variants in the SYNJ1 gene. Parkinsonism & Related Disorders 62:221–225. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2018.11.020, PMID: 30473187
Hsu F, Mao Y. 2015. The structure of phosphoinositide phosphatases: insights into substrate specificity and catalysis. Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids 1851:698–710. doi:10.1016/j.bbabio.2014.09.015, PMID: 25264170

Ijuin T, Michizuki Y, Fukami K, Funaki M, Asano T, Takenawa T. 2000. Identification and characterization of a novel inositol polyphosphate 5-Phosphatase. Journal of Biological Chemistry 275:10870–10875. doi:10.1074/jbc.275.15.10870

Jabs A, Weiss MS, Hilgenfeld R. 1999. Non-proline cis peptide bonds in proteins. Journal of Molecular Biology 286:291–304. doi:10.1006/jmbi.1998.2459, PMID: 9931267

Jefferson AB, Majerus PW. 1996. Mutation of the conserved domains of two inositol polyphosphate 5-phosphatases. Biochemistry 35:7890–7894. doi:10.1021/bi9602627, PMID: 8672490

Joosten RP, Long F, Murshudov GN, Perrakis A. 2014. The PDB_REDO server for macromolecular structure model optimization. IUCrJ 1:213–220. doi:10.1107/S2052525414009324, PMID: 25075342

Krisselein E, Henrick K. 2004. Secondary-structure-based matching (SSM), a new tool for fast protein structure alignment in three dimensions. Acta Crystallographica Section D Biological Crystallography 60:2256–2268. doi:10.1107/S0907444904026460, PMID: 15572779

Le Coq J, Camacho-Artacho M, Velázquez JV, Santiveri CM, Gallego LH, Campos-Olivas R, Dölker N, Lietha D. 2017. Structural basis for interdomain communication in SHIP2 providing high phosphatase activity. eLife 6:e26640. doi:10.7554/eLife.26640, PMID: 28792888

Liebschner D, Afonine PV, Baker ML, Bunkóczi G, Chen VB, Croll TI, Hintze B, Hung L-W, Jain S, McCoy AJ, Moriarty NW, Oeffner RD, Poon BK, Prisant MG, Read RJ, Richardson JS, Richardson DC, Sammito MD, Sobolev OV, Stockwell DH, et al. 2019. Macromolecular structure determination using X-rays, neutrons and electrons: recent developments in Phenix Acta Crystallographica Section D Structural Biology 75:861–877. doi:10.1107/S2059798319011471

Lowe M. 2005. Structure and function of the lowe syndrome protein OCRL1. Traffic 6:711–719. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0854.2005.00311.x, PMID: 16101675

Lüthy K, Mei D, Fischer B, De Fusco M, Swerts J, Paesmans J, Parrini E, Lubarr N, Meijer IA, Mackenzie KM, Lee WT, Cittaro D, Aridon P, Schoovaerts N, Versées W, Verstreken P, Casari G, Guerrini R. 2019. TBC1D24-TLDc-related epilepsy exercise-induced dystonia: rescue by antioxidants in a disease model. Brain 142:2319–2335. doi:10.1093/brain/awz175, PMID: 31257402

Madeira F, Park YM, Lee J, Buso N, Gur T, Madhusoodanan N, Basutkar P, Tivey ARN, Potter SC, Finn RD, Lopez R. 2019. The EMBL-EBI search and sequence analysis tools APIs in 2019. Nucleic Acids Research 47:W636–W641. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz268, PMID: 30976793

Majerus PW, Kisseleva MV, Norris FA. 1999. The role of phosphatases in inositol signaling reactions. Journal of Biological Chemistry 274:10669–10672. doi:10.1074/jbc.274.10.10669

Martin SB, Dowling ALS, Lianekhammy J, Lott IT, Doran E, Murphy MP, Beckett TL, Schmitt FA, Head E. 2015. Synaptoxin-1 and Synaptojanin-1 in down syndrome are differentially affected by Alzheimer’s Disease. Journal of Molecular Biology 427:767–775. doi:10.1038/jad.140795

McCoy AJ, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Adams PD, Winn MD, Storoni LC, Read RJ. 2007. Phaser crystallographic software. Journal of Applied Crystallography 40:658–674. doi:10.1107/s0021899807021206, PMID: 19461840

McPherson PS, Garcia EP, Slepenivl, David C, Zhang X, Grabs D, Sossin WS, Bauerfeind R, Nemoto Y, De Camilli P. 1996. A presynaptic inositol-5-phosphatase. Nature 379:353–357. doi:10.1038/379353a0, PMID: 8552192

Mills SJ, Persson C, Cozier G, Thomas MP, Tréasgueres L, Erneux C, Riley AM, Nordlund P, Potter BV. 2012. A synthetic phosphoinositide headgroup surrogate in complex with SHIP2 provides a rationale for drug discovery. ACS Chemical Biology 7:822–828. doi:10.1021/acschembio.2b00494, PMID: 22330088

Mills SJ, Silvander C, Cozier G, Trésaures L, Nordlund P, Potter BV. 2016. Crystal structures of Type-II inositol polyphosphate 5-Phosphatase INPP5B with synthetic inositol polyphosphate surrogates reveal new mechanistic insights for the inositol 5-Phosphatase family. Biochemistry 55:1384–1397. doi:10.1021/acs.biochem.5b00838, PMID: 26854536

Mira Miranda AM, Herman M, Cheng R, Nahmani E, Barrett G, Micevska E, Fontaine G, Potier M-C, Head E, Schmitt FA, Lott IT, Jiménez-Velázquez IZ, Antonarakis SE, Di Paolo G, Lee JH, Hussaini SA, Marquer C, 2018. Excess Synaptotagin 1 Contributes to Place Cell Dysfunction and Memory Deficits in the Aging Hippocampus in Three Types of Alzheimer’s Disease. Cell Reports 23:2967–2975. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.05.011

Mochizuki Y, Takenawa T. 1999. Novel inositol polyphosphate 5-Phosphatase localizes at membrane ruffles. Journal of Biological Chemistry 274:36790–36795. doi:10.1074/jbc.274.51.36790

Mol CD, Izumi T, Mitra S, Tainer JA. 2000. DNA-bound structures and mutants reveal abasic DNA binding by APE1 and DNA repair coordination. Nature 403:451–456. doi:10.1038/3500249, PMID: 10667800

Nemoto Y, Arribas M, Haffner C, De Camilli P. 1997. Synaptotagin 2, a novel synaptotagin isoform with a distinct targeting domain and expression pattern. Journal of Biological Chemistry 272:30817–30821. doi:10.1074/jbc.272.49.30817

Pardon E, Laeremans T, Triest S, Rasmussen SG, Wohlkönig A, Ruf A, Muyldermans S, Hol WG, Kobilka BK, Staeyaert J. 2014. A general protocol for the generation of nanobodies for structural biology. Nature Protocols 9:674–693. doi:10.1038/nprot.2014.039, PMID: 24577359
Perera RM, Zoncu R, Lucsat L, De Camilli P, Toomre D. 2006. Two synaptojanin 1 isoforms are recruited to clathrin-coated pits at different stages. PNAS 103:19332–19337. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0609975104, PMID: 17185794

Rajamäki AR, McPherson PS. 1996. Tissue-specific alternative splicing generates two synaptojanin isoforms with differential membrane binding properties. Journal of Biological Chemistry 271:24856–24861. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.271.40.24856

Robert X, Gouet P. 2014. Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new ENDSscript server. Nucleic Acids Research 42:W320–W324. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku316, PMID: 24753421

Rohrschneider LR, Fuller JF, Wolf J, Liu Y, Lucas DM. 2000. Function, and biology of SHIP proteins. Genes & Development 14:505–520. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.14.5.505, PMID: 10716940

Rusk N, Le PU, Mariggiò S, Guay G, Lurisci C, Nabi IR, Corda D, Symons M. 2003. Synaptojanin 2 functions at an early step of clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Current Biology 13:659–663. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1010/s0960-9822(03)00241-0, PMID: 12699622

Schmid AC, Wise HM, Mitchell CA, Nussbaum R, Woscholski R. 2004. Type II phosphoinositide 5-phosphatases have unique sensitivities towards fatty acid composition and head group phosphorylation. FEBs Letters 576:9–13. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fels.2004.08.052, PMID: 15474001

Sekar S, Taghibiglou C. 2018. Elevated nuclear phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) and altered insulin signaling in substantia nigral region of patients with Parkinson’s disease. Neuroscience Letters 666:139–143. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2017.12.049, PMID: 29288045

Speed CJ, Little PJ, Hayman JA, Mitchell CA. 1996. Underexpression of the 43 kDa inositol polyphosphate 5-phosphatase is associated with cellular transformation. The EMBO Journal 15:4852–4861. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/1460-2075.1996.tb00866.x, PMID: 8890159

Taghavi S, Chaouni R, Tafakhor A, Azcona LJ, Pirouzabad SI, Omrani MD, Jamshidi J, Emamzadeh B, Shahidi GA, Ahmadi M, Habibi SAH, Ahmadifard A, Fazeli A, Motallebi M, Parmarap P, Askarpour S, Askarpour S, Shahmohammadi-Beni HA, Shahmohammadi-Beni N, Eftekhari H, et al. 2018. A clinical and molecular genetic study of 50 families with autosomal recessive parkinsonism revealed known and novel gene mutations. Molecular Neurobiology 55:3477–3489. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-017-0535-1, PMID: 28502045

Terwilliger TC, Klei H, Adams PD, Moriarty NW, Cohn JD. 2006. Automated ligand fitting by core-fragment fitting and extension into density. Acta Crystallographica Section D Biological Crystallography 62:915–922. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444906017161, PMID: 16855309

Tickle IJ, Flensburg C, Keller P, Paciorek W, Sharff A, Vonrhin C, Stanaris BG. 2018. STARANISO: Global Phasing Ltd.

Trésaugues L, Silvander C, Flodin S, Welin M, Nyman T, Gräsland S, Hammarström M, Berglund H, Nordlund P. 2014. Structural basis for phosphoinositide substrate recognition, catalysis, and membrane interactions in human inositol polyphosphate 5-phosphatases. Structure 22:744–755. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2014.01.013, PMID: 24704254

Tsujishita Y, Guo S, Stolz LE, York JD, Hurley JH. 2001. Specificity determinants in phosphoinositide dephosphorylation: crystal structure of an archetypal inositol polyphosphate 5-phosphatase. Cell 105:379–389. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s0008-6718(01)00326-9, PMID: 11348594

Ueda Y. 2014. The role of phosphoinositides in synapse function. Molecular Neurobiology 50:821–838. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-014-8768-8, PMID: 24935718

Verstreken P, Koh TW, Schulze KL, Zhai RG, Hiesinger PR, Zhou Y, Mehta SQ, Cao Y, Roos J, Bellen HJ. 2003. Synaptojanin is recruited by endophilin to promote synaptic vesicle uncoating. Neuron 40:733–748. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(03)00644-5, PMID: 14622578

Vonrhein C, Flensburg C, Keller P, Sharff A, Smart O, Paciorek W, Wowack T, Brignone G. 2011. Data processing and analysis with the autoPROC toolbox. Acta Crystallographica. Section D, Biological Crystallography 67:293–302. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1107/S090744491007773X, PMID: 21640447

Voronov SV, Frere SG, Giovedi S, Pollina EA, Borel C, Zhang H, Schmid C, Akeson EC, Wenk MR, Cimasoni L, Arancio O, Davison MT, Antonarakis SE, Gardiner K, De Camilli P, Di Paolo G. 2008. Synaptojanin 1-linked phosphoinositide dyshomeostasis and cognitive deficits in mouse models of down’s syndrome. PNAS 105:9415–9420. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0803756105, PMID: 18591656

Weiner MP, Anderson C, Jerpseth B, Wells S, Johnson-Browne B, Vaillancourt P. 1994. Studier pET system vectors and hosts. Strategies in Molecular Biology 7:41–43.

Whistock JC, Romero S, Gurung R, Nandurkar H, Ooms LM, Bottomley SP, Mitchell CA. 2000. The inositol 5-phosphatases and the apurinic/Apyrimidinic base excision repair endonucleases share a common mechanism for catalysis. Journal of Biological Chemistry 275:37055–37061. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.200216540210814, PMID: 12018403

Whistock JC, Wiradja F, Waters JE, Gurung R. 2002. The structure and function of catalytic domains within inositol polyphosphate 5-phosphatases. IUBMB Life 53:15–23. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/15216540210814, PMID: 12018403

Winn MD, Ballard CC, Cowtan KD, Dodson EJ, Emsley P, Evans PR, Keegan RM, Krissinel EB, Leslie AG, McCoy A, McNicholas SJ, Murshudov GN, Pannu N, Potterton EA, Powell HR, Read RJ, Vagin A, Wilson KS. 2011. Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. Acta Crystallographica. Section D, Biological Crystallography 67:235–242. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444910045749, PMID: 21640441

Xie F, Chen S, Can ZD, Chen Y, Yang DH, Wang HT, Zhang BR, Luo W. 2019. A novel homozygous SYNJ1 mutation in two siblings with typical Parkinson’s disease. Parkinsonism & Related Disorders 69:134–137. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2019.11.001, PMID: 31751865
Zell R, Fritz HJ. 1987. DNA mismatch-repair in Escherichia coli counteracting the hydrolytic deamination of 5-methyl-cytosine residues. The EMBO Journal 6:1809–1815. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1987.tb02435.x, PMID: 3038536
Appendix 1

Anisotropy analysis

Appendix 1—figure 1. Reciprocal lattices (axes $a^*$, $b^*$, $c^*$) colour coded by mean $I/\sigma(I)$ as given by STARANISO, showing the anisotropic diffraction of the crystal of (A) the apo Synj1$_{528-873}$ and (B) the diC8-PI(3,4,5)P$_3$-bound Synj1$_{528-873}$.
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