Phosphorus Source Affects Phosphorus Leaching and Growth of Containerized Spirea
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Abstract.Commercially propagated ‘Halward’s Silver’ spirea (Spiraea nipponica Maxim.) bareroot cuttings and cuttings with substrate around the roots (plugs) were transplanted into 3.8-L containers and fertilized with various P fertilizers to determine the effect of fertilizer source on P leaching and plant growth. The following fertilizer treatments were applied: 1) 100% of the recommended rate of P from controlled-release fertilizer (CRF), consisting of 22N–2.6P–10K; 2) 100% of P from triple superphosphate (TSP, 0N–20P–0K) with N and K provided by 22N–0P–10K CRF; and 3) 50% of P from CRF, consisting of 22N–1.3P–10K, plus 50% of P from TSP (CRF/TSP). The most P leached from cuttings transplanted as plugs or bareroot and fertilized with TSP, while the least P leached from cuttings transplanted as plugs and fertilized with CRF or CRF/TSP. Plants fertilized with CRF/TSP generally had larger root dry weights than did plants fertilized with CRF or TSP. Plants fertilized with CRF had the smallest stem dry weights. Shoot-to-root (S/R) ratio was largest in plants transplanted as plugs in substrate amended with TSP, but cuttings transplanted bareroot into CRF-amended substrate had the highest S/R ratio and the lowest stem P concentration. Incorporation of CRF/TSP into the container substrate can reduce P leaching compared with incorporation of TSP, and can increase root and stem dry weights of plants transplanted as plugs compared with incorporation of CRF.

Nutrient-contaminated effluent and its potential pollution of surface and groundwater are of primary concern to the nursery industry. The environmental impact of application of fertilizer, especially N and P, has been the focus of concern for the past several years. A long-lasting P source in container crop production is needed because P readily leaches from soilless substrates (Havis and Baker, 1985). The limited nutrient and water retention by soilless substrates necessitates high fertilizer rates and frequent irrigation, contributing to nutrient losses and potential environmental pollution.

Containers limit the amount of substrate and nutrients available to support plant growth. Despite these restrictions, a single application of controlled-release fertilizer (CRF) can adequately supply nutrients through an extended period of the growth cycle (Maynard and Lorenz, 1979). Nutrients in soluble fertilizer (SF) are readily available for uptake but are easily leached and can quickly be depleted. Thus growers apply SF several times during the growing season. Controlled-release fertilizer is often more expensive but requires less frequent applications than does SF. Controlled-release fertilizer is generally coated with plastic polymers or other materials to reduce the speed with which the nutrients become available (Oertli and Lunt, 1962). Therefore, a steady supply of nutrients is provided by CRF over an extended time period, and nutrient leaching is minimized. Many growers have adjusted their fertilizer program to use CRF instead of liquid fertilizers (LF) or SF to decrease the amount of nutrient loss via leaching (Hershey and Paul, 1982). Despite the trend toward use of CRF in production, growers are concerned that growth and development of new transplants, particularly bareroot transplants, may be delayed in substrate amended with CRF because of inadequate nutrient availability immediately after transplanting until sufficient nutrients have been released into the substrate solution to support growth. In contrast, growth of rooted cuttings transplanted as plugs may not be delayed because nutrients are readily available in the plug substrate and may continue to be available until enough nutrients have been released from CRF in the growing substrate to continue to promote plant growth and development.

Phosphorus leaches easily when single (SSP) or triple (TSP) superphosphate is used as a fertilizer source (Cole and Dole, 1997; Dole et al., 1992; Hershey and Paul, 1982; Marconi and Nelson, 1984, 1986, 1988). Cole and Dole (1997) demonstrated that the use of CRF greatly reduces the cumulative amount of P in leachate in comparison with SF at several temperatures.

Phosphorus readily leaches from pine bark-based substrates because of their low P fixation capacities (Marconi and Nelson, 1984; Yeager and Wright, 1982). Marconi and Nelson (1984) showed that different P fertilizer rates were needed to maintain the same concentration of P in soil solution in several substrates. Soilless substrates had lower adsorption capacities than did substrates containing soil. Loss of P is greater from organic than from mineral soils. Fox and Kamprath (1971) found that P applied as SF leaches easily from soil primarily composed of organic material (pH of 4.0) with only traces of inorganic minerals. An average of 95% of the P applied was lost from organic soil in a leaching column 40 cm long. Their data agree with those reported for organic soils (pH = 5) by Larsen et al. (1958), who found that 80% of the P applied to a 6.7-cm column of soil was leached with 11.8 cm of rain.

Phosphorus behavior is also influenced by fixation and leaching in a soilless substrate. Yeager and Barrett (1984) found that less P leached from organic substrate consisting primarily of pine bark and peat than from substrate with a lower proportion of organic components. However, the most P (76%) leached from pine bark and peat when sand was added. Cole and Dole (1997) had similar results, with 45% of the P applied to a 3 pine bark : 1 sand substrate being leached within 13 d. About 80% of applied P leached from 2 milled pine bark : 1 sand substrate amended with superphosphate within 21 d (Yeager and Barrett, 1986).

The objectives of this study were to determine the effects of fertilizer source on: 1) the quantities of P leaching from a container substrate, and 2) plant growth and tissue P concentration of cuttings transplanted bareroot or as plugs.

Materials and Methods

Thirty uniform, commercially propagated, bareroot cuttings and 30 similarly propagated cuttings with growing substrate around the roots (plugs) of ‘Halward’s Silver’ spirea were planted on 15 Feb. 1997 in 16-cm-diameter × 17.5-cm-deep (3.8-L) pots containing 1200 g (bareroot) or 1100 g (plugs) of a 3 pine bark : 1 sand (by volume) substrate amended with 0.9 kg·m–3 Micromax (The Scotts Co., Marysville, Ohio), 2.3 kg·m–3 each of dolomite and gypsum, and the following macronutrient fertilizer treatments: 1) 100% of P applied as 8.1 kg·m–3 of 22N–2.6P–10K CRF (IMC Vigoro, Winter Haven, Fla.); 2) 100% of P applied in 1.0 kg·m–3 triple superphosphate (TSP, 0N–20P–0K) with N and K applied as 8.1 kg·m–3 of 22N–0P–10K CRF; and 3) 50% of P applied as 8.1 kg·m–3 of 22N–1.3P–10K CRF plus 50% of P applied as 0.5 kg·m–3 TSP (CRF/TSP). Fertilizers were applied to all
treatments to provide equal amounts of N (1.8 kg m⁻³) and K (0.7 kg m⁻³) from the same source, and equal amounts of P (90 g m⁻³) but from different sources.

The growing substrate adhering to the roots of the plugs consisted of 5 pine bark : 1 perlite (by volume) amended with 4.1 kg m⁻³ of dolomite, 1.2 kg m⁻³ of gypsum, and 0.2 kg m⁻³ of TSP. The substrate adhering to the roots added =100 g to the final mix (3 pine bark : 1 perlite : 1 sand) to make the amount of substrate (1200 g) in the containers with plugs equal to that of the bareroot cuttings. The final growing substrate had 50% total porosity (liquid and air), 20% air space, 30% total waterholding capacity, and a bulk density of 0.4 g mL⁻¹, as measured using procedures described by Ingram et al. (1990). Plants were grown in a polyethylene-covered greenhouse with an average air temperature of 29 °C day/18 °C night, and a maximum photosynthetic photon flux (PPF) of 924 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹.

About 12 h prior to planting, 500 mL tap water was surface-applied to the substrate in each pot to assure that adequate moisture was present to sustain the plants. Immediately after transplanting, 250 mL of tap water was applied to the substrate surface. Thereafter, plants were irrigated when a predetermined test plant was at or below 50% available water as indicated by weight. To determine the target weight, five plants other than those used in the study described above were planted in 1200 g of the same container substrate as the experimental plants. These plants were watered to container capacity and plant, pot, and substrate weights were recorded. Plants were allowed to dry to the permanent wilting point, and plant, pot, and substrate weights were again recorded. Target irrigation weights were calculated as follows: [(container capacity weight – wilting point weight) (0.50)] + wilting point weight = the total plant weight at 50% available water. Target weight was obtained by averaging the five container weights. When the weight of test plants reached the target weight or below, all plants were irrigated by applying a predetermined volume of tap water to the substrate surface with a graduated cylinder to achieve ≥25% leaching fraction. Three samples of the tap water were collected to determine the amount of P applied with the water at each irrigation.

The volumes of water applied and of leachate per pot were recorded at each irrigation. Plastic funnels were used to direct all leachate into sample plastic bottles, and leachate volume was measured with a graduated cylinder. Leaching fraction was calculated as: volume of leachate/volume of water applied. Leachate samples were collected at each irrigation and stored at 7 °C until analyzed for pH (pHmV/Temp Bench Meter; Cole-Parmer Instruments, Chicago), electrical conductivity (EC) (Solorbridge, Beckman Instruments, Cedar Grove, N.J.), and total P concentration by the hydroquinone method (Page et al., 1982) using a recording spectrophotometer at 700 nm (model UV-1601; Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan).

The plants were harvested on 6 June 1997 after 26 irrigations. Plant height and plant diameter (average of diameter at widest point and the diameter perpendicular to the widest point) were determined at harvest. Plants from each treatment were divided into roots, stems, and leaves, and the tissues were dried at 70 °C for 7 d, weighed, and ground to pass through a 917-μm mesh screen. Then 1 g of each sample was dry-ashed, and P was determined colorimetrically (Olsen and Summers, 1982). Shoot : root (S/R) ratio was calculated as [(stem dry weight + leaf dry weight)/root dry weight].

### Statistical analysis

Regression models for leachate volume and amount of P leached were fit using TablecurveTM 2D (Jandel Scientific, San Rafael, Calif.).

### Results

Cutting treatment interacted with fertilizer treatment for leaching fraction (Table 1). Cuttings transplanted as plugs into substrate containing CRF had a larger leaching fraction (31%) than did plugs with substrate containing CRF/TSP (26%) or TSP (27%). In contrast, greater leaching fractions occurred with bareroot transplants in substrate containing CRF (27%) and CRF/TSP (27%) than in substrate containing TSP (23%).

No cutting × fertilizer interaction occurred for the amount of P leached (Table 1). More P leached from bareroot cuttings than from plugs given the same fertilizer treatment. About twice as much P leached from bareroot cuttings than from plugs, both CRF or CRF/TSP. The P leaching patterns over the course of the study differed depending on fertilizer source (Fig. 1). A large proportion of P leached in a relatively small volume of leachate (<875 mL) with TSP. In contrast, the amount of P leached with CRF/TSP was fairly consistent throughout the study. With CRF, there appeared to be a lag phase in which little P leached initially, but more P leached after ≈875 mL leachate was collected.

Cutting treatment × fertilizer interaction was nonsignificant for initial leachate pH, but a significant difference occurred among fertilizer treatments (Table 1). Leachate from the CRF-amended substrate had the highest initial pH. Transplant by fertilizer interaction was significant for final leachate pH. With plugs, the final leachate pH did not differ among the fertilizer treatments, but with bareroot cuttings, the final leachate pH was lower (6.25) with TSP-amended substrate than with substrate amended with CRF/TSP (6.93).

Cutting treatment interacted with fertilizer in affecting initial leachate EC (Table 1). With plugs, initial leachate EC did not significantly differ among fertilizer treatments, but with bareroot cuttings, it was highest (3.13 ± 0.17 dS m⁻¹) with TSP-amended substrate and lowest (2.59 ± 0.08 dS m⁻¹) with CRF/TSP-amended substrate. Final leachate EC was not affected by treatment.

### Table 1. Effects of spica cutting treatment and source of P on leaching fraction, P leached, and initial and final leachate pH and electrical conductivity (EC), n = 10.

| Cutting treatment | Fertilizer | Leaching fraction (%) | Total P leached (mg) | pH | EC (dS m⁻¹) |
|-------------------|------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----|-------------|
| Plugs             | CRF        | 34.5                  | 6.07                 | 6.66 ab | 2.68 bc 1.95 |
|                   | CRF/TSP    | 32.4                  | 5.39                 | 6.66 ab | 3.05 ab 1.97 |
|                   | TSP        | 75.2                  | 5.18                 | 6.73 a  | 2.87 abc 1.99 |
|                   | Mean       | 47.3 b                | 5.55                 | 6.67    | 2.90 1.98  |
| Bareroot cuttings | CRF        | 46.4                  | 6.09                 | 6.56 ab | 2.97 abc 1.83 |
|                   | CRF/TSP    | 40.4                  | 5.46                 | 6.93 a  | 2.59 c 1.82 |
|                   | TSP        | 87.7                  | 4.86                 | 6.25    | 3.13 a 1.96 |
|                   | Mean       | 58.1 a                | 5.47                 | 6.58    | 2.86 1.87  |
| Mean              | CRF        | 40.4 B                | 6.07 A               | 6.59    | 2.99 1.94  |
|                   | CRF/TSP    | 36.4 B                | 5.42 B               | 6.80    | 2.82 1.87  |
|                   | TSP        | 81.4 A                | 5.02 C               | 6.49    | 2.82 1.97  |

CRF = 100% of P from controlled-release fertilizer, CRF/TSP = 50% of P from CRF and 50% from triple superphosphate (TSP). TSP = 100% of P from TSP.

a,b,cNS: Mean separation among means within columns by LSD or Student–Newman–Keul’s procedures when appropriate (SAS Institute, Cary, N.C.). Regression models for leachate volume and amount of P leached were fit using TablecurveTM 2D (Jandel Scientific, San Rafael, Calif.).
or fertilizer source (data not shown). Cutting treatment interacted with fertilizer treatment in affecting root and stem dry weight and S/R ratio (Table 3). Root dry weights were largest in CRF/TSP regardless of cutting type. Plants transplanted as plugs had the smallest root dry weight with TSP while those transplanted as bareroot cuttings had the smallest root dry weight with CRF. Shoot dry weights of plants transplanted as plugs were largest with CRF/TSP and smallest with CRF, whereas shoot dry weights of plants transplanted as bareroot cuttings did not differ among fertilizer treatments. Shoot : root ratio of plants transplanted as plugs was largest with TSP and smallest with CRF. In contrast, S/R ratio of plants transplanted as bareroot cuttings was largest with CRF and smallest with CRF/TSP.

**Discussion**

Controlled-release fertilizers are manufactured to release nutrients over several months to provide plants with a relatively steady supply of nutrients throughout the production cycle. In contrast, SF are immediately and completely soluble; therefore, nutrients are more prone to leaching from the growth substrate with SF than with CRF. The results of this study agree with those of Cole and Dole (1997), Marconi and Nelson (1984), and Yeager and Barrett (1984, 1986) in which minimal effects of fertilizer type on plant growth were observed (Dole and Cole, 1997; Dole et al., 1992; Morvant, 1995). The larger root dry weights of plants in substrate containing CRF/TSP than in the other fertilizer treatments can be attributed to the greater availability of P in the substrate solution throughout the production cycle with CRF. Plants receiving CRF/TSP had greater root dry weights of plants in substrate containing CRF/TSP than in the other fertilizer treatments, suggesting that combining CRF with SF may lead to better plant growth while reducing nutrient leaching.
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Table 2. Effects of spirea cutting treatment and P source on P concentration in roots, stems, and leaves, n = 10.

| Treatments     | Roots | Stems | Leaves |
|----------------|-------|-------|--------|
| Plugs          |       |       |        |
| Bareroot cuttings |     |       |        |
| TSP            | 0.50  | 0.46  | 0.37   |
| CRF            | 0.47  | 0.37  | 0.35   |
| CRF/TSP        | 0.49  | 0.41  | 0.37   |
| NS NS          |       |       |        |

**Main effects of cutting treatment (C)**

- **Plugs**: 0.46 b 0.41 0.37
- **Bareroot cuttings**: 0.51 a 0.42 0.37

**Main effects of fertilizer (F)**

- **CRF**: 0.47 0.37 b 0.35
- **CRF/TSP**: 0.49 0.41 ab 0.37
- **TSP**: 0.50 0.46 a 0.39
- **NS NS NS NS**

Table 3. Effects of spirea cutting treatment and source of P on root and stem dry weight and shoot : root (S/R) ratio at harvest, n = 10.

| Cutting treatment | Fertilizer | Dry wt (g) | S/R ratio |
|-------------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| Plugs             |            |            |           |
| CRF               |            |            |           |
| CRF/TSP           |            |            |           |
| TSP               |            |            |           |
| NS NS             |            |            |           |

**Interaction**

- **CRF**: 50% of P from controlled release fertilizer, CRF/TSP = 50% of P from CRF and 50% from triple superphosphate (TSP), TSP = 100% of P from TSP.

*Mean separation within columns and main effects by Student–Newman–Keuls’s Procedure P ≤ 0.05.

NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS

**Nonsignificant.**
Results of this study indicate that incorporation of CRF/TSP into container plant growing substrate is a good compromise between incorporation of CRF or of TSP. The CRF/TSP generally increased root and shoot dry weights more than did CRF while reducing P leaching during the production cycle more than did SF.
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