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ABSTRACT

The antinociceptive & anti-inflammatory activities of extract were compared to dose of Phenylbutazone. The phytochemical secondary metabolites tested for include alkaloids, cardiac glycosides, flavonoids, phenols, saponins, steroids and terpenoids. Maytenus emarginata leaves extract demonstrated significant antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory effects in a dose-dependent manner. The extract dose at 200mg/kg bw exhibited the highest antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory activities & its activities were comparable to those of the respective reference drugs. BFLME (suspended in 1% carboxy methyl cellulose) in doses of 50, 100 and 200 mg/kg caused a dose-dependent inhibition of swelling caused by carrageenin equivalent to 30.2–63.2% protection (P<0.05–P<0.001) and in cotton pellet granuloma, 47.2–45.4% protection (P<0.01–P<0.001) was observed from inflammation. There was a significant increase in analgesiometer force induced pain in rat equivalent to 98.1–146.5% protection (P<0.01–P<0.001) and 7.19–37.8 % (P<0.05–P<0.001) protection against Acetic acid induced writhing. Phytochemical screening of the extract indicated the presence of alkaloids, cardiac glycosides, flavonoids, phenols, saponins, steroids and terpenoids. The present study therefore demonstrated the antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory properties of bioactive fraction of ethyl acetate extract from leaves of M. emarginata (BFLME), hence providing a basis for further research that may result in pure compounds that can be advanced into drug discovery.
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INTRODUCTION

Pain & inflammation causes needless discomfort, suffering and lower efficiency of the victims. Predictable drugs for these conditions are expensive, not simply obtainable & have adverse side effects. So, it needs to develop alternate therapeutic agents, such as medicinal plant derivatives, that are cheaper & have less side effects. Maytenus emarginata is used in traditional medicine to treat pain and inflammation but there is no scientific evidence to confirm these ethnomedicinal claims.¹

The present study tested for the anti-nociceptive and anti-inflammatory properties of bioactive fraction of ethyl acetate extract from leaves of M. emarginata (BFLME).² The plant samples sourced from Central Institute of Medicinal & Aromatic Plant (CIMAP), Lucknow were dried and milled. Adult female Sprague Dawley rats weighing 130-170 gm were divided into five groups of 6 rats each scheduled for different treatments; positive controls and three experimental groups (50, 100 and 200 mg/kg bw extract). Pressure- induced pain, Acetic acid induced writhing, carrageenan-induced paw edema and cotton pellet induced granuloma were used to assess the antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory properties of the extract, respectively.

Due to harmless status of herbal medicine, they are in great demand in the developing as well as developed countries for primary and/or daily health care. Majority of Indian population have access to or practice various traditional medicines to maintain health or treat diseases. These include herbs that can be used either as monotherapy or as add-on therapy. But the most important challenge faced by these formulations arises due to lack of standardization, identification and pharmacopeial standards (Ali, M., 2009). It is thus prudent to undertake the standardization of herbal medicine used in various healthcare systems. Moreover, herbal medicines are prepared from materials of natural origin which are prone to contamination, deterioration and variation in composition. There is a pressing need for evaluation and analysis of herbal drugs using sophisticated techniques. The plant Maytenus emarginata belonging to the family celastraceae, it is the evergreen tree that tolerates various types of pressures of the desert, locally known as “Kankero” in Hindi, “Thorny staff tree” in English. It grows through in India (Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, Maharashtra, Gujarat, Delhi, Bihar, Tamilnadu, and Rajasthan). Plants of this family generally grow as small trees, bushes or lianas and have resinous stems and leaves. They have been valued since antiquity because their extracts have useful medicinal properties.³

Various parts of this plant contain immense medicinal properties. Traditionally species of Maytenus used for
fever, asthma, rheumatism and gastrointestinal disorders worldwide. Recently some biomolecules from *Maytenus* species have been reported to be active against HIV-Protease Carcinoma and leukemia, Ulcers and MDR (Multi Drug Resistance).  

**MATERIALS & METHODS**

**Plant Collection**

The fresh Leaves of the *Maytenus emarginata* (wild.) (Family: celastraceae) was collected from (CIMAP) Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plant, Lucknow, India in month of Oct 2020. The plant authenticated by Dr. G.D. Bagchi, taxonomist and the voucher specimens (MEL 1526) were deposited in the departmental herbarium (Pharmacognosy Division, CIMAP Lucknow) for future reference.

**Preparation of the Hydroalcoholic extract of *Maytenus Emarginata***

The freshly plant parts of *Maytenus Emarginata* (Leaf, Flower, Bark & root) were washed with distilled water, air-dried at temperature of 30 ± 2°C and dried in the tray drier under control conditions. The powdered plant materials then percolated with petroleum ether for remove fatty substances, the marc was further exhaustively extracted with of 50% ethanol for 3 days. Extractives were concentrated below 50 °C and further drying was carried out under reduced pressure. The dried extractives were stored in a desiccator for further evaluation.

The percentage yields of the extractives were calculated using the formula:

\[
\text{Weight of the extractives} = \frac{\text{Weight of the crude drug}}{\text{Volume of the crude drug}} \times 100
\]

**Test for Glycosides**

- **General test for presence of Glycosides**

  Extract 200 mg of the drug by warming in a test tube with 5 ml of dilute (10%) sulfuric acid on water bath at 100 degrees centigrade for two-minute, centrifuge or filter, pipette out supernatant or filtrate. Neutralize the acid extract with 5% solution of sodium hydroxide (noting the volume of NaOH added). Add 0.1 ml of Fehling’s solution A & B until alkaline (test with pH paper) and heat on water bath for 2 minutes. Note the quantity of red ppt. formed and compare with that formed in test II.

- **Chemical Test for Specific Glycosides**

  - **Test for Saponin Glycosides Froth Test**

    Place 1ml solution of extract in water in a semi-micro tube shakes well and notes the stable froth.

  - **Haemolysis Test**

    Add 0.2 ml extract solution (prepared in 1% normal saline) to 0.2 ml of 0.2 ml of v/v blood in normal saline and mix well, centrifuge and note the red supernatant. Compare with control tube containing 0.2ml of 10% blood in normal saline.

- **Test for Anthraquinone Glycosides Brontrager Test**

  Boil extract with 1ml of dilute sulfuric acid in a test tube for 5 minute (anthracene glycosides are hydrolyzed to aglycone and sugars by boiling with acids) centrifuge or filter while hot (if centrifuge hot, the plant material can be removed while anthracene aglycones are still sufficiently soluble in hot water, they are however insoluble in cold water), pipette out the supernatant or filtrate, cool and shake with equal volume of dichloromethane (the aglycone will dissolve preferably in dichloro-methane). Separate the lower dichloromethane layer and shake with half its volume with dilute ammonia. A rose pink to red color is produced in the ammonical layer. (Aglycones based on anthraquinone give red color in the presence of alkali).

- **Modified Brontrager’s Test**

  Boil 200 mg of the extract with 2ml of dilute sulfuric acid, 2ml of 5% aqueous ferric chloride solution for 5 minute and continue the test as above. As some plant contains anthracene aglycone in a reduced form, if ferric chloride used during the extraction, oxidation to anthraquinones takes place, which shows the response to the Brontrager’s test.

**Test for free sugar**

After complete removal of free sugar, the extract is hydrolyzed with mineral acid and then tested for the glycone and aglycone moieties.

- **Raymond’s test**

  The extract solution when treated with dinitrobenzene in hot methanolic alkali gives violet color.

- **Legel test**

  Treat the extract with pyridine and add alkaline sodium nitroprusside Solution, blood red color appears.

- **Bromine water test**

  Extract solution when treated with bromine water give yellow ppt.

**Test for Fats and Oils**

Each extractive was spotted on the filter paper. A thick section of each extractive was placed on a glass slide. A drop of Sudan Red III reagent was added. After 2 min, it was washed with 50% alcohol, mounted in glycerin and observed under microscope.
Test for Tannins and Phenolic Compounds

- **FeCl3 Test**
  To 2.3 ml of each test solution, few drops of 5% FeCl3 solution was added.

- **Lead acetate Test**
  To each of the test solution of the extractives 2-3 ml of 10% lead acetate solution was added.

- **Carbohydrate test**

- **Molisch Test**
  Treat extract solution with few drops of alcoholic α-napthol. Add 0.2 ml of concentrated sulfuric acid slowly through the side of test tube, purple to violet color ring appears at junction.

- **Benedict’s Test**
  Treat extract solution with few drops of Benedict reagent (alkaline Solution containing cupric citrate complex) & upon boiling on water bath, reddish brown ppt. Forms if reducing sugar is present.

- **Selwinoff’s Test**
  Hydrochloric acid reacts with ketose sugar to form derivative of furfuraldehyde, which give red colored compound when linked with resorcinol. Add extract solution to about 5 ml of reagent and boil. Fructose gives red color with in half minute. The test is sensitive to 5.5m.mol/liter if glucose is absent; if glucose is present, it is less sensitive and in addition of large amount of glucose can give similar odor.

- **Caramelization**
  Carbohydrate when treated with strong sulfuric acid, they undergo charring with the dehydration along with burning sugar smell.

- **Tollen’s Test**
  To 100 mg of extract add 2 ml of Tollens’ reagent, a silver mirror is obtained inside the wall of the test tube, indicate the presence of aldose sugar.

**In-vitro antioxidant studies of different parts of Maytenus emarginata**

**Total Flavonoid Content (TFC)**

Total flavonoid content of different parts of *Maytenus emarginata* were measured by colorimetric assay with some modification & expressed as mg of quercetin equivalents (QE)/g of extract. The crude extracts of each plant (25 mg) were dissolved in 10 ml of 50% methanol at room temperature. To 1 ml aliquots of dissolved extracts or a standard solution of quercetin (0–500 mg/l, Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, USA) was added to 4 ml distilled water in a 10 ml volumetric flask. At zero-time, 0.3 ml of 5% NaNO₂, after 5 min, 0.3 ml 10% AlCl₃ and at 6 min, 2 ml 1N NaOH was added to the flask and immediately diluted with 2.4 ml of distilled water. Absorbance of each mixture was determined at 510 nm against the blank.

**Total phenolic content (TPC)**

TPC was analyzed by the Folin-Ciocalteau colorimetric method using gallic acid as standard developed by Ragazzi and Veronese (1973) with modification and expressed as mg/g gallic acid equivalent (GAE) on dry weight basis. The 25 mg of different parts of *Maytenus emarginata* were dissolved in 10 ml of 50% MeOH: H₂O (1:1), at room temperature and in its 1.0 ml, 1.0 ml of Folin’s Reagent (1N) and 2.0 ml of Na₂CO₃ (20 %) were added subsequently. The test mixture was mixed properly on cyclomixer, left at room temperature for 30 min and maintained to 25 ml with water. The absorbance of test mixture was measured at 725 nm. The reported TPC were expressed as gallic acid equivalent (GAE) mg/g.

**DPPH (2,2-Diphenyl-1-Picryl- Hydroxyl Radical) radical scavenging activity**

The DPPH radical scavenging activity of different parts of *Maytenus emarginata* was determined by using the method proposed by Yen and Duh (1994). Different aliquot was added to 2.9ml of freshly prepared solution of DPPH (6 x 10⁻⁵ M in MeOH). The absorbance was recorded at 517 nm at 0 time & after 1 hour of incubation and inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) were calculated as described by Kroyer (2004). IC₅₀ value is defined as the concentration of sample required to scavenge 50% of free radical. On the basis of their % yield, TFC, TPC and DPPH, the leaves of *Maytenus emarginata* was selected for detailed pharmacological activity.

**Successive extraction from leaves of Maytenus emarginata**

The hydroalcoholic extract from leaves of *Maytenus emarginata* was dissolved in methanol: water (10:90) and fractionated successively with carbon tetra chloride, dichloromethane and ethyl acetate with the help of separating funnel for 4 times each, to obtain different fractions and hydro alcoholic portion. Their extractive values are given in Table 1.

| S. No. | Solvent used for Fractionation | Polarity index | Extractive value (% w/w) |
|--------|-------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| 1.     | Carbon tetra chloride          | 1.6            | 6.3                      |
| 2.     | Dichloromethane                | 3.1            | 13.35                    |
| 3.     | Ethyl acetate                  | 4.4            | 23.92                    |
| 4.     | Hydro alcoholic                | (5.1:9.0)      | 58.92                    |

Table 1: Extractive values of leaves of *M. emarginata* in solvent of different polarities
Chromatographic analysis

Each extract obtained from the leaves of *M. emarginata* were standardized with quercitin (a marker flavonoid) to identify the flavonoid rich extract. Standardization of each extract obtained from the leaves of *M. emarginata* revealed that ethyl extract portion having quercitin. Thus, Ethyl acetate extract (25 gm) of *M. emarginata* was chromatographed over a silica gel column to obtain purified fractions (Fr.) with different solvents based on their polarity. The solvents were Benzene, ethyl acetate and methanol, with polarity index 2.7, 4.4 and 5.1 respectively. The elution was done according to the respective dilution along with co-TLC analysis with marker flavonoid (quercitin; SD Fine-Chem Ltd, Mumbai) on pre-coated silica gel plate (Merck 60 F254) as stationary phase and ethyl ether: ethyl acetate: formic acid (6:4:1 v/v) as mobile phase. The plates were visualized under UV 254 nm, 366 nm and visible. If required spray the plate with anisaldehyde -sulfuric acid reagent (0.5 ml Anisaldehyde+10 ml glacial acetic acid+85 ml methanol+5ml conc. H2SO4) and heat at 110°C for 10 min. The standardized flavonoid fractions obtained after column chromatography was mixed together, evaporate and concentrate. These obtained residues were termed as bioactive fraction from leaves of *M. emarginata* (BFLME) and used for pharmacological evaluation.

Pharmacological studies

**Acute Toxicity Study of leaves of Maytenus emarginata**

Acute Toxicity studies were performed according to the OECD (Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development) guidelines no. 425 following the procedure of Up and Down. In this procedure hydroalcoholic extract of leaves of *M. emarginata* in 0.1% (w/v) aqueous suspension of sodium carboxy methyl cellulose (CMC) was administered orally to female Sprague Dawley rats weighing 130-170 gm. The hydroalcoholic extract of leaves at doses of 50, 100and 200 mg/kg b.w by oral gavage were given to different groups. The animals were allowed free access to water and food. However, all the animals were deprived of food for 2 h before and 4 h after dosing. The animals were continuously monitored during first 4 h and every one hour during the first 12 h for any adverse effects. Later they were monitored (daily, twice) for any abnormal changes throughout the study period (14 days). In addition, the body weight and food consumption of each animal were measured once per week.

**Animals and Drug Treatment**

The adult Sprague Dawley rats of the either sex weight about 140-180 gm were fasted for 48hr with free access to water & libutum, divided into six experimental groups. They were placed in cages with grating floor to avoid coprophagy. BFLME (suspended in 1% carboxy methyl cellulose) at dose of 50, 100, 200 mg/kg body wt., p.o were administered once daily for three consecutive days. Phenylbutazone (100 mg/Kg; p.o) was used as the standard anti-inflammatory and anti- nociceptive. Control group of animals (n=6) received suspension of 1% CMC in distilled water (10 ml/Kg). Experiments were conducted on day 3, 60 minutes for anti-inflammatory and 30 minutes for antinociceptive.

**Anti-Inflammatory Activity**

### Carrageenin-induced paw oedema

Rats were injected with 0.1 ml of 1% λ carrageenin into the sub-planter side of the left hind paw (Winter et al., 1962). The paw was marked with ink at the level of lateral malleolus and dipped in Perspex cell up to this mark. Paw volume was measured immediately with an Ugo Basile Plethysmometer and 3 hrs after injecting the λ carrageenin suspension. The BFLME extract and phenylbutazone was administered orally by gavage, 1 hr before the λ carrageenin injection. Significant reduction in the paw volume compared to vehicle treated control animals were considered as anti-inflammatory response. % Inhibition of oedema was calculated as follows:

\[
\% \text{Inhibition} = \left(1 - \frac{V_T}{V_C}\right) \times 100
\]

- **V_T**: Paw volume in drug treated rats.
- **V_C**: Paw volume in control group of rats.

**Cotton pellet induced granuloma**

Rats were anesthetized with ether and incision was made on the lumbar region (Winter et al., 1957). By a blunt forceps subcutaneous tunnel was formed & cotton (100 mg ± 1 mg) was inserted in the groin area. Experimental groups of 6 animals received either test drug (50, 100, 200 mg/kg body wt., p.o) or reference drug (100 mg/kg body wt.) for seven consecutive days from day of cotton pellet insertion. The animals were sacrificed and the pellets were removed & dried until the weight remained constant on 8th day according to the procedure described and the net dry weight was calculated.

**Antinociceptive activity**

**Analgesiometer induced pain**

Analgesic effect of BFLME test in rat of either sex, using the Ugo Basile Analgesiometer (Rodríguez et al., 1990). This method involves application of the force to the paw of rat using analgesiometer, which exert force that increase at constant rate. Rat was gently placed between plinth & plunger. Instrument was switched on and a constant motor rate used to drive the plunge on to paw of the mice. When mice struggle, the instrument was switched off and the force at which animal felt pain was read on a scale calibrated in grams x 10 by a pointer. The pre and the post-treatment weight causing pain were determined for each mouse. The doses of test drug or reference drug were administered 60 minutes before testing.

**Acetic acid induced writhing**

Animals received BFLME extract (50, 100, 200 mg/kg) & standard drug orally 30 minutes before injection of the 0.6% acetic acid (10ml/kg, i.p). The number of abdominal
contractions (writhing) & stretching with a jerk of the hind limb were counted for 15 minutes after administering acetic acid and % inhibition was calculated.

**Statistical Analysis**

The data were analyzed by using Prism Pad software for determining the statistical significance between different groups. The observation in various groups were expressed as mean ± SEM and analyzed by using one way ANOVA with Newman-Keuls Multiple Comparison method. The value of $P<0.05$ to $P<0.001$ were considered to be significant, when compared with ulcer control group.

**RESULTS**

*In-vitro* antioxidant studies of different parts of *M. emarginata*

**Table 2**: Qualitative phytochemical screening of crude extracts of selected plant’s part

| S. No. | Phytoconstituents         | Tests                                   | Crude extract of different parts *B. aristata* |
|--------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|        |                           |                                         | Leaf | Flower | Bark | Root                |
| 1      | Alkaloids                 | Dragendorff’s Test                      | +    | +      | +    | +                   |
|        |                           | Mayer’s Test                           | +    | +      | +    | +                   |
|        |                           | Hager’s Test                           | +    | +      | +    | +                   |
| 2      | Flavonoids                | Shinoda Test                           | +    | +      | +    | +                   |
| 3      | Proteins & Amino acid     | Million’s Test                         | +    | +      | -    | -                   |
|        |                           | Ninhydrin Test                         | +    | +      | -    | +                   |
| 4      | Test for Tannins          | Gelatin Test                           | +    | +      | -    | +                   |
|        |                           | Ferric chloride Test                   | +    | -      | -    | -                   |
|        |                           | Vanillin hydrochloride Test            | +    | -      | -    | -                   |
|        |                           | Alkaline reagent Test                  | +    | -      | -    | -                   |
| 5      | Test for Sterol & Triterpenoids | Liebermann- Burchard Test               | +    | +      | -    | -                   |
|        |                           |                                         | +    | +      | +    | +                   |
| 6      | Test for free sugar Saponins Glycoside | Raymond’s Test                      | +    | +      | +    | +                   |
|        |                           | Legal test                             | +    | +      | +    | +                   |
| 7      | Test for Fats and Oils    |                                         | +    | +      | +    | +                   |
| 8      | Test for Tannins and Phenolic Compounds | FeCl3 Test                         | +    | +      | -    | +                   |
|        |                           | Lead acetate Test                      | +    | +      | -    | -                   |
| 9      | Test for Carbohydrate     | Molisch’s Test                         | +    | +      | +    | +                   |
|        |                           | Barfoed’s Test                         | +    | +      | +    | +                   |
|        |                           | Iodine Test                            | +    | +      |     | +                   |

Where, (+) = Presence (-) = Absence

**Table 3**: Total Phenolic content present in different parts of *M. emarginata*

| S. No. | Part of the plant | TFC (GA equivalent in mg/g*) |
|--------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| 1      | Leaf              | 35.80 ± 0.50                |
| 2      | Flower            | 16.20 ± 0.80                |
| 3      | Bark              | 31.30 ± 0.30                |
| 4      | Root              | 17.24 ± 0.20                |

* All values are average of three determinations, mean ± SEM
Successive extraction and Chromatographic analysis

The successive extraction from leaves of *Maytenus emarginata* was selected for detailed pharmacological activity. Results of this study have shown that ethyl acetate extract was rich in quercetin (a marker flavonoid) to identify the flavonoid rich extract. Results of this study have shown that ethyl acetate extract was rich in quercetin (Table 6). Therefore, the standardized flavonoid fractions obtained after column chromatography was mixed together, evaporate and concentrate. These obtained residues were termed as bioactive fraction of leaves of *M. emarginata* (BFLME) and used for pharmacological evaluation.

Table 7: Mortality observation of hydroalcoholic extract of leaves of *M. emarginata* in each tested group

| Plant parts | Hydroalcoholic extract of leaves of *M. emarginata* |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Group       | 1       | 2       | 3       | 3       |
| Dose (mg/kg b.w) | 400   | 800   | 2000   | 2000   |
| No. of animals dead | Nil   | Nil   | Nil    | Nil    |

Table 8: Effect of Body weight and food intake after single administration of hydroalcoholic extract of leaves (Acute Study)

| Period    | Group I         | Group II        | Group III        |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
|           | Body weight (g) | Food Intake (g) | Body weight (g) | Food Intake (g) | Body weight (g) | Food Intake (g) |
| Initial   | 145.2 ± 21.41   | 10.5 ± 1.54     | 164.20 ± 18.21  | 14.5 ± 3.21     | 164.02 ± 21.36  | 10.23 ± 2.14    |
| First week| 159.5 ± 18.21   | 10.5 ± 2.01     | 153.86 ± 22.46  | 16.32 ± 2.54    | 163.04 ± 22.63  | 12.54 ± 2.50    |
| Second week| 176.2 ± 20.54  | 15.4 ± 3.21     | 164.21 ± 24.12  | 17.86 ± 3.54    | 150.27 ± 23.46  | 15.41 ± 2.81    |

Values are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 3). The difference in % increase of body weight and food intake of different groups 1, 2 and 3 treated with hydroalcoholic extract of leaves of *M. emarginata* was insignificant at the end of study (14 days).
Anti-Inflammatory Activity

λ Carrageenin-induced paw oedema

The BFLME at the dose level of 50, 100 and 200 mg/kg b.w produced a dose-dependent inhibition of swelling caused by the λ Carrageenin at 3 hrs equivalent to 30.2-63.2% (P<0.05–P<0.001) protection (Table 9).

| Treatment | Dose (mg/kg b.w) | Paw volume (ml) at 3 hrs | % Inhibition |
|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Control   | 0.1 ml          | 1.95 ± 0.11           | -----        |
| BFLME     | 50              | 1.59 ± 0.07*          | 32.2         |
| BFLME     | 100             | 0.98 ± 0.10**         | 49.8         |
| BFLME     | 200             | 0.78 ± 0.04***        | 65.2         |
| Phenylbutazone | 100          | 0.69 ± 0.03***      | 69.9         |

*Values are mean ± SEM for six rats, *P<0.05 compared to control group, **P< 0.01 compared to control group and ***P< 0.001 compared to control group.

Cotton pellet induced granuloma formation

BFLME at a dose level of 50, 100 and 200 mg/kg b.w significantly decreased the granuloma weight from 47.2–45.4% (P<0.01–P<0.001) respectively compared to reference compound phenyl butazone 34.6% (P<0.001) (Table 10).

| Treatment          | Dose (mg/kg b.w) | Dry weight (mg) |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Control            | -----             | 47.5 ± 2.7      |
| BFLME              | 50               | 45.2 ± 0.70*    |
| BFLME              | 100              | 36.7 ± 0.81**   |
| BFLME              | 200              | 33.4 ± 0.51**   |
| Phenylbutazone     | 100              | 32.6 ± 0.34**   |

*Values are mean ± SEM for six rats, *P< 0.01 compared to control group and **P< 0.001 compared to control group.

Anti-Nociceptive activity

Analgesiometer induced pain

The BFLME at a dose level of 50, 100 and 200 mg/kg b.w caused a significant increase in the analgesiometer induced force (P< 0.01 to P < 0.001) and exhibited resistance against pain after 30 min equivalent to 98.1–146.5% protection respectively (Table 11).

| Treatment        | Dose (mg/kg b.w) | Weight causing pain (g) |
|------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
|                  |                  | Before administration   | After administration |
| Control          | --               | 86.1 ± 2.2              | 85.81± 3.29          |
| BFLME            | 50               | 85.9 ± 1.3              | 98.1 ± 4.08*         |
| BFLME            | 100              | 84.1 ± 1.6              | 123.4 ± 3.1**        |
| BFLME            | 200              | 86.3 ± 1.6              | 146.5 ± 3.1**        |
| Phenylbutazone   | 100              | 84.2 ± 2.29             | 155.5 ± 6.5**        |

*Values are mean ± SEM for six rats, *P> 0.05 compared to control group and **P< 0.001 compared to control group.

Acetic acid induced writhing

The BFLME at the dose level of 50, 100 and 200 mg/kg b.w in stretching episodes induced by acetic acid (0.6%) are summarized in Table 12. The BFLME showed significant reduction in abdominal cramping and percentage inhibition of abdominal cramping was from 7.19–37.8 % (P<0.05–P<0.001) The BFLME at the dose of 50 mg/kg b.w was insignificant statistically.
**CONCLUSION**

As fresh plant materials (samples) were collected and foreign matter was discarded before the preparation of the sample hence it may be considered as no foreign organic matter was present in the samples. Moisture content is important parameter of physiochemical analysis. Low moisture content reduces errors in the estimation of the actual weight of drug material, reduces components hydrolysis by reducing the activities of hydrolytic enzymes which may destroy the active components, and also reduces the proliferation of microbial colonies and therefore minimize the chance of spoilage due to microbial attack (Shellard, 1958). The obtained data in this study discovered significant anti-inflammatory and antinociceptive properties of bioactive fraction from leaves of *M. emarginata* (BFLME) which due to presence of the bioactive ingredients with the pharmacological potential. Extract verified a dose dependent response to carrageenan-induced inflammation, cotton pellet induced granuloma, analgesiometer induced pain & acetic acid induced writhing. The dose at 200mg/kg b.w, the extract exhibited the highest anti-inflammatory & antinociceptive activities. The anti-inflammatory and antinociceptive activities of the extract at dose of 200mg/kg b.w was comparable to anti-inflammatory and antinociceptive activities of the respective reference drugs.

In this study the result suggests that administration of BFLME showed inhibition of inflammation & pain in the experimental animals. Further studies are in progress to find out the exact mechanism of action & responsible active constituents for anti-inflammatory and antinociceptive activity.
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**Table 12**: Effect of BFLME on acetic acid induced pain in rats

| Treatment | Dose (mg/kg b.w) | No. of writhing | % Inhibition |
|-----------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|
| Control   | ----            | 26.4 ± 1.4     | ---         |
| BFLME     | 50             | 24.5 ± 0.18*   | 7.19        |
| BFLME     | 100            | 19.4 ± 0.80**  | 26.5        |
| BFLME     | 200            | 16.4 ± 0.45**  | 37.8        |
| Phenylbutazone | 100       | 16.8 ± 0.27**  | 36.3        |

*Values are mean ± SEM for six rats, *P < 0.05 compared to control group and **P < 0.001 compared to control group.
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