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Abstract
The therapeutic relationship has emerged as one of the most important components of successful treatment outcomes, regardless of the specific form of therapy. Research has now turned its attention to better understanding how the therapeutic relationship contributes to patient improvement. Extant literature contends that a strong therapeutic relationship may help reduce a patient’s sense of existential isolation (i.e., a sense of not feeling understood by others). Research indicates that existential isolation might be especially problematic for men, potentially increasing their risk for suicidality. This study investigated the association between strength of the therapeutic relationship and psychological distress and suicidality among men who received psychotherapy, and whether existential isolation mediated this association. A total of 204 Canadian men who had previously attended psychotherapy participated in a cross-sectional survey, completing measures of the quality of their most recent therapeutic relationship, existential isolation, depression and anxiety symptoms, and suicidality. Regression with mediation analysis was conducted. Two models were tested; one with depression/anxiety symptoms as the dependent variable and the other with suicidality as the dependent variable. Both mediation models emerged as significant, indicating an indirect effect for quality of the therapeutic relationship on symptoms of anxiety/depression and suicidality through existential isolation. The findings suggest that a positive therapeutic relationship can contribute to men feeling less isolated in their experiences in life (i.e., less existentially isolated), thereby helping mitigate psychological distress and suicidality.
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Introduction
Suicide is a leading cause of death of men worldwide (Naghavi, 2019) and is increasingly being recognized as a major public health issue. Spurred by the high rates of male suicide across the globe (Richardson et al., 2021), there has been a significant increase in research and a rapid advancement in our understanding of the risks for and manifestations of men’s mental health challenges, including suicidability (Castle & Coghill, 2021; Oliffe et al., 2020). Relatively less attention has been devoted to studying men’s outcomes in the context of psychotherapy as a potential remedy for psychological distress and male suicidability (Bedi & Richards, 2011; Seidler, Rice, 2011; Seidler, Rice, 2011).
existential isolation might be especially problematic for suicidality (Helm et al., 2019, 2020). Research suggests that loneliness (Cox et al., 2020), and sui-
depression, anxiety, stress (Constantino et al., 2019; (i.e., feeling existentially isolated) has been associated
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existential isolation” to explain men’s lower treatment
engagement and higher suicide rates (Eggenberger et al., 2021; Oliffe et al., 2016; Pederson & Vogel, 2007). As Seidler and colleagues (2020) point out, men do seek help,
with recent reports demonstrating a consistent rise in the
number of men seeking services for mental health con-
cerns (Ogrodniczuk, Rice, Kealy, et al., 2021; Sharp et al., 2022). Research has demonstrated that most men who do
seek care endorse a strong preference for psychotherapy
(Kealy et al., 2021; Sierra Hernandez et al., 2014).
Within psychotherapy, the establishment of a strong
patient–therapist relationship (i.e., therapeutic relation-
ship) is the foundational feature of a beneficial treatment
experience (Muran & Barber, 2010). Research has con-
sistently demonstrated that more positive client impres-
sions of the therapeutic relationship during treatment are
associated with a decrease in psychological distress both
during and after treatment (Flückiger et al., 2018; Wampold, 2015). Strong therapeutic relationships also
have the capacity to decrease suicidal ideation among
psychotherapy clients (Barzilay et al., 2020; Dunster-
Page et al., 2017; Huggett et al., 2022). Although studies
focused on psychotherapeutic processes (including the
therapeutic relationship) of men in psychotherapy are
rare, the available evidence links strong therapeutic rela-
tionships to decreased distress and suicidality in men
(Lindner, 2006; Seidler, Rice, Oliffe, et al., 2018).
Although the robust association between a strong ther-
apeutic relationship and reduced distress/suicidal ideation
is well documented, much less is known about the mecha-
nisms underlying this association. One potential mecha-
nism concerns the sense of being understood by others (or
lack thereof), which largely corresponds to the concept of
existential isolation—a feeling of profound seclusion in
one’s experience of life (Yalom, 1980). In order for an
individual to feel comfortable with who they are, they
need to know that what occurs in their mind—their perspec-
tives of themselves and the world around them—
makers sense. Individuals learn that their thoughts and
feelings make sense through interactions with others.
When an individual expresses themselves, and another
person makes a sincere attempt to understand their per-
spective and communicate that understanding, the indi-
vidual internalizes the idea that they are understandable;
in other words, they feel understood rather than isolated in
their experience of life. Not feeling understood by people
(i.e., feeling existentially isolated) has been associated
with various aspects of psychological distress, including
depression, anxiety, stress (Constantino et al., 2019; Morelli et al., 2014), loneliness (Cox et al., 2020), and sui-
cidality (Helm et al., 2019, 2020). Research suggests that
existential isolation might be especially problematic for
men (Helm et al., 2018), potentially increasing their risk
for distress symptoms and suicidality. This links to mas-
culine socialization and the notion that men’s emotional
communication should be stymied and replaced with sto-
icism. Men are left with fewer outlets to both share and
hear others’ internal experiences, limiting the ability for
them to feel understood. Within the context of psycho-
therapy, authors have contended that feeling understood
by one’s therapist is a critical aspect of a positive ther-
apeutic experience (Krause et al., 2011), and that a strong
therapeutic relationship helps increase one’s sense of feel-
ing understood (i.e., reduces existential isolation; Zilch-
Mano & Barber, 2018). Although available literature
suggests that feeling understood might serve as a mediator
of the association between the strength of a therapeutic
relationship and psychological distress, no study has
examined this possibility.
The present study aimed to improve our current under-
standing of the role of the therapeutic relationship in psy-
chotherapy for male clients by examining whether
existential isolation mediated the association between the
strength of a therapeutic relationship and psychological
distress (including suicidality) in a community sample of
men who had previously received psychotherapy. Two
models were tested; one focused on depressive/anxious
symptoms, the other focused on current suicidality. To
account for their potentially confounding effects, age, gen-
eral impact of COVID-19 on mental health, and time since
previous therapy were included as covariates in each
model; the model for suicidality also included current
depressive/anxious symptoms as an additional covariate. It
was hypothesized that more positive assessments of one’s
therapeutic relationship would be associated with less exis-
tential isolation, which in turn would be associated with
lower psychological distress and suicidal ideation.

Method

Procedures and Participants

Data for this study were provided by a sample of 204
Canadian men who participated in a larger cross-sectional
survey that took place during the early stages of the
COVID-19 pandemic (Ogrodniczuk, Rice, Kealy, et al.,
2021). In this study, only participants who indicated they
had previous therapy experience, but were not currently
in therapy, were included (n = 204). Participants were
recruited through the HeadsUpGuys website (https://
headsupguys.org), a leading global resource that provides
practical tips, tools, information about professional ser-
dices and personal recovery stories to help men battle
depression and prevent suicide (Ogrodniczuk, Beharry, &
Oliffe, 2021). Men who expressed interest in participat-
ing were directed to an independent survey site hosted by
Qualtrics, where they were presented with the informed
consent page. A $500 (CAD) prize draw was offered to participants to incentivize participation in the study. Eligibility criteria included being at least 18 years old, having online access, being able to read and understand English, self-identifying as male, and residing in Canada. No exclusion criteria were specified. Those providing informed consent to participate completed the survey online. Participant IP addresses and study ID numbers were associated with the collected data, which was stored on a password-protected, secured Canadian server. Ethics approval for the study was granted by the Behavioural Research Ethics Board at the University of British Columbia (H20-01401).

**Measures**

**Psychological Distress.** The Patient Health Questionnaire–4 (PHQ-4; Kroenke et al., 2009) is a four-item self-report questionnaire developed to measure psychological distress associated with symptoms of depression and anxiety. The items are rated on a 4-point Likert-type scale (0 = *not at all* to 3 = *almost every day*), with the total score representing severity of symptoms associated with depression and anxiety. In this study, the scale showed good internal consistency (α = .87).

**Suicidal Ideation.** Suicidal ideation was assessed using a single item (Item 9) derived from the Patient Health Questionnaire–9 (PHQ-9; Kroenke et al., 2001). Item 9 has been established as an effective predictor of future suicide attempts in health clinic outpatients (Simon et al., 2013). The item asks respondents “In the last two weeks, how often have you been bothered by: Thoughts that you would be better off dead or of hurting yourself in some way” and was rated on a 4-point scale anchored at 0 (*not at all*) and 3 (*nearly every day*). Greater suicidal ideation is indicated by higher scores on the item.

**Patient–Therapist Relationship.** Client perspectives of the therapeutic relationship were assessed using a single item. Participants were asked to answer the question “How would you rate your relationship with the therapist you saw?”; it was implied that this referred to the last therapist they saw. Ratings were reported on a scale from 1 (*excellent*) to 5 (*poor*). Single-item measures of self-rated mental health constructs are being used increasingly in health research and population health surveys (Ahmad et al., 2014), and previous alliance-focused research investigating the use of a single-item approach has demonstrated that long versus single-item measures of the therapeutic relationship are strongly correlated (Bickman et al., 2012).

**Existential Isolation.** The six-item Existential Isolation Scale (EIS) was used to assess existential isolation (Pinel et al., 2017). Items included “I often have the same reactions to things that other people around me do” (reverse coded), and “Other people usually do not understand my experiences.” Responses ranged from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 7 (*strongly agree*) and an aggregate score was calculated, with high scores representing greater existential isolation. Cronbach’s alpha was .85 in this study.

**Time Since Previous Therapy.** Time since previous therapy was assessed using a single item. Participants were asked to respond to the question “How long ago was your counseling/psychotherapy?” Response options included “Within the past year,” “1–2 years ago,” and “More than 2 years ago.”

**General Impact of COVID-19.** General impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on one’s mental health was assessed using a single item. Participants responded to the question “To what extent has COVID-19 affected your mental health?” using a 5-point scale ranging from 1 (very positively) to 5 (very negatively). This item was reverse-coded for analysis.

**Statistical Analysis**

Analyses were performed using SPSS version 25 and the PROCESS macro version 3.5 (Hayes & Rockwood, 2017). Regression with mediation analysis (PROCESS Model 4) was employed to test two models. The first model used the PHQ-4 total score (psychological distress) as the dependent variable, and the second model used the Item 9 score from the PHQ-9 (suicidal ideation) as the dependent variable. In each model, the therapeutic relationship score served as the independent variable, and existential isolation scores were included as the mediator. Time since previous therapy, general impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on one’s mental health, and age were included in the models as covariates to account for their potential confounding effects. In the second model, the PHQ-4 total score was also included as a covariate in the analysis, as it was moderately correlated with the Item 9 suicidal ideation score $r(202) = .532$, $p < .001$. Bootstrapped 95% percentile confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated using 10,000 re-samples. The statistical significance of an indirect effect of the therapeutic relationship—via existential isolation—on psychological distress (i.e., symptoms of depression/anxiety) and suicidality would, thus, be indicated by the CI not including zero.

**Results**

The mean age of survey respondents was 40.6 years ($SD = 13.95$; range = 19–79). The majority were Caucasian (77.5%; $n = 158$), educated beyond high school (88.2%;
Table 1. Results of Process Examining the Relationship Between Therapeutic Relationships, Existential Isolation, and Psychological Distress (Model 1).

| DV: Existential isolation | Coeff. | SE | t   | p    |
|--------------------------|--------|----|-----|------|
| Relationship with therapist | 1.49   | .588 | 2.538 | .012 |
| Time since therapy | -.214 | .811 | -.2635 | .792 |
| Effects of COVID-19 on mental health | -.11 | .86 | -.1279 | .898 |
| Age | -.051 | .052 | -.9791 | .329 |

\[ R^2 = .0375, F(4, 199) = 1.941, p = .105 \]

| DV: Psychological distress (PHQ-4) | Coeff. | SE | t   | p    |
|-------------------------------|--------|----|-----|------|
| Relationship with therapist | .073 | .181 | 4.066 | .685 |
| Existential isolation | .097 | .021 | 4.547 | <.001 |
| Time since therapy | -.142 | .245 | -.5793 | .563 |
| Effects of COVID-19 on mental health | 1.52 | .26 | 5.83 | <.001 |
| Age | -.02 | .016 | -1.295 | 1.968 |

\[ R^2 = .263, F(5, 198) = 14.156, p < .001 \]

| Indirect effect of therapeutic relationship on distress through existential isolation | .145 | .071 | .0294 | .3055 |

Note. Indirect effects estimated using bootstrap 95% bias-corrected confidence intervals (10,000 resamples). Reported regression coefficients are unstandardized. DV = dependent variable; PHQ = Patient Health Questionnaire; Coeff. = coefficient.

$n = 180$), employed full-time (47.1%; $n = 96$), and had an annual personal income of $50,000 CAD or less (54.4%; $n = 111$). Most men self-identified as heterosexual (65.7%; $n = 134$), were in a relationship (55.4%; $n = 113$), and currently lived with their partner/children/extended family (57.4%; $n = 117$). With regard to time since previous therapy, 32.8% ($n = 67$) received therapy within the past year, 20.1% ($n = 41$) received therapy in the past 1 to 2 years, and 47.1% ($n = 96$) received therapy more than 2 years ago.

The results of the regression analysis with the PHQ-4 total score serving as the dependent variable (see Table 1; Figure 1, Panel A) indicated that the therapeutic relationship had a significant association with existential isolation ($B = 1.49, t = 2.54, p < .05$). In turn, existential isolation had a significant association with symptoms of anxiety/depression ($B = .097, t = 4.55, p < .001$). The findings revealed a significant indirect effect (unstandardized coefficient indicating a mediation effect) of the therapeutic relationship on symptoms of anxiety/depression through existential isolation ($B = .145, SE = .07, 95% CI = [.029, .306]$). This finding revealed that an increasingly positive therapeutic relationship was associated with less existential isolation. In turn, lower existential isolation was associated with reduced symptoms of anxiety/depression. Similar findings emerged from the mediation analysis involving suicidality as the dependent variable (see Table 2; Figure 1, Panel B). A significant indirect effect (unstandardized coefficient indicating a mediation effect) was observed for the therapeutic relationship on suicidality through existential isolation ($B = .036, SE = .017, 95% CI = [.0053, .0705]$). A stronger therapeutic relationship was associated with less existential isolation ($B = 1.27, t = 2.27, p < .05$), which in turn was related to lower suicidality ($B = .028, t = 4.85, p < .001$).

**Discussion**

Consistent with our hypotheses, the findings of the present study demonstrated that stronger therapeutic relationships were significantly associated with reduced existential isolation, which was associated with decreased psychological distress (i.e., symptoms of depression and anxiety) and suicidality. These results held even after controlling for age, time since previous therapy, and the general impact of COVID on one’s mental health. The findings, thus, provide preliminary evidence for existential isolation serving as a mediator in the relationship between the quality of the therapeutic relationship and psychological distress/suicidality for men who had undertaken psychotherapy.

With regard to the finding of a more positive therapeutic relationship being related to reduced existential isolation, it may be that telling one’s story in one’s own terms and having it heard respectfully by someone who is perceived as warm, trust-worthy, non-judgmental and empathic can lead to one feeling validated and understood (Pocock, 1997). Consistent with this is the conclusion by Dore and Alexander (1996) that most therapeutic relationship measures seem to tap key experiences of...
“having someone there for me,” ‘being accepted’ and “feeling understood” in a purposeful, collaborative relationship. Research on misunderstanding events in psychotherapy points to how this process can be compromised, demonstrating that a key factor leading to clients not feeling understood was therapists not being open to discussing negative client experiences (Rhodes et al., 1994). Furthermore, these muted interactions tended to lead to premature termination of therapy, a common occurrence for men who undertake psychotherapy (Seidler et al., 2021). Indeed, dissatisfaction with one’s therapy has been associated with increased existential isolation (Constantino et al., 2019). Considering research that has suggested that men disproportionately struggle with existential isolation (Helm et al., 2018), the findings of the present study point to the importance of cultivating a strong therapeutic relationship in psychotherapy as an effectual treatment process to help mitigate men’s sense of not feeling understood by others and thus feeling isolated in their life experiences.

Figure 1. Process Modeling of the Relationship Between the Therapeutic Relationship, Existential Isolation, and Psychological Distress/Suicidality: Panel A: Psychological Distress and Panel B: Suicidality

*Note: Reported coefficients are unstandardized.

*p < .05, **p < .001
This study also revealed that reduced existential isolation was associated with less psychological distress (depression, anxiety) and suicidality, which is consistent with findings from previous research (Constantino et al., 2019; Helm et al., 2020; Morelli et al., 2014). As Zilcha-Mano and Barber (2018) suggest, when an individual feels understood by others around them (i.e., less existentially isolated), they can feel a greater sense of belongingness, pursue interpersonal interactions, and behave with more agency during these interactions, further increasing their chances of feeling understood. Indeed, lower levels of existential isolation have been identified as a correlate of higher self-regard and less reactivity to perceived social slights (Pinel et al., 2017). Previous work has also suggested that men who feel more understood by others may feel more integrated in their social realm, resulting in a greater sense of social connectivity and less loneliness (Laurenceau et al., 1998) which can help mitigate psychological distress (Cacioppo et al., 2010); findings that have been confirmed by Cox and colleagues (2020), as well as Keum and colleagues (2021) in independent male-only samples. The findings of the present study may also connect with those from recent reports (Daruwala et al., 2021; Genuchi, 2019) that have examined the association between masculinity and suicidality through the lens of the interpersonal theory of suicide (Joiner, 2005; Van Orden et al., 2010). Specifically, reduced existential isolation may help mitigate men’s sense of stoicism and self-reliance (characteristics that leave men feeling interpersonally isolated), which have been found to be associated with thwarted belongingness, a critical feature of the interpersonal theory of suicide. In the context of psychotherapy, a feeling of understanding and connection that is facilitated by a positive therapeutic relationship may enhance a man’s ability to learn from therapy and apply these lessons to life outside the therapeutic encounter, thereby reducing psychological distress and suicidality.

To capitalize on these opportunities, tailored practices geared toward the development and maintenance of the therapeutic relationship (e.g., Asnaani & Hofmann, 2012) can be implemented to improve male clients’ treatment outcomes. Considering the inevitability of strains occurring in the therapeutic relationship, the work of Muran and colleagues (2021) on delineating strategies for repairing ruptures in the relationship is also highly relevant for clinicians to consider. Therapists’ use of non-verbal communications (e.g., nods and wordless reactions, like “mhmm”), active signs of communication (e.g., mirroring the client’s feelings or suggesting insightful reflections), and explicit collaborative work on the relationship during therapy have been identified as ways therapists can cultivate a positive therapeutic relationship that contributes to clients feeling understood in therapy (Zilcha-Mano & Barber, 2018). In their review of patient–therapist

### Table 2. Results of Process Examining the Relationship Between Therapeutic Relationships, Existential Isolation, and Suicidal Ideation (Model 2).

| DV: Existential isolation | Coeff. | SE  | t     | p      |
|--------------------------|--------|-----|-------|--------|
| Relationship with therapist | 1.28   | .563 | 2.274 | .024   |
| Psychological distress    | .97    | .213 | 4.547 | <.001  |
| Time since therapy        | -.056  | .775 | -0.0719 | .943  |
| Effects of COVID-19 on mental health | -1.57  | .881 | -1.783 | .076  |
| Age                      | -.026  | .05  | -.527 | .599   |

R² = .129, F(5, 198) = 5.842, p < .001

| DV: Suicidal ideation (9, PHQ-9) | Coeff. | SE  | t     | p      |
|----------------------------------|--------|-----|-------|--------|
| Relationship with therapist      | .102   | .046 | 2.229 | .027   |
| Existential isolation            | .028   | .006 | 4.853 | <.001  |
| Psychological distress           | .111   | .018 | 6.183 | <.001  |
| Time since therapy               | -.132  | .062 | -2.127| .035   |
| Effects of COVID-19 on mental health | .102  | .071 | 1.429 | .155   |
| Age                              | .006   | .004 | 1.369 | .173   |

R² = .398, F(6, 197) = 21.663, p < .001

| Indirect effect of therapeutic relationship on suicidal ideation through existential isolation | Effect | SE  | Lower CI | Upper CI |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----|----------|----------|
|                                                                                                  | .0355  | .017| .0053    | .0705    |

Note. Indirect effects estimated using bootstrap 95% bias-corrected confidence intervals (10,000 resamples). Reported regression coefficients are unstandardized. DV = dependent variable; PHQ = Patient Health Questionnaire; Coeff. = coefficient.
synchrony in one-on-one psychotherapy, Koole and Tschacher (2016) highlighted I-sharing and affective co-regulation as important factors for developing a strong therapeutic relationship. I-sharing refers to the mutual sharing of subjective experiences, which the therapist can foster through communicating empathy, postural mirroring, and self-disclosure (Pinel et al., 2015). This practice not only promotes bonding between a client and their therapist but also decreases feelings of existential isolation (Pinel et al., 2004). Affective co-regulation, a process by which the therapist helps regulate the client’s emotions, can help enhance a client’s sense of feeling understood through the experience of having the therapist being emotionally engaged and responding to their emotional distress (Soma et al., 2020). In addition, a unique therapist training program (Men in Mind) aims to help therapists understand and respond to the complex reality of their male clients’ gendered experiences (Seidler et al., 2022). By providing context on the role of masculine development and its interactions with depression and suicidality in men’s lives, therapists are intentionally drawn to consider their own experiences and how these might intersect with that of their clients. Through the effective use of strategies such as those described above, therapists may be able to cultivate and maintain a strong therapeutic relationship, which can help reduce male clients’ existential isolation, and thereby alleviate their psychological distress and suicidality.

The findings of the present study should be considered in the context of specific limitations. Notably, the study used a single-item rating of the therapeutic relationship. Although research suggests that single-item ratings of mental health-related constructs can provide valid assessments (Ahmad et al., 2014), the field lacks sufficient research to determine whether the quality of the therapeutic relationship can be adequately captured with a single-item rating. Although a single item was also used to assess suicidality in the present study, there is ample research supporting the validity of using Item 9 from the PHQ-9 as a suicidality assessment (Kim et al., 2021). Another consideration is that client ratings of the alliance occurred after treatment had ended. Although this study controlled for time since treatment, bias in retrospective recall remains a possible limitation. The present study used a cross-sectional methodology, limiting the ability to identify causal relationships among the investigated pathways. For example, improvement in anxiety and depression symptoms during therapy may have resulted in a better therapeutic relationship. The study also lacked detail regarding the specificities of participants’ previous therapy experiences, that is, length, model, and modality, which could potentially affect the findings. Nevertheless, despite such possible variability, the findings of the present study may actually speak to the robustness of the identified mediation associations. Finally, findings from the present study may lack generalizability as a result of participant recruitment methods. As participants were recruited exclusively through the HeadsUpGuys website, they may not be fully representative of men with psychotherapy experiences in the broader community.

**Conclusion**

Although much has been made of prioritizing efforts that help increase men’s help-seeking and treatment uptake (Yousaf et al., 2015), it is equally important to work on ensuring that treatment is engaging and responsive to men’s needs in order for them to sustain treatment and maximize the potential for a beneficial outcome (Seidler et al., 2019). Previous research has not only linked a positive therapeutic relationship with men’s continued engagement in therapy (Kealy et al., 2019; Seidler et al., 2020) but has also demonstrated its role in helping to reduce male clients’ distress and suicidal ideation (Barzilay et al., 2020; Bryan et al., 2012). This study provided a unique expansion to the extant literature by demonstrating that a strong therapeutic relationship can contribute to men feeling understood (i.e., less existentially isolated), which contributes to a reduction in psychological distress and suicidality. These preliminary findings help elucidate one pathway by which the therapeutic relationship can contribute to positive benefits from psychotherapy for male clients and signal existential isolation as a critical factor in this pathway.
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