Although magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is not the primary imaging modality for gallbladder, it is a successful imaging technique that provides anatomical, physiological and chemical data about gallbladder and biliary tract. In addition, abnormal bile can be evaluated more comprehensively with MRI than computed tomography and ultrasonography (USG). USG is an effective and the most preferred non-invasive method of screening gallstones, but it may not be sufficient to assess anatomic details in obese patients.

In previous studies, hyperintense gallbladder bile on T1-weighted images was shown caused by bile saturation in fasting state. Absorption of water in the gallbladder during fasting causes increased cholesterol and bile salt concentration in the bile, shortening the T1 relaxation time and increased T1 signal intensity. To the best of our knowledge, the possible association between the appearance of intense bile and gallstone development has not been evaluated before. The aim of our study was to examine this possible relationship with follow-up MRI.

Methods

This was a retrospective, descriptive study. The study has been approved from the ethics committee of our hospital. One hundred consecutive patients with MRI in fasting state were included to the study that have follow-up MRI. The exclusion criteria as follows; patients that have gallbladder stone or sludge in first MRI, collapsed gallbladder, acute and chronic cholesystitis, gallbladder removal surgery, primary gallbladder tumors or tumors that extend to gallbladder.

On T1-weighted images, hyperintense and hypointense groups were formed according to gallbladder contents. Follow-up MRIs were evaluated in terms of gallbladder stone development. The groups with and without gallbladder stones were compared according to follow-up time.

Results

Gallbladder contents on MRI in the fasting state were T1 hyperintense in 85 patients and T1 hypointense in 15 patients. There was no statistically significant difference about the development of gallbladder stone on follow-up between the T1 hyperintense group (n: 11, 12.9%) and the T1 hypointense group (n: 2, 13.3%) (p=0.96). There was no statistically significant difference about the follow-up time between the groups with and without the development of gallbladder stone (p=0.20).

Conclusion

There was no significant relationship between the concentrated appearance of the gallbladder bile on T1-weighted MRI in the fasting state and the development of gallbladder stone on follow-up. We believe that this possible relationship can be evaluated more clearly with prospective studies, larger populations, and long-term follow-up.
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der, trauma history, and patients with low quality MRI. Only one radiologist (EY, 13 year of abdominal imaging experience) evaluated the MRI findings.

MRI of the abdomen was performed with a 1.5 Tesla MR machine (Signa HDxt Excite II; GE Medical Systems, Waukesha, WI, USA). The duration of fasting state before MRI was at least 9 hours. MRI signals were obtained with 8-channel body coils. T2-weighted images were obtained with fast spin echo sequence (repetition time (TR) / echo time (TE)=3440/87 ms, slice thickness=6 mm, field of view (FOV)=430 mm, matrix=320x224, number of excitations (NEX)=2) on axial and coronal planes. T1-weighted images (repetition time (TR) / echo time (TE)=145/3.7 ms, slice thickness=6 mm, field of view (FOV)=430 mm, matrix=320x160, number of excitations (NEX)=1) were obtained on axial plane. USG was performed using a 5 MHz convex and 10 MHz linear probe (Mylab 70 XVG; Esaote Medical Systems, Genova, Italy).

T1 hyperintense and T1 hypointense gallbladder groups were created according to gallbladder contents on fasting state. Axial T2, coronal T2, axial T1 sequences of follow-up MRI were investigated in terms of gallstone development. USG examinations were performed in 59 patients. 8 of 13 patients that developed gallstone in follow-up period had USG, and gallstones were seen in all of them.

Gallbladder contents on MRI in fasting state were T1 hyperintense in 85 patients (Fig. 1) and T1 hypointense in 15 patients (Fig. 2). The age, gender, imaging and follow-up findings of the patients were shown in Table 1. T1 hyperintense and T1 hypointense groups according to gallbladder content were similar in terms of age and sex. Gallbladder stone developed in 11 patients (12.9%) with T1 hyperintense group, and in 2 patients (13.3%) with T1 hypointense group. There was no statistically significant difference between the groups in terms of gallbladder stone development (p=0.96). During the follow-up period, USG examinations were performed in 59 patients. 8 of 13 patients that developed gallstone in follow-up period had USG, and gallstones were seen in all of them.

Median follow-up time was 24.5 months. There was no statistically significant difference in follow-up period between gallstone development group and non-development group on T1 hyperintense and T1 hypointense patients (p=0.20) (Table 1).

**Discussion**

The bile fluid produced in the liver predominantly contains water which is hypointense in T1-weighted sequence and hyperintense in T2-weighted sequence.\[4,5\] Gallbladder accumulates the bile. The water in the bile fluid is absorbed at the gallbladder and the bile fluid is saturated.\[1,3\] Thus, bile fluid contains bile acid, phospholipid, cholesterol at high concentration. If the gallbladder motility decreases and the resistance of the cystic channel increases, gallbladder bile

---

**Figure 1 (a–c).** 49 year-old female patient (a) In axial T2-weighted image, gallbladder lumen content is hyperintense (thin arrow). (b) In axial T1-weighted image, saturated hyperintense bile level (thick arrow) is seen in gallbladder lumen. (c) In axial T2-weighted image, T2 hypointense gallstones (curved arrows) with a diameter of 5 mm are observed on follow-up MRI in 41 months.
will be excessively saturated. Crystal precipitates, cholesterol deposits, gallstones containing 1–3 mm particles called microliths and ultimately gallstones will develop.\textsuperscript{[3, 6, 7]}

Saturated bile content on fasting state shortens T1 time and causes T1 hyperintense appearance on MRI.\textsuperscript{[4]} However, changes in the appearance of T1 intensities due to differences in bile concentration, and hyper-hypointense levels in T1- and T2-weighted studies are frequently seen.\textsuperscript{[2, 3]}

In our study, gallbladder content was T1 hyperintense in 85 patients (85%), and T1 hypointense in 15 patients (15%). Although development of gallbladder stone were higher in T1 hyperintense group on follow-up, there was no statistically significant difference between T1 hyperintense and T1 hypointense groups.

Saturated bile is seen as T1 hyperintensity that fills the gallbladder lumen or hyperintense-hypointense bile level on fasting state MRI.\textsuperscript{[1, 4]} Spheric, ovoid or irregular shaped stones may accumulate in the lower part of the gallbladder lumen. While most of the pigmented gallstones are shown as T1 hyperintense, all cholesterol gallstones are T1 hypointense.\textsuperscript{[12]} Although it is not clear why the hyperintensity is observed in the T1 sequence, it is thought that metal ions in the pigment stones may be responsible.\textsuperscript{[13, 14]}

After the meal, saturated gallbladder content drains into the duodenum. Hepatic bile which contains high percentage of water pours into gall bladder and T1-weighted MRI examination reveals hypointense bile in gallbladder lumen. Also gallbladder diseases, such as chronic cholecystitis, in which bile fluid can not be saturated, may also have a hypointense appearance in T1-weighted images.\textsuperscript{[11]} In our study, gallbladder lumen was T1 hyperintense in the vast majority of the cases in fasting state, the gallbladder contents were seen as T1 hypointense in 15% of the patients. T1 hypointense ap-

| Table 1. Demographic and clinic characteristics of patient groups |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **All patients** | **T1 hyperintense group** | **T1 hypointense group** | p |
| (n=100) | (n=85) | (n=15) | |
| Gender, (F/M) | 58/42 | 52/33 | 6/9 | 0.12 |
| Age, median (min-max) | 57 (24–85) | 56 (24–85) | 58 (41–55) | 0.28 |
| Development of gallstone, n (%)- | 13 (13%) | 11 (12.9%) | 2 (13.3%) | 0.96 |
| Follow-up (month), median (min.-max.) | 24.5 (6–61) | 24 (6–61) | 32 (6–60) | 0.20 |
| Development of gallstone & | 29 (15–58) | 29 (17–58) | 34 (15–53) | 0.76 |
| Non-development of gallstone & | 24 (6–61) | 24 (6–61) | 32 (6–60) | 0.16 |
| USG, n (%) | 59 (59) | 47 (55.3) | 12 (80) | 0.13 |

F: Female; M: Male; Min.: Minimum; Max.: Maximum; USG: Ultrasonography.
pearance in these patients may be due to the insufficiency of bile saturation mechanism in comparison to T1 hyperintense patient group. Another cause may be gallbladder diseases such as chronic cholecystitis. However, our study did not include chronic cholecystitis patients.

Gallbladder imaging should be performed after fasting for 8–12 hours which provides luminal physiological distention.[15] In our study, the duration of fasting state before MRI was at least 9 hours. There was no statistically significant difference in gallstone development in follow-up between T1 hyperintense and T1 hypointense groups. Longer fasting state studies may be useful to compare these groups for development of gallbladder stone.

Although MRI is quite successful in detecting gallbladder and bile duct stones, very small stones which are of less than 2 mm in size may not be shown.[15] For this reason, USG findings were also evaluated in our study. However, USG was performed in 59 patients. 8 of 59 patients that gallbladder stone developed in follow-up were detected also by USG. Other 5 patients with gallstone development didn’t have USG examination. Due to technical improvements in MRI, image quality is increased. However, optimal imaging may not be possible due to artefacts due to respiration, intestinal peristalsis, and vascular pulsations. Artefacts also can cause false interpretations.[16] Patients who did not have adequate image quality were not included to our study.

This study had several limitations. One of the limitation was due to retrospective study design leading to uncontrolled data about confounding factors and absence of clinical characteristics (diet, BMI etc.) of patients related to gallbladder stone formation. The other major limitation were small number of patients during follow-up time, and lack of USG findings in some patients. In addition, the pathologic confirmation was absent in patients with gallbladder stone. Due to fact that the patients did not have any symptoms related to gallbladder stone in their hospital records, no surgical intervention was performed. Due to the only one radiologist’s evaluation, inter and intraobserver variability were not shown.

In conclusion, there was no significant relationship between saturated appearance of gallbladder bile on T1 weighted MRI in fasting state and development of gallbladder stone on follow-up. This probable relationship can be evaluated more clearly with prospective studies, larger populations and long-term follow-up.
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