Acoustic, thermal and optical properties of organic based disodium tartrate salt
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Abstract

In this work, acoustic, thermal, and optical properties were tested on the different concentrations of the Disodium Tartrate solutions. First, the viscosity studies were analyzed for the Disodium tartrate in the concentration range from 2% to 20% with different temperatures 303K, 308K, 313K, and 318K. It was noted that the relative viscosity and the activation energy of the prepared compound increase with increases in concentration and decreases with temperature increases. The properties like density and ultrasonic velocity are varied when increases the concentration of the aqueous solutions of Disodium Tartrate. In this study, the values of adiabatic compressibility show an inverse behavior when compared with ultrasonic velocity due to the interaction between solute and solvent molecules. Also observed that the inter-molecular free length is maximum for a lower percentage. The free volume for the compound is maximum at 2% and a minimum of 20%, since it reduces when the internal pressure increases. It was revealed that the classical absorption coefficient and relaxation time for Disodium Tartrate is minimum for lower percentage and minimum for a higher percentage. The interactions between the solute and solvent are confirmed through the property like specific Acoustical impedance. It was noted that the increase in internal pressure increases the concentration of the compound. The ion-solvent interaction was discussed by the relative association study, thus the values of relative association increases with an increase in concentration. The Rao’s and Wada’s constant increases linearly in aqueous solutions of Disodium Tartrate for the entire system.
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1. Introduction

Double salts are salts containing more than one cation or anion. A well-known double salt is an alum containing two cations (Potassium and Aluminum) and a sulfate anion. Other examples are potassium sodium tartrate and bromide. Alums are double sulphates of a monovalent cation and a trivalent cation containing water of crystallization. Double salts when dissolved in water dissociate into simple ions completely [1]. Tartaric acid is an organic (carbon-based) compound of the chemical formula C4H6O6 and has the official name 2,3-dihydroxybutanedioic acid. In this name, the 2,3-dihydroxy refers to the two OH- groups on the second and third carbon atoms, and the butane portion of the name refers to a four-carbon molecule. The dioic acid portion communicates the existence of two organic acids (COOH) groups on the molecule. Tartaric acid is found throughout nature, especially in many fruits and in wine [2].

Tartrate salts are often used as food additives due to their ability to act as anti-oxidants. Disodium tartrate dehydrate, additive number (E335), is used as an emulsifier and binding agent in food products such as jam and sugar syrup [3].
In the present study an attempt has been made to compute the viscosity of aqueous solutions of Di-Sodium tartrate (Molar mass : 230.08) at different concentrations (2%, 4%, 6%, 8%, 10%, 12%, 14%, 16%, 18% & 20%), at different temperatures (303 K, 308 K, 313 K & 318 K). From these experimental data, activation energy is calculated and the effect of solvent is analyzed. Density, ultrasonic velocity, and refractive index have been estimated for aqueous solutions of Disodium tartrate at 303K. From these experimental values, various molecular interaction parameters like free volume, internal pressure, viscous relaxation time, inter-atomic free length, etc are calculated and discussed in terms of solute-solvent interactions.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Measurement of density

Measurements of density and viscosity are very useful in the study of tartrate solutions. These values are used to compute some of the parameters such as Molar volume and apparent molecular volume. These parameters are helpful in the investigation of molecular interaction and to tackle the problems relating to the properties of a given system.

Specific gravity bottle which is empty, with its stopper is weighed in the K-ROY make Electronic balance, with an accuracy of ±0.001gm and its weight up to the third decimal place is noted down as $W_1$. Then the solution whose density is to be measured is filled till the neck of the specific gravity bottle and then the stopper is fitted tight to the neck, the excess solution must spray out of the capillary tube, then the bottle is wiped with tissue paper and then it is weighted on the Electronic balance, and its weight is noted as $W_2$.

The density of the solution is determined using the formula:

$$\rho = \frac{\text{Mass of the solution in gm}}{\text{Volume of the specific gravity bottle in cc}}$$

$$\text{Mass of the solution} = W_2 - W_1 \text{ gm}$$

Where $W_2$ is the weight of the bottle with solution and $W_1$ is the weight of the empty bottle.

$$\rho = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{\text{Volume of the bottle}} \text{ gm/cc}$$

The experimental solution was taken into a 10 ml specific gravity bottle. Care was taken to ensure that there were no air bubbles. The mass of the liquid in it was measured by K-ROY make single pan electronic balance with an accuracy of ±0.001gm and it is measured at 303K using an electronically controlled thermostat with an accuracy of ± 0.5K.

2.2. Abbe refractometer

Carefully clean and dry both surfaces of the prism body by turning the handle to the right. One glance into the square entrance aperture of the illuminated prism will show that the entire space between the two prisms is evenly filled because air bubbles in the liquid layer reduce the contrast of the borderline. If required take more of the specimen liquid.

Place a refractometer into its position for use and set using pinion head to the beginning of the measuring graduation. Wait a few minutes before measuring so that the temperature becomes constant. In a meantime arrange the mirror in such a manner that the light fills the square aperture of the illuminating prism and the field of view appears bright in the telescope eyepiece.

Turn pinion head slowly towards the higher values until the field of view becomes dark from below. Make color fringe between the two half-fields disappear by turning the knurled knob; set borderline which is now well defined to the point of intersection of the cross – line by further turning of the pinion head. In this position the measured result is read on the $\eta_D$ or % graduation. As the position of the borderline depends on the refractive index of the specimen and the graduation glass scale is firmly connected with the prism, at this setting of the telescope, the reading microscope immediately indicates with its graduation line the $\eta_D$ value required. Thus the refractive of the solution is determined directly.
2.3. Tartrate solution preparation

In the present study, Di-Sodium Tartrate is obtained from Southern India Scientific Company, Trichy (AR Grade). The tartrate solutions were prepared by dissolving the tartrate in double distilled water to give different concentrations of 2%, 4%, 6%, 8%, 10%, 12%, 14%, 16%, 18% and 20%.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Determination of activation energy

Viscosity studies are performed for an aqueous solution of Di-Sodium tartrate in the concentration range of 2% – 20% at different temperatures 303K, 308K, 313K, and 318K. Figure 1 and Table 1 show the variation of relative viscosity against concentration for an aqueous solution of Di-Sodium tartrate at different temperatures. In this case, the relative viscosity increases with the increase in concentration and decreases with the increase in temperature. For all the systems, the relative viscosity is minimum at lower concentration (2%) and at a higher concentration range (20%) it is maximum. It may be due to a more frictional force developed between the layers of the solution.

\[ \eta = A e^{Q/RT} \]  

Figure 1 shows the variation of log viscosity (ln $\eta$) against the inverse of temperature (1/T) for an aqueous solution of Di-Sodium tartrate. The ln $\eta$ against 1/T graph shows that the curve fit is a straight line. In all the cases, the ln $\eta$ value increases with concentration and decreases with a decrease in temperature.

According to Moore et al. [4]

\[ \ln \eta = \ln A + \left( \frac{Q}{R} \right) \frac{1}{T} \]
The activation energy (Q) is given by the slope of these curves. The variation of activation energy Q with concentration (C) is given in Figure 3.

This graph shows the linear variation of activation energy with an increase in concentration. The activation energy increases with an increase in concentration. The activation energy is minimum at 2% concentration and maximum at 20% concentration. From this, it may be concluded that more energy is required to move the molecules inside the structure with an increase in concentration [5].
3.2. Density studies

The density results show a uniform increase with the increase in the concentration of aqueous solutions of Di-sodium tartrate at 303 K [Figure 4]. In the lower concentration range (2%), the density is the minimum for Di-sodium tartrate with a value of 1006.22 kg/m$^3$. The density of Di-sodium tartrate is the maximum with a value of 1097.89 kg/m$^3$ at a higher concentration range (20%).

4. Ultrasonic studies

4.1. Theory of sound propagation

Propagation of sound waves in a medium may be treated as a series of compressions and rarefactions travelling along the direction of propagation such that the molecular planes of the medium are displaced from their mean position. Propagation of ultrasound in a medium is concentration-dependent. Ultrasonic velocity and various parameters are calculated for various concentrations [2%, 4%, 6%, 8%, 10%, 12%, 14%, 16%, 18% and 20%] of Disodium tartrate in water.
The displacement ($\xi$) and velocity of ($C$) of the waves are related by the wave equation.

$$\frac{\delta^2 \xi}{\delta x^2} = \frac{c^2}{\delta t^2} \frac{1}{\delta t^2}$$

(a)

It is assumed here that the compressions are both reversible and adiabatic. The wave equations may be rewritten using the isentropic compressibility ($\beta_s$) and the density ($d$) of the medium as

$$\frac{\delta^2 \xi}{\delta x^2} = \beta_s d \frac{\delta^2 \xi}{\delta t^2}$$

(a)

Comparing (a) and (b) it is evident that

$$c^2 = (\beta_s d)^{-1}$$

Thus in the limit of above assumptions, the velocity of the sound waves depends on $\beta$ and $d$.

Hence $\beta = \frac{1}{c^2 d}$

4.2. Ultrasonic velocity

The ultrasonic velocity can be calculated using the formula [$U = N \times \lambda$]. In Water, the ultrasonic velocity increases with increase in concentration of Disodium tartrate and it is shown in Fig. 5. The Ultrasonic velocity is minimum for 2% and maximum for 20%. The linear increase of velocity with concentration is clearly seen in Disodium tartrate [Figure 5]. Molecular association is thus responsible for the observed increase of ultrasonic velocity in this mixture.

![Figure 5 Variation of Ultrasonic Velocity against Concentration](image)

4.3. Refractive index studies

Refractive index studies are performed for Disodium tartrate in water in the concentration range of 2% to 20% at 303K. Figure 6 shows the variation of the refractive index against concentration for aqueous solutions of Disodium tartrate at 303K. In the lower concentration range (2%), the refractive index is the minimum for Disodium tartrate in water (1.336). But it is noticed that the refractive index of Disodium tartrate in water is maximum at a higher concentration range (20%) with a value of 1.358. In general, it is observed that the refractive index increases with the increase in concentration.
5. Molecular interaction parameters

5.1. Adiabatic compressibility

The adiabatic compressibility is calculated using the equation of Newton and Laplace. The structural change in the molecules takes place due to the existence of an electrostatic field between interacting molecules. This structural arrangement or orientation may affect the adiabatic compressibility, which is defined as $\beta = \frac{1}{U^2C m^2 / N}$. The compressibility factor is a measure of intermolecular attraction leads to structural particles, which may also decrease the compressibility [\beta] value. The change in the compressibility value in liquids may be ascribed to either the variation in electrostriction or the strength of intermolecular attraction.

$$\beta_{ad} = \frac{1}{U^2d} m^2 / N$$

Where, $\beta$ is the Adiabatic compressibility, $U$ is a Ultrasonic velocity, $d$ is the density of the solution.

Normally a decrease in adiabatic compressibility indicates closed packing and decreased ionic repulsion. In the present study, the adiabatic compressibility for an aqueous solution of Disodium tartrate [Figure 7] decreases as the concentration increases [Table 2].
This indicates that the molecules are closely packed in the solution. The adiabatic compressibility is a maximum of 2% and minimum for 20%. The adiabatic compressibility shows an inverse behavior as compared to ultrasonic velocity. This indicates that there is a significant interaction between solute and solvent molecules. It can be taken as an indication for the formation of complexes [9].

5.2. Inter molecular free length

The increase in free length signifies weak molecular interaction or due to repulsion and an increase in free length signifies specific interaction. The deviation of free length with the increase in ultrasonic velocity indicates the presence of significant interaction between solute and solvent molecules due to which the structural arrangement in the neighborhood of constituent ions is considerably affected.

The intermolecular free length, can be calculated using the formula

\[ L_f = k \beta_{ad}^{1/2} m \]

Where, \( L_f \) is the Intermolecular free length, \( K \) is Jacobson’s constant \([K = (93.875+0.375T) \times 10^{-8}]\)

![Figure 8 Variation of Intermolecular Free length against Concentration](image)

In the present system, the intermolecular free length for aqueous solutions of Disodium tartrate decreases with the increase in concentration as shown in Figure 8.

The intermolecular free length is maximum for 2% and a minimum of 20%. In general, for aqueous solutions of Disodium tartrate, at lower concentration range the molecules exhibit weak interaction due to dominant repulsive force and at higher concentration, there is a specific interaction among the molecules. In view of greater forces of interaction between solute and solvent molecules forming hydrogen bonding, there will be a decrease in free length in the mixture [8,9].

The decrease in free length occurs after mixing results in an increase in ultrasonic velocity. This is also in accordance with the expected increase in compressibility following an increase in ultrasonic velocity thereby showing strong intermolecular interactions. Similar results were also reported in few other liquid mixtures [10,11,13].
Table 2 Various Molecular interaction Parameters of aqueous solutions of Disodium tartrate at 303K

| Concentration in % | Adiabatic compressibility (x10^-10m²N⁻¹) | Intermolecular free length (x10^-11m) | Free Volume (x10^-8m³mol⁻¹) | Classical Absorption co-efficient (x10^-14m³⁻¹s⁻²) | Viscous Relaxation time (x10⁻12s) |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 2                  | 4.3185                                   | 4.3120                              | 1.1851                      | 0.9355                                        | 0.7197                           |
| 4                  | 4.2140                                   | 4.2596                              | 1.1082                      | 0.9718                                        | 0.7529                           |
| 6                  | 4.1029                                   | 4.2030                              | 1.0007                      | 1.0307                                        | 0.8041                           |
| 8                  | 4.0057                                   | 4.1530                              | 0.6791                      | 1.3255                                        | 1.0415                           |
| 10                 | 3.9159                                   | 4.1061                              | 0.4992                      | 1.6178                                        | 1.2792                           |
| 12                 | 3.8232                                   | 4.0572                              | 0.3996                      | 1.8626                                        | 1.4834                           |
| 14                 | 3.7386                                   | 4.0121                              | 0.3348                      | 2.0826                                        | 1.6699                           |
| 16                 | 3.6638                                   | 3.9718                              | 0.2841                      | 2.3134                                        | 1.8661                           |
| 18                 | 3.5895                                   | 3.9313                              | 0.2729                      | 2.3649                                        | 1.9192                           |
| 20                 | 3.5110                                   | 3.8881                              | 0.2658                      | 2.3899                                        | 1.9521                           |

Table 3 Various Molecular interaction Parameters of aqueous solutions of Disodium tartrate at 303K

| Concentration in % | Specific Acoustical Impedance (x10⁶Kg m⁻² S⁻¹) | Internal pressure (x10⁹atm) | Relative association | Rao’s constant [x x 10⁻³[m³ mol⁻¹] [ms⁻¹]¹/₃] | Wada’s constant [x 10⁻³[m³ mol⁻¹] [Nm⁻²]¹/⁷] |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 2                  | 1.5264                                        | 2.8510                      | 1.0024              | 0.2042                                        | 0.4018                                        |
| 4                  | 1.5534                                        | 2.9012                      | 1.0107              | 0.2062                                        | 0.4149                                        |
| 6                  | 1.5842                                        | 2.9920                      | 1.0212              | 0.2077                                        | 0.4292                                        |
| 8                  | 1.6112                                        | 3.3879                      | 1.0289              | 0.2097                                        | 0.4424                                        |
| 10                 | 1.6378                                        | 3.7372                      | 1.0371              | 0.2116                                        | 0.4559                                        |
| 12                 | 1.6656                                        | 4.0067                      | 1.0447              | 0.2135                                        | 0.4696                                        |
| 14                 | 1.6917                                        | 4.2290                      | 1.0515              | 0.2156                                        | 0.4830                                        |
| 16                 | 1.7159                                        | 4.4442                      | 1.0581              | 0.2177                                        | 0.4962                                        |
| 18                 | 1.7409                                        | 4.4832                      | 1.0649              | 0.2197                                        | 0.5097                                        |
| 20                 | 1.7683                                        | 4.5037                      | 1.0724              | 0.2215                                        | 0.5239                                        |

5.3. Free volume

Free volume refers to the void space between the molecules, i.e., the volume present as holes because of irregular packing of the molecules. It may be defined as the average volume in which the central molecule can move inside the hypothetical cell freely without affected by the repulsion of surrounding molecules. The free volume is determined by using the formula

\[ V_f = \left( \frac{M_{eff} U}{Kn} \right)^{3/2} m^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \]

Where, \( M_{eff} \) is the effective molecular weight, \( U \) is an Ultrasonic velocity, \( K \) is a constant (4.28 x 10⁹) which is independent of the nature of the liquid, \( n \) is a relative viscosity of the solution.
The above formula suggests that the free volume depends on the ultrasonic velocity directly and viscosity inversely. The free volume plot follows a reverse trend of viscosity. Therefore, viscosity rather than velocity determines the free volume of our system. Since the viscosity of the tartrate is varied due to molecular interaction or tartrate solvation i.e., due to swelling of the tartrate, the same parameters are influencing the free volume also. The free volume reduces when the internal pressure increases.

In the present case, free volume for aqueous solutions of Disodium tartrate is maximum at 2% and minimum at 20% [Figure 9]. The free volume gradually decreases from 2% - 20% concentration ranges. The reverse trend of free volume with internal pressure is clearly shown in Figure 10 and Table 2 & Table 3.

5.4. Classical absorption coefficient

The formula for finding the classical absorption coefficient is given below

$$ \alpha = \frac{8\pi^2 \eta}{3dU^2} \text{m}^{-1} \text{sec}^{-2} $$

Where, \( \alpha \) is a Absorption coefficient, \( \eta \) is the Relative viscosity of the solution, \( U \) is Ultrasonic velocity of the solution, \( d \) is the Density of the solution.
In the present system, the classical absorption coefficient for aqueous solutions of Disodium tartrate increases gradually with the increase in concentration as shown in Figure 11. The classical absorption coefficient is minimum for 2% and maximum for 20%.

![Figure 11 Variation of Classical Absorption Coefficient against Concentration](image)

5.5. Viscous relaxation time

Relaxation time was calculated using the formula

\[ \tau = \frac{4\eta}{3du^2} \text{ sec} \]

where, \( \tau \) is the Relaxation time, \( \eta \) is a Viscosity of the solution, \( d \) is a density of the solution, \( U \) is a Ultrasonic velocity of the solution.

From the graph [Figure 12], we see that the viscous relaxation time increases drastically. Aqueous solutions of Disodium tartrate at 303 K. The relaxation time for Disodium tartrate in Water is minimum in lower concentration and maximum at higher concentration range. The relaxation time increases with an increase in concentration throughout the system. The increase in relaxation time confirms the presence of intermolecular interaction.

![Figure 12 Variation of Relaxation time against Concentration](image)

5.6. Specific acoustical impedance

Acoustical Impedance is calculated using the formula
Z = u ρ Kg m⁻² S⁻¹

where, Z is a Acoustical Impedance, ρ is the Density of the solution, u is a Ultrasonic velocity of the solution.

The acoustic impedance (Z) of a material is defined as the product of its density (ρ) and Ultrasonic velocity (u). Acoustic impedance is important in the determination of acoustic transmission and reflection at the boundary of two materials having different acoustic impedances, the design of ultrasonic transducers, and assessing absorption of sound in a medium.

From the graph [Figure 13] and Table 3, we see that the Specific Acoustical Impedance increases drastically for aqueous solutions of Disodium tartrate. The specific acoustical impedance for aqueous solutions of Disodium tartrate is minimum at lower concentration and maximum at higher concentration. The specific acoustical impedance increases with an increase in concentration throughout the system. This also indicates significant interactions in the solute and solvent.

![Figure 13 Variation of Specific Acoustical Impedance against Concentration](image)

5.7. Internal pressure

Internal pressure is a measure of the resultant attractive and repulsive forces between the interacting components in the mixture.

A new general formula based on dimensional analysis to evaluate internal pressure using ultrasonic velocity is given below.

$$\pi = bRT\left(\frac{K\eta}{U}\right)^{1/2}\frac{d^{2/3}}{M^{7/6}} atm$$

Where, π is the internal pressure, b is the packing factor [assumed as 2 for liquid systems and 1.78 for Polymer solutions], R is the Universal gas constant, T is a temperature in Kelvin, K is a constant of value 4.28 X 10⁹, η is a viscosity in poise, U is a ultrasonic velocity of the solution, M is the effective molecular weight of the solution and d is the density of the solution.

Internal pressure shows a reverse trend to that of free volume as expected, which suggests that the components prevent closed packing of the polymer chains, reducing the molecular cohesion [polymer – polymer interactions and polymer – solvent interaction].

The variation of internal pressure with a concentration of aqueous solutions of Disodium tartrate is shown in Figure 14. This study shows that there is an increase in the internal pressure of aqueous solutions of Disodium tartrate with concentration. The internal pressure is minimum at lower (2%) concentration with a value of 2.8510 x 10⁹ atm and maximum at higher concentration (20%) with a value of 4.5037 x 10⁹ atm.
5.8. Relative association

Relative Association is calculated using the formula

\[ RA = \left( \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right) \left( \frac{U}{U_0} \right)^{1/3} \]

Where, \( \rho \) is the density of liquid mixture, \( \rho_0 \) is the density of solvent, \( U \) is a Ultrasonic velocity of liquid mixture, \( U_0 \) is a Ultrasonic velocity of pure solvent.

From the graph [Figure 15], we see that the Relative Association increases drastically for aqueous solutions of Disodium tartrate. The relative association is influenced by two factors (i) breaking up of the associated solvent molecules on the addition of solute in it and (ii) the salvation of solute molecules. The former leads to a decrease and later leads to an increase of relative association.

Generally, the values of (RA) increase with the increase in solute concentration showing significant ion-solvent interaction which increases with an increase in solute concentration [11-13]. In the present system, the variation of Relative Association shows nonlinear variation. The Relative association for Disodium tartrate in Water is minimum at 2% concentration and maximum at 20% concentration [14].

5.9. Rao’s constant

Rao's Constant is calculated using the formula

\[ R = \left( \frac{M_{\text{eff}}}{\rho} \right) U^{1/3} \]
Where, $M_{eff}$ is a Molecular weight of the solution, $\rho$ is the Density of the solution, $U$ is the Ultrasonic Velocity of the solution.

From the graph [Figure 16], we see that the Rao's Constant increases linearly in aqueous solutions of Disodium tartrate at 303 K. The Rao's Constant for aqueous solutions of Disodium tartrate is minimum at lower concentration and maximum in higher concentration range. Rao's Constant increases with an increase in the concentration throughout the system taken for study.

![Figure 16 Variation of Rao's Constant against Concentration](image)

5.10. Wada's constant

Wada's Constant can be calculated using the formula

$$W = \left( \frac{M_{eff}}{\rho} \right) \beta^{1/7}$$

Where, $M_{eff}$ is the molecular weight of the solution, $\rho$ is the density of the solution, $\beta$ is the adiabatic compressibility of the solution.

From the graph [Figure 17], we see that Wada's constant increases linearly with aqueous solutions of Disodium tartrate. Wada's Constant for Disodium tartrate in Water is minimum at lower concentration and maximum at higher concentration range as seen in Table 3. Wada's constant increases with increase in the concentration for the entire system taken for study.

![Figure 17 Variation of Wada's Constant against Concentration](image)
6. Conclusion

The results from the viscosity studies show that the variations in the relative viscosity from lower to higher concentration level. Similarly, the value of $\ln \eta$ increases with concentration and decreases with decrease in temperature. And also, the activation energy and density results indicate the values increases with the increase in concentration of aqueous solution of Di-sodium tartrate at 303 K. Due to the molecular association, the ultrasonic velocity and refractive index values changes over the increases in concentration range.

In this, the adiabatic compressibility shows an inverse behavior as compared to ultrasonic velocity due to the closely packed molecules in the solution. Similarly, the intermolecular free length value decreases with the increases in concentration. The intermolecular free length is maximum for 2% and minimum for 20%. The free volume formula suggests that the free volume depends on the ultrasonic velocity directly and viscosity inversely. The free volume reduces when the internal pressure increases.

The classical absorption coefficient and the relaxation time for Disodium tartrate in water is minimum in lower concentration and maximum at higher concentration range. From graph, it was revealed that the specific Acoustical impedance increases drastically for aqueous solutions of Disodium tartrate. It shows that the significant interactions in the solute and solvent. The results from the internal pressure study, shows that the value of $2.8150 \times 10^9$ atm at lower concentration and $4.5037 \times 10^9$ atm at higher concentration. The relative association for Disodium tartrate in water is minimum at 2% concentration and maximum at 20% concentration. It was noted that the Rao’s constant increases with increase in the concentration throughout the system. And also, found that the Wada’s constant increases with increase in the concentration for the entire system from the graph.
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