Common variation at 16p11.2 is associated with glycosuria in pregnancy: findings from a genome-wide association study in European women
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Abstract

Glycosuria is a condition where glucose is detected in urine at higher concentrations than normal (i.e. not detectable). Glycosuria at some point during pregnancy has an estimated prevalence of 50% and is associated with adverse outcomes in both mothers and offspring. Little is currently known about the genetic contribution to this trait or the extent to which it overlaps with other seemingly related traits, e.g. diabetes. We performed a genome-wide association study (GWAS) for self-reported glycosuria in pregnant mothers from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (cases/control=1249/5140). We identified two loci, one of which (lead SNP = rs13337037; chromosome 16; odds ratio of glycosuria per effect allele: 1.42; 95% CI: 1.30, 1.56; \( P = 1.97 \times 10^{-13} \)) was then validated using an obstetric measure of glycosuria measured in the same cohort (227/6639). We performed a secondary GWAS in the 1986 Northern Finland Birth Cohort (NFBC1986; 747/2991) using midwife-reported glycosuria and offspring genotype as a proxy for maternal genotype. The combined results revealed evidence for a consistent effect on glycosuria at the chromosome 16 locus. In follow-up analyses, we saw little evidence of shared genetic underpinnings with the exception of urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio (\( R_g = 0.64; SE = 0.22; P = 0.0042 \)), a biomarker of kidney disease. In conclusion, we identified a genetic association with self-reported glycosuria during pregnancy, with the lead SNP located 15kB upstream of SLC5A2, a target of antidiabetic drugs. The lack of strong genetic correlation with seemingly related traits such as type 2 diabetes suggests different genetic risk factors exist for glycosuria during pregnancy.
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Introduction

At normal levels, glucose is not detectable in urine. Glycosuria, the presence of glucose in urine above normal levels, may be caused by either an increase in blood glucose such that the renal tubules are overwhelmed and complete reabsorption of presented glucose is not possible, a lowering of the renal threshold, or inhibition of renal tubule reabsorption (1). Although prevalence estimates for glycosuria vary, it seems likely that the condition affects around 50% of women at some stage of their pregnancy (2) and is thought to occur primarily as a result of pregnancy-related increases in renal blood flow resulting in a lower threshold for excreting glucose in urine. It also reflects pregnancy-related increases in circulating blood glucose. While, outside of pregnancy, glycosuria is considered a diabetes indicator, glycosuria in pregnancy is not specific or sensitive for gestational diabetes risk and is not recommended as a screening tool for it (2,3).

There is evidence that glycosuria is associated with adverse cardio-metabolic outcomes, including non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, in offspring (4–6) and with later life outcomes, such as cardiovascular disease death, in mothers (7). Therefore, the presence of glycosuria may potentially indicate future adverse outcomes in pregnancy and the life course.

Both glucose regulation and renal function are heritable, with reliable evidence for several genetic variants being associated with a range of relevant traits, including fasting glucose (8,9), insulin sensitivity (8,9), type 2 diabetes (10) and glomerular filtration rate in general non-pregnant populations (11). While these studies have yielded valuable new insights into glucose metabolism, still outstanding is an investigation of the genetic contribution to glycosuria during pregnancy. To investigate whether common genetic variants are associated with glucose in urine during pregnancy and to explore potential links to other glycaemic and renal-function-related traits, we set out to conduct a genome-wide association study (GWAS) of glycosuria during pregnancy in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) and looked for confirmatory evidence in the Northern Finland Birth Cohort 1986 (NFBC1986). We further investigated the genetic overlap between identified loci and multiple diabetes-related traits.

Results

A genome-wide association study (GWAS) of self-reported glycosuria during pregnancy performed in ALSPAC represents our primary analysis. Additional work exploring associations further was conducted in the same cohort. Due to lack of available data, a replication GWAS was not possible. We instead sought supporting evidence from a secondary GWAS analysis performed in NFBC1986 and combined GWAS results from ALSPAC and NFBC1986 to identify persistent associations. An overview of the study and its components is presented in Figure 1 and Supplementary Material, Figure S1.

Primary Analysis

ALSPAC GWAS

Assuming an additive genetic model, the estimated variance explained by genotype across all variants in ALSPAC was estimated to be 1.6% (standard error (SE) = 0.08), corresponding to an estimated narrow-sense heritability of 10% (SE = 0.05, 12–14). There was evidence for association at genome-wide significance (P-value = 5 × 10^{-8}) at 55 SNPs (Supplementary Material, Figures S2, S3 and Supplementary Material, Table S1) across chromosomes 16 (Fig. 2) and 9 (Supplementary Material, Figure S4). The lead SNP on chromosome 16 was rs13337037 (odds ratio (OR) of glycosuria per A allele: 1.42; 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.30, 1.56; P-value = 1.97 × 10^{-13}; minor allele frequency (MAF) = 28%; Fig. 2) and the only SNP on chromosome 9 was rs10991823 (OR of glycosuria per T allele: 1.47; 95% CI: 1.29, 1.68; P-value = 4.02 × 10^{-4}; MAF = 10%; Supplementary Material, Figure S4). The lead SNP on chromosome 16 is part of a block of 17 SNPs in high linkage disequilibrium (LD, R^2 > 0.8; Supplementary Material, Figure S4) surrounding the MAF gene. Conditional analysis did not reveal any additional associations on either chromosome.

The correlation between self-reported glycosuria and glycosuria determined by reagent strip was 0.31 (P-value = 2.2 × 10^{-16}; Supplementary Material, Table S2). Logistic regression showed the association of rs13337037 with glycosuria was stronger (with overlapping confidence intervals) when glycosuria was determined by reagent strip (OR per A allele of a positive reagent strip test result: 1.64; 95% CI: 1.35, 2.00; P-value = 6.72 × 10^{-7}). The corresponding OR for rs10991823 was 1.10 (OR per T allele of a positive reagent strip test result: 1.10; 95% CI: 0.82, 1.48; P-value = 0.51).

Secondary analysis

In a GWAS performed in NFBC1986 using offspring genotype and maternal midwife-reported glycosuria, there was evidence for an association (P-value = 5 × 10^{-8}) at 49 SNPs on chromosome 6 (Supplementary Material, Figures S5, S6 and Supplementary Material, Table S3). To evaluate the persistence of signal across the two cohorts, association results were combined. This revealed evidence for a consistent effect on glycosuria at rs13337037 on chromosome 16 only (Z-score: 7.833; P-value: 4.75 × 10^{-13}; effect allele = A; MAF = 27%) (Supplementary Material, Figures S7, S8 and Supplementary Material, Table S4). The effect estimate for rs13337037 in NFBC1986 was OR: 1.25 (5% CI: 1.12, 1.38; P-value: 9.8 × 10^{-4}; effect allele = A; MAF = 26%). The re-scaling of this effect size to approximate the allelic effect in mothers (15,16), as in ALSPAC, gave an OR of 1.57 (95% CI, 1.30, 1.83). Results of analyses undertaken to test the validity of this re-scaling are presented in Supplementary Information and Supplementary Material, Table S5.

Additional analysis

Overlap of genome-wide significant SNPs in ALSPAC with other GWASs. None of the SNPs reaching genome-wide significance (P-value < 5 × 10^{-8}) in the primary analysis showed evidence of association with fasting insulin (8), fasting glucose (8), HbA1c (9), type 2 diabetes (10), BMI (17) or estimated glomerular filtration rate (11) at a genome-wide level of significance (Supplementary Material, Table S5). In a comparison of effect estimates of SNPs associated with each of these traits and the estimates for the same SNPs in our GWAS of glycosuria, the strongest correlation was found with estimated glomerular filtration rate (r^2 = −0.44; 95% CI: −0.54, −0.34; P-value = 5.78 × 10^{-13}), with weaker correlations found for type 2 diabetes (r^2 = 0.08; 95% CI = 0.04, 0.13; P-value = 0.0005) and HbA1c (r^2 = −0.07; 95% CI = −0.14, −0.001; P-value = 0.046) (Supplementary Material, Figure S9).
Figure 1. Analysis overview. The flowchart provides an overview of GWAS follow-up analysis.

Figure 2. Regional association plot of lead association on chromosome 16 (rs13337037) in ALSPAC GWAS. P-values (on a −log10 scale) in ALSPAC are shown. Each SNP is coloured according to the degree of LD with the lead SNP rs13337037 (shown as a purple diamond). LD values are from the 1000 Genomes (March 2012 release) European population (created using locuszoom.org build: hg19).

Linkage disequilibrium score regression. Of the 832 traits available in LD Hub (date accessed: 19/02/2019), genetic correlations were not returned for 81 traits, with a further two traits showing correlations above 1 and below −1 (LDSR mean Chisq = 1.022). Our main focus was on trait categories from LD Hub that were a priori related to glycosuria (anthropometric, cardiometabolic, glycaemic, kidney, reproductive), and results for these are shown in Supplementary Material, Table S6. Of the 43 traits from the categories of interest, those that showed the strongest genetic correlation with our glycosuria trait were urinary
albin-to-creatinine ratio in non-diabetes ($R_s = 0.7$; $SE = 0.24$; $P$-value = 0.004) and urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio ($R_s = 0.64$; $SE = 0.22$; $P$-value = 0.004).

**Functional informatics.** We passed the rs13337037-defined signal (including SNPs in high LD) through the Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor. All SNPs were existing variants with the ‘MODIFIER’ impact annotation, suggesting they are non-coding variants or variants affecting non-coding genes (Supplementary Material, Table S7). rs13337037 is a non-coding variant downstream of ARMC5 (a member of the ARM (armadillo/beta-catenin-like repeat) superfamily implicated in mediation of protein–protein interactions (18)) and upstream of TGFBI1 (coactivator of the androgen receptor (19)) and SLC5A2; there was a weak evidence of an impact on any of these genes from the lead SNP (Supplementary Material, Table S7).

In GTEx, there was evidence that rs13337037 acts as a cis-eQTL (expression quantitative trait locus) for a number of nearby genes, including ARMC5, TGFBI1, SLC5A2 and ZNF843 across a number of different tissues (Supplementary Material, Table S8).

**Discussion**

In a GWAS analysis of glycosuria during pregnancy conducted in ALSPAC (a European longitudinal cohort), we identified an association between a block of common SNPs with high LD surrounding multiple genes (ARMC5, TGFBI1, SLC5A2 and C16orf58) on chromosome 16 and self-reported phenotype. When examining the relationship between rs13337037 and glycosuria determined by reagent strip, we found consistent evidence for association despite differences in sample size and the frequency of glycosuria status. Each A allele of rs13337037 was associated with a 47% increased risk of self-reported glycosuria and a 64% increased risk of glycosuria determined by reagent strip. While we lacked fully replicable datasets for our GWAS of self-reported glycosuria, we instead made use of offspring genotyping as a predictor for maternal genotype in the NFBC1986 collection. The only signal that persisted in efforts to filter out chance was that at rs13337037 on chromosome 16.

rs13337037 lies 15 kb upstream of SLC5A2 and, while it is difficult to assert a direct relationship between neighbouring loci and signal at specific SNPs (20), evidence has implicated SLC5A2 in familial renal glycosuria (21,22). SLC5A2 encodes a low-affinity, high-capacity Na+/-glucose cotransporter (SGLT2, sodium glucose cotransporter 2) (23). For the other genes surrounding the lead SNP on chromosome 16, their relation to glycosuria is unclear: ARMC5 is implicated in mediation of protein–protein interactions (18) and may act as a tumour suppressor (24) (date accessed: 02/22/2019—https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/79798); TGFBI1 encodes a protein that acts as a coactivator of the androgen receptor (19) and which may be involved in prostate cancer (25) (date accessed: 02/22/2019—https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/7041); C16orf58 is not well characterized but is thought to be involved in protein–protein interaction (date accessed: 02/22/2019—https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/64755).

Sodium glucose cotransporters mediate the reabsorption of glucose in the kidney, with the SLC5A2-encoded SGLT2 responsible for 90% of this glucose reabsorption and SGLT1 (high affinity and low capacity) responsible for the remaining ~10% (23). Studies show SGLT2 levels are increased in diabetic patients compared with non-diabetics, though the mechanism for this is not understood (2). In adults with type 2 diabetes, pharmaceutical targeting of renal glucose reabsorption by inhibition of SGLT2 can be successful at improving glycaemic control via excretion of glucose in urine (26). These gliflozins inhibitors act independently of insulin, providing a novel therapeutic avenue (26). Aberrant expression of SGLT2 is known to result in glycosuria (23), though, due to mutations within the SLC5A2 locus mostly being within families, common mutations have yet to be identified. In GTEx, there is evidence that rs13337037 acts as a cis-eQTL for SLC5A2.

In a clinical setting, the relationship between glycosuria during pregnancy and other related metabolic traits such as fasting blood glucose and type 2 diabetes (either during pregnancy or more generally) is unclear. In our exploration of the shared genetic contribution to glycosuria during pregnancy and other metabolic traits, we found that while there was no overlap in genome-wide significant hits across the traits, estimates of shared heritable contribution (i.e. considering genetic effects across the entire genome) identified urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio as the most strongly correlated trait. While the LDSR approach used for these analyses provides only an approximation of genetic correlation and results should ideally be followed up by more detailed analyses (27), these preliminary findings suggest that renal function may be an important component of glycosuria.

**Limitations**

We used a glycosuria measurement that was retrospectively reported by mothers in pregnancy for our main ALSPAC analyses. This is likely to be reported with error and will likely include women with very different levels of glycosuria (for example, it may include women who were told they had ‘a trace of sugar’ in their urine as well as those with higher levels). This is supported by the higher prevalence of glycosuria defined by retrospective report compared with the definition used for glycosuria determined by reagent strip of at least ‘++’ on at least two occasions from all glycosuria measures in antenatal records (20% versus 4%). However, these two measures were correlated. Any misclassification of this maternal retrospective report is likely to be non-differential by genotype (as the women will not know their genotype) and so would be expected to attenuate any genome-wide results towards the null. This is consistent with our finding that the lead SNP was more strongly associated with the reagent strip measure of glycosuria than with the retrospective report.

In the absence of other studies with both glycosuria assessed during pregnancy and corresponding associated genome-wide genetic data, we were limited in our ability to perform an independent replication of the GWAS performed in ALSPAC. However, we were able to look for persistent signal using results from a GWAS performed in NFBC1986 using offspring genotype. It has been suggested that allelic effect estimates derived in this way can be re-scaled (multiplied by 2) to give an approximation of the allele effect in the mother. However, given that this re-scaling is based on expectation, one can expect the actual multiplication factor, in any given instance, to vary around this expectation. Furthermore, we did not carry out a comprehensive validation of this approach within the context of this study, where additional issues such as the potential for a direct effect of offspring genotype on maternal glycosuria phenotype could be relevant. Therefore, while the combined results indicate persistence of the primary signal across the two datasets and we see reasonable agreement with respect to the magnitude of effect in the two studies, our results should be treated with caution until replicated, preferably in independent large cohorts where both maternal genotype and phenotype are available.
Summary

Our GWAS of reported glycosuria during the third trimester of pregnancy has identified associations of genetic variants on chromosome 16 near multiple genes, including SLC5A2, which has been implicated in familial renal glycosuria and the gene product of which is the target of some type 2 diabetes drugs (glibizides, e.g. Dapagliflozin). Furthermore, hypothesis-free genetic correlation analysis suggested genetic loci underpinning glycosuria susceptibility may be linked with kidney disease, reflecting the joint role of circulating glucose and renal function on glycosuria and the possible effect of hyperglycaemia on renal function. This shared heritability suggests distinguishing gestational diabetes from other presentations of diabetes or kidney disease may not be possible. Further replication of these findings is important.

Materials and Methods

ALSPAC overview

Participants were mothers from ALSPAC, a large prospective cohort study that recruited 14,541 pregnancies in the former Avon Health Authority area in South West England, with expected delivery dates between the 1st April 1991 and the 31st December 1992 (28,29) (see Supplementary Information, ALSPAC Overview, for full details). The study website (http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/) contains details of all the data that is available through a fully searchable data dictionary and variable search tool (http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/our-data/). Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee and the Local Research Ethics Committees (http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/research-ethics/). Informed consent for the use of data collected via questionnaire and clinics was obtained from participants following recommendations of the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee at the time. Full details of the ALSPAC consent procedures are available on the study website (http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/research-ethics/).

ALSPAC phenotype data

Self-reported glycosuria. We used two measures of glycosuria in ALSPAC (Supplementary Material, Figure S1). Firstly, mothers were sent a pregnancy-related self-report questionnaire approximately 8 weeks after the end of their pregnancy, which included the following question: ‘During the last months of pregnancy (from seven months onwards) did you experience sugar in urine?’ with possible answers ‘yes, in the last months of pregnancy’, ‘no, not in the last months of pregnancy’ and ‘don’t know’. In total, 11,710 women returned the questionnaire and 11,660 (99%) answered this question, with 2389 (21%) responding ‘yes, in the last months of pregnancy’. Of the 11,660 women who answered the glycosuria question, 7429 (64%) had genetic data and, of these, 1519 (20%) self-reported having glycosuria.

At recruitment, women were also asked about existing diabetes and any previous history of gestational diabetes. Women were classified into one of four mutually exclusive categories: no evidence of glycosuria or diabetes (n = 11,773), existing diabetes before the pregnancy (n = 47), gestational diabetes (i.e. a diagnosis written in the medical records of gestational diabetes in any woman with no history of existing diabetes; n = 57) and glycosuria (i.e. ++ glycosuria on two occasions in women with no evidence of existing or gestational diabetes; n = 404) (4,5). Of the 7429 women with phenotype and genetic data, we excluded those without information on pre-existing diabetes and gestational diabetes and glycosuria, and those with pre-existing diabetes or gestational diabetes, leaving 7089 (61% of 11,660 respondents), of whom, 1399 (20%) self-reported having glycosuria. After excluding women who had withdrawn consent for the phenotype and genetic data, and related individuals, 6639 (93%) women had self-reported glycosuria data and genotype data. Finally, we removed women who did not have information on our second measure of glycosuria (glycosuria determined by reagent strip), leaving 6389 (96%); 55% of 11,660 women of whom 1249 (20%) self-reported having glycosuria.

Reagent strip defined glycosuria. Due to potential misreporting in the postnatal questionnaire response, we also generated a variable based on objectively measured reagent strip tests of pregnancy glycosuria (glycosuria determined by reagent strip). Information on glycosuria (recorded in the obstetric records as none, trace, +, ++, +++ or more) was abstracted from the records of each antenatal clinic visit made by the woman (median number, 12 per woman (interquartile range: 9–14)). Glycosuria was defined as a record of at least ++ (equal to 13.9 mmol/l or 250 mg/100 mL) on at least two occasions at any time during the pregnancy. In total, 12,281 women had information on reagent strip defined glycosuria.

Of the 12,281 women with information on reagent-strip-determined glycosuria, we excluded those without information on pre-existing diabetes and gestational diabetes and glycosuria, and those with pre-existing diabetes or gestational diabetes. Of the remaining 12,177 women, 404 (3%) fulfilled our criteria for glycosuria and 7652 (63%) had available genetic data. Of the 7652 women, 261 (3%) fulfilled our criteria for glycosuria. After excluding women withdrawing consent for the phenotype and genetic data, as well as related individuals, 6866 (91%) women had data on glycosuria determined by reagent strip and genotype data, of which 227 (3%) fulfilled our criteria for glycosuria.

ALSPAC genotype data

Imputation of ALSPAC mother’s genetic data was performed on a combined mother and child data set using Impute2 against the 1000 Genomes Phase 1 reference panel (30). ALSPAC mothers were genotyped using the Illumina human660W-quad array at Centre National de Génotypage (CNG). For ALSPAC mothers, SNPs with a minor allele frequency (MAF) of <1%, a call rate of <95%, or evidence for violations of Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (P < 1 × 10−6) were removed. ALSPAC children were genotyped using the Illumina HumanHap550 quad chip genotyping platforms by 23andMe subcontracting the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Cambridge, UK and the Laboratory Corporation of America, Burlington, NC, USA. For ALSPAC children, SNPs with MAF of <1%, a call rate of <95% or evidence for violations of Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (P < 5 × 10−7), were removed. In total, we removed 1946 individuals based on relatedness and withdrawal of consent for both genotype and the phenotype data, leaving 15,896 individuals for further analysis, of which 7921 were mothers. See Supplementary Information for full details on ALSPAC genetic data and imputation.

NFBC1986 overview

The Northern Finland Birth Cohort 1986 (NFBC1986) is a prospective birth cohort that recruited women in the two northernmost provinces of Finland (Oulu and Lapland) with an expected delivery date between 1st July 1985 and 30th June 1986. A total of 9362 mothers were included in the study, resulting in 99%...
of all eligible births in the region being recruited (n = 9432 live
born children) (31).[Supplementary Material, Figure S1]. Informed
written consent was obtained from all participants, and the
research protocols were approved by the Ethics Committee of
Northern Ostrobothnia Hospital District, Finland.

NFBC1986 phenotype data
Data were gathered prospectively at the first antenatal clinic
visit and onwards (mean gestational age at inclusion = 12 weeks).
Mothers provided information on maternal background via
self-report questionnaires. Data on antenatal visits, hospital
admissions and birth outcomes were obtained from maternity
health centres and hospital medical records. Midwives were
given questionnaires to complete during the mother’s last visit
to the antenatal care unit or during the first home visit after
the delivery, which included the following question: ‘urinary
glucose’ with possible answers ‘+’ (equivalent to yes) and ‘−’
(equivalent to no) (31). Pregnancy questionnaires are available
at the NFBC1986 pregnancy and antenatal data website (https://
www.oulu.fi/nfbc/node/18143), with information on glycosuria
reported in ‘Pregnancy Questionnaire II (yellow form)’, question
7.

Of the 9362 women included in the study, 9336 had data
available on midwife-reported glycosuria. Of these 9336, 1789
(19%) were reported with ‘+’. Of the 9336 women with available
midwife-reported glycosuria, 3738 (40%) had quality-controlled
offspring genetic data available and, of these mothers, 747 (20%)
were reported as having glycosuria.

For this GWAS, maternal genotype data were not available
and so we tested for an association of offspring genotype with
midwife-reported glycosuria as our main outcome.

NFBC1986 genotype data
In the absence of genetic data for the mothers in NFBC1986,
we used offspring genome-wide data, as a proxy for mater-
nal genotype in this cohort. NFBC1986 children were invited to
clinic at age 16 and 3834 individuals with consent were geno-
typed using the Illumina OmniExpressExome-8v1.2 Chip and the
GenomeStudio algorithm. Quality control comprised of exclu-
sions based on sex mismatch, outlying heterozygosity, duplicate
samples and relatedness, after which genotype data were avail-
able for 3743 individuals—five of which had no information on
mother’s glycosuria during pregnancy. After excluding SNPs not
in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (P < 0.0001) or with low call rate
(<0.99), imputation was performed using Impute2 against the
1000 Genomes Phase 3 reference panel (30). The total number of
individuals with genotype and phenotype information was 3738
and of these 747 (20%) had glycosuria.

Primary analysis
ALSPAC GWAS. The total number of variants after imputa-
tion equalled 28699509. Prior to genome-wide analysis, SNPs were
filtered based on an info score threshold of ≥0.3 and a MAF
threshold of ≥0.01, leaving 9323831 variants in the analysis.
Testing an additive genetic model, we carried out a GWAS of
imputed data on self-reported glycosuria in the third trimester of
pregnancy (1249 cases and 5140 controls) using logistic regres-
sion and adjusting for the top 10 principal components of genetic
ancestry to control for potential confounding by population
stratification in SNPTEST (v2.5.2). We present all GWAS results
and focus on those that satisfy a conventional genome-wide
significance threshold of P-value ≤5 × 10⁻⁸. Full details of how
the GWAS was performed, including how principal components
were generated, are provided in the Supplementary Informa-
tion. We estimated the proportion of variance explained by all SNPs
in our GWAS using GCTA (v1.26.0) (12–14). We carried out condi-
tional analysis with the lead SNPs coded as an additive effect for
evidence of secondary signals on the same chromosome using
SNPTEST (v2.5.2) and appraised the pattern of association at our
lead SNPs using LocusZoom (32).

Within-cohort validation. We examined the relationship between
self-reported glycosuria and glycosuria determined by reagent
strip performing a Pearson’s correlation test in R (33) (version
R-3.5). We investigated the relationship between glycosuria
determined by reagent strip and the lead SNPs, assessing the
odds per effect allele of a positive response for reagent strip
glycosuria using logistic regression in R.

Secondary analysis
NFBC1986 GWAS. The total number of variants after imputa-
tion equalled 81571831. We performed a logistic regression
GWAS using SNPTEST (version 2.5) with the mother’s glycosuria
in pregnancy as the outcome and offspring’s imputed genotype
as the predictor of interest, assuming an additive model and
including the top four multidimensional scaling (MDS) coordi-
nates as covariates to adjust for population stratification (34). We
filtered results based on an info score threshold of ≥0.3
and an MAF threshold of ≥0.01, resulting in 9873828 variants.
We present all GWAS results and focus on those that satisfy a
conventional genome-wide significance threshold of P-value ≤5
× 10⁻⁸.

Based on quantitative genetics theory (i.e. the rules of inher-
ance) and assuming an additive genetic effect, we expected
that any allelic effect estimated in the NFBC1986 analysis (i.e.
using offspring genotype in place of mother’s own) would be
smaller compared to that observed using own genotype. More
specifically, it has previously been suggested that the average
(expected) allelic effect of one allele in the offspring (on the
parental phenotype) is half the effect that would be observed
using the parent’s own genotype (15,16). Further consideration
of the validity of this re-scaling approach in the context of this
study is presented in the Supplementary Information.

Combined analysis. In order to evaluate the persistence of signal
across the two cohorts, association results from the two cohorts
were combined. Given the different designs of the two analyses
(i.e. the substitution of offspring genotype for mother’s genotype
in the NFBC1986 GWAS), we performed a sample size-based
approach in which the direction of effect and P-value observed
in each study are converted into a signed Z-score indicating
the strength and direction of effect (35). Z-scores for each allele
were then combined across studies in a weighted sum, with
weights proportional to the square root of the effective sample
size for each study. We present all combined results and consider
any associations reaching a conventional genome-wide signifi-
cance threshold of P-value ≤5 × 10⁻⁸ as providing evidence of a
persistent signal.

Additional analysis
Overlap of genome-wide significant SNPs in ALSPAC with other
GWASs. To investigate evidence of overlap of association
between glycosuria and glucose regulation and renal function
traits, we examined whether SNPs associated with glycosuria in pregnancy in ALSPAC are also associated with the following traits at a genome-wide significance threshold of $P$-value $\leq 5 \times 10^{-8}$: HBA1c (9), fasting insulin (8), fasting glucose (8), type 2 diabetes (10), BMI (17), estimated glomerular filtration rate (11) (see Supplementary Information for full details of summary level data used). In addition, we explored concordance between effect estimates for SNPs reaching genome-wide significance ($P$-value $\leq 5 \times 10^{-8}$) extracted from GWAS for the aforementioned traits and effect estimates for the same SNPs in our GWAS of glycosuria. Data were visualized in scatter plots and concordance assessed via Pearson’s product-moment correlation. The numbers of SNPs included in this analysis were as follows: type 2 diabetes = 1792, estimated glomerular filtration rate = 4196, BMI = 1860, fasting glucose = 290 and HBA1c = 821.

**Linkage disequilibrium score regression.** Using results from the ALSPAC GWAS, we estimated the genetic correlation of glycosuria with the 832 available traits in LD Hub (http://ldsc.broadinstitute.org/ldhub/) using linkage disequilibrium (LD) score regression (LDSR) (36).

**Functional informatics.** To identify potential functional roles of lead SNPs, we examined the effects of lead SNPs and SNPs in high LD ($R^2 \geq 0.8$) on genes and regulatory regions via the Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor (37). We examined the effect of lead SNPs in GTEx (20).

**Supplementary material**

**Supplementary Material** is available at HMG online.

**Data and Material Availability**

Data are available from the Northern Finland Birth Cohort (NFBC) for researchers who meet the criteria for accessing confidential data. Please contact NFBC project centre (NFBCprojectcenter@oulu.fi) and visit the cohort website (www.oulu.fi/nfbc) for more information.

Code for the analysis and visualization of the data is available at: https://github.com/matthewlee821/001_glycosuria_GWAS

Summary statistics from GWAS are available at data.bris.ac.uk—https://doi.org/10.5523/bris.9ytsikub658257be61rizzog

ALSPAC data used for this submission will be made available on request to the ALSPAC Executive via the website, which also provides full details and distributions of the ALSPAC study variables: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/study-variables/. The ALSPAC data management plan (available here: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/data-access/documents/alspac-data-management-plan.pdf) describes in detail the policy regarding data sharing. A sample set of similar data containing relevant ALSPAC variables is available from the European Genome-phenome Archive (accession number: EGAS00001000090): https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ega/studies/EGA S00001000090.
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