Assessing the potential of Sentinel-2 data for tracking invasive water hyacinth in a river branch
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Abstract. Water hyacinth (Eichhornia crassipes) has become a threat to many aquatic environments worldwide. This aquatic weed presents a rapid reproductive capacity and outcompetes other aquatic plant species, forming dense, free-floating mats, which in many instances completely cover fresh-water surfaces. The infestation leads to several serious environmental (including ecological and socioeconomic) impacts that are hazardous to aquatic systems, disables human uses of surface waters, and affects hydraulic infrastructures (e.g., waterways and pumping stations). Our study explores the use of remote sensing tools to monitor and categorize the spread of water hyacinth, aiming at new insights into the detection, observation, and mapping of this invasive plant using vegetation indices and water indices calculated from multispectral data from satellite Sentinel-2, such as normalized difference vegetation index and normalized difference water index. The approach uses spatiotemporal information and has the potential to contribute to inform planners and decision-makers that are concerned with managing the plant by applying integrated measures. The case study deals with a small water course located in the downstream part of the Mondego river valley in Portugal, a country where water hyacinth is widely spread and constitutes a major problem, mainly in the irrigated agricultural areas of the Tagus, Sado, Mondego, and Lis rivers’ basins. © The Authors. Published by SPIE under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Distribution or reproduction of this work in whole or in part requires full attribution of the original publication, including its DOI. [DOI: 10.1117/1.JRS.16.014511]
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1 Introduction

Water hyacinth, botanically known as Eichhornia crassipes (Mart.) Solms-Laubach, is a perennial aquatic weed that has become a threat to many aquatic environments worldwide. This aquatic freshwater plant, which is native to the Amazon basin in South America, has spread to other parts of the world since the 19th century, remaining the most troublesome aquatic weed both locally (across the areas affected) and globally. The International Union for Conservation of Nature rates water hyacinth as one of the hundred most harmful invasive species.

Eichhornia crassipes is now found in all continents except Antarctica and has invaded all tropical and subtropical countries as well as some parts of the Mediterranean basin. The plant grows fast at air temperatures from 20°C to 30°C, but growth stops at low temperatures, approximately below 15°C. Water hyacinth can tolerate pH up to 6 to 8 and eutrophic, still, or slow-moving freshwater systems. The species can grow and reproduce throughout the year, although flowering occurs mostly during spring and summer. Water hyacinth is a member of the monocotyledons family Pontederiaceae and reproduces asexually using stolons and sexually by seeds. It is one of the most productive photosynthetic plants in the world. It presents a rapid multiplicative capacity that enables it to double its biomass in 6 to 14 days under favorable growth (climatic and water) conditions.
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Its emergent to free-floating nature makes water hyacinth a very effective competitor in newly invaded freshwater ecosystems. It outcompetes other aquatic plant species and forms dense, free-floating mats (Fig. 1), which in many instances completely cover freshwater surfaces, such as lakes, rivers, wetlands, and dams’ reservoirs. Its presence and distribution dominate and suppress submersed vegetation, which are rooted plants with most of their vegetative mass below the water surface, and it outcompetes phytoplankton for nutrients and sunlight. The uncontrolled expansion of water hyacinth and the ubiquity of the subsequent eutrophication level in freshwater ecosystems are attributed to natural phenomena. Its excessive growth causes various environmental (including ecological and socioeconomic) impacts that are hazardous to aquatic systems and disable human uses of surface waters. It causes hypoxia, threatens freshwater quality and availability, worsens the quality of freshwater ecosystems, including the quality of the aquatic life, by causing changes in macroinvertebrate species richness and biodiversity loss, and promotes breeding grounds for pests and vectors. Moreover, the expansion of water hyacinth obstructs river flows and irrigation systems, thus increasing flood risk and hindering navigation and recreational water activities. A correct understanding of the spatiotemporal distribution and configuration of water hyacinth, which affect the impact of infestations, is therefore essential for effective management of water bodies, particularly in supporting river flood risk modeling. In addition, water hyacinth invasions choke dams’ reservoirs and lakes. In places with hydroelectric dams, the invasion has led to damaged generators and coolers, resulting in the reduction of hydropower generation and threats to the electricity supply. Also, its biomass interferes with pumping stations for agricultural and domestic water supplies. The weed also reduces the flow of water through irrigation canals by 40% to 95% and promotes water loss through evapotranspiration.

The propagation of water hyacinth and the corresponding threat to freshwater ecosystems requires immediate attention; plant monitoring would make it possible to understand the spatial coverage of water bodies by water hyacinth and to adopt suitable management practices. However, the use of traditional field surveys and associated methods in monitoring water hyacinth infestations have proven costly, time-consuming, labor intensive, and limited in terms of spatial coverage.

The presence of water hyacinth in water bodies in Portugal, in the Sado River basin, was first reported in 1939, but it emerged also in other areas. Water hyacinth has reached its major expansion on the Tagus River basin, a problem that likely started in 1974; probable causes include the release of large amounts of plant mass from the Belver Lagoon. Nowadays, the infestation by water hyacinth is widespread in the central region of Portugal, whereas it seems that in the north of the country, the plant cannot survive due to its high sensitivity to low
temperatures. This invasive plant became a problem in natural aquatic systems and agricultural hydraulic systems, such as Reserva do Paúl de Boquilobo, in the Golegã region, and the drainage and irrigation channels of the irrigated agricultural areas of the Tagus, Sado, Mondego, and Lis River basins (Fig. 1).

The main objectives of this research are to explore alternative methodologies to monitor and categorize the spread of water hyacinth and introduce new insights into the detection, observation, and mapping of invasive water hyacinth using vegetation and water indices calculated from multispectral remote sensing (RS) data from satellite Sentinel-2 (S2). This study focuses on a small water body located in the Mondego River (Portugal), which is part of the main drainage system of the lower Mondego agricultural area.

2 Remote Sensing in Supporting Water Bodies Monitoring

Particularly during the last decade, the increasing availability of open-source satellite data has created new possibilities for low-cost, large-scale monitoring of water bodies. Several studies show that satellite data have the ability to capture the spatial and temporal distribution of aquatic macrophytes using timely and cost-effective approaches. Furthermore, the continual coverage offered by satellite sensors provides spatial data for both short- and long-term monitoring, which are crucial for identifying and assessing the strengths and weaknesses of applied environmental management measures.

RS techniques are economically effective and unconstrained by the size of water bodies or any other geographical barrier; corresponding data collection and analyses are far less time-consuming than for other observational approaches used to inspect water bodies. Several studies discuss that multispectral RS tools are able to locate, identify, and provide enough details on the invasion level of alien plant species in time and space and assist in mapping and monitoring invasive weed outbreaks in certain ecosystems. Specifically, available medium spatial resolution sensors, e.g., Landsat 8 and S2, provide suitable data for monitoring hydrological components at a local scale. Such sensors are able to better detect the spatial distribution of invasive plant species and temporal dynamic changes in their incursion level, compared to classical ground-based surveys. Moreover, the frequent revisiting rates of satellite sensors provides long-term records that are useful to support decisions on the application of control measures, since the observations make it possible to assess the effectiveness of those measures. This information is valuable for governmental and environmental management agencies and decision makers, as well as for local communities.

To ensure the sustainability of regional or catchment scale monitoring of freshwater ecosystems, the development of sustainable methods to fight the spread of water hyacinth is critical. Given the spatial extent and the inaccessibility of some rivers and other water bodies, there is a pressing need to establish suitable technologies to assist in the geospatial characterization of this invasive species, offering appropriate spatial and temporal scales resolutions and monitoring skills. Multispectral RS seems to emerge as the primary data source for achieving this task with minimal costs. It provides operational tools that are cost-effective and can timely detect and map the spatial distribution and temporal dynamics of water hyacinth outbreaks across broad geographical areas. RS datasets can be used in diverse ways, either to help identifying areas at risk, to enhance our understanding of the seasonal behavior of this invasive plant, to predict its spatial-time distribution or patchiness, and to quantify its ecological and hydrological impacts.

The majority of the existing studies have focused on mapping water hyacinth in large water bodies (e.g., lakes and reservoirs), whereas studies focusing on small rivers are scarce. However, the latest developments in RS technology offer new perspectives and benefits for detecting and mapping the spatiotemporal distribution of water hyacinth in small water bodies, which will assist in the assessment, management, and follow up of this environmental problem in such type of water systems. Current RS technology provides opportunities to map and quantify the wider riverscape (i.e., water, sediment, and vegetation) at an unprecedented spatiotemporal resolution that can support several applications, such as fluvial geomorphology, riparian vegetation, and flood risk management. Unlike the broadband multispectral data, improved spectral and spatial resolution sensors, such as the recently launched 10-m spatial resolution S2 multispectral
instrument (MSI), have significantly enhanced research capabilities and the understanding of the spatial distribution of water hyacinth, especially in small freshwater bodies, which scale of interest has been so far beyond the reach of the typical larger resolution of the broadband multispectral sensors. The S2 MSI sensor is thus contributing greatly to detecting, mapping, and monitoring water hyacinth infestation and coverage at a river scale.9,70

Vegetation indices (VI) calculated from different spectral bands (obtained by distinct remote sensors) have been tested in mapping the spatial distribution of water hyacinth.21,27,59,63,71–73 Particularly, multitemporal data allow that the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI), which positively correlates with plants’ health or vigor, i.e., plants exhibiting concentrated green pigments or active photosynthetic rates, is used to monitor infestations of water hyacinth; its signal relates to a prominent level of reflectance in the near-infrared (NIR) spectral band.54,65

3 Materials and Methods

3.1 Study Area

The selected study area (36°56′39″N; 10°22′39″W) is a stretch of the old/abandoned bed of the Mondego River (Fig. 2), known as old Mondego River, located near the village of Ereira (Montemor-o-Velho, Portugal). This water body, which integrates the local drainage system, suffers currently from water hyacinth infestation. In the downstream end of this drain, where it joins the Foja River, there is a drainage pumping station (Foja Pumping Station). This pumping station discharges to the main bed of the Mondego River; its presence gives protection against the entry of brackish waters into the Foja River and the old Mondego River branch. Also its operation is crucial for preventing the flooding of the neighboring agricultural lands and small villages (Fig. 2), in case of high-water levels.

According to the Köppen-Geiger climate classification, the climate in the study area is temperate with dry and mild summers (Csb).74 For the period 1971 to 2000, data from the nearest weather station75 reveal that the area’s mean monthly precipitation varied between 128.9 mm in December and 9.6 mm in July; daily average temperature ranged from 9.7°C in winter to 20.8°C in summer (Fig. 3).

In recent years, mainly during summer, water hyacinth is strongly found in the old Mondego river, transforming the river channel between the village of Ereira and the Foja Pumping Station into an immense and thick green “carpet” (Fig. 4). Although local temperatures are lower than the water hyacinth optimum growth temperature (25°C to 30°C),76 this plant manages to grow and invade the old Mondego river. Also while it is known that this invasive plant is rather sensitive to high-water salinity, the Foja Pumping Station acts as a barrier for the intrusion of brackish water from the main bed of the Mondego River into these water courses, giving ground for favorable growth conditions of the plant.

Fig. 2 Location of the study area (red box) in the downstream stretch of the old Mondego River and the Foja Pumping Station, near the village of Ereira (Montemor-o-Velho, Portugal).
As expected, the strong variability in the rainfall input influences runoff and river flows; it, therefore, also influences the water flowing in the old Mondego river, which in turn impacts the water hyacinth distribution along the river channel, since high flows force the downstream movement of the water hyacinth plants.

The recurrent presence of this invasive plant in this water body, mainly near the Foja Pumping Station (Fig. 5), creates severe and harmful problems, not only in the summer but also...
during the winter. On the one hand, the presence of water hyacinth reduces the flow capacity of water courses. And, on the other hand, the pumping station is littered with water hyacinth and debris, which strongly hinders its operation and the necessary drainage of the water from both the Foja River and the old Mondego river.

A main consequence is the flooding of the village of Ereira and nearby agricultural areas. Due to the flood protection works carried out in the main bed of the Mondego River in the 1980s, and the proximity to the Atlantic Ocean that affects the water levels in the river (i.e., tidal effect), the operation of this pumping station as part of this area’s drainage system is of utmost importance: discharge by gravity is limited. Overall, the presence of water hyacinth in this area is not yet fully understood, which hinders the application of efficient measures to deal with this environmental and socioeconomic problem.

3.2 Data Sets and Preprocessing

RS data from satellites’ platforms have been increasingly incorporated in studies dedicated to improving the knowledge on the environment and used for environmental operational management purposes. A common application is the calculation of indices based on the opportunity given by this technology to capture spectral signals at spatial and temporal scales of interest across a range of applications. Examples of such spectral indices are vegetation and water indices.

The different vegetation reflectance bands’ data that are obtained from RS platforms, especially those that rely on the red, green, and NIR wavelength bands, are used to calculate indices that are effective in assessing different attributes of plants at the image’s pixel scale, which is determined by the characteristics of reflectance. Vegetation reflectance is low in both the blue and red regions of the visible spectrum, it peaks locally in the green region, and it is highest in the NIR range. Thus, by algebraically combining these bands in calculating VI, different spectral signatures are enhanced for different vegetation properties.

Thirty images (ortho-images in Universal Transverse Mercator/World Geodetic System-84 projection) extracted from S2 MSI RS 10-m spatial resolution data, which cover the entire study area, were used in this study; these images had <8% of clouds, however, they all offered cloud free views of the study area (thus no masking was applied). The images were downloaded from the online Sentinel Copernicus data hub and were acquired from the top of atmosphere reflectance MSI SA and S2B L1C files from the European Space Agency. Revisiting rate is about 5 days.

3.3 Methodology

The methodology used in this study to monitor and categorize the spread of the invasive water hyacinth plant is founded on the calculation of vegetation and water indices based on RS data (Sec. 3.2) and their analyses. Thus, the presence of the water weed will be supported by the calculation of the NDVI for studying the distribution of the plant in a selected water course and the normalized difference water index (NDWI) for assessing the free surface of the water body. These normalized indices are defined in Table 1 and take values between $-1.0$ and $+1.0$; both indices are derived using similar principles.

| Index                        | Abbreviation | Equation       | Formula using S2 bands | Attributes assessed                                                                 | References                        |
|------------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Normalized difference        | NDVI         | $\frac{\text{NIR} - \text{red}}{\text{NIR} + \text{red}}$ | $\text{band}_8 - \text{band}_4$/$\text{band}_8 + \text{band}_4$ | Biomass, canopy structure, leaf area, and chlorophyll content                      | Rouse Jr. et al., 1974            |
| vegetation index             |              |                |                        |                                                                                     |                                   |
| Normalized difference        | NDWI         | $\frac{\text{NIR} - \text{SWIR}}{\text{NIR} + \text{SWIR}}$ | $\text{band}_{8a} - \text{band}_{11}$/$\text{band}_{8a} + \text{band}_{11}$ | Plant nitrogen content and surface waters                                           | McFeeters, 1996                   |
| water index                  |              |                |                        |                                                                                     |                                   |
The NDVI is frequently used in agriculture, in particular to detect live green plant canopies, thus, displaying greenness. Its calculation is supported by the characteristics of two spectral bands: absorption of the chlorophyll pigment in the red spectral band (0.62 to 0.69 \(\mu m\)) and the high reflectance of plant materials in the NIR band (0.75 to 1.3 \(\mu m\)). Since high photosynthetic activity leads to lower values of reflectance coefficients in the red region of the spectrum and large values in the NIR region of the spectrum, the ratio between these indicators (Table 1) allows to clearly separate the vegetation from other natural objects. Thus NDVI is directly related to the plants’ photosynthetic capacity and hence energy absorption of plant canopies. As a result of the spectral analysis, this index has the potential to assess canopy growth or vigor and the density of vegetation. Within the value range of NDVI: (i) higher positive values indicate peak growth rates of live plants whereas lower positive values may reveal the existence of unhealthy plants; (ii) values around zero (−0.1 to 0.1) generally correspond to barren areas (e.g., rock and sand) or dead plants; (iii) negative values, approaching −1, correspond to water.\(^{64}\) One limitation in this index is that it shows low sensitivity to detect minor differences in high chlorophyll content and biomass, which is known as “saturation effect.”\(^{82,83}\) Saturation occurs when NDVI is applied to images over areas having dense vegetation, i.e., when the level of the leaf area index (LAI) becomes high (i.e., LAI ≥ 3).\(^{82}\)

The NDWI is usually used to delineate open water bodies and features and enhance their presence in remotely sensed digital imagery. The selection of the wavelengths used in NDWI (Table 1) maximizes the reflectance properties of water.\(^{84}\) That is: (i) it maximizes the typical reflectance of water features using green or short-wave infrared (SWIR) band wavelengths; (ii) it minimizes the low reflectance of NIR by water features; and (iii) it maximizes the high reflectance of NIR by vegetation and soil features. As a result, water features are enhanced by NDWI positive values, whereas null or negative NDWI values reveal the presence of vegetation and soil. Although the use of NDWI is found pertinent to studying water hyacinth covers over open water surfaces (OWSs), complementary to the NDVI analysis. Moreover, since NDWI varies almost linearly with liquid water thickness,\(^{81}\) it is, therefore, a relevant proxy for plant water stress and changes in surface water.

Calculation of NDVI based on S2 data (Sec. 3.2) used bands 4 and 8 and calculation of NDWI used bands 8A and 11 (Table 1). The Quantum Geographic Information System (QGIS 3) software was used to delimit the study area and calculate the NDVI and the NDWI for the data collected, including basic descriptive statistics.

Fusilli et al.\(^{87}\) estimated the aquatic vegetation cover in Lake Victoria based on the scaling of the NDVI; the method used assumes the separation of floating vegetation (FV) from sparse-submersed vegetation (SMV) and OWS, by applying suitable NDVI threshold values: values <0.4 were used to discriminate FV, values between 0.2 and 0.4 to identify SMV, and values lower than 0.2 to assess OWS. In this study, the same method was used aiming at the increased understanding of the water hyacinth infestation and coverage in the study area.

Complementary, the spectral water index NDWI was applied to the study area to identify water and nonwater surfaces. The separation between water and nonwater objects is allowed since free water surface areas generally have NDWI values >0, whereas vegetation areas have strong NDWI negative values. Thus the NDWI images’ classification into water and nonwater surfaces uses a NDWI threshold of zero.\(^{81}\)

An overview of the different stages of the methodology applied in this study is shown in the simplified flowchart in Fig. 6.

### 4 Results and Discussion

#### 4.1 Analyses of Sentinel-2 Data: NDVI and NDWI

For the exploratory appraisal of the recurrent presence of the water hyacinth invasive plant in the study area, the NDVI and NDWI were inspected for the period 2017 to 2021 (Fig. 7); the data concern a selected point (i.e., pixel, centered 40°8’55.22”N; 8°44’9.98”W) in the old Mondego River branch, in the vicinity of the Foja Pumping Station. The annual water hyacinth cycle of blooming, dominance, and decline is clearly shown in Fig. 7. The water hyacinth invasion and
corresponding high dominance in terms of water surface coverage is manifested by the higher NDVI values and, correspondingly, by the lower NDWI values. The decline in the presence of water hyacinth has been observed to be strongly linked to high flows that carry downstream the invasive weed found in the water course.

However, two situations can be highlighted in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b), corresponding to the water hyacinth “long” cycle in 2017 and “short” cycle in 2020, which are manifested by the NDVI and NDWI curves. It is noted that 2017 was an exceptionally warm year (the second warmest year since 1931), which was also extremely dry (it is among the four driest years since 1931); annual precipitation was about 60% of mean annual precipitation. The persistent marked precipitation anomaly was reduced in December 2017, but the drought situation continued, although with less severity. This could explain the particular NDVI behavior that traduces, namely, the dominant presence of water hyacinth in the study area during a longer period (i.e., the longer “plateau” in the NDVI curve for 2017), compared to other years, and the two-phase drop in the NDVI curve [Fig. 7(a)].

On the other hand, the situation revealed by the NDVI curve for 2020 has instead anthropogenic origin: the local government decided to clean up the old river channel between Ereira and the Foja Pumping Station, which significantly reduced in 2020 the presence of water hyacinth in the study area [see the shorter 2020’s “plateau” in the NDVI curve, in Fig. 7(a)]. Nevertheless, the infestation problem was not solved, since the presence of water hyacinth was re-established in the following favorable growth period of the plant, similar to previous years. Note that the NDVI and NDWI curves (Fig. 7) are roughly mirror images of each other, and that the former comments apply also, reversely, to the NDWI curve, i.e., its analysis confirms the profuse presence of the vegetation cover by water hyacinth in the water surface of the sampled area, over time.

Note, moreover, that the strong NDVI and NDWI signal fluctuations in Fig. 7 are likely related to variations in water inflow in this canal and changes in the water surface; these changes are influenced by the rainfall-runoff process (thus, by the variability in surface flows) and the impact of high discharges in forcing the invasive plants to relocate. This explanation is supported further by the overall intra-annual NDWI behavior that resembles the average pattern of annual precipitation distribution shown in Fig. 3 and, thus, also likely that of surface runoff.

For pursuing the detailed characterization of the behavior of the water hyacinth invasive plant found in the open water course selected, the NDVI and NDWI were calculated from S2 images.
for the period between January 2019 and February 2020, for a given sample area identified along a water course (Fig. 2). This area comprises a 1-km long stretch of the old Mondego river and covers \( \sim 6.5 \text{ ha} \); it is located immediately upstream of the Foja Pumping Station. The increase in the sampled area, in relation to the data in Fig. 7, led to a decrease in the availability of multi-temporal data, which follows from a reduction roughly from 60 to 30 images.

The selected NDVI images shown in Fig. 8 clearly reveal the presence of the water hyacinth in the studied water course (old Mondego river) and changes over time in this weed coverage in 2019/2020. The NDVI scale used in the maps in Fig. 8 applies a red-yellow-green palette to the NDVI-processed imagery, which is generally seen as more intuitive than other options: green signals healthy vegetation and red highlights areas lacking vegetation. In comparison to other satellites, the improved resolution of S2 allows for the more precise definition of surface details and makes NDVI a very useful index also for the study of small objects, such as small water courses. The study area is covered by 645 pixels.

Analysis of the multitemporal satellite data (Fig. 9) for this area indicates a rapidly increasing boost in the average NDVI at the beginning of summer, which reveals a strong outburst of water hyacinth and that this incursion of the invasive plant pertained until the second half of winter-time, when the NDVI also decreased abruptly. Average NDVI values varied from \(-0.08 \pm 0.27\) to \(0.91 \pm 0.05\), during the study period. Negative NDVI values and values close to zero indicate the presence of water surfaces. The highest NDVI values reveal that roughly from August to December 2019 the surface of the study area was massively covered by water hyacinth. This can also be observed in Fig. 8.

Fig. 7 Temporal variation of the presence of water hyacinth near the Foja Pumping Station (lower Mondego valley, Portugal), for the period 2017 to 2021, assessed using (a) NDVI and (b) NDWI (data source: Sentinel Hub EO Browser, 2021).
Complementary to the analysis of NDVI, the NDWI also contributed to investigate the presence of water and nonwater surfaces in the study area. Results in Fig. 9 show that average NDWI values, which varied between \(-0.84 \pm 0.04\) and \(0.09 \pm 0.32\) during the study period, dropped markedly in the beginning of the summer due to the rise of the water hyacinth’s surface percentage cover in the water course, and increased again pronouncedly in the end of January 2020. This result agrees with the finding that emerged from the analysis of NDVI.

The concurrent analysis of the two indices, NDVI and NDWI, illustrates that, in relation to NDVI, the presence of vegetation enhances the magnitude of this index, whereas the presence of open water features suppresses it because of the different ways in which these features reflect the red and NIR bands wavelengths. When/if the equation is reversed and the green band is used instead of the red band, as for NDWI (see Table 1), then the outcome for NDWI would also be reversed, i.e., the signal for vegetation is suppressed and for open water features is enhanced:

Fig. 8 NDVI maps illustrating the spatial distribution of water hyacinth in the study area (check the red box, along the old Mondego river) and showing the situation in the selected and nearby water courses, between March 2019 and February 2020. The mapping applies a red–yellow–green palette to the NDVI-processed imagery: green signals healthy vegetation and red highlights areas lacking vegetation.

Fig. 9 Average NDVI and NDWI time series for the selected river branch study area, revealing the intensity of the water hyacinth coverage in the selected stretch of the old Mondego river (Portugal) between January 2019 and February 2020.

Complementary to the analysis of NDVI, the NDWI also contributed to investigate the presence of water and nonwater surfaces in the study area. Results in Fig. 9 show that average NDWI values, which varied between \(-0.84 \pm 0.04\) and \(0.09 \pm 0.32\) during the study period, dropped markedly in the beginning of the summer due to the rise of the water hyacinth’s surface percentage cover in the water course, and increased again pronouncedly in the end of January 2020. This result agrees with the finding that emerged from the analysis of NDVI.

The concurrent analysis of the two indices, NDVI and NDWI, illustrates that, in relation to NDVI, the presence of vegetation enhances the magnitude of this index, whereas the presence of open water features suppresses it because of the different ways in which these features reflect the red and NIR bands wavelengths. When/if the equation is reversed and the green band is used instead of the red band, as for NDWI (see Table 1), then the outcome for NDWI would also be reversed, i.e., the signal for vegetation is suppressed and for open water features is enhanced:
water features lead to positive NDWI values while vegetation leads to zero or negative values.\cite{81}

Thus for the study area, the sudden drop to negative and low NDWI values (Figs. 7 and 9) and the period when such negative values are maintained correspond to the periods when, respectively, NDVI values increased and large NDVI values were maintained. This finding confirms the presence of high water hyacinth coverage in the water course during several months during the year.

Comparison of Figs. 7 and 9 also illustrates: (i) the effect of smaller sampling frequency in describing the time variability (here, related to the data availability) and (ii) the smoothing effect that results from spatial averaging over larger scales (i.e., from the pixel to the study area scale).

In particular, it is noticeable the different ranges of NDVI and NDWI values attained for the cases reported in Figs. 7 and 9: average NDVI and NDWI values in Fig. 9 are for the study area (6.5 ha), whereas the data in Fig. 7 are for the conditions in the one single pixel illustrated (10-m resolution).

4.2 Mapping of the Spatial Distribution of Water Hyacinth Using NDVI

The scaling of the NDVI in the study area was assessed based on 30 S2 images, using the methodology proposed by Ref. 87 that was described in Sec. 2.2. The results in Fig. 10 show that the FV component identified for the water hyacinth started to increase between May and June 2019, but that the growth rapidly speeded up in the beginning of July; the area covered by the invasive plant stayed almost stable during a long period of time, of several months, and only decreased in the middle of winter. The observed sudden drop in NDVI (thus the drop in the presence of water hyacinth) is found to be explained by the higher amount of water that usually flows through the river channel during the rainy season (triggered by high-intensity rainfall events) and not by the relatively slow drop in air temperature (Fig. 3). The SMV component remained relatively more constant during the study period, except during the period of summer until winter, where it drops to zero; this occurs due to the growth of the water hyacinth plant and the dominance of the over-water plant mass, which has been progressively included in the FV component during that period. The behavior manifested by the surface coverage corresponding to the OWS component was rather opposite to that of FV, i.e., OWS is negatively correlated with FV.

Several studies documented that the use of RS is more accurate on emergent and floating aquatic vegetation mapping than submersed aquatic vegetation.\cite{89,90} Submersed macrophytes have been reported to be distinguished more clearly by their lower absolute reflectance in the NIR, whereas other narrow hyperspectral channels are used to discriminate species on the basis of leaf optical properties and other biophysical or biochemical properties.\cite{63,91} This discussion is beyond the scope of this work.

![Fig. 10](image_url) 

**Fig. 10** Spatial and temporal variation of water hyacinth coverage in the selected stretch of the old Mondego river (Portugal), during 2019/2020, assessed by NDVI classification. Total studied area covers \( \sim 6.5 \) ha. Estimates of the percentage area coverage of the water surface are for FV (NDVI \( \geq 0.4 \)), SMV (0.2 \( < \) NDVI \( < \) 0.4), and OWS (NDVI \( \leq 0.2 \)).
5 Conclusions

Water hyacinth has substantial negative impacts on hydrology, socioeconomics, and aquatic ecosystems. This invasive aquatic weed has spread to almost all continents, and it affects water bodies in the Iberian Peninsula, in southern Europe; the situation in the downstream part of Mondego River (Portugal) is investigated in this study.

Results confirm that S2 MSI RS data has the potential to investigate the distribution of water hyacinth in small rivers, over time and across a defined area. They also suggest that scaling of this weed coverage based on NDVI classifiers is suitable for quantitative analysis due to the typical singularity of the vegetation cover of the water surface established by water hyacinth, especially in small water bodies.

Furthermore, it is expected that the approach used to assess the spatiotemporal distribution of water hyacinth based on RS data, and findings, might contribute to open new avenues in scientific research focusing on the modification of freshwater bodies, and on the impact of climate change and anthropogenic activities surrounding open water systems.

Although this study focuses on a small water body located in the lower Mondego valley (Portugal), in the neighboring Lis River basin (located to the south of the Mondego basin), the water hyacinth is also a threat to local hydraulic structures of the drainage system of the Lis Valley irrigation district, and performance of its infrastructures. However, the resolution of the available RS data hinders so far, the applicability of the proposed methodology to the Lis case study due to the smaller size of the water courses found in the Lis area. Overall, the unbalance between the scale of interest and the scale of observation available at the moment may introduce an unquantifiable bias in results. This issue will be addressed further in future research. It is also expected that technology developments will soon make available RS data of high enough resolution to deal with very small water courses, and that this scale issue will soon be overcome.

It is expected that these findings are helpful for managers and decision makers in defining and implementing appropriate strategies for monitoring and controlling the water hyacinth blooming and invasion of small rivers and lakes, and other larger water bodies. In particular, the opportunity for the early eradication (e.g., by mechanical methods) of the invasive plant could be more easily assessed and planned making use of available and valuable RS information from satellite platforms. Although water hyacinth infestations’ preventive strategies are best, once water bodies are infested, integrated measures are recommended to manage the aquatic weed.
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