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Abstract

Introduction: Because the reports in the literature of radiologic investigations for upper tract urothelial cancer (UTUC) are limited by the number of patients, and included patients with different pathologies, we aimed to study the overall accuracy of computed tomography (CT) in the diagnosis of UTUC and their accuracy on predicting tumor location.

Methods: A retrospective review from 1990 to 2017 included patients who were treated for UTUC. Unenhanced CT scan was obtained first using Multi-Detector Computed Tomography (MDCT, Philips Medical Systems), then nonionic contrast medium, containing 350 mg iodine/ml was injected at 4 mL/s. Analysis was performed using SPSS®.

Results: Of 275 patients, complete data on CT was available on 270 (98%) patients. CT reported only two false positive and six false negative results and the overall accuracy was 96-97%. In comparison to the final pathological reports, CT/CTU detected 85% of the tumor location of in the renal pelvic and 50% of the calyceal tumors. In ureteric tumors, they detected distal (66/71 = 93%) more than proximal ureteric tumors (60%).

Conclusion: In our cohort, CT/CTU has a high overall accuracy (97%) in diagnosing UTUC, capability to well visualize tumors of distal ureter and renal pelvis, but could miss calyceal tumors. The matter to rely only on CT without ureteroscopic biopsy in the diagnosis of UTUC especially if radical surgery is planned needs further prospective studies.
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INTRODUCTION

Multi-centricity and recurrence are key features of upper tract urothelial carcinoma (UTUC) with inherited differences from renal cell carcinoma. Hence, the role
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of diagnostic imaging in UTUC extends from the visualization of the entire excretory system at the time of the diagnosis to early detection of tumor recurrence during the follow-up. Subsequently, the necessity of having radiologic diagnostic tools for UTUC with higher accuracy certainly decreases the need for retrograde urography and ureteroscopy (URS). \[1\]

The reports in the literature on the accuracy of computed tomography (CT) on the diagnosis of UTUC are limited by the number of patients.\[1,2\] They have studied the accuracy in patients with diverse etiology, such as hematuria,\[3\] different renal pathology,\[1\] and enrolled patients with upper and lower urothelial tumors.\[4\] Others used retrograde urography and ureteroscopy as a referral and comparison\[5\] not the histopathology, which is the gold standard. In addition, there is only one report on the accuracy of CT on predicting tumor location on small number of patients.\[6\]

In our comprehensive analysis, we are reporting the accuracy of contrast-enhanced CT on a large series of patients at a tertiary referral Urology institute.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

After institutional review board approval, we retrospectively reviewed our ongoing database of patients who were surgically treated for UTUC from 1990 to 2017.

The preoperative evaluation included complete medical history, physical examination, and standard routine laboratory, as well as radiological investigations such as abdominal ultrasonography and intravenous urography (IVU).

Patients were instructed not to eat or drink for 3 h before examination. The examination was obtained using four rows MDCT scanner (high-speed advantage CT scanner) and 64-multislice helical CT scanner (Brilliance, Philips Medical System, Best, the Netherlands). Unenhanced CT scan was obtained. 120 mL of nonionic contrast medium, containing 320 mg iodine/mL, was injected as a single bolus at a flow rate of 4 mL/s. The multiphasic CT study included a noncontrast scan of the upper abdomen from the level of diaphragm to the iliac crest, corticomedullary (CM) phase after 25 s from the injection of contrast for the kidney, then, 50-s after CM phase, we obtained nephrographic phase. Excretory phase scan was done 10 min from contrast injection.

In our institute, the initial CT is usually reported by a specialist (4–5 years of experience), and verified and authorized by an associated consultant (over 8 years of experience). All the CT reports of the study population were reviewed again by a single consultant level.

We had performed cystoscopy, retrograde ureteropyelography and/or diagnostic ureteroscopy in a separate session and any concurrent bladder tumors were resected. Samples for upper tract (UT) cytologic examination were obtained by ureteric catheterization and flushing with 3–5 mL of the saline solution before contrast administration for retrograde pyelography. In addition, UT tumors were biopsied whenever it is feasible. Biopsies were obtained by URS, cup biopsy was performed in all patients using 3F cold cup biopsy forceps. The specimen was fixed in formalin and sent for pathological examination. Multiple samplings were taken, and the results were drown from the most representative ones. Standard management was radical nephroureterectomy, accomplished through open approach in the majority of the patients. Laparoscopy and nephron-sparing (open ureterectomy) which were performed in appropriately selected patients.

For tumor grading, the most conventional 3-tiered World Health Organization grading system was used to determine the pathologic grade by different pathologists.\[7\] The tumor was staged according to the 2010 tumour-node-metastasis classification. The tumor location was divided into three groups: Pelvicalyceal, ureteral, or both (pelvicalyceal and ureteric). A few patients were operated on in view of radiologically confirmed UTUC with negative pathological findings on biopsy.

Frequency and percentage were used for nominal and categorical variables. Sensitivity and specificity were initially calculated by correlating the results of different radiological modalities with the final histopathological criteria, but because the number of true negative cases was small (only one case) that could bias the results of specificity, the overall accuracy was used more frequently than sensitivity or specificity using the standard equations (true positive + true negative/total population). The analysis was performed using commercial computer software (IBM® SPSS®) version 21.

**RESULTS**

Out of 275 total patients who were initially diagnosed with UTUC, the study included 239 men (87%), and the tumor was left-sided in 167 cases (56%). The mean age of the study population was 59 ± 11 years (range, 26–85 years). The median follow-up period was 32 months (range,
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Ultrasonography was done for the majority of patients 265 (96%), and the most common findings were different degrees of hydronephrosis 132 (48%). IVU was done in 100 patients (36%) and the most common findings were filling defects 34 cases.

For CT Urography (CTU) protocol, hematuria and incidentally-discovered masses on US were the most common indication for imaging.

Complete data on CT was available on 270 (98%) patients, the sensitivity was 97% and the overall accuracy was 96%–97%, as shown in Table 2. Cross-tabulation between CT and pathological locations for renal urothelial tumors showed that CT detected (42/49 = 85%) of the renal pelvic and (12/20 = 60%) of calyceal tumors, Table 3. In ureteric tumors, CT was more effective in detecting distal ureteric tumors (84/90 = 93%) than proximal ones (16/27 = 60%), Table 4 and Figure 1a-c.

Eight cases were radiologically diagnosed with renal parenchymal tumors which were managed by radical nephrectomy. A second surgery for ureterectomy and bladder cuff excision was performed when the pathology confirmed urothelial cancer. Two of them were squamous cell carcinoma of the renal pelvis on final diagnosis.

Additionally, six cases couldn’t be diagnosed with imaging and reported to have transitional cell carcinoma (TCC) on final histopathology reports (false negative); 2 pelvicalyceal, and 4 ureteric tumors. Three cases had cytology and URS

---

### Table 1: Patients’ and tumors’ characteristics (n=275)

| Characteristics                      | Value (%) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| Gender                               |           |
| Male                                 | 239 (87)  |
| Female                               | 36 (13)   |
| Presentation                         |           |
| Hematuria                            | 151 (55)  |
| Flank pain                           | 24 (9)    |
| Hematuria and flank pain             | 74 (27)   |
| Incidentally-discovered              | 12 (4)    |
| Others                               | 14 (5)    |
| History of previous bladder tumor    | 46 (17)   |
| Concomitant bladder tumor            | 71 (26)   |
| Side                                 |           |
| Right                                | 108 (44)  |
| Left                                 | 167 (56)  |
| Surgical approach                    |           |
| Open                                 | 240 (87)  |
| Laparoscopy                          | 24 (9)    |
| Nephron-sparing                      | 11 (4)    |
| Site of the tumor                    |           |
| Kidney (pelvi-calyceal)              | 112 (41)  |
| Ureter                               | 112 (41)  |
| Kidney and ureter                    | 51 (18)   |
| Tumor grade                          |           |
| No malignancies                      | 3 (1)     |
| Grade I TCC                          | 14 (5)    |
| Grade II TCC                         | 165 (60)  |
| Grade III TCC                        | 93 (34)   |
| Tumor stage                          |           |
| No malignancies                      | 3 (1)     |
| T1                                   | 176 (64)  |
| T2                                   | 36 (13)   |
| T3                                   | 59 (21)   |
| T4                                   | 1 (1)     |
| Final pathology                      |           |
| TCC                                  | 267 (97)  |
| Non-TCC                              | 5 (2)     |
| No malignancies                      | 3 (1)     |
| Lymph node pathology                 |           |
| N (−)                                | 264 (96)  |
| N (+)                                | 11 (4)    |
| The final size in cm, median (range) |           |
| Renal pelvis tumors                  |           |
| Length                               | 3 (2-8)   |
| Width                                | 4 (3-10)  |
| Ureteric tumors                      |           |
| Length                               | 4 (1-4)   |
| Width                                | 2 (0.5-3) |

*Decimals were removed for simplification. TCC: Transitional cell carcinoma

### Table 2: The overall accuracy of computed tomography in the diagnosis of upper tract urothelial carcinoma

| Enhanced CT results | Final pathology | Total number |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Positive (%)        | Cancer          | Benign       |
| 261 (TP)            | 2 (FP)          | 263          |
| Negative (%)        | 6 (FN)          | 1 (TN)       |
| Overall accuracy    | 267 (99)        | 3 (1)        |

Over 18–180 months). The basic patients’ and pathologic tumor characteristics are listed in Table 1.

Ultrasonography was done for the majority of patients 265 (96%), and the most common findings were different degrees of hydronephrosis 132 (48%). IVU was done in 100 patients (36%) and the most common findings were filling defects 34 cases.

For CT Urography (CTU) protocol, hematuria and incidentally-discovered masses on US were the most common indication for imaging.

Complete data on CT was available on 270 (98%) patients, the sensitivity was 97% and the overall accuracy was 96%–97%, as shown in Table 2. Cross-tabulation between CT and pathological locations for renal urothelial tumors showed that CT detected (42/49 = 85%) of the renal pelvic and (12/20 = 60%) of calyceal tumors, Table 3. In ureteric tumors, CT was more effective in detecting distal ureteric tumors (84/90 = 93%) than proximal ones (16/27 = 60%), Table 4 and Figure 1a-c.
biopsy that showed TCC, and the remaining 3 cases were operated based on significant hydronephrosis and lost renal parenchyma.

Ureteroscopic biopsy was done for 124 patients (42%) that yielded a total of 135 biopsies (94 ureteric and 41 pelvicalyceal tumors). Sixteen total negative biopsies were reported, with an overall diagnostic accuracy of 87%. For 94 ureteric biopsies, one was a true negative, leaving 6 of false-negative biopsies with diagnostic accuracy of 93%.

**DISCUSSION**

CTU is the dominant imaging modality for upper urinary tract cancer. It has the best diagnostic performance characteristics, is widely available, and essentially serves as an all-in-one test capable of covering nearly the entire range of relevant imaging tasks detection, staging, and follow-up.\[8\]

Our research is a comprehensive analysis, not only report the accuracy of the CT in diagnosing UTUC, but also reported their accuracy in detecting tumor location. The reference to all the radiologic data was the final histopathological diagnosis, which is the gold standard, unlike studies which compared the radiologic data to retrograde pyelography and ureteroscopy.\[9\]

IVU is relatively inexpensive, technically feasible, widely available and has low radiation exposure risk. In our series, it gave filling defects in only 34% with nonspecific findings in the other patients. Because of these limitations, CT has become the first choice for noninvasive imaging modality in diagnosing upper urinary tract TCC.\[9\]

CT, along with the introduction of multi-detector technology and CTU, have emerged as advanced tools for the evaluation of the urinary tract.\[1,10,11\] CTU allows a detailed anatomic depiction of the urinary tract, delineation of the peripheral calyces, collecting system and gives a better anatomic visualization for the ureter.\[12\] On unenhanced CT scans, TCC may occasionally show fine encrusted calcifications. During the excretory phase, early urothelial cancers are typically seen on CT as small filling defects, a mass lesion, or circumferential wall thickening in the urothelial wall. In the ureters, only circumferential thickening of the ureter may be seen. CT permits the assessment of nodal and distant metastases.\[13\]

A clear tumor on CTU in combination with high-grade UTUC in urine cytology identifies high-risk UTUC, and in some cases, indirect staging can be obtained. Bladder urine cytology has limited sensitivity, and in most cases, ureterorenoscopy (URS) with in situ samples for cytology and histopathology are mandatory for an exact diagnosis.\[14\]

In our review, CT/CTU was done for 270 patients. It produced only 2 false-positive and 6 false-negative results with an overall accuracy of 97%. Our results are similar to the published data (96%–99%);\[1,3,9\] however, our data are more solid because it accounts for a larger number of patients.

With the emergence of conservative management for UTUC, delineation of the entire urinary excretory system is essential not only in deciding for nephron-sparing surgeries. But also in the follow-up. CT reformatted images provide this advantage over IVU.\[10\] However, the major drawbacks of CTU are high cost, radiation risk, the need for contrast injection and its associated side effects, and the need for expertise. Furthermore, some still consider URS to be essential in the follow-up for patients with conservative management.\[15\]

Although MR Urography has a less established and less reliable diagnostic image quality relative to CTU in the evaluation of urinary tract, it is the appropriate choice when CT report is inconclusive or when the administration
of ionized contrast is contraindicated. In magnetic resonance imaging T1W images, urothelial tumors are often isointense to the renal parenchyma and bladder muscle. In T2W images, UTUC is hyperintense to the wall of the collecting system, ureteral muscle, and urinary bladder detrusor muscle.

In our cohort, CT/CTU had a high overall accuracy in diagnosing UTUC, which reaches 97%, highly specific with low false positive. A negative result on CT does not mean no UTUC, especially in susceptible patients, and more investigative tools have to be used like cytology, retrograde pyelography, and URS biopsy. CT visualizes ureteral better than pelvicalyceal tumors, distal than proximal ureteral tumors and could miss calyceal tumors.

In a prospective study, Grahn et al. observed that multiphase CTU, including a corticomedullary phase, offered 85% sensitivity in detecting UTUC, which dropped to 58% when a nonoptimized CT was performed. When comparing sensitivity and specificity of ureterorenoscopy (URS) and multiphase CT in UTUC, Grahn et al. found evidence that multiphase CTU might even provide higher sensitivity than endoscopy, although the specificity was significantly higher for URS.

Our results come in agreement with Potretzke et al. who suggested that URS can be omitted as a part of the diagnostic work up in appropriately selected patients. URS did not alter the surgical plan, particularly when radical surgeries were decided based on cross-sectional imaging.

Among six patients with false-negative results by cross-sectional imaging, four of them had ureteral tumors; Wang et al. found that ureteric tumor location is among the independent factors of tumor undetectability by CT. The ureter is a thin tube with continuous peristalsis, the issue that may hide its full visualization by the contrast media. Furthermore, presences of CIS and/or small lesions also were found as contributing factors for missed lesions by CT. In our study, CT detected ureteric tumor locations better than pelvicalyceal tumors and higher in distal ureteral tumors (93%) versus (60%) for proximal ones.

It may happen that CT does not distinguish between urothelial and parenchyma tumors. In eight patients in our series (2 of them with squamous cell carcinoma of the renal pelvis) were diagnosed first as parenchymal tumors, which then proved to be urothelial TCC on the final histopathology.

One of the limitations of our study was using different versions of CT of different quality. UTUC is not a common disease and to get a solid data, a considerable number of patients have to be included in a study. To achieve that, we had to the extent the study period in a retrospective design study. Despite this limitation, our study has a considerably larger number of patients at one of the leading Urology institutes that makes it a comprehensive and convenient review to both radiologists and urologists.

CONCLUSION

In our cohort, CT/CTU has a high overall accuracy (97%) in diagnosing UTUC, capability to well visualize tumors of the distal ureter and renal pelvis, but could miss calyceal tumors. The matter to rely only on CT without ureteroscopic biopsy in the diagnosis of UTUC, especially if radical surgery is planned, needs further prospective studies.

Financial support and sponsorship
Nil.

Conflicts of interest
There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Albani JM, Ciaschini MW, Streekm SB, Herts BR, Angermeyer KW. The role of computed tomographic urography in the initial evaluation of hematuria. J Urol 2007;177:644-8.
2. Takahashi N, Kawashima A, Glockner JF, Hartman RP, Leibovich BC, Brau AC, et al. Small (<2-cm) upper-tract urothelial carcinoma: Evaluation with gadolinium-enhanced three-dimensional spoiled gradient-recalled echo MR urography. Radiology 2008;247:451-7.
3. Gray Sears CI, Ward JF, Sears ST, Puckett MF, Kane CJ, Amling CL. Prospective comparison of computed tomography and excretory urography in the initial evaluation of asymptomatic microhematuria. J Urol 2002;168:2457-60.
4. Wang LJ, Wong YC, Ng KF, Chuang CK, Lee SY, Wan YL. Tumor characteristics of urothelial carcinoma on multidetector computed tomography urography. J Urol 2010;183:2154-60.
5. Lee KS, Zeikus E, DeWolf WC, Rofsky NM, Pedrosa I. MR urography versus retrograde pyelography/ureteroscopy for the exclusion of upper urinary tract malignancy. Clin Radiol 2010;65:185-92.
6. Jinzaki M, Matsumoto K, Kikuchi E, Sato K, Horiguchi Y, Nishiwaki Y, et al. Comparison of CT urography and excretory urography in the detection and localization of urothelial carcinoma of the upper urinary tract. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2011;196:1102-9.
7. Epstein JI, Amin MB, Reuter VR, Mostofi FK. The World Health Organization/International Society of Urological Pathology consensus classification of urothelial (transitional cell) neoplasms of the urinary bladder. Bladder Consensus Conference Committee. Am J Surg Pathol 1998;22:1435-48.
8. Frooming A, Potretzke T, Takahashi N, Kim B. Upper tract urothelial cancer. Eur J Radiol 2018;98:50-60.
9. Wang LJ, Wong YC, Huang CC, Wu CH, Hung SC, Chen HW. Multidetector computed tomography urography is more accurate than excretory urography for diagnosing transitional cell carcinoma of the upper urinary tract in adults with hematuria. J Urol 2010;183:48-55.
10. Chlapoutakis K, Theocharopoulos N, Yarmenitis S, Damilakis J. Performance of computed tomographic urography in diagnosis of upper urinary tract urothelial carcinoma, in patients presenting with hematuria.
11. Cowan NC, Taylor NJ, McCarthy CL, Crew JP. Multidetector computed tomography urography for diagnosing upper urinary tract urothelial tumour. BJU Int 2007;99:1363-70.

12. Silverman SG, Leyendecker JR, Amis ES Jr. What is the current role of CT urography and MR urography in the evaluation of the urinary tract? Radiology 2009;250:309-23.

13. Vikram R, Sandler CM, Ng CS. Imaging and staging of transitional cell carcinoma: Part 2, upper urinary tract. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2009;192:1488-93.

14. Fojecki G, Magnusson A, Traxer O, Baard J, Oster PJS, Jaremko G, et al. Consultation on UTUC, Stockholm 2018 aspects of diagnosis of upper tract urothelial carcinoma. World J Urol 2019;37:2271-8.

15. Amón Sesmero JH, Estébanez Zarranz J, Conde Redondo C, Robles Samaniego A, Del Valle González N, Martínez-Sagarría Oceja JM. Ureteroscopy in the follow-up protocol for upper urinary tract urothelial tumors treated endoscopically. Arch Esp Urol 2004;57:303-10.

16. de Haas RJ, Steyvers MJ, Fütterer JJ. Multiparametric MRI of the bladder: Ready for clinical routine? AJR Am J Roentgenol 2014;202:1187-95.

17. Grahn A, Melle-Hannah M, Malm C, Jäderling F, Radecka E, Beckman M, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of computed tomography urography and visual assessment during ureterorenoscopy in upper tract urothelial carcinoma. BJU Int 2017;119:289-97.

18. Potretzke AM, Knight BA, Potretzke TA, Larson JA, Bhayani SB. Is ureteroscopy needed prior to nephroureterectomy? An Evidence-Based Algorithmic Approach. Urology 2016;88:43-8.