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ABSTRACT

Sugarcane industries generate a variety of by-products, which create disposal and environmental problems. The aim of current study was to find out the ways for utilization of these by-products in rice production. To elucidate this, a field trial was conducted at the research area (30.2°E; 71.5N°) of Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Pakistan. The calcareous soil with high pH (8.6) and low organic matter (0.5%) was used with following treatments: no input control (CK), only chemical fertilizer, nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (NPK; CF), NPK+ Sugarcane Bagasse (SB), NPK+ Press Mud (PM), NPK+ Sugarcane Vinasse (SV), NPK+SB+PM (SB+PM), NPK+SB+SV (SB+SV), NPK+PM+SV (PM+SV), NPK+SB+PM+SV (SB+PM+SV). The results showed that SB significantly increased the plant height (16.3%), panicle length (9.3%), paddy yield (213.1%), and straw yield (189.9%). Nutrient uptake was also improved, SB enhanced TN (324.6%), while the SB+PM increased the total phosphorus (318.2%), and total potassium (163.7%) contents in grains. Likewise, agronomic nutrient use efficiency was enhanced in SB treatment for N (274.2%), P (81.4%), and K (378.5%). Maximum net profit and benefit-cost ratio was observed in SB (93925 rupees; 0.54), followed by PM (91150; 0.53), SB+PM (85338; 0.51), and minimum was in control (12400; 0.22), respectively. The study concludes, application of organic by-products (SB+PM) can increase rice productivity and farm income with environmental safety.
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INTRODUCTION

Rice is the staple food of about 50% population worldwide and is a major cash crop after wheat and cotton in Pakistan (Bashir et al., 2020). In 2018-2019, its cultivation area in Pakistan was 2,810 thousand hectares and production was 7202 million tonnes, which contributes about 0.6% in GDP (Pakistan Bureau of Statistics, 2019). It plays a vital role in uplifting the agricultural economy of the country and the living standard of the farming community (Hussain, 2013). To fulfill the high food demand due to the increasing population, the use of chemical fertilization has achieved high productivity. However, continuous application of these chemicals without organic residual incorporation resulted into deterioration the soil fertility and carbon sequestration (Ku et al., 2019).

Sugarcane (\textit{Saccharum officinarum} L.) is one of the major food and cash crops cultivated globally due to its higher productivity (Payá et al., 2018). In 2014, sugarcane productivity in Africa, America, and Asia was about 1.48, 14.18, and 11.03 million hectares whereas sugar production was about 95.53, 1007.71, and 748.41 million tons respectively (Gonfa et al., 2018). Moreover, in the same year sugarcane productivity was 81.102 million tons in Pakistan. Besides, sugarcane processing industries also produce alcohol and some by-products that have serious concerns about safe disposal and, are causing harmful impacts on environmental health (Yang et al., 2013). The crushing and juice extraction from the canes results in the production of sugarcane bagasse (SB), which is a fibrous material (Pandey et al., 2000). Press mud (PM) is mainly produced released after the filtration process of sugarcane juice. Crushing of 100 kg sugarcane results in 3 kg of PM
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production as a by-product (Kalaivanan and Omar Hattab, 2016). Ethanol production from cane industries gives rise to another by-product known as sugarcane vinasse (SV), processing of 1-liter ethanol produces about 10-15 liters of SV (Da Silva et al., 2014).

Utilization of these by-products in the agricultural sector as an organic source of fertilization can help to reduce chemical fertilization, resolve to dispose of issues of by-products and improve the farmer’s income (Sarwar et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2018). Integrated use of organic and inorganic fertilizers enhances sustainable agricultural production, maintains soil health and fertility, and environmental safety (Chen et al., 2017).

In addition, the application of SV and PM acts as substitutes to reduce chemical fertilization, improve sugarcane productivity, soil health, and considered as environmentally safe disposal (Yang et al., 2013). PM can reduce 20% of chemical fertilization without affecting the yield (Kalaivanan and Omar Hattab, 2016). Integrated use of chemical fertilizers and PM (75:25 ratio) has a significantly positive effect on maize productivity and improves nitrogen (N) uptake, (Wei et al., 2017). It is revealed that the use of organic and inorganic fertilizers improves crop yield in short-term as well as soil organic matter in long-term (Wei et al., 2016). The application of PM attributes to rapid N mineralization and sustained N supply for high grain yield as it meets the long-term requirement of rice crop (Kalaivanan and Omar Hattab, 2016). There is no direct information of these by-products to be used as a combined fertilization source with chemical fertilizers to influence rice productivity, their influence on-field water nutrients concentration. Whereas, application of composted PM has improved the rice production (Kalaivanan and Omar Hattab, 2016). Sugarcane bagasse is a cheap organic source of fertilizers but few studies is reported. The long-term effects of organic inputs on crop production are also a research gap. In the current study, sugarcane industrial by-products are used with a combination of chemical fertilization to find their impact on rice productivity. The current study was conducted to find the safe disposal methods for wastes and utilize the locally produced wastes as agricultural inputs to reduce chemical fertilization cost. The main objectives of this study were to utilize waste products of sugarcane industries for agricultural production, to find out the safe way to dispose-off agri-based industrial by-products, and reduce the cost of chemical fertilization to earn more capita for agricultural community.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental site**

To ascertain the effects of sugarcane by-products on rice production, a field experiment was conducted at the research area (30.2° E, 71.5° N) of the Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan. The city is known as the city of saints and is located at the bank of river Chenab and agricultural land gets irrigated from its tributaries. The climate of the area is semi-arid with very hot summers and mild winters, the mean annual temperature is 25.6°C, and the mean annual precipitation is 186 millimetres. The irrigation water used during the study had pH (8.07), electrical conductivity (EC; 1.09 dS m⁻¹), nitrate (2.2 mgL⁻¹), and available P (1.76 mgL⁻¹). Due to the high EC of irrigation water, increased EC was observed in post-harvest soil samples. The physico-chemical properties of the soil used in the experiment are given in (Table 1).

**Experimental design**

The soil was deeply ploughed and sub-divided into twenty-seven plots with 12 m² size. Sugarcane by-products (organic natured) i.e. SB, PM, and SV along with NPK (110:70:60 kg ha⁻¹) chemical fertilizers were applied. The dose of organic compounds was at par with the recommended dose of organic compounds application 1.25 tons' ha⁻¹ (Kalaivanan and Omar Hattab, 2016). With single organic input the dose was 100%, whereas 50% and 33.3% was used when applied in combination. The organic amendments used in the experiment were analysed for NPK contents (Table 2). The experiment had nine treatments with three replications in a Randomized Complete Block Design. The tested treatments were: no input control (CK), only NPK (CF), NPK+ Sugarcane Bagasse (SB), NPK+ Press Mud (PM), NPK+ Sugarcane Vinasse (SV), NPK+SB+PM (SB+PM), NPK+SB+SV (SB+SV), NPK+PM+SV (PM+SV), NPK+SB+PM+SV (SB+PM+SV). The total input dose (1.25 tons’ ha⁻¹) for organic substances was remained the same to maintain the total input. The field was saturated with irrigation water for three days. Rice (Oryza sativa L. cv. Kainat) seedlings (25 days old) were transplanted on the third day with plant x row spacing of 10 ×15 cm.
All the treatments received an equal amount of fertilizers except CK (no fertilizer application). Fertilization was done in the form of Urea for N, di-ammonium phosphate (DAP) for P and sulphate of potash (SOP) for K. Urea was applied in two splits, half before rice transplantation and another half after 30 days of transplantation (Bashir et al., 2021). Insecticide and pesticide were not used, weeds were removed manually, and the crop was harvested on maturity.

**Rice yield and productivity**
At maturity, five rice plants were collected randomly from each plot and plant height, the number of productive tillers per hill were noted. Three plants from each plot were harvested for the determination of filled grains panicle⁻¹, shrivelled grains panicle⁻¹, seed setting percentage, and the panicle length. Rice was harvested from one square meter area from each plot manually. The paddy and straw weight were measured for the determination of grain and biomass yield (tons ha⁻¹). Thousand grains weight (g) was obtained by counting 1000 grains manually and then weighed.

**Nutrient uptake**
The paddy grain and straw samples from each plot were ground, digested, and filtered. Total N (TN), Total P (TP) and Total K (TK; g kg⁻¹) concentration was determined by Kjeldahl N determination (Kjeldahl, 1883), TP with a spectrophotometer (McGeorge, 1954) and TK with a flame photometer (Reitemeier, 1963). Analyses were followed according to the local environmental and lab condition (Bashir et al., 2019). Nutrient uptake by grain and straw was determined by multiplying grain/straw nutrient concentration (g kg⁻¹) with grain/straw yield divided by 1000.

**Nutrient use efficiency**
Nutrient use efficiency (%) was measured by dividing each nutrient acquired with nutrient applied. Nutrients use efficiency for N, P, and K use were measured. Internal N-efficiency (INE; kg kg⁻¹) was estimated by dividing plant biomass with plant N. Harvest Index (HI; %) was measured by dividing grain yield with biological yield. Nitrogen Partial Factor Productivity (NPFP; kg grain kg⁻¹ N) was calculated by dividing grain yield with applied N in each plot.

| Parameters       | Unit    | Value     | Interpretation | References       |
|------------------|---------|-----------|----------------|------------------|
| pHs              |         | 8.6±0.1   | Alkaline       | pHs              |
| ECe              | dSm⁻¹   | 0.8±0.1   | Alkaline       | pHs              |
| Texture          |         |          | Loam           | Hydrometer method|
| Organic Matter   | %       | 0.5±0.1   | Low            | (Walkley, 1947)  |
| Total Nitrogen   | mg/kg   | 225±0.2   | Low            | (Jackson, 1960)  |
| Olsen-Phosphorus | mg/kg   | 7.9±0.2   | Medium         | (Olsen et al., 1950) |
| Available Potassium | mg/kg | 29.7±0.5 | Low            | (Richards, 1954) |

All values are given as Means ± Standard deviations.

**Measurement of nitrate and phosphorus in-field water**
The water samples from each plot were collected at different intervals (1, 3, 5, 10, 15, 25, 38, 53, 68, and 89 days of fertilization) during the experiment. Water samples were passed through filter paper to remove impurities (such as soil particles, phytoplankton). The water samples were analyzed for nitrate (Cataldo et al., 1975) and available-P (Olsen et al., 1954).

**Economic analysis**
The total cost of the experiment was obtained by adding all the expenditures of field operations (labor, rice seedling, fertilizer, organics, transport, and irrigation). Farm income was calculated with the earnings from rice according to the local market. The benefit-cost ratio (BCR) was calculated using the given expression.

\[
BCR = \frac{\text{Total Net Income}}{\text{Total Expenditure}}
\]

**Statistical analysis**
All the data given in tables and graphs are presented as means ± standard deviations for the three replicates. General Linear Model (GLM) was used for one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with IBM SPSS® (version 21), and the significant difference was determined with Least Significant Difference (LSD) at P ≤0.05 using Duncan multiple comparison test. Data processing and graph preparation were done by using Microsoft Excel 2016.
RESULTS

Rice yield and productivity
The integrated use of industrial sugarcane by-products improved the agronomic and productive traits of rice crop significantly (Table 3). The plant height was significantly improved in SB (16.3%) and PM (15.8%), followed by SB+PM (10.6%) as compared to the CK. The number of productive tillers was significantly increased in SB+SV (104.4%), SB (104.4%) and PM (101.1%). In addition, panicle length was maximum in SB (24.70 cm) and SV (24.51 cm), followed by SB+PM (23.77 cm) and the minimum was in CF (21.49 cm). The number of filled grains panicle\(^{-1}\) was significantly improved in SV (32.3\%) in comparison with CK (Figure 1). The number of shrivelled grains panicle\(^{-1}\) was significantly reduced in SB (-31.0\%) as compared to CK.

The seed setting percentage was significantly enhanced in SB+PM (16.1\%) and PM (15.3\%) as compared to CK. Results revealed that 1000-grain weight was significantly high in PM+SV (29.6 g). The straw yield was improved significantly in SB (189.9\%) as compared to the CK treatment. Paddy yield is an important parameter for rice productivity; it was significantly enhanced in SB (213.1\%) and PM (208.8\%).

Nutrient uptake
Grain N uptake was significantly enhanced in SB (324.6\%) and SB+PM (308.8\%), followed by PM (212.3\%) as compared to CK. Grain P (318.2\%) and K uptake (152.1\%) was significantly improved in SB+SV (31.1\%) and it was minimum in CF. Nitrogen Partial Factor Productivity was significantly enhanced in SB (213.8\%) and PM (209.5\%) as compared to CK (Figure 2).

Measurement of nitrate and available phosphorus in-field water
Results revealed that integrated use of organic by-products and inorganic fertilizer had reduced the nutrient losses in water thus reducing the environmental pollution. Nitrate concentration in water was high at early rice growth in CF compared to SB, SV, SB+SV, and other treatments. The concentration was decreased in mid-stages in all treatments and but in lateral stages of the rice crop was increased in SB, SB+PM, SB+SV compared to control, and CF (Figure 3a). Integrated fertilization management increased the available P concentration in surface water during early stages especially in SB+PM, SB+SV, PM, and other treatments, but the concentration was low in CK treatment. Moreover, at mid-stages SB+SV and SB+PM showed higher available P concentration. At lateral stages, all treatment increased the P concentration in field water compared with CK (Figure 3b).

Economic analysis
The total cost was highest in SV (167350 PKR ha\(^{-1}\)) due to the high cost of organics (93750 PKR ha\(^{-1}\)). Followed by PM+SV (124100 PKR ha\(^{-1}\)) and SB+SV (123912 PKR ha\(^{-1}\)). The net income was highest in SB (93925 PKR ha\(^{-1}\)) and SB+PM (85338 PKR ha\(^{-1}\)). The cost-benefit ratio was highest in SB (0.54), followed by PM (0.53) and SB+PM (0.51) (Table 5).

DISCUSSION
The results revealed that the rice productivity increases with the combined application of chemical fertilizers and organic amendments (Table 2). This improvement might be associated with nutrient availability through organics ((da Silva et al., 2014; Soni et al., 2014). Besides, the organics might be the source of slow nutrient release that optimizes their availability throughout the rice growth period (Kalaivanan and Omar Hattab, 2016). The number of filled grains panicle\(^{-1}\) was also increased with co-fertilization of NPK with organic amendments. This leads to better soil fertility and improved crop yields. The shrivelled grains panicle\(^{-1}\) was reduced in SB, PM, and SB+PM treatments. These organic inputs also significantly increased seed setting percentages.
The treatments receiving fertilizers with by-products gave higher straw and grain yields (Figure 1). It was enhanced significantly in SB treatment due to its wider C/N ratio that improved the available N and P in surface water and their uptake at growth stages compared to the CK and CF. Wider C/N ratio of SB tend to enhance long-term and continuous N availability throughout the productivity period (Prado et al., 2013). Besides, the use of organic amendments increases the soil organic carbon accumulation which is linearly correlated with the enhances rice yield (Zhang et al., 2018). Plant nutrient uptake was significantly affected by the application of organic wastes. It was significantly increased with SB and SB+PM as compared to other treatments (Table 4). The improved yield was also associated with high nutrient uptake (Gonfa et al., 2018; Rehman, 2019).

Additionally, it might be attributed to improvement in soil physical and biological properties which enhanced plant nutrient availability (Bahadur et al., 2013). The use of organic amendments reduces the soil pH, thus increases nutrient availability ((Mahmood et al., 2017). Moreover, organic amendments improve nutrient availability, enhance N uptake, and modulate N by adjusting the retention and release process (Zhang et al., 2018). The use of organic inputs favors the N immobilization at initial growth and subsequent gradual re-mineralization and improves the N absorption by plants (Zhang et al., 2018).

### Table 2. Nutritional (NPK) contents of sugarcane by-products

| Contents          | Sugarcane Bagasse | Press Mud | Sugarcane Vatasse |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| Nitrogen (%)      | 0.09±0.08         | 1.20±0.17 | 1.50±0.11         |
| Phosphorus (%)    | 0.04±0.01         | 0.64±0.12 | 0.07±0.02         |
| Potassium (%)     | 0.03±0.01         | 0.14±0.04 | 2.50±0.13         |

Note: All values are given as Means ± Standard deviations

### Table 3. Agronomic traits influenced with addition of by-products

| Treatment | Plant height (cm) | Number of Productive tillers | Panicle length (cm) |
|-----------|-------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| CK        | 84.0±2.3c         | 9.1±1.2bc                   | 22.5±2.7bc          |
| CF        | 88.2±0.8c         | 16.3±2.2bc                  | 21.1±1.0c           |
| SB        | 97.7±2.6c         | 18.6±2.3c                   | 24.6±0.5c           |
| PM        | 97.3±2.0c         | 18.3±1.3c                   | 23.1±0.6bc          |
| SV        | 92.4±2.6c         | 15.0±3.0c                   | 24.1±1.1c           |
| SB+PM     | 92.9±2.7c         | 16.2±0.4c                   | 23.5±0.9c           |
| SB+SV     | 92.6±2.5c         | 18.6±1.1c                   | 22.6±1.7bc          |
| PM+SV     | 89.4±1.4c         | 17.1±1.5c                   | 21.5±0.2bc          |
| SB+PM+SV  | 91.4±1.0c         | 12.3±0.6c                   | 23.0±0.5bc          |

Note: CK is No input control, CF is only NPK, SB is NPK+SB, PM is NPK+PM, SV is NPK+SV, SB+PM is NPK+SB+PM, SB+SV is NPK+SB+SV, PM+SV is NPK+PM+SV, SB+PM+SV is NPK+SB+PM+SV, TN is total nitrogen, TP is total phosphorus, and TK is total potassium. All values are given as Means ± Standard deviations (LSD= 0.05).

### Table 4. Influence of organic amendments on the TN, TP and TK uptake in rice straw and grain

| Treatment | Grain | Straw |
|-----------|-------|-------|
|           | TN (kg ha⁻¹) | TP (kg ha⁻¹) | TK (kg ha⁻¹) | TN (kg ha⁻¹) | TP (kg ha⁻¹) | TK (kg ha⁻¹) |
| CK        | 5.7±0.2   | 1.1±0.4   | 2.2±0.7   | 2.4±0.5   | 1.3±0.3   | 6.1±1.1   |
| CF        | 7.7±0.2   | 1.9±0.1   | 2.7±0.1   | 6.5±0.9   | 3.2±0.6   | 11.8±1.3  |
| SB        | 24.2±0.9  | 3.8±0.7   | 4.9±1.1   | 8.0±0.2   | 6.5±0.9   | 19.7±1.2  |
| PM        | 17.8±0.5  | 3.9±0.4   | 5.2±0.4   | 8.0±0.6   | 6.0±0.4   | 14.4±0.9  |
| SV        | 15.9±0.8  | 3.8±0.5   | 5.2±0.4   | 6.8±0.4   | 4.8±0.5   | 17.3±1.0  |
| SB+PM     | 23.3±0.6  | 4.6±0.5   | 5.8±0.7   | 9.1±0.3   | 4.7±0.4   | 15.3±0.6  |
| SB+SV     | 13.5±0.5  | 3.0±0.5   | 4.1±0.5   | 4.3±0.4   | 4.8±0.5   | 12.6±1.4  |
| PM+SV     | 12.9±0.6  | 2.9±0.4   | 3.7±0.3   | 5.3±0.3   | 4.1±0.4   | 9.8±0.7   |
| SB+PM+SV  | 14.1±0.5  | 3.7±0.4   | 4.5±0.3   | 6.1±0.5   | 4.6±0.3   | 17.2±1.4  |

Note: CK is No input control, CF is only NPK, SB is NPK+SB, PM is NPK+PM, SV is NPK+SV, SB+PM is NPK+SB+PM, SB+SV is NPK+SB+SV, PM+SV is NPK+PM+SV, SB+PM+SV is NPK+SB+PM+SV. TN is total nitrogen, TP is total phosphorus, and TK is total potassium. All values are given as Means ± Standard deviations (LSD= 0.05).

### Table 5. Economic Analysis of sugar industry by-products in a field experiment

| Treatment | CK | CF | SB | PM | SV+PM | SB+SV | SV+PM | SB+PM+SV |
|-----------|----|----|----|----|-------|-------|-------|----------|
| COST      | 25000 | 25000 | 30000 | 30000 | 30000 | 30000 | 30000 | 30000 |
| Rice Seedling | 18600 | 18600 | 18600 | 18600 | 18600 | 18600 | 18600 | 18600 |
| Fertilizer | 0 | 25000 | 25000 | 25000 | 25000 | 25000 | 25000 | 25000 |
| Organics | 0 | 0 | 7875 | 8250 | 93750 | 7062 | 50312 | 50500 |
| Total | 43600 | 68600 | 81475 | 81850 | 167350 | 80662 | 123912 | 124100 |
| Income | 56000 | 92800 | 175400 | 173000 | 160700 | 166000 | 140700 | 129000 | 141400 |
| Net Income | 12400 | 24200 | 93925 | 91150 | 6650 | 85338 | 16788 | 4900 | 31846 |
| Benefit-Cost Ratio | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.54 | 0.53 | -0.04 | 0.51 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.23 |

Note: All the prices are given in PKR ha⁻¹ (156 PKR= 1USD). CK is No input control, CF is only NPK, SB is NPK+SB, PM is NPK+PM, SV is NPK+SV, SB+PM is NPK+SB+PM, SV+PM is NPK+SB+SV+PM, SV+PM+SV is NPK+SB+PM+SV.
Figure 1. Rice productivity and yield parameters (A) filled grains panicle$^{-1}$ (B) shriveled grains panicle$^{-1}$ (C) seed setting percentage (D) 1000-grain weight (E) straw yield (F) grain yield. All the values are given as means ±S.D.

Figure 2. Nutrient use efficiency (A) nutrient use efficiency (B) internal nitrogen use efficiency (INE) (C) harvest Index (HI) (D) nitrogen partial factor productivity (NPFP). All the values are given as means ±S.D.
Figure 3. Nutrient concentration in field water (A) Nitrate (B) Available phosphorus. All the values are given as means ±S.D.

The results of the current study indicate that the use of chemical fertilizers with industrial sugarcane by-products can improve the NUE, INE, HI, and NFPF significantly (Figure 2). The higher dose of chemical fertilizers results in reduced N-use efficiency and increased N losses (Agegnehu et al., 2016). The highest NUE was observed in SB which might be due to its slow releasing capacity and at an appropriate time (Kalaivanan and Omar Hattab, 2016). SB and PM have sufficient capability to conserve N in their structural biomass, thus reduce its loss and improve its uptake (Meunchang et al., 2005), which could be the basic reason for improved NUE. Due to the production of oxalic acids during microbial decomposition of organic inputs, the P efficiency could be increased significantly (Dotaniya et al., 2016). Studies showed that fertilizer use efficiency increases when organics are applied in combination with the mineral fertilizers (Agegnehu et al., 2016). It might be due to the change in soil surface properties, soil P status, and recycling of P (Mitran and Mani, 2017).

Field water analysis showed that integrated usage of organic amendments with chemical fertilization has reduced the nitrate concentration in-field water at the early rice growth stage (Figure 3a), which reduces N losses, improves crop productivity, and nutrient use efficiency. The reason associated with less nitrate with organic amendments is ammonia immobilization enhancement and competition for nitrifiers, which prevents nitrate loss (Wang et al., 2015). Chemical fertilization has abrupt release of N; this results in N losses at early 15 days of fertilization. After excessive loss the availability is reduced at later on days, while organic by-products help to maintain the N release throughout cropping duration. Hence, results in better production. The organics when applied increased the phosphorus availability at early stages (Figure 3b) because P mineralization stimulated due to the high microbial activity. At later stages, the organic inputs get reduced, which indicated low P mineralization (Garg and Bahl, 2008). The incorporation of organic by-products might release organic acids, promote
microbial activity, and stimulate the activity of phosphatase and dehydrogenase which results in improved P solubilization (Garg and Bahl, 2008). Besides, the decomposition of organic inputs also releases nutrients such as P (Amin, 2018). Overall, the nutrient concentration was high in CF at the early stages because the chemical fertilizers provide nutrients but later it reduced. However, puddling and fertilization might increase the risk of eutrophication (Leon and Kohyama, 2017). Additionally, organic inputs when combined with SB reduced environmental pollution due to its binding capacity ((Dotaniya et al., 2016). Results also indicate that higher P in surface water can act as a new source of water pollution, hence it might be concluded that all combinations are not good to use. While some of them have chances of to originate a new pollution generation in nearby water bodies.

The results indicate that the use of organics including SB, PM, or SB+PM along with inorganic fertilizers improves the farm income. The economic analysis includes the cost of labor, irrigation, rice seedling, fertilizer, and organic amendments. Some farmers use their resources such as labor, seedlings etc. to minimize the total cost. Our study concludes that low-cost organic inputs are a rich source of nutrients and improve the rice yield, nutrient uptake, and efficiency along with better farm income. The agriculture field is a safe way to dispose-off these industrial sugarcane by-products. These organics are environment friendly and reduces the N and P losses as well. Moreover, it improves the farm income without increasing rates of chemical fertilizers.

The organic inputs can be obtained from the sugar industry and applied in the rice fields. The study also helps to enhance the socio-economic interactions between the agriculture and industrial sector. The combined use of these by-products with optimum dose can provide an effective alternative for chemical fertilization and minimize the losses associated with it. The accurate dose of these organic amendments for specific crops and their effects on soil properties are still needed to be studied. The long-term effects of using these industrial sugarcane by-products as organic fertilizers are also a research gap. A proper management strategy for maximizing the input use efficiencies and reducing the losses is needed to be introduced. Ammonia (\(\text{NH}_3\)) volatilization is also an aspect of N losses in alkaline soils, the study of such gas emissions and management with these by-products is also required. A study about the environmental impacts of organic inputs on eutrophication and global warming needs to be studied.

**CONCLUSION**

The results revealed that the use of sugar industry by-products is good for rice productivity, nutrient uptake and concentration as well as for farm income. The use of these by-products in rice production can help to minimize the problems associated with their storage, and also reduce environmental pollution. The use of SB improves the crop yield and is cheapest among others. The combined use of organics also improves nutrient concentrations in plants, reduced nutrient losses, and is also safe disposal of by-products. Future research is needed mainly focusing on finding the optimum dose of application, runoff and leaching losses, \(\text{NH}_3\) and nitrous oxide emission, and their long-term utilization impacts.
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