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Abstract

Introduction: The aim of this study was to determine the frequency of digestive lesions unrelated to portal hypertension during cirrhosis and to look for a possible correlation between these lesions and the severity of chronic liver disease. Material and method: Over a period of 15 months (April 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015), all the records of cirrhotic patients who followed up on an outpatient or inpatient basis in the hepatogastroenterology department of the Aristide Le Dantec Hospital were collected. The data collected were as follows: age, sex, Child-Pugh severity score, etiology of cirrhosis, indication for endoscopy and endoscopic lesions observed. Results: Data were analyzed from 82 patient records with a mean age of 43 years (range 16 and 79 years) and a sex ratio of 1.4 (54 males). Cirrhosis was classified as Child-Pugh B in 47% of cases and Child-Pugh C in 35% of cases. The etiology was viral B in 75 patients (91.5%), B-D co-infection in 2 cases, and alcoholic in 1 case. The indication for oesogastro-duodenal endoscopy was a systematic search for signs of portal hypertension in 66 cases (80.4%), upper gastrointestinal haemorrhage in 11 cases (13.4%) and epigastralgia in 6.2% of cases. Endoscopic lesions unrelated to portal hypertension were observed in 61 patients (74.4%). Peptic ulcer was present in 26 patients (31.7%), and congestive gastrolubitis was observed in 38 patients (46.3%) and erosive gastrolubatitis in 32 patients (39%). Of the 43 upper GI endoscopies with biopsies, Helicobacter pylori was found at histology in 17 cases (39.5%). Eighteen patients (21.9%) had esophageal candidiasis. In multivariate analysis, there was no association be-
between no portal hypertension lesions and the severity of cirrhosis. **Conclusion:** Upper gastro intestinal lesions unrelated to hypertension were present in 3/4 of cirrhotic patients. Peptic ulcer disease and congestive and erosive gastrobulbitis were the most frequently observed lesions. There was no significant association between non-PTH-related lesions and the severity of cirrhosis.
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**1. Introduction**

Portal hypertension is one of the major complications of cirrhosis. It is defined by an increase in pressure in the portal system. It is estimated indirectly by a portal or hepatic pressure gradient greater than 5 mmHg.

The increase in hepatic venous pressure gradient (HVPG) leads to the formation of portosystemic collateral veins (nasogastric varices, esophageal varices, gastric varices) and structural changes in the gastric mucosa (congestive gastropathy, antral vascular ectasia) [1] [2] [3].

Upper gastrointestinal lesions unrelated to portal hypertension are defined by all abnormalities of the esophago-gastroduodenal mucosa other than varicose veins, portal hypertension gastropathy and antral vascular ectasia.

Because of their frequency and severe prognosis, portal hypertension lesions have been the subject of much work both diagnostically and therapeutically. On the other hand, apart from studies on the association between peptic ulcer disease and cirrhosis, few data are available on upper digestive lesions unrelated to portal hypertension during cirrhosis. It is clearly established in many studies that the prevalence of peptic ulcer disease is higher in cirrhotic patients than in the general population; however, the relationship between *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) infection and peptic ulcer disease in cirrhosis remains controversial.

Similarly, a high incidence of hemorrhagic complications of peptic ulcer disease in cirrhotic patients has been reported in the literature, increasing the risk of death in this fragile field [4] [5] [6]. At a time when the deleterious role of proton pump inhibitor (PPI) use in the occurrence of ascites liquid infection in cirrhotic patients is increasingly reported in the literature [7], we deemed it necessary to undertake this study with the general objective of determining the frequency of upper gastrointestinal lesions unrelated to portal hypertension during cirrhosis and to investigate a possible correlation between these lesions and the severity of chronic hepatopathy.

**2. Patients and Methods**

We conducted a retrospective, descriptive and analytical study from April 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015. All the records of cirrhotic patients, whether followed as outpatients or hospitalized in the hepato-gastroenterology department were collected.
The diagnosis of cirrhosis was based on a combination of clinical and paraclinical arguments.

Ø Clinics
Liver atrophy, hepatomegaly with a sharp lower edge and anterior surface irregular, signs of portal hypertension (abdominal collateral venous circulation, ascites, splenomegaly), clinical signs of hepatocellular insufficiency.

Ø Biological
Cytolysis, cholestasis, hepatocellular insufficiency; beta-gamma block on serum protein electrophoresis.

Ø Ultrasound
Hepatic dysmorphia and/or diffuse heterogeneity of the parenchyma associated or not with signs of portal hypertension (ascites, splenomegaly, collateral venous circulation, dilatation of the portal vein and reversal of portal flow).

Ø Endoscopic
The presence of signs of portal hypertension (esophageal and/or gastric varices, portal hypertension gastropathies, vascular ectasias).

The endoscopically visualized esophageal-gastroduodenal lesions were all recorded. These lesions were classified into 2 groups: those related to portal hypertension (varicose veins, portal hypertension gastropathy and antral vascular ectasia) and those independent of portal hypertension (all anomalies of the oeso-gastroduodenal mucosa apart from those mentioned above).

In addition to digestive lesions, the following data were collected: age, sex, Child-Pugh severity score, etiology of cirrhosis, indication for endoscopy.

Data were entered into Excel 2007 spreadsheets and analysed using Sphinx version 5 software. Variable comparisons were made using standard Chi 2 and Fisher tests.

The significance threshold was set at p < 0.05 for these two statistical tests.

3. Results

A total of 82 files were collected. The prevalence of cirrhosis was 4.7%. The mean age was 43 years, with extremes of 16 and 79 years. The age range of 20 - 50 years represented 78% of the population. The sample consisted of 48 men (58%) with a sex ratio of 1.4.

Cirrhosis was classified as Child Pugh B in 47% of cases, Child Pugh C and Child Pugh A in 35% and 18% of cases respectively.

The etiology of cirrhosis was viral B in 75 patients (91.5%), B-D co-infection in 2 cases, alcoholic in 1 case. In 3 patients, the etiology was unknown.

The indication for oesophageal-gastroduodenal endoscopy was the systematic search for signs of portal hypertension in 66 cases (80.4%), digestive haemorrhage in 11 cases (13.4%); epigastralgia in 5 cases (6.2%).

Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy found evidence of portal hypertension in 77 patients (94%). Endoscopic lesions unrelated to portal hypertension were observed in 61 patients (74.4%), including peptic ulcers in 26 patients (31.7%),
congestive gastrobulbitis in 28 patients (34.1%), erosive gastrobulbitis in 32 cases (39%) and atrophic fundic gastritis in 13 cases (15.8%).

**Table 1** shows the endoscopic lesions not related to portal hypertension that were objectified and **Figure 1** shows the frequency of some of these lesions as a function of the child-Pugh score.

Of the 43 upper gastrointestinal endoscopies with biopsy, *Helicobacter pylori* was found in histology in 17 cases (39.5%). The endoscopic lesions that motivated the biopsy were gastric ulcer in 10 cases (*H. pylori* positive in 4), erosive gastritis in 22 cases (*Hp* positive in 9), atrophic gastritis in 4 cases (*H. pylori* positive in 3).

**Table 1.** Summary table of upper gastrointestinal lesions not related to portal hypertension.

| Endoscopic Lesion                     | Number of patient | Percentage |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Esophageal candidiasis               | 18                | 21.9       |
| Peptic esophagitis                   | 8                 | 9.7        |
| -Grade A Los Angeles                 | 2                 | 2.4        |
| -Grade B Los Angeles                 | 3                 | 3.6        |
| -Grade C Los Angeles                 | 3                 | 3.6        |
| Schatzki Ring                        | 3                 | 3.6        |
| Hiatal hernia                        | 9                 | 10.9       |
| Gastric ulcer                        | 12                | 14.6       |
| -Antrum                              | 8                 | 9.7        |
| -Angulus                             | 4                 | 4.8        |
| Duodenal ulcer                       | 14                | 17.1       |
| -Anterior face bulb                  | 8                 | 9.7        |
| -Back side bulb                      | 1                 | 1.2        |
| -Roof of the bulb                    | 3                 | 3.6        |
| -Floor of the bulb                   | 2                 | 2.4        |
| Congestive gastro-bulbitis           | 28                | 34.1       |
| Erosive gastro-bulbitis              | 32                | 39         |
| Atrophic fundic gastritis            | 13                | 15.8       |

**Figure 1.** Frequency of some of upper gastrointestinal lesions not related to portal hypertension as a function of the child-Pugh score.
positive in 1) and gastritis congestive and erythematous in 7 cases (H. pylori positive in 3). eighteen patients (21.9%) had esophageal candidiasis.

In multivariate analysis, there was no association between these no portal hypertension lesions and the severity of cirrhosis (Table 2).

4. Discussion

The retrospective nature of our study and the small sample size are limitations of our study.

Upper GI endoscopy found lesions unrelated to portal hypertension in 61 patients (74.4%). The most frequent lesions were peptic ulcer and congestive or erosive gastrobulbitis observed in 31.7%, 31.4% and 39% of cases, respectively.

There was no significant association between the frequency of these lesions and the severity of cirrhosis. We found no studies in Africa reporting the prevalence of these lesions unrelated to portal hypertension during cirrhosis.

In Italy, Sacchetti et al. reported a 29.6% prevalence of erosive gastrobulitis and 27.2% prevalence of peptic ulcers in cirrhotic patients [5].

Dong J K et al. in Korea and Chen L S et al. in China reported a prevalence of peptic ulcers of 31.3% and 25.4% respectively [4] [8]. In these different studies, the frequency of peptic ulcer lesions not related to portal hypertension was significantly higher in the cirrhotic group than in the control group. As we objectified in our study, these lesions were independent of the severity of cirrhosis. Given these high prevalences of peptic ulcers and gastrobulbites in cirrhotic patients, it is important to study the role of H. pylori in the development of these peptic lesions.

The search for Helicobacter pylori was positive in 17 cases out of the 43 biopsies performed in our study (39.5%). This relatively low prevalence of H. pylori infection in our study could be explained by the fact that more than 70% of cirrhotic patients were at stage B or C of the Child-Pugh classification. Most of these patients took prolonged antibiotic therapy as part of primary or secondary prevention of spontaneous ascites fluid infection.

Table 2. Association between upper gastrointestinal lesions unrelated to portal hypertension and the severity of cirrhosis.

| Endoscopic Lesion                  | Child A | Child B | Child C | Percentage | p value |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|------------|---------|
| Esophageal candidiasis            | 5       | 7       | 6       | 21.9       | 0.8     |
| Peptic esophagitis                | 3       | 4       | 1       | 9.7        | 0.2     |
| Schatzki Ring                     | 1       | 2       | 0       | 3.6        | 0.7     |
| Hiatal hernia                     | 2       | 4       | 3       | 10.9       | 0.6     |
| Gastric ulcer                     | 4       | 3       | 5       | 14.6       | 0.6     |
| Duodenal ulcer                    | 3       | 5       | 6       | 17.1       | 0.2     |
| Congestive gastro-bulbite         | 3       | 12      | 13      | 34.1       | 0.1     |
| Erosive gastro-bulbite            | 8       | 13      | 11      | 39         | 0.2     |
| Atrophic fundic gastritis         | 4       | 7       | 2       | 15.8       | 0.4     |
Dong J K et al. found histological prevalences of *H. pylori* of 35.1%, 62.4% and 73.7% respectively in cirrhotic patients, patients with non-ulcerative dyspepsia and those with peptic ulcer disease alone [7]. In China, the prevalence of *H. pylori* was 56.2% in cirrhotics with peptic ulcer disease versus 52.9% in cirrhotic patients alone (p > 0.05) [8].

Thus, it would appear that peptic ulcer and gastrobublitides are more frequent in cirrhotics; however, the prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* in the latter is comparable or even lower than in non-cirrhotic patients.

Given the low prevalence of *H. pylori* contrasting with the high frequency of gastroduodenal lesions in cirrhotics, it is very likely that other determinants beside *H. pylori* are involved in the development of these lesions in this population.

The etiology of cirrhosis has been suggested as a factor in the occurrence of peptic ulcer and gastrobublitis during cirrhosis [9]. However, the sample size of our study, particularly in relation to non-viral aetiologies, does not allow us to apprehend this association. Dong J K et al. estimated the prevalence of peptic ulcer disease to be 42.5% in patients with viral cirrhosis versus 22% in patients with alcoholic cirrhosis (p < 0.001) [4].

Hypertensive gastropathy, decreased prostaglandins, disturbances in gastric acid secretion, and increased serum gastrin concentration are incriminated factors in the occurrence of gastroduodenal lesions in cirrhotics; however, the role of these factors is controversial [9] [10].

Apart from gastroduodenal lesions, esophageal lesions, particularly infectious ones, were found. Candidiasis was the most common esophageal lesion. It was objectified in 18 patients (21.9%). Contrary to the association between esophageal candidiasis and human immunodeficiency virus HIV, very few data are available on esophageal candidiasis during cirrhosis.

Zilberfajn et al. reported a prevalence of invasive candidiasis (candidemia and/or peritoneal candidiasis) of 10.8% during cirrhosis. The presence of cirrhosis was found to be a risk factor for invasive candidiasis with an OR of 3.5 (1.7 - 6.7) (p < 3 - 10) [11].

Cirrhotics present a lymphocyte lineage disorder that occurs in particular within the Peyer’s patches. They also have a disorder of the permeability and integrity of the intestinal barrier, which diminishes the defense against and elimination of infectious agents. These abnormalities partly explain the frequency of microbial translocations in these patients, aggravated by the presence of portal hypertension. All of these dysfunctions result in susceptibility to bacterial and fungal infections through translocation and dissemination via the bloodstream and local circulation [11] [12]. Esophageal candidiasis must be adequately managed in cirrhotics, because it is a relative contraindication to ligation of esophageal varices.

These various esophageal-gastroduodenal inflammatory lesions often justify the prescription of proton pump inhibitors. However, the deleterious role of
proton pump inhibitor intake on the occurrence of ascites liquid infection in cirrhotic patients is suggested in the literature. Hydrochloride is thought to promote intestinal bacterial proliferation and increase intestinal permeability, thereby increasing the risk of bacterial translocation [7]. Given the potential deleterious role of intestinal microbial overgrowth on liver injury that has been suggested by some authors, it is questionable whether greater attention should be paid to PPI prescribing in cirrhotic patients [7].

5. Conclusion

The retrospective nature of our study and the small sample size are limitations. However, our study showed that digestive lesions not related to portal hypertension during cirrhosis are very common. They were present in 3/4 of the cases of cirrhosis. Peptic ulcer and congestive and erosive gastrobulbitis were the most frequently observed lesions. There was no significant association between lesions unrelated to portal hypertension and the severity of cirrhosis.
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