Debiasing Event Understanding for Visual Commonsense Tasks

Minji Seo1; YeonJoon Jung2∗, Seungtaek Choi2, Seung-won Hwang1†, Bei Liu3

1Seoul National University, 2Yonsei University, 3Microsoft Research Asia
{minjiseo, seungwonh}@snu.ac.kr, {theaitetus, hist0613}@yonsei.ac.kr,
Bei.Liu@microsoft.com

Abstract

We study event understanding as a critical step towards visual commonsense tasks. Meanwhile, we argue that current object-based event understanding is purely likelihood-based, leading to incorrect event prediction, due to biased correlation between events and objects. We propose to mitigate such biases with do-calculus, proposed in causality research, but overcoming its limited robustness, by an optimized aggregation with association-based prediction. We show the effectiveness of our approach, intrinsically by comparing our generated events with ground-truth event annotation, and extrinsically by downstream commonsense tasks.

1 Introduction

Recently, commonsense reasoning tasks on visiolinguistic input have been actively studied in both vision and language communities, with the goal of commonsense reasoning. For example, in Visual COMET (Park et al., 2020), given an image X and event e as input, we are tasked to predict intent (or, events before/after), known as intent prediction. Similarly, Visual Commonsense Reasoning (VCR; Zellers et al. 2019), given image and question Q, requires to provide an answer A or provide a rationale for A, known as justification task.

For such tasks, understanding event e plays a crucial role, either required as input or expected as output—for example, 41% of rationales from VCR are related to e. However, assuming the availability of e for new images at test time is considered impractical, for which Park et al. (2020) consider two baselines: 1) setting input e as NULL, and 2) generating e by training generator GEN. However, according to Park et al. (2020), empirical results reported GEN performs even worse than NULL, or, shows a negative transfer, which is counter-intuitive.

Our goal is robustifying event understanding, or, event generator GEN with a higher transferability to the downstream tasks of visual commonsense reasoning. Our hypothesis is that object-event bias in the dataset hinders transferability: In Figure 1, while the image and human-annotated e, denoted as GOLD, are not related to an event “sitting”, existing GEN, denoted as B-GEN for Biased GENeration, generates an event “sitting”, even when no one is sitting on the chair. We argue that the generation is biased by the frequent association of chair-sitting in the dataset.

In contrast, we propose D-GEN for Debiased GENeration. Contrary to association-based estimation, which fails to distinguish spurious correlation P(sitting|chair), do-calculus collectively considers other observations, such as “sitting” on other objects (e.g., bed or table), to lower the likelihood of such spurious correlations as humans do. However, while do-calculus has been successful at debiasing other types of biases, e.g., between word and visual word (Zhang et al., 2020), we find a limitation for object-event debiasing as in Figure 2: Though do-calculus can find a causal relation, i.e., diningtable-sit, with high P(sit|do(diningtable)), the same logic does not apply to rarely observed ob-
2 Methodology

Figure 3 overviews our framework in three steps: (1) probability estimation, (2) probability optimization, and (3) test-time debiasing.

2.1 Probability Estimation

As discussed above, we propose a new estimation of causality by combining both $P(Y|do(X))$ and $P(Y|X)$. For this purpose, we first leverage DeVLBert (Zhang et al., 2020) to estimate $P(Y|do(X))$, whose pre-training task, of leveraging 3.04 million <image, caption> to debias spurious correlations between image and words, is relevant to our objective of debiasing object-event. We thus aim to transfer the pre-trained DeVLBert to fit in our problem, by a fine-tuning task that can adapt to words that are important for event debiasing, e.g., verb. Specifically, we train DeVLBert with a classification task of matching image $X$ with the right event description $Y$, generating a high score for a matching $X - Y$ pair.

In our classification task, DeVLBert takes the image $X$ and the textual event description $Y$ separately. Specifically, for textual input, it follows Bert convention (Devlin et al., 2018), where each token is represented as a sum of its corresponding token/position/segment embeddings, yielding a sequence of embeddings, i.e., $y = \{w_{[CLS]}, w_1, \ldots, w_{|Y|}\}$. The special token [CLS] is used to capture the global information in the text. For visual input, by viewing the sub-regions of interest as visual words, the image is represented as a sequence of visual words, where each visual word (object) is detected by Faster-RCNN (Anderson et al., 2018) and the features of visual words $\{o_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,k}$ are extracted by ResNet101 (He et al., 2016). Similarly with textual input, a global representation for the whole image $o_{[G]} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} o_i$ is added at the beginning of the sequence, resulting $x = \{o_{[G]}, o_1, \ldots, o_k\}$. By finally feeding $x$ and $y$ into co-attentinal transformer layers (Lu et al., 2019), DeVLBert is trained to predict the gold event description $y$ among candidate event descriptions that are randomly sampled as negative.
Once this training is done, we can reconstruct \( P(Y|\text{do}(X)) \) by computing the fine-tuned DeVL-Bert scores \( f_i(x, y) \) for event candidates, and normalizing the scores into a probability distribution.

Then, we adopt ViLBert (Lu et al., 2019) to estimate \( P(Y|X) \), which we denote as \( f_a \), following the same protocol described above. We stress that our proposed solution, building upon ViLBert/DeVLBert, is orthogonal to other task models using Faster-RCNN features (Anderson et al., 2018) such as UNITER and VILLA (Chen et al., 2020; Gan et al., 2020).

### 2.2 Probability Optimization

As we claimed above, it is important to distinguish rare-but-causal correlations from frequent-but-spurious correlations, for which we propose a more robust estimation \( f_R \) by aggregating \( P(Y|X) \) and \( P(Y|\text{do}(X)) \). In this work, we formalize it as an optimization problem, searching over a space \( \Omega \) of possible aggregations of \( P(Y|X) \) and \( P(Y|\text{do}(X)) \), for the goal of maximizing an objective function \( \mathcal{R} \).

We thus aim to enumerate the search space \( \Omega \) to maximize the objective function \( \mathcal{R} \). However, as exhaustively enumerating the search space \( \Omega \) is infeasible, we consider the following two desired properties for \( f_R \) that can reduce the search space: 1) Positive correlation with \( f_i \) to preserve the strength of \( P(Y|\text{do}(X)) \) for identifying frequent-and-causal correlations, and 2) Negative correlation with \( f_a \) to prevent the over-estimation problem of \( P(Y|X) \) for frequent-but-spurious correlations, where the optimization process for the robust estimation of causality \( f_R \) can be written as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{maximize} \quad & \mathcal{R}(\Phi_a(f_a) \odot \Phi_i(f_i)), \\
\text{s.t.} \quad & \Phi_a(f_a) = \lambda_a \odot f_a, \\
& \Phi_i(f_i) = \lambda_i \odot f_i, \\
& \lambda_a, \lambda_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}, \odot \in \{\cdot, \oplus\}, \\
& \in \{-, \}/, \text{and } \odot \in \{+, \ast\},
\end{align*}
\]

where the objective function \( \mathcal{R} \), to directly examine the effectiveness of \( f_R \) regarding its capability of identifying causal correlations, is defined as the number of correctly identified spurious correlations, which can be validated with human-selected confounders (Section 3).

### 2.3 Test Time Debiasing

Now that we trained a robust confounder identifier \( f_R \), the next step is applying \( f_R \) on the test images to explicitly eliminate confounders. However, as the event \( y \) is missing for test image, we predict an event \( \hat{y} \) by employing “poisonous” model with the same bias, namely \( f_a \), such that we use \( \hat{y} = \arg \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} f_a(x, y) \). As \( f_R \) is trained to distill biases from association-based prediction, with the predicted event \( \hat{y} \), we can identify the confounder object \( o_c \) with the lowest causality, likely spurious: \( o_c = \arg \min_{o_i} f_R(x_{o_i}, \hat{y}) \), where \( x_{o_i} \) denotes a constrained input for measuring the causality of a single object \( o_i \), i.e., \( x_{o_i} = \{o_{\{c\}}, o_i\} \). Note that this selection can be iterated with a score threshold (tuned from validation set) for multi-confounder cases. Without loss of generality, we consider \( o_c \) as a single object in this section.

With the identified confounder \( o_c \), our final goal is to obtain a debiased image \( \hat{X}_R \) for the purpose of robust event understanding, or event generator \( \text{GEN} \) with high transferability. To this end, we propose to remove all the visual features of objects that are of the same class with the identified confounder: \( \hat{X}_R = X \setminus \{o_i|o_i \approx o_c\} \). By feeding the debiased input \( \hat{X}_R \) for downstream tasks, our method will mitigate the spurious correlations in task models.

### 3 Experimental Settings

| Dataset       | Train | Valid | Test |
|---------------|-------|-------|------|
| VisualCOMET   | 47.5K | 5.9K  | 5.9K |
| VCR           | 80.4K | 9.9K  | 9.5K |

Table 1: Statistics for datasets.

To evaluate the effectiveness of our framework, we conduct experiments on VisualCOMET and VCR datasets, by training model on the former, and study out-of-domain generalization for the latter. We report results for event, intent, and rationale prediction tasks from each dataset, respectively. The statistics of the datasets are presented in Table 1.

To adopt ViLBert/DeVLBert for \( f_a \) and \( f_i \), we trained ViLBert/DeVLBert with our classification task for 20 epochs with a batch size of 64, and the initial learning rate is set as 2e-5. We adopt standard models for each tasks. For event understanding and intent inference tasks, We adopt GPT-2 based

---

1 We constraint \( \mathcal{E} \) the 111,796 training set events provided in (Park et al., 2020).
single-stream Transformer architecture, introduced in Park et al. (2020). Following the convention in Park et al. (2020), the parameters are optimized by Adam optimizer (Kingma and Ba, 2015) with a learning rate of 5e-5 and batch size of 64. For the VCR-justification task, we adopt ViLBert (Lu et al., 2019), which takes the concatenated question and correct answer as query to predict rationales. Following the settings of ViLBert, we fine-tuned ViLBert by Adam optimizer (Kingma and Ba, 2015) with a learning rate of 2e-5 and batch size of 64. For both tasks we used the maximum number of detected objects \( k \) is set as 15.

To build human-selected confounders for the validation purpose (Section 2.2), we first present the objects to human workers in the order of frequency, then ask them to filter out the objects with causality, so that confounders, or, spurious object-event pairs remain. We stress that the annotation process is efficiently guided by machine selections, such that humans are not exposed to all pairs, but only the surviving pairs from the first-phase machine selection, to filter out objects with causality, such that only the spurious object-event pairs remain after the second phase. We also found causality can be reliably annotated among multiple annotators, from a substantial inter-annotator agreement: Human-selected confounders agreement was measured to be 0.689 in Cohen’s Kappa coefficient.

4 Experimental Results

We now proceed to empirically validate the effectiveness of our approach, in three dimensions: 1) capturing rare-but-causal correlations 2) transferability and 3) out-of-domain generalization. **Capturing Rare-but-causal**: To investigate the effectiveness of our approach in capturing rare-but-causal correlations, we perform the event understanding task on the VisualCOMET dataset. For the experiment, we split the validation samples into a frequent set and a rare set, where the latter requires identifying rarely observed causal correlations. Among the images with the rarely observed object-verb pairs, \( i.e., \) occurrences < 20, we collect the images with an object-verb, where the verb exists in the ground-truth event description \( E_{\text{gold}} \), as rare-but-causal set. A desirable model should generalize well to the challenging set, or, the gap between the original and challenging sets should be small.

We report our results on event understanding in Table 2, reporting METEOR (Denkowski and Lavie, 2014) between the generated events \( (E_{\text{gen}}(\hat{X}_I), E_{\text{gen}}(\hat{X}_R)) \) with the gold event \( E_{\text{gold}} \) on frequent set, rare set, and total set respectively. As a baseline, we compare ours with the intervention-based baseline \( \hat{X}_I \). In frequent set, \( \hat{X}_I \) and \( \hat{X}_R \) showed similar results as both are capable of capturing causal correlations when they appear frequently. On the contrary, in the case of rare-but-causal correlations, the gap between ours \( \hat{X}_R \) and \( \hat{X}_I \) increases, showing the strengths of ours at distinguishing rare-but-causal correlations from frequent-but-spurious correlations. As a validation of such strengths, we compare the error rate on detecting rare-but-causal correlation of \( f_R \) and \( f_i \). We confirmed that \( f_R \) significantly reduces the error rate from 19% of \( f_i \) to 15%, verifying that the event understanding benefits from distinguishing rare-but-causal correlation out of frequent-and-spurious correlation.

**Transferability**: We evaluate how our approach contributes to transferability, which we define as the gap between event description as NULL and GEN, on intent inference task. We report our results on the intent inference task in Table 3, reporting BLEU-2 (Papineni et al., 2002), METEOR (Denkowski and Lavie, 2014), and CIDEr (Vedantam et al., 2015) between the inferred intent with the gold intents. Specifically, we extend the comparisons with input debiasing for the generated events, \( i.e., \) \( E_{\text{gen}}(X) \rightarrow E_{\text{gen}}(\hat{X}_R) \). In the table, we observe that, without input debiasing, generated event \( E_{\text{gen}}(X) \) is not beneficial, achieving only a minor improvement in BLEU-2 (2.14 → 2.26), due to its low transferability as Park et al. (2020) observed a decrease. On the other hand,
we observe that when $E_{gen}(\hat{X}_R)$ is equipped with the debiased input $\hat{X}_R$, the model performs best (performing closely to the oracle case with human annotation GOLD), showing the effectiveness of debiasing towards transferability.

**Out-of-domain Generalization:** A reliable neural network should be able to generalize across the distribution shift, i.e., test distribution is different from the training distribution. To make the point that our approach can make the model generalize over distribution shifts, we conduct experiments on the VCR-justification task, where the linguistic parts may not overlap with VisualCOMET.

|       | X      | $\hat{X}_I$ | $\hat{X}_R$ |
|-------|--------|-------------|-------------|
| Top-1 Acc (%) | 62.94 | 63.90 | **64.22** |

Table 4: Results on justification task in VCR dataset.

The results of the VCR-justification task are presented in Table 4. In accordance with the result of former experiments, the proposed debiased input $\hat{X}_R$ significantly improves the result without further training, achieving 1.28 point accuracy gain from $X \rightarrow \hat{X}_R$, while baseline input debiasing $\hat{X}_I$ achieving only 0.96 point gain. It demonstrates that our proposed input debiasing can generalize on out-of-domain tasks by better distinguishing confounder objects of spurious correlation.

5 Related Work

Inspired by the success of large-scale pre-trained language models (Devlin et al., 2018; Radford et al.), large-scale pre-training on transformers have shown that it can also benefit visio-linguistic tasks, showing better transferability on various downstream tasks (Lu et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020). However, it is reportedly bad when the transformer is trained on out-of-domain datasets, that are not aligned with its pre-training corpus (Chen et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020), as they are purely likelihood-based, leading to spurious correlations and hurting the generalization ability. To this end, recent approaches adopt a traditional do-calculus (Pearl et al., 2016), encouraging causal intervention-based estimation to remove spurious correlations, that can be exploited in vision-only dataset (Wang et al., 2020) or visio-linguistic dataset (Zhang et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2021). Different from these works, we focus on identifying spurious correlation comparing association-based and intervention-based knowledge.

6 Conclusion

We studied the problem of robustifying event understanding, to overcome dataset bias, by combining observational and interventional estimations. Our experiments suggest that this extension improves event understanding, and eventually visual commonsense tasks.
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