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Abstract
Catalytic pyrolysis has been used to upgrading the quality of pyrolytic liquids. Herein, we report a comprehensive study on the catalytic pyrolysis of waste tires using Ni/SiO₂ as catalysts. The analyses were carried out by combining thermogravimetry (TGA), TGA interfaced to a Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (TGA–FTIR), and pyrolysis coupled to gas chromatography/mass spectrometer (Py–GC/MS) techniques. During waste tire decomposition, the main functional groups detected in the FTIR were alkenes, aromatics, and heteroatoms-containing groups such as nitrogen, sulfur, and oxygen. Meanwhile, by Py–GC/MS were identified mainly D,L-limonene, isoprene, benzene, toluene, xylenes (BTX), and p-cymene. The Py–GC/MS experiments at three different temperatures (350, 400, and 450 °C) suggested an effect of the catalyst on product distribution. The Ni catalyst promoted cyclization reactions and subsequently aromatization, leading to an improved vapors composition. The use of iso-conversional kinetic models along with master plots allows proposing a multiple-step reaction mechanism, which was well described by the Avrami–Erofeev, Random Scission, and truncated Sestak–Berggren models. The values of activation energies show differences for the catalyzed and uncatalyzed pyrolysis (111.0 kJ mol⁻¹ and 168.4 kJ mol⁻¹), validating the effectivity of Ni/SiO₂. Finally, the thermal Biot (> 1) and Py¹ and Py² numbers (10⁻³ < Py¹ < 10⁻¹ and 10⁻² < Py² < 10⁻³) confirms that the process is being occurred between the kinetic and the convection-limited regimes.
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Disposal of waste tires is a challenge due to the huge quantities generated and to their complex polymeric composition. Pyrolysis is a promising strategy for its treatment. This is a thermal process (500–900 °C) without oxygen, leading to the formation of a gas (C1–C4 and H2), a solid (carbon) and a liquid phase (pyro-oil). Several catalysts have been used to upgrade pyrolytic products, being zeolites the most explored. In contrast, supported metallic nanoparticles have been explored to a limited extent. Herein, we report a comprehensive analysis on using a SiO2-supported Ni catalyst in waste tire pyrolysis reaction and the catalytic effect of metal clusters (insulated from that of the support) on reaction pathways and kinetic implications was reported.

Introduction

Every year around 25.7 million tons of tires are wasted worldwide, and only 65% of them undergo some form of recycling or recovery [1]. After their useful life, the handling and disposal of tires involve several technical and environmental challenges, mostly related to the huge quantities generated yearly and to their complex polymeric composition. Most of the tire formulations are constituted by a blend of natural rubber (cis-1,4-poly-isoprene: NR) and synthetic rubbers such as styrene-butadiene (SBR) and/or polybutadiene (BR) alongside a set of substances including vulcanizing agents, accelerators, aging retardants, and reinforced fillers as carbon black; this latter provides black pigmentation, resilience, and abrasion resistance [2]. This chemical richness is one of the drivers for seeking more convenient solutions for valorizing the tires into marketable products within a circular economy cycle. In this endeavor, thermal treatment via pyrolysis has emerged as an alternative to current practices viz incineration and landfills [3].

Pyrolysis is a thermal process carried out at temperatures ranging from 350 to 700 °C, under a low-oxidizing or inert atmosphere. When waste tires (WT) are transformed via pyrolysis, the original polymer mixture decomposes into three fractions: a solid (recovered carbon black, rCB), a multicomponent liquid mixture (tire pyrolytic oil, TPO), and a gaseous product containing CO, CO2, H2, SO2 (tire pyrolytic gas, TPG). All these fractions can be used in many processes, depending on their composition [2, 3]. For example, the gas fraction could be used for providing the heat required by the pyrolysis process, or it could be reformed into a hydrogen-rich gas. At the same time, the solid can be used as a precursor of activated carbons, a substitute of carbon black, as an additive for asphalt mixtures, and more recently, as a catalyst [4]. In addition, the liquid fraction, containing aromatic and aliphatic compounds (5–20 carbons), has a high heating value (ca. 44 MJ kg−1); thus, it has potentialities as an alternative fuel or fuel additive [2, 3, 5–7]. Moreover, advanced ideas are under discussion for taking advantage of the TPO as a building block in fine chemistry and as an additive for auto-healing processes [2].

During the pyrolysis of waste tires, cracking reactions start with the rupture of S–S bonds since these have the lowest binding energy around 272.8 kJ mol−1, followed by C–S (307.9 kJ mol−1) and C–C (377.4 kJ mol−1) bonds, respectively [8]. These reactions lead to the formation of several undesired compounds that are obtained along with the valuable products (aromatic hydrocarbons, aliphatic hydrocarbons, terpenes, etc.), which hinders the quality and applications of the TPO. Hence, one of the challenges for valorizing WT via pyrolysis is controlling the selectivity to specific compounds, which can be achieved by using specific catalytic materials [3].

The catalytic pyrolysis can overcome some of the drawbacks mentioned above, leading to a high-rank TPO with a low content of sulfur and polycyclic aromatics compounds [2, 3, 5]. In this sense, the use of acid catalysts (mainly zeolites) has been extensively studied to upgrade TPO. In contrast, supported nano-sized metallic particles have been explored to a limited extent. In a recent study carried out by Yu et al. [9], the Cu, Zn/HZSM-5, and Cu, Zn-MCM-41 were evaluated as catalysts for improving the TPO quality. It was demonstrated that the Cu and Zn promoted the cracking of macromolecular components, dehydrocyclization and aromatization reactions, besides assisting the removal of sulfur compounds. The acid characteristic of supports and the formation of new acidic sites at the support-metal interface were responsible for the observed activity and selectivity. However, the acid catalysts can also promote the formation of high amounts of coke, which is critical for their operation stability. The coke formation has been related to the concentration of polycyclic aromatics and polar aromatics compounds, which could condense within catalyst pores. Hence, the catalyst stability can be improved by reducing the formation of these species during the pyrolysis process as have been reported elsewhere [10–12].

More recently, Jitkarnka et al. [10, 11] reported the production of light olefins and light oil from waste tires, using Ru supported over MCM-41 and SBA-15. In both cases, catalysts’ presence increased the yield of light oil with a significant concentration of monoaromatics and olefins and a minor content of poly- and polar-aromatics compared with non-catalytic pyrolysis. A similar result was achieved on Pt-loaded zeolites (HMOR and HBETA) [12].
Other transition metals have been demonstrated to be active for transforming complex mixtures of polymeric residues [13–15] and to produce oil with an upgraded composition [16–18]. In this regard, nickel showed to promote the activity of zeolites (HZSM-5, HMOR, HY, and HBETA) by enhancing the quality of TPO in reference to its fuel properties [17]. For example, when Ni/HZSM-5 and Ni/HMOR were used as catalysts for WT pyrolysis, a lighter TPO with a higher proportion of gasoline and kerosene and lower sulfur content was obtained. In contrast, the Ni/HBETA and Ni/HY promoted heavier fractions with a high proportion of di-, poly-, and polar-aromatics compared to pristine zeolites. However, according to Namchot et al. [17], both the channel structure and the acidic characteristics of zeolites governed the product distribution and sulfur removal, so that zeolite properties more than the metallic site strongly influenced catalytic behavior. Therefore, the specific role of Ni sites during the WT pyrolysis is not well understood as well as its kinetic and mechanistic implications remain unsolved.

From the above-discussed, it is evident that the available information on WT catalytic pyrolysis is continuously increasing, which is also posing new emerging questions. Accordingly, herein we report a comprehensive study on using SiO2-supported Ni metallic nanoparticles as catalysts for WT pyrolysis. The catalytic effect of metal clusters was insulated from that of the support since SiO2 has been demonstrated to be catalytically inactive in this reaction.

**Materials and Methods**

**Waste Tires Characterization**

The polymeric fraction of waste tires was collected from a local company. Samples were received as granules, thus they were crushed and sieved into particle sizes between 180 and 300 μm. The resulting fines were then analyzed for elemental composition in a Leco CHNS 628 elemental analyzer and following the ASTM D3172 Standard. Furthermore, the proximate analysis was performed in a muffle furnace (Thermo Scientific F6020C) according to the ASTM D3172 standard. Traces of inorganic elements were quantified by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) using a PerkinElmer Optima 7000 DV ICP-OES series instrument. More details of methods and equipment can be found in [19].

**Catalyst Synthesis and Characterization**

The synthesis of the catalyst was carried out by incipient wet impregnation using Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (≥ 99.0%, Merck) as the Ni precursor and SiO₂ as support. The SiO₂ was provided by Merck (CAS Numbers 7631-86-9), and it was crushed and sieved to particle sizes between 125 and 180 μm. The SiO₂ was chosen as support because it has a large surface area (228.4 m² g⁻¹); besides it is chemically inert for waste tire pyrolysis, which allows the direct analysis of the catalytic activity of the supported nanoparticles [16]. The metallic load and impregnation volume (0.27 mL g⁻¹) were adjusted to obtain 5% w/w for Ni loadings. The impregnated sample was dried at 100 °C in an oven for 24 h, then calcined at 500 °C (heating rate 2 °C min⁻¹) for 2 h in a muffle (Nabetherm LT3/12). Finally, the catalytic material was reduced at 500 °C (heating rate 2 °C min⁻¹) for 2 h under a flow of H₂ (20 mL min⁻¹, 99.9999% Airliquide, Chile) in a U-type quartz reactor (18 mm ID).

The textural properties of the catalysts were determined from nitrogen adsorption/desorption at – 196 °C using a Micromeritics Gemini VII 2390t instrument. Typically, 0.5 g of sample were degassed at 110 °C under a continuous flow of pure N₂ for 2 h before the adsorption tests. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) specific surface area, total pore volume, and pore size distribution were calculated from N₂ isotherms.

The morphology and the particle size distribution of the metallic particles in the supported catalysts were determined by transmission electron microscopy (JEOL JEM-1200 EX II equipment). The average sizes of metal particles are cited in this document as the average diameter in number (∑) defined in Eq. 1:

\[
\bar{d} = \frac{\sum n_i d_i}{\sum n_i}
\]

where \( n_i \) is the number of particles of \( d_i \) diameter and \( \sum n_i \) is the number of analyzed nanoparticles.

Bulk crystalline phases of the Ni/SiO₂ were analyzed by measuring the X-ray diffraction patterns (XRD) in a Bruker D4 diffractometer provided with CuKα radiation (\( \lambda = 0.15418 \) nm). The signal was generated at 40 kV and 20 mA. The 2θ was scanned between 3° and 90° at a speed of 0.02° s⁻¹. The crystalline phases were identified by a search-match procedure with the software Mercury 3.7, using the Crystallography Open Database (COD). Besides, the sizes of the metallic particles were estimated through the Scherrer’s equation:

\[
L = \frac{K \lambda}{\beta \cos(\theta)}
\]

where \( L \) is the crystallite size in nm, \( \lambda \) is the CuKα wavelength in nm; \( \beta \) is the full width at half maximum intensity (FWHM) in radians; \( K \) is a constant near to unit; and \( \theta \) is the Bragg’s angle (rad).
Experimental Equipment and Methods for Catalytic Activity

Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)

TGA measurements were carried out using a Shimadzu DT50 Thermal Analyzer to evaluate the thermal events during tire decomposition with and without the catalyst. The thermogravimetric analyses were performed under flowing He (50 mL min⁻¹) using ~2 mg of the WT and heating rates of 10, 20, 25, and 40 °C min⁻¹. The studied temperature varied from ambient to 600 °C [19]. In all the experiments the catalyst-to-tire mass ratio was fixed at 8:1, to avoid the overloading of the TGA crucible, reducing in this way the mass and heat transfer limitations, and guarantying the measurements under a kinetically controlled regime [20].

Thermogravimetric Analysis Coupled with Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrometer (TGA–FTIR)

TGA–FTIR experiments were performed in a thermogravimetric analyzer (TA Instruments thermobalance model Q50) coupled to a FTIR (Thermo Scientific infrared spectrometer model Nicolet iS10, DTGS detector, and KBr windows). This experiment allows coupling the mass loss with the corresponding change in volatiles composition. In a typical experiment, the TGA and DTG profiles of WT and WT/Catalyst samples were recorded between ambient and until 600 °C (β = 25 °C min⁻¹) under flowing He (100 mL min⁻¹). The FTIR spectra of evolved gases were collected with a resolution of 8 cm⁻¹, 32 scans over the Mid-IR range (4000–400 cm⁻¹).

Py–GC/MS Analysis

A micro-pyrolysis analyzer (CDS5200, CDS Analytical Co Ltd.) coupled with a GC/MS (Clarus 690, QS8, Perkin Elmer) was used to evaluate the effect of Ni/SiO₂ on product distribution. The experiments were performed in the range of higher conversion during the TGA (350–450 °C) and using high purity He as carrier gas (pure 99.996%, BOC). The pyrolysis reactor consists of a quartz capillary tube (length of 2 cm and 2 mm ID), place within a platinum filament, which allows a heating rate of 2000 °C s⁻¹. For a typical experiment 1.0 ± 0.1 mg of WT was used (AD 6000 Ultra MicroBalance Perkin Elmer), and for the catalyzed reactions, the catalyst-to-waste tire ratio was fixed at 8.0. The chromatographic separation was performed with an Elite 1701 column (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 μm) which was heated from 45 to 280 °C at 2.5 °C min⁻¹. The compounds were identified by their mass spectra (NIST library) using a m/z range of 30–600 Da. The total ion chromatogram (TIC) area of each chromatographic peak was used to analyze the product distribution of condensable C₅₊ hydrocarbons (PD) as follows:

\[ PD = \frac{A_\alpha}{\sum A_{\alpha}} \]  

where \( A_\alpha \) and \( \sum A_{\alpha} \) are the area of a specific component and the total area of identified condensable hydrocarbons, respectively.

Kinetic Modeling Via p(y)-Isoconversional Methods

The thermal degradation of the WTs has demonstrated to be ruled by a multi-reaction mechanism, thus using a single kinetic model for its description is an oversimplification. Therefore, the kinetic describing the regular and catalytic pyrolysis of waste tires is usually studied by applying iso-conversional methods [19, 21]. At the moment, there is a myriad of kinetic studies validating the applicability of iso-conversional methods to waste tires pyrolysis [22–26]. Notably, the methods of Flynn–Wall–Oswa (FWO), Coats–Redferrn (CR), Friedman and Kissinger–Akahira–Sunose (KAS) have demonstrated excellent performance for studying the kinetics of WTs pyrolysis.

Accordingly, the apparent activation energies for the thermal decomposition of WT during regular and catalytic pyrolysis were determined here by the Kissinger–Akahira–Sunose (KAS) method. It has demonstrated suitability for analyzing polymers and waste tires with a linear relationship for the whole kinetic triplet [27]. The KAS method assumes that the reaction rate is the product of two functions, one depending solely on the temperature (T), and the other depending on the fraction transformed (α):

\[ \frac{da}{dt} = f(\alpha)k(T) \]  

If the temperature dependence is considered as Arrhenius-type function, and considering β as the heating rate, the Eq. 4 can be rearranged as:

\[ \frac{da}{dt} = \beta \left(\frac{da}{dt}\right) = Ae^{-\frac{E_a}{RT}}f(\alpha) \]  

Which after taking natural logarithms yields:

\[ \ln\left(\frac{da}{dt}\right) = \ln\left[\beta \left(\frac{da}{dt}\right)\right] = \ln[Af(\alpha)] - \frac{E_a}{RT} \]  

Assuming that the apparent activation energy remains constant through the duration of the reaction (i.e., from \( t = 0 \) to \( t_\alpha \) where \( t_\alpha \) is the time at conversion \( \alpha \)) and integrating Eq. 6 concerning \( \alpha \) and \( T \):
exp(−E_a/RT)\right)dT
\tag{7}
\end{equation}

where T_a is equal to the temperature at conversion \( \alpha \). If we define \( x \equiv \frac{E_a}{RT} \), Eq. (7) becomes:

\begin{equation}
g(\alpha) = \frac{AE_a}{\beta R} \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \exp(-x) \frac{\beta}{x^2} = \left( \frac{AE_a}{\beta R} \right) p(x)
\tag{8}
\end{equation}

Here \( p(x) \) is the integral of the temperature which for Doyle’s approximation \( \log(p(x)) \approx \exp(-x) \) leads to the well-known generalized equation representing the KAS’s method:

\begin{equation}
\ln\left( \frac{\beta}{T_m^2} \right) = -\frac{E_a}{R} \left( \frac{1}{T_m} \right) + C
\tag{9}
\end{equation}

where \( T_m \) is the temperature at the maximum reaction rate. Assuming \( \alpha \) has a fixed value, \( E_a \) can be determined from the straight-line slope obtained by plotting \( \ln(\beta/T_m^2) \) versus \( 1/T_m \).

The adequacy of the model and the pre-exponential factor in the Arrhenius equation can be determined by applying the \( z(\alpha) \) function described in Vyasovkin [28].

\begin{equation}
z(\alpha) = f(\alpha)g(\alpha) = \left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \frac{\alpha}{T_a} \right) \pi(x) \left( \frac{\beta}{\pi(x)} \right)
\tag{10}
\end{equation}

The term \( \frac{\pi(x)}{\beta T_a} \) in Eq. 10, can be neglected as it does not affect the shape of the \( z(\alpha) \) plot; thus, an identical \( z(\alpha) \) plot can be derived for different \( \beta \). Therefore, the experimental \( z(\alpha) \) can be elaborated by multiplying the \( \left( \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right) \) by the corresponding \( T_a^2 \). The resulting \( z(\alpha) \) values are plotted against \( \alpha \) and matched with the theoretical \( z(\alpha) \) master plots. The theoretical \( z(\alpha) \) is obtained from the \( f(\alpha)g(\alpha) \) corresponding to different models (Table S1) [28]. Usually, these curves are represented as normalized values as:

\begin{equation}
\text{Normalized experimental } z(\alpha) \text{ master plot: } \left[ \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left( \frac{\alpha}{T_a} \right) \right] \left( \frac{T_a}{T_{\text{ref}}} \right)^2
\tag{11}
\end{equation}

Normalized theoretical \( z(\alpha) \) master plot: \( \left[ f(\alpha)g(\alpha) \right] / \left[ f(\alpha)g(\alpha) \right] \text{ ref} \)

A common practice is to use \( \alpha = 0.5 \) as a reference to derive the functions mentioned above.

Finally, the pre-exponential factor can be calculated using the definition Vyasovkin [28].

\begin{equation}
A = \frac{-\beta E_a}{RT_{\text{max}}^2 f(\alpha_{\text{max}})} \exp\left( \frac{E_a}{RT_{\text{max}}} \right)
\tag{13}
\end{equation}

where the \( T_{\text{max}} \) represents the maximum of the differential kinetic curve and \( \alpha_{\text{max}} \) is the conversion corresponding to this temperature. All graphics were created by using OriginPro 2018.

\section*{Results and Discussion}

\subsection*{Waste Tires Characterization}

Proximate and elemental analyses displayed in Table 1, confirm the potential for using WT for pyrolysis. Volatiles fraction (VM) (58.8\%) suggests that a considerable fraction of C–H can be recovered via pyrolysis as condensable and non-condensable gases. Furthermore, the fixed carbon (FC) (non-volatile) and ash fractions, highlight the relative importance of additives (see majoritarian elements: Al, Fe, and Ca) on the applications of the solid products (i.e., recovered carbon black).

\subsection*{Catalyst Characterization}

The Ni loading on the support via impregnation and followed by treatment in pure \( H_2 \) did not significantly affect the support’s textural properties, as evidenced by the slight variations of \( S_{\text{BET}} \), VP, and \( d_p \) in Table 2. This observation allows

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Elemental composition & (wt.\%) & Proximate analysis (wt.\%)
\hline
C & 79.5 & 1352 & 509 & 1.1
H & 7.33 & 1152 & 508 & 30.2
O* & 2.77 & 1117 & 508 & 9.9
N & 0.47 & & & \\
S & 1.48 & & & \\
Al & & & & \\
Ca & & & & \\
Fe & & & & \\
K & & & & \\
Na & & & & \\
MC & & & & \\
VM & & & & \\
FC & & & & \\
Ash & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Composition of waste tire feedstock}
\end{table}

\*Oxygen is calculated by the difference from C, H, N, S, and Ash
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inferring that after impregnation, the resulting clusters partially plugged the support pores, leading to a slight reduction in the available surface (measured as BET). According to the IUPAC classification, it is possible to identify that the average pore size of the Ni/SiO2 catalyst corresponds to the range of mesoporous materials [29]. The mesoporous size of catalyst is desirable for pyrolysis applications due to the medium-sized molecules of volatiles, which should get to the surface to be converted into upgraded products (e.g., alkenes, BTX, etc.) [30]. The combination of the pore sizes (meso) with the large surface area obtained for the Ni/SiO2 minimized the transport limitations during the catalytic process.

Figure 1a presents a TEM micrograph for the catalyst Ni/SiO2, while Fig. 1b shows the particle size distributions (PSD) obtained from 200 individual particles measured in 10 TEM images. The TEM micrograph and the PSD histogram confirms that the synthesis of the Ni/SiO2 allows obtaining homogeneously dispersed metal particles with a normal distribution centered at 11 ± 3 nm. Homogeneous metal dispersion has been recognized as relevant for pyrolysis [31]; hence, these values are used for normalizing the catalytic activity results during the reaction experiments.

Figure 1a TEM image and b particle size distribution, average particle size (Dp), and standard deviation for Ni/SiO2 catalyst. The bar in the images represents 100 nm

The XRD pattern of the Ni/SiO2 catalyst is shown in Fig. 2 A broad peak is observed around 2θ = 22°, assigned to the [002] plane reflection of amorphous SiO2 [32]. In addition, an intense peak centered on 2θ = 44.3 and two minor peaks of 51.7° and 76.3° were identified, which were associated respectively to the [111], [200] and [220] diffraction of the Ni. The absence of oxidized Ni phases after exposure of the sample to air for XRD analysis is remarkable, demonstrating the stability of the Ni metal phase on the surface.

Table 2 summarizes the textural properties of the catalyst along with some structural parameters. In Ni/SiO2 catalyst the average particle size obtained by TEM and XRD shows a clear agreement. This similarity between

| Catalysts | Metal content (% wt.) | S_{BET} (m^2 g^{-1}) | V_{Pore}(cm^3 g^{-1}) | dp (nm) | L (nm) |
|-----------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------|--------|
| SiO2      | N/A                   | 228.4                | 0.27                  |         |        |
| Ni/SiO2   | 5                     | 204.5                | 0.24                  | 11 ± 3  | 11.25  |

*Average particle size obtained from TEM images (Eq. 2)

*Average particle size calculated from XRD (Scherrer’s equation)

Fig. 1a TEM image and b particle size distribution, average particle size (Dp), and standard deviation for Ni/SiO2 catalyst. The bar in the images represents 100 nm

Fig. 2 XRD results of fresh Ni catalyst sample. Standards from the COD database [33]: Ni (2,100,637). (http://www.crystallography.net/cod/)

The XRD pattern of the Ni/SiO2 catalyst is shown in Fig. 2 A broad peak is observed around 2θ = 22°, assigned to the [002] plane reflection of amorphous SiO2 [32]. In addition, an intense peak centered on 2θ = 44.3 and two minor peaks of 51.7° and 76.3° were identified, which were associated respectively to the [111], [200] and [220] diffraction of the Ni. The absence of oxidized Ni phases after exposure of the sample to air for XRD analysis is remarkable, demonstrating the stability of the Ni metal phase on the surface.

Table 2 summarizes the textural properties of the catalyst along with some structural parameters. In Ni/SiO2 catalyst the average particle size obtained by TEM and XRD shows a clear agreement. This similarity between

| Table 2 | Textural properties of Ni/SiO2 catalyst |
|---------|----------------------------------------|
| Catalysts | Metal content (% wt.) | S_{BET} (m^2 g^{-1}) | V_{Pore}(cm^3 g^{-1}) | dp (nm) | L (nm) |
| SiO2      | N/A                    | 228.4                | 0.27                  |         |        |
| Ni/SiO2   | 5                      | 204.5                | 0.24                  | 11 ± 3  | 11.25  |

*Average particle size obtained from TEM images (Eq. 2)

*Average particle size calculated from XRD (Scherrer’s equation)
the different estimation methods confirmed the accuracy of the prediction, and it indirectly validates the well homogeneous dispersion of the metallic clusters.

**TGA–FTIR Analyses**

**Thermogravimetric Analysis**

Figure 3a shows the TGA, the first (DTG), and the second derivatives (2DTG) of the weight loss for WT regular pyrolysis (non-catalytic) at a heating rate of 25 °C min⁻¹. For seeking clarity, the recorded TGA curve was divided into three major decomposition stages (Stage I, II, and III), which were associated with the conversion of the individual WT polymeric constituents (NR, SBR, and BR) [24, 34–36].

During the non-catalytic experiment, the DTG exhibits a maximum decomposition peak at 381 °C and a smooth shoulder centered at ~ 405 °C, respectively. This curve was then resolved through a second derivative of the TGA, confirming the overlapping of two conversion stages between 380 and 500 °C. Considering these results, stage II was subdivided into stage IIA and stage IIB, respectively.

The stage I (below 290 °C) corresponded to a mass loss of 4.21% under uncatalyzed conditions. This decomposition stage is often attributed to the volatilization of light hydrocarbons, plasticizers, and other low boiling-point components. Stage IIA (between 381 and 410 °C) is ascribed to the degradation of NR; while stage IIB, occurring between 410 and 500 °C, is characteristic of the SBR decomposition. Beyond 470 °C, mass loss was attributed to BR, representing less than 13% of weight in the tires.

The general profile of TGA, DTG, and 2DTG of the catalyzed pyrolysis of WT (Fig. 4) was similar to that of the uncatalyzed process. However, a slight shift in the characteristic temperatures for the Stages I, IIA, and IIB, and some differences in their corresponding weight losses were found when Ni/SiO₂ was present. This result suggests that the catalyst could be affecting depolymerization and subsequent reactions, which will be inspected more in-depth in the upcoming sections.

**Functional Groups of Evolved Pyrolytic Gases of TGA Detected by FTIR**

By FTIR was assessed the gas evolved during the thermal decomposition of WT. Figures 3b and 4b shows a zoom of the IR spectra for the uncatalyzed and catalyzed pyrolysis of WT in the range corresponding to Stages IIA and IIB. These regions were selected as they enclosed the highest mass loss; thus, they define the pyro-oil composition derived from WT.

---

**Fig. 3** a Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) and its first and second derivate for non-catalytic waste tire decomposition and b FTIR spectra of evolved gas. (See Table S3 for signal assignment information)
A complete screening of the FTIR is provided in the Supplementary Material (Fig. S1).

As shown, the IR spectra allowed to identify the presence of aliphatic hydrocarbons such as alkanes and/or alkenes and aromatics compounds in pyrolytic gases for both experiments [37]. Particularly, the aromatic compounds associated with the bands observed between 1500 and 1600 cm\(^{-1}\), usually ascribed to the C\(_2\) C stretching (which often occur in pairs) were present in the catalyzed reaction. The intensity of the absorbance peaks for this region allows supposing that, in the presence of Ni/SiO\(_2\), the formation of aromatics is enhanced during WT pyrolysis. This hypothesis was further confirmed by peaks appearing between 740 and 690 cm\(^{-1}\) only on catalyzed reaction, typically ascribed to out-of-plane bending vibrations in aromatics.

Similarly, the stretching vibrations of C\(_2\) C bonds in alkenes and conjugated dienes were detected at 1600–1670 cm\(^{-1}\) in both, catalyzed and uncatalyzed experiments. Meanwhile, the bands observed between 1330 and 1500 cm\(^{-1}\) were assigned to C–H bending vibrations from methyl and methylene groups (see C–H ids in Figs. 3b and 4b). On the other hand, the bend vibration around 690 cm\(^{-1}\) observed for the uncatalyzed reaction can be due to methylene rocking vibrations (\(\rho\)CH\(_2\)). In fact, this vibration can be associated with open-chain hydrocarbons containing four or more CH\(_2\) groups, which rock in phase, pointing out to higher content of these species for the non-catalytic reaction. Moreover, the strongest absorption in C–H stretching zone occurring in the 3000–2840 cm\(^{-1}\) region for the uncatalyzed experiment would confirm the presence of these species.

The C\(_2\) O stretching vibrations between 1650 and 1750 cm\(^{-1}\) indicate carbonyl groups, which could be expected in reaction products derived from the additives of raw tire [38–40]. While the peaks at 2320 and 2360 cm\(^{-1}\) characteristic of CO\(_2\) are proposing as derived from co-pyrolysis of additives and inorganic substances [41]. The band detected at 1460 cm\(^{-1}\) was ascribed to sulfur-containing species [39, 42]. Finally, the formation of CH\(_4\) via cracking of the aliphatic hydrocarbons and/or aromatic and cycloalkenes ring substituents is confirmed by band at 3014 cm\(^{-1}\) that appeared in the catalyzed pyrolysis [42].

The effect of using Ni/SiO\(_2\) on the formation of alkenes and aromatics at different temperatures could be semi-quantitatively evaluated through absorbance since, according to Bouguer–Lambert–Beers law, the absorbance is linearly dependent on the corresponding gas concentration at a specific wavelength [42–44]. Therefore, the TGA–FTIR data was used as a semi-quantitative measure for the abundance of these functionalities in the evolved gas (Fig. S2). With this aim, the C\(_2\) C stretching bands were chosen as markers for identifying alkenes and aromatics as they appear at different wavelengths for these species. Figure S2 shows that with catalyst, the absorbance of both alkenes and aromatics increased until 400 °C. A plateau is then reached, mainly for aromatic compounds, while both functionalities have a sustained increase for
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the uncatalyzed reaction. Besides, absorbance values were always much higher in catalyst presence. This observation could be related to an increased reaction rate for catalyzed reaction with Ni/SiO₂, leading to a quasi-steady state condition at lower temperatures than the uncatalyzed process.

**Evaluation of Pyrolytic Products Via Py–GC/MS Analysis**

The Py–GC/MS technique allows evaluating the catalyst effect during fast pyrolysis of the waste tire. A detailed list of the main identified compounds is provided in the supplementary material (Table S2). The product distribution was determined concerning the total area of identified compounds (Eq. 3) and the conversion of tire samples (Fig. 5a). Seeking for clarifying, the compounds were classified into three major groups: monocyclic aromatics, alkenes, and additives. Alkenes group includes cycloalkenes, isoprene, aliphatic terpenes, and limonene. The trend in the product distribution obtained from the Py–GC/MS agrees with the semi-quantitative results of the TGA–FTIR study. Since the SiO₂ support showed the same behavior on the product distribution that uncatalyzed reaction (Fig. S3), the catalytic activity found in the present study was attributed to the Ni metallic sites.

As shown in Fig. 5a, the main differences between catalyzed and non-catalyzed reactions were detected below 450 °C. At lower temperature evaluated (350 °C), Ni/SiO₂...
catalyst seems to favor the formation of alkenes, mainly cycloalkenes (Fig. 5a, b). Meanwhile, an increase in temperature at 400 °C resulted in a rise in monoaromatics content (Fig. 5a), mainly BTX, on the same reaction. These latter represent 28.1% of monoaromatics (Fig. 5c), while without catalyst only reach 9.7%. The increase in monoaromatics could occur at the expense of DL-limonene and cycloalkenes, as shown in Fig. 5b (red squares and triangles). It agrees with reaction pathways suggested by [9, 16, 45]. In this sense, Ding et al. [45], also reported that the main products of pyrolysis of DL-limonene, and BR were cycloalkenes in both cases, and these participated in the subsequent reactions leading to aromatics. The promotion of aromatization from cycloalkenes by using metal catalysts was also presented by Yu et al. [9] on Cu/MCM-41 catalysts. However, in this case, observed activity was ascribed to the medium-strong Lewis acid sites rather than metal participation.

It is worth highlighting that a higher formation of open chain alkenes was found on uncatalyzed reaction than on the catalyzed one at 400 °C, confirming that when Ni-catalyst was present, promotion of cyclization reactions was observed. At the highest temperature (450 °C), no significant differences were detected regardless of the presence or absence of catalyst for open-chain alkenes. However, for limonene and cycloalkenes, the catalyst leads to a reduction of 8% and 5% of the selectivity (Fig. 5b). This result correlates with the SBR and PB rubbers, which require a higher temperature for its depolymerization (Figs. 3 and 4), given rise to these species when temperature increase [46]. For uncatalyzed reaction, the temperature raising led to an increasing in alkenes formation while the composition of aromatic compounds did not change significantly for any studied temperatures. Previous results could indicate that secondary reactions such as C–C bond scission, cyclization, and aromatization are being promoted by Ni catalyst [47].

On the other hand, heteroatoms-containing compounds as nitrogen, sulfur, and oxygen (named additives in Fig. 5a) were also detected in the pyrolysis products. The main compound detected N-containing was 1,2-dihydro-2,2,4-trimethylquinoline, and S-containing was benzothiazole coming from antioxidants and vulcanizing accelerator, respectively, in agreement with previous reports [3, 48–50]. As shown in Fig. 5d, Ni catalyst and temperature contribute to reducing the content of these additives, improving the quality of the pyrolytic liquid [48, 50].

According to the results, the Ni/SiO2 catalyst could be an interesting alternative to obtain a pyrolytic oil enriched with cycloalkenes and/or monocyclic aromatic compounds (mainly BTX) at low temperatures. Besides, Ni-catalyst supported on SiO2 did not promote reactions conducting to the formation of polyaromatic compounds due to its low acidity, compared with bifunctional Ni-catalysts supported on zeolites [17]. This characteristic could enhance the catalyst stability as the coke deposits have been related to the formation of polycyclic aromatics and polar aromatics compounds [10–12]. Figure S4 shows the catalyst and support acidity as determined by TPD-NH3.

**Implications of Ni-Catalyst Presence on Reaction Pathways**

The D,L-limonene, has been reported as a major product during waste tire pyrolysis [19, 51–54]. This study detected a percentage oscillating between 20 and 40% of the total chromatogram area, with or without the catalyst. The D,L-limonene is mainly generated from the degradation of natural rubber by β-bond scission with respect to the double bonds of the main polymer chain (Fig. 6).

The allylic radical, thus formed, subsequently undergoes an intramolecular cyclization and β-bond scission to form D,L-limonene. In addition, this radical can also unzip toward the isoprene monomer. The isomerization and dehydrogenation reactions of limonene lead to p-cymene formation (Fig. 5c) detected mainly on Ni catalyst since, as it is known, both reactions can be favored over this catalyst [55, 56]. Finally, dealkylation reactions of p-cymene can lead to monocyclic aromatics formation, as was observed with catalyst presence.

On the other hand, alkenes and cycloalkenes can derive from the degradation of natural, styrene-butadiene and butadiene rubber, which occurs at different temperatures (NR < SBR < BR) according to results shown in “Thermogravimetric Analysis” section. From NR the D,L-limonene formed undergoes C–C bond rupture in the β position of the ring, resulting in a diradical diene, further transformed into an alkatriene. After that, the cyclization of this alkatriene leads to cycloalkenes formation (1,5,5,6-tetramethyl-1,3-cyclohexadiene and 5-ethyl-1,5-dimethyl-1,3-cyclohexadiene and isoprene), observed in higher amount in catalyzed reaction than in the uncatalyzed process (Fig. 5b).

This observation suggests that Ni/SiO2 is favoring the cracking reactions of DL-limonene, which would agree with its decrease observed between 350 and 400 °C for catalyzed pyrolysis. Subsequent aromatization and dealkylation reactions of these cycloalkenes resulted in the formation of monocyclic aromatic compounds. These aromatization reactions were mainly observed on Ni catalyst since the content of aromatic compounds doubled by raising the temperature at 400 °C (Fig. 5a). Conversely, for uncatalyzed pyrolysis, aromatics content remained constant. The favoring of these reactions is related to the known ability of Ni catalysts to participate in the dehydrogenation reactions [56].

Decomposition of BR produces butadiene, 4-ethenyl-cyclohexene, 1,4-cycloheptadiene, and cyclohexene from
direct depolymerization or/and then cyclization of depolymerized radicals [45]. All these compounds were detected within the pyrolytic products except butadiene. Meanwhile, from SBR decomposition are obtained butadiene and styrene. This latter was observed in reaction products (Fig. 5c). As from 400 °C, the decomposition of these rubbers would be responsible for the increase in alkenes content detected (Fig. 5a). Afterward, monocyclic aromatic compounds can be generated from these rubbers by cycloalkenes dehydrogenation reactions. These results suggest that Ni/SiO2 catalyst is promoting secondary reactions leading to the formation of cycloalkenes and monocyclic aromatics compounds at low temperatures. Therefore, it is worth noticing that the use of Ni/SiO2 will lead to a pyro-oil with upgraded fuel properties, enhanced by the increment in monoaromatics and alkenes.

Figure 6 shows reaction pathways leading to identified products and those favored mainly in catalyzed reaction.

**Kinetic Analysis**

**Isoconversional Method**

The results from the derivative thermal analysis (DTG) show a remarkable effect of the heating rate (β = 10, 20, 25, 40 °C min⁻¹) on the position of the maximum decomposition rate for catalytic (Fig. 7a) and non-catalytic (Fig. 7b) pyrolysis. The T_max position shifted to higher temperatures as the heating rates increased, regardless of catalyst use. Moreover, the T_max values of catalytic experiments (over Ni/SiO2) are lower (5 °C < ΔT_max < 10 °C) than those for non-catalytic assays at a fixed β. The kinetic implications of such behavior were inspected by the Kissinger’s method for which the plot \( \ln(\beta/T_m^2) \) versus \( 1/T_m \) depicted a linear tendency with a good correlation coefficient (see inserts in Fig. 7a, b). The T_max position in all the cases was always
below 50% of conversion ($\alpha_{\text{max}} < 50\%$), indicating that the reaction is in the nominal region, where integral methods are adequate.

The activation energy calculated for the Ni/SiO$_2$-catalyzed pyrolysis was 111.0 kJ mol$^{-1}$; while the uncatalyzed process resulted in 168.4 kJ mol$^{-1}$. This difference between the $E_a$ values demonstrates an important catalytic effect of the Ni/SiO$_2$, which could be explained by changes in the reaction routes taking place or due to the formation of stable complexes. The $E_a$ values agree with previous literature for similar residues and different kinetic methods [19, 26].

With the $E_a$ values in hand, a proposal of a plausible reaction model can be done by using the $z(\alpha)$ and $y(\alpha)$ master plots approach.

**Proposal of a Reaction Model Via Master Plots**

As discussed before, the pyrolysis of WTs is ruled by a complex reaction mechanism, which could vary according to the decomposition stage taking place. Therefore, the kinetic model’s proposal and the estimating of the corresponding kinetic triplet are not a trivial procedure. According to Vyazovkin [28] the use of master plots (i.e., $z(\alpha)$-plot and $y(\alpha)$-plot) concept for the elucidation of reaction models describing heterogeneous reactions could bring good results for WTs pyrolysis.

Figure 8 shows the $(\alpha)$-plot and $y(\alpha)$-plots for the non-catalytic and Ni/SiO$_2$-catalyzed WTs pyrolysis along with the kinetic models (Table S1), which better described the experimental observations. The shape of the experimental $y(\alpha)$-plots ($\alpha$ dependence with a maximum) for the non-catalytic pyrolysis allows supposing that the Avrami-Erofeev or truncated Sestak–Berggren models can fix the data. In the case of the non-catalytic pyrolysis, the $y(\alpha)$-plot for the $A_2$ model correlates to the experimental data with a $R^2 > 99\%$ in the whole conversion range, while the $z(\alpha)$-plot coincides with the same model for $\alpha < 0.5$. Nevertheless, above 50% of conversion the $z(\alpha)$-plot diverged from the Avrami-Erofeev model and is better described by the random scission $L_2$ model ($R^2 > 81\%$). This multi-model behavior is in-line with that reported by Qu et al. [21] for the WTs pyrolysis catalyzed with MnO$_2$ and corresponds to the different reaction pathways that NR, SBR and BR undergo during pyrolysis (Fig. 6). Finally, the Avrami analysis is rather a mathematical representation of the experimental data than a way for obtaining physical insights into the pyrolysis kinetics.

The trend in the experimental $y(\alpha)$-plot for the Ni/SiO$_2$-catalyzed pyrolysis of WTs, was described by the truncated Sestak–Berggren model (SB) ($f(\alpha) = a^m(1-a)^n; n = 1.9, m = 1.5$) with a $R^2 > 95\%$. The applicability of the SB model to the catalytic pyrolysis is reasonable as the parameters $n$ and $m$ in the theoretical model were adjusted to describe the experimental $f(\alpha)$ data.
This procedure is suggested by Vyazovkin [28] for treating the typical subjectivity for model selection and minimizing the errors associated with the idealized precepts supporting typical kinetic models. The maxima in the y(α)-plot for the SB model can be estimated as αm = n/(n + m) and is placed at α = 0.44. In fact, the maxima of the y(α)-plot and z(α)-plot coincide with this value, validating the predictions of the model. Therefore, the T_max value at α = 0.44 was substituted in Eq. 13 along with the corresponding kinetic model, resulting in A = 3.5 × 10^{10} (s^{-1}) for the Ni/SiO_2-catalyzed pyrolysis and A = 1.7 × 10^{15} (s^{-1}) for regular pyrolysis conditions. These values are also in-line with that reported in Teng et al. [25] and Qu et al. [21]. The use of iso-conversational kinetic models along with master plots allowed support the multi-step reaction mechanism.

Finally, the influence of mass and heat transfer limitations on the kinetic data was checked by applying the relation between thermal Biot and the so-called Py-numbers for reaction/conduction (Py^1) and for reaction/convection (Py^II) time scales [57]. The values of these parameters were evaluated between 400 and 500 °C (Biot > 1, 10^{-3} < Py^1 < 10^{-1} and 10^{-2} < Py^II < 10^{-3}) and compared to the criteria defined by Pyle and Zaror [57], confirming that the process is taking place between the kinetic and the convection-limited regimes. Accordingly, the kinetic analysis for solid decomposition is not highly affected by the transport limitations; thus, it represents the reaction mechanism taking place.

Conclusions

The effect of using a Ni/SiO_2 as a catalyst during the pyrolysis of waste tires was assessed by analyzing the composition of vapors. Experiments in Py–GC/MS and thermogravimetric analysis allow elucidating a plausible reaction pathway and a consistent kinetic model, respectively. The Ni/SiO_2 catalyst favored the formation of monomeric aromatic compounds at expenses of D,L-limonene, isoprene, benzene, toluene, xylenes, p-cymene, and N- and/or S-containing compounds. The Ni/SiO_2 catalyst promoted the cyclization reactions at lower temperatures (i.e., 350 °C), leading to higher cycloalkenes content than the uncatalyzed process. While at higher temperatures (400 °C), the catalyst favored the formation of monocyclic aromatic compounds at expenses of D,L-limonene, and in a minor extent of cycloalkenes. Our observations indicate that zero-valent Ni sites promote the C–C bond scission, cyclization, and aromatization. Additionally, the low acidity of support used in this study prevented the formation of polycondensed aromatic compounds reported in the literature for this type of reaction, which leads to the decreasing of pyrolytic oil quality. The use of iso-conversational kinetic models along with master plots allows proposing a multiple-step reaction mechanism, which was well described by Avrami-Erofeev, Random Scission, and truncated Sestak–Berggren models. Differences between the Ea values for the catalyzed (111.0 kJ mol^{-1}) and the non-catalyzed (168.4 kJ mol^{-1}) pyrolysis could be ascribed to the catalytic effect.
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