Minimal conductivity of rippled graphene with topological disorder
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We study the transport properties of a neutral graphene sheet with curved regions induced or stabilized by topological defects. The proposed model gives rise to Dirac fermions in a random magnetic field and in the random space dependent Fermi velocity induced by the curvature. This last term leads to singular long range correlated disorder with special characteristics. The Drude minimal conductivity at zero energy is found to be inversely proportional to the density of topological disorder, a signature of diffusive behavior.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Since its experimental realization [1, 2, 3], graphene has been a focus of intense research activity both theoretical and experimentally. The origin of this interest lies partially on the experimental capability of explore the transport properties that show a set of interesting features related to disorder and which open the way to graphene based electronics [4].

One of the most intriguing properties of graphene is the observation of a minimal conductivity at zero frequency in undoped suspended samples that in early measurements was argued to have a universal value of the order of $e^2/h$ [2, 3] independent of the disorder concentration and a factor of $\pi$ bigger than the one predicted by theory [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. Other experiments in both mechanically deposited and graphene grown on a substrate [17, 18, 19] have found a bigger dispersion in the coefficient of the universal behavior while more recent calculations [20, 21] have casted some doubts on the disorder dependence of the numerical coefficient and the actual situation remains unclear.

Another peculiarity of most of the graphene samples is the existence of mesoscopic corrugations [21, 22, 23] whose possible influence on transport properties only now starts to be explored [21, 24, 25, 26, 27]. Although the observed ripples were invoked from the very beginning to explain the absence of weak localization in the samples [6, 28, 29], there have been so far few attempts to model the corrugations based either in the curved space approach with [30, 31] or without topological defects [32], or on the theory of elasticity [21, 33, 34, 35]. The possible physical implications of the ripples in connection with the charge anisotropies has been revisited in very recent works [36, 37].

In refs. [30, 31] we proposed a model for rippled graphene based on the presence defective rings (pentagons and heptagons) in the samples. These types of topological defects have been observed in nanotubes and in bombarded graphite and are known to be a natural way to get rid of tensions in the hexagonal lattice [38]. It is then natural to think that some of the defects that were either present in the graphite sample or formed during the very energetic procedure of mechanical cleavage, stay quenched in the two dimensional samples.

It is clear by now that the low energy electronic properties of graphene are very well described by the massless Dirac equation in two dimensions, a fact coming from the symmetries of the hexagonal lattice and also obtained in the tight binding approximation [39]. It is also known that the Dirac points are very robust to deformations of the lattice [40] so we have modelled curved graphene assuming that the Dirac points are not affected by the presence of ripples and hence that the curved samples can be described by writing the Dirac equation in the given curved surface [41]. Within this formalism studied recently the electronic structure of the sample with topological defects [30, 31] and that of graphene with smooth curved regions [32]. In the last work we emphasized the fact that curvature gives rise not only to an effective magnetic field, a property known from the early times of graphene [42, 43, 44], but also to an effective position dependent Fermi velocity which can have strong influence on the physical properties of the system.

In this work we continue studying the physical properties of curved graphene within the "gravity" approach. The electronic properties were explored by means of the two point Green’s function of the electron and we could make advances keeping a fixed number of defects at given positions of the lattice. To study the transport properties is a much more difficult task. We need to assume a density of defects with some statistical distribution and to average over defects. We apply the standard techniques of disordered electrons [45] to rippled graphene by averaging over the random magnetic fields and over the effective Fermi velocity induced by curvature. We will make special emphasis on the case of having topological defects. The smooth curvature case can be implemented easily. We find that averaging over the space-dependent Fermi velocity treated as a random scalar field in the case of having topological defects,
gives rise to a singular, long range correlated interaction that affects severely the one particle properties of the system. We compute semiclassically the zero frequency conductivity and find that it depends on the inverse of the density of disorder, a behavior characteristic of diffusive systems. We argue that even if the Drude value obtained in this work gets renormalized by quantum corrections to the universal minimal conductivity there will still be a region in parameter space where this model differs from the ones studied previously.

The article is organized as follows: In Section II we review the model of topological defects and establish the effective Hamiltonian. In section III we define the statistical properties of the fields induced by the defects and apply the replica trick to get the effective four Fermi interaction. We see that the interaction is anisotropic and singular in the forward direction, a behavior due to the long range character of the conical singularities. We discuss this issue and extract the interaction coefficients that will appear in the computation of the lifetime and the density of states of the disordered system. Section IV contains the semiclassical expansion of the sigma model to get the low energy behavior of the system. We discuss two possible saddle points and compute the effective potential to establish the symmetry breaking minimum, a basic point to the rest of the calculation. From there we deduce, after some lengthy calculations detailed in the Appendix A, the diffusive behavior of the system. Section V contains a discussion of the results and open questions.

II. THE MODEL

In this section we follow closely refs [30, 31] to describe the explicit form of the potential generated by the defects and its statistical properties. The model for corrugated graphene is based on the presence of defective carbon rings at arbitrary positions in the lattice. It is known that substitution of an hexagon by an n-sided polygon with n greater (smaller) than six gives rise to locally curved portions in the sample with positive (negative) curvature. It was argued that the presence of an equal number of heptagons and pentagons would keep the sample flat in average and mimic the corrugations observed in the free standing samples. In the mentioned references, we studied the electronic properties of the sample by computing the electron Green’s function in the curved space defined by a given number of heptagons and pentagons located at fixed positions of the lattice. In order to study transport properties we need to consider a density of defects located at random positions.

The behavior of the electrons in curved graphene is described by the Hamiltonian:

$$H = iv_F \int d^2r \sqrt{g} \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu - \Omega_\mu(r)) \psi.$$  

The curved Dirac matrices $\gamma^\mu(r)$ are related with the usual constant matrices $\gamma^a$ by

$$\gamma^\mu(r) = e^\mu_a(r) \gamma^a,$$

where $e^\mu_a$ is the tetrad constructed with the metric tensor. It is this factor in combination with the determinant of the metric $\sqrt{g}$ gives rise to a space dependent effective Fermi velocity. In terms of a tight binding language this term can be modelled as a global modulation of the nearest neighbor hopping [36] or of the average distance between carbon atoms [37] induced by curvature. The term $\Omega_\mu$ contains the spin connection and the possible extra gauge fields induced by the defects [38]. It is given by

$$\Omega_\mu(r) = \Gamma_\mu(r) - \tau_\alpha A_\mu^\alpha(r)$$  

where $\Gamma_\mu$ is due to the spin connection and the non-abelian part $\tau_\alpha A_\mu^\alpha$ is related to the holonomy and will be discussed later.

In [30, 31] we described the curved space generated by an arbitrary number of topological defects by the metric

$$g_{ij} = e^{\Lambda(r)} \delta_{ij}$$  

where the conformal factor $\Lambda(r)$ takes the form

$$\Lambda(r) = \sum_j \frac{\mu_j}{2\pi} \log \left| \frac{r}{a^*} \right|,$$

where $\mu_j$ is a constant related to the defect (or excess) angle of the disclinations and $a^*$ is a constant of the order of the lattice spacing, interpreted as the radius of the "core" of the defect. The specific form of the conformal factor [31] gave rise to strongly diverging one particle properties like the local density of states. We will see that in the procedure
of averaging over disorder one particle properties will remain singular while two particle properties, like the Drude conductivity, will be convergent.

It is well known that the presence of topological defects has other consequences besides of curving the graphene sheet. Odd membered rings can mix the Fermi points that can be implemented by a nonabelian gauge field [12, 43]. Also if various defects are present, an extra phase appears due to the non commutativity of the holonomy operators associated to the valley mixing phase and the proper Berry phase that fermions get when they surround the group of defects [40, 47]. All these phases are naturally incorporated in this formalism by generic external nonabelian gauge fields $A_i(r)$ in equation (1). This also accounts for the disordered graphene classification described in [14, 48]. In the case of having an equal number of pentagon and heptagonal defects it can be shown that only the (abelian) gauge field associated to the conical singularity remains [38] we can restrict ourselves to the scattering problem around a single Fermi point. We will comment on the possible modifications of the calculation that a more general case would induce in section V.

The value of $|\mu_j| \equiv \mu$ is 1/24 for both pentagon and heptagon rings. We use $\mu$ as a perturbative parameter around flat space and expand the determinant of the metric $g_{ab}$ in section V. We will work in the intermediate case of having a random distribution of an equal number of five and seven rings [42, 43]. Also if various defects are present, an extra phase appears due to the non commutativity of the holonomy operators associated to the valley mixing phase and the proper Berry phase that fermions get when they surround the group of defects [40, 47]. All these phases are naturally incorporated in this formalism by generic external nonabelian gauge fields $A_i(r)$ in equation (1). This also accounts for the disordered graphene classification described in [14, 48]. In the case of having an equal number of pentagon and heptagonal defects it can be shown that only the (abelian) gauge field associated to the conical singularity remains [38] we can restrict ourselves to the scattering problem around a single Fermi point. We will comment on the possible modifications of the calculation that a more general case would induce in section V.
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The origin of the correlator (9) can be understood when we consider the nature of the defects. In a geometrical description of defects in two dimensional crystals [50], the equations of motion for the metric tensor $g_{ij}(\mathbf{r})$ reduce to a unique equation for the conformal factor (4):

$$\nabla^2 \Lambda(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{j} \frac{\mu_j}{2\pi} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_j).$$

(11)

We can rewrite (4) in terms of the Green’s function of (11):

$$\Lambda(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\mu_j}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{r}' \delta(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}_j) K(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}').$$

(12)

where the Green’s function has the form

$$K(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \approx \log |\frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'}{a^*}|,$$

(13)

for $\mathbf{r} \gg a^*$, what justifies equation (9).

In momentum space the correlators are

$$\langle \Lambda(\mathbf{p})\Lambda(-\mathbf{p}) \rangle = \frac{na^*^2}{p^2},$$

(14)

$$\langle A_i(\mathbf{p})A_j(-\mathbf{p}) \rangle = na^*^2 \delta_{ij}$$

(15)

Notice that the $\Lambda$ term describes a new type of disorder: Dirac Fermions in two space dimensions with a random velocity, a problem that, to our knowledge has not been addressed in the early literature [51, 52, 53, 54].

In momentum space representation the action corresponding to eq. (5) reads:

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^2 k}{4\pi^2} \bar{\psi} \left( \omega \gamma^0 - v_F \gamma^1 \mathbf{k} \right) \psi - i \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} \gamma^1 A(k) \psi - \frac{v_F}{2} \int dp dk \Lambda(p) \bar{\psi} \gamma^1 \mathbf{k} \psi,$$

(16)

where $dk \equiv \frac{d^2 k}{4\pi^2}$ and

$$\Lambda(p) = \int \frac{d^2 x}{2\pi} e^{ipx} \log |\frac{x}{a}|.$$  

(17)

We integrate out $\Lambda$ using eq. (14) as a quadratic action. It is interesting to note that this term is similar to the interaction between curvatures in a continuous hexatic membrane where $1/na^*^2$ plays the role of the hexatic stiffness constant [50].

Replicating the fields and integrating out $\Lambda$ in (16) we get:

$$S = S_0 + v_F^2 \frac{\lambda}{2} \int dk \frac{d^2 k}{4\pi^2} \Gamma(k, k') \langle \bar{\psi}_a \gamma^1 \psi_a \rangle \langle \bar{\psi}_b \gamma^1 \psi_b \rangle,$$

(18)

where summation over replica indices $a, b$ is assumed, $\lambda = 2\pi \mu^2 na^2$ is a dimensionless parameter proportional to the density of defects $n$ and

$$\Gamma(k, k') = \left( \frac{(k + k')^2}{(k - k')^2} - \frac{1}{4} \right).$$

(19)

The constant term in the interaction vertex (18) comes from the random magnetic field and would give rise to the standard result found in previous works. The term coming from the Fermi velocity is anisotropic and singular when $k \rightarrow k'$, a signature of the infrared singularity associated to the effect of a conical defect at infinite distances from the apex. Because we are dealing with elastic scattering, the modulus of the momentum is conserved provided that the energy $\omega$ in the process is conserved. The function $\Gamma(k, k')$ in (19) can be written as a function of the difference of the scattering angles, $\phi \equiv \theta_k - \theta_{k'}$:

$$\Gamma(\phi) = \frac{\cos^2(\phi/2)}{\sin^2(\phi/2)} - \frac{1}{4}.$$  

(20)
This function diverges at scattering angles $\phi = 0$ (forward scattering) and the one particle properties of the system like the density of states or the imaginary part of the self-energy will show anomalous behavior when compared with their counterparts in short ranged scattering processes. The divergence in (20) can be regularized by including a cutoff $\delta$:

$$\Gamma(\phi, \delta) = \left( \cos^2(\phi/2) - \frac{1}{4} \right).$$

The meaning of the cutoff can be understood from the origin of the correlator for the function $\Lambda(r)$ in eq. (9). Instead of having a long ranged propagator corresponding to infinite range defects that behave like $\frac{1}{p^2}$, we may consider a correlator of the type

$$K(p) \sim \frac{1}{p^2 + \delta^2}$$

what corresponds in real space to changing (13) by a modified Bessel function of the second kind:

$$K(r - r') = K_0(\delta |r - r'|).$$

The leading term in the expansion of (23) for small $\delta$ is

$$K(r - r') \approx \log(\delta |r - r'|).$$

Using eq. (22) instead of eq. (14) we arrive at eq. (21), where we have redefined $\delta$ as $\delta = \delta/k^2$. We can assume that the momenta involved in the problem are of the order of the wavelength $\lambda$ of the states near the Dirac points (or the localization length if those states are localized) so we can consider that $\delta$ is of the order of $\lambda/\chi$ where $\chi$ is the biggest length scale of the problem comparable to the system size $L$. We will make the important assumption that $\chi > \lambda$.

In order to keep track of the role of each channel, we decompose $\Gamma(\phi)$ in harmonics:

$$\Gamma(\phi) = \sum_n \Gamma_n e^{-in\phi}.$$  

We will see later that only the $n = 0, \pm 1$ channels will play a role (notice that $\Gamma_n = \Gamma_{-n}$). Their explicit values as a function of the cutoff $\delta$ are:

$$\Gamma_0 = \frac{1}{\delta} - 5/4 + O(\delta), \quad \Gamma_1 = \frac{1}{\delta} - 2 + O(\delta).$$

IV. THE SADDLE POINT APPROXIMATION IN THE NONLINEAR $\sigma$ MODEL AND RESULTS

In this section we will construct the low-energy field theory describing the large scale behavior of the system (i.e., at length scales larger than the elastic mean free path, $l$) following standard procedures [45].

The interaction term in (18) can be written as
\[ S_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda v_F^2 \sum_n \int (dk)(dk') \Gamma_n \chi_n(\hat{k}) \chi_n^*(\hat{k}')(\bar{\psi}_a \gamma^i \psi_a)(\bar{\psi}_b \gamma^i \psi_b), \] (29)

where \( \chi_n(\hat{k}) = e^{in\theta_k} \). Now we proceed to simplify the model (29). The current-current interaction in (29) can be transformed into a density-density type term [57]:

\[ S_{\text{int}} = -\frac{1}{2} \lambda v_F^2 \sum_n \int dkdk' \Gamma_n \chi_n(\hat{k}) \chi_n^*(\hat{k}')(\bar{\psi}_a \psi_a)(\bar{\psi}_b \psi_b), \] (30)

where we have redefined the coupling constant as \( g \equiv -2\lambda \).

The four fermi interaction in (30) can be decoupled by means of a Hubbard-Stratonovitch transformation

\[ S = S_0 + \int dk \frac{1}{4\pi g v_F^2} [\sum_n \Gamma_n (Q_n^2 + \Pi_n^2) + i \sum_n \Gamma_n \chi_n(\hat{k}) \bar{\psi} (Q_n^2 - i\gamma_5 \Pi_n) \psi], \] (31)

where \( Q_n \) and \( \Pi_n \) are Hubbard-Stratonovitch fields. Because we have defined retarded and advanced fermionic fields, \( Q \) and \( \Pi \) will be \( 2N \) dimensional matrix fields.

We can further simplify the calculations by performing a unitary transformation that diagonalizes the fermionic part of the action in (31), and leaves unchanged the functional integration measure: \( \bar{\psi} \rightarrow \hat{U} \bar{\psi}, \psi \rightarrow \hat{U} \psi \), \( Q_n \rightarrow \hat{U} Q_n \hat{U}^+, \Pi_n \rightarrow \hat{U} \Pi_n \hat{U}^+, \gamma_5 \rightarrow \hat{U} \gamma_5 \hat{U}^+ \). Without loss of generality we will name those transformed fields as the original ones.

Integrating out the fermionic modes leads to the usual final form for the non linear \( \sigma \) model:

\[ S_{\text{eff}} = \int \text{Tr} \ln G^{-1} - \frac{1}{4gv_F^2} \sum_n \Gamma_n (Q_n^2 + \Pi_n^2), \] (32)

where the Green’s function is defined by

\[ G^{-1} = G_0^{-1} + i\eta M + i \sum_n \Gamma_n \chi_n(\hat{k}) (Q_n - i\gamma_5 \Pi_n), \] (33)

and

\[ G_0^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} w + v_F k & 0 \\ 0 & w - v_F k \end{pmatrix} \otimes 1_{2N}. \] (34)

Next we will make a saddle point approximation and seek for a solution of the saddle point equation:

\[ \frac{\delta S_{\text{eff}}}{\delta \langle S_n \rangle} = 0, \] (35)

In contrast with the case of isotropic short ranged scatterers [7, 58] where a single equation is obtained, (35) represents an infinite number of coupled equations:

\[ \frac{1}{2gv_F^2} \langle S_n \rangle = \int (dk) \frac{\chi_n(\hat{k})}{G_0^{-1} + i \sum_n \Gamma_n \chi_n(\hat{k})} \langle S_n \rangle. \] (36)

Following [59], in the limit \( \omega \rightarrow 0 \), and despite of the fact that the scattering mechanism is anisotropic, we can try to find a solution of the type

\[ \langle Q_n \rangle = f \delta_n M. \] (37)

Of course, the solution of the mean field equations is not unique, and other vacua with different properties may be found. The value of the trial function \( f \) is:

\[ f = \frac{v_F K}{\Gamma_0} \left( e^{\frac{\omega}{\Gamma_0}} - 1 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \] (38)
where $K$ is an ultraviolet cutoff. Now we are free to make a choice over the mean field values of $Q$ and $\Pi$. The standard choice is $\langle Q_0 \rangle = \langle S_0 \rangle$ and $\langle \Pi_0 \rangle = 0$. With this solution for $\langle Q \rangle$ we arrive to the one particle relaxation time or lifetime

$$\frac{1}{2\tau} \equiv v_F K \left( e^{\frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0}} - 1 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (39)$$

which turns out to be a constant whose dependence on the disorder strength is typically non-perturbative: $\tau^{-1}(g) \sim \exp(1/g)$. This value for the lifetime depends on both the ultraviolet cutoff $K$, and on the infrared cutoff $\delta$ through the scattering coefficient $\Gamma_0 \sim \frac{1}{\delta} - 5/4$. The ultraviolet cutoff $W$ can be removed by renormalization group techniques as done in [58] but the infrared cutoff $\delta$ remains and the one-particle properties of the theory will depend explicitly on it [60]. We will see later that the transport properties (i.e. two-particle properties) are independent of such regulator [68].

We can now compute the averaged density of states at the Fermi level,

$$\rho(0) = -\frac{1}{N\pi} Im \int \frac{d^2k}{4\pi^2} G^R(k),$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)$$

the index $N$ in the denominator is the replica index and the limit $N \to 0$ will be taken at the end of the calculation. From now on, we drop any reference to this limit, bearing in mind that it must be taken when computing observable quantities.

The density of states as a function of the lifetime (39) is:

$$\rho(0) = \frac{1}{g\Gamma_0 v_F^2 2\tau},$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)$$

Before proceeding to compute the quantum fluctuations around the chiral symmetry breaking solution of the saddle point equations we will make a comment on the solution $\langle S_n \rangle = 0$. This solution leads to a vanishing density of states at the Fermi energy and to a sublinear frequency dependence: $\rho(\omega) \sim |\omega|^\alpha$, with $\alpha$ being a function of the strength of the disorder, a behavior reported in [51]. In order to determine the true minimum of the $Q$ field action we have computed the effective potential as a function of $f$ defined as in (38). This effective potential is the same as that of the Nambu-Jona Lasinio model [51, 62, 63] being a function of $f$ defined as in (38), when $\langle \Pi_0 \rangle$ is taken to be zero:

$$V_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{4g v_F^2} f^2 + \frac{f^2}{4\pi} \left( \log \left( \frac{f^2}{K^2} \right) - 1 \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)$$

The result is well known. Minimizing this potential the two possible solutions are again the solution $f = 0$ corresponding to a zero value for the effective potential and the symmetry breaking solution $f = Ke^{1/2 - K/2\pi} \equiv f^*$. This broken symmetry solution equivalent to (38) is then a minimum of the theory.

We will then proceed computing the physical properties of the quantum field model built around the broken symmetry solution. We will see that the physics obtained for this case is typically non-perturbative irrespective of the strength of the disorder.

The technical details of the rest of the computation are given in appendix A. Once we have calculated the value of the leading configuration of $Q_n$ from the saddle point equations [35], we expand the action [32] around this value, setting $Q_n = \langle Q_n \rangle + \delta Q_n$ and retain in the expansion terms up to second order in $\delta Q_n$:

$$S \approx \langle S \rangle + \delta Q_n \frac{\delta S}{\delta Q_n} \delta Q_n + \ldots$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)$$

The $^*$ means that the functional derivative is evaluated in the saddle point solution for $Q_n$ and $\Pi_n$.

The ultimate goal is to compute the action for the massless modes:

$$\delta S = \int dq \delta Q_n \left( 2\pi \right) \frac{2\pi}{4g v_F} \left( \eta + Dq^2 \right) \delta Q_0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)$$

from where we can extract the diffusion constant is $D$. From eq. (A18) we get

$$D = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{1}{g\rho(0)} \frac{1}{\Gamma_0 - \Gamma_1}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)$$
where the coefficients \( \Gamma_0 \) and \( \Gamma_1 \) are given in (28) and their difference is \( \Gamma_0 - \Gamma_1 = \frac{3}{4} + O(\delta) \), hence the diffusion coefficient is well defined when the cutoff \( \delta \) is send to zero.

Finally we can compute the semiclassical value for the static DC conductivity using the Einstein relation for the two diffusive channels \( \delta Q_0 \) and \( \delta \Pi_0 \) in eq. (30):

\[
\sigma_{DC} = 4 e^2 h \rho(0) D = 4 e^2 h \frac{2}{3} g
\]

The factor of 4 comes from the spin and valley degeneracy and the factor 2 comes from the two diffusive channels.

The result in (46) depends on the coupling parameter \( g = 4\pi \mu^2 na^2 \), which contains information about the type of disorder \( (\mu) \) and the density of disorder \( n \).

V. DISCUSSION AND OPEN QUESTIONS

In this work we have addressed the effects of curvature on the transport properties of corrugated graphene sheets. We have shown that coupling the Dirac field to a curved space gives rise to an effective potential whose general form and statistical properties depend on the metric. The main feature of the geometrical description is the appearance of an effective space dependent Fermi velocity which gives rise to a random scalar field coupled to the kinetic energy term in the Hamiltonian.

Smooth curved regions in graphene give rise to standard short range correlated disorder as the one studied in the literature [7]. The presence of topological defects in the sample either as the main source of curvature or as a way to stabilize the ripples in the mechanically deposited samples gives rise to singular, long range correlated disorder that leads the system to an intrinsically diffusive behavior even at the neutrality point and without the need for external scattering sources.

We have studied the behavior of the conductivity at zero voltage of a graphene sample in presence of a finite density of topological defects. The result given in eq. (10) shows that the conductivity is proportional to the inverse of the density of defects, a distinctive feature of a diffusive system. A similar non universal behavior has been found in the same system and has been attributed to the effects of random coulomb scatterers present in the substrate [19, 20, 64, 65]. A crucial difference is that in the mentioned references the graphene sample is either heavily doped or it has a nonzero carrier density due to a local field effect induced by the Coulomb impurities. In our work the density of states is generated by the disorder as in refs. [7, 10].

Another noticeable feature of the model presented in this work is the strong dependence of the one particle properties on the parameter \( \delta \) regulating the infrared behavior of the model. The situation here is even worse than that of a two dimensional electron gas in a long range correlated random magnetic field discussed in ref. [59]. There the one particle relaxation time was found to diverge as the infrared cutoff is sent to zero but the finite density of states made the transport relaxation time \( \tau_{tr} \) finite. In our case \( \tau_{tr} \) also depends on the density of states at the Fermi level but now the DOS is divergent for \( \delta \rightarrow 0 \). As we have seen despite this singular behavior we have obtained a finite Drude conductivity due to the particular dependence of the diffusive constant with the density of states (eq. (45)).

In section III we have introduced the parameter \( \delta \) defined in a phenomenological fashion as the ratio between the characteristic length scale of the defect \( \chi \), and the wave or localization length \( \lambda \) of the states around the Fermi energy. In a semiclassical approximation to the problem this parameter is essentially uncontrollable. We can nevertheless made an estimation of the range of applicability of our results by assuming that the localization length can be obtained from an analysis of the quantum corrections to the conductivity. The diffusive regime is characterized by a static mean free path \( l = v_F \tau_{tr} \) greater than the localization or wavelength \( \lambda \) but smaller than the system’s size. The mean free path can be estimated using expressions (39), (41), and (45) and assuming that \( a^* \sim a \) and \( \chi \sim L \). We thus find

\[
l \sim \frac{2}{3\mu} \left( \frac{\chi}{\lambda} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{n_{imp}^{1/2}}.
\]

from where we can get a lower bound for the density of defects in the case \( \lambda < l \):

\[
n_{imp} < \frac{4}{9\mu^2} \frac{L}{\lambda^3}.
\]

In the same spirit, an upper bound can be estimated using the condition \( l < L \):

\[
n_{imp} > \frac{4}{9\mu^2} \frac{1}{L\lambda}.
\]
In refs. 13, 66 the possible fixed points of the total conductivity where classified according to the symmetries of the original Hamiltonian in a renormalization group analysis. As our disorder term preserves both chiral and time reversal symmetries, the final conductivity once quantum corrections are taken into account should flow to the universal value of $4e^2/\pi h$. We note that in previous works this universal value is already obtained at the Drude level. The topological disorder discussed in this work sets as an initial condition of the RG flow a rather different - disorder dependent - value that can - or not - flow to the usual fixed point. The analysis of the quantum corrections to the conductivity 46 is beyond the scope of this work and will be reported somewhere else.
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APPENDIX A: SIGMA MODEL CALCULATIONS

Once we have calculated the value of the leading configuration of $Q_n$ from the saddle point equations 41, we expand the action 42 around this value, setting $Q_n = \langle Q_n \rangle + \delta Q_n$ and retain in the expansion terms up to second order in $\delta Q_n$:

$$S \approx \langle S \rangle + \delta Q_n \frac{\delta^2 S^*}{\delta Q_n \delta Q_m} \delta Q_m + ... \quad (A1)$$

The finite density of states of eq. 41 allows us to use the usual identity in the integration of momentum derived for the two dimensional electron gas:

$$\int \frac{d^2k}{4\pi^2} \rightarrow \rho(0) \int d\varepsilon_k , \quad v_F k \rightarrow \varepsilon_k.$$  

As a consistency test, computing the density of states with this change we get the expression 41. This change of variables will simplify the calculations. The $^*$ in (A1) means that the functional derivative is evaluated at the saddle point solution for $Q_n$ and $\Pi_n$. Also, other terms of quadratic order appear in (A1) with crossing functional derivatives in the fields $\delta Q$ and $\delta \Pi$. We will see shortly that these derivatives are zero and the former fields are not coupled. These derivatives are

$$\delta Q_n \frac{\delta^2 S^*}{\delta Q_n \delta Q_m} \delta Q_m = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_n \Gamma_n \delta Q_n^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int (dp)(dq) \sum_{n,m} \Gamma_n \Gamma_m \chi_p(k) \chi_m(p+q) \delta Q_n Tr G(k) G(p+q) \delta Q_m, \quad (A2)$$

and

$$\delta \Pi_n \frac{\delta^2 S^*}{\delta \Pi_n \delta \Pi_m} \delta \Pi_m = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_n \Gamma_n \Gamma_m \chi_p(p) \chi_m(p+q) \delta \Pi_n Tr \gamma_5 G(p) \gamma_5 G(p+q) \delta \Pi_m. \quad (A3)$$

Since the spectral functions are peaked at the Fermi energy, we can effectively restrict the \(q\) integration to values around the Fermi point, \(q << K_F\) and write $\chi_m(p+q) \approx \chi_m(p)$ causing an error of the order of $O(q)$. In (A2) the integral will be dominated by the product $G^R G^A$, i.e., by the off diagonal of the fields $\delta Q^{+-}$ leading to the diffusive pole behavior for the fields $Q_n$. By contrast, in (A3) using the symmetry property $\gamma_5 G^R \gamma_5 = -G^A$ we can see that the diffusive pole will come from the product $G^A G^A$ and its retarded-retarded counterpart $\delta \Pi^{++} \delta \Pi^{--}$ will be the channel for the diffusive behavior (also, the minus sign appearing in this symmetry corrects the relative sign between the second terms in (A2) and (A3)).

Let us define the quantity $C_{mn}(\eta, q)$ as

$$C_{mn}(\eta, q) = \frac{1}{4} \int (dp) \chi_n(p) \chi_m(p) Tr G^R(p) G^A(p+q). \quad (A4)$$

To obtain an action for the modes $Q_n$ and $\Pi_n$ at small $q$ and $\eta$, we expand $G^R$ up to first order in $\eta$ and to second order in $q$ in equation (A4).
The first term in this expansion, without any reference to the replica index, is

\[ C^0_{nm} = \frac{1}{4} \int (dp) e^{i(n+m)\theta} Tr \left( \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{v_F p - i\epsilon} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{v_F p + i\epsilon} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{v_F p - i\epsilon} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{v_F p + i\epsilon} \end{array} \right). \]

We have denoted \( \epsilon = \eta + \frac{1}{2\pi} \). In terms of these variables, we have

\[ C^0_{nm} = \frac{1}{4} \rho(0) \delta_{nm} \frac{1}{\eta + \frac{1}{2\pi}}. \] \tag{A5}

Expanding (A5) up to first order in \( \eta \), and using (A11), we get

\[ C^0_{nm} = \frac{\delta_{nm}}{4g v_F^2 \Gamma_0} - \frac{1}{4} \rho(0) \delta_{nm} (2\pi^2 \eta^2) + O(\eta^2). \] \tag{A6}

The constant term in (A6) coincides with the term proportional to \( \delta Q_n^2 \) and \( \delta \Pi_n^2 \) in (A2) and (A3) respectively. This mass contribution to the action is

\[ \mathcal{L}_m = \frac{1}{4g v_F^2} \sum_n \Gamma_n \left( \frac{\Gamma_n}{\Gamma_0} - 1 \right) (\delta Q_n^2 + \Pi_n^2). \] \tag{A7}

We immediately see that only the modes \( \delta Q_0 \) and \( \delta \Pi_0 \) are massless, and they will responsible for the diffusive behavior of the system exactly as happens in the 2DEG [59]. In what follows we will eliminate the \( \Pi \) field. It represents another diffusion channel that does not mix with the \( Q \)’s and plays the same role. We will simply multiply by two the final result. The next term in the \( q \) expansion reads

\[ C^1_{nm} = \frac{1}{4} \int (dp) e^{i(m+n)\theta} v_F q \cos \theta \left( \frac{1}{(v_F p - i\epsilon)(v_F p + i\epsilon)} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{(v_F p - i\epsilon)(-v_F p + i\epsilon)^2}. \] \tag{A8}

Note that in the case of short ranged isotropic scattering this term vanishes due to the angular integration. In our case, however, the presence of \( e^{i(m+n)\theta} \) allows linear terms in \( q \), coupling the massive modes \( \delta Q_{\pm 1} \) to \( \delta Q_0 \). The integral in (A8) is performed changing to the energy variable and noticing that the angular integration gives a non-zero result only when \( n = -m \pm 1 \):

\[ C^1_{nm} = \frac{1}{4} v_F q (\delta_{nm-1} + \delta_{nm+1}) \rho(0) \int d\varepsilon_p \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_p - i\epsilon)(\varepsilon_p + i\epsilon)^2}; \] \tag{A9}

or, after setting \( \eta = 0 \),

\[ C^1_{nm} = -\frac{v_F q}{4} \rho(0) (\delta_{nm-1} + \delta_{nm+1})(2\pi^2). \] \tag{A10}

To compute the next term in the \( q \) expansion, we will use the following trick. The trace of the product of Green functions in (A4) can be explicitly written as

\[ Tr G^R G^A = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{v_F p - i\epsilon} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{v_F p - i\epsilon} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} v_F \frac{1}{p + q + i\epsilon} & 0 \\ 0 & -v_F |p + q + i\epsilon| \end{array} \right), \] \tag{A11}

or, rearranging signs,

\[ Tr G^R G^A = \frac{1}{v_F p - i\epsilon} \frac{1}{v_F} \frac{1}{|p + q + i\epsilon|} + \frac{1}{v_F p + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{v_F} \frac{1}{|p + q - i\epsilon|}. \] \tag{A12}

We immediately see that the second term in the right hand side is the complex conjugate of the first term, thus,

\[ Tr G^R G^A = 2 Re \left( \frac{1}{v_F p - i\epsilon} \frac{1}{v_F} \frac{1}{|p + q + i\epsilon|} \right). \] \tag{A13}

The terms already calculated in the expansion of \( C_{nm}(\eta, q) \) can be easily derived with this trick, but where we make a real profit of this simplification is in the calculation of the term \( q^2 \):

\[ C^2_{nm} = \frac{1}{2q^2} \int (dp) e^{i(m+n)\theta} \frac{1}{v_F p - i\epsilon} \left( \cos^2 \theta \left( \frac{1}{(v_F p + i\epsilon)^3} - \sin^2 \theta \frac{1}{2v_F p(v_F p + i\epsilon)^2} \right) \right). \] \tag{A14}
If we compare the angular part of (A14) with the corresponding part in (A8) we see that after performing the integral in (A14) there are terms of the type $\delta_{nm\pm 2}$ together with terms $\delta_{nm}$ which generate couplings between the zero modes $\delta Q_0$ and the massive $\delta Q_{\pm 2}$, and $\delta Q_0 \delta Q_0$ respectively. The couplings involving $\delta Q_{\pm 2}$ being of order $q^2$ will produce terms of order $q^4$ and can be neglected. We will only keep the terms independent of $\theta$ in (A14), from which we will extract the diffusion coefficient $D$ for the massless diffusive mode $\delta Q_0$. The result for (A13) only taking into account the terms proportional to $\delta_{nm}$ is (we shift the pole at $v_F p = 0$ in the second term in the integrand and take the real part, the first term will not contribute to this real part):

$$C_{nm}^2 = \frac{v_F^2 q^2}{8\pi}\delta_{nm}\rho(0)(2\tau)^3.$$  
(A15)

Collecting all the terms, the action for the modes $\delta Q_0$ and $\delta Q_{\pm 1}$ is:

$$\delta S_Q \approx \int (dq) \frac{1}{4g v_F^2} \sum_{n \pm 1} \Gamma_n \left( \frac{\Gamma_n}{\Gamma_0} + 1 \right) \delta Q_n^2 - \frac{\rho(0)(2\tau)^2}{4} \Gamma_0^2 \delta Q_0 \left( \eta + \frac{v_F^2 (2\tau) q^2}{2\pi} \right) \delta Q_0$$

$$+ \frac{\Gamma_1 \Gamma_0 \rho(0) v_F q(2\tau)^2}{4} (\delta Q_0 \delta Q_1 + \delta Q_0 \delta Q_{-1}).$$  
(A16)

In order to get a theory for the $n = 0$ modes, we integrate out the $n = \pm 1$ modes in (A16). Using again (41) we get

$$\delta S = \int dq \frac{\rho(0)(2\tau)^2 \Gamma_0^2}{4} \delta Q_0 \left( \eta + \frac{v_F^2 q^2 (2\tau)}{2\pi} + \frac{\Gamma_1}{\Gamma_0 - \Gamma_1} \frac{v_F^2 q^2 (2\tau)}{2\pi} \right) \delta Q_0.$$  
(A17)

If we simplify and use again (41) we arrive to the final action for the massless modes:

$$\delta S = \int dq \delta Q_0 \frac{(2\tau) \Gamma_0}{4g v_F^2} \left( \eta + Dq^2 \right) \delta Q_0,$$  
(A18)
