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Abstract. The near-infrared behavior of the red giant branch (RGB hereafter) as a function of abundance is examined with an unprecedented large sample of 27 Galactic globular clusters with Two Micron All Sky Survey photometry. We propose a new simplified analyses, involving the zero point of the RGB slope fit, and derive calibrations for the RGB slope, zero point, and tip. The weak metallicity sensitivity of the zero point leads to a “fan”-like diagram for obtaining the abundance distributions in resolved stellar systems, and reddening estimates. Finally, we apply the new calibrations to the recently discovered Galactic globular cluster 2MASS GC01, to derive $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]_{\mu 96} = -1.19 \pm 0.38$ mag. The uncertainty is dominated by the severe foreground contamination. We estimate an extinction of $A_V = 21.07 \pm 2.20$ mag toward the cluster.
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1. Introduction

The red giant branch (RGB hereafter) stars are among the brightest red stars in stellar systems, older than a few Gyrs. These stars appear in almost all galaxies, including II Zw 40 (Östlin 2000), considered until recently as the best candidate for a primeval galaxy. Therefore the red giants are a promising tool for probing the parameters of old populations and the history of star formation in any galaxy.

Galactic globular clusters, with their single age and metallicity, are the ideal sites for calibrating the RGB parameters. Since Da Costa & Armandroff (1990) provided the first reliable calibration of the position of the RGB versus metallicity, there has been a significant advancement, both because of the improvement of the astronomical instrumentation and the development of the corresponding theory.

The infrared waveband is particularly compelling for such studies, in comparison with the optical one, because of the potential to probe the stellar populations of systems with high foreground and/or intrinsic extinction. The relatively small size and field of view of the IR arrays have made it more difficult to carry out photometry of large areas, and to compile uniform samples, necessary to calibrate the RGB parameters reliably, in comparison with the optical region. The Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS hereafter) offered for the first time such an opportunity.

Previous calibrations suffered a number of drawbacks. Kuchinski, Frogel, & Terndrup (1995) and Kuchinski & Frogel (1995) studied only metal rich globular clusters, with $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]_{\mu 96} \geq -1$. Later on, Ivanov et al. (2000a) added to their sample three metal poor globular clusters. Ferraro et al. (2000) used exceptional quality data but in a photometric system, based on unpublished standards by Ian Glass (South African Astronomical Observatory) with no available transformations to any of the other systems. The similarity of their final results to those of Ivanov et al., who used the CIT system, leads to a conclusion that the two photometric systems are not radically different.

To expand the basis of the RGB studies, we used high quality uniform photometry of Galactic globular clusters from the 2MASS point source catalog, and assembled a sample of RGBs of clusters with well known distances and reddening. We calibrated the behavior of the RGB slope, zero point, and tip with metallicity in the 2MASS photo-

¹ See http://www.ipac.caltech.edu/2mass/releases/second/doc/explsup.html
metric system. For the first time we offer such calibration in a well defined photometric system with all-sky coverage. We present a “fan” diagram, suitable for abundance distribution analysis. Our results complement the recent work of Cho & Lee (2001) and Grocholski & Sarajedini (2001), who explored the properties of the RGB bump.

2. 2MASS Calibration of the RGB Parameters

2.1. Sample

Infrared photometry of about 80 of the 147 globular cluster, listed in Harris (1996) revision June 22, 1999) is currently available from the First and the Second 2MASS incremental releases. However, many of the observed clusters are rendered unsuitable for this project because they suffer from one or more of the following drawbacks:

1. Severe foreground contamination. This refers mostly to globular clusters in the general direction of the Galactic center.
2. The photometry of some distant halo clusters or highly reddened clusters is not sufficiently deep.
3. Compact globular clusters have unresolved cores, limiting the number statistics, and leading to crowding problems.

After a visual inspection of the luminosity functions and color-magnitude diagrams (CMD hereafter) of all available globular clusters, we selected a subset of 27 objects (Table 1) for which reliable estimates of at least one RGB parameters could be obtained. To calculate the absolute magnitudes, and the intrinsic colors of stars, we adopted the reddening and distance estimates from the compilation of Harris (1996), and the reddening law of Rieke & Lebofsky (1985) used throughout this paper).

A special care was taken to assure the uniformity of the metallicity data. Harris (1996) based his compilation on the system established by Zinn & West (1984), Zinn (1985), and Armandroff & Zinn (1988). Carretta & Gratton (1997) suggested that this scale may overestimate the abundance of metal-rich globular clusters, and developed a new one. We list their measurements in Table 1 as well. Values in brackets are estimates based on the transformation they derive, to the Zinn & West scale (see Eq. 7 of Carretta & Gratton).

Ferraro et al. (1996) argued that the total amount of heavy elements is a better metallicity measurement, because it accounts naturally for the opacity variations, which depend on the total metallicity, not just the iron abundance (Salaris, Chieffi, & Straniero 1993). They developed a news scale, designed to measure the that. For the clusters, absent in their sample, we adopted their prescription for α-element enhancement (Sect. 3.4 in Ferraro et al.; estimates bracketed in Table 1).

Since the metallicities originate from different sources, and the corresponding uncertainties are often not quoted, we adopted an uniform error of 0.20 dex for all measurements. This is a typical value of the accuracy of the abundance estimates.

Table 1. Globular cluster sample. The Columns give: cluster ID, color excess E_{B-V}, distance modulus, tidal radius R_t (all from the compilation of Harris [1996]), and abundances in various scales (see the references).

| NGC ID | E_{B-V} | (m-M)_V | [Fe/H]_H96 | [Fe/H]_CG97 | [M/H]_F99 | R_t |
|--------|---------|---------|------------|------------|----------|-----|
| 104    | 0.04    | 13.37   | -0.76      | -0.70      | -0.59    | 47.25 |
| 288    | 0.03    | 14.69   | -1.24      | -1.07      | -0.85    | 12.94 |
| 1851   | 0.02    | 15.47   | -1.22      | -1.08      | -0.88    | 11.70 |
| 1904   | 0.01    | 15.59   | -1.57      | -1.37      | -1.22    | 8.34  |
| 2298   | 0.14    | 15.59   | -1.85      | -1.74      | (1.54)   | 6.48  |
| 5024   | 0.02    | 16.38   | -1.99      | (1.82)     | (1.62)   | 21.75 |
| 5139   | 0.12    | 13.97   | -1.62      | (1.38)     | (1.18)   | 44.85 |
| 5466   | 0.00    | 16.15   | -2.22      | (2.14)     | (1.94)   | 34.24 |
| 6121   | 0.36    | 12.83   | -1.20      | -1.19      | -0.94    | 32.49 |
| 6144   | 0.32    | 16.06   | -1.73      | -1.49      | (1.29)   | 33.25 |
| 6171   | 0.33    | 15.06   | -1.04      | -0.87      | -0.70    | 17.44 |
| 6205   | 0.02    | 14.48   | -1.54      | -1.39      | -1.18    | 25.18 |
| 6273   | 0.37    | 15.85   | -1.68      | (1.45)     | (1.25)   | 14.50 |
| 6284   | 0.28    | 16.70   | -1.32      | (1.10)     | (0.90)   | 23.08 |
| 6356   | 0.28    | 16.77   | -0.50      | (0.66)     | (0.50)   | 8.97  |
| 6411   | 0.44    | 16.62   | -0.53      | (0.67)     | (0.51)   | 8.00  |
| 6624   | 0.28    | 15.37   | -0.42      | (0.64)     | (0.48)   | 20.55 |
| 6637   | 0.16    | 15.16   | -0.71      | -0.68      | -0.55    | 8.35  |
| 6656   | 0.34    | 13.60   | -1.64      | (1.41)     | (1.21)   | 29.97 |
| 6715   | 0.14    | 17.61   | -1.59      | (1.35)     | (1.15)   | 7.47  |
| 6779   | 0.20    | 15.65   | -1.94      | (1.75)     | (1.55)   | 8.56  |
| 6809   | 0.07    | 13.87   | -1.81      | -1.61      | -1.41    | 16.28 |
| 6838   | 0.25    | 13.75   | -0.73      | -0.70      | -0.49    | 9.96  |
| 6864   | 0.16    | 16.87   | -1.32      | (1.10)     | (0.90)   | 7.28  |
| 7078   | 0.10    | 15.37   | -2.25      | -2.12      | -1.91    | 21.50 |
| 7089   | 0.06    | 15.49   | -1.62      | (1.38)     | (1.18)   | 21.45 |
| 7099   | 0.03    | 14.62   | -2.12      | -1.91      | -1.71    | 18.34 |

References for [Fe/H]:
H96 - Harris (1996; Zinn scale);
CG97 - Carretta & Gratton (1997);
F99 - Ferraro et al. (1999)

The first step before estimating the RGB parameters was to eliminate statistically the foreground contamination. As many stars were removed randomly from the CMD of the globular clusters, as the number of stars, present in a nearby field with the same area as the globular cluster field. The statistical removal is not reliable in case of severely contaminated globular clusters, where the number of foreground stars was comparable to the number of cluster members. A subset of CMDs for four clusters is shown in Figure 1 and 2. In addition, we removed the stars within 15 arcsec from the clusters centers, to minimize the crowding effects.

2.2. RGB Slope and Zero Point

Da Costa & Armandroff (1990) prepared a set of standard RGBs on the V versus V-I CMD, for metallicity estimates of globular clusters in the optical. The technique has been expanded toward the near infrared wavebands, where the giant branch is linear, and therefore, more ob-
Fig. 1. Color-magnitude diagrams for a subset of metal poor globular clusters. The left panels show the raw data. The right panels show the reddening corrected CMDs, converted to absolute magnitudes. The foreground contamination and stars with $(J-K_{S})_0 < 0.4$ mag have been removed.

Fig. 2. Same as Figure 1 for metal rich clusters.

The behavior with metallicity of the RGB slope and zero point are demonstrated in Figure 3. Fitting coefficients for individual cluster RGBs are listed in Table 2.

2.3. RGB Tip

The tip of the RGB is a well known distance indicator. Mould & Kristian (1986), Lee (1993) and Lee et al. (1993) pioneered the method in the optical, measuring the corresponding jump in the luminosity function in external galaxies. Later it was applied to number of resolved stellar systems, among the most challenging of which were some Virgo cluster members (Harris et al. 1998) and NGC 3379 (Sakai et al. 1997).

This is a statistically demanding technique, requiring 50-100 stars per bin. The limited size of infrared arrays explains the difficulty to apply it in the near infrared. Although it does cover a large area, the 2MASS photometry can not alleviate the intrinsic problem of the small number of giants in globular clusters. Thus, we assume that the brightest cluster member represents the RGB tip.

In most of the cases the tip is obvious, but sometimes additional criteria had to be applied to determine the brightest stars. We took advantage of the linearity of the RGB in the near infrared, and excluded from the considerations bright stars that deviated from the color of the RGB at a given magnitude level, predicted by the RGB slope fit by more than 0.5 mag. We also excluded some extremely bright stars, with luminosity higher than the rest of the RGB by 2-3 mag. They were obvious foreground contamination and easier to define compared with the optical or optical-infrared CMDs. The slope is related to the effective temperature of the stars along the RGB, and $T_{\text{eff}}$ in turn depends on the opacity, and the heavy element abundance. The slope is a reddening and distance-free metallicity indicator. It is significantly less demanding in terms of observing time and telescope collecting area than the spectroscopic methods, but unlike them it can be applied reliably only to uniform groups of stars.

We followed the procedure to determine the RGB slope, described in Ivanov et al. (2000a), fitting the RGB on the $[K_s, (J-K_s)]$ CMD with a linear equation: $J - K_s = a \times K_s + b$. Only the stars above the horizontal branch were included. We applied a least square method, taking into account the uncertainties along both axis. Two iterations were carried, and all the stars outside 5$\sigma$ from the first fit were removed from the calculations.

For the purpose of fitting the RGB of an individual cluster, the zero point is equivalent to previous calibrations of the RGB colors at fixed $K$-band magnitude levels (e.g. Ivanov et al. 2000a; Ferraro et al. 2000), although more straightforward. To derive the zero-point relation to the abundance, we used only the clusters which suffer minimal extinction ($E_{B-V} \leq 0.3$ mag).

Notably, the fit to the zero point shows a very small variation with metallicity: 0.11 mag for $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]_{\text{H96}}$ varying from -2 to -0.5. For comparison, $(J-K_{S})_0$ at $K_{S} = -5.5$ mag varies by 0.32 mag for the same metallicity range (Ferraro et al. 2000). This result offers the possibility for a more reliable reddening estimates than in case of RGB color calibrations.
Table 2. Linear fits to the RGB in the form of: \( J - K_s = a \times K_s + b \). The radii \( R_{\text{max}} \) of the region around the cluster center used in the fit, and the root mean square of the fit are given.

| NGC ID | \( R_{\text{max}} \) | a                     | b                     | r.m.s. |
|-------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------|
| 104   | 10             | -0.125(0.002)        | 0.368(0.004)         | 0.054  |
| 288   | 7              | -0.105(0.006)        | 0.341(0.018)         | 0.048  |
| 1851  | 5              | -0.098(0.004)        | 0.399(0.013)         | 0.095  |
| 1904  | 7              | -0.081(0.004)        | 0.356(0.017)         | 0.088  |
| 2298  | 6              | -0.055(0.005)        | 0.389(0.019)         | 0.104  |
| 5024  | 11             | -0.061(0.004)        | 0.417(0.014)         | 0.107  |
| 5139  | 7              | -0.085(0.001)        | 0.344(0.005)         | 0.063  |
| 5466  | 10             | -0.047(0.008)        | 0.468(0.030)         | 0.082  |
| 6121  | 10             | -0.094(0.003)        | 0.360(0.010)         | 0.091  |
| 6144  | 4              | -0.066(0.003)        | 0.431(0.014)         | 0.088  |
| 6171  | 8              | -0.101(0.003)        | 0.310(0.009)         | 0.096  |
| 6205  | 10             | -0.086(0.003)        | 0.354(0.010)         | 0.068  |
| 6273  | 3              | -0.074(0.003)        |                      | 0.099  |
| 6356  | 2              | -0.110(0.005)        | 0.311(0.023)         | 0.109  |
| 6656  | 3              | -0.059(0.003)        |                      | 0.074  |
| 6715  | 3              | -0.083(0.004)        | 0.343(0.021)         | 0.143  |
| 6779  | 3              | -0.048(0.005)        | 0.445(0.020)         | 0.069  |
| 6809  | 10             | -0.077(0.004)        | 0.396(0.013)         | 0.064  |
| 6838  | 3              | -0.099(0.003)        | 0.352(0.009)         | 0.085  |
| 6864  | 3              | -0.078(0.004)        | 0.411(0.020)         | 0.110  |
| 7089  | 9              | -0.080(0.003)        | 0.369(0.012)         | 0.090  |
| 7099  | 8              | -0.050(0.006)        | 0.450(0.024)         | 0.047  |

Note: Fitting errors are given in brackets. The Zero Points for NGC 6273 and 6656 are omitted because of the large reddening toward this cluster.

contamination. Finally, the red variables from Clement et al. [2001] were excluded.

The formal uncertainties of the stellar magnitudes given in 2MASS were discarded, since they do not represent well the uncertainty in the tip magnitude. Instead, we adopted the difference in the magnitudes of the two brightest stars, accounting for the possibility that the brightest star may be a non-member. This led to typical error values of 0.2-0.4 mag, much larger than the 2MASS errors.

The RGB tip magnitudes for 20 globular clusters are given in Table 3. The behavior of the RGB tip with metal abundance is shown in Figure 4.

2.4. Results

The behavior of the derived RGB parameters with metallicity was fitted with linear equations, taking into account the errors along both axes. The coefficients are given in Table 4. Figure 3 shows a “fan”-like grid of RGBs in a reddening and distance corrected CMD, for the three metallicity scales discussed in Section 2.1. It demonstrates that the RGB behavior can be reduced to a simple rotation around a nearly-fixed point. This comes to no surprise, since the zero point of the RGB fit is almost independent of the abundance (Section 2.2).
The grid allows to obtain the metallicities of individual sdstars in resolved systems in the infrared, and to obtain the metallicity distributions as it has been done before in the optical (e.g. Saviane et al. 2000). The linear representation of the RGB in absolute $K_S$ magnitude versus the intrinsic $(J - K_S)_0$ color is:

$$M_{K_S} = RGB_{ZP} + RGB_{SI} \times (J - K_S)_0$$ \hspace{1cm} (1)

Both the slope RGB$_{SI}$ and the zero point RGB$_{ZP}$ were calibrated as functions of the abundance:

$$RGB_{SI} = a_0^{SI} + a_1^{SI} \times [\text{Fe/H}]$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$RGB_{ZP} = a_0^{ZP} + a_1^{ZP} \times [\text{Fe/H}]$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)

After substituting Eq. 2 and 3 in 1, and solving for the stellar metallicity:

$$[\text{Fe/H}] = [M_{K_S} - a_0^{SI} \times (J - K_S)_0 - a_0^{ZP}] / [a_1^{SI} \times (J - K_S)_0 + a_1^{ZP}]$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

This equation should be used with caution. Small photometric errors are crucial for accurate [Fe/H] estimates. The upper part of the infrared CMD is better suited for determining individual metallicities because near the root of the RGB the abundance relation degenerates. The exact faint limit is determined by the quality of the photometry, and by the presence of the horizontal branch at $M_{K_S} \sim -1.5$ mag.

### 3. 2MASS GC01

Hurt et al. (1999, 2000) reported the serendipitous discovery of 2MASS GC01 (hereafter GC01) in 2MASS data. It is a heavily obscured globular cluster, laying in the Milky Way disk, in the general direction of the Galactic center. Ivanov, Borissova, & Vanzi (2000b) determined $(m - M)_0 = 12.4 - 14.0$, and $A_V = 20.9 - 18.8$, assuming $[\text{Fe/H}] = -0.5$ and $-2.0$, respectively. The main source of uncertainty in these estimates was the unknown metallicity of the cluster, although the location of GC01 suggested that it might be a metal rich object.
The first step toward a metallicity estimate of GC01 was to remove the foreground stars contamination. Unlike the clusters we used to derive the RGB parameters calibrations, the contamination here is severe, reaching \( \sim 30\% \) in the RGB region. We performed 2000 foreground subtractions, and estimated the RGB slope for each realization separately. This method yields distributions of the RGB slope and tip, and the widths of these distributions measure the respective uncertainties.

To carry out this procedure we defined the CMD area, encompassing the RGB: \( 8.0 \leq K_S \leq 14.0 \) mag, and \( 2.5 \leq J - K_S \leq 6.0 \) mag. Then we divided it into 0.2 mag square bins. Experiments with different bin sizes indicated that any value between 0.2 and 1.0 mag leads to the same conclusions.

Next, we constructed CMDs for the cluster field, and for a surrounding field with an equal area. To minimize the crowding effects we omitted the stars within 15 arcsec from the cluster center. The outer limit of the cluster field was constrained by the cluster diameter (3.3 ± 0.2 arcmin, Hurt et al. [1999, 2000]). We carried out our calculations to two values of the outer radii: 1.0 and 1.5 arcmin. Smaller values limit the number statistics, and larger ones increase the fraction of the foreground contamination. The foreground field was defined as a circular annulus with 10.49 – 10.54 or 10.43 – 10.54 arcmin size, respectively for 1.0 and 1.5 arcmin cluster fields.

Finally we counted the stars in each bin, and subtracted randomly from the “cluster” bins as many stars as were present in the “field” bins. If the latter bin had more stars than the former one, we subtracted stars from the nearby bins, again in a random way.

We carried out a linear fit on the RGB stars in the foreground subtracted CMD in the same manner as for the calibration clusters (see Section 2.2). For stars without error measurements in 2MASS we adopted \( \sigma = 0.20 \) mag. Using the linearity of the RGB, we imposed a faint limit of the stars, included in the fit, just above the horizontal branch level. The luminosity function of GC01 (Ivanov et al. [2000]) indicates that the horizontal branch is at \( K_S = 13.0 - 13.2 \) mag. To minimize the uncertainties of the RGB slope, we also imposed color limits on the stars we used in the fit. The red one was set to \( J - K_S = 5.5 \) mag, and has no effect on the slopes because of the negligible number of stars to the red of the RGB. The results are somewhat more sensitive to the blue limit. We choose to impose \( J - K_S = 3.50 \) and 3.80 mag, because of the well-defined limit of the RGB at these colors (Figure 5).

A summary of the results for the RGB slope and zero point GC01 is presented in Figure 6. The RGB tip is omitted because it is sensitive only to the adopted cluster radius. Clearly, the effects from the assumed parameters are smaller or comparable with the uncertainties originating due to the foreground contamination. We calculated the error-weighted averages for the realizations with \( K_S^{30m} = 12.5 - 13.0 \), to avoid the possible influence of the cluster horizontal branch. The determined RGB parameters for GC01 are:

\[
\begin{align*}
   \langle \text{Slope} \rangle &= -0.0965 \pm 0.0171 \\
   \langle \text{ZeroPoint} \rangle &= 5.40 \pm 0.18 \\
   \langle \text{Tip} \rangle &= 8.87 \pm 0.13
\end{align*}
\]

Using the equations, given in Table 4, we obtain: \([\text{Fe/H}]_{H96} = -1.19 \pm 0.38\), \([\text{Fe/H}]_{CG01} = -1.06 \pm 0.34\), and \([M/H]_{FG99} = -0.88 \pm 0.32\). The errors given here include both the uncertainties in the slope, and in the calibrations. GC01 appears to resemble closely NGC 104.

The estimated absolute magnitude for the RGB tip, for a cluster with such abundance is \( M_{K_s} = -6.30 \pm 0.35 \) mag, using our new calibration for the Zinn metallicity scale. This leads to a distance modulus of \((m - M)_0 + A_{K_s} = 15.17 \pm 0.38 \) mag consistent with Ivanov et al. [2000].

We refrain from further considerations based on the RGB because of the poor statistics at the brighter end of the RGB. Instead, we will adopt \((m - M)_0 + A_{K_s} = 15.0 \pm 0.4 \) mag, a result of interpolation between the values for \([\text{Fe/H}] = -1.0\) and \(-2.0\), in Table 2 of Ivanov et al. [2000].

We can also verify if the cluster reddening is consistent with the previous estimates. First, we subtract the RGB slope equations, written for the GC01 in apparent, and in absolute magnitudes. Respectively:

\[
\begin{align*}
   (m_J - m_{Ks}) = \text{RGB}_{ZP}^{\text{app}} + \text{RGB}_1 \times m_{Ks} & \quad (5) \\
   (M_J - M_{Ks})_0 = \text{RGB}_{ZP}^{\text{abs}} + \text{RGB}_1 \times M_{Ks} & \quad (6)
\end{align*}
\]
from [Fe/H] to the abundance, varying by only 0.11 mag over a range. Notably, the zero point is fairly insensitive to the abundance, varying by only 0.11 mag over a range from [Fe/H]$_{H96} = -2$ to $-0.5$. We present a “fan”-like diagram, suitable for analyses of the metallicity spread in resolved stellar systems.

The derived calibrations were applied to estimate the metal abundance of the recently discovered globular cluster GC01. It is a particularly challenging object because of the severe foreground contamination. We removed it with a random procedure, and used the RGB slope of the remaining pure cluster population to derive [Fe/H]$_{H96} = -1.19 \pm 0.38$. The uncertainty is dominated by the foreground contamination, and albeit large, allows to exclude extremely high abundances, expected from the cluster location. GC01 is likely to be moderately metal poor. The RGB tip and zero point yield distance modulus and extinction, consistent with our previous estimates.
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The derived calibrations were applied to estimate the metal abundance of the recently discovered globular cluster GC01. It is a particularly challenging object because of the severe foreground contamination. We removed it with a random procedure, and used the RGB slope of the remaining pure cluster population to derive [Fe/H]$_{H96} = -1.19 \pm 0.38$. The uncertainty is dominated by the foreground contamination, and albeit large, allows to exclude extremely high abundances, expected from the cluster location. GC01 is likely to be moderately metal poor. The RGB tip and zero point yield distance modulus and extinction, consistent with our previous estimates.

Fig. 7. Behavior of the RGB slope (top) and zero point (bottom) for different parameters of the foreground subtraction and fits. The horizontal axis is the lower limit of the stars used in the RGB fit. Triangles indicate cluster radius of 1.0 arcmin, and circles indicate 1.5 arcmin. Open symbols indicate a blue limit of $J - K_S = 3.25$ mag, and solid symbols of $J - K_S = 3.00$ mag. Only some 1σ errors of individual points are shown to avoid crowding. The solid lines indicate the weighted averages, and the dashed lines indicate their 1σ errors. The values to the right of the vertical dotted line were discarded because they were affected by the horizontal branch.

Here the notation is the same as in Table 3, and RGB$_{ZP}$ = 0.36±0.20 mag, for the [Fe/H]$_{H96}$ given above. The result of the subtraction is:

$$E(J - K_S) = RGB_{ZP}^{app} - RGB_{ZP}^{hs} + RGB_{h} \times [(m - M)_0 + A_{K_S}](7)$$

Substituting, we obtain: $E(J - K_S) = 3.58 \pm 0.37$ mag, close to the values of 3.49 mag, interpolated as above from Ivanov et al. (2000b). Our new estimate corresponds to $A_V = 21.07 \pm 2.20$ mag, and $A_{K_S} = 2.36 \pm 0.25$ mag.
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