The Influence of Weathering, Water Sources, and Hydrological Cycles on Lithium Isotopic Compositions in River Water and Groundwater of the Ganges–Brahmaputra–Meghna River System in Bangladesh
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The silicate weathering of continental rocks plays a vital role in determining ocean chemistry and global climate. Spatiotemporal variations in the Li isotope ratio ($\delta^{7}$Li) of terrestrial waters can be used to identify regimes of current and past weathering processes. Here we examine: 1) monthly dissolved $\delta^{7}$Li variation in the Ganges River’s lower reaches; and 2) the spatiotemporal variation of river water of the Brahmaputra, Meghna rivers, and groundwater in Bangladesh. From the beginning to maximum flood discharges of the rainy season (i.e., from June to September), Li concentrations and $\delta^{7}$Li in the Ganges River show remarkable changes, with a large influence from Himalayan sources. However, most Li discharge across the rainy season is at steady-state and strongly influenced by the secondary mineral formation in the low-altitude floodplain. Secondary mineral formation strongly influences the Meghna River’s Li isotopic composition along with fractionation lines similar to the Ganges River. A geothermal input is an additional Li source for the Brahmaputra River. For groundwater samples shallower than ~60 m depth, both $\delta^{7}$Li and Li/Na are highly scattered regardless of the sampling region, suggesting the variable extent of fractionation. For deep groundwater (70–310 m) with a longer residence time (3,000 to 20,000 years), the lower $\delta^{7}$Li values indicate more congruent weathering. These results suggest that Li isotope fractionation in rivers and groundwater depends on the timescale of water-mineral interaction, which plays an essential role in determining the isotopic signature of terrestrial Li inputs to the ocean.
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INTRODUCTION

The Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers are sourced on the Himalayan–Tibetan Plateau (Figure 1). Active physical and chemical weathering is associated with the uplift of the plateau and high-magnitude monsoonal rainfall, and the combined sediment and chemical discharges of the Ganges and Brahmaputra Rivers are among the top five major world rivers. Chemical weathering of continental rocks converts atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) and silicate rocks to alkalinity and solutes on geologic timescales, and therefore essential determinants of the long-term carbon cycle (Galy and France-Lanord, 1999).

Lithium isotope ratios (δ⁷Li) are a tracer of silicate weathering processes, which provide information for mass balance calculations of the ratio of primary mineral dissolution relative to the secondary mineral formation in terrestrial waters (Bagard et al., 2015; Pogge von Strandmann and Henderson, 2015; Bouchez et al., 2013). The large relative mass difference of 16% between ⁶Li and ⁷Li results in natural δ⁷Li variations of more than 60‰ (Tomascak, 2004). The δ⁷Li values of silicate rocks of the upper continental crust occupy a range of −5‰ to +5‰ (Teng et al., 2004), with an average of 0.6 ± 0.6‰ (Sauzéat et al., 2015), while the dissolved Li of rivers display a much more considerable variation, ranging from +2 to +44‰ (e.g., Tomascak, 2004; Penniston-Dorland et al., 2017). Although δ⁷Li values in the dissolved load reflect a mixture of different sources, such as contributions of atmospheric salt, and silicate sources, preferential uptake of ⁶Li by secondary minerals plays the critical role for the higher δ⁷Li values in terrestrial waters.

A higher ratio of a secondary mineral formation relative to primary mineral dissolution (i.e., medium weathering intensity, Dellinger et al., 2015) leads to more Li incorporation by clay minerals and residual waters isotopically heavier than the source material(s). If the δ⁷Li values of dissolved Li are close to those of primary silicate rocks, weathering is considered “congruent” and the delivery of cations, from the dissolution of primary minerals, to the ocean is more efficient. In contrast, high δ⁷Li values imply that more cations are retained by secondary mineral formation (“incongruent” weathering), corresponding to a medium weathering to denudation ratio (Pogge von Strandmann and Henderson, 2015; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2020). At a very high weathering to denudation intensity regime, dissolution of pre-formed clays also causes a decrease in dissolved δ⁷Li, but this regime is characterized by lower Li flux and yields (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2020).

Lithium inputs from the Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers are 2.60 × 10⁸ and 2.63 × 10⁸ mol/yr, respectively, accounting for approximately 10% of total input fluxes from major world rivers of 2.79 × 10⁹ mol/yr (Huh et al., 1998). Riverine Li inputs have played an important role in past changes of seawater δ⁷Li values (Hathorne and James, 2006), accounting for approximately 30% of the modern ocean’s total input fluxes with an average δ⁷Li value of 23‰ (Huh et al., 1998). Given the large discharge volume and sediment flux of the Ganges–Brahmaputra river system (Milliman and Syvitski, 1992), the chemical flux and isotopic variations of this system is important in determining the oceanic element and isotopic mass balance (Tipper et al., 2006; Bickle et al., 2018).

The δ⁷Li values in the Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers show a clear downstream trend toward higher values as the dissolved Li concentration decreases, indicating a progressive increase in preferential uptake of ⁶Li by secondary minerals (Huh et al., 1998; Kurek et al., 2005; Bagard et al., 2015; Manaka et al., 2017). More recently, Pogge von Strandmann et al. (2017) showed that dissolved δ⁷Li are low only in the Ganges headwaters, and reach a constant ±21 ± 1.6‰ which is maintained for almost 2,000 km to the Ganges mouth. The downstream evolution of river water chemistry and the total chemical fluxes to the ocean are tightly controlled by weathering in low altitude floodplains (Frings et al., 2015; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017; Bickle et al., 2018).

The floodplain sediment load consists of incompletely weathered material that is delivered from high mountain areas. Continued weathering of Himalayan-derived sediment during its transport through the low-altitude plain makes a significant
contribution to the total weathering fluxes (Lupker et al., 2012; Frings et al., 2015). Indeed, the retention of Li by secondary minerals has been a critical process in the long-term evolution of seawater δ³⁷Li that links changes in global silicate weathering intensity (Li and West, 2014; Wanner et al., 2014; Caves Rugenstein et al., 2019). The increase in oceanic δ³⁷Li during the Cenozoic (Hathorne and James, 2006; Misra and Froelich, 2012; Washington et al., 2020) could be linked to greater ³⁷Li retention by clays accompanying active secondary clay formation during the uplift of the Himalayan-Tibetan Plateaus and the Andes (Hoorn et al., 2010), accompanied by floodplain development (Pogge von Strandmann and Henderson, 2015). Chemical compositions of river waters depend on seasonal differences in relative fractions of chemical weathering inputs derived from the high mountains, floodplains, and southern tributaries (Bickle et al., 2018). There is a large seasonal difference in the Ganges River discharge, but the seasonal change in δ³⁷Li is still under debate due to limited sampling. To capture a full annual variability, monthly variation is essential for evaluating the continental Li fluxes' contribution to the ocean (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2016; Gou et al., 2019; Hindshaw et al., 2019a, Hindshaw et al., 2019b, Hindshaw et al., 2019c).

The δ³⁷Li value in groundwater is generally lower than that of rivers (Nishio et al., 2010; Millot et al., 2011; Bagard et al., 2015) and subsurface residence time and hydrological cycles have significant controls on the extent of Li incorporation into secondary minerals (Liu et al., 2015). In Bangladesh, groundwater also shows elevated Li concentration (up to 3.02 μmol/kg) with an average δ³⁷Li value of 16‰; this is about 10‰ lower than the δ³⁷Li composition of riverine discharge to the Bay of Bengal (Bagard et al., 2015). In the Bengal Basin, shallow groundwater (depths down to 70–100 m below surface) are recharged regularly, resulting in residence times from tens to hundreds of years (Aggarwal et al., 2000). Deep groundwater in the Bengal Basin is isolated from shallow waters and has a residence time ranging from a few thousand to around twenty thousand years (Aggarwal et al., 2000). Previous studies showed that δ³⁷Li values in the deep groundwater in the Bengal Basin are ~4‰ lower (Manaka et al., 2017) than those at shallow depths (<50 m, Bagard et al., 2015). The lower δ³⁷Li implies more congruent dissolution (less influence of secondary minerals) in the deep aquifers, where long water-rock interaction times favor the progress of mineral dissolution. Because changes in the Cenozoic seawater δ³⁷Li reflect the evolution of floodplain weathering (Pogge von Strandmann and Henderson, 2015; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017), changes in groundwater chemistry with depth and their impact on the reaction kinetics of δ³⁷Li are subject to an accurate reconstruction of weathering regimes in the geological past (Wanner et al., 2014). Although spatial variations in groundwater δ³⁷Li represent a rich natural laboratory, limited studies are available for the Li isotope system in groundwater due to sampling difficulty. Our objective in sampling groundwater in the Bengal Plain in Bangladesh was to resolve whether the relative contribution of primary mineral dissolution and adsorption/desorption processes differs from shallow to deeper sediment depths.

This study investigated monthly δ³⁷Li variations across large seasonal differences in both discharge amount and discharged chemical composition in the Ganges River’s lower reaches. We present new data for both the Brahmaputra and Meghna rivers in both dry and rainy seasons. Seasonal pattern contributes to the accurate estimation of continental Li isotope fluxes to the ocean. Moreover, the substantial submarine discharge of groundwater from the Bengal Plain also influences the global oceanic δ³⁷Li composition (Bagard et al., 2015). The global Li flux of groundwater to the ocean is estimated to be ~10% of the total riverine Li flux (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2014; Mayfield et al., 2021), making it the second-largest terrestrial Li input after rivers. Because the δ³⁷Li value of groundwater is still in need of further constraint, we also aimed to identify the factors responsible for the Li isotopic composition of groundwater.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area and Materials

The Ganges River flows from the high Himalayas and joins with the Brahmaputra and Meghna Rivers in Bangladesh (Figure 1). The respective mean annual discharges of the Ganges River at the Hardinge Bridge station and the Brahmaputra River at the Bahadurabad station, near our sampling points, are 1.1 × 10⁶ and 2.0 × 10⁶ m³ s⁻¹ (Webster et al., 2010). Maximum flood discharges occur during the rainy season from June to September (Figure 2). During the Cenozoic, the Earth’s surface experienced large changes in global temperature in association with the Indo-Asia continental collision. The establishment of the world’s highest solute and sediment fluxes of this riverine system are linked to increased exhumation and chemical weathering in the Himalaya-Tibetan region. Since increased weathering fluxes of the High Himalayas and its low-lying floodplains have been linked to the ocean–atmosphere carbon inventory, a wide number of approaches have focused on estimates of chemical fluxes derived from silicate and carbonate weathering (e.g., Bickle et al., 2018).

The headwaters of the Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers drain metamorphic rocks (high-grade schist, gneiss, quartzite, and carbonate rocks), felsic intrusives, and sedimentary rocks (sandstone, shale, and limestone) of Paleozoic and Mesozoic age (e.g., Heroy et al., 2003). The Brahmaputra River’s upper stream flows east across the Yarlung Tsangpo suture zone of the Tibetan Plateau, then southwest into eastern India and south to Bangladesh. The middle and lower reaches traverse widespread Pleistocene Himalayan alluvium in the middle and lower reaches. The Ganges River’s catchment is composed mainly of weathered rocks with high clay content, whereas that of the Brahmaputra River comprises less-weathered sediments (e.g., Coleman, 1969). The clay mineral assemblage in the Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna river system consists of illite, smectite, kaolinite, and chlorite: the Ganges sediments are more enriched in smectite formed in the lowland floodplain, and the Brahmaputra and Meghna sediments are rich in illite (Khan et al., 2019). The Meghna River drains mainly lowland deposits of Himalayan alluvium in Bangladesh. The Meghna River has the upper and...
lower parts divided at the confluence point with the Ganges. The upper Meghna River is small compared to the Ganges and Brahmaputra Rivers, with an average annual discharge of only 3,510 m$^3$ s$^{-1}$ (Parua, 2010).

We collected river water and groundwater during dry (February 16–23) and wet (September 22–25) seasons in 2012. Mid-stream surface waters were sampled at six locations along the Ganges, Brahmaputra, and Meghna Rivers. We also collected groundwater samples from 21 wells in Bangladesh (Figure 1; Supplementary Table S1). Moreover, we conducted a monthly water sampling of the Ganges River at Pakshi from October 2012 to August 2013. Carbonate and major ion concentrations in these samples have been previously reported (Manaka et al., 2017, 2019; Yoshimura et al., 2018; Araoka and Yoshimura, 2019). The samples were eluted through a Metrohm Metrosep C6-250/4.0 column with 8 mM ultrapure HNO$_3$ (TAMAPURE AA-100, Tama Chemical, and Kawasaki) at a flow rate of 0.9 ml min$^{-1}$. Lithium fraction was pooled in 7-ml Teflon vials (Savillex, Minnesota) and then evaporated to dryness in an ISO6 clean bench, and then redissolved in HNO$_3$. Dissolved Li in water samples was purified by ion chromatograph (Metrohm 930, Metrohm, Herisau, Switzerland) coupled to an Agilent 1,260 Infinity II (Agilent, Santa Clara, United States) with the fraction collector (IC-FC) system (Yoshimura et al., 2018; Araoka and Yoshimura, 2019). The isotopic data are calibrated using L-SVEC reference standard and reported as per mil (%) deviations as follows:

$$\delta^7\text{Li} = \left( \frac{[^{7}\text{Li}]/[^{6}\text{Li}]_{\text{sample}}}{[^{7}\text{Li}]/[^{6}\text{Li}]_{\text{L-SVEC}}} - 1 \right) \times 100 \quad (1)$$

The analytical reproducibility was better than ±0.4‰ (2 SD) as estimated from multiple measurements of in-house standard solutions during each analytical session: 8.5 ± 0.4‰ (2 SD, thousand years or longer for deep type III and type IV groundwater of about 3,000 and 20,000 years, respectively, (Aggarwal et al., 2000). Before groundwater sampling, each well was pumped for a while to obtain stable temperature and electrical conductivity, similar to other papers (e.g., Paul et al., 2010).

**Analytical Methods**

Water samples were passed through acetate membrane filters (0.45 µm pore size), then acidified with ultrapure HNO$_3$ (TAMAPURE-AA-100, Tama Chemicals, and Japan). Lithium concentrations were measured with a quadrupole inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS, iCAP Qc; Thermo Scientific, Bremen, Germany) at the Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology. For ICP-MS analysis, water samples were diluted in polypropylene vials, then HNO$_3$ (0.3 M) was added to each vial. The HNO$_3$ used in this study was commercially supplied high-purity TAMAPURE AA-100 reagents (Tama Chemical, Japan). To control instrument drift, internal standards for Be, Sc, Y, and In were used. We measured laboratory standard solutions after every fifth sample for data correction purposes.

The water samples were evaporated to dryness in an ISO6 clean bench, and then redissolved in HNO$_3$. Dissolved Li in water samples was purified by ion chromatograph (Metrohm 930, Metrohm, Herisau, Switzerland) coupled to an Agilent 1,260 Infinity II (Agilent, Santa Clara, United States) with the fraction collector (IC-FC) system (Yoshimura et al., 2018; Araoka and Yoshimura, 2019). The samples were eluted through a Metrohm Metrosep C6-250/4.0 column with 8 mM ultrapure HNO$_3$ (TAMAPURE AA-100, Tama Chemical, and Kawasaki) at a flow rate of 0.9 ml min$^{-1}$. Lithium fraction was pooled in 7-ml Teflon vials (Savillex, Minnesota) and then evaporated to dryness in an ISO6 clean bench for isotope analysis. The Li isotopic composition was determined with a multiple-collector ICP-MS (NEPTUNE plus; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, United States) at the National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, using standard sample-bracketing methods. Standards and samples were prepared as Li solutions (100 ppb) in HNO$_3$ (0.3 M). Sample solutions were introduced with a nebulizer (PFA MicroFlow, 100 µl min$^{-1}$, ESI, and Omaha) attached to a quartz dual cyclonic spray chamber operated in free aspiration mode. We performed Li isotope analysis with a standard H-skimmer cone in low-mass-resolution mode. Samples and standards were analyzed 30 times with an integration time of 4 s per cycle. Background signal intensities were determined by analyzing ultrapure HNO$_3$ (0.3 M) 10 times with an integration time of 4 s per cycle; baselines were subsequently subtracted from standard/sample signal intensities.

The isotopic data are calibrated using L-SVEC reference standard and reported as per mil (%) deviations as follows:

$$\delta^7\text{Li} = \left( \frac{[^{7}\text{Li}]/[^{6}\text{Li}]_{\text{sample}}}{[^{7}\text{Li}]/[^{6}\text{Li}]_{\text{L-SVEC}}} - 1 \right) \times 100 \quad (1)$$

The analytical reproducibility was better than ±0.4‰ (2 SD) as estimated from multiple measurements of in-house standard solutions during each analytical session: 8.5 ± 0.4‰ (2 SD, 100 µl min$^{-1}$, ESI, and Omaha) attached to a quartz dual cyclonic spray chamber operated in free aspiration mode. We performed Li isotope analysis with a standard H-skimmer cone in low-mass-resolution mode. Samples and standards were analyzed 30 times with an integration time of 4 s per cycle. Background signal intensities were determined by analyzing ultrapure HNO$_3$ (0.3 M) 10 times with an integration time of 4 s per cycle; baselines were subsequently subtracted from standard/sample signal intensities.

The isotopic data are calibrated using L-SVEC reference standard and reported as per mil (%) deviations as follows:

$$\delta^7\text{Li} = \left( \frac{[^{7}\text{Li}]/[^{6}\text{Li}]_{\text{sample}}}{[^{7}\text{Li}]/[^{6}\text{Li}]_{\text{L-SVEC}}} - 1 \right) \times 100 \quad (1)$$

The analytical reproducibility was better than ±0.4‰ (2 SD) as estimated from multiple measurements of in-house standard solutions during each analytical session: 8.5 ± 0.4‰ (2 SD,
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Contributions of Silicate, Carbonate, and Atmospheric Sources to Dissolved Lithium

The concentrations of Li in our river water and groundwater samples are presented in Supplementary Table S1, along with major cation and anion data reported by Manaka et al. (2017, 2019). The primary solute source is chemical weathering inputs derived from the high mountains, floodplains, and southern tributaries (Bickle et al., 2018). Additional solutes in the river and groundwater are derived partly from atmospheric inputs, such as rainfall and dust deposition (Millot et al., 2010a). The Li concentrations and δ7Li values of river water and groundwater have been corrected for the salt contribution based on the molar ratio of Li to Cl, assuming that Cl in atmospheric (rain) inputs is derived predominantly from seawater and aerosols (Li/Cl_{seawater/aerosol} = 4.7 × 10^{-5}, δ7Li = +31‰, Millot et al., 2004):

$$[\text{Li}]_{\text{salt}} = [\text{Cl}]_{\text{river}} \times [\text{Li} / \text{Cl}]_{\text{seawater/aerosol}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where [Li]_{salt} and [Cl]_{river} are dissolved Li derived from salt inputs and dissolved Cl concentrations of river water, respectively. Soil salts and evaporites (e.g., Galy et al., 1999; Galy and France-Lanord, 1999) partly affect the dissolved Li in river and groundwater, but it is challenging to estimate accurate Li concentrations and isotope ratios for these local evaporitic salts. Note that our Li/Cl correction would give maximum estimates of Li inputs from salts because Li/Cl of evaporites (3 × 10^{-5}, Dellinger et al., 2015) are generally lower than 4.7 × 10^{-5}. The asterisk sign for chemical compositions, such as Li/Na*, denotes the Cl-corrected value (Supplementary Table S1; Figures 2, 3). The respective atmospheric contribution to Li concentrations and δ7Li are generally minor: <3.5% and <0.2% for the Ganges River, <0.5% and <0.1% for the Brahmaputra River, <5% and <0.4% for the Meghna River, and generally less than 1% and 0.2% for the groundwater samples (Supplementary Table S1).

Lithium in the dissolved load is mainly sourced from silicate weathering (Ksakurek et al., 2005; Bagard et al., 2015; Manaka et al., 2017). Other weathering sources are generally estimated to contribute a minor fraction. Even in river catchments dominated by carbonate rocks, most dissolved Li in rivers is derived from silicate minerals (Ksakurek et al., 2005). Bengal Basin sediments are mainly composed of very fine to fine siliclastic sands with silt and clay (Aggarwal et al., 2000; Lupker et al., 2012) but contain primary and secondary carbonates. By adopting the Li/Ca ratio of 1.5 × 10^{-5} of limestone (Millot et al., 2010b), the proportions of dissolved Li from carbonate weathering are estimated to be <5% of the total Li budget for the Ganges River, <2% for the Brahmaputra River, <5% for the Meghna River, and generally less than 1% for the groundwater samples (Supplementary Table S1). Although the modern marine core-top carbonates (calcite) have a narrow range with an average δ7Li composition of about +25% (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2019), correction for carbonate inputs has not been adopted because sedimentary and metamorphic carbonates potentially have a wide range of δ7Li compositions (Hathorne and James, 2006; Misra and Froelich, 2012; Dellinger et al., 2018). Given that the proportions of carbonate inputs are similar to those from atmospheric inputs, the impact of carbonate weathering on δ7Li values of river and groundwater is considered to be less than the analytical reproducibility of this study (±0.4‰), however.

The Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers arise on the Himalayan–Tibetan Plateau, a region of active physical erosion, where dissolved Li is initially sourced from weathering of sedimentary (e.g., shale), igneous, and high-grade metamorphic silicate rocks (Dellinger et al., 2014). The δ7Li and Li/Na values of the bed-sands and suspended particulate matter were previously reported by Dellinger et al. (2014), and riverine bed-sands represent a mixture of unweathered fragments of silicate rocks. The δ7Li and Li/Na ratios of bed-sands in the Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers clustered in relatively uniform average values of 0.39‰ and 5.62 mmol/mol, respectively, (Figures 3A,B). Both bed-sands of these rivers plot slightly outside the range of binary mixing between the global igneous and shale sources due to the loss of Li during high-grade metamorphism of the High Himalaya Crystalline Series (Dellinger et al., 2014). The silicate Li in the Ganges and Brahmaputra Rivers have a similar δ7Li and Li/Na ratio. Thus, the bed-sands indicate a well-defined primary silicate Li source, rather independent of drainage area. In the following sections, we apply an approach used by Pogge von Strandmann et al. (2017) to address the sensitivity of δ7Li to the extent of Li uptake by secondary minerals from the Himalayan Li sources using Rayleigh fractionation (Eq. 3) and open type Batch fractionation (Eq. 4, Dellinger et al., 2015; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017):

\[ \delta^7Li_{W} = \delta^7Li_{W0} + 1000(\alpha - 1)\ln \left( \frac{f_{W}}{f_{W0}} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

\[ \delta^7Li_{W} = \delta^7Li_{W0} + 1000(\alpha - 1)(1 - f_{W}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where δ7Li_{W}, and δ7Li_{W0} are the Li isotopic composition of river waters and their initial values; α is the fractionation factor
between the dissolved Li and secondary minerals; \( f_{\text{Li}}^w \) is the fraction of Li reming in the water.

A process-related fractionation of Li isotope ratios and mixing of isotopically distinct end-members could both explain the behavior of Li isotopic compositions and \( f_{\text{Li}}^w \). The Rayleigh fractionation trend is shown in Figure 3A, and some main tributaries of the Amazon River basins (Dellinger et al., 2015) have also been tested by fractionation and mixing processes. Their observed data is consistent with isotope fractionation processes occurring during weathering. In the case of the Ganges River, the Li data from the headwater to 2000 km downstream of the Ganges River basically follow isotope fractionation processes, rather than mixing (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017). To evaluate the influence of end-member mixing for the Ganges–Brahmaputra–Meghna drainage basin within Bangladesh (Figure 3A), we used our \( \delta^7\text{Li} \) data and previously published \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) values of the same samples (Figure 4B). The \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) of the Meghna and more than half of the groundwater which drains only the Bengal Plain is distinctly lower than that of the Ganges and Brahmaputra Rivers. This suggests that the floodplain weathering processes exert a strong influence on inputs of solutes, and there is a small influence from redistribution and mixing of waters from the mountains. Moreover, three groundwater exhibit broadly similar \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) values to that of the Ganges River, but have significantly lower \( \delta^7\text{Li} \) values (\( >10^\circ \)) compared to the Ganges River. The
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**FIGURE 3** Relationships between the Li/Na ratio (\( \mu \text{mol kg}^{-1}/\text{mmol kg}^{-1} \)) and the \( \delta^7\text{Li} \) in the Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna rivers and groundwater in Bangladesh from this study and previous studies (A) Rayleigh-type and (B) batch-type fractionation from the starting composition of the average bed-sands value in the Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers (Li/Na = 5.6, \( \delta^7\text{Li} = 0.39^\circ \), Dellinger et al., 2014). Blue dashed lines are maximum, intermediate, and minimum fractionation curves for groundwaters in Bangladesh. Black solid lines are fractionation curves through the average compositions of the Brahmaputra and Meghna rivers, respectively. Gray lines represent binary mixing between silicate and geothermal sources as the starting composition of the Brahmaputra River (C) The Li concentrations and \( \delta^7\text{Li} \) values of the monthly changes in Ganges River water corrected for the atmospheric contribution. Dashed lines are the maximum and minimum Rayleigh fractionation lines for monthly change. A red line connects monthly data, and a red star is the discharge-weighted mean \( \delta^7\text{Li} \) and Li/Na compositions.
radiogenic $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ are likely due to the dissolution of the Himalayan-derived sediments. Still, the low $\delta^7\text{Li}$ values suggest that its silicate weathering process differs from the river samples and is more congruent. Overall, the mixing processes have little influence on the $\delta^7\text{Li}$ compositions of the rivers and groundwater samples, and therefore Li isotope fractionation in Figure 3 will be discussed in the following section.

**Monthly Variation in Dissolved Li in the Ganges River**

The Ganges rivers show large seasonal variations in their discharge and chemical composition due to differences in relative fractions of chemical weathering inputs derived from the high mountains, floodplain, and southern tributaries (Bickle et al., 2018). An important question is whether the seasonal weathering inputs, being linked to hydrological cycles and water residence time (Liu et al., 2015), from these different sources (Henchiri et al., 2016) have a relationship with Li isotope systematics. Then the discharge-weighted mean $\delta^7\text{Li}$ and Li/Na compositions will be calculated. The rivers and groundwater have different chemical compositions. In the ternary diagram of major cations, the water samples from the Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers, representing Himalayan weathering sources, have higher Ca and Mg concentrations than those from the upper Meghna River (Figure 5). Compared to the river water, the chemical compositions of groundwater, predominantly reflecting floodplain weathering in the Bengal Plain, vary widely, especially in the shallow groundwater. Groundwater tends to show high Na and K contents, suggesting that silicate weathering influences water chemistry more strongly than carbonate weathering (Yoshimura et al., 2021).

The Ganges River’s monthly samples showed that both Li and Na concentrations in the Ganges River initially increased from September 2012 to May 2013 (the end of the dry season). Both concentrations decrease rapidly from June to August 2013, accompanying a marked increase in the Li/Na ratio, from ~0.7 to 1.4 μmol kg$^{-1}$/mmol, kg$^{-1}$ and precipitation (Figure 2). Most element concentrations were higher in the dry season (October to June) than in the wet season (June to September), simply because of the dilution by monsoon rainfall. The ternary diagram showed that the marked shift of the major cation compositions at the start of the monsoon increased the fraction of cations derived from Himalayan catchments. Conversely, the March sample (ZDB-6, Supplementary Table S1) exhibits the highest proportion of Na and K (Figure 5), indicating the maximum contribution of cations deriving from floodplain weathering. These cations are potentially derived from the dissolution of floodplain soil salts, but their inputs probably occur at the initial stage of the rainy season, accompanying higher precipitation. Regardless of the large seasonal changes in water chemistry, the temporal changes in $\delta^7\text{Li}$ apparently did not show a clear trend, ranging from 22.3 to 25.4‰ (Figures 2, 6). The plot of $\delta^7\text{Li}$ and Li/Na did not exhibit a linear relationship, suggesting that $\delta^7\text{Li}$ values cannot be explained solely by binary mixing of distinct Li sources, such as the upper Ganges, southern rivers, and lowland floodplain sources. The dissolved $\delta^7\text{Li}$ of the Congo River mouth is negatively correlated to discharge due to the mixing of waters from two contrasting continental weathering regimes (Henchiri et al., 2016). In contrast, the lack of source mixing is consistent with lower dissolved $\delta^7\text{Li}$ values in the uppermost 500 km of the Ganges headwaters, reaching a constant steady-state value downstream 2,000 km of the Ganges mouth (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017).

Secondary mineral fractionation exerts a dominant influence over lithological controls. Preferential uptake of $^6\text{Li}$ by secondary minerals has been reported to contribute to downstream $\delta^7\text{Li}$ changes (Vigier et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2015). The $\delta^7\text{Li}$ values for the Ganges River show an apparent, progressive increase from the upper reaches in the Himalaya-Tibetan region to the Bengal Basin (Huh et al., 1998; Kisakurek et al., 2005; Bagard et al., 2015; Manaka et al., 2017; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017). The downstream evolution of $\delta^7\text{Li}$ in the Ganges River is described by Rayleigh fractionation with a fractionation factor of 0.996–0.980
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**FIGURE 4** Correlations between (A) $\delta^7\text{Li}$ and Sr/Ca and (B) $\delta^7\text{Li}$ and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ of the Ganges, Brahmaputra, and Meghna rivers and groundwater in the Bengal Plain. Dashed lines represent the mean Sr/Ca values of silicate (3.22 mmol/mol) and carbonate (0.544 mmol/mol) weathering inputs in the Ganges River (Bickle et al., 2018). Incongruent dissolution and sorting effects of silicate materials results in the high Sr/Ca ratios of the silicate fractions of bedload (Yoshimura et al., 2021).
Alternatively, assuming that SPMs partly contribute as the expressed seasonally, because of different water/Li sources. (relative proportion of major cations from Himalayan weathering 30/June/) experimentally determined mineral (rock)-value of close to 0.97 (et al., 2017). The batch fractionation model results in lower corrected data of the Ganges samples (Pogge von Strandmann with the median ± factors for Li (Penniston-Dorland et al., 2017) and is also lower than the lowest α value of 0.988 for previously published rain-corrected data of the Ganges samples (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017). The batch fractionation model results in lower α value of close to 0.97 (Figure 3B), which is lower than typical experimentally determined mineral (rock)-fluid fractionation factors for Li (Penniston-Dorland et al., 2017) and is also lower than the lowest α value of the clay structural (octahedral) site of 0.9790 ± 0.0011 (Hindshaw et al., 2019a). The Rayleigh model is largely consistent with the experimentally calculated α value for one of the abundant clay minerals in the Ganges River, smectite, at a lower temperature (0.983 for 25°C and 0.981 for 0°C, (Vigier et al., 2008)). Thus, we speculate that Li isotope systematics in this river system is best explained by the Rayleigh-type fractionation, thus dissolved Li is isolated from, and not interacting with, secondary precipitates after their precipitation. A previous study reported that the δ7Li values for the Ganges and Brahmaputra Rivers are lower in the rainy season than in the dry season (Manaka et al., 2017), but our monthly data demonstrate that seasonal changes in δ7Li, especially from the start of the rainy season to maximum discharge (May–July), would not reflect solely the fractions of 6Li removed to secondary minerals. Instead, this isotopic excursion in a plot of δ7Li and Li/Na (Figure 3C) can be best attributed to the Li source with the lowest fractionation factor α of ~0.977 at the start of the rainy season (the sample of 30/June’/13, Figure 3C) with a corresponding increase in the relative proportion of major cations from Himalayan weathering (Figure 5). This means that different net fractionation factors expressed seasonally, because of different water/Li sources. Alternatively, assuming that SPMs partly contribute as the starting composition, the α value for 30/June/13 tends towards lower with increasing SPMs contribution (Supplementary Figure S1) because the α value of 0.977 is quite low. The clay mineral assemblage in the Bengal Plain’s river system consists of illite, smectite, kaolinite, and chlorite, and the Ganges riverine sediments are more enriched in smectite formed in the lowland floodplain (Khan et al., 2019). Therefore, it is plausible that the different clay mineralogy being formed in the low-temperature chemical weathering of the Himalayan catchments, as well as the temperature dependence of fractionation factor (Vigier et al., 2008), links to the lower α values at around the start of the monsoon season. However, considering the monthly Li fluxes, their impact on the net Li isotope fluxes is limited. The α value of the total riverine supply of Li from the Ganges to the oceans is relatively constant at around 0.986–0.988. Therefore, floodplain weathering plays a dominant role in the downstream evolution of river water chemistry and contributes a significant fraction of the dissolved Li fluxes to the ocean. Our discharge-weighted average δ7Li value of 23.6 ± 0.1‰ is similar to the range of 21 ± 1.6‰ across the 2000 km upstream of the Ganges river mouth (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017). A slightly higher δ7Li for our samples collected at lower reaches probably reflects additional fractionated Li inputs by floodplain weathering.

Figure 6 is a compilation of the monthly/weekly variation in dissolved δ7Li. The ranges of seasonal fluctuations are 3.7‰ for the Lake Myvatn (Iceland, Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2016), 4.6‰ for the Yellow River (China, Gou et al., 2019), 3.8‰ for the Yenisei River (Russia, Hindshaw et al., 2019b) and 3.2‰ for the Ganges River (This study). The similar magnitude of δ7Li fluctuation (3.7‰) have been reported in the outlet glacier of the Greenland Ice Sheet over a sub-weekly time series during the melt season (Hindshaw et al., 2019c).
Therefore, accurate estimates of average $\delta^{7}\text{Li}$ and [Li$^+$] compositions of rivers and lakes are better calculated from multiple samples for each important hydrological regime to cancel out any sampling bias. However, it is clear that monthly dissolved $\delta^{7}\text{Li}$ and [Li$^+$] are unaffected by biological productivity changes (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2016).

**The Difference Between the Ganges, Brahmaputra, and Meghna Rivers**

A plot of $\delta^{7}\text{Li}$ vs. Li/Na for water samples and bedload can be a good indicator to differentiate influences of primary sources and secondary minerals (Figure 3). As previously discussed, the Li source and isotopic fractionation behavior for the Ganges River are within the previous studies range. They mainly reflect the ratio of silicate dissolution, represented by the bed-sands (Dellinger et al., 2014), relative to secondary mineral formation. The values of $\delta^{7}\text{Li}$ and Li/Na of the upper Meghna River, which drains only the Bengal Plain, lie on the Ganges River’s fractionation lines, but dissolved Li become more fractionated from the starting composition in both Rayleigh and Batch fractionation (Figures 3A,B). The reactive-transport modelling predicted that both Batch (equilibrium) and Rayleigh fractionation processes potentially control the riverine isotopic composition of the Amazon (Maffre et al., 2020) and Alaknanda River (the upper part of the Ganges headwaters, Bohlin and Bickle, 2019) basins. Different fractionation behavior relates to bedrock types and mountain/floodplain regions, i.e., weathering regimes, until the Li fractionation reaches the steady state values (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017). Weathering in floodplains...
contributes significantly to the total chemical fluxes (Bickle et al., 2018). Secondary mineral formation in low altitude plains makes a dominant contribution to the $\delta^7$Li signature of the Ganges River (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017). The solutes in low altitude plains are derived mainly from in-situ floodplain weathering of sediments and not from a redistribution of waters from the mountains (Bickle et al., 2018). Compared to the Ganges River, which has headwaters extending the high-denudation mountain areas, more fractionated Li in the Meghna River thus is interpreted as the lower ratio of primary mineral dissolution relative to the secondary mineral formation in the Bengal Plain. A similar observation has been reported during the incorporation of silicon into secondary solids with a greater influence on dissolved Si uptake by secondary minerals in alluvial plains rather than mountain catchments (Frings et al., 2015).

In contrast to the similarities of fractionation behavior between the Ganges and Meghna rivers, the Brahmaputra River differs in Li isotope systematics. The Brahmaputra River’s Li/Na values are significantly higher, while the $\delta^7$Li values were similar to the Ganges and Meghna rivers. The Ganges sediment is composed mainly of weathered sedimentary and volcanic rocks with high clay content. Contrastingly, the Brahmaputra sediment is composed of less-weathered coarser sediments (e.g., Coleman, 1969). Higher Li/Na of the Brahmaputra River is consistent with less Li uptake by secondary minerals. Because the bed-sands of the Brahmaputra River have values close to the $\delta^7$Li and Li/Na ratio to the Ganges River (Dellinger et al., 2014), the difference in dissolved Li cannot be attributed to the different isotope signatures of primary silicate sources. Even if Li isotopic systematics are described simply by open/closed type fractionation from bed-sands, the apparent fractionation factors will have very low values of 0.957 for Rayleigh and 0.944 for Batch fractionation (Figures 3A,B). The sedimentary constraints make it difficult to argue for these low $\alpha$ values by clay mineralogy: Khan et al. (2019) showed that the clay mineral assemblage in the Ganges, Brahmaputra, and Meghna rivers dominantly consists of illite, smectite, kaolinite, and chlorite; the distribution patterns of the clay mineral assemblages are similar between Brahmaputra and Meghna rivers. Moreover, the d-excess of the Brahmaputra River samples (12.9%) fall within the range of the Nepalese rivers (Yoshimura et al., 2021), suggesting that the Brahmaputra River water and solutes derived predominantly from upstream precipitation and snow/glacial melts from the high-elevation mountains and is less affected by discharge from the low altitude floodplain. Therefore, it is unlikely the Li isotope composition of the Brahmaputra River is a result of secondary mineral formation, and hence isotope fractionation, occurring dominantly in low altitude floodplains.

Interestingly, some Brahmaputra River data exhibit a linear relationship in a logarithmic plot of $\delta^7$Li and Li/Na (Figures 3A,B), apparently attributing to a Rayleigh-type behavior with a starting composition of higher $\delta^7$Li and Li/Na values than bed-sands. It is, therefore, possible that an additional source is required to produce the $\delta^7$Li data. Previous studies have shown that the lake and stream waters in the Ganges headwaters (Bickle et al., 2005) and in the eastern Tibetan Plateau receive significant trace element inputs from geothermal waters (Weynell et al., 2017, 2021). Continental geothermal waters are highly enriched in lithium (from 0.3 to 10 ppm), and $\delta^7$Li values are relatively low (1.0–17.1‰, Tomascak, 2004; Araoka et al., 2014). The average $\delta^7$Li of the geothermal water in the northeast Tibetan Plateau is $\sim$11‰, in good agreement with the starting composition expected from the apparent Rayleigh-type fractionation line (Figure 3A). Assuming that the average of geothermal fluid is assigned as the end-member composition ($\delta^7$Li = 11.3‰, Li/Na = 14.8 mmol/mol, Weynell et al., 2017), the plausible fractionation could result from the 7:3 mixing between a congruent dissolution of bed-sands and geothermal water ($\delta^7$Li = 9.8‰, and Li/Na = 12.1 mmol/mol, Figures 3A,B). Liu et al. (2011) and Ma et al. (2020) reported that the Li budgets in the upper reaches of the Changjiang and Mekong rivers are significantly affected by evaporite sources. Evaporites have relatively lower Li/Na (about 2–4 mmol/mol) and $\delta^7$Li (about 8‰, Liu et al., 2011) compared to geothermal waters (Weynell et al., 2017) and cannot be assigned as a starting composition of the Brahmaputra River (Figure 3). This supports the importance of geothermal waters as a Li source to the Brahmaputra River, requiring a best-fit Rayleigh fractionation factor ($\alpha$) of 0.987 (Figure 3A), which is well within the range of $\alpha$ values for the major world rivers (0.998–0.985) and for the Ganges River (0.995–0.980) which has a median $\alpha$ of 0.988 ($\Delta^7$Li = −13‰, Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017).

The Li concentrations of geothermal waters in the eastern Tibetan region are approximately 20–110 times higher than that of the ambient stream waters (Weynell et al., 2017). The magnitude of Li enrichment is in good agreement with the typical ranges of dissolved Li concentrations for rivers (0.001 ppb to <0.023 ppm) and for continental geothermal fluids (0.3–10 ppm, Araoka et al., 2014). Hot springs and evaporite weathering provide more than 50% of all Na in some stream systems in southern Tibet. The chemical denudation rates of major cations (Na, Ca, Mg, and K) derived from hot springs in the upper Brahmaputra River is generally <2% of the total cation flux, but some streams in the middle Yarlung Tsangpo may exceed 15% (Hren et al., 2007). Therefore, the expected range of geothermal Li inputs from this cation flux is reasonably consistent with the author’s estimates of the Brahmaputra’s starting Li composition. The geothermal inputs and dissolution of silicate rocks are considered to be the primary Li sources of the Brahmaputra River. These primary sources later evolve to fractionated values by Li incorporation into secondary minerals. This additional source can be used to describe the Li budget of major rivers, and their tributaries, draining the Tibetan Plateau.

**Depth Variation of $\delta^7$Li in Groundwater**

Lithium concentrations (0.3–3.0 μmol kg$^{-1}$) were much higher in the groundwater, and Li/Na values in groundwater almost encompassed the entire measured range (Figure 7). The $\delta^7$Li values (3.5–22.9‰) were generally lower but highly variable compared to the river water (Supplementary Table S1). Four types of groundwater in Bangladesh were previously
distinguished based on their distinct δD, δ18O, d-excess, 3H values, and 14C activity (Aggarwal et al., 2000; Yoshimura et al., 2021). Shallow type I and II groundwaters (depths down to 70–100 m) are recharged regularly and have residence times of tens to hundreds of years. Deep type III (70–240 m) and IV (>240 m) groundwaters are isolated from surface waters and have residence times of about 3,000 years and 20,000 years, respectively. Type II groundwater is found mainly in the nearshore area with high salinity (Aggarwal et al., 2000), and GDB12-20 only has high Na and Cl concentrations in our shallow groundwater samples. For the [Li+] of this sample, the salt contribution based on the Li/Cl ratio gives 70.7% (Supplementary Table S1). The δ7Li* of this type II sample is −1.5‰ (rain-uncorrected value of 21.5‰), a value close to those of bed-sands (0.1–0.7‰) and suspended particulate matter (−1.4–0.3‰) of the Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers (Dellinger et al., 2014). However, a rainwater correction of groundwaters is not typical, making it difficult to evaluate the Li source for this sample.

The large scatter in d-excess, 87Sr/86Sr ratios, and δ88Sr of the groundwater samples have previously been reported (Yoshimura et al., 2021). Each isotopic composition is affected by the different degrees of evapotranspiration and local-scale heterogeneity of water-sediment reactions. Considerable variability in dissolved δ7Li values is also observed, especially in shallow type I groundwaters of the Bengal Plain. First, it is essential to evaluate the influence of sedimentary Li sources. The Sr/Ca ratios of waters and solids provide a means to differentiate weathering and sediment heterogeneity effects of silicate materials on the river and ground waters (Jacobson et al., 2002; Bickle et al., 2018; Yoshimura et al., 2021). The Sr/Ca ratios give well-defined average compositions for the silicate (3.22 mmol/mol) and carbonate (0.544 mmol/mol) sources of the Ganges River floodplain. This contrasts with the silicate fractions of floodplain river bedloads and sediments which have much higher Sr/Ca ratios (Bickle et al., 2018; Yoshimura et al., 2021), most likely due to incongruent dissolution (e.g., a significant depletion of plagioclase) and sorting effects of silicate materials (Bickle et al., 2018; Lupker et al., 2012). The Ganges, Brahmaputra, and Meghna river waters and more than a half of groundwater samples all plot within the range of binary mixing between silicate and carbonate Sr/Ca sources (Yoshimura et al., 2021). Some groundwater samples have rain-corrected Sr/Ca ratios (Sr/Ca*) higher than the silicate value of 3.22 mmol/mol (Figure 4), suggesting that sediment heterogeneity is apparent at the local scale, implying a significant variation in the Li/Na of silicate material. The 87Sr/86Sr of the Bengal Plain waters are primarily controlled by three-component mixing of the floodplain weathering, the Himalayan weathering, and seawater sources (Yoshimura et al., 2021). The last seawater source, including atmospheric inputs, has already been subtracted by Cl concentrations. High 87Sr/86Sr values reflect
radiogenic Sr in dolomite and silicate minerals such as K-feldspar and mica. However, no significant correlations of $\delta$Li with Sr/Ca, and $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr have been identified in our groundwater samples. Therefore, preferential dissolution of certain silicate minerals and different hydrological sources, i.e., redistribution of waters from the Ganges and Brahmaputra rivers vs. in-situ floodplain weathering, are unlikely to explain the high $\delta$Li variability for the shallow groundwater.

The shallow groundwater samples displayed no spatial and depth trends that likely reflect local heterogeneities in mineral compositions and different degrees of chemical interaction between groundwater and sediment. The $\delta$Li values for shallow groundwater have been explained by Li incorporation into floodplain sediments following a Rayleigh fractionation process (Bagard et al., 2015). In the plot of $\delta$Li/Li/Na (Figure 3), the considerable variation found in shallow groundwater is also due in part to surface waters, which are regularly replenished from local rain and floodwaters at timescales of tens to hundreds of years. The chemical weathering in shallow groundwater at this time scale is caused by the local variability of kinetically limited dissolution of minerals. The weathering proceeds in a non-steady-state and weathering-limited regime.

The $\delta$Li depth profile (Figure 7) shows that deeper groundwater below 70 m exhibited the lowest values of $<$8‰. These values are consistent with those from previous studies: $\delta$Li values in shallow groundwater ranged from 10.0 to 29.8‰ with an average of 21.6‰ (Bagard et al., 2015). We showed a more detailed depth profile and confirmed that the $\delta$Li values converged with increasing depth to a narrow range at depths below 70 m, with deep groundwater exhibiting more negative values, i.e., 5.1–11.6‰ (Bagard et al., 2015; Manaka et al., 2017), regardless of the sampling position across the Bengal Basin (Figure 1). For deep groundwater, where water residence times are long (about 3,000 years for type III to 20,000 years for type IV), samples show lower $\delta$Li values, suggesting more congruent dissolution of silicate minerals rather than becoming progressively higher $\delta$Li with increasing time.

Similar to the rivers, we adopt the average value of bed-sands of the Ganges and Brahmaputra river (Dellinger et al., 2014) (Li/Na = 5.6 μmol kg$^{-1}$/mmol kg$^{-1}$ and $\delta$Li = 0.39‰) as the starting composition for groundwaters (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017). In the deep groundwater sample with the lowest $\delta$Li (Figure 7), we obtained a minimum estimate for $\alpha$ of 0.998 (Figure 3). The magnitude of fractionation is lower than those for the rivers in the Bengal Basin and other major world rivers (e.g., 0.991 for the Amazon River (Dellinger et al., 2015)). Previous studies have reported fractionation factors between fluid and solid phases in various primary and secondary minerals: 0.986 for gibbsite (Pistiner and Henderson, 2003); 0.997 for saprolites (Rudnick et al., 2004); and 0.999 for illite (Millot and Girard, 2007). Taking into account that alluvium sediments contain various types of secondary and clay minerals (Sarin et al., 1989; Huyghe et al., 2011), plausible explanations for low $\delta$Li values in deep groundwater include congruent isotope leaching and silicate mineral dissolution in the deep aquifer (Bagard et al., 2015). Note that Li-bearing secondary minerals contain two isotopically distinct reservoirs: 1) structural Li with fractionation factors of $\sim$14 to $\sim$24‰ and 2) exchangeable Li of 0 to $\sim$12‰ (Hindshaw et al., 2019a; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2020), with the net isotope fractionation representing a mixture of these two reservoirs. The referenced $\alpha$ values correspond to the latter, which has a lower fractionation factor than clay neoformation. Therefore, clay neoformation may not play a role in controlling deep groundwater Li systematics.

Since a given secondary mineral should impose a set fractionation factor, another explanation for the low $\delta$Li values is that fractionation mechanism switches from Rayleigh to Batch-type fractionation, which imposes lower isotopic compositions (Figures 3A,B). These two fractionation types are likely competing in natural processes. Generally, high interaction times should promote chemical and isotope equilibrium between groundwater and secondary phase assemblage, which excludes the possibility of unidirectional processes. Note that the groundwater tends to have lower $\delta$Li values than the values calculated by Batch fractionation with a median $\alpha$ value of 0.988 ($\Delta$Li = $\sim$13‰) for the Ganges River (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017), indicating primary mineral dissolution exerts a strong influence on the $\delta$Li composition, especially in deeper groundwater. Alternatively, at different reaction and exchange rates, it is possible that chemical equilibrium and low net reaction rates allow for an equilibrium isotope fractionation, which might be different in magnitude than kinetic effects associated with a net forward or backward reaction (DePaolo, 2011). It can be expected that the Li exchange rates between the dissolved Li and the ambient sediments for the types III and IV groundwater are far slower than in the laboratory experiments. In this case, the apparent isotope fractionation factor for deep groundwater might be a higher value than those accessed by laboratory experiments (Fanti and DePaolo, 2007; DePaolo, 2011).

Shallow groundwater is recharged regularly and has a residence time of tens to hundreds of years (Aggarwal et al., 2000). The residence time at deep groundwater depths of 100–300 m is more than several thousand years (Aggarwal et al., 2000). Thus, the reaction period with ambient sediments is $10^1–10^3$ times longer for deep groundwater than shallow groundwater. Elevated Na and Si concentrations were reported for deep groundwater compared with those for shallow groundwater samples (Bagard et al., 2015; Manaka et al., 2017), suggesting more substantial dissolution of silicate minerals. Similar isotopic behavior has been observed for the $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr and $^{88}$Sr values of the same samples with a wide variation at shallow depths and convergence to a narrow range close to those of the carbonate fraction and the bulk silicate Earth at depths greater than 70 m (Yoshimura et al., 2021). This convergence reflects the strong chemical interactions between groundwater and ambient sediments which appear to promote more congruent weathering (Figure 7). Although $\delta$Sr values would not solely reflect silicate weathering, the depth changes in both $^{87}$Sr and $\delta$Li suggest more congruent silicate weathering. Therefore, they are less affected by preferential uptake of $^4$Li and $^{86}$Sr by secondary minerals. Thus, deep groundwater appears to have experienced more congruent weathering in terms of its Li isotopic signature owing to the longer residence time. Isotopic fractionation by secondary minerals does occur in shallow
groundwater where it is recharged regularly, maintaining lower $\delta^7\text{Li}$ values than the river waters.

**Influence of the Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna Rivers System on the Oceanic Li Budget**

Weathering in the low-altitude plains makes a vital contribution to the oceanic element budget via surface and submarine groundwater discharges. For example, according to the volumetric groundwater discharge and concentration-weighted Sr flux to the ocean, the global Sr flux via groundwater discharge is estimated to be a few tens of percent of the total continental Sr flux (Beck et al., 2013). This flux is the second-largest input of Sr to the ocean after riverine flux. Estimates of the global average Sr concentration of groundwater are approximately 2.4–5.8 times higher than the estimated global river discharge (Beck et al., 2013). Moreover, Georg et al. (2009) reported that the dissolved Si concentration in groundwater samples of the Bengal Basin is 2–3 times higher than in river samples taken during the dry season. The Si flux by groundwater is 40% of the total (the combined Ganges–Brahmaputra Rivers and groundwater) annual Si flux to the Bay of Bengal.

Similarly, the groundwater samples are generally enriched in Li with an average value of 1.399 mmol/kg. This is 5.5 times higher than the discharge-weighted average [Li$^+$] of the Ganges River (0.255 mmol/kg). Therefore, the groundwater Li flux from the Bengal Plain may reach a few tens of percent of the riverine Li flux. A fundamental shift in weathering regimes in groundwater Li fluxes and isotopic composition may have contributed to fluctuation in the oceanic $\delta^7\text{Li}$ evolution over geologic time. The longer residence time of groundwater corresponds to a low hydraulic gradient in low-relief early Cenozoic-type weathering conditions that are thought to enhance secondary mineral formation resulting in higher dissolved $\delta^7\text{Li}$ values for the simulated period of 100 years (Wanner et al., 2014). Our evidence suggests that the residence time of groundwater is a critical control on Li isotope systematics in a floodplain, leading deep groundwater to more congruent weathering.

Increases in erosion driven by the Cenozoic uplift of the Himalaya-Tibetan Plateau could have enhanced denudation and silicate weathering on the continents. Another process associated with the collisional orogeny of the Himalayas is degassing and geothermal activities. Geothermal fluids exert influence on the Li isotopic signature of surface waters on the Tibetan Plateau (Hren et al., 2007; Weynell et al., 2017, 2021). If the $\delta^7\text{Li}$ composition of the Brahmaputra River is not accompanied by geothermal inputs, the isotopic composition of dissolved Li without geothermal inputs can be calculated assuming that (1) the same amount of Li is removed into secondary minerals ($f_{\text{sec}} = 0.28$, i.e., same weathering intensity, and (2) the starting composition is the bed-sands’ value. Calculated $\delta^7\text{Li}$ without geothermal inputs (16.8‰) is well below the Brahmaputra River’s modern average (26.2‰). The former assumption may be oversimplification, but Li budgets in large river systems would be in a steady-state when their flow lengths increase to a certain extent, as previously mentioned for the Ganges River (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017).

Mass balance calculations suggest that the approximate proportions of dissolved and particulate Li exported by Himalayan river water and sediments are 1:1 for the western Tibetan Plateau. In contrast, the proportion is 1:5 for the northeastern Tibetan plateau due to higher annual precipitation and an erosion-dominated weathering regime in this area (Weynell et al., 2021). Therefore, the Brahmaputra headwaters’ geothermal Li is also retained in clays and then delivered to the oceans as particulate matter. An increase in a flux of dissolved and particulate Li from the Brahmaputra River resulting from uplift of the Himalayan Tibetan Plateau is proposed to accompany additional geothermal $\delta^7\text{Li}$ to the ocean with a starting composition around 9‰ higher than the silicate materials originated from volcanic, metamorphic, and sedimentary rocks (Dellinger et al., 2014). It has been proposed that enhanced secondary mineral formation with mountain uplift is responsible for the 9‰ increase in the seawater $\delta^7\text{Li}$ from the early Cenozoic to the present (Misra and Froelich, 2012). Given that $\delta^7\text{Li}$ flux from the Ganges River was more or less constant, once the length of the river reached more than 500 km (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017), it is questionable whether the observed increase in $\delta^7\text{Li}$ directly reflects CO$_2$ drawdown by silicate weathering accompanying Cenozoic orogeny. In addition to previously proposed processes, such as the increased size of the floodplain (Pogge von Strandmann and Henderson, 2015) and changes in the seawater Li sinks (Li and West, 2014), the enhanced geothermal Li inputs by Himalayan uplift may have contributed partly to the change in the riverine $\delta^7\text{Li}$ signature, and ultimately to the oceanic $\delta^7\text{Li}$ mass balance. Given the $\delta^7\text{Li}$ of geothermal waters (Millot et al., 2010; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2016), a higher geothermal input to the rivers might counteract the Cenozoic $\delta^7\text{Li}$ rise. This suggests that the past Li cycle needs to be reconsidered to maintain $\delta^7\text{Li}$ mass balance tightly linked to silicate weathering intensity.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We investigated the $\delta^7\text{Li}$ composition of river waters and groundwater of the Ganges-Brahmaputra–Meghna river system in Bangladesh. In the Ganges River, water samples collected monthly at the same location from September 2012 to August 2013 showed that $\delta^7\text{Li}$ values did not show a clear seasonal trend, suggesting that the relative contribution of primary mineral dissolution and secondary mineral formation is in a steady state. However, the gradual change in Li/Na and the relative proportion of major cations indicate significant influence from Himalayan weathering, especially at the start of the monsoon season. The $\delta^7\text{Li}$ values of the Meghna River, which mainly drains the Bengal Plain, generally lie on the fractionation line of the Ganges River.
For the Brahmaputra River, the $\delta^{7}\text{Li}$ values indicate mixing of silicate-derived Li components with dissolved Li sourced from geothermal waters, both later fractionated by preferential uptake of $^{6}\text{Li}$ into secondary minerals. The groundwater samples varied widely in composition, displayed no spatial trends, and generally have lower $\delta^{7}\text{Li}$ values than the surface waters. Both $\delta^{7}\text{Li}$ and Li/Na values of the groundwater samples shallower than 70 m depth were highly scattered, and low $\delta^{7}\text{Li}$ values (<8‰) were observed mainly at depths between 70 and 310 m regardless of the sampling region. Shallow groundwater is recharged regularly and has residence times of tens of hundreds of years. In contrast, deep groundwater does not receive recharge from local rain and floodwaters, with residence time of about 3,000 to 20,000 years. The isotopic difference between groundwater depths reflects the higher magnitudes of net silicate dissolution with greater chemical interactions between groundwater and ambient sediments owing to the longer residence time of deeper groundwater. A switch from Rayleigh- to Batch-type isotope fractionation may impose the lower $\delta^{7}\text{Li}$ values in deep groundwater. It can be expected that the longer residence time of groundwater in low-relief weathering conditions leads groundwater to low $\delta^{7}\text{Li}$ values. The calculated $\delta^{7}\text{Li}$ of the Brahmaputra River without geothermal inputs is well below the modern average, suggesting that enhanced geothermal Li inputs accompanying Himalayan uplift may be partly responsible for the changes in the seawater $\delta^{7}\text{Li}$ during the Cenozoic.
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