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Abstract:
This study investigated the influence of cognitive styles and gender on upper basic III Science students’ achievement in Keffi, Nasarawa State, Nigeria. The study was a descriptive survey research design. The population consisted of 1,890 upper basic III Science students in 25 coeducational schools in Keffi Education zone. The sample of the study comprised 245 upper basic III Science students drawn from six intact classes of six coeducational schools in the zone. The schools were randomly selected using disproportionate stratified random sampling techniques from the schools that satisfied the condition that their students were taught by qualified teachers over the years. Two instruments were employed for data collection namely; Cognitive Style Checklist (CSC) with a reliability coefficient of 0.79 and Basic Science Achievement Test (BSAT) with a reliability coefficient of 0.83. Descriptive statistics of means and standard deviations were used to answer the research questions while Z-test was used to test the hypotheses at 0.05 level of significance. The findings of this study revealed that Basic Science students in the Field Independence (FI) group achieved significantly better than the those in the Field Dependence (FD). The findings also revealed that male students in both the Field Independence (FI) and Field Dependence (FD) groups achieved better than the female students significantly in Basic Science. Based on the findings of this study, it was recommendation that seminars and workshops should be organized to adequately equip teachers with the needed skills to create an environment where students with different cognitive styles can experience meaningful learning of Basic Science.
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1. Introduction
Basic Science is the foundational science subject taught at the Basic School level of the Nigerian educational system. It is a prerequisite subject for science subjects at the Senior Secondary and other applied Science at the tertiary institutions of learning (Samuel, 2017). The relevance of Basic Science in all fields of Science made it imperative to be included in the curriculum of Junior Secondary School as enshrined in the national policy of education (Federal Republic of Nigeria (FRN), 2014).

Basic Science education’s purpose is to train students to acquire proper understanding of basic principles as well as application. It is also aimed at developing appropriate scientific skills and attitudes as a prerequisite for future scientific activities. To achieve these objectives, active participation and collaborative learning activities become imperative and these need functioning instructional media to make Basic Science instruction effective (Osokoya, 2013; Samuel, 2017; Eriba & Samuel, 2018; Agu & Samuel, 2018).

Despite the relevance of Basic Science to national development, security, economy, manpower and government’s efforts to improve science instruction in schools, students’ achievement is below average. This has become a great concern for Science educators especially at the foundational level. Researchers such as (Bukunola & Idowu, 2012; Osokoya, 2013; Alabi, 2014; Oni, 2014; Kabutu, Oloyede & Bandele, 2015, Samuel, 2017; Nwadinigu & Azuka-Obieke, 2012; Igoegwu & Okonkwo, 2012; Amoo, 2013; Kola & Taiwo, 2013) opined that underachievement in Science among secondary school students could be attributed to several factors such as poor teaching, psychological factors, unpreparedness on the part of the students, poor learning environment, school locations, gender stereotyping, dearth of qualified teachers among others. As a result of the decline in Science students’ achievement, stakeholders in STM agree that the huge investment in Science and Technology education is not yielding the desired dividend.

Gender remains an important factor to be considered in the determination of students’ academic achievement. Gender has been identified as a major factor that affects students’ achievement in science examinations and science and technology endeavor (Omiko, 2017). Oni (2014) posited that in Nigeria, women are marginalized while men are given greater opportunities to advance based on their Science background. In the Nigerian setting, this factor has been found to
offer males an unfair advantage over their female counterparts. Alabi (2014) reported that women are hindered from progressing through discrimination on the basis of gender, early marriage and child bearing and as a result, they are deprived sound education, job opportunities and incapacitated and rendered passive generally in the society. Researchers (Oludepe, 2012; Kola & Taiwo, 2013) in their various studies observed that there is no significant difference between male and female achievement; on the other hand, (Onuekusi & Ogomaka, 2013; Igoegwu & Okonkwo, 2012; Okereke, 2011) found out that a significant difference did exist between the achievement of male and female students in favour of the male students. Nevertheless, there is no specific study on the influence of cognitive style and gender on upper basic III Science students’ achievement and Nasarawa State; hence the need for this study.

Cognitive style is a psychological construct which is concerned with how an individual learns, thinks, solve problems, remembers and relates to others. It represents the individual differences in the various subcomponents of an information-processing model of three main cognitive processes: perception, memory and thought. Cognitive style is considered to be personality dimension that influences attitudes, values and social interaction. It is an individual characteristic mode of perceiving and processing information in the environment (Hall, 2000). An individual is either Field-independent (FI) or Field-dependent (FD). A Field-independent (FI) cognitive style learner is described as analytic, competitive, individualistic, task-oriented, internally referent, intrinsically motivated (self-study), self-structuring, detail oriented and visually perceptive, prefers individual project work and has poor social skills; while Field-dependent (FD) cognitive learner is described as global (wholistic), group-oriented, sensitive to social interactions and criticisms, externally motivated, externally referential, not visually perceptive, a non-verbal and passive learner who prefers external information and group projects (Hall, 2000; Calcattera, Antonetti & Underwood, 2005; Guisande, Paramo, Tinajero & Almedida, 2007). A summary of the differences between the two dimensions of cognitive styles (Field Dependence and Field Independence) is shown in Table 1.

| Field Dependence (FD) (Non-Analytic) | Field Independence (FI) (Analytic) |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Have comprehensive perception | Excellent at analytical thinking |
| Perceive objects as a whole and approach a task more holistically | Focus on individual parts of the object and tend to be more serialistic in their approach to learning |
| Rely on external references | Rely more on internal references |
| More influenced by format-structure | Less affected by format structure |
| More reliant on salient cues in learning | Tend to sample more cues inherent in the field and are able to extract the relevant cues necessary for the completion of a task |
| Likely to use active cognitive strategies | Likely to use passive cognitive strategies |
| Adopt a hypothesis-testing role in learning | Adopt a spectator role in learning |
| Likely to benefit from a self-directed emphasis | Tend to prefer more structured learning environments |
| Self-view is derived from others | Has sense of separate identity |
| Not well-skilled in social/interpersonal relationships | Highly skilled in interpersonal/social relationships |

Table 1: Differences between Field Dependence and Field Independence Cognitive Styles

Source: Wyss (2002), Chen and McCredie, (2004)

Cognitive process styles affect how one stores knowledge and retrieves it when the need arises (Tinajero & Paramo, 2010). The students’ cognitive styles may hinder or facilitate the acquisition of knowledge in Science and Technology (Okwo & Otuba, 2007). Studies (Idika, 2017; Okoye, 2016; Agboghoroma, 2015; Owoduni, Sani, Nwokolo & Igwe, 2016; Ezeugwu, Nji, Anayaughunam, Enyi & Enjea, 2016; Bassey, Umoren & Udida, 2013) reveal that there is a difference between the mean achievement of Science and Mathematics of students with analytical (FI) cognitive styles and those with relational and inferential (FD) cognitive styles while Ndirika (2013) opined that ability levels have no significant effect on the achievement of students. Also, Okereke (2011), Anidoh and Eze (2014) reported that cognitive styles and gender have influence on students’ achievement. Nevertheless, there is no specific study on the influence of cognitive styles and gender on upper basic III Science students in Nasarawa State, hence, there is a need to investigate how upper basic III students’ cognitive styles and gender influence their achievement in Science.

1.1. Statement of the Problem

Basic Science is foundational and prerequisite for Science and Technology courses at the Senior Secondary and higher institutions of learning. Despite its importance, underachievement in this subject has become a thing of concern to stakeholders in Education. This persistent underachievement in Science especially at the basic level is linked to factors of teaching and learning. Based on these, effort is geared towards improving teaching and learning strategies and yet students’ achievement is still below expectation. On this note, there is need to turn to find out what could be the influence of other variables such as cognitive styles on students’ achievement in Basic Science. The problem of this study therefore is, what is the influence of cognitive styles and gender on upper basic III Science students in Keffi, Nasarawa State, Nigeria?
1.2. Purpose of the Study
The purpose of this study is to investigate the influence of cognitive styles and gender on upper basic III Science students in Nasarawa State. Specifically, this study sought to find out the influence of:
- Field-Independent (FI) and Field-Dependent (FD) cognitive styles on students’ achievement in Basic Science.
- Field-Independent (FI) cognitive style on male and female students’ achievement in Basic Science.
- Field-Dependent (FD) cognitive style on male and female students’ achievement in Basic Science.

1.3. Research Questions
The following research questions guided the study;
- What are the mean achievement scores of students with Field-Independent (FI) and Field-Dependent (FD) cognitive styles in Basic Science?
- What are the mean achievement scores of male and female students with Field-Independent (FI) cognitive styles in Basic Science?
- What are the mean achievement scores of male and female students with Field-Dependent (FD) cognitive styles in Basic Science?

1.4. Hypotheses
The following hypotheses were tested at 0.05 α level.
- $H_0$: Field-Independent (FI) and Field-Dependent (FD) cognitive styles have no significant influence on mean achievement scores of students in Basic Science.
- $H_0$: Field-Independent (FI) cognitive style have no significant influence on mean achievement scores of male and female students in Basic Science.
- $H_0$: Field-Dependent (FD) cognitive style has no significant influence on mean achievement scores of male and female students in Basic Science.

2. Methodology
The study was a casual comparative research design. The study was carried out in Keffi Education Zone of Nasarawa State. The population consisted of 1,890 (987 males and 903 females) upper basic III Science and mathematics students in 25 coeducational schools in the zone. The sample of the study comprised 245 upper basic III Science and mathematics students (139 males and 106 females) drawn from six intact classes of six coeducational schools in the zone. The schools were randomly selected using disproportionate stratified random sampling techniques from the schools that satisfied the condition that their students were taught by qualified teachers over the years. Two instruments were employed for data collection; they are: CSC and BSAT. The Cognitive Style Checklist (CSC) was adapted Robert Wyss (2002) CSC, it consists of 10 simple statements from which subjects in the research were to indicate the ones applicable to them. The checklist was used to categorize students based on their cognitive styles. It was divided into two sub-statements. Sub-statement A represents the characteristics of the Field Independent (FI) while sub-statement B represents those of Field Dependent (FD). The instrument was subjected to construct and face validity by expert in Measurement and Evaluation from Nasarawa State University, Keffi. It reliability was determined through test-retest and the reliability coefficient of 0.79 was obtained. The Basic Science Achievement Test (BSAT) is a 20 multiple choice achievement test designed to measure students’ achievement in Basic Science. Each item has 5-options A-E. the test was based on the units of study (Magnetism, Electrical Energy and Radioactivity) in upper basic III Science curriculum used for the study. The instrument was subjected to content and face validity by expert in Science Education from Nasarawa State University, Keffi. It reliability was determined using Kuder-Richardson formula 20 (KR20) and the reliability coefficient of 0.83 was obtained. The two instruments were administered on two separate days. On the first day, the CSC was administered and on the second day, BSAT was administered with the help of research assistants who were seasoned teachers in the sampled schools. Descriptive statistics of means and standard deviations were used to answer the research questions while $Z$-test was used to test the hypotheses at 0.05 level of significance.

3. Results
3.1. Research Question One
What are the mean achievement scores of students with Field-Independent (FI) and Field-Dependent (FD) cognitive styles in Basic Science?
The data used to answer this research question is presented in Table 2.

| Cognitive Styles | N   | Mean  | SD   | Df  | Z-cal | Z-crit. | Decision |
|------------------|-----|-------|------|-----|-------|---------|----------|
| FI               | 136 | 49.11 | 13.76| 243 | 36.22 | 1.96    | Reject $H_0$ |
| FD               | 109 | 41.50 | 12.54|     |       |         |          |
| Total            | 245 |       |      |     |       |         |          |

*Table 2: Means, Standard Deviations and Z-test of Students’ FI and FD Cognitive Styles and Achievement in Basic Science*
Table 2 shows that the mean achievement scores of Basic Science students in the FI group stood at 49.11 with SD of 13.76. It also shows that the mean achievement scores of Basic Science students in the FD group stood at 41.50 with SD of 12.54.

3.2. Hypothesis One
Field-Independent (FI) and Field-Dependent (FD) cognitive styles have no significant influence on mean achievement scores of students in Basic Science.

From Table 2, Z-calculated = 36.22 and with df = 243 at α = 0.05, Z-critical = 1.96. Since Z-calculated > Z-critical, the null hypothesis is rejected. This indicates that there is significant difference in the mean achievement scores of Basic Science students with FI and FD Cognitive styles. Hence, Basic Science students in FI group achieved higher than those in the FD group.

3.3. Research Question Two
What are the mean achievement scores of male and female students with Field-Independent (FI) cognitive styles in Basic Science?
The data used to answer this research question is presented in Table 3.

| Cognitive Styles | Gender | N  | Mean  | SD  | Df  | Z-cal | Z-crit. | Decision   |
|------------------|--------|----|-------|-----|-----|-------|---------|------------|
| FI               | Male   | 82 | 37.42 | 11.71 | 243 | 32.16 | 1.96   | Reject H0  |
|                  | Female | 54 | 28.34 | 11.98 |     |       |         |            |
| Total            |        | 136|       |       |     |       |         |            |

Table 3: Means, Standard Deviations and Z-Test of Male and Female Science Students’ FICognitive Style and Achievement in Basic Science

Table 3 shows that the mean achievement scores of male Basic Science students in the FI group stood at 37.42 with SD of 11.71. The mean achievement scores of their female counterparts is 28.34 with SD 11.98.

3.4. Hypothesis Two
Field-Independent (FI) cognitive style have no significant influence on mean achievement scores of male and female students in Basic Science.

From Table 3, Z-calculated = 32.16 and with df = 243 at α = 0.05, Z-critical = 1.96. Since Z-calculated > Z-critical, the null hypothesis is rejected. This indicates that there is significant difference in the mean achievement scores of male and female Basic Science students with FI Cognitive style. Hence, male students in both FI achieved higher in Basic Science than their female counterparts.

3.5. Research Question Three
What are the mean achievement scores of male and female students with Field-Dependent (FD) cognitive styles in Basic Science?
The data used to answer this research question is presented in Table 4.

| Cognitive Styles | Gender | N  | Mean  | SD  | Df  | Z-cal | Z-crit. | Decision   |
|------------------|--------|----|-------|-----|-----|-------|---------|------------|
| FD               | Male   | 64 | 48.11 | 10.81 | 243 | 28.77 | 1.96   | Reject H0  |
|                  | Female | 45 | 34.86 | 11.22 |     |       |         |            |
| Total            |        | 109|       |       |     |       |         |            |

Table 4: Means, Standard Deviations and Z-Test of Male and Female Science Students’ FD Cognitive Styles and Achievement in Basic Science

Table 4 shows that the mean achievement scores of male Basic Science students in the FD group stood at 48.11 with SD of 10.81. The mean achievement scores of their female counterparts is 34.86 with SD 11.22.

3.6. Hypothesis Three
Field-Dependent (FD) cognitive style has no significant influence on mean achievement scores of male and female students in Basic Science.

From Table 4, Z-calculated = 28.77 and with df = 243 at α = 0.05, Z-critical = 1.96. Since Z-calculated > Z-critical, the null hypothesis is rejected. This indicates that there is significant difference in the mean achievement scores of male and female Basic Science students with FD Cognitive style. Hence, male students in both FD group achieved higher in Basic Science than their female counterparts.
4. Discussion

The findings of this study revealed that Basic Science students in the Field Independence (FI) group achieved significantly better than those in the Field Dependence (FD). This finding is in agreement with the findings of (Idika, 2017; Okoye, 2016; Agboghorma, 2015; Owodumi, Sanni, Nwokolo & Igwe, 2016; Ezeuguwu, Nji, Anyaegbunam, Enyi & Eneja, 2016; Bassey, Umoren & Uduida, 2013; Okereke, 2011) in their various researches reported that there is a difference between the mean achievement of students with analytical (FI) cognitive styles and those with relational and inferential (FD) cognitive styles in Science and other related subjects. But in contrast with the findings of Maghsudi (2007), Guisande, Paramo, Tinajero and Almeida (2007) who in their different researches reported that cognitive styles are not affected by intelligence and that Field Dependence/Independence focuses on the process of learning rather than ability.

The findings of this study revealed that male Basic Science students in both Field Independence (FI) and Field Dependence (FD) groups achieved significantly better than their female counterparts. This finding agrees with the findings of Ndirika (2013) and Aniodoh and Eze (2014) who reported that cognitive styles and gender have influence of students’ achievement in Science, and other related subjects. Learning Basic Science involves critical and deep thinking as well as display of initiatives. The reason for the high achievement of students with Field Independence level of cognitive style could be because, Field Independence individuals are excellent analytical thinkers who view things from serialistic and detailed manner. The more Field Independence a students are, the more likelihood for them to achieve meaningful learning.

5. Conclusion

The findings of this study revealed that Basic Science students in the Field Independence (FI) group achieved significantly better than those in the Field Dependence (FD). The findings also revealed that male students in both the Field Independence (FI) and Field Dependence (FD) groups achieved better than the female students significantly in Basic Science.

6. Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations were made:

Seminars and workshops should be organized to adequately equip teachers with the needed skills to create an environment where students with different cognitive styles can experience meaningful learning of Basic Science.
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