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Abstract—The Internet of Things (IoT) framework is moving the research community to provide smart systems and solutions aimed at revolutionizing medical sciences and healthcare. Given the extreme diffusion of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and Parkinson’s disease (PD), the demand for a solution to early detect neurological symptoms of such diseases strongly arose. According to the medical literature, such early detection can be obtained through the correlation between PD and AD and some external symptoms: the Essential Tremor (ET) and the number of Eye Blinks (EBs). In this paper, which can be considered as an extended version of [1], we present a prototype of wearable smart glasses able to detect the presence of ET of the head and to count the number of EBs at the same time, in a transparent way with respect to the final user. Numerical results demonstrate the reliability of the proposed approach: the proposed algorithms are able to i) correctly recognize the ET with an overall accuracy above 97% and ii) count the number of EBs with an overall error around 9%.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s diseases (AD and PD) are some of the most well-known neurological illnesses. Namely, AD is the first most common neurodegenerative disease immediately followed by PD. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), in 2019 about 5.8 million people worldwide have AD, while about 1 million people suffer from PD [2]. To date, some innovative therapeutic approaches for both AD and PD are being developed [3] [4]. Consequently, the necessity for a solution to early detect neurological symptoms of such diseases strongly arose. According to the medical literature, such early diagnosis can be achieved by exploiting the correlation between PD and AD and some external symptoms: the presence of an Essential Tremor (ET) and the counting of the number of Eye Blinks (EB). Notwithstanding the fact that AD and PD have the clearly different clinical characteristic, in some respects they have similarities. Both Parkinson’s and Alzheimer’s are age-related and occur later in life. They are central nervous system diseases and both of them are progressive neurodegenerative disorders which affected multiple functions. In both AD and PD, the progressive damage of visual function and motor abilities can be observed [5]. Among these, the frequency of automatic blinking or/and blink reflex, could show abnormalities (either increase or decrease) in PD and to a lesser degree in AD [6]. In addition, clinical studies support the possible connections between ET and another neurodegenerative disease like PD and AD [7]. Therefore, the presence of ET may improve the risk of developing incident PD or AD during follow-up. In this paper we present NeuroGlass, a prototype of sensor-glasses already introduced in [1] and [8], able to detect the presence of ET of the head and to count the number of EBs at the same time in a transparent way with respect to the final user. NeuroGlass are equipped with 2 Inertial Measurement Units (IMUs), 1 Magnetometer and a 2-channel ElectroOculoGram (EOG). Our prototype implements some of the main state of the art aspect which are sketched in Fig. 1.

Fig. 1. Visual representation of the main aspects characterizing state-of-the-art solutions

ET of the head is inferred through Short-Time-Fourier-Analysis (STFA) of the inertial signals while the number of EBs is counted through a processing of the EOG signals. Even if the ET detection and the EBs counting are not innovative ideas for AD and/or PD diagnosis, to the best of authors’ knowledge, these approaches have not been developed
in a prototype that aims at providing a constant and daily monitoring of such symptoms at the same time. The main contributions of this work can be summarized as follows:

- we describe NeuroGlass, a new wearable and non-invasive device able to monitor neurological symptoms (ET and number of EBs) for PD and AD early detection. Our paper describes two aspects: i) the scientific approach followed and ii) the technological implementation;
- differently from the main works in the field, the proposed prototype is able to detect ET and to count the number of EBs at the same time, without the need of any a-priori training;
- in their final version, our prototype will be completely transparent with respect to the final user, who can comfortably wear it as a simple pair of glasses.

Furthermore, concerning former publications on this subject already reported as references [1] and [9], this paper provides significant additional contributions listed as follows:

- It delivers a whole brand-new medical-based Section (see Section II) which deeply discusses the main neurological motivations behind NeuroGlass idea.
- It includes a more detailed and comprehensive state of the art analysis with respect to [1], not only limited to the EB problem as in [9];
- It shows the recent advancements in the prototype evolution towards an off-the-shelf product (see Fig. 3b).
- The new numerical results reported in Figs 6, 7 and 8, which are not present in [1] and [9], have been obtained by processing data acquired through the newest version of the NeuroGlass prototype, so validating the effectiveness of the proposed final device.

Finally, obtained results prove the reliability of the proposed approach since NeuroGlass are able to: i) correctly recognize ET with an overall accuracy above 97% and ii) count the number of EBs with a Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) around 0.4. The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section III provides an overview of the state of the art. Section IV describes the NeuroGlass prototype while the algorithms for the ET detection and EBs counting are detailed in Section V and Section VI, respectively. Section VII presents the numerical results and, finally, conclusions are drawn.

II. THE ALZHEIMER’S AND PARKINSON’S DISEASES

AD is the most common type of dementia and it is characterized by progressive cognitive impairment [10]. Non-cognitive symptoms may be also observed like: difficulty performing motor tasks, extrapyramidal motor signs and parkinsonian symptoms including bradykinesia, gait and posture disturbances, rigidity and resting tremor [11]. It is probable that non-cognitive manifestations of AD are different on the basis of the location of AD pathology. The literature suggests that AD has a preclinical phase, during which time functional impairment may represent [11] [12]. In AD disease is also possible to observe increased blink occurrence and impaired eye-head coordination [13]. PD is characterized by the loss of nigrostriatal dopaminergic neurons. The damage of dopaminergic neurons causes impaired afferents to the striatum, leads to nerve terminal degeneration in the striatum and causes PD motor symptoms [14]. The three key elements characteristic of motor impairment in PD are bradykinesia, rigidity, and tremor [15]. Blinking is a spontaneous movement of the palpebrae due to an automatic, cyclic interplay between the elevator palpebrae superioris muscle the palpebral portion of the orbicularis oculi muscle, the reduction of blinking may be an early sign of PD [16]. Other types of movement disorders, like tremor of the eyes or of the head, can be observed in patients affected by PD sometimes early in the disease development [17].

III. STATE OF THE ART

a) Essential Tremor: Many current solutions are based on a combination of low cost and widely available devices such as ElectroMyoGraphy (EMG) and Intertial Measurement Units (IMUs) to monitor ET in neurological patients. The most common solution exploits the “Feature + Classifier” paradigm where features range from the peak frequency to root-mean-square, from entropy to power spectral density, from wavelet coefficients to higher order statistics [18]. Usually, these features feed classifiers such as Neural Networks (NNs), Support Vector Machines (SVMs) and Decision Trees (DTs) [18], [19] proposes a novel method to analyze the ET of the head in patients suffering from neurological disorders by using clothes. Authors employed a set of capacitive sensors inside the collar of a shirt to detect the head position and movements. Even if the approach is non-invasive and the results are good, it cannot be extended to EBs detection. Other works monitor ET in patients through fixed medical equipment. Though such works do not provide the capability to continuously monitor the patient in his daily life, they usually produce more accurate results with respect to the ones that employ wearable devices. [20] detects the ET of the head through an analysis of EMG and accelerometric signals with the aim of predicting when tremor is about to reappear. Other interesting papers are [18], [21] that aim at differentiating ET from PD through a wearable glove by employing the “Feature + Classifier” paradigm.

b) Eye-Blink Counting: Several works aim at counting the number of EBs by observing different physical quantities. [22] exploits the Doppler signal in the joint time–frequency domain to detect eye blinking. [23] detects EBs by computing the cross correlation between the vertical and horizontal amplitude of the EOG and a template signal. [24] also exploits the EOG signal by feeding a K-Nearest Neighbour (KNN) classifier with temporal features. A very interesting work is [25], which processes the EOG signal by using the Empirical Mode Decomposition (EMD) in order to detect EBs. Similarly to NeuroGlass, [26] proposes a glasses-shaped wearable device to count the number of EBs but, differently from our proposal, it employs two cameras pointing towards the eyes. It requires a significant amount of energy to provide a full day monitoring which is a crucial aspect when it comes to wearable, and consequently portable, devices. Another very recent publication is [27] where the authors design and implement a pair of smart...
glasses (called iBlink) devised to be worn by patients suffering from facial paralysis. The main idea is to detect the EBs of the normal side of the face with a camera, through a SVM classifier, and stimulate the paralyzed side so that the blinks of both eyes becomes symmetric. Finally, in [28] an overall graphical representation of some of the main works of the state of the art is sketched in Figure 2.

IV. THE NeuroGlass PROTOTYPE

A. Wearability Specifications

From the mere aesthetic viewpoint NeuroGlass is shaped like a traditional pair of glasses, equipped with normal lenses, designed to be comfortably worn by anyone who needs glasses. However, in designing the NeuroGlass frame, we had to take into account several aspects that are of primary importance due to the nature of the device. First, the frame has been designed with the goal of making the device usable also for people that need to wear non conventional lenses (e.g. prismatic lenses or lenses with a high power). In particular we relied on a round design flattened on top, in order to support the wider set of ophthalmic lenses; this choice has the advantage of making the device wearable to a wide class of patients, without the need of producing several frame with different designs. Second, the frame of NeuroGlass is not a simple spectacle frame used only to support ophthalmic lenses, but it has also at least other two key functions:

- its structure hosts electrodes and must ensure a reliable contact between these elements and the face/head of the patient.
- it must be able to enclose the electronic board and components for data acquisition and transmission as well as a storage unit and power source.

In order to address the first point, some frame parts, namely the nosepads and the temple tips, are conceived to allow the adjustment of the position of the frame with respect to the face of the patient. Thanks to this solution, it is possible to give the patient the best comfort experience and at the same is possible to adjust the contact points of the electrodes placed on the frame. In addition, such solution allows NeuroGlass to be worn firmly so avoiding unwanted glasses movements that could produce to noisy acquisitions leading to false alarms, missed detection and wrong outcomes.

For how concerning the second point, designing a frame with spaces for electronic components is not a trivial task, because the process must take into account the trade off between the increase of the dimensions of the frame elements (dictated by the size of the electronic boards to embed) and usability and comfort needs. The solution we propose adds to the frame a housing in each temple and an additional housing in the front part. Housings have been appositely created to embed the electronic boards and components in the frame, and are hidden by removable covers, in order to allow an easy access to the processing logic, for maintenance operations.

Fig. 3 shows the electronic skeleton of the proposed prototype (left part) and its latest version (right part).

B. Hardware Specifications

The final version of NeuroGlass compared to the prototype has a more ergonomic and wearable shape, which implies less available space for the hardware. This has led to the need to review the spaces for the various components and their arrangement on the PCB. Compared to the first version, in which the components were placed on the entire frame of the glasses, the second one has the circuitry only on one of the two temples. In the prototype the components on the frame were arranged as follows: the microchip (ADS1299) and its circuitry dedicated to the acquisition of the EOG signals were placed on the right temple, on the left side were placed the NRF52832 microcontroller, the SD allocation and the IMUs, eventually other IMUs components were positioned of the front side of the frame. In the final version of the glasses all the previous are installed only on the left temple, while the other one is prepared for the allocation of the battery source. Due to the lack of space some of the components were replaced with a single item, like the IMUs and the magnetometer (LSM9DS1). Finally, our prototype has a 2-channel EOG in order to detect and count the number of EBs. The device acquires data from the two IMUs, the magnetometer and the EOG channels with a sampling rate $f_s$ equal to 100 Hz. Data can be transmitted to a desktop PC via a USB interface using a simple comma-separated format or they can be stored in a SD card inserted in a slot mounted on the left temple for later download. Moreover, the final version has a button to control the acquisition, a LED for the feedback of the acquisition, some hardware components for communication via BLE. Eventually the firmware has been optimized using OS FreeRTOS to increase and synchronize the sample frequency between IMUs and EOG sensors.

V. ESSENTIAL TREMOR (ET) DETECTION

Head ET can be divided into two categories: Nodding tremor (similar to a nodding movement) and Negation tremor (similar to a negation movement). Differently from most of the existing literature, our approach does not require any training to detect the presence of the tremor and distinguish its typology. The employed algorithm is divided into two steps:

1) Decide if ET is present by comparing the overall Instantaneous Frequency (IF) $\psi$ with a threshold $\zeta_{\psi}$:
2) if step 1) detects the ET, discriminate its typology by computing the energies of different signals.

We define $s^p$, $s \in \Sigma = \{a, g, m\}$ and $p \in \Omega = \{TMP, BRG\}$ the general three-component vector containing the accelerometer/gyroscope/magnetometer samples acquired by the IMU placed on the glass temple (TMP) or bridge (BRG). For example, $a^{TMP}$, $m^{TMP}$ represent the three-component accelerometer and magnetometer vectors, respectively, sensed by the IMU on the glasses temple (see the superscript TMP). The quantity $s^p_i(n)$ is the $n$-th sample of the $i$-th component, belonging to the generic $s$ signal sensed by the IMU in $p$ position where $n \in [1, N]$ and $i \in \{x, y, z\}$. For example, $a^x_{\text{TMP}}(4)$ is the fourth sample of $x$-component of the accelerometer vector sensed by the IMU on the temple.
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The first step is carried out by a standard pre-processing stage composed of a mean removal, Low Pass Filter (LPF) with cutoff frequency of 5 Hz and a Hamming windowing, thus obtaining the pre-processed signal \( \tilde{s} \). Then, \( \tilde{S}^p \) is divided into \( N_f \) frames, each of them containing \( N_f \) samples. In this paper \( N_f = 200 \) and each frame is overlapped with the next one by an overlap percentage of 50%.

We define \( S^p(k,n) \) the discrete spectrogram obtained from the generic pre-processed signal \( \tilde{s} \), related to the \( i \)-th axis and placed in position \( p \), through the Short-Time Fourier Analysis \( F \). Consequently, \( S^p(k,n) \) is the single complex value of the spectrogram \( S^p \) for the frequency index \( k \) and the time index \( n \) with \( n \in [1,N] \) and \( k \in [0,N_f-1] \).

Considering that ET has prominent frequency components, from the quantity \( S^p(k,n) \) the estimation of the Instantaneous Frequency (IF) is performed. The rationale behind this idea is simple: if tremor is present, then the spectral analysis will coherently provide a high-energy IF estimation. According to the literature, several methods exist to accomplish such a task. An effective solution consists in computing the weighted average of all frequencies occurring in the spectrogram [29]. Another possibility, which requires some hypothesis on the noise, is to approximate the IF with the frequency that maximizes the energy of each time slice of the spectrogram. Finally, another idea leverages the employment of the Hilbert transform but it requires a signal in the time-domain and it usually fails when the signal is not strictly mono-component. In this paper the IF \( \tilde{f}^p_{s,i}(n) \) related to the signal \( s \), for the \( i \)-th axes in the \( p \) position, relative to the \( n \)-th time index is estimated as follows:

\[
\tilde{f}^p_{s,i}(n) = \frac{1}{2N_f} \sum_{k=0}^{N_f-1} k \cdot |S^p_{s,i}(k,n)|^2,
\forall n \in [1,N] \tag{1}
\]

From the single IFs \( \tilde{f}^p_{s,i}(n) \) (i.e., related to specific signals and axes) an overall IF \( \psi \) can be computed. In order to provide such computation the percentage of energy with respect to the total energy related to the estimated IFs \( \tilde{f}^p_{s,i}(n) \) must be determined. Such values \( e^p_{s,i}(n) \in [0,1] \) can be computed as follows:

\[
e^p_{s,i}(n) = \frac{|S^p_{s,i}(\tilde{k}(n),n)|^2}{\max_{k \in [0,N_f-1]} |S^p_{s,i}(k,n)|^2}, \forall n \in [1,N] \tag{2}
\]

where \( \tilde{k}(n) = \tilde{k}^p_{s,i}(n) = \left[ \frac{2N_f}{f_s} \cdot \tilde{f}^p_{s,i}(n) \right] \), \( \forall n \in [1,N] \) is the nearest discrete frequency index associated to the estimated IFs \( \tilde{f}^p_{s,i}(n) \) and the symbol \( \lfloor \cdot \rfloor \) is the rounding operator. The overall IF \( \psi \) can be obtained by using the following formula:

\[
\psi = \sum_{p \in \Omega} \sum_{s \in \Sigma} \sum_{i \in \{x,y,z\}} \tilde{f}^p_{s,i} \cdot e^p_{s,i} \tag{4}
\]

where \( \tilde{f}^p_{s,i} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \tilde{f}^p_{s,i}(n) \) is the mean value of the single IFs for the \( i \)-th axis, the \( s \)-th signal sensed by the IMU in the \( p \) position.

The ET is detected by comparing the overall IF \( \psi \) with an empirically pre-determined threshold \( \zeta_\psi \): if \( \psi \geq \zeta_\psi \) then the presence of ET has been detected. No tremor is found if \( \psi < \zeta_\psi \). In this paper the value \( \zeta_\psi = 2 \) Hz has been
set. The rationale behind the approach and the choice of $\zeta_{\psi}$ relies on the fact that former studies (see [21] and references therein) have pointed out that the ET presents frequency components with significant energies that range from 3 to 5 Hz. Conversely, if no tremor is present, the spectral energy distribution has some peaks around the DC component while it tends to flatten for all the other frequencies. The overall IF contains such information: a low value of $\psi$ states that there is no tremor while a large value indicates the presence of the tremor. The classification between Nodding tremor and Negation tremor can be achieved considering the energy along specific axes of the wearable device. Taking into account the physical movements of the head during these two types of tremor (“up&down” for the Nodding tremor and “left&right” for the Negation one), we can distinguish them by exploiting the amount of energy of the following signals: $g_x^{BRG}$, $g_y^{BRG}$, $a_x^{BRG}$, $a_y^{BRG}$. If the energy $\phi_{\text{NGT}}$ present in $g_x^{BRG}$ and $a_x^{BRG}$ is greater than the energy $\phi_{\text{NDD}}$ present in $g_y^{BRG}$ and $a_y^{BRG}$, then the tremor will be classified as Negation tremor. In the opposite case, it will be classified as Nodding tremor. The overall amount of energy $\phi_{\text{NGT}}$ and $\phi_{\text{NDD}}$ can be obtained as follows:

$$\phi_{\text{NGT}} = \sum_{s \in \Sigma} \sum_{i \in A^{\text{NGT}}} w_{s,i}^{\text{BRG}} \cdot e_{s,i}^{\text{BRG}}$$

$$\phi_{\text{NDD}} = \sum_{s \in \Sigma} \sum_{i \in A^{\text{NDD}}} w_{s,i}^{\text{BRG}} \cdot e_{s,i}^{\text{BRG}}$$

(5)

where $\Sigma \subset \Sigma = \{g, a\}$, $A^{\text{NGT}} = \{x\}$ and $A^{\text{NDD}} = \{y\}$.

The values $w_{s,i}^{\text{BRG}}$ are weights employed to enhance one axis with respect to another. They have been computed a-priori as:

$$w_{s,i}^{p} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} e_{s,i}^{p}(n), \ \forall p \in \{\text{TMP, BRG}\}$$

(6)

The whole ET algorithm is summarized in the pseudo-code reported in the Algorithm box 1.

VI. EYE BLINK (EB) COUNTING

The detection of the number of EBs is performed through the processing of the EOG signal, which is an inexpensive and non-invasive way of recording eye ball movements. The source for the EOG signal is cornea-retinal potential generated due to the movements of eye balls within the conductive environment of the skull [30]. In the literature some of the most employed algorithms for detecting the number of blinks range from the “features + classifier” paradigm [23], [24] to wavelet transforms [30], from dynamic time warping [31] to signal decomposition [25].

In this paper we propose a novel pre-processing stage for the EOG signal denoising, followed by a matched filter applied to the second-order derivative. The first step consists setting two thresholds $\epsilon_1$ and $\eta_{ref}$; this is done by initializing $\epsilon_1 = 10^{24}$ and $\eta_{ref} = 0.95$. Then, the mean is removed from $s(n)$, thus obtaining a new signal $s'(n)$. The threshold $\epsilon_2 = \alpha \cdot \sigma [s'(n)]$ is computed, where $\sigma$ is the standard deviation and $\alpha$ is a smoothing factor set to 0.5 that trades the severity of the threshold operation. $s'(n)$ is then filtered through a non-linear, soft-threshold block to obtain the signal $s''(n)$ as follows:

$$s''(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} s'(n - t) + s'(n + t), & \text{if } |s'(n) \geq \epsilon_2| \\ s'(n) - \epsilon_2, & \text{if } |s'(n) < \epsilon_2| \end{cases}$$

(7)

where $T$ is the filter span, set to 5 in this paper. Finally, the ratio $\eta = \frac{s''}{s'}$ is computed and compared with its reference threshold $\eta_{ref}$: if $\eta \leq \eta_{ref}$ then $\epsilon_1$ is updated with the values of $\epsilon_2$ (i.e., $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2$). Vice versa if $\eta > \eta_{ref}$ the pre-process stage concludes by applying a LPF with cutting frequency set to 3 [Hz], thus obtaining from $s''(n)$ the pre-processed signal $\tilde{s}(n)$. The EBs detection algorithm employs a matched filter to the second-order derivative of the signal $\tilde{s}(n)$. The reason for the second-order derivative is two-fold: i) it allows enhancing the abrupt changes in the signal and ii) it provides a more identifiable waveform for the matched filter $m(n)$. To build such matched filter we have recorded EOG acquisitions of about 30s from 5 people, sampling at $f_s = 100$ [Hz]. Among these acquisitions we have manually isolated 5 EBs for each subject so obtaining $B = 25$ different blinks. From these blinks the vector $\Theta$ is built so that $\Theta = [\tilde{s}_1(n), \tilde{s}_2(n), \cdots, \tilde{s}_8(n), \cdots, \tilde{s}_B(n)]$, where $\tilde{s}_b(n)$, $n \in [0, Q - 1]$ is a pre-processed EOG sequence containing just one blink and $b \in [1, B]$ is the blinking index. On each element of the vector $\Theta$ the second-order discrete derivative is computed and the result is finally averaged, thus obtaining the sequence $\pi(n)$ as follows:

\begin{algorithm}
\caption{Essential Tremor (ET) detection and recognition}
\begin{algorithmic}[1]
\State \textbf{Input : } $S_{p}^{p}$, $\zeta_{\psi}$, $w_{s,i}^{p}$
\State \textbf{Output: } Nodding Tremor, Negation Tremor, No Tremor
1 Compute the frequencies $\tilde{f}_{s,i}^{p}$ through Eq. (1);
2 Compute the percentages $e_{s,i}^{p}$ through Eq. (2);
3 Compute the overall IF $\psi$ through Eq. (4);
4 if $\psi \leq \zeta_{\psi}$ then
\quad Compute $\phi_{\text{NGT}}$ and $\phi_{\text{NDD}}$ through Eq. (5);
\quad if $\phi_{\text{NDD}} \geq \phi_{\text{NGT}}$ then
\qquad \text{return Nodding tremor}
\quad else
\qquad \text{return Negation tremor}
5 else
\quad return No Tremor;
end
11 \textbf{end}
\end{algorithmic}
\end{algorithm}
\[
\pi(n) = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^{B} \Delta^2 \left[ \tilde{s}_b(n) \right]
\]

where \( \Delta^2 \) denotes the second-order discrete derivative. Finally, we define the matched filter \( m(n) = \pi(Q - 1 - n), \forall n \in [0, Q - 1] \) where \( Q \) is the length of the sequence \( \pi(n) \).

To count the number of EBs from a new EOG acquisition \( s(n) \), the pre-processing stage is applied so as to obtain the filtered signal \( \tilde{s}(n) \). Then, \( \tilde{s}(n) \) is divided in frames where each frame is denoted with \( \tilde{s}_l(n), l \in [0, N_f - 1] \). Each frame is then convoluted with the matched filter \( m(n) \) and its maximum value is extracted to obtain a new quantity \( \lambda(l) \) defined as follows:

\[
\lambda(l) = \max_{n \in [0, \Xi - 1]} \left[ \sum_{\xi} \tilde{s}_l(\xi)m(n - \xi) \right], \forall l \in [0, N_f - 1]
\]

where \( \Xi \) is the length of the convolution between \( \tilde{s}_l \) and \( m \). The number of EBs \( \rho \) is determined as in Eq.10:

\[
\rho = \sum_{l=0}^{N_f-1} \Psi \left[ \lambda(l), \beta \cdot \bar{\lambda} \right]
\]

where \( \bar{\lambda} = \frac{1}{N_f} \sum_{l=0}^{N_f-1} \lambda(l) \) is the average value of \( \lambda(l) \) and \( \Psi \left[ \lambda(l), \beta \cdot \bar{\lambda} \right] = 1 \) if \( \lambda(l) \geq \beta \cdot \bar{\lambda} \) and 0 otherwise. \( \beta \) is a simple constant set to 1.5.

VII. NUMERICAL RESULTS

This section reports the obtained numerical results, both for the ET detection and for the counting of the number of EB. Since this is a preliminary work, we have tested our device on normal subjects that simulated ET and provided EBs. Concerning the ET, from 5 people 15 different acquisitions have been taken: 5 with no tremor, 5 with Nodding tremor and 5 with Negation tremor. Each acquisition lasts for about 30 seconds.

The 6.25% of the cases wrongly classified as No Tremor belong to acquisitions where the tremor had lower energy. Summarizing, the provided algorithm is able to recognize the ET and to determine its typology with an overall accuracy of above 97%.

To validate the proposed EBs detection algorithm, we have sensed through our prototype 5 different EOG acquisitions from 5 people for an overall dataset of 25 EOG acquisitions. In each acquisition the number of EBs ranges from 8 to 24 and each acquisition lasts for about 30 seconds.

To obtain the best possible signal quality the electrodes must be placed in specific positions on the patient’s face. Unfortunately, this constraint cannot be granted by a wearable IoT device that trades the electrodes’ position with the comfort of wearing simple glasses. In other words, the shape of the glasses forces specific positions for the electrodes which are different from the optimal ones. This great dissimilarity in hardware setup leads to the need of a different approach for EOG signal processing. In order to better understand the impact of the EOG electrodes positioning, we have considered two different configurations for the EOG signal acquisition:

- **Optimal**: it is the same electrodes positioning related to professional medical desktop equipment. It is the best electrodes positioning.
- **Glasses**: the electrodes position follows the physical contact points of the glasses with the face.

Figure 5 shows a graphical representation of both the configurations used in the experimental tests where the red dots represent the horizontal channel, the green dots refer to the vertical one and the black dots correspond to ground. In the optimal configuration, the electrodes are put above and beneath one eye, and at the external corner of both eyes, while ground is measured on the forehead. This configuration is shown in Figure 5 (left part). In the literature, many papers acquire the EOG signal by using this configuration [25]. Although this configuration achieves minimal perturbation on the measured signals, it is a very uncomfortable setup for the patient due to the intrusiveness of the solution. Moreover, the electrodes position in this approach cannot be granted by using wearable glasses as EOG sensing device since the electrodes must be put where the glasses frame has contact with the patient’s skin. These specific locations are the glasses bridge, located at the top of the nose, and the temples, where reference
Table 1

| Frame length [s] | Overlap (%) | RMSE   |
|------------------|-------------|--------|
| 0.5              | 0.4         | 0.63   |
|                  | 0.6         | 0.66   |
|                  | 0.8         | 0.53   |
|                  | 1           | 0.84   |
| 0.7              | 0.4         | 1.12   |
|                  | 0.6         | 1.42   |
|                  | 0.8         | 1.39   |
|                  | 1           | 1.47   |
| 1                | 0.4         | 2.25   |
|                  | 0.6         | 2.19   |
|                  | 0.8         | 2.42   |
|                  | 1           | 2.49   |

Since the optimal configuration provides the best results in terms of performance, in the present work we run experimental tests by using the optimal EOG configuration as a benchmark for the glasses one.

Table I reports the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) as a function of the frame length (in seconds) and of the overlap percentage. The global minimum point is reached with a frame length of 0.53 seconds with an overlap equal to 75%, where the RMSE is around 0.44.

For this specific case other plots, reported in the next figures see Figs. 6, 7 and 8, provide some more details regarding the actual number of EBs (blue bars) versus the estimated number of EBs ρ (orange bars) for each acquisition, so highlighting the accuracy of the proposed algorithm.

**VIII. Conclusions**

This paper presents NeuroGlass, a prototype of sensorsed glasses able to detect the presence of Essential Tremor (ET) of the head and to count the number of Eye Blink (EB) at the same time without any a-priori training. Numerical results prove the reliability of the proposed approach: our algorithms are able to i) correctly recognize the ET with an overall accuracy above 97% and ii) count the number of EBs with a Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) around 0.44. Finally, considering that our solution both for the cases of ET and EB detection does not require any a-priori training nor heavy calculations, its computational cost is low. However, a study aimed at estimating the computational complexity of the proposed algorithm is currently ongoing and it will be published in a future extension of this manuscript.
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