The impact of irritable bowel syndrome on health-related quality of life in women with polycystic ovary syndrome

CURRENT STATUS: POSTED

Seyed Abdolvahab Taghavi
Yasuj University of Medical Sciences

Zatollah Asemi
Kashan University of Medical Sciences

Fatemeh Bazarganipour
f.bazarganipour@gmail.com Corresponding Author

Helen Allan
"Middlesex University"

Zahra Khashavi
Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences

Tahereh Safarzadeh
Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences

Shamsi Pourchangiz
Hormozgan University

Fatemeh Zare
Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences

Samaneh Ghasemi
Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences

Zivar Karimi
Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences

DOI:
10.21203/rs.2.17261/v1

SUBJECT AREAS
KEYWORDS
Polycystic ovary syndrome, irritable bowel syndrome, quality of life
Abstract
The objectives of this study were to compare the prevalence and quality of life (QOL) of irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) in women with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) compared with healthy women.

Methods This was a case-control study of 201 women recruited at an infertility clinic in Iran. The control group were healthy women (n=100) and the comparison group, women with PCOS (n=101). Data were collected by clinical Rome III criteria to determine the IBS, Bristol scale for stool consistency and IBS QOL.

Results The reporting of IBS symptoms was higher in PCOS (20.7%) than control group (11%) (P=0.05). Predictive factors of IBS included having diagnosed PCOS (OR: 1.61; CI: 0.71–2.11) and an increase of LH/FSH (OR: 1.09; 0.95 CI: (0.83-1.45). The IBS QOL score in the IBS+PCOS group was lower than other groups (IBS+ non PCOS, non IBS+PCOS, non IBS+ non PCOS; scores in food avoidance and worries about health domains were significant (P<0.01).

Conclusions: We conclude that having PCOS and an increased level of LH/FSH tends to cause IBS symptoms. IBS+PCOS women experience significant impaired quality of life scores particularly in relation to worries about health and food avoidance. These results offer further insights into IBS in PCOS women and their functional status and wellbeing.

Background
Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is one of the most common disorders of the digestive system, with a 10-20% incidence globally [1]. People with IBS have sensitive intestines and suffer from intestinal muscle spasms in response to food, gas, and stress. These spasms can lead to abdominal cramps and pains, changes in bowel function, bloating, diarrhoea, and constipation [2]. Patients with IBS also experience higher rates of absence from work, disruption of interpersonal relations, including a reluctance to have sexual intercourse. IBS can prevent patients from attending social gatherings and travelling for fear of experiencing symptoms in public. Many studies have reported a lower level of the quality of life (QOL) for these patients than the general population [3].

Although studies have shown that the prevalence of IBS in women is twice that of men generally, it was believed that this disorder was lower in women with PCOS compared to healthy women. However, a recent study reported an increased prevalence of IBS in women with PCOS compared to healthy
Polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS) is a common chronic endocrine disease which affects 5-10% of women of reproductive age. It is characterized by chronic anovulation, with clinical or laboratory signs of hyperandrogenism [5]. Obesity is prevalent in women with PCOS due to elevated androgen levels [6].

However, there is no detailed description of the relationship between IBS and women with PCOS symptoms in relation to raised androgen levels and raised body mass index (BMI) [4]. These factors influenced the decision to investigate the prevalence of IBS in women with PCOS compared to healthy women and to compare QOL in a group of women with PCOS and healthy women.

Methods

**Design and data collection**

This was a case-control study of women with PCOS who attended an infertility clinic at a hospital in Hormozgan Province, Iran from May to September 2014. This clinic is the only referral center for infertility in the province. The sample size (201) was calculated using Mathur, et al. [4] information. Women with a confirmed diagnosis of PCOS (n=300) were invited to participate in the study as a case comparison group. After explaining the study objectives and obtaining written consent from each woman, final participants were randomly allocated to the PCOS (n=101); a control group of healthy women whose partners had a diagnosis of male infertility (n=100) were approached in the same way. Women were eligible if they met each of the following criteria (Table 1). The women were requested to complete the study measures in clinic.

**Measures**

1. **Menstrual history:** All women were asked for the interval between menstrual periods during the preceding 12 months; results were categorized to <21 days, 21-34 days, 35-60 days (oligomenorrhea), >199 days (amenorrhea) and variable.

2. **BMI:** Weight and height were calculated by weight/height squared \([\text{kg/m}^2]\) in all women.
3. Body hair: Clinical assessment of hirsutism in all women was determined using the Ferriman-Gallwey Scoring System (F/G score). Nine body sites (the upper lip, chin, chest, upper back, lower back, upper abdomen, lower abdomen, arm, and thigh) were graded from 0 (no terminal hair) to 4 (severe hirsutism). Scores can range from zero to 36. A score of seven or above was considered positive for hirsutism [7].

4. Acne: The Global Acne Grading System (GAGS) was used to determine acne in all women. The GAGS assesses six locations on the face and chest/upper back, calculating surface area, distribution, and density of pilosebaceous units of lesions. Each of the six locations is graded separately on a scale 0-to-4, with the most severe lesion within that location determining the local score. The global score is a summation of all local scores [8].

5. Socio-demographic status: The study used years of formal education as a measure of socioeconomic status, categorized into five levels: no education, first level (1 to 5 years), second level (6-9 years), third level (10-12 years) and fourth level (more than 12 years). Donyavi et al.[9] showed that education is a good proxy measure for socioeconomic status for Iranians.

6. Laboratory measures: An overnight or eight hour fasting venous blood sample was obtained from each patient. Follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) and Luteinizing hormone (LH) were assessed in all participants by ELIZA (DRG Instruments GmbH, Marburg, Germany).

7. ROME III diagnostic criteria: Since IBS has no structural disorder or biochemical signs, the diagnosis is based on clinical signs. The ROME III criteria for the diagnosis of IBS (2006) includes intermittent abdominal pain on at least three days of the month in the last three months with at least two of the following three criteria combined: (i) abdominal pain or discomfort improves with defecation, (ii) abdominal
pain or discomfort starts with a change in frequency of defecation, (iii) abdominal pain or discomfort start with a change in stool consistency (appearance). It should be noted that the above criteria must be present in the last three months before the diagnosis, as well as at least last six months from the onset of symptoms. The validity and reliability of these tools are approved in Iran [10].

8. IBS-QOL questionnaire was designed by Patrick, et al.[11] and contains 34 items with a five degree Likert scale. There are eight domains: dysphoria, relationships, sexual concern, health worry, social reaction, body image, food avoidance, and interference with activity. Scores were between 0 to 100; a higher score in this tool represents a worse quality of life [11]. The validity and reliability of these tools are approved in Iran.

9. Bristol scale stool consistency: Bristol stool form scale is a medical aid designed to classify the form of human feces into seven categories including type 1: Separate hard lumps, like nuts (hard to pass); type 2: sausage-shaped, but lumpy; type 3: like a sausage but with cracks on its surface; type 4: like a sausage or snake, smooth and soft ; type 5: soft blobs with clear cut edges (passed easily); type 6: fluffy pieces with ragged edges, a mushy stool ; type 7: watery, no solid pieces, entirely liquid. Types one and two indicate constipation, with three and four being the ideal stools (especially the latter), as they are easy to defecate while not containing excess liquid, and five, six and seven tending towards diarrhea [12].

**Statistical analysis**

Data were presented as mean ± Standard Deviation (SD) and frequency (percent) for quantitative and qualitative variables, respectively. Pearson Chi square test was used to compare demographic variables in the two groups. Ordinal demographic variables were compared between the two groups
using the Mann-Whitney U test. Independent samples t-test was used to compare the means of in two groups. Multivariable logistic regression (MLR) was used where the response was binary and explanatory are two or more, i.e. continuous, categorical, or ranked. Univariate and stepwise multiple logistic regression analyses were used to evaluate risk factors associated with IBS. The analyses of risk factors were conducted in two steps. All the socioeconomic and clinical characteristics of patients presented in Table 2 were tested one by one in separate, univariate analysis. Secondly, all statistically significant variables in the univariate analysis were tested using multivariate logistic regression analysis. Significant variables were entered in a stepwise manner. Results from the final model are presented as odds ratios [13] with confidence interval (CI) 95%.

**Ethical considerations**

The Ethics Committee of the Hormozgan Medical University reviewed the study.

**Results**

**The study sample**

Over a period of six months, 201 women were recruited to the study. The socioeconomic and clinical characteristics of patients are presented in Table 2. There was a significant difference between the two groups in terms of PCOS related features (such as acne, hirsutism and menstrual pattern and LH/FSH ratio) (P<0.001).

**IBS prevalence, subtype & stool frequency**

The prevalence of IBS in women with PCOS was 29.7% and the control group 11% (P<0.001). The highest number of PCOS women placed in subtype of IBS–Constipation (20.8) (Table 3). According to Bristol stool consistency scale, women were in 2nd and 3rd rank (sausage-shaped, but lumpy; and like a sausage but with cracks on its surface, respectively) that matches with IBS-Constipation, relatively (Table 4).

**Comparison of sum scores of IBSQOL**
There was significant difference in QOL scores between two groups (P<0.001) mainly in food avoidance and worries about health (Table 5). In other word, in PCOS patients the lowest scores of QOL were belong to IBS subgroup. Similarly, in control group the lowest scores of QOL were belong to IBS subgroup. Moreover, the IBS+ PCOS patients had lower QOL scores than IBS+ non PCOS patients.

**Predictive factors that affect IBS**

After evaluating the socioeconomic and clinical variables by univariate analysis, the remained significant variables (LH/FSH and having PCOS) were entered in logistic regression. The results of logistic regression show that having PCOS (OR: 1.61; CI: 0.71 - 2.11) and an increase of LH/FSH (OR: 1.09; 0.95 CI: (0.83-1.45) are the strongest predictor of having IBS (P<0.05) (Table 6). In other word, having PCOS and increase of LH/FSH were main predictive factors in increase the risk of IBS.

**Discussion**

In this study, the prevalence of IBS in patients with PCOS (29.7%) by using Rome III criteria is higher than the values reported in the general population. Mathur et al. [4] have also reported the IBS prevalence 41.7% by criteria Rome I in women with PCOS. Several studies in Iran have also reported the prevalence of IBS in different populations. The prevalence of IBS in Iranian blood donors was 5.6% [14]. The prevalence of IBS in 18,180 people in five cities in Tehran province was estimated to be about 1% by employing Rome III criteria [15]. Several issues may contribute to such the wide range reported in these studies which use different diagnostic criteria for IBS. Rome III is less restrictive then Rome II which demands patients to report their symptoms over longer periods. Compared to Rome III, a higher number of patients self-diagnose with IBS using Rome II. Therefore, it's seemed that the prevalence estimated by Rome III is lower than Rome II and I [16] proposes using Rome III criteria in studies. Moreover, the role of socioeconomic status and cultural differences should be taken into account. Ho et al. [17] suggested that the IBS in the urban group more than rural probably because the urban group reported a significantly greater influence of stress than the rural group. It is believed that the prevalence of IBS is less in developing countries (like Iran) compared to western countries.
Increased ovarian hormones decrease gastrointestinal transit [18]. Therefore women with IBS report the symptoms related to constipation more than men, except at the time of menstruation when hormone levels have reduced [19]. In the present study, the majority of patients with PCOS (20.8%) have IBS dominant constipation (IBS-C). It seems the cause of this variant of IBS is due to the high levels of hormones in PCOS which interference with bowel function. However, if only the hyper-androgenic condition is involved in the increased risk of IBS, it might be expected that the prevalence of IBS in the PCOS group should be less than the control groups.

Stress can affect the gastrointestinal function, so that the start and the severity of the symptoms of IBS are related to acute and chronic stress. Therefore, patients with IBS have hyperactivity to stress (excessive response of limbic system to the stress) [20]. A history of adverse life events and stress cause changes in the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis response to stress and inappropriate signaling of Corticotropin-releasing hormone as the most important factor in the increased prevalence of IBS [21]. Previous studies have reported that women with PCOS have more anxiety and stress which may cause a higher prevalence of IBS in these patients [22]. In addition to PCOS, an increase in the amount of LH/FSH was also an important predictor variable of IBS. In women with PCOS, the LH/FSH ratio was higher and it seems that an increase the perceived stress by patients may lead to increased sensitivity of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and LH and consequently a higher prevalence of IBS in these patients. Use of gonadotropin releasing hormone analogue leuprolide acetate which dramatically decreases LH which would be improves of chronic abdominal pain in women [23]. IBS has a strong effect on the quality of life in women are diagnosed with it as it imposes substantial social and economic costs due to the need for medical care and absenteeism at work. One study in Iran investigated the economic burden of IBS and showed the cost of IBS in Iran about 2.8 million dollars and this is of great significant for Iranian population [24].

There are no specific biomarkers to assess the condition of patients with IBS. Thus there needs to be increased attention to the non-pathological markers in evaluating the impact of IBS as a chronic disease on well-being, daily functioning and QOL. According Frank et al., 2002) patients with IBS have poor QOL compared to the general population; the same authors emphasize that IBS has a greater
negative impact on QOL than asthma, gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) or migraine headaches. In the present study, QOL in women with IBS in both groups was significantly lower than in women without IBS. In both groups, worries about health and food avoidance were the areas of QOL most affected by IBS. Sung Kim et al.[25] reported that the most affected areas were dysphonia, worries about health and the food avoidance. These findings suggest that in our patients like Korean female with IBS, IBS patients suffer more from anxiety about their disease than impairment of social activity or relationship by bowel symptom.

Studies on women with PCOS using an IBS specific QOL tools have not been found identified in the literature; our study is the first to use IBS specific QOL measures in women with PCOS compared to healthy women. Studies using SF36 [26] and WHOQOL [27] to measure QOL minimize the influence of gastrointestinal symptoms on general QOL. Specific QOL tools associated with IBS have been designed and validated and include specific areas associated with QOL most affected by IBS.

**Limitations**

There are some limitations in our study. The study population is from a limited region of Iran and is not be representative of the general population either in Iran or other countries. Moreover, there are unmeasured covariates that may play an important role in the association between IBS and PCOS, for example, psychological history; that IBS was self-identified may be another limitation.

**Conclusions**

As the results of this study show, having PCOS and an increased level of LH/FSH might cause IBS. IBS+PCOS patients experience significant effects on QOL particularly in relation to worries about health and food avoidance. These data offer insights for clinicians into IBS in women with PCOS and their functional status and wellbeing.
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Table 1. Inclusion criteria

| Inclusion criteria                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Being 15–40 years of age                                                          |
| Married                                                                           |
| Absence of non-classic adrenal hyperplasia, thyroid dysfunction, hyperprolactinemia|
| Non-smoking                                                                       |
| The absence of following warning signs that rejected IBS:                         |
| 1. Extreme weight loss over the past few months, fever, nocturnal symptoms        |
| 2. Severe chronic constipation, diarrhea, frequent vomiting, progressive dysphasia |
| 3. A history of travel to areas of parasitic infections                           |
| 4. Family history of colon cancer, inflammatory bowel disease                     |
| Non-chronic cough (bronchitis) during the last three months                       |
| No problems in speaking or listening                                              |
| Iranian                                                                           |
| Not taking any prescription medication (except allergy medications and occasional pain medications) for at least three months before entering the study |
| Having two of the following Rotterdam diagnostic criteria:                        |
| 1) Polycystic ovaries visualized on ultrasound scan (presence of 12 follicles or more in one or both ovaries and/or increase in volume i.e., >10 ml), |
| 2) Clinical signs of hyperandrogenism (hirsutism score based on hirsutism score greater than 7 or obvious acne). |
| 3) Having an interval between menstrual periods >35 days and/or amenorrhea, defined as the absence of vaginal bleeding for at least 6 months (i.e. 199 days) |

Table 2. Socio-demographic & clinical characteristics in patients
| Variable               | PCOS (n=101)       | Control (n=100)      | P value |
|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Age (year)             | 28±4.92            | 29.68±5.36           | 0.02    |
| Education (year)       | 11.74±3.53         | 10.77±3.84           | 0.06    |
| BMI                    | 25.52±4.70         | 24.53±3.88           | 0.1     |
| Occupation             | Occupied           | 18                   | 19      | 0.82    |
| n                      | Housewife          | 83                   | 81      |
| Parity                 | 0.16±0.42          | 0.15±0.35            | 0.74    |
| Menstruation           | <21: 2 (1.98)      | 2 (2)                | <0.00   |
|                        | 21-35: 34 (33.66)  | 179 (79)             |         |
|                        | 35-60: 17 (16.83)  | 79 (6)               |         |
|                        | >3 month: 7 (6.93) | 7 (6.93)             |         |
| Variable               | Hirsutism score    | 3.35±3.15            | 0.58±1.39| <0.00   |
| Acne score             | 4.20±5.01          | 1.76±3.8             | <0.00   |
| LH/FSH                 | 1.88±1.52          | 0.93±1.21            | <0.00   |

**Table 3. IBS subtype in patients**

| IBS Subtype | PCOS (n=101) | Control (n=100) | P value |
|-------------|--------------|-----------------|---------|
| No IBS      | 71 (70.3%)   | 89 (89)         | 0.00    |
| IBS-C       | 21 (20.8%)   | 5 (5)           |         |
| IBS-D       | 4 (4)        | 2 (2)           |         |
| IBS-M       | 5 (5)        | 4 (4)           |         |

**Table 4. Bristol scale stool consistency in patients**

| Bristol Scale | PCOS (n=101) | Control (n=100) | P value |
|---------------|--------------|-----------------|---------|
| 0             | 71 (70.3%)   | 89 (89)         | <0.00   |
| 1             | 4 (4)        | 2 (2)           |         |
| 2             | 7 (6.9)      | 3 (3)           |         |
| 3             | 10 (9.9)     | 3 (3)           |         |
| 4             | 5 (5)        | 1 (1)           |         |
| 5             | 2 (2)        | 1 (1)           |         |
| 6             | 2 (2)        | 1 (1)           |         |
| 7             | -            | -               |         |

**Table 5. Comparison the IBS-QOL scores in patients**
| Variable                        | PCOS (n=101) | Control (n=100) |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
|                                | IBS          | No IBS          | IBS            | No IBS         |
| Overall                        | 60.71±13.30  | 99.46±2.98      | 74.13±20.92    | 98.40±6.02     |
| P value*                       | <0.001       |                 | <0.001         |                |
| Dysphoria                      | 61.30±13.94  | 99.64±2.96      | 75.94±2.77     | 99.39±6.04     |
| P value                        | <0.001       |                 | <0.001         |                |
| Interference with activity     | 60.83±12.96  | 99.64±2.96      | 74.02±20.70    | 98.35±6.16     |
| P value                        | <0.001       |                 | <0.001         |                |
| Relationship                   | 61.38±12.58  | 99.64±2.96      | 75±23.27       | 98.04±6        |
| P value                        | <0.001       |                 | <0.001         |                |
| Sexual                         | 61.66±14.28  | 99.64±2.96      | 76.13±12.97    | 98.31±6.30     |
| P value                        | <0.001       |                 | <0.001         |                |
| Health worry                   | 60.05±13.79  | 99.64±2.98      | 73.48±21.02    | 98.59±5.78     |
| P value                        | <0.001       |                 | <0.001         |                |
| Food avoidance                 | 59.72±13.80  | 99.64±2.96      | 70.45±21.20    | 98.31±6.30     |
| P value                        | <0.001       |                 | <0.001         |                |
| Social reaction                | 61.85±13.36  | 99.64±2.96      | 75±21.83       | 98.52±5.80     |
| P value                        | <0.001       |                 | <0.001         |                |
| Body image                     | 61.66±13.90  | 99.64±2.96      | 73.29±20.17    | 98.31±6.30     |
| P value                        | <0.001       |                 | <0.001         |                |

* Between IBS and no IBS in PCOS/control groups, separately
** Between PCOS and control groups with IBS

**Table 6.** Predictive factors that significantly affect IBS

| Variable   | OR   | S.E  | P value   | CI (95%) |
|------------|------|------|-----------|----------|
| Having PCOS| 1.61 | 0.62 | <0.001    | 0.17-2.11|
| LH/FSH     | 1.09 | 0.14 | 0.01      | 0.83-1.38|