Introduction

Bacteriophages (or shortly, phages) – viruses infecting bacterial cells – have been known for over 100 years, and for decades they played crucial roles as models in genetics and molecular biology and as tools in genetic engineering and biotechnology. Now we also recognize their huge role in natural environment and their importance in human health and disease. Despite our understanding of bacteriophage mechanisms of development, these viruses are described as parasites or predators in the literature. From the biological point of view, there are fundamental differences between parasites and predators. Therefore, in this article, I asked whether bacteriophages should be classified as former or latter biological entities. Analysis of the literature and biological definitions led me to conclude that bacteriophages are parasites rather than predators and should be classified and described as such. If even more precise ecological classification is needed, bacteriophages can perhaps be included in the group of parasitoids. It might be the most appropriate formal classification of these viruses, especially if strictly virulent phages are considered, contrary to phages which lyso genesis host cells and those which develop according to the permanent infection mode (or chronic cycle, like filamentous phages) revealing features of classical parasites.
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Introducion
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factors, which if produced by bacterial cells after phage induction, can cause severe human diseases (Casas and Maloy 2011; Łoś et al. 2011; Boyd 2012). Moreover, phages were also suggested as human pathogens (Tetz et al. 2017; Tetz and Tetz 2018). Therefore, interactions of bacteriophages with human and animal organisms must be carefully analyzed when considering the clinical use of these viruses (Podlacha et al. 2021).

There are numerous applications of bacteriophages or their genetic elements in genetic engineering and biotechnology. Bacteriophages can be used as cloning vectors, and regulatory elements of phage genomes serve as modules in sophisticated expression vectors (Harada et al. 2018). Phage display, based on exposing of foreign peptides on surfaces of bacteriophage virions, plays a significant role in the development of novel and very specific antibodies or antibody-like peptides, as well as in discovering new peptides with various functions useful is many fields of biotechnology and nanotechnology (Jaroszewicz et al. 2021). On the other hand, bacteriophages can be dangerous for the biotechnological processes when infecting bacteria used for large-scale synthesis of various bio-products in bioreactors (Łoś et al. 2004).

In the light of over 100 years of studies on these viruses, one scientific aspect appears surprising. Namely, in the literature, bacteriophages are presented either as parasites or predators. This ambiguity in the classification of phages is even more unexpected as they are described mostly in biological literature while biological definitions of parasitism and predation appear clear and straightforward. Therefore, I aimed to analyze this ambiguity and propose an unambiguous biological and ecological classification of bacteriophages.

**Calling bacteriophages parasites and predators**

When searching the PubMed database (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), on January 2, 2022, the combination of terms “bacteriophage” and “parasite” gave 814 records, while the combination of terms “bacteriophage” and “predator” gave 345 records. When looking at various publications, bacteriophages are defined by researchers as either parasites or predators. The examples of the former classification are as follows: “Bacteriophages, the viral parasites of bacteria” (Betts et al. 2016), “host bacterium (...) and its viral parasite bacteriophage” (Gorter et al. 2015), “Phages are obligate intracellular parasites” (Orzechowska and Mohammed 2019). The examples of the latter classification are as follows: “Using phage-bacterial model systems, dynamics of the coexistence of predators and preys have been the subject of theoretical and experimental studies” (Lourenço et al. 2020), “As natural predators of bacteria, phages can” (Hsu et al. 2021), “Bacteriophage ICP1: a persistent predator” (Boyd et al. 2021). Indeed, hundreds of other examples indicate bacteriophages as parasites or predators (see PubMed database https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov for more examples).

**Biological definitions of parasites and predators**

When asking whether phages are parasites or predators, first, it is necessary to provide definitions of these terms. According to Dictionary.com (https://www.dictionary.com), a parasite is “an organism that lives on or in an organism of another species, known as the host, from the body of which it obtains nutrient” (https://www.dictionary.com/browse/parasite), while a predator is “any organism that exists by preying upon other organisms” (https://www.dictionary.com/browse/predator). The Merriam-Webster dictionary (https://www.merriam-webster.com) provides the following definition of a parasite: “an animal or plant that lives in or on another animal or plant and gets food or protection from it” (https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/parasite), and the following definition of a predator “an animal that lives by killing and eating other animals: an animal that preys on other animals” (https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/predator). As evident, various sources’ definitions are similar, though they differ slightly in wording.

When considering a more specialistic source of definitions of parasitism and predation, one can use those provided by the New England Complex Systems Institute (Cambridge, MA, USA) (https://necsi.edu). According to this institute: “A parasitic relationship is one in which one organism, the parasite, lives off another organism, the host, harming it and possibly causing death. The parasite lives on or in the body of the host” (https://necsi.edu/parasitic-relationships); and “A predator is an organism that eats another organism. The prey is the organism which the predator eats” (https://necsi.edu/predator-prey-relationships). Finally, precise biological and ecological definitions are provided by Nature Education (Cambridge, MA, USA). On their web pages, the following definitions of parasitism and predation are found: “In parasitism, an individual organism, the parasite, consumes nutrients from another organism, its host, resulting in a decrease in fitness to the host” and “In predation, one organism kills and consumes another. Predation provides energy to prolong the life and promote the reproduction of the organism that does the killing, the predator, to the detriment of the organism being consumed, the prey”, respectively (Stevens 2010). Although classical definitions of parasites and predators concern animals, it is evident that some plants can also be clearly included in one of these groups. Dodder
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(genus *Cuscuta*) (Noureen et al. 2019) and Venus flytrap (genus *Dionaea*) (Hedrich and Neher 2018) are examples of parasite and predatory plants, respectively.

Considering all the definitions provided above, to make a general statement, one may indicate that a parasite exploits its host to get nutriment and propagate either inside or on this organism when it is still living. On the other hand, a predator kills its prey and uses the death entity to get nutriment. Hence, one should note that there are drastic differences between biological/ecological definitions of parasites and predators. The most important one is that a parasite exists in or on a living host, whereas a predator kills its prey and uses the resources of a dead creature. Sometimes, a predator can start to consume fragments of the prey even if it is not yet killed, but this event of consumption of a living prey is always short and strictly connected to the killing process. In other words, by definition, a predator does not feed on a still-living organism but kills it before or in the relatively short process of consumption.

**Lifestyles of bacteriophages**

Knowing the definitions of parasites and predators, let us analyze lifestyles of bacteriophages. There are generally three major developmental schemes of bacteriophages, lytic cycle, lysogenic cycle, and permanent infection (chronic cycle), as summarized and depicted recently (Grabowski et al. 2021). See also Fig. 1 for a simple scheme. These developmental possibilities are presented briefly below, while for more details, a reader is referred to a recent book on bacteriophage biology (Harper et al. 2021).

In the lytic cycle, a bacteriophage infects a bacterial host by injecting its genome (either DNA or RNA) into the cell, followed by the phage genome replication and expression of its genes, leading to the formation of progeny phages. All these processes occur inside a living cell, and in fact, there are no lytic bacteriophages able to propagate in dead cells or even in non-growing cells. This is because phages use resources, both chemical compounds (including functional molecules, like enzymes and tRNAs, higher-order functional structures, like ribosomes, and low-molecular-mass compounds, likes nucleotides, amino acids, and ions) and energy (in the form of ATP and GTP), from a metabolically active host. Suppose the metabolism of a bacteriophage-infected bacterial cell is halted. In that case, some phages may enter a specific stage called ‘pseudolysogeny’, during which phage development is stopped, and its genome neither replicates nor is degraded inside the host cell, and no insertion into the host DNA is observed (Łoś and Węgrzyn 2012). A bacteriophage can

---

*[Fig. 1. Three developmental pathways of bacteriophages: lytic development (or lytic cycle) (panel A), lysogenization (or lysogenic cycle) (panel B), and permanent infection (or chronic cycle) (panel C). Phage virion (exemplified by a caudate phage in panels A and B, and a filamentous phage in panel C) adsorbs on the host cell and introduces its genome into this cell while the protein capsid remains outside the host. During the lytic development (A), the phage genome replicates intensively, and due to the expression of phage genes, structural proteins, forming capsids, are produced. After assembling progeny virions, the host cell is lysed due to the action of phage-encoded lytic proteins, and newly formed bacteriophages are liberated to the environment. During the lysogenic cycle (B), the phage genome integrates into the host chromosome by the site-specific recombination mechanism, forming a prophage, and it is passively replicated together with the bacterial nucleoid. In some cases, the phage genome can replicate as an extrachromosomal genetic element in the form of a plasmid. Under stress conditions, prophage is induced, phage DNA is excised from the host chromosome, and the development switches to the lytic mode. During the permanent infection (C), the development proceeds similarly to that during the lytic cycle; however, the host cell is not lysed when progeny phages leave the bacterium; thus, production of newly formed bacteriophages and their release into the environment proceed continuously.]*
and directly) but progeny virions of the bacteriophage does not kill its bacterial host (at least not immediately cycle; however, in this case, propagating bacteriophage cycle (Pessione 2020), generally resembles the lytic cycle (Svarchevsky 1999; Li and Austin 2002). Nevertheless, irrespective of the form of lysogenization, a prophage which is an episome or a plasmid, always requires the host cell, bacteriophage DNA is integrated into the bacterial chromosome, and then it is passively replicated as a part of the nucleoid (such a form of bacteriophage is called a prophage). In some cases, the phage genome can behave as a plasmid that replicates independently from the host chromosome (Rybchin and Svarchevsky 1999; Li and Austin 2002). Nevertheless, the lysogenic development is fully dependent on the life cycle. Therefore, it appears clear that all bacteriophages necessarily use resources of killed organisms to eat them or to propagate. No phage can exploit a dead bacterial cell to perform its biological functions. Therefore, the host's death is an effect of bacteriophage propagation as a parasite rather than a way to obtain nutrients, as in the case of predation. After cell lysis, bacteriophages cannot use any compounds of such a 'prey'; thus, a killed organism is entirely useless for these viruses, indicating a great contrast to typical features of predators that first kill their prey and then eat them.

The crucial point is that in all kinds of bacteriophage development, all types of bacteriophages require living hosts to propagate. No stage of bacteriophage lytic development can proceed inside a bacterial cell that is dead. The same rule applies to the permanent infection when the living host is necessary to provide resources for continuously developing phages. The living cell is indispensable for the existence of a prophage; thus, the lysogenic development is fully dependent on the life of the host. Therefore, it appears clear that all bacteriophages meet the conditions of being parasites. They live inside the living host from which they get nutrients and energy for their propagation.

The question remains whether bacteriophages meet the conditions of being predators. Phages kill other organisms (bacteria in this case), as all predators do. However, the vital difference is that bacteriophages cannot use resources of killed organisms to eat them or to propagate. No phage can exploit a dead bacterial cell to perform its biological functions. Therefore, the host's death is an effect of bacteriophage propagation as a parasite rather than a way to obtain nutrients, as in the case of predation. After cell lysis, bacteriophages cannot use any compounds of such a 'prey'; thus, a killed organism is entirely useless for these viruses, indicating a great contrast to typical features of predators that first kill their prey and then eat them.

One more argument for classifying bacteriophages as parasites rather than predators comes from the concept of the general shifts from parasitism to mutualism, demonstrated in various biological systems. Namely, some primary parasites can evolve together with their hosts to form couples of mutualistically cooperating organisms (Paszkowski 2006; Leung and Poulin 2008; Mandyam and Jumpponen 2015; Drew et al. 2021). Importantly, a shift from parasitism to mutualism does not exist when predation is involved, as a predator could never become a symbiont. In this light, it is crucial to indicate that many bacteriophages can provide advantages to their host bacteria, primarily when occurring in the lysogenic state. They include the production of compounds that help the bacterial host to survive in the human or animal gut (Wandro et al. 2019; Dragoš et al. 2021), promotion of
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The crucial point is that in all kinds of bacteriophage development, all types of bacteriophages require living hosts to propagate. No stage of bacteriophage lytic development can proceed inside a bacterial cell that is dead. The same rule applies to the permanent infection when the living host is necessary to provide resources for continuously developing phages. The living cell is indispensable for the existence of a prophage; thus, the lysogenic development is fully dependent on the life of the host. Therefore, it appears clear that all bacteriophages meet the conditions of being parasites. They live inside the living host from which they get nutrients and energy for their propagation.

The question remains whether bacteriophages meet the conditions of being predators. Phages kill other organisms (bacteria in this case), as all predators do. However, the vital difference is that bacteriophages cannot use resources of killed organisms to eat them or to propagate. No phage can exploit a dead bacterial cell to perform its biological functions. Therefore, the host's death is an effect of bacteriophage propagation as a parasite rather than a way to obtain nutrients, as in the case of predation. After cell lysis, bacteriophages cannot use any compounds of such a 'prey'; thus, a killed organism is entirely useless for these viruses, indicating a great contrast to typical features of predators that first kill their prey and then eat them.

One more argument for classifying bacteriophages as parasites rather than predators comes from the concept of the general shifts from parasitism to mutualism, demonstrated in various biological systems. Namely, some primary parasites can evolve together with their hosts to form couples of mutualistically cooperating organisms (Paszkowski 2006; Leung and Poulin 2008; Mandyam and Jumpponen 2015; Drew et al. 2021). Importantly, a shift from parasitism to mutualism does not exist when predation is involved, as a predator could never become a symbiont. In this light, it is crucial to indicate that many bacteriophages can provide advantages to their host bacteria, primarily when occurring in the lysogenic state. They include the production of compounds that help the bacterial host to survive in the human or animal gut (Wandro et al. 2019; Dragoš et al. 2021), promotion of
the fitness of bacterial biofilms (Thingstad et al. 2015), transduction mechanisms allowing DNA exchanges among bacteria (Harrison and Brockhurst 2017), facilitation of bacterial membrane vesicles’ formation and release (Turnbull et al. 2016; Toyofuku et al. 2017), and protection of the bacterial population against protist predators (Łoś et al. 2013).

On the other hand, according to Nature Education, “In most situations, parasites do not kill their hosts” (Stevens 2010). Therefore, one might doubt if bacteriophages developing by the lytic cycle can really be called ‘parasites’. This dilemma can be solved by introducing the definition of parasitoids – a kind of parasites that exploit their hosts intensively and eventually kill them. The Nature Education indicates that contrary to classical parasites, parasitoids kill their hosts “which blur the line between parasitism and predation”, and then, which is crucial: “The major distinguishing difference between parasitoids and predators is that parasitoids feed on living tissue, whereas the predator kills its prey before, or in the process of, consuming it” (Stevens 2010). In this light, prophages (during the lysogenic cycle) and phages developing according to the permanent infection model appear to fulfill the definition of parasites. However, it would be hard to indicate that phages kill their prey before consumption (this is not the case) or even in the process of consumption of bacterial cells, as these viruses use resources of the host for their multiplication rather than ‘eat’ the bacterium. Therefore, bacteriophages do not meet the requirements indicated in the precise definition of predators and should not be classified as such.

Conclusions

In summary, all known bacteriophages exploit only living host cells to get nutriment and energy for their propagation which is a characteristic of parasites. On the contrary, although bacteriophages can kill bacterial cells, they cannot use any resources of a dead entity, in contrast to predators that kill the prey and then use them as a source of nutrients. Thus, I conclude that according to biological definitions and ecological relationships between different forms of life, bacteriophages should be classified as parasites rather than predators. This applies especially to phages occurring in host cells as prophages during the lysogenic cycle and those which propagate according to the permanent infection mode. Strictly virulent bacteriophages, which multiply solely by lytic development, can be classified as particular parasites, called parasitoids. They ultimately kill their hosts after exploiting them as sources of chemical compounds and energy required to produce phage progeny.
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