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Abstract: Recent developments in plasma science and technology have opened new areas of research both for fundamental purposes (e.g., description of key physical phenomena involved in laboratory plasmas) and novel applications (material synthesis, microelectronics, thin film deposition, biomedicine, environment, flow control, to name a few). With the increasing availability of advanced optical diagnostics (fast framing imaging, gas flow visualization, emission/absorption spectroscopy, etc.), a better understanding of the physicochemical processes taking place in different electrical discharges has been achieved. In this direction, the implementation of fast (ns) and ultrafast (ps and fs) lasers has been essential for the precise determination of the electron density and temperature, the axial and radial gradients of electric fields, the gas temperature, and the absolute density of ground-state reactive atoms and molecules in non-equilibrium plasmas. For those species, the use of laser-based spectroscopy has led to their in situ quantification with high temporal and spatial resolution, with excellent sensitivity. The present review is dedicated to the advances of two-photon absorption laser induced fluorescence (TALIF) techniques for the measurement of reactive species densities (particularly atoms such as N, H and O) in a wide range of pressures in plasmas and flames. The requirements for the appropriate implementation of TALIF techniques as well as their fundamental principles are presented based on representative published works. The limitations on the density determination imposed by different factors are also discussed. These may refer to the increasing pressure of the probed medium (leading to a significant collisional quenching of excited states), and other issues originating in the high instantaneous power density of the lasers used (such as photodissociation, amplified stimulated emission, and photoionization, resulting to the saturation of the optical transition of interest).
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1. Introduction

Following the construction of the first operating laser in 1960 by Theodore H. Maiman [1] based on a ruby crystal, a plethora of laser-based technological outcomes has emerged, and continues to be developed up to date.

The high energy density, the exceptional spatial and temporal resolution, and the selective production of monochromatic radiation over a wide wavelength range, have made lasers essential tools in industrial, medical, analytical, space-technology, and many other applications [2,3]. For instance, commercial lasers are widely used as multimode light sources in light shows for public entertainment. Additionally, they have been well-established in metallurgical industry for welding and cutting of different metals [4,5]. Their implementation in many other aspects of everyday life is also well-known, including laser projectors, printers, pointers, lithography, micromachining, medical lasers for aes-
thetic purposes, surgery and dentistry, laser microscopy, elemental analysis of numerous materials/substances (including space exploration), and many other examples [6–11]. Furthermore, many research laboratories take advantage of the unique properties of lasers in order to conduct high-level fundamental and applied research. Typical examples refer to the following:

- Scattering techniques to measure electron density and temperature (Thomson scattering), and gas temperature (Rayleigh and Raman scattering) in plasmas [12,13];
- Tunable diode laser absorption spectroscopy (TDLAS) to infer metastable species absolute densities in different electrical discharges [14–17];
- Electric field induced second harmonic (EFISH) generation for accessing electric field dynamics in plasmas [18–20];
- Femtosecond laser electronic excitation tagging (FLEET), and particle image velocimetry (PIV) to infer gas dynamics in gas discharges [21,22];
- Laser induced fluorescence through the absorption of one (LIF) and two photons (TALIF) for the determination of the absolute density of reactive molecules and atoms, respectively, in plasmas and flames [23–25].

The present review article is particularly devoted to the advances of TALIF spectroscopy for the study of reactive environments such as plasmas and flames. The manuscript is organized as follows. In Section 2, the basic theory of LIF/TALIF, and the experimental requirements for TALIF are introduced. Then, the paper focuses exclusively on the aspects of TALIF diagnostics. The reliable use of fast (ns) and ultrafast (ps/fs) lasers for the measurement of atomic species absolute densities in plasmas and flames is demonstrated in Section 3 (representative examples from the literature are discussed). Section 4 presents the major challenges encountered when using ns and ps/fs TALIF diagnostics. Finally, the principal conclusions are listed in Section 5.

2. LIF and TALIF Spectroscopy

2.1. LIF Concept

The concept of the laser induced fluorescence (LIF) technique, firstly introduced in the late 60’s by Tango et al. [26], is based on the absorption of laser radiation by species (atoms or molecules) that are generally found in their ground state, leading to their excitation to higher-energy levels. These are unstable and will decay spontaneously by emitting a photon. As so, fluorescence occurs due to the isotropic spontaneous emission of photons from those excited species through their relaxation to lower-energy levels. The density of species in the ground state can be inferred from the recording/analysis of the emitted fluorescence. LIF is a diagnostic with excellent detection sensitivity; it allows for spatial and temporal measurements of the absolute density of ground-state species in different reactive media (e.g., plasmas and flames). Usually, excitation of ground-state molecules such as OH(X) and NO(X), is induced by one laser photon, the process being known as LIF [23–25,27]. The required photon energies in this case lie in the UV spectral range and can be achieved using commercial lasers. To determine the absolute density of a species of interest, LIF signals must be adequately calibrated against a well-known reference density. In the case of single-photon excitation (LIF), calibration of the spatial profile of the energy density of the laser and the properties of the optical detection system can be achieved by means of Rayleigh scattering (RS). This is usually performed in a noble gas of a known RS cross-section using the same system as in the LIF experiment [25,27–29]. Regarding TALIF, i.e., two-photon excitation, calibration with noble gases and titration methods are commonly used (see below).

The primary processes observed in a molecular system (e.g., OH, NO, CO, etc.) when applying LIF are illustrated in Figure 1. The molecule being initially at a specific rovibrational level \((v'', J'')\) of its ground state \((G)\), absorbs a laser photon and reaches a different rovibrational level \((v', J')\) of an excited fluorescing electronic state \((E)\). The incident photon has an energy that is equal to the energy difference between levels \(E(v', J')\) and \(G(v'', J'')\). Following the species excitation, photon emission occurs from the relaxation
of the excited state to an allowed lower level, as imposed by the quantum selection rules for electronic dipole transitions [30,31]. In Figure 1, different processes can be distinguished: (1) absorption of a single UV photon (red arrow) leads to molecular excitation from the ground state \(G(v'', j'')\) to the higher-energy level \(E(v', J)\), (2) stimulated emission (blue arrow), which is induced by the laser, leads to species de-excitation back to level \(G(v'', j'')\); the loss of the density \(N\) of the laser-excited state through spontaneous emission is given by \(N \times A_{EG}\), where \(A_{EG}\) is the Einstein coefficient of spontaneous emission for the transition between levels \(E(v', J)\) and \(G(v'', j'')\). This loss process and/or collisional quenching (depending on the pressure and species type) can be dominant at low laser intensities. However, when the laser intensity is significantly increased, the loss of the excited state through stimulated emission becomes significant. In fact, the loss of the excited-state density through stimulated emission is given by \(N \times B_{EG} \times I\), where \(B_{EG}\) is the Einstein coefficient for stimulated emission between the previous levels and \(I\) is the laser intensity. Therefore, with increasing laser intensity the effect from stimulated emission increases as well, (3) quenching (black arrow) due to collisions with species of the same or different nature; it increases strongly with the medium’s pressure, and induces a non-radiative de-population of the excited state, (4) pure fluorescence (green arrows) due to spontaneous emissions following the transition from the laser-excited state (or adjacent levels due to collisions, see below) to the ground state; it is essential for the determination of the species population density. On the above processes, one should also add possible collisional energy transfers. Due to these, the laser-excited state is excited/de-excited to adjacent energy levels, which are characterized by different rovibrational quantum numbers. It leads to emissions from excited states that were not directly populated by the laser. The above processes need to be taken into account when performing LIF experiments in order to deduce the pure fluorescence signal and achieve reliable density measurements. This can be achieved using adequate reaction-rate models based on molecular systems of a few energy levels [25,32]. In the following sections, similar processes will be discussed for TALIF diagnostics.

**Figure 1.** The primary processes observed in a molecular system when applying LIF. The system is composed from electronic \((G \text{ and } E)\), vibrational \((v' \text{ and } v'')\), and rotational \((J' \text{ and } J'')\) energy levels.
2.2. TALIF Principles, Involved Theory and Experimental Requirements

In contrast to the case of LIF, for the excitation of atomic species, the required photon energies lie in the VUV spectral range, i.e., from 120 to 200 nm. For experiments performed at atmospheric-pressure conditions, this makes the evaluation of atomic densities very difficult. This is due to the strong absorption of VUV photons by atmospheric species such as oxygen. To overcome this issue, excitation of atoms is achieved through a two-step absorption process using two UV laser photons, each one having half the energy of the initially required VUV photon. This method is known as TALIF, i.e., two-photon absorption LIF. Although TALIF is a very practical method, the two-photon absorption cross-sections are much smaller than single-photon absorption cross-sections (LIF). Consequently, greater instantaneous laser power densities are required. As a TALIF application example, the laser single-photon wavelengths (or energies) needed to excite atomic hydrogen (H), nitrogen (N), and oxygen (O), to the 3d \( ^2D_{3/2,5/2} \), 3p \( ^4S_{3/2} \), and 3p \( ^3P_{1,2,0} \) excited fluorescing states, respectively, are of 102.5, 103.3, and 112.8 nm, respectively. Thus, to probe those species, two-photon excitation has been applied, with the corresponding laser wavelengths (calculated in air) of each single photon being of 205.08, 206.6, and 225.6 nm [33–36].

Concerning the calibration of atomic density measurements performed with TALIF, the two-photon excitation is a non-linear process, making thus the RS method (linear process) not applicable. In this case, calibration is performed using titration techniques or reference noble gases (such as krypton–Kr and xenon–Xe), for which the absolute density is precisely known/controlled. More details on the calibration procedure are given further in the text.

The potential use of TALIF for probing reactive atoms such as H, N and O has been demonstrated by some pioneering works in the 80’s [33,34,37,38]. Since then, a plethora of experimental and numerical studies has emerged. These studies validated the excellent capability of TALIF to determine atomic densities in flames and plasmas [28,36,39–54]. The concept of this method is depicted in Figure 2. For a given atom, a simplified three-level TALIF scheme can be employed. This figure depicts the dominant physical processes taking place after the two-photon excitation (blue) of the ground-state atom to an excited fluorescing level E2. These are the following: (1) pure fluorescence (orange) due to the radiative relaxation from E2 to a lower-energy level E3; it is necessary for the evaluation of the atom’s absolute density (see below), (2) photoionization (blue) from E2 by means of a third laser photon, (3) stimulated emission (brown) from E2 to E3, which results from a population inversion between E2 and E3, and (4) collisional quenching (grey) from E2 to other states such as the E3 and the ground state. The latter depends strongly on the pressure of the probed medium. This scheme is simpler than that of LIF shown in Figure 1 since rovibrational degrees of freedom are not associated with atomic species [31]. The above-mentioned processes will be discussed in more detail in the next sections.

It should be mentioned that the electronic transitions happening between the atomic energy levels in Figure 2 are governed by specific selection rules. These define the allowed and forbidden transitions between the different quantum levels of an atom taking into account the angular momentum and the parity of the related levels. More details can be found in [30,31,55]. For the simplified example of Figure 2, the kinetics of the population densities of the atom at its different energy states can be described using adequate rate equations. First, absorption of two laser photons is happening, which leads to the depletion of the density of the ground state \( (N_{E1}) \) and the population of the density of the excited state E2 \( (N_{E2}) \). The population and depopulation of states E1 and E2 can be described by Equations (1) and (2), as follows [36]:

\[
\frac{dN_{E1}}{dt} = -W_{E1\rightarrow E2}(t) \times N_{E1}
\]

\[
\frac{dN_{E2}}{dt} = W_{E1\rightarrow E2}(t) \times N_{E1} - N_{E2} \times \sum (A_2, Q_2, \Gamma_2, W_{E2\rightarrow E3}(t))
\]

where \( W_{E1\rightarrow E2} \) is the two-photon absorption rate from E1, and \( W_{E2\rightarrow E3} \) is the rate of stimulated emission from E2 to E3 [54]. The terms \( A_2 \) and \( Q_2 \) describe the density loss from
E2 due to spontaneous emission at different lower levels El (A2 = \sum_{q} A_{E2\rightarrow El}^{q}, including the fluorescing channel E2\rightarrow E3), and collisional quenching with different quenchers q (Q2 = \sum_{q} n_{q} k_{q}, k_{q} being a reaction rate coefficient), respectively; \(k_{q}\) values of common laser-excited atomic species such as Kr(5p'[3/2]), H(3d^{2}D_{1}), N(3s^{4}P_{3/2}), \text{Xe}(7p [3/2]_{2}), \text{and} O(3p^{3}P_{1}) are given in [36] for different collision partners. The term \(\Gamma_{2}\) in Equation (2) describes the E2 density loss due to photoionization by a third laser photon. When the depletion of E1 and E2 densities through laser excitation and photoionization/stimulated emission, respectively, is negligible, the atom will remain at E2 for a finite period of time \(\tau_{\text{nat}}\). This is known as the natural lifetime of the excited state, it depends on the investigated species, and it is equal to 1/A2. However, with increasing pressure of the probed medium, collisional quenching becomes significant depending on the excited species and the quencher nature [36]. Thus, the excited-state lifetime is decreased and its effective lifetime (\(\tau_{\text{eff}}\)) is now given by \(\tau_{\text{eff}} = 1/(A_{2} + Q_{2})\).

The quantity \(W_{E1\rightarrow E2}(t)\) is a function of the lineshape-independent two-photon absorption cross-section \(\sigma^{(2)}\) (units cm\(^4\)), the spectral overlap \(g(\delta\nu)\) factor between the atomic absorption line and the normalized laser emission line of central frequency \(\nu\), the photon statistical factor \(G^{(2)}\) (equals to 2 for the absorption of two photons from a chaotic multimode laser), and the ratio of the squared laser intensity \(I(t)\) (units W/cm\(^2\)) to the laser photon energy \(h\nu\), as follows [36,55]:

\[
W_{E1\rightarrow E2}(t) = \sigma^{(2)} g(\delta\nu) G^{(2)} \left( \frac{I(t)}{h\nu} \right)^2
\]  

(3)

The factor \(G^{(2)}\) is related to the statistical properties of the intensity fluctuations in chaotic light such as in the case of pulsed (ns, ps, fs) multimode lasers used in TALIF experiments. For the absorption of two photons from a chaotic multimode laser, \(G^{(2)}\) has a value of 2 [56]. In this case, to account for the effect on the TALIF signal of the laser intensity fluctuations, we cannot simply consider an averaged \(I(t)\). Even if the laser temporal profile is measured shot by shot, fluctuations occurring in a timescale shorter than the response time of the measurement are averaged in the measurement of \(\langle I(t)\rangle\). By multiplying, thus, the absorption rate by \(G^{(2)}\), we can avoid this issue. Considering a k-photon process and a Gaussian chaotic light, the value of \(G^{(k)}\) is given by the following equation [57]:

\[
G^{(k)} = \langle I^{k}(t) \rangle / \langle I(t) \rangle^{k} = k!
\]

where \(I(t)\) is the actual laser pulse measured by an adequately fast detector (such as a streak camera, which is capable to capture all its time variations) and the brackets denote averaging over a large number of laser shots. It should be noted that when calibration with noble gases is applied, this parameter is cancelled out. For a detailed analysis of its calculation the reader is referred to references [56–60]. Integrating Equations (2) and (3) over a long time period, one gets the total number of photons emitted per unit volume, at the fluorescence frequency of the E2\rightarrow E3 transition, as follows:

\[
N_{E2\rightarrow E3} = \frac{A_{2 \rightarrow 3}}{A_{2} + Q_{2}} N_{E1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma^{(2)} g(\delta\nu) G^{(2)} }{(h\nu)^2} \langle I^{2}(t) \rangle dt
\]

(4)

where \(\nu\) is the laser central frequency, and the quantity \(a_{2 \rightarrow 3} = \frac{A_{2 \rightarrow 3}}{A_{2} + Q_{2}}\) is the fluorescence yield. Then, the temporally–integrated fluorescence signal \(S_{D}\), which can be recorded experimentally by means of a detector (e.g., photomultiplier tube, fast camera, etc.), is expressed as follows:

\[
S_{D} = \eta TV \frac{\Delta\Omega}{4\pi} N_{E2\rightarrow E3}
\]

(5)
η being the detector’s quantum efficiency, $T$ the transmission of the different optics used (e.g., interference filters, lenses, etc.), $V$ the collection volume and $\Delta \Omega$ the solid angle of collection of the fluorescence.

Figure 2. Generalized two–photon absorption (i.e., $2 \times \frac{hc}{\lambda}$, with $h$, $c$, and $\lambda$ being the Planck’s constant, light speed, and laser excitation wavelength, respectively) and TALIF detection scheme for an atomic species.

Figure 3 depicts a simplified experimental setup that can be used to perform TALIF diagnostics, e.g., when studying an electric discharge (this holds for other reactive media as well such as flames). The discharge can be produced in different gases (e.g., $N_2$, $O_2$, $H_2$, $He/O_2/N_2$, $Ar/N_2$, etc.), and ignited over a large range of pressures (from low to atmospheric or even higher). Using adequate focusing lenses, the laser beam can be focused to the zone of TALIF collection, and then guided to an energy meter. The laser operates in pulsed mode (with ns, ps or fs pulse duration) at a typical repetition frequency of a few Hz.
(higher frequencies can also be available [45,61]). A selection of pulsed lasers used in the literature for probing very common reactive atoms (H, N, and O) in gas discharges and flames is given in Tables 1 and 2 referring to ns– and ps/fs–TALIF, respectively. As already mentioned, a feature of the fluorescence is that it is emitted isotropically. The related transitions lie in the VIS–NIR spectral range (see Tables 1 and 2). Thus, it can be collected at various angles with respect to the laser beam. However, in most of the cases, TALIF is acquired at a 90° angle, as shown in Figure 3, to achieve the optimal spatial resolution while filtering out the laser beam and stimulated emission spatially. The collection of fluorescence is achieved using another focusing lens and a narrow bandpass filter to filter out any stray light that can interfere with the TALIF signal. In many works, high-resolution spectrometers are preferred for the precise selection of the wavelength of interest [62]. The TALIF signal is then recorded with fast detectors mounted at the exit of the spectrometer. These must present time responses that are much shorter than the characteristic decay time of the fluorescing species in order to record the signal reliably. A representative example can be found in [61]. The authors measured by means of ns–TALIF the atomic oxygen density in a microwave plasma jet operating in a gas mixture of He and dry air at atmospheric pressure. The laser used had a pulse duration of a few ns and peak energy of 1–3 μJ, and was operated at a repetition frequency of 1–2 kHz. TALIF was detected using a monochromator coupled with a photomultiplier tube, which was operated in the photon counting mode achieving a time resolution of 1 ns. This resolution was sufficient to resolve temporally the TALIF decay.

![Figure 3](https://example.com/figure3.png)

**Figure 3.** Simplified representation of an experimental arrangement typically used to perform TALIF diagnostics in a gas discharge.
Table 1. Excitation schemes and laser characteristics used in different ns–TALIF studies to probe the most common atomic species (H, N and O) in plasmas and flames.

| Conditions | Laser Used | Pulse Duration/Energy | Pulse Frequency | Species: Fluorescence Transition | Laser/TALIF Wavelength (nm) | Reference |
|------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| ArF        | 4–5 ns/2 mJ | 10 Hz                 | H: n = 3 → n = 2 | 205.6/656.3                      | [33]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | 10 ns/0.5 mJ | 20 Hz                 | H: n = 3 → n = 2 | 205.6/656.3                      | [63]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | ∼50–60 µJ | -                     | H: 3d²D₃/₂,5/₂ → 2p²P₁/2,3/₂ | 205.08/656.3                  | [64]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | 6 ns/0.3–0.7 mJ | 10 Hz | H: 3d²D₃/₂,5/₂ → 2p²P₁/2,3/₂ | 205.6/656.3                    | [65]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | 6 ns/250 µJ | 10 Hz                 | H: 3d²D₃/₂,5/₂ → 2p²P₁/2,3/₂ | 205.08/656.3                  | [42]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | ∼4 mJ | -                     | N: 2p³P₁/2 → 2p²3s⁴P | 211/869                         | [48]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | ∼150 µJ | -                     | N: (3p)⁴S₀/₁/₂ → (3s)⁴P | 207/745                         | [66]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | 5 ns/130 µJ | 20 Hz | N: (3p)⁴S₀/₁/₂ → (3s)⁴P₁/₂,3/₂,5/₂ | 206.7/742–747                 | [67]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | 5 ns/1 mJ | 10 Hz                 | N: (3p)⁴S₀/₁/₂ → (3s)⁴P₁/₂,3/₂,5/₂ | 206.65/742–746                | [68]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | ∼80–370 µJ | -                     | N: (3p)⁴S₀/₁/₂ → (3s)⁴P₁/₂,3/₂,5/₂ | 211/868                         | [69]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | 10 ns/5 mJ | 10 Hz                 | N: (3p)⁴S₀/₁/₂ → (3s)⁴P₁/₂,3/₂,5/₂ | 206.72/742–746                | [70]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | 8 ns/- | -                     | N: (3p)⁴S₀/₁/₂ → (3s)⁴P₁/₂,3/₂,5/₂ | 206.65/744                    | [71]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | 6.5 ns/3 mJ | 10 Hz | N: (3p)⁴S₀/₁/₂ → (3s)⁴P₁/₂,3/₂,5/₂ | 206.7/742–746                 | [72]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | 5 ns/≤2.5 mJ | - | O: 3p³P → 3s⁵S | 226/845                         | [73]                         |
| Excimer + Dye | ∼150 µJ | -                     | O: 3p⁵P → 3s⁵S | 226/777                         | [74]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | 5 ns/≤5.2 mJ | - | O: 3p³P → 3s⁵S | 226/845                         | [75]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | ∼185 µJ | -                     | O: 3p³P → 3s⁵S | 226/845                         | [76]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | ∼350 µJ | -                     | O: 3p³P₂ → 3s⁵S₁ | 225.582/844.6                 | [77]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | 5 ns/4 mJ | 10 Hz                 | O: 3p³P₁,₂,₀ → 3s⁵S | 225.65/844.87                 | [78]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | 5 ns/4 mJ | 10 Hz                 | O: 3p³P₁,₂,₀ → 3s⁵S | 225/844.87                    | [79]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | 5 ns/4 mJ | 10 Hz                 | O: 3p³P₁,₂,₀ → 3s⁵S | 225.65/844.87                 | [80]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | ∼1–3 µJ | 1–2 kHz               | O: 3p³P₁,₂,₀ → 3s⁵S | 225.586/844.68                | [61]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | ∼0.1 mJ | -                     | O: 3p³P₁,₂,₀ → 3s⁵S | 226/844.68                    | [81]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | ∼1–200 µJ | 10 Hz                 | O: 3p³P₁,₂,₀ → 3s⁵S₁ | 225.58/844.6                 | [82]                         |
| Tuneable diode | -/- | 30 MHz/20 Hz | O: 3p³P₁,₂,₀ → 3s⁵S₁ | 225.6/844.6 | [83] |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | 5 ns/0.3–0.5 mJ | 10 Hz | O: 3p³P₁,₂,₀ → 3s⁵S₁ | 225.58/844.6                  | [84]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | 20 ns/0.4 mJ | - | O: 3p³P → 3s⁵S | 225.6/844.6                    | [85]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | 7 ns/50–100 µJ | - | O: 3p³P → 3s⁵S | 226/845                         | 211/869                      | [35]     |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | 7 ns/3 mJ | -                     | O: 3p³P → 3s⁵S | 226/845                         | 205/656.3                    | [86]     |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | 8 ns/0.5 mJ | 10 Hz                 | H: 3d²D₃/₂,5/₂ → 2p²P₁/2,3/₂,5/₂ | 205.08/656.3                  | [36]                         |
| Nd:YAG + Dye | ∼200 µJ | -                     | N: (3p)⁴D₁/₂ → (3s)⁴P | 210.788/868.027                | [87]                         |
Table 2. Excitation schemes and laser characteristics used in different ps– and fs–TALIF studies to probe the most common atomic species (H, N and O) in plasmas and flames.

| Conditions | Laser/Species | Pulse Duration/Energy | Pulse Frequency | Species: Fluorescence Transition | Laser/TALIF Wavelength (nm) | Reference |
|------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Nd:YAG+ Dye | Nd:YAG+ Dye   | 10 ps/20 μJ           | 10 Hz           | H: n = 3 → n = 2               | 205/656.3                   | [48]     |
| Nd:YAG+ Dye | Nd:YAG+ Dye   | 70 ps/0.3 mJ          | 20 Hz           | H: n = 3 → n = 2               | 205/656.3                   | [48]     |
| Nd:YAG+ Dye | Nd:YAG+ Dye   | 100 ps/0.4 mJ         | 20 Hz           | H: n = 3 → n = 2               | 205.144/656.3               | [53]     |
| Nd:YAG+ Dye | Nd:YAG+ Dye   | 100 fs/62 mW          | 1 kHz           | N: (3p)4S\(^{3/2}\) → (3s)4P\(_{1/2,3/2,5/2}\) | 206.65/742–746               | [62]     |
| Nd:YAG+ Dye | Nd:YAG+ Dye   | 10 ps/40 ± 20 μJ      | 10 Hz           | O: 3p\(^3P\) → 3s\(^3S\)      | 226/845                     | [47]     |
| Nd:YAG+ Dye | Nd:YAG+ Dye   | 55 ps/3 μJ            | 20 Hz           | O: 3p\(^3P\) → 3s\(^3S\)      | 225.655/845                  | [49]     |
| Nd:YAG+ Dye | Nd:YAG+ Dye   | 55 ps/2–1200 μJ       | 20 Hz           | O: 3p\(^3P\) → 3s\(^3S\)      | 225.655/845                  | [52]     |
| Nd:YAG+ Dye | Nd:YAG+ Dye   | 100 ps/600 μJ         | -               | O: 3p\(^3P\) → 3s\(^3S\)      | 225.655/845                  | [51]     |
| Ti: Sapphire | Ti: Sapphire  | 100 fs/13 μJ         | 1 kHz           | O: 3p\(^3P\)_1,2,0 → 3s\(^3S\)| 225.655/844.87               | [45]     |
| Ti: Sapphire | Ti: Sapphire  | 100 fs/12 μJ         | 1 kHz           | O: 3p\(^3P\)_1,2,0 → 3s\(^3S\)| 225.59/844.65                | [50]     |
| Nd:YAG      | Nd:YAG        | 30 ps/35 μJ           | 10 Hz           | H\(_{K}\): n = 3 → n = 2       | 205.08/656.3                 | [44]     |
| Nd:YAG      | Nd:YAG        | 30 ps/24 μJ           | 10 Hz           | O: 3p\(^3P\)_1,2,0 → 3s\(^3S\)| 205.08/656.3                 | [44]     |
| Ti: Sapphire | Ti: Sapphire  | 100 fs/10 μJ         | 10 kHz          | H\(_{K}\): n = 3 → n = 2       | 205.08/656.27                | [44]     |
| Ti: Sapphire | Ti: Sapphire  | 100 fs/15 μJ         | 1 kHz           | O: 3p\(^3P\)_1,2,0 → 3s\(^3S\)| 225.59/844.65                | [44]     |

The evaluation of Equation (5) and, thus, the direct determination of species absolute densities with TALIF is challenging. This is because the measurement of the two-photon absorption cross-sections of different atoms is difficult due to their very low values. Besides, the solid angle and volume of collection of the fluorescence cannot be measured with an acceptable accuracy. To overcome geometrical issues, an adequate calibration method has been firstly introduced in reference [92], and has been widely employed in the literature to perform atomic density measurements with TALIF (see Tables 1 and 2). It is based on the TALIF application in noble gases of well–known absolute densities. These are not reactive and are chosen so that the laser excitation wavelengths are very close to those of the species of interest. For instance, for the calibration of the density measurements of H/N and O atoms, TALIF is usually applied to krypton (Kr) and xenon (Xe) gases, respectively. Typical corresponding TALIF schemes are shown in Figure 4.

Figure 4 illustrates the UV laser wavelengths needed for two–photon excitation of H, N, O, Kr and Xe from their ground to different excited fluorescing states. The corresponding fluorescence wavelengths are also shown. Based on these schemes and Equations (4) and (5), the absolute density of a species of interest (N\(_X\)) is obtained, knowing the absolute density of the calibrating species (N\(_C\)), as follows:

\[
N_X = N_C \left( \frac{\eta T}{\nu_0} \right)_C \left( \int_0^\infty I(t)dt \right)_C \frac{\delta \omega_X C^{(2)}_X}{\nu_0^2} \frac{\nu_C}{\nu_X} \frac{g(\nu_X)}{g(\nu_C)} \frac{S_D X}{S_D C} \tag{6}
\]

In Equation (6), \( \int_0^\infty I(t)dt \) is proportional to \( E^2_{Laser} \), \( E_{Laser} \) being the laser pulse energy measured experimentally, with \( \int_0^\infty I(t)dt = E_{Laser} / A \), where A is the beam diameter at the measurement position. Thus, when the space-time features of the laser beam are identical for species X and C, the time integral in Equation (6) can be replaced by \( E^2_{Laser} \) [36,45]. Besides, \( \nu_0, \delta \omega, \) and \( C^{(2)} \) are the same for both species and they are canceled out. The values of \( \eta \) and \( T \) corresponding to each species are obtained from the response at the wavelength of interest of the optics and the detector used. Furthermore, \( \nu_0 \) is the laser central frequency (or wavelength) used to induce the two-photon excitation, and \( g(\nu_0) \) is the peak of the two-photon absorption line profile. For instance, in the case of a Gaussian
Table 3. Relative cross-section values, typical natural lifetimes, and quenching coefficients (two common quenchers, N$_2$ and O$_2$, are given; for more species see references [34,78]) for reactive (H, N, O) and calibrating (Kr, Xe) atomic species commonly used in TALIF diagnostics.

| Atom-Excited State | \(\sigma^{(2)}\) (Relative) | \(\tau_{\text{nat}}\) (ns) | \(k_q\) \((10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1})\) |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| \(\text{N: (3p)}^4\text{S}_{3/2}\) | \(\frac{\sigma^{(2)}}{\sigma^{(1)}} = 0.67 \pm 50\% [36]\) | 29.6 [36] | 0.41 [36] 6.63 [36] |
| \(\text{H: 3d}^2\text{D}_{3/2,5/2}\) | \(\frac{\sigma^{(2)}}{\sigma^{(1)}} = 0.62 \pm 50\% [36]\) | 17.6 [36] | 20.1 [36] 32.6 [36] |
| \(\text{O: 3p}^3\text{P}_{1/2,0}\) | \(\frac{\sigma^{(2)}}{\sigma^{(1)}} = 0.36 \pm 50\% [36]\) \(\frac{\sigma^{(2)}}{\sigma^{(1)}} = 0.51 \pm 50\% [92]\) \(\frac{\sigma^{(2)}}{\sigma^{(1)}} = 1.9 \pm 20\% [78]\) \(\text{(for Xe: 7p} [3/2]_2)\) | 35.1 [36] 34.7 \pm 1.7 [78] | 5.9 [36] 9.3 [36] |
Table 3. Cont.

| Atom-Excited State | \(\sigma^2(\text{Relative})\) | \(\tau_{\text{nat}}(\text{ns})\) | \(k_q\left(10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3\text{s}^{-1}\right)\) |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Kr: \(5p'[3/2]\) | \(\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_N^2} = 0.67 \pm 50\% [36]\) | 34.1 [36] | 3.35[36] 6.64[36] |
| Xe: \(7p[3/2]\) | \(\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_N^2} = 0.36 \pm 50\% [36]\) \(\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_O^2} = 0.51 \pm 50\% [92]\) | 105.6 [36] | 14 [36] 20.6 [36] |
| Xe: \(6p'[3/2]\) | \(\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_O^2} = 1.9 \pm 20\% [78]\) | 40.8 ± 2 [78] | 9.4 ± 0.5 [36] |

For H, N and O, corresponding theoretical and/or experimental values of \(\sigma^2\) have been given in [35,59,93–96]. These depend on the species nature, the gas pressure, and the laser spectral characteristics. In different published works (e.g., in [35,93,95]), the two-photon absorption cross-sections can be found in the following units:

- cm\(^4\), which is the atomic lineshape-independent cross-section (\(\sigma^2\)) depending only on the nature of the atom;
- cm\(^4\cdot\text{s}\), resulting from the product: \(\sigma^2\cdot g(\delta \nu)C^2\);  
- cm\(^4\cdot\text{W}^{-1}\), resulting from the product: \(2\pi\sigma^2\cdot g(\delta \nu)C^2/\nu\), \(\nu\) being the laser photon frequency.

As an example, in the case of atomic oxygen the two–photon absorption cross-sections for the \(2p^3P_2 \rightarrow 3p^3P_{2,1,0}\) transition have been measured experimentally in [59]. They were found to be of \(2.66 \times 10^{-35}\) cm\(^4\), \(4.85 \times 10^{-46}\) cm\(^4\cdot\text{s}\), and \(5.5 \times 10^{-28}\) cm\(^4\cdot\text{W}^{-1}\), respectively.

Another necessity when performing absolute density measurements of atomic species is the selection of appropriate laser energies, for which the consideration of Equations (5) and (6) is valid. This happens only when the measured TALIF signal intensity (\(S_D\)) is proportional to the squared laser energy (\(E_{\text{Laser}}^2\)). This condition is known as the quadratic regime of excitation, and is achieved when the following two requirements are fulfilled [55]:

1. The depletion by the laser radiation of the species ground-state by two-photon absorption is negligible. This is true when the corresponding inverse of the two-photon absorption rate (i.e., \(1/\tau_{E_1 \rightarrow E_2}(t), \text{units in sec}\)) is much higher than the duration of the laser pulse.
2. The depletion by photoionization (PIN) and amplified stimulated emission (ASE) of the laser-excited state is significantly lower than that due to spontaneous emission (A) and collisional quenching (Q). The implication of those processes in the TALIF scheme will be discussed in more detail in the next section.

Therefore, for reliable TALIF experiments, the quadratic regime must be guaranteed for both the calibrating and the reactive species of interest. This can be easily verified experimentally, by determining \(S_D\) at various laser energies, and then plotting it as a function of \(E_{\text{Laser}}\), as shown in Figure 5 (results obtained by our group when applying ps-TALIF in Kr at 4 mbar, also see reference [97] for different pressures and detailed analysis). In [45], fs-TALIF was performed in an atmospheric-pressure He–O\(_2\) plasma jet to determine the absolute density of O atoms produced in the plasma. The O–atom density calibration was performed using Xe gas. For O and Xe atoms, the quadratic regime was obtained for \(E_{\text{Laser}}\) lower than 20 and 8 \(\mu\)J/pulse, respectively. Thus, the authors chose to perform TALIF experiments at \(E_{\text{Laser}} = 13\) and 5.5 \(\mu\)J/pulse, respectively, to achieve reliable density...
measurements. It should be noted that, for obtaining the quadratic regime, they constructed a laser sheet, thus keeping the average laser intensity as low as 6.7 W/cm² (i.e., similar to that of a ns–TALIF system used in the same studies [91]), while maintaining a peak intensity of ~6.7 × 10¹⁰ W/cm² being much higher than that of ns–TALIF (8 × 10⁷ W/cm²). Another noteworthy point is that, for strongly focused beams, the use of a similar fs-TALIF system in N and Kr atoms resulted to laser peak intensities as high as ~4 TW/cm², thus leading to saturation phenomena not allowing for the quadratic regime to be obtained [62]. In another work [65], the absolute density of H atoms in a ns-pulsed Ar plasma jet was evaluated by means of ns–TALIF. The H–atom density measurements were calibrated by means of ns–TALIF in Kr atoms. The quadratic regime was obtained for both atoms. Finally, using ns–TALIF in the afterglow of a ns-pulsed discharge produced in N₂ at 27 mbar, Chng et al. [72] determined the absolute density of N atoms. The N–atom density calibration was achieved using Kr gas. For both species, the evolution of the TALIF signal intensity versus the laser intensity was plotted in log scales. The resulting graphs yielded slopes of ~2, confirming the quadratic regime for $E_{\text{Laser}} = 85$ µJ/pulse (N atom) and 30 µJ/pulse (Kr atom).

![Figure 5](image_url)

**Figure 5.** Time–integrated ps–TALIF signal ($S_D$, stars) in Kr at 4 mbar as a function of $E_{\text{Laser}}$. This was obtained by integrating TALIF signals such as those given in the inset for different $E_{\text{Laser}}$ (quadratic regime). These correspond to the colored stars in the $S_D$ plot versus $E_{\text{Laser}}$. 

*Slope: 1.92 ± 0.7
R²: 0.985*
3. Fast (ns) and Ultrafast (ps/fs) TALIF: Probing Atomic Species in Plasmas, Flames, and/or Gases

The unique features of TALIF spectroscopy have made it ideal for accessing absolute densities of reactive atoms (notably H, N, and O) in plasmas and flames. Indeed, TALIF allows for the in situ, non–intrusive, and selective probing of those atoms with high spatial (mm–µm) and temporal (ns–fs) resolution [23,24]. Particularly, ps/fs–TALIF features are suitable for experiments carried out at intermediate and high pressures (atmospheric or higher), where the investigated media are described by relatively small dimensions and high spatio–temporal density gradients. Thus, a better understanding of species kinetics and production pathways in chemically reactive media can be reached. Ultimately, the use of fast and ultrafast TALIF may lead to the optimization and control of the production of reactive species for diverse applications, which can be achieved by manipulating critical operating parameters of plasmas and flames (e.g., electrode geometry, driving voltage amplitude, operating gas or gas mixture, air–fuel ratio, etc.). This section provides representative examples from the literature, which demonstrate the efficacy and necessity of fast (ns) and ultrafast (ps/fs) TALIF diagnostics for fundamental studies of plasmas and flames.

3.1. Fast (ns) TALIF

The first evidence of the pertinence of ns–TALIF for probing atomic hydrogen and deuterium was reported by Bokor et al. [33] in 1981. H atoms were excited from the \( n = 1 \) to the \( n = 3 \) levels using two UV photons (\( 2 \times 205 \) nm), and the subsequent fluorescence was detected at 656.3 nm. H–atom was produced by flowing mixtures of H\(_2\) and D\(_2\) in a DC discharge, and was probed by a tuneable ArF* excimer laser. This work opened up new possibilities for probing by means of TALIF the atomic hydrogen as well as other reactive atomic species. Indeed, soon after that study, Bischel et al. demonstrated the capacity of ns–TALIF to probe N and O atoms in a flow discharge [34,35]. Ground–state N and O atoms were excited to the \( 2p^2p^4D_0 \) and \( 3p^3P \) levels, respectively, using two UV photons (\( 2 \times 211 \) nm and \( 2 \times 226 \) nm, respectively). The corresponding fluorescence signals were detected at 869 nm (\( 2p^2p^4D_0 \rightarrow 2p^23s^3P \) transition) and 845 nm (\( 3p^3P \rightarrow 3s^3S \)). In [35], the natural lifetimes and quenching rate constants (considering N\(_2\) as quencher) of N and O atoms were measured. Around that time, Aldén et al. [37] demonstrated the capacity of ns–TALIF to probe N and O atoms in a flow discharge [34,35]. Ground–state N and O atoms were excited to the \( 2p^2p^4D_0 \) and \( 3p^3P \) levels, respectively, using two UV photons (\( 2 \times 211 \) nm and \( 2 \times 226 \) nm, respectively). The corresponding fluorescence signals were detected at 869 nm (\( 2p^2p^4D_0 \rightarrow 2p^23s^3P \) transition) and 845 nm (\( 3p^3P \rightarrow 3s^3S \)). In [35], the natural lifetimes and quenching rate constants (considering N\(_2\) as quencher) of N and O atoms were measured. Around that time, Aldén et al. [37] demonstrated the capacity of ns–TALIF to detect atomic oxygen in a lean acetylene/oxygen flame. Following these pioneering works, numerous ns–TALIF studies were reported, for detecting H, N, and O atoms in flames and plasmas. Some relevant examples are given in this section.

In 1986, Meler et al. [86] used ns–TALIF to determine absolute number densities and collisional quenching rate constants (considering different quenchers such as He, Ar, O\(_2\), H\(_2\), H\(_2\)O, CH\(_4\), and C\(_2\)H\(_2\)) of H and O atoms in hydrogen–oxygen flames. Atomic absolute densities were obtained through comparison of the respective TALIF signal intensities with those obtained from known concentrations in a discharge flow reactor. Atomic collisional quenching rate constants were evaluated from fluorescence decay curves recorded at increasing concentrations of the quenchers. The range of pressures studied was 0.5–10 mbar. Furthermore, the authors showed the dependence on the gas temperature (293–698 K range) of the quenching rate constants of H and O atoms using different collision partners (H\(_2\), H\(_2\)O, OH, NO, NO\(_2\), etc.). Laser–induced photolytic effects were possible at the highest laser power densities. A more detailed description of photochemical effects appearing during ns–TALIF application in hydrogen–oxygen flames was studied in [73]. In an atmospheric pressure flame, high O–atom densities were measured in the post–flame gases, and increased at higher laser power densities. However, in the case of a 72 Torr flame, photochemical production of O atoms was negligible. In 1991, Westblom et al. [46] investigated low– and high–pressure nitrogen-containing flames (such as NH\(_3\)/O\(_2\) flames). They demonstrated that atomic nitrogen can be detected in those flames using ns–TALIF. They also found that N–atom can both be produced by the flame alone and by laser–induced photodissociation of nitrogen-containing molecules, which was induced by the
laser radiation. Similarly, H–atom TALIF was performed in [98] to investigate the role of laser–induced molecular dissociation in the production of H atoms in silane and methane plasmas. The formation of H atoms through photodissociation by the laser was dominant with respect to that owed to the discharge alone.

The determination by ns–TALIF of H–atom concentration (N\textsubscript{H}) in a 10 MHz discharge operating in pure hydrogen at 3 Torr was achieved in [63]. The authors performed axial and temporal measurements of N\textsubscript{H} along the interelectrode gap (19 mm) with a spatial resolution of 100 µm. The calibration of the TALIF signals was done in a discharge flow reactor using a titration technique (for more details, see also [41]). The experiments were coupled to a numerical diffusion model, revealing that diffusion from the source to the interelectrode region determined the distribution of plasma–produced H atoms. When the discharge was turned off, diffusion to the electrode surfaces determined the steady–state density and the decay of H atoms. In 1998, Adams et al. [66] proposed a better TALIF excitation scheme for atomic nitrogen compared to that used in earlier works. N–atom production was achieved using a microwave flowing N\textsubscript{2} discharge generated in a quartz tube. In fact, instead of considering a 2 × 211 nm excitation of ground–state N atom to the 2p\textsuperscript{3}p\textsuperscript{1}D\textsuperscript{0} level, and subsequent fluorescence detection at 869 nm (2p\textsuperscript{3}p\textsuperscript{1}D\textsuperscript{0} → 2p\textsuperscript{3}S\textsuperscript{1}P), they used a 2 × 207 nm excitation to the 3p\textsuperscript{4}S\textsubscript{3/2} level, and fluorescence detection at 745 nm (3p\textsuperscript{4}S\textsubscript{3/2} → 3s\textsuperscript{4}P). The two–photon excitation rate at 207 nm was 3.5 times larger than that at 211 nm. Moreover, the ratio of the corresponding TALIF signal intensities (I\textsubscript{207}/I\textsubscript{211}) was of 8.6 ± 0.9 and 14.8 ± 1.5 at 2 and 6 Torr, respectively. Consequently, this N–TALIF scheme has been widely employed in subsequent works. In another study, Goehlich et al. [92] used ns–TALIF and titration methods, and managed to measure with 50% uncertainty the ratio between the two–photon absorption cross–sections (σ\textsuperscript{(2)}) of Xe and O atoms. This was a novel calibration technique at that time, which facilitated the measurement by means of ns–TALIF of the O–atom absolute density in a capacitively coupled rf discharge. In 2001, Niemi et al. [36] used similar methods and obtained useful σ\textsuperscript{(2)} ratios (also with 50% uncertainty) for the following pairs of atomic species: Kr–H, Kr–N, and Xe–O (for more details on the ratio values, refer to the previous section). Additionally, they were able to evaluate the natural lifetimes and the quenching coefficients (using H\textsubscript{2}, N\textsubscript{2}, O\textsubscript{2}, He, Ar, Kr, Xe, and CH\textsubscript{4} as quenchers) of the following commonly encountered fluorescing atomic states: Kr(5p\textsuperscript{3}3/2), H(3d\textsuperscript{2}D\textsuperscript{1}), N(3s\textsuperscript{4}P\textsubscript{3/2}), Xe(7p\textsuperscript{3}3/2), and O(3p\textsuperscript{3}P).

Following the works of Goehlich et al. [92] and Niemi et al. [36], numerous research groups have been using ns–TALIF for studying different discharge plasmas. In 2002, Mazouffre et al. [67] investigated the production of N\textsuperscript{(4)}S atoms in a thermal N\textsubscript{2} plasma jet. Both axial and radial density measurements were performed, which were coupled with axial/radial atomic temperature and velocity profiles, allowing for the kinetics of N\textsuperscript{(4)}S atom to be analyzed. In 2005, the accuracy of the measured value of the two-photon absorption cross–section ratio of σ\textsuperscript{(2)}\textsubscript{Xe}/σ\textsuperscript{(2)}\textsubscript{O} from [36,92] was improved by Niemi et al. [78] (see previous section for corresponding values). The authors also performed measurements of the absolute O–atom density, quenching coefficients by He, Ar, and O\textsubscript{2}, and radiative lifetimes of O atoms in the effluent of a He–O\textsubscript{2} rf atmospheric pressure plasma jet (APPI). Similarly, O–TALIF studies coupled with optical emission spectroscopy (OES) were performed in a planar rf He–O\textsubscript{2} plasma jet [99]. The measured O–atom density close to the jet’s nozzle was of 10\textsuperscript{16} cm\textsuperscript{−3} decreasing by 99% at a distance of 10 cm from the nozzle, which was also supported by OES studies of excited O atoms. The quantification via ns–TALIF of N atoms in an atmospheric pressure N\textsubscript{2} dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) was reported in [100]. Studies were performed under two discharge modes, i.e., homogeneous and filamentary. For both modes, the maximum densities reached values up to 3 × 10\textsuperscript{14} cm\textsuperscript{−3} (measured with 25% accuracy). The density in the case of the homogeneous discharge was measured versus the axial position, the gas flow rate, and the power dissipated in the plasma. The parameter which governed the N–atom production was the power/energy dissipated in the plasma. Furthermore, in 2012, Teramoto et al. [101] used ns–TALIF to probe N atoms produced by a pulsed atmospheric pressure corona discharge operating
with \( \text{N}_2 \) or \( \text{N}_2/\text{O}_2 \) gases. The laser beam was spread to obtain a laser sheet covering a discharge volume of 10 mm in length, 2 mm in width, and 0.1 mm in thickness. Both space- and time–resolved density measurements were performed. The atomic nitrogen absolute density increased with the discharge energy and decreased with increasing distance from the powered electrode. For a \( \text{N}_2 \) discharge, the production of N atoms happened during the primary streamer event, while for a \( \text{N}_2/\text{O}_2 \) discharge, it happened during the secondary streamer event.

The spatial distribution of laser–excited atomic oxygen in a RF Ar/Air APPJ was investigated in [102]. All measurements were performed 10 µs after the plasma was switched off to avoid the strong time–modulated background from the plasma emission, which reduced the TALIF signal–to–noise ratio. The spatial resolution was of 100 µm and 1 mm in the radial and axial direction, respectively. The effect on the quenching of O (3p\(^3P\)) atom of the varying air concentration in the jet was studied. It was shown that the collisional quenching by air depends on the radial/axial distance from the jet’s nozzle, and needs to be taken into account when performing spatially resolved O–atom density measurements with TALIF. Mrkvičková et al. [64] determined the absolute density of H atoms in a pulse–modulated Ar/H\(_2\) surface DBD operating at atmospheric pressure. The authors were able to record both space– and time–resolved H–atom densities. The plotted temporal/tangential distribution of H–atom concentration at a distance of 1 mm above the dielectric surface revealed significant temporal/spatial density gradients. Finally, we mention two characteristic ns–TALIF studies, which were published very recently. First, Yue et al. [42] determined the absolute density of H atoms in a 1 kHz-modulated rf–driven plasma jet in He–H\(_2\). The generation, transport, and decay of H atoms along the jet axis were effectively measured, and the obtained results were reproduced by a pseudo–1D numerical model. The effect on the H–atom density of the power modulation was not significant in the plasma effluent, being mainly noticeable in the plasma generation zone. Second, Chng et al. [72] evaluated the absolute density of N atoms in the afterglow of a nanosecond capillary discharge operating in \( \text{N}_2 \) at 27 mbar. The experiments were supported by numerical calculations, improving the understanding of N–atom kinetics in this discharge type. Their measurements revealed a maximal N–atom density of \( 1.29 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3} \), corresponding to a dissociation degree of \( \sim 9.7\% \), while the energy efficiency of the atomic nitrogen production was of 10 atoms/100 eV.

3.2. Ultrafast (ps/fs) TALIF

In many cases, chemically reactive media such as plasmas and flames are characterized by strong spatial and temporal gradients of the density of reactive species. In these media, the collisional frequency increases with increasing pressure, and species lifetimes decrease drastically depending on the nature of the investigated species and quenchers [36]. Under these conditions, the use of ultrafast instead of fast lasers is preferable for a more reliable evaluation of species quenching rate constants, lifetimes, and absolute densities. This section provides representative examples from the literature, demonstrating the suitability of ultrafast fluorescence spectroscopy for studying atomic species kinetics in flames and plasmas.

The first use of an ultrafast fluorescence technique was reported by Bergano et al. in 1983 [103], assessing collisional quenching rates and lifetimes of OH radicals in atmospheric pressure methane–air flames. The width of the laser pulse used was of 5 ps and the laser spectral bandwidth was of 0.03 nm. Ground–state OH radicals were excited at 307 nm. The fluorescence signal was recorded with a streak camera with a temporal resolution of \( \sim 150 \) ps. That work opened up new possibilities on the application of ultrafast fluorescence spectroscopy to the experimental study of chemically reactive media. Indeed, using ps–TALIF, Agrup et al. [47] investigated the fluorescence and the amplified stimulated emission (ASE) from O atoms in a \( \text{H}_2/\text{O}_2 \) flame. The width of the laser pulses was of 10 ps and the laser pulse energy of 40 \( \pm 20 \) µJ. ASE was produced due to a laser-induced population inversion between the two excited levels of O atom, increasing along the laser beam.
ASE was measured using a streak camera, revealing a similar temporal shape to that of the leading edge of the laser pulse. The fluorescence signal intensity was much weaker compared to that of ASE, and was recorded using a photomultiplier tube (1 ns time resolution). The lifetime of the fluorescing atomic state was measured to be between 160 ± 20 ps and 1 ns. The same group used ps–TALIF and performed measurements of the collisional quenching of H atoms in an atmospheric pressure H\textsubscript{2}/O\textsubscript{2} flame [48]. The fluorescence signal was recorded by means of a streak camera offering a temporal resolution of a few ps. The lifetime of the laser–excited H atom varied between 60 and 105 ps in the reaction zone of the flame, while it was of 90 ps in the post–flame region. Similarly to the previous study, ASE presented a high magnitude and led to a significant depletion of the laser–excited state. ASE happened mostly within the laser pulse duration, and extended to a duration of about 50 ps after the end of the laser pulse.

In 2003, Settersten et al. [89] studied by means of ps–TALIF (laser pulse duration of 55 ps, 1 cm\textsuperscript{−1} linewidth) the formation of atomic oxygen in hydrocarbon flames. They aimed particularly for the identification of photolytic precursor molecules perturbing the TALIF signal. The laser beam diameter in the measurement volume was of 60 \(\mu\text{m}\) and the laser pulse energy of 3 \(\mu\text{J}\). Experiments were coupled with a one–dimensional flame model, and showed significant interferences from vibrationally excited CO\textsubscript{2} at the fuel side of the flame. Shortly after, Frank et al. [51] used ps–TALIF and performed spatially– (two–dimensional) and temporally–resolved mappings of O atoms in a CH\textsubscript{4}/O\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} flame with negligible photolytic interference. The recorded O–TALIF signals provided accurate measurements of O atom profiles in the flame. Kulatilaka et al. [53] achieved interference–free planar (by creating a laser sheet) ps–TALIF experiments and determined the atomic hydro–gen density in CH\textsubscript{4}/O\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} flames. The laser sheet dimensions were of 2.5 mm \(\times\) 200 \(\mu\text{m}\). In this case, the laser fluence was less than 0.08 J.cm\textsuperscript{−2}. The single–shot atomic density detection limit was of 10\textsuperscript{16} cm\textsuperscript{−3} for equivalence ratios between 0.8 and 1.5. After recording H–atom TALIF signals at different axial positions along the flame, the authors constructed averaged 2D H–atom TALIF images of the flames. These revealed enhanced H–atom densities at the flame tip. Finally, our group has recently initiated ps–TALIF studies (10 ps laser pulses) in Kr gas in order to define conditions (in terms of laser intensity) allowing for the quadratic regime to be obtained [97]. Our results suggest that, for laser intensities well–below than 18 MW cm\textsuperscript{−2}, Kr atom can be used as a calibrating species for measuring by means of ps–TALIF the absolute densities and quenching coefficients of H and N atoms in plasmas and flames.

With respect to fs–TALIF application in flames and gases, the spatial imaging of atomic hydrogen in a CH\textsubscript{4}/O\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} flame was achieved using planar fs–TALIF [54]. The peak laser pulse energy was of 8 \(\mu\text{J}\) at 205 nm. The laser beam was collimated to a diameter of about 1 mm before going through the flame. The experimental H–atom profiles were recorded at different laser pulse energies (0.25–7.3 \(\mu\text{J}\)) and heights above the nozzle of the burner (3.5–15.5 mm), revealing negligible photolytic interferences. This was also confirmed by a time–dependent 2D model capable of simulating unsteady reacting flows. Furthermore, in a more recent study [104], the use of fs–TALIF only in Kr gas allowed the authors to perform single–shot 2D planar images of Kr atoms in a gas cell. The laser pulse duration was of about 80 fs at 1 kHz repetition rate, and the maximum laser pulse energy was of 13 \(\mu\text{J}\) at 204.1 nm (i.e., the two–photon excitation wavelength used for Kr). For laser pulse energies lower than 4 \(\mu\text{J}\), the two–photon excitation of Kr did not reach saturation nor loss of the fluorescence signal due to ASE or photoionization. This work revealed the potential of fs–TALIF to be used as a diagnostic method in mixing and flow studies using Kr as a tracer. The authors demonstrated its feasibility by performing 2D planar fs–TALIF images of Kr atoms in a Kr gas jet.

Contrary to what has been done in flames, the use of ultrafast TALIF diagnostics in plasmas is only very recent. In 2015, Schmidt et al. [45] employed a fs–TALIF diagnostic to record the spatial distribution of atomic oxygen in an atmospheric–pressure capillary–dielectric–barrier–discharge (CDBD). The plasma was formed in a He–O\textsubscript{2} gas mixture by
applying microsecond high-voltage pulses to the electrodes. The width of the laser pulse was of \( \sim 100 \) fs and the laser pulse energy was of 13 \( \mu \)J. The calibration of the O–atom density measurements was carried out using fs–TALIF in Kr. Planar TALIF was performed by constructing a laser sheet (2 mm tall with a beam waist of 0.09 mm focused on the plasma effluent). This allowed to perform a detailed 2D mapping of the O–atom density in the plasma effluent. From this mapping, the maximum O–atom absolute density at the plasma axis was found to be of \( 6 \times 10^{15} \) cm\(^{-3}\). Noticeable density gradients along the radial direction were also revealed. Furthermore, in 2017 the same group published two works on the implementation of the same fs–TALIF system for the investigation of non–equilibrium plasmas. In their first study [91], they performed temporally and spatially resolved imaging of the density of H and O atoms in a ns–pulsed pin–to–pin discharge. The discharge was generated in H\(_2\)/He, H\(_2\)/Ar and O\(_2\)/He gas mixtures at a total pressure of 100 Torr. The experiments were coupled with a quasi–1D model taking into account the Poisson, Boltzmann, and non–equilibrium plasma chemistry kinetics equations. From the constructed 2D absolute density maps, the local H–atom number densities varied between \( 2 \times 10^{12} \) and \( 6 \times 10^{15} \) cm\(^{-3}\), while the O–atom densities were found between \( 10^{13} \) and \( 3 \times 10^{16} \) cm\(^{-3}\). The authors also measured the decay rates and lifetimes for both atoms. The latter were of \( \sim 100 \) \( \mu \)s and 1 ms for H and O atoms, respectively, in a relatively good agreement with the numerical model. In their second study [90], the authors used the same plasma as in [45], and performed a comparison of the efficacy and accuracy of fs– and ns–TALIF on the absolute density determination of atomic oxygen absolute densities. The superiority of the fs–TALIF as a more accurate diagnostic method of the CDBD was demonstrated (see also next section).

Regarding studies from other research groups, the possibility of implementing a ps–TALIF diagnostic for measuring H, N, and O atomic densities in a rf–driven atmospheric–pressure plasma jet was presented in 2016 in the APIP conference [105]. The plasma was generated in different mixtures of helium gas with O\(_2\), N\(_2\), and water. The limitations of ns–TALIF when determining those species densities at atmospheric pressure were discussed. To overcome these limitations, the authors proposed the use of ps–TALIF, being capable of resolving the effective lifetime of excited atoms, and measuring accurately their absolute densities. Some of those results were published in the mid–2020 [44]. Specifically, the authors focused on the formation of H and O atoms in a He/H\(_2\)O plasma jet. The plasma was probed using ps laser pulses (30 ps duration) at a repetition rate of 10 Hz. The laser pulse energies used for measuring H– and O–atom densities were of 35 and 24 \( \mu \)J, respectively, and the laser spectral bandwidth was of about 4 cm\(^{-1}\). The absolute densities of H and O atoms reached values of \( 6 \times 10^{14} \) cm\(^{-3}\) and \( 4.3 \times 10^{13} \) cm\(^{-3}\), respectively. Possible errors on the density determination were analyzed, reaching 58% and 39% for H and O atom, respectively, mostly due to the uncertainty of the available values of \( \sigma(2) \) (see previous section). Furthermore, the quenching rate constants by H\(_2\)O of H\((n = 3)\) and O(3p\(^3\)P) laser–excited atoms were experimentally obtained, being of \( 6 \times 10^{-9} \) (±3%) and \( 1.1 \times 10^{-9} \) (±10%) cm\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\), respectively. The experiments were coupled with a 0D chemical kinetics model, which allowed investigating the production mechanisms of O and H atoms in the plasma effluent. In 2019, Dumitrache et al. [62] investigated the same plasma as in [45], and performed a comparison of the efficacy and accuracy of fs– and ns–TALIF on the absolute density determination of atomic oxygen absolute densities. The superiority of the fs–TALIF as a more accurate diagnostic method of the CDBD was demonstrated (see also next section).
determination of N–atom density by means of fs–TALIF was performed at 1 bar in the post discharge of the NRP. A maximum density of $5 \times 10^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$ was obtained at 1 µs after the nanosecond pulse while the discharge was operating in pure N$_2$. The lower limit of detection of the N–atoms was determined to be of $10^{12}$ cm$^{-3}$.

4. Challenges on the Use of Fast (ns) and Ultrafast (ps/fs) TALIF for Diagnostic Purposes

Despite the great potential of ns– and ps/fs–TALIF for diagnostic purposes in plasmas and flames, several limitations can make their implementation challenging. First, ns–TALIF is in general reliable for absolute density measurements at pressures lower than 10 mbar [29]. However, with increasing pressure, the collisional quenching can become the major loss mechanism of excited states of interest depending on the species and the quencher nature [36]. As an example, the quenching time of excited H atoms in flames can fall well below ns at atmospheric pressure [47], being faster than the pulse duration of ns lasers (typically few ns). In this case, to infer the quenching rate and effective lifetime of species from the fluorescence signal, the use of adequate models can be helpful on the correction of the TALIF signal [32,65,106]. However, the development of those models is based on different assumptions, limiting thus their accuracy. Furthermore, for fast ns–pulsed discharges with voltage pulse durations lower than 10 ns and rise/fall times of the order of few ns [19,32,107], ns–TALIF does not allow investigating species kinetics during the voltage pulse. Thus, ns–TALIF (and LIF) can only be useful to perform time–resolved measurements on longer time-scales than the laser pulse duration, i.e., several tens of ns, µs or ms time-scales [32,36,72,102]. For accurate measurements in sub–ns time-scales, a better approach would be to use ultrafast lasers. These can deliver (1) pulse widths down to the ps and fs time-scales, and (2) sufficient instantaneous laser intensities (units W·cm$^{-2}$) to achieve the necessary two–photon transitions.

Furthermore, compared to the species single–photon absorption cross–sections required in LIF, the two–photon absorption cross–sections in TALIF are much lower, which makes difficult the detection of TALIF signals with high signal–to–noise ratio. In ns–TALIF, this issue can be resolved by increasing the laser intensity. However, this may lead to a significant laser–induced dissociation of different molecules in the probed medium, photoionization (PIN), and/or amplified stimulated emission (ASE), substantially perturbing the TALIF signal, and reducing the fluorescence yield. Compared to ns lasers, ultrafast lasers present much higher instantaneous and similar average powers. However, the use of ultrafast TALIF on the study of transient plasmas, which can present steep density gradients within µm–scale dimensions, would require tightly focused laser beams in order to reach the desired spatial resolution. Again, this would result in a significant increase in the laser intensity, possibly leading to photolytic effects and depletion of the laser–excited states by PIN and/or ASE. Therefore, appropriate solutions should be found, e.g., instead of using tightly focused laser beams, to spread the laser energy in a plane (i.e., creating a laser sheet) in order to reduce the laser intensity for the same laser peak pulse power [45,104]. Another effect of a high laser intensity can be the saturation of the optical transition, which is known as power saturation [3]. In this case, the optical pumping rate of the laser excited-state can become comparable to its relaxation rate. To avoid a significant depletion of the ground state of the species of interest, the inverse of the two–photon absorption rate (i.e., $1/\text{W}_{E1\rightarrow E2}(t)$, units in sec, see Section 2.1) must be much higher than the pulse width of the laser [55]. Based on the above information, this section gives representative examples from the literature, where limitations on the reliable use of TALIF have been encountered, reported, and discussed.

4.1. Challenges When Using ns–TALIF in Flames and Gases

The onset of laser–induced dissociation, PIN and ASE effects has been investigated in different early studies on ns–TALIF in flames [38,49,73,108]. For instance, in [73], ns–TALIF was used to quantify atomic oxygen in hydrogen–oxygen flames. In a lean atmospheric-pressure flame, artificially high O–atom concentration was measured in the post–flame
gases. According to the authors, the excess atomic oxygen amount was produced by single–photon excitation of vibrationally excited O₂ molecules. The production of O atoms from other precursor molecules in the flame was not excluded. The effect of increasing the laser intensity on the excessive production of O atoms was demonstrated. In fact, by increasing the laser intensity by 300 times, the O–atom density as a function of the height above the burner became almost flat, suggesting that O–atom creation proceeded through photodissociation of precursor molecules, which were present in the post–flame gases. In another study [108], the influence of ASE (recorded end–on with respect to the laser beam) on the O–atom TALIF (recorded side–on) in a H₂/O₂ flame and room–temperature gases (O₂ and N₂) was investigated. For the three conditions, the ASE signal intensity increased with the laser intensity being much stronger than the TALIF signal intensity. This led to a rapid depletion of the laser–excited O atom level and a decrease in the fluorescence yield. As it was discussed at the end of Section 2.1, for reliable TALIF measurements, the quadratic regime must be ensured (i.e., a slope of 2 in Figure 5). In [108], however, the slopes from the linear regressions of the experimental TALIF data points versus E_Laser were of 1.59, 2.95, and 4.14 for the H₂/O₂ flame, the N₂O and O₂ gases, respectively. The authors also underlined that the lower measured slope could also be due to a rapid depletion of the laser–excited state by PIN and/or partial saturation of the two–photon transition at high E_Laser. The other two slopes were consistent with one– and two–photon photo–dissociation of N₂O and O₂ molecules, respectively, followed by two–photon excitation of atomic O, which should give slopes of 3 and 4, respectively. Thus, to avoid saturation effects, E_Laser needed to be reduced significantly. Furthermore, in [38], ASE was recorded when probing by means of ns–TALIF the atomic hydrogen production in low–pressure flames. The behavior of ASE was compared to that of TALIF and its effect on the fluorescence quantum yield was demonstrated. ASE significantly reduced the fluorescence yield for E_Laser > ~250 µJ, the corresponding slope being lower than 2. However, for ~130 < E_Laser < 250 µJ, the quadratic regime was attained, while the intensity of ASE was one order of magnitude lower than that observed at E_Laser > 250 µJ. Finally, Alekseev et al. [109] performed ns–TALIF in a static gas cell and studied ASE signal intensity from 7p and 6p’ excited states of Xe atoms. They also measured the total quenching rate constants of those excited states by different quenching partners. The intensity of ASE decreased significantly with decreasing E_Laser and length of the gas cell, as well as when using unfocused laser beams. Furthermore, ASE decreased noticeably when lowering the gas pressure below 2 Torr. Based on these facts, the authors developed adequate experimental conditions to avoid interferences from ASE. Therefore, to avoid significant contributions from laser–induced photodissociation, ASE and PIN, which lead to saturated TALIF regimes, the laser intensity must be carefully selected to values leading to a quadratic dependence of the TALIF signal intensity versus the laser pulse energy.

4.2. Challenges When Using ps/fs–TALIF in Flames and Gases

Departures from the quadratic regime have also been observed when using ps-TALIF in flames. Agrup et al. [48] studied the production of atomic hydrogen in a hydrogen–oxygen flame. The variations of the TALIF and ASE signal intensities versus the laser pulse energy (up to 32 µJ) were recorded. For the lower power density (corresponding to laser pulse energies between 1.6 and 6.3 µJ), a slope of 2.42 ± 0.24 was measured for the TALIF signal, which revealed that a portion of H atoms was produced by photolysis of water molecules. For laser pulse energies between 16 and 32 µJ, the TALIF slope decreased to 0.62 ± 0.09. This was very close to the slope obtained for ASE, i.e., 0.63 ± 0.14, which perturbed the TALIF signal at the same energy range. The authors concluded that the development of a complex rate–equation model, including ionization, TALIF, photodissociation, quenching, and ASE processes, as well as the temporal profile of the laser pulse, was necessary to obtain absolute densities of H atoms in that flame. Such a model was not performed in that study. On the other hand, Frank et al. [52] compared ns– and ps–TALIF when probing atomic oxygen in premixed hydrogen and methane flames.
From the shape of the fluorescence–signal radial profiles in the flames, the perturbation from photolytic effects of the TALIF signal was not significant in the case of ps–TALIF. This low perturbation happened for laser fluences lower than 0.3 J cm$^{-2}$, as it was revealed from plots of the TALIF signal intensity versus the laser pulse energy, from which the quadratic regime was obtained. Similarly, a low photolytic perturbation was seen when studying methane flames, for which, however, the use of ns–TALIF resulted in significant photolytic effects at all laser energies studied. The ns– and ps–TALIF signal intensities were comparable between them, but the average laser fluence when using the ps laser was only 9% of that of the ns laser. Thus, the authors proposed ps–TALIF as a promising method for probing atomic oxygen in hydrocarbon flames. The same type of comparison was performed by Kulatilaka et al. [88] referring, though, to the detection of atomic hydrogen in the following premixed flames: CH$_4$/O$_2$/N$_2$, H$_2$/O$_2$, and H$_2$/O$_2$/N$_2$. The aim of that study was to develop an interference-free diagnostic method of H atoms using much shorter laser pulses than those used conventionally. Different radial profiles of H atoms were recorded both with ns– (3.5 ns laser pulse) and ps–TALIF (70 ps laser pulse). Distortions of the recorded TALIF signals by photolytic effects and ASE were investigated. When ps–TALIF was used, the interference from ASE was the most significant effect, lowering the ps–laser fluence threshold needed for H–atom interference–free detection. Nevertheless, the potential of ps–TALIF to be used for probing H atoms in hydrogen and methane flames was demonstrated. In fact, when ASE was not significant, the intensities of the ps–TALIF signals were about one order of magnitude higher than those obtained using ns–TALIF. Furthermore, latest studies performed by our group on the use of ps–TALIF in Kr gas, revealed that the TALIF signal is significantly affected by PIN when the instantaneous laser intensity is $\geq$15 MW cm$^{-2}$ [97]. On the other hand, the intensity of ASE was already significant within the laser pulse (~10 ps) and the total number of photons attributed to ASE was much lower than the time–integrated TALIF signal. Therefore, it was concluded that PIN determines the population of the fluorescing state and, thus, the TALIF signal intensity beyond the quadratic regime [97]. Another promising approach to avoid those interferences could be the consideration of fs–TALIF. Indeed, the use of fs–TALIF for the 1D/2D imaging of atomic hydrogen in hydrocarbon flames was reported in [54]. The authors demonstrated that this technique allows avoiding photolytic effects in the case of H atom, while they proposed that can be employed for probing other atoms as well (such as O and N) both in flames and plasmas. Furthermore, in 2017, the same group reported on the efficacy of fs–TALIF for the imaging of Kr atoms in a Kr gas jet, which can be a useful species as an inert gas tracer in flow diagnostic studies. The quadratic regime was obtained for laser pulse energies between 1 and 3 µJ, revealing that the two–photon excitation scheme of Kr was not affected by ASE, PIN, and saturation effects. This was encouraging for later uses of Kr (and Xe) as calibrating species in order to perform absolute density measurements of H (and O) atoms in plasmas (see below).

### 4.3. Challenges When Using ns– and ps/fs–TALIF in Plasmas

The onset of ASE, PIN and photolytic effects when performing TALIF studies in plasmas has been also reported in different studies. Amorim et al. [49] probed by means of ns–TALIF the production of O and H atoms in O$_2$ and H$_2$ glow discharges. For the determination of the absolute densities of O and H atoms, absolute calibration of the TALIF signals was performed using VUV absorption spectroscopy. ASE was observed along the laser beam, and recorded simultaneously with the TALIF signal. The experimental results were coupled with a 0D numerical model capable of simulating two-photon absorption, fluorescence, PIN (through the absorption of a third photon), ASE, and collisional quenching processes. This model was the first to clearly include ASE in a TALIF scheme. The evolution of the experimentally measured O–atom TALIF and ASE signal intensities as a function of the laser pulse was also studied in [49]. For laser energies lower than 600 µJ, no saturation of the O–TALIF signal was observed since a slope of 2 characterized the two–photon excitation process. However, above 600 µJ, a saturation effect was observed.
both for the TALIF and ASE signal intensities. More specifically, the ASE intensity variation versus the laser energy exhibited three stages: (1) at low laser energies (up to 300 µJ), the ASE intensity was below threshold thus not affecting the TALIF signal, (2) with increasing laser energy up to 600 µJ, an exponential increase in the ASE intensity was measured, and (3) above 600 µJ, the ASE signal was saturated. Besides, at the high laser energy range, PIN also contributed to the depopulation of the laser–excited state and, thus, to the saturation of the TALIF and ASE signal intensities. The experimental results were reproduced with the numerical model, showing a good qualitative agreement. Concerning other ns–TALIF studies, different groups used the quadratic–regime criterion to determine conditions (in terms of laser power density), for which saturation effects become significant, and thus carefully avoid them [64,65,69,72,78,80,81,85,99,100,110,111]. However, most of the published works do not focus on the detailed study of the processes leading to the saturation regimes, i.e., ASE and PIN. This is reasonable since the main goal of those works is the determination/manipulation of the absolute densities of reactive atomic species, and the understanding of their dynamics in the plasmas studied. This has also been the case for some recent ps– and fs–TALIF investigations focusing on the measurement of O– and H–atom densities in non-equilibrium plasmas [44,45,90,91].

The limitations of ns–TALIF versus fs–TALIF on the accurate measurement of O-atom absolute density in an atmospheric pressure He/O₂ plasma jet was demonstrated in [90]. The use of fs–TALIF offered several advantages: (1) stronger TALIF signal intensities (normalized to the incident laser intensity), (2) more accurate determination of O(3p³P) atoms decay times and quenching rates, (3) much lower laser–induced ionization and molecular dissociation due to lower average laser–pulse power, and (4) performance of detailed two-dimensional density profiles. The main constraints of ns-TALIF and the corresponding advantage of fs–TALIF when performing sub–ns measurements of the O(3p³P) atom effective lifetime in their plasma jet were well demonstrated. In fact, the decay time of the ns–TALIF signal was similar to the ns–laser–pulse width, making the extraction of the effective lifetime very challenging. However, this was not happened when using fs–TALIF, for which the fs–laser–pulse width was much shorter than the decay time of the corresponding TALIF signal. On the other hand, Dumitrache et al. [62] used fs–TALIF and strongly focused laser beams to measure the absolute density of N atoms in a N₂ nanosecond repetitive pulse discharge (pressure range: 0.1–5 bar). For calibration purposes, fs–TALIF in Kr was applied. The TALIF signal intensity versus the laser intensity was plotted for both N and Kr atoms, revealing slopes of 0.95 and 0.98, respectively. Thus, a departure from the quadratic regime was observed. This result suggested that processes other than the fluorescence and collisional quenching led to a significant depletion of the laser–excited states. According to the authors, this depletion was due to PIN and possibly ASE effects. The beam waist of the laser in their case was only of 52 µm, which for a 100–fs laser pulse, resulted to instantaneous laser peak intensities of ~4 TW·cm⁻², i.e., much higher than those required to achieve photoionization. Therefore, to perform reliable density measurements of N atoms at elevated pressures, the processes of PIN and ASE need to be considered and avoided. Furthermore, as it was recently shown in [55], at instantaneous laser intensities higher than 1 GW·cm⁻², photoionization becomes the main loss mechanism of laser-excited O atoms and the saturation regime is rapidly reached. In this case, the dynamics of the system is accurately described using density–matrix equations instead of rate equation models (see [55] for more details).

5. Conclusions

The present review was focused on the advances of fast (ns) and ultrafast (ps and fs) TALIF diagnostics for probing reactive atoms in plasmas and flames. When used properly, these diagnostics allow for the precise determination of atomic densities in different reactive media, leading to a better understanding of various physicochemical processes involved. At first, the basic features characterizing the various lasers used in TALIF diagnostics were given. Then, the key principles and theory of TALIF, the
properties of the investigated reactive (particularly N, H and O) and calibrating (Kr and Xe) atomic species, the different calibration procedures, and the requirements for the correct application of TALIF were listed based on representative published studies. Similarly, the effective implementation of fast and ultrafast TALIF for evaluating species densities, radiative lifetimes, and quenching coefficients in plasmas and flames was demonstrated. Finally, the main challenges encountered while using ns– and ps/fs–TALIF as well as methods to avoid them were discussed.

In summary, most of the published studies up to date concern ns–TALIF, which is in general consistent for measurements performed in relatively large timescales (tens of ns–µs–ms, i.e., larger than the pulse duration of ns lasers), and gas pressures lower than 10 mbar. This is because the collisional quenching of laser–excited states becomes significant with increasing pressure depending on the species and the quencher nature. Thus, in this case, the use of ps and fs lasers is more advantageous in resolving the TALIF decay since they offer much shorter pulse widths, higher instantaneous laser intensities, and similar average powers compared to ns lasers. Furthermore, special attention needs to be paid in order to ensure the quadratic dependence between the TALIF signal intensity and the laser pulse energy. This requires very low instantaneous laser intensities, which can be achieved by using unfocused laser beams or laser sheets, especially when applying ps/fs–TALIF. At higher laser intensities (i.e., outside of the quadratic regime of excitation), a saturation of the TALIF signal has been observed in different studies (both for ns– and ps/fs–TALIF) due to photodissociation, and/or photoionization (PIN), and/or amplified stimulated emission (ASE). PIN and ASE lead to the rapid depletion of the laser–excited states, limiting the fluorescence yield and, thus, the TALIF signal intensity. On the other hand, photodissociation leads to the production of excessive atoms from precursor molecules, which will alter the atom density measurement.

Finally, compared to the species single–photon absorption cross–sections required in LIF, the two–photon absorption cross–sections (\(\sigma^{(2)}\)) in TALIF are much lower. This makes difficult the accurate determination of \(\sigma^{(2)}\), which is required for extracting absolute densities from TALIF measurements. Particularly, the values of \(\sigma^{(2)}\) for Kr and Xe atoms, which are necessary to calibrate absolute density measurements of H, N, and O atoms, are not available. To overcome this issue, most of the published studies use the ratios of \(\sigma^{(2)}\) between those calibrating species and the reactive species of interest (H, N, O), as determined by titration methods in [40,76,90]. However, these values were determined with 20% (ratio: Xe/O) and 50% (ratios: Kr/H and Kr/N) uncertainties. This is a limiting factor on the more accurate absolute density measurement of reactive atoms (particularly H and N atoms) in plasmas and flames. Therefore, a more precise determination of those cross–section ratios should be considered in the future.
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