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Abstract

The long-term goal of connecting scales in biological simulation can be facilitated by scale-agnostic methods. We demonstrate that the weighted ensemble (WE) strategy, initially developed for molecular simulations, applies effectively to spatially resolved cell-scale simulations. The WE approach runs an ensemble of parallel trajectories with assigned weights and uses a statistical resampling strategy of replicating and pruning trajectories to focus computational effort on difficult-to-sample regions. The method can also generate unbiased estimates of non-equilibrium and equilibrium observables, sometimes with significantly less aggregate computing time than would be possible using standard parallelization. Here, we use WE to orchestrate particle-based kinetic Monte Carlo simulations, which include spatial geometry (e.g., of organelles, plasma membrane) and biochemical interactions among mobile molecular species. We study a series of models exhibiting spatial, temporal and biochemical complexity and show that although WE has important limitations, it can achieve performance significantly exceeding standard parallel simulation—by orders of magnitude for some observables.

Author Summary

Stochastic simulations (simulations where randomness plays a role) of even simple biological systems are often so computationally intensive that it is impossible, in practice, to simulate them exhaustively and gather good statistics about the likelihood of different outcomes. The difficulty is compounded for the observation of rare events in these simulations; unfortunately, rare events, such as state transitions and barrier crossings, are often those of particular interest. Using the weighted ensemble (WE) method, we are able to enhance the characterization of rare events in cell biology simulations, but in such a way that the statistics for these events remain unbiased. The histogram of outcomes that WE...
produces has the same shape as a naive one, but the resolution of events in the tails of the histogram is greatly improved. This improved resolution in rare event statistics can be used to infer unbiased estimates of long timescale dynamics from short simulations, and we show that using a weighted ensemble can result in a reduction in total simulation time needed to sample certain events of interest in spatial, stochastic models of biological systems.

This is a PLOS Computational Biology Methods paper.

Introduction

Stochastic effects are of crucial importance in many biological processes, from protein dynamics [1], to gene expression [2], to phenotypic heterogeneity [3]. Unfortunately, due to the high computational cost of simulating complex stochastic biological systems, the effects of stochasticity on system response remain under-studied in realistic biological models.

From molecular to cellular scales, simulations of biological systems push the limits of our computational resources [4, 5]. Compromising between sampling power and model complexity will be a trade-off for the foreseeable future; for example, at atomistic resolution even the most powerful, specially designed supercomputers can simulate only modestly sized proteins at timescales that approach sufficiency for adequate sampling [6]. Similarly, models of cellular processes, though they omit entirely molecular-level details, are also constrained in complexity and realism by the need to perform adequate amounts of simulation in order to gather useful statistics [7]. Mixing scales in a simulation, though perhaps necessary for capturing the coupling across multi-scale networks, only makes this problem worse.

Enhanced sampling algorithms offer an attractive proposition: instead of compromising on model complexity in order to achieve well-sampled results, rather use simulation resources more effectively and extract more information given the same resources. Not surprisingly, there has been significant interest in sampling algorithms in the field of atomistic protein simulation, including umbrella and histogram sampling [8–10], path sampling methods, [11–17], and various flavors of replica exchange [18–21]. Arguably, such approaches have transformed the field of molecular simulation [6, 22, 23].

The essence of the present study is the extension of one successful enhanced sampling strategy for molecular simulation to spatially resolved cell-scale systems. Specifically, the weighted ensemble approach is a scale-agnostic method that is able to facilitate the enhanced sampling of a wide spectrum of stochastic simulations and non-Markovian processes [17], including Brownian dynamics [13], molecular dynamics [24], Monte-Carlo simulations of atomistic and coarse-grained protein dynamics [25, 26], chemical reaction networks [27], and as we demonstrate here, the spatially resolved stochastic reaction-diffusion processes used to simulate cellular processes. Weighted ensemble achieves its enhanced sampling by dividing up a model’s state-space into bins and maintaining an ensemble of trajectories with different weights that evenly sample these bins. This weighted ensemble is created by resampling the distribution of trajectories at fixed time intervals, spawning new simulations from trajectories that have wandered into unexplored regions and pruning them away if a region is overpopulated, in order to maintain even coverage of the space. This resampling process is exact, in the sense that it
induces no bias in the estimates of equilibrium and non-equilibrium observables [17, 28]. Resampling at fixed time intervals lends the method some key benefits: it is trivially parallelizable, since trajectories run independently aside from interacting infrequently during resampling, and it is modular, needing no “under the hood” interaction with the underlying dynamics, rather requiring only intermittent reports of a progress coordinate.

Spatial heterogeneity can be crucial to accurately capturing the behavior of cell-scale biological systems, for instance in models of neuromuscular junction dynamics studied below [29]. Although simple models of biological signaling, where the molecules of interest are spatially homogeneous, or “well-mixed” are very common [30, 31], the assumption of spatial homogeneity may not always be justified; certain biological systems, while suitable for ignoring molecular structure, are not amenable to being modeled as spatially homogenous. Indeed, high resolution microscopy images of single cells show distinct patterns of localization for a wide variety of biomolecules [32–34], leading one to speculate if the well-mixed regime is the exception rather than the rule.

Here, we apply the weighted ensemble sampling procedure to decrease the cost of simulating spatial stochastic systems. After introducing our methodology, we present results for a toy diffusive binding system and two more complex systems: a cross-compartmental signal transduction model in a realistic cellular geometry and a model of an active zone in a frog neuromuscular junction. The flexibility and power of the WE method make it ideally suited for enhancing the sampling of these three diverse models.

Methods

We employ the weighted ensemble sampling algorithm to manage multiple instances of particle-based kinetic Monte Carlo simulations of a given spatially resolved model of cellular signaling. We make use of a variety of software packages in our work, all of which are freely available via MMBioS.org.

Weighted Ensemble

Basic weighted ensemble. The weighted ensemble sampling strategy achieves enhanced sampling by maintaining an ensemble of simulations running in parallel, distributed evenly across the configuration or state space of a system. To do this, the configuration space of the system is typically divided into different region, or “bins”, according to the values of some progress coordinate(s). The parallel ensemble of simulations is periodically paused, and each simulation is inspected to ascertain which bin it inhabits. Simulations in overpopulated bins are pruned away until a desired population is reached, and simulations in underpopulated bins are duplicated until a sufficient population is reached. After this brief resampling process, the ensemble of trajectories is restarted, and the native dynamics of the system continues, until it comes time to pause and resample again. By assigning each trajectory a statistical weight and conserving this weight during pruning and cloning operations, the ensemble remains unbiased, while efficiently sampling otherwise difficult to reach regions of configuration space [13].

The essence of the weighted ensemble sampling procedure is encapsulated in Fig 1, where we have chosen to divide the example system along one coordinate into three bins, and have a target number of two trajectories in each bin. Before the simulation begins, the configuration space of the system must be considered, and typically a progress coordinate (or more than one) along which a trajectory can be tracked is selected. Although automated binning procedures have been developed [17, 35], we do not use them in the studies reported here. The configuration space of the system is divided into non-overlapping bins of the selected progress coordinate(s) that completely cover the configuration space. This division is usually done ahead of
time, but “on the fly” modifications are also permitted [17]. In Fig 1, a one-dimensional projection of a system is shown, and the space is divided into three bins, which remain the same throughout the simulation. For efficient sampling, the progress coordinates chosen should be associated with a set of slowly varying and uncorrelated processes; additional progress coordinates tend to increase computing cost without a sufficient “payoff” in sampling. Additional slowly varying progress coordinates can speed up sampling of slow/rare processes in the system, but choosing progress coordinates that are uncorrelated is also important, because correlated coordinates are redundant in the variation of the system that they capture. The expense of maintaining bins full of trajectories increases drastically with the number of progress coordinates used, making it essential to use additional progress coordinates only when they are crucial to capturing new information about the system.

In the basic weighted ensemble procedure, a number of replicate trajectories are initiated from a chosen initial state, with weights summing to one, and are simulated for a short time \( \tau \). After that short time, the simulations are paused and inspected for progress along the chosen progress coordinates. If a trajectory has wandered into a new, previously unpopulated bin, that trajectory is replicated, and the statistical weight of that trajectory is divided among these “daughter” trajectories. If a bin becomes over-populated, trajectories are pruned and their weights are reassigned. After this pause in dynamics for resampling, the trajectories are restarted, and the entire process is iterated as desired.

The resampling strategy of WE is exact for arbitrary types of stochastic dynamics in any number of dimensions [17, 28]. Typically, when we divide the configuration space of the

---

**Fig 1. Weighted ensemble algorithm.** One initial trajectory is iteratively resampled until its progeny trajectories are distributed equally around the state-space, according to user-defined bins. Excess trajectories are pruned if necessary. This process is statistically exact if the probabilistic weight of each trajectory is properly accounted for in the resampling (splitting/merging) process. In between splitting and merging events, each trajectory follows the natural dynamics of the system, and splitting events occur when a trajectory naturally wanders into an underpopulated region of space. Reprinted with permission from Efficient stochastic simulation of chemical kinetics networks using a weighted ensemble of trajectories, The Journal of Chemical Physics 139: 115105.

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004611.g001
system into bins, we set a target number of trajectories for each bin; if, during one of the intermittent resampling events, the number of trajectories in that bin is greater or less than the target (but nonzero), we either up- or down-sample the trajectories in the bin to reach the target number, always accounting for the statistical weights of each trajectory. “Up-sampling” connotes spawning new trajectories, identical to the original but with the original trajectory’s statistical weight now split between the new and old trajectories. For instance, during the $t = \tau$ resampling event in Fig 1, the trajectory in bin 2, initially possessing a weight of 1/2, spawns an identical copy of itself, and the weight of the original trajectory is evenly divided so that the two resultant trajectories each have a weight of 1/4. “Down-sampling” is a pruning process, whereby trajectories are compared in a pairwise fashion and one is deleted based on a random process, with a likelihood of survival proportional to the statistical weight of the trajectory. For instance, during the $t = 2\tau$ resampling event in Fig 1, the three trajectories in bin 1 all have weight 1/4, so two of them are selected, and a random number draw (evenly weighted, since both trajectories have the same weight) decides which one remains. By these two simple processes, an ensemble of trajectories is created that evenly samples the state space of the system without bias [17].

The resampling process adds a small amount of computational overhead to the overall cost of sampling. This expense, however, is a small fraction of the total cost, provided that either the dynamics of the system are expensive to simulate, or the resampling interval is long compared to the timescale of the internal dynamics of the simulation, which we find is almost always the case in systems of interest. For instance, when using weighted ensemble to run simulations of molecular dynamics [24], large chemical kinetics networks [27], or the spatially resolved stochastic chemical kinetics studied here, the trajectories will typically run for a wall-clock time on the order of minutes or hours before being paused for resampling, while the resampling operation itself takes on the order of seconds. Indeed, the resampling arithmetic itself is trivial in complexity compared to the stochastic dynamics of the trajectories themselves, and most of the time spent during resampling is actually spent reading and writing to disk and starting and stopping trajectories (if the data are too large to store in memory). Like any enhanced sampling method, WE is worthwhile only for complex models exhibiting a wide variety of timescales.

The benefit of this resampling process is that it facilitates the efficient, exact sampling of the system along the binned progress coordinates. As illustrated schematically in Fig 2, in a naive “brute-force” approach, where a number of independent trajectories are simulated and then compiled into a histograms of outcomes, the sampling power of the ensemble is concentrated about the peak of the distribution.

By definition, the peak contains the most probable events. Thus, certain parts of the configuration space are destined to be poorly sampled; if the true probability of a state being occupied is less than the inverse of the number of trajectories simulated, it is unlikely to be sampled even once. On the other hand, weighted ensemble decouples the number of trajectories in a region of configuration space from the probability of a trajectory to be there, and allows for a more even coverage of all regions of the underlying distribution. It should be noted that an even coverage of configuration space lends itself to efficient sampling only if the coordinate(s) along which this coverage is distributed are useful in characterizing the observables of interest [17]. That is, the payoff of using weighted ensemble sampling depends on one’s choice of progress coordinate and bins, and efficiently sampling certain regions of configuration space may prove unrewarding.

**Calculation of mean first passage time with WE.** The efficient sampling of low-probability regions of the (time-dependent) probability distribution of a stochastic system can be leveraged to extract unbiased estimates of long-timescale information about the system. Specifically, the Hill relation [36] provides a link between the mean first passage time (MFPT) between two
states $A$ and $B$, and the steady-state flux of probability (flow per unit time) between them:

$$\text{MFPT} (A \to B) = \frac{1}{\text{Flux} (A \to B)},$$

where $\text{Flux}(A \to B)$ here refers to the probability (per unit time) that a trajectory, which at some point in the past originated in $A$, arrives at $B$ for the first time. This relationship is exact (up to statistical noise) when the system exhibits a steady-state flow of probability from $A$ to $B$. These two states $A$ and $B$ can be single micro-states, or large states composed of many smaller sub-states (e.g. weighted ensemble bins); they can also be arbitrarily defined independent of the WE bin boundaries.

A steady-state is achieved when the probability distribution of the system is constant in time. That is, for each sub-state $i$ in the system, in a given time period the total flow of probability into $i$ from the other sub-states is equal to the flow of probability out of $i$ into other sub-states. In terms of the steady-state probabilities $p_i$ of each sub-state, and the transition probabilities $k_{ij}$ between sub-states (in an arbitrary, but fixed, time interval), the steady-state condition is given by

$$\forall i : \sum_j k_{ij}p_j = \sum_j k_{ij}p_i.$$  \hfill (2)

The conditional probabilities $k_{ij}$ can be estimated from WE, and the $p_i$ values can then be inferred by solving the linear system in Eq 2. The $\text{Flux}(A \to B)$ needed for the MFPT in Eq 1 is obtained by summing over all steady-state probability flow into $B$:

$$\text{Flux} (A \to B) = \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} p_j k_{ij},$$

where the $k_{ij}$ and $p_i$ values are obtained solely from trajectories originating in $A$[28].

To accommodate a steady state, the boundary conditions of the weighted ensemble simulation described above must be slightly adjusted to induce a steady-state flow of probability from the initial state to the target state. This is accomplished by removing a trajectory from the
ensemble whenever it enters the target state, and re-starting a new trajectory from the initial state with the same probabilistic weight as the one just removed. After a sufficient amount of time the system will relax into a steady flow of probability from one state to another, with probabilities in each bin maintained at a steady value. After this “burn-in” period, the Hill relation can be employed to estimate the MFPT.

Although not used in this report, we note that since the transition rates between bins can often be estimated accurately even before the probability distribution has relaxed to a steady state, a Markov-like transition matrix can be constructed and solved to infer long-timescale properties of the system, including the mean first passage time [28]. This approach is more efficient than waiting for the system to relax into a steady state when the probability mass itself is slow to relax, so long as there are sufficient transitions between bins, and the degrees of freedom orthogonal to the bins are either well-sampled or unimportant.

WESTPA implementation of WE. Throughout this work, we use the WESTPA [37] implementation of the weighted ensemble algorithm, which is freely available and open source (github.com/WESTPA). This implementation is flexible and adaptable for use with any stochastic dynamics engine, and supports plugins for extended methods such as the steady-state approach noted above. Interfaces currently exist for use with Gromacs, NAMD, AMBER, BioNetGen, and the present work provides one for MCell [37].

In order to simplify the process of using weighted ensemble sampling techniques with systems biology models, we have constructed an automated service to convert MCell models into ready-to-go WESTPA simulations, available at weightedensemblizer.csb.pitt.edu).

Comparing the efficiency of weighted ensemble and brute-force. There are different ways to characterize the gain in efficiency from using weighted ensemble instead of brute-force sampling. We find that a useful approach to evaluating efficiency, which is independent of specific computational architecture, is to take the sum of the simulated dynamics time in the weighted ensemble approach, and compare those results to simulating the same amount of dynamics in brute-force simulations. For instance, the single weighted ensemble run for the toy diffusive binding model presented in the Results section spawned a total of 610,704 trajectory segments in its 1000 iterations; as such, it is equivalent to simulating 610,704/1000 = 610.704 brute-force trajectories. Thus, always rounding up to give brute-force the benefit, we compare the weighted ensemble results to the results of running 611 brute-force simulations. The statistical precision exhibited by each method can then be compared on the basis of equal time spent simulating dynamics. As mentioned above, the overhead imposed by weighted ensemble resampling is very small compared to the time spent simulating dynamics for most systems of interest, so for models of even moderate complexity, we find this to be a fair comparison of efficiency.

Because WE trajectories, and hence observables, exhibit correlation within a single simulation, it can be important to perform multiple, independent weighted ensemble runs to ensure uncorrelated estimates of observables. When comparing the performance of brute-force sampling to multiple independent weighted ensemble runs, for each WE run we construct a brute-force ensemble of equivalent cost to each independent WE run, as described above. We can then compare the results of the multiple brute-force ensembles to the multiple independent WE runs on equal footing.

Kinetic Monte Carlo for spatial behavior of biochemically active species: MCell

All simulations in this report employ spatially resolved particle-based kinetic Monte Carlo dynamics, implemented in the MCell software package. MCell (Monte Carlo Cell) is an open source program (MCell.org) that uses spatially realistic 3D cellular models and specialized Monte
Carlo algorithms to simulate the movements and reactions of molecules within and between cells, or what is referred to as “cellular microphysiology” [38]. MCell has been used to study a wide range of neuroscience questions such as neurotransmitter diffusion in the brain [39], the structure and function of synapses in the central [40] and peripheral [29] nervous system, and the effect of drugs on nervous system function [41]. MCell has also been employed to investigate general cellular phenomena such as calcium signaling [42] and the role of diffusion in cellular transport [43].

MCell combines rigorously validated and highly optimized stochastic Monte Carlo algorithms, particle-based random walk diffusion of (point particle) molecules in space and on surfaces, and stochastic biochemical state transitions. MCell models can contain arbitrarily complex 3D mesh geometries representing the biological system under consideration. These geometries are typically derived from reconstructions of biological tissue (typically from electron microscopy data) [44], or created in silico based on average geometries [29], e.g. via CellBlender software (github.com/mcellteam/cellblender) [45]. MCell features a flexible model description language and has the ability to checkpoint simulation trajectories at arbitrary output intervals or times.

MCell is a kinetic Monte Carlo scheme, in the sense that the time evolution of the system is explicitly modeled. The Monte Carlo moves that the system makes are not arbitrary trial moves, but are rather chosen according to the reaction and diffusion rates of the molecules being simulated. A constant time-step is employed in these simulations, during which the likelihood of reaction and diffusion processes are computed and stochastically sampled; by using appropriate time-steps, the dynamics of the underlying processes are faithfully recapitulated (for further details, see [38, 46, 47]).

**Complex model construction: CellOrganizer and BioNetGen**

The construction of large, complex spatial models is facilitated by a combination of software that specializes in separate aspects of this task.

One of the limiting factors in performing spatially realistic cell simulations is the difficulty of obtaining cell geometries. This limitation can be addressed by learning generative models of cell organization directly from microscope images; these can be used to synthesize an unlimited number of realistic geometries. For instance, in the complex model in a realistic cellular geometry studied below, biochemical reaction networks, with corresponding compartments for organelles, are constructed using BioNetGen software [48, 49], combined with cell geometry models generated by CellOrganizer software [50–58] using CellBlender [45] to create the MCell spatial simulations [59]. More information about this process of generating cellular instances with realistic cellular and subcellular organizations/morphologies is given below. The WESTPA software in turn manages ensembles of the MCell simulations, for either weighted ensemble or brute-force sampling.

CellOrganizer (CellOrganizer.org) is an open source tool for learning conditional generative models of cellular organization from images [50–58]. From these models, new cellular geometries can be generated from different parts of the “shape space” of the system. Currently CellOrganizer supports models for cell shape, nuclear shape, vesicle frequency, location and size, and microtubule length, number and distribution. Important for this work is CellOrganizer’s ability to produce realistic geometric instances of cells and subcellular components for use in modeling using the experimental spatial extension of the Systems Biology Markup Language (SBML) [60].

Biochemical reaction networks in our model of signaling in a realistic cellular geometry are built with the BioNetGen software package (BioNetGen.org), which is a framework for specifying and simulating rule-based models of biochemical kinetics [48]. The rule-based approach allows combinatorially large chemical reaction networks to be compactly described using a
small set of rules that define the underlying molecular interactions [49]. Indirect simulation of rule-based models requires automated generation of the reaction network implied by the rule set. The generated reaction network can then be simulated using a variety of approaches including ordinary differential equations and stochastic simulation. BioNetGen has previously been used to model a wide range of processes including signal transduction, metabolic pathways, and genetic regulatory networks [49]. BioNetGen enables the cellular topology to be defined via compartments [61], but it does not provide for the specification of more detailed geometric information about these compartments or molecule locations. An automated process converts these rules to an exhaustive network of chemical reactions representing the chemical kinetics of the system (see Fig 3).

The reaction network from BioNetGen is fed into CellOrganizer to obtain an appropriate cellular geometry, and the network and geometry are combined using the CellBlender package. In CellBlender, the reactions and geometry are merged, and exported to MCell. The system is then simulated as usual in MCell, either using weighted ensemble to manage the trajectories, or via brute-force.

Models

We investigate three spatial models of cellular function: (1) a toy model of diffusive binding, (2) an idealized model of cellular signaling, and (3) a realistic model of a neuromuscular junction. All three particle-based kinetic Monte Carlo models are simulated in MCell (version 3.2.1), and are available in the supporting information.

Toy diffusive binding model

A highly simplified model of diffusive binding was constructed as an initial test of the utility of weighted ensemble sampling in a spatial system. The model geometry is depicted in Fig 4.
In this toy model of diffusive binding, we define a cubical volume, of side length 2 microns, on the top of which 1000 ligands are initially bound to 1000 receptors at time $t = 0$. The volume also contains 1000 receptors at the bottom of the cube that are initially unbound. The ligands are then free to unbind (with a constant of $10^3$/sec), diffuse around the volume (with a diffusion constant of $10^{-6}$cm$^2$/sec), and re-bind to receptors at the top, or to receptors at the bottom (with a constant of $10^8$/M/sec). We examine the probability density for the number of receptors at the bottom of the volume bound by ligands after simulating 10 milliseconds of dynamics.

**WE simulation parameters.** The toy model has an internal time step of 10 microseconds, and we perform weighted ensemble resampling at an interval that exactly coincides with the internal time step, or every 10 microseconds. We simulate the model for 10 milliseconds, or 1000 weighted ensemble iterations. The progress coordinate we use is the number of receptors bound at the bottom of the cube, with bins on this coordinate at integers on [0, 1000], and we simulate 16 trajectory segments in each bin.
Complex model in realistic cellular geometry

There is significant interest in the variation of cellular morphology and its association with cell fate/function [59, 62–66], and here we employ a model that is a prototype for computationally investigating the effect of a specific geometry upon biological function. The system models protein production in response to an extracellular signal and highlights interesting aspects of signal transduction through different subcellular components, such as transport across membranes and feedback between molecules in different subcellular locations [59]. The model contains on the order of $10^5$ reactive molecules, situated in a realistic cellular geometry. Because creating robust, high-quality complex models of cells is itself a challenging endeavor, we employ the model generation pipeline through BioNetGen and CellOrganizer described in the Methods section and Sullivan et al. [59].

We use the geometry shown in Fig 5, which is derived from three-dimensional images of HeLa cells using CellOrganizer. This geometry contains topologically distinct partitions: the extracellular region, the cytoplasm, the nucleus, and approximately 500 endosomes. The geometry also includes the membranes that partition these compartments, through which molecules must be transported when appropriate. Further details are included in the Supporting Information.

We use the reaction schema illustrated in Fig 6 to describe the reaction kinetics of the model. The BioNetGen rules for this model are included in the Supporting Information, and they produce a network of 354 chemical reactions between 78 species [61]. Briefly, the signaling network functions as follows. The system is initialized in a state of unbound receptors, and free extracellular ligands. The extracellular ligand binds to receptors on the cell membrane, facilitating receptor dimerization, which can be internalized to the endosomes. In the endosomes, receptor dimers can become phosphorylated and recruit a transcription factor, which upon phosphorylation can also dimerize and migrate to the nucleus. In the nucleus, the transcription factor initiates the transcription of mRNA1, which, when it migrates to the cytoplasm, produces protein P1. P1 can then migrate to the nucleus and act as a transcription factor for mRNA2, which, when it migrates to the cytoplasm, produces the final species in the cascade, protein P2. Although this reaction network is idealized, it embodies key aspects of the complexity expected in real signaling processes.

Fig 5. Cellular geometry. Realistic cell geometry generated from microscopy images by CellOrganizer. The geometry explicitly models the compartmentalization of the cell, by forcing molecules to diffuse through membranes to transition from, for example, the cytoplasm (grey) to the nucleus (blue). Also modeled are endosomes (green), and the extracellular environment (transparent).

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004611.g005
WE simulation parameters. The weighted ensemble simulation of the spatial signaling model has an internal time step 100 microseconds, and we perform weighted ensemble resampling once every second, i.e. every $10^4$ internal time steps. We simulate the model for 500 seconds, or 5 million internal MCell time steps, i.e. 500 weighted ensemble iterations. We use a single progress coordinate for this system, the total number of P2 molecules in the system. The bins on this coordinate are integers on [0, 25] and one bin from 25 to infinity. We simulate 48 trajectories in the bin containing 0, and 16 trajectory segments in each other bin. Note that many coordinates (e.g., P1, ligands, mRNA1 and mRNA2, etc) are not divided into bins, as is typical of WE simulations of complex systems.

Neuromuscular junction
The third model we study represents a single active zone of a frog neuromuscular junction (NMJ). Synapses are of crucial physiological importance in neural function, yet their detailed function is poorly understood.
molecular behavior, particularly the way in which calcium triggers synaptic vesicle fusion still lacks a complete, molecular level, characterization. This is mainly due to the lack of experimental approaches that can probe synapses at the required spatial and temporal resolution. Computational models can provide critical microscopic insight into how calcium binding triggers vesicle fusion and release [29].

The geometry of the frog NMJ active zone model is detailed in Fig 7 and has been described previously [29]. The active zone model consists of a double row of 26 synaptic vesicles and two rows of 26 voltage gated calcium channels (VGCCs) in the space between vesicles (see Fig 7). Thus each synaptic vesicle is associated with a single VGCC.

The system is initialized from a state of no free calcium in the active zone. During a simulation, VGCCs open stochastically, driven by a time-dependent action potential waveform [29]. Once open, VGCCs conduct calcium ions into the presynaptic space. Calcium ions can then freely diffuse and either bind to $\sim 10^6$ static buffer molecules or one of eight calcium sensor proteins (synaptotagmin) on the synaptic vesicles. Since each synaptotagmin protein has five calcium binding sites, each synaptic vesicle contains a total of 40 calcium binding sites. A synaptotagmin protein is activated after binding at least two calcium ions, and vesicle fusion is triggered once three out of its eight synaptotagmin proteins have been activated. For each simulation we track the calcium binding events to synaptotagmin sites on synaptic vesicles and can thus determine the number of released vesicles and the time of release.

The NMJ model differs crucially from the two other systems studied here in that it possesses rate “constants” that vary in time. Specifically, the rates for the opening of and calcium conduction through VGCCs in the model are time dependent and are parameterized according to an experimentally measured action potential waveform. This time-dependent nature of vesicle release in synapses is critical for their physiological function [29]. Thus, the model, with its time-varying kinetics, cannot be treated using steady-state or equilibrium approaches and is only usefully simulated, even with a weighted ensemble, out of equilibrium and for a predetermined period of time.

**WE simulation parameters.** Weighted ensemble simulation of the NMJ model used an internal time step of 10 nanoseconds, and we performed weighted ensemble resampling at an interval of 6 microseconds for the low calcium conditions. In total, we simulate the model for 3 ms, i.e. 500 weighted ensemble iterations.
The progress-coordinate space for the NMJ system was two dimensional: one dimension was the total number of calcium ions bound to all synaptotagmin molecules on a vesicle, and the other was the number of activated synaptotagmin molecules on that vesicle. Since a vesicle fuses once three synaptotagmin molecules are active, the latter coordinate had integer bins from zero to three. For the coordinate tracking the number of bound calcium ions per synaptotagmin, the bins were integers on the interval [0, 20], and one bin from 20 to 40.

The NMJ progress coordinate was chosen to facilitate the observation of fusion events, in a manner that is somewhat complicated but also serves to illustrate the flexibility in the type of progress coordinates that WE accepts. Of the 26 vesicles in the simulation, the one that was closest to fusion was chosen at every WE iteration. That is, the vesicles were sorted in descending order by number of activated synaptotagmin proteins, and then by number of total calcium ions bound; the vesicle at the top of the list was chosen. This ranking was performed at every weighted ensemble resampling event, so in principle the vesicle in question could change during the simulation, but always in favor of progress towards a fusion event.

Due to the time dependent VGCC rate constants in the NMJ model, even weighted ensemble sampling can have difficulty efficiently filling up bins of state space. This is because some regions that are initially difficult to sample become easy to reach, and time spent populating intermediate bins is in some sense ill-spent—the model is still sampled, but the efficiency can be less than ideal if one attempts to always have all bins full of trajectories. To address this issue, instead of performing a single weighted ensemble run with a large number of trajectories, we perform many, less intensive weighted ensemble runs with fewer trajectories and average the results. Specifically, for the low calcium regimes of 0.5 and 0.3 mM in the Results Section for the NMJ model, we performed 100 independent weighted ensemble runs for each system. The 0.5 mM system maintained a target of 8 trajectory segments per bin, while the 0.3 mM system maintained a target of 16 trajectory segments per bin. As noted above, multiple independent weighted ensemble runs facilitate error estimation.

Results

We sampled the three spatially resolved cell-scale models of varying complexity using the weighted ensemble approach. The results from all three models demonstrate the ability of WE to sample rare events in models of varying spatial and biochemical complexity. The application of WE sampling to the NMJ model generated novel data about vesicle release in regimes of calcium concentration too difficult to sample well with conventional methods.

Toy diffusive binding model

Our studies of rare event sampling in spatial stochastic systems start with the toy model shown in Fig 4 and described in detail in the Models section. Briefly, we simulate diffusing ligands unbinding from the top of a cubical volume and binding to the bottom for a short amount of time. In this time-span, it is rare for a large number of the ligands to bind at the bottom of the volume. Indeed, when we simulate the system 611 times via brute-force, we see that in most cases only about 10–20 receptors are bound at the bottom after 10 milliseconds. We simulated 611 brute-force trajectories in order to make a fair comparison of weighted ensemble sampling to a brute-force approach; the single weighted ensemble simulation we performed required computational resources equivalent to 610.7 brute-force simulations. Looking more closely at Fig 8 (see inset), we see that it will be impossible to adequately characterize events rarer than 1/611 via the brute-force ensemble of simulations, since the rarest event one can see with brute-force is equal to the inverse of the number of trajectories. On the other hand, the weighted
An ensemble approach is able to sample the distribution over many orders of magnitude of probability with an equal amount of computational effort as the brute-force ensemble.

Since a toy model even this simple is too complex to solve exactly, we compare the data from both the single weighted ensemble simulation and the equivalent brute-force simulations to a more authoritative estimate of the probability distribution obtained by exhaustive (weighted ensemble) simulation. To obtain this reference value, we performed 64 independent weighted ensemble simulations with the same parameters as the single "test" weighted ensemble run (blue circles, Fig 8), except that each of the 64 runs had 32 trajectory segments per bin, rather than 16 for the test run (i.e. approximately 128 times the sampling power of the single run). From the 64 independent runs (gray circles, Fig 8), we then computed the 95% confidence interval for the mean probability distribution using 10,000 bootstrap samples at each progress coordinate, from 0 to 70. Even though the exhaustive weighted ensemble runs and the single test run use different weighted ensemble parameters (i.e trajectories per bin), this difference does not substantially affect the sampling quality of the ensembles. Note that the 95% confidence interval for the mean of the true distribution is significantly tighter than the variance of the distribution of weighted ensemble samples of that distribution; the fact that the single run falls outside this interval is typical of the stochastic noise inherent in a single WE sample.

As explained in the Methods section, weighted ensemble is able to sample more of the complete distribution by efficiently spreading out the sampling power of the ensemble of trajectories, allowing the characterization of rare-events by sacrificing some accuracy in the regime where brute-force samples well (see Fig 2). Examining Fig 8, we see that the brute-force distribution is smoother at the peak of the distribution—indicating less uncertainty—but only marginally so; the weighted ensemble estimate of the peak of the distribution is also reasonably

---

**Fig 8. Sampling rare states of the toy binding model.** Shown is the probability distribution of the number of bound receptors on the target side of the cell after 10 milliseconds of simulation. The weighted ensemble data (blue circles) is plotted with brute-force data (red squares) generated using equal computational effort, i.e. 611 brute-force runs. Brute-force sampling is confined to the peak of the distribution, whereas weighted ensemble sampling captures more of the full probability distribution. To compare both approaches to an authoritative value, an exhaustive set of 64 large weighted ensemble simulations was performed (grey circles), from which a bootstrapped 95% confidence interval for the mean probability distribution was calculated (dark grey bars).

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004611.g008

---
smooth. By sacrificing unneeded resolution at the peak, WE is able to instead spread that sampling power more evenly throughout the state-space of the model, using it to sample the full probability distribution more comprehensively.

Cross-compartmental signaling network in a realistic cell geometry

The model of cellular signal transduction shown in Figs 5 and 6 contains $\sim 10^5$, reactive molecules in a realistic geometry, and demonstrates the ability of the weighted ensemble sampling approach to scale to large, complex systems. We focus on characterizing the synthesis of protein P2. The production of P2, the last step in the cascade shown in Fig 6, is challenging to sample via brute-force. Nonetheless, it is a crucial quantity to calibrate if one is interested in the effects of spatial heterogeneity on the model, and we do so using weighted ensemble.

To begin our exploration of the signaling model, we initially examine the production of the protein P2 after 400 seconds of simulation (see Fig 9). The weighted ensemble data was produced by two independent runs, and the two resulting independent histograms are shown together. The independent runs allow us to roughly characterize the uncertainty in the estimated probability distribution by simply inspecting the vertical spread in the results.

Detailed exploration of the tail of a probability distribution, as shown in Fig 9, can be interesting in its own right, for instance to detect multimodality, or otherwise explore the state-space for rare but important events. We are also interested in using the high resolution characterization of the tail of the P2 distribution as leverage with which to facilitate estimation of the mean time to the production of five P2 molecules. The target of five P2 molecules was chosen to represent a modest but non-trivial level of P2 production.

To extract information about average P2 production time from short simulations, we work in a steady-state framework, as described in the Methods section. Using this methodology, we are able to infer the mean time to the creation of five P2 molecules, a relatively long timescale, from a weighted ensemble of short simulations. Shown in Fig 10 is probability flux arriving at the target state of five P2 molecules at each WE iteration, as well as a running average of those

![Fig 9. Accelerated sampling of high P2 levels.](https://example.com/fig9.png)
instantaneous measurements, made using the most recent half of the data up to that time. When the system reaches a steady-state, the inverse of the probability flux into the target state, shown for on the right vertical axis of Fig. 10, is equal to the mean time to reach the target state. In Fig. 10, we see that the estimated time to the production of five P2 molecules is on the order of 5,000 seconds. This estimate will converge, within stochastic noise, to the true MFPT of the system when the flow of probability induced by the recycling process has relaxed to a steady state; see the Methods section for details.

Although WE is extremely efficient at characterizing the P2 distribution (Fig. 9), its performance for estimating the MFPT is not exceptional in this case. The two WE runs require 31,328 seconds (run 1) and 27,408 seconds (run 2) of aggregate simulation to reach the relatively steady estimation shown in Fig. 10 at \( t = 400 \) seconds. By comparison, to obtain five to ten events for estimating the MFPT by brute-force sampling would require \( \sim 25,000 \) to \( \sim 50,000 \) seconds based on the estimated MFPT of \( \sim 5,000 \) seconds. Note that such long runs would not be able to benefit from parallelization.

The efficiency of the steady-state approach to measuring the mean first passage time depends on the time to convergence, and the noise of the sampling, once converged. The noise of the sampling can be reduced by a more densely sampled weighted ensemble, but the time to convergence is more difficult to characterize. In the approach used here, the latter timescale depends on the waiting time to typical transition events (e.g. about 200 seconds in Fig. 10), and the time it takes the system to relax to a steady-state. If these timescales (multiplied by the number of WE trajectories) are close to the timescale of the mean first passage time, then the estimate may not be particularly efficient. It will, however be less variable than a brute-force estimate of equivalent sampling power, and more convenient, in that it explores events of very different likelihood, and efficiently explores the state-space while estimating a key observable.

**Fig. 10. Steady-state estimate of time to produce five P2.** The last event in the complex signaling network of Fig. 6 is the production of P2. Using a steady-state approach, weighted ensemble is able to estimate the mean time to producing 5 molecules of P2 as approximately 5,000 seconds. The graph shows the probability flux arriving at the target state (left axis) in each iteration (points), and a running average of that flux computed from the most recent 50% of the data (lines). The mean time to reach the target state (right axis) is obtained via the Hill relation (Eq 1), as the inverse of the steady-state flux. Note that during most iterations, zero weight reaches the target state, as evidenced by the nearly continuous band of points at the bottom of the figure. The running average of the flux is dominated by these uneventful iterations, and hence is less than the nonzero instantaneous values.

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004611.g010
Time dependent kinetics: Neuromuscular junction

Finally, we apply weighted ensemble sampling to a model of the active zone of a frog neuromuscular junction. This system, shown in Fig 7, and described in detail in the Models section, simulates the dynamics of vesicle fusion in the presynaptic terminal. The MCell model used in this study is identical to the one described previously [29]. Briefly, calcium molecules are released into the active zone, and are free to diffuse and bind to the calcium binding sites on the synaptic vesicles in response to an action potential. When enough calcium binds to a vesicle in the proper arrangement, the vesicle is considered to have fused.

Calibrating and validating the response of the model against experimental data is of crucial importance, but at low calcium concentrations, it becomes highly inefficient to perform brute-force simulation to gather good statistics. In the neuromuscular junction system, the probability of vesicle fusion depends on the external calcium concentration and falls off sharply as the calcium concentration is decreased.

Fig 11 shows the distribution of times to first fusion in the model, or first passage time (FPT) distribution to fusion, when the external calcium concentration is 0.5 mM and 0.3 mM. At each concentration, we plot the averaged results of 100 weighted ensemble runs, each of which was performed as specified in the Models section, as well as the averaged results of brute-force simulations, which in total required the same computational effort to simulate as the 100 weighted ensemble simulations (7545 brute-force simulations for the 0.3 mM system, 3513 for the 0.5 mM system). The difference with which the two approaches—weighted ensemble and brute-force—are able to capture the shape of the distribution, and the uncertainty in the estimation of it, is striking. We are unaware of any definitive methods of estimating error when the sample yield is extremely low, and hence have omitted error bars when only one or two samples were obtained.

At low calcium concentrations, the overwhelming majority of simulations do not result in a vesicle release, which is why brute-force sampling is so ineffective. Notice that the total area (i.e. the total probability of vesicle fusion) in the histogram for the 0.3 mM condition is only on the order of $10^{-4}$. One would have to perform on the order of $100/10^{-4} = 10^6$ simulations to start gathering meaningful statistics (100 samples) with which to compute the fusion time distribution. This amount of computing (∼20 years running in serial, if each simulation only takes a minute, or ∼20 weeks, running in parallel on a 48-core machine) is unfeasible to perform even once, let alone at all the different settings of model parameters of interest. Using weighted ensemble, however, it becomes practical to sample this model in the low-calcium regime, providing critical information for model validation and fitting purposes. The weighted ensemble sampling for the 0.3 mM condition shown in Fig 11 took time equivalent to 7545 brute-force simulations, and runs in matter of hours in parallel on 48 cores.

Fig 12 summarizes NMJ results at five different experimentally relevant calcium concentrations. The data are a striking recapitulation of an experimentally demonstrated power-law dependence of probability to fuse as a function of calcium ion concentration [67]. Validating the model in low calcium regimes has been intractable with traditional sampling approaches. Using weighted ensemble, we are able to sample the model at all concentrations of interest.

Discussion

Spatial models of stochastic reaction-diffusion processes have found widespread use as tools in understanding the mechanics of biological processes on the cellular level and beyond [68–72]. Unfortunately, the effective sampling of large, realistic models, and the extraction of well-sampled values of experimentally relevant quantities are often beyond the realm of computational feasibility. We use a weighted ensemble approach to overcome this impediment and
Fig 11. Enhanced sampling of the first fusion time distribution in the NMJ Model in low calcium conditions. Shown are measurements of the first fusion (i.e., first passage) time distribution for calcium concentrations of 0.5 mM (left), and 0.3 mM (right). In both plots, weighted ensemble estimate of the distribution of first fusion times for the NMJ model (blue), are compared to brute-force estimates (red) made using the same computational power as the weighted ensemble estimate. Points at the bottom of the plot indicate no fusion events in that time period. Single points with no error bars indicate only one sample, yielding no ability to estimate uncertainties. Brute-force sampling sees very few events, and gives a poor estimate of the shape of the distribution and yields poor confidence intervals (it is unable to exclude zero from any time point at one standard error). Weighted ensemble is able to capture the shape of the fusion time distribution, as well as providing good estimates in the uncertainty of the measured values.

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004611.g011
demonstrate speedups of orders of magnitude in sampling some observables in complex models of cellular behavior with spatial dependence. Weighted ensemble is an ideal approach to employ in addressing the issue of difficult to sample stochastic systems, and because of its efficiency and ease of use, we anticipate many further applications.

Strengths and weaknesses of WE

**Strengths.** Weighted ensemble is one of many enhanced sampling methods, and one of a smaller number that provides rigorous kinetics [17]. However, WE stands out from comparable approaches in its modularity, flexibility, and ease of use. Because weighted ensemble only performs resampling at fixed time intervals, and only when a trajectory transitions from one state-space bin to another, there is no need to catch trajectories in the act of crossing a state-space interface. This facilitates the implementation of weighted ensemble as a lightweight “wrapper code” around any number of simulation engines. A weighted ensemble approach also parallelizes trivially, as all trajectories are uncoupled while running, and are only compared intermittently during resampling. This efficiency in scaling has been demonstrated on simulations using over 2000 cores across many nodes on the Ranger supercomputer [37]. Additionally, a weighted ensemble of trajectories always follows the exact dynamics of the system; no biasing potentials, altered rate constants, change of measure, or other “hands on” tactics are necessary for efficient sampling.

A significant benefit of the WE approach is the ability to quickly find behaviors of a system that are very rare, or to detect the presence of multiple stable states of a system with high crossing barriers. As seen in our study of the cellular signaling model in a realistic geometry, efficient sampling via WE permits estimation of previously unknown long timescales using short simulations.

**Weaknesses.** All unbiased enhanced sampling methods that sample systems preferentially along a set of progress coordinates, including weighted ensemble, are useful only insofar as
state space has been divided along progress coordinates sufficient to characterize processes of interest. This limitation amounts to employing all of the slow, uncorrelated, degrees of freedom in the system as progress coordinates. Fortunately, an exhaustive use of all slow degrees of freedom is not required, as most will be correlated, and thus redundant descriptors of slow processes. Hence a “curse of dimensionality” does not cripple these approaches, and exists only to the extent that a system has important slow degrees of freedom which are uncorrelated with binned coordinates, but sufficient sampling is never guaranteed.

Sampling coordinates orthogonal to the progress coordinate will, by definition, not happen at an enhanced rate, which places a lower limit on the shortest useful simulations that can be performed. For instance, the toy model we investigate has only one effective degree of freedom, and displays an enormous amount of speed-up in sampling along this coordinate, because there are no slow orthogonal degrees of freedom being sampled on a slow, “native” timescale. On the other hand, the signaling model in the realistic cellular geometry shows less enhancement, a factor of about $10^5$ in sampling large amounts of P2, and less than that in estimating the mean time to production of five P2. This is because there are degrees of freedom in the system orthogonal to our progress coordinate that must relax to steady-state at an unenhanced rate, a process which occurs on a timescale uncoupled to weighted ensemble resampling.

A potential difficulty is that of correlation between trajectories. Since most WE trajectories share some history by construction, judging the degree to which related trajectories are independently sampling the space requires special care [24, 25]. Estimating observables within a single WE run requires careful consideration of time correlation. Alternatively, as in the present study, multiple independent WE runs directly provide unambiguous information on statistical uncertainty.

Weighted ensemble is not guaranteed to enhance sampling for all observables. In essence, WE will be most useful for the observables that occur with low probabilities on the time scales of interest. For less challenging quantities, such as the mean first passage time of Fig 10, or the high calcium concentrations of Fig 12, WE may primarily offer the advantage of simple parallelization.

Summary and outlook

The multi-scale modeling problem posed by constructing accurate, physically realistic models of cellular level processes is considerable. We have demonstrated the utility of sampling spatially inhomogeneous stochastic simulations of cellular processes using a weighted ensemble (WE) approach. Although WE cannot estimate every quantity with high efficiency, estimates for some observables were obtained using orders of magnitude less overall computing than would have been required with conventional parallelization. We hope that these initial results will facilitate the study of more realistic and physically accurate spatial models of biological systems. As an ambitious example, integrating spatial models of stochastic processes with microscopy data of protein localization to predict phenotypic response to the perturbations of interactome networks is an attractive prospect for in silico drug development and personalized medicine. Currently, the bottlenecks in such a scheme are the lack of accurate models and the computational resources with which to simulate them. We hope that this work will contribute to the development of truly physiological computational models.

Supporting Information

S1 Model. Toy model. Files containing the model details and with which one can simulate the model in MCell.

(ZIP)
S2 Model. **Cellular model in realistic geometry.** Files containing the model details and with which one can simulate the model in MCell.

(ZIP)

S3 Model. **Realistic cellular model reaction network.** BioNetGen file containing the details of the reaction network used in the model.

(BNGL)

S4 Model. **Neuromuscular junction.** Files containing the model details and with which one can simulate the model in MCell.

(ZIP)

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge helpful conversations with Ernesto Suárez, Lillian Chong, Matthew Zwier, and Joshua Adelman.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: RMD JRF RFM MD DMZ. Performed the experiments: RMD JJT DPS. Analyzed the data: RMD DMZ. Wrote the paper: RMD JJT DPS JRF RFM MD DMZ.

References

1. Zuckerman DM (2010) Statistical Physics of Biomolecules: An Introduction. Taylor & Francis.
2. Elowitz MB, Levine AJ, Siggia ED, Swain PS (2002) Stochastic gene expression in a single cell. Science 297: 1183–6. doi:10.1126/science.1070919 PMID: 12183631
3. Munosky B, Neuert G, van Oudenaarden A (2012) Using gene expression noise to understand gene regulation. Science 336: 183–7. doi:10.1126/science.1216379 PMID: 22499939
4. Stefan MI, Bartol TM, Sejnowski TJ, Kennedy MB (2014) Multi-state modeling of biomolecules. PLoS computational biology 10: e1003844. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003844 PMID: 25254957
5. Shaw DE, Grossman J, Bank JA, Batson B, Butts JA, et al. (2014) Anton 2: Raising the Bar for Performance and Programmability in a Special-Purpose Molecular Dynamics Supercomputer. In: SC14: International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis. IEEE, pp. 41–53. 10.1109/SC.2014.9. URL http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2683593.2683599.
6. Dror RO, Dirks RM, Grossman JP, Xu H, Shaw DE (2012) Biomolecular simulation: a computational microscope for molecular biology. Annual review of biophysics 41: 429–52. doi:10.1146/annurev-biophys-042910-155245 PMID: 22577825
7. Karr JR, Sanghvi JC, Macklin DN, Gutschow MV, Jacobs JM, et al. (2012) A whole-cell computational model predicts phenotype from genotype. Cell 150: 389–401. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.05.044 PMID: 22817898
8. Torrie G, Valleau J (1977) Nonphysical sampling distributions in Monte Carlo free-energy estimation: Umbrella sampling. Journal of Computational Physics 23: 187–199. doi:10.1016/0021-9991(77)90121-8
9. Kumar S, Rosenberg JM, Bouzida D, Swendsen RH, Kollman PA (1992) THE weighted histogram analysis method for free-energy calculations on biomolecules. I. The method. Journal of Computational Chemistry 13: 1011–1021. doi:10.1002/jcc.540130812
10. Bussi G, Laio A, Parrinello M (2006) Equilibrium Free Energies from Nonequilibrium Metadynamics. Physical Review Letters 96: 090601. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.090601 PMID: 16606249
11. Moroni D, van Erp TS, Bolhuis PG (2004) Investigating rare events by transition interface sampling. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications 340: 395–401. doi:10.1016/j.physa.2004.04.033
12. Allen RJ, Valeriani C, ten Wolde PR (2009) Forward flux sampling for rare event simulations. Journal of physics: Condensed matter 21: 463102. PMID: 21715864
13. Huber GA, Kim S (1996) Weighted-ensemble Brownian dynamics simulations for protein association reactions. Biophysical journal 70: 97–110. doi:10.1016/S0006-3495(96)78552-8 PMID: 8770190
14. Dellago C, Bolhuis PG, Csajka FS, Chandler D (1998) Transition path sampling and the calculation of rate constants. The Journal of Chemical Physics 108: 1994. doi: 10.1063/1.475562
15. Faradjian AK, Elber R (2004) Computing time scales from reaction coordinates by milestoneing. The Journal of chemical physics 120: 10880–9. doi: 10.1063/1.1738640 PMID: 15268118
16. Warmflash A, Bhimalapuram P, Dinner AR (2007) Umbrella sampling for nonequilibrium processes. The Journal of chemical physics 127: 154112. doi: 10.1063/1.2784118 PMID: 17949137
17. Zhang BW, Jasnow D, Zuckerman DM (2010) The “weighted ensemble” path sampling method is statistically exact for a broad class of stochastic processes and binning procedures. The Journal of chemical physics 132: 054107. doi: 10.1063/1.3306345 PMID: 20136305
18. Swendsen RH, Wang JS (1986) Replica Monte Carlo Simulation of Spin-Glasses. Physical Review Letters 57: 2607–2609. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.57.2607 PMID: 1003814
19. Hansmann UH (1997) Parallel tempering algorithm for conformational studies of biological molecules. Chemical Physics Letters 281: 140–150. doi: 10.1016/S0009-2614(97)01198-6
20. Sugita Y, Okamoto Y (1999) Replica-exchange molecular dynamics method for protein folding. Chemical Physics Letters 314: 141–151. doi: 10.1016/S0009-2614(99)01123-9
21. Lyman E, Ytreberg FM, Zuckerman DM (2006) Resolution Exchange Simulation. Physical Review Letters 96: 028105. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.028105 PMID: 16486650
22. Scheraga HA, Khalili M, Liwo A (2007) Protein-folding dynamics: overview of molecular simulation techniques. Annual review of physical chemistry 58: 57–83. doi: 10.1146/annurev.physchem.58.032806.104614 PMID: 17034338
23. Zuckerman DM (2011) Equilibrium sampling in biomolecular simulations. Annual review of biophysics 40: 41–62. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biophys-042910-155255 PMID: 21370970
24. Zwier MC, Kaus JW, Chong LT (2011) Efficient Explicit-Solvent Molecular Dynamics Simulations of Molecular Association Kinetics: Methane/Methane, Na+/Cl-, Methane/Benzene, and K+/18-Crown-6 Ether. Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation 7: 1189–1197. doi: 10.1021/jct100626x PMID: 2660365
25. Zhang BW, Jasnow D, Zuckerman DM (2007) Efficient and verified simulation of a path ensemble for conformational change in a united-residue model of calmodulin. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 104: 18043–8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0706349104 PMID: 17984047
26. Bhatt D, Zuckerman DM (2010) Heterogeneous path ensembles for conformational transitions in semi-atomistic models of adenylate kinase. Journal of chemical theory and computation 6: 3527–3539. doi: 10.1021/ct100406l PMID: 21660120
27. Donovan RM, Sedgewick AJ, Faeder JR, Zuckerman DM (2013) Efficient stochastic simulation of chemical kinetics networks using a weighted ensemble of trajectories. The Journal of chemical physics 139: 115105. doi: 10.1063/1.4821167 PMID: 24070313
28. Suárez E, Lettieri S, Zwier MC, Stringer CA, Subramaniam SR, et al. (2014) Simultaneous Computation of Dynamical and Equilibrium Information Using a Weighted Ensemble of Trajectories. Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation 10: 2658–2667. doi: 10.1021/cj400165s PMID: 25246856
29. Dittrich M, Pattillo JM, King JD, Cho S, Stiles JR, et al. (2013) An excess-calcium-binding-site model predicts neurotransmitter release at the neuromuscular junction. Biophysical journal 104: 2751–63. doi: 10.1016/j.bjp.2013.05.023 PMID: 23790384
30. Gillespie DT (2007) Stochastic simulation of chemical kinetics. Annual review of physical chemistry 58: 35–55. doi: 10.1146/annurev.physchem.58.032806.104637 PMID: 17037977
31. Sneddon MW, Faeder JR, Emonet T (2011) Efficient modeling, simulation and coarse-graining of biological complexity with NFsim. Nature methods 8: 177–83. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1546 PMID: 21186362
32. Huh WK, Falvo JV, Gerke LC, Carroll AS, Howson RW, et al. (2003) Global analysis of protein localization in budding yeast. Nature 425: 686–91. doi: 10.1038/nature02026 PMID: 14562095
33. Sprenger J, Lynn Fink J, Karunaratne S, Hanson K, Hamilton NA, et al. (2008) LOCATE: a mammalian protein subcellular localization database. Nucleic acids research 36: D230. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkm950 PMID: 17986452
34. Coelho LP, Kangas JD, Naik AW, Osuma-Higley E, Glory-Afshar E, et al. (2013) Determining the subcellular location of new proteins from microscope images using local features. Bioinformatics 29: 2343–2349. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btt392 PMID: 23836142
35. Adelman JL, Grabe M (2013) Simulating rare events using a weighted ensemble-based string method. The Journal of chemical physics 138: 044105. doi: 10.1063/1.4773892 PMID: 23387566
36. Hill TL (2004) Free Energy Transduction And Biochemical Cycle Kinetics. Dover Publications, 119 pp. URL http://books.google.com/books?id=AqVsAAACAAJ&pgis=1.
37. Zwier MC, Adelman J, Kaus JW, Pratt AJ, Wong KF, et al. (2015) WESTPA: An interoperable, highly scalable software package for weighted ensemble simulation and analysis. Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation 11: 150113180903008. doi: 10.1021/ct5010615
38. Kerr RA, Bartol TM, Kaminsky B, Dittrich M, Chang JCJ, et al. (2008) Fast Monte Carlo Simulation Methods for Biological Reaction-Diffusion Systems in Solution and on Surfaces. SIAM journal on scientific computing 30: 3126. doi: 10.1137/070692017 PMID: 20151023
39. Kinney JP, Spacek J, Bartol TM, Bajaj CL, Harris KM, et al. (2013) Extracellular sheets and tunnels modulate glutamate diffusion in hippocampal neuropil. Journal of Comparative Neurology 521: 448–464. doi: 10.1002/cne.23181 PMID: 22740128
40. Scimemi A, Diamond JS (2012) The number and organization of Ca2+ channels in the active zone modulate glutamate diffusion in hippocampal neuropil. Journal of Comparative Neurology 521: 448–464. doi: 10.1002/cne.23181 PMID: 22740128
41. Dilger JP (2013) Simulation of the kinetics of neuromuscular block: implications for speed of onset. Anesthesia and analgesia 117: 792–802. PMID: 23456666
42. Fameli N, Ogunbayo OA, van Bremen C, Evans AM (2014) Cytoplasmic nanojunctions between lysosomes and sarcoplasmic reticulum are required for specific calcium signaling. F1000Research 3: 93. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.3720.1 PMID: 25126414
43. Regner BM, Vučinić D, Domnisoru C, Bartol TM, Hetzer MW, et al. (2013) Anomalous diffusion of single particles in cytoplasm. Biophysical journal 104: 693. doi: 10.1002/bies.201200032
44. Coggan JS, Bartol TM, Esquenazi E, Stiles JR, Lamont S, et al. (2005) Evidence for ectopic neurotransmission at a neuronal synapse. Science (New York, NY) 309: 446–51. doi: 10.1126/science.1108239
45. Bartol TM, Dittrich M, Faeder JR (2015) MCell. In: Jaeger D, Jung R, editors, Encyclopedia of Computational Neuroscience, New York: Springer. pp. 1673–1676. URL http://link.springer.com/referenceworkentry/10.1007/978-1-4614-7320-6_256-1.
46. Stiles JR, Van Helden D, Bartol TM, Salpeter EE, Salpeter MM (1996) Miniature endplate current rise times less than 100 microseconds from improved dual recordings can be modeled with passive acetylcholine diffusion from a synaptic vesicle. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 93: 5747–52. doi: 10.1073/pnas.93.12.5747 PMID: 8650164
47. Stiles J, Bartol T (2001) Computational neuroscience: Realistic modeling for experimentalists. In: De Schutter E, editor, Computational Neuroscience: Realistic Modeling for Experimentalists, Boca Raton: CRC Press, chapter Monte Carlo. pp. 87–127. URL http://www.citeulike.org/user/wvangeit/article/1721805.
48. Faeder JR, Blinov ML, Hlavacek WS (2009) Rule-based modeling of biochemical systems with BioNet-Gen. Methods in molecular biology 500: 113–67. doi: 10.1007/978-1-59745-525-1_5 PMID: 19399430
49. Chylek LA, Harris LA, Tung CS, Faeder JR, Lopez CF, et al. (2014) Rule-based modeling: a computational approach for studying biomolecular site dynamics in cell signaling systems. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Systems Biology and Medicine 6: 13–36. doi: 10.1002/wsbm.1245 PMID: 24123887
50. Zhao T, Murphy RF (2007) Automated learning of generative models for subcellular location: building blocks for systems biology. Cytometry 71A: 978–90. doi: 10.1002/cyto.a.20487
51. Rohde GK, Wang W, Peng T, Murphy RF (2008) Deformation-based nonlinear dimension reduction: Applications to nuclear morphometry. In: 2008 5th IEEE International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging: From Nano to Macro. IEEE, pp. 500–503. 10.1109/ISBI.2008.4541042. URL http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/articleDetails.jsp?arnumber = 4541042.
52. Rohde GK, Ribeiro AJS, Dahl KN, Murphy RF (2008) Deformation-based nuclear morphometry: capturing nuclear shape variation in HeLa cells. Cytometry 73A: 341–50. doi: 10.1002/cyto.a.20506
53. Peng T, Wang W, Rohde GK, Murphy RF (2009) Instance-Based Generative Biological Shape Modeling. Proceedings / IEEE International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging: from nano to macro IEEE International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging 5193141: 690–693.
54. Shariff A, Murphy RF, Rohde GK (2010) A generative model of microtubule distributions, and indirect estimation of its parameters from fluorescence microscopy images. Cytometry 77A: 383–91. doi: 10.1002/cyto.a.21066
55. Peng T, Murphy RF (2011) Image-derived, three-dimensional generative models of cellular organization. Cytometry 79A: 457–66. doi: 10.1002/cyto.a.21066
56. Buck TE, Li J, Rohde GK, Murphy RF (2012) Toward the virtual cell: automated approaches to building models of subcellular organization “learned” from microscopy images. BioEssays: news and reviews in molecular, cellular and developmental biology 34: 791–9. doi: 10.1002/bies.201200032
57. Li J, Shariff A, Wiking M, Lundberg E, Rohde GK, et al. (2012) Estimating microtubule distributions from 2D immunofluorescence microscopy images reveals differences among human cultured cell lines. PloS one 7: e50292. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0050292 PMID: 23209697
58. Murphy RF (2012) CellOrganizer: Image-derived models of subcellular organization and protein distribution. Methods in cell biology 110: 179–93. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-388403-9.00007-2 PMID: 22482949
59. Sullivan D, Tapia JJ, Arepally R, Murphy RF, Dittrich M, et al. (2015) Design Automation for Biological Models: A Pipeline that Incorporates Spatial and Molecular Complexity. In: GLSVLSI.
60. SBML-Spatial Working Group (2015). SBML Spatial Processes Specification. URL http://sbml.org/Documents/Specifications/SBML_Level_3/Packages/spatial.
61. Harris La, Hogg JS, Faeder JR (2009) Compartmental rule-based modeling of biochemical systems. Proceedings of the 2009 Winter Simulation Conference (WSC): 908–919.
62. Folkman J, Moscona A (1978) Role of cell shape in growth control. Nature 273: 345–349. doi: 10.1038/273345a0 PMID: 661946
63. McBeath R, Pirone DM, Nelson CM, Bhadriraju K, Chen CS (2004) Cell Shape, Cytoskeletal Tension, and RhoA Regulate Stem Cell Lineage Commitment. Developmental Cell 6: 483–495. doi: 10.1016/S1534-5807(04)00075-9 PMID: 15068789
64. Vogel V, Sheetz M (2006) Local force and geometry sensing regulate cell functions. Nature reviews Molecular cell biology 7: 265–75. doi: 10.1038/nrm1890 PMID: 16607289
65. Bakal C, Aach J, Church G, Perrimon N (2007) Quantitative morphological signatures define local signaling networks regulating cell morphology. Science (New York, NY) 316: 1753–6. doi: 10.1126/science.1140324
66. Neves S, Tsokas P, Sarkar A (2008) Cell shape and negative links in regulatory motifs together control spatial information flow in signaling networks. Cell. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2008.04.025 PMID: 18485874
67. Dodge FA, Rahamimoff R (1967) Co-operative action of calcium ions in transmitter release at the neuromuscular junction. The Journal of Physiology 193: 419–432. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.1967.sp008367 PMID: 6065887
68. Slepenchenko BM, Schaff JC, Carson JH, Loew LM (2002) Computational cell biology: spatiotemporal simulation of cellular events. Annual review of biophysics and biomolecular structure 31: 423–41. doi: 10.1146/annurev.biophys.31.101101.140930 PMID: 11988477
69. Franks KM, Bartol TM, Sejnowski TJ (2002) A Monte Carlo model reveals independent signaling at central glutamatergic synapses. Biophysical journal 83: 2333–48. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(02)75249-X PMID: 12414671
70. Hattn J, Fange D, Elf J (2005) Stochastic reaction-diffusion simulation with MesoRD. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 21: 2923–4. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bti431
71. Andrews SS, Addy NJ, Brent R, Arkin AP (2010) Detailed simulations of cell biology with Smoldyn 2.1. PLoS computational biology 6: e1000705. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000705 PMID: 20300644
72. Dilger JP (2010) Monte Carlo Simulation of Buffered Diffusion into and out of a Model Synapse. Biophysical Journal 98: 959–967. doi: 10.1016/j.bpj.2009.11.034 PMID: 20308353