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Abstract: Chikungunya is a Ribonucleic Acid (RNA) virus that belongs to the alpha virus genus of the Togaviridae family. Chikungunya is an emerging vector-borne disease of high public health significance in World Health Organization’s South-East Asia Region. Factors associated with Chikungunya were identified. Unmatched a 1:2 case control study was conducted from 26 June-17 July, 2019. We recruited participants from health facilities and the community by structured questionnaire. Multivariable logistic regression was conducted to control confounders and to identify factors associated with Chikungunya. Entomological investigation was conducted and forty households and ten containers were surveyed to determine house and breteau indices. Fourteen serum-samples were tested by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). A total of 94 cases were reported. Females accounted for (59.6%) of the cases and (52.1%) of the controls. Presence of Chikungunya case in the household (Adjusted odds ratio=6.7; 95%CI (3.42-13.03); P=<0.001); Water holding container (AOR=2.85, 95%CI (1.37-5.91); P=0.005), presence of larva (AOR=2.85; 95%CI (1.27-6.40); P=0.011) and Short and Long sleeves (AOR=2.2; 95%CI (1.14-4.267); P=0.018) were the independent risk factors. House and breteau indices were 100% and 20% respectively. Eight out of fourteen samples tested were positive. The presence of Chikungunya case in the household, water holding container in or around the house, larva around the villages in favorable mosquito breeding sites and in the containers and not using long sleeve clothes were risk factors for contracting the diseases.
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1. Introduction

Chikungunya virus is an RNA virus that belongs to the alpha virus genus of the Togaviridae family, the latter comprising a number of viruses that are mostly transmitted by arthropods. Infection with CHIK virus results in Chikungunya fever. The name Chikungunya derives from a root verb in the Kimakonde language meaning “that which bends up”, i.e. to become contorted. The name reflects the stooped appearance of sufferers with arthralgia Chikungunya is an emerging vector-borne disease of high public health significance in WHO’s South-East Asia Region [1].

In 2013, Chikungunya was found for the first time in the Americas and has spread to the Caribbean, South and Central America, and North America [2]. For 2017, 10 countries reported 548 cases of Chikungunya, of which 461 (84.1%) were confirmed. Of these cases, 303 cases (55.3%) were locally acquired and 227 (41.4%) were imported, while the remaining 18 cases had an unknown place of infection as in 2016 and 2015, the EU/EEA notification rate in 2017 was 0.1 cases per 100,000 population [3].

Chikungunya has a 60-70 nanometer diameter capsid and a phospholipids envelope. It is sensitive to temperatures above 58° Celsius and also to desiccation. Believed to be enzootic throughout much of Africa, CHIK virus probably spread to other parts of the world from this origin. African and Asian strains are reported to differ biologically with distinct lineages. Three lineages with distinct genotypic and antigenic characteristics have been identified: two phylogenetic groups,
periods, a number of vertebrates have been implied as the junk items (vehicular tyres, plastic and metal cans, etc.).

2. Method

2.1. Study Area and Period

The investigation was conducted in Southern nations, nationalities and people’s regional state, Mareka district, which situated 560 Kilo meter (KM) and 346 KM from the capital city Addis Ababa and Hawasa city from the region respectively. Based on the information we get from the local authority, the district has 60,213 populations from which 9399 and 1921 are under five and under one aged population respectively. The district has a total of 3 health centers and 11 health posts which delivery health care service to the community. The district is administratively classified in to 15 Kebeles. The investigation is conducted from June 26 to July 17, 2019 and health facilities were selected based on case load.

2.2. Study Design

We conducted a descriptive analysis of the collected line list of cases followed by unmatched case control study with a case to control ratio of 1:2 in order to identify the possible risk factors of the outbreak. Febrile cases who fulfill the case definition of Chikungunya and epidemiologically linked cases at the health facility and controls who are residing within the same village where the cases identified during the study period were included in the study and febrile case which does not fulfill the cases definitions or not linked epidemiologically and controls not from the same village or area were excluded from the study during the study period.

2.3. Sample Size

We included all 94 cases reported during the study period and using a 1:2 case to control ratio we selected 188 controls randomly from the same village where cases are identified.

Selection of cases and controls: We recruited all Chikungunya cases at health facility as well as those identified from the community by active searching during the study period. And cases treated before the study period were excluded due to information bias during data collection and easily inaccessibility of those cases and controls were taken randomly from the same area where cases are identified.

2.4. Data Collection Tools and Procedures

Document review: Outpatient medical logbooks and medical record of cases at the health facility were reviewed. The laboratory findings of the first 14 cases at the national reference laboratory at EPHI were also reviewed.

Interviewing cases and controls: Using a structured questionnaire (annexed), cases and controls were interviewed by four data collectors (including the principal investigator).

2.5. Entomological Investigation

We had also conducted entomological investigation in one of the selected kebeles specifically in Gendo bacho kebele where most of the cases were treated and reported and 40 selected households were addressed in Gendo bacho kebele.
estimating risk. Adult mosquitoes were collected in the domestic and peri-domestic environments in order to estimate risk indices. Larvae and pupae were sampled from the natural and artificial breeding containers. House Index (HI) and Breteau Index (BI) were computed by the WHO formulas [7].

Breteau index=\frac{\text{Number of positive containers}}{\text{Number of houses inspected}} \times 100

House index=\frac{\text{Number of infested houses}}{\text{Number of houses inspected}} \times 100

Container index=\frac{\text{Number of positive containers}}{\text{Number of containers inspected}} \times 100

2.6. Data Analysis and Data Quality Assurance

Before the start of data collection, a half-day orientation was given for data collectors. Each completed questionnaire was reviewed by the principal investigator to monitor the data quality. Before analysis, data was also cleaned for any missing and logically inconsistent values. All collected data are entered and analyzed using statistical software’s SPSS, bivariate and multivariate (using logistic regression model) analysis are conducted using SPSS 2013. Results were displayed using texts, tables and graphs and statistical significance was interpreted using Odd ratio with 95% confidence interval and P value < 0.05.

2.7. Laboratory Methods

Three main laboratory tests are used for diagnosing Chikungunya fevers: virus isolation, serological tests and molecular technique of polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Specimen is usually blood or serum but in neurological cases with meningo-encephalitic feature CSF may also be sent as specimen [1]. Samples were collected to identify the cause of the outbreak. The outbreak was tested first by rapid diagnostic test and then by RT-PCR was performed for confirmation.

2.8. Ethical Clearance

Ethical clearance was obtained from Ethiopian Public Health Institute (EPHI). A letter was written for District health offices in order to obtain approval on data collection and approval of the health facilities administration was obtained before approaching the patients. Informed verbal consent was obtained from all study participants before conducting interview by explaining the purpose of the study. Privacy and confidentiality was ensured. The name of respondents was not written on the questionnaire, therefore, the information study participants provide was not known to others. The participation of individuals in this study was purely voluntary.

3. Result

Socio Demographic Characteristics

A total of 94 case patients and 188 controls were included in the study. From the total study participants, 128 (45%) were males and 154 (55%) were females. Out of the 94 cases, 38 (40.4%) were males. The mean age for cases and controls were 29 and 30 respectively. From the total cases, 23 (24.5%) were singles and 60 (63.8%) were married. From the total cases, 37 (39.4%) were farmers and from the controls 53 (28.2%) were farmers and 37 (19.7%) were house wife’s. From the cases, 30 (31.9%) were illiterate and more than half the cases 49 (52.1%) had finished primary school. Whereas for the controls 105 (55.9%) had finished primary school and 8 (4.3%) were reached college and university (Table 1).

| Descriptive Variables | Cases | | | Controls | | | Total n=282 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| Sex | Male | 38 | 40.4 | 90 | 47.9 | 128 (45%) |
| | Female | 56 | 59.6 | 98 | 52.1 | 154 (55%) |
| Age | 0-4 | 2 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 2 (0.7%) |
| | 5-14 | 17 | 18.1 | 13 | 6.9 | 30 (10.6%) |
| | 15-44 | 67 | 71.3 | 155 | 82.4 | 222 (78.7%) |
| | 45+ | 8 | 8.5 | 20 | 10.6 | 28 (9.9%) |
| Marital status | Single | 23 | 24.5 | 43 | 22.9 | 66 (23.4%) |
| | Married | 60 | 63.8 | 129 | 68.6 | 189 (67%) |
| | Divorced | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2.1 | 4 (1.4%) |
| Educational status | NA | 11 | 11.7 | 12 | 6.4 | 23 (8.2%) |
| | Illiterate | 30 | 31.9 | 49 | 26.1 | 79 (28.0%) |
| | Primary school | 49 | 52.1 | 105 | 55.9 | 154 (54.6%) |
| | Secondary school | 13 | 13.8 | 26 | 13.8 | 39 (13.8%) |
| | College and university | 2 | 2.1 | 8 | 4.3 | 10 (3.5%) |
Laboratory Result
A total of fourteen samples were collected and sent to EPHI national reference laboratory from Gendo kebele of Mareka district for confirmation of the outbreak. From the total samples eight were tested positive for Chikungunya virus by molecular techniques of PCR with a positivity rate of (57.1% (8/14)) and the rest were tested negative for the virus.

Entomological investigation
Enset leaf axil holds the lion’s share in the breeding of Aedes mosquitoes as all the assessed Enset surrounded HHs have seen to be larvae and pupa positive.
Eight types of positive containers have been identified positive out of ten types of containers assessed from 40 households. House, Breteau and container were 100%, 20% and 80% respectively (Table 2).

Knowledge towards CHIKV
The participants were also asked knowledge questions regarding CHIKV and out of the total case respondents, 26 (27.7%) of them had heard about CHIKV and 56 (29.9%) of controls had incite about the diseases. Only 10 (10.6%) and 17 (9%) cases and controls respectively state that the causative agent for Chikungunya is a virus. Among the cases and controls, 19 (20.2%) and 32 (17%) of the case and controls respectively state mosquito as a means of transmission. On the other hand 59 (62.8%) of the cases and 143 (76.15) of the controls had no idea about the time mosquito bites. Of the cases 19 (20.2%) and from the controls 47 (25%) know about the symptoms, even if more than half of the cases and controls did not heard about the disease (Table 3).

Sign and Symptoms towards CHIKV
The cases were also asked for sign and symptoms and fever (100%), headache (100%) and muscle and joint pain (94%) were the top identified symptoms (Table 4).

Table 2. Entomological larval and pupae surveyed in selected households of Gendo bicho kebele, 2019.

| Place investigated | Type of vector collected | Vector indices | Adult collection |
|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
|                   |                          | Breteau Index | House index    | Container index | Yes/No |
| Mareka             | Aedes species            | 20%           | 100%           | 80%             | Yes    |
| Gendo bicho kebele |                          |                |                |                 |        |

Table 3. Knowledge on Chikungunya cases and controls, Mareka District, 2019.

| Characteristics                                      | Cases     | Controls | Total n=282 |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------|-------------|
| Heard About Chikungunya Virus                       |           |          |             |
| Yes                                                  | 26 (27.7%)| 56 (29.8%)| 82 (29.1%)  |
| No                                                   | 68 (72.3%)| 132 (70.2%)| 200 (70.9%) |
| Cause Of Chikungunya Virus                          |           |          |             |
| Virus                                                | 10 (10.6%)| 17 (9%)   | 27 (9.6%)   |
| Don’t Know                                           | 84 (89.4%)| 171 (91%) | 255 (90.4%) |
| Mode Of Transmission                                 |           |          |             |
| By Mosquito                                          | 19 (20.2%)| 32 (17%)  | 51 (18.1%)  |
| Air Droplets                                         | 4 (4.3%)  | 17 (9%)   | 21 (7.4%)   |
| Don’t Know                                           | 71 (75.5%)| 139 (73.9%)| 210 (74.5%) |
| Time Mosquito Bites                                  |           |          |             |
| Night                                                | 10 (10.6%)| 19 (10.1%)| 29 (10.3%)  |
| Day                                                  | 25 (26.6%)| 26 (13.8%)| 51 (18.1%)  |
| Don’t Know                                           | 59 (62.8%)| 143 (76.1%)| 202 (71.6%) |
| Water Required For Mosquito To Breed                 |           |          |             |
| Yes                                                  | 17 (18.1%)| 43 (22.9%)| 60 (21.3%)  |
| No                                                   | 18 (19.1%)| 32 (17%)  | 50 (17.7%)  |
| Do Not Know                                          | 59 (62.8%)| 113 (60.1%)| 172 (61%)   |
| Know Symptoms Of Chikungunya                        |           |          |             |
| Yes                                                  | 19 (20.2%)| 47 (25%)  | 66 (23.4%)  |
| No                                                   | 75 (79.8%)| 141 (75%) | 216 (76.6%) |

Sign and Symptoms towards CHIKV
The cases were also asked for sign and symptoms and fever (100%), headache (100%) and muscle and joint pain (94%) were the top identified symptoms (Table 4).
Table 4. Distribution of sign and symptoms of Chikungunya cases, Mareka, District, 2019.

| Symptoms                        | Number of cases | Proportion of all cases (%) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Fever                           | 94              | 100.0                       |
| Headache                        | 94              | 100.0                       |
| Restlessness or lethargy        | 7               | 7.4                         |
| Abdominal pain, nausea or vomiting | 13          | 13.8                        |
| Chills                          | 84              | 89.4                        |
| Muscle and joint pain           | 88              | 94.0                        |

Risk factors of CHIKV

On bivariate analysis, using LLINs while sleeping (P=0.005), presence of water holding container in/around the house (Adjusted OR=2.85, 95%CI (1.37-5.91); P=0.005), presence of larva (adjusted OR=2.85; 95%CI (1.27-6.40); P=0.011) and type of cloth (Adjusted OR=2.2; 95%CI (1.144-4.267); P=0.018) were important risk factors identified (Table 4).

On multivariable analysis, the factor remained statically significantly associated with illness were; presence of Chikungunya case in the house hold (Adjusted OR=6.7; 95%CI (3.42-13.03); P<0.001), Water holding container in/around the house (Adjusted OR=2.85, 95%CI (1.37-5.91); P=0.005), presence of larva (Adjusted OR=2.85; 95%CI (1.27-6.40); P=0.011) and type of cloth (Adjusted OR=2.2; 95%CI (1.144-4.267); P=0.018) (Table 5).

Table 5. Bivariate analysis for risk factors for Chikungunya virus, Mareka District, 2019.

| Variables                                    | Category | Cases | Controls | COR (95%CI) | P-value |
|----------------------------------------------|----------|-------|----------|-------------|---------|
| Sex                                          | Male     | 38 (40.4%) | 90 (47.9%) | 1.35 (0.82-2.24) | 0.237   |
|                                              | Female   | 56 (59.6%) | 98 (52.1%) |             |         |
| Presence of diseased person                 | Yes      | 41 (43.6%) | 19 (10.1%) | 6.88 (3.68-12.86) | <0.001  |
|                                              | No       | 53 (56.4%) | 169 (89.9%) | 1*          |         |
| Presence of water holding container in/around the house | Yes | 73 (77.7%) | 164 (87.2%) | 2 (1.03-3.76) | 0.041   |
|                                              | No       | 21 (22.3%) | 24 (12.8%) | 1*          |         |
| Presence of larva in the container          | Yes      | 17 (18.1%) | 18 (9.6%)  | 2.1 (1.02-4.26) | 0.044   |
|                                              | No       | 77 (81.9%) | 170 (90.4%) | 1*          |         |
| Having LLINs                                 | Yes      | 20 (21.3%) | 46 (24.5%)  | 0.83 (0.46-1.513) | 0.551   |
|                                              | No       | 74 (78.7%) | 142 (75.5%) | 1*          |         |
| Using LLINs while sleeping in daytime       | Yes      | 7 (33.3%)  | 30 (63.8%)  | 0.28 (0.096-0.838) | 0.023   |
|                                              | No       | 14 (66.7%) | 17 (36.2%)  | 1*          |         |
| Stagnant water in the village               | Yes      | 7 (7.4%)   | 5 (2.7%)    | 1*          |         |
|                                              | No       | 87 (92.6%) | 183 (97.3%) | 0.34 (0.105-1.1) | 0.072   |
| Close contact with person with same complaint within the last one to two weeks | Yes | 30 (31.9%) | 40 (21.3%)  | 1.73 (0.994-3.03) | 0.053   |
|                                              | No       | 64 (68.1%) | 148 (78.7%) | 1*          |         |
| Travel history within the last two weeks    | Yes      | 34 (36.2%) | 39 (20.7%)  | 2.17 (1.25-3.748) | 0.006   |
|                                              | No       | 60 (63.8%) | 149 (79.3%) | 1*          |         |
| Type of clothes (Short and Long sleeves)    | Short sleeves | 78 (83%)  | 111 (59%)  | 3.38 (1.84-6.233) | <0.001  |
|                                              | Long sleeves | 16 (17%)  | 77 (41%)   | 1*          |         |

Table 6. Multivariable analysis for different variables. Mareka, District, 2019.

| Exposure                                      | AOR   | 95% Confidence interval | P-value | S.E |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|---------|-----|
| Presence of Chikungunya case in the house hold | 6.7   | (3.42-13.03)            | <0.001  | 0.34|
| Water holding container in/around the house   | 2.85  | (1.37-5.91)             | 0.005   | 0.37|
| Presence of larva                             | 2.85  | (1.27-6.40)             | 0.011   | 0.41|
| Type of clothes (Short sleeve and Long sleeve)| 2.2   | (1.144-4.267)           | 0.018   | 0.34|

4. Discussion

This investigation revealed that the Chikungunya virus outbreak was occurred for the first time in Mareka district of southern nations, nationalities and peoples region. Environmental factors and community behaviors play a significant role in Chikungunya outbreak and spread. Chikungunya is not a notifiable disease. However, depending upon the spread, countries in the Region may make it mandatory for the primary health centers, other clinics and hospitals, both in the public and private sectors to notify suspected cases to authorities. Occurrence of clustering of cases compatible with the definition of a suspected case should indicate a possible outbreak and this should immediately be reported to the nearest health authority [1]. In this outbreak, most of the cases had symptoms of fever, headache, joint pains and abdominal pain, nausea and vomiting in few patient (13.8%).

The outbreak finding revealed that Chikungunya infection occurred equally between the genders and age groups. This study is consistent with a study conducted in Kedougou, Southeastern Senegal [8]. All cases of Chikungunya infection had fever, headache and joint pain (100%). A prospective study in a tertiary center conducted in north India had a similar findings [9]. This study shows that, males were more affected than females. This may be the reason due to that males spent most of their time outside of the house working in farming area which may be consistent to the nature of the adult female mosquito that bites during day time [1].

The study revealed that; Presence of Chikungunya case in the house hold, availability of stagnant water in or around the
house, presence of larva around the villages in favorable mosquito breeding sites (in the containers), using LLINS while sleeping during day time as protective and not using long sleeve clothes were the risk factors contracting the Chikungunya virus infection. Those who had water holding containers in or around their houses were 2.9 times more at risk to have the diseases. In consistent to this; results of a case control study carried out in South India identified that those having water containers like storing of water for drinking and washing/bathing, use of plastic buckets and cement barrels for storing water, not covering the water storage container, tyres, broken plastic vessels or plates in the backyard of the houses were identified as a risk of acquiring the CHIKV infection [10]. House, Breteau and container were 100%, 20% and 80% respectively, and all were above the national standards of \( \geq 5\% \) and \( \geq 20\% \) respectively and these high indices indicates the abundance of breeding habitats for Aedes mosquitoes and also signifies that the place is sensitive and vulnerable to disease transmission [6]. The study also showed a significant association between CHIKV infection and not using clothes that fully cover. Not using clothes that fully cover is 2.2 times to acquire the disease than those using full body cover which is consistent with a case control study carried out in South India [10].

The current study also revealed that a significant association between CHIKV infection and presence of diseased person with in the family. This study showed that; there is a significant association between CHIKV infection and a Chikungunya case (presence of diseased person) in the family or house hold. Having a Chikungunya case in the house hold was 6.7 times risk for acquiring the disease. This study has similar findings with a case control study conducted in Laguna, Philippines, 2012 [11].

The investigation had some limitations. Even though there was full response rate in this study, we interviewed only patients that appeared to health facilities and so may have missed factors related to cases that did not seek medical care.

5. Conclusion

This is the first outbreak of Chikungunya in the district. All cases were recovered from the illness and there was no death occurred. The presence of Chikungunya case in the household, presence of water holding container in or around the house, presence of larva around the villages in favorable mosquito breeding sites or in the containers and not using long sleeve clothes were independent risk factors for disease contraction. Vector control and community mobilization and awareness creation plays a significant role

What is already known on this topic?
1) Chikungunya fever is highly contagious
2) Chikungunya virus is a vector borne diseases
3) Aedes Mosquitoes are responsible for transmission of Chikungunya Virus

What this study adds?
Risk factors for Chikungunya Virus infection
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