Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy: evaluation of the current diagnostic criteria and differential diagnosis
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Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (ARVC) is an inherited heart muscle disease characterized by progressive fibrofatty replacement of the right ventricular (RV) myocardium which may act as a substrate for ventricular arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death (SCD). The classic form of ARVC is a genetically determined cardiomyopathy caused by heterozygous or compound mutations in genes encoding proteins of desmosomes, which are specialized intercellular structures providing mechanical attachment of myocytes. However, there are other genetic (non-desmosomal) and non-genetic causes of the disease. Biventricular and left-dominant disease variants have been identified and have led some to use the term ‘arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy’ (ACM) to define the broader spectrum of the disease phenotypic expressions.

In 1994, an ITF proposed criteria for diagnosis of ARVC, in the form of a qualitative scoring system which encompassed familial, electrocardiographic, arrhythmic, morpho-functional, and disease features. The ITF criteria were revised in 2010 by international consensus with the intention to improve diagnostic accuracy, by providing quantitative criteria for diagnosing structural and functional RV abnormalities, improvement of electrocardiographic criteria, and adding molecular genetic criteria (Supplementary material online, Table S1).

Clinical experience with the 2010 ITF diagnostic score system has identified limitations on the use of the criteria, potentially resulting in disease misdiagnosis. The following problems in the use of current ITF criteria have been identified: (i) overdiagnosis due to the inclusion of molecular genetic findings in the diagnostic criteria, misinterpretation of electrocardiographic (ECG) and imaging findings, and misdiagnosis with other diseases mimicking the ARVC phenotype; (ii) underdiagnosis due to absence of cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) tissue characterization findings.

In recent years, there have been evolving indications for the clinical and imaging tests for reaching definitive diagnosis of ARVC. Due to technological advances and increased experience in the interpretation of structural, functional and tissue characterization by contrast-enhanced CMR this has become an important imaging technique for the diagnosis of ARVC. In the era of CMR, some diagnostic tests have been abandoned because of the non-specific and limited accuracy, while others have been reserved for selected cases because of the invasive nature and the risk of serious complications. Since both the 1994 and 2010 guidelines were developed to diagnose the original right-dominant disease phenotype they did not include specific criteria for diagnosing LV involvement and the more recently recognized left-sided phenotypic variants. Moreover, peculiarities of diagnosis in the paediatric population, which represents approximately one-sixth of the overall ARVC-population, were not addressed.

The present international expert report is not intended to redesign the 2010 ITF diagnostic criteria, which in the general view of the authors are still valid and do not need substantial changes. However, the increasing risk of misdiagnosis resulting from the inappropriate use of the criteria has prompted this international expert document aimed to critically review the clinical performance and highlight the potential limitations of current criteria, to propose some solutions for a better clinical use and to identify potential areas of improvement, with particular reference to diagnosis of left-sided phenotypes and identification of early disease in the paediatric population.

Overview of the 2010 diagnostic criteria
(See Supplementary material online, Text).

Current diagnostic criteria: a critical appraisal

The following sections of the document focus on the critical evaluation of each group of current diagnostic criteria and provide key suggestions for improving their use in the clinical practice.
Molecular genetics

Mutations in the genes encoding desmosomal proteins play a key role in the pathogenesis of fibrofatty replacement of the myocardium and the development of the disease phenotype.\textsuperscript{1,2} Pooled data from major studies on molecular genetic screening for desmosomal gene mutations showed that the overall rate of successful genotyping in patients meeting the ITF diagnostic criteria is approximately 50%.\textsuperscript{17,18} The most common mutant gene is \textit{PKP2} (10–45%), followed by \textit{DSP} (10–15%), \textit{DSG2} (7–10%), and \textit{DSC2} (2%).\textsuperscript{19–21} Screening for non-desmosomal genes marginally increases the rate of detection of gene mutations, even though some mutations in specific genes such as \textit{TMEM43} p.P358L\textsuperscript{22} and \textit{PLN} p.R14del\textsuperscript{23} can be highly prevalent in certain populations because of a founder effect (Supplementary material online, Table S2). Compound/digenic heterozygosity has been identified in up to 25% of patients and has been reported to account for both phenotypic variability and more malignant life-time arrhythmic outcome ('dose-effect').\textsuperscript{24–26}

Different from all other forms of cardiomyopathy, the ARVC diagnostic criteria include the presence of a pathogenic variant in ARVC related genes as a major criterion to establish the diagnosis.\textsuperscript{10} However, it has not been defined which mutations have sufficient evidence to be considered as disease-causing and conferring pathogenicity to a variant can be challenging. Moreover, a negative genetic test does not exclude the possibility that the phenotype is due to a mutation in an unknown gene or that the molecular genetic screening technique does not detect all disease-causing variants, including large deletions or duplications.\textsuperscript{27}

An increasingly emerging problem with the use of the current ITF criteria is that the incorrect classification of a desmosomal-gene variant as 'pathogenic' (major criterion) or the identification of a pathogenic variant in a gene with insufficient evidence for disease causation may lead to a (mis)-diagnosis of ARVC in probands who otherwise may not fulfill the ITF criteria for a (definite) phenotypic diagnosis (see Supplementary material online, Text).

Key points

- The limitations of current understanding of the genetic basis of ARVC and the high genetic noise due to frequent disease-associated genetic variants both in the normal population and other cardiomyopathies are associated with the risk of misdiagnosis if molecular genetic results are integral part of the diagnostic scoring system.
- According to the general recommendations for molecular genetic testing in inherited cardiomyopathies,\textsuperscript{28} genotyping is indicated to identify a pathogenic or likely pathogenic mutation in a proband who already fulfills phenotypic diagnostic criteria for ARVC, and thus to apply mutation-specific cascade genetic testing for detection of gene carriers among family members.
- Mutation-specific genetic testing is recommended for family members following the identification of a pathogenic or likely pathogenic mutation in the proband with a clinical diagnosis of definite ARVC, in order to identify genetically affected individuals at a preclinical phase.
- Genotyping to achieve a diagnosis in a patient with borderline phenotypic manifestations may be considered in selected cases provided that the results are interpreted by experts on the disease molecular genetics because the high prevalence of variants of uncertain significance may make the genotyping results more confounding than confirming.
- Genetic family screening can be also indicated for arrhythmic risk stratification purposes. In fact, compound/digenic heterozygosity of ARVC-causing desmosomal-gene variants predicts a more serious arrhythmic outcome because of a gene dose-effect.
- It is important to screen the entire panel of disease-related genes including specific non-desmosomal genes, if founder mutations in these genes are present in specific geographical areas, such as \textit{TMEM43} in Newfoundland and \textit{PLN} in the Netherlands, or when ancestry suggests a relation with these specific geographical areas.

Myocardial tissue characterization

Invasive tissue characterization by transvenous endomyocardial biopsy (EMB) has been part of the diagnostic evaluation of ARVC since 1994.\textsuperscript{3} This technique offers the potential for an in vivo histologic tissue characterization with demonstration of the hallmark disease lesion, i.e. the loss of myocardium with fibrofatty replacement, that has been considered the gold standard for the clinical diagnosis of ARVC (‘major’ diagnostic criterion).\textsuperscript{29} Fatty infiltration of the RV myocardium is not specific for ARVC, being reported in normal human hearts, in the elderly and obese people.\textsuperscript{30} In this regard, the revised ITF criteria introduced the concept that the presence of fibrosis is also essential and provided quantitative parameters for histopathologic evaluation of EMB samples, focusing on the severity of myocyte loss and fibrosis rather than on fatty infiltration of myocardium.\textsuperscript{31} The diagnosis of ARVC that is achieved for the first time at surgery or autopsy also identifies probands and should enable cascade family screening. The diagnostic tissue characterization can be made by histopathology of either biopsy samples taken during cardiac surgery performed for other reasons or in full hearts obtained at postmortem or cardiac transplantation. The histologic evidence of fibrofatty replacement of the ventricular myocardium with a subepicardial–mid-mural distribution, or with transmural involvement in the absence of obstructive atherosclerotic plaques within the corresponding coronary artery, is a diagnostic criterion for ARVC. An immunohistochemical analysis of EMB samples for the diagnosis of ARVC has been developed with the aim of identifying changes in the localization of desmosomal proteins\textsuperscript{35} (see Supplementary material online, Text).

Right ventricular endocardial voltage mapping (EVM) is an imaging technique which may be of added value for the diagnosis of ARVC since it has the potential to identify and quantify RV regions of electroanatomic scar with low-amplitude electrical signals, typically showing fractionalation, double potentials, or conduction delay.\textsuperscript{32–34} Right ventricular EVM is an invasive, expensive and highly operator-dependent technique with a significant risk of inaccurate interpretation of low-voltage recordings in areas of normal myocardium due to suboptimal catheter contact. Moreover, a complete EVM should be also obtained from the epicardial side of RV, which implies a pericardial puncture not justifiable solely for diagnostic purposes.

Key points

- Endomyocardial biopsy is not indicated as a routine diagnostic test for ARVC. It should be reserved for selected patients such as probands with a sporadic form of ARVC and predominant LV involvement, in whom the final diagnosis depends on histologic exclusion.
Global or regional dysfunction and structural alterations

Relevant structural and functional abnormalities detectable by imaging techniques include ventricular dilatation, reduced RV ejection fraction, regional wall motion abnormalities, and fibrofatty myocardial replacement. Contrast cine-ventriculography, echocardiography, and CMR are the standard imaging techniques for the diagnosis of ARVC.

Cardiac magnetic resonance has become the gold standard method for assessing ventricular volumes, systolic function, and regional wall motion, as well as to characterize myocardial tissue composition. Due to the spatial resolution of CMR voxels and unlimited imaging planes that can be reconstructed, CMR offers the potential to optimally evaluate dilatation/dysfunction, regional wall motion abnormalities, and structural changes of the RV. Cine CMR sequences provide an accurate assessment of RV volume and systolic function. However, these parameters have low sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of ARVC and significant interobserver variability in the interpretation of segmental contraction analysis of the RV free wall has been reported.

Tissue characterization findings by CMR (fibrosis, fatty infiltration, and fibrofatty scar) were not included in the 2010 ITF criteria because of limited experience, difficulty in the interpretation, and low specificity. However, recent studies demonstrated the utility of combined regional wall motion assessment and tissue characterization by CMR for the diagnosis of ARVC. The best accuracy (98%) was present when wall motion alterations and pre-/post-contrast signal abnormalities (including LV fat infiltration and late gadolinium enhancement) were considered together.

The original concept of ‘triangle of dysplasia’, which referred to the most commonly affected regions of the RV, has evolved to the current perspective of a ‘quadrangle of ARVC’ which also includes the LV infero-lateral wall, which is the most frequently involved LV region. In the early stages of ARVC, the subtricuspid/peritricuspid area and the LV infero-lateral wall may be the only affected regions. Thus, LGE imaging technique may increase diagnostic sensitivity for ARVC, even in its early stage, by identifying a myocardial scar in the LV infero-lateral wall, which would otherwise remain undetectable by echocardiography/angiography because it is confined to the subepicardial–mid-myocardial layers and may not be sufficiently large to cause a systolic wall motion abnormality (Figure 2).

Arrhythmias

The spectrum of ventricular arrhythmias in ARVC ranges from isolated premature ventricular complexes (PVCs) to sustained ventricular tachycardia (VT) or ventricular fibrillation leading to cardiac arrest. The severity of the arrhythmia is variable between individual patients and during the course of the disease. The inclusion of criteria for characterization of myocardial fibrofatty replacement offers the potential (i) to enhance the accuracy of the interpretation of RV wall motion abnormalities (which has a high intra-interobserver variability and operator dependence) by demonstrating the underlying myocardial lesion; (ii) to increase the sensitivity for identifying forms of biventricular ARVC which are more easily diagnosed by CMR-imaging with demonstration of the segmental subepicardial LGE in the LV wall which may be the only imaging feature of left-dominant phenotypic variants of ARVC.

Because of its high negative predictive value, contrast-enhanced CMR study has the potential to become the ‘rule-out’ imaging test for evaluation of structural and functional ventricular abnormalities of ARVC (see Supplementary material online, Text).
assessment of the morphology of the arrhythmic QRS complexes on 12-lead ECG may allow identification of the ventricular site of origin and the mechanism of the arrhythmia.

According to the 2010 ITF diagnostic criteria, the morphology of VT has an impact on diagnosis. Ventricular tachycardia with a left bundle branch block (LBBB)/inferior axis suggesting right (or left) ventricular outflow tract origin is considered a ‘minor’ diagnostic criterion because of its low specificity which may lead to misdiagnosis of ARVC in patients with idiopathic right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT)-VT. However, VT with an LBBB pattern and superior or indeterminate axis suggesting RV free wall origin is more specific for ARVC and this is classified as a ‘major’ diagnostic criterion. VT with a right bundle branch block (RBBB) morphology may occur as a manifestation of additional or predominant LV involvement.

Studies with 24-h Holter monitoring have shown that most ARVC patients have frequent PVCs, either isolated or coupled, with a mean burden >500 PVC/24 h. Current ITF criteria consider the number of PVCs per 24 h without addressing the morphology of the ectopic QRS. This represents a limitation because premature ventricular beats originating from the inferior RV wall have greater specificity for ARVC than the number of premature ventricular beats exceeding 500/24 h or premature ventricular beats from the RVOT. It is diagnostically relevant to record PVCs on 12 ECG leads by exercise testing or 12-lead 24-h Holter monitoring.

The electrophysiologic study has a limited role in the diagnosis of ARVC. The test can provide information regarding the inducibility by programmed ventricular stimulation of one or more VTs with different rates and/or morphologies. This may be useful in differentiating ARVC from idiopathic RVOT-VT which is a benign and non-familial arrhythmic condition characterized by a single morphology (LBBB/inferior axis) and non-inducibility by programmed ventricular stimulation. Addition of RV EVM may be of incremental diagnostic value for differential diagnosis with idiopathic RVOT-VT, provided that care is taken to obtain adequate sampling and ensure optimal catheter contact using pacing threshold assessment or direct contact force measurements to avoid inappropriate misdiagnosis from low-amplitude recordings. Endocardial unipolar recordings with a large field of view may also identify the presence of epicardial scar, thus preventing the use of a direct pericardial approach to obtain bipolar epicardial voltage measurements.

Figure 1 Electrocardiographic and cardiac magnetic resonance features of a representative case of right-dominant (classic) phenotypic variant of arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy. (A) Basal electrocardiographic showing T-wave inversion in right precordial leads (V1–V4). (B) End-diastolic frame of cine cardiac magnetic resonance sequence in long-axis four-chamber view showing a dilated right ventricle (end-diastolic volume, 127 mL/m²) with a severely reduced ejection fraction (25%). The post-contrast orthogonal images in long-axis (C) and short-axis (D) views show late gadolinium enhancement as mid-wall stria in the mid-septum (white arrow). In C, late gadolinium enhancement is also visible in the anterolateral, mid, and apical regions of the right ventricular wall, with segmental transmural involvement (white arrowheads) associated with regional dyskinesia (not shown). From De Lazzari et al.
Key points

- Twelve-lead 24-h Holter monitoring is indicated to assess the morphology of ventricular arrhythmia, which may suggest the ventricular site of origin.
- Electrophysiologic study with programmed ventricular stimulation and RV EVM are not recommended as integral part of the routine diagnostic evaluation of patients with ARVC. Both studies should be limited to selected patients requiring an invasive electrophysiologic evaluation to distinguish ARVC-related VT from idiopathic RVOT tachycardia.

Repolarization and depolarization electrocardiographic abnormalities

Twelve-lead ECG is a valuable diagnostic test in ARVC and records repolarization and/or depolarization abnormalities in up to 90% of patients with ARVC. Low QRS voltages (<0.5 mV) in the limb leads are frequently observed in ARVC patients with fibrosis/LGE of the LV as evidenced by CMR (Figure 2). Rather than an ECG marker of advanced RV disease, low QRS voltages indicate LV involvement (regardless of the RV disease severity) and reflect loss of myocardium/electrical voltages of the LV wall and replacement by electrically inert fibrofatty scar tissue.

The ECG abnormalities resulting from delayed RV activation/conduction include RBBB (usually incomplete and rarely complete), QRS fragmentation, prolongation of right precordial QRS duration with a delayed S-wave upstroke, terminal activation duration (TAD) ≥55 ms, and epsilon waves. The accuracy of the presence of epsilon waves as a diagnostic tool has been questioned since these discrete signals are related to ECG filtering and sampling rate, giving rise to large interobserver variability.
Activation delay can also be detected in the form of late potentials in the terminal portion of the QRS complex by signal-averaged ECG (SAECG). The use of SAECG technique for diagnosis of ARVC in probands and family members has been abandoned by most centres because of its non-specific findings and limited diagnostic accuracy (see Supplementary material online, Text).

**Key points**

- The presence of epsilon waves should be evaluated with caution, especially in patients without other diagnostic criteria.
- A QRS delayed S-wave upstroke with TAD $\geq 55$ ms in right precordial leads is a specific diagnostic ECG pattern, particularly if followed by negative T waves.
- Low QRS voltages (<0.5 mV) in the limb leads can be an ECG marker predictive of LV involvement.
- Negative T waves confined to V1 and V2 should be considered as a normal ECG variant in individuals who do not meet other diagnostic criteria.

### Left-dominant variants of arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy

Distinctive ECG features of LV involvement in ARVC include T-wave inversion in the infero-lateral leads and low QRS voltages (<0.5 mV) in limb leads, which reflect the loss of myocardium and electrical voltages of the LV wall. $^{55,56}$ Ventricular tachycardia is characteristically monomorphic, with a RBBB morphology which denotes its origin from the LV. $^{60}$ The typical LV imaging phenotype is characterized by a ventricular remodelling pattern consisting of mild LV dysfunction and no or mild LV dilatation, in association with a significant amount of subepicardial/mid-myocardial (non-ischaemic) LGE affecting multiple LV segments (mostly the inferolateral wall regions) (Figure 2). $^{61}$

The degree of systolic LV dysfunction appears related to the global extent of LGE which in advanced disease affects multiple septal and LV free wall segments.

In biventricular variants of ARVC, clinically demonstrable RV involvement is an important additional criterion for differential diagnosis with dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM). In the absence of clinically detectable RV involvement, demonstration of a pathogenic mutation of ARVC-related genes, such as DSP, FLNC, and PLN genes, may support the diagnosis of ARVC (see Supplementary material online, Text).

**Key points**

- Criteria for diagnosis of left-sided ARVC phenotypes should include: (i) ECG changes such as low QRS voltages in limb leads and inverted T waves in the inferolateral leads; (ii) ventricular arrhythmias with a right bundle-branch block pattern; and (iii) structural and functional imaging features consistent with a ‘hypokinetic, non-dilated, and fibrotic LV’.
- Clinical demonstration of some degree of electrical or structural RV involvement should be considered as an important additional criterion for diagnosis of biventricular or left-dominant phenotypes.
- In patients with clinical findings suggestive of left-sided ARVC and no clinically detectable RV involvement, genetic testing for the presence of pathogenic mutations in ARVC-related genes, such as the DSP gene, can confirm the diagnosis (Supplementary material online, Table S2).

### Diagnosis of arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy in the paediatric population

Arrhythmogenic RV cardiomyopathy is a genetically determined heart muscle disease characterized by a ‘late-onset phenotype’, which most often becomes clinically overt between the second and fourth decades of life. Clinical manifestations of the disease are very uncommon before pubertal development, whereas up to 15% of affected patients are teenagers. $^{62,63}$

Arrhythmogenic RV cardiomyopathy is a progressive heart muscle disease with electrical and structural phenotypic manifestations occurring at different times during its natural history. It is noteworthy that progression of structural ventricular alterations can be preceded and predicted by ECG depolarization abnormalities. $^{64}$ Whereas adult patients more often present with sustained VT, paediatric patients are more likely to experience SCD or resuscitated sudden cardiac arrest. $^{45,53,60}$ Sudden cardiac death may be the first clinical manifestation of the disease, as it was reported by a study in the Veneto region of Italy, where 20% of SCD in young people and athletes were caused by previously undiagnosed ARVC. $^{66}$

The diagnosis of ARVC is particularly challenging in children <14 years of age. $^{62}$ Peculiarities in physiological development as well as differences in disease presentation and progression limit the use of the current criteria for diagnosis of ARVC in this age group. $^{67}$

Diagnostic clinical work-up should be adapted to this patient population due to the difficulty of some testing modalities in paediatric...
patients and the low prevalence of manifest disease in very young children. In particular, basal ECG and ambulatory ECG monitoring can be performed at any age, while echocardiography is more easily performed after age 3 years and cardiac CMR after age 8 years (or in younger children but under anaesthesia) (see Supplementary material online, Text).

**Key points**

- An adapted diagnostic clinical work-up using normal ECG and imaging reference values for children should be used in the paediatric population, due to the low prevalence of manifest disease, difficulty of some testing modalities and peculiarity of clinical findings in this age-group.
- Invasive studies such as RV angiography and EMB should be reserved to very selected cases until all non-invasive studies have been assessed.
- Molecular genetic testing for children of families affected by ARVC is recommended for early, pre-clinical identification of genetically affected individuals as well as for detection of non-genetically affected siblings who can be reassured and not further investigated.
- Early detection of genetically affected children allows to establish a focused prevention strategy mostly based on life style changes, including restriction of competitive sports activity which is the most important environmental factor promoting the disease phenotypic expression.
- A follow-up by non-invasive clinical evaluation of healthy gene carriers or children with unknown genotype who have a positive family history of ARVC should be performed on a regular basis after puberty (every 1–2 years) to monitor for disease onset and progression.

**Phenocopies and differential diagnosis**

Conditions that can mimic the ARVC phenotype (phenocopies) and enter into differential diagnosis of ARVC include primary arrhythmia conditions and structural heart muscle diseases affecting the RV, the LV, or both (Table 1).

**Primary arrhythmia conditions**

Primary arrhythmia conditions which may resemble ARVC include idiopathic RVOT-VT and Brugada syndrome (see Supplementary material online, Text).

**Key points**

- Patients with idiopathic RVOT-VT have a normal 12-lead ECG, normal imaging tests, VT induction by isoproterenol test, and VT non-inducible by programmed ventricular stimulation (Table 2).
- A septal origin of VT is significantly more often observed in idiopathic RVOT-VT than in ARVC which usually affects the RV free wall and spares the septum.
- A single LBBB/inferior axis VT morphology favours the diagnosis of idiopathic RVOT-VT, while multiple VT morphologies, either spontaneous or induced, strongly suggest ARVC.
- Brugada syndrome demonstrates substantial differences from ARVC with respect to involved genes, absence of overt cardiomyopathic changes, autonomic and antiarrhythmic drug modulation of ECG abnormalities, circumstances and mechanisms of arrhythmias and outcome (Table 3).
**Table 3**  Brugada syndrome vs arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy

|                        | Brugada syndrome | ARVC          |
|------------------------|------------------|---------------|
| Age of presentation (years) | 30–40            | 15–30         |
| Gender                 | M > F (8:1)      | M > F (3:1)   |
| Distribution           | World-wide (predominantly Southeast Asia) | World-wide |
| Inheritance            | AD               | AD (AR)       |
| Predominant pathogenetic genes | SCN5A gene    | Desmosomal genes |
| Typical symptoms       | Syncope, cardiac arrest Especially nocturnal | Palpitations, syncope, cardiac arrest |
| ECG repolarization     | Right precordial ST elevation and TWI | Right precordial TWI |
| ECG depolarization     | RBBB/LAD        | Normal        |
| AV conduction times    | Prolonged PR/HV interval | Fixed |
| Variability of ECG changes | Dynamic         | Structural RV (and LV) abnormalities with global and regional dilation and dysfunction |
| Imaging                | Normal (or mild RVOT dilatation) | Fibrofatty replacement |
| Biopsy                 | Normal           | Monomorphic VT, VF |
| Ventricular arrhythmias| Polymorphic VT, VF | Scar-related macro-reentry |
| Mechanism of VT        | Phase 2 re-entry or local micro-reentry | Inducibility of monomorphic VT or VF |
| Programmed ventricular stimulation | Inducibility of VF | Inducibility of monomorphic VT or VF |
| Natural history        | Sudden death     | Sudden death, heart failure |

AD, autosomal dominant; AR, autosomal recessive; AV, atrioventricular; LAD, left axis deviation; LV, left ventricle; RBBB, right bundle branch block; RV, right ventricle; RVOT, right ventricular outflow tract; TWI, T-wave inversion; VF, ventricular fibrillation; VT, ventricular tachycardia.

**Figure 3**  Cardiac magnetic resonance features of heart diseases mimicking right-dominant (classic) phenotypic variant of arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy. Partial anomalous pulmonary vein drainage (A and B): end-diastolic frame of cine cardiac magnetic resonance sequence in long-axis four-chamber view showing moderate right ventricular dilatation (A); cine sagittal view showing the anomalous drainage of the right pulmonary vein in the azygos vein (white arrow) (B). Ebstein anomaly (C and D): end-diastolic frame of cine cardiac magnetic resonance sequence in short-axis view showing a severe right ventricular enlargement due to a large ventricular ‘atrialization’ (C); end-diastolic frame of cine cardiac magnetic resonance sequence in four-chamber view showing a significant apical displacement of the septal leaflet of the tricuspid valve (white arrows) (D). Arterial pulmonary hypertension (E and F): end-diastolic frames of cine cardiac magnetic resonance sequence in short-axis view showing increase of the right ventricular wall thickness (white asterisk) (E), flattening of the interventricular septum (white arrows) (E), and massive pulmonary artery dilatation (white asterisk) (F). Athlete’s heart (G and H): end-diastolic (G) and systolic (H) frames of cine cardiac magnetic resonance sequence in four-chamber view evidencing biventricular dilatation (end-diastolic volume 122 mL/m²) and normal systolic function (ejection fraction 64%), in the absence of wall motion abnormalities (not shown).
Structural heart muscle diseases mimicking right-dominant arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy

A number of structural conditions may mimic clinical features of right-dominant ARVC including congenital heart diseases, pulmonary artery hypertension, and athlete’s heart (Figure 3 and Table 4) (see Supplementary material online, Text).

Chest deformity such as pectus excavatum or carinatum and pericardial absence may cause ECG changes and echocardiographic RV abnormalities mimicking ARVC.69

| Table 4 Causes of right heart dilatation in adult congenital heart disease |
|--------------------------------------------------|
| **Left-to-right shunt**                           |
| Atrial septal defects                             |
| Partial anomalous pulmonary return               |
| Extracardial shunts (pulmonary/systemic arteriovenous connections) |
| Coronary artery fistula (to the coronary sinus/right atrium/right ventricle) |
| **Valvular dysfunction**                          |
| Tricuspid valve regurgitation                     |
| Ebstein’s anomaly                                 |
| Pulmonary valve regurgitation                     |
| Post-operative valvular insufficiency after tetralogy of Fallot repair |
| **Myocardial malformation**                       |
| Uhl’s anomaly                                     |
| Ventricular aneurysm/diverticulum                 |

| Table 5 Athlete’s heart vs. arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Athlete’s heart**                                                                                   | **ARVC**                                                                                     |
| Family history                                                      | No                                                                                          | ARVC or SCD                                                                                 |
| ECG abnormalities                                                   | Training-related ECG changes such as incomplete right bundle branch block increased in QRS voltages and early repolarization. Right precordial early repolarization variant with negative T-wave preceded by J-point/ST-segment elevation. | Right precordial T-wave inversion, ε waves, right precordial QRS prolongation with delayed S-wave upstroke and terminal activation delay (>55 ms), low QRS voltages |
| Symptoms                                                            | No                                                                                          | Palpitations, syncope, cardiac arrest                                                        |
| RV dilatation                                                       | Yes (mainly main RV body)                                                                   | yes (mainly RVOT)                                                                           |
| RV/LV dilatation ratio                                              | RV/LV <1                                                                                    | RV/LV >1                                                                                    |
| Global RV dysfunction                                               | No (or mild)                                                                                | Yes                                                                                         |
| Regional RV wall motion abnormalities                               | No                                                                                          | A-dyskinesia; bulging                                                                       |
| LGE at cardiac magnetic resonance                                   | No (or only junctional)                                                                     | RV and/or LV (non-ischaemic pattern)                                                        |
| Ventricular arrhythmias                                             | No                                                                                          | Yes                                                                                         |

LGE, late gadolinium enhancement; LV, left ventricle; RV, right ventricle; RVOT, right ventricular-outflow tract; SCD, sudden cardiac death.

Figure 4 Cardiac magnetic resonance features of dilated cardiomyopathy vs. left-dominant phenotypic variant of arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy. Dilated cardiomyopathy (A and B): end-diastolic frame of cine cardiac magnetic resonance sequence in four-chamber view showing severe left ventricular dilatation (A) with severe systolic dysfunction (not shown); post-contrast T1 inversion recovery sequence in short-axis view showing limited mid-wall late gadolinium enhancement in the anterior interventricular septum and infero-septal junction involving the adjacent infero-basal wall (white arrow) (B). Left-dominant arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (C and D): post-contrast T1 inversion recovery sequence in four-chamber view showing a non-dilated (C) and hypokinetic (not shown) left ventricle; post-contrast T1 inversion recovery sequence in short-axis view showing a large amount of late gadolinium enhancement involving the interventricular septum and both anterior and inferolateral left ventricular walls (C and D).
Table 6  Dilated cardiomyopathy vs. left-dominant arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy

| Inheritance          | Dilated cardiomyopathy | Left-dominant ARVC |
|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Predominant genetic background | Mutations of genes encoding for cytoskeleton, muscular sarcomere, and nuclear envelope proteins | Mutations of genes encoding for desmosomal proteins, PLN, or FLN-C |
| Main clinical manifestations | Heart failure, cardiac arrest, palpitations | Palpitations, syncpe, cardiac arrest |
| ECG abnormalities | Left ventricular hypertrophy with a strain pattern of ST-segment; left bundle branch block | Low QRS voltages in limb leads; negative T waves in lateral leads; negative T waves in right precardial leads (biventricular form) |
| Echocardiography and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging findings | Dilated and hypokinetic LV with no or patchy non-ischaemic (mid-myocardial) LGE (septum) | Non-dilated and hypokinetic LV with large amount of non-ischemic (subepicardial) LGE (inferolateral LV wall) |
| EMB features | Non-specific myocardial abnormalities | Fibrofatty myocardial replacement |
| Types of ventricular arrhythmias | PVBs and NSVT (RBBB pattern); sustained VT (uncommon); VF | PVBs, NSVT, and monomorphic sustained VT (RBBB pattern; both LBBB and RBBB patterns in biventricular form); VF |
| Mechanism of VT | Scar-related or functional re-entry (branch to branch re-entry) | Scar-related re-entry |
| Most common site of VT origin | Intramural septum | Subepicardial infero-lateral LV free wall |

AD, autosomal dominant; AR, autosomal recessive; EMB, endomyocardial biopsy; LBBB, left bundle branch block; LV, left ventricle; NSVT, non-sustained ventricular tachycardia; PVBs, premature ventricular beats; RBBB, right bundle branch block; RV, right ventricle; RVOT, right ventricular outflow tract; TWI, T-wave inversion; VF, ventricular fibrillation; VT, ventricular tachycardia.

Key points
- Cardiac remodelling due to volume overload secondary to a congenital heart disease consists of global RV dilatation and dysfunction without regional wall motion abnormalities that allow the differential diagnosis with the imaging findings of ARVC characterized by both global and regional RV dilatation and dysfunction with prominent regional akinesia and/or dyskinesia.
- RV abnormalities of pulmonary artery hypertension are the result of the elevation of the pulmonary artery pressure that is usually normal or reduced in ARVC.
- The clinical phenotype of athlete’s heart differs from that of ARVC with regard to the absence of fibrofatty myocardial replacement which is clinically demonstrable as (i) regional-dyskinesia or bulging on echo and CMR; (ii) RV LGE on CMR; (iii) ECG depolarization abnormalities; and (iv) replacement type-fibrosis (and adiposis) at EMB.70 (Table 5).

Structural heart muscle diseases mimicking left-dominant arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy

Structural conditions mimicking clinical features of left-dominant ARVC include DCM, cardiac involvement in genetic neuromuscular disorders, myocarditis, sarcoidosis, congenital ventricular diverticulum/aneurysm, and Chagas’ disease (see Supplementary material online, Text).

Key points
- At variance with left-sided ARVC, DCM shows more severe LV dilatation and dysfunction which are unrelated to the global extent of ventricular scar tissue as evidenced by LGE on CMR (Figure 4).
- At variance with left-sided ARVC, DCM shows scarce propensity to life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias that occur late during the disease course and are related to the severity of LV systolic dysfunction (Table 6).
- Cardiomyopathy may develop in patients with various genetic neuromuscular disorders and may occur before, during, or after clinical evidence of skeletal muscle dysfunction. While the myocardial involvement is most often part of the spectrum of pathologic features of the disease, ventricular systolic dysfunction and/or rhythm and conduction abnormalities may dominate the clinical presentation or may represent the only phenotypic manifestation of the neuromuscular gene defect (Figure 5).72
- Differential diagnosis between the cardiomyopathy occurring in the context of a neuromuscular disease and ARVC relies on recognition of specific phenotypic features of the associated muscular–skeletal involvement.
- Identification of isolated neuromuscular cardiomyopathy (without skeletal muscle involvement) requires demonstration of the specific genetic defect by molecular testing.
- Differential diagnosis between acute myocarditis or post-myocarditis scar and ARVC requires accurate medical history, clinical family screening, demonstration of ECG and imaging RV involvement, and molecular genetic testing.
- Imaging criteria to differentiate post-myocarditis scar from inherited cardiomyopathy have not been defined. Subepicardial/mid-
Figure 5 Cardiac magnetic resonance features and histopathologic findings of non-ischaemic left ventricular scar of different aetiologies. Muscular dystrophy (A and B): post-contrast T1 inversion recovery sequence in short-axis view showing a subepicardial stria of late gadolinium enhancement in the left ventricular wall (white arrows) (A); corresponding panoramic histopathologic view of the inferolateral left ventricular wall showing replacement-type fibrosis confined to the outer-mid layer of the musculature (B). Modified from Yilmaz et al.71 Chronic myocarditis (C and D): post-contrast T1 inversion recovery sequence in short-axis view showing subepicardial late gadolinium enhancement of the inferolateral left ventricular wall (C); corresponding panoramic histopathologic view of the inferolateral left ventricular wall showing extensive fibrous tissue replacement in the subepicardial layer of the musculature (D). From Yilmaz et al.71 Desmosomal gene-related, left-sided arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (E and F): post-contrast T1 inversion recovery sequence in short-axis view showing subepicardial late gadolinium enhancement of the infero-lateral left ventricular wall in a DSP-gene mutation carrier (E). Panoramic histopathologic view showing myocardial replacement of the outer layer of the infero-lateral left ventricular wall in a sudden cardiac death victim carrying a DSP-gene mutation (F). From Zorzi et al.44

Figure 6 Magnetic resonance and cardiac positron emission tomography features of cardiac sarcoidosis. Post-contrast T1 inversion recovery sequence in four-chamber view showing right ventricular dilatation and late gadolinium enhancement of the interventricular septum (black arrows) and subepicardial lateral left ventricular wall (white arrows) (A). Topographic concordance between the epicardial spot of late gadolinium enhancement involving the anterior (B, single white arrow) and inferior (B, white arrows) left ventricular regions and the areas of fludeoxyglucose uptake on positron emission tomography (C, white arrows pointing to yellow–red areas).
myocardial LV LGE at CMR may suggest prior myocarditis but is not diagnostic and careful family history and screening are needed to exclude left-sided ARVC.

- The diagnosis of cardiac sarcoidosis in patients with multisystem involvement relies on consistent clinical and imaging features of the disease (Figure 6) in the presence of histologic evidence of non-caseating epithelial cell granulomas in one or more organs.
- Isolated cardiac sarcoidosis is conclusively diagnosed on the basis of EMB, possibly imaging-guided by positron emission tomography or CMR.73,74
- The segmental nature of congenital aneurysms with associated normal ventricular myocardium and the predominantly endocardial pattern of myocardial fibrosis are not consistent with the diagnosis of ARVC.
- A typical history and a positive Chagas serology allow to differentiate cardiac Chagas disease from ARVC.

Proposal of an aetiologic classification of arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathies

In about 50% of patients, ARVC is a genetic disease caused by a mutation of desmosomal gene. A minority of affected patients may have defective non-desmosomal genes. A phenotype similar to ARVC may also occur in other genetic cardiomyopathies, cardiocutaneous syndromes, or neuromuscular disorders.2,3 Furthermore, a sizeable proportion of patients have non-genetic diseases with a phenotype resembling ARVC and characterized by the distinctive propensity to ventricular arrhythmias which extends beyond the severity of systolic ventricular dysfunction being strongly related to the large amount of myocardial fibrosis which is an independent arrhythmogenic risk factor.

By analogy with current classifications of other cardiomyopathies such as hypertrophic and dilated cardiomyopathy and in keeping with the 2019 HRS Expert Consensus Statement on arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy,75 it is appropriate to propose a disease classification which under the large umbrella of ‘arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy’, comprises a spectrum of conditions of different aetiologies involving the RV, the LV or both, either genetic or non-genetic, whose common denominator is the prominent non-ischaemic ventricular myocardial scarring and the scar-related ventricular arrhythmias (Figure 7). All conditions manifesting with the ACM phenotype are associated with a distinctively higher risk of SCD because myocardial fibrosis acts as a substrate of malignant ventricular arrhythmias. Accordingly, in patients affected by ACM, either genetic or non-genetic, the implantation of an ICD for primary prevention should be considered in the presence of large arrhythmogenic ventricular scarring, even if the systolic ventricular function is not severely depressed.76

Conclusions

A more appropriate use of the current ITF diagnostic criteria and future upgrade/revision of the scoring system for diagnosis of ARVC should take into account: (i) the limitation of current understanding of the genetic background of the disease that translates into the risk of misdiagnosis if molecular genetic test is an integral part of the diagnostic scoring system; (ii) the advances of technology and improvement of interpretation of tissue characterization images by CMR which has become the leading imaging technique for characterization of the disease phenotype; (iii) the broad spectrum of the ARVC phenotype which includes left-dominant disease variants and requires specific diagnostic criteria from different clinical categories; and (iv) the peculiarities of clinical features and diagnostic tests of ARVC in the paediatric population which represents a sizeable proportion of patients due to the increasing clinical and genetic screening of families.

The research should focus on better understanding of the genetic background, improvement of clinical and imaging characterization of the phenotype, with particular reference to left-sided variants and discovery of diagnostic biomarkers.77
The clinical relevance of the proposed classification of arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy remains to be evaluated by future studies.
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