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Abstract
Tef is the most important native cereal crop to Ethiopia and widely grown under rain-fed agriculture. Irrigation is essential in arid and semi-arid areas where rainfall is unreliable and insufficient for crop production. Rational application of irrigation water is based on the knowledge of crop water requirement. Field study was undertaken at the experimental farm of Melkassa agricultural research center located in the central rift valley of Ethiopia. Non-weighing lysimeter was used to measure daily crop water requirement of tef grown on a clay loam soil. The reference evapotranspiration was determined from daily climatic data using Penman-Monteith method and Kc was obtained from the ratio of measured crop water requirement as obtained from water balance equation to estimated reference evapotranspiration. The measured crop water requirement values tef during growth stages of initial, development, mid-season and late season were 36.4 mm, 109.9 mm, 166.5 mm, and 50.9 mm, respectively. The corresponding Kc-values as a fraction during growth stages were 0.46, 0.88, 1.03 and 0.57. Fifth-order polynomials function was found to fit well to predict the crop coefficient values as functions of days after sowing that can be applicable in similar agro-climatic region for irrigation design and water management practices.
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1. Introduction
Tef (Eragrostis tef) is the most important native cereal crops grown in Ethiopia and ranks first in cultivated area among all annual crops. The crop is predominantly produced as a staple food for local consumption and an important cash crop for farm households. It is produced solely under rain fed conditions during mid-July to early August, usually when the soil water is assumed to reach near field capacity (Steduto et al, 2012).

Water scarcity is the major limiting factor for tef production and productivity mostly in arid and semi-arid regions of the country. Rainfall in the regions is unreliable and insufficient for crop production. A research finding shows that tef benefits from supplementary irrigation in locations prone to terminal drought (Araya et al., 2010). Irrigation water is a vital input for maximizing crop production and a rational application of irrigation water is based on the knowledge crop water requirement which is defined as the amount of water required to compensate the crop evapotranspiration, ETc loss from the cropped field. The ETc varies considerably during the growing period due to variation in crop canopy and climate conditions (Allen et al., 1998). Accurate estimation of ETc is essential for proper water resource planning, irrigation project planning and irrigation water management practices.

An important element in the estimation of ETc is the reference evapotranspiration, ETo. Various methods were developed (Hargreaves and Samani, 1985; Doorenbos and Pruitt, 1977; Makkink, 1957 and Thornthwaite and Holzman, 1942) to estimate ETo and FAO Penman-Monteith estimation method is recommended as the sole standard method correctly predicting ETo in a wide range of locations and climates (Allen et al., 1998).

The ETc can be determined from isolated crop root zone grown inside a lysimeter. The method permits to monitor the incoming and outgoing water flux into and from the crop root zone, respectively over growing periods. The Kc is a crop coefficient and serves as an aggregation of the physical and physiological differences between crops (Allen et al. 1998).

Locally determined Kc-values were not available for many crops in Ethiopia and values suggested by FAO (Allen et al., 1998) are most commonly used to estimate ETc. Yenesew (2015) and Solomon (2010) studied the ETc and Kc-values for tef in semi-arid areas during dry season. Nevertheless, no information on the water requirement and crop coefficient values were available for the wet season. Doorenbos and Pruitt (1977) emphasized the strong need for locally calibrated Kc-values under given climatic conditions. Hence, lysimeter based study was undertaken to find out seasonal ETc and Kc-values of tef at different growth stage under semi-arid climatic condition of Melkassa, Ethiopia.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1 Study area
The study was conducted at Melkassa Agricultural Research Center. The center is located in the Central Rift Valley of Ethiopia (8°24’N latitude and 39°21’E longitude with altitude of 1,550 masl). The climate of the area is characterized as semi-arid with low and erratic rainfall pattern. The long-term climatic data (1977 – 2018) indicate annual average of 825 mm and about 67% of the total rainfall of the area occurs from June to September. The
mean maximum and minimum temperatures were 28.7°C and 13.8°C, respectively. The soil texture in the study area was clay loam with bulk density of 1.11 gm/cm³. Field capacity (FC) and permanent wilting point (PWP) of the soil were 34.6% and 17.6%, respectively.

2.2 The Experimental setup
Two non-weighing lysimeters of 2m²(2x1) and 4m² (2x2) area and 1m depth were used for this experiment. Each lysimeter has an access chamber for aeration and underground steel pipes connected to drain chamber for collecting excess water. The rim of each lysimeter protrudes 10 cm above the soil surface to avoid surface water run-on and runoff that may happen during the rain occurrence. Access tubes were installed at the center of each lysimeter down to 100 cm depth to monitor the soil moisture inside the lysimeter.

2.3 Tef agronomy
Tef (Gemechis cultivar) was sown in late July on carefully prepared inside and outside the lysimeter to maintain a similar environment. Irrigation water was applied when 50% of the total available water depleted within the root depth inside and outside the lysimeters during the growing season to bring the soil moisture to field capacity. Allen et al. (1998) indicated the allowable depletion fraction for all cereals as 0.55 and pointed out that for hot dry weather conditions, where ETc is high, the depletion fraction is 10-25% less than the value indicated. All other cultural practices other than irrigation for the outside and inside the lysimeters were same recommended for the area. Irrigation was terminated when the crop show sign of maturity and harvested in the late October during the three successive experimental period.

2.4 Soil moisture measurement and irrigation application
Soil moisture was measured using calibrated neutron probe for the lower soil depths (15-30, 30-45, 45-60, 60-75 and 75-90cm) and gravimetric method was used for the upper soil depth of 0-15cm during the growth period. The amount of irrigation was dependent on the growth stage of the crop, weather, and rooting depth. Measured amount of irrigation was applied to the crop using graduated buckets.

2.5 Determination of crop evapotranspiration
The tef ETc for each growth stage was obtained from the following soil water balance equation.

\[ ETc = I + R - D - \Delta S \]

Where, ETc is evapotranspiration of the crop (mm), I is depth irrigation (mm), R is rainfall (mm), D is drainage water (mm), and \( \Delta S \) is change in storage of soil moisture (mm).

Daily amount of rainfall was obtained from the meteorological observatory located near the experimental plots. Deep percolation was observed daily that may occur from rainfall events in excess above and measured with the help of graduated cylinder at drainage collection chamber.

2.6 Determination of reference crop evapotranspiration
Daily meteorological data such as minimum and maximum temperatures, sunshine hours, wind speed and relative humidity were collected from Melkassa agricultural research center’s meteorological observatory. Daily ETo was computed from FAO Penman-Monteith method using cropwat8.0 model (Allen et al. 1998). The Kc-values for the different growth stages were obtained from the following relationship.

\[ Kc = \frac{ETc}{ETo} \]

Where, Kc is crop coefficient (fraction); ETc is crop evapotranspiration (mm/day), and ETo is reference evapotranspiration (mm/ day).

3. Results and discussion
3.1 Crop water requirement
The result for the water balance component as obtained from the lysimeter and daily ETo as computed from climatic data is presented (Table 1). The crop water requirement of tef was low at the initial stage and increased during the development stage reaching maximum value of 5.04mm day⁻¹ mid-season stage, and thereafter declined during late-season stage. The difference in ETc is attributed to the combined effects of development of crop, changes in evaporative demand of the atmosphere and difference in energy-absorption characteristics. The increase in ETc during the development stages can be explained by change in evaporative demand and rapid crop growth. The reduction in ETc in the late stage was due to the physiological deterioration of foliage as a result of translocation of photosynthesis from leaves to seeds, which reduced crop transpiration and the termination of irrigation. The water balance components of tef, reference evapotranspiration and Kc-values over years are presented (Table 1.1 to 1.3).

The growth stages of tef under Melkassa climatic condition could take 15, 25, 35 and 15 days during initial, crop development, midseason and late season growth stages, respectively (Table 1.1 to 1.3). And hence, tef cultivar
Gemechis could take about 90 days to mature under Melkassa climatic condition. The average seasonal crop water requirement of tef ranged between 346 to 378.4 mm and the \( K_c \)-values during initial, crop development, midseason and late season growth stages were 0.46, 0.88, 1.02 and 0.57, respectively.

FAO (2012) reported that seasonal ETc of early maturing tef estimated using AquaCrop or other simulation models for Ethiopian highlands would fall in the range of 280 to 300 mm. According to NARC (1996), many cultivars require 200 to 300 mm of water during early growth period. Seyfu (1997) reported that 300 to 500 mm of rainfall is adequate in a growing season and even less than 300 mm seasonal rainfall may be sufficient for early-maturing cultivars.

### 3.2 Crop coefficient

The computed \( K_c \) for tef is presented in Table 3. Crop coefficient curve for average values over year is indicated in Fig. 1. It can be observed that there was a consistent rise from 0.46 to 1.03 and a decrease to 0.57. The midseason stage runs from effective full cover to the start of maturity. The beginning of late season has been indicated by yellowing or senescence of leaves, to the degree that the crop evapotranspiration is reduced relative to the reference \( E_To \). Senescence is usually associated with less efficient stomata conductance of leaf surfaces due to the effects of ageing, thereby restricting transpiration and causing a reduction in crop coefficient. Factors affecting the value of the crop coefficient (\( K_c \)) are mainly the crop characteristics, sowing date, rate of crop development, length of growing season, soil evaporation and climate (Doorenbos and Pruitt 1977).

Crop coefficient values initially increased rapidly, since the soil surface was wetted by irrigation and/or rainfall, so that the amount of water available in the topsoil for evaporation was considerable. Allen et al. (1998) reported that the \( K_c \) during the initial period is large when the soil is wet from irrigation and rainfall and is low when the soil surface is dry. Subsequently, the evolution of \( K_c \) values reflected the effects of crop growth, development and physiology on \( E_Tc \). The increase in \( K_c \) value during the development and mid-season stages is due to the rapid crop development. As the crop develops and shades the ground to the effective full cover and reaches full size, the amount of water abstraction increased which in turn increased the \( E_Tc \). A maximum \( K_c \) value (1.13) was obtained during the mid-season, when \( E_Tc \) reached its highest demand. The average \( K_c \) values during initial, mid-season and end of late season stages were 0.46, 1.03 and 0.57 respectively ((Table 3).

### 3.3 Crop coefficient and days after sowing (DAS)

Regression analysis developed between \( K_c \)-values and day after sowing, DAS gave fifth-order polynomial equation with high coefficient of determination, \( r^2 = 0.92 \) (Fig. 1). The relationship developed will help to predict \( K_c \)-values under similar climatic condition of Melkassa that eventually used for most applications related to irrigation planning, design and agricultural water management practices.

\[
K_c = -2E^{-09}DAS^5 + 5E^{-07}DAS^4 - 5E^{-05}DAS^3 + 0.0022DAS^2 - 0.0165DAS + 0.4293
\]

\( R^2 = 0.92 \)

Different scholars (Yenesew, 2015; Hanson and May 2006 and Kang et al., 2003) developed a multiple regression analysis for predicting \( K_c \)-values under a specific local condition. Kang et al. (2003) proposed a fifth order polynomial equation for wheat and maize with a high coefficient of determination, \( r^2 = 0.96 \).
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Table 1.1 Water balance components for tef under Melkassa climatic condition during 2015 cropping season

| DAS  | Irrigation (mm) | Rain (mm) | Drainage (mm) | ETc (mm) | ETc (mm/day) | ETo (mm/day) | Kc  |
|------|----------------|-----------|---------------|----------|--------------|--------------|-----|
| 01-03 | 16.00          | 11.63     | 6.54          | 5.08     | 1.69         | 5.46         | 0.31|
| 04-06 | -              | 6.00      | 10.43         | 5.55     | 1.85         | 5.60         | 0.33|
| 07-09 | -              | 0.00      | -             | 6.56     | 2.19         | 6.25         | 0.35|
| 10-13 | -              | 13.20     | 4.01          | 8.08     | 2.02         | 5.18         | 0.39|
| 14-17 | -              | 0.00      | -             | 8.17     | 2.04         | 4.75         | 0.43|
| 18-21 | -              | 1.35      | -             | 12.67    | 3.17         | 5.66         | 0.56|
| 22-25 | 19.35          | 11.10     | 1.10          | 15.49    | 3.87         | 5.38         | 0.72|
| 26-30 | 11.49          | 2.40      | 0.00          | 22.03    | 4.41         | 5.25         | 0.84|
| 31-39 | 19.63          | 43.88     | 16.84         | 48.17    | 5.35         | 5.58         | 0.96|
| 40-44 | 21.19          | 1.88      | -             | 24.81    | 4.96         | 4.91         | 1.01|
| 45-50 | -              | 20.25     | -             | 31.50    | 5.25         | 5.10         | 1.03|
| 51-57 | 34.18          | 13.58     | -             | 31.54    | 4.73         | 4.59         | 1.03|
| 58-64 | -              | 12.60     | -             | 35.74    | 5.11         | 5.21         | 0.98|
| 65-69 | 41.10          | 1.88      | -             | 24.82    | 4.96         | 5.28         | 0.94|
| 70-74 | 22.94          | 0.00      | -             | 25.85    | 5.17         | 5.68         | 0.91|
| 75-79 | 25.85          | 0.00      | -             | 24.07    | 4.81         | 5.87         | 0.82|
| 80-85 | 24.07          | 0.00      | -             | 24.00    | 4.00         | 5.88         | 0.68|
| 86-93 | 24.00          | 6.15      | -             | 22.69    | 2.84         | 6.17         | 0.46|
|      | Total          |           |               | 259.81   | 145.88       | 38.92        | 376.82|

DAS’ Days after sowing, I=Irrigation, R=Rainfall, D=Drainage, ETc=Crop Evapotranspiration, ETo=Reference Evapotranspiration, Kc=Crop Coefficient

Table 1.2 Water balance components for tef under Melkassa climatic condition during 2017 cropping season

| DAS  | Irrigation (mm) | Rain (mm) | Drainage (mm) | ETc (mm) | ETc (mm/day) | ETo (mm/day) | Kc  |
|------|----------------|-----------|---------------|----------|--------------|--------------|-----|
| 01–03 | 16.2           | 70.8      | 63.5          | 7.3      | 1.8          | 4.0          | 0.46|
| 04-08 | -              | 17.8      | 9.2           | 10.1     | 2.0          | 3.9          | 0.52|
| 09-14 | -              | 10.6      | -             | 12.5     | 2.5          | 4.4          | 0.57|
| 15-18 | -              | 9.5       | -             | 16.0     | 3.2          | 4.5          | 0.71|
| 19-22 | -              | 10.6      | -             | 14.6     | 3.6          | 4.5          | 0.81|
| 23-29 | -              | 43.3      | 3.6           | 25.9     | 3.7          | 3.9          | 0.94|
| 30-31 | -              | 18.4      | 9.8           | 8.6      | 4.3          | 4.4          | 0.97|
| 32-33 | -              | 9.3       | -             | 10.1     | 5.0          | 5.0          | 1.00|
| 34-39 | -              | 39.8      | 21.3          | 32.5     | 5.4          | 4.8          | 1.13|
| 40-43 | -              | 19.5      | -             | 19.7     | 4.9          | 4.3          | 1.14|
| DAS  | Irrigation (mm) | Rain (mm) | Drainage(mm) | ETc (mm) | ETc (mm/day) | ETo (mm/day) | Kc  |
|------|----------------|-----------|--------------|----------|--------------|--------------|-----|
| 44-45| -              | 12.2      |              | 8.5      | 4.3          | 3.6          | 1.17|
| 46-51| 22.1           | 34.0      | 14.4         | 30.4     | 5.1          | 4.4          | 1.16|
| 52-60| 25.6           | 20.3      |              | 45.9     | 5.1          | 4.5          | 1.14|
| 61-64| -              | 0.0       |              | 23.0     | 5.8          | 5.2          | 1.11|
| 65-69| 34.3           | 4.5       |              | 27.0     | 5.4          | 5.2          | 1.03|
| 70-79| 35.6           | -         |              | 35.6     | 3.6          | 5.3          | 0.67|
| 80-86| -              | -         |              | 18.4     | 2.6          | 5.6          | 0.47|
| Total|                |           |              |          | 133.8        | 320.6        | 121.7|

Table 1.3 Water balance components for tef under Melkassa climatic condition during 2018 cropping season

| DAS  | Irrigation (mm) | Rain (mm) | Drainage(mm) | ETc (mm) | ETc (mm/day) | ETo (mm/day) | Kc  |
|------|----------------|-----------|--------------|----------|--------------|--------------|-----|
| 01-04| -              | 31.1      | 10.0         | 7.9      | 2.0          | 4.39         | 0.45|
| 05-08| -              | 21.5      | 25.2         | 8.2      | 2.1          | 4.38         | 0.47|
| 09-12| -              | 1.6       | -            | 9.5      | 2.4          | 4.64         | 0.51|
| 13-16| -              | 9.0       | -            | 10.9     | 2.7          | 5.07         | 0.54|
| 17-20| 13.50          | 33.4      | 24.1         | 9.3      | 2.3          | 4.17         | 0.56|
| 21-23| -              | 5.6       | 2.2          | 9.6      | 3.2          | 4.92         | 0.65|
| 24-27| -              | 5.1       | -            | 13.6     | 3.4          | 4.37         | 0.78|
| 28-31| 14.74          | 0.0       | -            | 15.6     | 3.9          | 4.65         | 0.84|
| 32-36| -              | 11.1      | -            | 21.0     | 4.2          | 4.58         | 0.92|
| 37-41| -              | 29.2      | -            | 22.0     | 4.4          | 4.47         | 0.98|
| 42-46| -              | 57.2      | 25.3         | 17.2     | 3.4          | 3.33         | 1.03|
| 47-51| -              | 10.7      | 7.5          | 22.0     | 4.4          | 4.27         | 1.03|
| 52-55| 14.89          | -         | 25.2         | 20.4     | 5.1          | 4.91         | 1.04|
| 56-59| 20.43          | 5.3       | -            | 18.4     | 4.6          | 4.49         | 1.03|
| 60-63| -              | 16.9      | -            | 17.6     | 4.4          | 4.31         | 1.02|
| 64-66| -              | 3.6       | 24.1         | 15.3     | 5.1          | 4.94         | 1.03|
| 67-70| 25.58          | 5.4       | 2.2          | 20.4     | 5.1          | 5.07         | 1.01|
| 71-75| 15.24          | -         | -            | 28.0     | 5.6          | 5.66         | 0.99|
| 76-80| 28.01          | 0.2       | -            | 27.8     | 5.6          | 5.84         | 0.95|
| 81-85| 27.61          | -         | -            | 22.2     | 4.4          | 5.77         | 0.77|
| 86-90| 22.22          | -         | -            | 17.4     | 3.5          | 6.01         | 0.58|
| 91-96| -              | -         | -            | 12.2     | 2.0          | 5.08         | 0.40|
| Total|                |           |              |          | 182.22       | 246.53       | 84.32| 366.58|
Table 1. Stage-wise tef ETc over years and mean ETo

| Cropping season | Stage-wise ETc(mm) | Total growing period |
|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|
|                 | Initial | Development | Mid-season | Late season | |
| Year – I        | 33.44   | 123.18      | 175.09     | 46.69       | 378.40   |
| Year – II       | 29.92   | 107.56      | 154.54     | 54.03       | 346.05   |
| Year – III      | 45.88   | 98.99       | 169.86     | 51.86       | 366.58   |
| Average ETc±STDEV | 36.41   | 109.91      | 166.50     | 50.86       | 363.68   |
| ETo(mm)         | 79.83   | 124.52      | 163.37     | 88.78       | 456.50   |
| Average Kc      | 0.46    | 0.88        | 1.02       | 0.57        | 0.80     |

Table 2. Stage-wise crop coefficient values for tef under Melkassa climatic condition

| Lysimeter | Stage-wise crop coefficient (Kc) |
|-----------|---------------------------------|
|           | Initial | Mid-season | End of season |
| Year – 1  | 0.36    | 0.95       | 0.57          |
| Year – 2  | 0.52    | 1.13       | 0.57          |
| Year – 3  | 0.51    | 1.01       | 0.58          |
| Average Kc | 0.46    | 1.03       | 0.57          |

Figure 1. Relationship between observed Kc-values and days after sowing for tef