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This is a continuation of the work of Tan et al. (2012). In this paper a periodic single species model controlled by constant impulsive perturbation is investigated. The constant impulse is realized at fixed moments of time. With the help of the comparison theorem of impulsive differential equations and Lyapunov functions, sufficient conditions for the permanence and global attractivity are established, respectively. Also, by comparing the above results with corresponding known results of Tan et al. (2012) (i.e., the above model with linear impulsive perturbations), we find that the two different types of impulsive perturbations have influence on the above dynamics. Numerical simulations are presented to substantiate our analytical results.

1. Introduction

It is well known that many mathematical models can be expressed by impulsive differential equations, and in recent years many impulsive differential equations have been introduced in population dynamics and neural networks, such as harvesting or stocking [1–4], chemostat [5], vaccination [6], integrated pest management [7], chemotherapeutic treatment of disease [8], and neural networks [9]. Also, there has been a significant development in theory of impulsive differential equation. For example, [10–17] studied the existence and stability of systems. In this paper, we investigate the permanence and global attractivity of a periodic impulsive system (see (1a) and (1b)).

In 1978, Ludwig et al. [18] investigated the asymptotic behavior of the following single species autonomous system:

\[ y'(t) = y(t) \left( a - by(t) \right) - E(y), \tag{1} \]

where \( y(t) \) is the density of species \( y \) at time \( t \), \( a \) is the intrinsic growth rate, \( b \) is the self-inhibition rate, and \( E(y) \)-term represents predation. Predation is an increasing function and usually saturates for large enough \( y \). The predation term \( E(y) \) drops rapidly if the density of species \( y \) is small. To be specific Murray [19] took the form for \( E(y) \) suggested by Ludwig et al. [18], namely, \( ay^2(t)/(\beta + y^2(t)) \), and the dynamics of \( y(t) \) is then governed by

\[ y'(t) = y(t) \left( a - by(t) \right) - \frac{ay^2(t)}{\beta + y^2(t)}, \tag{2} \]

where the positive coefficients \( a \) and \( \beta \) are measures of saturation value. Murray [19] discussed the existence and stability of equilibria of system (2).

To investigate the effects of other specific forms of \( E(y) \) on system (1), similar to Murray [19], in [1] we took \( E(y) = cy(t)/(d + y(t)) \) and established the following single species system:

\[ y'(t) = y(t) \left( a - by(t) \right) - \frac{cy(t)}{d + y(t)}. \tag{3} \]

Obviously, \( E(y) = cy(t)/(d + y(t)) \) is an increasing function with respect to \( y \) and has a saturation value, and the positive coefficients \( c \) and \( d \) are measures of saturation value. In [1], we further consider the possible effects of periodically varying environment and impulsive perturbations on system.
(3) and established the following periodic system with linear impulsive perturbations:

\[ y'(t) = y(t) \left[ a(t) - b(t) y(t) \right] - \frac{c(t) y(t)}{d(t) + y(t)}, \quad t \neq \tau_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \]

\[ t \neq \tau_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \]

\[ \Delta y(\tau_k) = I(y(\tau_k)) = y_k y(\tau_k), \quad (4b) \]

where \( y(0) > 0 \), \( \Delta y(\tau_k) = y(\tau_k^+) - y(\tau_k), \) \( \tau_k \) is an impulsive point for every \( k \), and \( 0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \cdots < \tau_k < \cdots \) and \( \mathbb{N} \) is the set of positive integers, \( b(t) \), \( c(t) \), and \( d(t) \) are positive continuous \( T \)-periodic functions. The intrinsic growth rate \( a(t) \) is not necessarily positive, since the environment fluctuates randomly; in a bad environment \( a(t) \) may be negative when the birth rate is smaller than the death rate. \( \{y_k\} \) is a real sequence and there exists an integer \( q > 0 \) such that \( y_{k+q} = y_k, \) \( \tau_{k+q} = \tau_k + T \). We have investigated the permanence and global attractivity of system (4a) and (4b) and two results can be redescribed as follows.

**Result A** (see Lemma 3.2 in [1]). If assumption

\[ \prod_{k=1}^{q}(1 + y_k) \exp \left\{ \int_0^T \left[ a(t) - \frac{c(t)}{d(t) + m} \right] dt \right\} > 1 \quad (5) \]

holds, then system (4a) and (4b) is permanent; that is, there exist positive constants \( m \), \( M \), and \( \tilde{T} \) such that any positive solution \( y(t) \) of system (4a) and (4b) satisfies \( m \leq y(t) \leq M \), for \( t \geq \tilde{T} \).

**Result B** (see Theorem 3.1 in [1]). In addition to (5), assume further that

\[ b(t) > \frac{c(t)}{(d(t) + m)^2}. \quad (6) \]

Then, system (4a) and (4b) is globally attractive, where \( m \) is described as Result A.

In this contribution, we continue to consider system (4a) with constant impulsive perturbation (i.e., \( I(y(\tau_k)) = p \)) and obtain the following system:

\[ y'(t) = y(t) \left[ a(t) - b(t) y(t) \right] - \frac{c(t) y(t)}{d(t) + y(t)}, \quad t \neq \tau_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \]

\[ \Delta y(\tau_k) = I(y(\tau_k)) = p. \quad (7b) \]

The positive constant \( p \) is the increased amount of species \( y \) at \( \tau_k \), which implies that species \( y \) is subjected to impulsive increase at a constant rate \( p \).

In this paper, our main purpose is to establish sufficient conditions for the permanence and global attractivity of system (7a) and (7b) and compare them with the effects of the above two different types of impulsive perturbations on the above dynamics. The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we present some preliminary lemmas. In Section 3, two main results on the permanence and global attractivity of system (7a) and (7b) are presented. In Section 4, some examples together with their corresponding numerical simulations are presented to verify the validity of the proposed criteria.

## 2. Preliminaries

The following Lemmas 1 and 2 are useful for establishing the permanence of system (7a) and (7b). Lemma 1 shows that the Malthus growth model with constant impulse has a good asymptotic behavior and a detailed proof can be seen in Lemma 2.3 in [4].

**Lemma 1** (see [2, 4]). Consider the following impulsive system:

\[ x'(t) = a(t) x(t), \quad t \neq \tau_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \]

\[ x(\tau_k^+) = x(\tau_k) + p, \quad (8) \]

where \( x(0) > 0 \), \( a(t) \) is a continuous \( T \)-periodic function with \( \int_0^T a(t) dt < 0 \), the constant \( p > 0 \), and there is an integer \( q > 0 \) such that \( \tau_k+q = \tau_k + T, \) \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). System (8) has a unique positive \( T \)-periodic solution which is globally asymptotically stable.

**Lemma 2** (see [4]). Consider the following impulsive single species system:

\[ x'(t) = x(t) \left[ a(t) - b(t) x(t) \right], \quad t \neq \tau_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \]

\[ x(\tau_k^+) = x(\tau_k) + p, \quad (9) \]

where \( x(0) > 0 \), \( a(t) \) and \( b(t) \) are continuous \( T \)-periodic functions, and \( b(t) > 0 \), the constant \( p > 0 \), and there exists an integer \( q > 0 \) such that \( \tau_k+q = \tau_k + T \). Then,

1. **species** \( x \) is permanent;
2. any two positives \( x(t) \) and \( \overline{x}(t) \) satisfy \( \lim_{t \to +\infty} |x(t) - \overline{x}(t)| = 0 \).

The following Lemma 3 is useful for proving the global attractivity of system (7a) and (7b). We first give the following notations and spaces of functions.

Let \( J \subseteq \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty) \) and \( J = [\tau_0, +\infty) \). \( PC(J, \mathbb{R}) = \{x : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : x \text{ is continuous for } t \in J, t \neq \tau_k, \text{ continuous from the left for } t \in J \text{ and discontinuous of the first kind occurring at the point } \tau_k \in J, k \in \mathbb{N} \}, \) and \( PC^1(J, \mathbb{R}) = \{x \in PC(J, \mathbb{R}) : x \text{ is continuously differentiable for } t \in J, t \neq \tau_k, x'(\tau_k^+) \text{ and } x'(\tau_k^-) \text{ exist, } k \in \mathbb{N} \} \).

**Lemma 3** (see [20]). If the function \( u \in PC^1([\tau_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}) \) satisfies the inequalities

\[ x'(t) \leq f(t) x(t) + \alpha(t), \quad t \neq \tau_k, \quad t \geq t_0, \]

\[ x(\tau_k^+) \leq d_k x(\tau_k) + \beta_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (10) \]
where \( f(t), a(t) \in PC([\tau_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}) \), \( d_k > 0 \), and \( \beta_k \) are constants for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), then, for \( t \geq \tau_0 \),
\[
x(t) \leq x(\tau_0) \prod_{t_j < t < t_j+1} d_k \exp \left( \int_{t_j}^{t_j+1} f(s) \, ds \right) \\
+ \sum_{t_j < t < t_j+1} \left( \prod_{t_k < t < t_j} d_k \exp \left( \int_{t_k}^{t_j+1} f(s) \, ds \right) \right) \beta_k \\
+ \int_{t_j}^{t_j+1} \prod_{t_k < t < t_j} d_k \exp \left( \int_{t_k}^{t_j+1} f(r) \, dr \right) \alpha(s) \, ds.
\]

Analogously, we have
\[
x(t) \geq x(\tau_0) \prod_{t_j < t < t_j+1} d_k \exp \left( \int_{t_j}^{t_j+1} f(s) \, ds \right) \\
+ \sum_{t_j < t < t_j+1} \left( \prod_{t_k < t < t_j} d_k \exp \left( \int_{t_k}^{t_j+1} f(s) \, ds \right) \right) \beta_k \\
+ \int_{t_j}^{t_j+1} \prod_{t_k < t < t_j} d_k \exp \left( \int_{t_k}^{t_j+1} f(r) \, dr \right) \alpha(s) \, ds
\]
for \( t \geq \tau_0 \) if all the inequalities of (10) are inverse.

3. Permanence and Global Attractivity

We first give the main result on the permanence of system (7a) and (7b).

**Theorem 4.** System (7a) and (7b) is permanent; that is, there exist positive constants \( h, H \), and \( t^* \) such that any positive solution \( y(t) \) of system (7a) and (7b) satisfies \( h \leq y(t) \leq H \) for \( t \geq t^* \).

**Proof.** It follows from (7a) and (7b) that we obtain
\[
y(t) = y(\tau_k^+) \\
\times \exp \left\{ \int_{\tau_k-1}^{\tau_k} \left[ \alpha(s) - b(s) y(s) - \frac{c(s)}{d(s) + y(s)} \right] \, ds \right\}, \\
t \in (\tau_k-1, \tau_k], \\
y(\tau_k^+) = y(\tau_k) + p.
\]

Obviously, if \( y(0^+) = y(0) > 0 \), then \( y(t) > 0 \) for \( t > 0 \).

We first show that any positive solution \( y(t) \) of system (7a) and (7b) is uniformly ultimately upper bounded. To do this, we have from (7a) and (7b) that
\[
y'(t) \leq y(t) \left[ a(t) - b(t) y(t) \right], \\
t \neq \tau_k, k \in \mathbb{N}, \\
y(\tau_k^+) = y(\tau_k) + p.
\]

Consider the comparison system of (14):
\[
w'(t) = w(t) \left[ a(t) - b(t) w(t) \right], \\
t \neq \tau_k, k \in \mathbb{N}, \\
w(\tau_k^+) = w(\tau_k) + p.
\]

By (1) in Lemma 2 we know that system (15) is permanent, which implies that there exist constants \( H > 0 \) and \( t > 0 \) such that
\[
w(t) \leq H, \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq t.
\]

Let \( u(t) \) be the solution of (15) with \( u(0) = y(0) \). By the comparison theorem of impulsive differential equations and (16), we obtain
\[
y(t) \leq H, \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq t.
\]

Next, we prove that \( y(t) \) is uniformly ultimately lower bounded. It follows from (7a), (7b), and (17) that we have, for \( t \geq t^* \),
\[
y'(t) \geq y(t) \left[ a(t) - b(t) \left( H + c(t) \right) \right] \\
\geq y(t) \left[ a(t) - b(t) \left( H - \frac{c(t)}{d(t)} \right) - q \right], \quad t \neq \tau_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},
\]

where the positive constant \( q \) satisfies
\[
\int_{t^*}^{T} \left[ a(t) - b(t) H - \frac{c(t)}{d(t)} - q \right] \, dt < 0.
\]

It follows from Lemma 1 that the comparison system of (18)
\[
v'(t) = v(t) \left[ a(t) - b(t) H - \frac{c(t)}{d(t)} - q \right], \\
t \neq \tau_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},
\]
has a unique positive, globally asymptotically stable \( T \)-periodic solution, denoted by \( \tilde{v}(t) \). The asymptotic property of \( \tilde{v}(t) \) implies that there exist \( t^* \geq t \) and \( c > 0 \) such that
\[
v(t) \geq \tilde{v}(t) - c, \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq t^*,
\]
where the constant \( c < \frac{1}{2} \min_{t \in [0,T]} \| \tilde{v}'(t) \| \). Let \( v(t) \) be the solution of (20) with \( v(t^*) = y(t^*) \). By the comparison theorem of impulsive differential equations and (18)–(21), we have
\[
y(t) \geq \tilde{v}(t) - c \geq \min_{t \in [0,T]} \| \tilde{v}(t) \| - c = h, \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq t^*.
\]

From (17) and (22), one has
\[
h \leq y(t) \leq H, \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq t^*.
\]

The proof of Theorem 4 is complete.

**Remark 5.** Theorem 4 shows that the permanence of system (7a) and (7b) is irrespective of the size of the positive impulse \( p \).

In the following, we continue to investigate the global attractivity of system (7a) and (7b).
Theorem 6. If system (7a) and (7b) satisfies

\[ b(t) > \frac{c(t)}{(d(t) + h)^2}, \]  
(24)

\[ h + p = H, \]  
(25)

then system (7a) and (7b) is global attractive, where \( h \) and \( H \) are described as in Theorem 4.

Proof. Let \( y^*(t) \) and \( y(t) \) be any two positive solutions of system (7a) and (7b). By Theorem 4, we know that there exists a \( \tilde{t} \) large enough such that, for all \( t > \tilde{t} > t^* \),

\[ h \leq y^*(t) \leq H, \quad h \leq y(t) \leq H. \]  
(26)

Consider a Lyapunov functional \( V(t) \) defined by

\[ V(t) = (\ln y^*(t) - \ln y(t)). \]  
(27)

Calculating and estimating the upper right derivative \( D^+ V(t) \) of \( V(t) \) along the solutions of (7a) and (7b), we get, for \( t > \tilde{t} \) and \( t \neq \tau_k \), that

\[
D^+ V(t) = \begin{cases} 
\text{sgn}(y^*(t) - y(t)) \left( \frac{y^*(t)}{y(t)} - \frac{y(t)}{y^*(t)} \right) \\
\text{sgn}(y^*(t) - y(t)) \\
\times \left( -b(t) (y^*(t) - y(t)) \\
+ \frac{c(t) (y^*(t) - y(t))}{(d(t) + y^*(t))(d(t) + y(t))} \right) \\
\leq - \left[ b(t) - \frac{c(t)}{(d(t) + h)^2} \right] \left| y^*(t) - y(t) \right|.
\end{cases}
\]  
(28)

From assumption (24), we obtain that there exists a constant \( \lambda > 0 \) such that

\[ b(t) > \frac{c(t)}{(d(t) + h)^2} + \lambda, \]  
(29)

which, together with (28), yields

\[ D^+ V(t) \leq -\lambda \left| y^*(t) - y(t) \right|. \]  
(30)

By the mean value theorem and (26), for any closed interval contained in \( t \in (\tau_k, \tau_{k+1}], k = m, m + 1, \ldots, \) and \( \tau_m > \tilde{t}, \) one has

\[
\frac{1}{H} \left| y^*(t) - y(t) \right| \leq \left| \ln y^*(t) - \ln y(t) \right| \leq \frac{1}{H} \left| y^*(t) - y(t) \right|. \]  
(31)

Therefore, for \( t \in (\tau_k, \tau_{k+1}], k = m, m + 1, \ldots, \) and \( \tau_m > \tilde{t}, \) from (30) and (31), we have

\[
D^+ V(t) \leq -\lambda \left| y^*(t) - y(t) \right| \leq -\lambda h \left| \ln y^*(t) - \ln y(t) \right| = -\psi V(t),
\]  
(32)

where \( \psi = \lambda h > 0. \)

On the other hand, for \( t = \tau_k, k \in \mathbb{N}, \) we have

\[
V(\tau_k^+) = \left| \ln (y^*(\tau_k) + p) - \ln (y(\tau_k) + p) \right| \\
= \left| \frac{g'(z)}{z - \eta_k} (y^*(\tau_k) - y(\tau_k)) \right| \\
= \frac{1}{\mu_k + p} \left| y^*(\tau_k) - y(\tau_k) \right|,
\]  
(33)

where \( \mu_k \) is between \( y^*(\tau_k) \) and \( y(\tau_k) \) and \( g(z) = \ln(z + p). \)

It follows from (26) and (33) that, for \( \tau_k > \tilde{t}, \) \( k = m, m + 1, \ldots, \)

\[ V(\tau_k^+) \leq \frac{1}{h + p} \left| y^*(\tau_k) - y(\tau_k) \right|. \]  
(34)

Again, by the mean value theorem, we have

\[
\left| \ln y^*(\tau_k) - \ln y(\tau_k) \right| = \left| r(\sigma) \right|_{\sigma = \eta_k} \left| y^*(\tau_k) - y(\tau_k) \right| \\
= \frac{1}{\eta_k} \left| y^*(\tau_k) - y(\tau_k) \right|,
\]  
(35)

where \( \eta_k \) is between \( y^*(\tau_k) \) and \( y(\tau_k) \) and \( r(\sigma) = \ln(\sigma). \)

Furthermore, we have from (26), (34), and (35) that, for \( \tau_k > \tilde{t}, \)

\[
\frac{1}{h + p} \left| y^*(\tau_k) - y(\tau_k) \right| \leq \frac{H}{h + p} \left| \ln y^*(\tau_k) - \ln y(\tau_k) \right| \\
= \mathcal{H} V(\tau_k),
\]  
(36)

where

\[ \mathcal{H} = \frac{H}{h + p}. \]  
(37)

Recall assumption (25); we obtain that \( \mathcal{H} = 1; \) thus, we have from (34)–(36) that

\[ V(\tau_k^+) \leq V(\tau_k). \]  
(38)

Combining (32) and (38), we have

\[ D^+ V(t) \leq -\psi V(t), \quad t \neq \tau_k, t > \tilde{t}, \]  
(39)

\[ V(\tau_k^+) \leq V(\tau_k), \quad \tau_k > \tilde{t}, \]  
(39)

Note that \( \tau_m \) is an impulsive point; by Lemma 3, we obtain that, for \( t > \tau_m > \tilde{t}, \)

\[ V(t) \leq V(\tau_m^+) \exp \left( \int_{\tau_m}^t -\psi ds \right) = V(\tau_m^+) \exp(-\psi (t - \tau_m)). \]  
(40)

Obviously, it follows from (26) and (27) that

\[
V(\tau_m^+) = \left| \ln (y^*(\tau_m) + p) - \ln (y(\tau_m) + p) \right| \\
\leq \max \{2 \ln(h + p), 2 \ln(H + p)\},
\]  
(41)

which implies that \( V(\tau_m^+) \) is bounded.
Thus,

\[ V \left( t \right) \exp \left( -\psi \left( t - \tau \right) \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as} \quad t \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (42) \]

Therefore, from (40) and (42), we obtain that \( V \left( t \right) \rightarrow 0 \) as \( t \rightarrow +\infty \); that is,

\[ \left| \ln y^* \left( t \right) - \ln y \left( t \right) \right| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as} \quad t \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (43) \]

By the mean value theorem, one has

\[ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \left| y^* \left( t \right) - y \left( t \right) \right| = 0. \quad (44) \]

The proof of Theorem 6 is complete. \( \square \)

Remark 7. The positive impulse \( p \) has the effect on the global attractivity of system (7a) and (7b).

4. Discussion

In this paper, we have proposed a periodic single species model with constant impulsive perturbation which is a continuation of the work of [1]. From systems (4a), (4b), (7a), and (7b), we can see that the equation (4a) or (7a) describes the density variation of species \( y \) in a periodically varying environment and the impulsive condition (4b) or (7b) reflects the possible external effects under which the population density changes very rapidly. Result A shows that the suitable large linear impulsive perturbations \( y_k \) are favorable for the permanence of system (4a) and (4b) while Theorem 4 indicates that the permanence of system (7a) and (7b) is irrespective of the size of the positive constant impulse \( p \). As far as the global attractivity is concerned, Result B shows that the suitable large linear impulsive perturbations \( y_k \) and self-inhibition rate \( b(t) \) are favorable for the global attractivity of system (4a) and (4b). Theorem 6 shows that the suitable large self-inhibition rate \( b(t) \) is also favorable for the global attractivity of system (7a) and (7b); meanwhile, the positive constant impulse \( p \) satisfies a harsh condition; that is, \( h + p = H \).

To substantiate our analytical results, we will construct some specific examples. We first consider system (4a) and (4b) with \( a(t) = -0.11 + 0.1 \cos \pi t, b(t) = 1.4 + 0.1 \sin \pi t, c(t) = 0.11 + 0.1 \cos \pi t, d(t) = 1.5 + 0.1 \cos \pi t, y_{2k-1} \equiv 0.5, \) and \( y_{2k} \equiv 0.4 \). The positive solution \( y^* \left( 0 \right) = 0.1 \) tends to the positive solution \( y \left( 0 \right) = 0.2 \).

Figure 1: Permanence of system (4a) and (4b) with \( y \left( 0 \right) = 0.2, \) \( a(t) = -0.11 + 0.1 \cos \pi t, b(t) = 1.4 + 0.1 \sin \pi t, c(t) = 0.11 + 0.1 \cos \pi t, d(t) = 1.5 + 0.1 \cos \pi t, y_{2k-1} \equiv 0.5, \) and \( y_{2k} \equiv 0.4 \). Time series of species \( y \) for \( t \in [0, 40] \).

Figure 2: Global attractivity of system (4a) and (4b) with \( a(t) = -0.11 + 0.1 \cos \pi t, b(t) = 1.4 + 0.1 \sin \pi t, c(t) = 0.11 + 0.1 \cos \pi t, d(t) = 1.5 + 0.1 \cos \pi t, y_{2k-1} \equiv 0.5, \) and \( y_{2k} \equiv 0.4 \). The positive solution \( y^* \left( 0 \right) = 0.1 \) tends to the positive solution \( y \left( 0 \right) = 0.2 \).

Figure 3: Permanence of system (7a) and (7b) with \( y \left( 0 \right) = 0.3, \) \( a(t) = -0.2 + 0.1 \sin 2\pi t, b(t) = 1.5 + 0.1 \cos 2\pi t, c(t) = 0.11 + 0.1 \sin 2\pi t, d(t) = 1.5 + \sin 2\pi t, \) and \( p = 0.03 \). Time series of species \( y \) for \( t \in [0, 40] \).
Let us consider system (7a) and (7b) with $y(0) = 0.15$. We observe that the positive solution $y^*(t)$ tends to the positive solution $y(t)$ with $y(0) = 0.3$. In the following, we verify the feasibilities of Theorems 4 and 6. Let us consider system (7a) and (7b) with $a(t) = -0.2 + 0.1 \cos 2\pi t, b(t) = 1.5 + 0.1 \sin 2\pi t, c(t) = 0.11 + 0.1 \cos 2\pi t, d(t) = 1.5 + \cos 2\pi t, \text{ and } p = 0.03$. The positive solution $y^*(t)$ with $y(0) = 0.15$ tends to the positive solution $y(t)$ with $y(0) = 0.3$.

We would like to mention here that some interesting and challenging problems associated with the investigation of system (7a) and (7b) should be the dynamic behaviors if $p$ is replaced with $p(\tau_k)$ or $p(\tau_{k+1}, y(\tau_k))$, or the impulse is random impulses. We leave them for future work.
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