Phase I trial of volasertib, a Polo-like kinase inhibitor, plus platinum agents in solid tumors: safety, pharmacokinetics and activity
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Summary Background This trial evaluated the maximum tolerated dose (MTD), safety, pharmacokinetics, and activity of volasertib, a selective Polo-like kinase 1 inhibitor that induces mitotic arrest and apoptosis, combined with cisplatin or carboplatin in patients with advanced/metastatic solid tumors (NCT00969761; 1230.6). Methods Sequential patient cohorts (3+3 dose-escalation design) received a single infusion of volasertib (100–350 mg) with cisplatin (60–100 mg/m²) or carboplatin (area under the concentration versus time curve [AUC]4–AUC6) on day 1 every 3 weeks for up to six cycles. Sixty-one patients received volasertib/cisplatin (n=30) or volasertib/carboplatin (n=31) for a median of 3.5 (range, 1–6) and 2.0 (range, 1–6) treatment cycles, respectively. Results The most common cycle 1 dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs) were thrombocytopenia, neutropenia and fatigue. MTDs (based on cycle 1 DLTs) were determined to be volasertib 300 mg plus cisplatin 100 mg/m² and volasertib 300 mg plus carboplatin AUC6. Co-administration did not affect the pharmacokinetics of each drug. Partial responses were observed in two patients in each arm. Stable disease was achieved in 11 and six patients treated with volasertib/cisplatin and volasertib/carboplatin, respectively. Conclusions Volasertib plus cisplatin or carboplatin at full single-agent doses was generally manageable and demonstrated activity in heavily pretreated patients with advanced solid tumors.
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Introduction

Most advanced or metastatic solid tumors are incurable despite the availability of multiple treatment modalities such as surgery, cytotoxic drugs, radiation therapy, and combinations of these therapies. Response to treatment in the advanced setting is dependent on the tumor type and treatment modality; however, these responses are rarely long lasting and are often followed by tumor progression and subsequently death. Novel treatment approaches are therefore required.

Polo-like kinase (Plk) 1 is a key enzyme regulating essential steps of mitosis including mitotic entry, centrosome maturation and separation, formation of the bipolar spindle,
transition from metaphase to anaphase, and initiation of cyto-
kinesis [1,2]. The functional relevance of Plk1 has been dem-
strated in vitro by ‘knock-down’ experiments in cancer cell
lines. In these experiments, depletion of Plk1 was accom-
pained by cell cycle arrest and apoptosis [3–6]. Overexpression
of Plk1 has been observed in multiple human cancers [6–10]
and has been associated with poor prognosis [11]. These find-
ings suggest that Plk1 may be a promising target in cancer.

Volasertib, an investigational agent, is a potent and
selective cell cycle kinase inhibitor that induces mitotic
arrest and apoptosis by targeting Plk at low nanomolar
concentrations [12]. Volasertib selectively inhibits Plk1 and,
its low volume of distribution, indicating good tissue penetra-
tion, and a long terminal half-life (t1/2) in preclinical
studies. In these experiments, depletion of Plk1 was accom-
pained by cell cycle arrest and apoptosis by targeting Plk at low nanomolar
concentrations [12].

Volasertib selectively inhibits Plk1 and, to a lesser extent, two other members of the Plk family,
Plk2 and Plk3, but does not inhibit unrelated kinases (>50 other kinases) at concentrations up to 10 μM [12].

This was a phase I, open-label, parallel-group, 3+3 dose-
escalation trial of combination therapy with volasertib and
cisplatin, or volasertib and carboplatin, conducted at two cen-
ters in Belgium (ClinicalTrials.gov ID: NCT00969761; 1230.6). The primary endpoint was determination of the
MTD, defined as the highest dose of volasertib in combination
with cisplatin or carboplatin at which the incidence of DLTs
during the first cycle was less than 33 % (i.e., fewer than two of six patients). Secondary endpoints included pharmacoki-
netics and evaluation of overall safety and antitumor activity. Safety endpoints included the incidence and intensity of AEs,
DLTs, serious and significant AEs, laboratory parameters, and
vital signs. Efficacy endpoints included overall response rate,
duration of response, rate and duration of disease control, and progression-free survival (PFS).

Patient selection

Patients aged ≥18 years with confirmed diagnosis of ad-
vanced, non-resectable or metastatic solid tumors, who had
failed conventional treatment, or for whom no therapy of
proven efficacy existed, or who were not amenable to
established forms of treatment, were eligible for this trial.

Additional inclusion criteria were: indication for treatment
with platinum therapy as judged by the investigator; Eastern
Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status (ECOG PS)
≤2; and recovery from Common Terminology Criteria for
Adverse Events (CTCAE) grade 2 to 4 therapy-related AEs
from previous systemic anticancer therapies or radiotherapies
(except alopecia of CTCAE grade 2). Patients were excluded
if they had clinical evidence of symptomatic progressive brain
or leptomeningeal disease during the past 6 months; second
malignancy currently requiring another anticancer therapy;
absolute neutrophil count (ANC) <1,500/mm³; platelet count
<100,000/mm³; serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dL (>132 μM/L, SI
unit equivalent) or creatinine clearance <70 mL/min (as cal-
culated according to Cockcroft–Gault formula for glomerular
filtration rate [GFR] estimate); known history of relevant QT
prolongation, (e.g., long QT syndrome); pre-existing clinically
relevant hearing loss; treatment with other investigational
drugs or participation in another clinical interventional trial
within the 4 weeks prior to the start of therapy or concomi-
tantly with this trial, or systemic anticancer therapy or radio-
therapy within the 4 weeks prior to the start of therapy or concomi-
tantly with this trial, with the exception of steroids
and bisphosphonates. The study was conducted in accordance
with the ethical principles originating from the Declaration of
Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice as defined by the
International Conference on Harmonization. The study was
approved by the local Independent Ethics Committees and/or Institutional Review Boards of the participating centers and the Federal Agency for Medicines and Health Products, Brussels, Belgium. All participating patients gave written informed consent.

**Treatment**

Volasertib was administered as a single dose by intravenous infusion over 2 hours, starting in the first treatment cycle, on day 1 every 3 weeks, given 30 minutes after a 1-hour intravenous cisplatin infusion on day 1 of a 3-week cycle or a 1-hour intravenous carboplatin infusion on day 1 of a 3-week cycle (Fig. S1 [Online Resource 1]). The starting doses for each intravenous carboplatin infusion on day 1 of a 3-week cycle or a 1-hour intravenous cisplatin infusion on day 1 of a 3-week cycle or a 1-hour intravenous carboplatin infusion on day 1 of a 3-week cycle were volasertib 100 mg combined with platinum combination were volasertib 100 mg combined with platinum. Volasertib was administered as a single dose by intravenous infusion over 2 hours, starting in the first treatment cycle, on day 1 every 3 weeks, given 30 minutes after a 1-hour intravenous cisplatin infusion on day 1 of a 3-week cycle or a 1-hour intravenous carboplatin infusion on day 1 of a 3-week cycle (Fig. S1 [Online Resource 1]). The starting doses for each platinum combination were volasertib 100 mg combined with cisplatin 60 mg/m² or carboplatin area under the concentration versus time curve (AUC). Subsequent dose cohorts are listed in Table S1 (Online Resource 2). Target doses for carboplatin were calculated using the Calvert formula [18] to achieve AUC

\[
\text{AUC} = \frac{\text{dose} \times \text{GFR} \times \text{age} \times \text{weight}}{2} 
\]

\[
\text{AUC} = \frac{\text{dose} \times \text{GFR} \times (140 - \text{age} \times \text{weight})}{(72 \times \text{serum creatinine} \times \text{weight} / \text{age} \times \text{weight})} 
\]

\[
\times \text{age} \times \text{weight} \times (0.85 \text{ if female}) 
\]

The maximum absolute dose of carboplatin per cycle was limited to 900 mg, as recommended by the US Food and Drug Administration [19].

Dose escalation of volasertib followed a 3+3 design, whereby cohorts of three to six patients were entered sequentially. The first patient of each dosage cohort was treated and observed until day 15 before the remaining two patients were entered. The decision regarding dose escalation was based on the occurrence of DLTs during the first treatment cycle. After determination of the MTD, patient enrollment at higher dosage tiers was suspended. Up to 12 patients could be treated at the MTD. This volasertib dose-escalation scheme was applied to each platinum combination. Volasertib plus cisplatin or carboplatin were given for up to six cycles; volasertib monotherapy was continued after six cycles of combination therapy until progression or intolerance. Dose reductions were permitted for patients with DLTs. Upon development of a DLT, study treatment was stopped temporarily and could be resumed after recovery, with a maximum of 35 days between two dose administrations) at a reduced dose according to prespecified dose-reduction schemes for non-hematologic and hematologic AEs.

**Assessments**

All patients were monitored carefully for AEs during and after treatment until discontinuation from trial. AEs were documented and graded according to CTCAE version 3.0 and assessed for relatedness to the combination treatment. DLTs were defined as any of the following AEs: drug-related grade 3 or 4 non-hematologic AEs (except ototoxicity and vomiting or diarrhea responding to supportive treatment); drug-related grade 4 neutropenia lasting ≥7 days and/or complicated by infection; grade 4 thrombocytopenia; or drug-related grade 3 febrile neutropenia (ANC <1,000/mm³ and fever ≥38.5 °C).

Blood was collected at specified time points during the first and second cycles of each treatment schedule for pharmacokinetic analyses to determine the plasma concentration of volasertib and/or total platinum. Plasma concentrations in cycle 1 were determined before the start of platinum infusions (1 hour 35 minutes relative to the start of the volasertib infusion), shortly before the end of platinum infusion (30 minutes), during volasertib infusion (1 hour), immediately before the end of volasertib infusion (2 hours), and at 3, 8, 24, 48, 168, and 336 hours after the start of volasertib infusion. The plasma concentrations in cycle 2 were determined before the start of platinum infusions (1 hour 35 minutes), shortly before end of platinum infusion (30 minutes), and immediately before the end of volasertib infusion (2 hours). Plasma concentrations of both volasertib and CD 10899, the predominant circulating hydroxylated metabolite of volasertib previously identified in early clinical studies of volasertib metabolism in cancer patients [13], were determined simultaneously by validated high performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS) assay using [D₃]volasertib and [D₃]CD 10899 as internal standards. The samples were subjected to solid-phase extraction in a 96-well plate format. Chromatography was achieved on an analytical reversed-phase HPLC column with gradient elution. The substances were detected and quantified by HPLC-MS/MS using electrospray ionization in the positive ion mode. Assay performance during the study was assessed by back-calculation of calibration standards, tabulation of the standard curve fit function parameters and measurement of quality control samples. No relevant interference of endogenous compounds was observed in human plasma samples. The calibration curves were linear over the range of concentrations from 0.200 to 200 ng/mL volasertib base salt (BS) and CD 10899 BS using a plasma volume of 50 μL. Plasma concentrations of cisplatin and carboplatin were determined as total platinum by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) using 175Lu (lutetium) as an internal standard. Samples were diluted and acidified prior to analysis and were introduced into the ICP-MS system without further sample preparation. The ions were separated and detected in the mass spectrometer and the peak areas of platinum and lutetium were determined.

Tumor measurements were performed at screening and at the end of every other treatment cycle by computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging. Overall response was assessed according to Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid Tumors (RECIST; version 1.1) [20].
This was an open-label study and all analyses were descriptive and exploratory. The analysis population was the treated set that consisted of all patients who received ≥1 administration of volasertib with cisplatin or carboplatin. The analysis of the primary endpoint, determination of the MTD, was performed on the basis of DLT observed during the first cycle, per dose cohort. The treated set was used for tumor response and pharmacokinetic analyses.

Results

Patient demographics and disposition

In total, 61 patients received volasertib in combination with either cisplatin (n=30) or carboplatin (n=31). Patient demographics are shown in Table 1. In the volasertib/cisplatin arm, the median age (range) was 55 (17–77) years and 53.3 % were male. All patients had an ECOG PS of 0 (43.3 %) or 1 (56.7 %). Four of 30 patients (13.3 %) in the volasertib/cisplatin arm discontinued the trial before starting cycle 2 (progressive disease [PD], n=2 [6.7 %]; DLT, n=1 [3.3 %]; other AE [neoplasm progression], n=1 [3.3 %]). Twenty-six patients (86.7 %) received at least two cycles of combination treatment. Of these 26 patients, two patients (7.7 %) discontinued the trial because of other AEs, while 24 patients (92.3 %) continued their treatment until PD.

In the volasertib/carboplatin arm, the median age (range) was 58 (23–81) years and 58.1 % were male. All patients had an ECOG PS of 0 (45.2 %) or 1 (54.8 %). Three out of 31 patients (9.7 %) in the volasertib/carboplatin arm discontinued the trial before starting cycle 2 (PD, n=2 [6.5 %]; refusal to continue receiving trial medication, n=1 [3.2 %]). Twenty-eight patients (90.3 %) received at least two cycles of combination treatment. Of these 28 patients, three patients (10.7 %) discontinued the trial because of other AEs, while 25 patients (89.3 %) continued their treatment until PD.

Treatment exposure

In the volasertib/cisplatin arm, the median (range) number of treatment cycles of volasertib administered overall was 3.5 (1–20), with a total absolute dose exposure to volasertib across the cohorts of 675.0 (200–4,400) mg. The median (range) number of treatment cycles of cisplatin in combination with volasertib administered overall was 3.5 (1–6) and the median (range) total absolute dose exposure to cisplatin across the cohorts was 440.5 (130–1,200) mg.

In the volasertib/carboplatin arm, the median (range) number of treatment cycles of volasertib administered overall was 2.0 (1–14), with a total absolute dose exposure to volasertib across the cohorts of 1,200 (200–3,300) mg. The median number (range) of treatment cycles of carboplatin in combination with volasertib administered overall was 2.0 (1–6) and the median (range) total absolute dose exposure to carboplatin across the cohorts was 1647.0 (426–4,382) mg.

Primary endpoint: determination of MTD assessed by DLTs in cycle 1

In the volasertib/cisplatin arm, no DLTs were observed during the first treatment cycle in the first four cohorts tested (volasertib/cisplatin: 100/60, 100/75, 200/75 and 300/75; Table 2). One of six patients in the 300/100 cohort experienced a DLT during cycle 1 (grade 4 neutropenia for ≥7 days).

Dose escalation to 350/75 resulted in two of six patients experiencing a DLT during cycle 1 (grade 3 increased alanine aminotransferase [ALT; n=1]; grade 3 fatigue and grade 4 neutropenia for ≥7 days [n=1]). As there were two patients...
with DLTs during the first cycle with the 350/75 dose combination of six patients, this appeared to be above the MTD. The combination of volasertib 300 mg and cisplatin 100 mg/m² was determined to be the MTD. Two of the six patients in the extension cohort at the MTD experienced a DLT during cycle 1 (grade 4 thrombocytopenia). One of the first six patients in the 300/AUC6 cohort experienced a DLT during cycle 1 (grade 4 thrombocytopenia). With dose escalation to 350/AUC5, two of three patients experienced DLTs in cycle 1 (grade 4 thrombocytopenia [n=1]; grade 4 neutropenia for ≥7 days, grade 4 thrombocytopenia, grade 3 fatigue, grade 3 febrile neutropenia, grade 3 nausea, and grade 3 anorexia [n=1]). The dose of 350/AUC5 was, therefore, considered above the MTD and the combination of volasertib 300 mg and carboplatin AUC6 was determined to be the MTD. One patient in the next six patients in the extension cohort at the MTD experienced a DLT (grade 4 thrombocytopenia) in cycle 1.

Safety

DLTs after the first cycle were experienced by a total of three patients in the volasertib/cisplatin arm. Two patients treated with 300/75 experienced DLTs: one had grade 4 neutropenia for ≥7 days in cycle 3 and grade 3 febrile neutropenia in cycle 4, and a second experienced grade 4 neutropenia for ≥7 days in cycle 3. One patient treated with 300/100 experienced grade 4 neutropenia for ≥7 days in cycle 4. No patients in the volasertib/carboplatin arm experienced a DLT after the first cycle.

All 30 patients in the volasertib/cisplatin arm had ≥1 AE regardless of CTCAE grade and relatedness. All of the patients in this treatment arm also had ≥1 drug-related AE. The most common drug-related AEs across the dose cohorts and for all grades were anemia, neutropenia, nausea, vomiting, and thrombocytopenia (Table 3). Drug-related grade 3/4 AEs occurred in every dose cohort in the volasertib/cisplatin arm and in 21 patients (70.0 %) overall. The most common drug-related grade 3/4 AEs were neutropenia, lymphopenia, leukopenia, fatigue, and thrombocytopenia. Thirteen patients (43.3 %) had a total of 27 serious adverse events (SAEs) during the treatment period. Of these, 12 drug-related SAEs experienced by seven patients included nausea, vomiting, and increased blood creatinine (two patients, 6.7 % for each event). Other drug-related SAEs were single cases of anemia, neutropenia, febrile neutropenia, pyrexia, tumoral hemothorax, and orthostatic hypotension. There were no deaths in the volasertib/cisplatin arm during treatment.

Two patients (6.7 %) in the volasertib/cisplatin arm discontinued trial drug because of AEs: one patient in the 200/75 cohort had cisplatin discontinued because of a grade 2 hypersensitivity reaction during the sixth cycle, and one patient in the 300/100 had both volasertib and cisplatin discontinued after the first cycle because of a DLT of grade 3 increased blood creatinine. Six patients (20.0 %) had a total of 10 AEs that led to dose reductions of one or both drugs. One patient (33.3 %) in the 100/75 cohort had a reduction of cisplatin only to 60 mg/m² starting in cycle 4 following grade 3

### Table 2 Overall summary of DLTs occurring in cycle 1 (treated set)

| Dose cohorts | N | n with DLTs | DLT |
|--------------|---|-------------|-----|
| Volasertib (mg)/Cisplatin (mg/m²) |   |             |     |
| 100/60       | 3 | 0           | None |
| 100/75       | 3 | 0           | None |
| 200/75       | 3 | 0           | None |
| 300/75       | 3 | 0           | None |
| 300/100      | 6 | 1           | Grade 4 neutropenia for ≥7 days |
| 300/60       | 6 | 1           | Grade 4 thrombocytopenia and grade 4 neutropenia for ≥7 days |
| 350/5        | 6 | 2           | Grade 3 increased ALT (n=1); grade 3 fatigue and grade 4 neutropenia for ≥7 days (n=1) |
| 350/75       | 6 | 2           | Grade 3 increased ALT (n=1); grade 3 fatigue and grade 4 neutropenia for ≥7 days (n=1) |
| Volasertib (mg)/Carboplatin (AUC) |   |             |     |
| 100/4        | 3 | 0           | None |
| 100/5        | 3 | 0           | None |
| 200/5        | 3 | 0           | None |
| 300/5        | 6 | 1           | Grade 4 thrombocytopenia and grade 4 neutropenia for ≥7 days |
| 300/6        | 6 | 1           | Grade 4 thrombocytopenia |
| 350/5        | 3 | 2           | Grade 4 thrombocytopenia (n=1); grade 4 neutropenia for ≥7 days, grade 4 thrombocytopenia, grade 3 fatigue, grade 3 febrile neutropenia, grade 3 nausea, and grade 3 anorexia (n=1) |

Abbreviations: ALT alanine aminotransferase, AUC area under the concentration versus time curve, DLTs dose-limiting toxicities, MTD maximum tolerated dose

a Defined as the MTD
b MTD cohorts were expanded to further characterize safety
c One patient was not evaluable for MTD and was replaced

One of the first six patients in the 300/AUC6 cohort experienced a DLT during cycle 1 (grade 4 thrombocytopenia). With dose escalation to 350/AUC5, two of three patients experienced DLTs in cycle 1 (grade 4 thrombocytopenia [n=1]; grade 4 neutropenia for ≥7 days, grade 4 thrombocytopenia, grade 3 fatigue, grade 3 febrile neutropenia, grade 3 nausea, and grade 3 anorexia [n=1]). The dose of 350/AUC5 was, therefore, considered above the MTD and the combination of volasertib 300 mg and carboplatin AUC6 was determined to be the MTD. One patient in the next six patients in the extension cohort at the MTD experienced a DLT (grade 4 thrombocytopenia) in cycle 1.

Safety

DLTs after the first cycle were experienced by a total of three patients in the volasertib/cisplatin arm. Two patients treated with 300/75 experienced DLTs: one had grade 4 neutropenia for ≥7 days in cycle 3 and grade 3 febrile neutropenia in cycle 4, and a second experienced grade 4 neutropenia for ≥7 days in cycle 3. One patient treated with 300/100 experienced grade 4 neutropenia for ≥7 days in cycle 4. No patients in the volasertib/carboplatin arm experienced a DLT after the first cycle.

All 30 patients in the volasertib/cisplatin arm had ≥1 AE regardless of CTCAE grade and relatedness. All of the patients in this treatment arm also had ≥1 drug-related AE. The most common drug-related AEs across the dose cohorts and for all grades were anemia, neutropenia, leukopenia, nausea, vomiting, and thrombocytopenia (Table 3). Drug-related grade 3/4 AEs occurred in every dose cohort in the volasertib/cisplatin arm and in 21 patients (70.0 %) overall. The most common drug-related grade 3/4 AEs were neutropenia, lymphopenia, leukopenia, fatigue, and thrombocytopenia. Thirteen patients (43.3 %) had a total of 27 serious adverse events (SAEs) during the treatment period. Of these, 12 drug-related SAEs experienced by seven patients included nausea, vomiting, and increased blood creatinine (two patients, 6.7 % for each event). Other drug-related SAEs were single cases of anemia, neutropenia, febrile neutropenia, pyrexia, tumoral hemothorax, and orthostatic hypotension. There were no deaths in the volasertib/cisplatin arm during treatment.

Two patients (6.7 %) in the volasertib/cisplatin arm discontinued trial drug because of AEs: one patient in the 200/75 cohort had cisplatin discontinued because of a grade 2 hypersensitivity reaction during the sixth cycle, and one patient in the 300/100 had both volasertib and cisplatin discontinued after the first cycle because of a DLT of grade 3 increased blood creatinine. Six patients (20.0 %) had a total of 10 AEs that led to dose reductions of one or both drugs. One patient (33.3 %) in the 100/75 cohort had a reduction of cisplatin only to 60 mg/m² starting in cycle 4 following grade 3
#### Table 3  Overall summary of drug-related AEs (treated set)\(^a\)

| Volasertib (mg)/cisplatin (mg/m²) | 100/60 (n=3) | 100/75 (n=3) | 200/75 (n=3) | 300/075 (n=3) | 300/100 (n=12) | 350/75 (n=6) | Total (N=30) |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Grade                             | All | 3/4 | All | 3/4 | All | 3/4 | All | 3/4 | All | 3/4 | All | 3/4 | All | 3/4 | All | 3/4 | All | 3/4 |
| Anemia                            | 3   | 0   | 3   | 1   | 1   | 0   | 3   | 0   | 8   | 2   | 4   | 0   | 22 (73.3) | 3 (10.0) |
| Neutropenia                       | 2   | 0   | 3   | 2   | 0   | 0   | 3   | 2   | 9   | 6   | 5   | 3   | 22 (73.3) | 13 (43.3) |
| Leukopenia                        | 2   | 0   | 3   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 3   | 1   | 9   | 3   | 4   | 2   | 21 (70.0) | 6 (20.0) |
| Nausea                            | 2   | 0   | 2   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 2   | 0   | 11  | 3   | 3   | 0   | 21 (70.0) | 3 (10.0) |
| Vomiting                          | 2   | 0   | 2   | 0   | 3   | 0   | 2   | 1   | 11  | 2   | 1   | 0   | 21 (70.0) | 3 (10.0) |
| Thrombocytopenia                  | 1   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 3   | 0   | 9   | 4   | 5   | 1   | 20 (66.7) | 5 (16.7) |
| Fatigue                           | 1   | 0   | 2   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 8   | 4   | 4   | 2   | 17 (56.7) | 6 (20.0) |
| Lymphopenia                       | 1   | 1   | 2   | 1   | 2   | 1   | 1   | 0   | 7   | 4   | 3   | 2   | 16 (53.3) | 9 (30.0) |
| Decreased appetite                | 1   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 2   | 0   | 7   | 0   | 4   | 0   | 15 (50.0) | 0 (0.0) |
| Increased blood creatinine        | 1   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 4   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 6   | 20 (66.7) | 1 (3.3) |
| Peripheral sensory neuropathy     | 0   | 0   | 2   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 2   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 6 (20.0)  | 0 (0.0) |
| Tinnitus                          | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 5   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 6 (20.0)  | 0 (0.0) |
| Alopecia                          | 0   | -   | 0   | -   | 0   | -   | 2   | -   | 0   | -   | 2   | -   | 4 (13.3)  | -          |
| Stomatitis                        | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 2   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 4 (13.3)  | 0 (0.0) |
| Constipation                      | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 2   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 3 (10.0)  | 0 (0.0) |
| Diarrhea                          | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 2   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 3 (10.0)  | 0 (0.0) |
| Volasertib (mg)/carboplatin (AUC) | 100/5 (n=3) | 100/75 (n=3) | 200/5 (n=3) | 300/5 (n=6) | 300/100 (n=13) | 350/5 (n=3) | Total (N=31) |
| Grade                             | All | 3/4 | All | 3/4 | All | 3/4 | All | 3/4 | All | 3/4 | All | 3/4 | All | 3/4 | All | 3/4 |
| Anemia                            | 2   | 0   | 2   | 0   | 2   | 0   | 6   | 2   | 13  | 3   | 3   | 3   | 28 (90.3) | 8 (25.8) |
| Thrombocytopenia                  | 1   | 0   | 2   | 1   | 2   | 1   | 5   | 3   | 12  | 8   | 3   | 2   | 25 (80.6) | 15 (48.4) |
| Leukopenia                        | 1   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 2   | 0   | 5   | 3   | 11  | 3   | 2   | 2   | 22 (71.0) | 8 (25.8) |
| Neutropenia                       | 0   | 0   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 0   | 5   | 4   | 12  | 8   | 3   | 3   | 22 (71.0) | 16 (51.6) |
| Lymphopenia                       | 1   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 2   | 0   | 6   | 2   | 8   | 3   | 1   | 0   | 19 (61.3) | 5 (16.1) |
| Fatigue                           | 1   | 0   | 1   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 2   | 0   | 7   | 0   | 2   | 1   | 13 (41.9) | 2 (6.5) |
| Nausea                            | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 3   | 0   | 6   | 0   | 1   | 1   | 10 (32.3) | 1 (3.2) |
| Vomiting                          | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 2   | 0   | 5   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 9 (29.0)  | 0 (0.0) |
| Decreased appetite                | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 4   | 0   | 1   | 1   | 1   | 6 (19.4)  | 1 (3.2) |
| Increased blood creatinine        | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 1   | 0   | 2   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 4 (12.9)  | 0 (0.0) |

Abbreviations: AEs adverse events, AUC area under the concentration versus time curve, \(^a\) AEs (all grade) occurring in \( \geq 10\% \) of patients
neutropenia. One patient (33.3 %) in the 300/75 cohort had dose reductions of both drugs and was treated with 300/60 in cycle 5 and 200/60 in cycle 6 due to grade 4 neutropenia. This patient continued treatment with volasertib for a total of 20 cycles. Three patients (25.0 %) in the 300/100 cohort had a dose reduction to 200/75 in cycle 2 (grade 4 neutropenia and grade 3 thrombocytopenia \( n=1 \); grade 3 fatigue \( n=2 \)). One patient (16.7 %) in the 350/75 cohort had a dose reduction to 300/60 in cycle 2 due to grade 4 neutropenia, grade 3 thrombocytopenia, grade 3 fatigue, and grade 1 pyrexia.

All 31 patients in the volasertib/carboplatin arm had ≥1 AE regardless of CTCAE grade and relatedness. Thirty patients (96.8 %) in the volasertib/carboplatin arm had ≥1 drug-related AE (Table 3). The most common drug-related AEs across the dose cohorts were anemia, thrombocytopenia, leukopenia, neutropenia, and lymphopenia. Drug-related grade ≥3 AEs occurred in 23 patients (74.2 %) overall. The most common drug-related grade ≥3 AEs were neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, anemia, and leukopenia. Eleven patients (35.5 %) had a total of 37 SAEs during the treatment period. Of these, 13 drug-related SAEs experienced by three patients included anemia, neutropenia, and thrombocytopenia (9.7 % with each event), and single cases of decreased appetite, nausea, fatigue, and febrile neutropenia. Two patients in the volasertib/carboplatin arm (both in the 300/AUC6 cohort) died while on treatment. One patient died of respiratory tract infection and septic shock after seven cycles of volasertib and six cycles of carboplatin (normal neutrophil count on the day of death), and one patient died of neoplasm progression after 11 cycles of volasertib and six cycles of carboplatin (during the follow-up period after discontinuation for progression). Neither of the fatal SAEs was considered to be drug related.

Four patients (12.9 %) in the volasertib/carboplatin arm had a total of six AEs that led to dose reductions of one or both drugs. One patient (16.7 %) in the 300/AUC5 cohort had a dose reduction of both drugs and was treated with 200/AUC4 starting in cycle 2 due to grade 4 neutropenia and grade 4 thrombocytopenia. This patient continued treatment with volasertib for a total of 14 cycles. Two patients (15.4 %) in the 300/AUC6 cohort had dose reductions (dose reduction of carboplatin only in one case). One patient was treated with 300/AUC4 starting in cycle 4 due to grade 3 thrombocytopenia; the second patient received 200/AUC4 starting in cycle 2 due to grade 4 thrombocytopenia (both dose reductions were protocol violations; per protocol, these two patients should have received 200/AUC5). One patient (33.3 %) in the 350/AUC5 cohort was reduced to 300/AUC4 in cycle 2 due to grade 4 neutropenia and grade 4 thrombocytopenia.

Pharmacokinetics

A total of 60 patients in the treated set were included in the pharmacokinetic set. One patient from the volasertib/carboplatin (300/AUC6) cohort was not included because the administration date and/or time of volasertib dosing was missing. Volasertib exhibited multi-exponential pharmacokinetic behavior with fast distribution after the end of infusion, followed by several slower elimination phases in combination with cisplatin (Fig. 1a) or carboplatin (Fig. 1b). Key pharmacokinetic parameters from both treatment arms were generally comparable with a high volume of distribution, moderate total plasma clearance and long t1/2 (Table 4). Dose-normalized pharmacokinetic exposure parameters of volasertib were similar with either cisplatin or carboplatin co-administration (Table 4).

The area under the concentration-time curve in plasma over the time interval from 0 extrapolated to infinity (AUC0–∞) of CD 10899, volasertib’s primary metabolite, was approximately 20 % that of volasertib, independent of whether volasertib was combined with cisplatin or carboplatin (Table 4). CD 10899 showed similar pharmacokinetic behavior to volasertib. The overall geometric mean (gMean) half-lives of CD 10899 following intravenous infusion of volasertib were similar in the cisplatin and carboplatin arms (Table 4).

Both cisplatin and carboplatin exhibited multi-exponential disposition pharmacokinetics with a fast distribution phase after the intravenous infusion (data not shown). Total platinum plasma clearance was about 9.7 mL/min for cisplatin and 80.5 mL/min for carboplatin. Total platinum distributed in a small volume of around 73.2 L with cisplatin and around 196.2 L with carboplatin. Mean apparent half-lives of total platinum were 88.9 hours for cisplatin and 40.3 hours for carboplatin.

Antitumor activity

Tumor response according to RECIST was evaluable in 26 of the 30 patients in the volasertib/cisplatin arm (Table 5). Four patients (13.3 %) did not have any post-baseline tumor assessments and were not evaluable for response. Best overall response (BOR) was PRs in two patients (6.7 %). One responder (100/75 cohort) was a 50-year-old female patient with an undifferentiated follicular dendritic reticulum cell sarcoma of the palatine tonsil. At screening, she had metastases in the lung. Prior to enrollment, she had received combination cyclophosphamide, vincristine, doxorubicin, and prednisone (BOR was PR). In this study, she received six cycles of cisplatin and 15 cycles of volasertib and achieved a PR after 43 days from treatment initiation and a PFS of 340 days. The second responder (300/75 cohort) was a 42-year-old female patient with a well differentiated follicular dendritic reticulum cell retroperitoneal sarcoma. She had metastases in the bone, liver, and muscles at screening. She had previously undergone surgery followed by combination cyclophosphamide, vincristine, doxorubicin, and prednisolone (BOR was PD). She received
six cycles of cisplatin and 20 cycles of volasertib and achieved a PR after 78 days and a PFS of 436 days.

An additional 11 patients (36.7 %) in the volasertib/cisplatin arm achieved SD including the following tumor types: non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC; \( n = 3 \)), colorectal cancer (CRC; \( n = 3 \)), and melanoma (\( n = 2 \)). Disease control (defined as a BOR of SD, PR, or complete response) was achieved in 13 patients (43.3 %), with a median duration (defined as the time from the start of study treatment to the time of disease progression or death) of 155.0 (range, 97–436) days.

Median PFS for all patients across the cohorts was 93.5 (range, 1–436) days.

In the volasertib/carboplatin arm, tumor response was evaluable in 26 of the 31 patients (Table 5). Four patients (12.9 %) did not have any post-baseline tumor assessments and were not evaluable for response, and one patient (3.2 %) had a non-evaluable tumor at baseline. BOR was PRs in two patients (6.5 %). One responder (300/AUC5 cohort) was a 65-year-old male patient with a poorly differentiated hypopharynx carcinoma. He had metastases in the lung at screening. Prior to enrollment, he had previously received combination chemotherapy with cisplatin and fluorouracil, followed by combination carboplatin and fluorouracil (BOR was PR) and

**Table 4** Overall summary of non-compartmental pharmacokinetic parameters of volasertib combined with cisplatin or carboplatin

|          | Volasertib/cisplatin | Volasertib/carboplatin |
|----------|----------------------|------------------------|
|          | \( n \) | gMean | gCV (%) | \( n \) | gMean | gCV (%) |
| Volasertib |              |              |              |              |              |
| AUC\(_{0-\infty}\) | 28 | 16.7 | 30.4 | 28 | 19.3 | 26.1 |
| \( C_{\text{max}} \) | 30 | 1.29 | 61.5 | 29 | 1.40 | 46.7 |
| \( t_{1/2} \) (h) | 28 | 137 | 26.0 | 28 | 166 | 32.0 |
| CL (mL/min) | 28 | 999 | 30.4 | 28 | 865 | 26.6 |
| \( V_{ss} \) (L) | 28 | 6780 | 53.6 | 28 | 7550 | 44.5 |
| CD 10899* |              |              |              |              |              |
| RAUC\(_{0-\infty,M/P}\) (%) | 26 | 21.9 | 33.9 | 26 | 18.6 | 27.1 |
| \( t_{1/2} \) (h) | 26 | 134 | 28.4 | 27 | 152 | 33.2 |

Abbreviations: AUC\(_{0-\infty}\), area under the concentration-time curve in plasma over the time interval from 0 extrapolated to infinity, CL total clearance, \( C_{\text{max}} \) maximum measured concentration in plasma, gCV geometric coefficient of variation, gMean geometric mean, norm dose normalized, RAUC\(_{0-\infty,M/P}\) AUC ratio metabolite CD 10899/volasertib, \( t_{1/2} \) terminal half-life, \( V_{ss} \) apparent volume of distribution at steady state

*Metabolite of volasertib
**Table 5** Antitumor activity in evaluable patients

| Disease control rate, n (%) | Volasertib/cisplatin (n=30) | Volasertib/carboplatin (n=31) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| PR confirmed                | 13 (43.3)                   | 8 (25.8)                    |
| SD                           | 11 (36.7)                   | 6 (19.4)                    |
| PD                           | 13 (43.3)                   | 18 (58.1)                   |
| Missing                      | 4 (13.3)                    | 4 (12.9)                    |
| Not evaluable                | 0 (0)                       | 1 (3.2)                     |
| Median PFS, days (range)     | 93.5 (1–436)                | 43.0 (1–331)                |

Abbreviations: CRC colorectal cancer, NSCLC non-small cell lung cancer, PD progressive disease, PFS progression-free survival, PR partial response, SD stable disease.

a Tumor types: follicular dendritic reticulum cell carcinoma of the palatine tonsil (n=1), follicular dendritic reticulum cell retroperitoneal sarcoma (n=1).
b Tumor types: hypopharynx carcinoma (n=1), NSCLC (n=1).
c Tumor types: NSCLC (n=3), CRC (n=3), melanoma (n=2), bladder cancer (n=1), breast cancer (n=1), endocrine cancer (n=1).
d Tumor types: NSCLC (n=2), biliary tree cancer (n=1), liver cancer (n=1), pancreatic cancer (n=1), pleural cancer (n=1).
e Missing indicates that there was no tumor assessment post-baseline and response status could not be assessed.

Discussion

This was a phase I, open-label, dose-escalation study designed to determine the MTD, DLTs, safety, pharmacokinetics, and antitumor effects of the Plk inhibitor volasertib administered in combination with cisplatin or carboplatin in patients with advanced/metastatic solid tumors. The MTDs were determined to be volasertib 300 mg plus cisplatin 100 mg/m² and volasertib 300 mg plus carboplatin AUC6 (limited to a maximum dose of 900 mg) administered on day 1 of a 3-week cycle. This MTD for volasertib combination therapy is the same as the recommended dose for volasertib monotherapy in solid tumors [13].

The most frequently observed DLTs were hematologic changes, which were expected based on previous experience with single-agent volasertib [13] and single-agent platinum drugs [21, 22]. The AEs observed in this study were primarily a result of hematopoietic suppression from both agents in each combination. No additive effects were detected, indicating that each drug (volasertib, cisplatin, or carboplatin) can be administered in combination at the recommended maximum single-agent doses without an increase in AEs or unexpected safety findings. The higher frequency of hematologic AEs at volasertib doses exceeding 300 mg may be related to volasertib more than to the combination partner since comparable AE frequencies were observed with volasertib 300 mg combined with different doses of cisplatin or carboplatin. However, because separate causal assessments for volasertib and platinum were not performed, all observed AEs should be related to the combination and were not necessarily attributable to volasertib alone.

The current study of volasertib in combination with cisplatin or carboplatin showed no influence of cisplatin or carboplatin on the pharmacokinetics or metabolism of volasertib. As shown with monotherapy [13], volasertib exhibited multi-exponential pharmacokinetic behavior with extensive distribution into deep body compartments, a long t1/2 and moderate clearance. Based on a comparison with historical data on volasertib monotherapy, platinum drugs showed no influence on the pharmacokinetics of volasertib. Both cisplatin and carboplatin (co-administered with volasertib), measured as total platinum, showed multi-exponential pharmacokinetic behavior and the major pharmacokinetic parameters (maximum measured concentration in plasma [Cmax], AUC, and clearance) were comparable to the published data on monotherapy of the respective compounds [23–25].

In this population of patients with advanced/metastatic solid tumors, volasertib in combination with cisplatin or carboplatin showed encouraging signs of antitumor activity. Of 26 evaluable patients treated with volasertib/cisplatin, two had a PR and 11 achieved SD as best response. In combination with carboplatin, two of 26 evaluable patients had a PR and six achieved SD. One interesting finding was the activity observed in two female patients with follicular dendritic reticulum cell sarcoma, an orphan disease with sensitivity to drugs used for treatment of mesenchymal tumors and lymphoma. Both patients achieved durable PRs with volasertib/cisplatin treatment, with decreases in tumor size that persisted after completion of the maximum number of cycles with platinum.

It is generally acknowledged that novel agents/regimens for the treatment of patients with advanced/metastatic solid tumors...
tumors are an unmet need. This trial demonstrated a generally acceptable safety profile and antitumor activity for volasertib in combination with cisplatin or carboplatin in patients with advanced/metastatic solid tumors. These data suggest that the investigation of volasertib for the treatment of patients with advanced/metastatic solid tumors, in particular, in combination with cytotoxic agents like platinums, is warranted. Additional studies focusing on predictive biomarkers would be beneficial to better understand the role of Plk inhibition in tumor development and anticancer therapy.

Acknowledgments The authors were fully responsible for all content and editorial decisions, were involved at all stages of manuscript development, and have approved the final version. Medical writing assistance, financially supported by Boehringer Ingelheim, was provided by Victoria A Robb of GeoMed, an Ashfield Company, part of UDG Healthcare plc, during the preparation of this manuscript.

Compliance with ethical standards and informed consent The study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles originating from the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice as defined by the International Conference on Harmonization. The study was approved by the local Independent Ethics Committees and/or Institutional Review Boards of the participating centers and the Federal Agency for Medicines and Health Products, Brussels, Belgium. All participating patients gave written informed consent.

Conflicts of interest AA has been a consultant/advisory board member for Boehringer Ingelheim. PS has received honorariums as an advisor and educational activities for Boehringer Ingelheim. M-AM, GM, DL and JC are full time employees of Boehringer Ingelheim. KP was a full time employee of Boehringer Ingelheim at the time of the study conduct, analyses and reporting. No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed by HD, PGA, JC, SB, KF, and TB.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

1. Schöffski P (2009) Polo-like kinase (PLK) inhibitors in preclinical and early clinical development in oncology. Oncologist 14:559–570
2. Strebhardt K (2010) Multifaceted polo-like kinases: drug targets and antitargets for cancer therapy. Nat Rev Drug Discov 9:643–660
3. Liu X, Erikson RL (2003) Polo-like kinase (PLK1) depletion induces apoptosis in cancer cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100:5789–5794
4. SpänuÈck-Schmitt B, Berek-Hahn J, Kaufmann M, Strebhardt K (2002) Effect of RNA silencing of polo-like kinase-1 (PLK1) on apoptosis and spindle formation in human cancer cells. J Natl Cancer Inst 94:1863–1877
5. Xu WJ, Zhang S, Yang Y, Zhang N, Wang W, Liu SY, Tian HW, Dai L, Xie Q, Zhao X, Wei YQ, Deng HX (2011) Efficient inhibition of human colorectal carcinoma growth by RNA interference targeting polo-like kinase 1 in vitro and in vivo. Cancer Biother Radiopharm 26:427–436
6. Cheng MW, Wang BC, Weng ZQ, Zhu XW (2012) Clinicopathological significance of Polo-like kinase 1 (PLK1) expression in human malignant glioma. Acta Histochem 114:503–509
7. Wolf G, Elez R, Doermer A, Holtrich U, Ackermann H, Stuttte HJ, Altmannsberger HM, Rübsamen-Waigmann H, Strebhardt K (1997) Prognostic significance of polo-like kinase (PLK) expression in non-small cell lung cancer. Oncogene 14:543–549
8. Takahashi T, Sano B, Nagata T, Kato H, Sugiyama Y, Kunieda K, Kimura M, Okano Y, Saiji S (2003) Polo-like kinase 1 (PLK1) is overexpressed in primary colorectal cancers. Cancer Sci 94:148–152
9. Wang ZX, Xue D, Liu ZL, Lu BB, Bian HB, Pan X, Yin YM (2012) Overexpression of polo-like kinase 1 and its clinical significance in human non-small cell lung cancer. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 44:200–210
10. Han DP, Zhu QL, Cui JT, Wang PX, Qu S, Cao QF, Zong YP, Feng B, Zheng MH, Lu AG (2012) Polo-like kinase 1 is overexpressed in colorectal cancer and participates in the migration and invasion of colorectal cancer cells. Med Sci Monit 18:BR237–BR246
11. Strebhardt K, Ullrich A (2006) Targeting polo-like kinase 1 for cancer therapy. Nat Rev Cancer 6:321–330
12. Rudolph D, Steegmaier M, Hoffmann M, Grauert M, Baum A, Quant J, Haslinger C, Garin-Chesa P, Adolf GR (2009) BI 6727, a Polo-like kinase inhibitor with improved pharmacokinetic profile and broad antitumor activity. Clin Cancer Res 15:3094–3102
13. Schöffski P, Awada A, Dumez H, Gil T, Bartholomeus S, Wolter P, Taton M, Fritsch H, Glomb P, Munzert G (2012) A phase I, dose-escalation study of the novel Polo-like kinase inhibitor volasertib (BI 6727) in patients with advanced solid tumours. Eur J Cancer 48:179–186
14. Baum A, Gurtler U, Munzert G, Steegmaier M (2006) In vivo efficacy of BI 2536, a potent and selective inhibitor of the mitotic kinase Plk1, in combination with various cytotoxic agents. Eur J Cancer Suppl 4:110–111
15. Kreis NN, Sommer K, Sanhaji M, Klammer A, Matthess Y, Kaufmann M, Strebhardt K, Yuan J (2009) Long-term downregulation of Polo-like kinase 1 increases the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p21(WAF1/CIP1). Cell Cycle 8:460–472
16. Baum A, Garin-Chesa P, Gurtler U, Munzert G, Rudolph D (2007) Efficacy of BI 2536, a potent and selective inhibitor of the mitotic kinase Plk1, in models of human non-small cell lung carcinoma. J Thorac Oncol(Supplement 2):S435, abstr
17. SpänuÈck B, Heim S, Kurunci-Csacsok E, Lindenuc C, Yuan J, Kaufmann M, Strebhardt K (2006) Down-regulation of Polo-like kinase 1 elevates drug sensitivity of breast cancer cells in vitro and in vivo. Cancer Res 66:5836–5846
18. Calvert AH, Newell DR, Gumbrell LA, O’Reilly S, Burnell M, Boxall FE, Siddik ZH, Judson IR, Gore ME, Wiltshaw E (1989) Carboplatin dosage: prospective evaluation of a simple formula based on renal function. J Clin Oncol 7:1748–1756
19. US Food and Drug Administration (2013) Recommendations on Carboplatin Dosing. http://www.fda.gov/AboutFDA/CentersOffices/Offic eofMedicalProductsandTobacco/CDER/ucm228974.htm. Accessed 24 September 2014
20. Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, Schwartz LH, Sargent D, Ford R, Dancey J, Arbuck S, Gwyther S, Mooney M, Rubinstein L, Shankar L, Dodd L, Kaplan R, Lacombe D, Verweij J (2009) New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). Eur J Cancer 45:228–247
21. Accord Healthcare Limited (2012) Carboplatin 10 mg/ml concentrate for solution for infusion. Summary of Product Characteristics
22. Accord Healthcare Limited (2012) Carboplatin 10 mg/ml concentrate for solution for infusion. Summary of Product Characteristics
23. Duffull SB, Robinson BA (1997) Clinical pharmacokinetics and dose optimisation of carboplatin. Clin Pharmacokinet 33:161–183
24. van der Vijgh WJ (1991) Clinical pharmacokinetics of carboplatin. Clin Pharmacokinet 21:242–261
25. Vermorken JB, Rottey S, Ehrnrooth E, Pelling K, Lahogue A, Wind S, Machiels JP (2013) A phase Ib, open-label study to assess the safety of continuous oral treatment with afatinib in combination with two chemotherapy regimens: cisplatin plus paclitaxel and cisplatin plus 5-fluourouracil, in patients with advanced solid tumors. Ann Oncol 24:1392–1400

620 Invest New Drugs (2015) 33:611–620