Membranous Insulin-like Growth Factor-1 Receptor (IGF1R) Expression Is Predictive of Poor Prognosis in Patients with Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR)-Mutant Lung Adenocarcinoma

Eunhyang Park1,2, * · Soo Young Park2, * Hyojin Kim1 · Ping-Li Sun3 · Yan Jin1 · Suk Ki Cho1 · Kwhanmien Kim1 · Choon-Taeck Lee4 · Jin-Haeng Chung1,2

1Department of Pathology, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul; Departments of Pathology, 2Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, and 3Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seongnam, Korea

Received: July 7, 2015
Revised: July 7, 2015
Accepted: July 9, 2015

Corresponding Author: Jin-Haeng Chung, MD, PhD
Department of Pathology, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, B2 Gumil-ro 173boon-gil, Bundang-gu, Seongnam 13620, Korea
Tel: +82-31-787-7713
Fax: +82-31-787-4012
E-mail: chungjh@snu.ac.kr

*Eunhyang Park and Soo Young Park contributed equally to this work.

Background: Insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor (IGF1R) is a membrane receptor-type tyrosine kinase that has attracted considerable attention as a potential therapeutic target, although its clinical significance in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is controversial. This study aimed to clarify the clinical significance of IGF1R expression in human NSCLC.

Methods: IGF1R protein expression was evaluated using immunohistochemistry in 372 patients with NSCLC who underwent curative surgical resection (146 squamous cell carcinomas [SqCCs] and 226 adenocarcinomas [ADCs]). We then analyzed correlations between expression of IGF1R and clinicopathological and molecular features and prognostic significance. Results: Membranous and cytoplasmic IGF1R expression were significantly higher in SqCCs than in ADCs. In patients with SqCC, membranous IGF1R expression was associated with absence of vascular, lymphatic, and perineural invasion; lower stage; and better progression-free survival (PFS) (hazard ratio [HR], 0.586; p = .040). In patients with ADC, IGF1R expression did not have a significant prognostic value; however, in the subgroup of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-mutant ADC, membranous IGF1R expression was associated with lymphatic and perineural invasion, solid predominant histology, and higher cancer stage and was significantly associated with worse PFS (HR, 2.582; p = .009).

Conclusions: Lung ADC and SqCC showed distinct IGF1R expression profiles that demonstrated prognostic significance. High membranous IGF1R expression was predictive of poor PFS in EGFR-mutant lung ADC, while it was predictive of better PFS in SqCC. These findings will help improve study design for subsequent investigations and select patients for future anti-IGF1R therapy.
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Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) have been used to treat non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), and a better response and prolonged survival have been observed in patients who harbor EGFR mutations.1,2 However, despite a dramatic response, most NSCLC patients experience drug resistance and tumor progression. Two major resistance mechanisms of a secondary point mutation of T790M and MET gene amplification have been reported.3,4 However, the resistance mechanism remains largely unknown.

Insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor (IGF1R) is a membrane receptor-type tyrosine kinase that plays a crucial role in cancer cell proliferation, inhibition of apoptosis, angiogenesis, and anchorage-independent growth via the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-AKT and RAS/RAF/mitogen activated protein kinase signaling pathways.5,6 In addition, both in vitro and in vivo studies have revealed extensive crosstalk between EGFR and IGF1R signaling on multiple levels.7-10 These data indicate that IGF1R can lead to acquired resistance against EGFR-targeted drugs, and targeting both receptors could provide better efficacy in cancer treatment by overcoming drug resistance.11,12

Despite extensive research to clarify the clinical significance of IGF1R in NSCLC, the characteristics and implicated prognostic value remain controversial. Cappuzzo et al.10 have suggested that patients who have high IGF1R expression and are receiving gefitinib therapy might have improved outcomes compared with those with lower expression. In addition, Kituchi et al.13 reported...
that low IGF1R expression was associated with poor prognosis in lung adenocarcinomas (ADCs). In contrast, Tsuta et al. revealed that, in surgically treated patients, IGF1R protein expression, copy number and IGF1R bright-field in-situ hybridization positivity did not correlate with overall survival. These discrepancies might partly be attributable to the heterogeneity of the study groups including ethnicity, histologic subtypes, and molecular subtypes. To address these differences, we investigated the expression and clinical significance of IGF1R expression in histologically and genotypically specified subgroups of NSCLC.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients**

We collected tumor samples from 372 patients who underwent curative surgical resection for NSCLC at Seoul National University Bundang Hospital in Korea, between May 2003 and December 2008. Patients who did not undergo curative resection and those who had a history of malignancy, preoperative chemotherapy, or radiotherapy were excluded. Smoking status was defined as never-smoker (<100 lifetime cigarettes) or smoker. Tumors were staged using the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) TNM classification of malignant tumors seventh edition criteria, and the histological type and grade of differentiation of tumors were determined according to the classification system developed by the World Health Organization fourth edition. Overall survival (OS) was measured from the date of lung cancer surgery until the time of death, and progression-free survival (PFS) was measured from the date of surgery until recurrence or death. Clinicopathological characteristics of the patients are summarized in Table 1. All patients provided written informed consent, and this study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Seoul National University Bundang Hospital.

**IGF1R expression according to immunohistochemistry**

Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues were sectioned at a thickness of 4 µm and stained with rabbit monoclonal antibody against human IGF1R (G11, Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, AZ, USA) using an automated immunostainer (Ventana Medical Systems). Placental tissue was used as a positive control, and non-immune serum was used as a negative control instead of the

**Table 1. Patient characteristics**

| Characteristic                      | No. (%) (n=372) |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Median age (range, yr)              | 66 (39–83)      |
| Sex                                 |                 |
| Male                                | 249 (66.9)      |
| Female                              | 123 (33.1)      |
| Histology                           |                 |
| SqCC                                | 146 (39.2)      |
| ADC                                 | 226 (60.8)      |
| Smoking history                     |                 |
| Never                               | 146 (39.2)      |
| Former/current                      | 226 (60.8)      |
| Tumor size (cm)                     |                 |
| ≤3                                  | 143 (38.4)      |
| >3                                  | 229 (61.6)      |
| Pleural invasion                    |                 |
| Absent                              | 219 (58.9)      |
| Present                             | 153 (41.1)      |
| Vascular invasion                   |                 |
| Absent                              | 313 (84.1)      |
| Present                             | 59 (15.9)       |
| Lymphatic invasion                  |                 |
| Absent                              | 209 (56.2)      |
| Present                             | 163 (43.8)      |
| Perineural invasion                 |                 |
| Absent                              | 342 (91.9)      |
| Present                             | 30 (8.1)        |
| Pathological stage                  |                 |
| I                                   | 165 (42.7)      |
| II                                  | 99 (25.6)       |
| III                                 | 108 (28.0)      |

SqCC, squamous cell carcinoma; ADC, adenocarcinoma.

**Fig. 1.** Representative immunohistochemistry examples of membranous insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor (IGF1R) expression. IGF1R expression in non-neoplastic lung tissue (A), membranous IGF1R expression in squamous cell carcinoma (B), membranous IGF1R expression of adenocarcinoma (C).
primary antibody. Adjacent normal-appearing bronchial epithelium within each tissue section served as an internal reference.

The H-score (semiquantitative system with a total score range of 0–300) was used to evaluate immunohistochemical staining, and membranous and cytoplasmic staining were evaluated separately. The percentage of positive cells (0%–100%) was multiplied by the dominant intensity score of staining. The intensity score was defined as follows: 0, no appreciable staining; 1, barely detectable staining; 2, distinct brown staining; and 3, strong dark brown staining. A total score range of 0 to 300 was generated for each sample, where median score was used as a cutoff value. All of the slides were evaluated by two pathologists (E.P. and H.K.) in a blinded manner. If discrepancies occurred, a consensus score was reached.

Study of EGFR, KRAS, ALK, ROS1, and MET mutations

Samples were analyzed for EGFR mutations within exons 18 to 21 and KRAS exon 2 (codons 12, 13, and 61) using polymerase chain reaction and direct DNA sequencing or pyrosequencing methods. In situ hybridization was performed for ALK and ROS1 rearrangement and MET amplification.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS ver. 19.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All of the values were based on two-sided statistical analysis, and a p-value of < 0.05 was determined to indicate a statistically significant difference.

RESULTS

IGF1R expression according to immunohistochemistry

In non-neoplastic lung tissue, the distribution of IGF1R expression was different among cell types. Bronchial basal cells showed constant weak membranous and distinct cytoplasmic expression of IGF1R. Alveolar pneumocytes and alveolar macrophages showed negative to negligible cytoplasmic positivity. In NSCLC, the prevalence of IGF1R expression was significantly higher in squamous cell carcinoma (SqCC) compared with ADC (p = .000), and representative examples are shown in Fig. 1.

IGF1R expression and clinical outcomes in SqCC

Membranous and cytoplasmic IGF1R expression were evident in the 146 patients with SqCC. Membranous IGF1R expression was identified in 100 of 146 patients (68.5%) and was significantly associated with absence of vascular invasion (p = .059), absence of lymphatic invasion (p = .047), absence of perineural invasion (p = .027), lower stage (p = .011), and better PFS (p = .011) and OS (p = .054) compared to the negative subgroup (Table 2, Fig. 2A, B). Multivariate analysis indicated that membranous IGF1R expression was an independent prognostic factor for better PFS (hazard ratio [HR], 0.586; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.352 to 0.975; p = .040) but not OS (HR, 0.550; 95% CI, 0.302 to 1.002; p = .051). Cytoplasmic IGF1R expression was identified in 107 of 146 patients (73.3%) and tended to correlate with younger age (p = .021) and absence of pleural invasion (p = .013). Patients were additionally divided into four groups: group I that was positive for both membranous and cytoplasmic expression (M+/C+), group II that was membranous expression-positive and cytoplasmic expression-negative (M+/-C-), group III that was membranous expression-negative and cyto-

Table 2. Clinicopathological characteristics in relation to expression of IGF1R in SqCC

| Characteristic | Membranous IGF1R staining | p-value |
|---------------|--------------------------|--------|
| Age (yr)      |                          |        |
| <65           | Membranous IGF1R staining | P-value |
|               | Positive                 |        |
|               | Negative                 |        |
| ≥65           | Membranous IGF1R staining | P-value |
|               | Positive                 |        |
|               | Negative                 |        |
| Sex           |                          |        |
| Male          | Membranous IGF1R staining | P-value |
|               | Positive                 |        |
|               | Negative                 |        |
| Female        | Membranous IGF1R staining | P-value |
|               | Positive                 |        |
|               | Negative                 |        |
| Smoking history |                          |        |
| Never         | Membranous IGF1R staining | P-value |
|               | Positive                 |        |
|               | Negative                 |        |
| Former/current | Membranous IGF1R staining | P-value |
|               | Positive                 |        |
|               | Negative                 |        |
| Tumor size (cm) |                          |        |
| ≤3            | Membranous IGF1R staining | P-value |
|               | Positive                 |        |
|               | Negative                 |        |
| >3            | Membranous IGF1R staining | P-value |
|               | Positive                 |        |
|               | Negative                 |        |
| Differentiation |                          |        |
| Well          | Membranous IGF1R staining | P-value |
|               | Positive                 |        |
|               | Negative                 |        |
| Moderately    | Membranous IGF1R staining | P-value |
|               | Positive                 |        |
|               | Negative                 |        |
| Poorly        | Membranous IGF1R staining | P-value |
|               | Positive                 |        |
|               | Negative                 |        |
| Vascular invasion |                          |        |
| Absent        | Membranous IGF1R staining | P-value |
|               | Positive                 |        |
|               | Negative                 |        |
| Present       | Membranous IGF1R staining | P-value |
|               | Positive                 |        |
|               | Negative                 |        |
| Lymphatic invasion |                          |        |
| Absent        | Membranous IGF1R staining | P-value |
|               | Positive                 |        |
|               | Negative                 |        |
| Present       | Membranous IGF1R staining | P-value |
|               | Positive                 |        |
|               | Negative                 |        |
| Perineural invasion |                          |        |
| Absent        | Membranous IGF1R staining | P-value |
|               | Positive                 |        |
|               | Negative                 |        |
| Present       | Membranous IGF1R staining | P-value |
|               | Positive                 |        |
|               | Negative                 |        |
| Pathological stage |                          |        |
| I             | Membranous IGF1R staining | P-value |
|               | Positive                 |        |
|               | Negative                 |        |
| II            | Membranous IGF1R staining | P-value |
|               | Positive                 |        |
|               | Negative                 |        |
| III           | Membranous IGF1R staining | P-value |
|               | Positive                 |        |
|               | Negative                 |        |

Values are presented as number (%).

IGF1R, insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor; SqCC, squamous cell carcinoma.
plasmic expression-positive (M+/C+), and group IV that was negative for both membranous and cytoplasmic expression (M−/C−). The groups included 85, 16, 24, and 21 patients, respectively. On univariate analysis, group II that was membranous expression-positive and cytoplasmic expression-negative (M+/C−) showed the best PFS (p = 0.021) and OS (p = 0.028), followed by group I (M+/C+), group IV (M−/C−), and group III (M−/C+).

**IGF1R expression and clinical outcomes in ADC**

In ADC patients, IGF1R expression was predominantly cytoplasmic with weak membranous staining. Positive membranous IGF1R expression was identified in 31 of 226 patients (13.7%) and was significantly associated with perineural invasion (p = 0.040), although the measure had no significant prognostic value. Cytoplasmic expression was identified in 141 of 226 patients (62.4%) and correlated with smaller tumor size (p = 0.002) and absence of vascular invasion (p = 0.046), but these results were not statistically significant for PFS or OS.

**IGF1R expression and clinical outcomes in EGFR-mutant ADC**

EGFR mutation was found in 108 of 226 patients (47.8%). The most common mutation was in-frame deletion in exon 19 (57 of 108, 52.8%), followed by missense mutation (L858R) in
exon 21 (35 of 108, 32.4%). When ADC samples were stratified into EGFR-mutant and EGFR-wild type subgroups, membranous IGF1R expression was identified in 13 of 108 patients (12.0%) in the EGFR-mutant ADC subgroup. Membranous IGF1R expression was associated with lymphatic invasion (p = .039), perineural invasion (p = .004), solid predominant histology (p = .037), and higher cancer stage (p = .030) and showed a significantly worse PFS (p = .002) and OS (p = .040) compared with those without membranous expression (Table 3, Fig. 2C, D). Multivariate analysis revealed that membranous IGF1R expression was an independent prognostic factor for worse PFS (HR, 2.582; 95% CI, 1.265 to 5.271; p = .009) but not for OS (HR, 1.369; 95% CI, 0.558 to 3.359; p = .492) in patients with EGFR-mutant ADC. Cytoplasmic IGF1R expression was not associated with any clinicopathological parameters in PFS or OS.

In EGFR-wild type ADC, membranous IGF1R expression was identified in 23 of 118 patients (19.5%) and was not correlated with clinicopathological parameters. In contrast, cytoplasmic IGF1R expression was observed in 86 patients (73.5%) who showed significantly better PFS (p = .033) and OS (p = .05) compared to the negative subgroup. No positive membranous IGF1R expression was observed for TKI-treated EGFR-mutant ADC (n = 36). Positive IGF1R cytoplasmic expression was seen in 15 of 36 patients (41.7%) but was not associated with PFS or OS. In addition, IGF1R expression was not correlated with ALK, KRAS, ROS1, or MET mutation (p > .05).

### DISCUSSION

We determined the possible clinical significance of IGF1R expression in NSCLC. The main findings of this study are as follows: (1) the prevalence of IGF1R expression was significantly higher in SqCC compared with ADC; (2) in SqCC, membranous IGF1R expression was associated with significantly better PFS compared with those without membranous expression; (3) in ADC, membranous and cytoplasmic IGF1R expression had no significant prognostic value; (4) in the EGFR-mutant ADC subgroup, membranous IGF1R expression was significantly associated with worse PFS.

In SqCC, membranous IGF1R expression was associated with several good prognostic clinicopathological parameters and showed a linear relationship with PFS. Several previous studies have delineated the association between IGF1R expression and better OS, although the biologic mechanism is unclear.9,21 One possible explanation is that, because IGF1R is expressed in normal bronchial basal cells, the progenitors of SqCC tumor cells, membranous IGF1R expression on tumor cells could be interpreted as an activated and overexpressed form of the physiological function of the receptor.22 If the tumor cell displays higher IGF1R expression, it is a more mature and well-differentiated cell that still depends on the normal IGF1R pathway and can be expected to become indolent during the course of the disease. An alternative explanation, based on the fact that the degree of re-

---

**Table 3. Clinicopathological characteristics in relation to expression of IGF1R in EGFR-mutant ADC**

| Characteristic           | Membranous IGF1R staining | p-value |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Age (yr)                 |                           |         |
| < 65                     | 8 (11.0)                  | .417    |
| ≥ 65                     | 5 (14.3)                  | .040    |
| Sex                      |                           |         |
| Male                     | 5 (11.6)                  | .583    |
| Female                   | 8 (12.3)                  | .047    |
| Smoking history           |                           |         |
| Never                    | 8 (11.0)                  | .417    |
| Former/current           | 5 (14.3)                  | .039    |
| Tumor size (cm)          |                           |         |
| ≤ 3                      | 3 (6.1)                   | .075    |
| > 3                      | 10 (16.9)                 | .900    |
| Perineural invasion      |                           |         |
| Absent                   | 7 (11.9)                  | .590    |
| Present                  | 6 (12.2)                  | .029    |
| Vascular invasion        |                           |         |
| Absent                   | 9 (9.6)                   | .604    |
| Present                  | 4 (28.6)                  | .714    |
| Lymphatic invasion       |                           |         |
| Absent                   | 4 (6.5)                   | .099    |
| Present                  | 9 (19.6)                  | .100    |
| Perineural invasion      |                           |         |
| Absent                   | 9 (8.9)                   | .004    |
| Present                  | 4 (57.1)                  | .429    |
| Predominant pattern      |                           |         |
| Acinar                   | 7 (9.2)                   | .303    |
| Papillary                | 3 (21.4)                  | .786    |
| Solid                    | 2 (28.6)                  | .714    |
| Lepidic                  | 1 (9.1)                   | .909    |
| Presence of lepidic      |                           |         |
| Positive                 | 3 (5.9)                   | .575    |
| Negative                 | 10 (17.5)                 | .825    |
| Presence of solid        |                           |         |
| Positive                 | 4 (33.3)                  | .037    |
| Negative                 | 9 (9.4)                   | .006    |
| Pathological stage       |                           |         |
| I                        | 3 (4.9)                   | .030    |
| II                       | 2 (16.7)                  | .833    |
| III                      | 8 (22.9)                  | .771    |

Values are presented as number (%). IGF1R, insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; ADC, adenocarcinoma.
Receptor expression would reflect the level of its ligands, is that, if the level of the ligand is higher, more receptors would be occupied and internalized for signaling, while low circulating ligand level would result in receptor overexpression as a compensatory mechanism. Therefore, positive membranous IGF1R expression could be interpreted as a level of IGF1 or IGF2 within or lower than the normal range, which could imply that the tumor has low proliferative activity.

Not only was membranous IGF1R expression significantly associated with better survival in SqCC, but the membranous-positive and cytoplasmic-negative subgroup (M+/C−) had the best PFS and OS in SqCC. This result suggests opposing effects of IGF1R protein expression based on its location. This is the first study to evaluate the cellular location of IGF1R expression in NSCLC and provides better understanding of the relevance of pattern of IGF1R staining in NSCLC.

In ADC patients, IGF1R expression did not affect clinical outcome. However, when we classified these patients on the basis of EGFR-mutation status, the EGFR-mutant ADC subgroup showed strong correlations with several negative prognostic clinicopathological parameters and worse survival. Therefore, IGF1R was identified as a poor prognostic factor in EGFR-mutant ADC, which is opposite the result seen in the SqCC group.

This difference prompted speculation that the role of the IGF1R pathway in ADC might differ according to the presence of EGFR mutations. We assume that, in the EGFR-mutant ADC subgroup, the IGF1R pathway would activate downstream targets on the EGFR, which could promote cellular proliferation, inhibition of apoptosis, angiogenesis, and anchor-independent growth. In NSCLC, experimental studies have demonstrated that IGF1R activation can underlie resistance to EGFR-targeted therapies, and several clinical trials have indicated that coexpression of EGFR and IGF1R correlates with poor survival.8,23 Furthermore, Yeo et al.24 recently reported that IGF1R expression was a negative predictive factor for response to EGFR-TKI treatment in EGFR-mutant ADC patients. Although these data indicate that IGF1R leads to acquired resistance against EGFR-targeted drugs, none of the patients in the EGFR-TKI treated group showed membranous IGF1R expression.

In summary, the implication of IGF1R expression in NSCLC differs according to subtype, and the clinical significance of IGF1R expression should be interpreted after considering the histology and genotype of the tumor. High membranous IGF1R expression might be a biomarker of better PFS in SqCC and of poor PFS in EGFR-mutant lung ADC. These findings will serve to improve study design for subsequent investigations into IGF1R and NSCLC and to select patients for future anti-IGF1R therapy.
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