Anesthetic Dilemma for Management of Parturient with Severe COVID-19 Pneumonia for Emergency Lower Segment Cesarean Section
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ABSTRACT

Perioperative management of the pregnant patient with symptomatic coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) presents a unique challenge to provide optimal medical care to both the mother and baby simultaneously along with protection of the healthcare providers from infection. Here, we report a unique case of a 28-year-old at 34 weeks of gestation with severe COVID-19 pneumonia posted for lower segment cesarean section which was successfully managed under spinal anesthesia. We aim to highlight the importance of neuraxial anesthesia in pregnant patients with symptomatic COVID-19 pneumonia.
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INTRODUCTION

Peripartum services are indispensable despite the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic.¹ Providing safe and quality obstetric anesthesia is quite demanding especially in this second wave of COVID-19 where many pregnant patients are presenting with moderate to severe disease when compared with mostly asymptomatic cases in the first wave which has undoubtedly threatened the lives of mothers and neonates. In a recent study, 45% of the symptomatic COVID-19-positive pregnant women were presented with pneumonia and the requirement for intensive care unit (ICU) was 33.33% with a mortality rate of 11.11%.² Till now, only a few cases of management in asymptomatic COVID-19-positive pregnant patients had been reported but here, we are presenting the first case of successful management of symptomatic COVID-19 severe disease pneumonia in the pregnant patient which was posted for emergency lower segment cesarean section (LSCS).

CASE DESCRIPTION

A 28-year-old female with 34 weeks of gestation was admitted to our tertiary care hospital because of COVID-19 pneumonia with cough and breathlessness. On examination, she was not in labor with the respiratory rate (RR) 26 min⁻¹ and oxygen saturation (SpO₂) 86% on room air and 95% on oxygen by nasal prongs at 4 L min⁻¹. Any other medical complaint was ruled out except the past history of traveling 1 week before. As per COVID-19 protocol, all necessary investigations were sent and treatment including N95 masks, jumpsuits, face shields, goggles, shoe covers, and double gloves.³,⁴ In OT, standard monitoring was applied including non-invasive ventilation (NIV). The patient was explained about the procedure and written informed consent was taken. The entire team (anesthesiologists, obstetricians, neonatologists, nursing staff, and assistants) had used standard level 3 personal protective equipment (PPE) including N95 masks, jumpsuits, face shields, goggles, shoe covers, and double gloves.³,⁴ In OT, standard monitoring was applied to the patient and oxygenation continued with HFOM at a flow rate of 15 L min⁻¹. Under all aseptic conditions, spinal anesthesia was given by a senior anesthesiologist in the left lateral position at the L3–L4 level with a 23 G spinal needle and 2.0 mL (10 mg) of 0.5% heavy bupivacaine. After achieving the anesthetic level of T6, surgery started and a healthy female baby was delivered within 10 minutes of incision with Apgar score of 7 and 9 at 1 and 5 minutes, respectively, and was immediately transferred to neonatal isolation ward to minimize the risk of infection. The total leukocyte count of 16,000 μL⁻¹, C-reactive protein (CRP) of 145.3 mg L⁻¹, and D dimer level of >10,000 ng mL⁻¹. Chest X-ray was showing bilateral consolidation but more on the right side (Fig. 1). We planned single-shot spinal anesthesia based on her general condition with a backup of non-invasive ventilation (NIV). The patient was explained about the procedure and written informed consent was taken. The entire team (anesthesiologists, obstetricians, neonatologists, nursing staff, and assistants) had used standard level 3 personal protective equipment (PPE) including N95 masks, jumpsuits, face shields, goggles, shoe covers, and double gloves.³,⁴ In OT, standard monitoring was applied to the patient and oxygenation continued with HFOM at a flow rate of 15 L min⁻¹. Under all aseptic conditions, spinal anesthesia was given by a senior anesthesiologist in the left lateral position at the L3–L4 level with a 23 G spinal needle and 2.0 mL (10 mg) of 0.5% heavy bupivacaine. After achieving the anesthetic level of T6, surgery started and a healthy female baby was delivered within 10 minutes of incision with Apgar score of 7 and 9 at 1 and 5 minutes, respectively, and was immediately transferred to neonatal isolation ward to minimize the risk of infection. The
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patient’s SpO2 improved immediately after delivery from 88 to 92% on HFOM, probably due to downward shifting of the diaphragm and improving lung compliance. Intraoperatively, the patient remained hemodynamically stable throughout the procedure and repeated counseling of the patient was done to allay her anxiety. Postoperatively, the patient was shifted to COVID ICU on HFOM for further management due to active COVID pneumonia and a few hours later, in view of desaturation along with tachypnea, the patient was put on NIV Bilevel support with FiO2 of 60%. Standard COVID treatment protocol including inj. Remdesivir was given and SpO2 improved to 94%. Due to a very high level of D-dimer, inj. Enoxaprine 0.6 mL subcutaneously started after 1 day of surgery. The patient was kept on NIV support in ICU for few days and the patient’s clinical condition and chest X-ray started improving (Fig. 2), she was slowly weaned off from oxygen therapy to room air. After 10 days of hospital stay postoperatively, the mother was discharged home with a healthy baby as both were tested COVID negative.

**Discussion**

Healthcare workers are facing a new challenge every day as this COVID-19 virus of the second wave is more contagious and can cause life-endangering respiratory infections in mothers, neonates, and even healthcare professionals. Although an elective LSCS was considered to be the safest option, as the vaginal delivery after induction would require more exposure with more healthcare workers along with excessive aerosol production due to hyperventilation and risk of vertical transmission.5 But due to the paucity of well-accepted data regarding the timing and the safety of vaginal or cesarean delivery, the decision should be individually based on the maternal–fetal status, as well as obstetric indication.3,6 As in our case, the patient was getting hypoxic, so to prevent intrauterine fetal hypoxia and to improve pregnancy-induced physiologically compromised pulmonary compliance, LSCS was planned by an obstetrician. In this case, after donning of PPE, a pre-anesthetic check-up was done in the preoperative area to minimize the delay in emergency surgery and to reduce the viral exposure. Although in some reported cases, pre-anesthetic check-ups through video calling had been done to avoid unnecessary COVID-19 exposure but it seems to be feasible only in elective cases and with stable patients.7 Assessing whether a parturient with COVID-19 is suitable for neuraxial procedures should focus on the risks of general anesthesia when compared with neuraxial anesthesia. General anesthesia should be considered when a COVID-19 parturient presents with desaturation (≤93%) or for maternofetal reasons.8 However, recent reports have supported the safety of regional anesthesia for COVID-positive pregnant patients requiring LSCS, as anesthesiologists are at greatest risk of viral exposure during endotracheal intubations in these patients. Moreover, COVID-19 patients with hypoxia and concomitant physiologically decreased functional residual capacity from pregnancy will be likely to become more hypoxic, develop further atelectasis with intubation and mechanical ventilation, and possibly require postoperative critical care admission.3 In general, the risk of causing meningitis or encephalitis is extremely low with neuraxial procedures, even in infected patients. Hence, we planned rapid sequence spinal anesthesia to avoid risk and morbidity associated with the use of intubation and mechanical ventilation. In rapid sequence spinal anesthesia, pregnant patients are transferred in a left lateral position with supplemental oxygen, and a single shot subarachnoid block is provided by the most experienced, available anesthetist and the time required is comparable to general anesthesia with better neonatal outcomes. Both anesthesia and surgery should be performed by experts to ensure reduced exposure time. Although due to wearing of level 3 protection measures, difficulty to put spinal or epidural anesthesia has been reported in few cases but with use of 23 G spinal needle and experienced anesthesiologist, we achieved it comfortably in the first attempt. As this was the case of COVID-19 severe disease, postoperatively the patient was managed in ICU and recovered well.

We had used a fully equipped COVID-19 designated OT with a specific area for donning and doffing of PPE and having a separate exit facility for staff and positive patients as per Indian Society of Anaesthesiologist advisory.9

**Conclusion**

Spinal anesthesia can be used effectively and safely in COVID-19-positive obstetric patients, especially in patients with active pneumonia, although more evidence are needed on the anesthetic care of these patients. All pregnant women with COVID-19...
pneumonia should be managed by multidisciplinary expert teams in a tertiary care hospital and all healthcare workers involved in the LSCS should properly use level 3 PPE equipment.
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