Virulence factors and antibiograms of *Escherichia coli* isolated from diarrheic calves of Egyptian cattle and water buffaloes
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Abstract

Diarrhea caused by *Escherichia coli* in calves is an important problem in terms of survivability, productivity and treatment costs. In this study, 88 of 150 diarrheic animals tested positive for *E. coli*. Of these, 54 samples had mixed infection with other bacterial and/or parasitic agents. There are several diarrheagenic *E. coli* pathotypes including enteropathogenic *E. coli* (EPEC), Shiga-toxin producing *E. coli* (STEC), enterotoxigenic *E. coli* (ETEC) and necrotoxigenic *E. coli* (NTEC). Molecular detection of virulence factors Stx2, Cdt3, Eae, CNF2, F5, Hly, Stx1, and ST revealed their presence at 39.7, 27.2, 19.3, 15.9, 13.6, 9.0, 3.4, and 3.4 percent, respectively. As many as 13.6% of the isolates lacked virulence genes and none of the isolate had LT or CNF1 toxin gene. The odds of isolating ETEC from male calves was 3.6 times (95% CI: 1.1, 12.4; P value = 0.042) that of female calves, whereas the odds of isolating NTEC from male calves was 72.9% lower (95% CI: 91.3% lower, 15.7% lower; P value = 0.024) than that in females. The odds of isolating STEC in winter was 3.3 times (95% CI: 1.1, 10.3; P value = 0.037) that of spring. Antibiograms showed 48 (54.5%) of the isolates to be multi-drug resistant. The percent resistance to tetracycline, streptomycin, ampicillin, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole was 79.5, 67.0, 54.5, and 43.0, respectively. Ceftazidime (14.8%), amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (13.6%) and aztreonam (11.3%) showed the lowest resistance, and none of the isolates was resistant to imipenem. The results of this study can help improve our understanding of the epidemiological aspects of *E. coli* infection and to devise strategies for protection against it. The prevalence of *E. coli* pathotypes can help potential buyers of calves to avoid infected premises. The antibiograms in this study emphasizes the risks associated with the random use of antibiotics.
Introduction

Cattle and water buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*) are a crucial source of red meat, milk, and milk products in most of the developing countries including Egypt [1]. The newborn calves of these species have poor immune system, which makes them susceptible to viral and bacterial infections. Diarrhea caused by *Escherichia coli* in newborn calves is one of the most economically important problems. *E. coli* is a gram-negative, rod-shaped, non-sporulating, flagellated, and facultatively anaerobic bacterium of the family *Enterobacteriaceae*. There are several diarrheagenic *E. coli* pathotypes including enteropathogenic *E. coli* (EPEC), Shiga-toxin producing *E. coli* (STEC), enterotoxigenic *E. coli* (ETEC) and enterohaemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC) [2, 3]. The most predominant pathotype in developed countries is ETEC [4], which has two groups of virulence factors e.g., enterotoxins and colonization factors (mainly fimbriae). The enterotoxins are divided into heat-stable (ST) and heat-labile enterotoxin (LT) [5]. The fimbriae serve as colonization factors and help the bacteria to adhere to the ileum. Many types of fimbriae have been identified but the most common in calves are F5 and F4 [6].

Several serotypes (e.g., O26:H11, O113:H21, O26, and O111) of STEC are zoonotic in nature [7]. STEC produces two types of toxins, Stx1 and Stx2, which were previously known as Shiga-like toxin or Verotoxin because of their similarity to the Shiga toxin produced by *Shigella* *dysenteriae* and *S. sonnei*. The Stx1 and Stx2 can inhibit protein synthesis and produce apoptosis in target cells although Stx1 produces 10-fold more powerful cytotoxic effect in Vero cells than does Stx2 [8].

The EPEC does not produce enterotoxin or Shiga toxin [9] but its Eae gene encodes a protein (namely intimin) that has the ability to form attaching-effacing (A/E) lesions on intestinal cells. The necrototoxigenic *E. coli* (NTEC) produces a cytolytic distending toxin (Cdt) and two cytotoxic necrotizing factors CNF1 and CNF2. The CNF1 and CNF2 induce multi-nucleation and necrosis of eukaryotic cells [10]. Oral administration of NTEC in neonatal animals causes septicemia and enteritis [11]. The Cdt, first detected by Johnson and Lior in 1988 [12], is encoded by three genes known as CdtA, CdtB, and CdtC. It can impair host defense by holding cell cycle and by apoptosis in epithelial cells and lymphocytes and subsequent impairing of acquired immunity. It can also alter macrophage function leading to a pro-inflammatory response [13]. Molecular identification of virulence factors helps in *E. coli* classification, which can give an idea about the extent of benefit from the application of vaccines, which are not available against all types. In addition, identifying virulence factors can help predict the prognosis depending on the pathogenicity of each type that differs significantly according to the integrated virulence factors. Rate of change in virulence factors can serve as an alert about the endemic state of the pathogen with subsequent recommendation for trade limitation from the affected locality. The EPEC, STEC, and NTEC are opportunistic pathogens, which have also been isolated from non-diarrheic calves [10, 14, 15]. Understanding risk factors, e.g., farm size, age, sex, season, concurrent disease, colostrum management, calving factors, perinatal treatments, housing, feeding, genetics, and environment is very important in controlling *E. coli* infections and improving the health and performance of calves [16, 17, 18]. Currently, the control of infection in animals depends mainly on antibiotic treatment, which may lead to increased resistance among pathogenic and commensal bacteria [19]. Multidrug-drug resistant microorganisms from animals may contaminate the environment and find a way to infect humans [20]. Regular screening of antibiotic resistance in animals and their environment is helpful in identifying the extent of phenotypic variation among bacterial isolates and the depth of the problem. The aim of this study was to determine the pathotypes...
of *E. coli* in diarrheic calves of cattle and water buffaloes in Egypt and to determine their virulence factors and antibiotic susceptibility.

**Materials and methods**

**Ethics statement**

The rectal swabs were obtained from animals without anesthesia or pain relief by certified and well-trained veterinarians in the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Clinic, Zagazig University, Egypt (Governmental Veterinary Clinic) following standard protocols. The swabs were sent to the laboratory for diagnosis after obtaining consent from the owners. The research presented in this manuscript was performed after receiving samples by the laboratories.

**Source of samples and history**

Rectal swabs were obtained from animals reared in different places in Sharkia province, Egypt. These animals were brought to the Veterinary Clinic in the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, Sharkia, Egypt. The animals belonged to three age groups e.g., below one month (*n* = 80), between one and four months (*n* = 43) and four to twelve months (*n* = 27). The number of rectal swabs collected from diarrheic calves of cattle and buffaloes was 118 and 32, respectively. The samples were from 63 males and 87 females. A total of 49 and 101 samples were collected in spring (March- June) and winter (December-March), respectively. All samples were collected prior to beginning antibiotic treatment. The swabs were placed directly in nutrient broth and delivered to the microbiology laboratory.

**Bacterial and parasitological examination**

For bacteriological examination, samples were streaked on MacConkey agar (Merck, Germany) followed by incubation at 37˚C for 24 h to differentiate between lactose and non-lactose fermenters. Single colony of lactose fermenters was picked, suspended in sterile distilled water, and then streaked on eosin methylene blue agar (Merck, Germany) followed by incubation at 37˚C. Non-lactose fermenters were streaked on XLD (xylose-lysine-deoxycholate agar). Standard biochemical tests (indole, methyl red, and citrate utilization) and growth on triple sugar iron (TSI) agar and motility test were also used to identify bacteria [21]. Confirmed *E. coli* colonies were picked and inoculated in tryptic soy broth. Following growth, glycerol was added and the stock cultures were stored at -20˚C until used. For parasitological examination, samples were subjected to simple floatation technique to detect oocysts and nematode eggs [22]. No viral testing was carried out.

**Antimicrobial susceptibility testing**

All isolates were tested against 14 antimicrobial agents belonging to eight different classes by disk diffusion method as recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) [23]. The antimicrobial agents tested were: ampicillin = AM (10 μg), amoxicillin-clavulanic acid = AMC (20/10 μg, respectively), streptomycin = S (10 μg), kanamycin = K (30 μg), gentamicin = GM (10 μg), tetracycline = TE (30 μg), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole = SXT (1.25μg/23.75 μg respectively), nalidixic acid = NA (30 μg), ciprofloxacin = CIP (5 μg), imipenem = IPM (10 μg), ceftazidime = CAZ (30μg), cefotaxime = CTX (30 μg), ceftriaxone = CRO (30 μg), and aztreonam = ATM (30 μg). The inoculum was standardized to 0.5 McFarland unit using a nephelometer. The antibiotic discs were placed on the surface of the agar using a disc dispenser and the plates were incubated at 37˚C for 18 hours after which the zones of inhibition were measured. Interpretation of results was performed according to CLSI.
recommendations. Isolates with intermediate resistance were considered as resistant and were added to the resistant count.

**Virulence factors**

Overnight cultures of bacteria in 2 ml of trypticase soy broth were centrifuged to pellet bacteria. After discarding the supernatant, the pellet was washed twice in distilled water followed by vortexing and centrifugation at 12,000 g for 5 min. For DNA extraction, 300 μL of 10% chelex 100 resin (Bio-Rad, USA) was added and the pellet was re-suspended by vortexing. The tubes were incubated at 99˚C for 30 min followed by vortexing and centrifugation at 12,000 g for 10 min. The DNA-containing supernatant was collected and stored at -20˚C. The primers and PCR conditions are shown in Table 1. Briefly, incubation at 95˚C for 10 min was used as an initial denaturation step followed by 35 cycles of amplification. Each cycle consisted of a denaturation step at 95˚C for 1 min followed by annealing for 1 min at different temperatures according to the target gene (Table 1) and elongation at 72˚C for 1 min. Final elongation step was done at 72˚C for 10 min.

**Statistical analysis**

The evaluation of the potential risk factors associated with *E. coli* pathotypes was performed as follows: descriptive statistics on the frequency of age, species, gender, and season of sampling were calculated; the total number of samples (n = 150) was reduced to 96 samples after excluding observations with missing values in any variable and outliers, using the 1.5*IQR* rule. Since

| Primer | Sequence (5’-3’) | Tn   | Amplicon size | Reference |
|--------|-----------------|------|---------------|-----------|
| Hly-F  | GAGCCGACTAAGCAGCTTG     | 56˚C | 889           | [9]       |
| -R     | CCTGCTCAGATTAACCCACA    |      |               |           |
| Stx1-F | CAGTTAATTTGTTGGCGAAGG    | 56˚C | 348           | [9]       |
| -R     | CACCAAGACATTGATACCCGCTG |      |               |           |
| Stx2-F | ATCTATTCGCCGGAGGTTTACG   | 56˚C | 584           | [9]       |
| -R     | GCGTCTACGTATACACACGGAGG |      |               |           |
| Eae-F  | TGCAGCAACACAGGCAGCCA    | 64˚C | 629           | [9]       |
| -R     | CGGTCGCGCCACCCAGGATTC    |      |               |           |
| CNF1-F | GGGGAAGTACAGAAGATTA     | 56˚C | 1111          | [24]      |
| -R     | TCGCGTCCCACCTCTCCACCAGT |      |               |           |
| CNF2-F | TACTCATACGCGAGGAGAAGACC | 56˚C | 1240          | [24]      |
| -R     | GTCACCAATAGCAATAAATTTCCG |      |               |           |
| Cdt-F  | GAAAATAATAGGAATATATATGTCCG | 58˚C | 555           | [24]      |
| -R     | TTTGTCGGTGCAGGCAAGGAAA   |      |               |           |
| LT-F   | GCCACCGAGCCTCCAGTC       | 56˚C | 218           | [9]       |
| -R     | TCGTCATTCAATTTCAATGGGCTTT |      |               |           |
| ST-F   | AGGAACGTACATCATGCCCC     | 53˚C | 521           | [9]       |
| -R     | CAAACGCTTCCACACCTA       |      |               |           |
| F5-F   | TATTTATCTGTTGATGGTATGG   | 44˚C | 314           | [6]       |
| -R     | GGTATATTTTTTCGGAGCTATTTTC |      |               |           |

^A = Hly = hemolysin gene, Stx1 = Shiga toxin 1, Stx2 = Shiga toxin 2, Eae = attaching-effacing gene, CNF1 = Cytotoxic necrotizing factor 1, CNF2 = Cytotoxic necrotizing factor 2, Cdt3 = Cytolethal distending toxin 3, LT = Heat labile enterotoxin; ST = heat stable enterotoxin, F5 = Fimbria

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0232890.t001
the sample size of this study was modest, no variable selection was done. Logistic regression models (one per E. coli pathotype) were used to assess the association between the isolation of each E. coli pathotype and age, species, sex, and season. Adjusted odds ratios (OR) of isolating E. coli pathotypes and their 95% confidence intervals are reported. OR's with P values < 0.05 are considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed in Stata 15.1 (StataCorp LLC, TX, USA).

Results

Isolation and identification

Among the parasitic agents, Cryptosporidium and gastrointestinal nematodes were the highest (33.3% and 17.3%, respectively). Non-lactose fermenters, not including possible non-lactose fermenter E. coli, were low in number while lactose fermenter E. coli was found in 88 of 150. As many as 54 of 88 (61.4%) had mixed infection with other bacterial and/or parasitic agents (Table 2). The highest rate of mixed infection with E. coli was with Cryptosporidium (15.3%) and gastrointestinal nematodes (10%).

Virulence profile

The virulence profile and percent distribution of various genes in E. coli isolates are shown in Table 3 and Fig 1. The distribution of different E. coli genotypes per age group is given in S1 Appendix. EPEC was detected in 4.5% samples; the Eae gene was present in 17 (19.3%) isolates. The STEC were present in 31 of 88 (35.2%) isolates. The Stx1 gene was present in only three isolates while Stx2 was the most predominant gene among all genes examined (39.7%). The ETEC represented 15.9% of the isolates. None of these isolates was positive for LT toxin gene while three isolates were positive for ST gene. F5 gene was present in 11 of 14 ETEC isolates. NTEC was in 30.6% of the isolates. The most predominant genes among NTEC strains were Cdt3 and CNF2 (in 24 and 14 isolates, respectively). CNF1 was not detected in any of the samples. None of the selected genes was detected in 12 of 88 isolates.

Table 2. Microorganisms and parasites detected in diarrheic calves.

| Bacteria and parasites detected | Cattle (n = 118) | Buffaloes (n = 32) | Total No. (%) positive |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
|                                | >1m  | 1–4 m | 4–12m | Total | >1m  | 1–4 m | 4–12m | Total |
| Escherichia coli               | 18   | 2     |       | 20    | 8    | 6     |       | 14    | 34 (22.6) |
| E. coli + Enterobacter         | 3    | -     | -     | 3     | -    | -     | -     | 0     | 3 (2.0) |
| E. coli + Klebsiella           | 4    | -     | -     | 4     | -    | -     | -     | 0     | 4 (2.6) |
| E. coli + Coccidia             | 2    | 4     | 3     | 9     | -    | -     | -     | 0     | 9 (6.0) |
| E. coli + Cryptosporidium      | 4    | 10    | 7     | 21    | -    | 2     | -     | 2     | 23 (15.3) |
| E. coli + Nematodes            | 2    | 9     | -     | 11    | -    | 2     | 2     | 4     | 15 (10.0) |
| Enterobacter spp.              | 3    | 7     | 3     | 13    | 2    | 1     | 1     | 4     | 17 (11.3) |
| Citrobacter spp.               | 1    | 2     | -     | 3     | 1    | 3     | 1     | 5     | 8 (5.3) |
| Klebsiella spp.                | 2    | 4     | 2     | 8     | -    | -     | -     | 0     | 8 (5.3) |
| Salmonella spp.                | -    | 3     | 5     | 8     | -    | 3     | 1     | 4     | 12 (8.0) |
| Shigella spp.                  | -    | 3     | -     | 3     | -    | -     | -     | 0     | 3 (2.0) |
| Coccidia                       | 3    | 8     | 1     | 12    | -    | -     | 2     | 2     | 14 (9.3) |
| Cryptosporidium                | 4    | 30    | 7     | 41    | 1    | 5     | 3     | 9     | 50 (33.3) |
| Nematodes                      | 1    | 2     | 16    | 19    | -    | 3     | 4     | 7     | 26 (17.3) |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0232890.t002
The odds of isolating ETEC from male calves was 3.6 times (95% CI: 1.1, 12.4; P value = 0.042) that of female calves after adjusting for age, species, and season. The odds of isolating NTEC in male calves was 72.9% lower (95% CI: 91.3% lower, 15.7% lower; P value = 0.024) than that in female calves. In contrast, the odds of isolating STEC in winter was 3.3 times (95% CI: 1.1, 10.3; P value = 0.037) that in spring. Table 4 shows a complete list of odds ratios (95% confidence intervals and P values) by variable and E. coli pathotype.

### Antibiograms

Antibiograms for 88 E. coli isolates are shown in Table 5 and Fig 2. The highest resistance was detected against tetracycline (79.5%) and streptomycin (67.0%) followed by ampicillin (54.5%) and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (43%). The lowest resistance was against ceftazidime (14.8%) and aztreonam (11.3%). None of the isolates was resistant to imipenem. Resistance to aminoglycoside and tetracycline groups was the highest while resistance to B-lactam and monobactam was the lowest. Multidrug resistance for drugs classes (against 3–7 antibiotics) was detected in 48 (54.5%) isolates (Fig 3).

### Discussion

Neonatal diarrhea is the main cause of economic loss in suckling calves from the first week to 3 months of age. E. coli is a major cause of neonatal diarrhea in both cattle and water buffaloes [25]. The acquisition of foreign genes located on mobile genetic structures such as transposons, phages, plasmids, and pathogenicity islands helps E. coli become more pathogenic and virulent. Concurrent infections with parasitic and bacterial pathogens can further complicate the problem.
The rate of isolation of E. coli (88 of 150 animals or 58.7%) in our study is similar to that reported in previous surveillance studies of diarrheic calves in Egypt [26, 27]. Surveillance data from developed countries, however, show a lower rate of E. coli infections, which is probably due to differences in rearing, sanitary conditions, and management practices [28, 29]. Relationship between various risk factors and passive immunity play an important role in disease prevention. In this study, samples from calves less than 60 days of age were included in the

![Fig 1. Percentage of different virulence genes among the examined E. coli isolates.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0232890.g001)

| Pathotype | Potential risk factors (95% CI) |
|-----------|---------------------------------|
|           | Age | Species | Sex | Season |
| EPEC      | 1.01(0.96, 1.07) | 0.71(0.07, 7.3) | 1.66(0.22,12.36) | N/A |
| ETEC      | 0.97(0.93, 1.02) | 0.52(0.12, 2.24) | 3.61(1.05, 12.41) | 1(0.24, 4.16) |
| NTEC      | 1.01(0.98, 1.04) | 0.98(0.26, 3.68) | 0.27(0.09, 0.84) | 2.39(0.76, 7.48) |
| STEC      | 1.02(0.99, 1.05) | 0.48(0.14, 1.63) | 1.24(0.49, 3.15) | 3.32(1.07, 10.29) |

Values are odds ratios adjusted for other variables in the model at 95% CI: 95% confidence interval. The reference groups for species, sex, and season were buffalo, female, and spring, respectively.

(N/A) = all EPEC were isolated in winter; therefore, season could not be evaluated in that model.

(‘’) P value <0.05.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0232890.t004
logistic regression models. In some cases, data on age, sex, season and locality were not available due to the absence of farm records. Complete investigation for other risk factors, especially colostrum management, calving factors, perinatal treatments, housing, feeding, genetics, and environmental factors is needed to reduce the incidence of *E. coli* infections. In this regard, National database records from questionnaire surveys should make future studies easier [30].

We used PCR for detection of virulence genes in *E. coli*. Previous studies have shown that PCR is 100% specific and 98% sensitive [24, 31]. Low percentage of ETEC in our study could

Table 5. **Antibiograms of *E. coli* isolates.** The number in the table refers to the numbers of resistant plus intermediate isolates against each antimicrobial agents in cattle and buffalo.

| Antimicrobial group | B-lactams | Tetra-cyclines | Amino-glycosides | B-lactamase inhibitor | Folate inhibitor | Quinolones | Non-β-lactam | Monobactam |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| Antimicrobial agents | CRO CAZ CTX AM | TE K GM S | AMC SXT CIP NA IPM ATM |
| **Numbers of resistant isolates** | | | | | | | | |
| Cattle | 15 | 11 | 14 | 37 | 56 | 27 | 11 | 46 | 10 | 27 | 21 | 17 | 0 | 8 |
| Buffalo | 1 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 14 | 9 | 4 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 16 | 13 | 15 | 48 | 70 | 36 | 15 | 59 | 12 | 38 | 31 | 27 | 0 | 10 |
| Percent | 18.2 | 14.8 | 17 | 54.5 | 79.5 | 41 | 17 | 67 | 13.6 | 43 | 35 | 30.7 | 0 | 11.3 |

AM = ampicillin, AMC = amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, S = streptomycin, K = kanamycin, GM = gentamicin, TE = tetracycline, SXT = trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, NA = nalidixic acid, CIP = ciprofloxacin, IPM = imipenem, CAZ = ceftazidime, CTX = cefotaxime, CRO = ceftriaxone, ATM = aztreonam

AM = ampicillin, AMC = amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, S = streptomycin, K = kanamycin, GM = gentamicin, TE = tetracycline, SXT = trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, NA = nalidixic acid, CIP = ciprofloxacin, IPM = imipenem, CAZ = ceftazidime, CTX = cefotaxime, CRO = ceftriaxone, ATM = aztreonam

[https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0232890.t005](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0232890.t005)

logistic regression models. In some cases, data on age, sex, season and locality were not available due to the absence of farm records. Complete investigation for other risk factors, especially colostrum management, calving factors, perinatal treatments, housing, feeding, genetics, and environmental factors is needed to reduce the incidence of *E. coli* infections. In this regard, National database records from questionnaire surveys should make future studies easier [30].

We used PCR for detection of virulence genes in *E. coli*. Previous studies have shown that PCR is 100% specific and 98% sensitive [24, 31]. Low percentage of ETEC in our study could

Fig 2. Diagram showing the rate of antimicrobial resistance among diarrheic cattle and buffalo calves against 14 different antimicrobial agents.

[https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0232890.g002](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0232890.g002)
be due to the availability of a commercial fimbrial vaccine, which is routinely given to pregnant cattle in Egypt. High antibody titers in colostrum supplies the calves with passive immunity during the critical early neonatal period in which the susceptibility to infection is high. The ETEC fimbriae are the most necessary part in adhesion and colonization of bacteria, and the neutralization of this protein stops bacterial pathogenesis [32]. In contrast, vaccination against other pathotypes is still a problem. For instance, STEC vaccine can suppress the antigen-specific cellular immune response in cattle with continuous shedding of microorganism [33].

None of the 11 isolates that were positive for F5 had enterotoxin genes a finding similar to that reported by Nguyen et al. [34]. ETEC without fimbrial genes does not have the ability to colonize the small intestine and hence are nonpathogenic. Our results showed that three ETEC isolates had ST without F5 fimbriae. In contrast to Borriello et al. [24], no Lf toxin was detected. Strains carrying Eae but not Stx1 and Stx2 variants, are considered as potential EPEC while strains carrying Eae with Stx1 or Stx2 variants are considered as STEC [35]. Stx2 has a powerful cytotoxic effect on endothelial cells and is associated with serious infections [36, 37]. The high Stx2 percentage is associated with clinical signs, which agrees with Wani et al. [38], who stated that the Stx2 gene was more prevalent than Stx1 and that both were associated with Eae gene in STEC strains. This finding, however, is in contrast to an earlier report that relates signs with the presence of Stx1 with Eae genes [39]. Eae genes were detected in 19.3% of E. coli isolates, which is in agreement with Ishii et al. [35] but is in contrast to Chandran and Mazumder [40] and Caprioli [37]. Presence of Stx2 among NTEC as NT2 and Cdt3 may indicate the emergence of a new pathotype.

The absence of CNF1 in the tested samples indicates that it plays a limited role in disease pathogenesis [41] although it has been recorded in many diarrhea outbreaks [9]. Many virulence factors are associated with the hemolysin activity, but we focused only on the evaluation
of the most common virulence factors, hlyA, associated with hemolysin activity in *E. coli*. Some other genes, such as sheA and clyA were not identified, which might have indicated the possibility of increased hemolysis activity in phenotypic investigations. Detection of HlyA with CNF may be because of a chromosomal linkage in pathogenicity islands (PAIs), which carry a virulence-associated gene coding for CNF, HlyA [42]. Although CNF1 was detected in some diarrheic calves, its prevalence is uncommon among *E. coli* causing diarrhea [9, 24] and it is more common among extra-intestinal *E. coli* infections [31].

The odds of isolating ETEC from male calves was greater than female calves probably because the owners tend to provide better care for female calves; the latter are considered as the main unit for production, especially in dairy herds. It is also known that physical activity and vitality are higher in male calves, which may further increase their chances of exposure to infectious agents [43]. The opportunistic nature of NTEC may be the cause for an increased rate in the female. In Egypt, female calves are more exposed to the stress of early weaning and transportation into new barns for following certain nutritional programs or may relate to the stress of hormonal changes [44]. The increasing rate of STEC in winter, rainy cold season, may relate to cold stress or stress of ration change from dry or semi-dry food to green food [45].

Antibiograms are considered more reliable for the detection of antibiotic resistance than genotypic resistance gene detection [46]. The main concern with the presence of drug resistance in *E. coli* is that these resistance genes can be propagated and transferred not only to other bacteria but also to other hosts including humans. Thus, drug resistance can be transferred to bacteria that have never been exposed to the drug if excessive amounts of antimicrobials are used in the prophylaxis and treatment of agricultural animals and people [47, 48, 49]. The modified bacteria with the integrated resistance genes can be infectious for new hosts including humans. High resistance to tetracyclines and streptomycin (penicillin-streptomycin mix) in our study was high, possibly because of the use of these broad-spectrum antibiotics by paramedical personnel and farmers. None of the isolates was resistant to imipenem, which is not surprising because there is no trade medicine for veterinary use that contains imipenem as an active ingredient in Egypt [50]. Resistance to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole was high possibly because Egyptian paramedical people use this drug as a choice for any gut infection. Although there are no veterinary trade drugs that contain aztreonam, nalidixic acid or cefozidime as active ingredients [50], resistance was detected against them. This may be due to: (i) the usage of human drugs for treatment of infected animals; (ii) transfer of human bacteria, in which resistance has already developed, to animal hosts [47]; and (iii) excretion of these antibiotics and their metabolites in human sewage and sludge, from which resistance is subsequently transferred to animals following the use of sludge as a fertilizer or through irrigation with waste water [47, 51]. In conclusion, identification of virulence and risk factors and the antibiograms of *E. coli* should inform veterinarians about the endemicity, pathogenicity and possible prognosis of *E. coli* infections in calves thereby reducing the indiscriminate usage of antibiotics.

**Supporting information**

S1 Appendix. Distribution of different *E. coli* genotypes according to age groups. (DOCX)

**Author Contributions**

**Conceptualization:** Nader M. Sobhy, Sarah G. A. Yousef, Sagar M. Goyal.

**Data curation:** Sarah G. A. Yousef, Muhammad Nisar.
Formal analysis: Robert J. Valeris-Chacin.

Funding acquisition: Kakambi V. Nagaraja.

Investigation: Hamada A. Aboubakr, Muhammad Nisar, Sunil K. Mor.

Methodology: Nader M. Sobhy, Sarah G. A. Yousef.

Project administration: Nader M. Sobhy, Sagar M. Goyal.

Resources: Kakambi V. Nagaraja, Sunil K. Mor.

Supervision: Hamada A. Aboubakr, Sunil K. Mor, Sagar M. Goyal.

Validation: Hamada A. Aboubakr.

Visualization: Hamada A. Aboubakr.

Writing – original draft: Nader M. Sobhy, Kakambi V. Nagaraja.

Writing – review & editing: Nader M. Sobhy, Sagar M. Goyal.

References

1. Borghese A. Buffalo population and research. FAO regional office for Europe inter-regional cooperative research network on buffalo (ESCORENA). Rome. [Preprint]. 2005 [cited 2019 July 10]. http://www.fao.org/3/ah847e/ah847e00.htm

2. Donnenberg M. Escherichia coli: pathotypes and principles of pathogenesis. 2nd ed. USA Elsevier Press; 2013.

3. Zinnah MA, Bari MR, Islam MT, Hossain MT, Rahman MT, Haque MH, et al. Characterization of Escherichia coli isolated from samples of different biological and environmental sources. Bangl J Vet Med. 2007; 5: 25–32.

4. Rojas-Lopez M, Monterio R, Piza M, Desvaux M, Rosini R. Intestinal pathogenic Escherichia coli: insights for vaccine development. Front Microbiol. 2018; 9: 1–17.

5. Arenas-Hernandez MM, Martinez-Laguna Y, Torres AG. Clinical implications of enteroadherent Escherichia coli. Curr Gastroenterol Rep. 2012; 14: 386–394. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11894-012-0277-1 PMID: 22798032

6. Franck SM, Bosworth BT, Moon HW. Multiplex PCR for Enterotoxigenic, attaching and effacing, and shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli strains from calves. J Clin Microbiol. 1998; 36: 1795–1797. PMID: 9620426

7. Sandhu KS, Gyles CL. Pathogenic shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli in the intestine of calves. Can J Vet Res. 2002; 66: 65–72. PMID: 11989736

8. Melton-Celsa AR. Shiga toxin (Stx) classification, structure, and function. Microbiol Spectr. 2014; 2: 1–21.

9. Shahrani M, Dehkordi FS, Mottaz H. Characterization of Escherichia coli virulence genes, pathotypes and antibiotic resistance properties in diarrheic calves in Iran. Biol Res. 2014; 47: 1–13.

10. Osek J. Characterization of necrotoxigenic Escherichia coli (NTEC) strains isolated from healthy calves in Poland. J Vet Med. 2001; B 48: 641–646.

11. Wray C, Piercy DW, Carroll PJ, Cooley WA. Experimental infection of neonatal pigs with CNF toxin-producing strains of Escherichia coli. Res Vet Sci. 1993; 54: 290–298. https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-5288(93)90125-y PMID: 8337478

12. Johnson WM, Lior H. A new heat-labile cytotoxic distending toxin (CLDT) produced by Escherichia coli isolates from clinical material. Microb Pathog. 1988; 4: 103–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/0882-4010(88)90052-6 PMID: 2849027

13. Scuron MD, Boesze-Battaglia K, Dlakic M, Shenker BJ. The cytotoxic distending toxin contributes to microbial virulence and disease pathogenesis by acting as a tri-perditiw toxin. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2016; 6: 1–20.

14. Mainil J. Updating on enteric colibacillosis in calves. Ann Med Vet. 2000; 144: 121–36.

15. Herrera-Luna C, Klein D, Lapan G, Revilla-Fernandez S, Haschek B, Sommerfeld-Stur I, et al. Characterization of the virulence factors in Escherichia coli isolated from diarrheic and healthy calves in Austria shedding various enteropathogenic agents. Vet Med. 2009; 54: 1–11.
16. Lundborg GK, Svensson EC, Oltenacu PA. Herd level risk factors for infectious diseases in Swedish dairy calves aged 0–90 days. Prev Vet Med. 2005; 68: 123–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2004.11.014 PMID: 15820112

17. Guillasen S, Lie K, Loken T, Osteras O. Calf mortality in Norwegian dairy herds. J Dairy Sci. 2009; 92: 2782–95. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2008-1807 PMID: 19448012

18. Windeyer MC, Leslie KE, Godden SM, Hodgins DC, Lissemore KD, LeBlanc SJ. Factors associated with morbidity, mortality, and growth of dairy heifer calves up to 3 months of age. Prev Vet Med. 2014; 113: 231–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2013.10.019 PMID: 24269039

19. Berge ACB, Atwill ER, Sischo WM. Animal and farm influences on the dynamics of antibiotic resistance in faecal Escherichia coli in young dairy calves. Prev Vet Med. 2005; 69: 25–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2005.01.013 PMID: 15899294

20. Korzeniewska E, Korzeniewska A, Harnisz M. Antibiotic resistant Escherichia coli in hospital and municipal sewage and their emission to the environment. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 2013; 91: 96–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2013.01.014 PMID: 23438387

21. Mahon CR, Lehman DC, Manuselis G. Textbook of Diagnostic Microbiology. 5th ed. USA. Saunders Elsevier press; 2015.

22. Zajac AM, Conboy GA. Veterinary Clinical Parasitology. 8th ed. UK. Willy Blackwell press; 2012.

23. Cavaleri SJ, Harbeck RJ, McCarver YS, Ortez JH, Rankin ID, Sautter RL, et al. Manual of Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. USA. American society for Microbiology press; 2005.

24. Borriello G, Lucibelli MG, De-Carlo E, Auriemma C, Cozza D, Ascione G, et al. Characterization of enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC), Shiga-toxin producing E. coli (STEC) and necrotogenic E. coli (NTEC) isolated from diarrheic Mediterranean water buffalo calves (Bubalus bubalis). Res Vet Sci. 2012; 93: 18–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2011.05.009 PMID: 21658736

25. Foster DM, Smith GW. Pathophysiology of diarrhea in calves. Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract. 2009; 25: 13–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cvfa.2008.10.013 PMID: 19174281

26. Younis EE, Ahmed AM, El-Khodry SA, Osman SA, El-Naker YFI. Molecular screening and risk factors of enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli and Salmonella spp. in diarrheic neonatal calves in Egypt. Res Vet Sci. 2009; 87: 373–379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2009.04.006 PMID: 19419742

27. El-Seedy FR, Abed AH, Yanni HA, Abd El-Rahman SAA. Prevalence of Salmonella and E. coli in neonatal diarrheic calves. Vet Microbiol. 2013; 166: 373–379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2013.07.001 PMID: 23886509

28. Bartels CJM, Holzhauer M, Jorritsma R, Swart WAJM, Lam TJGM. Prevalence, prediction and risk factors of enteropathogens in normal and non-normal faeces of young Dutch dairy calves. Prev Vet Med. 2010; 93: 162–169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2009.09.020 PMID: 19819574

29. Yi Cho, Han J, Wang C, Cooper V, Schwartz K, Engelen T, et al. Case control study of microbiological etiology associated with calf diarrhea. Vet Microbiol. 2013; 166: 373–375. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2013.07.001 PMID: 23886509

30. Duse A, Waller KP, Emanuelsson U, Unnerstadb HE, Bengtsson B. Risk factors for antimicrobial resistance in fecal Escherichia coli from preweaned dairy calves. J Dairy Sci. 2015; 98: 500–516. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2014-8432 PMID: 25465547

31. Landraud L, Gauthier M, Fosse T, Boquet P. Frequency of Escherichia coli strains producing the cytotoxic necrotizing factor (CNF1) in nosocomial urinary tract infections. Lett Appl Microbiol. 2000; 30: 213–216. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1472-765x.2000.00698.x PMID: 10774253

32. Moon HW, Bunn T. Vaccines for preventing enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli infections in farm animals. Vaccine. 1993; 11: 213–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/0264-410X(93)90020-X PMID: 8094931

33. Hoffman MA, Menge C, Casey TA, Laegreid W, Bosworth BT, Dean-Nystrom EA. Bovine immune response to shiga-toxicogenic Escherichia coli O157:H7. Clin Vaccine Immunol. 2006; 13: 1322–27. https://doi.org/10.1128/CVI.00205-06 PMID: 17050743

34. Nguyen TD, Vo TT, Vu-Khac H. Virulence factors in Escherichia coli isolated from calves with diarrhea in Vietnam. J Vet Sci. 2011; 12: 159–64. https://doi.org/10.4142/jvs.2011.12.2.159 PMID: 21586875

35. Ishii S, Meyer KP, Sadowsky MJ. Relationship between phylogenetic groups, genotypic clusters, and virulence gene profiles of Escherichia coli strains from diverse human and animal sources. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2007; 73: 5703–10. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00275-07 PMID: 17644637

36. Bertin Y, Boukhoris K, Pradel N, Livrelli V, Martin C. Stx2 subtyping of shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli isolated from cattle in France: Detection of a new Stx2 subtype and correlation with additional virulence factors. J Clin Microbiol. 2001; 39: 3060–65. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.39.9.3060-3065.2001 PMID: 11526129

37. Caprioli A, Morabito S, Brugere H, Oswald E. Enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli: emerging issues on virulence and modes of transmission. Vet Res. 2005; 36: 289–311. https://doi.org/10.1051/vetres:2005002 PMID: 15845227
38. Wani SA, Bhat MA, Samanta I, Nishikawa Y, Buchh AS. Isolation and characterization of shiga toxin-producing \textit{Escherichia coli} (STEC) and enteropathogenic \textit{Escherichia coli} (EPEC) from calves and lambs with diarrhoea in India. Lett Appl Microbiol. 2003; 37: 121–26. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1472-765x.2003.01364.x PMID: 12859653

39. Sandhu KS, Clarke RC, McFadden K, Brouwer A, Louie M, Wilson J, et al. Prevalence of the EaeA gene in verotoxigenic \textit{Escherichia coli} strains from dairy cattle in Southwest Ontario. Epidemiol Infect. 1996; 116: 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1017/s095026880005888x PMID: 8625998

40. Chandran A, Mazumder A. Prevalence of diarrhea-associated virulence genes and genetic diversity in \textit{Escherichia coli} isolates from fecal material of various animal hosts. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2013; 79: 7371–80. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02653-13 PMID: 24056456

41. Kadhum HJ, Finlay D, Rowe MT, Ilson IGW, Ball HJ. Occurrence and characteristics of cytotoxic necrotizing factors, cytolytic distending toxins and other virulence factors in \textit{Escherichia coli} from human blood and faecal samples. Epidemiol Infect. 2008; 136: 752–60. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268807009247 PMID: 17678557

42. Soto SM, Jimenez de Anta MT, Vila J. Quinolones induce partial or total loss of pathogenicity islands in unpathogenic \textit{Escherichia coli} by SOS dependent or independent pathways, respectively. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2006; 50: 649–53. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.50.2.649-653.2006 PMID: 16438722

43. Jensen MB, Kyhn R. Play behaviour in group-housed dairy calves, the effect of space allowance. Appl Anim Behav Sci. 2000; 67:35–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1591(99)00113-6 PMID: 10719187

44. Bova TL, Chiavacci L, Cline GF, Hart CG, Matheny K, Muth AM, et al. Environmental stressors influencing hormones and systems physiology in cattle. Reprod Biol Endocrinol. 2014; 12: 58–62. https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7827-12-58 PMID: 24996419

45. Cray WC, Casey TA, Bosworth BT, Rasmussen MA. Effect of dietary stress on fecal shedding of \textit{Escherichia coli} O157:H7 in calves. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1998; 64:1975–1979. PMID: 9572985

46. Scaria J, Warnick LD, Kaneee JB, May K, Teng C, Chang Y. Comparison of phenotypic and genotypic antimicrobial profiles in \textit{Eschericia coli} and \textit{Salmonella enterica} from the same dairy cattle farms. Mol Cell Probes. 2010; 24: 325–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcp.2010.07.004 PMID: 20668154

47. Wellington EMH, Boxall ABA, Cross P, Feil EJ, Gaze WH, Hawkey PM, et al. The role of the natural environment in the emergence of antibiotic resistance in Gram-negative bacteria. Lancet Infect Dis. 2013; 13: 155–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(12)70317-1 PMID: 23347633

48. Aly SM, Albutti A. Antimicrobials use in aquaculture and their public health impact. J Aquac Res Dev. 2014; 5: 1–6.

49. Levy SB, Marshall B. Antibacterial resistance worldwide: causes, challenges and responses. Nat Med. 2004; 10: S122–29. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1145 PMID: 15577930

50. FDA. Approved animal drug products. USA. 2020 [cited 2020 April 21]. https://animaldrugsatfda.fda.gov/ada/fda/app/search/public/ingredientsInformationPdf/Section2ActiveIngredients

51. Heuer H, Schmitt H, Smalla K. Antibiotic resistance gene spread due to manure application on agricultural fields. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2011; 14: 236–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2011.04.009 PMID: 21546307