Acute symptomatic peri-lead edema 33 hours after deep brain stimulation surgery: a case report
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Abstract

Background: Symptomatic peri-lead edema is a rare complication of deep brain stimulation that has been reported to develop 4 to 120 days postoperatively.

Case presentation: Here we report the case of a 63-year-old Hispanic man with an 8-year history of Parkinson’s disease who underwent bilateral placement of subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation leads and presented with acute, symptomatic, unilateral, peri-lead edema just 33 hours after surgery.

Conclusions: We document a thorough radiographic time course showing the evolution of these peri-lead changes and their regression with steroid therapy, and discuss the therapeutic implications of these findings. We propose that the unilateral peri-lead edema after bilateral deep brain stimulation is the result of severe microtrauma with blood–brain barrier disruption. Knowledge of such early manifestation of peri-lead edema after deep brain stimulation is critical for ruling out stroke and infection and preventing unnecessary diagnostic testing or hardware removal in this rare patient population.
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Background

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is one of the most debilitating chronic neurologic disorders and is associated with a two-fold increased risk of death from any cause [1]. Deep brain stimulation (DBS) is the surgical treatment of choice for PD and numerous studies have shown it to be a significantly more effective treatment option for moderate and advanced PD than the best medical management [1–4]. Complications after DBS are rare and typically include infections, intracranial hemorrhages, cognitive deficits, and postoperative seizures. A recently described complication of DBS is symptomatic peri-lead edema, the transient appearance of edema around a newly implanted DBS lead, typically associated with headache and mild neurological deficits. Since the first reports of this condition in 2011 over 40 cases have now been described [5–8]. These studies consistently describe peri-lead edema as appearing 4 to 120 days after surgery, being self-limiting and responsive to steroids. Clinically, peri-lead edema is important to recognize and distinguish from ischemic stroke or infection, diagnoses that may prompt further interventions or hardware removal.

In this report we are the first to present a case of symptomatic peri-lead edema appearing 33 hours after DBS surgery, nearly 3 days earlier than previously published in the literature. The patient’s early presentation allowed us to obtain a thorough radiographic analysis of the evolution of these peri-lead changes.

Case presentation

A 63-year-old Hispanic man with a past medical history of colon cancer in remission and hypertension underwent placement of bilateral subthalamic nucleus (STN) electrodes at our institution. He had an 8-year history of idiopathic PD prior to his DBS surgery, with bradykinesia as the predominant symptom. He developed motor complications after levodopa treatment including wearing off, “delayed on,” and dyskinesias. Preoperative neurological testing with the Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) showed a 62% improvement after
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To further evaluate these findings, we next obtained a
contrasted MRI of his brain (Fig. 1c). T2 sequences
suggested peri-lead edema extending from the cortical
surface the distance of the lead and beyond the tip, to
the pars compacta. The edema was most prominent at
the cortex. This dominant component of edema, along
the proximal third of the electrode, was 3.6 cm in length
in the anteroposterior dimension and 2.1 cm wide. The
edema surrounding the distal two thirds of the electrode
was cylindrical with a 1.5 cm diameter and involving the
posterior limb of the internal capsule. Contrast enhance-
ment or diffusion restriction was not observed.

Given the clinical findings, imaging, and laboratory re-
results we ruled out ischemia or infection and presumed this
to be a reactive self-limited process. He was admitted for
observation and intravenously administered dexametha-
sone was started (10 mg bolus, followed by 4 mg every
6 hours). Ondansetron was given for nausea. By the
following morning his symptoms had resolved and he was
discharged home on a 6-day oral steroid taper.

At an interval follow-up 1 week later, he remained at his
neurological baseline with no recent headaches or nausea.
A new CT showed complete resolution of edema (Fig. 1d).
He noted a subjective bilateral improvement of motor
symptoms, suggestive of microlesion effect, through the
entire week. He underwent the second stage generator
and extension cable implants with no complications and
has had good control of his PD symptoms since.

Discussion
This case is unusual because the patient presented with
peri-lead edema just 33 hours after DBS surgery. Previ-
sous literature has consistently described the edema no
sooner than 4 days postoperatively [5–9]. Further, our
radiographic documentation thoroughly illustrates the
time course of edema development and resolution. Aside
from nausea and severe headache radiating to the top of
our patient’s head, which is the most typical present-
symptom in these patients, he was non-focal neurologi-
cally through the duration of his symptoms [5–8].

Since the first descriptions of peri-lead edema after
DBS, this postoperative complication has perplexed the
medical community. Even in cases where implants are
placed bilaterally, symptoms are usually unilateral. Onset
of symptoms or of radiographic edema has been re-
ported at 4 to 120 days postoperatively [5–9]. Peri-lead
edema has been identified incidentally in asymptomatic
patients, but common symptoms include headache, new
neurological deficit, seizures, or worsening of pre-
existing symptoms. While the edema may surround the
entire lead or spare the tip, seizures and worsening of
neurological symptoms appear to be more common
when there is a subcortical component to the edema [7].
Stroke and infection are typically ruled out first. It is
unknown to what degree peri-lead edema responds to
steroids, which are typically given in symptomatic cases.
Most patients ultimately have complete resolution of
symptoms; however, cases with persistent symptoms
have been noted [5–8]. In addition, recent reports have
linked peri-lead edema to the formation of cystic...
cavitations and both have been suggested to occur as a result of a common pathological process [9].

The most commonly proposed mechanisms for peri-lead edema have been immune hypersensitivity to lead components or microtrauma that allows cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) to track along the lead. An immunological process is inconsistent with our patient’s unilateral presentation and no evidence of allergic reaction or hypersensitivity to lead components has been identified. We believe the appearance of peri-lead edema so soon after DBS may better support mechanical trauma with blood–brain barrier disruption as the cause of this complication, since such effects would be expected soon after surgery radiographically, rather than with a delay. The unilateral nature of the edema may imply a greater degree of microtrauma severity.

Conclusions
The clinical relevance of this report lies in the need for the medical community, whether in the emergency room or movement disorders clinic, to recognize this pathology and distinguish it from ischemic stroke or postoperative infection, which require further diagnostic testing or surgery. Previous surgical interventions in symptomatic patients with peri-lead edema and cysts have included lumbar puncture, fluid tap from the surgical site, cyst aspiration, and lead removal, typically yielding no evidence of infection [4, 10]. In addition to preventing unnecessary interventions, increased awareness of this pathology will allow us to improve our study of the etiology and relevance of this rare complication.

Fig. 1 Radiographic time course of peri-lead edema. a Coronal and axial slices of computed tomography obtained 1 hour after surgery with no evidence of edema. b Computed tomography obtained 33 hours after surgery demonstrating evolving left peri-lead edema, especially along the proximal third of the deep brain stimulation lead. c T2-weighted MRI sequences obtained 46 hours after surgery showing significant peri-lead edema extending the entire length of the left lead. d Computed tomography obtained 8 days after surgery showing normal postoperative changes with no evidence of peri-lead edema. The white arrows highlight the peri-lead edema. Scale bar – 1 cm. A anterior, L lateral, M medial, S superior.
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