Appropriate Technologies for Improving Yield and Income of Small Holders Growing Rice Paddy in Rainfed Low Lands of Agro-Biodiversity Hotspots in India
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Abstract

Rainfed paddy production accounts for 30% of rice production in India and supports the nutrition of some of India’s poorest farmers. Agro-biodiversity hotspots in India such as Kollihills are rich in genetic variability of economically important staple crops such as rice, millets and tubers inhabited by tribal malayali farmers who largely depend on rice as food for their subsistence. Poor agronomic practices, inadequate water and fertilizer management in growing improved rice varieties resulted in a 50% yield reduction compared to yields obtained from improved package of practices of similar farming situation. Farmers participatory research conducted by M. S. Swaminathan Research Foundation, India and University of Alberta Canada, in rice paddy cultivation in two seasons of subsequent years 2012 and 2013 showed that adoption of appropriate technologies in rainfed low land paddy help small farmers reaching doubled their yield and income than control.
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1. Introduction

Rice is the staple food crop for 60% of the world’s population. Asia currently accounts for 60% of the global population, 92% of the world’s rice production and 90% of the global rice consumption [1]. Rice is economically and nutritionally important crop to millions of small farmers growing it on millions of hectares (ha) and grown in Asia for the last 7000 years. Current paddy production
in South Asia is increased by 300%, compared to the Green Revolution in the late 1960s [2]. India and Bangladesh are major rice-growing countries in the South Asia. In the past five decades, majority of the rice production increased because of yield growth, with harvested area increased from 50 million ha in the late 1960s to 60 million ha now [3]. South Asia accounts 37% of the world rice area. Approximately, 50% of the rice growing area in South Asia is rain fed [4]. Rain fed paddy production accounts for 30% of the rice production in India and supports the nutrition of some of India’s poorest farmers [5] [6] [7].

Rain fed lowland rice cultivation is found in South and South-East Asia, which accounts 25% of the total world’s rice area. In India, lowland rice is cultivated in about 14.4 million ha, which accounts for 32.4% of the total area under rice crop in the country [8]. Sustained production of rice paddy is the key for the food security in South Asia [9]. In 2004, the average rice yield in the world and Asia was 3.97 and 4.08 MT/ha, respectively. In Indian state of Tamil Nadu, paddy production accounts for the three quarter of state’s food grain production [10]. Rain fed low land farmers are typically challenged by poor soil quality, drought or flood conditions [11]. Farmers growing rice in rainfed paddy systems are operating at sub-optimal levels of production with 90% of them having yields that are lower than India’s average production of 2.1 MT/ha. This variation in the production is due to lack of technology used in rice paddy cultivation. This rain fed yield gap is the result of a number of production constraints, which include socio-economic, technological and biophysical [12]. Notably, farmers lack range of practices including planting density, weeding, fertilization and water management to support improved varieties which are identified as serious constraints in improving rain fed rice yields [13]. Rice research with appropriate technologies for rainfed low land farmers has a key role for reaching higher yields and income, especially in agro-biodiversity hotspots where there is genetic erosion of staple crops existing.

2. Background

The production gains can be achieved by bridging the yield gaps of rice with the currently available technologies [14]. Studies show that the accumulated stock of technologies for rainfed rice production was able to increase yield by 30% to 40%. Constraints to high yield can be classified into two categories: those that affect potential of the crop under farmer’s environment, and those that affect farmer’s ability and willingness to achieve the yield potential [15]. The first constraint can be addressed through adoption of higher yielding varieties and improved fertilizer and management practices [16] [17]. The second constraint can be addressed through participatory research and demonstrations. For example, Thakur [12] put forth the need for the development of more accessible, problem-based, cost effective and area-specific technologies for rainfed rice production. Drought stress is the largest constraint to rice production in rainfed systems. In Asia, it affects 10 million ha of upland rice and over 13 million ha of
rain fed lowland rice [18]. Higher paddy yield in the rainfed lowland can be achieved by using appropriate technologies including water management, Integrated Fertilizer Management (IFM), Integrated Pest Management (IPM) concluded that all yield attributing characters in rainfed rice, increased significantly with the increase in levels of Nitrogen (N) from 40 to 100 kg/ha in fine rice [19][20]. Many research findings have shown that neither inorganic fertilizers nor organic sources alone can result in sustainable productivity where the inorganic fertilizer provides nutrients and the organic fertilizer mainly increases soil organic matter and improves soil structure and buffering capacity of the soil [21][22]. Researchers have demonstrated the beneficial effect of Integrated Nutrient Management in mitigating the deficiency of many secondary and micronutrients [8].

Most of the yield improvement research has focused on rainfed rice paddy systems in Eastern India, but there is also significant amount of rainfed rice paddy production for subsistence in other parts of India including Kolli Hills regions in Tamil Nadu, southern India. The Kolli Hills region has unique soil and climatic characteristics. However, there is a lack of practical knowledge about improved practices appropriate for rainfed paddy rice cultivation in this agro-biodiversity hotspot. Agricultural land-use in the Kolli Hills can be classified into three types: 1) spring-fed valley lands, mainly under paddy, 2) rainfed lands, allocated for millets and cassava, and 3) land on the valley fringes, under pineapple, coffee, pepper and other crops. Two crops of rice are commonly cultivated annually in the spring-fed valley lands [23]. The present study aims to narrow the knowledge gap in the paddy rice cultivation of Kolli Hills. Therefore, the primary objective of the study is to compare yields and production economics of rainfed paddy rice grown in the Kolli Hills under traditional practices and improved practices.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Data Collection

Field level data was collected from the farmers, under farmers practice and improved practice in the Kharif and Rabi season for the two consecutive years 2012 and 2013. Twenty paddy farmers were participated in the Yield Enhancement experiment in both the season and allocated 0.1 acre (40 m² plots) for each farmers practice and improved practice. Paddy varieties used under the YET experiment is chosen after a mutual discussion between the farmers and scientist. The paddy varieties, White ponni and Co-50 is used in Kharif season and IR-20 is used in the Rabi season. Information related to cost incurred on nursery raising, land preparation, transplanting, weeding, fertilizer and pesticide application, harvest, packing and labors involved were recorded for analysis.

3.2. Methods

Yield Enhancement experiment (YET) in rainfed paddy crop involves package of
scientific practices in seed selection, seedlings transplanting, weeding, integrated nutrient management, pest management and post harvest storage. The Characteristics of traditional methods of paddy rice cultivation in Kolli hills are high seeding rate, use of untreated seed, raising seedlings in a nursery without a raised bed, transplanting more seedlings/hill with less spacing, lack of fertilization and lack of water management. YET were conducted for both Kharif (June-October) and Rabi season (December-April) paddy crop over a period of two years, under the APM project (Alleviating Poverty and Malnutrition in Agro Bio-diversity Hotspots), to study the potential changes in yield and income using improved scientific practices (IP) and traditional farmers practice (FP). The package of practices was summarized in Table 1.

**Seeds selection and seed treatment:** Required quantity (1.5 kg/40 m²) of paddy seeds was selected using viability test (TNAU method) by addition of salt in the container of seeds soaked in water (till a hen’s egg float in the water) and chaffy seeds were removed. The seeds were then soaked in water for 24 h inoculated with 100 g of *Azospirillum* and 100 g of *Phosphobacterium* obtained from the Department of Agriculture, Kolli Hills and allowed to sprout overnight.

**Nursery field:** Raised bed nurseries of 2 m length, 75 cm weight and 10 cm height were made and the sprouted paddy seeds were spread over them.

**Table 1.** Summary of farmer’s practice and improved practices in rice cultivation.

| Cultivation practice       | Farmers practice | Improved practice                                           |
|----------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) Seed rate               | 150 - 200 kg/ha  | 45 - 50 kg/ha                                              |
| 2) Seed treatment          | Nil              | 1) Selecting viable seeds using salt water soaking method   |
|                            |                  | 2) *Azospirillum* and *Phosphobacterium* seed treatment     |
| 3) Nursery beds            | Raised flat bed nurseries | Raised beds of 10 cm height for every 2 kg of seed |
| 4) Fertilizer in nursery   | Nil              | DAP application 2 kg/4 m² of land                           |
| 5) Transplanting space     | Nil              | 20 × 10 cm between row and plant                            |
| 6) No of plants/hill       | 5 - 6            | 2 - 3                                                      |
| 7) Fertilizer in main field| Complex fertilizer/green leaves | Split dose of fertilizer application: green leaves + 10:10:10 NPK at basal, 5:0:0 @ tillering and 5:0:0 at booting stages using urea, superphosphate and potash |
| 8) Weeding                 | Manual           | Manual + kono weeder                                       |
| 9) Water management        | Nil              | Controlled water management. Plots of 25 m² with handmade water drainage passage between plots |
| 10) Pest management        | Chemical or no pesticides | Vasambu (*Acorus calamus*) + ash (1:9) application for Earhead bug, Neem oil soap solution as a pest repellent, and use of pheromone trap. |

Note: The same farmers repeatedly observed for results in both Kharif and Rabi seasons.
**Di-ammonium phosphate (DAP) application in nursery fields:** DAP 2 kg with crushed neem cake (250 g) was applied in the nursery beds 4 days prior to pullout the seedlings for transplanting.

**Main field preparation:** Uniform-size plots of 25 m² were made, separated with handmade furrows and ridges, which allows water to flow through or stop whenever needed. Locally available Nochi leaves, castor leaves were dumped in each plots and allowed to get rotted. Basal fertilizer of 10:10:10 NPK/40 m² calculated and applied using straight fertilizers (urea, superphosphate and potash), 5:0:0 NPK as top during the tillering (35 - 40th day) and 5:0:0 at booting stage (90 - 100th day).

**Transplanting:** 2 - 3 seedlings per hill were transplanted on the 30th day (Rabi & Kharif) with the spacing of 20 cm between the rows and 15 cm between the plants.

**Weeding:** Mostly hand weeding was carried out twice one at the 25th day after transplanting and the other during 45 - 50th day. Kono weeder were used for weeding by women.

**Water management:** In experimental plots, water was allowed to stay and not to free flow between the plots. Fertilizer was applied when the plots were wet. Plots were allowed to dry during water and pest repellent’s application and stopped water flow a week before the harvest, which was not maintained in the control plots.

**Plant protection:** Neem oil soap solution sprayed during 25th, 50th and 75th day after transplanting and vasambu (*Acorus calamus*) powder applied along with ash (1:9 ratio) during heavy infestation of Ear head bugs in both experimental and farmer fields. Pheromone traps were used during Kharif 2012 for attracting yellow stem borer moths.

**Harvest:** IR-20/ C0-50 were harvested in 110th day after transplanting, while white ponni was harvested in 125th day. In all the 20 cases experimental plots get harvested and threshed like farmer’s plot. Both fresh weight and dry weight of harvested paddy were measured and noted.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Yield Enhancement Trial of Paddy in Rabi Season

Table 2 elucidates the comparison of farmers practice and improved practice of paddy cultivation in the Rabi season for the two consecutive years 2012 and 2013. The paddy variety, IR-20 is used under both farm management practices and each being experimented in 20 farmer’s field with 430 sq·m of land in two following years. Paddy yield from improved practices was higher by 27.1% in 2012 and 25.4% in 2013, compared to farmers practice. The extra cost involved in production process per one hectare of land in improved practice is 1.5% in 2012 and 10.5% in 2013. The net income generated in one hectare of land in improved practice is 97.9% and 58.1% higher compared to farmers practice, in 2012 and 2013, respectively.
Table 2. Comparative yield result of paddy in the years 2012 and 2013.

| Particulars          | IR-20 (2012) | IR-20 (2013) |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|
|                      | Farmer’s Practice | Improved practice | Significance level | Farmer’s Practice | Improved practice | Significance level |
| Yield (kg/ha)        | 2659          | 3379          | ***              | 2702             | 3388            | ***              |
| Cost of cultivation (Rs/ha) | 39,051       | 39,619        |                  | 37,174           | 41,076          | ***              |
| Gross income (Rs/ha) | 53,179        | 67,579        | ***              | 54,044           | 67,752          | ***              |
| Net income (Rs/ha)   | 14,128        | 27,960        | ***              | 16,870           | 26,676          | ***              |

Note: ***refers to significance at 0.01 level. The significance level is derived from two-sample test with equal variance.

For producing one quintal of paddy in *Rabi* season, the cost involved in improved practice is 20.2% and 11.9% lower compared to farmers practice, in 2012 and 2013, respectively (*Figure 1*).

4.2. Yield Enhancement Trial of Paddy in Kharif Season

*Table 3* explains the comparison of farmers practice and improved practice of paddy cultivation in the kharif season for the two consecutive years 2012 and 2013. The paddy variety, White ponni is used by all (20) farmers under both farm management practices in 2012, while only 10 farmers used white ponni and the other 10 farmers used the CO-50 variety in 2013, because of delay in rain and farmers preference in getting alternate fine variety for consumption than white ponni. In 2012, the improved practices witnessed with 24.0% higher yield compared to farmers practice, with only additional 10.3% cost incurred during production process and attributed to 41.1% addition net income. In 2013, again the improved practices shows positive results of higher yield compared to farmers practices. From the *Table 3*, it is evident that the yield benefits is higher in CO-50 compared to White ponni in improved practices, with less cost of cultivation and more net income. For producing one quintal of paddy in Kharif season, the cost involved in improved practice is 12.5% in 2012 (for White ponni) and 16.1% in 2013 (for CO-50) lower compared to farmers practice, while there is no significant differences for White ponni in 2013 (*Figure 2*).

5. Conclusion

Agriculture is the livelihood for the rural poor in agro-biodiversity hotspot and that rice paddy being the staple crop, help alleviating poverty and ensure sustainable availability of food for the food-insecure population. Appropriate farmer friendly technologies are required to improve yield and income of rainfed small holder in agro-biodiversity hotspots like Kolli Hills. It is evident from the study that with adoption of appropriate technologies and an additional cost of Rs. 2500 to 3000/Ha, farmers could earn Rs. 15,000 - 20,000/Ha more income when compared with farmers practice in kollihills, an agro biodiversity hotspot.
Table 3. Yield performance of paddy compared for years 2012 and 2013.

| Particulars     | White Ponni (2012) | White Ponni (2013) | CO-50 (2013) |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|
|                 | Farmer’s Practice  | Improved practice  | Significance level | Farmer’s Practice  | Improved practice  | Significance level | Farmer’s Practice  | Improved practice  | Significance level |
| Yield (kg/ha)   | 3320               | 4117               | ***            | 3619               | 4293               | ***            | 3473               | 4268               | ***            |
| Cost of Cultivation (Rs/Ha) | 36,852             | 40,656             | ***            | 35,840             | 42,385             | ***            | 37,989             | 40,187             | ***            |
| Gross Income (Rs/Ha) | 66,394             | 82,350             | ***            | 72,371             | 85,857             | ***            | 69,456             | 85,363             | ***            |
| Net Income (Rs/Ha) | 29,541             | 41,694             | ***            | 36,531             | 43,472             | *              | 31,468             | 45,176             | ***            |

Note: *** and * refers to significance at 0.01 and 0.10 level. The significance level is derived from two-sample test with equal variance.

Figure 1. Cost benefit ratio compared for both farmers and improved cultivation practice.

Figure 2. Cost benefit compared for both farmer and improved practices in Kharif season.

It is evident from the field trials that improved practices yielded 20% more on an average compared with regular farmer practices.
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