Semaphorin, neuropilin and VEGF expression in glial tumours: SEMA3G, a prognostic marker?
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Gliomas are characterised by local infiltration, migration of tumour cells across long distances and sustained angiogenesis; therefore, proteins involved in these processes are most likely important. Such candidates are semaphorins involved in axon guidance and cell migration. In addition, semaphorins regulate tumour progression and angiogenesis. For cell signalling, class-4 semaphorins bind directly to plexins, whereas class-3 semaphorins require additional neuropilin (NRP) receptors that also bind VEGF$_{165}$. The anti-angiogenic activity of class-3 semaphorins can be explained by competition with VEGF$_{165}$ for NRP binding. In this study, we analysed the expressions of seven semaphorins of class-3, SEMA4D, VEGF and the NRP1 and NRP2 receptors in 38 adult glial tumours. In these tumours, SEMA3B, SEMA3G and NRP2 expressions were related to prolonged survival. In addition, SEMA3D expression was reduced in high-grade compared with low-grade gliomas. In contrast, VEGF correlated with higher grade and poor survival. Thus, our data suggest a function for a subset of class-3 semaphorins as inhibitors of tumour progression, and the prognostic value of the VEGF/SEMA3 balance in adult gliomas. Moreover, in multivariate analysis, SEMA3G was found to be the only significant prognostic marker.
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Gliomas are the most frequent human primary brain tumours. Malignant gliomas are characterised by local infiltration and migration of tumour cells across long distances and, unlike other types of cancer, the morbidity and mortality from most brain tumours do not involve metastasis but rather local invasion of the tumour preventing complete surgical resection. Today, the current standard of care for patients with high-grade malignant gliomas includes tumour resection followed by adjuvant radiotherapy (RT) and chemotherapy (CT). However, the prolongation of survival associated with CT is only equivalent to an absolute increase in 1-year survival of 6% (from 40 to 46%; Stewart, 2002). Recently, a survival benefit was reported for glioblastoma patients treated with temozolomide combined with RT (Stupp et al, 2007). This benefit was significantly observed when the MGMT promoter encoding an alkyltransferase is methylated (Hegi et al, 2005). Nevertheless, despite advances in surgical and medical neuro-oncology, the prognosis of patients with glioma remains poor.

Molecular biology studies have underscored the function of oncogenes in glioblastoma progression, notably EGF, PDGF and their receptors, and a variety of tumour suppressor genes, particularly $p16^{INK4a}$, $p14^{ARF}$, PTEN, RB1 and TP53. Also, frequent loss of heterozygosity at chromosomes 1p, 10p, 10q, 19q and 22q suggests a participation of additional tumour suppressor genes (Kleihues and Cavenee, 2000; Pietsch and Wiestler, 1997; Collins, 2002, 2007). However, the current knowledge of tumour genetics does not always allow identifying clinically relevant factors predictive of outcome or response to therapy. More detailed knowledge of underlying mechanisms and their relevance for the cancer process will allow targeting specific deregulated pathways, leading to rational design of future treatment modalities according to the biology of the individual tumours.

As local invasion and migration of tumour cells are pivotal mechanisms in glioma progression, proteins involved in these processes are most likely important. Such candidates are semaphorins, a family of proteins expressed in the brain and other tissues, that are involved in axon guidance and cell migration. In vertebrates, semaphorins are represented by secreted members (class-3 semaphorins), transmembranous members (classes 4–6) and by one class-7 membrane-anchored protein (Semaphorin Nomenclature Committee, 1999; Yazdani and Terman, 2006). Membranous semaphorins, such as SEMA4D, bind directly to plexins (Tammagone et al, 1999), whereas class-3 semaphorins require additional receptors, NRP1 or NRP2 neuropilins (He and Tessier-Lavigne, 1997; Kolodkin et al, 1997). In the central nervous system, class-3 semaphorins mostly act as chemorepulsive cues (Messersmith et al, 1995; Adams et al,
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vascular endothelial growth factor, VEGF 165, with its receptor endothelial cells (ECs). In ECs, NRP1 enhances the interaction of explained by NRP receptors shared between neuronal and surgical and tumour angiogenesis, tumour progression, immune regulation a wide range of biological processes, including physiolo-

VEGF165 for NRP binding (Miao et al, 1998, 2002), whereas SEMA3s are inhibitory by competing with VEGF165 for NRP binding (Miao et al, 1999). Thus, the ratio of SEMA3 and VEGF expression levels might be an important determinant for blood vessel and tumour development. VEGF is a major permeability and pro-angiogenic factor that is highly expressed in brain tumours (Plate et al, 1992) and is partly responsible for the loss of the blood–brain barrier (Jain et al, 2007). Intra-tumoural levels of VEGF and its receptors were related to the histological grade of gliomas (Schmidt et al, 1999) and with tumour vascularity (Samoto et al, 1995). Elevated expression of the VEGF165 receptor NRP1 was found in tumour cells from various human cancers (Bielenberg and Klagsbrun, 2007).

In glioblastomas, NRP1 expression was detected in ECs of proliferating vessels and in neoplastic astrocytes (Broholm and Laursen, 2004). Moreover, NRP1 overexpression was related to poor prognosis in human gliomas and with the malignancy of astrocytic tumours (Osada et al, 2004; Hu et al, 2007). Increased expression of NRP1 has also been detected in tumour cells from clinical glioma samples, suggesting a link between NRP1 expression and glialoma malignancy (Ding et al, 2000). Besides VEGF binding, a novel mechanism was recently proposed for NRP1 to promote tumour progression through the enhancement of autocrine hepatocyte growth factor/scatter factor signalling through c-Met (Hu et al, 2007; Matsushita et al, 2007). NRP2 could also participate in human glioma progression (Mariani et al, 2001).

To date, little is known about the implication of semaphorins in gliomas. In 12 human glioma cell lines, Rieger et al (2003) showed that SEMA3A and SEMA3C were always expressed, whereas only some cell lines expressed NRP1, NRP2, plexins A1, A2 or B1.

Recently, on the basis of the Affymetrix gene chip analysis of gliomas, it was shown that SEMA3B expression associated with poorer overall survival (OS) when combined with the expressions of two other genes, osteonectin/SPARC and doublecortex/doublecortin, which have key functions in cellular migration processes (Rich et al, 2005). However, SEMA3B, like SEMA3F, is described as a tumour suppressor gene (Tomizawa et al, 2001; Tse et al, 2002). SEMA3F acts as a tumour suppressor gene by reducing angiogenesis and metastasis, probably through the inhibition of integrin-mediated adhesion and VEGF expression (Xiang et al, 2002; Kessler et al, 2004; Bielenberg et al, 2004; Kusy et al, 2005; Futamura et al, 2007; Potiron et al, 2007). SEMA3B and SEMA3F are also direct p53 targets (Ochi et al, 2002; Futamura et al, 2007). In contrast to these inhibitory semaphorins, SEMA3C, 3E, 5C, 6A, 6B may contribute to tumorigenesis or to tumour progression (Neufeld et al, 2005). Also, the transmembrane semaphorin SEMA4D has recently become a focus of intensive research owing to its capacity to induce tumour cell invasiveness and angiogenesis (Conrotto et al, 2004, 2005; Basile et al, 2006, 2007; Ch’ng et al, 2007). Thus, the role of semaphorins in human gliomas is ambiguous. Moreover, to our knowledge, SEMA3D, SEMA3E, SEMA3F and SEMA3G expressions in human gliomas were not studied.

In this study, we analysed mRNA expression of class-3 semaphorins, in addition to SEMA4D, VEGF, NRP1 and NRP2 expressions, in 38 adult glial tumours. Statistical analysis indicated that SEMA3B, SEMA3G and NRP2 expressions were related to prolonged survival and that SEMA3D expression was reduced in high-grade compared with low-grade gliomas. In contrast, VEGF expression was related to higher grade and poor survival. Thus, our data suggest a function for a subset of class-3 semaphorins as inhibitors of tumour progression, and the prognostic value of the VEGF/SEMA3 balance in adult gliomas. In addition, in multivariate analysis, SEMA3G and age were found to be the only significant prognostic markers.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

Tissues from 38 adult patients harbouring glial tumours including 11 low-grade and 27 high-grade gliomas were collected during surgery at the Department of Neurological Surgery (University of Poitiers, France), with signed informed consent of all patients and the approval of the ethics committee of the Poitou-Charentes area. These patients were free from any past medical history, especially with regard to brain surgery, brain radiation therapy or CT. All patients were treated between January 2002 and May 2003. Twenty-two patients had a combined therapy that associated with surgery plus RT and/or CT, whereas 16 patients had no RT. Tumour diagnosis and grading were established according to the World Health Organization (WHO) criteria (Kleihues et al, 2002) and were systematically revised by two expert neuropathologists. Distribution of patients in groups according to the WHO pathology classification is described in Table 1.

Analysis of class-3 semaphorins, VEGF, NRP1 and NRP2 expressions

Tissue harvesting and preparation Per-operative pathology exam permitted the checking of glial tumour diagnosis with samples

| Pathology | n | Biopsy/surgery +RT ± CT | Biopsy/surgery ± CT | Age (years) | F | M |
|-----------|---|------------------------|---------------------|-------------|---|---|
| Low grades | 11 | OAII: 7, ODII: 4 | 3, 8 | 42.5 (35–67) | 3, 8 | (27%), (73%) |
| High grades | 27 | OAII: 11, ODII: 5, GBM: 18 | 19, 8 | 60.6 (22–75) | 11, 16 | (41%), (59%) |

CT = chemotherapy; F = female; GBM = glioblastoma; II, III = tumour grades according to the World Health Organization; M = male; OA = oligoastrocytoma; OD = oligodendroglioma; RT = radiotherapy.
obtained from either open-sky surgery or stereotactic biopsies. Each tumour sample was divided into two parts: one was dedicated to smear and the second was immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen in the operating room, and stored at −80 °C until usage. Only samples containing at least 80% of tumour cells were considered for quantitative real-time RT–PCR.

RNA isolation and cDNA preparation Total RNA was extracted from 0.5 to 3 mg of tumour tissues using the RNAeasy® Mini Kit (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France) and cDNA was prepared as described earlier (Wager et al, 2006).

Quantitative real-time RT–PCR mRNA levels were measured by quantitative real-time RT–PCR in the ABI PRISM 7000 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, Courtaboeuf, France). Primer sequences and the length of the PCR products are listed in Supplementary Table 1. The specific amplification for all transcripts was checked by DNA sequencing after DNA purification from the unique band of the RT–PCR product obtained at the right size by agarose gel electrophoresis and by the thermal dissociation curves (Supplementary Figure S1). Amplification efficiency was tested using serial dilutions of each specific PCR product, and the quality of the amplification curves was similar to the results we described in one of our previous study (Brambilla et al, 2000). The quantitative real-time RT–PCR values for each amplification for our cohort of patients are given in Supplementary Table 2. The reactions were carried out by using the SYBR Green chemistry as described earlier (Brambilla et al, 2000; Wager et al, 2006). The amount of target mRNA was normalised with the endogenous GAPDH mRNA by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}\times 1000$ formula, where $\Delta CT = C_{target} - C_{GAPDH}$. The values of the differences between the Ct values for GAPDH mRNA and each tested transcript ranged as follows: C, SEMA3A–C; GAPDH: 5.8–16.7; C, SEMA3B–C; GAPDH: 3.3–10.1; C, SEMA3C–C; GAPDH: 5.6–15.4; C, SEMA3D–C; GAPDH: 7.5–21.6; C, SEMA3E–C; GAPDH: 2.9–15.0; C, SEMA3F–C; GAPDH: 4.8–16.8; C, SEMA3G–C; GAPDH: 7.7–17.4; C, SEMA4D–C; GAPDH: 6.8–10.8; C, VEGF–C; GAPDH: 1.8–7.6; C, NRPI–C; GAPDH: 5.3–10.5; and C, NRP2–C; GAPDH: 4.0–10.3.

Statistical analysis

Data were collected from the date of diagnosis. Differences between groups in the respective semaphorin distribution were tested using the Mann–Whitney U-test. Correlation analyses were performed by the method of Spearman. Overall survival was analysed by calculating the time interval between the date of diagnosis and the date of death from any cause, or the date of the last follow-up for surviving patients, and then estimated by the Kaplan and Meier method.

The following clinical and biological features were analysed as potential prognostic factors: age, sex, grade (high vs low), treatment (surgery and RT ± CT vs others), semaphorins, NRPs and VEGF. Tested transcripts were categorised in three groups (low, medium and high expressor), such as age (low, medium, high), according to their lower and higher respective quartile values.

All variables were assessed in univariate analysis using the two-tailed log-rank test. To summarise prognostic information, variables found to be associated at the 10% level with the outcome were entered into a Cox regression model on the basis of likelihood ratio test. A stepdown procedure allowed those variables adding to each other’s prognostic information to be retained. Levels of significance were represented by P-values derived from two-sided tests. A P-value < 0.05 or less was considered to indicate statistical significance. SAS v 8 (Statistical Analysis System, Cary, NC) software package was used.

RESULTS

We studied by quantitative real-time RT–PCR the expression of the seven semaphorins from class-3 (A–G), SEMA4D, NRPI, NRP2 and VEGF in 11 adult low-grade and 27 high-grade gliomas. The largest distribution of the values was observed for SEMA3D, whereas SEMA4D showed a narrower range distribution (Figure 1A). There was no correlation between the expression levels of each tested gene and the age of the patients. Interestingly, SEMA3C and SEMA3F mRNA levels were statistically more expressed in men than in women (P = 0.041 and P = 0.021, respectively) (Figure 1B, Supplementary Table 2A).

Between low- and high-grade gliomas, there was a statistically significant difference in SEMA3D and VEGF expressions. SEMA3D was more expressed in low-grade than in high-grade gliomas (P = 0.035). In contrast, VEGF was more expressed in high-grade than in low-grade gliomas (P = 0.035) (Figure 1C and Supplementary Table 2B).

We also noticed several correlations between gene expressions (Supplementary Table 3). Correlation between several semaphorin expressions was found positive. The strongest correlation was observed for SEMA4D, which correlated positively with SEMA3B (P < 10−4), SEMA3D (P < 10−4) and SEMA3G (P = 0.001). SEMA3D correlated positively with SEMA3B (P = 0.033) and SEMA3G (P < 10−4). NRPI and NRP2 were also positively correlated (P < 10−4). In addition, SEMA3A and SEMA3B were inversely correlated (P = 0.007). SEMA3B, SEMA3F and SEMA4D expressions correlated positively with NRP1 (P = 0.012, P = 0.007 and P = 0.009, respectively), whereas SEMA3D and SEMA3G expressions correlated with NRP2 (P = 0.040 and P = 0.033, respectively).

In contrast, VEGF expression was not correlated with NRPs but was inversely correlated with SEMA3D (P < 10−3), SEMA3G (P < 10−4) and SEMA4D (P = 0.008) expressions.

To determine the clinical relevance of these findings, with regard to patient outcome, we also assessed whether these biomarkers were related to OS. Patients were divided in three groups as follows: group 1 included patients whose expression for the tested transcript was below the 25th quartile, group 2 for expression between the 25th and 75th percentile values and group 3 for expression above the 75th quartile. Results of the univariate analysis are summarised in Table 2A. Among the tested semaphorins, SEMA3B and SEMA3G mRNA expressions proved to be a prognostic marker for OS in our cohort including 11 low-grade and 27 high-grade gliomas (P = 0.029 and P = 0.016, respectively) (Figure 2A and B). All patients with lower SEMA3B mRNA expression died within 20 months after diagnosis (group 1), whereas a probability of death of 82 and 71% after 40 months was observed in group 2 and 3 patients, respectively. The median OS time for groups 1, 2 and 3 were 9 (95% CI: 3–14), 17 (95% CI: 11–25) and 24 (95% CI: 6–), respectively. For SEMA3G, all patients from group 1 who expressed lower levels of SEMA3G mRNA died within 20 months, whereas the probability of death was 94 and 50% after 40 months for group 2 and 3 patients, respectively. The median OS times for groups 1, 2 and 3 were 7 (95% CI: 4–18), 14 (95% CI: 11–19), 24 (95% CI: 12–), respectively. VEGF expression was also a significant marker for OS (P = 0.012) (Figure 2C). Among group 1 patients who presented the lowest VEGF mRNA levels, 38% died after 36 months. The median OS in this group was not reached (95% CI: 11–19), 24 (95% CI: 6–), respectively. For SEMA3G, all patients from group 1 who expressed lower levels of SEMA3G mRNA died within 20 months, whereas the probability of death was 94 and 50% after 40 months for group 2 and 3 patients, respectively. The median OS times for groups 1, 2 and 3 were 7 (95% CI: 4–18), 14 (95% CI: 11–19), 24 (95% CI: 12–), respectively. Lastly, NRP2 mRNA levels could also be considered as a prognostic marker of OS (P = 0.002) (Figure 2D). All patients with lower NRP2 expression died within 20 months, whereas group 2 and 3 patients with higher NRP2 mRNA expression had prolonged survival (71 and 63% of patients died after 40 months,
respectively). The median OS times for groups 1, 2 and 3 were 7 (95% CI: 4 – 14), 22 (95% CI: 12–.), 20 (95% CI: 8–.), respectively. It can be noted that SEMA3A, SEMA3D and SEMA3E were also found to be associated at a 10% level with the OS.

Among clinical features that were analysed, three of them were statistically related with survival: age, grade (high vs low) and treatment (surgery and RT ± CT vs others). The 10 variables that were identified in univariate analysis as possible prognostic factors (P < 0.10), namely age, grade, treatment, SEMA3A, SEMA3B, SEMA3D, SEMA3E, SEMA3G, VEGF and NRP2 expressions, were considered for multivariate analysis and entered into a Cox model. Only two variables, age and SEMA3G, were selected by stepwise regression with a P-value less than 0.05 (P = 0.009 and P = 0.011, respectively) and remained considered as adding to each other’s prognostic information. Patients with lower age and a higher expression of SEMA3G had a better prognostic for OS (Table 2B). It can be noted that sex was of no prognostic value by univariate analysis, and when this factor was added into the Cox model for adjustment on baseline characteristics, the results remained strictly similar.

**DISCUSSION**

Semaphorins are involved in axon guidance, cell migration, development, immunity, tumorigenesis and they regulate tumoral...
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proliferation, severe hypoxia and tumour necrosis, we analysed by quantitative real-time RT-PCR, the expressions of class-3 semaphorins (A–G) and their receptors NRP1 and NRP2 in 38 adult gliomas. The rational to select the expression of class-3 semaphorins and their NRP receptors is that several of these semaphorins have been involved in tumorigenesis and because NRPs are co-receptors for VEGF. The hypothesis is that SEMA3s are competitive inhibitors of VEGF-NRP binding (Miao et al, 1999). It thus seemed reasonable to limit the analysis to SEMA3 and NRP expressions on one hand and to VEGF on the other hand. Other specific SEMA3 functions involve plexins as co-receptors that elicit intracellular signals.

In our study, gene expression was compared between tumours as no normal brain sample was available. Resections from epileptic patients are often considered as normal control. However, altered semaphorin expression and NRPs involvement have been reported in epilepsy (for review see Yaron and Zheng, 2007). In mice, Sema3F is downregulated in an epileptogenic-sensitive mice model but not in a resistant one (Yang et al, 2005). These results are supported by previous experiments in rats that develop epilepsy after kainic acid injection with the reduction of Sema3F and Sema3C expressions in the hippocampus (Barnes et al, 2003). In another model, during the process of electrically induced epileptogenesis in rats, a transient reduction in Sema3A expression was described and correlated to mossy fibre sprouting believed to have a critical function in the hyperexcitability of the hippocampus in temporal lobe epilepsy of patients (Holmmaat et al, 2003). Direct functional evidence for a function of semaphorins and their receptors in epilepsy is supported by Sema3F knockout mice (Sahay et al, 2005) and some NRP2 mutant mice (Giger et al, 2000; Chen et al, 2000) that are more prone to seizures. Therefore, we cannot consider resection pieces of epileptic patients as control for normal tissue.

With these limitations, we observed that class-3 semaphorins (A–G) and their receptors NRP1/NRP2 as well as SEMA4D and VEGF expressions were heterogeneous between samples, which suggest a differential expression of these genes between tumours. Consistent with several data (Jain et al, 2007), we found that VEGF...
was significantly more expressed in high-grade gliomas as compared with low-grade gliomas. In contrast, we observed that SEMA3D was statistically more expressed in low-grade than in high-grade gliomas, suggesting that its loss is involved in tumour progression to high grades. To our knowledge, no study was performed for SEMA3D expression in tumours. For the other studied genes (SEMA3A, B, C, E, F, G, NRP1, NRP2 and SEMA4D), we did not observe statistical difference between low- and high-grade gliomas. As normal tissue was not available, we can only speculate that either gene expression is not changed in gliomas as compared with normal tissue or that change in expression is an early event in tumour progression.

An interesting observation was that SEMA3C and SEMA3F were statistically more detectable in glial cells and blood vessel in the adult human brain that SEMA3F protein is mostly present in nerve fibres and never in our cohort of patients with gliomas. One explanation could be (Bielenberg 2004; Futamura 2005; Ghashghaei et al 2002) that SEMA3F and SEMA3G could have similar properties and behave like anti-angiogenic proteins in gliomas. It can be noted that SEMA3F, now recognised as a tumour suppressor gene (Xiang et al, 2007), did not show up as an important gene in our series. This result was surprising because NRP1 is expressed in many tumours, and in some models, NRP1 has been shown to increase tumorigenicity (Miao et al, 2000). NRP1 was also significantly correlated with poor prognosis in non-small-cell lung carcinomas (Kawakami et al, 2002), and blocking VEGF and NRP1 significantly increased survival (Pan et al, 2007).

In conclusion, SEMA3B, SEMA3G and NRP2 expressions were related to prolonged survival of adult patients with glial tumours. SEMA3D expression was reduced in high-grade as compared with low-grade gliomas and the opposite was seen for VEGF expression. Thus, we propose the involvement of a subset of class-3 semaphorins as inhibitors of glioma progression and suggest that the balance VEGF/SEMA3 might be of prognostic value. SEMA3G was found to be the only significant prognostic marker in gliomas when a multivariate analysis was performed. As very little data have been published with regard to this semaphorin, more studies are necessary to assess its function in tumorigenesis.
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