Evaluation of extraction protocols for anti-diabetic phytochemical substances from medicinal plants

Stanley Irobekhian Reuben Okoduwa, Ismaila A Umar, Dorcas B James, Hajara M Inuwa, James D Habila

AIM
To examine the efficacy of three extraction techniques: Soxhlet-extraction (SE), cold-maceration (CM) and microwave-assisted-extraction (MAE) using 80% methanol as solvent.

METHODS
The study was performed on each of 50 g of Vernonia amygdalina (VA) and Ocimum gratissimum (OG) leaves respectively. The percentage yield, duration of extraction, volume of solvent used, qualitative and quantitative phytoconstituents present was compared. The biological activities (hypoglycemic effect) were investigated using albino wistar rat model of diabetes mellitus (n = 36) with a combined dose (1:1) of the two plants leaf extracts (250 mg/kg b.w.) from the three methods. The
extracts were administered orally, once daily for 21 d.

RESULTS
In this report, the percentage VA extract yield from MAE was highest (20.9% ± 1.05%) within 39 min using 250 mL of solvent, when compared to the CM (14.35% ± 0.28%) within 4320 min using 900 mL of solvent and SE (15.75% ± 0.71%) within 265 min using 500 mL of solvent. The percentage differences in OG extract yield between: MAE vs SE was 41.05%; MAE vs CM was 46.81% and SE vs CM was 9.77%. The qualitative chemical analysis of the two plants showed no difference in the various phytoconstituents tested, but differs quantitatively in the amount of the individual phytoconstituents, as MAE had significantly high yield (P > 0.05) on phenolics, saponins and tannins. SE technique gave significantly high yield (P > 0.05) on alkaloid, while CM gave significant high yield on flavonoids. The extracts from CM exhibited a significantly (P > 0.05) better hypoglycemic activity within the first 14-d of treatment (43.3% ± 3.62%) when compared to MAE (36.5% ± 0.08%) and SE methods (33.3% ± 1.60%). However, the percentage hypoglycemic activity, 21 d post-treatment with 250 mg/kg b.w. extract from MAE was 72.6% ± 1.03% and it was more comparable to 10 mg/kg b.w. glibenclamide treated group (75.0% ± 0.73%), unlike the SE (69.5% ± 0.71%) and CM (69.1% ± 1.03%).

CONCLUSION
CM technique produces extract with better hypoglycemic activity, whereas; MAE is a better option for high yield of phytoconstituents using less solvent within a short time.
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Core tip: Extraction of active phytoconstituents from medicinal plants rely mostly on the use of appropriate extraction method. Different extraction techniques affect the yield and biological activity of phytocomponents. In this study, we observed that microwave assisted extraction produces significantly higher overall extract yield as well as in phenolic, saponin and tannin content. Cold maceration and soxhlet extraction produced higher flavonoid and alkaloid yield respectively. Maceration extracts exhibited significantly better hypoglycemic activities in diabetic rats compared to extracts from soxhlet and microwave assisted extraction. This study reveals that the choice of extraction protocol should depend primarily on the purpose of interest.
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INTRODUCTION
Diabetes mellitus (DM) is one of the most common non-communicable diseases globally, affecting the quality of human life of all ages across the world[4,5]. The disease has become a global public health problem affecting the socio-economic status of the individual[3]. It is an age long, serious heterogeneous metabolic disorder characterized by hyperglycemia and glucose intolerance, due to endogenous insulin deficiency, impaired effectiveness of insulin action, or both[4]. With DM the body cannot regulate the amount of sugar in the blood. This leads to increased glucose in the body that causes deregulation of the metabolism, often accompanied by glycosuria, polydipsia, and polyuria[4].

According to International Diabetic Foundation report, every 6 s, a person dies from diabetes[5]. In 2013, 5.0 million deaths were recorded across the globe with a prevalence of 8.3%[5]. A total of 415 million people are affected with diabetes, worldwide as at December, 2015. The figure is estimated to rise above 642 million by 2040[5]. In Nigeria, 3921500 cases have been reported as at 2013 with a prevalence rate of 4.99%. This alarming rate calls for urgency to find better treatment and novel prevention strategies for the disease.

Several hypoglycemic drugs are available for managing diabetes since it is incurable but they suffer from generally inadequate efficacy and number of serious adverse effects[6,7]. Hence, the shift to the use of plant source, a new hopeful approach that has long been authenticated by World Health Organization in its general assembly[8].

Plants are the major source of potential therapeutic agents worldwide. The uses of Plants for therapeutic purposes have been recorded to be as long as history. Plants which contain substances that could be used for medicinal purposes or which are precursors for the synthesis of useful drugs are considered as therapeutic plants[9]. According to the report of Farnsworth and Soejarto[10], there are between 35000 and 70000 plant species that have been used for medicinal purposes in the world[10]. The bioactive components present in plants can only be utilized in disease treatment/management after being extracted with suitable solvent and prepared into substances such as ointment, cream, gel, moisturizer, pills and so on[11,12].

Extraction is the partitioning of therapeutically dynamic segments of plant utilizing suitably selective solvents through standard methods[12,13]. The basis of all extraction in medicinal plant research is to isolate the dissolvable plant metabolites, excluding the insoluble cell marc. The preliminary unrefined extracts obtained utilizing these strategies contain complex blend of numerous plant metabolites, for example, alkaloids,
glycosides, phenolics, terpenoids and flavonoids\[12,14,15\]. Some bioactive components present in plants are either heat sensitive or solvent specific hence the quality and composition of the extracts as well as their biological activities are affected by the type of extraction procedure. To get the most astounding biological efficacy and yield of plant extract, it is important to consider these limitations and utilize a standardized method for a specific bioactive molecule\[14,16\].

The needs of standardized extraction methodology for unrefined medications are to accomplish the remediably craved part and to dispose of the inactive portion by treatment with a specific solvent called menstruum. The extract consequently obtained might be prepared for use as a therapeutic agent in the form of tinctures and fluid extracts, it may be further processed to be fused in any dosage form such as tablets or capsules, or it might be fractionated to seclude singular synthetic substances, for example, vincristine, hyoscine and ajmalicine which are modern drugs. For that reason, standardization of extraction methodologies contributes fundamentally to the final nature of the medicinal drug\[13,15\].

However, with the expanding interest for natural therapeutic products and nutraceuticals for healthcare everywhere throughout the world, producers of medicinal plant extracts are in continuous search for the most suitable extraction strategy keeping in mind the end goal to produce extracts of characterized quality with minimal variability from batch to batch. Conventional extraction is generally carried-out using reflux, maceration, soxhlet and distillation techniques. These techniques which have been utilized for a long time are extremely tedious and require generally a lot of solvents. Extraction utilizing non-routine techniques, for instance, microwave techniques can produce high yield, within a shorter time utilizing a smaller amount of solvents\[17,18\]. Among the different customary and routine extraction systems, Soxhlet extraction has been the most generally utilized. Unfortunately, there is paucity of literature on the best extraction method for a specific bioactive molecule. The evaluation on some of these methods by most previous investigators focuses on either the yield or duration of extraction, without considering the effects of the protocol on the various bioactive entities that works in a synergetic manner.

Soxhlet extraction serves not just as a method for extraction of phyto-constituents but additionally as a reference to look at more current extraction procedures. Previously, it has been suggested that the microwave-assisted-extraction (MAE), a present day extraction method is a superior method for extricating phyto-components from plants\[19\]. Several reports on the usefulness of the MAE as it concerns medicinal plants have been published\[20-24\].

_Vernonia amygdalina_ (VA) commonly known as bitter leaf and _Occimum gratissimum_ (OG) generally refers to as scent leaf, have been reported to have anti-diabetic properties\[25\]. The efficacy of the combined use of both plants with respect to diabetes has been documented\[26\]. Also, their hypoglycemic activities have been attributed to the presence of flavonoids, alkaloids and saponins among others\[27-30\]. It is therefore imperative to examine the effect of different extraction methods on their biological activities.

From our insight, the extraction of phytoconstituents from VA and OG using MAE strategy has not yet been accounted for. These two plants were chosen as a reference point for other therapeutic plants owing to the fact that their anti-diabetic potentials have been established in recent publications\[28-31\]. Therefore, this study evaluated three extraction technologies, viz: MAE, Soxhlet extraction and cold maceration with an aim to present a comparison among the distinctive strategies utilized for extraction of hypoglycemic bioactive constituents from medicinal plants. The primary goal of the research is to give a successful and effective, straightforward, safe and less time consuming with maximal yield strategy for extricating specific bioactive parts from therapeutic plants.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant preparation**

Fresh specimen of VA and OG leaves were harvested in the month of May, 2015 from a local farm in Samaru, Zaria, Kaduna State, Nigeria. The plant samples were identified and authenticated by the Herbarium unit of the Department of Biological Science, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria. A voucher specimen number 1166 and 1285 were deposited for VA and OG respectively. The leaves of the plants sample were dried under shade at room temperature to constant weights for seven days. The dried samples were then pulverized into powder using a laboratory milling machine (Thomas-Wiley Laboratory mill Model 4, United States). The powders were preserved in clean plastic containers, kept away from light, heat and moisture until use.

**Reagents and chemicals**

All the chemicals and reagents used were of analytical reagent grade.

**Experimental duration**

The research was conducted between May and December, 2015.

**Apparatus**

A conventional microwave oven (2450 MHz, Toshiba, and Tokyo, Japan) with variable power up to 1000 watts, a time controller, beam reflector and a stirring device was used.

**Procedure**

Two conventional extraction techniques namely, Soxhlet and cold maceration were used in comparison with a new modern technology, the MAE technique.
Solvent extraction method
Exhaustive Soxhlet extraction was performed using classical apparatus with accurately weighed 50 g of the powdered leaf samples of VA and OG respectively. Extraction was performed with 80% methanol as the extraction solvent. After extraction, the methanol solvent was evaporated by concentrating under vacuum with rotary evaporator (Senco Rotary Evaporator; Model RE 801) at 40°C under reduced pressure. The solvent free methanol extract was thereafter evaluated.

Cold maceration method
Maceration was carried out in a closed conical flask for 72 h. In both case 50 g powdered VA and OG leaf sample and 80% methanol as the extraction solvent were used. The suspension after maceration was centrifuged and the supernatant evaporated under reduced pressure. The solvent free methanol extracts obtained were similarly evaluated.

Microwave assisted extraction method
Accurately weighed 50 g of the homogeneous powder leaf samples was mixed with 60 mL, 80% methanol. After allowing a preleaching time of 5 min the suspension was irradiated with microwave at optimized conditions[32-34]. The samples were treated under microwave irradiation in an intermittent way, i.e., Irradiation: cooling: irradiation. The microwave irradiation time was set at three minutes and cooling time of five minutes was allowed. After 5 repeats, the samples were centrifuged at 4000 rpm and the supernatant evaporated under pressure. The dried residue was evaluated accordingly.

Percentage recovery yield of extraction
The percentage extraction yield (w/w) by the three extraction methods was calculated using the formula: Percentage extraction yield for plant extract = [mass of extract (g)/mass of plant sample (g)] × 100

Aliquots of the extracts were stored in screwed cap vials at 4°C-8°C until further use. The extracts were re-dissolved in distilled water when required and given orally through gastric intubations.

Phytochemical analysis
Standard protocols were used in detecting the phytochemical constituents present in the two plants samples[35,36]. Tannins according the method describe by Markkar et al[37], Saponins as described by Brunetor[38], Alkaloids as described by Harbone[39], Flavonoids as described by Bohm et al[40].

Biological assay
To test biological activities of the plant extract from the different extraction techniques, thirty six albino wistar rats (150-200 g of either sex) fed with rat pellet diet (Grand Cereals Ltd, Nigeria) and water ad libitum were used. Animals were first acclimatized for two weeks before used. The study was conducted at the Research and Development Laboratory of Nigerian Institute of Leather and Science Technology (NILEST), Zaria Nigeria. The anti-hyperglycemic effect of the extracts obtained from the three extraction methods were assessed using rat model of DM. The experimental protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Ethic Committee. All experimental protocol was in conformity with the institutional guidelines that are in compliance with National and International Laws and Guidelines for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals in Biomedical Research. The rules and regulations in accordance to the Ethical Committee directive were strictly followed.

Induction of diabetes
The rat model of diabetes used for this study was developed as followed. First, the rats were fasted overnight after which they were given a single intra-peritoneal injection (ip) of 55 mg/kg b.w. of streptozotocin (STZ) (Adoq Bioscience, LLC, United States) dissolved in 0.1 mL fresh cold citrate buffer pH 4.5.

Confirmation of diabetes was done 72 h after STZ induction, using a One Touch Glucometer (Lifescan Inc 1995 Milpas, California, United States). Blood samples were obtained from the tail puncture of the rats. Animals with fasting blood glucose ≥ 200 mg/dL, after 10 d of STZ induction were considered diabetic and included in the study as diabetic animals[30].

Experimental design
Thirty six rats were divided into 6 groups of 6 rats per group. The treatments were as follows: (1) diabetic rats treated with extracts from cold maceration 250 mg/kg b.w.; (2) diabetic rats treated with extracts from soxhlet extraction (SEE) 250 mg/kg b.w.; (3) diabetic rats treated with extracts from MAE 250 mg/kg; (4) diabetic rats as positive control treated with standard drug (10 mg/kg b.w, glibenclamide); (5) diabetic rats as negative control. No treatment was given; and (6) non-diabetic rats as standard control (no induction, no treatment).

Route of administration
The extracts were administered orally, once daily for 21 d using combined dose (1:1) of the two plants leaf extracts (250 mg/kg b.w).

Blood sample collection
Blood sample was withdrawn from the tail puncture of the rats. Animals were obtained from the tail puncture of the rats. Animals with fasting blood glucose ≥ 200 mg/dL, after 10 d of STZ induction were considered diabetic and included in the study as diabetic animals[30].

Statistical analysis
The results obtained were expressed as mean ± SD where applicable. The data were analyzed using analysis of variance and significant differences among means were determined by Duncan’s multiple range test at P < 0.05 using Statistical Package for Social Sciences software version 20 for windows.
The percentage differences in the recovery yield between two specific extraction methods are shown in Table 1. It was observed that for VA, the difference in percentage recovery yield between MAE vs soxhlet extraction method was 24.59%; but with OG it was 31.29% for VA and 30.77% for OG. Although there were no significant differences between the soxhlet and cold maceration method for both plants, the percentage difference was 24.59% for VA and 30.77% for OG respectively (Table 2).

The results from the present study showed that there was no difference between the qualitative phytoconstituents obtained by the various extraction technologies under investigation (Table 3).

However, the quantitative chemical analysis (Table 4) revealed a statistically higher yield in alkaloid from the soxhlet extraction when compared to the MAE and cold maceration for VA. But there was no significant difference in the alkaloid yield between the soxhlet and MAE method for OG. The cold maceration technology recorded the highest yield in flavonoid in both plant when compared to the MAE and soxhlet extraction method. The difference was statistically significant (P < 0.05). The MAE had the highest yield in phenolics, saponins and tannins from the two plants studied when compared to the conventional extraction techniques (soxhlet and cold maceration). However, there was no significant difference between MAE and soxhlet in the yield of tannin from VA.

Soxhlet, cold maceration and MAE extracts of VA and OG were tested and compared for anti-diabetic activities. All the extracts exhibited comparable anti-diabetic activities with that of standard drug (glibenclamide) under the same dose rate of 250 mg/kg body weight tested according to the method of Abdulazeez et al.[26]. The cold maceration extract exhibited a better hypoglycemic effect within the first 7 (17.9%) and 14 (43.2%) d of treatment when compared to others. But the hypoglycemic activity of extract obtained from MAE was more comparable to the standard drug glibenclamide in reducing the blood glucose of the animals after 28 d post induction (21 d treatment).

The extract from MAE exhibited the least hypoglycemic effect within the first 7 day of treatment. There was no significant difference between the hypoglycemic effects of extracts obtained from soxhlet and the cold maceration method at the end of the 21 d (Tables 5 and 6).

DISCUSSION

Developing nations are rich in therapeutic plants at the same time, because of trouble in getting reliably effective extraction equipments; esteem expansion to this rich bioresources are difficult. Usually and prevalently in extremely poor nations, the advancements utilized are improper and not efficient. The crucial setback is identified with the nature of the products. Primitive extraction techniques don't promise a steady and top notch reliable quality and, sometimes, unseemly innovations and techniques result in creating defiled products which has low market value[41]. The present study, evaluated three different technologies for extraction of hypoglycemic compounds from medicinal plants, with a view to ascertain the best option for the isolation of specific bioactive entity.

In the study it was noticed that Soxhlet extraction and cold maceration spent longer time for complete extraction of same quantity of the plant sample studied. Also, the amount of the solvent utilized in MAE was
The result of the quantitative phytochemical screening within the limit of the analyzed components in the present study suggests that the phytoconstituents of the studied plants were not destroyed since the results obtained showed that the same phytoconstituents tested in all the extracts from the different procedures were the same. This finding is in agreement with earlier reports of Mandal et al. 1995, that, the plant components obtained from MAE are neither decomposed nor oxidized under optimized conditions. Several phytochemicals have been found to give an increase in their extractive yields when compared to their yields on subjection to conventional extraction techniques. Chan et al. 1995 also recorded a higher yield in the extraction of anti-diabetic ingredient from herbal plant. In their experiment using 5 g sample with 150 mL solvent recorded a yield of 1.63 mg/g sample in 5 min using MAE as against 0.47 mg/g in 3 h obtained with soxhlet extraction technology. In a related study for extraction of caffeine and polyphenols from leaves of green tea, MAE achieved higher extraction yield within 4 min than any extraction methods at room temperature for 20 h. Ginsenosides extraction yield from ginseng root was obtained in 15 min using focused MAE technique which was also better than other conventional solvent extraction technologies for 10 h. The higher yield obtained from MAE and soxhlet extraction when compared to the cold maceration may be attributed to the heat exchange and mass transfer.

In soxhlet extraction, the heat exchange and the mass transfer are restricting variables contrast with MAE, where heat exchange happens from the focal point of microwave illumination technique has been reported to be extremely quick, dependable for making of Schiff bases. Possible explanation observed in the various methods of extraction could be due to differences in rate of chemical reaction. For instance, in soxhlet extraction approach, the applied heat supplies the activation energy needed to rupture the plant tissues in order to release its phytoconstituents. Whereas in MAE, the activation energy is achieved due to oscillatory wave generated by the system and by the ionic conduction and dipole rotation of the molecules of the plant sample. This brings about increase in the cell pressure, thereby rupturing to release its contents on attaining its elastic limit. The heat in MAE is internally generated by the molecules unlike in soxhlet whereby the heat is externally generated. In cold maceration, the release of phytoconstituents from plant matrix is due to differences in ionic solvent concentration gradients, hence more solvent is require to create a positively dynamic concentration gradients. This account for the higher volume of solvent utilized by the cold maceration as observed in the present study. In a nutshell, with MAE, the plant cell tissues are effectively broken and separated to release phytoconstituents with ease, hence the greater yield as recorded.

Table 1 Comparison of percentage recovery yield, extraction time and volume of solvent used for the different extraction methods for the two plants

| Parameter                        | Vernonia amygdalina | Ocimum gratissimum |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
|                                 | MAE                 | Soxhlet            | Maceration        | MAE                 | Soxhlet            | Maceration        |
| Sample (g)                      | 50                  | 50                 | 50                | 50                  | 50                 | 50                |
| Solvent volume (mL)             | 250                 | 500                | 900               | 250                 | 500                | 900               |
| Extraction time (min)           | 39                  | 265                | 4320              | 39                  | 255                | 432              |
| Recovery yield (g)              | 10.45 ± 0.53        | 7.88 ± 0.35        | 7.18 ± 0.14       | 9.55 ± 0.84         | 5.63 ± 0.21        | 5.08 ± 0.32       |
| Percentage recovered (%)        | 20.90 ± 1.05        | 15.75 ± 0.71       | 14.35 ± 0.28      | 19.10 ± 1.67        | 11.25 ± 0.24       | 10.15 ± 0.65      |

Table 2 Calculated percentage differences in recovery yield of extracts between the extraction methods

| Vernonia amygdalina | Ocimum gratissimum |
|---------------------|--------------------|
|                     | MAE                | Soxhlet            | Maceration        | MAE                | Soxhlet            | Maceration        |
| MAE                 | 41.05%             | 46.81%             |                    |                    |                    |                   |
| Soxhlet             | 24.59%             | 9.77%              |                    |                    |                    |                   |
| Maceration          | 31.29%             | 8.88%              |                    |                    |                    |                   |

Percentage difference between two extraction methods = [(values from method with higher recovery yield - values from method with lower recovery yield)/values from method with higher recovery yield] × 100.

Values are mean ± SD of 3 replicate determinations; same superscript across the column under the same plant indicate no significant difference (P > 0.05). MAE: Microwave-assisted-extraction.
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Table 4 Comparison of the quantitative phytochemicals obtained with the three extraction methods for the two plants

| Vernonia amygdalina (mg/100 g) | Ocimum gratissimum (mg/100 g) |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| **MAE**                        | **Soxhlet**                   | **Maceration**                |
| Alkaloid                        | 4.0 ± 0.65<sup>b</sup>        | 7.0 ± 0.57<sup>b</sup>        | 5.0 ± 0.46<sup>b</sup>        |
| Flavonoid                       | 13.0 ± 0.35<sup>b</sup>       | 9.0 ± 0.50<sup>b</sup>        | 15.0 ± 0.21<sup>b</sup>       |
| Phenolic                        | 17.5 ± 0.25<sup>c</sup>       | 150.0 ± 0.21<sup>c</sup>      | 120.0 ± 0.70<sup>c</sup>      |
| Saponin                         | 4.5 ± 0.45<sup>d</sup>        | 3.0 ± 0.30<sup>d</sup>        | 2.0 ± 0.75<sup>d</sup>        |
| Tannin                          | 14.0 ± 0.55<sup>c</sup>       | 13.0 ± 0.50<sup>c</sup>       | 10.0 ± 0.25<sup>c</sup>       |
| **Soxhlet**                     | 389.4 ± 11.9<sup>b</sup>     | 13.7 ± 0.29<sup>b</sup>       | 6.1 ± 0.75<sup>bc</sup>       |
| **Maceration**                  | 102.4 ± 7.6<sup>b</sup>      | 3.9 ± 0.24<sup>b</sup>        | 9.0 ± 0.24<sup>b</sup>        |

Values are mean ± SD of 3 replicate readings. Same superscript across the column under the same plant signifies no significant difference at P > 0.05. MAE: Microwave-assisted-extraction.

Table 5 Effect of the extract from the different extraction methods on blood glucose in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats

| Group | Before induction | 7 d after induction | Days after treatment |
|-------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|       | Initial blood glucose on day 0 (mg/dL) | Percentage change after treatment (%) | Day 7 | Day 14 | Day 21 |
| CME   | 344.6 ± 10.3    | 18.0 ± 2.66<sup>b</sup> | 43.3 ± 3.62<sup>b</sup> | 69.1 ± 1.03<sup>b</sup> |
| SEE   | 396.8 ± 15.7    | 13.7 ± 0.29<sup>c</sup> | 33.3 ± 1.60<sup>c</sup> | 69.5 ± 0.71<sup>c</sup> |
| MAE   | 373.3 ± 13.6    | 11.2 ± 0.18<sup>d</sup> | 36.5 ± 0.88<sup>d</sup> | 72.6 ± 1.03<sup>d</sup> |
| PC    | 389.4 ± 11.9    | 7.8 ± 0.42<sup>c</sup>  | 31.6 ± 1.30<sup>c</sup> | 75.0 ± 0.75<sup>c</sup> |
| NC    | 365.5 ± 9.8     | -8.1 ± 1.57<sup>b</sup>| -14.8 ± 0.29<sup>b</sup>| -22.7 ± 1.47<sup>b</sup> |
| HC    | 84.8 ± 4.7      | 1.4 ± 3.96<sup>a</sup>  | 0.1 ± 2.12<sup>a</sup>  | 0.7 ± 2.88<sup>a</sup>  |

Values are mean ± SD (mg/dL) of readings from 6 rats per group. CME: Cold maceration extract; SEE: Soxhlet extraction extract; MAE: Microwave-assisted extraction extract; PC: Positive control; NC: Negative control; HC: Healthy control.

Table 6 Calculated percentage change in blood glucose after treatment with the extracts in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats

| Group | Initial blood glucose on day 0 (mg/dL) | Percentage change after treatment (%) | Day 7 | Day 14 | Day 21 |
|-------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|
| CME   | 344.6 ± 10.3                        | 18.0 ± 2.66<sup>b</sup>              | 43.3 ± 3.62<sup>b</sup> | 69.1 ± 1.03<sup>b</sup> |
| SEE   | 396.8 ± 15.7                        | 13.7 ± 0.29<sup>c</sup>              | 33.3 ± 1.60<sup>c</sup> | 69.5 ± 0.71<sup>c</sup> |
| MAE   | 373.3 ± 13.6                        | 11.2 ± 0.18<sup>d</sup>              | 36.5 ± 0.88<sup>d</sup> | 72.6 ± 1.03<sup>d</sup> |
| PC    | 389.4 ± 11.9                        | 7.8 ± 0.42<sup>c</sup>               | 31.6 ± 1.30<sup>c</sup> | 75.0 ± 0.75<sup>c</sup> |
| NC    | 365.5 ± 9.8                         | -8.1 ± 1.57<sup>b</sup>              | -14.8 ± 0.29<sup>b</sup>| -22.7 ± 1.47<sup>b</sup> |
| HC    | 84.8 ± 4.7                          | 1.4 ± 3.96<sup>a</sup>               | 0.1 ± 2.12<sup>a</sup>  | 0.7 ± 2.88<sup>a</sup>  |

Same superscript down the column signifies no significant difference at P > 0.05. Percentage change in blood glucose = [(blood glucose before treatment - blood glucose after treatment)/blood glucose before treatment] × 100. CME: Cold maceration extract; SEE: Soxhlet extraction extract; MAE: Microwave-Assisted Extraction extract; PC: Positive control; NC: Negative control; HC: Healthy control.

the specimens to the external colder environment, and volumetric warming impact prompts a speedier ascend in temperature. Additionally, the interior warming of <i>in situ</i> water inside of the plant material expands the plant cells and prompts the burst of the plant tissues<sup>[45]</sup>. This may be the explanation behind the higher extraction of phytochemicals from MAE when compared to soxhlet and cold maceration extracts observed in the present study. It is very obvious from these results, that microwave extraction represents a promising substitute for extracting hypoglycemic compounds from natural substrate.

The hypoglycemic activity of the 250 mg/kg b.w. cold maceration extract was significantly better than others within the first 14 day of treatment. Surprisingly after 21 d treatment, the blood glucose lowering capacity of the MAE extract was almost the same with that of 10 mg/kg b.w. glibenclamide. The percentage change in blood glucose by MAE extract after the experimental duration of 21 d treatment was 72.6% whereas that obtained from the standard drug glibenclamide was 75.0%. The higher yield of alkaloid by soxhlet extraction may account for its better efficacy when compared to the cold maceration in the present study. Saponin, alkaloid and flavonoid are known to play a significant role in anti-diabetic action<sup>[27-29]</sup>. MAE had the highest yield in three different phyto-constituents viz phenolics, saponins and tannins. This suggests that microwave methanol extract could be used even at 250 mg/kg b.w. to complement currently available oral hypoglycemic drugs. The results suggest that microwave technology is a viable means for extracting valuable anti-diabetic components from medicinal plants. The reason for the observed differences in hypoglycemic activities by the various extract within the first 14 d and after the 21 d of experimental treatment could be due to the concentrations of the phyto-chemicals which vary in the extracts. It could also be possible that the different phytochemical exhibiting hypoglycemic effect have different rate of reaction in reducing the blood glucose level.

The main advantages of MAE over the conventional extraction techniques is that it reduces solvent consumption, it has a shorter operational time, modestly high recoveries, decent reproducibility and negligible...
specimen control for extraction process\textsuperscript{[46]}. Several biologically active compounds have been extracted by application of MAE, such as extraction of azadiractine related limonoids from \textit{Azadirachta indica} seed kernels\textsuperscript{[47]}, extraction of artemisinin from \textit{Artemisia annua}\textsuperscript{[48]} and ginsenosides extraction from roots of \textit{Panax ginseng}\textsuperscript{[49]}, quercetin from herbal plant\textsuperscript{[50]}. According to Pan \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{[49]}, antioxidant activity of phenolic substances extricated from the peel of \textit{Dimocarpus Longan} utilizing MAE was better than that of Soxhlet extraction. Besides, MAE of curcumin from \textit{Curcuma longa} showed a better results and a higher extraction yield with noteworthy diminishing in the extraction time when compared to that of Soxhlet extraction, maceration and stirring extraction\textsuperscript{[50]}. In the present study, there was higher yield in phenolics, saponins and tannins from both plants-VA and OG. This implies that MAE is a better technology for the extraction of these phytoconstituents. The Soxhlet extraction technology showed a higher yield in alkaloid whereas maceration technology was best for the extraction of flavonoids.

We find the use of MAE leads to very fast extraction rate with high value of phytoconstituents compared to Soxhlet and cold maceration technique. The findings obtained from the present research showed that the choice of extraction technology should be based primarily on the phytochemical entity of interest. For instance, with respect to the result from the present research, the use of Soxhlet extraction technology would be recommended when alkaloid is the main phytoconstituents of interest, whereas, the cold maceration would be preferred for extraction of flavonoids. However, since there was no apparent destruction of any bioactive components by the extraction technologies studied, the MAE is recommended as the most suitable technology for routine extraction processes because it is faster, utilizes relatively less amount of solvent and saves more time. Nevertheless, since extraction efficiency differs from efficacy, no single method can be rated as best for extracting all forms of phyto-components. So, a further study on the isolation of the precise bioactive component(s) and its structural elucidation is recommended to ascertain the best technology for obtaining pure bioactive hypoglycemic compound(s) from medicinal plants.
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