Non-canonical activation of OmpR drives acid and osmotic stress responses in single bacterial cells
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Unlike eukaryotes, bacteria undergo large changes in osmolality and cytoplasmic pH. It has been described that during acid stress, bacteria internal pH promptly acidifies, followed by recovery. Here, using pH imaging in single living cells, we show that following acid stress, bacteria maintain an acidic cytoplasm and the osmotic stress transcription factor OmpR is required for acidification. The activation of this response is non-canonical, involving a regulatory mechanism requiring the OmpR cognate kinase EnvZ, but not OmpR phosphorylation. Single cell analysis further identifies an intracellular pH threshold ~6.5. Acid stress reduces the internal pH below this threshold, increasing OmpR dimerization and DNA binding. During osmotic stress, the internal pH is above the threshold, triggering distinct OmpR-related pathways. Preventing intracellular acidification of Salmonella renders it avirulent, suggesting that acid stress pathways represent a potential therapeutic target. These results further emphasize the advantages of single cell analysis over studies of population averages.
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ukaryotes tightly regulate cytoplasmic pH and osmolality to be isosmotic and pH balanced with blood (290 mOsm Kg\(^{-1}\), pH 7.35–7.45). In contrast, Gram-negative bacteria can undergo large changes in osmolality (up to 1800 mOsm Kg\(^{-1}\)) and endure a substantial reduction in cytoplasmic pH (to 5.5 or lower). \(E.\ coli\) is known to be capable of colonizing the gastro-intestinal tract by its ability to grow over a wide pH range (4.5–9.0)\(^{2,3}\), yet \(E.\ coli\) and \(S.\ Typhimurium\) are considered to be neutralophiles, i.e., they maintain their intracellular pH (pH\(_i\)) between 7.5 and 7.7\(^{2}\). Previous studies show that in response to acid stress, \(E.\ coli\) rapidly acidifies and then recovers\(^{2}\), but the essential components of this recovery process remain poorly understood. Furthermore, many intracellular pathogens survive within a membrane-bound acidic compartment inside macrophages. We recently placed a novel FRET DNA biosensor (the “I-switch”) inside \(S.\ Typhimurium\) and measured its intracellular pH in the macrophage vacuole\(^{2}\). Surprisingly, the \(S.\ Typhimurium\) cytoplasm acidified in a process that was dependent on the EnvZ-OmpR signal transduction system and acidification was essential for \(S.\ Typhimurium\) virulence.

Because our observation of prolonged acidification of \(S.\ Typhimurium\)\(^2\) was in conflict with previous studies in \(E.\ coli\)\(^{7}\), we set out to re-examine pH regulation in \(E.\ coli\) and \(S.\ Typhimurium\). We labeled \(S.\ Typhimurium\) in culture with either the I-switch, or with the fluorescent pH indicator BCECF-AM. Single cell analysis using either probe indicated that bacteria fail to recover from acid shock, but maintain their cytoplasmic pH to be slightly less acidic (–0.3–0.6 pH units) than the extracellular pH (pH\(_e\)). Acidification is dependent on the response regulator OmpR, known to be involved in the acid tolerance response in \(S.\ Typhimurium\)\(^2\) and in osmoregulation of outer membrane proteins OmpF and OmpC (see ref. 8 for a review). In response to both acid and osmotic stress, the cytoplasmic acidifies in an OmpR-dependent manner, although the pathways are distinct.

The pathways are distinct because the intracellular pH is more acidic in response to acid stress compared to osmotic stress. Under acidic conditions, the sensor kinase EnvZ is activated by increased helicity of a disordered region that surrounds the phosphorylated histidine\(^9\). This activation step promotes interaction with OmpR\(^{10}\), driving an active OmpR conformation. This is evident by an EnvZ-dependent, phosphorylation-independent increase in OmpR dimer formation, which facilitates high-affinity DNA binding and alteration of gene expression (usually repression). An EnvZ mutant that is unable to interact with OmpR\(^{10}\) fails to acidify in response to both acid and osmotic stress. OmpR-driven repression is independent of OmpR phosphorylation and thus, is non-canonical\(^{11}\). An acid-dependent increase in the affinity of OmpR binding to DNA at the ompC and cadB/A promoters was identified by atomic force microscopy (AFM). In contrast, genes that are activated by OmpR (e.g., ompF and ompC), require OmpR phosphorylation to create a productive interaction with RpoA, the alpha subunit of RNA polymerase\(^{12}\).

Herein, we establish that pHluorin, when expressed as an arabinoose-inducible, plasmid-encoded, pH-sensitive GFP, is heterogeneous with respect to its expression in single cells and thus, it is not a good indicator for pH measurements in bacteria. Many previous studies also used sodium benzoate as a clamping agent that was reported to equilibrate the internal pH\(_i\) with the external pH\(_e\). Comparison of the standard curve of cells clamped using the ionophore nigericin and generated from the I-switch or BCECF, with cells clamped using the weak acid sodium benzoate dramatically illustrates that sodium benzoate is a poor choice as a clamping agent. Lastly, we provide evidence that the \(E.\ coli\) strain MC4100 responds differently to extracellular acid or osmotic stress compared to the probiotic Nissle strain\(^{13,14}\) and to MGI655, a sequenced strain\(^{15}\). It appears that the large deletions that occurred during the construction of MC4100 for making lacZ transcriptional fusions made it a poor strain for studying \(E.\ coli\) physiology\(^{16}\). Thus, we now have a radically different view of pH regulation in Gram-negative bacteria that centers on an acid-sensitive conformational switch in OmpR that facilitates repression of acid response genes to maintain an acidic cytoplasm. Our results also implicate acidification as essential for virulence and we can now identify potential targets for therapeutic intervention. Lastly, this study identifies a pH threshold in bacteria, below which OmpR phosphorylation is low, but dimerization is high and DNA binding is driven by an acid-dependent conformational change in OmpR. Unique genes are expressed above and below this threshold, allowing integration of osmotic and acid stress signals.

In this study, we establish OmpR as a global regulator of acid and osmotic stress in \(S.\ Typhimurium\) and \(E.\ coli\). OmpR represses distinct sets of genes based on the intracellular pH threshold (–6.5) that neutralize the bacterial cytoplasm, thus enabling acidification. The response to acid stress involves repression of lysine decarboxylation, where expression of stress response in \(Salmonella\) works through repression of rpoS, activating an oxireductase that produces protons. In \(E.\ coli\), repression of ornithine decarboxylation acidifies the cytoplasm during osmotic stress. OmpR acts non-canonically, in that it depends on its kinase EnvZ, but phosphorylation is not required. Lastly, we highlight the importance of single cell imaging over population averages and explain why our results differ from previous studies.

**Results**

**The EnvZ-OmpR acid stress response acidifies the cytoplasm.** We previously determined that \(S.\ Typhimurium\) reduced its intracellular pH in response to external acid stress in an OmpR-dependent manner\(^2\), in contrast to previous studies in \(E.\ coli\) that reported a rapid recovery within minutes\(^{17,18}\). We set out to reconcile these differences by measuring the cytoplasmic pH and recovery of \(S.\ Typhimurium\) and \(E.\ coli\) immediately following an external acid shift. In \(S.\ Typhimurium\), the cytoplasmic pH decreased from 6.80 to 6.35 within 5 min at pH\(_e\) 5.6 and reached a plateau value of 6.15 after 90 min (Fig. 1a, b). In contrast, the cytoplasm remained unchanged (pH 6.80) when incubated at pH\(_e\) 7.2. No decrease in cytoplasmic pH was observed in an oompR null strain of \(S.\ Typhimurium\) (pH 6.75), confirming the existence of an OmpR-dependent acidification process\(^2\). In \(E.\ coli\), the initial pH of the cytoplasm was slightly higher (pH 7.13) compared to \(S.\ Typhimurium\) (pH 6.80), but a comparable decrease in pH\(_i\) from 7.13 to 6.55 occurred during 90 min exposure to acid stress (Fig. 1c, d). In an ompR null strain of \(E.\ coli\), intracellular pH did not decrease in response to extracellular acid stress, establishing OmpR as a regulator of acid stress in both \(S.\ Typhimurium\) and \(E.\ coli\) (Fig. 1 and refs. 2,19).

**Acidification via OmpR repression of lysine decarboxylation.** In \(S.\ Typhimurium\), OmpR prevents recovery from acidification by repressing cadC/BA. CadA encodes a lysine decarboxylase, CadB encodes a lysine/cadaverine antiporter, and CadC encodes a transcriptional regulator. We performed quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) with \(E.\ coli\) and observed an increase in transcript levels of cadB (4.5-fold) and cadA (3-fold) in the oompR null mutant, suggesting that \(E.\ coli\) OmpR also functions as a repressor at this locus (Supplementary Fig. 1a). OmpR repression resulted from direct interaction at the cadB/BA locus, based on electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) (Supplementary Fig. 1b). OmpR did not bind at cadA (Supplementary Fig. 1b), suggesting...
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date that cadB and cadA are co-transcribed, as we also observed in S. Typhimurium.

To determine whether cadC/BA repression was sufficient to prevent recovery from acid stress, we measured the response of an ompR/cadBA null strain, a cadBA null strain, and a cadBA over-expressed strain of E. coli exposed to similar acid stress. As with S. Typhimurium (Fig. 1a, b and ref. 2), OmpR was no longer required for cytoplasmic acidification when cadBA was eliminated, indicating that the CAD system was the main pathway for recovery from acidification between pH 6.1 and 6.5 (Fig. 1c, d). Furthermore, when cadBA was over-expressed in E. coli, OmpR was unable to repress cadC/BA, and the cytoplasm was neutralized.

Overexpression of additional decarboxylases, such as glutamate (gadBA), arginine (speA), and S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase (speD), during acid stress did not neutralize the pHi (Supplementary Fig. 1c, d), suggesting that cadBA was the major system that eliminated protons upon acid stress in E. coli over the approximate pHi range of 6.1–6.5. This was not surprising, since the glutamate and arginine decarboxylation systems exhibit much lower pH optima (pH 4 and 5, respectively)20, compared to lysine (pH 6.1–6.5)21, S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase (pH 7.4)22 and ornithine decarboxylase systems (pH 7.0)23. Furthermore, the pH response of the cadBA null strain was similar to the wild type (Fig. 1). Thus, in both S. Typhimurium and E. coli, OmpR directly repressed cadC/BA to enable cytoplasmic acidification under acid stress.

Osmotic stress acidifies S. Typhimurium and E. coli. EnvZ has been described as an osmosensor, as high osmolality activates OmpR to differentially upregulate ompC and repress ompF (reviewed in ref. 8). Since EnvZ senses and responds to a concentrated cytoplasm22,23, we hypothesized that the cytoplasm might

Fig. 1 OmpR drives intracellular acidification in response to acid stress. a-d S. Typhimurium and E. coli cultures were incubated with 20 µM BCECF for 60 min before imaging. Representative epifluorescence ratio images (R488/440) of emission channel 525 nm upon 488 nm excitation and 440 nm excitation were obtained for wild type, an ompR null mutant, an ompR/cadBA null strain, a cadBA null strain, and a cadBA over-expressed strain of a S. Typhimurium and c E. coli incubated at either acidic pH (5.6) or neutral pH (7.2) or at pH (7.2) plus 15% (w/v) sucrose. Using ImageJ software, ratio images were color coded with blue (ratio = 0.1) to white (ratio = 1). Scale bar, 3 µm. A plot of the intracellular pH of 50 cells of wild type and mutants of b S. Typhimurium and d E. coli at each indicated time point. Error bars represent the mean ± s.e.m. (n = 3). The complete graphs including all strains in b, c are in Supplementary Fig. 2. Repression of the cad operon was not responsible for intracellular acidification during osmotic stress in S. Typhimurium and E. coli.

that cadB and cadA are co-transcribed, as we also observed in S. Typhimurium.

To determine whether cadC/BA repression was sufficient to prevent recovery from acid stress, we measured the response of an ompR/cadBA null strain, a cadBA null strain, and a cadBA over-expressed strain of E. coli exposed to similar acid stress. As with S. Typhimurium (Fig. 1a, b and ref. 2), OmpR was no longer required for cytoplasmic acidification when cadBA was eliminated, indicating that the CAD system was the main pathway for recovery from acidification between pH 6.1 and 6.5 (Fig. 1c, d). Furthermore, when cadBA was over-expressed in E. coli, OmpR was unable to repress cadC/BA, and the cytoplasm was neutralized.

Overexpression of additional decarboxylases, such as glutamate (gadBA), arginine (speA), and S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase (speD), during acid stress did not neutralize the pHi (Supplementary Fig. 1c, d), suggesting that cadBA was the major system that eliminated protons upon acid stress in E. coli over the approximate pHi range of 6.1–6.5. This was not surprising, since the glutamate and arginine decarboxylation systems exhibit much lower pH optima (pH 4 and 5, respectively)20, compared to lysine (pH 6.1–6.5)21, S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase (pH 7.4)22 and ornithine decarboxylase systems (pH 7.0)23. Furthermore, the pH response of the cadBA null strain was similar to the wild type (Fig. 1). Thus, in both S. Typhimurium and E. coli, OmpR directly repressed cadC/BA to enable cytoplasmic acidification under acid stress.

Osmotic stress acidifies S. Typhimurium and E. coli. EnvZ has been described as an osmosensor, as high osmolality activates OmpR to differentially upregulate ompC and repress ompF (reviewed in ref. 8). Since EnvZ senses and responds to a concentrated cytoplasm22,23, we hypothesized that the cytoplasm might
acidity during osmotic stress, indicating that EnvZ is actually functioning as a pH sensor. Using sucrose as the osmolyte, addition of 15% sucrose (w/v; 787 mOsm Kg\(^{-1}\)) to the medium at pH\(_e\) 7.2 led to a decrease in the pH\(_i\) of \(\text{S. Typhimurium}\) from 6.80 to 6.45 (Fig. 1a, b). In contrast, the ompR null strain remained near-neutral pH\(_i\), decreasing by <0.1 pH unit to 6.75 (Fig. 1a, b). A similar decrease was evident in \(\text{E. coli}\), where osmotic stress reduced the pH\(_i\) from 7.13 to 6.75. The pH\(_i\) of the ompR null \(\text{E. coli}\) strain did not decrease in response to sucrose-induced osmotic stress and remained at pH\(_i\) 7.1 (Fig. 1c, d). Thus, in both

**Fig. 2** Osmotic stress acidifies \(\text{S. Typhimurium}\) via OmpR repression of \(\text{rpoS}\). a mRNA levels of \(\text{rpos}\) and \(\text{ssrA}\) (a positive control) genes were determined by qRT-PCR from wild-type and ompR null strains of \(\text{S. Typhimurium}\) grown at high osmolality. Fold expression changes in the ompR null strain was compared to the wild-type level and plotted. The error bars represent the mean ± s.d. (\(n=6\)). In the ompR null strain, \(\text{rpoS}\) transcription was increased, suggesting OmpR repression. b Representative images of R488/440 are shown after 5 and 90 min incubation at pH\(_e\) 7.2 or pH\(_e\) 7.2 in the presence of 15% (w/v) sucrose (high osm) of wild type, an \(\text{ompR/rpoS}\) null strain, an \(\text{rpoS}\) null strain, and an \(\text{rpoS}\) over-expressed strain of \(\text{S. Typhimurium}\). Scale bar, 3 μm. c A plot from 50 cells of the R\(_{488/440}\) ratios of various mutants of \(\text{S. Typhimurium}\) at indicated times. Symbols represent the mean ± s.e.m. (\(n=3\)). d An EMSA examined the interaction between OmpR and \(\text{rpoS}\). OmpR was incubated with 10 fmol of biotin end-labeled \(\text{rpoS}\). Lane 1.7* contained 100-fold excess unlabeled DNA
Fig. 3  OmpR relieves RpoS repression of yghA during osmotic stress. a mRNA levels of yghA at pH$_{6.2}$ in the presence of 15% sucrose (w/v) were determined by qRT-PCR from wild type, an ompR null strain, an rpoS over-expressed strain, and anompR/rpoS null strain of S. Typhimurium. Fold expression changes were compared to the wild-type level. Error bars represent the mean ± s.d. (n = 6). b mRNA of yghA at pH$_{6.2}$ in the presence of 15% sucrose (w/v) of an E. coli ompR null strain was compared to the wild-type level. c Representative images of R$_{488/440}$ are shown after 5 and 90 min incubation at pH$_{6.2}$, or pH$_{7.2}$ + 15% (w/v) sucrose of a yghA null strain, an ompR/rpoS null strain, an ompR/rpoS/yghA null strain, and an ompR null strain of S. Typhimurium with yghA over-expressed. Scale bar, 3 μm. d Plot of the R$_{488/440}$ ratios of various mutants of S. Typhimurium at each indicated time. Data set is represented as the mean ± s.e.m. (n = 3). The complete graph, including all strains is in Supplementary Fig. 4. e EMSAs were performed with biotin end-labeled yghA and OmpR. f AFM images of yghA$_{700}$ from S. Typhimurium with 30 nM OmpR at either acid pH (6.1) or neutral pH (6.8). g Relative height distribution histogram of the yghA promoter complexed with 30 nM OmpR at either acidic or neutral pH.
**Fig. 4** OmpR represses speF to acidify *E. coli* during osmotic stress. 

**a** mRNA levels at pH 7.2 containing 15% (w/v) sucrose of *cadA, cadB, speA, speD, speF, gadA, gadB,* and *ompF* genes were determined by qRT-PCR from wild-type and *ompR* null strains of *E. coli* MG1655. Fold expression changes were compared to the wild-type level. The error bars represent the mean ± s.d. (*n* = 6). The *ompR* null strain showed increased transcription of *speF* at high osmolality.

**b** *speF* mRNA level of an *ompR* null strain of *S. Typhimurium* was compared to the wild-type level at pH 7.2 in the presence of 15% sucrose (w/v).

**c** Representative R488/440 images of wild type, an *ompR* null strain, an *ompR/speF* null strain, a *speF* null strain, and the *speF* over-expressed strain of *E. coli* grown at pH 5.6 or pH 7.2 in the presence of 15% (w/v) sucrose at the indicated time points. Scale bar, 3 μm.

**d** Plot of the R488/440 ratios of various mutants of *E. coli*. The complete graph including all strains is in Supplementary Fig. 6. Data set is represented as the mean ± s.e.m. (*n* = 3).

**e** EMSAs were conducted with biotin end-labeled *speF* to detect OmpR-*speF* interaction. Lane 2.1* contained 100-fold excess unlabeled DNA.
S. Typhimurium and E. coli, increasing external osmolality stimulated a prolonged, OmpR-dependent intracellular acidification (Fig. 1).

**Osmolyte-induced acidification is distinct from acid stress.** Overexpression of CAD did not protect S. Typhimurium or E. coli from acidification by osmotic stress (Fig. 1). Furthermore, elimination of cadC/BA in an ompR null strain did not restore intracellular acidification at high osmolality in both S. Typhimurium (Fig. 1a, b and Supplementary Fig. 2a) and E. coli (Fig. 1c, d and Supplementary Fig. 2b), suggesting osmotic stress and acid stress pathways were distinct. However, osmolyte-driven intracellular acidification was sufficient to trigger virulence gene expression of Salmonella pathogenicity island 2 (SPI-2), as evidenced by sseB transcription and effector Sse secretion (Supplementary Fig. 3a, b). To identify OmpR targets in response to acid stress, we performed a microarray-based expression analysis and compared the transcriptome of ompR null strains with wild-type strains of S. Typhimurium and E. coli during acid or osmotic stress (see data availability for full results through the GEO).

In our S. Typhimurium microarray, the alternate sigma factor rpoS was upregulated 4.4-fold in the absence of ompR. RpoS was implicated in the acid-inducible exponential-phase acid tolerance response (ATR) of S. Typhimurium, and was essential for survival under acid stress. In agreement with the upregulation observed by microarray, the rpoS transcript was up 4.8-fold in the ompR mutant (Fig. 2a), suggesting that OmpR functions as a repressor at rpoS. Furthermore, the rpoS over-expressed strain maintained near-neutral pH (6.8) in response to osmolytes, but was fully capable of cytoplasmic acidification by acid stress (Fig. 2b, c and Supplementary Fig. 4). Thus, the responses to acid stress and osmotic stress were distinct. Similarly, in the absence of rpoS, OmpR was not required for intracellular acidification at high osmolality (Fig. 2b, c and Supplementary Fig. 4). In response to acid stress, OmpR repressed the cadC/BA operon to maintain an acidic cytoplasm, whereas at high osmolality, an rpoS-dependent pathway was involved in S. Typhimurium acidification (Figs. 1 and 2). OmpR acted directly at rpoS, as determined by EMSAs with purified OmpR protein (Fig. 2d), i.e., OmpR directly repressed rpoS transcription at high osmolality.

To identify rpoS targets involved in intracellular acidification, we examined the microarray results for candidate targets that were downregulated in the ompR null strain and were known to be rpoS-dependent. We identified yghA, encoding a putative oxidoreductase, which was down 39-fold in the ompR null strain at high osmolality compared to the wild-type S. Typhimurium. This was confirmed by qRT-PCR, which indicated comparable downregulation of a yghA transcript (32-fold) in the ompR null strain, as well as in the rpoS over-expressed strain (26-fold), implicating RpoS as a repressor of yghA (Fig. 3a). In contrast, in E. coli, YghA was not involved in osmotic stress-driven acidification, as yghA levels of the wild type and an ompR null strain were comparable (Fig. 3b). As an oxidoreductase, YghA is predicted to oxidize NADH to liberate H⁺, leading to cytoplasmic acidification. In the absence of YghA, the S. Typhimurium cytoplasm would fail to acidify, due to a reduction in acid production. The pH₁ in a yghA null strain was 6.8 (i.e., not acidified) and thus, OmpR was no longer required to repress rpoS (Fig. 3c, d). This result suggests a mechanism whereby OmpR relieves rpoS-dependent repression of yghA (which would be activating), to produce and maintain an acidic cytoplasm in response to osmotic stress. Furthermore, when yghA was over-expressed, the pH of the cytoplasm decreased to 6.45. In contrast, the ompR/rpoS/yghA null strain maintained near-neutral pH (Fig. 3c, d). OmpR did not bind directly to the yghA promoter, as evident from the EMSA (Fig. 3e). This result was further supported by AFM imaging, and the relative height distribution histogram (Fig. 3f). Instead, OmpR directly repressed rpoS transcription (Fig. 2), which increased yghA transcription (Fig. 3a, c, d).

Measurements of NAD⁺/NADH were consistent with these results (Supplementary Fig. 5a, b). In the wild-type strain, yghA transcription increased 32-fold compared to an ompR null strain. Intracellular levels of NAD⁺ in the wild type (52.3 nM) or an ompR/rpoS (51.3 nM) null strain were higher than an ompR null strain (29.6 nM), a yghA null strain (37.3 nM) or an ompR/yghA/rpoS (35.6 nM) null strain grown at high osmolality (Supplementary Fig. 5a, b). Overexpression of yghA in an ompR null background completely restored intracellular NAD⁺ to wild-type levels (49.6 nM). Increased NAD⁺ levels were only observed at high osmolality and not during acid stress, further emphasizing the distinct responses to acid and osmotic stress.

**OmpR-dependent acidification occurs at high osmolality.** Microarray analysis comparing the wild-type and ompR null E. coli strains indicated that decarboxylase genes were differentially expressed under acid and osmotic stress conditions (Fig. 4a and Supplementary Fig. 1d). To identify the precise pathways, we compared mRNA levels of genes encoding glutamate decarboxylase (gadB and gadA), arginine decarboxylase (speA), S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase (speD), and ornithine decarboxylase (speF) by qRT-PCR in the wild-type and ompR null mutant (Fig. 4a and Supplementary Fig. 1a). The transcript for speF (fivefold) was the most upregulated, compared to speA (1.5-fold) and speD (1.8-fold) in the ompR null strain at high osmolality, suggesting a repressive role of OmpR (Fig. 4a). In S. Typhimurium, speF levels were identical between the wild-type and ompR null strain, indicating the differing response by OmpR in S. Typhimurium (RpoS repression of yghA) and E. coli (speF) in response to osmotic stress (Figs. 3 and 4b). Following osmotic stress, the cadBA (Fig. 1c, d), gadBA, speA, and speD overexpression strains all acidified to an extent indistinguishable from wild type, whereas the strain overexpressing speF did not acidify (Fig. 4c, d and Supplementary Figs. 1c, d and 6). This result identified speF as the predominant decarboxylase that functioned to eliminate protons during osmotic stress to maintain pH homeostasis in E. coli. Overexpression of speF in response to acid stress did not affect the cellular response to acid stress (Fig. 4c, d and Supplementary Fig. 6), emphasizing again that the acid and osmotic pathways were distinct. As expected, OmpR was not required for acidification in the absence of speF, and the speF null strain remained similarly acidified compared to the wild type. OmpR bound to the speF regulatory region, as evident from an EMSA (Fig. 4e). Thus, in E. coli, OmpR represses the speF system to prevent recovery from acidification during osmotic stress.

**Phosphorylation of EnvZ-OmpR is pH sensitive.** When the cytoplasm was acidified from either acid or osmotic stress, OmpR was “activated”, leading to repressive effects on transcription at cadC/BA, speF, or rpoS (Figs. 1–4). We suspected that EnvZ-OmpR phosphorylation might be pH sensitive, as the histidine phosphorylation site must be unprotonated to act as a nucleophile toward ATP. S. Typhimurium or E. coli EnvZ was phosphorylated with [γ-32P]-ATP and the percentage of EnvZ-P was determined by densitometry (Fig. 5a). EnvZ phosphorylation in S. Typhimurium was less robust (70%) than E. coli EnvZ (100%). At pH 5.5, EnvZ phosphorylation was substantially lower when compared to phosphorylation at pH 7.5 for E. coli (28%)
and S. Typhimurium (12%), raising the question as to how does activation of OmpR occur during acid and osmotic stress?

**Acidification does not require OmpR phosphorylation.** Canonically, response regulators are phosphorylated by their cognate kinases and phosphorylation drives dimerization. The phosphorylated dimer is an active complex that binds DNA with high affinity and interacts with RNA polymerase to activate transcription. However, some orphan response regulators that lack cognate kinases activate transcription without phosphorylation by mimicking an active interface via dimerization30; (see ref. 11 for a recent review). We reasoned that there might be an acid-dependent conformational change in OmpR that was
independent of phosphorylation. We also knew from our previous measurements that EnvZc had higher affinity for OmpR compared to OmpR–P31,32, which would promote an interaction. We measured the cytoplasmic pH of S. Typhimurium in response to acid and osmotic stress in a D55A OmpR mutant that was incapable of phosphorylation33 in the presence of the EnvZ kinase. Cytoplasmic acidification occurred in the D55A mutant background in response to either acid or osmotic stress, indicating that OmpR phosphorylation was not required for acidification (Supplementary Fig. 7a, b). Intriguingly, this phosphorylation-independent activation was completely dependent on the presence of the EnvZ kinase3. We therefore examined whether an EnvZc mutant that was incapable of interacting with OmpR (EnvZc C277Y)10 was still capable of acid-dependent OmpR repression (Fig. 5b, c). In the presence of the EnvZc C277Y mutant, the S. Typhimurium cytoplasm was no longer acidified in response to acid stress (Fig. 5b, c). Thus, OmpR must interact with EnvZc to repress CAD, even though phosphorylation transfer was not involved.

Gel filtration profiles of EnvZc, EnvZc C277Y, OmpR, (OmpR + EnvZc), and (OmpR + EnvZc C277Y) established that in isolation, both wild-type EnvZc and the EnvZc C277Y mutant existed as dimers, whereas OmpR was monomeric (Supplementary Fig. 8a, b). In the presence of wild-type EnvZc, OmpR addition generated a higher molecular weight complex, representing two OmpR molecules bound to an EnvZc dimer (Supplementary Fig. 8a). OmpR dimerization upon interaction with an EnvZc dimer is in agreement with our previous findings using fluorescence cross-correlation spectroscopy10. Addition of OmpR to the EnvZc C277Y mutant generated profiles that were identical to the individual proteins in isolation, i.e., no interacting complex was evident (Supplementary Fig. 8b) and ref. 10. OmpR is unusual among response regulators, because it can bind DNA in the absence of phosphorylation34, presumably because some percentage of the OmpR population exists in an activated, dimeric state. We thus examined whether the oligomeric state of OmpR was affected by acidic conditions (Supplementary Fig. 8c). The percentage of OmpR dimers increased substantially (to 63–73%), compared to neutral pH, where dimers were undetectable (Supplementary Fig. 8c). Thus, acid pH can also drive an OmpR conformational change that results in unphosphorylated OmpR dimers. In vivo, this process is driven by interaction with its kinase EnvZ.

**Acid pH stimulates OmpR binding to DNA.** How does acidification affect OmpR/DNA interactions? Most DNA-binding assays are sensitive to acidic pH34,35. For this reason, we turned to AFM to visualize OmpR interactions with the ompC promoter of S. Typhimurium (Fig. 5d) and E. coli (Fig. 5e) or the cadBA promoter (Supplementary Fig. 9). OmpR was added to a solution buffered to an identical pH that we measured during acid stress (Fig. 1), indicated in the panels. Acid pH alone did not stimulate OmpR aggregation, as evident in the panels that contain OmpR (Fig. 1), indicated in the panels. Acid pH alone did not stimulate OmpR aggregation, as evident in the panels that contain OmpR (Fig. 1), indicated in the panels. Acid pH alone did not stimulate OmpR aggregation, as evident in the panels that contain OmpR (Fig. 1), indicated in the panels.

**How to reconcile our results with previous studies.** Our results with the I-switch and BCECF-AM in E. coli and S. Typhimurium (this work and ref. 5) differ from previously published studies on the response of E. coli18,37 and S. Typhimurium38,39 to acid stress using pHluorin, a pH-sensitive fluorophore. In addition to using a different fluorophore, some measurements were performed in media with poor buffering capacity using HCl to initiate acid stress. We lowered the pH of M63 media supplemented with casein hydrolysate from 7.5 to 5.5 by adding 8.5 mM HCl and measured the pH of E. coli using a similar acid-induction strategy, but measured the response with BCECF-AM and fluorescence microscopy as before. In both S. Typhimurium and E. coli, the cytoplasm was acidified, indicating that the differing results were not a result of different stress conditions (Supplementary Fig. 10a, b).

**Plasmid expression of pHluorin is heterogeneous.** We next examined whether differences were due to the use of different fluorophores. Most previous studies measured the average fluorescence of cultures in solution, whereas our measurements were performed in single cells. We transformed S. Typhimurium and E. coli with ratiometric pHluorin (pH-sensitive GFP) and examined single cells by confocal microscopy after acid or osmotic shock (Fig. 6a). It was immediately apparent that the cells have a heterogeneous response to acid stress. This level of heterogeneity was not observed in single cells using BCECF-AM (this work) or
using the I-switch. Thus, expressing pHluorin using the arabinose-inducible pBAD promoter is not a good indicator for measuring intracellular pH, because it is not uniformly distributed. Constitutive expression of pHluorin was homogeneously distributed, but the fluorescence signal was weak, as previously reported.

**MC4100 does not acidify during acid or osmotic stress.** Another explanation for the differences might be that different strains were employed. We used the sequenced *E. coli* strain MG1655, whereas many previous studies used MC4100. We measured the response of MC4100 to acid stress, using our approach (Fig. 6b, c). MC4100 was slightly acidified initially compared to MG1655 (pH 6.80 vs. 7.13, respectively), which was comparable to the pH of *S. Typhimurium* (Figs. 1 and 6). In response to pH 5.6, *E. coli* MC4100 maintained its cytoplasmic pH (pHi = 6.83) throughout the experiment (Fig. 6b, c). The pHi remained essentially unchanged whether the pHe was 7.2, 5.6, or induced by 15% (w/v) sucrose (Fig. 6b, c and Supplementary.

**Fig. 6** Spurious results from using pHluorin, MC4100, and clamping agent sodium benzoate. a *S. Typhimurium* and *E. coli* strains harboring a plasmid containing pH-sensitive *gfp* (pHluorin) exhibit heterogeneity in pH. Representative epifluorescence (R405/488) ratio images of emission channel 525 nm upon 405 nm excitation and 488 nm excitation were obtained for wild-type cultures at either acidic pH5 6 or neutral pH7 2. Using ImageJ software, the ratio images were color coded with blue (ratio = 0.1) to white (ratio = 1). Scale bar, 3 μm. The pH of individual cells after 30 min is indicated in the panel. b Representative epifluorescence ratio images (R488/440) were obtained for wild-type cultures of *E. coli* MC4100 incubated at pH5 6, pH7 2, and pH7 7 with 15% (w/v) sucrose at the indicated times. Scale bar, 3 μm. c A plot of the intracellular pH of 50 cells incubated under acid and osmotic stress conditions at each indicated time. Error bars represent mean ± s.e.m. (n = 3). d Cells were clamped by either 40 μM nigericin or 30 mM sodium benzoate and the R488/440 ratio intensities were plotted as a function of pH and overlaid on their in vitro calibration curve._intracellular pH values determined from BCECF fluorescence of e *E. coli* MG1655 and f MC4100 clamped with sodium benzoate at pH5 6, pH6 1, and pH7 2 were plotted.
ornithine decarboxylation, maintaining pH homeostasis by neutralizing cytoplasmic protons, restoring cytoplasmic pH and maintaining intracellular pH homeostasis. At high osmolality, in S. Typhimurium, OmpR represses rpoS to relieve rpoS repression of yghA, producing protons. In E. coli, OmpR represses ornithine decarboxylase (speF), enabling cytoplasmic acidification. The pH optima for CadA is 6.1–6.5; this optima contributes to a threshold of response. At pH 6.5 and below (achieved during acid stress), OmpR represses the cad operon, resulting in acidification. At high osmolality (pH 6.75 E. coli, 6.45, S. Typhimurium), acidification is less, because the CAD system is working to restore neutrality. Acidification occurs through proton production (S. Typhimurium) or repression of a different amino acid decarboxylation system (E. coli). Intracellular acidification is required for activating SPI-2-dependent effector secretion. EnvZ senses and responds to cytoplasmic acidification via helix-coil transitions. Physical interaction of OmpR with EnvZ drives a conformational change, resulting in unphosphorylated OmpR dimer formation. EnvZ-dependent OmpR dimerization creates an active OmpR2 interface, favoring DNA binding and subsequent repression. In the ompR null strain, during acid stress, CadC/BA is expressed, and drives amino acid decarboxylation. This process consumes intracellular protons, restoring cytoplasmic pH and maintaining intracellular pH homeostasis. At high osmolality, in an ompR null S. Typhimurium strain, RpoS represses yghA, preventing proton release, resulting in a neutralized cytoplasm. In an ompR null E. coli strain, activated SpeF eliminates cytoplasmic protons during ornithine decarboxylation, maintaining pH homeostasis.

Sodium benzoate is not a good clamping agent. Most significantly, previous studies generated a standard curve using uncouplers that were reported to collapse ΔpH3,17,18,38,39. Sodium benzoate was commonly employed for this purpose. Unfortunately, sodium benzoate was not effective at setting pHs = pHs (Fig. 6d); the standard curve differed dramatically from curves generated from nigericin clamping. To examine this issue further, we used BCECF-AM to measure the pHi of E. coli MG1655 in response to various external pH conditions in the presence of 30 mM sodium benzoate. It was evident that at pHs = 5.6, the pHs was 6.3; at pHs = 6.0, the pHs was 6.2 and at pHs = 7.2, the pHs was 5.8 (Fig. 6e). Thus, using sodium benzoate at neutral pHs, the intracellular pH would be presumed to have been neutralized, when it was actually quite acidic. These values were not strain dependent, i.e., they were similar for MC4100 and MG1655 (Fig. 6e, f). Thus, it was evident that sodium benzoate was not an effective clamping agent. This finding also explains why previous measurements of S. Typhimurium pH were substantially different from ours38,39 and why E. coli was reported to return to a neutral pH6,17,18 after an acid stress. More recent measurements in S. Typhimurium using our methods (although not performed in single cells)42 now are in keeping with our previous findings5.

Fig. 7 Model for OmpR-driven acidification in response to acid and osmotic stress. Under acid and osmotic stress conditions, both S. Typhimurium and E. coli are acidified in an OmpR-dependent manner. OmpR requires interaction with EnvZ, but not phosphorylation to bring about cytoplasmic acidification.

Fig. 10a, b). In contrast, addition of osmolytes actually increased the pHs (from 6.8 to 7.15), as reported18. This result was opposite to what we observed with E. coli MG1655. Cytoplasmic acidification in the non-pathogenic E. coli Nissel 1917, a widely used probiotic strain14, was comparable to E. coli MG1655 and S. Typhimurium (Supplementary Fig. 10d).

Discussion

The results presented herein establish a new paradigm for pH regulation in Gram-negative bacteria such as E. coli and S. Typhimurium (Fig. 7). In contrast to the prevailing view, we determined that E. coli and S. Typhimurium do not recover (within 90 min) due to the repressive actions of OmpR. In both S. Typhimurium and E. coli, OmpR represses the CAD system to acidify the cytoplasm during acid stress (Fig. 1). In S. Typhimurium, the pathway for acidification during osmotic stress involves rpoS and the putative oxidoreductase YghA. Increasing YghA expression (via OmpR repression of rpoS), increased proton production, which acidified the cytoplasm. In E. coli, OmpR repressed speF (which consumes protons) during osmotic stress (Fig. 5 and model, Fig. 7).

Our results indicate that EnvZ functions to sense acidic pH in the cytoplasm, resulting from extracellular acid or osmolytes (Figs. 1 and 2). Although OmpR was capable of repressing genes in the absence of phosphorylation, EnvZ was required. We interpret this result in the following way: acidification promotes helicity36; EnvZ responds to cytoplasmic signals by increased helicity of the 17 amino acid region surrounding the
perhaps the effects of acid pH on its conformation. The activated state of OmpR is influenced by numerous factors, including a physical interaction with EnvZ (Fig. 5b, c and Supplementary Fig. 8a, b), phosphorylation\(^{33,34}\), dimerization (Supplementary Fig. 8a, b, c), and an acid-dependent effect on OmpR conformation (Fig. 5d, e). We are presently exploring the effect of acid pH on OmpR conformation by NMR to determine whether effects of acid pH differ from or are similar to the effect on EnvZ on OmpR conformation.

Most significantly, the present work highlights that the method for setting pH\(_e\) = pH, using sodium benzoate actually produces the opposite effect. At a neutral external pH, addition of sodium benzoate substantially acidified both S. Typhimurium and E. coli (Fig. 6). This finding, we believe, accounts for the substantial differences between our studies and the published literature. This study provides evidence for a new view of the response to acid osmotic stress in bacteria and emphasizes the intriguing differences in prokaryotes compared to eukaryotes, where intracellular pH and osmolality are tightly regulated. A deeper understanding of these differences will provide new approaches for combating the virulence strategies employed by pathogens to survive in acid environments and secrete virulence factors.

### Methods

**Bacterial strains, antibodies, and growth conditions.** S. enterica, serovar Typhimurium 14028s and E. coli MG1655 were used in this study, unless otherwise indicated. To determine the acid and osmotic stress response, bacterial strains were grown in a modified N-minimal medium (MMg) buffered with 100 mM Tris (pH 7.2 ± 1.5% (w/v)) sucrose) or 100 mM MES (pH 5.6) as described\(^2\). The casamino acids included in the media provided sufficient lysine for activation of the CAD system. The following antibodies were used for immuno-labeling experiments: rabbit polyclonal anti-HA (catalog no. S190188, Axil Scientific) 1:5000, anti-rabbit secondary antibody (catalog no. SC-2357, Santa Cruz) 1:5000. Ampicillin was used at 100 µg ml\(^{-1}\), chloramphenicol at 30 µg ml\(^{-1}\), kanamycin 30 µg ml\(^{-1}\), and tetra-cycline at 12.5 µg ml\(^{-1}\). For clamping experiments, bacteria were incubated at various external pH values with either nigericin (40 µM) or sodium benzoate (30 mM) at RT for 1 h. Overnight wild-type cultures of S. Typhimurium and E. coli in LB-expressing pHluorins were subcultured into fresh LB in the presence of 0.2% L-arabinose for 2 h (OD \(0.25\)). The cultures were then centrifuged and incubated in MgM media at either acidic pH 5.6 or neutral pH 7.2 containing 0.2% L-arabinose. The intracellular pH was measured every 30 min for 3 h (OD \(0.8\)).

**Wide-field fluorescence and confocal microscopy.** For pH measurements using BCECF-AM, wide-field images were collected using an Olympus IX71 Inverted Microscope (Applied Precision DeltaVision Deconvolution microscope system) equipped with \(x\times100, 1.4\) numerical aperture objective lens. Image capture was performed with the CoolSnap HQ, a fast, high-resolution, high-quantum efficiency, cooled CCD camera (Photometrics CoolSNAP HQ2 (CCD); 1392 x 1040 pixels; 1.4 µm/pixel). Two channels were selected for acquiring images corresponding to emission wavelengths of either (490 ± 20 nm (pH-sensitive wavelength), or 436 ± 10 nm (pH-insensitive wavelength). Both channels had the same exposure time of 10 s. The emission ratios upon excitation (490/436) were obtained by using the ratio plus plug-in of ImageJ software version 1.42. The ratios were plotted as a function of pH, and were comparable to the in vitro calibration curve. The high and low values were color coded and calibrated to their respective pH values as described in ref. 2.

For pH measurements using pHluorin, confocal imaging was conducted on an inverted Nikon A1Rsi-Polarizing Module equipped with CFI Plan Apochromat/VC \(x\times100, 1.4\) numerical aperture objective lens using Coherent CUBE lasers at 405 and 488 nm excitation with set appropriate dichroics. Sequential scans were performed to obtain paired set of images by using excitation wavelengths of either (405 ± 30 nm) or (470 ± 40 nm) and the emission was recorded through a 525/50 nm band pass filter on an Andor DU897 EMCCD camera. The ratiometric images were obtained by dividing the emission at excitation 405 by 470 nm and analyzing using the ratio plus plug-in of ImageJ version 1.42. High and low values were color coded and calibrated to their respective pH values.

**Measurement of OmpR in S. Typhimurium and E. coli.** Cultures of S. Typhimurium and E. coli were pre-incubated with 20 µM BCECF-AM for 60 min before the shift to acidic pH 5.6, neutral pH 7.2, or high osmolality pH 7.2 plus 15% (w/v) sucrose. Cell-permeant BCECF incorporation into cells is evident from the fluorescence images. Cells were then washed with the incubation media to eliminate extracellular BCECF prior to imaging. Ratios of fluorescence intensities of the emission channel (525 nm) upon 488 nm excitation and 440 nm excitation were
Construction of mutants and overexpression strains. Chromosomal copies of yghA and pspF from wild-type or an ompR null strain of S. Typhimurium and cadBA, speF from wild-type or an ompR null strain of E. coli were replaced by tetRNA using λ-Red recombination techniques. To generate an ompR::phoA::pspF null strain of S. Typhimurium, a Cm^2 cassette amplified from plasmid pKD3 using primers yghA::Lox1 #1F and yghA::Cm #1R was integrated into an ompR::pspF double null strain. The ppsF, speA, speD, speF, gadBA, yghA, and cadBA over-expressed strains were generated by cloning the genes into pBR322 by replacement of bla^R. The pH-sensitive gfp ("pHluorin") gene was cloned into plasmid pMM-PMA Omega and placed under control of the arabinose-inducible pBAD promoter. OmpR site-specific mutant C275Y (primers D55AE/D55AR) and EnvZ site-specific mutant C277Y (primers C277YF/C277YR) were introduced following the QuikChange® Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene) and substitutions were verified by DNA sequencing. The strains are listed in Table 1 and the primers used are in Supplementary Table 1.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay. EMSAs were performed using the Lightshift chemi-luminescence EMSA kit (Research instruments) according to the manufacturer’s instructions as described. Upstream regions of E. coli cadB (347 bp), cadA (350 bp), speF (384 bp), and S. Typhimurium pspF (420 bp) and yghA (370 bp) were amplified using biotinylated oligonucleotides. Ten fmol of biotinylated DNA was loaded in a 15 µl reaction containing binding buffer (10 mM Tris pH 7.5, 7.5, 50 mM KC1) along with 2.5% (w/v) glycerol, 1 mM MgCl2, 0.05% (w/v) Nonidet P-40, and 1 µg poly(dl-dc). This interaction was specific, as an addition of a 100-fold excess of unlabeled DNA was added to examine specific interaction (labeled *). OmpR or OmpR–P protein was added at the concentrations indicated, and samples were separated by electrophoresis on 5% non-denaturing acrylamide gels run in 0.5x Tris-acetate buffer with EDTA.

RNA isolation and qRT-PCR. E. coli and S. Typhimurium strains were grown in MgM media at pH 5.6, 7.2, and 7.5 with 15% (w/v) sucrose to OD ~0.6 as described. Total RNA was isolated, followed by cDNA synthesis and quantification. The mRNA expression level of the target gene was normalized relative to 16S rRNA.

Western blot with anti-SseJ antibody. Total and secreted protein fractions were isolated from wild type and an iscl null strain of S. Typhimurium harboring ppsel- HA. Protein samples were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE followed by transfer to a PVDF membrane. The membrane was incubated with anti-HA (1:5000) or anti-GroEL (1:5000) and a secondary anti-HA HRP antibody (1:5000) was used for detection.

Overexpression and purification: OmpR, EnvZc, and EnvZc C277Y. E. coli BL21 (DE3) was used for overproduction of full-length His-OmpR cloned into pET15b and EnvZc::His-OmpC cloned into pET22b. Recombinant EnvZc was affinity-purified on a nickel affinity column. The pH-sensitive gfp ("pHluorin") gene was cloned into plasmid pBAD with an arabinose-inducible pBAD promoter. Overexpression strains were generated by cloning the genes into pBR322 by replacement of bla^R. The pH-sensitive gfp ("pHluorin") gene was cloned into plasmid pMM-PMA Omega and placed under control of the arabinose-inducible pBAD promoter. The pH-sensitive gfp ("pHluorin") gene was cloned into plasmid pMM-PMA Omega and placed under control of the arabinose-inducible pBAD promoter.

Atomic force microscopy. Seven hundred base pair regions from ompC (~607 to +93 bp), cadA (~673 to +27 bp), and yghA (~673 to +27 bp) were gel-purified using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen). A glutaraldehyde-modified mica surface was prepared as described. Ten nanogram of the regulatory region was incubated with 30 nM OmpR or OmpR–P (prepared by phosphorylation from acetyl phosphate) at pH 5.6 or 7.2 ± 1% (w/v) sucrose for 15 min at RT. This mixture was then deposited on the mica for 15 min. Images were acquired on a Bruker Dimension FastScan AFM system using the tapping mode with a silicon nitride cantilever (FastScan C, Bruker). Raw AFM images were processed using Gwyddion software (http://gwyddion.net).

Measurement of intracellular NAD^+/NADH. Intracellular NAD^+/NADH levels were determined using an NAD^+/NADH assay kit (ProBioScience Technologies) from S. Typhimurium cultures grown for 90 min at acidic pH (3.5), neutral pH (7.2), or high osmolality pH (7.2) plus 15% (w/v) sucrose. Cells were normalized to OD_{600}=0.8 and total NAD^+ and NADH levels were determined per ml of bacteria. Intracellular NAD^+ /NADH levels (nm) were converted using NAD^+ standards of known concentration.

Data availability. The microarray data that are available have been deposited with the GEO (accession code: GSE106630). All other relevant data are available from the corresponding author on request.

Received: 24 January 2017 Accepted: 2 November 2017

Published online: 14 November 2017
22. Markham, G. D., Tabor, C. W. & Tabor, H. [37] S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase (Escherichia coli). *Methods Enzymol.* **94**, 228–230 (1983).

23. Vivejs, B., Aertsen, A. & Michiels, C. W. Identification of genes required for growth of *Escherichia coli* MG1655 at moderately low pH. *Front. Microbiol.* **7**, 1672 (2016).

24. Soo Lee, I., Lin, J., Hall, H. K., Bearson, B. & Foster, J. W. The stationary phase sigma factor S (RpoS) is required for a sustained acid tolerance response in virulent *Salmonella typhimurium*. *Mol. Microbiol.* **17**, 155–167 (1995).

25. Tu, X., Latifi, T., Bougdour, A., Gottesman, S. & Groisman, E. A. The PhoP/PhoQ two-component system stabilizes the alternative sigma factor RpoS in *Salmonella enterica*. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **103**, 13503–13508 (2006).

26. Baik, H. S., Bearson, S., Dunbar, S. & Foster, J. W. The acid tolerance response of *Salmonella Typhimurium* provides protection against organic acids. *Microbiology* **142**, 3195–3200 (1996).

27. Bearson, S. M. D., Bearson, B. L. & Rasmussen, M. A. Identification of *Salmonella enterica* serovar *Typhi* *Typhimurium* genes important for survival in the swine gastric environment. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **72**, 2829–2836 (2006).

28. Foster, J. W. & Hall, H. K. Inducible pH homeostasis and the acid tolerance response of *Salmonella Typhimurium*. *J. Bacteriol.* **173**, 5129–5135 (1991).

29. Conley, M. P. et al. pH dependence of ChaA autoprophosphorylation in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* **176**, 3870–3877 (1994).

30. Maule, A. F. et al. The aspartate-less receiver (ALR) domains: distribution, structure and function. *PLoS Pathog.* **11**, e1004795 (2015).

31. King, S. T. & Kenney, L. J. Application of fluorescence resonance energy transfer to examine EnvZ/OmpR interactions. *Methods Enzymol.* **422**, 352–360 (2007).

32. Mattison, K. & Kenney, L. J. Phosphorylation alters the interaction of the response regulator OmpR with its sensor kinase EnvZ. *J. Biol. Chem.* **277**, 11143–11148 (2002).

33. Tran, V. K., Oropeza, R. & Kenney, L. J. A single amino acid substitution in the C terminus of OmpR alters DNA recognition and phosphorylation. *J. Mol. Biol.* **299**, 121–127 (2000).

34. Rhee, J. E. et al. Amino acids important for DNA recognition by the response regulator OmpR. *J. Biol. Chem.* **283**, 8664–8677 (2008).

35. Head, C. G., Tardy, A. & Kenney, L. J. Relative binding affinities of OmpR and OmpR-phosphate at the ompF and ompC regulatory sites. *J. Mol. Biol.* **281**, 857–870 (1998).

36. Bierzynski, A., Kim, P. S. & Baldwin, R. L. A salt bridge stabilizes the helix structure and function. *J. Mol. Biol.* **283**, 8664–8677 (1998).

37. Martinez, K. A. et al. Cytoplasmic pH response to acid stress in individual cells of *Salmonella enterica*. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **99**, 11143–11148 (2002).

38. Lee, E.-J. & Groisman, E. A. Control of a *Salmonella* virulence locus by an ATP-sensing leader messenger RNA. *Nature* **456**, 271–275 (2012).

39. Lee, E. J., Pontes, M. H. & Groisman, E. A. A bacteriophage virulence protein promotes pathogenicity by inhibiting the bacterium’s own F1Fo ATP synthase. *Cell* **154**, 146–156 (2013).

40. Siegle, D. A. & Hu, J. C. Gene expression from plasmids containing the araBAD promoter at subsaturating inducer concentrations represents mixed populations. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **94**, 8168–8172 (1997).

41. Morimoto, Y. V., Kojima, S., Namba, K., Minamino, T. & Iino, T. M153R mutation in a pH-sensitive green fluorescent protein stabilizes its fusion proteins. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e19598 (2011).

42. Choi, J. & Groisman, E. A. Acidic pH sensing in the bacterial cytoplasm is required for *Salmonella virulent* *Salmonella typhimurium*. *Mol. Microbiol.***101**, 1024–1038, https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.13439 (2016).

43. Ames, S. K., Frankena, N. & Kenney, L. J. C-terminal DNA binding stimulates N-terminal phosphorylation of the outer membrane protein regulator OmpR from *Escherichia coli*. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **96**, 11792–11797 (1999).

44. Karlinsky, J., E. lambda Red genetic engineering in *Salmonella enterica* serovar *Typhimurium*. *Methods Enzymol.* **421**, 199–209 (2007).

45. Foo, Y. H., Spahn, C., Zhang, H., Heilemann, M. & Kenney, L. J. Single cell super-resolution imaging of *Escherichia coli* OmpR during environmental stress. *Integr. Biol.* **7**, 1297–1308 (2015).

46. Liu, Y., Chen, H., Kenney, L. J. & Yan, J. A divergent switch drives H-NS/DNA binding conformations between stiffening and bridging modes. *Genes Dev.* **24**, 339–344 (2010).

47. Feng, X., Waltthers, D., Oropeza, R. & Kenney, L. J. The response regulator SarB activates transcription and binds to a region overlapping OmpR binding sites at *Salmonella pathogenicity island 2*. *Mol. Microbiol.* **54**, 823–835 (2004).

48. Datsenko, K. A. & Wanner, B. L. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in *Escherichia coli* K-12 using PCR products. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **97**, 6640–6645 (2000).

49. Wang, R. F. & Kushner, S. R. Construction of versatile low-copy-number vectors for cloning, sequencing and gene expression in *Escherichia coli*. *Gene* **100**, 195–199 (1991).

50. Mayer, M. P. A new set of useful cloning and expression vectors derived from *pBluescript*. *Gene* **163**, 41–46 (1995).

51. Watson, N. A new revision of the sequence of plasmid pBR322. *Gene* **70**, 399–403 (1988).

Acknowledgements

Supported by VA RO1BX-000372, NIH R21AI-123640 to L.J.K. and by a Mechanobiology Research Center of Excellence Grant from the Ministry of Education, Singapore. We thank Profs. Caroline Harwood and Michael P. Sheetz for critical comments. We are especially grateful to anonymous reviewer four, whose probing questions led us to new insights, and we hope, clarity of thought. Lastly, L.J.K. thanks Prof. Stuart McLaughlin for helpful discussions from somewhere on the Winsor Trail, in the Land of Enchantment.

Author contributions

S.C., R.S.W. and L.K.M. performed the experiments. S.C., R.S.W., L.K.M., J.Y. and L.J.K. conceived the experiments, analyzed the results, and wrote the manuscript.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02030-0.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/

Publisher’s note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2017