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The existence of a new strong interacting sector around $E \sim 1\text{ TeV}$ is a common feature of Higgsless electroweak theories but also of theories with a light Higgs, for instance, when this is not elementary. In those schemes, this new interaction could be at the origin of an extended spectra with, in particular, spin-1 resonances that could be hinted in elastic gauge boson scattering. Information on those resonances, if they exist, must be contained in the low-energy couplings of the electroweak chiral effective theory. Using the facts that: i) the scattering of longitudinal gauge bosons, $W_LZ_L$, can be well described in the high-energy region ($E \gg M_W$) by the scattering of the corresponding Goldstone bosons (equivalence theorem) and that ii) the zeros of the scattering amplitude carry the information on the heavier spectrum that has been integrated out; we employ the $O(p^4)$ electroweak chiral Lagrangian, with or without a light Higgs state to identify the parameter space region of the low-energy couplings where vector resonances may arise.
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1. Introduction

The breaking of the electroweak symmetry of the Standard Model (SM) is a major topic of research in particle physics as it encodes key aspects for our comprehension of the Universe, like the origin of mass or all the flavour physics. The hypothesis of a Higgs sector responsible for the spontaneous breaking of the symmetry has been taken along since almost the inception of the SM. However when LEP closed in 2000 the Higgs particle was still missing and we started to consider more plausible that a Higgs sector could be absent, and the consequences this could bring into our understanding of particle physics were explored further.

Faced with the possibility of no Higgs, theoreticians have envisaged a Higgsless world where the spontaneous breaking of the electroweak symmetry would originate through other instances. One of the ideas considered the existence of a new strong interacting sector around $E \sim 1 \text{TeV}$ [1, 2]. This new interaction would produce the breaking of the symmetry and, in the way, a complex spectra with resonance states, analogously to the low-energy Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) case. The symmetry breaking sector of the Standard Model without a Higgs becomes a non-linear sigma model with $SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R / SU(2)_{V}$ symmetry where the $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ gauge symmetry is properly embedded. Interestingly enough the Lagrangian that describes it is the one of two-flavour Chiral Perturbation Theory (ChPT) [3] with pions substituted by the Goldstone bosons that provide masses to the gauge bosons. As in any effective field theory the low-energy coupling constants (LECs) of ChPT carry the information of the heavier spectra that has been left out in the procedure of constructing the low-energy theory, as it has been proven at $O(p^4)$ in ChPT [4].

The ATLAS and CMS experiments at the LHC have recently unveiled the existence of a boson with $M_H \sim 126 \text{GeV}$ [5] that resembles very much the Higgs of the SM. Its properties and nature will be investigated in the next years. There is also the possibility that the new boson triggers the Higgs mechanism but is not an elementary particle. It could be a composite or a collective mode lying in an extended symmetry and in this case, again, we would expect that this larger symmetry has new spectra in its linear representations. The above-mentioned setting, the non-linear sigma model, can also be modified in order to include a light Higgs boson that is a singlet under the $SU(2)_V$ symmetry above, called custodial [6].

In Ref. [7] we proposed a procedure to explore the occurrence of spin-1 resonances in the $E \sim 1 \text{TeV}$ region based on the information about the spin-J resonances ($J \geq 1$) provided by the zeros of the scattering amplitude. This method goes back to the study of the zeros in $\pi\pi \to \pi\pi$ [8]. In Ref. [9] it was shown in the framework of ChPT that the zeros of the isospin $I = 1$ $\pi\pi \to \pi\pi$ amplitude predict the mass of the $\rho(770)$ resonance when the chiral LECs are saturated by the resonance contributions. This shows that, though the ChPT amplitude is only valid for $p^2 \ll M_\rho^2$, the extrapolation provided by its zeros is to be trusted up to $E \sim M_\rho$.

This method can also be applied to the electroweak sector. On one side the elastic scattering amplitude of the longitudinal components of the gauge bosons is given, at $E \gg M_W$, by the amplitude of the elastic scattering of the Goldstone bosons associated to the spontaneous electroweak symmetry breaking. This is known as the equivalence theorem [2, 10]. This allows us to trade the dynamics of the longitudinally polarized gauge bosons by the one of the corresponding Goldstone modes. The second ingredient is the fact that the interactions among Goldstone bosons is described, at least at leading order, by the two-flavour ChPT Lagrangian where now the multiplet of pions is
substituted by the Goldstone fields that provide masses to the gauge bosons. The obvious difference is the relevant scale that rules the perturbative expansion of the amplitude [11]. Indeed the perturbative scale is now driven by $v \sim (\sqrt{2} G_F)^{-1/2} \approx 246$ GeV with $G_F$ the Fermi constant. Accordingly the effective theory is valid for $p^2 \ll (4\pi v)^2 \sim (3 \text{TeV})^2$. Taking into account the equivalence theorem, the perturbative expansion and the electroweak chiral effective theory (EChET) our working region is determined by $M_W \ll E \ll 4\pi v$.

2. The zeros of the scattering amplitude

As the ChPT amplitudes provide a perturbative expansion in momenta it is clear that the resonances cannot be found as poles of amplitudes obtained in this approach. However a link between chiral dynamics and resonance contributions can be provided employing some ad-hoc resummation techniques like Padé approximants, the inverse amplitude method or the $N/D$ construction. We will propose an alternative procedure based on the zeros of the scattering amplitude [8, 9] as given by ChPT at $O(p^4)$.

Consider the amplitude $F(s, z)$ for $\pi^−(p_1)\pi^0(p_2) \rightarrow \pi^−\pi^0$ in the $s$-channel with $s = (p_1 + p_2)^2$ and $z \equiv \cos \theta = 1 + 2i/(s - 4M_\pi^2)$. This amplitude has no $I = 0$ component, and we know that the isovector P-wave is large whereas the $I = 2$ (exotic) S-wave is small. The P-wave is dominated by the $\rho(770)$ resonance and therefore around this energy region we can write the partial-wave expansion of the amplitude as:

$$F(s, z) = 16\pi f_0^3(s) + \frac{48\pi}{\sigma} \frac{M_\rho \Gamma_\rho(s)}{M_\rho^2 - s - iM_\rho \Gamma_\rho(s)} z + \ldots, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\sigma = \sqrt{1 - 4M_\pi^2/s}$ and $f_0^3(s)$ is the partial-wave with isospin $I$ and angular momentum $\ell$.

The dots in Eq. (2.1) amount to numerically suppressed higher partial waves. Taking into account the small size of the S-wave component, the angular distribution associated to $F(s, z)$ would have a marked dip at $z = 0$, where also $F(s, z) \simeq 0$. This reflects the spin-1 nature of the $\rho(770)$. Due to the properties of the Legendre polynomials these dips in the angular distribution (or zeros of the amplitude) will appear for $\ell > 0$ and their number in the physical region, $z \in [-1, 1]$, will be given by the angular momentum of the partial-wave. These zeros can be considered as dynamical features which give the spin to the resonance. This observation provides a possible path to analyze the spectrum of $J \geq 1$ resonances integrated out and hidden in the couplings of the effective field theory.

Being analytical functions of more than one variable the zeros of the amplitude are not isolated but continuous, defining a one-dimensional manifold for real $s$ and complex $t$. Then the solution of $F(s, z_0) = 0$ for physical values of the $s$ variable is defined by $z = z_0(s)$. We define the zero contour as the real part of the zeros. This contour continues smoothly from one region to another in the Mandelstam plane. Using Eq. (2.1) it can be seen that $|\text{Re} z(M_R^2) | \leq \frac{1}{4}$. Due to the exotic character of the S-wave $I = 2$ background and to the absence of the S-wave isoscalar channel, we know that indeed $|\text{Re} z(M_R^2) | \leq \frac{1}{4}$.

Hence, for a generic amplitude where the P-wave contribution dominates and is saturated by a vector resonance, the resonance mass $M_R$ should be found as the solution of:

$$\text{Re} z_0(M_R^2) \simeq 0, \quad (2.2)$$
where \( z_0(s) \) is the zero contour obtained from that amplitude. This procedure describes very accurately the \( \rho(770) \) resonance starting with the elastic amplitude of \( \pi\pi \) scattering given by \( \mathcal{O}(p^4) \) ChPT \([7, 9]\).

3. The Electroweak Chiral Lagrangian

In the absence of a Higgs, a strong interacting sector responsible for providing masses to the electroweak gauge bosons is described by Goldstone bosons \( \pi^a, a = 1, 2, 3 \), associated to the \( SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \rightarrow U(1)_{EM} \) spontaneous symmetry breaking, which become the longitudinal components of the electroweak gauge bosons. The corresponding EChET Lagrangian is then described by the non-linear sigma model based on the coset \( SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R/SU(2)_{L+R} \) where \( SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \) is gauged. \( SU(2)_{L+R} \) is the custodial symmetry that is usually enforced in order to keep the relation \( M_W = M_Z \cos \theta_W \) and the smallness of the \( T \) oblique parameter. A convenient parametrization of the Goldstone fields is given by \( U(x) = \exp \left( \frac{i}{2} \pi^a \tau^a \right) \), with \( \tau^a \) the Pauli matrices. This transforms as \( LUR \), with \( L \in SU(2)_L \) and \( R \in U(1)_Y \), under the gauge group. Up to dimension four operators, the most general \( SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \) gauge and CP invariant Lagrangian which implements the global symmetry breaking \( SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R \) into \( SU(2)_{L+R} \) is given in Ref. \([11]\).

A light Higgs boson, singlet of the \( SU(2)_{L+R} \) custodial symmetry, can be accomodated in this non-linear sigma model by multiplying the operators by arbitrary polynomials of the Higgs field \( f_i(H) \). We keep here only those operators needed for our task:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{EChET}} = \frac{v^2}{4} (D_\mu U) ^\dagger D^\mu U f_0(H) + a_4 \langle V_\mu V_\nu \rangle^2 f_4(H) + a_5 \langle V_\mu V^\mu \rangle^2 f_5(H),
\]

where \( V_\mu = (D_\mu U) U^\dagger \) and \( f_i(0) = 1 \). In order to study the scattering of longitudinally polarized gauge bosons, when the Higgs of the SM is also included, we can set \( f_4(H) = f_5(H) = 1 \) while \( f_0(H) = (1 + 2H/v) \). The covariant derivative takes the form \( D_\mu U = \partial_\mu U + \frac{i}{2} g' e^k U \partial^k - \frac{i}{2} g' e^k U \partial^k \). \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{EChET}} \) involves a perturbative derivative expansion driven this time by the scale \( \Lambda_{\text{EW}} = 4\pi v \simeq 3 \text{ TeV}, \) \( i.e. \) an expansion in powers of \( (p^2, M_Z^2)/\Lambda_{\text{EW}}^2 \). The low-energy couplings \( a_4 \) and \( a_5 \) encode the information of the heavier spectrum that has been integrated out in order to get \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{EChET}} \).

Here we are interested in the scattering of vector bosons with longitudinal polarization because is the one linked, through the Higgs mechanism, with the Goldstone bosons of the electroweak symmetry breaking sector. The exact relation is provided by the equivalence theorem \([2, 10]\):

\[
A \left( V^- L \rightarrow V^- L \right) = A^{(4)} \left( \pi^a \pi^b \rightarrow \pi^c \pi^d \right) + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{M_V}{E} \right) + \mathcal{O}(g, g') + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{E^5}{\Lambda_{\text{EW}}^3} \right),
\]

where \( A^{(4)} \) is the amplitude of Goldstone boson scattering at lowest order in the electroweak couplings \( g \) and \( g' \) as obtained from the effective Lagrangian \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{EChET}} \). Let us remark that at \( \mathcal{O}(g, g') \) the masses of the gauge bosons vanish and the equivalence theorem indicates that the Goldstone boson scattering amplitude has to be calculated in the zero mass limit.

Notice that Eq. (3.2) is valid in the energy range given by \( M_V \ll E \ll \Lambda_{\text{EW}} \). Since the EChET framework is analogous to ChPT, and the latter works reasonably well up to 500 MeV \( \simeq 2\pi F_{\pi} \), we can assume that our effective formalism could be valid at least up to \( 2\pi v \simeq 1.5 \text{ TeV} \).
4. Analysis of the zeros of the $W_LZ_L \to W_LZ_L$ amplitude

The equivalence theorem can be used to relate, at leading order, the amplitude of $W_LZ_L \to W_LZ_L$ with the one of the corresponding Goldstone bosons. The physical system provided by the Lagrangian in Eq. (3.1) without Higgs is, but for the change of scale ($F_\pi \to v$), the same than the one of the ChPT. Therefore one would expect a similar dynamics if the P-wave contribution is saturated by a vector resonance. Consequently we could apply the same procedure and study the occurrence of vector resonances in the scattering $W_LZ_L \to W_LZ_L$ through the analysis of the zero contours of the EChET amplitude. Zero contours cross the resonance location close to where the Legendre polynomial vanishes, which for vector resonances amounts to the condition $(r_i^L)_Z = 0$. At the resonance location, $s = M_R^2$, the latter equation relates the size of the imaginary part of the zero with the ratio between the S- and the P-wave contributions:

$$|z_0(M_R^2)| = |\text{Im} z_0(M_R^2)| = \left| \frac{f_0^2(M_R^2)}{3f_1^0(M_R^2)} \right| < \lambda . \quad (4.1)$$

The bound $\lambda$ then defines the range of applicability of our method: zeros of the amplitude with imaginary part smaller than $\lambda$ can be considered positive results in the search for vector resonances. For values of $\lambda$ larger than $1/2$ we cannot consider the S-wave to be significantly smaller than the P-wave, and one of the hypothesis of our method is not fulfilled [7].

We now consider two settings: the Higgsless case shown in Figure 1 [7], and the SM with a light Higgs, with mass $M_H = 126\,\text{GeV}$ shown in Figure 2. Though the validity of the approach cannot be trusted beyond $E \approx 2\,\text{TeV}$, we have displayed in the plot resonances found with masses up to $2.5\,\text{TeV}$. On the other side, the use of the equivalence theorem indicates that very low masses, let us say $M_R \lesssim 0.5\,\text{TeV}$ could also be at odds with our procedure. In order to study de $\lambda$ dependence we consider two cases, $\lambda = 1/2$ and $\lambda = 1/3$. The hatched region in the left and lower parts of the plot corresponds to values of $\bar{a}_4$ and $\bar{a}_5$ forbidden by positivity conditions on the $\pi\pi$ scattering amplitudes [9].

Let us comment the most relevant features of our results:

1/ Higgsless case. As can be seen in Figure 1, no vector resonances are found for $\bar{a}_4 \lesssim 8$ and $\bar{a}_5 \lesssim 25$. This would exclude to a large extent Higgsless models with vector resonances which saturate the low-energy couplings to the expected natural order of magnitude ($\bar{a}_{4,5} \sim 1$). Masses above $1.8\,\text{TeV}$ are confined to a thin slice in the lower-left and upper-left parts of the shaded regions and are mostly excluded by the positivity constraints. Conversely, light resonances ($M_R \lesssim 0.8\,\text{TeV}$) require values of either $\bar{a}_4$ or $\bar{a}_5$ larger than $20$. The validity of the EChET Lagrangian for such large values of the LECs is nevertheless questionable.

2/ SM Higgs. Including the Higgs contribution in the Goldstone boson scattering amplitude has a large effect on the location of the zeros. From Figure 2 we see that vector resonances are only found for $\bar{a}_i$ outside the natural order of magnitude region, and that all possible vector resonances
Figure 1: Resonance masses as a function of the low-energy couplings $\bar{a}_4$ and $\bar{a}_5$ in a world without a light Higgs. The scales in terms of the renormalized couplings $a'_4(\mu)$ and $a'_5(\mu)$ at $\mu = 2$ TeV are also drawn. The shaded areas show where resonances defined by the conditions (2.2) and (4.1) with $\lambda = 1/3$ are found in the $\bar{a}_4, \bar{a}_5$-plane. The contour lines drawn correspond to pairs of $(\bar{a}_4, \bar{a}_5)$ which yield the same resonance mass. The hatched region corresponds to values of $\bar{a}_4$ and $\bar{a}_5$ forbidden by positivity conditions on the $\pi \pi$ scattering amplitudes. The outermost dashed lines mark the boundary of the resonance region corresponding to $\lambda = 1/2$.

Figure 2: Resonance masses as a function of the low-energy couplings $\bar{a}_4$ and $\bar{a}_5$ when the Higgs of the SM with a mass of $M_H = 126$ GeV is included in the analysis. Further explanations are given in Figure 1.
have now a light mass, $M_R \lesssim 0.7\text{TeV}$, thus lying close to the energy region where the method of zeros is not valid. Hence, apart from the narrow slice of $a_i$ values where $M_R \gtrsim 0.5\text{TeV}$, our method excludes the existence of vector resonances with masses $0.5\text{TeV} \lesssim M_R \lesssim 1.5\text{TeV}$, in agreement with the results of Ref. [15].

In both cases the dependence on the $\lambda$-cut, though noticeable, is small. The LHC sensitivity to explore the values of the coefficients $a_4$ and $a_5$ has been investigated in Ref. [12]. Using ATLAS and CMS data, the most recent bounds on the mass of new charged vector resonances have also been obtained [13, 14]. Depending on the model, it is possible to exclude resonances with a mass as heavy as 1.14 and 2.5 TeV, in the $WZ$ and leptonic case respectively.

A systematic study of resonance masses in the parametric space spanned by $a_4(\mu)$ and $a_5(\mu)$ using the Inverse Amplitude Method has also been performed both in the Higgsless case [16] and including a light SM Higgs [17]. Their results, compared with both our Figures 1 and 2, look rather different.
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