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Recognition of S100 proteins by Signal Inhibitory Receptor on Leukocytes-1 negatively regulates human neutrophils
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Signal inhibitory receptor on leukocytes-1 (SIRL-1) is an inhibitory receptor with a hitherto unknown ligand, and is expressed on human monocytes and neutrophils. SIRL-1 inhibits myeloid effector functions such as reactive oxygen species (ROS) production. In this study, we identify S100 proteins as SIRL-1 ligands. S100 proteins are composed of two calcium-binding domains. Various S100 proteins are damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) released from damaged cells, after which they initiate inflammation by ligating activating receptors on immune cells. We now show that the inhibitory SIRL-1 recognizes individual calcium-binding domains of all tested S100 proteins. Blocking SIRL-1 on human neutrophils enhanced S100 protein S100A6-induced ROS production, showing that S100A6 suppresses neutrophil ROS production via SIRL-1. Taken together, SIRL-1 is an inhibitory receptor recognizing the S100 protein family of DAMPs. This may help limit tissue damage induced by activated neutrophils.
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Introduction

Inhibitory immune receptors set thresholds for immune cell activation and ensure prompt cessation of immune responses, preventing immunopathology [1]. Signal inhibitory receptor on leukocytes-1 (SIRL-1, VSTM1) is an inhibitory receptor without known ligands, expressed on human granulocytes and monocytes [2]. It dampens Fc-receptor-mediated reactive oxygen species (ROS) production in neutrophils and monocytes and neutrophil extracellular trap formation in vitro [3–5].

S100 proteins are small proteins with member-specific functions [6]. The human genome encodes 21 S100s [7, 8]. Although functionally diverse, S100s share a conserved structure comprising two Ca2+-binding EF-hand domains, one S100 protein-specific and the other common to other Ca2+-binding proteins. Each EF-hand domain is composed of two α-helices connected by a short Ca2+-binding loop, giving rise to a helix–loop–helix motif, and the two EF-hand domains are joined by a short hinge region to form a full S100 protein [9]. Some S100s are damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) released from damaged cells [10, 11].
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Figure 1. S100 proteins activate SIRL-1 reporter cells. Reporter cells were incubated with antibodies, collagen I, and S100s coated to a MaxiSorp 96-well plate. We assessed receptor activation by measuring GFP expression by flow cytometry. (A) Representative dot plots and gating examples are shown. (B) Reporter cells stimulated with anti-CD3 mAb, anti-hLAIR-1 mAb, collagen I (a known LAIR-1 ligand), anti-hSIRL-1 mAb, and S100A6. (C) Reporter cells stimulated with different S100 proteins. Mean and SD of three independent experiments are displayed. Student’s t-test with the Holm–Šidák multiple comparison correction.* p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001; ns = not significant. Significance levels for comparison hLAIR-1–CD3ζ to hSIRL-1–CD3ζ are shown.

Additionally, S100s such as S100A8 and S100A9 are also actively secreted from activated immune cells. After release into extracellular space, many S100s interact with pattern recognition receptors such as TLR4 and RAGE and promote inflammation [12–14]. Here, we show that some S100s engage SIRL-1 to suppress S100-induced ROS in neutrophils.

Results and discussion

S100 proteins activate SIRL-1

To identify SIRL-1 ligands, we performed pull-downs with recombinant SIRL-1 and LAIR-1 ectodomains from human monocyte and mouse RAW cell line lysates. We identified enriched proteins by mass spectrometry (MS, data available in jPOSTrepo). We used LAIR-1, an inhibitory receptor for collagen [15], as a specificity control. Human collagen II was enriched in LAIR-1 pull-downs. No proteins were significantly enriched in SIRL-1 pull-downs, but we did detect several S100 proteins in increased quantity. Despite S100s commonly appearing as non-specific hits in such experiments, we validated them further.

We tested multiple S100s as ligands for SIRL-1 in a reporter assay, in which ligation of SIRL-1–CD3ζ or LAIR-1–CD3ζ chimera by an antibody or a ligand results in GFP expression (Figure 1). SIRL-1–CD3ζ cells selectively responded to plate-coated anti-SIRL-1 mAb and S100A6 (Figure 1A shows selected scatter plots, and Figure 1B shows quantification). We next investigated additional members of the S100 protein family—A2, A5–A9, and
Figure 2. EF-hand domains of S100 proteins activate SIRL-1. (A) Sequence alignment of selected S100s. S100-specific (orange) and canonical (red) EF-hand domains are indicated. (B) Reporter cells were incubated with EF-hand domains of S100A6, S100A8, S100A9, and S100A12 coated to Greiner 96-well plates. We assessed receptor activation by measuring GFP expression by flow cytometry. Mean and SD of three independent experiments are displayed. Student’s t-test with the Holm–Šidák multiple comparison correction. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

A16—for SIRL-1 activation. All selectively activated the SIRL-1 reporter (Figure 1C), indicating SIRL-1 recognizes S100s. To investigate which S100 protein domains activate SIRL-1, we synthesized peptides spanning individual EF-hand domains of S100s A6, A8, A9, and A12. A sequence alignment indicating EF-hand domains is shown in Figure 2A, and peptide sequences are listed in Table 1. We tested these fragments (Figure 2B) and found that one EF-hand domain was sufficient for SIRL-1 reporter cell activation and that both canonical and S100-specific EF-hand domains of selected S100s engaged SIRL-1. Such broad recognition of ligands is reminiscent of LAIR-1 recognizing different types of extracellular and membrane collagens [17].

Anti-SIRL-1 mAb blocks activation of SIRL-1 by S100 proteins

We next performed the reporter assay in the presence of anti-SIRL-1 F(ab’)2, or control F(ab’)2 (Figure 3A). We used anti-SIRL-1 F(ab’)2 instead of the full-length mAb to prevent Fc-receptor engagement, in the experiments where we block SIRL-1. Anti-SIRL-1 F(ab’)2, but not control F(ab’)2, concentration-dependently blocked S100-induced SIRL-1 reporter cell activation. Thus, S100 protein EF-hand domain recognition by SIRL-1 depends on SIRL-1 ectodomain accessibility. In the case of S100A9, the blockade was not significant, possibly due to the low GFP signal. Upon anti-CD3 stimulation, we observed no blockade by anti-SIRL-1 F(ab’)2, excluding steric hindrance by F(ab’)2 as the reason for anti-SIRL-1 F(ab’)2-specific blockade of S100-induced GFP (Figure 3A).

SIRL-1 blockade enhances S100-instigated ROS production in human neutrophils

SIRL-1 is a negative regulator of FcR-induced ROS production [3], and some S100s induce ROS in neutrophils [18]. Thus, we investigated whether SIRL-1 inhibits S100-induced ROS production.
Figure 3. SIRL-1 blockade with anti-SIRL-1 F(ab’)_2 enhances S100A6-induced ROS in human neutrophils. (A) SIRL-1 reporter cells were pre-incubated with varying concentrations of anti-SIRL-1 F(ab’)_2 or a control F(ab’)_2. Subsequently, they were incubated with S100 EF-hand domains of S100A6, S100A9, and S100A12, and the canonical EF-hand domain of S100A8 that had been coated to a MaxiSorp 96-well plate. 1 μg/ml coated anti-CD3 mAb was used as a control. We assessed receptor activation by measuring GFP expression by flow cytometry. Mean and SD of three independent experiments are displayed. Student’s t-test with the Holm–Šidák multiple comparison correction. (B, C) Human neutrophils were pre-incubated with anti-SIRL-1 F(ab’)_2 or a control F(ab’)_2 and subsequently stimulated with S100-EF-hand of S100A6 coated to Microfluor 2 96-well plate. ROS production was monitored for 150 minutes. (B) ROS production over time, shown for one representative donor. (C) ROS production at 150 min for all six donors. Three independent experiments with neutrophils isolated from blood of six healthy donors are shown. Paired Student’s t-test. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

production. We stimulated freshly isolated human neutrophils with SIRL-1-activating EF-hand domains of S100A5, S100A6, S100A8, S100A9, and S100A12. Of these, only the S100-specific EF-hand of S100A6 induced neutrophil ROS production (Figure S1). Upon neutrophil stimulation with the S100-specific EF-hand of S100A6, anti-SIRL-1 F(ab’)_2 pre-incubated neutrophils exhibited significantly higher ROS production than control F(ab’)_2 pre-incubated neutrophils (Figure 3B and C), showing SIRL-1 dampens S100A6-induced ROS production in neutrophils. We observed a slight increase in ROS production upon SIRL-1 blockade in the absence of S100A6. This could indicate the presence of S100s or other SIRL-1 ligands in medium with serum, of which recognition was also blocked by anti-SIRL-1 F(ab’)_2. In agreement with this, the NFAT–GFP SIRL-1–CD3ζ reporter cells typically show slightly higher background GFP levels than wt and LAIR-1–CD3ζ reporter cells.
The initial SIRL-1 pull-down of S100s might thus have been a chance finding, of which follow-up experiments showed that S100s specifically activate SIRL-1. S100s frequently appear as non-specific hits in MS experiments. The affinity might be too low for detection in a purified system. Lastly, MD2 and CD14 [19]. Alternatively, the SIRL-1–S100 interaction is not uncommon—for instance, TLR4 binds LPS in complex with molecules could be needed for SIRL-1–S100 interaction, which might be too low for detection in a purified system. Lastly, S100s frequently appear as non-specific hits in MS experiments. The initial SIRL-1 pull-down of S100s might thus have been a chance finding, of which follow-up experiments showed that S100s specifically activate SIRL-1.

We could not show a direct interaction between S100s and SIRL-1 (Figures S2 and S3), even though we initially identified S100s by SIRL-1 pull-down from cell lysates. Additional experiments could be needed for SIRL-1–S100 interaction, which might be too low for detection in a purified system. Lastly, S100s frequently appear as non-specific hits in MS experiments. The initial SIRL-1 pull-down of S100s might thus have been a chance finding, of which follow-up experiments showed that S100s specifically activate SIRL-1.

Table 1. List of S100 fragment peptides

| Peptide                                      | EF-hand domains: 1 = S100-specific, 2 = canonical |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| METPLEKALTTTVTFKYSGREGSKLTSR                |                                                 |
| KELKELIKELCGE                                |                                                 |
| S100A5_1                                    |                                                 |
| S100A5_2                                    |                                                 |
| S100A6_1                                    |                                                 |
| S100A6_2                                    |                                                 |
| S100A8_1                                    |                                                 |
| S100A8_2                                    |                                                 |
| S100A9_1                                    |                                                 |
| S100A9_2                                    |                                                 |
| S100A12_1                                   |                                                 |
| S100A12_2                                   |                                                 |
| S100A5_1                                    |                                                 |
| S100A5_2                                    |                                                 |
| S100A8_1                                    |                                                 |
| S100A8_2                                    |                                                 |
| S100A9_1                                    |                                                 |
| S100A9_2                                    |                                                 |
| S100A12_1                                   |                                                 |
| S100A12_2                                   |                                                 |

Concluding Remarks

DAMPs can deliver activating as well as inhibitory signals to immune cells—the inhibitory receptor Siglec-10 recognizes high mobility group box 1, a prototypical DAMP in complex with CD24 [20]. The S100 DAMPs behave similarly: S100A9 binds the inhibitory receptor LILRB1 (CD85j) to modulate NK cell activity [21], and here we show that S100A6 induces SIRL-1-mediated inhibition. What is the benefit of SIRL-1 mediated negative regulation through DAMPs like S100s? When neutrophils first infiltrate the affected tissue to deploy effector mechanisms, the tissue has not been damaged by inflammatory processes and is devoid of DAMPS like S100s. Later in inflammation, effector cells will induce tissue damage and enhance DAMP release. We have previously shown that activated neutrophils gradually downregulate SIRL-1 expression [3]. Incoming neutrophils, however, express high levels of SIRL-1, which can detect S100s and induce an inhibitory signal. This functions as negative feedback for further deployment of tissue-damaging effector mechanisms and reduces the chances of developing immunopathology. In conclusion, we identify the first known SIRL-1 ligands. We demonstrate that S100 proteins activate SIRL-1 and that blocking SIRL-1 with anti-SIRL-1 F(ab')2 fragments enhances S100A6-induced ROS production in neutrophils. Similarly, S100s could modulate monocyte function through SIRL-1, which can be explored in the future. We propose that SIRL-1 broadly recognizes a yet unidentified feature of S100 proteins to help limit tissue damage by immune cells.

Table 1. List of S100 fragment peptides

| Peptide                                      | EF-hand domains: 1 = S100-specific, 2 = canonical |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| METPLEKALTTTVTFKYSGREGSKLTSR                |                                                 |
| KELKELIKELCGE                                |                                                 |
| S100A5_1                                    |                                                 |
| S100A5_2                                    |                                                 |
| S100A6_1                                    |                                                 |
| S100A6_2                                    |                                                 |
| S100A8_1                                    |                                                 |
| S100A8_2                                    |                                                 |
| S100A9_1                                    |                                                 |
| S100A9_2                                    |                                                 |
| S100A12_1                                   |                                                 |
| S100A12_2                                   |                                                 |

Materials and methods

Recombinant protein cloning, expression, and purification

SIRL-1 and LAIR-1 ectodomains (containing their native signal sequences) were PCR-amplified and cloned into pJet2.blunt. Inserts were cut out from the plasmid using PCR primer-encoded restriction enzymes and ligated into pcDNA3.1 expression vector (ThermoFisher) in frame with C-terminal 2×HA and 2×Flag tags. HEK293T cells were transiently (PEI)-transfected with newly generated plasmids. After one day, cells were transferred from RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum and 50 U/ml penicillin–streptomycin to serum-free OptiMEM (ThermoFisher) and incubated for five days at 37°C and 5% CO2. Afterward, the supernatant was collected by centrifugation, and the recombinant proteins were purified by incubation of the clarified supernatant with anti-FLAG (M2) agarose on a rotatory incubator at 4°C overnight. The next day, anti-FLAG (M2) agarose loaded with the SIRL-1-(2×HA-2×Flag) or LAIR-1-(2×HA-2×Flag) ectodomains was washed five times with PBS, and pull-down experiments were performed.

Monocyte isolation

All samples were collected after obtaining informed consent and with the approval of the Medical Research Ethics Committee Utrecht. Human monocytes were isolated from the freshly drawn peripheral blood of healthy donors. Whole blood was centrifuged over a Ficoll (GE Healthcare) gradient, and isolated PBMCs were further centrifuged over a Percoll (GE Healthcare) gradient. Purified monocytes were then lysed immediately, as described below.

Cell lysis, pull-down assays, and mass spectrometry

Isolated monocytes and mouse RAW cells were lysed by incubation in 50 mM Tris pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, and protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) at 4°C for 30 min. Following lysis, the lysate was cleared by centrifugation at 12,000 g and 4°C for 20 min. Cleared lysates (protein content around 1 mg/ml) were
incubated with SIRL-1-HA-Flag or LAIR-1-HA-Flag recombinant proteins bound to anti-FLAG agarose (Sigma) at 4°C overnight. The next day, anti-FLAG agarose was washed six times with PBS, and proteins were eluted with 100 μg/ml FLAG peptide (Sigma). The eluate was then incubated with anti-HA agarose (Sigma) at 4°C overnight. The next day, anti-HA agarose was washed six times with PBS, protease digestion was performed, and samples were submitted to mass spectrometric analysis as described before [22].

**Peptide synthesis**

EF-hand domains of S100s A6, A8, A9, and A12 (Table 1) were synthesized as described [23], with some modifications. Peptide synthesis was performed on a Syro II Multisynthec automated synthesizer with a TIP module. We applied standard 9-fluoronylmethoxycarbonyl (Fmoc) based solid-phase peptide chemistry at a 2 μmol scale, using fourfold excess of amino acids relative to pre-loaded Fmoc amino acid wang-type resin (0.2 mmol/g Rapp Polymere) [23]. For all cycles, double couplings in NMP for 2 min using PyBOP (4 equiv) and DiPEA (8 equiv) were performed. After each coupling, the resin was washed five times with NMP. In between cycles, Fmoc removal was performed with 20% piperidine in NMP for 2×100 μL TFA/H2O/phenol/iPr3SiH (90.5/5/2.5/2 v/v/v/v), peptides were precipitated with cold Et2O/n-pentane 3:1 v/v. Precipitated peptides were washed three times with Et2O, dissolved in H2O/CH3CN/HOAc (75/24/1 v/v/v), and precipitated with cold 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 50 U/ml penicillin–streptomycin (culture medium) unless stated otherwise. Mouse-anti-SIRL-1 mAb (clone 1A5, own production; 10 μg/ml), mouse-anti-LAIR-1 mAb (clone 8A8, own production; 10 μg/ml), and Armenian hamster-anti-mouse-CD3 mAb (clone 145-2C11, BD; 10 μg/ml) were coated as controls. After washing the wells with PBS, we seeded 0.5×10⁵ cells/well in the culture medium and incubated them overnight at 37°C. Where indicated, cells were pre-incubated with anti-SIRL-1 F(ab’)2 or control F(ab’)2 for 30 min before seeding. For anti-CD3 control in reporter assays with pre-incubation with F(ab’)2, 1 μg/ml anti-mouse-CD3 was coated to the plate. The following day, GFP expression was measured by flow cytometry (LSR Fortessa; BD Bioscience) following the “Guidelines for the use of flow cytometry and cell sorting in immunological studies” [25]. Results were analyzed with FlowJo 10.0.7r2.

**Neutrophil isolation and ROS assay**

We collected all samples after obtaining informed consent and with the approval of the Medical Research Ethics Committee Utrecht. Neutrophils were isolated from peripheral blood of healthy donors by Ficoll gradient centrifugation [26], with modifications. After erythrocyte lysis, neutrophils were washed with RPMI 1640 with 2% FBS. Neutrophils were then washed and suspended in HEPES buffer (20 mM HEPES, 132 mM NaCl, 6 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl2, 1 mM MgSO4, 1.2 mM KH2PO4, 5 mM D-glucose and 0.5% (w/v) bovine serum albumin, pH 7.4) with 4% FBS. Isolated neutrophils were incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature with 20 μg/ml control F(ab’)2 (Southern Biotech) or mouse-anti-SIRL-1 F(ab’)2 (own production). We generated F(ab’)2 fragments using Pierce™ Mouse IgG1 Fab and F(ab’)2 Preparation Kit (ThermoFischer Scientific #44980). ROS production upon stimulation with or without 10 μM peptide S100A6-1 coated to a Microfluor® 2 white-bottom 96-well plate (ThermoFisher) was determined by AmplexRed assay [3]. Fluorescence was measured in a 96-well plate reader (Clariostar, BMG Labtech) every two minutes for 150 minutes (λ Ex/Em = 526.5–97 / 650–100 nm). We corrected the measurement for spontaneous ROS production by subtracting the signal of PBS-treated cells.

**Statistical analysis**

Student’s t-test with the Holm–Šidák multiple comparison correction, or paired Student’s t-test were performed as indicated. P-values lower than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
(⁎ p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001). Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism 8.
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