Signature of a light charged Higgs boson from top quark pairs at the LHC
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The charged Higgs boson is a smoking gun of extensions of the standard model with multiple Higgs-doublets, and has been searched for at various collider experiments. In this paper, we study signature of a light charged Higgs boson produced by top quark pairs at the LHC, with subsequent three-body decays into a $W$ boson and a pair of bottom quarks. Cross sections on final states of two $W$ bosons plus four bottom quarks have been measured by the ATLAS collaboration at the LHC 13 TeV. We reinterpret the experimental data under the scenario of a light charged Higgs boson, and find improved agreements. We obtain the first limit from LHC direct searches on the total branching ratio of the three-body decay, $\text{Br}(t \rightarrow H^+ b) \times \text{Br}(H^+ \rightarrow W^+ b\bar{b})$, and the strongest direct constraints on the parameter space of a class of type-I two-Higgs-Doublet models.

**Introduction.** The successful operation of the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC) and the ATLAS and CMS experiments has led to the discovery of the Higgs boson, the final piece of the standard model (SM) [1, 2] of particle physics. Ten years after the discovery it remains mysterious: how the masses of fermions are arranged in a pattern of hierarchy, and if the SM Higgs boson is the only elementary particle with spin zero. A natural extension of the SM Higgs sector is to include multiple Higgs-doublets, for instance, the well motivated two-Higgs-Doublet models (2HDMs) [3, 4]. The charged Higgs boson is a smoking-gun signature of such new physics models and has received a lot of attentions recently. Direct searches on the charged Higgs boson have been carried out previously at LEP [5], Tevatron [6, 7], and now at the LHC [8, 9]. Constraints on branching ratios of various decay channels of the charged Higgs boson have been obtained, depending on its mass. We expect improved sensitivities at the upcoming run of the LHC with high luminosities, which may lead to a discovery of the charged Higgs boson or even stringent limits.

The searches of a light charged Higgs boson at the LHC, namely with mass smaller than difference of the masses of the top quark and the bottom quark, benefit from the large production cross sections of the top quark pair which decays into the charged Higgs boson and a bottom quark. A light charged Higgs boson can undertake several possible decays [10–13], for instance in the 2HDMs into $\tau^+ \nu_\tau$, $c\bar{s}$, $c\bar{b}$, $t^{(*)} \bar{b}$, and $W^+ A$ if the mass of the CP-odd Higgs boson $A$ is below the threshold. The latter two decays can both lead to $W^+ b\bar{b}$ final states either directly or via cascade decay of $A$, which can be dominant over the two-body decays. There exist many theoretical studies demonstrating potential of the $W^+ b\bar{b}$ decay channel on exploring the parameter space of the 2HDMs [14–20]. However, to our best knowledge, there are no dedicated experimental searches reported so far at the LHC on this three-body decay channel.

In this Letter, we utilize a measurement on inclusive and differential fiducial cross sections of final states with two $W$ bosons and four bottom quarks by the ATLAS collaboration at the LHC 13 TeV with an integrated luminosity of 36 $fb^{-1}$ [21]. We reinterpret the experimental data under the scenario of a light charged Higgs boson, and find improved agreements with the data. Here one of the top quarks decays into a charged Higgs boson and the other follows the SM decay into a $W$ boson and a bottom quark. We obtain the first limit from LHC direct searches on the total branching fraction of the three-body decay, $\text{Br}(t \rightarrow H^+ b) \times \text{Br}(H^+ \rightarrow W^+ b\bar{b})$, for a charged Higgs boson with mass smaller than the top quark. Our result sets strong constraints on the parameter space of type-I 2HDMs.

**Theory and data comparison.** ATLAS measured final states including a pair of $W$ bosons and four bottom quarks and compared with SM predictions from QCD production of a pair of top quarks associated with a pair of bottom quarks with subsequent decays. The measurement has been separated into the pure leptonic channel where one of the $W$ bosons decays into an electron and the other into a muon, and the lepton plus jets channel where one of the $W$ bosons decays into jets and the other into either a muon or electron [21]. We refer to them as leptonic and jet channel for simplicity in the following sections. The $W$ boson can decay to the electron and muon either directly or via an intermediate $\tau$-lepton, with the latter contributes about 10% to the cross sections. For each channel the signal region is further classified as that with at least four $b$-jets and that with at least three $b$-jets, since one of the bottom quarks can be out of experimental acceptance. The results on inclusive and differential fiducial cross sections have been unfolded to particle level to ensure comparisons with theoretical predictions from MC event generators. Detailed definitions on the fiducial region can be found in the experimental publication [21] and are also implemented in the public Rivet [22] analysis routine. We note there is another measurement on similar final states by the CMS collaboration [23]. However, we conclude that the CMS measurement can not be used in our study of the charged...
Higgs boson since it requires reconstructions of the top quarks following the SM decay mode.

Theoretical predictions on the binned cross sections in the presence of a light charged Higgs boson can be expressed as

$$
\sigma_{\text{bin}}^{\text{pre}} = \sigma_{\text{SM}}^{\text{bin}} + \sigma_{H^+}^{\text{bin}} = \sigma_{\text{SM}}(t\bar{b}b)\epsilon_{\text{SM}}^{\text{bin}} + 2B_{H^+}^{\text{sig}}\sigma_{\text{SM}}(t\bar{H})\epsilon_{H^+}^{\text{bin}}
$$

assuming the branching ratio of the top quark decays into the charged Higgs boson is small. $\sigma_{\text{SM}}(t\bar{b}b)$ is the SM cross section on QCD production of four heavy quarks, and $\epsilon_{\text{SM}}$ represents the efficiency for prescribed kinematic bin including branching fractions of SM decays of the $W$ boson. There are also other SM processes contributing to the same final states which have been subtracted from the experimental data already. Contributions from the charged Higgs boson have been factorized into the SM cross section on QCD production of top quark pair, the efficiency, and the total branching fraction $B_{H^+}^{\text{sig}}$ for the full decay chain of $t \to H^+ b \to W^+ b\bar{b}$. The factor of 2 in Eq. (1) is due to the fact that the charged Higgs boson arises from decays of both the top quark and anti-quark. Non-resonant production cross section of a light charged Higgs boson is generally below 10% of the cross section for on-shell production of the top quark pair with subsequent decays [24]. We do not include the non-resonant contributions for simplicity. We treat $B_{H^+}^{\text{sig}}$ as an input of the signal strength of new physics, and derive the efficiency $\epsilon_{H^+}$ from MC simulations that only depends on masses of the Higgs bosons.

We generate event samples with MG5_aMC@NLO [25] followed by parton showering (PS) and hadronizations with PYTHIA8 [26] in the four flavor number scheme (4FS), and analyse the events with the public routine of the ATLAS analysis in Rivet [22]. We use CT18 PDFs [27] and a top (bottom) quark pole mass of 172.5 (4.75) GeV in simulations, and set the default renormalization and factorization scales to the sum of transverse energy of all final states divided by two. For MC simulations of the charged Higgs boson, we use a model file of the general 2HDMs generated with FeynRules [28]. The efficiency $\epsilon_{H^+}$ is calculated with event samples generated at leading order in QCD matched with PS. We set the total cross section of SM top-quark pair production to 838.5 pb at LHC 13 TeV, calculated with Top++2.0 [29, 30] at next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) and next-to-next-to-leading logarithmic accuracy in QCD. We include two scenarios on the three-body decay of the charged Higgs boson with a mass between 110 and 160 GeV. In the first case, scenario $A$, we assume that the additional neutral Higgs bosons are heavier than $H^+$ and the three-body decay goes directly as $H^+ \to t^*(\bar{b}) \to W^+ b\bar{b}$. In scenario $B$, we assume a CP-odd Higgs boson $A$ with a mass $M_A = M_{H^+} - 85$ GeV, similar to one in Ref. [31]. The three-body decay goes through a cascade $H^+ \to W^+ A(b\bar{b})$.

For the SM predictions, we calculate $\sigma_{\text{bin}}^{\text{SM}}$ directly using event samples of $t\bar{b}b$ generated at next-to-leading order (NLO) in QCD matched with parton showering. We find good agreements of our SM predictions with those predictions shown in the ATLAS analysis [21]. In the remaining part of our study we instead use the theoretical predictions reported in the ATLAS analysis directly since comprehensive estimations of theoretical uncertainties are available. Be specific, for the inclusive fiducial cross sections, we take the theoretical predictions from SHERPA2.2 [32] at NLO+PS in 4FS. The theoretical uncertainties are obtained by varying the renormalization and factorization scales by factors of 0.5 and 2.0 and including PDF uncertainties from NNPDF3.0 NNLO PDFs [33]. For normalized fiducial distributions, we take the predictions from POWHEG+PYTHIA8 in the 4FS for $t\bar{b}b$ production [34], and in the 5 flavor number scheme (5FS) for $t\bar{t}$ production [35], both at the accuracy of NLO+PS. In the 5FS, there are three predictions with different tunes of POWHEG and PYTHIA8 [36], and additional bottom quarks are generated from PS. We take midpoints of the envelope of the four predictions as the central prediction and half width of the envelope as theoretical uncertainties. The PDF uncertainties are negligible for normalized distributions and are thus not included. We have checked the choice of the nominal theoretical prediction on the SM $t\bar{b}b$ production has little impact on our final results. There are also several recent calculations on the SM predictions at NLO+PS accuracy [37, 38], and at NLO including full non-resonant and off-shell contributions with leptonic decays of the $W$ bosons [39–41].

We perform a survey on the inclusive and various differential fiducial cross sections measured by ATLAS and select three data sets. The first set is on the inclusive fiducial cross sections of the leptonic and jet channel with three and four $b$-jets respectively. The other two are normalized distributions of invariant mass of the pair of two closest $b$-jets in $\Delta R, m_{b\bar{b}}^{\text{min}}$, for the leptonic and jet channel respectively. Distributions of $m_{b\bar{b}}^{\text{min}}$ are most sensitive to the charged Higgs boson which tends to generate a smaller invariant mass for the two closest $b$-jets. We drop the last bin in each of the two distributions since they are not independent from the rest for normalized distributions. We show comparisons between theory and data on the inclusive fiducial cross sections and the normalized $m_{b\bar{b}}^{\text{min}}$ distribution of leptonic channel in Fig. 1, for the SM and the SM with a charged Higgs boson of scenario $B$ in addition. We take a mass of 140 GeV of the charged Higgs boson and a signal strength of 0.4% for demonstration. Both theoretical predictions are normalized to the central measurements. The bands and error bars represent the total experimental and theoretical errors respectively. For the normalized distributions, the theoretical uncertainties increase when including contri-
of about 0.3%–0.4%. The $\chi^2$ is lower by about 2 units compared to the SM case. Inclusion of contributions from the charged Higgs boson leads to moderate improvement on description of the data especially because of enhancements to the inclusive fiducial cross sections.

We can deduce upper limits on the total branching fraction of $t \to H^+ b \to W^+ b b \bar{b}$ for fixed values of $M_{H^+}$. We use the CLs method [42] together with the $\chi^2$ function. Note here we only include the two date sets of normalized distributions (8 bins in total) for calculations of the $\chi^2$. Upper limits on $B_{H^+}^{\text{sig}}$ for a fixed $M_{H^+}$ at a confidence level 1-$\alpha'$ is determined as

$$\hat{\mu} + \Delta_{\mu} \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha' \Phi(\hat{\mu}/\Delta_{\mu})),$$

where $\hat{\mu}$ is the best-fit of $B_{H^+}^{\text{sig}}$ with fixed $M_{H^+}$, and $\Delta_{\mu}$ is the uncertainty estimated by requiring $\Delta \chi^2 = 1$ compared to the best-fit. $\Phi$ is the cumulative distribution function of normal distribution. We plot the 95% CLs limits for the two scenarios of the charged Higgs boson as functions of the mass as shown in Fig. 2. The best limit is about 0.32(0.28)% at a mass of about 140 GeV for the scenario B, and the limits deteriorate at both ends of the mass range. The results represent first limits on the branching fraction of such decay channel from direct searches at the LHC. Furthermore, we emphasize that in deriving the limits we have only used a single kinematic distribution in $m_{bb}^{\text{min}}$. We expect enhanced sensitivity to the charged Higgs boson in future experimental analyses once multivariate discrimination methods are used.

**Model constraints.** We can translate above limits into constraints on the parameter space of the relevant 2HDMs. We take type-I 2HDMs as examples which are less constrained by direct searches at the LHC [16]. One
important feature of type-I models is that couplings of the charged Higgs boson and the CP-odd neutral Higgs boson to fermions are both proportional to masses of the fermions divided by $\tan \beta$. The latter is the ratio of vacuum expectation values of the two Higgs doublets. The total branching ratio of the three-body decay can be written as

$$B^{\text{sig}}_{H^+}(A) = Br(t \rightarrow H^+ b) \times Br(H^+ \rightarrow t^{(*)} \bar{b}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$B^{\text{sig}}_{H^+}(B) = Br(t \rightarrow H^+ b) \times Br(H^+ \rightarrow W^+ A) \times Br(A \rightarrow \bar{b}b),$$

for scenario $A$ and $B$, respectively.

In 2HDMs of type-I, the branching ratio $Br(t \rightarrow H^+ b)$ only depends on $M_{H^+}$ and $\tan \beta$. For that we calculate the partial widths of the top quark at NNLO in QCD for both its SM decay [43] and the decay into the charged Higgs boson [44]. For decays of the charged Higgs boson there are competing channels including $\tau^+ \nu_\tau$, $c\bar{s}$, $t^{(*)} \bar{b}$ and $W^+ A$, while others are negligible in 2HDMs of type-I. The relative weights of fermionic channels only depend on the mass of the charged Higgs boson, where $\tau^+ \nu_\tau$ ($t^{(*)} \bar{b}$) is dominant at small (large) $M_{H^+}$. For simplicity, we assume the CP-odd Higgs boson $A$ is heavier than the charged Higgs boson in models associated with scenario $A$ to avoid the decay into $W^+ A$. In models related to scenario $B$ where $M_{H^+} - M_A$ is fixed to 85 GeV, the branching fraction to $W^+ A$ is almost 100% for the parameter space of interests, namely with $\tan \beta \gtrsim 1$. Decays of the Higgs boson $A$ to fermions are dominant. The branching fraction is $82(0.024)\%$ to a pair of bottom quarks (muons) and is almost independent of $\tan \beta$ and $M_{H^+}$ for the parameter space considered. From above we conclude both $B^{\text{sig}}_{H^+}$ depend only on $M_{H^+}$ and $\tan \beta$ for which we will set constraints on. We calculate the branching fractions of decays of $H^+$ and $A$ in type-I models using the 2HDMC-1.8.0 program [45].

We reproduce constraints on the parameter space imposed by previous direct searches of a light charged Higgs boson at the LHC for comparisons, all at 13 TeV with an integrated luminosity of about 36 $fb^{-1}$. They include searches for $\tau^+ \nu_\tau$ decay channel from both CMS [46] and ATLAS [47]. In addition, there exists a search for $W^+ A(\mu^+ \mu^-)$ decay channel from CMS [31] assuming $M_{H^+} - M_A = 85$ GeV. Based on the 95% CLS limits on the signal strength reported in those analyses, we identify excluded regions of the parameter space as shown in Fig. 3, for the two classes of type-I models considered. The constraints are strongest for models with scenario $A$ from searches of $\tau^+ \nu_\tau$ channel. The parameter space are less constrained in models with scenario $B$ by the CMS search of $W^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$. The results agree with those shown in Ref. [16] except for constraints imposed by the ATLAS search of $\tau^+ \nu_\tau$ that is not considered therein. There also exists a recent analysis from CMS on the $W^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ channel using a larger data sample of 139 $fb^{-1}$ [48]. They report improved limits on the signal strength by up to a factor of two comparing with those in [31].

For the decay channel of $W^+ \bar{b}b$ considered in this work, we plot contours of $B^{\text{sig}}_{H^+}$ in the plane of $\tan \beta$ and $M_{H^+}$ in Fig. 3 for the two classes of type-I models. In models with scenario $A$ the signal strength can reach at most 0.3% for the allowed parameter space by previous direct searches, with a mass of the charged Higgs boson between 100 and 160 GeV. The new constraints are represented by the solid line which are comparable to the CMS ones for a mass greater than 150 GeV but weaker than the ATLAS constraints. For the class of models with scenario $B$, with constraints from previous searches the signal strength can still be larger than 1%. From last section the limit on the signal strength is 0.28(0.64)% at $M_{H^+}$ of 140(110) GeV. That excludes the parameter space of $\tan \beta < 5 \sim 8$ for a mass up to 145 GeV, representing the strongest constraints from direct searches. Lastly, we mention that various theoretical and indirect constraints are generally weaker than those from LHC direct searches for type-I models as discussed in Refs. [17–19, 49]. For instance, measurements on electroweak precision observables indicate either of the mass splits between the charged Higgs boson and the two additional neutral Higgs bosons should be small [17]. It is possible that the CP-even Higgs boson is lighter than the charged Higgs boson and contributes
via cascade decays similar as the CP-odd Higgs boson. A scan on full parameter space of type-I 2HDMs combining all relevant decay channels is desirable which we leave for future investigation.

**Summary.** We have studied signature of a light charged Higgs boson produced from top quark pairs at the LHC via its three-body decays into a $W$ boson and a pair of bottom quarks. We obtain the first direct limit on its three-body decays into a Higgs boson produced from top quark pairs at the LHC.
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