Neural basis of three dimensions of agitated behaviors in patients with Alzheimer disease

Background: Agitated behaviors are frequently observed in patients with Alzheimer disease (AD). The neural substrate underlying the agitated behaviors in dementia is unclear. We hypothesized that different dimensions of agitated behaviors are mediated by distinct neural systems.

Methods: All the patients (n=32) underwent single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT). Using the Agitated Behavior in Dementia scale, we identified the relationships between regional cerebral blood flow (rCBF) patterns and the presence of each of three dimensions of agitated behavior (physically agitated behavior, verbally agitated behavior, and psychosis symptoms) in AD patients. Statistical parametric mapping (SPM) software was used to explore these neural correlations.

Results: Physically agitated behavior was significantly correlated with lower rCBF values in the right superior temporal gyrus (Brodmann 22) and the right inferior frontal gyrus (Brodmann 47). Verbally agitated behavior was significantly associated with lower rCBF values in the left inferior frontal gyrus (Brodmann 46, 44) and the left insula (Brodmann 13). The psychosis symptoms were significantly correlated with lower rCBF values in the right angular gyrus (Brodmann 39) and the right occipital lobe (Brodmann 19).

Conclusion: Our results support the hypothesis that three different agitated behaviors may represent distinct neural networks in AD patients.
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Introduction

Agitated behaviors are frequent symptoms in patients with Alzheimer disease (AD). The reported prevalence of agitated behaviors in AD patients is approximately 30%–50%, even among patients with a mild stage of AD. Although the concept of agitated behavior is rather vague, since it includes a wide range of inappropriate behavior (including verbal, vocal, or motor activities), agitated behavior is usually considered to result in serious impairments of daily functioning. Thus, agitated behaviors are very distressing to the caregivers of AD patients.

Cohen-Mansfield proposed that agitated behaviors can be classified into several different subtypes: verbally nonaggressive, verbally aggressive, physically nonaggressive, and physically aggressive. Most previous factor analyses have supported these dimensions. Understanding the different mechanisms of the subtypes of agitated behavior is clinically important for both clinical intervention and prognosis. Our earlier study using the Agitated Behavior in Dementia scale (ABID) showed that agitated behaviors in AD patients can be grouped according to three dimensions: physically
agitated behavior, verbally agitated behavior, and psychosis symptoms. In our previous study, we demonstrated that the ABID has several clinical advantages over the Cohen-Mansfield Agitation Inventory (CMAI) for the assessment of dementia in patients with agitated behaviors. For example, the ABID may be an appropriate assessment for community-dwelling subjects with mild to moderate levels of dementia. In contrast, the CMAI may be more appropriate for more severely disturbed nursing home residents. In terms of cognitive impairment, different types of agitated behavior are postulated to be associated with different patterns of cognitive functioning. Several studies have suggested that agitated behaviors are mediated by frontal lobe dysfunction. However, the neural basis of the different types of agitated behaviors remains unclear.

A few neuroimaging studies have addressed the neural basis of agitated behaviors in dementia patients. Two functional neuroimaging studies using single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) demonstrated that hypoperfusion in either the left anterior temporal region or the right medial temporal region is chiefly responsible for agitated behaviors. Another study, using positron emission tomography (PET), demonstrated that perfusion in both the frontal cortex and the temporal cortex was correlated with the agitation score. A recent structural brain study using voxel-based morphometry (VBM) implied that agitation was associated with decreased gray matter in the left insula and bilateral anterior cingulate cortex. This variability in the brain regions responsible for agitated behaviors might have arisen, not only from the use of different methods to assess agitation but also, from the inclusion of AD patients with various types of agitated behaviors. In addition, this variability in the brain regions might have arisen, not only from the different modalities that were used for the neuroimaging studies (functional neuroimaging studies versus a structural brain study) but also, from the different analytical methods that were used (a region of interest approach versus a voxel-based analysis).

Considering these results of previous neuroimaging studies together with the classification of agitated behaviors proposed by Cohen-Mansfield, we hypothesized that different dimensions of agitated behaviors are mediated by distinct neural systems. Two previous studies suggested that opposite hemisphere dominance (left vs right hemisphere) was responsible for the expression of agitated behaviors. Thus, whether a disruption of the right or left hemisphere dominance in the frontal and temporal regions is critical in different dimensions of agitated behaviors remains unclear.

We used SPECT to examine the relationships between regional cerebral blood flow (rCBF) and the presence of each dimension of agitated behavior in AD patients.

**Methods**

**Participants**

The patients were selected from a large group of our previous study, which enrolled 149 Japanese patients with AD who attended the outpatient clinic of Nagoya City University Hospital. The diagnostic evaluation included a complete history and physical examination, routine blood tests (including an evaluation of serum vitamin B12 and thyroid function), either a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or a computed tomography (CT) scan of the brain, and neuropsychological testing. The study inclusion criteria were: 1) a diagnosis of probable AD according to the National Institute of Neurological and Communication Disorders and Stroke/Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association criteria; 2) very mild to moderate (grade 0.5, 1, or 2) functional dementia severity on the clinical dementia rating scale (CDR); and 3) no history of taking medication containing acetylcholine esterase inhibitors or antipsychotic medications. Patients were excluded if: 1) other neurological diseases were present; 2) a previous history of mental illness or substance abuse prior to the onset of dementia was present; 3) either an MRI or a CT scan revealed focal brain lesions; 4) the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score was less than 11; or 5) reliable informed consent could not be obtained from the patient and/or the patient’s relatives.

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Nagoya City University Medical School. Both the subjects and their caregivers were informed of the purpose and procedures of this study and signed a consent form.

**Psychiatric interview and diagnosis**

The diagnosis of agitated behaviors in the AD patients was assessed using the Japanese version of the ABID. The reliability and validity of the Japanese version of the test battery have been confirmed. Briefly, the Japanese version of the ABID demonstrated excellent internal reliability for both the frequency ratings (Cronbach’s $\alpha=0.89$) and the reaction ratings (Cronbach’s $\alpha=0.92$) and an excellent test–retest reliability for both the frequency ratings and the reaction ratings. The total score for the frequency ratings of the ABID was significantly associated with the CMAI.

The ABID includes items that can be observed and described objectively and that were identified by Logsdon et al as being the most problematic in individuals.
with dementia. It consists of a 16-item questionnaire seeking responses from caregivers about the common agitated behaviors in dementia patients. For the current study, the caregivers first rated each behavior according to the frequency of occurrence during each of the 2 weeks immediately before the assessment, using a scale of 0 to 3 (0= did not occur during the week; 1= occurred one to two times during the week; 2= occurred three to six times during the week; 4= occurred daily or more often). The two weekly scores for each item were then added together; the resulting item scores ranged from 0 to 6. The item scores were summed to obtain the total score, with possible scores ranging from 0 to 96. In another section of the ABID, the caregivers were asked to rate their own reactions to each problem behavior, on a scale of 0 to 4 (where 0= not upsetting and 4= extremely upsetting). The caregiver’s reactions are rated once for each item and are then summed. The total reaction scores have a possible range of 0 to 64. The main aim of this study was to clarify the underlying mechanisms of the frequency of agitated behaviors in AD patients. Thus, we did not examine the neural basis of the caregiver’s reactions because this assessment concerned the caregiver’s level of distress.

Based on the results of a previous study, we obtained three factors in Japanese AD patients (n=32). The detailed methods used for this analysis were previously reported. The current statistical analysis was performed as follows. A principal component factor analysis using Varimax rotation was performed for the 16 items included in the frequency rating of the ABID. The models included factors with an eigenvalue >1. An item was considered to load onto a factor if its factor-loading score exceeded 0.50. An exploratory principal component analysis with Varimax rotation using eigenvalues >1 reduced the 16 variables to three factors representing the frequency rating of the ABID. These three factors explained 70.2% of the variance in the frequency rating data of the ABID. A visual inspection of a scree plot also supported a three-factor solution. Table 1 demonstrates a rotated component matrix of the three-factor solution in Japanese AD patients (n=32) in the current study. The first factor in the frequency rating data of the ABID had high loadings for items such as “physically threatening or aggressive toward others”, “destroying property”, and “restlessness, fidgetiness, inability to sit still”. The first factor explained 41.8% of the variance. The first factor was termed “physically agitated behavior”. The second factor in the frequency rating data of the ABID included most of the items corresponding to verbally agitated behavior, such as “arguing, irritability, or complaining” and “refusing to accept appropriate help”. Therefore, we named this factor “verbally agitated behavior”. The second factor explained 21.0% of the variance. The third factor in the frequency rating data of the ABID mainly contained items representing psychosis symptoms (delusion and hallucination), such as “seeing, hearing, or sensing distressing people or things that are not really present” and “incorrect, distressing beliefs”. Therefore, the third factor was interpreted as representing “psychosis symptoms”. The third factor explained 7.2% of the variance.

Thus, similar to our previous results, in the present study, we confirmed that three factors describing agitated behavior (frequency ratings) were identified using the Japanese

**Table 1** Factor analysis of the ABID frequency scores among 32 AD patients

| Item number | Agitated behavioral characteristics                                      | Factor 1  | Factor 2  | Factor 3  |
|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 2           | Physically threatening or aggressive toward others                      | 0.953*    | 0.126     | 0.054     |
| 5           | Destroying property                                                    | 0.917*    | 0.114     | 0.012     |
| 11          | Restlessness, fidgetiness, and inability to sit still                  | 0.899*    | 0.157     | 0.056     |
| 3           | Harmful to self                                                        | 0.837*    | 0.012     | 0.153     |
| 7           | Trying to leave (or leaving) home inappropriately                       | 0.819*    | 0.219     | 0.215     |
| 10          | Inappropriate sexual behavior                                           | 0.710*    | 0.074     | 0.052     |
| 8           | Arguing, irritability, or complaining                                   | −0.099    | 0.894*    | 0.213     |
| 1           | Verbally threatening or aggressive toward others                        | 0.042     | 0.843*    | 0.276     |
| 4           | Inappropriate screaming or crying out                                  | −0.085    | 0.766*    | 0.426     |
| 13          | Easily agitated or upset                                               | 0.389     | 0.748*    | 0.092     |
| 12          | Worrying, anxiety, and/or fearfulness                                  | 0.374     | 0.707*    | −0.050    |
| 9           | Socially inappropriate behavior                                         | 0.149     | 0.691*    | 0.318     |
| 6           | Refusing to accept appropriate help                                    | 0.422     | 0.553*    | 0.123     |
| 16          | Seeing, hearing, or sensing distressing people or things that are not really present | 0.048     | 0.114     | 0.850*    |
| 15          | Incorrect, distressing beliefs                                         | 0.173     | 0.291     | 0.684*    |
| 14          | Waking and getting up at night (other than trip to the bathroom)       | 0.137     | 0.318     | 0.552*    |

**Note:** *Factor loadings of 0.5 or more.

**Abbreviations:** ABID, Agitated Behavior in Dementia Scale; AD, Alzheimer disease.
version of the ABID: factor 1) physically agitated behavior (eg, physically threatening or aggressive behavior toward others, destroying property, etc); factor 2) verbally agitated behavior (eg, arguing, irritability or complaining, and verbal threats); and factor 3) psychosis symptoms (eg, seeing, hearing or sensing distressing people or things that are not actually present, and incorrect or distressing beliefs).

SPECT procedure

Investigations using a SPECT scanner (e.cam®; Siemens AG, Erlangen, Germany), to evaluate rCBF, were performed at the Department of Radiology of Nagoya City University Hospital. In AD patients with agitated behaviors, the SPECT scan was conducted within 1 month of the ABID assessment. All the patients were studied while lying in a supine resting position in a quiet room. The patients were instructed to remain awake with their eyes open and to lie still and not talk. A dose of approximately 600 MBq of 99mTc-ECD was injected intravenously. Fifteen minutes after the injection, the scans were performed using a dual-head gamma camera equipped with low-energy, high-resolution, parallel-hole collimators (e.cam®; Siemens AG). The energy window was set at 140 keV with a 20% width. The images were obtained using a 128 × 128 matrix and a pixel size of 3.3 mm. The acquisition time for each projection was 20 seconds, with a total imaging time of 15 minutes for all 36 steps. Images were reconstructed using filtered back-projection (Ramp filters) with Butterworth prefiltering (power factor =8, cutoff frequency =0.4 cycles/pixel). Attenuation correction was performed using Chang’s algorithm, with an attenuation coefficient of 0.1/cm. The spatial resolution at full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the reconstructed images was 9 mm.

SPECT imaging analysis

All the images were transferred from the SPECT imaging units to a Windows workstation (Windows 7, Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA), where statistical analyses of all the data were conducted on a voxel-by-voxel basis, using statistical parametric mapping (SPM) software (SPM8; Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, University College London, London, UK) implemented in MATLAB 7.5 (The MathWorks, Inc, Natick, MA, USA). Using a 99mTc-ECD template, the SPECT data were normalized into a standard stereotaxic space at the MNI (Montreal Neurological Institute) and smoothed using a 12 mm isotropic Gaussian filter. For SPECT analyses, an isotropic Gaussian filter is recommended for use at the FWHM of the SPECT device.21 In most previous SPECT studies, the images were smoothed using a 12 mm Gaussian filter.22,23 Thus, we utilized a 12 mm isotropic Gaussian filter to smooth the images. We used the adjusted rCBF in the relative flow distribution (normalization of global cerebral blood flow for each patient to 50 mL/100 g/min, with proportional scaling) because the absolute rCBF is susceptible to general arousal, aging, and drug effects.24

We examined the relationship between the factor scores of the frequency ratings for each of the three subtypes of agitation (physically agitated behavior, verbally agitated behavior, and psychosis symptoms), identified using a factor analysis of ABID results,7 and the rCBF with covariate-only design matrices, using a multiple regression model implemented in SPM8. Age, sex, years of education, duration of illness, and the MMSE score were treated as nuisance covariates. The statistical significance level was the threshold for the correction of multiple comparisons using a false discovery rate (FDR)25 of 0.05. Cluster extent thresholds were set at 100 voxels to reduce possible noise, based on previous studies.26,27 The cluster locations were converted from coordinates related to the MNI atlas system28 to coordinates related to the Talairach atlas.29

Results

Demographic and clinical characteristics

Among 149 Japanese patients with AD, 40 AD patients could not undergo a SPECT examination. We excluded an additional 77 AD patients because these patients did not meet the inclusion criteria, for reasons such as the use of antipsychotic medications. Thus, we analyzed the remaining 32 AD patients.

Table 2 shows the mean scores and standard deviations of the clinical and demographic characteristics of the 32 AD patients. All 32 AD patients were right-handed patients. The ABID frequency ratings and reaction ratings were relatively high. For the CDR, no significant differences in the ABID frequency ratings were seen among the three groups in the AD patients (CDR 0.5: 40.0±0, CDR 1: 42.3±10.3, and CDR 2: 42.7±5.3).

SPECT results

The multiple regression analysis showed a negative correlation between the factor scores of the frequency rating of ABID representing physically agitated behavior (factor 1), and the right superior temporal gyrus (Brodmann 22) and the right inferior frontal gyrus (Brodmann 47) (P_{FDR-CORR} < 0.05) (Table 3 and Figure 1). No significant positive correlations between the factor scores of the frequency rating of ABID
representing physically agitated behavior (factor 1), and the rCBF were observed.

Regarding the factor 2 symptoms, representing verbally agitated behavior, the multiple regression analysis showed a negative correlation between the factor 2 scores of the frequency rating of ABID and the right inferior frontal gyrus (Brodmann 47) and the right occipital lobe (Brodmann 19) \((P_{\text{FDR-CORR}} 0.05)\) (Table 4 and Figure 2). No significant positive correlations between the factor scores of the frequency rating of ABID representing verbally agitated behavior (factor 2), and the rCBF were observed.

Regarding the factor 3 symptoms, representing psychosomatic symptoms, the multiple regression analysis showed a negative correlation between the factor scores of the frequency rating of ABID and the right angular gyrus (right parietal lobe) (Brodmann 39) and the right occipital lobe (Brodmann19) \((P_{\text{FDR-CORR}} 0.05)\) (Table 5 and Figure 3). No significant positive correlations between the factor scores of the frequency rating of ABID representing psychosomatic symptoms (factor 3), and the rCBF were observed.

### Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine different dimensions of agitated behaviors using SPECT, in AD patients. We identified three different dimensions of the ABID that were associated with distinct neural areas of rCBF in AD patients. Firstly, the result of the analysis of the factor 1 symptoms suggests that lower rCBF values in the right superior temporal gyrus (Brodmann 22) and the right inferior frontal gyrus (Brodmann 47) were correlated with an increase in the score for ABID factor 1 symptoms. Secondly, the result of analysis of the factor 2 symptoms suggests that lower rCBF values in the left inferior frontal gyrus (Brodmann 44), the left insula (Brodmann 13), and the left inferior frontal gyrus (Brodmann 44) were correlated with an increase in the score for ABID factor 2 symptoms.

Thirdly, the result of the analysis of the factor 3 symptoms suggests that lower rCBF values in the right angular gyrus (Brodmann 39) and the right occipital lobe (Brodmann 19) were correlated with an increase in the score for ABID factor 3 symptoms.

Dysfunction in the right hemisphere is known to produce marked emotionally relevant abnormalities and the disrup
tion of social behavior. Many studies have reported a wide variety of inappropriate agitated behaviors, such as mania and hypersexual activity, to be associated with right hemisphere brain lesions.\(^{30,33}\) A previous study\(^{15}\) of AD patients supported a right hemisphere dominance in the regulation of agitated behaviors. In our study, one of the ABID dimensions (physically agitated behavior) supports the hypothesis that the right hemisphere is dominant for the regulation of agitated behaviors. We observed that two areas, including both the right superior temporal and inferior frontal lobes, are associated with physically agitated behavior. Three previous studies have suggested that AD patients with aggression exhibit hypoperfusion in the temporal lobe.\(^{11,12}\) However, both the parts and the laterality of the temporal lobe related to agitated behaviors differed among the three studies. These differences might be partly attributable to variations in the

### Table 2 The clinical and demographic data of AD patients

| Demographic variables              | AD patients (n=32) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Age, years                        | 73.3±8.1           |
| Education, years                  | 9.8±0.7            |
| Sex (males/females)               | 10/22              |
| Dementia history                  |                    |
| Duration of illness, years        | 3.9±1.2            |
| MMSE                              | 18.2±2.9           |
| CDR (0.5/1/2)                     | 2/20/10            |
| ABID questionnaire                |                    |
| Frequency ratings                 | 33.8±11.3          |
| Mean factor score (ABID: frequency ratings) |          |
| Factor 1 (physically agitated behavior) | 0.2025±0.9967    |
| Factor 2 (verbally agitated behavior) | 0.3608±0.9177    |
| Factor 3 (psychosymptoms)        | 0.2586±1.2560      |

**Note:** Data are presented as the mean ± SD.

**Abbreviations:** ABID, Agitated Behavior in Dementia Scale; AD, Alzheimer disease; CDR, Clinical Dementia Rating; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; SD, standard deviation.

### Table 3 Significant negative correlations between rCBF values and the factor scores of the frequency rating of the ABID in relation to physically agitated behavior, for all AD patients

| Cluster | Region                        | Talairach coordinates | Voxels in cluster | Z-value |
|---------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| 1       | Rt superior temporal gyrus    | 22                     | 63                 | 373     | 3.61   |
| 2       | Rt inferior frontal gyrus     | 47                     | 16                 | 132     | 3.68   |
| 3       | Rt inferior frontal gyrus     | 47                     | 27                 | 132     | 3.45   |

**Notes:** N=32. \(P_{\text{FDR-CORR}} 0.05\) (results of a voxel-wise SPM analysis).

**Abbreviations:** ABID, Agitated Behavior in Dementia Scale; AD, Alzheimer disease; CORR, correction; FDR, false discovery rate; rCBF, regional cerebral blood flow; Rt, right; SPM, statistical parametric mapping.
methods used to assess agitation in dementia. Unlike a multisymptom scale, such as the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI), the ABID was devised to assess specific agitated behaviors in dementia patients. Several items in the factor termed as physically agitated behavior according to the ABID (eg, restlessness, trying to leave, and inappropriate sexual behavior) correspond to the items of disinhibition or aberrant motor behavior, according to the NPI or other assessments. Zamboni et al showed that the severity of disinhibition in patients with frontotemporal dementia was correlated with gray matter loss in the right lateral temporal lobe (middle temporal gyrus). In addition, Mendez et al suggested that right temporal involvement may cause emotional impairments in frontotemporal dementia. Thus, the dysfunction of the right side of the temporal lobe may contribute to the expression of physically agitated behaviors, not only in patients with frontotemporal dementia but also, in AD patients.

Another area, the right inferior frontal gyrus (area 47) is located in the lateral orbitofrontal cortex (OFC). Several neuroimaging studies of dementia patients have implicated the involvement of the OFC in behavioral disturbances resembling the physically agitated behaviors observed in the present study. A histopathological study has suggested a relationship between neurofibrillary tangles in the OFC and the severity of agitation in AD patients. Patients with focal damage in the OFC are known to have poor social judgment and to exhibit socially inappropriate behavior. Damage to the OFC is believed to result in an inability to suppress negative emotion, as a core factor in aggression. Recently, functional distinctions between the medial and lateral OFC have become a matter of controversy. In contrast to the medial OFC, the lateral OFC (more specifically, the right lateral OFC) has been suggested to play a role in regulating angry emotional responses to others and in evaluating punishments, so as to alter behavior.

Taken together with the above findings, hypoperfusion in both the right superior temporal gyrus (Brodmann 22) and the inferior frontal gyrus (Brodmann 47) may contribute to disruptions in the ability of AD patients to control emotional states associated with physically agitated behavior.

In contrast to physically agitated behavior, the left hemisphere was shown to be involved in verbally agitated behavior. Manifestations of verbally agitated behavior are thought to arise as a result of social isolation, caused by

Table 4 Significant negative correlations between rCBF values and the factor scores of the frequency rating of the ABID in relation to verbally agitated behavior, for all AD patients

| Cluster | Region                  | Brodmann’s area | Talairach coordinates | Voxels in cluster | Z-value |
|---------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------|
| 1       | Lt inferior frontal gyrus | 46              | −53 34 14             | 500                | 4.71    |
| 2       | Lt insula               | 13              | −35 13 −2             | 388                | 3.88    |
| 3       | Lt inferior frontal gyrus | 44              | −49 10 16             | 388                | 3.50    |

Notes: N=32. (correction) 0.05 (results of a voxel-wise SPM analysis).

Abbreviations: ABID, Agitated Behavior in Dementia Scale; AD, Alzheimer disease; rCBF, regional cerebral blood flow; SPM, statistical parametric mapping.
poor communication abilities. Verbally agitated behavior, such as inappropriate screaming, is likely to be associated with specific requests or undefined needs, including calls for attention, because AD patients with declining language abilities cannot adequately express either discomfort or pain to their caregivers. Thus, various verbally agitated behaviors may be produced by the dysfunction of the left hemisphere mediating verbal skills and verbal semantic memory. We observed a mainly lower rCBF in the left lateral inferior frontal lobe (Brodmann 44, 46) in association with verbally agitated behavior. Hirono et al hypothesized that the dysfunction of the dorsolateral frontal lobes may cause misinterpretations of the environment, resulting in aggressive behaviors. Many studies using neuroimaging study have revealed that the left dorsolateral frontal cortex (particularly the left inferior frontal gyrus) is necessary for the control of switching and inhibitory behaviors. Recently, a PET study by Schroeter et al revealed that the left lateral inferior frontal area underlies executive deficits in dementia patients. Therefore, the lower rCBF in the left lateral inferior frontal area may cause executive deficits associated with verbal skills, contributing to verbally agitated behavior. We also observed that a significantly lower rCBF in the left insula was associated with verbally agitated behavior. Consistent with our findings, Bruen et al identified an association between left insular damage and agitation in AD patients. The insula is critical for the expression of pain and the integration of internal and external stimuli. Dementia patients suffering from verbally agitated behavior have higher levels of both pain and discomfort. Thus, the lower rCBF in the left insula may cause several unpleasant feelings, such as pain and anxiety, resulting in specific verbal requests.

The factor 3 symptoms, representing psychotic symptoms, include delusions (incorrect or distressing beliefs), hallucination (seeing, hearing, or sensing distressing people or things that are not really present), and wandering (waking and getting up at night, other than for trips to the bathroom). Unlike the ABID, the CMAI does not include any items associated with either delusions or hallucinations. The subtypes of agitated behavior proposed by Cohen-Mansfield do not include either delusions or hallucinations. However, delusion, hallucination, and wandering are well known to result in agitated behaviors because of inappropriate internal stimuli. Thus, psychotic symptoms can be regarded as one type of agitated behavior in dementia patients.

Two previous studies have demonstrated that right posterior parietal hypoperfusion is correlated with delusion in AD

| Table 5 | Significant negative correlations between rCBF values and the factor scores of the frequency rating of the ABID in relation to psychosis symptoms, for all AD patients |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cluster | Region                           | Brodmann's area | Talairach coordinates | Voxels in cluster | Z-value |
| 1       | Rt angular gyrus                 | 39              | x 38  y -65  z 20     | 505               | 5.21    |
| 2       | Rt occipital lobe                | 19              | x 30  y -77  z 35     | 505               | 5.16    |

Notes: N=32; P_{FDR-corr} < 0.05 (results of a voxel-wise SPM analysis).

Abbreviations: ABID, Agitated Behavior in Dementia Scale; AD, Alzheimer disease; CORR, correction; FDR, false discovery rate; rCBF, regional cerebral blood flow; Rt, right; SPM, statistical parametric mapping.
Hallucination in AD patients was also found to be associated with hypoperfusion in the right parietal lobe. In a SPECT study in AD patients, Rolland et al revealed that hypoperfusion in the left parietotemporal area was associated with wandering. In addition, a right hemispheric pathology is believed to contribute to the expression of delusions in dementia. Our findings support the hypothesis that right parieto-occipital dysfunction may be responsible for psychotic symptoms in AD patients because of deficits in both attention and visual systems. However, our study could not find any abnormalities in the frontal lobe corresponding to psychotic factors. Recent studies using neuroimaging have shown that the right side of either the frontal or temporal lobe is critical for delusions in AD patients. A possible reason is that the limited types of questions for delusions may not be sufficient to analyze the neural basis underlying various types of delusions, such as misidentification delusions.

Finally, we must address several limitations of the present study. Firstly, we used a normalized global cerebral blood flow of 50 mL/100 g/min, with proportional scaling for each patient, in the SPM analysis. However, the average blood flow in the whole brain may be relatively low in AD patients; accordingly, some rCBF reductions in certain areas might not have been detected in the AD patients. Thus, the use of either cerebellar or sensorimotor cortical normalization, which are not affected by pathological involvement in AD patients, might be more useful for accurately identifying hypoperfusion associated with agitated behaviors in AD patients. In future studies, SPECT investigations of agitated behaviors in AD patients that are performed using SPM analyses should be assessed using reliable reference regions, such as either the cerebellar or sensorimotor cortical cortex.

Secondly, we did not examine AD patients with severe cognitive impairments because the ABID was designed for use in patients with mild to moderate levels of dementia. However, it is important to note that even mild or moderate levels of dementia at the time of the first assessment may progress to a more severe stage during the follow-up period, as the disease progresses. Thus, a future study may be required to use the CMAI to examine the neural basis of the agitated behaviors occurring with more advanced AD.

Thirdly, we could not assess the ABID on the day of the SPECT examinations. Although the SPECT scan was conducted within 1 month of the ABID assessment, our study may reflect trait-related agitated behaviors in AD patients. Fourthly, apart from the MMSE, we did not assess other cognitive tasks, such as executive function or language tasks. Thus, the association between these cognitive functions and different agitated behaviors in AD patients remains unclear. Also, we could not confirm a wide variety of behavior symptoms because we did not utilize a multisymptom rating scale, such as the NPI. Finally, our study did not include either an age-matched normal elderly control group or patients with other types of dementia. Thus, we could not confirm whether the observed findings in this study were a specific result of AD alone.

Despite these limitations, our study using SPECT confirmed that different dimensions of agitated behaviors in AD patients are mediated by distinct neural systems. This study...
adds to important neuroimaging evidence regarding the neural basis of behavior disorders in dementia patients. The findings of the present study may contribute, not only to a deeper understating of the neural basis of agitated behaviors but also, to the formulation of more effective individualized treatment plans and management of agitated behaviors in dementia.
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