Copper-catalyzed formylation of alkenyl C–H bonds using BrCHCl₂ as a stoichiometric formylating reagent†
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The first example of copper-catalyzed direct formylation of alkenyl C–H bonds for the facile synthesis of \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated aldehydes has been developed. This transformation has demonstrated high reactivity, mild reaction conditions and a broad substrate scope. BrCHCl₂ is expected to be developed as an efficient stoichiometric C1 building block in organic synthesis.

Introduction

Developing novel methods for the synthesis of complex molecules in an efficient and expeditious manner still remains a major challenge in organic synthesis. Accordingly, many novel strategies for the quick construction of complex molecular skeletons have been developed and used for the total synthesis of complex compounds. Among all of these strategies, domino reactions are emerging as efficient reactions in the facile synthesis of key intermediates. Of significant interest are cascade reactions that provide access to fundamental building blocks in a chemoselective manner from readily available starting materials in a step-economical fashion. As a key material used in cascade transformations, especially in organocatalyzed domino reactions, \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated aldehydes have been used in a broad range of unconventional transformations to make complex molecules or key intermediates. Thus, considerable efforts have been devoted to developing novel methods for the facile synthesis of \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated aldehydes from readily available simple raw materials in efficient and quick ways.

As they are produced on a large scale industrially and have served as an important feedstock for the petrochemical industry, simple alkenes have long been realized as one of the most widely used raw materials for a great variety of organic transformations. The direct formylation of simple alkenes thus offers the most economical and efficient method for the facile construction of \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated aldehydes (Scheme 1). Indeed, the Vilsmeier–Haack reaction has long been developed as a useful approach to introduce a formyl group into alkenes. On the other hand, using the Grubbs II catalyst as the radical initiator succeeded in the atom transfer radical addition (ATRA) of styrene, which afforded the alkenyl aldehyde via the subsequent elimination of hydrochloric acid under strong acid conditions or a stoichiometric amount of silver salts at high temperature. Apart from this interesting progress, both known methods still suffer from a limited scope of alkenes and the requirement for a large amount of poisonous reagents (POCl₃ as a precursor of the Vilsmeier reagent or CHCl₃ as the solvent), which have definitely hampered their practical application in organic synthesis. Herein, we report a novel copper-catalyzed formylation of alkenyl C–H bonds for the facile synthesis of \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated aldehydes, in which high reactivity, mild conditions and a broad substrate scope have been demonstrated. The key to success is the use of commercially available BrCHCl₂ as a stoichiometric formylating reagent instead of CHCl₃, which is normally used as a solvent in such reactions. Alkenyl aldehydes were then furnished by the bifunctionalization of alkenes via a carboxylation process and subsequent dehydration.

Results and discussion

Our initial investigation commenced with 4-methoxystyrene (1a) as the model substrate, and bromodichloromethane as the...
stoichiometric formylating reagent in the presence of a catalytic amount of a copper catalyst (10 mol%) and 1.0 equiv. of PMDTA (1,1,4,7,7-pentamethyl-diethylenetriamine) in CH$_3$CN at 40 °C (Table 1). Unfortunately, none of the desired product 2a was obtained when CuBr was used as the catalyst. Considering that halogen atom exchange may improve the reactivity of CHBrCl$_2$, to our delight, the addition of 1.0 equiv. of KI into the reaction system afforded 2a successfully, albeit with a relatively low yield (23%, entry 2). A careful examination of copper catalysts was next performed, which indicated that Cu(OH)$_2$ gave the best result with a 31% yield (entry 4). Meanwhile, further investigation into various solvents gave no improvement in yield and CH$_3$CN was still the best choice. When a trace amount of the bifunctionalized alcohol 2aa was isolated as a byproduct, it was conjectured that water acted as the hydroxyl source for this bifunctional reaction. As we expected, up to a 28% yield of 2aa was obtained along with a 24% yield of 2a when water was used directly as the solvent. To further improve the yield, co-solvents were investigated and CH$_3$CN/H$_2$O (1/1) gave the dichloromethylated alcohol 2aa in 74% yield along with the aldehyde 2a in 7% yield. After a simple work-up without purification and further dehydration with T3P, 2a was finally furnished in 80% yield (entry 8). Considering the key role that PMDTA played in this transformation, other bases were also screened instead of PMDTA, but they did not give the desired product 2a (Table 1, entries 11–13). Notably, by the replacement of KI with NaI, the yield of 2a was further improved to 90% after this two-step formylation of 4-methoxystyrene (entry 15). Finally, control experiments indicated that none of the desired product was obtained without the addition of a copper catalyst or base (for details, see the ESI†).

With the optimized conditions in hand, we next investigated the scope of the alkene (Table 2). Initially, the study of the substituent effect on the aryl rings of the styrene derivatives showed that both electron-donating groups, such as 4-methoxy, 4-phenoxo, 4-methyl and 4-methylthio (1a–d), and weak electron-withdrawing groups, such as fluorine, chlorine and

| Table 1 | Copper-catalyzed formylation of 4-methoxystyrene: optimization of conditions$^a$

| Entry | [Cu] | Solvent(v/v) | Base | 2a/2aa yield (%)$^b$
|-------|------|-------------|------|----------------|
| 1$^c$ | CuBr | CH$_3$CN     | PMDTA | 0               |
| 2     | CuBr | CH$_3$CN     | PMDTA | 23/2            |
| 3     | CuO  | CH$_3$CN     | PMDTA | 0               |
| 4     | Cu(OH)$_2$ | CH$_3$CN | PMDTA | 31/2           |
| 5     | Cu(OH)$_2$ | THF      | PMDTA | 0               |
| 6     | Cu(OH)$_2$ | H$_2$O   | PMDTA | 24/28          |
| 7     | Cu(OH)$_2$ | DMSO     | PMDTA | 5/57            |
| 8     | Cu(OH)$_2$ | CH$_3$CN/H$_2$O(1 : 1) | PMDTA | 7/74(80)$^f$ |
| 9     | Cu(OH)$_2$ | CH$_3$CN/DMSO(4 : 1) | PMDTA | 8/72           |
| 10    | Cu(OH)$_2$ | CH$_3$CN/H$_2$O(1 : 1) | — | 0               |
| 11    | Cu(OH)$_2$ | CH$_3$CN/H$_2$O(1 : 1) | Et$_3$N | 0             |
| 12    | Cu(OH)$_2$ | CH$_3$CN/H$_2$O(1 : 1) | TMEDA | 0               |
| 13    | Cu(OH)$_2$ | CH$_3$CN/H$_2$O(1 : 1) | DMDMA | 0               |
| 14$^c$ | Cu(OH)$_2$ | CH$_3$CN/H$_2$O(1 : 1) | PMDTA | 10/72(82)$^f$ |
| 15$^c$ | Cu(OH)$_2$ | CH$_3$CN/H$_2$O(1 : 1) | PMDTA | 9/85(90)$^f$ |

$^a$ Reaction conditions: 1a (0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), CHBrCl$_2$ (3.0 equiv.), Cu catalyst (10 mol%), PMDTA (1.0 equiv.) and KI (1.0 equiv.) in CH$_3$CN (1 mL) at 40 °C for 24 h.
$^b$ Isolated yield by $^1$H NMR analysis.
$^c$ KI was not added.
$^d$ The mixture of 2a/2aa was dehydrated by T3P (1-propanephosphonic acid cyclic anhydride, 50% in ethyl acetate). The yield in the parentheses was the isolated yield of 2a. $^e$ TBAI was used instead of KI.
$^f$ NaI was used instead of KI. PMDTA: 1,1,4,7,7-pentamethyl-diethylenetriamine.

| Table 2 | Substrate scope$^g$

| Entry | $R^1$ | $R^2$ | $R^3$ | $R^4$ | Yield | \(E/Z\) |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1     | MeO   | H     | H     | H     | 75%   | >20:1 |
| 2     | MeO   | H     | H     | H     | 62%   | >20:1 |
| 3     | MeO   | H     | H     | H     | 65%   | >20:1 |
| 4     | MeO   | H     | H     | H     | 53%   | >20:1 |
| 5     | MeO   | H     | H     | H     | 55%   | >20:1 |
| 6     | MeO   | H     | H     | H     | 63%   | >20:1 |
| 7     | MeO   | H     | H     | H     | 57%   | >20:1 |
| 8     | MeO   | H     | H     | H     | 83%   | >20:1 |
| 9     | MeO   | H     | H     | H     | 53%   | >20:1 |
| 10    | MeO   | H     | H     | H     | 56%   | >20:1 |
| 11    | MeO   | H     | H     | H     | 47%   | >20:1 |
| 12    | MeO   | H     | H     | H     | 73%   | >20:1 |
| 13    | MeO   | H     | H     | H     | 78%   | >20:1 |
| 14    | MeO   | H     | H     | H     | 80%   | >20:1 |

$^g$ General conditions: 1 (0.2 mmol), Cu(OH)$_2$ (10 mol%), CHBrCl$_2$ (3.0 equiv.), PMDTA (1.0 equiv.) and NaI (1.0 equiv.) in CH$_3$CN/H$_2$O \(v/v = 1 : 1, 1\) mL at 40 °C for 24 h, then dehydration with T3P (3.0 equiv.) in EtOAc at 100 °C; for 2x-y, 1 (0.2 mmol), Cu(hfacac)$_2$·$x$H$_2$O (10 mol%), CHBrCl$_2$ (3.0 equiv.), PMDTA (1.0 equiv.) and KI (1.0 equiv.) in CH$_3$CN (1 mL) at 80 °C for 24 h, and aldehydes 2x-y were obtained directly. Isolated yields are reported.
$^h$ 80 °C.
bromine (1f-h), were all compatible with this catalytic system and were able to furnish the desired products in moderate to high yields. Not surprisingly, the substrates with electron-withdrawing groups installed on the aryl rings typically gave lower yields and required relatively high reaction temperatures (2f-h). Meanwhile, a variety of styrene derivatives with ortho- and meta- as well as para-substituents were smoothly formulated to afford the corresponding \(\alpha,\beta\)-unsaturated aldehydes 2i-k in acceptable yields.

The ortho-chloro- and meta-methyl-substituted styrenes (1g and 1k, respectively), styrene (1e) and 2-vinlynaphthalene (1l) also required a much higher temperature and gave moderate yields. Although the ortho- and meta-methoxystyrene (1i and 1j, respectively) did not need high temperatures, the yields of the reactions were only moderate. Of interest to us was that heteroarene-derived styrene substrates, including 2-vinylthiophene 1p and 3-vinylbenzo thiophene 1q, were also well-tolerated in this novel transformation with synthetically useful yields (2p, 63%; 2q, 75%). Just as expected, 1,1-diphenylethenes 1r-t normally worked as better radical trappers than styrene, and generated tri-substituted unsaturated aldehydes 2r-t in relatively high yields (Table 2, 2r-t). Of note was that the formulation of tri-substituted internal styrene 1u also proceeded smoothly to give the corresponding tetra-substituted vinyl aldehyde with a fairly good yield (2u, 83%). Importantly, the subject of 1,2-dihydronaphthalene 1v to the optimized conditions resulted in the successful formulation of a C-H bond that was part of the cyclic double bond system. It was also noteworthy that the conjugated alkene 1w underwent the reaction efficiently, with an acceptable yield (2w, 50%) and a good \(E/Z\) selectivity (8 : 1). To our satisfaction, \(\text{N-vinyl} \) substrates could also be directly formulated at the end of terminal alkynes to afford \(\beta\)-aminoacrylaldehydes in moderate yields, by simply altering the conditions to a combination of Cu(hfacac)\(_2\)·xH\(_2\)O, KI and CH\(_3\)CN.\(^{14}\)

To gain some insights into the mechanism of this transformation, a series of control experiments were then performed accordingly (Scheme 2). First, the reaction was completely quenched when 1.0 equivalent of 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-piperidinoyl (TEMPO) was added to the standard conditions. Meanwhile, the addition of 1.0 equivalent of butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) to the standard conditions afforded the corresponding dichloromethyl adduct 3 in 58% yield. Both results indicated that this transformation may proceed via a radical path. To further test this theory, the well known radical clock 1-(1-cyclopropylvinyl)-1-methoxybenzene 4 was synthesized and added into this catalytic system, furnishing the ring-opened product 5 in 65% yield, strengthening the hypothesis of the dichloromethyl radical (‘\(\text{CCl}_2\)H) being involved in the catalytic cycle.

As alcohol 2aa was isolated as the key intermediate along with the vinyl aldehyde 2a in the model reaction (eqn (4), Scheme 2), it was suggested that a carbocation process was possibly involved in the catalytic cycle. Indeed, the replacement of H\(_2\)O with methanol as the cation scavenger afforded the corresponding bifunctional product 2ab in 72% yield, which further strengthened this possibility.\(^{11}\)

On the basis of our preliminary results and previous reports,\(^{12}\) a plausible mechanism involving a ‘\(\text{CHCl}_2\) radical is proposed as shown in Scheme 3. The copper salt is reduced to generate a low-valent Cu complex, which can transfer a single electron to CHBrCl\(_2\) and then produce the free radical compound ‘\(\text{CHCl}_2\) (B), and the radical subsequently reacts with the styrene. The ‘\(\text{CHCl}_2\) addition-compound D can be oxidized by a high-valent Cu complex to the cationic intermediate E. Finally, the high-valent Cu complex is reduced to a low-valent Cu complex to complete the catalytic cycle. There are two possible pathways for the sequential transformation of cation E to vinyl aldehyde F: Path a, which consists of deprotonation to regenerate the C=C bond, and F is obtained by the subsequent hydrolysis of the dichloromethyl group to the aldehyde; or Path b, where the cation E is captured by H\(_2\)O to give the alcohol intermediate G, followed by dehydration with T3P and subsequent hydrolysis of the dichloromethyl group to afford F.\(^{13}\)

To demonstrate the synthetic potential of this transformation, we applied this two-step transformation to the late-
stage formylation of complex biologically active molecules. As shown in Scheme 4, the estrone-derived aryl alkene 6, which was synthesized from estrone in two steps, was transformed to the desired vinyl aldehyde 7 in a 50% yield. This outcome clearly showed the great potential of this methodology as a facile strategy for the synthesis of various analogous or intermediates in drug discovery and screening.

Conclusions

In summary, we have developed a novel copper-catalyzed formylation of alk enyl C-H bonds for the facile synthesis of α,β-unsaturated aldehydes. This transformation has demonstrated high reactivity, mild conditions and a broad substrate scope. An important point is that commercially available BrCHCl₂ was used as a stoichiometric formylating reagent instead of CHCl₃. Mechanistic studies indicated that alk enyl aldehydes were furnished by bifunctionalization of alkenes via a carbocation process and subsequent dehydration. Further applications of this method for the modification of bioactive molecules and the use of BrCHCl₂ as an interesting C1 building block in organic synthesis are still underway in our laboratory.
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