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ABSTRACT

The early Cambrian record of Small Shelly Fossils (or SSFs) of Laurentia has been relatively poorly studied despite their major importance for understanding the Cambrian explosion. They represent key biostratigraphic tools for the subdivision and correlation of the Terreneuvian and Cambrian Series 2 at regional and global scales. This study is aimed at improving our knowledge of SSF stratigraphic ranges of Laurentia by focusing on the Puerto Blanco Formation of the Cerro Rajón section, near Caborca in northwestern Sonora, Mexico. Four SSF assemblages have been recovered that include sclerites of chancelloriids (Archiasterella hirundo, A. charma, A. cf. A. pentactina, Allonnia eromonosana, A. tetrathallis and Chancelloria spp.), hyolithelmiths (Hyolithellus spp.), hyoliths (Cupitheca cf. C. mira, Petasotheca sp., Hyolithid sp. and Parkula bounites), micromolluscs (Mackinnonia corrugata, Xianfengella sp., Pelagiella sp. and Pojetaia sp.), brachiopods (Eoobolus sp. and Rajonia ornata), sclerites of the lobopod Microdictyon multicavus, one unidentified bradoriid and an indeterminate fossil. Distribution of the SSFs that extend below the first trilobite and archaeocyathan demonstrates that most of the Puerto Blanco Formation is Cambrian Age 3 to 4. The SSFs provide additional clues to correlate Ediacaran-Cambrian sedimentary successions in Sonora with the southern Great Basin (USA). The described assemblages are also compared with SSF assemblages of other localities of the American Cordillera and southeastern Laurentia. At the global scale, they support faunal connections with Australia and possibly China.
INTRODUCTION

Small Shelly Fossils (or SSFs as named by Matthews and Missarzhevsky in 1975) is an umbrella term that refers to a polyphyletic assemblage of skeletonized organisms that thrived during the early Cambrian. They are typically preserved as phosphatic microfossils. They can be extracted relatively easily through acid digestion of carbonate rocks. Documenting SSFs is particularly important because they contribute to an increased understanding of the earliest phases of bilaterian radiation at the beginning of the Cambrian. Along with their phylogenetic (e.g., Shu et al., 2014), palaeobiogeographic (e.g., Yang et al., 2015) and palaeoecologic (e.g., Budd and Jackson, 2016) significance, SSFs can play a pivotal role in establishing the chronology of the different events occurring during the Cambrian explosion. They are reported during the early and middle Cambrian, but most importantly during the Terreuneuvian, the Cambrian interval devoid of trilobites and agnostoids, which are the main biostratigraphic tools for the rest of the System. The International Subcommission on the Cambrian Stratigraphy has emphasized the high potential of SSFs for biostratigraphic subdivision of the early Cambrian and SSF First Appearance Data (FAD) have been proposed for the definition of the base of several Cambrian stages (summary in Peng et al., 2012; Devaere et al., 2013; Clausen et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2017). Two FAD of SSFs are proposed for the definition of the base of the Cambrian stage 2: the FAD of the micromollusc Watsonella crosbyi or the FAD of Aldanella attleborensis. Trilobites are first recorded in the Cambrian stage 3 along with SSFs. In this time interval, FAD of the latter can be considered for the definitions of the stage boundaries, such as the FAD of Pelagiella subangulata, the FAD of Microdictyon effusum along with other guides such as Rhombocorniculum cancellatum, species of the Lapworthella cornu group and Mobergella radiolata, but can also be used as complementary biostratigraphic tools to further test and correlate the trilobite biozones, which are otherwise largely affected by diachronity (Peng et al., 2012) and provincialism (Álvaro et al., 2013). However, for the Cambrian stage 3, FAD of SSFs are not entirely satisfactory and need further investigation.

Due to their great biostratigraphic potential, lower Cambrian SSFs have been largely studied in major palaeocontinents, but remain relatively poorly studied in Laurentia. Recent studies have mainly focused on the upper lower Cambrian of the southeastern palaeomargin of Laurentia, especially from Greenland (Skovsted and Peel, 2001; Skovsted, 2003a; Skovsted and Holmer, 2003; Skovsted, 2004, 2005; Skovsted and Holmer, 2005; Peel and Skovsted, 2005; Skovsted, 2006a; Skovsted et al., 2010; Peel et al., 2016; Skovsted, 2016), eastern USA (Landing and Bartowski, 1996; Skovsted and Peel, 2010), western Newfoundland (Skovsted, 2003b; Skovsted and Peel, 2007; Skovsted et al., 2017), Quebec (Landing et al., 2002) and Labrador (Spencer, 1980; Skovsted et al., 2010; Skovsted et al., 2017). But SSF data are severely lacking from northern Cambrian strata and from the northern and western palaeomargins of this palaeocontinent, where successions are often dominated by dolostones, sandstones and shales that are unfavourable for SSF preservation. Very few SSFs have thus been reported from northern Laurentia in northwestern Canada (Conway-Morris and Fritz, 1984; Nowlan et al., 1985; Voronova et al., 1987), Nevada and California (Tynan, 1980, 1981a, 1981b, 1983; Skovsted, 2006b; Skovsted and Holmer, 2006; Wotte and Sundberg, 2017).

So far, no detailed biostratigraphic subdivisions have been proposed for the "pre-trilobitic" interval of Laurentia (Babcock et al., 2011; Peng et al., 2012). The trilobite biozonations for the Cambrian Series 2 are still difficult to establish, and the support of a complementary biostratigraphic tool is necessary to test correlations (Webster, 2011). In addition, most potential international chronostrati-
graphic SSF guide taxa have not yet been reported in Laurentia. However, a promising SSF assemblage has been described by McMenamin (1984) from mixed carbonate-siliciclastic deposits of northwestern Mexico (Sonora) that could help in filling this gap. Unfortunately, this important work did not provide stratigraphic intervals of the recovered fauna.

Dating the Ediacarian-Cambrian succession in northwestern Sonora is also of prime importance to better constrain the regional geodynamic history. The break-up of Rodinia resulted in two main palaeocontinents, Gondwana and Laurentia, estimated to have ended at ~555-550 Ma, according to tectonic subsidence curves and palaeomagnetic data (Bond et al., 1984; Meert and Torsvik, 2003; Poole et al., 2005). However, the rifting most probably constituted multiple branches, along which associated transform-faults might have remained episodically active until 525 Ma along the southwest palaeomargin of Laurentia (Poole et al., 2005). This latter activity is mostly recorded by associated igneous activity and sedimentary infill of intracratonic graben systems or transform basins (Buffler and Thomas, 1994; Poole et al., 2005). In this context, the Ediacaran-Cambrian rocks in northwestern Sonora, located on the western margin of Cambrian Laurentia, is unique in recording a thick volcano-sedimentary episode marked by alternation of volcanic rocks and volcanioclastic deposits which attest for a still intense geodynamic activity in this area. Nevertheless, the exact duration and context of this episode remain poorly constrained. However, a detailed palaeontological study of these Cambrian-Ediacaran sedimentary rocks of northwestern Sonora would provide a unique opportunity to better biostratigraphically constrain this episode.

It is, therefore, proposed herein to detail the SSF assemblages of the Puerto Blanco Formation (PBF) in the Cerro Rajón section, near Caborca in northwestern Mexico that were first studied by McMenamin (1984). The stratigraphic range of the taxa identified is detailed to allow chronostratigraphic interpretation facilitating global correlations and to contribute baseline data for the future construction of an SSF-based biostratigraphic chart for Laurentia.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

This study focuses on the mixed sedimentary succession that includes rocks from the upper part of the Neoproterozoic and the Cambrian of the Cerro Rajón, a low hill located in the northwestern part of Mexico, in the Sonora State, 40 km SSE to the city of Caborca (Figure 1.1-3). This Neoproterozoic-Cambrian also outcrops in other hills and ranges of the area (some are reported in Figure 1.3, see Stewart and Poole, 2002 for latest review).

The Neoproterozoic-Cambrian of Caborca can be correlated with the southern Great Basin of southwestern USA, and particularly with California (Death Valley succession) and Nevada (Stewart et al., 1984, Loyd et al., 2012). They all belong to the American Cordilleran and are interpreted to have been deposited along the rifted northern margin of Laurentia (Eardley, 1951; Stewart, 1970; Dickinson, 1981; Stewart et al., 1984; Stewart and Poole, 1975; Stewart et al., 2002; Stewart, 2005). Today, the Neoproterozoic-Cambrian successions of the Mojave Desert of California and of the Caborca area (northwestern Sonora) are offset. This offset has been tentatively explained by, or used to support the Mojave-Sonora megashear hypothesis (Stewart, 2005). The Mojave-Sonora megashear was originally hypothesized by Silver and Anderson (1974) and further supported by Anderson and Schmidt (1983), Anderson and Silver (2005), Nourse et al. (2005) and Stewart (2005) to explain an apparent disjunct distribution of U-Pb ages of Proterozoic basements in the Mojave Desert and northwestern Sonora (Silver and Anderson, 1974; Amato et al., 2009). It was modelled as a large-scale, cryptic, NW-SE-trending, mid-Mesozoic system of left-lateral strike-slip faults extending from the Mojave Desert across Sonora. In Sonora, different Proterozoic crystalline provinces have been described, based on distinct chronological histories, and later, on isotopic determination (U-Pb zircons geochronology by Anderson and Silver, 2005; U-Pb zircons geochronology and Nd isotopic data by Farmer et al., 2005). Among these, existence of the Caborca block, and its affinities with major provinces of the southwestern United States have been argued since the early work of Silver and Anderson (1974), and Campa and Coney (1983). However, even if such affinities and their consistency with the megashear hypothesis have been reaffirmed recently (Farmer et al., 2005; Solari et al., 2018), they do not provide a definitive demonstration of this model, and an autochthonous origin of the Caborca terrane still remains possible (as supported by Vachard et al., 2017 and the "wraparound" model of Stewart, 2005). In such a case, the distance between the Caborca block successions and the correlative successions in southwestern USA may only be due to an eastward curvature of the Cordilleran margin of Laurentia into northern
Mexico (Eardley, 1951; Stewart and Poole, 1975; Peiffer-Rangin, 1979; Stewart et al., 1984; Poole et al., 2005; Stevens et al., 2005; Iriondo et al., 2005; Molina-Garza and Iriondo, 2007; Amato et al., 2009). The age, orientation, and magnitude of possible fault displacements and the subsequent sutures between blocks have also been debated (see e.g., Iriondo et al., 2005; Poole et al., 2005; Amato et al., 2009). Mexico has a very complex geological history resulting from the merging of a number of terranes with Gondwanan, Laurentian or Rhei affinities, during the formation of Pangea. The latter resulted in the closure of the Rhei ocean and the Ouachita-Marathon-Sonora orogen, a 3000-km-long belt bordering the southern margin of the Laurentian (North American) craton (Poole et al., 2005). The geological history leading to this puzzling structure is still highly debated.

The present study focuses on the Puerto Blanco Formation (PBF), which has been suggested to include Ediacaran and Cambrian strata (Sour-Tovar et al., 2007) with a diverse early Cambrian macrofauna and microfauna (Cooper and Arellano, 1952; McMenamin, 1984; Stewart et al., 1984; Debrenne, 1987; McMenamin, 1987; Debrenne et al., 1989; McMenamin, 1992; McMenamin et al., 1994; McMenamin, 2008). The PBF is underlain by the Neoproterozoic La...
Ciénega Formation. The La Ciénega Formation was introduced by Stewart (1984) as a 178 m succession of sedimentary rocks dominated by dolostones that alternate with quartzites and siltstones at the type section of the Cerro Rajón (reported in Figure 1.3). It was here re-evaluated at about 130 m thick. However, due to the content of the formation and the absence of clearly defined boundaries along the observed gradual change from the underlying Tecolote Formation, precise recognition of this unit is in practice very difficult. It is also to be noted that the type section studied by Stewart (1984) was composite and slightly discontinuous (see Stewart et al., 1984, figure 3), which might have introduced errors in description and measurement. The formation that overlies the PBF in the Caborca area is the Proveedora Quartzite and is composed of prominent, poorly stratified quartzite with a thickness varying between 200 m and 225 m (Stewart et al., 1984). As summarized by Stewart et al. (1984), the PBF was first defined by Cooper and Arellano (1952) at the type section of the Cerro de la Proveedora (reported in Figure 1.3) as ~293 m of green shale, limestone and sandstone containing archaeocyathans, hyoliths, trilobites and brachiopods. However, the basal contact of the formation was unknown at this locality (Cooper and Arellano, 1952). Arellano (1956) reported a more complete succession in a range to the southeast of the village of Pitiquito that he named Cerro Rajón (reported in Figure 1.3). Eells (1972) later investigated another, more complete succession in the Cerros Calaveras (reported in Figure 1.3) and in small hills, east to the Cerros Calaveras. There, he similarly defined the PBF as a succession of siltstone, quartzite and limestone, but deposited stratigraphically between a thick (~200 m) volcaniclastic unit below and the overlying Proveedora Quartzite. The volcaniclastic units, associated with basaltts, were included within the PBF by Longoria (1981), a statement followed by Stewart et al. (1984, p. 15-16) who argued that “basalt (greenstone) and volcaniclastic rocks are interstratified with siltstone and quartzite similar to these included by Eells (1972) in his Puerto Blanco Formation” in the Cerro Rajón section which was first described by Arellano (1956). Our observations in different hills of the area question this argumentation. However, this latter definition is followed pending further investigation. In the absence of a defined lower boundary, this formation is considered to start, in Cerro Rajón, at the base of the first volcaniclastic horizon. Such a thick volcaniclastic unit, suggested to include the Ediacaran-Cambrian transition by some authors (Sour-Tovar et al., 2007), is unique along the western palaeomargin of Laurentia. However, its precise stratigraphic extent remains undetermined.

The Cerro Rajón section was selected for this study of the PBF because it is well exposed, continuous and not, or very little, affected by deformation. The PBF has been informally subdivided into four lithological units based on observations by Stewart et al. (1984) in the Cerro Rajón. Such a subdivision into four units is grossly supported by the present study, only the boundary between unit 3 and 4 is slightly revised as the microbial-archaeocyathan reefs levels are considered to be strictly restricted to unit 3 (Figure 2). Unit 1 is ~275 m thick and is delimited by the first and last occurrence of volcanic/volcaniclastic beds. It is dominated by volcaniclastic sandstones and conglomerates with rare interstratified basalt flows. Rare shales are intercalated between the sets of coarser, volcanic-related material. Sandstone beds with oblique laminations, thin limestone nodules and beds alternating with shales are intercalated in the middle part of the unit without any volcanic-related material. Unit 2 is ~190 m thick, composed exclusively of sedimentary material and is dominated by shales. Intercalation of fine, laminated sandstone and limestone beds and nodule are present. The ~80 m unit 3 is delimited by two levels of microbial-archaeocyathan limestone separated by calcareous shales with thin intercalations of sandstone and limestone. Although unit 3 is slightly extended herein, its thickness is significantly different from Stewart’s evaluation (80 m vs about 117.5 m). This difference may reflect lateral variations or error due to study through composite sections by Stewart et al. (1984, figure 3). Unit 4 is ~155 m thick and composed of finely bedded and laminated sandy limestone to dolostone, nodular limestone and laminated sandstone alternating with shale.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Forty-four carbonate samples (average weight of 1.2 kg) were collected directly from the beds of a continuous section at the Cerro Rajón (Figures 1.3, 2). They were broken into fragments and dissolved, either with ~10% acetic acid when dealing with limestone or with ~8% formic acid for the slightly dolomitic limestone. The acid-resistant residues were sifted (>50 µm), dried and the microfossils were picked from the residues under a stereomicroscope. The SSFs were coated with palladium and observed and imaged with a Scanning
Electron Microscope FEI Quanta 200 at University Lille. The described and figured material is housed in the collections of University Lille (acronym USTL for Université des Sciences et Technologie de Lille) following the recommendation of the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature.

**BIOSTRATIGRAPHIC ASSESSMENT AND CORRELATION**

**Age of Recovered SSF Assemblages**

This new study of the SSFs of the PBF at the Cerro Rajón provides detailed stratigraphic ranges of the taxa, allowing illustration of the evolution of the microfauna along the section through four distinct assemblages (Figure 2). The first assemblage comes from the sandy limestone nodules intercalated within fine shales from the otherwise mostly siliceous and volcaniclastic unit 1 of the PBF (sample R21; Figure 2). *Xianfengella* sp. and *Allonia* *tetrathallis* are characteristic of this first assemblage. The second assemblage, from the lowestmost limestone beds of unit 2 of the PBF (samples R53 to R61, Figure 2), is differentiated by the presence of *Mackinnonia corrugata* and *Archias-teredella charma*. *Parkula bounites* and *Rajonia ornata* are restricted to the third assemblage that occurs in the middle of unit 2 (samples R62 to R65; Figure 2). *Microdictyon multicavus* is also quite characteristic of the third assemblage, even if one fragment has also been recovered in the second assemblage. Mickwitzid valves and fragments are very abundant (but not described in this paper) in the third assemblage which also includes rare, small archaeocyathan fragments although the first microbial-archaeocyathan reefs occur in unit 3 of the PBF. Our interpretation of mickwitziid characters differs from that proposed by McMenamin (1984, 1992), with important implications for our understanding of early brachiopod evolution. Such phylogenetic discussion is out of the scope of the present study and a detailed study of mickwitziid valves and fragments will be presented in a later publication. The last assemblage is differentiated by the first occurrence of echinoderm ossicles and corresponds to the upper reefal interval of unit 3 and to unit 4. The echinoderm ossicles are associated with *Hyolithellus* spp., trilobites and mickwitzids.

*Hyolithellus* spp. occur throughout the PBF. *Allonia erromenosana*, *Archias-teredella* cf. *A. pentactina* and *Chancelloria* spp. are present in, but not restricted to, the first assemblage. In addition to taxa from the first assemblage, different taxa occur from the second assemblage upward: *Archias-teredella hirundo, Petasotheca* sp., *Pelagiella* sp., *Pojetaea* sp., *Hyolithid sp.*, *Microdictyon multicavus* (only one specimen) and trilobite fragments. Mickwitzids are rarely found in the second assemblage and occur only as fragments; they are more abundant and better preserved in the third assemblage. They last occur a few meters below the base of the fourth assemblage. The first occurrence of *Cupitheca* cf. *C. mira* is recorded in the third assemblage but its stratigraphic range extends to the fourth assemblage.

Some of the taxa reported in the different assemblages described above had already been described by McMenamin (1984) such as *Microdictyon multicavus, Chancelloria* spp., *Pelagiella* sp. and *Rajonia ornata*. In some cases, taxonomic interpretations might slightly differ: taxa referred to various species of *Hyolithellus* (*H. mexicanus, H. micans, ?Hyolithellus* sp.) by McMenamin (1984) are here referred to *Hyolithellus* spp. due to the poor taxonomic resolution of the genus. *Paragloborilus* cf. *P. mirus* described by McMenamin (1984) is here assigned to *Cupitheca* cf. *C. mira*. Most probably, the specimens described as *Bemella mexicana* McMenamin, 1984 in the plate legend and as *Bemella pauper* (Billings, 1872) in the text by McMenamin (1984) corresponds to *Mackinnonia corrugata* but the poor preservation of the material figured by McMenamin (1984) prevents any definite synonymy. *Lapworthella filigrana* Conway Morris and Fritz, 1984 reported in the PBF unit 3 at the Cerro Rajón by McMenamin (1984) was not recovered in this study despite a very detailed sampling. The high abundance and diversity of chancelloriid sclerites occurring along the

**FIGURE 2** (figure on previous page). Stratigraphic section through the Puerto Blanco Formation at Cerro Rajón with the range of identified SSFs and other fossils. The transition between the underlying La Ciénega Formation and the PBF is not represented as well as the lowermost part of the PBF as no limestone beds suitable for SSF studies were identified and sampled. The upper limit of the PBF illustrated corresponds to the transition between the PBF and the overlying Proveedora Quartzite. The four identified microfaunal assemblages are indicated by Roman numerals. The characteristic microfossils are figured without scale. The characteristic microfossils are, for assemblage I: *Xianfengella* sp. and *Allonia tetrathallis*; assemblage II: *Mackinnonia corrugata* and *Archias-teredella charma*; assemblage III: *Microdictyon multicavus, Parkula bounites* and *Rajonia ornata*; assemblage IV: echinoderm ossicles).
PBF at Cerro Rajón was not reflected in the study by McMenamin (1984). *Parkula bounites*, *Petasotheca* sp., *Xianfengella* sp. and the bradoriid species had not been previously reported from the area. With the description of the SSFs first assemblage, this paper represents the first report of fossils from unit 1 of the PBF. These are also the oldest SSFs ever described in Mexico. Finally, in McMenamin’s (1984) work, the detailed stratigraphic range of the taxa in the Cerro Rajón section had not been reported, preventing analysis of the evolution of the microfaunal assemblages and their use for biostratigraphy and chronostratigraphic interpretations. Only the composite stratigraphic range of some of the SSFs was reported by Stewart et al. (1984).

Some of the taxa formally identified in the PBF at the Cerro Rajón have a wide palaeogeographic distribution and a relatively well-described stratigraphic range that enable their use for biostratigraphic interpretation of the section (Figure 3). It appears that the sampled and fossiliferous part of the PBF corresponds mainly to the Cambrian stage 3 to Cambrian stage 4 based on the SSFs global stratigraphic range. Indeed, the age of the PBF based on the SSFs distribution can be inferred here only from their lowest occurrence in the middle part of unit 1 (sample R21). Among the taxa that occur in this sample, only the formally identified *Allonnia tetrathallis* and *A. erromenosa* have a global stratigraphic range that can be used for biostratigraphic interpretation (Figure 3). *A. erromenosa* occurs in the Cambrian stage 2 and Cambrian stage 3 of China and India and so does *A. tetrathallis* worldwide (see other occurrences in Systematic Palaeontology and Figure 3), except for a possible occurrence in the Cambrian stage 4 of Antarctica (Wrona, 2004). Therefore, the carbonate level intercalated between the volcaniclastic rocks of unit 1 of the PBF is interpreted to date most probably of Cambrian Age 2 to Age 3. *Archiasterella charma*, which occurs in unit 2, is restricted to the Cambrian stage 2 of China, while *Archiasterella hirundo*, *Mackinnonia corrugata* and *Parkula bounites* extend from Stage 3 to Cambrian stage 4 (see other occurrences in Systematic Palaeontology and Figure 3). No SSFs restricted to the Cambrian stage 4 have been identified that could help interpreting the position of the Cambrian Age 3–4 limit (Figure 3). So, based on the SSFs, the upper half of the PBF (from the base of unit 2) is interpreted to be of Cambrian Age 3 to 4.

**Assessment of Previous Trilobite and Archaeocyath Biostratigraphic Interpretations**

Different bio- and chronostratigraphic interpretations of the PBF have been proposed based on the distribution of various macrofossils. Cooper and Arellano (1946) reported that I.G. Gomez and L. Torres first discovered Cambrian trilobites in 1941 in the Caborca region. Trilobites have been described from the PBF around Caborca first by Lochman (1948, 1952) in the localities of Puerto Blanco, Cerro Prieto, Cerros de Los Difuntos and Cerros de La Proveedora. On the basis of the stratigraphic range of particular genera, Stewart et al. (1984) and McMenamin (1987) roughly recognized, following Fritz (1972, 1975): (1) the *Fallotaspis* zone, equivocally based on the occurrence of *cf. Fallotaspis* in unit 2 of the PBF at the Cerro Rajón (McMenamin, 1987); (2) the *Nevadella* Zone
in Sierra Agua Verde, ~100 km of Hermosillo in strata presumably correlated with the upper part of the PBF (McMenamin, 1987); and finally (3) the Bonnia – Olenellus Zone based on the occurrence of equivocal olenellid fragments and Olenellus sp. in unit 3 of the PBF in the Caborca area (Stewart et al., 1984) and in strata equivalent to the uppermost part of the PBF to the Proveedora quartzite at Sierra Agua Verde (Stewart et al., 1984) that are all defined in the North American Cordillera. However, as stated by Webster (2011), major systematic revisions have been proposed for these genera, considerably modifying their stratigraphic range, thus a revision of the trilobite biostratigraphy of Laurentia has been presented (Hollingsworth, 2007, 2011; Webster, 2011). It has been applied to the Sonoran sequences by Webster and Bohach (2014) and Cuen-Romero et al. (2018). However, the trilobite biostratigraphy of Sonora has been based on the composite distribution of trilobites in the Puerto Blanco Formation from all over the Caborca area. From Cerro Rajón particularly, only McMenamin (1984, 1987) described cf. Fallotaspis from the base of unit 2 of the PBF and Nevadia ovalis McMenamin, 1987 and Avefallotaspis? orbis (McMenamin, 1987) in the middle portion of unit 3 (Webster and Bohach, 2014). Avefallotaspis? orbis indicates the Cambrian stage 3 Avefallotaspis maria Zone (part of the outdated Nevdella Zone; Webster and Bohach, 2014).

In addition to the trilobites, two levels of archaeocyathan-microbial buildups occur in unit 3 of the PBF in Cerro Rajón. Their systematics, palaeoecology and depositional settings have been studied by Debrenne (1987) and Debrenne et al. (1989). The identified archaeocyaths mainly occur in Cambrian Age 4 rocks worldwide, but some genera also occur in the Cambrian stage 3 (Debrenne et al., 1989). New archaeocyathan material has been collected along with the SSFs and is being studied; the preliminary data obtained confirm that unit 3 corresponds to the Cambrian stages 3-4 based on the occurrences of the species. In addition, McMenamin (1987, note added in proof) reports the occurrence of “Silicified fragments of irregular archaeocyathans […] from a kutorginid brachiopod-rich, thin limestone bed 26 m above the base of unit 2 of the Puerto Blanco Formation,” indicating a Cambrian Age 3.

The regional bio(chrono)stratigraphic charts of Sonora based on trilobites and archaeocyathans are consistent with the biostratigraphic interpretation based on the newly determined SSF stratigraphic distribution at Cerro Rajón. Trilobites, occurring before archaeocyaths, have a better biostratigraphic potential in the studied area. However, pending further study, trilobites have a restricted use for biostratigraphic interpretations in the area, and Cerro Rajón in particular, due to the absence of a methodologically detailed study of the systematics and ranges of the taxa. Nevertheless, while it is not possible to precisely place the base of the Cambrian stage 4 based on the SSFs distribution at Cerro Rajón, the first occurrence of olenellids in the middle part of unit 3 of the PBF in other localities indicates the possible position of the base of this stage (Stewart et al., 1984).

Hence, the SSFs are a more effective biostratigraphic tool in the studied area. SSFs indicative of the Cambrian stage 3 appear in unit 1 of the PBF, significantly below the first trilobite presumably occurring in the upper half of unit 2 (but the specimen was recovered in a float at Cerro Rajón by McMenamin, 1987, so most probably come from higher in the section, according to topography, strike and dip of beds). The new SSFs data herein sharpen our knowledge of the extent of the Cambrian stage 3 in the PBF and allow a better correlation of this interval at the regional scale. However, detailed micropalaeontological studies in similar sections are required to verify the patterns identified from the study at Cerro Rajón.

Implication for the Understanding of the Ediacaran-Cambrian Transition in North-Western Sonora

The exact position of the Ediacaran/Cambrian boundary in the Sonoran sedimentary succession has been strongly discussed due to the thick volcanoclastic succession affecting the interval and its fossil record. The Ediacaran/Cambrian boundary has tentatively been placed by Sour-Tovar et al. (2007) within unit 1 of the PBF at the Cerro Rajón on the basis of the occurrence of the trace fossil Treptichnus pedum (Seilacher, 1955), the FAD of which is internationally used for the correlation of the base of the Fortunian (Brasier et al., 1994). They report the lowest occurrence of T. pedum ~260 m above the base of unit 1 of the PBF (Sour-Tovar et al., 2007). Bioturbation is frequently abundant in the upper part of unit 2, but we have been unable to find other specimens of T. pedum in different sections of the area, and only one specimen was tentatively identified by Sour-Tovar et al. (2007), which may require further investigation by an ichnologist expert. In any case, Cambrian stage 3 SSFs are reported herein from the middle part of unit 1 of the PBF. This occurrence invalidates the
interpretation of Sour-Tovar et al. (2007). Most probably their report of *T. pedum* does not correspond to the first appearance of the ichnofossil, but to its first record, which is usually diachronous due to strong dependence to lithofacies (Babcock et al., 2014). These results contribute to the ongoing debate about the use of the FAD of *T. pedum* for the recognition of the base of the Cambrian.

McMenamin (2008) places the Ediacaran/Cambrian boundary at the top of the La Ciénega Formation or near the boundary between the La Ciénega and Puerto Blanco formations. This interpretation is based on the report of *Cloudina* in the La Ciénega Formation (McMenamin, 1985). The fossils of this formation were first identified as hyloliths, *Sinotubulites* and *Cambrotubus* by McMenamin (1985) and the La Ciénega Formation as Terreneuvian in age. However, Grant (1990) later synonymized the specimens from La Ciénega Formation with *Cloudina*, assigning the containing levels to the Ediacaran, an interpretation followed by Sour-Tovar et al. (2007). In Cerro Rajón, detailed field work confirms that possible cloudinids (internal moulds and silicified tubes) are only found in the unit 1 of the La Ciénega Formation, as reported by McMenamin (McMenamin et al., 1983, 1994; McMenamin, 1996). Bed-plane bioturbation also locally occurs along this formation, as reported by McMenamin (1984) and Stewart (1984), which was not supported by Sour-Tovar et al. (2007). However, none of the observed ichnofossils indicate a Cambrian age. In addition, cloudinids were reported by Sour-Tovar et al. (2007) from Cerro La Ciénega in the eponymous formation, in unit 4. They would be deposited above basalt levels that the authors identified as unit 3. However, the GPS coordinates provided by Sour-Tovar et al. (2007) points to middle-upper part of unit 1 of PBF. Our preliminary investigation suggests that there are no basalt layers below the PBF unit 1, and that the numerous offsets of the sediments by faulting of the hills studied by Sour-Tovar et al. (2007) may have led to a misinterpretation of the succession and, thus the location of the reported cloudinids. Further investigations are in progress. However, SSF occurrences reported herein are congruent with the presence of *Cloudina* in the La Ciénega Formation and the interpretation of the Ediacaran/Cambrian boundary near the top of this formation (McMenamin, 1985). This interpretation is further supported by the δ^13^C curve constructed by Loyd et al. (2012), which presents a strong negative δ^13^C incursion most probably corresponding to the well-recognized anomaly at the Precambrian-Cambrian boundary (Loyd et al., 2012).

To summarize, at Cerro Rajón, the Ediacaran/Cambrian boundary is located in the upper part of the La Ciénega Formation based on the occurrence of the negative δ^13^C excursion and of *Cloudina*. The Cambrian stages 2 and 3 are indicated by the occurrence of SSFs 125 m above the base of the PBF. On this basis, the lower part of the PBF would include the complete Terreneuvian and possibly the base of Cambrian Series 2, Stage 3. In this locality, the succession deposited during the Terreneuvian is mainly volcanlastic. The corresponding volcanic event seems to be variably, and possibly diachronously, recorded in the Ediacaran-Cambrian successions of the area. Therefore, the study of this interval in other localities of the Caborca area, in search of lower occurrence of carbonates within or below volcanlastic deposits, would potentially provide Terreneuvian SSFs. They are necessary for the construction of a Sonoran and further Laurentian, SSF-based biostratigraphic chart.

**Regional to Global Correlations**

Outcrops of the Ediacaran-Cambrian sedimentary succession, including the PBF, are numerous in the Caborca area, northwestern Sonora (reported in Figure 1; see Stewart and Poole, 2002 for detailed list). Lithostratigraphic correlations of the Ediacaran-Cambrian transition have, therefore, been established across Sonora (McMenamin et al., 1994; Stewart et al., 2002). However, previous work on the regional stratigraphy of the PBF (Coooper and Arellano, 1952; Eells, 1972; Longoria, 1981; Stewart et al., 1984; McMenamin et al., 1994; Stewart and Poole, 2002) points to major local to regional variations of this transition, an observation confirmed by the field explorations conducted by the authors of this paper. Detailed stratigraphic and sedimentological studies at the regional scale are required to document such facies changes and determine their origin (as also noticed by Stewart et al., 1984).

Fossil data have also been used as a correlation tool for the Cambrian successions of Sonora, but so far, they only rely tenuously on trilobites and follow the general lithological correlations (Lochman, 1948, 1952; Stewart et al., 1984; McMenamin, 1987). The SSF data from the present study in Cerro Rajón, therefore, constitute a novel instrument for evaluating the correlations based on lithology and trilobites between the successions of Sonora. SSFs have not been reported...
from other localities in the Caborca area, but they have been observed by the authors in various limestones from the PBF, which crops out in a number of hills of the Caborca area that require investigation (Figure 1; Stewart and Poole, 2002).

Lithological correlations of the Cambrian successions of Sonora with Ediacaran-Cambrian successions of southwestern USA (San Bernardino Mountains and southern Great Basin - California and Nevada) have also been proposed (Stewart et al., 1984; McMenamin, 1984, 1996; Stewart et al., 2002; Stewart, 2005) and, more recently, chemostratigraphy was also used (Loyd et al., 2012). The PBF is generally roughly correlated with the Wood Canyon Formation of California and Nevada (McMenamin, 1996; Stewart et al., 2002; Stewart, 2005). Specifically, Stewart et al. (1984) correlate units 2, 3, and 4 of the PBF with the upper member of the Wood Canyon Formation of the Death Valley region, California and unit 3 with the Poleta Formation of Esmeralda County, Nevada. However, the detailed relationship between the successions remains unresolved, and it is necessary to improve our knowledge of the trends in stratigraphic patterns in the Caborca area (facies changes, thickness variations, palaeocurrents, etc.) to better compare them with trends in the southern Great Basin (Molina-Garza and Iriondo, 2007).

Correlations between Sonora and southwestern USA (Signor, 1991) based on fossils, mainly trilobites (Fritz, 1972, 1975; Palmer and Halley, 1979; Stewart, 1982; Stewart et al., 1984; McMenamin, 1984, 1987; Hollingsworth, 2005, 2011) but also archaeocyathans (McMenamin, 1984, 1987; Debrenne, 1987; Debrenne et al., 1989), have been proposed. Using trilobites, McMenamin (1984, 1987) suggested that the upper part of the Montenegro Member in the White-Inyo Mountains (California) should be correlated with unit 3 of the PBF as they both include the *Nevadia* assemblage, the base of which is defined by the first occurrence of the genera *Holmia* and *Nevadia*. The microbial-archaeocyathan buildups in the lower part of unit 3 of the PBF at Cerro Rajón are correlated with buildups in the upper part of the Campito Formation. Buildups in the upper part of unit 3 are correlated with buildups in the lower part of the Poleta Formation (McMenamin, 1987; Debrenne et al., 1989).

So far, no comparisons of the SSF record have been proposed between Sonora and southwestern USA. Two studies focusing on the SSFs have been conducted in the Great Basin: Wotte and Sundberg (2017) described SSFs recovered from nine sections in eastern California and southern Nevada while Skovsted (2006b) focused on a Cambrian stage 4 fauna from the basal Emigrant Formation of Nevada. The fossil assemblage described in the latter is endemic, preventing any comparisons with the SSF assemblages described in this paper. Only chancelloriid spicules and echinoderm ossicles similar to that of the Cerro Rajón are present, but do not support any correlation. The SSFs described by Wotte and Sundberg (2017) encompass a composite assemblage extracted from Cambrian stage 3 to 5 carbonates of different formations studied in nine different sections. From this composite assemblage, the fragments of *Microdictyon* sclerites, herein considered as belonging to the species *Microdictyon multicus* which occurs in the PBF, enable the correlation of successions from Sonora and the Great Basin. The fragments of *Microdictyon* sclerites are restricted to the sample M5 from carbonate lenses of the upper part of the Montenegro Member, Campito Formation cropping out in the Montezuma Range, Esmeralda County (Nevada) and dated as Cambrian Age 3. The fragments of *Microdictyon* sclerites also occur with *Pelagiella aff. P. subangularia*, *Microcornus* sp., *Parkula* sp., *Hyolithellus*? sp., *Allonia* sp. and *Chancelloria* sp. This assemblage is comparable to the assemblage co-occurring with *M. multicavus* in Sonora. Indeed, *Pelagiella* sp., *Chancelloria* spp., *Parkula bounites* and very abundant *Hyolithellus* sp. co-occur with *M. multicavus* in unit 2 of the PBF. Therefore, we suggest a correlation, based on SSFs, of unit 2 of the PBF with the top part of the Montenegro Member of the Campito Formation. This correlation differs from the correlation of unit 3 of the PBF with the upper part of the Montenegro Member in the White-Inyo Mountains proposed by McMenamin (1984) based on the trilobites.

Tynan (1980, 1981a, 1981b, 1983) also described SSFs from the Cambrian stage 3 of the Campito and Poleta Formations of the White-Inyo Mountains, California. Their archaeocyathan- and trilobite-bearing carbonates yielded helcionellids, hyolithids, hyolithelmiths, foraminifers, chancelloriids, echinoderm ossicles, bradoriids, brachiopods, tommotiids and other problematical microfossils (Tynan, 1981a) that could probably be compared with the assemblages from Cerro Rajón. Even older possible molluscs have been recovered from the upper member of the Deep Spring Formation interpreted as Cambrian Age 2 (Tynan, 1981a). This occurrence would represent the oldest for SSFs in Laurentia (SSFs are herein defined...
as early Cambrian skeletal microfossils so Cloudina is excluded). It is not possible to relate this occurrence with the SSFs of Cerro Rajón as the Cambrian stage 2 is mostly represented by volcaniclastic sediments.

Signor and Mount (1986) summarize the published literature on the stratigraphic distribution of lower Cambrian fossils in the White-Inyo Mountains. In addition to archaeocyathans, echinoderms, brachiopods and trilobites, Chancelloria sp., Bemella sp., Hyolithellus grana, Lapworthella filigrana, Microdictyon sp., Hyolithes princeps and species of Cambrotubulus and of Poitutibubulites have been observed by the two authors in the upper part of the Montenegro Member of the Campito Formation and in the Poleta Formation. More analyses are necessary to formally correlate the data of Signor and Mount (1986) with data from this study, but the assemblages appear to be comparable. Problematic skeletal tubes described as Nevadatubulus, Coleoloides, Sinotubulites and Salanytheca have been reported from the lower member of the Deep Spring Formation of the White-Inyo Mountains of eastern California and in Esmeralda County, Nevada by Signor et al. (1983, 1987) who considered them as equivalent in age to the Tommotian Stage of Siberia. However, they were later synonymized with Cloudina by Grant (1990) and are, therefore, related to the Ediacaran fossils described in the La Ciénega Formation.

Skovsted and Holmer (2006) reported SSFs from the Cambrian stages 3 to 4 Harkless Formation of Gold Point, Esmeralda County. The brachiopods, sponge spicules and Sphenotallus described in their work have not been recovered in the PBF. Only Hyolithellus insolitus is very similar to some specimens referred to Hyolithellus sp. in this work. Platysolenites antiquissimus is reported from the Cambrian stage 3 middle member of the Poleta Formation in Esmeralda County (Streng et al., 2005), but was not recovered in the PBF equivalent to the Cambrian stage 3.

Other SSFs from the American Cordillera have been described in northwestern Canada (Nowlan et al., 1985; Voronova et al., 1987). The Vampire Formation of Yukon (Nowlan et al., 1985) yielded Anabarites trisculatus, Prothertzia anabarica, P. unguliformis, Hyolithellus cf. H. isicus, Rushtonia? sp. and maikhanellids that correspond to SSF assemblages from the Fortunian described in Siberia, China, Iran, Mongolia, India and Kazakhstan. As already stated, the SSF record of the Terreneuvian (Fortunian and Cambrian stage 2) is partly missing at Cerro Rajón, precluding any comparison. Lapworthella filigrina, which was described by McMenamin (1984) from the PBF, also occurs in the Rosella Formation (Nevadella Zone) in the Cassiar Mountains (Conway-Morris and Fritz, 1984). Lapworthella filigrina was not recovered in the framework of the present study. The assemblage described by Voronova et al. (1987) from the Mackenzie Mountains in the Northwest Territories includes Microdictyon sp., chancelloriid sclerites with a possible specimen of A. hirundo and various Hyolithellus species that are comparable to the PBF assemblages.

SSF assemblages from southeastern Laurentia can also be compared with the SSFs of the PBF, although no direct correlation at the species level can be proposed. Indeed, the genera are comparable but the species differ and a number of taxa are described with open nomenclature from the PBF, preventing direct comparisons. Skovsted and Peel (2007) report the presence of a diverse Small Shelly Fossil fauna in the Cambrian stages 3 to 4 argillaceous facies of the Forteau Formation from western Newfoundland. It includes several genera (Eoobolus, Pelagiella, Pojetaia, Parkula, Cupitheca, Archiasterella, Chancelloria, and Hyolithellus) that are also found in the PBF. The Cambrian stages 3 to 4 Browns Pond Formation of New York State yielded 26 species of SSFs including species of Pelagiella, Hyolithellus, Petasotheca and Chancelloria (Landing and Bartowski, 1996). Pelagiella, Eoobolus, chancelloriid sclerites and echinoderm ossicles are also recovered from the Cambrian stage 4 basal Kinzer Formation of Pennsylvania (Skovsted and Peel, 2010). The largest Small Shelly Fossil fauna of southeastern Laurentia has been described from the Cambrian stages 3 to 4 upper Bassion and Ella Island formations of north-east Greenland at Albert Heim Bjerge and Ostenfeld Nunatak (Skovsted and Peel, 2001; Skovsted, 2003a; Skovsted and Holmer, 2003; Skovsted, 2004; Peel and Skovsted, 2005; Skovsted, 2005; Skovsted and Holmer, 2005; Skovsted, 2006a, Skovsted, 2016). It is comparable to the Small Shelly Fossil assemblages of the PBF, with the occurrences of Microdictyon cf. depressum possibly synonym of M. multicus, Parkula bounites and species of Hyolithellus, Pelagiella, Pojetaia, Cupitheca, Eoobolus and chancelloriid sclerites and echinoderm ossicles. SSFs from Québec (Landing et al., 2002) correspond to a much younger assemblage (Cambrian stage 5) than the assemblages of the PBF.

At the global scale, the SSF assemblages from the PBF at the Cerro Rajón exhibit relatively
strong affinities with assemblages described in China and Australia (Figure 4). Three species (Archiasterella hirundo, Parkula bounites and Mackinnonia corrugata) formally identified in the PBF are common to the sedimentary successions corresponding to the Cambrian stages 3 to 4 of South Australia (Figure 4) described by Bengtson et al. (1990) and Gravestock et al. (2001). In addition, Archiasterella pentactina (comparable to the specimens referred to A. cf. A. pentactina in this study), Pojetaia runnegari (comparable to the specimens referred to Pojetaia sp. in this study) and Pelagiella subangulata (comparable to the specimens referred to Pelagiella sp. in this study) have also been extensively reported in the Cambrian stage 3 to 4 of South Australia (Bengtson et al., 1990; Gravestock et al., 2001; Betts et al., 2016). Parkula bounites is also present in the neighbouring region of Australia (Figure 4): Antarctica (Wrona, 2004). Three species of chancellorriids are common between South China and Mexico: Archiasterella charma, Alonnia erromenosa and A. tetrathallis (Figure 4); however, the differentiation of the species is based on disarticulated sclerites and may reflect an artificially higher diversity and, therefore, an artificial biogeographical connection. Following the same reasoning, the similarity with Small Shelly Fossil fauna from Germany based on the two shared chancellorriid species Archiasterella hirundo and Alonnia tetrathallis might be either artificial or representing accurate connections (Figure 4). Loose connections with India, Pakistan, Turkey and Jordan are also observed based on chancellorriid sclerite occurrences (Figure 4).

**CONCLUSIONS**

1. Four distinct SSF assemblages are identified in the Puerto Blanco Formation at the Cerro Rajón (SE of Caborca, Sonora, Mexico). Assemblage I is characterized by Xianfengella sp. and Alonnia tetrathallis, assemblage II by Mackinnonia corrugata and Archiasterella charma, assemblage III by Parkula bounites and Rajonia ornata and assemblage IV by echinoderm ossicles. Mickwitzidids, the chancellorriids Alonnia erromenosa, Archiasterella hirundo, A. cf. A. pentactina and Chancelloria spp., the hyolithelmints Hyolithellus spp., the hyoliths Petastohecia spp., Cupitheca cf. C. mira, Hyolithid sp., the molluscs Pelagiella sp. and Pojetaia sp., the brachiopod Eoobolus sp., the sclerites of the lobopod Microdictyon multicavus and one unidentified bradoriid and an indeterminate fossil are also recovered in the Puerto Blanco Formation at the Cerro Rajón.

| Taxa                     | Laurentia | Worldwide |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Archiasterella hirundo  | x         | x x x x x |
| Archiasterella charma   | x x       |           |
| Alonnia erromenosa      |           | x x       |
| Alonnia tetrathallis    | x x       | x x       |
| Parkula bounites        | x x       | x x       |
| Mackinnonia corrugata   |           | x         |
| Microdictyon multicavus | x x ?     |           |
| Rajonia ornata          | x         |           |

**FIGURE 4.** Distribution of formally identified taxa in the Puerto Blanco Formation at Cerro Rajón and comparison with Laurentian and global distribution. See Systematic Palaeontology (“other occurrences” of taxa) for references.

2. SSFs are reported for the first time from a carbonate bed intercalated within the dominant volcanioclastic deposits of unit 1 of the Puerto Blanco Formation. Taxa with a global distribution indicate that this bed corresponds to the Cambrian stages 2 to 3. This occurrence of SSFs is the oldest in Mexico. Units 2 to 4 of the Puerto Blanco Formation correspond to the Cambrian stages 3 to 4 based on the SSFs.

3. SSFs in Sonora represent a new powerful palaeontological tool for correlations and for biostratigraphic interpretations of the early Cambrian sedimentary successions of the area, which complement and surpass trilobites and archaeocyathans. They allow better correlation of this interval at regional and international scales.

4. SSFs further help improving knowledge of the Ediacaran-Cambrian transition in Sonora. Their occurrence in the middle part of unit 1 of the Puerto Blanco Formation invalidates the interpretation of Sour-Tovar et al. (2007) that the Ediacaran-Cambrian boundary occurs in the upper part of this unit based on the record of Treptichnus pedum. The SSFs support the placement of the Ediacaran-Cambrian boundary at the top of the La Ciñega Formation due to the presence of Cloudina and a strong negative δ13C excursion (Loyd et al., 2012).

5. SSFs from the Puerto Blanco Formation enable revision of the correlation between early Cambrian successions of Sonora and southwestern USA. Unit 2 of the Puerto Blanco Formation is correlated with the top part of the Montenegro Member of the Campito Formation cropping out in the Montezuma Range, Esmeralda County (Nevada).

6. The SSF assemblages of Cerro Rajón exhibit relatively strong affinities with assemblages in Australia. To a lesser extent, connections with South China
are also identified. Loose connections with Germany, India, Pakistan, Turkey and Jordan are also recognized.

7. Detailed micropalaeontological studies in other sections are required to verify the patterns identified from the study at Cerro Rajón. Such studies would further contribute to the ongoing work of the International Subcommission on Cambrian Stratigraphy by providing the bases for the construction of a SSF-based biostratigraphic chart for Laurentia which is still lacking.

SYSTEMATIC PALAEONTOLOGY
Phylum and Class uncertain
Order CHANCELLORIIDA Walcott, 1920
Family CHANCELLORIIDAE Walcott, 1920

Remarks. The taxonomy of chancelloriids in this study is based on disarticulated sclerites, which are the only available material. The taxonomic treatment of disarticulated chancelloriid sclerites has always represented a challenge and is considered unstable at best (Bengtson and Collins, 2015). Recently, Moore et al. (2014) proposed a method contributing to the identification of chancelloriid species on the basis of their disarticulated sclerites. According to their method, to determine if different sclerite morphotypes come from a single chancelloriid species, the significance of the differences in proportions of the sclerite morphotypes in different samples has to be tested by a chi-square or other statistical test. This method presents some limits discussed in the original publication (Moore et al., 2014), but we would recommend its consideration when dealing with disarticulated chancelloriid sclerites. One limit is that this method requires a significant number of complete disarticulated sclerites for the statistical tests (in the case-studies of Moore et al., 2014, samples with a minimum of 100 complete sclerites have been considered). Unfortunately, the low number of complete, disarticulated sclerites recovered from our various samples prevents the use of this method. Assignment to the species level is proposed, and some specimens are left in open nomenclature in order to figure and discuss in detail the material that could prove to be of use in spite of absence of articulated scleritome and sufficient number of sclerites for statistical analyses. The morphological terminology utilized herein to describe chancelloriid sclerites follows Moore et al. (2014) with additional references to Bengtson and Collins (2015). The configuration of marginal and central rays is described with the m+n system proposed by Sdzuy (1969) and modified by Qian and Bengtson (1989).

Genus ARCHIASTERELLA Sdzuy, 1969
Type species. Archiasterella pentactina Sdzuy, 1969, Cambrian stage 3, Cazalla de la Sierra/Sierra Morena, Spain.
Diagnosis. See Moore et al. (2014, p. 858).

Archiasterella hirundo Bengtson in Bengtson et al., 1990
Figure 5.1-17
1990 Archiasterella hirundo n. sp.; Bengtson in Bengtson et al., p. 54; figs. 29-30.
1994 Archiasterella hirundo Bengtson; Elicki, fig. 7.3.
1998 Archiasterella tetraspina (Vassiljeva and Sayutina, 1988); Vassiljeva, p. 102, pl. 20, figs. 11, 12.
1998 Archiasterella quadriradiata n. sp.; Vassiljeva, p. 102, pl. 21, figs. 2, 3.
2001 Archiasterella quadratina Lee, 1987; Demidenko in Gravestock et al., pl. VI, figs. 3-4.
2001 Archiasterella cf. hirundo Bengtson; Fernández-Remolar, p. 59, figs. 3e-f, 8c-d, f.
2001 Archiasterella cf. hirundo Bengtson; Sarmiento et al., p. 120, pl. 2, fig. 5.
2008 Archiasterella hirundo Bengtson; Porter, pl. 2, figs. 1-15.
2010 Archiasterella hirundo Bengtson; Moore et al., fig. 11.1-3, 6, 7 (isolated rays from internal moulds, possibly from Archiasterella hirundo).
2011 Archiasterella cf. hirundo Bengtson; Elicki, p. 161, figs. 5P-Q.
2017 Archiasterella cf. hirundo Bengtson; Wotte and Sundberg, p. 895, fig. 8.23

Diagnosis. See Bengtson et al. (1990, p. 54).

Material. 31 articulated sclerites including the three figured phosphatic internal moulds with phosphatic outer layer USTL3170-3, USTL3175-4, and USTL3177-2.

Distribution. Cerro Rajón section, samples R54 and R63 from unit 2 of the Puerto Blanco Formation.

Description. The articulated sclerites are bilaterally symmetrical and composed of four lateral rays (4+0 configuration; Figure 5.1-2, 5.6-7, 5.12-13) diverging at similar angle of 90°. They are preserved as phosphatic internal moulds associated with a phosphatic outer layer. Three rays are approximately parallel to the basal plane (horizontal rays according to Moore et al., 2014), whereas the principal ray (following Moore et al., 2014) is recurved away from the basal plane at ~85 to 115° (Figure 5.5, 5.8). The axis of symmetry of the sclerite bisects the principal ray and its opposite horizontal counterpart. Those rays meet at a sagittal suture and are also in contact with the two lat-
FIGURE 5. Caption on next page.
eral horizontal rays forming three articular facets. The lateral rays, perpendicular to the axis of symmetry, exhibit only two articular facets (Figure 5.1, 5.3, 5.6, 5.12-13). The rays are robust, slightly flattened at their proximal ends so that the basal plane is strongly developed with a broad, flat surface. The outer layer at the basal plane is either completely smooth (Figure 5.12) or with low, irregular, arched wrinkles near the ray sutures and around the foramina (Figure 5.1, 5.3). The foramina form perforations through the outer phosphatic layer and depressions on the internal mould; they have a circular (Figure 5.1, 5.3) to elongate shape (Figure 5.6, 5.12) and their maximum diameter is small (from 80 to 203 µm) relative to the sclerite size. They are located at the distalmost part of the basal plane. The thin, outer phosphatic layer, consistently present on the specimens, exhibits a smooth (Figure 5.9) to slightly rugose texture (Figure 5.4, 5.17) with hollow tubercles of ~20 µm in height on its surface (Figure 5.2, 5.4, 5.6-9, 5.11, 5.13-17). The tubercles are generally broken, leaving a circular (where the tubercle extends perpendicularly to the coating surface; Figure 5.4) to elongate (where the tubercle is inclined toward the distal end of the ray; Figure 5.9) perforation of ~25 µm diameter through the coating. The tubercles are randomly distributed over the entire surface of the external coating but completely absent from the basal plane (Figure 5.1, 5.3, 5.6, 5.12). In the central part of the sclerite abaxial surface, the tubercles are mostly randomly distributed, but some are aligned along the ray sutures (Figure 5.7, 5.11, 5.13, 5.16-17). The outer layer is separated from the internal mould by a 10 to 20 µm gap (Figure 5.10, 5.14). The outer layer may continuously cover the entire sclerite (Figure 5.1-3, 5.11-13) or may be disrupted at the ray sutures (Figure 5.6). Where the rays are broken, the phosphatic internal mould is visible. It can be massive (Figure 5.10) or granular with an external, thin phosphatic crust (Figure 5.14).

**Comparisons.** The sclerites described herein are assigned to *Archiasterella hirundo* Bengtson in Bengtson et al. (1990) as they exhibit the characteristic tubercles and basal plane of this 4+0 achias terellid. Few negligible, yet remarkable differences are present between the Mexican specimens and other described specimens of *A. hirundo*. In the Mexican material, the rays that meet at the sagittal suture and, therefore, exhibit three articulatory facets are the principal, recurved ray and the facing horizontal ray, whereas the opposite configuration (horizontal rays meet at the sagittal suture with three articulatory facets) is described in other articulated specimens of the species. Moreover, the regular organization of tubercles described by Porter (2008) is not present in the Mexican specimens, which are more randomly organized.

Comparisons of the present specimens with any other 4+0 chancellorids are constrained by the spatial configuration of the rays, the organization of the basal plane and the ornamentation. *Archias terella tetraspina* specimens described by Vassiljeva (1998) are similar in morphology to *A. hirundo* possessing a characteristic short median recurved ray, the longer median one and two lateral recurved rays. However, poor illustration and description provided by Vassiljeva (1998) prevent the accurate observation of the foramina and of possible ornaments and, therefore, the confident synonymy with *A. hirundo*.

**Remarks.** The thin outer phosphatic layer on the Mexican *A. hirundo* (Figure 5.10) is closely comparable to the thin outer layer described by Porter.

**FIGURE 5** (figure on previous page). Internal moulds of sclerites with outer layer of *Archiasterella hirundo* Bengtson in Bengtson et al., 1990, from the Puerto Blanco Formation of Cerro Rajón, Sonora, Mexico. 1-5. Specimen USTL317-3 from sample R54: 1. Adaxial view, area in the square is magnified in 3; 2. Abaxial view, area in the square is magnified in 4; 3. Detail of the basal plane showing the foramina and intersections of the rays articulatory facets; 4. Detail of broken tubercles of the outer layer forming circular perforations; 5. Lateral view showing the recurved lateral ray; 6-10, 17. Specimen USTL3175-4 from sample R63: 6. Adaxial view, area in the square is magnified in 9; 7. Abaxial view, area in the square is magnified in 17; 8. Lateral view showing the recurved lateral ray, area in the square is magnified in 10; 9. Detail of the outer layer near the foramen with broken inclined tubercles forming elongated perforations; 10. Detail of a transversally broken ray shows the gap between the outer phosphatic layer and the phosphatic internal mould of the lumen; 11-16. Specimen USTL3177-2 from sample R63: 11. Lateral view, area in the square is magnified in 16; 12. Adaxial view; 13. Abaxial view, area in the square is magnified in 15; 14. Detail of a transversally broken ray showing the gap between the outer phosphatic layer and the phosphatic internal mould of the lumen, note that a thin phosphatic crust is present on the outer surface of the internal mould; 15. Detail of the abaxial surface covered with broken tubercles that form perforations through the outer layer; 16. Detail of aligned tubercles along the suture between two adjacent rays; 17. Detail of the granular outer layer and preserved to partially broken tubercles at rays suture. Scale bars are: 17, 20 µm; 4, 9, 10, 16, 50 µm; 3, 14-15, 100 µm; 1-2, 5-8, 11-13, 200 µm.
(2008) in casts of *A. hirundo* sclerites from the Parara Limestone of Curramulka Quarry Section, South Australia (same smooth to slightly rugose texture, continuous extension on the entire sclerite, tubercles). In the Mexican sclerites, the layer is mostly separated from the phosphatic internal mould by a gap which varies between 10 to 20 µm. It is difficult to assess the presence of this gap in the sclerites described by Porter (2008). We interpret this gap in the Mexican material as the former position of the dissolved carbonate wall of the sclerite. Porter (2008) interpreted the thin, outer phosphatic layer as a replacement of a possibly originally organic layer of the otherwise carbonate wall. Based on the present observations, the layer could alternatively be interpreted as a phosphatic outer coating that mimics the original carbonate surface of the sclerite. The consistent presence of the external coating in all the specimens observed in this study prevents the accurate observation of the internal mould and, therefore, the identification of indices of the other shell layers described by Porter (2008) such as, (1) the thin outer phosphatic layer, (2) the inner layer composed of aragonite fibres orientated parallel to the long axis of the sclerite, (3) aragonite fibres bundled into discrete “projections” that are commonly inclined from the sclerite, (4) a lower surface where the projections are absent.

**Other occurrences.** Cambrian stages 3-4 (*Abadiella huoi*, *Pararaia tatei*, *P. bunyeroensis* and *P. janea* trilobites zones) of Australia: Ajax Limestone, Mount Scott Range, Arroie basin (Bengtson et al., 1990; Gravestock et al., 2001; Porter, 2008); Parara Limestone, Horse Gully, Curramulka, Minlaton-1 drill core (7 km east of Minlaton), SYC-101 drill core (south-west of Curramulka and 6 km north-east of Minlaton), Cur-D1B drill core (2.8 km east of Minlaton); Cobowie Limestone, Port Julia; Kulpara and Parara Limestones, CD-2 drill core (east of the Curramulka Quarry), Yorke Peninsula, Stansbury Basin (Gravestock et al., 2001). Cambrian stage 3 of the USA: Pioche Formation, Log Cabin Mine, Nevada (Wotte and Sundberg, 2017). Cambrian stage 3 of Germany: Upper Zwetau Carbonate Member, Doberlug-Torgau Synclinorium (Elicki, 1994). Possibly Cambrian stage 3 of Spain: Pedroche Formation, Jimenez Quarry and Cerro de las Ermitas, Córdoba (Fernández-Remolar, 2001). Possibly Cambrian stages 3 to 4 of Turkey: Çal Tepe Formation, Taurus Mountains (Sarmiento et al., 2001). Possibly Cambrian stage 4 of Jordan: lower Numayri Member, valley south of Wadi At Tayan (Elicki, 2011).

**Archisterella charma** (Moore et al., 2014)  
*Figure 6.1-19*

2014 *Archisterella charma* n. sp.; Moore, Li and Porter, p. 859, fig. 3B-C, H, 11.

**Material.** 116 broken articulated sclerites including the figured five phosphatic internal moulds of sclerites USTL3166-4, USTL3172-9, USTL3167-7, USTL3169-6 and USTL3168-4, two phosphatic internal moulds with external phosphatic coating USTL3164-3 and USTL3168-6 and thousands of disarticulated rays including the figured USTL3189-6, USTL3167-3 and USTL3173-4.

**Distribution.** Cerro Rajón section, samples R53, R54 and R55 from unit 2 of the Puerto Blanco Formation.

**Description.** The isolated articulated sclerites, preserved as internal phosphatic moulds are fragmented (rays broken; Figure 6.1, 6.6, 6.11) and three specimens exhibit a partially (Figure 6.10) to completely (Figure 6.16-19) preserved external phosphatic coating. The sclerites are bilaterally symmetrical with three marginal rays (3+0 form; Figure 6.10). In lateral view, the two horizontal rays lie approximately in the basal plane with a slight angle up to 25° in the abaxial direction (Figure 6.2, 6.10, 6.12, 6.17-18). The principal ray is strongly abaxially recurved from the basal plane with an angle varying between 55° and 95° (Figure 6.2, 6.4-5, 6.10, 6.12, 6.15, 6.17-18). The size of sclerites is variable: basal plane diameter, which may be used as indication for the size, ranges from ~485 to 782 µm. It is not possible to determine the size of sclerites based on the length of the rays which are broken. One disarticulated ray assigned to the species, although broken, exhibits the maximum length observed (~1900 µm; Figure 6.13). Cross-section of rays is circular (Figure 6.5, 6.15). Horizontal and principal rays are generally very similar in size (Figure 6.1, 6.5-7, 6.9-12, 6.14-17), but the principal ray differs considerably from the horizontal rays in one specimen (Figure 6.18, 6.19). Rays rapidly taper from the basal area and then more slightly distally (Figure 6.1, 6.6, 6.11, 6.13). In basal view, the angle of divergence between the two horizontal rays varies from slightly acute (minimum 80°; Figure 6.6, 6.9, 6.19) to strongly obtuse (maximum 155°; Figure 6.1, 6.11, 6.16). All rays meet at the basal plane in a Y-shaped junction underlined by narrow grooves between adjoining rays on internal moulds (Figure 6.1, 6.3, 6.6, 6.9, 6.11), but faded on external coatings (Figure 6.16, 6.19). The articulatory junction between adjoining rays is either straight (Figure 6.1, 6.3, 6.6) or irregular due to the presence of...
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faded tubercles on the edge of ray internal moulds corresponding to slight protrusions of the internal cavity (Figure 6.9, 6.11). The foramen is located at the centre of the basal facet of each ray. The diameter and shape of the foramen greatly varies depending on the preservation; nevertheless, in the best preserved specimens, they seem to be relatively small and elongate to circular (Figure 6.9). The rim of the foramen is swollen both on internal moulds and external coatings (Figure 6.3, 6.8-9, 6.11, 6.13, 6.16, 6.19).

**Comparisons.** The three-rayed sclerites described above exhibit two rays in the basal plane and one ray that is recurved away from the basal plane typical of *Archiasterella* Sdzuy, 1969. In this genus, three-rayed sclerites have only been reported in the species *Archiasterella charma*. The characters observed in the present specimens are similar to those described in *A. charma* by Moore et al. (2014) with only two slight differences: the angle between the two horizontal rays is not always acute in the Mexican specimens as obtuse angles are also observed, and the rims around the foramina are swollen but smooth, not tooth-bordered. Possible synonyms of *A. charma* have been discussed by Moore et al. (2014).

**Other occurrence.** Cambrian stage 2 (Sinosachites flabelliformis – Tannuolina zhangwentangi Assemblage Zone), possibly Cambrian stage 3 of South China: Shiyantou Formation, Xiaotan, Yongshan County, Yunnan Province and possibly Guizhou and Sichuan provinces (Moore et al., 2014).

*Archiasterella cf. A. pentactina* (Sdzuy, 1969)

**Material.** 56 articulated sclerites including six figured phosphatic internal moulds with partial phosphatic external coating USTL3164-6, USTL3166-10, USTL3171-5, USTL3175-13 and USTL3167-4 and thousands of disarticulated rays.

**Distribution.** Cerro Rajón section, samples R21, R53, R54, R55, R63 and R83 from units 1 to 4 of the Puerto Blanco Formation.

**Description.** The articulated sclerites are preserved as fragmented (tips of rays broken) internal phosphatic moulds (Figure 7.1-7, 7.9-11, 7.14-15) and one specimen exhibits an external phosphatic coating (Figure 7.8, 7.12-13). The size of the sclerites is variable, the width across the mid-point of the basal plane (between the two pairs of horizontal rays, as described by Moore et al., 2014) ranges from ~360 to 600 µm. The sclerites are bilaterally symmetrical; five massive marginal rays (5+0 form) of equivalent size (estimated with the diameter of the ray as they are incompletely preserved) are present although the principal ray may have a slightly larger diameter (Figure 7.1-2, 7.5-7, 7.12-14). The two abapical rays seem to taper gradually distally, which is not observed in the two adapical rays that narrow rapidly and are sub-cylindrical. Four of the marginal rays extend in the basal plane, and one ray (principal), although incompletely preserved, protrudes in the abaxial direction with an angle with the basal plane ranging from 60° to 80° (Figure 7.3, 7.8-11). The boundaries between the different rays are variably expressed from very distinct (Figure 7.2, 7.4-5) to faded (Figure 7.1, 7.14). The principal ray is in contact with all the other rays and, therefore, has four articulatory facets. The two abapical rays exhibit only two articulatory facets, one in contact with the principal ray and the other in contact with the adjacent adapical rays which therefore have three articulatory facets (Figure 7.2, 7.4-5, 7.7, 7.13). Foramina are present on each marginal ray and situated at the centre of their basal facets. When best

**FIGURE 6** (figure on previous page). Articulated but broken internal moulds of sclerites of *Archiasterella charma* Moore, Li and Porter, 2014, from the Puerto Blanco Formation of Cerro Rajón, Sonora, Mexico. 1-3, 5. Specimen USTL3166-4 from sample R53: 1. Adaxial view showing the almost straight angle between the horizontal rays, area in the square is magnified in 3; 2, 5. Lateral views showing the curvature of the principal ray; 3. Detail of the basal plane showing the swollen rims of the foramina. 4. Disarticulated principal ray USTL3189-6 from sample R53 in lateral view showing the curvature and the swollen rim of the foramen. 6, 8. Specimen USTL3172-9 from sample R55: 6. Adaxial view; 8. Lateral view showing a relatively well-perserved (long) horizontal ray and the principal ray broken at the edge of the basal facet. 7, 9-10. Specimen USTL3168-4 from sample R54: 7. Lateral view from the principal ray; 9. Adaxial view showing the acute angle between the horizontal rays and the irregular junction between adjoining rays; 10. Lateral view showing the recurved principal ray partially covered by the external coating. 11, 14. Specimen USTL3167-7 from sample R53: 11. Adaxial view; 14. Lateral view. 12. Basal view of disarticulated principal ray USTL3167-3 from sample R53 showing the swollen rim of the foramen. 13. Adaxial view of one disarticulated horizontal ray USTL3173-4 from sample R55. 15. Lateral view of specimen USTL3169-6 R54b A_018 from sample R54b. 16-17. Specimen USTL3164-3 preserved with external coating from sample R53a: 16. Adaxial view; 17. Lateral view showing horizontal rays diverging from the basal plane. 18-19. Specimen USTL3168-6 preserved with external coating from sample R54: 16. Adaxial view; 17. Lateral view. Scale bars are: 3, 12, 100 µm; 1-2, 4-11, 13-19, 200 µm.
preserved on internal moulds, foramina are circular to ovate and the rim is swollen (Figure 7.1, 7.5, 7.14-15). This swollen rim is sometimes broken and the foramina is round and wide (Figure 7.2, 7.4). A small perforation is seen on the external coatings at the position of the foramina (Figure 7.12).

**Comparisons.** The sclerites described above exhibit five lateral rays (no central), one of which is recurved away from the basal plane so they are similar to sclerites referred to *Archiasterella pentactina*. However, due to the lack of data on *Archiasterella pentactina* type material, the present specimens are only referred to *Archiasterella* cf. *A. pentactina*. A revision of the species type material.
is necessary before definitely concluding on the assignment of the specimens from this and previous studies.

**Genus** ALLONNIA Doré and Reid, 1965

**Type species.** *Allonnia tripodophora* Doré and Reid, 1965, Cambrian stage 2, Carteret, Armorican Massif, France.

**Diagnosis.** See Moore et al. (2014, p. 850).

*Allonnia erromenosa* (Jiang in Luo et al., 1982) Figure 8.1-10

The list of synonymy of the species *Allonnia erromenosa* in Moore et al. (2014) is considered valid in addition to the following synonym:

2016 *Allonnia erromenosa* Jiang, in Luo et al.; Peel et al., p. 249, fig. 6I.

**Material.** 106 articulated sclerites including the three figured phosphatic internal moulds USTL3162-6, USTL3162-12 and USTL3163-11.

**Distribution.** Cerro Rajón section, samples R21 and R53 from units 1 and 2 of the Puerto Blanco Formation.

**Description.** The articulated sclerites are preserved as fragmented (tips of rays broken) internal phosphatic moulds (Figure 8.1-10). Sclerites are radially symmetrical with three equivalent marginal rays (3+0 form; Figure 8.3-5, 8.9) angled from the basal plane at 45° (Figure 8.8) to 65° (Figure 8.7). When preserved rays are relatively long, some recurve away relatively abruptly (Figure 8.1-2, 8.6). All rays taper distally from the basal plane, either abruptly after their basal part (Figure 8.1-2, 8.4-5) or gradually (Figure 8.7-10). Due to breakage of all recovered rays, it is not possible to measure their length. Size of sclerites is estimated from the diam-

**FIGURE 8.** Articulated but broken internal moulds of sclerites of *Allonnia erromenosa* Jiang, in Luo et al., 1982 from the Puerto Blanco Formation of Cerro Rajón, Sonora, Mexico. 1-4. Specimen USTL3162-6 from sample R21: 1-2. Lateral view showing all the lateral rays recurved away from the basal plane; 3. Abaxial view; 4. Adaxial view showing the circular and wide foramina located on the basal edge of the rays. 5-7. Specimen USTL3162-12 from sample R21: 5. Adaxial view showing the foramina with raised rim surrounded by shallow depressions; 6-7. Lateral views. 8-10. Specimen USTL3163-11 from sample R21: 8, 10. Lateral views; 9. Adaxial view showing the circular and wide basally displaced foramina with raised rim and surrounding depression. Scale bars are all 200 µm.
eter of the basal plane and varies between 400 and 490 μm. The three rays join in a Y-shaped junction marked by pronounced straight furrows between adjoining articulatory facets (Figure 8.2-3, 8.5, 8.7, 8.10). Angles between adjoining rays of the same sclerite are heterogeneous (varying between 100 and 150°). Foramina are located very close to the basal edge of the sclerites (Figure 8.4-8, 8.9). Foramina are circular and large (from 170 to 225 μm in diameter) relatively to the size of the basal facets, so that they occupy most of their surfaces (Figure 8.4-5, 8.9). The rim of the foramen is raised and directly surrounded by a shallow, circular depression (Figure 8.4-5, 8.9).

**Comparisons.** As noted by Moore et al. (2014), most of the 3+0 disarticulated chancelloriid sclerites have been assigned to *Allonnia*. However, the characters that discriminate *Allonnia* sclerites from sclerites of other chancelloriid genera are not the number of rays, but the fact that no central rays are present, and all rays are angled or curved steeply abaxially away from the basal plane. This configuration occurs in the present specimens which are, therefore, assigned to *Allonnia*. The other species from the genus with three rays is *Allonnia tripodophora* Doré and Reid, 1965, which is differentiated from sclerites attributed to *A. erromenosa* in this study and by Moore et al. (2014) by an angle between rays and basal plane of less than 45°. However, such a variation might be intraspecific making *A. erromenosa* a synonym of *A. tripodophora*. 3+0 sclerites have otherwise been described from articulated scleritomes and are, therefore, more difficult to compare. Generally, the number of rays in the sclerites of articulated scleritomes is easily observed, but little is known about their position relative to the basal plane. So far, scleritomes exclusively constituted of 3+0 sclerites have only been assigned to *Allonnia* (*A. phrixothrix* and *A. tintinopsis*, Bengtson and Collins, 2015, and *A. erjiensis* Yun et al., 2018) despite lack of knowledge on the configuration of the rays. However, Moore et al. (2014) suggested that *Alloonna phrixothrix* may instead belong to *Archiasterella*. Although Bengtson and Collins (2015) assigned their new species *A. tintinopsis* to *Allonnia*, they acknowledged the proposal of Moore et al. (2014) and suggested further study of the variability and distribution of sclerites in assemblages and full-body preservation to resolve the taxonomic issue. The same issue is raised for *A. erjiensis* Yun et al., 2018, newly described based on articulated scleritomes for which it is difficult to determine the angle between the rays and the basal plane so the assignment to *Allonnia* or *Archiasterella*. 3+0 sclerites have otherwise been assigned to *Archiasterella* when one principal ray only is angled or recurved away from the basal plane (cf. *Archiasterella charma*). 3+0 sclerites have also been reported in *Chancelloria* by Bengtson and Collins (2015), but they are very rare and main sclerites are 5+1 to 8+1, the most common forms being 6-7+1. Additionally, the 3+0 sclerites described in *Chancelloria* from articulated scleritomes by Bengtson and Collins (2015) are flatter than *Allonnia* sclerites and star-shaped with rays not really angled or curved from the basal plane so correctly assigned to *Chancelloria*.

**Other occurrences.** Cambrian stage 2-3 (*Sinosachites flabelliformis* – *Tannuolina zhangwentangi* Assemblage Zone and *Rhombocorniculum cancellatum* Taxon Range Zone) of South China: Badawon Member of the Dengying Formation and Qionghzhusian Member of the Yu'anshan Formation, Dahai, Huize County (Luo et al., 1982; Qian and Bengtson, 1989) and Meishucun, Jinning County (Luo et al., 1984; Qian, 1989; Jiang, 1992; Parkhaev and Demidenko, 2010), Lower Chiungdulissu Formation, Kunyang, Jinning County (Qian, 1989), Shiyanlou and Yu'anshan formations, Xiaotan, Yongshan County (Li and Xiao, 2004; Moore et al., 2014), Yunnan Province; Xinji Formation, Tanbao, Xihaoping and middle member of the Chulaozung Formation, Malinya (Qian, 1989), Sichuan Province; Dengying and Shuijiangtuo Formation, Xiaoyang and Zengjiapo, Shaanxi Province (Li et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2015). Cambrian stage 2 (*Sinosachites flabelliformis* – *Tannuolina zhangwentangi* Assemblage Zone) of India: Tal Formation, Ganga Valley, Lesser Himalaya, Uttar Pradesh (Kumar et al., 1987; Bhatt, 1989).

*Allonnia tetrathallis* (Jiang, in Luo et al., 1982) Figure 9.1-12

The list of synonymy of the species *Allonnia tetrathallis* in Moore et al. (2014) is considered valid in addition to the following synonym:

2016 2016 *Allonnia tetrathallis* (Jiang, in Luo et al., 1982); Peel et al., p. 248, figs. 6E, G.

**Material.** 28 articulated sclerites including the four figured phosphatic internal moulds USTL3163-8, USTL3163-3, USTL3163-10 and USTL3162-4.

**Distribution.** Cerro Rajón section, sample R21 from unit 1 of the Puerto Blanco Formation.

**Description.** The articulated sclerites are preserved as fragmented (tips of rays broken) internal phosphatic moulds (Figure 9.1-12). Two sclerites correspond to the 4+0 form (Figure 9.1-5), one
sclerite to the 5+0 form (Figure 9.6, 9.9, 9.12) and one sclerite possibly corresponds to the 6+0 form and is only tentatively assigned to the species (Figure 9.7-8, 9.10-11).

The 4+0 sclerites exhibit four marginal rays, all angled from the basal plane with an angle varying between 30 and 50° (Figure 9.2-3, 9.5-6) with the longer broken rays showing a tendency to be recurved from the basal plane (Figure 9.2). Rays mainly taper gradually distally (Figure 9.1-4), but some exhibit an abrupt accentuation of tapering at the transition from the basal to the distal part of rays (Figure 9.5). Due to breakage of all recovered rays, it is not possible to give their length. Diameter of the basal plane varies between 490 and 520 µm. Two opposite rays meet in a central suture (Figure 9.1, 9.4). Those rays are also in contact with the two adjoining horizontal rays so that they exhibit three articulatory facets (Figure 9.1, 9.4). The lateral rays that do not meet at the centre of the sclerite have only two articulatory facets so that the four lateral rays do not meet at a single point (Figure 9.1, 9.4). The space between two adjoining rays is wide (Figure 9.1, 9.4). The angles between adjoining rays approach the right angle, slightly varying between 80 and 100°. Foramina are not well preserved and seem to be wide relative to the size of the basal plane (between 110 and 130 for...
the maximum diameter), without other structure than a hole (Figure 9.1, 9.4), but one has a slightly smaller diameter and a raised rim (Figure 9.4).

In the 5+0 sclerite, the five lateral rays are similarly but slightly more strongly angled from the basal plane than in 4+0 forms (Figure 9.6). Two opposite rays also meet in a central suture and on one side, only one ray is intercalated between those rays centrally in contact while on the other side, two rays are intercalated, one being in contact with three rays so with three articulatory facets (Figure 9.9, 9.12). The angle between rays in basal view is constant, varying only between 60 and 85° (Figure 9.9, 9.12).

The 6+0 specimen is tentatively assigned to *Allonnia tetrathallis* as all six rays do not meet at a single point but a central articulatory between two opposite rays is present (Figure 9.7-8). On one side, only one ray is intercalated between those rays centrally in contact while on the other side, three rays are intercalated, the middle one being very slender and having four articulatory facets (Figure 9.7-8). The angle between rays is therefore highly variable (Figure 9.7-8). The angle between the rays and the basal plane and the curvature are more strongly expressed and the space between rays thinner (Figure 9.10-11). The preservation of the foramina is better and a raised rim is clearly visible (Figure 9.7).

**Comparisons.** The specimens described above recovered from sample R21 of the Puerto Blanco Formation are assigned to *Allonnia* as all the rays are angled and/or recurved away from the basal plane. Within the genus, the Mexican sclerites are assigned to *A. tetrathallis* due to the similarities between the 4+0 forms and the material already assigned to the species in the literature. The 5+0 forms are also assigned to the species by comparisons with the material described and illustrated by Moore et al. (2014). The 6+0 forms are tentatively assigned to *A. tetrathallis* for the first time.

**Other occurrences.** Cambrian stage 2-3 (*Sinosaechites flabelliformis* – *Tannuolina zhangwangtangii* Assemblage Zone and *Rhomboconoculum cancelatum* Taxon Range Zone or *Ninella tarimensis* – *Cambroclavus fangxianensis* Zone) of South China: Shuijingtuo Formation, Xiaowan (Li et al., 2004). Xihaoping Member, Dengying Formation and Shuijingtuo Formation, Zengjiapo and Xiaoyangba, Zhenba County (Yang et al., 2015), Xihaoping Member, Dengying Formation, Xiaowan and Sanlangpu (Steiner et al., 2004), Shaanxi Province; Baodawan and Lower Yuanshan Member, Qiongzhusi Formation and Shiyan Formation, Dahai, Xiaotan, Huize and Meishucun (Luo et al., 1982, 1984; Qian and Bengtson, 1989; Li and Xiao, 2004; Moore et al., 2014), Yunnan Province; middle member of the Chilaluotung Formation, Malinya, Sichuan Province (Qian, 1989) and Lower Minghsinssu Formation, Yankong, Guizhou Province (Qian, 1989). Cambrian stage 3 of Germany: Upper Zwetau Carbonate Member, Doberlug-Torgau Synclinorum (Elicki, 1994). Cambrian stage 4 of Antarctica? Allochthonous boulders (Me33, 66), King George Island (Wrona, 2004). Cambrian of Pakistan: Hazira Formation (Fuchs and Mostler, 1972, Mostler, 1980).

**Genus CHANCELLORIA Walcott, 1920**

**Type species.** *Chancelloria eros* Walcott, 1920, Cambrian stage 5 (Great Phyllopod Bed, Walcott Quarry Member, Burgess Shale Formation), Ontario, Canada.

**Diagnosis.** See Kouchinsky et al. (2011, p. 146).

*Chancelloria* spp. Figure 10.1-29

**Material.** 35 articulated sclerites including the eight figured phosphatic internal moulds with partially preserved external coating USTL3189-4, USTL3168-5, USTL3168-10, USTL3169-3, USTL3170-2, USTL3170-6, USTL3178-4 and USTL3178-1.

**Distribution.** Cerro Rajón section, samples R21 from unit 1, R53, R54, R64 and R65 from unit 2 of the Puerto Blanco Formation.

**Description.** The articulated sclerites are preserved as fragmental (most rays broken), internal, phosphatic moulds with partial external coating (Figure 10.1-29). 5+1 (two sclerites, Figure 10.21-29), 6+1 (one poorly preserved sclerite), 7+1 (seven sclerites, Figure 10.1-9, 10.11-12, 10.15-17, 10.19-20), 8+1 (one specimen, Figure 7.18) and 9+1 (one specimen, Figure 10.10, 10.13-14) forms are present.

Sclerites 5+1 exhibit five marginal, gradually tapering rays that are all slightly angled from the basal plane (Figure 10.23, 10.26-29). One of the lateral rays (in the uppermost part of the sclerites in Figure 10.21-22, 10.24) is more massive than the others and exhibits the smallest angles with the neighbouring rays (~60°) and opposite is the largest angle between neighbouring rays (~100°; Figure 10.21-22, 10.24, 10.27). Other lateral rays are otherwise very similar to each other. The central ray is the most massive of all the rays (Figure 10.22-23, 10.26-29). The diameter of the basal plane varies between 650 and 1110 µm (Figure 10.21, 10.24). The central ray, in contact with all the other rays, exhibits five articulatory facets,
FIGURE 10. Caption on next page.
whereas each lateral ray is in contact with the central ray and two neighbouring lateral rays and so exhibit three articulatory facets (Figure 10.21, 10.24-25). Foramina are all circular in one specimen (Figure 10.21, 10.25) and in the other specimen only the foramens of the central ray is circular, whereas foramina of the lateral rays are tear drop in shape (Figure 10.24, 10.29). In both specimens, the foramens of the central ray is much wider than in lateral rays (Figure 10.22-23, 10.26-29). Numerous phosphatic filaments are present in the space between the external and internal coating (Figure 10.21, 10.22, 10.26-27), and the internal mould is composed of massive phosphate clusters (Figure 10.22, 10.27).

In the 6 to 9+1 forms, the central ray is very massive, strongly tapering and curved (Figure 10.2-3, 10.7, 10.12-14, 10.16-17, 10.19), whereas lateral rays are all slender, slightly tapering and straight (Figure 10.1-3, 10.7-8, 10.10-11, 10.13, 10.16, 10.18-20). Lateral rays are irregularly organized around the central ray (Figure 10.2, 10.7-8, 10.10-11, 10.13, 10.16, 10.18, 10.20) and not (Figure 10.9, 10.12, 10.19) to slightly angled from the basal plane (Figure 10.3, 10.14, 10.17). Diameter of the basal plane varies between 435 and 930 µm (Figure 10.1, 10.8, 10.10-11, 10.18, 10.20). The central ray is in contact with all the other rays so exhibits six to nine articulatory facets whereas each lateral ray is in contact with the central ray and two neighbouring lateral rays so exhibit three articulatory facets (Figure 10.1-2, 10.7, 10.13, 10.16). When observable, the central foramen through the external coating is relatively wide and circular (Figure 10.10, 10.18, 10.20), whereas lateral foramina are visible as slits through the external coating in the distalmost part of the basal planes of rays (Figure 10.1, 10.5-6, 10.8, 10.10, 10.18, 10.20), which seems to correspond to distal foramina themselves based on a specimen with broken external coating (Figure 10.11). The external coating is either smooth (Figure 10.11-12, 10.16-17) or porous (Figure 10.1-6). A thin layer of fibrous phosphate lines the internal coating (Figure 10.15). The void between the external coating and internal layer (thickness ~11-12 µm; Figure 10.15) corresponds to the dissolved calcareous shell.

**Remarks.** 5+1 sclerites have been described separately from the other forms because they all have been recovered from one single sample (R64), and they do not co-occur with other forms. They probably represent a separate species. It is otherwise not possible to determine if the 6 to 9+1 forms belong to the same or different species. The phosphatic filaments in the space between the external and internal coating and the massive phosphate clusters constituting the internal moulds are probably related to microbial activities.

**Comparisons.** Among disarticulated sclerites, the 5+1 forms described herein are comparable to the specimen ГИМ 3593/598 of Missarzhevsky (1989, pl. XXII, figure 9) assigned to *Ginospina araniformis* Missarzhevsky, 1989, which also exhibits one central and five lateral rays. However, the basal part of the rays is more rounded in the Siberian specimen (and should be assigned to *Chancelloria* following Moore et al., 2014), and the basal plane of the Mexican specimens is more flattened. This difference may be related to the preservation of the Siberian specimen as an internal mould, whereas the Mexican specimens have an external coating that may obscure the possible rounded basal part of the rays and, therefore, its assignment to *Chancelloria* instead. Comparison

**FIGURE 10** (figure on previous page). Internal moulds with external coatings of *Chancelloria* spp. from the Puerto Blanco Formation of Cerro Rajón, Sonora, Mexico. 1-6. Specimen USTL3189-4 from sample R53 (7+1 form): 1. Adaxial view, area in the square is magnified in 6; 2. Abaxial view showing the massive central ray; 3. Lateral view, area in the square is magnified in 4; 4. Detail of the curved and massive central ray with porous external coating; 5. Lateral view; 6. Detail of the slit-like lateral foramen running through the external coating, 7-9. Specimen USTL3168-5 from sample R54 (7+1 form): 7. Abaxial view; 8. Adaxial view; 9. Lateral view. 10, 13, 14. Specimen USTL3168-10 from sample R54 (8+1 form): 10. Adaxial view; 13. Abaxial view; 14. Lateral view. 11-12, 15-17. Specimen USTL3169-3 from sample R54 (7+1 form): 11. Adaxial view, area in the square is magnified in 15; 12. Lateral view showing the massive, recurved central ray; 15. Detail of the cross-section through a broken ray showing the external coating, the internal mould with the phosphatic internal layer and the void corresponding to dissolved shell material; 16. Abaxial view; 17. Lateral view. 18. Specimen USTL3170-2 from sample R54 (8+1 form); 19-20. Specimen USTL3170-6 from sample R54 (8+1 form); 19. Lateral view with long, slender lateral rays aligned with the basal plane; 20. Adaxial view. 21-22, 25-27. Specimen USTL3178-4 from sample R64 (5+1 form): 21. Adaxial view; 22. Abaxial view; 25. Detail of the basal plane; 26, 27. Lateral views. 23-24, 27-29. Specimen USTL3178-1 from sample R64 (5+1 form): 23, 28, 29. Lateral views; 24. Adaxial view. Scale bars are: 15, 20 µm; 4, 6, 50 µm; 1-3, 5, 7-14, 16-18, 25, 200 µm; 19-24, 26-29, 500 µm.
with the specimen N°84898 of 5+1 form assigned to *Chancelloria irregularis* by Qian (1989) can also be made, although the central ray of this specimen is much smaller, relative to the basal plane size, than in the present material. One 5+1 disarticulated specimen similar to the Mexican material has been assigned to *Chancelloria eros* by Yang et al. (2014) and otherwise disarticulated 5+1 sclerites have been assigned to *Chancelloria maroccana* by Sdzuy, 1969. Other 5+1 forms have also been described in *Chancelloria eros* by Bengtson and Collins (2015) in articulated specimens.

**Order** HYOLITHELMINTHIDA Fischer, 1962  
**Family** HYOLITHELLIDAE Walcott, 1886  
**Genus** HYOLITHELLUS Billings, 1871  

**Type species.** *Hyolithellus micans* Billings, 1871, Cambrian stage 3 (*Fallotaspis* Zone), Taconic allochthon, southern Québec.  

**Diagnosis.** See Álvaro et al. (2002, p. 402).  

*Hyolithellus* spp. Figure 11.1-23  

**Material.** 1415 specimens including figured USTL3189-2, USTL3169-8, USTL3168-9, USTL3181-5, USTL3183-5, USTL3187-3, USTL3187-6, USTL3184-2, USTL3185-2, USTL3186-14, USTL3186-2, USTL3182-4 and USTL3188-5.  

**Distribution.** Cerro Rajón section, sample R21, R53, R54, R55, R56, R60, R61, R62, R63, R64, R65, R66, R69, R71, R72, R75, R78, R83, R90, R92, R93 and R94 from unit 1 to 4 of the Puerto Blanco Formation.  

**Description.** The phosphatic tube fragments are straight (Figure 11.1, 11.4, 11.7, 11.14, 11.18, 11.20, 11.23) to slightly undulating (Figure 11.3, 11.5, 11.10). Length is variable, ranging from 796 µm to 4957 µm. The tubes are slightly, but continuously tapering with a very low average angle of 3° (maximum value of 20° and minimum of 1°) and always open at both ends (Figure 11.2, 11.6, 11.8, 11.11-13). The cross-section is circular at both extremities (Figure 11.6, 11.8, 11.11-13) with a diameter reaching 128 µm at tapered end and 788 µm at opposite extremity. The internal cavity of the tube is either empty (Figure 11.2) or filled with phosphatized sediment (Figure 11.6, 11.8, 11.11-13) and the internal surface is completely smooth (Figure 11.2, 11.8, 11.14-15). The external surfaces exhibit various types of ornamentations. Some specimens have an almost smooth external surface, only with distinctly separated and very low and faint transverse annulations (Figure 11.1, 11.3). Other specimens exhibit dense and irregular transverse striations to low ribs (Figure 11.4-5, 11.9). In most specimens, external ornamentation consists of ribs forming pronounced annulations (Figure 11.7-8, 11.10, 11.14, 11.16-23). The distance between the ribs is large compared to the tube diameter and either relatively regular (Figure 11.7, 11.14, 11.18-19, 11.23) or completely irregular (Figure 11.10, 11.17), even with sinusoidal to coalescent ribs (Figure 11.17, 11.22). The annulated ornamentation is sometimes covered by minerals (Figure 11.7-8). The surface between the ribs is either smooth (Figure 11.10, 11.17, 11.22) or with irregular and coarse longitudinally oriented striations forming a fasciculate pattern (Figure 11.14, 11.16, 11.18-21). In one specimen, different parts of the tube are smooth, others bear faint, transverse, dense striations or distant ribs associated with longitudinal fasciculations (Figure 11.20-21). The tube wall is composed of laminated (Figure 11.15) calcium phosphate. Its thickness is highly variable (Figure 11.2, 11.6, 11.8, 11.11-13, 11.15), ranging between 3 to 65 µm and the thickness increases towards the tapering end (Figure 11.2, 11.8).  

**Remarks.** Variations in observed wall thickness is likely related to exfoliation of the laminated walls (Figure 11.2, 11.6, 11.8, 11.11-13), which is also responsible for the high variability in external ornamentation (Figure 11.17, 11.20-21).  

**Comparisons.** All specimens are assigned to the genus *Hyolithellus* Billings, 1871, based on the low angle of divergence, circular cross-section and phosphatic laminated walls, but the species assignment is left open due to the poorly constrained taxonomy of *Hyolithellus* species. A revision of the genus, involving an effective consideration of the preservation, is necessary, but is out of the scope of this study. Pending revision of the genus, the specimens presented herein are attributed to various unidentified species due to the variability in their ornamentations. The longitudinal ornamentations in some of the present specimens enable comparisons with *Byronia*, but the latter differs by the presence of furrows on the internal surface of the tubes that correspond to the annulations and longitudinal ribs of the external surface as described by Matthew (1899). Several species of *Hyolithellus* also exhibit longitudinal ornamentation between the transverse annulations. The longitudinal ornamentation of *Hyolithellus grandis* Missarzhevsky in Rozanov et al., 1969, and *Hyolithellus irregularis* Qian, 1989, are much finer. The present longitudinal ornamentations are more comparable to those of *Hyolithellus insolitus*
FIGURE 11. Caption on next page.
Voronin et al., 1982, which are quite coarse and irregular.

Class HYOLITHA Marek, 1963
Order ORTHOTHECIDA Marek, 1966
Family CUPITHECIDA Duan, 1984
Genus CUPITHECA Duan, 1984

Type species. Paragloborilus mirus (He in Qian, 1977), Fortunian (Paragloborilus subglobosus – Purella squamulosa Zone), Maidiping, Emei County, Sichuan Province, South China.

Diagnosis. See Parkhaev and Demidenko (2010, p. 949).

Cupitheca cf. C. mira Figure 12.1-14

Material. 21 specimens including the figured incomplete internal and external coating of conchs USTL3176-9 and USTL3176-3.

Distribution. Cerro Rajón section, sample R63, R75 and R83 from unit 2 to 4 of the Puerto Blanco Formation.

Description. The conchs are slowly and uniformly expanding throughout their length with a maximum angle of divergence varying between 9 and 11° in different specimens. Specimens are always broken so only a short, straight part, including the closed termination, is present (Figure 12.1-2, 12.6-7) with a measured length varying between 1360 and 1900 μm. Cross-sections are circular (Figure 12.8, 12.11) and maximum measured diameter varies between 545 and 595 μm. The closed termination exhibit two different organizations: (1) one is hemispherically bulbous with a shallow and relatively broad circular groove separating it from the rest of the conch (Figure 12.6, 12.12-13) and (2) the other is flat, makes a sharp angle with the lateral walls of the conch and seems to lack a circular groove but breakage prevents any definite conclusion on this character (Figure 12.1-3, 12.5). In two specimens, the internal cavity of the conch is subdivided into two chambers separated by a transverse septum (Figure 12.1-4, 12.6-8, 12.10, 12.12). In those two specimens, the chambers are 710 to 1060 μm in length and partly filled with loose to dense phosphatic coccoidal pseudomorphs (Figure 12.1-4, 12.6-8, 12.10, 12.12). The shell walls are observed as a gap, sometimes with phosphatic filaments, between internal and external phosphatic coatings (Figure 12.1, 12.4, 12.6, 12.8, 12.11, 12.14). The wall constituting the septum is 30 to 45 μm in thickness and continuous with the lateral wall of the conch (Figure 12.4, 12.14). The septum is flat to very slightly apically convex, smooth and forms a sharp angle with the lateral walls (Figure 12.1, 12.4, 12.6, 12.12, 12.14). The surfaces of external and internal coatings are completely smooth (Figure 12.1-2, 12.6-7).

Remarks. The variation in the organization of the closed termination of the conchs has been interpreted to be related to their ontogenetical development (Bengtson et al., 1990). The conch has been interpreted to discard the apical region during the growth of the organism (Bengtson et al., 1990). Therefore, fragments of Cupitheca corresponding to the discarded part are common, but more complete specimens (at least conch with embryonic part and internal septum preserved) are rare (Malinky and Skovsted, 2004). In the Mexican material, the hemispherical, bulbous apex (the embryonic shell) is exceptionally preserved in one specimen, along with a later internal septum. In a second specimen, the flat and angular closed termination corresponds to a later segment of the conch closed by a flat and angular septum as seen in the internal cavity of the specimen with the embryonic shell preserved. This specimen also has an exceptionally preserved later internal septum. The specimen USTL3176-3 is interpreted to include the embryonic shell, and a secondary

FIGURE 11 (figure on previous page). Incomplete tubes of Hyolithellus spp. from the Puerto Blanco Formation of Cerro Rajón, Sonora, Mexico. 1-2. Specimen USTL3189-2 from sample R53: 1. Lateral view; 2. Detail of the large aperture. 3. Specimen USTL3182-4 from sample R66 in lateral view. 4. Specimen USTL3169-8 from sample R54 in lateral view. 5-6. 9. Specimen USTL3168-9 from sample R54: 5. Lateral view, area in the square is magnified in 9; 6. Narrow aperture; 9. Detail of the external surface ornamentation. 7-8. Specimen USTL3181-5 from sample R71: 7. Lateral view; 8. Apertural view. 10-11. Specimen USTL3183-5 from sample R83: 10. Lateral view; 11. Apertural view. 12. Aperture of specimen USTL3187-3 from sample R92. 13. Aperture of specimen USTL3187-6 from sample R92. 14-16. Specimen USTL3184-2 from sample R83: 14. Lateral view, area in the lower square is magnified in 15 and area in the upper square is magnified in 16; 15. Detail of the lamellar wall structure; 16. Detail of the external surface ornamentation. 17. 22. Specimen USTL3185-2 from sample R92: 17. Lateral view, area in the square is magnified in 22; 22. Detail of the irregular transverse ribs. 18-19. Specimen USTL3186-14 from sample R92: 18. Lateral view, area in the square is magnified in 19; 19. Detail of the external surface ornamentation. 20-21. Specimen USTL3186-2 from sample R92: 20. Lateral view, area in the square is magnified in 21; 21. Detail of the external surface ornamentation et exfoliation. 23. Lateral view of Specimen USTL3188-5 from sample R93. Scale bars are: 6, 11, 13, 15, 22, 50 μm; 2, 8-9, 12, 16, 19, 21, 100 μm; 10, 17-18, 20, 23, 200 μm; 1, 4-5, 7, 14, 500 μm; 3, 1 mm.
chamber isolated from the embryonic shell by a flat and angular septum (Figure 13). Specimen USTL3176-9 preserves only later ontogenetical stages with secondary segments as attested by the flat and angular closed termination, which corresponds to a septum and not to the embryonic, bulbous, original apex (Figure 13). The occurrence of two joined segments in the Mexican specimens is rather exceptional compared to typical Cupitheca material.

**Comparisons.** The present specimens are assigned to *Cupitheca* cf. *C. mira* as specimens described by McMenamin (1984), which possess a similar apex. However, they differ in the preservation, the present specimens being phosphatic coatings of conchs, whereas the specimens in McMenamin (1984) are preserved as internal moulds. Therefore, the former exhibits preserved septation and two chambers, whereas the latter corresponds to discarded parts of the conch. The specimens recovered in this study can also be compared to *Cupitheca mira* as they exhibit similar apices and absence of ornamentations (smooth conchs). However, the preservation is also different between the present specimens and other specimens of this species, which is why the specimens are only tentatively assigned to this species as *Cupitheca* cf. *C. mira*. They differ from all the other species of *Cupitheca* by the total absence of ornamentation (smooth internal and external coatings).

Order ORTHOTHECIDA Marek, 1966
Family CIRCOTHECIDAe Missarzhevsky in Rozanov et al., 1969
Genus PETASOTHECA Landing and Bartowski, 1996
Type species. *Petasotheca minuta* Landing and Bartowski, 1996, Cambrian stage 3-4 (middle *Olenellus* zone), Claverack village, New York State, USA.

Diagnosis. See Landing and Bartowski (1996, p. 757).

*Petasotheca* sp. Figure 14.1-19

Material. 21 specimens including the four figured phosphatic incomplete conchs USTL3174-1, USTL3175-7, USTL3175-10, USTL3166-5 and the four figured phosphatic external coatings of opercula USTL3177-13, USTL3174-2, USTL3174-5, USTL3177-5.

Distribution. Cerro Rajón section, samples R53, R56 and R63 from unit 2 of the Puerto Blanco Formation.

Description. The conchs are rapidly and uniformly expanding with a maximum angle of divergence varying between 12 and 18° in different specimens. The conchs are slightly curved (Figure 14.1, 14.4, 14.7), with a measureable length (not real length as all the specimen tips are broken) varying between 1030 and 1975 µm. Cross-sections are circular (Figure 14.5) to slightly subcircular (Figure 14.2) and the apertural diameter varies between 355 and 650 µm. The apertural margin is straight in lateral view and perpendicular to the longitudinal axis (Figure 14.1, 14.4, 14.7). The apex is unknown due to breakage of the conch’s tip (Figure 14.3, 14.6-7). The external surface exhibits fine comarginal growth lines (Figure 14.1, 14.4, 14.7-8).

The concavo-convex opercula are preserved as external phosphatic coatings disarticulated from the conchs even if they co-occur in the same samples. Opercula are circular in outline with slightly elevated central round apex (Figure 14.9, 14.14, 14.18). The external surface of the operculum is convex with fine concentric growth lines (Figure 14.9, 14.14, 14.16, 14.18). In external lateral view, opercula are low conical (Figure 14.15-16). The internal surface is concave, very depressed at growth centre (internal equivalent of the apical zone) and is surrounded by a concentric ridge separated from the margin by a narrow surface (Figure 14.11, 14.13, 14.17). Polygonal imprints ranging from 4 to 7 µm are observed on this narrow surface and on the ridge (Figure 14.16-17, 14.19). The concentric ridge exhibits a variable height around the operculum: the ridge has a medium height on one side (located in the upper part of Figure 14.11, 14.13, 14.17), a maximum height laterally (of up to 75 µm), where clavicle-like structures (possibly up to 5 in number) are difficult to see (Figure 14.11-12) and a minimum height on the last side (lower part of opercula in Figure 14.11, 14.13, 14.17).

Comparisons. The Mexican conchs are simple, conoidal with a round cross-section typical of *Petasotheca* (Landing and Bartowski, 1996). Such conchs have few diagnostic characters, therefore, they are also comparable with those of *Conotheca*, especially *C. brevica* Missarzhevsky in Rozanov et al., 1969 or *C. australiensis* Bengtson in Bengtson et al., 1990. Differentiation is mainly based on the opercula. The opercula of the Mexican specimens can be compared with several specimens, which exhibit only clavicle-like structures and lack cardinal processes. They belong to *Allatheca* Missarzhevsky in Rozanov et al., 1969 or *Majatheca* Missarzhevsky in Rozanov et al., 1969. However, *Allatheca* sp. (Missarzhevsky in Rozanov et al., 1969; plate XI, figures 4, 8) differs from the Mexican specimens by the triangular cross-section typical of the Allathecidae. An operculum referred as allathecid by Matthews and Missarzhevsky (1975; plate 2, figures 17, 20) is very similar to the Mexican material but assignment to allathecids is doubtful due to the circular cross-section. It could instead belong to the same species as the present specimens. Specimens of *Majatheca tumefacta* Missarzhevsky in Rozanov et al., 1969, figured by Kouchinsky et al. (2015) differ by the restriction of the more strongly marked internal concentric (with the apertural margin) ridge to a much more central...
FIGURE 14. Conchs and opercula of Petasotheca sp. from the Puerto Blanco Formation of Cerro Rajón, Sonora, Mexico. 1-3. Specimen USTL3174-1 from sample R56: 1. Lateral view of the conch; 2. Apertural view; 3. Apical view. 4-6. Specimen USTL3175-7 from sample R63: 4. Lateral view of the conch; 5. Apertural view; 6. Apical view. 7. Specimen USTL3175-10 from sample R63, lateral view of the conch. 8. Specimen USTL3166-5 from sample R53, lateral view of the conch. 9-10. Specimen USTL3177-13 from sample R63: 9. External surface; 10. Lateral view of internal surface. 11-12. Specimen USTL3174-2 from sample R56: 11. Internal surface; 10. Lateral view of internal surface showing the organization of the raised concentric ridge and the clavicle-like structures. 13-15. Specimen USTL3174-5 from sample R56: 13. Internal surface; 14. External surface with fine concentric growth lines; 15. Lateral view. 16-19. Specimen USTL3177-5 from sample R63: 16. Lateral view, area in the square is magnified in 19; 17. Internal surface; 18. External surface with rounded subcentral apex and fine concentric growth lines; 19. Detail of the polygonal imprints on the internal concentric ridge. Scale bars are: 19, 20 μm; 2-3, 9-18, 100 μm; 1, 4-7, 200 μm; 8, 500 μm.
position (figure 24 in Kouchinsky et al., 2015). Kouchinsky et al. (2015; figure 28D) also report, from the Cambrian stage 3 of the Emyaksin Formation at Bol'shaya, Kuonamka, an operculum with weakly developed cardinal processes and radially oriented lateral folds of the circular distal ridge that is similar to the specimens presently described except that the apex is largely excentric in the Siberian specimen. Cardinal processes are also absent from Ladaetheca Sysoev, 1968, but the organization of the internal concentric (with the apertural margin) ridge is different (different variations of the height) and they also lack the clavicle-like structures observed in the Mexican specimens. A similar comparison can be made with Conotheca subcurvata Yu, 1974 (emended by Devaere et al., 2014) and opercula referred to as orthothecid operculum A in Peel et al. (2016). In both, the opercula lack cardinal processes as well as clavicle-like structures and have a large eccentric apex (Devaere et al., 2014; Peel et al., 2016). The opercula-like objects reported by Bengtson et al. (1990; figure 138) have, like the Mexican specimens, a central apex and an internal concentric ridge without cardinal processes, but lack the clavicle-like structures, which are observed herein. Those operculum-like objects have tentatively been assigned to Cupitheca Duan in Xing et al. (1984), but a recent study by Skovsted et al. (2016) provided data on the operculum of Cupitheca which are very different from these objects and from the present specimens. The Mexican specimens, especially the opercula, are more similar to Petasotheca minuta Landing and Bartowski, 1996, but are not assigned to this species due to their differences in number and organization of the clavicles. The Mexican specimens may represent a new species, but the preservation prevents erection of a new species so it is left with open nomenclature.

Order HYOLITHIDA Sysoev, 1957
Family and genus uncertain
Hyolithid sp. Figure 15.1-6

Material. 41 specimens including the two figured phosphatic internal and external coatings of opercula USTL3169-5 and USTL3169-1.

Distribution. Cerro Rajón section, sample R53, R54 and R63 from unit 2 of the Puerto Blanco Formation.

Description. The conchs are rapidly expanding (maximum angle of divergence varying between 12 and 16°), straight and incompletely preserved (apical part broken, maximum preserved length varying between 1920 and 3870 µm; Figure 15.1-2, 15.4-5). The transverse cross-section is subtrian-
FIGURE 15. Conchs of hyolithid sp. and opercula of Parkula bounites Bengtson in Bengtson et al., 1990 from the Puerto Blanco Formation of Cerro Rajón, Sonora, Mexico. 1-3. Specimen USTL3169-5 of hyolithid sp. from sample R54: 1. Lateral view; 2. Dorsal view; 3. Apertural view. 4-6. Specimen USTL3169-1 of hyolithid sp. from sample R54: 4. Dorsal view; 5. Lateral view; 6. Apertural view. 7-12. Specimen USTL3175-9 of Parkula bounites Bengtson in Bengtson et al., 1990, from sample R63: 7. External view; 8. Internal view, area in the square is magnified in 11; 9. Lateral view, area in the square is magnified in 12; 10. Dorsal view; 11-12. Details of the cardinal processes. 13-20. Specimen USTL3176-1 of Parkula bounites Bengtson in Bengtson et al., 1990 from sample R63: 7. External view, area in the square is magnified in 19; 14. Dorsal view; 15. Lateral internal view, area in the square is magnified in 20; 16, 20. Details of the cardinal processes; 17. Lateral view; 18. Internal view, area in the square is magnified in 16; 19. Detail of apical area. Scale bars are: 11-12, 16, 19-20, 100 µm; 7-10, 13-15, 17-18, 200 µm; 1-6, 500 µm.
inclined at an angle of 55 to 65° from the plane of the apertural margin of the conical shield (Figure 15.9, 15.17). A comarginal ridge lines the junction between the cardinal and conical shield on the internal surface of the conical shield (Figure 15.9-10, 15.12, 15.14-15, 15.17). The cardinal processes are located on the internal surface of the cardinal shield, at the junction between the cardinal and conical shields (Figure 15.9-10, 15.12, 15.14, 15.17). They are short and diverging at ~90° (Figure 15.8, 15.11, 15.16, 15.18). On the internal surface of the opercula, below the tectula, a pair of clavicles is located at the junction between the cardinal and conical shields and ends in blade-like projections (Figure 15.8, 15.17). On internal views, the conical shields are deep (Figure 15.8, 15.15, 15.18).

**Comparisons.** The opercula are assigned to the genus *Parkula* as they exhibit the characteristic conical shield, the short cardinal processes and the pair of clavicles ending in blade-like projections included in the diagnosis emended by Skovsted (2006b). They differ from the opercula of *Parkula esmeraldina* Skovsted, 2006, by the less developed ornamentation of transverse striations and by the cardinal processes that do not project well beyond the conical shield.

**Other occurrences.** Cambrian stages 3-4 (M. *etheridgei* SSF Zone of Betts et al. (2016) and *Abadiella huoi, Pararaia tatei* and *P. bunyerooensis* trilobite zones) of Australia: Parara Limestone, Kulpara (Bengtson et al., 1990); Kulpara Formation and Parara Limestone, Horse Gully, Curramulka Quarry, CD-2, SYC-101 and Cur-D1B (Gravestock et al., 2001), Yorke Peninsula, Stansbury Basin; Sellick Hill Formation, Myponga Beach, Fleurieu Peninsula (Gravestock et al., 2001). Cambrian stage 3 (*P. tatei* Zone) of Antarctica: erratic boulder Me66, King George Island (Wrona, 2003). Cambrian stages 3-4 (*Bonia – Olenellus* Zone) of northeast Greenland: Upper Bastion Formation at Albert Heim Bjerne and Ostenfeld Nunatak (Malinky and Skovsted, 2004).

*Phylum MOLLUSCA* Cuvier, 1797  
*Class HELCIONELLOIDA* Peel, 1991  
*Order and Family uncertain*  
*Genus MACKINNONIA* Runnegar  
*In Bengtson et al., 1990*

**Type species.** *Mackinnonia davidi* Runnegar in Bengtson et al., 1990, Cambrian stage 3 (*Abadiella huoi* Zone), Horse Gully, Yorke Peninsula, Australia.

**Diagnosis.** See Bengtson et al. (1990, p. 233).
imprints (about 8 μm in average diameter) are present on the internal moulds, particularly on the summit of the comarginal corrugations (Figure 16.3-5) and lining the apertural margin (Figure 16.9, 16.14).

**Comparisons.** The Mexican specimens exhibit the typical ornamentations (similar comarginal corrugation alternating with furrows and polygonal imprints) of *Mackinnonia*. The Mexican material has a wider shell and less posteriorly inclined apex than reported in specimens from Australia by Runnegar in Bengtson et al. (1990) as *Leptostega? corrugata*, by Topper et al. (2009) as *Mackinnonia corrugata* and by Parkhaev in Gravestock et al. (2001) as *Mackinnonia plicata*. The specimens described by McMenamin (1984) as *Bemella pappier* (p. 48-50), but figured as *Bemella mexicana* sp. nov. (plate 13, figures 3-5), are most probably...
equivalent to the present specimens. The specimens are also relatively similar to *Mackinnonia rostrata* Zhou and Xiao 1984 except for the posterior apertural margin which marks a strong backward extension in *Mackinnonia rostrata*, but which just almost forms a small platform as the posterior cor-

Other occurrences. Cambrian stages 3-4 (*Abadiella huoii*, *Pararaia tatei*, *P. bunyerooensis* and *P. janea* trilobites zones) of Australia (Bengtson et al., 1990; Gravestock et al., 2001): Parara Limestone, Curramulka, CD-2 (drill core, east of the Curramulka Quarry), SYC-101 (drill core southwest of the town of Curramulka and 6 km northeast of the town of Minlaton in central Yorke Peninsula), Cur-D1B (drill core, 2.8 km east of Minlaton 2 in central Yorke Peninsula) and Horse Gully (Gravestock et al., 2001); Ajax Limestone, Mount Scott Range (Bengtson et al., 1990); Oraparinna Shale, Bunyeroo Gorge; Mernmerna Formation, Mulyun-garie-2 and Yalkalpo-2, Arrowie Basin (Gravestock et al., 2001) and MMF section, Angorichina Station, Flinders Ranges (Topper et al., 2009).

Genus XIANFENGELLA He and Yang, 1982

Type species. *Xianfengella prima* He and Yang, 1982, Cambrian stage 2 (*Watsonella crosbyi* Zone), Meishucun, South China.

Diagnosis. See Devaere et al. (2013, p. 42).

*Xianfengella* sp. Figure 17.1-17

Material. Ten specimens including the five figured phosphatic internal moulds USTL3163-6, USTL3162-5, USTL3163-12, USTL3162-10 and USTL3162-3.

Distribution. Cerro Rajón section, sample R21 from unit 1 of the Puerto Blanco Formation.

Description. The internal moulds of the univalve conchs are cap-shaped and almost bilaterally symmetrical (Figure 17.3, 17.6, 17.9, 17.11). Conchs are longitudinally elongate (mean width/length of 0.58 with a maximum width of 415 µm) and low (mean height/length of 0.49 with a maximum length of 1025 µm and height of 380 µm). The rounded (Figure 17.3, 17.6, 17.9, 17.11) to slightly angular apex (Figure 17.10-13, 17.16-17) is shifted toward the posterior side of the conch, curved downward up to half a whorl (Figure 17.2, 17.5, 17.10, 17.13) and stops slightly before the posterior apertural margin in one specimen (Figure 17.1-2), otherwise it overhangs it (Figure 17.5, 17.10, 17.13). In lateral view, the anterior field (from the apex to the ante-

Comparison. The Mexican specimens are assigned to the genus *Xianfengella* because some specimens exhibit the typical notch of the internal mould corresponding to an internal projection of the shell. Such internal projection of the shell in a cap-shaped mollusc has also been described in *Merismoconcha* Yu, 1979. In *Merismoconcha*, the notch is narrow, transverse and located anteriorly to the apex (see figure 16.7-12 in Devaere et al., 2013). In the Mexican specimens, the notch is deep and posterior to the apex, a characteristic of *Xianfengella*. The Mexican specimens are not assigned to either *Xianfengella prima* He and Yang, 1982, from the Cambrian stage 2 or *Xianfen-

gella yatesi* Parkhaev in Gravestock et al., 2001, from the late Cambrian stage 3 to 4, the suppos-
edly valid species of *Xianfengella*, because the dis-
tinction between the two species is questionable given the material available. Parkhaev (in Gravestock et al., 2001, p. 181) argues that *Xianfengella yatesi* “differs from the type one by more gentle transition of the posterior field into lateral fields and by more rounded outlines of the aperture, without posterolateral angularities.” The Mexican material assigned to *Xianfengella*, which was recovered from a single bed, displays specimens with either sharp transition of the posterior field into lateral fields and posterolateral angularities of the aper-
ture outlines (Figure 17.9-12) or gentle transition of the posterior field into lateral fields and rounded outlines of the aperture (Figure 17.6, 17.13-16).

Order PELAGIELLIDA MacKinnon, 1985
Family PELAGIELLIDAE Knight, 1956
Genus PELAGIELLA Matthew, 1895

Type species. Cyrtolites atlantoides Matthew, 1894, Cambrian stage 3?, New Brunswick, Canada.

Diagnosis. See Kouchinsky et al. (2011, p. 135).

Material. Twenty-five specimens including figured USTL3168-2, USTL3175-1, USTL3177-6,
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USTL3175-6, USTL3177-10, USTL3175-3 and USTL3177-8.

Distribution. Cerro Rajón section, samples R53, R54, R63 and R64 from unit 2 of the Puerto Blanco Formation.

Description. The univalve conchs (preserved as phosphatic replaced shell, Figure 18.1-7, or as phosphatic internal moulds and coatings, Figure 18.8-24, with phosphatic external coating, Figure 18.25-27) are rapidly expanding, dextrally coiled (Figure 18.1, 18.11, 18.15, 18.17, 18.19, 18.22-24, 18.27) with 0.5 to 2 whorls (mean whorl numbers 1.3; Figure 18.1, 18.9, 18.14, 18.16, 18.18, 18.21). On the adapical side, the spire is flat and aligned with the adapical apertural margin, whereas the abapical parts of the whorls are strongly convex (Figure 18.5, 18.10-11, 18.15, 18.17, 18.19, 18.22, 18.27). Conchs are elongated in the plane of the flat adapical surface (at 0.49 on average) and relatively low (at 0.71 on average). The whorls cross-section, best observed at the flared aperture, is elliptical (Figure 18.15) to subtriangular with a slight angle in the abapical apertural margin (Figure 18.5) depending on the size of the conch. The apex is bulbous (Figure 18.9, 18.13-14, 18.16, 18.18, 18.21, 18.24). In the specimen preserved as shell replacement, the subumbilical apertural margin exhibits a comarginal furrow which starts at the umbilicus and ends at the angle of the abapical apertural margin (Figure 18.2, 18.4-5). In this specimen, the internal and external surfaces of the shell are covered with striations perpendicular to the apertural margin (Figure 18.1-4, 18.7). The external surfaces of internal moulds have faint, transverse (i.e., parallel to the apertural margin) striations (Figure 18.9, 18.12, 18.16, 18.20).

Comparisons. The specimens referred herein to Pelagiella sp. are most probably equivalent to the specimens described and figured by McMenamin (1984), although the preservation of the figured specimens is very poor. The specimen USTL3168-2 (Figure 18.1-7) is similar to Pelagiella subangulata (Tate, 1982) because it exhibits a comparable size, cross-section of the aperture (with a small angle) and a furrow parallel to the apertural margin below the umbilicus (as seen in specimens assigned to P. subangulata in figures 168A, B from Bengston et al., 1990 and plate XLV, figures 1-2 from Gravestock et al., 2001 and figure 8G from Skovsted, 2004). It is not possible to confidently assign the specimen to this species because such comparable characters have been reported by Kouchinsky et al. (2011) in Pelagiella sp. cf. Cambretina mareki Horný, 1964, and Pelagiella sp. cf. Costipelagiella zavorkai Horný, 1964 (although the angle of the apertural margin forms a very long auricle in Pelagiella sp. cf. C. mareki that is not seen in the Mexican specimens). The differentiation of the specimens described by Kouchinsky et al. (2011) is mainly based on “the reticulate ornamentation of the shell exterior” and “comarginal costae,” which both contrast with the “closely spaced rounded costae that meet at an acute angle on the whorl periphery [...] and which may interfere with the growth lines to produce a series of regularly arranged nodes on some parts of the shell” of P. subangulata (Bengston et al., 1990). The ornamentation of the external surface of the shell is different in the Mexican specimens. The shells preserved by calcium phosphate replacement possess only the outer shell layer. This outer shell layer is composed of fibrous crystals arranged perpendicular to the growth lines (Bengston et al., 1990).

The other specimens are preserved as phosphatic internal moulds in which comarginal lines

**FIGURE 18** (figure on previous page). Pelagiella sp. from the Puerto Blanco Formation of Cerro Rajón, Sonora, Mexico. 1-7. Specimen USTL3168-2 (shell replacement) from sample R54: 1. Oblique apertural view, area in the square is magnified in 7; 2. Apertural view, area in the square is magnified in 4; 3. Abapical view; 4. Detail of the subumbilical furrow and of the radial shell striations; 5. Apertural view showing the triangular apertural cross-section; 6. Abapical view with radial striations visible; 7. Detail of the apex and umbilicus. 8-13. Specimen USTL3175-1 (internal coating) from sample R63: 8. Oblique apical view; 9. Apical view, area in the square is magnified in 12; 10. Abapical view; 11. Apertural view, area in the square is magnified in 13; 12. Detail of the surface of the internal coating with comarginal striations; 13. Detail of the bulbous apex. 14-15. Specimen USTL3177-6 (internal mould and coating) from sample R63: 14. Apical view; 15. Apertural view. 16-17. Specimen USTL3175-6 (internal mould and coating) from sample R63: 16. Apical view; 17. Apertural view. 18-19, 24. Specimen USTL3177-10 (internal mould and coating) from sample R63: 18. Apical view; 19. Apertural view; 24. Lateral view. 20-23. Specimen USTL3175-3 (internal mould and coating) from sample R63: 20. Detail of the internal coating with comarginal striations; 21. Apical view, area in the square is magnified in 20; 22. Apertural view; 23. Lateral view. 25-27. Specimen USTL3177-8 (internal mould and coating, partial external coating) from sample R63: 25. Abapical view, area in the square is magnified in 24; 24. Detail of the external coating and internal mould and coating; 27. Apertural view. Scale bars are: 13, 20, 26, 50 µm; 4, 7, 12, 14-15, 100 µm; 1-3, 5-6, 8-11, 16-19, 21-25, 27, 200 µm.
reproduce the inner layer of the shell; these are interpreted as comarginally arranged fibrous crystals (Bengtson et al., 1990). One specimen (USTL3177-8; Figure 18.25-27) also preserved a phosphatic, smooth, external coating of the shell. It is difficult to compare the Mexican specimens to other *Pelagiella* species because (1) the systematics of the genus is poorly defined (see Parkhaev, 2004 for a list of included species; it should be noted that most of those species have been poorly figured and described and they could probably be greatly reduced) and (2) they do not exhibit many discriminating characters (e.g., variable cross-section, number of whorls). The number of whorls and cross-sections are probably related to the size of the specimens. The first specimen (USTL3168-2; Figure 18.1-7), most comparable to *Pelagiella subangulata*, is much larger than the other specimens (from 1.4 to 2.5 larger); the smaller specimens may represent earlier ontogenetic stages.

**Class BIVALVIA Linnaeus, 1758**  
Order uncertain  
Family FORDILLIDAE Pojeta, 1975  
Genus POJETAIA Jell, 1980  
**Type species.** *Pojetaia runnegari* Jell, 1980, Cambrian stage 4, Horse Gully, South Australia.  
**Diagnosis.** See Elicki and Gürsu (2009, p. 281).  

*Pojetaia* sp. Figure 19.1-18  
**Material.** Nine specimens including the figured phosphatic internal mould USTL3171-4 and the two figured phosphatic internal and external coatings of disarticulated valves USTL3175-2, USTL3176-7 and USTL3176-5.  
**Distribution.** Cerro Rajón section, samples R54 and R63 from unit 2 of the Puerto Blanco Formation.  
**Description.** The internal moulds of the conch correspond to the infill of two equal valves, which are subovate (mean height/length of 0.73 with a maximum length of 2180 µm and a maximum height of 1680 µm), slightly elongate anteriorly, in outline (Figure 19.1-3). Similar observations are made on disarticulated valves although their frequent breakage makes the identification of the exact outline difficult (Figure 19.5-6, 19.11, 19.16). The conch is slightly higher in the posterior part and the posterior margin is largely convex, whereas the anterior margin is more angular (Figure 19.3). A structure comparable to an auricle is observed on the posterior part of the conch (only visible in Figure 19.3 otherwise broken in disarticulated valves). The umbones are prosogyre (Figure 19.5) and located approximately in the medial area of the dorsum (at ~53% of the total length to the anterior margin in Figure 19.3). In dorsal view, the dorsal margin is straight and the conch has an egg-shape (Figure 19.3), the maximum width being located slightly posteriorly to the umbo (of 970 µm, mean width/length of 0.60). The hinge has only one tooth in each valve and corresponding socket (Figure 19.3-4, 19.11, 19.17). The disarticulated valves are preserved as empty, thin coatings of the shell (internal and external; Figure 19.5-18). The void between the external and internal coatings corresponds to the dissolved shell, which was ~25 µm in thickness (Figure 19.5, 19.7) and perforated by microbes as attested by the presence of branching phosphatic filaments (Figure 19.16, 19.18). The external coating replicates the ornaments of the shell, which correspond to fine, dense, comarginal growth lines (Figure 19.6, 19.10, 19.12-13); low, radial, antero-dorsal ribs are also present (Figure 19.1-3). Muscle scars and traces of the ligament are not observed.  

**Comparisons.** The Mexican specimens are assigned to *Pojetaia* as they exhibit most of the typical features of this genus (size, height/length, position and characters of the umbo, general shape, outline and outer ornamentation). However, the preservation and number of specimens is too poor to conclude on the species assignment. The Mexican specimens also exhibit a feature, which is generally observed in the other fordillid genus *Fordilla* Barrande, 1881: the hinge contains only two teeth, one on each valve, although specimens of *Pojetaia* with only two teeth have also been reported (e.g., specimen PIN no. 4664/0473 in figure 3.4.C of Parkhaev, 2008).

**Phylum ARTHROPODA** Siebold and Stannius, 1845  
**Class uncertain**  
**Order BRADORIIDA** Raymond, 1935  
**Family and genus uncertain**  
*Bradoriid sp.* Figure 20.1-9  
**Material.** One disarticulated figured valve USTL3182-4.  
**Distribution.** Cerro Rajón section, sample R66 from unit 2 of the Puerto Blanco Formation.  
**Description.** The valve is hemispherical in outline (Figure 20.1) and moderately inflated (Figure 20.2, 20.5-7). The hinge line is only partially preserved and the preserved part is straight (Figure 20.1). The free margin is strongly curved with a well-developed rim covered by a polygonal pattern (Fig-
FIGURE 19. *Pojetaia* sp. from the Puerto Blanco Formation of Cerro Rajón, Sonora, Mexico. 1-4. Specimen USTL3171-4 (internal mould) from sample R54: 1. Right lateral view; 2. Anterior view; 3. Dorsal view, area in the square is magnified in 4; 4. Detail of the hinge showing the two teeth and associated sockets (one on each valve). 5-10, 12-13. Specimen USTL3175-2 (coating of disarticulated left valve) from sample R63: 5. Internal view, note the prosogyre umbo; 6. External view with the fine, dense comarginal, growth lines, area in the upper left square is magnified in 9 and area in the lower right square is magnified in 13; 7. Posterior view, area in the square is magnified in 12; 8. Anterior view; 9. Detail of the growth lines and the radial ribs of the anterior area; 10. Dorsal view; 12, 13. Details of the growth lines. 11, 17. Specimen USTL3176-7 from sample R63 (coating): 11. Internal view, area in the square is magnified in 17; 17. Detail of the hinge with the tooth. 14-16. Specimen USTL3176-5 from sample R63 (coating): 14. Dorsal view; 15. Posterior view; 16. External view with external coating preserved in the anterior area (comarginal growth lines and radial ribs) and internal coating in the posterior area with branching microbial filaments, area in the square is magnified in 18; 18. Details of the microbial filaments. Scale bars are: 4, 9, 12-13, 17-18, 100 µm; 5-8, 10-11, 14-16, 200 µm; 1-3, 500 µm.
A long spine is present near the margin of one side of the valve (Figure 20.1, 20.5-7). The surface of the valve around the spine bears a pustulose ornamentation (Figure 20.8-9).

Remarks. Due to the incomplete preservation of the hinge line, it is not possible to suggest any assignment within the order Bradoriida.

Phylum LOBOPODIA Snodgrass, 1938
Class XENUSIA Dzik and Krumbiegel, 1989
Order SCLERONYCHOPHORA Hou and Bergström, 1995
Family EOCONCHARIIDAE Hao and Shu, 1987
Genus MICRODICTYON Bengtson, Matthews and Missarzhevsky in Missarzhesvky and Mambetov, 1981

Type species. *Microdictyon effusum* Bengtson, Matthews and Missarzhevsky in Missarzhesvky and Mambetov, 1981, Cambrian stage 3 (*Rhombo- corniculum cancellatum* Zone), Maly Karatau, Kazakhstan.

Diagnosis. See Zhang and Aldridge (2007, p. 403) for the complete organism and Bengtson et al. (1990, p. 333) for the sclerites.

*Microdictyon multicavus* (McMenamin, 1984)

Figure 21.1-20

1984 *Microdictyon multicavus* n. sp.; McMenamin, p. 80, pl. 13, figs. 1, 2, 4.
1986 *Microdictyon* cf. *rhomboidale*; Bengtson et al., p. 102, 104, fig. 7.

Material. Eight specimens including the complete figured sclerite USTL3180-2 and the three incomplete figured phosphatic sclerites USTL3176-4, USTL3177-3 and USTL3179-2.

Distribution. Cerro Rajón section, samples R60, R63, R65, R69 and R71 from units 2 and 3 of the Puerto Blanco Formation.

Description. The complete sclerite measures up to 2320 µm and is bilaterally symmetrical with a complexly subtriangular outline (Figure 21.1, 21.6). A reentrant of 1/8 of the maximum size of the sclerite is observed, which is opposite to an acute protrusion (Figure 21.1, 21.6). From the reentrant to the protrusion, the outline draws three small angular (of ~90°) protrusions separated by two curvatures (convex toward the centre of the sclerite; Figure 21.1, 21.6). The edge of the sclerite exhibits a peripheral girdle, which corresponds to a flat (Figure 21.2, 21.7) or laminated surface (Figure
FIGURE 21. Caption on next page.
Comparisons. We agree with Topper et al. (2011) that intraspecific and ontogenetic variation have to be considered for formal taxonomic identification within the group. Such information is not accessible from the Mexican material. However, the morphology of the disarticulated sclerites recovered from the Puerto Blanco Formation is so unique that assignment to the species newly defined by McMenamin (1984) *Microdictyon multicavus* and referred to as *Microdictyon cf. rhomboidale* in Bengtson et al. (1986) based on disarticulated sclerites is proposed here. All the specimens recovered in the Cerro Rajón are attributed to *M. multicavus*, although they may exhibit different nodes. The specimens with flat top nodes (Figure 21.14-20) are interpreted to correspond to peripheral-most parts of the sclerites, which exhibit also flat top nodes in the complete specimen (Figure 21.4, 21.8-9).

Various similarities between *M. multicavus* and *M. rhomboidale* (or referred to as *Microdictyon aff. rhomboidale* as in Zhang and Aldridge, 2007 and *Microdictyon cf. M. rhomboidale* in Kouchinsky et al., 2015) are observed: in both species, the nodes have a subcentral apex, the outer girdle is covered with reduced nodes, and the outline is rhombic with a reentrant at one of the sides between two protrusions. However, they also differ. The basal terminations of the holes differ: they are wide opened in *M. rhomboidale*, *M. aff. rhomboidale* and *Microdictyon cf. M. rhomboidale* and almost closed by a hemispherical, pierced structure in *M. multicavus* and completely closed in *M. cf. rhomboidale*. This difference is most probably related to variation in preservation (complete breakage of the basal closure in *M. rhomboidale* and *M. aff. rhomboidale* and partial breakage in *M. multicavus*). In addition, *M. rhomboidale* and *M. aff.
rhomboidale lack the large protrusion described in M. multicavus and M. cf. rhomboidale. However, the former taxa mainly correspond to specimens of relatively small size compared to the size of the specimens attributed to the latter. Therefore, it is possible that the specimens described as M. rhomboidale and M. aff. rhomboidale represent early ontogenetic stages of the sclerites attributed to M. multicavus and M. cf. rhomboidale in which the protrusion is not yet grown, as already suggested in Bengtson et al. (1986). It should be noted also that specimens attributed to M. cf. rhomboidale by Bengtson et al. (1986) exhibit other, complex morphologies. Therefore, M. rhomboidale, M. aff. rhomboidale and M. cf. rhomboidale are most probably junior synonyms of M. multicavus, but we lack sufficient material from Mexico and a revision of previously published specimens is required prior to definite conclusion on the synonymy. Recently, Wotte and Sundberg (2017) described various small and poorly preserved fragments of sclerites that they assign to Microdictyon. The definition of the two new species M. montezumaensis Wotte and Sundberg, 2017, and M. cuneum Wotte and Sundberg, 2017, is based on the ornamentation of the nodes. However, the new species are defined based only on the study of fragments which have proven to be of limited use. The complete sclerite of M. multicavus from Cerro Rajón described herein exhibits variable node ornamentations. The complete sclerite includes the “simple, smooth nodes” of M. montezumaensis and the “short, wedge-shaped nodes” of M. cuneum. The fragments assigned to M. rhomboidale Bengtson et al., 1986, by Wotte and Sundberg (2017) bear the “mushroom-shaped with distinct brim” nodes with a subcentral apex also observed in M. multicavus. All the fragments only differ in the basal terminations of the holes, probably for the same reasons as M. rhomboidale and M. aff. rhomboidale. So, the two new species and the fragments assigned to M. rhomboidale by Wotte and Sundberg (2017) are most probably synonyms of M. multicavus. All the fragments described by Wotte and Sundberg come from the same sample (M5), supporting their assignment to the same species. With this example, the use of fragments of sclerites for the definition of new species of Microdictyon is strongly questioned. We consider that the specimens they describe as Microdictyon sp. should not be assigned to Microdictyon, but instead considered as an indeterminate fossil, probably of archaeocyaths or other sponges. Such fragments have also been recovered from the Cerro Rajón section and are described later. Fragments of sclerites assigned to Microdictyon cf. depressum Bengtson in Bengtson et al. (1990) by Skovsted (2006) exhibit nodes with an inclined flat surface that are also observed in the specimens of M. multicavus presently described. This observation suggests that the specimens of Skovsted (2006) might represent synonyms of M. multicavus although complete sclerites are required to finally conclude on this synonymy. Finally, no sclerites with the complex outline of M. multicavus have been recovered in articulated specimens.

Other occurrences. For Microdictyon cf. rhomboidale: Cambrian stage 3 (Fallotaspis and Nevadella zones) of Canada, Sekwi Formation, Mackenzie Mountains, British Columbia (Bengtson et al., 1986). For M. rhomboidale: Cambrian stage 3 (Rhombicomiculum cancellatum Zone or Ushbaspis limbata and Hebediscus orientalis zones) of Kazakhstan, Shabakty Formation, River Uchbas, Maly Karatau and of Siberia, Bograd, Bateny Hills, Kuznetskij Alatau Range (Bengtson et al., 1986). For M. aff. rhomboidale: Cambrian stage 3 (Eoredlichia – Wittungaspis trilobite Zone) of South China, Zhongbao, Zhenping County, Shaanxi Province (Zhang and Aldridge, 2007). For Microdictyon cf. M. rhomboidale: Cambrian stage 3 (middle Judomia trilobite Zone) of Siberia, Emyaksin Formation, Bol’shaya Kuonamka River (Kouchinsky et al., 2015).

Phylum BRACHIOPODA Duméril, 1806
Subphylum LINGULIFORMEA Williams, Carlson, Brunton, Holmer and Popov, 1996
Class PATERINATA Williams, Carlson, Brunton, Holmer, and Popov, 1996
Order PATERINIDAE Rowell, 1965
Superfamily PATERINOIDEA Schuchert, 1893
Family uncertain
Genus RAJONIA McMenamin, 1984

Type species. Rajonia ornata McMenamin, 1984, Cambrian stage 3, Sonora, Mexico.
Diagnosis. See McMenamin (1984, p. 53).
Remarks. Unlike McMenamin (1984), we herein formally assign Rajonia to the class Paterinata, the order Paterinida and the superfAMILY Paterinoidea based on the new data on the posterior margin. However, the classification of the Paterinoidea at the family level requires a revision, more particularly for the Cryptotretidae as suggested by Topper et al. (2013). Therefore, the assignment of Rajonia is left open at the family level.

Rajonia ornata (McMenamin, 1984) Figure 22.1-12
1984  Rajonia ornata  n. sp.; McMenamin, p. 54, pl. 16, figs. 1-4, pl. 18, fig. 5.

**Material.** Six specimens including the figured ventral valve USTL3179-1 and the two figured fragments of valves USTL3179-5 and USTL3179-3.

**Distribution.** Cerro Rajón section, sample R65 from unit 2 of the Puerto Blanco Formation.

**Description.** The ventral valve is bilaterally symmetrical, semi-circular (maximum observed width 2400 μm, maximum length 1190 μm) in outline (Figure 22.1, 22.5). The valve is moderately convex in lateral view, the maximum convexity is at the umbo and the post-larval shell tends to be flat towards the commissure (Figure 22.5). The larval shell is subcircular (mean diameter of 270 μm), bulbous and smooth (Figure 22.1, 22.4). The post larval shell external ornament is constituted of high coarse, concentric filae with variable width and separated by variable distance (Figure 22.1, 22.3, 22.8-14). The concentric filae are subcircular in cross-section (Figure 22.9-10). The interval between successive filae is low, concave and covered by poorly defined, radial ribs (Figure 22.1, 22.3, 22.9, 22.12). The hinge line is straight (Figure 22.5). The ventral interarea is catacline (Figure 22.2, 22.5-7) and the triangular delthyrium is wide (Figure 22.6-7). A laminar homodelthydium (?) or part of the dorsal valve is present (Figure 22.6-7). No muscle and mantle canal scars can be observed as the internal surface of the valve is covered with phosphatic grains and spheres (~10 μm in diameter) either isolated or organized in clusters (Figure 22.5). The shell is constituted of a single, relatively thick (~40 μm) phosphatic layer (Figure 22.5) although laminations are possibly present below the umbo (Figure 22.7).

**Comparisons.** See McMenamin (1984).

**Type species.** Obolus triparilis Matthew, 1902, Drumian (eteminicus Zone), Cape Breton, Canada.

**Diagnosis.** See Balthasar (2009, p. 416-417).

**Eoobolus sp.** Figure 22.13-16

**Material.** One ventral valve USTL3182-3.

**Distribution.** Cerro Rajón section, sample R66 from unit 2 of the Puerto Blanco Formation.

**Description.** The ventral valve is evenly convex (Figure 22.14, 22.16) and teardrop shaped in outline with an apical angle of 75° (Figure 22.13-14). The pseudointerarea is constituted of a prominent triangular duplicature and is orthocline (Figure 22.13). The duplicature is composed of a median, deep, wide, funnel-shaped pedicle groove flanked by two raised propareas with marked flexure lines (Figure 22.13). No muscle and mantle canal scars can be observed on the internal surface of the valve (Figure 22.13). The external surface exhibits comarginal growth lines and small, irregular pustules (Figure 22.16).

Fossil indet. Figure 23.1-10

**Material.** Tens of fragments including the figured specimens USTL3174-12, USTLUSTL3174-13, USTL3174-11, USTL3176-11.

**Distribution.** Cerro Rajón section, samples R56 and R63 from unit 2 of the Puerto Blanco Formation.

**Description.** These indeterminate fossils consist of a relatively flat, but slightly undulating, meshwork of phosphatic, interconnected rods (Figure 23.1-2, 23.5, 23.9) that is, in some specimens, surrounded by a thin phosphatic layer (Figure 23.3-4, 23.6-8, 23.10). The meshwork consists of coarsely phosphatic rods (Figure 23.9-10) interconnected around circular holes of variable diameter. In some specimens, large holes alternate relatively regularly with smaller ones (Figure 23.1. 23.5), whereas in others, large holes are dominant and smaller ones are only rarely intercalated (Figure 23.3, 23.6). The phosphatic outer layer is very thin and the surface is smooth to slightly granular (Figure 23.3, 23.8). In some areas, the meshwork is fused to the outer layer (Figure 23.4, 23.10).

**Comparisons.** Fragments of plates belonging to the same indeterminate species were also reported from unit 2 of the Puerto Blanco and identified as genus and species indeterminate D by McMenamin (1984). Wotte and Sundberg (2017) report similar fossils in the Montenegro Member of the Campito Formation, Montezuma Range, Nevada. They figured three fragments of the meshwork with holes of variable diameter. They assigned the specimens to Microdictyon sp. However, neighbouring holes of the plates of Microdictyon have a similar diameter, and the diameter of the holes only varies on a gradient, increasing from the rim toward the centre of the sclerite, which is not the case in the plates described herein and in Wotte and Sundberg (2017). These plates are also completely devoided of the nodes found in Microdictyon. Finally, the specimens from the Puerto Blanco Formation also exhibit an outer layer, which is absent from Microdictyon sclerites. Therefore, these plates should not be assigned to Microdictyon sp., but are considered here as indeterminate.
FIGURE 22. Brachiopods from the Puerto Blanco Formation of Cerro Rajón, Sonora, Mexico. 1-14. *Rajonia ornata*. 1-7. Specimen USTL3179-1 from sample R65: 1. External view, area in the lower square is magnified in 3 and area in the upper square is magnified in 4; 2. Lateral view; 3. Detail of the shell ornamentation; 4. Detail of the larval shell; 5. Internal view; 6. Subapical view, area in the square is magnified in 7; 7. Detail of the articulation. 8, 11. Specimen USTL3179-5 from sample R65: 8. External view; 11. Detail of the concentric fila. 9, 10, 12. Specimen USTL3179-3 from sample R65: 12. External view, area in the upper square is magnified in 9, area in the lower square is magnified in 10; 9-10. Details of the shell structure. 13-16. Specimen USTL3182-3 of a ventral valve of *Eoobolus* sp. from sample R66. Scale bars are: 10, 20 µm; 4, 11, 50 µm; 7, 9, 15, 100 µm; 1-3, 5-6, 8, 200 µm; 12-14, 16, 500 µm.
fossils, probably of archaeocyathan or other sponges.
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