Seasonal and diel acoustic presence of North Atlantic minke whales in the North Sea
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Despite frequent records from other parts of the North Atlantic, minke whales have never been acoustically recorded in the North Sea. This study investigated the detectability of pulse trains previously associated with this species in other regions, in acoustic data from ten sites along the east coast of Scotland. Since preliminary results confirmed pulse train presence, subsequently, an automated detector was applied to these data to record the seasonal and diel presence of minke whale pulse trains. Minke whales were detected from May to November, with most detections occurring in June, July and October. No acoustic detections were made in December, January or in the month of April, whilst no data were available for February and March. This pattern of acoustic presence supports available visual data and suggested an absence of minke whales from the study area during winter. Minke whale acoustic presence showed a statistically significant diel pattern, with a detection peak during night time. This study established the acoustic detectability of minke whales in the North Sea and highlights the potential of using passive acoustic monitoring to study the seasonal presence and spatial distribution of minke whales in the North Sea and wider Northeast Atlantic.

The effective management and conservation of marine species requires accurate knowledge of their year-round distribution patterns and abundance. This is especially important in a world where anthropogenic activities are increasingly encroaching on species habitats, and large-scale changes in habitat suitability due to climate change are leading to species shifting their distribution patterns to adapt to changing circumstances1,2. Large-scale surveys for marine mammal abundance and distribution often use primarily visual methods, and are conducted in daylight and during summer months when weather conditions are favourable, leaving large data gaps about habitat use at different times of day, seasonal movement and winter distribution for many species3. However, recent studies have shown the benefit of using long-term passive acoustic monitoring (PAM) to address this lack of data and document year-round distribution patterns and large-scale movements of baleen whales4–6.

The minke whale (Balaenoptera acutorostrata) is the smallest baleen whale species in the Northeast Atlantic, ranging from the Barents Sea to the west African continental shelf6,7. In UK coastal waters and the North Sea, minke whales have been visually observed mainly from April to October8–10, although sightings have been documented year-round11,12. As there is less survey effort in winter, it is unclear to what extent this occurrence pattern reflects observation effort. Off the coast of Scotland, minke whale visual sightings peak from July to August and have been shown to be related to meso-scale oceanographic features which likely link to increased foraging opportunities13,14. In the southern North Sea, minke whales are less common, while a seasonal aggregation has been described close to the Dogger Bank, in the central North Sea12,15. There is evidence that minke whales undertake large-scale seasonal migrations between feeding and breeding grounds6,16, and there may be two separate breeding populations in the North Atlantic17. However, due to a lack of winter survey effort, no discrete breeding grounds have been identified yet, and there is limited understanding of the winter distribution and occurrence of this species for the entire North Atlantic.

North Atlantic minke whales are currently listed as a species of Least Concern under the IUCN Red List7. Nonetheless, the species is still commercially hunted in areas of its summer range18. In addition, like most species of marine mammals, it is subject to indirect takes in fisheries19,20. Data from the UK stranding scheme suggest that entanglement in fishing gear might be a significant cause of death in baleen whales, including minke whales, in Scotland, with over 50% of examined cases between 1990 and 2010 having been documented as entanglement.
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In 2015, three out of four stranded minke whales investigated at post mortem in Scotland died as a consequence of entanglement. North Atlantic minke whales are also exposed to a variety of other anthropogenic threats, including ship-strike, which was determined as a cause of death in 10% of all recorded UK minke whale strandings from 1990–2010. Chemical and noise pollution, and associated degradation and loss of habitat are additional threats to minke whales throughout their range.

Like all cetaceans in European waters, minke whales are protected through the EU Habitats Directive, and associated national legislation. Population estimates and assessments in relation to favourable conservation status are primarily based on decadal, large-scale visual surveys, such as SCANS I, II and III. The latest abundance estimate for the North Sea is 8,900 animals (CV = 0.24), based on shipboard and aerial surveys undertaken in the summer of 2016. Information on minke whale abundance and distributions on finer spatial and time scales is relatively uncommon or very site specific.

In addition to light and weather constraints, visual detection of minke whales at sea can be difficult due to small group sizes and the species' often shy and cryptic behaviour. Thus, alternative methods, such as PAM, may significantly improve studies of the ecology of this species, inform environmental impact assessments (EIAs) and help design and effectively monitor marine protected areas (MPAs).

The sounds that minke whales produce are known to vary across their geographic range. In the past, series of clicks in the 5–6 kHz range, as well as lower frequency downsweeps (118–80 Hz) have been attributed to the species in the North Atlantic. These call types have typically been described during short-term studies, in specific locations and not regularly been documented across habitats. Longer-term series of these calls are to our knowledge currently not available. In contrast, low-frequency pulse trains (50–400 Hz) with varying inter-pulse interval structure were recorded in the presence of minke whales in the Caribbean, and subsequently also documented and further described from recordings made in Massachusetts Bay. Based on these latter data, an automated pulse train detector was developed to investigate occurrence and large-scale movement and migration patterns of the species in the western North Atlantic. However, when interpreting patterns of call occurrence it is important to keep in mind, that the behavioural significance of these vocalisations and whether they are specific to sex, age, recording site or season is currently unknown.

The main goal of the current study was to confirm the presence of minke whale pulse trains in a known summer feeding ground in the northern North Sea, and secondly to test the performance of the automated detector developed for minke whale pulse trains from the western North Atlantic, on long-term recordings from the North Sea. Finally, detection results were investigated to determine seasonal and diel occurrence patterns of minke whales and explore the possibility to improve, and spatio-temporally extend, current monitoring of this species in UK and adjacent Northeast Atlantic areas using PAM.

**Results**

**Detector performance.** The manually reviewed truth dataset consisted of a total of 2,400 hours, containing 322 candidate detection hours. For this subset of data from across the whole array, the detector recall and precision values were 74% and 20%, respectively. The false positive rate was 11%. Although not evaluated in detail here, the high number of false detections leading to the low precision values, mainly consisted of vessel noise and noise from seismic surveys.

**Minke whale pulse train detection and spatial distribution.** A total of 32,830 hours of acoustic recordings were collected from May to November 2016 across all ten recording sites in the Moray Firth and along the East Coast of Scotland (Fig. 1), yielding 340 manually verified positive detection hours. Pulse train characteristics were similar to those described in the Northwest Atlantic, with peak frequencies between 50–150 Hz and pulse train durations ranging from 20–60 seconds (Fig. 2). Most pulse trains observed in this data set were slow-down pulse trains, showing a decrease in inter-pulse interval over time.

Minke whale pulse trains were detected at seven of the ten recording sites. Most detection hours occurred in the central and northern Moray Firth, in particular at the Latheron, Helmsdale and Spey Bay sites (Table 1, Fig. 3). With the exception of the Arbroath site, there were no detections recorded along the Scottish east coast outwith the Moray Firth (Table 1, Fig. 3). In addition, most detections were made at locations with water depth equal to or greater than 23 m (Table 1).

**Seasonal and multi-year presence.** Minke whale pulse trains were detected in all months for which data were available across all sites (May to November 2016) (Fig. 3). Most detections were made in June and July (95 and 109 detection hours, respectively), with a second peak in occurrence during the month of October (86 detection hours). A total of 328 detection hours were recorded in multi-year data (May 2015–January 2018) from Helmsdale, the site with most detections in 2016 (Fig. 4, Table 2). The general pattern of seasonal presence from the end of May to early November was repeated in the three consecutive years. However, in part due to missing data, the bimodal distribution in occurrence observed in 2016, was not observed in 2015 or 2017/18 (Fig. 4). Whilst no data were available for February and March in any of the recording years, no detections were made during available winter months (December and January) (Fig. 4).

**Diel pattern.** Minke whale pulse train occurrence showed a clear diel pattern especially during autumn (September to November, Fig. 4), with a majority of detections recorded during night time and nautical twilight. The GAM-GEE models run on the full data set corroborated this finding, revealing a significant relationship between minke whale presence and the diel cycle index (Wald test: df = 4, $X^2 = 17.1, p = 0.0018$), with a peak in detections between sunset and sunrise (Fig. 5). When running models separately for the summer period with all-night twilight (May 20th–July 22nd) and the rest of the year, both models showed a significant relationship.
of the diel cycle index with minke whale presence. However, the relationship during summer was considerably weaker (Wald test: df = 4, \( \chi^2 = 9.7, p = 0.046 \)), than during the rest of the year when the night-time peak of detection is more pronounced (Wald test: df = 4, \( \chi^2 = 42.6, p < 0.0001 \), Fig. 5).

Figure 1. Map of the study area in the Moray Firth and along the east coast of Scotland, with red circles marking recording locations. Map in upper right corner illustrates the location of the study area along the Scottish North Sea coast.

Figure 2. Spectrogram of a slow-down pulse train recorded off Helmsdale. Spectrogram parameters: fast Fourier transform (FFT) size: 1024 points, overlap: 95%, sample rate: 2000, resolution: 2 Hz and 128 ms. Amplitude scale is relative.
are primarily foraging on sandeel (the month of August. During July and September minke whales were detected in 109 and 42 hours, respectively from the study area, suggesting seasonal movement in and out of the Moray Firth during mid- and late summer. Additional data from Helmsdale for the years 2015 and 2017/18, also showed a reduction in detections in August across the whole array, while only four hours with pulse train detections were recorded in August (Figs 3 and 4b).

The results of this study are to our knowledge the first confirmation of minke whale pulse train detection in the well studied Moray Firth summer feeding ground and adjacent North Sea waters9,13,14. Minke whale pulse trains were detected at several sites of this shallow, coastal PAM array. While minke whale pulse trains have been described on one occasion from the west coast of Scotland30, few other acoustic recordings of this species from UK coastal waters exist. However, these vocalisations have recently been described in detail from the Northwest Atlantic28 and have also been detected further north in the Northeast Atlantic31, and on several large-scale acoustic arrays in the central North Atlantic32,33. Low-frequency minke whale pulse trains recorded in the current study closely resemble those documented in the central and Northwest Atlantic28,33. A more detailed study is necessary to elucidate the potential for geographic variation in call types or call repertoire. However, notwithstanding the potential for such regional variability, the similarities between acoustic signals recorded in this study with calls recorded in other parts of the Atlantic, allowed the unequivocal identification of species-specific minke whale vocalisations and assessment of spatio-temporal distribution patterns based on these acoustic data.

The minke whale pulse train detector used in this study was originally developed for a data set from the western North Atlantic29. Given the paucity of minke whale vocalisation records from the eastern North Atlantic, it was unclear how the detector would perform on data from this region. Post-hoc data analysis revealed that the data set was characterised by many hours of seismic survey activity and shipping noise at some recording locations. Comparatively high levels of shipping noise in this region have also been documented in previous years34. These background noise conditions likely influenced detector performance and resulted in the low precision value (20%) observed. However, the false alarm rate of the detector was considerably higher than that observed in the Northwest Atlantic, despite those data also showing high levels of shipping noise35,36. Thus, the higher false alarm rate in the current study was most likely related to the presence of seismic pulse trains, which were often misclassified as minke whale pulse trains. In the future, the number of missed and false positive detections may be reduced and general performance improved, by using a dataset from Scottish waters, including call examples and realistic ambient noise conditions from this area, to train the detector. Nevertheless, despite average performance results, the existing detector in conjunction with false detection removal during post-processing, could be used to infer realistic broader scale spatio-temporal occurrence patterns. Due to missed calls the presented data could be an underestimate of actual presence, but this bias is somewhat accounted for by binning the data by hour.

Data analysed in this study were collected from May to November 2016 across ten sites and from May 2015 to January 2018 at the Helmsdale recording site. Across the whole array and all years for the Helmsdale site, minke whale pulse trains were first detected in late May and detections generally declined at the end of October (Figs 3 and 4). This occurrence pattern supports records from visual sighting surveys, which also recorded minke whale presence from June to October in the Moray Firth35. It is thought that minke whales in the Moray Firth are primarily foraging on sandeel (Ammodops spp.) during this period35,36. In 2016, detections declined during the month of August. During July and September minke whales were detected in 109 and 42 hours, respectively across the whole array, while only four hours with pulse train detections were recorded in August (Figs 3 and 4b). Additional data from Helmsdale for the years 2015 and 2017/18, also showed a reduction in detections in August and September. The cause of this seasonal reduction in acoustic detections could have been an absence of animals from the study area, suggesting seasonal movement in and out of the Moray Firth during mid- and late summer. Alternative explanations for the observed detection patterns include a switch in vocal behaviour or changes in local ambient noise or propagation conditions at this time of year.

During autumn and spring minke whale pulse train detections showed strong diel periodicity, with calling rates being lowest during light and highest during dark periods (Figs 4 and 5). Similarly strong diel patterns have been observed in Massachusetts Bay39. Without more knowledge on individual calling rates, the reason for the observed pattern cannot be conclusively resolved. It may be the result of higher individual calling rates, an

| Location    | Lat  | Lon  | Depth (m) | Start       | End            | Hours analysed | Detection Hours |
|-------------|------|------|-----------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Latheron    | 58.27| −3.32| 31        | 07/05/2016  | 31/07/2016     | 1,986          | 37              |
| Helmsdale   | 57.97| −3.54| 45        | 07/05/2016  | 11/13/2016     | 4,434          | 226             |
| Cromarty    | 57.71| −3.81| 24        | 07/05/2016  | 23/10/2016     | 4,054          | 6               |
| Spey Bay    | 57.74| −3.04| 23        | 07/05/2016  | 31/07/2016     | 22/07/2016     | 22/10/2016      | 4,275 | 52 |
| Fraserburgh | 57.71| −2.13| 10        | 07/05/2016  | 23/07/2016     | 3,185          | 1               |
| Cruden Bay  | 57.38| −1.83| 29        | 07/05/2016  | 28/07/2016     | 26/07/2016     | 22/10/2016      | 3,999 | 0 |
| Stonehaven  | 56.95| −2.18| 29        | 07/05/2016  | 28/07/2016     | 14/07/2016     | 11/09/2016      | 2,740 | 1 |
| Arbroath    | 56.49| −2.38| 37        | 07/05/2016  | 28/07/2016     | 17/07/2016     | 22/10/2016      | 3,783 | 17 |
| St Andrews  | 56.26| −2.49| 45        | 07/05/2016  | 28/07/2016     | 13/10/2016     | 13/09/2016      | 3,818 | 0 |
| St Abbs     | 55.93| −2.18| 10        | 07/05/2016  | 29/07/2016     | 29/07/2016     | 29/07/2016      | 1,883 | 0 |
| Total       |      |      |           |             |                | 32,830         | 340             |

Table 1. Summary of recording locations, water depth, recording times, detection hours and hours analysed in 2016 across the whole passive acoustic array.

Discussion
The results of this study are to our knowledge the first confirmation of minke whale pulse train detection in the well studied Moray Firth summer feeding ground and adjacent North Sea waters9,13,14. Minke whale pulse trains were detected at several sites of this shallow, coastal PAM array. While minke whale pulse trains have been described on one occasion from the west coast of Scotland30, few other acoustic recordings of this species from UK coastal waters exist. However, these vocalisations have recently been described in detail from the Northwest Atlantic28 and have also been detected further north in the Northeast Atlantic31, and on several large-scale acoustic arrays in the central North Atlantic32,33. Low-frequency minke whale pulse trains recorded in the current study closely resemble those documented in the central and Northwest Atlantic28,33. A more detailed study is necessary to elucidate the potential for geographic variation in call types or call repertoire. However, notwithstanding the potential for such regional variability, the similarities between acoustic signals recorded in this study with calls recorded in other parts of the Atlantic, allowed the unequivocal identification of species-specific minke whale vocalisations and assessment of spatio-temporal distribution patterns based on these acoustic data.

The minke whale pulse train detector used in this study was originally developed for a data set from the western North Atlantic39. Given the paucity of minke whale vocalisation records from the eastern North Atlantic, it was unclear how the detector would perform on data from this region. Post-hoc data analysis revealed that the data set was characterised by many hours of seismic survey activity and shipping noise at some recording locations. Comparatively high levels of shipping noise in this region have also been documented in previous years34. These background noise conditions likely influenced detector performance and resulted in the low precision value (20%) observed. However, the false alarm rate of the detector was considerably higher than that observed in the Northwest Atlantic, despite those data also showing high levels of shipping noise35,36. Thus, the higher false alarm rate in the current study was most likely related to the presence of seismic pulse trains, which were often misclassified as minke whale pulse trains. In the future, the number of missed and false positive detections may be reduced and general performance improved, by using a dataset from Scottish waters, including call examples and realistic ambient noise conditions from this area, to train the detector. Nevertheless, despite average performance results, the existing detector in conjunction with false detection removal during post-processing, could be used to infer realistic broader scale spatio-temporal occurrence patterns. Due to missed calls the presented data could be an underestimate of actual presence, but this bias is somewhat accounted for by binning the data by hour.

Data analysed in this study were collected from May to November 2016 across ten sites and from May 2015 to January 2018 at the Helmsdale recording site. Across the whole array and all years for the Helmsdale site, minke whale pulse trains were first detected in late May and detections generally declined at the end of October (Figs 3 and 4). This occurrence pattern supports records from visual sighting surveys, which also recorded minke whale presence from June to October in the Moray Firth35. It is thought that minke whales in the Moray Firth are primarily foraging on sandeel (Ammodops spp.) during this period35,36. In 2016, detections declined during the month of August. During July and September minke whales were detected in 109 and 42 hours, respectively across the whole array, while only four hours with pulse train detections were recorded in August (Figs 3 and 4b). Additional data from Helmsdale for the years 2015 and 2017/18, also showed a reduction in detections in August and September. The cause of this seasonal reduction in acoustic detections could have been an absence of animals from the study area, suggesting seasonal movement in and out of the Moray Firth during mid- and late summer. Alternative explanations for the observed detection patterns include a switch in vocal behaviour or changes in local ambient noise or propagation conditions at this time of year.

During autumn and spring minke whale pulse train detections showed strong diel periodicity, with calling rates being lowest during light and highest during dark periods (Figs 4 and 5). Similarly strong diel patterns have been observed in Massachusetts Bay39. Without more knowledge on individual calling rates, the reason for the observed pattern cannot be conclusively resolved. It may be the result of higher individual calling rates, an
increase of the overall number of vocalising individuals at night or a change of animal abundance from day to
night time. Diel variation in baleen whale vocalisations has also been attributed to prey distribution, with reduced
vocalisation rates during active feeding and an increase in vocalisations in a social context at hours of lowest prey
availability39,40. Visual observations in the Moray Firth during day time frequently show feeding behaviour13,14,
which might suggest that minke whales vocalise more at night, when feeding efficiency may be lower. As men-
tioned earlier, minke whales around Scotland, including the Moray Firth, have been shown to primarily feed on
sandeel which constitutes 70% their diet38. Sandeel species show a strong diurnal pattern and are generally less
available in the water column during night time41. The observed lesser diel pattern (Figs 4 and 5) during summer
might then relate to differences in diel light patterns and its effect on sandeel and other prey availability, and hence
minke whale feeding (and vocal) behaviour.

It is currently unclear what role minke whale pulse trains may play in the species’ ecology. However, there is
circumstantial evidence to suggest that they might serve in male advertisement28. If minke whale pulse trains are
produced in a reproductive context, another potential explanation for the observed diel distribution could be
that visual displays of fitness are replaced by vocal signalling during hours of darkness42,43. Further investigations
of minke whale vocalisations concurrent with visual observations, might help elucidate additional behavioural
functions of these calls and help explain observed temporal patterns of occurrence.

Spatially, most detections were made and were of longer duration in the northern Moray Firth, while fewer
and temporarily more spaced detections were recorded along the east coast of Scotland (Fig. 3). These data indicate
that while minke whales appear to occur along the Scottish east coast, they show a more continuous presence in
Figure 4. Number of total identified detections of minke whales (n calls) at the Helmsdale recording site, aggregated by week (y-axis, tick marks indicate start of month) and hour of day (x-axis, tick marks indicate mid-hour), for (a) 2015, (b) 2016, (c) 2017. Black and grey lines indicate dawn/dusk and sunrise/sunset times, respectively. This high latitude recording area experiences all-night nautical twilight from May to August as indicated by the broken black dawn/dusk lines. The ‘sun-methods’ function of the R⁶⁶ maptools library⁶⁷ was used to determine times for sunrise, sunset, dawn and dusk based on nautical twilight (defined as sun altitude between 0 and 12°) for each day. Light grey shaded areas indicate periods of missing data. Data for January 2018 were omitted from this graph for ease of presentation and since no detections were made during this month.

| Year  | Start          | End          | Hours analysed | Detection Hours |
|-------|----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 2015  | 01/05/2015     | 05/10/2015   | 3,735          | 60              |
| 2016  | 07/05/2016     | 31/12/2016   | 5,431          | 226             |
| 2017/18 | 01/01/2017/30/03/2017 | 09/01/2017/11/06/2017 | 16/09/2017/28/01/2018 | 4,824          | 42              |
| Total |                |              | 13,990         | 328             |

Table 2. Summary of detection hours and hours analysed from May 2015 to January 2018 for the Helmsdale recording site.
the Moray Firth during summer. In 2016, the site at Helmsdale in the northern part of the Moray Firth recorded the greatest number of detections; this site was also one of the deepest recording locations and the one furthest offshore (Fig. 1, Table 1). The presence of minke whales in the deeper waters of the central and northern Moray Firth, was also demonstrated by fairly high numbers of detection hours at Latheron (Fig. 3). In contrast, the relatively low number of detection hours at Fraserburgh in the southern Moray Firth, where minke whales are frequently observed visually\textsuperscript{13,14} was surprising. However, these results may be partly due to the acoustic recorder being placed in very shallow waters at this site. Low frequencies (peak frequencies of minke whale pulse trains are between 150–200 Hz\textsuperscript{28}; Fig. 2) propagate poorly in shallow waters, as these effectively act as high-pass filters\textsuperscript{44}. The true presence at this location, and potentially also at other sites, might not be accurately reflected using the available data recorded with an array originally designed to study coastal bottlenose dolphin distribution. This result highlights the importance of taking recorder location and local environmental conditions into account when interpreting results from passive acoustic recordings.

When using PAM for future monitoring of minke whales (e.g., in the Southern Trench MPA proposal area in the southern Moray Firth), care should be taken to place the PAM system in waters deep enough to ensure effective detection of the low frequency vocalisations produced by minke whales. Previous surveys of minke whales are largely based upon visual observations, be they from land, ship or from aircraft. These surveys suffer from low encounter rates and the case for future MPA proposals could be greatly strengthened though the more widespread use of PAM for monitoring minke whales. The long periods of observation by multiple acoustic recorders could greatly improve the confidence in minke whale distribution, and provide a cost-effective method for long-term monitoring of MPAs for minke whales. Similarly, PAM offers a contribution to the recurrent need to undertake risk assessments of offshore developments in relation to impacts on marine mammal populations. PAM should enable clarification of the relative importance of different potential development sites to minke whale populations, and give guidance to possible spatially-based impact mitigation strategies. The data from the current project were largely confined to recording sites within 15 km of the shore. However, our results do suggest that deeper waters in the central Moray Firth, might be more important for minke whales than previously thought based on visual data alone.

At a broad scale, the detection of minke whale pulse trains in the Moray Firth and North Sea supports visual distribution patterns for this region and confirms the feasibility of using PAM to monitor this species in Scottish,
wider UK and Northeast Atlantic waters. Future work should include the improvement of the performance of the automated detector, using regional pulse train examples and distinguishing these against local ambient noise data. More work is also needed on the description of the full vocal repertoire for the species in UK coastal waters, as well as the behavioural context of minke whale vocalisations in general, including caller identity, source level and calling rates. With such additional knowledge, PAM may then significantly improve current conservation, monitoring and management efforts for minke whales in UK waters.

**Methods**

### Acoustic data collection.

The East Coast Marine Mammal Acoustic Study (ECOMMAS) has been monitoring acoustic presence of cetaceans and ambient noise in the Moray Firth and along the east coast of Scotland ([http://marine.gov.scot/information/east-coast-marine-mammal-acoustic-study-ecomas](http://marine.gov.scot/information/east-coast-marine-mammal-acoustic-study-ecomas)). As part of this effort, acoustic broadband data have been collected at ten different recording sites since 2013. The original aim for this long-term study was to better understand coastal bottlenose dolphin movement, which informed the placement of recorders at varying distances (up to ~15 km) from the low tide line and at depths ranging from approximately 10–45 m (Fig. 1, Table 1). Acoustic recorders (SM2M, Wildlife Acoustics) were typically moored 3–5 m above the sea floor and programmed to record at a sample rate of 96 kHz, with 12 dB gain and a 10/20 minutes on/off duty cycle. Each recorder was equipped with an HTI-94 hydrophone (sensitivity: −168 dB re 1 V/μPa, flat (+/−1 dB) frequency response from 2 Hz to 40 kHz).

Minke whale acoustic presence and spatial distribution was investigated by analysing available recordings from all 10 recording sites for the period from May to November 2016 (total across all sites: 32,827 hours, Table 1). Since most detections in 2016 were collected at the Helmsdale recording site (Fig. 1, Table 1), multi-year data (2015–2018), including additional data for December 2016, were analysed for this site to extend recordings into winter months and investigate inter-annual variability, as well as diel patterns (total: 13,990 hours, Table 2).

### Analysis.

Data preparation and initial acoustic analysis.

To focus the analysis on low frequencies for detection of minke whale pulse train presence, all data were initially downsampled to a sample rate of 2 kHz using the Decimator module in PAMGuard\(^{28}\) and applying a low-pass 4\(^{th}\) order Butterworth filter. A random subsample of 100 days, 10 days from each site, collected in 2016, was then reviewed visually and aurally, using spectrograms (fast Fourier transformation [FFT] size: 2048 points, 75% overlap, Hanning window) created in XBAT\(^{46}\).

Automated detection process.

After confirmation of minke whale pulse train presence at several recording sites, an automated detection algorithm, originally developed for pulse trains from the western North Atlantic, was used to analyse data from the whole ECOMMAS array. The detector was run in a batch process on sound files loaded in XBAT. The automatic detection consisted of a multi-stage process based on spectrogram intensity binarisation, energy projection, feature extraction and finally pulse train detection and classification\(^{29}\).\(^{47}\) The detector design and performance for data from the western Atlantic is described in more detail in Popescu et al.\(^{29}\).

Ground truth and detector evaluation.

The 100 day data set from 2016, yielded 2,400 analysis hours (analysis hour referring to the 20 minutes of recording in each hour) that were manually reviewed by experienced data analysts (DR, MH, SW). Analysts recorded the presence of all true positive (TP), false negative (missed) (FN), and false positive (FP) detection hours. The results of this analysis were used to assess overall detector performance under varying noise conditions. The recall or true positive rate (TPR) of the detector is the percentage of manually identified detection hours which were also detected automatically, i.e. the number of true detection hours by the detector divided by the total number of true detection hours as identified by data analysts (TP/(TP + FN)). The precision of the detector is the percentage of correct automatic detections, i.e. the number of true detection hours divided by the total number of detection hours by the detector (TP/(TP + FP)). Finally, the false positive rate (FPR) was calculated as the number of false positive detection hours, as classified by the automated detector, divided by the total number of true negative detection hours identified by data analysts (FP/(TP + FP)). While a high recall rate indicates a high detection efficiency and few missed calls, a high precision value and low false positive rate indicate a low false alarm rate.

Spatial and seasonal distribution patterns in 2016.

After manual review of all detection hours recorded from May to November 2016, all false positive detection hours were removed from the final dataset, resulting in a time-series of minke whale pulse train presence at a 1-hour resolution for each of the ten recording sites. These data were then corrected for recording effort by dividing the total number of detection hours by the total number of effort hours for each day.

Multi-year seasonal and diel detection patterns.

Analysis of data collected from May to November 2016, found most minke whale detections at the Helmsdale recording site (Table 1, Fig. 3). To explore diel and seasonal patterns across years, an extended data series from April 2015 to January 2018 was analysed only for this location. The automated detector was run as described above, acoustic detection hours were manually reviewed and pulse train counts for each hour with true positive detections enumerated, while false positive detections were removed. The number of true pulse train detections were then grouped by hour of day (using GMT), aggregated by recording week and plotted against time of day, dawn, sunrise, sunset and dusk to investigate seasonal and diel patterns in pulse train occurrence (Fig. 4). The ‘sun-methods’ function of the R\(^{37}\) maptools library\(^{48}\) was used to determine times for sunrise, sunset, as well as dawn and dusk based on nautical twilight (defined as sun altitude between 0 and 12\(^{\circ}\)) for each day.

---

*References cropped for brevity. Full references available in the original document.*
Diets trends in minke whale detections from the multi-year Helmsdale data set were further investigated by modelling the hourly presence/absence of minke whale pulse trains in relation to diel cycle index values, scaled to account for seasonal variation in day length. The model was run on the whole data set, as well as separately for the period of all-night twilight during summer (May 20th–July 22nd) and the rest of the year (autumn to spring) when full darkness did occur. For each hour, the diel cycle index was calculated based on sunrise/sunset data, where index values of 0 and 1 corresponded to the hour of sunrise and 0.5 represented the hour in which sunset occurred. A binomial generalised additive model (GAM) was fitted with the diel cycle index as an explanatory variable, an independent correlation structure and a logit link function, and using generalised estimating equations (GEEs) to account for temporal autocorrelation in the model residuals, following Pirotta et al.49. While GAMs assume independence among model residuals, the GEE method models the correlation within specified ‘blocks’ of data, assuming independence between blocks49,50. A block size of 24 hour was chosen based on examination of autocorrelation function (ACF) plots of the model residuals. The significance of the diel cycle was assessed using a Wald chi-squared test and the relationship between diel cycle and the probability of minke whale hourly presence visualised using a partial residual plot (Fig. 5). Models were built using the R packages52,53.

**Data Availability**

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study can be made available upon reasonable request.
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