Generative processing underlies the mutual enhancement of arithmetic fluency and math-grounding number sense
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Number skills are popularly bound to arithmetic knowledge in its symbolic form, such as “five + nine = fourteen,” but mounting evidence suggests that these symbolic relations are actually grounded, i.e., computed (see Harnad, 1990) on noisy internal magnitude representations that bear our general understanding of numbers and further improve with math experience (Figure 1). Multiple lines of evidence support the idea of semantics-based arithmetic, including behavioral research on humans (Gallistel and Gelman, 1992), animals (Gallistel and Gelman, 2000; Rugani et al., 2009), development (Halberda et al., 2008), mathematical disability, i.e., dyscalculia (Butterworth, 1999; review, Butterworth et al., 2011), and computational modeling (Stoianov et al., 2004; review, Zorzi et al., 2005). Even more intimate relation between the number skills and the internal noisy magnitudes was recently demonstrated in several studies showing finer magnitude representations in subjects with greater arithmetic fluency (e.g., Nys et al., 2013; Piazza et al., 2013), also caused by extensive math studying during higher education (Lindskog et al., 2014). Here we discuss how these findings could be explained within a generative framework of cognition, according to which top-down predictive connections play a key role in the computing of low- to high-level representations (e.g., Friston, 2010; Clark, 2013).

The noisy internal magnitude representations also known as Approximate Number System (ANS), or Number Sense are systematically found in the intraparietal sulcus and prefrontal cortices (Dehaene, 1997; Viswanathan and Nieder, 2013) and one principle method to investigate them is to characterize the ability to quickly and approximately estimate the number of objects seen (Jevons, 1871). This phylogenetic ability is qualified as a visual sense (Burr and Ross, 2008), the mechanism of which emerged in generative neural networks that learn to efficiently encode visual numerosities (Stoianov and Zorzi, 2012).

One crucial property of the internal magnitude representations is their systematically increasing imprecision (Figure 1; Gallistel and Gelman, 2000; Dehaene, 2003) characterized by a subject-specific constant known as ANS acuity (Halberda et al., 2008), which at the behavioral level is associated with log-linear performance decrement as the magnitude increases. In numerosity comparison, the probability to select the greater numerosity is a sigmoid function of the log-ratio of the compared magnitudes that is characterized by a discriminability (Weber) fraction w describing the slope of the sigmoid, whereby the better the discriminability, the closer is the sigmoid to a step-function, for which w = 0 (Piazza et al., 2013; Cappelletti et al., 2014). The behavioral discriminability coefficient w is closely related to the internal ANS acuity (Piazza et al., 2013). The ANS acuity progressively improves along with development, with corresponding w = 1 in the first few months of life to about w = 0.24 in healthy adults (Piazza et al., 2010), to worsen then with ageing to more than w = 0.30 (Cappelletti et al., 2014).

The intriguing question we explore here is whether ANS improves along with refinement of the mathematical knowledge it supports, that is, whether math-studying improves general quantity understanding. A hint about this was provided by a study on a curious Amazonian Mundurucú population with two levels of math education (Piazza et al., 2013). The effect of math-studying on ANS acuity, controlling for age, was impressive: w = 0.31 for adults that had never studied math and w = 0.19 for math-educated adults. Sure, this is a study on a particular population, with an educational system that permitted to find subjects allowing the dissociation between age and education (see also Nys et al., 2013), and it remained unclear whether prolonged math schooling in cultures with broad educational system is associated with further improvement of the ANS.

Lindskog et al. (2014) investigated this issue with first- and third-year university students majoring in disciplines with various levels of initial math-expertise and amount of math-studying, ranked in the order: humanity-disciplines, with expected basic math-background, and no math-studying, business-disciplines with average math-background and applied math-studying, and math-disciplines with high math proficiency and mostly theoretical math-studying. The ANS-acuity of the students was evaluated using visual numerosity comparison tasks and supplementary assessment measured their arithmetic fluency. Overall, the arithmetic fluency increased along with the rank of the math-expertise and similarly, the...
ANS-acuity tended to improve along with this rank, from about $w = 0.27$ in humanity disciplines to about $w = 0.24$ in math disciplines. Moreover, a regression analysis showed that the two measures were correlated even after controlling for possible confounds. Note that this analysis could not reveal causality, but it is legitimate to assume that different levels of past mathematical experience bring to different levels of math-fluency and as the link shows, to corresponding levels of ANS-acuity.

Indeed, the arithmetic fluency improved in the third-year business- and math-students relative to their first-year pairs, confirming the expected causal role of math-training. However, only the students with an intermediate-level of math expertise obtained apparent ANS benefit from the two more years of math studying, with $w = 0.27$ of the first-year business students and $w = 0.23$ of the third-year students (Figure 1C of Lindskog et al., 2014). The ANS acuity of all math-students was almost as good as that of the third-year business-students, which altogether was significantly better than the ANS-acuity of the first-year business students and all humanity-studying subjects that did not study math. Math-students probably already had their ANS-acuity at ceiling, or their advanced math training was maybe too abstract to affect the ANS. The math-training of the business students likely involved concrete calculi that not only improved their arithmetic fluency, but also their ANS.

Curiously, the link between the ANS acuity and arithmetic fluency dissociated two apparently very similar methods for ANS-acuity assessment: one based on the comparison of two simultaneously presented numerosities and another one based on their sequential presentation (see also Lindskog et al., 2013). Both methods resulted in close measures but only the first one correlated with arithmetic fluency. The suspicion was that mediating short-term memory in the sequential method introduced variability that masked the link, but further research is needed to clarify this potentially informative issue.

The critical question then regards the mechanism underlying the observed ANS improvement along with greater arithmetic precision and math experience. Here we provide a tentative proposal that radically differs from symbol-based accounts according to which experience with symbolic numerals essentially tightens the borders between the underlying noisy magnitudes (e.g., Nys et al., 2013). First, in a series of model-based studies we showed that principle behavioral signatures of simple arithmetic can be replicated only when semantic number coding is included (Stoianov et al., 2002, 2003). Most instructive was the functional specialization found in a fully-connected associative connectionist model that included both symbolic and semantic components. When the model was enquired to retrieve facts with symbolic numerals as input, it first accessed their semantic representation; then it virtually “calculated” the semantic representation of the result in an emergent component.
building semantic arithmetic memory, and finally it activated the corresponding symbolic numeral. The critical role of the semantic component was corroborated in simulations of dyscalculia in which only lesions applied to the semantic component degraded the performance (Stoianov et al., 2004). Thus, learners equipped with symbolic (domain-general) and semantic (domain-specific) magnitude representations (i.e., ANS) exploit properties of the semantic codes to efficiently learn and perform arithmetics (Figure 1).

It is now apparent that improving the acuity of ANS would bring to more accurate semantic “calculations” and thus greater arithmetic fluency measurable at the symbolic level. Less intuitive is how further mathematical training could enhance the grounding ANS. We propose that the mechanism of ANS improvement is based on practicing number comparison tasks which, by “computing” upon the grounding ANS, gradually improve, on one hand the magnitude discrimination process and on the other, the precision, i.e., discriminability, of the noisy magnitudes. Deep top-down effects (e.g., on the magnitude representations) are natural in generative perceptual structures that operate, i.e., compute higher-level representations by constantly predicting the lower-level input through top-down generative connections and there is plenty of evidence that generative computations underly perception in the brain (e.g., Friston, 2010; Clark, 2013). A good starting point for a generative computational model that could simulate the effects of math studying on ANS could be the neurocomputational model of Stoianov and Zorzi (2012), on the top of which could be added math-operation (e.g., magnitude-comparison) modules (for insights, see Zorzi et al., 2013). Note that math studying, especially applied math, generally includes number-comparison tasks; arithmetic exercises should also be useful since arithmetic problem solving frequently relies on strategies based on operand ranking, i.e., discrimination (Butterworth et al., 2001; Campbell and Xue, 2001). Thus, math-studying, especially practicing calculi, should improve the acuity of the underlying ANS and the mathematical abilities it supports (Figure 1), including decisions on the size of visual numerocities, which task is typically used to assess the ANS acuity. Important corroboration for our proposal comes from novel experimentation with fish showing that numerosity discrimination based only on ANS improves following extensive training on this task (Bisazza et al., 2014).

In conclusion, converging evidence, including recent human data regarding higher education (Lindskog et al., 2014) imply that the arithmetic skills are not only grounded on noisy magnitudes but also causally affect the ANS along with math experience. Here we discussed supporting experimental data and outlined a tentative theoretical account of how ANS improvements allow better numerical skills and how in turn math studying could sharpen the ANS. The work might have implications regarding the math skills of healthy individuals and subjects with dyscalculia, providing theoretical basis for interventions (Butterworth et al., 2011). The result might also prompt relevant comparative animal research; we know for example that even chicken possess basic arithmetic knowledge (Rugani et al., 2009). The proposed theoretical account could be verified computationally using the generative model of Stoianov and Zorzi (2012).
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