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Time- and dose-dependent volume decreases in subcortical grey matter structures of glioma patients after radio(chemo)therapy

F. Raschke a,b, K. Witzmann a,b, A. Seidlitz b,c, T. Wesemann d, C. Jentsch b,c, I. Platzek f, J. van den Hoff g, J. Kotzerke h, B. Beuthien-Baumann i, M. Baumann h,j, J. Linn d, M. Krause a,b,c,e,k, E.G.C. Troost a,b,c,e,k,*

a Institute of Radiooncology - OncoRay, Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Rossendorf, Germany
b Oncoray - National Center for Radiation Research in Oncology, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, Technische Universität Dresden, Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden – Rossendorf, Dresden, Germany
c Department of Radiotherapy and Radiation Oncology, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany
d Institute of Neuroradiology, University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus and Medical Faculty of Technische Universität, Dresden, Germany
e German Cancer Consortium (DKTK), Partner Site Dresden, and German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany
f Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, Technische Universität Dresden, Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, Dresden, Germany
g Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden - Rossendorf, Institute of Radiochemical Cancer Research, Center for Positron Emission Tomography, Dresden-Rossendorf, Germany
h Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, Technische Universität Dresden, Department of Nuclear Medicine, Dresden, Germany
i Radiology, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany
j German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany
k National Center for Tumor Diseases (NCT), Partner Site Dresden, Germany; German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany; Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany; and; Helmholtz Association / Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden - Rossendorf (HZDR), Dresden, Germany;

A R T I C L E   I N F O

Keywords:
Hippocampus
Amygdala
Radiotherapy
Atrophy
Proton therapy
Caudate

A B S T R A C T

Background and purpose: Radiotherapy (RT) is an adjuvant treatment option for glioma patients. Side effects include tissue atrophy, which might be a contributing factor to neurocognitive decline after treatment. The goal of this study was to determine potential atrophy of the hippocampus, amygdala, thalamus, putamen, pallidum and caudate nucleus in glioma patients having undergone magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) before and after RT.

Materials and methods: Subcortical volumes were measured using T1-weighted MRI from patients before RT (N = 91) and from longitudinal follow-ups acquired in three-monthly intervals (N = 349). The volumes were normalized to the baseline values, while excluding structures touching the clinical target volume (CTV) or abnormal tissue seen on FLAIR imaging. A multivariate linear effects model was used to determine if time after RT and mean RT dose delivered to the corresponding structure were significant predictors of tissue atrophy. Only the caudate showed no dose or time dependent atrophy. Conversely, the hippocampus was the structure with the highest atrophy rate of 5.2 % after one year and assuming a mean dose of 30 Gy.

Results: The hippocampus, amygdala, thalamus, putamen, and pallidium showed significant atrophy after RT as function of both time after RT and mean RT dose delivered to the corresponding structure. Only the caudate showed no dose or time dependent atrophy. The hippocampus was the structure with the highest atrophy rate of 5.2 % after one year and assuming a mean dose of 30 Gy.

Conclusion: The hippocampus showed the highest atrophy rates followed by the thalamus and the amygdala. The subcortical structures here found to decrease in volume indicative of radiosensitivity should be the focus of future studies investigating the relationship between neurocognitive decline and RT.

Abbreviations: CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; CT, computed tomography; CTV, clinical target volume; GM, grey matter; GTV, gross tumour volume; MNI, Montreal Neurological Institute; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PTV, planning target volume; ROI, region of interest; RT, radiotherapy; T1w, T1-weighted; TBV, tumour bed volume; WM, white matter.
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Introduction

Radiotherapy (RT), possibly combined with chemotherapy, is a standard treatment option after surgery for brain tumour pa-
patients. However, RT may cause cognitive dysfunction and can impact the pa-
tients’ quality of life, particularly in long-term survivors [1–3]. RT has
also been shown to cause a number of structural and functional changes
to surrounding normal appearing brain tissue as seen on magnetic
resonance imaging (MRI) [4]. Brain tissue atrophy is one of these
observed changes and has been directly correlated to the delivered ra-
diation dose [5,6].

The hippocampus has been in the focus when investigating RT-
related side-effects since it plays a key role in cognitive decline during
normal ageing and neurodegenerative diseases [7]. Previous studies
have found correlations between radiation dose to the hippocampus,
hippocampal atrophy, and neurocognitive decline [8–10]. This has
recently led to studies investigating the use of hippocampal avoidance in
RT to preserve cognitive function [11,12]. Moreover, early evidence
suggests causal correlation between RT-induced hippocampal atrophy
and cognitive decline [13]. Thus, hippocampal atrophy measures may
have the potential to become objective markers of RT damage and
subsequently of neurocognitive decline.

Other subcortical structures, which also play a major role in cogni-
tion include the thalamus, amygdala and the basal ganglia [14,15].
However, to date only few studies evaluated atrophy rates of subcortical
regions in the same patient cohort in comparison to the radiation dose
and/or interval after RT, in order to determine the structures’ respective
radiosensitivities [16,17].

In the era of highly conformal RT using photons and particles, rec-
ommendations harmonizing the delineation of the substructures as well
as of prescribing radiation dose have been published [18]. These strive
to determine objective outcome parameters possibly supporting the use
of particle therapy. To complement the previous studies and consolidate
the results, a longitudinal study involving periodic MR monitoring over
the course of three years was established [16,17]. The goal of this study
was to compare volumes of the hippocampus, amygdala, thalamus,
putamen, pallidum and caudate nucleus on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)
in the same patient cohort before and after radio(chemo)therapy,
to determine whether these structures exhibit radiation-induced atrophy
depending on the combined influence of RT dose and time, and whether
atrophy rates differ between structures.

Methods

Patient cohorts

Patient cohorts and exclusion criteria were identical to our previous
study on cerebellar atrophy after radio(chemo)therapy [5]. In summary,
data from two different prospective studies was combined for this analysis.
Study A (NCT02824731) comprised longitudinal data of grade
I-IV glioma patients (ethics approval EK22012016). Study B
(NCT01873469) included grade IV glioblastoma patients as part of a
prospective, longitudinal study investigating the effect of 11C-methio-
nine positron emission tomography (PET)/MR for tailoring the treat-
ment of patients with glioblastoma [19], approved by the local ethics
committee (EK41022013, BO-EK-167052020). Comparable study
design and contouring suggest the same dosage distribution in normal
tissue, thus allowing the combination of the two studies. Table 1 con-
tains the patient characteristics of both studies.

Gross tumour resection was performed in most patients prior to radio-
(chemo)therapy. Baseline MR images were acquired after surgery and
typically two weeks before the start of radio(chemo)therapy. Follow-up
MRIs were first acquired approximately three months after the end of
radiotherapy and at three-monthly intervals thereafter. However, pa-
tients occasionally skipped some of the follow-up MRs or received
clinical follow-up MRs at other centres, which were not used for analysis
in this study. The scans were generally performed until either patient
status worsened or patients required further clinical intervention due to
clinical progression. Patients with a baseline MRI and at least one
follow-up MRI were included in this analysis. Patients who underwent
re-irradiation, had severe motion artefacts on MRI, aspergillus infection
(one patient) or an external head trauma (one patient) were excluded
from the analysis.

Data acquisition

All MRI data were acquired on a 3 Tesla Philips Ingenuity PET/MR
scanner (Philips, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) using an eight channel
head coil.

T1-weighted (T1w) MR images were used for the segmentation of
subcortical structures. In study A, T1w images were acquired using a 3D
gradient spoiled echo sequence acquired in sagittal orientation with 1
mm isotropic resolution. In study B, T1w images were acquired using a
3D Turbo Field Echo sequence acquired in sagittal orientation at 1 mm
isotropic resolution.

Conventional FLAIR images were used for each patient and time-
point to identify abnormal tissue and create abnormal tissue regions of
interest (ROIs).

Radiation treatment planning

Computed tomography (CT) scans for radiation treatment planning
were performed prior to radio(chemo)therapy with the patient posi-
tioned supine with an individual head support and mask. For radiation
treatment planning, the CTs were co-registered with the post-surgery
MRI scans (T1w, T2-weighted, T1w with contrast agent) to define the
tumour bed and potential residual tumour (tumour bed volume; TBV, or
gross tumour volume; GTV, respectively). For the patients of study A, the
TBV (including the GTV) was expanded by a 1.5–2 cm isotropic margin
for grade III and IV tumours, respectively and corrected for anatomical
boundaries, to derive the clinical target volume (CTV). The prescribed
total dose to the CTV was typically either 54 Gy(RBE) or 60 Gy(RBE)
depending on tumour histology and delivered in 2 Gy(RBE)-fractions.
Radiotherapy using protons with a maximal beam energy of 230 MeV
was either performed by the double scattering technique planned on XiO
(Version V5.00.02, Elekta AB, Stockholm, Sweden) with field shaping
using lateral apertures and range compensators, or with pencil beam
scanning planned on RayStation (Version 6.0, RaySearch, Stockholm,
Sweden) using single-field uniform dose optimization. For photon beam

| Table 1 | Patient demographics. TMZ – temozolomide, PCV – procarbazine, lomustine (CCNU) and vincristine, Ph – photon therapy, H+ – proton therapy. |
|---------|-----------------|
| **study A** | **study B** | **study A + B** |
| Number of patients [n] | 24/11/13 | 67/38/29 | 91/49/42 |
| Age at baseline [years] | 54.6 ± 14.5 | 54.7 ± 13.9 | 52.3 ± 14.5 |
| Range [min – max] | [20.1 – 76.7] | [23.2 – 81.8] | [20.1 – 81.8] |
| Glioma grade [n] | grade I/II/III/IV | 1/3/13/7 | 0/0/0/67 | 1/3/13/74 |
| RTx treatment [n] | Ph/H+/mix | 4/19/1 | 48/19/0 | 52/38/1 |
| Chemotherapy [n] | TMZ/PCV/no | 7/5/12 | 67/0/0 | 74/5/12 |
| Follow-ups | mean number of follow-ups [n] | 4.4 ± 3.0 | 3.6 ± 3.2 | 3.8 ± 3.2 |
| Mean follow-up period [days] | 444.8 ± 311.4 | 422.2 ± 438.5 | 428.1 ± 407.3 |
| Range follow-up period [days] | [85 – 1073] | [63 – 1874] | [63 – 1874] |
irradiation a planning target volume (PTV) was created by increasing the CTV using an isotropic margin of 0.5 cm. Detailed information about the treatment planning system for photon beam irradiation, and dose calculation of study B is provided by Seidlitz et al. [19]. Proton and photon therapy effect on tumour control were expected to be equal, since the generation of the CTV was similar for proton and photon treatment and the proton dose was normalized to a factor of 1.1 for relative biological effectiveness. The constraint dose to the organs at risk was determined according to recent guidelines regarding normal tissue complication probability based in the ICRU recommendations [20–23]. For this analysis, the planning CTs and corresponding dose maps were retrieved from the planning workstation.

**Data processing**

Binary labels for the hippocampus, amygdala, thalamus, putamen, pallidum, and caudate nucleus were generated for each T1w image by non-linear warping the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) 152 brain atlas [24] and corresponding Harvard-Oxford subcortical atlas provided by the “FMRIB Software Library” (FSL) [25] to each T1w image using “Advanced Normalization Tools” (ANTs) [26,27]. Left and right structures were considered separately. For the caudate nucleus, atlas labels for “caudate” and “accumbens” were merged because both structures are difficult to distinguish reliably on standard T1w imaging.

Each T1w image was segmented into grey matter (GM), white matter (WM) and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) using SPM12 (Statistical Parametric Mapping: https://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/) after censoring abnormal tissue using abnormal tissue ROIs created from the corresponding FLAIR images at that particular timepoint [28]. The sum of all GM and WM probabilities within each subcortical label was used to calculate the corresponding subcortical volume. Subcortical structures were excluded from the analysis if any part was within the CTV. Subcortical volumes were also excluded at individual timepoints if any part was within the abnormal tissue ROI at that time. Fig. 1 illustrates the subcortical segmentation process for a grade III glioma patient.

The mean dose delivered to each subcortical structure was calculated by rigidly co-registering the planning CT and corresponding dose maps to the baseline T1w images.

**Statistical analysis**

Relative subcortical volumes $V_{rel}$ were calculated for each patient as ratios to their corresponding pre-radiotherapy baseline values.

Analysis was carried out in R using separate multivariate linear mixed effects models for each left and right subcortical volume [29]. Structures were evaluated separately for the left and right hemisphere to guarantee the coverage of the full irradiation dose range in the each dataset (compare supplementary Table S1). Relative subcortical volumes were used as the response variables and the patient ID as grouping variable. Multiple univariate analyses with time after radiotherapy, mean radiation dose, patient age, gender, low grade (grade I-II) or high grade (grade III-IV) tumour, radiotherapy modality (proton/photon), chemotherapy (yes/no) were first performed to determine which effects to consider in the subsequent multivariate linear mixed effects models. We also compared the subcortical atrophy rates to those of the cerebellum as published previously [5].

**Results**

In total, 91 patients with baseline MRIs and 349 follow-up MRIs were eligible for this study (see Table 1). The actual number of structures in the models varied, since structures were excluded if any part was within either the CTV or the abnormal ROI. An overview of the number of structures and corresponding follow-ups and mean RT doses is given in supplementary Table S1.

The general trend that subcortical structures lost volume over time is illustrated in supplementary Figure S1. Trendlines of the relative subcortical volumes are colour-coded according to the mean dose.

---

**Fig. 1.** Segmentation of the subcortical structures shown on the baseline T1w image for a patient after resection of a grade III glioma. Subcortical labels are transferred from MNI152 brain atlas to each T1w image by non-linear coregistration. T1w images were segmented into GM, WM and CSF and the sum of GM + WM probabilities within each subcortical label was used to calculate the corresponding subcortical volume. Structures with any parts touching the CTV (red contour) or abnormal ROI (blue contour) were excluded from the analysis. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
delivered to the corresponding structure for all patients.

Univariate analysis for the twelve structures revealed that the mean dose and time after RT were the most common significant predictors of the subcortical volumes, whereas patient age, gender, low grade (grade I-II) or high grade (grade III-IV) tumour, radiotherapy modality (proton/photon), and chemotherapy (yes/no) were no significant predictors (see supplementary Table S2). Consequently, for the final analysis we used the following multivariate linear mixed effects model:

$$V_{at} = a \times \text{dose} + b \times \text{time} + c \times \text{time} \times \text{dose} + d$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The model coefficients are given in Table 2. Significant volume loss of atrophy besides dose and time [5]. To allow for direct comparison of the multivariate model in [Eq. (1)] was also applied to the cerebellum data and corresponding values are given in Table 2 and Fig. 2.

**Discussion**

In this study, we compared volumes of six subcortical structures before and after radio(chemo)therapy in the same cohort of proton and photon irradiated patients over a MR monitoring time of three years.
after RT and found the highest atrophy rate in the hippocampus followed by the thalamus and amygdala. Mean dose delivered to the corresponding structures and time after radiotherapy were significant predictors of volume loss.

Two previous studies compared volumes of the same subcortical structures before and after radiotherapy. Takeshita et al. [16] analysed 20 patients with brain metastases receiving whole brain RT of 30 Gy and found the hippocampus to be the only structure showing significant time-dependent atrophy with an average volume reduction of 9.2% eight to eleven months after whole brain RT. In a study of 31 grade II-IV glioma patients, Nagtegaal et al. [17] found atrophy correlating significantly with the radiation dose in all subcortical structures except the caudate nucleus one year after RT. As an extension of the above mentioned studies considering the influence of time and irradiation dose separately, our study provided a combined assessment on the influence of radiation dose and time from RT on volume changes. The longitudinal observation period allowed for investigation of long term effects of irradiation, while the inclusion of the proton treated patients provided the opportunity to examine changes at low irradiation dose. Other studies looked at the hippocampus and amygdala separately. Huynh-Le et al. [30] and Seibert et al. [31] assessed the same cohort of 52 primary brain tumour patients one year after RT and found significant dose dependent atrophy of the amygdala and hippocampus, respectively. Prust et al. [32] found no significant volume reduction of the hippocampus in 14 glioblastoma patients in a longitudinal observation up to 35 weeks after RT. For these studies, the predicted volume reductions six to twelve months after RT and assuming a mean dose of 30 Gy are compared to the results of this study in Table 3.

In summary, significant dose dependant atrophy was found in three out of four studies for the amygdala and in five out of six studies for the hippocampus. Predicted volume reductions were very similar in all cases. Interestingly, the caudate was the only structure, consistently showed no significant reduction in volume after RT. The reason for this is unclear and warrants further investigation in future studies. Results for the pallidum, putamen, thalamus are more ambivalent. While Takeshita et al. [16] reported no significant volume reductions, Nagtegaal et al. [17] found the highest volume reductions in these structures one year after RT. However, the atrophy rates were an order of magnitude higher compared to all other results (see Table 3).

Atrophy rates of the subcortical volumes were comparable to those

Fig. 2. Predicted relative volumes 1, 2 and 3 years after RT, respectively according to the multivariate models given in Table 2.
Table 3
Comparison of predicted volume reductions six to twelve months after RT found in literature, assuming a mean dose of 30 Gy. RT – radiotherapy, WBRT – whole brain radiotherapy.

| Publication | cohort | number of patients | time after RT [months] | Hippocampus | Amygdala | Putamen | Thalamus | Pallidum | Caudate |
|-------------|--------|--------------------|------------------------|-------------|----------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| [17]        | RT of grade II-IV gliomas | 31 | 12 | 4.9 % | 9.0 % | 24.3 % | 34.5 % | 41.2 % | 3.0 % |
| [16]        | WBRT of METs with 30 Gy | 20 | 6–10 | 5.7 % | 4.9 % | 2.5 % | 6.9 % | −2.4 % | 9.7 % |
| [16]        |          | 8–11 | 9.2 % | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| [30]        | RT of primary brain tumours | 52 | 12 | - | 5.1 % | - | - | - | - |
| [31]        | identical to [30] | 6 %* | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| [32]        | RT of glioblastoma patients | 14 | <6 | n.s. | - | - | - | - | - |
| This study  | RT of grade II-IV gliomas | 91 | 12 | 5.2 %** | 3.1 %** | 1.7 %** | 3.4 %** | 1.8 %** | 1.2 %** |

** mean of left and right structure.
* mean dose > 40 Gy.
– not assessed.
bold significant.
n.s. no significant change.

found previously by our group in the cerebellum [5]. Although the cerebellum shows the highest atrophy rates at a given mean dose (Fig. 2), the highest observed mean dose in the cerebellum was 17 Gy [5] which is much lower than the mean doses up to 58 Gy that can be seen in the much smaller subcortical structures (see supplementary Table S1), considering conformal RT of cerebral tumours.

RT induced volume loss of the hippocampus has previously been linked to cognitive decline [13] and recent studies have investigated the impact of hippocampal dose sparing on patient cognition. On the one hand, in a phase III trial with 518 brain metastases patients treated with 30 Gy whole brain RT, Brown et al. [12] showed that hippocampal avoidance better preserved cognitive function, specifically executive function, learning and memory. On the other hand, in another phase III trial with 168 small cell lung cancer patients by Belderbos et al. [11] no significant difference in cognitive decline between the hippocampal avoidance group and conventionally treated group was found.

Our study is characterized by the longitudinal analysis of multiple GM structures based on a large patient data set and combined assessment of the effects of dose and time on volume changes, providing a validation and extension of previously published results. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to include proton irradiated patients, which enabled to obtain information on tissue changes in areas of low dose impact. The results of the linear regression model will provide a comparison for future studies and can be used in clinical applications for decisions on the type of irradiation or sparing of specific structures.

Limitations of this study are the lack of neurocognitive function tests, which have not been acquired in this assessment. However, prospective studies are currently ongoing. Additionally, because of patient dropout over time, the number of available MRIs reduced continuously over time. Nevertheless, we were able to acquire sufficient data points for volume measurements beyond one year after RT (see supplementary Table S1). Although guidelines exist for contouring brain substructures for RT planning [18], here we used an automated segmentation method to allow for a consistent retrospective inter- and intra-patient volume measurement.

Future work is needed to determine which amount of hippocampal atrophy leads to clinically relevant levels of cognitive decline and thus develop corresponding normal tissue complication probability models [33]. High resolution MR imaging of the hippocampus could also be used to determine more precisely what anatomical changes are occurring in the hippocampus after RT [34]. Connections between cognitive decline and atrophy after RT of the other subcortical structures such as the amygdala and thalamus also need to be explored.
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