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Abstract

Conway and Lagarias observed that a triangular region $T(m)$ in a hexagonal lattice admits a signed tiling by three-in-line polyominoes (tribones) if and only if $m \in \{9d - 1, 9d\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}$. We apply the theory of Gröbner bases over integers to show that $T(m)$ admits a signed tiling by $n$-in-line polyominoes ($n$-bones) if and only if

$$m \in \{dn^2 - 1, dn^2\}_{d \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Explicit description of the Gröbner basis allows us to calculate the ‘Gröbner discrete volume’ of a lattice region by applying the division algorithm to its ‘Newton polynomial’. Among immediate consequences is a description of the tile homology group of the $n$-in-line polyomino.

1 Introduction

A $n$-bone is by definition a $n$-in-line polyomino (polyhex) in a hexagonal lattice. For example a 3-bone is the same as the tribone in the sense of [16]. One initial objective is to determine when a triangular region $T(m)$ in a hexagonal lattice admits a signed tiling by $n$-bones.

By a theorem of Conway and Lagarias ([6, Theorem 1.2.]) $T(m)$ admits a signed tiling by 3-bones if and only if $m = 9d$ or $m = 9d - 1$ for some integer $d \geq 1$, the case $m = 8$ is exhibited in Figure 1. Our central result is Theorem 13 which claims that $T(m)$ admits a signed tiling by $n$-bones if and only if $m = dn^2$ or $m = dn^2 - 1$ for some integer $d \geq 1$.
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The Gröbner basis approach to signed polyomino tilings was originally proposed by Bodini and Nouvel [5], see also [11] for an application to tilings with symmetries. The knowledge of the Gröbner basis (Theorem 8) offers a deeper insight into the (signed) tiling problem and provides a powerful tool for analyzing general behavior and selected particular cases. It is well adopted to other methods of lattice geometry and we illustrate this by examples involving Brion’s theorem (Example 16).

Computing Gröbner basis of a tiling problem yields as a byproduct complete information about the associated tile homology group [6, 14]. In general computing homology class by a ‘division algorithm’ may offer an interesting new computational paradigm which deserves further exploration.

2 Gröbner bases

The notion of a strong Gröbner base [1, 12] (called a $D$-Gröbner base in [4]) allows us to apply the Gröbner basis theory to polynomials with integer coefficients. Here is a brief outline of some basic definitions and theorems with pointers to some of the key references.

A term is a product $t = cx^a$ where $c$ is the coefficient and $x^a = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_k^{a_k}$ is the associated monomial (power product). For a given polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k]$ the associated remainder on division by a Gröbner basis $G$ is $\overline{f}^G$ and $f$ reduces to zero $f \xrightarrow{G} 0$ if $\overline{f}^G = 0$. $LM(f)$ and $LC(f)$ are respectively the leading monomial and the leading coefficient with respect to the chosen term order $\preceq$. We write $lcm(a, b)$ and $gcd(a, b)$ respectively for the least common multiple and the greatest common divisor of $a$ and $b$.

For other basic notions of Gröbner basis theory (over integers), such as $S$-polynomial, standard representation etc., the reader is referred to [1, 4, 12] (see also [7, 8, 15] for related results for coefficients in a field).
2.1 Gröbner bases over principal ideal domains

Let Λ = R[x_1, ..., x_k] be the ring of polynomials with coefficients in a principal ideal domain R. For a given ideal I ⊂ Λ the associated strong Gröbner basis, called also the D bases in [4], may be introduced as follows (see [1] p. 251 and [4] p. 455).

**Definition 1.** A finite set G ⊂ I is a strong Gröbner basis of I (with respect to the chosen term order ≤) if for each \( f \in I \setminus \{0\} \) there exists \( g \in G \) such that the leading term of \( f \) is divisible by the leading term of \( g \), \( \text{LT}(g) \mid \text{LT}(f) \), meaning that \( \text{LT}(f) = t \text{LT}(g) \) for some term \( t \).

The following theorem provides a useful criterion for testing whether a finite set of polynomials is a Gröbner basis of the ideal generated by them, see [4, Chapter 10, Corollary 10.12] and [13, Theorem 2.1].

**Theorem 2.** Let \( G \) be a finite collection of non-zero polynomials which generate an ideal \( I_G \). Suppose that,

1. For each pair \( g_1, g_2 \in G \) there exists \( h \in G \) such that,
   \[
   \text{LM}(h) \mid \text{lcm}(\text{LM}(g_1), \text{LM}(g_2)) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{LC}(h) \mid \text{gcd}(\text{LC}(g_1), \text{LC}(g_2))
   \]
2. For each pair \( g_1, g_2 \in G \) the associated S-polynomial reduces to zero,
   \[
   S(g_1, g_2) \xrightarrow{G} 0.
   \]

Then \( G \) is a strong Gröbner basis of \( I_G \).

2.2 Gröbner bases over Euclidean domains

The general theory is further simplified if one works with Euclidean domains. Aside from standard references [1, 4] a self-contained account can be found in [12]. In the case of integers one usually chooses the linear ordering,

\[
\ldots 0 < +1 < -1 < +2 < -2 < +3 < -3 < \ldots
\]

which allows us to define unambiguously remainders, S-polynomials etc. For example following [1] the reduction of 8 mod 5 is \(-2\) rather than \(+3\).

**Caveat:** We find it convenient in Section 6 to stick to positive remainders and write that \(+3\) is, rather than \(-2\), the remainder of 8 on division by 5. In other words we use the following term order for coefficients,

\[
\ldots 0 < +1 < +2 < +3 < \ldots < -1 < -2 < -3 < \ldots
\]

**Example 3.** In agreement with [1] many standard computer algebra packages (including Wolfram Mathematica 9.0) would yield \(-1 - x - y\) as the remainder of \( T(6) \) (Section 4) on division by \( GB_3 \). In Section 6 we would (following [2]) reduce this polynomial further by the element \( g_3(3) = 3T(2) \) (Section 5) and obtain the polynomial \( 2 + x + y \).
3 From polyominoes to polynomials

Each polyomino $P \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ is associated the corresponding ‘Newton polynomial’ $f_P := \sum_{(p,q) \in P} x^p y^q$. For example the shaded tribone $P$ in Figure is associated the trinomial $x^2y^2 + x^3y^2 + x^4y^2$.

**Proposition 4.** A polyomino $P$ admits a signed tiling by translates of prototiles $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_k$ if and only if for some (test) monomial $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ the polynomial $x^\alpha f_P$ is in the ideal generated by polynomials $f_{P_1}, \ldots, f_{P_k}$,

$$x^\alpha f_P \in \langle f_{P_1}, f_{P_2}, \ldots, f_{P_k} \rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Moreover, the set of test monomials $T = \{x^\alpha \mid \alpha \in T\}$ can be chosen from any set $T \subset \mathbb{N}^n$ of multi-indices which is cofinal in $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$.

**Proof:** Let $J \subset \mathbb{Z}[x,y;x^{-1},y^{-1}]$ be the extension of the ideal $\langle f_{P_1}, f_{P_2}, \ldots, f_{P_k} \rangle$ in the ring of Laurent polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$. $P$ admits a signed tiling by translates of prototiles $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_k$ if and only if $f_P \in J$. The proposition is an immediate consequence of the relation,

$$J = \bigcup_{x^\alpha \in T} x^{-\alpha} \langle f_{P_1}, f_{P_2}, \ldots, f_{P_k} \rangle.$$

4 The $n$-bone ideal $I_n$

Let $I_n = \langle b_1(n), b_2(n), b_3(n) \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}[x,y]$ be the ideal generated by polynomials,

$$b_1(n) = 1 + x + \ldots + x^{n-1}, \quad b_2(n) = 1 + y + \ldots + y^{n-1}, \quad b_3(n) = x^{n-1} + x^{n-2}y + \ldots + y^{n-1}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

These polynomials correspond to three types of $n$-in-line polyominoes in a hexagonal lattice.

We denote by $T(m)$ the ‘integer-point transform’ \cite[p. 60]{3} (Newton polynomial) of a triangular region with the side-length equal to $m$,

$$T(m) = \sum_{0 \leq i,j \leq m-1 \atop i+j \leq m-1} x^i y^j.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

5 Gröbner basis for the $n$-bone ideal

Let $\text{GBI}_n = \{g_1(n), g_2(n), g_3(n), g_4(n)\}$ be the following set of polynomials,

$$
\begin{align*}
g_1(n) &= b_1(n) \\
g_2(n) &= b_2(n) \\
g_3(n) &= nT(n-1) \\
g_4(n) &= b_3(n) - b_1(n) - b_2(n)
\end{align*}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)
Lemma 5. The leading terms of polynomials $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4$ with respect to the lexicographical term order are the following,

$$LT(g_1(n)) = x^{n-1}, LT(g_2(n)) = y^{n-1}, LT(g_3(n)) = nx^{n-2}, LT(g_4(n)) = x^{n-2}y \quad (7)$$

The relations listed in Proposition 6 will be needed in the sequel. The first equality is trivial while the rest follow from an iterated application of the identity $a^d - b^d = a^{d-1} + a^{d-2}b + \ldots + b^{d-1}$ for suitable $a$ and $b$.

Proposition 6.

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + b_3(n)$$

$$(x-1)T(n-1) = b_3(n) - b_2(n)$$

$$(x-y)T(n-1) = b_1(n) - b_2(n)$$

$$(y-1)g_1(n) + (y-x)g_4(n) = (x-1)g_2(n).$$

Proposition 7. The set $GBI_n$ is a basis of the ideal $I_n$.

Proof: Let $\langle GBI_n \rangle$ be the ideal generated by $GBI_n$. It is obvious that

$$I_n = \langle g_1(n), g_2(n), g_4(n) \rangle \subseteq \langle GBI_n \rangle$$

so it is sufficient to show that $g_3(n) \in I_n$. As a consequence of the second identity in Proposition 6,

$$\begin{align*}
(x-1)T(n-1) & \in I_n \\
(x^2-1)T(n-1) & \in I_n \\
& \quad \vdots \\
(x^{n-1}-1)T(n-1) & \in I_n
\end{align*}$$

By adding these polynomials we obtain

$$b_1(n)T(n-1) - nT(n-1) \in I_n$$

and $g_3 = nT(n-1) \in I_n$ which is the desired conclusion. \hfill \Box

Theorem 8. The set of polynomials $GBI_n$ is a strong Gröbner basis (over the base ring $\mathbb{Z}$) of the ideal $I_n, n \geq 2$, with respect to lexicographic term order.

Proof: The case $n = 2$ is elementary so we assume that $n \geq 3$. By Proposition 7 the set $GBI_n$ is a basis of the ideal $I_n$. In order to show that this is indeed a strong Gröbner basis of the ideal $I_n \subset \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ we apply the $\mathbb{Z}$-version of the Buchberger criterion. Following [12, Theorem 2] it is sufficient to show that for every pair of polynomials $g_i(n), g_j(n) \in GBI_n$, their $S$-polynomial reduces to 0 by the set $GBI_n$. Equivalently, one can use Theorem 2 by observing that the condition (1) is (in Light of Lemma 5) readily satisfied.
Let us consider now polynomials $g_1(n), g_2(n)$ and $g_2(n), g_3(n)$ are pairwise coprime (Lemma 5) and the leading coefficients divide each other, from Theorem 3 we conclude that

$$S(g_1(n), g_2(n)) \xrightarrow{GBI_n} 0 \quad \text{and} \quad S(g_2(n), g_3(n)) \xrightarrow{GBI_n} 0.$$ 

Let us consider now polynomials $g_1(n)$ and $g_4(n)$. By Lemma 5

$$S(g_1(n), g_4(n)) = yg_1(n) - xg_4(n).$$

Since

$$LT(S(g_1(n), g_4(n))) = LT(x^{n-1} + x^{n-2}y - x^{n-2} + \ldots) = x^{n-1}$$

we can reduce this polynomial by $g_1(n)$. The reduction leads to the polynomial,

$$S(g_1(n), g_4(n)) - g_1(n) = yg_1(n) - xg_4(n) - g_1(n)$$

which has the leading term

$$LT(S(g_1(n), g_1(n)) - g_1(n)) = LT(-x^{n-2}y^2 + x^{n-2}y - \ldots) = -x^{n-2}y^2$$

and which, in light of Lemma 5, can be reduced by $g_4(n)$. This reduction leads to the polynomial,

$$S(g_1(n), g_4(n)) - g_1(n) + yg_4(n) = (y - 1)g_1(n) + (y - x)g_4(n).$$

By using the last equality in Proposition 6 we finally get a strong representation of $S(g_1(n), g_4(n))$ by the set $GBI_n$,

$$S(g_1(n), g_4(n)) = g_1(n) - yg_4(n) + (x - 1)g_2(n). \quad (8)$$

In a similar manner we show reducibility of polynomials $S(g_1(n), g_3(n))$ and $S(g_3(n), g_4(n))$.

By Lemma 5 $S(g_1(n), g_3(n)) = ng_1(n) - xg_3(n)$ has the leading term $-nx^{n-2}y$. Consequently it can be reduced by the polynomial $g_4(n)$ and we focus our attention to the polynomial,

$$ng_1(n) - xg_3(n) + ng_4(n).$$

This polynomial is reducible to zero since, in light of the second equality in Proposition 6, it is equal to $-ng_3(n)$. In particular it has the strong representation in terms of the basis $GBI_n$,

$$S(g_1(n), g_3(n)) = -ng_4(n) - g_3(n).$$

A similar calculation shows that,

$$S(g_3(n), g_4(n)) = g_3(n) + ng_2(n)$$

is a strong representation of $S(g_3(n), g_4(n))$. Together with the case of $S$-polynomial $S(g_2(n), g_4(n))$, which is separately treated in Lemma 9, this concludes the proof of Theorem 8. \qed
Lemma 9. The $S$-polynomial $S(g_2(n), g_4(n))$ can be reduced to 0 by the basis $GBI_n$.

Proof: By Lemma 5, $S(g_2(n), g_4(n)) = x^{n-2}g_2(n) - y^{n-2}g_4(n)$. The terms $x^{n-2}y$ and $-x^{n-2}$ are the leading two terms of the polynomial $g_4(n)$ and they are the only terms in the lexicographically leading column \{x^{n-2}y^i\}_{i \geq 0} (Figure 2). This observation indicates that one should begin with the reduction of the $S$-polynomial $S(g_2(n), x^{n-2}y - x^{n-2}) = x^{n-2}S(b_2(n), y - 1)$. From the identity,

$$b_2(n) - n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (y^j - 1) = (y - 1)B_2(n)$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (9)

where $B_2(n) = b_2(n - 1) + b_2(n - 2) + \ldots + b_2(1)$ we observe that $S(g_2(n), g_4(n))$ can be reduced to the polynomial $x^{n-2}g_2(n) - B_2(n)g_4$ which has the monomial $nx^{n-2}$ as the leading term. This is precisely the leading term of the polynomial $g_3(n) = nT(n-1)$ so we turn our attention to the polynomial,

$$x^{n-2}g_2(n) - B_2(n)g_4(n) - g_3(n)$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (10)

Since by definition $b_3(n) - b_1(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x^{n-k-1}(y^k - 1)$ we observe (in light of (9)) that,

$$B_2(n)[b_3(n) - b_1(n)] = [\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x^{n-k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} y^j][b_2(n) - n] = T(n-1)b_2(n) - nT(n-1).$$

It follows that

$$B_2(n)g_4 + g_3(n) = [T(n-1) - B_2(n)]b_2(n)$$

which implies that the polynomial (10) can be reduced by $g_2(n) = b_2(n)$ with zero remainder. This completes the proof of the lemma. \hfill \Box
6 Evaluation of remainders

Our objective in this section is to calculate the reminder $\overline{T(n)^{GBI_n}}$ of $T(n)$ on division by the Gröbner basis $GBI_n$.

**Lemma 10.** Suppose that

$$p(x) = q(x)(x^n - 1) + r(x)$$

is the equality arising from the division of a polynomial $p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ by $x^n - 1$ where $q(x)$ is the quotient and $r(x)$ the remainder.

If $P(x, y) = \frac{p(x) - p(y)}{x - y}$ and $R(x, y) = \frac{r(x) - r(y)}{x - y}$ then,

$$\overline{P(x, y)^{GBI_n}} = \overline{R(x, y)^{GBI_n}}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (12)

Moreover, if $R(x, y)$ cannot be further reduced by the Gröbner basis $GBI_n$ then the remainder of $P(x, y)$ on division by $GBI_n$ is,

$$\overline{P(x, y)^{GBI_n}} = \overline{R(x, y)^{GBI_n}} = R(x, y) = \frac{r(x) - r(y)}{x - y}. \hspace{1cm} (13)$$

**Proof:** From (11) we deduce the following equality,

$$\frac{p(x) - p(y)}{x - y} = \frac{q(x) - q(y)}{x - y}(x^n - 1) + \frac{q(y)}{x - y}x^n - y^n + \frac{r(x) - r(y)}{x - y}. \hspace{1cm} (14)$$

Both $x^n - 1 = (x - 1)b_1(n)$ and $\frac{x^n - y^n}{x - y} = b_3(n)$ are in the ideal $I_n$ so $\overline{P(x, y)^{GBI_n}} = \overline{R(x, y)^{GBI_n}}$. The second part of the lemma is an immediate consequence. \hfill $\square$

**Lemma 11.** Let $b_3(m) = x^{m-1} + x^{m-2}y + \ldots + y^{m-1}$ and assume by convention that $b_3(0) = 0$. Then,

$$\overline{b_3(m)^{GBI_n}} = b_3(r) \hspace{1cm} (15)$$

where $r = r_m = m - \lfloor m/n \rfloor n$ is the reminder of the division of $m$ by $n$.

**Proof:** Observe that $b_3(m) = P(x, y) = \frac{p(x) - p(y)}{x - y}$ for $p(x) = x^m$. For this choice of $p(x)$ the equation corresponding to (11) is

$$x^m = (x^{m-n} + x^{m-2n} + \ldots + x^r)(x^n - 1) + x^r.$$ 

Since $LT(R(x, y)) = LT(b_3(r)) = x^{r-1}$ is not divisible by any of the leading terms of the Gröbner basis $GBI_n$ listed in (7) we observe that $\overline{b_3(r)^{GBI_n}} = b_3(r)$ and the result follows from the second half of Lemma 10. \hfill $\square$

Since,

$$T(m) = T(m - 1) + b_3(m) \hspace{1cm} (16)$$

Lemma 3 may be used for an inductive evaluation of $\overline{T(m)^{GBI_n}}$. As before $r = r_m = r_m^m = m - \lfloor m/n \rfloor n$. 
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Proposition 12. For each integer \( n \geq 1 \) the sequence of polynomials \( \alpha_m^n = \alpha_m^n(x, y) = T(m)^{\text{GBI}_n} \) is periodic with the period \( n^2 \).

For \( 1 \leq m \leq n^2 - 2 \), \( T(m) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} b_3(k) \) and

\[
T(m)^{\text{GBI}_n} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} b_3(r_k^n) \neq 0. \tag{17}
\]

For \( m \in \{n^2 - 1, n^2\} \),

\[
T(m)^{\text{GBI}_n} = 0. \tag{18}
\]

Proof: To establish the periodicity of the sequence \( \alpha_m^1 = \alpha_m^1(x, y) = T(m)^{\text{GBI}_n} \) it is sufficient to establish the equalities (17) and (18).

Indeed, assume that (17) and (18) are true and that \( \alpha_m \) is periodic with the period \( n^2 \) in the interval \([1, jn^2]\) for some integer \( j \geq 1 \). For each \( d \in \left[ jn^2 + 1, (j + 1)n^2\right] \),

\[
\alpha_d = T(d)^{\text{GBI}_n} = A + B^{\text{GBI}_n}
\]

where \( A = T(jn^2) \) and \( B = \sum_{k=jn^2+1}^{d} b_3(k) \). Since by the inductive hypothesis \( A^{\text{GBI}_n} = 0 \) we observe that

\[
\alpha_d = B^{\text{GBI}_n} = \sum_{k=jn^2+1}^{d} b_3(r_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{d'} b_3(r_k)
\]

where \( d' = d - \lfloor d/n^2 \rfloor n^2 \) which proves that the sequence \( \alpha_m \) repeats the same pattern in the interval \([jn^2 + 1, (j + 1)n^2]\).

Since \( T(m) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} b_3(k) \), in light of the equality (15) it is not surprising that,

\[
\alpha_m = T(m)^{\text{GBI}_n} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} b_3(r_k^n)
\]

The equality (17) claims more than that, it says that the right hand side rhs-(17) of (17) is reduced with respect to the Gröbner basis \( \text{GBI}_n \). Indeed, for \( m \leq n^2 - 2 \) if \( Cx^py^q \) is the leading term of rhs-(17) then either \( p < n - 2 \) or \( C \leq n - 1 \).

A similar analysis shows that \( T(n^2 - 1)^{\text{GBI}_n} = nT(n - 1) = g_4(n) \in I_n \). This together with the fact \( b_3(n^2) \in I_n \) establish the equality (18). \( \square \)

7 Signed tilings by \( n \)-bones

Theorem 13. A triangular region \( T(m) \) in a hexagonal lattice admits a signed tiling by \( n \)-in-line polyominoes (\( n \)-bones) if and only if

\[
m \equiv -1 \mod n^2 \quad \text{or} \quad m \equiv 0 \mod n^2. \tag{19}
\]
Proof: By Proposition 3 it is sufficient to check if at least one of the polynomials,

\[ T(m), \quad x^n y^n T(m), \quad x^{2n} y^{2n} T(m), \quad x^{3n} y^{3n} T(m), \quad \ldots \]

is in the ideal \( I_n \) generated by \( n \)-bones. Since \( x^{kn} y^{kn} - 1 \in I_n \) for each \( k \), the triangular region \( T(m) \) admits a signed tiling by \( n \)-in-line polyominoes if and only if \( T(m) \in I_n \).

By Proposition 12 this happens if and only if the condition (19) is satisfied. This observation completes the proof of the theorem. \( \square \)

8 Tile homology groups and Brion’s theorem

For tile homology groups the reader is referred to [6] and [14]. The following result illustrates how one can read off the tile homology group from the Gröbner basis.

Proposition 14. The tile homology group of a polyomino with prototiles \( P \) and the associated ideal \( I = I_P \subset \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_k] = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}] \) can be computed as the direct limit \( \lim_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^k} D_{\alpha} \), where \( D_{\alpha} = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{x}] / I \) and for \( \alpha \leq \beta \), the connecting map \( D_{\alpha} \times_{x^{\beta-\alpha}} D_{\beta} \) is multiplication by \( x^{\beta-\alpha} \).

It is clear that this direct system can be in principle calculated if a Gröbner basis of the ideal \( I \) is known. In favorable cases, such as the case of the \( n \)-in-line polyomino, all connecting maps are isomorphism (see the proof of Theorem 13). The following proposition is a direct consequence of Lemma 5 and the fact that \( \mathbb{Z}[x, y]/I \) is generated by monomials which are reduced with respect to the Gröbner basis.

Proposition 15. The tile homology group of the \( n \)-in-line polyomino is isomorphic to the group,

\[ \mathbb{Z}^{(n-1)(n-2)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}. \]

The knowledge of a short Gröbner basis provides powerful experimental tool which is particularly well adopted to methods of lattice geometry. Theorem 13 was discovered by experiments which involved Brion’s theorem. Indeed, Brion’s theorem and its relatives provide a short rational form for the integer-point transform which is an ideal input for a division algorithm. The following example from Mathematica 9.0 exhibits the short rational form for the Newton polynomial (integer-point transform) of the triangular region \( T(n) \).

Example 16. \( T[n_] := \text{Together} \left[ \frac{1}{(1-x)(1-y)} + \frac{x^{n+1}}{(x-1)(x-y)} + \frac{y^{n+1}}{(y-1)(y-x)} \right] \)
9 Gröbner discrete volume

Let $Q$ be a convex polytope with vertices in $\mathbb{N}^d$ and let $f_Q$ be its Newton polynomial (integer-point transform). The usual ‘discrete volume’ of $Q$, defined in [2, 3] as the number of integer points inside $Q$, can be evaluated as the remainder of $f_Q$ on division by the ideal

$$I = \langle x_1 - 1, x_2 - 1, \ldots, x_d - 1 \rangle.$$

Let $J \subset \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ be an ideal, say the ideal associated to a set $\mathcal{R}$ of prototiles in $\mathbb{N}^d$. Let $G = G_J$ be the Gröbner basis of $J$ with respect to some term order. It may be tempting to ask (at least for some carefully chosen ideals $J$) what is the geometric and combinatorial significance of the remainder $\overline{f_Q}$ of the integer-point transform $f_Q$ on division by the Gröbner basis $G$.

**Definition 17.** The polynomial valued function $Q \mapsto \overline{f_Q}$ is referred to as Gröbner or $G$-discrete volume of $Q$ with respect to the Gröbner basis $G$.

The Definition [17] may look somewhat artificial at first sight. Note however that the basic geometric idea of a volume of a geometric object $Q$ involves approximation, or rather exhaustion (tiling!) of $Q$ by a set of prototiles $\mathcal{R}$. The fact that the $G$-volume is a polynomial valued (rather than integer valued) function reflects the idea that there may be more than one object in $\mathcal{R}$ used for ‘measurements’ of $Q$.

As in the case of integer-point enumeration in polyhedra, Brion’s theorem is a powerful tool for calculation of the $G$-discrete volume. It may be expected that some aspects of Ehrhart theory can be extended in an interesting way to Gröbner volumes, in particular the results from Section 6 can be interpreted as the evaluation of the $GBI_n$-discrete volume of the triangular region $T(m)$.

Acknowledgements: The symbolic algebra computations in the paper were performed with the aid of Wolfram Mathematica 9.0.
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