Downramp-assisted underdense photocathode electron bunch generation in plasma wakefield accelerators
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It is shown that the requirements for high quality electron bunch generation and trapping from an underdense photocathode in plasma wakefield accelerators can be substantially relaxed through localizing it on a plasma density downramp. This depresses the phase velocity of the accelerating electric field until the generated electrons are in phase, allowing for trapping in shallow trapping potentials. As a consequence the underdense photocathode technique is applicable by a much larger number of accelerator facilities. Furthermore, dark current generation is effectively suppressed.

Plasma wakefield acceleration utilizes the collective electric field arising from transient separation of electrons and ions in plasma \[1-4\], which using the classical wave-breaking limit \[5, 6\] can be approximated as \(E_{\text{wb}}[\text{V/m}] \approx 96 \sqrt{n_e[\text{cm}^{-3}]} \) \[7\]. Thus electron densities of \(n_e \approx 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}\) can yield electric fields of \(E \approx 30 \text{ GV/m}\). The highest electron energies produced in linear accelerators have been achieved using electron beam driven plasma wakefield acceleration (PWFA) at SLAC \[8, 9\]. In addition to the orders of magnitude higher energy gains in plasma accelerators, compared with classical radio frequency driven metallic cavities, the plasma blowout cavities are also an order of magnitude smaller, with longitudinal sizes being approximately equal to the plasma wavelength \(\lambda_p = 2\pi c/\omega_p \approx 100 \mu\text{m}\), which depends on the plasma frequency \(\omega_p = \sqrt{n_e e^2/(m_0 c \epsilon_0)}\), where \(e\) and \(m_0\) are the electron charge and rest mass, respectively, and \(\epsilon_0\) is the vacuum permeability. Electron bunches generated and/or accelerated within such plasma blowouts are also intrinsically ultrashort, which is another advantageous feature of plasma accelerators. However, the obtainable electron beam quality in terms of emittance, brightness, energy spread, and the controllability and tunability of PWFA systems have until recently been considered to be somewhat limited.

A novel approach known as Trojan Horse underdense photocathode PWFA, where an electron beam drives the plasma blowout in a low-ionization threshold (LIT) medium plasma, and independently electrons are released inside this plasma blowout by local ionization of an underdense high ionization threshold (HIT) medium with a strongly focused and synchronized laser pulse \[10,15\], promises to exceed in many ways the electron bunch quality and tunability of even the best traditional photocathode-based accelerator systems. PWFA using electron bunches as plasma wave drivers \[16,17\] is attractive because of the much longer possible acceleration lengths when compared with laser-plasma wakefield acceleration (LWFA) \[18,19\]: the effects of dephasing and transverse drive beam expansion are much smaller because of the high Lorentz factor \(\gamma_d = 1 + W/m_0 c^2\) associated with the electron driver beam of energy \(W\) in PWFA. In contrast, in LWFA the plasma wave phase velocity \(v_p\), which is equal to the laser pulse group velocity \(v_{g,1}\), depends on the plasma frequency \(\omega_p\) and the laser frequency \(\omega_l\) because \(v_p \approx v_{g,1} = c(1 - \omega_p^2/\omega_l^2)^{1/2}\). Thus for typical plasma densities \(\gamma_p = [1 - (v_{ph}/c)^2]^{-1/2} \approx 130\) is much lower than the usual drive bunch \(\gamma_d\) used in PWFA. While the higher \(\gamma\) and the rather elliptic blowout shapes in PWFA result in reduction of unwanted self injection and dark current compared with LWFA and its more spherical bubble shapes \[20\], on the other hand the desired high-quality witness bunch generation and trapping is more difficult in the first place. One has to produce multi-kA drive beam currents required to excite an electrostatic wake potential \(\Psi\) that exceeds the trapping threshold \[21\] – which is challenging even if electrons can be released in the center of the plasma wave at the minimum trapping potential via the underdense photocathode mechanism. Therefore, to date, only one facility has the prerequisites to experimentally demonstrate underdense photocathodes – FACET at SLAC with its driver beam current \(I_d > 10 \text{ kA}\), approaching the Alfvén current \(I_A \approx 17 \text{ kA}\). To make the scheme widely acces-
sible, it is either necessary to increase the peak currents of other state-of-the-art electron accelerators, e.g. by advanced bunch generation and compression schemes, or by searching for alternative techniques to facilitate trapping.

In this Letter, it is shown that the requirements of the driving electron beam are substantially reduced by using an undensere photocathode situated on a plasma density downramp (Downramp Trojan Horse, DTH), which makes the generation of tunable electron witness bunches with ultrahigh brightness $B \approx 10^{19}$ Am$^{-2}$ rad$^{-2}$ accessible to a wider range of accelerators, including beams from LWFA. Density downramps are well-known in LWFA [22,25], and have early been proposed for PWFA [29]. Here, we explore a transitional regime, where in the first plasma wave bucket neither density downramp self-injection occurs (which would produce unwanted dark current) nor photocathode-released electrons would be trapped in absence of the downramp - but laser-released electrons are trapped in presence of a downramp.

As a concrete example, we consider a $Q = 150$ pC, $W = 250$ MeV (i.e. $\gamma_d \approx 500$) electron driver bunch with a peak current of $I_d \approx 3$ kA, Gaussian shape with length of $\sigma_z = 6$ $\mu$m rms and transverse size of $\sigma_r = 7$ $\mu$m rms, and an energy spread of $\Delta W/W = 0.02$. Electron bunches with these characteristics are available from a range of accelerators, including laser-plasma accelerators [27,28]. 3D particle-in-cell (PIC) simulations using VSim/VORPAL [29] show that such a bunch effectively drives a blowout cavity in preionized hydrogen (the LIT component) of electron density $n_H = 1.5 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$, corresponding to a plasma wavelength of $\lambda_p \approx 86$ $\mu$m. The HIT component is helium, which has the same density. In contrast to related laser-driven schemes [30–32], when electron beams are used as drivers, they offer the significant advantage that the plasma blowout cavity is produced by the repulsive force of the unpolar electric field of the electron beam instead of the gradient of the laser intensity $I \propto E^2$. Although only producing a peak electric self field of $E_{\text{max}}(r) \approx Q\{1 - \exp[-r^2/(2\sigma_r^2)]\}/(2\pi^{3/2}\epsilon_0\sigma_zr) \approx 16.5$ GV/m (confirmed by the PIC simulations), the drive beam does excite the H-plasma blowout, but He remains neutral because the fields are well below the tunnel ionization threshold. The synchronized undensere photocathode laser pulse is set to a normalized amplitude of the laser vector potential $a_0 = 0.015 \ll 1$, corresponding to a peak electric field of $E_0 \approx 60.2$ GV/m, which is high enough to ionize He at the focus, but without imparting significant residual transverse momentum to the He electrons which would alter their trajectories and spoil their emittance. The laser pulse of rms duration $\tau = 40$ fs strongly focuses to a beam waist of $w_0 = 4$ $\mu$m as it co-propagates with the electron beam driver, which reduces the phase space volume of the generated bunch.

The laser pulse is implemented as a TEM$_{00}$ Gaussian beam and defined in envelope approximation, i.e. $E_z = E_0[w_0/w(z)] \exp\{-[r/w(z)]^2\} \exp\{-[z/z_0]^2/2\}$, where $w(z) = w_0[1 + (z/z_0)^2]^{1/2}$ is the beam waist at longitudinal position $z$ and $z_0 = \pi w_0^2/\lambda$ is the Rayleigh length. The laser pulse releases electrons at $\xi = z - ct = -38$ $\mu$m at the center of the electron beam, which is around the minimum of the wake potential, i.e. at the zero-crossing where the longitudinal plasma wakefields are minimal. Such a laser pulse electric field superimposed on the blowout electric plasma field (taken from the simulation) is estimated to yield a total helium electron charge of $Q \approx 25.3$ pC, by numerically integrating over the ADK tunnelling ionization rates as described in [33]. In this $k_p\sigma_z < \sqrt{2}$ scenario, the dimensionless beam charge $\bar{Q} = N_bk_p^3/n_e \approx 1.84$, relating the total number of bunch electrons $N_b$ to the plasma electron number inside a spherical volume with radius of the skin depth $k_p^{-1}$, indicates that the blowout is not strongly nonlinear [34], which corresponds to a moderately intense electron bunch driver. Trapping of laser-released electrons in the blowout region is not possible in this case, which is confirmed by PIC-simulations that are discussed later.

A density downramp in the plasma density $n_e$ increases the plasma wavelength $\lambda_p \propto 1/\sqrt{n_e}$ and therefore the blowout length accordingly. The density transition has to be chosen sufficiently sharp to enable trapping of electrons released in the blowout center by the undensere photocathode laser, while avoiding traditional downramp injection at the end of the blowout cavity. According to [26], the latter type of (here unwanted) trapping is suppressed if the downramp length $L$ is longer than the plasma skin depth $k_p^{-1} = c/\omega_p$. As the blowout region expands longitudinally, its phase velocity retards to $v_{ph} = c\left(1 + 1/2n_e(z)\frac{\partial n_e(z)}{\partial z}\right)^{-1}$ at position $\xi = z - ct$ relative to the center of the driving electron beam in the co-moving frame, where $n_e(z)$ is the electron density at the longitudinal position $z$ in the laboratory frame. Because $v_{ph}$ is decreased on the downramp, electrons released inside the blowout can catch up with the driver beam’s velocity more easily, which facilitates trapping.

Figure 1 illustrates the scenario by plotting the longitudinal profile of the relevant parameters: electron density $n_e$ (green line), the corresponding $k_p^{-1}$ (black), and $v_{ph}$ (blue) at $\xi = -38$ $\mu$m behind the bunch driver center. The laser transverse width $w(z)$ is also shown (using the $k_p^{-1}$ axis scale, dashed line), with its focal plane located at $z = 300$ $\mu$m, where also the downramp starts. This way the trapping facilitation effect is maximized for the majority of the released electrons. The plasma density ramp length $L = 50$ $\mu$m fulfills the condition $L > k_p^{-1}$ everywhere, to avoid density transition injection [26], while the initial density $n_i = 1.5 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ decreases linearly to the final density $n_f = 0.4 n_i \approx 0.6 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$. Experimental realization of such a downramp is possible taking into account that similarly sharp downramps have been experimentally demonstrated [24,36]. At the
co-moving laser pulse position \( \xi = -38 \text{ } \mu \text{m} \) behind the driver electrons, i.e. the birth place of He electrons, as \( k_p^{-1} \) increases from \( k_p^{-1} \approx 14 \mu \text{m} \) to \( k_p^{-1} \approx 22 \mu \text{m} \), the phase velocity \( v_{ph} \), decreases to a minimum phase velocity of \( 0.79c \) at the end of the ramp, then bounces back to \( \beta = 1 \) when the flat top \( n_t \) is reached. The additional energy spread saturates at \( \Delta W/W \approx 0.61 \) for \( n_e = n_t \) and \( \Phi \approx -0.70 \) for \( n_e = n_t \). Therefore, no trapping is expected without a downramp, which is confirmed by separate simulations with flat plasma density profiles (see figure 3 a). In figure 2 c), the longitudinal electric field corresponding to the potential \( \Phi \) is represented by a color plot and an on-axis line-out. The field maximum is \( E_z \approx 12 \text{ } \text{GV/m} \). Figure 2 d) shows the underdense photocathode in action: As the laser pulse reaches its focus at \( z = 300 \text{ } \mu \text{m} \) it releases He electrons, which begin to gain energy. To visualize the laser pulse, which is polarized in the figure plane, the sum of the longitudinal \( E_z \) and transverse \( E_x \) fields is shown.

Experimentally, positioning the laser pulse focus at the start of the plasma density downramp is straightforward, as \( \mu \text{m}-\text{level} \) spatial adjustment is routinely achievable in state-of-the-art experiments. After the downramp and the reduction of H-plasma density, the plasma wavelength is \( \lambda_p \approx 136 \mu \text{m} \), and figure 2 e) and f) show the trapping potential \( \Phi \) and the longitudinal \( E_z \)-field, respectively, after \( z \approx 5 \text{ } \text{mm} \) of acceleration at this density level. The drive beam shows an increase in the normalized emittance from \( \epsilon_{n, rms} \approx 2.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ } \text{m} \) rad to \( \epsilon_{n, rms} \approx 9.1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ } \text{m} \) rad and a strong signature of underdense plasma lensing and betatron oscillations with the betatron function defined as \( \beta = \gamma \sigma_z^2/\epsilon_n \). While the longitudinal drive bunch current is unaffected (compare lineouts in 2b and c), these effects have a significant impact on the local drive bunch density \( n_b \) and consequently also on \( Q \). The increase of \( Q \) is an explanation for the slightly deeper trapping potential \( \Phi \approx -0.70 \) in figure 2 c) when compared to figure 2 b), despite the downramp which leads to a further decreased wave number-driven bunch length product away from its optimal value of \( k_p \sigma_z \approx \sqrt{2} \). This advantageous effect is confirmed.
by separate simulation runs where the drive beam energy is set to a very high value to preclude plasma lensing and to increase the betatron length. In this case $\dot{Q}$ simply decreases from $\dot{Q}(n_i) \approx 1.84$ before the ramp to $\dot{Q}(n_i) \approx 1.50$ after the ramp, and both the worse $k_p\sigma_z$ and the decreased $\dot{Q}$ lead to reduced $\Phi \approx -0.59$ and reduced electric longitudinal field $E_z \approx -7 \text{ GV/m}$, compared to $\Phi \approx -0.70$ and $E_z \approx -12.2 \text{ GV/m}$ in case of the plasma lensing and scalloping, as shown in snapshots 2(a) and f), respectively.

This analysis demonstrates vividly the effect of stalling the plasma wave phase velocity $v_{ph}$ and the possibility of inducing trapping even in unoptimized underdense photocathode cases. At the same time, in the blowout cavity behind the driver bunch no LIT electrons are injected by downramp injection, and shallow trapping potentials suppress LIT electron trapping outside of the density downramp region. Both effects combined allow for a clean underdense photocathode process and ultrahigh witness beam quality, unspoiled by dark current.

$\dot{Q} =$ $1.5 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$, red plot) and low ($n_i = 0.6 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$, orange plot) densities, corresponding to the densities before and after the ramp. A total He electron charge of $N_{b,\text{tot}}e \approx 20 - 25$ pC is generated, which is in very good agreement with a numero-analytical estimate of the charge yield based on Gaussian laser beam propagation and tunnel ionization. However, none of these electrons are trapped, but quickly slip out of the blowout and the co-propagating simulation box and are lost. In the DTH case (black plot), in contrast, most of the released charge is sufficiently accelerated due to the downramp, and while even in this case substantial charge is subsequently lost, eventually $Q \approx 15.5$ pC are fully trapped. Figure 3(b) shows the evolution of the witness bunch normalized emittance $\epsilon_n$ (left y-axis, dashed line) and the corresponding brightness $B$ (right y-axis, solid line). All electrons are counted, including those that are ultimately not trapped, which, in addition to mixing processes [13] [19], is responsible for the characteristic shape of the plots. The relatively sudden decrease of brightness and increase of emittance, respectively, at $z \approx 4.5$ mm result from the last untrapped electrons leaving the simulation box as the simulation progresses. The final values are $\epsilon_{n,\text{rms}} \approx 2 \times 10^{-8}$ m rad and $B \approx 9 \times 10^{18}$ Am$^{-2}$ rad$^{-2}$, which is comparable to results from straightforward underdense photocathode simulations [13]. The final witness bunch length is somewhat increased compared to normal Trojan Horse because of plasma blowout lengthening, in this case to $\sigma_z \approx 9 \mu$m, leading to a peak witness current of $I_w \approx 1 \text{kA}$. This bunch stretching in DTH and the effective suppression of dark current are advantages for FEL applications in the context of the radiation slippage, cooperation length and slice energy spread.

In summary, the analysis of the DTH scheme shows that the implementation of experimentally viable downramps, such as used in LWFA experiments, can dramatically increase the number of accelerator systems that qualify for implementing underdense photocathodes, for example aiming at driver-witness type emittance/brightness/luminosity transformer systems. Here, a complementary approach when compared to increasing the driver bunch current is used, namely shaping the plasma properties to stall the phase velocity to facilitate trapping. It is shown that trapping is possible already with driver currents of $I_d \approx 3 \text{kA}$, thus reducing the otherwise required peak driver current by a factor of more than 2. This explicitly also makes this scheme accessible to double-hybrid schemes where the electron drive beam is generated via LWFA, as proposed for extending the energy gain in [38]. Recent experiments indicate that LWFA accelerated electron bunches are able to drive a PWFA blowout [39]. Such all-optical systems offer advantages of compactness, and an inherent optimal synchronization between the underdense photocathode laser and the electron bunch driver, and further for seeding in the context of FEL, for other light sources and for pump-probe type investigations in general.
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Figure 3(a) shows the evolution of the witness bunch and the corresponding brightness $B$ of the DTH-generated witness bunch.
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