Connections between hadronic masses in the one hand and between fundamental particle masses in the other hand
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The oscillation symmetry is used to study the connections between masses and widths of a selection of the following states studied separately: mesons, baryons, nuclei, and hypernuclei.

It is also applied to study the connection between leptonic, quark and boson masses and widths. With the exception of M≈0 mass particles, all the fundamental particle masses are fitted by a single distribution inside the oscillation symmetry.

1. Introduction

The oscillation symmetry, was extended recently, from the classical world to the quantum one. Indeed here the masses are solution of the Schrödinger eq., containing kinetic and potential interactions, as are the oscillations of pendulums or springs. This was observed in particle and nuclei level masses [1]. Then such oscillation property was also observed in different other data, suggesting that this property is rather general in nature. The oscillation property is observed, provided that the studied objects are submitted to opposite interactions and whatever the nature of the interactions involved. Opposite interactions act always when bodies result from smaller bodies combinations. Otherwise these bodies will either disintegrate or compress themselves up to loose their initial state as do plasmas for example. Such situation exists often in nature: for example nuclei made with nucleons, or nucleons with quarks.

In different situations, opposite interactions act on bodies which are not the object of smaller body combinations. So it was shown that such symmetry applies in many astrophysical properties, since the corresponding bodies are submitted to opposite gravitational forces and centrifugal forces related to their kinetic energies [2] [3].

The present paper is limited to some nuclei and hadronic and fundamental particle masses. We start to classify the studied masses in increasing order. The possible oscillations in the mass spectra are studied using the following relation:

\[ m_{(n+1)} - m_n = f[(m_{(n+1)} + m_n)/2] \] (1)

where \( m_{(n+1)} \) corresponds to the \( (n+1) \) mass value. Two successive mass differences are therefore plotted versus their corresponding mean values. Such values will be named "mass data" in the continuation of this work.

A normalised cosine function is used for the fits of the data:

\[ \Delta M = \alpha (1 + \cos((M - M_0)/M_1)) \ast \exp(\beta \cdot M) \] (2)

where \( M/M_1 \) is defined within \( 2\pi \). The oscillation periods are \( P = 2 \pi M_1 \).

Although there is no clear justification to observe oscillations on the widths of particles, and of nuclear levels, it was already shown that they are also observed. The oscillations will be therefore looked for in figs. showing widths versus masses.

\( M_0 \) is arbitrary and taken to 0. The fits are obtained using relation (2) using most of the time three (in a few cases two) parameters reported in Table 1. In case of large masses \( M \), a mass \( M_C \) is sometimes introduced in order to replace \( \beta M \) by \( \beta(M-M_C) \) and avoid a very small \( \beta \) value. These \( M_C \) are arbitrary and are not therefore considered as a fitted parameter. They are however given in Table 1 since they are bound to the corresponding \( \beta \).
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2. Mesonic masses

Some data and fits have been shown in [1] and will not be reproduced here. They correspond to masses and widths of unflavoured mesons and strange mesons. The results corresponding to different data [4] are studied here.

Fig. 1 shows the mass data for $\omega$ and $\rho$ mesons in inserts (a) and (b) [4]. The corresponding widths data are shown in inserts (c) and (d). New observed $\omega$ states X(2040) and X(2240) [5] are introduced in Fig 1. A good agreement is observed in all data, except for the width of the lowest $\omega$ mass.

Fig. 2 shows in insert (a) the difference between successive $D^\pm$ masses [4] [6] versus their corresponding mean values. Insert (b) shows the $D^\pm$ widths versus their masses. Inserts (c) shows the mass data for $D_{s}^{\pm}$ [4] [7]. Insert (d) shows the mass data for $B$ and $B_s$ mesons. (See text).

Fig. 3 shows the data and fits for $0^{-}(1^{--})$ charmonium and bottomonium mass and width data. In inserts (a) and (b) the differences between successive masses are plotted versus their corresponding mean values. In inserts (c) and (d) the full (electromagnetic $\Gamma_{ee}$) widths are plotted versus the corresponding masses. All these data are well fitted, including the very small widths for levels which masses are lower...
than their strong disintegration thresholds. These thresholds are $M_{th} = 3740$ MeV for $c\bar{c}$ mesons and $M_{th} = 10560$ MeV for $b\bar{b}$ mesons. We observe the same period for $c\bar{c}$ and $b\bar{b}$ width distributions (see Table 1) and close periods for their mass data.

### 3. Baryonic masses

The data and fits corresponding to $\Delta$ baryons were shown in [1]. Here data corresponding to different baryons are studied.

Fig. 4 shows the data and fits for $\Sigma$ baryons [4]. The results for mass data with spins $1/2$ and $3/2$, are shown in inserts (a) J=1/2 and (b) J=3/2. The widths are shown in inserts (c) J=1/2 and (d) J=3/2. The periods for the two spin data are (nearly) the same, but larger for the width than for the mass, unlike they are for the meson data shown in fig. 3.

Fig. 5 shows the data and fits for $\Xi$ baryons in inserts (a) and (c) (red full circles data) and $\Xi_C$ baryons in inserts (b) and (d) (blue full squares data). Inserts (a) and (b) study masses, when inserts (c) and (d) study widths.

Masses (widths) are shown in insert (a) ((b)). These $\Lambda_C$ and $\Sigma_C$ data are well fitted by the same distributions.

### 3. Nuclei masses

The nuclei level widths are reported with different units: $\tau_m$, $T_{1/2}$, or $\Gamma$. They are all converted in kev (mev). They are sometimes unknown. The values of the parameters describing the fits are reported in Table A2.

Fig. 7 shows the data and fits for $^{14}$N [8]. The mass data for J=1 (J=2) levels are plotted in insert (a) ((c)) with full red circles. The widths
data for J=1 (J=2) levels are plotted in insert (b) ((d)) with full blue squares. The ratios of mass periods versus width periods are rather close for both spin data, namely close to 2.6. The mass data decrease for larger level masses, when the width data increase.

Fig. 8 shows the total widths of $^{15}$O excited state levels versus the corresponding masses [8]. Inserts (a), (b), and (c) show respectively the data for the spins J=1/2, 3/2, and 5/2. The threshold to proton decays is close to 7.5 MeV, the decay widths for lower excited levels are very low and therefore omitted from this fig. Indeed the fundamental state J=1/2 is quasi stable, the width of the next J=1/2 level at 5.183 MeV is very small and equals 9.1 meV. The oscillating periods decrease with increasing spin data.

A nice agreement is observed between data and fits.

Fig. 9 shows the mass data [9] for the excited states of J=2 levels of $^{26}$Mg, J=5/2 levels of $^{27}$Al, J=2 levels of $^{28}$Si, and J=7/2 levels of $^{29}$Si nuclei respectively in inserts (a), (b), (c), and (d).

Fig. 10 shows the total widths of $^{208}$Pb excited state levels versus the corresponding masses [10]. Inserts (a), (b), (c), and (d) show respectively the data for the spins J=3, 4, 5, and 6. The width periods for odd nuclei are somewhat larger than the width periods for even nuclei. A nice agreement is observed between data and fits, mainly in inserts (a), (c), and (d).

Fig. 11(a) shows the variation of the hadronic family periods. Full marks show the periods ob-
tained in the present paper, empty marks correspond to results of previous studies. Red circles show the meson results, blue squares show the baryon results. They are both fitted by relation (2) and the same two parameters, namely P=458.7 MeV, and α=220 MeV.

Fig. 11(b) shows the nuclei periods of oscillation versus their atomic number A. Here again full (empty) circles show the present (previous) results. The dispersion of previous period values for the same A, corresponds to different spin data studies [1]. The fit is obtained with P=4.71 A, α=4.1 MeV and β=-0.032 A⁻¹.

3. Hypernuclei masses

Fig. 12 shows data and fits of eight hypernuclei in inserts (a) $^7\Lambda Li$ [11], (b) $^{10}\Lambda B$ [11] in red data and $^{11}\Lambda B$ [11] in blue data, (c) $^9\Lambda Be$ [11], (d) $^{10}\Lambda C$ [11], (e) $^{16}\Lambda O$ [11], (f) $^{12}\Lambda C$ [12], (g) $^{51}\Lambda V$ [12], and $^{89}\Lambda Y$ [12]. The data are very well fitted, except the first one in insert (h).

The variation of the corresponding periods is shown in fig. 13.

4. Fundamental particle masses

The masses are read in [4]. Four lepton (e, μ, τ, ν) masses (drawn in the following figures in full blue squares) are used. All neutrinos masses are considered to be null. Six quark (u, d, s, c, b, and t) masses (in full red circles) are used from $m_u=2.5$ MeV up to $m_t=173.5$ GeV. Several boson masses (in full green triangles) are used. They are three gauge boson masses ($\gamma$, gluon, and graviton masses which are supposed to be null), W and Z. The new boson at $m\approx 17.5$ MeV which existence was recently strengthened [14] and theoretically predicted some years ago [15], is also considered, as well as the Higgs boson mass at $m_H=125.1$ GeV.

The data obtained using the three fundamental particle masses and relation (1) applied separately to each particle family, are reported in fig. 14. The large mass variation between 0 up to 150 GeV require to divide the fig. in different inserts corresponding to different ranges.
FIG. 12. Color on line. Hypernuclei mass data versus their corresponding masses. Inserts (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), and (h) show data and fits for $^7\Lambda Li$, $^{10}\Lambda B$ (red data) and $^{11}\Lambda B$ (blue data), $^9\Lambda Be$, $^{13}\Lambda C$, $^{16}\Lambda O$, $^{12}\Lambda C$, $^{51}\Lambda V$, and $^{89}\Lambda Y$. (See text).

FIG. 13. Color on line. Periods of hypernuclei mass oscillations versus their atomic mass $A$. (See text).

and different mass scales. Insert (a) shows in linear scale the data up to 1000 MeV. Insert (b) shows the same data and fit as insert (a), except that the data are shown in log scale in both dimensions and up to 3200 MeV. It allows to better visualize the good agreement between low mass data and fit. The data in insert (c) show the large mass data. The drawn period in insert (c) is much larger (by a factor 103). The same period as in inserts (a) and (b) will give rise to the same agreement with data, as shown in insert (c), but with 103 more oscillations. We observe that all data are well fitted by a single distribution.

Fig. 15 shows the fundamental particle widths plotted versus the corresponding masses. Few widths of these particles, are known. The lepton widths as well as the quark widths are taken to be close to zero. The total width for $W$ and $Z$ bosons are known; the width for the 17 boson mass is very small $\Gamma = 3.9*10^{-5}$ eV [16]. The width for the "t" quark is known. The widths close to zero are drawn arbitrarily to 1.5 keV in order to not increase the range of the figure, already large by more than nine orders of magnitude.

Fig. 15 is divided into two parts separated by a vertical line at $M = 60000$ MeV. Table A2 shows successively the parameter values for the left then the right part of fig. 15. The period in the right part is increased by a factor 6 from the period of the left part. If keeping for the right part the period of the left part, the fit will be as good as the one shown, but we will obtain 6 times more oscillations.

So the data obtained using the relation (1), applied to fundamental particle masses, display an unexpected behaviour. In order to see if such behaviour is only the consequence of the particular relation (1), fig.16 shows the variation of the mass ratios $m(n+1)/m(n)$ between successive masses versus again their corresponding mean value $[m(n+1) + m(n)] / 2$. As in figs. 14 and 15, red full circles correspond to quark data, blue full squares to lepton data and green full triangles to boson data. The same masses as used before are considered except the masses equal to zero. In this fig. the log-log scale involves to study the fit to data using a larger period after $M=10000$ MeV. The first period is equal to $P_1=3141.59$ MeV (1000$^*\pi$), the second period is larger by a factor 10 ($P_2=31415.9$ MeV). Keeping the first period to large mass data will give rise to 10 times more
oscillations and the same agreement with data. Seven data (over ten) are well described by a single fit. The agreement between data and fit is therefore not as good as with the relation (1).

**Conclusion**

The paper shows that mass data obtained using relation (1), as well as widths, exhibit regular oscillations. This property is applied for different families of mesons, baryons, nuclei and hypernuclei excited levels. These data are fitted with equation (2), most of the time using three parameters, and in a few cases two or four parameters. This oscillation symmetry can be used to tentatively predict new particle masses or other properties, if the unknown value is located somewhere inside the range of known data.

The oscillation symmetry is also well applied

---

**TABLE A1.** Parameters describing the fits of figs.1-5 showing the oscillation symmetry applied to several mesonic and baryonic masses. See text.

| Fig. | $\alpha$  | $\beta$ (MeV$^{-1}$) | $M_C$(MeV) | $P$ (MeV) |
|------|----------|----------------------|-------------|-----------|
| 1(a) | 2100 MeV | -0.00138             | 0           | 351.9     |
| 1(b) | 1900 MeV | -0.00138             | 0           | 377       |
| 1(c) | 1000 MeV | -0.0006              | 0           | 697.4     |
| 1(d) | 250 MeV  | 0                    | 0           | 552.9     |
| 2(a) | 625 MeV  | -0.00051             | 0           | 157.7     |
| 2(b) | 110 MeV  | 0                    | 0           | 213.6     |
| 2(c) | 200 MeV  | 0                    | 0           | 157.1     |
| 2(d) | 210 MeV  | 0                    | 0           | 150.8     |
| 3(a) | 380 MeV  | -0.0006              | 3000        | 414.7     |
| 3(b) | 615 MeV  | -0.0007              | 9200        | 402.1     |
| 3(c) | 50 MeV   | 0                    | 0           | 307.9     |
| 3(d) | 40 MeV   | 0                    | 0           | 307.9     |
| 4(a) | 250 MeV  | 0                    | 0           | 157.1     |
| 4(b) | 350 MeV  | -0.0018              | 1300        | 157.1     |
| 4(c) | 300 MeV  | -0.0009              | 1300        | 201.1     |
| 4(d) | 100 MeV  | 0.0011               | 1300        | 210.5     |
| 5(a) | 220 MeV  | -0.00048             | 0           | 377.0     |
| 5(b) | 1600 MeV | -0.00112             | 0           | 150.8     |
| 5(c) | 0.5 MeV  | 0.00201              | 0           | 314.2     |
| 5(d) | 0.095 MeV| 0.0087               | 2300        | 169.6     |
| 6(a) | 900 MeV  | -0.00061             | 0           | 329.9     |
| 6(b) | 8 MeV    | 0                    | 0           | 201.1     |
TABLE A2. Parameters describing the fits of figs. 7-16. See text.

| Fig. | $\alpha$ (MeV) | $\beta$ (MeV$^{-1}$) | $M_C$(MeV) | P (MeV) |
|------|----------------|----------------------|-------------|---------|
| 7(a) | 5.5 MeV        | -0.2                 | 0           | 4.96    |
| 7(b) | 4 $10^{-8}$ keV| 2.1                  | 0           | 3.17    |
| 7(c) | 11 MeV         | -0.355               | 0           | 1.79    |
| 7(d) | 1 $10^{-8}$ keV| 4.06                 | 4.5         | 1.29    |
| 8(a) | 0.01 keV       | 1.135                | 0           | 1.82    |
| 8(b) | 0.05 keV       | 0.73                 | 0           | 1.04    |
| 8(c) | 0.0044 keV     | 0.85                 | 0           | 0.69    |
| 9(a) | 0.8 MeV        | 0                    | 0           | 1.31    |
| 9(b) | 3.6 MeV        | -0.38                | 0           | 1.51    |
| 9(c) | 137 MeV        | -0.61                | 0           | 1.76    |
| 9(d) | 1.6 MeV        | -0.196               | 0           | 1.43    |
| 10(a) | 2.3 $10^{-5}$ meV | 3.31                  | 0           | 0.69    |
| 10(b) | 2.04 meV       | -0.085               | 0           | 0.60    |
| 10(c) | 1 $10^{-5}$ meV | 3.18                 | 0           | 0.66    |
| 10(d) | 0.3 meV        | 0.5                  | 0           | 0.503   |
| 11(a) | 220 MeV        | 0                    | 0           | 458.7   |
| 11(b) | 4.1 MeV        | -0.032 A$^{-1}$      | 0           | 4.71 A  |
| 12(a) | 0.86 MeV       | 0.06                 | 0           | 2.01    |
| 12(b) | 2.0 MeV        | 0                    | 0           | 4.02    |
| 12(c) | 2.8 MeV        | 0                    | 0           | 4.02    |
| 12(d) | 4.3 MeV        | 0                    | 0           | 3.77    |
| 12(e) | 5.0 MeV        | 0                    | 0           | 3.71    |
| 12(f) | 2.0 MeV        | 0                    | 0           | 4.08    |
| 12(g) | 2.7 MeV        | 0                    | 0           | 2.83    |
| 12(h) | 0.8 MeV        | 0.15                 | 0           | 3.96    |
| 13   | 2.1 MeV        | 0                    | 0           | 18.4    |
| 14(a) | 95 MeV         | 0.0038               | 0           | 301.6   |
| 14(b) | 95 MeV         | 0.0038               | 0           | 301.6   |
| 14(c) | 95 MeV         | 0.0038               | 0           | 301.6   |
| 14(d) | 95 MeV         | 0                    | 0           | 31064   |
| 15   | 0.008          | 0.000105             | 0           | 18850   |
| 15   | 0.05           | 0.000105             | 0           | 113100  |
| 16   | 10             | 0.00013              | 0           | 3141.59 |

to fundamental particle masses. This work shows that they are connected through the symmetry of oscillation. This continuity is observed for data obtained with help of relation (1). So, using the simple oscillation symmetry formula, the same distribution describes the boson mass data, the lepton mass data and the quark mass data and therefore provides a connection between all them. Thus, contrary to the generally accepted view that the masses of fundamental particles have no relation between them, that property allows to observe that the fundamental particle masses are related through the oscillation symmetry.

This property allows us to question the possibility that these fundamental particles are themselves formed of smaller components. However "there is never been any evidence that they are made up of anything at all".
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