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moist environment on the static and fatigue behaviors of rubber-toughened epoxy adhesive. Results indicated that both the static and fatigue resistances of adhesively bonded joints are considerably affected by the moisture absorption.

Nano filler reinforcement has been recognized as one of techniques for the prevention of moisture ingress in polymer composites. Adding nanoparticles to the polymeric materials can reduce the susceptibility of the composite to water or moisture attack. Velmurugan and Manikandan showed that the addition of glass fiber with palmyra fiber into the polymeric matrix reduces the moisture absorption. Many researchers have investigated the influence of harsh environments on the mechanical properties of PNCs. Ishai reported the effect of hot and cold water on the longitudinal tensile strength of glass fiber-reinforced epoxy composites. The study showed 29% and 3% loss in the tensile strength after weathering in hot and cold environments, respectively. Todo et al. investigated the effects of moisture absorption on the interlaminar fracture toughness of carbon/epoxy composites. Results indicated that the dynamic interlaminar fracture toughness reduces by 22.7% in the environmental conditions with temperature and relative humidity of 80°C and 90%, respectively. The moisture absorption of an epoxy reinforced with carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and carbon nanoﬁbers (CNFs) with weight percentages (wt%) of 0.25 and 0.5 was analyzed by Gude et al. They observed that adding the CNTs and CNFs decreases the moisture uptake by 9.29% and 10.4%, respectively, in a 95% relative humidity environment at 55°C. They also reported that the resin diffusion coefficient is not affected by CNFs, whereas adding CNTs reduces the diffusion coefficient by 33%. Khoramishad and Alizadeh studied the effects of multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) and silicon carbides (SiCs) on the degradation of mechanical properties of the epoxy polymer immersed in the distilled water. The results showed that the epoxy strength degradation dropped by 32% and 16% by adding MWCNTs and SiCs into the epoxy, respectively, at the saturation point. Meanwhile, the adhesive stiffness degradation of the epoxy reinforced with MWCNTs and SiCs were 27% and 19%, respectively.

According to the literature, researchers have conducted extensive research on polymer nanocomposites. However, there are few studies on polyester resin-based nanocomposites. Polyester resins are widely being used in marine applications because of their ease of handling, low price, and providing an acceptable balance of mechanical, electrical, and chemical properties. A concern with using polyester-based composites in marine applications is degradation of the polymer matrix and fiber/matrix interphase by a hydrolysis reaction of unsaturated groups within the polyester resin, which may reduce the mechanical properties.

In this research, the effects of adding BYK Cloisite 20A nanoclay were investigated on the water absorption and flexural strength of glass/polyester composites. After fabricating pure composites and nano-reinforced composites samples, the laminates were immersed in distilled water and the water absorption was measured for each period of time. The mechanical behavior of the samples was also measured using flexural testing.

**Experimental details**

**Composite materials**

The polymer used in this study was an orthophthalic polyester resin (Synolite 1035-T-1) supplied by Dulux Australia with a density of 1.10 g/cm³. The glass/polyester laminates were made using two types of glass fibers (emulsion chopped strand mat and woven glass fiber provided by Colan Products PTY Ltd) with areal masses of 225 and 120 g/m², respectively. NanoClay Cloisite 20A purchased from BYK USA Co. was used as reinforcement to investigate the amount of water absorption and consequently the degradation of flexural strength of glass/polyester resin reinforced with Cloisite 20A clay nanoparticles. The density of the clay plate nanoparticles was 1.80 g/cm³.

**Sample manufacturing**

E-glass composites were prepared using the hand lay-up technique and, in order to obtain smooth surfaces on the top and bottom of the composite sheets, two glass plates were utilized as a mold for the preparation of composites. Also, wax and film separators with the brand names of Sika pasty wax 818 and RENLEASE QZ-5101, respectively, were used, which cause the separation process from the glass plates to be performed easily. The designed lay-up for preparation of the desired composite consists of nine layers with a stacking sequence of C/C/W/C/W/C/W/C/C (C: chopped strand mat E-glass fiber and W: woven E-glass fiber). After adding an accelerator and catalyst to the polyester resin according to their standard ratio, the mixture was added to E-glass lay-ups step by step by suitable brushes and uniformly spread over the entire sheet by a laminating roller kit. To ensure a proper curing process, the fiberglass composite samples were allowed to cure at room temperature for 2 days.

In order to investigate the effects of nanoparticles on the amount of water ingress and flexural strength of the E-glass/polyester composite, nanocomposite samples were prepared. Nanocomposite samples were fabricated by a mixing ratio of 1.5 and 3 wt% of Cloisite 20A nanoclay into the resin. To uniformly distribute nano reinforcements, sonication and mechanical stirring were considered as the dispersion methods. At the first stage, nanoclays were added to the polyester resin according to the determined value and
then the mixture was stirred using a Remi RQ-5 Plus mechanical stirrer with a speed rate of 1500 rpm for at least 20 minutes to assure the homogeneity of the mixture. After the homogenization process by a mechanical stirrer, the mixture was placed into a Bandelin SONOPULS HD 3200 homogenizer with a power of 100 watts and 2 second on/off cycle for 20 minutes.

Testing of samples

The samples were cut out from the composite sheets by a water jet cutting machine and prepared for measuring the amount of water absorption and flexural strength as per the standards ASTM D 570 and ASTM D 790, respectively. The dimensions of the samples are presented in Table 1. In order to measure the aforementioned properties, five samples were considered for each time period of immersion. For each determined time interval (7, 14, and 21 days), the specimens were weighed by a scale with an accuracy of 0.1 mg to monitor mass changes.

Three-point bending tests of specimens were done using a GALDABINI universal testing machine. The bending specimens were tested with a displacement rate of 1.5 mm/min until the failure point occurred for each specimen (Figure 1). Flexural strength ($\sigma_f$) of the specimens was calculated using following equation

$$\sigma_f = \frac{3PL}{2bd^2}$$

in which $P$ is the peak load from the load–displacement curve, $L$ is the gauge length, $b$ is the width, and $d$ is the thickness of the specimen.

Results and discussion

Water absorption

In order to investigate the effects of nanoclay reinforcements on the amount of water absorption of glass/polyester composites, the mass of the composite samples was weighed in both the dry and immersed states after 3, 7, and 21 days. The weight percentage of water absorption ($W\%$) was determined using the following equation

$$W\% = \frac{W_t - W_0}{W_0} \times 100$$

where $W_t$ is the weight of the aged sample after immersion time $t$ and $W_0$ is the initial weight of the sample before immersion. The variation of water absorption percentages of the glass/polyester samples without nanoparticles and with weight percentages of 1.5% and 3% of nanoclays are represented in Table 2.

According to Table 2, the average water absorption percentages of pure composite samples are 1.28%, 1.58%, and 1.79% for the immersed days of 3, 7, and 21, respectively. Also, these water absorption percentages for the samples reinforced with 1.5 wt% of nanoclays are 1.01%, 1.44%, and 1.62% and regarding 3 wt% of nanoclays are 0.88%, 1.35%, and 1.51%. Figure 2 illustrates the variation of water absorption changes of pure composite samples with Cloisite 20A nanoclay-reinforced composites over different immersion days.

As can be seen in Figure 2, water absorption increases with increased immersion time for all cases. However, water absorption rates decreased over time. The polymer composite materials at the end stages of prolonged immersion time have different behavior so that the weight percentage of the polyester composite reduces because of the chemical reaction of the molecules of polyester and water. From Figure 2, it is also observed that water absorption decreases by adding nanoclay. The maximum average water absorption occurred for the pure composites, whereas the 3% nanoclay composite sample has the lowest average value under similar conditions.

Bending test

Another parameter affected by adding nanoparticles is the flexural strength of the composite. When composites are kept in water or moist environments, the diffused moisture gradually changes their mechanical properties. Similar to the water absorption tests, nanocomposite samples with weight percentages of 1.5% and 3% of nanoclays were

| Table 1. Sample specifications according to ASTM D 570 and ASTM D 790 for water absorption and bending tests. |
|---|---|---|
| Parameters for sample | Sample for water absorption test | Sample for bending test |
| Length | 76.2 | 127 |
| Width | 25.4 | 12.7 |
| Thickness | 3.2 | 3.2 |

Figure 1. Three-point bending tests: (a) during the test; (b) after fracture.
Table 2. The water absorption percentages of the (a) pure composite, (b) 1.5% nanocomposite, and (c) 3% nanocomposite samples.

(a).

| Immersion time (days) | Water absorption percentage of samples | Average water absorption percentage | Standard deviation | Coefficient of variation (CV%) |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| 3                     | 1.20, 1.29                             | 1.28                              | 0.07               | 5.47                        |
| 7                     | 1.59, 1.52, 1.60, 1.81, 1.83           | 1.58                              | 0.03               | 1.90                        |
| 21                    | 1.78, 1.78                             | 1.79                              | 0.03               | 1.68                        |

(b).

| Immersion time (days) | Water absorption percentage of samples | Average water absorption percentage | Standard deviation | Coefficient of variation |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| 3                     | 1.03, 0.95                             | 1.01                              | 0.04               | 3.96                     |
| 7                     | 1.38, 1.43, 1.53, 1.58, 1.61           | 1.44                              | 0.08               | 5.56                     |
| 21                    | 1.66, 1.62, 1.62                       | 1.62                              | 0.03               | 1.85                     |

(c).

| Immersion time (days) | Water absorption percentage of samples | Average water absorption percentage | Standard deviation | Coefficient of variation |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| 3                     | 0.86, 0.90                             | 0.88                              | 0.04               | 4.55                     |
| 7                     | 1.34, 1.5, 1.31, 1.51, 1.49             | 1.35                              | 0.09               | 6.67                     |
| 21                    | 1.58, 1.47, 1.50                       | 1.51                              | 0.04               | 2.65                     |
Figure 2. Water absorption versus immersion time for the pure and Cloisite 20A nanoclay-reinforced composite samples.

Table 3. The flexural strength of the (a) pure composite, (b) 1.5% nanocomposite, and (c) 3% nanocomposite samples.

(a).

| Immersion time (days) | Flexural strength of samples (MPa) | Average strength (MPa) | Standard deviation | Coefficient of variation |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| 0                     | 185.00                            | 172.20                 | 194.68             | 4.37                    |
|                       | 185.39                            | 182.53                 | 187.46             | 9.41                    |
|                       | 158.90                            | 164.14                 | 169.78             | 10.24                   |
| 7                     | 175.57                            | 168.75                 | 172.68             | 7.13                    |
|                       | 179.86                            | 172.68                 | 176.04             | 3.13                    |
| 21                    | 164.66                            | 155.36                 | 150.83             | 3.56                    |
|                       | 150.07                            | 146.46                 | 148.91             | 3.24                    |

(b).

| Immersion time (days) | Flexural strength of samples (MPa) | Average strength (MPa) | Standard deviation | Coefficient of variation |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| 0                     | 202.25                            | 203.37                 | 200.91             | 3.92                    |
|                       | 203.37                            | 196.10                 | 197.55             | 3.92                    |
|                       | 205.28                            | 200.91                 | 205.28             | 3.92                    |
|                       | 175.57                            | 172.68                 | 172.68             | 3.13                    |
|                       | 179.86                            | 172.68                 | 176.04             | 1.78                    |
| 21                    | 164.65                            | 165.64                 | 163.12             | 2.15                    |
|                       | 160.26                            | 162.84                 | 161.89             | 1.32                    |

(Continued)
percentages over different time intervals. Each datum in Figure 3 is based on the average results of five specimens.

As is obvious in Figure 3, adding nanoclay into the glass/polyester composite results in improvement in the flexural strength for all aging conditions so that higher weight percentages of nanoclay particles showed higher flexural strength.

Broken sections of the glass/polyester specimens obtained from the bending test after the water immersion were observed using a scanning electron microscope. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of composite samples without nanoclay immersed in undistilled water for the time period of 21 days are shown in Figure 4. As can be seen in Figure 4, the surfaces of some glass fibers are smooth without polymer particles, which may provide evidence of debonding at the fiber/matrix interphase. This was because of the moisture absorption of the interphase. In fact, voids and microcracks present in the polymer can trap water molecules, which cause damage to the interphase and strength degradation.

Figure 5 illustrates the SEM images of the fiber-reinforced polyester composites containing 3% of Cloisite 20A after 3 weeks of immersion time. SEM analysis indicates suitable bonding in glass/polyester interfacial area in which many portions of polyester resin have still stuck to the fibers even after 21 days of immersion (see in Figure 5). This is due to the fact that the nanoclay is hydrophilic and can absorb moisture and, therefore, the fiber/matrix interface

| Immersion time (days) | Flexural strength of samples (MPa) | Average strength (MPa) | Standard deviation | Coefficient of variation |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| 0                     | 214.58, 216.56                    | 218.42                 | 4.90               | 2.43                    |
| 7                     | 191.69, 189.73, 187.20, 177.47, 179.35 | 188.41                 | 2.52               | 1.34                    |
| 21                    | 183.53, 172.51, 178.66            | 178.30                 | 3.96               | 2.22                    |
would interact with fewer water molecules, resulting in little damage to the nanocomposite sample.

**Conclusion**

When the glass/polyester composites are immersed in water, the water absorption of the hydrophilic groups in the glass fiber and the polyester affects the properties of the composites. Adding nanoparticles to polymer composites is a method of reinforcing composites against the water attack, which can reduce the water absorption and resultant degradations of mechanical properties. This paper presents the fabrication of nanoclay-reinforced glass/polyester composites with weight percentages of 1.5 and 3 of Cloisite 20A nanoclays. The water absorption experiments revealed that the water weight percentages of polymer composites dropped by adding nanoparticles at different stages of water absorption. In addition, the dry and wet specimens were tested under bending loads and the flexural strength of the composites were determined. According to the results, a remarkable reduction in flexural strength of composite samples was shown by increasing the immersion time. The results of the bending tests showed that 3 wt% nanoclay and the pure composite have the highest and lowest flexural strength, respectively.
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