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Abstract

Present study was undertaken at Hirakud command area of Odisha to investigate the effect of continuous irrigation on soil texture, bulk density and saturated hydraulic conductivity of different soils. Soil samples were collected from 15 spots each at irrigated areas and unirrigated areas in two different depths at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm with Global Positioning System (GPS). Both loose and core samples from each spots were collected and analysed for different physical properties. The textural classes for surface soil of both the areas varied from sandy loam to sandy whereas for sub surface soil the textural classes of both irrigated as well as unirrigated areas varied from sandy clay loam to sandy and sandy loam to sandy clay loam respectively. Sand content was more in irrigated areas (91.4%) than unirrigated areas (83%) due to continuous irrigation. The range of bulk density for unirrigated areas varied highest from 1.51-1.87 Mg/m³ than irrigated areas. The value of saturated hydraulic conductivity for surface soil was higher than sub surface soil of both irrigated and unirrigated areas. The sand content of surface soil of both areas was positively correlated with bulk density and saturated hydraulic conductivity.
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Introduction

Assured irrigation is one of the key factors for successful as well as sustainable crop production. In Odisha, Hirakud dam is a multipurpose project built across the Mahanadi river about 14 km upstream of Sambalpur town. The command area of Hirakud dam covers 5 blocks of Sambalpur, 6 blocks of Bargarh, 2 blocks of Sonapur and 1 block of Bolangir district of Odisha. Due to indiscriminate application of irrigation water with inadequate drainage facilities water logging is a major problem. Due to continuous
water availability rice-rice is the major cropping system in Hirakud command area. Indiscriminate and continuous use of irrigation water creates the problem of rise in ground water, formation of hard pan at plough sole layer, drainage congestion and low water use efficiency. Continuous irrigation also affects the physical properties of the soil which indirectly affects the soil health. Taha and Nanda (2003) reported that in both irrigated and unirrigated condition surface soil is light textured. Sand content was more in irrigated soil sample than that of unirrigated soil. The bulk density of sub surface layer of irrigated areas was higher than that of unirrigated areas due to compaction developed by continuous rice-rice cropping system. The hydraulic conductivity for surface soil was higher than sub surface soil of both irrigated and unirrigated areas. The information regarding the effect of continuous use of water on physical properties of soil under Hirakud command area is not sufficient. Therefore, the present study was conducted to generate some information regarding the effect of soil texture on bulk density and hydraulic conductivity of different soils under continuous irrigation in Hirakud command area.

Materials and Methods

Soil sampling and Laboratory analysis

Hirakud command area consists of mainly four districts of Odisha such as Bargarh, Sambalpur, Bolangir and Sonepur. Soil samples were collected from some blocks of above four districts. Loose soil samples as well as core samples from two different depth i.e. 0-15 cm (surface) and 15-30 cm (sub surface) were collected from 15 spots each from irrigated and unirrigated areas. The longitude and latitude of each sampling spot was recorded through Global positioning system. These samples were labelled properly and brought to laboratory for analysis of different properties by different standard methods.

The sand, silt and clay content along with textural class of soil samples were determined by hydrometer method (Piper, 1950), bulk density of the soil was determined by the core method (Black, 1965) and saturated hydraulic conductivity (K) was determined in the laboratory by using constant head method (Israelson and Hansel, 1962).

Results and Discussion

The sand percentage in both the soil depths of irrigated areas varied from 58.0-91.4 % (Table 1). In case of surface sample (0-15 cm) sand content varied from 64.0-91.4 % with a mean value of 79.4 % whereas the values varied from 58.0-88.6 % in case of sub surface sample (15-30 cm) with a mean value of 75.6 % (Table 1). On the other hand the sand percentage in both the soil depths of unirrigated areas varied from 52-83 % (Table 2). In case of surface sample (0-15 cm) sand content varied from 54-83 % with a mean value of 72.45 % whereas the values varied from 52-77 % in case of sub surface sample (15-30 cm) with a mean value of 67.01 % (Table 2). The mean sand content of surface soil (0-15 cm) of both irrigated as well as unirrigated areas was higher than that of sub surface layer which might be due to eluviation of clay particle from surface to sub surface layer. The result corroborated with the finding of Taha and Nanda (2003) regarding the sand content observed with soil profile study at Hirakud command areas of Odisha.

Silt content considering both the layers of irrigated areas varied from 1.4-19.4 % (Table 1). Both highest (19.4 %) and lowest (1.4 %) values were observed in sub surface soil. In surface soil, silt content varies from 2.6-16.6 % with mean value of 9.9 % whereas that value varied from 1.4-19.4 % with a mean
value of 9.8 % in sub surface soil (Table 1). Silt content considering both the layers of unirrigated areas varied from 4.6-21.4 % (Table 2). In surface soil, silt content varied from 4.6-21.4 % with mean value of 12.72 % whereas that value varied from 4.6-21.4 % with a mean value of 13.19 % in sub surface soil (Table 2). There was no definite relationship observed with respect to the silt content of both surface and sub-surface layer of irrigated and unirrigated areas.

Irrespective of soil depth, the clay content of irrigated areas varied from 4.0-22.6 % (Table 1). The clay content of the surface soil varied from 4.0-20.6 % with a mean value of 10.8 % whereas that value varied from 7.6-22.6 % with a mean value of 15.3 % in sub surface soil (Table 1). The clay content of surface soil in irrigated area was less than that of subsurface soil indicating the removal of clay from surface and deposition of clay particle in the sub surface layer.

The clay content of unirrigated areas varied from 5.6-33.2 % (Table 2). The clay content of the surface soil varied from 5.6-26.6 % with a mean value of 14.83 % whereas those values for sub surface soil varied from 9.6-33.2 % with a mean value of 19.8 % (Table 2).

**Table 1** The effect of continuous irrigation on textural class of irrigated soil samples

| Sl. No | Sand Content (%) | Silt Content (%) | Clay Content (%) | Textural classes |
|--------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
|        | Surface          | Sub surface      | Surface          | Sub surface     | Surface          | Sub surface     |
| 1      | 73               | 71.0             | 12.6             | 10.6            | 14.4             | 18.4            | Sandy Loam      | Sandy Loam     |
| 2      | 78               | 75.0             | 10               | 10.6            | 12              | 14.4            | Sandy Loam      | Sandy Loam     |
| 3      | 64               | 58.0             | 15.4             | 19.4            | 20.6            | 22.6            | Sandy Clay Loam | Sandy Clay Loam|
| 4      | 81.8             | 77.8             | 12.6             | 14.6            | 5.6             | 7.6             | Sandy Loam      | Sandy Loam     |
| 5      | 91.4             | 88.6             | 4.6              | 13.0            | 4               | 8.4             | Sandy           | Sandy          |
| 6      | 75.8             | 67.8             | 10.6             | 12.6            | 13.6            | 19.6            | Sandy Loam      | Sandy Loam     |
| 7      | 79.4             | 76.4             | 12               | 13.0            | 8.6             | 10.6            | Sandy Loam      | Sandy Loam     |
| 8      | 82.6             | 80.6             | 9.4              | 1.4             | 8               | 18.0            | Sandy           | Sandy          |
| 9      | 82.6             | 78.6             | 11.4             | 13.4            | 6               | 8.0             | Sandy Loam      | Sandy Loam     |
| 10     | 78.6             | 74.6             | 12.4             | 14.0            | 9               | 11.4            | Sandy Loam      | Sandy Loam     |
| 11     | 82.6             | 80.6             | 3.4              | 1.4             | 14              | 18.0            | Sandy           | Sandy          |
| 12     | 87.4             | 80.6             | 2.6              | 5.0             | 10              | 14.4            | Sandy           | Sandy          |
| 13     | 79               | 75.0             | 16.6             | 4.6             | 4.4             | 20.4            | Sandy Loam      | Sandy Clay Loam|
| 14     | 74               | 71.0             | 9.4              | 10.4            | 16.6            | 18.6            | Sandy Loam      | Sandy Loam     |
| 15     | 80               | 78.0             | 5.4              | 3.4             | 14.6            | 18.6            | Sandy           | Sandy Loam     |
| Range  | 64-91.4          | 58.0-88.6        | 2.6-16.6         | 1.4-19.4        | 4-20.6          | 7.6-22.6        | Sandy           | Sandy Loam     |
| Mean   | 79.4             | 75.6             | 9.9              | 9.8             | 10.8            | 15.3            |                 |                |
Table 2 The effect of continuous irrigation on textural class of unirrigated soil samples

| Sl. No | Sand Content (%) | Silt Content (%) | Clay Content (%) | Textural classes |
|--------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
|        | Surface | Subsurface | Surface | Subsurface | Surface | Subsurface | Surface | Subsurface |
| 1      | 72      | 70        | 14.4    | 13.6      | 13.6    | 16.4      | Sandy Loam | Sandy Loam |
| 2      | 68      | 62        | 13.4    | 15.4      | 18.6    | 22.6      | Sandy Loam | Sandy Clay Loam |
| 3      | 54      | 52        | 19.4    | 14.8      | 26.6    | 33.2      | Sandy Clay Loam | Sandy Clay Loam |
| 4      | 63.4    | 53.4      | 16.6    | 14.6      | 20      | 32        | Sandy Clay Loam | Sandy Clay Loam |
| 5      | 77.8    | 73.8      | 16.6    | 16.6      | 5.6     | 9.6       | Sandy Loam | Sandy Loam |
| 6      | 58      | 54        | 21.4    | 21.4      | 20.6    | 24.6      | Sandy Clay Loam | Sandy Clay Loam |
| 7      | 79.8    | 73.8      | 10.6    | 14.6      | 9.6     | 11.6      | Sandy Loam | Sandy Loam |
| 8      | 81.4    | 71.4      | 4.6     | 8.6       | 14      | 20        | Sandy     | Sandy Clay Loam |
| 9      | 72.6    | 68.6      | 19.4    | 19.4      | 8       | 12        | Sandy Loam | Sandy Loam |
| 10     | 73.4    | 69        | 10.6    | 12.4      | 16      | 18.6      | Sandy Loam | Sandy Loam |
| 11     | 81.4    | 74.4      | 4.6     | 7.6       | 14      | 18        | Sandy     | Sandy Loam |
| 12     | 83      | 75.4      | 10.6    | 4.6       | 6.4     | 20        | Sandy     | Sandy Clay Loam |
| 13     | 70      | 65.4      | 9.4     | 12        | 20.6    | 22.6      | Sandy Clay Loam | Sandy Clay Loam |
| 14     | 71      | 65        | 8.6     | 11.6      | 20.4    | 23.4      | Sandy Clay Loam | Sandy Clay Loam |
| 15     | 81      | 77        | 10.6    | 10.6      | 8.4     | 12.4      | Sandy     | Sandy Loam |

Range: 54-83  52-77  4.6-21.4  4.6-21.4  5.6-26.6  9.6-33.2
Mean: 72.45  67.01  12.72  13.19  14.83  19.8
Table 3: The effect of continuous irrigation on Bulk density (Mg/m$^3$) of surface and sub-surface soils with respect to the both irrigated and unirrigated areas

| Sl. No | Irrigated area | Unirrigated area |
|--------|----------------|------------------|
|        | Surface        | Sub surface      | Surface | Sub surface |
| 1      | 1.66           | 1.78             | 1.72    | 1.73        |
| 2      | 1.6            | 1.73             | 1.67    | 1.77        |
| 3      | 1.63           | 1.69             | 1.58    | 1.75        |
| 4      | 1.79           | 1.81             | 1.65    | 1.70        |
| 5      | 1.77           | 1.86             | 1.74    | 1.79        |
| 6      | 1.72           | 1.79             | 1.58    | 1.65        |
| 7      | 1.65           | 1.71             | 1.72    | 1.65        |
| 8      | 1.62           | 1.75             | 1.73    | 1.67        |
| 9      | 1.55           | 1.68             | 1.63    | 1.79        |
| 10     | 1.67           | 1.72             | 1.71    | 1.80        |
| 11     | 1.57           | 1.62             | 1.63    | 1.81        |
| 12     | 1.62           | 1.76             | 1.78    | 1.87        |
| 13     | 1.51           | 1.65             | 1.70    | 1.82        |
| 14     | 1.59           | 1.64             | 1.60    | 1.69        |
| 15     | 1.69           | 1.73             | 1.74    | 1.51        |
| Range  | 1.51-1.79      | 1.62-1.86        | 1.58-1.78 | 1.51-1.87  |
| Mean   | 1.64           | 1.73             | 1.57    | 1.73        |

Table 4: The effect of continuous irrigation on Hydraulic conductivity (cm/h) of surface and sub-surface soils with respect to the both irrigated and unirrigated areas

| Sl. No | Irrigated area | Unirrigated area |
|--------|----------------|------------------|
|        | Surface        | Sub surface      | Surface | Sub surface |
| 1      | 16.7           | 14.1             | 15.9    | 15.2        |
| 2      | 18.6           | 17.8             | 16.8    | 14.0        |
| 3      | 17.2           | 16.4             | 24.8    | 19.0        |
| 4      | 11.8           | 10.8             | 17.2    | 15.8        |
| 5      | 12.1           | 10.2             | 14.4    | 13.4        |
| 6      | 14.2           | 11.8             | 22.4    | 17.4        |
| 7      | 16.8           | 15.3             | 17.1    | 16.8        |
| 8      | 17.3           | 15.8             | 16.3    | 15.3        |
| 9      | 20.6           | 19.9             | 18.2    | 14.1        |
| 10     | 16.5           | 15.8             | 16.2    | 13.6        |
| 11     | 20.4           | 19.8             | 22.1    | 13.5        |
| 12     | 17.5           | 16.5             | 12.4    | 10.9        |
| 13     | 22.5           | 17.8             | 16.2    | 13.0        |
| 14     | 19.4           | 18.9             | 22.4    | 15.9        |
| 15     | 16.8           | 15.4             | 18.1    | 17.5        |
| Range  | 12.1-22.5      | 10.2-19.9        | 12.4-24.8 | 10.9-17.5  |
| Mean   | 17.2           | 15.7             | 18.0    | 15.03       |
**Table 5** Correlation coefficient (r) of sandy soil texture and other parameters of surface soils of irrigated and unirrigated areas

| Sl. No. | Area       | Soil parameter          | Correlation coefficient (r) |
|---------|------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1       | Irrigated  | Sand % - BD (Mg/m³)     | 0.19                        |
| 2       | Unirrigated| Sand % - BD (Mg/m³)     | 0.75                        |
| 3       | Irrigated  | Sand % - HC (cm/h)      | 0.74                        |
| 4       | Unirrigated| Sand % - HC (cm/h)      | 0.89                        |

The clay content of surface soil in both irrigated and unirrigated areas were less than that of subsurface soil indicating the removal of clay from surface and deposition of clay particle in the sub surface layer.

The textural classes for surface soil varied from sandy loam to sandy whereas for sub surface soil these classes varied from sandy clay loam to sandy considering both the layers of soil sample of irrigated areas (Table 1). On the other hand in unirrigated areas the textural class of the surface soil varied from sandy to sandy loam whereas for subsurface area textured classes varied from sandy loam to sand clay loam (Table 2). The textural classes for surface soil of both the areas varied from sandy loam to sandy whereas for sub surface soil the textural classes of both irrigated as well as unirrigated areas varied from sandy clay loam to sandy and sandy loam to sandy clay loam respectively.

**Effect of continuous irrigation on bulk density of soils**

The bulk density of both surface and subsurface soils of irrigated areas of Hirakud command indicated that the bulk density values of surface soil ranged from 1.51-1.79 Mg/m³ with a mean value of 1.64 Mg/m³, whereas for subsurface soil those values...
ranged from 1.62-1.86 Mg/m³ with a mean value of 1.73 Mg/m³ (Table 3). Similarly the BD of surface soil of unirrigated area varied from 1.58-1.78 Mg/m³ with a mean value of 1.57 Mg/m³ areas for subsurface soils or those values varied from 1.51 to 1.87 Mg/m³ with a mean value of 1.73 Mg/m³. The result indicated that the bulk density of surface layer of both irrigated and unirrigated areas was lower than that of sub surface soil. This is due to addition of more organic matter in surface layer through external sources along with crop residues incorporation and more manipulation of surface layer due to continuous crop growth.

This result was in agreement with the findings of Saikumar and Rao (2016) and Halder et al., (2015). Use of implements for cultivation of crop provide favourable situation for reduction in bulk density and more aeration. On the other hand, less amount of organic matter addition, more compaction due to use of implements and less disturbances increased the BD in subsurface layer. The mean BD of surface soils of irrigated area was higher (1.64 Mg/m³) than that of unirrigated area (1.57 Mg/m³). This might be due to more sand content along with continuing water supply which corroborates the result of Taha and Nanda (2003). The mean bulk density value of subsurface soils of both irrigated and unirrigated soils was similar which indicated that irrigation has no affected on the BD of lower layer.

**Effect of continues irrigation on saturated hydraulic conductivity of soil**

The saturated hydraulic conductivity values for surface soil samples of irrigated areas varied from 12.1-22.5 cm/h with a mean value of 17.2 cm/h, whereas for subsurface soil those values ranged from 10.2-19.9 cm/h with a mean value of 15.7 cm/h (Table 4). Similarly the saturated hydraulic conductivity of surface soil of unirrigated area varied from 12.4-24.8 cm/h with a mean value of 18.0 cm/h areas for subsurface soils whereas those values varied from 10.9-17.5 cm/h with a mean value of 15.03 cm/h. The result revealed that the saturated hydraulic conductivity of surface soil of both irrigated and unirrigated areas was higher than that of sub surface soils. This is due to the addition of more organic matter through different cropping system in surface layer, improvement of soil porosity along with the manipulation of surface soil with different tillage implements.

On the other hand the organic matter content was less in the sub surface layer and more compaction in the subsurface layer which facilitates the reduction in hydraulic conductivity. This result corroborated with the result of Saikumar and Rao (2016). The mean saturated hydraulic conductivity of subsurface soils of irrigated area was lower (17.2 cm/h) than that of unirrigated area (18.0 cm/h). This might be due to more sand content, and higher BD with higher compaction which corroborates the result of Dec et al., (2008). On the other hand the mean saturated hydraulic conductivity value for subsurface soils of irrigated areas was higher (15.7 cm/h) than that of unirrigated areas.

**Correlation study**

Sand content had a profound effect on soil bulk density and hydraulic conductivity. The simple correlation coefficient (r) between sand and soil bulk density as well as sand and hydraulic conductivity for irrigated and unirrigated soil samples were studied (Table 5). Sand content was positively correlated with bulk density for irrigated areas as well as with unirrigated areas (Fig. 1 and 3). Similarly positive correlation was observed between sand content and hydraulic conductivity (Fig. 2 and 4) of irrigated areas and unirrigated areas.
From this study it was concluded that irrespective of irrigated and unirrigated areas, sand content was more in surface soil than that of sub surface soil. Sand content was more in irrigated areas than unirrigated areas due to continuous irrigation. Irrespective of irrigated and unirrigated areas, clay content was more in sub surface soil than that of surface soil. Irrigated soil samples contained less amount of clay than unirrigated samples due to continuous irrigation. Saturated Hydraulic conductivity was higher in irrigated areas which were helpful for crop production. Sand content of surface soil of irrigated and unirrigated areas showed a positive correlation with soil bulk density and saturated hydraulic conductivity.
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