Age Hardening in Ultrafine-Grained Al-2 Pct Fe Alloy Processed by High-Pressure Torsion
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A cast Al-2 wt pct Fe alloy was processed by high-pressure torsion (HPT) at room temperature and then subjected to artificial aging at temperatures of 373 K and 473 K (100 °C and 200 °C). The aging behavior was studied by Vickers microhardness measurements and by microstructural analyses using transmission electron microscopy and X-ray diffraction. The initial intermetallic structures, composed of a mixture of Al + Al₆Fe and Al + Al₃Fe eutectics phases, were partially dissolved in the matrix up to a supersaturation of ~1 wt pct Fe. The microstructure was refined by HPT to an ultrafine-grained level with a minimum grain size of ~120 nm in the matrix and a dispersion of particles less than 400 nm. Age hardening was achieved within 0.25 hours at 473 K (200 °C), to a maximum UTS of ~700 MPa as a result of nano-sized precipitation within the ultrafine grains. The uniform elongation exceeded ~12 pct even at intermediate levels of imposed strain by HPT, while it decreased to ~6 pct with the subsequent aging treatment. The thermal stability of the ultrafine-grained structure was verified to exceed 16 days at 373 K (100 °C) and 12 hours at 473 K (200 °C).
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I. INTRODUCTION

The fabrication capability of bulk ultrafine-grained (UFG) and nanocrystalline (NC) metallic materials has been well established by the different techniques of severe plastic deformation (SPD), particularly by high-pressure torsion (HPT).[1–3] The application of HPT to metallic alloys is now an active area of research due to the superior grain refinement.[3,4] Al alloys are not an exception to this advantage and much research has been carried out in different alloy systems using HPT processing.[5] In this sense, a synergistic combination of the grain refinement with additional strengthening mechanisms such as dispersion or precipitation of the secondary phases becomes an important factor.

Fe is the main impurity in Al and has potential as an inexpensive alloying element for strengthening. However, due to its low solubility in Al, Fe may be present as secondary phases in the form of intermetallics.[6–12] Although it has been demonstrated that these particles can strengthen and increase the temperature resistance with little increase in weight, an effective use of Fe as a major alloying element in structural alloys of Al has not been possible to date. The secondary phases cannot be manipulated easily through conventional deformation and heat treatment including supersaturation and subsequent aging for fine precipitation.[13]

The formation of fine eutectic structures in an Al-rich side of the Al-Fe system by manipulation of the solidification rate[10,14–16] motivated researchers to explore several methods to achieve microstructure control in this system, initially by deformation of the directionally solidified eutectic alloys.[17–19] The earliest work which effectively achieved an extension of Fe solid solubility (namely supersaturation of Fe) in Al involved rapid quenching (RQ). The work of Tonejc and Bonefici,[20,21] Jones[22,23] and others[15,16,24–26] demonstrated an increase in the Fe solubility to a maximum of 4.5 at. pct and the formation of several metastable second phases. However, extremely high cooling rates are required to achieve such high supersaturation. A similar level of Fe supersaturation and fine dispersion of second phases was achieved using mechanical alloying (MA) of elemental powder mixtures,[29–34] but extended milling times and additional consolidation steps are required to form fully dense bulk samples.

The application of another out-of-equilibrium method such as HPT can introduce a high amount of strain and achieve microstructure control through grain refinement and dispersion of intermetallic phases. This method has clear advantages, when compared to RQ and MA, such that it can be applied to existing bulk samples with only a limited change to their shape.[2] Additionally, the high supersaturation of Fe in the matrix that can be achieved by solid-state processing is most important to this study. In recent years, the capability of producing bulk Al-Fe alloys with supersaturation of Fe by HPT processing has been reported in a cast Al-11 pct Fe alloy[35] and Al-2, 8 and
10 pct Fe alloys initially processed by RQ and MA. Significant increases in microhardness (by ~50 HV in 2 pct Fe and by ~100 HV in 8 to 11 pct Fe) were shown by aging at temperatures ranging from 373 K to 473 K (100 °C to 200 °C). However, no systematic study of the aging behavior of the HPT-processed Al-Fe alloys has been carried out to date. We recently reported [37] a supersaturation of Fe in Al by ~1 pct Fe in Al-2 pct Fe and Al-4 pct Fe alloys after application of HPT. The eutectic phases in these alloys were shown to be ideal for subsequent dispersion and dissolution of Fe by the HPT process. The potential for precipitation hardening by artificial aging was evaluated in this work. The structural changes that occur in the HPT-processed samples with aging are discussed in terms of the mechanical properties and microstructural analyses.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

The starting material was a cast ingot with an Fe content of 1.99 wt pct as used in a previous study. Disks with 10-mm diameter and 0.9 ± 0.1-mm thicknesses were extracted from the ingot and placed between two anvils of the HPT facility at room temperature. Torsion was applied by rotating the lower anvil with respect to the upper anvil at 1 rpm under a compressive load of 6 GPa. The thickness of the disks after HPT processing is 0.7 ± 0.1 mm. The shear strain introduced by HPT processing is a function of the number of revolutions N, the distance from the disk center r, and the thickness during HPT processing h. The following relation was used in this work to calculate the equivalent strain:

\[ e = \frac{2\pi Nr}{\sqrt{3} h} \]  

Post-HPT aging was performed at 373 K and 473 K (100 °C and 200 °C) in air in an electric furnace with the temperature controlled to ±0.5 K (°C). The aging at 373 K (100 °C) was carried out in boiling water for up to a total of 12 hours with the temperature controlled within a few degrees below 373 K (100 °C). The aging at each temperature was conducted using a single sample and was interrupted at different time intervals by quenching in ice water. Shortly after quenching and polishing the surface using an alumina powder solution, Vickers microhardness was measured by applying a load of 100 g for 15 s along radial directions of the disks. The tensile properties were also measured at room temperature using miniature tensile specimens extracted from the HPT-processed disks. The dimensions of the gage are 1.5 mm length, 0.7-mm width, and 0.6-mm thickness. The gage section is located at 2 mm from the disk center. The initial strain rate used in the test was 2 × 10^{-3} s^{-1}.

Disks with 3-mm diameter were punched from the periphery of the HPT-processed disks and analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) to estimate the Fe dissolution in the matrix by measuring change in the lattice constant of Al. The XRD was performed using the Cu Kα radiation with a scanning speed of 0.5 deg/min and a step of 0.01 deg. LaB₆ powder was used to correct for instrumental shift in the diffraction angle (2θ). The 3 mm disks were further thinned by electropolishing with a potential of 15 V in a solution of 20 pct sulfuric acid in methanol at (278 K) 5 °C for transmission electron microscopy (TEM) at 200 kV. The average grain sizes were estimated either from the measurement of individual grains in dark-field images or by the linear-intercept method using bright-field images.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Optical Microscopy

Figure 1(a) shows an optical micrograph of the as-cast microstructure. It is shown by the etching response that the casting contains a high fraction of eutectic phases surrounding the dendritic z-Al phase. Close observation, as shown in Figure 1(b) for the region in the rectangle of Figure 1(a), shows two distinct eutectic phases as in the regions labeled A and B. The presence of phase A which consists of Al and orthorhombic Al₆Fe [8] confirms that the cooling rate was sufficiently high to promote the formation of the metastable eutectic. [10,13] Note that phase B corresponds to the equilibrium eutectic composed of Al and monoclinic Al₃Fe [6,7].

It was shown [37] that phase A contains fine fibers with diameters in the range of 150 to 200 nm and grouped in cellular structures of similar directions. The morphology of phase B consists of discontinued networks of lamellae forming platelets and ellipsoidal particles with widths below ~1.5 μm. The combined volume fraction of both eutectic structures can be estimated in the range of 3.5 to 6 pct depending on the ratio of Al₃Fe/Al₆Fe in the casting and depending on the position from the edge of the ingot.

Figure 1(c) shows a microstructure of the sample after HPT processing for N = 75 revolutions. Grain refinement in the z-Al phase is achieved beyond the resolution of optical microscopy and a homogeneous dispersion of the eutectic particles is observed. Due to the etching response of Al₃Fe when compared to Al₆Fe, the phase B appears in a darker contrast. [13] Thus, the largest particles in Figure 1(c) are associated with the fragmented Al₃Fe platelets. The average size of these particles is determined to be ~400 nm using image processing. The contrast from phase A is not clearly distinguishable in Figure 1(c) which is a result of the particle dispersion and refinement, but also suggests that partial dissolution occurred in the matrix.

B. Microhardness Evolution with Imposed Strain and Isothermal Aging

Figure 2 shows the evolution of Vickers microhardness with respect to the equivalent strain imposed by HPT processing and after four different periods of isothermal aging at 473 K (200 °C). The trend in microhardness is delineated by a solid line for the as-
HPT sample and by dashed lines for the aged samples. Each datum point represents an average of 12 measurements at equal distance from the disk center. Microhardness increases significantly over the as-cast level with increasing the equivalent strain. This is then followed by saturation of the hardness in the strain range beyond \( \varepsilon \sim 200 \). This trend is consistent with the results reported earlier.\textsuperscript{[37]} After aging the samples for 0.25 hours, hardening occurs throughout the entire range of strain: the microhardness at the saturated level increases from \( \sim 185 \) HV after HPT to \( \sim 205 \) HV after the aging. When the samples processed for \( N = 75 \) revolutions are further aged, the microhardness decreases with aging for 12, 36 and 96 hours. The decrease in the microhardness is not equal especially after aging for 12 and 36 hours: for the former aging, the decrease is more prominent in the strain range below 1000, and for the latter aging, it becomes further pronounced except for the strain range of \( \varepsilon = 1000 \) to 1500. The reason for this unexpected higher value of hardness at \( \varepsilon = 1250 \) is still unclear. However, the microhardness decreases to a constant level (\( \sim 100 \) HV) irrespective of the strained condition after prolonged aging for 96 hours (4 days), thus the difference in the aging behavior could be attributed to heterogeneous microstructures developed during the HPT processing. Loss in pressure to some extent, near the edges of the HPT die (sample is not in a completely constrained condition), could contribute to reduce the strain imposed to the near-edge region of the disk resulting in a slightly different aging behavior.

C. Aging Behavior

The results presented in Figure 2 indicate that the strain imposed by the HPT process has a strong effect on the aging behavior. In Figure 3, the microhardness is plotted against the aging time for two different temperatures: at 373 K and 473 K (100 °C and 200 °C) after processing for \( N = 10 \) and \( N = 75 \) revolutions, respectively. Each datum point corresponds to the average microhardness of six measurements taken from two different regions in the disks: Figure 3(a) at mid-distance between the disk center and the edge (\( r = 2.5 \pm 0.5 \) mm corresponding to \( \varepsilon = 100 \) to 150 for \( N = 10 \) and \( \varepsilon = 750 \) to 1100 for \( N = 75 \)) and Figure 3(b) from a region close to the edge (\( r = 4.5 \pm 0.5 \) mm corresponding to \( \varepsilon = 200 \) to 250 for \( N = 10 \) and \( \varepsilon = 1500 \) to 2000 for \( N = 75 \)).
The sample processed for \( N = 75 \) and then aged at 473 K (200 °C) exhibits the peak hardness after 0.25 hours for both the mid-distance from the disk center and near the edge. The hardness begins to decrease below the as-HPT level after 3 hours of aging at mid-distance from the center as shown in Figure 3(a) and after 12 hours of aging as shown in Figure 3(b). For the sample processed for \( N = 10 \) and aged at 373 K (100 °C), the peak-aged condition is reached after 3 hours of aging at mid-distances from the disk center and the hardness does not decrease even after prolonged aging. The peak hardness is reached after 0.5 hours at the disk edge followed by a slight decrease in the hardness.

Table I summarizes the maximum increase in hardness and the time to reach the peak-aged condition including additional data from samples processed for different conditions. The increase in hardness after aging the samples processed for equal numbers of revolutions is more pronounced when the samples are aged at 473 K (200 °C). In this condition, the hardness peak occurs after 0.25 hours irrespective of the number of revolutions.

D. Tensile Tests and Fractography

Figure 4 shows the results of the tensile tests carried out on specimens extracted from the HPT-processed disks and after the aging treatments. The result of an as-cast specimen is also included for comparison. Tensile strength is in good agreement with the hardness levels shown in Figure 2 through the relation as \( \tau \sim 3 \text{ HV} \), where \( \tau \) is the tensile strength and HV is the Vickers microhardness.[38] The tensile strength increases to ~500, ~550 and ~600 MPa by HPT processing for \( N = 10, 20 \) and 75, respectively, as a result of the microstructure refinement. The additional increase in the tensile strength is also consistent with the hardness measurement after peak aging so that the tensile strength increases to ~550, ~600 and ~700 MPa by HPT processing for \( N = 10, 20, \) and 75, respectively.

It is important to emphasize that processing by HPT not only increases the tensile strength but also retains excellent uniform elongation as high as ~10 pct in the sample processed for \( N = 10 \) and ~12 pct in the sample processed for \( N = 20 \) as shown in Figure 4. At this level of imposed strain, the material can still exhibit work-hardening during the tensile deformation due to the presence of finely dispersed second phase particles.[3,5] It was shown in Figure 1(c) that significant fragmentation and dispersion of the original eutectic particles occurs after processing for \( N = 75 \) revolutions. The change in particle distribution with increasing strain by HPT should have a strong effect on dislocation mobility during the tensile deformation. Accumulation of dislocations is enhanced at higher imposed strains, which in turn promotes localization of strain and necking to develop earlier, as observed in the as-HPT specimen from the sample processed for \( N = 75 \) revolutions. This causes the uniform elongation in this sample

| Table I. Maximum Increase in Hardness at Peak-Age and Time to Peak-Age |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| \( N \) (rev) | \( \text{Aging T} \) [K (°C)] | \( \Delta \text{Hvpeak (HV)} \) | \( t_{\text{peak}} \) (min) | \( \Delta \text{Hvpeak (HV)} \) | \( t_{\text{peak}} \) (min) |
| 10 | 373 (100) | 13 | 180 | 8 | 30 |
| 20 | 473 (200) | 21 | 15 | 23 | 15 |
| 75 | 473 (200) | 19 | 15 | 21 | 15 |
|  |  | 18 | 15 | 20 | 15 |
to drop to ~3 pct when compared to the as-HPT specimens processed for lower numbers of revolutions.

It is also apparent from Figure 4 that there is a trade-off relation between age hardening and uniform elongation, even after prolonged aging. The yield stress increases after aging the samples processed for \( N = 10 \) and \( N = 20 \) revolutions to the peak-aged condition, which suggests that the localization of strain and reduction of the work-hardening ability may occur in these samples due to the presence of high concentration of precipitates. The uniform elongation in the peak-aged state is ~7.5 pct for the sample processed for \( N = 10 \) and ~6 pct for the sample processed for \( N = 20 \). This phenomenon is less evident for the sample processed for \( N = 75 \), where the uniform elongation is ~4 pct, practically the same as in the as-HPT case. The state of the microstructure after HPT and its effect on the precipitation behavior should play an important role in the ductility of this material after aging.

Several studies have reported successful combinations of strengthening by grain refinement and by fine precipitation in Al 7000 series produced by cryomilling, hot isostatic pressing and extrusion followed by artificial aging,\({\text{[39–41]}}\) and in Al 6022,\({\text{[42]}}\) Al 2091\({\text{[42,43]}}\) Al-4 pctCu\({\text{[44]}}\) processed by HPT and aging, and more recently in Al 7075\({\text{[45]}}\) after processing by high-pressure sliding (HPS) and aging. All of these studies have shown significant differences between a coarse-grained structure and an ultrafine-grained structure, and a strong correlation with the dislocation substructures as sites for heterogeneous nucleation of precipitates. The result from the tensile tests in this study shows large potential to control the microstructure of the Al-Fe alloy by optimizing the combination of deformation and aging treatment. The aging treatment may be optimized accordingly to improve the uniform elongation. Nonetheless the levels of strength and elongation achieved in this study are well comparable to or even superior to commercial high-strength alloys such as Al 2024 and 7075 alloys.\({\text{[46]}}\)

E. XRD Analysis for Dissolution and Precipitation

Figure 5 shows the XRD profiles from the 3 mm disks extracted from the as-cast material, after processing by HPT for \( N = 75 \) and after aging at 473 K (200 °C) for selected periods where the range of equivalent strain covered by the selected specimens is \( \varepsilon \sim 750 \) to 2000. Figure 5(a) includes enlarged profiles between the fundamental (111) and (200) reflections to show changes in the superlattice reflections from the second phases with HPT straining and with aging treatment. Their low relative intensities to the fundamental reflections are because their volume fraction is low. The strongest peaks of both Al\(_2\)Fe and Al\(_3\)Fe phases were detected in the as-cast state, according to powder diffraction data as shown in spectrum (i) in Figure 5(a). After the HPT processing, their intensity decreases almost to the background level, as shown by the transition from profile (i) to (ii), which suggests that dissolution of Fe in the matrix occurred.
after HPT processing for additional estimation shows that dissolution is 0.46 pct.

The detailed procedures to estimate the amount of supersaturation is achieved so that a significant amount of supersaturation is achieved so that the microstructure after processing by HPT for N = 20 and N = 75, respectively. The undissolved fraction of Fe-containing phases has been fragmented to sizes below the resolution of the XRD, and thus only a trace of the corresponding peaks is visible in the enlarged region of profile (ii) in Figure 5(a). The results in this study are consistent with the previous report.\[37\] Aging to the peak condition releases a relatively small fraction of Fe from the supersaturated state: ~0.40 pct of Fe remains in the matrix after processing for N = 20 and ~0.74 pct for N = 75. The Fe content in the matrix decreases to ~0.35 pct after 24 hours aging and a complete decomposition occurs after 96 hours aging.

Precipitation kinetics in this study may be evaluated using the following equation and compared with past reports for the recrystallized and cold-worked Al-Fe alloys:\[47,48\]

$$x = \frac{c - c_e}{c_0 - c_e},$$ \[2\]

where \(x\) is the rate of Fe removal from saturated state, \(c_e\) is the equilibrium concentration at the aging temperature, \(c_0\) is the initial concentration, and \(c\) is the concentration of Fe in supersaturation at a given time. The values of \(c_0\) and \(c_e\) are as determined from the XRD results in Figure 6. Taking \(c_0\) as the concentration of Fe after HPT processing, it follows that \(x = 0.88\) for the sample processed through \(N = 20\) and \(x = 0.77\) for the sample processed through \(N = 75\) after the 0.25 hours aging (peak-aged condition). For the over-aged condition, \(x = 0.35\) after 24 hours aging and \(x = 0.0\) after 96 hours aging. A similar rate of Fe removal, namely \(x = 0.85\) in Reference 47, is only achieved after 0.25 hours aging at a temperature of 473 K (200 °C) for the cold-worked structure and at least 3 hours aging at 723 K (450 °C) for the recrystallized structure. In this study, the temperature is significantly lower as 473 K (200 °C) but nevertheless, the equivalent Fe removal rate is obtained. It is considered that this is attributed to the generation of a high density of lattice defects such as vacancies, dislocations, and grain boundaries that could enhance the Fe diffusivity.\[49\]

F. Microstructure Observation by TEM

Figure 7 shows TEM dark-field images comparing the microstructures from the samples processed by HPT for \(N = 20\) before and after aging to the peak condition at 473 K (200 °C). The selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns shown on the right were obtained from a region of ~1.5 μm in size. The fundamental diffraction spots form along rings as delineated by fine yellow real lines in each SAED pattern. The expected diffraction rings of Al3Fe are also drawn, according to diffraction intensity, in the corresponding diffraction patterns as fine red real lines. It can be seen that several diffracted beams match the Al3Fe diffraction within the first Al fundamental ring. The dark-field images in Figures 7(a) and (b) are taken from diffracted beams between the fundamental (111) and (200) reflections, respectively, by selecting an objective aperture as circled in the SAED patterns. The image in Figure 7(a) shows that the grain size at the peak-aged condition is ~160 nm and thus the ultrafine-grained structure having high angles of misorientations remains almost unchanged.
As it was observed in the XRD results in Figure 5, the strongest diffraction of intermetallics stays close to the Al (111) and (200) fundamental reflections, and thus the diffraction from particles of eutectic origin exists within the selected apertures used to generate the dark-field images. A very fine dispersion of intermetallic particles can be determined in the dark-field images as pointed by arrows in Figure 7(a). It is most likely that this dispersion significantly contributes to grain refinement and to the strengthening of this material after HPT processing. The diffraction from such particles is also observed in the sample after aging as pointed by an arrow in the dark-field image in Figure 7(b). However, it is not clear from the images if the particles correspond to dispersion from the initial eutectics or precipitation from the solid solution. Nonetheless, the superlattice spots from these particles are consistent with the reflections from Al₃Fe (or Al₆Fe), as observed in the corresponding SAED patterns of Figure 6. A detailed study is in progress for this clarification using high-resolution lattice image analysis and STEM–HAADF for identification of precipitates and dispersed fragmented particles.

Figure 8 shows the succession of TEM dark-field images taken from the samples processed by HPT for \( N = 20 \) revolutions and aged at 473 K (200 °C) for 0.25, 24, and 96 hours as corresponding to spectra (ii) to (v) in Figure 5. The diffracted beams from fundamental and superlattice spots used to form the dark-field images are selected in a manner similar to Figure 7, as circled in each of the SAED patterns in the corresponding insets in Figure 8. The average grain size slightly decreased from ~130 to ~120 nm when the revolution of HPT processing increases from \( N = 20 \) to \( N = 75 \) according to Figures 7(a) and 8(a), respectively. The grain size increases from ~120 to ~140 nm by aging to the peak condition for 0.25 hours, and to ~180 nm after aging for 24 hours as shown by the images in Figures 8(b) and (c), respectively. This state of the microstructure represents the condition where the hardness decreases below the as-HPT level, according to Figure 3(b). The average grain size reaches to ~400 nm after aging for 96 hours (4 days) as shown in Figure 8(d). The microstructure evolution with aging is summarized such that recovery dominates up to the peak condition as shown in Figures 7(b) and 8(b) and some grain growth occurs, while the recovery is...
still in progress. As a result, the hardness starts to
decrease sometime between 3 and 12 hours as shown in
Figure 3(a) for the sample processed by HPT for
$N = 75$. Grain growth becomes more intensive after
aging for over 24 hours and the microstructure develops
into a fully equiaxed structure as in Figure 8(d).

G. Observation and Analysis of Precipitates

Figure 9 shows TEM bright-field and dark-field
images of the samples processed for $N = 75$ and aged
at different states corresponding to spectra (iii), (iv),
and (v) in Figure 5. The images are now shown in
higher magnifications to detail for grains and pre-
cipitates. The bright-field image in Figure 9(a) confirms
that the ultrafine grained structure was retained after
0.25 hours of aging as observed in Figure 8(b). The
dark-field image in Figure 9(a) was obtained using a
(200) reflection as circled in the corresponding SAED
pattern. Several precipitates with sizes smaller than
10 nm are visible within the grain as marked P with the
two arrows. The image in Figure 9(b) corresponds to
the over-aged state after 24 hours, and shows that the
size of the precipitates within a grain is more or less
similar to the one observed in the peak-aged state. Occasionally, coarser precipitates are observed on the grain boundary as marked by an arrow \( M \) near the Moiré pattern other than particles within a grain as indicated by the two arrows \( P \). After 96 hours aging to well over-aged state, the sample exhibits a recrystallized structure with the grain size increased and grain boundaries well-defined as shown in the image in Figure 9(c). Many globular precipitates with their sizes of \( \sim 30 \) to \( 50 \) nm are observed throughout the matrix. The precipitates marked by arrows \( P \) in the dark-field images of Figures 9(a) and (b) generate typical strain contrasts. This suggests that there is some coherency between the matrix and precipitates in the aged states of Figures 9(a) and (b), but the coherency is lost in the well over-aged state of Figure 9(c).

The characteristics of the fine precipitation within the small grains discussed in this section can account for the increase in hardness with aging. Shortly after reaching the peak state, the hardness decreases to a level below the as-HPT state. This aging behavior should be associated with the fine precipitation within the small grains from an initial stage of aging to the peak aging and subsequently in the later stage with the growth and/or the phase change in the precipitates. According to the XRD analysis shown in Figure 5, the precipitates were determined to be either Al\(_{4}\)Fe or Al\(_{3}\)Fe. The superlattice spots are detected near the fundamental in the SAED patterns in Figures 9(b) and (c) and these are more clearly indicated by arrows in enlarged views in the insets. The difficulty of unequivocally identifying the precipitates from the SAED patterns in Figure 9 arises due to the similar lattice spacing between Al\(_{3}\)Fe and Al\(_{4}\)Fe. More so, it was not possible to make a clear distinction which was the dominant phase at the peak hardness because both phases were present at the initial state after casting. It was reported for solid solutions of Al-0.05 pctFe\(^{47,48}\) and Al-0.03 pctFe\(^{48}\) alloys that precipitation from recrystallized structures is mostly Al\(_{3}\)Fe, whereas in the deformed structures by cold rolling,

![Fig. 9—TEM bright-field (left), dark-field images (center) and corresponding SAED patterns (right) from sample processed through \( N = 75 \) revolutions and aged at 473 K (200 °C) for (a) 0.25 h, (b) 24 h and (c) 96 h. Dark-field images were taken with beams selected by circles in SAED patterns. Superlattice reflections from precipitates are visible as indicated by arrows in enlarged views of SAED patterns in (b) and (c).](image-url)
Al₆Fe always precipitates first. A similar conclusion was reported for precipitation from supersaturated solid solutions obtained by RQ. However, the case may be, the differences in Fe concentration in solid solution, as shown by the XRD results in Figure 6, as well as the size/distribution of precipitates and undissolved Al₁₃Fe particles, both of which have a strong interaction with dislocations, should play also a major role in terms of the ductility of the samples before and after aging.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This report has shown that age hardening is achieved in a traditionally insoluble system by formation of a supersaturated solid solution of Fe in Al via the solid-state processing by HPT. Microstructures are controlled by precipitation of dissolved Fe as fine intermetallic particles within the ultrafine-grained matrix, which is not common in the Al-Fe system under equilibrium or industrial processing conditions. The following conclusions are drawn from the results presented in this study:

1. The initial microstructure was composed of α-Al dendrites, a metastable eutectic phase composed of Al₆Fe fibers with 150 to 200 nm in diameter, and the coarser equilibrium eutectic composed of Al₃Fe discontinuous lamellar structures with widths smaller than −1.5 μm.

2. As a result of the HPT processing, the intermetallic structures in the initial eutectics were partially dissolved up to a maximum of −1 pct Fe in supersaturated solution and otherwise fragmented and dispersed in the Al matrix. The particles have a wide range of distribution in sizes at the nanometer level.

3. The grain size of the Al matrix was reduced to −120 nm at the saturated state. This grain size was rather stable up to subsequent aging at 473 K (200 °C) such that the grain growth was −140 nm for aging up to 0.25 hours and −180 nm for 24 hours. However, aging for 96 hours led to grain growth to −400 nm although it is still in the range of ultrafine-grained size.

4. The high strength after HPT processing was achieved to a maximum UTS of −600 MPa through a combination of the structure refinement in the matrix grains and intermetallic particles.

5. Age hardening occurred to a peak hardness of 205 HV within 0.25 hours at 473 K (200 °C) and to a maximum UTS of −700 MPa via precipitation of dissolved Fe in a form of nano-sized Al₆Fe and Al₃Fe particles with −10 nm in size. Such fine precipitates occurred within the ultrafine grains but some on/near the grain and subgrain boundaries.

6. The uniform elongation exceeds −12 pct even at intermediate levels of imposed strain by HPT, but it decreased to −6 pct with the aging treatment. However, the combinations of strength and elongation achieved in this study are comparable to those of high strength commercial Al alloys.
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APPENDIX

Figure A1 shows the Nelson–Riley plots used to determine the lattice constant using the extrapolation technique from the linear-fit of the data. A number of sources of error in the determination of the lattice constant, such as X-ray absorption in the specimen can be compensated by this method. The Nelson–Riley parameter is calculated for each diffraction peak according to the following function of the diffraction angle θ, after correction using LaB₆ and removing the contribution from the Kα₂ radiation:[50]

\[
\Delta a/a = k \left( \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{\sin \theta} + \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{\theta^2} \right),
\]

where \(a\) is the lattice constant and \(K\) is a constant. The lattice parameter for each reflection is calculated using the Bragg Law for the cubic lattice, where \(h\), \(k\), and \(l\) are the Miller indices.
correspond to the Miller indices and $\lambda_{\text{Cu}}$ is the wavelength of the Cu Kα1 radiation (1.540598 Å)\[50\]

$$a = \frac{\lambda_{\text{Cu}}}{2\sin \theta} \sqrt{h^2 + k^2 + l^2} \quad [A2]$$

The true lattice parameter of the specimen can be read from the intercept of each plot in Figure A1. The atomic concentration of Fe in solid solution $c$ can be estimated from the lattice constant using the following relation determined from a model of nearest neighbor interatomic spacing for supersaturated solid solutions of transition metals in Al\[23\]

$$c = \frac{a_{\text{Al}} - a}{2\sqrt{(s_{\text{Al-Fe}} - s_{\text{Al-Al}})} \text{ [at. pct.]} \quad [A3]$$

where $a_{\text{Al}}$ is the lattice constant of pure Al and $s_{\text{Al-Fe}}$ and $s_{\text{Al-Al}}$ the mean interatomic spacings between the Al and Fe and Al and Al in the solid solution, which have been approximated from the bond lengths in Al$_2$Fe.\[8\] This relationship was verified experimentally for complete supersaturated solutions of up to 4.5 at. pct Fe in Al obtained by rapid solidification.\[23\]
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