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Abstract
Background: The effects of early continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT) on mortality in patients with septic acute kidney injury (AKI) remain controversial. A systematic review and meta-analysis was performed to investigate the impact of timing of CRRT on clinical outcomes in patients with septic AKI.

Methods: The PubMed, Cochrane, and Embase databases were searched from inception to the 31st of March 2019, to identify trials that assessed the timing of initiation of CRRT in patients with septic AKI.

Results: Five trials including 900 patients were included. The results of this meta-analysis showed that there was no significant difference between 28-day mortality (odds ratio = 0.76; 95% CI, 0.58–1.00; P = .05) and 90-day mortality (odds ratio = 0.79; 95% CI, 0.59–1.06; P = .12) of early and late initiation of CRRT group. In addition, compared with late initiation strategy, early initiation showed no significant advantage in length of stay in ICU (Mean difference = −0.9; 95% CI, −2.37 to 0.57; P = .23) and length of stay in hospital (Mean difference = −1.43; 95% CI, −5.28 to 2.41; P = .47).

Conclusion: Our meta-analysis revealed that early initiation of CRRT could not reduce mortality in patients with septic AKI. The study also showed no significant difference in ICU length of stay or hospital length of stay between early and late CRRT group. To achieve optimal timing of CRRT for septic AKI, large multicenter randomized trials with better design are still needed.

Abbreviations: AKI = acute kidney injury, CKD = chronic kidney disease, CRRT = continuous renal replacement therapy, ICU = intensive care unit, NOS = Newcastle-Ottawa scale, PRISMA = Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses, RRs = risk ratios.
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1. Introduction
Acute kidney injury (AKI) is a frequent complication in patients hospitalized in the intensive care unit (ICU) for septic shock[1–3] and is associated with high mortality.[1–7] The kidney is one of the first organs to fail, as occurs in 51% of patients with septic shock.[8] The mortality of septic AKI remains substantial, despite the effort expended in recent decades. Septic AKI was associated with more severe hemodynamic instability, greater requirement of mechanical ventilation, longer hospital stay and higher hospital mortality when compared with non-septic AKI.[9]

Although continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT) is a well-known treatment for AKI and the technical advances for CRRT treatment have been performed,[10–13] the mortality rate among these patients still remains extremely high. On average, 20% of hospitalized patients develop AKI; of those, 1% to 2% develop AKI requiring RRT. Among patients with AKI, 40% to 60% die and 40% to 50% survive. Depending on the degree of preexisting chronic kidney disease (CKD), 70% to 90% of the survivors recover function, whereas 10% to 30% remain on dialysis at hospital discharge. Importantly, up to 50% of those who recover may develop CKD in the future.[14] CRRT has an advantage in patients with hemodynamic instability, which is frequently encountered in sepsis. Potential advantages of accelerated initiation of CRRT include early control of electrolyte and acid-base derangements and uremia, avoidance of volume overload and clearance of inflammatory mediators.[15] Although the optimal timing of CRRT initiation remains controversial, recent studies comparing early and late initiation have suggested that early initiation may have a beneficial impact on survival of critically ill patients with AKI.[16–18] However, in managing septic AKI, whether to provide renal-replacement therapy and, if so, when to initiate it are unclear.

Recent meta-analysis mainly focuses on the impact of CRRT timing on AKI in critically ill patients. There are many reasons for AKI in critically ill patients, such as hypovolemic shock, glomerulonephritis, ureteral calculi, etc, but there are few studies on the impact of CRRT timing on AKI caused by sepsis.
In this study, we conducted a meta-analysis, which extracted results from recently published trials to investigate whether early initiation of CRRT in critically ill patients with septic AKI improves patient survival.

2. Methods

This systematic review and meta-analysis is reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. Ethical approval was not necessary for this study because it was a review of the published literature.

2.1. Search strategy

We searched the PubMed, Cochrane, and Embase databases from inception to the 31st of March 2019 using the following search terms: acute renal failure, AKI, CRRT, sepsis, septic shock, septic AKI, septic-associated AKI, dialysis, hemodialysis, hemofiltration, time to treatment, time factors, timing, early, earlier, time, late, initiation, start, and randomized controlled trials. The search was slightly adjusted according to the requirements of the different databases. The authors’ personal files and reference lists of relevant review articles were also reviewed. The flow chart of the search strategies is summarized in (Fig. 1).

2.2. Types of outcome measures

The primary outcome was 28-day mortality in early and late initiation of CRRT. The 90-day mortality, ICU, and hospital length of stay were secondary outcomes. Weighted means were calculated based on the number of patients in each study.

2.3. Study selection

The inclusion criteria were as follows:

(1) randomized controlled trials;
(2) adult sepsis or septic shock population;
(3) AKI development following sepsis or septic shock without other causes;
(4) clearly comparing early vs late CRRT initiation with effect on mortality and clinically relevant secondary outcomes.

We excluded studies without clear comparisons of the outcomes.

2.4. Quality assessment

Two reviewers (YL and HL) independently performed quality assessment using the Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS), which allocates a maximum of 9 points according to the quality of the selection, comparability, and outcomes of the study populations. Study quality was defined as poor (0–3), fair (4–6), or good (7–9).

2.5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using Review Manager Version 5.3 (RevMan, The Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, United Kingdom). Risk ratios (RRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated for dichotomous variables. A random-effects model was used to pool studies with significant heterogeneity, as determined by the chi-squared test ($P < .10$) and inconsistency index ($I^2 \geq 50\%$).

The selected continuous variables were represented by the median (interquartile range). We calculated their mean and standard deviation according to the sample size with an on-line calculator (http://www.comp.hkbu.edu.hk/~xwan/median2mean.html), and then performed meta-analysis. A $P$ value <.05 was set as the threshold of statistical significance.

3. Result

3.1. Study characteristics

The search strategy identified 216 studies, and the data were from 5 studies comprising 900 patients (Table 1). The characteristics of the included studies are shown in Table 1. A total of 5 eligible studies were published between 2012 and 2018. Among these studies, 3 studies were conducted in Korea, 1 study was conducted in China, 1 study was conducted in France. Of these studies, one of the studies was multi-center study and 4 were single-center studies. The definition of early and late initiation of CRRT for each specific study is outlined in Table 2.

3.2. Primary outcome

A total of 5 studies including 900 patients were included, and the 28-day mortality in patients with septic AKI was about 43.0% (184/468 in the early group and 203/432 in the late group). There was no significant difference between 28-day mortality of early and late strategy for the initiation of CRRT using the random effect model (odds ratio [OR] $=0.76, 95\%$ CI, 0.58–1.00; $P = .05$), with wild heterogeneity ($\chi^2 = 16.88, I^2 = 76\%$) (Fig. 2). A funnel plot was used to assess the publication bias (Fig. 3).

3.3. Secondary outcomes

3.3.1. Effect of early CRRT on 90-day mortality. Three of included studies were analyzed to assess effect of early CRRT on

| Author | Year | Country | Study period | Study design | No. of patients | NOS |
|--------|------|---------|--------------|--------------|----------------|-----|
| Chon   | 2012 | Korea   | 2009–2010    | Single center| 55             | 6   |
| Shum   | 2013 | China   | 2008–2011    | Single center| 120            | 8   |
| Oh     | 2016 | Korea   | 2008–2013    | Single center| 60             | 9   |
| Baek   | 2017 | Korea   | 2014–2015    | Single center| 177            | 9   |
| Barbar | 2018 | France  | 2012–2016    | Multicenter  | 488            | 9   |

CRRT = continuous renal replacement therapy.
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the 90-day mortality. There was no statistically significant difference in the 90-day mortality between 2 groups (odds ratio (OR) = 0.79, 95% CI, 0.59–1.06; \( P = .12 \)), with wild heterogeneity (Chi\(^2\) = 14.32, \( I^2 = 86\% \)) (Fig. 4).

### 3.3.2. Effect of early CRRT on length of ICU stay

Four of included studies were analyzed to assess effect of early CRRT on the ICU length of stay. There was no statistically significant difference in the ICU length of stay between 2 groups (Mean

| Study      | Early CRRT                                                                 | Late CRRT                                                                 |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chon 2012  | The time from sepsis to inception of CRRT \( \leq \) 24 h                   | The time from sepsis to inception of CRRT > 24 h                          |
| Shum 2013  | Simplified RIFLE risk, GFR decrease > 25% from baseline                    | Simplified RIFLE Injury or Failure, GFR decrease > 50% from baseline       |
| Oh 2016    | Interval between the start of EGDT and CRRT initiation \( \leq \) 26.4 h    | Interval between the start of EGDT and CRRT initiation > 26.4 h           |
| Baek 2017  | The time from the start of vasopressor infusion to the initiation of CRRT \( \leq \) 24 h | The time from the start of vasopressor infusion to the initiation of CRRT > 24 h |
| Barbar 2018| Renal-replacement therapy was started within 12 h after the onset of acute kidney injury that was determined to be at the failure stage of the risk, injury, failure, loss, and end-stage kidney disease (RIFLE) classification | Renal-replacement therapy was initiated after a delay of 48 h if renal function did not spontaneously recover and if no condition meeting the criteria for emergency renal-replacement therapy developed |

**CRRT** = continuous renal replacement therapy, **GFR** = glomerular filtration rate.

**Table 2**
Definitions of early and late CRRT in studies included in the meta-analysis.

---

**From:** Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Med 6(6): e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097

For more information, visit www.prisma-statement.org.
Figure 2. Forest plot for 28-day mortality.

Figure 3. Funnel plot of 28-day mortality.

Figure 4. Forest plot for 90-day mortality.

Figure 5. Forest plot for ICU length of stay.
Early CRRT can stabilize the internal environment by convection and adsorption has been demonstrated during starting such therapy earlier would not improve hemodynamic instability in the early phases of septic shock, so CRRT cannot be performed easily or safely in patients with initiation. A possible explanation is that function of CRRT. However, in our meta-analysis we did not trial. Therefore, the timing of CRRT initiation is still a matter consistent with a recent multicenter randomized controlled trial. Three of included studies were analyzed to assess effect of early CRRT on the hospital length of stay. There was no statistically significant difference in the hospital length of stay between 2 groups (Mean difference = −1.43; 95% CI, −5.28 to 2.41; \( P = .47 \)), with wild heterogeneity (\( \chi^2 = 0.47, I^2 = 0\% \) (Fig. 5).

3.3.3. Effect of early CRRT on length of hospital stay. Three of the included studies showed that early and late CRRT had no effect on 28-day mortality.\(^{22,24–25}\) Our meta-analysis suggests that earlier initiation is not associated with improved survival. No significant differences were found in length of stay in ICU and that in hospital. The potential advantage of earlier initiation of dialysis in AKI is that it may improve acid-base, electrolyte, and fluid balance, thereby preventing more severe complications of AKI and perhaps also enhancing removal of toxins.\(^{27}\) Removal of nonspecific proinflammatory or anti-inflammatory mediators by convection and adsorption has been demonstrated during CRRT.\(^{25}\) Early CRRT can stabilize the internal environment while facilitating the timely removal of inflammatory mediators, and reduce the impact on the kidney. Excessive inflammation can be controlled as early as possible, which can reduce tissue damage caused by uncontrolled inflammation. Furthermore, liquid management can be easily targeted through the fluid regulation function of CRRT. However, in our meta-analysis we did not observe lower mortality with early initiation than with late initiation. A possible explanation is that fluid removal with CRRT cannot be performed easily or safely in patients with hemodynamic instability in the early phases of septic shock, so starting such therapy earlier would not improve fluid balance.

Our meta-analysis of secondary outcomes did not demonstrate a statistical reduction in ICU or hospital length of stay, this is consistent with a recent multicenter randomized controlled trial.\(^{26}\) Therefore, the timing of CRRT initiation is still a matter of debate. The determination to start CRRT in critically ill patients with AKI depends on numerous factors and thus may be a complex process.\(^{29}\)

Our meta-analysis has several characteristics:

1. Previous studies focused on the timing of CRRT in critically ill patients with AKI, but did not limit the etiology of AKI. The study included only septic AKI and clearly defined etiology of AKI. Because CRRT itself has the function of clearing inflammatory mediators, this study anticipates that early CRRT in septic AKI can improve the prognosis, but the results are different from expectations.

2. The research period included in this study was less than 10 years.

3. The results of the included studies are contradictory, so the meta-analysis of the controversial results increases the significance of this study.

This meta-analysis was associated with several limitations. First, the number of included studies was small. In the future, large, multicenter prospective observational studies are required to make sure the impact of CRRT timing on septic AKI. Second, there was substantial heterogeneity among the included studies. Therefore, our findings should be interpreted with caution. Third, publication bias was not assessed due to the small sample size.

5. Conclusion

Our meta-analysis revealed that early initiation of CRRT showed no survival benefits in patients with septic AKI. The analysis of secondary outcomes showed no significant difference in ICU length of stay or hospital length of stay between early and late CRRT group. To achieve optimal timing of CRRT for septic AKI, large multicenter randomized trials with better design are still needed.
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