Thermal disinfection of hospital wastewater in a pilot-scale continuous-flow system
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Abstract
Thermal disinfection is probably the oldest water treatment method ever used. Similarly to other disinfection processes, it targets the inactivation of pathogenic (micro)organisms present in water, wastewater and other media. In this work, a pilot-scale continuous-flow thermal disinfection system was investigated using highly contaminated hospital wastewater as influent without any pre-treatment step for turbidity removal. The results proved that the tested system can be used with influent turbidity as high as 100 NTU and still provide up to log 8 microbial inactivation. Further results have shown energy consumption comparable to other commercially available thermal disinfection systems and relatively low influence on the investigated physical–chemical parameters.
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Introduction
Water is essential to life, but when contaminated, it can cause serious diseases such as diarrhea, cholera, dysentery, typhoid, polio or hepatitis A (Batra et al. 2018; Hatami 2013; Misra and Singh 2012; Pirsaheb et al. 2017). The Sustainable Development Goal target 6.1 aims at ensuring access to safe and affordable drinking water to the global population by 2030 (United Nations 2010). Significant progress has already been made, and between 2000 and 2016 the mortality rate related to diarrhea decreased by 59.3% among children under 5 years old and by 31.8% among the elderly older than 70 (GBD 2016 Diarroheal Disease Collaborators 2018). At the same time, the World Health Organization estimates that more than 2 billion people in the world still use drinking water sources contaminated with feces which leads to 485,000 annual deaths (WHO 2019). There are visible inequalities in access to safely managed drinking water, the most affected people being the poor inhabitants of rural areas in the least developed countries. According to UNICEF, in 2017 over 144 million people were still collecting their drinking water directly from rivers, lakes and ponds, more than a half of them living in sub-Saharan Africa (UNICEF and WHO 2019). Next to household-level usage, safe water is also needed for irrigation, food production, recreation, etc.

Predictions are that along with progressing climate change, increasing demographic pressure and industrialization, the necessity for water reclamation, including reuse of treated wastewater, will become more and more crucial for sustaining freshwater supplies in many regions (Garnier et al. 2015; Lavrnić et al. 2017; Tram Vo et al. 2014). In order to do that without compromising public health and ecosystem safety, proper treatment techniques need to be applied, including efficient, robust and inexpensive disinfection.

Many sources of reclaimed water are characterized by high turbidity. Such sources include not only treated wastewater (domestic, hospital, gray water, etc.) but also rainwater (Khayan et al. 2019; Lee et al. 2016) or water in disaster-struck areas (Basu et al. 2017; Sarkar and Vogt 2015).
Commonly applied physical and chemical disinfection methods, such as chlorination or UV irradiation, require removal of turbidity prior to disinfection (EPA 2011; Metcalf and Eddy 2014). Turbidity above 1–2 NTU increases chlorine demand due to chemical reactions of chlorine and particulate matter (Keegan et al. 2012; Léziart et al. 2019). Lechevallier et al. (1981) developed a numerical model which predicts chlorine demand of a given water source as a function of turbidity. According to their model, the chlorine demand of waters with turbidities equal to 10, 60 and 100 NTU is, respectively, 7, 40 and 70 times larger than chlorine demand of water with 1 NTU turbidity.

Next to consuming chlorine, particles can also shield microorganisms from inactivation, therewith further reducing the efficacy of chlorination (Winward et al. 2008), which, as exposed below, also decreases UV systems’ efficiency. Further, the presence of organic matter increases the risk of formation of potentially harmful disinfection by-products (DBPs), such as trihalomethanes (THMs), haloacetic acids (HAAs) or N-nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA) (Du et al. 2017; Li and Mitch 2018). Additionally, before the treated water can be reclaimed for further use or returned back to the environment, the residual toxicity needs to be reduced by dechlorination (Metcalf and Eddy 2014). In the case of UV light, the reduced effectiveness of disinfection results from reduced UV light transmission and shielding of microorganisms from inactivation (Farrel et al. 2018; Kollu and Örmeci 2012; Metcalf and Eddy 2014).

Microorganism inactivation by heat is the oldest and simplest method of disinfection, applied for millennia in human households for food and drink preparation. Since 1862 when Louis Pasteur proved that heating of wine can prevent it from spoiling, thermal treatment has been widely used in food industry in a process called pasteurization (Currier and Widness 2018; Watts 2016). All over the world heat is also used to sterilize medical equipment and laboratory waste in autoclaves. According to the literature, such inactivation is based on three mechanisms: (1) denaturing of enzymes within the cell which leads to the loss of their functionality, (2) damage to the structure of proteins and fatty acids which constitute the cell wall and (3) expansion of fluids within the cell leading to the rupture of the cell wall and leakage of the cell components (Metcalf and Eddy 2014).

Thermal disinfection has been proven exceptionally effective against bacteria, protozoa and viruses, including those resistant to chemical treatment or irradiation (Al-Gheethi et al. 2013; Backer 1996; Ericsson et al. 2002; Corradini et al. 2007; Smelt and Brul 2014). In contrast to other widely applied methods, thermal disinfection does not lead to the formation of any known DBPs in measurable concentrations, it is characterized by high degree of penetration into particles and its efficiency is not affected by the presence of turbidity, natural organic matter (NOM), water hardness or pollutants such as metals (Metcalf and Eddy 2014; Thornton et al. 2006). Furthermore, thermal disinfection is chemical-free and it is not expected to change water properties such as taste, smell, appearance or salinity (Ericsson et al. 2002). Additionally, application of heat can result in the destruction of heat-sensitive pharmaceuticals and hormones (Braekeveld et al. 2011; Heshmati 2015; Morais et al. 2018; Tian et al. 2017).

Scientific literature documents several cases of thermal disinfection. Abraham et al. (2015) presented a comprehensive discussion of solar thermal pasteurization of drinking water, including methodology, description of devices, microbiology and thermal analysis. Plourde et al. (2019) designed and evaluated a solar-powered thermal pasteurization system which can be applied at low ambient temperatures and low insolation. Reyneke et al. (2016) tested the efficiency of solar thermal pasteurization to reduce Legionella contamination of roof-harvested rainwater, while Spinks et al. (2006) investigated thermal inactivation of eight water-borne pathogens, including Enterococcus faecalis, Salmonella typhimurium and Klebsiella pneumonia, at sub-boiling temperatures. Al-Gheethi et al. (2013), Al-Gheethi et al. (2018) and Mocé-Llivina et al. (2003) focused their research on thermal disinfection of treated wastewater and waste sludge produced during wastewater treatment. Newman (2004), Orlikowski et al. (2017), Runia and Amsing (2001) and Tripanagnostopoulos and Rocamora (2007) described the use of a heat disinfection system for water reuse in horticulture.

Regarding the development of commercially available thermal disinfection systems for wastewater, the authors could find two examples, one described in a conference paper (Thornton et al. 2006) and the other widely presented in the online specialist resources (Godwin and McBurnett 2016; Chawaga 2015; Cox 2013). Thornton et al. (2006) proved that their system was capable of achieving at least log 4 disinfection of treated municipal wastewater and at least log 4 disinfection of pig manure containing more than 15% solids. They also underlined the importance of efficient heat recovery in making their and similar systems commercially viable. The online sources (Godwin and McBurnett 2016; Chawaga 2015; Cox 2013) report commercial availability of a wastewater pasteurization system which can generate low-cost power in parallel with water treatment. The texts mention that the system was tested at municipal wastewater treatment plants in several cities in the USA and Australia as well as at a craft brewery in the USA. In all cases, satisfying disinfection levels were achieved and the disinfection process generated power which was reused at the respective plants and contributed to the reduction in their overall energy costs.

A common feature of the aforementioned thermal disinfection cases is that they all apply pasteurization, i.e., sub-boiling temperatures (60–100 °C) and longer residence times.
Microorganisms become inactivated upon exposure to temperatures exceeding their growth optimum for a given exposure time, where the required exposure time depends on the temperature and microorganism type (Abraham et al. 2015). It has been shown that the necessary exposure time becomes drastically shorter as the operational temperature increases (Parry and Mortimer 1984; Pullman et al. 1981). The research presented in this article investigated a thermal disinfection method which uses high temperatures (140–160 °C) and short exposure times (10 min) to inactivate microorganisms. The primary goal was to establish whether this method can be successfully applied to disinfect water streams with high turbidity (60–100 NTU) and high level of microbial contamination (10^5–10^7 CFU mL^-1) without pre-treatment, i.e., just big solids removal, to evaluate the impact of the process on physical–chemical parameters of the treated water. To achieve these goals, wastewater coming from a local hospital (high level of contamination) was used for the tests. The obtained results proved that high turbidity was not an obstacle for achieving high (up to log 8) disinfection levels.

**Materials and methodology**

A pilot-scale continuous-flow heat and pressure disinfection system was used to treat wastewater discharged by Antonius Hospital in Sneek, the Netherlands. The operational principle of the tested system is based on the application of three factors: temperature, pressure and residence time (Śmiech et al. 2018). The temperature is set at a fixed value between 140 and 160 °C. The applied pressure exceeds the saturated water partial pressure for a given temperature, to keep the treated water in its liquid form. The residence time is determined by the flow rate and needs to be long enough to achieve the desired level of microbial inactivation. In the current research, the temperature, pressure and flow rate in the disinfection system were constant and set at 140 °C, 7 bar and 200 L h^-1, respectively. The flow rate corresponded to a residence time of 10 min.

To minimize energy usage, the pilot was designed with heat recovery (Fig. 1). Cold influent which enters the system through a copper pipe is first preheated via a heat exchanger by the hot effluent leaving the system. Subsequently, the treated water flows into the heating chamber. There, the copper pipe with the treated water is immersed in a high thermal capacity liquid which is maintained at the operational temperature. Such liquid is important for an energy-efficient operation because, once it has reached the working temperature, maintaining it requires a relatively low heat input.

The hospital wastewater was first pre-sieved (3 mm) and pre-filtered (0.5-mm drum filter) to remove larger solids. It was subsequently used to prepare three influents for the disinfection pilot: diluted wastewater (1:10 with tap water), electrocoagulation-treated (ECT) wastewater and raw wastewater (directly after pre-sieving and pre-filtration). It is important to mention that the main objective of this study was to verify the disinfection efficiency of the pilot system when treating waters with high turbidity. However, at the beginning of the research it was unclear how the system would react to the high particle content in wastewater and whether any operational problems would occur. Therefore, the experiments were planned with the three influents in the...
order of expected increasing turbidity: diluted wastewater, ECT wastewater and raw wastewater (Fig. 2).

Two types of analyses were performed for all pilot influents and effluents: microbiological and physical–chemical. In microbiological tests, quantifications of total colony-forming units (CFUs) were done by heterotrophic plate count method (APHA 2015) on PCA (standard medium used for assessment of the total viable bacterial growth) and UTI (used for identification and differentiation of microorganisms which cause urinary tract infections). All effluent CFU counts on PCA were performed by plating 1-mL undiluted sample aliquots directly on agar. To lower the detection threshold, prior to plating on UTI agar the effluent samples of 1500 mL were pre-concentrated by filtration with a pore size 0.45 μm within 12 h of sampling. Milli-Q ultrapure filtered water was utilized as the control (Milli-Q “type-1,” Merck). The next-generation sequencing (NGS) was performed in order to identify the most abundant bacterial species in the tested hospital wastewater.

The physical–chemical parameters were measured offline and included turbidity (HACH 2100 N turbidimeter), particle size distribution (PSD) (DIPA 2000 Analyzer), pH (HANNA multiparameter portable meter HI9828), electrical conductivity (EC) (HANNA multiparameter portable meter HI9828), dissolved oxygen (DO) (HANNA multiparameter portable meter HI9828), oxygen reduction potential (ORP) (HANNA multiparameter portable meter HI9828), total organic carbon (TOC) (TOC-L Shimadzu Total Organic Carbon Analyzer), biochemical oxygen demand (BOD₃) (WTW

Oxitop respirometric measuring system), chemical oxygen demand (COD) (HACH Lange LCK 314) as well as concentrations of PO₄–P (HACH Lange 349), total nitrogen (Nₐ) (HACH Lange LCK138), NH₄–N (HACH Lange LCK303), Cu and Fe (Thermo Scientific iCAP-Q ICP-MS). Additionally, the temperature of the pilot influent and effluent was monitored during operation. Influent temperature was measured in the influent buffer tank, while effluent temperature was measured at the outlet pipe of the disinfection system. Fractionation of suspended solids into organics and inorganics was done according to standard methods (APHA 2015).

Results and discussion

The following section will present and discuss the results obtained during the experiments.

Disinfection efficiency

Total microbial counts determined for all wastewater streams were in the order of 10⁵ CFU mL⁻¹ on PCA and 10⁶–10⁷ CFU mL⁻¹ on UTI (Table 1). The ten most abundant species identified by NGS were: Aeromonas media, Streptococcus infantarius, Bifidobacterium thermophilum, Lactobacillus delbrueckii, Clostridium sticklandii, Acinetobacter baumannii, Bacteroides dorei, Bacteroides vulgatus, Streptococcus lutetiensis and Eubacterium rectale.
Reduction in the total colony counts in the order of log 5–log 8 was confirmed for all wastewater streams, even when inlet turbidity reached 60–100 NTU (Fig. 3). Regarding colony counts on PCA, the reported disinfection level of log 5 may be underestimated. This analysis was limited by the methodology, i.e., to enumerate CFU in effluents after disinfection 1 mL aliquots of undiluted sample were applied straight to agar plates. No colonies were counted after the incubation period.

To lower the detection threshold, effluent samples of 1500 mL were pre-concentrated by filtration prior to plating on UTI agar. In these samples, 30–300 CFU mL\(^{-1}\) was counted which corresponded to the achieved disinfection level of log 7–log 8.

The experiments were initially designed to increase the suspended solids content of the system influent in each subsequent experimental phase. However, as one can see in Fig. 3, turbidity, which is an indirect measure of the suspended solids, was the highest for wastewater pre-treated by electrocoagulation. The process of electrocoagulation can be used to remove, among other things, turbidity from wastewater (Bejjany et al. 2017; Chen 2004). Coagulants in the form of iron or aluminum hydroxides are generated in situ by oxidation of the anode material, and they destabilize particles present in the water leading to their aggregation (Ním et al. 2007). Aggregated solid particles can subsequently be separated from the bulk liquid by (electro)flotation or sedimentation. The results presented in Fig. 3 indicate that while electrocoagulation proceeded successfully, the subsequent process of solids separation failed.

**Effects on physical–chemical water quality**

To perform efficient disinfection, the pilot brings the treated water to high temperatures. As mentioned earlier, cold influent which enters the pilot is preheated by hot effluent leaving the pilot. The goal is not only to preheat the influent, but also to control the effluent temperature avoiding particularly high-temperature discharges and decreasing heat losses. This is especially important for cases where the disinfected water stream, e.g., WWTP effluent, is to be discharged to water bodies, such as a lake or a river, where changes in temperature may adversely affect aquatic life.

The plot presented in Fig. 4 exposes the analysis done to verify possible temperature differences between inlet and outlet for all the tested conditions. As it can be seen, effluents of the pilot were on average about 5–8 °C warmer than influents. Although European and American regulations do not specify the maximum temperatures allowable for thermal discharges, limits are set to the resulting temperature changes in the receiving water bodies, depending on their ecology (Raptis et al. 2016). In many US states, temperature of the receiving surface water must not exceed 32 °C (Madden et al. 2013), while in the European Union water temperature downstream from the discharge point must not be altered by more than 1.5 °C for salmonid waters or by

|          | Total count PCA (CFU mL\(^{-1}\)) | Total count UTI (CFU mL\(^{-1}\)) |
|----------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Diluted  | 1.51 \times 10^5                 | 6.74 \times 10^6                |
| ECT      | 4.23 \times 10^5                 | 2.19 \times 10^7                |
| Raw      | 3.64 \times 10^5                 | 3.91 \times 10^6                |

**Fig. 3** Log disinfection levels confirmed for wastewaters with different turbidity.
more than 3 °C for cyprinid waters (European Parliament and Council of the European Union 2006). Based on these limits and the volume/flow rate of the receiving water body, one can calculate the maximum amount of thermally treated water which can be discharged.

Particle size distribution (PSD) analysis performed on the influents and effluents of the pilot revealed that the investigated disinfection method was destructive and resulted in the shift in particle size from larger (0–200 µm) to smaller (0–60 µm) as shown in Fig. 5. This could be related to particle breakup caused by temperature and pressure shocks inside the pilot. A similar effect is observed during thermal pressure hydrolysis of waste activated sludge where high temperature and pressure conditions are used to disintegrate and partially solubilize the sludge (Pérez-Elvira et al. 2010; Phothilangka et al. 2008; Sapkaite et al. 2017).

As it can be seen in Fig. 5, even though the particle size population in the effluent shows rather small sizes, the population frequency has not drastically increased, which could be seen as an indication of mass imbalance, i.e., more mass in than out. This can be caused by two factors: (1) Firstly, colloids and organic particle aggregates such as fat or bacteria clusters, which will be detected in the influent count, might be solubilized under the action of high temperatures (Pérez-Elvira et al. 2010; Phothilangka et al. 2008; Sapkaite et al. 2017) and possibly volatized at the outlet; (2) secondly, the used analytical method (DIPA 2000) has a lower detection limit of 1–5 µm; thus nanometric particles are not counted and therefore not visible. The latter hypothesis is particularly visible in Fig. 5a, where the effluent particle peaks seem to be cut in the lower size particles population.

In Fig. 5b, a large peak can be seen on the side of the size spectrum related to larger particles (210–275 µm). This peak is discontinuous from the series of peaks related to the remaining, smaller particles in the sample (up to 120 µm). Also, the sizes represented by the large peak are bigger than the maximum particle size in the raw wastewater sample (210 µm, see Fig. 5c). This supports the aforementioned hypothesis that while electrocoagulation of wastewater succeeded in aggregating larger particles, the subsequent removal of the aggregates failed.

The results of other physical–chemical analyses are depicted graphically as box plots in Figs. 6 and 7. Such plots should be seen in the following way: (1) Big boxes represent great variability of the evaluated parameter, while small ones represent small variability; (2) the non-overlapping of the boxes points out toward possible statistically significant differences between two data sets; (3) dots are outliers.

Using Fig. 6 as a first indication for possible differences between the parameters tested in influents and effluents, one can see that TOC measured in ECT wastewater and raw wastewater (Fig. 6e), BOD5 in raw wastewater (Fig. 6f) as well as COD and PO4–P in all wastewater streams (Fig. 7a,
b, respectively) are the parameters which might have been affected by the disinfection process.

To further verify this assumption, the above-mentioned parameters were compared with 95% confidence interval hypothesis tests for different averages, i.e., null hypothesis $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$. The results pointed out that the concentrations of TOC in raw wastewater and PO₄-P concentrations in all wastewater streams were statistically different (H₀ rejected) before and after disinfection.

In the case of TOC concentrations in real wastewater, the values measured in the effluent were on average 67% higher than the values measured in the influent. This result might be related to the already discussed shift in PSD (Fig. 5) and the fact that the method used for TOC analysis required a pre-filtration of the analyzed samples with 0.45-μm membrane.

As shown in Fig. 5, the maximum size of particles present in raw wastewater decreased from 210 μm before disinfection to 21 μm after disinfection. When samples of the pilot influent, i.e., before disinfection, were analyzed, the TOC fraction related to organic matter particles or their clusters larger than 0.45 μm was retained on the membrane during the pre-filtration step and therefore not measured. In the case of the pilot effluent, i.e., after disinfection, a larger fraction of particles present in the samples passed through the membrane and the related TOC was subsequently measured. Thus, despite the differences in TOC measured before and after disinfection of raw wastewater, it is expected that the total content of organic matter remained unchanged. This hypothesis is supported by the results of TOC measurements in diluted and electrocoagulation-treated wastewater as well.
Fig. 7 Effects of the disinfection process on the concentration and speciation of organics (COD) and nutrients (N, P) in the treated wastewater.
as by the results of COD measurements. COD is another parameter which describes the content of organic material in analyzed samples, and it does not require a pre-filtration step. For all wastewater streams, the values of COD measured before and after disinfection did not differ statistically.

Regarding PO₄–P, the average concentrations measured in the effluents were lower than those measured in the influents by 65%, 33% and 65% for diluted, ECT and raw wastewater, respectively. A possible reason for that may be complexation of PO₄–P, e.g., with Fe present in the treated water, followed by coagulation and precipitation (Korving et al. 2019; Sedlak 2018; Seida and Nakano 2002). Further, PO₄–P concentration measured in the ECT wastewater was almost two times lower than the concentration measured in raw wastewater. This can be attributed to PO₄–P coagulation and precipitation in the electrocoagulation step (Attour et al. 2014).

To get a better insight into the effects of disinfection on the distribution of COD, PO₄–P, N₅ and NH₄–N, during experiments with ECT wastewater and raw wastewater those parameters were differentiated into two fractions: total (including suspended, colloidal and dissolved fraction) and filtered (which included colloidal and soluble fractions) (Fig. 7).

Only in the case of PO₄–P in ECT wastewater, the concentrations in the colloidal and dissolved fractions differed from the total concentration. In this experimental phase, 57% of the PO₄–P present in the system influent and 35% of the PO₄–P present in the system effluent were attributed to the suspended fraction.

The lower values of PO₄–P can also be related to possible alteration of organic molecules during disinfection. A short investigation was done with raw wastewater to verify how organic compounds (here represented by volatile suspended solids, VSS) and inorganics (represented by stable solids, i.e., ashes) change during the disinfection process. The results presented in Fig. 8 show a significant reduction in organics after the treatment, while the inorganics remained rather stable. Further investigations have, however, to be performed, in order to better correlate these results with the decrease in P concentration.

**Fate of heavy metals**

Cu concentrations were measured to verify the possible migration from the heat exchanger coil into the treated water. Results showed an increase in the concentration of this element from 6–50 µg L⁻¹ in the pilot influents to 100–447 µg L⁻¹ in the effluents (Table 2). However, Cu concentrations always remained below the WHO guideline for drinking water (2000 µg L⁻¹) (WHO 2017) and below the Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG) established by the US Environmental Protection Agency (1300 ppb) (EPA 2014). Such contamination was expected given the fact that the heat exchanger is a copper coil, but, in extreme cases, where the presence of such contaminant is not acceptable at the encountered levels, it is recommended to consider using a more stable metal.

Fe concentrations were measured because of their relationship with electrocoagulation (sacrificial Fe anode) and potential PO₄–P removal. In the experiments with ECT wastewater elevated Fe concentrations (> 500 µg L⁻¹) were measured both before (influent) and after (effluent) the disinfection. Those high concentrations can be attributed to the electrodissolution of the Fe anode. Further, Fe concentrations measured in the system effluents were lower than the concentration in influents. This corroborates with the aforementioned possibility that Fe and PO₄–P present in wastewater formed insoluble complexes which coagulated and precipitated, resulting in the lowering of concentrations of those chemical species in the bulk liquid.

**Energy consumption**

A crucial aspect of thermal disinfection systems is the energy/m³ of treated water aspect ratio. Such aspect ratio is rather challenging to define when comparing different disinfection systems, because it has also to be related to the log disinfection capability of the system; therefore, it is rather variable depending on the inlet contamination level. Another consideration is the fact that the proposed system can work with high turbidity effluent, which by

---

![Fig. 8](image-url) **Fig. 8** Concentrations of suspended organic and inorganic solids before and after thermal disinfection of raw wastewater

---

|                | Cu (µg L⁻¹) | Fe (µg L⁻¹) |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|
|                | Influent    | Eflluent    | Influent | Eflluent |
| Diluted wastewater | 6 ± 4 | 119 ± 37 | 41 ± 29 | < 5 |
| ECT wastewater   | 21 ± 23 | 150 ± 109 | > 500   | > 500 |
| Raw wastewater   | 54 ± 10 | 381 ± 432 | 380 ± 54 | 231 ± 90 |
its turn excludes the necessity of a pre-treatment step for suspended solids removal. In conventional disinfection systems, given the fact that turbidity drastically affects the process efficiency, such costs have to be incorporated.

Even though a thorough energy analysis was not seen as the main scope of this work, a search of professional literature was performed to get an insight into how thermal disinfection compares to the two most commonly applied technologies, i.e., chlorination and UV irradiation. The results are presented in Table 3.

As it can be seen, the studied system is still in the higher energy demand level when compared to conventional systems. However, as also shown in Table 3, the presented system both provides higher log disinfection and excludes the necessity of a pre-treatment to remove turbidity. A simple calculation can be presented if considered, for example, an ultrafiltration system used as a pre-treatment step to remove turbidity, followed by a disinfection (chlorination) tank. Such systems normally present energy consumption values of 1.5 kW h m⁻³ (Berghof Membranes, personal communication 2019). From this value, it is possible to see that such pre-treatment can double the energy use of conventional disinfection systems. Nevertheless, it will still operate at half the consumption level of the system studied here. Again, it is important to stress that (1) the log disinfection level achieved with the studied system is much higher, (2) the study done here was not intended to optimize energy consumption (further studies will follow touching upon this point) and (3) WWTP cogeneration and investment in green energy as well as strategic locations (oil refineries, incinerators, solar power, etc.) could provide the required heat or energy to make the system energetically compatible. Moreover, in certain locations and/or circumstances, such as poor regions and areas struck by natural disasters, filtration is often unavailable and quick actions are extremely necessary.

**Conclusions**

The following conclusions can be inferred from the above-presented work:

- Overall performance of the system shows up to log 8 disinfection level with influent turbidity as high as 100 NTU.
- The following physical–chemical parameters were unaltered during the disinfection processes: pH, electrical conductivity, DO, TOC, ORP, BOD₅, COD, N₇, and NH₄–N.
- Particle count analysis has shown that the applied high temperature and pressure cause particle destruction as the outlet population presents much smaller sizes than the inlet population.
- Particle size analysis and solids analysis indicated dissolution of organics in the liquid phase.
- PO₄–P analysis indicated a reduction in its concentration for all tested influents, the highest reduction being seen in the ECT effluent. The reduction observed in the diluted and raw influent is related to organic dissolution, and the (extra) reduction observed for the ECT influent is attributed to complexation with iron species.
- Temperature measurements indicated a 5–8 °C increase in effluent temperature.
- Metal analysis indicated migration of copper ions from the heating element.
- More research must be conducted to further optimize the system’s energy consumption. Preliminary numbers have indicated consumption of around 10 W h L⁻¹, thus comparable with commercially available systems.
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