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Abstract. Loading of elastic-plastic rods with account on Prandtl’s scheme is considered in the paper, and nonlinear interactions are taken into account under the law of Coulomb friction. Exact analytical solutions of quasi-stationary problems of interaction of elastic-plastic rod and undeformable media surrounding it are given.

1 Introduction

In works [1-11], the problem of quasistatic loading of structural elements in the form of an elastic rod interacting with its environment according to the Coulomb dry friction law was formulated and solved. It is known that in the problems of longitudinal vibrations of rods interacting with soil media, attention is drawn to the fact that in certain situations, it is possible to neglect the inertial terms in the equations of motion (works [12, 16, 20, 21], etc.) in comparison with the arising shear stresses on the surfaces of interaction between the structure and the environment. In this case, quasistatic formulations of nonlinear problems arise.

The paper considers the loading of an elastic-plastic rod according to the Prandtl scheme, and nonlinear interactions are taken into account according to the Coulomb dry friction law. Exact analytical solutions of quasi-stationary problems on the interaction of an elastic-plastic rod and the non-deformable soil environment surrounding it are given. The loading of the rod particles along two loaded sections of the Prandtl diagram and the unloading of the elastoplastic rod is taken into account. Rod structures are assumed to be long enough, which are found in deep drilling structures but loaded in the longitudinal direction.

2 Methods

2.1 Statement of the problem of dynamic loading of a bar structure and a method for its solution

Let a semi-infinite elastoplastic rod, which is in the initial state in contact with its surface with a non-deformable medium, be loaded from the end section $x = 0$ (the x-axis is directed
along the rod axis and $x > 0$). Compressive loading at $x = 0$ is reduced smoothly from zero, and it is set according to the following scheme (Figure 1).

Figure 2, the diagram of elastic-plastic loading and unloading of the rod particles according to the Prandtl scheme is shown. The loading given at $x = 0$ can be determined by the following analytical representation:

$$
-\sigma_0(t) = \begin{cases} 
-\sigma_0(t), & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq t_1 \\
-\sigma_1(t), & \text{if } t_1 \leq t \leq t_2 \\
-\sigma_1(t), & \text{if } t \geq t_2 
\end{cases}
$$

$$
-\sigma_0(t_1) = -\tilde{\sigma}_0(t_1) = -\sigma_s, \quad -\sigma_0(t_2) = -\sigma_{1m}(t_2).
$$

Fig. 1. Compressive loading at $x = 0$

Fig. 2. The diagram of elastic-plastic loading and unloading of the rod particles according to the Prandtl scheme is shown

The values refer to the limit values for elastic strains and stresses. In accordance with the diagram (Fig. 2) for points, we have:

1. $\sigma = E\varepsilon$
2. $\sigma = E_1\varepsilon + (\sigma_s - E_1\cdot\varepsilon_s)$
3. $\sigma = E\varepsilon - (E - E_1)(\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_s)$

where $\sigma_{1m}$ and $\varepsilon_m$ refer to the beginning of unloading.

If there is an active compressive loading of the end section of the rod, then the resistance of the soil medium develops along the length of the rod. Neglecting the inertial terms for the loading sections (points 1 and 2 in Fig. 2), we have the following equation:

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{dx} = \tau. 
$$

For the unloading sections, we have the equation $\frac{d\sigma}{dx} = -\tau$, where $\tau$ are the increments of shear stresses on the contact surface.

Note that the time $t$ appears in this work as a parameter and derivatives concerning it; in the basic equations of motion, they are equal to zero.
Obviously, smooth loading and then unloading of the rod particles at \( x = 0 \) leads to the need to use different equilibrium equations in the loading and unloading zones. Suppose the loading and unloading process is considered only within the limits of elasticity, then in the areas of loading and unloading of a semi-infinite bar. In that case, the boundaries of these areas, which change with time, must be determined. Solutions to problems in these areas must be built under satisfying conditions for the continuity of displacements and stresses at the boundaries. Suppose there is a monotonic loading of the section \( x = 0 \) according to Fig. 1, then with the passage beyond the elastic limit. In that case, two loading zones are formed: the area of plastic and elastic deformations of the bar. Solutions in areas with movable boundaries, boundaries, and conditions on them must be determined in the process of solving problems. The analytical construction of problems becomes more complicated if the particles of the rod are unloaded from the states corresponding to points 2 (Fig. 2).

In the area for points 1 in Figure 1, when there is a loading process, which does not exceed \( \sigma_s \) at the end section, we have:

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\sigma = -\sigma_0 + \tau x \\
u(x,t) = \frac{\sigma_0(t)}{E} (l_{s1} - x) - \frac{\tau}{2E} \left(l_{s1}^2 - x^2\right)
\end{array} \right.
\]

\( l_{s1} = \frac{\sigma_0(t)}{\tau}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq l_{s1}. \) \hspace{1cm} (1)

These formulas were also obtained in [2-4].

In the region of loading beyond the elastic limit, when \( |\sigma| \geq \sigma_s \) we have

\[
\sigma = E_1 \varepsilon + \left(\sigma_s - E_1 \varepsilon_s\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\sigma}{dx} = \tau
\]

We integrate the second equation (2) for a fixed time \( t \) from zero to \( x \):

\[
\sigma(x,t) = \sigma(0,t) + \tau x
\]

(3)

Here \( t = t_1 + t' (t' > 0) \) and for times \( t > t_1 \) we have:

At \( t = t_1 \):

\[
\sigma(x,t_1) = -\sigma_0(t_1) + \tau \varepsilon = -\sigma_s + \tau \varepsilon
\]

At \( t = t_2 \):

\[
\sigma(x,t_2) = -\sigma_0(t_2) + \tau \varepsilon = -\sigma_m(t_2) + \tau \varepsilon
\]

Based on the first relation (2), we have:

\[
E_1 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \left(\sigma_s - E_1 \varepsilon_s\right) = -\sigma_0(t) + \tau \varepsilon
\]

(4)

From here, we get:
\[
\begin{align*}
u(x, t) - \nu(0, t) &= \frac{\alpha^2}{2E_1} - \frac{\sigma_0(t)}{E_1} x + \varepsilon_x x - \frac{\sigma_s}{E_1} x \\
\text{(5)}
\end{align*}
\]

Solutions (5) are valid on the interval \(0 < x < l_{s2}(t)\), where the boundary relations for elastically and plastically deformable regions can be used to determine \(l_{s2}(t)\):

\[-\sigma_s = -\sigma_0(t) + \tau \cdot l_{s2}\]

Hence we have:

\[l_{s2} = \frac{\sigma_0(t) - \sigma_s}{\tau}\]

In the region \(l_{s2}(t) < x < l_{s1}(t)\), where the rod is deformed within the elasticity, we again have:

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{dx} = \tau
\]

Integrating it from \(l_{s2}(t)\) to \(x\), we have:

\[
\sigma = -\sigma_s + \tau(x - l_{s2})
\]

where is the border \(l_{s1} = \sigma_s / \tau + l_{s2}(t)\).

Considering that here \(\sigma = E \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial x}\) and integrating, we find:

\[
u(x, t) = \nu(l_{s2}, t) - \frac{\sigma_s}{E} (x - l_{s2}) + \frac{\tau}{2E} \left(x - l_{s2}\right)^2 , \text{где } l_{s2} \leq x \leq l_{s1} \tag{7}
\]

Relation (7) makes it possible to determine \(u(l_{s2}, t)\) from the condition \(u(l_{s1}, t) = 0\). Given this circumstance, we get:

\[
u(x, t) = \frac{\sigma_s}{E} (l_{s1} - x) + \frac{\tau}{2E} \left[x^2 - l_{s1}^2 + 2 \cdot l_{s2} (l_{s1} - x)\right] , \text{where } l_{s2} \leq x \leq l_{s1} \tag{8}
\]

If we substitute the values of the above expressions for \(l_{s1}\) and \(l_{s2}\), then after some calculations, we get:

\[
u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2E \tau} \left[\sigma_0(t) - \tau x\right]^2 , \text{where } l_{s2} \leq x \leq l_{s1} \tag{9}
\]

from (5) and (9), we get:
\[ u \left( l_{s2}, t \right) = u \left( 0, t \right) - \frac{\sigma_s}{E_1} l_{s2} + \varepsilon_s \cdot l_{s2} - \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_0 \left( t \right)}{E_1} \cdot l_{s2} + \frac{\tau}{2E_1} \cdot l_{s2}^2 \]  

(10)

\[ u \left( l_{s2}, t \right) = \frac{1}{2E\tau} \left[ \tilde{\sigma}_0 \left( t \right) - \tau \cdot l_{s2} \right]^2 \]  

(11)

Equating the right-hand sides (10) and (11) we get:

\[ u \left( 0, t \right) = \frac{1}{2E\tau} \left[ \tilde{\sigma}_0 \left( t \right) - \tau \cdot l_{s2} \right]^2 + \frac{\sigma_s}{E_1} l_{s2} - \varepsilon_s \cdot l_{s2} + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_0 \left( t \right)}{E_1} \cdot l_{s2} - \frac{\tau}{2E_1} \cdot l_{s2}^2 \]  

(12)

We substitute (12) into (5), and after substituting the expressions for \( l_{s1} \) and \( l_{s2} \) we get:

\[ u \left( x, t \right) = \frac{\tau}{2E_1} x^2 + \frac{\sigma_s^2}{2E\tau} - \left( \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_0 \left( t \right) + \sigma_s}{E_1} - \varepsilon_s \right) x - \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_0 - \sigma_s}{\tau} \cdot x + \frac{3\sigma_0^2 - \sigma_s^2 - 2\tilde{\sigma}_0 \sigma_s}{2E_1 \tau} \]  

(13)

Thus, under monotonic loading of a semi-infinite bar in a region plastically deformed with a movable boundary, stresses and displacements are calculated by the formulas:

\[
\begin{aligned}
  u(x,t) &= \begin{cases} 
    \frac{\tau}{2E_1} x^2 + \frac{\sigma_s^2}{2E\tau} - \left( \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_0 \left( t \right) + \sigma_s}{E_1} - \varepsilon_s \right) x - \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_0 - \sigma_s}{\tau} \cdot x + \frac{3\sigma_0^2 - \sigma_s^2 - 2\tilde{\sigma}_0 \sigma_s}{2E_1 \tau} & \text{for } x \leq l_{s2}, \\
    \sigma = -\sigma_s + \tau \left( x - l_{s2} \right) & \text{for } l_{s2} \leq x \leq l_{s1}
  \end{cases}
\end{aligned}
\]  

(14)

We add here the solutions obtained above in the elastically deformable region:

\[ u \left( x, t \right) = \frac{1}{2E\tau} \left[ \tilde{\sigma}_0 \left( t \right) - \tau x \right]^2, \text{ where } l_{s2} \leq x \leq l_{s1} \]  

(15)

It is not difficult to make sure that stresses and displacements are continuous functions at the moving boundary between the regions \( l_{s2} = \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_0 \left( t \right) - \sigma_s}{\tau} \).

In the unloading area

\[ \frac{d\sigma}{dx} = -\tau \]  

(16)

\[ \sigma(x,t) = -\sigma_1 \left( t \right) - \tau x, l_{s3} \left( t \right) = \frac{\sigma_{1m} \left( t_2 \right) - \sigma_1 \left( t \right)}{2\tau} \]  

At the moment \( t = t_2 \) from \( 0 \leq x \leq l_{s2} \), we have:
\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = -\frac{\sigma_0(t_2)}{E_1} + \frac{\tau x + \sigma_s - \varepsilon_s}{E_1} = -\frac{\sigma_1(t_2)}{E_1} + \frac{\tau x + \sigma_s - \varepsilon_s}{E_1}
\]

When unloading, we have:

\[
\sigma = E\varepsilon - \left(E - E_1\right)\left(\varepsilon_m(x) - \varepsilon_s\right)
\]

(17)

From (16), we have:

\[
-\sigma_1(t) - \tau x = E \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial x} - \left(E - E_1\right)\left(\varepsilon_m(x) - \varepsilon_s\right)
\]

(18)

The boundary \(x = l_{s3}(t)\) is the leading front of unloading, and in the \(0 \leq x \leq l_{s3}\) sections for the beginning of unloading, the deformation is equal \(\varepsilon_m(x)\). For each section \(x^*\), where \(0 \leq x^* \leq l_{s3}\), you can determine the start time of unloading from the equation:

\[
x^* = l_{s3}, \quad \text{that is, } x^* = \frac{\sigma_{1m}(t_2) - \sigma_1(t)}{2\tau}.
\]

\[
\sigma_1(t) = \sigma_{1m}(t_2) - 2\tau \cdot x^* = \psi(t_2, x^*)
\]

we have: \(t^* = \psi^*(t_2, x^*)\), where \(\psi^*\) is the inverse function \(\psi(t_2, x^*)\) of the function at a constant value of \(t_2\). Then

\[
\sigma(x,t) \bigg|_{t=t^*, x=x^*} = -\sigma_1(t^*) - \tau \cdot x^* = -\sigma_m(x^*)
\]

Then from (17), we have

\[
\varepsilon_m(x^*) = -\frac{\sigma_m(x^*)}{E_1} - \frac{E - E_1}{E_1} \cdot \varepsilon_s
\]

(18)

Thus, for each fixed \(x^*\), we find \(\sigma_m(x^*) = \varepsilon_m(x^*)\).

From (18), if \(\varepsilon_m(x)\) there is a known function of \(x\), then

\[
E \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial x} = \left(E - E_1\right)\left(\varepsilon_m(x) - \varepsilon_s\right) - \sigma_1(t) - \tau x
\]

(19)
When unloading, we have:

\[
\varepsilon_m(x)dx - \frac{(E - E_1)}{E} \varepsilon_s x = 0
\]

At the boundary during \( x = x^* \) displacement should be continuous, equating (20) and (14), we determine \( u(0,t) \) and substituting it into (20), we find \( u(x,t) \) when \( x \in [0,l_{s3}] \).

\[
u(x,t) = \frac{\tau}{2E}\int^2_{l_{s2}} - \left( \frac{\sigma_0(t_2) - \sigma_s}{E_1} \right) l_{s2} + \frac{\sigma_0(t_2) - \sigma_s}{\tau} \varepsilon_s
\]

\[
+ \frac{\sigma_0(t_2) - \sigma_s}{2E_1} \frac{2}{2E} \int^2_{l_{s2}} (l_{s2}^2 - x^2) + \left( l_{s2} - x \right)
\]

\[
\left( \frac{\sigma_1(t)}{E} + \frac{(E - E_1)}{E} \varepsilon_s \right) + \frac{(E - E_1)}{E} \left\{ \frac{1}{E_1} \sigma_0(x^*,t^*) x - \frac{(E - E_1)}{E} \varepsilon_s x \right\} - \Delta M \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_m(x_i)
\]

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Numerical example of solving the problem of loading and unloading a rod with external dry friction.

Let a \( \sigma_0(t) \) stress be applied to the end \( x = 0 \) of the elastoplastic rod, which increases to the value \( a_0 \), and starting from the moment of time \( t_2 \), the unloading of the rod particles occurs.

The end of the bar \( x = 0 \) is loaded according to a given law

\[
\sigma_0(t) = \begin{cases} 
-a_0 \sin \omega t, & 0 \leq t \leq t_1 \\
a_0 \sin \omega t, & t_1 \leq t \leq t_2 \\
-\sigma_1(t-t_3)/(t_2-t_3), & t_2 \leq t \leq t_3 
\end{cases}
\]

\( a_0 = 135 \text{ MPa}; \omega = 1 \text{ рад/сек} \).

\( t_1 = 0.73 \text{ сек}; t_2 = 1.336 \text{ сек}; t_3 = 2 \text{ сек} \).

Let us take the following initial data for deformable media: material its low carbon steel (HRB 77) with parameters \( E = 2.1 \times 10^5 \text{ MPa} \), \( \gamma = 7800 \text{ KG/m}^3 \), \( \sigma_s = 90 \text{ MPa} \), \( \varepsilon_s = 0.00043 \), \( \sigma_{lm} = 132 \text{ MPa} \), \( E_1 = 0.1 \cdot E \text{ MPa} \) is linear hardening module. At \( \nu = 0.3 \), \( \tau^* = 11.428 \text{ MPa/m} \) is the shear stress at the interface between the rod and the medium.
Fig. 3. Distribution of longitudinal stresses along the length of the bar for fixed points in time.

Fig. 4. Distribution of longitudinal deformations of the bar sections along the length.

Figure 3-4 show the graphs of changes in longitudinal stresses and deformations in unloading zones, elastic-plastic and elastic zones for fixed points in time. Area $0 < x < 1.26$ - corresponds to the unloading zone, $1.26 < x < 3.77$ - to the elastic-plastic zone, $3.77 < x < 11.78$ - to the elastic zone, for different moments of time.

Figure 4 shows that in the unloading region, the deformation increases in absolute value, i.e., the structure is stretched and then abruptly passes into the plastic zone, decreasing along the length of the rod.

In the unloading zone, the stress increases until the time $t_3$, then gradually decreases along the length of the rod.

4 Conclusions

1. The problem of quasistatic loading and unloading of long bar structures in an elastic medium and interacting with it according to the Coulomb dry friction law has been solved. Analytical solutions are given for quasi-stationary problems for an elastic-plastic rod and its surrounding soil medium.
2. The boundaries of movable elastic, elastoplastic loading surfaces of the rod and the area where the particles of the rod are unloaded are determined.
3. Exact analytical formulas developed parameters in deformable areas of impact, which can be used in quasistatic formulations of the structure and environment.
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