Comparison of three different surface plank exercises on core muscle activity
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Objective: This study compared the muscle activities of the erector spinae (ES), the external oblique (EO), and the rectus abdominis (RA) on three different surfaces. The purpose of this study was to determine which surface induces the highest muscle activity during the plank exercises. The information from this study can be used to recommend plank exercises to athletes and patients with weak core muscles.

Design: Cross-sectional study.

Methods: The subjects include 20 adult males attending S University in Seoul. Participants completed each plank exercise on three different surfaces. To measure muscle activities, researchers used the values from electromyography. The measurement excluded the initial two and final two seconds and collected information on the RA, EO, and ES in each posture of each subject.

Results: The left external oblique showed significant differences between the plank position on stable ground (ST) and the plank position using a suspension device (SL) \((p<0.05)\) and between the plank position on the unstable ground (US) and SL \((p<0.05)\). The right rectus abdominis and left rectus abdominis displayed statistically significant differences between the ST and the US \((p<0.05)\) and between the ST and the SL \((p<0.05)\). The right erector spinae had a statistically significant difference between ST and US \((p<0.05)\).

Conclusions: The plank exercise strengthens the core muscles effectively, and muscle activity is related to the posture of the exercise and the location of the muscle. These results suggest that plank exercises improve muscle activities. Additionally, plank exercises can be applied to general medical care.
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Introduction

Core muscles are the deep and shallow muscles of the trunk; they stabilize the spinal column, align the body, and enhance performance when the extremities move [1]. Weaknesses in core muscles can cause changes in body configuration and compress the posterior joints of the lumbar spine. Additionally, excessive anterior or posterior leaning of the pelvis accompanied by the tension of the thoracolumbar fascia may result in increased shocks on the flanks and lower extremities [2].

Core exercises can prevent injuries in sports and rehabilitation treatment by maximizing muscle power and endurance [3]. Exercises to strengthen core muscles include the crunch exercise, the bridge exercise, and the plank exercise [4]. Among them, the plank exercise estimates and strengthens the stability of the core muscles. Core muscle stability is crucial for preventing injuries to the knees, hip joints, and the lumbar spine. Moreover, core muscle stability relieves back pain by maintaining proper alignment for posture and gait [5].

The plank exercise is a posture designed to use body weight to resist gravity; it can be performed on various surfaces, in variable positions, with devices, and impacts multiple
joints. Plank exercises on the unstable surface using dynamic cushions for the lower extremities can induce greater activities than plank exercises of the upper extremities on the unstable or on the stable surface [6]. Plank exercises using a suspension device generates greater core muscle activities compared to plank exercises performed on stable or unstable surfaces [5].

Few studies have comprehensively examined core muscle activity in the plank posture on stable and unstable surfaces, or while using a suspension device. This study compared the muscle activities of the erector spinae (ES), external oblique (EO), and rectus abdominis (RA) on three different surfaces while subjects performed plank exercises. The purpose of this study is to determine which surface induces the highest muscle activity during the plank exercises. The information from this study can be used to recommend plank exercises to athletes and patients with weak core muscles.

Methods

Subjects

The subjects include 20 adult males attending Sahmyook University in Seoul. The present study was approved by Sahmyook University Institutional Review Board and each subject was able to follow instructions and gave informed consent by signing an approved consent form; thus, the rights of human subjects were protected. The selection criteria included individuals who: understood the study, a body mass index between 18.5 and 25 kg/m², volunteered to join, and had exercised less than three times a week. The exclusion criteria included individuals who had: exercise limitations from a physician, used steroid or protein supplements, drank more than two bottles of alcohol a week, or could not maintain the plank posture.

Procedures

Twenty subjects practiced the plank position and warmed up before the researchers measured core muscle activity. After the warm-up, five subjects were withdrawn from the study due to immature posturing. The order of the three exercises was randomly assigned to the fifteen subjects.

The plank position utilized had the same initial posture. Both forearms maintained contact with the ground while the hands made fists and the elbows maintained a distance of 30 cm apart. The researchers instructed the subjects to protract the scapulas and maintain 90-degree angles at the ankles. The abdomen was contracted using the abdominal drawing-in maneuver method; the heights of the shoulders and hips from the ground were kept at 25 cm. The plank exercise on the stable ground required that the feet touch the ground (Figure 1) [7]. The plank exercise on the unstable ground utilized a dynamic cushion at the bottom of each foot; the air pressure was the same in the two cushions. The subjects were required to maintain the posture [8] for 15 seconds (Figure 2). In the plank exercise using a suspension device, each ankle was tied to the straps of the device. The heights of the ankles from the ground were maintained at 40-50 cm. Support was provided as needed so that the subject did not excessively tilt towards the front or swing from side to side (Figure 3) [5]. All subjects were advised to breathe regularly.
while performing plank exercises.

Each experiment consisted of a three minute warm up and three sets of a plank exercise maintained for fifteen seconds. A break was given for 30 seconds between each set; a three-minute break was given between performing each different plank exercise. To measure muscle activities, researchers used the values from electromyography (TELEMYO 2400T G2®; NORAXON, Scottsdale, AZ, USA). The measurement excluded the initial two and final two seconds and collected information on the RA, EO, and ES in each posture of each subject. The greatest value of electromyography when each muscle was contracted to its maximum was regarded as the maximal voluntary isometric contraction (MVIC). The MVIC was measured three times for five seconds each by having the participant resist the researcher’s manual resistance. To help assess manual resistance to the RA, the subject lifted their upper body while flexing the hip joints and the knees; the evaluator applied resistance on both shoulders. For the EO, the subject rotated the erected upper body to the left and right; the evaluator applied resistance on both shoulders. For the ES, the subject laid down on their abdomen, placed their hands with locked fingers on the back of the head, and lifted the upper body up as much as possible while an evaluator helped to fix the lower body and another evaluator applied resistance on both shoulders [5].

The electromyography leads were placed on 2 cm lateral points from the umbilicus with 3 cm longitudinal intervals for the RA. For the EO, the leads were placed between the 12th rib and the iliac crest, along the fibers of the EO just above the anterior superior iliac spine with 2 cm intervals. For the ES, the leads were placed on 2 cm lateral points from the spinous process of the level of the iliac crest with 2 cm longitudinal intervals [9].

**Table 1. Comparison of muscle activities between groups**

| Variable   | ST-plank  | US-plank    | SL-plank    | F     | p     |
|------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|
| REO (%MVIC)| 29.61 (16.19) | 33.60 (23.07) | 38.93 (28.86) | 2.210 | 0.149 |
| LEO (%MVIC)| 33.58 (23.85) | 36.32 (28.65) | 41.03 (29.71) | 3.627 | 0.040 |
| RRA (%MVIC)| 20.28 (10.83) | 24.82 (13.26) | 27.04 (16.78) | 3.844 | 0.049 |
| LRA (%MVIC)| 19.34 (11.44) | 23.72 (14.28) | 24.60 (14.99) | 5.488 | 0.019 |
| RES (%MVIC)| 5.15 (1.43)   | 5.88 (1.86)   | 6.91 (3.49)   | 8.424 | 0.005 |
| LES (%MVIC)| 5.34 (3.03)   | 6.08 (2.74)   | 5.83 (2.35)   | 3.783 | 0.051 |

Values are presented as n (%) or mean (SD).

ST-plank: the plank position on stable ground, US-plank: the plank position on unstable ground, SL-plank: the plank position using a suspension device, REO: right external oblique muscle, LEO: left external oblique muscle, RRA: right rectus abdominal muscle, LRA: left rectus abdominal muscle, RES: right erector spinal muscle, LES: left erector spinal muscle, MVIC: maximum voluntary isometric contraction.

**Analysis**

The average and maximum values were calculated using PASW ver. 18.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA). Researchers used Mauchly’s Test of Sphericity to determine if the variances of the differences between all possible pairs of groups were equal. Researchers analyzed the data using repeated measures analysis of variance to evaluate the differences between the groups. The threshold of the statistical significance was 0.05.

**Results**

The general characteristics of the subjects revealed no significant difference between the samples. The average weight and height of the subjects were 67 kg and 174.5 cm, respectively.

Performing a plank exercise on three different surfaces revealed statistically significant differences in the muscle activities of the left external oblique (LEO), right rectus abdominis (RRA), left rectus abdominis (LRA), and right erector spinae (RES) ($p<0.05$); there were no significant muscle activity differences in the right external oblique (REO) and left erector spinae (LES) (Table 1, Figure 4). The LEO showed significant differences between the plank position on stable ground (ST) and the plank position using a suspension device (SL) ($p=0.028$) and between the plank position on the unstable ground (US) and SL ($p=0.047$); however, there were no significant differences between ST and US. The RRA displayed statistically significant differences between the ST and the US ($p=0.012$) and between the ST and the SL ($p=0.034$); however, there were no significant differences between the US and the SL. The LRA exhibited statistically significant differences between ST and US.
Figure 4. Comparison of muscle activities between groups. ST-plank: the plank position on stable ground, US-plank: the plank position using a suspension device, REO: right external oblique muscle, LEO: left external oblique muscle, RRA: right rectus abdominal muscle, LRA: left rectus abdominal muscle, RES: right erector spinal muscle, LES: left erector spinal muscle, MVIC: maximum voluntary isometric contraction (*p<0.05).
Based on the previous studies, the plank exercise strengthens the core muscles effectively, and muscle activity is related to the posture of the exercise and the location of the muscle.
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