The Horizon-AGN simulation: evolution of galaxy properties over cosmic time
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ABSTRACT
We compare the predictions of Horizon-AGN, a hydrodynamical cosmological simulation that uses an adaptive mesh refinement code, to observational data in the redshift range 0 < z < 6. We study the reproduction, by the simulation, of quantities that trace the aggregate stellar-mass growth of galaxies over cosmic time: luminosity and stellar-mass functions, the star formation main sequence, rest-frame UV–optical–near-infrared colours and the cosmic star formation history. We show that Horizon-AGN, which is not tuned to reproduce the local Universe, produces good overall agreement with these quantities, from the present day to the epoch when the Universe was 5 per cent of its current age. By comparison to Horizon-noAGN, a twin simulation without active galactic nuclei feedback, we quantify how feedback from black holes is likely to help shape galaxy stellar-mass growth in the redshift range 0 < z < 6, particularly in the most massive galaxies. Our results demonstrate that Horizon-AGN successfully captures the evolutionary trends of observed galaxies over the lifetime of the Universe, making it an excellent tool for studying the processes that drive galaxy evolution and making predictions for the next generation of galaxy surveys.
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1 INTRODUCTION
In recent years, an explosion of multiwavelength survey data has enabled us to probe the evolution of galaxy properties over ~90 per cent of cosmic time. To interpret these observations in the context of the Λ cold dark matter (ΛCDM) paradigm (e.g. Rees & Ostriker 1977; White & Rees 1978), and understand the processes that underpin galaxy formation, well-calibrated models are required, which reproduce the broad evolution of galaxy properties over the lifetime of the Universe.

In this paradigm, initial density perturbations, which are gravitationally amplified, collapse to form dark matter haloes. Smaller haloes form first and merge under the influence of gravity to form progressively larger ones (e.g. Peebles 1982; Blumenthal et al. 1984). Cold gas settles into the dark matter potential wells within rotationally supported discs (e.g. Fall & Efstathiou 1980; Mo, Mao & White 1998), with star formation regulated by the local gas density (e.g. Schmidt 1959; Kennicutt 1998). Supernovae (SNe) enrich the interstellar medium with metals, and inject thermal and kinetic energy into the ambient gas (e.g. Scannapieco et al. 2008). Further sources of energetic feedback are active galactic nuclei (AGN), which release part of the rest-mass energy of accreted matter into the gas reservoir of their host galaxies (e.g. Fabian 2012).

While in low-mass galaxies, SNe can deplete cold-gas reservoirs and regulate star formation (e.g. Dekel & Silk 1986), they are ineffective in the deeper gravitational potential wells of massive galaxies. In this regime, AGN offer a plausible source of regulation, since the energy released by black hole (BH) accretion can be orders of magnitude larger than the binding energy of the gas (e.g. Fabian 2012). However, observational evidence for this process remains mixed. While there is strong evidence that
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AGN regulate cooling from hot gas (e.g. Tabor & Binney 1993; McNamara & Nulsen 2007), the AGN couple only weakly to gas acquired via mergers and accretion, at least at low redshift (e.g. Kaviraj et al. 2015; Sarzi et al. 2016). Nevertheless, both theoretical and observational work indicates that AGN are likely to play an important role in shaping galaxy evolution (e.g. Silk & Rees 1998; Bower et al. 2006; Croton et al. 2006; Cattaneo et al. 2009; Dubois et al. 2012; Kimm et al. 2012).

While the interplay between star formation and feedback processes shapes stellar-mass and BH growth, the observed changes in the morphological mix of the Universe (e.g. Mortlock et al. 2013; Conselice 2014) are postulated to be driven largely by merging. Both major mergers (e.g. Toomre & Toomre 1972; White 1978; Barnes 1992; Hernquist 1992; Springel, Di Matteo & Hernquist 2005) and minor interactions (which can trigger disc instabilities, see e.g. Dekel et al. 2009; Kaviraj et al. 2013; Welker et al. 2017) can convert rotation-dominated (discy) systems into dispersion-dominated spheroids.

Over the last two decades, semi-analytical models (e.g. White & Rees 1978; White & Frenk 1991; Kauffmann, White & Guiderdoni 1993; Hatton et al. 2003; Baugh 2006; Benson 2012) have been successful in reproducing many of the bulk properties of galaxies over a significant fraction of cosmic time. By employing approximations derived from more detailed numerical simulations, and empirical calibrations from data, the semi-analytical approach has offered a computationally inexpensive route to probing the phenomenology of galaxy formation and, in particular, the theoretical analysis of today’s large survey data sets (e.g. Cole et al. 2000; Hatton et al. 2003; Bower et al. 2006; Somerville et al. 2012; Overzier et al. 2013; Bernyk et al. 2016). A significant recent advance has been the advent of full hydrodynamical simulations in cosmological volumes, which, while more computationally demanding than the semi-analytical approach, evolve the dark matter and baryons self-consistently. These simulations provide predictions for the gas and baryonic components of galaxies at high spatial resolution (on ~kpc scales), and, while sub-grid prescriptions are still needed, these are applied on ~kpc scales rather than at ~100 kpc scales as in the semi-analytical approach.

The cosmological box sizes of the current generation of hydrodynamical simulations offer, for the first time, detailed survey-scale predictions that can be compared to contemporary data sets across a large fraction of cosmic time (e.g. Devriendt et al. 2010; Schaye et al. 2010; Dubois et al. 2014; Vogelsberger et al. 2014; Khandai et al. 2015; Schaye et al. 2015). In concert with current and forthcoming observational data, these simulations will, over the next few years, play a central role in advancing our understanding of the key processes that drive galaxy evolution, particularly in the (still poorly understood) high-redshift Universe. It is, therefore, important to study the reproduction of galaxy properties in such models to establish whether they provide a reliable framework for interpreting current and future observational data sets.

In this paper, we explore the predicted redshift evolution of galaxy properties in the Horizon-AGN cosmological simulation (Dubois et al. 2014), the first such simulation that uses an adaptive mesh refinement (AMR) code and reaches z = 0. Recent work has used this simulation to probe the intrinsic alignments of galaxies for calibrating weak-lensing analyses (Dubois et al. 2014; Cibos et al. 2015; Welker et al. 2015, 2017; Chisari et al. 2016), the evolution of galaxy morphology (Welker et al. 2017) and the role of merging at high redshift (Kaviraj et al. 2015). This study is part of a series of papers that will explore the cosmic evolution of BHs (Volonteri et al. 2016; Beckmann et al. 2017), the morphological transformation of galaxies (Dubois et al. 2016) and dark matter cusp-core modulation by AGN activity (Peirani et al. 2016).

In this work, we study the predicted evolution, in Horizon-AGN, of quantities that are sensitive to the aggregate star formation history (SFH) of the galaxy population: luminosity and stellar mass functions, the star formation main sequence, rest-frame UV–optical–near-infrared (UV–optical–NIR) colours and the cosmic SFH. By comparing these predictions to an array of corresponding observational data in the redshift range 0 < z < 6, we explore how well the simulation captures the evolutionary trends of observed galaxies, and probe its usefulness as a tool to investigate the processes that drive that evolution.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the simulation and the methodology used for the prediction of galaxy luminosities, stellar masses and rest-frame colours. In Section 3, we study the reproduction of luminosity functions, the star formation main sequence and rest-frame UV–optical–NIR colours in Horizon-AGN. In Section 4, we probe the reproduction of stellar-mass functions and the cosmic SFH in the model. We summarize our findings in Section 5.

2 THE HORIZON-AGN SIMULATION

Horizon-AGN is a cosmological hydrodynamical simulation (Dubois et al. 2014) that employs the AMR Eulerian hydrodynamics code, RAMSES (Teyssier 2002). While the simulation is described in Dubois et al. (2012, 2014), we briefly revisit key aspects of the model here. The size of the simulation box is 100 h−1 Mpc (comoving), which contains 10243 dark matter particles and uses initial conditions corresponding to a WMAP7 ΛCDM cosmology (Komatsu et al. 2011). The dark matter mass resolution is 8 × 106 M⊙. A quasi-Lagrangian criterion is used to refine the initially uniform 10243 grid, when eight times the initial total matter resolution is reached in a cell, down to a minimum cell size of 1 kpc in proper units. Gas cools via H, He and metals (following Sutherland & Dopita 1993) down to 104 K, and a uniform UV background is switched on at z = 10, following Haardt & Madau (1996).

2.1 Star formation and stellar feedback

Star particles are created using a standard 2 per cent efficiency per free-fall time (Kennicutt 1998), when the gas hydrogen density reaches a critical threshold of 0.1 H cm−3. Star formation is assumed to follow a Schmidt–Kennicutt law (Kennicutt 1998), with a Poissonian random process (Rasera & Teyssier 2006; Dubois & Teyssier 2008) that has a stellar mass resolution of ~2 × 105 M⊙.

We implement a sub-grid model for stellar feedback that probes all processes that may impart thermal and kinetic feedback on the ambient gas. Many previous works that implement stellar feedback employ a single SN explosion per star particle to minimize the computational cost (e.g. Dubois & Teyssier 2008). However, this is an oversimplification, particularly from the point of view of chemical enrichment. A significant fraction of stellar mass is, in fact, lost through various phases of stellar evolution, such as Wolf–Rayet stars or the asymptotic giant branch (Leitherer, Robert & Drissen 1992). Thus, stellar feedback should be modelled more realistically by taking into account stellar winds and both Type II and Type Ia SNe (e.g. Kobayashi & Nakasato 2011; Hopkins, Quataert & Murray 2012).

To this end, Horizon-AGN implements continuous stellar feedback that includes momentum, mechanical energy and metals from Type II SNe, stellar winds and Type Ia SNe. For stellar winds and
Type II SNe, \textsc{starburst}99 (Leitherer et al. 1999, 2010) is used to generate look-up tables as a function of metallicity and age. Specifically, we use the Padova model (Girardi et al. 2000) with thermally pulsating asymptotic branch stars (Vassiliadis & Wood 1993), with the kinetic energy of stellar winds calculated via the ‘Evolution’ model of Leitherer et al. (1992).

We implement Type Ia SNe following Matteucci & Greggio (1986), assuming a binary fraction of 5 per cent (Matteucci & Recchi 2001). The chemical yields for Type Ia explosions are taken from the W7 model of Nomoto et al. (2007). Although the energy input from this source is minor compared to that of Type II SNe (∼10 per cent of the total kinetic energy), they provide a significant fraction (∼50 per cent) of the iron for the chosen parameters. In order to mimic the propagation of bubbles as realistically as possible, we allow for the injection of energy, mass and momentum only if a blast wave from star particles in each cell propagates to \( r_\text{b} \geq 2 \Delta x \), where \( \Delta x \) is the size of the host cell and \( r_\text{b} \) is the radius of the shock front at \( \Delta t \):

\[
r_\text{b} \approx 44 \text{ pc} \left[ \left( \frac{E}{10^{48} \text{ erg}} \right) \left( \frac{0.1 \text{ H cm}^{-3}}{n_\text{H}} \right) \right]^{0.2} \left[ \frac{\Delta t}{10^9 \text{ yr}} \right]^{0.4}.
\]

If the energy released from each cell at \( \Delta t \) is \( t_\text{last} - t_\text{now} \) is not large enough to push the blast wave to \( 2 \Delta x \), we accumulate the energy, momentum and metals until the next time-step, where \( t_\text{last} \) is the time at which the last blast wave is launched. This produces a more realistic evolution of bubbles and prevents them from expanding too rapidly.

To reduce the computational cost, we model the stellar feedback as a heat source after 50 Myr, while the energy liberated before 50 Myr from star particles is modelled as kinetic feedback, as described above. This is a reasonable choice, given that, after 50 Myr, almost all of the energy is liberated via Type Ia SNe that have time delays between several hundred Myr to a few Gyr (e.g. Maoz et al. 2012). These systems are less prone to excessive radiative losses, as stars are likely to disrupt or move away from their dense birth clouds after around a few tens of Myr (e.g. Blitz & Shu 1980; Hartmann, Ballesteros-Paredes & Bergin 2001).

2.2 Feedback from black holes

Seed BHs with a mass of \( 10^5 \, M_\odot \) are assumed to form in dense star-forming regions, where both the gas and stellar densities are above \( \rho_\text{crit} \), and where the stellar velocity dispersion is larger than 100 km s\(^{-1}\). The growth of the BH is tracked self-consistently, based on a modified Bondi accretion rate at high gas densities (Booth & Schaye 2009). The accretion rate is capped at Eddington, with a standard radiative efficiency of 0.1.

The central BH impacts ambient gas in two possible ways, depending on the gas accretion rate. For Eddington ratios \( \gg 0.01 \) (high accretion rates), 1.5 per cent of the accretion energy is injected as thermal energy (a quasar-like feedback mode), whilst for Eddington ratios \( \ll 0.01 \) (low accretion rates), bipolar jets are employed with a 10 per cent efficiency. The parameters are chosen to produce an agreement with the local cosmic BH mass density, and the \( M_{\text{BH}} - M_* \) and \( M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma_* \) relations (Dubois et al. 2012). An explicit dynamical drag force is exerted from the gas on to the BHs (Ostriker 1999; Chapon, Mayer & Teyssier 2013) in order to stabilize BH motions into galaxies and suppress limited resolution effects (Dubois et al. 2013). Finally, BHs are allowed to merge when they are closer than 4 kpc and when their relative velocity is smaller than the escape velocity of the binary.

We note that, apart from choosing the BH-feedback parameters to match the \( M_{\text{BH}} - M_* \) and \( M_{\text{BH}} - \sigma_* \) relations, Horizon-AGN is not otherwise tuned to reproduce the bulk properties of galaxies such as stellar mass and luminosity functions, galaxy sizes, etc., at \( z \sim 0 \).

2.3 Galaxy identification and prediction of observables

We identify galaxies using the \textsc{adaptahop} structure finder (Aubert, Pichon & Colombi 2004; Tweed et al. 2009), applied to the distribution of star particles. Structures are selected using a local threshold of 178 times the average matter density, with the local density of individual particles calculated using the 20 nearest neighbours. Only structures that have more than 50 particles are considered. We compute galaxy fluxes and magnitudes using the stellar models of Bruzual & Charlot (2003, hereafter \textsc{bc03}), using a Chabrier (2003) initial mass function. We assume that each star particle behaves as a simple stellar population, and compute its contribution to the total spectral energy distribution (SED) by logarithmically interpolating the models in metallicity and age and multiplying by the initial mass of the particle.

Fig. 1 shows a 14 arcmin\(^2\) mock \( r, z \) composite image from the Horizon-AGN lightcone (a simulated box where one axis is redshift), constructed using star particles in the redshift range \( 0.1 < z < 5.8 \), via the BC03 models as described above. The resolution of the image is 0.15 arcsec pix\(^{-1}\), and the lightcone was produced on-the-fly at every time-step of the simulation. We direct readers to Pichon et al. (2010) for details of the lightcone construction. Note that dust extinction is not taken into account and that non-stellar sources (e.g. AGN) are not included in this image.

We calculate attenuation by dust using the \textsc{sunset} code. The gas density and metallicity are extracted, under the assumption that the dust mass scales with the gas metal mass, with a dust-to-metal ratio of 0.4 (e.g. Dwek 1998; Draine et al. 2007). We compute the column density of dust, and thus the line-of-sight optical depth for each star particle, using the \( R = 3,1 \) Milky Way dust grain model of Weingartner & Draine (2001). The gas is assumed to be transparent beyond 1 virial radius (but we note that relaxing this assumption leaves our conclusions unchanged). This dust implementation assumes that all the dust is placed in a screen in front of each star particle. Nevertheless, the geometry of the metals, and therefore the spatial distribution of dust within the galaxy, is taken into account. The total dust-attenuated SED is computed by summing the contribution of all star particles. Magnitudes are computed after convolving this SED through the appropriate filter curves. Galaxy magnitudes are extracted within Petrosian apertures (Petrosian 1976; Blanton et al. 2001; Graham et al. 2005). While many observational studies use Petrosian apertures, other variants such as Kron (e.g. Kron 1980) or modified Sérsic (e.g. Bernardi et al. 2013) apertures can also be employed, leading to small shifts in galaxy luminosities (e.g. Graham & Driver 2005). However, these offsets are typically lower than the statistical uncertainties.

We note that, ideally, we would like to quantify the effect of dust on galaxy SEDs via a full radiative transfer approach. While processing all model galaxies using this approach is prohibitively time-consuming, we check, in Section 3, whether using a dust screen is a reasonable assumption to make in our calculations. We perform this check by using \textsc{sunrise} (Jonsson 2006; Jonsson, Groves & Cox 2010), a Monte Carlo radiative transfer code applicable to arbitrary dust geometries, on a small random sample of galaxies. In a similar vein to \textsc{sunset}, \textsc{sunrise} employs the gas metallicity distribution as a proxy for the dust distribution, with a metal-to-dust ratio of 0.4 and the \( R = 3.1 \) Milky Way dust grain model of...
that the simulated gas-phase metallicity in Horizon-AGN is underestimated by a factor of \( \sim 2-4 \) compared to observations (e.g. Maiolino et al. 2008; Mannucci et al. 2009). This happens because our blast wave model allows for the propagation of energy and metals only when it reaches \( \Delta x \), where \( \Delta x \) is the size of a host cell of SNe. Given the relatively low resolution adopted in Horizon-AGN, we find that this tends to delay the metal enrichment of star-forming clouds in the simulation, particularly when the specific star formation rate (SFR) is low (i.e. at lower redshift).

To correct for these lower metallicities, we calibrate the gas-phase metallicities by multiplying a redshift-dependent renormalization factor (\( f_{\text{no}} \)) that brings the simulated metallicity in agreement with the observed mass–gas phase metallicity relations at \( z = 0, 0.7, 2.5 \) and 3.5 (Maiolino et al. 2008; Mannucci et al. 2009), where

\[
f_{\text{no}} = 4.08 - 0.21z - 0.11z^2.\]

These authors calculate their mass–metallicity relations using strong line diagnostics (e.g. \( \text{[O\ III]} \) 5007/\( \text{H}\beta \), \( \text{[O\ III]} \) 5007/\( \text{[O\ II]} \) 3727, \( \text{[Ne\ III]} \) 3870/\( \text{[O\ II]} \) 3727), using the direct \( T_e \) method for low metallicities [12 + log(O/H) < 8.35] and the photoionization models of Kewley & Dopita (2002) for higher metallicities (which are similar to the ones from Kewley & Ellison 2008). We do not attempt to make the calibration stellar mass-dependent, but simply adjust the normalization as a function of redshift, following \( f_{\text{no}} \). This is because the shape of the predicted mass–gas phase metallicity relation is reasonably consistent with the shape of their observed counterparts at redshifts where data are available, although it should be noted that the data do not extend across the entire mass range spanned by the model galaxies.

In Appendix A, we show the mass–gas phase metallicity relations predicted by the simulation, the observational data to which we
perform the calibrations and the corrected relations that are used in calculating the properties of model galaxies in the simulation.

In Fig. 2, we compare the predicted rest-frame $K$- and $r$-band luminosity functions in Horizon-AGN to observational data in the redshift range $0 < z < 2$. Observational estimates of rest-frame $K$-band luminosities are largely restricted to this redshift range because observational data currently extend into the observed mid-infrared, from facilities like Herschel (e.g. Pilbratt et al. 2010). Rest-frame $K$ corresponds to the longest wavelengths at which stellar light still dominates theSED, the interstellar medium becoming increasingly important longwards of this filter (e.g. Fazio et al. 2004; Eales et al. 2010). In addition, its negligible sensitivity to dust and young stars makes the $K$ band a good tracer of the underlying stellar mass of the galaxy (e.g. Kauffmann & Charlot 1998). The $r$ band, being a shorter wavelength filter, is more sensitive to the mass-to-light ratio of the galaxy, which, in turn, depends on its SFH.

In Fig. 2, we compare the predicted luminosity functions with and without dust extinction to their observed counterparts. Given its low sensitivity to dust, the rest-frame $K$-band luminosity function with and without extinction are almost identical. Thus, we only show the dust-reddened $K$-band luminosities. The shaded regions indicate the uncertainties in the observed luminosity functions, based on the errors in the fitted Schechter-function (Schechter 1976) parameters (but not including the effect of cosmic variance). In our redshift range of interest, the slope and normalization of the predicted $K$-band luminosity function from Horizon-AGN agree reasonably well with its observational counterparts, within the observational uncertainties. However, some points of tension with the data are worth noting. In the local Universe ($z \sim 0.1$), the simulation does not reproduce all observational data sets equally well, overshooting the Eke et al. (2005) data at both the low- and high-luminosity ends. While the predicted data points generally fall within the observed ranges, the predicted luminosity functions are slightly steeper than their observed counterparts at all redshifts. The difference in steepness is most apparent at $z \sim 1.7$, where it causes the predictions to overshoot the data at high luminosities and undershoot them at low luminosities. Indeed, the tendency to overshoot at low luminosities mirrors a trend seen in the mass-function analysis for low-mass galaxies, and we return to this point in Section 4. Nevertheless, the generally good reproduction of the evolving $K$-band luminosity function, within the observational uncertainties, indicates that, on average, the aggregate mass growth of galaxies over cosmic time is well reproduced by the simulation (this is also borne out by the mass function analysis presented later in this study). Similar trends are seen in the comparison of the predictions to the observed $r$-band luminosity functions. While the model predictions agree with data within observational uncertainties, the overproduction of galaxies at the low-luminosity end is also apparent in the $r$-band filter.

We proceed by comparing the ‘star formation main sequence’ (i.e. the SFR plotted against stellar mass) predicted by Horizon-AGN to observational data in the redshift range $0 < z < 6$ (Fig. 3). Comparison to the observed main sequence probes whether the instantaneous star formation activity in the simulation is consistent with observations. Performing this exercise over a large redshift range then offers insights into how well Horizon-AGN predicts stellar mass growth over cosmic time, compared to what is observed in the real galaxy population. Fig. 3 indicates that, within the dispersion between the observed main sequences, the simulation produces a good agreement with the observations, across our redshift range of interest ($0 < z < 6$). The simulated main sequence appears to fall slightly below the observed ones at $z \sim 1.7$, and the predictions are inconsistent, at this redshift, with the Karim et al. and Whitaker et al. data sets at high stellar masses, while matching the Tasca et al. data well. However, we note that the observed loci shown are median values and that the observed main sequences typically have spreads of $\sim 0.5$ dex (e.g. Whitaker et al. 2012), suggesting that the overlap between the theoretical and observational values is also reasonable at this redshift. Overall, our results indicate that Horizon-AGN predicts the observed main sequence with good accuracy between $z = 0$ and 6, suggesting that the aggregate stellar mass growth of galaxies with stellar masses greater than $\sim 10^8 M_\odot$ is generally well reproduced by the simulation.

We next compare the predicted evolution of rest-frame colours in Horizon-AGN to observational data. To perform this exercise in a consistent way across redshift, we use observational data from a new homogeneous multiband catalogue of a single area of sky: COSMOS2015 (Laigle et al., in preparation). The COSMOS2015 catalogue contains 30 band photometry, from UV to IR (0.25–7.7 μm), of more than half a million objects in the 2 deg$^2$ COSMOS field. It includes the optical data sets from previous releases (Capak et al. 2007; Ilbert et al. 2009), the new $Y$-band data taken with the Subaru Hyper-Suprime-Cam (PI: Guenther), new NIR data from the UltraVISTA-DR2 survey and IR data from the SPLASH program (Capak et al., in preparation). The photometry for the COSMOS2015 catalogue is extracted using SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) in dual image mode. The detection image is the chisquared sum of four NIR images from UltraVISTA-DR2 ($Y, J, H, K_s$) and the optical $z^{++}$ band image from the Subaru SuprimeCam. Photometric redshifts, rest-frame magnitudes and stellar masses are computed using SED fitting via the LePhare code (Arnouts et al. 1999, 2002; Ilbert et al. 2006), following the methodology described in Ilbert et al. (2013). Comparison to published spectroscopic redshifts of galaxies in the COSMOS field indicates a precision of 0.007 and a catastrophic failure rate of 0.5 per cent for bright galaxies ($i < 22.5$). The deepest region of the COSMOS2015 catalogue reaches a 90 per cent completeness limit for galaxies with masses greater than $10^{10} M_\odot$, out to $z = 4$. Note that, since COSMOS2015 is a deep field, it does not contain many galaxies in the local Universe. Our lowest redshift bin in this analysis is therefore $z = 0.3$.

In Fig. 4, we compare the predicted evolution of rest-frame $NUV - R - J$ colours in Horizon-AGN to observed galaxies in COSMOS2015. The $UV$ ($NUV - R$) colour traces very recent star formation (stars with ages $< 0.5$ Gyr), with even residual ($< 1$ per cent) mass fractions of young stars capable of driving galaxies into the UV blue cloud (Kaviraj et al. 2007a,b). The optical ($R - J$) colour, on the other hand, traces stellar mass growth over several Gyr in the past (Kaviraj et al. 2007a). Taken together, these colours probe the formation history of the galaxy population over the last few Gyr.

Fig. 4 indicates that the model galaxies occupy similar parts of the $NUV - R - J$ colour space to their observed counterparts. While the simulated galaxies agree well with the observed optical colours (although note that the model occupies a narrower locus at $z \geq 1.6$), the predicted bimodality in the UV colour is weaker than in observational data, especially at a low redshift. However, the good
Figure 2. Comparison of the predicted $K$- (left-hand column) and $r$-band (right-hand column) luminosity functions from Horizon-AGN to observational data. The solid lines show dust-attenuated luminosity functions predicted by Horizon-AGN, while the grey-dotted curves show their unattenuated counterparts. Note that, given its low sensitivity to dust, the rest-frame $K$-band luminosity functions with and without extinction are almost identical – we only show the dust-reddened $K$-band luminosities here. Observational data are shown using the coloured hatched regions (see legend for the individual data sets used).
Figure 3. Comparison of the predicted star formation main sequence in Horizon-AGN to observations in the redshift range $0 < z < 6$. Observational data are taken from Karim et al. (2011), who estimate SFRs via 1.4 GHz fluxes, and Lee et al. (2015), Whitaker et al. (2012), Tasca et al. (2015) and Stark et al. (2009), who estimate SFRs via multiwavelength SED fitting. The Hess diagram indicates the predicted star formation main sequence from Horizon-AGN (darker shades indicating lower galaxy density).
Figure 4. Comparison of the predicted evolution of rest-frame $NUV - R - J$ colours in Horizon-AGN to observational data from the COSMOS2015 catalogue (Laigle et al., in preparation). The left-hand column shows observational data, while the right-hand column shows the predictions from Horizon-AGN. The Hess diagrams indicate the galaxy density (red = highest density, black = lowest density).
reproduction of the star formation main sequence and the optical colours indicates that this is largely due to small amounts of residual star formation in the model galaxies (recall that even negligible mass fractions of young stars can produce blue UV colours). While this residual star formation has little bearing on the bulk stellar-mass growth of the galaxy population as a whole, the weakness of the bimodality in the predicted UV colours suggests that the feedback recipes employed by the model do not quench star formation as completely as is the case in real galaxies at low redshift.

As noted before, a large uncertainty in this exercise is the way the attenuation by dust is estimated for simulated galaxies. In particular, it is worth exploring the robustness of the dust screen assumption in SUNSET, by comparing these results to a full radiative transfer treatment using SUNRISE. In Fig. 5, we compare magnitudes computed using SUNSET to their counterparts using SUNRISE. As noted above, processing all simulated galaxies using this approach is prohibitively time-consuming. Therefore, we explore the potential differences between these two approaches for a small, random sample of galaxies at $z \sim 0.3$ that spans our mass range of interest.

We find that, while the optical magnitudes are almost identical using the two approaches, the predicted UV magnitudes are somewhat fainter when they are calculated via full radiative transfer and show a dependence on the UV magnitude itself (Fig. 5). The difference is due to the fact that the optical depth due to both absorption and scattering is, on average, larger in the shorter wavelengths. A simple screen absorption model (as employed by SUNSET) is likely to progressively underestimate the attenuation at increasingly shorter wavelengths. In addition, our results suggest that the contribution of scattering to the optical depth depends on the dust geometry. Fig. 6 indicates that applying the average values of the offsets in Fig. 5 enhances the bimodality slightly, but not enough to achieve a reasonable agreement with the observational data. Our conclusions above therefore remain unchanged – the feedback recipes in the model appear unable to quench star formation to the extent that is required to produce the bimodality in rest-frame UV–optical–NIR colours.

It is worth noting here that there are additional uncertainties in the treatment of dust that may further complicate the comparison between theory and observation. For example, while the dust-to-metal ratio is assumed to be a fixed (Milky Way-like) value in our analysis, this value may not be applicable to all galaxies. Studies of damped Lyman α absorbers (e.g. Vladilo 2004) and the UV/optical afterglow spectra of gamma-ray burst host galaxies at a high redshift (e.g. De Cia et al. 2013) indicate that dust-to-metal ratios may vary with both metallicity and metal column density (see also Herrera-Camus et al. 2012; Fisher et al. 2014). In a similar vein, the extinction law (assumed in this study to be Milky Way like) may also vary as a function of galaxy properties such as age and metallicity (e.g. Buat et al. 2012). The potentially large unknowns in the treatment of dust make the prediction of colours, especially those involving shorter wavelengths, uncertain. In particular, the current dearth of observational data at a high redshift makes it difficult to ascertain whether the properties of dust in our local neighbourhood can be blindly extrapolated to early epochs. In that sense, the rest-frame UV–optical–NIR colour space may not be the best test of the reliability of the model.

Notwithstanding the dust-related uncertainties outlined above, our analysis indicates that Horizon-AGN produces good agreement with the redshift evolution of galaxy luminosity functions, the star formation main sequence and the bulk of the rest-frame UV–optical–NIR colour space. This suggests that the aggregate SFH predicted by the model successfully reproduces the trends in the observed galaxy population.

We conclude this section by briefly noting how well other hydrodynamical cosmological simulations reproduce the observables that we have studied in this section. While rest-frame luminosities and UV–optical–NIR colours have not been tested in other similar simulations over a large redshift range as in this study, the reproduction of rest-frame optical colours and $u$- to K-band luminosities in the local Universe is found to be generally good in such models (e.g. Trayford et al. 2015). In a similar vein, other simulations that are comparable to Horizon-AGN generally show consistency with

![Figure 5. Comparison of the predicted magnitudes in the NUV- (top panel), r- (middle panel) and J-band filters (bottom panel), using SUNRISE (full radiative transfer) and SUNSET (dust screen in front of each star particle), on a small random sample of Horizon-AGN galaxies at $z \sim 0.1$. The offset in these predicted magnitudes ($\Delta$) is shown in the top section of each panel, with $\Delta \equiv M_{\text{SUNRISE}} - M_{\text{SUNSET}}$.](https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article-abstract/467/4/4739/2961790/20180220/4747)
4 Stellar Mass Functions and the Cosmic Star Formation History

We proceed by comparing the predicted stellar mass functions in Horizon-AGN to an array of observational data at 0 < z < 6 (Fig. 7). The grey shaded region in this plot indicates the Horizon-AGN predictions (with the width of the region indicating Poisson uncertainties). The pink dashed line indicates predictions from Horizon-noAGN, a twin simulation without BH feedback. Not unexpectedly, low-mass galaxies are largely unaffected by BH feedback. However, agreement between theory and observation at the high-mass end of the mass function depends strongly on implementing feedback from BHs, confirming the results found in the literature (e.g. Oppenheimer & Davé 2008; McCarthy et al. 2010; Sales et al. 2010; Vogelsberger et al. 2014; Crain et al. 2015; Khandai et al. 2015; Sijacki et al. 2015). The role of BHs is most important after the epoch of peak cosmic star formation (z ≈ 2), as the BHs help keep SFRs low in massive galaxies (e.g. by maintaining the temperature of their hot gas reservoirs) and prevent them from becoming too massive. A forthcoming paper in this series (Beckmann et al. 2017) will perform a detailed study of the role of AGN in regulating the inflow of gas into galaxies and shaping the evolving stellar mass function across cosmic time.

In a similar vein to the analyses presented above, the predictions from Horizon-AGN show good consistency with the slope and normalization of observed mass functions within the observational uncertainties. The agreement is particularly good for galaxies that are more massive than the knee of the mass function at all epochs. However, two points of tension between theory and observation are worth noting here: the overproduction of galaxies at the low-mass end and the general underproduction of galaxies, regardless of galaxy stellar mass at z > 5. Similarly to what is seen in the luminosity-function analysis above, Horizon-AGN tends to overproduce galaxies less massive than the knee of the stellar mass function at low and intermediate redshifts (z < 2). While the predictions are consistent with observations if uncertainties due to cosmic variance are also taken into account (see the vertical error bars in Fig. 7), the systematic nature of the overproduction at all epochs indicates that this disagreement may be due to missing physics and that the sub-grid SN feedback prescription employed by Horizon-AGN is not strong enough to regulate star formation in smaller haloes, making the galaxies embedded in them too massive.

Indeed, recent work (Kimm et al. 2015) has shown that a more realistic treatment of the (inherently clumpy) interstellar medium and the momentum injection from SN populations in the snowplough phase (see also Thornton et al. 1998; Hopkins et al. 2014; Geen et al. 2015; Kim & Ostriker 2015; Martizzi, Faucher-Giguère & Quataert 2015) can produce strong SN-driven outflows that regulate star formation more efficiently than classical treatments. Alternatively, the simulated galaxy stellar masses can be reduced if the star formation efficiency is locally enhanced to the level that we observe in star clusters (∼10 per cent per free-fall time), as clustered star formation drives stronger winds (Agertz & Kravtsov 2015) – enhanced efficiencies are indeed plausible, given the large fraction of field stars that are thought to come from the dissolution of star clusters (see e.g. Whitmore, Chandar & Fall 2007). Such improved prescriptions for star formation are able to bring simulated galaxies in line with key observables, such as the stellar–halo mass relation and the mass–metallicity relation. While their implementation in Horizon-AGN is beyond the scope of this particular paper, such revised recipes are likely to be a solution to the disagreement observed at the low-mass end, and will be explored in forthcoming papers.

We now turn to the second point of tension between theory and observation. While the reproduction of the stellar mass function is good across cosmic time, it appears to break down at z ∼ 5. At this epoch, model galaxies appear to be less massive than their observed counterparts across the entire mass range probed by our study. We note here that the mass and spatial resolution of a simulation can play an important role in influencing the predicted stellar mass growth of galaxies (Kimm et al. 2012). Adopting a higher resolution enables us to resolve smaller haloes in the early universe (z > 5), leading to earlier star formation. This can result in an order of magnitude enhancement in the star formation activity in typical galaxies at this epoch (e.g. Rasera & Teyssier 2006). It is, therefore, likely that a higher resolution simulation can reduce the disagreement between the theoretical and observed mass functions at this epoch, without changing the baryonic physics currently implemented in Horizon-AGN.

We proceed by comparing the cosmic SFH predicted by Horizon-AGN to observations (Fig. 8). The good reproduction of the luminosity and stellar-mass functions, the star formation main sequence and rest-frame colours already suggests that the simulation captures the general trends in the evolution of galaxies over cosmic time. This agreement is reflected and summarized in the comparison of the cosmic SFH. Not unexpectedly, Horizon-AGN shows agreement with the observations, tracking both the shape and normalization of the observational data (Hopkins & Beacom 2006), although we note that the predictions are close to the upper bound of the parameter space defined by the observational uncertainties at very low redshift (z ≲ 0.1).

We conclude this section by briefly discussing the performance of similar models in reproducing the observed stellar mass function and cosmic SFH. In the redshift range studied here, most simulations that are similar to Horizon-AGN produce a reasonably good agreement with data (e.g. Genel et al. 2014; Furlong et al. 2015; Khandai et al. 2015), although a detailed comparison between models is difficult, because all models are typically not compared to the same
Figure 7. Comparison of the predicted stellar mass function to observational data in the redshift range $0 < z < 6$. The grey shaded region shows the prediction from Horizon-AGN (with the width of the region indicating Poisson uncertainties). The pink dashed curves indicate predictions from Horizon-noAGN, a twin simulation without BH feedback. Vertical error bars indicate observational uncertainties due to cosmic variance (Ilbert et al. 2013). The horizontal error bar (0.3 dex) indicates typical observational uncertainties in stellar masses derived from SED fitting (e.g. Muzzin et al. 2009).
observational data sets at the same redshifts. However, similar patterns are seen when most simulations are confronted with observational data. While galaxies beyond the knee of the luminosity/stellar-mass functions are well reproduced (due mainly to the implementation of AGN feedback, as noted above), models typically overshoot the data at low stellar masses/luminosities at low and intermediate redshifts ($z < 5$), with the level of the discrepancy varying with the model in question. It is worth noting that the undershoot of the model compared to data seen in Horizon-AGN at high redshifts ($z > 5$) is also seen in other simulations (e.g. Genel et al. 2014; Furlong et al. 2015). Predictions made by these models for the cosmic SFH are generally consistent with observations – while all models reproduce the shape of the cosmic SFH, small systematic offsets are seen in some comparisons (e.g. Furlong et al. 2015).

5 SUMMARY

We have compared the predictions of Horizon-AGN, a hydrodynamical cosmological simulation that uses an AMR code, to an extensive array of observational data across cosmic time. Our study has focused on confronting the predicted evolution of luminosity functions, stellar-mass functions, the star formation main sequence, rest-frame UV–optical–NIR colours and the cosmic SFH to observational data in the redshift range $0 < z < 6$ (around 95 per cent of the lifetime of the Universe). These observables, which are functions of the evolving stellar mass growth of the galaxy population, represent a significant constraint on the methodologies employed by the simulation. We note that, apart from choosing BH feedback parameters that reproduce the local $M_{\text{BH}}-\sigma_*$ relations, the simulation is not otherwise calibrated to the local Universe.

Since they are sensitive to the aggregate SFH of galaxies, comparing the simulation to these quantities indicates how well Horizon-AGN captures the evolutionary trends of observed galaxies over cosmic time, and thus its usefulness as a tool for understanding galaxy evolution. Our analysis shows that Horizon-AGN produces good agreement with the quantities mentioned above across our redshift range of interest, from the present day all the way to the epoch when the Universe was ~5 per cent of its present age. This indicates that model galaxies in the simulation broadly reproduce the cosmic SFH of their counterparts in the real Universe.

Notwithstanding the reproduction of key observations by Horizon-AGN, two main points of tension are worth noting. First, the model tends to overproduce galaxies that are less massive than the knee of the luminosity function at all epochs. While agreement can be achieved by considering observational uncertainties due to cosmic variance, it is possible that the SN feedback prescriptions in the model do not sufficiently quench star formation in small haloes. More accurate modelling of the clumpy interstellar medium, combined with higher star formation efficiencies that correspond to star-cluster formation, may offer a solution to this disagreement. Secondly, at very early epochs ($z \sim 5$), the predicted galaxy stellar masses are too low, across the mass range of interest in our study. Higher resolution simulations, which resolve smaller haloes in the early Universe and their associated star formation, are likely to reduce the disagreement between theory and observation at these epochs.

Nevertheless, the good reproduction of the array of key observations presented here indicates that the sub-grid recipes that drive the baryonic evolution in the model are reasonably accurate representations of the processes governing the galaxy evolution in the real Universe. Overall, we find that Horizon-AGN offers an excellent tool, both for studying galaxy evolution over cosmic time and for making predictions for the next generation of galaxy surveys.
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Figure 8. Comparison of the predicted cosmic SFH in Horizon-AGN to observational data (Hopkins & Beacom 2006) in the redshift range $0 < z < 6$. The red curve shows predictions from Horizon-AGN, while the grey shaded region indicates the parameter space covered by the observational data.
Appendix A

As described in Section 3, the simulated gas-phase metallicities in Horizon-AGN are underestimated compared to observations due to a delayed enrichment of star-forming clouds, which is essentially an artefact of the (relatively low) resolution of the simulation. To correct this, the gas-phase metallicities are multiplied by a redshift-dependent renormalization factor ($f_{\text{gas}}$) that brings the simulated metallicities in agreement with the observed mass–gas phase metallicity relations at $z = 0, 0.7, 2.5$ and $3.5$ (Maiolino et al. 2008; Mannucci et al. 2009), where $f_{\text{gas}} = 4.08 - 0.21z - 0.11z^2$. As noted before, the observed mass–metallicity relations are calculated using strong line diagnostics (e.g. [O III] $5007$/H$eta$, [O II] $5007$/[O II] 3727, [Ne III] $3870$/[O II] 3727).

In Fig. A1, we show the mass–gas phase metallicity relations predicted by the simulation using the grey points. The observational data (Maiolino et al. 2008; Mannucci et al. 2009) to which we perform the calibrations to correct the simulated metallicities are shown in orange. Best fits to the observational data sets are shown using the orange lines. For the $z \sim 3.5$ data set, we also show the individual points to give an indication of the typical scatter around the best-fitting lines (we only show one set of points for clarity and because the scatter is similar at all epochs). The corrected metallicities that are used for the analysis in this study are shown using the black points.
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