Clinical development and approval of COVID-19 vaccines
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

\textbf{Introduction:} The coronavirus 19 (COVID-19) pandemic triggered a simultaneous global demand for preventative vaccines, which quickly became a high priority among governments as well as academia and the pharmaceutical industry. Within less than a year after COVID-19 was declared a pandemic, vaccines had received emergency approvals and vaccination campaigns were initiated.

\textbf{Areas covered:} We discuss the several factors that led to the unprecedented, accelerated development and approval of COVID-19 vaccines, which includes optimization of processes by regulatory authorities, redesign of sequential development processes, learnings from previous pandemics, and prior development of novel vaccine platforms.

\textbf{Expert Opinion:} Despite unanticipated and complex challenges presented by real-time vaccine development in the context of the evolving COVID-19 pandemic and subsequent ever-changing landscape of public health measures and recommendations, important milestones were reached within extraordinarily short periods and, following roll-out to billions worldwide, the approved vaccines have proven to be well tolerated and effective. Whilst this is an exceptional feat and an example of what can be achieved with collaboration and innovation, there are lessons that can still be learned, including the need for further harmonization between regulatory authorities, modes to react to the pandemic’s ever-evolving challenges, and ensuring equitable vaccine access among low-income countries.

1. Introduction

On 21 February 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) raised its threat assessment to its highest level \cite{1} and, subsequently, on 11 March 2020 announced that coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) was a pandemic caused by a novel coronavirus, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) \cite{2}. By this point, nearly 120,000 cases had been reported and transmission was observed in more than 114 countries \cite{2}. The emergency triggered an intense and rapid large-scale response; by 8 April 2020 just weeks after the pandemic was declared, 115 vaccine candidates had entered pre-clinical development and five were being investigated in Phase I clinical trials \cite{3}. These immense efforts were not in vain; extensive immunization programs have now been rolled out globally following expedited authorizations of vaccines by major regulatory authorities including the United States (US) Food and Drug Administration (FDA) \cite{4-6}, and the European Medicines Agency (EMA) \cite{7-10}. Earliest approvals occurred shortly after the first safety and efficacy data from Phase III became available, less than 10 months after the start of respective Phase I trials \cite{7,9}. As of 31 January 2022, 9.9 billion vaccine doses have been administered worldwide \cite{11}.

The reasons behind this unprecedented development and approval time were multifactorial and included overlapping and condensed pre-clinical and clinical development phases, collaboration with academia, optimized use of existing regulatory pathways, utilization of novel vaccine platform technologies with shorter design-to-production turnaround times, increased funding, and up-scaled manufacturing capacities.

This review explores the ways in which vaccine development and approval processes have been utilized to enable rapid licensure of COVID-19 vaccines. We will primarily focus on the FDA and EMA regulatory frameworks and the respective COVID-19 vaccines approved by these bodies. Although the decision-making pathways and guidance from the WHO as a key leader in driving the worldwide pandemic response particularly in low- and middle-income countries, and of national and international public health decision makers will not be included in the scope of this review, one of the learnings is the need for a highly integrated real-time alignment of regulatory pathways and developed pandemic response policies.

2. Vaccine development

2.1. Standard vaccine development: the need for innovation

Prior to COVID-19, the time between vaccine development start and product licensure/marketing typically spanned...
A period of 10 to 15 years or more (Figure 1) [12]. These lengthy timelines are partly due to the multiple sequential steps involved in previous regulatory processes outlined by the FDA and EMA that are broadly segmented into exploratory and pre-clinical stages, clinical development (i.e. Phase I, II, and III trials), regulatory review and approval, manufacturing, quality control and long-term post-authorization studies. The shortest time in which a vaccine had been approved previously was for mumps, which took 4 years (approved in 1967) [13,14], although, unlike COVID-19, the disease had been prevalent in the decades before, and live-attenuated mumps vaccines were already in use [14].

EMA, European Medicines Agency; FDA, US Food and Drug Administration; ICMRA, WHO, World Health Organization. References: [4-6], [12].

Although the conventional way of developing vaccines has led to numerous successes throughout the years, in the stark light of global infectious emergencies where rapid access to vaccines and treatments is paramount, it was clear that such lengthy timelines would not be fit for purpose.

2.2. Pandemic vaccine development: lessons learned pre-COVID-19

Prior to COVID-19, the world had already grappled with a number of pandemics, most recent and notable of which are human immunodeficiency virus (1981 – ongoing), SARS-CoV (2002–2003), Swine flu (A/H1N1; 2009–2010), and Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV; 2015 – ongoing) [15]. As well as having drastic implications on public
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**Table 1. Standard vs. COVID-19 vaccine development process.**

| Standard vaccine development (10 – 15 years) | COVID-19 vaccine development (<1 year) |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Non-clinical research                       | Non-clinical research                  |
| Phase I                                     | Prior research utilized                |
| Phase II                                    | Large-scale, worldwide manufacturing initiation |
| Phase III                                   | Positive interim early stage trial data released |
| Post-approval safety monitoring             | EMA rolling review/ FDA Fast-track designation |

**Figure 1.** Standard vs. COVID-19 vaccine development process.
health and causing significant global disruption, these events exposed weaknesses and deficiencies in existing vaccine development systems, including the lack of harmonization among regulatory authorities and the need for real-time guidance and optimization of regulatory processes.

Emergency or expedited approval systems were already in place at the time of these pandemics (i.e. Emergency Use Authorization [EUA] by the FDA, Conditional Marketing Authorization [CMA] by the EMA) as were methods to streamline the regulatory review process (fast-track designation [FDA] [16] and rolling data submissions [EMA] [17]). Both the EUA and CMA expedited approvals can be applied for a medicine that addresses an unmet medical need (such as pandemics) and require a less comprehensive dataset than standard marketing authorization but should demonstrate that the benefits of immediate availability outweigh the risks of the medicine, including vaccines [18,19]. Following an EUA or CMA, robust safeguards and controls are in place, and manufacturers must continue to collect and submit placebo-controlled data in ongoing trials according to negotiated schedules [18,19].

These temporary authorizations are not planned to remain conditional: a CMA is reviewed annually and, as soon as the relevant data needed in order to confirm the positive benefit/risk relationship are provided within a full dossier in the specified timeframe, it will become a standard marketing authorization (MAA) [18,19]. Indeed, one of the key requirements for a CMA to be issued is that it will be possible to generate comprehensive data post-authorization [19]. The FDA expects that the manufacturer will subsequently submit a Biologics License Application (BLA) as soon as longer-term data are available [20].

Although previously in place and designed to allow marketing authorizations as soon as possible, the EUA and CMA had not been implemented in a public health crisis to the scale of COVID-19, which was an unprecedented and rapidly evolving situation, and scaffolds, e.g. specific guidance to expedite approval, were needed.

The EMA initiated pandemic preparedness strategies in 2003 following the SARS-CoV pandemic [21]. This included creation of a crisis management plan that detailed procedures such as fast-track approval of pandemic vaccines, and post-authorization follow-up [21]. The fast-track approval approach, which was used in H1N1 influenza vaccine development [22], encompasses submission of a ‘core pandemic dossier’ and authorization of a ‘mock-up’ vaccine, was designed to expedite regulatory assessment of pandemic influenza vaccines, and allow licensure of a vaccine prior to the peak phase of the pandemic [23]. The use of ‘mock-up’ vaccines involves preparing non-clinical, safety, and immunogenicity data with
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**Figure 2.** Key factors in expediting COVID-19 vaccine development and approval.
Figure 3. Timeline of the development of COVID-19 vaccines from the start of clinical development through to regulatory approval.

an index influenza virus that may possibly arise in the future and is produced with the same method as intended for the actual vaccine but, does not contain antigens from the viral strain. Although this approach was not utilized with COVID-19 vaccine approvals, a similar principal is now established to expedite the approval of potential SARS-CoV-2 variant-specific vaccines (see Future Outlook section).

In 2010, shortly after the H1N1 outbreak, the FDA set up the Medical Countermeasures Initiative to enhance future pandemic responses and ensure safety and effectiveness of drugs, vaccines, and diagnostic tests [24]. Experience with these previous pandemics highlighted the lack of harmonization between regulatory authorities to expedite the dossier preparation and review process, the need for continual industry-regulatory interactions and flexibility, as well as for efficient data sharing and collaboration. These learnings were fundamental in shaping the response to COVID-19.

2.3. COVID-19 vaccine development: an accelerated evolution

The development program of the Ebola vaccine Ervebo® [25] took 5 years; with its Phase I trial starting in 2013 [26] and its first approval by the FDA in 2019 [27], 18 months after the peak period of the outbreak [25]. While this was fast compared to standard timelines, it would not be sufficient to tackle the scale and speed of the COVID-19 pandemic, and is in stark contrast to the approval of the first COVID-19 vaccine that took less than 10 months.

The reasons for this short turnaround during COVID-19 were multifactorial and include at-risk investment by manufacturers, the higher public health need, novel platform technologies, and optimal use of the procedural systems for the development of emergency vaccines (Figure 2). Adoption of large-scale, innovative clinical trial designs, so-called ‘seamless trials,’ where certain phases of vaccine development are consolidated into a single protocol, which is continually adapted as needed, e.g. Phase I/II or Phase I/II/III, also shortened timelines (Figure 3) [28]. Additionally, in contrast to Ebola, COVID-19 case numbers were considerably higher [25] allowing clinical trial efficacy endpoints to be met sooner.

ACT, Access to COVID-19 Tools; CEPI, Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 19; EMA, European Medicines Agency; FDA, US Food and Drug Administration; ICMRA, International Coalition of Medicines Regulatory Authorities; MERS, Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus; OWS, Operation Warp Speed; RnD,
research and development; SARS-CoV, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus-2; WHO, World Health Organization. References:[12], [20], [29], [35], [36], [50], [53], [54], [59], [61-63].

CMA, conditional marketing authorisation; EMA, European Medicines Agency; EUA, emergency use authorisation; EUIL, emergency use license; RnD, research and development; WHO, World Health Organization.

1Dates/ review periods sourced from Clinicaltrials.gov, EMA and FDA websites, and BioNTech, Pfizer, AstraZeneca, University of Oxford and Moderna press releases.

2.3.1. Regulatory authority approval process optimization

Emergency Use pathways have proven to be critical in several countries for the approval of COVID-19 vaccines. Both the FDA and European regulators supported seamless trial development where key steps were carried out in parallel, rather than sequentially, and allowed the sponsors to implement rapid decision-making based on emerging data (Figure 1). Additionally, they also issued various COVID-19-specific guidance to scaffold and facilitate regulatory submissions. Rolling reviews by the EMA allowed a head start in assessing data from the clinical trials enabling companies to submit further data as and when it was generated. Upon CMA submission, the review time is a maximum of 20 days (as opposed to 40–70 days previously). Dossier scope can be agreed case-by-case prior to submission and must show a favorable benefit-risk profile and that it meets quality, safety, and efficacy standards [29]. The COVID-19 EMA Pandemic Task Force was set up to further bolster the management of vaccine development and surveillance [30]. National authorities, e.g. the Paul-Ehrlich-Institute, also implemented rolling reviews during clinical trial authorizations and rapid scientific advice procedures [31] as well as allowing vaccine candidates to be investigated simultaneously within the same trial (e.g. NCT04380701). The European Parliament approved a temporary derogation of the environmental risk assessment requirements to help ensure that those clinical trials with genetically modified organisms began as soon as possible [32]. The FDA issued special guidance on EUA for COVID-19 vaccines, outlining criteria and considerations for issuing an EUA, and requests that sponsors would continue to assimilate data in ongoing trials following submission of the EUA [33].

Long-term safety monitoring was a key aspect defined by the FDA and EMA; a pharmacovigilance plan at the time of BLA and post-marketing safety studies is required by the FDA [34] and, similarly, the EMA issued guidance instructing that applicants provide monthly reports with all known safety and efficacy data [35].

Commitment from regulatory authorities in terms of alignment on regulatory requirements was reinforced during COVID-19. In order to streamline applications, the EMA and FDA began to harmonize certain procedures, e.g. a joint EMA-FDA pediatric investigation plan is now accepted for COVID-19 [36,37]. Both the EMA and FDA facilitated continuous, real-time dialogues with manufacturers over the course of the pandemic, as well as issuing supplemental Q&A documents for new guidance and early development schemes (e.g. EMA’s PRIority MEdicines [PRIME] scheme) [17]. To support COVID-19 vaccine development, target product profiles were created by the FDA and EMA to provide a clear guide for developers and detail requirements for vaccines, an aspect that was not implemented prior to the pandemic [34,38].

2.3.2. Collaborative response

COVID-19 necessitated stronger, multilateral global collaboration and work sharing between regulatory authorities, government, and the private sector. The International Coalition of Medicines Regulatory Authorities (ICMRA) is a voluntary organization set up to provide a foundation for global strategic partnership and information sharing among regulatory bodies with the aim of cultivating a collective response toward the development and availability of COVID-19 vaccines [39].

The EMA and FDA have had a longstanding partnership to leverage each other’s expertise but further collaboration between them was driven by COVID-19 under the umbrella of ICMRA, e.g. in March 2020 they convened to discuss the pre-clinical data requirements and possibility of regulatory convergence [40]. In order to help execute and expedite its pandemic response, the EMA operates closely with the European Commission, European Center for Disease Prevention and Control, Health Security Committee as well as the WHO [41] and the unfamiliar challenges of the COVID-19 pandemic required adaptation of those operating models. The COVID-19 Evidence Accelerator was founded to provide a platform for government, research institutes, and health systems to allow for systematized, efficient information sharing [42]. The Access to COVID-19 Tools Accelerator is a collaboration set up amongst governments, scientists, businesses, global health organizations (e.g. Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations [CEPI] and the WHO) and others to accelerate development of and access to COVID-19 vaccines [43].

The pandemic also highlighted the power of fusing academic expertise in research and early development with the global development and manufacturing capabilities of the pharmaceutical industry. Indeed, the collaboration between the Jenner Institute and Oxford Vaccine Group (University of Oxford) and AstraZeneca, where the University of Oxford drove the initial vaccine candidate development and AstraZeneca provided expertise in large-scale drug development and manufacturing, proved pivotal in the rapid advancement of AZD1222 [45]. Moderna’s collaboration with the National Institute of Health, fast-tracking the development of mRNA-1273, is another testament to the power of academic-industry partnerships in addressing a public health emergency [46]. BioNTech benefitted from its deeply academically rooted model of operating its research and translation. Additionally, innovative industry–industry partnerships allowed expedited vaccine development by sharing expertise, data and technology transfer, funding, and up-scaled manufacture [44]. Following preclinical development of BNT162b2 by BioNTech, BioNTech and Pfizer established a partnership in March 2020 to leverage Pfizer’s vaccine development, commercial-scale manufacturing, and regulatory experience [47]. BioNTech led Phase I trials in Germany from April 2020 and subsequently BioNTech/Pfizer jointly entered co-development with global Phase I to III trials [48]. In the same period,
a collaboration between BioNTech and Fosun was initiated to support vaccine development in China [49].

2.3.3. Preexisting data and platforms

Preexisting non-clinical data from research during previous coronavirus outbreaks allowed the early stages of vaccine design to be almost bypassed [12]. The full-length spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 was chosen as the vaccine antigen primarily due to experience with SARS-CoV and MERS, which illustrated that the vaccines provide viral protection [50]. Importantly, clinical research has already been conducted with the platforms that comprise the basis of the vaccines that are now approved for COVID-19. Viral vector vaccines have been extensively studied in the last 30 years and had previously been shown to have the capacity to induce strong, long-lasting immune responses in other infectious diseases and cancer [51]. AD26.COV2 leveraged Janssen’s preexisting AdVac® technology that was used in the development of the EMA-approved Ebola vaccine (Zabdenu/Mvabea) [52]. Messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) technologies had also undergone extensive basic research and development for more than 20 years prior to gaining visibility during the COVID-19 pandemic [53–55], and the first-in-human trial with mRNA was initiated in 2009 [56]. Clinical trials in various cancer indications investigating personalized vaccines using mRNA technology had been conducted previously (e.g. NCT04486378, NCT03815058). As this involved swift, on-demand design and manufacturing of vaccines tailored to each individual patient, the proficiency of mRNA technology for a platform approach in regulatory terms had already been pursued [57]. This prior experience enabled early discussions with regulators on the use of platform technology approaches to develop vaccines and led to adoption of these principles during COVID-19, allowing the extrapolation of safety information across different vaccine candidates and implementation of a platform-based non-clinical toxicology program in support of first-in-human trials [58].

2.3.4. Funding

Funding was a key element that catalyzed the development of COVID-19 vaccines; without economic support, many companies would have been unable to take the financial risk of overlapping the steps of vaccine development. Operation Warp Speed is a US private-public initiative that involves a collaboration of key stakeholders (e.g. the FDA), as well as private firms and other federal agencies. It was initially funded with $10 billion from the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act and had already made significant investments into several vaccine candidates by the first quarter of 2020, e.g. $456 million for Moderna’s vaccine (mRNA-1273) and $1.2 billion for the Oxford/AstraZeneca vaccine (AZD1222) [59]. Other organizations, e.g. research funding bodies such as the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF), also financially supported pharmaceutical companies early on in the pandemic [60]. CEPI is a global coalition between public and private organizations, and pledges to act as a pillar of global health security by providing funding and facilitation for innovative vaccine platforms [61]. It founded an international network of centralized laboratories to enable testing and the comparison of immunological COVID-19 vaccine responses and has supported nine vaccines thus far, including those manufactured by Moderna and University of Oxford and AstraZeneca [61].

2.3.5. Manufacturing and production

Pharmaceutical companies set about to prepare for large-scale vaccine manufacture early on in the pandemic and vaccine production was initiated at-risk, prior to late-stage clinical trial results (Figure 3) [12]. In order to produce a sufficient supply of vaccines to meet the envisaged demand, a number of companies formed partnerships with contract manufacturers, e.g. Moderna united with Lonza in May 2020 with plans to provide 1 billion vaccine doses per year [62].

Rapid, high-throughput vaccine production also hinges on the type of vaccine being made and how amenable it is to larger scale production. A salient feature of mRNA platforms is the ability to up-scale their production quickly and their potency and efficacy at low doses, the latter lowering the volume required and thus the time and cost of manufacture [53,63]. Post-manufacturing storage and delivery is another important consideration; adenoviral vaccines are stable at room temperature short-term which is advantageous for vaccine deployment in countries where cold chain storage is limited [64]. Prior to the pandemic, aside from some frameworks, e.g. the European Joint Procurement Framework [65], there was limited guidance or contingency plans from regulatory authorities to ensure supply of medicinal products in a public health emergency. In April 2020, the EMA, European Commission, and national competent authorities issued joint COVID-19-specific adaptations to the regulatory framework to reduce risks of vaccine shortages while ensuring high standards of quality, safety, and efficacy [66]. For example, where justified, temporary changes could apply to deferral of certain routine procedures such as stability testing, in order to focus resources on release testing [66].

3. Approved COVID-19 vaccines

As of 28 January 2022 there are 140 vaccines in clinical development, 194 in pre-clinical development [67], and a number of vaccines are now approved for human use [4–10,68]. Figure 3 shows those that have been approved by the FDA and/or EMA. Two of the first COVID-19 vaccines authorized for use were mRNA vaccines: mRNA-1273 (Moderna) [5,9], initially recommended for those aged ≥18 years, and BNT162b2 (BioNTech/Pfizer) [4,7], initially recommended for those aged ≥16 years (both by the FDA and EMA). A third COVID-19 viral vector vaccine, AZD1222 (Oxford/AstraZeneca), was granted EMA CMA in January 2021 for use in people aged ≥18 years [8]. Ad26.COV2.S (Janssen/Johnson and Johnson), also a viral vector vaccine, was granted FDA EUA and EMA CMA in late February/early March 2021 [6,10]. The initial CMA/EUA indications have since been extended for some vaccines, e.g. for use in children aged 5 to 15 years [4,7,9,69], and, in some countries, heterologous vaccine regimens are used [70]. Figure 3 also depicts the overlapping stages of development of these vaccines and timings between submission and approval. As detailed in the sections above, clinical trials did not have to
take place sequentially, using integrated approaches, and fast-track designations by the FDA and rolling submissions by the EMA could start well before the end of the pivotal late-stage trials. Evidence from longer term post-marketing studies or trials and real-world evidence are continuously generated following CMAs and EUAs, which further informs on vaccine effectiveness and safety [34,35,37].

Outside of the US and the European Union, multiple other vaccines have been approved at the national level. Sputnik V (Gam-COVID-Vac, Gamaleya Research Institute) was one of the first COVID-19 vaccines to be registered for use in any country, approved by the Russian Ministry of Health in August 2020 [71]. China’s CoronaVac (Sinovac) vaccine, an inactivated whole-virus vaccine was approved for emergency use in China in July 2020 [72]. Unlike the vaccines approved by the EMA and FDA, these approvals adopted different fast-tracked regulatory processes according to country-specific needs; however, there were major concerns among both the scientific community and the public given the paucity of data prior to their approval [73]. At the time of its first approval, Sputnik V had only been administered to 76 subjects in early-stage trials and neither safety nor efficacy data had been generated from a large-scale Phase III trial [73]. Similarly, the CoronaVac vaccine lacked transparent and comprehensive Phase III documentation prior to approval, with data primarily being reported in press releases or government media reports [74]. This was in stark contrast to the EMA- and FDA-approved vaccines, where primary safety and efficacy endpoints from Phase III trials had been met at the time of respective CMAs and EUAs. Although vaccines such as these have undoubtedly significantly contributed to vaccine access worldwide (particularly in countries where global demand surpassed supply) with Sputnik V now approved in 70 countries and CoronaVac received EUL by the WHO in June 2021 [75], the negative press surrounding their approval likely fueled public uncertainty and mistrust with COVID-19 vaccines in general, including those that had undergone more rigorous scrutiny by regulatory authorities prior to approval. This emphasizes the critical importance of thorough investigation of vaccines, even in a public health emergency, as well as a need for harmonization of regulatory approval standards not just between the EMA and FDA but at a global level, to circumvent public confusion and mistrust, which can ultimately lead to vaccine hesitancy.

4. Conclusions

COVID-19 continues to be one of the most pressing challenges of this generation and has sparked unanticipated upheaval of the vaccine development process. Learnings from previous pandemics, as well as the use of existing pre-clinical data and vaccine platforms, leveraging existing regulatory tools, early and real-time dialogs between regulators and manufacturers, access to funding, parallel, and ‘seamless’ trials and at-risk manufacture, enabled compressed vaccine development timelines.

5. Expert opinion

The COVID-19 pandemic has not gone through its full life cycle and new challenges occur which require tightly aligned joint learning cycles of vaccine developers, regulators, and policymakers to shape a path forward which provides the proper response to the pandemic and can also serve as blue-print for future pandemics. Challenges now relate to waning vaccine effectiveness [76] and the emerging SARS-CoV-2 variants [77], some of which are known to cause more serious clinical severity or an increase in infectivity (for a recent review, see Harvey et al. 2021 [78]). Existing approved COVID-19 vaccines have been shown to be efficacious against some variants, although data with some vaccines show this is to a lesser degree compared with the original strain [79–84]. Trials are ongoing to either investigate modified or variant-specific vaccines using the same platforms [85] or booster vaccines (an additional dose of the original vaccine) to restore protection [86–88]. Owing to their immunogenicity, which is equivalent or higher than after the primary schedule, and safety profile [7,9], booster doses of BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 have now been approved by the FDA and/or EMA. Furthermore, a third dose has been approved as part of the primary series for immunocompromised patients [7,9,89].

Regulators had previously reacted to the challenge of variants and waning immunity by holding consultations or creating guidance on how these modified vaccines targeting variants of concern may be assessed [90–92]. However, a globally harmonized regulatory path or decision-making model for transitioning to a variant adapted vaccine has not been established yet. Given that more escape variants may arise that are more transmissible and/or associated with higher disease severity, a key challenge will be conducting placebo-controlled trials now that a large proportion of the population is vaccinated. Randomized trials with authorized vaccines as the comparator or observational studies could be options, although these both have ethical and logistical drawbacks [90,91]. ‘Correlates of protection,’ where biomarkers such as antibody responses are used to indicate immune response/protection, may negate the need for large, randomized trials and expedite vaccine development [93].

A consensus held by ICMRA amongst key regulators discussed how second-generation vaccines may be developed; the consensus was that correlates of immunity (immunobridging) trials may be necessary if clinical endpoint efficacy trials are not feasible [94]. Some regulatory authorities (e.g. Health Canada, SwissMedic) have now issued statements aligning with this proposal [95], although the reliability of such studies is still to be determined [96]. Both the EMA and FDA have supplied guidance on changes to vaccine composition (i.e. vaccines targeted toward variants) in a pandemic situation. The EMA allows a ‘type II variation’ to the CMA and the FDA can grant an amendment to the EUA provided the technological platform of the vaccine remains similar to the original. Large-scale safety and efficacy trials may not be required and efficacy should be demonstrated in immunogenicity trials [33,97].

Additionally, there are inequalities in global vaccine access, with a significantly lower number of vaccines being distributed in low-income countries. mRNA and viral vector vaccines have primarily been implemented in high-income countries whilst in low- to middle-income countries, a combination of mRNA, inactivated, and viral vector vaccines have had
a greater presence. Recombinant vaccines have been presented in both developed and lesser developed markets [98]. Mass distribution of COVID-19 vaccines to low- and middle-income countries which are low cost, provide long-term immunity (i.e., do not require frequent booster vaccinations), can be manufactured locally, and delivered easily at a large scale, is also key to ensuring global immunity [99].

Looking even further ahead, with future pandemics in mind, from a regulatory and vaccine development perspective, the pandemic has highlighted the need for close-knit cooperation between regulatory authorities, vaccine developers and manufacturers, and those who are responsible for policies and public health decisions. Further global harmonization of regulatory guidance where applicants would conform to an agreed set of international requirements, as well as, for example, adoption of standard clinical trial endpoints for vaccine efficacy to allow a harmonized evaluation of benefit and risk and potential to pool data for analyses of immunologic endpoints, could help toward shortening dossier preparation and vaccine approval times. Platform technology approaches with known safety and efficacy profiles that allow for reactive vaccine design should continue to be used to expedite access to vaccines. A vaccine Platform Technology Master File (PTMF) could be utilized in future, which would act as a stand-alone part of the dossier and remain unchanged regardless of the sequence change to the platform [58].

In conclusion, to respond optimally in future disease outbreaks, development of regulatory strategies that are tailored to cope with emergency situations should continue and international collaborations between manufacturers, health and regulatory authorities are paramount and should be built upon. Detailed evaluation of the regulatory approaches used during COVID-19 may help to identify those that had the most meaningful impacts or those that could be enhanced in future to further expedite vaccine approval pathways.
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