Reproducibility study of nocturnal blood pressure dipping in patients with high cardiovascular risk
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Abstract
It has been shown that in most people there is a physiological reduction in blood pressure during nighttime sleep, it falling by approximately 10% compared to daytime values (dippers). On the other hand, in some people, there is no nighttime reduction (non-dippers). Various studies have found an association between being a non-dipper and a higher risk of cardiovascular disease, but few have assessed whether the nocturnal pattern is maintained over time. From the database of the TAHPS study, data were available on 225 patients, each of whom underwent 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) on four occasions over a period of 5 months. We studied the reproducibility of the nocturnal BP dipping pattern with mixed linear analysis and also calculated the concordance in the classification of patients as dippers or non-dippers. The intraclass correlation coefficients between the different ABPM recordings were 0.482 and 0.467 for systolic and diastolic blood pressure, respectively. Two-thirds (67%) and 70% of the patients classified, respectively, as dippers or non-dippers based on systolic and diastolic blood pressure readings in the first ABPM recording were found to have the same classification based on the subsequent recordings. We conclude that the reproducibility of nocturnal dipping patterns and concordance of dipper vs non-dipper status in individual patients is modest and therefore that we should be cautious about recommending treatments or interventions based on these patterns.

1 INTRODUCTION
Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) allows us to measure blood pressure (BP) values and heart rate for periods of 24 and even 48 hours while people go about their normal daily activities. For this reason, this approach is extremely useful for confirming a diagnosis of hypertension, as well as for monitoring the response to antihypertensive treatment.1-3

Values of BP measured using ABPM are more strongly correlated with target organ damage and have greater prognostic value for cardiovascular events than those measured in the consultation room.4 Further, this type of monitoring provides data on circadian changes in BP, such as decreases during sleep, which are of great importance as prognostic factors.5

It has been shown that in most people there is a physiological reduction in BP during nighttime sleep, it falling by approximately 10%
compared to daytime values (dippers). On the other hand, in some people, it falls by more than 20% (extreme dippers), while in some there is no nighttime reduction (non-dippers), and in others, BP does not fall but rather increases (risers).6

Some studies have demonstrated that non-dippers and especially risers have an elevated risk of cardiovascular disease and are more likely to have target organ damage.5,7-13 A meta-analysis published in 2019 analyzing 17,312 patients with hypertension concluded that, regardless of 24-hour BP levels, the nocturnal BP pattern is predictive of cardiovascular events, non-dipper individuals and especially risers having a poorer prognosis. On the other hand, being an extreme dipper appeared to be protective in patients with treated hypertension, but not in untreated patients.14

Nonetheless, several studies have suggested that when the ABPM is repeated after some time, dipper vs non-dipper status is only moderately reproducible.15-17 There is a scarcity of studies on reproducibility, and however, and most that have been published are based on data from two ABPM recordings and mixed populations of patients with treated and untreated hypertension and with or without target organ damage.15,18-20 This explains why scientific societies have yet to state what therapeutic approach they consider most appropriate in such patients.

In this study, we assessed the reproducibility of the circadian BP pattern in a population of patients with medically treated hypertension and a history of cardiovascular events who underwent ABPM on four occasions.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

We conducted a study on nocturnal BP dipping. For this, we calculated the nocturnal BP fall expressed as a percentage of the daytime BP ([(daytime BP – nighttime BP)/daytime BP] * 100) to classify participants as dippers (a decrease of ≥ 10% or more of blood pressure at night) or non-dippers.

Data were obtained as part of the Effectiveness of Night Administration of Low Dose Aspirin in Hypertensive Patients (TAHPS) study (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier, NCT01741922), approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Euskadi (Ref: 115/2011). This clinical trial assessed whether acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) at low doses taken at bedtime had an effect on BP or nocturnal fall in BP and concluded that the time when ASA was taken did not have an effect on patients’ BP or the dipper/non-dipper pattern.21

The population was 225 patients on antihypertensive therapy and low doses of ASA for secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease. Data were collected in 20 health centers in Bizkaia, Gipuzkoa and Barcelona, between 1 November 2011 and 31 December 2015. The systolic and diastolic BP was measured every 20 min between 07:00 and 23:00 and every 30 min between 23:00 and 07:00 in all patients. These times were used to define/calculate the mean daytime and mean nighttime BP levels. We used the WatchBP® ABPM device in all centers. For data from a given ABPM recording to be included, it was required that there were no more than 2 consecutive hours with no readings and at least 70% of readings were considered valid. As there may be a potential loss in external validity or generality using this restrictive criteria, we perform a sensitivity analysis using the International Database of Ambulatory Blood Pressure in Relation to Cardiovascular Outcome [IDACO] criteria22 (≥ 10 daytime and ≥ 5 nighttime readings).

Patients included were adults with a high risk of cardiovascular events whose treatment for hypertension had not been changed within 6 months before the study. On the other hand, patients were excluded if they were shift workers; on long-term NSAID therapy, anticoagulants or antiplatelet agents, or ASA at doses other than 100-150 mg; heavy drinkers (>280 g/week in men and 170 g/week in women) or women who were pregnant or breastfeeding; or if they had any serious or terminal disease, NYHA class III heart failure, glomerular filtration < 45 ml/min, or any physical or mental condition that might hinder their collaboration.

Patients were recruited at random, by the research unit using a computer program to randomly select patients who a priori met the criteria based on coded data and doctors then contacting patients in the order they appeared the list provided by the research unit. At the first visit, doctors confirmed, by taking a medical history, that the selected patients met all the inclusion criteria and none of the exclusion criteria. They then invited them to participate in the study and, having informed them about the research, asked them to give written informed consent. If any patients had their antihypertensive therapy changed or its dose or regime modified, they were excluded from the analysis. The first ABPM recording was performed at recruitment and the following recordings 2, 3, and 5 months later.

The primary objective of this study was to assess the real reproducibility of the nocturnal fall in BP as a percentage of the daytime value ([(daytime BP – nighttime BP)/daytime BP] * 100) observed in the patient’s first ABPM recording, which was the information that would normally be available when they were seen, compared to the percentage fall obtained from subsequent recordings and to the mean value. Further, we studied the agreement in the classification of the dipper pattern between measurements, including an aggregate measure in which patients are considered to be dippers when the mean percentage fall in the three subsequent recordings was ≤ 10%.

As a secondary objective, we analyzed whether the reproducibility was higher or lower in various subgroups of the population (>70-year-olds and patients with diabetes, obesity, or hypertension).

2.1 | Statistical analysis

To analyze the reproducibility of the nocturnal pattern, we used various different approaches. On the one hand, exploiting the fact that we had four measurements per patient, we calculated intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) for the percentage fall using two-level (recording and patient) linear mixed models. The ICC measures the
correlation between measurements in a given patient, estimating
the fraction of the variance that corresponds to differences be-
tween measurements in the same patient compared to those be-
tween measurements in different patients.

On the other hand, we followed the strategy of Bland and Altman
which involves calculating Pearson’s correlation coefficients and
Bland-Altman repeatability coefficients between the first recording
and the others as well as the means.

The percentage repeatability coefficients, defined as the ratio
of the within-person standard deviation to the between-person
standard deviation, were obtained by dividing the standard devia-
tion of the differences between pairs of repeated measurements by
two times the pooled standard deviation of the measurements and
multiplying the result by 100. Because the repeatability coefficient
is the inverse of the reproducibility, a high repeatability coefficient
indicated a low reproducibility and vice versa.

Further, to assess the reproducibility of the classification of
patients as dippers or non-dippers, we have calculated the level of
concordance (percentage of patients whose classification remained
unchanged) between two measurements and the corresponding
Kappa statistics.

All the analyses were performed in SAS (vs 9.4) and R.

3 | RESULTS

A total of 225 patients were included of which 206 completed the
second recording, 200 the third and 192 the last recording. The
ABPM data were considered invalid in 11, 21, 20, and 27 cases at the
time of the first, second, third, and fourth recordings, respectively.
Giving a total of 214, 185, 180, and 165 valid ABPM measurements
at each recording. During the study, 140 patients had valid ABPM in
all recordings. Table 1 summarizes the baseline characteristics of the
225 patients included in the study. According to the first recording,
48.1% of the patients were dippers based on their systolic blood pres-
sure (SBP) and 64.5% based on their diastolic blood pressure (DBP).

3.1 | Reproducibility of the day-to-night change
in BP

Analyzing the percentage fall in BP across the four recordings, we ob-
tained ICCs of 0.482 for SBP and 0.467 for DBP, indicating that the
variance in measurements taken in the same patient (ie, the variance
due to the inconsistency or lack of reproducibility) is slightly more
than half the variance in measurements taken in different patients.

| TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics |
|---------------------------------|
|                                | Sample size | Mean     | Standard deviation |
| SBP, mm Hg                     | 214         | 125.55   | 11.62               |
| DBP, mm Hg                     | 214         | 70.62    | 6.94                |
| Nocturnal fall in SBP (% of daytime value) | 214       | 8.66     | 9.01                |
| Nocturnal fall in DBP (% of daytime value) | 214       | 12.59    | 9.96                |
| Age, years                     | 225         | 68.24    | 9.19                |
| Body mass index, kg/m²         | 224         | 29.16    | 3.94                |
|                                | N           | %        |
| SBP dippers (including extreme dippers) | 214         | 103      | 48.1                |
| DBP dippers (including extreme dippers) | 214         | 138      | 64.5                |
| Female                         | 225         | 67       | 29.8                |
| Smokers                        | 222         | 22       | 9.9                 |
| Diabetes                       | 222         | 80       | 36.6                |
| Heart disease                  | 222         | 145      | 65.3                |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease | 222   | 20       | 9                   |
| Arterial disease               | 222         | 41       | 18.5                |
| Congestive heart failure       | 222         | 5        | 2.2                 |
| Stroke                         | 222         | 55       | 24.8                |
| Dyslipidemia                   | 222         | 147      | 66.2                |
| Statins                        | 222         | 178      | 80.2                |
| Enzyme inhibitors              | 222         | 170      | 76.6                |
| Calcium antagonists            | 222         | 58       | 26.1                |
| Beta-blockers                  | 222         | 83       | 37.4                |

Abbreviations: DBP, Diastolic blood pressure; SBP, Systolic blood pressure.
Figure 1 illustrates the relationship between the percentage fall in BP measured in the first and subsequent ABPM recordings. The strength of the linear correlation decreases the further in time the subsequent recording is from the first, changing from \( r = 0.52/0.48 \) (SBP/DBP) comparing the baseline recording with that at 2 months, to \( r = 0.42/0.41 \) comparing with that at 5 months (see Table 2), and is higher when comparing the first recording with the mean of the three subsequent ones \( r = 0.55/0.5 \). Table 2 shows how this pattern is also seen on analyzing the repeatability coefficients, with slightly better reproducibility (lower repeatability coefficient) for SBP than DBP.

3.2 | Reproducibility of the dipper vs non-dipper classification

From the results in Table 3, it can be seen that the percentage of patients whose classification did not change from that assigned after the first ABPM recording was never higher than 72%. All the kappa coefficients except one are below the 0.40 mark which indicates poor agreement. The reproducibility was slightly better for DBP than SBP.

Analyzing the reproducibility considering the extreme patterns, we found that 10%/23% of patients classified as risers (with a negative percentage fall, ie, BP being higher at night than in the day) after the first recording \( n = 37 \) for SBP and 21 for DBP) were classified as dippers based on SBP/DBP considering the three subsequent recordings. Further, among patients classified as extreme dippers (percentage fall > 20%) at the first recording \( n = 21/43 \), 14%/16% were subsequently classified as non-dippers.

3.3 | Reproducibility in subgroups

Analyzing the circadian pattern in different subgroups of the study population, we observed that the reproducibility of day to night change in BP was poorer in patients with diabetes (ICC: 0.434/0.343 SBP/DBP) and in those with obesity (body mass index > 30 kg/m\(^2\)) (ICC: 0.324/0.339) and better in patients who had high daytime SBP at the first recording (>135 mmHg) (ICC: 0.562/0.604) as well as in over 70-year-olds (CCI: 0.581/0.518) (Appendix).

3.4 | Sensitivity analysis

Using the IDACO criteria, ABPM data were considered valid in 224, 199, 199, and 187 cases at the time of the first, second, third, and fourth recording.
fourth recordings, respectively. Using these data, we observed a modest improvement in the reproducibility of day to night change in BP (ICC: 0.512/0.483 SBP/DBP) and dipper classification (Appendix) respect to the results with the more restrictive criteria.

4 | DISCUSSION

Since devices for ABPM have been available and it has been known that BP follows a circadian pattern with a nocturnal fall, numerous studies have been performed showing that the lack of such a dip (non-dipper or riser patterns) is associated with a significantly higher risk of cardiovascular events.25-28

For this reason, it is essential to know how reproducible this pattern is, but nonetheless, this question has been poorly studied. Specifically, the studies carried out to date have not reported more than two ABPM recordings.18,29-31 In contrast, we report data from 225 patients who underwent ABPM on four occasions over a period of 5 months. During this study period, no changes in antihypertensive therapy or the time of its administration were allowed, this being closely monitored. All patients received treatment for hypertension and ASA 100 mg as an antiplatelet agent given their diagnosed high blood pressure and a history of cardiovascular events.

We believe, therefore, that this is the first study reporting four ABPM recordings for each individual in a homogeneous controlled group, this enabling us to study the reliability of the dipper pattern in this type of patient, not only by repeating the ABPM but by checking the classification over four ABPM recordings. We found that for both SBP and DBP the variation in nocturnal fall between different measurements in the same patient, which ideally would be very low, is about half that of the variation between measurements in different patients, indicating a low or at most modest reproducibility. These findings are confirmed on analyzing Pearson’s correlation coefficients and reproducibility coefficients which were only higher than 0.5 and lower than 0.4 in a few cases. This yields concordance values very similar to those in the study of Ben-DOV et al 32 who obtained Pearson’s r of 0.52 for reproducibility of the SBP pattern.

Except in the case of comparing baseline DBP with that at 4 months (71.8%), the concordance in the classification of the dipper pattern between measurements is no higher than 70% and even considering those classified as extreme dippers or risers the pattern may change. Previous publications have reported figures for reproducibility that are similar to those found in our study or slightly lower.29,31,33 Omboni et al observed a reproducibility of 60.3% considering SBP and 60.9% considering DBP.18

Gorostidi et al34 and at Booth et al study35 noted that the non-dipper pattern is more common and the reproducibility is slightly higher in high-risk patients than in other groups. Given that the patients in the TAHPS study had high cardiovascular risk and 52% of them were non-dippers, we expected to find better reproducibility than in the aforementioned studies. Nonetheless, the values we found are not much higher, though we did find better reproducibility in patients who had high daytime SBP (>135 mm Hg).

It has also been reported that the reproducibility of the non-dipper pattern is better in patients with diabetes than in the other patients with hypertension,28 but in our study, the reproducibility in patients with diabetes was actually lower than in the overall study population.

As a limitation of our study, we should recognize that it involves patients with hypertension and high cardiovascular risk, and hence, the findings cannot be extrapolated to other populations. On the other hand, the reproducibility in patients with diabetes was actually lower than in the overall study population.

| TABLE 2 Reproducibility of the day-to-night percentage change in BP |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Nocturnal fall in blood pressure (% of daytime value)** | **SBP** | | | **DBP** | | |
| | Mean | Sd | ICC | Correlation | Repeatability | Mean | Sd | ICC | Correlation | Repeatability |
| 1st recording | 8.66 | 9.02 | 0.482 | | | 12.6 | 9.96 | 0.467 | |
| 2nd recording | 7.69 | 8.56 | 0.515 | 48.9 | | 11.9 | 9.69 | 0.487 | 50.6 | |
| 3rd recording | 8.1 | 9.22 | 0.485 | 50.6 | | 12.8 | 9.66 | 0.476 | 51.5 | |
| 4th recording | 7.33 | 9.32 | 0.418 | 54.9 | | 12 | 9.75 | 0.412 | 55.4 | |
| Mean | 7.47 | 8.06 | 0.549 | 47.3 | | 12.1 | 8.61 | 0.5 | 49.9 | |

Abbreviations: DBP, Diastolic blood pressure; ICC, Intraclass correlation coefficient; SBP, Systolic blood pressure; SD, Standard deviation.

| TABLE 3 Reproducibility of the dipper vs non-dipper classification |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Dippers** | ** SBP** | | | ** DBP** | | |
| | n | % | Agreement (%) | Kappa | | n | % | Agreement (%) | Kappa |
| 1st recording | 103 | 48.1 | | | 138 | 64.5 | |
| 2nd recording | 77 | 41.6 | 65.9 | 0.31 | | 116 | 62.7 | 68.2 | 0.31 |
| 3rd recording | 68 | 37.8 | 68.4 | 0.34 | | 108 | 60 | 71.8 | 0.41 |
| 4th recording | 63 | 38.2 | 57.9 | 0.15 | | 96 | 58.2 | 63.5 | 0.24 |
| Mean | 69 | 34 | 67.3 | 0.34 | | 123 | 60.6 | 69.9 | 0.36 |

Abbreviations: DBP, Diastolic blood pressure; SBP, Systolic blood pressure.
hand, it is precisely in this population that we are interested in reducing cardiovascular risk and optimizing treatments. Another limitation is that we opted for a fixed timetable for the sleep/wake period and did not take into account daytime naps. Nonetheless, research related to this issue has not detected differences in reproducibility related to the day/night pattern or approach to delimiting the sleep period.36

5 | CONCLUSIONS

This study, based on 225 patients each of whom underwent ABPM on four occasions, confirms the modest reproducibility of circadian BP patterns, and therefore, we should be cautious about initiating or modifying treatments based on these patterns, above all if ABPM is only performed once.

Nonetheless, there is evidence that the non-dipper pattern is associated with a higher cardiovascular risk, and hence, there is a need for further research to develop methods allowing us to establish circadian BP patterns more reliably.
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APPENDIX 1

Results using the IDACO criteria

| TABLE 1 | Reproducibility of the day-to-night percentage change in BP in patients with diabetes |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Nocturnal fall in blood pressure (% of daytime value)** | **SBP** | **DBP** |
|          | **Mean** | **SD** | **ICC** | **Correlation** | **Repeatability** | **Mean** | **SD** | **ICC** | **Correlation** | **Repeatability** |
| 1st recording | 7.3 | 7.68 | 0.434 | 47.1 | 41.1 |
| 2nd recording | 7.6 | 7.43 | 0.508 | 45.1 | 47.1 |
| 3rd recording | 6.22 | 9.34 | 0.604 | 58.3 | 45.1 |
| 4th recording | 5.51 | 8.92 | 0.337 | 63.7 | 58.3 |
| Mean | 6.11 | 7.4 | 0.629 | 43.4 | 43.4 |

| TABLE 2 | Reproducibility of the dipper vs non-dipper classification in patients with diabetes |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Dippers** | **SBP** | **DBP** |
|          | **n** | **%** | **Agreement (%)** | **Kappa** | **n** | **%** | **Agreement (%)** | **Kappa** |
| 1st recording | 32 | 41 | 66.1 | 0.3 | 34 | 52.3 |
| 2nd recording | 29 | 43.9 | 66.1 | 0.3 | 35 | 53 |
| 3rd recording | 19 | 29.2 | 76.6 | 0.48 | 34 | 52.3 |
| 4th recording | 19 | 31.7 | 54.2 | 0.02 | 30 | 50 |
| Mean | 19 | 26.4 | 67.6 | 0.28 | 37 | 51.4 |

| TABLE 3 | Reproducibility of the day-to-night percentage change in BP in patients with obesity (BMI > 30) |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Nocturnal fall in blood pressure (% of daytime value)** | **SBP** | **DBP** |
|          | **Mean** | **SD** | **ICC** | **Correlation** | **Repeatability** | **Mean** | **SD** | **ICC** | **Correlation** | **Repeatability** |
| 1st recording | 7.77 | 7.28 | 0.324 | 55.1 | 41.1 |
| 2nd recording | 6.68 | 8.31 | 0.383 | 58.4 | 45.1 |
| 3rd recording | 7.21 | 7.78 | 0.326 | 64.7 | 58.4 |
| 4th recording | 6.68 | 7.28 | 0.19 | 57.1 | 64.7 |
| Mean | 6.78 | 6.38 | 0.371 | 57.1 | 57.1 |

| TABLE 4 | Reproducibility of the dipper vs non-dipper classification in patients with obesity (BMI > 30) |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Dippers** | **SBP** | **DBP** |
|          | **n** | **%** | **Agreement (%)** | **Kappa** | **n** | **%** | **Agreement (%)** | **Kappa** |
| 1st recording | 34 | 41.5 | 61.4 | 0.18 | 45 | 54.9 |
| 2nd recording | 28 | 38.4 | 61.4 | 0.18 | 40 | 54.8 |
| 3rd recording | 19 | 27.1 | 68.7 | 0.29 | 37 | 52.9 |
| 4th recording | 18 | 28.6 | 53.3 | -0.045 | 30 | 47.6 |
| Mean | 19 | 24.1 | 65.8 | 0.25 | 41 | 51.9 |

Abbreviations: DBP, Diastolic blood pressure; ICC, Intraclass correlation coefficient; SBP, Systolic blood pressure; SD, Standard deviation.
### TABLE 5  Reproducibility of the day-to-night percentage change in BP in patients with age > 70

| Nocturnal fall in blood pressure (% of daytime value) | SBP | | | DBP | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Mean | Sd | ICC | Correlation | Repeatability | Mean | Sd | ICC | Correlation | Repeatability |
| 1st recording | 7.76 | 9.72 | 0.581 | | | 12.48 | 9.98 | 0.518 | |
| 2nd recording | 7.12 | 9.63 | 0.58 | 44.6 | 12.75 | 10.15 | 0.473 | 50.7 | |
| 3rd recording | 5.69 | 10.24 | 0.612 | 44.3 | 11.49 | 10.4 | 0.499 | 51 | |
| 4th recording | 6.22 | 10.29 | 0.584 | 42.6 | 12.17 | 10.18 | 0.463 | 43.3 | |
| Mean | 5.77 | 9.11 | 0.708 | | | 11.83 | 8.95 | 0.607 | 44.7 | |

Abbreviations: DBP, Diastolic blood pressure; ICC, Intraclass correlation coefficient; SBP, Systolic blood pressure; SD, Standard deviation.

### TABLE 6  Reproducibility of the dipper vs non-dipper classification in patients with age > 70

| Dippers | SBP | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | n | % | Agreement (%) | Kappa | | n | % | Agreement (%) | Kappa | |
| 1st recording | 39 | 45.3 | | | | 53 | 61.6 | | |
| 2nd recording | 29 | 37.7 | 73 | 0.45 | 52 | 67.5 | 67.6 | 0.29 | |
| 3rd recording | 19 | 26.4 | 71 | 0.38 | 36 | 50 | 69.6 | 0.39 | |
| 4th recording | 24 | 36.9 | 64.5 | 0.28 | 38 | 58.5 | 77.4 | 0.53 | |
| Mean | 29 | 33.7 | 74.7 | 0.48 | 48 | 55.8 | 69.9 | 0.38 | |

Abbreviations: DBP, Diastolic blood pressure; SBP, Systolic blood pressure.

### TABLE 7  Reproducibility of the day-to-night percentage change in BP in patients with hypertension: SBP daytime > 135mmHG

| Nocturnal fall in blood pressure (% of daytime value) | SBP | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Mean | Sd | ICC | Correlation | Repeatability | Mean | Sd | ICC | Correlation | Repeatability |
| 1st recording | 11.1 | 9.27 | 0.562 | | | 14.58 | 11.35 | 0.604 | |
| 2nd recording | 7.98 | 9.12 | 0.631 | 40.8 | 12.1 | 11.66 | 0.682 | 39.1 | |
| 3rd recording | 9.67 | 9.97 | 0.528 | 48.6 | 14.4 | 10.9 | 0.472 | 51.3 | |
| 4th recording | 9.44 | 10.12 | 0.631 | 42.6 | 14.24 | 10.69 | 0.651 | 42.9 | |
| Mean | 8.22 | 8.7 | 0.709 | 38 | 13 | 10.11 | 0.678 | 39.7 | |

Abbreviations: DBP, Diastolic blood pressure; ICC, Intraclass correlation coefficient; SBP, Systolic blood pressure; SD, Standard deviation.

### TABLE 8  Reproducibility of the dipper vs non-dipper classification in patients with hypertension: SBP > 135mmHg

| Dippers | SBP | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | n | % | Agreement (%) | Kappa | | n | % | Agreement (%) | Kappa | |
| 1st recording | 37 | 57.8 | | | | 43 | 67.2 | | |
| 2nd recording | 23 | 43.4 | 73.6 | 0.49 | 37 | 69.8 | 83 | 0.59 | |
| 3rd recording | 22 | 40.7 | 61.1 | 0.25 | 36 | 66.7 | 70.4 | 0.31 | |
| 4th recording | 21 | 47.7 | 61.4 | 0.24 | 28 | 63.6 | 77.3 | 0.48 | |
| Mean | 21 | 35.6 | 69.5 | 0.42 | 38 | 64.4 | 74.6 | 0.43 | |

Abbreviations: DBP, Diastolic blood pressure; SBP, Systolic blood pressure.
### TABLE 9  Reproducibility of the day-to-night percentage change in BP using the IDACO criteria

| Nocturnal fall in blood pressure (% of daytime value) | SBP | DBP |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----|-----|
|                                                  | Mean  | SD | ICC  | Correlation | Repeatability | Mean  | SD | ICC  | Correlation | Repeatability |
| 1st recording                                   | 8.73 | 8.9 | 0.512 |             |               | 12.7  | 9.92 | 0.483 |             |               |
| 2nd recording                                   | 7.4  | 8.7 | 0.524 | 48.3        |               | 11.6  | 9.93 | 0.513 | 48.9        |               |
| 3rd recording                                   | 8.1  | 9.2 | 0.503 | 49.8        |               | 12.9  | 9.57 | 0.486 | 50.7        |               |
| 4th recording                                   | 7.23 | 9.82| 0.453 | 52.3        |               | 12.1  | 9.88 | 0.433 | 53.4        |               |
| Mean                                            | 7.44 | 7.96| 0.562 | 46.8        |               | 12    | 8.33 | 0.536 | 48.3        |               |

Abbreviations: DBP, Diastolic blood pressure; ICC, Intraclass correlation coefficient; SBP, Systolic blood pressure; SD, Standard deviation.

### TABLE 10  Reproducibility of the dipper vs non-dipper classification using the IDACO criteria

| Dippers | SBP | DBP |
|--------|-----|-----|
|        | n   | %   | Agreement (%) | Kappa | n   | %   | Agreement (%) | Kappa |
| 1st recording | 107 | 47.8 |             |       | 145 | 64.7 |             |       |
| 2nd recording  | 81  | 40.5 | 65.5        | 0.3   | 121 | 60.5 | 69         | 0.34  |
| 3rd recording  | 75  | 37.7 | 68.8        | 0.37  | 121 | 60.8 | 72.4       | 0.41  |
| 4th recording  | 72  | 38.3 | 61.2        | 0.21  | 111 | 59   | 67.6       | 0.31  |
| Mean          | 68  | 33.3 | 66.7        | 0.32  | 123 | 60.3 | 71.1       | 0.38  |

Abbreviations: DBP, Diastolic blood pressure; SBP, Systolic blood pressure.