Genomic Signatures of Experimental Adaptation to Antimicrobial Peptides in Staphylococcus aureus
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ABSTRACT The evolution of resistance against antimicrobial peptides has long been considered unlikely due to their mechanism of action, yet experimental selection with antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) results in rapid evolution of resistance in several species of bacteria. Although numerous studies have utilized mutant screens to identify loci that determine AMP susceptibility, there is a dearth of data concerning the genomic changes that accompany experimental evolution of AMP resistance. Using genome resequencing, we analyzed the mutations that arose during experimental evolution of resistance to the cationic AMPs iseganan, melittin, and pexiganan, as well as to a combination of melittin and pexiganan, or to the aminoglycoside antibiotic streptomycin. Analysis of 17 independently replicated Staphylococcus aureus selection lines, including unselected controls, showed that each AMP selected for mutations at distinct loci. We identify mutations in genes involved in the synthesis and maintenance of the cell envelope. These include genes previously identified from mutant screens for AMP resistance, and genes involved in the response to AMPs and cell-wall-active antibiotics. Furthermore, transposon insertion mutants were used to verify that a number of the identified genes are directly involved in determining AMP susceptibility. Strains selected for AMP resistance under controlled experimental evolution displayed consistent AMP-specific mutations in genes that determine AMP susceptibility. This suggests that different routes to evolve resistance are favored within a controlled genetic background.

Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs), ubiquitous in multicellular organisms (Zasloff 2002), are considered to be a promising source of new and potent antibiotics (Nguyen et al. 2011). Current research on AMPs focuses mostly on the mechanisms of action, and on the development of therapeutics, whereas only a small number of studies have addressed the important problem of bacterial resistance evolution. Resistance against cationic AMPs evolves readily in vitro in Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Perron et al. 2006), Salmonella enterica (Lofton et al. 2013), and Staphylococcus aureus (Habets and Brockhurst 2012; Dobson et al. 2013). Experimentally evolved strains of S. aureus that were selected successfully for resistance against the cationic protegrin-1 analog iseganan (Dobson et al. 2013) survive better in a model host (Dobson et al. 2014) that relies heavily on AMPs to deal with long-lasting infections (Johnston et al. 2014). S. aureus populations selected for resistance to pexiganan and melittin also show a trend toward increased survival in the host (Dobson et al. 2014). Here, we present a genomic analysis of S. aureus strains from these populations (Dobson et al. 2013), together with susceptibility data from transposon insertion mutants showing that a number of the identified genes are directly involved in mediating AMP susceptibility.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Strains were isolated as single colonies from populations that were created by selecting S. aureus JLA513 (Shaw et al. 2006) (hla-lacZ hla+, derived from SH1000, from Simon Foster, University of Sheffield) for 28 d with increasing concentrations of AMPs, or with the aminoglycoside antibiotic streptomycin (Dobson et al. 2013). Streptomycin-selected strains are included here as a positive control since the genetic
basis of streptomycin resistance is well-characterized in S. aureus. Briefly, to ensure adaptation to the culture medium, 50 μl of S. aureus JLA513 culture was passaged serially every 24 hr for 10 d in 5 ml Müller-Hinton Broth (MHB). Subsequently, five parallel selection lines were established in each treatment at minimum inhibitory concentration required to inhibit the growth of 50% of organisms (MIC\text{50}) (as well as unselected controls, which were serially passaged without exposure to AMPs or antibiotics) by inoculating 5 μl of serially passaged culture into 500 μl of MHB containing the cognate selective agent. A 5-μl aliquot of each 24-hr culture was passaged daily to fresh MHB. The concentrations of the selective agents were doubled each week for a total of 4 wk. See Supplemental Material, File S1 and Table S1 for full details and precise concentrations (Dobson et al. 2013). Strains were isolated from each of three independently selected replicate populations per selective agent (with the exception of iseganan-selected populations, where only two frozen population stocks remained viable), as well as from unselected controls, and the ancestral strain JLA513. Only strains derived from single colonies were sequenced; therefore, we cannot infer the frequency of a given mutation within the population of origin. MIC were calculated for the selective agents (Table S1) in 96-well plates as previously described (Andrews 2001), and DNA was isolated from each strain using a Roboklon DNA extraction kit (Roboklon GmbH, Germany). Genomic DNA from each strain was sequenced for 180 cycles using a HiSeq2000 by the Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI), resulting in 90-bp paired-end reads. Sequence data are available from the NCBI SRA under BioSample accession PRJNA291485. Strain JLA513 (Shaw et al. 2006) was constructed using strain SH1000, which is a derivative of strain 8325. The genetic differences between SH1000 and other members of the 8325 lineage have been described using both array-based resequencing (Bæk 2013). The differences comprise: the excision of three prophages from 8325 (sequencing (Bæk 2013)). Therefore, a consensus reference genome was first produced to account for these differences. Reads from JLA513 were assembled using SPAdes (Bankevich et al. 2012), and the resulting contigs were used to correct for the three phage excision sites in the 8325 reference genome. JLA513 reads were then mapped to the resulting sequence and bcftools consensus (H. Li et al. 2009) was used to correct the remaining 13 SNPs and two indels. To identify mutations in the selection lines, reads were mapped to this reference genome using BWA (Li and Durbin 2009 and sorted, deduplicated (to account for optical- and PCR-duplicates), and indexed using SAMtools (H. Li et al. 2009) and Picard (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard). Average coverage was 134-fold (range 110- to 144-fold). Variants were called using Freebayes version v0.9.14-8-g16187f (Garrison and Marth 2012), and coverage was calculated across 25-bp windows using IGVtools (Thorvaldsdottir et al. 2013). All variants were independently verified using a second computational pipeline, breset (Deatherage and Barrick 2014). Insertion mutants were obtained from the Nebraska Transposon Mutant Library (Fey et al. 2013) in order to test if the identified genes were directly involved in AMP resistance. MICS were calculated for each mutant, and the wild-type strain USA300_FPR3757 as described above.

Data availability

The authors state that all data necessary for confirming the conclusions presented in the article are represented fully within the article.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Between one and four mutations were identified per strain after accounting for differences between the JLA513 ancestor and the 8325 reference genome, and for mutations arising over the course of the experiment across treatments and unselected controls. In total, 28 mutations were identified across the 17 strains, including 24 nonsynonymous mutations affecting 13 genes, a segmental duplication of 124-kb region containing an entire rrn operon (Table 1 and Table S2) as well as one synonymous mutation, and two intergenic indels (Table S2).

Pexiganan (PG) resistance was characterized by distinct nonsense mutations in the gene encoding the XRE-family transcriptional regulator XdrA in strains PG2.2 and PG4.2 (Table 1 and Table S2). XdrA was recently shown to activate transcription of spa (McCallum et al. 2010), which encodes the protein A virulence factor, and deletion mutants show increased β-lactam resistance (Ender et al. 2009). Here, a transposon mutant with an insertion in xdrA showed decreased pexiganan susceptibility (Table 1 and Table S3) indicating that XdrA is directly involved in pexiganan resistance. In addition to a mutation in xdrA, strain PG4.2 also carried a nonsynonymous substitution in wcaG, which encodes a putative UDP-glucose-4-epimerase (Table 1). Only a single mutation was observed in strain PG1.1, introducing a frameshift into mgt (sgtB), which encodes a monofunctional peptidoglycan glycosyltransferase (Table 1). A distinct nonsense in mgt was also identified in one iseganan-melittin-selected (PGML) strain (see below). An mgt transposon mutant was also found to be less susceptible to pexiganan (Table1 and Table S3). As part of the cell wall stimulon (Wang and Peery 2001), mgt is positively regulated by cell wall stress, and participates in the polymerization of lipid II into nascent peptidoglycan (Lovering et al. 2012). Recent work has shown that mgt mutations cause peptidoglycan chain length reduction as well as alterations in cellular morphology and division site placement (Rebets et al. 2014).

All three melittin- (ML) resistant strains were found to carry missense mutations resulting in either A35T or A35D substitutions in a gene encoding a putative RluD-like pseudouridylylate synthase with no known role in antimicrobial susceptibility. A transposon mutant from the Nebraska Transposon Mutant Library with an insertion in this gene showed no change in melittin susceptibility (Table 1 and Table S3). One melittin-resistant strain carried a 1931 missense mutation in a region encoding an alpha helix immediately adjacent to the conserved active site quintet in the response regulator WalR (Table 1). WalRK regulates cell wall metabolism and is ubiquitous in the Firmicutes, where it is the only known essential two-component system (Dubrac et al. 2008). walRK mutations, including those affecting the WalR active site, arise during persistent clinical S. aureus infections and are known to confer resistance to vancomycin, and the lipopeptide antibiotic daptomycin by increasing the thickness of the cell wall (Howden et al. 2011). Identical nonsense mutations were identified in two melittin-resistant strains at the extreme 5’ end of the ytrA open reading frame, which encodes a winged helix-turn-helix GntR-family repressor (Table 1). Similar to its B. subtilis ortholog, ytrA is the first gene of an operon that encodes two putative ABC transporters. In B. subtilis, YtrA binds specifically to an inverted repeat in the ytrA and ywoB promoters, and transcription of the ytr and ywo operons is induced by cell-wall-active antibiotics, including the peptide antibiotics bacitracin, vancomycin, and ramoplanin, with ytrA null mutations causing constitutive expression of both operons (Salzberg et al. 2011). Notably, the entire ytrA operon has been shown to be induced by cationic AMPs in S. aureus, where it is under negative regulation by the AMP sensing system aps (Li et al. 2007), and has also been implicated in nisin susceptibility in S. aureus SH1000 (Blake and O’Neill 2013). Although ytrA insertions are not present in the Nebraska Transposon Mutant Library, we were able to obtain two independent ytr operon transposon mutants with insertions
downstream of ytrA, which did not show any detectable difference in AMP susceptibility relative to the wild type (Table S3). This raises the possibility that the ytrA-null mutations observed here may mediate AMP susceptibility via derepression of the S. aureus ywo ortholog.

Iseganan (IG) resistance was associated with an identical 5-bp deletion in the extreme 3' end of the ybhH gene in each of two strains from independent iseganan-selected lines (Table 1). YbhH controls the disulfide stress response by binding to the oxidative burst-specific transcriptional regulator Spx, and thereby controlling its degradation by the ClpXP protease (Göhring et al. 2011), a role that is conserved in Bacillus subtilis (Larsson et al. 2007). YbhH also modulates β-lactam susceptibility, with deletion mutants showing moderate resistance to various β-lactams, but not to the glycopeptide antibiotic vancomycin (Göhring et al. 2011). The precise mechanism by which YbhH modulates susceptibility is unknown, but is proposed to be a consequence of upregulation of PBP4, which results in increased peptidoglycan cross-linking (Göhring et al. 2011).

There were no common mutations identified in the genomes of three strains that were selected with a 1:1 wt/wt combination of melittin and pexiganan (Table 1). However, there were commonalities with strains that were selected with either melittin or pexiganan. A single missense mutation was identified in strain PGML3.2, which substitutes a conserved threonine residue in the winged helix-turn-helix DNA binding domain of YtrA (note that ytrA nonsense mutations were identified in two melittin-resistant strains described above). Similarly, a single nonsense mutation was identified in strain PGML5.1 in mgt (also mutated in a pexiganan-resistant strain described above). In contrast, three missense mutations were identified in the genome of a second pexiganan-melittin-selected strain. Interestingly, this included dak2, which encodes a dihydroxyacetone kinase responsible for incorporation of dihydroxyacetoneglycerol into the cell membrane (M. Li et al. 2009). Dak2 was previously identified in a high throughput mutant screen for loci affecting susceptibility to the anionic human AMP dermcidin in S. aureus (M. Li et al. 2009). Mutations affecting the nonessential C-terminal DegV superfamily domain of Dak2 result in altered membrane phospholipid composition and decreased binding and activity of dermcidin but not of the cationic human AMPs LL-37 or human β-defensin-3 (M. Li et al. 2009). Given this lack of cross-resistance to cationic AMPs in dak2 mutants, Dak2-mediated susceptibility was thought to be specific to anionic AMPs such as dermcidin (M. Li et al. 2009). It is therefore surprising to find dak2 mutation in response to selection with a combination of the cationic AMPs melittin and pexiganan. Further evidence of the role of Dak2 in susceptibility to pexiganan and melittin was shown by increased susceptibility to both AMPs by a dak2 transposon mutant (Table 1 and Table S3). Note that the dak2 mutation reported here results in a G341D substitution, whereas the dak2 mutant from the Nebraska Transposon Mutant Library is a transposon insertion mutant.

Mutations identified in streptomycin-selected strains occurred mostly in genes with known roles in streptomycin (STR) susceptibility (Table 1). Frameshift mutations in gidB, which encodes a 16S rRNA-specific 7-methylguanosine methyltransferase, were identified in all three streptomycin-selected strains (Table 1). In each case, the frameshift occurs within the region encoding the GidB methyltransferase domain. Mutations in gidB (rsmG) are associated with low-level streptomycin resistance in several species of bacteria including S. aureus (Mikheil et al. 2012; Verma et al. 2014; Wong et al. 2011; Okamoto et al. 2007), and it is speculated that loss of 16S methylation lowers the binding affinity of streptomycin, thus conferring the resistance phenotype (Wong et al. 2011). Here, a gidB transposon mutant was found to be fourfold less susceptible to streptomycin (Table 1 and Table S3). Two further mutations were identified that potentially affect ribosomal RNA. A 124-kb region containing an entire rrr operon appears to have been duplicated in a strain STR3.2, whereas strain STR1.1 carries a nonsynonymous substitution in the essential gene encoding NusA, which acts as an antiterminator for 16S RNA transcription, as well as a chaperone for 16S rRNA folding (Bubunenko et al. 2013) (Table S2). Mutations were also identified in the glycerol kinase gene gkp in two strains (Table 1); however, a transposon insertion did not detectably alter streptomycin susceptibility (Table 1 and Table S3).

Numerous studies have utilized mutant screens to identify loci that determine AMP susceptibility (Peschel et al. 1999; M. Li et al. 2009), but, with the exception of a single study (Lofson et al. 2013), there is a dearth of data concerning the genomic changes that accompany experimental evolution of AMP resistance, and whether the same loci are involved in each instance. Here, genome sequencing of strains isolated...
from independently replicated AMP selection lines identified mutations associated with AMP resistance evolution, and showed that each AMP selected for mutations at distinct loci. These mutations affected genes with known roles in susceptibility to AMPs and/or cell-wall-active antibiotics, as well as cell wall stress stimulus genes. All cationic AMPs used here form toroidal pores, yet there was little evidence of cross resistance or for mutations that were common across all AMP-selected strains, or even a single AMP, indicating that there are multiple routes to resistance. There is limited evidence of AMP-specific responses. For example, the staphylococcal virulence factor MprF determines susceptibility toward protegrins (e.g., iseganan) but has little effect on magainin (pexiganan analog) or melittin susceptibility (Peschel et al. 2001). Also, little is known about AMP interactions with other constituents of the cell membrane, and whether these may contribute to the specificity observed here. A small number of mutations occurred in genes with no known role in antimicrobial susceptibility, such as the gene encoding the RhuD-like pseudouridylate synthase, and may represent compensatory adaptations that warrant further study. Furthermore, mutations in the walR gene, such as that described here, are known to increase multidrug resistance, and to arise during clinical S. aureus infections (Howden et al. 2011). This is consistent with the notion that the evolution of resistance to AMPs may compromise host defenses against infection (Bell and Gouyon 2003; Habets and Brockhurst 2012; Makarova et al. 2016).
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