Dilute-and-shoot analysis of therapeutic monoclonal antibody variants in fermentation broth: a method capability study
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ABSTRACT
Monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) are widely applied as highly specific and efficient therapeutic agents for various medical conditions, including cancer, inflammatory and autoimmune diseases. As protein production in cellular systems inherently generates a multitude of molecular variants, manufacturing of mAbs requires stringent control in order to ensure safety and efficacy of the drugs. Moreover, monitoring of mAb variants in the course of the fermentation process may allow instant tuning of process parameters to maintain optimal cell culture conditions. Here, we describe a fast and robust workflow for the characterization of mAb variants in fermentation broth. Sample preparation is minimal in that the fermentation broth is shortly centrifuged before dilution and HPLC-MS analysis in a short 15-min gradient run. In a single analysis, N-glycosylation and truncation variants of the expressed mAb are identified at the intact protein level. Simultaneously, absolute quantification of mAb content in fermentation broth is achieved. The whole workflow features excellent robustness as well as retention time and peak area stability. Additional enzymatic removal of N-glycans enables determination of mAb glycation levels, which are subsequently considered in relative N-glycoform quantification to correct for isobaric galactosylation. Several molecular attributes of the expressed therapeutic protein may thus be continuously monitored to ensure the desired product profile. Application of the described workflow in an industrial environment may therefore substantially enhance in-process control in mAb production, as well as targeted biosimilar development.
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Introduction
Industrial production of therapeutic monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) involves extensive clone selection and process optimization procedures, which are initially performed at small scale. In this context, not only productivity and viability of the host cells are pivotal, but also the molecular composition and heterogeneity of the expressed mAb is of importance to ensure safety and efficacy of the drug. More specifically, quality attributes such as the glycosylation profile, truncation and incorrect assembly of mAb subunits, oxidation, deamidation and glycation are profoundly affected by the cell culture conditions, and therefore need proper control. Simultaneous monitoring of these attributes may thus enable instant tuning of process parameters, e.g., temperature, pH value, and cultivation time, to achieve the desired product profile.

In IgG1-type mAbs, N-glycosylation represents a critical quality attribute (CQA) because it affects the safety and efficacy of the therapeutic protein. This modification occurs at a conserved asparagine residue in the CH2 domain of the heavy chain and significantly affects protein structure and stability, antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC), and complement-dependent cytotoxicity (CDC). An important example of N-glycan variability is the presence/absence of afucosylated glycans, which is known to affect the efficacy of therapeutic antibodies involving ADCC as a mechanism of action. In contrast to N-glycosylation, the non-enzymatic addition of a hexose molecule to lysine residues is referred to as glycation, which may be induced during production and storage of a mAb. Normally, glycation represents a quality attribute of low criticality. However, if glycation occurs in the complementarity-determining region (CDR) of the mAb, its criticality may be high and therefore needs control.

For the purpose of process monitoring, mAb variants are typically purified from fermentation samples by protein A affinity chromatography before in-depth protein characterization is performed via a wide spectrum of analytical methods. Typically, N-glycans are enzymatically released, fluorescently labelled and analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with fluorescence detection. In addition, proteolytic digestion and peptide mapping by HPLC hyphenated to mass spectrometry (MS) allows multiple attribute monitoring (MAM), for example, of...
glycosylation, glycation, deamidation, and oxidation.\textsuperscript{23,26,27} Despite the depth of information obtained, the suitability of these approaches for simultaneous monitoring of fermentation processes is limited due to lengthy sample preparation procedures and artefacts introduced during sample preparation.

In contrast, sample preparation for intact mAb analysis is minimal and may facilitate real-time monitoring of biotechnological processes.\textsuperscript{9,28,29} Intact protein mass determination allows confirmation of the elemental composition, profiling of glycosylation patterns, and detection of truncation variants.\textsuperscript{30–34} Furthermore, induction of artificial modifications during sample handling, \textit{e.g.}, deamidation or oxidation, is minimized.\textsuperscript{35–37} Different approaches have been described for relative quantification of the intact mAb and mAb fragments purified from fermentation broth samples by protein A chromatography. Employing reversed-phase (RP) HPLC-MS, relative quantification of fully assembled, intact mAb, free light chain, light chain fragments, light chain dimer, and multiple forms of Fab' was accomplished.\textsuperscript{38} Applying a similar analytical approach, mAb glycosylation variants were relatively quantified in simulated fermentation broth samples obtained by spiking a mAb drug product into serum-free cell culture medium.\textsuperscript{39}

Here, we provide a critical evaluation of the capabilities and limitations of HPLC-MS-based methodology for the direct analysis of the target protein and product-related variants in fermentation broth at the intact protein level. Employing an organic monolith-based ion-pair (IP)-RP-HPLC separation system and a hybrid quadrupole-Orbitrap MS platform, we relatively quantify N-glycosylation variants, while taking into account glycation levels. Furthermore, we relatively quantify product-related variants such as truncation variants and incorrectly assembled mAb subunits. Simultaneously, the target protein may be absolutely quantified based on online UV-detection. Finally, robustness and repeatability of the method are assessed with respect to retention time, peak area, and mass accuracy. Applicability of the analytical approach is demonstrated for samples drawn from a fermentation process and for the corresponding reference drug product. The described workflow may be implemented for the in-process control of mAb production processes, and, moreover, the approach represents a powerful tool to guide clone selection and process development during the targeted development of a biosimilar drug.

\section*{Results}

\subsection*{Separation and identification of mAb variants and product-related variants}

In order to assess the presence of mAb variants and product-related variants in fermentation samples drawn from a clone selection process, we implemented a fast analytical workflow involving minimal sample preparation, \textit{i.e.}, only centrifugation and dilution. Fermentation broth is a relatively complex sample matrix that not only contains the fully-assembled mAb, but also intermediates and degradation products, host cell proteins, metabolites, and cell media components; hence, chromatographic separation prior to mass spectrometric detection is essential. For this purpose, we performed IP-RP-HPLC employing a monolithic polystyrene-divinylbenzene (PS-DVB)-based column (50 × 1.0 mm i.d.) coupled via electrospray ionization (ESI) to a quadrupole-Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific™ Q Exactive™). In addition, online detection by UV-spectroscopy at 214 nm was implemented. mAb species were then identified based on zero-charge mass spectra obtained by the Sliding Window Algorithm embedded in the Thermo Scientific™ BioPharma Finder™ 3.0 software. The analyzed samples were drawn from a mAb fermentation process at AMBR™ (advanced microscale bioreactor) scale (2.5 mL) after five days (day 5), ten days (day 10), and fourteen days (day 14) of fermentation. In addition, a sample of purified mAb obtained upon protein A affinity chromatography after 15 days of fermentation (capture eluate) was analyzed. Furthermore, the corresponding reference drug of the biosimilar candidate was analyzed. In order to compare the different samples varying in their mAb concentration, samples were diluted to obtain equivalent peak areas of the intact mAb. Ribonuclease A (RibA) was spiked as carrier protein to avoid adsorptive losses of low abundant protein species.\textsuperscript{40}

Chromatograms obtained by HPLC-UV-MS analysis of the above described samples are shown in Figure 1. Based on the most abundant molecular mass obtained for each chromatographic peak, several mAb variants and truncation products could be assigned, taking into account the theoretical molecular mass (Figure S-1). The most abundant chromatographic peak in all samples arises from the fully assembled mAb (peak 5, 149196.91 Da, $\pm 1.93$ ppm mass deviation) corresponding to the most abundant glycosylation variant (A2G0F/A2G0F). A variant eluting slightly earlier (peak 4) was assigned to a glycosylated heavy chain dimer (HC, 101372.54 Da, $\pm 14.26$ ppm). Peak 3 corresponds to a light chain dimer (LC, 46890.55 Da, $\pm 22.53$ ppm) while peak 2 arises from a light chain monomer (LC, 23565.23 Da, $\pm 23.00$ ppm). Peak 1 can be attributed to a glycosylated mAb truncation product (HC fragment, 23980.80 Da, $\pm 8.34$ ppm). In addition to the above described major mAb species, the following minor variants were detected: cysteinylated LC, glycated LC-dimer, and different glycosylation variants of HC. The intact mAb (Supplementary material, spreadsheet E-1). The carrier protein RibA eluted with the column hold-up volume and did not affect separation of mAb variants (Figure S-2).

Repeatability and mass accuracy of the applied HPLC-MS workflow were assessed by repetitive measurements in order to determine the confidence of mass determination and its implication in peak assignment. For this purpose, six technical replicates of fermentation sample day 14 were analyzed. The precision of molecular mass determination expressed as 95\% confidence interval ranged from $\pm 0.16$ to $\pm 2.89$ Da (Table 1), implying that compounds differing by more than $\pm 3$ Da may be readily discerned by MS if separated prior to MS.

Column robustness and regeneration were maintained over more than 2000 injections. Since the column material utilized in our study was a PS-DVB-based monolith, which is chemically very stable, we did not detect a significant deterioration of column performance after 2000 injections. This is demonstrated in the supporting material by injection of a 50 ng mL$^{-1}$
solution of mAb reference material before and after 965 injections of mAb reference material or fermentation samples, in which the retention times and peak widths at half height differed by only 4.8 s and 0.06 s, respectively (Figure S-3). PS-DVB monolith-based column materials were also shown to exhibit significantly lower carryover compared to silica-based stationary phases.

In order to investigate memory or carryover effects, we performed blank injections immediately after the injection of mAb reference material of different concentration. Figure S-4A shows the first and tenth blank run after loading of 10 µL of a 250 ng mL⁻¹ injection of mAb solution. Due to the relatively high amount injected, 0.77% carryover was observed in the first blank analysis, whereas the peak area decreased to less than 0.032% after 10 blank runs. In the analysis of low concentrated mAb solutions (10 ng mL⁻¹), carryover became undetectable already after three blank runs. In consequence, mAb samples were always injected in increasing concentrations and analyses of calibration standards and samples were generally separated by at least three blank runs.

**Relative quantification of mAb variants and product-related variants**

In the next step, we attempted relative quantification of the identified mAb species based on peak areas obtained from UV-spectroscopic detection (Table 2, top). The fractional abundance of peak 5 corresponding to the different glycosylation variants of the fully assembled mAb increased between day 5 of fermentation (72.2%) and day 10 (82.0%), while no significant change was observed between day 10 and 14. The relative increase of fully assembled mAb in the capture eluate (> 98%) demonstrates the efficiency of protein A purification. Moreover, the fractional abundance is similar to that of the reference product. The LC dimer (LC₂, peak 3) displays the highest fractional abundance of the identified
Table 2. Relative quantification of mAb species by HPLC-UV-MS.

| Peak No. | RT [min] | mAb species | Molecular mass [Da] | Day 5\(^{d}\) | Day 10\(^{d}\) | Day 14\(^{d}\) | Capture eluate\(^{d}\) | Reference product\(^{d}\) |
|----------|----------|--------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| 1        | 7.0      | HC fragment  | 23980.95 (+14.5)    | 0.5 ± 0.6     | 0.5 ± 0.4     | 1.5 ± 0.5     | 1.3 ± 0.5            | 0.2 ± 0.1              |
| 2        | 7.2      | LC           | 23567.69 (−4.3)     | 3.4 ± 0.2     | 5.0 ± 0.3     | 6.2 ± 0.6     | 0 ± 0                | 0 ± 0                  |
| 3        | 7.5      | LC\(_2\)     | 46890.42 (−25.2)    | 19.4 ± 1.0    | 11.2 ± 0.5    | 9.4 ± 0.5     | 0 ± 0                | 0 ± 0                  |
| 4        | 7.8      | HC\(_2\)     | 101373.96 (−0.2)    | 4.4 ± 1.8     | 1.4 ± 0.1     | 1.1 ± 0.2     | 0.9 ± 0.2            | 1.0 ± 0.2              |
| 5        | 7.9      | Intact mAb   | 149196.92 (+1.9)    | 72.2 ± 2.0    | 82.0 ± 0.8    | 81.7 ± 1.4    | 97.8 ± 0.6           | 98.8 ± 0.1             |

Relative quantification of mAb species by UV-spectroscopy\(^{a}\)

| Peak No. | RT [min] | mAb species | Molecular mass [Da] | Day 5\(^{d}\) | Day 10\(^{d}\) | Day 14\(^{d}\) | Capture eluate\(^{d}\) | Reference product\(^{d}\) | Average relative deviation UV vs. MS [\%]\(^{f}\) |
|----------|----------|--------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1        | 7.0      | HC fragment  | 23980.95 (+14.5)    | 0.2 ± 0.1     | 0.2 ± 0.3     | 0.3 ± 0.2     | 1.1 ± 0.6            | 0.1 ± 0.1              | 53.6                            |
| 2        | 7.3      | LC           | 23567.69 (−4.3)     | 1.2 ± 0.3     | 3.5 ± 0.9     | 4.3 ± 0.7     | 0 ± 0                | 0 ± 0                  | 42.1                            |
| 3        | 7.6      | LC\(_2\)     | 46890.42 (−25.2)    | 18.7 ± 2.0    | 14.3 ± 2.3    | 11.9 ± 1.4    | 0 ± 0                | 0 ± 0                  | 2.1                             |
| 4 & 5    | 8.0      | Intact mAb   | 101373.96 (−0.2)    | 79.9 ± 2.1    | 82.0 ± 3.5    | 83.5 ± 1.0    | 98.9 ± 0.6           | 99.9 ± 0.1             | 1.1                             |

\(^{a}\)Relative quantification based on chromatographic peak areas obtained for three technical replicates. Peak labels correspond to Figure 1. Peak areas, retention times, deconvolution parameters, deconvoluted masses, deviations and corresponding calculations are provided in the Supplementary material, spreadsheets E-1, E-3 & E-4.

\(^{b}\)Retention time and average mass determined for sample day 14 (average mass deviation in ppm).

\(^{c}\)Quantification includes modified mAb species, e.g., glycated and glycosylated variants

\(^{d}\)Average relative abundance ± 95% confidence interval

\(^{e}\)Peak 4 and 5 co-elute in the total ion current chromatogram (TICC).

\(^{f}\)Calculated as the average of relative deviations of fractional abundances observed from UV-spectroscopy and TICC.

A supplementary Excel file containing data utilized for quantification and validation has been deposited at figshare.com and can be accessed via: https://figshare.com/s/7991ee6d53fc86fcd016
product-related variants in the fermentation samples, ranging from 19.4% (day 5) to 9.4% (day 14). This mAb species is neither detected in the capture eluate nor in the reference product. Also, the fractional abundance of the HC dimer (HC$_2$) decreases from 4.4% (day 5) to 1.1% (day 14) in the course of fermentation. Minimal amounts of HC$_2$ were detectable in the capture eluate (0.9%) and the reference product (1.0%). Monomeric LC (peak 2) increased from 3.4% (day 5) to 6.2% (day 14), but was not detected in the capture eluate and the reference product. Finally, the HC truncation product eluting in peak 1 was observed in the fermentation samples at fractional abundances up to 1.5%, in the capture eluate (1.3%), and in the reference product (0.2%).

In addition to UV-spectroscopic detection, we assessed relative quantification based on peak areas in total ion current chromatograms (TICCs) as summarized in Table 2 (bottom). Co-elution of HC dimer and fully-assembled mAb (peak 4/5 in Figure S-5) can be attributed to the additional void volume arising from the connection between UV-cell and mass spectrometer. Fractional abundances obtained from the peak containing both HC$_2$ and intact mAb largely agree with fractional abundances determined by UV-detection (sum of peak 4 and 5), as expressed by an average relative deviation of 1.0%. Also, the fractional abundances of the major impurity, LC$_2$, were congruent (2.1% relative difference). For less abundant mAb species, differences in fractional abundances compared to UV detection were more pronounced as demonstrated by deviations of 42.1% (LC monomer) and 53% (HC fragment). Taking into account the superior chromatographic resolution, the robustness, and the absence of ion suppression effects, UV-detection is favorable for relative quantification compared to TICCs, especially when quantifying low abundant compounds.

### Determination of glycation levels

Glycation results from the non-enzymatic attachment of a hexose to the ε-amino group of lysine residues. Since N-glycans in mAbs typically contain variable numbers of hexoses, i.e., galactoses and mannoses, the presence of an additional hexose residue arising from glycation is indistinguishable upon intact mass determination. Unambiguous quantification of mAb N-glycosylation variants at the intact protein level thus requires consideration of glycation levels. In order to determine the extent of glycation, we enzymatically removed N-glycans using PNGase F. As glycation is not affected by the enzyme, glycation levels may subsequently be determined by HPLC-MS analysis. Interestingly, deglycosylation resulted in a slight, but significant retention time shift (+2.1 s, from 7.874 to 7.909 min, significance based on one-sided t-test: p = 1 × 10^{-11}). At the same time, the peak width at half height decreased from 0.0598 ± 0.0004 to 0.0578 ± 0.0004 min (averages of six replicates ±95% confidence interval), corroborating the reduced heterogeneity of the deglycosylated mAb (for detailed data, see Supplementary material, spreadsheet E-5).

Comparison of deconvoluted spectra obtained for glycosylated (Figure 2(a)) and deglycosylated (Figure 2(b)) mAb in the reference product clearly reveals the expected shift to lower m/z values upon removal of N-glycans. As no signals corresponding to the glycosylated mAb were observed in spectra of the deglycosylated samples (Figure 2(b)), the PNGase F digest can be considered complete. In addition to the deglycosylated mAb (Figure 2(b), 146312.75 Da), a glycated variant comprising an additional hexose residue, was present in the reference product as indicated by a mass shift of +162 Da. Employing this strategy, up to three glycations were detected in the deconvoluted spectra of the analyzed mAb fermentation samples, whereas one glycation was observed in the capture eluate (Figure S-6). Peptide mapping of the samples revealed partial glycation of 17 different lysine residues in total. Hotspots for glycation were identified both in the LC and the HC, (e.g., LC: K183; HC: K139), displaying glycation levels of up to 2.2% (Table S-2).

For the purpose of relative quantification, extracted ion current chromatograms (XICCs) for 16 charge states of the different glycation variants were generated (Figure S-7). The mass range of XICCs used for relative quantification was calculated based on the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of each charge state. Average relative glycation levels of fermentation samples, capture eluate, and reference product were calculated based on the peak areas of technical triplicates and are shown in Figure S-8. The analyzed fermentation samples contained singly-glycated species up to 23.2% (day 10), while four-fold-glycated species were observed up to 2.1% (day 14). Interestingly, glycation levels did not increase during fermentation. The capture eluate contained 4.9% of singly glycated mAb, while the reference product contained 12.6% of this variant. Relative standard deviations ranged from 9.3% for the singly-glycated variant (15–20% fractional abundance) to 17% for the fourfold-glycated variant (1.4–2.1% fractional abundance). Notably, mAb variants modified with up to four glycations were only detectable by XICCs, i.e., at the raw data level, but were not present in deconvoluted spectra, signifying elimination of low abundant signals during the deconvolution process.
Assignment of mAb glycosylation variants

In the next step, we aimed at assigning specific N-glycosylation variants to the masses determined for intact mAb species eluting with chromatographic peak 5 (Figure 1). For this purpose, sliding window deconvolution of summed mass spectra was performed for peak 5. Using the built-in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cell N-glycan library of the BioPharma Finder™ software, specific N-glycoforms could be assigned to the obtained masses for fermentation samples, capture eluate and reference product (Figure 3). Application of the N-glycan library restricts the number of possible structures based on prior knowledge about the glycan repertoire of CHO cells. Assignment of glycan structures is therefore based on previous knowledge and not on de novo structural characterization.

In total, 11 different glycoforms substituted with one or two N-glycans were identified. The fermentation sample drawn after five days displayed the most complex glycosylation pattern comprising at least eight different glycoforms, with the A2G0F/A2G1F form being the most abundant variant (Figure 3(a), for structures see Table S-1). In the course of fermentation, complexity of the glycoform pattern reduced to six major variants comprising shorter N-glycan structures, with the A2G0F/A2G0F glycoform being the most prominent, as evidenced by mass spectra obtained for samples drawn at day 10 and day 14 (Figure 3(b,c)). The distribution of glycoforms was largely unaffected by protein A affinity chromatography, as demonstrated for the capture eluate (Figure 3(d)). Indeed, the glycosylation pattern of the latter highly resembled the glycoform distribution observed for the reference product (Figure 3(e)), thus representing a basis for glycosylation pattern comparability studies. A minor variant attributed to A1G0F/A1G0F was only present in the reference product.

Glycan structures assigned for the intact mAb were corroborated by peptide analysis upon tryptic digestion. In total, 12 N-glycan structures were identified in the mAb fermentation harvest samples, capture eluate and reference product (Table S-3). In addition, the non-glycosylated variant of the corresponding peptide (EEQYNSTYR) was detected. In accordance with the N-glycoforms detected at the intact mAb level, the most abundant structures comprised complex-type N-glycans substituted with up to two galactose residues, i.e., A1G0F, A2G0F, A2G1F, A2G2F, and afucosylated A2G0. In addition to the N-glycan structures annotated for the intact mAb, six minor variants were identified at the glycopeptide level, all of which comprised fractional abundances below 0.5% (Table S-3). In turn, one glycan structure assigned at

![Figure 3](https://example.com/figure3.png)  
*Figure 3.* Deconvoluted mass spectra of intact mAb glycosylation variants in fermentation samples (a–c), capture eluate (d), and reference product (e). Each peak is annotated with the most probable combination of glycan structures. Glycoform annotations were derived from a CHO cell N-glycan library implemented in the BioPharma Finder™ software. A detailed mass list including deviations and deconvolution settings is provided in the Supplementary material, spreadsheet E-9.
the intact mAb level was not detected in the glycopeptide analysis (A2G2FSA2). This may be explained by lower ionization efficiency or stability of the N-glycopeptide substituted with two sialic acids compared to the intact glycoform. High-mannose type N-glycans were neither detected for the intact mAb nor on the glycopeptide level.

**Relative quantification of mAb glycoforms**

In order to deduce fractional abundances of the different glycoforms from raw spectra of the intact mAb, we generated XICCs based on the theoretical masses of the respective species (Figure S-7). The average fractional abundance of each N-glycoform was derived from peak areas in XICCs of triplicate measurements of fermentation samples, capture eluate, and reference product (Supplementary material, spreadsheet E-11). Importantly, additional hexose residues arising from glycation will not be discerned in this quantification strategy and thus introduce a bias in the obtained fractional abundances. In order to derive unbiased glycoform levels, we therefore corrected the fractional abundances considering previously determined levels of glycation (Figure S-8).

The correction mostly affected fractional abundances of glycoforms A2G0F/A2G0F and A2G0F/A2G1F, in that the former increased while the latter decreased. For example, the A2G0F/A2G0F variant shifted from 49.6% (observed) to 77.7% (corrected) fractional abundance for sample day 10. On the other hand, correction of the fractional abundance of the A2G0F/A2G1F glycoform resulted in a decrease from 24.7% (observed) to 10.5% (corrected) in the same sample (Figure S-9).

Corrected fractional abundances of the three main glycoforms detected in the fermentation sample drawn at day 5 were as follows (Figure 4(a)): A2G0F/A2G1F (35.8%); A2G0F/A2G2F or A2G1F/A2G1F (27.0%); A2G0F/A2G0F (23.4%). In the course of fermentation, the fractional abundance of the A2G0F/A2G0F variant increased to 78.2% (day 14), while the abundance of the other glycoforms decreased below 10% (Figure 4(a)). The fractional abundances of the A2G0F/A2G0F variant were similar in the capture eluate and the reference product, amounting to 70.4% and 76.7%, respectively. The glycoform A2G0F/A1G0F was determined at a fractional abundance of 8.2% in the reference product, while fractional abundances in fermentation broth samples and capture eluate were below 1%. The glycosylation patterns depicted in Figure 3 clearly reveal that most of the glycans (>98–99%) carried a core fucose. The glycoform comprising a non-fucosylated glycan A2G0/A2G0F was detected at levels

![Figure 4](https://example.com/figure4.png)

**Figure 4.** Relative quantification of mAb N-glycoforms. Fractional abundances (sum of all glycoform abundances corresponds to 100%) were determined at the intact mAb level based on XICCs (a) and at the glycopeptide level (b). Abundances displayed in (a) were corrected for glycation levels as described. Fractional abundances are based on three technical replicates; error bars indicate the 95% confidence interval. Details on data evaluation are provided in the Supplementary material, spreadsheet E-10 and E-11.
of up to 1.52% (±0.55%, 95% confidence interval (C.I.)) in fermentation samples and capture eluate, while it amounted to only 0.11% (±0.18%, 95% C.I.) in the reference product.

In addition to relative quantification of intact mAb glycoforms, we assessed fractional abundances derived from glycopeptide analysis (Figure 4(b), Table-S3): in fermentation sample day 5, the A2G1F N-glycopeptide predominated at 46.0% abundance, followed by A2G0F (39.3%), A2G2F (7.9%) and A1G0F (3.9%). The preponderance of A2G1F and A2G0F N-glycopeptides is in accordance with the intact mAb data, in that the N-glycoform substituted with these two structures is the most abundant in sample day 5 (Figure 4(a)). In the course of fermentation, the fractional abundance of A2G0F increased to 76.0% (day 14) while that of A2G1F decreased to 14.1%. A similar glycan distribution was observed in the capture eluate with abundances of 76.7% (A2G0F) and 13.2% (A2G1F). The abundance of the A2G0F glycopeptide in the capture eluate was similar to that obtained for the reference product (79.1%). Differences between capture eluate and reference product were observed with regard to A2G1F (13.2% vs. 4.3%) and A1G0F (7.0% vs. 12.8%). Sialylated variants were below 0.1% relative abundance in the capture eluate and were not detected in the reference product.

As mass spectrometric signal intensities are inherently affected by the ionization efficiency of the respective analytes, different ionization properties of intact mAb variants and glycopeptides may affect the derived fractional abundances. For a small analyte such as a peptide, the impact of the glycan on ionization efficiency is expected to be more pronounced compared to the intact protein. We therefore further evaluated trueness and precision of intact mAb glycoform quantification.

**Trueness and precision of mAb glycoform quantification**

The absence of validated mAb standards impedes direct evaluation of the trueness of glycoform quantification by mass spectrometry. In order to assess concentration dependence of mass spectrometric signal intensities for the observed glycosylation variants of the intact mAb, we analyzed mixtures of samples comprising different concentrations of the respective glycoforms. Comparison of the obtained concentration-response curves with the expected peak ratios (conventional true value) then allowed determination of the trueness of relative quantification.

For this purpose, the samples differing most in their glycosylation patterns were assessed. Accordingly, fermentation sample day 5 and reference product were mixed at ratios of 10:0, 9:1, 7:3, 5:5, 3:7, 1:9, and 0:10 (v/v) at final concentrations of 10 ng µL\(^{-1}\) of the mAb and analyzed in quintuplicates. Fractional abundances obtained for sample day 5 (10:0) and for the reference product (0:10) were considered true values for 0% and 100% and used for the calculation of the other conventional true values. The respective fractional abundances and conventional true values of two exemplary glycosylation variants were plotted against the amount of reference product in percent (Figure 5, Supplementary material, spreadsheets E-12 and E-13). As expected, the fractional abundance of the A2G0F/A2G0F glycoform increases with the amount of reference product present in the mixture (Figure 5(a)). For all mixtures, the conventional true value is within the 95% confidence interval of the experimentally determined abundances. The trueness was calculated as the difference between the average fractional abundance and the corresponding conventional true value: the obtained values scatter around the respective conventional true value (−0.39% to +2.62%).

To evaluate quantification of a low abundant glycoform, we determined the trueness of A2G0F/A2G1F variant fractional abundance (Figure 5(b)). In this case, the average fractional abundances were all below the corresponding conventional true values with deviations ranging from −0.34% to −2.38%. In order to assess repeatabilities, relative standard deviations (RSDs) for fractional abundances derived from five measurements were calculated (Supplementary material, spreadsheets E-13). RSDs ranged from 1.6% to 2.7% for the higher abundant A2G0F/A2G0F variant, and from 4.8% to 8.7% for the lower abundant variant A2G0F/A2G1F.

**Absolute quantification of the intact mAb**

Using the reference product of known concentration as the calibration standard, concentration-response curves in a range

---

**Figure 5.** Trueness and precision for relative quantification of intact mAb glycoforms A2G0F/A2G0F (a) and A2G0F/A2G1F (b). Conventional true values obtained from calculation of concentration-response curves of pure day 5 (0%) and pure reference product (100%) samples. Experimental values were obtained from five technical replicates of the respective dilutions. Error bars indicate 95% confidence interval. Experimental details are provided in the Supplementary material, spreadsheets E-12 and E-13.
of 0.25–250 ng µL⁻¹ were generated based on UV detection (Supplementary material, spreadsheet E-14). The lower limits of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) were determined as 1.11 ng µL⁻¹ and 3.34 ng µL⁻¹, respectively. Calibration functions in the range of 2.50 to 12.5 ng µL⁻¹ and 25.0 to 250 ng µL⁻¹ were found to be linear upon Mandel’s testing with relative process standard deviations \( \sigma \) of 4.85% and 4.95%, respectively (Supplementary material, spreadsheet E-14). Taking into account the calibration function ranging from 2.50 to 12.5 ng µL⁻¹, absolute quantification of the intact mAb in fermentation samples and capture eluate yielded concentrations of 67.2 ng µL⁻¹ (day 5), 434.3 ng µL⁻¹ (day 10), 1575.7 ng µL⁻¹ (day 14) and 2578.8 ng µL⁻¹ (capture eluate). The amount of target protein therefore increased more than 23-fold between day 5 and day 14 of fermentation. RSDs of the determined concentrations were below 4.5%, demonstrating the reliability of UV-based quantification. Robustness was assessed according to the International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH) guidelines Q2(R1) considering six replicates at the lower, the middle and the upper range of the concentration response curve, respectively. Intra- and interday robustness of the chromatographic method is demonstrated by RSDs below 0.27% with regard to retention time (Table S-4). RSDs of other critical peak parameters, including peak area, peak height, and peak width at half height, were below 4.85%, with the exception of the lowest concentration (2.5 ng µL⁻¹).

**Discussion**

The emergence of sensitive high resolution mass spectrometers allows in-depth characterization of intact mAbs with unprecedented information density. Moreover, hyphenation to HPLC facilitates upfront separation of mAb variants and truncation products and enables online analysis. These advantages may be exploited for the analysis of multiple quality attributes in the course of biopharmaceutical fermentation processes. However, as conventionally employed bottom-up HPLC-MS workflows involve elaborate sample preparation, real-time online monitoring of an ongoing fermentation process is not readily feasible. The workflow described here for multiple attribute monitoring of a therapeutic mAb in the course of fermentation requires minimal sample preparation that can be completed within five minutes, followed by HPLC-UV-MS analysis. Based on the acquired mass spectra and known sequence of the target protein, mAb variants and truncation products can be identified.

More specifically, the direct analysis of mAb samples applied here allows detection of truncation products, which would be difficult to identify upon proteolytic digestion in a bottom-up approach. Although control mechanisms in mammalian cells ensure secretion of fully assembled mAb molecules only, certain mAb variants, e.g., HC₂ and LC₂, have been described to evade control by specific folding of the variable domain. In addition, elevated levels of free LC have been proposed as indicators for increased productivity and cell viability of host cells for mAb production. The respective mAb variants identified in this study may therefore indeed result from aberrant secretion during fermentation or from degradation of the intact mAb in the course of fermentation. Degradation of intact mAb during ESI is unlikely, as truncated variants were separated from intact mAb by HPLC before ionization (Figure 1). Individual mAb variants were relatively quantified at >1% by UV-spectroscopy as well as by MS, conforming with pharmaceutical impurity profiling that typically involves determination of fractional abundances. However, different response factors in UV-spectroscopy and MS have to be considered, especially for low abundant species.

The mass accuracy determined for the applied HPLC-MS workflow suggests that mAb variants differing in molecular mass by more than 3 Da, i.e., ~20 ppm for an intact mAb, may readily be discerned by MS. This, however, is only true for a mass spectrum of a pure compound. As closely related protein species are often insufficiently separated by HPLC, the acquired mass spectra may contain co-eluting variants. Yet, distinction of co-eluting variants by MS is limited by the width of the natural isotopic pattern (Figure S-10A). Based on a simulation of the isotopic envelope for a 10:1 mixture of two intact mAb variants, a lower limit in resolution of two mAb species differing by 80 Da can be estimated (Figure S-10A, C). Intact mAb variants with an absolute mass difference of more than 80 Da would thus be distinguishable at a relative concentration of 10%. Considering characteristic mass shifts of common PTMs, the following modifications are expected to be resolvable for an intact mAb under the above described conditions (mass differences in parentheses): sialylation (+291 Da), glycation (+162 Da), terminal lysine variants (+128 Da), phosphorylation (+80 Da). On the other hand, small mass shifts as induced by succinimide formation (−18 Da), pyroglutamate formation (−18 Da), or oxidation (+16 Da) will not be resolvable at the intact mAb level. Under the described conditions, mass shifts down to ±32 Da may be resolved on the HC, while delta masses down to ±18 Da may be discerned on the LC level (Figure S-10A, D). With regard to sequence variants, only amino acid exchanges leading to mass shifts of more than ±80 Da can be discerned at the intact mAb level, as indicated in Figure S-10B (green area). Interestingly, C-terminal lysine variants were neither detected in the reference product nor in fermentations samples, implying exhaustive processing of these two amino acids. Glycosylation patterns of therapeutic proteins may be obtained by direct infusion of highly purified protein into the mass spectrometer. This approach, however, is not feasible for fermentation broth containing a multitude of compounds. Yet, mAb variants and truncation products may be efficiently separated online from other compounds present in the sample (Figure 1, Figure S-5), rendering preceding affinity purification dispensable. Mass spectra of the intact mAb revealed different glycosylation patterns for various fermentation samples. Annotation of specific glycoforms based on a CHO cell N-glycan library provided insights into actual pairing of N-glycan structures in dimeric mAb, whereas information obtained at the glycopeptide level is restricted to the pool of observed glycan structures. Remarkably, our approach also readily facilitates a relative quantification of glycoforms lacking core fucose, which may be an important molecular attribute relevant for the ADCC potency of the antibody. Limitations of this approach arise from the presence of two N-glycosylation sites...
and the existence of isobaric N-glycan structures. For in-depth structural characterization revealing monosaccharide stereo-
somers, linkages, anomic configurations, and glycan branch-
ing, in-depth analysis of released N-glycans and glycopeptide
analysis are thus still indispensable. Moreover, determination of
N-glycolyneuraminic acid and α1-3-linked galactose, which
usually occur at low levels, are not amenable to our workflow
and require orthogonal analytical methods.

While peptide mapping allowed determination of glycation
sites, relative quantification of glycated mAb species was achiev-
able at the level of the intact protein. The determined glycation
levels were then considered for relative quantification of mAb
N-glycoforms at the intact protein level as the additional hexose
residues bias the quantification. Indeed, correction for glycation
significantly affected relative quantification of N-glycoforms
as exemplified by a change from 61.6% to 76.7% fractional
abundance of the A2G0F/A2G0F variant in the reference prod-
uct (Supplementary material, spreadsheet E-11). On the other
hand, the fractional abundance of the galactosylated mAb var-
iant A2G1F/A2G1F was corrected from 3.5% to 0.70%. The level
of terminal galactosylation of mAb N-glycans is of importance,
as it may affect CDC activity by increased binding to the com-
plement component C1q. The effect of terminal galactose
residues on ADCC is still controversial: while most studies
report no effect, others describe a slight increase in ADCC.
In contrast, afucosylated N-glycoforms such as the
detected A2G0/A2G0 variant are considered major drivers for
ADCC activity. The ability to identify and relatively quantify
these glycoforms at the intact protein level is therefore
highly relevant for monitoring of mAb production processes.
In fact, the A2G0/A2G0F variant could be identified and relatively
quantified in a sample from fermentation broth displaying an
absolute mAb concentration below 10 ngμL⁻¹ (e.g., day 10
diluted to 8.7 ngμL⁻¹).

In addition to the in-process monitoring of multiple qual-
ity attributes, UV-spectroscopy implemented in the described
workflow enabled absolute quantification of the intact mAb in
fermentation broth samples. RSDs of critical peak parameters
determined in accordance with ICH guidelines Q2 R1 were
comparable to previous studies describing absolute quantifi-
cation of intact proteins by UV-spectroscopy.

In summary, we describe a fast and powerful HPLC-MS-
based workflow for monitoring of multiple attributes of mAbs
directly in fermentation broth, including intact molecular mass,
N-glycosylation patterns, glycation, protein truncation, as well as
C- and N-terminal modifications. The evaluated robustness and
precision for quantification of mAb variants renders our dilute-
and-shoot approach highly attractive for industrial applications.
Besides clone selection and process optimization during early
derug development, the workflow may be applied for batch-to-
batch analysis of originator molecules or comparability studies of
biosimilars and their reference drug product.

Materials & methods

Materials

Acetonitrile (ACN, ≥ 99.9%, Cat.Nr. 1.00030.2500) and metha-
nol (MeOH, ≥ 99.9%, Cat.Nr. 83638.320) were obtained from
VWR International. Ammonium hexafluorophosphate (AHFP,
99.98%, Cat.Nr. 216593), tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphate hydro-
chloride (TCEP, ≥ 98.0%, Cat.Nr. 75259-1G), trifluoroacetic
acid (TFA, ≥ 99.0%, Cat.Nr. 74564-10ML-F) and ribonuclease
A from bovine pancreas (RibA, Cat.Nr. R5503-100MG) were
purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Vienna, Austria). Ammonium
acetate (≥ 98.0%, Cat.Nr. 101116) was purchased from Merck.
Ultrapure water used for all experiments was produced in-house
by a Millipore Integral 3 from Merck/Millipore (Billerica, MA,
USA). Peptide-N-glycosidase F (PNGase F, Cat.Nr. P0704S) was
obtained from New England BioLabs. SMART Digest™ Trypsin
Kit was kindly provided from Thermo Fisher Scientific
(Germering, Germany).

Sample preparation

For the measurement of the concentration-response curves,
the reference drug product was diluted to the respective con-
centrations in 25% ACN + 0.050% TFA. Additionally, ribo-
nuclease A (RibA) was added at a concentration of
100 ngμL⁻¹ as carrier protein for diminishing loss of the
calibrant because of adsorption to glass surfaces, especially
from the low concentrated solutions, as previously described.

The samples from fermentation broth (day 5, day 10, day 14)
and the capture eluate (day 15 after protein A purification)
were centrifuged for three minutes at 14,000 g at 4°C. The required
volume of the supernatant was diluted in 25% ACN + 0.050%
TFA and 100 ngμL⁻¹ RibA. As the protein concentrations of
fermentation samples and capture eluate were unknown, a trial
injection of a 1:10 (v/v) dilution of each sample was performed
before preparing the final dilutions. If a 1:10 diluted sample
exceeded a value of 800 mAU measured with the UV detector,
the samples were further diluted to avoid overloading of the
column. Samples were finally diluted as follows: day 5
(1:10), day 10 (1:50), day 14 (1:200), capture eluate (1:400).

For determination of the glycation level, 500 ng of each sample
were diluted in 20 μL of 175 mmolL⁻¹ ammonium acetate,
supplemented with 0.50 μL (250 units) of
PNGase F and incubated for three hours at 37°C. Samples
were then diluted 1:4 with 25% ACN + 0.050% TFA.

For peptide mapping, 100 ng of each sample were diluted
to a concentration of 2.0 ngμL⁻¹ in 50 μL ultrapure water.
After addition of 150 μL of SMART Digest™ buffer the sam-
ple were incubated at 70°C and 900 rpm for one hour.
Disulﬁdes were reduced by addition of 5 mM TCEP and
incubation at 70°C and 900 rpm for 15 min.

High-performance liquid chromatography

Chromatographic separation of mAb variants was performed on a Thermo Scientific™ UltiMate™ 3000 Rapid Separation
Binary system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germering, Germany) at a flow rate of 200 μL min⁻¹ employing a mono-
lithic PS-DVB Thermo Scientific™ ProSwift™ RP-10R column
(50 × 1.0 mm i.d., Thermo Fisher Scientific, Sunnyvale, CA,
USA), operated at a temperature of 70°C. Mobile phase A was
composed of H₂O + 0.050% TFA, mobile phase B of ACN +
0.050% TFA. The total run time for one sample was fifteen
minutes. The separation started at 25% B for 2 min followed
by a linear gradient of 25–50% B in 5.0 min, after this eluent B was increased to 80% for 3.0 min, followed by re-equilibration at 25% B for 5.0 min. Injection was carried out in in-line split-loop mode, injection volume was kept at ten microliters for each sample. UV-detection was carried out at 214 nm with a 2.5 µL flow cell.

For acquisition of peptide mapping data, 250 ng of digested sample were loaded onto the same HPLC system utilizing a Thermo Scientific™ HyperSil GOLD™ aQ C18 column (100 × 1.0 mm i.d., 1.9 µm particle size, 175 Å pore size, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) at a flow rate of 60 µL min⁻¹ operated at a temperature of 50°C. The gradient applied was: 2.0% B for 5.0 min, 5.0–10.0% B in 5.0 min, 10.0–40.0% B in 60 min, 100% B for 5.0 min, and 2.0% B for 25 min.

**Mass spectrometry**

Mass spectrometry was conducted on a benchtop quadrupole-Orbitrap instrument (Thermo Scientific™ Q Exactive™) equipped with a Thermo Scientific™ Ion Max™ source with a heated electrospray ionization (HESI) probe, both from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Bremen, Germany). Additionally, an MXT715-000 – MX Series II Switching Valve from IDEX Health & Science LLC (Oak Harbor, WA, USA) was installed between HPLC system and the HESI probe in order to divert low molecular compounds eluting in the hold-up volume to waste during the first minute of elution. Mass calibration of the instrument was carried out with Thermo Scientific™ Pierce™ LTQ Velos ESI Positive Ion Calibration Solution (Cat.Nr. 88323) from Thermo Fisher Scientific, high-mass calibration with ammonium hexafluorophosphate.

The instrument was tuned by direct infusion of 1 mg mL⁻¹ of the reference mAb drug product in 35% ACN + 0.050% TFA at a flow rate of 1 µL min⁻¹ into a T-piece connected with the HPLC pumping 35% ACN + 0.050% TFA at a flow rate of 200 µL min⁻¹. The optimized instrument settings were as follows: source heater temperature of 250°C, spray voltage of 4.0 kV, sheath gas flow of 15 arbitrary units, auxiliary gas flow of 5.0 arbitrary units, capillary temperature of 275°C, source-induced dissociation of 80.0 eV, S-lens radio frequency (RF) level of 80.0, automatic gain control (AGC) target of 3e6 and a maximum injection time of 150 ms. Measurements were performed in full scan mode in a range of m/z 1,800–5,500 at a resolution of 17,500 at m/z 200 and averaging of 10 microscans.

For peptide mapping, the source heater temperature was set to 100°C, spray voltage to 3.5 kV, sheath gas flow to 10 arbitrary units, auxiliary gas flow of 5.0 arbitrary units, capillary temperature to 300°C and S-lens RF level to 60.0. Each scan cycle consisted of a full scan at a scan range of m/z 350–2,000 with an AGC target of 1e6 (maximum injection time of 150 ms, resolution setting of 70,000), followed by 5 data-dependent higher-energy collisional dissociation scans at 28% normalized collision energy with an isolation window of 2 m/z and an AGC target of 1e5 (maximum injection time of 150 ms, resolution setting of 17,500). Dynamic exclusion was set to 10 seconds.

**Data evaluation**

Data acquisition of intact mAb separation and peptide mapping was conducted using Thermo Scientific™ Dionex™ Chromelab™ 7.2 chromatography data system software (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germering, Germany). Deconvolution and peak assignment of mass spectra was performed with Thermo Scientific™ BioPharma Finder™ 3.0 software and an integrated CHO cell glycan database (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA). For intact mAb, the integrated ReSpect algorithm was used; for peptide mapping, the Xtract algorithm was utilized. Specific details for the deconvolution of individual spectra are provided in the Supplementary material. XICCs for mAb glycation and glycosylation variants were calculated based on the FWHM of the respective experimentally observed most intense charge state at the resolution settings used for mass spectrometry simulated in Thermo Scientific™ Xcalibur™ 3.0.63 software (Figure S-7). For calculation of XICCs, linearity testing and data assembly a spreadsheet (Microsoft Excel 2010, vers. 14.0.7192.5000, 32-bit, Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA) was generated which is also available as Supplementary material.

For correction of relative N-glycoform quantification, we implemented an algorithm that identifies all sets of glycoforms whose monosaccharide compositions become identical upon glycation. The algorithm then employs a graph theoretical approach, a mathematical model for pairwise relations, to correct for glycation-induced transfers of abundance between these overlapping sets of glycoforms. For instance, part of the abundance observed for A2G1F/A2G1F actually results from singly-glylated A2G0F/A2G1F and doubly-glycated A2G0F/A2G0F, since each of these proteoforms comprises eight hexoses, eight N-acetylhexosamines and two fucoses. Moreover, A2G1F/A2G1F loses part of its actual abundance to A2G1F/A2G2F and A2G2F/A2G2F if glycated once or twice, respectively. Consequently, the algorithm will eliminate these glycation-associated changes in A2G1F/A2G1F abundance. Details on the correction algorithm will be described elsewhere. The mass spectrometry data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE® partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD011017.
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**Abbreviations**

| Acronym | Description |
|---------|-------------|
| ACN | acetonitrile |
| ADCC | antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity |
| AGC | automatic gain control |
| AHFP | ammonium hexafluorophosphate |
| AMBR™ | advanced microscale bioreactor |
| CDC | complement-dependent cytotoxicity |
| CDR | complementarity determining region |
| CHO | Chinese hamster ovary |
| CQA | critical quality attribute |
| ESI | electrospray ionization |
| FWHM | full width at half maximum |
| HC | heavy chain |
| HC2 | heavy chain dimer |
| HPLC | high-performance liquid chromatography |
| ICH | International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use |
| IP-RP | ion-pair reversed-phase |
| LC | light chain |
| LC2 | light chain dimer |
| LOD | limit of detection |
| LOQ | limit of quantification |
| mAb | monoclonal antibody |
| MAM | multiple attribute monitoring |
| MeOH | methanol |
| MS | mass spectrometry |
| PAT | process analytical technology |
| PNGase F | peptide-N-glycosidase F |
| PS-DVB | poly(styrene-divinylbenzene) |
| RF | radio frequency |
| RibA | ribonuclease A |
| RSD | relative standard deviation |
| TCEP | tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphate hydrochloride |
| TFA | trifluoroacetic acid |
| TIC | total ion current chromatogram |
| UV | ultraviolet |
| XIC | extracted ion current chromatogram |
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