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ABSTRACT

Chandra spectroscopy of transient stellar-mass black holes in outburst has clearly revealed accretion disk winds in soft, disk-dominated states, in apparent anti-correlation with relativistic jets in low/hard states. These disk winds are observed to be highly ionized, dense, and to have typical velocities of ~1000 km/s or less projected along our line of sight. Here, we present an analysis of two Chandra High Energy Transmission Grating spectra of the Galactic black hole candidate IGR J17091−3624 and contemporaneous EVLA radio observations, obtained in 2011. The second Chandra observation reveals an absorption line at 6.91±0.01 keV; associating this line with He-like Fe XXV requires a blue-shift of 9300±500 km/s (0.03c, or the escape velocity at 1000 Rsun). This projected outflow velocity is an order of magnitude higher than has previously been observed in stellar-mass black holes, and is broadly consistent with some of the fastest winds detected in active galactic nuclei. A potential feature at 7.32 keV, if due to Fe XXVI, would imply a velocity of ~14600 km/s (0.5c), but this putative feature is marginal. Photoionization modeling suggests that the accretion disk wind in IGR J17091−3624 may originate within 43,300 Schwarzschild radii of the black hole, and may be expelling more gas than accretes. The contemporaneous EVLA observations strongly indicate that jet activity was indeed quenched at the time of our Chandra observations. We discuss the results in the context of disk winds, jets, and basic accretion disk physics in accreting black hole systems.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A detailed observational account of how black hole accretion disks drive winds and jets remains elusive, but the combination of high resolution X-ray spectroscopy, improved radio sensitivity, and comparisons across the black hole mass scale hold great potential. The broad range in X-ray luminosity covered by transient stellar-mass black holes makes it possible to trace major changes in the accretion flow as a function of the inferred mass accretion rate; this is largely impossible in supermassive black holes. Disk winds and jets, for instance, appear to be state-dependent and mutually exclusive in sources such as H 1743−322 (Miller et al. 2006a; Blum et al. 2010), GRO J1655−40 (Miller et al. 2008; Luketic et al. 2010; Kallman et al. 2009), and GRS 1915+105 (Miller et al. 2007; Neilsen & Lee 2009). This may offer insights into why many Seyfert AGN, which are well known for their disk winds, are typically radio-quiet (though not necessarily devoid of jets; see King et al. 2011a; Jones et al. 2011; Giroletti & Panessa 2009).

The proximity of Galactic black hole binaries (BHB) ensures a high flux level and spectra with excellent sensitivity in the Fe K band. This is of prime importance because He-like Fe XXV and H-like Fe XXVI lines can endure in extremely hot, ionized gas (see, e.g. Beutler & Kallman 2001), and can therefore trace the wind region closest to where it is launched near the black hole. Studies of some stellar-mass black hole disk winds find that the gas is too ionized, too dense, and originates too close to the black hole to be expelled by radiative pressure or by thermal pressure from Compton heating of the disk, requiring magnetic pressure (Miller et al. 2006a; Kubota et al. 2007). Winds that may originate close to the black hole and carry high mass fluxes are also observed in AGN (e.g., Kaspi et al. 2002; Chartas et al. 2002; King et al. 2011b; Tombesi et al. 2010). In this Letter, we present evidence of a particularly fast disk wind in the black hole candidate IGR J17091−3624. The current outburst of IGR J17091−3624 was first reported on 2011 January 28 (Krimm et al. 2011). Our observations caught IGR J17091−3624 in the high/soft state, but it is important to note that the source has also showed low/hard state episodes with flaring and apparent jet activity in radio bands (Rodríguez et al. 2011). X-ray flux variations in IGR J17091−3624 bear similarities to the microquasar GRS 1915+105 (e.g., Altamirano et al. 2011).

2. OBSERVATION AND DATA REDUCTION

IGR J17091−3624 was first observed with Chandra on 2011 August 1 (ObsID 12405), starting at 05:59:16 (UT), for a total of 30 ksec. The High Energy Transmission Gratings (HETG) were used to disperse the incident flux onto the Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer spectroscopic array (ACIS-S). To prevent photon pile-up, the ACIS-S array was operated in continuous clocking or “GRADEO.CC” mode, which reduced the nominal frame time from 3.2 seconds to 2.85 msec. The zeroth order flux is incident on the S3 chip, and frames from this chip can be lost from the telemetry stream if a source...
The source calibrators were measured using the CIAO version 3.2.1 (McMullin et al. 2006). Time-averaged first-order High Energy Grating (HEG) and Medium Energy Grating (MEG) spectra were extracted from the Level-2 event file. Redistribution matrix files (rmfs) were generated using the tool "mkgrmf"; ancillary response files (arfs) were generated using "mkarf". The first-order HEG spectra and responses were combined using the tool "add.grating.ords". The spectra were then grouped to require a minimum of 10 counts per bin. All spectral analyses were conducted using XSPEC version 12.6.0. All errors quoted in this paper are 1σ errors.

Nearly simultaneous radio observations were made with the EVLA at each Chandra pointing. The first radio epoch included a two hour integration at 8.4 GHz on 2011 August 2 (MJD 55776), while the second was a two hour integration at both 8.4 and 4.8 GHz on 2011 October 6 (MJD 55841) at 22:10:16 (UT). The flux and bandpass calibrator was 3C 286. The phase and gain calibrators were J1720-3552 and J1717-3624, for the first and second observations, respectively. The data are reduced using CASA version 3.2.1 (McMullin et al. 2007).

3. Analysis and Results

A black hole mass has not yet been determined for J17091-3624; a value of 10 M☉ is assumed throughout this work. Preliminary fits to the HETG spectra of IGR J17091-3624 suggested a relatively high column density, in keeping with values predicted from radio surveys (e.g. J17019-3624). The continuum fit excluded the Fe K band to prevent being biased by line features. The line at 6.91 keV is clearly apparent in the data/model ratio. Associating this line with He-like Fe XXV implies an outflow velocity of 3900 ± 500 km/s. Weak evidence of a line at 7.32 keV, plausibly associated with Fe XXVI, would imply an even higher outflow velocity. The data were binned for visual clarity.

Fig. 1 — The second Chandra/HETG spectrum of IGR J17091-3624 is shown above, fit with a simple disk blackbody plus power-law continuum. The continuum fit excluded the Fe K band to prevent being biased by line features. The line at 6.91 keV is clearly apparent in the data/model ratio. Associating this line with He-like Fe XXV implies an outflow velocity of 3900 ± 500 km/s. Weak evidence of a line at 7.32 keV, plausibly associated with Fe XXVI, would imply an even higher outflow velocity. The data were binned for visual clarity.

Fig. 2 — The second Chandra/HETG spectrum of IGR J17091-3624 is shown above, fit with a simple disk blackbody plus power-law continuum. A self-consistent photoionization model, generated using XSTAR, was used to model the absorption in the Fe K band. The data were binned for visual clarity.

3.1. The Spectral Continuum

The HEG spectra were fit with a fiducial spectral model including an effective H column density (T_babs), a disk blackbody component, and a power-law component.

The first observation (MJD 55775) is well described by column density of N_H = 9.9 ± 0.1 × 10^{21} cm^{-2}, and a disk blackbody temperature of 1.3 ± 0.1 keV. The resulting fit gave a χ^2/ν = 2657/3156 = 0.84. This spectrum is dominated by the disk black body component, typical of the high soft state of BHB. A power-law continuum component is not statistically required. An unabsorbed flux of F_{2-10keV} = 1.5 ± 0.1 × 10^{-9} ergs cm^{-2} s^{-1} was measured.

The second observation (MJD 55841) also had a consistent flux, F_{2-10keV} = 1.9 ± 0.5 × 10^{-9} ergs cm^{-2} s^{-1}. Again, the column density was large, at N_H = 1.22 ± 0.07 × 10^{22} cm^{-2} . A power-law photon index of Γ = 1.7 ± 0.07 and a disk blackbody temperature of 2.3 ± 0.3 keV were measured. This disk temperature is high but common in GRS 1915+105 (see, e.g., Vierdayanti et al. 2010). The resulting χ^2/ν was 2754/3414 = 0.81.

3.2. The Line Spectra

In the second HEG spectrum, absorption features are noted in the Fe K band (See Figure 1), and these were initially fit with simple Gaussians. The two strongest lines are found at energies of 6.91 ± 0.01 keV and 7.32 ± 0.02 keV. Via an F-test, (see Protassov et al. 2002, for some cautions), these lines are significant at the 99.9% and 99.67% confidence levels respectively. Dividing the flux normalization of each line by its minus-side error suggests that the feature at 6.91 keV is significant at the 4σ level of this observation.
With active equatorial wind. Given that disk winds have only been detected in sources viewed at high inclination. However, there is evidence of eclipses in this source, so inclinations above 70° are launched, we can estimate the radius at which the density increases to 10^3 cm^-3. To get a better physical picture of the absorption in the second observation of IGR 17091-3624, we also fit the data with a grid of self-consistent photoionization models created with XSTAR (Kallman & Bautista 2001). The ionizing luminosity for this model was derived from extrapolating the unabsorbed spectrum from the second observation to 0.0136–30 keV, ensuring coverage above 8.8 keV, which is required to ionize Fe XXV. A distance of 8.5 kpc is first assumed to derive this luminosity (Lion=3.5 x 10^38 erg/s), owing to the location of J17091–3624 within the Galactic bulge. However, Altamirano et al. (2011b) also suggest the possibility that this source could be accreting at high Eddington fractions but further away, and a distance of 25 kpc was also adopted in a second XSTAR grid (Lion=3.5 x 10^38 erg/s).

The density of the absorbing material was chosen to be log(n) = 12.0. This is a reasonable assumption based on the modeling of similar X-ray binaries: GX 13+1, n = 10^18 cm^-3 (Ueda et al. 2004), GRO J1655-40, n = 10^14 cm^-3 (Miller et al. 2008), H1743-322, n = 10^12 cm^-3 (Miller et al. 2006a). A turbulent velocity of 1000 km/s was found to provide the best fit after various trials. A covering factor of 0.5 was chosen as the absence of emission lines suggests an equatorial wind. Finally, the Fe abundance was assumed to be twice the solar value after initial fits; this characterizes the Fe K lines but does not predict absorption lines, e.g., Si, that are not observed.

The initial, lower luminosity grid was fit to the data in XSPEC as a multiplicative model; free parameters included the column density, ionization, and velocity shifts of the absorbing gas (see Table 1 and Figure 2). For the disk blackbody and power-law continuum, an ionization parameter of logξ = 3.3±0.2 is required, as well as a wind column density of N = 4.7±1.5 x 10^21 cm^-2. Velocity shifts consistent with simple Gaussian models are found using the XSTAR grid.

To fit the putative higher energy absorption, a second outflow component is required. An additional, lower luminosity XSTAR component is significant at the 3σ level, relative to both continua. The wind column density was higher at N = 1.7±1.2 x 10^22 cm^-2, and the logξ = 3.9±0.8. This system is moving even faster at 15400±400 km/s = 0.05c. (See Table 1 and Figure 2)

Repeating this analysis, but utilizing the higher luminosity XSTAR grid, we find that the two components are again required. In fact, the values of the column density, ionization and velocity shifts are nearly identical and well within 1σ of the previous model.

To derive one estimate to the radius where these winds are launched, we can estimate the radius at which the observed velocity equals the escape velocity. This constrains the radius to be \( r \approx 2.9 \times 10^9 \text{cm} \) (970 Rsolar). Using \( ξ = L/(n^2 f^2) \) and \( n = m_f \), where \( f \) is the 1-dimensional filling factor, we can then derive the filling factor and density of the region. Assuming the ionizing luminosity is \( 3.5 \times 10^{37} \text{erg/s} \), the resulting filling factor is \( f \approx 0.0008 \), and the density is \( n \approx 2 \times 10^{10} \text{cm}^{-3} \). However, if the luminosity is higher (\( L_{\text{ion}} = 3.5 \times 10^{38} \text{erg/s} \)), the filling factor decreases to \( f \approx 8 \times 10^{-2} \), and the density increases to \( n \approx 2 \times 10^{16} \text{cm}^{-3} \). These density estimates are quite high when compared to other X-ray binaries (e.g., Ueda et al. 2004; Miller et al. 2006a, 2009), however, we can invert the previous argument and instead derive the filling factor and radius from an assumed density, i.e. \( n = 10^{22} \text{cm}^{-3} \).

We find a larger filling factor, \( f \approx 0.04 \), and radius, \( r \approx 1.3 \times 10^{11} \text{cm} \), 43,300 Rsolar), if we require a luminosity of \( 3.5 \times 10^{37} \text{erg/s} \). A larger luminosity, i.e., \( L_{\text{ion}} = 3.5 \times 10^{38} \text{erg/s} \), reduces the filling factor, \( f \approx 0.01 \), but increases the radius \( r \approx 3 \times 10^{11} \text{cm} \), 100,000 Rsolar). At these radii the escape velocity is much lower than the observed velocity.

Finally, we can estimate the mass outflow rate \( (\dot{m}_{\text{wind}}) \) using a modified spherical outflow, which can be approximated as \( \dot{m}_{\text{wind}} \approx 1.23 m_p L_{\text{ion}} f u f /\xi \). Here, we assume a
covering factor \( \Omega/4\pi = 0.5 \), and an outflowing velocity of \( v = 9,600 \) km/s. A luminosity of \( L_{\text{ion}} = 3.5 \times 10^{38} \) erg/s and filling factor of \( f = 8 \times 10^{-5} \) gives a lower limit of \( n_{\text{wind}} \sim 3.5 \times 10^{16} \) \((10^4/\xi)\) g/s. However, a much larger outflow rate of \( n_{\text{wind}} \sim 1.7 \times 10^{18} \) \((10^4/\xi)\) g/s is found, if we assume \( L_{\text{ion}} = 3.5 \times 10^{37} \) erg/s and filling factor of \( f = 0.04 \).

For comparison, \( L = \eta m_{\text{acc}} c^2 \), where \( \eta \) is an efficiency factor typically taken to be 10\%. For IGR J17091–3624, \( m_{\text{acc}} = 5.8 \times 10^{-7} \) g/s. Using log \( \xi = 3.3 \) from the disk blackbody and power-law model, we find that the observed portion of the outflow is likely to carry away 0.4–20 times the amount of accreted gas. Unless a geometrical consideration serves to bias our estimates, a high fraction of the available gas may not accrete onto the black hole. This trend is not only seen in BHB but in Seyferts as well. Blustin et al. (2005) note that more than half of their observed Seyferts show \( M_{\text{out}}/M_{\text{acc}} > 0.3 \).

### 3.4. Radio Non-Detections

The EVLA radio observations at 8.4 GHz were made nearly contemporaneously with their X-ray counterparts. Both radio observations were nearly two hours in duration. Neither observation detected a source at the location of IGR J17091–3624. The RMS noise level for each observation was 0.02 mJy and 0.07 mJy for the two epochs, respectively. The second observation had extended coverage to 4.8 GHz that also had no detection. The RMS for this frequency was 0.13 mJy. In contrast, IGR J17091–3624 was detected at the 1–2 mJy level during the low/hard state (Rodriguez et al. 2011). This supports prior findings that the radio jet is absent during the periods when winds are seen in BHB (Miller et al. 2006a, 2008; Neilsen & Lee 2010).

### 4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

At ionizations above 10\(^3\), radiation pressure is inefficient, and it is not able to drive these winds (e.g., Proga et al. 2000). Thus, although the UV components of disk winds in AGN are driven at least partially by radiation pressure, the wind in IGR J17091–3624 likely cannot be driven in this way. A thermal wind can arise at radii greater than \( 0.2 R_C \) (Woods et al. 1996), where \( R_C = (1.0 \times 10^6) \times (M_{\text{BH}}/M_\odot)/T_{\text{CB}} \), where \( T_{\text{CB}} \) is the Compton temperature of the gas in units of \( 10^8 \) K. The spectrum observed in the second observation gives \( R_C \sim 5 \times 10^{12} \) cm. Therefore, if we assume our conservative estimate of the launching radius, it is possible for IGR J17091–3624 to have a thermally driven wind. However, if the wind originates closer to the black hole, then it is likely that magnetic processes – either pressure from magnetic viscosity within the disk (e.g., Proga 2003) or magneto-centrifugal acceleration (e.g., Blandford & Payne 1982) – must play a role in launching the wind observed in IGR J17091–3624.

Fast X-ray disk winds are not only seen in BHB like IGR J17091–3624, but also in AGN and quasars (e.g., King et al. 2011b; Chartas et al. 2002). The fastest UV winds observed in AGN are pushed to high velocities by radiation pressure. It remains to be seen whether a common driving mechanism works across the black hole mass scale to drive fast, highly ionized X-ray disk winds. Chartas et al. (2002) show that in the quasar APM 08279+5255 there are broad absorption features, which are likely highly relativistic Fe XXV and/or Fe XXVI lines. In these regards, it bears some similarities to the most extreme winds in BHB's.

Observations of BHB point to an anti-correlation of wind and jet outflows from accretion disks (Miller et al. 2006a, 2008; Blum et al. 2010; Neilsen & Lee 2010). Winds appear to only be detected, or at least are considerably stronger, in soft, disk-dominated states, and absent in hard states where compact, steady jets are ubiquitous (Fender 2006). In H 1743–322, in particular, there is evidence that the absence of winds in hard states is not an artifact of high ionization hindering the detection of absorption lines, but instead represents a real change in the column density (and thus the mass outflow rate) in any wind (Blum et al. 2010).

It appears that our coordinated Chandra and EVLA observations of IGR J17091–3624 support this anti-correlation. The EVLA observations place very tight limits on the radio flux when the disk wind is detected, orders of magnitude below the level at which IGR J17091–3624 was detected in radio during its low/hard state only a few months prior (Rodriguez et al. 2011).

Neilsen & Lee (2009) suggested that the production of winds may be responsible for quenching jets in GRS 1915+105. It might then be the case that jets should be observed whenever winds are absent. In our first observation of IGR J17091–3624, however, neither a wind nor a jet is detected, with tight limits. Instead, the apparent dichotomy between winds and jets may signal the magnetic field topology in and above the disk is state-dependent. This is broadly consistent with multiwavelength studies suggesting synchrotron flares above the disk, but only in the hard state (e.g., GX 339–4, XTE J1118+480, Di Matteo et al. 1999; Gandhi et al. 2010). It is interesting to speculate that the magnetic field might be primarily toroidal in the soft state, where a Shakura-Sunyaev disk is dominant, but primarily poloidal in the hard state, when the mass accretion rate is lower (e.g., Beckwith et al. 2008). The type of outflow that is observed may also depend greatly on how much mass is loaded onto magnetic field lines; that could depend on variables including the mass accretion rate through the disk.
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# TABLE 1
Spectral Modeling Parameters of the 2nd HEG Observation

| Parameter | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| $N_H$ (10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}) | 1.14±0.06 | 1.13±0.06 | 0.475±0.017 | 0.558±0.025 |
| $T_{in}$ (keV) | 1.53±0.09 | 1.51±0.11 | - | - |
| Norm | 13±3 | 13.8±1.7 | - | - |
| $I'$ | 1.93±0.15 | 1.91±0.17 | - | - |
| Norm | 0.35±0.08 | 0.34±0.06 | - | - |
| $T_0$ (keV) | - | - | 0.58±0.01 | 0.59±0.01 |
| $kT$ (keV) | - | - | 10.5±1.7 | 9.8±0.02 |
| $\tau_{plasm}$ | - | - | 2.24±0.01 | 2.28±0.01 |
| Norm | - | - | 0.056±0.0001 | 0.062±0.002 |
| $E_{Fe XXV}$ (keV) | 6.91±0.01 | - | 6.91±0.01 | - |
| FWHM (keV) | 0.091±0.022 | - | 0.13±0.04 | - |
| EW (keV) | 0.021±0.002 | - | 0.040±0.007 | - |
| Norm (10^{-4}) | 3.5±0.4 | - | 6.0±1.1 | - |
| $v$ (km/s) | 9300±500 | 9300±900 | - | - |
| $E_{Fe XXVI}$ (keV) | 7.32±0.02 | - | 7.30±0.02 | - |
| FWHM (keV) | 0.081±0.017 | - | 0.25±0.01 | - |
| EW (keV) | 0.032±0.019 | - | 0.086±0.014 | - |
| Norm (10^{-4}) | 3.4±0.4 | - | 11.8±1.6 | - |
| $v$ (km/s) | 14600±700 | 13800±800 | - | - |
| $N$ (10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}) | - | - | 0.45±0.17 | 0.45±0.17 |
| log $\xi$ (ergs cm s^{-1}) | 3.3±0.9 | - | 3.4±2.1 | - |
| $v$ (km/s) | 9600±500 | 9600±300 | - | - |
| $N$ (10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}) | - | - | 1.66±1.14 | 1.97±1.26 |
| log $\xi$ (ergs cm s^{-1}) | 3.9±0.5 | - | 3.7±0.3 | - |
| $v$ (km/s) | 15400±400 | 15400±400 | - | - |

$\chi^2/\nu = 2725/3408 = 0.80$  
$2731/3408 = 0.80$  
$2793/3408 = 0.82$  
$2761/3408 = 0.81$

**Note.** This Table lists the line detections using Gaussian functions as well as more self-consistent, photoionization components created with XSTAR, assuming two different continuum models. TBabs is applied to all the models and the errors are 1σ confidence level.
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