Impact of COVID-19 lockdown on sleep quality in university students and administration staff
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Abstract
Introduction In Italy, lockdown due to COVID-19 health emergency started on March 10 and partially ended on May 3rd, 2020. There was a significant increase of psychological distress and symptoms of mental illness, and worsening of quality of sleep in the general population.

Methods Participants completed an anonymous web-based survey that include questionnaires about sleep and anxiety and depression symptoms. Our sample included 400 subjects: 307 students (mean age 22.84 ± 2.68) and 93 university administration staff workers (mean age 37.02 ± 12.46).

Results we found an increase in Bed Time hour, Sleep Latency, and Wake-Up time between before and during COVID-19 emergency and a worsening of sleep quality and of insomnia symptoms. In particular, during the lockdown, the impact of the delay in Bed Time and in Wake-Up was more pronounced in students. In workers, we observed a prevalence of maintenance insomnia before COVID-19 of 24% that significantly increase during COVID-19 reaching 40%, while workers with difficulties in sleep initiation were only 15% that increased to 42%. In our sample, 27.8% showed depressive symptoms, while 34.3% showed anxious symptoms, in particular in students.

Conclusion The impact of lockdown was greater in students than in workers, and in females than in males. Concerning the psycho-emotional aspects, about one-third of our sample showed depressive or anxious symptoms. The results of our study may provide support for the implementation of some interventions for well-being in pandemic condition.
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Introduction

In Italy, lockdown due to Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19 known as 2019-nCoV) health emergency started on March 10 and partially ended on May 3rd, 2020. This decision, as in many other countries, allowed reduced use of healthcare system and limited the viral transmission [1]. The Italian population was placed in social isolation for almost 2 months with the only permission to leave home for buying food or medicine. These restrictions greatly changed lifestyles and social relationships creating in many individuals increased levels of anxiety also due to the fear of being infected. There was a significant increase of psychological distress and symptoms of mental illness in the general population [2]. Even if the restriction of freedom was fundamental to reduce the virus diffusion, a possible high psychological cost was induced by COVID-19 epidemic.

Previous studies found that acute infectious disease, like SARS, can cause anxiety, depression, stress, and post-traumatic stress disorder both in survivors and non-infected people [3–5]. Previous studies showed a negative impact on mental health on people that face sudden events that could even culminate in similar post-traumatic stress disorders symptomatology [6]. Traumatic events such as those caused by COVID-19 outbreak can produce psychological distress and anxiety symptoms which negatively impact sleep quality [7].
For this reason, researchers focused on physical and mental health, and sleep during COVID-19 emergency. Recent Chinese studies on the general population found that female gender, being student, having suggestive COVID-19 symptoms, and perceived poor quality of life were associated with higher rate of anxiety and depression [8–11]. Another study showed that PTSD symptoms were reported by 7% of Wuhan residents after the COVID-19 outbreak, in particular by women. People younger than 35 years old and that spend more than 3 h following COVID-19 news showed higher levels of anxiety [12].

A recent review on sleep problems during home confinement due to COVID-19 outbreak by European CBT-I Academy focused the attention on sleep and insomnia [13], Cellini et al. [14] assessed changes in sleep pattern, sense of time, and use of electronic devices in 1310 young adults (workers and university students), and they found an increase of digital media’s use in the evening before bedtime. Moreover, they found that people went to bed and woke up later, and spent more time in bed, with a lower quality of sleep. Participants with higher levels of depression, anxiety, and stress showed more sleep problems. A study conducted by Li et al. [15] showed that the prevalence of insomnia increased significantly during the COVID-19 outbreak (in some cases new onsets of insomnia), that time in bed (TIB) and total sleep time (TST) increased, and that sleep efficiency significantly decreased.

The aim of this study was to assess the psychological impact of COVID-19 emergency period in a sample of administration staff and students of the University Vita-Salute San Raffaele in Milan, Italy. In particular, we focused on perceived sleep quality and psycho-emotional well-being (anxiety and depression symptoms).

Materials and methods

Participants

Participants completed an anonymous web-based survey from March 24 to May 3, 2020 after agreeing to an electronic informed consent requested for each participant. The survey took 20 min to be completed.

A total of 400 participants recruited in the University Vita-Salute San Raffaele in Milan, Italy. In particular, we focused on perceived sleep quality and psycho-emotional well-being (anxiety and depression symptoms).

Measures

Sleep questionnaires

All participants completed the Italian versions of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) [16, 17], the Insomnia Severity Index (ISI) [18, 19], and the Morningness–Eveningness Questionnaire [20, 21].

PSQI is a self-administered questionnaire that assesses the quality of sleep with questions on the last month. Scores higher than five indicate poor sleep. From the PSQI, we took information about how many hours participants spent in bed (TIB; min) and sleep (TST; min), at what time they went to bed to sleep (BT; hr), and at what time they woke up in the morning (WU; hr).

ISI shows the impact of insomnia. The total score range from 0 to 28, with higher score indicating greater insomnia severity. Each item is rated on a 5-point Likert scale of 0–4 (for items 1–3, 0 = no problem, 4 = very severe problem; for item 4, 0 = very satisfied, 4 = very dissatisfied; for items 5–7, 0 = not at all, 4 = very much).

To evaluate the different types of insomnia, it is possible to look at the first three items: Item 1 refers to sleep initiation, item 2 refers to sleep maintenance, and item 3 refers to early morning awakening. A cut-off value of 15 has been used as threshold for a clinically relevant insomnia. The total score of the questionnaire is divided as follows: 0–7, no significant insomnia; 8–14 subthreshold insomnia; 15–21, moderate insomnia and 22–28 severe insomnia [18].

MEQ is a self-administered questionnaire that assesses chronotype [20, 21]. Using the 19 MEQ items, we can obtain a minimum score of 16 and a maximum score of 86. This questionnaire shows five categories (cut-offs 16–30 “definitely evening types”, 31–41 “moderately evening types”, 42–58 “intermediate types”, 59–69 “moderately morning types”, 70–86 “definitely morning types”) [20]. For our analysis on chronotype, we divided the categories in three subgroups to make the sample more homogeneous (cut-offs below 42 “evening types”, 42–58 “intermediate types”, above 58 “morning types”) [22].

Anxiety and depression symptoms questionnaires

All participants completed the Italian versions of Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI) [23, 24] and Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II) [25, 26].

BAI is a self-administered questionnaire that evaluates the severity of symptoms of anxiety. It is composed by 21 items on a four-point Likert scale. The scores are minimal anxiety (0–7), mild anxiety (8–15), moderate anxiety (16–25), and severe anxiety (30–63). Anxiety and depressive disorders are strongly related to each other. For this reason, the BAI correlates highly with the BDI-II, indicating that they can be useful for the clinical evaluation.

BDI-II is a self-administered questionnaire, composed by 21 items on a four-point Likert scale, that assesses depressive symptoms. The scores are divided in two subscales: affective symptoms (eight items) and somatic symptoms (13
items). Total score of 0–13 is considered minimal range, 14–19 is mild, 20–28 is moderate, and 29–63 is severe [25].

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 22 and Matlab R2016b. The significance of multivariate mean comparisons on sleep variables pre- and during-COVID-19 was tested by computing paired-sample Hotelling’s $T^2$ test, followed by Bonferroni paired-sample $t$ tests. One-way MANOVA was used to test the multivariate effect of chronotype on COVID-19-related sleep variables; Pillai $V$ statistic was used as multivariate omnibus test. Bonferroni-protected $F$ tests were computed to evaluate the significance of the chronotype effect on the individual sleep variables. In the case of significant chronotype effects on sleep variables, the possible effect of chronotype on the change in sleep variables from pre- to during-COVID-19 was tested using repeated-measure ANOVA. Moreover, ANOVA was performed to assess the differences between chronotypes pre- and during-COVID-19. One-way MANCOVA was used to assess the significance of the multivariate effect of employment (i.e., student vs. worker) on sleep variables while controlling for age effects. Pillai $V$ statistic was used as multivariate omnibus significance test. In the case of significant Pillai $V$ value, Bonferroni-protected $F$ tests were computed. The presence of a significant difference in mean age between students and workers was previously tested by computing independent-sample $t$ test. The possible association between participant’s age, sleep variables, and scores on anxiety and depression was tested by computing Pearson $r$ coefficients. Moreover, we assessed gender differences from pre- to during-COVID-19 in students and workers. The Chi-square test was used to test the significance of the association between nominal variables.

**Results**

Our sample included 400 subjects: 307 students (mean age 22.84 ± 2.68) and 93 university administration staff (workers) (mean age 37.02 ± 12.46) [$t (94.600) = −10.890; p < 0.001$]. 75.8% were females and the distribution of gender was similar in workers (78.5% females) and students (74.9% females).

When we analyzed subjective data about sleep, we found an increase in BT hour, SL, and WU time between before and during COVID-19 emergency. There were no differences in TST and in TIB. Moreover, we observed a worsening of sleep quality (PSQI total score) and of insomnia symptoms (ISI total score) (Table 1).

Paired-sample multivariate omnibus test was highly significant [$T^2 = 270.23, F (7393) = 38.02, p < 0.001$]. In our sample, 25.5% was “evening types”, 54% “intermediate types”, and 20.5% “morning types”. A multivariate significant effect of chronotype was observed on dependent variables [pre-COVID-19, Pillai $V = 0.338; p < 0.001$], [during-COVID-19, Pillai $V = 0.387; p < 0.001$]. Concerning univariate $F$ tests on pre-COVID-19, chronotype showed an effect on BT [$F (2330) = 53.857; p < 0.001; \eta^2 = 0.246$], SL [$F (2330) = 10.214; p < 0.001; \eta^2 = 0.058$], WU [$F (2330) = 39.862; p < 0.001; \eta^2 = 0.195$], and PSQI total score [$F (2330) = 5.655; p < 0.004; \eta^2 = 0.033$]. Concerning univariate $F$ tests on during-COVID-19, chronotype showed an effect on BT [$F (2377) = 76.826; p < 0.001; \eta^2 = 0.290$], WU [$F (2377) = 72.826; p < 0.001; \eta^2 = 0.279$].

We evaluated the influence of chronotype and we found a statistically significant effect between pre- and during-COVID-19 in all sleep parameters except TST, but these effects remain significant after Bonferroni correction only in BT [$F (1344) = 88.483; p < 0.001$] and WU [$F (1343) = 145.644; p < 0.001$].

According to Dunn–Bonferroni contrasts, we found on pre-COVID-19 that “evening types” showed a higher BT than “intermediate types” and “morning types” [24:33 ± 00:06 vs 23:34 ± 00:04 vs 22:58 ± 00:07; $p < 0.001$], and a higher WU [08:36 ± 00:07 vs 07:44 ± 00:04 vs 06:56 ± 00:08; $p < 0.001$]. According to Dunn–Bonferroni contrasts, we found on during-COVID-19 that “evening types” showed a higher BT than “intermediate types” and “morning types” [01:32 ± 00:08 vs 23:57 ± 00:05 vs 23:19 ± 00:08; $p < 0.001$], and a higher WU [10:00 ± 00:06 vs 08:39 ± 00:05 vs 07:36 ± 00:08; $p < 0.001$].

Moreover, MEQ has an interaction between the three groups (“evening types”, “intermediate types”, and “morning types”) in BT [$F (1344) = 14.438; p < 0.001$] and WU [$F (1343) = 6.015; p = 0.003$], greater in the “evening types” group (see Fig. 1a, b). These results were significant after controlling for age and gender.

### Table 1 Comparison between pre-health emergency and during health emergency

|                     | Pre-COVID-19 (mean ± SD) | During-COVID-19 (mean ± SD) | $p$ value |
|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| BT                  | 23:43 ± 1:06             | 24:17 ± 1:33               | < 0.001   |
| SL                  | 22.53 ± 18.61            | 30.80 ± 25.34              | < 0.001   |
| WU                  | 7:47 ± 1:15              | 8:45 ± 1:33                | < 0.001   |
| TST                 | 430.46 ± 62.18           | 432.27 ± 75.37             | ns        |
| TIB                 | 485.22 ± 6.46            | 485.74 ± 132.46            | ns        |
| PSQI total score    | 5.37 ± 3.01              | 6.97 ± 3.54                | < 0.001   |
| ISI total score     | 9.23 ± 3.18              | 10.51 ± 4.30               | < 0.001   |

$BT$ Bed Time (hr); $SL$ Sleep Latency (min); $WU$ Wake-Up (hr); $TST$ Total Sleep Time (min); $TIB$ Time In Bed (min); $PSQI$ score Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index, total score; $ISI$ score Insomnia Severity Index, total score.
A multivariate significant effect of age was observed on dependent variables in the two groups (students and workers) only during-COVID-19 [Pillai $V = 0.048$; $p = 0.010$]. Concerning univariate $F$ tests on during-COVID-19, we found an effect on BT [$F (1377) = 3.695$; $p = 0.050$; $\eta^2 = 0.010$], and WU [$F (1377) = 9.719$; $p = 0.002$; $\eta^2 = 0.025$].

In particular, during the lockdown period due to the health emergency, the impact of the delay in BT and in WU was more pronounced in students. Concerning BT, we observed a principal effect of pre- and during-COVID-19 [$F (1345) = 41.420$; $p < 0.001$], a principal effect of employment [$F (1345) = 52.216$; $p < 0.001$], and an interaction between the two [$F (1345) = 7.248$; $p = 0.007$]. Concerning WU, we observed a principal effect of pre- and during-COVID-19 [$F (1345) = 41.420$; $p < 0.001$], a principal effect of employment [$F (1345) = 52.216$; $p < 0.001$], and an interaction of the two [$F (1345) = 7.248$; $p = 0.007$]. See Fig. 2a, b.

During COVID-19 emergency, BT was delayed in time of about 16 min for workers and of about 39 min for students [$t (204.282) = −3.2819$; $p = 0.001$], while WU was delayed in time about 37 min for workers and 64 min for students [$t (344) = −2.591; p = 0.010$].

Figure 3 shows a significant increase of sleep problems (PSQI $\geq 5$) between before and during COVID-19 emergency in both student population (Fig. 3a) (58 vs 73.3%) [$\chi^2 (1) = 25.076; p < 0.001$] as well as in workers group (Fig. 3b) (48.2 vs 60.2%) [$\chi^2 (1) = 21.234; p < 0.001$].

Figure 4 shows that only in the students group (a), a significant increase of clinical insomnia occurred during COVID-19 period [$\chi^2 (1) = 13.496; p < 0.001$], in particular a significant increase of the moderate insomnia category was observed. In the workers group (b), there was only a slight but not significant increase of clinical insomnia.

When we look at which type of insomnia was more prevalent (ISI items) we found that, as expected, almost 40% of students had at least a mild sleep initiation problem (ISI item 1) already before emergency period that significantly increased to 55% during COVID-19 (see Fig. 5). This change in sleep initiation is statistically significant both in students (a) [$\chi^2 (1) = 43.738; p < 0.001$] and in workers (b) [$\chi^2 (1) = 15.175; p < 0.001$].

For both maintenance insomnia and early morning awakening (ISI items 2 and 3), 20% showed problems already before COVID-19 with an increase up to 30% after COVID-19 (see Figs. 6 and 7). This change in sleep maintenance (ISI item 2) is statistically significant both in students (a) [$\chi^2 (1) = 43.967; p < 0.001$] and in workers (b) [$\chi^2 (1) = 29.363; p < 0.001$], as well as in early morning awakening (ISI item 3) [Students (a): $\chi^2 (1) = 37.133; p < 0.001$ and Workers (b): $\chi^2 (1) = 23.330; p < 0.001$].
Moreover, in workers, we observed a prevalence of maintenance insomnia (ISI item 2) before COVID-19 of 24% that significantly increased during COVID-19 reaching 40%, while workers with difficulties in sleep initiation (ISI item 1) were only 15% that dramatically increased to 42%.

In our sample, 27.8% showed depressive symptoms (between moderate and severe), while 34.3% showed anxious symptoms (between moderate and severe). In particular, the analysis showed that these symptoms were more frequent in students: clinically significant somatic-affective BDI score was found in 12.9% of workers and in 25.9% of students \( \chi^2 (1) = 6.824; p = 0.009 \), cognitive BDI score in 24.7% of workers and in 43.2% of students \( \chi^2 (1) = 10.191; p = 0.001 \), and BAI total score in 21.5% of workers and in 38.2% of students \( \chi^2 (1) = 8.798; p = 0.003 \).

Correlative analysis in the total sample showed that, during COVID-19 emergency, older subjects had lower TST \( r = -0.232; p < 0.001 \), but also lower BT \( r = -0.283; p < 0.001 \), lower WU \( r = -0.369; p < 0.001 \), and lower ISI score \( r = -0.134; p = 0.007 \). Moreover, older subjects had lower scores in somatic-affective BDI \( r = -0.268; p < 0.001 \), cognitive BDI \( r = -0.207; p < 0.001 \), and total BDI \( r = -0.174; p = 0.001 \). PSQI total score during COVID-19 emergency, in the whole sample, directly correlates with BDI total score \( r = 0.497; p < 0.001 \) and BAI total score \( r = 0.559; p < 0.001 \).

We also evaluated the influence of gender. The analysis of the total sample, during COVID-19 emergency, showed that women had worse total scores than men both in PSQI \( t (195.710) = 23.251; p = 0.001 \) and in ISI \( t (177.624) = 3.051; p = 0.003 \). Gender differences in workers showed that female had higher sleep latency \( t (77.093) = 2.970; p = 0.004 \), worse scores in total PSQI \( t (74.715) = 3.397; p = 0.001 \), and in total ISI \( t (71.571) = 4.271; p < 0.001 \) than males. No gender difference was found in students.

**Discussion**

Our study shows that the Italian lockdown had a significant impact both on sleep and on psycho-emotional well-being. The impact was greater in students than in administrative staff workers, and in females than in males. In our groups, we observed poor quality of sleep and poor sleep hygiene.
during COVID-19 lockdown, as observed in other studies conducted in the general population [15, 27]. In particular, a worsening of quality of sleep (according to PSQI) and of symptoms related to insomnia (according to ISI) was found despite no change in TST. However, there was a significant increase in SL (22 vs 30 min) that did not reach the clinical significance of greater than 30 min. Moreover, there was an increase of BT and WU possibly related to changes in personal lifestyles.

In literature, there are only few findings concerning the effect of social isolation due to COVID-19 on chronotype. Leone and colleagues [28] found a delay in BT and in WU during social isolation, underlying that subjects aligned their sleep timing with their internal time. In our study, we observed a modification in chronotypes between pre- and during-COVID-19, mostly in “evening types”. These findings could suggest that, during social isolation without a rigorous schedule, “evening types” go to bed and wake up later, following their biological rhythm, while in “intermediate types” and “morning types” the effects are less, because their biological rhythm is more compliant to social routine.

Our results on ISI items showed that, in students, the sleep initiation problem is the most prevalent before and during COVID-19 (39 vs 55%). This is of particular interest, because even with the delay of BT and WU time, the increment in time to fall asleep is still a problem in more than half of our young student sample. On the other hand in the workers category, the most frequent sleep difficulty before COVID-19 was the sleep maintenance that was present in 24% of the sample and that increased to around 40% during COVID-19. It is interesting to underline the dramatic increase of sleep latency problem from 15 to 42% of the sample, possibly due to a problem of increased anxiety and worries before sleep in this category of workers.

In a task force work of the European CBT-I Academy, Altena et al. [13] reported that during the lockdown, sleep habits changed due to social restrictions and to a modification of social rhythms, like working and daily activities schedule [14, 29]. In our study, home confinement had a higher impact on sleep habits on students compared to workers in terms of BT and WU delay. BT was delayed of about 16 min for workers and of about 39 min for students, while WU was delayed of about 37 min in workers and 64 min for students. Cellini et al. [14] did not find differences in BT
and fear, in addition to provoking a worsening in quality of sleep, increased cortisol levels, reduced melatonin synthesis, with changes in the biological rhythms [31, 32]. Also, a dysregulation of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis can be involved in the relationship between poor sleep and feelings of loneliness and fear [27, 33].

However, this study has several limitations. First, the explorative nature of this study is one of the major limitations. Future longitudinal studies are needed to evaluate the residual effects of social isolation after health emergency. Second, we assessed only a restricted cohort of participants (university students and administration staff). For this reason, it is not possible to extend our findings on general population. Third, we used a web-based survey that makes possible selection bias. This survey was composed only by self-reported questionnaires that are not deepened with clinical and instrumental examinations.

The results of our study may provide support for the implementation of some interventions for well-being in pandemic condition. It would be important to offer psychological supports on most vulnerable categories (as women and students), and psycho-educational interventions on sleep and on circadian rhythms to maintain a normal sleep–wake schedule and daily routine during periods of isolation.
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