Global existence and uniform decay for the one-dimensional model of thermodiffusion with second sound
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Abstract
In this paper, we investigate an initial boundary value problem for the one-dimensional linear model of thermodiffusion with second sound in a bounded region. Using the semigroup approach, boundary control and the multiplier method, we obtain the existence of global solutions and the uniform decay estimates for the energy.
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1 Introduction
In this paper, we investigate the global existence and uniform decay rate of the energy for solutions for the one-dimensional model of thermodiffusion with second sound:

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho u_{tt} - (\lambda + 2\mu) u_{xx} + \gamma_1 \theta_1 x + \gamma_2 \theta_2 x &= 0 \quad \text{in } (0,1) \times (0, +\infty), \\
\alpha_1 \theta_1 + \sqrt{k} q_1 x + \gamma_1 u_{tx} + d_1 \theta_1 t &= 0 \quad \text{in } (0,1) \times (0, +\infty), \\
\alpha_2 \theta_2 + \sqrt{D} q_2 x + \gamma_2 u_{tx} + d_2 \theta_2 t &= 0 \quad \text{in } (0,1) \times (0, +\infty), \\
\tau_1 q_1 x + q_1 + \sqrt{k} \theta_1 x &= 0 \quad \text{in } (0,1) \times (0, +\infty), \\
\tau_2 q_2 x + q_2 + \sqrt{D} \theta_2 x &= 0 \quad \text{in } (0,1) \times (0, +\infty), \\
\end{align*}
\]

(1.1) (1.2) (1.3) (1.4) (1.5)

together with the initial conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
u(x,0) &= u_0(x), & u_t(x,0) &= u_1(x), \\
\theta_1(x,0) &= \theta_1^0(x), & \theta_2(x,0) &= \theta_2^0(x), \\
q_1(x,0) &= q_1^0(x), & q_2(x,0) &= q_2^0(x), \\
\end{align*}
\]

(1.6)

and the boundary conditions

\[
u(x,t)|_{x=0,1} = \theta_1(x,t)|_{x=0,1} = \theta_2(x,t)|_{x=0,1} = 0,
\]

(1.7)

where \(u, \theta_1, \) and \(q_1\) are the displacement, temperature, and heat flux, \(\theta_2, \) and \(q_2\) are the chemical potentials and the associated flux. The boundary conditions (1.7) model a rigidly
clamped medium with temperature and chemical potentials held constant on the boundary.

Here, we denote by $\lambda, \mu$ the material constants, $\rho$ the density, $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$ the coefficients of thermal and diffusion dilatation, $k, D$ the coefficients of thermal conductivity, $n, c, d$ the coefficients of thermodiffusion, and $\tau_1, \tau_2$ the (in general very small) relaxation time. All the coefficients above are positive constants and satisfy the condition

$$nc - d^2 > 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.8)

The classical thermodiffusion equations were first given by Nowacki [1, 2] in 1971. The equations describe the process of thermodiffusion in a solid body (see, e.g., [1–5]):

$$\begin{cases}
\rho u_{tt} - (\lambda + 2\mu)u_{xx} + \gamma_1 \theta_{1x} + \gamma_2 \theta_{2x} = 0, \\
c \theta_{1t} - k \theta_{1xx} + \gamma_1 u_{tx} + d \theta_{2t} = 0, \\
n \theta_{2t} - D \theta_{2xx} + \gamma_2 u_{tx} + d \theta_{1t} = 0.
\end{cases} \hspace{1cm} (1.9)$$

There are many results about the classical thermodiffusion equations. By the method of integral transformations and integral equations, Nowacki [2], Podstrigach [6] and Fichera [7] investigated the initial boundary value problem for the linear homogeneous system. Gawinecki [8] proved the existence, uniqueness and regularity of solutions to an initial boundary value problem for the linear system of thermodiffusion in a solid body. Szymaniec [5] proved the $L^p-L^q$ time decay estimates along the conjugate line for the solutions of the linear thermodiffusion system. Using the results from [5], Szymaniec [9] obtained the global existence and uniqueness of small data solutions to the Cauchy problem of nonlinear thermodiffusion equations in a solid body. Using the semigroup approach and the multiplier method, Qin et al. [4] obtained the global existence and exponential stability of solutions for homogeneous, nonhomogeneous and semilinear thermodiffusion equations subject to various boundary conditions. Liu and Reissig [3] studied the Cauchy problem for one-dimensional models of thermodiffusion and explained qualitative properties of solutions and showed which part of the model has a dominant influence on wellposedness, propagation of singularities, $L^p-L^q$ decay estimates on the conjugate line and the diffusion phenomenon.

If we neglect the diffusion in (1.9), then we obtain the classical thermo-elasticity equations. Today models of type I (classical model of thermo-elasticity), of type II (thermal wave), of type III (visco-elastic damping) or second sound present some classification of models of thermo-elasticity (see, e.g., [3, 10, 11]). By considerations of the total energy equation and comparisons with the models of classical thermo-elasticity and thermodiffusion, we shall propose the linear one-dimensional model of thermodiffusion with second sound as mentioned above. Due to our knowledge, there exist no results for thermodiffusion models with second sound.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some notations and the main result. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the main result.
2 Notations and main result

Let $\Omega = (0,1)$ and

$$L^2(\Omega) = \left\{ y \in L^2(\Omega) \middle| \int_0^1 y(x) \, dx = 0 \right\},$$

$$H^1(\Omega) = \left\{ y \in H^1(\Omega) \middle| \int_0^1 y(x) \, dx = 0 \right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

The associated first-order and second-order energy is defined by

$$E_1(t) \equiv E_1(t; u_x, u_t, \theta_1, \theta_2, q_1, q_2)$$

$$:= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left( (\lambda + 2\mu)u_x^2 + \rho u_t^2 + c\theta_1^2 + n\theta_2^2 + r_1 q_1^2 + r_2 q_2^2 + 2d\theta_1 \theta_2 \right) \, dx,$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

$$E_2(t) := E_1(t; u_x, u_t, \theta_1, \theta_2, q_1, q_2).$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

The energy $E(t)$ is defined by

$$E(t) := E_1(t) + E_2(t).$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

Our main result reads as follows.

**Theorem 2.1** Assume that $u_0 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$, $u_1 \in H^1_0(\Omega)$, $\theta_0^0, \theta_2^0 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$, $q_0^1, q_2^0 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$. Then problem (1.1)-(1.7) has a unique global solution such that

$$u(t) \in C^2([0, +\infty), L^2(\Omega)) \cap C^1([0, +\infty), H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)),$$

$$\theta(t) \in C^1([0, +\infty), H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)),$$

$$q(t) \in C^1([0, +\infty), H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)).$$

Moreover, the associated energy $E(t)$ defined by (2.4) decays exponentially, i.e., there exist positive constants $c_0$ and $C_0$ such that

$$E(t) \leq C_0 e^{-c_0 t} E(0), \quad \forall t > 0.$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.5)

**Remark 2.1** If the initial value $(u_0, u_1, \theta_0^0, \theta_2^0, q_0^1, q_2^0) \in D(A^n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(D(A^n)$ will be defined later), then the solution $(u, u_t, \theta_1, \theta_2, q_1, q_2) \in C([0, +\infty), D(A^n))$, and problem (1.1)-(1.7) yields higher regularity in $t$.

3 Proof of the main result

We shall divide the proof into two steps: in Step 1, we shall use the semigroup approach to prove the existence of global solutions and the Remark 2.1; Step 2 is devoted to proving the uniform decay of the energy by the boundary control and the multiplier method.

Step 1. Existence of global solutions.

The proof is based on the semigroup approach (see [4, 12]) that can be used to reduce problem (1.1)-(1.7) to an abstract initial value problem for a first-order evolution equation. In order to choose proper space for (1.1)-(1.7), we shall consider the static system associ-
ated with them (see [4]). Considering the energy and the property of operator $A$, we can choose the following state space and the domain of operator $A$ for problem (1.1)-(1.7):

$$H = H^1(\Omega) \times (L^2(\Omega))^3 \times (H^1(\Omega))^2,$$

$$D(A) = (H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)) \times (H^1(\Omega))^2 \times (H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega))^2. \quad (3.1)$$

Using the same method as in [4, 12], we can prove that the operator $A$ generates a $C_0$-semigroup of contractions on the Hilbert space $H$. Define

$$D(A^k) = \left\{ y \mid y \in D(A^{k-1}), Au \in D(A^{k-1}), k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$  

Then by Theorem 2.3.1 of [13] about the existence and regularities of solutions, we can complete the proof.

Step 2. Uniform decay of the energy.

In this section, we shall assume the existence of solutions in the Sobolev spaces that we need for our computations. The proof of uniform decay is difficult. It is necessary to construct a suitable Lyapunov function and to combine various techniques from energy method, multiplier approaches and boundary control (see [10, 11]). We mainly refer to Racke [11] for the approaches of thermo-elastic models with second sound.

Multiplying (1.1) by $u_t$, (1.2) by $\theta_1$, (1.3) by $\theta_2$, (1.4) by $q_1$, and (1.5) by $q_2$ in $L^2$, respectively, and summing up the results, yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_1(t) = - \int_0^1 (q_1^2 + q_2^2) \, dx. \quad (3.3)$$

Similarly, we can get

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_2(t) = - \int_0^1 (q_1^2 + q_2^2) \, dx. \quad (3.4)$$

Multiplying (1.1) by $\frac{1}{\lambda + 2\mu} u_{xx}$ in $L^2$, we get

$$\frac{2}{3} \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 \, dx + \frac{\rho}{\lambda + 2\mu} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_0^1 u_{xx}u_x \, dx \right) \leq \frac{\rho}{\lambda + 2\mu} \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 \, dx + \frac{3}{2(\lambda + 2\mu)^2} \int_0^1 \theta_1^2 \theta_{1x}^2 + \theta_2^2 \theta_{2x}^2 \, dx. \quad (3.5)$$

Multiplying (1.2) by $\frac{3\rho}{2(\lambda + 2\mu)\gamma_1} u_{xx}$, (1.3) by $\frac{3\rho}{2(\lambda + 2\mu)\gamma_2} u_{xx}$ in $L^2$ and summing them up, yields

$$\frac{3\rho}{\lambda + 2\mu} \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 \, dx$$

$$\leq \frac{d}{dt} G_1(t) + \frac{1}{6} \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 \, dx + h_1 \int_0^1 \theta_1^2 \, dx + h_2 \int_0^1 \theta_2^2 \, dx + h_3 \int_0^1 \theta_1 \theta_{2x} \, dx$$

$$+ \frac{81\rho^2 k}{4(\lambda + 2\mu)^2 \gamma_1^2} \int_0^1 q_1^2 \, dx + \frac{81\rho^2 D}{4(\lambda + 2\mu)^2 \gamma_2^2} \int_0^1 q_2^2 \, dx$$

$$- \frac{3\rho \sqrt{K}}{2(\lambda + 2\mu)\gamma_1} [q_1 u_{xx}]_{x=0}^{x=1} - \frac{3\rho \sqrt{D}}{2(\lambda + 2\mu)\gamma_2} [q_2 u_{xx}]_{x=0}^{x=1}. \quad (3.6)$$
where

\[ G_1(t) := \frac{3\sqrt{\rho K}}{2(\lambda + 2\mu)^2\gamma_1} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\rho \sqrt{D}}{\gamma_1} q_1 u_t + \frac{\rho \sqrt{D}}{\gamma_2} q_2 u_t - \tau_1 q_1 u_t - q_1^2 - \frac{\rho k + \rho D}{kD} q_1 q_2^2 \right. \]
\[ - \tau_2 q_2 u_t - \frac{\sqrt{K} \tau_2}{D} q_1 q_{2t} - \frac{\sqrt{D} \tau_1}{k} q_2 q_{1t} \right) dx - \frac{3\rho}{2(\lambda + 2\mu)\gamma_1} \]
\[ \times \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{c\gamma_1 + d\gamma_1}{\sqrt{k}} (q_1 u_t + \tau_1 q_{1t}) + \frac{d\gamma_2 + c\gamma_1}{\sqrt{D}} (q_2 u_t + \tau_2 q_{2t}) \right] dx, \]
\[ h_3 := \frac{3}{\lambda + 2\mu} \left( \frac{c\gamma_1 + d\gamma_1}{2\gamma_2} + d \right), \quad h_1 := \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{c}{\lambda + 2\mu} + \frac{d\gamma_1}{\gamma_2} \right) + \frac{81}{4} \left( \frac{c^2}{\gamma_1} + \frac{d^2}{\gamma_2} \right), \]
\[ h_2 := \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{n}{\lambda + 2\mu} + \frac{d\gamma_2}{\gamma_1} \right) + \frac{81}{4} \left( \frac{d^2}{\gamma_1} + \frac{n^2}{\gamma_2} \right) \]

Combining (3.5) with (3.6), we get

\[ \frac{2\rho}{\lambda + 2\mu} \int_0^1 u_{x}^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 \, dx + \frac{d}{dt} \left( -G_1(t) + \frac{\rho}{\lambda + 2\mu} \int_0^1 u_t u_x \, dx \right) \]
\[ \leq \frac{81\rho D}{4(\lambda + 2\mu)^2\gamma_1^2} \int_0^1 q_{1t}^2 \, dx + \left( h_1 + \frac{h_3}{2} + \frac{3\gamma_1^2}{2(\lambda + 2\mu)^2} \right) \int_0^1 \theta_{1x}^2 \, dx \]
\[ + \frac{81\rho^2 D}{4(\lambda + 2\mu)^2\gamma_2^2} \int_0^1 q_{2t}^2 \, dx + \left( h_2 + \frac{h_3}{2} + \frac{3\gamma_2^2}{2(\lambda + 2\mu)^2} \right) \int_0^1 \theta_{2x}^2 \, dx \]
\[ - \frac{3\rho \sqrt{k}}{2(\lambda + 2\mu)\gamma_1} [q_1 u_{xx}]_{x=0}^{x=1} - \frac{3\rho \sqrt{D}}{2(\lambda + 2\mu)\gamma_2} [q_2 u_{xx}]_{x=0}^{x=1}. \]  

(3.7)

Now, we conclude from (1.1), (1.4), (1.5) and Poincaré inequality

\[ \int_0^1 \left( u_t^2 + u_x^2 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 \right) \, dx \]
\[ \leq \frac{2(\lambda + 2\mu)^2}{\rho^2} \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 \, dx + \frac{2\gamma_2^2}{\rho^2} + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 (q_{2x}^2 + \tau_2^2 q_{2x}^2) \, dx \]
\[ + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 \, dx + \frac{2\gamma_1^2}{\rho^2} + \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 (q_{1x}^2 + \tau_1 q_{1x}^2) \, dx. \]  

(3.8)

Multiplying (1.1) by \( u \) in \( L^2 \), we obtain

\[ \frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{2} \int_0^1 u_t^2 \, dx \leq \frac{3}{2\pi^2(\lambda + 2\mu)} \int_0^1 \left( \rho^2 u_t^2 + \gamma_1^2 \theta_1^2 + \gamma_2^2 \theta_2^2 \right) \, dx. \]  

(3.9)

From (1.2) and (1.3), we get

\[ \theta_t = -\frac{1}{nc - d^2} (n\sqrt{k} q_{1x} - d\sqrt{D} q_{2x} + (ny_1 - dy_2) u_{xx}), \]

(3.10)

\[ \theta_{2t} = -\frac{1}{nc - d^2} (c\sqrt{D} q_{2x} - d\sqrt{k} q_{1x} + (c\gamma_2 - d\gamma_1) u_{xx}). \]  

(3.11)
Multiplying (3.10) by $\theta_{tx}$, (3.11) by $\theta_{tx}$ in $L^2$, and summing up the results, we get

\[
\int_0^1 \left( \theta_{tx}^2 + \theta_{tx}^2 \right) \, dx - \frac{d}{dt} G_2(t)
\leq \frac{2k(n^2 + d^2)}{(nc - d^2)^2} \int_0^1 q_{tx}^2 \, dx + \frac{(n\gamma_2 - d\gamma_1)^2 + (c\gamma_2 - d\gamma_1)^2}{(nc - d^2)^2} \int_0^1 u_{tx}^2 \, dx
+ \int_0^1 \left( \theta_{tx}^2 + \theta_{tx}^2 \right) \, dx + \frac{2D(c^2 + d^2)}{(nc - d^2)^2} \int_0^1 q_{tx}^2 \, dx,
\]

(3.12)

where $G_2(t) := \frac{2}{nc - d^2} \int_0^1 (n\sqrt{k}q_{tx} - d\sqrt{D}q_{tx} + c\sqrt{D}q_{tx} - d\sqrt{k}q_{tx} \, dx$.

The boundary terms are estimated as follows.

\[
\left| \frac{3\rho \sqrt{k}}{2(\lambda + 2\mu)\gamma_1} q_{tx} \right|_{x=0}^{x=1} \leq \frac{9\rho^2 k(|q_1(1)|^2 + |q_1(0)|^2)}{8(\lambda + 2\mu)^2 \gamma_1^2} + \frac{\hat{\delta} (|u_{tx}(1)|^2 + |u_{tx}(0)|^2)}{2},
\]

(3.13)

\[
\left| \frac{3\rho \sqrt{D}}{2(\lambda + 2\mu)\gamma_2} q_{tx} \right|_{x=0}^{x=1} \leq \frac{9\rho^2 D(|q_2(1)|^2 + |q_2(0)|^2)}{8(\lambda + 2\mu)^2 \gamma_2^2} + \frac{\hat{\delta} (|u_{tx}(1)|^2 + |u_{tx}(0)|^2)}{2},
\]

(3.14)

for some $\hat{\delta} > 0$,

\[
|q_1(1)|^2 + |q_1(0)|^2 \leq 2 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \right) \int_0^1 q_1^2 \, dx + \frac{4\hat{\delta}^2}{k} \int_0^1 c^2 \theta_{tx}^2 + d^2 \theta_{tx}^2 + \gamma_1^2 u_{tx}^2 \, dx,
\]

(3.15)

\[
|q_2(1)|^2 + |q_2(0)|^2 \leq 2 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \right) \int_0^1 q_2^2 \, dx + \frac{4\hat{\delta}^2}{D} \int_0^1 d^2 \theta_{tx}^2 + n^2 \theta_{tx}^2 + \gamma_2^2 u_{tx}^2 \, dx.
\]

(3.16)

Combining (3.13)-(3.16), we get

\[
\left| \frac{3\rho \sqrt{k}}{2(\lambda + 2\mu)\gamma_1} q_{tx} \right|_{x=0}^{x=1} + \left| \frac{3\rho \sqrt{D}}{2(\lambda + 2\mu)\gamma_2} q_{tx} \right|_{x=0}^{x=1} \leq \frac{9\rho^2 (1 + \hat{\delta}^2)}{4(\lambda + 2\mu)^2 \epsilon^2} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{k}{\gamma_1^2} q_1^2 + \frac{D}{\gamma_2^2} q_2^2 \right) \, dx + \hat{\delta} \left( |u_{tx}(1)|^2 + |u_{tx}(0)|^2 \right)
+ \frac{9\rho^2 \hat{\delta}}{2(\lambda + 2\mu)^2} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{c^2}{\gamma_1^2} + \frac{d^2}{\gamma_2^2} \right) \theta_{tx}^2 + \left( \frac{d^2}{\gamma_1^2} + \frac{n^2}{\gamma_2^2} \right) \theta_{tx}^2 + 2u_{tx}^2 \right) \, dx.
\]

(3.17)

Differentiating (1.1) with respect to $t$ and multiplying by $\varphi(x) u_{tx}$, where

\[
\varphi(x) := 1 - 2x,
\]

(3.18)

we obtain

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_0^1 \rho u_t \varphi u_{tx} \, dx \right) - \rho \int_0^1 u_{tx}^2 \, dx + \frac{(\lambda + 2\mu)}{2} (u_{tx}(1) + u_{tx}(0)) - (\lambda + 2\mu) \int_0^1 u_{tx}^2 \, dx
+ \gamma_1 \int_0^1 \theta_{tx} \varphi u_{tx} \, dx + \gamma_2 \int_0^1 \theta_{tx} \varphi u_{tx} \, dx = 0.
\]

(3.19)
Using (1.2) and (1.3), we get

\[
-\gamma_1 \int_0^1 u_{tx} \varphi_{t_{1x}} dx + d \int_0^1 \varphi_{t_{2x}} \varphi_{t_{1x}} dx
\]

Combining (2.19)-(2.21), we conclude

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_0^1 \rho u_{tx} u_{tx} - D q_{2x} \varphi_{t_{2x}} dx \right) + \frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{2} \left( u_{tx}^2(1) + u_{tx}^2(0) \right)
\]

\[
\leq \rho \int_0^1 u_{tx}^2 dx + (\lambda + 2\mu) \int_0^1 u_{tx}^2 dx + c \int_0^1 \theta_{1x}^2 dx + n \int_0^1 \theta_{2x}^2 dx + \int_0^1 q_{2x}^2 dx
\]

\[
+ \frac{k}{\tau_1} \int_0^1 \theta_{1x}^2 dx + \frac{D}{\tau_2} \int_0^1 \theta_{2x}^2 dx + \frac{k}{4\tau_1^2} \int_0^1 \theta_{1x}^2 dx
\]

\[
+ \frac{D}{4\tau_2^2} \int_0^1 \theta_{2x}^2 dx + \int_0^1 q_{2x}^2 dx. \tag{3.22}
\]

Using (1.2) and (1.3), we get

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{2\hat{\varepsilon}}{\lambda + 2\mu} \int_0^1 \rho u_{tx} u_{tx} - D q_{2x} \varphi_{t_{2x}} dx \right) + \hat{\varepsilon} \left( u_{tx}^2(1) + u_{tx}^2(0) \right)
\]

\[
\leq \left( \frac{2\hat{\varepsilon} \gamma_1^2}{(\lambda + 2\mu)k} + \frac{4\hat{\varepsilon} \gamma_2^2}{(\lambda + 2\mu)D} \right) \int_0^1 u_{tx}^2 dx
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{2\hat{\varepsilon} \gamma_1}{\lambda + 2\mu} + \frac{4\hat{\varepsilon} \gamma_2^2}{(\lambda + 2\mu)k} + \frac{4\hat{\varepsilon} d^2}{(\lambda + 2\mu)D} \right) \int_0^1 \theta_{1x}^2 dx
\]
+ \frac{2\tilde{\varepsilon} k}{(\lambda + 2 \mu) \tau_1} \int_0^1 \theta_{1x}^2 \, dx
+ \frac{2\tilde{\varepsilon} D}{(\lambda + 2 \mu) \tau_2} \int_0^1 \theta_{2x}^2 \, dx.
\end{align}

With (3.17) and (3.23), we can estimate

\begin{align*}
\left[ \frac{3\rho \sqrt{k}}{2(\lambda + 2 \mu) \gamma_1} q_{uxx} \right]_{x=0}^{x=1}
\leq \left[ \frac{3\rho \sqrt{k}}{2(\lambda + 2 \mu) \gamma_2} q_{uxx} \right]_{x=0}^{x=1} \\
\leq \frac{9\rho^2(1 + \tilde{\varepsilon}^2)}{4(\lambda + 2 \mu)^2 \tilde{\varepsilon}^2} \int_0^1 \left( k q_1^2 + D q_2^2 \right) \, dx
+ \frac{2\tilde{\varepsilon} \rho}{\lambda + 2 \mu} \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 \, dx
- \frac{d}{dt} G_3(t)
+ \tilde{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{9\rho^2}{2(\lambda + 2 \mu)^2} \left( \frac{c^2}{\gamma_1^2} + \frac{d^2}{\gamma_2^2} \right)
+ \frac{2c}{\lambda + 2 \mu} \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 \, dx
+ \frac{4c^2}{(\lambda + 2 \mu) D} \int_0^1 \theta_{1x}^2 \, dx
\right)
+ \tilde{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{2k}{(\lambda + 2 \mu) \tau_1}
+ \frac{k}{2(\lambda + 2 \mu) \tau_1^2} \right) \int_0^1 \theta_{1x}^2 \, dx
\right)
+ \tilde{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{2D}{(\lambda + 2 \mu) \tau_2}
+ \frac{D}{2(\lambda + 2 \mu) \tau_2^2} \right) \int_0^1 \theta_{2x}^2 \, dx
\right)
+ \tilde{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{9\rho^2}{(\lambda + 2 \mu)^2} + 2 + \frac{4\gamma_1^2}{(\lambda + 2 \mu) D} + \frac{4\gamma_2^2}{(\lambda + 2 \mu) D} \right) \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 \, dx,
\end{align*}

where $G_3 := \frac{2\tilde{\varepsilon} \rho}{\sqrt{k}} \int_0^1 \rho u_{xx} \phi u_{xx} - \sqrt{k} \rho u_{xx} \phi - \sqrt{D} q_{xx} \phi \, dx$.

Define $\xi := \frac{\rho(\alpha - \beta)}{\gamma_1} \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 \, dx$. Multiplying both sides of (3.12) by $\xi$ and combining the result with (3.7) and (3.24), we obtain for sufficiently small $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ the estimate

\begin{align*}
\frac{\rho}{\lambda + 2 \mu} \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 \, dx
+ \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 \, dx
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\theta_{1x}^2 + \theta_{2x}^2) \, dx
+ \frac{dG(t)}{dt}
\leq C_1 \int_0^1 (q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_{1x}^2 + q_{2x}^2) \, dx,
\end{align*}

where $G(t) = -G_1(t) + \frac{\rho}{\lambda + 2 \mu} \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 \, dx - \xi G_2(t) + G_3(t)$, and $C_1 = C_1(\tilde{\varepsilon}, \lambda, \mu, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, k, D, n, c, d, \tau_1, \tau_2)$. Now, we can define the desired Lyapunov functional $F(t)$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, to be determined later on, let

\begin{align}
F(t) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon} E(t) + G(t).
\end{align}

Then we conclude from (3.3), (3.4), and (3.15)

\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} F(t) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 (q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_{1x}^2 + q_{2x}^2) \, dx
- \frac{\rho}{\lambda + 2 \mu} \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 \, dx
- \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 \, dx
- \frac{\xi}{2} \int_0^1 (\theta_{1x}^2 + \theta_{2x}^2) \, dx
+ C_1 \int_0^1 (q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_{1x}^2 + q_{2x}^2) \, dx.
\end{align*}
By using (3.8), we arrive at
\[ -C_2 \varepsilon \int_0^1 (q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_{1t}^2 + q_{2t}^2) \, dx - \frac{\varepsilon}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 u_{tx}^2 \, dx - \frac{2\varepsilon(\lambda + 2\mu)^2}{\rho^2} \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 \, dx \]
\[ \leq -\varepsilon \int_0^1 \left( u_t^2 + u_{tt}^2 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 \right) \, dx, \]  \hfill (3.28)
while (3.9) yields
\[ -C_3 \varepsilon^2 \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 \, dx - C_4 \varepsilon^2 \int_0^1 (q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_{1t}^2 + q_{2t}^2) \, dx \leq -\varepsilon^2 \frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{2} \int_0^1 u_x^2 \, dx. \] \hfill (3.29)
Combining (3.27)-(3.29), we conclude
\[ \frac{d}{dt} F(t) \leq \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} - C_1 - C_2 \varepsilon - C_4 \varepsilon^2 \right) \int_0^1 (q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_{1t}^2 + q_{2t}^2) \, dx - \varepsilon^2 \frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{2} \int_0^1 u_x^2 \, dx \]
\[ - \left( \frac{2\rho}{\lambda + 2\mu} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\pi^2} \right) \int_0^1 u_{tx}^2 \, dx - \left[ \frac{1}{4} - \frac{2\varepsilon(\lambda + 2\mu)^2}{\rho^2} \right] \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2 \, dx \]
\[ - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\theta_{1t}^2 + \theta_{2t}^2) \, dx - \varepsilon \int_0^1 (u_t^2 + u_{tt}^2 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2) \, dx. \] \hfill (3.30)

We choose \( 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1 \) such that all terms on the right-hand side of (3.30) become negative,
\[ \text{i.e.}, \quad \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1 := \min \left\{ \rho \pi^2, \frac{\rho^2}{\lambda + 2\mu}, \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{1}{C_3}}, \frac{1}{2(C_1 + C_2 + C_4)} \right\}. \] \hfill (3.31)
Choosing \( \varepsilon \) as in (3.31), we obtain from (3.30)
\[ \frac{d}{dt} F(t) \leq -d_1 \int_0^1 \left( u_x^2 + u_t^2 + u_{tx}^2 + u_{tt}^2 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 + \theta_{1t}^2 + \theta_{2t}^2 + q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_{1t}^2 + q_{2t}^2 \right) \, dx \]
with
\[ d_1 := \min \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{8} \frac{\rho}{\lambda + 2\mu} \frac{(\lambda + 2\mu)\varepsilon^2}{4} \right] \right\}, \] \hfill (3.32)
which implies
\[ \frac{d}{dt} F(t) \leq -d_2 E(t), \quad d_2 := \frac{d_1}{2} \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho}, \frac{1}{\lambda + 2\mu}, \frac{1}{c}, \frac{1}{\tau_1}, \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right\}. \] \hfill (3.33)
There exist positive constants \( C_5, C_6 \) and \( \varepsilon_2 \) such that for any \( \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_2 \) and \( t \geq 0 \), it holds
\[ C_5 E(t) \leq F(t) \leq C_6 E(t), \] \hfill (3.34)
where \( C_5, C_6 \) are determined later on. In fact,
\[ \left| G(t) \right| \leq C_5 E(t) \] \hfill (3.35)
with $C_5 := \max\left(\frac{2u_1}{r_1}, \frac{2u_2}{r_2}, \frac{2\lambda}{\gamma_1^2}, \frac{2\lambda}{\gamma_2^2}, \frac{2\mu}{\gamma_3^2}, \frac{2\mu}{\gamma_4^2}, \frac{2\mu}{\gamma_5^2}, \frac{2\mu}{\gamma_6^2}, \frac{2\mu}{\gamma_7^2}, \frac{2\mu}{\gamma_8^2}, \frac{2\mu}{\gamma_9^2}\right)$,

\[
i_1 := \frac{3\rho}{4(\lambda + 2\mu)^2} \left[ \frac{\rho \sqrt{k}}{\gamma_1} + (\tau_1 + 2) + \frac{k + D}{\sqrt{kD}} + \frac{\sqrt{k} \tau_2}{\sqrt{D}} \right] + 3\rho(c\gamma_2 + d\gamma_1) + 4(\lambda + 2\mu)\gamma_2 \sqrt{k}
\]

\[
i_2 := \frac{3\rho}{4(\lambda + 2\mu)^2} \left[ \frac{\rho \sqrt{D}}{\gamma_2} + (\tau_2 + 2) + \frac{k + D}{\sqrt{kD}} + \frac{\sqrt{D} \tau_1}{\sqrt{D}} \right] + 3\rho(n\gamma_1 + d\gamma_2) + 4(\lambda + 2\mu)\gamma_2 \sqrt{D}
\]

\[
i_3 := \frac{3\rho \tau_1}{4(\lambda + 2\mu)^2} \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{D}}{\sqrt{k}} \right) + 3\rho \tau_2 (c\gamma_2 + d\gamma_1) + \frac{n \sqrt{k} + d \sqrt{D}}{\lambda + 2\mu} + \frac{\sqrt{k} \tau_2}{\sqrt{D}} \right] + 2\tau_1 \sqrt{k}
\]

\[
i_4 := \frac{3\rho \tau_1}{4(\lambda + 2\mu)^2} \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{D}}{\sqrt{k}} \right) + 3\rho \tau_2 (n\gamma_1 + c\gamma_2) + \frac{c \sqrt{D} + d \sqrt{D}}{\lambda + 2\mu} + \frac{\sqrt{D} \tau_2}{\sqrt{D}} \right] + 2\tau_2 \sqrt{D}
\]

\[
i_5 := \frac{3\rho (1 + \tau_1)(d\gamma_1 + c\gamma_2)}{4(\lambda + 2\mu)\gamma_1 \sqrt{k}} + \frac{3\rho (1 + \tau_2)(d\gamma_2 + n\gamma_1)}{4(\lambda + 2\mu)\gamma_2 \sqrt{D}}
\]

\[
i_6 := \frac{1}{\lambda + 2\mu} \left[ \frac{\rho (1 + 2\xi)}{2} + \frac{2\xi \gamma_1^2}{\sqrt{k}} + \frac{2\xi \gamma_2^2}{\sqrt{D}} \right]
\]

\[
i_7 := \frac{\rho}{\lambda + 2\mu} \left[ \frac{3\sqrt{k}}{4(\lambda + 2\mu)\gamma_1} + \frac{3\sqrt{D}}{4(\lambda + 2\mu)\gamma_2} \right] + \hat{\varepsilon}
\]

\[
i_8 := \frac{2\hat{\varepsilon} \gamma_1^2}{(\lambda + 2\mu) \sqrt{k}} + \frac{2\hat{\varepsilon} \gamma_2^2}{(\lambda + 2\mu) \sqrt{D}}
\]

\[
i_9 := \frac{2\hat{\varepsilon} \gamma_2^2}{(\lambda + 2\mu) \sqrt{k}} + \frac{2\hat{\varepsilon} n^2}{(\lambda + 2\mu) \sqrt{D}}
\]

At this point, we choose $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_2 := \frac{1}{2C_5}$, $C_6 := \frac{1}{r} + C_5$. Finally, we choose $\varepsilon := \min\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}$.

Thus, we have the validity of (3.34). Combining (3.33) with (3.34), we get

\[
\frac{d}{dt} F(t) \leq -d_0 F(t), \quad d_0 := \frac{d_2}{C_6}.
\]  

(3.36)

Hence, it follows from (3.36), $F(t) \leq e^{-d_0 t}F(0)$. Applying (3.34) again, we can conclude (2.5) with $C_7 := \frac{C_6}{C_5}$. The proof is complete.
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