Discriminant validity was also assessed by comparing the patients’ scores with the control participants.

Results: The overall internal consistency of the Arabic SSQ12 was excellent (α=0.9), with good test-retest reliability (intraclass correlation coefficient [ICC]=0.8). There was a significant difference between the Arabic SSQ12 scores of the patient and control groups (p<0.0001).

Conclusion: The Arabic version of the SSQ12 appears to be a valid and reliable tool that can be used to assess the communication ability of hearing-impaired patients in audiology clinics.

Keywords: Hearing impairment, quality of life, the speech, spatial and qualities of hearing scale (SSQ12)
The World Health Organization (WHO) estimated that over 430 million people experience disabling hearing loss, which could increase to approximately 700 million by 2050. If left unaddressed, hearing loss could result in significant challenges in all age groups, including delayed language development, difficult communication and cognition, as well as limited scholastic achievement, employment opportunities, and social engagement. Furthermore, after assessing the years lived with different disabilities, the Global Burden of Disease Study also reported that hearing loss is the fourth leading cause of disability worldwide.

According to the International Classification of Impairment, Disability and Handicaps, hearing loss should be regarded as a combination of hearing impairment (the dysfunction measurable in the laboratory or clinic), hearing disability (the auditory difficulties experienced by the individual in daily life), and hearing handicap (the non-auditory effects of hearing disability on daily life). Out of these 3, only hearing impairment is the measured aspect in routine audiological practice, whereas the other aspects of hearing loss (hearing disability and hearing handicaps) have not been covered. Fortunately, Gatehouse et al. published a research on the disabling effects of deficit hearing in 2004, touching on the relevant impacts of those disabilities on the degree of handicap experienced by a hearing-impaired individual. As a result, this research led to the release of the Speech, Spatial, and Qualities of Hearing Scale (SSQ).

To date, the SSQ is now one of the most widely used self-report questionnaires assessing people’s abilities in 3 following domains: 1) speech understanding, wherein circumstances including competing sounds, the ability to see other speakers, the number of involved speakers in a conversation, and a variety of background situations (silence, constant noise, contemplation, and a variety of others) were evaluated; 2) spatial domain, in which the ability of a person to process direction, distance, and movement were all assessed; and 3) the hearing quality, where sound separation, recognition, clarity/naturalness, and listening effort were assessed.

This multi-domain approach in the assessment of hearing-impaired patients has made the SSQ questionnaire a well-qualified tool for the evaluation of communication abilities in these populations. Moreover, the SSQ has also been used to evaluate the benefits of different rehabilitative interventions, including hearing aids and cochlear implants (CIs). However, although the full 49-item scale of the SSQ is useful in its current form, studies show that an abbreviated version would be useful for regular evaluations and follow-up in the clinical management of hearing disorders. Numerous studies have used the SSQ49 for different purposes, such as in the comparison of subjective and objective outcomes following bilateral CIs in adult patients, comparison of age effects on the SSQ results between 2 adult groups with minimal audiometric loss, comparison of CI users with CI plus contralateral linear frequency transposing hearing aids, and assessment of patients with unilateral hearing loss abilities using the SSQ questionnaire. Aside from the original SSQ, short forms of this questionnaire have also been made available. In particular, Demeester et al. published the SSQ5, which is a useful population screening method that was developed using statistical analyses to achieve a shorter SSQ with the best sensitivity and specificity possible. Similarly, Kiessling et al. also established a 15-item short form of the SSQ, which was used in epidemiological settings as a supplement to their binaural hearing function laboratory investigations.

In 2013, Noble et al. created the SSQ12, which was a clinically useful short form of the original SSQ scale. However, unlike the other short forms, the aim of the SSQ12 was to compile a collection of relevant assessments that represented the scale as a whole, providing clinicians and researchers with a practical scaled-down version. Studies have indeed shown that the SSQ12 provided similar results to SSQ49 in a large clinical research sample.

Recently, the SSQ questionnaire has been translated and validated in many languages worldwide. Among these languages, the Arabic language is one of the 6 official languages of the United Nations. Additionally, Arabic is the official language of 25 countries (Arabic World) with around 422 million speakers, making it the 5th most spoken language in the world.

Considering the wide reach of the Arabic language and its benefit in the evaluation of hearing disability, hearing handicap, and benefits of different rehabilitative interventions, the aim of this study was to translate and validate the SSQ12 in Arabic.

**Methods.** The study was conducted at the King Abdullah Ear Specialist Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, between April and June 2021 in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the College of Medicine, King Saud University, Riyadh (approval no. E-20-5241). The required sample size was calculated to be 128 (64 in each group), which was based on a required effect size of 0.5, a \( p = 0.05 \) level of significance, and a power of study of 0.8. As such, a total of 102 hearing-impaired adult participants (patient group) and 84 normal-hearing adult
participants (control group) were included in the study. These participants were recruited from the audiology clinic at our facility, whereas control participants were collected from normal-hearing family members with no current or previous history of hearing-related problems. Furthermore, the inclusion criteria for the patient group included the following characteristics: being older than 18 years of age, having a confirmed hearing loss diagnosis, and having Arabic as the native language. Illiterate patients and incomplete answers were therefore excluded from the study.

The original English version of the SSQ12 was translated according to the International Quality of Life Assessment method. The resulting Arabic translation was back-translated into English and was then compared to the original English version to ensure that there were no major differences. The yielded Arabic SSQ12 was administered to 25 hearing loss patients for pilot testing, and it was further adjusted based on the results. In addition, Dr. William Noble was contacted, and after gaining his approval, the final translated version of the Arabic SSQ12 was administered to both the patient and control groups of the study.

Medical files of all hearing-impaired patients were reviewed. Pure-tone audiometry was performed, and pure-tone averages were calculated using thresholds at 500, 1000, 2000, and 4000 Hz. A soft copy of the Arabic SSQ12 was provided to the participants, and an audiologist was in contact with the participants.

Content validity was used to assess the validity of the Arabic version of SSQ12. The items of the translated questionnaire were judged by an experienced audiologist, with regard to their relevance in the purpose they serve and how culturally appropriate they were to the Arab community. Moreover, concurrent validity was assessed by comparing the scores of the patient and control groups, as well as by the results before and after management in the patient group. For test-retest assessment, the Arabic SSQ12 was re-administered to 30 patients (patient group) 4 weeks after the initial assessment.

**Statistical analysis.** The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to determine the normal distribution of the data, showing non-normally distributed data. Accordingly, non-parametric statistics were used for data analysis. Internal consistency was measured by testing Cronbach’s alpha (α) values of the Arabic SSQ12, and the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was used to assess its test-retest reliability. Discriminant validity was calculated by comparing the SSQ scores of the patient and control groups using the Mann-Whitney U-test, whereas Wilcoxon tests were used to determine the responsiveness rate within the groups by comparing the preoperative and postoperative SSQ results. The Statistical Package for Social Sciences, Version 17 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) software was used for all statistical analyses, and a *p*-value <0.05 was considered significant.

**Results.** This study was conducted in 2 groups: 102 participants in the patient group and 84 participants in the control group. The mean age of the patient group was 32.84±8.72 years, comprising 74 males and 28 females, whereas the control group had a mean age of 33.01±11.43 years, comprising 61 males and 23 females. Both groups were matched for age and gender distribution (Table 1). During data collection, although we did not notice any comments from the participants denoting inappropriate items with regard to culture, some participants could not answer question 10 due to their lack of musical background, thus leading to answer of “Not applicable.” Otherwise, all the remaining questions were clear and answered directly.

Internal consistency of the Arabic SSQ12 was revealed to be excellent, as shown by overall α=0.94. In addition, Cronbach’s alpha value remained excellent, ranging from 0.93-0.95, even if a question was deleted, resulting to significant item-total correlation coefficient values ranging from 0.34-0.86 (Table 2). Furthermore, test-retest reliability was assessed by studying the correlation between the Arabic SSQ scores of the patients. As a result, the ICC of individual questions and the total score of the Arabic SSQ was found to be 0.8, indicating good reliability (Table 3).

**Table 1 -** Demographic characteristics of the study groups.

| Characteristics | Patient group (n=102) | Control group (n=84) | *P*-value |
|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Age, years (mean±SD) | 32.84±8.72 | 33.01±11.43 | 0.91 |
| **Gender** | | | |
| Female | 28 (27) | 23 (27) | 0.56 |
| Male | 74 (73) | 61 (73) | |
| SD: standard deviation | | | |
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The discriminant validity of the Arabic SSQ12 is presented in Table 4. The Mann-Whitney U-test was used to compare the questionnaire ratings of the patient and control groups. On discriminant validity assessment, a significant difference was observed between the patient and control group ratings regarding both the individual questions and total Arabic SSQ12 scores ($p=0.005-0.0001$), indicating that the Arabic SSQ12 could differentiate between participants in the patient and control groups.

Clinical validity of the Arabic SSQ12 was also assessed by comparing the ratings of the patient group before and after management for their hearing problems. On clinical validity assessment, a significant difference was found between the 2 rating scores ($p=0.01$). Specifically, their pre-intervention SSQ12 score was $5.33\pm2.25$, while their post-intervention rating was $6.41\pm1.48$ (Figure 1). Given these findings, significant improvement was observed in the patient group ratings postoperatively, as compared to the preoperative ratings. Additionally, these results indicate the sensitivity of the Arabic-SSQ in detecting any changes in patient responses postoperatively.

**Discussion.** Self-report questionnaires help audiologists to address the real barriers that hearing-impaired patients face in their daily lives. The main objective of the current study was to provide a reliable tool for evaluating the hearing ability, in addition to behavioral audiological evaluation. The results indicated that the Arabic SSQ12 had excellent internal consistency, showing similar results to those of previous studies in other languages.$^{16,18}$ Cronbach’s alpha was reported to be 0.94, indicating homogeneity and reliability between the questions. The Arabic SSQ12 also showed good repeatability with high test-retest correlations ($r=0.92$), which was in accordance with similar studies showing test-retest reliability coefficients of 0.83-0.88.$^{17,21}$

Hearing ability evaluation in addition to behavioral audiological evaluation is crucial for assessing the degree of disability and handicap. The Arabic version of the SSQ12 particularly showed a significant difference in the rating regarding both individual questions and

| SSQ12 | Cronbach’s alpha if item deleted | Item-total correlation coefficient |
|-------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Q1    | 0.95                             | 0.34                             |
| Q2    | 0.93                             | 0.72                             |
| Q3    | 0.94                             | 0.77                             |
| Q4    | 0.93                             | 0.65                             |
| Q5    | 0.94                             | 0.86                             |
| Q6    | 0.94                             | 0.80                             |
| Q7    | 0.93                             | 0.80                             |
| Q8    | 0.94                             | 0.85                             |
| Q9    | 0.94                             | 0.82                             |
| Q10   | 0.94                             | 0.79                             |
| Q11   | 0.94                             | 0.80                             |
| Q12   | 0.93                             | 0.86                             |
| Total | 0.93                             | 1.00                             |

**Table 4 -** Discriminant validity of the speech, spatial, and qualities of hearing scale (SSQ12) questionnaire between the study groups.

| SSQ scale | Patients Mean±SD | Control Mean±SD | $P$-value |
|-----------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Q1        | 6.50±3.37        | 6.32±3.40       | 0.721     |
| Q2        | 6.61±3.09        | 8.98±1.40       | 0.0001    |
| Q3        | 4.43±3.77        | 7.98±2.24       | 0.116     |
| Q4        | 4.57±3.20        | 5.33±3.29       | 0.0116    |
| Q5        | 4.45±3.52        | 7.98±2.26       | 0.001     |
| Q6        | 4.47±3.35        | 7.58±2.33       | 0.0001    |
| Q7        | 4.48±3.61        | 8.19±1.84       | 0.0001    |
| Q8        | 4.12±3.13        | 6.78±2.40       | 0.0001    |
| Q9        | 4.04±3.13        | 7.30±2.40       | 0.0001    |
| Q10       | 4.69±3.11        | 7.15±2.79       | 0.0001    |
| Q11       | 3.57±3.43        | 6.58±3.10       | 0.0001    |
| Q12       | 4.24±3.37        | 7.16±2.62       | 0.0001    |
| Total     | 4.69±2.53        | 7.28±1.37       | 0.0001    |

SD: standard deviation
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In conclusion, the Arabic SSQ12 is a reliable and valid tool that allows hearing-impaired Arabic speakers to express the different challenges of hearing disability. In addition, the Arabic SSQ12 could help Arabic-speaking audiologists to understand the full picture of how hearing loss impacts their clients and to use it as a valuable tool with other audiological assessments in the evaluation and monitoring of the hearing status among different populations.
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