A Late-Time View of the Progenitors of Five Type IIP Supernovae
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ABSTRACT

The acquisition of late-time imaging is an important step in the analysis of pre-explosion observations of the progenitors of supernovae. We present late-time HST ACS WFC observations of the sites of five Type IIP SNe: 1999ev, 2003gd, 2004A, 2005cs and 2006my. Observations were conducted using the $F435W$, $F555W$ and $F814W$ filters. We confirm the progenitor identifications for SNe 2003gd, 2004A and 2005cs, through their disappearance. We find that a source previously excluded as being the progenitor of SN 2006my has now disappeared. The late-time observations of the site of SN 1999ev cast significant doubt over the nature of the source previously identified as the progenitor in pre-explosion WFPC2 images. The use of image subtraction techniques yields improved precision over photometry conducted on just the pre-explosion images alone. In particular, we note the increased depth of detection limits derived on pre-explosion frames in conjunction with late-time images. We use SED fitting techniques to explore the effect of different reddening components towards the progenitors. For SNe 2003gd and 2005cs, the pre-explosion observations are sufficiently constraining that only limited amounts of dust (either interstellar or circumstellar) are permitted. Assuming only a Galactic reddening law, we determine the initial masses for the progenitors of SNe 2003gd, 2004A, 2005cs and 2006my of $8.4 \pm 2.0$, $12.0 \pm 2.1$, $9.5^{+3.4}_{-2.2}$ and $9.8 \pm 1.7 M_\odot$, respectively.
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1 INTRODUCTION

All stars with initial masses $>8M_\odot$ are expected to end their lives as core-collapse supernovae (CCSNe). In the last decade, the direct observation of the progenitors of CCSNe in fortuitous pre-explosion imaging, has become an integral step in the study of all nearby events (for a review see Smartt 2009).

The majority of the success in the actual detection of progenitors has been for the Red Supergiant (RSG) precursors to hydrogen-rich Type II Plateau (IIP) SNe. The ensemble of progenitor detections and detection limits for these RSGs, however, presented a conflict with the theoretical expectation from stellar evolution models (Smartt et al. 2009). The “Red Supergiant Problem” refers to the apparent absence of progenitors of Type IIP SNe with mass $>17M_\odot$, whereas the theoretical expectation is that stars with masses up to $25 - 35M_\odot$ should end their lives as RSGs. Recently Smith et al. (2011) and Walmswell & Eldridge (2012) considered the role of circumstellar dust, which is otherwise not probed by the resulting SN or the surrounding stellar population, as a possible solution to the RSG problem. In particular, Walmswell & Eldridge established that the amount of circumstellar dust, and hence reddening, is larger for higher luminosity RSGs, that arise at the upper end of the mass range for stars to explode as Type IIP SNe. In the case of SN 2012aw, Fraser et al. (2012) and Van Dyk et al. (2012) observed a significant difference between the reddening determined towards the progenitor and the reddening inferred towards the subsequent SN; suggesting a significant amount of dust was associated with the progenitor and that it was destroyed in the SN explosion, such that it could not be measured post-explosion. Kochanek et al. (2012) and Van Dyk et al. (2012) also considered the additional possibility that the nature of the reddening, due to a circumstellar dust component, was different to ordinary reddening laws appropriate for the interstellar medium (e.g. Cardelli et al. 1989).

A further issue with the RSG problem is that the maximum mass is poorly constrained, due to the paucity of progenitors with high inferred masses. This may reflect either a real deficit of high mass progenitors (i.e. that the upper mass limit for stars to explode as Type IIP SNe is low) or may be due to low numbers of high mass progenitors according to the Initial Mass Function (IMF). The exact articulation and quantification of the RSG problem is compounded...
by the reliance on, generally, poor fortuitous pre-explosion images, limited detections in pre-explosion images in multiple filters, and ambiguity as to whether the object observed at the SN position is indeed the progenitor object.

Maund & Smartt (2009) demonstrated that it is possible, using deep late-time images that are tailored (in a way that the pre-explosion images, by their fortuitous nature, cannot be) to observe the site of a given SN and overcome the severe limitations of analysis on just the pre-explosion images alone. Using image subtraction techniques, such as isis (Alard & Lupton 1998; Alard 2000), the late-time images can be used as templates, to both demonstrate the disappearance of the progenitor candidate (confirm the original identification) and conduct precise photometry (without contamination from nearby and underlying objects).

Here we present a new analysis of five previously identified progenitor candidates using newly acquired late-time HST Advance Camera for Surveys (ACS) Wide Field Channel (WFC) imaging. These progenitors are for SNe 1999ev, 2003gd, 2004A, 2005cs and 2006my, and details of these SNe are presented in Table 1.

2 OBSERVATIONS

The analysis of the pre-explosion HST observations of the sites of the five target SN progenitors has been previously presented by Maund & Smartt (2005) (1999ev); Van Dyk et al. (2003b), Smartt et al. (2004) and (Maund & Smartt 2009) (2003gd); Hendry et al. (2006) (2004A); Maund et al. (2005) and Li et al. (2006a) (2005cs); and Li et al. (2007), Leonard et al. (2008) and Crockett et al. (2011) (2006my). The pre- and post-explosion and late-time observations of the sites of the five target Type IIP SN progenitors are presented in Table 2.

2.1 Pre-explosion observations

Due to the availability of more recent and appropriate calibrations, new versions of the pre-explosion WFPC2 and ACS data were retrieved from the HST archive1. The pre-explosion observations acquired with WFPC2 were drizzled together following the standard procedure2. These images were produced for the purposes of image subtraction (see Section 2.6). In parallel, a separate “reduction” and photometric analysis was conducted on the same data using HST-phot (Dolphin 2000b). For SN 2005cs, pre-explosion images were acquired with the Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) Wide-Field Channel (WFC). These images were acquired using a four-point box dither pattern. Alignment between the images was checked using the PyRAF task tweakshifts, and the images were combined using multidrizzle. Due to the half-integer pixel shifts of the dither pattern it was possible to enhance the spatial sampling of the final image, providing a final pixel scale of 0.035′′. This is larger than exact half-sampling (0.025′′ px−1), but is used to match the sampling achieved for the late-time ACS WFC images of SN2005cs (see Section 2.3).

2.2 Post-explosion observations

For the purposes of this study, the principal interest in the immediate post-explosion images (acquired up to 3 years post-explosion) was to provide a position for the SN relative to the surrounding stars, such that the SN position could be identified on the pre-explosion and late-time frames through differential astrometry. The “reduction” procedure for these images was the same as outlined for the pre-explosion observations (see Section 2.1).

2.3 Late-time ACS observations

Late-time observations of the sites of four of the target Type IIP SNe were acquired using the HST ACS WFC 1 for the program GO-11675 (PI Maund). The WFC chip was windowed to an array of 1k × 1k pixels to reduce the readout time and mitigate the role of Charge Transfer Inefficiency (CTI). Observations were conducted in three filters F435W, F555W and F814W. Importantly, for two SNe (2003gd and 2004A) the use of the F555W filter in these late-time observations does not match the pre-explosion images acquired with the wider F606W filter. In the pre-explosion frames, the majority of the flux from the progenitor at these wavelengths is representative of the continuum (requiring a small F555W – F606W colour correction). At late-times, however, the F606W filter encompasses the wavelength of Hα, which is a characteristic emission feature of late-time Type IIP SN spectra. A more appropriate comparison between before and after continuum fluxes is, therefore, achieved with the F555W filter although, as discussed below, corrections for the slightly different filter transmission functions also need to be considered. Each of these late-time observations is composed of four separate sub-exposures acquired in a 4-point box dither pattern. This arrangement was used to permit the acquisition of better spatial sampling of the point-spread function (PSF) and for removal of fixed hot-pixel features. The PyRAF task multidrizzle was used to drizzle each of the sub-exposures (for a given filter) together. The task tweakshifts was used to fine-tune the alignment between each of the sub-exposures prior to drizzling. Although the 4-point box dither pattern employs half-integer pixel shifts, potentially giving an improvement of spatial sampling by a factor of 2, the presence of aliasing (alternating bands across the frames) prohibited reaching this final pixel-scale. This phenomenon was relatively insensitive to the choice of the drizzling kernel. Instead the final pixel scale is greater than one half of the original 0.05′′ pixel scales of ACS/WFC (0.035′′ px−1).

For SN 2006my, observed for program GO-12282 (PI D. Leonard), the late-time images were only acquired in two bands (F555W and F814W). For each filter, two exposures were acquired, for the rejection of cosmic rays, but at the same pointing such that no spatial resampling was possible. These images for each filter were combined using multidrizzle, but with the output images having the original ACS/WFC pixel scale (0.05′′ px−1).

2.4 Geometric Transformations

A series of transformations were calculated for the complete datasets to determine the positions for objects in a common reference frame. Geometric transformations were calculated between the pre-, post-explosion and late-time F555W images (or, if unavailable, F814W images) using the IRAF task geomap, assuming only simple offsets, rotations and scalings. For the data at a given epoch, shifts between images with other filters and the corresponding reference F555W image were calculated by cross-correlating

1 http://archive.stsci.edu
2 http://www.stsci.edu/hst/wfpc2/analysis/WFPC2_drizzle.html
these images with the F555W image as the reference frame. The cross-correlation was facilitated using the PRAF task crosscor, with the corresponding shifts calculated using shiftfind.

### 2.5 Photometry

For WFPC2 pre-explosion images the HSTphot package (Dolphin 2000b) was utilised to conduct PSF-fitting photometry of the input images. HSTphot provides the appropriate corrections for aperture size and charge transfer inefficiency, as well as tools for conducting artificial star tests. We note that HSTphot only provides aperture corrections to a final aperture size of 0.5′′. Using the corrections of Holtzman et al. (1995), we apply a term to correct to the photometry to an infinite aperture.

For data acquired for program GO-11675 (PI Maund), principal photometry was conducted using IRAF DAOphot on the final output drizzled images with the enhanced spatial sampling. We used the latest zeropoints appropriate for ACS WFC. Aperture corrections were calculated for each image to an aperture of 0.5″, with a further correction to infinity adopted from Sirianni et al. (2005).

A key concern for the fidelity of the derived photometry is the inefficiency of charge transfer (CTI) for charged coupled detectors on HST. As photometry was conducted on the drizzled, subsampled images, evaluating the magnitude of the CTI on the final photometry is non-trivial and is based on the position of a given star on the original undrizzled, distorted FLT images. Geometric transformations were calculated between the final drizzled images and the FLT images, using 3rd order polynomials in x and y, using geotran. This approach was used, over using a simple pre-computed distortion table, as non-negligible shifts were found between the expected pointings in the dither pattern. The positions of stars on the output drizzled images were transformed to the corresponding locations on each of the four constituent FLT images. Following Annibali et al. (2008), we conducted small aperture (3px) photometry on the individual FLT images and used the measured flux and sky background values to calculate the magnitude loss due to CTI following the analytic prescription of Chiaberge et al. (2009). Prior to conducting aperture photometry, the FLT images were scaled with the corresponding Pixel Area Map. For a given star it might be only possible to calculate the magnitude loss for 1 or 2 of the input FLT images, because of the relative positions of bad pixels or cosmic rays. An average CTI loss (as a magnitude) was determined over the four constituent FLT images and applied to the photometry derived from the drizzled images.

In addition, photometry of the ACS images was also conducted using the DOLPHOT package. We utilised two implementations of DOLPHOT for photometry of the ACS data: DOLPHOT with the ACS module on the distorted CRJ and FLT frames (which we refer to as DOLPHOT/ACS) and DOLPHOT as a generic photometry package for the distortion-corrected drizzled frames. We find excellent agreement between our DAOphot photometry and the photometry derived using HSTphot and DOLPHOT, within the limits of the photometric uncertainties. Similarly to HSTphot, the ACS photometry was corrected from a 0.5″ to an infinite aperture, using the corrections tabulated by Sirianni et al. (2005).

The data for SN 2006my were analysed separately using only the HSTphot and DOLPHOT packages for the WFPC2 and ACS data, respectively.

### 2.6 Image Subtraction

Image subtraction techniques were used to conduct template subtraction of the late-time images from the pre-explosion images to:

1. confirm the identities of the progenitors through disappearance;
2. conduct optimal differential photometry, independently of the background, of the now absent progenitors. We utilised the isis v2.2 image subtraction package (Alard & Lupton 1998; Alard 2000), which matches the PSFs of the input and template/reference images (as well as refining the alignment between the two images and scaling the flux levels). In addition, isis also provides automatic object detection and photometry on the difference images. We also tested our image subtractions using the HOTPANTS image subtraction package, and examined the resulting difference images using DAOphot. We found no systematic difference between the photometry of difference images calculated using the two packages, and for this study use photometry derived from difference images constructed using isis.

For each SN the late-time images were, generally, used as the reference images. The late-time images were transformed to match the pre-explosion images using IRAF task geotran. This ensured that we avoided resampling the lower quality pre-explosion images to match the superior late-time images.

The evaluation of the systematic uncertainties was conducted by varying the key parameters in ISIS that principally affected the output photometry: the number and size of the stamps used for calculating the kernel, the degree of the kernel variation across the field and the degree of the background fitting function. A major

---

Table 1. Details of the five target Type II SNe, with progenitor candidates identified in pre-explosion HST images.

| Supernova | Type | Host Galaxy | Distance¹ (Mpc) | E(B−V)$_{phot}$ | [O/H]² |
|-----------|------|-------------|----------------|----------------|--------|
| 1999ev    | II(P)| NGC 4274    | 15.1 ± 2.6     | 0.020          | 8.5    |
| 2003gd    | IIP  | NGC 628 (M74)| 9.3 ± 1.8      | 0.061          | 8.4    |
| 2004A     | IIP  | NGC 6207    | 20.3 ± 3.4     | 0.014          | 8.3    |
| 2005cs    | IIP  | NGC 5194 (M51)| 8.4 ± 1.0     | 0.031          | 8.7    |
| 2006my    | IIP  | NGC 4651    | 22.3 ± 2.6     | 0.024          | 8.7    |

¹ After Smartt et al. (2009).
² After Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011), as quoted by NED.
³ Smartt et al. (2009).
⁴ http://www.stsci.edu/hst/acs/analysis/PAMS
⁵ http://americano.dolphinsim.com/dolphot/
⁶ http://www.astro.washington.edu/users/becker/hotpants.html
Table 2. HST observations of the sites of the five target Type II SNe.

| Dataset       | Date           | Instrument | Filter | Exposure Time (s) | Final Pixel Size (") | Program |
|---------------|----------------|------------|--------|-------------------|----------------------|---------|
| **SN 1999ev** |                |            |        |                   |                      |         |
| Pre-explosion | U2JF0101/02T/03T | 1995 Feb 5 | WFPC2/WF2 | F555W | 280 | 0.1 | 5741\(^1\) |
| Post-explosion| J8DT03010       | 2001 Dec 31| ACS/WFC1 | F555W | 450 | 0.05 | 9353\(^2\) |
|              | J8DT03020       | 2001 Dec 31| ACS/WFC1 | F814W | 450 | 0.05 | 9353 |
|              | J8DT03030       | 2001 Dec 31| ACS/WFC1 | F435W | 400 | 0.05 | 9353 |
| Late-time    | JB4T01010       | 2010 Nov 14| ACS/WFC1 | F555W | 1368 | 0.035 | 11675\(^3\) |
|              | JB4T01020       | 2010 Nov 14| ACS/WFC1 | F814W | 1408 | 0.035 | 11675 |
|              | JB4T01030       | 2010 Nov 14| ACS/WFC1 | F435W | 1608 | 0.035 | 11675 |
| **SN 2003gd** |                |            |        |                   |                      |         |
| Pre-explosion | U8IXCA01M/02M   | 2002 Aug 25| WFPC2/WF2 | F606W | 1000 | 0.1 | 9676\(^6\) |
|              | U8IXCY01M/02M/03M | 2002 Aug 28| WFPC2/WF2 | F606W | 2100 | 0.1 | 9676 |
| Post-explosion| J8NV01020       | 2003 Aug 1 | ACS/HRC | F435W | 2200 | 0.025 | 9733\(^5\) |
|              | J8NV01040       | 2003 Aug 1 | ACS/HRC | F555W | 1000 | 0.025 | 9733 |
|              | J8NV01050       | 2003 Aug 1 | ACS/HRC | F814W | 1350 | 0.025 | 9733 |
| Late-time    | JB4T02010       | 2010 Nov 14| ACS/WFC | F555W | 1364 | 0.035 | 11675\(^3\) |
|              | JB4T02020       | 2010 Nov 14| ACS/WFC | F814W | 1398 | 0.035 | 11675 |
|              | JB4T02030       | 2010 Nov 14| ACS/WFC | F435W | 1600 | 0.035 | 11675 |
| **SN 2004A** |                |            |        |                   |                      |         |
| Pre-explosion | U6EAD001R/02R   | 2001 Jul 2 | WFPC2/WF3 | F814W | 460 | 0.1 | 9042\(^4\) |
|              | U6EAD003R/04R   | 2001 Jul 2 | WFPC2/WF3 | F606W | 460 | 0.1 | 9042 |
| Post-explosion| J8NV03010       | 2004 Sep 23| ACS/WFC1 | F435W | 1400 | 0.05 | 9733\(^5\) |
|              | J8NV03020       | 2004 Sep 23| ACS/WFC1 | F555W | 1500 | 0.05 | 9733 |
|              | J8NV03030       | 2004 Sep 23| ACS/WFC1 | F814W | 1360 | 0.05 | 9733 |
| Late-time    | JB4T03010       | 2010 Sep 09| ACS/WFC | F555W | 1400 | 0.035 | 11675\(^3\) |
|              | JB4T03020       | 2010 Sep 09| ACS/WFC | F814W | 1434 | 0.035 | 11675 |
|              | JB4T03030       | 2010 Sep 09| ACS/WFC | F435W | 1636 | 0.035 | 11675 |
| **SN 2005cs**|                |            |        |                   |                      |         |
| Pre-explosion | J97C5           | 2005 Jan 20-21| ACS/WFC | F435W | 2720 | 0.035 | 10452\(^7\) |
|              | J97C5           | 2005 Jan 20-21| ACS/WFC | F555W | 1360 | 0.035 | 10452 |
|              | J97C5           | 2005 Jan 20-21| ACS/WFC | F658N | 2720 | 0.035 | 10452 |
| Post-explosion| J9AR01011-31    | 2005 Jul 24 | ACS/HRC | F555W | 1944 | 0.025 | 11675 |
| Late-time    | JB4T04010       | 2010 Jul 30 | ACS/WFC | F555W | 1460 | 0.035 | 11675 |
|              | JB4T04020       | 2010 Jul 30 | ACS/WFC | F814W | 1494 | 0.035 | 11675 |
|              | JB4T04030       | 2010 Jul 30 | ACS/WFC | F435W | 1696 | 0.035 | 11675 |
| **SN 2006my**|                |            |        |                   |                      |         |
| Pre-explosion | U2DT9001T/02T/03T | 1994 May 20 | WFPC2/WF2 | F555W | 660 | 0.1 | 5375\(^10\) |
|              | U2DT9004T/05T/06T | 1994 May 20 | WFPC2/WF2 | F814W | 660 | 0.1 | 5375 |
| Post-explosion| U9OX0301M/02M/03M/04M | 26 Apr 2007 | WFPC2/PC | F555W | 1200 | 0.05 | 10803\(^11\) |
|              | U9OX0305M/06M   | 26 Apr 2007 | WFPC2/PC | F814W | 1200 | 0.05 | 10803 |
|              | U9OX0307M/08M   | 26 Apr 2007 | WFPC2/PC | F450W | 1400 | 0.05 | 10803 |
| Late-time    | JBKS01010       | 21 Nov 2010 | ACS/WFC | F555W | 1090 | 0.05 | 12282\(^12\) |
|              | JBKS01020       | 21 Nov 2010 | ACS/WFC | F814W | 1090 | 0.05 | 12282 |

\(^1\) P.I. J. Westphal \(^2\) P.I. S.J. Smartt \(^3\) P.I. J.R. Maund \(^4\) P.I. S.J. Smartt \(^5\) P.I. S.J. Smartt \(^6\) P.I. J. Rhoads \(^7\) P.I. S. Beckwith \(^8\) P.I. Filippenko \(^9\) P.I. M. Meixner \(^10\) P.I. V. Rubin \(^11\) P.I. S.J. Smartt \(^12\) P.I. D. Leonard
late-time view of progenitors of Type IIP supernovae

concern for conducting image subtraction analysis using HST images is the effect of the degree by which the PSF is subsampled. Subsampling of the PSF means that the majority of the flux in WFPC2 images will fall in a single pixel, which may induce systematic errors in the construction of the convolution kernel. In order to assess the systematic uncertainty associated with the degree of subsampling, iterations of the image subtraction routine were conducted with different degrees of Gaussian smoothing applied to the input and/or reference images. We conservatively estimate that the total systematic uncertainties associated with the image subtraction process are ~5−10% of the flux observed in the difference image (as discussed in Section 3; with the larger systematic uncertainty associated with the fainter progenitors, in addition to the commensurate increase in the relative Poisson noise). The systematic uncertainties dominate over the Poisson noise, and we assume dominate over other noise sources, such as read noise, that are propagated to the difference images.

As we are concerned with pre-explosion and late-time images acquired with HST, the image subtraction process will involve CTI in both the input and reference images. There are two key issues with the evaluating the effect of CTI on photometry that has been derived using difference imaging: 1) the CTI that affects the progenitor flux is in the flux system of the pre-explosion image, whereas the photometry of the progenitor from the difference image is found in the flux system of the late-time reference image; and 2) the evaluation of CTI for a source using its photometry from the pre-explosion image explicitly undermines any increase in the precision of photometry that might be derived using image subtraction techniques.

For both WFPC2 and ACS/WFC the CTI is principally dependent on the flux of the object, the level of the nearby background, the position of the object on the chip (the number of charge transfers to be made to readout the electrons) and the date at which the observations were made. Rather than attempting to explicitly calculate the counts associated with the progenitor on the pre-explosion image, we instead use photometry of nearby or artificial stars, in the vicinity of the progenitor, to serve as proxies for the calculation of the CTI for the progenitor. As these stars are at approximately the same position on the chip, on similar backgrounds as the progenitor and observed at the same epoch, we can reduce the problem of determining the CTI to just the dependence on the brightness (or magnitude) of the progenitor. The nearby stars (real or fake) sample a range of brightnesses and simple expressions can be derived relating the magnitude of an object in the difference image to the CTI (in magnitudes) directly.

We can consider the flux measured on the images, uncorrected for CTI, as $f^\prime$. The flux corrected for CTI is then simply:

$$f = f^\prime 10^{-0.4 CTI}$$  

(1)

Under the assumption that the CTI is a relatively small effect, we use the initial approximation that $m \approx m^\prime$ to derive the CTI in the pre-explosion frame using photometry, derived from difference images, in the photometric system of the pre-explosion images.

On the ACS images, we model the CTI as being effectively dependent on only two parameters: the brightness of the object and its $y$-position on the FLT images. We established, for the images considered here, that the effect of nearby background inhomogeneities, around the progenitor positions, are well within the stated uncertainties of the CTI expressions. We consider the CTI for a given range of pixels to be approximately given by a power law dependent only on the magnitude of the object:

$$CTI(m) = \beta m^\gamma$$  

(2)

We evaluated the coefficients of Equation 2 for each pre-explosion ACS/WFC image (as the coefficients are dependent on the date on which the observations were made and the specific background at the progenitor position), using real and artificial stars for which the CTI had been evaluated using the equations of Chiaberge et al. (2009). Due to significant uncertainty in the expression used to determine the CTI, and its slowing varying nature with pixel position, it is possible to consider the CTI to be approximately fixed over ~50 pixel ranges in $y$.

We make a similar approximation for the WFPC2 observations, using the CTI formulation presented by Dolphin (2000a), derived using artificial stars generated using HSTphot. We find the average dependence of the CTI (over a 50 pixel range in $y$-position) to correspond to a second order polynomial:

$$CTI(m) = \alpha + \beta m + \gamma m^2$$  

(3)

For both WFPC2 and ACS observations, the dependence on $m$ is relatively weak; the difference in its evaluation using $m$ or $m^\prime$ is negligible. The importance of this approach is that it avoids specifically determining fluxes and sky background values from the pre-explosion images (which defeats both the purpose and precision afforded by using image subtraction techniques to derive the photometry of the pre-explosion source). The zeropoint in the photometric scale of the reference image $Z_k$ can be derived from photometry $m_k$ of reference stars, identified by $k$. Using a package such as $isis$, $f_k^\prime$ of the reference objects in the reference image can be measured directly using aperture photometry and can be compared directly with the photometry of the same stars derived using DAOphot, DOLPHOT or HSTphot; such that $Z_k$ contains not only the absolute zeropoint, but also all the relevant aperture corrections. The magnitude $m_d$ of the progenitor candidate can then be found directly. As the image subtraction procedure determines the difference in observed fluxes $f^\prime$, these fluxes must be further corrected for CTI derived on the input image (containing the progenitor) using the scheme outlined above. Given the difference measured from reference and input images, the final magnitude of the pre-explosion source is given as:

$$m_d = -2.5 \log_{10} (f_f^\prime) + Z_k + CTI_i$$  

(4)

An additional source of systematic uncertainty is the differences in the filter transmission functions between images used for image subtraction analysis. We note that, although some filters are nominally identical, there may also be differences between the same filters used on different instruments. We used synthetic photometry of ATLAS9 (Castelli & Kurucz 2004) and MARCS (Gustafsson et al. 2008) model SEDs, using the total transmission (filter and instrument) functions, to determine the relative colour differences between the filter sets used here. For most combinations of filters, in particular between nominally identical HST filters, the colour difference as a function of temperature is $<0.1$ mags for low reddening (see Fig. 1).

### 2.7 Non-detections and detection limits

In previous studies (e.g. Maund & Smartt 2005; Crockett et al. 2011), the derivation of the detection thresholds has been conducted using analytical expressions for the background and source

7 with updates from http://purcell.as.arizona.edu/wfpc2_calib/
noise for an “ideal” observation. This approach, however, does not accurately reflect the way in which stars are actually detected in the photometry process, using IRAF tasks such as DAOFIND, and the effect of crowding. We consider the insertion and attempted recovery of artificial stars to derive the detection threshold.

Artificial stars were generated using the PSFs derived from the data themselves, with a randomly selected magnitude from a uniform distribution and with a position uniformly distributed within ±0.5 px in x and y of the SN location. The first approach involved repeating the original detection and aperture and PSF photometry routine on the pre-explosion images, with artificial stars inserted, and considering a detection to be any recovery of a star within 1 pixel and 0.5 magnitudes of the input star’s parameters. The second approach utilised isis to conduct image subtraction between the late-time images and pre-explosion images, in which artificial stars had been inserted in the latter. For this latter approach, we set the coordinates at which isis was to conduct aperture photometry and classified a detection to be any instance in which the recovered flux was 3 times that of the corresponding noise (including the systematic uncertainty; see section 2.6).

We consider the detection threshold to be the magnitude at which we recover 50% of input artificial stars, using a 3σ detection threshold with DAOPhot. As noted by Maund (2013, in prep.), the completeness function can be considered in terms of the complementary cumulative Gaussian distribution. We therefore quote the corresponding width of the completeness function as an effective uncertainty on the derived detection threshold.

3 OBSERVATIONAL RESULTS

3.1 SN 1999ev

SN 1999ev was discovered by T. Boles (Hurst et al. 1999) on 1999 Nov 7.225 in the galaxy NGC 4274. Garnavich et al. (1999) subsequently classified the SN as being of Type II, although no further sub-classification of the SN has been reported. Van Dyk et al. (2003a) attempted to identify the progenitor object in pre-explosion WFPC2 F555W images from 1995 Feb 1, although were not able to conclusively identify a single object as the progenitor. In an independent analysis, using a differential astrometric solution derived using post-explosion ACS WFC images containing the SN, Maund & Smartt (2005) were able to identify a star in the pre-explosion images coincident with the SN position (with an uncertainty of 0.02′′).

Late-time ACS WFC F435W, F555W and F814W images (with pixel scale 0.035′′ px⁻¹) of the site of SN 1999ev were acquired on 2010 Nov 14 (11 years post-discovery). A late-time image of the site of SN 1999ev is shown as Fig. 2. A geometric transformation was calculated between the post-explosion and late-time F555W images using 24 commons stars, with an uncertainty on the transformation of ∆r = 0.016′′. A source is recovered in the late-time images at the transformed position of the SN as identified in the post-explosion images by Maund & Smartt (2005), as shown in Fig. 3. The source is detected in all three filters: mF435W = 25.63 ± 0.07, mF555W = 24.76 ± 0.06 and mF814W = 23.65 ± 0.05. The photometry of the SN in the post-explosion images was recalculated, and the SN was measured to have mF555W = 24.79 ± 0.10, mF658W = 24.19 ± 0.14 and mF814W = 23.49 ± 0.10. We note that the new measurement of the post-explosion F555W photometry reported here is slightly fainter than measured previously, although the F435W and F814W magnitudes are approximately similar to those of Maund & Smartt (2005). The 8.98 years between the post-explosion and late-time images reveals significant evolution in the light echo discovered by Maund & Smartt (2005), which has expanded to a radius of 0.48′′ from 0.25′′ (as shown on Figs. 3 and 4).

A transformation was calculated between the post-explosion and pre-explosion F555W images using 24 stars (with a transformation uncertainty of ∆r = 0.039′′). In the pre-explosion images, we identify the same source that Maund & Smartt (2005) identified as the progenitor source with mF555W = 24.66 ± 0.17. In addition, we also find a nearby source with mF555W = 25.08 ± 0.24 located 0.2′′ (2 WF pixels) from the progenitor. We note that, for the period in which the pre-explosion observations were conducted 8, the nearest logged warm pixel is 5 pixels away from the SN position and not coincident with either the progenitor candidate or the nearby object. In the late-time image, however, we do not recover any source at the corresponding transformed position. The position of the pre-explosion source at the SN position was estimated using the three centreing algorithms available to DAOPhot (centroid, Gaussian and optimal filter) and the position determined using HSTphot PSF fitting. The positions are shown, with respect to the transformed position of the SN on the pre-explosion image, in Fig. 5. Although there is an apparent discrepancy in the positions for the source and the transformed SN position, the discrepancy is not significant. It does, however, raise concerns about how positions are determined on subsampled images such as this pre-explosion WFPC2 WF2 F555W image. The position determined using the optimal filter centreing algorithm is noticeably different from the other three positions derived from the pre-explosion image and is offset in the direction of the nearby apparent neighbouring star. The standard deviation of the four measurements made on the pre-explosion image is 0.022′′. Given the apparent brightness

---

8 http://www-int.stsci.edu/ftp/instrument_news/WFPC2/Wfpc2_hotpix/1995/ vary_950113_950211_2.dat.Z
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3.2 SN 2003gd

SN 2003gd was discovered by R. Evans on 2003 Jun 12.82, in the galaxy M74 (Evans & McNaught 2003). Kotak et al. (2003) spectroscopically classified 2003gd as being a Type II SN, approximately 2 days post-explosion. Subsequent photometric and spectroscopic observations of SN 2003gd, however, showed it to be a Type IIP SN discovered at the end of the plateau phase (Hendry et al. 2005). Smartt et al. (2003) made a preliminary identification of the progenitor in pre-explosion HST WFPC2 F606W and Gemini GMOS-N i′ images. As such, SN 2003gd was the third SN, after SNe 1987A and 1993J, to have a progenitor identified in fortuitous pre-explosion images. Independent analyses by Smartt et al. (2004) and Van Dyk et al. (2003b) showed the candidate progenitor to be a RSG, corresponding to a star with initial mass of $\sim 8-9 M_\odot$. The confirmation of this star as the progenitor was finally provided in 2009, when the star was observed to no longer be present in late-time Gemini GMOS-N i′ images (Maund & Smartt 2009); making it the first conclusively confirmed RSG progenitor for a Type IIP SN.

Late-time ACS WFC observations of the site of SN 2003gd were acquired on 2010 Nov 14, and are presented on Figure 6. The SN position in the late-time images was determined with respect to the ACS HRC post-explosion images, with an uncertainty on the transformation of 0.010″. The position of the SN on the pre-explosion HST and Gemini images, as presented by Smartt et al. (2004) and Maund & Smartt (2009), were recalculated to within 0.028″ and 0.025″ respectively. The pre-explosion, post-explosion and late-time data F555W images are shown on Figure 7 and, for completeness, we also show the corresponding i′ data presented by Maund & Smartt (2009) as Figure 8. In the late-time images we observe a source, termed Source A′, that is clearly recovered in all late-time HST images at the transformed SN position, with magnitudes 25.93±0.04, 25.42±0.05 and 24.90±0.04 in the F435W, F555W and F814W filters respectively. This source was detected in late-time Gemini GMOS-N g′ and r′

of the source, however, the astrometric uncertainty for the position derived using HSTphot alone may be as large as 0.04″ or 0.4 WF pixels (Dolphin 2000b).
images, but not recovered significantly in the corresponding $i'$ image, acquired on 2008 Sep 06. Maund & Smartt (2009) measured $g' = 25.10 \pm 0.04$ and $i' = 24.49 \pm 0.05$ (in Vega magnitudes) for the source at the SN position, and placed a detection limit of $i' > 25.9$. This source was also observed with HST WFPC2 on 2007 Aug 11 (for program GO - 11229; PI: M. Meixner). Photometry of these images using the HSTphot package yielded $m_{F606W} = 24.52 \pm 0.08$ and $m_{F814W} = 25.22 \pm 0.26$. We note that this photometry is approximately 0.4 magnitudes brighter than the photometry of the same images reported by Otsuka et al. (2012). We confirmed our photometry using ISIS, determining the flux difference between the source in the 2007 WFPC2 $F814W$ image and our late-time ACS $F814W$ image is consistent with the photometry conducted on the images directly.

We recalculated the photometry of the source at the SN position in the pre-explosion WFPC2 $F606W$ image, labeled Source A by Smartt et al. (2004), using HSTphot finding $m_{F606W} = 25.06 \pm 0.06$. Maund & Smartt (2009) derived the $i'$ magnitude of the progenitor, using image subtraction techniques (see Figure 8), of $23.85 \pm 0.04$ (with a possible 0.15 magnitude systematic uncertainty on underlying residual flux in the late-time Gemini image). Unlike the obviously red Source A observed in the pre-explosion HST WFPC2 and Gemini GMOS images, it is apparent that Source A' in the late-time images is a blue-yellow object (see Figure 6). Even taking into account a colour correction between the late-time $F814W$ and Gemini GMOS $i'$ photometry (see Fig. 1), a significant increase in brightness is evident between the two observations separated by two years.

### 3.3 SN 2004A

SN 2004A was discovered by K. Itagaki (Nakano et al. 2004) on 2004 Jan 9.4 in the galaxy NGC 6207. Kawakita et al. (2004) spectroscopically classified the SN as a being a young Type II SN. Hendry et al. (2006) presented photometric and spectroscopic observations of SN 2004A and showed it to be consistent with other normal Type IIP SNe, such as SN 1999em. Hendry et al. also presented an analysis of the pre-explosion HST WFPC2 observations of the site of SN 2004A from 2001 Jul 02, in conjunction with post-explosion ACS WFC observations of the SN acquired on 2004 Sep 23. A source was barely recovered at $4.7 \sigma$ at the SN position in the pre-explosion $F814W$ image. There was no corresponding source in the pre-explosion $F606W$ image, consistent with a star with $F606W - F814W > 1.05$. Hendry et al. concluded that if this was the progenitor star an RSG with initial mass $9^{+1}_{-0} M_\odot$; although given concerns about the significance of the detection of the source at the SN position, Hendry et al. placed a conservative limit on the initial mass of an undetected progenitor of $< 12 M_\odot$.  

Late-time observations of the site of SN 2004A were acquired on 2010 Sep 09, approximately 6.7 years post-discovery. The late-time $F814W$ observation and the corresponding pre-explosion $F814W$ observation are presented on Figure 8. The pre-explosion and late-time observations of the site of SN 2004A are presented in Figure 9. Using the post-explosion $F814W$ image, acquired on 2004 Sep 23 with ACS WFC, the position of the SN on the pre-explosion and late-time frames was determined to within $0.021''$ and $0.028''$, respectively. The SN is not detected significantly in any of the late-time ACS WFC images. The $3 \sigma$ detection limits at the SN position, in the late-time images, were evaluated with artificial...
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Figure 7. HST imaging of the site of SN 2003gd. From left to right: Pre-explosion WFPC2 WF/F606W image; Post-explosion ACS/HRC F555W image; and late-time ACS/WFC F555W image.

Figure 8. Pre-explosion and late-time Gemini i′ observations of the site of SN 2003gd (for further details see Maund & Smartt 2009).

star tests to be $m_{F435W} = 27.65$, $m_{F555W} = 27.5$ and $m_{F814W} = 26.85$ mags. These limits are consistent with the expected depth for these images predicted by the ACS imaging exposure time calculator $^9$.

In the pre-explosion $F814W$ image, HSTphot finds a source within 0.034″ of the transformed SN position with $m_{F814W} = 24.48 \pm 0.19$ mags, detected with a signal-to-noise ratio of 5.6 (as shown on Figure 9). The positional uncertainty is slightly larger than the formal 1σ uncertainty of the geometric transformation alone. The ability of HSTphot to determine the position of objects of such brightness, however, is limited, such that the expected uncertainty on the position of the object on the pre-explosion $F814W$ image is $\Delta r \geq 0.060$″ (Dolphin 2000b). We note that this is the same object identified by Hendry et al. (2006) as the possible candidate progenitor, although they measured the source to be ∼ 0.2 magnitudes brighter with a larger photometric uncertainty (we note, also, they did not correct their reported photometry to an infinite aperture, such that the discrepancy is a further 0.05 magnitudes larger). We also find the two sources A and B identified by Hendry et al. (2006) are hot pixels $^10$. The pixel immediately adjacent to the pixel hosting the majority of the progenitor candidate’s flux is a warm pixel; however this pixel has a low dark current (with low variability) $^11$ and was corrected by the OTFR pipeline. We determined the 50% completeness level for 3σ detections for the pre-explosion images using artificial star tests conducting the HSTphot. Artificial stars were placed in a 5px radius around the transformed SN position. We find the corresponding detection limits to be $m_{F606W} = 26.25 \pm 0.40$ and $m_{F814W} = 25.10 \pm 0.45$ mags.

The late-time $F814W$ image was subtracted from the pre-explosion image, and the difference images is presented on Fig. 9. We find residuals at the location of the progenitor source and the two hotpixels. The disappearance of the pre-explosion progenitor candidate in these late-time images confirms the authenticity of the object as the progenitor. We derived photometry of the residual at the transformed SN position of $m_{F814W} = 24.57 \pm 0.12$ mags, including a systematic uncertainty of ∼ 10%. Using the results from the artificial star tests, we derived a CTI correction of $-0.216 \pm 0.013$, yielding a final magnitude for the progenitor of $m_{F814W} = 24.36 \pm 0.12$ mags. This is similar to the photometry derived by Hendry et al. (2006), although for very different reasons and improved precision.

A similar difference image was determined for the pre-explosion $F606W$ image and the late-time $F555W$ image. No significant residual was found in the difference image as expected.

$^9$ http://etc.stsci.edu/etc/input/acis/imaging/
$^10$ http://www.stsci.edu/hst/wfpc2/analysis/wfpc2_hotpix.html
$^11$ http://www-int.stsci.edu/instruments/wfpc2/Wfpc2_hotpix/2001/ vary_010617_010711_3.dat.Z
given the absence of a source at the transformed SN position in the pre-explosion image. Due to differences between the pre-explosion F606W and late-time F555W filter transmission functions, we did not use the difference image to derive detection limits for the progenitor.

3.4 SN 2005cs

SN 2005cs was discovered by Kloehr et al. (2005) on 2005 Jun 27.933 in the galaxy M51. Modjaz et al. (2005) spectroscopically classified SN 2005cs as being a young Type II SN. Richmond & Modjaz (2005) provisionally identified a blue supergiant in the field as a possible candidate for the progenitor, although later analysis (in conjunction with high resolution post-explosion HST ACS HRC images) by Maund et al. (2005) and Li et al. (2006a) found the progenitor star to be a RSG with initial mass $M_{\odot} \sim 8 M_{\odot}$.

Late-time observations of the site of SN 2005cs were acquired on 2010 Jul 30 with the ACS/WFC, 5.1 years post-discovery. A comparison of the pre-explosion and late-time observations of the site of SN 2005cs is shown in Figs. 10 and 11. In this case, the late-time observations exactly match the pre-explosion observations, using the same filters and detectors. The pre-explosion and late-time observations were drizzled to a final common pixel scale of 0.035″. Utilising post-explosion ACS HRC observations of SN 2005cs, the SN position was located on the pre-explosion and late-time images to within 0.007″ and 0.004″, respectively.

Direct photometry of the source detected at the SN position in the pre-explosion F814W yielded $m_{F814W} = 23.382 \pm 0.048$, which is 0.1 magnitude fainter than reported by Maund et al. (2005), but $\sim 0.3$ magnitudes brighter than reported by Li et al. (2006b). In the late-time images, we do not recover a source at the transformed SN position. The detection limits in these filters were probed using artificial star tests, yielding $m_{F555W} = 24.30 \pm 0.4$, $m_{F555W} = 24.95 \pm 0.45$ and $m_{F814W} = 24.55 \pm 0.15$ mags. These limits are particularly high, relative to the expected depth for ACS/WFC images of these durations, due to extended emission from the nearby cluster overlapping SN position.

As noted by Maund et al. (2005) and Li et al. (2006b), this underlying emission can complicate the determination of the photometry of the progenitor from the pre-explosion imaging alone. This highlights the importance of using image subtraction techniques to accurately derive the progenitor photometry (by subtracting the background emission that is constant at both epochs). The difference image between the pre-explosion and late-time F814W observations is presented on Fig. 11. We measure the brightness of the progenitor to be $m_{F814W} = 23.62 \pm 0.07$ mags, which is fainter than the brightness determined directly from the background source (see above). This magnitude is also significantly fainter than the photometry of Maund et al. (2005), and slightly brighter than the photometry of Li et al. (2006a) (who attempted to account for pre-explosion flux at the SN position due to the nearby cluster). We note that we find no significant source at the SN position in the corresponding F435W and F555W difference images.

Artificial star tests, in conjunction with image subtraction techniques, were used to derive alternative detection limits (see Section 2.7) for the pre-explosion F435W and F555W images. In the absence of a corresponding late-time F658N ACS/WFC image, the detection limit on the pre-explosion F658N image could only be derived using direct recovery of artificial stars in the pre-explosion frame. The photometric completeness functions for the pre-explosion observations in which the progenitor was not detected is shown on Fig. 12 and presented in Table 3. The detection of a residual in this difference image requires only a significant degree of residual flux at the SN position and is less dependent on the amount of background flux than the direct recovery of artificial stars. There are differences between the detection limits derived on the pre-explosion images here and the limits presented by Maund et al. (2005) and Li et al. (2006b). These studies used combinations of the analytical noise expression and artificial star tests, and treated the effect of flux from the nearby cluster differently. We note that our detection limits derived using image subtraction techniques are significantly deeper, highlighting the importance of late-time images even in cases where detections of the progenitor are dubious or unavailable.

We also find that there are a number of other sources that are clearly variable between the pre-explosion and late-time images in the vicinity of SN 2005cs (as shown on Fig. 11). Inspection of the pre-explosion and late-time images shows that the apparent residuals in the difference images are associated with stars which are clearly brighter or fainter in the late-time images compared with the pre-explosion images. Given the density of stars in this field, compared with the sites of the other SNe considered here, it is to
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Figure 10. Colour images of the site of SN 2005cs using ACS/WFC before explosion and at late-times. The position of the SN is indicated by the cross-hairs. In the pre-explosion image a red source is clearly visible at the SN position, and is found to be absent in the late-time image. Each image has dimension $6'' \times 6''$, and is oriented such that North is up, East is left.

be expected that there would be other variable sources in the field around SN 2005cs. The other residuals in the difference images are, therefore, consistent with other real variables, but the progenitor object is the only star to be absent in one of the two sets of the images.

3.5 SN 2006my

SN 2006my was discovered on 2006 Nov 8.82UT by K. Itagaki (Nakano & Itagaki 2006) in the galaxy NGC 4651. Stanishev & Nielsen (2006) spectroscopically classified the SN as being a Type II SN similar to SN 1999em. Li et al. (2007), Leonard et al. (2008) and Crockett et al. (2011) analysed the pre-explosion WFPC2 images of the site of SN 2006my. All three studies commented on the significant offset between the transformed SN position and a nearby source recovered in the pre-explosion $F814W$ image. Leonard et al. and Crockett et al. concluded that the $F814W$ source was unrelated to the SN, and that the progenitor was not detected in either the pre-explosion $F555W$ or $F814W$ images.

The pre-, post-explosion and late-time $F555W$ and $F814W$ imaging of the site of SN 2006my is shown on Fig. 13. Following the analyses presented by Li et al. (2007), Leonard et al. (2008) and Crockett et al. (2011), we analysed the pre-explosion WFPC2 WF2 $F555W$ and $F814W$ covering the position of SN 2006my. The SN position, derived from post-explosion WFPC2 PC1 images, was determined on the pre-explosion images using 19 common stars with a resulting uncertainty of $0.024''$; larger than achieved by Crockett et al. (2011). The transformed position is found to be in the proximity of a cluster of bright pixels and, as previously found by Li et al. (2007), Leonard et al. (2008) and Crockett et al. (2011), the transformed position is not consistent with the position of the nearest source found by HSTphot in the pre-explosion $F814W$ image (see Fig. 13). The source detected by HSTphot is located 0.97 pixels from the transformed SN position, an offset significantly larger than the transformation uncertainty. The transformed SN position is close to the position of a source in the pre-explosion $F555W$ image but, as noted by the previous studies, based on the sharpness of the image.
value derived by HSTphot, it is not consistent with a point source and is located 1.19 pixels from the source detected in the F814W image. The shift between the pre-explosion F555W and F814W image was found to be very small: \( \Delta x = -0.0039 \) and \( \Delta y = 0.0395 \).

Using HSTphot, the pre-explosion F814W source was measured to have brightness 24.24 ± 0.18, which is approximately 0.2 magnitudes brighter than found in the previous studies (which is due to the use of different HSTphot settings used here). Sections of the late-time F555W and F814W images were transformed to match the pre-explosion images; resampling the ACS WFC 0.05" pixels to 0.1". These images were processed using isis, and the resulting difference images are shown in Fig. 13. isis significantly detects a residual, at a distance of only 0.11 pixels from the transformed position of the SN. Using 5 reference stars, and the DOLPHOT photometry derived for these stars in the late-time F814W image, we derive a magnitude of 24.88 ± 0.13 mags for the residual in the difference image. Artificial star tests on the pre-explosion images were used to derive the CTI correction, for a star at the position of the observed residual on the pre-explosion frame, of 0.016 ± 0.003. The residual in the F814W difference image corresponds, therefore, to an object with \( m_{F814W} = 24.86 ± 0.13 \). Given the coincidence of residual with the transformed SN position, we conclude that the progenitor was detected in the pre-explosion F814W image. The fainter F814W magnitude derived using ISIS, compared to our own HSTphot photometry of the pre-explosion images and the previously reported values, and the apparent discrepancy in the position of the pre-explosion source and the SN, most likely reflects that the source in the pre-explosion F814W image is a blend of the progenitor with a source due East of the SN position (which also skews the apparent position of the progenitor source in that direction). In the analysis of the pre-explosion and late-time F555W images no residual was found in the difference image. The possible nature of the pre-explosion F555W source is revealed in the late-time images as a complicated, extended background feature.

We used artificial star tests to probe the detection limit of the pre-explosion F555W and F814W images within a 10 pixel radius of the SN position; deriving 50% completeness limits at 3\( \sigma \) of \( m_{F555W} = 26.15 ± 0.65 \) and \( m_{F814W} = 25.05 ± 0.75 \) mags.

4 ANALYSIS

In previous studies (e.g. Maund & Smartt 2005; Smartt et al. 2004; Hendry et al. 2006; Li et al. 2006a; Maund et al. 2005), the photometric properties of the progenitor and surrounding stars were derived through comparison with the ideal supergiant colour sequence presented by Drilling & Landolt (2000). As noted by Maund (2013, in prep.) there are significant deficiencies with this approach; such as the requirement for colour transformation equations to transform the observed photometry to the photometric system of Drilling & Landolt. More recent studies (e.g. Van Dyk et al. 2012; Maund et al. 2011; Van Dyk et al. 2011; Fraser et al. 2012; Maund et al. 2013) have shown the benefit in fitting directly to SEDs constructed from synthetic spectra with known parameters using the same filters as the observations.

In considering the observed photometry of objects identified at the position of the target SN in the pre-explosion images we used the BIX nested sampling and BASIE Markov Chain Monte Carlo SED fitting packages described by Maund (2013, in prep.). These two packages allow us to comprehensively probe the effects of different stellar parameters on the interpretation of the observed photometry on a densely sampled grid of model stellar photometry, in the native filter system of the observations. By design, both of these SED fitting packages can handle detections and upper limits simultaneously, although for limited data (i.e. the number of detections is less than the number of free parameters) only the BASIE code can be used to explore the allowed parameter space rather than locate a unique solution. Crucially, we can explore the degeneracies between the parameters (such as temperature and reddening), and implicitly account for correlations between the temperature and bolometric luminosity (through the bolometric correction).

Here we use two families of stellar SED models: the ATLAS9 (Castelli & Kurucz 2004) and MARCS (Gustafsson et al. 2008) models. Synthetic photometry of these models was conducted using our own codes.

As we expect the progenitors to be cool RSGs (< 4500K), we interpret the observed photometry (and upper limits) with respect to the 5Msolar spherical MARCS SEDs, which have been successfully compared with observations of RSGs in a number of previous studies (e.g. see Levesque et al. 2005; Davies et al. 2013). We assume that RSGs are well described by models with surface gravity \( \log g = 0.0 \), and fit for the effective temperature (\( T_{\text{eff}} \)) and...
Figure 13. Pre-explosion and late-time HST images of the site of SN 2006my in the F814W (top row) and F555W (bottom row) bands. The transformed position of the SN and the positions of the sources detected in the pre-explosion F555W and F814W (labeled “source”) images are indicated by the circles.

the reddening. We consider the effects of foreground and host reddening to be due to Galactic-like dust (parameterised by $E(B-V)$; Cardelli et al. 1989). To constrain the effect of reddening due to dust expected to be found around RSGs, we consider the reddening laws for graphite or silicate dust (parameterised by the optical depth $\tau_\nu$), contained in spherical shells with ratios for the inner and outer radii of $R_{\text{out}}/R_{\text{in}} = 2$ or 10, following Kochanek et al. (2012). For each SN site we adopt models with metallicities appropriate for that site (see Table 1).

To provide an additional handle on the interstellar reddening towards each progenitor, we also consider the reddening towards the stars immediately surrounding the SN position. Due to the distances of the host galaxies, the surrounding stars, which are selected based on the condition that they are detected in all three of the late-time images for each SN, are expected to be luminous OB stars. For each of the stars, the parameters $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $E(B-V)$ are derived with respect to the ATLAS9 models. We selected those models from the ATLAS9 grid that are consistent with supergiant surface gravities (Laidler et al. 2008)\textsuperscript{12}. Total reddenings are derived with respect to a Cardelli et al. (1989) $R_V = 3.1$ reddening law, appropriate for reddening and extinction due to interstellar dust. The SED fits were conducted with the BIX Nested Sampling package (as all stars, by selection, had three colour photometry), such that the Bayesian evidence could be used to exclude those stars with colours clearly inconsistent with OB stars. Furthermore, to consider the SEDs of compact clusters we adopt the model spectra produced using the starburst99 code (Leitherer et al. 1999).

For stellar progenitors, we derive masses using our various luminosity estimates (depending on the type of dust and star) following the technique of Smartt et al. (2009). Smartt et al. use predicted luminosities for the end-phases of STARS stellar evolution models (Eldridge & Tout 2004) to derive initial masses for progenitors with luminosities constraints derived from the observations. For a given luminosity, the progenitor is considered to lie in the mass range bounded at one end by the most massive star to end core He burning at that luminosity, and at the other by the least massive star to proceed to model termination (the onset of core Ne burning) at that luminosity (see Smartt et al. 2009, and their Fig. 1). We use STARS models calculated at integer initial masses, with the appropriate metallicities, and interpolate to determine the luminosities at the end of core He burning and the beginning of core Ne burning. This scheme characterises possible RSG progenitors. We also note that, at lower masses, some stars will undergo second dredge-up, causing them to become Asymptotic Giant Branch (AGB) stars that are cooler but more luminous than the similar mass stars that die as RSGs. Based on the observed temperature range of RSGs, derived using MARCS spectra (Levesque et al. 2005), we use a temperature threshold of $3400\,\text{K}$, above and below which we consider stars to be RSGs or AGB stars, respectively.

In deriving posterior probability density functions (pdfs) for the initial masses for the progenitors, we also consider the effect of prior information from the IMF. We apply a weighting factor to the posterior pdfs $\propto M^{-2.35}$ for a Salpeter (1955) IMF, to follow the

\textsuperscript{12} http://www.stsci.edu/hst/HST_overview/documents/synphot/AppA_Catalogs4.html
weighting scheme applied by Smartt et al. (2009) for their analysis of the Type IIP SN progenitor population.

4.1 SN 1999ev

The presence of a source in the late-time images precludes the use of image subtraction techniques to further analyse the nature of the pre-explosion source. We note that the pre-explosion \( F555W \) magnitude of the source at the SN position is of similar magnitude to the source in the late-time \( F555W \) image. The difference between the pre-explosion and late-time photometry is not significant with a p-value of 0.71 (using a simple \( z \)-test). This lends support to the hypothesis that the source observed in the pre-explosion images at the SN position has been recovered in the late-time images, and that the original identification of the progenitor presented by Maund & Smartt (2005) is, at least partially, incorrect. We suggest three possible scenarios for the nature of the source at the SN position:

(i) The source at the SN position in the pre-explosion and late-time images is a host cluster that contained the now absent progenitor.

(ii) The source observed in the pre-explosion and late-time image is an unrelated star that is coincident with the line-of-sight to the SN. While the late-time observations do suggest a large, young stellar population hidden by the large dust sheet, the determination of the likelihood of a chance alignment is non-trivial. Given the astrometric coincidence < 0.04″, it is likely to be very low.

(iii) The source observed in the late-time images is an unresolved light echo, and the pre-explosion source has now disappeared. Given the observation of evolving light echoes around the position of the SN, and the obvious amount of dust in the vicinity of the SN, the apparent late-time brightness may be due to a light echo from dust immediately behind the SN. We find this scenario unlikely, as it requires the progenitor and light echo to have coincidentally similar brightness.

Given the nature of the late-time three-colour imaging it is not possible to unambiguously distinguish between the different scenarios. The late-time images were used to examine the consequences of the progenitor residing in a host cluster that was observed in the pre-explosion and late-time images. The shape of the source in the late-time images was measured using the \texttt{ishape} package (Larsen 1999). In each filter band, \texttt{ishape} returned a significantly better fit with a Moffat function over a delta function \( \chi^2(\text{Moffat})/\chi^2(\text{delta}) < 0.95 \) and an effective radius \( R_{eff} > 0.1x \) the Full Width at Half Maximum (Larsen 1999). The effective radius of the source was measured to be \( 0.99^{+0.23}_{-0.55} \), \( 1.82^{+0.56}_{-1.07} \) and \( 1.18^{+0.12}_{-0.3} \) pixels in the \( F435W \), \( F555W \) and \( F814W \), respectively (with a pixel scale corresponding to 2.7 pc per pixel at the distance of NGC 4274). The large error bars are symptomatic of the complexity of the region hosting the SN, in particular with the proximity of light echoes and the apparent faintness of the source. The \texttt{ishape} analysis was also conducted on six nearby objects that were all found to be consistent with point-like, stellar sources - suggesting that \texttt{ishape} does have the capability, under the conditions of the late-time images, to differentiate extended sources from point-like sources. To further explore the implications of a host cluster for the progenitor, the late-time \( ACS \) photometry was compared with \texttt{Starburst99} models (Leitherer et al. 1999); and the results of this fit is shown as Figure 14. Given the three colour photometry, there are two allowed solutions: a moderately reddened older solution (40 – 100 Myr) implying \( M_{ZAMS} < 9M_\odot \); and a heavily reddened younger solution (< 10 Myr) implying \( M_{ZAMS} > 20M_\odot \). In addition, given the criterion presented by Bastian et al. (2005), that point-like objects with \( M_T < -8.6 \) are more likely to be clusters than individual bright stars, requires \( E(B-V) > 0.76 \) (assuming an \( R_V \approx 3.1 \) Galactic reddening law). In terms of shape, absolute brightness and colours, the late-time source is consistent with a cluster. Using the photometry of nearby point-like sources, a weighted-average reddening of \( E(B-V) = 0.95 \pm 0.32 \) was measured. The large error bar is consistent with both the poor photometric errors for each of the six nearby sources and the large scatter in reddenings in the sample. The reddenings towards these objects are significantly greater than expected for just pure foreground Galactic reddening \( E(B-V) = 0.2 \) towards NGC 4274. This may reflect a complex dust distribution where some of the sources are in front of the dust sheet and others may be embedded. This suggests that there might be significant reddening towards the source at the SN position, however this is not conclusive.

If the late-time source is, in fact, a light echo, then the late-time images do not provide any further insight into the properties of the source in the pre-explosion images. Our inability to confirm the disappearance of the progenitor also means that the late-time images cannot be used to rule out the possibility that the object in the pre-explosion and late-time images is an unrelated object in the line-of-sight. High-resolution near-infrared observations, with the HST, could be used to probe the nature of the stellar population behind the dust sheet (to examine the density of objects along the line-of-sight) as well as provide further constraints on the nature of the source in late-time images and nature of the obscuring dust. The ambiguity of the nature of the object at the SN position in the pre-explosion and late-time images means that, although SN 1999ev may have had an identified progenitor of some kind, the previously derived progenitor properties are unreliable; even in the interpretation that the source is a cluster, the reliance on three-colour photometry leads to degeneracies in the reddening-age solutions that prohibit a precise initial mass estimate for the progenitor.

4.2 SN 2003gd

The photometry of 30 stars within 4″ (~ 200pc) of the position of SN 2003gd was used to derive an average reddening towards the SN site of \( E(B-V) = 0.14 \pm 0.04 \) (see Figure 15). The amount of reddening is consistent with the reddening previously estimated from three colour photometry of the surrounding stars using early post-explosion \textit{ACS}HRC images (Smartt et al. 2004) and from the colour evolution of the SN itself (Hendry et al. 2005).

Given the detection of the progenitor in pre-explosion observations in two filters, we consider the roles of three different types of reddening: 1) unconstrained reddening, with an interstellar reddening law; 2) an interstellar reddening component consistent with the observed reddening to the surrounding stars and an unconstrained degree of reddening arising from Graphite dust around the progenitor; and 3) the same as 2, except with Silicate dust. The corresponding regions of the parameter space and the Hertzsprung-Russell (HR) diagram, allowed by the pre-explosion observations in conjunction with half-solar metallicity MARCS SEDs, are presented on Fig. 16. Given the observed colour of the progenitor, regardless of the amount of reddening, we find \( T_{eff} > 3500K \) and the...
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radius of the progenitor constrained to be $200 < R < 400 R_\odot$. The flatter nature of the graphite and silicate reddening laws, compared to the Cardelli et al. reddening law, leads to tighter luminosity constraints and a weaker dependence on the temperature/colour of the progenitor. The masses inferred for the progenitor are relatively insensitive to the choice of reddening law, with $M_{\text{min}} \sim 7 - 8 \pm 2.0 M_\odot$.

Given the reddening derived towards SN 2003gd, we find that the SED of the object recovered at the SN position in the late-time images ($A'$) is consistent with a black body with temperature $T \sim 9000 K$, which is similar to the expected temperature for SNe at such late times (see e.g. Otsuka et al. 2012).

4.3 SN 2004A

The three-colour photometry of 12 stars within 5.25 arcmin ($\approx 500$ pc; see Fig. 15) of the position of SN2004A was used to derive a weighted average reddening towards the SN site of $E(B-V) = 0.16 \pm 0.06$. This is larger than the estimate made by Hendry et al. (2006) of $E(B-V) = 0.06 \pm 0.03$, derived using three colour photometry from the post-explosion ACS/WFC images.

Due to the single $F814W$ detection of the progenitor, and the upper $F606W$ limit, an SED fit with $> 1$ parameter is under-constrained by the observations. Using particular prior assumptions, such as the reddening derived from surrounding stars, it is possible to probe the allowed likely parameter space. We conducted a two-parameter fit to MARCS SEDs, with half-solar metallicity, for temperature and a single reddening component (following a Cardelli et al. reddening law) with the reddening derived from surrounding stars as a prior. The resulting constraint in the temperature-reddening plane is shown on Figure 17. The low reddening and the strict $F606W$ upper limit constrains the temperature of the progenitor to be lower than 3700K; although this is sensitive to the reddening prior, such that higher reddenings may permit hotter progenitors. The corresponding region on the HR diagram is also shown on Figure 17. As the reddening is effectively fixed, the slope of the contours reflects the increasing bolometric correction for cooler stars. If the reddening inferred from the surrounding stars is, instead, a lower limit on the reddening towards the progenitor (due to an additional component of reddening due to circumstellar dust), then we can only derive a lower limit on the luminosity of the progenitor. The contours also include those stars in the initial mass range $5 - 7 M_\odot$ that are expected, at this metallicity, to undergo second dredge up and become AGB stars.

4.4 SN 2005cs

The photometry, from the pre-explosion images, of 20 stars within 2'' ($\approx 120$ pc) of the position of SN 2005cs were used to determine a weighted average reddening towards the SN of $E(B-V) = 0.22 \pm 0.05$ (see Fig. 15).

In order to constrain the properties of the progenitors we utilised the $F814W$ magnitude presented here, measured using image subtraction, and the revised detection thresholds for the pre-explosion $ACS$ $F435W$, $F555W$ and $F658N$ images. Furthermore, we adopted the infrared detection limits for the progenitor reported for pre-explosion Gemini $NIRI$ $JHK$ images (Maund et al. 2005) and $NICMOS$ $F110W$, $F160W$ $F222M$ images (Li et al. 2006a). As the $NICMOS$ images are deeper, they form the principal constraint on the SED of the progenitor in the IR, however we include the Gemini $NIRI$ limits in our calculation for completeness. We explored the same parameter space, for the same combinations of reddening components, as for the progenitor of SN 2003gd (see Section 4.2) and the results are presented on Fig. 18.

Similarly to the progenitor of SN 2003gd, the graphite and
Figure 16. The parameters of the progenitor of SN 2003gd for different reddening components. In each panel the contours contain 68% and 95% of the total probability. (Top Row, Left) The temperature and reddening of the progenitor assuming Galactic-like dust; (Centre) the progenitor’s location on the HR diagram (also shown are the locations of stellar evolution models of given initial mass for the end of core He burning (▲), the onset of Ne burning (■) and the endpoints for those models that undergo second dredge-up (●)). Dotted grey lines indicate lines of constant progenitor radius.; and (Right) the inferred initial mass probability density function with no weighting (solid line) and weighting according to the initial mass function (dotted line). (Middle Row) The same as the top row, but for a Cardelli et al. (1989) reddening component of \( E(B−V) = 0.14 \pm 0.04 \), derived from the surrounding stars and a unconstrained reddening component for graphite dust around the progenitor (solid contours are for \( R_{\text{out}}/R_{\text{in}} = 2 \) and dotted contours are for \( R_{\text{out}}/R_{\text{in}} = 10 \)). The mass probability density function are as for the top row, but only shown for the \( R_{\text{out}}/R_{\text{in}} = 2 \) solution. (Bottom Row) The same as the middle row, but for silicate dust.

Silicate reddening laws yield flatter contours of the HR diagram, making the dependence of the luminosity on the temperature less extreme. For each reddening type, there are two islands of preferred solutions: a cool, low reddened solution and a hotter, reddened solution; reflecting the severe constraints from non-detections in the infrared and in blue, respectively. The bimodal probability distribution in \( T_{\text{eff}} \) and \( E(B−V) \) leads to a skewed mass probability density function for a Cardelli et al. reddening law extending to higher masses, although the peak of the distribution occurs at \( ∼ 10M_\odot \). For the graphite and silicate reddening laws, the unweighted mass probability density function is more symmetric and peaks around \( ∼ 11M_\odot \). The strict infrared limits exclude the possibility of the progenitor being a massive AGB stars for all the reddening types (Eldridge et al. 2007).

4.5 SN 2006my

Previously Li et al. (2007) suggested that, apart from a Galactic reddening component, there was no evidence for a host reddening component in spectra of SN 2006my; this value was similarly used by progenitor studies conducted by Crockett et al. (2011) and Leonard et al. (2008). We utilised the HST photometry of the post-explosion WFPC2 images of SN 2006my to study the reddening associated with the surrounding stellar population. We selected 46 good stars (\( r^2 < 1.5 \), |sharp| < 0.3) with complete three-colour
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Figure 17. The same as Fig. 16 but for pre-explosion observations of the progenitor of SN 2004A, assuming only a Cardelli et al. reddening law with $E(B - V) = 0.06 \pm 0.03$ derived from surrounding stars.

Figure 18. The same as Fig. 16, but for the pre-explosion observations of the progenitor of SN 2005cs.
photometry within 5" (~540pc) of the SN. This photometry was compared with solar metallicity supergiant SEDs, to derive an average reddening towards SN 2006my of \( E(B-V) = 0.49 \pm 0.25 \) (see Fig. 15). The large scatter reflects the poor quality of the WFPC2 photometry, as well as likely differences in the reddening between the individual stars. The relative colour constraint provided by the pre-explosion \( F555W \) limit and the \( F814W \) detection of the progenitor is insufficient to limit the temperature of the progenitor for temperatures below < 4500K. The allowed regions of the HR diagram, for the two reddening estimates, is shown on Figure 4.5. As for SN 2004A (see Section 4.3), the shape of the contours is dictated by the larger bolometric correction at cooler temperatures. The lack of an infrared detection or detection limit for the progenitor means that both the RSG and AGB solutions are allowed. The higher reddening inferred from the photometry of the surrounding stars implies higher luminosities for the progenitor, than for just Galactic foreground reddening, and leads to a higher initial mass (13.4 ± 2.8 vs. 9.8 ± 1.7\( M_\odot \)).

Given the apparent offset between the transformed SN position and the source recovered in the pre-explosion \( F814W \) image, we explored the possible causes for the apparent discrepancy. We note that all three previous studies, and our own results, agree that there is a significant offset between the transformed SN position and the pre-explosion \( F814W \) source. As noted by Leonard et al. (2008), in conjunction with Dolphin (2000b), the positional uncertainty for an isolated source with the brightness of the pre-explosion \( F814W \) source is ~ 0.4 pixels. We conducted Monte Carlo simulations, where the pre-explosion image was “resampled” under the assumption that the observed counts could be modelled as source and background flux (following a Poisson distribution) with a Gaussian readnoise contribution. Aperture photometry of these simulated images was conducted using DAOphot, with the ofilter centring algorithm, to recover the position of the source (within a 5 pixel centring box). The result of these simulations is shown on Fig. 20 and the average position is \( x = 410.5 \pm 0.5, y = 159.2 \pm 0.6 \); offset from the SN position by 0.4 pixels. From the outcome of the Monte Carlo simulations we note three effects:

(i) The positional uncertainty is larger for faint sources and will be dependent on pixel noise statistics in the main pixel containing source flux and the surrounding pixels.

(ii) Given the subsampled nature of the PSF in WFPC2 images, the uncertainty on the position of a source will also be dependent on the brightness of the immediately surrounding pixels; and, as evident from Fig. 20, the measured position may be skewed by the proximity of the true source position to a pixel edge.

(iii) The position derived is sensitive to the choice of centring algorithm utilised. Trials using the “centroid” centring algorithm in DAOphot showed that positions were preferentially located at integer and half-integer pixel coordinates.

We conclude that, in addition to uncertainties associated with determining positions on WFPC2 images for isolated sources, it is also important to consider the effects of nearby sources (within a few pixels) that may skew/bias the centring algorithm away from the true source position. From the Monte Carlo simulations, given the environment and pixel noise at the SN position, we estimate the uncertainty on the position of the pre-explosion \( F814W \) source may be ~ 0.078". With such large uncertainties, the apparent offset between the SN and the pre-explosion source would be only ~ 1\( \sigma \) given the offsets calculated by Crockett et al. (2011) and Leonard et al. (2008); however, we caution that our lower quality geometric transformation results in a 1.2\( \sigma \) offset.

5 DISCUSSION

A summary of the masses derived for the progenitors considered here is presented on Table 4. The biggest differences between our findings and those of Smartt et al. (2009) are for the progenitors of SNe 1999ev and 2006my. Our late-imaging shows that the nature of the source found at the SN position in pre-explosion observations of the site of SN 1999ev is, at best, uncertain; whilst, at worst, a misidentification of a host cluster or unrelated coincident star. As the nature of this source is not clarified in the late-time imaging, the progenitor of SN 1999ev should no longer be considered in progenitor population statistics. In the analysis presented by Smartt et al. (2009), the progenitor of SN 1999ev had the distinction of having the highest mass inferred for a detected Type IIP SN progenitor, and so set the maximum mass limit for stars to explode as RSGs and produce Type IIP SNe. With the removal of the SN 1999ev progenitor from the population statistics, the maximum mass limit will actually drop and make the “Red Supergiant Problem” even more severe. The late-time imaging of the site of SN 2006my has shown that the progenitor was detected in the pre-explosion observations, and the upper mass limit quoted by Smartt et al. should now be quoted as a detected progenitor with a corresponding mass estimate.

The mass estimates derived for the confirmed progenitors are generally higher (by ~ 1\( M_\odot \)) than those presented by Smartt et al.; in part, due to the slightly larger foreground and host reddenings we inferred towards the progenitors from the colours of the surrounding stars. In addition, for SN 2003gd and 2005cs we considered additional reddening components to the reddening from interstellar dust, in keeping with the expectation that there is dust local to progenitor that is not probed by the surrounding stars or the observations of the SNe. We also note that our uncertainties are smaller than those quoted by Smartt et al.: we considered the effects of uncertainties of the luminosity convolved with the flat probability distribution of the star having a mass in the range bounded by the maximum mass star to end core He-burning at that luminosity and the minimum mass star to begin Ne burning at that luminosity. The initial mass probability density functions are, apart from SN 2005cs, approximately symmetric and almost follow a normal distribution. We believe this is a fairer presentation of the initial masses for the progenitors and their uncertainties. The application of a weighting to the initial mass pdf, according to the IMF, has a small effect in shifting the pdf to slightly lower masses. Unlike Smartt et al. (2009), who used this weighting scheme to “truncate” their large uncertainties, we find that the effect of weighting on the relative width of the initial mass pdfs is minor.

The slight increase in the inferred progenitor mass does not help rectify the apparent discrepancy between these “evolutionary” masses and the progenitor masses derived from hydrodynamical models. Utrobin & Chugai (2008) found an initial mass for the progenitor of SN 2005cs of 18.2 ± 1\( M_\odot \), which is at odds with the new masses derived here, regardless of the choice of reddening. As Utrobin & Chugai (2008) suggest, additional dust in a circumstellar shell could lower the apparent luminosity of the progenitor and decrease the mass inferred from pre-explosion observations. The nature of the pre-explosion observations of SN 2005cs, in particular the strict near-infrared upper limits, severely limits the amount of reddening that the progenitor might undergo. The constraints on the radius for the progenitor of SN 2005cs are also below the radius inferred by Utrobin & Chugai (2008) of 600 ± 140\( R_\odot \), but not significantly discrepant.

It is clear from the analysis presented in Section 4, that the
available pre-explosion observations of a given SN progenitor dictates the degree of analysis that may be conducted. Given the presence of constraining optical and infrared upper limits, the possible effect of circumstellar reddening on the progenitor of SN 2005cs was evaluated despite having a detection in only one band. This demonstrates the importance for having good pre-explosion observations in the near-infrared for studying the cool progenitors of Type IIP SNe, even if the progenitor is not detected at those wavelengths. The two detections of the progenitor of SN 2003gd, at different wavelengths, enabled similar constraints for reddening due to circumstellar dust. Conversely, the analyses of SN 2004A and 2006my were limited by them having only a single detection and only loose constraint on the progenitor colour at a bluer wavelength.

Due to the lack of constraints on reddening due to circumstellar dust, the final derived masses for the progenitors of SNe 2004A and 2006my may represent, in actuality, lower mass limits. For this study, we have assumed the circumstellar dust follows the reddening laws proposed by Kochanek et al. (2012), for the case of the progenitor of SN 2012aw. Conversely, Van Dyk et al. (2012) suggested a steeper reddening law, still following Cardelli et al., but with $R_V \approx 4.35$; leading to an overall higher extinction. The Kochanek et al. (2012) reddening law was determined using models of specific dust compositions, of either graphite or silicate dust, expected to be found around RSGs, whereas Van Dyk et al. (2012) estimated the change in reddening law based on observations of Galactic RSGs. For SN 2012aw (Fraser et al. 2012; Van Dyk et al. 2012), the progenitor was detected in four bands, and the degeneracies between reddening, reddening law and temperature could not be broken; suggesting the full determination of the parameters, independent of the assumptions of reddening laws, requires detections at $>4$ wavelengths, such as for the progenitor of SN 2008bk (Mattila et al. 2008; Van Dyk et al. 2012).

Both Fraser et al. (2012) and Van Dyk et al. (2012) noted the large decrease in reddening determined for the pre-explosion source and for the subsequent SN; suggesting such large reddenings may affect all progenitors but not be apparent post-explosion. For their sample of RSGs, Davies et al. (2013) measure extinctions arising from circumstellar dust in the range $A_V = 0.0 - 1.0$. A further issue, that we have not explored, was suggested by Walmswell...
& Eldridge (2012, and references therein) that the amount of dust in the circumstellar medium is related to the mass loss rate of the RSG and, ultimately, its bolometric luminosity.

A corresponding issue to the reddening problem is the temperature. We note that, for the progenitors with constraining pre-explosion observations, we find the allowed temperature range to be generally hotter than the predicted endpoints for the stellar evolution models, but are consistent with the recent reappraisal of RSG temperatures by Davies et al. (2013). The lower limit of the temperature scales for the progenitors of SNe 2003gd and 2005cs suggests that they have a spectral type no later than M0, which corresponds to the predicted positions for stars that have just finished core He-burning; the pre-explosion observations of SNe 2003gd and 2005cs suggest that the progenitors were not massive AGB stars (Eldridge et al. 2007; Siess 2007). It is only in the poorly constrained cases, for the progenitors of SNe 2004A and 2006my, that we cannot exclude cooler temperatures that might be associated with massive AGB stars. With limited observations in the optical (in particular the B and V bands), it is difficult to place limits on the maximum temperature of the progenitor, as hotter temperatures can always be accommodated with additional reddening. In the case of the progenitor of SN 2005cs, the requirement that the progenitor ended its life as an RSG has serious implications for the interpretation of the subsequent SN as a low luminosity “Electron-Capture” SN (Janka 2012).

Our late-time imaging campaign has shown that, for the case of SN 2003gd, the possibilities of recovering precise photometry of the progenitor through template subtraction may be undermined by rebrightening of the SN at late-times. In the case of SN 2003gd, the previous analysis of Maund &Smartt (2009) was fortuitous in that it managed to observe the SN before it rebrightened with a very strict brightness limit of the SN in the late-time $i'$ image. Such rebrightening is not without precedent; Kotak et al. (2009) observed the optical lightcurve of SN 2004et to rebrighten (by ∼1 mag in V) at optical and infrared wavelengths.

As noted in Section 4.5, the apparent discrepancy between the position of the progenitor of SN 2006my on the pre-explosion images and the transformed SN position does raise questions about how positional uncertainties are handled. Maund & Smartt (2005) determined the positional precision using the standard deviation of the four different centering methods available to DAOphot (centroid, offiter, gauss and psf). It must be noted, however, that these centering techniques are not providing independent estimates of an object’s location. In the future, it may be preferable to choose a single centering technique, and consider the role of Poisson noise (both object and background) and read out noise in each pixel on the determination of an object’s position. Furthermore, tests using the centroiding routines in both the DAOphot and SAO image DS9 packages has shown that, in the case of isolated objects in subsampled images, centroiding can be a relatively blunt tool (providing default positions located in the centre of pixels). In considering flux deficits using image subtraction techniques, rather than appealing to astrometric coincidence, we have shown that confirmation of a star as being the actual progenitor requires observing it to have disappeared.

6 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented late-time imaging of the sites of five Type IIP SNe with pre-explosion HST images, in which progenitor candidates were detected. In three of the cases (2003gd, 2004A and 2005cs), our previous identifications have been confirmed and we find initial masses for these stars in the range 6–14M☉. The pre-explosion observations of SNe 2003gd and 2005cs are sufficient to place constraints on the progenitor mass that are relatively insensitive to the amount and type of dust around these progenitors. Given the similarities in brightness between the pre-explosion and late-time sources detected at the position of SN 1999ev, we conclude the progenitor identification for this SN is unsafe and suggest the pre-explosion source may be a reddened host cluster; although the three-colour late-time imaging is insufficient to place a tight constraint on the age or reddening of such a cluster. The analysis of the pre-explosion and late-time observations of the site of SN 2006my have revealed that the source previously thought to be significantly offset from the SN position has disappeared. The astrometric coincidence of the residual in the difference image with the transformed SN position suggests it was the progenitor object.

Far from providing just simple confirmation of a progenitor’s identity (through its disappearance), our analysis shows late-time imaging is crucial for conducting a deeper and more precise analysis of the properties of a progenitor than is afforded by fortuitous pre-explosion observations alone. The power of the application of late-time imaging, for studying progenitors, is demonstrated by the significantly deeper detection limits that may be achieved by using artificial star tests in conjunction with image subtraction techniques.
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