The coexistence of MET over-expression and an EGFR T790M mutation is related to acquired resistance to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors in advanced non-small cell lung cancer
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ABSTRACT

MET overexpression and the EGFR T790M mutation are both associated with acquired resistance (AR) to epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors (EGFR-TKIs) in advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). We characterized the frequency, underlying molecular mechanisms, and subsequent treatment for AR in MET overexpressing NSCLC patients with or without the T790M mutation. The study participants were 207 patients with advanced NSCLC and AR to EGFR-TKIs. The percentages of MET-, T790M- and MET/T790M-positive patients were 20.3% (42/207), 34.8% (72/207) and 6.8% (14/207), respectively. The disease control rate was 100% (5/5) for five patients with MET overexpression who received EGFR-TKIs plus a MET inhibitor. Among the MET/T790M-positive patients, seven received EGFR-TKIs plus a MET inhibitor and four received a T790M inhibitor, but no response was observed. The median post-progression survival (PPS) was 14.1, 24.5, and 10.7 months for MET-overexpressing patients, T790M-positive patients, and MET/T790M-positive patients, respectively (P=0.044). c-Met, p-Met, ERBB3, and p-ERBB3 were highly expressed in MET-positive and MET/T790M-positive patients, but were poorly expressed in T790M-positive patients. EGFR, p-EGFR, AKT, p-AKT, MAPK, and p-MAPK were highly expressed in all three groups. These results suggest that MET/T790M-positive patients are at higher risk of AR to EGFR-TKIs, and have a worse PPS than patients with only MET overexpression or the T790M mutation alone. Clinical trials are needed to determine the best treatment for patients with both MET overexpression and the EGFR T790M mutation.

INTRODUCTION

Epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors (EGFR-TKIs), gefitinib, erlotinib, and afatinib, are effective therapeutic agents against non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with EGFR-activating mutations, such as the exon 19 deletion and the L858R point mutation [1]. However, almost all tumors will develop acquired resistance (AR) to EGFR-TKIs. The main causes of AR are gatekeeper mutations in EGFR (the T790M second-site mutation) or bypass signaling caused by MET overexpression [2, 3].

Several strategies have been developed to overcome T790M-mediated resistance, including treatment with afatinib in combination with cetuximab, and mutant-selective EGFR-TKIs, such as CO1686 and AZD9291 [4]. Mutant-selective EGFR-TKIs have activity not only against tumors containing exon19 deletions and the L858R mutation, but also against tumors with the T790M resistance mutation [5, 6].
MET pathway activation is another mechanism of AR to EGFR-TKIs. The MET pathway can be activated in several ways, such as MET gene amplification, protein overexpression, activating point mutations, and induction of its ligand, hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) [7, 8]. Recently, studies reported that tumors with MET 14 exon skipping responded well to crizotinib [9–13]. However, MET amplification and MET 14 exon skipping are relatively uncommon phenomena. Amplification of the MET oncogene has been reported in approximately 5–22% of patients with AR to EGFR-TKIs [3, 14–16]. It has been suggested that a combination of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and a MET inhibitor might be effective for overcoming resistance to EGFR-TKIs in NSCLC [3, 17]. A new MET-targeting inhibitor, INC280, has shown promising results in a phase I clinical trial reported at the 2014 American Society of Clinical Oncology meeting. This study combined gefitinib and INC280, and was used to treat EGFR mutant patients with AR in combination with MET amplification or MET overexpression [18].

Since MET overexpression and the EGFR T790M mutation are both important mechanisms of AR, it is important to consider MET status with or without T790M when designing clinical trials and managing clinical practice. The present study characterizes the frequency, efficacy, and molecular mechanisms of NSCLC in patients with AR and MET overexpression, with or without the EGFR T790M mutation.

**RESULTS**

The percentage of patients with acquired resistance to EGFR-TKIs

From January 2013 to October 2015, 207 advanced NSCLC patients with AR to gefitinib or erlotinib were prospectively enrolled in the study (Table S1). The percentage of MET-positive patients detected by IHC was 20.3% (42/207), the percentage of EGFR T790M mutation patients was 34.8% (72/207), the percentage of MET/T790M positive patients was 6.8% (14/207), and the percentage of patients with additional resistance mechanisms was 6.3% (13/207). In total, 66 of the 207 (34.1%) patients had no evidence of any resistance mechanism, for which we tested in our study. The percentages of each of the resistance mechanisms are shown in Figure 1.

**Baseline clinical and molecular characteristics**

The 128 patients with MET overexpression and/or T790M mutations were divided into three groups: a MET-protein overexpression group (n = 42), a T790M-positive group (n = 72), and a MET/T790M positive group (n = 14). The baseline clinicopathological and molecular characteristics of the three groups are listed in Table 1. Age, gender, smoking status, performance status, histology, EGFR mutation (the 19 deletion or the L858 mutation), and EGFR-TKI (gefitinib or erlotinib) were included. No differences were found in clinicopathological

---

**Figure 1:** Percentages of each cause of acquired resistance (AR) to epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors (EGFR-TKIs) in EGFR mutant non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)
or molecular characteristics among the three groups. Among the 42 MET overexpression patients, 4 received EGFR-TKIs plus crizotinib, 1 received axitinib, 24 enrolled in an INC280 clinical trial (NCT01610336), 1 enrolled in a volitinib clinical trial (NCT02374645), 1 continued erlotinib, 5 received chemotherapy and the other 6 patients were lost to follow-up. Among the 72 T790M positive patients, 13 enrolled in an avitinib clinical trial (NCT02274337), 2 enrolled in an AZD9291 clinical trial (NCT02094261), 1 received AZD9291 in clinical practice, 1 received afatinib, 8 continued erlotinib or gefitinib, 33 had chemotherapy and the other 13 patients were lost to follow-up. Among the 14 MET/T790M positive patients, 7 patients received EGFR-TKIs plus a MET inhibitor and the other 7 received chemotherapy.

### Treatment efficacy and survival

Five patients from the MET overexpression group showed good response to EGFR-TKIs plus the MET inhibitor. One of these patients received a multikinase inhibitor, axitinib. Partial response (PR) was achieved in 80% (4/5) of the patients, one patient attained Stable Disease (SD) and all received significant clinical benefit. The longest PFS of the five patients was 7.7 months. Notably, one patient had MET overexpression in a lung lesion and an EGFR T790M mutation in a liver lesion. This patient achieved a mixed response: PR in the lung lesions, but a Disease Progression (PD) in the liver lesions.

Seven patients with MET/T790M coexistence received EGFR-TKIs plus a MET inhibitor, with six patients receiving first generation EGFR-TKI (gefitinib) plus a MET inhibitor, and the other patient receiving a second generation EGFR-TKI (afatinib plus a MET inhibitor). None of these treatments resulted in a response or clinical benefit. Only one patient achieved SD and PFS of 5.7 months. The other four MET/T790M positive patients received a T790M inhibitor only (avitinib) with no response. Only one patient had a longer PFS of 8.3 months (Table S2).

Post-progression survival (PPS) was measured from the time of EGFR-TKI failure to death. The median PPS

### Table 1: Baseline clinical and molecular characteristics among patients that are MET protein over-expression, MET/T790M coexistence, and T790M positive

| Variable category                  | MET positive (n=42) | MET/T790M positive (n=14) | T790M positive (n=72) | P  |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|----|
| Age (median, range)               | 56 (32-78)         | 55 (21-78)                 | 54.5 (38-76)          |    |
| <65                               | 30 (71.4%)         | 12 (85.7%)                 | 60 (83.3%)            | 0.262 |
| ≥65                               | 12 (28.6%)         | 2 (14.3%)                  | 12 (16.7%)            |    |
| Gender                            |                    |                            |                       | 0.881 |
| Male                              | 16 (38.1%)         | 5 (35.7%)                  | 30 (41.7%)            |    |
| Female                            | 26 (61.9%)         | 9 (64.3%)                  | 42 (58.3%)            |    |
| Smoking status                    |                    |                            |                       | 0.486 |
| Smoker                            | 34 (81.0%)         | 12 (85.7%)                 | 53 (73.6%)            |    |
| Nonsmoker                         | 8 (19.0%)          | 2 (14.3%)                  | 19 (26.4%)            |    |
| ECOG PS                           |                    |                            |                       | 0.675 |
| ≤1                                | 41 (97.6%)         | 14 (100.0%)                | 69 (95.8%)            |    |
| ≥2                                | 1 (2.4%)           | 0 (0.0%)                   | 3 (4.2%)              |    |
| Histology                         |                    |                            |                       | 0.585 |
| Adenocarcinoma                    | 41 (97.6%)         | 13 (92.9%)                 | 68 (94.4%)            |    |
| Squamous                          | 0 (0.0%)           | 1 (7.1%)                   | 2 (2.8%)              |    |
| Adenosquamous                     | 1 (2.4%)           | 0 (0.0%)                   | 2 (2.8%)              |    |
| EGFR mutation                     |                    |                            |                       | 0.141 |
| DEL                               | 25 (59.5%)         | 11 (78.6%)                 | 51 (70.8%)            |    |
| L858R                             | 16 (38.1%)         | 2 (14.3%)                  | 21 (29.2%)            |    |
| Others                            | 1 (2.4%)           | 1 (7.1%)                   | 0 (0.0%)              |    |
| EGFR TKIs                         |                    |                            |                       | 0.479 |
| Gefitinib                         | 20 (47.6%)         | 7 (50.0%)                  | 43 (59.7%)            |    |
| Erlotinib                         | 21 (50.0%)         | 7 (50.0%)                  | 29 (40.3%)            |    |
| Other EGFR-TKI                    | 1 (2.4%)           | 0 (0.0%)                   | 0 (0.0%)              |    |

ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor;
of patients with MET protein overexpression, T790M positive, and MET/T790M positive were 14.1 (95% confidence interval [CI], 10.5–17.7), 24.5 months (95% CI, 15.0–34.0), and 10.7 (95% CI, 5.6–12.4), respectively (P=0.044; Figure 2).

**Signaling pathway activity**

To further investigate the molecular mechanisms of the different therapeutic effects on the three groups, several important markers of both the MET and EGFR signaling pathways were detected. Among 14 MET/T790M coexistence patients, only 9 had sufficient FFPE slides, so we can only examined the biomarkers in 9 patients from each group (Figure S2). The majority of patients were in advanced disease stage in this study, and lung biopsy was the main method used to obtain tumor specimens. c-Met, p-Met, ERBB3, and p-ERBB3 were highly expressed in the MET-protein overexpression group and the MET/T790M positive group, but poorly expressed in the T790M positive group. In contrast, EGFR, p-EGFR, AKT, p-AKT, MAPK, and p-MAPK were highly expressed in all three groups (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we are the first to propose that MET/T790M positive patients might be more susceptible to AR towards EGFR-TKIs. Patients with both MET overexpression and the T790M mutation did not respond to a c-MET inhibitor or a T790M inhibitor alone. Based on our clinical data, albeit with a small sample size, we suggest that these patients were not suitable for clinical trials using a first generation EGFR-TKI plus a MET inhibitor (such as the INC280 clinical trial) or T790M inhibitor only. Although the frequency of MET/T790M positive patients is low (6.8%), the absolute number is large enough that more attention should be paid to this patient group. It is therefore important to consider MET status with or without the T790M mutation when managing clinical practice and designing future clinical trials.

Patients with MET overexpression that occurred after AR to EGFR-TKIs achieved a good response to EGFR-TKIs plus a MET inhibitor. Three out of four cases achieved PR and one attained a stable disease state, consistent with previous studies. Engelman et al.
[3] reported that amplification of MET caused gefitinib resistance by inducing ERBB3 (HER3)-dependent activation of phosphoinositide 3-kinase [22, 23]. There are several ongoing clinical trials of MET-TKIs or MET monoclonal antibodies in combination with EGFR-TKIs, with a majority of trials selecting patients who overexpress MET. An ongoing phase I trial of a combination of INC280 and gefitinib showed good response in EGFR-TKIs resistant patients who had either MET amplification or MET overexpression [18]. Preclinical models also showed that EGFR-mutated cells with MET amplification responded to a combination of EGFR-TKIs and MET-TKIs, but were resistant to each agent given alone [24].

There is currently no clinical data on an effective therapy for patients with MET/T790M coexistence, although some preclinical investigations have recently been conducted to develop possible therapies. Bean [25] reported that a cell line, H820, contained EGFR mutations associated with the 19 deletion, T790M mutation, and MET amplification. These cells were resistant to erlotinib and an irreversible EGFR inhibitor, CL-387785, but sensitive to the multi kinase inhibitor, XL880. Nakagawa et al. [24], Xu et al. [26], and Nanjo et al. [27] established in vivo models of intrinsic resistance to reversible EGFR-TKIs with EGFRs involving the 19 deletion or the L858 and T790M mutations, as well as MET amplification. All of these studies found that targeting EGFR or MET alone did not produce significant tumor regression. However, a combination of a mutant-selective EGFR-TKI (a T790M inhibitor) and MET-TKI optimized both antitumor efficacy and safety. Nakagawa et al. [24] combined therapy with a next generation EGFR-TKI (WZ4002) and a MET-TKI (E7050), and Nanjo et al. [27] combined afatinib or WZ4002 with crizotinib.

To further investigate the molecular mechanisms of different responses to MET and T790M inhibitors, we selected nine cases from each group to characterize important markers of protein expression in the MET and EGFR signaling pathways. MET protein overexpression patients had markers of both EGFR and MET signaling activity. This is consistent with the clinical efficacy of first generation EGFR-TKIs combined with a MET inhibitor for the control of tumor growth in these patients. However, both EGFR and MET signaling pathways were also active in patients with MET/T790M coexistence, which might explain the clinical observations that the first generation EGFR-TKIs combined with a MET inhibitor or T790M inhibitor only were not efficacious. It might be useful to enroll MET/T790M positive patients in different clinical trials according to the markers for AR. If EGFR, p-EGFR, and T790M are positive, and only the EGFR signaling pathway is active, clinical trials involving the next generation EGFR-TKIs only (T790M inhibitor) would be recommended. In addition, if EGFR, p-EGFR,

**Table 2: Protein expression of c-Met, p-Met, HGF, EGFR, p-EGFR, ERBB3, p-ERBB3, AKT, p-AKT, MAPK, and p-MAPK in tumors that over-express MET protein, T790M positive tumors, and MET over-expressing/T790M positive tumors, as determined by IHC (n=9/group)**

| Biomarker | MET positive (n=9) | MET/T790M positive (n=9) | T790M positive (n=9) | P   |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----|
|           | n(positive)       | %                        | n(positive)         | %   | n(positive) | %   |       |
| EGFR      | 9                 | 100.0%                   | 9                   | 100.0% | 9           | 100.0% | 0.825 |
| p-EGFR    | 6                 | 66.7%                    | 7                   | 77.8%  | 7           | 77.8%  | 0.000 |
| T790M     | 0                 | 0.0%                     | 9                   | 100.0% | 9           | 100.0% | 0.000 |
| MET       | 9                 | 100.0%                   | 9                   | 100.0% | 0           | 0.0%   | 0.000 |
| p-MET     | 7                 | 77.8%                    | 5                   | 55.6%  | 0           | 0.0%   | 0.003 |
| HGF       | 3                 | 33.3%                    | 7                   | 77.8%  | 0           | 0.0%   | 0.003 |
| ERBB3     | 5                 | 55.6%                    | 8                   | 88.9%  | 2           | 22.2%  | 0.017 |
| p-ERBB3   | 4                 | 44.4%                    | 4                   | 44.4%  | 0           | 0.0%   | 0.058 |
| AKT       | 8                 | 88.9%                    | 8                   | 88.9%  | 7           | 77.8%  | 0.476 |
| p-AKT     | 4                 | 44.4%                    | 1                   | 11.1%  | 5           | 55.6%  | 0.127 |
| MAPK      | 6                 | 66.7%                    | 6                   | 88.9%  | 7           | 77.8%  | 0.837 |
| p-MAPK    | 6                 | 66.7%                    | 7                   | 77.8%  | 5           | 55.6%  | 0.607 |
T790M, MET, p-MET, HGF, ERBB3, and p-ERBB3 are positive, and both the EGFR and MET signal pathways are active, the patients could be enrolled in clinical trials of the next generation EGFR-TKIs (T790M inhibitor) plus a MET inhibitor (Figure S3).

The majority of studies have shown that the frequency of the T790M mutation in Asian populations is 40-50% [14, 15, 28]. We also observed that T790M mutation was the most common mechanism of EGFR-TKI resistance, representing 41.6% of all cases. Among these cases, the coexistence of a T790M mutation with MET overexpression was 6.8%. However, our present results suggest that the incidence of total MET amplification is higher than previously reported, with 27.1% positive patients, including 6.8% patients with the T790M mutation. Past studies reported this incidence at approximately 5–22% of AR patients detected by FISH [3, 14, 15]. Notably, we found 14 patients (6.8%) with MET/T790M coexistence. The occurrence of two resistant mechanisms with a small sample size has also been reported previously. For example, among 10 EGFR-TKI-resistant tumors with MET amplification, Bean et al. reported four tumors with the T790M mutation [25]. Ji et al. [28] and Yu et al. [29] reported 7.7% (2/26) and 2.7% (2/75) patients with MET/T790M coexistence, respectively. Moreover, previous reports suggested a reciprocal relationship between EGFR T790M and MET amplification [30]. One reason that the incidence of MET positive patients was higher than in previous studies is because MET protein overexpression was detected by IHC, and samples with ≥ 50% tumor cells with high intensity staining were defined as positive in our study. This observation was based on the INC280 clinical trial, which defined MET protein overexpression as a biomarker and showed promising results [18], so more patients might benefit from MET inhibitors if overexpression of the MET protein is used as a biomarker in future studies.

The ultimate purpose of this study was to optimize treatment decisions for AR patients. We emphasized the status of two major resistance mechanisms involving MET and T790M. Both MET and T790M patients can be selectively treated, and personalized treatment strategies are available for specific types of resistance. The incidence of MET and T790M-positive patients directly reflects those who have a chance to receive the MET or T790M inhibitors. However, patients with alterations in both pathways have a worse prognosis compared with patients with only one mechanism. Consequently, it is important to design prospective clinical trials to explore treatments and overcome resistance for these MET/T790M positive patients. A major limitation of this study was that a selective EGFR-TKI (T790M) combined with a MET tyrosine kinase inhibitor was not used to treat MET/T790M positive patients. In addition, unknown mechanisms of resistance were identified in 34.1% of AR patients. It is therefore necessary that future studies include comprehensive next-generation sequencing-based mutation profiling as well as protein and gene expression analyses to identify novel mechanisms of AR.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study design and evaluation**

We prospectively screened consecutive patients from January 2013 to October 2015 for EGFR mutations (the 19 deletion or the L858 mutation) at Guangdong Lung Cancer Institute (GLCI) Guangdong General Hospital (GGH). The study design is shown in Figure S1. We included patients with a documented clinical response to EGFR-TKI, or with a stable disease state sustained for at least 6 months. Advanced NSCLC patients with AR to EGFR-TKIs were assessed for MET overexpression and EGFR mutations (including T790M), as well as mutations in KRAS, ALK, and HER2. The 128 patients with MET overexpression and/or T790M mutation were divided into three groups: MET positive, T790M positive, and MET/T790M positive. Patients with MET protein overexpression were screened in the MET inhibitor clinical trial. Patients who were T790M positive were screened in the T790M inhibitor (such as AZD9291) clinical trial. Other patients received standard chemotherapy. c-MET, p-MET, EGFR, p-EGFR, receptor tyrosine-protein kinase erbB-3 (ERBB3), p-ERBB3, protein kinase B (AKT), p-AKT, mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), and p-MAPK, which are important markers in MET and EGFR signaling pathways, were detected by immunohistochemistry (IHC). The Institutional Review Board of GLCI of GGH approved this study, and all patients provided specimens with written informed consent. Objective responses were assessed every 6–8 weeks according to the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST1.1). Progression-free survival (PFS) was measured from the time of treatment with the EGFR-TKI plus MET inhibitor or T790M inhibitor to disease progression. Post-progression survival (PPS) was measured from the time of EGFR-TKI failure to death.

**EGFR and KRAS mutation analyses by direct sequencing**

Genomic DNA from each sample was used for sequence analysis of EGFR exons 18–21 and KRAS exons 2–3 (Figure 3C–3E). These exons were amplified by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) as previously described [19], and the resulting PCR products were purified and labeled for sequencing using the Big Dye 3.1 Kit (Applied Biosystems, San Francisco, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.
**ARMS (amplification-refractory mutation system)**

The ARMS method was used to evaluate EGFR mutation status in some cases. Genomic DNA from each sample was analyzed by Scorpion-ARMS using the EGFR RGQ PCR Kit or the therascreen® EGFR RGQ PCR Kit (Qiagen, Manchester, UK) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. ARMS detected exon 19 deletions, L858R mutations, T790M mutations, L861Q mutations, S768I mutations, G719X mutations, and exon 20 insertions [20].

**Fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) assays**

Interphase molecular cytogenetic studies using a commercially available ALK probe (Vysis LSI ALK Dual Color Break Apart Rearrangement Probe; Abbott Molecular, Abbott Park, IL, USA) were performed on 4 mm thick paraffin embedded sections. Samples were defined as FISH positive if more than 15% of scored tumor cells had split anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) 50 and 30 probe signals or isolated 30 signals [21] (Figure 3A).

**Immunohistochemistry**

IHC was performed to detect protein expression in serial sections from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumor samples, according to the protocols recommended by the manufacturer of the antibodies (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA). The dilutions of c-Met, p-Met, HGF, EGFR, p-EGFR, ERBB3, p-ERBB3, AKT, p-AKT, MAPK, and p-MAPK antibodies were 1:300, 1:160, 1:40, 1:100, 1:1600, 1:250, 1:900, 1:500, 1:100, 1:100, and 1:400, respectively. MET-positive (protein overexpression) was defined as ≥ 50% tumor cells with high intensity staining (Figure 3B). HGF, EGFR, ERBB3, AKT, and MAPK positive were defined as > 50% tumor cells with moderate to high intensity staining. p-Met, p-EGFR, p-ERBB3, p-AKT, and p-MAPK positive were defined as ≥ 5% tumor cells with high intensity staining.

**Statistical analysis**

The chi-square test was used to compare qualitative variables, and those with an expected frequency of < 5 were analyzed using Fisher’s exact test. A value of P<0.05 was statistically significant. Kaplan–Meier curves were used to estimate PPS and OS. Data analyses were conducted using SPSS for Windows software (ver. 13.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**CONCLUSIONS**

MET/T790M positive patients might be more susceptible to AR to EGFR-TKIs, with a worse PPS than patients with only MET overexpression or the T790M mutation alone. Both MET and EGFR signaling pathways are active in these patients, and first generation EGFR-TKIs combined with MET inhibitors or T790M inhibitors alone were not efficacious for these patients. Novel treatment strategies and clinical trials are therefore needed for MET/T790M positive patients.
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the participants and the staff of GLCI for their contribution to this research.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Key Laboratory Program of Guangdong (grant 2012A061400006), Guangdong Department of Science & Technology Translational Medicine Center (grant 2011A080300002), Research Found from Guangzhou Science and Technology Bureau (grant 2014y2-00050).

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

All authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Mok TS, Wu YL, Thongprasert S, Yang CH, Chu DT, Saijo N, Sunpawarvong P, Han B, Margono B, Ichinose Y, Nishiwaki Y, Ohe Y, Yang JJ. Gefitinib or carboplatin-paclitaxel in pulmonary adenocarcinoma. The New England journal of medicine. 2009; 361:947-957.

2. Kobayashi S, Boggon TJ, Dayaram T, Janne PA, Kocher O, Meyerson M, Johnson BE, Eck MJ, Tenen DG, Halmos B. EGFR mutation and resistance of non-small-cell lung cancer to gefitinib. The New England journal of medicine. 2005; 352:786-792.

3. Engelman JA, Zejnullahu K, Mitsudomi T, Song Y, Hyland C, Park JO, Lindeman N, Gale CM, Zhao X, Christensen J, Kosaka T, Holmes AJ, Rogers AM, et al. MET amplification leads to gefitinib resistance in lung cancer by activating ERBB3 signaling. Science. 2007; 316:1039-1043.

4. Janne PA, Yang JC, Kim DW, Planchard D, Ohe Y, Ramalingam SS, Ahn MJ, Kim SW, Su WC, Horn L, Haggstrom D, Felip E, Kim JH, et al. AZD9291 in EGFR inhibitor-resistant non-small-cell lung cancer. The New England journal of medicine. 2015; 372:1689-1699.

5. Walter AO, Sjin RT, Haringmaa HJ, Ohashi K, Sun J, Lee K, Dubrovskiy A, Labenski M, Zhu Z, Wang Z, Sheets M, St Martin T, Karp R, et al. Discovery of a mutant-selective covalent inhibitor of EGFR that overcomes T790M-mediated resistance in NSCLC. Cancer discovery. 2013; 3:1404-1415.

6. Tang Z, Du R, Jiang S, Wu C, Barkauskas DS, Richey J, Molter J, Lam M, Flask C, Gerson S, Dowlati A, Liu L, Lee Z, et al. Dual MET-EGFR combinatorial inhibition against T790M-EGFR-mediated erlotinib-resistant lung cancer. British journal of cancer. 2008; 99:911-922.

7. Trusolino L, Bertotti A and Comoglio PM. MET signalling: principles and functions in development, organ regeneration and cancer. Nature reviews Molecular cell biology. 2010; 11:834-848.

8. Gherardi E, Birchmeier W, Birchmeier C, Vande Woude G. Targeting MET in cancer: rationale and progress. Nature reviews Cancer. 2012; 12:89-103.

9. Liu X, Jia Y, Stoopler MB, Shen Y, Cheng H, Chen J, Mansukhani M, Koul S, Halmos B, Borczuk AC. Next-Generation Sequencing of Pulmonary Sarcomatoid Carcinoma Reveals High Frequency of Actionable MET Gene Mutations. Journal of clinical oncology. 2015.

10. Frampton GM, Ali SM, Rosenzweig M, Chmielecki J, Lu X, Bauer TM, Akimov M, Buffil JA, Lee C, Jentz D, Hoover R, Ou SH, Salgia R, et al. Activation of MET via diverse exon 14 splicing alterations occurs in multiple tumor types and confers clinical sensitivity to MET inhibitors. Cancer discovery. 2015; 5:850-859.

11. Paik PK, Drilon A, Fan PD, Yu H, Rekhtman N, Ginsberg MS, Borsu L, Schultz N, Berger MF, Rudin CM, Ladanyi M. Response to MET inhibitors in patients with stage IV lung adenocarcinomas harboring MET mutations causing exon 14 skipping. Cancer discovery. 2015; 5:842-849.

12. Jenkins RW, Oxnard GR, Elkin S, Sullivan EK, Carter JL, Barbie DA. Response to Crizotinib in a Patient With Lung Adenocarcinoma Harboring a MET Splice Site Mutation. Clinical lung cancer. 2015; 16:e101-104.

13. Awad MM, Oxnard GR, Jackman DM, Savukoski DO, Hall D, Shivdasani P, Heng JC, Dahlberg SE, Janne PA, Verma S, Christensen J, Hammerman PS, Sholl LM. MET Exon 14 Mutations in Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer Are Associated With Advanced Age and Stage-Dependent MET Genomic Amplification and c-Met Overexpression. Journal of clinical oncology. 2016.

14. Arcila ME, Oxnard GR, Nafa K, Riely GJ, Solomon SB, Zakowski MF, Kris MG, Pao W, Miller VA, Ladanyi M. Rebiopsy of lung cancer patients with acquired resistance to EGFR inhibitors and enhanced detection of the T790M mutation using a locked nucleic acid-based assay. Clinical cancer research. 2011; 17:1169-1180.

15. Sequist LV, Waltman BA, Dias-Santagata D, Digumarthy S, Turke AB, Fidias P, Bergheton K, Shaw AT, Gettinger S, Copser AK, Akhavanfard S, Heist RS, Temel J, et al. Genotypic and histological evolution of lung cancers acquiring resistance to EGFR inhibitors. Science translational medicine. 2011; 3:75ra26.

16. Oxnard GR, Arcila ME, Chmielecki J, Ladanyi M, Miller VA, Pao W. New strategies in overcoming acquired resistance to epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors in lung cancer. Clinical cancer research. 2011; 17:5530-5537.

17. Arteaga CL. HER3 and mutant EGFR meet MET. Nature reviews Cancer. 2007; 13:675-677.
19. Jiang SX, Yamashita K, Yamamoto M, Piao CJ, Umezawa A, Saegusa M, Yoshida T, Katagiri M, Masuda N, Hayakawa K, Okayasu I. EGFR genetic heterogeneity of nonsmall cell lung cancers contributing to acquired gefitinib resistance. International journal of cancer. 2008; 123:2480-2486.

20. Newton CR, Graham A, Heptinstall LE, Powell SJ, Summers C, Kalsheker N, Smith JC, Markham AF. Analysis of any point mutation in DNA. The amplification refractory mutation system (ARMS). Nucleic acids research. 1989; 17:2503-2516.

21. Rodig SJ, Mino-Kenudson M, Dacic S, Yeap BY, Shaw A, Barletta JA, Stubbs H, Law K, Lindeman N, Mark E, Janne PA, Lynch T, Johnson BE, et al. Unique clinicopathologic features characterize ALK-rearranged lung adenocarcinoma in the western population. Clinical cancer research. 2009; 15:5216-5223.

22. Ou SH, Kwak EL, Siwak-Tapp C, Dy J, Bergethon K, Clark JW, Camidge DR, Solomon BJ, Maki RG, Bang YJ, Kim DW, Christensen J, Tan W, et al. Activity of crizotinib (PF02341066), a dual mesenchymal-epithelial transition (MET) and anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) inhibitor, in a non-small cell lung cancer patient with de novo MET amplification. Journal of thoracic oncology. 2011; 6:942-946.

23. Camidge DR OS-H, Shapiro G, Otterson GA, Villaruz LC, Villalona Calero MA. Efficacy and safety of crizotinib in patients with advanced c-MET-amplified non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Journal of clinical oncology. 2013; 32:35s, 2014 (suppl; abstr 8001).

24. Nakagawa T, Takeuchi S, Yamada T, Nanjo S, Ishikawa D, Sano T, Kita K, Nakamura T, Matsumoto K, Suda K, Mitsudomi T, Sekido Y, Yenaka T, et al. Combined therapy with mutant-selective EGFR inhibitor and Met kinase inhibitor for overcoming erlotinib resistance in EGFR-mutant lung cancer. Molecular cancer therapeutics. 2012; 11:2149-2157.

25. Bean J, Brennan C, Shih JY, Riely G, Viale A, Wang L, Chitale D, Motto N, Soko J, Broderick S, Balak M, Chang WC, Yu CJ, et al. MET amplification occurs with or without T790M mutations in EGFR mutant lung tumors with acquired resistance to gefitinib or erlotinib. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007; 104:20932-20937.

26. Xu L, Kikuchi E, Xu C, Ebi H, Ercan D, Cheng KA, Padera R, Engelman JA, Janne PA, Shapiro GI, Shimamura T, Wong KK. Combined EGFR/MET or EGFR/HSP90 inhibition is effective in the treatment of lung cancers co-driven by mutant EGFR containing T790M and MET. Cancer research. 2012; 72:3302-3311.

27. Nanjo S, Yamada T, Nishihara H, Takeuchi S, Sano T, Nakagawa T, Ishikawa D, Zhao L, Ebi H, Yasumoto K, Matsumoto K, Yano S. Ability of the Met kinase inhibitor crizotinib and new generation EGFR inhibitors to overcome resistance to EGFR inhibitors. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e84700.

28. Ji W, Choi CM, Rho JK, Jang SJ, Park YS, Chun SM, Kim WS, Lee JS, Kim SW, Lee DH, Lee JC. Mechanisms of acquired resistance to EGFR-tyrosine kinase inhibitor in Korean patients with lung cancer. BMC cancer. 2013; 606.

29. Yu HA, Arcila ME, Rekhtman N, Sima CS, Zakowski MF, Pao W, Kris MG, Miller VA, Ladanyi M, Riely GJ. Analysis of tumor specimens at the time of acquired resistance to EGFR-TKI therapy in 155 patients with EGFR-mutant lung cancers. Clinical cancer research. 2013; 19:2240-2247.

30. Suda K, Murakami I, Katayama T, Tomizawa K, Osada H, Sekido Y, Maehara Y, Yatabe Y, Mitsudomi T. Reciprocal and complementary role of MET amplification and EGFR T790M mutation in acquired resistance to kinase inhibitors in lung cancer. Clinical cancer research. 2010; 16:5489-5498.