Management of intercondylar fractures of the distal humerus in adults using bi-columnar plating through trans-olecranon approach
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ABSTRACT

Background: Inter-condylar fractures of the distal humerus are uncommon injuries and present the most difficult challenge among fractures of the lower end of the humerus. The principle involves anatomical reduction and fixation with stabilization of extra-osseous implants. The functional outcome has been greatly influenced by the early graduated rehabilitative exercise. We aimed to evaluate the functional outcome of inter-condylar fractures of the distal humerus in adults using bi-columnar plating through a trans-olecranon approach.

Methods: A prospective study with 40 adult patients with inter-condylar fracture of the distal humerus were managed with bi-columnar plating through a trans-olecranon approach and were followed up for 12 months. The functional outcome was analysed by Mayo’s Elbow Performance Score (MEPS) at the end of 12 months follow up.

Results: The average age of study participants were 50.8 years. A total of 24 cases were due to RTA and 16 cases were due to direct falls. Out of 40 cases, 6 (15%) were of B1 type, 2 (5%) were of type B2, 12 (30%) were of C1, 10 (25%) of C2, and 10 (25%) were of the type of C3 according to AO classification. According to MEPS at the end of the 12th-month follow-up, excellent results were seen in 24 (60%), good in 8 (20%), fair in 6 (15%), and poor results in 2 (5%) cases.

Conclusions: Anatomically, bi-columnar plating for distal humerus is useful in providing stable fixation for complex distal articular fractured by trans-olecranon approach and facilitating early postoperative rehabilitation. Clinical and radiological results showed a good healing rate with a good range of motion.
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INTRODUCTION

Approximately 7% of all adult fractures involve the elbow, of these one-third involve the distal humerus.¹ Distal humerus fractures, therefore comprise around 2% of all fractures.²,³ Distal humerus fractures remain one of the most challenging injuries to manage.⁴ They are commonly multi-fragmented, occur in osteopenia bone, and have complex anatomy with limited options for internal fixation.

Treatment outcomes are often associated with elbow stiffness, weakness, and pain. A painless, stable, and mobile elbow joint is desired as it allows the hand to conduct the activities of daily living. Depending upon the comminution and displacement, open reduction and internal fixation with 1/3 tubular plate, pre-contoured LCP, reconstruction plate, Kirschner wire, and double tension band wiring can be done individually or in combination.⁷–⁹ The result of operative fixation of fractures of the distal humerus remained unpredictable until improved techniques for the fixation of small, articular
fractures as developed by the Arbeitsgemeinschaft fur Osteosynthesefragen/association for the study of internal fixation (AO/ASIF) and others were applied.\textsuperscript{10,11}

With the available literature, fixation with two plates at 90 degrees angle with one another has become the standard of care.\textsuperscript{12,13} Despite the confidence in surgical fixation of distal humerus fractures, these fractures remain challenging to treat effectively by surgeons with interest and experience in skeletal trauma involving the upper extremity. A surgeon treating a healthy active patient with a fracture of the distal humerus should make every attempt to reconstruct and preserve the distal humerus.\textsuperscript{14,15} The quality of elbow function following inter-condylar fractures is related to the degree to which normal anatomic relationships are restored. Residual elbow stiffness remains the worst complication of inter-condylar fractures as it is poorly tolerated because of the lack of compensatory motions in adjacent joints.\textsuperscript{13}

We aimed to evaluate the functional outcome of surgical management of intercondylar fracture of the distal humerus in adults by bi-columnar plating through the trans-olecranon approach.

METHODS

After getting IEC approval, we recruited 40 cases of intercondylar fracture of the distal humerus from July 2016 to June 2019 satisfying the defined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Patients age above 18 years and with intercondylar fracture of the distal end of the humerus have been included in the study. Patients with compound fractures of the distal humerus and old fractures of the distal humerus have been excluded from the study.

A thorough clinic-radiological examination was performed before the surgical management. CT scan of the distal humerus was performed to study the fracture pattern, comminution of fragments, and articular congruity. All cases were treated surgically with orthogonal bi-columnar plating construct to reconstruct the fracture fragments. All the patients were followed up at the end of 1, 3, 6, and 12 months with radiological examination and functional evaluation with Mayo Elbow Performance Score (MEPS).

The descriptive statistics were reported as mean (SD) for continuous variables, frequencies (percentage) for categorical variables. Data were statistically evaluated with IBM Statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) Statistics for windows, version 25.0, IBM Corp, Chicago, IL. The p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

A total of 40 cases of intercondylar fractures of the distal humerus were treated by open reduction and internal fixation with a pre-contoured bicolumnar locking compression plate through a trans-olecranon approach.

Figure 1: Surgical management of distal humerus with bi-columnar plating through a trans-olecranon approach. (A) Exposure of fracture site after olecranon osteotomy. (B) Distal humerus fracture reconstructed using bi-columnar plating. (C) Reduction of osteotomized fragments. (D) Tension band wiring of olecranon using K wires.

Figure 2: Pre- and post-surgical radiographs of left distal humerus fracture with left proximal ulnar fracture. (A) Preoperative X-ray of left elbow. (B) Immediate post-operative X-ray of the left elbow with bi-columnar plating and proximal ulnar fracture with plating. (C): 3 months follow-up X-ray. (D) 12 months follow-up X-ray.

The range of age was between 22-70 years, with a mean age of 50.8 years. The maximum incidence was in the fourth (n=14) and fifth (n=8) decades. Males (70%) outnumbered females (30%) in this series. A total of 16 cases (40%) were due to direct fall injury and 24 cases (60%) were due to road traffic accidents.
There were no cases of intraoperative complications. In postoperative period, 5 cases reported complications such as ulnar neuropathy [(n=1, 2.5%), spontaneous recovery after 8 weeks], non-union [(n=1, 2.5%), treated with bone grafting], superficial infections [(n=2, 5%), treated with IV and oral antibiotics according to the culture sensitivity report], and implant failure [(n=1, 2.5%), back-out of 6.5mm AO cannulated screw in TBW in olecranon osteotomy after one year of surgery in that case TBW was removed]. In the present study, at the end of 12 months, there were 24 cases (60%) had excellent results, 8 (20%) had good results, 6 (15%) had fair and 2 (5%) had poor results as per Mayo Elbow Performance scoring system as shown in the table.

In the present series, according to the AO classification of distal humerus fractures, there were 6 (15%) cases of type B1 fractures, 2 (5%) cases of type B2 fractures, 12 (30%) cases of type C1 fractures, 10 (25%) cases of C2 fractures and 10 (25%) cases of type C3 fractures. Out of 40 cases, 7 cases were reported with associated injuries [1 ulnar #, 1 distal end radius #, 1 radial nerve palsy, 1 elbow dislocation, 1 chest trauma, and 2 head injuries] which were addressed and treated appropriately.

### DISCUSSION

Intercondylar fractures of the distal humerus are difficult to treat because of the nature of the injury and the fact that most surgeons do not have a great deal of experience with them.\textsuperscript{16,17} Most intra-articular fractures of the distal humerus are often displaced and therefore the successful treatment demands an anatomic reduction, stable fixation, and the ability to allow early elbow motion.\textsuperscript{18–20} This can be safely achieved by stabilization of fracture fragments with plate osteosynthesis based on restoration of joint congruity.

Previous treatment methods of closed reduction with immobilization, traction, and limited internal fixation have caused significant functional impairment with loss of range of movement. Hence, it is now generally accepted that the most favorable outcome of displaced intra-articular fractures is provided by surgical reconstruction.\textsuperscript{4}

Different approaches have been described for type C distal humerus fracture repair.\textsuperscript{19,22} The posterior approach has been used by many surgeons because it exposes the articular surface of the distal humerus sufficiently.\textsuperscript{22,23}

Although various approaches have been used for the reduction and fixation of distal humeral fractures, the posterior approach through an olecranon osteotomy is the most widely used.\textsuperscript{21,24–26} This approach provides excellent visualization, particularly of the distal articular fragments and the plate fixation.\textsuperscript{27–30} In this study, a posterior approach with chevron-V-shaped olecranon osteotomy was done in most of the cases. In our study, we did not observe any cases of non-union of olecranon osteotomy. The use of chevron-V osteotomy has decreased the incidence of non-union. All fractures as well as the chevron-V osteotomy united by 10-16 weeks.
In this study, we used bi-columnar locking compression plates to reconstruct both the medial and lateral columns as the locking plates provide a fixed plate screw construct with multiple screw options for easy application in distal complex fractures thereby providing angular stability. There is no consensus that whether the orthogonal or parallel plating is superior for fixation.\textsuperscript{31-33} We used orthogonal plating because it provides better mechanical stability although it requires more extensive soft tissue dissection. The functional outcome was based upon the Mayo Elbow Performance Score which was excellent in 24 patients (60%), good in 8 patients (20%), fair in 6 patients (10%), and poor in 2 patients (5%).

**CONCLUSION**

Anatomically, bi-columnar plating for distal humerus is useful in providing stable fixation for complex distal articular fracture by trans-olecranon approach and facilitating early postoperative rehabilitation to improve the functional quality of life.
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