A stochastic model to analyze water flow in Mettur Dam using limiting distribution at the ruin time
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Abstract
Despite the fact that the measures of water assets are sufficient for the whole world, the appropriation of them in existence shows uneven pattern [1]. Dams play a vital role in reservoir water for use in times of need. Although many of the dams have been built, the shortage of water has always been there. In this paper we are going to elaborate on the reasons for this and the solution. This learning inspects the day by day inflow and outflow of water in Mettur Dam from June 2000 to May 2001 and reveals that whether or not this water is useful for people’s livelihood. In this paper, we show that asymptotical of the hypothesis in Gaussian procedures permit us to acquire estimates for the time of break and as well compare with water flow in Mettur Dam.
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1. Introduction
Water is the fundamental wellspring of day by day life for every living thing. So putting away such water is one of the most significant assignments of each individual in our lives. Dams have a huge job in putting away water. Mettur Dam is a significant wellspring of agriculture in Tamil Nadu and particular in the Delta Districts.

Consider a Gaussian procedure \( X(t), t \geq 0 \), here mean zero with variance \( V^2(t) \), presumptuous that \( V^2(t) \), be often diverging on \( \infty \) by index \( 2H, 0 < H < 1 \). Rent out the curvature of \( X \) be there almost sure continuous with \( X(0) = 0 \) almost sure. Obtain \( \beta > H, c > 0 \).

In [6] it is measured underneath further limitations the ruin probability

\[
P\{\sup (X(t) - ct^\beta) > u}\tag{1.1}
\]

Intended for \( u \to \infty \) the bounding risk of (1.1), be derivative for a definite group of gaussian procedures, it contains self-related gaussian procedures with FBM. Different casings are conferred for occurrence into \([4,9]\). Note in \([2,3,5,10]\) for standard hazard models just as hazard models annoyed by dispersion. We exhibit a quite common CLT for \( \tau_u \) as \( u \to \infty \), specified that the ruin happens, that is \( \tau_u < \infty \). Into different settings for example, in media communications these models \( X(t) - ct^\beta \) are measured for the capacity at point in time \( t \).

2. Main results
As talked about in [6], it is further expedient to assume the group of Gaussian procedures

\[
X^{(U)}(s) = \frac{X\left(s^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\right)}{V\left(s^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\right)(1 + cs^\beta)}, s > 0.
\]
By altering time \( t = su^\beta \), we have
\[
P \left\{ \sup_{t \geq 0} \left( X(t) - ct^\beta \right) > u \right\} = P \left\{ \sup_{t \geq 0} (u) > \frac{u}{V(u^\beta)} \right\}
\]
And \( \tau_u = u^\beta \tau \), where\( \tau = \inf \left\{ s \geq 0 : \frac{u}{V(u^\beta)} - X(s) \leq 0 \right\} \) (2.1)

That is, \( \tau \) indicates the time of ruin the changed time. Therefore the procedure \( X(s) \) is not normalized and the variance equivalents to \( v_u^{-2}(s) \), whenever
\[
v_u(s) = \frac{v(s)V(u^\beta)}{V(u^\beta)}\]

by \( v(s) = c \gamma - H + s^{-H} \), and by hypothesis,
\[
\frac{s^H V(u^\beta)}{V(u^\beta)} = 1 \quad \text{as} \quad u \to \infty \quad (2.2)
\]

Consistently in \( s \) in some limited stretch not including 0, we necessitate a stronger presumption lying on \( V \). Characterize \( A(u) = \min v_u(s) \) and \( s_0(u) = \arg \min v_u(s) \). In the midst of
\[
A = v(s_0) = \left( \frac{\beta - \gamma}{\beta H} \right)^{H/\beta} \]
\[
B = v''(s_0) = \frac{H \beta}{(\beta H - H + \gamma)^{(H + 2)/\beta}}
\]

Obviously, (2.2) means as \( u \to \infty \) on the time of ruin suppose the subsequent stipulations on the Gaussian procedure \( X(t) \) as definite in [7]

A1 \[
(v_u(s) - A(u))(s - s_0(u))^2 \to \frac{B}{2} \quad (2.3)
\]
As \( u \to \infty \) consistently for \( s \) is a neighborhood of \( s_0 \).

A2 Consent to a function \( K^2(h) \), normally shifting at zero through the index \( \alpha \in (0, 2] \), to such an extent that
\[
\lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{\left[ v_u(s) X(u)(s) - v_u(s) X(u)'(s') \right]^2}{(|s - s'|^2) K^2} = D
\]

Note that \( X(u)(s) v_u(s) \) is a normalized Gaussian procedure.

A3 For each \( G, \gamma > 0 \) and each \( s', s > 0 \)
\[
\limsup_{u \to \infty} E \left( X(u)(s) - X(u)'(s') \right)^2 \leq |s - s'|^G \tag{2.4}
\]

Theorem 2.1. Let \( X(t), t \geq 0 \), be a Gaussian procedure by means of mean zero and variance \( V^2(t) \), being often diverging at \( \infty \) by index \( 2H, 0 < H < 1 \). Suppose A1 – A3, then \( P \left( \left( \tau_u - u^\beta s_0(u) \right) / \sigma(u) < x \mid \tau_u < \infty \right) \to \phi(x) \)

seeing that \( u \to \infty \), for every \( x \) wherever
\[
\sigma(u) := (AB)^{-1/2} V \left( u^\beta \right) u^{-1+1/\beta}
\]

and \( \phi \) is the standard normal distribution function.

Remark 2.2. 1. A similar outcome follows from the proof holds likewise for the arg max \( \tau_0 \) \( X(t) - ct^\beta \), considering from [8], which expresses that the total maximum point of a Gaussian procedure is almost sure unique.

2. Observe that, \( \sigma(u) \) may be liable to infinity or 0 contingents upon the sign of
\[
-1 + 1/\beta + H/\beta
\]

3. For the situation \( H = \beta \) the measures of \( V(t) \) could be with the end goal that the possibility of \( \tau_u < \infty \) is as yet optimistic for some \( u \). The investigation of this casing is most likely quite unique with different procedures referenced in [7] are vital.

3. Linear drift on Fractional Brownian motion

Theorem 2.1 preserve be utilized to the procedure \( B_H(t) - ct \), wherever \( B_H \) is the FBM by means of Hurst parameter \( H \), which measured in business and correspondence representations. We have \( \beta = 1 \), \( V(t) = t^H, s_0(u) = s_0, A = A(u) \), consequently \( A_1 - A_3 \) hold, inferring Theorem 2.1. So that
\[
P \left( C \left( \tau_u - s_0(u) \right) u^{-H} < x \mid \tau_u < \infty \right) \to \phi(x)
\]

because \( u \to \infty \), for any \( x \). Perceive that in view of the fact that we accept \( A_1 \) in Lemma 3 of [6], is as yet legitimate in the current states. Without a doubt, for the situation \( |s - s_0(u)| \leq \delta \) one can legitimately utilize Lemma 3 of [6].

4. Simulation study

We have taken the day by day inflow and outflow rate of water reading at 8.00 am of Mettur Dam from June 2000 to May 2001. Table 1 presents month wise average inflow and outflow of water (in cusecs) by utilizing the information from public work department as demonstrated as follows. The Figure 1
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Table 1. Average of Flow rate of Water in Mettur Dam during the water year 2000-2001

| Year     | Month | Average of Water Flow (in cusecs) | Inflow | Outflow |
|----------|-------|----------------------------------|--------|---------|
| 2000     | June  | 1000                             | 820    | 1000    |
|          | June  | 1000                             | 820    | 1000    |
|          | July  | 6441                             | 14844  |         |
|          | August| 14503                            | 10410  |         |
| 2000     | September | 23274                         | 11910  |         |
|          | October| 42645                            | 31004  |         |
|          | November| 9886                           | 13816  |         |
|          | December| 7124                            | 14324  |         |
|          | January| 1742                             | 8606   |         |
|          | February| 1513                           | 1784   |         |
| 2001     | March  | 1535                             | 1526   |         |
|          | April  | 3161                             | 1091   |         |
|          | May    | 2378                             | 1310   |         |

Figure 1. Flow rate of Water in Mettur Dam during the Water year 2000 – 2001 using PWD Data

gives the data about the water level in Mettur Dam between June 2000 and May 2001 utilizing the information from the Table 1. It shows the normal inflow and outflow of water level over this a year time frame. By and large it very well may be seen from the figure that there was insufficient water in the long stretches of June and July. It can be observed that, in the period of October 2000, the inflow of water arrives at its greatest. After February 2001 there was no perceptible inflow and outflow of water in Mettur Dam. We apply the focuses got from Figure 1 in the SPSS and utilize these parameter values in the first time point of hitting then we achieve Figure 2.

5. Conclusion

The water need is expanding with overwhelming industrial and agricultural necessities, while accessible water in the world stays as a fixed source [1]. It is uncommon for the measure of water required for agriculture to be appropriately dispersed at the ideal time. In the year we have taken, especially in the period of October, the inflow rate is approximately 120 TMC and the outflow rate is about 87 TMC. Because of the rainstorm time frame, this water isn’t utilized for agriculture and is squandered in the ocean. Assume Mettur Dam is of adequate limit, for the period of times of bounty water stream, dams store up water in the reservoir then they liberate water for the duration of times of stumpy stream. Be that as it may, the Mettur dam doesn’t have ability to store abundance water at short spans because of storm. On the off chance that the government finds a way to hold the overabundance water, the dam can be opened on twelfth June consistently in order to improve agriculture in Tamil Nadu.

In this paper we have discussed the main results of group of Gaussian procedures with zero-mean and fractional Brownian motion with linear trend. At last long from the Figures (1) and (2), we end that the mathematical model indistinguishable with the departmental report.

Figure 2. Flow rate of Water in Mettur Dam Using Limiting Distribution at the Ruin Time
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