TAP Block in Children: Does Inflammation Affect on Pain Score?
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Abstract

Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate of analgesic effect of ultrasound guided transversus abdomines plane (TAP) block in children undergoing open laparotomy surgery and compare to children were used general anesthesia (GA) alone. Method: 60 children aged 3-18 years with ASA I-III classification were randomly enrolled in this study. We divided these children in to two groups: control group (GA alone, n=31) and study group (GA+TAP block, n=29) and also divided each group in two subgroups which were with inflammation and without inflammation. All children were received GA with same techniques. Study group (TAP block group) were assigned to receive ultrasound guided block in both side of abdomen using 0.3 ml/kg of Bupivacaine 0.25%, but not more than 12 ml in each side. Hemodynamic variables such as heart rate, blood pressure were measured at baseline (T₀), after induction (T₁), after skin incision (T₂), and end of surgery (T₃), and in the recovery room or ICU, at the 2nd, 4th, 6th hours postoperatively and examined pain intensity and analgesic requirement and frequency, also correlation between inflammatory process and pain intensity were studied. Statistic analysis was made in Excel using ANOVA, descriptive analysis, correlation and regression analysis. A p-value<0.05 was considered significant. Results: There was no differences between the two studied groups regarding demographic and clinical characteristics. Intraoperative heart rate elevation was higher in GA group with an inflammation (13.3%) and the systolic blood pressure changes was higher (4.2%) after skin incision than study group and GA group without inflammation. Level of WBC had very weak positive and statistically significant correlation with intraoperative opioid usage (GA+Inf: r=0.029, p=0.023; TAP+Inf: r=0.18, p=0.016; GA-Inf: r=0.018, p=0.014) but there was not observed correlation in TAP-Inf group. Postoperative pain score was higher in control group and an analgesic requirement and frequency was much more. Conclusion: Transversus abdomines plane block under ultrasound guidance was easy, safe, reliable and effective analgesic in children undergoing open laparotomy surgery and TAP block is a good component of postoperative multimodal analgesia. The inflammatory process increases pain intensity and intra and postoperative analgesic requirements.
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Introduction

Pain assessment, recognition, physiological and psychological response to pain reception and emotional reaction to pain are different in children regarding to age. Pain management in children depends on multi factors such as neurobiological development to the pain response, the knowledge of pharmacokinetical differences of the pain medicine, an improvement of the methods of pain assessment tools in each age group, and usage of evidence based, safe methods in the clinical practice.¹³

During the surgery arise systemic metabolic and neuro-hormonal complex stress response from local surgical trauma by afferent neural tract and involving the central nervous system.

High dose of opioid may depress hemodynamic and can depress immune function in a dose-dependent fashion, suppress ventilation and cough reflex, and prolong ileus and nausea, which all can delay recovery. All abdominal surgical procedures are followed by pain, which also amplify endocrine metabolic response, autonomic reflexes, nausea and ileus and muscle spasm, and thereby delay restoration of function. Therefore, optimal multimodal treatment of postoperative pain is mandatory in order to enhance recovery and reduce morbidity. The keys of good outcome for the vast majority of patients are ‘good analgesia and early mobilization.’ General anesthesia with regional blocks has been shown to inhibit the stress responses to surgery and can influence outcome its beneficial effects on organ function.⁶

Major abdominal surgery such as laparotomy and various forms of abdominal wall repair is often associated with severe postoperative pain.⁷ The mean component of postoperative pain arises from surgical incisional wound of the abdominal wall. Abdominal wall block is one method of multimodal analgesia to decrease postoperative pain and abdominal muscle spasm.
Successful regional anesthesia relies on the accurate location of the target nerve, accurate placement of the needle in close proximity to the nerve and accurate volume and the placement of local anesthetic solution around the nerve.\(^8\)

The present study was undertaken to evaluate the effectiveness of transversus abdominis plane (TAP) block for open laparotomy surgery in children with or without peritonitis.

**Materials and methods**

1) **Ethical statement:** Ethical approval for this study was acquired from the Research Ethics Committee (Ethical Committee No 6/3/2015 06) of Mongolian National University of Medical Science on 21 January 2015. Parents of all children were informed verbally the purpose and content of the study before the surgery and signed written informed consent form.

2) **Study design:** Children between 3-18 years of ages, ASA (American Society of Anesthesiologists) I-III class, admitted to the General Surgical departments for elective and emergency surgeries such as peritonitis, liver and intestinal surgeries were included in this prospective, controlled randomized study at the National Center for Mother and Child Health of Mongolia from February 2015 to January 2017.

3) **Data collection:** A total 72 children were enrolled in this study. Children with allergy to local anesthetics, ASA classification IV and more, skin infection at the injection site, and those who refused to participate in the study were excluded from the study. 36 patients were collected from each elective (without inflammation) and emergency (with inflammation) cases and randomized them by sealed envelopes which were numbered sequentially, into two groups: control or general anesthesia group (GA) and study group or general anesthesia with TAP block group (TAP).

Before induction all children were done white blood cell count (WBC). No premedication were done. After establishing venous access, 5 mg/kg of Theopental sodium (№ 4602565020385, Kurgan, Russia), 2 mcg/kg of fentanyl (№ 280715, 09052 Moscow, Russia) and 0.5 mg/kg of Atracrium (Tracrium, Atracrium besylate W277, Glaxo Smithkline Manufacturing S.p.A Parma, Italy) were given to all children. All children were ventilated mechanically via endotracheal tube using volume controlled ventilation mode, calculating tidal volume was 6ml/kg. Anesthesia was maintained with 1-1.5 MAC isoflurane in air/O\(_2\) (Fi\(_O\)_2-0.4).

In the TAP group skin was disinfected both side of the abdomen after induction. A 22G Quenke spinal needle was used to all children who received TAP block under direct visualization of the needle tip by using ultrasound machine (Philips Sparq ultrasound machine) with high frequency linear array transducer 4-12 MZ. The transducer was placed transversely at the midaxillary line between the lower rib and the iliac crest. After identifying the needle tip, internal oblique and transversus muscle aponeurosis, the children were injected anterior to posteriorly 0.3 ml/kg of bupivacaine 0.25% (bupivacaine injection C.P., Anawin 0.5%, Batch№ 51239, Neon Laboratories Limited 28, Mahal Ind. Est., Laves Rd, Andheri (East), Mumbai-400093, India) between

In the TAP skin was disinfected both side of the abdomen after induction. A 22G Quenke spinal needle was used to all children who received TAP block under direct visualization of the needle tip by using ultrasound machine (Philips Sparq ultrasound machine) with high frequency linear array transducer 4-12 MZ. The transducer was placed transversely at the midaxillary line between the lower rib and the iliac crest. After identifying the needle tip, internal oblique and transversus muscle aponeurosis, the children were injected anterior to posteriorly 0.3 ml/kg of bupivacaine 0.25% (bupivacaine injection C.P., Anawin 0.5%, Batch№ 51239, Neon Laboratories Limited 28, Mahal Ind. Est., Laves Rd, Andheri (East), Mumbai-400093, India) between internal oblique and transversus muscle aponeurosis (Figure 1.a and Figure 1.b.).

Before the skin incision all children were received 20 mg/kg BW of Acetaminophen or 2-3 mg/kg BW of Diclofenac sodium suppositories per rectum.

Intraoperative monitoring included ECG, heart rate, pulse oximetry and NIBP before (baseline) and after induction, immediately after surgical skin incision and at the end of the operation. If the blood pressure and heart rate increased more than 20% or/and every 30 minutes has been administered of Fentanyl 1-0.5 mcg/kg of BW according to the duration of the surgery in the control group.

The efficacy of postoperative analgesia was measured using Wong-Baker facial pain score (Figure 2).\(^9\)
When the pain score was four or more the children had received acetaminophen 20 mg/kg rectally or other pain relief medicines.

Pain assessment was made in a recovery room or ICU and at 2nd, 4th, 6th postoperative hours. Postoperative pain evaluation was performed by ICU, recovery room and surgical ward nurses, anesthesiologists and residents who was not involved in the study and who were blinded to the study groups. To prevent false positive or negative result all children were covered both side of the abdomen with tape.

The aim of our study is to assess effectiveness of the TAP block for pain relief in children undergoing open laparotomy with or without peritonitis.

4. Outcomes

The primary outcomes are intraoperative hemodynamic changes, opioid usage, postoperative pain score and the time of the first rescue pain medication in each group. The secondary outcome is correlation between WBC count and pain intensity of both study and control group.

5. Statistical analysis

The study was powered to find the predicted difference between groups failure rate was 20% as in our previous study. A type one error protection of 0.05 and a power of 0.80, and 36 patients in each group were required for appropriate study power. Patient’s characteristic, and hemodynamic variables between the groups were compared using an independent Student’s t-test. Mean changes of BP and HR were analyzed by using two-way analysis of variance with repeated measurements, followed by ANOVA for multiple comparisons. Correlation was calculated using the Pearson correlation coefficient. The tables show as a mean ±SD and number (percentage) of subjects. A p-value<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Seventy two patients were enrolled in the study but completed sixty patients. Twelve children dropped out the study because of parent’s refusal, allergy to LA, changed surgical and anesthesia plan. 31 patients in control group, 29 patients in study group completed the study.

Sixty children with mean age 11.2±4 (range 3-18, p=0.2) years and body weight of 35.4±13.6 (range 13-70) kg, were included in the study. The patient characteristics were similar in all groups with regard to age, weight, height, BMI and the usage of fentanyl and muscle relaxant intraoperatively. However, the surgical (p=0.0003), anesthesia time (p=0.002) and WBC count (p=0.0002) were different statistically significant (Table 1).

WBC count was higher in children with peritonitis which need emergency laparotomy (with Inflammation: 15.72±6.9 [CI 95%, 5.1-32.5]) than who needed elective surgery (without inflammation: 9.025±3.9 [CI 95%, 3.5-19]).

Intraoperative opioid usage was statistically significant and very weak relationship with WBC in the inflammatory (GA+Inf and TAP+Inf) and GA-Inf groups, whereas TAP-Inf group there was relationship (TAP-Inf: r=0.27, p=0.38) but statistically insignificant (Table 2).

Table1: Demographic and intraoperative data

| Demographic data* (Student t test*) | Control group (n=31) | Study group (n=29) | p- value |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------|
|                                    | n=60 GA+Inf (n=15)  | GA-Inf (n=16)     | TAP+Inf (n=15) | TAP-Inf (n=14) |         |
| Age (year)                         | 11.2±4              | 10±4.2            | 10.1±4.4      | 13±3.2          | 11.5±3.4 | 0.2     |
| Gender (male/female)               | 35/25               | 10               | 8/7           | 7/9             | 10/4     |         |
| Body weight (kg)                   | 35.4±13.6           | 35.9±16.7        | 31.2±14       | 39.3±11.9       | 35.6±10.8 | 0.42 |
| Height (cm)                        | 137.3±18.4          | 135.8±20.3       | 132.9±21.1    | 144.2±14.7      | 136.4±16.8 | 0.37 |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)            | 18.3±3.3            | 18.8±4.4         | 17.3±2.9      | 18.4±3.1        | 18.7±2.8 | 0.56 |

| Intraoperative data***             |                     |                   |         |         |         |         |
| Surgical time (min)                | 90.1±55.4           | 86.7±36.4         | 131.6±71.3 | 51.3±19.3 | 87.9±48.8 | 0.0003** |
| Anesthesia time (min)              | 121±65.4            | 122.7±47.8       | 165.3±81.5 | 80.7±35.2 | 111.8±59.7 | 0.002**  |
| White blood cells (x10⁷/l)         | 12.4±6.5            | 16.3±7.3         | 10.1±4      | 15.1±6.7   | 7.8±3.6 | 0.0002** |
| Fentanyl dose (mcg/kg/h)           | 2.7±0.8             | 2.9±0.9          | 2.7±0.8     | 2.8±0.7    | 2.5±0.6 | 0.8     |
| Atracrium dose (mg/kg/h)           | 0.38±0.17           | 0.37±0.24        | 0.36±0.16   | 0.37±0.11  | 0.4±0.17 | 0.87    |

*Data are presented as mean and standard deviation. **statistically significant, ***ANOVA multiple comparisons.
Table 2: The relation of WBC to intraoperative opioid and postoperative requirements of pain relief medicine

| Data*** | Control group (n=31) | Study group (n=29) | p-value |
|---------|----------------------|-------------------|---------|
|         | n=30                 | n=29              |         |
| Intraoperative |                   |                   |         |
| The relationship WBC and fentanyl usage* | p=0.023      | p=0.014    | p=0.016 | p=0.38|
|         | r=0.029              | r=0.018           | r=0.18  | r=0.27|
| Postoperative data |               |                   |         |
| The patients who received pain relief in the RR and ICU | 60/36 (60%) | 15/12 (56%) | 16/9 (53%) | 15/8 (50%) |
| The patients who received pain relief at the 2nd hours postoperatively | 60/35 (73%) | 15/11 (88%) | 16/14 (40%) | 15/6 (29%) |
| The patient’s number of using pain medicine within 2 hours | 1 medicine | 15 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| 2 medicines | 23 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 4 |
| 3 medicines | 9 | 6 | 1 | 2 | - |
| 4 medicines | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| The patient’s number of using pain medicine at the 4th hours | 60/12 (20%) | 15/2 (25%) | 16/4 (7%) | 15/1 (36%) |
| The patient’s number of using pain medicine at the 6th hours | 60/37 (62%) | 15/6 (40%) | 16/12 (75%) | 15/9 (60%) |
| Pain score in RR or ICU | 3.7±1.7 | 4.9±2.2 | 3.6±1.7 | 3.5±0.6 | 2.7±1.3 | 0.0034** |
| Pain score after 2 hours | 3.6±2.5 | 4.6±2.8 | 4.4±1.99 | 3.1±2.7 | 2.3±2.05 | 0.04** |
| Pain score after 4 hours | 3.35±1.55 | 3.25±1.3 | 3.96±1.5 | 2.77±1.5 | 3.38±1.8 | 0.199 |
| Pain score after 6 hours | 3.65±1.35 | 3.74±1.41 | 4.19±1.49 | 3.23±1.12 | 3.37±1.23 | 0.193 |

*Regression, **Statistically significant, ***ANOVA

After recovery and at the first two postoperative hours the pain score was statistically significant higher in control group (p=0.0034 and p=0.04, respectively) and early usage of pain relief medications. The highest pain score was in GA+Inf group, while the lowest one was in TAP-Inf group (4.9±2.2 and 2.7±1.3, respectively).

Heart rate and blood pressure were measured before and after induction, after skin incision and end of surgery (T0, T1, T2, T3, respectively) there was no statistically significant difference (p=0.9). However, heart rate increased by 13.3%, systolic blood pressure increased by 4.2% after skin incision and in the end of surgery heart rate elevated by 7% compared to before induction (T0) in GA+Inf group. Regarding to the other groups after induction hemodynamic changes were little and not more than by 3% (Figure 3).

Figure3: Hemodynamic variables at the intraoperative period in all groups*

T0-before induction, T1-after induction, T2-after skin incision, T3-end of surgery

*Two way ANOVA with repeated measurements p=0.9.
In sixty percent of all patients had pain relief in the RR and ICU, from GA+Inf group eighty percent, in other group 50% of patients received pain relief. 4th, 6th postoperative hours increased pain intensity in Study group but statistically insignificant.

Postoperative hemodynamic changes were not statistically significant for all groups.

**Discussion**

The transversus abdominis plane (TAP) block is a newly developed block involving the nerves of the anterior abdominal wall and it is a relatively simple technique that provides myocutaneous anesthesia that, as a part of a multimodal analgesic treatment, have been used to control somatic surgical pain originating from the skin, muscle, and parietal peritoneum of the abdominal wall. However, TAP block have not been shown to affect surgical outcome unless their provision of optimal pain management is in the context of multimodal analgesia (MMA), and together with changes in organizational surgical care. The result of the better pain relief is earlier mobilization and oral nutrition, both elements necessary to facilitate the return to baseline functions and improve Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) program.[11]

The TAP block was first described in 2001 by Rafi, in the neurofascial plane between the internal oblique and transversus abdominis muscles through a well-defined by anatomical landmark entrance at the triangle of Petit.[12] Further in 2007, Mc Donnell and others developed and studied the distribution of local anesthetics during this block.[13] In 2006, O’Donnell and others studied the TAP block for prostatectomy surgery and first used the nomenclature “Abdominis transversus plane block”. In 2007 Hebbard and et al performed this block by using ultrasound machine and Fredrickson and others first used for 8 children undergoing hernia repair in 2008.[15],[16] Recently, the ultrasound guided TAP block has gained popularity for intraoperative and postoperative pain management in a variety of abdominal surgical procedures in adult, pediatric and neonatal patients. For us, this is a second study of using ultrasound guided TAP block in children.

Using ultrasound guided TAP block for children is increasing lowering abdominal wall operations such as inguinal hernia,[17-21] open appendectomy,[22-23] laparoscopic surgery,[24] laparoscopic repair of undescended testis[25] and urethral reconstructive surgeries.[26] There have many study of TAP block is superior than control and wound infiltration of local anesthetics groups, decreases opioid requirements and longer time need for first analgesic medicine postoperatively.[25],[26] Furthermore Dalia and Mafaa concluded TAP block is better than caudal block.[28,29] in study Neha and Ashraf and others results were shown that the result were equal with caudal block.[19,21]

In the present study TAP block decreases the requirement of pain relief medicine and the control group (GA) had higher pain intensity, difficult to treat pain and need more than 3 medicines for postoperative pain relief. In children of all group had some degree of pain, and more than fifty percent of them need pain relief medicine during recovery. All cases were made laparotomy with a wide midline incision. Major abdominal surgery made via a midline incision to ensure adequate and complete exploration of the abdominal cavity not depending on with inflammation or without.[27] We performed the TAP block via midaxillary line anterior to posterior direction and at this level T10-12 nerve branches are anesthetized mostly and it decreases pain sensation of lower and middle part of the abdominal wall. In contrast, upper abdominal wall innervate from T6-9 nerve branches which continue to upper anterior abdominal wall, as named the intercostal nerve plexus[28] For pain relief upper abdominal wall Hebbard and others performed the TAP block via subcostal approach under ultrasound guidance.[29] We did not use midaxillary and subcostal approaches both avoiding of local anesthetic high dose toxicity. May use these both approaches for some patient that need “J” type incision for liver and open cholecystectomy surgeries.

At the first two hours children from control group received more pain relief, using 2-4 medicines, which were 92% and 80% (GA+Inf and GA-Inf, respectively). However, in the study group using more than 2 medicines for pain relief was 67% and 36% (TAP+Inf and TAP-Inf, respectively), in which one patient received 3 medicine and there was no patient received 4 medicines (fentanyl, ketamine, acetaminophen, diclofenac or metamizole sodium).

Acute appendicitis is the most common pediatric abdominal surgical condition and approximately 30% of the children with appendicitis have perforation[30] which is resulting in intraperitoneal contamination can lead to peritonitis, increase morbidity requiring prolonged treatment. The initial response of the peritoneum against the bacterial contamination is characterized by hyperemia and increases exudates of fluid with phagocytes into the peritoneal cavity. Visceral pain is the arising from internal organs and in comparison to somatic pain, visceral pain is poorly localized, because the density of nociceptors on viscera is lower and afferent fibers are less represented in cortical mapping. Whereas somatic pain is caused by stimuli such as cutting and crushing, visceral structures do not show a painful response to these, but instead respond to distension as well as to inflammation and ischaemia.[31-33] The mean symptom in all cases is abdominal pain. The majority of patients lie stiff, with their knees bent; these maneuvers diminish the tension of the abdominal wall and alleviate the pain. Pain to palpation is the most characteristic sign of peritonitis, to both deep and superficial touch. Initially there is voluntary guarding; subsequently the muscular wall undergoes an involuntary and severe spasm. Diminished abdominal wall compliance due to pain, tight abdominal closure and third-space fluid can increase intraabdominal pressure (IAP).[34,35] TAP block may reduce muscle tone and decrease IAP.

The white blood cell count may elevate greater than 11,000 cells per ml with left shift in the acute appendicitis and peritonitis.[36,37] David and others studied to quantify more precisely the accuracy of fever and leukocytosis as indicators of bacteremia. They concluded that a total WBC count provided better information about bacteremia than temperature. WBC count cutoff of 10,000/mm³ increased specificity with minimal decrease in sensitivity[38] In our study a level of WBC had very weak positive
and statistically significant correlation with intraoperative opioid usage in group except TAP-Inf. May be it means inflammation aggravated pain intensity and increase the requirement of pain relief medicine. In the groups with inflammation (GA+Inf and TAP+Inf) the postoperative pain in recovery room and ICU was higher in control group (4.9±2.2 vs 3.5±0.6) and the number of the children who received first rescue medication was greater (80% vs 53%) which were statistically significant. We did not find a similar study, except our previous randomized control trial, which was using TAP block in 78 children undergoing open appendectomy surgery, the level of WBC had direct positive relationship with appendix inflammation (GA group n=40; r=0.499 (p-value=0.001), TAP group n=38: r=0.55 (p-value=0.0004)) and weak positive correlation (r=0.25 (p-yrra=0.03)) with pain intensity in control group. In contrast there was no relationship between WBC and pain intensity in the study group (r=0.001 (p-yrra=0.9))^10.

Limitation

We had some limitations of this study. Firstly, to increase statistic power we need to involve more patients in each groups in the future study. Secondly, we only took WBC as an inflammatory indicator that had not been sufficient to fully describe the inflammatory response of the pain intensity. Furthermore, the post-operative protocol for treatment is recommended for 6 hours, which may have caused the some patients to tolerate of the pain. In the future, this study needs to be expanded.

Conclusion

Ultrasound guided TAP block is easy, safe, reliable and effective analgesic in children undergoing open laparotomy surgery and TAP block is a good component of postoperative multimodal analgesia. The inflammatory process increases pain intensity and may be also increase intra and postoperative analgesic requirements.
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