Majorana bound state in rotating superfluid $^3$He-A between parallel plates
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A concrete and experimentally feasible example for testing the putative Majorana zero energy state bound in a vortex is theoretically proposed for a parallel plate geometry of superfluid $^3$He-A phase. We examine the experimental setup in connection with ongoing rotating cryostat experiments. The theoretical analysis is based on the well-established Ginzburg–Landau functional, supplemented by microscopic calculations of the Bogoliubov–de Gennes equation, both of which allow the precise location of the parameter regions of the Majorana state to be found in realistic situations.

PACS numbers: 67.30.he, 67.30.ht, 71.10.Pm

Much attention has been focused on Majorana zero-energy states in various research fields, for example, leptogenesis in cosmology in connection with the matter–antimatter imbalance problem, the fractional quantum Hall state, chiral superconductors, and $p$-wave superfluids in neutral atomic gases. Of particular interest is the possible application to quantum computing, based on the fact that a pair of Majorana states is intrinsically entangled and topologically protected from external disturbance. For chiral superconductors and $p$-wave superfluids, the key is finding the Majorana zero energy state bound in a vortex. Its existence is guaranteed by the index theorem, implying in this context that in spinless chiral $p$-wave pairing $p_{\pm} \equiv i\mp y$ of two dimension (2D), an odd winding number vortex always possesses a Majorana state at exactly zero energy relative to the Fermi level. This state is localized at the core.

To date there has been no experimental evidence to prove its existence in either chiral superconductors or $p$-wave superfluids in neutral atomic gases, as neither of these phenomena have yet been realized. Therefore, concrete examples of $p$-wave superfluidity are needed. In this respect, the $^3$He-A phase is a prime candidate for testing the Majorana state.

The ABM (A) phase in superfluid $^3$He is characterized by the order parameter (OP) $A_{\mu i} = \Delta \delta_{\mu i} (\vec{n} + i\vec{m})$, $(i = x, y, z)$. The spin and orbital structure of $p$-wave pairing, which is generally defined as $\Delta = i \sum_{\mu i} (A_{\mu i} \sigma_\mu \vec{p}_i) \sigma_\mu$, are characterized by the $\vec{d}$ vector and $\vec{l}$ vector, respectively [3, 4]. $(\vec{l} = \vec{n} \times \vec{m}, \vec{m}$ and $\vec{n}$ are unit vectors, forming a triad.) It is known that the $\vec{l}$ vector always points perpendicular to a wall surface and $\vec{d}$ vector is perpendicular to the applied field direction if its magnitude is sufficiently strong ($H > H_d = 2.0$ mT, where $H_d$ is the dipole field[5]). Therefore, we can control the pairing symmetry both by the confining geometry and the field. This kind of controllability in superfluid $^3$He is a great advantage over other research fields, in addition to the decisive fact that the precise OP forms of the $p$-wave pairing are established for the ABM (A) and BW (B) phases [3, 4].

We consider a parallel plate geometry with a gap comparable to the dipole coherent length $\xi_d \sim 10 \mu$m and a field ($H > H_d$) applied along the plates. In this configuration the two vectors $\vec{l} \parallel \vec{d}$ are locked together perpendicular to the plates to maximize the dipole and magnetic energies, and hence the OP is $A_{\mu i} = \Delta \delta_{\mu i} (\vec{n} + i\vec{m})$, $(i = x, y)$ with $\mu$ being fixed. This is a “spinless” chiral superfluid, a situation ideal for the Majorana zero energy state[1].

This parallel plate geometry has already been realized [6, 7], namely a $^3$He-A phase sample confined in a thin cylindrical region with thickness 12.5 µm and radius $R = 1.5$ mm. This thin plate with a cylindrical region is stacked to form a layered structure consisting of 110 plates. In an effort to observe a half-quantum vortex, the structure was rotated up to a rotation speed of $\Omega = 6.28$ radian/sec (rad/sec) in a rotating cryostat at ISSP, University of Tokyo. The texture transition under rotation was monitored by an NMR spectrum to identify each vortex.

The experimental geometry of this previous experiment is ideal for realizing the Majorana zero energy state, and hence we have adopted it for our study. The necessary conditions for the realization of the Majorana state are: (1) The bulk superfluid has a chiral $p$-wave symmetry. (2) The vortex is singular with an odd winding number. Condition (1) is satisfied because the $^3$He-A phase is orbitally triplet. As mentioned, the $\vec{d}$ and $\vec{l}$ vectors are locked together perpendicular to the plane. The superfluid state is in the chiral $p$-wave symmetry characterized by $p_{\pm} = \pm(p_x \mp ip_y)/\sqrt{2}$ with frozen spin freedom.

However, we must carefully examine condition (2). There are several possible vortex states under this configuration, either singular or non-singular vortices, and compete with each other energetically. The Majorana zero energy state is supported only by the singular vortex in general. Thus it is important to determine the possible stable parameter space spanned by the rotation speed $\Omega$, temperature $T$, radius $R$ of the system, and pressure $P$ in terms of realistic experimental conditions[8]. We also study the microscopic excitation spectrum of the Majorana state on the three-dimensional (3D) Fermi surface.

\[ \text{arXiv:0808.3633v2} \text{ [cond-mat.supr-con] 25 Sep 2008} \]
by solving the microscopic Bogoliubov–de Gennes (BdG) equation for a vortex. Previous theoretical studies have been limited to strictly 2D systems. This study clarifies the detailed spectral structures in the bulk and at the boundary where the edge current flows, i.e., the dispersion relation of the Majorana zero mode in 3D.

We start with the following well-established Ginzburg–Landau (GL) functional to examine the stable regions for the Majorana state. This GL form is known to be adequate for describing the $^3$He-A phase where the OP is described by $A_{z1} = \hat{d}_z A_1$:

$$f = f_{bulk} + f_{grad}$$

$$f_{bulk} = -\alpha A_i^* A_i + \beta_{13} A_i^* A_i A_j + \beta_{245} A_i^* A_j^* A_j$$

$$f_{grad} = -K [A_i^* \partial_i A_j + A_j^* \partial_i A_j + A_j^* \partial_j A_i]$$

where $\partial_i = \nabla_i - i\frac{2m}{\hbar} (\vec{r} \times \vec{r})_i$, $m_3$ is the mass of a $^3$He atom, $K$ is the effective mass, $\alpha = \alpha_0 (1 - T/T_c)$, $\beta_{13} = \beta_1 + \beta_3$ and $\beta_{245} = \beta_2 + \beta_4 + \beta_5$. We introduce $A_\pm = \mp (A_x + i A_y)/\sqrt{2}$ with $A = A_++A_-$, $p_+ = A_+ p_0 + A_0 p_0 + A_- p_-$, $p_- = p_0$. Here we take into account terms up to the fourth order invariants and drop the spin degrees of freedom. As mentioned, the parallel plate geometry has a narrow gap such that the $\vec{v}$-vector is fixed normal to the plate surfaces, implying that $A_z = 0$.

The free energy is minimized numerically for various radii $R$ where we employ the boundary condition $A_i(x, y) = 0$ at the circumference $R$. The superfluid current density is given by $j_i = \frac{2m_i}{\hbar} Im [A_i^* \partial_i A_j + A_j^* \partial_i A_j + A_j^* \partial_j A_i]$. We use known GL parameters appropriate for our settings.

The employed GL parameters are for $P = 3.2$ MPa, with a sufficiently strong $H$; $H >> H_d$ ($H = 26.7$ mT in [8]). These are precisely known and well documented thanks to the long history of this research field. The external rotation vector $\vec{\Omega}$ is parallel to the cylindrical axis $z$. For $t = T/T_c > 0.75$ ($T_c = 2.4$ mK) the A-phase is stable.

We now consider the stable state at rest. Our OP is the chiral axial states $A_{\pm}$, which are degenerate at $\Omega = 0$. The external rotation removes this degeneracy. We denote a state’s winding number combination as $(w_+, w_-)$, where $A_{\pm} = |A_{\pm}| e^{i w_{\pm} \theta}$ ($\theta$ is the azimuthal angle). Under our convention for rotation sense (counter clockwise rotation) the (0,2) state is stabilized over the (2,0), that is, the dominant component is $A_+$ with the winding $w_+ = 0$, as shown in Fig. 1. $A_-$ with the winding $w_- = 2$ is induced at the boundary because the gradient terms such as $A_0 \nabla^2 A_-$ inevitably induce other components at places where the main OP is spatially varied, at the boundary and vortex core in our case. Under counter clockwise rotation the mass current flows less for (0,2) than for (2,0). Thus at rest and in lower rotation regions, (0,2) is the ground state. In Fig. 1 we display the OP profiles. The main component $A_+$ has no phase winding, while the minor component $A_-$ has $4\pi$ phase winding, which leads to spontaneous mass flow around the outer boundary even at rest.

We now examine a stable vortex under rotation. There are a variety of possible vortex states. The general form of the winding number combination in the axis symmetry confined in a cylinder is given by $(w_+, w_- = n \pm 2)$ with $n$ an integer, meaning that through the gradient term, two components with $w_+ = n$ and $w_- = n \pm 2$ are coupled. This includes $(-1,1), (-1,-1), (0,2), (2,0), (1,3), (-3,-1), (2,4)$, etc. Note that in general, vortices with higher winding number are less plausible. The (-1,1) state is comparable in energy with the (1,3) vortex. The former is more stable than the latter for systems with smaller radii, $R = 2.5, 5.0, 10 \mu m$ in the lower rotation region. However, since the (2,0) state in that region has the lowest energy, (-1,1) is not realized. The (1,-1) vortex is another candidate, but it is not stable in our calculation. The higher winding vortex states, such as (2,4) become more stable than the (1,3) vortex at higher rotations. From these arguments, which we have confirmed by detailed numerical calculations, we are left with only one stable state (1,3) next to (0,2) upon increasing rotation. Figure 2 displays the spatial structures for two components and phase windings of the (1,3) vortex where the dominant and minor components are $A_+$ and $A_-$ with $w_+ = 1$ and $w_- = 3$, respectively.

For (0,2) versus (1,3), it is obvious that under rotation the energy gain for (0,2) due to $\vec{j} \cdot \vec{\Omega}$ is less than that for (1,3), which leads eventually to a phase transition from (0,2) at rest to a single vortex with (1,3) with increasing $\Omega$. We have performed extensive calculations to find the critical rotation speed $\Omega_c$ as a function of $R$ that allows us to safely locate the parameter regions in the realistic case of $R = 1.5$ mm. A difficulty of the numerical calculations is that we must treat two quite distinct length scales, the coherence length $\xi \sim 10$ mm and the system size $R=1.5$ mm, on an equal footing in the numerics. The possibility of a singular core of $\xi$ scale was neglected in previous calculations. Here we have solved the full GL functional to take the singular core into account, using fine mesh points in the numerics. Buchholtz and Fetter pointed out the possibility of a singular vortex in a narrow channel.

We show the results of our calculations in Fig. 3, showing the size $R$ dependence of the critical rotation speed $\Omega_c$. We have computed various sizes up to $R = 100 \mu m$ at a fixed temperature $t = T/T_c = 0.95$. The inset shows an example determination of $\Omega_c$ for $R = 0.8 \mu m$. It can be seen in the main panel in Fig. 3 that $\Omega_c = 0.0 6 rad/sec$ for $t = 0.95$ at $R = 1.5$ mm, estimated by an extrapolation. It should be feasible to realize this relationship experimentally.
For the temperature dependence of \( \Omega_c \), we have checked that the critical speed \( \Omega_c \) slightly increases with lowering \( T \). We also found that \( \Omega_c \) delicately depends on \( R \). By using an appropriate \( R \) we can tune \( \Omega_c \) to bring it to an experimentally available rotation region. A maximum rotation speed of \( \Omega = 12 \text{ rad/sec} \) can be achieved at ISSP, University of Tokyo. Thus, according to Fig. 3, \( R \) must be above \( R > 100 \mu m \).

Having found that the singular vortex characterized by (1,3) is stabilized under rotation above \( \Omega_c \), we analyze the spectral structure of the core localized bound states in the (1,3) vortex with the 3D Fermi surface. The system is three dimensional. We solve the following BdG equation under these conditions to examine the Majarana zero energy state:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
H(r) & \Pi(r) \\
-\Pi^*(r) & -H^*(r)
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
u_q(r) \\
\bar{v}_q(r)
\end{bmatrix} = E_q
\begin{bmatrix}
u_q(r) \\
\bar{v}_q(r)
\end{bmatrix},
\tag{4}
\]

where \( \Pi(r) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{ \pm } \{ A_{\pm}(r) \partial_{\pm} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\pm} A_{\pm}(r) \} \) and \( H(r) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_F} \Delta E_F - \Omega L_z \). We set \( \partial_{\pm} = \mp e^{\pm i\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \) and \( L_z \equiv -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \) in the cylindrical coordinates \( r = (r, \theta, z) \). Equation (4) is self-consistently coupled with the gap equation \( A_{\pm}(r) = \frac{\Delta}{k_F} \sum_{E_q < E_F} |v^*_q(r)| \Delta \bar{v}_q(r) - u_q(r) \bar{v}_q(r) \bar{v}_q(r) f_q \), where \( f_q = 1/(e^{|E_q/k_B T|} + 1) \) is the Fermi distribution function at a temperature \( T \) and we henceforth set \( T = 0 \). The cutoff energy in the gap equation is set as \( E_c = E_F \) and the coupling constant \( g \) is chosen to be \( k_F \xi = 2(\Delta/E_F)^{-1} = 14.3 \) with \( \Delta = \max |A_{\pm}(r)| \).

We assume cylindrical symmetry of the OP having a single vortex at \( r = 0 \), i.e., \( A_{\pm}(r) = A_{\pm}(r)e^{i\theta \pm \phi} \) with winding numbers \( w_+ \equiv n \) and \( w_- \equiv n + 2 \). Also, we impose a periodic boundary condition with periodicity \( Z = 250k_F^{-1} \) along the \( z \) axis and a rigid boundary condition at \( r = R = 250k_F^{-1} \) in Eq. (4), which allows us to classify the eigenstates of Eq. (4) with the azimuthal quantum number \( q_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \) and the linear quantum number \( q_z = \frac{2\pi}{Z} n_z \) with \( n_z \in \mathbb{Z} \). To this end, we find \( u_q(r) = u_q(r)e^{i q_0 \theta} e^{i q_z z} \) and \( v_q(r) = v_q(r)e^{i(q_0 - n - 1)\theta} e^{i q_z z} \). It is worth mentioning that for the (1,3) vortex the eigenenergy under arbitrary \( \Omega \) yields a linear shift from the value without rotation \( E_q(\Omega = 0) \) as

\[
E_q = E_q(\Omega = 0) - \left( q_0 - \frac{n + 1}{2} \right) \Omega, \tag{5}
\]

under low rotation within \( \Omega \ll E_F \).

A singular vortex produces a quantum well potential for quasiparticles around the core within \( r \ll \xi \), which gives rise to a low energy eigenstate bounded at the core via Andreev scattering. Under no rotation, the eigenenergy of the core bound state in the 3D singular vortex with arbitrary winding \( n \) can be analytically obtained from Eq. (4) with \( |q_0| \ll k_F \xi \) and \( |q_z| \ll k_F \)

\[
E_q = -\left( q_0 - \frac{n + 1}{2} \right) \omega_0 + \left( m - \frac{n + 1}{2} \right) \sin(\alpha) \omega_1, \tag{6}
\]

where \( \sin^2(\alpha) = 1 - \frac{q_0^2}{k_F^2} \) and \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \). Here, assuming \( A_+(r) = \Delta \tanh(r/\xi) \) and \( A_-(r) = 0 \), we find \( \omega_0 \simeq -n \frac{\Delta^2}{k_F} \) and \( |\omega_1| \sim \Delta \). The remarkable feature of Eq. (6) is that the eigenenergy can become exactly zero in a vortex of odd number \( n \), in spite of the discreteness of the energy.
due to the narrow core. Also, the zero energy state is dispersionless on the linear momentum $q_z$. Note that the zero energy state appears at $q_0 = 1$ in the $(1, 3)$ vortex with $n = 1$ and at $q_0 = 0$ in the $(-1, 1)$ vortex with $n = -1$. It is found from Eq. (5) that the eigenenergy always lies at zero energy against the increase of the rotation frequency $\Omega$.

To clarify the stability of the Majorana zero energy state, we present in Fig. 4 the low-lying quasiparticle states of the $(1, 3)$ vortex as functions of $q_0$ and $q_z$, obtained from a full numerical calculation of Eq. (4) along with the gap equation. Note that $|A_{z}(r)| \gg |A_{-}(r)|$. It can be seen that the zero energy state appears at $q_0 = 1$, which is dispersionless situated exactly at $E = 0$ within $|q_z| < k_F$. In Fig. 4(b), the fact that the bulk excitation gap changes from the maximum value $|E_q| = \Delta$ at $q_0 = 0$ to $|E_q| \sim 0$ at $q_0 = \pm k_F$ indicates the presence of point nodes, due to the A phase, situated at the north and south poles on the 3D Fermi surface. Since the zero energy state results from the resonance between two low-lying modes, such as the core bound and edge modes, it yields two-fold degeneracy and its wave function is localized at both the vortex core and edge, where $u_q(r) \sim k_F r$ inside the core region $r \ll \xi$. It should be emphasized again that the flat band structure of the zero energy states along $q_z$ is independent of the rotation frequency $\Omega$, because the angular momentum of the quasiparticles $q_0 = 1$ is canceled by the vorticity +1 and the chirality +1 of the Cooper pair, as seen in Eq. (5).

The Majorana core bound state and the edge mode inevitably hybridize, causing level splitting when the system is small enough. For the detection of the Majorana state, an experiment could be devised to search for the energy splitting, which is absent in ordinary vortex bound state. The energy splitting could be detected by sound attenuation or electromagnetic absorption experiments.

It is possible to study similar Majorana physics for a different configuration with the field orientation perpendicular to the plates, as is realized in Ref. [8]. Since the $d$-vector is now free to rotate in the plate plane, the superfluid becomes “spinful”. By applying a stronger field, we can explore the spin polarized $A_1$ phase with a substantial temperature window which could be wider than the A phase window at $H = 0$ under $H \sim 10 \, \text{T}$. [10].

In conclusion, we have proposed an elegant method to create the Majorana zero energy state by controlling the $l$-vector and $d$-vector of the $^3$He-A phase via the boundary condition and external field. The critical rotation velocity is accurately and realistically estimated as a function of the system radius $R$ based on the established GL functional form. The spectral properties of the stable singular vortex are examined by solving the BdG equation for a 3D Fermi surface, explicitly demonstrating that there exists a dispersionless Majorana state localized in a vortex core. The utility of this 3D Majorana state for other fields, such as quantum computation, etc., is open for future study.
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