Role of Non-Metallic Inclusions in the Fracture Behavior of Cold Drawn Pearlitic Steel
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Abstract: In this paper an exhaustive scientific work is performed, by means of metallographic and scanning electron microscope (SEM) techniques, of the microstructural defects exhibited by pearlitic steels and their evolution with the manufacturing process by cold drawing, analyzing the consequences of such defects on the isotropic/anisotropic fracture behavior of the different steels. Thus, the objective is the establishment of a relation between the microstructural damage and the fracture behavior of the different steels. To this end, samples were taken from all the intermediate stages of the real cold drawing process, from the initial hot rolled bar (not cold drawn at all) to the heavily drawn final commercial product (prestressing steel wire). Results show the very relevant role of non-metallic inclusions in the fracture behavior of cold drawn pearlitic steels.
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1. Introduction

High-strength cold-drawn eutectoid steel wires are important components in structural engineering [1–6]. As a consequence of the manufacture process by cold drawing, these materials show an anisotropic behavior with regard to plasticity (yielding), fracture and hydrogen embrittlement [7–18] with the result of mixed-mode fracture propagation and strength anisotropy.

The microstructural evolution with cold drawing has been extensively studied in the past [19–27], showing progressive microstructural orientation (alignment in a direction quasi-parallel to the wire axis or cold drawing direction) and increase of packing closeness associated with a decrease of interlamellar spacing and an orientation of the plates in the cold drawing direction [22–25].

A materials-science relationship between microstructure and strength is usually established through the Hall-Petch equation [28–31] to correlate the pearlite interlamellar spacing and the material strength. However, in oriented pearlitic microstructure (as a consequence of manufacturing by cold drawing) the Hall–Petch equation does not perform very well [32], so that an Embury–Fisher equation has been proposed [33] to describe the role of microstructure in material performance.

The role of inclusions in steel performance has received considerable attention in the scientific community, from studies about metallographic techniques [34] to research about models of void growth around inclusions [35,36], models about the spatial distribution of MnS inclusions and the voids provoked by them [37], or determining the volume fraction of inclusions in steel [38], as well as research on the role of inclusions in fatigue behavior in air [39,40] and hydrogen environment [41,42].

Recent references about inclusions in steel deal with modeling inclusion formation [43], effect of sulfur content [44], the effect of different non-metallic inclusions on the machinability of steels [45], quality control of steel wires [46], micromechanical modeling of fatigue crack nucleation around non-metallic inclusions [47], MnS inclusions formation in resulfu-
This paper focuses on the role of inclusions (analyzed by metallographic techniques) in the mechanical performance (evaluated by means of standard tension tests) of cold drawn pearlitic steel with different degree of cold drawing and distinct chemical composition. The aim of the paper is to find a possible relationship (in the sense of materials science and engineering) between the microstructural micro-damage in the pearlitic steel (created by the presence of inclusions) and the fracture behavior of cold drawn pearlitic steels during tensile testing.

2. Materials

The samples used in the mechanical tests were eutectoid steel wires with different level of cold drawing (i.e., distinct degrees of accumulated plastic strain), from the initial hot rolled bar (not cold drawn at all) to the final commercial product (prestressing steel wire; heavily cold drawn), all of them corresponding to real manufacturing chains, as shown in Figures 1 and 2 that include different views of the process.

![Figure 1](image1.png)  
**Figure 1.** Manufacture of prestressing steel wires by progressive cold drawing of a previously hot-rolled pearlitic steel bar: two views of a real manufacturing chain by progressive (multi-step) cold drawing.

![Figure 2](image2.png)  
**Figure 2.** Schematic presentation of the cold drawing process with multiple passes through the dies.

Table 1 shows the chemical composition (wt.%) of the different steels (valid for any drawing degree). The materials used were cold drawn pearlitic steels corresponding to five real manufacturing processes. The five types (or families) of steels were used, with the
names A, B, C, D and E, each of them with a specific chemical composition and manufacturers route (straining path). Steel of the type A undergoes six drawing steps (as shown in Figure 2 passing through six dies), whereas the others (B, C, D, E) have undergone seven drawing steps. A letter followed by a number will be used throughout this paper to indicate the steel family (the letter) and the degree of cold drawing or number of drawing steps undergone by each steel wire in particular.

Table 1. Chemical composition (wt.%) for the five families of the steels (the balance is Fe).

| Steel | Family A | Family B | Family C | Family D | Family E |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| % C   | 0.80     | 0.789    | 0.79     | 0.795    | 0.789    |
| % Mn  | 0.69     | 0.698    | 0.670    | 0.624    | 0.681    |
| % Si  | 0.23     | 0.226    | 0.20     | 0.224    | 0.21     |
| % P   | 0.012    | 0.011    | 0.009    | 0.011    | 0.010    |
| % S   | 0.009    | 0.005    | 0.009    | 0.008    | 0.008    |
| % Al  | 0.004    | 0.003    | 0.003    | 0.003    | 0.003    |
| % Cr  | 0.265    | 0.271    | 0.187    | 0.164    | 0.218    |
| % V   | 0.06     | 0.078    | 0.053    | 0.064    | 0.061    |

Table 2 summarizes each one of the five cold drawing procedures (straining paths or yielding histories) in terms of the wire diameter at the end of each drawing step. Table 3 offers the cumulative plastic strain $\varepsilon_{cum}^P$ as the variable representing the drawing degree, and it’s defined as follows [4]:

$$\varepsilon_{cum}^P = 2 \ln \frac{\phi_0}{\phi_i}$$

where $\phi_0$ is the hot rolled bar diameter and $\phi_i$ is the diameter of a wire undergoing $i$ dra-wing steps.

Table 2. Diameter of the wires at the end of each drawing step for the five families.

| Drawing Step | Family A | Family B | Family C | Family D | Family E |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 0            | 12.11    | 12.10    | 10.44    | 8.56     | 11.03    |
| 1            | 10.80    | 11.23    | 9.52     | 7.78     | 9.90     |
| 2            | 9.81     | 10.45    | 8.49     | 6.82     | 8.95     |
| 3            | 8.94     | 9.68     | 7.68     | 6.17     | 8.21     |
| 4            | 8.22     | 9.02     | 6.95     | 5.61     | 7.49     |
| 5            | 7.56     | 8.54     | 6.36     | 5.08     | 6.80     |
| 6            | 6.98     | 8.18     | 5.86     | 4.63     | 6.26     |
| 7            | -        | 7.00     | 5.03     | 3.97     | 5.04     |

Table 3. Cumulative plastic strain of the progressively drawn steels for the five families [4].

| Drawing Step | Family A | Family B | Family C | Family D | Family E |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 0            | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        | 0        |
| 1            | 0.229    | 0.149    | 0.184    | 0.191    | 0.216    |
| 2            | 0.421    | 0.293    | 0.414    | 0.454    | 0.418    |
| 3            | 0.607    | 0.446    | 0.614    | 0.655    | 0.591    |
| 4            | 0.775    | 0.588    | 0.814    | 0.845    | 0.774    |
| 5            | 0.942    | 0.697    | 0.991    | 1.044    | 0.967    |
| 6            | 1.102    | 0.800    | 1.155    | 1.229    | 1.133    |
| 7            | -        | 1.095    | 1.460    | 1.537    | 1.566    |
The mechanical response of the cold drawn pearlitic steel wires is associated with a progressive increase with cold drawing of the yield strength ($\sigma_Y$) and of the ultimate tensile strength ($\sigma_R$), as shown in Figures 3 and 4.

**Figure 3.** Evolution with cold drawing of the yield strength $\sigma_Y$.

**Figure 4.** Evolution with cold drawing of the ultimate tensile strength $\sigma_R$.

Figure 5 plots the stress–strain curves for the progressively cold-drawn pearlitic steels from A0 (hot rolled steel, not cold drawn at all, 0 drawing steps) to the commercial prestressing steel wire A6 (heavily cold drawn pearlitic steel that has undergone 6 drawing steps). It is seen that both the yield strength $\sigma_Y$ and the ultimate tensile strength (UTS $\sigma_R$) increase with the drawing degree [1–6].
Figure 5. Stress–strain curves of the progressively drawn pearlitic steels A0 to A6 (steel family A: from 0 to 6 cold-drawing steps).

3. Metallographic Analysis

3.1. Sample Preparation

In order to proceed with the metallographic analysis of the different steels, representative samples were extracted from the wires by means of transverse cuts to prepare small cylinders of 10 mm height and the exact diameter of each steel wire in particular. After this, the cylinders underwent a cut in longitudinal direction (axial cut), so that the micrographs of the present paper will always be oriented with their vertical side following the cold drawing direction (wire axis).

Finally, they were chemically attacked to reveal the microstructure of the materials (progressively cold drawn pearlitic steel wires) and to observe it by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a JEOL JSM-5610 LV (Jeol Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Etching was produced by using a solution of 4% Nital in chemical ethanol for five seconds. The samples were mounted in resin for grinding and polishing up to mirror quality.

3.2. Metallographic Observation

The chemical attack (etching) on the polished samples of pearlitic microstructure produces different chemical reactions in the ferrite (softer) phase and in the cementite (harder) phase forming the pearlite. Whereas the former is chemically attacked by the Nital, the latter remains unaffected by it, so that it is possible to distinguish both phases of the pearlitic microstructure of the steels by means a scanning electron microscope (SEM) analysis: cementite lamellae exhibit a clear appearance whereas ferrite lamellae show a darker aspect. The inclusions were observed by using energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX, Oxford Instruments, mod. 6587, High Wycombe, England) assembled to the SEM.

Generally speaking (details will be analyzed in the discussion section of the present paper), second-phase particles (inclusions) appear in all the studied pearlitic steels (five families or groups), exhibiting different peculiarities depending on the particular chemical composition of each family, cf. Table 1. Different types of inclusion were found, namely: (i) manganese sulfur (MnS) inclusions with dark (non-brilliant) appearance and irregular shape; (ii) silica (SiO₂) and aluminum oxides (Al₂O₃) with clear brilliant appearance and more regular shape. Two of these types of inclusions (MnS and Al₂O₃) are showed in Figure 6.
Apart from the inclusions discussed above (those appearing more frequently), other types of inclusions were found (although more scarcely) used mainly to create new phases during the steel manufacturing. They were the following: (i) titanium oxides (probably in the form Ti$_2$O$_3$), (ii) manganese silicates (possibly of the type 2MnO.SiO$_2$), alumina silicates (SiO$_2$/AlO$_3$), (iii) titanium nitride (TiN) and (iv) vanadium nitride (VN). The main chemical elements appearing in an inclusion can be observed in the EDX spectrum given in Figure 7.

The presence (in small amounts) of varying chemical elements (Ti, N and Ca), as well as other elements that did not appear in the metallographic analysis is not surprising. Titanium (Ti) is usually added to the steels during manufacturing, thereby producing Ti$_2$O$_3$ in form of small inclusions to enhance the formation of intergranular ferrite during the austenite-perlite transformation [51].

Nitrogen (N) is added to the steels to promote the formation of new phases from the austenite, thereby creating tiny particles of TiN. With regard to calcium (Ca), it is frequently added to the steel in certain amount (as SiCa powder) to diminish the level of sulfur (S) and, therefore, to diminish the volume fraction of sulfur and alumina inclusions in the steel [52].

4. Evolution of Inclusions with Cold Drawing

In the five families of steel (A, B, C, D and E) there is a common general trend in the matter of evolution with cold drawing of the inclusions. To analyze this, the existing inclusions in cold drawn pearlitic steels can be classified in two groups: (i) sulphides (MnS) able to undergo certain plastic strain and thus become deformed in the direction of cold drawing; (ii) inclusions consisting of oxides and silicates (of Al, Si, Fe, … ), comparatively harder and more brittle, so that they can be fractured as the drawing degree (level of cumulative plastic strain) increases when the wire passes through the consecutive dies.

Figures 8–12 show several longitudinal metallographic sections of some wires studied in this work. The wire axis, or cold drawing direction, is represented by the vertical side.
of the micrographs in such figures. Figure 8 shows longitudinal metallographic sections of two hot rolled steels (E0 and A0) with zero cold drawing degree (i.e., that are not cold drawn at all). It is observed how the inclusions are perfectly adhered to the pearlitic metallic matrix surrounding them, although one was fractured during manufacturing by hot rolling.

Figure 8. Inclusions in the longitudinal section of hot rolled pearlitic steels E0 and A0 (zero degree of cold drawing) showing oxides (a) and sulfurs (b).

![Figure 8](image1.png)

Figure 9. Inclusions in slightly drawn pearlitic steels C2 (with two drawing steps) and A4 (with four drawing steps). Principally MnS (a); complex oxide, mainly Al₂O₃ (b).

![Figure 9](image2.png)

Figure 10. Inclusions in moderately drawn steel E5 (five drawing steps) at 1000× (a) and 500× (b).

![Figure 10](image3.png)
During manufacturing of commercial prestressing steel wires by progressive (multi-step) cold drawing, a strain hardening mechanism is activated in the pearlitic steels. During this process, the inclusions (second-phase particles) also evolve.

Figure 9 shows the microstructure of slightly cold drawn pearlitic steels C2 and A4 undergoing two and four cold drawing steps. It is observed how the inclusions present in the steels become fractured after passing through two and four dies (due to the transverse peripheral compression), thereby producing cracks at the interface between the inclusion and the matrix as a consequence of the plastic deformation undergone by the pearlitic matrix itself.

With regard to the differences between the five different families of the pearlitic steels under analysis, the steels of the group E are those containing greater and more numerous inclusions inside it. This provokes a higher density of microstructural defects (micro-defects) generated by the combined effect of heavy cold drawing and presence of many inclusions in the steel.

Figure 10 offers micrographs (axial or longitudinal cuts) of the microstructure of steel E5 (family E; five cold drawing steps) with two magnification levels of 1000× and 500×, where the frequent appearance of micro-defects (micro-cracks) generated by the presence...
of the inclusions can be observed. Such defects are potential initiators of macroscopic fracture of the cold drawn pearlitic steels, with loss of structural integrity.

In the matter of the most heavily cold drawn steel (commercial prestressing steel wire), obtained after passing of the initially hot rolled materials through the entire route of strain hardening (with the maximum number of cold drawing steps), micrographs of Figure 11 show evidence of micro-cracks aligned in the drawing direction (wire axis or longitudinal direction) since they are more slender than in the previous pearlitic steels (with a lower cold drawing degree). In Figure 11 the microstructure of steel families A, D and E is represented, observing that the inclusions are very fractured (they exhibit a lot of micro-damage) in their surrounding as a consequence of the high level of plastic strain undergone by the most heavily cold drawn pearlitic steels.

Figure 12 includes two parallel micro-cracks generated in the vicinity of inclusions appearing in a commercial prestressing steel wire E7 after heavy cold drawing. The inclusions exhibit evidence of previous fracturing during the manufacture process, and the micro-cracks appear in the close vicinity of the existing inclusions.

5. Role of Inclusions in the Fracture Behavior

From the results obtained in the metallographic analysis of the longitudinal sections of cold drawn pearlitic steels, a key question arises about the influence of inclusions on material (macro-) fracture behavior. To elucidate the relevant and fundamental question of whether (or not) the inclusions play a relevant role in fracture behavior of the steels, standard tension tests were performed on the different steel wires with a posterior (postmortem) fractographic analysis to elucidate the microscopic fracture modes.

In the case of hot rolled (not cold drawn at all) or slightly drawn pearlitic steels (corresponding to the first stages of the manufacturing chain with few passes through the dies) the fracture surface is contained in a plane perpendicular to the wire axis or cold drawing direction and exhibits a low-roughness appearance (Figure 13; left), i.e., it corresponds to an isotropic fracture behavior.

On the other hand, in the case of heavily drawn pearlitic steels (associated with the last stages of the manufacturing chain with many passes through the dies) the fracture surface is not contained in a plane perpendicular to the wire axis or cold drawing direction and exhibits a high-roughness and irregular appearance (Figure 13; right), i.e., it corresponds to an anisotropic fracture behavior with frequent local deflections representing embryos of anisotropic fracture.

To understand such a disparity regarding fracture surface appearance, some microstructural features must be taken into account: (i) firstly, the oriented pearlitic microstructure after cold drawing in the matter of colonies and lamellae [22–25], (ii) secondly, the presence in the drawn steel of many zones with pre-damage (after heavy drawing)
and even with micro-cracks aligned in the drawing direction and created in the vicinity of inclusions by debonding between the inclusion and the metallic matrix (as a consequence of the stress concentration created by the inclusion itself), as shown in Figure 14 in which many local regions with micro-cracks (aligned and oriented along the direction of cold drawing represented by the wire axis) may be observed.

![Figure 14](image-url)

**Figure 14.** Multiple micro-cracks aligned in longitudinal direction (axial or cold-drawing direction, i.e., vertical side of the micrograph) in a cold drawn pearlitic steel.

During the standard tension tests performed on the wires, two main stages can be distinguished:

(i) One first long phase (*before plastic instability*) during which material points are subjected to a uniform uniaxial stress state. This main stage lasts up to the maximum load point. During this phase the micro-cracks *do not* promote fracture since the main axial stress (in the direction of the wire) is parallel to the micro-cracks themselves.

(ii) One second shorter phase (*after plastic instability*) during which material points are subjected to a triaxial stress state. This second stage starts from the maximum load point. During this phase the micro-cracks *do* promote fracture since triaxial stress state has a hoop component that is perpendicular to the micro-cracks themselves.

An approximate classical solution for the triaxial stress state in a cylinder (bar or wire) after necking is due to Davidenkov and Spiridonova [53] as follows:

\[
\frac{\sigma_r}{\sigma_Y} = \frac{a^2 - r^2}{2aR}, \quad \frac{\sigma_z}{\sigma_Y} = 1 + \frac{a^2 - r^2}{2aR}
\]

(2)

where \(\sigma_Y\) is the yield strength of the material, \(\sigma_z\) is the axial stress along the wire axis, \(\sigma_r\) is the radial stress (equal to the hoop stress \(\sigma_\theta\)), due to the very small elastic strains in the neck compared with the plastic deformations, and due to the constant volume hypothesis which involves \(\varepsilon_z = -2\varepsilon_r\), \(R\) is the curvature radius of the necking surface (similar to a blunt notch), \(a\) is the distance from the notch tip to the wire axis and \(r\) is the radial distance between the wire axis and the considered point (see Figure 15).

The curvature radius of the necking surface \(R\) was measured by means of a profile projector (NIKON V-12B, Tokyo, Japan). The average values obtained were 26.25 and 3.16 mm for the hot rolled steel E0 and the prestressing steel E7, respectively. With these values, and taking into account the Davidenkov and Spiridonova equations (2), it’s possible to obtain the stress distribution \((\sigma_z, \sigma_\theta, \sigma_r)\) along the wires net section in the previous instants to final fracture occurs. Such stress distribution is showed in Figure 16 for the case of the steels E0 and E7. The stress distribution is equal in both steels and for all considered variables, being maximum in the center (longitudinal wire axis, \(r = 0\)) and minimum in the periphery of the wires (deepest point in necking external surface, where \(r = a\)). The values are greater for the case of prestressing steel wires.
Once the distribution of stresses along the minimum neck section is known, and considering that the shear stresses are null by symmetry, it is possible to obtain the stress triaxiality distribution along the radius $r$ of the neck section. The stress triaxiality $t$ (3) is the ratio between the hydrostatic stress $\sigma_h$ (4) and the equivalent von Mises stress $\sigma_{eq}$ (5).

$$
t = \frac{\sigma_h}{\sigma_{eq}}
$$

(3)

$$
\sigma_h = \frac{1}{3}(\sigma_z + \sigma_\theta + \sigma_r)
$$

(4)

$$
\sigma_{eq} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}[(\sigma_z - \sigma_\theta)^2 + (\sigma_z - \sigma_r)^2 + (\sigma_\theta - \sigma_r)^2]}
$$

(5)

Figure 17 (left) shows that the values of hydrostatic and equivalent stresses are higher for the case of prestressing steel (E7) in relation to the initial hot rolled bar (E0). The $\sigma_h$ distribution shows a continuous decrement from the longitudinal wire axis ($r = 0$) till it reaches the external surface ($r = a$); that being said, the decrement is more pronounced in the prestressing steel E7. The equivalent von Mises stress $\sigma_{eq}$ is constant along the radial coordinate for both steels.

Figure 16. Stress distribution of $\sigma_z$ (left) and $\sigma_\theta$ (right) along the transversal necking surface in steel E0 and E7.
Figure 17. Distribution of hydrostatic stress (left), equivalent stress (left) and stress triaxiality (right) for the hot rolled steel E0 and the prestressing steel E7 along the necking section.

Figure 17 (right) shows the triaxiality factor distribution along the radial coordinate of the wire necking. The prestressing steel shows a higher level of triaxiality with respect to the hot rolled steel. In both steels the triaxiality factor is maximum in the longitudinal wire axis (r = 0) and minimum in the external surface of the neck.

Heavily cold drawn steels (Figure 18) exhibit locally anisotropic fracture behavior due to the presence of multiple micro-cracks oriented and aligned along the drawing direction (created by debonding between the inclusions and the pearlitic matrix) and the triaxial stress state (with radial and hoop components) generated after necking. Both factors are relevant to create an anisotropic fracture in heavily cold drawn pearlitic steels in which there is a coexistence of:

(i) Elevated values of $\sigma_Y$, $\sigma_R$ and $\sigma_0$ (see Figures 3, 4 and 16).
(ii) High level of stress triaxiality (with $\sigma_z > \sigma_0$), as indicated in Figure 17.
(iii) Many micro-cracks created from the inclusions, aligned and oriented along the drawing axis (Figure 14). The hoop stress $\sigma_0$ during plastic instability (necking) before final fracture induces locally anisotropic fracture with crack deflections and appearance of crests and valleys.

Figure 18. Anisotropic fracture behavior in a cold drawn pearlitic steel (E7).

Hot rolled (not cold drawn at all) and slightly drawn pearlitic steels (Figure 19) exhibit an isotropic fracture behavior due to the absence of the afore-said micro-cracks. In this case the stress state is defined by:

(i) Moderated values of $\sigma_Y$, $\sigma_R$ and $\sigma_0$ (see Figures 3, 4 and 16).
(ii) Lower level of stress triaxiality (with $\sigma_z > \sigma_0$), as showed in Figure 17.
6. Conclusions

The anisotropic fracture behavior of cold drawn pearlitic steel wires subjected to standard tension tests can be explained on the basis of the triaxial stress state generated during necking, together with the presence of many aligned and oriented micro-cracks generated during the cold drawing process.

Such micro-cracks appear in the vicinity of second-phase particles (inclusions) in the course of manufacturing of prestressing steel wires by progressive cold drawing after debonding between the inclusion and the pearlitic matrix due to the differences with regard to plastic behavior between the matrix and the inclusion itself.
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