Splicing Factors Associate with Hyperphosphorylated RNA Polymerase II in the Absence of Pre-mRNA
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Abstract. The carboxy-terminal domain (CTD) of the largest subunit of RNA polymerase II (Pol II) contains multiple tandem copies of the consensus heptapeptide, TyrSerProThrSerProSer. Concomitant with transcription initiation the CTD is phosphorylated. Elongating polymerase has a hyperphosphorylated CTD, but the role of this modification is poorly understood. A recent study revealed that some hyperphosphorylated polymerase molecules (Pol IIo) are nonchromosomal, and hence transcriptionally unengaged (Bregman, D.B., L. Du, S. van der Zee, S.L. Warren. 1995. J. Cell Biol. 129: 287–298). Pol IIo was concentrated in discrete splicing factor domains, suggesting a possible relationship between CTD phosphorylation and splicing factors, but no evidence beyond immunolocalization data was provided to support this idea. Here, we show that Pol IIo co-immunoprecipitates with members of two classes of splicing factors, the Sm snRNPs and non-snRNP SerArg (SR) family proteins. Significantly, Pol IIo’s association with splicing factors is maintained in the absence of pre-mRNA, and the polymerase need not be transcriptionally engaged. We also provide definitive evidence that hyperphosphorylation of Pol II’s CTD is poorly correlated with its transcriptional activity. Using monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) H5 and H14, which are shown here to recognize phosphoepitopes on Pol II’s CTD, we have quantitated the level of Pol IIo at different stages of the cell cycle. The level of Pol IIo is similar in interphase and mitotic cells, which are transcriptionally active and inactive, respectively. Finally, complexes containing Pol IIo and splicing factors can be prepared from mitotic as well as interphase cells. The experiments reported here establish that hyperphosphorylation of the CTD is a good indicator of polymerase’s association with snRNP and SR splicing factors, but not of its transcriptional activity. Most importantly, the present study suggests that splicing factors may associate with the polymerase via the hyperphosphorylated CTD.

The largest subunit of RNA polymerase II (Pol II LS)1 is part of the catalytic core of the enzyme that synthesizes pre-mRNAs in all eukaryotic cells (for reviews see Young, 1991; Corden, 1993; Dahmus, 1996). The carboxy-terminal domain (CTD) of Pol II LS is comprised of tandemly repeated heptapeptides with the consensus sequence TyrSerProThrSerProSer (Corden et al., 1985). The CTD of mammals, D. melanogaster and S. cerevisiae have 52, 44, and 26 heptapeptide repeats, respectively (Corden et al., 1985; Allison et al., 1985; Zehring et al., 1988). In mammalian cells, Pol II LS exists as an unphosphorylated form (Pol IIa; Mr = 220 kD), or one of a variety of hyperphosphorylated forms migrating at ~240 kD (collectively called “Pol IIo”) (Cadena and Dahmus, 1987; Zhang and Corden, 1991).

The CTD harbors all known phosphorylation sites on eukaryotic Pol II LS molecules (reviewed in Corden, 1993; Dahmus, 1996). It has been repeatedly shown that Pol IIa is more efficiently recruited to in vitro pre-initiation complexes than Pol IIo (Lu et al., 1991; Chesnut et al., 1992), and that elongating Pol II is hyperphosphorylated on the CTD (Cadena and Dahmus, 1987; Payne et al., 1989; Laybourn and Dahmus, 1990; Chesnut et al., 1992; Weeks et al., 1993; O’Brien et al., 1994). CTD phosphorylation occurs concomitant with the initiation of transcription (Kang and Dahmus, 1993; Lu et al., 1991; Payne et al., 1989; Laybourn and Dahmus, 1990; Chesnut et al., 1992; Weeks et al.,

1. Abbreviations used in this paper: CTD, carboxy-terminal domain of RNA polymerase II; MIG, mitotic interchromatin granule cluster; NuMA, nuclear mitotic apparatus protein; Pol IIa, unphosphorylated largest RNA polymerase II subunit; Pol II LS, largest subunit of RNA polymerase II; Pol IIo, hyperphosphorylated largest RNA polymerase II subunit; Sm sn RNP, Smith antigen-containing small nuclear ribonucleoprotein; SR, serine-arginine dipeptide repeat motif.
Recently, a substantial fraction of Pol IIo was shown to be concentrated in discrete nuclear domains that overlap with 20–50 “speckle” domains, which are enriched with splicing factors (Bregman et al., 1994, 1995). Studies from other labs indicated that the bulk of Pol II transcription takes place in the nucleoplasm outside of these domains (Wansink et al., 1993; Jackson et al., 1993), so it was proposed that much of the Pol IIo in these domains is probably not engaged in transcription (Bregman et al., 1995). Since that time, a handful of specific Pol II transcripts have been localized to the periphery of the speckle domains, and some transcripts (e.g., collagen Iα1) overlap extensively with the speckle domains. Thus, the precise spatial relationship between Pol II transcription and the speckle domains remains poorly understood, and the interested reader is referred to several recent reviews of this topic (Fakan, 1994; Wansink et al., 1994; Bazett-Jones, 1995; Moen et al., 1995; Spector et al., 1995; van Driel et al., 1995). Nevertheless, our finding that transcriptional inhibitors and heat shock induce multiple SR proteins, Sm snRNPs, and Pol IIo to synchronously reorganize into enlarged, round speckles, suggests that some Pol IIo molecules in these domains are not engaged in transcription. A similar, but more striking reorganization takes place at the onset of mitosis when transcription abruptly ceases: Pol IIo, multiple SR proteins and Sm snRNPs become sequestered in mitotic interchromatin granule clusters (IGCs), dot-like regions (~0.1 μm – ~1 μm in diameter) scattered throughout the dividing cell, far removed from the condensed chromatin (Warren et al., 1992; Bregman et al., 1994).

Our previous immunolocalization studies indicated that splicing factors and transcriptionally unengaged Pol II molecules are sequestered in the same discrete nonchromosomal compartment when transcription diminishes or ceases (Bregman et al., 1995). Here, we show that Pol IIo co-immunoprecipitates with splicing factors of the Sm snRNP and non-snRNP SerArg (RS) families. We also report two novel and intriguing findings. First, splicing factors are tightly associated with Pol IIo in the absence of pre-mRNA; and second, the hyperphosphorylated polymerase need not be transcriptionally engaged to associate with splicing factors. Perhaps the most important implication of these findings is that the splicing factors associate with polymerase via the phosphorylated CTD. In agreement with the above findings, we also provide definitive evidence that hyperphosphorylation of the CTD correlates poorly with Pol II’s transcriptional activity in vivo. In summary, we have established for the first time that CTD phosphorylation is a better indicator of Pol II’s association with Sm snRNPs and SR proteins than its transcriptional activity. The outcome of the experiments described here prompted us to ask whether a functional relationship exists between Pol II’s CTD and pre-mRNA splicing, an idea explored in an accompanying paper (Du and Warren, 1997).

Materials and Methods

Antibodies

Anti-Pol II LS mAbs (H5, H14 and 8WG16) and control IgM (mAb H22) are described elsewhere (Bregman et al., 1995; Thompson et al., 1989).
Antibody. After incubation for 4 h at 4°C, mAbs were directed against Sm antigens and RNA polymerase II. The following methods were used:

1. **Immunoaffinity chromatography with protein G-coupled agarose beads**
   - The beads were precharged with an excess of RNAs tagged in the NH$_2$-terminus of Pol II LS (residues 1335 to 1570) that is Flag-TyrLysAspAspAspAspLys) affinity chromatography with protein G-coupled agarose beads precharged with an excess of RNAs.

2. **Cell culture and immunofluorescence microscopy**
   - Cells were transfected using Lipofectin (GIBCO BRL, Gaithersburg, MD) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

3. **Cyanogen bromide digestion**
   - Pol II was cyanogen bromide (CNBr) digested as described (Cadena and Dahmus, 1987). Anti-NuMa is a polyclonal rabbit antibody directed at the nuclear mitotic apparatus protein (Yang et al., 1992). Anti-RPII is a rabbit polyclonal antibody directed at Pol II LS (Kim and Dahmus, 1986).

4. **Cytometric cell cycle analysis**
   - Nocodazole arrested, mechanically detached mitotic cells were judged to be 99% pure by counting ~200 DAPI-stained mitotic figures under the fluorescence microscope.

5. **Nuclear extracts, immunoprecipitation, and immunoblotting**
   - HeLa cell nuclei (Dignam et al., 1983) were extracted with ice-cold 50 mM Tris-HCl, 250 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.2 mM NaVO$_4$, 5 mM β-glycerophosphate, pH 7.4 (T buffer). Insoluble material was centrifuged for 15 min at 15,000 g, and the supernatant was used for immunoprecipitation.

6. **Metabolic labeling with $[^{32}P]$Orthophosphate, Immunoprecipitation, RNase A Digestion, and Analysis of RNAs**
   - HeLa cells were grown for 24 h in phosphate-free RPMI 1640 containing 10% dialyzed FBS, 1% undialyzed FBS, and $[^{32}P]$Orthophosphate (0.5 mCi/ml). Cells were washed three times in ice-cold PBS, lysed in T buffer (see above), and centrifuged to remove insoluble material. The supernatant was used for immunoprecipitations as described above. To determine the content of $[^{32}P]$-labeled RNA in the complexes, immunoprecipitates were incubated with or without RNase A (5 μg/ml) and phenol extracted, ethanol precipitated, rehydrated in loading buffer, and resolved on a 5% or 10% polyacrylamide/urea gel as described (Sambrook et al., 1989). The gels were dried and processed for autoradiography.

**Results**

**mAbs H5 and H14 Bind to Different Phosphoepitopes on Pol II's CTD**

In a previous study we showed that pretreatment of Pol II LS with alkaline phosphatase abolishes binding of mAbs H5 and H14 (Bregman et al., 1995), suggesting that these mAbs may recognize phosphoepitopes on the CTD (Cadena and Dahmus, 1987; Zhang and Corden, 1991). However, we had no direct evidence to support this idea. Here, we asked whether mAbs H5 and H14 bind to recombinant human CTD-derived proteins expressed in HeLa cells (Materials and Methods). Interestingly, the recombinant CTD proteins lack a conventional nuclear localization signal, but they still accumulate in the nucleus (see Du and Warren, 1997). Furthermore, CTD proteins are apparently phosphorylated in vivo by endogenous protein kinase(s) to yield two species, “CTDa” and “CTDo” (Fig. 1A). Both antibodies recognize the full-length CTD (52 repeats) (Fig. 1A). Note that both mAbs bind strongly to CTDo, whereas only mAb H14 blots strongly to CTDa (Fig. 1A). Finally, in vitro pre-incubation of these CTDs with alkaline phosphatase abolishes binding by either mAb (data not shown).

Next, we asked whether mAbs H5 and H14 also bind to CTD epitopes on native Pol II molecules. Pol II was immunoprecipitated from HeLa cells with mAb H14 and digested with CNBr to prepare intact CTD fragments (Cadena and Dahmus, 1987). The immunoprecipitated, CNBr-digested Pol II molecules were subjected to SDS-PAGE and blotted with two antibodies known to recognize the CTD: mAb 8WG16 (Thompson et al., 1989) and anti-RPII (Kim and Dahmus, 1986) (Fig. 1B, lanes 2 and 4, respectively; CTD fragment is indicated by a bracket). mAb H14 also recognizes the chemically excised CTD peptide (Fig. 1B, lane 6, bracket). However, the H5 phosphoepitope is destroyed during the CNBr procedure (Fig. 1B, lane 8). Taken together, the above results indicate that mAbs H5 and H14 recognize distinct phosphoepitopes in Pol II’s CTD.

It is well established that the CTD is phosphorylated concomitantly with the initiation of transcription (see Introduction). In a study to be reported elsewhere, we have used an in situ photoaffinity labeling technique (Bartholomew et al., 1986) to show that mAbs H5 and H14 recognize a population of elongating Pol II molecules (Zeng et al., 1997). Indeed, multiple species of Pol II LS, which are differentially phosphorylated on the CTD, are transcriptionally active under the conditions of the nuclear run-on assay (Zeng et al., 1997). These findings are in agreement with previous transcription studies performed in vitro (Kang and Dahmus, 1993; Lu et al., 1991; Payne et al., 1993).
The overall level of Pol II transcription fluctuates widely during the cell cycle (Taylor, 1960; Prescott and Bender, 1962; Shermoen and O'Farrell, 1991). An abrupt cessation of transcription takes place at the onset of mitosis (Shermoen and O'Farrell, 1991; Ferreira et al., 1994), and abundant nonchromosomal Pol II is present during mitosis (Warren et al., 1992; Bregman et al., 1994). Pol II transcription resumes during late telophase and continues until G2/M (Ferreira et al., 1994). To better understand the functional significance of CTD phosphorylation, we asked whether the level of Pol IIo fluctuates in parallel with marked changes in transcriptional activity that takes place during the cell cycle (Fig. 2). HeLa cells were separated by centrifugal elutriation into 10 fractions, which were analyzed by flow cytometry. An equal number of cells from each fraction was solubilized in SDS sample buffer, subjected to SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with mAb H14 or H5 (Fig. 2, top panels). Lower panels A–D correspond to upper panels A–D. Numbers at margins of panels indicate apparent molecular weights in kilodaltons.

Pol IIo Is Associated with Sm snRNPs and SR Proteins In Vivo

Our previous observations that Pol IIo and multiple splicing factors are colocalized in nuclear domains (Bregman et al., 1994, 1995) prompted us to ask whether Pol IIo can be co-immunoprecipitated with splicing factors. Antibodies that bind to a variety of snRNPs and SR family proteins were used to immunoprecipitate complexes from HeLa cell nuclear extracts under nondenaturing conditions (Fig. 3). First, the presence of SR proteins and Sm snRNPs in the complexes was confirmed by immunoblotting; mAb Y12 immunoprecipitates the B/B Sm snRNPs, a figure not shown. In the presence of mAb Y12, Pol IIo was also co-immunoprecipitated with Sm snRNPs and SR proteins (Fig. 3). These results suggest that Pol IIo is associated with splicing factors in vivo.
~70-kD proteolytic fragment of the intron-binding protein (Pinto and Steitz, 1989), and several SR family proteins recognized by mAb 104 (data not shown). In addition, complexes prepared with mAbs 104 and 3C5 contain multiple SR family proteins as shown previously (Roth et al., 1991; Turner and Franchi, 1987; Blencowe et al., 1995; data not shown). To ascertain whether these previously reported immunoprecipitates also contain Pol IIo, additional samples were electrophoresed and immunoblotted with mAb H14 (Fig. 3, lanes 4–7). The results demonstrate that Pol IIo is co-immunoprecipitated with two mAbs directed at SR family proteins (Fig. 3, lanes 4 and 5). Pol IIo is also co-immunoprecipitated with mAb 7.13, which binds to the Sm snRNP D (Bloom et al., 1993) (Fig. 3, lane 7), and mAb Y12, which binds to Sm snRNPs B and B’ (Fig. 3, lane 6). We have compared the amount of Pol IIo immunoprecipitated by an excess of the following mAbs: 104, 3C5, Y12, 7.13, and H14. Quantitative scanning of three immunoblots similar to Fig. 3 indicates that the anti-Sm snRNP and anti-SR antibodies immunoprecipitate 5–20% as much Pol IIo from nuclear extracts as does anti-Pol IIo mAb H14 (Kim, E., L. Du, D.B. Bregman, and S.L. Warren, unpublished results).

Several control immunoprecipitations were performed to determine whether or not other proteins are present in these complexes (Fig. 3, lanes 10–21). One control is the nuclear mitotic apparatus protein (NuMA), a 236-kD protein, which has been reported to exist in a diffuse nucleoplasmic distribution (Yang et al., 1992; Compston et al., 1992) and in nuclear speckle domains during interphase (Zeng et al., 1994). NuMA is abundant in whole nuclear extracts (Fig. 3, lane 10), but it is not detected in immunoprecipitates prepared with mAbs 104, Y12, or H14 (Fig. 3, lanes 11–13). Another control, mAb B4A11, recognizes a ~300-kD nuclear matrix antigen and intensely stains speckle domains (Blencowe et al., 1994). Neither the ~300-kD protein, or the other proteins recognized by mAb B4A11 were found to co-immunoprecipitate with mAbs 104, Y12, or H14 (Fig. 3, lanes 14–17). A third control, mAb 3A7/64, recognizes the 64-kD subunit of cleavage stimulation factor (CstF), which participates in the processing of 3’ ends of pre-mRNAs (Takagaki et al., 1990). The p64 protein is readily detected in nuclear extracts, but not in immunoprecipitates prepared with mAbs 104, Y12, or H14 (Fig. 3, lanes 19–21). Finally, anti-NuMA, mAb B4A11, mAb 3A7/64, and several additional control mAbs directed at nuclear proteins failed to co-immunoprecipitate Pol IIo (Kim, E., L. Du, D.B. Bregman, and S.L. Warren, unpublished results). The above controls clearly show the specificity of Pol IIo’s association with the splicing factors (Fig. 3, lanes 4–7).

Repeated attempts to co-immunoprecipitate Sm snRNPs and SR proteins with mAbs H5 and H14 failed. In fact, in the presence of mAb H5 or mAb H14 (IgMs), Pol IIo is not co-immunoprecipitated with mAb Y12 (IgG) implying that these CTD-specific mAbs cause Pol IIo to be released from the complexes (unpublished results). In an attempt to co-immunoprecipitate SR proteins with a different antibody directed at Pol II, we chose mAb 8WG16. In contrast to mAbs H5 and H14, which are multivalent IgMs directed at phosphoepitopes on the CTD, mAb 8WG16 is a bivalent IgG directed against unphosphorylated epitope(s) on the CTD. To interpret such an experiment, it is essential to remember that even “hyperphosphorylated” Pol II molecules (i.e., Pol IIo species) contain many unphosphorylated serine and threonine residues on the CTD (Zhang and Corden, 1991). Thus, although mAb 8WG16 immunoprecipitates and blots predominantly hypophosphorylated Pol IIa molecules (~220 kD), it also immunoprecipitates and blots Pol IIo molecules (236 kD), albeit weakly (see Figure 3. The largest subunit of RNA polymerase II is associated with SR family proteins and Sm snRNPs. HeLa cell nuclear extracts were used for immunoprecipitations as described in the Materials and Methods. Immunoprecipitations with mAbs Y12 (anti-Sm), H5 (anti-Pol II CTD), H14 (anti-Pol II CTD), H22 (control IgM), 104 (anti-SR family proteins), and 3C5 (anti-SR family proteins), 7.13 (anti-Sm) and M2 (control IgG) were washed, boiled in SDS sample buffer, electrophoresed, and immunoblotted with mAb H14 (lanes 1–9), anti-NuMA (lanes 10–13), mAb B4A11 (lanes 14–17), mAb 3A7/64 (lanes 18–21), or mAb 104 (lanes 14–16). Blocks at left of lane 14, proteins recognized by nuclear matrix antibody B4A11. Blocks at right margin of lane 24, mAb 104-reactive SR proteins. Ig, mouse immunoglobulin μ chains, present only in samples immunoprecipitated with IgM antibodies; R, rabbit anti-mouse immunoglobulin added to immunoprecipitates and detected only in anti-NuMA blot. IIo, hyperphosphorylated form(s) of the largest subunit of RNA polymerase II. p64, cleavage stimulation factor (64 kD subunit). NuMA, nuclear mitotic apparatus protein, 236 kD. Numbers at margins of panels indicate apparent molecular weights in kilodaltons.
Bregman et al., 1995). In contrast to mAbs H5 and H14, mAb 8WG16 co-immunoprecipitates several mAb 104-reactive SR proteins (Fig. 3, lane 23).

**Anti-SR Specific mAb 104 Preferentially Co-immunoprecipitates Pol IIo**

Next, we asked whether the Pol II LS molecules that are associated with SR proteins have a hyperphosphorylated or hypophosphorylated CTD (Fig. 4). To answer this question, we have again taken advantage of mAbs H5, H14, and 8WG16, which recognized different phosphorylated forms of Pol II LS (Bregman et al., 1995). mAb H5 binds specifically to a subset of Pol IIo molecules, which migrate at ~240 kD (Bregman et al., 1995), whereas mAb H14 recognizes various phosphorylated forms that migrate between 220 and 240 kD (Bregman et al., 1995). mAb 8WG16 binds to unphosphorylated CTD epitopes (Thompson et al., 1989; Bregman et al., 1995), so it preferentially binds to Pol IIa (~220 kD). Recall that although mAb 8WG16 can recognize Pol IIo, mAbs H5 and H14 are much more sensitive probes for Pol IIo.

HeLa cell nuclear extracts were incubated with mAb 8WG16, mAb 104, mAb H22 (IgM control), or mAb M2 (IgG control). Immune complexes were captured on agarose beads, washed, boiled in SDS sample buffer, electrophoresed, and immunoblotted with mAb 8WG16, mAb H14, or mAb H5 (Fig. 4). The results show that mAb 104 co-immunoprecipitates Pol IIo (Fig. 4, lanes 8 and 13). Immunoblotting with mAb 8WG16 reveals only background levels of Pol IIa in the complexes (Fig. 4, compare lane 3 to lanes 4 and 5). The Pol IIo molecules which are co-immunoprecipitated with mAb 104 can be easily detected by mAbs H5 and H14, but mAb 8WG16 does not detect this subset of Pol IIo. The amount of Pol IIo in these complexes may be insufficient to be detected by mAb 8WG16. Alternatively, mAb 104 may co-immunoprecipitate a subset of Pol IIo molecules that has few unphosphorylated serine and threonine residues in the CTD.

**Pol IIo’s Association with Sm snRNPs and Certain SR Proteins Resists Exhaustive RNase Digestion**

One possible explanation for the above results is that the Pol IIo/SR protein/Sm snRNP “complexes” are transcriptionally engaged Pol IIo molecules connected to snRNPs and SR proteins via partially transcribed pre-mRNAs. If this is true, then RNase digestion should release Pol IIo from the immunoprecipitated complexes. To test this idea, we first analyzed the RNA content in the immunoprecipitated material (Fig. 5 A). HeLa cells were metabolically labeled with $[^{32}P]$orthophosphate, washed in PBS and extracted with T buffer (see Materials and Methods). The supernatant was used for immunoprecipitation with mAb Y12 (directed at Sm snRNPs) and mAb 104 (directed at SR family proteins), under non-denaturing conditions as in Figs. 3 and 4.

The results show that mAb Y12 co-immunoprecipitates multiple RNA species, including hnRNA and Sm snRNAs, whereas mAb 104 co-immunoprecipitates predominantly hnRNA (Fig. 5 A, lanes 2 and 5, respectively). Significantly, all $^{32}P$-labeled RNA species are destroyed by incubating the immunoprecipitated complexes with RNase A (Fig. 5 A, lanes 3 and 6, respectively). Despite the exhaustive RNase A treatment, the amount of Pol IIo which co-immunoprecipitates with the SR proteins is not diminished significantly (Fig. 5 B, compare lanes 2 and 8). RNase treatment reduced the amount of Pol IIo co-immunoprecipitating with Sm snRNPs in some experiments (Fig. 5 B, compare lanes 4 and 10), while minimal changes were detected in other experiments (Fig. 5 B, compare lanes 12 and 13).

**A Hyperphosphorylated Form of Pol II LS Is Associated with Sm snRNPs and SR Family Proteins during Mitosis**

The above results argue that Pol IIo’s association with mAb 104 reactive SR proteins and Sm snRNPs may not be
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**Figure 4.** Anti-SR specific mAb 104 preferentially co-immunoprecipitates Pol IIo. Nuclear extracts and immunoprecipitations were prepared as in Fig. 3. Immunoprecipitations were performed using mAbs indicated at the top of the panels and immunoblotting with mAbs at the bottom. *Extract,* HeLa cell nuclear extract. *Ig,* mouse immunoglobulin chains; *IIo,* hyperphosphorylated largest subunit of RNA polymerase II. *IIa,* hypophosphorylated largest subunit of RNA polymerase II. Numbers at margins of panels indicate apparent molecular weights in kilodaltons. Asterisks indicate mAb 8WG16 cross-reactive proteins at ~100 kD and ~85 kD.
To further explore this idea, we have taken advantage of mitotic cells, which do not synthesize RNA (Taylor, 1960; Prescott and Bender, 1962; Ferreira et al., 1994). In addition, nascent Pol II transcripts are aborted during mitosis (Shermoen and O'Farrell, 1991) and Pol II accumulates in nonchromosomal MIGs (Warren et al., 1992; Bregman et al., 1994). First, we compared the subcellular distributions of condensed mitotic chromosomes, Pol IIo and SC35 (a representative SR family splicing protein in MIGs) using imaging techniques that are superior to our earlier studies (Fig. 6, A–D). Prometaphase chromosomes are clearly visible as indicated by blue pseudocolor (Fig. 6 C). As shown by red pseudocolor in Fig. 6 A, SC35 is distributed exclusively in nonchromosomal dot-like MIGs, shown previously to harbor SR family proteins and Sm snRNPs (Spector et al., 1991; Ferreira et al., 1994). The distribution of mAb H5 reactive Pol IIo is more complex (Fig. 6 B, green pseudocolor). Note that SC35 and Pol IIo are precisely colocalized in the dot-like MIGs as indicated in the merged image (Fig. 6 D, yellow). This population of Pol IIo molecules is not associated with chromosomes. Another population of Pol IIo is distributed in a perichromosomal pattern (Fig. 6, B and D). Neither population of the polymerase can be transcriptionally engaged at this stage of the cell cycle, but all of the Pol II visualized in Fig. 6 is hyperphosphorylated on the CTD (mAb H5 recognizes only Pol IIo). Significantly, there is a striking colocalization of SC35 and Pol IIo in the MIGs.

The above results prompted us to ask whether Pol IIo can be co-immunoprecipitated with SR proteins and Sm snRNPs during mitosis. Thus, we prepared 99% pure mitotic HeLa cell extracts (Materials and Methods), which were used for immunoprecipitation with mAbs Y12, 3C5, 104, M2 (control IgG), and H22 (control IgM). The immunoprecipitates were washed extensively, boiled in SDS sample buffer, subjected to SDS-PAGE electrophoresis, transferred to nitrocellulose, and immunoblotted with anti-Pol II mAb H14. Ig, mouse immunoglobulin chains; IIo, hyperphosphorylated Pol II. Numbers at margins of panels indicate apparent molecular weights in kilodaltons.

**Figure 5.** Pol IIo’s association with SR proteins and Sm snRNPs resists RNase digestion. HeLa cells were grown overnight in low phosphate medium supplemented with [32P]orthophosphate (0.5 mCi/ml). The cells were extracted for immunoprecipitation as in Figs. 3 and 4. Immunoprecipitating antibodies include Y12 (anti-Sm snRNP), 104 (anti-SR family proteins), H14 (anti-Pol II), M2 (control IgG), and H22 (control IgM). Immunoprecipitates were washed extensively, and then incubated with RNase (+) or without RNase (−) as described in Materials and Methods. (A) RNA content of immunoprecipitates before and after RNase treatment. Immunoprecipitates prepared from [32P]-labeled cells were phenol extracted, ethanol precipitated, rehydrated in loading buffer, and resolved on a 5% (left panel) or 10% (right panel) polyacrylamide/urea gel. The gels were dried and processed for autoradiography. hnRNA, heterogeneous RNAs. U1, U2, U4, U5, and U6, U-rich Sm snRNAs. tRNA, transfer RNA. (B) Proteins in immunoprecipitates before and after RNase treatment. Immunoprecipitates prepared under nonradioactive, but otherwise identical conditions, to those in A. After the RNase digestion step, samples were boiled in SDS sample buffer, subjected to 7% SDS-PAGE electrophoresis, transferred to nitrocellulose, and immunoblotted with anti-Pol II mAb H14. Ig, mouse immunoglobulin chains; IIo, hyperphosphorylated Pol II. Numbers at margins of panels indicate apparent molecular weights in kilodaltons.

**Discussion**

In the present study, we first established that mAbs H5...
and H14 bind to phosphoepitopes on Pol II’s CTD (see Fig. 1). As expected from previous studies, these phosphoepitopes are present on transcriptionally engaged polymerase molecules (Zeng et al., 1997). But hyperphosphorylation of the CTD does not necessarily indicate that Pol II is transcriptionally engaged, since interphase cells and transcriptionally inactive mitotic cells have similar levels of Pol IIo (see Fig. 2). Taken together with the immunolocalization results on mitotic (Fig. 6) and interphase cells (Bregman et al., 1995), these data indicate that one fraction of Pol IIo molecules is transcriptionally engaged, while another fraction is not. The latter conclusion is further supported by recent immunolocalization studies using Triton X-100-permeabilized, transcriptionally active cells in which the majority of nascent, BrU-labeled transcripts are located in the nucleoplasm outside (or at the periphery) of the large Pol IIo-rich domains (Zeng et al., 1997). Thus, hyperphosphorylation of the CTD is poorly correlated with transcriptional activity, even though CTD phosphorylation takes place at the time when polymerase initiates transcription.

The discrete Pol IIo-rich domains are highly enriched with splicing factors (Bregman et al., 1995). These morphological observations led us to ask whether Pol IIo can be co-immunoprecipitated with Sm snRNPs and/or SR proteins. The results of the present study clearly show that Pol II molecules containing a hyperphosphorylated CTD associate with two classes of splicing factors, the Sm snRNPs and non-snRNP SR proteins (Figs. 3 and 4). Significantly, these associations resist extraction in vitro digestion with RNase A (Fig. 5), and Pol IIo co-immunoprecipitates with Sm snRNPs and SR proteins during mitosis, when transcription is inactive (Fig. 6). Taken together, these results indicate that transcriptionally unengaged, hyperphosphorylated Pol II molecules are associated with Sm snRNPs and certain SR proteins without the involvement of premRNA. Sm snRNPs and SR proteins may also be associated with transcriptionally engaged Pol IIo molecules, but this hypothesis cannot be tested directly at the present time since our reagents cannot distinguish transcriptionally active from unengaged Pol II molecules. Interestingly, a correlation between hyperphosphorylation of the CTD and in vivo splicing was made previously in a study of polytene nuclei in Drosophila, where a splicing factor was localized only at chromosomal sites containing Pol IIo (Weeks et al., 1993).

How can the results of the present study be reconciled with the mounting evidence that the CTD has a transcriptional function? First, the majority of studies indicating a transcriptional role for the CTD have focused on early steps of transcription; indeed, interactions involving the CTD during elongation and after Pol II terminates transcription have yet to be reported. Furthermore, with the exception of the SR-like proteins described by Corden and colleagues (Yuryev et al., 1996), all proteins reported to interact with the CTD recognize this repetitive domain in its unphosphorylated state (see Introduction). These reported interactions are consistent with promoter clearance models of CTD function, whereby unphosphorylated CTD heptapeptides tether Pol II complexes to promoter-associated transcription factors at the pre-initiation stage of the transcription cycle (see Introduction). But none of the reported interactions involving the unphosphorylated CTD preclude the possibility that the phosphorylated CTD may mediate Pol II’s association with Sm snRNPs, SR proteins, or other molecules. Similarly, our data indicating that hyperphosphorylated Pol II LS molecules associate with Sm snRNPs and SR proteins do not contradict the possibility...
that the unphosphorylated CTD regulates early step(s) in Pol II’s transcription cycle. Thus, after the CTD is phosphorylated, the promoter may “release” the polymerase complex, which initiates RNA synthesis and becomes competent to associate with Sm snRNPs and SR proteins (see below).

Genetic analyses of CTD function in S. cerevisiae have revealed no connections between the CTD and splicing proteins (see Introduction of the following paper). Inactivation of a temperature sensitive mutant CTD kinase (KIN28) promptly shuts down RNA synthesis (Cismowski et al., 1995), and partial truncation of Pol II’s CTD leads to diminished transcription of specific genes (reviewed in Young, 1991; Gerber et al., 1995). While both observations strongly suggest a transcriptional role for the CTD, the potential effects of CTD kinases or CTD truncations on cotranscriptional pre-mRNA splicing would not manifest themselves in the absence of pre-mRNA synthesis. Finally, mutant yeast SRE genes were genetically selected for their ability to suppress CTD truncation mutants, and in agreement with the CTD’s probable role in transcriptional initiation, they encode proteins which associate with basal transcription factors in the Pol II holoenzyme (for review see Koleske and Young, 1995). But these results do not contradict the possibility that the hyperphosphorylated CTD interacts with splicing factors.

In summary, we have provided strong evidence indicating that Pol IIo associates with splicing factors of two major families, the Sm snRNPs and the non-snRNP SR proteins. The splicing factors associate with Pol IIo, apparently without the direct involvement of pre-mRNA and at times when the polymerase is not transcribing. Our observation that certain SR proteins can be co-immunoprecipitated with mAb 5W61, but not with mAbs H5 and H14, suggests that Pol IIo’s association with SR proteins may involve CTD phosphoepitopes. In agreement with the data presented here, Pol IIo was recently shown to be associated with active spliceosomes assembled on exogenous RNA templates in vitro (Blencowe et al., 1996). The present study, in conjunction with other recent studies (Blencowe et al., 1996; Yuriev et al., 1996), suggests that a phosphorylated form of the CTD may mediate Pol IIo’s association with splicing factors. In an accompanying study, we pursue this idea by asking whether CTD-derived proteins affect splicing in vivo (Du and Warren, 1997).
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