Study of the Baseline Widal Titres among Healthy Population in a Tertiary Care Hospital in Central India

Authors
Nidhi Sharma1, Kharibam Surpati Devi2, Akhilesh P.S. Tomar3
1Assistant Professor, 2Tutor/Demonstrator & 3Assistant Professor
1,2Department of Microbiology, Sukh Sagar Medical College & Hospital
3Department of Microbiology, NSCB Government Medical College& Hospital, Jabalpur, M. P, India
Corresponding Author
Nidhi Sharma
Sukh Sagar Medical College & Hospital, Mukanwara Road, Jabalpur, M.P, India
Email: drnidz@gmail.com, +918839744727

Abstract
Background: In developing countries like India, Enteric fever is endemic. Widal agglutination test is used for its diagnosis. In a single serum sample the only test frequently relied upon for laboratory diagnosis is Widal test. A rising titre in paired sera or in single sera test if the antibody titres are higher than the cut-off value the test is considered positive. But in our area normal baseline titres in various individuals and cut-off values have not been established. So the aim of our study was to determine the baseline titres of antibodies in apparently healthy individuals and thereby define the significant titres of the Widal test.

Materials & Methods: 170 samples after being screened by Widal slide agglutination test and were further confirmed by the Quantitative tube agglutination test, to find out the baseline Widal titre among various individuals.

Result: The most frequently recorded titre for O agglutinins were 1:40 and for the H agglutinins were 1:40. The baseline anti-H agglutinin titre of the paratyphoid A (H) were 1:40 and Paratyphoid B (H) were 1:20.

Conclusion: In our locality for the diagnosis of enteric fever if a single Widal titre is used, probably the most appropriate cut-off titres against S. typhi being ≥ 1 in 80 for anti-O and > 1 in 80 for anti-‘H’ agglutinins.
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Introduction
In developing countries like India the major endemic health problem is enteric fever and continues to be a universal health problem, especially in tropics and subtropics [1]. In 2000, about 21.6 million people had typhoid fever. More than 90% cases in Asia only. In developing countries, these fevers are considered as a major cause of morbidity and mortality [2],[3]. Salmonella enterica subspecies enterica serotype Typhi and Salmonella enterica subspecies enteric serotype Paratyphi A and Paratyphi B caused enteric fever. Mode of transmission is by close contact with patients or carrier. Other than humans these enteric fever serotypes have no known hosts in contrast to other Salmonella serotypes. For diagnosis laboratory tests are essential, since the clinical presentation of enteric fever is non-
The definitive diagnosis and gold standard is by isolation of Salmonella enterica serotype Typhi, Paratyphi A and Paratyphi B from blood, stool or urine, bone marrow, etc. However, in countries like India, isolation of organism is often complicated by lack of facilities or inadequate and/or improper antibiotic use prior to culture and the rate of culture positivity is only 40-60% of cases usually in early course of disease \(^4\). In developing countries, for diagnosis of enteric fever Widal agglutination test has a greater scope. In diagnosis of enteric fever, Widal test though in vogue for more than hundred years is still plagued with controversy about its usefulness \(^5\).

The Widal test is reliable only when there is evaluation of four-fold rise in antibody titre in subsequent samples \(^6\). In developing countries like India, most of the patients attending hospital are often late; in that case they require an immediate diagnosis and a specific treatment. Taking in account the above facts, diagnosis of enteric fever relies upon a single sample, instead of paired serum samples \(^7\). In that case, a single cut-off value of baseline titre among healthy individuals is required to interpret and diagnose enteric fever \(^8\).

The significant titres of antibodies to ‘O’ and ‘H’ antigens varies and with time and place, since antibodies that react with Salmonella ‘O’ and ‘H’ antigens emerge in a variety of other conditions like malaria, dengue, other gram negative infections and in apparently healthy individuals in endemic areas \(^9\), \(^10\). In endemic areas among the various individuals the use and interpretation of single Widal test depends on result of the baseline titres. There by, for appropriate interpretation of the results, it is necessary to establish the baseline titres periodically, so as to define the significant titres of ‘O’ and ‘H’ antibodies.

**Objectives**

The objective of the present study is to determine the base line titres of antibodies for each of the ‘O’ and ‘H’ antigens of Salmonella enterica serotype Typhi, Paratyphi ‘A’ & Paratyphi ‘B’ and thereby define the significant titres of Widal test in a single sera among patients attending the laboratory for investigations other than that for enteric fever.

**Materials & Methods**

A cross-sectional study was conducted in the Department of Microbiology under serology section at NSCB Medical College & hospital in Jabalpur.

The study protocol and objectives was duly explained and after obtaining from apparently healthy volunteers of both the sexes and of the age groups which ranged from 18 to 50 years. A total of 170 non-repetitive blood samples from the Patients attending to the Microbiology laboratory for various investigations other than for enteric fever with no history of fever in the preceding six months and not vaccinated for typhoid in the preceding three years were included in the study. About 5 ml of venous blood sample was collected from each participant, left to clot for 15 minutes at room temperature. Sera were separated by using micropipette. The separated sera were properly labelled and stored in 2-8°C for no more than seven days. All serum samples were further subjected to standard tube agglutination method for the determination of antibodies against the antigens of *Salmonella typhi* ‘O’, ‘H’ and *Salmonella paratyphi* ‘AH’, ‘BH’ as per standard guidelines.

A commercially available antigens which contained Typhi- O & H, Paratyphi-A(H), B(H) were used, which were procured from Tulip diagnostic Ltd. Serial dilutions of serum were done from 1:20 to 1:640 and a drop of appropriate antigens was added to each tubes. Incubation condition for ‘H’ agglutinations was 37°C for 4 hours; results were noted after standing on the bench for 30 minutes. Similarly, for ‘O’ agglutinations, test tubes were incubated at 37°C for 4 hours and result were noted after overnight refrigeration at 4°C. A known negative & Positive control sera was included in each batch of the tests.
for the reliability of test results [4]. All samples of blood donors (n=170) were screened by immunochromatography strip for Malaria parasite, HBsAg, HIV (Tridot), HCV (Tridot) & T. pallidum (RPR).

Highest dilutions of serum for anti-O, anti-H, anti-AH and anti-BH agglutinins showing visible agglutination were taken as end-point titre.

**Observations & Results**

A total of 170 blood samples were collected from the apparently healthy individuals and were further subjected to standard quantitative Widal tube agglutination test to find out baseline Widal titre among healthy individuals. The test results were obtained as per standard criteria for the interpretation of Widal tube agglutination test.

[Table:1] Correlation of results with age and sex was also made.

**Table 1: End titres against different serotypes of Salmonella enterica (N=170)**

| Serotype          | Antibody Type | No. of Agglutination | No and % of positive samples | Dilutions |
|-------------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| S. typhi          | Anti-TO       | 11 (6.47)            | 164 (96.47)                  | 1:20      |
|                   |               |                      |                              | 1:40      |
|                   |               |                      |                              | 1:80      |
|                   |               |                      |                              | 1:160     |
|                   |               |                      |                              | 1:320     |
|                   |               |                      |                              | 1:640     |
| S. typhi          | Anti-TH       | 5 (3.23)             | 167 (98.23)                  | 1:20      |
|                   |               |                      |                              | 1:40      |
|                   |               |                      |                              | 1:80      |
|                   |               |                      |                              | 1:160     |
|                   |               |                      |                              | 1:320     |
|                   |               |                      |                              | 1:640     |
| S. paratyphi A    | Anti-AH       | 106 (63.82)          | 63 (36.76)                   | 1:20      |
|                   |               |                      |                              | 1:40      |
|                   |               |                      |                              | 1:80      |
|                   |               |                      |                              | 1:160     |
|                   |               |                      |                              | 1:320     |
|                   |               |                      |                              | 1:640     |
| S. paratyphi B    | Anti-BH       | 158 (92.94)          | 12 (7.05)                    | 1:20      |
|                   |               |                      |                              | 1:40      |
|                   |               |                      |                              | 1:80      |
|                   |               |                      |                              | 1:160     |
|                   |               |                      |                              | 1:320     |
|                   |               |                      |                              | 1:640     |

**Table 2: Demographic distribution of individuals according to age group and sex**

| Total Participants | Frequency | %  |
|--------------------|-----------|----|
|                    | 170       | 100|
| Sex                |           |    |
| Male               | 129       | 76 |
| Female             | 41        | 24 |
| Age groups         |           |    |
| 16-20 years        | 19        | 11 |
| 21-30 years        | 91        | 54 |
| 31-40 years        | 51        | 30 |

**Discussion**

Early diagnosis of Enteric fever is important for outpatient therapy. Bacterial culture remains the gold standard for definitive diagnosis, but in resource constraint setting, it is not feasible to do on routine basis. Widal test is widely used in developing countries due to its cost, is easy to perform and rapid result within a minute. Widal agglutination test serve as one of the best alternative to detect anti-salmonella antibody directed against Salmonella typhi O, H and Salmonella paratyphi AH, BH antigens.

Studies conducted in different parts of our country, showed that baseline antibody titre for Salmonella typhi and Salmonella paratyphi varies in different geographical areas. Evaluation of baseline antibody titre among healthy individuals in and around Jabalpur region for S. typhi-O and S. typhi-H is >1:80 and >1:80 as being of diagnostic significance.
The baseline antibody titre for paratyphoid A(H) were 1:40 (17.94%) and Paratyphoid B(H) were 1:20 (7.05%). Based on these findings, significant diagnostic titre for Paratyphi A(H) is >1:40 and for Paratyphi B(H) is >1:20 in our region.

In a study carried out by Madhusudhan NS et.al [11]. They observed that the baseline antibody titre for S.typhi-O was 1:40 & for S.typhi-H was 1:80 which is almost in accordance with titre observed in present study.

Similarly, in a study carried out by Shekhar Pal et.al [12]. They found the baseline antibody titre for S.typhi-O was 1:40 & for S.typhi-H was 1:80 which is almost in accordance with present study. The results of present study comparable with some other studies carried out by Kulkarni and Bharat [8] and Rego et al [13].

In a study conducted by Shekar Pal et al. [12] he observed that baseline titre for S.paratyphi-A(H) & B(H) was 1:20 respectively, which is in concordance with present study; we found titre for S.paratyphi-A(H) was 1:40 and for S.paratyphi-B (H) was 1:20.

In present study, it was observed that the frequency of Antibodies for Salmonella typhi A & H is more than Salmonella paratyphi AH & BH, which indicates that the exposure to Salmonella paratyphi AH & BH is less in our study population which is similar to some other studies carried out in our country.

Conclusion

More than fifty percent of healthy participants (52%) were positive to agglutinins for serotypes of Salmonella. The isolation of the various strains of Salmonella enterica subspecies enterica from blood remains the gold standard for the diagnosis of enteric fever. In the Indian subcontinent, many clinics and hospitals do not have a ready access to the blood culture method, thus making the Widal tube agglutination test the most common alternative laboratory procedure for the diagnosis of enteric fever. Hence evaluation of baseline Widal titre from single serum sample is mandatory for proper interpretation Widal test to guide the clinicians. So the present study concludes that the cut-off values for TO, TH, A(H), B(H), are 1:40, 1:40, 1:40, and 1:20 respectively for the interpretation of Widal test and the diagnosis of enteric fever in this region.
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