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Abstract

Research on the *Anguilla bicolor bicolor* McClelland, 1844 eels has been widely proposed, but study related to air oxygen uptake through gills and skin for *A. bicolor* has not been found. This information is very important in the development of future cultivation technology. This study aims to analyze the oxygen uptake of *A. bicolor bicolor* through gills and skin, blood biochemistry, blood image and histology to air exposure. Eels were exposed to air for one hour (A), two hours (B) and three hours (C), and eels in the water were used as control. A total of 66 fish (77.68±1.54 g) of eels were imported from Bogor City, Indonesia. Oxygen uptake from the air through the gills and skin reduced with longer exposure time. After 3 hours of exposure time, the percentage of oxygen uptake through the gills decreased from 64.52% to 54.29% and the percentage of oxygen uptake through the skin increased from 35.48% to 45.71%. Eels use different proportions of their gills and skin together when exposed to air. Air exposure affected the blood biochemistry values, blood image and showed the highest values on air exposure for 3 hours.

Introduction

*Anguilla bicolor bicolor* McClelland, 1844 is a type of shortfin eel which is found in most waters in Indonesia (Affandi et al., 1995; Chino & Arai, 2010; Arai, 2013, Arai & Kadir, 2017; Arai & Chino, 2018). According to Robinet and Feunteun (2002); Haryono and Wahyudewantoro (2016) spawning locations for *A. bicolor bicolor* eel is one of which is in the Indonesian Ocean, southwestern part of Sumatra. *A. bicolor bicolor* is a catadromic species, which spawns in the sea and grows in fresh water and returns to the sea to spawn after maturing (McKinnon, 2006; Arai & Chino, 2019).

During the migration, *A. bicolor bicolor* eels often face to face extreme water conditions both in quality and quantity (Tesch, 2003). When migrating to the upstream (fresh water), eels sometimes encounter limited water, semi-dry rivers, and receding seawater. These conditions sometimes cause eels to be directly exposed to air (Hyde et al., 1987).

Direct exposure to air in a relative long time allows eels to face hypoxic and hypercapnia conditions. Hyde and Perry (1987) explained that eels that are out of water for 36 hours are able to withstand hypoxemia and acidosis with anaerobic respiration mechanisms due to from a large loss of oxygen in the gills. Harianto et al.,
(2020) reported that eels can survive outside of water for 3 days or 72 hours because they have an additional respiratory organ, namely the skin. The skin is an additional respiration tool for eels and is used when dissolved oxygen is low. Berg and Steen (1965) stated that when eels are in the water, 90% of oxygen is absorbed in the gills while in the air about two-thirds of oxygen consumption is absorbed by the skin. The structure of the skin of eels is strong and resistant to external effects, especially in the epidermis with a thick chorium structure which allows all oxygen needs to be fulfilled quickly (Tesch, 2003).

Another consequence that will occur if the eels are outside the water medium is the disruption of physiological processes, including the respiratory system and blood biochemical indicators. In the gills, the filaments will stick to each other and result in non-functioning gas exchange by other organs (gill lamellae, respiratory tract). (Cook et al., 2015; Ferguson and Tufts 1992). Aerobic respiratory system that is not functioning or stopped will force fish to do anaerobic respiration. This condition causes the accumulation of carbon dioxide and lactic acid, thereby reducing the pH concentration in the blood (Ferguson and Tufts 1992; Suski et al. 2004). Other physiological impacts will be seen as long as the fish are exposed to air, in other words, the fish are in an asphyxia condition where the hormonal response will occur with the active hypothalamic-pituitary-interrenal process, thereby triggering an increase in the distribution of lactic acid, cortisol and glucose (Cook et al. 2015; Arends. et al. 1999) These physiological and respiratory responses indicate that fish are under stress, which will directly affect growth and production performance.

Physiological responses and respiratory adaptation when eels are out of water are interesting to study as the basis for developing cultivation systems and transportation processes. Several related studies have been reported for European eel A. anguilla, (Krogh, 1904; Berg & Steen, 1965, 1966), American eel A. rostrata (Hyde et al., 1987; Hyde & Perry, 1987; Tomie et al. al., 2013), and the Asian short-finned eel A. australis schmidtii (Forster, 1981). No data and available information available for eel A. bicolor bicolor regarding physiological responses and respiratory adaptation outside water. The objective of this study is to analyze the oxygen uptake responses of A. bicolor bicolor eels through gills and skin, blood biochemistry, blood images, and histology to air exposure.

**Materials and Methods**

**Experimental Fish Preparation**

A total of 66 elver eels as experimental fish were acquired from eel farmers in Bogor City, Indonesia with an average weight of 77.68±1.54 g. Three eels (78.83±2.31 g) were used to measure the air oxygen uptake through the gills, the other three (80.60±2.55 g) were used to measure the air oxygen uptake through the skin. The remaining 60 eels were utilized for physiological response analysis, including blood biochemistry, blood images and gill and skin histology.

**Respirometer Preparation**

This study used a volumetric respirometer to measure the oxygen uptake of eels from the air. The usage of a respirometer refers to Elliot (1968); Scholander et al. (1955) with minor modifications (Figure 1). Modifications were made by adding water to the aquarium as a medium that aims to maintain temperature stability. The respirometer was equipped with a U-shaped tube placed on the wall of a scale board, eosin solution, KOH 90%, vaseline and a mercury thermometer.

![Figure 1. Volumetric respirometer design](image-url)
Research Design

The research design was carried out in two separate parts. The first was the measurement of air oxygen uptake through the gills and skin and the second was histological analysis of the gills and skin, blood biochemical analysis and blood pictures. The study used a completely randomized design (CRD) with three treatments, namely eels exposed to air for one hour (A), two hours (B) and three hours (C), eels in the air were used as a control. Measurement of oxygen uptake was carried out alternately, because there was only one respirometer. Measurement of air oxygen uptake through gills and skin using test fish as many as 6 individuals, 3 individuals for gill absorption and 3 individuals for skin absorption. Data was taken every 15 minutes for 3 hours on each test fish and served according to the treatment. So from a fish was got oxygen uptake data for 1, 2 and 3 hours.

Histological and skin analysis measurements, blood biochemistry and blood pictures were carried out according to the treatment in plastic containers measuring 68 cm × 47 cm × 39 cm, these containers were used for fish analysis when exposed to the air. The number of containers used was 12 units. Nine units of containers for treatment A, B and C, each containing 3 replications, as well as 3 units of other containers used as control containers where eels were kept in water using the same plastic container. In treatments A, B and C, they were left empty (without air) and placed indoors, each container filled with five eels. The test fish were left in the appropriate container for treatment. The test fish were taken at the end of the treatment with the distribution of one individual eel used for histological analysis of gills and skin and four others used for biochemical analysis of blood and images.

Blood Sampling

Blood samples were taken by anesthetizing the eels with a stabilizer at a dose of 1 mL 2L⁻¹. A total of two blood samples were collected at the upper part between the anus and the tip of the anal fin. The first blood sample was taken as much as 0.5 mL with a syringe containing an anticoagulant (Na-citrate) and put into an Eppendorf tube according to the treatment. The second blood sample was taken as much as 1.5 mL without anticoagulant and put into the EDTA tube according to the treatment. Both procedures occurred in 10-15 minutes. The first blood sample was set for blood image analysis and the second blood sample was set for the biochemical analysis of blood.

Air Oxygen Uptake Through Gills and Skin

The uptake of oxygen from the air through the skin and gills by the eels was measured and observed using a volumetric respirometer. The uptake of oxygen through the gills was carried out by means of the base of the pectoral fin until the end of the test fish tail is closed with latex rubber and tied with thread, then closed again with crepe paper tape, then tied back with thread. The test fish was rewrapped with waring and given a weight then put into the Erlenmeyer tube. The absorption of oxygen from the air through the skin is carried out by means of the tip of the head until the gills are coverred with latex rubber and tied with threads, then covered again with tape from crepe paper, then tied back with threads. After the head was wrapped with rubber and tape, the test fish was rewrapped with waring and given a weight and then put into the Erlenmeyer tube.

The procedure for calculating and converting air oxygen uptake through the gills and skin was carried out by calculating the difference in the height of eosin movement (Tt-T0 in mL) then converted into weight units of test eels and time of observation. The calculation is in accordance with the formula:

$$Vol O_2 = phi \times r^2 \times (ht - h0)/w/t$$

$$Vol O_2 =$$ Oxygen volume (mg O₂ g⁻¹ hour)
$$r =$$ Radius of tube U (cm)
$$h0 =$$ Initial height of eosin (cm)
$$ht =$$ Eosin height at time t (cm)
$$w =$$ Fish weight (g)
$$t =$$ Observation time (hour)

Percentage of Air Oxygen Uptake

The percentage of air oxygen uptake through the gills and skin is calculated using the following formula:

Gills oxygen uptake % = \( \frac{Oxygen absorption}{Total oxygen uptake} \times 100 \)

Skin oxygen uptake % = \( \frac{Oxygen absorption}{Total oxygen uptake} \times 100 \)

Blood Biochemistry Analysis

The analysis was carried out on blood glucose (BG), total protein (TP), total cholesterol (TC), total triglycerides (TG), serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase (SGPT), serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase (SGOT), and alkaline phosphatase (ALP). Blood samples from the EDTA tube were taken with a 1 mL syringe, then transferred into the analysis tube and put into the ARKRAY blood chemical analyzer machine (SPOTCHEM-EZ sp 4430). Paper test indicators were prepared and arranged on a panel inside the tool. The blood chemical analyzer machine was set and coded according to the treatment, the analysis on the machine lasted 10 minutes, the results automatically came out in the form of a print out.
Blood Image Analysis

Blood image analysis included red blood cells (RBC), white blood cells (WBC), hemoglobin (HB) and hematocrit (He). The amount of RBC and WBC was calculated according to Blaxhall & Daisley, (1973), HB levels were carried out by the Sahli method with a sahlinometer (Wedemeyer & Yasutake, 1977), and He levels were calculated according to (Anderson & Siwicki, 1993).

Histological Analysis

Gills and skin samples were collected by anesthetic overdose of the fish using a stabilizer then were cut at the base of the head to take the gills and the back of the fish was cut vertically to remove the skin using scissors and tweezers. The histology preparations of skin and gill organs were made according to the method of Angka et al. (1990) and carried out by fixing the organ samples with Bouin's solution, dehydrated in an alcohol solution ranging from 70% to absolute, then infiltrated and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE) and then the preparations were observed under a microscope with a magnification of 40x. The results of the analysis are in the form of images equipped with a 50 µm bar scale.

Data Analysis

The data obtained were tabulated with Microsoft Excel 2013 program. Data on air oxygen uptake through gills and skin, blood biochemical analysis, and blood images were analyzed by ANOVA at 95% confidence interval using SPSS 22.0 program. If there was a significant difference, further tests were carried out using the Duncan test method to see the differences between treatments. Histological analysis is presented in the form of images and being analyzed descriptively.

Results

The uptake of oxygen from the air through the skin and gills by eels was measured and observed with a volumetric respirometer, the value of carbon dioxide was not measured and assumed to be absorbed by the KOH compound on the respirometer (Scholander & Edwards, 1942). The water temperature outside the respirometer was 26 °C-27 °C. The uptake of oxygen from the air through the gills and skin is shown in Table 1. Air exposure given to eels showed a significant difference (P<0.05) for the oxygen uptake from the air through the gills, while it did not have significant effect for the oxygen uptake from the air through the gills and skin and for the oxygen uptake from the air through the skin (Table 3). The oxygen uptake of eels from the air through the gills and skin both reduced with increasing observation time. The air oxygen uptake of eels through the gills for 1, 2 and 3 hours, respectively, was 0.10, 0.06 and 0.03 mgO2/hour. The oxygen uptake through the skin for 1, 2 and 3 hours, respectively, was 0.05, 0.04 and 0.03 mgO2/hour. The percentage of oxygen uptake from the air through the gills decreased over time from 64.52% - 54.29%, while the percentage of oxygen uptake from the air through the skin increased from 35.48% - 45.71%. The highest total oxygen uptake was still found when the eels were in the water with an average absorption of 0.133 mlO2 g-1 hour-1.

Blood biochemistry responses had significant effect (P<0.05) on BG, TC, TG, SGPT, SGOT and ALP but had no significant effect (P>0.05) on TP (Table 2). The TC and TG values of treatment C were 265,251 mg /dL and 558.20 mg /dL, respectively. These values were higher than treatment A and B. The values of BG, SGPT, SGOT and ALP in treatment C, which were 178.17 mg /dL,

---

**Table 1.** The oxygen uptake of *A. bicolor bicolor* through the gills and skin on air exposure for 1 hour (A), 2 hours (B), and 3 hours (C).

| Treatments | Repetition | Fish sample number (gills) | Fish sample number (skin) | Oxygen uptake form (mgO2/g/hour) | Air total oxygen uptake (mgO2/g/hour) | Uptake percentage (%) |
|------------|------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| A (1 jam)  | 1          | 1                          | 4                         | Water 0.09, Skin 0.08             | Gill 0.17, Skin 0.13                 | Gill 52.44, Skin 47.56 |
|            | 2          | 2                          | 5                         | *0.133*                          | 0.12, 0.04                           | 77.48, 22.52           |
|            | 3          | 3                          | 6                         | 0.08, 0.05                        | 0.13                                 | 63.63, 36.37           |
|            |            |                            |                            | **Average 0.10**                  | **0.05**                             | **64.52**              |
|            |            |                            |                            | **Standard error of mean 0.02**   | **0.02**                             | **12.54**              |
| B (2 jam)  | 1          | 1                          | 4                         | Water 0.06, Skin 0.05             | Gill 0.11, Skin 0.11                 | Gill 51.25, Skin 48.75 |
|            | 2          | 2                          | 5                         | *0.133*                          | 0.08, 0.03                           | 73.95, 26.05           |
|            | 3          | 3                          | 6                         | 0.05, 0.03                        | 0.08                                 | 60.13, 39.87           |
|            |            |                            |                            | **Average 0.06**                  | **0.04**                             | **61.78**              |
|            |            |                            |                            | **Standard error of mean 0.01**   | **0.01**                             | **11.44**              |
| C (3 jam)  | 1          | 1                          | 4                         | Water 0.03, Skin 0.04             | Gill 0.07, Skin 0.07                 | Gill 39.82, Skin 60.18 |
|            | 2          | 2                          | 5                         | *0.133*                          | 0.04, 0.02                           | 68.11, 31.89           |
|            | 3          | 3                          | 6                         | 0.03, 0.02                        | 0.05                                 | 54.95, 45.05           |
|            |            |                            |                            | **Average 0.03**                  | **0.03**                             | **54.29**              |
|            |            |                            |                            | **Standard error of mean 0.01**   | **0.01**                             | **14.16**              |

Data are presented as mean ± standard error of mean. No statistical analyzes were performed on fish control treatment. The values with same letters in the same line indicate non-significant differences (P>0.05) in 5% significance level. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Duncan’s multiple-range test was used to test significant differences among groups. *Kim et al. 2014
Eel (A. bicolor bicolor) is a fish that can live in extreme water conditions (Tesch, 2003). One of the extreme conditions often experienced by eels is a change in water to dry conditions when migrating. Eel species (A. bicolor bicolor) can live for three days in dry conditions and six days in humid conditions (Harianto et al., 2020). The ability to live in these conditions is supported by the mechanism of respiration, namely by using the skin as an additional respiratory organ when the eels are in less oxygen condition in water. Tesch (2003) stated that eels use their skin to breathe when they are outside and use their gills when they are in the water. Research on air oxygen uptake by fish has previously been carried out with volumetric respirometers (Scholander & Edwards, 1942; Scholander, 1949). The uptake of air oxygen through the skin of A. anguilla eel species is 60% at 22 °C, A. vulgaris species is 67% at 7 °C and 45% at 15 °C (Krogh, 1904; Berg & Steen, 1965).

In this study, the eels used as experimental fish was A. bicolor bicolor. The uptake of air oxygen through the skin and gills was carried out at a temperature of 26 °C. Based on the data in Table 1, it can be seen that there is a decrease in the percentage of air oxygen uptake through the gills and an increase in the percentage of oxygen uptake through the skin. The percentage of air oxygen uptake through the gills was 64.52% (Treatment A), 61.78% (Treatment B), 54.29% (Treatment C). The percentage of air oxygen uptake through the skin was 35.48% (Treatment A), 38.22% (Treatment B), 45.71% (Treatment C). The percentage of air oxygen uptake through the skin in this study was lower than the results by Krogh (1904) and Berg and Steen (1965) which stated that the air oxygen absorption of eels through the skin was 60% and 40% through gills. This is greatly influenced by the air exposure time. The air exposure in this study was still relatively short for this species, eels still kept water reserves in the gill cavities which were used as oxygen reserves when outside the water medium, so that the skin did not function optimally in absorbing oxygen. However, trends have shown that skin respiration begins to dominate with increasing observation time. Eel is a group of fish that is able to absorb oxygen directly from the air through the skin (Graham, 1997) so that this fish is capable to adapt to the low oxygen conditions experienced during upstream migration (fresh water) or when eels dig holes for hiding (Van Waarde et al., 1983, Hyde et al., 1987, Graham, 1997).

### Table 2. Blood biochemical parameters of A. bicolor bicolor on air exposure for 1 hour (A), 2 hours (B), and 3 hours (C).

| Parameters | Control (0) | A (1) | B (2) | C (3) |
|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|
| BG (mg/dL) | 78.60±16.52 | 99.33±18.06 | 161.00±10.21 | 178.17±17.62 |
| TP (g/dL)  | 7.50±2.31  | 6.87±1.10 | 7.77±1.54 | 7.63±5.04 |
| TC (mg/dL) | 146.66±10.67 | 126.20±5.54 | 128.57±8.58 | 265.25±13.41 |
| TG (mg/dL) | 213.1±11.24 | 330.45±7.28 | 398.00±7.95 | 558.20±25.74 |
| SGPT (IU/L)| 1.33±0.08  | 5.94±2.68 | 29.00±7.07 | 33.87±3.28 |
| SGOT (IU/L)| 24.26±2.62 | 13.22±4.52 | 55.95±16.76 | 60.27±7.35 |
| ALP (IU/L) | 341.21±20.90 | 274.25±7.36 | 437.00±19.80 | 457.00±5.66 |

Data are presented as mean ± standard error of mean. No statistical analyses were performed on fish control treatment. The values with same letters in the same line indicate non-significant differences (P>0.05) in 5% significance level. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Duncan’s multiple-range test was used to test significant differences among groups; BG: blood glucose, TP: total protein, TC: total cholesterol, TG: total triglycerides, SGPT: serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase, SGOT: serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase, ALP: alkaline phosphatase.

### Table 3. The hematological parameters of A. bicolor bicolor on air exposure in for 1 hour (A), 2 hours (B), and 3 hours (C).

| Parameters | Control (0) | A (1) | B (2) | C (3) |
|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|
| RBC (x 10^6 cells/mm³) | 2.67±0.12 | 1.86±0.27 | 1.79±0.06 | 2.00±0.3 |
| WBC (x 10^3 cells/mm³) | 9.76±0.82 | 8.08±1.66 | 7.06±0.70 | 8.11±1.34 |
| Hb (g%)   | 13.8±1.00 | 11.27±1.29 | 10.93±0.99 | 10.33±2.48 |
| He (%)    | 37.5±2.28 | 29.73±1.29 | 30.33±3.1 | 31.71±1.89 |

Data are presented as mean ± standard error of mean. No statistical analyses were performed on fish control treatment. The values with same letters in the same line indicate non-significant differences (P>0.05) in 5% significance level. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Duncan’s multiple-range test was used to test significant differences among groups; RBC = red blood cells, WBC = white blood cells, Hb = hemoglobin, HE = haematocrit.
Eels have a high ability among other teleost fish which makes them able to live on land in a short time (Lindsey, 1978).

Air exposure has a direct effect on physiological process of the fish. The condition outside the water media resembles a hypoxic condition and will further affect the respiration process, namely the bonding of the gill lamellae and causing the failure of aerobic respiration (Ferguson & Tufts, 1992). The longer the fish are exposed to air is the main reason for acute stress because the hypothalamic-pituitary-interrenal axis triggers a physiological stress response which results in increasing lactic acid, glucose, cortisol and other blood chemicals (Tahmasebi-Kohyani et al., 2012). Air exposure to fish causes a decrease in TP (Jackim & La Roche, 1973). In contrast to the TP value, the TC and TG values of Treatment C (126-265 g /dL - 330-558 g /dL) showed the highest values compared to other treatments. Increased levels of TC and TG is a stress indicator of eels where total lipids are maximally synthesized to be converted to cholesterol and triglycerides so that they can be used as an energy source. In addition, the condition of air exposure affects impaired liver function, where in the production of ATP multiplies from the breakdown of glycogen in both the muscles and the liver. Disruption of air exposure requires eels to remain stable and live towards homeostatic conditions so that they require high energy. Increased TC and TG are part of liver dysfunction and lipid metabolism disorders (Javed et al., 2017). The composition of SGPT, SGOT and ALP in Treatment C were 33.87, 60.27 and 457.00 I / UL, respectively. These values are higher than Treatment A but were as the same as Treatment B. The SGPT and SGOT values are groups of enzymes that are directly related to liver function, while ALP is related to bone metabolism.

The histology of the gills and skin of A. bicolor bicolor on air exposure in for 1 hour (A), 2 hours (B), and 3 hours (C) air exposures. N = Necrosis, H = Hyperplasia, EE = Epidermal erosion, Hematoxylin-Eosin staining 40x (f) bar = 50 µm.
related to the liver, the increase in SGPT is often used as an indicator of liver function damage (Kulkarni & Pruthviraj, 2016). ALP is an enzyme that functions to convert organic into inorganic phosphate. This enzyme also plays a role in responding to tissue damage, especially the liver, so it is widely used in toxicological studies (Triphati & Singh, 2003). The increase in the value of SGPT, SGOT and ALP in this study was the effect of air exposure, the longer the eels are exposed to air, the longer eels continue to be in a hypoxic and hypercapnia state. This condition has a direct effect on the performance of the liver in producing energy, a liver that works harder will experience malfunctioning. SGPT and SGOT values will increase if there is liver damage such as hepatocellular necrosis and cause intracellular release of enzymes into the blood (Yang & Chen, 2003; Perez-Rostro et al., 2004; Hidayaturrahmah et al., 2015). Sripriya et al. (2015) reported that there was a seasonal fluctuation in the value of SGPT and SGOT in A. bicolor eels. An increase in ALP greater than 50% occurred when the eels were exposed to propanyl with a control ALP value (35.46 nmol/min/mL) (Sancho et al., 2016).

Blood image is one of the physiological responses in seeing the health status of fish caused by stress factors so that it can provide a comparative reference in aquaculture (Fazio et al., 2012; Dikic et al., 2013). Air exposure is closely related to hypoxic conditions which can cause an increase in the value of HR, Hb and He in the blood (Wells & Baldwin, 2006; Abdel-Tawwab et al., 2014, 2015). Based on the results of the study, it can be seen that all blood image parameters show the same response for all treatments (Table 3). The same results were also found in eels that were kept at high density and showed the same blood image response (Harianto et al., 2014). Blood image parameters have not shown specific results for air exposure, this is due to the relatively short exposure time, so that the eels are still able to maintain their physiological conditions. Eels are strong fish with extreme environmental changes and are able to live for 36 and 72 hours (Hyde & Perry, 1987; Tesch, 2003; Methling, 2015).

Histology is one of the important aspects in observing the health status of fish by detecting at the beginning that fish are experiencing stress due to disease attacks or other factors that are impossible to see with a rough examination (Mumford, 2004) and is often used as biomarkers of fish health (De Domenico et al., 2013; Cappello et al., 2016; Yancheva et al., 2016). Changes in the histological structure of several vital organs of eel occur due to disease attacks and contaminants that take place in the waters (Hangalapura et al., 2007; Caruso et al., 2009; Capaldo et al., 2018; Harianto et al., 2020).

Air exposure treatments given to eels in this study had an effect on changes in the histology structure of the gills and skin. In the gill organs, necrosis of the lamellae was found and hyperplasia on the gill filaments. This occurred due to a disturbance in the gas exchange process, the balance of the liquid entering and leaving was irregular, causing the gills to experience a little dryness of the liquid. Necrosis and hyperplasia also occurred in A. japonica eels due to exposure to metal mercury and infection with Herpesvirus anguillae (Tang et al., 2019). Hyperplasia also appeared in A. bicolor eels that were maintained with low water levels (Harianto et al., 2020). Hyperplasia and necrosis are common in mucus-secreting organs (Flores-Lopes & Thomaz 2011). Hyperplasia results in reducing the ability of heart to pump blood, and accumulating excessive amounts of mucus so that it interferes with breathing and in serious infections, gill damage can occur evenly. This hyperplasia will then be followed by cell necrosis and ultimately kill all cells in the gills (Bullock, 1990; Setyawwan 2015).

The structure of the skin of eels consists of three main layers, namely the epidermis, dermis and subcutis layers (Caruso et al., 2009). In addition, air exposure in this study caused epidermal erosion of the skin organs and increased mucus secretion. This is an adaptation mechanism to maintain the condition of body against environmental changes. Compared to other fish, eel skin has a thick structure and has a large enough capacity to secrete mucus. This is useful in preventing dry skin and staying moisturized and protecting the body surface from chemical damage and infection of microorganisms (Alexander & Ingram, 1992; Tesch, 2003; Caruso et al., 2009; Methling, 2015). This was also reported by Harianto et al., (2020) eels that were kept in a wet system at a water level of 0.5 times their body height caused the gills and skin to work harder to balance the defense system of the body, as a result the gills experienced cell crenation or depletion.

Conclusion

Oxygen uptake in Anguilla bicolor bicolor eels when they were outside the water medium was 60.20 % through the gills and 39.80 % through the skin. The longer the eels are out of the water medium, the condition of the blood biochemistry, blood pictures and histological structure decreases (the fish are under stress conditions).
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