N-Octanoyl-Dopamine inhibits cytokine production in activated T-cells and diminishes MHC-class-II expression as well as adhesion molecules in IFN-γ-stimulated endothelial cells
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IFN-γ enhances allograft immunogenicity and facilitates T-cell mediated rejection. This may cause interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy (IFTA), contributing to chronic allograft loss. We assessed if inhibition of T-cell activation by N-octanoyl dopamine (NOD) impairs adherence of activated T-cells to endothelial cells and the ability of activated T-cells to produce IFN-γ. We also assessed if NOD affects IFN-γ mediated gene expression in endothelial cells. The presence of NOD during T-cell activation significantly blunted their adhesion to unstimulated and cytokine stimulated HUVEC. Supernatants of these T-cells displayed significantly lower concentrations of TNF-α and IFN-γ and were less capable to facilitate T-cell adhesion. In the presence of NOD VLA-4 (CD49d/CD29) and LFA-1 (CD11a/CD18) expression on T-cells was reduced. NOD treatment of IFN-γ stimulated HUVEC reduced the expression of MHC class II transactivator (CIITA), of MHC class II and its associated invariant chain CD74. Since IFTA is associated with T-cell mediated rejection and IFN-γ to a large extent regulates immunogenicity of allografts, our current data suggest a potential clinical use of NOD in the treatment of transplant recipients. Further in vivo studies are warranted to confirm these in vitro findings and to assess the benefit of NOD on IFTA in clinically relevant models.

Improvements in donor management1,2, the continuous progress in immunosuppressive and supportive therapy3 as well as refinements in organ preservation4,5 have significantly contributed to better transplantation outcomes in the last decades. Notwithstanding the foregoing, chronic loss of organ allografts remains a major problem in contemporary transplantation medicine. Many factors can attribute to this organ loss such as T-cell and antibody mediated rejection, recurrence of the primary disease or drug toxicity6–8. In 2005 the term chronic allograft nephropathy has been abandoned and was redefined more histologically as interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy (IFTA) of unknown etiology9. Whilst the presence of de novo donor specific antibodies is an independent factor for chronic glomerulopathy, IFTA correlates much more with early T-cell mediated rejection10. In renal biopsies, IFTA may present with or without accompanying inflammation, i.e. i-IFTA11. I-IFTA is predicting disease progression, reflects active injury and typically precedes T-cell mediated rejection12–14. Gene expression profiling studies of renal transplant biopsies have also disclosed the association of IFTA and pathways related to T-cell activation15. Prevention of T-cell activation therefore is a logical rationale for preventing IFTA. Paradoxically enough,
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the most potent immunosuppressive drugs that prevent T-cell activation, i.e. calcineurin inhibitors (CNI), are also a risk factor for chronic transplant loss because of their nephrotoxicity.5,6

Anti-donor immune responses are initiated in the recipient's secondary lymphoid organs through T-cells recognition of either intact or processed donor major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules.7,8 Amongst the factors that influence allograft immunogenicity, interferon gamma (IFN-γ) plays a prominent role. It up-regulates the expression of MHC class I on hematopoetic and non hematopoetic cells and influences antigen presentation dendritic cells by formation of the so-called immune-proteasome (IP)2-4. Along with the upregulation of MHC class I also the expression of MHC class II on a large variety of non-hematopoietic cells is increased via the de novo expression of a specific transcription factor, i.e. MHC class II transactivator (CIITA). Immunogenicity and tissue inflammation are further facilitated by the upregulation of adhesion molecules on endothelial cells, e.g. intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1), vascular cell adhesion molecule -1 (VCAM-1), and production of endothelial cell derived chemokines.

We have previously reported that N-octanoyl dopamine (NOD) inhibits TNFα mediated gene expression in endothelial cells through the inhibition of a subset of nuclear factor kappa B (NFκB)-regulated genes.9,10 NOD also transiently inhibits T-cell proliferation, albeit that early T-cell receptor signalling events and early intracellular cytokine concentrations were not affected by NOD5. In keeping with the pivotal role of IFN-γ in regulating graft immunogenicity, the present study was conducted to assess if addition of NOD during T-cell activation impairs T-cell adhesion to unstimulated and IFN-γ stimulated endothelial cells. Secondly, we sought to address if supernatants of such activated T-cells are able to induce adhesion molecules on endothelial cells and if this is paralleled by the presence of IFN-γ and TNFα in the supernatants. In addition, we assessed the influence of NOD on the major ligands for adhesion molecules on T-cells, i.e. lymphocyte function associated antigen-1 (LFA-1) and very late antigen -4 (VLA-4). Finally, we addressed to what extent NOD influences IFN-γ mediated gene expression in endothelial cells.

Results

NOD impairs adhesion of activated T cells to cytokine stimulated endothelial cells. Both TNFα and IFN-γ increase the expression of adhesion molecules on endothelial cells and consequently are expected to increase cell adhesion of activated T-cells to such treated monolayers of endothelial cells. As depicted in Fig. 1, anti-CD3/anti-CD28 activated T-cells indeed adhered significantly better to stimulated -, as compared to unstimulated endothelial cells. When T-cells were activated in the presence of 100 μM of NOD, T-cell adhesion was...
strongly diminished irrespective of treatment of the endothelial cells (Medium mean RFU ± SD: 34.387 ± 3.509 vs. 17.766 ± 1.236; p < 0.0001; TNFα mean RFU ± SD: 49.542 ± 1.916 vs. 25.556 ± 3.074; p < 0.0001; IFN-γ mean RFU ± SD: 41.785 ± 3.113 vs. 22.506 ± 2.935; p < 0.0001; – NOD vs. +NOD). For NOD treated T-cells, adhesion to TNFα or IFN-γ treated endothelial cell monolayers was slightly higher as compared to unstimulated monolayers (Mean RFU ± SD: 17.766 ± 1.236 vs. 25.556 ± 3.074 vs. 22.506 ± 2.935; p < 0.01) (Fig. 1A).

We next assessed the propensity of supernatants obtained from anti-CD3/anti-CD28 activated T-cells to facilitate T-cell adhesion to monolayers of endothelial cells. To this end, cultured endothelial cells were stimulated overnight with serial dilution of anti-CD3/anti-CD28 activated T-cell supernatants or supernatants of T-cells activated in presence of 100 µM of NOD. While no differences in T-cell adhesion were observed between both types of supernatants in a 1 to 2 dilution, T-cell adhesion decreased to the level of the control culture medium when higher dilutions of supernatants from T-cells that were activated in the presence of NOD were used (Dilution 1/64 mean RFU ± SD: 40.180 ± 2.031 vs. 28.149 ± 1.462 vs. 26.812 ± 3.009; – NOD vs. +NOD vs. medium control p < 0.01) (Fig. 1B).

**VLA-4 (CD49d/CD29) and LFA-1 (CD11a/CD18) expression on T-cells are decreased by NOD.** In keeping with the observation that T-cell adhesion to endothelial cells is diminished when T-cells are activated in the presence of NOD, we addressed if this was reflected by a reduced expression of T-cell ligands for adhesion molecules. Because the expression of ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 on endothelial cells is of pivotal importance for leucocyte extravasation, we assessed in particular if NOD influences the expression of the known ligands for VCAM-1 and ICAM-1 on T-cells, i.e. VLA-4 and LFA-1 respectively. Upon stimulation with anti-CD3/anti-CD28 both the α- (CD49d) and β-chain (CD29) of VLA-4 were increased (CD49d MFI: 1.663 vs. 3.928; CD29 MFI: 385 vs. 1.921; CD49d vs. CD29 α-chain/β-chain (Fig. 2B, upper panels). Similar findings were observed for the α- and β-chain of LFA-1, CD11a and CD18 respectively (CD11a MFI: 10.786 vs. 24.086; CD18 MFI: 119 vs. 712; unstimulated vs. anti-CD3/anti-CD28) (Fig. 2B, lower panels). Addition of 100 µM of NOD during T-cell activation completely abolished this up-regulation and decreased the expression of CD49d even below that of unstimulated T-cells (CD49d MFI: 3.928 vs. 576; CD29 MFI: 1.921 vs. 339; CD11a MFI: 24.086 vs. 8.226; CD18 MFI: 712 vs. 158; anti-CD3/anti-CD28/– NOD vs. anti-CD3/anti-CD28/+ NOD) (Fig. 2B).

**Induction of endothelial VCAM-1 and ICAM-1 expression by supernatants of activated T-cells.** To test if the propensity of anti-CD3/anti-CD28 activated T-cell supernatants to facilitate T-cell adhesion correlates with their ability to increase VCAM-1 and ICAM-1 expression on endothelial cells, we incubated endothelial cells overnight with serial dilution of anti-CD3/anti-CD28 activated T-cell supernatants or supernatants of T-cells activated in presence of 100 µM of NOD. While no differences in T-cell adhesion were observed between both types of supernatants obtained from T-cells that were activated in the presence of 100 µM of NOD did not induce VCAM-1 at all and ICAM-1 only to a little extent (Fig. 3A). We also assessed the concentration of TNFα and IFN-γ in these supernatants. As depicted in Fig. 3B, activated T-cells produce approximately 6-fold more IFN-γ as compared to TNFα (Mean production ng/ml ± SD 206.20 ± 0.44.30 vs. 34.04 ± 2.84, IFN-γ vs. TNFα, p < 0.01) (Fig. 3B). When T-cells were activated in the presence of NOD both the production of IFN-γ and TNFα was strongly diminished (IFN-γ mean production ng/ml ± SD: 206.20 ± 0.44.30 vs. 7.67 ± 6.41; – NOD vs. +NOD, p < 0.01 and TNFα mean production ng/ml ± SD: 34.04 ± 2.84 vs. 1.84 ± 0.99; – NOD vs. +NOD, p < 0.001) (Fig. 3B).

**Influence of NOD on IFN-γ mediated gene expression in endothelial cells.** Inasmuch as the presence of IFN-γ was pronounced in supernatants of activated T-cells, we subsequently tested if NOD affects IFN-γ mediated gene expression in endothelial cells. To this end, genome wide gene expression profiling was performed in endothelial cells stimulated with IFN-γ either in the presence or absence of 50 µM of NOD21. Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was performed to identify pathways in the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database that were influenced by NOD. Enlisted in Fig. 4A is a selection of pathways that are down-regulated when endothelial cells were stimulated with IFN-γ in the presence of NOD. Based on an adjusted p-value (p_adj) for significance p_adj < 0.05, only 7 of these pathways were significantly affected. The strongest inhibitory effects of NOD were found in the Graft-versus-host disease pathway (Normalised enrichment score (NES): –2.39, p_adj = 0.007), Allograft rejection pathway (NES: –2.25, p_adj = 0.007), Type I diabetes mellitus pathway (NES: –2.14, p_adj = 0.007) and Antigen processing and presentation pathway (NES: –1.96, p_adj = 0.007). Figure 4B shows a selection of pathways that were up-regulated in the presence of NOD of which only 3 pathways remained significant after adjustment for multiple testing. At the single gene level genes belonging to MHC class II (HLA-DOA,-DPA1,-DPB1,-DRA,-DRB1,-DMA,-DMB), the MHC class II antigen-associated invariant chain (CD74), and MHC class II transactivator (CIITA) were identified amongst the genes that were at least 2-fold down regulated by NOD. Likewise interferon regulated factor-1 (IRF-1), a number of interferon-induced proteins (IFIT3, IFIT5, IFI44 and IFI44 like) and interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats (IFIT3, and IFIT2) as well as genes that are known to be up-regulated by IFN-γ, e.g. CX3CL122, TAP123 and ID0118 were down regulated by NOD. Yet, for a number of these genes these changes did not reach statistical significance after Bonferroni correction (Table 1).

**IFN-γ mediated expression of CD74, CIITA and HLA-DR is inhibited by NOD.** Since gene expression profiling data suggested that NOD might affect MHC class II expression in endothelial cells, we first assessed by TaqMan PCR to what extent CD74 and CIITA mRNA expression was influenced when endothelial cells were stimulated for 24 hrs with IFN-γ in the presence or absence of NOD. As depicted in Fig. 5A both CD74 and CIITA mRNA were strongly induced by IFN-γ. In the presence of NOD the up-regulation of CD74 and CIITA mRNA was significantly blunted (Fig. 5A). The diminished CIITA mRNA expression translated in a reduced expression of CIITA protein, which became more pronounced after 72 hrs (Fig. 5B). In line with this, it was found that IFN-γ mediated expression of CD74, CIITA and HLA-DR is inhibited by NOD.
Figure 2. NOD inhibits VLA-4 and LFA-1 expression on activated T-cells. (A) The expression of VLA-4 (CD49d, CD29 upper panels) and LFA-1 (CD11a, CD18 lower panels) were assessed by FACS analysis on anti-CD3/anti-CD28 activated T-cells. A total of 3 independent experiments using 3 different T-cell donors were performed. Since each donor differed in the extent by which the antigens were up-regulated following T-cell activation, the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) was normalized for stimulation. The results are expressed as mean normalized ratio ± SD, i.e. (MFI medium/MFI stimulated) or (MFI stimulated + NOD/MFI stimulated) with (MFI stimulated/MFI stimulated) as 1. (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; ****p < 0.0001; ns: not significant). (B) The results of a representative experiment are shown as histograms. Blue histogram: T-cell activation in the presence of 100 µM of NOD. Red histogram: T-cell activation in the absence of NOD. Black histogram: VLA-4 and LFA-1 expression on unstimulated T-cells.
mediated HLA-DR surface expression was reduced on endothelial cells when stimulated in the presence of NOD (At 24 hrs % positive cells: 68 vs 58, MFI: 365 vs. 281; at 72 hrs % positive cells: 92 vs 57, MFI: 6706 vs. 4762, −NOD vs. +NOD) (Fig. 5C).

Discussion

Even though current state-of-the-art immunosuppressive strategies to a large extent have contributed to the success of contemporary transplantation medicine, they failed to interrupt the insidious process causing chronic allograft loss. While CNI based immunosuppressive therapies are highly efficacious in preventing acute T-cell mediated rejection, they are also associated with significant adverse effects such as hypertension and nephrotoxicity26. Attempts to minimize or wean patients off of CNI have shown that improvement in renal function is often obtainable but only at the expense of increased alloimmune reactions27,28. The development of a CNI-based, long-term, maintenance-immunosuppressive drug regimen with improved long-term tolerability is therefore a highly desirable endeavour.

We and others have previously reported that N-acyl dopamine derivatives (NADD) harbour biological properties that might be useful for preventing transplantation associated injury29–31. As such they limit cold inflicted32 and ischemia reperfusion-injury 33 and modulate inflammation through inhibition of NFκB21,34. We also have reported that NOD, a short synthetic NADD, transiently inhibits T-cell activation and demonstrates synergy with CNI in suppressing T-cell activation22.

The results presented herein are in good agreement with our previous results that NOD inhibits anti-CD3/antiCD28 mediated T-cell activation. This is reflected by a reduction in cytokine production and a diminished expression of VLA-4 and LFA-1 on the cell surface of T-cells. Because VLA-4 can mediate both rolling and arrest of T-cells on endothelial cells35, this explains why T-cells that are activated in the presence of NOD display decreased adherence to endothelial cells despite cytokine mediated upregulation of VLA-4’s major counterreceptor VCAM-1. The reduced LFA-1 - ICAM-1 interaction is likewise contributing to the diminished adhesive properties of such activated T-cells. In addition to VCAM-1 and ICAM-1 also junctional adhesion molecules (JAM-A, -B and -C) have been implicated in regulating leucocyte extravasation by affecting different steps in this process through their interaction with endothelial cells. Endothelial JAM-A and JAM-C interact with the β2 integrins LFA-1 and Mac-1 (CD11b/CD18) to sustain firm adhesion and transmigration36,37. Although in the present study transmigration was not assessed, our data do suggest that extravasation of T-cells would be impaired as a consequence of a reduced CD18 expression if T-cell activation occurs in the presence of NOD.
Figure 4. Pathway analysis by gene set enrichment. Gene expression profiling and subsequent Gene Set Enrichment Analysis was performed on IFNγ (50 ng/ml) stimulated HUVEC in the presence or absence of 50 µM of NOD. Data were analyzed as described in materials and methods. Selected enriched pathways inhibited by NOD are depicted in (A), while those that are upregulated in the presence of NOD are depicted in (B). The results are expressed as normalized enrichment score (NES) for +NOD vs. −NOD. Significance was defined as a p-value < 0.05 for +IFNγ/+NOD compared to +IFNγ−NOD.

**Table 1.** Enlisted are genes with nominal significance (p-value (-Log10) > 1.66 and fold change (Log2) of at least 1. *Gene symbols in bold depicts genes that remain significant after Bonferroni correction, underscored gene symbols represent genes with borderline significance after Bonferroni correction.
Figure 5. NOD inhibits the expression of CD74, CIITA and HLA-DR. (A) CIITA and CD74 mRNA expression was assessed by qPCR. Total RNA was isolated from HUVECs cultured in normal medium or stimulated by IFNγ (50 ng/ml). IFNγ stimulation occurred in the absence (filled bars) or presence (open bars) of 50 μM of NOD. The results of three independent experiments are expressed as the mean fold change ($2^{-\Delta CT}$) ± SD. Data were analyzed using two-way ANOVA followed by Sidak’s multiple comparison test on the normalized ΔCT values. Significance was defined as p-value < 0.05 (*p < 0.05; ***p < 0.001) for +IFNγ/−NOD compared to +IFNγ/+NOD. (B) CIITA protein expression was assessed by Western-Blotting using cell-lysates obtained from HUVECs cultured for various times as described in A. The results of a representative blot are depicted, a total of 3 independent experiments with different HUVEC cultures were performed. CIITA protein expression was quantitated by means of densitometry of all independent blots. Data were analyzed using two-way ANOVA followed by Sidak’s multiple comparison test. Significance was defined as p-value < 0.05 for +IFNγ/−NOD compared to +IFNγ/+NOD. Equal protein loading was demonstrated by staining for β-actin. Displayed are the cropped blots. The scanned full-length blots are provided in Supplementary Fig. 3. Densitometry graphs
with significance marks are provided in Supplementary Fig. 4. (C) HLA-DR expression was assessed by FACS analyses of HUVECs cultured for 24 or 72 hours as described in (A). A total of 3 independent experiments using 3 different HUVEC donors were performed. Since each donor differed in the extent by which HLA-DR was up-regulated by IFN-γ, for each donor the mean HLA-DR fluorescence intensity (MFI) was normalized for IFN-γ stimulation. The results are expressed as mean normalized ratio ± SD, i.e. (MFI HLA-DR +NOD/MFI HLA-DR −NOD) with (MFI HLA-DR −NOD/MFI HLA-DR −NOD) as 1. (*p < 0.05; ns: not significant). (D) The results of a representative experiment are shown as histograms. Red histogram: HUVEC stimulated with IFN-γ only, blue histogram: HUVEC stimulated with IFN-γ and NOD, black histogram: HUVEC not stimulated.

In addition to its effect on T-cell activation, gene expression profiling revealed that NOD also affects the transcriptome of IFN-γ stimulated endothelial cells. Significant normalised enrichment scores were amongst others found in the Graft-versus-host disease pathway, Allograft rejection pathway, Type I diabetes mellitus pathway and Antigen processing and presentation in all of which MHC class I and II, as well as IFN-γ have been implemented. This not necessarily indicates that NOD per se interferes with IFN-γ signalling, since the influence of NOD on other pivotal mediators of these pathways have not been studied. However, because both MHC Class II and IFN-γ are of pivotal importance in terms of tissue immunogenicity allograft rejection, the influence NOD on IFN-γ mediated MHC class II expression was studied in more detail. Although other pathways were also influenced by NOD, e.g. ribosome, p53 mineral absorption, they were not further studied because an apparent link with tissue immunogenicity or allograft rejection was lacking.

MHC class II proteins bind microbial peptides in an endosomal compartment and present them to T-cells as part of immune surveillance. While in the endoplasmic reticulum the hydrophobic peptide-binding groove in MHC class II is protected by the invariant chain CD74, in the late endosomal compartment CD74 is degraded leaving class II-associated invariant chain peptides (CLIP) in the peptide binding groove. HLA-DM catalyses CLIP dissociation, stabilizes empty MHC class II proteins, and enables rapid binding of microbial peptides. Since at the single gene level, NOD inhibits the transcription factor for MHC class II expression, i.e. CIITA, as well as HLA-DR, HLA-DM and CD74 expression, our data suggest that NOD might impair MHC class II presentation. Yet, endothelial cells are not endowed with a professional antigen presentation function as they fail to express classical co-stimulatory molecules. Nonetheless increased MHC class I and II expression on endothelial cells may render the allograft more susceptible to alloantigen-dependent vascular damage and to the development and progression of transplant vasculopathy. It needs to be assessed if and to what extent MHC expression and antigen presentation on professional antigen presenting cells is affected by NOD.

The present study has some limitations that should be addressed. In particular, the mechanism by which NOD inhibits IFN-γ mediated gene expression is currently unclear. While the catechol structure provides NOD with strong antioxidant properties, the hydrophobic fatty acid of NOD might allow its passage across membranes to access different intra-cellular compartments and change the redox milieu within these compartments. As such NOD may impair oxidative protein folding in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and consequently induce the unfolded protein response (UPR)12,43, or inhibit redox dependent transcription factors in the nucleus, e.g. NF-κB, AP-1 and NFAT25. Cross-talk between IFN-γ signalling and the UPR may partly underlie the inhibitory effect of NOD as the UPR is associated with transient inhibition of mRNA translation44. Alternatively, changes in the redox milieu of the cell nucleus may impair epigenetic processes required for gene transcription45. Indeed, gene expression profiling studies on endothelial cells have shown that histone acetyl transferase expression was affected by NOD. Even though all the above mentioned mechanisms may explain the in vitro effects of NOD, further in vivo experiments are warranted to elucidate which of these putative mechanisms also occur in vivo. The NOD concentrations used in the current study were based on previous in vitro studies21,22, yet the fast blood clearance, predominantly via renal and hepatobiliary elimination46 does not allow plasma NOD concentrations to reach the in vitro concentrations used in our current study. Despite this short-coming, in vivo studies demonstrated that NOD is highly efficacious in the treatment of brain dead donors on early post-transplant renal and heart function47,48, in ameliorating inflammation in ischemic acute kidney injury49 and in attenuating the development of transplant vasculopathy in rat aortic allografts46. Nonetheless, it remains to be elucidated to what extent the in vivo efficacy of NOD is mediated by its ability to inhibit IFN-γ mediated gene expression.

In conclusion, this study provides further evidence for the immunosuppressive properties of NOD on T-cell activation. Not only the present study suggests a potential clinical use for NOD to limit the development of IFTA in allograft recipient, but it also warrants further in vivo testing in relevant models for transplantation.

Methods

Cell culture. Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were isolated from fresh umbilical cords as described previously26. Confluent monolayers were passaged by trypsin 0.025%/EDTA 0.01% and mostly used in vitro by NOD. Even though all the above mentioned mechanisms may explain the expression profiling studies on endothelial cells have shown that histone acetyl transferase expression was affected by NOD, e.g. ribosome, p53 mineral absorption, they were not further studied because an apparent link with tissue immunogenicity or allograft rejection was lacking.

MHC class II proteins bind microbial peptides in an endosomal compartment and present them to T-cells as part of immune surveillance. While in the endoplasmic reticulum the hydrophobic peptide-binding groove in MHC class II is protected by the invariant chain CD74, in the late endosomal compartment CD74 is degraded leaving class II-associated invariant chain peptides (CLIP) in the peptide binding groove. HLA-DM catalyzes CLIP dissociation, stabilizes empty MHC class II proteins, and enables rapid binding of microbial peptides. Since at the single gene level, NOD inhibits the transcription factor for MHC class II expression, i.e. CIITA, as well as HLA-DR, HLA-DM and CD74 expression, our data suggest that NOD might impair MHC class II presentation. Yet, endothelial cells are not endowed with a professional antigen presentation function as they fail to express classical co-stimulatory molecules. Nonetheless increased MHC class I and II expression on endothelial cells may render the allograft more susceptible to alloantigen-dependent vascular damage and to the development and progression of transplant vasculopathy. It needs to be assessed if and to what extent MHC expression and antigen presentation on professional antigen presenting cells is affected by NOD.

The present study has some limitations that should be addressed. In particular, the mechanism by which NOD inhibits IFN-γ mediated gene expression is currently unclear. While the catechol structure provides NOD with strong antioxidant properties, the hydrophobic fatty acid of NOD might allow its passage across membranes to access different intra-cellular compartments and change the redox milieu within these compartments. As such NOD may impair oxidative protein folding in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and consequently induce the unfolded protein response (UPR)12,43, or inhibit redox dependent transcription factors in the nucleus, e.g. NF-κB, AP-1 and NFAT25. Cross-talk between IFN-γ signalling and the UPR may partly underlie the inhibitory effect of NOD as the UPR is associated with transient inhibition of mRNA translation44. Alternatively, changes in the redox milieu of the cell nucleus may impair epigenetic processes required for gene transcription45. Indeed, gene expression profiling studies on endothelial cells have shown that histone acetyl transferase expression was affected by NOD. Even though all the above mentioned mechanisms may explain the in vitro effects of NOD, further in vivo experiments are warranted to elucidate which of these putative mechanisms also occur in vivo. The NOD concentrations used in the current study were based on previous in vitro studies21,22, yet the fast blood clearance, predominantly via renal and hepatobiliary elimination46 does not allow plasma NOD concentrations to reach the in vitro concentrations used in our current study. Despite this short-coming, in vivo studies demonstrated that NOD is highly efficacious in the treatment of brain dead donors on early post-transplant renal and heart function47,48, in ameliorating inflammation in ischemic acute kidney injury49 and in attenuating the development of transplant vasculopathy in rat aortic allografts46. Nonetheless, it remains to be elucidated to what extent the in vivo efficacy of NOD is mediated by its ability to inhibit IFN-γ mediated gene expression.

In conclusion, this study provides further evidence for the immunosuppressive properties of NOD on T-cell activation. Not only the present study suggests a potential clinical use for NOD to limit the development of IFTA in allograft recipient, but it also warrants further in vivo testing in relevant models for transplantation.

Methods

Cell culture. Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were isolated from fresh umbilical cords as described previously26. Confluent monolayers were passaged by trypsin 0.025%/EDTA 0.01% and mostly used for experiments under 2–3 passages. Umbilical cords were obtained from the Department of Obstetrics, University Medical Center Mannheim, after written informed consent of the patients. The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee (Medizinische Ethikkommission II der Medizinischen Fakultät Mannheim (No. 2015-518N-MA)) and carried out in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

Human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were isolated from healthy adult volunteer donors by Ficol-Hypaque density gradients (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Uppsala, Sweden). T-cells were purified using a Pan T-cell Isolation Kit (Miltenyi Biotec Inc., Auburn, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions, seeded in 24 well plates (10⁶ cells/ml in RPMI 1640/10% FBS (PAA Laboratories GmbH, Pasching, Austria)/1% penicillin and streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) and stimulated for 3 days with 15μl/ml Streptamer® anti-CD3/anti-CD28 Premix (IBA GmbH, Göttingen, Germany) in the presence or absence of 100μM NOD.
β-actin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, USA) antibody. Oligonucleotide primer sets were designed using Primer3 software (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer3). Their specificity was confirmed using the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database. qPCR was performed on a StepOne™ Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA) using TaqMan probes (all from Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA) and the following TaqMan probes (all from Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA): HMOX1 (HO-1, ID: Hs00110250_m1), CIITA (ID: Hs00172106_m1) and CD74 (ID: Hs00269961_m1). Samples were run under the following conditions: initial denaturation for 10 min at 95 °C followed by 40 cycles of 15 s at 95 °C and 1 min at 60 °C. The levels of gene expression in each sample were determined with the comparative cycle threshold method. PCR efficiency was assessed from the slopes of the standard curves and was found to be between 90% and 100%. Linearity of the assay could be demonstrated by serial dilution of all standards and cDNA. All samples were normalized for an equal expression of β-actin.

**FACS analysis.** For FACS analysis HUVEC were incubated with anti-HLA-DR PE conjugated antibody (BD-Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany). Anti-CD3/anti-CD28 activated T-cells four color-staining was performed as described before21. Sample prepara-

**Adhesion assay.** Confluent HUVEC monolayer were stimulated for 24 hours with either TNF-α (50 ng/ml) (PeproTech, Hamburg, Germany) or IFN-γ (50 ng/ml) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) or left in normal culture medium. The propensity to adhere-, or facilitate adhesion to endothelial cells was tested for T-cells and supernatants. T-cells were stimulated as described above, labelled with CytoTell Green (AAT Bioquest, Sunnyvale, USA) as recommended and added to the endothelial monolayers for 1 hour in a concentration of 10^6 T-cells per well. Before addition of labelled T-cells, the HUVEC monolayers were washed with PBS to remove the stimulating cytokines. Non-adherent T-cells were removed by washing and the remaining cells were lysed in 10 mM Tris, 2% SDS. Fluorescence was measured on a Tecan Spark 10 M with the appropriate filters (Tecan Group, Männedorf, Switzerland). All experimental conditions were performed in triplicate. Results are expressed as mean relative fluorescence units (RFU) ± SD.

**Western-blotting.** Western-Blotting was performed as described before21. Samples (20 µg protein extract) were separated on 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gels followed by semi-dry blotting onto PVDF membranes (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). Membranes were blocked for 1 hour at room temperature in tris buffered saline (TBS) (Bio-Rad, Hercules, USA) and incubated overnight at 4 °C with one of the following primary polyclonal antibodies: anti-VCAM-1, anti-ICAM-1 (both from R&D Systems, Wiesbaden, Germany) or anti-CIITA (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, USA). Hereafter, the membranes were thoroughly washed with TBS 0.1% Tween-20 and incubated with the appropriate horse radish peroxidase conjugated secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch, Baltimore, USA). Proteins were visualized using enhanced chemo luminescence technology (Pierce, Rockford, USA). To confirm equal protein loading, membranes were stripped and re-probed with monoclonal anti-β-actin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, USA) antibody.

**ELISA.** For assessment of IFN-γ and TNFα concentrations in supernatants of anti-CD3 anti-CD28 activated T-cells commercially available ELISA systems were used (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, USA; Catalog No. DIF50 and DTA00C)

**RNA Isolation and gene expression profiling.** Total RNA was prepared using Trizol reagent (Ambion, Carlsbad, USA). RNA quality was confirmed by capillary electrophoresis on an Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer. For the microarray experiments HUVEC were obtained from three different donors and for each donor stimulated in T25 culture flasks with IFN-γ (50 ng/ml) alone or with the combination of IFN-γ and NOD (50 µM). Sample preparation and processing was performed according to the Affymetrix GeneChip Expression Analysis Manual (http://www.Affymetrix.com) as described extensively elsewhere41.

**Quantitative PCR.** For qPCR 1 µg of total RNA was reverse-transcribed into cDNA using the 1 Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit. cDNA was diluted in 20 µL DEPC-treated water and stored at −20 °C until use. qPCR was performed on a StepOne™ Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA) using TaqMan Fast Advanced Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA) and the following TaqMan probes (all from Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA): HMOX1 (HO-1, ID: Hs00110250_m1), CIITA (ID: Hs00172106_m1) and CD74 (ID: Hs00269961_m1). Samples were run under the following conditions: initial denaturation for 10 min at 95 °C followed by 40 cycles of 15 s at 95 °C and 1 min at 60 °C. The levels of gene expression in each sample were determined with the comparative cycle threshold method. PCR efficiency was assessed from the slopes of the standard curves and was found to be between 90% and 100%. Linearity of the assay could be demonstrated by serial dilution of all standards and cDNA. All samples were normalized for an equal expression of β-actin.

**Statistical analysis.** A Custom CDF Version 21 with ENTREZ based gene definitions was used to annotate the arrays42. The raw fluorescence intensity values were normalized applying quantile normalization and RNA background correction. One-way ANOVA was performed to identify differential expressed genes using a commercial software package SAS JMP10 Genomics, version 6, from SAS (SAS Institute, Cary, USA). A false positive rate of α = 0.05 with FDR correction was taken as the level of significance. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) was used to determine whether defined lists (or sets) of genes exhibit a statistically significant bias in their distribution within a ranked gene list using the software R v3.4.043 and RStudio. Integrated development environment for R (RStudio Boston, USA). The gsea package44 was used to determine whether defined lists (or sets) of genes exhibit a statistically significant bias in their distribution within a ranked gene list. Pathways belonging to various cell functions such as cell cycle or apoptosis were obtained from public external databases (KEGG, http://www.genome.jp/kegg).
Statistical analysis for the Adhesion Assay was performed by two-way anova followed by Sidak’s multiple comparison test. Quantitative RT-PCR and Adherence Assays were analysed using a two-way ANOVA and Sidak’s multiple comparison test. ELISA data were analysed using two-tailed unpaired t-test. For Western-Blotting average optical densities (OD) of bands of 3 independent experiments were divided using Image J.146 and subsequently two-way ANOVA and Sidak’s multiple comparison test on OD ratio of protein of interest/housekeeping protein or phosphorylated/total protein. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Data availability
The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
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