Conductometric method development and validation to estimate acamprosate calcium in API and marketed formulation
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ABSTRACT
A simple, precise, rapid, low-cost conductometric method was developed and validated for the estimation of acamprosate calcium in pure and pharmaceutical formulation. The present research work utilized distilled water as a vehicle to prepare different concentrations of acamprosate calcium. The electrical conductivity of resulting solutions was measured by using an electrical conductometer ELICO CM 183EC-TDS analyzer version 2.3. The validation parameters were carried out according to the International Conference on Harmonization Guidelines. The developed method showed a linear response between concentration ranges of 50 and 250 µg/ml. The limit of detection and limit of quantification values were found to be 6.05 and 18.34 µg/ml, respectively. The relative standard deviation observed in precision, ruggedness, and robustness was in the acceptable range. The recovery of the proposed method was in the range of 98%–105%. The newly developed and validated conductometric method can be applied for the routine analysis of acamprosate calcium in pure and pharmaceutical formulation.

INTRODUCTION
Acamprosate calcium (calcium 3-acetamidopropane-1-sulfonate; Figure 1) is a calcium salt of acetylhomotaurine which is used for the treatment of alcohol dependence, which acts by stabilizing the chemical balance in the central nervous system, by blocking glutaminergic N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors and activation of gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) type A receptors (Rhee et al., 2008a; Saivin et al., 1998; Zornoza et al., 2003). This drug is available since 1989 in the tablet strength of 333 mg (Lipha Pharmaceuticals Inc., 2002). It is approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as an antidipsotropic agent and is used to decrease alcohol hankering (Espino and Cruz, 2005). The chemical formula of acamprosate calcium is C₁₀H₂₀N₂O₈S₂Ca with molecular weight 400.48 g/mol. The physical properties of acamprosate calcium are as follows:
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this drug are white and odorless powder, which is freely soluble in water and insoluble in organic solvents like ethanol and dimethylformamide.

The literature survey reports on the capillary zone electrophoresis method (Blanchin et al., 2000; Fabre et al., 1999). The Liquid Chromatography mass spectrometry (LCMS) method for bioanalytical analysis, LC-fluorometric, and electrochemical detection was reported in human plasma, dog plasma, and urine (Chabnet et al., 1987, 1989; Ghosh et al., 2011; Girault et al., 1990; Hammarberg et al., 2010; Kanala et al., 2013; Rhee et al., 2008a; Rhee et al., 2008b; Xu et al., 2009). A few methods are developed and validated for organic acids by conductometric titration method (Umarov et al., 2020). Hence, no work has been reported on the electrical conductivity method for the quantitative estimation of acamprosate calcium. In this research, a new cost-effective, precise, and regression co-efficient on electrical conductivity method on acamprosate calcium in pure and marketed formulation is reported.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Instruments and apparatus

An electrical conductivity meter (ELICO CM 183EC-TDS analyzer version 2.3) was used for measuring electrical conductivity of solutions. An electronic analytical weighing balance (SARTORIUS) was used for weighing the analyte.

Reagents and chemicals

The active pharmaceutical ingredient of 98% purity of acamprosate calcium was procured from BMR Pharma and Chemicals, Hyderabad; tablet formulation was purchased from a local market of Belgaum, India. Distilled water was collected from Direct-Q UV water purification system from KLE College of Pharmacy.

Method development

The method was developed using an electrical conductivity meter using distilled water as a solvent. Various concentrations of acamprosate calcium in distilled water were prepared and electrical conductivity was measured. Different concentrations of analyte were observed and showed different values of electrical conductivity. In order to test the suitability of the developed method, the commercial tablet formulation of acamprosate calcium was analyzed.

Preparation of stock solution and working standard solution

Fifty milligram of the active pharmaceutical ingredient was dissolved in 50 ml of a volumetric flask and the final volume was made with distilled water (stock solution = 1,000 µg/ml); from the above solution, 0.5–2.5 ml was pipetted and transferred in 10 ml of a volumetric flask and the final volume was made with distilled water to obtain 50–250 µg/ml of pure acamprosate calcium.

Method validation

As per the International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) Guidelines Q2 (R1), the method was validated for various parameters like specificity, linearity, limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantification (LOQ), precision, ruggedness, accuracy, and assay.

SPECIFICITY

The specificity of the method was studied by measuring the conductance of a solvent as blank and the prepared standard solution of acamprosate calcium (50 µg/ml).

LINEARITY

The linearity method was developed by measuring the electrical conductivity of different concentrations of acamprosate calcium. Dilutions containing 50–250 µg/ml acamprosate calcium were prepared and electrical conductivity was measured using electrical conductometer. The calibration curve was obtained by plotting the concentration versus electrical conductivity (µs), and the correlation coefficient ($r^2$) was found to be 0.9999.

LIMIT OF DETECTION/LIMIT OF QUANTIFICATION

LOD is the lowest amount of analyte which can be detected but not quantified. It can be determined using following formula:

$$LOD = 3.3 \sigma / S$$

LOQ is the lowest amount of analyte which can be quantitatively determined as precision using the following formula:

$$LOQ = 10 \sigma / S$$

where $\sigma$ = standard deviation;

$S$ = Slope of the calibration curve.

PRECISION

The precision was carried out in terms of intraday and interday. The electrical conductivity of three replicate solutions of acamprosate calcium at three different concentrations was measured, and % Relative Standard Deviation (RSD) values of each solution for its electrical conductivity were measured.

RUGGEDNESS

Ruggedness was carried out by changing the analyst to check the reproducibility of standard results and the %RSD was found to be less than 2%.

ACCURACY

Accuracy was found by means of recovery experiments, by the determination of %mean recovery of sample at three different levels: 50%, 100%, and 150%. At each level, three determinations were carried out and %mean recovery was calculated.

ASSAY

Twenty tablets of acamprosate calcium (333 mg label claim) were taken and powdered. A measure equivalent to 73.19 mg was weighed and added to a 50 ml volumetric flask, and the final volume was made up to 50 ml with diluents and shaken for 5 minutes. The solution was filtered by Whatman filter paper. From the filtered solution, 0.5–2.5 ml solution was added to 10 ml volumetric flask to make a final volume up to 10 ml using distilled water.
RESULT

Specificity

The proposed method is specific as the conductance of water as a blank was found to be 1.75 µs and acamprosate calcium (50 µg/ml) solution showed a conductance of 25.28 µs. The results of the analysis were found to be specific and selective.

Linearity

The drug shows a linear relationship between electrical conductivity (µs) versus drug concentration level. The results are shown in Figure 2. The equation of the straight line for acamprosate calcium is $y = 0.4359x + 3.409$ and the regression coefficient is $R^2 = 0.9999$.

LIMIT OF DETECTION (LOD)/LIMIT OF QUANTIFICATION (LOQ)

The LOD value obtained was 6.05 µg/ml and LOQ value was found to be 18.34 µg/ml. Both results are in the acceptance range.

PRECISION

The precision indicating the value was precise and low, and %RSD is <2%. The results are given in Tables 1 and 2.

ASSAY

The assay was carried out by using tablet formulation of label claim 333 mg (ACAMPROL) and the values were obtained in an acceptable range. The results were in the range of 102%–104%.

DISCUSSION

In the present research work, an attempt has been made to develop a simple conductometric method. This method was found to be economic, simple, and rugged for estimation of

Table 1. Intraday precision results of acamprosate calcium.

| Concentration (µg/ml) | Conductance (µs) ($\bar{x}$) | Standard deviation | % Relative standard deviation |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| 50                    | 24.68                       | 0.11               | 0.44                        |
| 150                   | 24.36                       | 0.14               | 0.57                        |
| 250                   | 24.30                       | 0.09               | 0.37                        |
| 50                    | 69.01                       | 0.265              | 0.38                        |
| 150                   | 66.96                       | 0.245              | 0.36                        |
| 250                   | 108.06                      | 0.17               | 0.15                        |
| 50                    | 107.9                       | 0.1                | 0.09                        |
| 150                   | 106.83                      | 0.465              | 0.43                        |

$x$ = Mean conductance of three replicates.

Table 2. Interday precision results of acamprosate calcium.

| Concentration (µg/ml) | Conductance (µs) ($\bar{x}$) | Standard deviation | % Relative standard deviation |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| 50                    | 24.68                       | 0.11               | 0.44                        |
| 150                   | 24.36                       | 0.14               | 0.57                        |
| 250                   | 24.30                       | 0.09               | 0.37                        |
| 50                    | 69.01                       | 0.265              | 0.38                        |
| 150                   | 66.96                       | 0.245              | 0.36                        |
| 250                   | 108.06                      | 0.17               | 0.15                        |
| 50                    | 107.9                       | 0.1                | 0.09                        |
| 150                   | 106.83                      | 0.465              | 0.43                        |

$x$ = Mean conductance of three replicates.

Table 3. Results of ruggedness for acamprosate calcium.

| Concentration (µg/ml) | Conductance (µs) ($\bar{x}$) | Standard deviation | % Relative standard deviation |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| 50                    | 24.30                       | 0.09               | 0.37                        |
| 150                   | 66.96                       | 0.245              | 0.36                        |
| 250                   | 108.06                      | 0.465              | 0.43                        |

$x$ = Mean conductance of three replicates.
The present research is a cost-effective, simple, and accurate electrical conductivity method for quantity estimation of acamprosate calcium in tablet dosage form developed as per the ICH guidelines. The method is economic, rapid, and does not need any sophisticated instruments. Hence, it may be employed for routine quality control analysis of acamprosate calcium in Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient (API) and marketed formulation.
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