CARDIAC AUTONOMIC NEUROPATHY IN CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS WITH TYPE 1 DIABETES MELLITUS: PREVALENCE AND RELATION TO DURATION AND CONTROL OF DIABETES.
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ABSTRACT… Objectives: The objective of this study is to find the clinical characteristics and frequency of CAN in T1DM and its relationship with glycemic control and duration of diabetes. Study Design: Observational Cross Sectional study. Setting: At Department of Pediatric Endocrinology and Cardiology at The Children’s Hospital and Institute of Child Health (CH & ICH) Multan. Period: June 2019 to December 2019. Materials & Methods: A total of 90 children and adolescents of 10-15 years with T1DM were enrolled in this study after taking informed consent. Each study participant had blood pressure measured in supine and standing position for postural hypotension. Heart rate variation during Valsalva maneuver (15 seconds) and deep respiration were recorded. Resting heart rate was also measured by ECG in quite room when the patient was relaxed. Glycemic control was assessed by HbA1C level. Results: Among diabetic children 51.1% (n=46) were males. Age range was 10-15 years with mean of 12.6±1.7 years. CAN was diagnosed in 12 (13.6%) of diabetic children. Significant relationship of CAN was seen in fair control 4 (33.3%) and poor glycemic control patients 8 (66.6%) compared to intensive glycemic control (n=0, 0 %) p value 0.063 as well as longer duration of diabetes 9 (75%) p value 0.052. Conclusion: The prevalence of CAN was 13.6% among T1DM in our study. CAN is common with poor glycemic control and longer duration of diabetes. CAN should be routinely evaluated in T1DM.
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Introduction
Diabetes mellitus type 1 (T1DM) is an endocrine disorder and worldwide over 50000 children are suffering from this condition.1 Due to its increase in prevalence, morbidity and mortality it is a serious health issue. Diabetic autonomic neuropathy (DAN) is a known problem of T1DM and affects various vital organs of the body like cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, genitourinary, respiratory, neurovascular, neuroendocrine and pupillomotor.2 DAN cause dysfunction of sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous system and although clinically it appears late but subclinical DAN can appear within 2 years in T1DM.3

The pathogenesis of DAN including cardiac autonomic neuropathy (CAN) and diabetic peripheral neuropathy are similar. These consist of oxidative stress, advanced glycation end products (AGE), vitamin deficiencies and neurovascular insufficiency.4,5,6

Mortality due to CAN increases due to arrhythmias, myocardial ischemia and sudden death.7,8 It may lead to prolongation of QT interval which leads to arrhythmias. Earliest sign of CAN may be change in heart rate variability. Other clinical manifestations of CAN are exercise intolerance, sinus tachycardia, bradycardia, and no alteration in heart rate during daily activities. It can be diagnosed by evaluation of resting tachycardia, loss of sinus rhythm and heart rate response to Valsalva maneuver. It can be diagnosed if two among three of the above features are abnormal. Most of the DAN are thought to be irreversible,
however CAN improves with improved glycemic control. The objective of this study is to find the clinical characteristics and frequency of CAN in T1DM and its relationship with glycemic control and duration of diabetes.

MATERIAL & METHODS
This descriptive cross-sectional study was done in department of pediatric endocrinology and cardiology from June 2019 to December 2019 at The CH & ICH Multan. Ninety children and adolescents of 10-15 years old with T1DM were included in this study after taking informed consent from patients/ parents. All patients having acute diabetic complications like hypoglycemia or diabetic ketoacidosis, known cardiac disease or taking medicines for cardiac disease were excluded from this study. Baseline characteristics were collected on a predesigned structured Performa. Diagnosis of T1DM was according to American diabetic association (ADA) criteria.

Patients were advised not to drink tea, coffee or eat chocolate at least 12 hours before study. Standard tests were used to diagnose CAN which were devised by Ewing and clark. CAN was diagnosed when at least two tests were pathological.

Heart rate response to Valsalva maneuver
In this test patient was asked to blow against syphmomanometer for 15 seconds at a pressure of 40 mm of mercury. ECG was recorded during the procedure. Longest and shortest RR intervals were used to calculate Valsalva ratio and was taken as (normal > 1.2, borderline 1.11-1.2 and abnormal <1.10.)

Heart rate variation during deep breathing
Patient was asked to take deep breaths (6 breaths/min) with ECG recording. Longest and shortest R-R intervals were calculated as beats per minute. R-R variation is normal >15 beats/minute, borderline 10-15 beats/min and diagnostic <10 beats/min.

Postural hypotension
This test was performed by measuring blood pressure (BP) using mercury sphygmomanometer on lying down and standing up with fall in systolic BP as (normal < 10 mm Hg, borderline 11-29 mm Hg and diagnostic > 30 mm Hg).

Resting heart rate (HR)
HR was calculated when the patient was relaxed in quite room. Resting tachycardia was diagnosed as HR >100 beats per minute.

High-performance liquid chromatography method was used to measure glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1C). Glycemic control was good (HbA1C 6-7.9), fair (HbA1C 8-9.9) and poor (HbA1C >10).

The data was analyzed by Statistical Program for Social Science software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA), version 20.0 for windows. Quantitative variables are presented as mean and standard deviation (SD). Qualitative variables are represented as frequency and percentages. Relationship of CAN with glycemic control and duration of diabetes was assessed by Chi-square test. P value less than or equal to 0.5 is taken as statistically significant. Institutional Ethical committee of CH & ICH, Multan had approved this study.

RESULTS
Demographic data of T1DM children is shown in Table-I. Ninety patients with T1DM were included in this study with 46 (51.1%) males and 44 (48.9%) females. Patient’s age range was 10-15 years with mean age and standard deviation was 12.7± 1.7 years. Mean duration of diabetes was 4.9± 2.7 years. Good glycemic control was observed in 20 (22.2%), fair control in 29 (32.2%) and poor control in 41 (45.5%).

CAN was diagnosed in 12 (13.6%) of patients. Heart rate response to deep respiration was normal in 5 (5.5%). Heart rate response to Valsalva maneuver was abnormal in 11 (12.2%). Similarly postural hypotension and resting heart rate were abnormal in 12 (13.3%) and 14 (15.5%) respectively (Table-II). Frequency of CAN was higher in poor control 4 (33.3%) and poor control...
The prevalence of CAN varies widely between various studies. In community based studies, prevalence is lower as compared to hospital based studies. In our study the prevalence of CAN was 13.5% which is in consistent with other studies. Pavy-Le et al and Aman et al reported prevalence of 12.5% and 24% respectively. Whereas Paries et al reported that it varies between 20 to 70%.

CAN carries a poor prognosis and increases mortality as it causes cardiac ischemia, prolongation of QTc interval, poor ejection fraction, exercise intolerance and sudden death. Glycemic control has profound effect on the progression of CAN. Intensive glycemic control is essential as shown in The Diabetes Control and Complication Trial (DCCT) that it reduces the prevalence of CAN by 53%. Similarly in our study CAN was more prevalent in fair and poor control diabetic children as compared to good control patients. As reported by DCCT the complications are reduces with strict glycemic with mean blood glucose of 155mg/dl and mean HbA1c of 7.2%.

Furthermore in our study CAN was more prevalent in longer duration of diabetes (> 5 years). Young et al also described correlation of nerve dysfunction and duration of diabetes. In contrast Verrotti et al did not find correlation between CAN with duration of diabetes and glycemic control.

To summarize, in this study CAN in present during early stages of T1DM children and adolescents. Strict glycemic control is essential to halt the onset of CAN, improving disease outcome and quality of life. Public awareness campaigns might help in better management of T1DM.

CONCLUSION
The outcome of our study documents high prevalence of CAN in type 1 diabetic children and adolescents during early stages of disease. Intense diabetic control is essential for better patient outcome. Public awareness campaigns and patient’s realization that good diabetic control has a pivotal role for better outcome of this disease. We recommend routine evaluation
for CAN in TIDM especially over 5 years duration of disease.

Copyright © 13 May, 2020.
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