Agonists that stimulate secretion promote the recruitment of CFTR into membrane lipid microdomains
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The cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) is a tightly regulated anion channel that mediates secretion by epithelia and is mutated in the disease cystic fibrosis. CFTR forms macromolecular complexes with many proteins; however, little is known regarding its associations with membrane lipids or the regulation of its distribution and mobility at the cell surface. We report here that secretagogues (agonists that stimulate secretion) such as the peptide hormone vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) and muscarinic agonist carbachol increase CFTR aggregation into cholesterol-dependent clusters, reduce CFTR lateral mobility within and between membrane microdomains, and trigger the fusion of clusters into large (3.0 µm²) ceramide-rich platforms. CFTR clusters are closely associated with motile cilia and with the enzyme acid sphingomyelinase (ASMase) that is constitutively bound on the cell surface. Platform induction is prevented by pretreating cells with cholesterol oxidase to disrupt lipid rafts or by exposure to the ASMase functional inhibitor amitriptyline or the membrane-impermeant reducing agent 2-mercaptoethanesulfonate. Platforms are reversible, and their induction does not lead to an increase in apoptosis; however, blocking platform formation does prevent the increase in CFTR surface expression that normally occurs during VIP stimulation. These results demonstrate that CFTR is colocalized with motile cilia and reveal surprisingly robust regulation of CFTR distribution and mobility, most likely through autocrine redox activation of extracellular ASMase. Formation of ceramide-rich platforms containing CFTR enhances transepithelial secretion and likely has other functions related to inflammation and mucosal immunity.

Introduction

The CFTR (ABCC7) is an anion channel expressed in the plasma membrane of many cell types throughout the body (Riordan, 2008). In airway epithelia, it mediates the apical Cl⁻ efflux that helps drive fluid secretion into the airways to humidify inspired air and enable the mucociliary transport of inhaled particles and bacteria from the lung (Frizzell and Hanrahan, 2012). Mutations in the cfr gene cause the autosomal recessive disease cystic fibrosis (CF), which is characterized by abnormal fluid and electrolyte transport and sticky, viscous mucus in the airways, pancreas, and other organs. Abnormal salt and fluid transport in CF airways leads to recurring bacterial infections, chronic inflammation, and a gradual decline in lung function (Ratjen et al., 2015). CFTR channel activity and transepithelial secretion are stimulated by various peptide hormones and neurotransmitters called secretagogues. Vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP) is a 28-amino acid neuro-peptide released by nonadrenergic, noncholinergic neurons that innervate the airways (Wine, 2007), and also by immune cells (Martinez et al., 1999). Upon release, VIP binds to the G protein-coupled receptors VPAC1 and VPAC2 (vasoactive intestinal peptide receptor types 1 and 2, respectively) on airway epithelial cells (Groneberg et al., 2001; Dérand et al., 2004; Miotto et al., 2004), leading to activation of PKA and PKC signaling and stimulation of CFTR-mediated secretion (Schwartz et al., 1974; Dharmasathaphorn et al., 1985). In addition to canonical VIP signaling, CFTR can also be activated by other secretagogues such as the muscarinic agonist carbachol (CCh), which stimulates phospholipase C, Ca²⁺ mobilization, and Src tyrosine kinase regulation (Billet and Hanrahan, 2013; Billet et al., 2013).
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CFTR is usually viewed as a single, homogeneous population at the cell surface, although it is known that some CFTR channels reside in a detergent-resistant membrane (DRM) fraction (Kowalski and Pier, 2004; Wang et al., 2008) and that this population is increased by the cytokine tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α (Dudez et al., 2008). We identified two distinct CFTR channel populations in the plasma membrane of primary human bronchial epithelial cells that had been transduced with adenovirus containing enhanced GFP (EGFP)-CFTR, one population that is diffusely distributed and another that is in cholesterol-dependent clusters (Abu-Arish et al., 2015). CFTR channels are assumed to be static during physiological regulation due to tethering by sodium-hydrogen exchanger regulatory factor (NH2R) and other scaffold and adapter proteins, and the factors determining their distribution on the surface of well-differentiated airway epithelial cells are not well understood. We report here that lipid raft-like microdomains containing clusters of CFTR channels are closely associated with the bases of motile cilia and with acid sphingomyelinase (ASMase), an enzyme that hydrolyzes plasma membrane sphingomyelin to ceramide. Surprisingly, ASMase is bound constitutively on the extracellular surface of the membrane and is activated by secretagogues, most likely through an autocrine redox signaling mechanism rather than by translocation to the plasma membrane by lysosomes as in other cell types (Zeidan and Hannun, 2007; Li et al., 2012). Activation of extracellular ASMase promotes the aggregation of CFTR, the ciliary protein centrin2, and ASMase itself. Ceramide-rich platforms are a well-established signaling mechanism under a wide range of pathological conditions (Grassmé et al., 2007; Stancevic and Kolesnick, 2010). The present results indicate that they also contribute to the physiological regulation of CFTR.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

CF lung tissue was obtained from F508del/F508del patients after lung transplantation with informed written consent and following protocols approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the Institut de recherches cliniques de Montréal and McGill University. Non-CF lung tissue was from the National Disease Research Interchange (Philadelphia, PA). Primary human bronchial epithelial (CFBE) 41o− cell line stably expressing WT CFTR (provided by J. Hong and E. Sorscher, Emory University, Atlanta, GA). For surface biotinylation, cells were seeded on 10-cm culture dishes coated with human fibronectin (Corning) and maintained in Eagle’s minimum essential medium (Wisent) supplemented with 10% FBS (Wisent), 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Wisent), and 1% L-glutamine (Wisent). To measure short-circuit current (Isc), cells were seeded on fibronectin-coated polyester membrane inserts (0.4 µm pore diameter, 6.5 mm diameter; Corning Costar) and kept submerged until the transepithelial electrical resistance reached >400 Ω·cm² as measured using an epithelial volt-ohmmeter (World Precision Instruments). Apical medium was then aspirated and cultures were maintained at the air-liquid interface for another 7 d (CFBE41o−) or >21 d (pHBE) as described previously (Wong et al., 2018).

Immunostaining

Non-CF pHBE cells were differentiated on collagen-coated Transwell supports at the air-liquid interface for ≥3 wk (Fulcher et al., 2005; Robert et al., 2008). To visualize endogenous CFTR and the effects of VIP, cells were exposed bilaterally to control medium or medium containing 200 nM VIP (Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 h, then gently washed and scraped from the insert into PBS and centrifuged onto glass coverslips (500 rpm, 5 min; Cytospin 4; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Adherent cells were rinsed with PBS and fixed in 10% neutral formalin overnight at 4°C. For intracellular protein immunostaining, cells were permeabilized using 1% Triton X-100/PBS, blocked with 2% BSA/PBS for 1 h, and incubated overnight at 4°C with mouse anti-CFTR primary antibody 596 (1:200 dilution; provided by J. Riordan, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, and Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Therapeutics, Inc.) alone or in combination with a rabbit anti-centrin2 antibody (1:200; Abcam). They were then exposed to Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-mouse and Alexa Fluor 594 goat anti-rabbit secondary antibodies (1:1,000 dilution; Invitrogen) for 1 h, mounted in ProLong Diamond Antifade Mountant (Invitrogen), and imaged using an LSM-780 confocal microscope (Zeiss) equipped with a multiline argon laser (488 nm, 25 mW) and a 561-nm line laser (15 mW). To examine ASMase distribution on the apical surface of differentiated non-CF pHBES, the above procedure was followed but without the membrane permeabilization step. The effect of amitriptyline (Amit) on ASMase distribution was assessed by pretreating cells with 13 µM Amit bilaterally for 1 h and then exposing them to 200 nM VIP for 10, 30, or 60 min. To examine the colocalization of ASMase with CFTR or cilia, the isolated and fixed cells were permeabilized, then stained according to the same procedure mentioned above. Mouse anti-β-tubulin (1:200) was used to label cilia.
Table 1. VIP and CCh modulate CFTR distribution and confined mobility at the cell surface of pHBE cells through lipid-dependent mechanisms

| Treatment   | CD ratio | DA ratio | \(D_{micro} \text{ (µm}^2/\text{s}) \times 0.001\) | \(f_{micro}\) | \(R (µm)\) | \(n_{cell}^a\) | \(N_{exp}^b\) |
|-------------|----------|----------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|--------|---------------|---------------|
| Ctr         | 1.0 ± 0.1| 1.0 ± 0.1| 10.9 ± 0.6                                    | 0.21 ± 0.02  | 0.322 ± 0.005 | 224           | 10            |
| VIP         | 0.50 ± 0.05\(^c\)| 2.7 ± 0.4\(^d\)| 3.3 ± 0.2\(^e\)                              | 0.40 ± 0.03\(^d\)| 0.279 ± 0.005\(^e\)| 164 | 8             |
| CCh         | 0.38 ± 0.08\(^c\)| 2.5 ± 0.3\(^d\)| 4.77 ± 0.6\(^c\)                              | 0.35 ± 0.04\(^d\)| 0.283 ± 0.004\(^c\)| 40  | 2             |
| COase +VIP  | 0.87 ± 0.08 | 1.0 ± 0.1 | 10.7 ± 0.7                                    | 0.18 ± 0.02  | 0.303 ± 0.005 | 112           | 4             |
| Ami +VIP    | 1.4 ± 0.2\(^d\) | 0.50 ± 0.07\(^c\) | 18.0 ± 1.0\(^d\)                             | 0.14 ± 0.01\(^c\)| 0.335 ± 0.005 | 85            | 4             |

\(^a\)\(n_{cell}\) total number of cells
\(^b\)\(N_{exp}\) number of independent experiments
\(^c\)Smaller than Ctr, \(p_{cell} < 0.001\), \(p_{exp} < 0.05\).
\(^d\)Larger than Ctr, \(p_{cell} < 0.001\), \(p_{exp} < 0.05\).

Bronchial tissue was fixed in 10% formalin, embedded in paraffin, and cut into 5-µm-thick sections. Immediately before immunostaining, the sections were deparaffinized in three sequential xylene baths (5 min each) and rehydrated in a series of alcohol solutions (95%, 95%, 70%; 5 min each) followed by two rinses with distilled-deionized H2O and equilibration in PBS. Sections were incubated for 30 min in PBS containing 3% BSA, then washed in PBS before and after 10 min incubation in 3% hydrogen peroxide to quench endogenous peroxidase activity, then immunostained as detailed above.

**ASMase activity**

ASMase activity was measured fluorometrically (k-3200; Echelon Biosciences Inc.) according to the manufacturer’s instructions with the following modifications: Assays were performed on live pHBE cells expressing EGFP-CFTR that had been seeded in fluorodishes as described above. Substrate buffer and ASMase substrate were added onto the cells, and ASMase activity was measured by collecting samples under control conditions and during acute stimulation with VIP (200 nM), with or without Ami pretreatment (13 µM for 1 h). Stop buffer was added to samples taken 30, 60, or 120 min after adding substrate. Fluorescence intensity was measured using a spectrophotometer at 360 nm excitation and 460 nm emission at several time points after adding stop buffer (10, 20, and 30 min) to ensure that fluorescence was stable.

**Live cell imaging**

Subconfluent pHBE cells on glass coverslips were transduced with EGFP-CFTR and studied at 37°C in a humidified incubator in 5% CO2/95% air (Live Cell Instrument) on the stage of the confocal microscope as described previously (Abu-Arish et al., 2015). Image time series comprising 800 regions of interest (ROIs; 256 × 256 pixels) were collected from a flat area of the plasma membrane in contact with the coverslip using a Plan-Apochromat 63× (numerical aperture = 1.40) oil immersion objective with a confocal pinhole of 1 Airy unit, digital gain = 900, 0.5% laser power, 6.5 Hz frame rate, pixel diameter = 0.06 µm, and pixel dwell time 1 µs. The total number of time series images collected under each condition is shown in Table 1. To visualize CFTR distribution and the formation of platforms, larger areas (1,024 × 1,024 pixels) were collected at 5% laser power and a frame rate of 0.2 Hz (0.13 µm pixel diameter, 6 µs dwell time). All experiments were performed at 37°C.

pHBE cells were exposed to 200 nM VIP or 2 µM CCh for 20–30 min and imaged in their presence. To reduce membrane cholesterol and disrupt lipid rafts, cells were incubated with 1 unit/ml cholesterol oxidase (COase; Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 h before the 30-min exposure to VIP, then imaged with both COase and VIP present. To reduce membrane ceramide levels, cells were treated with Ami (13 µM; Sigma-Aldrich), a functional inhibitor of ASMase, for 40 min before VIP treatment before imaging.

**Determining cluster and platform size**

Individual clusters and platforms were encircled using ImageJ software, and their areas were estimated from the number of pixels × single pixel area (Rasband, 1997-2018). Although the clusters (in contrast to the platforms) were optical resolution-limited size in the images and this approach provides only an upper estimate of microdomain area, measuring the subdiffraction-sized aggregates more accurately would only strengthen our conclusion regarding the different dimensions of rafts versus platforms. Total fluorescence of each cluster or platform was calculated as the number of pixels × mean fluorescence/pixel and is proportional to the total amount of CFTR in the microdomain. Microdomain size was quantified using pixel number and total area; however, line scan fluorescence profiles of a representative cluster and platform are shown in Fig. S3 B for illustration purposes.

**Spatial and k-space image correlation spectroscopy (ICS) analyses**

Details regarding the spatial ICS and k-space ICS (kICS) analyses are found in the supporting materials and references (Wiseman and Petersen, 1999; Kolin et al., 2006). Spatial ICS was used to quantify CFTR cluster density (CD; i.e., the average number of independent fluorescent entities per unit area, No. clusters/µm²) and the degree of aggregation (DA; i.e., proportional to the number of fluorescent particles per cluster, the cluster size).

A modification of the kICS analysis was used to study CFTR membrane dynamics (Pandzic, 2013; Abu-Arish et al., 2015). Briefly, the k-space temporal correlation function is obtained by calculating the temporal correlation function from the k-space domain image time series (\(k_x\), \(k_y\), t). This reciprocal space time...
series input is first obtained by performing a two-dimensional spatial fast-Fourier transform on each image (x, y) in the original fluorescence microscopy time series. A two-component exponential fit is applied to the k-space time correlation function for a single molecular species system that exhibits confined (micro-scale) and unconfined (macro-scale) diffusion dynamics within and between small domains distributed in two dimensions. The model yields effective mean squared displacements (MSDs) for the confined ($D_{\text{micro}}(\tau)$) and unconfined ($D_{\text{macro}}(\tau)$) populations of molecules and their respective amplitude parameters ($\phi_{\text{micro}}$ and $\phi_{\text{macro}}$) at each time lag.

The slope of the macro MSD-versus-$\tau$ plot yields $D_{\text{macro}}$, an effective diffusion coefficient of CFTR that averages over its behavior both within and between microdomains, as has been shown using computer simulations (Pandzic, 2013). The slope of the first three temporal lags in the micro MSD-versus-$\tau$ plot was used to calculate $D_{\text{micro}}$, an effective diffusion coefficient for particles that are confined within membrane domains. The steady-state fractions within the “macro” and “micro” populations were calculated from the amplitudes at long temporal lag values. The y axis intercept of the micro MSD plot extrapolated from long temporal lags $\tau$ is $R^2/4$. The R value and domain confinement are inversely related (Pandzic, 2013); i.e., the smaller the R value, the stronger the domain confinement and vice versa. Thus, the R value provides a measure of confinement strength.

**CSB and immunoblotting**
To quantify CFTR at the cell surface biochemically, CFBE41o- cells expressing WT CFTR were cultured to ~95% confluence, serum-starved in OptiMEM medium (5% CO2/95% air, 37°C) for 24 h, exposed to 200 nM VIP or vehicle for 1 h to allow maximal lipid redistribution and platform induction, then surface biotinylated as described previously (Luo et al., 2009). Briefly, cul-
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**Statistics**
Results are presented as the mean ± SEM. For imaging experiments in which ROIs were analyzed, results from 20 to 40 ROIs were averaged to obtain a single value for each independent experiment that was used when testing significance ($p_{\text{exp}}$) with the unpaired Student’s t test. Considering individual cells as independent further increased the significance of observed differences ($p_{\text{cell}}$).

**Glossary**
Typically refers to a single term that encompasses both lipid rafts and ceramide-rich platforms. “Puncta” are minute spots of fluorescence caused by clustering of EGFP-CFTR, immunostained endogenous CFTR, or ASMase. Regions with many puncta are described as having a punctate distribution. “CFTR clusters” are small groups of CFTR channels located within the point spread function (PSF) of the objective (~250 nM dia.). Clusters are thought to be situated in lipid rafts, as we have shown previously that they are reduced by cholesterol depletion and increased by cholesterol supplementation (Abu-Arish et al., 2015). “Aggregation” refers to the fusion of lipid rafts into large platforms that bring together CFTR clusters and other raft-localized proteins. “Platforms” are large (2–4 µm dia.) ceramide-rich membrane microdomains that form during cell stimulation.
Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows specific CFTR immunostaining at the apical membrane of non-CF bronchus and the absence of apical CFTR in bronchial tissue from a patient homozygous for F508del-CFTR. Fig. S2 shows that CFTR immunostaining in well-differentiated, non-CF primary bronchial epithelial cells is abolished when primary anti-CFTR antibody is omitted. Fig. S3 shows representative images and the dimensions of EGFP-CFTR aggregates under control conditions, during stimulation, and when cells are stimulated after pretreatment with COase or Ami. Fig. S4 shows CFTR dynamics in unstimulated cells after pretreatment with COase or Ami.

Results

CFTR aggregation in bronchial tissue and pHBE cells

Immunostained sections of normal bronchus revealed CFTR at the apical pole of ciliated cells, with some aggregates apparent in oblique sections (Fig. 1 A, red arrows indicate apical aspect; see Fig. S1 A for perpendicular section). As expected, apical immunostaining was not detected in bronchial sections from a CF donor homozygous for F508del-CFTR (Fig. S1 C). pHBE cells were cultured at the air–liquid interface for 1 mo, then scraped into PBS, centrifuged onto glass coverslips, and fixed as described in Materials and methods. Immunostaining revealed two populations of endogenous CFTR at the apical membrane under control conditions, one that was diffusely distributed and the other that was punctate (Fig. 1 B). The distribution of endogenous CFTR closely resembled that of EGFP-CFTR observed during live cell imaging of unpolarized pHBEs transduced with an adenovirus (Abu-Arish et al., 2015). CFTR clusters or platforms were not observed when cells were exposed only to the secondary antibody (Fig. S2). Exposure of well-differentiated non-CF pHBE cells to 200 nM VIP caused a remarkable reorganization of CFTR into platform-like structures that were several micrometers in diameter (Fig. 1 C). The time course of platform induction varied between cells but usually began within 10 min and was maximal within 60 min. The number and distribution of CFTR clusters are reminiscent of motile cilia on airway epithelial cells, and since CFTR expression is detected predominantly in ciliated cells (Kreda et al., 2005), we examined whether CFTR clusters colocalize with the ciliogenesis marker centrin2 (Herawati et al., 2016). Immunostaining revealed that CFTR and centrin2 are colocalized under control conditions (Fig. 1, D–F) and also when VIP stimulation induces large platforms (Fig. 1, G–I). These unexpected results suggest that CFTR resides in the raft-like periciliary membrane surrounding the base of each cilium (Emmer et al., 2010), and that CFTR and cilia undergo extensive reorganization during stimulation by physiological secretagogues such as VIP.

VIP caused aggregation of adenoviral EGFP-CFTR in live pHBE cells that closely resembled endogenous CFTR after fixation and immunostaining (Fig. 2). No signal was detected in adjacent untransduced cells, confirming that background staining was due to diffusely distributed EGFP-CFTR rather than cell autofluorescence (in Fig. 2 A, note EGFP-CFTR in a transduced cell indicated by the green arrow, and negligible fluorescence in neighboring cells indicated by blue arrows in the

Transmitted and Combined images). As with endogenous CFTR, EGFP-CFTR on the plasma membrane of live cells formed diffuse (yellow arrow) and punctate (white arrow) populations under basal conditions (Fig. 2 B). The puncta contain clusters of two to six CFTR molecules within a PSF-sized focal spot according to Photobleaching Intensity Step Analysis (unpublished data).

Exposing cells to 200 nM VIP for 20 min produced large CFTR platforms (Fig. 2 C) that persisted for several hours in the presence of VIP and were completely dispersed within 24 h after VIP washout (Fig. 2 D). Platforms were generally observed within a few minutes but sometimes took longer to develop, and the delay was most variable using primary cells from different donors, suggesting individual variation may contribute to the variation. Regardless, platforms remained stable for >1 h once formed; therefore, we chose relatively long exposure times (20–60 min) for imaging and CSB experiments, respectively. Exposure to 2 µM CCh for 20 min also produced platforms (Fig. 2 E) that slowly disappeared after CCh removal and were eliminated within 24 h (Fig. 2 F). Platforms were not associated with shape changes or cell shrinkage, which would be detected using image correlation methods. Cells remained viable for ≥3 d
Platforms like those in Fig. 2 only appeared when cells were present under basal and stimulated conditions; however, clusters per unit area (CD) and their DA under different conditions as described in Materials and methods (Fig. S3). Clusters containing CFTR, then determined the number of clusters per unit area (CD) and their DA under different conditions as described in Materials and methods (Fig. S3). Clusters were present under basal and stimulated conditions; however, platforms like those in Fig. 2 only appeared when cells were stimulated by CCh (2 µM, 20 min) or VIP (200 nM, 20 min; Fig. S3 A). Platform induction by VIP was reduced by 1 h pretreatment with 1 unit/ml COase (to deplete membrane cholesterol) or 40 min treatment with 13 µM Ami (to inhibit ceramide formation by ASMase; Fig. S3 A). Microdomains having dimensions near the limit of optical resolution (<0.25 µm) were considered clusters whereas large aggregates (>1 µm dia.) were considered platforms (Fig. S3 B). Microdomain areas were estimated by counting pixels (ROI = 1,024 × 1,024 pixels; five cells/condition from three different experiments; Fig. S3 C). On average, the total fluorescence of a platform was ~20-fold higher than that of a cluster (Fig. S3 D), suggesting that ~100 CFTR channels are incorporated into a typical platform during VIP stimulation.

Extracellular ASMase colocalizes with CFTR clusters and is activated by VIP

Ceramide is formed in the plasma membrane by ASMase, which cleaves phosphocholine from sphingomyelin in the outer leaflet. In many cells, ASMase is translocated from the lysosomal compartment to the cell surface in response to cell stress and a broad range of pathological stimuli (Grassmé et al., 2001; Zeidan and Hannun, 2007). Ceramide changes the physical properties of the membrane and causes lipid rafts to coalesce (Grassmé et al., 2007; Alonso and Goñi, 2018). To examine if ASMase is translocated to the plasma membrane during acute VIP stimulation, we compared ASMase immunostaining on unpermeabilized, well-differentiated pHBEs under control conditions and after exposure to 200 nM VIP. ASMase immunofluorescence was readily detected on unpermeabilized pHBEs under control conditions and after stimulation with VIP for 10 or 30 min (Fig. 3, A and B). Total ASMase immunofluorescence was not altered after 10 min VIP exposure and was reduced by ~33% after 30 min exposure according to the mean fluorescence intensity of multiple ROIs (1,024 × 1,024 pixels; n = 50–130 ROIs per condition, four donors studied in separate experiments; Fig. 3 C). Similar immunofluorescence was detected after 10 or 30 min VIP stimulation following pretreatment with 13 µM Ami for 1 h. These results demonstrate that ASMase protein is constitutively bound to the extracellular surface of the plasma membrane, and its levels are not increased by acute VIP stimulation.

To determine if the extracellular ASMase is stimulated by VIP, we assayed its activity on the surface of subconfluent pHBEs expressing EGFP-CFTR using a fluorometric assay as described in Materials and methods. ASMase activity increased 1.4- to 2.0-fold following exposure to VIP for 30–60 min and then declined (Fig. 3 D). Pretreating cells with 13 µM Ami inhibited VIP-stimulated ASMase activity at both time points. VIP stimulation for 120 min reduced ASMase activity below the baseline level measured in time controls. Control experiments indicated that neither VIP nor Ami affected the fluorescence of the ASMase substrate under cell-free conditions, confirming that the fluorescence changes were due to ASMase activity on the epithelial cell surface.

Activation of extracellular ASMase by the G protein-coupled receptor agonist VIP was unexpected and raised a question as to what signaling mechanism might mediate stimulation ASMase and induce platforms. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) have been...
reported to activate ASMase in several other cell types; therefore, we examined if VIP could induce platforms in the presence of the mild, membrane-impermeant reducing agent 2-mercaptoethanesulfonate (MESNA). As expected, platforms were not observed under control conditions in pHBE cells expressing EGFP-CFTR (Fig. 3 E), although they appeared within 20 min during exposure to 200 nM VIP (Fig. 3 F). Exposure to MESNA alone did not alter the distribution of EGFP-CFTR (Fig. 3 G) and abolished the induction of platforms by VIP stimulation (Fig. 3 H). A redox mechanism for activation of extracellular ASMase was further suggested by an approximate twofold increase in ASMase activity when cells were exposed to exogenous 200 µM H2O2 that was abolished by Ami pretreatment (data not shown). These results are consistent with VIP activation of extracellular ASMase and formation of ceramide-rich platforms through autocrine release of an oxidant, although other inhibitory effects of MESNA cannot be excluded.

CFTR clusters are situated in lipid rafts (Abu-Arish et al., 2015) that coalesce into large platforms in response to VIP stimulation (this study, CFTR aggregation in bronchial tissue and pHBE cells). Ceramides that form in lipid rafts by hydrolysis of sphingomyelin are thought to coalesce spontaneously into microdomains due to their extensive hydrogen bonding, which causes them to become tightly packed, and also because they are extremely hydrophobic, with a phase transition temperature of ~90°C. These properties are thought to cause ceramides to segregate from other lipids in the membrane and form highly ordered microdomains (Bollinger et al., 2005). Since ceramide would need to be generated within the lipid rafts that contain CFTR to induce its aggregation into platforms, we hypothesized that extracellular ASMase might colocalize with CFTR clusters. To test this, we performed immunofluorescence staining of CFTR and ASMase in well-differentiated pHBEs. Since CFTR clusters colocalize with motile cilia, cells were also exposed to antibody against the ciliary protein tubulin β. Endogenous ASMase had a punctate distribution under control conditions in both permeabilized and unpermeabilized pHBEs (Fig. 4 A), with ASMase immunostaining at the base of each motile cilium (Fig. 4 B) as shown above for CFTR. Colocalization of endogenous CFTR and ASMase was confirmed under unstimulated control conditions by coimmunostaining both proteins and observing yellow in the merged image (Fig. 4, C–E). CFTR and ASMase were both reorganized into large platforms during VIP stimulation (Fig. 4, F–H).

These results indicate that ASMase is constitutively present on the exterior surface of the plasma membrane, apparently on the lipid rafts that also contain clusters of CFTR channels.

Induction of ceramide-rich platforms reduces CFTR lateral mobility and increases confinement

To study CFTR mobility and confinement on pHBEs, we used kICS (Kolin et al., 2006; Pandzic, 2013; Abu-Arish et al., 2015).
This analysis yields the effective macroscopic diffusion coefficient for CFTR lateral movements within and between microdomains ($D_{\text{macro}}$), the effective diffusion coefficient for CFTR exclusively within microdomains ($D_{\text{micro}}$), and the fraction of CFTR in each of these two populations ($f_{\text{macro}}$ and $f_{\text{micro}}$; see Materials and methods). VIP caused EGFP-CFTR aggregation and a simultaneous 3.2-fold decrease in $D_{\text{macro}}$ (control: $D_{\text{macro}} = 0.016 \pm 0.001 \, \mu m$, VIP: $D_{\text{macro}} = 0.005 \pm 0.001 \, \mu m$; Fig. 5 A). The decrease in $D_{\text{macro}}$ was calculated from the slopes of the macro MSD curves (i.e., $D_{\text{macro}} \tau$ versus lag $\tau$) during VIP treatment and under control conditions (Fig. 5 A). Pretreating cells with 13 µM Ami for 40 min to inhibit ASMase (Liu and Anderson, 1995; van Blitterswijk et al., 2003; Yu et al., 2005) increased $D_{\text{macro}}$ above control levels, further evidence that even basal ceramide levels influence CFTR dynamics. The plateau in the MSD curve of the slow CFTR population ($D_{\text{micro}} \tau$ versus lag $\tau$) indicates confinement, while linear regression of the first three points reveals a 3.3-fold decrease in microscopic diffusion coefficient during VIP exposure ($D_{\text{micro}} = 0.0033 \pm 0.0002 \, \mu m$) compared with control conditions ($D_{\text{micro}} = 0.011 \pm 0.001 \, \mu m$; Fig. 5 B). Amplitude plots of $\phi_{\text{macro}}(t)$ and $\phi_{\text{micro}}(t)$ versus lag $\tau$ demonstrated reciprocal changes in the fraction of CFTR within each population ($\ell_{\text{macro}}$ and $\ell_{\text{micro}}$, respectively; Fig. 5 C) post VIP treatment. The confined fraction ($\phi_{\text{micro}}$, blue line) was increased and the unconfined fraction ($\ell_{\text{micro}}$, blue diamonds) was decreased accordingly (Fig. 5 C). This poststimulation redistribution into the low, more confined population fraction may be due to slower CFTR exit from ceramide-rich platforms, although enhanced entry into membrane microdomains cannot be excluded.

CFTR dynamics under different experimental conditions are summarized in Fig. 6 and Table 1. $D_{\text{micro}}$ and $D_{\text{macro}}$ were reduced during CCh and VIP stimulation, and these effects were diminished by pretreating cells with 1 unit/ml COase for 1 h to disrupt rafts, or 13 µM Ami for 40 min to inhibit ceramide synthesis (Fig. 6 A). The rapidly diffusing population fraction ($\ell_{\text{macro}}$) was reduced by both stimuli in concert with a twofold increase in the confined population fraction ($\ell_{\text{micro}}$), which was highly
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Inhibit ceramide synthesis by ASMase (Ami+VIP), disrupt rafts (COase+VIP), or VIP after 40 min pretreatment with Ami to exposure to CCh or VIP alone, VIP after 1 h pretreatment with COase to inhibition (Fig. 6 C). Taken together, these kICS results identify two dynamically distinct CFTR populations consistent with diffuse and punctate distributions and their regulation by VIP and CCh.

To quantify CFTR aggregation, we used spatial ICS (see Materials and methods) to determine a CD parameter (proportional to the number of independent fluorescent entities per unit area) and the DA (average fluorescence intensity divided by the CD parameter and therefore proportional to aggregate size). Since ICS relies on fluorescence collected on a sampling scale that corresponds to the diffraction-limited focal spot of the microscope, it yields a minimum estimate of CD and an upper limit for DA when analyzing microdomains larger than the optical PSF. Both CCh and VIP reduced the CD (gray bars) by >50% compared with prestimulation control levels, and this decrease was inhibited by pretreating cells with COase to deplete membrane cholesterol and disrupt lipid rafts (Fig. 6 D). Interestingly, pretreatment with Ami not only blocked the decrease in CD but increased it above the control level, suggesting that CFTR localization depends on basal ceramide levels even in unstimulated cells (Fig. S4). The reduction in CD during VIP stimulation is consistent with aggregation of nanoscale lipid rafts into a smaller number of microscale platforms, as indicated by the high DA during CCh or VIP exposure (Fig. 6 D, black bars). COase and Ami both inhibited aggregation. In summary, stimuli reduce the number of CFTR-containing microdomains per unit area by stimulating their fusion, and this effect depends on both cholesterol and ceramide (Table 1).

Inhibiting platform formation prevents plasma membrane CFTR accumulation, reducing channel activity during VIP stimulation CFTR recycles between the plasma membrane and endosomes, and since microdomains containing CFTR can persist for many hours, we wondered if they might stabilize CFTR at the cell surface and increase its functional expression. We transduced pHBE cells with adenoviral EGFP-CFTR and measured fluorescence intensity before and during VIP stimulation while focusing on the ventral aspect of the cell that is in contact with the flat glass surface. Mean fluorescence increased ~60% during VIP stimulation compared with control conditions (see Table 1 for n; P < 0.001, Fig. 7 A). Pretreating cells with COase reduced fluorescence near the plasma membrane and inhibited the increase elicited by VIP. Ami pretreatment also reduced surface significant (Fig. 6 B). CCh and VIP reduced the confinement parameter R (inversely proportional to confinement strength), indicating that CFTR confinement was increased, and this decrease was blunted by cholesterol depletion and ASMase inhibition (Fig. 6 C). Taken together, these kICS results identify two dynamically distinct CFTR populations consistent with diffuse and punctate distributions and their regulation by VIP and CCh. Inhibiting platform formation prevents plasma membrane CFTR accumulation, reducing channel activity during VIP stimulation...
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fluorescence and abolished the VIP-induced increase (Fig. 7 A). The inhibitory effects of Ami and COase were not additive, suggesting they may act through a common pathway.

CSB was used as an independent method to assess the impact of platform formation on CFTR abundance in the plasma membrane. It was necessary to use the immortalized bronchial cell line CFBE41o− stably expressing CFTR for these experiments; however, CFBE41o− cells were cultured under the same conditions used when imaging primary cells. VIP (200 nM, 1 h) increased the amount of CSB CFTR by ~80% without altering the level of CFTR abundance in whole cell lysates (Fig. 7 B), consistent with the increase in EGFP-CFTR fluorescence at the plasma membrane. This accumulation did not occur when cells were pretreated with COase or Ami to inhibit induction of platforms (Fig. 7 C). Surface biotinylation normalized to controls is shown in Fig. 7 D under each condition. The inset in panel Fig. 7 D confirms that VIP produces platforms in CFBE41o−, although they were smaller than those usually found in pHBE cells.

To assess the role of platforms in transepithelial anion transport, VIP and FSK-stimulated short circuit currents were compared with and without Ami pretreatment. VIP causes an increase in Isc across CFBE41o− cells, and FSK addition caused further stimulation (Fig. 7 E). Inhibiting ceramide synthesis with Ami reduced responses to sequential VIP and VIP+FSK stimulations by 40−45%, indicating a reduction in the functional expression of CFTR. The smaller stimulation elicited by VIP compared with FSK suggested basolateral entry might be rate-limiting; therefore, experiments were also performed after the basolateral membrane was permeabilized using nystatin, and an apical-to-basolateral Cl− gradient was imposed (Fig. 7 F). Ami pretreatment again caused ~40% inhibition of the current that was carried by CFTR, as indicated by sensitivity to the CFTR inhibitor CFTRinh-172. Under these conditions, the stimulations produced by VIP and FSK were similar (Fig. 7, F and G). Regardless, VIP and maximal (VIP+FSK) stimulations were both inhibited by Ami. Finally, inhibiting ceramide formation also reduced responses to sequential VIP and FSK from 43% to 38% in well-differentiated pHBE cells, confirming the results obtained using the CFBE41o− cells (Fig. 7 H). These results indicate that VIP-induced accumulation of CFTR at the apical membrane contributes to the secretory response and depends on the formation of ceramide-rich platforms in both the CFBE41o− and well-differentiated pHBE cells.

Discussion

The present results indicate that CFTR behavior on the surface of bronchial epithelial cells is more dynamic than previously thought and depends on membrane lipids. Nanoscale clusters of CFTR channels fuse into large platforms up to several micrometers in diameter when cells are exposed to VIP or CCh. This aggregation of CFTR clusters into long-lived platforms is required for VIP to increase the amount of CFTR at the cell surface of both unpolarized and highly differentiated airway epithelial cells. Although platforms are commonly observed under pathological conditions, to our knowledge, this is the first evidence for a large-scale CFTR redistribution on the cell surface during regulation physiological agonists. In the present study, platforms were triggered by activation of ASMase that was constitutively bound to the cell surface rather than by rapid translocation from lysosomes as described for other cells. We examined CFTR distribution and lateral mobility within ceramide-rich platforms and found that CFTR clusters colocalize with both ASMase and the ciliogenesis marker centrin2, suggesting an association with motile cilia.

These results are consistent with previous reports that CFTR is present in a DRM fraction prepared from airway cells (Dudez et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2008). Approximately half of the total CFTR in the Calu-3 cell line was found in a DRM fraction and was cholesterol-dependent (Wang et al., 2008). CFTR was also demonstrated in the DRM fraction in Madin-Darby Canine Kidney cells, where its abundance was increased by the proinflammatory cytokine TNF-α (Dudez et al., 2008). Since the TNF-α receptor stimulates ceramide synthesis (Zhang et al., 2009), it is likely that the DRM fractions containing CFTR in those studies included both lipid rafts and ceramide-rich platforms. Ceramide-rich platforms are induced under a wide range of conditions that lead to apoptosis including activation of the FAS receptor CD95 (Grassmé et al., 2001) and cell stresses such as short-wavelength ultraviolet light irradiation (Rotolo et al., 2005) and infection (Grassmé et al., 2003, 2005). A protective role of platforms during infection has been demonstrated in studies of ASMase knockout mice, which had exaggerated IL-1β release and increased mortality when infected with Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Grassmé et al., 2003). The presence of CFTR in platforms may facilitate internalization of bacteria and viruses, thereby triggering apoptosis (Grassmé et al., 2003; Kowalski and Pier, 2004). In humans, mutations in the SMPD1 gene encoding ASMase cause Niemann–Pick disease types A and B and are associated with recurring pulmonary infections that begin in the first few months of life. The microdomains elicited by physiological secretagogues reported here have some similarities to those seen previously under pathological conditions but differ in that they do not require translocation of active ASMase to the plasma membrane during acute stimulation. Another major difference is that platforms in this study were fully reversible and not associated with apoptosis.

We reported previously that a population of CFTR channels resides in cholesterol-dependent clusters under basal conditions and concluded they are in nanoscale lipid rafts (Abu-Arish et al., 2015). The present study found that the clusters aggregate into ceramide-rich platforms during secretagogue stimulation. The mechanisms responsible for CFTR recruitment and retention in both types of sphingolipid-rich microdomains, i.e., sphingomyelin-rich lipid rafts and ceramide-rich platforms, are not known. Non-specific partitioning driven by differences in lipid composition probably contribute, especially for ceramide-rich platforms; however, specific protein–protein interactions may also be involved. CFTR binds to NHERF1 (Haggie et al., 2004; Bates et al., 2006a) and filamin A (Thelin et al., 2007), scaffold proteins that tether membrane proteins to the cytoskeleton. Single particle tracking studies showed that CFTR interaction with the PDZ (post synaptic density 95, disc
large 1, zonula occludens 1) domains of NHERF1 nearly immobilizes CFTR (Bates et al., 2006a; Haggie et al., 2006). This was further suggested by incomplete fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (Haggie et al., 2004; Bates et al., 2006a) and by a fivefold faster effective diffusion coefficient calculated by ICS when the CFTR-NHERF interaction was disrupted by adding a polyhistidine tail to the C terminus of CFTR (Bates et al., 2006a, b). If scaffold proteins such as NHERF1 are themselves targeted to rafts or platforms, their interactions with CFTR could promote its recruitment or retention. Indeed, NHERF1 binds to cholesterol in artificial membrane vesicles (Sheng et al., 2012). Further studies are needed to establish if...
CFTR localization in rafts and platforms is enhanced by interactions with other proteins.

Another unexpected result was the colocalization of CFTR clusters with motile cilia and the ciliogenesis marker centrin2. The physiological significance of this distribution remains to be established. CFTR clusters are observed on CFBE cells that lack cilia; therefore, clustering does not depend on ability to form cilia. Conversely, ciliary function does not require CFTR expression, as most studies indicate that ciliary beat frequency is normal in CF cells (Rutland and Cole, 1981). However, ciliary beat frequency is only one measure of ciliary function, and CFTR may have more subtle effects. It is also possible that CFTR forms clusters wherever a lipid raft is available and is colocalized with cilia simply because it is embedded in lipid raft-like microdomains (Emmer et al., 2010).

The present results confirm that CFTR exists in two distinct populations having different effective diffusion coefficients (Abu-Arish et al., 2015). The punctate fluorescence of CFTR clusters, the cholesterol dependence of the slow component \(D_{\text{micro}}\), and the plateau in the mean squared displacement curve \(D_{\text{micro}}(\tau - t)\) all indicate confinement of the slow population in rafts with dimensions below the resolution limit of the light microscope (Abu-Arish et al., 2015). The slow component is due to movements within membrane microdomains (rafts and platforms), whereas the fast component corresponds to diffusion both within and between microdomains (Pandzic, 2013; Abu-Arish et al., 2015). Since \(D_{\text{macro}}\) reports diffusion in both microdomains and the lipid bilayer, increases in both \(D_{\text{micro}}\) and \(D_{\text{macro}}\) by COase is consistent with slower diffusion within lipid rafts compared with the bulk bilayer (Abu-Arish et al., 2015).

Activation of ASMase leads to formation of ceramides in lipid rafts, which causes the rafts to coalesce spontaneously into platforms due to intermolecular hydrogen bonding (Shah et al., 1995; Cremesti et al., 2002; Grasmé et al., 2002, 2003, 2005, 2007; Johnston and Johnston, 2008; Becker et al., 2010; Stancevic and Kolesníc, 2010). Ceramide formation also leads to displacement of cholesterol and caveolin-1 from microdomains (Yu et al., 2005), which is expected to further reduce lipid lateral mobility and viscosity (Kahya et al., 2003). The decrease in \(D_{\text{micro}}\) and increase in the confined CFTR population fraction \(f_{\text{micro}}\) during secretagogue stimulation are consistent with ASMase activation and the effects of sphingomyelinase on other proteins. For example, treating HeLa cells with sphingomyelinase increases total ceramide levels sevenfold and reduces CD4 lateral mobility by fourfold (Finnegan et al., 2007), and exposing monocytes to sphingomyelinase reduces integrin receptor diffusion coefficients by twofold (Eich et al., 2016). Fig. 8, A–C, shows a cartoon summarizing the behavior of CFTR in pHBEs during stimulation by VIP and CCh. In this model, we propose that binding of VIP and CCh to their cognate G-protein coupled receptors leads to cellular release of an oxidant. The oxidant is probably \(H_2O_2\) produced by dual oxidases rather than superoxide \(O_2^-\), since DUOX1 and DUOX2 are expressed at 1,000-fold higher levels than NADPH oxidases Nox1-5 (Schwarzer et al., 2004; Fischer, 2009). In this model, ASMase present on the surface of lipid rafts (this study) is activated by \(H_2O_2\) oxidation of its C-terminal cysteine (Qiu et al., 2003). ASMase catalyzes cleavage of phosphocholine from sphingomyelin in the lipid raft to which it is bound, forming ceramide. The accumulation of ceramide in CFTR-containing lipid rafts leads to their fusion into large platforms similar to those described previously under pathological conditions. Platforms help stabilize CFTR at the cell surface, increasing its abundance in the plasma membrane and the Cl− conductance elicited during stimulation.

In this study, we examined CFTR accumulation at the plasma membrane because it is known from previous studies that VIP inhibits CFTR retrieval from the plasma membrane, and this contributes to the secretory response in Calu-3 cells (Becq et al., 1999; Chappe et al., 2004, 2008; Qu et al., 2011). The latter might be explained by spatial isolation of CFTR in platforms from endocytosis machinery or reduced membrane invagination due to the rigidity of tightly packed ceramide acyl chains in platforms (Pinto et al., 2013). Ceramides are implicated in endocytosis as ceramide synthase (Cers) 2-null mice have reduced clathrin-mediated endocytosis, an effect that can be explained by a fourfold increase in reactive oxygen species production when very long chain ceramide (VLCC) levels are reduced (Volpert et al., 2017). In addition to increasing CFTR abundance at the cell surface, secretagogue-induced partitioning into microdomains
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may modulate its regulation and the pharmacological rescue of mutant CFTR by corrector drugs used to treat CF. Sequestering of CFTR into lipid microdomains may bring it into proximity with different cohort of regulatory proteins in a macromolecular complex (Li and Naren, 2010) if proteins are differentially excluded into platforms as described for caveolin. Platforms containing CFTR have also been linked to inflammation and mucosal immunity and are likely to serve other functions besides enhancing Cl⁻ transport. We found that platforms can form in CF cells that lack CFTR at the cell surface; therefore, CFTR does not drive the formation of platforms and may be one of many proteins that become incorporated into platforms when the rafts fuse. Nevertheless, CFTR may modulate the lipid raft abundance and platform formation indirectly, for example, through its effects on cholesterol trafficking and distribution (Gentzsch et al., 2007; White et al., 2007; Fang et al., 2010).

ASMase plays an essential role in forming platforms that contain CFTR as they are prevented by pretreating cells with the functional ASMase inhibitor Ami. It has been suggested recently that Ami and other cationic amphiphilic drugs may be more selective for ASMase than was previously thought, and current efforts aim at developing more potent derivatives (Rhein et al., 2018). However, the mode of ASMase activation and perhaps the enzyme itself may differ from other cell types, where it is known to be activated intracellularly and rapidly translocated from lysosomes onto the plasma membrane during stimulation (Grassme et al., 2001; Zeidan and Hannun, 2007). In pHBE cells, we found no evidence for increased delivery of ASMase to the cell surface during VIP stimulation. ASMase was colocalized with CFTR clusters and cilia under basal conditions and aggregated into platforms along with CFTR and centrins during VIP stimulation. Increases in Ami-sensitive ASMase activity were measured using a biochemical assay 30 and 60 min after VIP stimulation despite a 33% decrease in ASMase immunostaining at the plasma membrane. An intriguing possibility is that platforms in pHBEs are produced by the secreted, complex-glycosylated form of ASMase rather than a lysosomal form (Jenkins et al., 2009). Regardless, the enzyme responsible is ASMase as it was recognized by an antibody that distinguishes it from neutral sphingomyelinase. In summary, the platforms induced by secretagogues have some similarities to those that have been described under pathological conditions, but differ in the mode of ASMase activation and their nonapoptotic signaling.

The activation of ASMase that is bound to the plasma membrane presumably requires autocrine signaling. The present results suggest that this signal may be an oxidant such as H₂O₂, as this would be compatible with reports that intracellular ASMase can be activated by oxidation before its translocation onto the cell surface (Dumitru and Gulbins, 2006; Li et al., 2012). Indeed, oxidation of cysteine 629 at the C terminus of ASMase increases its activity by fivefold (Qiu et al., 2003). Exogenous H₂O₂ increases ceramide levels in intact tracheobronchial cells and in isolated membranes, although ceramide production was ascribed to neutral Mg²⁺-dependent sphingomyelinase (Goldkorn et al., 1998), which is located on the intracellular surface of the plasma membrane. We found that secretagogue activation of ASMase and platform formation on pHBE cells are prevented by extracellular MESNA, a relatively mild reducing agent that is membrane impermeant. Such inhibition is consistent with autocrine redox activation of ASMase but could have other explanations, since ASMase has disulfide bridges that could be disrupted by MESNA. If VIP and CCh activate ASMase by autocrine redox signaling as proposed, it is probably mediated by H₂O₂, generated by DUOX1 or DUOX2, the predominant NADPH oxidases in airway epithelial cells (Fischer, 2009).

Ceramide-rich platforms are particularly interesting in the context of CF because ceramides and other lipids are altered in CF cells. VLCCs such as C24:0 that are considered anti-inflammatory are decreased in CF patients and CFTR knockout mice (Guilbault et al., 2009), while proinflammatory long chain ceramides (LCCs; e.g., C16:0) are increased (Teichgraber et al., 2008). The synthetic retinoid derivative fenretinide is reported to correct the imbalance between VLCCs and LCCs in CFTR-null mice (Guilbault et al., 2008). A formulation of fenretinide (LAU-7B; Laurent Pharma) is presently entering a phase 2 double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial to evaluate its safety and efficacy (APPLAUD, ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03265288). Fenretinide down-regulates expression of the ER enzyme Cers5, which increases synthesis of VLCCs by Cers2:Cers5 heterodimers relative to synthesis of LCCs by Cers5:Cers5 homodimers, thereby correcting the ceramide imbalance (Garić et al., 2017). Although fenretinide targets de novo ceramide synthesis at the endoplasmic reticulum, it could lead to increased VLCC formation by ASMase (the ceramide salvage pathway) indirectly through elevated levels of very long chain sphingomyelin in the plasma membrane, a reaction catalyzed by sphingomyelin synthase 2 (Aureli et al., 2016). It will be interesting to investigate whether the sphingolipid imbalance influences the properties and functions of ceramide-rich platforms in CF.

In summary, the present results suggest a novel mechanism for the physiological regulation of plasma membrane proteins and lipids. The significance of CFTR association with motile cilia in bronchial epithelial cells remains to be established; however, its distribution and lateral mobility are regulated by secretagogues, likely through autocrine oxidation of extracellular ASMase that is colocalized with CFTR clusters in lipid rafts under resting conditions. The formation of ceramide-rich platforms in response to physiological secretagogues would enhance CFTR-mediated secretion and may have other functions related to cell signaling, inflammation, and mucosal immunity.
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