Experimental investigation of copper-grooved micro heat pipes (MHPs)
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Abstract
With the excellent thermal conductivity and the compatibility to micro electromechanical systems technology, silicon is widely used in micro heat pipes (MHPs). Copper shows higher heat transfer capability and capillary traction than silicon. Copper microgrooves were fabricated on the silicon wafer using electroforming technique in this paper. Water contact angle measurements and thermal behavior tests demonstrated that copper-grooved MHPs showed better performance than silicon ones. Under the input power of 5.99 W, the equivalent thermal conductivities of copper-grooved and silicon-grooved MHPs were 228.98 W/K · m and 196.26 W/K · m. This work showed the feasibility of copper grooved silicon based MHPs in heat transfer for high-power light emitting diode (HP LED).

Keywords: MHPs; Copper microgrooves; Contact angle; Thermal behavior

Background
In recent years, how to reduce the high-power light emitting diode’s (HP LED) thermal resistance, junction temperature and hot spot influence have been studied by different research groups in passive and active cooling mechanism. There are several aspects having been studied in heat dissipation, such as package design [1–4], thermal interface material [5], low thermal resistance heat sink material [6–9], and cooling systems [10–14]. The best-known devices for effective heat transfer or heat spreading with the lowest thermal resistance are heat pipes with vapor chambers which are two-phase heat transfer devices with excellent heat spreading and heat transfer characteristics [15,16].

Silicon is selected as the ideal material of micro heat pipes (MHPs). To enhance the thermal behavior of MHPs, researchers have introduced a series of methods. Ye H [17] fabricated a fluid channel by wet etching and wafer bonding with silicon and glass. The phase transition of coolant allowed the package temperature to remain below 115°C with LED power up to 2.8 W. Dean R. N [18] presented a MHP constructed from a micromachined base and lid that were bonded together after charging. The base and the lid were fabricated on separate silicon wafers utilizing convension MEMS fabrication technique. The base silicon wafer had 22 channels, where each channel was 100 μm wide and 9.5 mm long. The water-filled MHP had a thermal conductivity of approximately 290 W/m · K while the Hg-filled MHP had a thermal conductivity of approximately 790 W/m · K. However, since Hg forms health and safety concerns, this working fluid should be used carefully. A MHP consisted of two layers was introduced by Liu X. W
The bottom layer was Si. Its V-shaped grooves were developed by bulk Si technology. Glass was the up layer, which served as a chamber connecting the vapor phase of all the grooves. The size of the long MHP was 14 mm × 34 mm and the short one was 14 mm × 24 mm. And for 5 W input power, when the filling ratio was 35%, long MHP had the lowest evaporator surface temperature of 90°C. And for the short one, the lowest evaporator surface temperature was 86°C at the optimal filling ratio. Liu W. T [20] fabricated the MHP array in the plate composed of 17 parallel triangular pipes. Nine of the pipes were 371 μm wide and 20 mm long, and the other eight smaller pipes, which served as arteries between every neighbor MHPs, were 268 μm wide and 20 mm long. Ethanol was selected as the working fluid. The working temperature range of MHP was 37.3°C – 44.1°C.

Though silicon was widely used in MHPs, it showed poorer surface wettability which was identified as one of the key factors affecting phase change heat transfer [21], compared to the material of copper. Mahmood R. S. Shirazy et al. [22] studied the capillary and wetting characteristics of copper metal foam which was used as a wick in flat heat pipes. Lim H. T, et al. [23] fabricated a copper MHP that worked under adverse-gravity conditions. The wick structure of the flat MHP consisted of fan-shaped microgrooves with a width and depth of about 100 μm and 200 μm, respectively. The fabrication of microgrooves was done using a laser micromachining technique and water was used as the working fluid. Fan-shaped microgrooves were found to induce a greater capillary pressure than triangular microgrooves of a similar size. Subsequent test results confirmed that despite its small size, 56 × 8 × 1.5 mm³, the FMHP had a high heat transport capacity. The test results demonstrated that a FMHP with fan-shaped microgrooves had a good cooling capacity and worked with no loss of performance under adverse-gravity orientation. Paiva K. V. [24] presented a copper mini flat heat pipe fabricated using the welding diffusion technique. When a plate and a cylinder touched each other, a very sharp edge between them, which could work as a groove, were observed. The mini heat pipe studied in the present paper had 100 × 30 × 2 mm³ of dimensions and was made of ten parallel cylindrical copper wires welded between two thin copper sheets each with 0.3 mm of thickness. Water was chosen as the working fluid, and the maximum heat transfer transported by grooves was 1.25 W.

Meng K. [25] identified that Metallic surfaces with high surface free energy were intrinsically hydrophilic, and the performance of MHP could benefit from enhanced wettability. Copper had excellent thermal conductivity and was usually used as heat exchanger materials, but the copper surface was not hydrophilic, which impacted the heat transfer.

The static contact angle of water droplets on air-exposed copper often exceeds 70°. Since wettability evaluated by water contact angle (WCA) is governed mainly by both surface geometrical structures and chemical compositions [26], researchers had put forward efforts to improve the hydrophobicity of copper. Zhang Q. Y. [27] utilized silica hydrophilic and moisture retention property. Considering that the silica cannot be separated from the film, organic resin was added as a binder, and both interaction could play a hydrophilic function. Min J. [28] immersed the copper-finned evaporator in a NaOH and K₂S₂O₈ mixed solution for a certain length of time. The hydrophilically-treated evaporator tended to yield a greater cooling capacity than the untreated evaporator. Min J. C. [29] investigated the wetting and corrosion characteristics of hot-water-treated aluminum.
and copper fin stocks and found that the hot water soak could improve the surface wettability of both aluminum and copper surfaces. Nam Y. [30] reported the heat transfer performance of super hydrophilic Cu micro post wicks fabricated on thin silicon substrates using electrochemical deposition and controlled chemical oxidation. Copper oxide nano-structures formed on the micro post surfaces significantly enhanced the critical heat flux without compromising the effective heat transfer coefficient. Literatures prove that the copper-grooved silicon wafer can show better heat transfer characteristic than the silicon grooved one. In this paper, a copper-grooved MHP was fabricated using electroforming technique. Water contact angle and the thermal behavior of both copper-grooved and silicon-grooved MHPs were tested.

**Method**

The dimension of the MHPs was designed to suit for 10 W LED module heat dissipation. The dimension of MHP depended on the dimension of the module. The length and width of LED module in this paper were both 16 mm. The overall dimension of MHP was 45 mm (length) × 16 mm (width) × 1.5 mm (thickness). The working area was 35 mm × 10 mm, including condenser, adiabatic and evaporation section. The microgrooves were fabricated on the silicon wafer, which was bonded to Pyrex 7740 glass with a steam chamber. According to the previous study, the steam chamber enhanced the thermal behavior of MHPs compared with the MHPs without the steam chamber [31]. The Pyrex 7740 glass was used to achieve the visualization of how the working fluid was moving.

Silicon heat pipes contained four sections of parallel microgrooves, and the specific dimensions of this type of MHP were shown in Table 1 and Figure 1.

In the table above, section A ~ G divided the working area of MHP in the axial. The value before ‘/’ was the width of the microgrooves, and the value after ‘/’ was the space between the microgrooves.

The structure and dimension of the copper-grooved MHP were the same with those of the silicon MHP.

| Table 1 The MHPs’ design dimension |
|-----------------------------------|
| Section | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| Dimension | 200 μm /60 μm | 180 μm /80 μm | 160 μm /100 μm | 140 μm /120 μm |

Figure 1 Photolithographic mask of MHP grooves.
Anisotropic wet etching was used to fabricate silicon microgrooves on the n-type silicon (100) wafer. The depth of the microgrooves was about 200 μm. The Pyrex 7740 was wet etched to form a vapor chamber, and the depth of the vapor chamber was 200 μm. The silicon wafer and the Pyrex 7740 were bonded using anodic bonding technique at the temperature of 450°C and the voltage of 1200 V.

Electroforming process was used to fabricate copper microgrooves on the n-type silicon (100) wafer. The depth of the microgrooves was about 100 μm. In the electroforming process, the current density was 2A/dm², and electroforming solution was mixed by the mix of CuSO₄ (70 g/l) and H₂SO₄ (12%). The whole process was under the condition of 24°C. Finally, the fabricated copper micro-grooved silicon wafer and Pyrex 7740 glass were enveloped using sealant (Loctite 326 structural adhesive).

Double air pumping charge method was used for the MHP’s charging and sealing after the microgrooves were fabricated. This method has been detailed in another paper.

Figure 2 The fabricated MHPs (a) silicon microgrooves (b) copper microgrooves.

Figure 3 The schematic of three measured points (1, 2 and 3) in copper-grooved silicon base.
The working fluid was degassed DI water, and the filling ratio was about 30%. The fabricated MHPs are shown in Figure 2.

An experiment was conducted to measure the hydrophobicity between the fabricated silicon microgrooves and copper microgrooves. The drop shape analyzer measuring instrument (DSA 100 Kruss, Germany) was used to measure the contact angle of the fabricated microgrooves. Each MHP was measured by three points (point 1, 2 and 3) which were the central points of the condensation, adiabatic and evaporation section, respectively, as shown in Figure 3. The measured contact angles are shown in Table 2 and Figure 4.

The value showed that the 3 points contact angles of copper microgrooves were smaller than those of silicon microgrooves, respectively. The main reason was the dimension of the micro grooves at these three sections are different, and we wish the condenser section has higher capillary force, that is more hydrophilic, which can help the working fluid traction back to the evaporation section. Since the capillary traction was proportional to the cosine value of the contact angle, copper microgrooves had stronger hydrophilicity and better capillary traction compared with the silicon microgrooves.

The thermal performance of the MHPs can be evaluated by the equivalent thermal conductivity which is calculated as follows:

\[ K = \frac{Q_{in}}{T_1 - T_2} \times \frac{L}{A_c} \]  

where \( Q_{in} \) was the heat input, \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) were the temperatures of the evaporation part and the condensation part, respectively, \( L \) was the length between evaporation part and condensation part, and \( A_c \) was the cross sectional area of the MHPs.

The thermal behavior testing system was described in another paper [33]. In this system, Two K type thermocouples (Chal-0005, Omega Company, USA) were used to test the temperature at the evaporation and condensation section, respectively. A

|                      | 1         | 2         | 3         |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Silicon microgrooves | 88.2°     | 88.5°     | 103.5°    |
| Copper microgrooves  | 54.8°     | 53.0°     | 65.5°     |

Table 2 The contact angle of grooved silicon and copper
heat flux sensors (HFS-3, Omega Company, USA) was used to record the input power of the MHPs.

The accuracy grade of the thermocouple instrument (Testo 735, Germany) was ±0.3°C. Calibration of the thermocouples was done by measuring the temperature change of thermocouples upon the temperature change inside a well-controlled oven. This testing system was used to test the fabricated MHPs, the silicon wafer and the copper wafer. The 10 W LED module was placed as the heat source of the MHP. The voltage and current applied to the LED module was 31.50 V and 0.19 A, respectively, which means the input power was 5.99 W. The temperature rising curves of the two thermocouples at the evaporation and condensation section are shown in Figure 5. A silicon wafer and a copper wafer with the same dimension of the MHP were also tested, respectively.

**Results and discussion**

With Equation (1) and the data shown in Figure 5, the equivalent thermal conductivities of the MHPs were calculated and listed in Table 3. As a comparison, the equivalent thermal conductivity of the silicon wafer was also tested, calculated and listed in Table 3.

**Table 3 The equivalent thermal conductivity**

| MHP                  | $K^a$ (W/K · m) |
|----------------------|-----------------|
| Silicon microgrooves | 196.26          |
| Copper microgrooves  | 228.98          |
| Si                   | 72.57           |

$^aK$ is the equivalent thermal conductivity of MHPs and Si wafer.
It is obvious that the equivalent thermal conductivity of MHPs is higher than that of the silicon wafer. The MHPs worked effectively. The equivalent thermal conductivity of the copper-grooved MHP was 2.16 times higher than that of silicon wafer which is the raw material of the MHPs.

The equivalent thermal conductivity of the copper-grooved MHP was higher than that of the silicon MHP. The performance was promoted about 17% only because the grooved material changed from silicon to copper, while the base material was same. The possible reason is that the copper grooves were more hydrophilic, thus higher capillary force could be generated and working fluid could be drawn back to evaporation section more efficiently.

Conclusions

A copper-grooved silicon MHP was introduced in this paper, and the rectangular-ambulatory structures was designed and fabricated between copper and silicon. Since the copper microgrooves had a smaller contact angle, as well as better capability of capillary traction, the copper-grooved MHPs showed a 17% higher equivalent thermal conductivity than that of silicon-grooved MHPs.

The copper-grooved silicon based MHP was proved to be feasible in heat transfer for HP LEDs. Moreover, future work will be focused on structure optimization to achieve a more efficient and reliable heat transfer.
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