Co-Production of Isoprene and Lactate by Engineered Escherichia coli in Microaerobic Conditions
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Abstract: Lactate and isoprene are two common monomers for the industrial production of polyesters and synthetic rubbers. The present study tested the co-production of D-lactate and isoprene by engineered Escherichia coli in microaerobic conditions. The deletion of alcohol dehydrogenase (adlE) and acetate kinase (ackA) genes, along with the supplementation with betaine, improved the co-production of lactate and isoprene from the substrates of glucose and mevalonate. In fed-batch studies, microaerobic fermentation significantly improved the isoprene concentration in fermentation outlet gas (average 0.021 g/L), compared with fermentation under aerobic conditions (average 0.0009 g/L). The final production of D-lactate and isoprene can reach 44.0 g/L and 3.2 g/L, respectively, through the mevalonate (MVA) or 1-deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate (DXP) pathways. Our study demonstrated a dual-phase production strategy in the co-production of isoprene (gas phase) and lactate (liquid phase). The increased concentration of gas-phase isoprene could benefit the downstream process and decrease the production cost to collect and purify the bio-isoprene from the fermentation outlet gas. The proposed microaerobic process can potentially be applied in the production of other volatile bioproducts to benefit the downstream purification process.
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1. Introduction

With respect to the exhaustion of fossil fuels and increasing environmental issues, biopolymers have recently been developed as alternatives to fossil-fuel-derived synthetic polymers. With the rapid development of advanced biotechnologies, variable bio-monomers can be microbially produced from renewable biomass [1]. Isoprene and lactic acid are two representative bio-monomers that can be biosynthesized and further applied in the preparation of green polymers [2,3].

Variable strains of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are commonly used for the industrial production of monomer-grade lactic acid [4]. Engineered strains have been developed for lactate fermentation, such as the engineered Escherichia coli [5] and Klebsiella pneumoniae [6]. Lactate fermentation is normally performed under anaerobic and microaerobic conditions [7]. Engineered E. coli strains have also been utilized in the biosynthesis of isoprene through the mevalonate (MVA) or 1-deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate (DXP) pathways [8]. Unlike lactate fermentation, isoprene fermentation is normally controlled under aerobic conditions [9,10]. In the aerobic fermentation process, compressed air is pumped to supply oxygen and eject isoprene, and the concentration of isoprene is below 2% in the vapor...
phase—far less than the concentration of petroleum-isoprene (10–20%) in the extractive distillation process [2]. The low concentration of bio-isoprene produced in the aerobic fermentation process impedes the recovery efficiency in the downstream process. However, the fermentation of isoprene under anaerobic and microaerobic conditions has not been well studied—especially for engineered E. coli strains, which are usually utilized in the microbial production of isoprene and isoprenoids.

Different biotechnologies and strategies have been developed to facilitate the downstream separation process after fermentation [8]. The present study aimed to research the microaerobic process for the biosynthesis of isoprene, and to compare it with the aerobic process, which is routinely used in isoprene fermentation (Figure 1). In addition, this study tested the co-production of isoprene and lactic acid under microaerobic conditions. During the fermentation process, the presence of isoprene and lactic acid in dual phases (isoprene in gas, lactic acid in broth) could achieve technical and economic benefits for the downstream process (Figure 2).

**Figure 1.** The metabolic pathway and strain information for the co-production of isoprene and lactate: (A) Mevalonate (MVA) is produced by the upstream fermentation from glucose; isoprene and lactate are co-produced by the downstream fermentation from MVA and glucose substrates. (B) Construction of the co-production strains carrying the downstream genes of the MVA pathway and deleting the genes of ackA and adhE. Enzymes involved in this pathway—MvaE: acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase/HMG-CoA reductase; MvaS: HMG-CoA synthase; MVK: mevalonate kinase; PMK: phosphomevalonate kinase; MVD: mevalonate pyrophosphate decarboxylase; IDI: IPP isomerase; IspS: isoprene synthase; aceEF: pyruvate dehydrogenase; lpd: lipoamide dehydrogenase; adhE: alcohol/aldehyde dehydrogenase; pta: phosphate acetyltransferase; ackA: acetate kinase. Pathway intermediates—A-CoA: acetyl-CoA; AA-CoA: acetoacetyl-CoA; HMG-CoA: 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA; Mev-P: mevalonate 5-phosphate; Mev-PP: mevalonate 5-diphosphate; IPP: isopentenyl pyrophosphate; DMAPP: dimethylallyl diphosphate; PEP: phosphoenolpyruvate; Acetyl-p: acetyl phosphate; NADH: nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide; NAD: nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide; ATP: adenosine triphosphate; ADP: adenosine diphosphate; pTrc: Trc promoter; pT7: T7 promoter.
Figure 2. The routine method of the co-production of isoprene and lactic acid. In the microbial cell, the lactic acid and isoprene were produced using the substrates of glucose and mevalonate, respectively, in dual phase and under microaerobic fermentation conditions.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. The Deletion of AdhE and AckA Improved the Lactate Production of Isoprene-Producing Strains

For the preliminary investigation of the co-production of isoprene and lactate by different strains, flask-level experiments (48 h of fermentation in M9 medium) were performed to compare the production of isoprene, lactate, and their byproducts (ethanol and acetic acid) by different strains. As shown in Figure 3A–D, CN2 (deletion of adhE) presented decreased production of alcohol compared to that of CN1, but did not present increased production of lactate. CN3 (deletion of both adhE and ackA) presented higher production of lactate than that of CN1 and CN2 (Figure 3B).

The results demonstrate that the deletion of adhE and ackA can reduce the production of ethanol/acetate and improve the production of lactate by the isoprene-producing strain CN3. Similar results have also been shown in other lactate-producing E. coli strains, such as E. coli CICIM B0013-070 [11] and E. coli SZs [12].

This study further analyzed the optical purity of lactate, and confirmed that only D-lactate is produced by CN1, CN2, and CN3. Similar results have also been shown in other E. coli strains with the native D-lactate dehydrogenase (LDH, encoded by the ldhA gene) for D-lactate fermentation [12].
2.2. Medium Optimization Improved the Co-Production of Isoprene and D-Lactate by CN3

Based on the CN3 strain, the study further tested flask production of isoprene and D-lactate with different media. After 40 h of fermentation with M9 medium, CN3 could produce around 0.04 g/L isoprene and 7.4 g/L D-lactate, while the corresponding yield was 0.38g/g at almost 36 h after induction. When M9 medium was replaced with TM2 medium, the isoprene production was doubled to around 0.08 g/L, and the production of D-lactate was increased to 12.2 g/L (yield 0.6 g/g). The highest levels of isoprene (around 0.1 g/L) and D-lactate (titer 16.1 g/L, yield 0.9 g/g) were found in flask fermentation in TM3 medium (Figure 3E,F).

The results demonstrated that the production of both isoprene and lactate was positively affected by the addition of organic supplementation in the fermentation medium. Compared with the minimum medium M9, more organic nutrients (yeast extract or beef ex-
tract) are present in the TM2 and TM3 media, making them beneficial for the co-production of isoprene and lactate by engineered E. coli.

As shown in Figure 3E, the addition of betaine in TM3 medium further improved the production of both lactate and isoprene. It is speculated that the addition of betaine might contribute to protecting the microbial cells and the cellular enzymes against osmotic stresses (high concentrations of soluble products and substrates), similar to studies on the fermentation of mevalonate, lactate, ethanol, lysine, and pyruvate [5,13–15].

2.3. Fed-Batch Fermentation of Lactate and Isoprene under Aerobic or Microaerobic Conditions

The above shake-flask experiments showed that CN3 presented higher production in the co-fermentation of D-lactate and isoprene, and that supplementation with organic nutrients and betaine could improve the co-production of D-lactate and isoprene. To further study the fermenter-based fermentation of isoprene and lactate, fed-batch fermentation by CN3 was tested in a 5 L fermenter under aerobic or microaerobic conditions (Figure 1).

As shown in Figure 4C, the total isoprene titer reached 4.5 g/L under aerobic conditions, which was higher than the total isoprene titer of 3.2 g/L under microaerobic conditions. The increased isoprene production corresponded with better cell growth under aerobic conditions (Figure 4A). These results are consistent with a previous study showing that aerobic conditions offer higher biomass density for E. coli [16]. Under aerobic conditions, more ATP was generated through the respiratory chain and the oxidative phosphorylation system, providing a fast growth rate, high biomass density, and high productivity [16].

Figure 4. Comparison of the co-production of isoprene and lactate under aerobic and microaerobic conditions in fed-batch fermentation by E. coli CN3: (A) Profiles of cell density, (B) lactate titer and yield, (C) isoprene titer, and (D) isoprene concentration in outlet gas. Values of tested samples under aerobic conditions are shown by round dots (●), titers of tested samples under microaerobic conditions are shown by square dots (■), lactate yield under aerobic and microaerobic conditions are shown by hollow circles (○) and hollow squares (□), respectively. The data shown are the means of three parallel replicates, and the error bars represent their standard deviation.
Although the total production of isoprene was decreased by 30% under microaerobic conditions, the titer of D-lactate and the isoprene concentration in the outlet gas were improved. The lactate titer was increased from 2.0 g/L to 44.0 g/L (22-fold), and the maximum yield of lactate reached 0.58 g/g (Figure 4B). In addition, the average isoprene concentration in the outlet gas was around 0.021 g/L, and its maximum concentration could reach 0.03 g/L under microaerobic conditions (Figure 4D), which is significantly higher (34-fold increase) than that under aerobic conditions (average 0.0009 g/L in the outlet gas). The production of isoprene in this study was lower than previously reported [17] when employing exogenous MVA and homologous MEP pathways to convert glucose to isoprene via engineered E. coli. However, the high concentration of isoprene in the exhaust during the fermentation process has not been reported in previous studies. According to a previous report finding that a 10-fold increase in isoprene concentration will decrease the purification cost of bio-isoprene by 20% [2], the downstream processing cost should decrease by 60% compared to the aerobic process.

The above results indicate that the microaerobic conditions were beneficial for the co-production of isoprene and lactate, and that the limited dissolved oxygen environment caused by a low gas flow rate was conducive to inducing the co-fermentation of lactate. E. coli CN3 can utilize alternative electron receptors (e.g., formate nitrate and nitrite) instead of oxygen to survive, and can maintain its redox balance under microaerobic conditions, similar to the previous study [17]. Moreover, there are several advantages of the fermentation process under microaerobic conditions, including easier reactor design and control—beneficial for the co-production of multiple products [18].

In this study, the improved concentration of isoprene in fermentation outlet gas offers a promising advantage: it is beneficial for the downstream collection and purification of bio-isoprene. As a non-polar volatile organic gas, it is difficult to collect low-concentration isoprene from outlet gas, and the improved isoprene concentration in outlet gas can significantly decrease the downstream cost [2]. The microaerobic process has another advantage for the real-time monitoring of the fermentation process, as the dissolved oxygen level is kept at a low and consistent level compared with the fluctuated DO level in aerobic conditions. According to Industry 4.0 [19], it is feasible for microaerobic processes to utilize automatic real-time monitoring and control techniques in order to optimize the general fermentation process.

3. Material and Methods

3.1. Strain Engineering

All strains, plasmids, and primers used in this study are summarized in Table 1. The engineered strain of CM1 (BL21(DE3)::Trc-low), which has four genes of the lower MVA pathway with the promoter of Trc on sites of the glmS and glmU genes, was used as the parent strain for engineering lactate- and isoprene-producing strains [20]. E. coli DH5α (TaKaRa, Beijing, China) was used for cloning and plasmid storage. E. coli χ7213 was used to construct a suicide vector. The plasmids of pYJM4 and pYJM16 were constructed in a previous study [21]. pYJM4 carries the gene of isoprene synthase (IspS). pYJM16 carries four genes of the downstream process of the MVA pathway: mevalonate-5-kinase (MVK), mevalonate-3-phosphate-5-kinase (PMK), mevalonate-5-pyrophosphate decarboxylase (MVD), and isopentenyl pyrophosphate isomerase (IDI) from Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Table 1).
Table 1. Strains, plasmids, and primers used in this study.

| Strains/Plasmids/Primer   | Description                                                                                           | Source          |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| strains                   |                                                                                                       |                 |
| E.coli BL21(DE3)          | F-ompT hsdSB(rB-mB-) gal dcm rne131(DE3)                                                             | Invitrogen      |
| E.coli DH5                | Cloning host                                                                                         | Invitrogen      |
| E.coli χ7213              | Host strain for pRE112, DAP auxotrophic strain                                                       | [20]            |
| MP                        | BL21(DE3)/pYJM16                                                                                      | [9]             |
| CM1                       | BL21(DE3)::ptrc-mvk-pmk-mvd-idi                                                                      | This study      |
| CM2                       | BL21(DE3)::ptrc-mvk-pmk-mvd-idi△adhE                                                                | This study      |
| CM3                       | BL21(DE3)::ptrc-mvk-pmk-mvd-idi△adhE△ackA                                                            | This study      |
| CN1                       | CM1/pYJM8                                                                                            | This study      |
| CN2                       | CM2/pYJM8                                                                                            | This study      |
| CN3                       | CM3/pYJM8                                                                                            | This study      |
| Plasmids                  |                                                                                                       |                 |
| pRE112                    | Suicide vector, R6K origin, chloramphenicol resistant                                                 | [22]            |
| pRE112-ASU                | pRE112 derivative carrying genes glmS, glmU                                                         | This study      |
| pRE112-ASU-trc-low        | pRE112 derivative carrying genes glmS, glmU, ERG8, ERG12, ERG19 and ID1, Trc promoter                | This study      |
| pRE112-adhE               | Suicide vector for construction of △adhE mutant                                                      | This study      |
| pRE112-ackA               | Suicide vector for construction of △ackA mutant                                                       | This study      |
| pYJM8                     | pACYCDuet-1 derivative carrying isopropionate synthase gene ispS, T7 promoter, CmR                   | [9]             |
| pYJM14                    | pTrcHis2B derivative carrying phosphomevalonate kinase gene ERG8, mevalonate kinase gene ERG12, and IPP isomerase gene ID1, Trc promoter, ApR | [9]             |
| pYJM16                    | pACYCDuet-1 derivative carrying acetyl-CoA acetate transferase/hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA sythesis gene mvaE and HMG-CoA | [9]             |
| primers                   |                                                                                                       |                 |
| adhE_F_F                   | CGAGTACTCCACAGACAGGTTGCTGTAAG                                                                       |                 |
| adhE_F_R                   | TATCGTCAAGATCTGGATACCTACTACGGGTCTG                                                                |                 |
| adhE_R_F                   | CCTCTAGAGATGAGATCTGGATACCTACTACGGGTCTG                                                             |                 |
| ackA_F_F                   | CTAGTACTCCACAGACAGGTTGCTGTAAG                                                                       |                 |
| ackA_F_R                   | TATACGCGAACAAATTGATACCC                                                                        |                 |
| ackA_R_F                   | CATAAAACGGATCCGATAAACC                                                                           |                 |
| ackA_R_R                   | GCCCTTACGATAACGGAGACAGGATGCTG                                                                     |                 |

Note: The restriction sites in the primers were underlined.

The suicide vector (pRE112)-mediated method [22] was utilized for genome editing in this study. This study first engineered CM2 with the adhE gene removed. Firstly, the flanking regions of the adhE gene were amplified with PrimeSTAR Max DNA Polymerase (TaKaRa, Beijing, China) and ligated using overlap extension PCR to generate the homologous arms, which were then subcloned into pRE112 to generate the pRE112-△adhE (Table 1). The plasmid of pRE112-△adhE was employed in the suicide-vector-mediated approach described previously [20], producing CM2, which deleted the adhE gene and carried the low MVA pathway on the chromosome.

A similar genome editing strategy was applied to generate CM3 from CM2 (further deleting the genes of adhE and ackA). The plasmid of pYJM8 was transformed to CM1, CM2, and CM3 to obtain CN1, CN2, and CN3, respectively, for isoprene and lactate fermentation.

3.2. MVA Fermentation and Purification

The MVA fermentation and purification were performed as described previously [15]. Fermentation was performed in a 5 L bioreactor (Applikon Biotechnology, ez-control, Netherlands) containing 3 L of TM3 medium. A single MP strain colony was cultivated in 100 mL of LB medium in a 250 mL shake flask and shaken at 200 rpm for 8 h at 37 °C,
before being transferred into a fresh MVA fermentation medium [15] with the amount of inoculum at 5%. The fermentation process was carried out under the following conditions: the temperature was 32 °C, the pH was maintained at 7.0 via automatic addition of ammonia water, and the dissolved oxygen level was maintained at 30% saturation by adjusting the stirring rate (400–800 rpm) at a constant airflow of 1.0 vessel volumes per minute (vvm). Glucose (50%) was fed into the bioreactor at an appropriate rate to maintain the residual glucose in the culture broth below 0.5 g/L. When the cell density reached 15 of OD$_{600}$, 0.5 mM IPTG was added to the culture broth.

Following MVA fermentation, the culture broth was centrifuged at 8000–10,000 rpm for 10 min to collect the supernatant. To convert MVA to mevalonolactone, the supernatant was adjusted to a pH of 2.0 with 3 M HCl and incubated at 45 °C for 1 h. The solution was saturated with Na$_2$SO$_4$ and then extracted with an equivalent amount of ethyl acetate. The top organic phase produced by centrifugation was then evaporated to mevalonolactone using vacuum rotary evaporation. Mevalonolactone was neutralized to pH 7.0 with 1 M NaOH, and then converted to mevalonate for use as a feeding precursor for isoprene and lactate fermentation.

### 3.3. Co-Fermentation of Isoprene and Lactic Acid at Flask Levels

Single clones of different strains (CN1, CN2, or CN3) were grown overnight in 5 mL of LB medium with the appropriate antibiotic at 37 °C and shaken at 180 rpm. Then, 100 mL of different media (M9, TM2, or TM3) in a 500 mL saline bottle were inoculated with 1 mL of each culture and shaken at 180 rpm for 7 h. The strains were induced with 0.5 mmol IPTG when the OD$_{600}$ reached around 0.6–0.8. After induction, the flasks were supplemented with mevalonate to final concentrations of 2 g/L and sealed with a rubber plug to form the microaerobic environment in order to facilitate the formation of lactic acid and isoprene formation. The cell mass and levels of isoprene, lactate, acetic acid, and ethanol were determined after incubation for 40 h or 48 h at 30 °C, 180 rpm.

TM2 contains 2.1 g/L citric acid monohydrate, 9.8 g/L K$_2$HPO$_4$·3H$_2$O, 0.3 g/L ferric ammonium citrate, 0.5 g/L yeast extract, 20 g/L glucose, and 0.24 g/L MgSO$_4$. TM3 medium contains 3 g/L (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$, 2.5 g/L KH$_2$PO$_4$, 0.24 g/L MgSO$_4$·7H$_2$O, 1.86 g/L KCl, 1 g/L sodium citrate, 1 g/L citric acid, 1g/L betaine, 20 g/L glucose, and 5 g/L beef extract. All media also contain 34 µg/mL chloramphenicol and 1 mL/L storage solution of trace elements (each 100 mL storage solution of trace elements containing 2.47 g H$_3$BO$_3$, 1.58 g MnCl$_2$·4H$_2$O, 0.37 g (NH$_4$)$_6$Mo$_7$O$_{24}$·4H$_2$O, 0.29 g ZnSO$_4$·7H$_2$O, and 0.25 g CuSO$_4$·5H$_2$O). All of the reagents were purchased from Sangong (Sangong Group, Qingdao, China).

### 3.4. Fed-Fermentation of Isoprene and Lactate

A single colony of CN3 was inoculated into 3 mL of LB medium and shaken for 6 h at 37 °C and 200 rpm. Then, 100 mL of M9 minimal medium containing the appropriate antibiotic in a 500 mL shake flask was inoculated with 1 mL of the culture and cultivated at 37 °C and 200 rpm for 8 h. Following that, the culture was transferred to a 5 L fermenter with 3 L of TM3 medium with a 3% inoculum. The fermentation process was carried out under the following conditions: the pH was maintained at 7.0 by the automated addition of ammonia water, and the temperature was maintained at 32 °C. After the initial glucose (20 g/L) was consumed, a solution comprising 60% (v/v) glucose and 30 g/L of mevalonate was fed into the fermenter at an appropriate rate in order to maintain the residual glucose concentration of roughly 5 g/L. When the cell density reached 12 of OD$_{600}$, 0.25mM IPTG was added to the culture broth. For aerobic conditions, the dissolved oxygen level was maintained at 30% saturation by adjusting the stirring rate at 400–800 rpm (revolutions per minute) while maintaining a constant airflow of 1.0 vvm. For microaerobic conditions, the aeration rate was reduced from 1.0 vvm to 0.1 vvm after 4 h of induction, and the dissolved oxygen level was maintained at 0% by adjusting the stirring rate. After 8 h of induction, 20 g/L of calcium carbonate was added to the fermenter. Cell density and the
production of lactate were monitored by periodic sampling. In order to accurately assess the production of isoprene in the fermentation process, 1 mL of outlet gas was drawn every 30 min to detect the concentration of isoprene.

3.5. Analytical and Statistical Methods

The intermediate metabolites of lactate, acetic acid, ethanol, and mevalonate were determined using liquid chromatography (Agilent Technologies, Inc, Agilent 1200, Santa Clara, CA, USA) coupled with an Aminex HPX-87H column (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA) and a differential refractive index detector (Agilent Technologies Inc, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The column temperature was maintained at 50 °C, with the mobile phase containing 5 mM H₂SO₄, and a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min.

For the isoprene assay, 1 mL of gas was collected and analyzed using GC, as described previously [15].

Three replicated fermentations were performed, and the data of mean values and standard deviations were recorded. The significance of differences between mean values of different testing groups was compared using Student’s t-test.

4. Conclusions

The present study demonstrates a microaerobic process in the fermentation of both lactate and isoprene. The proposed process will benefit the downstream separation process, as (1) both products are produced in dual phase during fermentation, and can be separately purified, and (2) although the total isoprene production was slightly decreased, microaerobic fermentation significantly improved the isoprene concentration in the outlet gas, benefiting the downstream purification process. The co-production method and microaerobic process may be applied in the bioproduction of other volatile products in future studies.
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