Analysis of the association of parameters in the formation of ultrafine fibers from PEI and PMMA
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ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of the study was to fabricate and morphologically characterize ultrafine Polyetherimide fibers (PEI) associated with Polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) – PP (group formed by the association of PEI with PMMA), produced by the electrospinning process. Material and Methods: A solution of PEI (0.562 g) + PMMA (0.377 g) dissolved in 2.5 mL of chloroform, 0.85 mL of Dimethylformamide (DMF) and 0.85 mL of 1.1.2.2 Tetrachloroethane (TCE) was prepared. For the electrospinning process, different continuous voltages (10 to 18 kV) and two different distances (8 and 12 cm) between the needle tip and the collecting apparatus were used, giving rise to 6 distinct groups of ultrafine fibers (PP 1 to 6) that were observed in Scanning Electron Microscopy to check for defects and calculate the average diameter of the fibers. Results: The best parameter, the parameter that was most effective for the production of fibers, observed was subjected to Energy Dispersion X-ray Spectroscopy (EDS), X-ray Diffraction (XRD) and Contact Angle Analysis tests. The data were analyzed using the ANOVA and Tukey test (p <0.05). From the comparative analysis of the pre-established parameters, the pattern of PP4 ultrafine fibers was shown to be more effective. Conclusion: The PP4 standard (13 kV – 12 cm) had an average diameter of 0.37 µm. An adequate parameter to electrospinning was able to produce ultrafine fibers of PMMA/PEI.

KEYWORDS

Polymethylmethacrylate; Scanning electron microscopy; Polyetherimide; Electrospinning process.

RESUMO

Introdução: O objetivo do estudo foi sintetizar e caracterizar morfologicamente fibras ultrafinas de Polieterimida (PEI) associadas ao Polimetilmetacrilato (PMMA) - PP (grupo formado pela associação de PEI com PMMA), produzidas pelo processo de eletrofiação. Material e Métodos: Foi preparada uma solução de PEI (0,562 g) + PMMA (0,377 g) dissolvido em 2,5 mL de clorofórmio, 0,85 mL de Dimetilformamida (DMF) e 0,85 mL de 1.1.2.2 Tetrachloroetano (TCE). Para o processo de eletrofiação, foram utilizadas diferentes tensões contínuas (10 a 18 kV) e duas distâncias diferentes (8 e 12 cm) entre a ponta da agulha e o aparelho coletor, dando origem a 6 grupos distintos de fibras ultrafinas (PP 1 a 6) que foram observados em Microscopia Eletrônica de Varredura para verificar defeitos e calcular o diâmetro médio das fibras. Resultados: O melhor parâmetro, o parâmetro mais eficaz para a produção de fibras, observado foi submetido aos testes de Espectroscopia de Dispersão de Energia (EDS), Difração de Raios X (DRX) e Análise do ângulo de contato. Os dados foram analisados pela ANOVA e teste de Tukey (p <0,05). A partir da análise comparativa dos parâmetros pré-estabelecidos, o padrão das fibras ultrafinas PP4 mostrou-se mais eficaz. Conclusão: O padrão PP4 (13 kV - 12 cm) apresentou diâmetro médio de 0,37 µm. Um parâmetro adequado para eletrofiação foi capaz de produzir fibras ultrafinas de PMMA / PEI.
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INTRODUCTION

Ultrafine fibers (fibers with a diameter between micrometers to nanometers) incorporated in composite resins have shown higher efficiency by improving the mechanical properties of the material, increasing strength, elasticity and providing low coefficients of thermal expansion, which make them ideal candidates for many important applications with more satisfactory clinical results than when used without any structural reinforcement [1–5].

Currently, polymeric fibers made on a nanoscale by the electrospinning technique, have been associated with increased strength of resinous materials as a study which incorporated ultrafine fibers of polyvinyl alcohol in polymethylmethacrylate resin [6]. Another polymer studied is polyacrylonitrile, which added in a composite resin in the form of ultrafine fibers increased the material's hardness, in addition to not negatively affecting the bending properties [7]. Similarly, the incorporation of aligned and misaligned Nylon-6 ultrafine fibers in composite resin also contributes to increasing the flexural strength of the material [8].

In this sense, electrospinning gains importance as it is a simple and versatile method for generating ultrafine fibers, using a wide variety of materials such as polymers, composites and ceramics, or a versatile and viable technique for generating ultrathin fibers. Remarkable progress has been made with regard to the development of electrospinning methods and engineering of electrospun nanofibers to suit or enable various applications [9]. This methodology comprises the use of 3 elements: a high voltage source used to generate an electric field, a syringe with a small diameter needle, charged by a positive electrode and the collector, connected to the negative (neutral) electrode. When the potential difference generated exceeds the solution's surface energy, it is elongated and ejected against the collector [9]. In this way, the application of an electrical force over a liquid polymer promotes the ejection of a thin cone-shaped jet, the Taylor cone, which is elongated and accelerated by the electric field and deposited on a substrate in the form of a fiber mat ultrafine [10].

Although electrospinning is a simple method, some parameters can be varied in order to optimize the production of ultrafine fibers, such as: the flow rate with which the solution is ejected, the potential difference generated in the electric field, the distance between the tip of the needle and the collector, and the diameter of the needle. Environmental factors, such as temperature and humidity, can also influence the process [1,3].

Thus, the study of new polymeric materials becomes relevant. Polyetherimide (PEI) is an amorphous thermoplastic polymer with excellent properties that include: high mechanical resistance, thermal and chemical stability. Their properties are compared with metallic and ceramic materials for their elasticity, toughness, impact and abrasion resistance, good insulation and chemical resistance and exposure to time [11,12].

Methyl Polymethacrylate (PMMA) is a synthetic polymeric material from the group of plastics. Since 1940 there have been reports of its use for making dentures in dentistry [13], and since then, the material has been used on a large scale. Among the main characteristics that favor its extensive application, the following stand out: the ease of handling and polishing, the fact of dispensing high-cost equipment, stability in the oral environment and guaranteed aesthetics [14].

The aim of the study was to manufacture and evaluate the influence of the association of the parameters involved in the electrospinning process in the groups of fibers formed (PP1 to PP6) in order to select the best association of the parameters across the group with the best fiber formation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

FABRICATE OF ULTRAFINE FIBERS

Fabricate of the polyetherimide / polymethylmethacrylate solution (PEI / PMMA)
A solution was prepared containing 0.377 g of PMMA (Degudent / Dentsply, São Paulo, Brazil), 0.562 g of PEI (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, EUA) in 0.85 mL of Dimethylformamide (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, EUA), 0.85 mL of Tetrachloroethane (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, EUA) and 2.5 mL of Chloroform (LabSynth, São Paulo, Brazil). The solution was placed on a magnetic stirrer (IKA RH Basic, Staufen, Germany) where it remained for 24 hours.

**Fabrication of ultrafine fibers by electrospinning**

Then, electrospinning was performed on equipment consisting of a high voltage source (0 – 25kV), an injection pump for flow control, a 5 mL plastic syringe with a straight needle, and a collection device covered with aluminum foil thin (0.2 mm). The variables used were: voltage, distances from the tip of the needle to the collection device, varying, generating six morphological patterns of ultrafine fibers.

**Parameters for the fabrication of ultrafine PEI / PMMA fibers**

For the PEI / PMMA solution, the voltage was varied at 10, 13 and 15 kV the distance from the needle to the bulkhead at 8 and 12 cm, with an ejection flow of 2 mLh⁻¹ and a needle diameter of 0.7 mm. The generated samples are represented in Table I.

| Voltage (kV) | 10 | 13 | 15 |
|-------------|----|----|----|
| Distance (cm) | PP1 | PP3 | PP5 |
| 8           | PP2 | PP4 | PP6 |

Ten minutes were standardized for the collection of fibers for each combination of variables. After collecting the fibers, they were stored in a desiccator for forty-eight hours for evaporation of the remaining solvent.

**CHARACTERIZATION OF ULTRAFINE FIBERS**

**Scanning electron microscopy**

0.5 x 0.5 cm specimen of the aluminum foil of each morphological pattern generated from the ultrafine fibers were coated with gold (SC7620 Mini Sputter Coater / Glow Discharge System, Emitech, East Sussex, UK) and analyzed by means of Electron Microscopy Scanning (SEM) to evaluate the morphology, alignment, diameter and quantity of the fibers.

Electrospun nanofibers microographies were obtained using the Scanning Electron Microscope With high-vacuum equipment (Inspect S 50, FEI Company, Brno, Czech Republic) operating at 20-25KV, 5.0 spot and magnifications of 2.000x.

**Analysis of the average fiber diameter**

The diameter analysis was performed using the Image J software (Version 1.4.4, National Public of Health), by which 50 measurements were collected in each image of the generated patterns to obtain the mean diameter in micrometers, standard deviation and coefficient of variation.

**Energy dispersion X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) analysis**

To perform a qualitative analysis of the chemical composition of the chemical elements of the NFs (nanofibers), an energy dispersion spectrometer (EDS) (Bruker Nano GmbH 410, Berlin, Germany) associated with the Espirit 1.9 software (Bruker, Berlin, Germany) in the SEM (Inspect S50, FEI Company, Brno, Czech Republic).

**X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD)**

The analyzed specimen were prepared in 3x3 cm format and placed in the XRD device (Shimadzu XRD7000, CuKα radiation, 2θ = 20-80°, 30 mA, 40 kV). Institute of Sciences and Technology - UNIFESP, São José dos Campos.

**Contact angle analysis**

For the wettability analysis, 3 specimen of the PEI / PMMA fibers were prepared, and then the contact angle of the surfaces was analyzed using an optical tensiometer (TL 1000 - Invoiced freight, Theta Lioe, Attenson, Lichfield, Staffordshire, UK),
where a glass syringe from the system (Gastight Syringes # 1001 – 1 mL, Hamilton, Reno, Nevada, USA) deposits a drop of distilled water on the specimen surface.

RESULTS

Scanning electron microscopy

Through SEM analysis, six micrographs were obtained for the ultrafine PEI/PMMA fibers as shown in Figure 1.

Analysis of the average diameter of the PEI / PMMA ultrafine fibers

The statistics of the mean values of the diameters of the PEI / PMMA fibers (µm) using the ANOVA test 2-way are considered in Table II.

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1** - Micrographs of fabricated PEI / PMMA ultrafine fibers. 2000x increase.

Through the comparative analysis of the samples, the absence of defects (beads), the smallest diameter of the fibers, the smallest coefficient of variation, the smallest voltage and the shortest distance used for the fabricate of the fibers were analyzed, the PP4 standard was selected. In this, the smallest diameter was found, 0.37 ± 0.13 µm, with a coefficient of variation of 0.34 µm, voltage of 13 kV and distance from the needle to the bulkhead of 12 cm. The ultrafine fibers not described (beads), and are uniform and bulky.

Energy dispersion X-ray spectroscopy

With the EDS it was possible to verify the presence of the constituent elements of the polymers PEI and PMMA (Carbon, Nitrogen and Oxygen), proving the presence of the polymers in the electrospun fibers. The presence of the chemical elements Aluminum and Gold are attributed to the collection process during electrospinning (Al) and to the preparation of the samples for scanning electron microscopy (Au). The chemical element Hydrogen, one of the compounds of the polymers used was not evidenced by the process due to the size of its molecule. The EDS results can be seen in Table III.

**Table II** - Analysis of comparison of Tukey factors of ultrafine PEI/PMMA fibers

| Factor | N  | Mean ± sd | Grouping |
|--------|----|-----------|----------|
| PP1    |    | 0.52±0.21 | A        |
| PP2    | 50 | 0.45±0.16 | AB       |
| PP5    |    | 0.42±0.14 | B        |
| PP3    |    | 0.40±0.15 | B        |
| PP4    |    | 0.37±0.13 | B        |
| PP6    |    | 0.37±0.13 | B        |

**Table III** - Table resulting from the EDS test with the percentages of the elements present

| EL AN Series | C norm. [wt.%] | C Atom. [wt.%] | C Error. [wt.%] | K Fact. [wt.%] | Z corr | A corr | F corr |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|
| C6           | 56.80          | 54.66          | 74.59           | 6.50           | 1.036  | 0.527  | 1.000  |
| Au79         | 19.62          | 18.88          | 1.57            | 0.61           | 0.28   | 1.304  | 1.000  |
| O8           | 17.37          | 16.72          | 17.13           | 2.23           | 0.289  | 0.884  | 1.000  |
| Cl17         | 6.87           | 6.61           | 3.06            | 0.26           | 0.020  | 3.269  | 1.000  |
| N7           | 3.25           | 3.13           | 3.66            | 0.67           | 0.034  | 0.919  | 1.000  |
X-ray diffractometry

In figure 2, it is possible to observe the XRD analysis for ultrafine PEI/PMMA fibers, where no sharp diffraction lines were presented, confirming the non-crystalline nature of the polymer. The XRD analysis is used so that, through the analysis of the diffraction of the material submitted to the test, the resulting diffraction pattern, which comprises both the positions and the intensities of the diffraction effects, serves not only for its rapid identification, but also for the complete elucidation of its structure, thus being able to corroborate the presence in the fibers formed of the polymers used.

Contact angle analysis

For the analysis of the average contact angle, 3 samples were used, which obtained an average result of 116.79°. It is possible to observe that the obtained fiber has a hydrophobic character, characteristic of polymeric materials [15]. The data obtained can be seen in Table IV.

DISCUSSION

Composite resins are widely used in dentistry and are presented as an aesthetic material with low cost to be used in fixed partial dentures. Their disadvantage is their low fracture resistance when compared, for example, to fixed metal-ceramic partial prostheses, reducing their useful life [8].

The study in question was developed with the objective of fabricating hybrid ultrafine fibers, to reinforce for the composite resin in fixed partial prostheses, so that this reinforcement does not only act mechanically, but there is also a chemical interaction between the fiber and the resin.

For this, we chose to use Polymethylmethacrylate, which has good mechanical resistance, high transparency, elasticity and good adhesion [15], Polyetherimide (PEI) is an amorphous thermoplastic polymer with excellent properties that include: high resistance mechanical, thermal and chemical stability. Their properties are compared with metallic and ceramic materials for their elasticity, toughness, impact and abrasion resistance, good insulation and chemical resistance and exposure to time [11].
According to the literature, the electrospinning parameters influence the structural morphology of the fibers, their variation is important for the optimization of fiber production [16]. These parameters are: solution flow, the potential difference that generates the electromagnetic field, the distance from the tip of the needle to the collection apparatus and the diameter of the needle [1,3], in addition to humidity, temperature and viscosity. For the electrospinning process of PEI and PMMA ultrafine fibers, the variation of the distance (D = 8 and 12 cm) and voltage (V = 10, 13 and 15 kV) parameters was prioritized, which were chosen at random with the purpose of optimizing the production fibers and were kept fixed for the six distinct groups formed by the association of distance and voltage parameters, flow parameters (F = 2 mLh-1), needle diameter (Ø = 0.7 mm2), temperature (24.1 °C), humidity (36%) and viscosity.

There is no consensus in the literature on the influence of applied stresses on the diameter of electrospun fibers. Studies show that the electric field has no influence on the diameter of the fibers [10]. However, some authors have suggested that higher voltages can increase the electrostatic repulsive force in the charged jet, favoring the narrowing of the fiber diameter [17]. In this study it was not possible to establish a direct correlation between voltage and fiber diameter.

The formation of beads in the electrospinning process is considered as local defects of the fibers attributed to different causes, such as lower surface voltage, higher voltage, viscosity solution and polymer concentration [18–20], these defects or lack of continuity of the fibers are directly related to the tensile strength and should be avoided. In cases where the purpose is the application of fibers as a mechanical reinforcement of other materials, beads lead to the weakening of the fibers. In this case, the ideal would be the absence of beads.

In cases where the purpose is for the controlled delivery of drug from the fibers, the beads could be used as a drug reservoir, where the stored drug would be released slowly and continue to the tissue, thus benefiting the formation of beads. The present study fabricated a scaffold (mesh formed by electrospun ultrafine fibers in large quantities) with the presence of two polymers from a single solution, resulting in bulky, uniform fibers with no beads. The production of reliable blankets for possible scale production insertion in composite resin to assess its mechanical performance.

The ultrafine fibers were chemically characterized using the X-ray Diffraction test, which consists of a non-destructive analysis to characterize materials, provides structural information, phases and crystallinity [21]. The mesh analyzed showed a predominantly amorphous nature, characteristic of the PMMA polymer [22]. Energy dispersion X-ray spectroscopy confirmed the presence of the polymers in question.

Future studies, the incorporation of hybrid non-woven fibers in restorative materials, such as composite, acrylic and Bisacryl resin, may improve the fracture toughness increase their mechanical performance.

**CONCLUSION**

Based on the proposal of this study, it can be concluded:

The method employed was efficient for the production of hybrid ultrafine PEI / PMMA fibers for using as reinforcement material.

The variation of the electrospinning process parameters influenced the structural morphology of the electrospun fibers.

Of the samples studied, the parameter 13 kV/12 cm sample were considered standard. This standardization is important for the optimization of fiber scale production.
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