Simultaneous Selective Chlorination and Carbothermic Reduction of High-Iron Manganese Ore for the Recovery of Manganese Chloride and Metallic Iron
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Abstract: Metallurgical processing of low-grade manganese ore with high iron content is gaining increasing attention due to the gradual depletion of high-grade Mn ores, amid the difficulties in its efficient extraction for both Mn and Fe values in an environmentally-friendly manner. Attempting to tackle the difficulties, this paper describes an innovative process for selectively chlorinating and reducing the high-Fe manganese ore in a simultaneous manner, aiming to produce water-soluble MnCl₂ and metallic Fe. After pre-mixing with carbonaceous reductant, CaCl₂ and MgCl₂ as the chlorinating agent, the Mn ore was heated at 1000 °C. As much as 89.4% Mn can be chlorinated in its water-soluble form, with dissolution of only 3.0% Fe. The presence of CaCl₂ during carbothermic reduction resulted in significant promotion in both the Fe reduction rate and formation of large metallic Fe particles due to the segregation effect, facilitating subsequent separation. Selective Mn chlorination by MgCl₂ took place with or without the involvement of SiO₂, forming MgSiO₄ or MgO, respectively.
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1. Introduction

Manganese has been a critical element for the production of steels, batteries, fertilizer, non-ferrous alloys, catalysts, and pigment, etc. [1–3] Driven by the high crude steel production rate of China, global manganese resources have been mostly consumed by the country [4,5] for the production of manganese ferroalloy, which is produced by smelting with submerged electric arc furnaces (SAF) at a high energy cost [6–9]. Cheap and high-grade manganese ores have become scarce due to its continuous consumption. Increasing attention has therefore been given to the development of technically and economically viable technologies for the utilization of medium- and low-grade manganese ores [10–12]. In addition, large quantities of manganese ore fines and tailings have been generated due to ineffective recovery technology and selective mining of high grade manganese ores [12–14], necessitating further treatment for metal recovery.

Low grade manganese ores (<40%) generally contain typical impurity elements such as Fe, Al, and Si, etc. [15] They are conventionally processed by initial reductive roasting or melting followed by treating with hydrometallurgical approaches for the production of chemical manganese dioxide, electrolytic manganese, or electrolytic manganese dioxide [11,16]. The purpose of reductive roasting is to reduce the manganese oxides to low-valence state (i.e., Mn²⁺), which becomes soluble in dilute acids [17], allowing for subsequent hydrometallurgical processing. Various reductants (e.g.,
charcoal [18], straw [19,20], saw dust [20], bamboo [20], wheat stalk [20,21], bagasse [22], cornstalk [23], and sulfur [17], etc.) have been studied for the reduction of manganese ore followed by leaching with acid. Impurities (such as Fe) can be co-leached [15], resulting in the generation of large quantities of residues during the subsequent hydrometallurgical purification steps. In this process, iron is not recovered in a useful form, and it leads to the formation of residues which can be problematic when disposed to the environment.

Manganese ores with high content of Fe are generally not suitable for the production of manganese ferroalloys [1,24]. Upgrading the manganese ores by iron removal using mineral beneficiation is inefficient [25], due to the complex mineral nature and high similarities in density and magnetic susceptibility of manganese and its associated iron minerals [4]. Attempts have therefore been made to amplify the difference between Mn- and Fe-bearing phases in terms of their magnetic susceptibility, by selective thermal reduction of iron oxides to form strongly magnetic Fe$_3$O$_4$ [24,26,27]. Upgrading the manganese ores by iron removal can be realized by subsequent magnetic separation. This approach generally suffers from the following drawbacks: (1) Mn loss is high at a high degree of iron removal; (2) Fe is not recovered in a useful form; (3) This thermal upgrading step adds to the complexity of the existing processes, and potentially increases the overall energy cost.

In efforts to address the above-mentioned issues, the current study aims at developing an efficient and environmentally-friendly process for the extraction of both Mn and Fe from the high-iron manganese ores. Calcium chloride (CaCl$_2$) has been proven to be an effective agent that not only accelerates the carbothermic reduction, but also promotes the formation of individual ferrochromium alloy particles during carbothermic segregation reduction of chromite, allowing for easier physical separation of the ferrochromium from other gangue minerals [28,29]. In the current study, CaCl$_2$ was also employed as the segregation agent that promotes carbothermic reduction of high-iron manganese ore, aiming for the selective reduction of iron oxides to their metallic form (i.e., Fe$_0$). In addition, magnesium chloride (MgCl$_2$) was employed as the chlorination agent that will selectively chlorinate the manganese oxides, producing MnCl$_2$. Selective carbothermic reduction and chlorination takes place simultaneously, resulting in the formation of metallic Fe and water-soluble MnCl$_2$. Therefore, Mn can be recovered in the form of MnCl$_2$ by water-leaching the product, and Fe can be recovered in its metallic form by magnetic separation of the water-leach residue.

**Thermodynamic Considerations**

Thermodynamic basis for the simultaneous selective carbothermic reduction and chlorination of high-iron manganese ores can be illustrated by Figure 1. This figure is produced using the thermodynamic data produced from the thermochemical software HSC Chemistry 6.12 [30]. For the carbothermic reduction in the presence of solid carbon, the equilibrium partial pressure of CO is dependent on the reduction temperature, which is governed by the Boudouard reaction (Equation (1)) [31], as seen from Figure 1a. This determines the reduction products of iron oxides and manganese oxides at equilibrium conditions (i.e., Fe$_3$O$_4$ at temperatures below 650 °C; FeO at 650–690 °C; metallic Fe at temperatures above 690 °C; MnO at temperatures below 1400 °C; and metallic Mn at temperatures above 1400 °C). Therefore, carbothermic reduction of high-iron manganese oxides can result in the formation of metallic Fe and MnO in the temperature range of 690–1400 °C, providing the thermodynamic basis for the selective metallization of iron species.

Figure 1b exhibits the equilibrium constants for the main possible chlorination reactions when using MgCl$_2$ as the chlorination agent. The superimposed (Mn, Fe, Mg, Ca)-Cl-O predominance area diagram at 1000 °C is shown in Figure 1c. As seen from both figures, MnO generated from carbothermic reduction (Figure 1a) can be further chlorinated by MgCl$_2$ (Equation (2)). SiO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ (main components of the gangue minerals) cannot be chlorinated by MgCl$_2$, revealed by the extremely low equilibrium constants for their corresponding chlorination reactions (Figure 1b). FeO generated from the step-wise carbothermic reduction of iron oxides [32] could also be chlorinated by MgCl$_2$, forming FeCl$_2$ (Equation (3)). Fortunately, FeCl$_2$ can be consumed by reacting further with MnO
(Figure 1b,c), represented by Equation (4). FeO regenerated from this reaction would be subsequently reduced to metallic Fe at temperatures higher than 690 °C, as seen from Figure 1a. Therefore, by coupling chlorination with carbothermic reduction reactions, it is thermodynamically feasible to achieve selective chlorination of MnO and selective metallization of Fe species in a simultaneous manner. This window of opportunity for simultaneous selective chlorination of MnO and metallization of Fe species at 1000 °C is shown as the highlighted predominance area (in yellow) in Figure 1c. It can also be revealed from Figure 1c that using CaCl$_2$ as the chlorinating agent is not effective in achieving selective chlorination, compared to MgCl$_2$.

$$C(s) + CO_2(g) = 2CO(g)$$

(1)

$$MnO(s) + MgCl_2(s,l) = MnCl_2(s,l) + MgO(s)$$

(2)

$$FeO(s) + MgCl_2(s,l) = FeCl_2(s,l) + MgO(s)$$

(3)

$$MnO(s) + FeCl_2(s,l) = MnCl_2(s,l) + FeO(s)$$

(4)

Figure 1. (a) Stability diagram for the superimposed Fe–O (solid blue lines) and Mn–O (dash-dotted green lines) systems under CO–CO$_2$ atmospheres, and CO partial pressure established by the Boudouard reaction (dashed red line). Please note the horizontal grey lines indicates the change of scales for the y-axis. (b) Equilibrium constants (in logarithmic form) for the main chlorination reactions as a function of temperature. (c) Superimposed (Mn, Fe, Mg, Ca)–Cl–O predominance area diagram at 1000 °C showing the window of opportunity (highlighted in yellow) for simultaneous selective chlorination of MnO and metallization of Fe species.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

The low grade high-iron manganese ore used in the study was produced in Zambia after hand-picking and removal of high-quality ores. The low-grade ore was crushed, grinded and sieved, after which the size fraction of 30–150 µm was used for the investigation. Graphite powders (99.95% pure) in the particle size range of 45–150 µm were used as the carbothermic reductant. Anhydrous MgCl$_2$ (99.9% pure) and CaCl$_2$ (99.9% pure) in their fine powder form were used as additives.

2.2. Experimental Procedure

As illustrated by Figure 2, for each experiment, 5.0 g Mn ore powders were mixed with 0.9 g graphite and varying proportions of CaCl$_2$ and MgCl$_2$ powders, before placing into a graphite crucible (#8, O.D. 30 mm, I.D. 22 mm, height 40 mm) and covered with a graphite lid. Five small holes were drilled on the lid beforehand, to allow escape of the gas evolved from the reactions during the experiment. The graphite crucible was placed into a long quartz crucible (#7, O.D. 39 mm, I.D. 35 mm, height 340 mm). Subsequently, twenty thin quartz tubes (#6, O.D. 5 mm, I.D. 2.9 mm, length 300 mm) were placed on top of the graphite lid inside the long quartz crucible, for the condensation of chloride vapors. The long quartz crucible was subsequently loaded into the vertical tube furnace (#3), supported...
by a porous quartz frit (#5) so that the graphite crucible was situated at the center of the heating zone. With a continuous flow of 50 mL/min Ar gas (5N), the sample mixture was heated to 1000 °C at a heating rate of 15 °C/min, and dwelled at 1000 °C for a predetermined time before cooling to room temperature at a cooling rate of 15 °C/min. A scrubber bottle (#11) containing 500 mL deionized water was used to capture residual chloride vapors from the evolved gas.

![Figure 2. Schematic illustration of the experimental setup](image)

Chlorination leads to the formation of water-soluble chlorides, some of which were evaporated and condensed on the thin quartz tubes and the inner wall of the long quartz crucible (#6 and #7 in Figure 2, respectively). To quantify this, 3.0 g of sample product was leached with 100 mL deionized water at 50 °C for 60 min. After filtration, the leach solution (L1) was sampled for wet chemical analysis. The leach residue (R1) was dried in an oven at 110 °C for 120 min. Chemical composition of R1 was analyzed by fusion with NaOH at 700 °C to allow subsequent complete digestion with HCl solution before wet chemical analysis. The thin quartz tubes were placed into the long quartz crucible filled with deionized water, which was heated with a water bath at 50 °C for 60 min. The resulting solution (L2) and the solution in the scrubber (L3) were also taken for wet chemical analysis. The degree of chlorination for each element was calculated according to Equation (5), based on wet chemical analysis of all samples mentioned above. The degree of evaporation of chlorides was calculated based on Equation (6).

\[
\text{Chlorination degree} = \frac{m_{\text{sol}} + m_{\text{con}} + m_{\text{scr}}}{m_{\text{insol}} + m_{\text{sol}} + m_{\text{con}} + m_{\text{scr}}} \times 100\% \quad (5)
\]

where \(m_{\text{sol}}, m_{\text{insol}}, m_{\text{con}}, \) and \(m_{\text{scr}}\) represent the mass of each element in water-soluble and water-insoluble forms in the sample product, in condensed form, and in the scrubber solution, respectively.

\[
\text{Evaporation degree} = \frac{m_{\text{con}} + m_{\text{scr}}}{m_{\text{sol}} + m_{\text{con}} + m_{\text{scr}}} \times 100\% \quad (6)
\]
2.3. Analytical Methods

Wet chemical analysis was performed using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES, Spectro Blue SOP, Kleve, Germany) for the concentrations of Mn, Fe, Mg, and Ca, after proper dilution of each liquid sample. The dilution resulted in the elemental concentrations of less than 50 mg/L before analysis by ICP-OES. For each element, three calibration lines were firstly created using standard solutions, corresponding to the concentration ranges of 0–1, 1–10 and 10–50 mg/L. Each analysis of the liquid sample lasted for 30 s, and was repeated three times. Based on the concentration range of the element, its corresponding calibration line was applied. The average of the three repeated analyses was reported as the final result. The detection limits are 0.1, 0.4, 0.01, and 0.1 µg/L for Mn, Fe, Mg, and Ca, respectively. Relative standard deviation (RSD) was <2% for the analysis.

Chemical composition of the original manganese ore for Mn, Fe, Al, Si, Ba, Ca, Mg, Na, K, and Pb was analyzed using China National Standards (listed in the Supplementary Material). Loss on ignition (LOI) of the manganese ore was conducted using a sample size of 1 g by heating in air at 950 °C for 2 h. Sample weight after the first and second hour was recorded and compared, making sure a constant weight was reached. The dried residue after water-leaching was mounted into epoxy resin, grinded with sand papers, and polished with diamond suspension to prepare the polished section. It was analyzed with scanning electron microscopy (SEM, TESCAN MIRA3, Tescan, Kohoutovice, Czech Republic) coupled with energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS, Oxford XMAX20, Oxford Instruments, Abingdon, UK), using an accelerating voltage of 20 kV. For qualitative phase identification, solid samples were firstly grinded with mortar and pestle for approximately 15 min to ensure they are in fine powder form before analysis by X-ray powder diffraction (XRD, Rigaku 3014Z, Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan) with Cu Kα radiation at 40 kV, 200 mA.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of the Mn Ore

Chemical composition of the Mn ore and its XRD pattern are shown in Table 1 and Figure 3, respectively. As seen, the ore has a high Fe content of 40.54 wt%, and a low Mn/Fe mass ratio of 0.34. The main minerals are bixbyite (Mn, Fe)₂O₃, hematite Fe₂O₃, and quartz SiO₂. Back scattered electron (BSE) image and its elemental mappings of the cross section of the ore are exhibited in Figure 4, indicating that the three main minerals are generally well liberated. As the main Mn-bearing mineral in the ore, bixbyite contains only minor amounts of Fe. Based on energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) analysis, the Mn/Fe mass ratio of bixbyite is approximately 10:1.

![Figure 3. XRD (X-ray powder diffraction) pattern of the high-iron manganese ore used in the study.](image-url)
In general, the selective reduction of iron oxides was slow, reflected by the presence of Fe-bearing monoxide solid solution even after 4 h reduction. As seen from Figure 6b, quartz (SiO$_2$) also gradually reacted with other adjacent oxides, such as FeO, (Mn, Fe) O and Al$_2$O$_3$, forming the core-shell structure with the core being SiO$_2$. Some (Mn, Fe)O particles started to develop a porous shell containing lower concentrations of Fe and high concentrations of Si (approximately 13 wt% based on EDS analysis), possibly due to the preferential reduction of Fe species on the surface of the particle leading to volume shrinkage. In general, the selective reduction of iron oxides was slow, reflected by the presence of Fe-bearing monoxide solid solution even after 4 h reduction.

$$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(s) + C(s) = 2\text{FeO}(s) + \text{CO(g)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$\text{(Mn,Fe)}_2\text{O}_3(s) + C(s) = 2\text{(Mn,Fe)O}(s) + \text{CO(g)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

$$\text{FeO}(s) + C(s) = \text{Fe(s)} + \text{CO(g)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Table 1. Chemical composition of the manganese ore (wt.%).

| Total Fe | Total Mn | SiO$_2$ | Al$_2$O$_3$ | CaO | MgO | BaO | K$_2$O | Na$_2$O | PbO | LOI* |
|---------|---------|---------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|-------|-----|------|
| 40.54   | 13.86   | 7.14    | 2.57        | 0.18| 0.18| 1.17| 0.30  | 0.04  | 0.02| 3.41 |

* LOI: loss on ignition.

3.2. Carbothermic Reduction without Additives

High-iron manganese ore was reduced at 1000 °C for 1, 2 and 4 h without the addition of CaCl$_2$ and MgCl$_2$. XRD patterns and SEM analysis of the reduced products are shown in Figures 5 and 6, respectively. As seen, carbothermic reduction of the manganese ore was slow. After reduction for 1 h, partial reduction of hematite and bixbyite took place, forming their respective monoxides (i.e., FeO and (Mn, Fe) O), which can be represented by Equations (7) and (8). An Fe-rich metallic phase was identified from the product after 2 h reduction (Figure 5b), which was produced from further reduction of monoxide FeO (Equation (9)). This metallic phase fits best with Fe$_{10}$Mn (PDF card# 03-065-7528). However, it generally contains no more than 2 wt% Mn based on EDS analysis. The XRD peaks for metallic Fe became higher after 4 h reduction (Figure 5c), indicating a high reduction degree. With the progress of reduction, the FeO peak at 42° shifted towards left, which is highlighted and re-plotted as an inset in Figure 5. This reflected its compositional change towards Mn- and Fe-bearing monoxides (Fe, Mn)O with an increasing Mn/Fe ratio, which could possibly be due to the continuous consumption of FeO by reduction (Equation (9)) and the reaction between FeO and MnO forming the monoxide solid solution (Fe, Mn)O. As seen from Figure 6b, quartz (SiO$_2$) also gradually reacted with other adjacent oxides, such as FeO, (Mn, Fe) O and Al$_2$O$_3$, forming the core-shell structure with the core being SiO$_2$. Some (Mn, Fe)O particles started to develop a porous shell containing lower concentrations of Fe and high concentrations of Si (approximately 13 wt% based on EDS analysis), possibly due to the preferential reduction of Fe species on the surface of the particle leading to volume shrinkage. In general, the selective reduction of iron oxides was slow, reflected by the presence of Fe-bearing monoxide solid solution even after 4 h reduction.

$$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3(s) + C(s) = 2\text{FeO}(s) + \text{CO(g)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$\text{(Mn,Fe)}_2\text{O}_3(s) + C(s) = 2\text{(Mn,Fe)O}(s) + \text{CO(g)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

$$\text{FeO}(s) + C(s) = \text{Fe(s)} + \text{CO(g)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)
Carbothermic reduction of high-Fe manganese ore was conducted at 1000 °C for 2 h in the presence of varying amounts of CaCl₂ (0–30 wt% of ore). Recovery of metals by water-leaching was plotted in Figure 7. Residues produced from water-leaching were further analyzed by XRD, with their patterns shown in Figure 8. The Ca curve in Figure 7 suggests that CaCl₂ partially reacted with the ore, resulting in the incomplete dissolution of Ca during water-leaching due to the formation of water-insoluble Ca-bearing compounds. These compounds were identified as CaSiO₄, Ca₂SiO₄ and bustamite (Bu, CaMnSi₂O₆), which can be seen in Figure 8b–d. These reactions also resulted in the formation of water-soluble MnCl₂ (Figure 7), which can be represented by Equations (10)–(12). By comparing the XRD patterns as shown in Figure 8b–d, the peaks for MnO became weaker with the increase of CaCl₂ addition, confirming the presence of these reactions.

\[
\text{CaCl}_2(l) + \text{MnO}_2(s) + \text{SiO}_2(s) = \text{CaSiO}_3(s) + \text{MnCl}_2(l) \quad (10)
\]

\[
2\text{CaCl}_2(l) + 2\text{MnO}_2(s) + \text{SiO}_2(s) = \text{Ca}_2\text{SiO}_4(s) + \text{MnCl}_2(l) \quad (11)
\]

\[
\text{CaCl}_2(l) + 2\text{MnO}_2(s) + 2\text{SiO}_2(s) = \text{CaMnSi}_2\text{O}_6(s) + \text{MnCl}_2(l) \quad (12)
\]
In the absence of CaCl$_2$, carbothermic reduction was slow, forming monoxides of (FeO)$_{0.9}$(MnO)$_{0.1}$, (FeO)$_{0.1}$(MnO)$_{0.9}$ and minor amounts of metallic Fe (i.e., Fe$_{19}$Mn), as seen from Figure 8a. By comparison, the presence of CaCl$_2$ greatly accelerated the carbothermic reduction, revealed by the absence of Fe-bearing oxides and the sharp increase in the content of metallic Fe in the products (Figure 8b–d). In fact, selective near-complete reduction of Fe species has taken place in the presence of CaCl$_2$, evidenced by both SEM and XRD analyses. In addition, the presence of CaCl$_2$ also promoted the formation of relatively large metallic Fe particles, which is advantageous in terms of subsequent liberation and magnetic/gravitational separation of metallic Fe from unwanted gangue. As an example, Figure 9 illustrates BSE micrograph and elemental mappings of the reduced product (after water-leaching) with 20 wt% CaCl$_2$ addition. As seen, the sizes of metallic Fe particles are generally in the range of 100–200 µm, which are much larger comparing to those formed in the absence of CaCl$_2$ (e.g., <40 µm as shown in Figure 6b, with 4 h reduction). The promoting effects of CaCl$_2$ addition on carbothermic reduction of Mn ore observed in the study was similar to that revealed during CaCl$_2$-assisted carbothermic reduction of chromite ore [28,29], indicating a similar role played...
in the reduction process. CaCl$_2$ became molten at the reduction temperature of 1000 °C, because its melting point is 775 °C [33]. It is suggested that the presence of molten CaCl$_2$ during reduction significantly promoted the segregation and transport of divalent and trivalent Fe from the oxides to the carbonaceous particles where further reduction took place, resulting in the formation of metallic Fe.

**Figure 9.** BSE image (a) and elemental mapping (b–f) of the reduced product (after water-leaching) with 20 wt% CaCl$_2$ addition (MFe: metallic Fe; Bu: bustamite, CaMnSi$_2$O$_6$; Cs: a Cl-bearing calcium aluminosilicate; Mk: metakaolin, Al$_2$SiO$_7$).

As shown in Figure 9, in addition to the presence of significant amounts of bustamite produced by Equation (12), a metakaolin (Mk, Al$_2$SiO$_7$) particle was also found that was surrounded by a shell of Cl-bearing calcium aluminosilicate (Cs), resulting from the reaction(s) involving CaCl$_2$.

3.4. **Influence of MgCl$_2$ Addition**

Carbothermic reduction of high-iron manganese ore was further conducted at 1000 °C for 2 h with the addition of 20 wt% CaCl$_2$ and varying amounts of MgCl$_2$ (0–60 wt% of the ore), aiming to selectively chlorinate the Mn species from the ore, while achieving selective metallization of Fe species. Degrees of chlorination and evaporation of chlorides for various elements were quantified (see Section 2.2) and plotted in Figures 10 and 11, respectively. It is obvious that MgCl$_2$ was an effective chlorinating agent for the generation of water-soluble MnCl$_2$. Higher degrees of chlorination for Mn were achieved with the addition of higher amounts of MgCl$_2$. At 40 wt% MgCl$_2$ addition, as much as 79.8 wt% Mn was chlorinated, with minimal Fe chlorination of as little as 2.8 wt%, demonstrating that selective Mn chlorination could be achieved. This is in excellent agreement with the thermodynamic predictions as discussed previously (see Section 1). Significant amounts of MgCl$_2$ would remain in the reduced product when its addition was higher than 40 wt%. The presence of excessive MgCl$_2$ would lead to progressive chlorination of Fe, reaching as high as 21.7% Fe chlorination with the addition of 60 wt% MgCl$_2$. Mn chlorination degree remained roughly the same, compared to that of 40 wt% addition. In addition, nearly 100% Ca remained water-soluble with the addition of ≥20 wt% MgCl$_2$, indicating that the 20 wt% CaCl$_2$ added in the beginning did not contribute to the chlorination (Equations (10)–(12)). This is advantageous in terms of complete recovering CaCl$_2$ from the product simply with water-leaching.
Figure 10. Degree of chlorination vs. the addition of various amounts of MgCl\(_2\) and 20 wt% CaCl\(_2\) before carbothermic reduction.

Figure 11. Evaporation degree of metal chlorides vs. the addition of various amounts of MgCl\(_2\) and 20 wt% CaCl\(_2\) before carbothermic reduction. Inset illustrates the correlation between the evaporation degree of divalent metal chlorides at 40 wt% MgCl\(_2\) addition and their respective boiling temperatures (boiling temperatures cited from reference [33]).

From Figure 11, it is seen that nearly 100% iron chloride became evaporated, while CaCl\(_2\) did not evaporate. The evaporation rate of MnCl\(_2\) was in the range of approximately 10–30%, depending on the addition of MgCl\(_2\). Direct correlation between the evaporation rate of metal chlorides (at 40 wt% MgCl\(_2\) addition) and their boiling temperature (cited from reference [33]) can be observed from the inset of Figure 11, which is fundamentally determined by their equilibrium vapor pressures at the reduction temperature employed under the investigation (i.e., 1000 °C). As seen, metal chloride having a lower boiling temperature exhibited a higher evaporation rate. For example, FeCl\(_2\) has a boiling temperature of 1023 °C, indicating that its equilibrium vapor pressure is close to one atmospheric pressure during reduction at 1000 °C, resulting in its high evaporation rate of 98.7%. These results indicate that the difference between the evaporation rates of FeCl\(_2\) and MnCl\(_2\) could potentially be explored for their separation, and subsequent purification of MnCl\(_2\).

In order to reveal the chlorination mechanism in the presence of MgCl\(_2\), the sample products (after water-leaching) were subjected to XRD analysis (Figure 12). As seen from Figure 12, the addition of MgCl\(_2\) can effectively suppress the formation of Ca-bearing oxides (i.e., CaSiO\(_3\), Ca\(_2\)SiO\(_4\), and CaMnSi\(_2\)O\(_6\)), which were produced from Equations (10)–(12). This was due to the occurrence and gradual domination of competing reactions consuming SiO\(_2\), with the increase of MgCl\(_2\) addition. Furthermore, XRD results suggest that the presence of Mg-bearing oxides was in the form of MgMnSiO\(_4\).
(at 10 and 20 wt% MgCl₂ addition), Mg₂SiO₄ (at 30–50 wt% MgCl₂ addition), and MgO (at 30–50 wt% MgCl₂ addition). Therefore, the main chlorination reactions involving MgCl₂ can be deduced, and are represented as Equations (13)–(16). In the presence of both CaCl₂ and MgCl₂, SiO₂ was preferably consumed by Equations (13) and (14), which dominated over Equations (10)–(12), suggesting a much stronger chlorination potential exerted by MgCl₂ compared to CaCl₂. Increasing addition of MgCl₂ would lead to the dominance of direct chlorination by MgCl₂ (i.e., without the involvement of SiO₂), which is represented by Equation (15). With the addition of >40 wt% MgCl₂ addition, excessive MgCl₂ started to chlorinate FeO (Equation (16)), resulting in the formation of water-soluble FeCl₂ (Figure 10).

\[
\text{MgCl}_2(l) + 2\text{MnO}(s) + \text{SiO}_2(s) = \text{MnCl}_2(l,g) + \text{MgMnSiO}_4(s) \tag{13}
\]

\[
2\text{MgCl}_2(l) + 2\text{MnO}(s) + \text{SiO}_2(s) = 2\text{MnCl}_2(l,g) + \text{Mg}_2\text{SiO}_4(s) \tag{14}
\]

\[
\text{MgCl}_2(l) + \text{MnO}(s) = \text{MnCl}_2(l,g) + \text{MgO}(s) \tag{15}
\]

\[
\text{MgCl}_2(l) + \text{FeO}(s) = \text{FeCl}_2(g) + \text{MgO}(s) \tag{16}
\]

**Figure 12.** XRD patterns of the residues from water-leaching the products after reduction with the addition of 20 wt% CaCl₂ and various amounts of MgCl₂ (a, 0 wt%; b, 10 wt%; c, 20 wt%; d, 30 wt%; e, 40 wt%; f, 50 wt%).

Figure 13a exhibits the BSE image of the cross section of the product (after water-leaching) from carbothermic reduction in the presence of 20 wt% CaCl₂ and 40 wt% MgCl₂. Formation of relatively large metallic Fe particles can be observed, resulting from the segregation effect due to presence of CaCl₂ (see Section 3.3). Undissolved manganese was mainly in the form of binary monoxide (MgO)ₓ(MnO)₁₋ₓ, containing minor amounts of MgO. Figure 13b–g shows the BSE image and elemental mappings of a particle characteristic of a core-shell structure. The core is porous polycrystalline
monoxide \((\text{MgO})_x(\text{MnO})_{1-x}\) phase, which is surrounded by a layer of olivine-type \(\text{Mg}_{2-x}\text{Mn}_x\text{SiO}_4\) containing minor amounts of Mn. This suggests that inner diffusion of \(\text{Mg}^{2+}\) and outer diffusion of \(\text{Mn}^{2+}\) have taken place during chlorination.

![Figure 13](image_url)

**Figure 13.** BSE image (a) of the reduced product (after water-leaching) with 20 wt\% CaCl\(_2\) and 40 wt\% MgCl\(_2\) addition at low magnification, and BSE image (b) of an individual reduced ore particle with its elemental mapping graphs (c-g) MFe: metallic Fe; Mm: \((\text{MgO})_x(\text{MnO})_{1-x}\); Ol: olivine-type \(\text{Mg}_{2-x}\text{Mn}_x\text{SiO}_4\).

### 3.5. Influence of Reaction Time

With the addition of 20 wt\% CaCl\(_2\) and 40 wt\% MgCl\(_2\), carbothermic reduction of Mn ore was conducted with the variation of dwelling time (0–4 h) at 1000 °C. Degrees of chlorination and the evaporation rate of chlorides were determined (Figures 14 and 15). It is seen that chlorination of Mn species was fast, suggested by the chlorination degree of 70.8% achieved at 0 h. It should be noted that this particular test was conducted by heating at 15 °C/min to 1000 °C, followed by immediate cooling at 15 °C/min without dwelling at 1000 °C (i.e., 0 h at 1000 °C). Therefore, chlorination reactions taking place during heating and cooling periods accounted for the 70.8% Mn chlorination. After dwelling at 1000 °C for 1 h, the Mn chlorination degree reached 89.4%. Further increase in the dwelling time did not lead to improvement in Mn chlorination. Chlorination degrees of Ca, Mg and Fe retained at relatively constant values (i.e., 99.8%, 5.2%, and 3.1%, respectively), irrespective of the dwelling time. In contrast, the dwelling time had a greater influence on the evaporation of chlorides, which can be seen from Figure 15. As little as only 17.0% FeCl\(_2\) evaporated with 0 h dwelling time, which increased dramatically to 98.5% after dwelling at 1000 °C for 1 h. This can be explained by the much higher vapor pressure of FeCl\(_2\) at 1000 °C, leading to its near-complete evaporation. Increase in the evaporation of MnCl\(_2\) and MgCl\(_2\) can also be observed with prolonged dwelling.

After prolonged dwelling for 4 h, the main phases after water-leaching are metallic Fe, magnesia MgO, olivine-type \(\text{Mg}_{2-x}\text{Mn}_x\text{SiO}_4\), and minor amounts of magnesio-aluminosilicate (i.e., pyrope, \(\text{Mg}_3\text{Al}_2(\text{SiO}_4)_3\)), based on SEM (Figure 16) and XRD analyses. As seen from Figure 16, Metallic Fe particles are relatively large, with their particle sizes generally in the range of 100–200 \(\mu\)m. MgO particles are smaller in size, measuring less than 50 \(\mu\)m.
Figure 14. Degree of chlorination vs. reaction time at 1000 °C with the addition of 20 wt% CaCl$_2$ and 40 wt% MgCl$_2$.

Figure 15. Evaporation degrees of metal chlorides vs. reaction time at 1000 °C with the addition of 20 wt% CaCl$_2$ and 40 wt% MgCl$_2$.

Figure 16. BSE images (a, ×450; b, ×1750) of the reduced product (after water-leaching) from reduction with the addition of 20 wt% CaCl$_2$ and 40 wt% MgCl$_2$ at 1000 °C for 4 h (MFe: metallic Fe; Ol: olivine-type Mg$_2$-xMn$_x$SiO$_4$; Pr: pyrope, Mg$_3$Al$_2$(SiO$_4$)$_3$).

3.6. Influence of the Presence of Water

The influence of the presence of water was also investigated by pre-mixing the ore with 18 wt% graphite, 20 wt% CaCl$_2$, 40 wt% MgCl$_2$ and varying amounts of water (0–10 wt% of the ore), followed by heating at 1000 °C for 2 h. The degrees of chlorination were quantified, and plotted in Figure 17a. It is obvious that the presence of water was detrimental to the chlorination of Mn species from the ore, evidenced by a significant decrease of Mn chlorination from 79.8% to 46.3% in the presence of 10 wt%
water. This was likely due to the consumption of the chlorinating agent MgCl₂ by H₂O when heated, which can be represented by Equation (17). The resulting gaseous HCl would evolve quickly from the sample mixture and be carried away by the purging Ar gas, before effective chlorination of the ore took place. Furthermore, it appears that less amounts of metallic Fe have formed (Figure 17b) with the addition of water, compared to the case without water addition (Figure 13).

\[
\text{MgCl}_2(l) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(g) = \text{MgO}(s) + 2\text{HCl}(g)
\]

(17)

**Figure 17.** (a) Recovery Mn and Fe by water-leach from solid products following reduction at 1000 °C with the addition of 20 wt% CaCl₂, 40 wt% MgCl₂ and varying amounts of water, and (b) BSE image of the reduced product with the addition of 10 wt% water (MFe: metallic Fe).

### 3.7. Proposed Flow Sheet

Based on the experimental results, the flow sheet for the processing of high-Fe manganese ore was tentatively suggested, which is shown in Figure 18. With the addition of 18 wt% graphite as the carbonaceous reductant, 20 wt% CaCl₂ and 40 wt% MgCl₂ as the chlorination agent, simultaneous selective chlorination and carbothermic reduction of high-iron manganese ore can be achieved after reduction at 1000 °C for 1 h. As much as 89.4% of Mn can be recovered by water-leaching the product, with minimal dissolution of only 3.0% Fe. The leach residue contains relatively large metallic Fe particles, which can be effectively recovered by magnetic separation. Bearing high concentrations of Mn²⁺, Ca²⁺, and minor amounts of Fe²⁺, the leachate can be treated with carbonate precipitation after iron removal, for the precipitation and recovery of Mn²⁺ in the form of MnCO₃. Selective carbonate precipitation of Mn²⁺ with the addition of MgCO₃ is possible at a pH of approximately 8.5, indicated by Equations (18) and (19) [34]. Equation (19) suggests that, during the carbonate precipitation of Mn²⁺ from the solution, Ca²⁺ would not co-precipitate (in the form of CaCO₃), as long as the concentration of Mn²⁺ is still higher than 1/224 of the Ca²⁺ concentration, determined by the equilibrium constant \( K \). Preliminary experiments on the selective carbonate precipitation were conducted, confirming its feasibility.

\[
\text{MgCO}_3 + \text{Mn}^{2+} = \text{MnCO}_3 + \text{Mg}^{2+} \quad (\text{log}K = 5.48)
\]

(18)

\[
\text{CaCO}_3 + \text{Mn}^{2+} = \text{MnCO}_3 + \text{Ca}^{2+} \quad (\text{log}K = 2.35)
\]

(19)

The solution produced from carbonate precipitation would be enriched in MgCl₂ and CaCl₂, both of which can be recovered in their solid form for re-use (Figure 18), thereby significantly lowering the material cost of the proposed process. Theoretically, MgCl₂ and CaCl₂ can be completely recycled within the process without any consumption. The overall process would only require the input of carbonaceous reductant and MgCO₃, in addition to the ore, producing high purity MnCO₃ and metallic Fe powders. This represents an innovative and cost-effective approach for the processing of high-Fe manganese ores in an environmentally friendly manner.
4. Conclusions

Aiming at achieving selective chlorination of Mn and selective metallization of Fe in a simultaneous manner, the high-Fe manganese ore was reduced using graphite powders at 1000 °C in the presence of CaCl₂ and MgCl₂. Based on the experimental results, the following can be concluded.

1. Simultaneous chlorination of Mn and metallization of Fe from the high-Fe manganese ore is feasible. With the addition of 18 wt% graphite as the carbonaceous reductant, 20 wt% CaCl₂ and 40 wt% MgCl₂ as the chlorinating agent, heating the manganese ore at 1000 °C for 1 h resulted in the selective chlorination of Mn reaching as much as 89.4%, with the Fe chlorination of only 3.0%. Most Fe oxides in the ore were reduced to the metallic form, with the particle sizes generally in the range of 100–200 µm, allowing subsequent easier separation and upgrading of the metallic Fe particles.

2. The presence of CaCl₂ greatly accelerated the selective reduction of Fe oxides to their metallic form. In addition, it promoted the formation of relatively large metallic Fe particles, facilitating their subsequent separation. In the absence of MgCl₂, CaCl₂ alone could selectively chlorinate Mn only to a limited degree. The chlorination involved reacting with quartz which was present in the Mn ore as gangue, forming calcium silicates and MnCl₂ (Equations (10)–(12)).

3. MgCl₂ was a stronger chlorinating agent comparing to CaCl₂. Selective chlorination of Mn to a high degree was possible in the presence of MgCl₂, in which case the presence of CaCl₂ did not contribute to the chlorination. However, addition of excessive amounts of MgCl₂ would lead to gradual increase in the chlorination of Fe without further improving the Mn chlorination degree. Chlorination using MgCl₂ would preferably involve reacting with quartz forming magnesium silicates and MnCl₂ Equations (13) and (14). Once the quartz was consumed completely, direct chlorination of MnO by MgCl₂ would take place (Equation (15)).

4. The presence of water was detrimental to the selective chlorination of Mn from the ore, likely due to the presence of HCl-generating reaction (Equation (17)) competing for MgCl₂.
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