Evaluation of effects of selenium nanoparticles on *Bacillus subtilis*
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Introduction

Due to the phenomenon of dimensional effect of their size nanomaterials have unique new properties that distinguish them from macro- and microparticles (Pourri et al., 2017; Naito et al., 2018). A significant increase in the surface-to-volume ratio leads to an increase in the function and reactivity of atoms located on the surface of nanoparticles. Among nanoparticles, metal nanoparticles attract great attention due to their catalytic, absorptive, optical properties and especially biological and medical methods of application (Bityutskyy et al., 2017; Naito et al., 2018; Tsekhmistrenko et al., 2018).

Over the past decades, research on the development of environmentally friendly processes for the production of biogenic metal nanoparticles, metal oxides and metalloids, including the redox active nano-selenium metalloid, received great attention as an alternative to time-consuming physicochemical methods, which are expensive and environmentally unacceptable due to the effects of organic solvents used for the synthesis of nanostructures (Pourri et al., 2017; Tsekhmistrenko et al., 2017).

In the presence of high metal concentrations microorganisms have developed specific adaptation mechanisms to reduce their toxicity, which included a change in the chemical nature of the metal. This leads to the formation of nanoparticles of the corresponding metal, which are a "by-product" of the adaptive protection mechanism. Such "green" synthesis can be used as an alternative to the physical and chemical methods used to obtaining them (Partidos & Horstfall, 2014). The development of selenium-enriched probiotics has attracted tremendous interest because of the properties of selenium, (Se) which is included in at least 30 selenoproteins which support the activity of immune system and influence life expectancy. Selenium is a constituent of glutathione peroxidase (Birk, 1983), thyroid reductase (Melodi et al., 2013), and selenocysteine of the 21st amino acid, which is involved in the biosynthesis of cysteine (Cys) and oxidation-reducing reactions, both in humans and bacteria.

However, there is a narrow range between the concentration of Se, at which it is beneficial, and that at which it has a toxic effect. The daily norm of Se, in the composition of selenocysteine, is 60 μg/day for women and 70 μg/day for men (Melodi et al., 2013). In high concentrations (900 μg/day), selenium becomes extremely toxic (Böck et al., 1991). Selenium preparations in the form of L-selenomethionine become rapidly and completely absorbed by the organism, causing minimal side effects. Selenomethionine (Se-Met) takes the position of methionine in various proteins and provides regulation of the endocrine system, though Se-Met is not synthesized in the body (Schnauzer, 2003). That is why great attention is currently being paid to bioavailable forms of Se, including bioselenium which can be obtained using probiotic microorganisms.

*B. subtilis* attract much attention in the biomedical field and is used as an approach of detoxification of selenates in selenite, and reduction of selenium and the formation of elemental selenium (SeO) or selenium nanoparticles (SeNPs) (Garbisu et al., 1996; Garbisu et al., 1999; Yu et al., 2018). *B. subtilis* is capable of carrying out oxidation/reduction reactions due to specific selenoenzyme – selenium methyltransferase and selenium oxide reductase, which have some homology with arsenate reductase of *B. subtilis* 168 (Stolz & Oremland, 1999; Stolz et al., 2006).
bacteria of *B. subtilis* species were shown to have NiFs (nitrogenification) homologues of the *Azotobacter vinelandii* (Sun & Setlow, 1993) system. NiFs homologues play a general role in the mobilization of sulfur to synthesize the "nitrogen-fixing" system of Fe-S clusters that support intracellular redox homeostasis (Ayala-Castro et al., 2008). The NiFs gene clusters from prokaryotes and eukaryotes exhibited a high degree of sequencing, although genes have a variety of functions. The presence of a NiFs-like gene was found for bacteria of the species *Lactobacillus delbrueckii bulgaricus*. Thus, the product of the NiFs-like *L. d. bulgaricus* gene, according to the sequences, was 33% homologous to the product of the NiFs gene *B. subtilis* (Leong-Mongenthaler et al., 1994). The presence of the NiFs-like gene of *L. d. bulgaricus* is of interest because the NiFs protein *A. vinelandii*, together with selenocysteine, is part of the Se(0) generating system which can replace selenide for biosynthesis of selenophosphate in *vitro* (Stadtman, 1974; Lacourcière & Stadtman, 2000). Thus, the research has shown that *L. d. bulgaricus* LB-12 displayed the property of concentrating Se intracellularly in the form of nanoparticles (Palomo-Sigüero & Madrid, 2017). The presence of nitrogen-fixing NiFs-like genes in *A. vinelandii* and nitrogen non-fixing NiFs-like genes in *B. subtilis*, *L. bulgaricus* and *S. cerevisiae* suggests that the products of the NiFs gene have been preserved during evolution. Some NiFs homologues were shown to catalyze the same type of reaction, that is, the removal of sulfur or selenium atom from L-cysteine or L-selenocysteine to produce L-alanine. That is, NiFs proteins act on both L-cysteine and L-selenocysteine (Lacourcière & Stadtman, 1998).

At the same time, several enzymes involved in sulfur metabolism also affect selenium analogues of substrates (Sun & Setlow, 1993). Thus, among the NiFs proteins, including selenocysteine lyase (SCL) 1 (EC 4.4.1.16) and cysteine desulfurase, particularly SCL is considered as an enzyme that exclusively decomposes L-selenocysteine. The studies revealed the role of selenocysteine (Se-Cys) in the synthesis of specific selenium enzymes (Böck, 2001). Thus, selenoproteins, such as formate dehydrogenase from *Escherichia coli*, contain residues of selenocysteine (Zinoni et al., 1986). Selenocysteityl-tRNA Sec is necessary for the biosynthesis of these selenoproteins (Forchhammer et al., 1989).

Selenophosphate is a highly reactive selenium-containing compound and functions as a donor of selenium for the production of selenocysteyl-tRNA Sec (Lee et al., 1989). Selenophosphate is synthesized from selenide and ATP, the reaction catalyst is selenophosphate synthetase (Mullins et al., 1997). Recently Lacourcière & Stadtman (1998) have found that the replacement of selenide with NiFs and L-selenocysteine in the analysis of selenophosphate synthetase in *vitro* led to an increase in the rate of selenophosphate formation, indicating that under the action of NiFs selenium derived from L-selenocysteine is a better substrate than selenide for selenophosphate synthetase. That is, for *E. coli*, the selenium donor necessary for the conversion of sereine to selenocysteine -tRNA Sec was selenophosphate. Selenophosphate is a rich energy source, where the selenium trace element is directly linked to the phosphorus atom (Glass et al., 1993).

The research revealed that *E. coli* can produce specific protein types (AdhP, Idh, OmpC and AceA) which are related to the synthesis of SeNPs and responsible for generating homogenous SeNPs (Dobias et al., 2011). In addition, these numerous groups of microorganisms can reduce the toxic soluble forms of Se(VI)/Se(IV) to less toxic insoluble SeO2 visible as red nanoparticles – SeNPs. It turned out that SeNPs obtained using microorganisms have a negative zeta potential which causes a small tendency towards agglomeration, i.e. SeNPs exhibited greater resistance to maintaining the degree of dispersion (Shirsat et al., 2015).

Among the bacteria which can reduce Se (IV), the distribution of alpha-, beta-, gamma-, delta-proteobacteria and Firmicutes was performed (Shirsat et al., 2015; Tan et al., 2016; Lampis et al., 2012). Selenium metabolism occurs with selenophosphate synthetase (Veres et al., 1994), and selenocysteine synthase (Seeky et al., 1976; Forchhammer & Böck, 1991). Selenophosphate synthetase generates a selenium donor for the SeCys biosynthesis by eubacteria. The enzymes of SeCys are involved in bio-synthetic pathways of eukaryotes and archaea, where an additional step is present in comparison with eubacteria, where seryl-tRNA(Ser)Sec phospho-diphosphorilizes into phosphoseryl-tRNA(Ser)Sec by means of phosphoseryl-tRNA(Ser)Sec kinase, with the formation of selenocysteyl-tRNA(Ser)Sec which is catalyzed by selenocysteine synthase (SecS) (Yuan et al., 2006). Se-Cys can be metabolized by the pyridoxal phosphate-dependent selenocysteyllyase proteins for release of elemental selenium (Lacourcière & Stadtman, 1998).

Thus, SeNPs are formed not only in aerobic and anaerobic conditions, but also appear in the cytoplasm, periplasm or outside cells of different bacteria (Nanchanaraih & Lens, 2015), including different mechanisms of Se(IV) – reduction. Generated by microorganisms, Se0 or SeNPs can be released by cells, by lysis of cells, or by vesicles (Kessi & Hanselmann, 1999). In the biological aspect, bacteria of the species *B. subtilis* are a subject of interest because of the fact that when aerobically grown, in the presence of selenite, they can form spherical SeNPs (Glass et al., 1993; Elzez et al., 2009). Various hypotheses were proposed to describe the mechanism of microbial synthesis of SeNPs. This, first of all, is a two-stage recovery process from SeO4 −2 to SeO3 −2 with the subsequent accumulation of insoluble elemental selenium Se0, with the help of catalysts – selenium and selenium reductases (Wadhawan et al., 2016).

Thus, gram-positive bacteria and gram-negative microorganisms may have different mechanisms of selenite-reducing capacity (Newton & Fahy, 1989; Kessi & Hanselmann, 2004). However, a prerequisite for high tolerance to selenite may be the high cytoplasmic level of disulfide-bearing molecules that function as catalysts for the recovery of disulfides and a functional system of protection against oxidative stress. Oxidation of a number of organic substrates (eg. acetate, lactate, pyruvate, glycerol and ethanol) or hydrogen may be associated with the reduction of anserate and selenate, but the actual donor used is different from species to species. The microbial reduction of SeO3 −2 is known to take place in a wide range of microorganisms. Bacterial synthesis of SeNPs is often achieved by a reduction step where SeO3 −2 is an intermediate product. *B. subtilis* has been reported for the production of biogenic spherical shaped zero-valent SeNPs with diameters ranging from 50 to 400 nm (Wang et al., 2010). Periplasmic SER (selenate reductase) and membrane-bound anerases of microorganisms contain molybdenum (Stolz & Örlemann, 1999).

The system of detoxification of selenium bacteria of the species *B. subtilis* does not depend on the acceptors of the electrons of nitrite or sulfate, but depends on the substrate. For *B. subtilis*, the accumulation of Se0 granules in the cell or between the cell wall and the plasma membrane is characteristic (Garbisu et al., 1996).

However, the presence of granules of selenium was observed temporarily during balanced growth of *B. subtilis* cells which were adapted to the selenite-containing medium; the presence of deposits for selenium was not obvious. For the formation of SeNPs deposits after adding 1 mM solution of selenite to *B. subtilis* cultivation medium, creating a balanced growth of cells is not necessary. The appearance and formation of SeNPs can cause lysozyme treatment of *B. subtilis* (Garbisu et al., 1999). The lack of accumulation of SeNPs in the internal compartments of *B. subtilis* can contribute to the accumulation of selenoenzymes. The property of cultures of *B. subtilis* to accumulate Se0 in the form of SeNPs in the internal cellular compartment, in the reductive dissimilation of selenite can be used not only for biological purification, but also for SeNPs products. It should be noted that microbial reductions of selenium compounds occur both in the periplasmic space (intracellular) and extracellular space (Örlemann et al., 2004) with the formation of biogenic elemental selenium nanoparticles BioSeNP that are stable and remain in the colloidal suspension for weeks (Esawyah et al., 2016).

Naturally, microorganisms can assimilate elemental selenium Se0 (Verma et al., 2008; Palomo-Sigüero & Madrid, 2017) and form selenium organic compounds Se-Cys, Se-Met (Calomme et al., 1995; Stolz & Örlemann, 2002; Stolz & Örlemann, 2006; Palomo-Sigüero & Madrid, 2017).

That is why it is necessary to reveal the effects of selenium nanoparticles on the biological properties of *B. subtilis* IMV B-7392, which is genetically related to *B. subtilis* 168 (Banerjee & Hansen, 1998; Klein et al., 1992) for the development of the novel biological BioSeNP as food supplements, forage additives or plant nutrients.

Therefore, the objective of this work was to characterize the aqueous selenium citrate solution (Nano-Se) and its interaction with *B. subtilis* IMV B-7392 for development of probiotic nano-selenium-containing products.
Materials and methods

Characterizations of Nano-Se was carried out using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and UV-spectra were also obtained. The aqueous selenium citrate solution Nano-Se (TU 15.8-3529116-006:2009) was obtained by ablation of selenium granules and supplied by the LLC "Nanomaterials and Nanotechnologies" of Kyiv (Kosinov et al., 2008), in the concentration of 0.2 mg/mL.

Bacterial strain and growth conditions. B. subtilis IMV B-7392 was deposited in the collection of cell cultures at the Zabolotny Institute of Microbiology and Virology. B. subtilis IMV B-7392 was grown on meat-peptone agar (MPA). Daily cultures of B. subtilis IMV B-7392 in the doses (10^6–10^8 cells/mL) were used in the work, using optical density standards. Culture B. subtilis IMV B-7392 was grown on meat-peptone agar (MPA) in presence and absence of Nano-Se (0.2 × 10^-3 mg/mL) aerobically with agitation speed of 240 rpm in the temperature of 30°C for 48 hours, followed by centrifugation at 6000 rpm for 10 mins. For prolonged storage, lyophilization of biomass of B. subtilis culture was performed with control of bacterial purity of the preparations. The amount of viable cells obtained during the lyophilization of biomass in the presence and absence of Nano-Se (0.2 × 10^-3 mg/mL) or Na2SeO3 (0.01 mg/mL) with cryoprotectant was (1.2 ± 0.02) × 10^8 cells/mL.

TEM Measurements of Nano-Se. TEM analyses were carried out to study size and shape of Nano-Se. The Nano-Se suspension (0.02 mg/mL) was applied on carbon-coated copper transmission electron microscope (TEM) grids and air-dried. The size of the particles was measured manually.

Preparation of B. subtilis for TEM. B. subtilis IMV B-7392 cells were grown by daily transfer in MPA incubated at 37 °C and then were taken by using a sterile inoculating loop and put into the sterile 0.15 M NaCl solution. B. subtilis in the doses of 10^6 cells/mL were maintained in 0.15 M NaCl for 30 minutes, in the absence and presence of Nano-Se 0.2 × 10^-3 mg/mL each sample was applied to the grids and dried.

TEM analyses were performed to confirm Nano-Se uptake by B. subtilis IMV B-7392 and visualization of the Nano-Se effects on morphology of B. subtilis IMV B-7392 cells with the use of a JEM-1400 electron microscope (Japan).

UV-VIS measurements of Nano-Se were performed with Specord spectrophotometer using 1 cm cuvettes. All absorbance measurements were performed in 20–25°C.

Determination of the property of Nano-Se to enhance the production of metabolites was carried out in 0.15 M NaCl by exposure to B. subtilis daily cultures (10^6 cells/mL) in the presence and absence of Nano-Se 0.2 × 10^-3 mg/mL (37 °C for 24 hours). The obtained Nano-Se and the supernatants’ protein content of exometabolites of B. subtilis were observed by measuring the UV – visible spectrum with Specord spectrophotometer using a quartz cuvette (length of 1 cm).

In the supernatants the protein content of exometabolites was determined by measuring the UV – Visible spectrum at λ = 235 and 280 nm using the formula:

\[ C = \frac{A_{280} - A_{235}}{2.51}, \]

where, the C is protein concentration (mg/mL), A is the absorption maximum at λ, and 2.51 – coefficient expressing the average ratio of the extinction coefficient (E) at λ_{280} and λ_{235} nm.

The spectrophotometric assay allowed us to determine the amount of protein-containing substances by absorption in the ultraviolet region of the spectrum in the samples.

The results of the experiments were statistically processed taking into account Student’s coefficients for n = 5. The calculations were performed using OriginLab Pro 8 software (Glanz, 1998). Differences with P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Characterizations of Nano-Se. TEM analysis of the selenium nanocarboxylates indicated the presence of Nano-Se (Fig. 1). The TEM image of Nano-Se shows monodisperse spherical shape and size of 4–8 nm.

The Nano-Se was characterized by UV–Vis spectroscopy. Using the spectrophotometric method, the spectrophotometry of nanoparticles of selenium was carried out. The study was performed on the basis of information on selenium, Se, atomic number 34, group 16. According to (Oudhia, 2012), the Nano-Se can be measured by using UV–Vis spectroscopy and calculation of the extinction coefficient according to the Beer-Lambert law \[ A = \varepsilon \times c \times L, \] where A is the optical density, \( \varepsilon \) – molar absorptivity for the dissolved substance at the specified wavelength (\( \lambda \)), c – molar concentration, L – light path length in centimeters.

![Fig. 1. TEM image of Nano-Se nanoparticles revealed size of particles 4-8 nm](image)

UV-Visible Spectrum colloidal solution Nano-Se exhibited absorption maxima at 210 nm (Fig. 2). The absorption peak at the value of 210 nm was in the ultra-violet region (\( \lambda_{	ext{max}} = 210 \text{ nm} \)). UV-VIS spectra of Nano-Se were obtained due to the properties of the 16 groups of elements of the Mendeleev table (Oudhia, 2012). Based on the received UV-VIS spectra, we can state that nanoparticles are not aggregated, and according to the Gaussian distribution have a spherical shape (Carotenuto et al., 2000; Selimi et al., 2015). The absorbance of the colloidal solution Nano-Se was measured after different time intervals for confirming the stability of the solution.

![Fig. 2. UV-VIS spectra of Nano-Se nanoparticle dilution](image)
Determinations of the property of Nano-Se to enhance the production of metabolites show that the exposure of B. subtilis to Nano-Se, in 0.15 M NaCl, in the UV-Vis region of the spectra, was accompanied by a significant increase in the accumulation of purified protein-containing exometabolites (Fig. 3).

For control samples, we determined that exposure of B. subtilis in the absence of Nano-Se was accompanied by accumulation of exometabolite proteins, the corresponding dilutions were 1 : 4, therefore the concentrations were 0.144 ± 0.01 mg/mL. Simultaneous study of the samples of probiotic culture B. subtilis, in the presence of Nano-Se, taking into account the dilution (1 : 10), was accompanied by the accumulation of the content of the metabolite proteins to 2.63 ± 0.02 mg/mL (P < 0.05).

That is, under the effect of Nano-Se, accumulation of protein-containing substances by culture B. subtilis IMV B-7392 increased by 18.2 times. Thus, according to spectral characteristics, we determined effective concentrations of Nano-Se for increase in the production of biologically active substances by B. subtilis IMV B-7392. Previously, we conducted work on the evaluation of effective concentrations of Nano-Se to modulate the enzymatic activity of phagocytes. The system of phagocytes showed the property of nano-selenium to activate the metabolic activity of phagocytes in optimal doses of Nano-Se 2 x 10^{-5} mg/mL (Tymoshok et al., 2017). Earlier, the determined optimal doses of Nano-Se for the activation of phagocytes were used to study the interaction of Nano-Se with probiotic culture of B. subtilis IMB B-7392. TEM analyses were performed to visualize the effect of Nano-Se on the morphology of B. subtilis IMV B-7392 cells to produce selenium-enriched probiotics.

Typical transmission electron micrographs for B. subtilis IMV B-7392, without exposure to nanoparticles, are shown in Figure 4. We found that B. subtilis IMV B-7392 cells had the shape of a rod, and due to the hard protective endospore, the electrons penetrated poorly, which indicates the normal, physiological condition of the cells (Fig. 4).

At the same time, the introduction of Nano-Se in the final concentration of 0.2 x 10^{-3} mg/mL to B. subtilis IMV B-7392 during exposures of 20 minutes was accompanied by change in the cell morphology. Morphological changes of B. subtilis after Nano-Se treatment. It was shown that Nano-Se first interacted with the outer membrane of the B. subtilis, after that the nanoparticles entered the cells (Fig. 4).

Thus, the treatment of B. subtilis IMV B-7392 with Nano-Se in the final concentration of 0.2 x 10^{-3} mg/mL, over 20 minutes exposition, was accompanied by change in the cell morphology. The addition of Nano-Se in the final concentration of 0.2 x 10^{-3} mg/mL to B. subtilis IMV B-7392 and 20-minute exposure was accompanied by change in cell morphology, adsorption of Nano-Se and uptake of Nano-Se by bacterial culture.

Electron micrographs (JEM-1400) of the morphological interaction between Nano-Se and B. subtilis IMV B-7392 (Fig. 5c, d, e) indicate Nano-Se 0.2 x 10^{-3} mg/mL absorption on cellular surface structures (Fig. 5a, b, f, g, h, i, j, k) indicate internal spherical structure of Nano-Se in B. subtilis IMV B-7392 cells. Some electron-dense granules appeared in the cytoplasm of B. subtilis IMV B-7392 after 30-min Nano-Se treatment. After exposure to Nano-Se 0.2 x 10^{-3} mg/mL the bacterial cells still maintained their integrity.

The effect of Nano-Se on the culture of B. subtilis IMV B-7392 was accompanied by changes in the size of bacteria, the formation of a binucleate cell with divided nucleoid (Fig. 4c, d). The interaction between Nano-Se and B. subtilis IMV B-7392 led to a temporary increase in porosity in the membrane, which was accompanied by the formation of bubbles (Fig. 5). Electron microscopic (JEM-1400) image of B. subtilis IMV B-7392 showed the interaction of Nano-Se with the cell surface and the presence of spherical Nano-Se in the internal cellular compartments during application of Nano-Se in the final concentration of 0.2 x 10^{-3} mg/mL. It has been established that the binding of Nano-Se to cell surface structures is accompanied by changes in the size of bacteria (Fig. 4c, d). We observed elongation of cells B. subtilis IMV B-7392 to > 5 μm, accompanied by the binding of Nano-Se to cell surface structures.

Interaction of B. subtilis IMB B-7392 with SeNPs in the concentration 0.2 x 10^{-3} mg/mL was not been associated with change in the growth of the bacterial culture.
Fig. 5. Transmission electron micrographs (JEM-1400) of the morphological interaction between Nano-Se and *B. subtilis* IMV B-7392: 1 – adsorption of Nano-Se nanoparticles; 2 – internalization of Nano-Se nanoparticles; 3 – formation of bubbles after damage to outer membrane of *B. subtilis* IMV B-7392 caused by Nano-Se
In particular, for the first time, we have described that the combinations of using Nano-Se and *B. subtilis* IMV B-7392 are accompanied by a significant increase in the output of biologically active substances (exometabolites). Nano-Se in the concentrations of $0.2 \times 10^{-3}$ mg/mL contributed to the loss of integrity of *B. subtilis* IMV B-7392, accompanied by higher cell permeability of cells and increase in the output of intracellular metabolites.

**Discussion**

The present study was designed to characterize the interaction of *B. subtilis* IMВВ B-7392 and Nano-Se for developing probiotic nano-selenium containing products. Transmission electron microscopy and spectroscopy analyses demonstrate that Nano-Se obtained by the method of selenium ablation have size ranging 4 - 8 nm. UV-Vis analysis of Nano-Se revealed that nanoparticles did not aggregate, and according to the Gaussian distribution, they had a spherical shape showing peak at 210 nm ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 210$ nm). The characteristics of these curves provide information about quantity of nanoparticles (Overschelde et al., 2013).

The similar UV-Vis absorption spectra were obtained by Cui et al. (2018) by green synthesis of selenium nanoparticles from hawthorn fruit extract (HE) and provided information on their UV-visible spectroscopy. Cui et al. (2018) considered HE-selenium nanoparticles as a candidate for further evaluation as a chemotherapeutic agent for human liver cancer.

Furthermore, *Bacillus cereus* produced selenium nanoparticles during selenite/selenate reduction through membrane-associated reductase(s) with formation of nanoparticles which had the intensity of UV-visible absorption at 420–450 nm (Pour et al., 2017).

Research has shown that exposure to *B. subtilis* IMV B-7392 in the presence of Nano-Se was accompanied by the rapid uptake of Nano-Se by bacterial culture. Representative transmission electron micrographs have shown the amounts of the electron-dense granules inside the cells of *B. subtilis* IMV B-7392. Similar results have been obtained by Kumar et al. (2011a). Their data demonstrate significant internalization of zinc oxide (ZnO) and titanium (IV) oxide (TiO$_2$) nanoparticles (NPs) in *Escherichia coli* in a dose-dependent manner. Internalization of ZnO NP to *E. coli* was observed rapidly after 60-min ZnO NP treatment and exhibited an increase indicating granularity. They have demonstrated that smaller NPs can enter the bacterial cells and can interact with the cellular macromolecules (Kumar et al., 2011a) but the mechanism through which the NPs could pass through the bacterial cell wall and membranes is still unknown.

Increase in the granularity of *S. typhimurium* TA98 cells treated with ZnO NPs or TiO$_2$ NPs was shown by Kumar et al. (2011b). They demonstrated the uptake of ZnO NPs or TiO$_2$ NPs in *S. typhimurium* using flow cytometry. The TEM studies have proven the internalization of these NPs in *S. typhimurium* TA98 cells. Scanning electron microscopy coupled with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDS) confirmed the protein coating over NPs (Kumar et al., 2011b).

A similar observation was made by Kloepfer et al. (2005), they have proven the property of *B. subtilis* to uptake nanosized CdSe and CdSe/ZnS Quantum Dots (QD) conjugates in the bacteria through purine-dependent mechanisms. The studies showed that incubation of *B. subtilis* cells with bare CdSe QD conjugates in the room light is accompanied by elongation of cells to >10 μm in many cases, reflecting decreased rates of cell division (Sargent, 1975; Kloepfer et al., 2005; Javed et al., 2015). For genetically related *B. subtilis* 168/S, the length of the cells was found to be dependent on the growth rates, and is known for mononuclear, binucleate, and Cross-wall separation cells (Sargent, 1975; Burdett et al., 1986). However, under the influence of Nano-Se, a change in the size of *B. subtilis* IMV B-7392 occurred. Figure 4c, d shows a binucleate cell with divided nucleoid; this cell corresponds to stage 3 – binucleate cells (Burdett et al., 1986). Elongation of *B. subtilis* cells under the effect of very low concentrations of Cd led to the inhibition of DNA repair enzymes, which can inhibit cell division (Hartwig et al., 2002). Research on the interaction of nanoparticles and bacterial cells revealed that NPs can interact with the bacterial membrane due to electrostatic interactions (Thill et al., 2006). The interaction of NPs and bacterial cells can lead to loss of membrane integrity. Thus, similarly to interaction of NPs of titanium oxide and...
E. coli (Selimi et al., 2015), the interaction between B. subtilis IMV B-7392 and Nano-Se was accompanied by an increase in the permeability of the membrane (Fig. S), but at this stage the effects are reversible, since the cell viability is maintained. According to spectrometry, an increase in the output of biologically active substances is observed (Fig. 2b). Therefore, the incubation of B. subtilis IMV B-7392 with Nano-Se for 30 minutes changes the surface of bacterial cells. Cells are well visible by TEM. In addition, similarly to B. subtilis interactions with T2DNPb (Joardar et al., 2016), bubble formation was observed on the cell surface (Fig. S).

Therefore, the penetration of positively charged NPs into negatively charged bacterial cells is crucial for the activity of NPs as bactericidal materials. However, the penetration of positively charged NPs into B. subtilis cells is likely to disrupt the integrity of the membrane, which leads to the formation of bubbles. Similar results were obtained (Selimi et al., 2015), showing that direct contact between titanium oxide and a bacterial cell increases the permeability of the membrane, but at this stage, the effects are reversible. We obtained similar data and the penetration of Nano-Se into B. subtilis IMV B-7392 cells in the concentration of 0.2 × 10^{-7} mg/mL was not accompanied by the manifestation of bactericidal or bacteriostatic effects of Nano-Se on this culture.

It should be noted that while interacting with Nano-Se in the concentration (0.2 × 10^{-7} mg/mL), the growth of B. subtilis IMB B-7392 did not change. According to TEM, aerobic cultivation B. subtilis IMV B-7392 for 48 hours in the presence and absence of Nano-Se (0.2 × 10^{-7} mg/mL) was not accompanied with the morphological difference between the bacteria.

We have shown that Nano-Se were absorbed by B. subtilis IMV B-7392 and/or attached to their cellular structures rapidly within 30 minutes. Similar results were obtained by the authors (Javed et al., 2015) when cultivating B. subtilis at pH 7 and 37 °C with selenite, so over 30 minutes 23−25% reduction of selenite that was introduced into the culture medium occurred.

For B. subtilis, a characteristic feature is the presence of an induced system of selenite detoxification, and not the transfer of dissimilation electrons (Garbisu et al., 1995). It is known that glucose and sucrose can intensify the metabolism of Na2SeO3 in the case of the enrichment of nutrient medium with Na2SeO3 in the concentration of 1 mM. The range of concentrations of Na2SeO3 suitable for the growth of B. subtilis is from 0.6 μM to 5 mM (from 50 to 395 ppm selenium). In the presence of 0.6 μM selenite, B. subtilis removed 95% of Na2SeO3 from the nutrient medium (Paige et al., 2015).

So, the most optimal concentration of sodium Na2SeO3 for metabolism of culture B. subtilis IMB B-7392 was the concentration (0.01 mg/mL). Addition of high concentrations of Na2SeO3 to the incubation medium led to decrease in the growth of biomass of B. subtilis cells IMV B-7392. The property of B. subtilis to accumulate biomass in enrichment of the culture media (0.2 × 10^{-7} mg/L) with Nano-Se is due to the properties of the B. subtilis bacterium species towards Na2SeO3 metabolism (Dhevahi & Guransamy, 2014) and biotransformation of Na2SeO3 to Nano-Se (Wang et al., 2010; Paige et al., 2015; Chandramohan et al., 2018).

The presence of selenite in the culture medium of B. subtilis causes structural changes in cells, increase in the content of thioredoxin and the NADP-thioredoxin reductase enzyme associated with it (Garbisu et al., 1999; Nelersa et al., 2011). Selenite can contribute to the oxidation of thiol to sulfenic acid. Reverse transition thiol ←→ disulfide – is a fairly common biochemical redox process, during which a relatively stable disulfide bond forms. The property of selenite to influence the biochemical response of B. subtilis and the dithiol system determines its activity as a modulator of thio-redox-dependent systems that are responsible for supporting redox cell potentials.

The property of B. subtilis IMV B-7392 to uptake Nano-Se may be interesting considering the property of B. subtilis to reduce selenite in vitro by involving the thioredoxin system consisting of NADPH, thioredoxin reductase. Thioredoxin can reduce protein disulfides through redox-active dithiols. In B. subtilis, thioredoxin is induced in the presence of selenite (Garbisu et al., 1999; Nelersa et al., 2011). B. subtilis is a subgroup of microorganisms which has the property to metabolise selenium. The mechanism of selenite reduction in these bacteria may be similar to the one proposed for the proteobacteria. The reduction of disulfides can be catalyzed by selenols, which may be present in bacterial cells as secondary products of the selenium metabolism (Singh & Whitesides, 1991; Kessi & Hanselmann, 2004).

Selenite in B. subtilis can be reduced by bacillithiol (Kessi & Hanselmann, 2004), producing reduced organo-Se molecules (RS-SeSR) and the highly reactive superoxide radical anion (O2•−). Thioredoxin can consume O2•− and contributes to the conversion of RS-Se-SR, to elemental Se0 (Kessi & Hanselmann, 2004). The redox status of bacillithiol can be maintained by the thioredoxin/thioredoxin reductase system (Newton et al., 2009).

Thus, B. subtilis and some Lactobacillus species are considered as new selenium-metabolizing probiotics, which could convert selenium to selenoprotein (Sels) via selenide. Probiotic microorganisms can naturally synthesize selenium nanoparticles and assimilate exogenous nanoparticles. For Lactobacillus bacteria, the property to metabolize inorganic ions of selenium (IV) into Se-methylselenocysteine, Se-methionine and other unidentified forms was observed (Kurek et al., 2016).

The importance of developing new selenium-containing probiotics is due to the following facts: they can provide conversion of inorganic selenium into its organic forms (Jin et al., 2018). Se enters the food chain through plants and selenium-enriched yeasts and probiotics. Selenium can be present in the form of sodium selenate, L-selenomethionine, sodium selenite and selenious acid, as well as in the form of selenium-enriched microorganisms (Rayman, 2004).

Selenium performs protective functions in the body. Selenides provide active participation in redox and antioxidative processes, cell respiration, and synthesis of specific functional enzymes (Garbisu et al., 1999; Kessi & Hanselmann, 2004).

The antioxidant action of glutathione peroxidase family enzymes provides selective properties for the cardiovascular system. Deficiency of this element enhances the production of reactive oxygen types, synthesis of thromboxanes, increases platelet aggregation, and inhibits the reproduction of the prostacyclin-protective factor of endothelium. Selenium activates the cellular, humoral level of immunity, promotes nonspecific resistance of the body. Selenium deficiency in the body leads to a decrease in the functional activity of neutrophils and their number has a negative effect on the formation of antibodies, inhibits the activity of natural killer cells (Zee et al., 2016). The fact of penetration into the internal compartments of the bacterial cells of NPs has attracted the attention of specialists, since it opens up the possibility of development of new antimicrobial drugs on their basis in spite of the growing threat of antibiotic resistance.

However, the factors of interaction of NPs and bacteria, as well as the mechanisms of their antibacterial action are completely unclear (Paige et al., 2015). We have established the optimal concentration of Nano-Se (0.2 × 10^{-7} mg/mL) for increasing the output of biologically active substances of B. subtilis IMV B-7392. The property of B. subtilis IMV B-7392 to bioaccumulate sodium selenite and Nano-Se in optimal doses was revealed.

Using TEM, we determined that exposure to B. subtilis IMV B-7392 in the presence of Nano-Se is accompanied by the rapid uptake of Nano-Se by the bacterial culture during 30 min. Penetration of Nano-Se in the internal compartments is accompanied by local deformation and transient porosity in the membrane of B. subtilis IMV B-7392 without rupturing it.

Penetration of Nano-Se in B. subtilis IMV B-7392 is accompanied with a significant increase in the accumulation of protein exometabolites. It was important because Bacillus species are considered as primary producers of bioactive secondary metabolites (800 compounds), while lactobacilli produce a much smaller amount of secreted compounds compared to Bacillus species (Bérdy, 2005).

The property of Nano-Se to cross the cell wall determines their prospect for use as antimicrobial agents and combining them with antibiotics, including beta-lactam antibiotics and aminoglycosides. Prospects of combining Nano-Se and beta-lactam antibiotics are due to the property of beta-lactams to suppress the synthesis of bacterial cell walls, and their features, namely the hydrophilic structure, which complicates penetration into the cells (Li & Nikaido, 2004). The results indicate that B. subtilis IMV B-7392 could potentially be used as carriers for Nano-Se.

The property of B. subtilis to uptake Nano-Se determines the potential benefits of B. subtilis for methods of transport agents, as well as enhancing the property of Nano-Se to cross the bacterial membrane.
the output of biologically active substances and developing probiotic nano-selenium containing products.

Conclusions

The interaction of *B. subtilis* IMV B-7392 with Nano-Se leads to the rapid uptake of Nano-Se by the bacterial culture and transient porosity in the membrane without rupturing it. The optimal concentration of ScNPs for increasing the output of biological activity of *B. subtilis* has been revealed. The property of Nano-Se to cross the cell wall of *B. subtilis* IMV B-7392 is crucial for determining ways of action and development of new-generation therapeutics.

References

Ayala-Castro, C., Saini, A., & Ottten, F. W. (2009). Fe-S cluster assembly pathways in bacteria. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews, 72(1), 110–125.

Banerjee, S., & Hansen, J. N. (1988). Structure and expression of a gene encoding the precursor of subtilin, a small protein antibiotic. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 263(19), 9508–9514.

Böck, A. (2001). Selenium metabolism in bacteria. In: Selenium. Springer, Bosa-

Bityutskaya, V. V., Tkeshlakentseva, O. S., Tkeshlakentseva, S. I., Spivay, M. Y., & Shadura, U. M. (2017). Perspectives of certain nanoparticles in agriculture. The Animal Biology, 19(3), 9–17.

Bök, A. (2001). Selenium metabolism in bacteria. In: Selenium. Springer, Boston, pp. 7–22.

Bök, A., Forchhammer, K., Heider, J., Leinfelder, W., Sawers, G., Veprek, B., & Zinoni, F. (1991). Selenocysteine: The 21st amino acid. Molecular Microbiology, 5(3), 515–520.

Burdett, I. D., Kirkwood, T. B., & Whalley, J. B. (1986). Growth kinetics of individual *Bacillus subtilis* cells and correlation with nuclear extension. Journal of Bacteriology, 167(1), 219–230.

Burk, R. F. (1983). Biological activity of selenium. Annual Review of Nutrition, 3(1), 53–70.

Calomme, M. R., Van den Branden, K., & VandenBerghe, D. A. (1995). Selenium and *Lactobacillus* species. Journal of Applied Bacteriology, 79(3), 331–340.

Carette, G., Peps, G. P., & Nicolas, L. (2000). Preparation and characterization of nano-sized Ag/PVP composites for optical applications. The European Physical Journal B – Condensed Matter and Complex Systems, 16(1), 11–17.

Chandramohan, S., Sundar, K., & Muthukumaran, A. (2018). Monodispersed spherical shaped selenium nanoparticles (SeNPs) synthesized by *Bacillus subtilis* and its toxicity evaluation in zebrafish embryos. Materials Research Express, 5(2), 025020.

Cone, J. E., Del Rio, R. M., Davis, J. N., & Stadman, T. C. (1976). Chemical characterization of the selenoprotein component of clostridial glyceride reductase. Identification of selenocysteine as the organoselenium moiety of the selenoenzyme. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 73(6), 2659–2663.

Cui, D., Liang, T., San, L., Meng, L., Yang, C., Wang, L., Liang, T., & Li, Q. (2018). Green synthesis of selenium nanoparticles with extract of Hawthorn fruit induced HepG2 cells apoptosis. Pharmacological Biology, 5(6), 528–534.

Dhevatari, V., & Gurunarsamy, R. (2014). Factors influencing production of lipase under marine symbiotic environment by bacterial strain, *Bacillus subtilis* BDK-8. Journal of Environmental Biology, 35(5), 1151–1155.

Dobias, J., Suvorova, E. I., & Bernier-Latmani, R. (2011). Role of proteins in controlling selenium nanoparticle size. Nanotechnology, 22(19), 195605.

Esawaya, A. S., Smith, T. J., & Leighton, T. (1999). Microbial transformations of selenium species of relevance to bioremediation. Applied Environmental Microbiology, 55(1), 132–142.

Eswayaya, A. S., Smith, T. J., & Leighton, T. (1999). Microbial transformations of selenium species of relevance to bioremediation. Applied Environmental Microbiology, 55(1), 132–142.

Garbisu, C., Iishi, T., Leighton, T., & Buchanan, B. B. (1996). Bacterial reduction of selenite to elemental selenium. Chemical Geology, 132, 199–204.

Glass, R. S., Singh, W. P., Jung, W., Veres, Z., Scholz, T. D., & Stadman, T. (1993). Monooxido/nitrosoe phosphatase: Synthesis, characterization, and identity with the prokaryotic biological selenium donor, compound SePX. Biochemistry, 32(47), 12555–12559.

Hartwig, A., Asmaa, M., Eihleben, I., Herzer, U., Kostelic, D., Pelzer, A., Schwendtke, T., & Bürkle, A. (2002). Interference by toxic metal ions with DNA repair processes and cell cycle control. Molecular mechanisms. Environmental Health Perspectives, 110(suppl. 5), 797–799.

Javed, S., Sarwar, A., Tassawar, M., & Faisal, M. (2015). Conversion of selenite to elemental selenium by indigenous bacteria isolated from polluted areas. Chemical Speciation and Bioavailability, 27(4), 6324–6328.

Jin, W., Yoon, C., Johnston, T. V., Ku, S., & G. F. E. (2018). Production of selenium-nontoxic-iron-enriched *Bifidobacterium bifidum* BGN4 via sodium selenite biocatalysis. Materials, 23(11), 2860.

Joardar, S., Ray, S., Samanta, S., & Bhattacharjee, P. (2016). Antibacterial activity of 3-(6-dipyrindin-2-y1)-2,4,5-triazine capped Pd(II) nanoparticles against gram-positive *Bacillus subtilis* bacteria. Cogent Biology, 2(1), 1249232.

Kessi, J., & Hanselmann, K. W. (2004). Similarities between the abiotic reduction of selenite with glutathione and the dissimilatory reaction mediated by *Rho
dothis rubrum* and *Escherichia coli*. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 279(49), 50662–50669.

Kessi, J., Ramaz, M., Wehrli, E., Spiczyn, M., & Bachofen, R. (1999). Reduction of selenite and detoxification of elemental selenium by the phototrophic bacterium *Rhodobacter rubrum*. Applied Environmental Microbiology, 65(1), 4734–4740.

Klein, C., Kaletta, C., Schnell, N., & Entian, K. D. (1992). Analysis of genes involved in biosynthesis of the lantibioticsubtilin. Applied Environmental Microbiology, 58(1), 132–142.

Kloepfer, J. A., Mielec, R. E., & Nam, D. J. L. (2005). Uptake of CdSe and CdSe/ZnS quantum dots into bacteria via purine-dependent mechanisms. Applied Environmental Microbiology, 71(5), 2548–2557.

Kumar, A., Pandey, A. K., Singh, S. S., Shanker, R., & Dhawan, A. (2011a). A flow cytometric method to assess nanoparticle uptake in bacteria. Cryobiology, 5(7), 907–712.

Kumar, A., Pandey, A. K., Singh, S. S., Shanker, R., & Dhawan, A. (2011b). Cellular uptake and mutagenic potential of metal oxide nanoparticles in bacterial cells. Chemosphere, 83(8), 1124–1132.

Kurok, E., Rusaczyńska, A., Wojtachowski, M., Luznik, A., Michalska-Kacymirow, M., Motyl, I., & Bukiş, E. (2016). Bio- transformation of selenium in Se-enriched bacterial strains of *Lactobacillus casei*. Roczniki Państwowej Zakłady Higieny, 67(3), 253–262.

Lacourciere, G. M., & Stadman, T. C. (1998). The NIFS protein can function as a selenium delivery protein in the biosynthesis of selenophosphate. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 273(47), 39021–39026.

Lacourciere, G. M., Mihara, H., Kurihara, T., Esaki, N., & Stadman, T. C. (2000). *Escherichia coli* NIFS-like proteins provide selenium in the pathway for the biosynthesis of selenophosphate. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 275(31), 23769–23773.

Lampis, S., Zonaro, E., Bertolini, C., Coccioni, D., Monti, F., Micaroni, M., Turner, R. J., Butler, C. S., & Vallini, G. (2017). Selenite biotransformation and detoxification by *Seientrophomas multophilus* SelT02: Novel clues on the route to bacterial biogenesis of selenium nanoparticles. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 324, 3–14.

Lee, B. J., Wondard, P. J., Davis, J. N., Stadman, T. C., & Hatfield, D. L. (1989). Identification of a selenocysteyl-tRNA (Ser) in mammalian cells that recognizes the nonsense codon, UGA. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 264(17), 9724–9727.

Leong-Mongenthaler, P., Oliver, S. G., Hottinger, H., & Söll, D. (1994). A *Lactobacillus* nifs-like gene suppresses an *Escherichia coli* transaminase B mutation. Biochimie, 76(1), 45–49.

Li, X. Z., & Nikaido, H. (2004). Efflux-mediated drug resistance in bacteria. Drugs, 64(2), 159–204.

Mehdi, Y., Hornick, J. L., Istasse, L., & Dufrasne, I. (2013). Selenium in the environment, metabolism and involvement in body functions. Molecules, 18(3), 3292–3311.

Naito, M., Yokoyama, T., Hosokawa, K., & Nogi, K. (Eds.). (2018). Nanoparticle Biochemistry, 551.
