Cyclin-Dependent Kinase 5 Is Required for Associative Learning
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Memory formation requires a series of molecular processes, such as protein phosphorylation, gene expression, and de novo protein synthesis, leading to functional and structural changes of neuronal cells (Silva et al., 1997; Abel et al., 1998). Consolidation of memory is markedly enhanced by defined acute stressful experiences (Shors et al., 1992; Radulovic et al., 1999), indicating that molecular cascades elicited by stress responses interfere with those involved in information processing during learning (Silva et al., 1997; Serova et al., 1998). Notably, stress and learning may activate overlapping signaling pathways involving common protein kinases, immediate early genes, and delay–response genes (Cammarota et al., 2000). Therefore, the understanding of stress-induced molecular changes in the brain could lead to the elucidation of mechanisms underlying learning and memory.

Context-dependent fear conditioning is a rapidly acquired form of associative learning with a defined time window of memory consolidation. Therefore, it has been commonly used to study the brain regions, neuronal circuits, and molecular changes involved in associative learning. Context-dependent fear conditioning requires the coordinated activity of forebrain limbic structures involving, among others, the septohippocampal region (Maren and Fanselow, 1997). This brain area is also involved in long-lasting facilitation of fear conditioning by stress (Mark et al., 1996; Radulovic et al., 1999). We have previously demonstrated stress-enhanced fear conditioning in mice trained for 3 hr but not immediately after the end of acute immobilization, serving as a stressful stimulus (Radulovic et al., 1999). The same procedure did not result in contextual generalization or nonspecific increases of freezing (Radulovic et al., 1999). On the basis of the observed time course, we hypothesized that the effects of stress on learning might be mediated through alterations of septohippocampal gene expression.

To identify differentially expressed genes in response to stress, we compared hippocampal and septal mRNA of stressed mice with corresponding mRNA of control mice using subtractive hybridization. By this approach, Cdk5 was identified as a differentially expressed gene in the septum of stressed mice. The Cdk5 protein was selectively upregulated in septohippocampal neurons, as revealed by its colocalization with acetylcholinesterase and neurofilament 68. Cdk5 obtained from septohippocampal lysates of stressed mice was associated with its activator p35 and exhibited increased kinase activity. Regional microinjections of the Cdk5 inhibitor butyrolactone I into the septum or hippocampus profoundly impaired associative learning as well as its stress-induced facilitation.

Taking into account that so far, Cdk5 has been linked primarily to processes of cell differentiation and axonal growth, the demonstrated patterns of Cdk5 induction, localization, and function within the septohippocampal cholinergic system unravel the involvement of novel molecular cascades and processes underlying learning and memory.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects. Eight-week-old male BALB/c mice (Charles River Laboratories, Sulzfeld, Germany) were individually housed as described previously (Radulovic et al., 1999). Experiments were performed in accordance with the European Council Directive (86/609/EEC). The number of mice per group was 20 for mRNA preparations, 3–5 for protein production studies and kinase assays, and 8–12 for behavioral experiments.

Immobilization. Immobilization of mice consisted of taping their limbs to a Plexiglas surface for 1 hr (Radulovic et al., 1999). Control mice were left in their home cages and exposed to the same context without immobilization.

Cannulation and injections. Cannulation into the lateral brain ventricles, the lateral septum, and the hippocampus was performed as described previously (Radulovic et al., 1999). The Cdk5 inhibitor butyrolactone I [10 μM in 0.2% DMSO in artificial CSF (aCSF)] was injected (0.25 μl/side) 15 min before training or immobilization. Vehicle was
0.2% DMSO in aCSF. In some experiments, the same dose of butyro-lactone I was injected immediately or 3 hr after the training, as indicated. Only data obtained from mice with correctly inserted cannulas, as verified after methylene blue injection, were included in statistical analysis.

**Context-dependent fear conditioning.** Training consisted of a 3 min exposure of mice to the conditioning box (context) followed by a foot shock (2 sec, 0.7 mA, constant current) (Milanovic et al., 1998). The memory test was performed 24 hr later by re-exposing the mice for 3 min into the conditioning context. Freezing, defined as a lack of movement except for heart rate and respiration associated with a crouching posture, was recorded every 10 sec by two trained observers (one was unaware of the experimental conditions) during 3 min (a total of 18 sampling intervals). The number of observations indicating freezing obtained as a mean from both observers was expressed as a percentage of the total number of observations. Control groups of mice were exposed to the experimental conditions) during 3 min (a total of 18 sampling intervals).

**Tone-dependent fear conditioning.** Training consisted of a 3 min exposure of mice to the conditioning box (context), followed by a tone [30 sec, 10 kHz, 75 dB sound pressure level (SPL)] and a foot shock (2 sec, 0.7 mA, constant current) (Radulovic et al., 1999). The memory test was performed 24 hr later by exposing the mice for 3 min into a novel context followed by an additional 3 min exposure to a tone (10 kHz, 75 dB SPL). Freezing was recorded every 10 sec by two nonbiased observers as described above.

**Isolation of mRNA and subtractive hybridization.** The septum from immobilized and control mice was removed (60 mg tissue/group). The septum was cut in small pieces and homogenized in RLT buffer. The total RNA was extracted using RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The RNA was treated with RNase-free DNase and analyzed for integrity. The integrity of the RNA was assessed by electrophoresis on 1% formaldehyde agarose gel. Only RNA samples with no degradation bands were used for cDNA synthesis. cDNA was synthesized according to the SMART cDNA Synthesis Kit (Clontech, Cambridge, UK). Subtractive hybridization was performed according to the user’s manual of the Clontech PCR-Select cDNA Subtraction Kit using septal cDNA obtained from immobilized mice as tester probes and cDNA of control mice as driver probes with a tester-driver ratio of 1:100. A differentially expressed 312 bp fragment was identical to mouse Cdk5 described in the GenBank database (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool fileserver).

**Semiquantitative reverse transcription-PCR.** cDNA obtained as described above was used as a template for PCR with the following thermocycle: 30 sec at 94°C, 30 sec at 65°C, and 60 sec at 72°C. The antisense primer 5’-ACATCATTGCGAGGGAGGTGC-3’ and sense primer 5’-GATGACGATGAGGGTGTGCCAAGTT-3’ were used to obtain a 534 bp fragment of the cDNA sequence of the mouse Cdk5 gene. As an internal control for the quality of reverse-transcribed samples, a 706 bp fragment of mouse β-actin cDNA was amplified using 5’-AAGATGACCAGATCAITGTGACGAGC-3’ as a sense primer and 5’-CTGCTTGTGATCCACATCCTGCTGG-3’ as an antisense primer. Samples were analyzed on a 1.8% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide, and their intensity was densitometrically quantified (WinCam 2.2, Cybertech, Berlin, Germany).

**In situ hybridization.** Microtome sections of 20 μm thickness were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, followed by washing with PBS. The sections were then incubated for 5 min with 0.1 M triethanolamine (TEA), followed by incubation with 0.1 M TEA containing 0.5% acetic anhydride. Prehybridization was performed by incubating the sections in hybridization buffer [50% formamide, 2% blocking agent (Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany), 0.02% SDS, 0.1% sarcosyl/1× SSC] for 4 hr, followed by hybridization with a digoxigenin-labeled probe diluted in hybridization buffer for 16 hr at 48°C. After hybridization, the sections were incubated with RNaseA (10 μg/ml) and washed with 2× SSC and 0.2× SSC. After washing, the specimens were first incubated with 1% blocking agent/0.1 mM maleic acid, followed by an alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-digoxigenin antibody (Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany).

**Figure 1.** Upregulation of Cdk5 gene expression in response to acute immobilization. A, Differential screening of clones obtained after subtractive hybridization of septal cDNA. The Cdk5 fragment is marked with a circle. B, Representative RT-PCRs showing amplification of Cdk5 and β-actin cDNA fragments after 20, 25, and 30 cycles. Septal cDNA obtained at indicated time points after immobilization or from naive mice was used as a template. The Cdk5 signal was increased 30 min after the end of immobilization after 20 cycles of amplification. C, The RT-PCR signals for Cdk5 and β-actin obtained after 20 cycles of amplification were quantified densitometrically. A histogram from a representative measurement is presented. The data were obtained from RT-PCR performed in parallel for Cdk5 and β-actin for each time point. The results were replicated in three independent experiments. The values obtained for stressed mice were normalized against the values of naive mice. D, Representative in situ hybridization showing Cdk5 mRNA expression in the lateral septum of naive mice and mice stressed for 1 hr and killed 0.5 hr later. cc, Corpus callosum; ccx, cingulate cortex; sfi, septofimbrial nucleus; ls, lateral septum.
Figure 2. Upregulation of Cdk5 protein production in the septum and hippocampus of mice stressed for 1 hr and killed 0.5 hr later. A, Cdk5 protein levels in the septum at different time points after the end of immobilization. Left, Densitometric quantification of the immunostaining for Cdk5. The number of mice per group was three to five. Right, Representative immunostaining of the lateral septum. Scale bar, 200 µm. B, Cdk5 protein levels in the hippocampus at different time points after the end of immobilization. Left, Densitometric quantification of the immunostaining for Cdk5. The number of mice per group was three to five. Right, Representative immunostaining of the hippocampus. Arrows indicate main areas of Cdk5 production. Scale bar, 200 µm. Statistically significant differences: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.001 versus naive mice. CA1, Hippocampal subfield; D3V, dorsal third ventricle; DG, dentate gyrus; LSI, lateral intermediate septum; MS, medial septum; o, stratum oriens; r, stratum radiatum.
Comparison where appropriate. The results are presented as mean ± SEM.

RESULTS

Cdk5 is differentially expressed in the septohippocampal system of stressed mice

By using subtractive hybridization, we have detected differential expression of 10 gene fragments, including several uncharacterized genes as well as the immediate early genes c-fos and zif268. One of the cDNA fragments differentially expressed in the septum of stressed mice was identified as Cdk5. Consistently, a transient upregulation of mRNA coding for Cdk5 was detected by RT-PCR (Fig. 1A–C) and in situ hybridization (Fig. 1D) 30 min after the end of immobilization. Cdk5 gene expression returned to baseline within 1.5 hr, followed by a downregulation within 3–24 hr after immobilization (Fig. 1B,C). In agreement with previous studies, immunohistochemical analysis of Cdk5 production of naive mice revealed its predominant dendritic and axonal distribution (Tsai et al., 1993) in the arcuate hypothalamic nucleus, area postrema, cerebellum (Pigino et al., 1997), and white matter (Tsai et al., 1993), including the fimbria/fornix pathway.

The levels of Cdk5 in the neocortex, striatum (data not shown), hippocampus, and septum (Fig. 2A,B, naïve) were low or undetectable. In response to immobilization, a significant increase of Cdk5 protein production was detected in the lateral and medial septum, as well as the mediadorsal hippocampus, but not in other forebrain areas (Fig. 2A,B). The observed increases of Cdk5 mRNA and protein in response to stress were restricted to the caudal septal region (+0.6 to +0.38 mm anterior to the bregma) (Franklin and Paxinos, 1997).

Cdk5 activity is increased in septohippocampal cholinergic neurons of stressed mice

Cdk5 was upregulated in cholinergic neurons, as demonstrated by the finding that all neurons within the septohippocampal and fimbria–fornix fibers staining for Cdk5 also contained acetylcholinesterase (Fig. 3A). Neuronal localization was also confirmed by the finding that Cdk5 colocalized with neurofilament 68 but not with glial fibrillary acidic protein (Fig. 3B). Immunolabeling of brain tissue with Cdk5 and its activator p35 (Tsai et al., 1994) demonstrated overlapping signals in neuronal fibers (Fig. 3C). This subcellular distribution pattern implied that within the septohippocampal system, neuronal function might be modulated through the cytoplasmic kinase activity of the Cdk5/p35 complex. Consistently, association between Cdk5 and p35 was demonstrated by coimmunoprecipitation studies (Fig. 3D). Cdk5 immunoprecipitated from septohippocampal lysates of stressed mice exhibited high histone H1 kinase activity that was fully blocked by the Cdk5 inhibitor (Kitagawa et al., 1994) butyrolactone I (see Fig. 5F).

Inhibition of Cdk5 activity in the septum and hippocampus prevents stress-induced facilitation of context-dependent fear conditioning

Butyrolactone I selectively inhibits the kinase activities of Cdk1, Cdk2, and Cdk5 (Pigino et al., 1997). To determine whether Cdk1 and Cdk2 are produced in the septohippocampal system, we performed immunoblot analysis of septohippocampal lysates. Consistently with our previous observations, Cdk5 was upregulated in response to stress; however, we did not observe detectable protein levels of Cdk1 or Cdk2 in naive and stressed mice (Fig. 4A). On this basis, butyrolactone I was used as a selective antag-
Figure 4. Butyrolactone I prevents stress-induced facilitation of context-dependent fear conditioning. A. Immunoblot of septohippocampal lysates (30 μg/lane) stained with antibodies to Cdk1, Cdk2, and Cdk5. Note the positive signal for Cdk5 in mice stressed for 1 hr and killed 0.5 hr later, but not for Cdk1 or Cdk2 in naive or identically stressed mice. Positive controls consisted of spleen cell lysate (30 μg/lane) and epidermal growth factor-stimulated A431 cell lysate (20 μg/lane). B. Experimental design. C. Effect of butyrolactone I injected into the intermediate septum before stress and fear conditioning on freezing during the context-dependent memory test. Significant group differences (F(3,30) = 17.14; p < 0.001) were observed. D. Effect of butyrolactone I injected into the mediodorsal hippocampus before stress and fear conditioning on freezing during the context-dependent memory test. Significant group differences (F(3,30) = 8.673; p < 0.001) were observed. Post hoc analyses: *p < 0.05 versus nonstressed vehicle group; **p < 0.01 versus nonstressed vehicle group; ***p < 0.001, stressed butyrolactone I group versus stressed vehicle group.

Onset of stress-induced facilitation of context-dependent fear conditioning was observed.

Context-dependent memory test. Significant group differences (F(3,30) = 8.673; p < 0.001) were observed. Post hoc analyses: *p < 0.05 versus nonstressed vehicle group; **p < 0.01 versus nonstressed vehicle group; ***p < 0.001, stressed butyrolactone I group versus stressed vehicle group.

Figure 4. Butyrolactone I prevents stress-induced facilitation of context-dependent fear conditioning. A. Immunoblot of septohippocampal lysates (30 μg/lane) stained with antibodies to Cdk1, Cdk2, and Cdk5. Note the positive signal for Cdk5 in mice stressed for 1 hr and killed 0.5 hr later, but not for Cdk1 or Cdk2 in naive or identically stressed mice. Positive controls consisted of spleen cell lysate (30 μg/lane) and epidermal growth factor-stimulated A431 cell lysate (20 μg/lane). B. Experimental design. C. Effect of butyrolactone I injected into the intermediate septum before stress and fear conditioning on freezing during the context-dependent memory test. Significant group differences (F(3,30) = 17.14; p < 0.001) were observed. D. Effect of butyrolactone I injected into the mediodorsal hippocampus before stress and fear conditioning on freezing during the context-dependent memory test. Significant group differences (F(3,30) = 8.673; p < 0.001) were observed. Post hoc analyses: *p < 0.05 versus nonstressed vehicle group; **p < 0.01 versus nonstressed vehicle group; ***p < 0.001, stressed butyrolactone I group versus stressed vehicle group.

To determine whether transient activation of Cdk5 plays a role in the acquisition of conditioned fear, we measured the production of Cdk5 in the septohippocampal system at different time points after context-dependent fear conditioning. Control groups consisted of naive mice, mice exposed to the context without foot shock, and mice exposed to an immediate foot shock followed by context. The latter training conditions do not result in associative learning and were therefore used to delineate the impact of associative learning on Cdk5 production from the effects of non-associative learning and unconditioned stress responses to foot shock (Atkins et al., 1998; Milanovic et al., 1998). Unlike immobilization (Fig. 2A,B), the novel context or immediate foot shock (Fig. 5A–D) did not upregulate Cdk5, indicating that Cdk5 production depended on the type of stressor. Importantly, exposure of mice to a paired presentation of context and shock induced a transient increase of Cdk5 protein levels in the septum (Fig. 5A,B) and hippocampus (Fig. 5C,D) following a time course similar to the one described after immobilization (Fig. 2). Absence of Cdk5 changes in the control groups indicated that under these conditions, the observed effect was specific for associative learning. Moreover, the Cdk5 kinase activity was significantly increased in septohippocampal neurons after fear conditioning, although not to the same extent as in response to immobilization (Fig. 5E,F). The 10-fold increase of Cdk5 protein levels may seem inconsistent with the 25% increase of Cdk5 activity of the context–shock group. Note, however, that the immunohistochemical quantification was performed in defined sections of the lateral septum and hippocampus, whereas kinase activity was determined in total septohippocampal lysates containing Cdk5-positive as well as -negative areas. Furthermore, fourfold to fivefold increases of the levels of p35 were also observed 30 min after exposure to context–shock (p < 0.05) or immobilization (p < 0.05) (Fig. 5F), indicating that this Cdk5 activator could also contribute to the increase of Cdk5 kinase activity. Injection of butyrolactone I into the intermediate septum and mediodorsal hippocampus 15 min before training (Fig. 6A) significantly reduced the Cdk5 kinase activity in vivo 30 min after the training and impaired acquisition of conditioned fear (Fig. 6B). These treatments did not affect the exploratory behavior, locomotor activity, and burst to foot shock (data not shown). Furthermore, the same dose of butyrolactone I into the lateral brain ventricles (intracerebroventricular injection) was less effective than the described regional applications (Fig. 6B). Injections into neighboring regions such as the striatum did not exhibit any detectable effect (data not shown), further supporting the specific role of septohippocampal Cdk5 in memory consolidation. The described treatments did not affect tone-dependent fear conditioning (Fig. 6C,D), indicating a selective role of Cdk5 in contextual fear conditioning. Notably, the septum (Sparks and LeDoux, 1995) and hippocampus (Kim and Fanselow, 1992) are not required for tone-dependent fear conditioning. Contextual fear conditioning was significantly impaired by injection of butyrolactone I into the intermediate septum or mediodorsal hippocampus immediately after the training (Fig. 6F,G). However, injections performed 3 hr after the training did not affect contextual fear conditioning (Fig. 6F,G). The findings that Cdk5 production and the in vivo effect of butyrolactone I lasted <3 hr demonstrated that Cdk5 plays a role...
in the consolidation of contextual memory within a restricted time window after the training.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we show a novel pattern of Cdk5 regulation by demonstrating the transient increase of Cdk5 expression and function in response to environmental stimuli leading to the formation of associative learning or its facilitation. This finding was unexpected, in view of previous observations reporting Cdk5 upregulation in the adult CNS only after long-term manipulations, such as chronic electroconvulsive stimulation (Chen et al., 2000), FosB overexpression (Bibb et al., 2001), cocaine (Bibb et al., 2001) treatment, or apoptosis (Neystat et al., 2001). The finding that the time course of Cdk5 upregulation was similar to the one of inducible immediate early genes (Cole et al., 1989; Radulovic et al., 1998b) was also surprising, considering that the activity of other kinases involved in learning is regulated primarily by phosphorylation (Roberson and Sweatt, 2001). However, the recently observed upregulation of the KIKIAMRE kinase during associative learning (Gomi et al., 1999) is consistent with our results and may suggest a regulatory pattern typical for neuronal cdc2-related kinases.

Thus far, the role of protein kinases in memory consolidation has been ascribed primarily to their direct regulation of transcription (Silva et al., 1998; Abel et al., 1998; Roberson and Sweatt, 2001). Absence of Cdk5 in the nucleus and its cytoplasmic colocalization with neurofilament 68 suggest that Cdk5 affects learning through different mechanisms. The physiological activity of Cdk5 in the brain has been linked primarily to cytoskeletal phosphorylation, leading to neuronal migration (Ohshima et al., 1996; Chae et al., 1997), axon growth (Nikolic et al., 1998; Smith et al., 2001), and possibly neurosecretion (Matsubara et al., 1996; Fletcher et al., 1999). Notably, the demonstrated effects of Cdk5 in associative learning could involve processes similar to those described during development. Cdk5 activity significantly affects the cytoskeletal dynamics that were recently linked to morphological changes leading to long-term plasticity (Hatada et al., 2000). Moreover, modulation of the cytoskeleton affects the anchoring and function of nicotinic and muscarinic cholinergic

---

**Figure 5.** Context-dependent fear conditioning results in increased level and activity of septohippocampal Cdk5. A, Increased Cdk5 levels in the septum 30 min after fear conditioning. Mean density of three mice per group and representative photomicrograph (B). Scale bar, 200 μm. C, Increased Cdk5 levels in the hippocampus 30 min after fear conditioning. Mean density of three mice per group and representative photomicrograph (D). Scale bar, 400 μm. E, Comparison of histone H1 phosphorylation of Cdk5 immunoprecipitated 30 min after fear conditioning or immobilization. Septohippocampal lysates were tested in the presence or absence of butyrolactone I. F, Representative autoradiogram from the experiment described in E (above). Control indicates a sample with radioactivity only (without an immunoprecipitated lysate). Bottom panels, Representative immunoblot indicating p35 levels in the septohippocampal lysates used above to determine Cdk5 kinase activity. CA1, Hippocampal subfield; D3V, dorsal third ventricle; DG, dentate gyrus; LSI, lateral intermediate septum; MS, medial septum; **p < 0.01 versus naive; ***p < 0.001 versus naive; ¶p < 0.0001 versus corresponding group with butyrolactone I (10 μM).
Figure 6. Effect of butyrolactone I on context- and tone-dependent fear conditioning. A. Experimental design of pretraining injections. B. Effect of butyrolactone I injected intracerebroventricularly (i.c.v.), into the intermediate septum (i.s.), or into the mediodorsal hippocampus (i.h.) before training on freezing during the context-dependent memory test. C. Effect of butyrolactone I injected into the intermediate septum (i.s.) before training on freezing in a novel context and during the tone-dependent memory test. The treatment did not affect freezing in a novel context (t(1,123) = 1.003; p = 0.337) or the tone (t(1,123) = 0.529; p = 0.4809). D. Effect of butyrolactone I injected into the mediodorsal hippocampus (i.h.) before training on freezing in a novel context and during the tone-dependent memory test. The treatment did not affect freezing in a novel context (t(1,23) = 0.553; p = 0.4645) or the tone (t(1,23) = 1.74; p = 0.033). E. Experimental design of post-training injections. F. Effect of butyrolactone I injected into the intermediate septum (i.s.) immediately or 3 hr after the training on freezing during the context-dependent memory test. Butyrolactone I injected into the intermediate septum impaired fear conditioning (t(1,116) = 3.182) when applied immediately but not 3 hr after the training (t(1,12) = 0.027). Group comparisons: *p < 0.01 versus vehicle administered into the intermediate septum. G. Effect of butyrolactone I injected into the mediodorsal hippocampus (i.h.) immediately or 3 hr after the training on freezing during the context-dependent memory test. Butyrolactone I injected into the mediodorsal hippocampus impaired fear conditioning (t(1,14) = 5.958) when applied immediately but not 3 hr after the training (t(1,14) = 0.033). Group comparisons: *p < 0.01 versus vehicle administered into the intermediate septum.
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