Effect of Flask Closure Method and Post-Pressing Time on the Displacement of Maxillary Denture Teeth
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Abstract: The purpose of this study was to verify the influence of flask closure methods and post-pressing times on tooth displacement in maxillary dentures. Twenty similar maxillary dentures were made using a heat-polymerized acrylic resin, and randomly assigned to 4 experimental groups (n=5) according to the protocol: 1- conventional flask closure and immediate polymerization; 2- conventional flask closure and polymerization after 6-hour post-pressing time; 3- RS flask closure system and immediate polymerization; and 4- RS flask closure system and polymerization after 6-hour post-pressing time. Three transverse and 2 anteroposterior linear distances between teeth were measured before and after the denture processing with an optical microscope with accuracy of 0.0005mm. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s test were performed to compare the results. The 6-hour post-pressing time (conventional = 0.44mm and RS = 0.33mm) showed no statistically significant difference in tooth movement, in relation to the flask closure methods, when compared to the immediate time (conventional = 0.40mm and RS = 0.28mm). The RS system standardized the tooth displacement, independently of the post-pressing times. Dimensional changes were more uniform when the dentures were submitted to the 6-hour post-pressing time and RS flask closure method, suggesting that these factors may reduce the magnitude of tooth movement.
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INTRODUCTION

Artificial tooth displacement during complete denture processing changes the harmonious occlusal scheme achieved at the final try-in stage [1]. It is clinically important that dentures have accurate teeth occlusal contacts to obtain normal function. Studies of tooth displacement are important to ensure a more stable occlusal pattern, retention, and functional quality of complete dentures [2].

The processing warpage and base distortion that occur when the polymerized dentures are removed form the cast are considered the major disadvantages of acrylic resin, and these factors can also modify the tooth position [3]. Earlier studies [4, 5] showed that the magnitude of dimensional changes is not too great (-0.1% to -0.4%), and have been reported as having no significant effect on the serviceability of dentures [6]. This undesirable dimensional change remains unresolved [7-11].

A new flask closure system has been proposed to avoid opening the flask during its transference from the hydraulic press to the spring cramp after final closure [8]. Flask opening could allow internal stresses to be released from resin dough and generate undesirable base distortion. Another fact that may significantly affect occlusal contacts is the delay in polymerizing the denture after the final pressing procedure. A classic study [4] reported that one reason for allowing the flask to stand for at least 1 hour is to allow the resin mass to flow into all regions of the mold and, thus, relieve the internal stress during the early stage after closure. Flask closure methods and post-pressing time variables, however, have not been studied in relation to tooth displacement.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate and to compare the effect of metallic flask closure methods (conventional cramp and RS system) and of post-pressing times before acrylic resin polymerization on the tooth displacement in maxillary complete dentures. The hypothesis tested in this in vitro study was that the tooth displacement could be adversely affected by the flask closure method and post-pressing time association.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A silicone mold (Elite Double; Zhermack, Rovigo, Italy) was made from a metallic master die simulating an edentulous maxillary arch without irregularities in the alveolar ridge walls. Forty similar stone casts were poured in type III dental stone (Herodent Soli-Hock; Vigodent, RJ, Brazil) in a ratio of 30mL water to 100g powder.

A uniform denture base was made by the same technician for each cast stone with a 2mm-thick plate wax (Epoxiglass;
Epoxiglass Chemical Products, Diadema, SP, Brazil), and measured with a caliper (Golgran; Golgran Dental Products, Sao Paulo, SP, Brazil), for standardization purposes. The height of the occlusion wax rim was 20mm in the buccal sulcus of the cast, and 10mm in the posterior palate area. The maxillary stone cast was mounted in a Mondial 4000 semi-adjustable articulator (Bio-Art Dental Products, Sao Carlos, SP, Brazil) with the wax rim interocclusal relation according to a standardized mandible stone cast with teeth. For this purpose, the references of inter-condylar distance in M, Bennett angle at 15 degrees, and condylar guide at 30 degrees were used.

The arrangement of the left anterior teeth started with the carved wax rim serving as a guide to the positions of the central and lateral incisors and canines. The same procedure was used on the right side. The posterior teeth were arranged starting with the first premolar up to the second molar. The same procedure was used in the right arch. The arrangement of the teeth for the interocclusal relationship was: anterior in vertical overlap and posterior in Angle class I. After arrangement of the first denture, a silicone matrix was made (Zetalabor; Zhermack, Rovigo, Italy) to register the teeth position. The silicone matrix was used as a standard guide for the teeth arrangement in all dentures.

Metallic referential pins (Cadena; Coats Textil Co., Sao Paulo, SP, Brazil) were fixed with instantaneous adhesive (Super Bonder; Loctite, Sao Paulo, SP, Brazil) at the incisal border of the central incisors, buccal cusp of the first premolars, and mesiobuccal cusp of the second molars. The following linear distances were considered: I-I (incisor to incisor), PM-PM (premolar to premolar), M-M (molar to molar), RI-RM (right incisor to right molar) and LI-LM (left incisor to left molar). The distances were measured with a STM microscope (Olympus Optical Co., Tokyo, Japan) with an accuracy of 0.0005mm.

The stone casts were randomly assigned to 4 experimental groups (n=5): 1- flask closure with conventional cramp and immediate polymerization; 2- flask closure with conventional cramp and polymerization after a 6-hour post-pressing time; 3- flask closure with the RS system and immediate polymerization; and 4- flask closure with RS the system and polymerization after a 6-hour post-pressing time. The cast and wax pattern sets were flasked in the lower part of traditional brass flasks (Safrany; J Safrany Dental Metallurg, Sao Paulo, SP, Brazil) with type II dental stone (Pasom; Dental Products, Sao Paulo, SP, Brazil). Petroleum jelly (Labsynth; Labsynth Chemical Products, Diadema, SP, Brazil) was used as a separating medium. After 1 hour, the flasks were placed in boiling water to soften the wax base-plate. The stone was cleaned with liquid detergent (Ype; Chemical Amparo, Amparo, SP, Brazil) and boiling water solution, and two coats of sodium alginate (Isolak; Classico Dental Products, Sao Paulo, SP, Brazil) were used as a mold separator.

Classico polymethyl methacrylate dough (Classico Dental Products, Sao Paulo, SP, Brazil) was used with a monomer: polymer ratio of 1:3 by volume. According to the manufacturer, the powder consists of prepolymerized spheres of polymethyl methacrylate and benzoyl peroxide as an initiator; and the liquid is an unpolymerized copolymer of methyl methacrylate and ethylacrylate with small amounts of hydroquinone added as an inhibitor. The resin was prepared in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions and each sample was packed in accordance with the group assignments. A plastic sheet was used as a separating medium during the initial flask closure under a load of 850 kilogram-force (kgf). After this procedure, the plastic sheet was removed and the acrylic resin excess was trimmed.

In the conventional flask closure (Groups 1 and 2), the flasks were placed in traditional cramps after final pressing in a hydraulic press (Linea H; Linea, Sao Paulo, SP, Brazil) under a 1250kgf load for 5 minutes. The flasks of group 1 were immediately immersed in water at room temperature (25±2°C) and the acrylic resin polymerized at 74°C for 9 hours (temperature rising to 74°C at 1 hour, and then maintained at 74°C for 8 hours), while the flasks of group 2 were submitted to the same procedures, however the polymerization took place after 6 hours.

In the RS flask closure (Groups 3 and 4), the same trial pack at final closure was accomplished; however, the flask was positioned between the 2 plates of the RS closure system [8]. During the definitive flask closure, the screws of the lower plate were fitted into the holes of the upper plate and, after hydraulic pressure, the screw-nuts were strongly tightened on the screws until just one stop (Fig. 1). Flasks of the Group 3 were immediately immersed in water at room temperature and the acrylic resin polymerized at 74°C for 9 hours, while the polymerization of the group 4 took place after 6 hours.

After polymerization, the flasks were slowly cooled in the water bath, removed from the thermo-curing unit (Thermotron Dental Products, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil), and bench stored for 3 hours. Afterwards, the dentures were deflasked and the transverse and anteroposterior distances between teeth were measured in conditions similar to those used before denture polymerization. Collected data (%) were transformed into arc sine of the root of x/100 and submitted to analysis of variance (ANOVA), considering 2 factors (flask closure and post-pressing time) and their interactions. Differences were submitted to multiple comparison testing (Tukey HSD test at α=.05).

RESULTS

In the immediate post-pressing time, the tooth movement was significantly greater for the conventional flask closure method, while there was no difference when the dentures were processed in the 6-hour post-pressing time (Table 1).

Table 1. Means and Standard Deviations of Tooth Displacement (%) for Flask Closure Method in Relation to the Post-Pressing Time

| Tooth Displacement (%) | Flask Closure Method | Immediate Time | After 6 Hours |
|------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Conventional           | 0.40 (0.05) a        | 0.41(0.17) a   |
| RS                     | 0.28 (0.12) b        | 0.33(0.43) a   |

Means followed by the same lower case letters in each column do not differ significantly at p<.05.
In the conventional flask closure method, there was statistically significant difference in the distance I-I with a greater value for the immediate time (Table 2).

Considering the dentures obtained by the RS flask closure method, no statistically significant difference was observed between the post-pressing times in all distances evaluated (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

The hypothesis tested in this in vitro study, that tooth displacement could be adversely affected by the flask closure method and post-pressing time association, was in part accepted. Careful measurements have been taken to overcome denture inaccuracies, such as base distortion and displacement of artificial teeth. These factors are responsible for loss of stability and retention of the dentures, and increase the tooth displacement causing difficulty in the occlusal adjustment procedure. Under clinical conditions, these dimensional changes could also modify the planned vertical occlusion dimension, and cause traumas in mucosa and bone loss [2].

The 6-hour post-pressing time seems to be effective in promoting resin mass relaxation, and probably a possible technical condition to be applied to the laboratory routine. The suggested reason for allowing the flask to stand for some hours before polymerizing is to allow the resin mass to flow into all regions of the mold [4]. In the present study, the 6-hour delayed time showed no statistically significant difference in the tooth movement in relation to the flask closure methods used, when compared to the immediate time (Table 1). Due to resin mass to stay for a longer delayed time before polymerization [4], this procedure probably also reduces the amount of residual monomer present in the resin dough by evaporation [12].

A linear tooth movement occurred in all studied interactions; however, the changes only showed statistically significant difference in the I-I distance (incisor to incisor), when the conventional flask closure was used, demonstrating a higher value for the immediate time (Table 2). A possible explanation for this finding is that the bases were made to be 2mm thick and, according to previous studies [13, 14], this factor may reduce the dimensional change of the base.

Other aspects should also be considered: 1- the polymerization shrinkage of the resin is compensated, in part, by the thermal expansion of the resin itself during processing [15]; and 2- the restrictive effect of investing plaster on keeping the teeth in position when the resin induces polymerization and cooling stresses [16]. During or after the procedures, it was also possible that a large amount of internal stresses were relieved before definitive flask closure. Therefore, the remaining internal stresses were not able to promote statistically significant tooth movement after the deflasking denture process.

Previous studies have reported that the largest base dimensional changes are observed in the denture posterior palatal seal [5, 17, 18], and that a greater magnitude of tooth movement occurs in posterior teeth, altering the occlusal relationship [19, 20]. However, the present study showed statistically significant tooth movement only in the I-I distance (Table 2). This fact probably occurred due to following technical processing: 1- the mesial space between the incisors was greater during the wax tooth arrangement, allowing them to move toward each other after the flask pressing; 2- the placement change occurred in the anteroposterior direction, increasing the transverse distance; and 3- excessive polymerization shrinkage in the anterior region promoted tooth rotation, reducing the I-I distance. The results of this in vitro study are not consistent with the concept that the teeth

| Table 2. Means and Standard Deviations of Tooth Displacement (%) for Dentures Processed by the Conventional Flask Closure Method in Relation to the Post-Pressing Time |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Post-Pressing Time | I-I | PM-PM | M-M | RI-RM | LI-LM |
| Immediate | 1.38 (0.43) a | 0.37 (0.05) a | 0.22 (0.06) a | 0.28 (0.12) a | 0.46 (0.14) a |
| 6 hours | 0.62 (0.20) b | 0.21 (0.03) a | 0.21 (0.04) a | 0.33 (0.06) a | 0.36 (0.17) a |

Means followed by the same lower case letters in each column do not differ significantly at p<.05.

| Table 3. Means and Standard Deviations of Tooth Displacement (%) for Dentures Processed by the RS Flask Closure Method in Relation to the Post-Pressing Time |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Post-Pressing Time | I-I | PM-PM | M-M | RI-RM | RI-RM |
| Immediate | 0.72 (0.37) a | 0.33 (0.16) a | 0.26 (0.10) a | 0.28 (0.08) a | 0.13 (0.04) a |
| 6 hours | 1.08 (0.32) a | 0.25 (0.11) a | 0.25 (0.03) a | 0.13 (0.04) a | 0.14 (0.05) a |

Means followed by the same lower case letters in each column do not differ significantly at p<.05.
always have a tendency to move toward the midline section of the palate [21]. According to classic studies, the direction of the teeth displacement is complex and it is due to several factors, as internal stress released after polymerization [22], and denture separation from the stone cast [13, 14].

The RS flask closure method standardized the tooth displacements independently of the post-pressing time used. Table 3 shows the performance of the RS system as an optional packing method to maintain the flask pressure. This system reduced the stress release because flask closure was maintained after press releasing, promoting less distortion in the denture base. Therefore, the reduction of the base inaccuracy allowed the teeth to preserve their position in the denture [19, 23].

Reduced dimensional changes in the denture bases processed with the RS flask closure system suggest that the flask closure maintained the acrylic resin dough under constant flask pressure conditions, because the halves remained in contact when the flask was removed from the hydraulic press. This condition may impede or inhibit the premature release of the residual internal stresses from the acrylic resin dough before polymerization [8].

As previous suggested [24], the dimensional changes that occur in acrylic resin dentures remain complex. It is obvious that, independently of the flask closure method and post-pressing time used in this study, the complexity of the stresses in the denture also involves other important factors that generate displacement of the artificial teeth.

The results of this study may be of clinical relevance considering that the post-pressing time should be a factor in determining the magnitude of teeth displacement. Although attempts were made to characterize the effect of the post-pressing time on tooth movement, this in vitro study is limited in predicting the effect on the changes of the occlusal vertical dimension. Further investigations are necessary to evaluate the effect of the post-pressing time on the mandible denture tooth movement, as well as the effect on the retention and stability of the complete dentures in use.

The clinical relevance of this result can be significant for the comfort of patients, considering that the main purpose of this study was to identify the process that improves the stability of the tooth in the complete dentures.

CONCLUSION

Within the limitations of this in vitro study, results suggest that the delay of 6 hours before acrylic resin polymerization is an important factor in controlling the magnitude of the tooth movement. The teeth displacement was smaller when the dentures were processed with the RS flask closure system. Delayed time and RS flask closure association has a positive effect on the tooth displacement.
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