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Abstract: Straightforward regio- and diastereoselective synthesis of bi-spirooxindole-engrafted rhodanine analogs 5a-d were achieved by one-pot multicomponent [3 + 2] cycloaddition (32CA) reaction of stabilized azomethine ylide (AYs 3a-d) generated in situ by condensation of L-thioproline and 6-chloro-isatin with (E)-2-(5-(4-chlorobenzylidene)-2,4-dioxothiazolidin-3-yl)-N-(2-morpholinoethyl)acetamide. The bi-spirooxindole-engrafted rhodanine analogs were constructed with excellent diastereoselectivity along with high chemical yield. X-ray crystallographic investigations for hybrid 5a revealed the presence of four contiguous stereocenters related to C11, C12, C19 and C22 of the spiro oxindole core structure. Hirshfeld calculations indicated the presence of many short intermolecular contacts such as Cl...C, S...S, S...H, O...H, N...H, H...C, C...C and H...H interactions. These contacts played a very important role in the crystal stability. The polar nature of the 32CA reaction was studied by analysis of the conceptual DFT reactivity indices. Theoretical study of this 32CA reaction indicated that it takes place through a non-concerted two-stage one-step mechanism associated with the nucleophilic attack of AY 3a to the electrophilic ethylene derivative.
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1. Introduction

Rhodanines’ (2-thioxothiazolidin-4-ones) privileged structure has attracted much attention in pharmaceutical and medicinal chemistry [1,2]. These substituted rhodanines have been discovered and reported for several pharmaceutical applications, including topoisomerase II inhibition potency [3]; potential AChE inhibitors [4,5]; HIV-1 integrase inhibitors [6]; anti-cancer agent towards MCF-7 breast cancer cells [7] and anti-diabetic (T2DM) targeting the inhibition of enzymes such as aldose reductase (ALR) [8], α-glucosidase [9], α-amylase [10] and PTP1B enzyme [11], and other therapeutic targets have also been reported, such as antibacterial ones [12]. Chemical modification, structural elucidation and molecular interactions investigations of these compounds are still of high interest.

On the other hand, one-pot multicomponent [3 + 2] cycloaddition (32CA) reaction has been established as a powerful and efficient approach for stereoselective synthesis of the spirooxindole pyrrolidine core structure in a wide range of natural products and pharmaceutical compounds [13–19]. The key step of this strategy is the generation of azomethine ylides...
ylides (AYs) which then react with electron-deficient ethylene to produce pyrrolidine-
spirooxindole with contiguous stereocenters. These pyrrolidine-spirooxindole molecules
in particular have shown a panoply of significant pharmaceutical targets and applications,
such as MDM2 inhibitors [20–22], anti-cancer treatment [7,23], AChE inhibitors [24–26]
and prospective activity against SARS-CoV-2 [27]. Structural modifications have emerged
as attractive synthetic targets for researchers.

Several representative examples have been reported in the literature disclosing the
spirooxindole scaffold, but combination of the rhodanine motif with the spirowxindole
hybrid is still less discussed. Knorr, M. and his team reported the synthesis of rhodanine-
substituted spiro[pyrrolidine-oxindole] analogs and exploited this rhodanine type as an
anti-diabetic agent via inhibition of the α-amylase enzyme [28]. Recently, the research
group headed by El-Faham reported the rhodanine subtype carboxamide with a backbone
of several amino acids using OxymaPure/N,N'-disopropylcarbodiimide coupling
methodology and evaluated these compounds for their antimicrobial activities [29].

Inter- or intramolecular hydrogen bonding interactions were found to play a crucial
role in different systems which contribute to the conformational stability of proteins [30]
and aid in the dimerization or aggregation of the supramolecular chemistry [31].

Recent advances made in the theoretical understanding of 32CA reactions based on
the Molecular Electron Density Theory [32] (MEDT) have allowed for establishing a very
good correlation between the electronic structure of the simplest three-atom-components
(TACs) and their reactivity towards ethylene [33]. The simplest AY, CH$_2$-NH-CH$_2$, is a
very reactive pseudodiradical TAC participating in $pdr$-type 32CA reactions without any
appreciable barrier [34]. However, substitution on the experimental TACs stabilizes them,
thus changing the electronic structure and, consequently, the reactivity to that of a $zw$-
type 32CA reaction [35]. Consequently, this type of 32CA reaction demands adequate
nucleophilic/electrophilic activation of the reagents to take place [35]. Synthesis of a new
ethylene system and its employment for 32CA reaction for the purpose of theoretical study
understanding by MEDT are a challenge.

Based on the findings above and continuing in the contribution to the synthesis of
new spiro-heterocycle hybrids, in this study we report the straightforward synthesis of
rhodanine-substituted spiro[pyrrolidine-oxindole]-carboxamide/morpholine derivatives
5a–d using the 32CA reaction methodology. Thermal prototropy generated the stabilized
AYs 3a–d in situ, which then reacted with the rhodanine subtype 4 to produce the desired
compounds 5a–d (see Scheme 1). Furthermore, molecular structure and intermolecular
interactions, the X-ray crystal structure of 5a, Hirshfeld analysis and conceptual DFT
studies at the ground state of the reagents are also discussed.

![Scheme 1](image-url)

**Scheme 1.** Synthesis of compounds 5a–d via 32CA reaction of AYs 3a–d with ethylene derivative 4.
2. Results and Discussion

The straightforward regio- and diastereoselective synthesis of the desired bi-spirooxindole-engrafted rhodanine analogs 5a–d is shown in Scheme 1. The requisite starting material named (E)-2-(5-(4-chlorobenzylidene)-2,4-dioxothiazolidin-3-yl)-N-(2-morpholinoethyl)acetamide 4 was synthesized following the method reported in the literature [29]. Employing the one-pot multicomponent-based 32CA reaction approach of the arylidene rhodanine analog 4 with di-carbonyl compounds (6-chloroisatin 2a; 5-nitroisatin 2b; 5-fluoroisatin 2c; 5-chloroisatin 2d) and thioproline 1 under reflux conditions in MeOH for 2 h produced the target stereoselective bi-spirooxindole-engrafted rhodanine analogs 5a–d. The reaction proceeded initially with isatins 2a–d reacting with the secondary amino acid (L-thioproline) 1 to generate the azomethine ylides in situ (AYs 3a–d). In the second step, the generated azomethine ylides (AYs 3a–d) reacted with the arylidene rhodanine analog 4 with four possible approach modes: two regioisomeric approach modes of the aryl-substituted carbon of 4 to the two carbons of the azomethine ylides (AYs) framework of AYs 3a–d, named ortho and meta, and two stereoisomeric approach modes of the C–C double bond of 4 to AYs 3a–d, named endo and exo. The four possible alternative reaction paths are shown in Scheme 2. The formation of the bi-spirooxindole-engrafted rhodanine analogs 5a–d indicates that this 32CA reaction is completely ortho regioselective and exo stereoselective, taking place via the transition state structure TS-ox. The spectral data of the bi-spirooxindole-engrafted rhodanine analogs 5a–d were assigned and elucidated and were found to be in good agreement with the proposed structure. The chemical structure of 5a was further confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis.

[Scheme 2. Synthesis and plausible mechanism of the desired spirooxindole derivatives 5a–d.]
2.1. Crystal Structure Description

The X-ray structure of 5a showing atom numbering and thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level is shown in Figure 1. The structure agrees very well with the spectral analyses. The crystal data and structure refinement details are depicted in Table 1, while selected bond distances and angles are listed in Table 2. The compound crystallized in the monoclinic system and $P2_1$ space group with unit cell parameters of $a = 14.0397(2)$ Å, $b = 15.9918(2)$ Å, $c = 14.1488(2)$ Å and $\beta = 109.439(2)^\circ$. The unit cell volume was 2995.61(8) Å$^3$ and the number of molecules per unit cell was 4. The asymmetric unit comprised two crystallographically independent molecules of 5a and two water molecules. The geometrical parameters of the two units are marginally different. The reported X-ray structure revealed very well the presence of four asymmetric carbon centers in the spiro-system, namely C11, C12, C19 and C22 (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Atom numbering and thermal ellipsoids at 30% probability level for 5a. Only one unit (unit a) is presented; the second unit and the crystallized solvent molecules are not shown for simplicity.](image)

Table 1. Crystal data of compound 5a.

|                  | 5a       |
|------------------|----------|
| **Empirical formula** | $C_{29}H_{31}Cl_2N_5O_6S_2$ |
| **fw**           | 680.61   |
| **Temperature (K)** | 120(2)   |
| **$\lambda$ (Å)** | 1.54184  |
| **Crystal system** | Monoclinic |
| **Space group**   | $P2_1$   |
| **a (Å)**         | 14.0397(2) |
| **b (Å)**         | 15.9918(2) |
| **c (Å)**         | 14.1488(2) |
| **$\alpha$ (deg)** | 90       |
| **$\beta$ (deg)** | 109.439(2) |
| **$\gamma$ (deg)** | 90       |
| **V (Å$^3$)**     | 2995.61(8) |
| **Z**             | 4        |
| **$\rho_{calc}$** | 1.509    |
| **$\mu$ (Mo K$\alpha$) (mm$^{-1}$)** | 3.704    |
| **No. of refins.** | 18,916   |
| **Unique refins.** | 9558     |
| **Completeness to $\theta$ = 67.684$^\circ$** | 99.9%    |
| **GOOF ($F^2$)**  | 1.040    |
| **$R_{int}$**     | 0.0321   |
| **$R_1$ ($I \geq 2\sigma$)** | 0.0573  |
| **$wR_2$ ($I \geq 2\sigma$)** | 0.1500  |
| **CCDC No.**      | 2,104,365 |

$^a R_1 = \Sigma||F_o|| - |F_c||/\Sigma||F_o||. \hspace{1cm} b wR_2 = [\Sigma[w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2]/\Sigma[w(F_o^2)^2]]^{1/2}.$

![Figure 1. Atom numbering and thermal ellipsoids at 30% probability level for 5a. Only one unit (unit a) is presented; the second unit and the crystallized solvent molecules are not shown for simplicity.](image)
Table 2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 5a.

| Atoms  | Distance | Atoms  | Distance |
|--------|----------|--------|----------|
| Cl1-C16 | 1.7486   | Cl1B-C16B | 1.7566   |
| Cl2-C26 | 1.7396   | Cl2B-C26B | 1.7446   |
| S5-C10  | 1.7475   | S5B-C10B  | 1.7685   |
| S5-C11  | 1.8265   | S5B-C11B  | 1.8185   |
| S6-C20  | 1.8007   | S6B-C20B  | 1.8247   |
| S6-C21  | 1.8406   | S6B-C21B  | 1.8196   |
| O1-C3   | 1.4218   | O1B-C3B   | 1.4399   |
| O1-C2   | 1.4409   | O1B-C2B   | 1.4117   |
| O2-C7   | 1.2388   | O2B-C7B   | 1.2247   |

| Atoms  | Angle   | Atoms  | Angle   |
|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| C10-S5-C11 | 93.42 | C10B-S5B-C11B | 93.12 |
| C20-S6-C21 | 90.33 | C21B-S6B-C20B | 93.33 |
| C3-O1-C2  | 112.25  | C2B-O1B-C3B  | 109.35  |
| C5-N1-C4  | 112.35  | C5B-N1B-C4B  | 110.85  |
| C5-N1-C1  | 112.95  | C1B-N1B-C5B  | 112.04  |
| C4-N1-C1  | 106.95  | C1B-N1B-C4B  | 108.55  |
| C7-N2-C6  | 119.66  | C7B-N2B-C6B  | 122.95  |

The molecules of 5a are connected with each other, including the solvent water, via a complicated set of strong N-H...O, O-H...O and O-H...S hydrogen bonding interactions as well as weak C-H...O interactions (Table 3). The hydrogen bond network is shown in Figure 2.

Table 3. Hydrogen bonds for 5a (Å and °).

| D-H...A     | d(D-H) | d(H...A) | d(D...A) | <(DHA) |
|-------------|--------|----------|----------|--------|
| N2-H2...O1S | 0.90(9) | 2.09(9)  | 2.875(8) | 146(7) |
| O2S-H2S...O1B | 0.97 | 1.90(9)  | 2.843(8) | 164    |
| N5-H5...O2  | 0.78(8) | 1.98(9)  | 2.725(7) | 160(10) |
| O1S-H1SA...O1 | 0.96 | 1.93     | 2.747(8) | 140    |
| O1S-H1SB...O5B | 0.92 | 2.03     | 2.909(7) | 159    |
| O2S-H2SA...S6B | 0.99 | 2.77     | 3.627(6) | 146    |
| O2S-H2SA...O5  | 0.99  | 2.41     | 2.910(7) | 111    |
| N5B-H5BA...O2B | 0.87(8) | 2.06(8)  | 2.870(6) | 154(7) |
| N2B-H2BA...O2S | 0.96(9) | 1.90(9)  | 2.845(8) | 166(7) |
| C8-H8A...O4  | 0.99   | 2.5      | 2.848(7) | 100    |
| C12-H12...O4  | 1.00   | 2.44     | 2.926(7) | 109    |
| C12B-H12B...O4B | 1.00  | 2.46     | 2.929(7) | 108    |
| C8B-H8BB...O4B | 0.99  | 2.49     | 2.856(7) | 102    |
| C14B-H14B...O5B | 0.95  | 2.28     | 3.143(8) | 152    |
| C18-H18...O5  | 0.95   | 2.35     | 3.226(7) | 154    |
| C19-H19...O5  | 1.00   | 2.43     | 3.006(7) | 116    |
| C21-H21B...O4  | 0.99   | 2.49     | 3.338(8) | 144    |
| C28B-H28B...O4B | 0.95  | 2.52     | 3.154(8) | 124    |

2.2. Analysis of Molecular Packing

In light of the great importance of intermolecular interactions for crystal stability, Hirshfeld calculations were employed in order to analyze the molecular packing in the studied system. The Hirshfeld surfaces of 5a are shown in Figure S1 (Supplementary Materials). The crystal structure of 5 indicated the presence of two molecules as an asymmetric unit; hence, the Hirshfeld surfaces of both units are presented in this figure.

In Figure 3, the dnorm maps of both molecules are also presented. The red regions indicate the atomic sites included in strong intermolecular interactions with the neighboring molecules. It is clear that the molecular packing in the studied system is controlled by many short contacts such as Cl...C, S...S, S...H, O...H, N...H, H...C, C...C and H...H interactions.
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Figure 3. The dnorm maps of the two molecular units (top: unit A; bottom: unit B) showing the most important contacts in 5a.

In addition, fingerprint plots gave a quantitative summary for all intermolecular interactions. Decomposed fingerprint plots enabled us to calculate the percentage of each intermolecular interaction (Figure 4). The distribution of all possible intermolecular interactions is shown in Figure 5. It is clear that the H...H (38.8%), O...H (19.9%), Cl...H (13.1%) and H...C (9.7%) contacts are the most dominant in molecular unit B in the atom numbering of the heavy atoms (unit B). The results for the other molecular unit (unit A) are almost the same (Table S1, Supplementary Materials).
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Figure 4. Decomposed fingerprint plots of the most dominant contacts of 5a.

Figure 5. The percentages of all possible intermolecular interactions in the crystal structure of 5a.

Both the fingerprint plots and d_{norm} maps provide clear evidence on the short intermolecular interactions which control the crystal stability. Many short O...H interactions occurred in this crystal. A summary of the most important contacts and the corresponding interaction distances is listed in Table 4. The O5B . . . H1SB (1.974 Å), O2 . . . H5 (1.765 Å), O2B . . . H5BA (1.941 Å), O2S . . . H2BA (1.856 Å), O1B . . . H2S (1.886 Å) and O1S . . .
H2 (1.995 Å) hydrogen bonds are significantly short and hence have great importance in the molecular packing. The two spikes in the decomposed fingerprint plot of the O...H interactions indicate not only short hydrogen bonds but also the molecule acting as both the hydrogen bond donor and acceptor. In the same table, all contacts with a distance shorter than the vdWs radii sum of the interacting atoms are also presented. The only exception is the N2 . . . H6BA (2.683Å) contact, which has a slightly longer contact distance than the vdWs radii sum of the N and H atoms (2.64 Å).

Table 4. Important contacts and their interaction distances.

| Contact          | Distance | Contact          | Distance |
|------------------|----------|------------------|----------|
| H3BB . . . C16B  | 2.675    | O4 . . . H20D    | 2.585    |
| H6BA . . . C7    | 2.678    | O3B . . . H21A   | 2.464    |
| H1B . . . C23    | 2.769    | O3B . . . H15B   | 1.974    |
| H1SA . . . C2    | 2.382    | O4B . . . H4A    | 2.542    |
| H15 . . . C10    | 2.654    | O4B . . . H20A   | 2.532    |
| H2S . . . C3B    | 2.613    | O2 . . . H5      | 1.765    |
| SS . . . H21A    | 2.858    | O3 . . . H15     | 2.595    |
| C12B . . . C24   | 3.368    | O2B . . . H5BA   | 1.941    |
| C12 . . . C21B   | 3.44     | O3B . . . H21A   | 2.464    |
| S6 . . . S5B     | 3.542    | O2S . . . H2BA   | 1.856    |
| H2SA . . . H2BA  | 2.157    | O1B . . . H2S    | 1.886    |
| H2B . . . H1SA   | 1.989    | O1S . . . H2     | 1.995    |
| H20B . . . H5B   | 2.157    | O5 . . . H2SA    | 2.408    |
| N2 . . . H6BA    | 2.683    | C26B . . . C17B  | 3.353    |

2.3. DFT Studies of the Structure of 5a

The molecular geometry of 5 was calculated and the resulting optimized structure is shown in Figure 6 (right part). As can be seen from Table 5, there are good agreements between the calculated and experimental bond distances. In addition, there are straight-line correlations between the calculated and experimental bond distances ($R^2 = 0.9932$) and angles ($R^2 = 0.8759$), as shown in Figure 7. In addition, the structure matching between the calculated and experimental structures is shown in Figure 6 (left part). Generally, the structural parameters indicate good agreement between the calculated results and X-ray geometry, with some little variations which could be attributed to the packing effects.

Figure 6. The optimized geometry (a) and overlay of the optimized geometry with experimental structures (b) for 5a.
Table 5. The calculated bond distances compared with the experimental data.

| Bond b | Calc | Exp | Bond | Calc | Exp |
|--------|------|-----|-------|------|-----|
| R(1–47) | 1.760 | 1.755 | R(15–18) | 1.527 | 1.515 |
| R(2–65) | 1.757 | 1.744 | R(21–24) | 1.527 | 1.506 |
| R(3–38) | 1.784 | 1.769 | R(27–30) | 1.537 | 1.516 |
| R(3–39) | 1.849 | 1.819 | R(33–34) | 1.544 | 1.517 |
| R(4–34) | 1.835 | 1.824 | R(37–39) | 1.541 | 1.547 |
| R(4–57) | 1.861 | 1.819 | R(39–40) | 1.602 | 1.581 |
| R(5–18) | 1.421 | 1.410 | R(39–60) | 1.595 | 1.598 |
| R(5–21) | 1.421 | 1.439 | R(40–42) | 1.514 | 1.498 |
| R(6–33) | 1.223 | 1.224 | R(40–52) | 1.542 | 1.538 |
| R(7–38) | 1.208 | 1.217 | R(42–43) | 1.403 | 1.397 |
| R(8–37) | 1.216 | 1.212 | R(42–50) | 1.401 | 1.402 |
| R(9–61) | 1.219 | 1.225 | R(43–45) | 1.394 | 1.397 |
| R(10–15) | 1.468 | 1.466 | R(45–47) | 1.394 | 1.397 |
| R(10–24) | 1.466 | 1.472 | R(47–48) | 1.393 | 1.396 |
| R(10–27) | 1.460 | 1.465 | R(48–50) | 1.394 | 1.397 |
| R(11–30) | 1.458 | 1.448 | R(52–54) | 1.528 | 1.538 |
| R(11–33) | 1.359 | 1.349 | R(60–61) | 1.576 | 1.585 |
| R(12–34) | 1.448 | 1.462 | R(60–70) | 1.520 | 1.510 |
| R(12–37) | 1.382 | 1.361 | R(62–63) | 1.388 | 1.384 |
| R(12–38) | 1.397 | 1.377 | R(62–70) | 1.405 | 1.396 |
| R(13–52) | 1.460 | 1.448 | R(63–65) | 1.399 | 1.385 |
| R(13–57) | 1.448 | 1.415 | R(65–66) | 1.394 | 1.384 |
| R(13–60) | 1.449 | 1.395 | R(66–68) | 1.401 | 1.403 |
| R(14–61) | 1.374 | 1.35 | R(68–70) | 1.389 | 1.389 |

a List of bond angles is given in Table S2 (Supplementary Materials). b Atom numbering refers to Figure 6.

Figure 7. Correlations between the calculated and experimental (a) bond distances, and (b) bond angles.

The charges at the different atoms of 5 are collated in Table 6. The results indicate the presence of a number of negatively charged atomic sites, including N, O and the majority of C sites. There are five oxygen atoms and five nitrogen sites in 5a where the exo carbonyl oxygen and the neighboring amidic nitrogen atoms are the most negative, respectively. All carbon atoms bonded to N or O atoms have highly positive natural charges. In addition, the NH protons are the most positive hydrogen atoms (0.4475 and 0.4196 e). These results are in good agreement with the molecular electrostatic potential map (MEP) shown in Figure 8. In the MEP, the red colored areas are related to regions of high electron density while the blue colored areas are related to highly electron-deficient regions. The red regions are related to carbonyl oxygen while the blue regions are close to the NH protons. The studied compound has a polar nature with a net dipole moment of 2.5735 Debye, and the direction of the dipole moment vector is shown in Figure 8.
Table 6. Natural charge (NC) population of 5a.

| Atom | NC   | Atom | NC   | Atom | NC   |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Cl 1 | −0.0095 | H25 | 0.2085 | H49 | 0.2569 |
| Cl 2 | 0.0055 | H26 | 0.2373 | C50 | −0.2050 |
| S 3  | 0.3188 | C27 | −0.2699 | H51 | 0.2602 |
| S 4  | 0.1906 | H28 | 0.2281 | C52 | −0.0613 |
| O 5  | −0.5755 | H29 | 0.2178 | C53 | 0.2461 |
| O 6  | −0.6328 | C30 | −0.2743 | C54 | −0.5813 |
| O 7  | −0.5651 | H31 | 0.2423 | H55 | 0.2644 |
| O 8  | −0.5838 | H32 | 0.2559 | H56 | 0.2534 |
| O 9  | −0.6000 | C33 | 0.6919 | C57 | −0.3845 |
| N10  | −0.5241 | C34 | −0.3576 | H58 | 0.2554 |
| N11  | −0.6587 | H35 | 0.2708 | H59 | 0.2217 |
| N12  | −0.4929 | H36 | 0.2816 | C60 | 0.0656 |
| N13  | −0.5118 | C37 | 0.7294 | C61 | 0.6974 |
| N14  | −0.6236 | C38 | 0.5354 | C62 | 0.1889 |
| C15  | −0.3000 | C39 | −0.2399 | C63 | −0.2898 |
| H16  | 0.2387 | C40 | −0.2612 | H64 | 0.2620 |
| H17  | 0.2097 | H41 | 0.2837 | C65 | −0.0205 |
| C18  | −0.1199 | C42 | −0.0573 | C66 | −0.2726 |
| H19  | 0.2354 | C43 | −0.2169 | H67 | 0.2611 |
| H20  | 0.2029 | H44 | 0.2618 | C68 | −0.1894 |
| C21  | −0.1199 | C45 | −0.2447 | H69 | 0.2760 |
| H22  | 0.2026 | H46 | 0.2573 | C70 | −0.0911 |
| H23  | 0.2343 | C47 | −0.0391 | H71 | 0.4475 |
| C24  | −0.2965 | C48 | −0.2457 | H72 | 0.4196 |

* Atom numbering refers to Figure 6.

Figure 8. The MEP of 5a.

2.4. Conceptual DFT Analysis of the 32CA Reaction of AYs 3a–d with Ethylene Derivative 4

The reactivity indices defined within the conceptual DFT (CDFT) [36,37] have been shown to be powerful tools to understand the reactivity in polar reactions. The global reactivity indices, namely the electronic chemical potential $\mu$, chemical hardness $\eta$, global electrophilicity $\omega$ and global nucleophilicity $N$, for AYs 3a–d and ethylene 4 involved in this 32CA reaction and the reduced models AY 6 and ethylene 7 are gathered in Table 7.
Table 7. B3LYP/6-31G(d) electronic chemical potential \( \mu \), chemical hardness \( \eta \), electrophilicity \( \omega \) and nucleophilicity \( N \), in eV, of AYs 3a-d and 6 and ethylene derivatives 4 and 7.

|       | \( \mu \)  | \( \eta \)  | \( \Omega \) | \( N \)  |
|-------|------------|------------|-------------|---------|
| AY 3a | −3.31      | 3.23       | 1.69        | 4.20    |
| AY 3b | −3.71      | 3.23       | 2.14        | 3.79    |
| AY 3c | −3.26      | 3.27       | 1.62        | 4.23    |
| AY 3d | −3.34      | 3.29       | 1.70        | 4.13    |
| AY 6  | −3.11      | 3.25       | 1.48        | 4.39    |
| Ethylene 7 | −4.39    | 3.78       | 2.55        | 2.84    |
| Ethylene 4 | −4.24    | 3.58       | 2.51        | 3.09    |

The electronic chemical potentials [36] \( \mu \) of AYs 3a-d, ranking from \( \mu = −3.26 \) (3c) to \( −3.71 \) (3b) eV, are higher than that of the ethylene derivative 4, \( \mu = −4.30 \) eV, indicating that along a polar 32CA reaction, the global electron density transfer (GEDT) [38] will take place from AYs 3a-d to the ethylene derivative 4, with the 32CA reaction being classified as the forward electron density flux (FEDF) [39].

The electrophilicity index \( \omega \) [40] of AYs 3a-d ranges from 1.62 (3c) to 2.14 (2b) eV, thus being classified as strong electrophiles within the electrophilicity scale [37]. On the other hand, AYs 3a-d present a nucleophilicity index \( N \) [41] between 3.79 (3d) and 4.23 (3c) eV, thus being classified as strong nucleophiles within the nucleophilicity scale [37]. The strong nucleophilic character of AYs 3a, 3c and 3d, higher than 4.0 eV, allows for their classification as supernucleophiles [42]. Note that the presence of the strong electron-withdrawing NO2 group in AY 3b markedly increases its electrophilicity index \( \omega \) to 2.14 eV and decreases its nucleophilicity index \( N \) to 3.79 eV. In spite of these behaviors, AY 3b is a very strong nucleophile participating in polar 32CA reactions of FEDF.

The ethylene derivative 4 presents an electrophilicity index \( \omega \) of 2.51 eV, being classified as a strong electrophile within the electrophilicity scale. On the other hand, it presents a nucleophilicity index \( N \) of 3.09 eV, being classified also as a strong nucleophile within the nucleophilicity scale.

The similar electrophilicity \( \omega \) and nucleophilicity \( N \) indices of the reduced models AY 6 and ethylene 7 to those of the experimental AYs 3a-d and ethylene 4 (see Table 7) indicate that the corresponding 32CA reactions will be very similar.

The highly nucleophilic character of AYs 3a-d and 6 together with the strong electrophilic character of the ethylene derivatives 4 and 7 indicate that the corresponding 32CA reactions will have a highly polar character, being classified as FEDF [39].

In a polar reaction involving non-symmetric species, the most favorable reaction path involves the two-center interaction between the most electrophilic and the most nucleophilic centers [43]. Many studies have shown that the analysis of the electrophilic \( P_k^- \) and nucleophilic \( P_k^- \) Parr functions [44], resulting from the excess of spin electron density gathered via the GEDT [38], is one of the most accurate and insightful tools for the analysis of the local reactivity in polar and ionic processes. Hence, according to the characteristics of the reagents, the \( P_k^- \) nucleophilic Parr functions of AY 3a and the \( P_k^+ \) electrophilic Parr functions of the ethylene derivative 4 were analyzed (see Figure 9).

The two carbons of AY 3a are nucleophilically activated by \( P_k^- = 0.35 \) (C22) and 0.30 (C19), the exocyclic C22 carbon being slightly more activated. Note that the N5 nitrogen is deactivated by \( P_k^- = −0.11 \). On the other hand, the conjugated C12 carbon of the ethylene derivative 4 is electrophilically activated, \( P_k^+ = 0.30 \), while the exocyclic C11 carbon in marginally electrophilically activated, \( P_k^+ = 0.09 \).

Analysis of the Parr functions suggest that the meta reaction paths will be slightly more favorable than the ortho ones. However, steric hindrances present along then meta reaction paths will be responsible of the meta regioselectivity experimentally observed. These steric hindrances can also explain that the ortho/exo reaction path will be favored with respect to the ortho/endo one.
2.5. Study of the Reaction Mechanism

For the study of the mechanism of the 32CA reactions of AYs 3a–d with ethylene 6, a reduced model was selected (see Scheme 3). In this reaction model, the substituents present at the aromatic ring of AYs 3a–d were removed, while the chain present at the amide N3 nitrogen of the ethylene derivative 4 was replaced by a methyl group in ethylene 7. Analysis of the CDFT indices of AY 6 and ethylene 7 showed that they have a similar nucleophilic and electrophilic character compared to the experimental AYs 3a–d and ethylene 4, indicating that the former will present a similar reactivity (see Table 7). Analysis of the reaction path indicated that this 32CA reaction takes place though a one-step mechanism. The B3LYP/6-31G(d) relative energies are given in Scheme 3. The activation energy associated to this 32CA reaction is 8.6 kcal·mol⁻¹, with the reaction being exothermic by −21.7 kcal·mol⁻¹.

Scheme 3. The 32CA reaction of AY 6 with the ethylene derivative 7. Relative energies, with respect to separated reagents, are indicated in parentheses in kcal·mol⁻¹.

The geometry of the transition state structure TS is given in Figure 10. The C–C distances between the two pairs of interacting carbons are 2.116 (C12–C19) and 2.875 (C11–C22) Å. These distances indicate that this TS is associated with a highly asynchronous C–C single-bond formation process, in which the shorter C12–C19 distance corresponds to that involving the most electrophilic carbon C12 of the ethylene derivative 7. These C–C distances, which are higher than 2.1 Å, indicate that the formation of the two C–C single bonds had not begun at the TS [38]. Analysis of the intrinsic reaction coordinates [45] associated to the highly asynchronous TS indicated that this 32CA reaction takes place through a non-concerted two-stage one-step mechanism [46] in which formation of the second C11–C22 single bond begins when the first C12–C19 single bond is completely formed.
3.2. Synthesis of Compound

The geometry of the transition state structure TS is given in Figure 10. The distances are given in Angstrom.

Finally, analysis of the GEDT [38] at the TS allowed for assessment of the polar character of this 32CA reaction. GEDT values lower than 0.05 e correspond with non-polar processes, while values higher than 0.20 e correspond with polar processes. The GEDT value at the TS was 0.26 e. This high GEDT value is a consequence of the supernucleophilic character of AY 6 and the strong nucleophilic character of ethylene 7. The flux of the electron density, which goes from AY 6 to ethylene 7, classifies this 32CA reaction as FEDF, in clear agreement with the analysis of the CDFT indices.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. General Notes

Isatin derivatives 2a–d and thioproline 1 are commercially available (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Riedstr, Germany; and Alfa Aesar GmbH & Co KG, Karlsruhe, Germany). 1H-NMR and 13C-NMR spectra were recorded in DMSO-d6 (Jeol Spectrometer (400 MHz), Jeol, Tokyo, Japan). X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Rigaku Oxford Diffraction Supernova diffractometer and processed with CrysAlisPro software v. 1.171.41.93a (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, Yarnton, UK, 2020) using Cu Kα radiation.

3.2. Synthesis of Compound 4

The desired starting material 4 was synthesized according to the reported literature [29].

3.3. General Method for the Synthesis of 5a–d

A mixture of three components including isatin derivatives 2a–d (0.5 mmol), L-thioproline 1 (66.5 mg, 0.5 mmol) and the compound 4 (204.5 mg, 0.5 mmol) was refluxed in an oil bath for 2 h. After completion of the reaction as evident from tlc (TLC Eluent: Ethyl acetate: n-Hexane 40%), the reaction mixture was kept at room temperature overnight for slow evaporation, and the solid crystalline materials were filtered off to obtain compounds 5a–d without any further purification as faint yellow solid compounds with 80–90% chemical yield.

2-((3S,6'S,7'S,7a'S)-6-chloro-7′-(4-chlorophenyl)-2,2″,4″,4″-trioxo-7,7a′-dihydro-1′H,3′H-dispiro[indoline-3,5′-pyrrolo[1,2-c]thiazole-6′,5″-thiazolidin]-3″-yl)-N-(2-morpholinoethyl)acetamide 5a

According to the general method, the 6-chloro-isatin 2a (90.5 mg, 0.5 mmol) was utilized and the final compound 5a was obtained as a faint yellow solid compound with 85% chemical yield.

1H-NMR (400 Hz, DMSO-d6): δ 11.17 (s, 1H, NH), 8.06 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H, NHCO), 7.48 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, C6H4), 7.41 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, C6H4), 7.26 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, C6H3 (oxindole)), 7.07 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H, C6H3 (oxindole)), 6.90 (s, 1H, C6H3 (oxindole)), 4.85 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (q, J = 5.4 Hz, 2H), 3.82 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.56
According to the general method, the 5-nitro-isatin 2b (96 mg, 0.5 mmol) was utilized and the final compound 5b was obtained as a faint yellow solid compound with 80% chemical yield.

1H-NMR (400 Hz, DMSO-d6): δ 11.70 (s, 1H), 8.32–8.23 (m, 1H), 8.07 (t, J = 5.3 Hz, 2H), 7.97 (s, 1H), 7.68 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.52–7.41 (m, 4H), 7.08 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (q, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (s, 1H), 4.18 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (d, J = 16.6 Hz, 1H), 3.93 (d, J = 16.3 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (s, 1H), 3.57 (t, J = 5.0 Hz, 2H), 3.47 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.20 (dd, J = 13.8, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 3.11–2.98 (m, 3H); 13C-NMR (100 Hz, DMSO-d6): δ 173.3, 169.6, 160.8, 159.2, 141.5, 138.5, 134.1, 133.4, 133.0, 131.5, 129.1, 129.6, 127.8, 126.5, 123.3, 122.3, 75.2, 75.1, 70.3, 66.5, 57.5, 56.2, 53.7, 53.1, 46.8, 43.9, 36.6, 36.4, 32.2; Chemical Formula: C20H19N3O5S2; LCMS (m/z): 674.24 [M + H]+; Elemental Analysis: [Calculated: C, 51.97; H, 4.46; N, 10.98; S, 10.92; Found: C, 51.97; H, 4.46; N, 10.98; S, 10.92].

According to the general method, the 5-nitro-isatin 2b (96 mg, 0.5 mmol) was utilized and the final compound 5b was obtained as a faint yellow solid compound with 80% chemical yield.

1H-NMR (400 Hz, DMSO-d6): δ 11.70 (s, 1H), 8.32–8.23 (m, 1H), 8.07 (t, J = 5.3 Hz, 2H), 7.97 (s, 1H), 7.68 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.52–7.41 (m, 4H), 7.08 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (q, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (s, 1H), 4.18 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (d, J = 16.6 Hz, 1H), 3.93 (d, J = 16.3 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (s, 1H), 3.57 (t, J = 5.0 Hz, 2H), 3.47 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.20 (dd, J = 13.8, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 3.11–2.98 (m, 3H); 13C-NMR (100 Hz, DMSO-d6): δ 173.3, 169.6, 160.8, 159.2, 141.5, 138.5, 134.1, 133.4, 133.0, 131.5, 129.1, 129.6, 127.8, 126.5, 123.3, 122.3, 75.2, 75.1, 70.3, 66.5, 57.5, 56.2, 53.7, 53.1, 46.8, 43.9, 36.6, 36.4, 32.2; Chemical Formula: C20H19N3O5S2; LCMS (m/z): 674.24 [M + H]+; Elemental Analysis: [Calculated: C, 51.97; H, 4.46; N, 10.98; S, 10.92; Found: C, 51.97; H, 4.46; N, 10.98; S, 10.92].

According to the general method, the 5-fluoro-isatin 2c (82.5 mg, 0.5 mmol) was utilized and the final compound 5c was obtained as a faint yellow solid compound with 88% chemical yield.

1H-NMR (400 Hz, DMSO-d6): δ 11.05 (s, 1H), 8.08 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (q, J = 8.5 Hz, 4H), 7.20 (td, J = 8.7, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (dd, J = 8.7, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (q, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 4.07–3.91 (m, 2H), 3.81 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 3.57 (t, J = 4.6 Hz, 4H), 3.46 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.17 (dq, J = 12.5, 6.7 Hz, 2H), 3.00 (dd, J = 9.9, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 2.76 (t, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 2.34 (dt, J = 18.2, 5.7 Hz, 6H); 13C-NMR (100 Hz, DMSO-d6): δ 176.2, 174.8, 168.8, 164.7, 159.8, 157.4, 140.1, 135.6, 133.4, 132.3, 129.3, 124.3, 118.1, 115.5, 112.0, 76.3, 75.7, 70.0, 66.7, 57.7, 56.2, 53.8, 46.8, 43.9, 36.7, 32.1; Chemical Formula: C20H19CIFN3O5S2; LCMS (m/z): 646.21 [M + H]+; Elemental Analysis: [Calculated: C, 53.90; H, 4.50; N, 9.98; S, 10.02; Found: C, 53.90; H, 4.50; N, 9.98; S, 10.02].

According to the general method, the 5-chloro-isatin 2d (90.5 mg, 0.5 mmol) was utilized and the final compound 5d was obtained as a faint yellow solid compound with 90% chemical yield.

1H-NMR (400 Hz, DMSO-d6): δ 11.16 (s, 1H), 8.10 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 7.51–7.36 (m, 5H), 7.22 (s, 1H), 6.90 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 4.81 (q, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (d, J = 16.2 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (d, J = 16.2 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.57 (t, J = 4.7 Hz, 4H), 3.45 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.17 (dt, J = 9.8, 6.5 Hz, 2H), 3.00 (dd, J = 9.6, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.81–2.72 (m, 1H), 2.34 (dt, J = 16.9, 5.6 Hz, 6H); 13C-NMR (100 Hz, DMSO-d6): δ 13C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-D6) δ 175.9, 174.8, 168.7, 164.6, 142.8, 135.6, 133.4, 132.3, 131.5,
131.3, 129.9, 129.3, 127.7, 127.1, 124.6, 112.5, 76.3, 75.5, 70.0, 66.69, 57.7, 56.1, 53.8, 46.8, 43.8, 36.7, 32.2; Chemical Formula: C_{29}H_{29}Cl_{2}N_{5}O_{5}S_{2}; LCMS (m/z): 663.16 [M + H]^+; Elemental Analysis: [Calculated: C, 52.57; H, 4.41; N, 10.57; S, 9.68; Found: C, 52.60; H, 4.39; N, 10.72; S, 9.80]. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3423, 3223, 2937, 2859, 1722, 1689, 1525, 1249, 1155.

3.4. Crystal Structure Determination of 5a

The crystal of 5a was immersed in cryo-oil, mounted in a loop and measured at a temperature of 120 K. The X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Rigaku Oxford Diffraction Supernova diffractometer using Cu Kα radiation. The CrysAlisPro [47] software package was used for cell refinement and data reduction. An analytical absorption correction (CrysAlisPro [47]) was applied to the intensities before structure solution. The structure was solved by the intrinsic phasing (SHELXT [48]) method. Structural refinement was carried out using SHELXL [49] software with the SHELXLE [50] graphical user interface. The crystal was solved in the space group P2₁ as an inversion twin. The BASF value was refined to 0.1478. The asymmetric unit contained two independent organic molecules and two water molecules. The ring C19B-C29B-S6B-C21B-N4B was slightly disordered, leading to small positive and negative electron densities near the atom S6B. No disorder model was used in the final refinement. The NH hydrogen atom was located from the difference Fourier map and refined isotropically. The H₂O hydrogen atoms were also located from the difference Fourier map but constrained to ride on their parent oxygen with Uiso = 1.5 Ueq (parent atom). All other hydrogen atoms were positioned geometrically and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with C-H = 0.95–1.00 Å and Uiso = 1.2 Ueq (parent atom).

3.5. Hirshfeld Surface Analysis

The topology analyses were performed using the Crystal Explorer 17.5 program [51].

3.6. Computational Methods

All DFT calculations were performed using the Gaussian 09 software package [52] utilizing the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) method. The geometries were visualized with the GaussView program [53]. Global and local CDFT indices were calculated using the equations given in [37].

4. Conclusions

The straightforward synthesis of rhodanine-substituted spiro[pyrrolidine-oxindole]-carboxamide/morpholine derivatives 5a–d using the 32CA reaction methodology was successfully achieved. The supramolecular structure of the studied compound 5a was analyzed using Hirshfeld calculations. Additionally, the DFT-calculated geometry was found to agree with the reported X-ray structure. The CDFT analysis of the reagents indicated that this 32CA reaction will have a highly polar character due to the supernucleophilic character of AYs 3a–d and the strong electrophilic character of the ethylene derivative 4, being classified as FEDF. The analysis of the Parr functions suggested that the meta reaction paths will be slightly more favorable than the ortho ones. However, steric hindrances present along the meta reaction paths are responsible for the meta regioselectivity experimentally observed. Finally, the MEDT analysis of these 32CA reactions indicated that they take place through a polar non-concerted two-stage one-step mechanism associated with the nucleophilic attack of the least substituted carbon of AYs 3a–d on the β-conjugated position of the ethylene derivative 4 as a consequence of the supernucleophilic character of AYs 3a–d and the strong electrophilic character of the ethylene 4.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online, Figure S1: Hirshfeld surfaces of 5a, Figure S2–17: Copy of spectrum data (NMR, IR, MS) for the synthesized compounds 5a–d; Tables S1–S2: computational data of compound 5a for the intermolecular interactions and their percentages, also for the bond angles.
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