Arthroscopic Internal Brace Augmentation With Arthroscopic Modified Broström Operation for Chronic Ankle Instability
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Abstract: Lateral ankle sprains are very common injuries that sometimes lead to chronic lateral ankle instability. The modified Broström operation is the gold standard procedure for treatment of chronic lateral ankle instability. Currently, this operation is performed arthroscopically. Broström repair depends on the quality of the remnant ligament. In cases with an insufficient remnant ligament, Gould augmentation or reconstruction using the gracilis tendon is generally performed. Recently, tape augmentation (internal brace) also has been used to support an insufficient ligament. This article introduces arthroscopic tape augmentation with arthroscopic modified Broström operation. This technique consists of creation of a talar anchor hole and fibular anchor hole, reattachment of the remnant ligament to the fibula with tape, and tape fixation to the talus. This technique uses only one knotless anchor screwed to the fibula for both the modified Broström operation and fixation of the tape. This technique is relatively simple and produces similar results as an open procedure.

Lateral ankle sprains (LAS) are very common traumas, especially in the field of sports. Usually, conservative treatment is chosen for most patients with acute LAS. However, 10% to 30% of patients with acute LAS develop chronic lateral ankle instability (CLAI). Currently, the modified Broström procedure is the gold standard procedure for CLAI. However, poor quality of the remnant ligament and general joint laxity are contraindications to this surgery, because the procedure depends on ligament quality. Moreover, it requires postoperative immobilization for several weeks, which is an additional problem with this procedure. To resolve these problems, tape augmentation, called internal brace (IB), was developed, and the modified Broström repair with IB has been shown to produce reliable results.

Currently, the modified Broström procedure is performed arthroscopically. A previous report showed that the arthroscopic procedure is superior to the open procedure in terms of early recovery. If the modified Broström repair with IB is also performed arthroscopically, this procedure would likely improve the utility of the procedure in terms of early recovery. The purpose of this study was to introduce the new arthroscopic IB augmentation with arthroscopic modified Broström procedure for CLAI in cases with a poor remnant ligament, which has not been previously reported.

Surgical Technique

The surgery is performed under sciatic and saphenous nerve block, lumbar spinal anesthesia, or general anesthesia. The patient is placed in the supine position with ankle traction (Non-Invasive Ankle Distractor; Smith & Nephew, Andover, MA). Irrigation is provided by a flow pump system (Continuous Wave II Arthroscopy Pump; Arthrex, Naples, FL), in which the pressure is adjusted between 30 and 50 mm Hg. A 2.7-mm 30° arthroscope is used for this technique. Standard
anteromedial, anterolateral, and accessory anterolateral portals are used for this procedure (Table 1). After conventional ankle arthroscopy, the ankle traction is removed and ligament tensions are loosened to allow easy manipulation of the ligaments. Synovectomy is performed to secure viewing and identify remnants of the lateral ligament complex (Fig 1).

First, a talus anchor hole is made at a medial position relative to the talus footprint of the anterior talofibular ligament (ATFL) (Fig 2). A guidewire is inserted at the center of the anchor hole via the accessory anterolateral portal while viewing from the anteromedial portal. A 3.4-mm cannulated drill is inserted through the guidewire and the SwiveLock tap (4.75-mm SwiveLock; Arthrex), which has a laser line to show adequate depth, is inserted.

Next, a fibular anchor hole is made. The position of this hole is determined referring to the fibular obscure tubercle described in the report of Matsui et al. The center of the fibular anchor hole is positioned about 5 mm proximal to the fibular obscure tubercle. A guidewire is inserted into this point of the fibula from the accessory anterolateral portal under viewing from the anterolateral portal. A 2.7-mm cannulated drill is inserted through the guidewire, and the SwiveLock tap (3.5-mm SwiveLock; Arthrex) is inserted following the laser line (Fig 3).

An 18-G hollow needle with a 2-0 nylon loop is inserted into the center of the ATFL and calcaneofibular ligament (CFL) complex via the skin (Fig 4). The loop of the nylon is picked up through the accessory anterolateral portal with a grasper or mosquito forceps. Then, the tape (SutureTape; Arthrex) is passed through the nylon loop and the end of the nylon opposite the loop is pulled out through the skin (the tape is put

Table 1. Surgical Steps, Pearls, and Pitfalls

| Surgical Steps | Pearls | Pitfalls |
|----------------|--------|----------|
| Arthroscopic portal | The accessory anterolateral portal is placed to allow easy access to both fibular and talar bone hole positions. | Poor positioning of the portal makes it difficult to create a hole in the bone. |
| Creation of the talus anchor hole | The talus cartilage and ATFL remnant are good guides to the drill hole position. The drill position is located medial to the talus footprint of the ATFL and it has no cartilage area. We recommend performing the procedure under fluoroscopic guidance. | Poor visualization might lead to damage to the ATFL or cartilage. |
| Creation of the fibular anchor hole | Peeling off the ATFL and shaving the footprint is important for reconstruction of the ligament healing and good visualization during creation of the drill hole. The center of the fibular anchor hole is positioned about 5 mm proximal to the fibular obscure tubercle. | Insufficient preparation would prevent biological healing. |
| Suture lasso using an 18-gauge needle and 2-0 PROLENE | The 18G needle with a 2-0 nylon loop is inserted into the center of the ATFL and CFL complex. | If a drill is inserted into the fibula perpendicularly, the anchor might blow out the posterior cortex of the fibula. |
| Insertion of the knotless anchor into the fibula with ligament and tape | The racking hitch knot enhances fixation of the ligament. The ligament is reattached with the ankle in the dorsiflexion and valgus position. | Care should be taken to avoid penetrating the peroneal tendons or damaging the sural nerve. |
| Insertion of the knotless anchor into the talus | A guidewire or K-wire is inserted into the talar drill hole under arthroscopy, to confirm the hole position and to avoid incorrect insertion. The knotless anchor is inserted into the talus under direct view. | Once the anchor is introduced, tension in the suture cannot be controlled. |

ATFL, anterior talofibular ligament; CFL, calcaneofibular ligament.

Fig 1. Arthroscopic view of the lateral gutter in the right ankle. Viewing from the anterolateral portal, the ATFL fiber is detached from the fibula footprint. (ATFL, anterior talofibular ligament; F, fibula; T, talus.)
through the ATFL. The tape is picked up subcutaneously through the accessory anterolateral portal. We use the racking hitch knot\(^6\) to firmly capture the ligament. By this procedure, the SutureTape tightly grasps the ligament and capsule. Then, an 18-G needle with a 2-0 nylon loop is inserted just in front of the fibular knotless anchor hole from the lateral side, and the nylon loop is picked up subcutaneously from the accessory anterolateral portal (Fig 5). Next, a knotless anchor (3.5-mm SwiveLock; Arthrex) with the artificial ligament (FiberTape; Arthrex) is prepared. The tape that was used to capture the ligament is inserted into the eyelet of the knotless anchor. Then, the knotless anchor is inserted into the fibula hole with the ankle in the dorsiflexion and valgus position. By this procedure, both the ATFL and CFL remnants are fixed on the fibula (Fig 6). The artificial ligament is passed through the nylon loop and pulled out. Then, the artificial ligament is picked up subcutaneously from the accessory anterolateral portal. With these processes, the artificial ligament runs outside of the capsule. The ligament is passed into the eyelet of a 4.75-mm knotless anchor (4.75-mm SwiveLock; Arthrex), and the eyelet of the anchor is brought to the top edge of the drill hole of the talus. The ligament is pulled to the desired tension. Finally, the anchor is inserted into the talus (Fig 7).

After the final anchor has been correctly inserted, the remnant ligament tails are cut with a tape cutter (Video 1).

For postoperative care, the patient is put in a plaster shell for 1 week, but movement of the toes is permitted 1 day after surgery. One week after surgery, the patient is permitted to walk with an ankle brace, and ankle range of motion, proprioception, and strengthening training are initiated. If there is no swelling or pain, jogging is permitted 4 weeks after surgery. Return to full sports activity is permitted approximately 8 weeks after the surgery.

**Discussion**

Less-invasive surgeries are preferable to conventional open surgeries. Arthroscopic surgeries, such as anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction and rotator cuff repair, are some of the most common less-invasive surgeries. Recently, the arthroscopic Broström procedure for CLAI is also becoming increasingly common in the foot and ankle area.\(^3\)\(^4\) Our procedure of arthroscopic Broström procedure with tape augmentation might be useful from the point of view of limited invasiveness (Table 2).

The Broström procedure is a reasonable technique from an anatomical aspect. However, the procedure...
depends on the quality of the remnant ligament. In general, the repaired ligament is strengthened using the extensor retinaculum (Gould technique). However, the course of the extensor retinaculum is different from those of the ATFL and CFL. Thus, it might limit the

Fig 4. Suture relay passing through the anterior talofibular ligament in the right ankle. Viewing from the anterolateral portal, an 18-G hollow needle with a 2-0 nylon loop (as a suture lasso) is inserted into the center of the anterior talofibular ligament and the CFL complex via the skin. (ATFL, anterior talofibular ligament; CFL, calcaneofibular ligament; F, fibula; T, talus.)

Fig 5. Suture relay for the artificial ligament in the right ankle. Viewing from the anterolateral portal, an 18-G hollow needle with a 2-0 nylon loop (as a suture lasso) is inserted just in front of the fibular knotless anchor hole via the lateral side of the skin, and the nylon loop is picked up from the accessory anterolateral portal. (AL, artificial ligament; ATFL, anterior talofibular ligament.)

Fig 6. Fibular anchor insertion in the right ankle. A knotless anchor with an artificial ligament and a suture tape that captures the anterior talofibular and calcaneofibular ligaments is inserted into the fibular anchor hole. At this time, the ankle is held in the dorsiflexion and valgus position. (AL, artificial ligament; ATFL, anterior talofibular ligament; F, fibula; T, talus.)

Fig 7. Macroscopic view of talar anchor insertion of the right ankle. The anchor with the artificial ligament is inserted into the talus. At this time, the ankle is held in the dorsiflexion and valgus position.
postoperative range of motion. The course of our tape augmentation is almost similar to that of the superior bundle of the ATFL. Hence, it is less likely to limit range of motion of the ankle.

Biomechanical studies\(^7,8\) have reported mechanical superiority of augmented ATFL reconstruction using a suture tape. Viens et al.\(^7\) reported that the mean ultimate load of the Broström repair with tape augmentation (250.8 ± 122.7 N) was not significantly different from that of the intact ATFL (154.0 ± 63.7 N). Schuh et al.\(^8\) reported that there was a 95% greater torque in cases of failure of the suture-tape augmentation construct compared with the Broström repair and a 54% greater torque with failure in the construct compared with the suture anchor repair alone. With regard to stress radiographs of clinical results, Cho et al.\(^9\) reported that talar tilt angle and anterior talar translation significantly improved from the preoperative average of 16.2 and 12.1 mm to 3.6 and 4.2 mm, respectively, on an average of 35.8 months after surgery.

Yoo et al.\(^10\) and Ulku et al.\(^11\) also reported their arthroscopic modified Broström repair with IB for CLAI. However, the technique of Ulku et al. only uses tape as the artificial ligament, and they do not simultaneously perform remnant ligament repair (Broström procedure). They reported good intermediate-term results, and their technique had similar clinical outcomes as the arthroscopic Broström procedure. However, their long-term outcomes were worrisome, because of degeneration of the tape over time, since the tape is not regenerated. In contrast, Yoo et al. used 2 anchors for fixation of the remnant ligament as an arthroscopic modified Broström procedure and a 3.5-mm knotless anchor for tape augmentation. Their technique is expected to facilitate ligament regeneration. However, their technique requires the insertion of 3 anchors onto the fibula. Hence, their technique is more complicated than our technique because we use only one knotless anchor for the fibula fixation.

The main limitation of our technique is that it only results in ATFL augmentation, since the IB does not support the CFL. However, the simultaneously performed arthroscopic Broström technique repairs both the ATFL and CFL complex. Hence, CFL repair is performed in conjunction with that of the ATFL. At the least, our technique is better than tape augmentation alone without Broström repair from the point of CFL repair.

In conclusion, an arthroscopic Broström repair with IB was described. This procedure needs to be further investigated to determine whether it is less invasive than the conventional open procedure. Further, the long-term results of our procedure need to be assessed because the utility of tape augmentation in the long term, even with the conventional technique, have not been evaluated. This procedure requires some training, but it seems to be a viable alternative to conventional open procedures and is a simple technique as compared with previous techniques.
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