Vapor Cannabis Exposure Promotes Genetic Plasticity in the Rat Hypothalamus
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It is well established that cannabis use promotes appetite. However, how cannabis interacts with the brain’s appetite center, the hypothalamus, to stimulate feeding behavior is unknown. A growing body of evidence indicates that the hypothalamic transcriptome programs energy balance. Here, we tested the hypothesis that cannabis targets alternative polyadenylation (APA) sites within hypothalamic transcripts to regulate transcriptomic function. To do this, we used a novel cannabis vapor exposure model to characterize feeding in adult male Long Evans rats and aligned this behavioral response with APA events using a Whole Transcriptome Termini Sequencing (WTTS-Seq) approach as well as functional RNA abundance measurements with real-time quantitative polymerase chain reactions. We found that vapor cannabis exposure promoted food intake in free-feeding and behaviorally sated rats, validating the appetite stimulating properties of cannabis. Our WTTS-Seq analysis mapped 59 unique cannabis-induced hypothalamic APAs that occurred primarily within exons on transcripts that regulate synaptic function, excitatory synaptic transmission, and dopamine signaling. Importantly, APA insertions regulated RNA abundance of \textit{Slc6a3}, the dopamine transporter, suggesting a novel genetic link for cannabis regulation of brain monoamine function. Collectively, these novel data indicate that a single cannabis exposure rapidly targets a key RNA processing mechanism linked to brain transcriptome function.

Cannabis is the most widely used illicit substance worldwide1 and its recent legalization has brought into question both its therapeutic potential and abuse liability2-6. The appetite stimulatory effects of cannabis are well established7-9 and have been harnessed to combat anorexia in some patient populations10-13. Thus, a better understanding of the biological mechanisms that regulate cannabis-induced feeding is necessary to improve treatment options for patients suffering from inappetence. In this context, the hypothalamus is the central nervous system (CNS) region that integrates metabolic information with internal need to regulate appetite and energy balance14,15. Within the hypothalamus, genetic programming events regulate food intake and energy balance16-20. Separate from genetic imprinting, dynamic changes in mRNA expression patterns within hypothalamic neurons are recognized as powerful processes that regulate food intake and body weight16-24. Recent work indicates that the transcriptional reorganization of gene networks offers a means to direct information flows from the genome to behavioral feeding phenotypes24,25.

Specifically, new evidence indicates that alternative polyadenylation (APA) of mRNAs, an RNA processing mechanism that generates 3' termini on transcripts, is a key genetic mechanism that regulates mRNA abundance and localization, and as a result, the biological function of neurons22,24,25. Accordingly, this improved understanding of APA biology has led to its use as a biomarker for behavioral disorders and a measure of effectiveness for pharmacotherapies used to mitigate these conditions26-31.

In the present study, we hypothesized that cannabis exposure would induce rapid changes in APA to regulate hypothalamic transcriptome function. To address this question, we used a novel plant matter vapor cannabis delivery system in tandem with a Whole Transcriptome Termini Site Sequencing (WTTS-Seq) approach to profile dynamic transcriptional changes within the hypothalamus in male Long-Evans rats. We incorporated assessment of homeostatic and hedonic appetite, analysis of differentially expressed APA events, protein-protein interaction networks, and RNA abundance measures to create an integrative genomics model for cannabis exposure in the hypothalamus.
hypothesis. Our results indicate that vapor cannabis exposure promotes increased feeding behavior in the free-feeding condition and following behavioral satiation.

In a separate study, we determined that vapor cannabis exposure reduced APA events on transcripts that regulate synaptic function and excitatory synaptic transmission within the hypothalamus. Notably, this observation occurred 1 hr following cannabis exposure, a timepoint aligned with feeding behavior in our model. Biological replication studies indicated that cannabis-induced APA events were functional to alter RNA abundance of Slc6a3, the rat dopamine transporter. In combination, these data highlight APA as a genetic mechanism with rapid restructuring properties that contributes to hypothalamic transcriptome function following cannabis exposure.

**Results**

**Cannabis increases food intake in free-feeding rats.** For the present study, we created a new cannabis exposure chamber to conduct the trials (Fig. 1A). We observed that cannabis exposure significantly increased chow intake in free-feeding rats. Specifically, our dose response determined that vaporized exposure to 800 mg of cannabis produced significant increase in standard chow intake (p < 0.05) relative to air-treated controls (Fig. 1B). Furthermore, rats receiving the behaviorally-relevant dose of 800 mg displayed elevated levels of plasma THC at 10 min (35.54 ± 9.51 ng/mL; F(1,6) = 7.4419, p = 0.0343), 30 min (28.94 ± 5.02 ng/mL; F(1,6) = 19.0266, p = 0.0048), and 60 min (21.80 ± 2.75 ng/mL; F(1,6) = 14.7686, p = 0.0085) in comparison to air-exposed controls that displayed undetectable levels of THC.
Cannabis exposure augments hedonic food intake. Preclinical work indicates that THC injection enhances the hedonic properties of sucrose in male rats. These findings are consistent with clinical reports indicating that patients using cannabis prefer to eat palatable foods. In the present study, vapor cannabis exposure induced significant feeding from a palatable liquid diet in sated rats. As per previous reports utilizing the dessert effect paradigm, total chow intake was negligible and did not differ between control and experimental rats over the 4 hr time course of exposure. Importantly, hedonic intake of Ensure was significantly increased following vapor cannabis exposure, an effect that emerged 120 min following exposure and persisted for 60 min. Collectively, these data indicate that vapor cannabis exposure stimulates feeding in the presence or absence of caloric need.

Cannabis-induced differentially expressed APAs at conventional and non-conventional RNA sites. Using 16 reads per site as a cutoff, we identified a total of 98,356 APA sites expressed in the hypothalamus (Table S1). Among them, 64,313 APA sites were assigned to the currently annotated genes in rat. With P < 0.01, 309 differentially expressed APA (DE-APA) sites were identified, including 243 down- and only 66 up-regulated in rats with cannabis exposure as compared to air-treated controls. Among them, 138 down- and 50 up-regulated DE-APA sites were used to enrich pathways using the Metascape program. Our functional ontology revealed that cannabis exposure impacted DE-APAs on gene networks implicated in learning impairment, memory, mood, movement, sensory perception, and cognition.
Cannabis exposure rapidly reduced APA events on hypothalamic transcripts. Vapor cannabis exposure rapidly altered APA on a collection of hypothalamic mRNA transcripts whose functional proteins broadly regulate synaptic function. Specifically, after filtration and in silico validation to confirm all valid differentially expressed APAs, 59 differentially expressed APAs (p < 0.01) were present in cannabis-exposed rats relative to air controls (Table 1). Based on log2 fold changes, vaporized cannabis stimulated increased APA on 24 differentially expressed APAs and in contrast 35 differentially expressed APAs were down-regulated. Two main pathway clusters further defined differentially expressed APAs induced by cannabis exposure: 1) behavioral response and 2) synaptic function (Fig. 3A).

Predicted protein-protein interactions (PPI) from cannabis-induced differentially expressed APAs. The analysis of our functional PPI map of differentially expressed APA related transcripts revealed a primary enrichment of a rat protein “interactome” implicated in synaptic function (Fig. 3B). Notably, most of the functional pathways (15/24) were enriched for down-regulated APA following cannabis exposure. RNA transcripts implicated in the down-regulatory network included G-Protein coupled receptor (GPCR) transcripts such as Rgs7, Whab, and Gprasp1. In addition, we detected glutamatergic and GABAergic transcripts such as Grin2d, Grid2, and Gabrb3 as well as dopamine-related transcripts including TH and Slc6a3. We also detected transcripts implicated in learning and synaptic development that included Cck and En1. Pcdh9 was the only protein identified with both up and down regulated differentially expressed APAs (Fig. 3B).

Acute cannabis exposure impacted gene expression on identified DE-APA transcripts. Within the 35 differentially expressed APAs that were down regulated, cannabis exposure reduced APA insertions on mRNAs that regulate glutamate neurotransmission, dopamine signaling, and synapse formation including: Grid2, Slc6a3, TH, Nrnx1, and Pcdh9 (p < 0.01) (Fig. 4A–F). We next independently validated mRNA abundance to corroborate the functional relevance of reduced APA among these transcripts. Results indicate that attenuated APA events secondary to cannabis exposure led to reduced abundance of Slc6a3 (p < 0.05; two-tailed t-test) and Grid2 mRNA, however, Grid2 did not reach statistical significance. The abundance of TH, Nrnx1, and Pcdh9 were unaltered relative to air-treated controls (Fig. 5A–F).

Discussion

The goal of the present study was to determine how vapor cannabis exposure influences transcriptome function in a brain region that regulates feeding behavior. From these efforts, several significant findings emerged. First, our data indicate that cannabis can promote feeding in the presence or absence of a caloric need, a phenomenon that occurred over a short duration of time following a single exposure to cannabis. Second, we aligned this behavioral response with differentially expressed APA events, functional RNA abundance, and PPI in the hypothalamus, thereby informing novel post-transcriptional mechanisms that may contribute to cannabis induced feeding behavior. In this regard, cannabis reduced APA on mRNAs implicated in excitatory synapse function and dopaminergic signaling. Collectively, these data indicate that pulmonary inhalation of the cannabis plant alters the genetic landscape of appetite regulatory brain regions.

Our novel system represents one of a limited number of attempts26,27 to design a preclinical rodent model with high face validity for vaporization of the cannabis plant. Cannabis contains hundreds of cannabinoids – offering many possible effects beyond those observed from THC, or CBG, phytocannabinoid derivatives that stimulate appetite in patients and rodents.28–42 To this point, previous studies have indicated that botanical drug substances have greater benefits than the individual extracted cannabinoid,43–45 highlighting the potential value of whole plant matter cannabis for appetite stimulation. In this context, pulmonary inhalation is the most widely used route of administration by cannabis users.46–51 In the present study, we detected elevated plasma THC levels in cannabis exposed rats 10 min after vapor exposure. Importantly, cannabis exposure increased both homeostatic food intake in free feeding rats and hedonic food intake in behaviorally sated rats. The nature of feeding behavior following vapor cannabis exposure included a delayed onset and short duration, effects supported by previous studies using injection of phytocannabinoid derivatives.52,53 Thus, consistent with previous reports, a delayed onset of appetite and short-term duration of feeding are common features to both phytocannabinoid and vapor cannabis-induced appetite.

Our next goal involved understanding how a behaviorally characterized dose of cannabis altered the genetic landscape within the hypothalamus. The functional ontology analysis we performed revealed that cannabis exposure selectively reduced APA on mRNAs as opposed to other biotypes. We detected APAs within multiple regions of affected transcripts following cannabis exposure. For instance, cannabis exposure resulted in a significant decrease of APA events on multiple transcripts implicated in dopaminergic neurotransmission, such as Slc6a3, the dopamine transporter, which is tightly linked to addiction vulnerability and feeding behavior54–59 and on tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), the rate limiting enzyme for dopamine production60. This observation becomes relevant when considering that acute THC exposure promotes...
| Gene Symbol | Biotype | Class Code | Average Reads Air Control | Average Reads CPM Exposed | p-Value |
|-------------|---------|------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Slc6a3      | mRNA    | Intronic   | 290.75                    | 37.25                     | 4.01E-11 |
| Enl         | mRNA    | Distal     | 33.5                      | 4.5                       | 9.67E-08 |
| LOC108352127| lncRNA  | Distal     | 25.75                     | 2                         | 2.11E-06 |
| Pdhr9       | mRNA    | Intronic   | 13.25                     | 69.75                     | 4.04E-06 |
| Th          | mRNA    | Distal     | 323.75                    | 139.5                     | 7.27E-05 |
| Psh3        | mRNA    | Distal     | 90.5                      | 25.25                     | 0.000111599 |
| H1r1ap1     | mRNA    | Intronic   | 11                        | 0.5                       | 0.000187807 |
| Cck         | mRNA    | Distal     | 126.25                    | 59                        | 0.000222467 |
| Ptns        | mRNA    | Extended Exonic | 700.5                 | 331                       | 0.00026513 |
| Chgb        | mRNA    | Exonic     | 37.25                     | 3.25                      | 0.000389995 |
| Zfp717      | mRNA    | Exonic     | 0.5                       | 9.75                      | 0.000581046 |
| Ccap        | mRNA    | Exonic     | 8.25                      | 0.75                      | 0.000678713 |
| Nesn1       | mRNA    | Intronic   | 45.5                      | 13.5                      | 0.000815366 |
| Tmem130     | mRNA    | Exonic     | 3.5                       | 19                        | 0.000995052 |
| Grid2       | mRNA    | Intronic   | 8.75                      | 0.5                       | 0.001282604 |
| Avp         | mRNA    | Distal     | 2006.5                    | 5559.75                   | 0.001404665 |
| Ntm         | mRNA    | Exonic     | 1.25                      | 14.25                     | 0.001458359 |
| Fvllb       | mRNA    | Exonic     | 121.25                    | 50.5                      | 0.002598358 |
| Gprap1      | mRNA    | Exonic     | 10                        | 1                         | 0.002712193 |
| Ywhab       | mRNA    | Extended Exonic | 15.25                    | 3.75                      | 0.002865265 |
| Otda7b      | mRNA    | Extended Exonic | 5.75                      | 0.25                      | 0.002879665 |
| Cdx186      | mRNA    | Exonic     | 7                         | 0.5                       | 0.00311328 |
| Beat1       | mRNA    | Exonic     | 0.25                      | 9                         | 0.003300789 |
| Citnna2     | mRNA    | Intronic   | 4.75                      | 0.25                      | 0.003970525 |
| Pdhr9       | mRNA    | Intronic   | 14                        | 3.75                      | 0.00435816 |
| Dect1       | mRNA    | Extended Exonic | 5.25                      | 28.5                      | 0.00473107 |
| Mir1188     | mRNA    | Extended Distal | 5.75                      | 0                         | 0.004929709 |
| Pcusin1     | mRNA    | Exonic     | 9.25                      | 0.5                       | 0.005254815 |
| Xif5a       | mRNA    | Exonic     | 11.25                     | 56.75                     | 0.005269956 |
| Lqgb1       | mRNA    | Intronic   | 0                         | 4                         | 0.005422377 |
| Lmo4        | mRNA    | Exonic     | 1.75                      | 12.5                      | 0.005556857 |
| Ybuk1       | mRNA    | Intronic   | 1                         | 7.5                       | 0.00575421 |
| Zfp407      | mRNA    | Intronic   | 11.25                     | 32.75                     | 0.005813125 |
| LOC103690249| lncRNA  | Intronic   | 1.75                      | 11                        | 0.005940773 |
| Gabrb3      | mRNA    | Intronic   | 4.25                      | 0.25                      | 0.005987257 |
| Arhgef9     | mRNA    | Intronic   | 0                         | 6                         | 0.006142502 |
| Prkg1       | mRNA    | Intronic   | 7.75                      | 1                         | 0.006293998 |
| Apc         | mRNA    | Exonic     | 70                        | 30.75                     | 0.006312224 |
| Wipt2       | mRNA    | Extended Distal | 9                        | 2.25                      | 0.006429699 |
| Ctnnap2     | mRNA    | Intronic   | 7                         | 0.25                      | 0.006439209 |
| Drosha      | mRNA    | Intronic   | 5.5                       | 17.75                     | 0.007340514 |
| Nbas        | mRNA    | Intronic   | 9.75                      | 28.75                     | 0.007352915 |
| Patz1       | mRNA    | Distal     | 16.75                     | 41.5                      | 0.007354928 |
| Rgs7        | mRNA    | Intronic   | 7                         | 0.75                      | 0.007609581 |
| Zfp106      | mRNA    | Exonic     | 13.5                      | 1.75                      | 0.00770108 |
| Calh23      | mRNA    | Exonic     | 1.75                      | 9.75                      | 0.007732982 |
| Ralgs1      | mRNA    | Intronic   | 4                         | 0                         | 0.007786372 |
| Bsg         | mRNA    | Distal     | 268.75                    | 627                       | 0.007791359 |
| Grin2d      | mRNA    | Exonic     | 4.75                      | 0                         | 0.007994193 |
| Oxt         | mRNA    | Distal     | 695.75                    | 1446.75                   | 0.008433266 |
| Zc3h13      | mRNA    | Intronic   | 9.25                      | 2.5                       | 0.008545125 |
| Zfp106      | mRNA    | Exonic     | 4.75                      | 0                         | 0.008732914 |
| LOC102555913| lncRNA  | Extended Distal | 10.75                     | 3.5                       | 0.009126488 |
| RGD1307443  | mRNA    | Exonic     | 8.5                       | 25.75                     | 0.009276461 |
| Map1a       | mRNA    | Exonic     | 5                         | 31                        | 0.0094434 |

Continued
dopamine efflux in the brains of humans and rodents\(^{61-65}\). Our data highlight cannabis-induced APA as a novel genetic mechanism that potentially regulates CNS dopamine function. Vapor cannabis exposure also decreased APA events on \(\text{Grid2}^{66,67}\) and \(\text{Grin2d}\), ionotropic glutamate receptors that regulate excitatory synaptic transmission\(^{66,67}\). Interestingly, we detected decreased APA events on \(\text{Nrxn1}^{68-70}\), a transcript involved with synaptic plasticity\(^{68-70}\) and clinical nicotine dependence\(^{71}\). Future studies that focus on manipulating APA sites will determine the functional relevance of this process for overall cellular function and \textit{in vivo} behavior.

In terms of functional relevance of APA events, cannabis exposure led to significant down-regulation of \(\text{Slc6a3}\) and decreased RNA abundance for all transcripts except \(\text{Grid2d}\) (not measured) and \(\text{Nrxn1}\), which increased relative to air controls however these measures did not reach statistical significance. These data suggest that APA

| Gene Symbol | Biotype | Class Code | Average Reads | Average Reads | p-Value |
|-------------|---------|------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| \(\text{Nrxn1}\) | mRNA | Exonic | 3.75 | 0.25 | 0.00947235 |
| LOC686774 | mRNA | Extended Exonic | 7.75 | 0.5 | 0.009647936 |
| \(\text{Eff2d}\) | mRNA | Intrinsic | 3.5 | 13.25 | 0.00979683 |
| \(\text{Med13l}\) | mRNA | Exonic | 21.5 | 57.5 | 0.00994487 |

Table 1. Table of the 59 most significantly up- and down-regulated differentially expressed APA sequences as determined by the Metscape analysis. Gene name, biotype, class code, and average reads between cannabis treatment and air treated controls are provided with p-value statistics.

Figure 3. Gene pathway enrichment analysis for DE-APA protein coding genes and protein-protein interaction network of DE-APA related genes. (A) We identified 24 differentially expressed APAs exclusively up-regulated and 35 differentially expressed APAs lost or down-regulated in acute cannabis exposed rats. Terms with p-value (<0.01) were defined as significant pathways. Using this criteria cannabis exposed rats had two main pathway clusters down-regulated: (1) behavioral response and (2) synaptic function. (B) Yellow nodes represent transcripts with increased APA events, blue nodes represent gene transcripts with decreased APA events in cannabis exposed rats relative to air controls. The pink nodes indicate APA impacted gene transcripts with both up- and down-regulated pathway enrichment in response to cannabis exposure. Our functional protein-protein interaction (PPI) network mainly demonstrate enrichment of pathways from transcripts with decreased APA events, while we observed less pathway enrichment from gene transcripts with increased APA events.
Figure 4. Significant pathways from the functional ontology were selected to have closer inspection of genes associated with vaporized cannabis treatment. This analysis revealed that vaporized cannabis exposure selectively reduced APA events (p-value = p < 0.01) on mRNA transcripts that regulate glutamate transmission such as (A) Slc6a3, (B) Cck, (C) Grid2, (D) Grin2d, (E) TH, and (F) Nrxn1.

Figure 5. Reduction of APA events and mRNA abundance in cannabis exposed rats. Specifically, reduced APA led to down-regulation of (A) Slc6a3, (B) Cck, (C) Grid2, and (E) TH. Although all were reduced relative to air-treated controls, only Slc6a3 reached statistical significance (F_{1,14} = 5.9377, p = 0.0299). In contrast, (D) Avp abundance increased relative to air treated controls, and (F) Nrxn1 did not differ from air-treated controls.
events are sometimes coupled with mRNA abundance changes at an acute timepoint following cannabis exposure. In this regard, it is possible that monitoring mRNA abundance and/or stability at later time points following cannabis treatment may have yielded tighter coupling to APA events. These contentions notwithstanding, our PPI analysis uncovered a protein interactome where TH, Cinna2, and Nrxn1 served as hubs between APA identified transcripts involved in dopamine signaling, cell adhesion, and synapse formation, respectively.

The present findings align with previous reports detailing the effects of exogenous THC on the brain and may offer a further integration of the genetic mechanisms that influence cannabinoid effects on neurobiological function. For example, work from Miller et al. reported that adolescent THC exposure stimulated gene expression changes in transcripts such as Pedhac1 and Slc441a, synaptic transcripts that code for proteins with highly similar functions to those identified by our APA analysis72. Further work from the same group examining transgeno-

mote appetite. Cannabis-induced feeding was defined by a delayed onset and short duration. A behaviorally relevant dose of cannabis led to decreased APA in the hypothalamus, reflected in a functional decrease in key protein-coding RNA transcripts linked to dopaminergic neurotransmission. Collectively, our data highlight the ability of vapor cannabis exposure to rapidly target an RNA processing mechanism linked to regulation of brain transcriptome function.

Methods and Materials

Animals. Male Long-Evans rats (Harlan, IN) weighing 275–300g were used as experimental subjects. All rats were maintained on ad libitum chow and water except when indicated. Rats were housed in an environmentally controlled vivarium on a reverse light cycle and acclimated for 1 week prior to experimental manipulations. All work adhered to Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) guidelines at Washington State University (WSU), and all experimental protocols used in the study were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee at Washington State University (WSU IACUC 6523 and 6527).

Diet. Rats were maintained ad libitum on regular rodent chow (3.41 kcal/g, LabDiet 5001) while in their home cages. Chocolate-flavored Ensure (1.8 kcal/g) was provided to measure hedonic feeding behavior.

Apparatus. Vapor chambers were constructed by attaching a cannabis vaporizer (VapirRise 2.0, Vapir Inc., Santa Clara, CA) to sealed positive ventilation rodent shoebox cages (Allentown Inc., Allentown, PA) as illustrated in Fig. 1A. The measurements of the Allentown positive ventilation rodent shoebox cages are as follows: base dimensions 14 in × 10 in × 7 in; lid dimensions 14 in × 10 in × 2.5 in. The flow rate of the VapirRise 2.0 was less than 1 L/min and cannabis plant matter was combusted at 360°F.

Cannabis. Dry plant matter cannabis sativa (7.8% THC, 0.5% CBD) classified as “bulk marijuana” was obtained from NIDA Drug Supply (Research Triangle, NC). Cannabis consisted of ground whole plant matter, with large stems removed for combustion in the Vaporizer 2.0 apparatus, as described above.

General procedure. For each experiment, rats (n = 8/group) were habituated to the vapor chambers (Fig. 1) for 10 min across 5 days prior to any experimental manipulation. On test days, the rats were exposed to cannabis (7.8% THC, 0.5% CBD, whole plant matter, NIDA drug supply, Research Triangle, NC) vaporized over a 10 min period. Control rats were placed into an identical apparatus with an unfilled vaporizer and served as “air-treated” controls. Following establishment of the dose-response, plasma was collected to measure THC concentration from a subset (n = 4/group) of rats. For this experiment, plasma THC measures were performed following exposure to a behaviorally relevant cannabis dose (800 mg) that stimulated food intake.

For assessment of hedonic feeding, behaviorally satiated rats were given access to chocolate flavored Ensure for 4 hrs following air or vapor cannabis exposure. We used the behavioral assessment of hedonic feeding to guide measurement of hypothalamic APA events in a separate cohort of male rats (n = 8/group). For APA analysis, free feeding rats were exposed to cannabis and food was removed for a 1 hr period prior to collection of hypothalamic tissue for WTTS-Seq analysis. A third cohort of rats (n = 8/group) was exposed to an identical cannabis-dosing/food restriction paradigm served as a biological replicate for measurement of hypothalamic RNA abundance.

Experiment 1: dose determination – cannabis-induced feeding response & plasma THC. To determine a dose-response for food intake, free-feeding male rats (n = 8) were exposed to 200 mg, 400 mg, and 800 mg of vaporized cannabis and food intake was measured over a 2 hr period. Following behavioral characterization, plasma levels of 11-nor-carboxy-Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), the most abundant metabolite of Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol, were analyzed in a separate cohort of male LE rats (n = 4/group) using a Max Signal THC ELISA kit (Bio Scientific #5013, Austin, TX) at 10, 30, and 60 following cannabis exposure. No food was offered during THC measurements.
Experiment 2: cannabis and hedonic appetite. We used our "dessert effect" paradigm to measure hedonic intake of palatable food or feeding in the absence of caloric need. To accomplish this, rats were nutrient deprived for 20hrs then subsequently resupplied with unlimited access to standard rodent chow for 2hrs to induce behavioral satiation. After behavioral satiation, rats were exposed to 800 mg cannabis then provided with a highly palatable liquid diet (chocolate flavored Ensure). Chow and ensure intake were then measured every 30 min for 4hrs. To reduce neophobia, all rats were exposed to a small amount of Ensure prior to the test. As in previous studies utilizing this dessert effect paradigm, rats require no training to complete this task.

Experiment 3: hypothalamic APA events following cannabis exposure. In this experiment, APA events were measured in hypothalamic tissue collected 1 hr following vaporized cannabis exposure. Specifically, upon sacrifice, whole brains were flash frozen in 2-methylbutane, and the hypothalamus was micro-dissected out. Total RNA was isolated from hypothalamic samples with TRIzol Reagent (650 μL/sample, Life Technologies Corp., Carlsbad, CA). DNA was removed by treating total RNA with DNase (AM1906, Ambion) to prevent DNA contamination. Total RNA quantity was measured with the Quant-It RiboGreen RNA Assay Kit (Life Technologies Corp., Carlsbad, CA) and quality assessed by fragment analysis (Advanced Analytical Technologies, Inc., Ankeny, IA).

WTTS-seq library construction. In the present study, we used our WTTS-Seq protocol to construct libraries for all rats. For each individual sample, 2.5 μg of total RNA was chemically fragmented using RNA fragmentation buffer (AM8740, Ambion) as the first step, then enrichment of poly(A) + RNA was accomplished with Dynabeads oligo(T) magnetic beads (61002, Ambion) followed by reverse transcription with SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (18080, Invitrogen) to synthesize first strand cDNA. Next, both 5′- and 3′- adaptors were added to fit the Ion Torrent sequencing platform. All RNA molecules were removed by both RNases H (M0297L, NEB) and I (EN0601, Thermo Scientific) to prevent contamination, 250–500 bp first-strand cDNA molecules were selected with solid-phase reversible immobilization beads (A63880, Beckman Coulter), and second-strand cDNA was synthesized by PCR. Lastly, all libraries were sequenced using an Ion PGM™ Sequencer at Washington State University.

Read filtration, mapping, and APA predication. Sequencing of 8 WTTS-seq libraries yielded a total of 47,803,583 raw reads, ranging from 2,457,707 to 9,828,283 reads per sample. Raw reads with a minimum of 50% bases covered at 90% identity (Q10) were filtered with the FASTX Toolkit (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/) to produce high-quality reads. According to our WTTS-seq method's strand-specific feature, in-house Perl scripts were applied to trim all Ts (thymine(s)) at beginning of each read and keep at least 16nt long reads for mapping, which produced 47,678,618 reads and 46,668,933 reads, respectively. The rat reference genome in FASTA format and annotation file in GFF format were downloaded from NCBI (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ genome/term=rat) with the version Rattus norvegicus Rnor_6.0 (Annotation Release 106). TMAP (version 3.4.1, https://github.com/iontorrent/TMAP) was chosen as the mapping tools to complete all read mappings. The polyadenylation adjacent site was defined as the first nucleotide of each trimmed read, and then it was clustered with others that are within a 24-nt window. After clustering, all polyadenylation sites were determined as predicted APA sites.

Cannabis-induced APA gene annotation in rats. The Cuffcompare program (version 2.2.1) was used to assign gene information to each predicted APA site, gene biotypes and class codes are also included. Genome level information contained scaffold number, strand direction and 5′- and 3′-end coordinates on each chromosome, while gene level information included ID number, strand, biotype, symbol, and transcript ID for each APA site.

DE-APA data annotation, pathway enrichment. The DESeq package (https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/DESeq_2.html) was used to identify DE-APAs between the cannabis exposed condition and controls. Quality control mapping (MAPQ < 70) was applied to avoid low mapping reads resulting in 123 differentially expressed APAs with relatively low mapping quality that were removed. We selected differentially expressed APAs with significant p-values of 0.05. If the average expression levels of differentially expressed APAs under the cannabis condition were greater than differentially expressed APAs in the control condition, their fold changes were designated as negative values. Both gene sets associated with differentially expressed APAs that were up or down-regulated in cannabis exposed condition were used together as inputs into the Metascape program for both pathways. The UCSC Genome Browser (Kent, W. J. et al. 2002, http://genome.ucsc.edu/) and BLAT (Kent, W. J. et al. 2002, http://genome.ucsc.edu/) were used in silico validation to confirm all valid differentially expressed APAs at the gene level.

Experiment 4: functional independent validation of APA reads. To independently validate the APA reads, we utilized real time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). For RT-qPCR validation, total RNA from cannabis and air treated rats (n = 8/group) was extracted using a Qiagen RNeasy Micro Kit (Qiagen, MD) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Total RNA was quantified using a Nanodrop 2000c spectrophotometer, and a High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit with RNase Inhibitor (Applied Biosystems, CA) was utilized to reverse transcribe complementary DNA (cDNA). Following a 15-fold dilution with DEPC water, template cDNA was mixed with Fast SYBR® Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, CA) and primers, and then assayed in triplicates on a 96 well plate with appropriate negative controls to detect contamination. RNA expression was measured with a ViiA 7 RT-qPCR system (Life Technologies Corporation, NY) for Rn45s
regions. The protein coding genes associated with the differentially expressed APAs were then used as input in the

Statistical analysis. Food intake was analyzed by univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA). All statistical
comparisons were conducted at 0.05 level. The DESeq.2 package in R was used to normalize raw reads
among samples, separate transcriptome profiles within and between treatment groups and to identify differentially
expressed APAs with Padj (corrected) < 0.1. All APAs, including the differentially expressed APAs were
further annotated using the HOMER (v4.9) software suite (http://biowhat.ucsd.edu/homer/) to determine mapping
locations, including TTS (transcription terminal site, by default defined from −100 bp to +1 kb), exons, introns, 3′UTR, 5′UTR, TSS (transcription start site, by default defined from −1 kb to +100 bp) and intergenic regions. The protein coding genes associated with the differentially expressed APAs were then used as input in the
Metascape program and pathways were were enriched using “GO Biological Processes”. Protein-protein interaction
networks were identified using the STRING database (https://string-db.org/) ²⁷ and visualized using the graphical
network Cytoscape software (version 3.5.1). The χ² test was used to detect significant differences among
between categories using R. In addition, the raw WTTS-Seq reads have been submitted to The NCBI Gene
Expression Omnibus (GEO) (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) under accession no GSE100349.

Accession codes. WTTS-Seq data for this study can be found at the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) under accession code no GSE100349.
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