Hepatoprotective and Antioxidant Effect of Bauhinia hookeri Extract against Carbon Tetrachloride-Induced Hepatotoxicity in Mice and Characterization of Its Bioactive Compounds by HPLC-PDA-ESI-MS/MS
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The hepatoprotective and antioxidant activity of Bauhinia hookeri ethanol extract (BHE) against CCl4-induced liver injury was investigated in mice. BHE was administered (500 and 1000 mg/kg/day) along with CCl4 for 6 weeks. The hepatic marker enzymes: alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) were determined in the serum. The antioxidant parameters: glutathione (GSH), superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione peroxidase (GPx), glutathione reductase (GR), glutathione transferase (GST), and malondialdehyde (MDA) were estimated in the liver homogenate. BHE treatment significantly inhibited the CCl4-induced increase in ALT (44 and 64%), AST (36 and 46%), ALP (28 and 42%), and MDA (39 and 51%) levels at the tested doses, respectively. Moreover, BHE treatment markedly increased the activity of antioxidant parameters GSH, GPx, GR, GST, and SOD. Histological observations confirmed the strong hepatoprotective activity. These results suggest that a dietary supplement of BHE could exert a beneficial effect against oxidative stress and various liver diseases by enhancing the antioxidant defense status, reducing lipid peroxidation, and protecting against the pathological changes of the liver. The hepatoprotective activity of BHE is mediated, at least in part, by the antioxidant effect of its constituents. The active constituents of BHE were identified by HPLC-PDA-ESI/MS/MS.

1. Introduction

The liver is a vital organ that has a crucial role in the detoxification of various xenobiotics [1]. Excessive exposure to drugs and environmental pollutants overpowers the natural protective mechanisms of the liver and leads to hepatic injury. Liver damage is associated with cellular necrosis, plasma membrane damage, and depletion in the glutathione level (GSH). The levels of serum markers of liver damage such as alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) are elevated [2]. Long-standing hepatic injury leads to hepatic steatosis, fibrosis, and even to life-threatening conditions, such as liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma [3]. Steroids and vaccines have been used for the treatment of liver diseases; however, they have serious adverse side effects and are of limited therapeutic benefits [3]. Silymarin, a mixture of flavonolignans obtained from milk thistle, is
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals. Carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄), ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), 5,5'-dithiobis-2-nitrobenzoic acid (DTNB, Ellman's reagent), potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH₂PO₄), reduced glutathione (GSH), 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (CDNB), nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate reduced form (NADPH), nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide reduced form (NADH), GR, oxidized glutathione (GSSG), nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT), phenazine methosulphate (PMT), trichloroacetic acid (TCA), thiobarbituric acid (TBA), and silymarin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). The assay kits were purchased from Spectrum, MDSS, GmbH, Hannover, Germany.

2.2. Plant Material. The leaves of *B. hookeri* were collected in July 2011 from the botanical garden of the Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt. The plant was botanically identified by Eng. Therese Labib, the taxonomy specialist at the herbarium of El-Orman Botanical Garden, Giza, Egypt. A voucher specimen of *B. hookeri* was deposited at the herbarium of the Department of Pharmacognosy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt (ASU BHF2011).

2.3. Extract Preparation. Air-dried powdered leaves of *B. hookeri* (1700 g) were extracted three times with 80% EtOH. The total extract was concentrated and freeze-dried to obtain a dry powder, which was dissolved in absolute EtOH. The EtOH-soluble portion was concentrated and freeze-dried to obtain a dry powder of BHE (140 g).

2.4. HPLC-PDA-ESI/MS/MS Method. Part of the extract was dissolved in 20% MeOH (20 mg/mL), and the solution was filtered through 0.45 μm membranes. LC-HRESIMS was performed on a Bruker microTOF-Q Daltonics (API) Time-Of-Flight mass spectrometer (Bremen, Germany) coupled to a 1200 series HPLC system (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) equipped with Starlight diode-array detector (PDA). Chromatographic separation was performed on an XBridge C18 (2.1 × 100 mm; 3.5 μm) column (Waters, Dublin, Ireland). The mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile (A) and 0.1% formic acid (B). The elution profile was 0–3 min, 100% B (isocratic); 3–30 min, 0–30% A in B; 30–35 min, 30–70% A in B; 35–37 min, 70% A in B (isocratic) with constant flow rate of 0.2 mL/min. The HPLC system was controlled by Hystar software (version 3.2; Bruker BioSpin GmbH, Rheinstetten, Germany). The mass spectrometer was controlled by the Compass L3 for micrOTOF software package (Bruker Daltonics GmbH, Rheinstetten, Germany). The ionization technique was a pneumatically assisted electrospray. The mass spectrometer was operated in the negative mode, and mass detection was performed in the full scan mode in the range of m/z 50–2000 m/z. The following settings were applied to the instrument: capillary voltage, 4000 V; end plate offset –500 V. The drying gas (N₂) flow rate was 8.4 L/min, and the drying gas temperature was 200°C.
collision-induced dissociation (CID) MS/MS measurements, the voltage over the collision cell varied from 20 to 70 eV. Argon was used as collision gas. The data were analyzed using Compass Data Analysis Software (version 4.0 SP5; Bruker Daltonics GmbH, Rheinstetten, Germany).

2.5. Animals. Male Swiss albino mice (CD-1 strain) weighing 20–25 g were purchased from the Schistosome Biological Supply Center at the Theodor Bilharz Research Institute, Giza, Egypt. The animals were housed under standard laboratory conditions of controlled temperature (20 ± 2°C), humidity (60 ± 5%), and under 12 h light and dark cycles. The mice were fed a standard rodent pellet chow and water ad libitum. The animals were acclimatized for at least 1 week before use. All the animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health (NIH 1985) and were approved by the ethical committee of the Faculty of Pharmacy, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt (ASU 2013-8 Research Article 5, approval date August 5th 2013).

2.6. Acute Toxicity Study. A group of 36 normal male mice weighing 20–25 g were used to determine the acute oral toxicity of BHE according to the previous method [14]. The mice were divided into six subgroups (n = 6 per group). The subgroups were treated with graded doses (500, 1000, 2000, 3000, 4000, and 5000 mg/kg body weight p.o.) of BHE. The animals were observed for 24 h to record toxicity symptoms and mortality rates.

2.7. Animal Grouping and Experimentation. The animals were divided into five groups (n = 9 per group). Group (I) served as the normal control and received vehicle only (0.5%, w/v, carboxymethyl cellulose). Group (II) was treated intraperitoneally with a sublethal dose of CCl4 (20% CCl4/olive oil, 3 days/week) for 6 weeks to induce chronic liver injury. The mice in groups (III and IV) were treated orally with 500 and 1000 mg/kg body weight of BHE, respectively, together with CCl4 for 6 weeks. The extract was given to the animals 5 days/week, while CCl4 was administered 3 days/week. Group (V) was treated with standard silymarin at a daily dose of 500 mg/kg body weight p.o., 5 days/week. Similarly, CCl4 was administered 3 days/week. The animals were anesthetized using diethyl ether and then sacrificed by decapitation 48 h after the last treatment dose and blood samples were immediately collected. The livers were dissected into two parts. The first part was fixed in 10% formalin for the histopathology. The second part was washed with 0.9% ice cold saline. A piece of 0.5 g was homogenized in 2.5 volumes (w/v) ice cold 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). The homogenate was centrifuged at 600 g for 10 min to remove the cell debris, and the supernatant was centrifuged at 10000 g and the pellet was discarded. The supernatant was collected and stored at −70°C for the estimation of liver GSH content, antioxidant enzymes, and lipid peroxidation.

2.8. Biochemical Assays. The collected blood was allowed to clot, the serum was separated at 1800 g, and the biochemical markers of hepatic damage, including serum AST (U/L), ALT (U/L), and ALP (U/L), were estimated according to previously reported methods [15, 16]. Liver homogenate was deproteinized in 5% (w/v) TCA, centrifuged at 2000 g for 20 min, and the GSH content was estimated by Ellman's reagent using a standard curve [17]. The SOD assay was based on the inhibition of NBT reduction to water insoluble blue formazan [18]. One unit of enzyme activity was defined as the amount of enzyme that causes half-maximal inhibition of NBT reduction in the NADH-PMT-NBT reaction system. The SOD activity was assayed at 560 nm and expressed in μmole/min/g liver. The hepatic GPx activity was determined by monitoring GSH oxidation [19]. The enzyme reaction contained NADPH, GSH, and GR and was initiated by the addition of H2O2. The change in absorbance was monitored at 340 nm. One unit of GPx activity was defined as the amount of enzyme that catalyzes the oxidation of 1 μmole of NADPH/min/g liver. The GR activity was assayed by monitoring the oxidation of NADPH at 340 nm using GSSG as a substrate [20]. The GST activity was measured based on the rate of increase in the conjugate formation between GSH and CDNB, and the absorbance was monitored at 340 nm. One unit of GST activity was defined as 1 μmole conjugate formation/min/g liver [21]. Malondialdehyde (MDA) is a biomarker of lipid peroxidation and reacts with TBA to form a pink chromogen [22]. Briefly, 1 mL of the liver homogenate was mixed with 1 mL of TCA (10% w/v) and was centrifuged at 1850 g for 15 min. 1 mL of TBA solution (0.67% w/v) was added to 1 mL of supernatant and boiled for 45 min. Absorbance was read after cooling at 530 nm against a blank containing all the reagents except the liver homogenate. The content of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) in the samples was calculated using the extinction coefficient of MDA (1.56 × 105 M−1 cm−1), and the results were expressed as MDA equivalents in nmole/g liver.

2.9. Histopathological Examination. Liver sections were embedded in paraffin and sliced into 5 μm thick sections in a rotary microtome (Leica, USA) and then stained with hematoxylin-eosin dye (Merck). The histopathological examination of the slides was performed under a microscope (Zeiss, Germany) with ×200 magnification power. The parameters examined for the assessment of histological damage and their relative score systems were as follows:

1. hepatic architecture: preserved, partial loss or complete loss,
2. hydroptic degeneration: absent, mild, moderate or marked,
3. fatty changes: absent or present,
4. central vein congestion: present or absent,
5. Kupffer cell hyperplasia: absent or present,
6. necrosis: absent or present,
7. infiltration of portal tract by lymphocytes: absent or present.
Table 1: LC-PDA-ESI/MS/MS identification of the major constituents of B. hookeri.

| N | t<sub>R</sub> (min) | UV maximum (nm) | (M–H)<sup>−</sup> m/z | Fragments (MS/MS) m/z | Tentative structural assignment |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | 4.7              | 222, 271         | 169.01           | 125.02           | Gallic acid                 |
| 2 | 13.0             | 220, 225 (sh), 280, 320 | 311.04           | 179.04, 149.01, 135.05 | Caffeic acid pentose ester |
| 3 | 14.1             | 218, 230 (sh), 280, 325 | 311.04           | 179.04, 149.01, 135.05 | Caffeic acid pentose ester |
| 4 | 15.1             | 205, 225 (sh), 280 | 577.14           | 425.09, 407.08, 289.07, 245.08, 125.03 | Procyanidin dimer (epi/catechin dimer) |
| 5 | 16.4             | 205, 230 (sh), 280 (sh), 315 (sh) | 295.05           | 163.04, 149.01, 119.05 | Coumaric acid pentose ester |
| 6 | 17.4             | 205, 225 (sh), 280 | 865.21           | 407.08, 289.07, 125.03 | Procyanidin trimer (epi/catechin trimer) |
| 7 | 17.7             | 220, 280         | 729.16           | 463.09, 301.04, 300.03, 271.03, 255.03, 179.00, 151.01, 169.02, 125.03 | Quercetin 3-O-hexoside gallate |
| 8 | 18.2             | 200, 260, 355    | 615.11           | 301.04, 300.03, 271.02, 255.03, 179.00, 151.01 | Rutin: Quercetin 3-O-rhamnosyl (1→6) hexoside |
| 9 | 18.5             | 210, 250, 356    | 609.16           | 289.07, 245.08, 169.02, 125.03 | Epicatechin gallate |
| 10| 19.4             | 210, 278         | 441.09           | 301.04, 300.03, 271.02, 255.03, 179.00, 151.00 | Quercetin 3-O-hexoside |
| 11| 19.6             | 200, 260, 355    | 463.09           | 447.10, 285.04, 284.03, 255.03, 227.04, 169.02, 125.03 | Kaempferol 3-O-galloyl hexoside |
| 12| 21.1             | 200, 265, 345    | 599.11           | 285.04, 284.03, 255.03, 227.04 | Kaempferol 3-O-hexoside |
| 13| 22.0             | 200, 265, 345    | 447.10           | 295.05, 277.04, 163.04, 145.03, 119.05 | Dicoumaroyl pentose |
| 14| 28.4             | 220, 290 (sh), 318 | 441.09           | 295.05, 277.04, 163.04, 145.03, 119.05 | Dicoumaroyl pentose |
| 15| 29.0             | 220, 290 (sh), 315 | 441.09           | 295.05, 277.04, 163.04, 145.03, 119.05 | Dicoumaroyl pentose |

Figure 1: HPLC chromatogram of BHE.

2.10. Statistical Analysis. All the data were expressed as means ± SEM. Statistical analysis of the data was performed using the one-way ANOVA test followed by Tukey's post hoc test to determine the difference between the mean values of the different groups. All statistical analyses were performed using the GraphPad InStat software (Version 3.06, La Jolla, CA, USA). P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Identification of the Constituents of BHE by HPLC-PDA-ESI/MS/MS. In this study, 15 compounds were detected in BHE using the HPLC instrument coupled to a PDA detector and a mass spectrometer. The combination of the PDA and mass spectrometry (MS/MS) data provided a sensitive method for the characterization of the constituents of BHE (Table 1 and Figure 1). Compounds 2 and 3 were identified as caffeic pentose esters based on their molecular ion [M–H]<sup>−</sup> at m/z 311.04 and the MS/MS ion at m/z 179.04 of caffeic acid, which results from the loss of a pentose moiety (−132 amu). Heterocyclic ring fission (HRF) of the flavan-3-ol structure results in the loss of a phloroglucinol unit (m/z 125.03). Direct cleavage of the interflavanoid bonds results in the fragment ion at m/z 289.07 [24, 25].
Table 2: Effect of BHE on hepatic marker enzymes after 6 weeks of CCl₄ intoxication in mice.

| Animal groups       | ALT (U/L)     | AST (U/L)     | ALP (IU/L)    |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Control             | 13.23 ± 0.71<sup>1</sup> | 122.40 ± 6.28<sup>1</sup> | 81.06 ± 4.73<sup>1</sup> |
| CCl₄                | 43.25 ± 3.05<sup>*</sup> | 223.30 ± 5.32<sup>*</sup> | 143.65 ± 3.82<sup>*</sup> |
| BHE (500 mg/kg/day) | 24.29 ± 2.60<sup>44</sup> | 142.84 ± 5.45<sup>7</sup> | 104.14 ± 5.44<sup>7</sup> |
| BHE (1000 mg/kg/day)| 15.76 ± 2.72<sup>46</sup> | 120.24 ± 5.83<sup>46</sup> | 83.76 ± 5.86<sup>46</sup> |
| Silymarin (500 mg/kg/day) | 23.64 ± 2.25<sup>45</sup> | 125.06 ± 3.43<sup>46</sup> | 89.78 ± 5.24<sup>46</sup> |

Data are expressed as means ± SEM, (n = 9).

The numbers in parentheses represent the percentage of reduction from the CCl₄-intoxicated group. Values having different superscripts within the same column are significantly different at P < 0.05.

3.2. Acute Toxicity. No adverse behavioral changes, toxicity symptoms, or mortality were observed in mice at doses up to 5000 mg/kg (LD₅₀ > 5000 mg/kg). Based on these findings, BHE is considered safe in mice.

3.3. Hepatoprotective Effect. A significant increase in the activity of the serum enzymes ALT, AST, and ALP (P < 0.001) was observed in the CCl₄ group compared to the negative control group (Table 2). The treatment of intoxicated mice with BHE at the tested doses (500 and 1000 mg/kg/day) produced a significant hepatoprotective effect and reduced the activity of ALT, AST, and ALP. Notably, BHE treatment at a dose of 1000 mg/kg was more effective in reducing the ALT, AST, and ALP levels compared to silymarin (Table 2). The levels of the hepatic enzymes were comparable and not significantly different from the normal control group.

3.4. Antioxidant Activity. A marked reduction in hepatic GSH and antioxidant enzymes (GPx, GR, GST, and SOD) was observed in the CCl₄-intoxicated mice. In contrast, a significant increase in the MDA level was evident compared to the normal control group (Table 3). Administration of BHE at the two treatment doses (500 and 1000 mg/kg/day) produced a marked increase in GSH (by 51 and 76%, respectively) and improved the activity of all the antioxidant enzymes relative to the CCl₄-intoxicated group (Table 3 and Figure 2). In addition, the elevated hepatic level of MDA was reduced by 39 and 51% at the tested doses, respectively, compared to the CCl₄-intoxicated group (Figure 3). The higher dose of BHE was more effective in reducing the MDA level compared to silymarin. Notably, the GSH, GPx, GR, GST, and SOD levels in the group treated with 1000 mg/kg of BHE were markedly higher compared to the silymarin-treated group. Moreover, BHE treatment at a dose of 500 mg/kg induced a marked increase in the GR and GST levels compared to the silymarin-treated group. These results clearly indicated the strong in vivo antioxidant activity provided by BHE.

3.5. Histopathological Observations. CCl₄ induced severe loss of the hepatic architecture, marked hydropic degeneration, fatty changes, central vein congestion, Kupffer cell hyperplasia, necrosis, and scattered lymphocytes in between the hepatocytes and in the sinusoids. The pathological changes induced by CCl₄ were markedly ameliorated in the groups treated with BHE at the two treatment doses (Figure 4 and Supplementary Data available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/245171). The liver architecture is preserved in 75 and 87.5% of animals treated with 500 and 1000 mg/kg of BHE, respectively. In addition, BHE conferred

![Graph showing effect of BHE and silymarin on hepatic GSH level.]
Table 3: Effect of BHE on lipid peroxidation (MDA), GSH, and antioxidant enzymes after 6 weeks of CCl₄ intoxication in mice.

| Animal groups | GSH (µmole/g liver) | GPx (µmole/min/g liver) | GR (µmole/min/g liver) | GST (µmole/min/g liver) | SOD (µmole/min/g liver) | MDA (nmole/g liver) |
|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Control       | 3.74 ± 0.32         | 3.08 ± 0.29             | 2.46 ± 0.23            | 48.96 ± 4.87            | 355.85 ± 7.26           | 32.36 ± 3.26        |
| CCl₄          | 2.10 ± 0.24         | 1.64 ± 0.23             | 1.57 ± 0.27            | 20.85 ± 2.73            | 236.56 ± 4.08           | 64.37 ± 4.18        |
| BHE (500 mg/kg/day) | 3.18 ± 0.24        | 2.51 ± 0.24             | 2.30 ± 0.27            | 35.09 ± 2.47            | 297.48 ± 4.86           | 39.03 ± 3.97        |
| BHE (1000 mg/kg/day) | 3.69 ± 0.19       | 3.00 ± 0.26             | 2.37 ± 0.33            | 41.91 ± 4.31            | 324.67 ± 6.28           | 31.32 ± 3.47        |
| Silymarin (500 mg/kg/day) | 3.38 ± 0.28       | 2.51 ± 0.30             | 2.19 ± 0.36            | 33.58 ± 4.21            | 315.32 ± 4.70           | 36.10 ± 2.39        |

Data are expressed as the means ± SEM, (n = 9). The numbers in parentheses represent the percent change from the CCl₄-intoxicated group. Values having different superscripts within the same column are significantly different at P < 0.05.

Figure 3: Effect of BHE and silymarin on lipid peroxidation (hepatic MDA level). Data are expressed as means ± SEM, (n = 9). BHE 1: CCl₄ + 500 mg/kg of BHE. BHE 2: CCl₄ + 1000 mg/kg of BHE. Values having different superscripts within the same column are significantly different at P < 0.05.

4. Discussion

CCl₄ intoxication is a widely used experimental model for liver injury. The highly hepatotoxic metabolites, namely, trichloromethyl radicals (CCl₃ and CCl₃O₂⁻) are generated during the metabolic activation of CCl₄ by cytochrome P-450. These radicals have a central role in the initiation of lipid peroxidation, inflammation, and fatty changes of the liver [3, 28]. Moreover, CCl₄ intoxication is associated with oxidative stress since the CCl₃ and CCl₃O₂⁻ radicals alter the antioxidant state of the liver by deactivating the hepatic antioxidant enzymes including SOD, GPx, GR, and GST [3]. Trichloromethyl radicals also react with the sulphhydryl groups of GSH leading to its deactivation [3]. In the present study, CCl₄ treatment markedly increased the levels of AST, ALT, and ALP. The leakage of the marker enzymes into the blood was associated with marked necrosis, loss of hepatic architecture, hydropic degeneration, fatty changes, Kupffer cell hyperplasia, central vein congestion, and infiltration of the liver by lymphocytes. The MDA level in the liver tissue was markedly increased in response to CCl₄ intoxication, indicating oxidative damage of the liver. CCl₄ administration also reduced the levels of GPx, SOD, GST, GSH, and GR in the liver tissue compared to the normal mice. The results of the present study demonstrated that treatment with BHE returned the increased MDA to its normal level. The inhibitory effect against lipid peroxidation suggested that BHE could prevent the liver injury induced by free radicals along with the subsequent pathological changes in the liver. The marked reduction in the leakage of liver enzymes into the serum also confirmed the inhibitory effect of BHE against lipid peroxidation. In contrast, the GSH, GPx, SOD, GST, and GR levels were markedly improved compared to the silymarin-treated group. Modulation of these antioxidant defenses clearly contributed to the antioxidant and hepatoprotective activity of BHE. In this study, the phytochemical composition of B. hookeri was identified for the first time using the HPLC-PDA-ESI/MS/MS analysis. The identified compounds include gallic acid, hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives, flavonoids, epigallocatechin gallate, and dimeric and trimeric procyanidins. The remarkable hepatoprotective and antioxidant effect of BHE may be attributed to a synergistic effect between these compounds [8]. Experimental evidence proved that the whole plant extracts usually possess much better pharmacological activities than single isolated ingredients due to synergistic interactions between the individual components [6, 7]. It is also known that mixtures of antioxidant compounds are more active than the individual components of these mixtures [29]. Flavonoids, especially flavonols and flavan-3-ols, possess various biological effects.
that contribute to health benefits including antioxidant and hepatoprotective activities [8, 29]. Flavonols prevent the oxidative stress by direct scavenging of free radicals, metal chelation, reduction of tocopheryl radicals, and induction of antioxidant enzymes as well as phase II detoxifying enzymes such as GST. Flavonoids also have a membrane-stabilizing effect [8, 9, 29]. Diverse pharmacological activities have been attributed to epigallocatechin gallate, including potent antioxidant, antifibrogenic, and hepatoprotective activity [8, 30]. Gallic acid has been reported to exhibit a strong antioxidant and hepatoprotective activity [31]. Proanthocyanidins are complex polymers of polyhydroxy flavan-3-ol constitutive units and are widely present in legumes and fruits [8]. Commercial preparations of standardized proanthocyanidins, including grape seed proanthocyanidin extract, are marked as dietary supplements due to their health benefits [32]. Proanthocyanidins are known to increase the activity of GST and SOD, to elevate the cellular GSH content, and to have potent radical-scavenging activity [8]. They also have an ameliorative effect on oxidative stress and hepatic fibrosis [32]. grape seed proanthocyanidin extract exhibited a strong hepatoprotective as well as antifibrogenic effects against...
dimethylnitrosamine and thioacetamide induced liver injury in animal models [32, 33]. Sufficient evidence indicates that hepatocellular damage and subsequent infiltration by inflammatory cells have a central role in the activation of Kupffer cells to release several cytokines and free radicals, which in turn stimulate the transformation of hepatic stellate cells to myofibroblast-like cells, ultimately leading to the enhancement of collagen formation and hepatic fibrosis [32, 33]. The protective effect of BHE against Kupffer cell hyperplasia, infiltration by inflammatory cells, and other CCl₄-induced pathological changes in liver, along with the protective effect against oxidative stress, indicated that this plant has hepatoprotective and antifibrotic therapeutic potential.

5. Conclusion

Based on the results of this study, the hepatoprotective effect of BHE is attributed to its ability to reduce the rate of lipid peroxidation, to enhance the antioxidant defense status, and to guard against the pathological changes of the liver induced by CCl₄ intoxication. The strong in vivo antioxidant activity also suggests that a dietary supplement of BHE may confer a beneficial effect against oxidative stress. The hepatoprotective activity of BHE is concluded to be partly mediated by the antioxidant effect of its constituents. This study represents the first report that employed the HPLC-PDA-ESI/MS/MS technique for the identification of the phytoconstituents of B. hookeri. The adopted HPLC-PDA-ESI/MS/MS method provided a useful tool to develop a characteristic chromatographic fingerprint for the authentication of BHE and for the identification of its composition.
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