Unique structural properties associated with mouse prion Δ105–125 protein
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Murine prion protein deleted for residues 105–125 is intrinsically neurotoxic and mediates a TSE-like phenotype in transgenic mice. Equivalent and overlapping deletions were expressed in E. coli, purified and analyzed. Among mutants spanning the region 95–135, a construct lacking solely residues 105–125 had distinct properties when compared with the full-length prion protein 23–231 or other deletions. This distinction was also apparent followed expression in eukaryotic cells. Unlike the full-length protein, all deletion mutants failed to bind to synthetic membranes in vitro. These data suggest a novel structure for the 105–125 deleted variant that may relate to its biological properties.

Introduction

The mammalian prion protein PrP⁺ is a GPI anchored membrane protein associated with the outer leaflet of the cell cytosolic membrane. It is central to the spongiform encephalopathies which are protein misfolding diseases of inherited, sporadic, iatrogenic or zoonotic origin. Disease is marked by the conversion of PrP⁺ to a misfolded isoform, PrPSc, and is typically associated with amyloid plaque formation, neurodegeneration and brain spongiosis. However, cases in which high levels of PrPSc occur without clinical disease or without the hallmark protease resistant PrP27–30 core of PrPSc have been also described leading to the suggestion that the neurotoxic species is an intermediate isoform on the folding pathway between PrP⁺ and PrPSc. Toxicity experiments using artificially assembled PrPSc fibrils in vitro have suggested that toxicity resides in small oligomeric species, an off-pathway intermediate to the formation of fibrillar PrPSc, plausibly explaining the uncoupling of infectivity from PrPSc titer and pathogenicity in some cases.

At the molecular level, a hydrophobic span of residues (HP) within the region 105–125 of the intrinsically disordered N-terminus of PrP⁺ has been shown to mediate pathogenicity in vitro and in vivo and a peptide comprising the PrP⁺ sequence 106–126 exhibits conditional toxicity when added to neuronal cell lines expressing WT PrP⁺. Further, transgenic mice heterozygous for a PrP⁺ gene encoding a deletion of the HP sequence (PrP Δ105–125) display a neonatally lethal phenotype that is rescued by the overexpression of WT PrP⁺. WT PrP⁺ and PrP Δ105–125 have comparative expression levels in cell culture and both localize to the outer leaflet of the cytosolic membrane suggesting that the toxicity of PrP Δ105–125 is not due to instability within the cell. Instead, a mechanism has been proposed in which the deleterious effects of PrP Δ105–125 are rescued by PrP⁺ WT through competition for a common membrane surface receptor that may mediate inward pro/anti-apoptotic signaling dependant on the PrP⁺ isoform that is bound. Thus, PrP Δ105–125 could subvert normal function to mediate pathogenicity.

An alternative model of PrP Δ105–125 toxicity has also been described in which PrP Δ105–125 facilitates spontaneous inward ionic currents that are absent in cell lines expressing WT PrP⁺ alone and are suppressed by its co-expression. Both models suggest that PrP Δ105–125 adopts a different conformation to that of WT PrP⁺ and this is supported in part by available biochemical and biophysical measurement. To explore further the conformational properties of PrP Δ105–125 compared with PrP⁺ WT an extended set of deletion mutants in the hydrophobic region of murine prion protein were constructed and the resulting proteins subjected to comparative biophysical analyses following expression and purification.

Results

To probe the role of residues 105–125 in PrP⁺ conformation, a series of overlapping deletions was constructed in the context of mouse PrP⁺ (NM_011170) (Fig. 1A) and the resulting sequences expressed as His tagged proteins in E. coli. Each protein could be purified to homogeneity by a combination of differential solubility and metal chelate chromatography (Fig. 1B). Following refolding all PrP⁺ variants were found to be soluble and suitable for a low resolution structural probe analysis using the environmentally sensitive fluorescent dye 1-anilinonaphthalene 8-sulfonate (ANS) to assess the solvent accessibility of hydrophobic residues. As PrP⁺ is a Cu²⁺ binding protein, ANS measurements were performed in the presence and absence of 50 μM CuSO₄. The observed ANS fluorescence was found to be inversely proportional to the extent of the deletion introduced with the
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PrP 90–231 (representing a negative control for Cu²⁺ binding as it lacks the octarepeats) were assayed for ANS binding in a pH range from 3–7 in the presence and absence of Cu²⁺ as before. Lowering the pH led to an increase in solvent exposed hydrophobic residues for all constructs tested but Δ105–125 displayed the highest overall ANS fluorescence across the pH range (Fig. 2B). The presence of Cu²⁺ ions lowered ANS fluorescence for all constructs to a value pH 5 as before (compare Fig. 2A) but below pH 5 ANS fluorescence markedly increased, suggesting general unfolding.

To assess whether the increased ANS fluorescence of PrP Δ105–125 compared with WT 23–231 was also associated with a change in overall structure as reflected by epitope accessibility to a number of monoclonal antibodies (mAbs), each refolded protein was assessed by comparative ELISA with the anti-prion mAbs 6D11, 7H6, 6H4, 8H4 and 9H7, none of which have published epitopes within the deleted region (Fig. 3A). All mAbs bound similarly to each protein with the exception of 7H6 which failed to bind appreciably to Δ105–125 (Fig. 3B). The epitope for 7H6 has been reported to lie within residues 130–140 which, of all the mAbs tested, is the epitope closest to the region deleted in Δ105–125 so the possibility that binding to the epitope is affected by its proximity to the deleted sequence cannot be excluded. Overall however, there was no evidence for a substantial change in accessibility to the polypeptide backbone of Δ105–125 as measured by antibody binding. Circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy was used to probe the secondary structure of each purified deletion mutant after normalization of protein concentration and the obtained spectra were deconvoluted using the K2D3 algorithm. The deletion mutants generally gave weaker CD spectra than WT 23–321 and PrP 90–231 but a discernible trend was that the strength of the spectral signal was inversely correlated with the extent of the deletion suggesting that the intrinsically disordered region contributes to the defined secondary structural elements of the folded C-terminus of PrP²⁺. The calculated secondary structural content of most deletion mutant was comparable to that of WT 23–231 but, uniquely, PrP Δ105–125
incubated with each protein and the mixtures subjected to flotation assay. POPG and RNA have been shown previously to be the minimum components necessary for the formation of PrPSc de novo from recombinant PrP C34 while membranes formed of PC mimic, to some extent, the cytosolic membrane. Gradients were fractionated from the top and the presence of each protein determined by ELISA using mAb 6H4. Both WT 23–231 and PrP 90–231 interacted with POPG as evidenced by their presence in the lower density fractions 1–3 but not with PC vesicles while Δ105–125 showed no binding to either POPG or PC vesicles (Fig. 5). To investigate if membrane binding influenced membrane stability following binding, WT 23–231, PrP 90–231 and PrP Δ105–125 were also incubated with POPG vesicles loaded with carboxyfluorescein. The inclusion of WT 23–231 had a substantial effect on vesicle integrity, causing nearly complete dye release at a concentration of 1 μM whereas membrane destabilization by PrP 90–231 required a 10-fold higher concentration (Fig. 6) and Δ105–125 showed no membrane destabilization activity, in agreement with the strength of vesicle binding. None of the other internally deleted prion constructs caused dye release consistent with a lack of membrane binding (not shown).

Discussion

Residues in the hydrophobic core of the mouse prion protein are associated with the revelation of direct toxicity in vivo12,13 and in vitro.11 Here, the consequence of deletion of these residues on prion protein structure and function was investigated. Following expression and purification, ANS binding in vitro suggested that deletion of the hydrophobic residues leads to a reduction in solvent accessible binding sites, with the extent of showed a reduction in α helix and a concomitant increase in β sheet (Table 1).

To test whether the unique properties of construct Δ105–125 were dependent on the expression system used, all deletion constructs were also expressed as GFP fusion proteins in insect cells using recombinant baculoviruses.32 Fusion to GFP has been shown to provide a measure of the folding and stability of the tagged protein or protein domain.33,34 Following the construction of recombinant baculoviruses, high titer stocks were used to infect Sf9 cells at high multiplicity and the expression level of each prion-GFP fusion was assessed by western blot with mAb 6H4 (Fig. 4A). In addition, GFP fluorescence was measured by flow cytometry and the mean fluorescence plotted after normalization to the intensity of the 6H4 signal. All prion-GFP fusion proteins were expressed at significant levels as detected by western blot and all bar PrP Δ105–125 showed similar levels of fluorescence. However, PrP Δ105–125-GFP showed a lower relative fluorescence than all other constructs including the full-length protein (Fig. 4B). When cells expressing WT 23–231-GFP and PrP Δ105–125-GFP were examined at the single cell level, those expressing WT 23–231-GFP fusion showed abundant fluorescence evenly distributed throughout the cytoplasm while those expressing PrP Δ105–125 were more heterogeneous with examples of punctate fluorescence, often sequestered at the poles of the cell (Fig. 4C). These data are consistent with PrP Δ105–125 exhibiting an altered degree of stability and routing following expression in insect cells.

As prion amino acids 105–125 are reported to associate with lipids,37 purified WT 23–231, PrP 90–231 and PrP Δ105–125 proteins were tested for binding to synthetic lipids in vitro. Vesicles formed with 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-(1’-rac-glycerol) (POPG) or 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (PC) were incubated with each protein and the mixtures subjected to flotation assay. POPG and RNA have been shown previously to be the minimum components necessary for the formation of PrPSc de novo from recombinant PrP C34 while membranes formed of PC mimic, to some extent, the cytosolic membrane. Gradients were fractionated from the top and the presence of each protein determined by ELISA using mAb 6H4. Both WT 23–231 and PrP 90–231 interacted with POPG as evidenced by their presence in the lower density fractions 1–3 but not with PC vesicles while Δ105–125 showed no binding to either POPG or PC vesicles (Fig. 5). To investigate if membrane binding influenced membrane stability following binding, WT 23–231, PrP 90–231 and PrP Δ105–125 were also incubated with POPG vesicles loaded with carboxyfluorescein. The inclusion of WT 23–231 had a substantial effect on vesicle integrity, causing nearly complete dye release at a concentration of 1 μM whereas membrane destabilization by PrP 90–231 required a 10-fold higher concentration (Fig. 6) and Δ105–125 showed no membrane destabilization activity, in agreement with the strength of vesicle binding. None of the other internally deleted prion constructs caused dye release consistent with a lack of membrane binding (not shown).
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suggesting that Δ105–125 adopts a unique conformation in which ANS binding to alternate residues compensates for those lost by deletion. Interestingly, construct PrP 90–231 also displayed significantly reduced fluorescence suggesting that ANS binding occurs either largely in the region 23–89 or that deletion of amino acids 23–89 stabilizes the folding of residues 90–231, as suggested by the fact that the available structures of PrP solved by high resolution NMR or X-ray crystallography required similar truncations. The ANS fluorescence shown by the internally deleted PrP proteins was reduced in the presence of Cu2+ ions. Cu2+ binds with high affinity in vitro to the octarepeats region and to a further site consisting of His 96 and His 111. Copper is also associated with PrP C in vivo. Reduced ANS binding in the presence of copper would be consistent with reduced solvent accessibility caused by compaction of the tertiary structure, consistent with the in vitro observations that Cu2+ reduces PrP C aggregation and fibrillization. All constructs displayed significantly higher ANS signal at acidic pH, with Δ105–125 displaying the greatest increase compared with WT suggesting that its novel structure may also have an increased sensitivity to pH dependent unfolding. This may be

Figure 3. Monoclonal antibody probing of local structure. (A) The epitope locations of each of 5 mAbs used in the study drawn on a schematic of the prion protein structure, the N-terminus is omitted for clarity. (B) Comparative ELISA of WT 23–231 and Δ105–125 with each of the mAbs shown. Each protein antigen was normalized to 5 μM before coating to the plate.

Table 1. CD spectroscopy of purified prion proteins after analysis of α-helix and β-sheet content using the K2D3 algorithm

| Construct  | α-Helix | β-Sheet |
|------------|---------|---------|
| 90–231     | 81.1    | 0.4     |
| 23–231     | 66.5    | 1.1     |
| Δ95–105    | 68.7    | 1.8     |
| Δ95–125    | 62.9    | 1.7     |
| Δ95–135    | 62.5    | 1.9     |
| Δ105–125   | 56.8    | 8.5     |
| Δ105–135   | 64.4    | 1.5     |

the deletion broadly correlated with the reduction observed, i.e., PrP Δ95–105 displayed the smallest reduction and PrP Δ105–135 the largest. The deleted hydrophobic residues are disordered in the available NMR structures and are therefore likely to be solvent accessible. Thus, deletion would be expected to reduce solvent accessibility and ANS signal, broadly as observed. Uniquely however, PrP Δ105–125 was exempt from this trend and demonstrated equivalent fluorescence to WT 23–231, suggesting that Δ105–125 adopts a unique conformation in which ANS binding to alternate residues compensates for those lost by deletion.
WT 23–231 and PrP 90–231 binding to synthetic membranes also lead to loss of vesicle integrity, although the absence of residues 23–89 reduced the efficiency of membrane destabilization significantly. Prion protein residues 105–125 have been demonstrated to facilitate POPG interaction in the context of full length protein, although an isolated peptide consisting of residues 105–126 fails to bind membranes, suggesting context dependency in membrane interaction for this sequence.

Membrane pore formation as a mechanism of toxicity for PrPΔ105–125 may require the higher complexity of biological membranes. The unique structural properties of PrPΔ105–125, measured here by a variety of assays, would be consistent with the receptor mediated hypotheses models for prion toxicity. The NMDA receptor has been identified recently as an interaction partner of PrPC, leading to the speculation that the particular conformation adopted by Δ105–125 is the conformation that binds the NMDA receptor and mediates a toxic signaling outcome. Alternatively, residues 95–125 mediate, in concert with the extreme N terminus residues 23–31 and the length of the intervening sequence, the ability of PrPC to bind amyloid-β.52 Particular loss of 95–125 could therefore prevent the formation of the specific structure associated with amyloid-β binding and mediate toxicity directly. Further studies of membrane binding and direct interaction with NMDA-R, amyloid-β and PrPSc may help to relate the molecular properties of PrP residues 105–125 with the mechanism of prion associated pathology.

Materials and Methods

All chemical reagents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich unless otherwise stated. Lipids were obtained from Avanti polar lipids. Restriction enzymes were purchased from New England Biolabs (NEB) and used in accordance with manufactures guidelines.
buffer (8 M Urea, 10 mM TRIS-HCl pH 7.5, 1 mM DTT). Expressed protein was purified by affinity chromatography on a 5 ml His Trap FF column (GE Healthcare). Eluted peak fractions were pooled and concentrated to 2.5 ml by centrifugation at 2,500 × g at 4°C using a Vivaspin concentrator (10,000 MW, Sartorius). Concentrated protein was desalted using PD10 columns (GE Healthcare) pre-equilibrated in solubilization buffer. By SDS-PAGE gel analysis each recombinant protein was > 99% pure and protein stocks were stored at 4°C.

**Refolding of recombinant prion proteins.** Purified protein samples denatured in solubilisation buffer were refolded by serial dilution in Chelex-100 (Sigma Aldrich) treated 10 mM TRIS-HCl pH 7.5, 1 mM DTT. The concentration of each refolded protein was determined using the Beer Lambert equation.

**CD spectroscopy.** Protein samples were refolded as described and normalized to 0.5 mg ml⁻¹. Samples were analyzed under nitrogen using a 1 mm path length quartz cuvette in a Chirascan Circular Dichroism Spectrometer (Applied Photophysics). Scans were from 200 nm to 280 nm with 1 nm intervals. Four scans per sample were performed at room temperature (21°C) and were normalized to the scan of buffer alone, then averaged.

**Figure 5.** Binding to synthetic lipids. ELISA data for binding of 6H4 to each fraction of a sucrose gradient following flotation assay. Purified prion proteins WT 23–131, 90–231 and Δ105–125 were incubated with POPG (solid lines) or PC (dashed lines) vesicles as described, prior to underloading the gradient. The samples were: WT 23–231 with no lipid (●), WT 23–231 with PC (■), WT 23–231 with POPG (▲), Δ105–125 with PC (●), Δ105–125 with POPG (□) and 90–231 with POPG (●). 93–231 with PC was not done. Fraction 1 represents the top and fraction 8 the bottom of the gradient. ELISA measurements were performed in triplicate and error bars indicate the standard error mean.

Pfu turbo polymerase was purchased from Stratagene and used similarly.

**Cloning of deletion mutant constructs.** A pET23a vector containing the cloned cDNA of residues 23–231 of murine prion protein was amplified with Pfu Turbo (Stratagene) by out-facing PCR using primers that contained unique terminal HindIII sites. The resultant PCR products were subsequently digested with HindIII, isolated by gel extraction and self-ligated using T7 DNA ligase (NEB). Ligation reactions were subsequently transformed into Novablue chemically competent *E. coli* (Novagen) and plasmid DNA extracted and screened by digestion with HindIII. Putative constructs were verified by sequencing (Source Bioscience). C-terminally GFP tagged constructs were created by explanting each deleted construct in-frame into a pTriEx vector continuing a GFP tag.53

**Expression and purification of recombinant prion proteins.** Purified recombinant murine prion protein was produced as described.25 Briefly pET23a construct protein expression was induced at 37°C in LB when cultures were at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6 using IPTG at 0.2 mM and grown for a further 3 hrs. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 2,500 × g for 20 min at 4°C. Pellets were lysed with lysozyme and solubilized in solubilization buffer (8 M Urea, 10 mM TRIS-HCl pH 7.5, 1 mM DTT).
μl of tetramethylbenzidine (Europa Bioproducts) was added to each well. The reaction was stopped by addition of 50 μl of 0.1 M H2SO4 and the absorbance of each well at 440 nm was measured using a GENios plate reader (Tecan). Experiments were performed in triplicate. Controls had no protein or no primary antibody added and the absorbance readings were normalized to the reading for no protein.

Western blotting. Protein samples were separated on pre-cast Any kD TRIS-HCl SDS-polyacrylamide gels (Bio-Rad) and transferred onto Immobilon-P transfer membrane (Millipore) using semi dry transfer. Membranes were blocked by incubation in 5% milk powder in TBST (50 mM Tris.HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween 20) for 1 h. at room temperature. The membrane was washed with TBST and incubated with 0.5 μg/ml mAb 6H4 (Prionics) in a 0.5% casein TBST solution (diluent) for 1 h. After two 10 min washes with TBST the blot was incubated with 1:1,000 anti-mouse IgG peroxidase conjugate (Sigma) in diluent for 1 h. The membrane was washed twice for 10 min with TBST and the bound conjugate detected using ECL (Amersham Biosciences). Band intensities were measured using Quantiscan (BioSoft).

FACS analysis. Recombinant baculoviruses were generated and amplified to high titer stocks as described.35 Spodoptera frugiperda 9 (Sf9) monolayers of 0.9 × 10⁶ cells were infected

![Figure 6. Fluorescence dequenching of cF loaded vesicles by prion protein binding. The initial concentration of each protein was 10 μM. The concentration of lipid remained constant over the dilution series at 250 μg/ml. The samples were: WT 23–231 (◆), 90–231 (■) and Δ105–125 (x). Measurements were performed in triplicate and error bars indicate the standard error mean.](image-url)
at an MOI > 3 and incubated for 2 d at 28°C. Cells were removed from the plate and the resultant pellet washed twice before being resuspended in 2.5 ml of FACSFlow (Becton Dickeson). Fluorescence was measured using a FACSscan (Becton Dickenson).

**Fluorescence microscopy.** S9 cells were infected as described for FACS analysis and GFP fluorescence was observed using an EVOS inverted fluorescence microscope (AMG) at 2 d post infection.

**Large Unilamellar Vesicle (LUV) production.** Five milligrams of lipid in chloroform was dried using a mini rotary evaporator. One milliliter of the aqueous lipid suspension was then extruded 10 times using a Lipofast vesicle extruder (Avanti Polar Lipids) using a 100 nm pore poly carbonate membrane resulting in a 100 nm vesicle suspension at a concentration of 5 mg ml⁻¹ of lipid. Vesicles were confirmed for size and uniformity by EM. Vesicle stocks were kept at 4°C and used within 1 week of generation.

**Carboxyfluorescein (CF) loaded vesicle production.** Vesicles were prepared as before the rehydration using a buffer of 50 mM CF in 20 mM Tris.HCl pH 7.4. Size exclusion chromatography with Sephadex G-75 in 20 mM Tris.HCl pH 7.4, 50 mM NaCl was used to remove excess un-entrapped CF from the CF loaded vesicles. CF loaded vesicles were kept at 4°C and used within one week of generation.

**Vesicle binding assay.** Refolded prion protein was incubated with POPG or PC lipid vesicles at a protein to lipid ratio of 1:500 at 37°C for 1 h. The protein lipid mixture was then adjusted to 5 mg ml⁻¹ of lipid. Vesicles were confirmed for size and uniformity by EM. Vesicle stocks were kept at 4°C and used within 1 week of generation.

**Glycobiology.** The experiments were performed in triplicate. The fraction of CF dye release at various protein concentrations was calculating by using the equation

\[ R_f = \frac{(F_{Pro} - F_0)}{(F_{total} - F_0)} \]

where \( R_f \) is the release factor, \( F_{Pro} \) is the fluorescence reading at a specific protein concentration, \( F_0 \) is the fluorescence reading for the total dye release control.
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