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CEACAM1 as a multi-purpose target for cancer immunotherapy

Matthew Dankner, Scott D. Gray-Owen, Yu-Hwa Huang, Richard S. Blumberg, and Nicole Beauchemin

ABSTRACT
CEACAM1 is an extensively studied cell surface molecule with established functions in multiple cancer types, as well as in various compartments of the immune system. Due to its multi-faceted role as a recently appreciated immune checkpoint inhibitor and tumor marker, CEACAM1 is an attractive target for cancer immunotherapy. Herein, we highlight CEACAM1’s function in various immune compartments and cancer types, including in the context of metastatic disease. This review outlines CEACAM1’s role as a therapeutic target for cancer treatment in light of these properties.

Introduction
As our understanding of cancer biology and experience with therapeutics continues to evolve, the criteria to identify therapeutic targets are further refined. Ideal targets should have a tumor-specific role functioning in several hallmarks of cancer, thus providing an opportunity for a multi-pronged attack on the cancer with a single targeted agent.1 Expression of the target should also be tumor-selective. If compartments of the immune system or the tumor stroma also express the target, its engagement within these compartments should coincide with an anti-tumor effect. Ideally, the target should be expressed at the cell surface, allowing specific targeting with a monoclonal antibody.

CEACAM1 is an extensively studied molecule that fits all of the aforementioned criteria and is currently being targeted in ongoing clinical trials.3 CEACAM1 is a type-1 transmembrane protein containing an extra-cellular N-terminal variable domain followed by up to three constant C2-like immunoglobulin domains.4 The extracellular domains of CEACAM1 are essential in its function, as they are required for homophilic (CEACAM1-CEACAM1) and heterophilic intercellular adhesion with CEA, as well as with the T cell-immunoglobulin and mucin-domain containing 3 (TIM-3) protein.5 It is also a receptor for a variety of human and rodent pathogens.6 CEACAM1 is the only member of the CEACAM family to possess an immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibitory motif (ITIM).7 Phosphorylation of ITIMs in immune and epithelial cells inhibits signaling by binding a variety of effector proteins that down-regulate cell signaling, in particular the tyrosine-phosphatase non-receptor type 6 (PTPN6; previously SHP-1) and PTPN11 (SHP-2) phosphatases.8,9

The CEACAM1 gene produces 12 different alternatively spliced isoforms (Fig. 1). One constant feature is the splicing of mRNAs into transcripts encoding two different cytoplasmic domains, either by inclusion (the long (-L) tail) or exclusion (the short (-S) tail) of the CEACAM1 exon 7.10 In many cases, the presence of a particular tail isoform and the ratios between them impact the function of the protein. While the long isoform has ITIM motifs, the short isoform does not; it does, however, contain several Ser phosphorylation motifs.11,12 Alternative splicing also leads to the incorporation of up to three C2-like domains generating isoforms differing in the length of the extracellular region, but each contains the membrane distal IgV-like N-domain involved in homophilic and heterophilic interactions.6 In addition, CEACAM1 can be alternatively spliced to produce secreted variants. While the role of secreted variants of CEACAM1 is poorly understood, they are capable of inhibiting intercellular homophilic adhesion by acting as decoy receptors, and may be useful as serum or urine biomarkers for several malignancies.13-16

T cells have been brought to the forefront of cancer immunotherapy due to the success of agents that block the cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated protein 4 (CTLA4) and programmed cell death protein-1 (PD-1) pathways, which normally function as inhibitors of highly activated T cells. For both receptors, blocking their function serves to activate T cells so as to promote tumor killing and production of critical cytokines such as interferon-γ (IFNg).17 Activating T cells in the context of cancer is a rapidly growing avenue of investigation for novel cancer therapeutics, with many T cell activating agents in the clinical trial pipeline, including blocking antibodies of the checkpoints LAG3, TIM-3 and CEACAM1.3,18
Herein, we describe CEACAM1’s roles in tumor immunology and outline potential effects of CEACAM1 targeting on each compartment of the immune system in the context of cancer immunotherapy, as well as identify specific cancer types that should be targeted for the potential benefit of metastatic cancer patients in the context of clinical trials. To be effective and further prevent immune complications such as antibody-dependent cellular toxicity, future anti-CEACAM1 humanized antibodies used for immunotherapy will need to be an IgG4 isotype.\(^1^9\)

CEACAM1 in the immune compartment

CEACAM1 has been studied in the immune system for its tumor-associated function, particularly in T and Natural Killer (NK) cells. While fewer studies have investigated the role of CEACAM1 in B cells, neutrophils and macrophages (Fig. 2), CEACAM1 also plays a functional role in these cells, so the effect of CEACAM1-directed therapies must be appreciated. We summarize the existing data on CEACAM1’s function in

---

**Figure 1.** Human CEACAM1 isoforms. CEACAM1 transcripts can be alternatively spliced to generate 12 different isoforms that have one variable (V)-like Ig domain, identified as the N domain (dark blue). The various isoforms have 1, 2 or 3 constant C2-like Ig domains, identified as A (light blue) or B (white), with the exception of CEACAM1-1L and CEACAM1-1S that lack C2-like Ig domains. According to standardized nomenclature, the number after CEACAM1 is indicative of the number of extracellular Ig-like domains, CEACAM1 isoforms are anchored to the cellular membrane via a transmembrane domain, with the exception of the secreted isoforms of CEACAM1 (CEACAM1-4C1, 3 and 3C2, respectively). CEACAM1 isoforms also possess 1 of 2 cytoplasmic domains, termed as long (L) and short (S) tails. The letter following the number in the standardized nomenclature points to the presence of either a long or short cytoplasmic tail, a unique terminus (C), or an Alu family repeat sequence (A) (black boxes). The CEACAM1-L cytoplasmic domain has ITIM motifs (red circles). All family members are highly glycosylated proteins, with glycosylation sites illustrated as the stick and balls on the extracellular domains.

**Figure 2.** CEACAM1’s function in immune cells. CEACAM1’s function has been extensively characterized in many compartments of the immune system. T Cells: CEACAM1-L acts as an inhibitory receptor on T cells via recruitment of SHP-1 to CEACAM1-L’s phosphorylated ITIMs, changing the activation threshold of T cell activation and therefore decreasing immunosurveillance in a cancer context. CEACAM1-S plays an opposing role and therefore can promote T cell activation leading to increased activation-induced cell death and distinct regulatory functions. CEACAM1 also interacts with TIM-3 on the surface of T cells, endowing TIM-3 with its inhibitory function so as to oppose T cell activation. NK Cells: CEACAM1-L expressed on the surface of NK cells interacts in trans with CEA or CEACAM1 on tumor cells, inhibiting NK-mediated tumor cell killing independently of MHC class I status. B Cells: Activation of the B cell receptor (BCR) leads to phosphorylation of CEACAM1-L’s ITIM domain, leading to SHP-1 recruitment and dephosphorylation of PI3K, which promotes activation-induced cell death of B cells. Monocytes: CEACAM1 homophilic binding leads to PI3K activation, promoting AKT-mediated survival via activation of Bcl-2 and inhibition of caspase-3. Granulocytes: CEACAM1 on the surface of granulocytes promotes granulopoiesis while inhibiting granulocyte-mediated angiogenesis and apoptosis.
various immune compartments, predict the effects of pharmacological inhibition of CEACAM1 and outline potential adverse events.

T cells

CEACAM1 plays an important role on activated T cells since it is itself a proliferative checkpoint similar to CTLA4 and PD-1. CEACAM1 expression is mostly excluded from resting (naïve) T cells and is expressed at high levels on T cells activated by stimulation with IL-2 or anti-CD3 antibodies via induced transcription and the recruitment of intracellular CEACAM1 to the cell surface. It is notable that CEACAM1 is the only CAM family member expressed by activated T cells, the dominant isoform expressed in most T cells, then acts as an inhibitory receptor downregulating T cell activation and suppressing T cell functions, while CEACAM1-S can act as a positive co-stimulant of T cell activation, and is linked to important regulatory activities. The CEACAM1-L isoform expression typically dominates over the CEACAM1-S isoform in T and NK cells, except within intestinal tissues where CEACAM1-S overabundance is observed. CEACAM1-L’s inhibitory function is mediated by expression of two CEACAM1-L cytoplasmic domain ITIMs not present in CEACAM1-S. Phosphorylation of these ITIMs by a variety of Src-related tyrosine kinases recruits the tyrosine phosphatases SHP-1 and SHP-2, which de-phosphorylate several tyrosine residues of the TCR CD3 complex. This prevents activation through the TCR signal. CEACAM1-L engagement also inhibits TCR-mediated cytotoxicity. This inhibition depends on the blocking of granule exocytosis consequent to ZAP-70 signaling and SHP-1 binding, on inhibition of Fas-induced apoptosis through reduction of caspase 3/8 and by preventing β-catenin phosphorylation and destruction in the target cell. This latter effect is dependent upon the cytoplasmic residue K470, as well as a critical residue located between the ITIMs (S508). CEACAM1-L trans-rectal delivery via adenovirus in UC and IBD patients shows that a clinical trial investigating a CEACAM1 inhibitory agent should consider excluding patients with a history of inflammatory bowel disease until these opposing issues are reconciled in future studies.

CEACAM1-L engagement also inhibits TCR-mediated cytophagy. CEACAM1-L is phosphorylated by p56^ck resulting in association with SHP-1 in the vicinity of the T cell receptor (TCR) signaling complex. This prevents activation through the TCR because SHP-1 dephosphorylates the TCR CD3-ε chain and TCR-associated protein kinase 70 kDa (ZAP-70), halting signaling at its earliest steps. CEACAM1 in T cells can also suppress mitogen activated protein kinases ERK and JNK and their downstream pathways. These properties result in broad proximal suppression of TCR/CD3 complex signaling and inhibitory effects on a variety of effector functions, including T cell proliferation, Th1 and Th2 cytokine production and cytotoxicity associated with T cell activation (Fig. 2). Importantly, with respect to antitumor immunity, when CEACAM1 is ligated by N-domain specific monoclonal antibodies in vitro under cross-linking conditions, differentiation of naïve T cells into IFNγ-producing Th1, but not Th2 cells, is observed via down-modulation of T-bet but not the STAT4 transcription factor. This taken together, these studies show that CEACAM1-L is an inhibitor of T cell activation via its ITIM motifs and functions in a SHP-1-dependent manner. This suggests that CEACAM1 blockade will promote polarization toward the Th1 profile and restore IFNγ production in situations where CEACAM1 suppression is operative in highly active T cells. However, as CEACAM1-L and CEACAM1-S isoforms are co-expressed and regulate activated T cells in a tunable fashion, it can be predicted that CEACAM1’s behavior is highly dependent on the type of stimulus and the strength of the necessary response. Thus, inhibition of CEACAM1-L signaling would relieve proximal inhibition of TCR/CD3 complex signaling and restore cytotoxicity and IFNγ secretion, whereas inhibition of CEACAM1-S signaling would reverse the expansion of unique regulatory populations such as CD4^+LAP (latency associated peptide)^+ T cells.

Consistent with these concepts, a CEACAM1-Fc construct encompassing the murine CEACAM1 N-domain as a Fc fusion protein was shown to inhibit inflammatory bowel disease in a murine colitis model through suppression of Th1 or Th2 cells, depending on the host genetic background. In a similar manner, CEACAM1-L trans-rectal delivery via adenovirus in mouse models of ulcerative colitis (UC) provided similar beneficial results, and a CEACAM1-Fc fusion protein inhibits experimental allergic encephalomyelitis. This suggests that CEACAM1 inhibition might lead to intestinal inflammation as observed with other checkpoint inhibitors. Although Ceacam1^−/− mice do not develop spontaneous intestinal inflammation, adoptive transfer of naïve wild type T cells into Ceacam1^−/− immunodeficient hosts (namely Rag2^−/− mice) results in exacerbated colitis, suggesting that CEACAM1 deficiency predisposes to excessive intestinal inflammation upon exposure to inducing agents. However, conflicting data exists in the literature as to whether CEACAM1 is overexpressed in UC and IBD patients. Therefore, a clinical trial investigating a CEACAM1 inhibitory agent should consider excluding patients with a history of inflammatory bowel disease until these opposing issues are reconciled in future studies.

CEACAM1-L engagement also inhibits TCR-mediated cytotoxicity. This inhibition depends on the blocking of granule exocytosis consequent to ZAP-70 signaling and SHP-1 binding, on inhibition of Fas-induced apoptosis through reduction of caspase 3/8 and by preventing β-catenin phosphorylation and destruction in the target cell. This latter effect is dependent upon the cytoplasmic residue K470, as well as a critical residue located between the ITIMs (S508), CEACAM1-mediated inhibition of T cell cytotoxicity is enhanced by CEACAM1 cross-linking and blocked by CEACAM1 blockade. Therefore, the inhibitory function of CEACAM1-L on T cells extends to cytotoxicity, which is highly important to antitumor immunity.

In T cells, CEACAM1 also cooperates with TIM-3, another well studied immunoglobulin molecule currently being targeted for cancer immunotherapy. TIM-3 is an activation-induced inhibitory molecule involved in T cell tolerance, and is an inducer of T cell exhaustion. However, TIM-3 can also be stimulatory under other experimental conditions. TIM-3 is co-expressed with CEACAM1 on T cells during induction of tolerance, exhibits biochemical interactions with CEACAM1, and serves as a CEACAM1 heterophilic ligand. CEACAM1 expression confers inhibitory functions onto TIM-3 by facilitating TIM-3 maturation and localization to the T cell surface and facilitates TIM-3-mediated signaling (Fig. 2). CEACAM1 can also ligate TIM-3 in trans suggesting that opposing cells, such as CEACAM1-expressing tumor cells, may provide an inhibitory signal via TIM-3 on a T cell. Treatment of murine colorectal cancer (CRC) CT26 tumors with TIM-3 and CEACAM1 monoclonal antibodies demonstrated synergistic antitumor effects in both preventative and therapeutic protocols. CEACAM1 inhibition also cooperates synergistically with PD-L1 inhibition. Therefore, heterophilic engagement of CEACAM1 with TIM-3 mediates T cell inhibition, with both of these cell
surface receptors regulating autoimmunity and antitumor immunity. Zhang et al. examined circulating and tumor-infiltrating CD8+ T lymphocytes of CRC patients and showed maximal T cell exhaustion upon TIM-3 and CEACAM1 co-expression, as was observed in mouse CRC models.5,44 These studies indicate that CEACAM1 and TIM-3 mark highly exhausted T cells.

Taken together, CEACAM-L is an activation-induced inhibitory molecule on T cells due to its ITIM motifs. Akin to CTLA4 and PD-1, CEACAM1 shows promise in a preclinical setting as a target for cancer immunotherapy. There is significant need for new checkpoint inhibitors since, for example, only 20–40% of patients respond to currently approved checkpoint inhibitors in metastatic melanoma,45 making further exploration of targets such as CEACAM1 and TIM-3 highly warranted.

**NK cells**

NK cells are lymphocytes critical to innate immunity, participating in early control of viral infection and immune-surveillance of tumors.46 Many NK-regulating receptors can either stimulate (activating receptors such as natural cytotoxicity triggering receptors 1, 2 and 3, DNAX accessory molecule 1, NK group 2D or NKG2D and killer cell lectin-like receptor K1) or dampen (inhibitory receptors such as killer-cell immunoglobulin-like receptors or KIRs) NK cell reactivity.47 Lack of inhibitory receptor signaling allows NK cells to detect and kill cells lacking major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I, unlike cytotoxic T lymphocytes that recognize a foreign antigen presented on MHC class I to activate its cytotoxic function.47

CEACAM1 expression robustly promotes evasion of NK-mediated killing of tumor cells. When CEACAM1 is present on the surface of both NK and melanoma cells, NK-mediated killing is inhibited independently of MHC class I expression.48,49 In transporter associated with antigen processing (TAP-2)-deficient patients, which lack MHC class I-mediated inhibition of NK cells, CEACAM1 is upregulated and capable of compensating for this deficiency.50 TAP-2 is an endoplasmic reticulum protein, responsible for loading peptides onto MHC class I. In the absence of TAP-2, MHC class I molecules are not displayed on the cell surface, which activates NK cell-mediated killing. CEACAM1 overexpression inhibits this effect and TAP-2-deficient patients are spared from excessive NK-mediated cytotoxicity and autoimmune disease early in life. However, soluble CEACAM1 can abrogate CEACAM1 homophilic interactions, and allow NK-mediated cytotoxicity in the context of TAP-2 deficiency.13 Thus, the ratio of membrane-bound and soluble CEACAM1 binding to NK cells may play an important role in NK-mediated killing. In melanoma, this may also depend on alternative splicing since soluble CEACAM1 does not arise from surface cleavage, but instead requires active protein synthesis and vesicular transport.14 NK cells have also recently been recognized to express TIM-3 upon activation, implicating a potential for cooperation between CEACAM1 and TIM-3 on NK cells as described for T cells.51

In addition to CEACAM1, cancer cells frequently express other cell-adhesion molecules of the CEA family, including carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA, also known as CEACAM5) and CEACAM6.5 CEA has its own important roles in many mechanisms.54 Together, these studies reveal the importance of adhesion between CEA family members on immune evasion by cancer cells.

Several models have been proposed to explain CEACAM1-mediated NK cell inhibition. CEACAM1 silencing in mouse and human cancer cells upregulated NK cell activating ligands on their surface, while overexpression of CEACAM1-3S and -3L in CRC cell lines caused sequestration of MICA/B intracellularly, preventing it from activating NK cells.55 However, these mechanistic findings were contradicted by a recent study which demonstrated that while CEACAM1-4L expression downregulated cell surface expression of MICA and ULBP2 ligands by causing them to shed from the membrane, CEACAM1-3S upregulated NKG2D receptor ligands.66 This discrepancy will require further investigation. Furthermore, CEACAM1 forms a complex with NKG2D whereupon CEACAM1 recruits SHP-1 involved in dephosphorylation of the guanine nucleotide exchange factor Vav1, subsequently blocking initiation of cytoly-sis.57 Thus, CEACAM1 expression on tumor cells contributes to NK-mediated immune evasion by hiding away the ligands responsible for engaging NK cell surface receptors, and by engaging with NK-activating receptors to inhibit their downstream signaling.

Regardless of the mechanism by which CEACAM1-L inhibits NK cell activation, this effect is robust and coupled to CEACAM1-L-mediated inhibition of NKG2D signaling. While more preclinical and clinical data are still required, particularly to elucidate the CEACAM1 splice variant functions, inhibition of CEACAM1 on NK cells offers an additional advantage that is exploitable by CEACAM1 immunotherapy in advanced cancer treatments. The principle that modulating NK cell function in a cancer setting can lead to improved outcomes has been established in vivo.68 Unlike currently approved T-cell targeted PD-1 and CTLA4 inhibitors, whose functions in NK cells are debated,67 targeting CEACAM1 for cancer immunotherapy can offer a second mechanism of action to promote tumor killing by immune surveillance.
**B cells**

B cells are professional antigen-presenting cells that are part of the adaptive immune system. Their main function is in the secretion of antibodies, while their role in tumorigenesis and cancer progression is less well defined.\(^\text{59}\) CEACAM1-L has been implicated in B cell function, although the literature is contradictory with older and newer studies, suggesting conflicting roles for CEACAM1 in B cells.

The first work on CEACAM1 and B cells reported on the creation of an FCyRIIB-CEACAM1-L chimeric fusion protein that was capable of acting as an inhibitory receptor in B cells, suggesting that CEACAM1-L’s cytoplasmic ITIMs could function in B cells.\(^\text{60}\) On the other hand, it has been shown that cross-linking CEACAM1 on mouse B cells with either antibodies or CEACAM1 transfectants, but only in the presence of B cell receptor (BCR) cross-linking, promotes B cell activation but not immunoglobulin isotype switching.\(^\text{61}\) This is presumably through CEACAM1 promotion of B cell survival, and suggests that homophilic ligands may be the source of CEACAM1-mediated effects on B cells, but this requires further study.

Consistent with the latter observations, it has recently been demonstrated using Ceacam1\(^{-/-}\) mice that CEACAM1 is a critical regulator of B cell survival, influencing B-cell number and protective antiviral antibody responses.\(^\text{62}\) This phenomenon is mediated via activation of spleen tyrosine kinase (SYK), extracellular signal related kinases (ERK) and nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer in activated B cells (NFkB).\(^\text{62}\) While CEACAM1 had little effect on the proliferation of newly formed B cells, the number of mature B cells is reduced significantly in Ceacam1\(^{-/-}\) mouse lymph nodes and forced expression of CEACAM1 in T cells leads to increased IgA production in mucosal tissues.\(^\text{12,62}\) CEACAM1 also promotes efficient production and secretion of anti-viral antibodies consistent with other findings that elevated T lymphocyte CEACAM1 expression causes augmented IgA mucosal production.\(^\text{62}\) Thus CEACAM1 on B cells may be important for B cell survival during an active immune response. How this relates to CEACAM1-L inhibitory functions in these cells remains to be elucidated.

CEACAM1 expression on human B cells is less well defined. However, CEACAM1 is indeed functional in human B cell lines. CEACAM1 is highly expressed in human activated CD19-positive Daudi B cells stimulated with IL-2 for 3 d,\(^\text{63}\) where it functions as a negative co-receptor for the BCR. Anti-IgM stimulation of the BCR results in CEACAM1 phosphorylation and SHP-1 recruitment to lipid raft domains with reduced phosphorylation of activated PI3K and increased activation-induced cell death (Fig. 2). How this reconciles with the results in Ceacam1\(^{-/-}\) mice, wherein CEACAM1 deficiency is associated with decreased B cell numbers, is unclear.

Due to the ambiguous role of B cells in cancer, either promoting or inhibiting tumor responses,\(^\text{59}\) and the contradictory role of CEACAM1 in these cells, it is unclear what effects CEACAM1 blockade would have on B cells and on the antitumor response. In light of the mouse-based studies, one can hypothesize scenarios whereby B cells could become apoptotic with decreased antibody production. This may predict an increased risk of infection, consistent with the susceptibility to pathogens such as *Listeria monocytogenes* observed in Ceacam1\(^{+/+}\) mice.\(^\text{12,64}\) Thus, clinical trials will require close monitoring of humoral immune functions.

**Monocytes/macrophages**

Macrophages are typically the most abundant immune cells present in the tumor microenvironment where they can have both protumor and antitumor functions.\(^\text{65}\) Similar to the Th1/Th2 paradigm of T-cell polarization in cancer, macrophages are thought to exert their effect on tumors in a fashion largely dependent upon the polarity of the macrophage population between a continuum of M1 and M2 phenotypes.\(^\text{66}\) The current evidence suggests that the more classical M1-type macrophages are capable of tumor cell killing while the M2 macrophages, inclined to function in tissue repair, allow tumor progression by suppressing inflammatory responses and promoting angiogenesis. CEACAM1’s function in macrophages and in their monocyte precursors has been investigated with certain critical questions remaining on monocyte development and early stage cancer. CEACAM1’s role in advanced cancers through its effects on macrophages is ambiguous. Cancer patients with advanced disease eligible for treatment with a CEACAM1 inhibitor in a clinical trial would be expected to have tumors infiltrated with predominantly M2 macrophages. CEACAM1 homophilic binding protects monocytes from apoptosis via a pathway involving PI3K- and AKT-dependent activation of Bcl2, preventing the activation of caspase 3\(^\text{67}\) (Fig. 2). Therefore, inhibition of CEACAM1 could prevent development of circulating monocytes into tumor-associated macrophages, thereby preventing M2 infiltration or persistence in advanced tumors. A CEACAM1-targeted therapy selectively inhibiting M2 macrophage function or survival would theoretically be beneficial to late stage cancer patients.

CEACAM1 expression also defines a new monocyte subtype (Ceacam1\(^{+/+}\)Msr1\(^{+/+}\)Ly6C\(^{-}\)F4/80\(^{-}\)Mac1\(^{+}\)) critical for fibrosis development.\(^\text{68}\) This suggests that CEACAM1 inhibition may be beneficial to patients whose tumors are characterized by high degrees of fibrosis by halting the establishment of a microenvironmental niche capable of driving tumor progression locally or at distant sites.\(^\text{69}\) Furthermore, these findings may spur future studies better defining CEACAM1’s function in several devastating fibrotic diseases such as idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis.\(^\text{70}\) Patients with such diseases may also one day benefit from treatment with a CEACAM1 inhibitory antibody.

CEACAM1 expressed by monocytes promotes angiogenesis in a *Leishmania* model of inflammation.\(^\text{71}\) While these experiments cannot be directly applied to the setting of advanced cancer, it may suggest that a CEACAM1 inhibitor plays a role in preventing angiogenesis in VEGF-independent tumors. However, CEACAM1 prevents M1 macrophage-mediated angiogenesis, suggesting that CEACAM1 blockade might promote angiogenesis in early breast cancer development.\(^\text{72}\) This would be further enhanced by cancer cell downregulation of CEACAM1, which occurs during early stages of some types of cancers such as those associated with the colon, in contrast to late stage cancer cells that commonly upregulate CEACAM1 during tumor progression and invasion.\(^\text{72}\)
Granulocytes and neutrophils

While CEACAM1 is expected to act as an inhibitory molecule in granulocytes similarly to other immune cell types, its role is complicated by findings implicating it as an inhibitor of the apoptotic process. In cancer, neutrophils act both as a line of defense against the tumor and can simultaneously promote tumor progression by engaging factors that promote invasion, angiogenesis and metastasis. While there is little CEACAM1 on the surface of resting human neutrophils, their activation causes rapid transport of CEACAM1, CEACAM6 and CEACAM8 from intracellular granules to the cell surface. CEACAM3 is also expressed by human neutrophils where it plays a role in bacterial phagocytosis. Sarantis and Gray-Owen have shown that human neutrophil CEACAM1 and CEACAM6 contribute to bacterial internalization without significant neutrophil activation. While CEACAM3 is also expressed by neutrophils, this ITAM-containing activating receptor appears to be constitutively expressed at the neutrophil surface, which facilitates its role as a decoy receptor to capture CEACAM-targeting pathogens. CEACAM3 engagement causes potent neutrophil degranulation, oxidative burst and inflammatory cytokine expression. After neutrophil activation, these four CEACAMs are highly abundant on the neutrophil surface. While not previously appreciated, soluble CEACAM8 is released by degranulated neutrophils and can promote CEACAM1 inhibitory signaling on other cells, such as the SHP-1-dependent suppression of TLR2-dependent inflammatory responses in epithelial cells. These results suggest that CEACAM1-specific inhibitors must avoid cross-reactivity with this closely related receptor. In addition, CEACAM1 activation has been reported to delay Fas ligand-induced apoptosis of human neutrophils using SHP1, ERK1/2 and caspase 3-mediated pathways, which suggests that CEACAM1 inhibition on neutrophils may promote neutrophil apoptosis. Together, these results suggest that CEACAM1-targeting inhibitors must avoid cross-reactivity with other closely related receptors to avoid unforeseen effects on neutrophils.

Mice do not express CEACAM3, CEACAM6 or CEACAM8, so their contribution to granulocytic (or other) functions cannot be considered in wild type mouse lines. CEACAM1’s function in granulopoiesis has been studied in CEACAM1-deficient mice. Interestingly, in contrast to the human studies discussed above, these animals develop systemic neutrophilia in association with slightly faster apoptosis but heightened neutrophil progenitor proliferation, together with an overall slower turnover rate of mature neutrophils. Mechanistically, the SHP-1-dependent inhibition of G-CSR and STAT3 normally provided by CEACAM1 was significantly decreased, accounting for increased responsiveness to neutrophil growth factors. Since L. monocytogenes infection depends upon neutrophils for clearance, Ceacam1−/− mice exhibited higher neutrophil counts and increased levels of cytokines, resulting in both increased neutrophil production and Listeria clearance. However, counter-intuitively, this was associated with severe tissue damage, liver necrosis and immunopathology. Furthermore, CEACAM1-deficient mice died more quickly than wild-type mice, implicating neutrophil-induced immunopathology in the absence of CEACAM1 expression. This phenotype was reversed when CEACAM1 expression was re-established in chimeric mice exhibiting CEACAM1-deficient bone marrow. Although the studies with mouse and human neutrophils must be reconciled, these results suggest that neutrophil production and turnover must be closely monitored in clinical trials targeting human CEACAM1.

CEACAM1 also negatively regulates myeloid-dependent tumor angiogenesis by inhibiting the G-CSF and Bv8 pathways in melanoma and colorectal tumor models. Ceacam1−/− mice developing CRC liver metastasis also demonstrated less recruitment of CD11b+ Gr1+ myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC) from chimeric bone marrow transplants, and thus showed a significant decrease of liver metastatic development. In addition, WT tumor-bearing mice treated with a CEACAM1-blocking antibody exhibited significantly reduced tumor growth and angiogenesis with reduced levels of CD11b+ Gr1+ MDSCs in spleen and blood in a CRC model. Interestingly, neutrophil infiltration density was correlated with higher CEACAM1 expression in tongue squamous carcinoma than in peritumoral tissue, correlating with lymph node metastasis, recurrence and survival, consistent with other types of cancers demonstrating poor prognosis upon neutrophil infiltration.

These findings suggest a mechanism whereby pharmacological inhibition of CEACAM1 might result in decreased MDSC infiltration into tumors and, potentially, neutrophilia depending on the balance in humans between production and removal. However, it is unclear whether such a neutrophilia would be systemic and functioning in an antitumor role, or in a tumor-infiltrative role that would promote tumor immune evasion. In the context of conventional chemotherapy, where neutropenia is a common complication leading to devastating infections, combination with a CEACAM1 inhibitor that may restore neutrophil counts might be beneficial. However, further complicating this issue, recent findings demonstrating that neutrophils suppress NK-mediated tumor cell clearance must be considered given CEACAM1’s prominent role in this immune cell compartment. It is clear that more studies are required to investigate neutrophil effects by CEACAM1 in different cancer contexts that may respond differently.

Taken together, decades of studies have implicated CEACAM1 in cancer immunology. While more fundamental research is required to further understand its function in B cells, neutrophils and macrophages, CEACAM1 presents as a valuable target for cancer immunotherapy in late stage cancer due to its well-defined inhibitory role in T and NK cells.

CEACAM1 in metabolism and tissue homeostasis

There is extensive knowledge implicating CEACAM1’s role in metabolism and tissue homeostasis. This is pertinent in the context of obesity, insulin resistance and cardiovascular function. While the direct link between CEACAM1’s metabolic and cancer promoting functions have yet to be established in the literature, the metabolic impact of CEACAM1 inhibition in cancer patients can be inferred by its known involvement in metabolic signaling.

Insulin resistance and obesity

CEACAM1 is phosphorylated by the insulin receptor upon insulin stimulation. This causes the increase of insulin
receptor complex rate of internalization by endocytosis in clathrin-coated vesicles. By binding to Shc upon phosphorylation, CEACAM1 sequesters Shc, downregulating the mitogenic action of insulin. It is thus possible that in the context of CEACAM1 inhibition, Shc stabilization can lead to cancer promoting effects, a phenomenon that should be closely monitored in preclinical and clinical studies.

These initial findings suggested that CEACAM1 plays an important role in insulin internalization and that inhibition of CEACAM1 would result in hyperinsulinemia which was demonstrated with the creation of the LSACC mouse expressing a Ser phosphorylation-defective CEACAM1 (S503A) exclusively in the liver. CEACAM1 Ser503 phosphorylation is required for Tyr488 phosphorylation by the insulin receptor and prevention of Tyr488 phosphorylation leads to mice developing hyperinsulinemia secondary to impaired insulin clearance, impaired glucose tolerance and random hyperglycemia. In addition, these mice display visceral adiposity with increased amounts of plasma free fatty acids and hepatic triglycerides.

Similar to the LSACC mice, the CEACAM1 knockout mice display diet-induced insulin resistance and are also prone to hepatic steatosis and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) through elevated hepatic triglycerides and total serum cholesterol. This effect is believed to be downstream of elevated fatty acid synthase (FAS) activity and leptin resistance. Lee has documented that patients exhibiting high-grade fatty liver and obesity have lower CEACAM1 hepatic levels. Monitoring for increased visceral fat, insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia would then be crucial in patients being treated with a CEACAM1 inhibitor, and we suggest that diabetic patients be excluded from early trials with a CEACAM1 inhibitor.

Interestingly, upon insulin stimulation and subsequent to internalization, CEACAM1 also interacts with and reduces the activity of hepatic FAS, an enzyme that catabolizes fatty acid synthesis. However, the acute effect of insulin on hepatic FAS does not exist in the context of chronic hyperinsulinemia, likely due to the reduced ability of insulin to activate its receptor to induce CEACAM1 phosphorylation, and therefore inhibition of FAS function. Najjar et al. propose that this mechanism of FAS activity downregulation acts to reduce hepatic lipogenesis incurred by insulin pulses during refeeding. This phenotype may be relevant in the setting of CEACAM1 inhibition in cancer, as FAS is a known oncogene that is also being actively explored as a target to treat several cancers. In the context of a monoclonal antibody binding to CEACAM1 on the surface of hepatocytes, internalization of CEACAM1 may be induced, resulting in increased interaction, and therefore FAS inhibition. On the other hand, as CEACAM1 is expressed at the hepatocyte canalicular membrane, it should be inaccessible to the inhibitory antibody and thus should not perturb metabolic patient status.

Further validating CEACAM1’s role in insulin clearance, removal of SHP-1, a tyrosine phosphatase dephosphorylating CEACAM1, displayed markedly increased insulin clearance in both cultured hepatocytes or mice deficient for a functional SHP-1. Because SHP-1’s role in cancer is unclear, with studies suggesting it can act as both a tumor suppressor and an oncogene, pharmacological inhibition of CEACAM1 may have unpredicted effects due to SHP-1 being free to interact with other proteins.

In addition to a causal relationship between obesity and human CEACAM1, C57BL6/J mice fed a high fat diet reduced hepatic CEACAM1 expression by >50% at 21 d and developed hyperinsulinemia, insulin resistance and elevated hepatic triglycerides. These symptoms did not develop in mice expressing a liver-inducible Ceacam1 gene. Furthermore, a murine study suggested that CEACAM1 reduction can be passed on to offspring subjected to prenatal stress in the form of maternal obesity. These studies, in combination with findings in the LSACC and CEACAM1 knockout mice demonstrate that it is possible that CEACAM1 loss results in obesity, and vice versa. Other than diet and age studies performed in mouse Ceacam1 mutants, other contributing factors such as hormonal disturbances, human leukocyte antigen type and genetic polymorphisms have not yet been investigated in the context of CEACAM1 inhibition. Short term inhibition of CEACAM1 as a cancer therapeutic may, however, prove beneficial in maintaining weight of cancer patients having cachexia.

In summary, CEACAM1 is a crucial molecule in insulin signaling and metabolism. The insulin signaling CEACAM1 function may prove beneficial in the context of monoclonal antibody inhibition due to its potential for preventing or treating cancer cachexia and inhibiting the function of FAS, a known oncogene. However, the metabolic aspect of CEACAM1’s function must be closely monitored in the context of inhibition due to the potential for development of metabolic disorders that can affect the liver, an organ bearing a heavy burden in patients treated with a host of cytotoxic agents. We suggest that diabetic patients and those with liver disease should be carefully monitored and/or considered for exclusion from early trials of CEACAM1 inhibitors in cancer because of the distinct possibility of worsening these conditions due to CEACAM1’s function in insulin clearance and preventing NASH.

Vascular homeostasis

CEACAM1 has been extensively studied in the context of vascular homeostasis, endothelial cell permeability, vasculogenesis, tumor angiogenesis and cardiovascular disease. CEACAM1 is highly expressed in de novo blood vessels, but not established ones. CEACAM1 also plays an important role in vascular endothelial lumen formation, given its function as a mediator of VEGF-induced vasculogenesis and angiogenesis, particularly in the context of cancer development. In addition, Ceacam1-/- mice develop endothelial dysfunction with these mice demonstrating impaired endothelial integrity with fat deposition and aortic plaque-like lesions in the aorta due to insulin’s regulation of altered nitric oxide production in aortic endothelial cells with CEACAM1 abolishment.

Ceacam1-/- mice also have increased blood pressure with increased activation of the renin–angiotensin system. This effect is downstream of PI3K-AKT signaling pathways and depends on CREB family and NF-κB transcription factors. CEACAM1’s function in the early development of new vessels applies to angiogenesis in the context of a tumor.
CEACAM1 inhibitors may act as anti-angiogenic compounds, similar to the class of VEGF inhibitors approved for cancer therapy. Since CEACAM1 inhibition will be used in the context of metastatic patients, this negates the risk posed by the possibility of increased vessel leakiness promoting metastasis.

CEACAM1 is expressed at higher levels in human patient serum in response to myocardial infarction. Under these conditions, Ceacam1−/− mice exhibited lower mortality, improved cardiac function and lower myocardial expression of pro-apoptotic genes compared with wild-type littermates. CEACAM1 is a novel serum biomarker for pericarditis. These findings linking CEACAM1 to hypoxic conditions may stem from the induction of HIF-1α in hypoxia, which in turn induces expression of CEACAM1 through VEGF.

The link between CEACAM1 loss and cardiovascular function will require close monitoring in clinical trials inhibiting CEACAM1. Furthermore, a therapeutic antibody targeting CEACAM1 must be carefully designed to promote an antitumor angiogenic response rather than one that promotes metastasis.

Considering the major role played by CEACAM1 in cardio-metabolic syndromes described above as well as its pertinent role as a co-inhibitory receptor in both lymphoid and myeloid compartments, CEACAM1 loss or its inhibition results in a pro-inflammatory condition due to the expression of various chemokines/cytokines such as interferon γ, IL1β, IL6, TNFα, leptin and TGFβ (reviewed in Ref.[86]). Thus, high-fat feeding of Ceacam1 mutants stimulates a NASH-like condition as seen in human patients, progressive fibrosis and renal dysfunctions. CEACAM1 is also known to play a role in murine colitis. These conditions should be appropriately monitored in future clinical trials.

**CEACAM expression in cancer cells**

While a CEACAM1 inhibitor would play an important role in modulating the tumor microenvironment and immune infiltrate, such an inhibitor could also work by attacking cancer cells directly; this is a unique feature of this class of therapies. CEACAM1 is highly expressed in several different cancers and is correlated with tumor progression, metastasis and overall survival. A monoclonal antibody therapeutic targeting CEACAM1 can have direct antitumor effects, such as inhibiting tumor cell growth or activating immune cell function. If a CEACAM1-specific monoclonal antibody were to effectively inhibit tumor cells directly, while also activating the immune system as explained in the previous section, such an antibody would be a powerful therapeutic in the context of local and metastatic disease. In this section, we outline evidence gathered from cancer-specific CEACAM1 studies in several different cancer types that represent the most likely to benefit from treatment with a CEACAM1 inhibitor (Figs. 3 and 4).

**Melanoma**

CEACAM1’s role in melanoma is well defined. A significant number of studies have unanimously demonstrated the prognostic value of CEACAM1 expression in melanoma diagnosis and progression and metastasis, solidifying the claim that CEACAM1 can be applied as an improved prognostic biomarker over the commonly used Breslow depth. This function has been confined to the overwhelming expression of CEACAM1-L rather than CEACAM1-S on melanoma cells (Fig. 4). Importantly, serum levels of soluble CEACAM1 is a prognostic and predictive biomarker in melanoma, with higher serum CEACAM1 levels correlating with decreased survival,
The astounding success of immunotherapies in metastatic melanoma (A), colorectal (B), lung (C), pancreatic (D) and bladder (E) cancers. The numbers indicated in various columns represent fractions of patient samples positive for CEACAM1 expression in percentages. Information for this data has been gathered from (A), ref.124 and 127 (B), ref. 11,142 and 143 (C), ref.151,152 and 153 (D) and ref.159, (E) ref.16 and 161.

Figure 4. Expression of CEACAM1 in various types of cancers. Relative CEACAM1 expression is indicated in normal tissue, early carcinomas, advanced carcinomas and metastases for melanoma (A), colorectal (B), lung (C), pancreatic (D) and bladder (E) cancers. The numbers indicated in various columns represent fractions of patient samples positive for CEACAM1 expression in percentages. Information for this data has been gathered from (A), ref.124 and 127 (B), ref. 11,142 and 143 (C), ref.151,152 and 153 (D) and ref.159, (E) ref.16 and 161.

decreased efficacy of autologous vaccination and failure to respond to immunotherapy. Elevated CEACAM1 expression was observed in whole blood samples of melanoma patients treated with ipilimumab who exhibited gastrointestinal immune-related adverse events, thereby defining it as a potential biomarker to detect such pathologies. The relationship of CEACAM1 with cells of the immune compartment has been studied in depth in melanoma; a high percentage of circulating NK and CD8⁺ T lymphocytes are CEACAM1⁺ in melanoma patients. CEACAM1 homophilic interactions between melanoma cells and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) are thought to dampen in vivo TIL functions and thus limit efficacy of TIL adoptive cell transfer therapy in melanoma patients. Continuous interferon-γ (IFNγ) production which promotes CEACAM1 expression could lead to CEACAM1 upregulation in surviving melanoma cells and in turn to elevated resistance to TIL-mediated killing. A CEACAM1-directed therapy would be important in eliminating this potentially deleterious feedback loop. The CEACAM1-4L isoform downregulates cell surface levels of NKG2D ligands MICA and ULBP2 in a mouse melanoma cell line, in line with the established function of CEACAM1 in NK and T cells, as discussed above. Due to the overwhelming evidence outlining CEACAM1’s expression on metastatic melanoma cells, its relationship with the immune system, and the astounding success of immunotherapies in metastatic melanoma, it is desirable to include a monoclonal antibody targeting CEACAM1 in trials with metastatic melanoma patients. CEACAM1’s reported value in predicting prognosis raises interesting questions about the role of such an inhibitor when used in combination with already approved immunotherapies.

CRC was among the first to be explored relative to CEACAM1, mainly because of CEA’s discovery and use as a prognostic biomarker in this cancer. Early studies demonstrated that CEACAM1 is downregulated early in CRC development as observed in adenomas, suggesting its role as a tumor suppressor. Later studies demonstrated that an absence of CEACAM1 may promote early CRC development by removing CEACAM1-mediated growth inhibitory signaling since, for example, genetic reconstitution of CEACAM1 expression was observed to inhibit cancer cell proliferation. On the other hand, CEACAM1-L expression is increased in advanced stages of CRC and is associated with invasiveness and metastasis when present in humans. However, other studies have not demonstrated significant correlation between CEACAM1 expression and invasiveness.

The experimental data relating to CEACAM1 upregulation in CRC is equally debatable. Liver metastasis is decreased when CRC cells are engrafted into Ceam1⁻/⁻ mice on one hand, while overexpression of CEACAM1 in CRC cells has been observed to decrease their propensity to metastasize to the liver in other xenograft models. This might depend on the inherent genetic mutations of the murine cell lines used as xenografts or on intrinsic modulation of the transformative properties of CEA and CEACAM6 on the human cell lines used in these studies, which could inhibit cell differentiation or promote anoikis when engaged at the cell surface by CEACAM1 on the human CRC cells. On the other hand, in a model of azoxymethane-dextran sodium sulfate-induced colitis, CEACAM1-deficient mice exhibited protection from colorectal neoplasia, consistent with the notion that CEACAM1 expression both promotes tumor-autonomous aggression and inhibits the immune system when expressed on the tumor and immune cells, respectively. Consistent with the latter, CEACAM1 expression on the TILs in mouse and humans marks the most highly exhausted T cells. In fact, both CEACAM1 and TIM-3 are highly expressed on circulating CD8⁺ T lymphocytes and TILs of CRC patients compared with normal tissue, with CRC tumors exhibiting a significant decrease in IFNγ production among the double-positive (CEACAM1⁺ TIM-3⁺) T cells. Thus, use of a CEACAM1-specific monoclonal antibody should be considered in clinical trials in patients with advanced CRC. This would have the potential to cause both the reversal of the inhibition imposed by CEACAM1 expression on immune cells and the potential for strong antitumor specific effects, which would be enabling for a therapeutic agent.

Non-small cell lung cancer

Serum and immunohistochemistry measurements of CEACAM1 have revealed it as a valuable prognostic biomarker in
non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). High CEACAM1-expressing NSCLCs exhibit high microvessel density, distant metastases, shorter median overall survival and progression-free survival. While CEACAM1-L is the predominant form expressed in normal lung tissue, CEACAM1-S is expressed at higher levels in non-small cell and small cell lung adenocarcinoma. Despite there being little functional data on CEACAM1’s role in lung cancer cells, it is likely that the high level of specific CEACAM1 expression in these tumors will allow a monoclonal antibody to bind to lung cancer cells and have direct antitumor effects. Interestingly, CEACAM1 present in the urine of NSCLC patients represents an excellent biomarker when considered along with 3 or 4 other signature proteins. In addition, cytokine-induced killer cells, defined as CD3⁺CD56⁺ T cells, as well as CD8⁺ T lymphocytes derived from NSCLC patients exhibit a sharp elevation of both CEACAM1 and TIM-3, which therefore might represent hallmarks of dysfunctional killer cells. The current success of other similarly acting immunotherapies in the context of NSCLC may also predict CEACAM1’s success in this disease type.

**Pancreatic cancer**

Multiple studies have identified CEACAM1 as a sensitive biomarker present in both the serum and tumor specimens of pancreatic cancer patients compared with healthy controls. In addition, CEACAM1 has been strongly correlated with distant metastasis of pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Knockdown of CEACAM1 in human PaCa5061 pancreatic cancer cells resulted in prolonged overall survival in mice subjected to subcutaneous injection of tumor cells, suggesting CEACAM1 increases the aggressiveness of the xenograft. Although this was not examined attentively, it remains possible that this occurs through signal responses whereby CEACAM1 silencing leads to significant changes in chemokine/cytokine secretion from tumor cells thus influencing immune cell infiltration, as seen with metastatic CRC. Although no information is yet available relative to CEACAM1 expression in immune cells infiltrating pancreatic tumors, immune activation in general and high TIM-3 expression on circulating CD4⁺ T lymphocytes in particular correlates with improved overall survival of pancreatic cancer patients. It will be interesting to characterize CEACAM1 expression on the T cells in this case since it may be consistent with the proposed activating function of TIM-3 in the absence of CEACAM1 expression. Taken together, these studies show promise for CEACAM1 as a valuable pancreatic cancer biomarker that could serve as a target of immunotherapy in this disease.

**Bladder cancer**

CEACAM1 has been proposed as a urinary marker for bladder cancer. However, CEACAM1 may be a tumor suppressor in bladder cancer cells based upon analyses of bladder cancer cell lines. In invasive bladder cancers (pT2-T4), endothelial cells of immature blood vessels become CEACAM1⁺ whereas epithelial cells remain negative but correlate with high VEGF-C and -D. Overexpression of CEACAM1 in several bladder cancer cell lines results in repressed growth on one hand, while silencing results in increased VEGF expression and enhanced blood vessel formation. CEACAM1 is highly expressed on endothelial cells of angiogenic blood vessels, suggesting it may be promoting their growth once they are established, which would imply that CEACAM1 inhibition may be antiangiogenic in this type of cancer. TIM-3 is highly expressed in cancer cells, TILs and endothelial cells of bladder uro-epithelial carcinoma specimens, significantly correlating with advanced grade and tumor stage. High TIM-3 expression also represents an independent predictor of shortened disease-free and overall survival. Despite the anti-proliferative effects that CEACAM1 expression may have on bladder cancer cell lines, bladder cancer patients remain as good candidates to be treated with a CEACAM1-blocking drug because of the success of immunotherapies in early trials of metastatic bladder cancer, and because the evidence for increased TIM-3 in the associated immune cells suggests the possibility that these may represent CEACAM1 co-expressing cells that are highly exhausted.

**Conclusion**

Decades of studies have characterized the role of CEACAM1 in the context of the immune system and cancer. CEACAM1 represents a novel therapeutic target that can be exploited alongside existing immunotherapeutics to treat cancer, particularly due to its well-established inhibitory function in T and NK cells. In addition, CEACAM1 is also a tumor-associated molecule in several cancer types, potentially providing a second angle from which a CEACAM1-specific monoclonal antibody can target cancer cells. Future work will reveal the most appropriate indications for therapeutic agents targeting CEACAM1.
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