NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS OF OPTICAL TURBULENCE AT LOW AND HIGH HORIZONTAL RESOLUTION IN ANTARCTICA WITH A MESOSCALE METEOROLOGICAL MODEL
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Abstract. It has already been demonstrated that a mesoscale meteorological model such as Meso-NH (Lafore et al. [1998]) is highly reliable in reproducing 3D maps of optical turbulence (Masciadri et al. [1999], Masciadri and Jabouille [2001], Masciadri et al. [2004]). Preliminary measurements above the Antarctic Plateau have so far indicated a pretty good value for the seeing: 0.27" (Lawrence et al. [2004]), 0.36" (Agabi et al. [2008]) or 0.4" (Trinquet et al. [2008]) at Dome C. However some uncertainties remain. That’s why our group is focusing on a detailed study of the atmospheric flow and turbulence in the internal Antarctic Plateau. Our intention is to use the Meso-NH model to do predictions of the atmospheric flow and the corresponding optical turbulence in the internal plateau. The use of this model has another huge advantage: we have access to informations inside an entire 3D volume which is not the case with observations only. Two different configurations have been used: a low horizontal resolution (with a mesh-size of 100 km) and a high horizontal resolution with the grid-nesting interactive technique (with a mesh-size of 1 km in the innermost domain centered above the area of interest). We present here the turbulence distribution reconstructed by Meso-NH for 16 nights monitored in winter time 2005, looking at the the seeing and the surface layer thickness.

1 Introduction

The extreme low temperatures, the dryness, the typical high altitude of the internal Antarctic Plateau (more than 2500 m), joint to the fact that the optical turbulence seems to be concentrated in a thin surface layer whose thickness is of the order of a few tens of meters do of this site a place in which, potentially, we could achieve astronomical observations otherwise possible only by space. Despite
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exciting first results (Lawrence et al. 2004; Agabi et al. 2008; Trinquet et al. 2008) making the internal Antarctic Plateau a site of potential great interest for astronomical applications, some uncertainties still remain. Here we studied the Dome C area with a mesoscale meteorological model (Meso-NH, Lafore et al. 1998). Numerical simulations offer the advantage to provide volumetric maps of the optical turbulence ($C_N^2$) extended on the whole internal plateau and, ideally, to retrieve comparative estimates in a relative short time and homogeneous way on different places of the plateau. Fifteen winter nights (the same as from Trinquet et al. 2008) were simulated. Using the forecasted $C_N^2$ profiles, we retrieved the surface layer thicknesses $H_{SL}$ and the free atmosphere seeing ($\epsilon_{FA}$) for all 15 nights.

This study is a short survey of the more detailed study available in Lascaux et al. (2009).

2 Surface layer seeing and free atmosphere seeing

Two different configuration of Meso-NH were chosen: a low horizontal resolution mode, and a high horizontal resolution mode (with the grid-nesting interactive technique). To know more about the numerical set-up and the model configuration, the reader can refer to Lascaux et al. (2009). In that paper can also be found a validation of the model with comparisons of meteorological parameters (wind and temperature) at Dome C between model outputs and observations. One of the conclusion is that both configurations generated better forecast for wind speed and temperature than the analysis from the ECMWF, especially near the surface. Moreover, the grid nested mode gave better results than the low resolution mode.

In order to verify how well the simulated $H_{SL}$ matches with the measured one we computed the typical height of the surface layer (averaged each night between 12 UTC and 16 UTC) using the same criterion as in Trinquet et al. (2008):

$$\frac{\int_{8m}^{h_{sli}} C_N^2(h) dh}{\int_{1km}^{h_{sli}} C_N^2(h) dh} < 0.90$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

where $C_N^2$ is the refractive index structure parameter.

The observed mean $H_{SL}$ for the 15 winter nights was of $35.3 \pm 5.1$ m. The low horizontal resolution mode gave a result almost twice higher: $H_{SL,LOW}=65.9 \pm 8.7$ m. The grid-nesting mode gave better results, comparable to the observations: $H_{SL,HIGH}=48.9 \pm 7.6$ m. Using these computed mean $H_{SL}$, we deduced the median free atmosphere seeing using the same method as in Trinquet et al. (2008).

$$\epsilon_{FA,OBS}=0.3 \pm 0.2 \text{ arcsec.}$$

For the low resolution mode ($H_{SL,LOW}=65.9$ m), the corresponding free atmosphere seeing is slightly overestimated: $\epsilon_{FA,LOW}=0.42 \pm 0.28 \text{ arcsec.}$ However, the grid-nested mode ($H_{SL,HIGH}=48.9$ m) gave excellent result: $\epsilon_{FA,HIGH}=0.35 \pm 0.24 \text{ arcsec}$, thus confirming the importance of the high horizontal resolution configuration to obtain reliable forecasts. The corresponding correlation plots (for all 15 nights) are displayed on Figures 1 (surface layer thickness) and 2 (free atmosphere and total seeings).
**Fig. 1.** Correlation plot between measured and simulated surface layer thicknesses (black: monomodel configuration; red: grid-nested configuration). For the simulated values only the mean values between 12 UTC and 16 UTC are considered. For each configuration of the simulation (high and low horizontal resolution) the error bars are reported for one point only (and are equal to $\sigma$). Units are in meter (m).

**Fig. 2.** Correlation plot between measured and simulated total (top) and free atmosphere (bottom) seeing (black: monomodel configuration; red: grid-nested configuration). For the simulated values only the mean values between 12 UTC and 16 UTC are considered. For each configuration of the simulation (high and low horizontal resolution) the error bars are reported for one point only (and are equal to $\sigma$). Units are in arcsec.

On Fig. 3 is displayed the temporal evolution of the $C_N^2$ profile in the free atmosphere (1-12 km vertical slab) for one night. This night is a good example of how the model is active even at such an altitude. The vertical distribution of the optical turbulence changes in time with a non negligible dynamic from a quantitative point of view. It is even more visible in the high horizontal resolution mode (grid-nesting).
3 Conclusion

We studied the performances of the Meso-Nh mesoscale model in reconstructing optical turbulence profiles, looking at the Dome C area, in the internal Antarctic Plateau. This study was focused on the winter season. The results concerning the optical turbulence computations are resolution dependent. A high horizontal resolution mode seems to be mandatory to realize realistic optical turbulence forecast. In high horizontal resolution mode, Meso-Nh gave excellent results: 

$$H_{SL,HIGH} = 48.9 \pm 7.6 \text{ m}$$

$$H_{SL,OBS} = 35.3 \pm 5.1 \text{ m}$$

The resulting free atmosphere seeing is

$$\epsilon_{FA,HIGH} = 0.35 \pm 0.24 \text{ arcsec}$$

very close to the observed one,

$$\epsilon_{FA,OBS} = 0.3 \pm 0.2 \text{ arcsec}.$$
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