The Pristine survey – I. Mining the Galaxy for the most metal-poor stars
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ABSTRACT

We present the Pristine survey, a new narrow-band photometric survey focused on the metallicity-sensitive Ca H&K lines and conducted in the Northern hemisphere with the wide-field imager MegaCam on the Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope. This paper reviews our overall survey strategy and discusses the data processing and metallicity calibration. Additionally we review the application of these data to the main aims of the survey, which are to gather a large sample of the most metal-poor stars in the Galaxy, to further characterize the faintest Milky Way satellites, and to map the (metal-poor) substructure in the Galactic halo. The current Pristine footprint comprises over 1000 deg² in the Galactic halo ranging from $b \sim 30^\circ$ to $\sim 78^\circ$ and covers many known stellar substructures. We demonstrate that, for Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) stellar objects, we can calibrate the photometry at the 0.02-mag level. The comparison with existing spectroscopic metallicities from SDSS/Sloan Extension for Galactic Understanding and Exploration (SEGUE) and Large Sky Area Multi-Object Fiber Spectroscopic Telescope shows that, when combined with SDSS broad-band $g$ and $i$ photometry, we can use the CaHK photometry to infer photometric metallicities with an accuracy of $\sim 0.2$ dex from [Fe/H] = $-0.5$ down to the extremely metal-poor regime ([Fe/H] $< -3.0$). After the removal of various contaminants, we can efficiently select metal-poor stars and build a very complete sample with high purity. The success rate of uncovering [Fe/H]SEGUE $< -3.0$ stars among [Fe/H]Pristine $< -3.0$ selected stars is 24 per cent, and 85 per cent of the remaining candidates are still very metal poor ([Fe/H] $< -2.0$). We further demonstrate that Pristine is well suited to identify the very rare and pristine Galactic stars with [Fe/H] $< -4.0$, which can teach us valuable lessons about the early Universe.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The subject of research into metal-poor stars is truly unique to our Local Group. Only here can we resolve individual stars and therefore single out the very rare pristine stars among the much more numerous metal-rich populations. At the same time this topic is instructive for so many disciplines in astronomy: it guides our understanding of the physics behind star formation (in particular in the absence of metals), supernovae, the early build-up of galaxies and the epoch of reionization. From a theoretical perspective, it has been shown that the very first stars that formed in the Universe are more likely to be very massive due to the limited cooling processes available to them in the absence of any metals (see Bromm 2013; Greif 2015, and references therein). However, it is still heavily debated whether lower mass stars could have also been formed in
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the fragmentation process. This leaves open the exciting possibility that truly first stars could still be shining in our Galaxy today, waiting to be uncovered.

The number of extremely and ultra-metal-poor stars (with [Fe/H] < −3.0 and [Fe/H] < −4.0, respectively; Beers & Christlieb 2005) analysed in depth has grown a lot in the last decades thanks to laborious efforts to find and characterize them. There are now ~10 stars known with intrinsic iron abundances below [Fe/H] = −4.5 (Christlieb, Wisotzki & Graßhoff 2002; Frebel et al. 2005, 2015; Norris et al. 2007; Caffau et al. 2013b, 2016; Hansen et al. 2014; Keller et al. 2014; Allende Prieto et al. 2015; Bonifacio et al. 2015). Some of the most iron-poor stars display abundance patterns that could bear the imprint of the explosion physics of first supernovae. For instance, the SkyMapper Southern Sky Survey star SMSS J031300.36−670839.3, which is the current record holder most iron-poor star at [Fe/H] < −7.1, shows a very high carbon abundance and a remarkably high [Mg/Ca] ratio ([Mg/Ca] = 3.1) a combination that, according to some models, links it to the explosion of a ~60 solar-mass star without any metals (Keller et al. 2014; Bessell et al. 2015; Nordlander et al. 2017).

As another example of the constraining power of new observations in this field, it seemed that, based on the handful of stars found in this regime, all ultra-iron-poor stars showed a very high carbon abundance, typically [C/Fe] > 1.0, up to [C/Fe] > 4.0 (see for instance the compilations in Norris et al. 2013; Spite et al. 2013). It was suggested that such an abundance might be needed to reach the ‘metallicity floor’, a necessary amount of metals available in a gas cloud such that it can cool and form a low-mass star (e.g. Frebel, Johnson & Bromm 2007). However, Caffau et al. (2011) discovered an ultra-metal-poor star that was shown not to be severely carbon enhanced, impacting our theories on star formation in the early Universe. This counterexample clearly indicates various formation routes for ultra-metal-poor stars, a conclusion that was strengthened very recently in the bulge region of the Galaxy by Howes et al. (2015) who analysed a sample of 23 stars below [Fe/H] = −2.3 – including one star at [Fe/H] = −3.94 ± 0.09 – and found none of them to be carbon enhanced. Nevertheless, it seems that, at least in the halo, a very large fraction of observed stars (~32 per cent; Yong et al. 2013) still display a high carbon abundance (Beers & Christlieb 2005). There are some indications for a further dependence of the presence of carbon-enhanced metal-poor stars and their subtype on environment (with disc height, Frebel et al. 2006; in the inner Galaxy, Howes et al. 2015; in the inner versus outer halo, Carrillo et al. 2014 and in the halo versus dwarf galaxies, Starkenburg et al. 2013; Skuladóttir et al. 2015). However, statistics are lacking and a larger sample of these stars is needed across various Galactic environments to truly understand this early epoch of the formation of the Galaxy.

A high fraction of the known extremely metal-poor stars were discovered in the HK and Hamburg/ESO (HES) surveys (Beers, Preston & Shectman 1985; Christlieb et al. 2002). Both these surveys were centred around the very strong Ca H&K features in the spectrum, using a grism imaging technique. The HK interference-filter/objective-prism project surveyed 7000 deg² of sky to a B-magnitude of 15.5 and found ~100 stars with estimated metallicity [Fe/H] < −3.0. A slightly larger number of such stars were discovered in the extragalactic southern sky surveyed by the HES. Interestingly, no stars with [Fe/H] < −4.0 were found in the HK survey, whereas several were discovered in the HES. Most likely, this is due to an increased depth in the latter survey (a limiting magnitude of B ∼ 17–17.5), which allowed the survey to probe more thoroughly into the outer halo component. The target lists from these surveys have been dominating the field of research on pristine stars for many years (e.g. see Cohen et al. 2013 for a recent compilation of results from the targets of the HES survey).

In the coming decade it is expected that the search for metal-poor stars will intensify and that finally a large sample of these stars will be uncovered, allowing us to refine our knowledge of the early Universe based on detailed studies within our own Galaxy. More or less metallicity blind and sparse but very large spectroscopic endeavours such as Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000), Sloan Extension for Galactic Understanding and Exploration (SEGUE; Yanny et al. 2009; Eisenstein et al. 2011) and Large Sky Area Multi-Object Fiber Spectroscopic Telescope (LAMOST; Cui et al. 2012) with its LAMOST Experiment for Galactic Understanding and Exploration (LEGUE) project (Deng et al. 2012) offer good candidate lists from their initial low-resolution spectra to follow-up at higher resolution (Caffau et al. 2012; Aoki et al. 2013; Allende Prieto et al. 2015; Aguado et al. 2016). Future, ever larger spectroscopic surveys will be carried out by WEAVE (a multi-object survey spectrograph for the 4.2-m William Herschel Telescope; Dalton et al. 2012, 2014), Subaru Prime Focus Spectrograph (PFS; Takada et al. 2014), 4-m Multi-Object Spectroscopic Telescope (4MOST; de Jong et al. 2014) or are planned, such as Maunakea Spectroscopic Explorer (MSE; McConnellie et al. 2016a,b). Combinations of various sources of broad-band photometry from large surveys are also a source of candidates (see Schlafman & Casey 2014; Casey & Schlafman 2015, for a technique that combines Wide-Field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE), infrared photometric bands and optical bands to search for metal-poor targets among bright stars).

Narrow-band photometric surveys around the Ca H&K lines have a great potential to provide a breakthrough in the search for metal-poor stars. The clear advantage of narrow-band photometry over spectroscopic methods is its efficiency: all stars in the field of view are measured simultaneously and no pre-selection is needed. Photometry can also handle crowded fields better than objective-prism methods and will reach fainter objects with a similar observing time. Any very metal-poor star shows weaker Ca H&K absorption features (see Fig. 1), setting it apart from more metal-rich stars of the same temperature, approximated through the broad-band colour spectrum. In stars with similar broad-band colours one can subsequently compare the relative flux in a narrow-band filter across these strong absorption features and infer the metallicity of the star. The dependence of the strength of the Ca H&K lines on other stellar parameters such as surface gravity is much weaker than the dependence on either temperature or metallicity and can be ignored as a first-order approach, in particular in the metal-poor regime.

This technique of narrow-band photometry of the Ca H&K metallicity-dependent features is already conducted on a large scale by the SkyMapper survey. This facility is an automated wide-field, 1.35-m survey telescope at Siding Spring Observatory (e.g. Keller et al. 2007; Murphy et al. 2009; Keller, Skymapper Team & Aegis Team 2012). It is designed to map all of the Southern hemisphere in a set of SDSS-like ugriz filters and, additionally, a v narrow-band filter that includes the Ca H&K doublet (see Bessell et al. 2017).
globular clusters and stellar streams – which are all very promising structures within the survey regions – consisting of dwarf galaxies, remain within the SDSS footprint. There is a wealth of known sub-
2011, and the dashed grey filter curve in Fig. 2). The SkyMapper team has been using this filter to search for (extremely) metal-poor stars, their sample of candidates have already revealed some intriguing very metal-poor stars that were subsequently followed up with spectroscopy (Howes et al. 2014, 2015; Keller et al. 2014). Spectroscopic follow-up of several metal-poor stars also selected from photometry with a narrow-band Ca K filter in an area near the Galactic bulge are presented by Koch et al. (2016).

In this paper, we describe the Pristine survey, a narrow-band Ca H&K survey in the Northern hemisphere. This survey utilizes the unique facility of a (novel) Ca H&K filter for the MegaCam wide-field imager on the 3.6-m Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) on the excellent site of Maunakea in Hawaii, in combination with existing broad-band photometry from SDSS. Pristine focuses its footprint on high-Galactic-latitude regions ($b > 30^\circ$) to remain within the SDSS footprint. There is a wealth of known substructures within the survey regions – consisting of dwarf galaxies, globular clusters and stellar streams – which are all very promising structures to hunt for the oldest stars (e.g. Starkenburg et al. 2017). The survey data and the data reduction process, including the photometric calibration, are described in Section 2. Our overlap with the SDSS footprint also ensures that we are essentially self-calibrated with the help of the SDSS and SEGUE spectra. Section 3 shows how well we can separate stars of various metallicities and clean our sample of contaminants. In Section 4 we summarize the main science cases enabled by Pristine. We show how metallicity sensitive photometry, as performed by Pristine, can probe the Galaxy out to its virial radius. Not only does it allow for an efficient search for ultra-metal-poor stars, but it also provides a mapping of the metal-poor (and probably oldest) components of the Milky Way halo that will help dissect the Milky Way’s past.

2 THE SURVEY AND DATA REDUCTION

2.1 The Ca H&K filter properties

Figs 1 and 2 illustrate the properties of the Ca H&K filter used for Pristine (also known as CFHT/MegaCam narrow-band filter 9303). The filter is manufactured by Materion and was received by CFHT in 2014 November. It is designed to be close to top-hat in its throughput filter curve as a function of wavelength. By design, the filter has a width of $\sim 100$ Å and covers the wavelengths of the Ca H&K doublet lines (at 3968.5 and 3933.7 Å), thereby also allowing for a typical spread in radial velocity among the stars observed in the Galactic halo, making it especially suited for our science. For the remainder of the paper we will refer to this filter as the CaHK filter, and to its measured magnitudes as CaHK magnitudes. For comparison, we also show the SkyMapper $v$ filter used for the same purpose. Clearly, the CFHT CaHK filter is narrower and more top-hat, resulting in a better sensitivity to the Ca HK line strength and less danger of leakage from other features such as strong molecular bands in C- and N-enhanced stars, as can be seen from the difference between the blue and black spectra in the figure.

The expected discriminative power of the CaHK filter is further demonstrated in Fig. 3. The left-hand panel of this figure shows the range of a spectral library in temperature and gravity parameter space and compares this with the stars as expected in a 100 deg$^2$ high-latitude field in an anticentre direction as indicated by the Besançon model of the Galaxy (Robin et al. 2003). We have created a library of synthetic spectra, illustrated here by the grey boxes, with large ranges in effective temperature, gravity and metallicity ($-4.0 < [\text{Fe/H}] < -0.2$) using Model Atmospheres in Radiative and Convective Scheme (MARCS) stellar atmospheres and the TURBOSPECTRUM code (Alvarez & Plez 1998; Gustafsson et al. 2008; Plez 2008). All elements are treated as scaled from solar abundances, with exception of the $\alpha$-elements that are enhanced relative to scaled solar by +0.4 in the models with $[\text{Fe/H}] < -1.0$. Several individual spectra from this library are shown in Figs 1 and 2. For each combination of stellar parameters in the synthetic grid, we evaluate if indeed such a star is physically expected, by checking if that box of temperature, gravity ($\log(g)$) and metallicity is filled with a star in the Besançon model. All verified synthetic spectra are subsequently integrated with the response curves of the photometric SDSS bands and average response curve of the CaHK filter. If a star with $[\text{Fe/H}] < -2$ is found for that combination of stellar parameters, we include all models of $[\text{Fe/H}] < -2$ and lower, motivated by the fact that these stars are too rare to find all possible physical combinations in a 100 deg$^2$ field of view in the Besançon model, but that isochrones generally change very little at these lowest metallicities. We additionally synthesize all $[\text{Fe/H}] = -4$ models while taking out any absorption lines by atoms or molecules heavier than Li. This set of additional synthetic spectra represents our approximation to stars without any metals at all. The right-hand panel of Fig. 3 demonstrates that the CaHK filter in combination with SDSS broad-bands is a very powerful tool to select metal-poor stars. The additional $(g - i)_0$ term on the $y$-axis is purely used to flatten the relation such that the fanning out of the different metallicities is oriented from top to bottom. The size of the symbols is inversely proportional to their surface gravities (larger symbols are giant stars, smaller symbol stars are main-sequence dwarfs). As can be seen from Fig. 3, the surface

\begin{figure}
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\caption{Synthetic spectra using MARCS stellar atmospheres and the TURBOSPECTRUM code (see Section 2.1 for details) of stars on three different places on the giant branch with metallicities $[\text{Fe/H}] = 0.0$ (red), $[\text{Fe/H}] = -1.0$ (orange), $[\text{Fe/H}] = -2.0$ (green), $[\text{Fe/H}] = -3.0$ (blue) and for a star with no metals (black). In the top panel the throughput of the Ca H&K filter used in Pristine is overplotted (black dashed line).}
\end{figure}
Figure 2. Scaled throughput curves of the Pristine Ca H&K filter (red) and the SkyMapper \( v \) filter (grey) plotted over synthetic model spectra of an extremely metal-poor giant. The black spectrum is additionally enhanced in C and N by 2 dex.

Figure 3. Left: Besançon model prediction (black points) and the spectral synthesis grid used in these tests (grey) in \( T_{\text{eff}}, \log(g) \) space. Spectra are computed for the full parameter space for \( [\text{Fe/H}] = -4.0, -3.0, -2.0, -1.0 \) and \(+0.0\). Right: all predicted stars are matched to their most representative spectrum in the grid and colours are calculated for the spectra. This panel demonstrates how combinations of broad-band SDSS colours and the new narrow band filter cleanly separate the various metallicity stars in the sample. Additionally, the most metal-poor stellar atmosphere models are run with no metal absorption lines, resulting in the black circles. The coloured lines represent exponential fits to the symbols of metallicities \( [\text{Fe/H}] = -1, -2 \) and \(-3\) and no metals (same colour coding, redwards of \( g - i = 1.0 \) the giant branch is followed instead of the main-sequence dwarfs).

2.2 Observations and coverage

In order to build a sample of several tens of stars with \( [\text{Fe/H}] < -4.0 \), one of the science goals of Pristine, we aim for Pristine to cover at least 3000 deg\(^2\) of the Galactic halo. Since 2015 March, we have accumulated more than 1000 MegaCam
pointings with CFHT. These observations were performed through the CFHT queue and scattered through semesters 2015A and 2016A for \(\sim 50\) h of observing time. The state of the survey is presented in Fig. 4 as of 2016 August (i.e. the end of semester 2016A) and compared to the SDSS footprint from which we get broad-band \(g\), \(r\) and \(i\)-band photometry. The Pristine footprint currently covers about 1000 deg\(^2\), stretching from \(\alpha = 180^\circ\) to \(\alpha \sim 250-260^\circ\) (or \(b \sim 30^\circ\)) and from \(\delta = +6^\circ\) to \(+16^\circ\), with some currently non-contiguous coverage throughout the \(-3^\circ < \delta < +18^\circ\) range. The footprint was chosen to be accessible from both hemispheres for the spectroscopic follow-up and to include a variety of known stellar halo substructures. It includes a portion of the Sagittarius stream and of the Virgo overdensity (see Belokurov et al. 2006a; Lokhorst et al. 2016, for an SDSS map of these regions), along with three globular clusters (NGC 5904/M5, Pal 5 and Pal 14), most of the Pal 5 stellar stream and three faint dwarf galaxies (Boötes I, Boötes II and Hercules). Furthermore, it covers 17 SEGUE spectroscopic fields that we use to calibrate the \(CaHK\)-to-[Fe/H] relation.

Most fields were observed under good seeing (\(IQ < 0.8\) arcsec) and during cloudless, bright\(^3\) nights, even though the conditions were not necessarily photometric. For these fields, a single 100-s integration was performed. The survey depth is driven by the magnitude limit \(g \sim 21.0\) at signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) \(\sim 10\) for which it will be possible to easily obtain low- and medium-resolution spectroscopic follow-up, combined with the goal of reaching red giant stars at the virial radius of the Milky Way (\(\sim 200-250\) kpc; e.g. Springel et al. 2008). For a subset of the fields (shown with blue numbers in Fig. 4), a low ranking in the queue led to a change of our strategy. For these fields, we requested less stringent limits on the image quality (\(1.2 < IQ < 1.5\) arcsec), but we instead performed \(2 \times 100\) s subexposures, ensuring reduced data of similar quality.

\(^3\) MegaCam bright nights still correspond to \(< 60\) per cent moon illumination since the truly bright time is dedicated to the spectropolarimeter Echelle SpectroPolarimetric Device for the Observation of Stars (ESPaDOnS) and the Wide-field InfraRed Camera (WIRCam).
Fields observed with $IQ > 1.5$ arcsec are removed from the survey and are re-observed.

2.3 Data reduction

Images are downloaded directly from the CFHT servers. They are already pre-processed by the ELIXIR pipeline (Magnier & Cuillandre 2004) and have been debiased, flat-fielded and detrended. The next data reduction steps are performed by the Pristine collaboration with the Cambridge Astronomical Survey Unit pipeline (CASU; Irwin & Lewis 2001) specifically tailored to CFHT/MegaCam images (Ibata et al. 2014). First, a confidence map is built from a set of CaHK flat-fields downloaded from the archive. The astrometry of the images is then performed against SDSS stars. The very low density of sources on each CCD for these short and narrow-band observations forces us to use all sources detected in the frame down to $S/N = 5$. Despite this, most CCDs converge on an astrometric solution that is good at the 0.2 arcsec level and more than sufficient for Pristine science. For the small subset of fields observed twice under poorer conditions, the two exposures of a given field are then stacked. Aperture photometry is performed similarly for all single or stacked images before the resulting catalogues are cross-identified with the SDSS Data Release 12 (DR12). Multiple observations of the same star are removed from the master catalogue during the cross-identification, as well as any stars that are flagged in SDSS $g$, $r$ or $i$ photometry to be saturated, have deblending or interpolation problems, are suspicious detections or are close to the edge of a frame.

We note that in combining both data sets we inherit the bright limit from the SDSS survey, which is typically around $g_0 \approx 14$. The saturation limit for the CaHK photometry itself lies typically around $CaHK_0 \approx 12$ for our fields, corresponding to $g_0 \approx 11.5$ (naturally dependent on the colour of the star).

As a final step of the data reduction process, we deredden the data following the Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) rederdenation of the Schlegel, Finkbeiner & Davis (1998) maps. Schlafly (private communication) kindly determined the extinction coefficient of the CaHK filter, $A_{CaHK}/E(B-V)_{SDS} = 3.924$, based on the filter curve.

The typical photometric uncertainties reached by the Pristine CaHK observations are illustrated in Fig. 5 for a randomly chosen patch of ~6 deg$^2$ around $(\alpha, \delta) = (236.5, +11.0)$. Given the blue wavelength of the CaHK filter, it is neither a surprise that the magnitude at which a given S/N is reached varies strongly as a function of colour, nor that the blue stars have a higher S/N at fixed magnitude.

We reach our intended goal with, overall, $S/N = 10$ at $g_0 \sim 21.0$ for a main-sequence turn-off star and $g_0 \sim 20.0$ for a tip of the giant branch halo star, which corresponds to a distance of ~250 kpc for the latter.

Fig. 6 shows the $g$-band magnitudes reached for CaHK $S/N = 10$ in the $0.2 < (g - i_0) < 0.6$ colour band for all fields observed until now. These depths are determined after the calibration is performed (see below). Depth variations are inevitable for a survey like Pristine but the median depth is $g_0 = 20.9$ and, although there is a handful of fields in a tail of shallower depths, most of the observed fields have $S/N = 10$ in the range $20.5 < g_0 < 21.2$. We may revisit some of the significantly shallower fields in the future to bring them closer to the bulk of the survey.

2.4 Calibration

We enforce a two-step process to perform a relative calibration of the ~1000 Pristine fields. First we determine a zero-point for every $g$-band magnitudes reached for CaHK $S/N = 10$ in the 0.2 $<(g-i_0)<$ 0.6 colour band for all fields observed until now. These depths are determined after the calibration is performed (see below). Depth variations are inevitable for a survey like Pristine but the median depth is $g_0 = 20.9$ and, although there is a handful of fields in a tail of shallower depths, most of the observed fields have $S/N = 10$ in the range $20.5 < g_0 < 21.2$. We may revisit some of the significantly shallower fields in the future to bring them closer to the bulk of the survey. we then apply a ‘flat-field’ at the catalogue level to account for subtle variations of the CaHK magnitudes as a function of the position on the field of view.

2.4.1 Field-to-field calibration

There are no good photometric standard stars for the CaHK filter, so we rely entirely on calibrating all the fields relatively to each other. To do so, we select a reference field. Fig. 7 presents the redereddened $(g - i_0, (CaHK - g_0))$ colour–colour space for our chosen reference MegaCam field (field 251, top panel) and a random survey field (field 23, bottom panel). In these panels, built from stars with CaHK uncertainties lower than 0.1, the sequence that extends from (0.3,0.3) to (2.4,1.7) is traced by the bulk of stars and as such defines the stellar locus. This stellar locus is naturally populated by the most abundant types of stars, main-sequence turn-off stars in the blue and mainly nearby, roughly solar metallicity, disc red dwarf stars in the red. Stars above the stellar locus will have decreasing metallicities, as will be shown later in Section 3. Outliers can be variable stars, stars with CaHK in emission or, to the blue of the bluest edge of the sequence, blue horizontal or blue straggler stars.

For each field, we determine the median $(CaHK - g_0)$ colour for every 0.2 bin in $(g - i_0)$ for the range $1.2 < (g - i_0) < 2.4$. Since the SDSS $g$ and $i$ bands are already calibrated, the median

\footnote{The colour range over which the average location of the stellar locus is determined is particularly important as the colour of the stellar locus needs...}
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Figure 6. Left: median $g$-magnitude depth reached in each field by stars with $0.2 < (g - i) < 0.6$ and S/N $\approx 10$ in the CaHK calibrated observations. Right: the distribution of depth values. The median of the distribution is $g_0 = 20.9$ and most of the survey is fairly homogeneous with S/N = 10 depths in the range 20.5 < $g_0$ < 21.2.

Figure 7. ($CaHK - g$)$_0$ versus ($g - i$)$_0$ colour–colour plot for the chosen reference MegaCam field (top) and a random survey field after the field-to-field calibration is performed (bottom). The stellar locus is made of metal-rich disc stars and stars of lower metallicity will be spread above it. To determine the zero-point of each field, we use the shape of the stellar locus for foreground red dwarf stars in the range 1.2 < ($g - i$)$_0$ < 2.4 and minimize the $\chi^2$ between the median location of the stellar locus in a reference field (the red points) and those of the point of interest after applying the calibration offset (the blue points for the field represented in the bottom panel).

($CaHK - g$)$_0$ colour of each $g - i$ bin will only vary from field to field because of an unknown zero-point offset in CaHK. For a given field, we therefore determine the CaHK offset necessary to minimize the $\chi^2$ between the median colour points of the locus of this field and that of the reference field, as illustrated in the top panel of Fig. 7. CaHK magnitudes of this field are then corrected by this most likely offset to put them all on the same zero-point. An example of the result after correction is shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 7 for field 23.

To illustrate the quality of this internal calibration, Fig. 8 shows the average colour of the stellar locus in the 1.2 < ($g - i$)$_0$ < 2.4 (effectively, the average of the six median points determined for a given field) before and after the calibration. It is clear that the calibrated map is much flatter than the uncalibrated one, as it should be by construction. The dispersion around the mean of the distribution drops from 0.137 mag down to only 0.003 mag. Of course, this dispersion does not account for external sources of uncertainties on the calibration but confirms that our routine to calibrate the data relatively leads to very good internal consistency.

2.4.2 ‘Flat-field’ calibration

The broad-band MegaCam images pre-processed by ELIXIR are known to suffer from magnitude offsets that depend on the location in the field of view (e.g. Ibata et al. 2014). This is also the case for our CaHK images.\footnote{For this filter, it could also be the consequence of the throughput and shape of the filter curve varying slightly with the location on the MegaCam field of view. We further note that the data set was ensured to be homogenized after an early 2016 update to ELIXIR, as all the Pristine images were kindly reprocessed by CFHT staff with the updated version of the ELIXIR pipeline.}

To measure and correct for the remaining variations after the data has gone through the ELIXIR pipeline, we examine the location of all survey stars with small uncertainties ($\delta(CaHK) < 0.05$) in the colour–colour plane of Fig. 7. We determine the median ($CaHK - g$)$_0$ colour of the stellar locus for bins of 0.01 in ($g - i$)$_0$ for the 1.2 < ($g - i$)$_0$ < 2.4 range, similarly to what was done in Subsection 2.4.1 but on a much finer scale. Splining these median points leads to a model of the median position of the stellar locus. The ($CaHK - g$)$_0$ offset of any of the considered stars from the splined stellar locus is then calculated and shown in Fig. 9 binned as a function of that star’s location on the MegaCam field of view. This binned map reveals small offsets that smoothly vary over the physical location of a star on the MegaCam image. Since the SDSS $g$-band magnitudes should not depend on its position on the Pristine field of view, it is safe to assume that these variations are due to an improper calibration of the CaHK images. The top-right histogram
of Fig. 9 shows that the mean offset is close to 0.0 but that it has a
tail of high values that stems from the pixels at the edges of the field
of view. It is therefore important to correct for this effect to ensure
as smooth a survey as possible.

We fit to the data a quadratic model defined by

\[ CaHK_{\text{off}}(X, Y|X_0, Y_0, A, B) = AR^2 + B, \]

with \( R = \sqrt{\left(\frac{X - X_0}{19\,000}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{Y - Y_0}{19\,000}\right)^2}. \)

Here, \( CaHK_{\text{off}} \) is the measured offset from the median colour of the
stellar locus, \( X \) and \( Y \) are the MegaCam global pixel coordinates,
\( X_0 \) and \( Y_0 \) define the centre of the model in those coordinates,
\( A \) is the amplitude of the correction and \( B \) an offset. \( \chi^2 \) fitting yields
the following best parameters: \((X_0, Y_0) = (9600, 11\,000), A = 0.2 \)
and \( B = -0.03 \). Subtracting this model from the data flatten the
data offset as can be seen in the third panel of Fig. 9. There is still
some low-level structures in the map but these are much weaker
than they were before the correction. This is also made evident by
the distribution of pixel values before and after calibration, whose
mean and standard deviations change from \((\mu, \sigma) = (0.006, 0.023) \)
to \((0.001, 0.010) \) mag.

2.5 Global estimation of remaining systematic uncertainties

In order to assess the quality of the Pristine CaHK calibration and
ascertain the scale of any remaining systematics, we consider a
mosaic of fields purposefully designed around the Hercules dwarf galaxy. This mosaic of 25 fields (20 of which were observed) is designed to be shifted positively and negatively by a third and two-thirds of a field in both the RA and the Dec. directions from a central field centred on Hercules. All the mosaic fields were observed the same night and the comparison of the multiple measurements of a star yields the histogram in Fig. 10. In this case, we use all stars with CaHK uncertainties less than 0.02 to infer a dispersion of 0.026 mag, which implies an uncertainty floor of 0.018 by dividing this number by \sqrt{2}. Since this represents an ideal case, with fields observed during a single night and very similar conditions, we also check the small overlapping region between fields observed on different semesters and similarly conclude that the CaHK uncertainty floor is 0.02 mag. We add this number in quadrature to the original uncertainty measurements.

3 FROM CaHK MAGNITUDES TO A PHOTOMETRIC METALLICITY SCALE

Over 17 500 stars in our footprint also have SDSS/SEGUE spectra along with radial velocities and metallicities derived from these, which we use to calibrate the Pristine metallicity scale. For our calibration and verification purposes we make some further selection to ensure we are only using the most robust of these data. We select stars from either the SDSS/legacy, SDSS/SEGUE1 or SDSS/SEGUE2 fields that have an average S/N per pixel larger than 25 over the wavelength 400–800 nm, a derivation of log(g), a radial velocity uncertainty <10 km s$^{-1}$, an adopted [Fe/H] uncertainty lower than 0.2, an adopted $T_{\text{eff}}$ < 7000 K and only nominal ‘n’ flags (with an exception for stars that show the ‘g’ or ‘G’ flag indicating a mild or strong G-band feature). We additionally require that the Pristine detection of the star indicates it is indeed a point source (CASU flag of −1 in our photometry) and that the uncertainty in the CaHK magnitude is <0.05. To mitigate the sparsity in very cool low-metallicity stars, we complement the SDSS sample with the red giant stars of the Bo"otes I dwarf galaxy, as studied by Feltzing et al. (2009), Gilmore et al. (2013), Ishigaki et al. (2014) and Frebel et al. (2016). In total, this leaves us with 7673 stars to calibrate our survey with.

In Appendix A (available online) we compare how the various [Fe/H] estimates from the SDSS stellar parameter pipeline (sspp; see Lee et al. 2008 for an overview description) behave in our Pristine colour–colour space with the highly temperature-sensitive SDSS ($g - i_0$) colours and a combination of these and the Pristine CaHK magnitude on the y-axis (the same combination as introduced in Fig. 3). This can be seen as an independent testing of the different pipelines.

It must be noted that in all cases the comparison is based on [Fe/H] values, whereas naturally our filter is mostly sensitive to Ca lines. For most SDSS methods compared, the [Fe/H] value given is however also influenced by features in the stellar spectrum that follow elements besides Fe. Also, we remind the reader that the comparison with synthetic spectra, such as shown in Fig. 3, is based on assumptions on the [Ca/Fe] value with [Fe/H]. Specifically, we use a scale for [Ca/Fe] that linearly rises from 0.0 at [Fe/H] = 0.0 until +0.4 at [Fe/H] = −1 and then stays at this level for lower metallicities. We note that, for any stars with a discrepant [Ca/Fe], the calibration might be less accurate.

Although the standard adopted [Fe/H] from the sspp – called FEAHODOP and a combination of all methods – performs well for the bulk of the stars, we find that for the lowest metallicity regime a method called FEHANNR provides a better result. The FEHANNR metallicity estimate is neural network (NN) based using an initial principal component analysis compression of the data and it utilizes the full wavelength range of the SDSS/SEGUE spectra. It is further both trained and tested on observed (‘real’, hence RR) SDSS spectra instead of synthetic spectra (Re Fiorentin et al. 2007). As shown in Appendix A (available online), with this method the stars with spectroscopic [Fe/H] values close to [Fe/H] = −3 fall close to the synthetic $−3$ line and additionally fewer stars in other parts of the parameter space receive such a low spectroscopic metallicity. Because of its superior behaviour at the lowest metallicity regime, we will adopt this as our standard sspp spectroscopic metallicity value for the remainder of the paper.

3.1 Cleaning the contamination

Since the main Pristine goal is to isolate particularly under-represented objects in the survey, we must remove as many contaminants as possible. We aim to weed out two particular sources of contamination.

(i) Variable stars and quasars. Because the Pristine narrow-band photometry and the SDSS broad-band photometry are not taken at the same time (but instead several years apart), variable sources can significantly scatter around up and down in the colour–colour diagram. To remove these interlopers, we cross-identify our catalogue with the catalogue of $\chi^2$ variability of all Panoramic Survey Telescope and Rapid Response System 1 (Pan-STARRS1; Chambers et al. 2016) sources by Hernitschek et al. (2016, see their equation 1) and remove every object that has a $\chi^2$ value larger than 0.5. This
(ii) White dwarfs. Nearby cool white dwarfs can overlap with the temperature and magnitude range of interest as well. As white dwarfs typically show no Ca absorption features, they are a potential source of contamination in our metal-poor samples. However, following Lokhorst et al. (2016), the sparse white dwarf population can be removed effectively from the main population of main-sequence and red-giant stars by removing any star from our sample that has SDSS $(u-g)<0.3$, but also flags some cooler variable objects.

Figure 11. The sample of stars within the Pristine footprint overlapping with SDSS/SEGUE spectra, plotted in the SDSS–Pristine colour–colour space as introduced in Fig. 3. As described in the text, several cuts are applied to this sample to remove contaminants and uncertain measurements. The stars are colour coded by their [Fe/H] from the sspr. The coloured lines are using the same colour-scale and represent the fits to synthetic spectra model predictions for stars with [Fe/H] = −1.0, −2.0, −3.0, and with no metal lines as presented in Fig. 3 (orange, green, blue and black, respectively); the latter is offsetted by 0.05 to provide an upper limit.

By making these cuts we remove 7 per cent of our SDSS/SEGUE overlap sample and a similar percentage in our total sample, because they are suspected contaminants. One remaining possible source of contamination amongst our low-metallicity targets are chromospherically active KM dwarfs showing Ca H&K in emission. Strong chromospheric activity is however observed only among stars younger than 0.1 Gyr (Henry et al. 1996). In the HES survey, which was not affected by variability issues, it has been documented that only 43 out of 1771 metal-poor candidates had to be rejected after low-resolution follow-up (Schörck et al. 2009). Thus, we conclude that those with features so strong that they (exactly) fill in their absorption lines will comprise a very small fraction of our targets.

Fig. 11 illustrates the overlapping and cleaned SDSS/SEGUE sample in a colour–colour plot. The coloured lines that are overplotted on the data points are the same as in Fig. 3 and represent the exponential fits to expectations from the integration of synthetic spectra. The line fitting the synthetic spectra with no metals (shown as a dashed black line) is offset by 0.05 upwards, in order to accommodate the typical photometric uncertainty in our data set and act as an upper limit of where we expect our stars to fall.

3.2 Deriving photometric metallicities

Using the cleaned sample of Pristine stars with SDSS spectra in conjunction with our synthetic spectra, we define a metallicity scale to assign a Pristine photometric metallicity star to every Pristine star. The $(g-i_0)$ and $[\text{CaHK} - g - 1.5(g-i)_0]$ space shown in Fig. 11 is pixelized in square pixels of 0.025 in size and each pixel is assigned the average $\text{FEHANNRR}$ metallicity value of all common SDSS/SEGUE–Pristine stars. Because of the larger number of stars at higher metallicities, we expect more contamination of higher metallicity stars in lower metallicity bins than the converse. To avoid them dominating the averaging process, we clip outliers with metallicity values 2$\sigma$ above the average. Pixels without stars are assigned the metallicity value from the closest populated pixel. Additionally, we enforce a monotonic relation in every $(g-i_0)$ column such that, for $[\text{Fe/H}]=-1.5$ pixels and above, a pixel cannot have a value exceeding its downwards neighbour. In other words, at lower metallicities we force the behaviour of the grid such that for a fixed temperature (approximated by $(g-i_0)$), a larger CaHK flux means a lower metallicity (as expected from Fig. 1). For pixels that are close to the no-metals line, the sampling is very poor. We therefore assign a metallicity $[\text{Fe/H}]=-4.0$ to any empty pixel up to 0.2 above that line. We remind the reader that the no-metals line was calculated using synthetic spectra from metallicity $[\text{Fe/H}]=-4$ stellar atmospheres and no heavy metal absorption lines. The extra 0.2 margin is therefore set to make sure that we do not miss the most interesting metal deficient stars because of systematic effects in either of these steps. To give this region of the colour–colour plot $[\text{Fe/H}]=-4$, ensures the calibration of the low-metallicity end of the distribution. As a final step, the grid is slightly smoothed by a 2D Gaussian with a 2-pixel standard deviation to lower the noise.

Subsequently, we go through the exact same procedure for a grid where we use $(g-r_0)$ instead of $(g-i_0)$ (not shown), a colour also known to be very temperature sensitive (Ivezić et al. 2008), although we find that, compared to an ordering in $(g-i_0)$, it provides slightly less metallicity discrimination power at the lowest temperatures. We therefore adopt the metallicity based on $(g-i_0)$ colour as the standard photometric metallicity in the remainder of this work and use the $(g-r_0)$-based metallicity just as an extra check of the quality of the photometry. For 2 per cent of the total sample with $\delta_{\text{CaHK}}<0.05$, we find that the two metallicity scales do not agree within 0.5 dex. These stars are discarded from the sample in the rest of this work. For the remaining 98 per cent of the sample, though, the two photometric metallicities are in good agreement.
Figure 12. Top left-hand panel: Pristine photometric [Fe/H] as determined by the photometric calibration described in the text using the \(CaHK_0\) magnitudes and SDSS \(g_0\) and \(i_0\), compared to the spectroscopic [Fe/H] as determined from SDSS and SDSS/SEGUE spectra by the FEHANNRR method in SSPP. Top right-hand panel: Pristine photometric [Fe/H] compared to the spectroscopic [Fe/H] as determined by the LAMOST pipeline in the LAMOST Data Release 2 sample. Bottom left-hand panel: broad-band photometric [Fe/H] as determined from SDSS \(u_0\), \(g_0\) and \(i_0\) alone, following the calibration of Ivezić et al. (2008) and their colour cuts for their more stringent sample restricted to stars with \((g − r)_0 < 0.4\) (i.e. the hotter stars close to the turn-off). Because of the extra colour cuts by Ivezić et al. (2008), the bottom left-hand panel has far fewer stars than the top left-hand panel. Bottom right-hand panel: comparison of Pristine photometric [Fe/H] and spectroscopic [Fe/H] from overlapping high-resolution samples. Stars in this sample are taken from SDSS near-infrared high-resolution survey APOGEE (SDSS Collaboration et al. 2016) at the higher metallicities, where we have discarded any star with the APOGEE pipeline flag unequal to zero. At lower metallicities we have cross-correlated the high-resolution data sets of Aoki et al. (2013) and Cohen et al. (2013) with the footprint of the Pristine survey. Finally, we have added high-resolution observations of stars in the Bootes I dwarf galaxy as compiled by Romano et al. (2015) (original measurements by Feltzing et al. 2009; Gilmore et al. 2013; Ishigaki et al. 2014) and supplemented with one additional star from Frebel et al. (2016). The symbol sizes on the first three panels are inversely linearly dependent on the metallicity on the x-axis, to allow both a good view on the sparser metal-poor population and dense metal-rich population. The numbers in the panels indicate the number of stars in the sample.

For over 80 per cent of the sample the agreement is better than 0.2 dex and for over 50 per cent better than 0.1 dex.

### 3.3 Quality assessment of the Pristine metallicities

#### 3.3.1 The effect of photometric uncertainties on the derived photometric metallicities

To assess the photometric metallicity uncertainties due to the uncertainties on the \(CaHK\) magnitudes alone, we look again at the stars that are observed multiple times in the mosaic of overlapping fields in the region of the Hercules dwarf galaxy, as described in Section 2.5. We find that in the full sample, which represents many different stellar parameters, the \(CaHK\) uncertainty of 0.026 mag within the Hercules sample corresponds to a median uncertainty in metallicity of \(\sigma_{[\text{Fe/H}]} = 0.11\). In the metal-poor regime \(([\text{Fe/H}] < -1.5)\) \(\sigma_{[\text{Fe/H}]}\) increases to 0.20, because a variation in \(CaHK\) corresponds to a larger difference in [Fe/H] in this regime.

#### 3.3.2 Comparison to spectroscopic data sets

The top left-hand panel of Fig. 12 shows the Pristine photometric [Fe/H] versus the spectroscopic [Fe/H] from SDSS/SEGUE for stars in common between the two surveys. Stars are further selected
to have $0.2 < (g - i)_0 < 1.5$, corresponding to the full colour range from the main-sequence turn-off to the tip of the red giant branch for a metal-poor stellar population, thereby covering most stages of stellar evolution except for the hottest or coolest stars. We find a tight relation, in particular for [Fe/H] $< -0.5$, with a Gaussian fit standard deviation of only $\approx 0.22$ dex throughout the full metallicity range. The Gaussian fit is systematically offset by $\approx -0.08$ dex, in the sense that the Pristine metallicities are generally slightly more metal poor. The dominance of high-metallicity outliers scattering into the lower metallicity regime, as mentioned above, is likely the driving force behind this small systematic offset and we therefore decide not to correct for it. The standard deviation remains stable at the low-metallicity end and increases only slightly to $\approx 0.23$ for [Fe/H] $< -1.5$ and to $\approx 0.25$ for [Fe/H] $< -2.0$. In particular, for this lower metallicity regime, this means that the total uncertainty is not much larger than the uncertainty expected from systematics in the measurement of CaHK magnitudes. Even though this set of data is identical to the training set, and thus they are by definition on the same metallicity scale, the small scatter in the full sample does illustrate the excellent metallicity sensitivity of the CaHK filter. Further, more precise, metallicity measurements and the determination of abundance ratios for several elements can be reached by follow-up spectroscopy.

In addition to the SDSS/SEGUE sample, 21 969 Pristine stars are also present with measurements for their velocities and metallicities in the DR2 of the LAMOST survey (Cui et al. 2012; Luo et al. 2015; Xiang et al. 2015). When we apply similar quality cuts to this sample as to our SDSS/SEGUE sample (although with higher tolerance on their derived uncertainties, namely a radial velocity uncertainty $<70$ km s$^{-1}$ and an adopted [Fe/H] uncertainty lower than 0.4 by the LAMOST pipeline), we find a sample of over $\sim 16000$ stars that can be used for comparison with the Pristine metallicities, of which $\sim 5700$ with [Fe/H] $< -0.5$. The sample is shown in the top right-hand panel of Fig. 12. This comparison leads to very similar conclusions to the comparison with SDSS/SEGUE over the full metallicity range, although it is less well constrained at low metallicities due to the sparsity of low-metallicity stars in the LAMOST data set. The distribution of metallicity differences between the LAMOST spectroscopic and Pristine photometric metallicities has a mean of $-0.12$ and a Gaussian-fit standard deviation of $\approx 0.18$ dex.

We illustrate the clear benefit of additional narrow-band photometry to complement broad-band photometry in the bottom left-hand panel of Fig. 12. Here, we have calculated the photometric metallicities from SDSS broad-band photometry alone, following Ivezić et al. (2008). Additional colour cuts are applied to the sample, as described by Ivezić et al. (2008). In particular, we only use their most strict colour-cut sample with $0.2 < g - r < 0.4$, which selects mostly hotter stars near the turn-off, for which the calibration performs best. The bottom left-hand panel of the figure therefore contains over $\sim 4000$ fewer stars than the top left-hand panel even though they start out from the same sample. Despite these extra restrictions, the calibration based on pure broad-band results clearly underperforms over the full metallicity range. Most strikingly, the narrow-band CaHK filter adds a sensitivity to the lowest metallicity regime that cannot be achieved from broad-band photometry.

Finally, we present in the bottom right-hand panel of Fig. 12 a comparison of Pristine metallicities with metallicities derived from high-resolution studies. We have cross-correlated the Pristine footprint with SDSS-Apache Point Observatory Galactic Evolution Experiment (APOGEE; SDSS Collaboration et al. 2016), which mainly yielded stars at [Fe/H]$> -2$. For this sample, only stars with the APOGEE pipeline flag set to zero are considered. We have sought for stars studied in high resolution at the low-metallicity end by cross-correlating with the studies of Aoki et al. (2013) and Cohen et al. (2013). Additionally, we make use of the coverage of the Boötes dwarf galaxy in our footprint and add high-resolution observations of stars in this galaxy as compiled by Romano et al. (2015), including stars from Feltzing et al. (2009), Gilmore et al. (2013) and Ishigaki et al. (2014), with one additional star from Frebel et al. (2016). The comparison again shows a tight correlation between spectroscopy and Pristine photometric [Fe/H] measurements, down to the very low-metallicity regime.

### 3.4 The photometric metallicity scale in different Galactic environments

Throughout our footprint the Galactic latitudes observed vary from $b = 30^\circ$, close to the disc, to $b = 78^\circ$, close to the Galactic North Pole. The stellar populations observed therefore change as well; while the low-latitude fields would be completely dominated by more metal-rich stars from the Galactic thin and thick disc, the higher latitude population contains a much larger fraction of metal-poor halo stars. In Fig. 13 we therefore investigate the robustness of our metallicity scale as a function of the survey footprint and find no systematic trends. The colour range over which the average location of the stellar locus is calculated during the calibration process is particularly important to obtain this result. An initial choice of fitting the stellar locus at $0.4 < (g - i)_0 < 1.2$ led to a systematic bias in the [Fe/H] values because of changes in the main-sequence turn-off stellar populations between the halo and the (thick) disc. Physical changes in the colour of the turn-off due to the shifting median metallicity of the stellar population were effectively assigned to zero-point offsets, leading to an [Fe/H] bias that was a function of the Galactic latitude. The final selection of only foreground dwarf (main-sequence) stars in the range $1.2 < (g - i)_0 < 2.4$, whose properties are homogeneous over the sky, resulted in a homogeneous calibration.

### 3.5 Purity and completeness of finding low-metallicity stars

On average over our footprint, we find that in each $\sim$deg$^2$ field we have $\sim 7$ stars that have [Fe/H]$_{\text{Pristine}} \leq -2.5$ down to a magnitude of $V \approx 18$. When we select stars in common in Pristine and SDSS/SEGUE that have a Pristine photometric metallicity of [Fe/H]$_{\text{Pristine}} \leq -2.5$, we find that our success rate at uncovering a star with an sspp spectroscopic metallicity [Fe/H]$_{\text{SSPP}} \leq -2.5$ is 51 per cent. We note that this success rate is based on the SDSS/SEGUE metallicities that are also known to be challenged in the very metal-poor regime (e.g. Lee et al. 2008; Aoki et al. 2013; Aguado et al. 2016). Therefore, a more telling statistic is perhaps that 90 per cent of this subsample has [Fe/H]$_{\text{SSPP}} \leq -2.0$.

Additionally, we investigate the completeness of a sample of metal-poor stars selected with Pristine photometry and find that 71 per cent of all stars with [Fe/H]$_{\text{SSPP}} \leq -2.5$ also have [Fe/H]$_{\text{Pristine}} \leq -2.5$, and 98 per cent of these have [Fe/H]$_{\text{Pristine}} \leq -2.0$.

Similarly, although with smaller sample statistics, we recover 39 per cent of overlapping SDSS/SEGUE stars with [Fe/H]$_{\text{SSPP}} \leq -3.0$ by selecting stars with [Fe/H]$_{\text{Pristine}} \leq -3.0$. Of all stars that have [Fe/H]$_{\text{SSPP}} \leq -3.0$, we find 78 per cent to have [Fe/H]$_{\text{Pristine}} \leq -2.5$. The success rate of uncovering [Fe/H]$_{\text{SSPP}} \leq -3.0$ among [Fe/H]$_{\text{Pristine}} \leq -2.5$ selected stars is 8 per cent and increases to 24 per cent among [Fe/H]$_{\text{Pristine}} \leq -3.0$ selected stars. Of the remaining candidates at [Fe/H]$_{\text{Pristine}} \leq -3.0$, 85 per cent are still
very metal poor at [Fe/H]_{SSP} \leq -2.0 and can thus not really be considered ‘contaminants’. Given that published results from previous or ongoing surveys report a success rate of finding [Fe/H] \leq -3 stars around 3–4 per cent (Schorck et al. 2009; Schlaufman & Casey 2014; Casey & Schlaufman 2015), such a high success rate percentage at 24 per cent would mean a great success for Pristine.

From these success rates we conclude that a survey of very metal-poor stars based on Pristine photometry will be very complete and have a low level of contamination. We can confirm that these rates are indeed achieved from preliminary results of our first spectroscopic follow-up campaign (Youakim et al. 2017).

4 SCIENCE WITH PRISTINE

Below we outline three main science goals of the Pristine survey, and present some preliminary results from the photometry and the spectroscopic follow-up programs. Detailed results will be presented in upcoming papers.

4.1 Searching for the most metal-poor stars

Uncovering a sample of hundreds of extremely metal-poor stars is one of the main goals of the Pristine survey. As detailed in Section 1, many scientific questions about the early Universe are hampered by the small number of known extremely metal-poor stars, but Pristine provides the capability to efficiently find and study large samples of [Fe/H] \leq -3.0 stars in a range of Galactic environments. Starting in 2016, the Pristine collaboration began a dedicated spectroscopic follow-up programme to gather spectra for the brightest extremely metal-poor candidates found in the Pristine photometry (Youakim et al. 2017; Aguado et al., in preparation; Venn et al., in preparation).

In Fig. 14 we illustrate that Pristine is well suited also to uncover the extremely rare ultra-metal-poor stars ([Fe/H] \leq -4.0). Two additional MegaCam fields were strategically placed to cover the known ultra-metal-poor stars SDSS J1742+2531 with [Fe/H] \approx -4.80 \pm 0.07 (follow-up analysis with the Very Large Telescope instruments X-shooter and the Ultraviolet and Visual Echelle Spectrograph by Caffau et al. 2013a; Bonifacio et al. 2015) and SDSS J183455+421328 with [Fe/H] = -3.94 \pm 0.20 (follow-up analysis with Intermediate dispersion Spectrograph and Imaging System on the William Herschel Telescope instrument by Aguado et al. 2016). These two stars are highlighted in Fig. 14 and their photometric uncertainties are represented by the error bars in the y-axis direction. It is obvious that the two ultra-metal-poor stars indeed fall in the regime where we expect stars that have [Fe/H] \leq -3.0 to be located, but still not above the no-metals line. They stand out significantly among most of the majority of metal-poor stars. Although, as expected, they do not stand out so significantly that Pristine photometry can efficiently isolate them from the more numerous extremely metal-poor stars without follow-up spectroscopy.

4.2 Characterizing the faint dwarf galaxies

The last couple of decades saw the discovery of numerous satellites in the Galactic halo. Satellite discoveries in the SDSS (e.g. Belokurov et al. 2007), Pan-STARRS1 (e.g. Laevens et al. 2015) and the Dark Energy Survey (e.g. Bechtol et al. 2015) have provided us with powerful observational constraints in our cosmic backyard, especially to understand the faint end of galaxy formation in the preferred cosmological paradigm of cold dark matter (LCDM; e.g. Belokurov 2013). However, good-quality spectroscopy samples of at least tens of member stars per system are essential to both reach a good understanding of a system’s dynamics and to accurately derive their chemical evolution history. One of the main difficulties that the community is encountering when studying these systems stems from their low contrast and the very expensive endeavour that studying their individual stars represents: it is very difficult to weed out the overwhelming population of foreground contaminants. Typically, only the central regions of these dwarf galaxies are dense enough to yield a good return on (observational time) investment when selected from broad-band photometry alone. Further out, one encounters a crippling fraction of contaminants. Yet, it should be noted that the outskirts of these systems are especially valuable if we wish to understand their dynamics and, from there, derive their masses. At present, most of the ‘mass’ measurements assume dynamical equilibrium (e.g. Martin et al. 2007; Simon & Geha 2007; Simon et al. 2011), which is far from being proven for systems within a few tens of kpc. In fact, there are already hints that at least some systems have complex kinematics (Ibata et al. 2006; Martin et al. 2016; Collins et al. 2017). Similarly, the chemical signature of these ‘first galaxies’ is proving useful to model the first
Figure 14. From left to right the large coloured filled circles show the locations of SDSS J1742+2531 (black) and SDSS J183455+421328 (darker blue) in the SDSS–Pristine colour–colour space as presented before in Fig. 11. These two stars are known to have $[\text{Fe/H}] \leq -4$ and we find that they are located approximately on the $[\text{Fe/H}] = -3$ line in the Pristine data. As before, the colour of the symbols indicates their $[\text{Fe/H}]$ values, determined from higher resolution spectroscopic follow-up by Caffau et al. (2013a), Bonifacio et al. (2015) and Aguado et al. (2016). Smaller dots show the sample of common stars between SDSS/SEGUE and Pristine. All these stars are colour coded according to their SSPP metallicity. The coloured lines represent exponential fits to the symbols of metallicities $[\text{Fe/H}] = -1$, $-2$ and $-3$ and no metal lines, as defined in Fig. 3, with an extra offset of 0.05 for the no metal line.

Figure 15. Colour–magnitude diagram (left) and SDSS–Pristine colour–colour plot (right) for Pristine stars in a 4-deg$^2$ region centred on the Bo"otes I dwarf galaxy. Stars within the central degree are represented with a darker colour, stars along the stellar population sequence of M92 at the distance of Bo"otes I (as taken from Clem, Vanden Berg & Stetson 2008) are represented in black. Spectroscopically followed-up stars from Koposov et al. (2011) and Norris et al. (2010) are circled red if they agree with the Bo"otes I systemic radial velocity and are labelled as the best member samples in either paper from subsequent analysis on the spectra in terms of stellar parameters such as stellar gravity. Additionally they are only plotted if they have $g - i > 0.6$. The shape of the colour–magnitude distribution on the left is affected by cuts made on the $\text{CaHK}$ uncertainties (see text and Fig. 5). The $\text{CaHK}$ observations clearly give a handle on membership without spectroscopic information and allow for an efficient pre-screening of candidate member stars out to large radii from the galaxy’s centre.

An illustration of the efficiency of this method can already be judged from relatively shallow Pristine $\text{CaHK}$ observations of Bo"otes I, which lies within the survey footprint. Fig. 15 shows the SDSS–Pristine colour–colour plot for stars within a region of 4 deg$^2$ centred on Bo"otes I. The $\text{CaHK}$ uncertainty cuts are made such as to agree with the distance of the galaxy, we impose a cut of $\text{CaHK}_{\text{err}} < 0.05$ for the upper red giant branch (stars with $(g - i)_0 > 0.8$) and $\text{CaHK}_{\text{err}} < 0.1$ for the full sample. Stars in this sample that are spectroscopically followed-up by either Norris...
Stellar density maps showing two known substructures of different metallicities and sizes contained within the Pristine footprint for different $[\text{Fe/H}]_{\text{Pristine}}$ cuts: the Boötes I dwarf galaxy (top) and the globular cluster Pal 14 (bottom, circled). The parameter cuts to make these maps are based on literature values for the sizes and metallicities of these substructures (see text for details). The colour codes the significance of overdensities compared to the foreground/background stellar densities.

et al. (2010) or Koposov et al. (2011) are circled in colour if the star is a very likely member of the Boötes I system, as determined by the radial velocity and stellar parameters derived from the spectra. Despite stemming from a short 100-s exposure, the CaHK photometry nicely identifies these best members and sets them, and other candidates, apart from the majority of foreground contamination.

One of the Pristine science cases aims for a deeper coverage of all faint dwarf galaxies in the Northern hemisphere to build clean samples of candidate member stars out to the edges of these systems for future spectroscopic studies.

4.3 Mapping the metal-poor Galactic halo

Within our Galactic halo we see a number of substructures, consisting of dwarf galaxies, globular clusters and stellar streams (e.g. Belokurov et al. 2006a; Bernard et al. 2016). The survey area of Pristine deliberately includes in its footprint a range of Galactic latitudes ($30^\circ < b < 78^\circ$) and some known stellar halo substructures. For instance, it includes part of the Sagittarius stellar stream and the Virgo overdensity, without however being overwhelmed by either (Carlin et al. 2012; Lokhorst et al. 2016). It is interesting to target the stellar streams to follow them in areas where they are less dense and overwhelmed by more metal-rich foreground stars. Additionally, studying the (low-)metallicity structure of these streams will help us better understand their process of destruction and their orbit around the Milky Way. Note that this mapping technique does not rely on spectroscopic follow-up and thus is applicable to faint stellar populations where spectroscopy is particularly costly to perform, such as, for instance, in external galaxies. Given the many existing deep broad-band imaging surveys, the addition of just one narrow-band filter to determine metallicities is relatively cheap and adds another dimension to Galactic substructure studies even in the era of the European Space Agency mission Gaia.

Fig. 16 presents our ability to find and study substructures of different characteristic scales. We apply metallicity ranges and colour cuts taken from the literature and chosen to specifically select two known substructures contained within our survey footprint: the Boötis I dwarf galaxy (Norris et al. 2010) and the Pal 14 globular cluster (Armandroff, Da Costa & Zinn 1992). The stellar density maps are created by placing stars into 1 arcmin bins and then smoothing by a Gaussian convolution kernel. A larger kernel (with $\sigma = 6$ arcmin) is used for Pal 14, to match the characteristic sizes of the substructures. Since our footprint extends towards the Galactic disc, there is a background density gradient that increases with RA. To remove this, we use a large convolution kernel (60 arcmin for Boötes I and 20 arcmin for Pal 14) on the density map of all stars, and subtract it from the specific maps for each substructure. The top panel of Fig. 16 also highlights some substructures seen in the area to lower RA where our footprint is overlapping with the Sagittarius stellar stream. A further quantification of substructure at various metallicities can be compared to cosmological model expectations, and this will be the topic of future work.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this work we present the Pristine survey, built around MegaCam/CFHT observations conducted with a new narrow-band filter that covers the Ca H&K doublet to efficiently isolate the Milky Way’s most metal-poor stars. The current sky coverage extends over $\sim 1000$ deg$^2$, with CaHK $S/N = 10$ at a depth of $g_I \approx 21.0$. The Pristine footprint includes a range of Galactic latitudes and several known substructures, such as the Sagittarius stream, the Virgo overdensity and several dwarf galaxies and globular clusters. Combined with broad-band SDSS photometry for object classification and temperature sensitivity, the Ca H&K photometry is an excellent metallicity indicator.

With a width of 100 A, the CFHT CaHK filter is very narrow and its throughput shape is almost perfectly top-hat, resulting in a great metallicity sensitivity and little danger of ‘leakage’ from other features such as strong molecular bands. We demonstrate that we achieve a calibration of the CaHK magnitudes at the 0.02-mag level by relying on the stellar locus of red dwarf stars. This is more than sufficient for our science case.

Using SDSS/SEGUE spectra to calibrate the Pristine photometric metallicities, we show in Fig. 11 that the CaHK observations have a high discriminatory power over the metallicity of a star. It is clear that over the temperature range from the turn-off ($g-i_0 \approx 0.2$) to the tip of the red giant branch ($g-i_0 \approx 1.5$) we are very sensitive to the metallicity of a given star. Over a large metallicity range from $[\text{Fe/H}] = -0.5$ to $-3.0$ we can derive photometric metallicities with uncertainties of only $\sim 0.2$ dex. We thereby open up a part of the metallicity distribution that was so far not reachable without spectroscopy.
We demonstrate that the Pristine photometry can provide a very clean and complete sample of (extremely) metal-poor stars for spectroscopic follow-up (see Section 3.5) and show in Fig. 14 that it is well positioned to uncover the very rare but extremely interesting ultra-metal-poor stars that are possibly the most accessible messengers from the early Universe. Besides its great efficiency to select rare targets for spectroscopic follow-up studies, the photometry information alone allows for a careful (metallicity) mapping of substructures in the Galactic halo. We furthermore demonstrate how this new metallicity information can be used to very efficiently find new members of faint dwarf galaxies and metal-poor globular clusters, out to large radii, where foreground populations dominate.

In the near future, we expect the Pristine survey to open a valuable window on to the regime of extremely metal-poor stars and enable the construction of sizeable samples of stars with \([\text{Fe/H}] < -3.0\) that can then be followed-up in more detail with spectroscopic campaigns.
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