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Abstract The Kabul Basin, which includes the city of Kabul, Afghanistan, with a population of approximately 4 million, has several Afghan, United States, and international military installations that depend on groundwater resources for a potable water supply. This study examined groundwater levels in the Kabul Basin from 2004 to 2012. Groundwater levels have increased slightly in rural areas of the Kabul Basin as a result of normal precipitation after the drought of the early 2000s. However, groundwater levels have decreased in the city of Kabul due to increasing water use in an area with limited recharge. The rate of groundwater-level decrease in the city is greater for the 2008–2012 period (1.5 meters per year (m/yr) on average) than for the 2004–2008 period (0–0.7 m/yr on average). The analysis, which is corroborated by groundwater-flow modeling and a non-governmental organization decision-support model, identified groundwater-level decreases and associated implications for groundwater sustainability in the city of Kabul. Military installations in the city of Kabul (the Central Kabul subbasin) are likely to face water management challenges resulting from long-term groundwater sustainability concerns, such as the potential drying of shallow water-supply wells. Installations in the northern part of the Kabul Basin may have fewer issues with long-term water sustainability. Groundwater-level monitoring and groundwater-flow simulation can be valuable tools for assessing groundwater management options to improve the sustainability of water resources in the Kabul Basin.
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1 Introduction

As of 2012, military installations in Afghanistan rely on groundwater for a significant portion of their potable water supply (Gellasch 2012). The Kabul Basin has several Afghan, United States, and international military installations including the Bagram Airfield in the north and Afghan National Army (ANA) and the International Security Assistance Force (ISAF) compounds in the city of Kabul (Fig. 1). ISAF troops in the Kabul Basin total approximately 25,000 (North Atlantic Treaty Organization 2013), and the number of Afghan troops is likely to be twice that number. Although that number is relatively small, compared to the approximately 4 million people in the Kabul Basin, water use at installations in the densely populated city of Kabul (Fig. 1) adds to existing stresses. Detailed water usage numbers at military facilities were not available to this study and may not exist for some facilities. The estimated population growth in Afghanistan from 2000 to 2010 was about 37 %, much greater than the high population growth rate of about 26 % for other least developed countries for that same period (United Nations 2011). The Kabul Basin accounts for more than 10 % of the population of Afghanistan, and people in the city and in military installations in the basin depend solely on groundwater for drinking water supplies. With a growing population and with additional water demands for potential
mining activities in the region, the sustainability of water resources in the Kabul Basin is of concern to water resource managers (Banks and Soldal 2002; Uhl 2006). Investigations by the U.S. Department of Defense Task Force for Business and Stability Operations (TFBSO), the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), and the Afghanistan Geological Survey (AGS) indicate that copper minerals immediately south of the Kabul Basin (Fig. 1) represent a potential world-class deposit that may provide considerable economic opportunity to Afghanistan (Peters et al. 2011). Water is needed, however, not only to process copper ore, but also to supply the associated population that will be
needed to accompany a developing mining economy. Understanding the water resources of the Kabul Basin is necessary for operation of the military installations, domestic needs, and commercial activities necessary for the rebuilding of Afghanistan. In this paper, analysis of recently (2008–2012) compiled groundwater-level trend data for the Kabul Basin supports findings of implications for sustainable water use provided by a decision-support model (World Bank 2010) and a groundwater-flow model (Mack et al. 2010).

1.1 Site description

The Kabul Basin is the valley formed by the Paghman Mountains to the west and the Kohe Safi Mountains to the east of the city of Kabul (Fig. 1) and extends about 40 km north of the city. The Kabul Basin can be divided into several subbasins that are separated by bedrock ridges and river drainage divides (Fig. 1). The city of Kabul is primarily in the Central Kabul subbasin, but continued growth has caused the city to expand into the Paghman-Upper Kabul and Logar subbasins. The Paghman-Upper Kabul, Central Kabul, Deh Sabz, and Logar subbasins make up the southern part of the Kabul Basin (Fig. 1).

The central plains of the subbasins are local depositional centers for sediments derived from the surrounding surficial deposits and bedrock outcrops. Alluvial fans have developed on the flanks of the mountains surrounding the subbasins and on the interbasin ridges. Deposits in the central plains (Fig. 2) include alluvium and loess, typically less than 80 meters (m) thick, that overlie semi-consolidated conglomeritic sediments, as much as 1,000 m thick (Homilius 1969). The primary aquifer in the Kabul Basin is a surficial sedimentary aquifer that occupies the bottom of the basin and subbasins (Fig. 2). The underlying lower semi-consolidated conglomeritic sediments are a secondary, less-used aquifer. Carbon-14 analyses of groundwater by this study and Mack et al. (2010) indicate that groundwater at the base of the upper aquifer is about 1,000 years old and that groundwater at the top of the lower or secondary aquifer (Fig. 2) is 2,800 years old. It is estimated that groundwater deeper in the secondary aquifer is likely many thousands of years old (Mack et al. 2010). The sedimentary and fractured metamorphic and crystalline bedrock of the surrounding mountains and interbasin ridges (Lindsay et al. 2005; Bohannon and Turner 2007; Bohannon 2010) is the least-used aquifer in the Kabul Basin. Studies that have investigated aquifers in the southern Kabul Basin include those by Böckh (1971), Myslik et al. (1982), Broshears et al. (2005), Japan International Cooperation Agency (2007), Lashkaripour and Hussaini (2008), and Houben et al. (2009).

Climate recordkeeping in Afghanistan ceased around 1980, and few climatic data are available for Kabul until 2003 or later. The mean annual precipitation from 1956 to 1983 was estimated to be 312 mm (mm; World Meteorological Organization 2004). During the late 1990s, there were several years with little or no precipitation, and in 2001, only 175 mm of precipitation was reported for Kabul (International Water Management Institute 2002). Precipitation measured at the Kabul Airport (Fig. 3) between water years 2004 and 2011 (Afghan water years are September through August) was high in 2005 and 2007, low in 2004 and 2008, and average (about 300 mm) in other years. Since 2006, a countrywide climatic monitoring and reporting effort has been active under the Agromet Project, a joint effort by the USGS and Afghanistan’s Ministry of Agriculture, Irrigation and Livestock and the Meteorological Authority of the Ministry of Transport (http://afghanistan.cr.usgs.gov/agro). This effort provides not only valuable information for drought monitoring and flood forecasting but maintaining this program will provide long-term climatic data necessary to assess the sustainability of Afghanistan’s water supply.

Based on the limited data available, the Kabul Basin has a semi-arid climate where evaporation rates are high relative to annual total precipitation. Net groundwater recharge from direct precipitation in the Kabul Basin is generally near zero on an annual basis. Detailed information on the groundwater flow, including analysis of historical streamflow measurements and isotopic and chemical analyses, was used to develop a groundwater-flow model to assess inflows from river leakage and irrigated areas in the Kabul Basin (Mack et al. 2010). Irrigation provides an increased surface area for water to infiltrate to the underlying aquifer. Although irrigation increases evapotranspiration water losses in the basin, it also captures water that would otherwise flow out of the basin. The Panjshir, Shomali, and Logar subbasins are less-populated, agricultural areas of the Kabul Basin (Fig. 1) that are largely irrigated from traditional surface water diversions. Groundwater-flow simulations of the Kabul Basin accounted for leakage from rivers and from irrigated areas, and incorporated isotopic and chemical analysis of ground and surface water; Mack et al. (2010) concluded that recharge to the aquifer is primarily through leakage from rivers and irrigation.

1.2 Water use and wells

Drinking water in the Kabul Basin is generally supplied by shallow (less than 30-m deep) family-owned or community groundwater wells, although there are some municipal-supply wells and associated distribution systems in urban areas, there is no management of groundwater resources. Thousands of shallow wells have been installed by non-
governmental organizations (NGOs) in the surficial sedimentary aquifer in the Kabul Basin (Safi and Vijselaar 2007), and there are probably many more undocumented wells, whereas few wells have been completed in the lower semi-consolidated aquifer. For example, between 1997 and 2005, approximately 1,500 shallow-supply wells, with a
median depth of 22 m, were installed by NGOs in the Kabul Basin. About 1,000 of these wells are in three southern subbasins that encompass the city of Kabul (Safi and Vijselaar 2007). Of the wells with a reported status, about 25 % in the city of Kabul and about 20 % in the greater Kabul Basin were reported to be dry or inoperative. The widespread decreases in water levels measured in rural areas of the Kabul Basin in the early and middle 2000s may be more a product of long-term drought than increased water use.

Banks and Soldal (2002) reported groundwater-level decreases of 4–6 m in Kabul during the drought period of 1998–2002 and as much as 10 m in some areas. Groundwater-level decreases of 6–7 m were reported between the 1960s and early 2000s for some parts of the city (Houben et al. 2009). Safi (2005) reports that water levels in the surficial sedimentary aquifer in the city of Kabul had decreased by about 10 m between 1982 and 2005 because of increased water use. The water-level decreases noted by these studies in the city of Kabul, in the early to mid 2000s, likely represent both the widespread effect of drought in the Kabul Basin and locally the effects of increased water use.

There are few population data for Afghanistan and no accurate census data. However, Afghanistan’s Central Statistics Office estimated that the city of Kabul had a population of 720,000 in 1978 (Montreal Engineering Company 1978), which has now (2012) increased to about 4 million. A population distribution for the country (Fig. 1) is provided by imagery-derived estimates determined from the LandScan project (Oak Ridge National Laboratory 2012). Although droughts have caused periodic widespread groundwater-level decreases in the Kabul Basin and elsewhere in Afghanistan, continued decreases in the city of Kabul are likely caused by increased groundwater withdrawals for an increasing population. The United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (UNESCAP 2008) estimated per capita water consumption in Afghanistan to be less than half that of other Central and West Asian countries. With an improving standard of living and a projected population of 9 million by 2057, an estimated sixfold water consumption increase in the Kabul Basin was simulated in the groundwater-flow model described by Mack et al. (2010). The World Bank (2010) in planning scenarios from a decision-support model of the basin also projected increasing per capita water use, associated with an improving standard of living and a population of 6–8 million by 2020. New water uses associated with potential mining activities along with associated economic growth are expected to contribute to the increased demand for water in the Kabul Basin (World Bank 2010).

2 Groundwater-level monitoring

The AGS has operated a monthly water-level monitoring network of about 70 wells (Fig. 4) since 2004 (Akbari et al. 2007). The AGS water-level studies in the Kabul Basin concentrated on wells that ranged in depth from 4.9 to 30 m that were equipped with hand pumps and electric pumps. The Danish Committee for Aid to Afghan Refugees (DACAAR) has monitored 10 wells in the Kabul Basin for about the same period (Danish Committee for Aid to Afghan Refugees 2011).

Groundwater levels in some parts of the Kabul Basin had decreased substantially as a result of periods of below-average precipitation and increased water use during the 2000s (Mack et al. 2010). Yet, in the late 2000s, water levels in rural areas of the Kabul Basin increased in response to increased precipitation (Fig. 3). Currently (2012), the groundwater levels in some areas of the Kabul Basin are increasing (decreasing depth to water), such as at AGS monitoring well 20 in the Shomali subbasin in northern Kabul Basin (Fig. 5). Otherwise, groundwater-level decreases (increasing depth to water) in the city appear to be continuing (Fig. 5). At AGS monitoring well 167 in the Central Kabul subbasin (Fig. 4), decreases of about 3 m were recorded from 2004 to 2007 and about 15 m from 2008 to 2012 (Fig. 5).

Trends in groundwater levels from 2004 to 2012 in the Kabul Basin were assessed at 66 wells measured by AGS and 10 wells measured by DACAAR. The Seasonal Kendall test (Hirsch and Slack 1984; Helsel et al. 2006) was used to determine whether trends were evident as indicated by a significant slope in groundwater levels over time. The slopes of trends (Fig. 6) indicate where groundwater levels are significantly increasing (negative slope), show no trend (slopes near zero), or groundwater levels are decreasing (positive slope). From 2004 to 2012,
the median rate of groundwater-level increase, detected in 16 of 69 monitoring wells, was 0.31 m/yr. Groundwater-level increases were greater near streams in the northern Kabul Basin. Between 2004 and 2012, the median rate of groundwater-level decrease measured in 19 of 69 monitoring wells was 0.76 m/yr—about twice the rate of median water-level increase. Figure 6 indicates that groundwater-level decreases are primarily in the city of Kabul. Decreases are greatest farther from recharge sources such as rivers or large mountain fronts.

The trend of groundwater-level decreases in the city of Kabul appears to be greater from 2008 to 2012, the latter half of the period of record (Fig. 5). Changes in the trend in groundwater level with time were assessed at 19 monitoring
wells in the city by separating the data for the period of record into two groups: start of record (generally the fall of 2004) to August 2008 and September 2008 to 2012 (Table 1). For the 2004 to 2008 period, most wells (14) indicated no trend, while four wells had an average rate of groundwater-level decrease of 0.7 m/yr (with a maximum rate of 0.9 m/yr). Well 208, adjacent to the Kabul River, had a rate of groundwater-level increase of 2 m/yr (Fig. 7; Table 1). However, for the 2008–2012 period, all but three wells had a greater rate of groundwater-level decrease (Fig. 7; Table 1). Overall, the rate of groundwater-level decrease became more pronounced between the earlier and later period of record. The average rate of decrease for the 2008–2012 period was 1.5 m/yr with rates of decrease of more than 4 m/yr in the northwestern area of the city (Fig. 7).

The Central Kabul subbasin area of the Kabul Basin, which contains the main part of the city of Kabul and is densely populated, is removed from the primary sources of recharge in the basin, river and irrigation leakage, and mountain-front recharge, by distance and topography (Fig. 7). Additionally, the unconsolidated sediments in the northern area of the Central Kabul subbasin are isolated from recharge by Kabul River leakage by a conglomerate ridge (between wells 170 and 172 in Fig. 7). All of these factors contribute to the trend of groundwater-level decreases in the city of Kabul.

3 Groundwater sustainability in the Kabul Basin

The regional groundwater-level trend in the Kabul Basin, outside the city of Kabul, indicates no change to slight increases in groundwater levels since the drought of the early 2000s. However, groundwater levels in the city of Kabul have decreased considerably since the early 2000s as a result of increasing population and associated groundwater use. Over the past 4 years (2008–2012), the rate of groundwater-level decrease has accelerated in the city of Kabul to more than 4 m/yr in some areas. The mean depth of NGO installed community-supply wells in the Kabul Basin is about 22 m, and the mean static (non-pumped) depth to water in such wells is about 12 m. In such wells, this leaves very little available water for pumping or to accommodate seasonal fluctuations in water levels. Although hydrologic, water use, and population data are sparse in the Kabul Basin, the water use by military installations, with an estimated total population of 75,000 in the Kabul Basin, is likely to be small relative to that of the general population of 4 million in the basin. Detailed records for groundwater withdrawals at military installations were not available to this study.

Most of the recharge in the Kabul Basin is from surface water leakage, either by direct infiltration of river water or by infiltration of river water diverted on to irrigated areas. The sustainability of groundwater supplies is greater in northern areas of the Kabul Basin due to higher recharge from surface water leakage from streams and large irrigated areas, and from mountain-front recharge. With the exception of limited recharge from leakage from the Kabul River, most of the city of Kabul is farther from these sources of recharge, which means the potential sustainability of groundwater water supplies in the city is uncertain. The northwestern part of the city of Kabul (Fig. 7), the western part of the Central Kabul subbasin, is particularly susceptible, as conglomerate ridges may form a barrier to groundwater flow in shallow unconsolidated sediments recharged from Kabul River leakage. Military installations in the city of Kabul are primarily south of the conglomerate ridge and may be better connected hydraulically to sources of recharge from the Kabul and Logar Rivers. However, installations in the Central Kabul subbasin north of the conglomerate ridge, such as those at the Kabul International Airport, are in an area that lacks the recharge necessary for sustainability of groundwater supplies, as evidenced from decreased groundwater levels—a condition exacerbated by interference from
multiple withdrawal wells in close proximity to one another. Groundwater levels can be expected to undergo further decreases without regional water resource management including careful siting of new wells and the evaluation of increased withdrawals.

Based on groundwater-flow simulations of projected increased water use in the city of Kabul, it is estimated that about 40% of existing wells in the surficial sedimentary aquifer may become inoperable by 2057 (Mack et al. 2010). Decreasing groundwater levels have been reported in the city of Kabul for several decades; however, long-term water-level data are not available to confirm this trend. Although only an 8-year groundwater-level record was available and continued monitoring is needed, analysis
of trends from 2004 to 2012 appears consistent with the regional groundwater model analyses (Fig. 7) and implies that current and increased rates of groundwater withdrawal are not sustainable in the Central Kabul subbasin area of the city of Kabul. Likewise, a World Bank (2010) scoping report on development in the Kabul Basin finds that a combination of new water storage projects (dams) and a conveyance link to groundwater from the Panjsher subbasin would be needed to support population growth and the Aynak mining project. Water balance estimates, based on historical river flows (Mack et al. 2010), indicate that the northern Kabul subbasins (Panjsher and Shomali) have 5 times the total inflows of the southern Kabul basins (Central Kabul, Paghman and Upper Kabul, and Logar), which supports the scoping report plan.

The potential development of mineral resources near the city of Kabul will likely increase the demand for water resources in the city as well; this study identifies settings such as small basins isolated from recharge sources, where groundwater levels may be most affected by withdrawals. Groundwater in the lower semi-consolidated aquifer may support additional withdrawals to help meet future water needs, and groundwater-flow simulation can be a useful tool for assessing the sustainability of groundwater management options (Mack et al. 2010). Assessment of the sustainability of groundwater at military installations in the Kabul Basin needs careful evaluation of the placement and use of new withdrawal wells—particularly with respect to existing water supplies for the surrounding communities. Sustainable use of water in the Kabul Basin will likely require regional management strategies that include consideration of the use of both surface water storage and groundwater resources. Continued climate and groundwater-level monitoring, and establishment of a surface water monitoring network, are needed for assessment of water resources sustainability and informed resource decision-making. However, given current (2012) security issues in Afghanistan, resource monitoring activities are difficult and management may be equally challenging.

| Monitoring well identifier | Correlation coefficient | $P$ value | Slope (m/yr) | Trend (m/yr) | Correlation coefficient | $P$ value | Slope (m/yr) | Trend (m/yr) | Correlation coefficient | $P$ value | Slope (m/yr) | Trend (m/yr) |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|
| 2                          | 0.95                   | 0.00      | 0.79         | 0.79        | 1.00                  | 0.00      | 0.47         | 0.47        | 0.86                   | 0.00      | 0.64         | 0.64        |
| 64                         | 0.45                   | 0.00      | 0.33         | 0.33        | -0.52                 | 0.07      | -0.18 *      |             | 0.79                   | 0.00      | 1.10         | 1.10        |
| 65                         | 0.83                   | 0.00      | 1.62         | 1.62        | -0.25                 | 0.74      | -0.40 *      |             | 0.93                   | 0.00      | 3.26         | 3.26        |
| 124                        | 0.40                   | 0.01      | 0.16         | 0.16        | -0.18                 | 0.60      | -0.17 *      |             | 0.57                   | 0.02      | 0.96         | 0.56        |
| 127                        | 0.63                   | 0.00      | 0.00         | 0.00        | -0.07                 | 1.00      | -0.02 *      |             | 0.71                   | 0.00      | 0.86         | 0.86        |
| 192                        | 0.55                   | 0.00      | 0.35         | 0.35        | -0.22                 | 0.55      | -0.14 *      |             | 0.79                   | 0.00      | 1.00         | 1.00        |
| 133                        | 0.42                   | 0.00      | 0.21         | 0.21        | -0.20                 | 0.51      | -0.14 *      |             | 0.79                   | 0.00      | 0.79         | 0.79        |
| 153                        | -0.16                  | 0.30      | -0.28 *      |             | -0.28                 | 0.33      | -0.87 *      |             | 0.29                   | 0.28      | 0.74         |             |
| 157                        | 0.24                   | 0.11      | 0.16 *       |             | -0.52                 | 0.05      | -0.20 *      |             | 0.71                   | 0.00      | 0.79         | 0.79        |
| 163                        | -0.32                  | 0.30      | -0.30 *      |             | -0.52                 | 0.07      | -0.38 *      |             | **                    | **        | **           | **          |
| 167                        | 1.00                   | 0.00      | 1.77         | 1.77        | 1.00                  | 0.00      | 0.92         | 0.92        | 1.00                   | 0.00      | 3.37         | 3.37        |
| 168                        | 0.98                   | 0.00      | 1.27         | 1.27        | 0.92                  | 0.00      | 0.87         | 0.87        | 1.00                   | 0.00      | 1.88         | 1.88        |
| 170                        | 0.83                   | 0.00      | 1.13         | 1.13        | 0.64                  | 0.02      | 0.39         | 0.39        | 0.71                   | 0.00      | 1.76         | 1.76        |
| 172                        | 0.55                   | 0.00      | 0.30         | 0.30        | 0.00                  | 1.00      | 0.00         | 0.00        | 0.71                   | 0.00      | 0.69         | 0.69        |
| 173                        | 0.82                   | 0.00      | 0.76         | 0.76        | 0.44                  | 0.10      | 0.28 *       |             | 0.93                   | 0.00      | 1.37         | 1.37        |
| 208                        | -0.47                  | 0.00      | -0.61        | -0.61       | -0.89                 | 0.00      | -1.94        | -1.94       | -0.07                  | 0.88      | -0.12        | -0.12       |
| 210                        | 0.20                   | 0.23      | 0.14 *       |             | -0.33                 | 0.37      | -0.14 *      |             | 0.61                   | 0.01      | 0.46         | 0.46        |
| 219                        | 0.65                   | 0.00      | 2.40         | 2.40        | 0.44                  | 0.10      | 2.26 *       |             | 0.86                   | 0.00      | 4.52         | 4.52        |
| 220                        | 0.36                   | 0.04      | 1.65         | 1.65        | -0.25                 | 0.74      | -1.38 *      |             | 0.43                   | 0.09      | 2.61 *       |             |

$m$ meter, $yr$ year, $-$ negative indicates a groundwater-level increase

* Not significant at a $P$ value of 0.05

** Insufficient data to calculate trend
Fig. 7 Groundwater-level trends, decrease in meters per year, from September 2008 to 2012, and simulated groundwater drawdown with a projected sixfold population increase in the city of Kabul, Afghanistan
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