Loading Dynamics of a Sliding DNA Clamp**
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Abstract: Sliding DNA clamps are loaded at a ss/dsDNA junction by a clamp loader that depends on ATP binding for clamp opening. Sequential ATP hydrolysis results in closure of the clamp so that it completely encircles and diffuses on dsDNA. We followed events during loading of an E. coli β clamp in real time by using single-molecule FRET (smFRET). Three successive FRET states were retained for 0.3 s, 0.7 s, and 9 min: Hydrolysis of the first ATP molecule by the γ clamp loader resulted in closure of the clamp in 0.3 s, and after 0.7 s in the closed conformation, the clamp was released to diffuse on the dsDNA for at least 9 min. An additional single-molecule polarization study revealed that the interfacial domain of the clamp rotated in plane by approximately 8° during clamp closure. The single-molecule polarization and FRET studies thus revealed the real-time dynamics of the ATP-hydrolysis-dependent 3D conformational change of the β clamp during loading at a ss/dsDNA junction.

The DNA clamps found in bacteria (β subunit of DNA polymerase III), archaea (archaeal proliferating cell nuclear antigen, PCNA), some phages (T4 gp45), and eukaryotes (PCNA) dramatically increase the processivity of DNA synthesis through direct interaction with polymerases. The clamps are ring-shaped oligomeric proteins with six similar domains that in their closed conformation completely encircle and diffuse on double-stranded (ds) DNA. For their loading, clamps need to be opened and then closed with defined polarity around a primer–template junction in an adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-dependent manner by a specialized clamp loader (γτ complex in bacteria, replication factor C in archaea and eukaryotes), which opens the clamp to place it onto DNA. The clamps thus have two distinct faces: an N-terminal face towards the exiting dsDNA and a C-terminal face that contains a hydrophobic pocket at which a variety of clamp-binding proteins, including the clamp loader and polymerase, interact (Figure 1a).

The C-terminal domains of the five structurally related clamp-loader subunits form a cylindrical collar, and in a process dependent on ATP binding, their N-terminal domains engage the clamp. Docking of the ATP-bound clamp loader onto the C-face of the clamp opens one of the clamp interfaces by approximately 2.3 nm to provide enough space for DNA to enter. This process stimulates the hydrolysis of up to three ATP molecules by the clamp loader to enable closing of the clamp to encircle the DNA and subsequent dissociation of the loader. The circular clamp is able then to randomly translocate on the DNA by thermal fluctuation, thus effectively enabling its association with clamp-interacting proteins.

Biochemical and structural studies of a clamp–loader complex suggested that the hydrolysis of the first ATP molecule provokes closure of the clamp; the loader remains tethered to the closed clamp, and hydrolysis of the remaining ATP molecules leads to its complete detachment. The kinetics of an ATP-hydrolysis-dependent conformational change shows discrete steps between clamp closing and its release on DNA. Although several single-molecule analyses of DNA sliding clamps have been performed, the ATP-dependent conformational change of the clamps when they are loaded onto DNA has not been observed directly. Understanding of the dynamic features of interactions in the ternary clamp–DNA–loader complex in the presence of ATP is critical for understanding of the clamp-loading mechanism.

In this study, we visualized the sequential steps during loading of the dimeric Escherichia coli β2 clamp onto a DNA primer template by the γ clamp loader (γτδ) by using single-molecule Förster resonance energy transfer (smFRET). This investigation revealed the dynamics of the ATP-hydrolysis-dependent conformation change in β2 during its loading. By exploiting single-molecule polarization, we also imaged the rotational dynamics of a β2 interfacial domain during its transition from an open to a closed ring.

According to the crystal structure of the T4 clamp–clamp-loader complex, clamp closure results in the movement of interfacial domains 2 and 5 of the gp45 trimer by 2.3 nm along the DNA helical axis. A similar distance change would enable us to observe the closure of β2 during loading by smFRET. An acceptor fluorophore (Cy5) was conjugated to a Cys residue (C333) exposed on the N-terminal face of β2 (Figure 1a). There are three other cysteine residues in each
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Supporting Information). A single donor (Cy3) was attached more than two maleimide dyes (see Figure S1 in the Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. for C333; only 18% of labeled protomer, but maleimide derivatives show a strong preference for C333; Figure S2c). These results suggest that the FRET transition depends on ATP hydrolysis by the β clamp loader. The dwell time with ATPγS ($t_{\text{ATPγS}}$) was nearly identical to the $t_{\text{open}}$ value with ATP (Figure 1 d and Figure 1 e, right), which might indicate a fine balance between dissociation into solution and ATP-hydrolysis-dependent clamp closure in the ternary complex.

β protomer, but maleimide derivatives show a strong preference for C333; only 18% of labeled β dimers contained more than two maleimide dyes (see Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). A single donor (Cy3) was attached to a partial-duplex DNA molecule (36 bp) with a 5'-dT12 overhang at the 20th base from the 3’ end of the primer (Figure 1 a; see Table S1 in the Supporting Information). Because the footprint of the complex of β with δ' from the ternary complex is 16 bp, the distance between Cy3 and Cy5 in the Cy3-Cy5 pair is predicted to be 4 nm when β−δ' is bound at the primer terminus; the corresponding FRET efficiency ($E$) is expected to be 0.8. The DNA molecules were immobilized on a streptavidin-coated surface through a streptavidin linker (Figure 1 b, left). We determined the number of Cy5 residues on β by a photobleaching test with a strong 633 nm laser (700 mW cm$^{-2}$) for the last 20 s of the imaging process, and only FRET signals from a single donor–acceptor pair were accepted for analysis.

A representative time trajectory with β, δ', and ATP under continuous laser excitation of Cy3 at 140 mWcm$^{-2}$ showed two distinguishable FRET states until the fluorescence signals abruptly disappeared at 6 s (Figure 1 b, right). The FRET efficiency of the first state ($E_{\text{open}}$) was 0.77 ± 0.04 (Figure 1 c), as predicted for β−δ' bound at the primer terminus. After (0.29 ± 0.05)s ($t_{\text{open}}$; Figure 1 d), the FRET efficiency decreased to a lower value ($E_{\text{closed}}$ = 0.69 ± 0.06; Figure 1 c), and this state was retained for (0.68 ± 0.04)s ($t_{\text{closed}}$; Figure 1 d). Observation of the clamp on DNA was strictly dependent on the presence of ATP, and the FRET transition was observed in approximately 50% of trajectories. This percentage probably reflects the two possible positions of Cy5 in the β dimer, only one of which will be near the open dimer interface. When a nonhydrolysable ATP analogue (5'-thio)triphosphate, ATPγS was used instead, there was no FRET transition; only the first, higher FRET state was seen (Figure 1 e). This result indicates that the FRET transition depends on ATP hydrolysis by the γ clamp loader. The dwell time with ATPγS ($t_{\text{ATPγS}}$) was nearly identical to the $t_{\text{open}}$ value with ATP (Figure 1 d and Figure 1 e, right), which might indicate a fine balance between dissociation into solution and ATP-hydrolysis-dependent clamp closure in the ternary complex.

To further study the loading dynamics, we end-blocked the 36 bp + dT12 primer template with an anti-digoxigenin antibody, which forces β to be retained and move on the DNA (Figure 2 a, left). This structure resulted in a third FRET state following the two observed for the unblocked DNA (Figure 2 a, right). The FRET values and dwell times in the first two states were nearly identical to those with unblocked DNA (Figures 1 and 2 b; see also Figure S2 a). The new, third state had a lower FRET efficiency ($E_{\text{diffusion}}$ = 0.51 ± 0.07; Figure 2 c) and usually persisted until the end of image acquisition (100 s). Therefore, its long dwell time was measured in a time-lapse experiment ($t_{\text{diffusion}}$ = 9.1 ± 0.6 min; see Figure S2 b) corresponding to a minimum estimate of the dwell time of β on dsDNA considering Cy3 photobleaching. Interestingly, the FRET signal of this state decreased to $E_{\text{diffusion}}$ = 0.37 ± 0.06 on a longer DNA molecule (75 bp + dT12) and disappeared quickly without the end block (see Figure S2 c). These results suggest that the β clamp might move rapidly along the DNA after the dissociation of δ' from the ternary complex.

The rapid diffusion of β on dsDNA was confirmed by the visualization of individual clamps moving on phage λ DNA (48.5 kb) with a diffusion coefficient $D = (0.134 ± 0.009)$ μm$^2$s$^{-1}$ (in 0.1 m NaCl; see Figure S3), thus indicating that β would travel the 26 bp effective diffusion length of the
36 bp dsDNA molecules in 0.3 ms (faster than our time resolution of 30 ms). Therefore, the value of \( E_{\text{diffusion}} \) of 0.51 resulted from the time-averaged emission of donor–acceptor pairs while \( \beta_2 \) diffused along the entire DNA length. With no intermediate FRET state observed in the presence of ATP⋅S, the FRET experiment provides dynamic insight into the open-to-closed conformational change of the clamp during its loading on DNA.

Domains 2 and 5 of the T4 clamp (corresponding to the location of Cy5 in \( \beta_3 \)) in its open conformation are separated by 0.9 nm in plane and 2.3 nm out of plane.\(^5\) Thus, when the clamp closes, 3D movement may result in rotation of the interfacial domains. Single-molecule polarization microscopy\(^\text{(22)}\) was used to resolve the rotational dynamics of the interfacial domains of \( \beta_2 \) during its closing transition. The steady-state polarization (\( P \)) of a fluorophore is defined by the ratio of \( I_{\text{III}} - I_{\text{II}} \) to \( I_{\text{III}} + I_{\text{II}} \), in which \( I_{\text{II}} \) and \( I_{\text{III}} \) are the emission intensities horizontal and vertical to the microscope stage. Cy3 conjugated to C333 of \( \beta_2 \) was used as a dipole probe excited by circularly polarized incident light (Figure 3a, left; see the Supporting Information). Our previous studies showed that the linkages maleimide–Cys for Cy3 protein labeling and biotin–streptavidin for DNA immobilization together reduced the rotational freedom of Cy3 on the surface-immobilized protein and DNA.\(^\text{(20a,13)}\) This phenomenon enabled us to obtain polarized emissions of Cy3 on DNA-bound \( \beta_2 \).

To identify DNA molecules bound to Cy3–\( \beta_2 \), Cy5 attached to DNA was first imaged and completely photobleached to avoid any FRET. A representative polarization–time trajectory of a Cy3–\( \beta_2 \) clamp colocalized with a 36 bp plus dT12 DNA molecule displayed a transition between two polarized states at 6 s (Figure 3a, right). The dwell times of each state were very close to those of clamp opening and closing as measured by FRET (Figure 1d; see also Figure S4 in the Supporting Information). The broad distribution of the polarization of both states from individual traces indicates that \( \beta_2 \) in both states of the ternary complex is rotationally constrained on the DNA, but that the ternary complex is randomly oriented on the surface (Figure 3b). In contrast, after the dissociation of \( \delta \gamma \delta' \), the emission of Cy3–\( \beta_2 \) was depolarized (\( P_{\text{diffusion}} = 0.02 \pm 0.07 \); see Figure S5), thus indicating free rotation of the clamp around the DNA.\(^\text{(12a)}\) The transition occurred in 49% of the events with singly labeled \( \beta_2 \); thus indicating that the polarization transition is only observed when the Cy3 label is on the interfacial domain of the \( \beta_2 \) dimer that is opened by the clamp loader (see Figure S6). We conclude that the interfacial domain including the Cy3–C333 residue undergoes a rotational in-plane movement during closure of the clamp in the ternary complex.

To further analyze rotational dynamics, we determined the angle (\( \theta \)) between the in-plane transition dipole and a transmission axis parallel to the horizontal channel (Figure 4a). From the Malus law, \( I_{\text{II}} = I_0 \cos^2 \theta \) and \( I_{\text{III}} = I_0 \sin^2 \theta \), in which \( I_0 \) is the total emission intensity of the in-plane projection (Figure 4a). The resulting polarization, \( P = 1 - 2 \sin^2 \theta \), enables determination of the angle difference (\( \Delta \theta \)) between the two distinct polarization states from an individual polarization trajectory (Figure 4a). The distribution of \( \Delta \theta \) showed two symmetrical peaks with \( \Delta \theta = (8.3 \pm 3.1)^\circ \) and \( (8.0 \pm 2.9)^\circ \) (mean ± standard deviation, s.d.; Figure 4b). We interpret the two identical angle differences with different signs as arising because the transition dipole of Cy3–\( \beta_2 \) can be located in any quadrant in the horizontal–
vertical plane owing to the arbitrary orientation of the DNA molecule on the surface. For example, if the Cy3 domain of $\beta_2$ moves clockwise during the conformational change, $\Delta \theta$ of the in-plane dipole of Cy3 located in the first and third quadrants is positive, but $\Delta \theta$ in the other two quadrants is negative. Therefore, the result suggests that the interfacial domain (domain 3) of $\beta_2$ that includes Cy3–C333 rotates approximately 8° in plane when the clamp closes.

In this study, we visualized the real-time conformational change of individual $\beta_2$ clamps as they were loaded onto DNA by the clamp loader through smFRET detection of the distance change between DNA and the bound clamp, and also the rotation of the interfacial domain of $\beta_2$ around DNA by single-molecule polarization. We have shown that smFRET combined with single-molecule polarization is a valuable tool for probing the dynamics of biomolecules on the basis of distance and orientational information. On the basis of the FRET decrease and the polarization change during the loading of $\beta_2$, the clamp domain bound to the $\delta$ subunit of the $\gamma$ clamp loader moved in the out-of-plane direction and rotates outward by approximately 8° in plane as it opens (Figure 4a). Jeruzalmi et al.\(^{[5]}\) showed that binding to the $\delta$ subunit of the clamp domain changed the curvature of domain 3 of a monomeric $\beta$ mutant by 5° around the center of domain 2. Therefore, this rotation may correspond to an in-plane movement of approximately 0.7 nm, considering the length of domain 3 and half of domain 2 (4.7 nm).

Although the three $\gamma$ subunits of the clamp loader bind and hydrolyze ATP,\(^{[20]}\) only two FRET states were observed during clamp loading, and the second was twice as long as the first. It is likely that the hydrolysis of one or more ATP molecules results in closure of the clamp, and the remaining ATP molecule(s) are hydrolyzed in its closed conformation to enable dissociation of the loader from the ternary complex,\(^{[20]}\) thus liberating the closed clamp to diffuse on the primer-template DNA. We measured the total loading time of the clamp to be about 1 s, which is on the same time scale as Okazaki fragment synthesis on the lagging strand during DNA replication.
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