Multi-Infections of Feminizing Wolbachia Strains in Natural Populations of the Terrestrial Isopod Armadillidium Vulgare
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Abstract

Maternally inherited Wolbachia (α-Proteobacteria) are widespread parasitic reproductive manipulators. A growing number of studies have described the presence of different Wolbachia strains within a same host. To date, no naturally occurring multiple infections have been recorded in terrestrial isopods. This is true for Armadillidium vulgare which is known to harbor non simultaneously three Wolbachia strains. Traditionally, such Wolbachia are detected by PCR amplification of the wsp gene and strains are characterized by sequencing. The presence of nucleotide deletions or insertions within the wsp gene, among these three different strains, provides the opportunity to test a novel genotyping method. Herein, we designed a new primer pair able to amplify products whose lengths are specific to each Wolbachia strain so as to detect the presence of multi-infections in A. vulgare. Experimental injections of Wolbachia strains in Wolbachia-free females were used to validate the methodology. We re-investigated, using this novel method, the infection status of 40 females sampled in 2003 and previously described as mono-infected based on the classical sequencing method. Among these females, 29 were identified as bi-infected. It is the first time that naturally occurring multiple infections of Wolbachia are detected within an individual A. vulgare host. Additionally, we resampled 6 of these populations in 2010 to check the infection status of females.

Introduction

Wolbachia are endosymbiotic α-Proteobacteria, closely related to the Rickettsia. Wolbachia are highly diversified and are currently divided into 11 supergroups (A to F and H to L, and supergroup G which is considered to be a recombination between A and B) [1-4]. They are mainly maternally inherited and infect a wide range of nematodes and arthropods [5-7]. Depending on both the bacterial lineage and the host, they may induce very diverse effects on host reproduction such as cytoplasmic incompatibility [8], male killing [9], thelytokous parthenogenesis [10], or feminization of genetic males [11]. All these manipulations enable the spread of Wolbachia by decreasing the expected productivity of uninfected females, or by distorting the sex-ratio in favour of infected females [12]. They can induce reproductive isolation, or even an alteration in host reproductive ecology [13-15]. As a result, many Wolbachia are considered to be parasites of reproduction and thus play a determining role in the infected hosts' evolution.

In 2008, Duron et al. [16] proposed that at least a third of arthropod species were infected by a diverse assemblage of maternally inherited bacteria and an important number of studies seems to indicate that, on both a population and individual scale, many of these cases of multiple infections involve different Wolbachia strains [17-19]. For instance, in the ant Formica exsecta, there can be up to five strains of Wolbachia within an individual host [12]. Thus, within a host, various interactions are expected to occur between coexisting symbionts and these will influence both the life history traits of the host and the dynamics of symbiont spread [20]. Theoretical predictions of either coexistence or exclusion of different strains suggest that if there are two Wolbachia strains inducing cytoplasmic incompatibility in a population with no co-infected individuals, the strain with the higher relative fitness will drive the other out of the population. However, in populations where...
co-infection in individual hosts is observed, uninfected, singly infected and co-infected hosts can co-occur. Within these populations, long-term persistence of co-infections may be possible, during which time both the parasites and the hosts are probably selected and evolve together to survive [21,22]. Moreover, Ironside et al. [23] proposed that the presence of two co-occurring feminising parasites in natural populations of *Gammarus duebeni* could be possible following either a recent invasion of a new parasite, a horizontal transmission of one or both parasites, or the spread of alleles for resistance to the most dominant parasite in host populations.

In terrestrial isopods (Crustacea, Oniscidea), *Wolbachia* induce cytoplasmic incompatibility in three species, *Porcellio dilatatus petiti* [24], *Porcellio dilatatus dilatatus* [25] and *Cylisticus convexus* [26] and feminization in many others, including members of the genus *Armadillidiium*, such as *Armadillidiium vulgare* [27] and *Armadillidiium nasatum* [28]. In *A. vulgare*, two distinct feminizing *Wolbachia* strains (wVulC and wVulM) have been identified in various populations [29]. More recently, Verne et al. [30] showed that several natural populations of *A. vulgare* presented a third *Wolbachia* strain named wVulP. This latter strain showed evidence of recombination events between wVulC and wVulM that have occurred on the wsp gene [30]. Although multiple infections within a given individual host have never been observed *in situ*, the presence of different *Wolbachia* strains in the same terrestrial isopod host populations and the existence of recombination between feminizing strains suggest that co-infections are possible and expected. To date, few studies [31,32] have investigated the prevalence of *Wolbachia* in field populations of *A. vulgare*. Based on the classical sequencing method (amplification and sequencing of the wsp gene), these studies have failed to detect the presence of multiple infections. Indeed, in this case, only the main PCR product is generally detected. Thus, this classical methodology seems not suitable to detect multiple infections. Herein, we designed a novel method to detect and discriminate the three different *Wolbachia* strains known to infect *A. vulgare*. From the study of Verne et al. [30], we inferred that several insertion or deletion events have occurred within the wsp gene fragment. Thus, we designed a new primer pair able to amplify products whose lengths are specific to each *Wolbachia* strain. To this end, we aligned wsp sequences of each *Wolbachia* strain (about 600 bp) found in *A. vulgare* (wVulC, GenBank accession number: DQ778095; wVulM, GenBank accession number: DQ778097; wVulP, GenBank accession number: DQ778096). Primer 3® software [33] was used to design forward (5'TGGTGCGACATATGTAAGC3') and reverse (5'AAAACTTTGTGTCGCCTTT3') primers able to amplify a shorter PCR product (about 250 bp) which includes the variable region. PCRs were performed using a Trio-Termoblock (BiomatGmBH) in a final volume of 12 µL [0.05 µL Taq polymerase (5 U/µL) (Promega), 2.5 µL of Taq buffer (5X), 0.5 µL of dNTP (8.3 mM), 0.5 µL of each primer (10 µM) and 1 µL of DNA template]. PCR cycling profile included an initial denaturing step of 5 min at 95°C, followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 55°C, 1 min at 72°C, and a final step of 5 min at 72°C. The forward primer 5'TGGTGCGACATATGTAAGC3' was end-labelled with fluorescent phosphoramide (6-FAM). The PCR products were run with the internal size standard GeneScan™- 500 ROX™ on an ABI PRISM 3130xl® automated sequencer. Allele sizes were scored using Genemapper® (Applied Biosystems).

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethic Statement**

All experimental procedures and animal manipulations did not require an ethics statement.

**Authorizations for field sampling**

No specific permissions were required for the 7 sampled locations which are public sites. No specific permissions were required for our activities. We confirm that the field studies did not involve endangered or protected species.

**A novel method to detect and genotype *Wolbachia* strains in *Armadillidiium vulgare***

In order to discriminate the three *Wolbachia* strains known to infect *A. vulgare*, we designed a new primer pair able to amplify products whose lengths are specific to each *Wolbachia* strain. To this end, we aligned wsp sequences of each *Wolbachia* strain (about 600 bp) found in *A. vulgare* (wVulC, GenBank accession number: DQ778095; wVulM, GenBank accession number: DQ778097; wVulP, GenBank accession number: DQ778096). Primer 3® software [33] was used to design forward (5'TGGTGCGACATATGTAAGC3') and reverse (5'AAAACTTTGTGTCGCCTTT3') primers able to amplify a shorter PCR product (about 250 bp) which includes the variable region. PCRs were performed using a Trio-Termoblock (BiomatGmBH) in a final volume of 12 µL [0.05 µL Taq polymerase (5 U/µL) (Promega), 2.5 µL of Taq buffer (5X), 0.5 µL of dNTP (8.3 mM), 0.5 µL of each primer (10 µM) and 1 µL of DNA template]. PCR cycling profile included an initial denaturing step of 5 min at 95°C, followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 55°C, 1 min at 72°C, and a final step of 5 min at 72°C. The forward primer 5'TGGTGCGACATATGTAAGC3' was end-labelled with fluorescent phosphoramide (6-FAM). The PCR products were run with the internal size standard GeneScan™- 500 ROX™ on an ABI PRISM 3130xl® automated sequencer. Allele sizes were scored using Genemapper® (Applied Biosystems).

**Validation of the method by experimental mono- and multi-infections of *Wolbachia* strains in *A. vulgare***

In order to validate the methodology, *Wolbachia*-free female *A. vulgare* were injected with one, two or three strains of *Wolbachia*. The *Wolbachia* inoculates were obtained from host lineages, originating from 3 natural French populations that have been maintained in our laboratory for many years (the Mery-sur-Cher population harbours the wVulM *Wolbachia* strain; the St Cyr population harbours the wVulC strain; and the Poitiers population harbours the wVulP strain). One woodlouse lineage (from Nice, France) is *Wolbachia*-free and was used as a recipient for the experimental injections. Inoculates were obtained from the ovaries of 5 individuals from each woodlice line. The ovaries were crushed in 1 mL of Ringer buffer. The resulting suspensions were filtered through a 1.2 µm pore membrane to obtain inoculates. Using Quantitative-PCR, we estimated the *Wolbachia* concentrations for each inoculate to be 1.43 x 10^7, 1.15 x 10^7 and 3.24 x 10^7 wsp copy numbers/µL.
for wVulC, wVulM and wVulP, respectively. Wolbachia-free females of A. vulgare (Nice line) were injected with 1 µL of inoculate containing either no Wolbachia (negative control), one of the three Wolbachia strains (wVulM, wVulC or wVulP), or an equal mix of either two different strains (wVulM/wVulC, wVulM/wVulP, wVulC/wVulP) or three Wolbachia strains (wVulM/wVulP/wVulC), using a 10 µL Hamilton needle adapted with a 1 mm glass capillary. Five females were injected for each treatment. They were placed at 20°C, at a light-to-dark photoperiod of 18:6, and dissected 28 days later in order to isolate their ovaries from which we extracted DNA using the protocol described in Kocher et al. [34]. Moreover, DNA from each inoculate was also extracted. We amplified all of these DNA samples with the newly designed primer pair in order to compare and verify, on an ABI PRISM 3130xl® automated sequencer, the sizes of the amplified fragments. This PCR reaction was carried out in the same conditions as above and was qualified as the ‘novel genotyping method’ for Wolbachia strain detection in A. vulgare.

Field study

In 2003, Verne et al. [32] sampled 7 populations in the West of France. In these populations, the classical sequencing method revealed that, among 124 analyzed females, 40 were mono-infected by Wolbachia (i.e. 7 females were infected by wVulM, 5 females by wVulP and 28 by wVulC) (Table 1). We used our novel genotyping method in order to re-investigate the infection status of these females [32]. Moreover, in order to estimate the evolution dynamics of the different Wolbachia strains in natural populations of A. vulgare, we resampled, in 2010, 6 of the 7 populations previously analyzed in Verne et al. [32]. We collected 85 females, extracted the DNA from ovaries following the protocol described above and then characterized the infection status using the novel genotyping method (Table 1).

Results

Validation of the methodology

Both primers designed from the alignment of Wolbachia strain wsp sequences gave specific amplified fragments for each strain. Thus, we obtained amplification products of 233, 239 and 246 base pairs for wVulM, wVulP and wVulC, respectively. PCR amplification of the inoculate obtained from the Wolbachia-free females (Nice line) gave no amplification product. Results from the injection experiments showed patterns in accordance with the number and the size of injected strains. Whatever strain, one peak was observed on Genemapper® when inoculate was made up of only one strain. Two peaks were observed for doubly injected individuals, and three peaks were observed for the individuals injected by inoculate containing the three strains (Figure 1). No peak was observed when the individuals were injected by Wolbachia-free inoculate.

Wolbachia prevalence and dynamics of infection in natural populations

The results obtained using the novel genotyping method showed a very high prevalence of bi-infected individuals. Indeed, in 2003, classical sequencing analysis revealed 40 mono-infected females [32] whereas, from the same females, the novel genotyping method identified only 11 females as being mono-infected (i.e. 27.5%) and 29 females as being infected by both the wVulC and wVulM strains (i.e. 72.5%). Among the 11 mono-infected females, 4 harboured wVulM (observed in Poitiers, Beauvoir-sur-Niort and Granzay-Gript), 1 wVulC (observed in Ensoulesse and Poitiers) and 6 wVulP (observed in Ensoulesse and Poitiers).

In the comparative sampling carried out in 2010, 51 females on the 85 analyzed were infected by Wolbachia (Table 1). Among these, our method reveals that 35 individuals were mono-infected (12 wVulC and 23 wVulP) and 16 individuals were bi-infected (12 wVulC/wVulM and 4 wVulP/wVulM). No females harboured the wVulM strain alone (Table 1). We detected no bi-infections involving wVulP and wVulM, regardless of both the population and the sampling year.

Discussion

Multi-infections in A. vulgare

The experimental injections of different Wolbachia strains performed in the present study revealed that genotyping allows an evident discrimination of the three strains in A. vulgare which are characterized by specific amplified fragment sizes. This methodology is also very efficient to reveal multi-infections in A. vulgare from both experimental strain injections and individuals sampled in the field. Verne et al. [32] reported only mono-infected females based on sequencing analyses. Here, using the novel genotyping method to reanalyze the same samples, it would appear that multiple infections in A. vulgare are rather common with high proportions of bi-infected females (72.5%). Although co-infection of different Wolbachia strains in a single individual is commonly found in arthropods [18,35-38], this is the first time that doubly infected individuals have been observed in natural population of terrestrial isopods. This result is not really surprising as several recent studies have suggested that horizontal transfers of Wolbachia in A. vulgare may explain both the discordance between A. vulgare and Wolbachia phylogenies [32] and the presence of the recombinant strain wVulP [30]. Indeed, for recombination to occur, two strains need to be in close contact. Such proximity is possible if an individual host is infected by several strains. Previous studies have reported that haemolymph contact, predation and parasitism are possible routes for horizontal transfers of Wolbachia in A. vulgare [39-41]. Haemolymph contacts may be more frequent than previously thought, due to the fact that woodlice populations are often densely populated, and because of the abundance of injured individuals as a result of predations [42,43] or incidents during molting [39]. Thus, a given Wolbachia strain could spread through a population through such horizontal transfers and infect individuals already infected by another Wolbachia strain.
Table 1. Prevalence of *Wolbachia* strain infection in natural populations of *Armadillidium vulgare* sampled in 2003 and 2010.

| Location                  | Sampling year | Sex ratio (♂/♀) | Number of analyzed females | Number of infected females (%) | Number of females infected by different Wolbachia strains |
|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
|                           |               |                 |                             |                                | wVulM  | wVulC | wVulIP | wVulM / C | wVulP / C |
| Ensoulesse 46°38'6.00262"N 00°23'30.63477"E | 2003          | 1.11            | 9                           | 2 (22.2)                       | 0      | 0     | 2 (22)  | 0         | 0         |
|                           | 2010          | 1.13            | 20                          | 11 (55)                        | 0      | 0     | 11 (55) | 0         | 0         |
| Poitiers 46°35'3.77006"N 00°22'16.07919"E | 2003          | 1.38            | 8                           | 8 (100)                        | 1 (12.5)| 1 (12.5)| 4 (50)  | 2 (25)    | 0         |
|                           | 2010          | 0.40            | 17                          | 17 (100)                       | 0      | 1 (6) | 11 (65) | 4 (23)    | 1 (6)     |
| Coulombiers 46°23'18.92092"N 00°11'31.56164"E | 2003          | 0.36            | 22                          | 3 (14)                         | 0      | 0     | 0       | 3 (14)    | 0         |
|                           | 2010          | 0.90            | 12                          | 5 (42)                         | 0      | 2 (17)| 0       | 1 (8)     | 2 (17)    |
| Saint Maixent l'Ecole 46°24'58.01243"N 00°11'56.61584"W | 2003          | 0.17            | 35                          | 18 (51)                        | 0      | 0     | 0       | 18 (51)   | 0         |
|                           | 2010          | 0.79            | 12                          | 4 (33)                         | 0      | 3 (25)| 0       | 1 (8)     | 0         |
| La Crèche 46°21'40.08011"N 00°18'21.95247"W | 2003          | 0.77            | 22                          | 3 (14)                         | 0      | 0     | 0       | 3 (14)    | 0         |
|                           | 2010          | 0.93            | 12                          | 7 (58)                         | 0      | 4 (33)| 0       | 2 (17)    | 1 (8)     |
| Beauvoir-Sur-Niort 46°10'35.91493"N 00°28'30.45661"W | 2003          | 0.89            | 18                          | 3 (17)                         | 2 (11)| 0     | 1 (6)   | 0         | 0         |
|                           | 2010          | 0.47            | 12                          | 7 (58)                         | 0      | 2 (17)| 1 (8)   | 4 (33)    | 0         |
| Granzay-Gript 46°12'52.08761"N 00°28'57.765"W | 2003          | 0.42            | 10                          | 3 (30)                         | 1 (10)| 0     | 0       | 2 (20)    | 0         |

Results are obtained using the novel genotyping method. Sampled locations, their GPS coordinates (longitude and latitude in the World Geodetic System 1984 (WGS 84)), sampling year, sex ratio (♂/♀), number of analyzed females, number of infected females (percentage) are indicated in the table. Granzay-Gript was not sampled in 2010 (NA=not available).

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0082633.t001

Multi-Infections of Feminizing *Wolbachia* in Isopod
In 2003, all of the bi-infected females contained both the \(w_{\text{VulC}}\) and \(w_{\text{VulM}}\) strains but no bi-infections involving the \(w_{\text{VulP}}\) strain was observed. This result is consistent with the prevalence of these strains in natural populations. Indeed, the

Figure 1. Chromatograms obtained from experimental injections of the different Wolbachia strains. Chromatograms are obtained respectively when inoculate was made up of: A) no Wolbachia strain; B) \(w_{\text{VulM}}\) strain; C) \(w_{\text{VulP}}\) strain; D) \(w_{\text{VulC}}\) strain; E) \(w_{\text{VulM}}\) and \(w_{\text{VulP}}\) strains; F) \(w_{\text{VulM}}\) and \(w_{\text{VulC}}\) strains; G) \(w_{\text{VulP}}\) and \(w_{\text{VulC}}\) strains; H) \(w_{\text{VulM}}, w_{\text{VulP}}\) and \(w_{\text{VulC}}\) strains. Size markers appear in red. Wolbachia appear in blue. The fragment sizes for \(w_{\text{VulM}}, w_{\text{VulP}}\) and \(w_{\text{VulC}}\) are 233, 239 and 246 bp respectively.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0082633.g001

In 2003, all of the bi-infected females contained both the \(w_{\text{VulC}}\) and \(w_{\text{VulM}}\) strains but no bi-infections involving the \(w_{\text{VulP}}\) strain was observed. This result is consistent with the prevalence of these strains in natural populations. Indeed, the
Wolbachia strains wVulC and wVulM were more frequently observed in situ than the wVulP strain [32,40].

The Co-infection: a transition phase?

In theory, the co-existence of several feminizers within the same individual is unstable at equilibrium [44]. When two feminizing Wolbachia strains are in competition within the same host, the strain with the higher fitness is fixed [45]. Based on our results, it is difficult to give any firm conclusions concerning the evolution of Wolbachia strain prevalence between 2003 and 2010, but we can expect that the wVulC strain will progressively replace the wVulM in the near future. Indeed, according to Cordaux et al. [29], wVulM is considered as a resident strain, with a transmission rate to the offspring lower than that of wVulC, this last strain being considered as an invasive strain. Recent experimental studies from challenged woodlice reveal that wVulC has a higher development rate than wVulM within the host tissue, suggesting that wVulC strain could be the most virulent and dominant strain (Johnson, unpublished data).

The wVulP Wolbachia strain is considered to be a recent strain resulting from the recombination of wVulC and wVulM [30]. According to evolutionary theory, it is expected that this strain would have a higher fitness than the others, leading to an increase in its prevalence in natural populations. A follow up of the A. vulgare populations and their Wolbachia infection status could allow us to verify this hypothesis.

Conclusion

One of the main problems in the detection and characterization of different Wolbachia strains in A. vulgare was the absence of a rapid, inexpensive screening tool. Herein, we describe a novel PCR-based approach allowing the discrimination between wVulC, wVulP and wVulM on the basis of different amplification sizes by genotyping. For the first time, our study reports the presence of multiple Wolbachia strain infections in natural populations of A. vulgare, suggesting that such multiple infections are much more frequent than previously thought. Whether the presence of two different Wolbachia strains in a single individual is the result of horizontal transfer, hybrid introgression or co-divergence, as has recently been shown in other species complexes, awaits investigation although elements here support the idea of horizontal transfers. Additionally, three species closely related to A. vulgare, A. tunisiense, A. pelagiciun, A. nasatum have showed amplification pattern corresponding to mono infected individuals suggesting that this technique could be efficient to check the infected status in several isopods species.

The method presented here offers new perspectives in the detection of multiple infections in natural populations of A. vulgare and related species and will also be invaluable in studies of infection dynamics after micro-injections of several strains in Wolbachia-free female hosts.
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