Rhoptry neck protein 2 expressed in *Plasmodium* sporozoites plays a crucial role during invasion of mosquito salivary glands

Tomoko Ishino1* | Eri Murata1,2* | Naohito Tokunaga1 | Minami Baba1 | Mayumi Tachibana1 | Amporn Thongkukiatkul3 | Takafumi Tsuboi2 | Motomi Torii1

1 Division of Molecular Parasitology, Proteo-Science Center, Ehime University, Toon, Japan
2 Division of Malaria Research, Proteo-Science Center, Ehime University, Matsuyama, Japan
3 Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Burapha University, Chonburi, Thailand

**Correspondence**
Tomoko Ishino, Division of Molecular Parasitology, Proteo-Science Center, Ehime University, Toon, Ehime 791-0295, Japan.
Email: tishino@m.ehime-u.ac.jp

**Present Address**
Naohito Tokunaga, Division of Analytical Bio-Medicine, the Advanced Research Support Center (ADRES), Ehime University, Toon, Ehime 791-0295, Japan.

**Funding information**
JSPS KAKENHI, Grant/Award Numbers: 24390101, 16K15266, 15H05276, 26670202, 26253026, 16H05182 and 22590379

---

**Abstract**
Malaria parasite transmission to humans is initiated by the inoculation of *Plasmodium* sporozoites into the skin by mosquitoes. Sporozoites develop within mosquito midgut oocysts, first invade the salivary glands of mosquitoes, and finally infect hepatocytes in mammals. The apical structure of sporozoites is conserved with the infective forms of other apicomplexan parasites that have secretory organelles, such as rhoptries and micronemes. Because some rhoptry proteins are crucial for *Plasmodium* merozoite infection of erythrocytes, we examined the roles of rhoptry proteins in sporozoites. Here, we demonstrate that rhoptry neck protein 2 (RON2) is also localized to rhoptries in sporozoites. To elucidate RON2 function in sporozoites, we applied a promoter swapping strategy to restrict *ron2* transcription to the intraerythrocytic stage in the rodent malaria parasite, *Plasmodium berghei*. *Ron2* knockdown sporozoites were severely impaired in their ability to invade salivary glands, via decreasing the attachment capacity to the substrate. This is the first rhoptry protein demonstrated to be involved in salivary gland invasion. In addition, *ron2* knockdown sporozoites showed less infectivity to hepatocytes, possibly due to decreased attachment/gliding ability, indicating that parts of the parasite invasion machinery are conserved, but their contribution might differ among infective forms. Our sporozoite stage-specific knockdown system will help to facilitate understanding the comprehensive molecular mechanisms of parasite invasion of target cells.
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**1 | INTRODUCTION**

*Plasmodium* parasites are the causative agents of malaria, a devastating infectious disease transmitted via mosquitoes. Approximately half a million people worldwide die from malaria each year (WHO, 2017).

*Plasmodium* parasites are eukaryotic unicellular organisms that transform into two different infective forms, merozoites and sporozoites, to complete a complex life cycle between mammals and mosquitoes. Sporozoites are formed in oocysts at the basal lamina of midguts in mosquitoes and upon release invade the salivary glands of mosquitoes, from which they are inoculated into mammalian skin during a blood meal (Ghosh & Jacobs-Lorena, 2009). Transmission is completed...
by their migration to the liver and infection of hepatocytes. Salivary gland invasion is essential for malaria transmission and requires sporozoite attachment to the basal lamina of salivary glands, invasion of gland cells, followed by migration into the secretory cavity (reviewed in Mueller, Kohlepp, Hammerschmidt, & Michel, 2010; Smith & Jacobs-Lorena, 2010).

Gene manipulation strategies have revealed several sporozoites proteins essential for invasion of salivary glands. Many of them, such as thrombospondin-related adhesive protein (TRAP; Ejigiri et al., 2012; Sultan et al., 1997), TRAP-related protein/upregulated in oocyst sporozoite 3 (TREP/S6/UOS3; Combe et al., 2009; Mikolajczak et al., 2008; Steinbeuchel & Matuschewski, 2009), sporozoite invasion association protein-1 (SIAP-1; Engelmann, Silvie, & Matuschewski, 2009), and inhibitor of cysteine proteases (ICP; Boysen & Matuschewski, 2013), are involved in sporozoite motility, which is crucial for salivary gland invasion. TRAP is a type-I transmembrane protein, containing a thrombospondin type-I repeat domain and a von Willebrand factor-like A domain in its extracellular region, which is released to the cellular membrane and translocated to the posterior pole to move sporozoites forward (reviewed in Morahan, Wang, & Coppel, 2008). In contrast, membrane-associated erythrocyte binding-like protein (MAEBL), a chimeric secretory protein with an AMA1-like N-terminus and a C-terminus similar to erythrocyte-binding antigen 175, is dispensable for sporozoite motility in vitro, but crucial for salivary gland invasion, possibly via mediating interaction with basal lamina and/or gland cells (Karui, Yuda, Yano, & Chinzei, 2002; Saenz, Balu, Smith, Mendonca, & Adams, 2008). Most of the proteins listed above are also involved in sporozoite transmission to mammalian hosts, indicating that sporozoite motility and attachment ability are important for invasion of different target cells—specifically, salivary glands in mosquitoes and hepatocytes in mammals.

Sporozoites, as well as other infective forms of the apicomplexan protozoa that can develop and proliferate within a parasitophorous vacuole formed in host cells, contain secretory organelles at the apical end, such as micronemes and rhoptries. Micronemal proteins such as TRAP, TREP/S6/UOS3, and MAEBL have been elucidated by reverse genetics to be involved in parasite motility and/or attachment ability, mainly in Plasmodium sporozoites, as described above. In contrast, secretory proteins localized to the neck region of rhoptries have been predominantly characterized in Plasmodium merozoites and Toxoplasma tachyzoites, where they have been shown to be critical for target cell invasion. During parasite invasion, a tight junction forms between the parasite tip and the host cell, facilitating parasite entry (Aikawa, Miller, Johnson, & Rabbege, 1978). A number of rhoptry neck proteins (RONs), including RON2, RON4, and RON5, are secreted and inserted into the target cellular membrane as a complex (Besteiro, Michelin, Poncet, Dubremetz, & Lebrun, 2009; Lebrun et al., 2005) and interact with apical membrane antigen 1 (AMA1), which is released from micronemes to the parasite plasma membrane (Cao et al., 2009; Tonkin et al., 2011; Vulliez-Le Normand et al., 2012). An interaction between RON2 and AMA1 has been shown, using inhibitory peptides or antibodies, and appears to be crucial for Plasmodium merozoite (Richard et al., 2010; Srinivasan et al., 2011; Tonkin et al., 2011) and Toxoplasma tachyzoite invasion (Lamarque et al., 2011; Tyler & Boothroyd, 2011).

The rhoptry neck proteins, RON2, RON4, and RON5, are also expressed at the apical region of sporozoites (Giovannini et al., 2011; Lindner et al., 2013; Mutungi, Yahata, Sakaguchi, & Kaneko, 2014; Tufet-Bayona et al., 2009), suggesting that rhoptry proteins also mediate sporozoite invasion of target cells, such as mosquito salivary glands and mammalian hepatocytes. It has been demonstrated that RON4 is secreted prior to invasion of hepatocytes (Risco-Castillo et al., 2014) and that it has crucial roles for infection of hepatocytes (Giovannini et al., 2011). Interaction between RON2 and AMA1 also may take place in sporozoites, as suggested by the result that inoculation of R1 peptide, which inhibits interaction between RON2 and AMA1 in Plasmodium falciparum merozoites, decreases both sporozoite cell traversal and invasion ability during sporozoite invasion of hepatocytes (Yang et al., 2017). Therefore, the aim of this study was to elucidate the function of sporozoite RON2 during salivary gland and hepatocyte invasion.

Reverse genetics approaches are powerful tools to elucidate protein roles; however, parasite genes that are essential for proliferation in the intraerythrocytic stage are typically intractable to DNA integration because homologous recombination occurs during the blood stage parasite development and, therefore, knockout parasites cannot be isolated. To overcome this problem, conditional gene silencing/disruption systems have been developed (Combe et al., 2009; Dvorin et al., 2010; Siden-Kiamos et al., 2011; Yap et al., 2014). Here, we modified the promoter swapping strategy for functional analysis of rhoptry proteins in sporozoites, specifically ron2, using the rodent malaria parasite strain, Plasmodium berghei ANKA (PbANKA), as an experimental model. By replacing the original ron2 promoter with the merozoite surface protein 1 (msp1) or msp9 promoter, which are exclusively active at the intraerythrocytic schizont stage but inactive at the sporozoite stage, we successfully reduced RON2 expression to an undetectable level in sporozoites. We analysed the role of RON2 during sporozoite maturation and invasion of mosquito salivary glands and mammalian hepatocytes. The results demonstrate that sporozoite RON2 is required for salivary gland invasion, with a lesser contribution to hepatocyte invasion. This is the first report that a rhoptry protein plays a crucial role during sporozoite invasion of salivary glands. Our sporozoite stage-specific gene silencing system will facilitate the comprehensive understanding of rhoptry proteins, essential for invasion during the intraerythrocytic cycle, during sporozoite invasion of target cells in mosquitoes and in mammals.

2 RESULTS

2.1 RON2 expression profile in mosquito stage parasites

RON2, a key component of the AMA1-RONs complex formed at the moving junction between merozoites and erythrocytes, is expressed at the apical region of oocyst-derived sporozoites (Tufet-Bayona et al., 2009). To determine the RON2 expression profile during sporozoite maturation, Western blotting and real-time reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) analyses were performed using PbANKA strain parasites expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP) through the life cycle,
designated herein as GFP parasites (Franke-Fayard et al., 2004). A specific antibody against P. berghei RON2 (PbRON2) was obtained from a rabbit immunised with a recombinant N-terminal region of PbRON2 (RON2-N; amino acids 22–91) synthesized using a wheat germ cell-free protein production system (Arumugam et al., 2014; Tsuboi, Takeo, Arumugam, Otsuki, & Torii, 2010). To confirm the specificity of anti-RON2-N antibodies, Western blotting was performed using schizont-enriched transgenic parasites expressing RON2 fused with c-Myc at the C-terminus (RON2-c-Myc; see Figure S1). Anti-RON2-N antibodies detected the protein as a major band at above 250 kDa, as indicated by an open arrowhead, which is also recognised by anti-c-Myc antibodies, demonstrating that anti-RON2-N antibodies specifically recognise native PbRON2 by Western blotting (Figure S1b). This size corresponds to its calculated molecular weight (236 kDa) and is like that of P. falciparum RON2 (Cao et al., 2009). Sporozoites form and mature in oocysts at the basal lamina of midguts, which are then released into the haemolymph and invade salivary glands in mosquito bodies. GFP sporozoites were collected from midgut oocysts, haemolymph, and salivary glands of parasite-infected An. stephensi (An. stephensi) mosquitoes to compare RON2 protein levels during sporozoite maturation—for comparison with schizont-enriched antigens prepared and used as a control. Within sporozoite lysates, RON2 protein was detected at a similar level and molecular weight as that in schizonts (Figure 1a), confirming that RON2 is expressed in sporozoites from the early developmental phase in oocysts until after invasion of salivary glands.

The relative amount of ron2 mRNA was examined by real-time RT-PCR analysis using RNA extracted from sporozoites collected from midguts, haemolymph, and salivary glands of infected mosquitoes (Figure 1b). ron2 transcripts occur predominantly in developing oocyst stage sporozoites, although RON2 protein levels remain stable even after invasion of the salivary glands.

To refine RON2 localization in merozoites and sporozoites, immunotransmission electron microscopy (IEM) analysis was performed using RON2-c-Myc parasites. In mature merozoites, RON2 is clearly localized to the rhoptry neck region (Figure 1c, left) as reported in...
P. falciparum (Cao et al., 2009). In both oocyst and salivary gland sporozoites (Figure 1c, middle and right), RON2 is localized to the rhoptries; however, it is distributed throughout the rhoptry body rather than restricted to the neck region. This suggests that the rhoptry structure and/or protein secretion mechanisms differ in merozoites and sporozoites. Taken together, these results indicate that ron2 transcription and translation occur mainly during sporogony in oocysts, and thereafter, the produced RON2 is retained in rhoptries until its secretion.

2.2 Construction of the sporozoite-stage-specific ron2 knockdown parasites

Previous attempts to disrupt RON2, or to fuse a GFP-tag at its C-terminus, have failed (Tufet-Bayona et al., 2009); presumably because RON2 and its structure are essential for merozoite invasion of erythrocytes (Lamarque et al., 2011; Richard et al., 2010; Srinivasan et al., 2011; Tonkin et al., 2011; Tyler & Boothroyd, 2011). To examine RON2 function in sporozoites, we sought to develop a sporozoite stage-specific knockdown system—specifically, a promoter swapping method to restrict ron2 transcription to the intraerythrocytic stage. To mimic the native ron2 transcription pattern during the intraerythrocytic stage, the ideal candidate promoter to swap should be most active at the schizont stage but repressed in the sporozoite stage. Using in silico screening with a P. falciparum microarray and proteome data from PlasmoDB (Aurrecoechea et al., 2009) and RT-PCR analysis using P. berghei sporozoites and schizonts, we selected two candidate genes that matched these criteria. To confirm their transcription in oocyst-derived sporozoites and schizonts, real-time RT-PCR was performed. The relative transcription levels of msp1 and msp9 were approximately ninefold and 30-fold lower than ron2 in oocyst-derived sporozoites; however, the transcription level of rap1, encoding a known rhoptry protein, was like that of ron2 (Figure 2a). At the schizont stage, rap1, msp1, and msp9 transcription levels were equal to or higher than that of ron2 (Figure 2b), suggesting that swapping the ron2 promoter with one of these promoters would retain RON2 function during merozoite invasion of erythrocytes. Therefore, a 1,051 bp segment of the msp1- and a 1,252 bp segment of the msp9-5' untranslated region (UTR) were selected as putative schizont-specific promoters to replace the ron2 promoter. In addition, a 1,065 bp segment of the rap1 putative promoter was used to generate control transgenic parasites, which would not affect RON2 expression in either sporozoites or merozoites (see Figures 3a and S2). The cloned transgenic parasites were named according to the promoter inserted before the RON2 coding region, that is, Prap1- RON2 (control), Pmsp1- RON2, and Pmsp9- RON2. Correct DNA integration at the ron2 locus and replacement of its native promoter region was confirmed by genotyping PCR using genomic DNA extracted from cloned transgenic parasites (Figure S3).

RON2 protein expression in schizonts was not affected by promoter swapping to either the rap1 or msp9 promoters (Figure S4a). Consequently, Pmsp9- RON2 parasites could proliferate normally in the blood stage in vivo (Figure S4b). Next, to collect sporozoites, these transgenic parasite lines were transmitted from infected mice to An. stephensi mosquitoes by blood feeding. To examine the effects of ron2 knockdown on the sporozoite development and expression of other rhoptry proteins and their trafficking to rhoptries, the subcellular localization of rhoptry-associated membrane antigen (RAMA; Topolska, Black, & Coppel, 2004) was observed by IEM. Pmsp9- RON2 sporozoites developed and matured normally in oocysts (Figure S5a), and RAMA is localized to rhoptries, which are morphologically normal, in these sporozoites (Figure S5b), strongly indicating that RON2 is dispensable for rhoptry formation and RAMA trafficking to rhoptries.

Next, we confirmed the repression of ron2 transcription and protein expression using oocyst-derived mutant sporozoites. As shown in Figure 3b, ron2 mRNA levels in Pmsp1- RON2 and Pmsp9- RON2 sporozoites were approximately fivefold and 50-fold less, respectively, compared with GFP sporozoites. Western blotting using sporozoites purified from midguts of mosquitoes infected by GFP or transgenic parasites was performed to determine whether RON2 protein levels in promoter swapping sporozoites reflect the repression of ron2 transcription. The band intensity corresponding to RON2 in 5.0 × 10^4 Pmsp1- RON2 sporozoites (3.4 × 10^6) and that in 5.0 × 10^5 Pmsp9- RON2 sporozoites (1.3 × 10^5) was like that in 1.0 × 10^5 GFP sporozoites (1.9 × 10^6) and Prap1- RON2 (2.4 × 10^6; Figure 3c). These results, consistent with the relative RON2 band intensity compared with HSP70 (Figure S6), demonstrate that RON2 amount is decreased twofold to fivefold and approximately 50-
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**FIGURE 2** Promoter activity analyses using real-time RT-PCR. Comparison of ron2, rap1, msp1, and msp9 mRNA expression level in midgut sporozoites (a) and in schizonts (b). Midguts were collected from GFP parasite-infected mosquitoes at day 18 post feeding, and schizonts were purified by density gradient centrifugation for RNA extraction. Bars indicate the relative transcription levels of each gene compared with ron2 expression in midgut sporozoites (a) or in schizonts (b) with the standard deviations from three independent experiments. Statistical differences were calculated by the one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test (**P < 0.005)**
fold by replacing its promoter to msp1 or msp9 promoters, respectively. In addition to assays of RON2 levels, two representative micronemal proteins TRAP and AMA1 were detected by Western blotting using oocyst-derived sporozoites. As shown in Figure S7, the levels of TRAP and AMA1 were not correlated to the amount of RON2 in sporozoites, suggesting that ron2 promoter swapping exclusively influenced RON2 expression, and not micronemal proteins.

After maturation in oocysts, sporozoites egress into the mosquito haemolymph and then invade salivary glands. Therefore, we next examined whether ron2 knockdown by promoter swapping continues in salivary gland resident sporozoites. As shown in Figure S8, the RON2 amount in Pmsp1-RON2 or Pmsp9-RON2 sporozoites remains decreased or undetectable level in sporozoites released into the haemolymph and residing in salivary glands. It was confirmed by immunofluorescence analysis (IFA) that the typical RON2 signals at the apical end, observed in GFP and Prap1-RON2 haemolymph sporozoites, were almost undetectable in each Pmsp9-RON2 sporozoite (Figures 3d and S9).

### 2.3 RON2 plays an important role in sporozoite invasion of salivary glands

To elucidate the role of RON2 in the sporozoite stages, from development in oocysts to the invasion of salivary glands, the following
experiments were conducted using transgenic parasites. Mosquito groups were treated that showed >80% oocyst prevalence, determined by oocyst detection at day 10 post feeding. The numbers of sporozoites collected from midguts, haemolymph, or salivary glands were determined from GFP, control (Prap1-RON2), Pmsp1-RON2, and Pmsp9-RON2 parasite-infected mosquitoes. The average numbers of midgut and haemolymph sporozoites were not significantly different among all lines, indicating that RON2 is dispensable for sporogony and sporozoite release into the haemolymph (Figure 4). These data are consistent with the observation that sporozoite budding and rhoptry formation occurred normally inside Pmsp9-RON2 oocysts (Figure S5).

In contrast, sporozoite numbers collected from salivary glands were approximately 20-fold lower in Pmsp1-RON2 and Pmsp9-RON2 parasite lines than in GFP or control parasites (Figure 4, right graph). This significant reduction in the number of salivary gland sporozoites demonstrates that sporozoite RON2 is crucial for salivary gland invasion.

Confocal laser scanning microscopy demonstrated that the numbers of Pmsp9-RON2 sporozoites inside salivary glands were low, confirming that most RON2 repressed sporozoites failed to invade salivary glands (Figure 5). Although the total number of sporozoites in salivary gland lobes was greatly reduced by ron2 knockdown, the location of the invaded Pmsp9-RON2 sporozoites in salivary glands was similar to that of GFP and Prap1-RON2; that is, most sporozoites were found inside lobes and a few accumulated in the secretory cavity region. These data suggest that RON2 is involved in an initial step of sporozoite invasion of salivary glands—specifically, migration, recognition, or attachment to the salivary glands.

Sporozoite motility was shown to be critical for invasion of salivary glands by targeted gene disruption of TRAP (Ejigiri et al., 2012; Sultan et al., 1997), TREP/S6/UOS3 (Combe, Moreira, et al., 2009; Mikolajczak et al., 2008; Steinbuechel & Matuschewski, 2009), LIMP (Santos et al., 2017), ICP (Boysen & Matuschewski, 2013), actin capping protein (CP, Ganter, Schuler, & Matuschewski, 2009), and plasmeo protein VIII (Mastan, Narwal, Dey, Kumar, & Mishra, 2017). Therefore, we examined the sporozoite motility of Pmsp9-RON2 haemolymph sporozoites in vitro. Sporozoites, incubated with fetal calf serum (FCS), first attach to the glass slide followed by circular movement named gliding. The population of drifting sporozoites was increased in Pmsp9-RON2 sporozoites approximately twofold than that in control, suggesting that attachment ability is decreased by ron2 knockdown (Figure 6). In accordance, the mean ratio of gliding sporozoites was 46 ± 9% in Prap1-RON2 and 18 ± 7% and 21 ± 6% in Pmsp9-RON2 cl1 and cl2. Taken together, the data suggests that RON2 is involved in sporozoite attachment to the substrate that initiates gliding. To further explore sporozoite interactions with substrates and gliding, sporozoites were embedded in Matrigel, composed of laminin and type IV collagen, to examine the motility when they are attached to the substrate. By classifying sporozoites moving patterns into three categories—non-motile, circular movement, and meandering, according to Volkmann et al. (2012)—no significant difference was observed between control and Pmsp9-RON2 sporozoites (Figure S10a). In addition, the velocity of circular moving sporozoites is comparable between control and ron2 knockdown sporozoites (Figure S10b), suggesting that the gliding machinery based on actin–myosin motor system is not affected by ron2 knockdown.

2.4 RON2 is required for sporozoite transmission to mammalian hosts

During blood meals, mosquitoes inject salivary gland resident sporozoites into the skin together with saliva. Therefore, we next examined whether RON2 is involved in parasite transmission to mammalian hosts by the natural route of the mosquito vector. C57BL/6 mice were fed by groups of mosquitoes infected by Prap1-RON2, Pmsp1-RON2, or Pmsp9-RON2 parasites at days 21–22 post feeding. Parasite levels in the liver at 42 hr post inoculation were examined using real-time RT-PCR to measure the levels of parasite 18S ribosomal RNA (18S rRNA), normalised to mouse glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (gapdh) mRNA. As shown in Figure 7, the efficiency of parasite transmission to mice was significantly reduced about 50- to 100-fold by ron2 knockdown. To clarify whether this reduction in transmission

**FIGURE 4** Sporozoite RON2 is involved in salivary gland invasion. The numbers of sporozoites collected from midguts, haemolymph, and salivary glands at days 21–24 post feeding were compared among transgenic parasite lines. Average numbers of sporozoites from each body part per mosquito from >20 mosquitoes are shown with error bars indicating the standard errors from at least five independent experiments. Sporozoite numbers collected from midguts and haemolymph are similar among all lines, whereas those from salivary glands were significantly reduced by RON2 repression, analysed by the Kruskal–Wallis test with a Dunn’s post hoc test (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.005).
efficacy of ron2 knockdown sporozoites is solely due to fewer numbers of sporozoites residing in salivary glands, further experiments on hepatocyte infection were performed as follows.

Once inoculated into the mammalian skin by mosquito bite, sporozoites migrate to the liver via the blood stream and efficiently infect hepatocytes. The report that RON4 is secreted and plays an important role during sporozoite invasion of hepatocytes (Giovannini et al., 2011; Risco‐Castillo et al., 2014) raised the possibility that RON2 is also involved in sporozoite infection of hepatocytes. Sporozoites collected from salivary glands of mosquitoes infected with Prap1‐RON2 (control) or Pmsp9‐RON2 parasites were intravenously injected into C57BL/6 mice. The relative 18S rRNA level of Pmsp9‐RON2 parasites in the liver at 24 hr post inoculation was approximately 31% of that of control parasites (Figure 8a). This result indicates that RON2 has one or more roles during sporozoite infection of the liver, which includes the following steps: migration towards hepatocytes via blood stream, traversing the sinusoidal cell layer, invasion of hepatocytes with parasitophorous vacuole formation, and proliferation inside hepatocytes.

To examine the sporozoite cell traversal ability required for sporozoite migration in the skin and crossing the sinusoidal cell layer (Ishino, Yano, Chinzei, & Yuda, 2004; Amino et al., 2008), in vitro cell wounding assays were performed using the mouse fibroblast cell line, 3T3‐Swiss. The cell traversal ability of Pmsp9‐RON2 sporozoites, indicated by the number of wounded cells, was reduced to about 50% of control parasites (Figure 8b). Next, to investigate if RON2 is involved in the invasion of hepatocytes and the subsequent matura
tion inside cells, an in vitro sporozoite infection assay was applied.
using the human hepatoma cell-line, HepG2. Two days after sporozoite inoculation into HepG2 cells, the number of liver stage (LS) parasites and their maturation levels were compared between control and Pmsp9-RON2 parasites. LS parasite numbers and maturation were determined by IFA using anti-circumsporozoite protein (CSP) antibody (Mueller et al., 2005), together with an anti-liver stage specific protein 2 (LISP2) antibody, which serves as an LS maturation marker (Itani, Torii, & Ishino, 2014). The total number of Pmsp9-RON2 LS was decreased to approximately 32% of that of the control parasites (Figure 8c); however, the proportion of fully matured LS was similar to that of the control (Figure 8d). These results indicate that RON2 plays an important role during sporozoite invasion of hepatocytes, in addition to its more robust role during the invasion of salivary glands, but it is not important for subsequent intracellular LS development.
3 | DISCUSSION

3.1 | Sporozoite RON2 is important for parasite invasion of target cells

RON2 is well conserved among apicomplexan protozoa, such as *Plasmodium* spp., *Babesia*, *Theileria*, *Toxoplasma*, *Neospora*, and *Eimeria*. It has been demonstrated that RON2 and AMA1 interaction is a critical step for *Plasmodium* merozoite and *Toxoplasma* tachyzoite invasion of target cells (Lamarque et al., 2011; Richard et al., 2010). Here, we demonstrated that RON2 is also expressed and localized to rhoptries in *Plasmodium* sporozoites and is required for invasion of mosquito salivary gland cells and mammalian hepatocytes, which are necessary steps for malarial transmission.

*Toxoplasma*, *Neospora*, and *Eimeria* contain paralogous versions of *ron2* and *ama1*, which are specifically expressed in sporozoites, indicating that gene duplication events underpinned the evolution of differential gene regulation or protein function in tachyzoites versus sporozoites (Poukhanski et al., 2013). In contrast, *Plasmodium* species have a single *ron2* locus in the genome; therefore, it is reasonable that RON2 functions at both infectious stages, merozoites and sporozoites. It would be interesting to determine whether the AMA1-RON complex-dependent invasion mechanisms are also functioning during sporozoite invasion or whether RON2 has different counterparts in sporozoites.

3.2 | Sporozoite stage-specific *ron2* knockdown by promoter swapping

To optimise the promoter swapping system for elucidation of RON2 roles in sporozoites, two genes (*msp1*, *msp9*) were selected as candidate promoters to swap with *ron2* promoter based on the following two criteria: (1) an expression profile similar to that of *ron2* in the intraerythrocytic stages and (2) transcription is repressed in midgut stages (Modrzynska et al., 2017) also classified on transcriptome analysis using 11 types of ApiAP2 knockout parasites (2008; Young et al., 2008). Moreover, a new gene category based on sequence of an ApiAP2 family protein (PF3D7_0604100) that regulates elements in the promoter region and their interaction with transcriptional regulators such as ApiAP2s. Two candidate genes, as well as *ron2* and *rap1*, contain a “gtgca” motif in their promoter region, a target sequence of an ApiAP2 family protein (PF3D7_0604100) that regulates the expression of invasion related proteins in schizonts (Campbell, De Silva, Olszewski, Elemento, & Llinas, 2010; De Silva et al., 2008; Young et al., 2008). Moreover, a new gene category based on transcriptome analysis using 11 types of ApiAP2 knockout parasites (Modrzynska et al., 2017) also classified *msp1* and *msp9* in rhoptry protein-enriched clusters. This classification offers a logical basis for selecting suitable replacement promoters to elucidate other protein functions during the mosquito stages.

A conditional knockdown system utilising promoter swapping has advantages over a site-specific recombination method for analysing the functions of rhoptry proteins in sporozoites. For example, *ron2* transcription mainly occurs in oocyst-derived sporozoites (Figure 1b), and therefore, it could be difficult to activate a site-specific recombinase sufficiently prior to the onset of its transcription. The promoter swapping strategy enables continued repression of the target molecule from the start of the mosquito stage, and the repression remains even after sporozoite invasion of salivary glands (Figure S8). In addition, production of the target proteins could be suppressed to the same level in all mutant sporozoites obtained by promoter swapping, as demonstrated by RON2 expression in individual sporozoites (Figure 3d and S9). Our promoter swapping system using the *msp9* promoter can be applied not only for elucidating the functions of other rhoptry molecules but also those of the inner membrane protein family, the alveolins, which have a similar transcription pattern during the blood stages (Beck et al., 2013).

3.3 | Molecular mechanisms underlying sporozoite invasion of salivary glands

We revealed that RON2 in sporozoites is crucial for the invasion of salivary glands (Figure 4). The finding that *Pmsp1*−RON2 sporozoites collected from salivary glands also showed a great reduction in RON2 levels (Figure S8) suggests that RON2 function might be compensated to some extent by other molecule(s), rather than invoking an explanation that a few sporozoites expressing RON2 at higher level could invade the salivary glands. Reduction in invasion ability of *Pmsp1*−RON2 and *Pmsp9*−RON2 was equivalent, although the RON2 amount was greater in *Pmsp1*−RON2 than in *Pmsp9*−RON2 sporozoites, indicating that a threshold of RON2 expression (at least more than 30% of GFP sporozoites) is required for invasion of salivary glands.

Previous electron microscopy analyses revealed that sporozoites first cross the basal membrane, invade the cytoplasm of gland cells, and then transverse to enter the secretory cavity (Pimenta, Touray, & Miller, 1994; Sterling, Aikawa, & Vanderberg, 1973). The confocal laser microscope image of salivary glands from *Pmsp1*−RON2 infected mosquitoes discounted the possibility that *ron2* knockdown sporozoites remain attached to the basal lamina of salivary glands (Figure 5). Because sporozoites are passively transported through the mosquito haemocoel to salivary glands (Douglas, Amino, Sinnis, & Frischknecht, 2015), this suggests that RON2 is required for the recognition of, attachment to, or crossing of the basal lamina of salivary glands—in good agreement with the results demonstrating that RON2 is required for in vitro attachment/gliding ability. Following *ron2* knockdown, the ratio of gliding sporozoites was decreased as a result of an increase of drifting sporozoites (Figure 6). This agrees with the report that sporozoites need to attach to glass slides at both ends prior to starting circular migration (Münter et al., 2009; Hegge et al., 2010). The finding that the velocity of motile *ron2* knockdown sporozoites in Matrigel is like that of control (Figure S10b) also supports that the major defect by *ron2* knockdown is the reduction in sporozoite attachment to the substrate, which might include basal lamina of mosquito salivary glands.

The mechanisms of sporozoite motility have been investigated by identification of related sporozoite proteins using the in vitro gliding assay (reviewed in Frischknecht & Matuschewski, 2017). Following activation with albumin treatment, incremental calcium release and a signal cascade in the parasite cytoplasm triggers secretion of adhesins, such as TRAP, from apical organelles to the surface to establish attachment to the substrate. Additionally, actin polymerisation strengthens
sporozoite attachment ability. The myosin motor then pushes actin filaments connected to several transmembrane adhesins towards the sporozoite posterior, which generates a force to move the parasite forward. From our study, RON2 is required for attachment to the substrate, which is an essential step for gliding, by acting as an adhesin, by mediating release of some adhesins, or by modifying actin polymerization. It is possible that RON2 is released from rhoptries upon activation, as RON2 in merozoites is released prior to the invasion of erythrocytes. We failed to detect RON2 deposited in the gliding trail, possibly because the amount is lower than detectable or because it is not aggregated with CSP or TRAP. Detailed localization analysis of RON2 during gliding is required to address this question. Here, we demonstrated that the amount of TRAP was not decreased by ron2 knockdown (Figure S7a), unlike the observation following gene disruption of another gliding related protein, protein O-fucosylolation 2 (POFUT2, Lopaticki et al., 2017). To investigate whether RON2 impacts the proper release of adhesins or dynamics of gliding related proteins, the expression and localization of known adhesins such as TREP/S6/UOS3, SIAP-1, and LIMP should be examined in ron2 knockdown sporozoites—and additionally with analysis of the behaviour of gliding related proteins such as ICP, CP, and plasmepsin VIII. Likewise, functional analysis of other RON complex members, that is, RON4 and RON5, during invasion of salivary glands would reveal whether they function co-ordinately in sporozoites as in merozoites.

3.4 Contribution of RON2 to sporozoite transmission to mammalian hosts

We also sought to determine whether RON2 is also involved in migration to and/or invasion of hepatocytes. Ron2 knockdown decreased the abilities of cell traversal and invasion of hepatocytes and resulted in a reduction in the number of parasites in the liver after intravenous sporozoite inoculation. This phenotype reconciles previous studies demonstrating that mutant sporozoites with less attachment/gliding ability—as such as TRAP-like protein (TLP) knockout, POFUT2 knockout, and S4/Celtos knockout—show decreased cell traversal and/or cell invasion capacity (Lacroix & Ménard, 2008; Vulliez-Le Normand et al., 2012) and maelb-disrupted sporozoites exhibited a phenotype similar to P_msp1 and P_msp2/RON2 sporozoites (Kariu et al., 2002; Saenz et al., 2008). These studies may shed light on which part is conserved or different among parasite species or infective stages in the molecular mechanisms of invasion.

Our sporozoite stage-specific knockout system, in which the native promoter is replaced with the msp9 promoter, will help to elucidate the comprehensive mechanisms of rhoptry proteins in sporozoites. We demonstrate that RON2, one of the key molecules for merozoite invasion, is also involved in salivary gland and hepatocyte invasion, which confirms that merozoites and sporozoites have some common mechanisms to invade their target cells. On the other hand, the essentiality of RON2 differs between these invasion processes and suggests that parasite stage and target cell-specific mechanisms are likely involved. Elucidating the functions of other rhoptry proteins and identification of their counterpart proteins in sporozoites might reveal how Plasmodium parasites have developed efficient invasion mechanisms to adapt to specific host cells.

4 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

4.1 Parasites and mosquitoes

A transgenic P. berghei ANKA parasite line was used in this study, which constitutively expresses GFP under the control of the elongation factor 1A (ef1a) promoter without any drug resistance gene (Franke-Fayard et al., 2004). Cryopreserved P. berghei-infected erythrocytes were injected into female ICR mice (CLEA Japan, Tokyo, Japan) to obtain asexual and sexual stage parasites. For feeding experiments, infected ICR mice were fed to An. stephensi (SDA 500 strain) mosquitoes, and fully engorged mosquitoes were selected and kept at 20°C until dissection. At days 10–14 post feeding, the numbers of oocysts were examined to determine the prevalence. Sporozoites were collected from midguts or salivary glands by dissection at the indicated day post blood meal. Midgit sporozoites were purified by density gradient centrifugation using 17% Accudenz solution (Accurate Chemical
& Scientific Corporation, NY, United States; Kennedy et al., 2012). Haemolymph sporozoites were collected by infusion of RPMI 1640 medium (Wako Pure Chemical, Osaka, Japan) through the mosquito thorax (Kariu et al., 2002). Schizont-infected RBCs were purified using Nycoprep 1.077 solution (Axis-Shield Diagnostics, Dundee, UK) by centrifugation at 450×g for 20 min after 16 hr of culture of parasite infected RBCs in complete RPMI 1640 medium containing 20% fetal calf serum (FCS) with a gas mixture of 5% CO2, 5% O2, and 90% N2 (Janse, Ramesar, & Waters, 2006). All animal experimental protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Ehime University, and the experiments were conducted according to the Ethical Guidelines for Animal Experiments of Ehime University.

### 4.2 Preparation of antibodies against RON2 and HSP70

A recombinant PbRON2 N-terminal protein, corresponding to the homologous region as a PfRON2 antigen (Cao et al., 2009), was produced using the wheat germ cell-free translation system as described (Tsuboi et al., 2008). Briefly, DNA encoding the N-terminal region excluding the signal peptide, which corresponds to amino acids 22–91 of PbRON2 (PBANKA_1315700), was amplified from P. berghei ANKA genomic DNA using Phusion high-fidelity DNA polymerase (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA, USA). The PCR product was then cloned into the pEU-E01-GST-TEV-N2 vector (CellFree Sciences, Matsuyama, Japan). PbRON2-N GST fusion recombinant protein was expressed using the wheat germ cell-free protein synthesis system and then purified using a glutathione-sepharose column (GE Healthcare UK, Buckinghamshire, UK). The recombinant C-terminus of PbHSP70 (PBANKA_0711900; amino acids 496–693) was produced using the method described above. Rabbits (Japanese white) were immunised subcutaneously with 250 μg of purified protein with Freund’s adjuvant three times, and antiserum was obtained 14 days after the final immunisation with recombinant protein (Kitayama labes, Ina, Japan).

### 4.3 Western blotting

Protein homogenates of sporozoites collected at days 22–25 post feeding or enriched schizonts were dissolved in SDS-PAGE loading buffer with 10% β-mercaptoethanol. The indicated number of parasites were subjected to electrophoresis on 7.5% polyacrylamide gels and then transferred to PVDF membranes using the wet-transfer system. Subsequent to blocking with Blocking One, the membrane was incubated with primary antibodies (1:2,500 for PbRON2-N and 1:250,000 for PbHSP70) for 1 hr at room temperature, followed by incubation with a secondary antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (HRP; 1:30,000) for 30 min at room temperature. Chemiluminescence detection was performed by adding Immobilon Western Chemiluminescent HRP substrate (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany), then detecting the signal using ImageQuant LAS 4000 (GE Healthcare UK). Band intensities were measured using ImageQuant TL (GE Healthcare UK).

### 4.4 Real-time RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from midguts, haemolymph, and salivary glands of mosquitoes infected with GFP or transgenic parasites on days 17 to 21 post feeding. Schizont-enriched infected RBCs were harvested for total RNA extraction (RNasy, Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany). Reverse transcription was conducted using the PrimeScript RT reagent Kit (Takara Bio, Otsu, Japan) with gDNA Eraser. Real-time RT-PCR reactions were performed using SYBR Premix Ex Taq (Takara Bio) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The primer sequences used are listed in Supporting Information. Real-time PCR was performed using the TaKaRa PCR Thermal Cycler Dice (Takara Bio). Relative gene expressions normalised by ef1α (PBANKA_1133300) or rana (PBANKA_0804500) mRNA level were compared using the delta-delta Ct method (Pfaffl, 2001).

### 4.5 Immunotransmission electron microscopy

In vitro cultured schizons, parasite-infected mosquito midguts, and salivary glands were fixed with 1% paraformaldehyde and 0.2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M Heps buffer and then dehydrated and embedded in LR white resin. Ultrathin sections were blocked for 30 min in 0.1 M PBS containing 5% nonfat dry milk and 0.01% Tween 20 (PBS milk-Tween), followed by overnight incubation with specific rabbit antibodies in PBS milk-Tween. After washing with PBS containing 10% Block Ace and 0.01% Tween 20, samples were incubated for 1 hr in PBS milk-Tween containing goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to 15 nm of gold particles. The grids were then rinsed with distilled water, dried, and stained with 2% uranyl acetate in 50% methanol and lead citrate. Samples were examined with a transmission electron microscope (JEM-1230; JEOL, Tokyo, Japan).

### 4.6 Generation of transgenic parasites

To generate RON2-c-Myc expressing transgenic parasites, the native ron2 coding region in GFP parasites was replaced by single cross-over homologous recombination with an expression cassette of RON2 fused with a c-Myc tag at the C-terminus (see Figure S1). A Toxoplasma dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) expressing cassette (TgDHFR) was also integrated to confer pyrimethamine drug resistance in transgenic parasites. The transgenic DNA vector was a modification of the pL0033 plasmid, obtained from BEI Resource. The RON2 partial coding region (5,009–6,244 nt from the first methionine) was amplified using Pb genome DNA as a template and specific primers (Table S1), then cloned into pL0033 vector using SacII and NcoI restriction sites.

To generate sporozoite stage-specific ron2 silencing transgenic parasites, the 5′UTR region of ron2 was replaced with a merozoite-specific candidate promoter region by double cross-over homologous recombination within the GFP background strain (see Figure 3a). Two homologous recombination cassettes, ron2 upstream (~1,683 to ~845 bp relative to RON2 start of translation) and RON2-N (~105 to ~945 bp) respectively, were subcloned into a transgenic vector containing a human DHFR expression cassette (hDHFR) used for drug selection (Otsuki et al., 2009). The promoter regions of rap1 (~1,065 to ~1 bp;
Transfection of constructed DNA fragments into GFP parasites was performed as described (Janse et al., 2006). Briefly, 10 μg of MscI- or XhoI-linearized transfection vector was transfected into schizont-enriched GFP parasites by electroporation using Nucleofector (Lonza Japan, Tokyo, Japan). Transfected parasites were selected by adding 70 μg/ml of pyrimethamine to the drinking water after the parasites were inoculated into 4-week-old ICR female mice. Gene-modified parasites were cloned by limiting dilution, and DNA integration was confirmed by PCR genotyping. To confirm the phenotype of promoter swapping, two independent clones for P<sub>msp9</sub>–RON2 were isolated from independent transfections and named P<sub>msp9</sub>–RON2 cl1 and cl2. The primer sequences used are listed in Table S1.

4.7 | **Indirect immunofluorescence analysis**

Sporozoites were collected from parasite-infected mosquito midguts as described above, placed on glass slides, and then fixed by cold acetone for 2 min. Slides were blocked with Blocking ONE histo (nacalai tesque, Kyoto, Japan) for 30 min at 37°C, incubated with the anti-PbRON2-N antibodies (5.6 μg/ml) and anti CSP monoclonal antibody (1: 12,500, MRA-100; BEI Resources, Manassas, VA, USA) for 1 hr at 37°C, then incubated with Alexa Fluor 488-goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody and Alexa Fluor 546-goat anti-mouse IgG antibody (1:500) and 1 μg/ml 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, Wako Pure Chemical). After mounting with ProLong Gold antifade reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific), samples were observed with an inverted microscope (Axio Observer Z1, Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany), and data were acquired with an AxioCam MRm Charge-Coupled Device camera and AxioVision software (Carl Zeiss).

4.8 | **Confocal microscopy observation of infected salivary glands**

Salivary glands were collected by dissection of GFP or transgenic parasite-infected mosquitoes at days 24–26 post feeding, and then incubated with FM4-64 FX (5 μg/ml; Thermo Fisher Scientific) for salivary gland cellular membrane staining and DAPI (1 μg/ml; Wako Pure Chemical) in PBS for 20 min in a glass bottom culture dish. Salivary glands were observed with an LSM710 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss), Images were assembled by ImageJ software (Schneider, Rasband, & Eliceiri, 2012) to show an approximately 5 μm stacked image (12 sections).

4.9 | **Sporozoite gliding assay**

At days 17–22 post feeding, sporozoites were collected from haemolymph by RPMI 1640 medium infusion through the mosquito thorax. For gliding assay on glass slides, sporozoites were mixed with the same volume of RPMI 1640 medium containing 20% FCS and placed in a glass bottom dish. For gliding assays in Matrigel, sporozoites in RPMI 1640 containing 20% FCS were mixed with an equal volume of Matrigel (Corning, Corning, NY, USA), placed on glass slides, and covered with coverslips. Sporozoite movement was detected by GFP fluorescence using an AxioVert inverted fluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss) and recorded with an AxioCam MRm Charge-Coupled Device camera (Carl Zeiss) every 2 s for up to 150 frames (5 min). Sporozoites on glass slides were classified manually as gliding, waving, or drifting according to Hegge et al. (2009). Experiments were repeated four times and at least 75 sporozoites were analysed for each parasite line. The effect of RON2 repression on sporozoite motility was evaluated by comparing the population of gliding sporozoite between P<sub>rap1</sub>–RON2 and P<sub>msp9</sub>–RON2 cl1 or cl2 by statistical analysis using the Mann–Whitney U test. In Matrigel, sporozoites were categorised as non-motile, circular movement, or meandering according to Volkmann et al (2012). The velocity of gliding sporozoites was calculated using MTrack2 plugin in Fiji software (NIH, Bethesda, MD).

4.10 | **Sporozoite transmission assay by mosquito bite**

For each parasite line, 20 infected mosquitoes (>65% prevalence) were placed in a new cage on days 21 to 22 post feeding and allowed to feed on a female C57BL/6 mouse (CREA Japan) for about 1 hr at rt. At 42 hr after feeding, the livers were perfused with PBS and removed to homogenise in 5 ml of Trizol (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using a polytron homogeniser (Kinematica AG, Luzern, Switzerland) for total RNA extraction. Reverse transcription and real-time PCR assays were performed as described above. The levels of parasite 18S rRNA were normalised to mouse gapdh mRNA expression. Expression levels of 18S rRNA were calculated by the ddCt method, and fold changes were obtained using the formula 2<sup>−ΔΔCt</sup> (Bruna-Romerio et al., 2003). Experiments were performed using five to seven mice per mosquito group, and individual relative 18S rRNA levels, normalised by mouse gapdh mRNA amount, were plotted on the graph. Bars indicate the mean values for each parasite line. The difference in the relative 18S rRNA level among parasite lines was analysed by the Kruskal–Wallis test with a Dunn’s post hoc test.

4.11 | **In vivo liver infectivity**

Equal number of sporozoites (5 or 10 thousand), collected from salivary glands of P<sub>rap1</sub>–RON2 or P<sub>msp9</sub>–RON2 cl1 infected mosquitoes at days 21–24 post feeding, were injected intravenously into female C57BL/6 mice (CLEA Japan). Twenty-four hours post inoculation, the livers were perfused and homogenised in 5 ml of Trizol (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for total RNA extraction, followed by real-time RT-PCR analysis as described above. This experiment was repeated twice, totally with six mice for each parasite line. The difference in the relative 18S rRNA level between P<sub>rap1</sub>–RON2 and P<sub>msp9</sub>–RON2 was analysed by the Mann–Whitney U test. The primers used in these experiments are listed in Table S1.

4.12 | **In vitro sporozoite invasion analyses**

The LS development assay was performed as described (Itani et al., 2014). Briefly, 3 to 10 thousand of P<sub>rap1</sub>–RON2 or P<sub>msp9</sub>–RON2 parasites were infected into blood-fed female C57BL/6 mosquitoes (CREA Japan) for about 1 hr at rt. At 42 hr after feeding, the livers were perfused with PBS and removed to homogenise in 5 ml of Trizol (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using a polytron homogeniser (Kinematica AG, Luzern, Switzerland) for total RNA extraction. Reverse transcription and real-time PCR assays were performed as described above. The levels of parasite 18S rRNA were normalised to mouse gapdh mRNA expression. Expression levels of 18S rRNA were calculated by the ddCt method, and fold changes were obtained using the formula 2<sup>−ΔΔCt</sup> (Bruna-Romerio et al., 2003). Experiments were performed using five to seven mice per mosquito group, and individual relative 18S rRNA levels, normalised by mouse gapdh mRNA amount, were plotted on the graph. Bars indicate the mean values for each parasite line. The difference in the relative 18S rRNA level among parasite lines was analysed by the Kruskal–Wallis test with a Dunn’s post hoc test.
sporozoites collected from salivary glands were inoculated into HepG2 cells, a human hepatoma cell line, then incubated in RPMI 1640 media containing 10% FCS, 100 IU/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Wako Pure Chemical) at 37°C in the presence of 5% CO2 for 48 hr prior to fixation. Samples were stained with anti-CSP monoclonal antibodies (MRA-100) and anti-LISP2 antibodies to count the number of LS parasites and categorise the maturation stage per well (Itani et al., 2014). Experiments were repeated seven times with at least three wells for each line. The relative Pmsp9-RON2 LS numbers normalised by control LS numbers were calculated. The proportions of LS maturation levels examined by LISP2 localization were examined. The effect of RON2 repression on LS number was evaluated by statistical analysis using the Mann–Whitney U test.

4.13 | In vitro sporozoite cell traversal assay

Cell traversal ability was assayed by the number of wounded cells 1 hr after sporozoite inoculation as described (Ishino et al., 2004). Briefly, 10,000 haemocoel sporozoites were incubated for 1 hr on confluent 3T3-Swiss albino cells in an eight-well chamber slide, with 1 mg/mL fluorescein conjugated dextran (MW 10,000, lysine fixable, Thermo Fisher Scientific) in RPMI 1640 medium containing 10% FCS. Cells were washed with PBS and fixed with 10% formalin. Fluorescence-labelled cells were counted under a fluorescence microscope (Axio Observer Z1, Carl Zeiss).
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