A Critical Review of Phenolic Compounds Extracted from the Bark of Woody Vascular Plants and Their Potential Biological Activity
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Abstract: Polyphenols are one of the largest and most widespread groups of secondary metabolites in the plants world. These compounds are of particular interest due to their occurrence and the properties they possess. The main sources of phenolic compounds are fruits and vegetables, but lately, more and more studies refer to woody vascular plants, especially to bark, as an important source of phenolic compounds with a potential biological effect. This study aims to bring together information on the phenolic compounds present in the bark of woody vascular plants by discussing extraction methods, the chemical composition of the extracts and potential biological effects. The literature data used in this paper were collected via PubMed (2004–2019). Search terms were: bark, rhytidome, woody vascular plant, polyphenols, phenolic compounds, biologic activity, antioxidant, immunostimulatory, antimutagenic, antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, and antitumoral. This paper intends to highlight the fact that the polyphenolic extracts obtained from the bark of woody vascular plants represent sources of bioactive compounds with antioxidant, immunostimulatory, antimutagenic, antibacterial properties, etc. Future research directions should be directed towards identification and isolation of bioactive compounds. Consequently, biologically active compounds obtained from the bark of woody plants could be exploited on an industrial scale.
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1. Introduction

Current research is directed towards finding new sources of biologically active natural compounds with a wide range of applicability. Polyphenolic compounds are of particular interest due to their occurrence and properties. Phenolic compounds or polyphenols are one of the most frequent and widespread groups of substances in the world of plants, with more than 8000 identified phenolic structures [1]. These compounds can be found in almost all organs of a plant, and according to their structure, they have different functions ranging from skeletal constituents of different tissues to pigmentation of several plant organs [2]. Polyphenols are secondary metabolites essential for the growth and development of plants and their reproduction. Similarly, they help to control growth in diameter, pigmentation, and defence against various pathogens [3] or act as signalling molecules to distinguish symbionts [4]. These compounds, as natural antioxidants, have important properties that involve the inhibition of lipid peroxidation, inhibition of carcinogenesis, antimicrobial activity, direct constractive action on capillaries, naturally occurring phytohormones, stabilisation of ascorbic acid, etc. [5].
The present paper is a critical review of the literature (2004–2019) on extraction methods of phenolic compounds from the bark of woody vascular plants, and their chemical composition, with an emphasis of their potential biological properties.

2. The Bark of Woody Vascular Plants—Source of Phenolic Compounds

The bark or rhytidome is a set of dead tissues, developed after the primary and secondary growth of bark (multiple layers of periderms), which together form the protective layers of branches and the trunks of woody vascular plants. The bark inhibits water loss through evaporation, has a protective role against overheating, frost, herbivores or infestation with parasites. The bark comprises up to 20% of the dry weight of woody vascular plants and contains polysaccharides, lignin, suberin, suberan, tannins or phenolic acids [6].

Currently, the woodworking industry produces a large amount of residue each year as a result of debarking woody vascular plants. Commonly, huge amounts of bark of woody plants can be found among wood wastes in the forest. These wastes are usually used for heating or as a cheap source of energy in cellulose factories, although these kinds of exploitations are not efficient and can lead to environmental problems [6].

The main sources of phenolic compounds are fruits and vegetables, but more studies refer to woody vascular plants, especially to bark, as an important source of phenolic compounds with a potential biological effect. Polyphenols, according to their chemical structure, are divided into sub-groups (Figure 1): phenolic acids (hydroxybenzoic and hydroxycinnamic acids), flavonoids (flavonols, flavones, flavanones, flavanonols, isoflavones, anthocyanidins, tannins), stilbenes (resveratrol) and lignans found in plants and foods of plant origin [7,8].

Phenolic acids are one of the main classes of phenolic compounds found in plants and occur in the form of esters, glycosides or amides, but rarely in free form. The structural variation of phenolic acids depends on the number and position of hydroxyl groups on the aromatic ring. Phenolic acids have two distinctive structures: the hydroxycinnaic and hydroxybenzoic acid (Figure 1). The most common benzoic acids found in the bark of woody plants are vanillic, gallic, syringic and protocatechuic acid [9–11]. The most common cinnamic acids are \( p \)-coumaric, caffeic, ferulic and synaptic acid [12,13].

Flavonoids are composed of two aromatic rings linked by a unit of three carbon atoms (C6-C3-C6). This carbon skeleton is the explanation for the chemical diversity of this family of compounds. The basic structures of flavonoids are aglycones but in plants, most of these are as glycosides [1]. The most common sub-groups of flavonoids found in bark of woody plants are flavonols (quercetin, kaempferol, myricetin, etc) [11,14–17], flavanons (taxifolin), [14,16,18] flavones (apigenin, luteolin) flavanols [19] (catechin, epicatechin) [10,14,16,20] and tannins [18,19].

Stilbenes are phenolic compounds that contain two aromatic rings connected by a heterologous bridge. Resveratrol (3,5,4’-trihydroxystilbene) is the reference stilbene in grapes and wine [21] but it was identified in barks of *Picea mariana* (Mill.) Britton, Pinaceae [11] or *Malus domestica* Borkh, Rosaceae [22].

Lignans are dimers of phenylpropanoids, which result from the tail-to-tail binding of two coniferyl or sinapyl alcohol units. Examples of such compounds include isolariciresinol, secoisolariciresinol, lariresinol, cedrusin and their glycosides [23], which present increasing interest in lignans especially due to their chemotherapeutic potential [24].

Bark contains large quantities of phenolic compounds and lignin. Thus, it can be considered as a possible renewable source of bioactive compounds, especially of aromatic substances. For example, Hofmann et al. [25] studied beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L., Fagaceae) bark and determined that the total polyphenol content was approximately 57 mg gallic acid (GAE)/g dry bark units. The most efficient compounds with potential antioxidant activity in beech bark are epicatechin, coumaric acid, coniferin, quercetin, taxifolin-O-hexoside, coumaric acid-di-O-hexoside, syringic acid-di-O-hexoside, coniferyl alcohol-O-hexoside [26].
Another source of woody plant rich in phenolic compounds is the bark of black poplar (Populus nigra L., Salicaceae) with a total polyphenol content between 96.69–334.87 mg GAE/g dry bark units [27]. The bark of Schinopsis brasiliensis Engl., Anacardiaceae, is also an important source of polyphenols. The most important phenolic component identified as a chemical marker of *S. brasiliensis* is gallic acid [28].
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**Figure 1.** Typical phenolic compounds identified in the bark of woody vascular plants.

### 3. Methods Used to Extract Phenolic Compounds from the Bark of Woody Vascular Plants

The chemical composition of a plant product is determined by qualitative chemical analysis using various solvents for extraction. The choice of method and solvent used for extraction is a particularly important step to obtain an optimal concentration of natural compounds in the extract. It is important to select an efficient extraction method and proper work phases to assure high performance and increased stability of the extracted compounds [2].

The most commonly applied methods for the extraction of polyphenols use water in combination with organic solvents (acetone, ethanol, methanol, ethyl acetate) as per the type of polyphenols present in the bark of the plant [29]. Several authors reported increase of the extraction temperature could be correlated with increased efficiency [16]. Extraction time is a factor that should be taken into consideration as well. Prolonged extraction time can influence the oxidation process of polyphenols thus possibly decreasing the efficiency of the procedure and the type of extracted compounds [30].

Solid-liquid extraction is a common method used for obtaining polyphenols [31,32]; however, there may be various shortcomings such as long extraction time, increased quantity of solvent use,
reduced potential to recover the solvent, which implies higher costs and higher toxicity. To improve extraction yield, time, and used solvent quantity, some unconventional (modern) methods such as ultrasonic extraction, microwave-assisted extraction, supercritical fluid extraction, pressurised liquid extraction or accelerated solvent extraction are preferred. The advantages of these methods compared to conventional methods (classical water bath extraction, Soxhlet extraction, and maceration) are the reduction of extraction time and quantity of required extraction solvent, as well as high reproducibility [33].

Ultrasound extraction is an effective alternative of conventional extraction methods, and the main advantage is its simplicity, the required equipment and the reduced extraction time [33,34]. However, in comparison with other modern methods, this one uses the highest amount of solvent and has the longest extraction time [33]. On the other hand, Chen et al. [35] demonstrated that ultrasonic extraction of the bark of *Betula papyfera* Marshall, Betulaceae, by using ethanol and water as a solvent has a maximum extraction yield at 180 min and 50 °C. The optimal conditions for ultrasound extraction of polyphenols from the bark of *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* Dehn., Myrtaceae, and *Flourensia cernua* DC., Asteraceae, are at 40–50 °C by using ethanol as extraction solvent [36].

During microwave extraction, the solid matter and solvent are subjected to microwave treatment, which accelerates the process of extraction due to the heating of the system. Thus, water within the vegetal matrix absorbs microwaves, and cell disruption occurs through internal superheating, which facilitates desorption of extractives from the vegetal matrix. This method uses polar solvent or a mixture of miscible polar solvents, because non-polar solvents do not or barely absorb microwave radiation. The advantages of this method lie in the fact that extraction time and the quantity of the solvent are reduced, whereas the efficiency of the extraction method is improved in comparison with conventional extraction methods [33]. Compared to other methods of polyphenol extraction, microwave-assisted extraction has proved to be efficient because of its shorter processing time [37]. It was observed that during the process of microwave extraction, time and microwave power are the main factors that influence efficiency significantly. It has also been noticed that the combination of miscible polar solvents improves the extraction yield [22,26,38,39].

Supercritical fluid extraction is an alternative solid-liquid extraction where the extraction solvent is replaced with a supercritical fluid (most commonly with carbon dioxide, but also with other materials such as nitric oxide, ethane, propane, n-pentane, ammonia, and water). This is a relatively new method of processing solid and semi-solid substances, which has since become a specific technique referred to as supercritical fluid chromatography. The most important property of supercritical fluids during the extraction process is the ability to adjust solubility through physical parameters such as temperature and pressure, so that a supercritical fluid can extract a group of analytes of different polarities and molar masses in a more or less restricted fashion, and to reduce the volume of solvents used during extraction [40,41]. Supercritical fluid extraction was used to extract polyphenols from the bark of *Hymenaea coubaril* L., Fabaceae, by using CO$_2$, CO$_2$ + ethanol and CO$_2$ + water as solvents, with the highest extraction yield being achieved with the combination of CO$_2$ + water [42].

Accelerated solvent extraction is a new extraction method based on the use of high temperature and pressure to accelerate dissolution kinetics and to break the bonds of analyte-matrix interaction. Hence, this method is also referred to as pressurised fluid (solvent) extraction [30]. Moreover, by increasing the temperature the viscosity of the solvent decreases, which facilitates penetration of the solid matrix. This way, extraction time is reduced from tens of minutes to a couple of minutes, and extraction samples can be processed in small quantities. This method is an alternative of the Soxhlet or supercritical fluid extraction techniques [43].

Numerous studies (Table 1) have focussed on optimising methods of extracting polyphenols from the bark of woody vascular plants. In addition to conventional extraction methods, modern methods of polyphenol extraction are widely used as well [27,39,44–46]. Thus, for the same studied species, different values of total phenolic contents (TPC) can be obtained. For example, it was observed that for
the Eucalyptus species the Soxhlet extraction method was preferred, thus obtaining a higher extraction yield of the total phenol content [47].

It has also been remarked that extraction temperature influences TPC and the type of extracted compounds. For example, Populus nigra L., Salicaceae, extracts obtained at temperatures above 200 °C displayed a higher content of flavonoid secondary metabolites and other polyphenols, and the level of antioxidant activity was higher than in the extracts obtained at temperatures below 180 °C [27].

Paz et al. [36] started researching four woody vascular plants Eucalyptus camaldulensis Dehnh., Myrtaceae, Flourensia cernua DC., Asteraceae, Jatropha dioica Sessé, Euphorbiaceae, and Turnera diffusa Willd. ex Schult., Passifloraceae. They used the ultrasound-assisted technique to obtain optimised extracts by adjusting the extraction time and solvent concentration (ethanol). Optimal conditions for extraction were created at 40 min of extraction time and 35% ethanol concentration.

Another intensively studied potential source of polyphenols is the bark of Picea abies L., Pinaceae. Researchers have attempted to optimize different methods of polyphenol extraction by changing the temperature, solid-liquid contact surface and extraction time in the presence of ultrasounds [48,49] and classical water bath extraction techniques [19,50]. For example, Lazar et al. [49] concluded that ultrasounds and temperature lead to significant effects on the polyphenolic compounds from spruce bark. Thus, the total content of polyphenols increased from 37.3 mg GAE g⁻¹ / spruce bark / 45 °C to 43.1 mg GAE g⁻¹ / spruce bark / 60 °C [49].

In a study on the bark of Ulmus pumila L., Ulmaceae, conducted by Zhou et al. [51], the highest extraction yield was observed in the case of enzyme-assisted extraction (enzyme mixtures including cellulase, pectinase, and β-glucosidase) at pH = 4.63, 52.6 °C and 62 min when the total polyphenol content was 16.04 mg gallic acid/g dry matter.

Recent studies have highlighted the bark extracts of Terminalia arjuna (Roxb.) Wight & Arn., Combretaceae, obtained with the use of various organic solvents, the highest polyphenol content extracted with butanol. The use of chloroform proved to have the lowest extraction capacity [52].

| Source of Bark: Scientific Name (Family)–Commun Name | Extraction | Solvent | Time (min) | Temperature °C | Reference |
|--------------------------------------------------------|------------|--------|------------|----------------|-----------|
| Abies alba Mill (Pinaceae)–silver fir                  | CWBE       | ethyl acetate | 120        | 70             | [53]      |
| Acacia confusa Merr. (Fabaceae)                        | CWBE       | NaOH 1% | 60         | 100            | [54]      |
| Acacia cornigera (L.) Willd. (Fabaceae), bullhorn acacia | CWBE       | petroleum ether, chloroform, methanol | 4320       | RT             | [12]      |
| Acacia ferruginea DC. (Fabaceae)–rusty acacia          | SE         | methanol 70% | -          | -              | [55]      |
| Acacia mearnsii Wild. (Fabaceae) (Acacia mollissima)–black wattle | MAE       | methanol:water 80:20 | -          | -              | [31]      |
| Acacia nilotica L. (Fabaceae)–gum arabic tree          | CWBE       | methanol:ethanol, acetone:water | 480        | RT             | [29]      |
| Acanthopanax leucorrhizus (Oliv.) Harms (Araliaceae)   | ME         | ethanol 90% | 1440       | RT             | [44]      |
| Acer saccharum Marshal (Sapindaceae)–sugar maple        | ME         | ethanol 95% | -          | -              | [56]      |
| Allophylus africannus Beuv. (Sapindaceae)              | CE         | water | 30         | -              | [45]      |
| Anacardium occidentale L. (Anacardiaceae)–cashew tree  | ME         | water | 120        | RT             | [57]      |
| Anogeissus leiocarpa DC. (Combretaceae)–African birch   | SE         | ethanol | 300        | -              | [58]      |
| Azadirachta indica A.Juss. (Meliaeaceae)–raintree or Indian lilac | CWBE | methanol:ethanol:acetone:water | 480 | RT | [29] |
| Betula alleghenensis Britt. (Betulaceae)–yellow birch or golden birch | UAE | ethanol 95% | - | - | [56] |

Table 1. Factors involved in different extraction methods according to the studied species.
Table 1. Cont.

| Source of Bark: Scientific Name (Family)–Common Name | Extraction | Solvent | Time (min) | Temperature °C | Reference |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------|------------|----------------|-----------|
| *Betula papyrifera* Marshall (Betulaceae)—Paper birch | UAE        | ethanol:water 80:20 | 180       | 50             | [35]      |
| *Byronania crassifolia* (L.) Kunth (Malpighiaceae)—golden spoon | ME         | petroleum ether: chloroform: methanol | 4320     | RT             | [12]      |
| *Carpita densifolia* Mart. (Calophyllaceae) | SE         | hexane:methanol | 180       | -              | [59]      |
| *Cassia auriculata* (L.) Roxb. (Fabaceae)—matura tea tree | UAE        | water       | 300       | -              | [60]      |
| *Castanea sativa* Mill. (Fagaceae)—sweet chestnut | UAE        | methanol    | 30        | RT             | [18]      |
| *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* Dehnh. (Myrtaceae) | UAE        | methanol    | 10        | 10             | [61]      |
| *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill (Myrtaceae)—Tasmanian blue gum, blue gum | SE         | n-hexane, acetone, ethanol, methanol | 900       | -              | [32]      |
| *Eucalyptus grandis* W.Hill ex. Maiden (Myrtaceae)—rose gum | SE         | dichloromethane | 360       | -              | [47]      |
| *Eucalyptus maidenii* F. Muell (Myrtaceae)—Maiden’s Gum | SE         | dichloromethane | 360       | -              | [47]      |
| *Eucalyptus sideroxylon* A.Cunn. (Myrtaceae)—mugga, red ironbark | UAE        | ethanol:water | 60        | 50             | [66]      |
| *Eucalyptus urograndis* (Myrtaceae)—Hybrid E. grandis x E. urophylla | SE         | dichloromethane | 360       | -              | [47]      |
| *Eucommia ulmoides* Oliv. (Eucommiaceae) | MAE + UAE  | methanol 80%, ethanol 80%, acetone:water 80:20 | 10–60     | 20–60          | [46]      |
| *Eugenia jambolana* Lam. (Myrtaceae)—Jamun, black plum | CWBE       | methanol 80%, water 20%, ethanol:water 80:20 | 480       | RT             | [29]      |
| *Fagus sylvatica* L. (Fagaceae)—common beech | MAE        | methanol:water and ethanol:water (80:20) | 10, 20    | 60, 80, 100, 120 | [25]      |
| *Ficus talboti* King. (Moraceae)—talbot fig | SE         | methanol    | -         | -              | [68]      |
| *Flourensia cernua* DC. (Asteraceae)—American tarbworth and tarbush | UAE        | methanol    | -         | 40–50          | [36]      |
| Source of Bark: Scientific Name (Family)–Common Name | Extraction | Solvent | Time (min) | Temperature °C | Reference |
|----------------------------------------------------|------------|---------|------------|----------------|-----------|
| Guazuma ulmifolia Lam. (Malvaceae)–West Indian elm or bay cedar | CWBE | petroleum ether, chloroform, methanol | 4320 43 | RT | [69] |
| Gomphothereцийus velutinus Airy Shaw (Annonaceae) | SE | absolute methanol | 600 - | - | [70] |
| Hugonia myrtifolia Cav. (Linaeceae) | CWBE | distilled water, methanol ethanol | - RT | | [13] |
| Hymenaea courbaril L. (Fabaceae) | SFE | CO₂ and water (9-1, v/v) | - 56.85 | | [42] |
| Jatropha dioica Sesse (Euphorbiaceae)–leatherstem | UAE | ethanol | - 40–50 | | [36] |
| Lafresnia pacari A. St.-Hil (Lythraceae) | ME | absolute ethanol | 10,080 RT | | [20] |
| Larix laricina K. Koch (Pinaceae)–tamarack or American larch | CWBE | ethanol 80% | - - | | [71] |
| Liriodendron tulipifera L. (Magnoliaceae)–tulip tree, American tulip tree, tulipwood | CWBE | oxalic acid 0.1 M | 60 170 | | [72] |
| Malus domestica Miller (Rosaceae)–apple tree | CWBE | ethanol:water, 1:4 ethanol:water, 60:40 v/v | 120 55 | | [22] |
| Morus alba L. (Moraceae)–white mulberry | CWBE | methanol:water | - - | | [73] |
| Picea abies L. (Pinaceae)–european spruce | UAE | ethanol:water 50%, 70% (v/v) | 30–60 40–60 | | [48] |
| Picea abies L. (Pinaceae)–european spruce | CWBE | water | 10–120 60–90 | | [19] |
| Picea abies L. (Pinaceae)–european spruce | CWBE | distilled water | 120 90 | | [50] |
| Picea mariana (Mill.) Britton (Pinaceae)–the black spruce | UAE | ethanol:water 70% (v/v) | 5–75 45–60 | | [49] |
| Pinus sylvestris L. (Pinaceae)–Scots pine | CWBE | acetone:water | 3 × 5 100 | | [74] |
| Pinus brutia Tenore (Pinaceae)–the maritime pine, cluster pine | MAE | ethanol:water 80:20 | 30 - | | [38] |
| Pinus pinaster Aiton (Pinaceae)–the maritime pine, cluster pine | CWBE | distilled water, ethanol, methanol | - - | | [76] |
| Pinus radiata D.Don (Pinaceae)–Monterey pine, insignis pine or radiata pine | CWBE | water:NaOH:Na₂SO₃:NaHSO₃ | 120 70–80 | | [77] |
| Populus nigra L. (Salicaceae)–black poplar | UAE | ethanol:water 70:30 | 60 - | | [27] |
| Punica granatum L. (Lythraceae)–Pomegranate | CWBE | methanol | - RT | | [80] |
| Prunus domestica L. (Rosaceae)–Plums | UAE | 7 ethanol and HCl 1%, 2,6-di-tetly-butyl-4-methylphenol (BHT) | - - | | [81] |
| Quercus robur L. (Fagaceae)–common oak, pedunculate oak | MAE | hydroalcoholic solution of methanol and ethanol | 5–120 100 | | [82] |
| Rhus verniciflua (Stokes) F.Barkley (Anacardiaceae)–Chinese lacquer tree | SE | ethanol | - - | | [83] |
| Salix alba L. (Salicaceae)–white willow | ME | ethanol:water 70:30 | 1440 - | | [84] |
| Sarcod asoca (Roxb.) Willd (Fabaceae)–the ashoka tree | CWBE | methanol | 1440 RT | | [85] |
Table 1. Cont.

| Source of Bark: Scientific Name (Family)–Common Name | Extraction | Solvent | Time (min) | Temperature °C | Reference |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------|------------|----------------|-----------|
| Schinopsis brasiliensis Engl. (Anacardiaceae)–baraúna | ME         | ethanol 90% | 7200       | RT             | [47]      |
| Sclerocarya birea (A. Rich.) Hochst. (Anacardiaceae)–marula | ME         | distilled water | 2880       | RT             | [86]      |
| Shorea roxburghii D.Don (Dipterocarpaceae)          | CWBE       | acetone:methanol | -          | -              | [87]      |
| Strychnos minor Dennst. (Loganiaceae)               | UAE        | methanol | 10         | RT             | [61]      |
| Strychnos nux-vomica Dennst. (Loganiaceae)–the strychnine tree, nux vomica, poison nut | UAE        | methanol | 10         | RT             |           |
| Sweetia panamensis Yakovlev (Fabaceae)              | CWBE       | petroleum ether: chloroform:methanol | 4320       | RT             | [12]      |
| Terminalia brownie Fresen (Combretaceae)            | SE         | absolute ethanol | 300   | -              | [58]      |
| Terminalia arjuna Wight & Arn (Combretaceae)–arjun tree | SE         | petroleum ether:ethanol | -      | 60–80          | [88]      |
|                                                       | MAE        | distilled water | 5         | -              | [89]      |
|                                                       | ME         | ethanol       | 7200       | -              | [90]      |
|                                                       | CWBE       | methanol:ethanol: acetone:water | 480     | RT             | [29]      |
| Terminalia laxiflora Engl. & Diels (Combretaceae)   | SE         | absolute ethanol | 300   | -              | [58]      |
| Trichilia catigua A.Juss. (Meliaceae)                | CWBE       | distilled water | 20       | 100            | [91]      |
| Turnera diffusa Wild (Passifloraceae)–Damiana       | UAE        | ethanol       | -          | 40–50          | [36]      |
| Ulmus pumila L. (Ulmaceae)–the Siberian elm          | EAE        | cellulose, pectinase, \(\beta\)-glucosidase | 60–90     | 40–60          | [51]      |
|                                                       | UAE        | ethanol 50% | 10–90      | 52             |           |
|                                                       | CWBE       | ethanol 50% | 10–90      | 52             |           |
| Vitex doniana L. (Lamiaceae)–Black plum              | ME         | distilled water | 2880     | RT             | [92]      |
| Ziziphus jujuba Mill. (Rhamnaceae)–Jujube            | CWBE       | ethanol, methanol, hexane, acetone | 160      | 70             | [39]      |
|                                                       | UAE        | methanol     | 20–60      | RT             |           |
|                                                       | SE         | methanol     | 40–140     | 68             |           |
|                                                       | MAE        | methanol     | 4          | -              |           |

RT—room temperature, CWBE—classic water bath extraction, ME—extraction by maceration, SE—Soxhlet extraction, UAE—ultrasound-assisted extraction, MAE—microwave-assisted extraction, SFE—supercritical fluid extraction, EAE—enzymatic assisted extraction.

Hofmann et al. [25] aimed to optimise extraction methods according to the duration of exposure to ultrasounds and microwaves, solvents concentration and temperature. Thus, it was observed that the largest amount of polyphenols was obtained when the microwave-assisted extraction (MAE) technique was applied for 20 min by using ethanol and water as solvents. The extract with the most prominent antioxidant activity was obtained by the conventional extraction technique using water as solvent [25].

Vásquez et al. [14] identified significant amounts of polyphenols in the bark of *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill., Myrtaceae and *Castanea sativa* Mill., Fagaceae. They performed extractions using different solvents in different amounts. Regarding the total polyphenol content, the best extraction yield was obtained by using the conventional methanol-water extraction method for both the bark of *E. globulus* (TPC = 20.1 g GAE/100 g extract) and the bark of *C. sativa* (TPC = 59.7 g GAE/100 g extract), the only difference being the solvent ratio. They also determined the antioxidant activity (AOA) of the extracts obtained with different solvents and noticed that in the case of the bark of *C. sativa*, the extract with the best AOA was extracted with a solution of 2.5% sodium bisulfite, and for the bark of *E. globulus*, the methanol: water extraction type (50:50, v/v) provided the best results.
Woody plant extracts like *Jatropha dioica* Sessé, *Fluorensia cernua* DC., *Turnera diffusa* Willd. ex Schult. and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* Dehn. studied by Paz et al. [36], showed the highest value of total polyphenol content at 40 min extraction time and 35% ethanol concentration.

In a study regarding the composition and extraction yield of phenolic compounds of the species *Acer saccharum* Marshall, Sapindaceae and *Betula alleghaniensis* Britt., Betulaceae, we could observe similarities in the case of both ultrasound and maceration extraction, with the total polyphenol content being similar in the two species [56]. The bark of *B. alleghaniensis* was also studied by Diouf et al. [93] when the same extraction methods were used to determine the TPC and to identify triterpenic compounds such as lupenone, lupeol, betulinic acid, betulone, betulin and acetyl methyl betulinate.

In 2015, Enkhtaivan et al. [61] performed a comprehensive study on the total content of polyphenols in the bark of the following species *Cayratia pedata* (Lam.) Gagnep, Vitaceae, *Chloroxylon swietenia* DC., *Diotacanthus albiflorus* Benth., Acanthaceae, *Strychnos minor* Dennst., Loganiaceae and *Strychnos nux-vomica* Dop., Loganiaceae. The results showed that the bark of *D. albiflorus* had the highest content of polyphenols (29.73 mg GAE/g dry plant material). The polyphenol content of *D. albiflorus* and *Strychnos nux-vomica* was higher in their bark than in their leaves.

The phytochemical analysis of the bark of *Acacia ferruginea* DC., Myrtaceae, extract showed the presence of alkaloids, flavonoids, triterpene, tannins and the total polyphenolic content was about 438 mg GAE/g dry plant material [55].

### 4. Biological Effects of Extracts Obtained from the Bark of Woody Vascular Plants

Phenolic compounds are known for their role in regulating the immune system, their anti-inflammatory effect, chemoprevention, cardioprotection and in the treatment of diseases such as diabetes, Parkinson’s disease and cancer; in addition to this, they also have antibacterial [58,94] and antivirals effects [61]. Furthermore, the potential biological effects of some polyphenolic extracts obtained from the bark of woody vascular plants are presented (Table 2).

#### 4.1. Antioxidant Effect

Polyphenols are compounds with one or more hydroxyl groups attached to the benzene ring. This structural feature provides a stronger acidic character to phenol than does to other alcohol groups. This chemical reactivity is responsible for the antioxidant character of polyphenols. The ability of polyphenols to capture free radicals is largely dependent on the number of hydroxyl groups [14,84,87,95]. There is a strong correlation between total polyphenol contents and antioxidant activity [90]. The main components possibly responsible for the antioxidant character of the *T. arjuna* extract were identified to be gallic acid, apigenin, luteolin, quercetin, epicatechin, ellagic acid [32]. In 2012, Santos et al. [47] studied three species of *Eucalyptus*, Myrtaceae, namely *E. grandis* W.Hill ex. Maiden, *E. maidenii* F. Muell and *E. x urograndis*. These species proved to have higher antioxidant potential than *E. globulus* [32]. The bark of *E. x urograndis* was found to have the highest antioxidant activity (IC50 = 8.24 µg mL⁻¹) and the best extraction yield (15.18%) compared to the other species included in the study (10.54% for *E. grandis* and 13.23% for *E. maidenii*). Thus, the potential antioxidant effect of some polyphenolic global extracts obtained from the bark of woody vascular plants are presented in Table 2.

#### 4.2. Anti-Inflammatory Effect

It has been demonstrated that besides their antioxidant effect, polyphenols reduce lipid peroxidation and DNA damage [96–98]. They also trigger a mechanism that blocks the overproduction of the tumour necrosis factor (TNF-α), thus exerting an anti-inflammatory effect [27].

An increase in nitric oxide (NO) synthesis was observed in the inflamed tissues, and quercetin appeared to reduce the synthesis of nitrogen monoxide by inhibition of NO synthase [99]. For example, the *Allophylus africanus* P. Beauv., Sapindaceae, extract presented anti-inflammatory effects, successfully inhibiting the enzyme involved in the mechanism of inflammation, namely 5-lipoxygenase, which would
be explained by the high quantity of flavons in the extract [45]. Other polyphenolic extracts obtained from the bark of woody vascular plants, with potential anti-inflammatory effect are presented in Table 2.

4.3. Antibacterial Effect

Besides the antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activity of certain phenolic extracts, antimicrobial effects have also been observed. Several studies have been conducted on antibacterial activity. It was established that the ethanolic extract obtained from Picea abies L., Pinaceae, has antibacterial activity against Gram-positive (methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus) and Gram-negative bacteria (Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Klebsiella pneumoniae) [100]. The study of the bark extract of Fagus sylvatica L., Fagaceae, underlined the antimicrobial activity against methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus [101].

The methanolic extracts of some African herbs Terminalia arjuna Wight & Arn, Combretaceae, T. brownie Fresen., Combretaceae and Anogeissus leiocarpus DC., Combretaceae, have revealed antimicrobial effects. In these extracts the combinations of different phenolic compounds such as ellagic acid, gallic acid, and ellagitannins were identified, but when they were separated and tested the antimicrobial effect decreased in comparison with the raw extract [58]. Another study on the antibacterial activity of T. arjuna revealed that the extracts of the bark presented the highest antibacterial activity. This effect has been tested against bacteria such as Bacillus subtilis, Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Salmonella typhi [90]. It was observed that the butanolic extract of bark was more effective in bacterial inhibition than extracts using water, chloroform or ethyl acetate as solvent, this being also correlated with higher values of TPC in butanolic extract, with 294 mg/g GAE versus 270 mg/g GAE in chloroform extract, and 189.9 mg/g GAE in aqueous extract.

Other polyphenolic extracts obtained from the bark of woody vascular plants, with potential antibacterial effect are presented in Table 2. The results of these studies open new research directions aimed at reducing pharmacological resistance of microorganisms to antibiotics by using plant phenolics.

4.4. Other Effects

Arunachalam and Parimelazhagan [68] researched the effects of the bark of Ficus talboti King, Moraceae, extract in diabetic rats with induced pathology. Their results were promising because they noticed that blood levels of triglycerides and cholesterol were reduced, body weight decreased, and the antidiabetic action was comparable to glibenclamide. They also observed that the activity of endogenous enzymes with antioxidant effect and insulin sensitivity of β-pancreatic cells increased. The authors suggested that the antidiabetic effect is due to the presence of routine, quercetin and kaempferol. The alcoholic extract of F. racemosa L. was proven to have a higher antioxidant effect than the aqueous extract [68].

The bark of Picea mariana (Mill.) Britton, Pinaceae, was studied and authors identified numerous phenolic components with important therapeutic action [11]. Thus, the phenolic compounds of lignan, neolignan, phenolic acids, and flavonoid classes were identified with important anti-inflammatory and antiproliferative activity with high potential of capitalisation in the pharmaceutical industry.

Erythrina suberosa Roxb., Fabaceae, an ornamental plant in India, has been studied concerning the cytotoxicity in leukaemia cell lines. Thus, it was concluded that 4′-Methoxy licoflavanone (MLF) and Alpinumisoflavone (AIF) inhibit the proliferation of HL-60 cells and induce their apoptosis [64].

Enkhtaivan et al. [61] investigated the bark of certain medicinal plant species like Cayratia pedata Lam., C. swietenia, D. albiflorus, S. minor, and S. nux-vomica L. and found a high antioxidant potential correlated with antiviral activity against H1N1 virus and cytotoxicity in Madin-Darby Canine Kidney (MDCK) cell lines.

Rhus verniciflua (Stokes) F. Barkley, Anacardiaceae, is a plant that has neuroprotective and anti-neuroinflammatory potential, and at the same time it enhances cognitive functions by protecting neurons against oxidative stress [102]. The neuroprotective and anti-inflammatory effect was
tested in vitro, and the improvement of cognitive functions was highlighted by in vivo studies. The compounds responsible for the above mentioned effects appear to be the flavonoids named fisetin and butein, since fisetin increases the intracellular levels of glutathione and inhibits the activity of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) and type II nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), which makes it an excellent therapeutic candidate for diminishing the progression of Alzheimer’s disease and other neurodegenerative diseases. Another recently published study has highlighted the neuroprotective, antidepressant, anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effect of the aqueous phenolic extract from the bark of Trichilia catigua A. Juss., Meliaceae. The extract contained predominantly quinic acid derivatives, flavan-3-ols and phenylpropanoid substituted flavan-3-ols, largely responsible for the neuroprotective effect of the plant [91].

The hydroalcoholic extract of the bark of Acacia ferruginea DC., Myrtaceae, presents important therapeutic potential, considering that it is rich in flavonoids, triterpenoids, saponins, tannins and alkaloids. Faujdar et al. [55] studied this hydroalcoholic extract and confirmed its anti-inflammatory and anti-hemorrhoidal activity, but it has not been determined exactly which components of the extract have these specific effects.

The bark extract of T. catigua has been used empirically in the Brazilian traditional medicine for its neurostimulation and antidepressant effects. Recent studies have validated the traditional use and have demonstrated that the aqueous extract has been considered to have anti-inflammatory, antidepressant and neuroprotective effects due to the flavan-3-ol content and its phenylpropanoid derivatives [91].

Recent studies on raw extracts of the bark of S. brasilienisis have revealed its anti-inflammatory and antialgic effects. These effects appear to be due to the inhibition of central and peripheral pain transmission. Due to their mechanism of action, they inhibit the TNF-α proinflammatory factor by reducing the spread of inflammatory processes so that they neutralise reactive oxygen species, which also interfere with the mechanism of pain transmission. These effects of the extract are mainly attributed to gallic acid which is also a chemical marker of the species [16].

### Table 2. The biological action of the extracts obtained from the bark of woody vascular plants.

| Source of Bark: Scientific Name (Family)—Common Name | Composition of Extract | Action/Application | Reference |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Acacia cornigera (L.) Wild. (Fabaceae), bullhorn acacia | - | anti-inflammatory topical | [12] |
| Allophylus africanus Beauv. (Sapindaceae) | Apigenin, Luteolin, Vitenx, Apigetrin, Cymaroside | anti-inflammatory | [45] |
| Anogeissus leiocarpa DC. (Combretaceae)—African birch | Gallic acid, ellagitanin, Ampelopsin, Gallotannin, Epigallocatechin gallate, Ellagic acid derivative | antibacterial | [58] |
| Byerosima crassifolia (L.) Kurth (Malpighiaceae)—golden spoon | - | anti-inflammatory topical | [12] |
| Canapa densifolia Mart. (Calophyllaceae) | procyanidin dimer B2, procyanidin trimer C1, epicatechin, lupeol, betulonic acid | cancer prevention, chemoprevention | [59] |
| Caryota pedata Lam. (Vitaceae) | quercetin, o-coumaric acid, gallic acid | Antioxidant, antiviral, cytotoxic | | [61] |
| Chloroxylon suavetinum DC. (Rutaceae)—East Indian satinvwood or buruta | quercetin, ferulic acid, gallic acid | Antioxidant, antiviral, cytotoxic | |
| Dietacanthus albilirus Berth. (Acanthaceae) | quercetin, o-coumaric acid, ferulic acid, gallic acid | Antioxidant, antiviral, cytotoxic | |
| Erythrina subhirsuta Roxb. (Fabaceae)—Corky coral tree | α-Hydroxyeorsytromine, 4'-Methoxy linciflavone (MLF), Alpinumisofoflavone, (AIF), Wighteone | antitumoral, cytotoxic effect on HL-60 cells | [64] |
| Eucalyptus grandis W.Hill ex. Maiden (Myrtaceae)—rose gum | quinic acid, gallic acid, protoatechuic acid, catechin, ellagic acid, ellagic acid-rhamnoside | antioxidant | |
| Eucalyptus maidenii F. Muell (Myrtaceae)—Maiden's Gum | quinic acid, gallic acid, protoatechuic acid, catechin, chlorogenic acid, ellagic acid, taxifolin, quercetin, morsetin, narergin, ellagic acid-rhamnoside | antioxidant | |
| Eucalyptus sideroxyylon A.Cunn. (Myrtaceae)—mugga, red ironbark | Monosaccharides, glucose, xylose, galactose, arabinose, mannose, rhamnose | antioxidant | |
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|---------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Eucalyptus urograndis (Myrtaceae)-Hybrid E.grandis x E. arboiflora | quinic acid, gallic acid, protocatechuic acid, catechin, ellagic acid, ellagic acid-thiamnoside | antioxidant | [47] |
| Fagus sylyra L. (Fagaceae)-common beech | Procyanadin, Epicatechin, Coumaric acid, Coniferin, Quercetin, Taxifolin-O-hexoside, Coumaric, acid-di-O-hexoside, Syringic acid-di-O-hexoside, Coniferyl alcohol-O-hexoside-O-pentoside | antioxidant | [26] |
| Ficus racemosa L. (Moraceae)-cluster fig tree, Indian fig tree or goolar (gular) | Kaempferol, Quercetin, Naringenin, Baicalein | normalizes glycochenol levels and hepatic glycochenol, normalizes blood glucose levels | [17] |
| Ficus talboti King. (Moraceae)-talbot fig | Gallic acid, Caffeic acid, Rutin, Ellagic acid, Quercetin, Kaempferol | hypocholesterolamiant, anti-diabetic—increases the insulin sensitivity of pancreatic β cells, normalizes blood glucose level, antioxidant | [68] |
| Guazuma ulmifolia Lam. (Malvaceae)-West Indian elm or bay cedar | Flavanocoumarin epiphyllocoumarin, Epiphyllocoumarin-[4β→8](-) epicatechin | anti-inflammatory, antioxidant | [69] |
| Hugonia mystax Cav. (Linaceae) | Gallic acid, catechol, caffeic acid, vanillin, p-coumaric acid, ferulic acid | anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, anti-rheumatic | [13] |
| Lactis lacteae K. Koch (Pinaeae)-tamarack or American larch | Rhaponticin, Rhapontigenin, Plexatannol, Taxifolin | antioxidant | [71] |
| Lactis pacari A. St-Hil (Lythraceae) | Ellagic acid | anti-ulcerative-gastric hypopoteic, gastroprotecter effect | [20] |
| Liriodendron tulipifera L. (Magnoliaceae)-tulip tree, American tulip tree, tulipwood | Furan-2-carboxylic acid, Mannose, β-D-glucopyranose, 3,5-dimethoxyphenol, 3,4-dimethoxy-mandelic acid, 2-Amines-3-hydroxybenzoic acid | antioxidant | [72] |
| Malus domestica Miller (Rosaceae)-apple tree | Gallic acid, Chlorogenic acid, Vanillie acid, Caffeic acid, Syringic acid, Ferulic acid, Sinapic acid, Resveratrol, Myricetin, Quercetin, Cinnamic Acid | antioxidant in food, cosmetics and pharmaceutical industry | [12] |
| Picea mariana (Mill.) Britton (Pinaceae)-the black spruce | Neolignans, Lignans: pinosinresol, Secoisolaricresinol, isolaricresinol, Eps-piniriosinol. Phenolic acids: trans-p-coumaric acid, vanillie acid, protocatechuic acid. Stilbenes: transresveratrol. Flavonoids: Kaempferol, quercetin, taxifolin, epitetoxolin, paxillin, mearnetin. Other phenolic compounds: p-vanillin, dihydroconiferyl alcohol | antiproliferative, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory | [11] |
| Pinus radiata D.Don (Pinaceae)-Monterey pine, insignis pine or radiata pine | Dihydroxybenzoic acid, 3,4-Dihydroxyphenylactic acid, p-Hydroxybenzoic acid, Procynhidacin B2, Catechin, Epicatechin, Syringic acid, Taxifolin, Quercetin, Homovanillic acid, Epigallocatechin | antioxidant | [10] |
| Rhamnus alaternus L. (Rhamnaceae)-Italian buckthorn | Emodin, Chrysophanol, Physcion | Antioxidant antimicrobial | [104] |
| Schinus brasiliensis Engl. (Anacardiaceae)-barazina | Gallic acid | Analgesic anti-inflammatory topical | [28] |
| Seldago canadensis L. (Asteraceae)-Canada goldenrod | - | Antioxidant antimicrobial | [94] |
| Strachus minor Dunnst. (Loganiaceae) | quercetin, coumaric acid, ferulic acid, gallic acid | antioxidant, anti-viral, cytotoxic | [61] |
| Strachus insomia Dunnst. (Loganiaceae)-the strachynine tree, nux vomica, poison nut | quercetin, ferulic acid, gallic acid | antioxidant, anti-viral, cytotoxic | [61] |
| Suetra panamensis Yakovlev (Fabaceae) | - | anti-inflammatory topical | [12] |
| Terminalia arjuna Wight & Arn (Combretaceae)-arjun tree | Gallic acid, Ellagittannin, Punicalagin, Gallotannin, Corilagin | Antioxidant antimutagenic | [88] |
| Terminalia buchananii Fresen (Combretaceae) | Gallic acid, Ellagittannin/Ellagic acid glucuronic, Gallotannin/MethylEllagic acid glucuronic, Methyl-(S)-flavogallate and its isomer | antibacterial | [58] |
| Terminalia laxiflora Engl. & Diels (Combretaceae) | Gallic acid, Ellagittannin/Ellagic acid glucuronic, Gallotannin/MethylEllagic acid glucuronic, Methyl-(S)-flavogallate and its isomer | antibacterial | [58] |
| Terminalia tomentosa Wight & Arn (Combretaceae)-Asan, Indian Laurel, Silver grey wood | - | anti-inflammatory | [105] |
| Trichilia catigua A.Juss. (Meliaceae) | Catechin, Procyanadin, Epicatechin, Apocynin E, Cinchonain I, 3-Methoxybenzoylquinic acid | antioxidant, anti-inflamatory, antidepressant, neuroprotective | [91] |
5. Conclusions

The bark of woody vascular plants is often considered a forest waste, but it can be an important source of bioactive compounds with a high potential for capitalisation. The large number of publications regarding the analysis of phenolic compounds extracted from the bark of woody vascular plants is testament to their importance and their value. Thus, many studies have focussed on optimising extraction methods and the identification of bioactive compounds. Numerous global extracts obtained from the bark of plants can have important biological effects such as antioxidant, antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, antitumoral, etc. Future research directions should be directed towards identification and isolation of bioactive compounds and the description of the mechanism of action of these compounds in living systems. Consequently, biologically active compounds obtained from the bark of woody plants could be exploited on an industrial scale.
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