Promoted Growth of Brain Tumor by the Transplantation of Neural Stem/Progenitor Cells Facilitated by CXCL12
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Abstract

The targeted migration of neural stem/progenitor cells (NSPCs) is a prerequisite for the use of stem cell therapy in the treatment of pathologies. This migration is regulated mainly by C-X-C motif chemokine 12 (CXCL12). Therefore, promotion of the migratory responses of grafted cells by upregulating CXCL12 signaling has been proposed as a strategy for improving the efficacy of such cell therapies. However, the effects of this strategy on brain tumors have not yet been examined in vivo. The aim of the present study was thus to elucidate the effects of grafted rat green fluorescent protein (GFP)–labeled NSPCs (GFP-NSPCs) with CXCL12 enhancement on a model of spontaneous rat brain tumor induced by N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea. T2-weighted magnetic resonance imaging was applied to determine the changes in tumor volume and morphology over time. Postmortem histology was performed to confirm the tumor pathology, expression levels of CXCL12 and C-X-C chemokine receptor type 4, and the fate of GFP-NSPCs. The results showed that the tumor volume and hypointense areas of T2-weighted images were both significantly increased in animals treated with combined NSPC transplantation and CXCL12 induction, but not in control animals or in those with tumors that received only one of the treatments. GFP-NSPCs appear to migrate toward tumors with CXCL12 enhancement and differentiate uniquely into a neuronal lineage. These findings suggest that CXCL12 is an effective chemoattractant that facilitates exogenous NSPC migration toward brain tumors and that CXCL12 and NSPC can act synergistically to promote tumor progression with severe hemorrhage.
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repair in stroke [15] and ischemic lesions [20], functional improvement of Alzheimer disease [19], skeletal regeneration [16], and wound healing [17].

The first clear demonstration that NSPCs could exhibit migratory activity toward the site of a brain tumor was provided by Aboody and colleagues [9]. NSPCs have the potential to specifically target the sites of brain tumors [9] and could thus be used as therapeutic vehicles [21]. If the targeted migration of NSPCs could be accelerated by promoting CXCL12 signaling, this would make NSPCs particularly useful in cell-based brain tumor therapy. However, the strategy of promoting migratory behavior in brain tumors by the manipulation of CXCL12 signaling has not been examined previously. To assess the effects of this strategy on brain tumors, this study used magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to monitor the pathologic changes of brain tumors in vivo following combined treatment with NSPC implantation and CXCL12 facilitation.

The effects of treatments on the natural development of glioma were investigated using a model of spontaneous brain tumor in which rats develop various gliomas several months after transcortical administration of N-ethyl-N-nitosourea (ENU) as described previously [22–24]. Furthermore, the immune rejection responses of the xenografts [25] were minimized by using the same species of NSPCs as that used in the ENU-induced rat brain tumor model. The tumorigenic potential of immortalized cells [26–28] was avoided by applying NSPCs from primary cultures. The locations of cells were determined by injecting green fluorescent protein (GFP)–expressing NSPCs (GFP-NSPCs) from GFP-expressing transgenic rats intraventricularly into the brain of tumor-bearing rats. Simultaneously, these rats received an intracerebral injection of CXCL12 near to the tumor sites to promote NSPC migration.

MRI was applied because it allows repeated imaging with a high spatial resolution; MRI can provide accurate tumor volume measurements and morphologic information over longitudinal time points and can thus be used to evaluate the effects of cell therapies [29]. T2-weighted MRI images (T2WIs) were acquired to measure tumor volumes and monitor the tumor morphology [30] for 42 days after surgery. T2WIs further confirmed the histologic features of the gliomas following the treatments. The findings of this study suggest that CXCL12 is an effective chemoattractant that facilitates the tumor-targeted migration of exogenous NSPCs and that CXCL12 and NSPC can act synergistically to promote tumor progression with severe hemorrhage.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals**

Eleven pregnant Sprague-Dawley rats (the National Laboratory Animal Center of Taiwan, Taipei, Taiwan) and 27 of their 118 offspring were used for the tumor model in this study. In total, 70 neonatal GFP-expressing transgenic rats ("green rat" CZ-004, SD-Tg(Act-EGFP) CZ-004Os; Japan SLC, Shizuoka, Japan) were used for harvesting the primary NSPCs. The animals were housed in a well-controlled environment with a 12-hour/12-hour light/dark cycle and controlled humidity and temperature. Rats were triple housed in plastic cages with *ad libitum* access to food and water. All experimental procedures were approved by the Institute of Animal Care and Utilization Committee at Academia Sinica (Taipei, Taiwan).

**The ENU-Induced Brain Tumor Model**

The pregnant Sprague-Dawley rats were placed into a restrainer and injected intraperitoneally with 50 mg/kg ENU (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) at 18 days of gestation using a 26-gauge needle for several minutes. MRI was applied to 120-day-old offspring to confirm the location and size of the tumors. Rats with similar-sized tumors (~1 mm3) near the corpus callosum were selected for experiments. Rats with trigeminal neurinoma and pituitary tumors or with obvious physiological defects were excluded from this study.

**Harvesting and Culturing of Primary GFP-NSPCs**

GFP-NSPCs were harvested from both lateral walls of the ventricle in neonatal GFP-expressing transgenic rats and cultured as described elsewhere [31,32]. In brief, pooled tissues isolated from the lateral walls were dissociated by mechanical trituration in NSPC medium, which consists of Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium/F12 (Invitrogen/Gibco BRL, Grand Island, NY) with 0.3% glucose, 23 μg/ml insulin, 92 μg/ml apotransferrin, 55 μM putrescine, 25 nM sodium selenite, 6.28 ng/ml progesterone, 20 ng/ml epidermal growth factor, and 20 ng/ml fibroblast growth factor. The cells were then counted and plated at a density of 1.5 × 106 cells in T75 flasks (Orange Scientific, Brussels, Belgium) with 20 ml of medium. The cultures were replenished with 20 ml of NSPC medium every 2 days. The cultures were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2/95% air. At 5 to 7 days after isolation, the cells grew as free-floating neurospheres, which were dissociated into single cells for transplantation when they reached diameters of 140 to 160 μm.

**GFP-NSPC Implantation and Local CXCL12 Administration**

The rats were randomly assigned to the following treatment groups: 1) NSPC only (*n* = 6), 2) CXCL12 only (*n* = 6), 3) CXCL12-NSPC (*n* = 6), and 4) sham (*n* = 6). The animals were anesthetized with chloral hydrate (450 mg/kg; Sigma-Aldrich) and positioned in a stereotaxic apparatus. In the case of GFP-NSPC transplantation (i.e., NSPC and CXCL12-NSPC groups), the cells were freshly prepared [1 × 106 in 5 μl of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.4] and implanted into the lateral ventricle ipsilateral to the site of tumors (bregma = –0.5 mm; lateral = –1.5 or 1.5 mm; and depth = 3.5 mm) using a 10-μl Hamilton syringe with a 30S-gauge needle at a rate of 0.5 μl/min. The following doses of CXCL12 (Sigma-Aldrich) had been tested in a pilot study: 0.2, 1, and 2 μg/μl. The tumor promotion effect was greater for tumors treated with 1 μg/μl CXCL12 and NSPCs, and hence, 1 μg/μl CXCL12 in 5 μl of PBS (pH 7.4) was selected for use in this study. In the CXCL12-NSPC and CXCL12-only groups, a solution of CXCL12 was injected stereotaxically near the tumor sites using the same surgical procedure as described above.

**Magnetic Resonance Imaging**

The animals underwent five MRI examinations, with the same imaging procedure being followed for every time point. Images were acquired at 0, 1, 14, 28, and 42 days after injections (no data are shown herein for the 1-day time point). All MRI examinations were performed using a horizontal 7.0-T spectrometer (PharmaScan 70/16; Bruker, Ettlingen, Germany) with an active shielding gradient of 300 mT/m in 80 microseconds. The animals were anesthetized with 2% isoflurane in O2 at a flow rate of 1 l/min. The breathing rate was maintained at between 60 and 70 breaths per minute. The
anesthetized rats were fitted into a custom-designed head holder and immobilized with ear bars to minimize movement artifacts. \(T_2\)WIs were acquired with the following parameters: field of view = 3 cm; slice thickness = 1 mm; 28 slices; repetition time = 5100 milliseconds; echo time = 70 milliseconds; echo train length = 8; number of excitations = 6; and matrix size = 256 × 256. These images were used to measure the tumor volume and to monitor the tumor morphology.

**Data Analysis**

The outlines of the tumors were delineated on the basis of the contrast provided by the \(T_2\)WIs between the tumor and the brain tissues. The total tumor volume was calculated by summing the tumor area in three dimensions using Avizo software (version 6.0; Visualization Sciences Group, Burlington, MA). Growth curves were plotted as the change in tumor volume at each time point relative to the baseline volume. The hypointense area was selected manually on the \(T_2\)WIs. The total hypointense volume was calculated by summing the hypointense areas in three dimensions using Avizo software. The ratio of the intratumoral hypointense area was then calculated by dividing the intratumoral hypointense volume by that of the entire tumor region.

**Histologic Examination**

To correlate MRI signal changes with histologic data, animals were perfused transcardially with 4% paraformaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS (pH 7.4) immediately after the scanning performed at the last time point. The brains were removed from the cranium, kept in the same fixative overnight at 4°C, and then sectioned at a thickness of 50 \(\mu\)m using a cryostat (CM 3050S; Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). The brain sections were stained using hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) to confirm whether the signal changes detected on the \(T_2\)WIs were indeed induced by the pathologic conditions, such as necrosis and hemorrhage within the tumor. Primary antibodies raised against CXCL12 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), CXCR4 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology),

**Figure 1.** The combination of CXCL12 elevation and GFP-NSPC transplantation promoted ENU-induced brain tumor growth. (A) A series of representative axial \(T_2\)WIs from the CXCL12-NSPC (\(n = 6\)), CXCL12-only (\(n = 6\)), NSPC-only (\(n = 6\)), and sham (\(n = 6\)) groups acquired on days 0, 14, 28, and 42 after tumor detection is shown. Red arrows indicate the tumor location. (B) Tumor growth curve. On days 28 and 42, the tumor volume was significantly greater in the CXCL12-NSPC group than those in each of the other groups (\(**P < .01\) and \(***P < .001\)).
GFP (Chemicon International, Temecula, CA), and NeuN (Chemicon International) were used to examine the expression patterns of the chemokine and its receptor and the location of GFP-NSPCs and to confirm the neuronal lineage of the GFP-NSPCs.

Due to high tissue autofluorescence, two chromogens—nickel-intensified DAB and DAB—were used for immunohistochemistry in this study. Free-floating sections were treated with 0.2% H$_2$O$_2$ in PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 to inhibit endogenous peroxidase staining. Nonspecific binding was blocked by incubating sections in blocking solutions for 1 hour. BSA was used at specific concentrations in PBS with 0.3% Triton X-100 as the blocking solution for the various primary antibodies: 1% BSA for CXCL12, 3% BSA for CXCR4 and GFP, and 1.2% BSA for NeuN. The sections were subsequently incubated with diluted primary antibodies (1:200 for CXCL12, 1:200 for CXCR4, 1:1000 for GFP, and 1:400 for NeuN) overnight at room temperature, washed in PBS with 0.3% Triton X-100, and then placed into solutions of the corresponding biotinylated secondary antibodies (1:500, goat anti-rabbit antibody or donkey anti-goat antibody; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA) for 1 hour. After washing, the sections were exposed to avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (1:500; ABC Elite kit; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) for 1 hour at room temperature and then stained with 0.025% DAB, 1.5% nickel ammonium sulfate, and 0.024% H$_2$O$_2$ in PBS for 5 to 10 minutes until the desired dark-purple coloration had developed. To double-stain with GFP, the same procedures were employed for sections with NeuN staining as described above but without the 1.5% nickel ammonium sulfate in the development step, resulting in the development of a brown coloration. The sections were then washed, mounted on coated slides, dehydrated, and coverslipped with dibutyl phathalate xylene (DPX) mounting solution (Sigma-Aldrich).

**Statistical Analysis**

All data are presented as mean ± SEM values. Between-group differences in tumor volume, the ratio of hypointense areas, and numbers of GFP-positive (GFP+) cells and GFP+/NeuN-positive (NeuN+) cells were tested with analysis of variance, followed by Fisher post hoc tests. All statistical analyses were performed using

---

**Figure 2.** A significant increase in the degree of intratumoral hemorrhage was found in tumors from brains treated with the combination of CXCL12 and GFP-NSPCs. (A) In $T_2$WIs, severe signal loss (red arrow) was found in tumors from the CXCL12-NSPC group on day 42. (B) H&E staining was used to confirm the source of the changes in MRI signal in the tumor tissue. (C) Enlarged views of H&E-stained sections are shown. (D) The ratio of intratumoral hemorrhagic area was significantly higher in the CXCL12-NSPC group than in all other groups (**P < .001**).
StatView software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). The level of statistical significance was set at \( P < .05 \).

**Results**

**The Combination of CXCL12 and GFP-NSPCs Promotes ENU-Induced Brain Tumor Growth**

Tumor volumes were determined by analyzing \( T_2 \)WIs at 0, 14, 28, and 42 days after injections (Figure 1A). The curves of relative tumor growth show that the tumors in the CXCL12-NSPC group grew faster than those in all other groups (Figure 1B). At days 28 and 42, the relative tumor volume was significantly larger in the CXCL12-NSPC group than in the other groups (Figure 1B) and did not differ significantly among the CXCL12-only, NSPC-only, and sham groups at any of the time points [analysis of variance: \( F(6,40) = 14.5, P < .0001 \); Fisher post hoc tests: all \( P \) values \(< .01 \) for CXCL12-NSPC vs any of the other groups at day 28 and all \( P \) values \(< .001 \) for CXCL12-NSPC vs any of the other groups at day 42]. A limited effect was found when either CXCL12 or GFP-NSPCs were given alone, but a significant degree of tumor promotion in ENU-induced brain tumors was only found after administration of the combination of CXCL12 and GFP-NSPCs.

**The Combination of CXCL12 and GFP-NSPCs Increases the Severity of Intratumoral Hemorrhage**

Severe signal loss on \( T_2 \)WI was observed in tumors of the CXCL12-NSPC group on day 42 but not in the tumors of the other groups (Figure 2A). H&E staining indicated that this signal loss was attributable to intratumoral hemorrhage (Figure 2B). As shown in Figure 2C (magnified views of Figure 2B), an extensive area of hemorrhage (bright pink color on H&E staining) is clearly observed in the CXCL12-NSPC group. The hypointense areas were measured, and the ratios of the intratumoral hypointense areas were then calculated (Figure 2D). The ratio of the hypointense area to that of the entire tumor region was significantly higher in the CXCL12-NSPC group than in the other groups (\( P < .001 \)).

**Increased CXCL12 and CXCR4 Expression Following Combined GFP-NSPC and CXCL12 Treatments**

The expression levels of CXCL12 and CXCR4 in the tumors of the four treatment groups were examined by immunohistochemistry (Figure 3). Strong CXCL12 and CXCR4 expressions were detected in the CXCL12-NSPC group (Figure 3, CXCL12 and CXCR4). In addition, moderate CXCL12 and slight CXCR4 expressions were observed in the CXCL12-only group. The expression levels of CXCL12 and CXCR4 were either low or undetectable in the NSPC-only and sham groups.

**Histologic Evidence of GFP-NSPC–Targeted Migration into the Glioma Region**

The grafted GFP-NSPCs in the brains of animals in the CXCL12-NSPC and NSPC-only groups were identified by immunohistochemistry (Figure 4A, GFP). No GFP immunoreactivity was found in

---

**Figure 3.** Immunohistochemical staining for CXCL12 and CXCR4 in tumors from the four treatment groups. CXCL12 and CXCR4 immunoreactivities were both strong in tumors from the CXCL12-NSPC group, moderate in those from the CXCL12-only group, and undetectable in the NSPC-only and sham groups.
the CXCL12-only and sham groups, as expected, because GFP-NSPC transplantation was not employed in these groups. GFP+ cells were widespread in the tumors of the CXCL12-NSPC group, but only a few GFP+ cells were observed in the tumors of the NSPC-only group. A representative diagram of the distribution of GFP+ cells in the tumors of the CXCL12-NSPC group is shown in Figure 4B, in which each red dot represents two or three GFP+ cells. The number of GFP+ cells that had migrated toward tumor sites differed significantly between the CXCL12-NSPC (1159 ± 341 cells) and NSPC-only (45.7 ± 19.8 cells) groups (P < .01; Figure 4C).

**Phenotypic Characterization of GFP-NSPCs**

The grafted cells identified by GFP staining exhibited neuronal-like morphology with extended neurites (Figure 4A, magnified images from the CXCL12-NSPC and NSPC-only groups). Double labeling with NeuN (which is a neuronal marker) and GFP was employed to

**Figure 4.** Numerous GFP-NSPCs were found in the CXCL12-NSPC group. (A) GFP immunohistochemical staining was employed in tissue samples obtained from all treatment groups to identify the locations of the GFP-NSPCs. (B) Diagrammatic representation of the distribution of GFP+ cells in the CXCL12-NSPC group. The grafted cells were found on the tumor border and in the tumor mass. (C) The number of GFP+ cells was significantly greater in the CXCL12-NSPC group than in the NSPC-only group (**P < .01).
precursors can inhibit tumor outgrowth [6,33]. However, the unmodified and endogenous neural NSPCs have been shown to migrate to the site of the tumor[53,56]. Furthermore, NSPC-to-tumor tropism was increased through up-regulation of CXCR4 on glioma cells under a hypoxic condition[57]. Cells that had demonstrated tumor-tracking behavior showed significant staining for CXCR4. In the same study, both murine and human fetal NSPC migration toward tumor-conditioned medium could be impaired by using anti-CXCL12 and anti-CXCR4 neutralizing antibodies. Intravenously injected murine NSPCs have been shown to migrate to and infiltrate subcutaneous and intracranial glioma tumors in nude mice [55]. CXCL12 expressed by a tumor-derived endothelium may attract NSPCs to the site of the tumor [53,56]. Furthermore, NSPC-to-glioma tropism was increased through up-regulation of CXCR4 on NSPCs and CXCL12 on glioma cells under a hypoxic condition [57]. All of these findings indicate the importance of CXCL12 and CXCR4 in the tumor-specific migration of NSPCs.

The fate of grafted NSPCs in the brain tumors is for them to remain in a quiescent and undifferentiated state [9,58,59]. However, contrary to these previous reports, most of the grafted cells migrating to tumors with CXCL12 facilitation in the present study were found to exhibit significant tumor-specific migration away from the site of transplantation alone on brain tumors was observed in the present study. This may be due to only a few NSPCs migrating toward sites of ENU-induced brain tumors with low or undetectable CXCL12 levels to exert tumor-inhibitory functions (Figure 3). Stronger CXCL12 and CXCR4 expressions were detected in the CXCL12-NSPC group than in the CXCL12-only group (Figure 3, CXCL12 and CXCR4), which may have resulted from the interaction between NSPCs and CXCL12. When the level of CXCL12 is high, it has been shown to act synergistically with NSPCs [46,47] to upregulate CXCL12/ CXCR4 signaling of astrocytes [48], endothelial cells [49,50], and tumor cells [51]. The scarce CXCR4 expression in the CXCL12-only group is probably attributable to CXCL12 alone at the given dose not forming a gradient that was sufficiently strong to attract CXCR4-expressing cells toward tumor sites. In contrast, the combination of CXCL12 and NSPC exerted significant effects in recruiting CXCR4-expressing cells into the tumor, thereby elevating CXCR4 levels at the tumor site. Furthermore, CXCL12 not only elicits migratory responses but also increases the proliferation [10] and CXCR4 expression [46] of grafted NSPCs. The grafted NSPCs would be activated by CXCL12, and the NSPCs may tend to be closely associated with endothelial cells and astrocytes (which express CXCR4), which would support their survival and growth [10,52,53]. This is another possible source of the CXCR4 expression seen in the CXCL12-NSPC group.

**Table 1.** Numbers of GFP+/NeuN-Negative (NeuN–), GFP+/NeuN+, and GFP-Negative (GFP–)/NeuN+ Cells in the CXCL12-NSPC and NSPC-Only Groups.

| No. of Cells | CXCL12-NSPC | NSPC-Only |
|--------------|-------------|-----------|
| GFP          | 2098 ± 107.8| 287 ± 16.2|
| GFP+/NeuN+   | 948 ± 257.7 | 17.0 ± 14.6|
| GFP−/NeuN+   | 244 ± 81.9 | 13.7 ± 12.3|

*Few GFP+/NeuN− cells were found in the CXCL12-only and sham groups (data not shown).*

**Discussion**

The targeted migration of stem cells is essential for the direct repair of injured tissues. Several studies have found that a strategy involving promoting CXCL12, which is a major signaling factor in NSPC migration, enhanced the migration of transplanted cells toward lesion sites [15–20]. The effects of this strategy on brain tumors had not been examined previously; the present study has demonstrated that this strategy elicits a striking tumor-promoting effect. The local administration of CXCL12 boosts the CXCL12-directed migration of grafted NSPCs toward the sites of ENU-induced brain tumors. However, enhanced tumor outgrowth and increased intratumoral hemorrhage were found in tumors receiving the combined CXCL12-NSPC treatment (Figures 1 and 2). According to previous results, the combined treatment group [45]. No therapeutic effect of NSPC transplantation alone on brain tumors was observed in the present study. This may be due to only a few NSPCs migrating toward sites of ENU-induced brain tumors with low or undetectable CXCL12 levels to exert tumor-inhibitory functions (Figure 3). Stronger CXCL12 and CXCR4 expressions were detected in the CXCL12-NSPC group than in the CXCL12-only group (Figure 3, CXCL12 and CXCR4), which may have resulted from the interaction between NSPCs and CXCL12. When the level of CXCL12 is high, it has been shown to act synergistically with NSPCs [46,47] to upregulate CXCL12/ CXCR4 signaling of astrocytes [48], endothelial cells [49,50], and tumor cells [51]. The scarce CXCR4 expression in the CXCL12-only group is probably attributable to CXCL12 alone at the given dose not forming a gradient that was sufficiently strong to attract CXCR4-expressing cells toward tumor sites. In contrast, the combination of CXCL12 and NSPC exerted significant effects in recruiting CXCR4-expressing cells into the tumor, thereby elevating CXCR4 levels at the tumor site. Furthermore, CXCL12 not only elicits migratory responses but also increases the proliferation [10] and CXCR4 expression [46] of grafted NSPCs. The grafted NSPCs would be activated by CXCL12, and the NSPCs may tend to be closely associated with endothelial cells and astrocytes (which express CXCR4), which would support their survival and growth [10,52,53]. This is another possible source of the CXCR4 expression seen in the CXCL12-NSPC group.

The chemokine CXCL12 and its cell surface receptor CXCR4 are vital mediators of NSPC migration toward brain tumors. Murine NSPCs inoculated into established intracranial GL26 tumors have demonstrated significant tumor-specific migration away from the site of inoculation to the proximity of the disseminating tumor cells [54]. Cells that had demonstrated tumor-tracking behavior showed significant staining for CXCR4. In the same study, both murine and human fetal NSPC migration toward tumor-conditioned medium could be impaired by using anti-CXCL12 and anti-CXCR4 neutralizing antibodies. Intravenously injected murine NSPCs have been shown to migrate to and infiltrate subcutaneous and intracranial glioma tumors in nude mice [55]. CXCL12 expressed by a tumor-derived endothelium may attract NSPCs to the site of the tumor [53,56]. Furthermore, NSPC-to-glioma tropism was increased through up-regulation of CXCR4 on NSPCs and CXCL12 on glioma cells under a hypoxic condition [57]. All of these findings indicate the importance of CXCL12 and CXCR4 in the tumor-specific migration of NSPCs.

The fate of grafted NSPCs in the brain tumors is for them to remain in a quiescent and undifferentiated state [9,58,59]. However, contrary to these previous reports, most of the grafted cells migrating to tumors with CXCL12 facilitation in the present study were found...
to differentiate into neurons (Figure 5 and Table 1). Two possible reasons for the contradictory findings are the species from which the NSPCs originated (rat in this study and human or mouse in the aforementioned studies) and the high levels of CXCL12 used in the present study. Unlike mouse and human NSPCs, which can be maintained for a long period of time in vitro without genetic modifications, rat NSPCs derived from the subventricular zone or hippocampal subgranular zone typically sustain proliferation for only a relatively short time and have a tendency to differentiate [60,61]. In addition, local administration of CXCL12 may create a distinct local environment that stimulates NSPCs to differentiate into neurons. CXCL12 was shown to promote neuronal survival and the differentiation of NSPCs to support neural tissues [15,62] and to stimulate neurite outgrowth and axonal branching of cultured neuronal cells [63,64], indicating its role in controlling neuronal differentiation. Together, these results indicate that rat NSPCs, which tend to differentiate, may respond to CXCL12 induction and, as a result, differentiate into neurons.

It has recently been reported that the expressions of neuronal markers in brain tumors may be associated with a poor outcome [65–67]. NeuN was noted to be present in various types of high-grade and recurrent gliomas [65,66]. Multiple neuronal immunomarker expressions in glioma were associated with a poor survival rate [67]. We have demonstrated herein that ~80% of grafted cells migrating toward tumors with the combined CXCL12-NSPC treatment differentiated into neurons (Figures 4 and 5). The present results show that the increased number of neurons in tumors was associated with increased tumor volume. However, the roles of such an increased number of tumor neurons remain unclear.

The strategy of using exogenous CXCL12 to promote NSPC migration in brain tumors was found in the present study to be associated with a higher rate of tumor growth and an increase in intratumoral hemorrhage. These grafted NSPCs that migrated toward the tumors tended to differentiate into neurons due to the known differentiation potential of rat NSPCs and induction by CXCL12. In conclusion, the results of the present study are especially important in that they illustrate possible effects of stem cell therapies on brain tumors. That is, the strategy of further promoting targeted NSPC migration by CXCL12 may lead to adverse effects.
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