Application of quantitative estimates of fecal hemoglobin concentration for risk prediction of colorectal neoplasia
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Abstract

AIM: To determine the role of the fecal immunochemical test (FIT), used to evaluate fecal hemoglobin concentration, in the prediction of histological grade and risk of colorectal tumors.

METHODS: We enrolled 17881 individuals who attended the two-step colorectal cancer screening program in a single hospital between January 2010 and October 2011. Colonoscopy was recommended to the participants with an FIT of ≥ 12 ngHb/mL buffer. We classified colorectal lesions as cancer (C), advanced adenoma (AA), adenoma (A), and others (O) by their colonoscopic and histological findings. Multiple linear regression analysis adjusted for age and gender was used to determine the association between the FIT results and colorectal tumor grade. The risk of adenomatous neoplasia was estimated by calculating the positive predictive values for different FIT concentrations.

RESULTS: The positive rate of the FIT was 10.9% (1948/17881). The attendance rate for colonoscopy was 63.1% (1229/1948). The number of false positive results was 23. Of these 1229 cases, the numbers of O, A, AA, and C were 759, 221, 201, and 48, respectively. Regression analysis revealed a positive association between histological grade and FIT concentration (β = 0.088, P < 0.01). A significant log-linear relationship was found between the concentration and positive predictive value of the FIT for predicting colorectal tumors (R² > 0.95, P < 0.001).

CONCLUSION: Higher FIT concentrations are associated with more advanced histological grades. Risk prediction for colorectal neoplasia based on individual FIT concentrations is significant and may help to improve the performance of screening programs.
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Core tip: The fecal immunochemical test (FIT) for hemoglobin is specific for detecting colorectal lesions. With adjustment for age and gender of 17881 attendees at a colorectal cancer screening program in a single hospital, we demonstrated that higher FIT concentrations were associated with more advanced histological grades (β = 0.088, P < 0.01). A significant log-linear relationship was found between the FIT concentration and positive predictive value of the FIT for predicting...
A two-step approach was applied: a biennial 1-d FIT was for average-risk individuals aged between 50 and 69 years. Although these screening programs enable clinicians to detect neoplasms at the precancerous or early stages through adequate screening of the average-risk population, little is known about the clinical significance and application of the quantitative value of the fecal hemoglobin concentration and can predict colorectal bleeding more specifically. Notably, the quantitative FIT value may be converted using a defined cut-off value into a “negative” or “positive” qualitative result, which facilitates subsequent management. Despite its widespread use, little is known about the clinical significance and application of the quantitative value of the FIT. Recently, Omata et al reported that the performance of the FIT for detecting CRC could be promising by choosing an optimal cut-off value of the quantitative FIT concentration. Chen et al investigated the association between baseline fecal hemoglobin concentration and the risk of incident colorectal neoplasia using a cut-off fecal hemoglobin concentration of 100 ng/mL to classify attendees as negative or positive. In subjects with a negative FIT, the adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) increased from 1.43 for a baseline fecal hemoglobin concentration of 20-39 ng/mL, to 3.41 for a baseline concentration of 80-99 ng/mL (t test P < 0.0001), relative to 1-19 ng/mL. They concluded that quantitative fecal hemoglobin concentration at baseline could predict subsequent risk of incident colorectal neoplasia. These important findings suggest that quantitative FIT could be applied more widely in clinical practice.

The Taiwan Bureau of National Health Insurance began population-based CRC detection programs in 2006 for average-risk individuals aged between 50 and 69 years. A two-step approach was applied: a biennial 1-d FIT was used as a screening tool; subjects with a “positive” FIT underwent follow-up endoscopy to detect asymptomatic CRC. The effectiveness of the program appeared encouraging as a significant number of CRCs were detected in the early stages. However, a substantial portion of the participants did not adhere to the screening protocol because they were asymptomatic and/or worried about the discomfort and risk associated with colonoscopy. Moreover, some physicians and health providers were less inclined to recommend feces-based CRC screening to patients. These factors may limit the outcomes of the project. To improve the performance of CRC screening, a more personalized approach on the basis of risk stratification may be helpful. Here, we evaluated the quality of colonoscopy in the screening program and determined the association between the FIT and colorectal neoplasia with the aim of determining whether the risk of CRC could be deduced from the quantitative value of the FIT.
copies. The cancer detection rate was calculated as: no. of cases with cancer/No. of colonoscopies. The incidence of complications was calculated as: No. of cases with major bleeding or perforation/No. of colonoscopies).

Cancer stage
The cancer stage was recorded according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer 7th edition. To determine whether cancer detected by screening (CS) was at an earlier stage compared with the hospital cancer registry (CR), the stage migration was calculated as the difference in the proportion of tumors at each stage between CS and CR.

Classifications used in the study
The colorectal findings were classified as cancer (C), advanced adenoma (AA), adenoma (A), and other (O) on the basis of their endoscopic and histological findings. Advanced adenoma was defined by the presence of any of the following characteristics: adenoma with a villous component, high-grade dysplasia, and polyp size ≥ 1.0 cm. If more than two lesions were found in one examination, we grouped the cases according to the most advanced findings.

The FIT data of all participants with colonoscopies were recorded. We transformed the continuous F value (ngHb/mL buffer) into ordinal scales: 12.1-25.0, 25.1-50.0, 50.1-100.0, 100.1-200.0, 200.1-400.0, 400.1-800.0, and > 800.0. To determine the associations between age, gender, FIT concentration and tumor grade, these non-normally distributed data were compared among the groups using the Kruskal-Wallis test. Subsequently, a multiple linear regression analysis adjusted for age and gender was used to determine whether the FIT concentration was independently associated with the histologic grade of colorectal tumors.

Statistical analysis
To determine the risk of colorectal neoplasia with regard to the FIT concentration, we evaluated the positive predictive value (PPV) of the FIT concentration (ngHb/mL buffer) at different cut-off concentrations (12, 25, 50, 100, 200, 400 and 1000) for detecting A + AA + C, and C. After log(2) transformation of the FIT results, a simple linear regression analysis was performed to determine the relationship. All statistical analyses were conducted using the software SPSS 19.0 to compare the differences among groups.

RESULTS
Baseline characteristics and performance of the CRC screening program
A flowchart of the study participants is shown in Figure 1. A total of 17881 participants underwent the FIT for colorectal cancer screening. The positive rate of the FIT was 10.9% (1948/17881). The number of false positive results was 23. The indicators for the quality of colonoscopy are listed in Table 1. The attendance rate for colorectal cancer screening. The positive rate of the FIT was 10.9% (1948/17881). The number of false positive results was 23. The indicators for the quality of colonoscopy are listed in Table 1. The attendance rate for colorectal cancer screening. The positive rate of the FIT was 10.9% (1948/17881). The number of false positive results was 23. The indicators for the quality of colonoscopy are listed in Table 1. The attendance rate for colorectal cancer screening. The positive rate of the FIT was 10.9% (1948/17881). The number of false positive results was 23. The indicators for the quality of colonoscopy are listed in Table 1. The attendance rate for colorectal cancer screening. The positive rate of the FIT was 10.9% (1948/17881). The number of false positive results was 23.
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**Figure 1.** Flowchart of study participants recruited from the two-step colorectal cancer screening program. O: Other; A: Adenoma; AA: Advanced adenoma; C: Cancer.

Cancer stage migration
The stage migration of colorectal cancer is shown in Figure 2. Of the 48 malignancies, 1 was a lymphoma and 47
were adenocarcinomas. Of the 47 cases, the proportions of stages 0, I, II, III, and IV were 25.5%, 31.9%, 6.4%, 25.5%, and 10.6%, respectively. In the hospital cancer registration database, the proportions of stages 0, I, II, III, and IV were 14.1%, 18.1%, 22.8%, 30.9%, and 14.1%, respectively. Therefore, the corresponding differences for stages 0, I, II, III, and IV were 11.4%, 13.9%, -16.4%, -5.4%, and -3.5%, respectively, indicating that cancers tended to be detected at an earlier stage by screening.

**Age, gender, and FIT in association with histologic grade of colorectal tumors**

The patient numbers in the 4 groups O, A, AA, and C were 759, 221, 201, and 48, respectively. Figure 3 shows the differences in age, gender, and FIT concentrations in these groups. The median age of the 1229 patients was 59 years. The median ages of patients with O, A, AA, and C were 58, 59, 60 and 61 years, respectively. Male patients accounted for 55.7% of the 1229 patients. The percentages of men with O, A, AA, and C were 47.3%, 66.1%, 69.7% and 83.3%, respectively. The median FIT concentration (ngHb/mL buffer) in the 1229 cases was 37.5. The median FIT values in patients with O, A, AA, and C were 34.0, 35.9, 51.0 and 298.9, respectively. Comparing the distributions of age, gender, and FIT concentration among the groups, the histological grade of the colorectal tumors increased with increased age, FIT level and male gender (Kruskal-Wallis test, all $P < 0.001$). Subsequent multiple linear regression analysis revealed a significant association between ordinal scales of the FIT and histological grade of colorectal tumors after adjusting for age and gender ($\beta = 0.088$, $P < 0.001$) (Table 2).

**Risk prediction for colorectal tumors based on the FIT**

The PPVs for detecting A + AA + C, AA + C, and C were elevated from 38.2%-58.7%, 20.3%-42.7% and 4.0%-21.3%, respectively, as the FIT concentration (ngHb/mL buffer) increased from 12 to 1000. After log(2) transformation of the FIT values, a linear relationship between quantitative level and PPV of the FIT for predicting colorectal adenomatous polyps and malignancies was observed (all $R^2 > 0.95$, $P < 0.001$). The linear relationship reached a plateau when the FIT concentration was $> 800$ (Figure 4).
The association between Female: 0, Male: 1 and P is < 0.001. This trend is independent after adjustment for age and advanced adenomas to cancer.

Taking these advantages together, colonoscopy should be recommended in asymptomatic populations have more advanced stages, they will not receive adequate care in risk stratification and management. As we increased the FIT cut-off values, the trend in predicting advanced colorectal neoplasia increased from 20.3% to 42.7% (Figure 4). These data allow both participants and physicians to be aware of the possible risk of occult bleeding and an increased FIT concentration. These interesting findings suggest that interpretation of the FIT should be extended beyond “negative” or “positive” and should be tailored according to concentration.

Colonoscopy compliance in participants who were positive for the FIT was 63.1% in this study. This inadequate performance has been frequently reported in other studies. The reasons for non-adherence to the protocol may be due to lack of awareness in the patient or inadequate recommendation by the physicians. The present study demonstrated a dose-dependent relationship between the FIT level and the risk of colorectal tumors. This relationship between the FIT level and colorectal neoplasia could be used to offer more personalized care in risk stratification and management. As we increased the FIT cut-off values, the trend in predicting advanced colorectal neoplasia increased from 20.3% to 42.7% (Figure 4). These data allow both participants and physicians to be aware of the possible risk of occult tumors at each FIT concentration. Accordingly, patients may be more willing to undergo colonoscopy if they are aware of the risk of advanced neoplasia according to the FIT concentration.

There are some limitations in this study. First, the study design was retrospective. Second, the lifestyles, BMI, and family history of colorectal cancer patients, which could be weighted risk factors for colorectal tumors, were incomplete and not included in the present study due to recall bias and missing records. Subsequent prospective studies will be needed to determine the validity of risk prediction for advanced colorectal neoplasia.

According to these results, the performance of the two-step CRC screening strategy in our study appeared satisfactory as most of the quality indicators were adequate, and a stage migration phenomenon of the detected cancer was observed in the screening population. However, the following issues remain to be clarified: (1) whether colonoscopy should be recommended in asymptomatic subjects only on the basis of a “positive” feces test; (2) whether subjects with “positive” feces tests, but different FIT concentrations have the same risk of significant tumors; and (3) how to improve the performance of the CRC screening program.

Compared with the hospital cancer registry, we observed a stage migration phenomenon in detected cancer in the screening program. The transition zone was between stages I and II reflecting early cancer detection (Figure 2). This result was similar to several cohort studies which showed that feces-based screening programs may offer better prognosis and a reduced CRC mortality rate due to early diagnosis. Whether early detection translates to improved overall survival may be disputed. CRC occurs predominantly in elderly patients, who may have comorbid conditions. CRC screening mainly identifies slower-growing lesions, and as most symptomatic populations have more advanced stages, they will not attend the screening program. Therefore, the lead-time bias and length bias cannot be neglected in the migration phenomenon. Even so, the CRC screening program was still effective in detecting precancerous lesions and preventing tumor progression to more advanced stages. Taking these advantages together, colonoscopy should be recommended in individuals in the screening population with a positive feces test.

Consistent with previous reports, our research showed that the FIT concentration increases as disease becomes more serious, from non-significant findings to non-advanced adenomas to advanced adenomas to cancer. This trend is independent after adjustment for age and gender which are important influencing factors. The biological explanation for this association is complex. In summary, a more advanced tumor may be larger and more friable, may have increased vascularity in the stroma, and may have significant inflammation in the surrounding tissues. These changes in the characteristics and environment of the tumor may result in significant occult bleeding and an increased FIT concentration. These interesting findings suggest that interpretation of the FIT should be extended beyond “negative” or “positive” and should be tailored according to concentration.

DISCUSSION

Table 2: Age, gender, and fecal immunochemical test concentration in association with histological grade of colorectal tumor: multiple linear regression analysis

| Variable | Beta (95%CI) | P value |
|----------|-------------|---------|
| Age (yr) | 0.021 (0.012-0.030) | < 0.001 |
| Gender   | Male: 1, Female: 0 | 0.342 (0.245-0.44) | < 0.001 |
| FIT (ngHb/mL) | Scale 1: 12.1-25 | 0.088 (0.026-0.114) | < 0.001 |

The model revealed a significant association between histological grade of colorectal tumors and three independent variables: age, gender and FIT concentrations; We converted the continuous FIT value into an ordinal variable after a log(2) transformation of the FIT concentrations. FIT: Fecal immunochemical test.

Figure 4: Fecal immunochemical test concentration in the prediction of colorectal neoplasia: linear regression analysis. The association between FIT concentration with log(2) transformation (X-axis) and PPV (Y-axis). (all R² > 0.95, P < 0.001). FIT: Fecal immunochemical test; O: Other; A: Adenoma; AA: Advanced adenoma; C: Cancer; PPV: Positive predictive value.
based on the FIT.

In summary, the adenoma detection rate and cancer stage migration support the effectiveness of the FIT as the first step in the current screening strategy. In addition, higher FIT concentrations were associated with more advanced colorectal neoplasia in the average-risk population. Risk prediction for colorectal neoplasia based on the FIT was significant and may help to improve the performance of the colorectal cancer screening program.

COMMENTS

Background

The fecal immunochemical test (FIT) for hemoglobin is specific for detecting colorectal lesions. Screening for colorectal neoplasms using the fecal occult blood test can reduce mortality.

Research frontiers

Despite its widespread use, little is known about the clinical significance and application of the quantitative value of the FIT.

Innovations and breakthroughs

This study used a linear regression model to determine the associations between key variables (age, gender and FIT concentration) and the pathology of colorectal neoplasia. The result demonstrated a dose-dependent relationship between the FIT level and the risk of colorectal tumors.

Applications

FIT concentrations are associated with histological grades and can improve risk prediction for colorectal neoplasia in screening programs.

Peer review

The paper contains interesting results. Authors reported a linear relationship between quantitative level and positive predictive value of FIT for predicting colorectal adenomatous polyps and cancers. Furthermore, this study includes a very large cohort of individuals and it is therefore possible to assume that the results actually reflect the trend of the general population.
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