Endovascular Therapy for Ischemic Stroke
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The utility of intravenous tissue plasminogen activator (IV t-PA) in improving the clinical outcomes after acute ischemic stroke has been well demonstrated in past clinical trials. Though multiple initial small series of endovascular stroke therapy had shown good outcomes as compared to IV t-PA, a similar beneficial effect had not been translated in multiple randomized clinical trials of endovascular stroke therapy. Over the same time, there have been parallel advances in imaging technology and better understanding and utility of the imaging in therapy of acute stroke. In this review, we will discuss the evolution of endovascular stroke therapy followed by a discussion of the key factors that have to be considered during endovascular stroke therapy and directions for future endovascular stroke trials.
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Introduction

Ischemic stroke occurs due to interruption of the blood supply to the brain. The hypoperfused brain at risk of infarction has two distinct regions, penumbra and core or umbra. Penumbra is the area of the hypoperfused brain supplied by the collateral circulation that is still viable but at risk of irreversible infarction if blood flow is not restored. The core is the region of hypoperfused brain which is already infarcted. The introduction of intravenous tissue plasminogen activator (IV t-PA) as a successful therapy for acute ischemic stroke was a milestone in the field of medicine and along with the technological developments in the field of neuroimaging and angiography has further opened up avenues for development of novel technologies for acute stroke care. Modern endovascular stroke therapy is a result of these advances and is the main focus of this review.

Thrombolysis, the mainstay of acute therapy, can be achieved by pharmacological, mechanical or a mixture of both methods. The thrombus consists of a variable mixture of fibrin strands with platelets and red blood cells. The exact composition of the thrombus depends on its source and hemodynamics in the vessel during the thrombus formation. Innate thrombolytic mechanisms include chemical thrombolysis mediated by plasmin. Arterial blood flow helps in augmenting this process by the mechanical disruption of the thrombus. Tissue plasminogen activator (t-PA) acts by hydrolyzing plasminogen to plasmin...
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The earliest attempts at intra arterial thrombolysis were reported by Sussman in 1958 using purified plasmin (Fibrinolysin™). Over the next few decades, the development and improved understanding of thrombolytic drugs, advances in the neuroimaging and angiographic equipment led to the active resurgence of endovascular stroke therapy with several small case series and pilot studies in the late 80’s and early 90’s culminating in the era of prospective randomized endovascular stroke trials.

In 1996, FDA approved the use of t-PA for intravenous use within 3 hours of stroke onset based upon the result of National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke t-PA study. Prolyse in Acute Cerebral Thromboembolism Trial II (PROACT) was the first prospective randomized trial looking at safety and efficacy of intra-arterial (IA) recombinant prourokinase (r-proUK) and heparin vs. saline placebo and heparin. PROACT-II followed with a larger study population. Both showed higher rates of recanalization and better 90-day clinical outcome in patients treated with IA r-proUK despite an increased incidence of early symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage. There was a trend towards better outcomes in secondary outcome measures. In spite of the encouraging results from this trial, r-proUK was never developed to the point of licensure for clinical use. The potential pitfalls of PROACT-II included use of heparin which may have contributed to increased major hemorrhage, inclusion of patients with irreversible changes on CT, lack of collateral assessment, and late treatment times.

The EMS bridging trial was a small pilot (n=35), prospective randomized trial that assessed the use of IV t-PA followed by IA t-PA vs. placebo followed by IA t-PA within 3 hours of stroke onset. The study showed that the combined IV-IA approach was safe and feasible in the first 3 hours. Despite having higher rates of recanalization, there was no evident benefit in the IV-IA therapy group compared to IA alone, due to the small sample size, and enrollment of more severe strokes in the IV-IA arm. The feasibility and safety of the IV-IA approach within 3 hours of acute ischemic stroke was further demonstrated in the IMS I & IMS II studies. Both were prospective cohort studies in which the IA techniques were restricted to delivery of IA thrombolytic drug through microcatheters along with mechanical clot disruption by the guide wire or microcatheter.

The era of mechanical thrombectomy was launched with the development of Mechanical Embolus Removal in Cerebral Ischemia (MERCI) retriever. The rates of recanalization and chance of good clinical outcome were higher with the use of MERCI retriever when used with in 8 hours of stroke onset in the MERCI & Multi MERCI trials. Although there were both cohort studies and not randomized clinical trials, the evidence was substantive enough to obtain FDA approval of MERCI retriever for mechanical thrombectomy. Encouraging results in favor of IV-IA approach in the International Management of Stroke (IMS) I & II studies and the results of MERCI & Penumbra Stroke System trials led to the larger IMS III trial.

In the IMS III trial, patients presenting with acute ischemic stroke within 3 hours and treated with IV t-PA were randomized to stop IV t-PA after 40 minutes of infusion and proceed to additional endovascular stroke therapy or to continue their IV t-PA infusion. Patients randomized to the endovascular arm were treated at the discretion of the interventionist using available techniques and devices as they were approved by regulatory authorities. At the time of trial initiation, IA t-PA and MERCI retriever were approved. Later on Penumbra aspiration system and Solitaire stent retriever were approved for use in the trial with protocol amendment’s. The results of the IMS III trial were not encouraging, showing a similar efficacy and safety profile for both IV t-PA and endovascular approach.

The Intra-arterial Versus Systemic Thrombolysis for Acute Ischemic Stroke (SYNTHESIS EXP) trial compared outcomes between IV t-PA vs. IA therapy (IA t-PA and/or thrombus disruption) for acute stroke. In spite of having higher recanalization with IA therapy, there was no difference in the primary outcome of mRS of 0-1. The Mechanical Retrieval and Recanalization of Stroke Clots Using Embolectomy (MR-RES-
CUE) was a randomized clinical trial assessing the role of mechanical revascularization in acute stroke patients presenting beyond the standard IV t-PA treatment window based on ischemic pattern (penumbral vs. non-penumbral) on multimodal imaging. Though the trial showed that neither the use of penumbral pattern for selecting treatment modality was useful nor embolectomy was superior to IV t-PA, there was a trend for a better 3-month outcome and lesser infarct growth in patients with adequate recanalization.

Current Endovascular Stroke Trials

The development of endovascular stroke therapies closely parallels the development of interventional cardiology. The evolution of treatment for acute ST elevation myocardial infarction started with use of nonspecific fibrinolytic drugs followed later by development of more specific fibrinolytic drugs and simultaneous development of percutaneous balloon angioplasty, bare metal coronary stents and then the drug eluting stents. Sequential randomized controlled trials have shown that achieving adequate and faster complete reperfusion [thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (TIMI) grade 3] are the single most important factors affecting the clinical outcome. TIMI 3 flow is achieved in only 50–60% of cases with the use of fibrinolytic drugs, and 90% with primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). By comparison, the first trials or primary PCI were neutral or negative and it took several trials before it became clear that primary PCI was a superior treatment to medical thrombolytic therapy. Extrapolation of these finding to acute stroke management suggest that we need to achieve these same fast and complete reperfusion rates to see success. This is now possible with the current generation of mechanical thrombectomy devices.

Success in the current endovascular stroke trials in our view is dependent upon four factors. These are: 1) consecutive enrollment of trial-eligible patients; 2) careful selection of patients using fast stroke imaging; 3) very fast treatment; 4) 90% or better reperfusion of the target circulation (Fig. 1).

Consecutive Enrollment

Commitment to enrolling patients into a clinical trial is critical to both success and generalizability after the fact. If only some of all eligible patients are enrolled the external validity of the study results are threatened. Both MR-RESCUE and IMS III took many years to recruit in part because eligible patients were being treated in clinical routine rather than being enrolled and randomized. This delay in completion allowed ongoing technological development, which left the study design behind. The populations studied may have been the least likely to benefit from the interventional therapy. There is no practical way to enforce consecutive enrollment at a given clinical cen-
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Selection Based on Imaging

The importance of imaging based selection is supported by the accumulating evidence of the role of collaterals, baseline ischemic core size in affecting clinical outcomes, and vascular imaging in identifying patients with target lesions that maximally benefit form endovascular therapy.11-18

Early ischemic changes seen as hypodensity or loss of grey white differentiation indicate irreversible parenchymal damage.17 Identification and quantification of the ischemic core, the tissue that is dead or destined to die, is important for predicting the outcome.1 If a significant core of ischemic tissue is present on initial imaging, the outcome of the patient will be poor despite aggressive therapy.46,47 Reperfusion in a patient with significant ischemic core is doubly unhelpful. First, the dead infarct cannot be helped by reperfusion and second, reperfusion increases the chance of spontaneous intracranial hemorrhage (SICH).46

Quantification of the ischemic core can be based upon either brain MRI or CT. The MRI DWI lesion volume is the most precise estimate of the infarct core. However, there are several practical limitations to the use of MR in acute stroke.48 Quantification of the early ischemic changes on the images of the CT head may be done using the Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score (ASPECTS) score. A pretreatment ASPECTS >7 is associated with a reduced risk of SICH in acute stroke treated with IV t-PA.49 Earlier trials of endovascular therapy have not adequately assessed the extent of early ischemic changes on the initial CT scan for selecting the patient into the trial. Analysis of PROACT II and IMS I data and more recently the IMS III trial has shown that using ASPECTS may help to choose ideal patients for endovascular therapy.31 Patients with an ASPECTS score of 8–10 were more likely to have good outcomes compared to patients with an ASPECT of 0–7 in the IMS III trial. Moreover patients with ASPECTS score of 8–10 were more likely to have a recanalization of the primary arterial occlusive lesion and more likely to have thrombolysis in cerebral ischemia (TICI) 2b or 3 grade reperfusion.31,32

The ischemic core and penumbra may be quantified using perfusion imaging with CT or MRI. The importance of early reperfusion in improving the clinical outcome in patients with perfusion-diffusion mismatch on MR was demonstrated in the diffusion and perfusion imaging evaluation for understanding stroke evolution (DEFUSE) and Echoplanar Imaging Thrombolytic Evaluation Trial study.50,51 In the DEFUSE 2 study, it was observed that the patients with mismatch benefitted from reperfusion irrespective of the time from symptom onset.52 In these studies, it was clearly demonstrated that patients with large DWI lesions and no mismatch i.e., a large ischemic core not only had poor clinical response despite recanalization, but also had higher risk of symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage.50-52 The size of the baseline DWI & perfusion-weighted imaging lesion predicts the chance of a good clinical outcome irrespective of the recanalization status.53,54 Though perfusion imaging can be used to select patients for thrombolytic therapy as well as prognosticate the clinical outcome and risk of hemorrhagic transformation, the utility is hampered by the lack of consensus on the perfusion parameter to be used, the amount of mismatch and post processing techniques.37-39,55-58

Stroke is primarily a disease of the vasculature. Vascular imaging helps in determining the severity and extent of occlusion and the collateral status and in an immediate understanding of the physiology of the stroke.59,60 The identification of the arterial occlusion helps in predicting the response to the thrombolytic therapy as well as guiding the judicious use of endovascular therapy.3 Baseline ischemic changes are significantly influenced by leptomeningeal collateral status. Collaterals play an important role in the outcome of a stroke patient and influence the clinical deficit, the brain tolerance to ischemia and the time window available for the urgent stroke revascularization. Analysis of the recent endovascular stroke trials has shown that patients with good collaterals have better baseline and 24-hour ASPECTS score, higher rates of recanalization, reperfusion and good clinical outcomes.61-64 This supports the utility of collateral assessment in selecting the patients for endovascular therapy.65

In the IMS III, baseline ASPECTS was not mandatory before enrolling study participants, however patients with significant baseline ischemia on CT i.e., more than 1/3rd MCA territory were excluded. 92 of the 656 patients enrolled in IMS III had a baseline ASPECTS of 0–4 indicating severe baseline ischemia and 57 of these were in the endovascular arm.50 Only 6% and 15% of the subjects with a baseline ASPECTS of 0–4 had achieved a mRS of 0–1 and 0–2, respectively. Similarly, baseline vascular imaging was not required to be done per protocol, but 305 of the 656 patients enrolled in the IMS III trial had a baseline CT angiography (CTA) as per local stroke practice. Of these 305 patients, 24 showed no occlusion and of these 21 were randomized to the endovascular arm. 80 of the patients in the endovascular arm did not have a target occlusive lesion. A total of 147 patients in the IMS III cohort did not have a target arterial occlusive lesion responsible for the stroke.66

In the MR-RESCUE study, though base line penumbral imaging was done it was not used to select patients for endovas-
Faster Reperfusion

Faster treatment from stroke onset to intravenous t-PA is associated with better clinical outcome.\textsuperscript{18,69} The same is true for endovascular therapy: in all the major endovascular trials to date, it has been convincingly demonstrated that the longer time to initiation of treatment resulted in a worse clinical outcome.\textsuperscript{17,19,20,22,24,70} In the IMS III trial, the time to reperfusion was associated with a decreasing chance of good clinical outcome and increased risk of adverse events seen with delayed reperfusion. There is a 12–15% relative reduction in likelihood of a good clinical outcome for every 30-minute delay in the time to reperfusion.\textsuperscript{71} The mean time from onset to groin puncture and the onset to IA therapy in the IMS III was 208 and 249.4 minutes respectively indicating significant delay in the treatment. In the cohort of patients who achieved reperfusion, the mean time from symptom onset to reperfusion was 325 minutes with significant delay attributable to IV t-PA to groin puncture and groin puncture to reperfusion of 81 and 124 minutes respectively.\textsuperscript{71} The mean time from symptom onset to endovascular therapy in the MR-RESCUE study was 381 min.\textsuperscript{72} In SYNTHESIS EXP, the median time from symptom onset to start of endovascular therapy was 225 minutes in the endovascular therapy group.\textsuperscript{28} The importance and feasibility of faster endovascular recanalization treatments in improving the clinical outcome is further highlighted in the Solitaire FR Thrombectomy for Acute Revascularization study.\textsuperscript{72} Door to needle times as fast as 20–25 minutes can be achieved with proper planning and infrastructure changes.\textsuperscript{71,74} Strict adherence to stroke performance metrics like door to needle time, picture-to-puncture, picture-to-perfusion time and comprehensive metrics like symptom onset to reperfusion time can vastly improve the chances of good clinical outcome.\textsuperscript{75–78} Measures targeting overall system improvement focusing on faster transport, faster transfer of the clinical data, rapid imaging & mobilization of the interventional team will lead to faster reperfusion and thus improve the overall clinical outcome.\textsuperscript{72,76,79}

90% Reperfusion

The ultimate aim of endovascular stroke therapy is to reperfuse the ischemic brain, which in turn is a critical determinant of the good clinical outcome. The adequacy of reperfusion is measured by using TICI score on an angiography or a CT angiography.\textsuperscript{10} There is a graded relationship between the degree of reperfusion and outcome. Whereas, prior simple dichotomization of the TICI at 0 or 1 vs. 2 or 3 (based upon the same approach in coronary artery disease with the TIMI score), was the standard of reporting, it is now clear that a TICI score of 2b or 3 is required for a good angiographic outcome: TICI 2a is not enough.

Reporting of reperfusion has been variable among prior studies. Among patients with complete proximal occlusions in the anterior circulation treated with endovascular therapy in the IMS III study, 40% of the patients achieving TICI 2 or 3 reperfusion had good clinical outcome vs. 10% of patients with out reperfusion.\textsuperscript{71} The rates of TICI 2b or 3 recanalization in the IMS III was 38% for an internal carotid occlusion, 44% for an M1 occlusion, 44% for a single M2 occlusion, 23% for multiple M2 occlusions. The rates of TIMI 2 or 3 recanalization with the Penumbra Aspiration system were 82% in the Penumbra pivotal stroke trial.\textsuperscript{14} In the POST trial, a post marketing study, the rates of TIMI 2 or 3 recanalization with Penumbra system were 87%.\textsuperscript{81} In the SWIFT trial, the rates of early reperfusion of TIMI grade 2 or 3 were 69% with the Solitaire device and 30% with the MERCI retriever. In the Trevo versus Merci retrievers for thrombectomy revascularisation of large vessel occlusions in acute ischaemic stroke (TREVO 2) study, the rate of TICI 2 or 3 recanalization was 86% with the TREVO stent retriever and 60% with the MERCI retriever. The rates of TICI 2b or 3 recanalization with TREVO and MERCI retriever’s were 68% and 44%, respectively. The overall rate of TICI 2 or 3 recanalization in the endovascular arm of the IMS III was 41.9% (182/434). The rates of TICI 2 or 3 recanalization in the IMS III with individual devices is as follows: 73% with the Merci Retriever, 85% with the Penumbra system, 75% with the Solitaire device. In the MR-RESCUE trial, the rates of post procedure recanalization (TICI 2b or 3) was just 27% (supplementary data).\textsuperscript{87} The rates of post procedure recanalization were not available from the SYNTHESIS EXP study.\textsuperscript{24} No study has yet achieved a 90% good reperfusion (TICI 2b or 3) target, which we argue is the most meaningful reperfusion metric. Recanalization and reperfusion are two independent measures of therapeutic efficacy and the timing of measurement of the former has implications in assessing the treatment outcome. In the IMS III trial all patients had a 24-hour CTA to assess recanalization.\textsuperscript{25} Measuring the recanalization after a con-
siderable delay from the initial treatment will not be able to differentiate between those with early vs. late recanalization by including patients with delayed spontaneous recanalization. There is often a mismatch in the clinical trials with higher reperfusion rates but lesser rates of good clinical outcomes. This is referred to as futile recanalization. The rates of futile recanalization have ranged from 30% to 50% in various endovascular trials.\(^{16,20,32}\) The apparent higher rates of futile recanalization in earlier endovascular stroke trials are, in part due to the timing of measurement. This was evident in IMS-III where 24-hour CTA-defined recanalization rate was approximately double the rate immediately post-angiography. Thus, reasons for poor outcomes after endovascular stroke therapy include not only lack of recanalization but also late (or futile) recanalization.

**The Way Ahead for Endovascular Stroke Treatment**

Endovascular stroke therapy is at a crossroads. There are multiple ongoing clinical trials. We believe that success will be determined by whether trials can execute on all four of these pillars of treatment described above. This is a major focus of the ESCAPE trial [NCT01778335]. Other ongoing trials have taken differing approaches, not making all four of these factors so critical in deciding who to treat. It is an exciting time to be involved in stroke treatment because patients with an otherwise fatal illness can be cured and walk out of hospital. Our understanding of baseline imaging is going to be finally defined by outcomes because our results will no longer be confounded by lack of reperfusion.

Completion of the current endovascular trials with positive results is going to result in necessary changes in the structure of stroke care. We predict that there will be a center-by-volume relationships with outcomes because high performing teams will have the best treatment times and the best outcomes. We predict that centralization of stroke care will benefit not only those who are candidates for endovascular treatment but all stroke patients who get diverted to central stroke units.

For now, we must focus our efforts on very high performance in clinical research studies and we must execute endovascular treatment interventions very fast with high reperfusion rates.
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