Purification and Characterization of Cannabidiolic-acid Synthase from Cannabis sativa L.
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We identified a unique enzyme that catalyzes the oxidocyclization of cannabigerolic acid to cannabidiolic acid (CBDA) in Cannabis sativa L. (CBDA strain). The enzyme, named CBDA synthase, was purified to apparent homogeneity by a four-step procedure: ammonium sulfate precipitation followed by chromatography on DEAE-cellulose, phenyl-Sepharose CL-4B, and hydroxyapatite. The active enzyme consists of a single polypeptide with a molecular mass of 74 kDa and a pi of 6.1. The NH2-terminal amino acid sequence of CBDA synthase is similar to that of 1-tetrahydrocannabinolic-acid synthase. CBDA synthase does not require coenzymes, molecular oxygen, hydrogen peroxide, and metal ion cofactors for the oxidocyclization reaction. These results indicate that CBDA synthase is neither an oxygenase nor a peroxidase and that the enzymatic cyclization does not proceed via oxygenated intermediates. CBDA synthase catalyzes the formation of CBDA from cannabigerolic acid as well as cannabigerolic acid, although the kcat for the former (0.03 s-1) is lower than that for the latter (0.19 s-1). Therefore, we conclude that CBDA is predominantly biosynthesized from cannabigerolic acid rather than cannabiderolic acid.

Cannabinoids are plant secondary metabolites possessing alkylresorcinol (typically olivetol or olivetolic acid) and monoterpene groups in their molecules (Fig. 1). Numerous cannabinoids have been isolated from marijuana or fresh leaves, and their chemical and pharmacological properties have been extensively investigated (1). Among them, 1-tetrahydrocannabinol is the psychoactive principle of marijuana (2). Cannabigerolic acid (CBGA)3 and cannabidiol do not exert psychotropic effects, but both cannabinoids possess a variety of pharmacological activities. For example, CBDA displays a potent antimicrobial effect (3), while cannabidiol reduces aggressive behavior in the pyrogglutamate-treated rat, spontaneous dyskinesias in the dystonic rat, and turning behavior in the 6-hydroxydopamine-treated rat caused by apomorphine (4). Therefore, CBDA and cannabidiol have attracted considerable attention as having therapeutic potential in various disorders (5).

Several pathways have been proposed to explain the biosynthesis of these cannabinoids. Typical biosynthetic schemes have been based on the assumptions that 1-tetrahydrocannabinolic acid (1-THCA), the precursor of 1-tetrahydrocannabinol, is biosynthesized by the ring closure of CBDA and that CBDA is formed from cannabigerolic acid (CBGA) via hydroxyl-CBGA (1). To confirm these assumptions, we investigated 1-THCA biosynthesis by enzymological means and established that 1-THCA is actually biosynthesized from CBG by 1-THCA synthase and not from the presumed precursor, CBDA (6). In contrast, it is still unknown whether the biosynthesis of CBDA proceeds through the above biosynthetic pathway. This lack of a precise understanding of CBDA biosynthesis is mostly due to the fact that the enzymes involved in CBDA formation have not hitherto been studied.

To understand the mechanism of CBDA biosynthesis, we investigated the enzymes involved in the production of CBDA. Consequently, we identified a unique enzyme (named CBDA synthase) that catalyzes the stereoselective oxidocyclization of CBG to CBDA in the rapidly expanding leaves of the CBDA strain. In this paper, we describe the purification and biochemical properties of CBDA synthase. In addition, we present evidence that CBDA is biosynthesized from CBGA through oxidocyclization without hydroxylation.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Plant Materials—The two Cannabis strains (CBDA and Mexican strains) were grown in the herbal garden of the Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyushu University. CBDA synthase was extracted from rapidly expanding leaves of the 15-week-old CBDA strain, while cannabinoids were extracted from mature leaves of both strains (15-week-old plants).

Cannabinoids—CBDA and 1-THCA were purified from mature leaves of the CBDA and Mexican strains, respectively, as described previously (7). Cannabidiol was prepared by heating CBDA at 120 °C for 20 min. CBG was chemically synthesized by a modification of the method of Mechoulam and Yagen (8). Olivetol (2 g; Sigma) and geraniol (3 g; Sigma) were dissolved in 250 ml of chloroform/methanol (2:1), adjusted to pH 2 with diluted HCl, and heated at 120 °C for 1 h. The reaction mixture was cooled, adjusted to pH 7 with potassium hydroxide, and then partitioned with 50 ml of water. The organic layer was dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate, evaporated, and the residue was dissolved in 100 ml of chloroform/methanol (2:1), adjusted to pH 2 with diluted HCl, and partitioned with 50 ml of water. The organic layer was dried
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with sodium sulfate, the solvent was removed by evaporation, and the residue was chromatographed on a 2.0 × 25-cm silica gel column. Elution with 1000 ml of n-hexanediethyl acetate (2:1) gave CBGA (45 mg). Cannabinol and cannabinol carboxylic acid (CBNRA) were also synthesized as described above for CBG and CBGA, respectively, except for the use of neral (Sigma) instead of geraniol (11). The structures of these cannabinoids were confirmed by obtaining their 1H NMR spectra.

CBDA Synthase Assay—The standard mixture solution consisted of 200 μM CBGA, 0.1% (w/v) Triton X-100, and 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0). The reaction was started by adding of 100 μl of enzyme, and the mixture was incubated at 30 °C for 2 h. A portion of the reaction mixture was partitioned with 100 ml of ethyl acetate, and the aqueous phase was washed with 3 column volumes of the same buffer, and bound proteins were eluted with 200-ml linear gradient of NaCl (0–1.5 M) at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min. The most active fractions (fractions 10–12, each 10 ml) were pooled, concentrated, and dialyzed against 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) at 20 °C. The purified enzyme could be stored at 4 °C in buffer A for 2 weeks with a 10–20% loss of activity. Storage of the purified enzyme in 40% (w/v) glycerol at −20 °C was ineffective for its stabilization.

Isolation and Characterization of Enzymatic Product—In the presence of purified CBDA synthase (100 μg), 500 ml of the standard assay solution (see "CBDA Synthase Assay") was incubated at 30 °C for 12 h. The reaction mixture was partitioned with 100 ml of ethyl acetate, and this layer was concentrated under reduced pressure to dryness. The residue was dissolved in 500 μl of 80% (v/v) aqueous acetonitrile, and each of the 25-μl aliquots was applied to preparative HPLC as described under "HPLC Conditions." The column was eluted with 500 μl of acetonitrile, and purified CBDA was isolated as a single peak at a flow rate of 0.4 ml/min. The peak was collected by centrifugation at 20,000 g for 15 min. After insoluble materials were removed by centrifugation at 100,000 × g for 1 h. The supernatant was then fractionated with ammonium sulfate. Proteins precipitating at 45–75% saturation were collected by centrifugation at 20,000 × g for 15 min, resuspended in ~30 ml of buffer A, and dialyzed overnight against buffer A. After insoluble materials were removed by centrifugation at 20,000 × g for 15 min, the dialyzed sample was applied at a flow rate of 1.7 ml/min to a 2.5 × 30-cm column of DE52 cellulose (Whatman) equilibrated with buffer A. Elution was monitored photometrically at 280 nm, and fractions of 20 ml were collected. CBDA synthase activity was found only in fractions eluted with buffer A, and further elution with 1 M NaCl did not afford any fractions containing CBDA synthase.

The most active fractions (fractions 3–5) were pooled and concentrated to ~10 ml by ultrafiltration (Advantec, Tokyo). After ammonium sulfate was added to the concentrated solution to raise the concentration to 0.8 M, the sample was loaded onto a 1.5 × 15-cm column containing phenyl-Sepharose CL-4B (Pharmacia Biotech Inc.) equilibrated with buffer B (buffer A containing 0.8 M ammonium sulfate). The column was washed with 3 column volumes of the same buffer, and bound proteins were then eluted with a 500-ml linear gradient of buffer B to buffer A at a flow rate of 1.5 ml/min. The most active fractions (fractions 38–42, each 10 ml) were pooled, concentrated, and dialyzed overnight against three changes of buffer A. The dialyzed sample was applied to a 1 × 10-cm column containing hydroxyapatite (Nacalai Tesque) pre-equilibrated with buffer A. After washing the column with 3 column volumes of the same buffer, bound proteins were eluted with a 200-ml linear gradient of NaCl (0–1.5 M) at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min. The most active fractions (fractions 10–12, each 10 ml) were pooled, concentrated, and dialyzed overnight against two changes of buffer A. The purity of CBDA synthase was confirmed by SDS-PAGE analysis of the dialysate. The purified enzyme could be stored at 4 °C in buffer A for 2 weeks with a 10–20% loss of activity. Storage of the purified enzyme in 40% (w/v) glycerol at −20 °C was ineffective for its stabilization.
thase activity (29 picokatals/g of fresh leaves). In contrast, there was much less (9 picokatals/g of fresh leaves) and no activity in the mature leaves and stems, respectively. To investigate the subcellular localization of the enzyme, the homogenate from the rapidly expanding leaves was fractionated by differential centrifugation, and the level of enzyme activity was measured in each fraction. CBDA synthase activity was undetectable in the light membrane (100,000 × g pellet) and heavy membrane (10,000 × g pellet) fractions. However, the cytosolic fraction (100,000 × g supernatant) contained >95% of the enzyme activity in the whole homogenate. Therefore, CBDA synthase was considered to be a soluble protein. To extract the enzyme more effectively, we tested several buffers, although extraction with citrate buffer (pH 6.0) or Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5) was somewhat less effective (16 or 19 picokatals/g of fresh leaves, respectively) than phosphate buffer (29 picokatals/g of fresh leaves). Based on these results, we extracted CBDA synthase from the rapidly expanding leaves with 100 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0).

Purification of CBDA Synthase—A four-step procedure was developed that resulted in the purification of CBDA synthase to apparent homogeneity. Table I summarizes the combination of procedures that yielded a high purification level. Since the enzyme was sensitive to oxidation, mercaptoethanol was required for successful purification of the active enzyme. During the purification of CBDA synthase, the enzyme activity was monitored by quantifying the amount of CBDA produced from the substrate CBGA.

As a first step, the cytosolic fraction from rapidly expanding leaves of the CBDA strain was fractionated by ammonium sulfate saturation. About 85% of the CBDA synthase activity precipitated between 45 and 75% saturation, resulting in a 3-fold purification. The solubilized ammonium sulfate fraction was applied to a DEAE-cellulose (DE52) column, where most of the enzyme activity was not bound to the matrix. However, this procedure substantially increased the specific activity, purifying CBDA synthase 26-fold. After the DE52 eluate was applied to phenyl-Sepharose CL-4B, the bound enzyme was eluted with a descending gradient of ammonium sulfate from 0.8 to 0 M. This step gave a 217-fold purification, although the most active fractions were still contaminated with small amounts of several proteins. The contaminating proteins were well removed by hydroxylapatite chromatography. Since elution with an increasing gradient of phosphate buffer (0–250 mM) led to low recovery of the enzyme activity, we examined other solvent systems. The enzyme could be successfully eluted with a 0–1.5 M NaCl gradient in phosphate buffer. SDS-PAGE showed that the most active fractions from the hydroxylapatite column were >95% pure (Fig. 2).

Molecular Mass, Isoelectric Point, and NH2-terminal Sequence of CBDA Synthase—The purified protein displayed a monomeric molecular mass of ~74 kDa by SDS-PAGE (Fig. 2). The native molecular mass was determined by gel filtration on
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**FIG. 2. SDS-PAGE analysis of CBDA synthase.** Samples were resolved by electrophoresis on a 10% acrylamide gel. Proteins were stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue. Lane 1, DE52 step; lane 2, phenyl-Sepharose CL-4B step; lane 3, hydroxylapatite step; lane 4, molecular standards with the indicated molecular masses.

### Table I

| Step                  | Protein (mg) | Total activity (pkat) | Specific activity (pkat/mg) | Recovery (%) | Purification (fold) |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| 100,000 × g supernatant | 672         | 1957                 | 2.91                        | 100         | 1                  |
| 45–70% (NH4)2SO4       | 178         | 1658                 | 9.31                        | 85          | 3                  |
| DE52                  | 15.8        | 1211                 | 77                          | 62          | 26                 |
| Phenyl-Sepharose CL-4B| 0.9         | 561                  | 632                         | 29          | 217                |
| Hydroxylapatite       | 0.2         | 299                  | 1510                        | 15          | 519                |

*pkat, picokatals.
The assay solvents consisted of 100 mM citrate buffer (pH 5.0) containing 17414 activity. Hydrogen peroxide either activated or inhibited the azole, and KSCN (17) also had no effect on CBDA synthase in the absence of NADPH. The cytochrome P-450 inhibitors CO, triazole, and Hg²⁺ also had no effect on CBDA synthase. The cytochrome P-450 inhibitors CO, triazole, and Hg²⁺ did not require metal ions for the oxidocyclization of CBDA. EDTA had little influence on the enzyme activity at concentrations up to 5 mM. Therefore, it seems likely that CBDA synthase does not require metal ions for the oxidocyclization of CBDA.

As shown in Table III, CBDA synthase did not require coenzymes such as NAD, NADP, FAD, and FMN. The enzyme displayed a maximal specific activity of 2.57 nanokatals/mg for this substrate, corresponding to a turnover number of 0.19 s⁻¹ (Table IV). This turnover number seemed to be relatively low, but it was almost identical to that for Δ⁹-THCA formation by Δ⁹-THCA synthase (kcat = 0.20 s⁻¹) (6). CBNRA, the Z-isomer of CBGA, was also converted by CBDA synthase to CBDA, although the enzyme showed lower kcat and higher kₘ values for CBNRA as compared with CBGA (Table IV).

Substrate Specificity—CBDA synthase exhibited Michaelis-Menten kinetics in response to changes in CBGA concentration. The enzyme displayed a maximal specific activity of 2.57 nanokatals/mg for this substrate, corresponding to a turnover number of 0.19 s⁻¹ (Table IV). This turnover number seemed to be relatively low, but it was almost identical to that for Δ⁹-THCA formation by Δ⁹-THCA synthase (kcat = 0.20 s⁻¹) (6). CBNRA, the Z-isomer of CBGA, was also converted by CBDA synthase to CBDA, although the enzyme showed lower kcat and higher kₘ values for CBNRA as compared with CBGA (Table IV). In contrast to the acidic cannabinoids, the neutral cannabinoids, CBG and cannabinol, did not undergo oxidocyclization by CBDA synthase, indicating that a carboxyl group in the substrate is essential for the enzymatic cyclization of the monoterpene moiety.

**DISCUSSION**

Despite a lack of experimental evidence, it has been believed that CBDA is biosynthesized from CBGA via hydroxyl-CBGA (1). Several groups have attempted to confirm this hypothesis by feeding experiments, although they could not obtain unequivocal results owing to the low incorporation of labeled precursors into CBDA (15, 18). To definitively establish the biosynthetic mechanism of CBDA, we directly investigated the enzyme (CBDA synthase) that catalyzes the formation of CBDA. Since this enzyme had not been studied, we first attempted to identify CBDA synthase under various conditions. After unsuccessful attempts, CBDA synthase could be ex-

---

**FIG. 3. Effects of detergents on CBDA synthase activity.** The assay solvents consisted of 100 mM citrate buffer (pH 5.0) containing 0.1% Triton X-100. Under these conditions, the amount of CBDA produced by CBDA synthase was linear with the incubation period for at least 4 h, and the rate of the enzymatic reaction was linear with respect to the amount of enzyme added (data not shown).

**Effects of Metal Ions, Coenzymes, and Oxidoreductase Inhibitors on CBDA Synthase Activity—CBDA synthase is an oxidoreductase that catalyzes the cyclization of the monoterpene moiety in CBGA. Since most terpene cyclases require a divalent ion such as Mg²⁺ or Mn²⁺ for the cyclization of substrates (16), we first examined the effects of various metal ions on CBDA synthase activity. However, Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺, Zn²⁺, Ca²⁺, Co²⁺, and Cu²⁺ (2 mM each) had no significant effect. In contrast to the acidic cannabinoids, the neutral cannabinoids, CBG and cannabinol, did not undergo oxidocyclization by CBDA synthase, indicating that a carboxyl group in the substrate is essential for the enzymatic cyclization of the monoterpene moiety.

**TABLE II**

| Protein          | Sequence          |
|------------------|-------------------|
| CBDA synthase    | Asn-Pro-Arg-Glu-Asn-Phe-Leu-Lys-X-Phe-Ser-Gln-Tyr-Ile-Pro-Asn- |
| Δ⁹-THCA synthase | Asn-Pro-Arg-Glu-Asn-Phe-Leu-Lys-X-Phe-Ser-Lys-His-Ile-Pro-Asn- |

"The letter X indicates that the identity of the residue was ambiguous.

---

**TABLE III**

| Conditions | Relative activity |
|------------|-------------------|
| Standard   | 100               |
| N⁺         | 101               |
| 1 mM NADPH | 99                |
| 1 mM NADPH + N⁺ | 102            |
| 1 mM NADPH + CO | 99             |
| 2 mM triazole | 106              |
| 2 mM KSCN  | 101               |

"Molecular oxygen in the assay solution was removed by nitrogen purge for 5 min.

"The assay solution was saturated with CO gas (purge for 5 min).

---

2 S. Morimoto and Y. Shoyama, unpublished data.
extracted with phosphate buffer from the CBDA strain. Higher enzyme activity was observed in rapidly expanding leaves than in mature leaves. This distribution of CBDA synthase correlates well with the CBDA content; a higher amount of CBDA is found in rapidly expanding leaves (11.9 mg/g of fresh leaves) than in mature leaves (3.3 mg/g of fresh leaves). These findings indicate that CBDA is predominantly biosynthesized by CBDA synthase in rapidly expanding leaves of the CBDA strain. Previously, we demonstrated that biosynthesis of Δ1-THCA also predominantly occurs in rapidly expanding leaves of the Mexican strain (6). The roles of CBDA and Δ1-THCA in plants remain largely unclear, but these cannabinoids may play an important role in leaf development.

CBDA synthase was purified 519-fold by a four-step procedure that yielded up to 15% final recovery of the enzyme activity. Purification of this enzyme to homogeneity permitted the characterization of its precise properties, resulting in a variety of new findings. In particular, it is noteworthy that the oxidocyclization of CBGA by CBDA synthase is not accompanied by oxygenation (Fig. 4), contrary to the published hypothesis of CBDA biogenesis. Oxygenase-type enzymes catalyzing the cyclization of terpene groups have been identified in several plants (19), although cyclases that catalyze the direct dehydrogenation of terpene groups have rarely been found in the plant kingdom. Crombie et al. (20) have demonstrated that deguelin, the isoflavonoids in Tephrosia vogelii, is formed through the prenyl cyclization of rot-2-enoic acid by deguelin cyclase and that, like CBDA formation, this reaction proceeds through direct hydrogenation without a cofactor requirement. However, it is quite difficult to precisely compare the kinetic and physical properties of deguelin cyclase and CBDA synthase since deguelin cyclase was not purified to homogeneity.

Concerning the substrate specificity, CBDA synthase catalyzes the formation of CBDA from CBNRA as well as CBGA (Fig. 4). Since the C-1–C-2 double bond of CBDA has the same configuration as that of CBNRA, CBDA formation could proceed from CBGA through CBNRA to CBDA. However, CBDA synthase displayed much higher activity for CBGA than CBNRA, indicating that CBNRA is not an intermediate in the oxidocyclization of CBGA into CBDA. In addition, the lower substrate specificity for CBNRA suggests that CBDA is biosynthesized predominantly from CBGA rather than CBNRA. This is supported by the fact that the content of CBNRA in the CBDA strain is much lower than that of CBGA (0.08 versus 2.8 mg/g of rapidly expanding leaves).

Kinetic properties similar to those of CBDA synthase have been described for limonene synthase, which mediates the formation of limonene with higher $V_{max}$ and lower $K_m$ values for geranyl pyrophosphate as compared with neryl pyrophosphate (18). However, the cyclization catalyzed by limonene synthase is not accompanied by oxidation (16). Moreover, all terpene cyclases, including limonene synthase, require either Mg$^{2+}$ or Mn$^{2+}$, contrary to CBDA synthase. To explain the roles of Mg$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ in terpene cyclization, Croteau (16) has proposed that these metal ions might neutralize the negative charge of the diphosphate moiety and assist in ionization of the allylic diphosphate substrate. Since CBGA has no allylic diphosphate moiety, it is reasonable that CBDA synthase has no requirement for Mg$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$. Although the low turnover number for CBGA (0.19 s$^{-1}$) suggests that CBDA synthase may require some cofactors, we could not demonstrate either cofactors or coenzymes that activate the enzyme activity. However, since a much lower or a similar turnover number ($k_{cat}$ = 0.01–0.3 s$^{-1}$) has been reported for some terpene cyclases (16, 21–24), it is understandable that cofactors and coenzymes are not essential for the CBDA synthase reaction.

Many biochemical properties of CBDA synthase are closely related to those of Δ1-THCA synthase. As reported (6), Δ1-THCA synthase catalyzes the oxidocyclization of CBGA with a higher turnover number (0.20 s$^{-1}$) for CBGA than for CBNRA, and this reaction has no requirement for cofactors, coenzymes, and molecular oxygen. In addition, the molecular mass, pi, and NH$_2$-terminal sequence of both enzymes are quite similar. Although CBDA has a different ring system from Δ1-THCA, these similarities suggest that both cannabinoids are formed by a similar reaction mechanism.
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| Cannabinoid | $V_{max}$ | $k_{cat}$ | $K_m$ |
|-------------|-----------|----------|-------|
| CBGA        | 2.57      | 0.19     | 0.137 |
| CBNRA       | 0.39      | 0.03     | 0.206 |
| CBG         | —         | —        | —     |
| CBNR        | —         | —        | —     |

$k_{cat}$ was calculated using a subunit molecular mass of 74 kDa and one active site/subunit.

nkat, nanokatals; CBNR, cannabinerol.

---
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