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Impacts of Sedimentation and Diagenesis on Deeply Buried Reservoir Quality of a Rift Basin: A Case Study of Wenchang Formation in the Lufeng Depression, Pearl River Mouth Basin, China
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The deeply buried reservoirs of Wenchang Formation in the Lufeng Depression, Pearl River Mouth Basin, display strong heterogeneity, and the major controls for the development of high-quality reservoirs remain unclear. To address these issues, we conducted a series of experiment analyses, including petrographic microscope, scanning electron microscopy, and X-ray diffraction, and analyzed the impacts of sedimentation and diagenesis on the quality of deeply buried reservoirs. The results demonstrate that the sandbodies of subaqueous distributary channel and mouth bar deposited in lowstand systems tract (LST) and highstand systems tract (HST), as compared to the beach-bar and subaqueous fan sandstones deposited in transgressive systems tract (TST), have coarser grain size, higher quartz content, and lower muddy matrix content, which induced stronger anti-compaction capability, higher preservation of intergranular pore spaces, and thus better reservoir qualities. The reservoir types developed in subaqueous distributary channel and mouth bar are mainly types I, II, and III with medium-low porosity and low-ultra low permeability, while beach-bar and subaqueous fan sandstones deposited in transgressive systems tract (TST), have coarser grain size, higher quartz content, and lower muddy matrix content, which induced stronger anti-compaction capability, higher preservation of intergranular pore spaces, and thus better reservoir qualities. The reservoir types developed in subaqueous distributary channel and mouth bar are mainly types I, II, and III with medium-low porosity and low-ultra low permeability. The reservoirs developed in E2w of the study area have undergone strong compaction, intense dissolution, but weak cementation. The subaqueous distributary channel and mouth bar reservoirs in LST are adjacent to Ew4 source rock in spatial distribution, resulting in strong organic acid dissolution, and developed numerous dissolved pores. The charging of hydrocarbons before deep burial further inhibited the later compaction and cementation and protects the preservation of residual primary intergranular pores and secondary dissolved pores. The combination of these factors leads to the development of the abnormally high porosity and high-quality reservoirs in LST. The results of this study reveal the genetic mechanism of deep, high-quality reservoirs in the rift basin and guide the selection of high-quality reservoirs in the later stage.

1. Introduction

With the enhancing exploration degree of mid-shallow reservoirs, most oil and gas resources have been discovered, and the future oil and gas exploration targets will be expanded to deep sea and deep earth [1–6]. However, as compared with shallow buried reservoirs, deep buried reservoirs have experienced complex geological processes; thus, the influencing factors of reservoir quality are also more complicated, including sedimentation under the constrain
of sequence framework, diagenesis, tectonic movement, burial patterns, abnormal overpressure, and hydrocarbon charging \([7–19]\). The change of sea level will lead to the development of different sequence types and sedimentary facies types. Reservoirs in different facies types have different material compositions, such as mineral composition, particle size, and sorting, which will lead to different characteristics of the original pore structure of the reservoir, and then affect the later diagenesis \([8, 13, 14, 20–23]\). For this reason, linking diagenetic processes and diagenetic evolution to their corresponding sequence types and sedimentary facies types can trace the source, which provides an effective means for diagenetic evolution analysis and reservoir heterogeneity prediction \([10, 13, 24–26]\). Diagenesis is a process of changing the original reservoir characteristics, including porosity, pore structure, and permeability. Mechanical compaction and chemical compaction can change the size, distribution, and connectivity of original pores and pore throats \([27–30]\). With the increase of burial depth, compaction and cementation can further reduce the primal porosity and permeability, but the secondary pores formed by mineral dissolution can also greatly improve the quality of deeply buried reservoirs \([31–35]\).

For rift basins, the development of sequence units and sedimentary facies in the basin is complex and diverse due to the complexity of basin tectonic movements and the diversity of provenance systems \([36–38]\). For example, in the early stage of Paleogene rifting, the Qiongdongnan Basin mainly developed lowstand systems tract and deposited alluvial fans and braided rivers. However, in the middle-late stages of rifting, three-divided system tracts are well developed, and sedimentary facies types, such as braided river delta, fan delta, nearshore subaqueous fan and submarine fan, are all diversely developed \([39]\). Under the influence of tectonic movement, the sediments deposited in rift basin will undergo a complex diagenetic evolution process, and the diagenetic evolution time and processes of deeply buried reservoirs will be longer and more complex as compared with shallow buried reservoirs \([40–42]\). For this reason, the quality of deeply buried reservoirs is generally considered to be relatively poor. With the enhancing exploration degree, more high-quality reservoirs are discovered in deep earth. For example, the buried depth of the Lower Cretaceous Tuscaloosa Formation in Gulf of Mexico Basin reaches 6600 m, but the reservoir porosity and permeability can still reach 26% and 72 mD \([43]\). Therefore, different from our previous
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**Figure 1:** (a) Location of the Lufeng depression in the Pearl River Mouth Basin of China. (b) Location of the research area in the Lufeng depression.
understanding, deeply buried reservoirs still have great exploration potential. In view of this, China’s oil and gas exploration has gradually shifted to deep and ultra-deep strata and even made breakthroughs in strata greater than 8000 m in recent years. However, the genetic mechanism of such high-quality reservoir needs to be further studied. Various factors such as early shallow burial and late rapid deep burial, early compaction, and late dissolution can all lead to the development of high-quality reservoirs [8, 44–46], and the genetic mechanisms in different regions are also different. The reservoir of Wenchang Formation in Lufeng Sag, Pearl River Mouth Basin, has the characteristics of deep burial, complex sedimentary environment changes, various types of sedimentary facies, and complex diagenesis and evolution. The control factors for the development of high-quality reservoirs and the distribution law of favorable reservoirs are not clear. Therefore, the research on the impacts of sedimentation and diagenesis on reservoir quality can not only supplement the genetic mechanism of deeply buried reservoirs with high quality in the rift basin but also establish

| System | Series | Formation | Member | Age/ Ma | Seismic reflector | Basin fill patterns | Sequence stratigraphy classification | Sedimentary faces | Tectonic stage |
|--------|--------|-----------|--------|---------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
|        |        |           |        |         |                  |                   |                             |                 |              |
|        |        |           |        |         |                  |                   |                             |                 |              |

**Figure 2:** Sequence stratigraphy characteristics of the Eocene Wenchang Formation in Pearl River Mouth Basin (modified from [36]).
the foundation for the prediction of favorable reservoirs in the study area.

2. Geological Setting

2.1. Tectonic Setting. The Pearl River Mouth Basin, located in the eastern part of the northern margin of the South China Sea (Figure 1(a)), is a passive continental margin rift basin formed in the Cenozoic with a total area of 17,500 km² [47, 48]. The Zhu I sub-basin is located in the northeastern part of the Pearl River Mouth Basin with a NE-SW trending and covers an area of 7,750 km² [49, 50]. The Zhu I sub-basin is composed of Enping, Xijiang, Huizhou, Lufeng, and Hanjiang depressions from west to east (Figure 1(b)). Lufeng depression, surrounded by Northern Uplift Zone, Huilu Low Uplift (HLU), Dongsha Uplift (DU), and Haiyang Uplift (HYU), contains five sags including HF33, HZ5, LF7, LF13W, LF13E, and LF15. The study area, located in the southern Lufeng depression, includes LF13E sag and LF15 sag with an area of 2,100 km². The tectonic

Figure 3: Regional stratigraphic correlation profile AA' of E2w, and the location of the profile AA' is given in Figure 1(b). The different colors represent different members of E2w. It also shows the sequence classification and depositional facies according to the logging facies and lithological association.

Figure 4: Typical logging curves (a), core photos (b), and optical photomicrograph (c) show the braided-delta front characteristics, especially the subaqueous distributary channels and mouth bar. The well location is marked in Figure 1.
evolution of Lufeng depression can be divided into two phases, the syn-rift phase and the post-rift phase. From the bottom up, the Wenchang Formation (Ew6) and Enping Formation (Ew5) correspond to the syn-rift phase and post-rift phase, respectively [51]. Furthermore, based on the evidence of tectonic activities, unconformities, and sedimentary cycles, the syn-rift phase of the deposition of Ew can be further subdivided into three typical stages: the early syn-rift (Ew6), the rift climax (from Ew5 to Ew4), and the late syn-rift (from Ew3 to Ew1) [36].

2.2. Sequence and Sedimentary Characteristics. The Wenchang Formation mainly deposited terrestrial sediments and can be divided into six members (Ew6–Ew1) from the bottom up based on lithological differences and sedimentary cycles. According to the characteristics of well logs and seismic reflection of the interfaces within Ew, two first-order sequence boundaries (SB1 and SB4) and two second-order sequence boundaries (SSB2 and SSB3) were identified (Figures 2 and 3). Based on the identified sequence boundaries, the rift-related Wenchang Formation can be further divided into one first-order sequence (Ew) and three second-order sequences, including SSQ1, SSQ2, and SSQ3, which correspond to the early syn-rift phase (Ew6), rift climax phase (Ew5–Ew4), and late syn-rift phase (Ew3–Ew1) [36]. SSQ1 is composed of transgressive systems tract (TST) and highstand systems tract (HST). SSQ2 is composed of lowstand systems tract (LST), TST, and HST. The LST corresponds to Ew6, while the TST and HST correspond to Ew5. SSQ3 is also composed of LST, TST, and HST and these system tracts correspond to Ew3, Ew2, and Ew1, respectively.

According to the core description, thin-section analysis, shape of logging curve, and seismic reflect patterns, as well as papers published about the study area [3, 36], four main sedimentary facies were recognized in the study area: braided-delta facies, lacustrine facies, fan-delta facies, and nearshore subaqueous facies (Figures 4–6), which can be further divided into a variety of subfacies and microfacies. Three subfacies developed in braided-delta facies: braided-delta plain, braided-delta front, and predelta facies, and the sandbodies of braided-delta front are the main focus of this study. In braided-delta front facies reservoirs, the subaqueous distributary channel sandbodies mostly develop gravel sandstone or medium-coarse sandstone with positive rhythm and erosion surface, which are characterized by box, bell, or superimposed box and bell shapes in the GR log (Figure 4). The mouth bar sandbodies mostly develop relatively pure, cross-beded medium-fine sandstone and the logging curves are funnel-shaped geometry. Sheet sand displays thin sandbodies interbedded with thin mudstone and has a finger-shaped log curve (Figure 4). The seismic reflections of medium amplitude, medium frequency, medium continuity, and sigmoidal or oblique clinoform indicate the braided-delta front deposits (Figure 6). The lacustrine is composed of shallow lacustrine and deep lacustrine, and the beach-bar and subaqueous fan are the two main sandbodies in this deposition environment. The beach-bar sandbodies, developed in shallow lacustrine facies, usually display thin interbedded medium-fine grained sandstones with mudstones, and the logging curves show a finger-shaped geometric structure. The subaqueous fan deposits in the deep lacustrine facies mostly develop thin medium-fine sandstone layers interposed in thick gray-
black mudstone, and the logging curves are sawtooth features (Figure 5). In seismic profiles, shallow lacustrine facies display a chaotic sheet character, semi-deep lacustrine facies show high continuity parallel sheet seismic reflections, and deep lacustrine facies demonstrate semi-parallel sheet seismic reflections (Figure 6). Few wells have drilled fan-delta and nearshore subaqueous fan systems, but on the seismic profiles, fan-delta facies display the chaotic wedge-shaped or the progradational wedge-shaped reflection, whereas nearshore subaqueous fan systems typically show a chaotic wedge-shaped seismic reflection characteristic (Figure 6; [38, 52]). In general, the Wenchang formation experienced a sedimentary evolution of fan-delta – braided-delta – lacustrine – braided delta.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials. In this study, we collected 183 core samples and well-wall core samples in the reservoir intervals of the Wenchang Formation from 8 wells. All of these wells penetrated $E_{2w}$ of the southern Lufeng depression. The depth of $E_{2w}$ ranges from 2,900 m to 4,500 m.

3.2. Methods. The samples were analyzed and measured through a series of techniques, including thin-section observation, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD), standard petrophysical helium porosity and air permeability, and organic matter of vitrinite reflectance analysis. All these experimental projects were completed at the Shenzhen Experimental Center of CNOOC Experimental Center, Engineering Technology Branch of CNOOC Energy Development Co., Ltd.
Figure 8: Continued.
3.2.1. Petrographic Microscope. 183 thin sections were colored by epoxy resin and analyzed by a petrographic microscope. The amounts of detrital and diagenetic components, grain size, and the pore types and pore structure were determined by points counting (300 points in each thin section). Thin sections cover the main sedimentary microfacies in the study area. The carbonate cements were marked with an acid solution of alizarin red and potassium ferrocyanide for identification purpose [53].

3.2.2. Physical Properties Testing. 132 horizontal plugs were conducted standard petrophysical helium porosity and air permeability testing using Ultrapore-300 and KSY-1 equipment. These samples and partial studied thin sections correspond to each other.

3.2.3. Scanning Electron Microscope. 86 polished thin sections were prepared for SEM observation to study and distinguish clay minerals. The morphology and textural relationships among minerals were observed by a Quanta 200 scanning electron microscope equipped with an SEM detector.

3.2.4. X-Ray Diffraction. X-ray diffraction (XRD) analyses of the <2 μm fractions were performed using the centrifuge method in a D/max-2500PC diffractometer in 125 samples [54].

3.2.5. Burial History and Thermal Evolution History. Burial history and source rock maturity history of E 2w in the study area were studied using BasinMoD software. The stratigraphic data of W7 were input into the software, and 26 vitrinite reflectance (Ro) data from 3 wells were used to constrain the paleotemperature of the stratum. Finally, the burial history and the thermal evolution history of the study area were established.

4. Results

4.1. Detrital Composition and Rock Fabric Characteristics. The lithology of sandstone in Wenchang Formation is primarily conglomerate, conglomeratic sandstone, medium-coarse sandstone, fine sandstone, siltstone, and heterogeneous sandstone (Figures 4 and 5). The conglomerate is mainly fine gravel, and the composition is simple and primarily composed of polycrystalline. The structure of the conglomerate is from sub-angular to sub-circular. The lithology of the subaqueous distributary channel is mainly the conglomeratic sandstone, medium-coarse sandstone, and heterogeneous sandstone. Among them, the mud content of the subaqueous distributary channel is the lowest (3.8% on average), the mouth bar and sheet sand are slightly low (5.9% and 5.5% on average, respectively), and the beach-bar and the subaqueous fan are a little high with an average content being 7.7% and 7.3%, respectively.
4.2. Pore Type and Physical Properties

4.2.1. Pore Types of Reservoirs. The main pore type in sandstone reservoir is the residual intergranular pores (maximum facial porosity of 20%, 4.5% on average), and partly secondary pore (maximum facial porosity of 4.5%, 1.5% on average). The residual intergranular pores are cemented by Fe-calcite and filled with kaolinite and calcite (Figures 8(b), 8(c), 8(d), 8(e), and 8(g)). The secondary pores are primarily the intragranular dissolved pore of the feldspar and lithic fragment (Figures 8(e), 8(g), 8(h), and 8(i)), moldic pore, and a little carbonate cement dissolved pores and kaolinite intercrystallite pores. There are a little of intragranular fractures that can be seen in casting thin sections (Figure 8(a)). The pore type of the subaqueous distributary and mouth bar is dominated by the residual intergranular pores, with the intragranular dissolved pores followed (Figure 4(c)). The sheet sand and subaqueous fan sandstone developed more feldspar dissolved pore (Figure 5(c)). The original intergranular pores and dissolved pores are less developed in beach-bar deposits (Figure 5(c)).

4.2.2. Reservoir Physical Property Characteristics and Classification. The measured core porosity ranges from 2.76% to 19.8%, with an average of 12.4%, and the air permeability is from $<0.1\,\text{mD}$ to 271.45 mD, with an average of 45.8 mD. Porosity and permeability decreased significantly with increasing depth (Figure 9).

Figure 9: The vertical distribution of porosity (a) and permeability (b) of different depositional facies sandstones.
Predominant grain contacts in E2w are 4.3.1. Compaction.

4.3. Diagenesis and Authigenic Mineral Formation

4.3.1. Compaction. Predominant grain contacts in E2w are point-line (Figures 8(b) and 8(c)) and concave-convex (Figures 8(d) and 8(f)). Such grain contact indicates that the reservoir has undergone intense mechanical compaction and the primary pores have been destroyed.

4.3.2. Cementation. Cementation, the diagenetic process that dissolved minerals precipitate and fill the pore space between sediment particles, can form different authigenic minerals like quartz, carbonate, and clays.

Figure 10: The plot of porosity versus permeability for different depositional facies. I: medium porosity and low permeability; II: low porosity and low permeability; III: low porosity and ultra-low permeability; IV: ultra-low porosity and ultra-low permeability.

(1) Siliceous Cementation. Secondary quartz, as a kind of common diagenetic mineral, is widely developed in the study area, with a content of 0-6%, and an average of 0.6% (Table 1). Secondary quartz is mainly characterized by quartz overgrowth. Quartz grows beyond the contact point of the detrital particles, and the “dust” line can be seen between the quartz overgrowth and the quartz particle (Figure 8(b)). The quartz overgrowth was encased by kaolinite and early calcite, indicating that it was cemented earlier than the kaolinite and early calcite (Figure 8(b)). The scanning electron micrograph shows that the authigenic quartz coexisted with the illite/smectite mixed layer and illite and blocked the residual intergranular pores (Figures 11(a) and 11(b)).

(2) Carbonate Cementation. The main carbonate cement types in the study area are calcite and Fe-calcite, with a small amount of siderite, dolomite, and Fe-dolomite (Figures 8(b) and 8(e)). The content of carbonate cement varied greatly from 0 to 22%, with an average content of 1.7% (Table 1). The early calcite cement is often extensively developed at the mud-sand interface at the bottom of the distributary channel, with a content of more than 10% (Figure 4 and Table 1). It usually developed at particle contact points, filled the primary pores, and appears red on the stained thin section (Figures 8(b) and 8(c)). The content of Fe-calcite is low, generally <3% (Table 1), and appears dark purple under the stained thin section (Figures 8(d)–8(f)). It usually developed in residual primary intergranular pores and dissolved pores of feldspar, which indicates that the Fe-calcite precipitated later than the dissolution (Figure 8(e)).

(3) Clay Mineral Cementation. Clay minerals widely existed in sandstone reservoirs. According to 37 core samples of E2w, the content of clay mineral ranges from 3% to 13%, with an average of 4.1% (Table 1). Clay mineral cements are mainly composed of chlorite, kaolinite, illite, and illite/smectite mixed layer. Among them, the illite and chlorite are higher in content (Figure 12).

The chlorite cement content is relatively high, varying from 3% to 32%, and with an average of 14% (Table 2). SEM observations reveal that the main forms of chlorite cemented between sandstone particles are pore filling and sheet, and the crystallization is relatively poor (Figure 8(d)). When the depth exceeds 3200 m, the chlorite content increases significantly, indicating that the smectite may undergo chloritization (Figure 12(a); [58]).

Kaolinite is well crystallized and self-shaped, presenting scattered pseudohexagonal crystals or page-like, vermiform aggregates (Figures 11(c) and 11(d)). Kaolinites fill the intergranular pores or intragranular dissolved pores of feldspar near the feldspar dissolution residue, which indicates that the formation of kaolinites may be related to the feldspar dissolution (Figure 11(c)). The kaolinite content increases significantly within a certain depth range (Figure 12(b)). For example, the kaolinite content in the depth range of 3100–3600 m in well W2 and 3600–3900 m in well W4 is higher, but when the depth exceeds 3900 m, the kaolinite
content decreases rapidly because the kaolinite is transformed to illite at this depth (Figures 12(b) and 12(c)). The kaolinite content in subaqueous fan, beach-bar, and mouth bar is higher than that in subaqueous distributary channel and sheet sand (Figure 13(a)).

The illite content of E 2w is high and can reach 96% (Table 2). SEM observations reveal illites have two genetic types: one is transformed from smectite, showing honeycomb, messy sheet, and filaments attached to the surface of particles, and the other is transformed from kaolinite, retaining kaolinite’s crystal form (Figure 11(c)). The content of the illite/smectite mixed layer is relatively low and the content increases first and then decreases with the increasing of burial depth. When the depth exceeds 3900 m, the content decreases rapidly (Figure 12(d)). This clay mineral is characterized by sheet-like monomer and honeycomb, and cotton aggregate covers the surface of particles (Figure 11(a)).

4.3.3. Metasomatism. Metasomatism is also common in the study area, such as the transformation between clay minerals and feldspar alteration. Smectite may be converted to chlorite and illite with the increase in burial depth (Figures 8 and 12(b)–12(d)). Kaolinite is mainly formed by feldspar alteration distributed near feldspar dissolution residue (Figure 8(g)), and the content reaches the maximum within 3600-3900 m. When the depth exceeds 3900 m, kaolinite changes into illite, and the kaolinite content decreases rapidly, while the illite content increases (Figures 12(b) and 12(c)).

4.3.4. Dissolution. Petrological studies show that dissolved pores are developed in various minerals, such as feldspar, lithic fragment, kaolinite, and calcite, especially feldspar dissolution is obvious (Figures 8(g) and 8(h)).

4.4. Diagenetic Stages and Sequences. Based on the analysis of cast thin sections, scanning electron microscopes, X-ray diffraction, vitrinite reflectance, and other data of the reservoir, it is concluded that the Wenchang Formation is in the middle diagenetic A2 stage [59]. In the early diagenetic A stage, the compaction prevailed, and calcite cementation and silicate cementation occurred in the later stage. In the early diagenetic B stage, compaction and early calcite cementation occurred.
FIGURE 12: Continued.
4.5. The Characteristics of the Anomalously High Porosity.

The porosity, greater than the maximum porosity of the normal sandstones for a given lithofacies, age, burial history, and thermal history, is defined as anomalously high porosity [44, 60]. According to the relationship between porosity and the reservoir depth, using the maximum porosity of the reservoir in the vertical direction, it is found that the 3950–4500 m of W4, 4000–4200 m of the W7, and the 3600–3700 m of the W10 developed the anomalously high porosity (Figure 14).

5. Discussion

5.1. Influence of Sedimentation on Reservoir Quality under the Constrain of Sequence Framework. Different sandstone types in different system tracts of the sequence result in different mineral composition and texture, which in turn began to transform into illite, and the illite content increased rapidly as the kaolinite content decreased.
Figure 13: Continued.
affects the reservoir diagenetic evolution and reservoir quality differences [11, 13, 61, 62].

The lowstand systems tract (LST) and highstand systems tract (HST) mainly developed braided-delta front sandbodies. The subaqueous distributary channel sandbodies developed in the braided-delta front have different diagenetic evolutions at different positions inside the sandbodies resulting in different reservoir qualities. The sandstone in the bottom part of the subaqueous distributary channel, depositing during a strong hydrodynamic environment, is characterized by coarse grain size, low matrix content, strong anti-compaction and dissolution, and favorable reservoir physical characteristics, especially the significant permeability (Figures 4, 10, and 13(b)). The sandstone in the upper part of the subaqueous distributary channel, forming during a relatively weak hydrodynamic environment, is dominated by the characteristics of fine grain size, more muddy matrix, soft lithic fragment, and strong compaction. In this kind of sandstone, primary intergranular pores are difficult to preserve, and the dissolution is weak because the acid fluid cannot enter intergranular pores easily (Figure 4), inducing the reservoir physical characteristics of this kind of sandstone is poor. The mouth bar and sheet sand developed in the delta front are mainly medium-fine sandstones with weak cementation and well-developed primary pores (Figures 4 and 13(c)). This type of sandstone is adjacent to the source rock in spatial distribution; thus, it is easy to be dissolved by the organic acid discharged from the source rock (Figure 4), resulting in relatively high porosity. However, this type of sandstone has a fine particle size, and the permeability is not very high. The TST mainly develops lacustrine beachbar and subaqueous fan sandstones. These sandstones are characterized by fine particle size, high content of calcite cement, and a high muddy matrix (Figures 5 and 13(b)–13(d)). The reservoir’s physical properties are generally poor because of the strong compaction and cementation under the rapid burial conditions.

5.2. The Impact of Diagenesis on Reservoir Development. The diagenesis can change sandstone compositions and reservoir physical properties through compaction, cementation, and dissolution [29, 63–65].

5.2.1. Influence of Compaction on Reservoir Qualities of Different Microfacies Sandbodies. The effect of compaction on the reservoir space of sandbodies in different sedimentary microfacies is different [59, 66]. The impact of compaction on the reservoir qualities of subaqueous distributary channel and mouth bar is weaker than that of beach-bar and subaqueous fan sandstone (Figure 15). This is mainly because the detrital components of beach-bar and subaqueous fan sandbodies are more complex and the contents of argillaceous matrix and soft lithic fragment are relatively large, resulting in weak anti-compaction (Figure 4). Under compaction, the porosity decreases rapidly and the physical properties are poor. For subaqueous fan and beach-bar sandbodies, the cementation is stronger, which can also inhibit the pore reduction induced by compaction to a certain extent (Figure 5).

5.2.2. Influence of Different Types of Cementation on Reservoir Space. Cementation plays a destructive role in reservoir development. E,w mainly developed the early calcite cementation, quartz overgrowth, late Fe-calcite cementation, Fe-dolomite cementation, and clay mineral cementation (Figures 8(c)–8(e)). The early calcite mainly occurred at the bottom of the subaqueous distributary channel close to sand-shale interface and beach-bar sandstone (Figure 4(c)), which led to poor physical properties of this part of the sandstone. In other samples, the facial porosity loss by the
Figure 14: The relationship between the depth and the porosity (the logging calculating porosity and the measured core porosity).
early calcite is less than 3% (Figure 13(d)). The quartz overgrowth is also an important reason for the porosity loss [67, 68]. However, the quartz overgrowth in E2w is not significant, with an average content of less than 1%. Clay minerals are more likely to block the pore throat and reduce the pore connectivity, resulting in poor reservoir permeability [69, 70]. For example, illite and illite/smectite mixed layer infill the intergranular pores or wrapped the particles (Figures 11(a) and 11(b)), resulting in reduced porosity and pore connectivity. As the clay mineral content and cement content increase, the porosity decreases obviously (Figures 16(a) and 16(b)). In general, the authigenic minerals in the sandstone reservoir of E2w are limited, and the cement content of most sandstone samples is less than 4% (Figure 14(c)). Therefore, the influence of authigenic minerals on the reservoir’s physical properties is relatively weak.

5.2.3. Influence of Dissolution on Reservoir Space. Dissolution acts as a constructive role in the process of reservoir development. The petrological study shows that feldspar, calcite, rock debris, and kaolinite all undergo certain dissolution, especially feldspar (Figures 8(g) and 8(h)). Therefore, the dissolution mechanism of aluminosilicate minerals and calcite has been discussed in detail in this study, which usually includes two kinds of dissolution: atmospheric fresh
water and organic acids [8, 33, 71, 72]. Atmospheric water dissolution usually occurred at the shallow burial stage. It mainly dissolved the calcite cement formed in the early stage, and the secondary pores often have poor pore structure characteristics under the influence of late diagenesis. With the burial depth of E2w increased to 2900 m, the reservoir temperature could reach 80°C (Figure 17). At this time, the source rock began to mature, and the thermal degradation of the organic matter caused the kerogen to form and discharge organic acid outward (Figure 17). The organic acid entered sandstones causing the dissolution of aluminosilicate minerals and calcite cement, especially the feldspar...
altered into kaolinite [71]. When the burial depth is less than 3900 m, there is a significant negative correlation between the kaolinite content and the feldspar content (Figure 18), indicating that the dissolution that occurred in sandstones of Ew is related to the organic acid. The vertical distribution of facial porosity and secondary facial porosity shows that the proportion of secondary facial porosity to total facial porosity increases gradually with the depth (Figure 19). Although the facial porosity of secondary dissolved pores of Ew is only 0.5%-4.5%, they account for 4%-53% of the total facial porosity. The proportion of secondary porosity is higher and can even reach 30%-50% when the burial depth is more than 4000 m (Figure 19). All of these evidences indicate that the secondary dissolved pores have an important improvement on Ew reservoir porosity.

5.3. The Genesis of the Anomalously High Porosity and High-Quality Reservoirs. The chlorite clay coats, microcrystalline coats, hydrocarbon charging, fluid overpressure, and dissolution can all cause anomalously high porosity in the middle and deep reservoirs [17, 33, 60, 73]. The anomalously high porosity in our research area is primarily found in the subaqueous distributary channel and mouth bar in the LST of SSQ2, corresponding to Ew.

5.3.1. The LST Distributary Channel and Mouth Bar Sandbodies Are the Dominant Facies for the Anomalously High Porosity and High-Quality Reservoirs. The anomalously high porosity is usually developed in subaqueous distributary channel and mouth bar sandstones that are deposited in strong hydrodynamic conditions. These sandstones contain high quartz content, usually higher than 80%, so that they can retain a higher content of intergranular pore space even after compaction; thus, high quartz content is the basis for the ultimate pervasive intergranular pores [74]. Due to the high hydrodynamic sedimentary conditions, these sandstones are coarse grain in size (primarily pebbly coarse sandstone, coarse-medium sandstone), good sorting, low content of muddy matrix, high primary porosity and permeability, and strong anti-compaction capability. At the late diagenetic stage, acidic fluid is also prone to enter this kind of reservoir for dissolution. Therefore, the subaqueous distributary channel and mouth bar in LST are very favorable for the formation of high-quality reservoirs.

5.3.2. Secondary Pores Caused by Hydrocarbon Charging Are the Cause of Abnormally High Porosity and High-Quality Reservoirs. The pores for the anomalously high porosity are composed of not only a large number of primary intergranular pores but also many secondary dissolved pores. According to the facial porosity statistics from the thin sections, the facial porosity of the secondary dissolved pores account for 30% of the anomalously high porosity (Figure 19), which is higher than that in normal sandstones, indicating that the secondary dissolved pores are an important reason for the development of anomalously high porosity.

The Ew in the southern Lufeng depression is the main source rock member. With the burial depth of source rock increased to 2700 m, vitrinite reflectance (Ro) reached about 0.5%, the source rock began to enter the hydrocarbon generation threshold, and the organic matter began to release a large number of organic acids (Figure 17). With the further increase of burial depth, the maturity of source rock also increased accordingly. When the burial depth reached 3300 m, the source rock reached the oil generation peak (Figure 17). Along with oil and gas, a large number of organic acids preferentially entered the sandstone reservoir with good porosity and permeability in LST, resulting in the dissolution of feldspar and lithic fragments and resistance to compaction to a certain extent. With the dissolution of feldspar, the content decreased, and the secondary dissolved pores and kaolinite increased correspondingly (Figures 17–19). The depth range of the anomalously high porosity just matches the source rock depth during peak oil generation (Figure 17), which is the favorable factor for the development of secondary pores.

5.3.3. Hydrocarbon Charging Prevents Abnormally High Porosity and High-Quality Reservoirs from Being Damaged by Late Diagenesis. Hydrocarbon charging inhibits the late diagenesis and protects the primary intergranular pores, which is conducive to the formation of the anomalously high porosity reservoirs [44, 75]. The anomalously high porosity reservoir in our research area is mainly developed in the oil-bearing strata Ew near source rock Ew, indicating that the development of anomalously high porosity may be related to the hydrocarbon charging. According to the hydrocarbon generation and charging history of Ew in the Lufeng depression, hydrocarbon generated by source rock Ew at the peak hydrocarbon generation period migrated vertically and laterally along the widely developed sandbodies of LST and faults and accumulated in sand bodies of Ew at the time of 13 Ma (Figure 17; [76]). This indicated that the oil-bearing sandstone had been charged and accumulated oil before entering deep burial (Figure 14), which inhibited the physical and chemical compaction and cementation in deep burial stage, and protected primary intergranular pores and secondary dissolved pores. In addition, the
dissolution of uncompacted feldspar residues by organic acid also indicated that the Ew subsandstones had not undergone intense compaction during the late diagenetic stage (Figures 8(g) and 8(h)). The relatively high content of intergranular pores, low cement content, and high porosity and permeability of oil-bearing sandstones indicate that hydrocarbon charging inhibits the late diagenesis and protects the reservoir space. (Figures 4, 13(c), and 20).

6. Conclusion

(1) The lithology of E2w sandstone reservoir is mainly lithic quartz sandstone, and the pore types are dominated by residual intergranular pores and intragranular dissolved pores. The average porosity of the reservoir is 12.4% and the average permeability is 45.8 mD. The sandstone reservoirs of E2w have undergone a series of diagenetic processes and are currently in the middle diagenetic A2 stage. According to the difference of physical properties, the E2w reservoir can be divided into four types, of which high-quality and abnormally high porosity reservoirs are mainly developed in LST of SSQ2, corresponding to Ew.

(2) Different microfacies sandstone reservoirs in different system tracts have different material compositions and later diagenetic evolution. The subaqueous distributary channel and mouth bar sandstones deposited in LST and HST display coarse grain size, good sorting, high quartz content, and low muddy matrix content, which induced strong anti-compaction capability and a higher content of intergranular pore space.
Affected by different diagenetic evolutions, the reservoir quality in the bottom part of subaqueous distributary channel is better than that in the upper part. The subaqueous distributary channel and mouth bar sandstones in LST of SSQ2 are adjacent to Ew4 source rock in TST of SSQ2, which makes it easier for organic acids generated during the peak period of hydrocarbon generation and expulsion to enter these sandstone reservoirs for dissolution, resulting in the formation of anomalously high porosity and high-quality reservoirs. The beach-bar and subaqueous fan sandstones developed in TST have fine particle size and high muddy matrix content, which result in strong compaction and cementation, and weak dissolution, and finally poor reservoir physical properties.

(3) Compaction and cementation have different destructive effects on the pores of different sedimentary microfacies reservoirs. The compaction strength of subaqueous distributary channel and mouth bar sandstones is weaker than that of beach-bar and subaqueous fan sandstones due to their high quartz content and hydrocarbon charging. Quartz overgrowth, calcite cementation, and clay mineral cementation are widely developed in the area, but due to its low content, it has a relatively weak effect on the reservoir’s physical properties. Dissolution, especially organic acid dissolution of feldspar and calcite cementation, leads to the formation of a large number of secondary dissolved pores. Organic acid dissolution, accompanied by hydrocarbon charging before deep burial, led to the formation of abnormally high porosity and high-quality reservoirs in LST of SSQ2.
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