Posaconazole for prevention of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in critically ill influenza patients (POSA-FLU): a randomised, open-label, proof-of-concept trial
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Abstract

Purpose: Influenza-associated pulmonary aspergillosis (IAPA) is a frequent complication in critically ill influenza patients, associated with significant mortality. We investigated whether antifungal prophylaxis reduces the incidence of IAPA.

Methods: We compared 7 days of intravenous posaconazole (POS) prophylaxis with no prophylaxis (standard-of-care only, SOC) in a randomised, open-label, proof-of-concept trial in patients admitted to an intensive care unit (ICU) with respiratory failure due to influenza (ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT03378479). Adult patients with PCR-confirmed influenza were block randomised (1:1) within 10 days of symptoms onset and 48 h of ICU admission. The primary endpoint was the incidence of IAPA during ICU stay in patients who did not have IAPA within 48 h of ICU admission (modified intention-to-treat (MITT) population).

Results: Eighty-eight critically ill influenza patients were randomly allocated to POS or SOC. IAPA occurred in 21 cases (24%), the majority of which (71%, 15/21) were diagnosed within 48 h of ICU admission, excluding them from the MITT population. The incidence of IAPA was not significantly reduced in the POS arm (5.4%, 2/37) compared with SOC (11.1%, 4/36; between-group difference 5.7%; 95% CI −10.8 to 21.7; p = 0.32). ICU mortality of early IAPA was high (53%), despite rapid antifungal treatment.

Conclusion: The higher than expected incidence of early IAPA precludes any definite conclusion on POS prophylaxis. High mortality of early IAPA, despite timely antifungal therapy, indicates that alternative management strategies are needed.
Introduction

Invasive pulmonary aspergillosis (IPA) is a life-threatening fungal infection, typically occurring in severely immunocompromised patients with prolonged, profound neutropenia. In recent years, IPA has been increasingly described in non-traditional risk groups, such as patients in medical intensive care units (ICUs), particularly in the setting of severe viral pneumonia. Recent cohort studies reported influenza-associated pulmonary aspergillosis (IAPA) as a severe secondary infection with poor outcome [1–6]. In a retrospective analysis of 432 influenza patients admitted to Belgian-Dutch ICU facilities, 19% suffered from IAPA, with higher organ support requirements, longer duration of ICU stay and an almost doubled mortality rate compared to critically ill influenza patients without IAPA (51% vs. 28%) [2]. Furthermore, a mortality rate as high as 90% was reported in influenza patients who presented with invasive Aspergillus tracheobronchitis, which may affect up to 30% of IAPA patients [7]. Early diagnosis is often challenging, and management may be complicated by the presence of azole resistance in Aspergillus fumigatus [8]. Given the high incidence and ICU mortality of IAPA, prevention by administering antifungal prophylaxis might be a feasible management strategy. However, no antifungal agents are currently licensed for prophylaxis in patients admitted to the ICU. Posaconazole (POS) was shown to be effective in neutropenic patients with acute myeloid leukaemia and those with graft-versus-host disease following allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplantation, lowering the incidence of IPA to below 2% in these high-risk patient groups as well as attributable mortality [9–11]. The favourable safety profile, availability as an intravenous formulation, accumulation in lung tissue, and good residual activity against azole-resistant A. fumigatus, make this drug a suitable candidate to be evaluated as prophylaxis in the setting of severe influenza in ICU [12–15].

However, at present, several critical parameters remain unknown, which preclude performing a large blinded randomised controlled prophylaxis trial. One factor is the time of onset of IPA in patients who present with influenza in the ICU. Although the retrospective cohort studies consistently indicate that IAPA develops early on, with a median of 3 days after influenza diagnosis [2], this estimate is influenced by the timing of diagnostic procedures. Excluding the presence of IAPA is critical as patients with evidence of IAPA require immediate antifungal therapy. A second factor is the duration of antifungal prophylaxis. Influenza infection is likely to be associated with a temporary risk for IPA. Animal models have shown a time-dependent increased risk of bacterial superinfection in influenza, implying a transitory modulation of host immune responses [16]. The associated increased susceptibility to co-infections likely also applies to fungal superinfection [17–19]. A third knowledge gap is the pharmacokinetic profile of POS in critically ill patients, with limited data favouring the use of the intravenous formulation [20, 21]. To gain insight into the above-mentioned factors, a proof-of-concept, randomised, open-label clinical pilot trial on POS prophylaxis in critically ill influenza patients was performed.

Methods

Study design

In this prospective, randomised, open-label, proof-of-concept trial, we compared POS prophylaxis vs. no prophylaxis (standard-of-care only, SOC) as management strategies for IAPA in critically ill influenza patients. The trial was conducted in nine centers participating in the Dutch-Belgian Mycosis Study Group, in collaboration with three centers in France (supplementary Table 1), from December 2017 until March 2020. The study protocol was approved by the ethical committee of all participating centers in Belgium and France, and by the independent ethical committee Arnhem-Nijmegen for all five participating Dutch sites (CMO 2018-4041), and written informed consent from each patient or their legal representative was obtained prior to any study procedures. The authors designed the study, gathered the clinical data, and were responsible for the analysis of the data.

Take-home message

The higher than expected incidence of IAPA at ICU admission (71% of IAPA cases) and the lower than expected incidence in the remaining MITT population precludes any definite conclusions on posaconazole as prophylacticum. Immediate diagnostic fungal assessment upon ICU admission combined with differentiated strategies are likely needed to manage IAPA in the ICU.
Participants
Patients 18 years of age or older were eligible if admitted to a participating ICU due to respiratory distress, with PCR-confirmed influenza within 7 days before or 48 h after ICU admission. To be eligible, influenza-compatible symptoms had to be present for no more than 10 days before ICU admission. Exclusion criteria were pregnancy, expected survival on ICU admission of less than 48 h, mycological evidence for IAPA at study inclusion, active treatment with antifungal agents for IPA, a history of intolerance of or hypersensitivity to azole antifungal agents, a prolonged QTc interval (≥ 500 ms), liver cirrhosis Child–Pugh class C or participation in another interventional clinical trial. Patients were not excluded if they were receiving medications known to interact adversely with POS, yet continuous monitoring for adverse events and therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) of calcineurin-inhibitors was advised according to the study protocol.

Randomisation and masking
Patients who met eligibility criteria were randomly assigned in a 1:1 ratio to receive either POS prophylaxis or SOC, within 48 h of ICU admission. Randomisation was done by a centralised online randomisation system (Sealed Envelope Ltd, UK), with variable permuted blocks without stratification. Participants, caregivers and study staff were aware of treatment allocation.

Procedures
At study inclusion all patients underwent comprehensive evaluation for the presence of an invasive fungal infection, consisting of bronchoscopy with broncho-alveolar lavage (BAL, if considered safe as judged by the treating physician) for galactomannan (GM) detection, *Aspergillus* PCR and culture, and serum GM assessment. Per protocol a 48 h window was defined to complete this diagnostic assessment, with a preference for assessment and randomisation on the first day of ICU admission. Throughout the ICU admission period, presence of invasive fungal infection was assessed in both groups by similar diagnostic procedures.

Patients assigned to the prophylaxis arm, received the first dose of POS prophylaxis within 48 h of ICU admission. Prophylaxis consisted of commercially available POS intravenous formulation (Noxafil, MSD), starting with a loading dose of 300 mg twice daily on the day of randomisation (day 1), followed by a once-daily administration of 300 mg from day 2 onwards for a total treatment duration of 7 days (or less, in case of occurrence of a protocol specified endpoint: evidence of invasive fungal infection requiring treatment and/or an adverse event requiring discontinuation of study medication). Treatment was administered by slow infusion over 90 min through central venous access (except for the first dose, where peripheral venous access was permissible). Oseltamivir treatment was permitted in either group, and was started or continued at the discretion of the treating physician.

Although therapeutic and prophylactic intravenous POS doses employed are identical, this azole is currently not indicated as a first-line treatment for IPA [11]. Therefore, when IAPA was diagnosed based on clinical and mycological evidence with radiological abnormalities, targeted antifungal treatment was started and POS prophylaxis was discontinued. The type and duration of targeted systemic antifungal treatment were determined by the treating physician according to national guidelines on the management of IPA.

Clinical data, routine biochemistry, mycological diagnostic testing and antifungal drug use were recorded in electronic case report forms (eCRFs) using Castor EDC (Castor electronic data capture, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Patients were followed up for 90 days after randomisation.

An independent data review committee, consisting of a clinician (JM) and a microbiologist (JBB), both not involved in patient care of the included patients, with substantial expertise in invasive fungal infections, were blinded to the treatment allocation and reviewed and classified all cases of fungal infection according to the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer/Mycoses Study Group Education and Research Consortium (EORTC/MSGERC) [22], modified *AspiICU* algorithm [2], and ICM2020 case definition [23]. If IAPA was diagnosed based on diagnostic work-up performed within 48 h of ICU admission, this was considered as ‘early IAPA’; if first diagnostic evidence was found from day 3 of ICU admission onwards, it is further referred to in this manuscript as ‘late IAPA’. In accordance with modified *AspiICU* criteria, the presence of a single sputum/bronchial aspirate culture positive for *Aspergillus* species was considered colonization, and thus not a reason for prophylaxis cessation.

Outcomes
The primary endpoint was the incidence of IAPA during ICU stay, as adjudicated by the independent data review panel based on the modified *AspiICU* criteria [2]. Secondary endpoints included the timing of IAPA diagnosis, length of ICU and hospital stay, ICU and hospital mortality and mortality evaluated at 90 days after ICU admission. If patients were discharged before day 90, the local investigator contacted the patient or relatives by telephone to ensure the status of the patient and record
90-day mortality. Adverse events were recorded from randomisation until 90 days thereafter. These events were classified according to the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events of the National Cancer Institute, version 4.0. Reasons for early discontinuation of study medication were recorded.

Statistical analysis
The primary efficacy analysis was based on a one-sided hypothesis test using a modified intention-to-treat (MITT) approach, excluding those patients in whom IAPA was diagnosed based on the mycological work-up performed at study randomisation because in fact IAPA was already present at the day of inclusion in these patients. Within the POS prophylaxis arm of the study, patients who received at least 1 full dose of POS and did not present with early IAPA were included in the MITT population. Sample size calculation was based on the primary efficacy endpoint. IAPA incidence was estimated at 25% and considering an 80% reduction in incidence to 5% in the POS prophylaxis group, and a power of 80%, 47 patients in each study arm were required. To allow for sufficient patient inclusion in the MITT study population, a study enrolment of 110 patients in total was anticipated to be sufficient.

Categorical variables are reported as numbers and percentages, and continuous variables as means ± standard deviation (SD) or medians and interquartile range (IQR), as appropriate. Differences in categorical variables were assessed using the Chi-Square test or the Fisher’s Exact test and for the analysis of continuous variables the Student’s t test or the Mann–Whitney U test was used, as appropriate. Confidence intervals for comparison of proportions are based on the Newcombe-Wilson method. The time to onset of IAPA in the MITT population was evaluated with the use of the Kaplan–Meier analysis and log-rank test, patient data were censored at 90 days after ICU admission. Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated with the use of log-rank analysis of the effect of POS prophylaxis in the MITT population in this time-to-event analysis. The comparison of the treatment groups with respect to the length of stay (ICU and hospital) was performed using Gray’s test for competing event data. For this analysis, length of stay was defined as the time to discharge alive, while death was considered as a competing event. Significance was defined as p values < 0.05 (two-sided analyses except for the primary endpoint). Statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows version 26 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and Prism version 8.4.2 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). This study was registered with ClinicalTrials.gov, number NCT03378479 and the protocol can be found in the supplementary materials.

Results
Study population
Between December 1, 2017 and March 31, 2020, a total of 252 critically ill influenza patients were screened at 12 intensive care centers in Belgium, The Netherlands and France and 88 patients were found eligible and were randomised (Fig. 1). Baseline diagnostic work-up (serum GM and BAL GM if the bronchoscopic evaluation was feasible) was performed to exclude fungal infection within 48 h of ICU admission. Study medication was initiated before test results were available.

Based on the results of the baseline diagnostic work-up, 15 patients were diagnosed with IAPA within the first 48 h of ICU admission (early IAPA) and were therefore excluded from the MITT population. Of the 73 patients in the MITT population, 51% (37/73) received POS prophylaxis and 49% (36/73) received SOC (Fig. 1). Both the MITT patient group and the patient group with early IAPA were well balanced in terms of baseline and ICU clinical characteristics (Table 1 and supplementary table 2).

Efficacy and safety
Within the MITT population, the incidence of proven and putative IAPA during ICU stay was 5.4% in patients receiving POS prophylaxis (2/37) and 11.1% in patients receiving SOC [4/36, between-group difference 5.7% (95% CI – 10.8 to 21.7); p = 0.32, Table 2]. Although IAPA diagnosis seemed to occur later on during ICU stay in patients receiving POS prophylaxis [10 (IQR 8–12) days vs. 5 (IQR 3–8) days after ICU admission], the hazard ratio was not statistically significant [0.46 (0.09–2.29), p = 0.36] (Fig. 2). Length of ICU and hospital stay were similar in both study arms (Table 2).

In this study, POS prophylaxis was discontinued prematurely in 24% (9/37) of patients, after a mean of 4.6 days of administration (SD 1.2). Reasons for POS prophylaxis discontinuation and adverse events were considered treatment-unrelated and are listed in supplementary table 3. Similar rates of grade 3 liver enzyme elevation [POS prophylaxis 3/37 (8%) vs. SOC 1/36 (3%), p = 0.61] and QTc prolongation [POS prophylaxis 2/37 (5%) vs. SOC 1/36 (3%), p > 0.99] were found in both treatment groups.

Invasive pulmonary aspergillosis
Characteristics of all IAPA cases are summarized in Table 3. Early IAPA was found in 15 cases (71% of all IAPA) and late IAPA occurred in 6 cases (29% of all
IAPA). All early IAPA patients required ventilator support, with a median of 12 (IQR 7–22) intubation days and need for ECMO in a third (5/15) of the cases. In early IAPA cases mycological work-up at admission included GM determination in BAL samples more often compared to MITT population (supplementary table 2). BAL GM was positive in 11/14 (79%) early IAPA cases [optical density index (ODI) ≥ 1, median value of positivity 2.9 (IQR 1.4–5.6)] and bronchial aspirate (BA) or BAL culture grew *A. fumigatus* in 8 early IAPA patients, with 1 patient additionally showing evidence of *A. terreus* infection. BAL GM was positive in 4/6 (67%) of late IAPA cases and BAL cultures were positive in 2. Positive serum GM was found in 5/13 (38%) early IAPA cases [ODI ≥ 0.5, median value of positivity 0.7 (IQR 0.6–2.3)], none of these positive patients had a host factor as per EORTC/MSGERC criteria (Table 3). Furthermore, IAPA tracheobronchitis, defined as airway plaques in conjunction with hyphae on biopsy or with positive GM in serum or BAL or positive BAL culture, was identified in 4 (27%) early IAPA cases. Antifungal treatment was initiated on average 3 days (SD 2) after ICU admission in early IAPA cases (Table 3). Screening for azole resistance (using broth microdilution testing with EUCAST methodology and clinical breakpoints [24] or PCR-based detection of TR34/L98H and TR46/Y121F/T289A resistance mechanisms) was performed in nine early IAPA cases, all of which were POS susceptible. Despite early diagnosis and treatment, ICU mortality of patients with early IAPA was 53% (8/15). In the only patient that underwent autopsy, IAPA was confirmed.

IAPA was diagnosed in two patients who had received POS prophylaxis after completing the full 7-day course, at day 8 and day 12 of ICU admission, respectively. The first case was a patient receiving corticosteroids (at a lower dose than defined as an EORTC/MSGERC host factor criterion) for an auto-inflammatory disorder, who was treated with high dose corticosteroid treatment during ICU stay. The second case had a history of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and received high dose corticosteroid treatment during ICU stay as well (Table 3, case 16 and 17 respectively). Screening forazole resistance was negative. As their ICU stay was 23 and 13 days respectively, these two late IAPA cases did
not drive the extended length of stay of the POS prophylaxis arm of the study.

IAPA infection was diagnosed in four patients in the SOC group, one of which was a proven case diagnosed post-mortem. No cases of invasive *Aspergillus* tracheobronchitis nor GM serum positivity were found in the late IAPA cases (Table 3, supplementary table 2).

In the entire study cohort, IAPA cases had higher ICU mortality than non-IAPA critically ill influenza patients (57% vs. 18%, *p* = 0.0013; supplementary table 2).

### Table 1 Baseline and ICU characteristics of the modified intention-to-treat population

|                                | Posaconazole prophylaxis (n = 37) | Standard-of-care (n = 36) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| **Mean age—years (SD)**        | 59 (16)                          | 63 (15)                   |
| **Sex**                        |                                  |                           |
| Male                           | 23 (62%)                         | 18 (50%)                  |
| Female                         | 14 (38%)                         | 18 (50%)                  |
| **BMI > 30 kg/m²**             | 14/37 (38%)                      | 9/35 (26%)                |
| **Diabetes mellitus**          | 5 (14%)                          | 5 (14%)                   |
| **Liver cirrhosis**            | 0 (0%)                           | 1 (3%)                    |
| **COPD**                       | 6 (16%)                          | 8 (22%)                   |
| **EORTC/MSG ERC host factor**  |                                  |                           |
| Haematological malignancy      | 3 (8%)                           | 0 (0%)                    |
| Solid organ transplant         | 0 (0%)                           | 0 (0%)                    |
| Solid organ malignancy         | 1 (3%)                           | 4 (11%)                   |
| Neutropenia                    | 0 (0%)                           | 0 (0%)                    |
| Systemic CS 30 days before ICU admission | 12/36 (33%)             | 10/36 (28%)               |
| Median dose CS 30 days before ICU admission, mg/kg/day prednisone equivalent (IQR) | 0.07 (0.02–0.18), n = 12 | 0.11 (0.02–0.36), n = 10 |
| Smoking in the past year       | 14/31 (45%)                      | 14/28 (50%)               |
| **Influenza type**             |                                  |                           |
| Influenza A                    | 27 (73%)                         | 32 (89%)                  |
| Influenza B                    | 10 (27%)                         | 3 (8%)                    |
| Influenza A and B              | 0 (0%)                           | 1 (3%)                    |
| Influenza vaccination status    | 5/26 (19%)                       | 8/23 (33%)                |
| Mean APACHE II score on ICU admission (SD) | 20 (8), n = 37          | 19 (7), n = 35            |
| Median days between onset influenza symptoms and ICU admission (IQR) | 3 (3–7), n = 35 | 4 (3–6), n = 33 |
| Median days between hospital and ICU admission (IQR) | 1 (0–3) | 0 (0–2) |
| Ventilatory supporta           | 33 (89%)                         | 34 (94%)                  |
| Invasive ventilatory support   | 21 (57%)                         | 20 (56%)                  |
| Median duration of non-invasive ventilation—days (IQR) | 5 (2–8), n = 27          | 3 (1–6), n = 24           |
| Median duration of invasive ventilation—days (IQR) | 14 (8–28), n = 21 | 14 (6–25), n = 20 |
| Nitric oxide inhalation        | 5/34 (15%)                       | 2/36 (6%)                 |
| Prone ventilation              | 8 (22%)                          | 8 (22%)                   |
| ECMO                           | 7 (19%)                          | 3 (8%)                    |
| Vasopressor therapy            | 25 (68%)                         | 22 (61%)                  |
| Renal replacement therapy      | 8 (22%)                          | 4 (11%)                   |
| Neuraminidase inhibitor treatment | 37 (100%)                    | 33 (92%)                  |
| Median duration of NAI treatment—days (IQR) | 7 (5–9), n = 37          | 6 (4–9), n = 32           |
| CS treatment during ICU        | 21 (57%)                         | 20 (56%)                  |
| Median dose CS during ICU admission, mg/kg/day prednisone equivalent (IQR) | 0.27 (0.08–0.71), n = 21 | 0.42 (0.31–0.87), n = 20 |

Data are n (%) unless otherwise indicated. All *p* values were > 0.05

APACHE acute physiology and chronic health evaluation, BMI body mass index, BIPAP bilevel positive airway pressure, COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, CPAP continuous positive airway pressure, CS corticosteroids, ECMO extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, EORTC/MSG ERC European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer/Mycoses Study Group Education and Research Consortium, ICU intensive care unit, IQR interquartile range, NAI neuraminidase inhibitor, SD standard deviation

* Ventilatory support includes high flow nasal cannula, non-invasive BIPAP/CPAP and invasive mechanical ventilation
Discussion

We describe the first randomised clinical trial on anti-fungal prophylaxis in critically ill patients admitted to the ICU with respiratory failure due to influenza. The overall incidence of IAPA in the entire study population was as high as anticipated (24%). However, as 15 of the IAPA cases (15/21, 71%) were diagnosed immediately after ICU admission, they had to be excluded from the MITT population as predefined in the protocol. This resulted in a substantially lower incidence of IAPA in the MITT population and as such underpowered the study. Although the number of IAPA cases in the POS prophylaxis arm was half of that in the SOC arm (5.4% vs. 11.1%), this reduction was not statistically significant. Moreover, POS prophylaxis did not positively impact mortality, the type and median duration of respiratory support or length of stay. In our opinion, the most important and clinically relevant findings of our study were that in 71% of the cases IAPA was present at ICU admission, and that the mortality of early IAPA was 53% despite prompt diagnosis and treatment.

The early IAPA cases demonstrated clues towards a more advanced disease process (positivity of serum GM and presence of tracheobronchitis) compared with the late IAPA cases, whereas the host risk profile was similar in both groups. Although previous cohort studies have indicated IAPA as an early secondary infection after ICU admission, the current study showed that almost half of the cases were present at ICU admission. This highlights the need for early detection and prompt treatment to improve patient outcomes.

Table 2 Primary and secondary outcome measures of the modified intention-to-treat population

| Outcome Measure                                      | POSaconazole prophylaxis (n = 37) | Standard-of-care (n = 36) | p value |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| **Primary endpoint**                                  |                                   |                          |         |
| IAAP incidence during ICU stay                        | 2 (5.4%)                          | 4 (11.1%)                | 0.32    |
| Between-group difference (95% CI) IAPA incidence      | 5.7% (−10.8 to 21.7)              | –                        | –       |
| **Secondary endpoints**                               |                                   |                          |         |
| **Timing IAPA diagnosis**                            |                                   |                          |         |
| Median timing of IAPA diagnosis—days (IQR)            | 10 (8–12)                         | 5 (3–8)                  | 0.27    |
| **Length of stay**                                   |                                   |                          |         |
| Median length of ICU stay—days (IQR)                  | 16 (8–29), n = 30                 | 6 (3–12), n = 27         | 0.76    |
| Median length of hospital stay—days (IQR)             | 25 (18–45), n = 28                | 12 (9–35), n = 25        | 0.56    |
| **Mortality**                                         |                                   |                          |         |
| ICU                                                  | 7 (18.9%)                         | 9 (25.0%)                | 0.58    |
| Hospital                                             | 8 (21.6%)                         | 10 (27.8%)               | 0.60    |
| 90-day                                               | 9 (24.3%)                         | 11 (30.6%)               | 0.61    |
| **90-day mortality**                                 |                                   |                          |         |
| ICU                                                  | 7 (18.9%)                         | 9 (25.0%)                | 0.58    |
| Hospital                                             | 8 (21.6%)                         | 10 (27.8%)               | 0.60    |
| **90-day mortality**                                 |                                   |                          |         |
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| **90-day mortality**                                 |                                   |                          |         |
| ICU                                                  | 7 (18.9%)                         | 9 (25.0%)                | 0.58    |
| Hospital                                             | 8 (21.6%)                         | 10 (27.8%)               | 0.60    |

CI confidence interval, IAPA influenza-associated pulmonary aspergillosis, ICU intensive care unit, IQR interquartile range

*p value based on a one-sided Fisher’s Exact test, confidence interval for comparison of proportions based on the Newcombe-Wilson method

**Timing IAPA diagnosis**

Time to onset of IAPA was evaluated with the use of the Kaplan–Meier analysis and two-sided log-rank test, patient data were censored at 90 days after ICU admission.

Length of stay was defined as the time to discharge alive, while death was considered as a competing event. Median and IQR of days to alive discharge are shown. Two-sided statistical significance testing was performed using Gray’s test for competing event data.

**90-day mortality**

*p value based on a two-sided Fisher’s Exact test, confidence interval for comparison of proportions based on the Newcombe-Wilson method

Fig. 2 Time to influenza-associated pulmonary aspergillosis. Data derived from modified intention-to-treat population; at 90 days after intensive care admission all patient data were censored. IAPA diagnosis based on modified AspICU criteria. CI confidence interval, HR hazard ratio, IAPA influenza-associated pulmonary aspergillosis, ICU intensive care unit, N° number, POS posaconazole prophylaxis, SOC standard-of-care
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## Table 3 Overview of all influenza-associated pulmonary aspergillosis cases

| IAPA study arm | Age/sex | Underlying risk factor | Serum GM ODI (first; highest) | BAL GM ODI (first; highest) | BAL Aspergillus PCR | Culture (source; isolate) | Azole susceptibility | Tracheobronchitis | EORTC/MSGERC [7] | Modified AspICU [2] | ICM2020 case definition [23] | Days between ICU admission and diagnosis IAPA | Days between IAPA and start antifungal therapy | Therapy | Outcome |
|----------------|---------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|---------|
| 1              | Early   | 69/F COPD              | 1.13; 1.13                   | 2.94; 2.94                  | NP                    | BAL; BA; A. fumigatus; A. terreus | No data               | No                | NC             | IPA            | Probable IAPA                                    | 1                               | 0                                | Vorico (11d) | Died in ICU (12d) |
| 2              | Early   | 61/F COPD              | NP; NP                       | 1.24; 1.24                  | Positive              | NA                     | No data               | No                | NC             | IPA            | Probable IAPA                                    | 2                               | 3                                | Vorico (12d) | Died in ICU (18d) |
| 3              | Early   | 70/M                   | NP; NP                       | 6.5; 6.5                    | Positive              | NA                     | Susceptible           | No                | NC             | IPA            | Probable IAPA                                    | 1                               | NA                               | None                   | Died in ICU (3d) |
| 4              | Early   | 85/M                   | 0.1; 0.1                     | 1.3; 1.3                    | Negative              | NA                     | No data               | No                | NC             | IPA            | Probable IAPA                                    | 1                               | 1                                | Vorico (43d) | Alive               |
| 5              | Early   | 50/M CS before ICU 0.06 mg/kg/day | 0.1; 0.1 | 5.3; 5.6 | Positive              | NA                     | Susceptible           | No                | NC             | IPA            | Probable IAPA                                    | 2                               | 1                                | Vorico and Casp Amp B (69d) | Alive |
| 6              | Early   | 49/M                   | 0.05; 0.05                   | 2.09; 2.09                  | Positive              | BAL; BA; A. fumigatus | Susceptible           | No                | NC             | IPA            | Probable IAPA                                    | 2                               | 0                                | Vorico (43d) | Alive               |
| 7              | Early   | 60/F COPD, CS before ICU 0.22 mg/kg/day | 0.7; 0.7 | NP; NP | NP                      | BAL; BA; A. fumigatus | Susceptible           | No                | NC             | IPA            | Probable IAPA                                    | 1                               | 1                                | Vorico and Casp (10d) | Died in ICU (12d) |
| 8              | Early   | 51/F                   | 0.3; 0.3                     | 2.6; 2.6                    | Negative              | NA                     | No data               | No                | NC             | IPA            | Probable IAPA                                    | 1                               | 0                                | POS and Micafun (4d) | Died in ICU (6d) |
| 9              | Early   | 63/M                   | 3.5; 3.5                     | 8.9; 8.9                    | Positive              | BAL; A. fumigatus     | Susceptible           | Yes               | NC             | IPA            | Probable IAPA                                    | 2                               | 0                                | Vorico and Micafun (2d) | Died in ICU (5d) |
| 10             | Early   | 66/F COPD              | 0.6; 0.6                     | 0.6; 0.6                    | NP                    | BAL; A. fumigatus     | Susceptible           | No                | NC             | IPA            | Probable IAPA                                    | 1                               | 3                                | Vorico and Anidula (10d) | Alive |
| 11             | Early   | 60/M COPD, CS before ICU (dose missing) | 0.1; 0.2 | 0.2; 0.2 | NP                      | BAL; A. fumigatus     | Susceptible           | Yes               | NC             | IPA            | Probable IAPA                                    | 1                               | 3                                | Vorico and Anidula and neb-Amp B (16d) | Alive |

The variables include: IAPA: influenza-associated pulmonary aspergillosis; study arm: the study in which the case was enrolled; Age/sex: the patient's age and sex; Underlying risk factor: any underlying condition that may have contributed to the development of aspergillosis; Serum GM ODI: the first and highest values of the serum galactomannan (GM) ODI; BAL GM ODI: the first and highest values of the bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) GM ODI; BAL Aspergillus PCR: positive culture for Aspergillus species from BAL; Culture (source; isolate): the isolate from which the Aspergillus species was cultured; Azole susceptibility: sensitivity to azole antifungal agents; Tracheobronchitis: positive culture for Aspergillus species from the tracheobronchitis sample; EORTC/MSGERC [7]: a modified Aspergillus case definition; Modified AspICU [2]: the ICM2020 case definition; ICM2020 case definition [23]: the ICM2020 case definition; Days between ICU admission and diagnosis IAPA: the number of days after ICU admission that the case was diagnosed with IAPA; Days between IAPA and start antifungal therapy: the number of days after the diagnosis of IAPA that antifungal therapy was initiated; Therapy: the antifungal regimen used; Outcome: the patient's clinical outcome.
| IAPA: study arm | Age/sex | Underlying risk factor | Serum GM ODI (first; highest) | BAL GM ODI (first; highest) | BAL Aspergillus PCR | Culture (source; isolate) | Azole susceptibility* | Tracheobronchitis* | EORTC/MSGERC [2] | Modified AspICU [2] | ICM2020 case definition [23] | Days between ICU admission and diagnosis IAPA | Days between IAPA and start antifungal therapy | Therapy | Outcome |
|----------------|--------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| 12 Early 52/M | /      | /                      | 0.5; 0.5                      | 0.1; 0.1                     | NA                  | No data          | Yes                 | NC               | IPA            | NC               | 0                  | 2                           | Vorico and Anidula, POS and neb-Amph B (14d) | Died in hospital (23d)  |
| 13 Early 60/M | Lung transplant, use of calcineurin inhibitor and CS before ICU (0.14 mg/kg/day)c | 0; 0                          | 4.3; 4.3                      | Positive BAL, A. fumigatus | Susceptible        | Yes              | Proven IPA        | Proven IPA       | 2              | 2              | POS (7d)          | Died in ICU (12d); autopsy proven |
| 14 Early 62/F | /      | /                      | 0.2; 0.2                      | 5.5; 5.5                     | NA                  | No data          | No                 | NC               | IPA            | Probable IAPA   | 0                  | 0                           | Vorico (97d)                  | Alive               |
| 15 Early 39/M | /      | /                      | 0.04; 0.04                    | 1.38; 1.38                   | Positive BAL, A. fumigatus | Susceptible     | No                 | NC               | IPA            | Probable IAPA   | 1                  | 4                           | Vorico and Caspo (12d)         | Died in ICU (17d)  |
| 16 Late POS 55/F | Undifferentiated autoimmune inflammatory disorder; CS before and during ICU (0.21 and 1.16 mg/kg/day)c | 0.4; 0.4                      | 0.4; 1                        | Positive NA          | Susceptible       | No                | NC                 | IPA              | Probable IAPA   | 8                  | 1                           | Vorico, POS (62d)                  | Alive               |
| 17 Late, POS 59/M | COPD, CS before and during ICU (0.01 and 0.96 mg/kg/day)c | 0; 0.1                        | 0.1; 5.7                      | Negative NA          | No data           | No                | NC                 | IPA              | Probable IAPA   | 12                 | 1                           | Vorico (1d)                    | Died in ICU (13d)  |
Table 3 (continued)

| IAPA study arm | Age/sex | Underlying risk factor | Serum GM ODI (first; highest) | BAL GM ODI (first; highest) | BAL Aspergillus PCR | Culture (source; isolate) | Azole susceptibility* | Tracheobronchitis* | EORTC/MSGERC [22] | Modified AspICU [2] | ICM2020 case definition [23] | Days between ICU admission and diagnosis IAPA | Days between IAPA and start antifungal therapy | Therapy | Outcome |
|----------------|---------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------|---------|
| 18 Late; SOC 79/M COPD | 0.32; 0.32 | 0.72; 0.72 | NP | BAL, BA; A fumigatus | Susceptible | No | NC | IPA | Probable IPA | 3 | 0 | Vorico (31d) | Died in ICU (37d) |
| 19 Late; SOC 51/M CS during ICU (0.01 mg/kg/day)c | 0.19; 0.19 | 2.14; 2.14 | NP | BAL; spum; A fumigatus | Susceptible | No | Proven IPA | Proven IPA | Proven IPA | 4 | 0 | Vorico, Anidula (28d) | Died in ICU (33d), autopsy proven |
| 20 Late; SOC 64/F Inherited immunodeficiency | 0.1; 0.1 | 0.1; 5.4 | Positive | NA | Susceptible | No | Probable IPA | IPA | Probable IPA | 6 | 1 | Vorico (23d) | Alive |
| 21 Late; SOC 66/M CS before and during ICU (0.34 and 0.38 mg/kg/day)* | 0.1; 0.1 | 0.2; 0.2 | Positive | NA | Susceptible | No | Proven IPA | Proven IPA | Proven IPA | 9 | NA | None | Died in ICU (9d), autopsy proven |

All patients presented with at least one clinical sign and radiological criterion according to the modified AspICU algorithm, data not mentioned in table.

Amph B: amphotericin B, Anidula: anidulafungin, BA: bronchial aspirate, BAL: broncho-alveolar lavage, Caspo: caspofungin, COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, CS: corticosteroids, d: day(s), EORTC/MSGERC: European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer/Mycoses Study Group Education and Research Consortium, F: female, GM: galactomannan, IAPA: influenza-associated pulmonary aspergillosis, IPA: invasive pulmonary aspergillosis, M: male, Micafun: micafungin, NA: not applicable, NC: not classifiable, nebAmph B: nebulized amphotericin B, NP: not performed, ODI: optical density index, PCR: polymerase chain reaction, POS: posaconazole, Vorico: voriconazole.

* Azole susceptibility: based on broth microdilution testing of isolates using EUCAST methodology and clinical breakpoints [24] or on detection of TR34/L98H and TR46/Y121F/T289A resistance mechanisms via PCR.

Tracheobronchitis: signs of Aspergillus tracheobronchitis include ulceration(s), nodule(s), pseudomembrane(s), plaque(s) and eschar(s).

Corticosteroid dose expressed as mean dose in mg/kg/day of prednisone equivalent.

Performed post-mortem on stored BAL fluid (obtained during ICU admission).
admission [2, 23], our results indicate that the majority of patients may suffer from IAPA at the time of ICU admission, making IAPA a co-infection in the majority of ICU patients with influenza, rather than a secondary infection.

Given the high incidence of IAPA [1, 2, 5, 25], the high proportion of early cases, and high associated mortality, our findings support prompt initiation of empirical antifungal therapy in critically ill influenza patients at ICU admission, and an instant mycological diagnostic work-up within 24–48 h. We realize that this approach is only possible when bronchoscopy is safe and performed on-demand, and in settings where mycological tests are available with short turnaround times. Point-of-care *Aspergillus* tests, such as lateral flow device assays [26], may facilitate this strategy. If the initial diagnostic work-up is not suggestive for IAPA or when bronchoscopy is not feasible and/or safe, antifungal prophylaxis may be an option as the incidence of late IAPA was still 11%. Further studies are required to determine if such a differentiated approach, e.g., de-escalation for early IAPA and prophylaxis to prevent late IAPA, is successful. However, a very large, pragmatic, randomised clinical trial would be required to demonstrate efficacy, as a 11% incidence in this patient population as observed in our randomised controlled trial would require two groups of 315 patients to have a reasonably powered study, assuming 80% power. Other interventions, such as nebulized antifungal therapy in patients with invasive *Aspergillus* tracheobronchitis, might also be considered to achieve therapeutic antifungal drug concentrations at the site of infection as soon as possible.

This study has several limitations. Our study was underpowered. However, we decided not to continue patient enrolment in this prophylaxis study for a fourth influenza season because of a number of reasons. The large proportion of patients that were excluded from the study due to early IAPA infection questioned the efficacy of an antifungal prophylaxis strategy initiated at the time of ICU admission. Moreover, it has become clear that the required number of patients to be recruited would be an unfeasible (within current study frame) number of 630 patients, and increasing our sample size would not change the main message of our manuscript.

The limited sample size and absence of correction for multiple testing should be kept in mind in the interpretation of all univariate p values. Additionally,azole susceptibility testing was not part of our study protocol though local resistance rates in part of our study region are high [27, 28]. However, azole resistance testing of *A. fumigatus* is routinely performed in most centers and posaconazole resistance was not detected in all 14 IAPA cases that were tested. Finally, we diagnosed IAPA using the modified AspICU algorithm as was defined in the study protocol in 2017 [2]. Recently, a novel case definition of IAPA was proposed by a group of experts to facilitate homogeneity in clinical studies and increase the number of classifiable patients [23]. When applying this definition to our patient cohort, all but one putative IAPA case could be classified as probable IAPA. The ICM2020 unclassifiable case showed signs of tracheobronchitis on bronchoscopic evaluation in conjunction with a threshold serum GM-index of 0.5. Treatment for IAPA was administered but the outcome was unfavourable.

In conclusion, the higher than expected incidence of IAPA at ICU admission and the lower than expected incidence in the remaining MITT population of this proof-of-concept trial precludes any definite conclusions on posaconazole as prophylacticum. Given the high incidence and mortality rate of early IAPA, prompt mycological diagnostic work-up of influenza patients who are admitted to the ICU is recommended. The benefit of a differentiated approach, applying immediate antifungal therapy in early IAPA cases and antifungal prophylaxis for the prevention of late IAPA, requires further study.
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