Time-Gated Orthogonal Scanning Automated Microscopy (OSAM) for High-speed Cell Detection and Analysis
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We report a new development of orthogonal scanning automated microscopy (OSAM) incorporating time-gated detection to locate rare-event organisms regardless of autofluorescent background. The necessity of using long-lifetime (hundreds of microseconds) luminescent biolabels for time-gated detection implies long integration (dwell) time, resulting in slow scan speed. However, here we achieve high scan speed using a new 2-step orthogonal scanning strategy to realise on-the-fly time-gated detection and precise location of 1-μm lanthanide-doped microspheres with signal-to-background ratio of 8.9. This enables analysis of a 15 mm × 15 mm slide area in only 3.3 minutes. We demonstrate that detection of only a few hundred photoelectrons within 100 μs is sufficient to distinguish a target event in a prototype system using ultraviolet LED excitation. Cytometric analysis of lanthanide labelled Giardia cysts achieved a signal-to-background ratio of two orders of magnitude. Results suggest that time-gated OSAM represents a new opportunity for high-throughput background-free biosensing applications.

Thanks to the extensive developments in fluorescent bioprobes and optical approaches to improve sensitivity, resolution, and speed of analysis, fluorescence imaging and single cell counting has become a fundamental research tool in the analytical fields of biotechnology and life sciences, from molecular biology discovery to clinical diagnostics. In broad areas of microbiology, including infectious-disease diagnosis and anti-bioterrorism, fluorescence detection for quantification of target cells at low cost and high speed is increasingly popular. However this poses significant challenges for simple spectral-discrimination of probe fluorescence in anything other than highly purified samples, since intrinsic autofluorescence from background organisms and detritus obscures the visibility of fluorescence labelling due to spectral overlap. This is especially true for detection of rare-event microorganisms in a matrix of non-target microorganisms which occur at frequencies typically less than one in 10⁵ background cells, or as low as 1 in 10⁹ background cells. For example, the number of residual circulating tumour cells (CTCs) in peripheral blood is a valuable indicator for progressive diagnosis of metastatic cancer patients. This requires a detection level of one residual cancer cell per 10⁷ of bone marrow or peripheral blood stem cells. Foetal cells present in maternal blood during pregnancy are an ideal source of genetic material for non-invasive prenatal diagnosis; however, the target foetal nucleated red blood cells (NRBCs) must be detected against the maternal cells at extremely low frequencies of 1 in 10⁷ to 10⁹. In water safety inspection, due to the very small number of organisms capable of infection, the methods of analysis must be sufficiently sensitive to detect a single microorganism (e.g. Cryptosporidium parvum and Giardia lamblia) in as many as 10 litres of water containing billions of non-target microorganisms and particles.

The time-gated luminescence (TGL) technique uses the temporal domain to discriminate long-lifetime luminescence-labelled targets against short-lifetime autofluorescence. Detection of the luminescence signal is delayed typically by a few microseconds following termination of pulsed excitation, so that only the long-lived luminescence-labelled targets remain visible to the detector. Such background-free detection offers significant advantages for high-speed inspection of rare-event microorganisms. In recent times a broad spectrum of long-lifetime luminescence bioprobes has become available in the forms of metal chelate complexes and nanoparticles, e.g. lanthanide, phosphorescence and charge transfer materials. Use of temporal discrimination has been widely reported for time-resolved molecular assays and time-gated luminescence (TGL) bioimaging. In particular, we recently demonstrated direct visual inspection of target microorganisms in background-free condition using very low-cost instrumentation which is sensitive enough for single nanoparticle imaging.
Despite these advances, practical application of TGL techniques in many situations suffers from the low signal strength that is typical of the long-lifetime fluorophores used: recently-engineered luminescence probes for time-gated luminescence imaging have typically more than 4 orders of magnitude longer lifetimes than traditional dyes (lifetimes > 100 µs compared with ~ 10 ns), and consequently have much weaker emission than conventional fluorophores21,24. Thus time-gated detection usually requires long signal integration times to achieve sufficiently high signal levels to produce quality TGL microscopy images. Indeed, reported signal integration times range from 333 milliseconds for a top-of-the-range intensified-CCD camera27 up to 30 seconds for a standard (cooled) CCD camera28. Since significant time is also needed to inspect the whole area of a standard sample slide and analyse the 2-D images, the question arises: can time-gated luminescence bioimaging process a large number of samples (for example containing rare-event target organisms) with necessary accuracy/certainty on acceptable time scales?

We have recently reported a step-scanning technique for automated rare-event TGL inspection of the full sample area of a microscope slide26. Rather than recording every adjacent wide-field image across the slide, a step scanning and time-gated detection system was employed to locate a few positive “elements of interest” (containing potential target organisms) in an initial rapid scan of the sample, before time-gated luminescence imaging was applied only to those small areas of interest. Though this step-by-step scanning approach can minimise data storage and image analysis requirements, it still involves “stop and watch” to acquire sufficient integrated signal from lanthanide luminescence of individual target cells.

Here we report a major advance in scanning TGL microscopy which delivers precise location of luminescent targets with high signal-to-background ratio and significantly improved coefficient of variation (CV), at sample processing speeds an order of magnitude higher than previously, using a novel on-the-fly orthogonal TGL scanning technique. The key performance parameters and detection limits are examined in detail and the technique demonstrated for both 5-micron and 1-micron diameter luminescent microspheres, and for BHHCT-Eu chelate labelled Giardia lamblia cysts.

**Results**

**TGL detection during on-the-fly scanning.** Figure 1 illustrates the spatial-temporal model of the TGL detection during on-the-fly scanning, demonstrated through an epi-fluorescent optical setup. The excitation source (UV LED) and single-element detector (gateable PMT) are switched on and off in a continuous TGL cycle at repetition rates (cycle rates) in the kilohertz (10³ cycles/sec) range. Each TGL cycle, of duration \( T_C \) consists of gating periods (duration \( T_G \)) and detection periods (duration \( T_W \)). In each gating period, the FOV is excited for duration \( T_E \), with a delay time \( T_D \) before the detector is switched on. \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) are the positions where a target particle enters and leaves the FOV, and \( P \) is the position where the target gets closest to the centre of FOV during the scan. As the TGL cycle rate increased, \( x(P_1) \) and \( x(P_2) \) can be asymptotically determined from \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \). Thus, the coordinate of the target along the scan axis is \( x(P) = (x(P_1) + x(P_2))/2 \).

**Figure 1** A schematic drawing illustrates the spatial-temporal relationship during on-the-fly TGL scanning. A sample slide containing long-lifetime targets is scanned by a 2-D motorised stage moving over an epi-fluorescent optical setup (lower left). The transit of a target particle across the detection field of view (FOV) (upper left: overview under the FOV; upper right: displacement along scan axis \( x \) vs. time \( t \)) is correlated with the TGL detection sequences (lower right). The TGL cycles (duration \( T_C \)) consist of gating periods (duration \( T_G \)) and detection periods (duration \( T_W \)). In each gating period, the FOV is excited for duration \( T_E \), with a delay time \( T_D \) before the detector is switched on. \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) are the positions where a target particle enters and leaves the FOV, and \( P \) is the position where the target gets closest to the centre of FOV during the scan. As the TGL cycle rate increased, \( x(P_1) \) and \( x(P_2) \) can be asymptotically determined from \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \). Thus, the coordinate of the target along the scan axis is \( x(P) = (x(P_1) + x(P_2))/2 \).
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the cycle duration $T_C$ is very small compared to the whole transit time ($T_C \ll t(P_2) - t(P_1)$), the contributions from partial cycles are extremely small and can effectively be ignored. Therefore, $t(P_1)$ and $t(P_2)$ can be approximately represented by $t_1$ and $t_2$, which are the beginnings of the luminescence signal in the first and last TGL cycles captured from the target, respectively. Figure 2 depicts the real-time TGL signal recorded in our prototype demonstration at different TGL repetition rates (1 kHz for Figure 2a, 5 kHz for Figure 2b).

As the cycle period is shortened, the recorded luminescence from a target particle takes the form of a pseudo-continuous signal, consisting of individual TGL decay pulses, which commences when the particle enters the FOV, rises to a peak when closest to the centre of the FOV, then diminishes to zero when the particle exits the FOV, as shown in Figure 2b.

In our prototype system, we chose a motorised stage (H101A, Prior Scientific, maximum translation velocity 24 mm/s) to move sample slides across the stationary objective. When a target profile is detected in the FOV, $t_1$ and $t_2$ relating to the first and last recorded TGL cycle can be used to identify the coordinates of entrance position $P_1$ and exit position $P_2$ on the continuous-scan axis, and thus the position $P$ of the target on the orthogonal axis where the particle is closest to the centre of the FOV. To take account of the effects of “accelerating-decelerating” of the motorised stage along the scan axis, we applied a kinematic calibration (see "Methods - Kinematic calibration of the motorised stage") to precisely calculate the coordinate values of targets from detector pulse trains.

Orthogonal scanning strategy. Figure 3 illustrates the new 2-step orthogonal scanning strategy we have implemented for rapid detection and precise localisation of target particles or microorganisms. Note that while this approach is applicable to general sample scanning strategies (for example normal fluorescence detection on a solid-phase slide), its application in TGL mode is especially advantageous, since any signal from autofluorescence or scattered excitation light is eliminated, so that only rare-event targets (e.g. labelled microorganisms) in the wide field are visible to the detection system.

Referring to Figure 3a, the sample slide is first scanned across its whole area using a forth- and-back raster, or serpentine, pattern, with continuous motion along the X-axis and stepwise translation along the Y-axis. The interval between Y-axis scan lines is set by the size of the wide FOV of the microscope objective to ensure sufficient scan overlap. The target particle or organism is essentially identified when moving across the FOV along one of the scan lines, with its precise X-coordinate value calculated from the on-the-fly TGL signal. This 1st step also records the location coordinates along the Y-axis limited by the sequential index of the adjacent lines. Since target particles or organisms do not often appear on the exact centre of the wide field (see Figure 3b), a second orthogonal scan is then undertaken with continuous motion along the Y-axis, but where the X-axis position is selected according to the X-coordinates determined for detection events identified in the initial X-axis scanning (see Figure 3c). Thus each Y-axis continuous scan line passes directly across each target (which then transits across the centre of the wide FOV, see Figure 3d), so that the precise Y-coordinate of the target can be determined from the on-the-fly TGL signal in that case. Since Y-axis scans are limited only to events detected in the X-axis scan, the total inspection time is substantially decreased.

It follows that the peak area (integration of the decay pulse in single TGL cycle) for the signal from each target is achieved when the target is exactly in the centre of the FOV during the Y-axis scan. This peak area, denoted as "pulse area" ($\mu$V$^2$ s), represents the maximum integrated luminescence (number of photons) emitted by the target during one TGL cycle. Note that although it would be possible to integrate the signal over all TGL cycles in the transit of the target across the FOV, this does not enhance the signal-to-background ratio, since the latter is also integrated over the same time.

microscope objective, its luminescence signal accumulates over the detection threshold so that the TGL decay pulse is recorded. The detector is again turned off just before the excitation is switched on for the next TGL cycle.

Figure 2 | Real-time TGL signals acquired during on-the-fly scanning. (a) depicts the temporal waveform of time-gated luminescence (TGL) signal with a relatively-long detection time window, when a target passes across the FOV. One cycle is enlarged to illustrate the TGL detection scheme: An excitation pulse (blue) illuminates the interrogation field while a gating signal (grey) turns the detector off, leaving a delay period for residual excitation and autofluorescence to diminish. The long-lifetime TGL signal is recorded during the detection time window (experimental data plotted in red and fitted curve plotted in green). The profile (pink) shows here average intensity of luminescence decay varies as the target transits the FOV. (b) shows a real signal when the TGL cycle duration is 0.2 ms, along with its profile of average intensity.
Figure 4 | Determining the sensitivity of the prototype OSAM. (a) draws the number of artefacts on a clean glass slide and clean quartz slide when varying the recording threshold of pulse area, indicating the detection limit on each substrate. (b) is a histogram of the luminescence intensity from a total number of 854 1-μm Eu-containing microspheres prepared on seven quartz slides, with the area threshold set to 3.0 μV·s. (c) shows the mapping result of a quartz slide carrying exact 36 1-μm Eu microspheres, which were selectively arranged by flow cytometer sorting to form a "MQY" pattern (CCD camera exposure time 150 ms).

Detection limit. The detection limit is defined as the minimum required pulse area to distinguish target particles or cells from background level. The TGL technique is highly effective in eliminating optical noise from stray light scattered from the microscope optics or the sample, as well as autofluorescence from the sample. Electronic noise is substantially removed from the detected luminescence signal since it varies randomly and rapidly in time and is averaged out in the integration of pulse areas. The major sources of background are expected to come from long-lived visible luminescence from the UV-LED and the long-lived visible luminescence from metal impurities in the glass microscope slide and cover slip. The former can be substantially removed using spectral filtering on the source.

The effect of luminescence from the microscope slide and cover slip was investigated in the present experiments with results illustrated in Figure 4a. Clean sets of glass (slides Part No. 7101, cover slips 18 mm × 18 mm, Sail Brand) as well as quartz substrates (slides Part No. FQM-7521, cover slips Part No. CFQ-2550, UQG Optics) were scanned as control samples with low signal-detection thresholds (8 and 2 μV·s for glass and quartz slides, respectively). The number of false-positive artefacts rose rapidly as the threshold was reduced. Here it is seen that quartz substrates generated a maximum pulse area only 1.97 μV·s (equivalent to 123 photoelectrons per TGL cycle, see Supplementary Discussion S1 online), 4 times lower than standard microscopic slide glass (8.03 μV·s, equivalent to 502 photoelectrons per TGL cycle). This effectively set the minimum detection level for our system. Since the only difference was in the substrate materials, the additional noise of 379 photoelectrons from the glass substrates was attributed to rare-earth impurities. The remaining 123 photoelectrons, which may still arise from the visible luminescence from UV LED, effectively limited the sensitivity of the prototype scanning system.

Utilising quartz slides and cover slips and setting a threshold at 3.0 μV·s, we achieved 100% detection rate of 1-μm europium microspheres (FluoSpheres® F20882, Molecular Probes, Invitrogen) using a prototype orthogonal scanning automated microscopy (OSAM). Shown in Figure 4b, the mean pulse area and standard deviation were 17.7 μV·s and 5.4 μV·s, leading to 30.5% coefficient of variation (CV). The intensity histogram peak has a signal-to-background ratio of 17.7 μV·s and 5.4 μV·s, leading to 30.5% coefficient of variation (CV). The intensity histogram peak has a signal-to-background ratio.
To further demonstrate the capabilities of our prototype OSAM in eliminating both “false positive” and “false negative” errors, we prepared a unique sample for analysis using single-particle flow cytometer sorting. Figure 4c shows a recovered 36-dot “MQ” pattern with each dot representing an individual 1-μm microsphere. Some 36 hits, each missing spot area was examined with great care, yet no undetected microspheres were found in the surrounding area. For samples with < 36 hits, the instrument returned to the positions of any extra hits, all of which were verified to be the target microspheres. Since all the detected microspheres were at least 3 times brighter than threshold (see Supplementary Table S1 online), these absences were attributed to inaccurate flow cytometer sorting.

Comparison between continuous scanning and step scanning. Since the continuous orthogonal scanning technique positions the target so that it transits through the centre of the FOV, the maximum integrated signal in a single TGL cycle (when the target is exactly in the centre of the FOV) is a true representation of the luminescence intensity emitted by the target. In contrast, in step-by-step scanning mode target locations in the FOV are random and integrated TGL signals are not a good representation of the true luminescence intensities of a target particle (see Supplementary Discussion S2 online). The continuous scanning mode therefore enhances the quantitative potential of TGL scanning microscopy, so that the mean and the CV of statistically measured luminescence intensities truly represent the average and the variation between individual target events.

Europium-doped 5-μm diameter FireRed™ microspheres (Newport Instruments) were used as calibration target particles to compare the performance between the prototype OSAM and the previous step-scanning setup. 14 sample slides were tested by step scanning first, which showed an average intensity of 129.5 μV·s and CV of 26.7% (Figure 5a), consistent with the previously reported result (CV = 27%)29. Step-scanning for each 15 mm × 15 mm sample slide took 47 minutes to process. The same 14 slides were then evaluated by the OSAM. In this case, recording maximum TGL signal (pulse area) by scanning 5-μm microspheres continuously across the centre of the FOV resulted in average intensity of 197.5 μV·s and CV of 7.0%11,30, superior by a factor of at least 3 to the best obtained using step scanning. The processing time of the OSAM to scan each slide was about 3.3 minutes. This major acceleration (x 15) in analytical speed was attributed to more effective exploitation of the maximal translation velocity during continuous scans. The SBR of 8.9, only requiring around 1,100 photoelectrons for unambiguous detection of the microspheres in our demonstration. The photoelectron number was used to estimate the number of photons emitted by europium ions from a 1-μm microsphere as 4.36×10⁷ per TGL cycle. More details can be found in Supplementary Discussion S1 online.

The excitation power density used in the present experiments was measured as 2.7 W/cm², while previously reported values using UV LEDs typically ranged from 0.6 ~ 2.5 W/cm²21,24. However, because the on-the-fly TGL scanning requires a relatively high cycle rate for precise localisation of the targets, the duration of LED excitation pulse was set to only 80 μs, corresponding to a low excitation efficiency of about 3%. It follows that substantial scope remains to improve the SBR once higher power LEDs become available in the future.

To further demonstrate the capabilities of our prototype OSAM in eliminating both “false positive” and “false negative” errors, we prepared a unique sample for analysis using single-particle flow cytometer sorting. Figure 4c shows a recovered 36-dot “MQ” pattern with each dot representing an individual 1-μm microsphere. Some 10 slide samples were prepared with nominally 36 microspheres on each and examined using the prototype system (data shown in Supplementary Table S1 online). Between 34 and 38 microspheres were identified on each of the 10 predesigned “MQ” patterns. For samples with < 36 hits, each missing spot area was examined with great care, yet no undetected microspheres were found in the surrounding area. For samples with > 36 hits, the instrument returned to the positions of any extra hits, all of which were verified to be the target microspheres. Since all the detected microspheres were at least 3 times brighter than threshold (see Supplementary Table S1 online), these absences were attributed to inaccurate flow cytometer sorting.

Comparison between continuous scanning and step scanning. Since the continuous orthogonal scanning technique positions the target so that it transits through the centre of the FOV, the maximum integrated signal in a single TGL cycle (when the target is exactly in the centre of the FOV) is a true representation of the luminescence intensity emitted by the target. In contrast, in step-by-step scanning mode target locations in the FOV are random and integrated TGL signals are not a good representation of the true luminescence intensities of a target particle (see Supplementary Discussion S2 online). The continuous scanning mode therefore enhances the quantitative potential of TGL scanning microscopy, so that the mean and the CV of statistically measured luminescence intensities truly represent the average and the variation between individual target events.

Europium-doped 5-μm diameter FireRed™ microspheres (Newport Instruments) were used as calibration target particles to compare the performance between the prototype OSAM and the previous step-scanning setup. 14 sample slides were tested by step scanning first, which showed an average intensity of 129.5 μV·s and CV of 26.7% (Figure 5a), consistent with the previously reported result (CV = 27%)29. Step-scanning for each 15 mm × 15 mm sample slide took 47 minutes to process. The same 14 slides were then evaluated by the OSAM. In this case, recording maximum TGL signal (pulse area) by scanning 5-μm microspheres continuously across the centre of the FOV resulted in average intensity of 197.5 μV·s and CV of 7.0%11,30, superior by a factor of at least 3 to the best obtained using step scanning. The processing time of the OSAM to scan each slide was about 3.3 minutes. This major acceleration (x 15) in analytical speed was attributed to more effective exploitation of the maximal translation velocity during continuous scans. The
coordinates of each target determined by the successive orthogonal scans were used to retrieve the location of each microsphere for visual confirmation (Figure 5c).

The capability of OSAM was also evaluated using a biological sample of immunoluminescence-labelled *Giardia lamblia* (a protozoan parasite infecting humans). Figure 5d and 5e depict TGL measurement of a total number of 920 *Giardia* cysts from the same 7 sample slides, by step scanning and continuous scanning, respectively. Although both approaches achieved 100% accurate detection, the step scanning measured an average pulse area of 123.5 μV·s, while that obtained by continuous scanning reached 192.0 μV·s (equivalent to 4.87 × 10^7 photons emitted per TGL cycle by europium complex per labelled cysts, see Supplementary Discussion S1) and weakest pulse area of 96.3 μV·s, 48 times higher than the minimal detection limit for quartz substrates. The distinctive signal-to-background ratio indicated unambiguous detection of *Giardia* cysts, free of false positive and negative errors. The CV result for continuous scanning (23.2%) was markedly superior to that for step scanning (38.4%), indicating greater accuracy of intensity quantification. Thanks to the precise localisation of target microorganisms, each *Giardia* event could be retrieved for bioimaging as shown in Figure 5f. The mapping and imaging results of a typical slide sample bearing 18 labelled *Giardia* cysts are given in Supplementary Fig. S1 and S2 online.

**Discussion**

We have successfully demonstrated on-the-fly TGL detection in a novel OSAM using UV LED excitation, enabling greatly reduced sample analysis time (3.3 minutes for a 15 mm × 15 mm sample area). Our approach removes a key constraint of TGL microscopy based on lanthanide probes whereby unacceptably long signal accumulation times have previously been required to produce the necessary detection levels and high signal-to-background ratio. We show here that the extremely low background levels achieved in OSAM allows TGL signals as weak as 123 photoelectrons per 100 μs to be distinguished from background with high contrast and precise target location. The intensity CV obtained for detected 5-μm microspheres was as low as 8.4%, close to the intrinsic CV of 7.0%. The reduced sample scan time coupled with enhanced sensitivity and locational accuracy indicates that OSAM represents a very practical approach to high speed analysis for biological cell samples. Significant scope remains to further lower the detection limit using UV laser excitation, improve the CV using linear encoders and autofocus optics, and increase the speed through faster scanning mechanics.

These results also suggest that temporal domain multiplexing can be employed to expand spectral-domain multiplexing, which is currently limited to only a few (3–4) colours due to spectral overlapping of available (nanosecond-lifetime) fluorescent dyes. To achieve high-speed fluorescence analysis, it may now be possible to add at least four more practical colours in the time-gated domain, europium at 610–630 nm, terbium at 470–490 nm and 530–550 nm, ruthenium at 550–700 nm, and platinum at 660–740 nm, to create up to 8 spectral channels in the visible. It is our belief that OSAM can take on a central role in a broad range of analytical and biosensing applications such as environmental sensing, pharmaceutical development, and clinical diagnostics.

**Methods**

**Optical configuration.** The prototype OSAM has a similar optical and mechanical layout to that reported previously for step-scanning, but differs substantially in time-gating and detection strategy and technology, as well as scanning strategy. A motorised stage (H101A, Prior Scientific, maximal translation velocity v_m = 24 mm/s) was implemented to mobilise the loaded slide samples across a stationary epi-fluorescence detection FOV. The excitation source was an ultraviolet light-emitting diode (UV-LED) with peak wavelength at 365 nm (NCCU303A, Nichia, 250 mW at 500 mA continuous injection current). The luminescence collected by the objective (NT38-340, Edmund Optics, 60×, NA = 0.75) was detected by a time-gated photomultiplier tube (PMT) (H10304-20-NF, Hamamatsu, cathode radiant responsivity R = 75 mA/NW at λ = 612 nm, electron amplification gain G_E = 10^4). Other optical elements: a dichroic filter (400DCLP, Chroma) for the epi-fluorescence illumination; a fused silica condenser (f = 30 mm, d = 25 mm) to collimate the excitation beam; an UV-band-pass filter (UG5, SCHOTT) to purify the excitation; a visible-band-pass filter (9514-B, New Focus, 30-nm FWHM band at 624 nm) to purify the emission; and a convex lens (f = 40 mm, d = 25 mm) to focus the emission onto the PMT’s photodiode window. In addition, a 45° mirror was inserted into the optical path to project the image onto a CCD camera (DS-Vi1, Nikon, 2 mega pixels) for image configuration following the rapid sample scanning.

**Electronic configuration.** The purpose-built driving current supply of the UV-LED was synchronised with the bias voltage supply of the PMT via two analogue output channels of a PC-based multifunction data acquisition card (PCI-6251, National Instruments) to perform the TGL detection. The output current signal from the gated PMT was converted into a voltage signal via a low noise preamplifier (DLPCA-200, Femto, Germany; transimpedance gain G_I = 10^7 Volts/Amp). The amplified signal and the gating TTL train were further digitised at sampling rate of 500 k samples/second/channel into two analogue input channels of the same data-acquisition card. The motorised stage controller (H129, Prior Scientific) was also interfaced with the same PC, to synchronise the scanning and the data collection.

**TGL detection.** For TGL configurations in all experiments, T_C was set to 200 μs, yielding a maximum stage translation distance (= v_m/2) of 4.8 μm per TGL cycle, which was also the potential variation when locating a target. The duty ratio of TGL cycle was fixed at 50%, generating 100 μs for both the gating period (T_G) and the signal collection window (T_W). The excitation source was switched on for T_E = 80 μs during the gating period with the delay time T_D set to 5 μs. The TGL signals in each cycle were integrated after sampling to calculate “area” values. Only TGL cycles with area values exceeding a prearranged threshold were recorded, to thereby minimising background noise.

**Preparation of microsphere samples.** 5-μm diameter FireRed™ microspheres (Newport Instruments) and 1-μm diameter FluoroSpheres® microspheres (F20882, Molecular Probes) were used to prepare sample slides. The luminescence lifetimes of the spheres were 340 μs and 290 μs, respectively, when suspended in water and measured in bulk solution cuvette by a purpose-built spectrometer(6). In each case, the original suspension was diluted with deionised water and subsequently mixed 1:1 with 2.5% polyvinyl alcohol (PVA). Every 10 μl sample from the mixture was spin-coated on a cover slip for 60 seconds at 800 rpm, which was then mounted on a standard microscopic slide (glass or quartz components). Each slide sample contained approximately 100–150 microspheres.

**Preparation of patterned samples by flow sorting.** Single 1-μm FluoroSpheres microspheres sorted using a flow cytometer (FACSAria™, BD Biosciences, forward scattering and side scattering gated) were deposited on a sample slide in a specific pattern, notably 36 single microspheres arranged in individual positions so as to describe a predefined “MQ” (Macquarie University) pattern. The positional sorting concept is illustrated in the Supplementary Video S1.

**Preparation of labelled *Giardia* samples.** Suspended *Giardia* cysts (6 ~ 9 μm in diameter, 10^7 in 18 μl BET-bioMérieux) were labelled with anti-*Giardia* antibodies (G203, cyst-wall specific, BET-bioMérieux) conjugated to luminescent europium chelate BHICT-Eu^3+ according to the existing labelling protocol(7). After centrifugal washing to remove excess reagent, the suspension containing labelled cysts was mixed 1:1 with 2.5% PVA solution followed by spin coating to prepare slides for analysis.

**Kinematic calibration of the motorised stage.** A sample slide of 5-μm microspheres was scanned with fixed-distance translation, to record the temporal sequences of TGL signal during each line scan. The spatial positions of the corresponding microspheres were precisely identified through fine adjustment based on the locations found by step scan. The data sets of displacement vs. time were fitted into 7th degree polynomial curves along continuous continuous scanning axis (see Supplementary Fig. S3 online), to calibrate the movement of the motorised stage at different speeds from acceleration to deceleration.  
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