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Abstract

The irreducible decomposition of successive restriction and induction of irreducible representations of a symmetric group gives rise to a Markov chain on Young diagrams keeping the Plancherel measure invariant. Starting from this Res-Ind chain, we introduce a not necessarily Markovian continuous time random walk on Young diagrams by considering a general pausing time distribution between jumps according to the transition probability of the Res-Ind chain. We show that, under appropriate assumptions for the pausing time distribution, a diffusive scaling limit brings us concentration at a certain limit shape depending on macroscopic time which leads to a similar consequence to the exponentially distributed case studied in our earlier work. The time evolution of the limit shape is well described by using free probability theory. On the other hand, we illustrate an anomalous phenomenon observed with a pausing time obeying a one-sided stable distribution, heavy-tailed without the mean, in which a nontrivial behavior appears under a non-diffusive regime of the scaling limit.

1 Introduction

As a remarkable classical result in the field of asymptotic representation theory, the limit shape of random Young diagrams originated with Vershik–Kerov [17] and Logan–Shepp [13]. Let $\mathcal{Y}$ denote the set of Young diagrams. Set $\mathcal{Y}_n = \{ \lambda \in \mathcal{Y} | \lambda \vdash n \}$, where $|\lambda|$ denotes the size of $\lambda \in \mathcal{Y}$. For $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots ) \in \mathcal{Y}$, set $m_j(\lambda) = \# \{ i | \lambda_i = j \}$, namely the number of rows of length $j$ in $\lambda$. The number of rows is $l(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} m_j(\lambda)$. The Plancherel measure on $\mathcal{Y}_n$ is defined by

$$M_{Pl}^{(n)}(\{ \lambda \}) = \frac{(\dim \lambda)^2}{n!}, \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{Y}_n.$$ 

Young diagram $\lambda$ is identified with the profile $y = \lambda(x)$ depicted in the $xy$ coordinates plane, satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\lambda(x) - |x|) \, dx = 2|\lambda|$$
For \( \lambda \in \mathbb{Y}_n \) we set the profile rescaled by \( 1/\sqrt{n} \) as
\[
[\lambda]^{\sqrt{n}}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \lambda(\sqrt{n}x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

The limit shape of Young diagrams with respect to the Plancherel measure is described in a form of weak law of large numbers as follows. An element of
\[
D = \{ \omega : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid |\omega(x) - \omega(y)| \leq |x - y|, \ \omega(x) = |x| \text{ for } |x| \text{ large enough} \}
\]
is called a (centered) continuous diagram. Let \( \Omega \) denote the continuous diagram, indeed a \( C^1 \) curve:
\[
\Omega(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\pi} (x \arcsin \frac{x}{2} + \sqrt{4 - x^2}), & |x| \leq 2, \\ |x|, & |x| > 2. \end{cases}
\]

Then, \([\lambda]^{\sqrt{n}}\) converges to \( \Omega \) in \( D \) in probability \( M_{\text{pl}}^{(n)} \) as \( n \to \infty \). Namely, for any \( \epsilon > 0 \), it holds
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} M_{\text{pl}}^{(n)} \left( \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{Y}_n \mid \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |[\lambda]^{\sqrt{n}}(x) - \Omega(x)| \geq \epsilon \right\} \right) = 0.
\]

This result of the limit shape is a static property for the Plancherel ensemble. In [9] we treated a dynamical limit shape, in other words, evolution of the limit shapes along macroscopic time. We considered a continuous time Markov chain keeping the Plancherel measure invariant, took a diffusive scaling limit in time and space, and found limit shape (or macroscopic profile) \( \omega_t \) depending macroscopic time \( t \). A pioneering work about time evolution of profiles of Young diagrams is done by [8].

The purpose of the present paper is to introduce a pausing time not necessarily obeying an exponential distribution instead of sticking to Markovian property for the microscopic dynamics of continuous time and to observe how it produces an effect on the scale of micro-macro correspondence and macroscopic evolution of the limit shape. Actually, we will see the effect given by a pausing time distribution with a heavy tail.

Let us begin with recalling the restriction-induction (Res-Ind) chain on Young diagrams. For a finite group \( G \) and its subgroup \( H \), composing restriction of an irreducible representation of \( G \) to \( H \) (\( \text{Res}^G_H \lambda \)) and induction from \( H \) to \( G \) (\( \text{Ind}^G_H \nu \)), and considering the dimensions of irreducible decompositions, we get a transition probability on \( \hat{G} \). Namely, for \( \lambda, \nu \in \hat{G} \) and \( c_{\lambda \nu} \) with multiplicities \( c_{\lambda \nu} = [\text{Res}^G_H \lambda, \nu] = [\text{Ind}^G_H \nu, \lambda] \), and the transition probabilities
\[
P^\downarrow_{\lambda \nu} = \frac{c_{\lambda \nu} \dim \nu}{\dim \lambda}, \quad P^\uparrow_{\nu \mu} = \frac{c_{\mu \nu} \dim \mu}{[G : H] \dim \nu}, \quad \lambda, \mu \in \hat{G}, \ \nu \in \hat{H},
\]
\[
P_{\lambda \mu} = \sum_{\nu \in \hat{H}} P^\downarrow_{\lambda \nu} P^\uparrow_{\nu \mu}, \quad \lambda, \mu \in \hat{G}.
\]

(see Appendix, Figure [2]).
by taking the dimensions of both sides. The Plancherel measure on \( \hat{G} \) defined by
\[
M^G_{\Pi}(\{\lambda\}) = \frac{(\dim \lambda)^2}{|G|}, \quad \lambda \in \hat{G}
\]
makes \( P = (P_{\lambda \mu}) \) of (1.4) symmetric:
\[
M^G_{\Pi}(\{\lambda\})P_{\lambda \mu} = M^G_{\Pi}(\{\mu\})P_{\mu \lambda}, \quad \lambda, \mu \in \hat{G}.
\]
Specializing in \( G = \mathfrak{S}_n \) (the symmetric group of degree \( n \)) and \( H = \mathfrak{S}_{n-1} \), and identifying \( \hat{\mathfrak{S}}_n \) with \( \mathcal{Y}_n \), we get from (1.4) transition matrix \( P^{(n)} = (P_{\lambda \mu}) \) of degree \( |\mathcal{Y}_n| \) which keeps the Plancherel measure on \( \mathcal{Y}_n \) invariant. Note that in this case
\[
c_{\lambda \nu} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \nu \nearrow \lambda, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]
where \( \nu \nearrow \lambda \) indicates that \( \nu \) is formed by removing a box of \( \lambda \). The Markov chain determined by \( P^{(n)} \) is the Res-Ind chain on \( \mathcal{Y}_n \). In this chain, a one step transition admits non-local movement of a corner box in a Young diagram. The Res-Ind chain was treated in [5], [6], and [3].

Let us construct a continuous time random walk on \( \mathcal{Y}_n \), not necessarily Markovian, from transition matrix \( P^{(n)} \). We mention [18] as a nice reference on such a non-Markovian continuous time random walk. Consider Markov chain \( (Z^{(n)}_k)_{k\in\{0,1,2,\ldots\}} \) on \( \mathcal{Y}_n \) having transition matrix \( P^{(n)} \) and initial distribution \( M^{(n)}_0 \). Let \( (\tau_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \) be i.i.d. random variables independent also of \( (Z^{(n)}_k) \), each obeying \( \psi(dx) \) on \([0,\infty)\). This sequence yields counting process \( (N_s)_{s\geq0} \) in which pausing intervals are given by \( \tau_j \)'s:
\[
N_s = \sup\{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid \tau_1 + \cdots + \tau_j \leq s\}, \quad N_0 = 0 \text{ a.s.}
\]
(sup \( \varnothing = 0 \) conventionally). We assume nontriviality of \( \psi, \psi((0,\infty)) > 0 \), which implies \( \tau_1 + \cdots + \tau_j \) diverges to \( \infty \) a.s. as \( j \to \infty \). Set
\[
X^{(n)}_s = Z^{(n)}_{N_s}, \quad s \geq 0.
\]
(1.5)
The process \( (X^{(n)}_s)_{s\geq0} \) is a desired continuous time random walk on \( \mathcal{Y}_n \). We have
\[
\text{Prob}(X^{(n)}_s = \mu \mid X^{(n)}_0 = \lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \text{Prob}(Z^{(n)}_{N_s} = \mu \mid N_s = j, Z^{(n)}_0 = \lambda) \text{Prob}(N_s = j \mid Z^{(n)}_0 = \lambda)
\]
\[
= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \text{Prob}(Z^{(n)}_j = \mu \mid Z^{(n)}_0 = \lambda) \text{Prob}(\tau_1 + \cdots + \tau_j \leq s, \tau_1 + \cdots + \tau_{j+1} > s)
\]
\[
= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (P^{(n)}j)_{\lambda \mu} \int_{[0,s]} \psi((s - u, \infty)) \psi^*(du)
\]
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where \( \psi^{(j)} \) means the ordinary \( j \)-fold convolution power of \( \psi \). Regarding initial distribution \( M_0^{(n)}(\{\lambda\}) = \text{Prob}(X_0^{(n)} = \lambda) \) as a row vector of degree \( |\lambda| \), we have the distribution at time \( s \) as

\[
M_s^{(n)}(\{\mu\}) = \text{Prob}(X_s^{(n)} = \mu) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (M_0^{(n)} P^{(n)} j)_\mu \int_{[0,s]} \psi((s-u,\infty)) \psi^{(j)}(du). \tag{1.6}
\]

At the beginning we stated a result of the limit shape for a sequence of the Plancherel measures \( \{(\mathcal{Y}_n,M_0^{(n)})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \). A mechanism of causing such a concentration phenomenon was pointed out by Biane [2] as approximate factorization property for a sequence of probability spaces \( \{(\mathcal{Y}_n,M^{(n)})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \). Approximate factorization property can be described in several equivalent ways. Here we define it in terms of irreducible characters of the symmetric groups as follows. The irreducible character of \( \mathfrak{S}_n \) corresponding to \( \lambda \in \mathcal{Y}_n \) is denoted by \( \chi^\lambda \). The value it takes at an element of the conjugacy class of \( \mathfrak{S}_n \) corresponding to \( \rho \in \mathcal{Y}_n \) is \( \chi^\lambda_\rho \). Normalization of \( \chi^\lambda \) yields \( \tilde{\chi}^\lambda = \chi^\lambda / \dim \lambda \). Set \( \mathcal{Y}^\infty = \{ \lambda \in \mathcal{Y} | m_1(\lambda) = 0 \} \). When we fix a type of a conjugacy class and let the size \( n \) tend to infinity, we use a convenient notation as

\[
(\rho,1^{n-|\rho|}) = \rho \sqcup (1^{n-|\rho|}), \quad \rho \in \mathcal{Y}^\infty
\]

for the Young diagram of size \( n \) indicating a type of a conjugacy class. In general, the expectation with respect to probability \( M \) is denoted by \( E_M \).

**Definition 1.1** A sequence of probability spaces \( \{(\mathcal{Y}_n,M^{(n)})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is said to satisfy approximate factorization property if

\[
E_{M^{(n)}}[\tilde{\chi}_{(\rho,1^{n-|\rho|})}] - E_{M^{(n)}}[\tilde{\chi}_{(\sigma,1^{n-|\sigma|})}] = o(n^{-\frac{1}{2}(|\rho|-l(\rho)+|\sigma|-l(\sigma))})
\]

as \( n \to \infty \) holds for any \( \rho,\sigma \in \mathcal{Y}^\infty \).

Concerning the decay order in the right hand side of (1.7), see also (2.1) in Section 2. Expectations of irreducible characters seen in (1.7) are analogous objects to characteristic functions of probabilities. Since (1.7) says that characteristic functions are nearly factorizable along cycle decomposition with small error terms in some sense, approximate factorization property is regarded as an analogous, but much weaker, notion to independence. Applying approximate factorization property, Biane extended the concentration phenomenon (1.3) for the Plancherel measure to a wide variety of interesting models (2). For convenience of later reference, we here give a statement in the following form. See also Section 4.4 of [10] for a proof in detail.

**Proposition 1.2** For a sequence of probability spaces \( \{(\mathcal{Y}_n,M^{(n)})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \), assume that

(i) it satisfies approximate factorization property (1.7),

(ii) the limit of the expected value at \( j \)-cycle \((j,1^{n-j})\)

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} E_{M^{(n)}}[\tilde{\chi}_{(j,1^{n-j})}] = r_{j+1}, \quad j \in \{2,3,\cdots\}
\]

(1.8)
exists and has an order of at most $j$th power:

$$|r_j| \leq b^j \quad \text{for some } b > 0. \quad (1.9)$$

Then we have concentration at a continuous diagram $\omega \in \mathbb{D}$; namely, $[\lambda]^{\sqrt{n}}$ converges to $\omega$ in $\mathbb{D}$ in probability $M^{(n)}$ as $n \to \infty$. The limit shape $\omega$ is characterized by free cumulants of its transition measure $m_\omega$ as

$$R_1(m_\omega) = 0, \quad R_2(m_\omega) = 1, \quad R_{j+1}(m_\omega) = r_{j+1} \quad (j \in \{2, 3, \cdots \}).$$

A procedure of computing $\omega$ from a sequence of free cumulants $\{R_j(m_\omega)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is given by the Markov transform. See Appendix.

Let $\varphi$ be the characteristic function (Fourier transform) of $\psi$:

$$\varphi(\xi) = \int_{[0, \infty)} e^{i\xi x} \psi(dx), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$ 

Differentiability at $\xi = 0$ of $\varphi$ follows if $\psi$ has the mean.

The first result of the present paper is the following scaling limit of the continuous time random walk $(X_s^{(n)})_{s \geq 0}$.

**Theorem 1.3** Let $(X_s^{(n)})_{s \geq 0}$ be the continuous time random walk of (1.5). For any microscopic time $s \geq 0$, let the distribution at time $s$ be

$$M_s^{(n)}(\{\lambda\}) = \text{Prob}(X_s^{(n)} = \lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{Y}_n.$$ 

Assume that the sequence of initial distributions $\{([Y_n, M_0^{(n)}])_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies approximate factorization property together with (1.8) and (1.9), and hence has concentration at $\omega_0 \in \mathbb{D}$. Assume also that the pausing time distribution $\psi$ has the mean $m$ and that the characteristic function $\varphi$ of $\psi$ satisfies the integrability condition

$$\int_{\{\xi \geq \delta\}} \frac{|\varphi(\xi)|}{\xi} d\xi < \infty \quad \text{for some } \delta > 0. \quad (1.10)$$

Then, by considering $s = tn$ for macroscopic time $t > 0$, $\{(Y_n, M_t^{(n)})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ inherits approximate factorization property together with (1.8) and (1.9), and hence has concentration at $\omega_t \in \mathbb{D}$, namely $[\lambda]^{\sqrt{n}}$ converges to $\omega_t$ in $\mathbb{D}$ in probability $M_t^{(n)}$ as $n \to \infty$. The transition measure of the limit shape $\omega_t$ is given by

$$m_{\omega_t} = (m_{\omega_0})_c^{-t/m} \boxplus (m_{\Omega})_1 e^{-t/m}, \quad t > 0. \quad (1.11)$$

In (1.11), $[\cdot]_c$ denotes free compression of rank $c$, $\boxplus$ denotes free convolution, and $\Omega$ is the limit shape (1.2) of Vershik–Kerov and Logan–Shepp. Equivalently to (1.11) in terms of the free cumulants, we have

$$R_1(m_\omega) = 0, \quad R_2(m_\omega) = 1, \quad R_{k+1}(m_\omega) = R_{k+1}(m_\omega_0) e^{-kt/m} \quad (k \geq 2). \quad (1.12)$$
We note it is possible to choose a desired sequence of initial distributions for arbitrarily prescribed $\omega_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\omega_0(x) - |x|)dx = 2$. We see from (1.11)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\omega_t(x) - |x|)dx = 2$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \omega_t = \Omega$$

in $\mathbb{D}$.

A main result in [9] is a special case of Theorem 1.3, in which $(X^{(n)}(s))_{s \geq 0}$ is a continuous time Markov chain, or the pausing time obeys an exponential distribution (with mean 1). Properties of such free convolution with semi-circular distributions as (1.11) were treated in detail in [1]. See [15] and Appendix also for necessary notions in free probability theory. Proof of Theorem 1.3 is presented in Section 2. In the situation of Theorem 1.3, microscopic time $s = tn$ is of order $n$ while the rescale of space is of $\sqrt{n}$ as in (1.1). We thus took a diffusive scaling limit. The Stieltjes transform of $m_{\omega_t}$

$$G(t, z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{z - x} m_{\omega_t}(dx), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+$$

satisfies the partial differential equation

$$m \frac{\partial G}{\partial t}(t, z) = -G(t, z) \frac{\partial G}{\partial z}(t, z) + \frac{1}{G(t, z)} \frac{\partial G}{\partial z}(t, z) + G(t, z), \quad (1.13)$$

which is derived from [9, Theorem 3.3].

On the other hand, when we consider the case where a microscopic pausing time distribution is heavy-tailed so as not to have the mean any more, it is naturally expected that limiting behavior will be different from the one in Theorem 1.3. The second result of the present paper illustrates such an observation. Let us take a pausing time obeying the one-sided stable distribution $\psi$ of exponent $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ whose characteristic function is given by

$$\varphi(\xi) = e^{-|\xi|^\alpha(1 - i \tan(\pi \alpha / 2) \text{sgn}(\xi))}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.14)$$

The distribution $\psi$ is absolutely continuous. Especially in the simplest case of the exponent $1/2$, its density is expressed as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} x^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x} 1_{(0, \infty)}(x).$$

See e.g. [14] for one-sided stable distributions and their characteristic functions. As for the scaling limit for continuous time random walk $(X^{(n)}(s))_{s \geq 0}$ with such a $\psi$ as its pausing time distribution, it proves that approximate factorization property of an initial ensemble is not propagated along positive macroscopic time.

**Theorem 1.4** Let $(X^{(n)}(s))_{s \geq 0}$ be the continuous time random walk of (1.5). For any microscopic time $s \geq 0$, let the distribution at time $s$ be

$$M_s^{(n)}(\{\lambda\}) = \text{Prob}(X_s^{(n)} = \lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{Y}_n.$$
Assume that the sequence of initial distributions \( \{ (Y_n, M^{(n)}_0) \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) satisfies approximate factorization property together with (1.8) and (1.9), and hence has concentration at \( \omega_0 \in \mathbb{D} \). Assume also (1.14) for the pausing time distribution. For macroscopic time \( t > 0 \), let \( s = t\theta_n \), where the scaling factor \( \theta : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \) is taken to be such that

\[
(i) \quad \frac{\theta_n}{n^{1/\alpha}} \rightarrow 0, \quad (ii) \quad \frac{\theta_n}{n^{1/\alpha}} \rightarrow \infty, \quad (iii) \quad \frac{\theta_n}{n^{1/\alpha}} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.
\]

Then, in either case of (i) or (ii), \( \{ (Y_n, M^{(n)}_s) \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) inherits approximate factorization property together with (1.8) and (1.9), and hence has concentration at \( \omega_t \in \mathbb{D} \). The limit shape \( \omega_t \) is, however, rather trivial so that

\[
(i) \quad \omega_t = \omega_0, \quad \text{that is, no macroscopic evolution observed}
\]

\[
(ii) \quad \omega_t = \Omega \quad \text{for any} \quad t > 0, \quad \text{that is, macroscopic evolution completed at once.}
\]

In the case of (iii), we have the convergence of the averaged quantities

\[
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{\Lambda^{(n)}_{\theta_n}} \left[ R_{k+1}(m_{|\lambda|^{\omega_0}}) \right] = R_{k+1}(m_{\omega_0}) \sin \frac{\pi \alpha}{\pi \alpha} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t(k\xi \cos(\pi \alpha/2))^{1/\alpha}} \frac{d\xi}{\xi^2 + 2\xi \cos(\pi \alpha) + 1}, \quad k \geq 2 \quad (1.15)
\]

for any initial \( \omega_0 \in \mathbb{D} \). However, \( \{ (Y_n, M^{(n)}_{\theta_n}) \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) inherits approximate factorization property if and only if \( \omega_0 = \Omega \) (hence \( \omega_t = \Omega \) for any \( t \) also).

Proof of Theorem 1.4 is given in Section 2.

The subsequent sections are organized as follows. In Section 2, we give proofs of the theorems. However, proofs of the essential propositions involving computational details are postponed until Section 3. Our method relies on Fourier analysis (both classical and more group-theoretical). Usefulness of Fourier analysis is already suggested in [18] in treating continuous time random walks under general pausing time.

2 Proofs of Theorems

The mechanism of propagating approximate factorization property along macroscopic time is exactly the same as treated in [9]. See also Section 5.2 in [10] for more information.

Normalizing an irreducible character of a symmetric group, let us consider a function on \( \mathbb{Y} \) for each \( \rho \in \mathbb{Y} \)

\[
\Sigma_\rho(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 
|\lambda|^{2|\rho|} \gamma_\lambda^{(\rho,1|\lambda|,|\rho|)}, & |\lambda| \geq |\rho|, \\
0, & |\lambda| < |\rho|,
\end{cases} \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{Y}
\]

where \( n_{\lambda k} = n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1) \). The algebra \( \Lambda \) consisting of all linear hulls of \( \Sigma_\rho \)'s plays a fundamental role in the dual approach due to Kerov and Olshanski. Basically, our harmonic analysis is developed in this algebra. See [11] for its structure. For a sequence of
probability spaces \(\{(Y_n, M^{(n)})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\), approximate factorization property (1.7) and (1.8) are rephrased in terms of \(\Sigma_\rho\)'s:

\[
E_{M^{(n)}}[\Sigma_{\rho_{\lambda,s}}] - E_{M^{(n)}}[\Sigma_{\rho}] E_{M^{(n)}}[\Sigma_{\sigma}] = o(n^{\frac{1}{2}(|\rho|+|\sigma|+l(\sigma))})
\]

as \(n \to \infty\) for \(\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{Y}^X\), and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-\frac{j+1}{2}} E_{M^{(n)}}[\Sigma_j] = r_{j+1}
\]

for \(j \in \{2, 3, \cdots \}\). We note also that (2.1) and (2.2) yield

\[
E_{M^{(n)}}[\Sigma_{\rho}] = O(n^{\frac{1}{2}(|\rho|+l(\rho))}), \quad \rho \in \mathbb{Y}_X.
\]

Let \(\text{wt}\) denote the weight degree in \(A\). Since \(\text{wt}(\Sigma_j) = j + 1\) holds, the right hand side of (2.1) is \(o(\sqrt{n^{\text{wt}(\Sigma_j)}+\text{wt}(\Sigma_j)})\). We know the relation in \(A\)

\[
\Sigma_k(\lambda) = R_{k+1}(m_\lambda) + \ll \text{lower terms with wt } \leq k - 1 \gg, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},
\]

which is a decisive formula connecting irreducible characters of symmetric groups with transition measures of Young diagrams. Actually, (2.4) makes our scaling arguments transparent. The right hand side of (2.4) is a polynomial, known as a Kerov polynomial, in \(R_j(m_\lambda)\)'s.

The following formula for transition matrix \(P^{(n)}\) of the Res-Ind chain is a key observation about propagation of approximate factorization property. Regarding \(\Sigma_{\rho}|_{Y_n}\) as the column vector consisting of the values of \(\Sigma_{\rho}\) on \(Y_n\), we have

\[
P^{(n)}|_{Y_n} = (1 - \frac{1 - m_1(\rho)}{n}) \Sigma_{\rho}|_{Y_n}, \quad \rho \in \mathbb{Y}_X.
\]

Formula (2.5) is obtained by the induced character formula.

Let \(M_s^{(n)}\) denote the distribution of continuous time random walk \((X^{(n)}_s)_{s \geq 0}\) at time \(s\). For \(\rho \in \mathbb{Y}_X\), (1.0) and (2.5) yield

\[
E_{M_s^{(n)}}[\Sigma_{\rho}] = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Y}_X} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (M_0^{(n)} P^{(n)} j)_\mu \int_{[0,s]} \psi((s-u, \infty)) \psi^*(j)(du) \right) \Sigma_{\rho}(\mu)
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_0^{(n)} P^{(n)} j \Sigma_{\rho}|_{Y_n} \int_{[0,s]} \psi((s-u, \infty)) \psi^*(j)(du)
\]

\[
= \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - \frac{1 - m_1(\rho)}{n}) j \int_{[0,s]} \psi((s-u, \infty)) \psi^*(j)(du) \right) E_{M_0^{(n)}}[\Sigma_{\rho}].
\]

Especially in (2.6), considering a \(k\)-cycle, set

\[
f(k, n, s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - \frac{1}{n}) j \int_{[0,s]} \psi((s-u, \infty)) \psi^*(j)(du), \quad k \geq 2.
\]
Proposition 2.1 Under the assumptions and notations for the pausing time distribution in Theorem 1.3, let \( s = tn \) in (2.6). Then we have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} f(k, n, tn) = e^{-kt/m}, \quad k \in \{2, 3, \cdots\}. \tag{2.8}
\]

Proposition 2.2 Under the assumptions and notations for the pausing time distribution in Theorem 1.4, we have for \( k \in \{2, 3, \cdots\} \)
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} f(k, n, t\theta_n) = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \theta_n/n^{1/\alpha} = 0, \tag{2.9}
\]
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} f(k, n, t\theta_n) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \theta_n/n^{1/\alpha} = \infty, \tag{2.10}
\]
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} f(k, n, t\theta_n) = \frac{\sin \pi \alpha}{\pi \alpha} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t(k\xi \cos(\pi \alpha/2))^{1/\alpha}}}{\xi^2 + 2\xi \cos(\pi \alpha) + 1} \, d\xi \quad \text{if} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \theta_n/n^{1/\alpha} = 1 \tag{2.11}
\]
according to the cases of (i), (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 1.4.

Proofs of Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 are given in Section 3. Let us complete the proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 by using Propositions 2.1 and 2.2.

2.1 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let us verify the sequence \( \{(\mathcal{Y}_n, M^{(n)}_t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) satisfies (2.6) \((\Leftrightarrow 1.8)\). For \( \rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{Y}^x \), (2.6) and (2.7) yield
\[
\mathbb{E}_{M^{(n)}_t}[\Sigma_{\rho,\sigma}] - \mathbb{E}_{M^{(n)}_0}[\Sigma_\rho] \mathbb{E}_{M^{(n)}_0}[\Sigma_\sigma] = f(|\rho| + |\sigma|, n, tn) \mathbb{E}_{M^{(n)}_0}[\Sigma_{\rho,\sigma}] - f(|\rho|, n, tn) f(|\sigma|, n, tn) \mathbb{E}_{M^{(n)}_0}[\Sigma_\rho] \mathbb{E}_{M^{(n)}_0}[\Sigma_\sigma] \]
\[
= (f(|\rho| + |\sigma|, n, tn) - e^{-|\rho|+|\sigma|t/m}) \mathbb{E}_{M^{(n)}_0}[\Sigma_{\rho,\sigma}] + (e^{-|\rho|t/m} - f(|\rho|, n, tn)) f(|\sigma|, n, tn) \mathbb{E}_{M^{(n)}_0}[\Sigma_{\rho}] \mathbb{E}_{M^{(n)}_0}[\Sigma_\sigma] + e^{-|\rho|t/m}(e^{-|\sigma|t/m} - f(|\sigma|, n, tn)) \mathbb{E}_{M^{(n)}_0}[\Sigma_\rho] \mathbb{E}_{M^{(n)}_0}[\Sigma_\sigma] + e^{-|\rho|+|\sigma|t/m} \mathbb{E}_{M^{(n)}_0}[\Sigma_{\rho,\sigma}] - e^{-|\rho|t/m} e^{-|\sigma|t/m} \mathbb{E}_{M^{(n)}_0}[\Sigma_\rho] \mathbb{E}_{M^{(n)}_0}[\Sigma_\sigma] \]
\[
= o(n^{1/2}(|\rho|+|\sigma|+|t/\sigma|)) \tag{2.12}
\]
by taking into account Proposition 2.1 with (2.6) and (2.7) for \( M^{(n)}_0 \).

To verify (2.2) \((\Leftrightarrow 1.8)\) for \( \{(\mathcal{Y}_n, M^{(n)}_t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \), we see from (2.6) and (2.7)
\[
n^{d+1/2} \mathbb{E}_{M^{(n)}_t}[\Sigma_j] = f(j, n, tn) n^{d+1/2} \mathbb{E}_{M^{(n)}_0}[\Sigma_j] \quad \overset{n \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} e^{-jt/m} r_{j+1} \tag{2.13}
\]
for \( j \in \{2, 3, \cdots\} \) by (1.8) for \( M^{(n)}_0 \) and Proposition 2.1.

We see \( M^{(n)}_t \) also satisfies (1.9) since
\[
|e^{-(j-1)t/m} r_j| \leq e^{t/m} (e^{-t/m} b)^j
\]
for \( j \in \{2, 3, \cdots\} \).
holds under (1.9) for \( M_0^{(n)} \).

Finally we look at the free cumulants of transition measure \( m_{\omega_t} \) of
\[
\omega_t = \lim_{n \to \infty} |\lambda|^{\sqrt{n}} \quad (\text{in probability } M_t^{(n)}).
\]
The first and second ones hold before taking limit. We see from (2.4) and (2.13)
\[
R_{k+1}(m_{\omega_t}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-\frac{k+1}{2}} \mathbb{E}_{M_t^{(n)}}[R_{k+1}(m_{\omega_t})] = \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-\frac{k+1}{2}} \mathbb{E}_{M_t^{(n)}}[\Sigma_k] = e^{-kt/m} R_{k+1}(m_{\omega_0})
\]
for \( k \geq 2 \), and hence (1.12). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3

2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.4

The verification in the cases of (i) and (ii) goes on similarly to the preceding subsection, proof of Theorem 1.3, by using (2.9) and (2.10) in Proposition 2.2 instead of Proposition 2.1.

Let us consider the case of (iii). Similarly to (2.13) and (2.14) in the proof of Theorem 1.3 (1.11) is derived from (2.11) in Proposition 2.2. For simplicity set
\[
g_\alpha(u) = \sin(\pi \alpha) \int_0^\infty e^{-u(\xi \cos(\pi \alpha/2))^{1/\alpha}} \frac{1}{\xi^2 + 2 \xi \cos(\pi \alpha) + 1} d\xi, \quad u > 0.
\]
For a sequence \( \{Y_n, M_{t_{\theta_n}}^{(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \), the same argument to (2.12) yields for \( \rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{Y}^x \)
\[
\mathbb{E}_{M_{t_{\theta_n}}^{(n)}}[\Sigma_{\rho,\sigma}] - \mathbb{E}_{M_{t_{\theta_n}}^{(n)}}[\Sigma_\rho \Sigma_{\rho,\sigma}] = f(|\rho| + |\sigma|, \rho, \sigma) - f(|\rho|, \rho, \sigma, \sigma) f(|\sigma|, \rho, \sigma) - f(|\sigma|, \rho, \sigma, \sigma) f(|\rho|, \rho, \sigma, \sigma)
\]
\[
= (f(|\rho| + |\sigma|, \rho, \sigma) - g_\alpha(t(|\rho| + |\sigma|)^{1/\alpha})) \mathbb{E}_{M_{t_{\theta_n}}^{(n)}}[\Sigma_{\rho,\sigma}]
\]
\[
+ (g_\alpha(t|\rho|^{1/\alpha}) - f(|\rho|, \rho, \sigma, \sigma) f(|\sigma|, \rho, \sigma, \sigma) - f(|\sigma|, \rho, \sigma, \sigma) f(|\rho|, \rho, \sigma, \sigma)
\]
\[
+ g_\alpha(t(|\rho| + |\sigma|)^{1/\alpha}) \mathbb{E}_{M_{t_{\theta_n}}^{(n)}}[\Sigma_{\rho,\sigma}] - g_\alpha(t(|\rho| + |\sigma|)^{1/\alpha}) \mathbb{E}_{M_{t_{\theta_n}}^{(n)}}[\Sigma_{\rho,\sigma}]
\]
\[
\mathbb{E}_{M_{t_{\theta_n}}^{(n)}}[\Sigma_{\rho,\sigma}] - \mathbb{E}_{M_{t_{\theta_n}}^{(n)}}[\Sigma_{\rho}] \mathbb{E}_{M_{t_{\theta_n}}^{(n)}}[\Sigma_{\sigma}]
\]
\[
= \{g_\alpha(t(|\rho| + |\sigma|)^{1/\alpha}) - g_\alpha(t|\rho|^{1/\alpha}) \rho, \sigma, \sigma) - g_\alpha(t|\sigma|^{1/\alpha}) \mathbb{E}_{M_{t_{\theta_n}}^{(n)}}[\Sigma_{\rho,\sigma}] - g_\alpha(t|\rho|^{1/\alpha}) \mathbb{E}_{M_{t_{\theta_n}}^{(n)}}[\Sigma_{\rho,\sigma}]
\]
\[
\mathbb{E}_{M_{t_{\theta_n}}^{(n)}}[\Sigma_{\rho,\sigma}] - \mathbb{E}_{M_{t_{\theta_n}}^{(n)}}[\Sigma_{\rho}] \mathbb{E}_{M_{t_{\theta_n}}^{(n)}}[\Sigma_{\sigma}]
\]
\[
+ o(n^{1/2(1+|\rho|+|\sigma|+l(\sigma))}) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty
\]
by (2.11) with (2.1) and (2.3) for \( M_0^{(n)} \). Moreover, from (2.1) and (2.2) for \( M_0^{(n)} \), this equals
\[
= \{g_\alpha(t(|\rho| + |\sigma|)^{1/\alpha}) - g_\alpha(t|\rho|^{1/\alpha}) \rho, \sigma, \sigma) - g_\alpha(t|\sigma|^{1/\alpha}) \mathbb{E}_{M_{t_{\theta_n}}^{(n)}}[\Sigma_{\rho,\sigma}] - g_\alpha(t|\rho|^{1/\alpha}) \mathbb{E}_{M_{t_{\theta_n}}^{(n)}}[\Sigma_{\rho,\sigma}]
\]
\[
\mathbb{E}_{M_{t_{\theta_n}}^{(n)}}[\Sigma_{\rho,\sigma}] - \mathbb{E}_{M_{t_{\theta_n}}^{(n)}}[\Sigma_{\rho}] \mathbb{E}_{M_{t_{\theta_n}}^{(n)}}[\Sigma_{\sigma}]
\]
\[
+ o(n^{1/2(1+|\rho|+|\sigma|+l(\sigma))}) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.
\]
If \( \omega_0 = \Omega \) is the initial profile, (2.15) contains only the error term since the \( j \)th free cumulant of \( m_\Omega \) vanishes for \( j \geq 3 \). If \( \omega \neq \Omega \), there exists \( k \geq 2 \) such that \( r_{k+1} \neq 0 \). In the case of \( \rho = \sigma = (k^m) \ (m \in \mathbb{N}) \) in (2.15), the main term is

\[
\left\{ g_\alpha(t(2km)^{1/\alpha}) - g_\alpha(t(km)^{1/\alpha})^2 \right\} r_{k+1}^{2m} n^{\frac{1}{2}(|\rho|+|\tau|)+|\sigma|+|\tau|}.
\]

As verified below, for any \( t > 0 \), appropriately taken \( m \) yields

\[
g_\alpha(u) \sim \frac{2}{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha) \sin \left( \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} u \right) \quad \text{as} \quad u \to \infty,
\]

we have for \( p \in \mathbb{N} \)

\[
g_\alpha(t(2p)^{1/\alpha}) g_\alpha(tp^{1/\alpha})^2 \sim \frac{\pi t^\alpha p}{4 \Gamma(\alpha) \sin(\pi \alpha/2)} \quad \text{as} \quad p \to \infty.
\]

In particular, this is larger than 1 if \( p \) is large enough. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

3 Technical details

First we show an inversion formula expressing \( f(k, n, s) \) in (2.7) in term of the characteristic function of \( \psi \).

**Lemma 3.1** Let \( n, k \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( n \geq k \geq 2 \). We have

\[
f(k, n, s) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0, r \to \infty} \lim_{a \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\epsilon < |\xi| < r} e^{-i\xi s} \frac{\varphi(\xi) - \varphi(0)}{\xi} d\xi, \quad s \geq 0
\]

where

\[
\varphi(\xi) = \int_0^\infty e^{i\xi u} \psi(du).
\]

**Proof** We compute

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\epsilon < |\xi| < r} e^{-i\xi s} \left( \int_0^a f(k, n, x) e^{i\xi x} dx \right) d\xi, \quad a > 0
\]

in two ways. Set \( f(k, n, s) = 0 \) for \( s < 0 \) for convenience.

On one hand, we show

\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0, r \to \infty} \lim_{a \to \infty} (3.2) = f(k, n, s) + \frac{k}{2n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{k}{n} \right)^j \psi^j(s) \langle \{s\} \rangle, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.
\]
(Here we do not care about general conditions for \( f(k, n, \cdot) \) yielding the ‘inversion’ \((3.3)\) but use the special form \((2.7)\) of our \( f(k, n, s) \).) In
\[
(3.2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{a} f(k, n, x) \left( \frac{\sin(r(x-s))}{x-s} - \frac{\sin(\epsilon(x-s))}{x-s} \right) dx,
\]
putting \((2.7)\) then interchanging the integral and infinite sum, we have
\[
\int_{0}^{a} f(k, n, x) \frac{\sin(r(x-s))}{x-s} dx = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{k}{n} \right)^{j} \int_{0}^{a} \left( \int_{0}^{x} \frac{\sin(r(x-s))}{x-s} \psi((x-u, \infty)) \psi^{*j}(du) \right) dx
\]
\[
= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{k}{n} \right)^{j} \int_{0}^{a} \left( \int_{0}^{x} \frac{\sin(r(x-s))}{x-s} 1_{(0,x]}(u) 1_{(x-s, \infty)}(v) dx \right) \psi^{*j} \times \psi(dudv).
\]
Let \( \bigstar \) be the above three-fold integral. Then, since
\[
\sup_{\alpha<\beta} \left| \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{\sin(r(x-s))}{x-s} dx \right| < \infty \quad \text{(also independent of } r, s \text{)}
\]
and
\[
\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{\sin(r x)}{x} dx \longrightarrow \begin{cases} 
0, & 0 < \alpha < \beta, \ 0 = \alpha < \beta, \ \pi/2, & 0 = \alpha < \beta, \ \pi, & \alpha < 0 < \beta
\end{cases}
\]
hold, the convergence theorem for integral gives
\[
\bigstar = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{u=a}^{(u+v)/a} \int_{0}^{u+y} \frac{\sin(r(x-s))}{x-s} dx dy \psi^{*j} \times \psi(dudv)
\]
\[
\longrightarrow \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{u=a}^{(u+v)/a} \int_{0}^{u+y} \frac{\sin(r(x-s))}{x-s} dx dy \psi^{*j} \times \psi(dudv)
\]
\[
= \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{u-s}^{u+v-s} \frac{\sin(r y)}{y} dy \psi^{*j} \times \psi(dudv)
\]
\[
\longrightarrow \frac{\pi}{2} \psi^{*j}(\{s\}) \psi((0, \infty)) + \frac{\pi}{2} \psi^{*j} \times \psi(\{u+v = s, v > 0\})
\]
\[
+ \pi \psi^{*j} \times \psi(\{u < s < u + v, v > 0\}).
\]
The third term is rewritten as
\[
\pi \int_{[0,s]} \psi((s-u, \infty)) \psi^{*j}(du) - \pi \psi((0, \infty)) \psi^{*j}(\{s\}).
\]
After replacing \( r \) by \( \epsilon \), the part of \( a \uparrow \infty \) is the same. Then, by \( \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \sin(\epsilon x)/xdx = 0 \),
we see from the convergence theorem

\[
\lim_{{\epsilon \to 0, r \to \infty}} \lim_{{a \to \infty}} (3.1)
\]

\[
= \sum_{{j=0}}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right)^j \left\{ \int_{{[0,\infty]}} \psi((s - u, \infty))\psi^{*j}(du) + \frac{1}{2} \psi^{*j} \times \psi(\{u + v = s, v > 0\}) - \frac{1}{2} \psi^{*j}(\{s\})\psi((0, \infty)) \right\}
\]

\[
= f(k, n, s) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{{j=0}}^{\infty} (1 - \frac{k}{n})^j \left( \int_{{[0,\infty]}} \psi^{*j}(\{s\} - v)\psi(du) - \psi^{*j}(\{s\})\psi((0, \infty)) \right)
\]

\[
= f(k, n, s) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{{j=0}}^{\infty} (1 - \frac{k}{n})^j (\psi^{*j+1}(\{s\}) - \psi^{*j}(\{s\})),
\]

which agrees with (3.3).

On the other hand, the convergence theorem yields

\[
\int_0^a f(k, n, x)e^{i\xi x} \, dx = \sum_{{j=0}}^{\infty} (1 - \frac{k}{n})^j \int_0^a e^{i\xi x} \left( \int_{{[0,\infty]}} \psi((x - u, \infty))\psi^{*j}(du) \right) \, dx. \quad (3.5)
\]

Let \( \star' \) be the two-fold integral in (3.5). We have for \( \xi \neq 0 \)

\[
\star' = \int_{{[0,\infty]^2}} \int_0^a e^{i\xi x} 1_{[0,\infty]}(u) 1_{(x-u,\infty)}(v) \, dx \psi^{*j}(du dv)
\]

\[
= \int_{{[0,\infty]^2}} \int_0^a e^{i\xi x} \left( \psi^{*j}(du) \psi^{*j}(dv) \right)
\]

\[
= \int_{{[0,\infty]^2}} \frac{1}{i\xi} \left( e^{i\xi(u+v)} - e^{i\xi u} \right) \psi^{*j}(du dv)
\]

\[
\to a \to \infty \int_{{[0,\infty]^2}} \frac{1}{i\xi} \left( e^{i\xi(u+v)} - e^{i\xi u} \right) \psi^{*j}(du dv) = \frac{1}{i\xi} \varphi(\xi)^j (\varphi(\xi) - 1).
\]

Hence, from the convergence theorem,

\[
(3.6) \quad a \to \infty \sum_{{j=0}}^{\infty} (1 - \frac{k}{n})^j \frac{1}{i\xi} \varphi(\xi)^j (\varphi(\xi) - 1) = \frac{\varphi(\xi) - 1}{i\xi} \frac{1}{1 - (1 - (k/n))\varphi(\xi)}.
\]

Since we see from the expression of (3.6) that the left hand side of (3.6) is bounded jointly with respect to \( \{\epsilon < |\xi| < r\} \) and \( a > 0 \), we have

\[
(3.6) \quad a \to \infty \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\{\epsilon < |\xi| < r\}} e^{-i\xi s} \varphi(\xi) - \frac{1}{i\xi} \frac{1}{1 - (1 - (k/n))\varphi(\xi)} \, d\xi
\]

by the convergence theorem for integral. Combined with the former half, this completes the proof of (3.1).
Before entering into the proof of Proposition 2.1, let us note the case where the pausing time obeys an exponential distribution:

\[ \psi(dx) = \frac{1}{m} e^{-x/m} 1_{[0,\infty)}(x)dx, \quad \varphi(\xi) = \frac{1}{1 - i\xi}. \]

Then Lemma 3.1 gives (with residue calculus)

\[ f(k, n, t_n) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\xi| < r} \frac{e^{-itn\xi}}{\xi} \frac{1 - \varphi(\xi)}{1 - \varphi(\xi) + (k/n)\varphi(\xi)} d\xi = e^{-\frac{k}{m}}. \quad (3.7) \]

### 3.1 Proof of Proposition 2.1

Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.1, \( \psi \) is a continuous distribution. In fact, the integrability of \( (1.10) \) and uniform continuity of \( \varphi \) yield \( \lim_{\xi \to \pm \infty} |\varphi(\xi)| = 0 \), from which continuity of \( \psi \) follows (see [14 §2.2]).

Now the atomic parts do not appear in \( (3.1) \) of Lemma 3.1. Since the integrand of \( (3.1) \) does not have singularity as \( \epsilon \downarrow 0 \) by the differentiability of \( \varphi \) at 0, we have

\[ f(k, n, t_n) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\xi| < r} \frac{e^{-itn\xi}}{\xi} \frac{1 - \varphi(\xi)}{1 - \varphi(\xi) + (k/n)\varphi(\xi)} d\xi. \quad (3.8) \]

We divide the integral of \( (3.8) \) into the following four pieces where \( \delta > 0 \) is specified a bit later:

\[
\begin{align*}
&\frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\xi| < r} \frac{e^{-itn\xi}}{\xi} \frac{1 - \varphi(\xi)}{1 - \varphi(\xi) + (k/n)\varphi(\xi)} d\xi \\
&= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \left( \int_{|\xi| \leq \frac{r}{n}} \frac{e^{-itn\xi}}{\xi} \frac{1 - \varphi(\xi)}{1 - \varphi(\xi) + (k/n)\varphi(\xi)} d\xi + \int_{\frac{r}{n} < |\xi| < r} \frac{e^{-itn\xi}}{\xi} \left( 1 - \frac{k/n}{1 - \varphi(\xi) + (k/n)\varphi(\xi)} \right) d\xi \right) \\
&= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\xi| \leq \frac{r}{n}} \frac{e^{-itn\xi}}{\xi} \frac{1 - \varphi(\xi)}{1 - \varphi(\xi) + (k/n)\varphi(\xi)} d\xi - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{r}{n} < |\xi| < r} \frac{e^{-itn\xi}}{\xi} \frac{1 - \varphi(\xi) + (k/n)\varphi(\xi)}{1 - \varphi(\xi) + (k/n)\varphi(\xi)} d\xi \\
&\quad + \frac{k}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{r}{n} < |\xi| < \delta} \frac{e^{-itn\xi}}{\xi} \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{n(1 - \varphi(\xi)) + k\varphi(\xi)} d\xi \\
&\quad + \frac{k}{2\pi i} \int_{\delta < |\xi| < r} \frac{e^{-itn\xi}}{\xi} \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{n(1 - \varphi(\xi)) + k\varphi(\xi)} d\xi \\
&= (I) + (II) + (III) + (IV). \quad (3.9)
\end{align*}
\]

First we look at (IV) in (3.9). We have

\[ |n(1 - \varphi(\xi)) + k\varphi(\xi)| \geq n|1 - \varphi(\xi)| - k|\varphi(\xi)| \geq n\left(1 - \sup_{\delta \leq |\xi|} |\varphi(\xi)|\right) - k, \]

in which \( 1 - \sup_{|\xi| \leq \delta} |\varphi(\xi)| > 0 \) holds for any \( \delta > 0 \). In fact, since we saw \( \lim_{\xi \to \pm \infty} \varphi(\xi) = 0 \), take \( \delta' > 0 \) such that \( \sup_{|\xi| > \delta'} |\varphi(\xi)| \leq 1/2 \). If \( \delta < \delta' \) and \( \sup_{|\xi| \leq \delta} |\varphi(\xi)| = 1 \), we
choose a sequence \( \{ \xi_n \} \subset \{ \delta \leq |\xi| \leq \delta' \} \) such that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} |\varphi(\xi_n)| = 1 \). There exists \( \xi_0 \in \{ \delta \leq |\xi| \leq \delta' \} \) such that \( |\varphi(\xi_0)| = 1 \) by the compactness, namely \( \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\xi_0 x} \psi(dx) = e^{ia} \) for some \( a \in \mathbb{R} \). We have, however,

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} |e^{i\xi_0 x} - e^{ia}|^2 \psi(dx) = 1 - 1 + 1 = 0,
\]

contradicting continuity of \( \psi \). We thus obtain

\[|(IV)\text{ in (3.9)}| \leq \frac{k}{2\pi n(1 - \sup_{\delta \leq |\xi|} |\varphi(\xi)| - k)} \int_{|\delta| \leq |\xi|} \frac{|\varphi(\xi/n)|}{\xi} d\xi \quad (3.10)\]

for any \( \delta > 0 \) (with the upper bound independent of \( r \)). We note

\[\text{(II) in (3.9)} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\delta| < |\xi| < nr} \frac{e^{-it\xi}}{\xi} d\xi\]

converges as \( r \to \infty \).

Let us compute

\[\text{(III) in (3.9)} = \frac{k}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{|\delta| < |\xi| < n\delta}(\xi) e^{-it\xi} \frac{\varphi(\xi/n)}{n(1 - \varphi(\xi/n)) + k\varphi(\xi/n)} d\xi \quad (3.11)\]

Noting \( \varphi'(0) = im \), we have for any \( \delta > 0 \) and any \( \xi \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \delta < |\xi| \)

\[
\text{integrand of (3.11)} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \frac{e^{-it\xi}}{\xi} \frac{1}{-im\xi + k}.
\]

To verify uniform integrability of the integrand, \( |\xi| < n\delta \) yields

\[
|n(1 - \varphi(\xi/n)) + k\varphi(\xi/n)| = |n(1 - \varphi(\xi/n)) + \varphi'(0)\xi - im\xi + k\varphi(\xi/n) - k + k| \\
\geq |im\xi + k| - |n(1 - \varphi(\xi/n)) + \varphi'(0)\xi| - k|\varphi(\xi/n)| - 1| \\
= \sqrt{m^2 \xi^2 + k^2} - |\varphi(\xi/n) - 1 - \varphi'(0)| |\xi| - k|\varphi(\xi/n)| - 1| \\
\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (m|\xi| + k) - A_\delta |\xi| - B_\delta k
\]

by setting

\[
A_\delta = \sup_{|\eta| < \delta} \left| \frac{\varphi(\eta)}{\eta} - \varphi'(0) \right|, \quad B_\delta = \sup_{|\eta| < \delta} |\varphi(\eta)| - 1.
\]

For any \( \delta > 0 \) such that

\[A_\delta < m/\sqrt{2}, \quad B_\delta < 1/\sqrt{2}, \quad (3.12)\]

we have

\[
|\text{integrand of (3.11)}| \leq 1_{|\delta| < |\xi|} |\xi| \frac{1}{|\xi|} \frac{1}{((m/\sqrt{2}) - A_\delta)|\xi| + ((1/\sqrt{2}) - B_\delta)k},
\]
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the right hand side being an integrable function in \( \xi \). If \( \delta > 0 \) satisfies (3.12), then

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{k}{2\pi i} \int_{\{1 \leq |\xi| \leq R\}} \frac{e^{-it\xi}}{\xi} \, d\xi = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\{1 \leq |\xi| \leq R\}} \frac{e^{-it\xi}}{k - im\xi} \, d\xi
\]

(3.11)

\[
\lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{\{1 \leq |\xi| \leq R\}} \frac{e^{-it\xi}}{k - im\xi} \, d\xi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \frac{1}{\xi} + \frac{im}{k - im\xi} \right) \, d\xi
\]

(3.12)

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{\{1 \leq |\xi| \leq R\}} \frac{e^{-it\xi}}{\xi} \, d\xi + \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{\{1 \leq |\xi| \leq R\}} \frac{e^{-it\xi}}{(k/m) - i\xi} \, d\xi
\]

(3.13)

(recall the computation of (3.7)).

Let us apply these estimates to (3.8) and (3.9):

\[
f(k,n,tn) = (I) + (III) + \lim_{r \to \infty} (II) + \lim_{r \to \infty} (IV).
\]

For arbitrarily given \( \epsilon > 0 \), take \( \delta > 0 \) satisfying \( (I) < \epsilon, O(\delta) \text{ in } (3.13) < \epsilon \text{ and } (3.12) \).

We then take sufficiently large \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) such that, if \( n \geq N \),

\[
\left| \lim_{r \to \infty} (IV) \right| < \epsilon \quad \text{(by (3.10))}
\]

\[
(III) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{\{1 \leq |\xi| \leq R\}} \frac{e^{-it\xi}}{\xi} \, d\xi + e^{-kt/m} + \text{(term of } | \cdot | \text{ } \leq 2\epsilon).
\]

The first term agrees with \( -\lim_{r \to \infty} (II) \). Consequently, we obtain

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{r \to \infty} \left| f(k,n,tn) - e^{-kt/m} \right| \leq 4\epsilon
\]

for any \( \epsilon > 0 \). This completes the proof of Proposition 2.1.

3.2 Proof of Proposition 2.2

Putting (1.14), the expression of the characteristic function, into (3.1) of Lemma 3.1 and noting (absolute) continuity of \( \psi \), we have

\[
f(k,n,s) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0, r \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\{1 \leq |\xi| \leq r\}} \frac{e^{-is\xi}}{\xi} \, d\xi + \frac{e^{-|\xi|\alpha(1-i\tan(\pi\alpha/2)\text{sgn}\xi)}}{1 - (1 - \frac{i}{n})e^{-|\xi|\alpha(1-i\tan(\pi\alpha/2)\text{sgn}\xi)}} \, d\xi
\]

\[
= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0, r \to \infty} \left\{ \int_{\epsilon^\alpha} e^{-is\eta^{1/\alpha}} \, d\eta + \frac{e^{-|\eta|\alpha(1-i\tan(\pi\alpha/2)\text{sgn}\eta)}}{1 - (1 - \frac{i}{n})e^{-|\eta|\alpha(1-i\tan(\pi\alpha/2)\text{sgn}\eta)}} \, d\eta \right\}.
\]

(3.14)
Let us refer to the two integrals in (3.14) as $\mathbf{\star}_-$ and $\mathbf{\star}_+$ respectively. Take line segments $L_{\pm}, L'_{\pm}$ and arcs $C_{\pm}, C'_{\pm}$ as in Figure 1:

\begin{align*}
L_{\pm} : & \epsilon^{\alpha} \left( 1 \mp i \tan \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} \right) \rightarrow r^{\alpha} \left( 1 \mp i \tan \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} \right), \\
L'_{\pm} : & \epsilon^{\alpha} \left( 1 \mp i \tan \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} \right) e^{\mp i \pi \alpha/2} \rightarrow r^{\alpha} \left( 1 \mp i \tan \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} \right) e^{\pm i \pi \alpha/2}, \\
C_{\pm} : & r^{\alpha} \left( 1 \mp i \tan \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} \right) \rightarrow r^{\alpha} \left( 1 \mp i \tan \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} \right) e^{\mp i \pi \alpha/2}, \\
C'_{\pm} : & \epsilon^{\alpha} \left( 1 \mp i \tan \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} \right) \rightarrow \epsilon^{\alpha} \left( 1 \mp i \tan \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} \right) e^{\mp i \pi \alpha/2}.
\end{align*}

Figure 1: Contours

We have

$$\mathbf{\star}_+ = \int_{L_{\pm}} F_{\pm}(z) dz \quad \text{where} \quad F_{\pm}(z) = \frac{e^{\mp i \pi z/(1 \pm i \tan(\pi \alpha/2))^{1/\alpha}}}{1 - (1 - k_n) e^{-z}} - \frac{e^{-z}}{z}.$$

Noting

$$\lim_{r \uparrow \infty} \int_{C_{\pm}} F_{\pm}(z) dz = 0, \quad \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{C'_{\pm}} F_{\pm}(z) dz = 0,$$

we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0, \, r \uparrow \infty} \mathbf{\star}_+ = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0, \, r \uparrow \infty} \int_{L'_{\pm}} F_{\pm}(z) dz$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{x^{1/\alpha}}{\alpha}}}{1 - (1 - k_n) e^{-x(1 \pm i \tan(\pi \alpha/2))^{1/\alpha}}} - \frac{e^{-x(1 \pm i \tan(\pi \alpha/2)) e^{\mp i \pi \alpha/2}}}{x} - \frac{1}{x} \, dx,$$

the last integrals converging absolutely near 0 and $\infty$. Putting this into (3.14), we get
an easier integral expression

\[ f(k, n, s) = \frac{1}{2\pi n} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-sx^{1/\alpha}}}{x} \left\{ \frac{e^{-x(1-i\tan(\pi\alpha/2))}e^{-i\pi\alpha/2} - 1}{1 - (1 - \frac{k}{n})e^{-x(1-i\tan(\pi\alpha/2))}e^{-i\pi\alpha/2}} - \frac{e^{-x(1+i\tan(\pi\alpha/2))}e^{i\pi\alpha/2} - 1}{1 - (1 - \frac{k}{n})e^{-x(1+i\tan(\pi\alpha/2))}e^{i\pi\alpha/2}} \right\} dx, \]

furthermore, after a bit of computation

\[ \frac{k}{\pi \alpha n} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - 2(1 - \frac{k}{n})e^{-x\frac{\cos(\pi\alpha)}{\cos(\pi\alpha/2)}} \sin(2x \sin \frac{\pi\alpha}{2})}{1 - 2(1 - \frac{k}{n})e^{-x\frac{\cos(\pi\alpha)}{\cos(\pi\alpha/2)}} \cos(2x \sin \frac{\pi\alpha}{2}) + (1 - \frac{k}{n})^2 e^{-2x\frac{\cos(\pi\alpha)}{\cos(\pi\alpha/2)}}} \frac{dx}{x}. \] (3.15)

**Lemma 3.2** If \( \alpha \neq 1/2 \), then

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_b^\infty \frac{e^{-x\frac{\cos(\pi\alpha)}{\cos(\pi\alpha/2)}}}{|1 - 2(1 - \frac{k}{n})e^{-x\frac{\cos(\pi\alpha)}{\cos(\pi\alpha/2)}} \cos(2x \sin \frac{\pi\alpha}{2}) + (1 - \frac{k}{n})^2 e^{-2x\frac{\cos(\pi\alpha)}{\cos(\pi\alpha/2)}}|} \frac{dx}{x} = 0 \] (3.16)

holds for any \( b > 0 \).

**Proof** First let \( 0 < \alpha < 1/2 \), hence \( \cos(\pi\alpha)/\cos(\pi\alpha/2) > 0 \). Then,

\[ |\text{denominator of the integrand}| \geq 1 + (1 - \frac{k}{n})^2 e^{-2x\frac{\cos(\pi\alpha)}{\cos(\pi\alpha/2)}} - 2(1 - \frac{k}{n}) e^{-x\frac{\cos(\pi\alpha)}{\cos(\pi\alpha/2)}} \]

\[ = \left( 1 - (1 - \frac{k}{n}) e^{-x\frac{\cos(\pi\alpha)}{\cos(\pi\alpha/2)}} \right)^2 \geq \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{k}{n} \frac{\cos(\pi\alpha)}{\cos(\pi\alpha/2)}} \right)^2 > 0. \]

This yields (3.16).

Secondly let \( 1/2 < \alpha < 1 \), hence \( \cos(\pi\alpha)/\cos(\pi\alpha/2) < 0 \). Then, the integrand equals

\[ e^{\frac{x\frac{\cos(\pi\alpha)}{\cos(\pi\alpha/2)}}} \]

whose denominator is bounded below by

\[ e^{2x\frac{\cos(\pi\alpha)}{\cos(\pi\alpha/2)}} + (1 - \frac{k}{n})^2 - 2(1 - \frac{k}{n}) e^{x\frac{\cos(\pi\alpha)}{\cos(\pi\alpha/2)}} \]

\[ = \left( 1 - \frac{k}{n} - e^{x\frac{\cos(\pi\alpha)}{\cos(\pi\alpha/2)}} \right)^2 \geq \left( 1 - \frac{k}{n} - e^{x\frac{\cos(\pi\alpha)}{\cos(\pi\alpha/2)}} \right)^2 > 0 \]

if \( n \) is sufficiently large. This yields (3.16), too. \[ \Box \]

Setting \( s = t\beta_n \) for \( t > 0 \) and \( \beta_n = \theta_n/n^{1/\alpha} \) in (3.15), we seek the limit of

\[ f(k, n, \tau \beta_n) = \frac{k}{\pi \alpha n} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t\beta_n(nx)^{1/\alpha}}}{x} \frac{e^{-x\frac{\cos(\pi\alpha)}{\cos(\pi\alpha/2)}} \sin(2x \sin \frac{\pi\alpha}{2})}{1 - 2(1 - \frac{k}{n}) e^{-x\frac{\cos(\pi\alpha)}{\cos(\pi\alpha/2)}} \cos(2x \sin \frac{\pi\alpha}{2}) + (1 - \frac{k}{n})^2 e^{-2x\frac{\cos(\pi\alpha)}{\cos(\pi\alpha/2)}}} dx. \] (3.17)
First we consider the case of \( \alpha \neq 1/2 \). Since we know the integration on \((ak, \infty)\) tends to 0 as \( n \to \infty \) by Lemma 3.2, let us compute

\[
\frac{k}{\pi a \alpha} \int_{0}^{ak} \frac{e^{-\beta n(nx)} + e^{-x \cos(\pi \alpha) \cos(\pi \beta/2)}}{1 - 2(1 - \frac{k}{n})e^{-x \cos(\pi \alpha) \cos(\pi \beta/2)} \cos(2x \sin \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}) + (1 - \frac{k}{n})^2 e^{-2x \cos(\pi \alpha) \cos(\pi \beta/2)} x} \, dx \tag{3.18}
\]

where \( a > 0 \) is specified later. We rewrite (3.18) as

\[
\frac{k^2}{\pi \alpha} \int_{0}^{an} e^{-\beta n(ky)} \frac{\frac{k}{n} \cos(\pi \alpha) \sin(\frac{\pi \alpha}{2})}{k y} \left\{ n^2 \left( e^{2k y \cos(\pi \alpha) \cos(\pi \beta/2)} + 1 - 2e^{k y \cos(\pi \alpha) \cos(\pi \beta/2)} \cos(2 \frac{k}{n} y \sin \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}) \right) \right. \\
+ 2nk \left( e^{k y \cos(\pi \alpha) \cos(\pi \beta/2)} \cos(2 \frac{k}{n} y \sin \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}) - 1 \right) + k^2 \right\}^{-1} \, dy \tag{3.19}
\]

and note that

\[
\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{1}{x} \left( e^{k x \cos(\pi \alpha) \cos(\pi \beta/2)} \cos(2 k x \sin \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}) - 1 \right) = \frac{k \cos(\pi \alpha)}{\cos(\pi \alpha/2)}, \quad \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{1}{x^2} \left( e^{k x \cos(\pi \alpha) \cos(\pi \beta/2)} \cos(2 k x \sin \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}) - 1 \right) = \frac{k^2}{\cos^2(\pi \alpha/2)}. \tag{3.20}
\]

For any \( \epsilon > 0 \) there exists \( a > 0 \) such that \( 0 < x \leq a \) implies

\[
\left| \frac{1}{x} \left( e^{k x \cos(\pi \alpha) \cos(\pi \beta/2)} \cos(2 k x \sin \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}) - 1 \right) - \frac{k \cos(\pi \alpha)}{\cos(\pi \alpha/2)} \right| \leq \epsilon, \\
\left| \frac{1}{x^2} \left( e^{k x \cos(\pi \alpha) \cos(\pi \beta/2)} \cos(2 k x \sin \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}) - 1 \right) - \frac{k^2}{\cos^2(\pi \alpha/2)} \right| \leq \epsilon.
\]

Then, \( \epsilon > 0 \) being taken smaller than \( k^2 / \cos^2(\pi \alpha/2) \), it holds in (3.19)

\[
\left| \left\{ \cdot \right\} \right| \geq \left( \frac{k^2}{\cos^2(\pi \alpha/2) - \epsilon} \right) y^2 + k^2 - 2ky \left( k \left| \frac{\cos(\pi \alpha)}{\cos(\pi \alpha/2)} \right| + \epsilon \right) \\
= k^2 \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{\cos^2(\pi \alpha/2)} - \frac{\epsilon}{k^2} \right) y^2 - 2 \left( \left| \frac{\cos(\pi \alpha)}{\cos(\pi \alpha/2)} \right| + \frac{\epsilon}{k} \right) y + 1 \right\}.
\]

Since the discriminant of the right hand side is

\[
\left( \left| \frac{\cos(\pi \alpha)}{\cos(\pi \alpha/2)} \right| + \frac{\epsilon}{k} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{1}{\cos^2(\pi \alpha/2)} - \frac{\epsilon}{k^2} \right) = -4 \sin^2 \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{k} \left( 2 \left| \frac{\cos(\pi \alpha)}{\cos(\pi \alpha/2)} \right| + 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{k} \right),
\]

we begin with \( \epsilon > 0 \) which makes this discriminant < 0. Then, the absolute value of the integrand in (3.19) is bounded by

\[
e^{ka \frac{\cos(\pi \alpha)}{\cos(\pi \alpha/2)}} 2 \sin \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} k^2 \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{\cos^2(\pi \alpha/2)} - \frac{\epsilon}{k^2} \right) y^2 - 2 \left( \left| \frac{\cos(\pi \alpha)}{\cos(\pi \alpha/2)} \right| + \frac{\epsilon}{k} \right) y + 1 \right\}^{-1},
\]
which is integrable in $y$ and independent of $n$. The pointwise limit of the integrand is seen from (3.20). Consequently, setting $\lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n = \beta \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$, we have

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} f(k, n, t\theta_n) = \frac{2 \sin(\pi \alpha/2)}{\pi \alpha} \int_0^\infty e^{-t\beta(ky)} \left( \frac{1}{\cos^2(\pi \alpha/2)y^2} + 2 \frac{\cos(\pi \alpha)}{\cos(\pi \alpha/2)}y + 1 \right)^{-1} dy
$$

$$
= \frac{\sin(\pi \alpha)}{\pi \alpha} \int_0^\infty e^{-t\beta(k\xi \cos(\pi \alpha/2))} \left( \frac{1}{\xi^2 + 2\xi \cos(\pi \alpha) + 1} \right) d\xi.
$$

(3.21)

Note that (3.21) equals 1 or 0 according to $\beta = 0$ or $\infty$.

Secondly we treat the case of $f(k, n, s)$ for $\alpha = 1/2$ in (3.17). Setting $\alpha = 1/2$ in (3.15), we have

$$
f(k, n, s) = \frac{2k}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi n} e^{-\frac{sx^2}{2n^2}} \frac{\sin(y/n)}{y/n} \frac{1}{2n(n-k)(1-\cos(y/n)) + k^2} dy < \frac{2\pi}{\pi} \leq 1.
$$

(3.22)

**Lemma 3.3** We have

$$
\frac{2k}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi n} e^{-\frac{sx^2}{2n^2}} \frac{\sin(y/n)}{y/n} \frac{1}{2n(n-k)(1-\cos(y/n)) + k^2} dy < \frac{2\pi}{\pi} \leq 1.
$$

**Proof** The second inequality is obvious from (2.7), the definition of $f(k, n, s)$. For the first inequality, we divide the integral in (3.22) as

$$
\int_0^\infty = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{2\pi nj}^{2\pi(n+j-1)} \quad \text{and note each } \int_{2\pi nj}^{2\pi(n+j-1)} > 0 \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

In fact,

$$
\int_{2\pi nj}^{2\pi(n+j-1)} e^{-\frac{sx^2}{2n^2}} \frac{\sin(y/n)}{y/n} \frac{1}{2n(n-k)(1-\cos(y/n)) + k^2} dy
$$

$$
= \int_{2\pi nj}^{2\pi(n+j-1)} e^{-\frac{sx^2}{2n^2}} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} \frac{1}{2n(n-k)(1-\cos(x)) + k^2} dx
$$

$$
= \int_{2\pinj}^{2\pi(n+j-1)} \left[ \frac{e^{-\frac{s}{2}(2\pi j + x)^2}}{2\pi j + x} - \frac{e^{-\frac{s}{2}(2\pi j + 2\pi - x)^2}}{2\pi j + 2\pi - x} \right] dx
$$

where $\{ \cdot \} > 0$ for $0 \leq x < \pi$.

We compute the limit of

$$
f(k, n, t\theta_n) = \frac{2k}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-t\beta_n y^2/2} \frac{\sin(y/n)}{y/n} \frac{1}{2n(n-k)(1-\cos(y/n)) + k^2} dy
$$

(3.23)

(s = $t\theta_n$, $\beta_n = \theta_n/n^2$). If $\beta_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$,

$$
|\text{(3.22)}| = \frac{2k}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-t\beta_n y^2/2} \frac{1}{k^2} dy = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi k \sqrt{t\beta_n}}} \to 0.
$$
If $\beta_n \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$, since the integrand of (3.23) is uniformly integrable in $n$, we have

\[
\text{(3.23)} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2k}{n} \int_0^\infty y \frac{e^{-ty^2/2}}{y^2 + k^2} dy,
\]

which agrees with (3.21) for $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\beta = 1$. Finally, let $\beta_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. By Lemma 3.3,

\[
\frac{2k}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-t\beta_n y^2/2} \sin(y/n) \frac{1}{y/n} y/n (2n(n - k)(1 - \cos(y/n)) + k^2) dy \leq (3.23) \leq 1.
\]

We show the above leftmost side tends to 1 as $n \to \infty$. For any $\delta \in (0, \pi)$,

\[
\left| \int_0^{2\pi - \delta} e^{-t\beta_n y^2/2} \sin(y/n) \frac{1}{y/n} y/n (2n(n - k)(1 - \cos(y/n)) + k^2) dy \right|
\]

\[
\leq \int_0^{2\pi - \delta} x y/n (2n(n - k)(1 - \cos(y/n)) + k^2) dy \to 0,
\]

\[
\left| \int_0^{2\pi - \delta} e^{-t\beta_n y^2/2} \sin(y/n) \frac{1}{y/n} y/n (2n(n - k)(1 - \cos(y/n)) + k^2) dy \right|
\]

\[
\leq \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi - \delta} \frac{\sin x}{x} x y/n (2n(n - k)(1 - \cos(x)) + k^2) \leq \frac{n}{2\pi - \delta} \int_0^\delta \sin x dx = \frac{2k}{\pi} \log(2n(n - k)(1 - \cos \delta) - \log k^2) \to 0.
\]

and

\[
\int_0^{\delta} e^{-t\beta_n y^2/2} \sin(y/n) \frac{1}{y/n} y/n (2n(n - k)(1 - \cos(y/n)) + k^2) dy
\]

\[
\to \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{y^2 + k^2} dy = \frac{k}{2\pi}
\]

since uniform integrability of the integrand follows, by taking $\delta > 0$ small enough, from

\[
0 < y < \delta n \implies 2n(n - k)(1 - \cos \frac{y}{n}) + k^2 \geq \frac{2}{3} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n^2}\right) y^2 + k^2.
\]

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.2.

**Remark**

In order to describe time evolution of the limit shape (= macroscopic profile) $\omega_t$, we presented that of its transition measure $m_{\omega_t}$ in this paper. This expression enables us to read out the $t$-dependence of $\omega_t$ by way of the Markov transform (3.24). Although it is often difficult to write down a concrete formula for $\omega_t$, we can, for example, appeal to numerical computation to follow the evolution of the shape. It is surely important to seek a partial differential equation for $\omega_t$ itself in addition to (1.13) for the Stieltjes
transform of $m_{\omega_t}$. Another promising way is given by the logarithmic energy. It is known that the limit shape $\Omega$ of Vershik–Kerov and Logan–Shepp is the unique minimizer of the following functional on the continuous diagrams $D$:

$$\Theta(\omega) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{s>t} \left(1 - \omega'(s)\right) \left(1 + \omega'(t)\right) \log(s-t) ds dt$$

with $\Theta(\Omega) = 0$ (see [12] and also [10]). Since our limit shape $\omega_t$ converges to $\Omega$ in $D$ as $t \to \infty$, it is interesting to ask whether $\Theta(\omega_t)$ decreases as $t$ goes by (maybe for sufficiently large $t$).

In this paper we focus on the limit shape evolution (law of large numbers) without mentioning fluctuation (central limit theorem) of the macroscopic profile. For a dynamical aspect of such fluctuation for Young diagrams, see [7]. As algebraic and systematical approach to static concentration and fluctuation problems for Young diagrams, we refer to [11], [16] and [4].

### Appendix

**Profile and transition measure** A Young diagram $\lambda$ is displayed in the $xy$ coordinates plane, each box being a $\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2}$ square (Figure 2). Transition measure $m_\lambda$ of $\lambda$ is formed from the interlacing valley-peak coordinates $(x_1 < y_1 < \cdots < y_{r-1} < x_r)$ for $\lambda$ through the partial fraction expansion

$$\frac{(z-y_1) \cdots (z-y_{r-1})}{(z-x_1) \cdots (z-x_r)} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{m_\lambda(\{x_i\})}{z-x_i} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{z-x} m_\lambda(dx).$$

![Figure 2: profile and transition measure](image)

**Markov transform** The correspondence between a Young diagram (or its profile) and its transition measure is extended to a continuous diagram $\omega \in \mathbb{D}$ and its transition measure $m_\omega$ by

$$\frac{1}{z} \exp\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{x-z} \left(\frac{\omega(x) - |x|}{2}\right)' dx \right\} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{z-x} m_\omega(dx), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+. \quad (3.24)$$
Free convolution and free compression  Let \((A, \phi)\) be a pair of unital \(\ast\) algebra \(A\) over \(\mathbb{C}\) and state \(\phi\) of \(A\). For self-adjoint \(a \in A\) and probability \(\mu\) on \(\mathbb{R}\), we write as \(a \sim \mu\) if 
\[
\phi(a^n) = \int_\mathbb{R} x^n \mu(dx) \text{ for any } n.
\]
If \(a, b \in A\) are free and \(a \sim \mu, b \sim \nu\), then \(a + b \sim \mu \boxplus \nu\).

The free convolution \(\mu \boxplus \nu\) is uniquely determined for arbitrary compactly supported probabilities \(\mu\) and \(\nu\) on \(\mathbb{R}\). If \(q \in A\) is a self-adjoint projection such that \(c = \phi(q) \neq 0\), \(a \in A\) with \(a \sim \mu\) (compactly supported), and \(a, q\) are free, then probability \(\nu\) on \(\mathbb{R}\) is determined in such a way that \(qaq \sim \nu\) in \((qAq, c^{-1}\phi|_{qAq})\), which is called the free compression of \(\mu\) and denoted by \(\mu_c\). For any compactly supported \(\mu\) and \(0 < c \leq 1\), \(\mu_c\) is uniquely determined. The free convolution and free compression for compactly supported probabilities on \(\mathbb{R}\) are characterized in terms of their free cumulants by 
\[
R_k(\mu \boxplus \nu) = R_k(\mu) + R_k(\nu), \quad R_k(\mu_c) = c^{k-1}R_k(\mu), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
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