Effects of limestone particle size and dietary Ca concentration on apparent P and Ca digestibility in the presence or absence of phytase
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ABSTRACT

The present study evaluated the effects of limestone particle size and Ca concentration on apparent ileal digestibility (AID) of P and Ca in the presence or absence of a 6-phytase derived from *Buttiauxella* sp., expressed in *Trichoderma*. Treatment diets were corn-soybean meal (SBM) based with no added inorganic Ca or P. The design consisted of a factorial arrangement of 2 particle sizes of limestone from the same source (mean particle size: pulverized, PUV < 75 μm; particulate, PAR = 402 μm); 3 Ca (0.6, 0.8, and 1.0%) and 2 phytase levels (0 and 1,000 (FTU)/kg) plus the corn-SBM basal diet with no added Ca (0.14% Ca), resulting a total of 13 treatments (Trts, n = 9, 3 birds/n). Starting at 27 d of age, broilers were fed the mash diets for 32 h, then sampled for gizzard pH and distal ileal digestibility. Gizzard pH was 1.94 in birds fed diet without added Ca and was similar to those fed PAR limestone. Gizzard pH was increased in birds fed PUV limestone diets irrespectively of phytase inclusion compared to birds fed 0.14% Ca (*P* < 0.05), except in birds fed 0.60% Ca diet. In the absence of phytase, AID P was reduced as a result of increases in limestone inclusion (*P* < 0.05), with a greater effect seen in PUV as compared to PAR limestone. The AID Ca in birds fed PUV limestone diets was lower than that of those fed PAR limestone diets (22.1% vs. 28.2%; *P* < 0.05). In the presence of phytase, the AID P was not affected by increasing Ca concentration when PAR limestone was used as the Ca source (average = 64.3%), whereas AID P decreased from 66.9% (0.6% Ca) to 51.0% (1.0% Ca) when PUV limestone was used as the Ca source (*P* < 0.05). In summary, impact of Ca concentration on AID Ca and P was dependent on limestone particle size and phytase inclusion.
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INTRODUCTION

Practical plant seed-based poultry diets are normally deficient in Ca and require the addition of concentrated Ca sources to meet the Ca requirement for growth. Among all the Ca sources, limestone, a naturally occurring mineral primarily composed of CaCO₃, is the predominant Ca supplement used in broiler production worldwide. However, considerable variations in limestone Ca solubility and Ca concentration have been reported even within the same country or region (Shih et al., 2000; Sa and Boyd, 2017) and preference of limestone particle size differs among countries/regions. In addition to its nutritional property, limestone is also widely used as flow agent (e.g., premixes and feed ingredients) and diluting material (e.g., ionophores, premixes, enzymes, and antibiotics) in the feed industry, of which the Ca is usually not accounted for in the feed formulation.

It is well documented that Ca has a detrimental impact on phytate P (PP) utilization in broilers, mainly through the formation of Ca-PP complexes (Tamim and Angel, 2003; Rutherford et al., 2012), especially when high Ca with severely deficient non-phytate P (nPP) diets are fed (Qian et al., 1996). Even though several studies have been published where the impact of Ca particle size on P utilization was evaluated, results have not been conclusive. This could be partially due to the confounding effect from either source differences in Ca (limestone, egg shell, etc.) and/or origin of the different limestones being tested (Anderson et al., 1984; Guinotte and Nys, 1991; Zhang and Coon, 1997a; Saunders-Blades et al., 2009) as well as particle size of the Ca used.

In broiler production, microbial phytase has been used widely as an effective approach to improve diet PP utilization. However, decreased phytase efficacy
has been reported with increasing Ca concentration (Sebastian et al., 1996; Tamim et al., 2004; Plumstead et al., 2008; Walk et al., 2012; Amerah et al., 2014). Because different particle sizes of limestone are extensively used in feed manufacturing for its nutritional and non-nutritional properties, it is critical to better understand how Ca from limestone affects P digestibilities and whether and how much does particle size mediate these effects. In addition, because Ca needs to be solubilized to be reactive, and source and particle size of limestone has been shown to have profound effect on its solubility (Shih et al., 2000; Sa and Boyd, 2017), it is also important that the particle size effect is determined by using limestone from the same batch to avoid confounding effect of limestone source.

Therefore, the objective of current study was to determine the impact of limestone particle size and Ca concentration on apparent P and Ca digestibility in the presence and absence of phytase.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal and Housing

All animal care procedures were approved by the University of Maryland Animal Care and Use Committee. Three hundred and fifty broiler hatchlings (Hubbard male 99 M x Cobb 500F, straight run) were purchased from a local hatchery (Allen Harim Farms, LLC, Seaford, DE). On arrival, birds were placed in temperature and light controlled floor pen rooms and allowed ad libitum access to feed and water. Birds were fed a commercial type corn-soybean meal (SBM)-based starter diet that met all NRC recommendations (National Research Council, 1994) as well as average nutrient usage concentrations in the USA for 2011 (AgriStats, 2012) from hatch to 26 d of age (1% Ca, 0.45% nPP, 22.5% crude protein, 1.20% digestible Lys, and 3,050 Kcal/kg MEn). On day 26, all birds were moved to battery pens (Petersime Incubator Co., Gettysburg, OH) previously assigned to treatments (Trt) for new environment acclimation and fed the same starter diet until day 27. On day 27, experimental diets were provided to the birds for ad libitum consumption and whether and how much does particle size mediate these effects. In addition, because Ca needs to be solubilized to be reactive, and source and particle size of limestone has been shown to have profound effect on its solubility (Shih et al., 2000; Sa and Boyd, 2017), it is also important that the particle size effect is determined by using limestone from the same batch to avoid confounding effect of limestone source.

Therefore, the objective of current study was to determine the impact of limestone particle size and Ca concentration on apparent P and Ca digestibility in the presence and absence of phytase.

Preparation of different particle size limestone

A large batch of commercially used limestone (defined as particulate, PAR) was purchased from Irving Materials, Inc. (#20, IMI Cal Pro, IN). A subsample was taken from each 25 kg bag, well mixed, and subsampled for particle size determination. The PAR limestone, determined by ANSI/ASAE method S319.4 (2008), had a mean diameter (dgw) of 402 μm, with 255 μm geometric SD (Sgw) with distribution shown in Figure 1. An aliquot of 1 kg of the PAR limestone was carefully subsampled, and then ground (IKA®, Model 211 basic, Germany) to pass through a 75 μm sieve to prepare the pulverized (PUV) limestone sample.

Experimental Design and Diets

A corn and SBM mash basal diet (Table 1) was mixed in one batch and analyzed for dry matter, minerals, crude protein, ether extract, and amino acids. The basal was subdivided equally into 13 batches, such that it was represented equally in all final diets. Based on the analyzed Ca and P concentrations in the basal diet, pre-analyzed limestone, either PAR (dgw = 402 μm) or PUV (dgw < 75 μm), was added to the basal (94% basal inclusion in all Trt diets) to achieve the desired Ca concentrations in the final diets. Titanium dioxide (TiO2) was added as an inert marker at 0.3% and celite (Celite®, Celite Corp, CA) was included as a filler to achieve 100%. The final dietary arrangement consisted of 13 Trt, of which 12 Trt resulted from a 3 Ca concentration (0.60, 0.80, and 1.0%) × 2 limestone particle size × 2 phytase dose (0 and 1,000 (FTU)/kg) factorial arrangement, plus 1 low Ca diet containing 0.14% Ca with no added phytase. To prepare the final diets with phytase, 1 batch of the previously aliquoted basal was used to make each Ca concentration and limestone combination and divided into 2 equal lots to minimize potential variability. A 6-Phytase (Danisco Animal Nutrition, DuPont Industrial Biosciences, Marlborough, UK) (Axtra® PHY, Buttiauxella sp., expressed in

![Figure 1. Particle size distribution of particulate limestone. Analyzed Ca concentration: 36.5%](image-url)
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Sample Collection and Lab Analysis

After feeding the experimental diets for 32 h, all birds
were euthanized by cervical dislocation and gizzard as
well as distal half of the ileum, immediately (within
20 s of cervical dislocation) removed by experienced
technicians to minimize potential digesta movements.

A pH electrode (A57187, Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA)
was introduced into 3 areas of the gizzard, and the
mean pH from the 3 birds per replicate was used as the replicate mean. The distal half
of the ileum (last half of the segment encompassed
between the Meckel’s diverticulum and the ileocecal
junction) was removed and the content was gently ex-
pressed by flushing with deionized distilled water. Dis-
tal ileal contents were pooled by pen, frozen at –20°C,
and freeze dried. Dried ileal samples were ground
using a mortar and pestle to pass through a 0.25 mm
sieve and stored in airtight containers at 4°C until
analyzed.

Diet samples were ground to pass through a 0.5 mm
screen before analysis. Diet and ileal samples were
analyzed in duplicate except where specified otherwise.

Dry matter was determined by drying overnight in a
100°C oven (Shreve et al., 2006), Ca and P were de-
termined, in triplicate, after acid digestion and ana-
alyzed using inductively coupled plasma atomic emission
spectrometry (AOAC, 1999). Titanium (Ti) concen-
tration was determined by a colorimetric method adopted
from Short et al. (1996) where samples were first
ashed at 580°C and then digested in 7.4 M H2SO4.
Crude protein and ether extract in the basal diet
were analyzed, according to AOAC methods 990.03
(2003) and 920.39 (2003), respectively. Amino acids,
except Trp (AOAC method 994.12, 2003), and PP
(Ellis et al., 1977) in the basal diet were also an-
alyzed. Phytase activities in all Trt diets were de-
termined according to the ISO 30024 (2009) proce-
dure where 1 FTU is the amount of enzyme that
releases 1 μmol of inorganic orthophosphate from a
sodium phytate substrate per minute at pH 5.5 and
37°C.

In vitro Limestone Solubility

In vitro solubilities of PAR and PUV limestone were
determined by a modified weight loss method according
to Zhang and Coon (1997b). Briefly, 50 mL of 0.2 N HCl
solution (pH = 0.7) was mixed with 0.5 g of limestone
(PAR or PUV) in a 125 mL flask and incubated in a
shaking water bath (42°C) for digestion. At 1, 3, 5, 7.5,
and 10 min of incubation, 3 flasks from each limestone
particle size were sampled, contents were vacuum fil-
tered through a 2.5 μm filter paper (Whatman™, GE
Healthcare Bio-Sciences, PA), dried at 100°C for 24 h,
and weighed to calculate the weight loss.

Calculations

The apparent ileal digestibility (AID) of nutrients
was calculated based on the following formula using
TiO2 as the inert marker (IM):

\[
\text{AID} = \frac{(\text{Nutrient}/\text{IM})_d - (\text{Nutrient}/\text{IM})_i}{(\text{Nutrient}/\text{IM})_d} \times 100\%
\]

where \((\text{Nutrient}/\text{IM})_d\) is the ratio of nutrient (Ca, P,
AA, CP) to IM in the ileal contents, and \((\text{Nutrient}/\text{IM})_i\)
is the ratio of nutrient (Ca, P, AA, CP) to IM in the ileal
contents.

Digestible P was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Digestible P (g/kg)} = (\text{AID P}) \times \text{tP}
\]

where tP is the analyzed total P concentration in the
diet.

Net improvement of digestible P (DigP) as a result of
phytase inclusion was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Net improvement (g/kg)} = \text{DigP}_{\text{phy}} - \text{DigP}_{\text{non--phy}}
\]
where $\text{DigP}_{\text{phy}}$ and $\text{DigP}_{\text{non-phy}}$ are the DigP in birds fed the phytase and non-phytase Trt, respectively, at the same Ca concentration.

### Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using the MIXED procedure of SAS (SAS Institute Inc., 2008). Treatment was considered as a fixed effect and pen (flask) as a random effect. Dunnett’s test (1955) was used to compare the Trt effect by assigning the Trt with no added Ca (0.14%) as the “control.” Contrast was used to compare the phytase effect between birds fed the same Ca and limestone source diet with and without phytase. Data from feeding Trt resulting from the factorial arrangement of 3 Ca (0.6, 0.8, and 1.0%) concentrations, 2 limestone particle sizes (PUV and PAR), and 2 phytase (0 and 1,000 FTU/kg) doses were tested for main effects and their interactions using 2 and 3-way ANOVA. Tukey’s test (Tukey, 1949) was used to separate means when the model was significant. Significance was declared at $P < 0.05$.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Table 2.** Analyzed Ca and phytase activity (mean ± SD) in final diets (as is basis).

| Limestone particle size | Ca, % | Phytase, FTU/kg |
|-------------------------|-------|-----------------|
|                         | Fml²  | Ana²            |
| Basal                   |       |                 |
|                         | 0.60  | 0.60 ± 0.04     |
|                        | 0.60  | 0.60 ± 0.04     |
|                        | 0.80  | 0.80 ± 0.06     |
|                        | 0.80  | 0.80 ± 0.06     |
|                        | 1.00  | 0.93 ± 0.02     |
|                        | 1.00  | 1.00 ± 0.03     |
| Pulverized (dgw < 75 μm) |       |                 |
|                        | 0.60  | 0.58 ± 0.03     |
|                        | 0.80  | 0.78 ± 0.02     |
|                        | 1.00  | 0.91 ± 0.01     |
| Particulate (dgw = 402 μm) |       |                 |
|                        | 0.60  | 0.27 ± 0.02     |
|                        | 0.80  | 0.36 ± 0.04     |
|                        | 1.00  | 0.58 ± 0.03     |

**Table 3.** In vitro solubility of particulate and pulverized limestone (n = 3).

| Timepoint, min | Particulate¹ | Pulverized¹ | SEM | P-value |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|-----|---------|
| 1             | 36.4         | 94.6        | 1.80| <0.001  |
| 3             | 61.6         | 95.5        | 0.68| <0.001  |
| 5             | 74.3         | 96.9        | 0.71| 0.002   |
| 7.5           | 82.3         | 97.6        | 1.75| 0.025   |
| 10            | 89.4         | 99.1        | 0.17| <0.001  |

¹Particulate limestone: $dgw = 402 \mu m$, pulverized limestone: $dgw < 75 \mu m$.

In vitro solubility of PUV limestone showed higher solubility than PAR limestone at all time points ($P < 0.05$, Table 3). More than 90% PUV limestone was solubilized within 1 min of incubation, whereas only 36.4% PAR limestone was solubilized ($P < 0.05$). Solubilized PAR limestone increased with time, and 89.4% PAR limestone was dissolved in the solution after 10 min of incubation. The 2 limestone particle sizes came from the same 1 kg of limestone that was quartered into 2 equal portions, and all of one portion ground to pass through a 75 μm sieve, they were exactly the same limestone with the only difference being particle size. Thus, the difference in solubility found in this trial has to be related only to particle size and not composition of the limestone or type of limestone source.

In animal trials, due to 3-way interactions seen in AID Ca and P, to better illustrate the interaction between Ca and limestone particle size, all data were further analyzed using a 2-way ANOVA by separating the phytase effect.

Gizzard pH ranged from 1.94 in birds fed diets containing no added limestone and 2.78 in those fed 1.0% Ca diet with PUV limestone (Table 4) but were all within the range reported in poultry (González-Alvarado et al., 2008). Impact of Ca concentration on gizzard pH varied and was dependent on limestone particle size and phytase (Figure 2 and Table 4). In broilers fed PAR limestone diets, there was no difference in gizzard pH irrespectively of Ca concentration in the final diet compared to those fed no added limestone diet regardless of phytase presence (average = 2.26; Table 4). However, when PUV limestone was used as the Ca source, increased gizzard pH was seen as Ca concentration increased from 0.14 (0.27% as analyzed) to 1.0%, in the presence or absence of phytase. Because the birds were fed diets containing the same Ca concentration, with only difference being limestone particle size, the pH increase seen in birds fed PUV limestone is an indication of greater amount of CaCO$_3$ dissolved in the gizzard as shown previously from the in vitro solubility results (Table 3).

Significant pH increase was seen in broilers fed the 0.8 and 1.0% Ca diets with PUV as compared to those fed the no added limestone diet ($P < 0.05$; Table 4). There were no differences in gizzard pH detected between birds fed the diets containing the PAR limestone regardless of amount versus birds fed the no added calcium diet. Solubility of Ca source is highly correlated with particle size, where increased solubility was reported to be associated with decreased particle size (Zhang and Coon, 1997a; Walk et al., 2012), which is also corroborated in this research (Table 4). The higher impact of Ca concentration on gizzard pH seen in birds fed diet containing PUV is therefore partly due to more rapid and higher solubilization of PUV as compared to
Table 4. Effects of Ca and phytase concentrations on gizzard pH, apparent ileal Ca and P digestibilities (AID Ca and AID P) of broilers at 28 d of age.1

| Phytase2, FTU/kg | Ca2, % | Particle size3 | Gizzard pH | AID P4, % | AID Ca4, % |
|------------------|--------|----------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| 0                | 0.14   | Pulverized     | 1.94       | 54.1      | 40.2      |
| 0.60 Pulverized  | 2.09   | 23.55          | 64.8       |
| 0.80 Pulverized  | 2.465  | 20.55          | 47.3       |
| 1.00 Pulverized  | 2.15   | 27.95          | 50.85      |
| Particulate     | 2.785  | 22.25          | 46.5       |
| 0.60 Pulverized  | 2.32   | 25.35          | 42.9       |
| 0.80 Pulverized  | 2.465  | 27.95          | 50.85      |
| 1.00 Pulverized  | 2.785  | 22.25          | 46.5       |
| Particulate     | 2.32   | 25.35          | 42.9       |
| 1000 0.60 Pulverized | 2.30   | 66.86          | 53.45,6   |
| Particulate     | 2.31   | 62.85          | 50.85      |
| 0.80 Pulverized  | 2.41   | 57.26          | 53.95,6    |
| Particulate     | 2.31   | 62.85          | 50.85      |
| 1.00 Pulverized  | 2.56   | 50.96          | 51.55,6    |
| Particulate     | 2.36   | 64.95          | 56.65,6    |

SEM

P-value

Factorial analysis7

Ca 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001
Particle size 0.055 0.106 0.844
Phytase 0.815 < 0.001 < 0.001
Ca × Particle size 0.554 0.821 0.394
Phytase × Particle size 0.186 0.001 0.024
Ca × Particle size × Phytase

1n = 9, 3 birds/n.
2Formulated concentrations and analyzed concentrations are shown in Table 2.
3Particulate limestone: \( \text{dgw} = 402\ \mu m \), pulverized limestone: \( \text{dgw} < 75\ \mu m \)
4AID Ca or P was calculated as: \( \text{AID}\% = \frac{[(\text{Min}/\text{TiO}_2)_d] - (\text{Min}/\text{TiO}_2)_i}{(\text{Min}/\text{TiO}_2)_d} \times 100\% \).
5Denotes difference to no limestone added treatment with 0.14% Ca (\( P < 0.05 \), Dunnett’s test).
6Denotes difference to non-phytase diets with same Ca and limestone particle size (contrast, t-test).
7Factorial analysis was conducted by excluding 0.14% Ca treatment.

Figure 2. Impact of Ca concentration (formulated) and limestone particle size on gizzard pH with no phytase (A) or 1,000 FTU/kg (B) phytase inclusion (mean ± SEM). Comparisons were made across treatments (A) when interaction was significant (\( P < 0.05 \)) or within a main effect when no interaction was seen (B). pH value from birds fed no added limestone diet (0.14% Ca, analyzed 0.27%) was provided as a reference. Analyzed Ca concentrations are shown in Table 2. PAR, particulate limestone (\( \text{dgw} = 402\ \mu m \)); PUV, pulverized limestone (\( \text{dgw} < 75\ \mu m \)).

PAR in vitro. There was no phytase effect on gizzard pH seen in this trial. In contrast, increased gizzard pH has been reported by Amerah et al. (2014), where gizzard pH was found to be 0.6 points higher (2.6 vs. 3.2) when 1,000 FTU \textit{Buttiauxella} phytase/kg was added to corn-SBM-based diet with various Ca concentrations.

In the absence of phytase, the AID P was highest (54.1%; Table 4) when diets containing no additional limestone were fed as compared to birds fed diets with different particle sizes of limestone (\( P < 0.05 \)). Similar but higher AID P (67.9%) was reported by Tamim et al. (2004) in 24-day-old broiler fed diet with no added limestone by using the same approach. Apart from the differences in animal age (22 vs. 28), and genetics (Ross 308 vs. Hubbard male 99 M x Cobb 500F), higher Ca concentration in the basal diet could also be
Figure 3. Impact of Ca concentration (formulated) and limestone particle size on P digestibility with no phytase (A) or 1,000 FTU/kg (B) phytase inclusion (mean ± SEM). Comparisons were made across treatments (B) when interaction was significant ($P < 0.05$) or within a main effect when no interaction was seen (A). pH value from birds fed no added limestone diet (0.14% Ca, analyzed 0.27%) was provided as a reference. Analyzed Ca concentrations are shown in Table 2. PAR, particulate limestone ($d_{50} = 402 \mu m$); PUV, pulverized limestone ($d_{50} < 75 \mu m$).

In the absence of phytase, AID P was reduced by an average of 29 percentage points (range: 23 to 33 percentage points) when Ca from limestone was added to the diet, irrespective of Ca concentration or limestone particle size (Table 4; $P < 0.05$). However, the degree of AID P reduction due to increased dietary Ca was dependent on limestone particle size with the PUV limestone having a more profound negative effect on AID P (mean AID, P = 22%) as compared to PAR limestone, across all Ca concentrations (mean AID, P = 28%; Figure 3A; $P < 0.05$). The detrimental impact of Ca on AID P has been well established and reviewed extensively (Angel et al., 2004; Tamim et al., 2004; Selle and Ravindran, 2007; Walk et al., 2012; Li et al., 2014). For example, a 64% reduction in AID P was reported when 0.5% Ca from limestone was added to a diet as compared to that of birds fed the same diet without added limestone (Tamim et al., 2004). This is in agreement with the results from current study where a 23 and 31 percentage points reduction were seen even when only 0.33% Ca from limestone was added (0.6% minus 0.27% in the basal diet) from PAR and PUV limestone, respectively. However, despite the detrimental impact of Ca concentration on AID P (Sebastian et al., 1996; Plumstead et al., 2008; Walk et al., 2012; Amerah et al., 2014), increasing Ca from 0.6 to 1.0% did not decrease AID P regardless of limestone particle size (Figure 3A).

The lack of increased Ca impact on AID P beyond 0.6%, in the absence of phytase, may be due to a saturated chelation between Ca and phytate having been reached between 0.6 and 0.8% (Ca: PP > 2.5). Because Ca interferes with AID P mainly through chelation with phytate and reduces the availability of PP (Tamim et al., 2004; Li et al., 2016), reactive Ca in the solution will interact with the phytic acid molecule. Therefore, pH in the gastric area that influences Ca solubility and phytic acid concentration that dictates the available substrate for chelation will affect the degree of Ca’s impact on AID P. Increases in diet Ca to 1.0%, regardless of particle size, would therefore have no further negative impact on AID P if all available phytic acid has been chelated by Ca. Similarly, results reported by Plumstead et al. (2008) also suggest that Ca-phytate chelation was saturable, even though in their trials, the saturation point occurred at a higher Ca: PP ratio (3.1). The results from Kim et al., (2013) are similar to those obtained in the current trial for PAR limestone, where maximum reduction on AID P was reached when Ca: PP ratio was greater than 2.9.

It should be noted that the current trial adopted a short feeding period approach (32 h), which was also used by Tamim et al. (2004), Kim et al. (2013), and Li et al. (2016), while the feeding length in other research was longer and usually from 7 to 21 d (Sebastian et al., 1996; Plumstead et al., 2008, Walk et al., 2012; Amerah et al., 2014). In a recent study, Li et al. (2014) compared the impact of Ca on AID P between broilers fed nPP-deficient diets for only 2 d (48 h, from 19 to 21 d of age) and 14 d (from 7 to 21 d of age), and found increased AID P in birds fed the test diets for 14 d vs. those fed the same test diet for only 2 d at the same age, irrespective of Ca. Their findings showed that when broilers were given prolonged exposure to P deficiency or Ca/P imbalance, they were capable of upregulating their capacity to digest and absorb P (Proszkowicz-Weglzarz and Angel, 2013, Proszkowicz-Weglzarz et al., 2013). Therefore, the detrimental impact of Ca could potentially be underestimated by feeding a P-deficient diet for periods greater than 48 h.

In the presence of 1,000 FTU phytase/kg, AID P were all significantly improved and were higher than those in birds fed diets containing no added limestone (Table 4; $P < 0.05$), except in birds fed diet containing 0.8 and
Phytase efficacy was calculated as: net increase in digestible P (%) = (AID $P_{\text{phy}}$ – AID $P_{\text{non-phy}}$) × $P_{\text{diet}}$; AID $P_{\text{phy}}$, AID $P_{\text{non-phy}}$, P digestibility in birds fed phytase and non-phytase diets, respectively; $P_{\text{diet}}$, analyzed total P concentration in the diet. Values were calculated on treatment averages. PAR, particulate limestone ($d_{\text{gw}} = 402$ μm); PUV, pulverized limestone ($d_{\text{gw}} < 75$ μm).

1.0% Ca from PUV limestone where the AID P (57.2%, 50.9%, respectively) was not different from that of birds fed 0.14% Ca diet (54.1%, $P < 0.05$). Unlike the lack of Ca effect or interaction seen in the absence of phytase (Figure 3A), there was an interaction between Ca and limestone particle size in the presence of phytase (Figure 3B). When PAR limestone was used as the Ca source, AID P was not affected by increased Ca concentration, with an average of 64% AID P across all Ca concentrations. However, when PUV limestone was used, AID P was reduced by 16 percentage points from 66.9 (0.6% Ca) to 50.9% (1.0% Ca; $P < 0.05$). Calculated phytase efficacy as net increase of digestible P was 0.16% (Figure 4) across all Ca concentrations when PAR limestone was used, whereas the increase in digestible P was 0.20, 0.17, and 0.14%, at 0.6, 0.8, and 1.0% Ca, respectively, when PUV limestone was used.

Calcium forms complex with phytate regardless of pH, and complexes can remain highly soluble until pH < 3.5 (Angel et al., 2002; Tamim and Angel, 2003). In addition, chelation capacity of phytate decreases dramatically once 1 or 2 phosphate group(s) are released from the inositol ring (Persson et al., 1998; Yu et al., 2012). It is logical to conclude that: 1) the rapidity of the limestone solubility × Ca concentration in the diet will dictate the speed and concentration of Ca$^{2+}$ available to be chelated by phytate in the digesta, and 2) the specific activity of phytase × dose will dictate the speed and number of phytate molecules where 2 phosphates groups that will be removed by phytase. We therefore hypothesize that in upper gastric-intestinal tract where phytate and the phytate-Ca complex remain highly soluble, if phytate chelates with Ca more quickly than the phytase can remove the first phosphate group from IP6 then the AID P and efficacy of phytase would be decreased.

The hypotheses proposed allow us to explain the discrepancies in the Ca effect between PAR and PUV limestone seen in the current study. With PUV limestone, a much higher solubility was seen as compared to that of the PAR limestone based in vitro solubility and on the gizzard pH and thus more reactive Ca would be in solution. At 0.6% Ca, even though most of the PUV Ca from limestone would be solubilized quickly in the gizzard, phytase was still able to access most of phytate molecules and remove P before chelation between Ca and IP6 occurred. In diets with PAR limestone, with slower limestone Ca solubility, phytase at the concentration used could access most the phytate molecules removing at least 1 or 2 phosphates thus decreasing the affinity of the phytate for divalent cations before enough Ca$^{2+}$ would be available to fill the potential chelation sites in the phytate molecule. Therefore, PAR Ca$^{2+}$ had no effect on AID P or phytase efficacy.

Unlike AID P, limestone per se had no effect on AID Ca in the absence of phytase (Table 4, Figure 5A). When phytase was used at 1,000 FTU/kg, no effect of Ca concentration or particle size was seen and no
interaction was detected (Figure 5B). With phytase inclusion, AID Ca was significantly improved regardless of limestone particle size or Ca concentration as compared to that of birds fed diets with no added limestone (Table 4; \( P < 0.05 \)). The effect of phytase varied when comparing it to birds fed the same diet without phytase, where the AID Ca was improved by 5 (1.0% Ca) to 15 (0.6% Ca) percentage points when PUV limestone was used as the Ca source (\( P < 0.05 \)), while the increase due to phytase inclusion was only seen in birds fed 1.0% Ca with PAR limestone (\( P < 0.05 \)).

**CONCLUSION**

Limestone, the predominant Ca source in poultry feeds worldwide, can have particle sizes that are widely different which will impact the concentration of reactive (soluble) Ca. There is a well-documented detrimental impact of Ca on P digestibility, but the impact of limestone particle size which is highly correlated with its solubility and is a key determining factor on the degree of impact of Ca from limestone on P utilization has been less studied. In the current study, even though there was a detrimental effect of Ca on AID P in the absence of phytase, adding 1,000 FTU phytase/kg could alleviate the negative effect but only when PAR limestone was used. When limestone was provided in a PUV form, a greater impact of Ca on P digestibility was seen and reduction in P digestibility could only be partially recovered even with 1,000 FTU phytase/kg inclusion. Large variations exist between commercially used limestone sources, their particle sizes, and their solubility at low pHs. Because solubility of the limestone has such an impact on AID P, particle size of the Ca source must also be considered, in addition to total Ca concentration in the diet to properly formulate the diet.
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