Abstract—Subspace learning is an important problem, which has many applications in image and video processing. It can be used to find a low-dimensional representation of signals and images. But in many applications, the desired signal is heavily distorted by outliers and noise, which negatively affect the learned subspace. In this work, we present a novel algorithm for learning a subspace for signal representation, in the presence of structured outliers and noise. The proposed algorithm tries to jointly detect the outliers and learn the subspace for images. We present an alternating optimization algorithm for solving this problem, which iterates between learning the subspace and finding the outliers. This algorithm has been trained on a large number of image patches, and the learned subspace is used for image segmentation, and is shown to achieve better segmentation results than prior methods, including least absolute deviation fitting, k-means clustering based segmentation in DjVu, and shape primitive extraction and coding algorithm.

I. INTRODUCTION

Many of the signal and image processing problems can be posed as problems of learning a low dimensional linear or multi-linear model. Algorithms for learning linear models can be seen as a special case of subspace fitting. Many of these algorithms are based on least squares estimation techniques, such as principal component analysis (PCA) [1], linear discriminant analysis (LDA) [2], and locality preserving projection [3]. But in general, training data may contain undesirable artifacts due to occlusion, illumination changes, overlaying component (such as foreground texts and graphics on top of smooth background image). These artifacts can be seen as outliers for the desired signal. As it is known from statistical analysis, algorithms based on least square fitting fail to find the underlying representation of the signal in the presence of outliers [4]. Different algorithms have been proposed for robust subspace learning to handle outliers in the past, such as the work by Torre [5], where he suggested an algorithm based on robust M-estimator for subspace learning. Robust principal component analysis [6] is another approach to handle the outliers. In [7], Lerman et al proposed an approach for robust linear model fitting by parameterizing linear subspace using orthogonal projectors. There have also been many works for online subspace learning/tracking for video background subtraction, such as GRASTA [8], which uses a robust $\ell_1$-norm cost function in order to estimate and track non-stationary subspaces when the streaming data vectors are corrupted with outliers, and t-GRASSTAS [9], which simultaneously estimate a decomposition of a collection of images into a low-rank subspace, and sparse part, and a transformation such as rotation or translation of the image.

In this work, we present an algorithm for subspace learning from a set of images, in the presence of structured outliers and noise. We assume some structure on outliers that suits many of the image processing applications, which is connectivity and sparsity. As a simple example we can think of smooth images overlaid with texts and graphics foreground, or face images with occlusion (as outliers). To promote the connectivity of the outlier component, the group-sparsity [10] of outlier pixels is added to the cost function (It is worth mentioning that total-variation [11] can also be used to promote connectivity). We also impose the smoothness prior on the learned subspace representation, by penalizing the gradient of the representation. We then propose an algorithm based on the sparse decomposition framework for subspace learning. This algorithm jointly detect the outlier pixels and learn the low-dimensional subspace for underlying image representation.

After learning the subspace, we present its application for background-foreground segmentation in still images, and show that it achieves better performance than previous algorithms. We compare our algorithm with some of the prior approaches, including k-means clustering in DjVu [12], shape primitive extraction and coding (SPEC) [13], least absolute deviation fitting (LAD) [14]. The proposed algorithm has applications in text extraction, medical image analysis, and image decomposition [15]-[19].

One problem with previous clustering-based segmentation techniques is that if the intensity of background pixels has a large dynamic range, some part of the background could be segmented as foreground, but our proposed model can correctly segment the image. One such example is shown in Fig. 1, where the foreground mask (a binary mask showing the location of foreground pixels) for a sample image by clustering and our algorithm are shown.

The structure of the rest of this paper is as follows: Section II presents the proposed framework for subspace learning. The detail of alternating optimization problem is presented in Section II. A, and the application of this framework for
image segmentation is presented in II. B. Section III provides the experimental results for the proposed algorithm and its application for image segmentation. And finally the paper is concluded in Section IV.

II. THE PROBLEM FORMULATION

Despite the high-dimensionality of images (and other kind of signals), many of them have a low-dimensional representation. For some categories of images, this low-dimensional representation may be a very complex manifold which is not simple to find, but for many of the smooth images this low-dimensional representation can be assumed to be a subspace. Therefore each signal $x \in R^N$ can be efficiently represented as:

$$ x \simeq P\alpha $$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $P \in R^{N \times k}$ where $k \ll N$, and $\alpha$ denotes the representation coefficient in the subspace.

There have been many approaches in the past to learn $P$ efficiently, such as PCA and robust-PCA. But in many scenarios, the desired signal can be heavily distorted with outliers and noise, and those distorted pixels should not be taken into account in subspace learning process, since they are assumed to not lie on the desired signal subspace. Therefore a more realistic model for the distorted signals should be:

$$ x = P\alpha + s + \epsilon $$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $s$ and $\epsilon$ denote the outlier and noise components respectively. Here we propose an algorithm to learn a subspace, $P$, from a training set of the outlier, we assume it is sparse and also connected, therefore about them. Here we assume the underlying image component

Each group within each cluster are supposed to be connected) (3)

For the subspace update, we first ignore the orthonormality constraint ($P^tP = I$), and update the subspace column by column, and then use Gram-Schmidt algorithm to orthonormalize the columns. If we denote the j-th column of $P$ by $p_j$, its update can be derived as:

$$ P = \arg\min_p \left\{ \sum_i \frac{1}{2} ||x_i - P\alpha - s_i||^2 + \lambda_1 ||DP\alpha||^2 \right\} \Rightarrow $$

$$ p_j = \arg\min_{p_j} \left\{ \sum_i \frac{1}{2} ||(x_i - p_k\alpha_i - s_i)||^2 + \lambda_1 ||DP\alpha||^2 \right\} $$

$$ \Rightarrow p_j = (I + \lambda_1 D^t D)^{-1} \beta_j $$

$$(\sum \alpha_i^2(j))$$

where $\beta_j = (I + \lambda_1 D^t D)^{-1} \beta_j$ (4)

The update step for the $m$-th group of the variable $s_i$ is as follows:

$$ s_{i,gm} = \arg\min_{s_i} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} ||(x_i - P\alpha) + s_i - \lambda_1 \|s_i\|^2 + \lambda_3 \|s_i\|^2 \right\} \Rightarrow $$

$$ s_{i,gm} = \text{soft}(x_i - P\alpha) - \lambda_1 $$

A. The Alternating Optimization Approach

The optimization problem in Eq (4) can be solved using alternating optimization over $\alpha_i$, $s_i$, and $P$. In the following part, we present the update rule for each variable by setting the gradient of cost function w.r.t that variable to zero. The update step for $\alpha_i$ would be:

$$ \alpha_i^* = \arg\min_{\alpha_i} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} ||x_i - P\alpha_i - s_i||^2 + \lambda_1 ||DP\alpha_i||^2 + F_\alpha(\alpha_i) \right\} $$

$$ \Rightarrow \nabla_{\alpha_i} F_\alpha(\alpha_i^*) = 0 \Rightarrow P^t(\alpha_i^* + s_i - x_i) + \lambda_1 P^t D^t DP\alpha_i^* = 0 \Rightarrow $$

$$ \alpha_i^* = (P^t P + \lambda_1 P^t D^t D P)^{-1} P^t(x_i - s_i) $$

The update step for the $m$-th group of the variable $s_i$ is as follows:

$$ s_{i,gm} = \arg\min_{s_i} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} ||(x_i - P\alpha) + s_i - \lambda_1 \|s_i\|^2 + \lambda_3 \|s_i\|^2 \right\} \Rightarrow $$

$$ s_{i,gm} = \text{soft}(x_i - P\alpha) - \lambda_1 $$

Note that, because of the constraint $s_{i,gm} \geq 0$, we can approximate $\text{sign}(s_{i,gm}) = 1$, and then project the $s_{i,gm}$ from soft-thresholding result onto $s_{i,gm} \geq 0$, by setting its negative elements to 0. The block-soft($\cdot$, $\theta$) is defined as:

$$ \text{block-soft}(y,t) = \max(1 - \frac{t}{\|y\|_2}, 0) $$

For the subspace update, we first ignore the orthonormality constraint ($P^tP = I$), and update the subspace column by column, and then use Gram-Schmidt algorithm to orthonormalize the columns. If we denote the j-th column of $P$ by $p_j$, its update can be derived as:

$$ P = \arg\min_p \left\{ \sum_i \frac{1}{2} ||x_i - P\alpha - s_i||^2 + \lambda_1 ||DP\alpha||^2 \right\} \Rightarrow $$

$$ p_j = \arg\min_{p_j} \left\{ \sum_i \frac{1}{2} ||(x_i - p_k\alpha_i - s_i)||^2 + \lambda_1 ||DP\alpha||^2 \right\} $$

$$ \Rightarrow p_j = (I + \lambda_1 D^t D)^{-1} \beta_j $$

where $\beta_j = (\sum \alpha_i^2(j))$ (I + $\lambda_1 D^t D)^{-1} \beta_j$ (5)

$$(\sum \alpha_i^2(j))$$

where $\eta_{ij} = x_i - s_i - \sum_{k \neq j} p_k \alpha_i(k)$, and $\gamma_{ij} = D \sum_{k \neq j} p_k \alpha_i(k)$. After updating all columns of $P$, we apply Gram-Schmidt algorithm to project the learnt subspace onto $P^tP = I$. Note that orthonormalization should be done at each step of alternating optimization. It is worth to mention that for some applications the non-negativity assumption for the structured outlier may not be valid, so in those cases we will not have the $s_i \geq 0$ constraint. In that case, the problem can be solved in a similar manner, but we need to introduce an auxiliary variable $s = z$, to be able to get a simple update for each variable.
B. Applications For Image Segmentation

After learning the subspace, it can be used for different applications, such as segmentation and classification of signals. Here we use this framework for background-foreground segmentation in still images. Suppose we want to separate the foreground texts and graphics from background regions. We can think of foreground as the outliers overlaid on top of background, and use the learned subspace along with the following sparse decomposition framework to separate them:

\[
\min_{\alpha, s} \frac{1}{2} \| x - P\alpha - s \|_2^2 + \lambda_1 \| DP\alpha \|_2^2 + \lambda_2 \| s \|_1 + \lambda_3 \sum_m \| s_{g_m} \|_2
\]

s.t. 
\[
s \geq 0
\]

In our image segmentation problem, the m-th column of each block is chosen as the m-th group, \( g_m \). The reason being there are more vertical connectivity in English texts than horizontal. We could also impose both column-wise and row-wise connectivity, but it would require introducing auxiliary variables in the optimization framework. The problem in (6) can be easily solved using ADMM \cite{23}, and proximal optimization \cite{24}. After solving this problem, the s component will be thresholded to find the foreground position.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

To evaluate the performance of our algorithm, we trained the proposed framework on image patches extracted from some of the images of the screen content image segmentation dataset provided in \cite{14}. Before showing the results, we will report the weight parameters in our optimization. We used \( \lambda_1 = 0.5, \lambda_2 = 1 \) and \( \lambda_3 = 2 \), which are tuned by testing on a validation set. We provide the results for subspace learning and image segmentation in the following sections.

A. The Learned Subspace

We extracted around 8,000 overlapping patches of size 32x32, with stride of 5 from a subset of these images and used them for learning the subspace, and learned a 64 dimensional subspace (which means 64 basis images of size 32x32). The learned atoms of this subspace are shown in Figure 2.

As we can see the learned atoms contain different edge and texture patterns, which is reasonable for image representation. The right value of subspace dimension highly depends to the application. For image segmentation problem studied in this paper, we found that using only first 20 atoms performs well on image patches of 32x32. The experiments are performed using MATLAB 2015 on a laptop with Core i5 CPU running at 2.2GHz. It takes around 78 seconds to learn the 64 dimensional subspace.

B. Applications in Image Segmentation

After learning the subspace, we use this representation for background-foreground segmentation in still images, as explained in Section II.B. The segmentation results in this section are derived by using a 20 dimensional subspace for background modeling. We use the same model as the one in Eq (6) for decomposition of an image into background and foreground, and \( \lambda_i \)'s are set to the same value as mentioned before. We then evaluate the performance of this algorithm on the remaining images from screen content image segmentation dataset \cite{26}, and some other images, and compare the results with three previous algorithms; hierarchical k-means clustering in DjVu \cite{12}, SPEC \cite{13}, sparse and low-rank decomposition \cite{21}, and LAD \cite{14}. For sparse and low rank decomposition, we apply the fast-RPCA algorithm \cite{21} on the image blocks, and threshold the sparse component to find the foreground location. For low-rank decomposition, we have used the MATLAB implementation provided by Stephen Becker at \cite{27}.

To provide a numerical comparison, we report the average precision, recall and F1 score \cite{28} achieved by different algorithms over this dataset. The average precision, recall and F1 score by different algorithms are given in Table 1.

| Segmentation Algorithm | Precision | Recall | F1 score |
|------------------------|-----------|--------|----------|
| SPEC \cite{13}         | 50\%      | 64\%   | 56.1\%   |
| Hierarchical Clustering \cite{12} | 64\%      | 69\%   | 66.4\%   |
| Low-rank Decomposition \cite{21} | 78\%      | 86.5\% | 82.1\%   |
| Least Absolute Deviation \cite{14} | 91.4\%   | 87\%   | 89.1\%   |
| The proposed algorithm | 93\%      | 86\%   | 89.3\%   |

The precision and recall are defined as in Eq. (7), where TP, FP and FN denote true positive, false positive and false negative respectively. In our evaluation, we treat a foreground pixel as positive. The balanced F1 score is defined as the harmonic mean of precision and recall, as it is shown in Eq 8.

\[
\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP+FP}, \quad \text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP+FN}
\]

\[
F1 = \frac{2 \times \text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}}
\]

As it can be seen, the proposed scheme achieves much higher precision and recall than hierarchical k-means clustering and SPEC algorithms. Compared to the least absolute deviation fitting, the proposed formulation has slightly better performance.
IV. Conclusion

This paper proposed a subspace learning algorithm for a set of smooth signals in the presence of structured outliers and noise. The outliers are assumed to be sparse and connected, and suitable regularization terms are added to the optimization framework to promote this properties. We then solve the optimization problem by alternatively updating the model parameters, and the subspace. We also show the application of this framework for background-foreground segmentation in still images, where the foreground can be thought as the outliers in our model, and achieve better results than the previous algorithms for background/foreground separation.
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