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ABSTRACT

In recent years, Malaysia has experienced quite a few number of chronic air pollution problems and it has become a major contributor to the deterioration of human health and ecosystems. This study aimed to assess the air quality data and identify the pattern of air pollution sources using chemometric analysis through hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA), discriminant analysis (DA), principal component analysis (PCA) and multiple linear regression analysis (MLR). The air quality data from January 2016 until December 2016 was obtained from the Department of Environment Malaysia. Air quality data from eight sampling stations in Selangor include the selected variables of nitrogen dioxide (NO$_2$), ozone (O$_3$), sulfur dioxide (SO$_2$), carbon monoxide (CO) and particulate matter (PM$_{10}$). The HCA resulted in three clusters, namely low pollution source (LPS), moderate pollution source (MPS) and slightly high pollution source (SHPS). Meanwhile, DA resulted in two and four variables for the forward stepwise mode and the backward stepwise mode, respectively. Through PCA, it was identified that the main pollutants of LPS, MPS and SHPS came from industrial and vehicle emissions, agricultural systems, residential factors and natural emission sources. Among the three models yielded from the MLR analysis, it was found that SHPS is the most suitable model to be used for the prediction of Air Pollution Index. This study concluded that a clearer review and practical design of air quality monitoring network would be beneficial for better management of air pollution. The study also suggested that chemometric techniques have the ability to show significant information on spatial variability for large and complex air quality data.
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INTRODUCTION

Air pollution is an important factor that could influence the quality of life and it requires serious and immediate attention in both developed and developing countries. Air pollution can be explained as a circumstance where air pollutants concentration in the atmosphere exceeded the normal ambient levels (Seinfeld & Pandis 1998). The types of pollutants can be irregular depending on the time
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period and it can also be in either scattered or concentrated form in the atmosphere. Air pollution problems often occurred in highly populated focus area such as urban and manufacturing industrial areas (Azid et al. 2013). This condition is not unfamiliar to a developing country such as Malaysia. Malaysia’s experiences with industrial pollution and urban environmental degradation can be credited to the rapid economic growth which started when it aimed to achieve the status of developed country by the year 2020. Nowadays, air pollution has become a major issue of debate because of its negative influence on humans, buildings, crops, and the ecosystems (Moustris et al. 2010). Continuous exposure to air pollution would threaten the wellbeing of public health and this condition requires close government monitoring especially at certain areas as to prevent the deterioration of air quality.

In Malaysia, the main sources of air pollutants came from mobile, stationary and trans-boundary sources (Azid et al. 2014, 2013; Khan et al. 2015; Makmom et al. 2012; Mutalib et al. 2013; Sulong et al. 2017). Generally, mobile source pollution referred to any air pollution emitted by motor vehicles (Azid et al. 2014). Meanwhile, stationary source pollution originated from fossil fuel burning power plants, food processing plants, heavy industrial sources and open burning (Dominick et al. 2012). On the other hand, trans-boundary pollution comprises of forest burning or volcanic eruptions in neighboring countries which causes air pollution to the home ground (Makmom et al. 2012). The air quality status in Malaysia is measured using Malaysia Ambient Air Quality Standard (MAAQS) which was established by the Department of Environment (DOE), Malaysia. Air Pollutant Index (API) is calculated based on sub-index of \( \text{SO}_2 \), \( \text{NO}_2 \), \( \text{CO} \), \( \text{O}_3 \), and \( \text{PM}_{10} \), where only the highest value of sub-index in individual pollutants are taken as the API value (DOE 2012). Normally, \( \text{PM}_{10} \) and \( \text{O}_3 \) were detected as major air pollutants in urban cities and sub urban areas and they both have quite an influenced on human health in the country (Mahiyuddin et al. 2013). This condition of air pollution was particularly influenced by the high traffic volume and industrial activities (Azmi et al. 2010).

Chemometric techniques also known as multivariate techniques analysis is an excellent tool that is often used in the environmental field to identify the sources of pollution (Azid et al. 2014; Mutalib et al. 2013). Chemometric analysis include the interrelationship of faunal structure, physic-chemical and biological characteristic, as well as toxicity data that could be obtain from the laboratory analysis (Azid et al. 2015). This made the tool suitable for the reduction and interpretation of meaningful data (Azid et al. 2015; Mutalib et al. 2013) through hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA), discriminant analysis (DA), principal component analysis (PCA) and multiple linear regressions (MLR). Chemometric techniques is not only beneficial for the recognition of potential sources variations in air quality and manipulation of air quality, but it is also beneficial for the interpretation of complex databases for better understanding of the condition of air quality in a specific region (Azid et al. 2015; Hua et al. 2016; Mutalib et al. 2013). Therefore, these methods are appropriate for the development of efficient management of air quality monitoring network (Azid et al. 2015).

This study was carried out to identify the spatial and temporal variations of air quality parameters using chemometric techniques and to determine the origin of pollution sources in Selangor, Malaysia. Specifically, the objective of this study were to identify the level of air quality in Selangor by recognizing the pollution source, to determine the most significant air quality variable and to produce a model for the prediction of air quality performance in Selangor.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**STUDY AREA**

Selangor is located in the western part of Malaysia, lying within the latitude of 2°35’23.53”N to 3°47’55.09”N and longitude of 100°56’25.09”E to 101°57’58.50”E (Table 1 & Figure 1). Selangor has an approximate square area of around 8104 km\(^2\) and it has an estimated number of populations at about five million people. While the west side of Selangor is facing the Straits of Malacca, Selangor shares its terrestrial boundary with Perak along the north, Pahang in the east and Negeri Sembilan along the south. Malaysia can be considered as a country which is free from natural disaster such as typhoon, volcanic eruption and earthquake; and this has helped in keeping the air quality under control. Nevertheless, the rapid economic growth experienced by the state and the country has become an aggravating factor towards the air quality level and hence,

| Station ID | Location                  | Latitude      | Longitude     |
|------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Station 1  | Klang, Selangor           | 3° 0'53.72"N  | 101°24'47.02"E|
| Station 2  | Petaling Jaya, Selangor   | 3° 7'59.37"N  | 101°36'28.53"E|
| Station 3  | Shah Alam, Selangor       | 3° 6'17.03"N  | 101°33'21.66"E|
| Station 4  | Kuala Selangor, Selangor   | 3°19'16.13"N  | 101°15'22.61"E|
| Station 5  | Putrajaya, Wilayah Persekutuan | 2°54'52.49"N   | 101°41'23.69"E|
| Station 6  | Cheras, Kuala Lumpur      | 3° 6'22.62"N  | 101°43'5.00"E |
| Station 7  | Batu Muda, Kuala Lumpur   | 3°12'45.08"N  | 101°40'56.47"E|
| Station 8  | Banting, Selangor         | 2°48'59.98"N  | 101°37'23.21"E|

TABLE 1. Geographical Coordinate of 8 monitoring stations details in Selangor
this study would assist in determining the latest status of air quality in Selangor.

DATA COLLECTION
Air quality data was retrieved from DOE, Malaysia, starting from January 2016 to December 2016. The variable of pollutants selected for the study such as nitrogen dioxide (NO_{2}), ozone (O_{3}), sulfur dioxide (SO_{2}), carbon monoxide (CO), and particulate matter (PM_{10}) were used to evaluate the API status and determine the pollution sources. Generally, the air quality assessment was done at the eight sampling stations in Selangor (Figure 1), where majority of the stations are located at urban, suburban and industrial areas. The statistical data used in this study consisted of 96 dataset (12 data per stations \times 8 stations) and a total number of 480 observations (12 data per stations \times 8 stations \times 5 variables). All data were obtained from a monthly average that was established from the hourly monitoring sites.

CHEMOMETRIC ANALYSIS

HIERARCHICAL CLUSTER ANALYSIS (HCA)
HCA uses an unsupervised pattern method to identify the sources, by splitting a large group of data into smaller ones based on their similarities and produced the analysis in the form of ‘cluster’ (Azid et al. 2015). HCA involved with several procedures (Aris et al. 2013; Azid et al. 2015; Juahir et al. 2011; Mahiyuddin et al. 2013), namely: Ward’s method using variance analysis to determine the distance between two clusters by minimizing the sum of squares (SS) from each step; Euclidean distance to determine the similarity between two samples and a distance to characterized the differences between analytical values from the samples, which can be defined by (1):

\[ d(x,y) = \sum_{m=1}^{p} (x_m - y_m) \]  

where \( d(x,y) \) is the Euclidean distance between two samples represented in \( x_m \) and \( y_m \); and \( p \) is the dimensional space of the variables (Azid et al. 2015); and dendogram that shows the high similarity in small distances between clusters and dissimilarity of possible distances between clusters.

DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS (DA)
DA is usually applied to evaluate an object of unknown origin to one of several naturally occurring groups (Manjunanth et al. 2012). This study applies DA together with HCA to establish significantly different variables and reduce the errors of these groups (Aris et al. 2013; Azid et al. 2015; Juahir et al. 2011). Every cluster from HCA will create discriminant function (DF) in DA, where the DF can be defined in (2):

\[ f(G_i) = k_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i P_i \]

where \( i \) is the number of groups (G); \( k_i \) is the constant to each group; \( n \) is the number of parameters used to classify a set of data into a given group; and \( W_i \) is the weight coefficient assigned by DF analysis to a given parameter (\( P_i \)). This study applied DA in three modes, namely standard mode, forward stepwise mode and backward stepwise mode (Aris et al. 2013; Juahir et al. 2011). Standard mode would provide DFs for the evaluation of spatial variations in the air quality raw data. Meanwhile, forward stepwise mode would eliminate the variables from the most-significant to no-significant changes and backward stepwise mode would eliminate variables from the less-significant to no-significant changes.

PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS (PCA)
PCA is used to interpret interrelated variables to create new variables, which is known as principal components (PCs) and the value are known as principal component scores (PCS). In other words, the newly maximum number is equivalent to the original number (Juahir et al. 2011). In this study, PCA is used together with HCA to recognize the emission sources by presenting the details of most-significant variables in the spatial and temporal variation and putting them with the less-significant variables, with minimum loss of the original information (Azid et al. 2015; Juahir et al. 2011). The PCA can be defined in (3):

\[ Z_{ij} = a_{i1}x_{ij} + a_{i2}x_{ij} + \ldots + a_{im}x_{mj} \]
where $z$ is the component score; $a$ is the component loading; $x$ is the measured value of the variable; $i$ is the component number; $j$ is the sample number; and $m$ is the total number of variables. The general procedures applied in PCA are: the hypothesis obtained from the original data will be reduced to dominant factors that influence the observed data variance; and the whole data set is extracted through eigenvalues and eigenvectors from the square matrix produced by multiplying the data matrix (Aris et al. 2013; Azid et al. 2015; Juahir et al. 2011). To be considered as significant, varimax factors (VFs) of new group variables were defined based on the eigenvalues that were greater than 1 (Aris et al. 2013; Juahir et al. 2011). VFs coefficient that are greater than 0.75 would be considered as ‘strong’, 0.75 to 0.50 as ‘moderate’ and 0.50 to 0.30 as ‘weak’ (Juahir et al. 2011). In this study, PCA was applied to classified datasets (five variables) independently based on the different spatial regions obtained from the HCA techniques.

MULTIPLE LINEAR REGRESSIONS (MLR)
MLR is widely used in atmospheric modeling (Azid et al. 2015; Dominick et al. 2012). This method is an appropriate way to investigate the relationship between independent and dependent variables through the formation of linear equation of observed data (Ul-Saufi et al. 2011) and it would also provide the percentage of atmospheric pollution on each parameter (Aertsen et al. 2010). This study applied MLR to justify the relationship between air quality parameter (the most-significant among the five parameters) with the API data. The MLR model is defined by (4):

$$ Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \ldots + \beta_p x_p + \epsilon $$

(4)

where $Y$ is the response variable; $p+1$ is the explanatory variable for $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_p$ with $p$ is the parameter (regression coefficient) of $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_p$; and $\epsilon$ is the error associated with the regression.

Determination of the best fitting linear regression equation was done using the coefficient of determination ($R^2$), adjusted coefficient of determination (Adjusted $R^2$) and root mean square error (RMSE). The value of $R^2$ provides the information of how well the model performs using the external data (Dominick et al. 2012). Adjusted $R^2$ considered all possible number of variables (Mutalib et al. 2013) and RMSE measure the residual error and the mean difference between observed and modeled value of API (Azid et al. 2015). Generally, higher $R^2$ value (which is near to 1) will be considered as the best linear model (Azid et al. 2015; Dominick et al. 2012; Mutalib et al. 2013). Consequently, chemometric techniques analysis through HCA, DA, PCA and MLR was performed using SPSS version 23.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
AN OVERVIEW ON THE RECORD OF AIR QUALITY DATA
The compilation of data from eight sampling stations in Selangor can be summarized in Table 2. The result showed that the average concentration of $O_3$, $CO$, $NO_2$, $SO_2$, and $PM_{10}$ were detected far below the value suggested by MAAQS for the average concentration (0.1 ppm for $O_3$, 30 ppm for $CO$, 0.18 ppm for $NO_2$, 0.15 ppm for $SO_2$, 120 $\mu g/m^3$ for $PM_{10}$). Nevertheless, the average concentration of $PM_{10}$ detected in most stations exceeded the value of 50 $\mu g/m^3$ as per recommended by the European Commission for $PM_{10}$. Therefore, it can be said that this condition is a common occurrence in urban and suburban area, especially in areas with large number of motor vehicles, industrial areas and areas with high level of street dust, which increases the amount of suspended particulate in the atmosphere at all the stations in Selangor.

| Parameters | AT | Sampling station | MAAQS (2018) |
|------------|----|-----------------|---------------|
|            |    | S1 | S2 | S3 | S4 | S5 | S6 | S7 | S8 |
| $O_3$ (ppm) | 1h | M  | 0.021 | 0.018 | 0.010 | 0.023 | 0.023 | 0.023 | 0.021 | 0.025 | 0.1 |
|            |    | SD | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.011 | 0.004 | 0.008 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.1 |
| $CO$ (ppm) | 1h | M  | 0.287 | 0.196 | 0.535 | 0.736 | 0.730 | 0.845 | 0.845 | 0.712 | 30  |
|            |    | SD | 0.367 | 0.458 | 0.572 | 0.251 | 0.300 | 0.550 | 0.273 | 0.261 | 30  |
| $NO_2$ (ppm) | 1h | M  | 0.017 | 0.028 | 0.016 | 0.018 | 0.006 | 0.020 | 0.022 | 0.013 | 0.18 |
|            |    | SD | 0.013 | 0.004 | 0.015 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.18 |
| $SO_2$ (ppm) | 1h | M  | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.15 |
|            |    | SD | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.15 |
| $PM_{10}$ ($\mu g/m^3$) | 24h | S  | 76.64 | 60.04 | 65.95 | 52.25 | 61.93 | 58.02 | 61.01 | 71.12 | 120 |
|            |    | SD | 35.60 | 31.93 | 36.96 | 28.35 | 33.37 | 27.44 | 34.04 | 34.43 | 120 |

(At=Average Time; M=Mean; SD=Standard Deviation; S=Station; MAAQS=Malaysian Ambient Air Quality Standard; $O_3$=Ground Level Ozone; CO=Carbon Monoxide; $NO_2$=Nitrogen Dioxide; $SO_2$=Sulfur Dioxide; $PM_{10}$=Particulate Matter with the Size of less than 10 Micron)
sampling stations (Figure 2). Cluster 1 consisted of results of data from Stations 1, 3, and 8; while Cluster 2 consisted of results of data from station 2, 5, 6, and 7; and Cluster 3 consisted of results of data from station 4. To summarize, Cluster 3 have an average API value of 42 which is classified as low pollution source (LPS). Meanwhile Cluster 1 have an API average of 55 (moderate pollution source (MPS)); and Cluster 2 recorded a slightly high pollution source (SHPS) with an average API value of 78. As a result, HCA technique has shown the ability to reduce the number of monitoring stations and basically suggested the category of air quality based on the regions and this is a beneficial finding for the process of improving the monitoring network system in future.

**DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS BASED ON SPATIAL VARIATION**

Further analysis using DA method were carried out based on the clustering obtained from the HCA, which is the LPS, MPS and SHPS. DA techniques comprises of three modes, namely standard, forward stepwise and backward stepwise. The analysis of DA indicated that the accuracy of spatial variation for the three modes are at 95.38% with 5 variables for standard mode, 89.05% with 2 variables for forward stepwise mode and 93.23% with 4 variables for backward stepwise mode (Table 3). In this study, null hypothesis (H₀) stated that at least one of the mean vectors is different from the others, while alternative hypothesis (Hₐ) stated that the mean vectors of the three classes are equal. Simultaneously, the p-value is lower than the significant level of alpha (0.05) and hence the null hypothesis (H₀) will be rejected and the alternative hypothesis (Hₐ) would be accepted instead. Since the Pillai’s Trace test for standard mode, forward mode and backward mode provided the result of p<0.0001 with 1.321, p<0.0001 with 1.185 and p<0.0001 with 1.274, respectively, which are above 0.01%; is acceptable to discard the H₀ which is lower than 0.05% and have the same mean vectors of in the three classes. The four selected variables of air quality which showed high spatial variations (with most-significant p-value of less than 0.05) for the backward stepwise mode were applied into the box and whisker plots for further discussion (Figure 3).

**IDENTIFICATION SOURCE OF VARIATION**

PCA were applied to the air quality data to determine the pattern of air quality variables and further identify the factor based on the discovery regions (LPS, MPS and SHPS). As shown in Table 4, the result indicated that two VF’s were obtained in the three regions with the eigenvalue of higher than 1. Meanwhile, the total variance for LPS, MPS and SHPS regions are at 66.27%, 66.39% and 62.26%, respectively.

*Low pollution source (LPS) region* LPS region shows that VF1 contributed about 36.32% of the total variance to produce strong negative loadings of CO and moderate

---

**TABLE 3. Classification matrix of DA for spatial variation in Selangor**

| Sampling Regions | % Correct | Regions assigned by the DA |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|
|                  |           | Cluster 1 | Cluster 2 | Cluster 3 |
| Standard Stepwise|           |           |           |           |
| Cluster 1        | 94.29%    | 70        | 0         | 3         |
| Cluster 2        | 91.67%    | 1         | 13        | 0         |
| Cluster 3        | 93.24%    | 6         | 0         | 78        |
| Total            | 95.38%    | 77        | 13        | 81        |
| Forward Stepwise |           |           |           |           |
| Cluster 1        | 91.57%    | 65        | 0         | 2         |
| Cluster 2        | 90.67%    | 3         | 11        | 0         |
| Cluster 3        | 96.43%    | 2         | 0         | 82        |
| Total            | 89.05%    | 70        | 11        | 84        |
| Backward Stepwise|           |           |           |           |
| Cluster 1        | 93.06%    | 69        | 0         | 5         |
| Cluster 2        | 91.37%    | 2         | 12        | 0         |
| Cluster 3        | 95.42%    | 4         | 0         | 80        |
| Total            | 93.23%    | 75        | 12        | 85        |

**FIGURE 2.** HCA using Ward linkage method to generate dendogram
positive significant loadings of SO$_2$ and PM$_{10}$. Meanwhile, VF2 indicated a total variance of 29.94% resulting in strong positive loadings of O$_3$ and strong negative loadings of NO$_2$. In other words, the existence of CO and NO$_2$ can be linked to the process of biomass burning and grazing and the residual of agricultural products from agricultural activities, as well as long range transportation air pollutants and domestic fuel sources (Haiduc & Beldean-Gale 2011; Rajab et al. 2011). Meanwhile O$_3$, SO$_2$, and PM$_{10}$ can be link to motor vehicles, industrial activities and construction sites (Sadanaga et al. 2012; Wei et al. 2012). According to the Ministry of Transport (MOT), Malaysia, the total amount of newly registered motor vehicles in Malaysia increased by 7.24% from 1,160,082 in 2010 to 1,638,498 in 2015; which increase the possibility of motor vehicles in becoming a major factor that contribute to the deterioration of atmospheric conditions.

**Moderate pollution source (MPS) region**  MPS region indicated that the VF1 contributed around 41.14% of
total variance and has strong positive loadings on CO and moderate positive loadings of NO\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{3}. Simultaneously, VF2 resulted in 25.25% of total variance producing strong positive loading of SO\textsubscript{2}. The result showed that factors containing chemical compositions that were involved with fossil fuel combustion especially from industrial activities and vehicles have become the main source of air pollution (Mutalib et al. 2013). Generally, the release of O\textsubscript{3} into the atmosphere can be related to photochemical oxidation and the main component of smog (Banan et al. 2013). Moreover, urban and suburban activities which causes the release of mono-nitrogen oxide (NO\textsubscript{x}) (Sadanaga et al. 2012), as well as industrial activities which released SO\textsubscript{2} (Wei et al. 2013) could assist in the increase of O\textsubscript{3} concentration in the atmosphere.

**Slightly high pollution source (SHPS) region** SHPS region indicate that the VF1 with total variance of 36.32% produced a strong positive loading of CO and moderate positive loadings of O\textsubscript{3}, SO\textsubscript{2} and PM\textsubscript{10}. Meanwhile, VF2 with a total variance of 29.94% produced strong positive loading of NO\textsubscript{2} and moderate positive loading of PM\textsubscript{10}. Major pollution occurred in SHPS region could be related to the composition of chemicals from anthropogenic activities which consist of point source pollution. In other words, the pollutants originated from the burning of biomass and fossil fuels, particularly from industrial, residential and vegetation areas; as well as from motor vehicles and natural emission sources (Azid et al. 2015; Dominick et al. 2012; Mutalib et al. 2013). It should be noted that the concentration of PM\textsubscript{10} was detected to be higher than other pollutants due to high traffic congestion of motor vehicles, industrial activities in construction site, soil dust and open burning activities (Azid et al. 2015, 2014, 2013; Mutalib et al. 2013).

**Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) of Air Pollutant Index (API)**

The MLR modeling was done to identify the behavior of variables, which can be done using the linear least-square fitting process and to determine all trace element sources (Henry et al. 1984). For that reason, this study used the source of apportionment of air pollutant parameter to identify the potential API. Three models were developed using the API value as a dependent variable, whereas the independent variables will be based on the air quality parameters taken from LPS (4 variables), MPS (4 variables) and SHPS (4 variables).

R\textsuperscript{2}, Adjusted R\textsuperscript{2} and RMSE value are essential for better coefficient result, which is why they were used in the LPS, MPS and SHPS. The value for R\textsuperscript{2}, Adjusted R\textsuperscript{2} and RMSE for LPS are 0.837, 0.816 and 3.324, respectively; 0.878, 0.822, and 2.829 for MPS; and 0.894, 0.834, and 1.808 SHPS. The proposed equation of R\textsuperscript{2}, Adjusted R\textsuperscript{2} and RMSE is shown in (5i) to (5iii):

**LPS (4 variables)**

Total API = 3.612 + 32.792 (NO\textsubscript{2}) – 875.640(CO) + 0.783(PM\textsubscript{10}) + 210.13(SO\textsubscript{2})

(R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.837; Adjusted R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.816; RMSE = 3.324)

(5i)

**MPS (4 variables)**

Total API = 3.977 + 5.423(CO) – 178.579(NO\textsubscript{2}) + 223.63 (SO\textsubscript{2}) + 54.29 (O\textsubscript{3})

(R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.878; Adjusted R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.822; RMSE = 2.829)

(5ii)

**SHPS (4 variables)**

Total API = 4.596 – 58.943(O\textsubscript{3}) + 2.065(CO) + 501.098(NO\textsubscript{2}) + 0.821(PM\textsubscript{10})

(R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.894; Adjusted R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.834; RMSE = 1.808)

(5iii)

Measured results of (5i) to (5iii) shows that the highest coefficient of determination (R\textsuperscript{2}) came from SHPS with 0.894 for NO\textsubscript{2}, CO and PM\textsubscript{10}, as well as a negative for O\textsubscript{3}; followed by MPS with R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.878 for CO, SO\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{3}, but negative for NO\textsubscript{2}; and the lowest came from LPS with R\textsuperscript{2} = 0.837 for NO\textsubscript{2}, PM\textsubscript{10}, and SO\textsubscript{2}, with negative for CO. From the finding, Cluster SHPS has been determined as the best model due to the closest R\textsuperscript{2} value to 1 and the smallest RMSE when compared to other parameters (Azid et al. 2015; Dominick et al. 2012; Mutalib et al. 2013).

Figure 4 shows the perceived residual analysis and prediction of total API using LPS, MPS and SHPS. The results indicated that the deficiency of the model contained some differences in the range of -0.5 to 1.5 for LPS, -1.7 to 1.3 for MPS and -2.3 to 1.5 for SHPS. Meanwhile, the standard predicted values for LPS, MPS and SHPS ranged between -0.8 and 1.6, -2.5 and 2.2, and -1.9 and 1.8, respectively. The main objective of the scatter plot diagram was to prove that the SHPS model is suitable to be used for total API prediction. This is because the model provided a results with greater difference between the predicted API and the calculated API.

**Conclusion**

The study concluded that spatial variations of air quality data in Selangor has been successfully studied using chemometric approach, such as HCA, DA, PCA and MLR. HCA has successfully grouped the eight sampling stations with five air quality variables into three significant clusters, namely LPS, MPS and SHPS. The HCA has benefitted the monitoring network approach by reducing the number of monitoring stations. Meanwhile, clusters delivered from HCA into DA has confirmed the standard mode, forward stepwise mode and backward stepwise mode with the accuracy of 95.38%, 89.05% and 93.23%, respectively, which confirmed the selection of the four variables of O\textsubscript{3}, NO\textsubscript{2}, SO\textsubscript{2}, and PM\textsubscript{10} in backward stepwise mode. In PCA, two VFs were detected in LPS, MPS and SHPS regions, with the total of variance of 66.27%, 66.39% and 62.26%.
respectively. The sources of variations detected in this study are industrial emissions, transport emissions, agricultural systems, residential factors and natural emission sources. MLR analysis was carried out to determine the variability of proposed equation to predict the total values of the API. The strong result of $R^2$ value was due to high significant $p$-value of smaller than 0.05 when compared to the three developed models. The highest $R^2$ values are SHPS with
0.894, followed by MPS with 0.878 and LPS with 0.837. It was determined that the most suitable model to be used for total API prediction is the SHPS model due to the greater difference it provides between predicted API and calculated API. For effective air quality management, a new air monitoring network should be design for the purpose of practicality and cost saving.
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