INTRODUCTION
Conjugated polymers have attracted substantial research attention in recent decades and are being developed for a range of applications in displays, large-area electronics, and bioelectronics (1–7), which make use of their compatibility with large-area, low-temperature solution-based manufacturing deposition imparted by functionalization with solubilizing side chains (8, 9). Unlike inorganic semiconductors for which delocalized electronic states and band structures stem from long-range crystallinity within atomic lattices, the charge transport and optoelectronic properties of conjugated polymers are largely determined at the single molecular level by the conformation of the polymer backbone, which governs the ability of the π-conjugated electronic states in the frontier molecular orbitals (MOs) to delocalize along the polymer backbone. A distribution of different conformations adopted across the network of polymer chains in the solid state is also responsible for energetic disorder and broadening of the electronic density of states. Key factors that determine the chain conformation, backbone planarity, and persistence length include the potentials for torsion and for backbone deflection (10). Characterization of molecular-level chain conformations (11–13) is arguably the starting point for understanding structure–property relationships (14–16) in specific conjugated polymer systems.

In recent years, the persistence length, which is defined as the average projection of the end-to-end vector on the tangent to the chain contour at a chain end in the limit of infinite chain length (17), has been uncovered to intimately correlate with the optoelectronic characteristics of the polymer. A long persistence length has been demonstrated to be desirable for applications such as high-efficiency organic photovoltaics and high-mobility organic field-effect transistors (OFETs) (12). Early examples of the importance of persistence length include studies of the photoluminescence quantum yield of polyphenylene vinylene derivatives, which was found to be positively correlated with the persistence length and stiffness of the backbone (18). Unusually high optical absorption in specific donor–acceptor (D–A) conjugated polymers has been ascribed to long persistence length as well (19). Already from early studies, it has been known that persistence length is related to the degree of conformational and energetic disorder (20). Stiffer chains not only reduce the number of possible backbone configurations and, hence, energetic disorder (21, 22) but also provide straight tie chains bridging neighboring crystallites, forming efficient percolation pathways required for macroscopic charge transport within thin-film devices such as OFETs (23).

One of the factors that limit the persistence length of traditional conjugated polymers is the existence of single-bond linkages that connect rigid conjugated units along the polymer backbone. At these single-bond linkages, cis–trans isomerism or variations of the torsional angle between neighboring conjugated units can occur that limit π-electron delocalization and may change the orientation of...
the backbone. As a result, most conjugated polymers exhibit limited chain rigidity with random coil or worm-like conformations on length scales above 1 to 10 nm (12) and more flexibility compared with other π-conjugated systems such as carbon nanotubes (24). To date, the conjugated polymers with the longest persistence lengths have been found to be donor-acceptor copolymers with extended, fused-ring conjugated units linked by single bonds designed to exhibit steep torsion potentials (10, 19). In such rigid and low-disorder polymers, the highest carrier mobilities on the order of 1 to 5 cm²/V s have been observed in polymer-based OFETs to date. A wide range of such donor-acceptor polymers have been investigated, but at present, there are no clear molecular design guidelines that would allow going beyond the level of performance that is achievable with such systems, and for the last 5 years, there has been only gradual and slow progress in the performance of conjugated polymers for OFETs (7, 25–27). There is an urgent need for new design motifs for conjugated polymers that might allow further reductions in main-chain conformational flexibility and energetic disorder.

Recently, an aldol condensation reaction between bis-isatin and bis-oxindole monomers has been used to synthesize an unusual series of electron-deficient conjugated polymers with completely fused backbone structures that do not contain any single-bond linkages (28). These polymers comprise fused-ring units that are linked together by double as opposed to single, carbon-carbon bonds. Because the energetic barrier for rotation around a double bond is expected to be an order of magnitude higher than for rotation around a single bond (28), we expect these polymers to have a more rigid backbone with potentially longer persistence lengths. In one such polymer, a homopolymer based on naphthalene-derived monomers, referred to as NN1 within this work, a high electron affinity (EA) of 4.2 eV and air-stable electron transport for up to 300 hours were observed within OFETs with a field-effect mobility (μFET) of 0.03 cm²/V s (28). Given the unique nature of the backbone of these polymers, this level of achievable μFET is maybe somewhat disappointing. However, to date, a detailed study of the structure-property relationships and charge transport physics of this class of polymers has not been reported yet, and it is important to understand the polymer chain conformations that these polymers adopt and the factors that limit their charge transport properties.

In this work, we systematically investigate the chain conformations, persistence lengths, microstructure, energetic disorder, and charge transport properties in four such polymers, herein named NN1, NN2, AN1, and AN2. In addition, we compare the air stability of these four polymers to the widely investigated electron-deficient polymer P(NDI2OD-T2) (29). The chemical structures are shown in Fig. 1A. Compared to the systems first reported in (28), including NN1, we would like to further improve the mobility by modifying the structure using two different strategies: extending the aromatic core and incorporation of branched side chains with branching points further removed from the polymer backbone. By extension of the planar aromatic core, the backbone rigidity and coplanarity could be increased. This strategy has proved useful to improve charge transport properties in other rigid-rod polymers (29). More specifically, we adopted a copolymer design with some units extended from a naphthalene to an anthracene core. By designing derivatives in which the branch point is moved further away from the backbone, we aim to combine the advantages of improved solubility from branched side chains with stronger π-π intermolecular interactions, which are normally associated with linear side chains. This strategy has been shown to promote crystallinity and mobility (30).

We present a full characterization, including the molecular weight dependence of charge transport properties as well as film microstructure by grazing-incidence wide-angle x-ray scattering (GIWAXS) and quantification of energetic disorder by photothermal deflection spectroscopy (PDS) and quantum-chemical modeling. We show that optimization of molecular design provides access to electron mobilities of 0.5 cm²/V s that are significantly higher than observed previously in this class of polymers and comparable to those of other state-of-the-art electron-deficient conjugated polymers, such as the widely investigated P(NDI2OD-T2) (31). We use small-angle neutron scattering (SANS) in solution to quantify persistence lengths, use field-induced electron spin resonance (FI-ESR) to quantify charge hopping times and hopping lengths in working OFETs, and show that a long persistence length is key to achieving good charge transport properties. Our main aim in this work is to identify clear strategies for further enhancement of the charge transport properties of this unique class of conjugated polymers.

Fig. 1. Chemical structures and thin-film UV-Vis-NIR absorption spectra. (A) Chemical structure of NN1, NN2, AN1, AN2, and P(NDI2OD-T2) polymers. (B) Thin-film UV-Vis-NIR absorption spectra of the polymers. The films were spun from hot 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene solution.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1A shows the four fused polymers synthesized and investigated within this work, together with the widely investigated electron-deficient polymer P(NDI2OD-T2). We note that with the AN1 polymer, we encountered some synthetic problems caused by limited solubility; the AN1 polymer exhibited only a small molecular weight \((M_w = 32.1 \text{ kDa}, M_m = 89.5 \text{ kDa})\), as determined by gel permeation chromatography) and is included here for completeness only without the full characterization that was conducted for the other polymers. Figure 1B shows the thin-film UV-Vis-NIR absorption spectra for the NN1, NN2, and AN2 polymers with wavelengths ranging from 250 to 1600 nm. Three absorption bands appear within this spectral range, each one in the ultraviolet (UV), visible, and near infrared (NIR). The absorption peaks in the UV and visible bands are observed at similar positions for the three polymers, namely, 355 nm for the UV band and 524 nm for the visible band. However, the longest wavelength absorption in the NIR occurs at longer wavelength (1047 nm) in AN2 than in NN1 and NN2 (930 nm). AN2 is the only polymer in which it is possible to observe a well-resolved 0-0 and 0-1 vibronic progression in the NIR band, suggesting a smaller degree of energetic disorder. Thin-film and solution absorption spectra are quite similar for all three polymers (fig. S1). For AN2, the ratio between the intensities of the 0-0 and 0-1 NIR absorption peaks is slightly higher in solution than in thin films (fig. S1C). The ionization energies and EAs of these polymers are summarized within Table 1, with the optical bandgaps calculated from the onset of the thin-film absorption spectra. While the optical bandgaps of the three fused polymers are all around 1 eV, the EAs of the three polymers are between −4.1 and −4.2 eV. The high EA is essential for the good air-stable electron transport in these polymers, as discussed below.

To understand the nature of the associated optical transitions, the optical absorption spectrum of the NN and AN polymers has also been simulated for representative model systems at the quantum-chemical TD-DFT \((\text{time-dependent density functional theory})\) level (see Materials and Methods). Our calculations nicely reproduce the main features observed experimentally: (i) a low-energy absorption band peaking at slightly longer absorption wavelength for AN (873 nm) compared to NN (841 nm), (ii) a second band in the visible region (at 497 and 499 nm for AN and NN, respectively), and (iii) a third absorption band in the UV region (387 and 389 nm for AN and NN, respectively). As a proxy for the charge-transfer (CT) character of the relevant electronic excitations, we have calculated the overlap, \(\Phi_s\), between the hole and electron densities (fig. S2). In both polymers, the lowest two optically active electronic transitions involve overlapping hole and electron wave functions (with high \(\Phi_s\), indicating small CT) that extend over the entire polymer chains, in line with (28). Close inspection reveals that these two excitations involve the same ending empty MOs but differ by the nature of the starting occupied MOs, being the HOMO (highest occupied molecular orbital) and HOMO-1 orbitals of the anthracene and naphthalene cores, and correspond to the so-called L and B electronic transitions in the perimeter free electron orbital picture of polyaromatic hydrocarbons. The low optical bandgap of the polymers results from a low transition energy for the repeating monomer units combined with strong electronic interactions between the monomers along the polymer chains. This can be appreciated from the large red shift of the lowest excitation energy calculated in oligomers of increasing size (fig. S3).

To investigate the rigidity of single polymer chains and measure persistence lengths, we performed SANS experiments on solutions of NN1, NN2, and AN2 fused polymers and compared them to the widely investigated electron-deficient polymer P(NDI2OD-T2). The polymer samples were dissolved in hot (125°C) dichlorobenzene at dilute concentrations \((5 \text{ mg/ml})\) to minimize aggregation effects. Experimental details can be found in Materials and Methods. Figure 2 shows the scattering cross section of these four polymers and the fitting of the scattering profiles with appropriate models (details about fitting procedures are included within Materials and Methods and section S3). The chain parameters extracted from the fitting of the SANS results are summarized in Table 2.

For the NN1, NN2, and AN2 polymers, the general SANS features are similar: A rapid decrease in scattering intensity can be seen for large scattering vectors above \(0.1 \text{ Å}^{-1}\). For intermediate scattering vectors in the range between 0.01 and 0.1 Å\(^{-1}\), the scattering intensity decreases approximately as \(I \propto q^{-1}\). Below 0.01 Å\(^{-1}\), we observe a gradually weaker dependence of intensity on \(q\). Those features of scattering agree well with a rod with a finite length. The sharp decrease of intensity at high \(q\) corresponds to a cross-sectional Guinier behavior. If the chain adopts a rod-like conformation, the length \(L\) is the contour length \((L_{\text{cont}})\), which is proportional to the molecular weight. Since the AN2 polymer has the highest molecular weight among the fused polymers, a longer sample-to-detector distance...
was chosen (8 m), as compared with the NN1, NN2, as well as the P(NDI2OD-T2) reference measurements. Unfortunately, the lowest $q$ of the measurement (−0.003 Å−1) is still not low enough to reach the Guinier regime of the whole rod. Therefore, the determination of the length of the rod relies on the medium $q$ data, which is not as accurate. However, according to the dynamic light scattering results (fig. S4) performed on the fully dissolved NN1 polymers, it is highly likely that the chain lengths (~10 nm) have been extracted from the fitting process accurately and well within the limit of errors. The polydispersity has been accounted for by assuming a Schultz–Zimm distribution in molecular weight (details can be found in section S3). The fitting parameters are listed in Table 2. NN2 and AN2 share the same radius (1.65 nm), which is slightly larger than NN1. The difference agrees well with the length of the side chains. The length of the “rod” depends on the molecular weight (table S1). The results confirm that the AN2 polymer has the largest molecular weight. A direct link between the length and molecular weight is not established since the molecular weight is measured on a relative scale. The most important information we obtain is that for the range of molecular weights investigated here, the chain of the three fused polymers is completely rigid and adopts a rod-shaped conformation. In other words, the persistence length is beyond the detection limit and is larger than the contour length ($L_{\text{max}}$) of the materials investigated.

For comparison, we measured P(NDI2OD-T2) (31), which has been shown to exhibit low energetic disorder ($E_u = 32$ meV) (32) in thin films. Although the scattering behavior at large $q$ is almost the same as in the fused polymers, the SANS curve of P(NDI2OD-T2) cannot be well fitted with a rod model (fig. S7). This is because the power law for the scattering intensity $I \propto q^{-\alpha}$ has an exponent $\alpha$ that is clearly larger than 1. We found that the best model to fit the P(NDI2OD-T2) scattering data is a worm-like chain, which describes a chain with rod-like behavior on short length scales but coil-like behavior on longer length scales. The fitting parameters give a Kuhn length ($b$) (33) of 10.2 nm and a contour length of 46.8 ± 37.4 nm. For sufficiently long chains ($L_{\text{max}} \gg b$), the Kuhn length is twice the persistence length ($l_p$). The main conclusion from the SANS analysis is, therefore, that the NN1, NN2, and AN2 polymers are significantly more rigid and less flexible than P(NDI2OD-T2). The rigid-rod nature of these polymers is a clear manifestation of their unique double-bond linkages between the fused conjugated units, which distinguishes these materials from other more traditional conjugated polymers.

The SANS experiments in Fig. 2 were performed on polymer chains that were fully dissolved in hot (125°C) and diluted deuterated solvent without observable aggregation and represent the behavior of isolated polymer chains in solution. They leave open the question to which extent the high degree of backbone rigidity can be maintained in the solid state. A first indication that a high chain rigidity is also present in the solid state is that optical absorption spectra between solutions and films show almost no differences or spectral shifts (fig. S1). To gain further insight into the solid-state microstructure of thin films, we performed GIWAXS. Polymer films were spin-coated under the same conditions as used for the fabrication of the active layers of OFET devices (details in Materials and Methods).

For the three fused polymers characterized, GIWAXS patterns demonstrate bimodal textures in general, namely, face-on and edge-on crystallites coexisting within samples, evidenced by the existence of in-plane and out-of-plane lamellar scattering peaks in the two-dimensional (2D) GIWAXS patterns (Fig. 3). However, there are still differences between the three polymers. The NN1 sample shows a predominantly face-on texture, as is evident from the lack of a pronounced in-plane $\pi-\pi$ stacking (010) peak, while the obvious in-plane (010) peaks seen in the patterns of the NN2 and AN2 indicated a significant edge-on population in these samples (Fig. 3). Previous work shows that this difference could be related to different degrees of aggregation behavior within the precursor solution (34); in other words, NN2 and AN2 polymers may exhibit a larger ratio of edge-on crystallites due to their stronger aggregation within the precursor solution compared with the NN1 polymer. This could originate from stronger interchain interactions induced by the side-chain branching point being further away from the main chain in NN2 and AN2 than in NN1. The effect of side-chain branching point extension is also evident from an analysis of GIWAXS spacing parameters. The lamellar stacking distance for NN2 and AN2 crystallites is larger than for NN1 crystallites (Table 3), which is consistent with the longer side chain of NN2 and AN2. Higher-order (400) lamellar diffraction peaks are seen in the out-of-plane direction for both NN2 and AN2, indicating a high degree of order in the lamellar stacking. In addition, the $\pi-\pi$ stacking distance shrinks from 3.72 Å (NN1) to 3.69 Å (NN2 and AN2), which is consistent with the branching point extension and beneficial for interchain charge transport.

In-plane reflections assigned to backbone stacking peaks are observed for the three polymers. NN1 and NN2 exhibit peaks at roughly the same Q value (1.42/1.39 Å−1 for NN1/NN2), which, if indexed to (004) reflections, correspond to a backbone repeat distance of 1.8 nm, consistent with the length of a single naphthalene–co-naphthalene repeating unit according to the results of force field calculations. The coherence lengths extracted from the full width at half maximum of these (004) peaks provide a measure of the length scale along which crystalline packing is maintained along the backbone direction. The values for NN1 (10 nm) and NN2 (11.5 nm) are comparable and correspond to five to six backbone repeat units. Peaks associated with periodic stacking along the backbone are not universally observed in conjugated polymers but rather are seen for a limited number of rigid polymers including pBTTT (35), P(NDI2OD-T2) (36–38), and C16 IDT-BT (39). The observation of backbone peaks here suggests a relatively high degree of order along...
Fig. 3. Structural characterization of the representative rigid-rod polymer thin films. (A) 2D GIWAXS patterns of NN1, NN2, and AN2 thin-film samples. (B) In-plane and out-of-plane 1D linecuts of NN1, NN2, and AN2’s 2D GIWAXS patterns.

Table 3. GIWAXS spacing parameters for the NN1, NN2, and AN2 samples. N/A denotes not available.

| Crystallographic parameters            | NN1  | NN2  | AN2  |
|----------------------------------------|------|------|------|
| **Lamella stacking (out-of-plane)**    |      |      |      |
| q (Å⁻¹)                                | 0.240| 0.217| 0.232|
| d spacing (Å)                          | 26.4 | 29.0 | 27.4 |
| ΔFWHM (Å⁻¹)                            | 0.047| 0.055| 0.061|
| Coherence length (Å)                   | 135  | 115  | 105  |
| q (Å⁻¹)                                | 0.240| 0.220| 0.230|
| **Lamella stacking (in-plane)**        |      |      |      |
| d spacing (Å)                          | 26.6 | 28.6 | 27.3 |
| ΔFWHM (Å⁻¹)                            | 0.021| 0.027| 0.043|
| Coherence length (Å)                   | 300  | 235  | 145  |
| q (Å⁻¹)                                | 1.69 | 1.70 | 1.70 |
| **π-π stacking (out-of-plane)**        |      |      |      |
| d spacing (Å)                          | 3.72 | 3.69 | 3.69 |
| ΔFWHM (Å⁻¹)                            | 0.218| 0.204| 0.194|
| Coherence length (Å)                   | 28.8 | 30.8 | 32.4 |
| q (Å⁻¹)                                | N/A  | 1.70 | 1.70 |
| **π-π stacking (in-plane)**            |      |      |      |
| d spacing (Å)                          | N/A  | 3.69 | 3.69 |
| ΔFWHM (Å⁻¹)                            | N/A  | 0.141| 0.20 |
| Coherence length (Å)                   | N/A  | 44.5 | 31.4 |
| q (Å⁻¹)                                | 1.42 | 1.39 | 1.25 |
| **Backbone repeat (004)**              |      |      |      |
| (in-plane)                             |      |      |      |
| d spacing (Å)                          | 17.7 | 18.1 | 20.1 |
| ΔFWHM (Å⁻¹)                            | 0.064| 0.054| N/A  |
| Coherence length (Å)                   | 100  | 115  | N/A  |
the backbone direction. The coherence lengths extracted for NN1 and NN2, however, are slightly smaller than those of other high-performance conjugated polymers such as thermally annealed films of P(NDI2OD-T2) (20.7 nm) (36) and G16 IDT-BT polymer (22 nm) (39). In addition, the (004) peaks observed here show “arcing,” indicating that the backbones are not lying perfectly flat in the plane of the film, which is different to other polymers such as pBTTT (35) and P(NDI2OD-T2) (37) where the backbone peaks shown are vertical in Q space. In the case of the AN2 films, a peak can just be discerned in-plane at 1.25 Å⁻¹, which is consistent with the (004) reflection of this polymer given the larger size of the backbone in this polymer. However, we are not able to extract the coherence length of this peak due to weaker intensity of this peak. We conclude that the GIWAXS results provide evidence for a highly ordered, semicrystalline thin-film microstructure with pronounced interchain interactions and close π-π stacking. The observation of the (004) backbone repeat peaks shows that the rigid-rod chain conformation observed in solution by the SANS is maintained in spin-coated thin films. However, the measured coherence lengths are not exceptionally long compared to other rigid-rod polymers. This may point to the existence of some degree of torsional disorder along the backbone, an issue that is discussed further below.

To investigate energetic disorder in the solid state, we use PDS (40, 41) to measure the broadening of the tail of optical absorption below the band edge (Fig. 4). We extract the Urbach energy, which characterizes the width of the joint excitonic density of states and is a measure of energetic disorder (32, 42), by fitting the exponential tail of the PDS spectra to the equation

\[ \alpha(h\omega) \sim \exp \left( \frac{h\omega - E_u}{E_u} \right) \]

Both anthracene-derived polymers, AN1 and AN2, exhibit lower energetic disorder compared to the naphthalene-derived systems, NN1 and NN2. In particular, AN2 has a low Urbach energy \( E_u = 32 \) meV, which is similar to the low value found in P(NDI2OD-T2) (32), but still somewhat larger than the lowest value observed in a conjugated polymer, which was reported for G16 IDT-BT (\( E_u = 24 \) meV) and is less than \( k_B T \) at room temperature (10).

To understand the origin of the low energetic disorder in the NN and AN polymers, we have simulated the conformational potential energy surface of representative systems (see technical details in Materials and Methods). Although the two fragments are connected by a double bond, NN and AN polymers are not perfectly planar because of steric repulsions between the carbonyl oxygens and their adjacent C–H groups (fig. S8) (28). According to a Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution, the average value of the torsion angle in the electronic ground state and its deviation from planarity at room temperature amount to \( 18.1^\circ \pm 6.1^\circ \) and \( 18.6^\circ \pm 6.1^\circ \) for NN and AN, respectively. As the chains explore these conformations, the calculated TD-DFT excitation energies vary around mean values of 2.22 eV in NN and 2.04 eV in AN with corresponding SDs significantly smaller in AN (23 meV) compared to NN (32 meV), in line with the measured Urbach energies. We attribute the smaller thermal energetic disorder for AN versus NN to the spreading of the π system in anthracene versus naphthalene, which reduces the variation in excitonic couplings due to conformational motion because of the lower weight of the wave function at the intermonomer connecting atoms.

To investigate the charge transport properties of the different polymers, we fabricated spin-coated, top-gate, bottom-contact OFETs with a 480-nm polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) dielectric. Details of device fabrication are reported in Materials and Methods; the capacitance-frequency (C-f) characteristics for determination of the dielectric capacitance are shown in fig. S9. The devices exhibit ambipolar transport characteristics with a current modulation of >10⁵ (linear curves) and evidence for hole injection and hole transport when \( V_D = 60 \) V and \( V_G < 20 \) V (Fig. 5A). However, better injection and higher currents are obtained in the electron regime, while the hole regime suffers from severe injection issues (fig. S10F), which suggests the formation of an unfavorable interfacial dipole at the organic semiconductor (OSC)–gold interface, making hole injection more difficult than expected given the favorable value of the ionization potential. Hence, we focus on a discussion of the electron transport regime here. We observed the highest mobilities in AN2, for which the best devices exhibit linear and saturation electron mobility of 0.4 and 0.8 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 5B), when measured at 300 K in air. These are relatively moderate values of charge carrier mobility considering the low effective mass of ~0.13 m_e calculated by DFT for the electrons (compared to ~0.21 m_e for the holes). The large dispersion in momentum space of the conduction band (fig. S15) is the primary reason for the high EA of the polymer and its low optical gap. In a real space representation, this is also borne out by the calculated large changes in the LUMO (lowest unoccupied molecular orbital) energy with chain length (fig. S16).

We note that neither the n-type linear nor saturation mobility exhibits a plateau at high gate voltage but continues to increase with increasing \( V_G \). This is not likely to be a contact resistance effect but could be ascribed to residual energetic disorder, trap filling, or potentially electron-electron interactions that are commonly observed in semicrystalline polymer OFETs (43, 44). The output curves of the mentioned AN2 OFET in the electron regime in fig. S10C show well-defined linearity at low drain voltage, largely free from contact resistance and injection issues. The increase of the drain current in the \( V_G = 0 \) V curve above \( V_D = 35 \) V is a clear signature of ambipolar hole injection and transport. Figure 5C compares the molecular weight dependence of the four polymers. At a fixed molecular weight, AN2 and NN2 exhibit higher carrier mobilities than AN1 and NN1. This is consistent with the more pronounced interchain interactions in
AN2 and NN2 that are facilitated by moving the branching point away from the polymer backbone. We also find that the molecular weight dependence is weaker in AN2/NN2 than in AN1/NN1: When the number average molecular weight in AN2 increases more than 15 times, from 10 kDa to more than 150 kDa, the $\mu_{\text{sat}}$ increases by less than a factor of 3. In contrast, in NN1, high mobilities approaching $0.1 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ can only be achieved for molecular weights above 50 kDa; for smaller molecular weights, mobilities were found to drop markedly. One possible explanation for such reduced molecular weight dependency of NN2 and AN2 devices compared with NN1 devices could be the increased crystallinity and closer interchain interactions, making NN2 and AN2 polymers less reliant on high–molecular weight tie chains interconnecting between few close-contact points/aggregates to form effective charge transport networks than in NN1 and AN1.

Temperature-dependent OFET charge transport measurements were also performed. For these, we used samples with the highest molecular weight/mobility for each of the four polymers. The performances of representative devices at 300 K are presented in fig. S11. The saturation transfer curves as a function of temperature are presented in fig. S12. The transistor current for both electron and hole transport decreases monotonically with decreasing temperature, but the shape of the ambipolar transfer characteristics does not change, which suggests that electron and hole transport exhibit similar activation energies. Because of the issues with hole contact injection, we focus on a discussion of electron transport here. Activation energies extracted according to $\mu_{\text{sat}} \propto \exp\left(-\frac{E_A}{k_B T}\right)$ are shown for the four polymers in Fig. 5D, for which we used the mobility values at $V_G = 60 \text{ V}$. For all four polymers, activation energies are around 100 meV; these are comparable with those of other high-performance semicrystalline polymeric OFETs (15) and only slightly higher than values reported for the electron-deficient polymer P(NDI2OD-T2) OFETs with the same top-gate, bottom-contact architecture and PMMA dielectric ($E_A = 86 \text{ meV}$) (45). The $E_A$ is significantly higher than found in some of the highest-performing OFETs (15, 46), based on conjugated polymers with a very low degree of energetic disorder combined with gate dielectrics of low relative permittivity (39).

Another factor that is likely to contribute to the high activation energy is the reorganization energy. DFT calculations reveal that the reorganization energies associated with electron hopping are extremely large: 0.81 and 0.87 eV for the sum of the relaxation energies in the neutral and charged states in NN and AN, respectively. A major fraction of that reorganization stems from high-frequency (namely, carbon-carbon stretching) vibrations that do not contribute to the observed temperature dependence (as their population is constant...
equilibrium torsion angles (fig. S18).

When adding an extra electron, and, as a result, the torsion potential between the fused rings acquire an increased single-bond character the opposite behavior is observed here because the connecting bonds fluctuate in twist angles between the subunits upon charging.

While conventional polymers tend to planarize in the ionized state, fluctuations in twist angles between the subunits upon charging.
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Figure 6A shows that the incorporation of the anthracene-derived molecular units into the backbone, which tends to slightly reduce the EA, does not compromise the air stability of electron transport. AN2 OFETs remain stable after continuous air exposure for 350 hours without further degradation and retain a saturation electron mobility of around 0.2 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ after prolonged air exposure. This is in clear contrast to P(NDI2OD-T2), the performance of which plummets after more than 250-hour air exposure. In Fig. 6B, the operational stability of a representative AN2 device after 450-hour continuous air exposure is characterized, showing stable ambipolar operation under both p- and n-channel accumulation. As far as we know, this is the first observation of such a high level of stability in the ambipolar charge transport characteristics for a conjugated polymer OFET. Threshold voltage $V_T$ shift of air-exposed AN2 devices is shown in fig. S13.

Last, we used continuous-wave FI-ESR to study polaron spin dynamics and to obtain microscopic insight into the corresponding charge dynamics. By measuring the power saturation characteristics and linewidths of the FI-ESR spectra, we extracted the spin–lattice relaxation time, $T_1$, and the coherence time, $T_2$, as a function of temperature, as can be seen in Fig. 7B for NN1 and Fig. 7E for AN2 (SI, 52). In these, we compare FI-ESR data for NN1 and AN2 devices from 5 to 290 K; we focus on the electron transport regime and show data extracted at a fixed gate voltage, $V_G = 60$ V. The transfer and output characteristics of NN1 and AN2 ESR FET devices at 170 K are shown in fig. S14. The spin lifetimes show three distinguishable temperature regimes. For the NN1 device below 120 K and for the AN2 device below 100 K, spins hop very slowly and experience inhomogeneous magnetic environments with stochastic fluctuations in the magnetic field amplitude $8B_{rms}$, which are due to, for example, local variations of the hyperfine magnetic fields. On the basis of a procedure described in our previous work (36), we extracted from the 5 K spectra values of $8B_{rms} = 0.54$ G for NN1 and 0.84 G for AN2. For the NN1 device from 120 to 170 K, and for the AN2 device from 100 to 185 K, we observed a “motional narrowing” regime (36): In this temperature range, the motion of charges/spins is sufficiently fast such that the ESR spectrum reflects an average of the magnetic field environments. This averaging effect due to charge motion leads to a single Lorentzian line shape (Fig. 7, A and D), whose linewidth narrows inversely with $T_2$ as temperature increases. At even higher temperatures (>200 K in NN1 and AN2), a different spin relaxation mechanism manifests and leads to a peak in $T_2$ and a subsequent decrease with increasing temperature. In this regime, coupling between the vibrational dynamics and the microscopic

Fig. 6. Environmental and stress stability investigation of rigid-rod polymer FETs. (A) Saturation electron mobility of NN1, NN2, AN1, AN2, and P(NDI2OD-T2) top-gate, bottom-contact OFETs ($L = 20 \mu m$, $W = 1 \text{ mm}$) measured under various air exposure time, highlighting the air stability of electron transport of fused polymer OFETs in comparison to the P(NDI2OD-T2) OFET. (B) Saturation transfer curves (with p- and n-channel accumulation) for a representative AN2 OFET measured in the air after 450-hour continuous air exposure to demonstrate the operational stability of the sufficiently air-exposed device.
charge/spin motion determines the spin relaxation behavior. The latter regime provides information on the coupling between electron spins and vibrational modes (36) and will not be discussed in detail here.

Instead, we focus on the motional narrowing regime, in which quantitative information on charge carrier motion frequencies and hopping distances can be extracted. Motion frequencies, \( \nu \), can be computed directly from the measured ESR linewidths (36). From the Einstein relationship \( \mu = e\bar{R}^2/(k_B T) \nu \) using the measured OFET mobility \( \mu \), it is then possible to compute the average hopping distance \( \bar{R} \) (Fig. 7, C and F). Since the calculation of \( \bar{R} \) is reliant on both \( \mu \) and \( \nu \), we can only extract reliable values in a narrow temperature range between 170 K (where charge motion becomes sufficiently fast to reliably determine a FET mobility) and below 200 K (the highest temperature for which motional narrowing holds). In the motional narrowing regime, \( T_2 \) relaxation is determined by local changes in the magnetic field parallel to the external field \( B_0 \). The reported coherence times were measured with a field perpendicular to the sample and are therefore dominated by hyperfine fields within crystallites. Between-crystallite motion can additionally cause variations in the \( g \)-tensor component that is parallel to \( B_0 \) if the backbone tilt angle relative to \( B_0 \) changes. This would occur, for example, for measurements taken with \( B_0 \) parallel to the substrate and an isotropic distribution of in-plane crystallite orientations. Because the hopping distances reported here correspond to local motion while the measured mobilities may well be limited by slower intercrystallite hopping (23), the values of \( \bar{R} \) reported here should be interpreted as lower limit estimates of the true hopping distance. While this does not affect the conclusion of this work, it is important to keep this in mind when comparing absolute values of \( \bar{R} \) to those obtained in other, morphologically different systems, which might be affected to a different extent by differences between FET and local mobilities. Nevertheless, it is worth noting that the extracted \( \bar{R} \) is within the same order of magnitude as the chain contour lengths obtained from the fitting of the SANS curves. Although of course a direct comparison between measurements performed in solution and in the solid state is not possible, this similarity of values suggests at least that chain conformations do not change dramatically from solution to the solid state. This is also consistent with the close similarity between the optical absorption spectra in solution and in the solid state (fig. S1).

The extracted values of \( \nu \) are compared in Table 4 with those of other, high-mobility polymers that we have investigated recently by the same technique. The motion frequencies for both NN1 and AN2 are significantly slower than those of PBTTT, P(NDI2OD-T2), and C16 IDT-BT. This could be a manifestation of significant residual energetic disorder that slows down motion of carriers through the network of polymer chains. This is consistent with the comparatively high Urbach energy of NN1, the comparatively short coherence lengths for the backbone (004) diffractions observed in GIWAXS, and the theoretical predictions of significant polaronic relaxation effects in the anionic state prompting the connecting double bonds with an increased single-bond character and translating into large conformational motions around nonplanar equilibrium backbone geometries. It is actually remarkable to notice that, in contrast to “conventional” conjugated polymers (i.e., with single bonds connecting the repeating units), electron trapping in our polymers is expected to occur in the most twisted segments of the polymer chains. Because the relevant conformational motion occurs around the double bonds that feature an antibonding pattern in the LUMO (rather than a bonding pattern for single bonds in conventional polymers), increasing the torsion angle decreases the antibonding character, thus stabilizing the electron.

On the other hand, the extracted lower limit estimates of the hopping distances for both NN1 and AN2 (16 and 23 nm, respectively,
at 200 K) are longer than those of PBT TT and P(ND12OD-T2) and are even comparable to the record values observed in C16 IDT-BT (36). This is consistent with the rigid–rod nature of the polymers that is evident from the solution SANS measurements and that is preserved in thin films, as evident from GIWAXS. From the FI-ESR measurements, we arrive at the important conclusion that charge transport in the NN/AN polymers is characterized by a relatively slow hopping motion between sites that are separated by long distances. Those large hopping distances are ultimately responsible for the respectable electron mobility values of up to 0.5 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ that can be achieved in AN2.

Our study has provided direct experimental evidence that it is possible to realize rigid–rod behavior in conjugated polymers synthesized by aldol condensation with only double-bond and no single-bond linkages between fused-ring conjugated units. We have shown evidence that the persistence length of these polymers matches/exceeds their contour length. We have also demonstrated that by side-chain optimization and by adopting a copolymer design, it is possible to achieve respectable high electron mobilities of 0.5 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ that are within an order of magnitude to those of the best conjugated polymers. The level of performance achieved to date is already of interest for large-area electronics because of the excellent stability for n-channel OFET operation in air. We have also uncovered some of the transport-limiting factors that prevent achieving even higher carrier mobilities: In the systems investigated to date, the polymer backbone is not expected to be planar due to steric hindrance between a carbonyl carbon and an adjacent C–H group, and the torsion potentials are sufficiently flat around the equilibrium configurations that they allow significant variations in torsion angles. Besides, the torsional fluctuations are facilitated in the ionized state where the double-bond linkages largely stretch out compared to the neutral ground state. These polaric effects combined with the increased torsional energetic disorder act against the large electronic dispersion and slow down electron transport. In the future, molecular designs should be sought within this class of polymers that allow planar backbone conformations without steric hindrance and exhibit a narrower distribution of torsion angles. Such systems could potentially retain the unique rigid–rod nature of these polymers but achieve lower energetic disorder and reorganization energies and allow reaching even higher charge carrier mobilities, potentially exceeding those of state-of-the-art systems.

**Materials and Methods**

**Device fabrication**

Top-gate, bottom-contact architectures are used to fabricate all the field-effect devices, including OFETs and FI-ESR samples.

Photolithographically patterned electrodes (15-nm gold layer on top on 3-nm chromium adhesion layer) were evaporated on low-alkali 1737F Corning glass substrates under high vacuum (<5 × 10⁻⁶ mbar). For standard OFET devices, interdigitated electrode patterns (20 μm channel length and 1000 μm channel width) are used, while for FI-ESR samples, larger-area elongated substrates are used [sample geometry details within (36)] in order to accumulate more charges/spins. After the lift-off process, substrates are cleaned with acetone and isopropanol, blow-dried with a nitrogen gun, and then ready to use. Around 30-nm conjugated polymer films are spin-coated on top of clean substrates from hot precursor solutions (140°C 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene) at 1200 rpm for 240 s and then thermally annealed in nitrogen atmosphere at 200°C for half an hour before quenching down to room temperature. Around 500 nm of PMMA layer was then spin-coated on top of the annealed semiconductor layer from orthogonal solvent n-butyl-acetate and then annealed at 90°C for 20 min. After this step, samples are transferred from a glove box to an evaporator for the gate electrode evaporation, and a 30-nm aluminum layer is thermally evaporated on top of the channel area within shadow mask–defined regions.

**Device characterization**

Transfer and output curves of OFET devices are measured with an Agilent 4155 semiconductor parameter analyzer. For temperature-dependent characterization, a Lake Shore TTPX cryogenic probe station was used to characterize the electronic performance of related OFETs from 230 to 300 K, with 10 K chosen as the temperature interval between measurements.

Both linear and saturation mobility are extracted from the related transfer curves based on the equation derived from the MOSFET Gradual Channel Approximation (53)

\[
\mu_{\text{lin}} = \frac{L}{W C_i} \frac{\partial I_{SD}}{\partial V_G} V_D < V_G - V_T
\]

\[
\mu_{\text{sat}} = \frac{2L}{W C_i} \left( \frac{\partial V_{SD}}{\partial V_G} \right)^2 V_D \geq V_G - V_T
\]

The activation energy of the field-effect mobility is extracted from the fitting of an Arrhenius plot of the temperature-dependent saturation mobility.

**SANS experiments**

SANS experiments were carried out using the Sans2D instrument at the ISIS Facility, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, UK. As a time-of-flight instrument, Sans2D uses the neutrons with an incident wavelength of 2.0 to 14.0 Å at 10 Hz. The polymers were dissolved into a deuterated solvent (dichlorobenzene-d4) with a concentration of ~5 mg/ml. The sample-to-detector distance is 4 and 8 m (8-m setup measurement only for the AN2 polymer), which results in a q range of 0.006 to 0.45 Å⁻¹ [where q is the scattering vector q = 4π sin (θ)/λ, 2θ is the scattering angle]. The data were corrected for background scattering, detector response, and the spectral distribution of the incident beam and converted to an absolute scattering cross section (in cm⁻¹) using standard procedures (54).

For a monodisperse collection of particles, the scattering cross section can be written as

\[
I(q) = \phi V A p^2 P(q) S(q)
\]
where $\phi$ is the volume fraction of the particle, $V$ is the volume of the particle, $\Delta \rho$ is the scattering length density difference between the particle and the solvent, $P(q)$ is the particle form factor, and $S(q)$ is the structure factor. $P(q)$ describes the structure of the particles and is equal to unity at $q = 0$. The structure factor describes the interferences of scattering from different particles and contains information on the interaction between the particles. For dilute solution systems, $S(q) = 1$ and can be neglected. The influence of different form factors corresponding to different models of chain shape on the scattering curves is summarized in the Supplementary Materials.

**Grazing-incidence wide-angle x-ray scattering**

GIWAXS measurements were performed at the WAXS beamline at the Australian Synchrotron (55). Details of the GIWAXS measurement and analysis can be found elsewhere (56). For the GIWAXS measurements, films were deposited on Si wafers with a native oxide layer on the surface.

**UV-Vis-NIR absorption spectroscopy**

A SHIMADZU UV-3600i Plus UV-VIS-NIR spectrophotometer is used to measure the UV-Vis-NIR absorption spectroscopy of thin-film and solution samples. Thin-film samples are spin-coated in the same way as for OFETs fabrication, while solution samples are held and measured within quartz cuvettes (1-cm-long light path) at room temperature.

**Field-induced electron spin resonance**

FI-ESR measurements were carried out on a Bruker E500 spectrometer with an Oxford Instruments ESR900 helium cryostat providing temperature control and a Keithley 2602b performing electrical measurements. All measurements were automatically controlled by a self-developed code available at https://github.com/OE-FET/CustomXepr.

FI-ESR devices were fabricated in a top-gate, bottom-contact architecture with interdigitated electrodes. Electrical contact was made through contact pads outside of the EPR spectrometer cavity, and the electrode thickness of 18 nm was chosen to be well below the skin depth of microwaves at 9.4 GHz. To increase the ESR signal from accumulated charges and to accommodate the geometry of the X-band cavity, sammicrowaves at 9.4 GHz. To increase the ESR signal from accumulated thickness of 18 nm was chosen to be well below the skin depth of CustomXepr.

**PDS measurements**

PDS measurements are performed to investigate the sub-bandgap absorption of the fused polymers film investigated in this work. PDS probes weak sub-bandgap absorption transitions by measuring the deflection of a probe beam due to refractive index change at the surface of the sample caused by heating of the surface upon light absorption. Details about the setup and models for the deflection medium could be found in (57).

**Impedance measurement**

C-f measurement is performed by an HP 4912A Impedance Analyzer (50 to 100 Hz). C-f curves are measured for the (ca. 500 nm) spin-coated PMMA dielectric layer sandwiched between two overlapping aluminum electrodes. The bottom aluminum electrode was evaporated on top of a prepatterned indium tin oxide layer (in the middle of the glass substrates). The overlapping area between the two electrodes is 0.045 cm^2.

**Theoretical calculations**

To generate the torsion potentials, the ground-state geometries of the monomer unit bearing two naphthalene (NN polymer) or one naphthalene and one anthracene (AN polymer) have first been optimized at the DFT level (LC-oHPBE/cc-pvdz) while freezing the torsion angle between the two subunits from 0° to 180° by a step of 5°. Then, those geometries have been used as input for single-point calculations with a larger basis set (LC-oHPBE/cc-pvtz) from which energies have been extracted to build the torsion potentials. The torsion angle distribution at room temperature has been calculated from Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics and used to assess thermally averaged deviations from planarity (58). To probe the electronic (energies of the HOMO and LUMO levels) and optical (energy of the first excited state) properties of the oligomers, we first tuned, for each of them, the $\omega$ parameter of the LC-oHPBE functional in gas phase on the basis of the ground-state optimized LC-oHPBE/cc-pvtz geometries. The “gap tuning” approach adopted here consists in adjusting the $\omega$ value in order to match the HOMO energy of the neutral fragment with the ionization potential and the HOMO energy of the anion with the EA (59, 60). This procedure leads to values of 0.1375 and 0.1290 bohr−1 for the NN and AN fragment, respectively. The energies of the first excited state have been simulated as a function of torsion angle at the LC-oHPBE/cc-pvdz level on the basis of LC-oHPBE/cc-pvdz ground-state optimized geometries. Note that the $\omega$ values have been kept constant for all the torsion angles.

To simulate the absorption spectra reported in Fig. 1, we have first tuned the $\omega$ parameter of the LC-oHPBE functional in gas phase for oligomers of increasing size and adopting torsion angles between successive units equal to the thermally averaged deviation from planarity values, i.e., 18.1° and 18.6° for NN and AN, respectively. Then, we have calculated the vertical transition energies and oscillator strengths of the electronic excitations at the TD-DFT level (OT-LC-oHPBE/cc-pvdz). The calculations are performed while accounting implicitly for a solvent continuum environment (mimicking toluene) by adjusting the $\beta$ parameter (figs. S2 and S3). The nature of the electronic excitations has been characterized from the overlap $\Phi_s$ between the hole and electron densities calculated in the attachment/detachment formalism (61–63). Pure CT excitations correspond to nonoverlapping hole and electron densities resulting in $\Phi_s = 0$ while Frenkel excitations lead to $\Phi_s = 1$.

The band structure of the polymers reported in fig. S15 has been estimated from calculations for model systems at the DFT level using the B3LYP functional and a 6-31G(d,p) basis set where the unit cell, containing one monomer, was replicated in one direction to yield infinite isolated polymer chains. These monomer units are extracted from the central part of an optimized dimer (LC-oHPBE/cc-pvdz) for which the torsion angles have been frozen to thermally averaged deviation from planarity values. The effective masses corresponding to hole (electron) transport have been extracted from the curvature of the highest (lowest) electronic state of the valence (conduction) band.

The reorganization energies have been estimated for oligomers containing two monomers as the sum of two relaxation energy
terms: (i) the difference between the energies of the neutral molecule in its equilibrium geometry and in the relaxed geometry characteristic of the ion and (ii) the difference between the energies of the radical ion in its equilibrium geometry and in the neutral geometry. A major fraction of the reorganization stems from high-frequency (namely, carbon–carbon stretching) vibrations that do not contribute to the temperature dependence in contrast to soft (low-frequency) vibrations. The contribution of the high-frequency modes has been estimated similarly to the reorganization energy except that the torsion angles have been frozen to neutral values during the optimization of the anion. The low-frequency contribution, i.e., inter-ring torsions, has then been estimated as the energy difference between the reorganization energy and its high-frequency contribution. All the calculations have been performed using the Gaussian 16/A03 package (64).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/7/18/eabe5280/DC1
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