Abnormal expression of miR-1 in breast carcinoma as a potent prognostic factor
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Metastatic breast cancer remains a highly lethal disease, and it is very important to evaluate the biomarkers associated with the distant metastasis. MicroRNA (miRNA) are small non-protein coding RNA that regulate various cellular functions. Recent investigations have demonstrated the importance of some miRNA in breast cancer, but the significance of the great majority of miRNA remains largely unclear in breast cancer metastasis. Therefore, in this study, we first examined expression profiles of miRNA in stage IV breast carcinoma tissues, comparing stage I–III cases by miRNA PCR array, and identified miR-1 as the miRNA which was the most associated with the distant metastasis. However, miR-1 has not yet been examined in breast carcinoma tissue, and its significance remains unknown. Therefore, we further examined miR-1 expression in breast carcinoma using in situ hybridization (ISH). miR-1 was localized in carcinoma cells in 20% of breast carcinoma cases, but it was negligible in non-neoplastic mammary glands or stroma. miR-1 ISH status was significantly associated with stage, pathological T factor, lymph node metastasis, distant metastasis, histological grade, estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor and Ki-67 in breast carcinoma. Moreover, the miR-1 status was demonstrated using multivariate analysis as an independent worse prognostic factor for both disease-free and breast cancer-specific survival. These findings suggest that abnormal miR-1 expression is associated with an aggressive phenotype of breast carcinoma and that miR-1 status is a potent prognostic factor in human breast cancer patients.

Breast cancer is the most common malignancy among women throughout the world. Despite the recent advances in early detection and treatment,1–3 6–7% of breast cancer presents distant metastasis at diagnosis (stage IV)4 and approximately 30% will develop metastasis during the evolution of their disease.5 Metastatic breast cancer remains a highly lethal disease, and the 5-year overall survival ranges from 4 to 28%.6 Therefore, it is very important to evaluate the clinical and/or biological markers associated with the distant metastasis and to clarify molecular mechanisms of distant metastasis to improve the prognosis of breast cancer patients.

MicroRNA (miRNA) are small (18–24 nucleotides) non-protein coding RNA that post-transcriptionally negatively regulate target mRNA by binding to their 3′ untranslated regions.5,6 Single miRNA binds to multiple target mRNAs, and regulates various cellular functions including proliferation, differentiation and metastasis.7 Altered expression levels of miRNA have been reported in several types of human cancer, and some of them are suggested to contribute to tumor progression or suppression.8,9 miRNA have been also investigated in breast cancer,9–11 and some miRNA (e.g. miR-10b and miR-21) have been reported to be associated with metastasis.12,13 However, the significance and function of the great majority of miRNA in breast cancer metastasis remain unclear. Therefore, in the present study, we first studied the expression profiles of miRNA in stage IV breast carcinoma tissues based on miRNA PCR array, and newly demonstrated that miR-1 is the most closely associated with the distant metastasis of breast cancer.

In humans, miR-1 is processed from two different precursors: miR-1-1 and miR-1-2.14,15 miR-1-1 and miR-1-2 are located in an intron of C20orf166 and MIB1 (mindbomb E3 ubiquitin protein ligase 1) genes, respectively.14,16 MIB1 is essential for activation of Notch signaling which regulates various cellular functions, and is also involved in oncogenesis in many human carcinomas.17 This evidence suggests an important role for miR-1 in breast cancer, but to the best of our knowledge, miR-1 has not been studied in breast carcinoma tissues. Therefore, in this study, we examined miR-1 localization in human breast carcinoma tissues by in situ hybridization (ISH) to clarify its clinicopathological significance.

Materials and Methods

Patients and tissues. In the present study, 163 specimens of invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC) of the breast were evaluated. All specimens were fixed in 10% formalin and embedded in paraffin wax. Among these, 22 specimens were stage IV IDC obtained from women who underwent surgical treatment from 1995 to 2013 in the Department of Surgery, Tohoku
University Hospital, Sendai, Japan. The metastatic sites of breast cancer at diagnosis were bone (\(n=12\)), lung (\(n=11\)) and liver (\(n=8\)) in these patients. In addition, 141 specimens of Stages I–III IDC were obtained from women who underwent surgical treatment in two different periods, 1995–1999 (\(n=42\)) and 2007–2008 (\(n=99\)), in the Department of Surgery, Tohoku University Hospital, Sendai, Japan. Among these, 111 patients received adjuvant endocrine therapy after the surgery, and tamoxifen and aromatase inhibitors were mainly used in the former and later periods, respectively. In contrast, 77 patients received adjuvant chemotherapy. The clinical outcome was evaluated by disease-free and breast cancer-specific survival of the stage I–III patients according to a previous report, and the mean follow-up time was 72 months (range, 2–168 months). Breast cancer-specific survival was defined as the time from surgery to death from the breast cancer.

MicroRNA PCR array was performed in 11 estrogen receptor (ER)-positive cases (six stage IV cases and five stage I–III cases) among these samples. Snap-frozen specimens were also available for five cases of stage IV breast carcinoma, and these specimens were used for microarray analysis.

Research protocols for the present study were approved by the Ethics Committee at the Tohoku University School of Medicine.

MicroRNA PCR array. Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded breast carcinoma tissues were cut into 10-\(\mu\)m sections and five serial sections were collected. After dissection of the area where the tumor cells were contained more than 80%, miRNA was extracted using a miRNeasy FFPE Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany).

MicroRNA PCR array was performed according to a previous report. Briefly, cDNA for miRNA PCR array was synthesized using a miScript II RT kit (QIAGEN), then cDNA was preamplified using a miScript PreAMP PCR kit (QIAGEN). Specimens were analyzed for the expression of a panel of 88-cancer related miRNA using miScript miRNA PCR Arrays (QIAGEN). PCR was performed in the ABI7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster city, CA, USA) at the Biomedical Research Core of Tohoku University (Sendai, Japan). Data analyses were performed using the miScript miRNA PCR Array Web-based software (http://pcrdata-analysis.sabiosciences.com/mirna/arrayanalysis.php).

Microarray analysis. Gene expression profiles of breast carcinoma cells were examined using microarray analysis. Briefly, total RNA was extracted from five breast carcinoma tissues using a miNeasy Mini kit (QIAGEN). A SurePrint G3 Human GE 8 \(\times\) 60K v2 Microarray Kit (G4851B, ID 039494 [Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany]) was used, and sample preparation and processing were performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The putative miR-1 target genes were predicted by four different prediction tools (i.e. TargetScan [http://www.targetscan.org/], PicTar [http://pictar.mdc-berlin.de/], miDB [http://mirdb.org/miRDB/] and microRNA.org [http://www.mircurona.org/mircurona/home.do]) in this study.

\textbf{miRNA PCR array data containing 88-cancer related miRNA in breast carcinoma.} (a) Scatter plot analysis in ER-positive stage IV breast carcinoma tissues (\(n=6\)) comparing ER-positive stages I–III cases (\(n=5\)) with the expression ratio of more than 2.0 and \(<0.5\) were located outside diagonal lines, and indicated by arrows with their fold change in parenthesis. (b) Venn diagrams representing number of miRNA identified with expression ratio of more than in stage IV cases (\(n=6\)) comparing stages I–III cases with lymph node metastasis (\(n=3\)) and that in stages I–III with lymph node metastasis (\(n=3\)) comparing stages I–III without lymph node metastasis (\(n=2\)). All the stage IV cases represented lymph node metastasis in this study. The lower panels summarized their miRNA lists with the fold change in parenthesis. Only miR-1 listed in both panels and described in bold.

**Fig. 1.** miRNA PCR array data containing 88-cancer related miRNA in breast carcinoma. (a) Scatter plot analysis in ER-positive stage IV breast carcinoma tissues (\(n=6\)) comparing ER-positive stages I–III cases (\(n=5\)) with the expression ratio of more than 2.0 and \(<0.5\) were located outside diagonal lines, and indicated by arrows with their fold change in parenthesis. (b) Venn diagrams representing number of miRNA identified with expression ratio of more than in stage IV cases (\(n=6\)) comparing stages I–III cases with lymph node metastasis (\(n=3\)) and that in stages I–III with lymph node metastasis (\(n=3\)) comparing stages I–III without lymph node metastasis (\(n=2\)). All the stage IV cases represented lymph node metastasis in this study. The lower panels summarized their miRNA lists with the fold change in parenthesis. Only miR-1 listed in both panels and described in bold.
study according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded breast carcinoma tissues were cut into 4-μm sections and deparaffinized. After treatment with proteinase K and post-fixation with 4% paraformaldehyde, hybridization mixture containing 5 nM double-digoxigenin-labeled miRCURY LNA for miR-1 was applied and hybridized for 1 h at 50°C. The probe for miR-1 used in this study is 5′-ATACATACCTTCTTACATTCCA-3′. For signal detection, anti-digoxigenin-AP Fab fragments (1:1000; Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany) were used as primary antibody, and the slides were incubated with NBT/BCIP solution (Roche). Counterstaining was performed by Nuclear Fast Red (Chroma, Stuttgart, Germany).

As a negative control, scrambled negative control (5′-TTCA-CAATGCGTTATCGGATGT-3′; Exiqon) was applied instead of the miR-1 specific probe. We used skeletal muscle tissue as a positive control, 22 miR-1 signal was detected in the cytoplasm of breast carcinoma cells, and the cases that had more than 10% of the positive carcinoma cells were considered positive for miR-1 ISH status in this study.

**Immunohistochemistry.** Immunohistochemistry for ER (CONFIRM anti-ER [SP1]) and progesterone receptor (PR; CONFIRM anti-PR [IE2]; Roche Diagnostics Japan, Tokyo, Japan) was performed with Ventana Benchmark XT (Roche Diagnostics Japan), and that for HER2 was performed by HercepTest
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**Table 1. List of GO terms significantly enriched in group (a) A gene and (b) B gene**

| GO accession | GO term                                      | P-value   |
|--------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------|
| (a)          |                                              |           |
| GO:0032963   | Collagen metabolic process                   | 2.3 × 10⁻⁵ |
| GO:0044259   | Multicellular organisam macromolecule        | 2.5 × 10⁻⁵ |
| GO:0030574   | Collagen catabolic process                   | 2.5 × 10⁻⁵ |
| GO:0044236   | Multicellular organisam catabolic process    | 3.5 × 10⁻⁵ |
| GO:0005615   | Extracellular space                          | 2.5 × 10⁻⁵ |
| GO:0044243   | Multicellular organisam extracellular        | 3.5 × 10⁻⁴ |
| GO:0031012   | Extracellular matrix                         | 8.2 × 10⁻⁴ |
| GO:003198    | Extracellular matrix organization            | 8.2 × 10⁻⁴ |
| GO:0043062   | Extracellular structure organization         | 8.2 × 10⁻⁴ |
| GO:0005578   | Proteinaceous extracellular matrix           | 8.3 × 10⁻⁴ |
| GO:0026167   | Extracellular matrix disassembly             | 8.6 × 10⁻⁴ |
| GO:0005576   | Extracellular region                         | 0.0021    |
| GO:006955    | Immune response                              | 0.0021    |
| GO:0022370   | Immune system process                        | 0.0042    |
| GO:0030199   | Collagen fibril organization                 | 0.029     |
| GO:0065952   | Defense response                             | 0.035     |
| (b)          |                                              |           |
| GO:000576    | Extracellular region                         | 4.9 × 10⁻⁸ |
| GO:0060429   | Epithelium development                       | 1.7 × 10⁻⁵ |
| GO:0044421   | Extracellular region part                    | 5.1 × 10⁻⁵ |
| GO:0008988   | Tissue development                           | 6.7 × 10⁻⁵ |
| GO:0048856   | Anatomical structure development             | 3.2 × 10⁻⁴ |
| GO:008590    | Plasma membrane region                       | 3.2 × 10⁻⁴ |
| GO:0048731   | System development                           | 5.3 × 10⁻⁴ |
| GO:0005615   | Extracellular space                          | 0.0018    |
| GO:0009653   | Anatomical structure morphogenesis           | 0.0019    |
| GO:0030857   | Cell development                             | 0.005     |
| GO:0048468   | Epithelial cell differentiation              | 0.0052    |
| GO:0016232   | Basolateral plasma membrane                  | 0.0057    |
| GO:0020879   | Mammary gland development                    | 0.0057    |
| GO:0007399   | Nervous system development                   | 0.0057    |
| GO:0071466   | Cellular response to xenobiotic stimulus     | 0.0057    |
| GO:0009410   | Response to xenobiotic stimulus              | 0.0062    |
| GO:0047676   | Single-organism developmental process        | 0.0062    |
| GO:0048762   | Mesenchymal cell differentiation             | 0.0062    |
| GO:0014033   | Neural crest cell differentiation            | 0.0072    |
| GO:0032502   | Developmental process                        | 0.0072    |
| GO:0048732   | Gland development                            | 0.0072    |
| GO:0016324   | Apical plasma membrane                       | 0.0081    |
| GO:0014031   | Mesenchymal cell development                 | 0.0084    |
| GO:0007275   | Multicellular organisam development          | 0.010     |
| GO:0022612   | Gland morphogenesis                          | 0.012     |
| GO:0009002   | Cell morphogenesis                           | 0.012     |
| GO:006805    | Xenobiotic metabolic process                 | 0.012     |
| GO:0014032   | Neural crest cell development                | 0.012     |
| GO:0045177   | Apical part of cell                          | 0.018     |
| GO:0021675   | Nerve development                            | 0.018     |
| GO:0048513   | Organ development                            | 0.025     |
| GO:0060444   | Branching involved in mammary gland          | 0.026     |
|              | duct morphogenesis                           |           |
| GO:0001763   | Morphogenesis of a branching structure       | 0.045     |
| GO:009887    | Organ morphogenesis                          | 0.045     |
| GO:0043230   | Extracellular organelle                      | 0.045     |
| GO:0060485   | Mesenchyme development                       | 0.045     |
Ki-67 (MIB1) was purchased from DAKO (Carpinteria, CA, USA), and a Histofine kit (Nichirei Bioscience, Tokyo, Japan) was used for the immunohistochemistry. Immunoreactivity for ER, PR and Ki-67 was detected in the nuclei and was evaluated in more than 1000 carcinoma cells for each case. Subsequently, the percentage of immunoreactivity (labeling index [LI]) was determined, and cases with ER LI or PR LI of more than 1% were considered ER-positive or PR-positive according to a previous report. HER2 status was evaluated according to the grading system proposed in the HercepTest (DAKO), and strongly circumscribed membrane immunoreactivity of HER2 present in more than 10% carcinoma cells (score 3+) was considered positive. In addition, HER2 gene amplification was investigated by FISH in intermediate scoring (score 2+) cases, and the score 2+ cases that were positive were considered positive for HER2 status.

Statistical analysis. To evaluate miR-1 ISH status and clinicopathological factors, Student’s t-test or a cross-table using the χ2-test were used. Disease-free and breast cancer-specific survival curves were generated using the Kaplan–Meier method, and statistical significance was calculated using the log-rank test. Univariate and multivariate analyses were evaluated by a proportional hazard model (Cox). In the present study, P < 0.05 and 0.05 ≤ P < 0.10 were considered significant and borderline significant, respectively. The statistical analyses were performed using JMP Pro version 9.02 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

MicroRNA expression profile in stage IV breast carcinoma. We first compared expression profiles of 88-cancer related miRNA between ER-positive stage IV and stages I–III breast carcinoma tissues (n = 6 and n = 5, respectively) by miRNA PCR array to evaluate the characteristics of miRNA expression in stage IV breast carcinoma. When the expression ratio of a particular miRNA in the stage IV group compared to that in the stages I–III group was >2.0 or <0.5, we tentatively determined that the miRNA was predominantly expressed in either the stage IV or stages I–III group in this study. As shown in Figure 1a, two miRNA (2.2%), that is, miR-1 (8.5-fold) and miR-200a (2.2-fold), were predominantly expressed in the stage IV group, while one miRNA (1.1%; miR-155 [0.47-fold]) was predominantly expressed in the stages I–III group, among 88 miRNA examined. A great majority of miRNA (85 miRNA [96.6%]) had a similar expression level between the stage IV and stages I–III groups (ratio, 2.0–0.5).

All six stage IV cases examined showed lymph node metastasis, while three out of five stages I–III cases were positive for lymph node metastasis. When we classified the stages I–III group into two groups according to the lymph node status and further analyzed the miRNA expression profiles, 5 miRNA (miR-1, miR-200a, miR-200b, miR-429 and miR-206) were predominantly expressed in the stage IV group compared to the stages I–III with lymph node metastasis group, and 16 miRNA were predominantly expressed in the stages I–III with lymph node metastasis group comparing those without lymph node metastasis (Fig. 1b). Interestingly, miR-1 showed the
similar expression level in both groups (Group C; ratio 0.5) while a great majority of genes (42,470 genes [96.8%]) had a number of cases.

Data are presented as mean ± SEM. All other values represent the P-value.

Table 2. miR-1 status of breast carcinoma tissue according to metastatic sites in stage IV cases (n = 22)

| Metastatic site | miR-1 status of breast carcinoma tissue | P-value |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------|---------|
|                 | + (n = 14)                             | − (n = 8) |
| Lung            | +                                      | 9       | 2      | 0.076  |
|                 | −                                      | 5       | 6      |        |
| Bone            | +                                      | 6       | 6      | 0.14   |
|                 | −                                      | 8       | 2      |        |
| Liver           | +                                      | 2       | 1      | 0.91   |
|                 | −                                      | 12      | 7      |        |

Data represent the number of cases. 0.05 ≤ P < 0.10 was considered borderline significant and is listed in italic type.

highest ratio in all the analyses examined, suggesting possible involvement of miR-1 in the distant and lymph node metastasis of breast carcinoma.

Gene expression profile of miR-1-positive stage IV breast carcinoma. To explore the functional significance of miR-1 in the breast carcinoma, we next compared gene expression profiles of stage IV breast carcinoma according the miR-1 status by microarray analysis. As shown in Figure 2a, a scatter plot revealed that 456 genes (1.1%) were predominantly expressed in the miR-1-positive group (Group A; miR-1-positive group/miR-1-negative group ratio > 2.0) and 889 genes (2.1%) were mainly expressed in the miR-1-negative group (Group B; ratio < 0.5), while a great majority of genes (42,470 genes [96.8%]) had a similar expression level in both groups (Group C; ratio 0.5–2.0).

Because miRNA is primarily involved in the negative regulation of the target gene expression, we then focused on the putative miR-1 target genes using 4 different prediction tools (n = 1716 in total). As shown in Figure 2b, the percentage of the gene number tended to be decreased in group A (0.3–0.9%) and increased in group B (1.6–2.9%) compared to that in Figure 2a (1.1 and 2.1%, respectively). The gene list of group B in the putative miR-1 target genes is summarized in Table S1.

We next performed gene ontology (GO) analysis in the group A (n = 456) and Group B (n = 889) genes. As shown in Table 1, we detected 16 GO terms that were significantly enriched in the Group A genes (Table 1a), and 40 GO terms significantly enriched in the Group B genes (Table 1b). Interestingly, 10 out of 16 (63%) GO terms identified in Group A included the words “extracellular” or “collagen,” and 25 out of 40 (63%) GO terms in group B were associated with “morphogenesis,” “development” or “differentiation” in this study.

miR-1 localization in breast carcinoma. When we next performed ISH for miR-1 in breast carcinoma, it was localized in the cytoplasm of carcinoma cells (Fig. 3a). The number of miR-1 positive breast carcinoma was 32 out of the 163 (20%) cases examined (Fig. 3b). miR-1 signal was weakly observed in some non-neoplastic mammary glands, but was negative in stroma (Fig. 3c). The miR-1 signal was strongly detected in the skeletal muscle tissue as a positive control (Fig. 3d, left panel), but not when we used a scrambled negative control probe instead of the miR-1 specific probe (Fig. 3d, right panel).

Association between miR-1 ISH status and various clinicopathological parameters in breast carcinoma is summarized in Table 2. miR-1 status was significantly associated with stage (P = 0.0001), pathological T factor (pT) (P < 0.0001), lymph node metastasis (P = 0.0001), distant metastasis (P < 0.0001), histological grade (P < 0.0001) and Ki-67 LI (P < 0.0001), and inversely correlated with ER status (P = 0.0098) and PR status (P = 0.0049). In contrast, no significant association was detected between miR-1 and patients’ age, menopausal status and HER2 status. The positive association between miR-1 status and stage, pT or distant metastasis was significant regardless of the ER status of these cases (Table S2). miR-1 status was also significantly associated with stage, pT, lymph node metastasis, histological grade, ER status, PR status and Ki-67 LI in the stages I–III cases (Table S3).

Association between miR-1 status and metastatic sites in stage IV cases is shown in Table 3. miR-1-positive breast carcinoma was marginally (P = 0.076) associated with the lung metastasis in this study.

Association between miR-1 status and clinical outcome of breast cancer patients. As demonstrated in Figure 4a, miR-1 status was significantly associated with an increased incidence
of recurrence in stages I–III breast cancer patients ($n = 141$; $P < 0.0001$ by log-rank test). A significant association was also detected between miR-1 status and adverse clinical outcome of these patients ($P < 0.0001$ by log-rank test [Fig. 4b]).

Similar tendency was detected regardless of the sample-collection periods (1995–1999 [$n = 42$] and 2007–2008 [$n = 99$]; Fig. S1a–d). Association between miR-1 status and worse clinical outcome of the patients was also detected in the cases with lymph node metastasis ($n = 56$; $P < 0.0001$ for disease-free survival [Fig. 4c] and $P = 0.0007$ for breast cancer-specific survival), pT2–4 cases ($n = 57$; $P < 0.0001$ [Fig. 4d] and $P = 0.0003$, respectively), patients who received adjuvant endocrine therapy ($n = 111$; $P = 0.0029$ [Fig. 4e] and $P < 0.0001$, respectively) and patients who received adjuvant chemotherapy ($n = 77$; $P < 0.0001$ [Fig. 4f] and $P = 0.0009$, respectively).

Univariate analysis of disease-free survival by Cox (Table 4), miR-1 ISH status, Ki-67 LI, pT, adjuvant endocrine therapy, PR status and lymph node metastasis were revealed significant prognostic parameters for disease-free survival in the 141 stages I–III breast cancer patients, and ER status and histological grade were also detected as the borderline significance. Subsequent multivariate analysis demonstrated that pT ($P = 0.0098$) and miR-1 status ($P = 0.017$) were independent prognostic factors. As shown in Table 5, univariate analyses for breast cancer-specific survival revealed miR-1 status, Ki67-LI, PR status, histological grade, pT, ER status, adjuvant endocrine therapy and lymph node metastasis as significant prognostic variables in these patients, and following multivariate analysis it turned out that only miR-1 ($P = 0.032$) was an independent parameter of these patients in this study.

**Discussion**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report to demonstrate expression profiles of miRNA in stage IV breast carcinoma tissues. The PCR array data revealed five miRNA that are potentially associated with distant metastasis in ER-positive breast cancer patients (Fig. 1b). Among these, Dykxhoorn et al. (25) and Le et al. (26) report that miR-200a, miR-200b and miR-429, belonging to the miR-200 family, regulate mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition (MET) and promote breast cancer cell metastasis. In the present study, miR-1 showed the highest expression ratio in stage IV cases compared to stages I–III cases (8.5-fold). Moreover, it was also the highest expression ratio both in stage IV cases in comparison with stages I–III cases with lymph node metastasis (4.2-fold) and in stages I–III cases with lymph node metastasis compared to those without lymph node metastasis (6.0-fold). These findings suggest that miR-1 is the most pronouncedly linked to distant and lymph node metastasis in breast carcinoma. However, to the best of our knowledge, miR-1 expression has not been examined in breast carcinoma tissues, and its clinicopathological significance has remained unknown.

In the present ISH analysis, miR-1 expression was detected in 20% of breast carcinoma cases. Previous studies have...
Table 4. Univariate and multivariate analyses of disease-free survival in stages I–III breast cancer patients (n = 141)

| Variable                                      | Univariate                  | Mutivariate                 |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                                               | P-value | Relative risk (95% CI) | P-value | Relative risk (95% CI) |
| miR-1 status (positive/negative)              | <0.0001* | 6.90 (3.25–14.64) | 0.017   | 3.50 (1.26–9.78)     |
| Ki-67 LI** (1–98%)                            | <0.0001* | 1.05 (1.01–1.09) | 0.12    | 1.03 (0.99–1.06)     |
| pT (pT1/pT2-4)                                | 0.0001* | 0.19 (0.08–0.44) | 0.0096  | 0.22 (0.07–0.70)     |
| Adjuvant endocrine therapy (received/not received) | 0.0009* | 0.27 (0.12–0.59) | 0.43    | 0.63 (0.20–1.98)     |
| PR status (positive/negative)                 | 0.0065* | 0.35 (0.17–0.75) | 0.64    | 0.34 (0.11–1.07)     |
| Lymph node metastasis (positive/negative)     | 0.0066* | 2.92 (1.35–6.33) | 0.73    | 0.83 (0.28–2.46)     |
| ER status (positive/negative)                 | 0.079*  | 0.50 (0.23–1.08) | 0.37    | 1.84 (0.49–6.86)     |
| Histological grade (1,2/3)                    | 0.097*  | 0.52 (0.24–1.11) | 0.26    | 2.04 (0.59–7.06)     |
| Ajuvant chemotherapy (received/not received)  | 0.15    | 1.84 (0.80–4.02) | 0.92    | 1.84 (0.49–6.86)     |
| HER2 status (positive/negative)               | 0.33    | 1.81 (0.55–6.00) | 0.82    | 1.84 (0.49–6.86)     |

Statistical analysis was evaluated by a proportional hazard model (Cox). P < 0.05 and 0.05 < P < 0.10 were considered significant and borderline significant, and are listed in bold and italic respectively. *Significant (P < 0.05) and borderline-significant (0.05 < P < 0.10) values were examined in the multivariate analyses in this study. **Data were evaluated as continuous variables, and all other data were evaluated as dichotomized variables. 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

Table 5. Univariate and multivariate analyses of breast cancer-specific survival in stages I–III breast cancer patients (n = 141)

| Variable                                      | Univariate                  | Mutivariate                 |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                                               | P-value | Relative risk (95% CI) | P-value | Relative risk (95% CI) |
| miR-1 status (positive/negative)              | 0.0001* | 11.74 (3.31–41.69) | 0.032   | 6.72 (1.18–38.37)     |
| Ki-67 LI** (1–98%)                            | 0.0002* | 1.07 (1.04–1.11) | 0.43    | 1.02 (0.97–1.01)     |
| PR status (positive/negative)                 | 0.0069* | 0.58 (0.01–0.46) | 0.055   | 0.08 (0.01–0.16)     |
| Histological grade (1,2/3)                    | 0.0083* | 0.16 (0.04–0.63) | 0.47    | 2.24 (0.25–20.01)    |
| pT (pT1/pT2-4)                                | 0.011*  | 0.07 (0.01–0.54) | 0.13    | 0.13 (0.01–1.86)     |
| ER status (positive/negative)                 | 0.013*  | 0.2 (0.06–0.71)  | 0.98    | 1.02 (0.13–7.97)     |
| Adjuvant endocrine therapy (received/not received) | 0.014*  | 0.21 (0.06–0.73) | 0.88    | 1.16 (0.18–7.49)     |
| Lymph node metastasis (positive/negative)     | 0.022*  | 6.12 (1.30–28.84) | 0.79    | 0.75 (0.09–6.21)     |
| Ajuvant chemotherapy (received/not received)  | 0.15    | 3.11 (0.66–14.63) | 0.92    | 1.84 (0.49–6.86)     |
| HER2 status (positive/negative)               | 0.52    | 0.86 (0.18–4.04)  | 0.82    | 1.84 (0.49–6.86)     |

Statistical analysis was evaluated by a proportional hazard model (Cox). P < 0.05 and 0.05 < P < 0.10 were considered significant and borderline significant, and are listed in bold and italic respectively. *Significant (P < 0.05) and borderline-significant (0.05 < P < 0.10) values were examined in the multivariate analyses in this study. **Data were evaluated as continuous variables, and all other data were evaluated as dichotomized variables. 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

Demonstrated that miR-1 expression is downregulated in thyroid carcinomas, and it is considered to be associated with tumor suppression in some cancers. In contrast, miR-1 is specifically overexpressed in the multiple myeloma in comparison with normal plasma cells, and Liu et al. show that serum miR-1 was markedly upregulated in gastric cancer patients compared to controls. Moreover, Chan et al. demonstrate that serum miR-1 level was significantly higher in breast cancer patients than that in healthy controls. Considering that miR-1 expression was negligible in morphologically normal mammary glands in the present ISH analysis, it is suggested that miR-1 is abnormally overexpressed and plays important roles in a subset of breast carcinomas. The mechanism of...
miR-1 overexpression is unclear in breast carcinoma. However, considering that miR-1 was specifically overexpressed in the multiple myeloma with (14-16), it may be partly caused by chromosomal aberration. Further investigations are required.

In this study, miR-1 expression was significantly associated with distant metastasis in the breast carcinomas regardless of the ER status, which is in good agreement with our present miRNA PCR array data. In addition, our results showed that miR-1 expression tended to be associated with lung metastasis in the stage IV cases. Lung metastasis is frequently detected in the triple negative breast carcinoma compared to other subtypes, and some cases selectively involved in the lung metastasis have been reported by Knowles et al. (33) Moreover, in this study, miR-1 expression was significantly associated with stage, pT, lymph node metastasis, histological grade and Ki-67 LI in the breast carcinomas. Biological function of miR-1 remains unclear in breast carcinoma. However, serum miR-1 level was correlated to stage and marginally associated with liver metastasis in gastric carcinoma patients, and miR-1 was associated with cell proliferation of acute myeloid leukemia. Therefore, it is suggested that miR-1 is overexpressed in an aggressive phenotype of breast carcinoma and is involved in a variety of functions, such as the growth and metastatic processes.

In the present study, miR-1 status was significantly associated with recurrence and worse prognosis in breast cancer patients, and a similar tendency was also detected in the patients who received endocrine therapy and/or chemotherapy. Moreover, results of multivariate analyses demonstrated that miR-1 ISH status turned out to be an independent prognostic factor for both disease-free and breast cancer-specific survival. Very recently, Huang et al. (34) reported that the higher level of serum miR-1 was significantly correlated with a worse response rate to the first-line chemotherapy in the gastric carcinoma, which is consistent with the results of our present study. No information is available about the effects of endocrine therapy on miR-1. However, because Masuda et al. (21) did not find significant change in miR-1 expression with estrogen treatment in MCF-7 breast carcinoma cells using the same miRNA PCR array as ours, miR-1 functions might not be influenced by estrogen actions or endocrine therapy in breast carcinoma.

The results of our microarray analysis revealed that GO terms associated with “morphogenesis,” “development” and “differentiation” were frequently decreased in the miR-1-positive breast carcinoma. miR-1 is a muscle-specific miRNA, and plays a role in myogenesis and muscle regeneration (36,37) miR-1 regulates embryonic stem cells differentiation to cardiac lineage, and Huang et al. (38) demonstrated that miR-1 expression in mesenchymal stem cells promoted various cardiomyocyte markers, including a lineage selector gene GATA4, which has been reported as a worse prognostic factor in breast cancer. (39) Therefore, abnormal expression of miR-1 might cause alternative lineages and/or dedifferentiation in the breast carcinoma. Our present results also showed that GO terms associated with “extracellular” and “collagen” were frequently enriched in the miR-1-positive breast carcinoma, and Liu et al. (40) reported that miR-1 has roles in regulating epithelial–mesenchymal transition and mesenchymal differentiation. Because miR-1 expression is associated with a variety of biological functions as described in this section through regulating the expression of a multitude of target genes, residual carcinoma cells following surgical treatment in miR-1-positive breast carcinomas could still have the potential to rapidly recur despite adjuvant therapy. However, the number of cases examined was limited (n = 163) and the mean follow-up period was 72 months in this study; replication studies with a larger sample set with a longer follow up period are needed to confirm the clinical significance of miR-1 in breast carcinoma. In addition, further examinations are required to clarify the molecular functions of miR-1 in human breast carcinoma.

In summary, we examined the expression profile of miRNA in ER-positive stage IV breast carcinoma tissues by miRNA PCR array, and demonstrated that miR-1 expression was most closely associated with the distant metastasis of breast carcinoma. A subsequent ISH analysis revealed that miR-1 was localized in 20% of breast cancer cases, and miR-1 status was significantly associated with stage, pT, lymph node metastasis, distant metastasis, histological grade, ER status, PR status and Ki-67 LI. Moreover, multivariate analysis demonstrated that the miR-1 status was an independent worse prognostic factor for both disease-free and breast cancer-specific survival. These results suggest that miR-1 plays important roles in the progression of breast carcinoma, and miR-1 status is a potent prognostic factor in breast cancer patients.
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