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Abstract: The increasing worldwide demand on urban road transportation systems requires more restrictive measures and policies to reduce congestion, time delay and pollution. Autonomous vehicle mobility services, both shared and private, are possibly a good step towards a better road transportation future. This article aims to study the expected impact of private autonomous vehicles on road traffic parameters from a macroscopic level. The proposed methodology focuses on finding the different effects of different combinations of autonomous vehicle penetration and Passenger Car Units (PCU) on the chosen road traffic model. Four parameters are studied: traveled daily kilometers, daily hours, total daily delay and average network speed. The analysis improves the four parameters differently by implementing autonomous vehicles. The parameter total delay has the most significant reduction. Finally, several mathematical models are developed for the percentage of improvement for each chosen parameter.
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1. Introduction

Automated Vehicles (AV) will be a huge part of road transportation systems in the future. AVs will use and share infrastructure and interact with human users (human-driven vehicles and pedestrians) and other AVs at the same time. The introduction of the first electronic driver assist systems such as Electronic Stability Control (ESC) and Anti-Block System (ABS) focused on improving road transportation safety. Recently, the focus is to automate the whole driving experience starting from small automation (lane control and cruise control) to fully automated driven vehicles.

The shift from conventional vehicles to automated vehicles follows the six automation levels presented in the traffic stream (0, No Automation; 1, Hands on; 2, Hands off; 3, Eyes off; 4, Mind off; 5, Steering wheel optional) [1,2]. It also depends on the penetration rate of AVs of the total traffic. AVs will partially reduce or even eliminate human factors from traffic flow, reducing gap for lane changing, headway, reaction time using 360-degree sensors and cameras expected to increase road capacity, leading to lower congestion [3]. Communications and the exchange of information between AVs and the infrastructure, the Cooperative Intelligent Transportation System (C-ITS), is valuable to identify the traffic states on urban roads [4,5]. Moreover, it provides more information for intersection control, applying the best actions to improve traffic operations [6].

In this paper, macroscopic traffic flow simulation models are developed to assess the effect of autonomous vehicles on several traffic parameters for a better understanding of the expected AV implementation impact on a larger scale. In the second part, mathematical models are developed to predict the impact of AVs on the different macroscopic traffic-related parameters. Referring to the massive amount of data provided in the used model (different road types, vehicle categories, road speeds, origin–destinations matrices, etc.), a Passenger Car Unit/AV penetration methodology is proposed and simulated using the Visum software [7].
2. Literature Review

Many studies on the future impact of AVs have been conducted, focusing on microscopic and macroscopic network analysis and simulations. Artmann et al. [8] made an impact assessment of the AV capacity of freeways using microscopic traffic flow simulation for several penetration rates. Their results show that AVs allow shorter headways between the vehicles, increasing the freeway network’s capacity by 30% and reducing traffic delays significantly for higher penetrations rates of AVs. In contrast, low penetrations did not yield noticeable capacity benefits. Hartmann et al. found that traffic consisting of 20% conventional vehicles, 60% Cooperative Adaptive Cruise Control (CACC) and 20% Adaptive Cruise Control (ACC) increased lane capacity from 2100 vehicles/hour for 100% conventional vehicles to 2900 vehicles/h [9]. Chen et al. studied highway traffic capacity for mixed traffic of conventional vehicles and AVs considering different AV penetration rates, microscopic characteristics of conventional vehicles and AVs and other lane policies (conventional vehicles exclusive and/or AV lanes or mixed lanes) [10]. The California PATH study showed that AVs in platoons could maintain a time gap as small as 0.6 s compared to 1.5 s for conventional vehicles, indicating a considerable increase in road capacity and congestion reduction [11,12].

Jerath and Brennan [13] found that the introduction of ACC vehicles into the traffic flow may create higher traffic flows and lower congestion rates. Several studies investigated microscopic driving behavior changes in AVs, using simulations such as acceleration and deceleration, flow stability and reaction times [14–17].

Cooperative Intelligent Transportation System (C-ITS) communication among vehicles (V2V), infrastructure (V2I and I2V) and central traffic system (C2I) is also a significant factor to consider in improving the operation of highly automated vehicles [18,19]. V2X communications proved that it could provide local traffic flow stability, significantly smoothen the shock waves of traffic flow and reduce emissions [20,21]. Elhenawy et al. studied AVs equipped with Cooperative Adaptive Cruise Control (CACC) systems at uncontrolled intersections. They showed a drop in vehicle travel time in the range of 49% and 89% for delay, relative to an all-way stop sign control [22]. Other studies on CACC showed that the implementation of full penetration CACC on the regional road network reduced total delay by 12% [23,24]. Other C-ITS systems, such as Green Light Optimal Speed Advisory (GLOSA) systems that help drivers pass traffic light controlled intersection in the remaining green time, showed improved traffic flow and reduced CO$_2$ emissions [25,26]. Baz et al.’s study on intersection control and delay optimization for autonomous vehicles flow found the AV-to-AV communication model reduced the delay by 65% compared to a roundabout and 84% compared to a four-legged signalized intersection, and AV-to-conventional vehicles model improved them by 30% and 89%, respectively [27].

Private vehicle ownership has shown a rapid increase even with further limiting regulation, especially in large cities [28,29]. Despite that, the introduction of AVs also has the potential of reducing daily trips. A stated preference survey found that, when choosing between different private transport modes, 44% chose conventional private cars, 32% chose private AVs and 24% chose shared AVs [30,31]. Becker and Axhausen’s study showed that households with one or more cars would reduce the number of owned vehicles when AV services are available regardless of the cost for the novel service [32]. Another resolution to mitigate traffic congestion and reduce car ownership is the possibility of using AVs as a ridesharing service. Xu et al. introduced a mathematical framework for ride-sharing impacts on traffic congestion and how congestion affects ridesharing activities [33]. Ma et al. found that ridesharing under the origin–destination-based pricing strategy reduced the travel cost for travelers and the deliberate alternative routes, which can be applied to future AVs [34]. More studies have established the same point, i.e., that AVs reduce daily trips by reducing private car ownership and increasing shared AV trips, for both car-sharing and ridesharing [35–38].
3. Methodology and Model Building

The methodology adopted to estimate AVs’ impact on traffic parameters focuses on changing two AV characteristics. This paper discusses private transport. Two traffic parameters are investigated: the daily vehicle traveled kilometers and the everyday vehicle traveled hours. The two parameters are evaluated for different private transport modes. Transportation modes involve three passenger cars classes (cars, automated vehicles and taxis) and four heavy vehicle classes (light, medium, buses and coaches and heavy).

Two AV characteristic representations are input into the model to evaluate the impacts of traffic parameters. Firstly, AV penetration is the percentage of passenger cars class AV daily trips compared to the model’s total daily trips. Penetration values vary from a minimum of zero trips made by AVs. All cars are traditional passenger vehicles (0% penetration) to a maximum of all daily trips are performed by AVs (100% penetration), where the interval of the investigated domain is divided by 10%.

The second investigated AV characteristic is the value reduction of road saturation, represented by Passenger Car Unit (PCU) capacity modification factor in the Visum EFM model. PCU of different vehicles is required to convert a mixed traffic stream into a homogeneous equivalent, thereby expressing the mixed traffic flow in terms of an equivalent number of passenger cars [39]. PCU reflects how much impact a specific transport mode has on traffic variables compared to one regular passenger car. Modifying PCU values for the AV class is structured on the expected positive effect of connected AVs on roads capacity and saturation characteristics [40]. The saturation reduction is mainly achieved by lower following distance and headway between vehicles and other factors such as:

- Level of automation
- V2V and V2I communications
- A lower level of following distance and headway
- Shorter required gap for lane changing
- Shorter braking reaction time
- Sensors and 360-degree cameras
- Shorter walking time
- Shorter parking time

PCU values for the AV class are considered to be 0.50–0.90 with 0.05 increments and PCU 0.98. The selected values are chosen to cover the expected range of AV effect, which improves road capacity and has a large dataset to develop statistical models for traffic parameters. Equilibrium assignment is selected to study how different penetration and PCU combinations affect the network, which distributes the demand according to Wardrop’s first principle [41]. The equilibrium assignment calculates the primary vehicle volumes on each link by dividing the demand consistently over a set number of iterations. The system then searches for alternative routes with lower impedance, where vehicles will be shifted to newfound links to improve network operability. The procedure terminates if a balanced state has been reached, which means no more vehicles are to be shifted between routes [42].

The calculation process for the selected traffic parameters of the model is evaluated by running multiple static equilibrium assignment problems for different scenarios. Each assignment aims to choose the best route for each trip with the lowest travel cost. The scenarios vary by keeping the AV penetration values external and changing PCU values of the AV class with each simulation run. After that, the penetration is changed to the next value and run for each PCU value. These steps are repeated until all penetration and PCU values are covered with 100 scenarios, ensuring analysis for a more extensive range.

4. Case Study

Budapest is the capital of Hungary, having most of the road terminal stations, where all major highways and railways terminate. The road network is primarily made up of rings roads and avenues spreading out from the city center. Budapest’s road network is 4500 km long, and the roads are divided into four road categories: highway, primary main...
road, secondary main road and local roads. Budapest’s public transport consists of four
metro lines, 260 bus routes and 30 tram lines serving around five million trips per day [43].

The Unified Traffic Model of Budapest (EFM) is chosen for the study, which was
created and is maintained by the Transport Corporation of Budapest and is developed
using PTV Visum software. The model uses real-life collected traffic data of Budapest.
The model’s transportation data includes private and public datasets, such as daily traffic
volume, origin–destination matrices, public transport modes and routes. The model also
consists of all road characteristics and allowable mode to use different roads. The model is
illustrated in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Budapest city EFM model.](image)

5. Results and Discussion

The simulation outputs and analysis focus on four traffic parameters: the daily vehicle
traveled kilometers, the daily vehicle traveled hours, total delay for the whole network
and mean velocity for passenger cars class for the entire network. The four parameters are
classified into two classes for the analysis: Passenger Vehicle Class (PC) and Heavy Vehicle
Class (HV).

The results are compared as a reduction percentage from the original simulation or
base scenario. The base scenario is the model without any assumed AVs implemented in
the model (AV penetration equals zero). Table 1 shows the traveled kilometers and traveled
hours (in thousands) for each vehicle type at the base scenario and shows total daily delay
and mean network speed. Table 1 also shows the PCU for each travel vehicle type used for
the simulation.

The proposed methodology of the employment of connected AVs in the network at
different PCU and penetration for the same number of daily trips reduces daily traveled
hours and daily traveled kilometers for the passenger car class. The outputs vary from
small reductions at lower PCU and penetration values (111 saved traveled hours and 926.5
saved traveled kilometers at 0.98 PCU and 10% AV penetration) to much larger reductions
at higher PCU and penetration values (537,000 saved traveled hours and 530,186 saved
traveled kilometers at 0.50 PCU and 100% AV penetration). The second class investigated
is a heavy vehicle with four different categories. It is found that there is a marginally small improvement in the network traffic parameters even though no modifications are added for any heavy vehicle parameter in any simulations. This shows that AV spread in the network improves the network operation for all traffic flow and all vehicle classes (40 saved traveled hours and 2855 saved traveled kilometers at 0.98 PCU and 10% AV penetration) Much larger reductions are witnessed at higher PCU and penetration values (176,650 saved traveled hours and 778,420 saved traveled kilometers at 0.50 PCU and 100% AV penetration). Figures 2–5 give a better illustration of the improvement of the network operations by presenting the reduction percentage of traveled hours and kilometers for both passenger car and heavy vehicle classes, respectively. Reduction in traveled hours varies from 0.02% to 8.41% for passenger cars and from 0.01% to 6.11% for heavy vehicles. Reduction in traveled kilometers varies from 0.03% to 1.61% and from 0.02% to 0.49% for passenger car and heavy vehicle classes, respectively.

Table 1. Traffic parameters of base scenario.

| Vehicle Type | PC. | Taxi | Light | Medium | Buses and Coaches | Heavy | Total  |
|--------------|-----|------|-------|--------|------------------|-------|--------|
| Traveled km (hundred thousands) | 323.7 | 616 | 6069 | 581 | 6271 | 2865 | 48,771 |
| Traveled hours (thousands) | 624.4 | 14.42 | 115.41 | 8.71 | 123.96 | 40.85 | 927.71 |
| PCU | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 2.5 | ——– |
| Total daily delay | 166,053 h | | | | | | |
| Mean network speed | | | | | | | 43.43 km/h |

AV spread in the traffic flow also reduces total daily delay significantly of the whole network model, reaching a reduction of 62,881 h for 100% AV penetration and 0.5 PCU equal to 37.87% of base scenario delay. Implementation of AVs with different factors has also increases mean network speed by 4.08%, reaching 45.20 km/h compared to 43.43 km/h in the base scenario. The results for delay and speed are shown in Figures 6 and 7, respectively.
also increases mean network speed by 4.08%, reaching 45.20 km/h compared to 43.43
also increases mean network speed by 4.08%, reaching 45.20 km/h compared to 43.43
also increases mean network speed by 4.08%, reaching 45.20 km/h compared to 43.43
Implementation of AVs with different factors has
equal to 37.87% of base scenario delay. Implementation of AVs with different factors has
Implementation of AVs with different factors has
network model, reaching a reduction of 62,881 h for 100% AV penetration and 0.5 PCU
network model, reaching a reduction of 62,881 h for 100% AV penetration and 0.5 PCU
network model, reaching a reduction of 62,881 h for 100% AV penetration and 0.5 PCU

Figure 3. Reduction percent in traveled kilometers for passenger car class.
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Figure 4. Reduction percent in traveled hours for heavy vehicle class.
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Figure 5. Reduction percent in traveled kilometers for heavy vehicle class.
respectively. The results for delay and speed are shown in Figures 6 and 7, respectively.

AV penetration has a direct relationship, while PCU has an inverse relationship with the dependent variables.

All models indicate that PCU has a more significant impact on the impact percentage. PCU has a more significant impact on the impact percentage. Penetration and PCU values are the independent variables, and traffic parameter improvements are the dependent variable. The six developed models are shown below, with the estimated R squared for each model. Table 2 shows p-values associated with each parameter estimation. All models indicate that PCU has a more significant impact on the impact percentage.

AV penetration has a direct relationship, while PCU has an inverse relationship with the dependent variables.

The second part of the analysis is to develop different models for the four chosen traffic parameters in the study. Multilinear regression is used to develop the models. Penetration and PCU values are the independent variables, and traffic parameter improvements are the dependent variable. The six developed models are shown below, with the estimated R squared for each model. Table 2 shows p-values associated with each parameter estimation. All models indicate that PCU has a more significant impact on the impact percentage.

AV penetration has a direct relationship, while PCU has an inverse relationship with the dependent variables.

\[
P C h\% = 0.0447AV - 0.0917PCU + 0.0683 \quad R^2 = 0.86 \quad (1)
\]

\[
P C km\% = 0.0094AV - 0.0188PCU + 0.0144 \quad R^2 = 0.88 \quad (2)
\]

\[
HV h\% = 0.0326AV - 0.0667PCU + 0.0498 \quad R^2 = 0.86 \quad (3)
\]

\[
HV km\% = 0.0240AV - 0.0049PCU + 0.0037 \quad R^2 = 0. \quad (4)
\]
\begin{equation}
\text{Delay}\% = 0.2082\text{AV} - 0.4127\text{PCU} + 0.3066 \quad R^2 = 0.87
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\text{Speed}\% = 0.0201\text{AV} - 0.0436\text{PCU} + 0.0323 \quad R^2 = 0.
\end{equation}

where

- \(\text{PC h}\%\) is the reduction percentage in daily traveled hour for passenger car class;
- \(\text{PC km}\%\) is the reduction percentage in daily traveled kilometers for passenger car class;
- \(\text{HV h}\%\) is the reduction percentage in daily traveled hour for heavy vehicle class;
- \(\text{HV km}\%\) is the reduction percentage in daily traveled kilometers for heavy vehicle class;
- \(\text{Delay}\%\) is the reduction percentage in total delay of the whole network;
- \(\text{Speed}\%\) is the increase percentage in whole network speed; \(\text{AV}\) is the automated vehicle penetration percentage;
- and \(\text{PCU}\) is the assumed passenger car unit of automated vehicles.

**Table 2.** \(p\)-values associated with each parameter of the six statistical models.

| PCU | AV |
|-----|----|
| PC h | \(7.37 \times 10^{-33}\) | \(2.73 \times 10^{-31}\) |
| PC km | \(2.28 \times 10^{-34}\) | \(2.84 \times 10^{-33}\) |
| HV h | \(9.15 \times 10^{-33}\) | \(3.7 \times 10^{-31}\) |
| HV km | \(2.97 \times 10^{-29}\) | \(6.3 \times 10^{-28}\) |
| Delay | \(1.78 \times 10^{-32}\) | \(7.88 \times 10^{-31}\) |
| Speed | \(7.66 \times 10^{-32}\) | \(2.53 \times 10^{-30}\) |

### 6. Conclusions

This paper investigates the estimated expected change in traffic operations caused by the spread of AVs at different penetration levels in a network. The investigation focuses on finding the difference in traveled hours and traveled kilometers by assuming different PCU for AVs. The adopted changes in AVs parameter are explained by the automation level, resulting in smoother driving, communication between vehicles and infrastructures, etc.

The findings show improvement in the network operations for both investigated vehicle classes for the same daily trips. The gain increases with increasing AV penetration and lower assumed PCU for AVs. The simulation scenarios show a higher percentage of reduction for traveled daily hours than traveled kilometers, estimated as 8.41\% and 1.61\%, respectively, at higher PCU and AV penetration for the passenger car class. The improvement is caused by smarter trip distribution in the network, resulting in lower trip travel time, but more investigation needs to be performed in the future.

Another finding is that, even though the PCU is only changed for the conventional car category and penetration is only taken as part of the passenger car class, there is a slight improvement in heavy vehicle class operation. Heavy vehicle class emissions are estimated to be reduced by 6.11\% for traveled hours and 0.49\% for traveled kilometers. This shows that the spread of AVs will cause an improvement in the traffic network as a whole and not only for the AVs.

AVs significantly impacted the whole network’s total delay by a 37.87\% reduction and increasing average speed by 4.08\%. The final part of the article is to develop six models of the six traffic parameters. The models give the percentage of reduction or increase of each parameter for different PCU and AV penetration values.

Future research will focus on studying the impact of AVs on a traffic network in a more detailed way and finding a different effect on different road types and complex traffic operations. Future work will also focus on the impact of automated public transport and shared automated transport systems.
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