TARGET OF RAPAMYCIN (TOR) REGULATES THE EXPRESSION OF lncRNAs IN RESPONSE TO ABIOTIC STRESSES IN COTTON
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TOR (Target of Rapamycin) kinase is an evolutionarily conserved protein kinase, which integrates stress-related cues with growth and metabolic outputs. Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) play a vital role in the regulation of eukaryotic genes. However, little is known about TOR’s function in regulating the expression of lncRNAs in plants. In this study, four putative homologous genes encoding the TOR protein were identified by utilizing the recently completed cotton genome. Pharmacological experiments with TOR inhibitor AZD8055 and on silencing GhTOR genes resulted in obvious cotton growth retardation, indicating the conserved role of TOR in plant growth. The expression pattern analyses in different tissues reveal that TOR may play a role in root development, and the transcript levels of TOR genes were changed under different stress conditions. Importantly, we found TOR may be a key player in regulating the expression of long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs). A total of 10,315 lncRNAs were discovered in cotton seedlings, 90.7% of which were long intergenic ncRNAs. Moreover, we identified the differentially expressed lncRNAs, of which 296 were significantly upregulated and 105 were downregulated in TOR inactivated plants. GO and KEGG analyses of differentially expressed lncRNA neighboring genes reveal that these differentially expressed lncRNA-targeted genes are involved in many life processes, including stress response, glutathione, and ribosomes in cotton. A series of differentially expressed lncRNAs potentially involved in plant stress response was identified under TOR inhibition. Collectively, these results suggest that cotton TOR proteins may directly modulate the expression of putative stress-related lncRNAs and eventually play a potential role in the cotton stress response.
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INTRODUCTION

TOR (Target of Rapamycin) is a highly evolutionarily conserved Ser/Thr protein kinase among eukaryotic species and modulates a broad spectrum of physiological and developmental processes (Rexin et al., 2015; Dobrenel et al., 2016). TOR protein possesses five highly conserved domains represented by HEAT repeats, the FAT domain, the FRB domain, the Kinase domain and the FATC domain from N- to C-terminus (Xiong and Sheen, 2015). In yeast and animals, TOR acts in two functionally distinct complexes: the rapamycin-sensitive TORC1 complex and rapamycin-insensitive TORC2 complex (Loewith et al., 2002; Wullschleger et al., 2006). However, no equivalents of the TORC2 specific proteins, for instance RICTOR and SIN1, are present in the plant genome databases (Rexin et al., 2015). Rapamycin (RAP), known as the most specific TOR inhibitor, has been widely used to dissect TOR functions in yeast and mammals. Nevertheless, most of the examined plants are RAP-insensitive (Xiong and Sheen, 2015). Thus, a new generation of ATP-competitive chemical inhibitors specific to TOR kinase such as Torin1, Torin2, KU0063794, and AZD8055 (AZD) have been applied for TOR studies in yeasts, plants, animals, and humans (Feldman et al., 2009; García-Martínez et al., 2009; Chresta et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2011; Xiong et al., 2017). Among these inhibitors, AZD was the strongest inhibitor and has been widely used in plant TOR research (Dong et al., 2015; Li et al., 2015b; Deng et al., 2016; Song et al., 2017; Xiong et al., 2017).

As the prime resource of oilseed fiber and an important oilseed crop, cotton is widely cultivated around the world (Paterson et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2012). In our previous study, we have investigated the TOR signaling pathway in tetraploid cotton (Song et al., 2017). The TOR signaling pathway is also present in cotton and plays a vital role in cotton growth and development. The major signaling components TORC1-S6K-RPS6 are conserved and further expanded in the cotton genome (Song et al., 2017). The core components of the TORC2 complex are absent in the cotton genome (Song et al., 2017). Cotton seedlings are insensitive to rapamycin, which is similar to the observations in Arabidopsis (Ren et al., 2012; Xiong et al., 2017). However, the second-generation TOR inhibitor AZD can significantly suppress cotton growth in a dose-dependent manner (Song et al., 2017).

In previous studies, TOR has also been shown to be a master regulator of plant stress response. For example, in Arabidopsis, TOR interacting with RAPTOR1, a conserved component of TORC1, regulates the activity of S6K1 and responds to osmotic stress (Mahfouz et al., 2006). Researchers also found that AtTOR expression could relieve the inhibition of primary root growth exposed by excess nitrogen (Deprost et al., 2007). Ectopic expression of AtTOR in rice improves water-use efficiency, further indicating the significant influence of TOR on plant responses to abiotic stress (Bakshi et al., 2017). Phytohormone abscisic acid (ABA) plays a critical role in integrating a wide range of stress signals and controlling downstream stress responses. TOR kinase can directly phosphorylate ABA receptor PYL to prevent activation of the stress response, and their reciprocal regulation eventually balances plant growth and stress response (Wang et al., 2018a). Except for response to abiotic stress, the roles of TOR in plant defense against microbial pathogens were investigated recently (De Vleeschauwer et al., 2018).

In the high-throughput sequencing data, some unexpected transcribed genomic regions which show no or weak protein coding capacities were regarded as “dark matters” (Derrien et al., 2012; Iaconetti et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2014). In the last decades, researchers have studied these “dark matters” and found that they had some specific functions. As one part of the “dark matters,” long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) were reported to be vital components of eukaryotic gene regulation, genome stability and nuclear domain organization (Mercer et al., 2009; Wang and Chang, 2011; Chekanova, 2015). It is generally defined that lncRNAs are transcripts longer than 200 bp in length. On the basis of their genomic origins, lncRNAs can be broadly classified into three types: long intergenic ncRNAs (lincRNAs), intronic ncRNAs (incRNAs) and natural antisense transcripts (NATs) transcribed from the complementary DNA strand of the associated genes (Chekanova, 2015; Mattick and Rinn, 2015). In Arabidopsis, almost 40,000 putative lncRNAs including over 30,000 NATs and over 6,000 lincRNAs were identified in the previous studies (Liu et al., 2012; Jin et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014a). The majority of lncRNAs are transcribed by RNA Pol II, and some lncRNAs can also be produced by two additional plant-specific RNA polymerases, Pol IV and Pol V (Wierzbicki et al., 2008; Li et al., 2014a). The molecular functions of lncRNAs have been widely investigated in recent research. They can regulate gene expression through various mechanisms (Chekanova, 2015). lncRNAs can mimic the targets of regulatory proteins, thus preventing regulatory proteins from binding to DNA or RNA (Franco-Zorrilla et al., 2007). They can also regulate the Pol II transcription machinery directly (Wang et al., 2014b). Researchers also found that the long non-coding RNAs functioned as endogenous target mimics for microRNAs in plants including rice and Arabidopsis (Wu et al., 2013).

In recent decades, many studies have uncovered quite a number of lncRNAs and their functions in plants. In Arabidopsis, genome-wide analysis uncovered 6,480 long intergenic non-coding RNAs (Liu et al., 2012). In maize, Li et al., identified 20,163 putative lncRNAs and more than 90% were predicted to be the precursors of small RNAs (Li et al., 2014a). In cotton, the lncRNAs were reported to be involved in fiber development and drought stress responses (Wang et al., 2015a; Lu et al., 2016; Zou et al., 2016). Through global analysis of ribosome-associated non-coding RNAs in the Arabidopsis roots, scientists unveiled new modes of translational regulation (Bazin et al., 2017). Long-non-coding RNA PMS1T produced phased small-interfering RNAs and regulated photoperiod-sensitive male sterility in rice (Fan et al., 2016). The Arabidopsis non-coding RNA HHD1 acted through phytochrome-interacting factor 3 (PIF3) and mediated control of photomorphogenesis by red light (Wang et al., 2014). The Arabidopsis long intergenic non-coding RNA (lincRNA) APOLO participates in the spatial association and interaction between APOLO and the distant PID genomic regions via formation of a
Scientists identified an antisense long non-coding RNA TWISTED LEAF (TL) and found that TF regulated R2R3-MYB gene expression and maintained leaf blade flattening (Liu et al., 2018). In rice, researchers revealed that overexpressing IncRNA LAIR could increase the grain yield and regulate the expression of neighboring gene cluster expression (Wang et al., 2018b). Tomato fruit ripening was altered by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutagenesis of IncRNA1459 (Li et al., 2018).

Until now, the relationship between TOR kinase and IncRNAs was only elucidated in animals. The transcript levels of IncRNA growth-arrest specific 5 (GASS5) can be enhanced by mTOR inhibition in certain prostate cancer cell lines (Yacqub-Uusman et al., 2015). A novel mTOR activator can greatly decrease the level of a long non-coding RNA (IncRNA) known as FLJ11812, which binds with MIR4459 and targets ATG13 (Ge et al., 2014). The IncRNA Colorectal Neoplasia Differentially Expressed (CRNDE) is mainly expressed in human brains, and overexpression of specific CRNDE transcripts can promote cell growth. Studies revealed that the expression level of CRNDE could be modulated by mTOR signaling (Wang et al., 2015b). Researchers also found that IncRNA Urothelial Carcinoma-Associated 1 (UC1A1) played a positive role in cancer cell glucose metabolism, which was performed through the cascade of mTOR-STAT3/microrna143-HK2 (Li et al., 2014b). UC1A1 can also enhance tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer cells via inhibiting the mTOR signaling pathway (Wu and Luo, 2016). Compared with extensive research about the protein coding gene affected by TOR (Ren et al., 2012; Dong et al., 2015; Deng et al., 2016; Xiong et al., 2016; Song et al., 2017), it remains largely unexplored whether and how the TOR kinase protein regulates IncRNAs, especially in plants.

In the present study, we identified TOR genes in Gossypium hirsutum and characterized the functions of TOR proteins in cotton by AZD pharmacological experiments and silencing of GhTOR genes by VIGS (virus-induced gene silencing) technology. The expression pattern analysis under different tissues and stresses suggested that TOR may play a role in cotton stress response. Genome-wide analysis of IncRNAs in the TOR-inactivated plants revealed that the stress-related neighboring genes of differentially expressed IncRNAs were significantly enriched. We further explored the functions of IncRNA candidates by differential expression analysis under stresses in cotton. As an economically important fiber and oil crop cultivated in many tropical and subtropical areas of the world, cotton is constantly exposed to a range of abiotic and biotic stresses, including drought, extreme temperature, high salinity and fungal pathogen infections (Mahajan and Tuteja, 2005; Qammar et al., 2018). Cotton production is significantly influenced by these stresses. Therefore, it is necessary to uncover the underlying mechanism of plant stress response. Collectively, our observations showed the close links between TOR signaling and IncRNA expression in cotton. These results provide new insights into the functions of TOR signaling in plants.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sequence Identification and Gene Structure Analysis of TOR Genes in Gossypium hirsutum**

The Gossypium hirsutum genome sequences and the proteome sequences were downloaded from the CottonGen database (http://www.cottongen.org) (Yu et al., 2014). The amino acid sequences of TOR from A. thaliana, which were acquired from The Arabidopsis Information Resource version 10 (TAIR 10) (http://www.arabidopsis.org), were used as query sequences to identify complete TOR members in the G. hirsutum protein database through the blastp program. The full-length protein sequences of Arabidopsis and G. hirsutum TOR were aligned with Clustal W, and MEGA 5.0 software was applied to construct a neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree using the bootstrap method with 1,000 replicates (Tamura et al., 2011). The positions of the exons and introns were acquired from the gff3 file using a Perl script, and the online tool GSDS 2.0 was used to display the gene structure (Hu et al., 2015).

**Plant Materials and Growth Conditions**

In this study, upland cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L. cv CCR24) was used. The cotton seeds were surface-sterilized according to the method described previously (Yang et al., 2014). The sterilized seeds were germinated on a Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium (with 1% agar) supplemented with DMSO (as a control) or 5 µM AZD (Selleckchem, Houston, TX, USA). Then they were grown in a controlled environment at 25°C under 16 h continuous light and 8 h darkness for 4, 6, and 8 days, respectively. These cotton seedlings were photographed. The primary root lengths, fresh weights and lateral root numbers were measured manually. Three biological experiments were performed, and each consisted of 20 plants per treatment. The data was expressed as the mean ± SD of three independent experiments.

**VIGS Experiments**

The conserved cDNA fragments of GhTOR genes were amplified and cloned into the pTRV2 vectors according to the method described in the previous study (Liu et al., 2002). The recombinant plasmids were transformed into A. tumefaciens strain GV3101. Cotton VIGS experiments were performed following the previously described procedure (Pang et al., 2013). Cotyledons of 7-day-old CCR24 cotton seedlings were used for the injections. All plants infiltrated with the empty pTRV2 were used as the negative controls in this research. Plants infiltrated with the pTRV2 vector containing the cDNA fragment of GhPDS gene were used as the positive controls to test the efficiency of VIGS, and the albino phenotype was examined (Pang et al., 2013). Three biological experiments were performed, and each consisted of 10 plants per treatment. The data was expressed as the mean ± SD of the three independent experiments.

**Gene Expression Analysis and Heatmap**

The publicly available transcriptome data of TM-1 was used to assess the relative gene expression patterns (Zhang et al., 2015). RNA-Seq data were acquired and analyzed as described in the
previous report (Yang et al., 2017; Qanmber et al., 2018). A heatmap was drawn by the MeV (Multiple Experiment Viewer) software (Saeed et al., 2003).

**LncRNA Identification**
Four-day-old cotton seedlings were transferred into the MS medium containing DMSO and 5 µM AZD. The seedlings were collected at 24 hours post-treatment, frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. Total RNA was extracted from samples using the RNaprep pure kit (Tiangen, Beijing) for RNA sequencing. Sequencing library preparation followed our previously described procedure (Song et al., 2017). Three biological replicates were sequenced using the Illumina Hiseq 2500 for each treatment. All raw sequencing data used in the current study have been deposited in the Sequence Read Archive database under accession number SRX2568809. The lncRNAs were identified from the novel and antisense transcripts of transcriptome assemblies by adopting the following steps: (1) the transcripts with low expression levels and with only a single exon were removed while the transcripts with two or more exons were selected; (2) the transcripts with length < 200 bp were removed; (3) the transcripts were searched against the Cuffcompare database to eliminate transcripts encoding proteins and protein-coding domains; (4) the transcripts with FPKM (expected number of Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript sequence per Millions base pairs sequenced) < 0.5 were removed; (5) the transcripts that failed to pass any one of the protein-coding-score tests using the Coding Potential Calculator (CPC), Coding-Non-Coding Index (CNCI) and Pfam Scan software were regarded as TUCP (transcripts of uncertain coding potential) (Kong et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2013; Finn et al., 2014). The optimized transcripts with no protein-coding potential were identified as IncRNAs and were listed in Supplementary Table 1.

**Localization of IncRNAs and Protein-Coding Genes in Cotton Genome**
The information about protein-coding genes and chromosomes was obtained according to the CottonGen database for cotton research (Yu et al., 2014). The program Circos was used to generate a diagram that showed the localization, abundance and expression level of IncRNAs and protein-coding genes in the cotton genome (Krzywinski et al., 2009).

**Differential Expression of IncRNAs Between DMSO and AZD Treated Cotton Seedlings**
The Cuffdiff software was used to identify the differentially expressed IncRNAs between DMSO control and AZD treatment (Trapnell et al., 2012). The fold changes were calculated via Log2 (FPKM<sub>AZD</sub>/FPKM<sub>DMSO</sub>). IncRNAs with a |log2(Fold change)| ≥ 1 and adjusted P ≤ 0.05 were selected as differentially expressed IncRNAs and displayed in Supplementary Table 2. The co-location protein-coding genes, which were upstream or downstream 100 kb away from IncRNAs, were used to analyze the GO (Gene Ontology) and KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) terms. The Pearson correlation coefficient was employed to explore the expression relationship between these IncRNAs and the neighboring protein-coding genes, and the IncRNAs with r < 0.95 were selected. GO analyses of the differentially expressed IncRNAs were performed by the GOseq program (Young et al., 2010). KOAS software was used to test the enrichment of differentially expressed IncRNAs in KEGG pathways (Mao et al., 2005; Kanehisa et al., 2008).

**Quantitative Real Time PCR (QRT-PCR)**
Total RNA isolation and the generation of first-strand cDNA were performed as previously described (Song et al., 2017). The CFX96 system (BIO-RAD) was used to perform the QRT-PCR experiments by using an SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (TAKARA) kit. The primers used for QRT-PCR were designed by Primer Premier 5.0 and presented in Supplementary Table 3. Three biological replicates were performed and the reactions were performed in triplicate for each run. Expression levels of the target IncRNAs were normalized to GhHISTONE3 in cotton. The 2<sup>−ΔΔCT</sup> analysis method was used to measure the relative expression levels of IncRNAs (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). ANOVA analysis and a Tukey-Kramer multiple comparison test were used for data analysis. P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

## RESULTS

**Identification, Structure, and Domain Analysis of GhTOR**
The TOR protein sequences of Arabidopsis were used as queries to search G. hirsutum database (Yu et al., 2014) using the blastp program with a cut-off E-value of 1e-5. Thereafter, four candidates were identified, and their sequences were extracted (Table 1). On account of the gene number and chromosome locus, the four GhTOR genes were designated as GhTOR1-At, GhTOR1-Dt, GhTOR2-At, and GhTOR2-Dt. Compared with the single TOR gene in diploid Arabidopsis, the number of TOR genes in allotetraploid cotton was significantly expanded. To dissect the evolutionary relationship of TOR genes between G. hirsutum and Arabidopsis, a phylogenetic tree was constructed by MEGA 5.0 software using the NJ method (Figure 1A). As shown in Figure 1A, GhTOR genes can be divided into two groups, and every group has two orthologs according to the phylogenetic tree, indicating that cotton TOR genes may have undergone duplication because only one AtTOR was found. Gene function is closely related with its structure; thus, we analyzed the gene structure of GhTORs (Figure 1B). Multiple-exons (50 to 66 exons) were displayed in GhTOR genes. We also found that GhTOR1-At and GhTOR1-Dt had highly similar exon patterns,

| Gene name | Gene locus | Chr | Direction | Start | End |
|-----------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|-----|
| GhTOR1-At | Gh_A01G0097 | A01 | - | 831404 | 850685 |
| GhTOR1-Dt | Gh_D01G0092 | D01 | - | 735395 | 754726 |
| GhTOR2-At | Gh_A12G0159 | A12 | + | 2336388 | 2365784 |
| GhTOR2-Dt | Gh_D12G2836 | D12 | - | 52166 | 73797 |
and this was also the case between GhTOR2-At and GhTOR2-Dt. Similar domain organizations were also found between GhTORs and AtTOR proteins, except for GhTOR2-Dt, which lacked the FATC domain (Figure 1C). Collectively, these observations indicated that GhTORs have a close phylogenetic relationship with AtTOR and confirmed that TOR is also conserved in *G. hirsutum*.

**Suppression of TOR by AZD Significantly Inhibited the Growth of Cotton Seedling**

AZD is a novel ATP-competitive specific inhibitor of the catalytic site of TOR kinase and has been successfully applied to research in the higher plants by independent groups (Montané and Menand, 2013; Dong et al., 2015; Li et al., 2015b; Xiong et al., 2017). AZD can directly bind to the kinase domain of TOR protein to inhibit the activity of both TORC1 and TORC2 (Chresta et al., 2010; Benjamin et al., 2011). AZD has shown relatively higher potency than other TOR inhibitors in both animals and plants (Montané and Menand, 2013; Dong et al., 2015). Thus, AZD was selected to dissect the biological functions of TOR in cotton (Figure 1D). Cotton seeds were germinated on the MS medium containing DMSO (as a control) or 5 µM AZD for 4, 6, and 8 days, respectively. These TOR-suppressed cotton seedlings showed a significant delay in the transition from heterotrophic to photoautotrophic growth compared with the cotton seedlings exposed to DMSO. The fresh weights and root lengths of TOR-suppressed cotton seedlings at 4, 6, and 8 days were only 86%, 60% and 69% of control seedlings, respectively (Figure 1E). Moreover, the number of lateral roots in the TOR-inhibited cotton seedlings also showed a significant decrease compared to that of the control seedlings. These data indicated that TOR plays a vital role in cotton growth.

**Knockdown of GhTOR Expression Causes Retarded Growth in Cotton**

To further investigate the cellular function of cotton TOR signaling, virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) was used in this study (Liu et al., 2002; Pang et al., 2013). The conserved cDNA fragment of the four *GhTOR* genes was cloned into the virus vector pTRVRNA2 (pTRV2). The empty vector pTRV2 and vector pTRV2 containing the cDNA of *GhPDS* were used as negative and positive controls, respectively. These vectors were transformed into *Agrobacterium* cells and then inoculated into the cotyledons of the wild-type cotton CCRI24. As shown in Figures 2A–C, most of the growth of *GhTOR*-silenced plants was significantly retarded compared with that of the control seedlings. We found that most of the *GhTOR*-silenced plants exhibited pale yellow-green and shrinking leaves under normal growth conditions (Figure 2C), and the phenotype was consistent with the phenotype displayed in AZD-treated cotton seedlings (Figure 1D). A small part of *GhTOR*-silenced plants’ leaves showed completely wilting phenotypes, which could be due to the lethal phenotype caused by the complete silence of *GhTOR*. The fresh weights of TOR-silenced cotton were also significantly reduced (Figure 2D). To validate that these phenotypes were caused by the reduced expression of the *GhTOR* genes, the expression levels of *GhTOR* genes were analyzed by QRT-PCR (Figure 2E). The top leaves of the cotton seedlings indicated in Figure 2A were harvested to perform QRT-PCR, respectively. Primers that targeted the conserved sequences of *GhTOR1* (*GhTOR1-At* and *GhTOR1-Dt*) and *GhTOR2* (*GhTOR2-At* and *GhTOR2-Dt*) were designed. The expression levels of *GhTOR1* and *GhTOR2* genes both decreased consistently in *GhTOR*-silenced plants. Our results confirmed that the retarded growth phenotype was caused by the reduced expression of the *GhTOR* genes.

**Analysis of GhTOR Gene Expression Pattern in Different Tissues**

Gene expression pattern is helpful to dissect gene biological function. To realize the biological function of TOR in cotton, we detected the expression patterns of the TOR genes using the publicly available transcriptome data of *Gossypium hirsutum* (Supplementary Figures 1A,B) (Li et al., 2015a). As shown in Supplementary Figure 1, the expression profiles of the orthologs between the *GhTOR1-At*, *GhTOR1-Dt*, and *GhTOR2-Dt* were similar in different tissues during seed germination, seed (fiber) development and root development, suggesting that their biological functions might be conserved. We found that all the *GhTOR* genes were widely expressed in the vegetative (root, stem and leaf) and reproductive (torus, petal, stamen, pistil, calyx, and −3, −1, 0, 1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 25, and 35 days post-anthesis (DPA) ovule) tissues as well as in the fiber (5, 10, 20, and 25 DPA), suggesting that TOR genes have multiple biological functions in different tissues (Supplementary Figure 1A). *GhTOR2_At/Dt* have relatively low expression levels in cotton petals and stamens. *GhTOR1_At/Dt* were highly expressed in the stem, leaf and the late stage of ovule development (20 DPA and 25 DPA fibers). *GhTOR1_At/Dt* were highly expressed in seeds and roots at different sampling stages (Supplementary Figure 1B), indicating that *GhTOR1_At/Dt* play a potential role in the seed germination and root development.

**Genome-Wide Identification and Characterization of IncRNAs in Gossypium hirsutum**

LncRNAs can regulate gene expression through various mechanisms (Chekanova, 2015). The pair-end RNA-seq database has become a major resource to discover lncRNAs (Iliott and Ponting, 2013). To further understand TOR functions, paired-end RNA-Seq data of transcripts from CCRI24 and AZD-treated CCRI24 were used to find novel lncRNAs in *Gossypium hirsutum* seedlings. After data quality control, TOPHAT2 mapping and cufflinks transcript assembly, a total of 222,031 unique transcripts were assembled from the high-throughput RNA-Seq data. To distinguish lncRNA candidates, five sequential stringent filters to the transcripts were employed (Supplementary Figure 2). First, a total of 209,311 transcripts with two or more exons were selected, and 12,720 transcripts were removed. LncRNAs are longer than 200 nucleotides in length, so 1,840 transcripts that were shorter than 200 bp were removed, and 207,471 transcripts were recovered in the second step. The transcripts which were
FIGURE 1 | Phylogenetic analysis of GhTOR and pharmacological experiments. (A) Phylogenetic analysis of GhTORs and AtTOR using a neighbor-joining method. (B) Gene structure analysis of GhTOR genes. The number, position, and length of exons and introns within GhTOR genes are displayed. Boxes and lines indicate the exons and the introns, respectively. (C) Comparison of the conserved domains between GhTOR and AtTOR proteins. The number in brackets represents the amino acid number of every protein. Each value indicates the percentage of identity with the corresponding domain sequences of GhTOR1-At. (D) TOR inhibition by AZD significantly retarded cotton seedling growth. Sterile cotton seeds were germinated on plates with DMSO and AZD (5 µM) for different days. Cotton seedlings grown in MS medium containing DMSO and AZD (5 µM) for 4, 6, and 8 days were photographed, respectively. Bars = 1 cm. (E) The primary root lengths, fresh weights and lateral root numbers of (D) were measured. Each experiment contains three biological replicates. Error bars indicate ± SD for triplicates. Significant differences from the control are indicated by *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.
FIGURE 2 | Phenotypes of GhTOR VIGS cotton plants. (A) Potting of wild type plants (CCRI24), and plants infiltrated with vector control (TRV:00), vector containing GhPDS (TRV:GhPDS) and vector containing GhTOR (TRV:GhTOR). Bar = 2 cm. (B) The whole vector control and GhTOR silenced cotton seedlings. Bar = 2 cm. (C) Close-up of vector control, GhPDS-silenced and GhTOR-silenced cotton leaves. Bar = 2 cm. (D) Fresh weight of the control and GhTOR-silenced cotton plants. Each experiment contains three biological replicates, and every replication contains at least twenty plants. Error bars indicate ±SD for triplicates. Significant differences from the control are indicated by **P < 0.01. (E) Decreased GhTOR expression levels in VIGS plants. Total RNA was extracted from leaves at 14 days post-agroinfiltration. The expression level of GhTOR1 (GhTOR1-At and GhTOR1-Dt) and GhTOR2 (GhTOR2-At and GhTOR2-Dt) in VIGS plants was compared with that in wild type plants (CCRI24) and plants infiltrated with vector control. Asterisks denote significant difference compared with wild-type plants (CCRI24) (**P < 0.01).

overlapped with the annotated exons in the Genecode database were removed by using the Cuffcompare software, and 23,106 transcripts were obtained. Next, the expression level of every transcript was quantified by the software Cuffquant, and a total of 17,064 transcripts with FPKM ≥ 0.5 were obtained. These transcripts were further filtered using CNCl, CPC, Pfam-scan and phyloCSF to predict the coding potential. Eventually, this pipeline provided 10,315 lncRNAs (Supplementary Figure 2A).

According to their genome locations, these lncRNAs were further classified into three types: long intergenic ncRNAs (lincRNAs), intronic ncRNAs (incRNAs), and natural antisense transcripts (NATs) (Supplementary Figure 2B). We found that in cotton, the majority of lncRNAs (9,356, 90.7%) were lincRNAs, and only a small portion (959, 9.3%) of the lncRNAs were IncNATs. Furthermore, no intronic lncRNAs were uncovered in our database. These observations are consistent with previous studies (Wang et al., 2015a). The previous studies in zebrafish, Arabidopsis, maize, Populus and tomato have shown that lncRNAs harbor fewer exons and are shorter than protein-coding genes (Pauli et al., 2012; Li et al., 2014a; Shuai et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014a; Zhu et al., 2015). To further identify whether cotton lncRNAs share these features, the density of exon number, length and the open reading frame (ORF) length of cotton lncRNAs were analyzed compared with the cotton mRNA (Supplementary Figures 2C–E). We found that the majority of the lncRNAs only contained one to two exons. In contrast, the number of exons for the protein-coding genes ranged from one to twenty (Supplementary Figure 2C). There is no significant difference between the length of lncRNAs and mRNAs (Supplementary Figure 2D). Most of the
Genomic Landscape of Cotton Long Non-coding RNAs

Next, we further analyzed the genomic landscape of cotton lncRNAs (Figure 3). A total of 10,315 lncRNAs were identified in the present study. 7,640 of these lncRNAs were mapped onto chromosomes of the recently released cotton reference genome (Yu et al., 2014). The remaining 2,670 lncRNAs were only mapped onto scaffolds. A Circos plot clearly showed that the cotton lncRNAs were widely transcribed from every chromosome. We found that lncRNAs and protein-coding genes shared similar density distributions, which are both lower in the pericentromeric heterochromatin regions than in the euchromatin. This result indicated that lncRNAs may share similar transcription patterns with the protein-coding genes, which is just the same as reported in the previous studies (Wang et al., 2015a). Furthermore, the expression levels of
IncrRNAs which mapped onto chromosomes were analyzed. IncRNAs with FPKM $\geq 0.5$ were selected. A total of 528 IncRNAs were removed and 7,012 IncRNAs were obtained. Fold changes (FPKM$_{AZD}$/FPKM$_{DMSO}$) of these IncRNAs were calculated and are shown by the color of the lines on the inner track of Figure 3. The fold changes of 623 IncRNAs were $\geq 2$ and are shown by red lines. The yellow lines represent 3,857 IncRNAs with fold change $\geq 1$ and $< 2$. IncRNAs with fold change $< 1$ are depicted by green lines. The inner track provided a genomic landscape of IncRNA expression levels.

**Differentially Expressed IncRNA Identification, GO and KEGG Enrichment Analysis of These IncRNAs Target mRNAs**

To identify the IncRNAs regulated by the TOR signaling pathway, the expression levels of IncRNAs were compared between DMSO control and AZD treatment in cotton seedlings. Following the procedure indicated in the methods, a total of 401 significantly differentially expressed IncRNAs were uncovered. Compared with the DMSO control, 296 of 401 IncRNAs were up-regulated in the cotton seedlings exposed to AZD, and the other 105 IncRNAs were downregulated (Figure 4A). To validate these differentially expressed IncRNAs, we randomly selected 10 of them, five were downregulated and five were upregulated. Their expression level changes indicated in RNA-seq data were validated by QRT–PCR experiments (Supplementary Figure 3). We also found that the expression patterns of these IncRNAs were consistent with that under TOR knockdown by VIGS.

Until now, the detailed mechanism of the interaction between mRNA and IncRNA is not clear. In previous studies, IncRNAs have been shown to preferentially locate next to genes with diverse functions (Dinger et al., 2008; Mercer et al., 2008; Guttman et al., 2009; Ponjavic et al., 2009; Cabili et al., 2011; Pauli et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2015a, 2018b). Thus, the protein-coding genes that are upstream or downstream
Differentially Expressed IncRNAs Involved in Plant Growth

As the phenotypes indicated in the TOR-inhibited and TOR-silenced cotton seedlings (Figures 1D, 2A), cotton seedling growths were significantly retarded. Plant cell growth is tightly linked to ribosome biogenesis and photosynthesis (Dong et al., 2015). The ribosome is a key component of cell growth control and protein synthesis. The "Ribosome" and "Ribosome biogenesis in eukaryotes" pathways were identified in the enriched downregulated KEGG pathways. IncRNAs involved in this process were listed in Supplementary Table 4A. A total of 37 downregulated IncRNAs were enriched in this pathway. Ribosomal protein (RP) is one of the important components of the ribosome (Ben-Shem et al., 2011). Twenty-nine of these downregulated IncRNAs targeted genes encoded ribosomal proteins, indicating the important role of TOR in regulating the cotton ribosome biogenesis. Transposons associate with the origins and transcribed regions of IncRNAs and eventually drive IncRNA expression (Ponting et al., 2009; Kapusta et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016a,b; Sherafatian and Mowla, 2017). We analyzed the potential transposons that targeted these ribosome-related IncRNAs (Supplementary Table 4B). Transposons were identified in the sequences of LNC_000574, LNC_000642, LNC_004307, LNC_006480, LNC_006613 and LNC_007286 by WUBlastX. Most of the identified transposons were long terminal repeat retrotransposons (LTR), and three of them were LTR/copia transposons. These results indicated that transposon-related insertions may play a role in regulating IncRNA expression. One of the important functional patterns seen for IncRNAs is the interplay between miRNAs and IncRNAs, and IncRNAs could be targeted by miRNAs (Yoon et al., 2014). To identify whether IncRNAs are bona fide targets for miRNAs, we analyzed the selected IncRNAs associated with ribosomes using psRNATarget (Supplementary Table 4C) (Dai and Zhao, 2011). Twenty-four of the ribosome-related IncRNAs were targeted by miRNAs, and a total of 52 miRNAs were identified. In addition, one miRNA had multiple targets. For example, in the case of ghr-miR2949a-3p, it not only targeted LNC_000642 but also LNC_005100 and LNC_009692.

As one of the most important anabolic processes, photosynthesis also plays a vital role in plant cell growth. The TOR-inhibited and silenced cotton seedlings showed a significant delay in the transition from heterotrophic to photoautotrophic growth and exhibited pale yellow-green and shrinking leaves, which indicated the affected photosynthesis in cotton. The enriched KEGG pathways “carbon fixation in photosynthetic organism” and “photosynthesis” were also detected. Fifteen genes targeted by these down-regulated IncRNAs were found in these pathways and are displayed in Supplementary Table 5A. Genes encoded, including phosphoglycerate kinase (PGK1 and PGK3), alanine aminotransferase 2 (ALAAT1), aspartate aminotransferase 3 (ASP3), photosystem II reaction center protein K precursor, cytochrome c and photosynthetic electron transfer A, were found. Additionally, transposons and miRNAs were analyzed in the sequences of these IncRNAs. As displayed in Supplementary Table 5B, transposons were only identified in the sequences of LNC_004722. Furthermore, 26 miRNAs were found to target these photosynthesis-related IncRNAs, and eight of these IncRNAs were targeted by the miRNAs (Supplementary Table 5C). The above results further supported the phenotypes induced in the TOR-inhibited cotton seedlings and the conserved functions of TOR as reported in other species (Martin et al., 2004; Ren et al., 2011; Dong et al., 2015).

Expression Pattern Analysis of GhTOR Genes Under Different Stresses

As indicated in the GO terms analysis of differentially expressed IncRNAs, defense response-related GO terms were enriched. Environmental stresses significantly influenced plant growth and finally caused plant biomass losses, which were similar to the phenotypes indicated in the TOR-silenced cotton seedlings, including wilting and decreased fresh weights (Figure 2). Therefore, the expression patterns of GhTOR genes under abiotic stress conditions, i.e., cold, heat, salt, and drought were assessed, and the corresponding results were shown in Supplementary Figure 1C and Figure 5. The expression levels of GhTOR genes in Figure 5 were similar to the expression patterns shown in Supplementary Figure 1C. The expression levels of GhTOR genes were induced by diverse stresses, indicating that TOR responded to multiple stresses. When responding to different stresses, the expression levels of GhTOR1-At, GhTOR1-Dt, and GhTOR2-Dt increased; however, the transcript levels of GhTOR2-At were down-regulated. In response to cold, the expression levels of GhTOR1-At, GhTOR1-Dt, and GhTOR2-Dt reached a peak at 1 h, then maintained a relatively high and stable level. Meanwhile, in response to heat they reached a peak at 3 h. The expression levels of these three genes showed a gradual growth trend when responding to NaCl and PEG treatments. These results indicated that TOR genes may be involved and play potentially different roles in cotton stress response.
### TABLE 2 | Differentially expressed lncRNA potentially involved in plant stress response.

| Transcript_id | Log₂ (Fold change) | Q value | Target_gene_id | Target_description |
|---------------|---------------------|---------|----------------|--------------------|
| LNC_000377    | -2.10225            | 5.08E-04| Gh_A02G0511    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000377    | -2.10225            | 5.08E-04| Gh_A02G0507    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000377    | -2.10225            | 5.08E-04| Gh_A02G0508    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000377    | -2.10225            | 5.08E-04| Gh_A02G0509    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000378    | -1.65483            | 4.20E-03| Gh_A02G0508    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000378    | -1.65483            | 4.20E-03| Gh_A02G0509    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000378    | -1.65483            | 4.20E-03| Gh_A02G0510    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000378    | -1.65483            | 4.20E-03| Gh_A02G0511    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000512    | -1.21876            | 1.31E-02| Gh_A02G0503    | PYL11              |
| LNC_000512    | -1.21876            | 1.31E-02| Gh_A02G0504    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000512    | -1.21876            | 1.31E-02| Gh_A02G0505    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000512    | -1.21876            | 1.31E-02| Gh_A02G0508    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000512    | -1.21876            | 1.31E-02| Gh_A02G0509    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000512    | -1.21876            | 1.31E-02| Gh_A02G0510    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000512    | -1.21876            | 1.31E-02| Gh_A02G0499    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000512    | -1.21876            | 1.31E-02| Gh_A02G0495    | PP2C family protein |
| LNC_000513    | -1.05715            | 2.49E-03| Gh_A02G0499    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000513    | -1.05715            | 2.49E-03| Gh_A02G0495    | PP2C family protein |
| LNC_000513    | -1.05715            | 2.49E-03| Gh_A02G0502    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000513    | -1.05715            | 2.49E-03| Gh_A02G0508    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000513    | -1.05715            | 2.49E-03| Gh_A02G0509    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000513    | -1.05715            | 2.49E-03| Gh_A02G0510    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000513    | -1.05715            | 2.49E-03| Gh_A02G0498    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000513    | -1.05715            | 2.49E-03| Gh_A02G0503    | PYL11              |
| LNC_000513    | -1.05715            | 2.49E-03| Gh_A02G0504    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000513    | -1.05715            | 2.49E-03| Gh_A02G0505    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000513    | -1.05715            | 2.49E-03| Gh_A02G0498    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000513    | -1.05715            | 2.49E-03| Gh_A02G0500    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000513    | -1.05715            | 2.49E-03| Gh_A02G0507    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000513    | -1.05715            | 2.49E-03| Gh_A02G0499    | MLP-like protein 423|
| LNC_000514    | -1.42464            | 1.83E-02| Gh_A05G1085    | Homeobox-leucine zipper family protein |
| LNC_000514    | -1.42464            | 1.83E-02| Gh_A05G1078    | Methyl esterase 12 |
| LNC_000514    | -1.3256             | 5.08E-04| Gh_A07G1707    | UDP-glucose 4-epimerase |
| LNC_000514    | -1.87479            | 2.02E-02| Gh_A09G0802    | Ankyrin repeat family protein |
| LNC_000514    | -3.61046            | 2.45E-02| Gh_A11G1518    | Plasticic GLC translocator |
| LNC_000514    | 1.51321             | 4.03E-02| Gh_A02G0509    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_000514    | -2.4837             | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0574    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_000514    | -2.4837             | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0569    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_000514    | -2.4837             | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0570    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_000514    | -2.4837             | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0561    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_000514    | -2.4837             | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0567    | Major allergen Pru ar 1 |
| LNC_000514    | -2.4837             | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0566    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_000514    | -2.4837             | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0555    | Major allergen Pru ar 1 |
| LNC_000514    | -1.51079             | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0562    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_000514    | -1.51079             | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0567    | Major allergen Pru ar 1 |
| LNC_000514    | -1.51079             | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0560    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_000514    | -1.51079             | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0566    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_000514    | -1.51079             | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0559    | Major allergen Pru ar 1 |
| LNC_000514    | -1.50013             | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0555    | PP2C family protein |
TABLE 2 | Continued

| Transcript_id | Log2 (Fold change) | Q value | Target_gene_id | Target_description |
|---------------|-------------------|---------|----------------|-------------------|
| LNC_004696    | −1.50013          | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0569    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_004696    | −1.50013          | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0562    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_004696    | −1.50013          | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0558    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_004696    | −1.50013          | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0570    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_004696    | −1.50013          | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0571    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_004696    | −1.50013          | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0559    | Major allergen Pru ar 1 |
| LNC_004696    | −1.50013          | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0560    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_004696    | −1.50013          | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0567    | Major allergen Pru ar 1 |
| LNC_004696    | −1.50013          | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0566    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_004697    | −1.08682          | 2.36E-02| Gh_D02G0560    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_004697    | −1.08682          | 2.36E-02| Gh_D02G0559    | Major allergen Pru ar 1 |
| LNC_004697    | −1.08682          | 2.36E-02| Gh_D02G0555    | PP2C family protein |
| LNC_004697    | −1.08682          | 2.36E-02| Gh_D02G0569    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_004697    | −1.08682          | 2.36E-02| Gh_D02G0567    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_004697    | −1.08682          | 2.36E-02| Gh_D02G0571    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_004697    | −1.08682          | 2.36E-02| Gh_D02G0558    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_004697    | −1.08682          | 2.36E-02| Gh_D02G0562    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_004697    | −1.08682          | 2.36E-02| Gh_D02G0566    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_004697    | −1.08682          | 2.36E-02| Gh_D02G0567    | Major allergen Pru ar 1 |
| LNC_004698    | −1.82071          | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0574    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_004698    | −1.82071          | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0569    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_004698    | −1.82071          | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0570    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_004698    | −1.82071          | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0567    | Major allergen Pru ar 1 |
| LNC_004698    | −1.82071          | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0558    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_004698    | −1.82071          | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0562    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_004698    | −1.82071          | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0566    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_004698    | −1.82071          | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0571    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_004698    | −1.82071          | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0559    | Major allergen Pru ar 1 |
| LNC_004698    | −1.82071          | 5.08E-04| Gh_D02G0560    | MLP-like protein 423 |
| LNC_005100    | −1.63418          | 4.01E-02| Gh_D04G1789    | Anthranilate phosphoribosyltransferase |
| LNC_005163    | −3.74603          | 1.64E-02| Gh_D04G1399    | Pathogenesis-related protein |
| LNC_005763    | −2.47174          | 5.08E-04| Gh_D07G0796    | Pathogenesis-related protein |
| LNC_005731    | −1.08659          | 9.52E-04| Gh_D07G0193    | PYR1-like 2 |
| LNC_006174    | −1.80347          | 9.75E-03| Gh_D08G0260    | MLO family protein |
| LNC_007115    | 1.87584           | 2.79E-02| Gh_D04G1399    | Pathogenesis-related protein |
| LNC_009095    | 1.63788           | 1.58E-02| Gh_D02G0495    | PP2C family protein |
| LNC_009095    | 1.63788           | 1.58E-02| Gh_D02G0495    | PP2C family protein |

Differentially Expressed lncRNAs Participated in Plant Stress Response

Expression pattern analysis of TOR genes indicated that TOR genes responded to different stress treatments (Figure 5 and Supplementary Figure 1). In our previous study, the differentially expressed protein coding genes of AZD-treated cotton seedlings were analyzed and identified (Song et al., 2017). The Pearson correlation coefficient was employed to explore the expression relationship between these lncRNAs and neighboring protein-coding genes, six (r_p > 0.95) of these lncRNAs were selected, including LNC_00512, LNC_00513, LNC_004695, LNC_004696, LNC_004697, and LNC_004698. LNC_00513 belonged to lincRNA, and the other five lncRNAs belonged to antisense lncRNAs. We found that the expression levels of the lncRNA-targeted genes Gh_A02G0500 and Gh_D02G0560, which both encoded MLP-like protein 423, were significantly upregulated in response to TOR inhibition, with the fold change of 14.8 and 5.1, respectively. As indicated in Table 2, Gh_A02G0500 was targeted by LNC_00512 and LNC_00513, and Gh_D02G0560 was targeted by LNC_004695, LNC_004696, LNC_004697, and LNC_004698. The expression levels of these above lncRNAs were downregulated under TOR inhibition with the fold changes varying from 0.2 to 0.4, indicating that TOR may be a positive regulator of these lncRNAs. Under TOR
inhibition, these lncRNAs were downregulated, whereas their targets Gh_A02G0500 and Gh_D02G0560 were upregulated, suggesting the possibility that these lncRNAs may act as a negative regulator in the transcript process of these two genes. In the sequences of LNC_004698, six potential transposons were identified (Supplementary Table 6A) and all of them belonged to LINE/L1, indicating the potential role of transposons in regulating LNC_004698 expression. In the analysis of these stress related lncRNAs, we found 30 miRNAs targeted these lncRNAs, and 15 of these lncRNAs were targeted by miRNAs (Supplementary Table 6B). LNC_005731 and LNC_006174 were the target of ghr-miR399, which is engaged in salt tolerance of cotton (Deng et al., 2018).

To characterize the putative function of these lncRNA candidates in response to different stresses in Table 2, the expression levels of lncRNAs under the same stress treatments have been identified by QRT-PCR (Figure 6). We selected four lncRNAs that showed similar expression patterns with TOR

![FIGURE 5](image-url) | Gene expression profiles of TOR genes under different stresses. Error bar represents the standard deviation of three independent experiments. Asterisks (**p < 0.01, *p < 0.05) indicate significant differences from the control.
genes for every stress treatment (Figure 6). In response to cold treatment, LNC_000512, LNC_004698, LNC_005100 showed a quick response and peaked at 1 h. In response to heat stress, LNC_004696, LNC_006174 and LNC_009095 reached the maximum at 3 h. We found that LNC_001977, LNC_004698, LNC_006174, and LNC_009095 displayed similar expression patterns when exposed to NaCl and PEG treatments, indicating that they may play a similar role in salinity and drought response. When responding to diverse stresses, all the transcript levels of LNC_007115 were downregulated, indicating that this lncRNA may be a negative regulator of stress response and may be regulated by GhTOR2-Ai, which showed a similar expression pattern. Gene expression profiles of these putative stress-related lncRNAs suggested that they may function as regulators downstream of TOR.

DISCUSSION

The TOR (target of rapamycin) signaling pathway functions as a key player in integrating stress-related cues with growth (Rexin et al., 2015; Shi et al., 2018). However, little is known about the molecular mechanism of how the TOR signaling pathway regulates plant stress in the tetraploid cotton. In the present study, we characterized the functions of cotton TOR and performed the comprehensive analysis of TOR genes in cotton and the lncRNAs regulated by TOR signaling, with the aim of obtaining a better understanding of their functional roles in future studies.

In our previous research, we revealed that the TOR signaling pathway existed in tetraploid cotton and also analyzed the cotton transcriptome under TOR inhibition (Song et al., 2017). In this study, functional analysis was further performed to elucidate the role of TOR protein in cotton. Due to the difficulties in genetic transformation in cotton, VIGS (Virus-induced gene silencing) technology is used to identify cotton gene functions as a result of its straightforward operation, quick returns and free transformation (Yang et al., 2015; Cheng et al., 2016). Similar to the phenotypes applied by TOR inhibitor AZD treatment (Figure 1D), knockdown of GhTOR genes caused pale yellow-green and shrinking leaves (Figure 2), which was consistent with the previous report that TOR played a role in regulating cotyledon greening and photosynthesis (Dong et al., 2015; Li et al., 2015b). The KEGG analysis of lncRNAs in Figure 4 further confirmed the conserved role of TOR.

Emerging evidence supports the view that lncRNAs play important roles in many fundamental biological processes (Liu et al., 2015). In plants, systematic searches of lncRNAs have been conducted in Arabidopsis, wheat, rice, Zea mays, tomato and cotton fibers (Li et al., 2007, 2014a; Ben Amor et al., 2009; Xin et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2014a, 2015a; Zhu et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2015). However, much work still remains to be done with cotton. In the present study, through utilizing the similar strict criteria pipelines to identify lncRNAs used in previous studies in other plants (Sun et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2014), a total of 10,315 lncRNAs were identified in cotton, of which 9,356 were lncRNAs and 959 were lncNATs (Supplementary Figure 2B). Compared with the previous lncRNA studies in cotton, the identified lncRNA numbers in our study were far less than those of Wang et al. (Wang et al., 2015a) but were similar to those in Lu et al. (2016). This may be due to the more rigorous filtration criteria we used to identify lncRNAs. Although some cotton lncRNAs might be excluded due to the sequencing limitations and strict bioinformatics criteria, a relatively reliable list of cotton lncRNAs is provided, which could be useful for other researchers. None of the intronic lncRNAs were identified in our database, which is different from the previous studies in cotton. We speculate that intronic lncRNAs may be dispensable in the early stage of cotton seedling growth.

Great progress has been made in dissecting the function of the TOR signaling pathway in regulating lncRNAs in humans (Ge et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014b; Wang et al., 2015b; Yacqub-Usmam et al., 2015; Wu and Luo, 2016). Yet, functional characterization of the TOR signaling pathway in regulating plant lncRNAs is still largely uncovered. In this study, we identified a total of 401 differentially expressed lncRNAs in the TOR-inactivated cotton seedlings, which might be regulated by the TOR signaling pathway. These differentially expressed lncRNAs represent functional candidates in future experimental studies. Compared with animals, photosynthesis is one of the unique features of plants. VIGS of GhTOR genes in cotton resulted in a compromised photosynthetic ability (yellow-green and shrinking leaves). Previous expression profiling and functional analysis revealed that TOR is a key player in regulating photosynthesis (Dong et al., 2015). In our study, the enriched KEGG pathway “carbon fixation in photosynthetic organism” and “photosynthesis” further confirmed the role of TOR signaling pathway in plant photosynthesis. TOR signaling pathway also is a highly conserved regulator of eukaryotic stress resistance. Studies in Arabidopsis revealed that TOR-dependent downregulation of S6K activity was integral to adaptive osmotic stress responses (Mahfouz et al., 2006; Deprost et al., 2007). Furthermore, TOR-dependent regulation of autophagy occurred either downstream or independently of ROS-related signaling inputs, which were highly associated with stresses (Liu and Bassham, 2010). An ancient regulatory module, Snf1 (sucrose-non-fermenting 1), communicated with cellular energy levels and associated stresses to downstream targets, including TOR (Hardie et al., 2012). In our study, GO enrichment analysis revealed that neighbor protein-coding genes of differentially expressed lncRNAs were significantly enriched in defense response, indicating the potential role of these lncRNAs in plant stress responses. In Table 2, a mass of MLP (Major Latex Protein) family proteins are identified. A number of studies have suggested that MLP protein is related to biotic and abiotic stresses (Schenk et al., 2000; Qu et al., 2005; Siemens et al., 2006; Chen and Dai, 2010; Malter and Wolf, 2011; Wang et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2015). Further studies may be needed to dissect the molecular basis of the relationship between these lncRNAs and TOR in stress response. Wang et al. revealed that the TOR kinase and ABA receptor balanced plant growth and stress response (Wang et al., 2018a). Consistently, two ABA receptors, PYL2 and PYL11, were also targeted by differentially expressed lncRNAs in our results.
Transcription analysis of differentially expressed lncRNAs under multiple stresses further confirmed the involvement of these lncRNAs in plant stress response (Figure 6). Taken together with the expression patterns of GhTOR genes under different stresses (Figure 5 and Supplementary Figure 1), our results indicated that TOR may directly regulate these potential stress-related lncRNAs and play a vital role in plant stress response. In addition, it is well-known that transposons associate with lncRNA origins and lncRNAs’ transcribed regions, eventually driving expression of lncRNAs, and microRNA can also target lncRNAs (Ponting et al., 2009; Kapusta et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016a,b; Sherafatian and Mowla, 2017). To confirm the lncRNAs’ functions selected in the present study, transposons and miRNAs related with these selected lncRNAs were analyzed (Supplementary Tables 4–6).

In summary, this is the first study to characterize cotton lncRNAs potentially regulated by the TOR signaling pathway. As a key upstream protein kinase, TOR initiates many downstream signaling cascades in all examined eukaryotic species. TOR protein should have many downstream signaling proteins and transcriptional factors, which may regulate the expression of lncRNAs in plants. Functional characterization of lncRNAs is still in its infancy. Further studies should be conducted to elucidate the biological functions of these candidate lncRNAs and how they are regulated by the TOR signaling pathway. Our study provides new information.
that underpins the functional characterization of lncRNAs potentially regulated by the TOR signaling pathway in cotton. These data provide a useful resource of lncRNAs and a foundation for functional research of TOR in cotton stress responses.
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