Band Offsets at Semiconductor-Oxide Interfaces from Hybrid Density Functional Calculations
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Band offsets at semiconductor-oxide interfaces are determined through a scheme based on hybrid density functionals, which incorporate a fraction $\alpha$ of Hartree-Fock exchange. For each bulk component, the fraction $\alpha$ is tuned to reproduce the experimental band gap, and the conduction and valence band edges are then located with respect to a reference level. The lineup of the bulk reference levels is determined through an interface calculation, and shown to be almost independent of the fraction $\alpha$. Application of this scheme to the Si-SiO$_2$, SiC-SiO$_2$, and Si-HfO$_2$ interfaces yields excellent agreement with experiment.
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The discontinuity in the local band structure at semiconductor-semiconductor and semiconductor-oxide interfaces is a crucial physical property for the operation of most electronic and optoelectronic devices [1]. Early theoretical research [2], mainly on semiconductor heterojunctions, provided a deep understanding of the processes that govern the band alignments at interfaces [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. This resulted in the development of theoretical models providing a reasonable description of band offsets and being particularly useful when a wide class of materials needs to be screened [7]. However, these models mainly rely on bulk properties of the interface components [2], and therefore do not account for the detailed atomic and electronic properties at the interface, which are known to affect band offsets [8].

Density functional calculations of band offsets provide a qualitative improvement by describing the electronic and atomic arrangements at the interface in a self-consistent way. However, the most common approximations to the exchange-correlation energy, i.e. the generalized-gradient approximation and the local density approximation, lead to significant underestimations of band gaps, thereby impairing the reliability of calculated band offsets. While valence band offsets at semiconductor heterojunctions are described with reasonable accuracy due to cancellation of errors in both interface components, band-offsets errors for semiconductor-oxide interfaces can reach several eV [8, 14, 15, 16]. Calculations based on the GW perturbation theory yield accurate band offsets at interfaces due to an improved description of bulk band gaps [17, 18]. However, these calculations are computationally demanding and can only be applied to relatively small systems. For instance, the study of realistic semiconductor-oxide interfaces in which the oxide is noncrystalline [14, 15, 16] is severely hindered.

In this work, we introduce a scheme for calculating band offsets at interfaces through the use of hybrid density functionals. These functionals incorporate a fraction $\alpha$ of Hartree-Fock exchange [20] and substantially improve the description of bulk band gaps [21]. We apply our scheme to model structures of the Si-SiO$_2$, Si-HfO$_2$, and SiC-SiO$_2$ interfaces, which all feature a realistic description of the complex transition region. The band structures of the two interface components are lined up through their reference levels at the interface [11]. For each component, we perform bulk calculations tuning the fraction $\alpha$ to reproduce the experimental band gap. The lineup of the reference potential in the interface model is found to only weakly depend on the fraction $\alpha$ conferring consistency on our scheme. For the three interfaces studied, the calculated band offsets are in excellent agreement with experiment.

We considered a class of hybrid density functionals based on the generalized gradient approximation of Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (PBE) [22], which are obtained by replacing a fraction $\alpha$ of PBE exchange with Hartree-Fock exchange [23]. The functional defined by $\alpha=0.25$ is referred to as PBE0 and is supported by theoretical considerations [23]. Core-valence interactions were described through normconserving pseudopotentials generated at the PBE level. The valence wave functions were expanded in a plane-wave basis set defined by an energy cutoff of 70 Ry. The interface calculations corresponding to large supercells were performed with a Brillouin-zone sampling restricted to the $\Gamma$ point. In the bulk calculations, the positions of the band extrema were determined through converged $k$-point samplings. The integrable divergence of the Hartree-Fock exchange term was explicitly treated [24]. Structural relaxations were carried out at the PBE level. We used the implementation in quantum-espresso [27] and CPMD [28].

The choice of the model structures requires particular attention. Indeed, previous density-functional studies on crystalline-crystalline Si-ZrO$_2$ and SiO$_2$-HfO$_2$ interfaces have revealed a strong sensitivity of the band offsets on the adopted model of the interfacial bonding pattern [8]. Therefore, we considered model interfaces in which the
oxide is amorphous to ensure that the transition region is smooth in terms of bond parameters and coordination. For the Si-SiO₂ interface, such a choice led to variations of 0.1 to 0.2 eV in the band offsets calculated at the PBE level [27]. The Si-SiO₂ interface adopted here is described through a 217-atom superlattice model in which layers of crystalline Si (9 monolayers) and of amorphous SiO₂ (17 Å) alternate [14]. This interface model structure incorporates a set of atomic-scale features inferred from experimental data. The SiC-SiO₂ interface is modeled by a 237-atom slab characterized by a chemically abrupt transition between crystalline 4H-SiC (8 monolayers) and amorphous SiO₂ (16 Å) [15]. The Si-HfO₂ interface is described by a superlattice model comprising 282 atoms and including a SiO₂ interlayer (7 Å) between crystalline silicon (10 monolayers) and amorphous HfO₂ (12 Å) [19]. To represent the bulk of the interface components, we used the corresponding crystalline structures for Si and 4H-SiC, a disordered model for SiO₂ [28], and the monoclinic structure for HfO₂ [29].

In Table I, the band gaps of these reference bulk components are calculated at the PBE and PBE0 levels and compared to experimental values. As well known, the PBE band gaps severely underestimate their measured counterparts. While the inclusion of Hartree-Fock exchange always enhances the calculated band gap, the comparison with experiment is not systematically improved at the PBE0 level.

![Fig. 1: Planar-averaged (a) electron density and (c) local potential across the Si-SiO₂ interface calculated in PBE (solid) and PBE0 (dashed). The difference between the electron densities and the local potentials in the two schemes is shown in (b) and (d), respectively. The shaded areas correspond to the transition regions between Si and SiO₂.](image)

TABLE I: Band gaps (in eV) of Si, SiC, HfO₂, and SiO₂, calculated using functionals incorporating a varying fraction α of Hartree-Fock exchange: α=0 (PBE), α=0.25 (PBE0), and an optimal fraction α₀ reproducing the experimental band gap.

|          | PBE | PBE0 | Optimal (α₀) | Expt. |
|----------|-----|------|--------------|-------|
| Si       | 0.6 | 1.8  | 1.1 (0.11)   | 1.1   |
| SiC      | 2.2 | 3.9  | 3.3 (0.15)   | 3.3   |
| HfO₂     | 4.3 | 6.7  | 5.9 (0.15)   | 5.9   |
| SiO₂     | 5.4 | 7.9  | 8.9 (0.34)   | 8.9   |

Nevertheless, band offsets calculated at the PBE0 level noticeably improve upon the PBE ones. For instance the valence band offset goes from 2.5 eV to 3.3 eV, to be compared with the experimental value of 4.4 eV [31]. This improvement is mostly due to a better description of bulk band gaps in PBE0 (Table I). However, deviations with respect to experiment are still remarkable. Similar observations also hold for the other interfaces (Table II).

To further improve band offsets, it appears imperative to more accurately describe the band gaps of bulk components. This cannot be achieved for both interface components through the use of a hybrid functional with

![TABLE II: Valence (∆Eᵥ) and conduction (∆Eᶜ) band offsets at the Si-SiO₂, SiC-SiO₂, and Si-HfO₂ interfaces calculated in PBE, PBE0, and the mixed scheme. Experimental band offsets are from Refs. [31], [34], and [35], respectively.](image)
a fixed fraction of Hartree-Fock exchange $\alpha$. However, it has been argued that there is no universal fraction $\alpha$ for all materials and that its optimal value could even be property dependent \[32\]. In Fig. 2 we show the evolution of the conduction and valence band edges of the four bulk materials considered in this work as a function of $\alpha$. Since the bulk band gaps increase linearly with $\alpha$, the value of $\alpha$ can always be chosen to reproduce the experimental band gap. This resulted in optimal values $\alpha_0$ of 0.11 for Si, 0.15 for SiC and HfO$_2$, and 0.34 for SiO$_2$ (Table I and Fig. 2). We note that for these $\alpha_0$ values, the hybrid functional calculation not only gives the experimental band gap but also the position of the band extrema with respect to the adopted reference potential.

The consideration of a fraction $\alpha$ of the Hartree-Fock exchange is equivalent to an effective static screening of the long-range interaction: $\alpha \sim 1/\varepsilon_{\infty}$, where $\varepsilon_{\infty}$ is the electronic part of the dielectric constant. Indeed, the optimal $\alpha_0$’s found above respect this relationship in a qualitative way. To further support the proposed adjustment of $\alpha$, we compared the band shifts of Si and SiO$_2$ calculated with the hybrid functionals to those obtained with $GW$ and quasiparticle selfconsistent $GW$ (QSGW) \[18\]. The $GW$ band shifts are reported in Fig. 2 in correspondence of the value of $\alpha$ which reproduces the band gap found in the $GW$ calculation. The comparison between hybrid and $GW$ band shifts is quite good, with differences not exceeding those between different $GW$ schemes.

The observations above can be combined to obtain band offsets. From the interface model, the lineup of the reference potentials in the two bulk components is determined. Then, bulk extrema are positioned on each side of the interface using the results of hybrid functional calculations with optimal $\alpha_0$ for each bulk component \[33\]. This mixed scheme is graphically illustrated in Fig. 3.

The scheme critically relies on the fact that the lineup extracted from the interface calculation is not significantly dependent on the adopted fraction of Hartree-Fock exchange $\alpha$. However, it has been argued that there is no universal fraction $\alpha$ for all materials and that its optimal value could even be property dependent \[32\]. In Fig. 2 we show the evolution of the conduction and valence band edges of the four bulk materials considered in this work as a function of $\alpha$. Since the bulk band gaps increase linearly with $\alpha$, the value of $\alpha$ can always be chosen to reproduce the experimental band gap. This resulted in optimal values $\alpha_0$ of 0.11 for Si, 0.15 for SiC and HfO$_2$, and 0.34 for SiO$_2$ (Table I and Fig. 2). We note that for these $\alpha_0$ values, the hybrid functional calculation not only gives the experimental band gap but also the position of the band extrema with respect to the adopted reference potential.

The consideration of a fraction $\alpha$ of the Hartree-Fock exchange is equivalent to an effective static screening of the long-range interaction: $\alpha \sim 1/\varepsilon_{\infty}$, where $\varepsilon_{\infty}$ is the electronic part of the dielectric constant. Indeed, the optimal $\alpha_0$’s found above respect this relationship in a qualitative way. To further support the proposed adjustment of $\alpha$, we compared the band shifts of Si and SiO$_2$ calculated with the hybrid functionals to those obtained with $GW$ and quasiparticle selfconsistent $GW$ (QSGW) \[18\]. The $GW$ band shifts are reported in Fig. 2 in correspondence of the value of $\alpha$ which reproduces the band gap found in the $GW$ calculation. The comparison between hybrid and $GW$ band shifts is quite good, with differences not exceeding those between different $GW$ schemes.

The observations above can be combined to obtain
exchange $\alpha$. To further support this point, we performed several hybrid functional calculations with varying $\alpha$ for each of the model interfaces under consideration. As shown in Fig. 4, the lineup between the reference levels in the two bulk components only marginally depends on $\alpha$, generalizing the observation made above for the Si-SiO$_2$ interface. The dependence on $\alpha$ is even weaker for the other interfaces. Inspection of Fig. 1(b) shows that the charge transfer occurring at the interface is similar to that observed in the oxide, suggesting that the change of the interfacial dipole should be attributed to a modification of the local chemistry rather than to the increase of the number semiconductor-induced gap states resulting from the modified band offsets. Assuming that the interface calculation is performed with a value of $\alpha$ corresponding to the average of the $\alpha$s pertaining to the two interface components, we estimate that the induced indetermination is smaller than 0.15 eV in the worst case.

The band offsets obtained through the application of the mixed scheme are included in Table 1. The calculated values agree extremely well with experimental ones. The error between theory and experiment is similar to that observed in the oxide, suggesting that the intrinsic indetermination of our approach and to the scatter between different experiments. In comparison to hybrid calculations with a fixed fraction $\alpha$, the mixed scheme provides a striking improvement in the theoretical estimation of band offsets.

In conclusion, we demonstrated the accurate determination of band offsets through the use of hybrid density functionals and experimental band gaps. The presented scheme constitutes a predictive tool which is computationally less demanding than GW calculations, yet achieves band offsets of comparable accuracy. Its application to complex interface components such as non-crystalline oxides is within reach without loss of accuracy.
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