The using of sealants in pancreatic surgery: A Systematic Review

Francesco Serra *, Isabella Bonaduce, Elena Giulia Rossi, Nicola De Ruvo, Nicola Cautero, Roberta Gelmini

Department of Surgery, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Policlinico of Modena, Via del Pozzo, 71 41100, Modena, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
- Pancreatic surgery
- Pancreatoduodenectomy
- Sealants
- Fibrin glue
- Neoprene glue

ABSTRACT

Background: POPF derives from the pancreatic stump, which follows pancreatic resection and the pancreatoenteric anastomosis following pancreaticoduodenectomy. Since 1978 sealants have been used in pancreatic surgery to prevent pancreatic fistula after resection of the pancreatic head and tail or for the management of trauma and the treatment of low-output pancreatic fistula. Different types of fibrin sealants have been evaluated for their potential to reduce the occurrence of POPF.

Methods: A systematic search of the electronic literature was performed using PubMed, Cochrane Library, and Scopus databases to obtain access to all publications, especially clinical trials, randomised controlled trials, and systematic reviews concerning fibrin sealants pancreatic surgery. Searching for “fibrin sealants pancreas,” we found a total of 73 results on Pubmed, 61 on Scopus, and 14 on Cochrane Library (148 total results).

Results: Eighteen studies were found on literature, following the criteria already described, concerning the use of fibrin sealants in pancreatic surgery. All articles described were published in the period between 1989 and 2019. Most of these were single centre studies. A total of 1032 patients were enrolled in this review. In the studies, sealants were used to reinforce pancreatic anastomoses and for the occlusion of the main pancreatic duct. CR-POPF is a fearful complication of pancreatic surgery; among the possible solutions to reduce the risk of onset, sealants were used on the pancreatic stump; today the sealants should be considered such as an option to reduce the CR-POPF, but the routine use in clinical practice has to be validated.

1. Introduction

The most critical determinant of postoperative morbidity and mortality related to pancreatic resection is POPF, which is the cause of mortality in 0–5% of patients undergoing pancreatic surgery [1,2]. It is defined as an abnormal communication between the pancreatic ductal epithelium and another epithelial surface containing pancreas-derived enzyme-rich fluid [3]. Generally, POPF derives from the pancreatic stump, which follows pancreatic resection and pancreatoenteric anastomosis following pancreaticoduodenectomy. It is associated with significant sequelae such as sepsis, abscess, and haemorrhage, which lead to a prolonged hospital stay, increased healthcare costs, and possible mortality. Therefore, reducing the incidence of POPF has been suggested variations in surgical techniques of pancreatic anastomosis, the use of long-acting somatostatin analogues, and adhesive materials close to pancreatoenteric anastomosis site [1]. The anastomosis between the pancreatic remnant and the intestinal tract is still the surgical Achilles heel after pancreatic head resection. Over 27% of patients develop a clinically relevant POPF [4].

In literature, several techniques for pancreatojejunostomy anastomoses, such as the duct-to-mucosa technique and the invagination of the entire pancreas’s end into the jejunum, are described. Based on different studies, there is no significant difference between the two techniques regarding the leak rate. However, there was a slight decrease in clinically relevant fistula with the invagination approach [5].

Besides, Octreotide’s use after pancreatic surgery remains controversial: a Cochrane review [6] shows a reduction in overall fistula rates using somatostatin-analogues after pancreatic surgery, without finding differences about relevant fistulae. Instead, pasireotide’s use demonstrates a significant reduction in clinically relevant fistulas, leaks, and abscesses [7,8].

Since 1978 sealants have been used in pancreatic surgery to prevent pancreatic fistula after resection of the pancreatic head and tail or for the management of trauma and the treatment of low-output pancreatic
fistula [9,10]. Different types of fibrin sealants have been evaluated for their potential to reduce the occurrence of POPF. However, because of the small numbers in some studies or the noncontrolled methodology, no conclusions can be drawn regarding whether fibrin sealant is useful or not [10].

2. Methods

This study was conducted on the basis of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement and its quality was evaluated using the AMSTAR-2 tool [11,12]. This study was registered to Research Registry with the unique identifying number (UIN) 1116 [13]. A systematic search of the electronic literature was performed using PubMed, Cochrane Library, and Scopus databases to obtain access to all publications, especially clinical trials, randomised controlled trials, and systematic reviews concerning fibrin sealants pancreatic surgery. Searching for “fibrin sealants pancreas,” we found a total of 73 results on Pubmed, 61 on Scopus, and 14 on Cochrane Library (148 total results). We included only English publications, full-text documents, clinical trials, randomised controlled trials, systematic reviews. After excluding similar articles between three databases, we enrolled a total of 18 studies (Fig. 1).

3. Results

Eighteen studies were found on literature, following the criteria already described, concerning the use of fibrin sealants in pancreatic surgery. All articles described were published in the period between 1989 and 2019 (Table 1).

Most of these were single-center studies. Three studies [1,11,12] were trial which enrolled animals (rats and pigs), for testing the efficacy of fibrin glue, so in these cases, it was not possible to establish the rate of POPF and mortality for the authors in our review. A total of 1032 patients were enrolled in this review. In the studies, sealants were used to reinforce pancreatic anastomoses and for the occlusion of the main pancreatic duct. Different kinds of sealants were analysed: Ethibloc, Neoprene, 2-octyl cyanoacrylate, Tachosil, Tissucol, Vitagel, Polyethylene glycolic acid, Tisseel, Vivostat, BioGlue, and Coseal. The POPF rate in all the studies, considering only clinical significantly POPF, had a rate of 3,9–40%.

Marczell et al. [13] and Luu et al. [14] showed a mortality rate of 0% with the use of sealants [13,14].

Kwon et al. [15], in the study, compared two groups, on the one hand, patients treated with pancreaticojejunostomy covered with a fibrinogen/thrombin-coated collagen patch (TachoSil; Takeda Austria, Linz, Austria), plus the application of fibrin glue to the pancreaticojejunostomy site in distal pancreatectomy, on the other hand, the application of fibrin glue alone to the pancreaticojejunostomy site.

Fig. 1. PRISMA flow diagram on fibrin sealant in pancreatic surgery.
POPF resulted higher in the control group than in the first one (37.1% vs 25.8%), and the mortality rate was 1.6% for the control group compared with the 0% of the first one [15]. Another comparison study was one by Mazzaferro et al. [16]. In their study, 51 patients underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy with the 0% of the first one [15]. Another comparison study was one by Barakat et al. [17] compared two groups of patients treated with duct occlusion. The causes of death were pancreatic fistula, multiple fistulae, biliary fistula, haemorrhage, heart failure, and pleural effusion due to isoflurane [21].

About Tisseel, Martin et al. [19] did not evidence significant differences between groups of people treated with this sealant versus patients treated without sealants. The mortality rate was 0% for both groups, and POPF was 40% for the Tisseel group and 43.8% for the second one [19]. Kuramoto et al. [20] evaluated the efficacy of applying the polyethylene glycolic acid felt pasting method to PJ anastomosis for the reduction of POPF after PD. The overall incidence of POPF was 29% in the PGA group and 48.5% in the control group [20]. Suc et al. [21] described that patients underwent total pancreatectomy without anastomosis, and patients underwent PJ anastomosis. The mortality rate was 9% for patients treated with duct occlusion. The causes of death were pancreatic fistula, multiple fistulae, biliary fistula, haemorrhage, heart failure, and pleuropulmonary infection acute hepatic failure due to isoflurane [21].

The efficacy of TachoSil was evaluated by Montorsi et al. [22], who showed a 62% POPF in patients treated with this sealant versus 68% of the sealing group patients and 9% in the sandwich group [18].

Table 1

| Authors            | Year | Country | Setting           | Design                  | Patients treated with sealant, n | Type of sealant                          | POPF (%) | Mortality % |
|--------------------|------|---------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------|-------------|
| Gebhardt C.        | 1990 | Germany | Single center     | Clinical trial          | -                                | Ethibloc                                 | -        | -           |
| Plusczyn et al.    | 2001 | Germany | Single center     | Clinical trial          | 56                               | Fibrin glue (Beriplast; Behring, Marburg, Germany) | 26.8%    | 1.8%        |
| Ohwada et al.      | 1998 | Japan   | Single center     | Clinical trial          | 51                               | Neoprene                                 | 3.9%     | 5.8%        |
| Di Carlo et al.    | 1989 | Italy   | Single center     | Clinical trial          | 51                               | Neoprene                                 | 9%       | -           |
| Mazzaferro et al.  | 2019 | Italy   | Single center     | Clinical trial          | 71                               | Neoprene                                 | 9.8%     | 2%          |
| Schindl et al.     | 2018 | Austria | Multicenter       | Clinical trial          | 75                               | Fibrin coated collagen patch             | 23%      | 1.4%        |
| Barakat et al.     | 2012 | USA     | Single center     | Clinical trial          | 145                              | Tachosil                                 | 8%       | -           |
| Montorsi et al.    | 2012 | Italy   | Multicenter       | Randomised controlled trial | 124                              | Tachosil                                 | 6.4%     | 1.6% and 1% |
| Lee et al.         | 2020 | South Korea | Single center | Clinical trial          | 32                               | Tisseel                                  | 40%      | 0%          |
| Kwon et al.        | 2019 | South Korea | Single center | Prospective randomised trial | 50                               | Vitagel                                  | 20%      | 0%          |
| Suc et al.         | 2001 | France  | Multicenter       | Prospective randomised trial | 124                              | TachoSil                                 | 4%       | 1%          |
| Martin et al.      | 2012 | Australia | Single center | Prospective randomised trial | 32                               | Tisseel                                  | 50%      | 0%          |
| Carter et al.      | 2012 | USA     | Multicenter       | Prospective randomised trial | 50                               | Vitagel                                  | 4%       | 0%          |
| Kuhlbrei et al.    | 2019 | Germany | Single center     | Test in vitro           | 31                               | Polyethylene glycolic acid              | 29%      | -           |
| Kuramoto et al.    | 2013 | Japan   | Single center     | Clinical trial          | 44                               | Tisseel                                  | 5%       | 0%          |
| Marczell et al.    | 1991 | Austria | Single center     | Clinical trial          | 134                              | Tachosil                                 | 30.6%    | 0.75%       |
| Lee et al.         | 2019 | South Korea | Single center | Clinical trial          | 66                               | Vivostat                                 | 27%      | 0%          |
| Cunha et al.       | 2015 | France  | Multicenter       | Controlled randomised study | 134                              | Tachosil                                 | 30.6%    | 0.75%       |
4. Discussion

The pancreatoduodenectomy is a procedure that remains burdened by significant morbidity, mainly related to postoperative pancreatic fistula [24]. The incidence of Postoperative Pancreatic Fistula (POPF) is 5%-30%, and pancreatic texture, diameter and position of Wirsung duct, blood loss, body mass index, and pancreatic disease etiology were identified risk factors. In the 1980s, pancreatic duct occlusion was explored as an alternative to pancreaticojejunostomy anastomosis [16,23,25]. The use of medical tissue adhesive represents an attractive option to suturing or stapling since they can accomplish several tasks, such as homeostasis and sealing air leakages. They do not represent any risk of needlestick injury to medical staff. The closure of the pancreatic duct with a chemical substance was proposed by Gebhardt [26] in 1978. He occluded the pancreatic duct with Ethibloc (Ethicon, Norderstedt, Germany) in patients with severe chronic cephalic pancreatitis. Prolamine (Ethibloc) is an alcoholic amino acid solution that has some advantages as an occlusive gel. It can solidify rapidly, microbiologically indifferent, disintegrates within two weeks, and causes only mild oedema [26,27].

Moreover, Plusczyk et al. [11] induced a temporary obliteration of the pancreatic duct, in inbred rats, by using Ethibloc to introduce atrophy of the exocrine pancreas for treatment of chronic pancreatitis and to protect the anastomosis following Roux-Y pancreaticojejunostomy [11]. As described in previous experimental studies [28], the administration of Ethibloc could lead to fulminating acute hemorrhagic pancreatitis with disruption of the pancreatic ducts’ epithelial lining cells and periductal leukocyte infiltration 15 days after the administration. The study showed severe tissue damage, an acute inflammatory response, and a significant increase in serum p-amylase levels after the use of Ethibloc. Retrograde intraductal infusion of Ethibloc caused temporary but compromising microcriculatory deterioration with almost complete ischemia of the pancreas [11]. The occlusion of the pancreatic duct with fibrin sealants, in Marczell et al.’s [13] study, significantly reduced the perioperative mortality and the postoperative complication rate of pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD). Despite these results, it seems that prolamine, due to its viscosity, does not yield uniformly favourable long term results. It could also lead to interstitial fibrosis with subsequent high-grade sclerosis of the exocrine pancreatic parenchyma with an essential alteration of the endocrine cell function [13]. About this, Suc et al. [21] described a study in which ductal occlusion was performed with fibrin glue (Tissucol). The results did not show a significant decrease in the rate of intra-abdominal complications. The failure of fibrin glue ductal occlusion on the pancreatic fistula rate could be explained by increasing secretion of pancreatic juice in the secondary ducts in comparison with other compounds. The injection of Neoprene into the Wirsung duct through the catheter must be done without direct contact with the surrounding tissue cause of its caustic compound due to its high pH. From this study resulted that the incidence of the pancreatic fistula was reduced. They observed only two fistulas (4%), which spontaneously resolved in a short time [23].

Moreover, no pancreatic inflammatory complications developed after Neoprene injection confirm that this agent induces only fibrosis of the exocrine tissue. The elimination of incompletely drained pancreatic parenchyma areas induces complete pain relief and makes the recurrence of the disease unlikely. The islands function is not significantly damaged by Neoprene-induced pancreatic fibrosis for up to 3 years after surgery [23].

Neoprene 671 is a low viscosity, liquid rubber of pH 12.4. Upon contact with the more acidic pancreatic juice, this product is polymerised and precipitated [33]. Peculiar of neoprene latex is the ability to depolymerise in contact with the pancreatic juice’s basic pH, hardening into a semisolid cast of Wirsung duct [13,26]. Despite these attractive features, the scientific evidence of Neoprene based pancreatic duct occlusion (PDO) efficacy is lacking.

In their study, Mazzaferrato et al. [16] enrolled 100 patients undergoing radical pancreatoduodenectomy for various cancers of the pancreaticoduodenal region. Patients with high fistula risk scores and therefore at high risk for POPF were treated with PDO using a neoprene glue. A parallel cohort of control patients operated during the same period and considered at low risk of POPF received conventional pancreatic-jejunal reconstructions (PJA). The primary endpoint of the study was to compare the two cohorts. The 90-day complication rate according to Dindo-Clavien Classification (DCC). Other endpoints of the study were evaluating the new-onset insulin-dependent diabetes along with the follow-up and patient survival outcomes. The two cohorts were similar for most clinical characteristics, except for baseline albumin levels and tumour stage, more advanced in the study group receiving PDO than controls.

Complications at 30 days occurred in 13 patients of the study cohort and 12 controls. In particular, the rate of chemical pancreatitis was 0%,
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even in those who underwent rescue PDO. On the one hand, they did not observe worsening morbidity and mortality after duct occlusion in patients at high risk of POPF than the parallel cohort at low risk of fistula receiving PJA. So, the efficacy of duct occlusion with Neoprene was confirmed. On the other hand, post-surgical new-onset diabetes incidence was higher in neoprene-PDOs than in the PJ anastomosis cohort.

Matrix-bound sealants are composed of a carrier such as collagen or gelatin to stimulate endogenous coagulation, with or without additional active components. In most studies in pancreatic surgery, sealant patches were used to cover the pancreatic stump after distal pancreatic resection. In a non-randomised single-centre study of 54 patients undergoing pancreatectoduodenectomy [34], half of the patients received a fibrin sealant patch layered on to the pancreateojejunostomy, and the other half served as controls. The POPF rate was 7% in the entire cohort, with one grade B and two grade A POPFs in the control group and 40 of 71 in the patch group and 40 of 71 in the control group. There was no significant difference in surgical complications and drain fluid concentrations of total amylase, pancreas-specific amylase and lipase during the postoperative observation period between the groups [4].

A study by Schindl et al. [4] evaluated a fibrin-coated collagen patch’s ability to reduce the risk of postoperative POPF in patients undergoing pancreatectoduodenectomy with pancreateojejunostomy. After surgery, biochemical leakage or POPF occurred in 85 of 142 patients: 45 of 71 in the patch group and 40 of 71 in the control group. There was no significant difference in surgical complications and drain fluid concentrations of total amylase, pancreas-specific amylase and lipase during the postoperative observation period between the groups [4].

The popularity of sealant patches in pancreatic surgery is mainly related to the assumption that these products may reduce the overflow of pancreatic juice from the anastomosis during the first few days after surgery and reduce biochemical leak and its sequelae such as inflammatory retention and late haemorrhage. The lack of success of fibrin sealant patches in the prevention of POPF. In contrast with a previous study [35], the authors supposed that pancreateojejunostomy by invagination of the pancreatic stump into the jejunum and Ticron-pledged sutures makes a homogeneous anastomotic surface able to support the adhesion of TachoSil® (Nycomed, UK Ltd.) and optimises the sealing effect, so it could be considered a good surgical technique, not inferior to pancreatecogastrostomy [34].

The portability of fibrin sealants, the medical glue 2-octyl cyanoacrylate (2-OCA) is also readily applicable to the resection surface. Aggressive pancreatic enzymes do not degrade it due to its long-lasting tissue bonds. Cyanoacrylate is an acrylic resin that rapidly polymerises in the presence of water, forming long, strong bonds that join surfaces together. The compound 2-OCA is a nontoxic bacteriostatic medical glue that has been widely used to approximate skin edges. In 2013, Barakat et al. [17] had published their first results on the topical application of 2-OCA to pancreateojejunal anastomosis after pancreatectoduodenectomy. They reported a highly significant reduction of POPF for the 2-OCA group compared to patients without 2-OCA application. The synthetic tissue sealant consisting of a blend of two monomers, 2-OCA and butyl-lactoyl-cyanoacrylate, OMNEX, creates a flexible physical seal after polymerisation, independent of the body’s clotting mechanism. During a period of approximately 36 months, the sealant eventually degrades via hydrolytic chain scission, breaking down into smaller absorbable fragments. In total, they found six biochemical leaks, five clinically relevant cases of POPF.
was deposited around the periphery of the patch. They compared fibrin with one of the staples or sutures with the results that there were no significant differences among the two groups. These results could be caused by the lack of vascularisation of the falciform ligament patch; the pedicled patch may have some vascularity element, which might give the remnant a buttress of live tissue. At the same time, a pedicled flap may prove a hindrance, being dislodged. Furthermore, patients treated with fibrin glue might have a delayed onset of pancreatic fistula due to the additional physical barrier [39]. Furthermore, Kuramoto et al. [20] described the use of polyethylene glycolic acid (PGA) in conjunction with fibrin sealant in PJ anastomosis to reinforce not PJ anastomosis but the pancreatic remnant in order to have a tight fixation to the jejunum. This method significantly seems to reduce the incidence of POPF in patients with a soft pancreas [20].

Luu et al. [14] suggested an automated biotechnological process enabling preparations of autologous fibrin sealant, the Vivostat System (AFS, Vivostat, Alleroed, Denmark), fibrin is made by patient blood. It seems to be useful to prevent severe complications such as septic haemorrhage and intensive care treatment. Vivostat System consists of three parts: the Processor Unit, which processes patient’s blood and prepares concentrated fibrin solution with a disposable preparation unit; the Applicator Unit, which stores AFS and controls the delivery of AFS to the spray pen and the Disposable Set, which components needed for preparation and application of AFS. Vivostat is a body-owned product, so foreign body reaction and toxic tissue damage are not expectable compared to conventional fibrin sealant, which contains bovine ingredients [17].

A novel approach toward preventing POPF was described by Lee et al. [1] through the intrapancreatic injection of penicillin G. They found a significant improvement in the hardness and suture holding capacity of the pancreas. At the same time, it seems that Penicillin G activated HPSCs to produce various fibrotic materials. Penicillin G induced reversible fibrosis, which does not permanently damage the pancreas and is normalised seven days after injection. The anastomotic site becomes compacted and adhesive as a result of fibrosis. However, the problem is that it is approximately 5–7 days after surgery when the pancreatic-enteric anastomotic site becomes adhesive and hardened by fibrosis. There is a gap between when the POPF is frequently encountered and when fibrosis is fully activated. Penicillin G could play a role in reducing this gap by speeding up the fibrotic process [1]. It could be an alternative to minimise and prevent POPF, even if its actual effectiveness and safety should be validated by further study.

5. Conclusion

CR-POPF is still today a fearful complication of pancreatic surgery; among the possible solutions to reduce the risk of onset, sealants were used on the pancreatic stump; today, the sealants should be considered such as an option to reduce the CR-POPF, but the routine use in clinical practice has to be validated with other clinical trials.
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