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Weighted Gene Coexpression Network Analysis Uncovers Critical Genes and Pathways for Multiple Brain Regions in Parkinson’s Disease
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Objective. In this study, we aimed to identify critical genes and pathways for multiple brain regions in Parkinson’s disease (PD) by weighted gene coexpression network analysis (WGCNA). Methods. From the GEO database, differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were separately identified between the substantia nigra, putamen, prefrontal cortex area, and cingulate gyrus of PD and normal samples with the screening criteria of $p$ value $< 0.05$ and $|\log_{2}\text{fold change (FC)}| > 0.585$. Then, a coexpression network was presented by the WGCNA package. Gene modules related to PD were constructed. Then, PD-related DEGs were used for construction of PPI networks. Hub genes were determined by the cytoHubba plug-in. Functional enrichment analysis was then performed. Results. DEGs were identified for the substantia nigra (17 upregulated and 52 downregulated genes), putamen (317 upregulated and 317 downregulated genes), prefrontal cortex area (39 upregulated and 72 downregulated genes), and cingulate gyrus (116 upregulated and 292 downregulated genes) of PD compared to normal samples. Gene modules were separately built for the four brain regions of PD. PPI networks revealed hub genes for the substantia nigra (SLC6A3, SLC18A2, and TH), putamen (BMP4 and SNAP25), prefrontal cortex area (SNAP25), and cingulate gyrus (CTGF, CDH1, and COL5A1) of PD. These DEGs in multiple brain regions were involved in distinct biological functions and pathways. GSEA showed that these DEGs were all significantly enriched in electron transport chain, proteasome degradation, and synaptic vesicle pathway. Conclusion. Our findings revealed critical genes and pathways for multiple brain regions in PD, which deepened the understanding of PD-related molecular mechanisms.

1. Introduction

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is the second most common neurodegenerative disease related to the loss of dopaminergic neurons globally [1]. It is characterized by tremor and slow movement, affecting approximately 7 million people worldwide, most of whom are elderly [2]. Male and age are independent risk factors of PD [3]. Due to its complex pathogenesis, symptomatic treatment is mainly applied such as the replacement of dopamine [4]. Molecular biomarkers have been proven as promising clinical tools for PD diagnosis [5]. Thus, it is an urgent need to uncover new strategies for early diagnosis and therapeutic intervention to improve the quality of life of the affected population.

Understanding the mechanisms of PD at the molecular levels is valuable for clinical treatment. With the widespread
use of microarray and RNA-seq technologies, genes related to PD have been widely identified, which help decipher the complex pathogenesis of PD, thereby promoting the development of effective drug targets and preventing the occurrence of PD at an early stage [6–8]. Gene coexpression networks are widely used for function prediction and identification of genes modules in a set of samples including PD [9]. As a method of bioinformatics research, WGCNA is usually applied to reveal the correlation between genes in different samples [10–12]. However, the candidate biomarkers for clinical gene therapy of PD are unclear. In this study, the microarray and RNA-seq datasets from GEO were used to identify DEGs between multiple brain regions of PD and normal samples. Then, through WGCNA, PD-related key modules were constructed. Further functional enrichment analysis was carried out to evaluate the potential functions of genes in key modules.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Data Collection and Preprocessing. Expression profiles of PD (GSE20292, GSE7621, GSE20291, GSE20168, GSE68719, and GSE110716) were downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) database (Table 1). The GSE20291 dataset included 11 PD substantia nigra samples and 18 normal samples on the GPL96 (Affymetrix Human Genome U133A Array) platform. The GSE7621 dataset was composed of 16 PD substantia nigra samples and 9 control samples on the GPL67 (Affymetrix Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array) platform. The GSE20291 dataset covered 15 PD putamen samples and 20 control samples on the GPL96 (Affymetrix Human Genome U133A Array) platform. The GSE20168 dataset included 14 prefrontal cortex PD samples and 15 normal samples on the platform of GPL96 (Affymetrix Human Genome U133A Array). There were 29 prefrontal cortex samples and 44 normal samples in the GSE68719 dataset on the GPL11154 (Illumina HiSeq 2000 (Homo sapiens)) platform. The GSE110716 dataset was composed of 8 cingulate gyrus PD samples and 8 normal samples on the platform of GPL15433 (Illumina HiSeq 1000 (Homo sapiens)). Raw data was standardized by log2 conversion. Principal component analysis (PCA) was presented to detect and remove outliers and to find samples with high similarity. Furthermore, the correlation of gene expression levels between samples was analyzed.

2.2. Differential Expression Analysis. Microarray expression data were used for differential expression analysis between the PD group and the control group using the limma package [13]. Before analyzing the expression differences, the probes were annotated. For the case where multiple probes corresponded to the same gene, the average value of multiple probes was taken as the expression value of the gene. For the case where there were multiple datasets at the same site, DEGs of multiple datasets were overlapped as the final significant DEGs for downstream analysis. The high-throughput sequencing data were utilized for DEGs between the PD group and the control group by the edgeR package [14]. The screening threshold for a significant difference in gene expression was adjusted p value < 0.05 and |log2 fold change (FC)| > 0.585.

2.3. Weighted Gene Coexpression Network Analysis (WGCNA). In this study, the WGCNA package was used to realize WGCNA [15]. Through the goodGeneSample function, a hierarchical clustering tree was constructed for all samples and outliers of which node height was significantly higher than other samples were removed. The gene coexpression similarity matrix was composed of the absolute value of the Pearson correlation coefficient between two genes. The correlation matrix was constructed as follows: $S = |S_{ij}|$ (i and j indicate the $i^{th}$ and $j^{th}$ gene). Soft threshold $\beta$ value was then calculated according to the following formula: $a_{ij} = \text{power}(S_{ij})^{\beta} = |S_{ij}|^{\beta}$ ($a_{ij}$ indicates the adjacency function between the $i^{th}$ and $j^{th}$ genes). To follow the principle of non-scale network, $R^2 > 0.8$ was set. After determining the soft threshold $\beta$ through the pickSoftThreshold function, the correlation matrix $S = |S_{ij}|$ was converted into adjacency matrix $A = |A_{ij}|$ by the pickSoftThreshold function. Topological overlap measure (TOM) was performed to calculate the degree of association between genes as follows: $\text{TOM}_{ij} = (\sum_{k} a_{ik} a_{kj} + a_{ij})/(\min (k, j) + 1 - a_{ij})$ ($a_{ij}$ is $[0, 1]$). Gene modules were divided based on the high topological overlap between genes in the modules. The dynamic cutting tree algorithm was used to calculate gene modules.

2.4. Protein-Protein Interaction (PPI) Network. PPI of the target gene list was analyzed using the STRING (https://string-db.org/) online database [16]. The confidence of protein interaction was set as combined score $> 0.4$. Then, the Cytoscape software was utilized to visualize the PPI network [17]. By the cytoHubba plug-in [16], the degree of connectivity

| Location                  | Accession     | Platform | Type     | Number         |
|---------------------------|---------------|----------|----------|----------------|
| Substantia nigra          | GSE20292      | GPL96    | Microarray| 18 control vs. 11 PD |
| Substantia nigra          | GSE7621       | GPL570   | Microarray| 9 control vs. 16 PD  |
| Putamen                   | GSE20291      | GPL96    | Microarray| 20 control vs. 15 PD  |
| Prefrontal cortex area    | GSE20168      | GPL96    | Microarray| 15 control vs. 14 PD  |
| Prefrontal cortex area    | GSE68719      | GPL11154 | RNA-seq  | 44 control vs. 29 PD |
| Cingulate gyrus           | GSE110716     | GPL11153 | RNA-seq  | 8 control vs. 8 PD   |
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Figure 1: Principal component analysis for multiple brain regions of PD samples and normal samples: (a, b) substantia nigra (GSE20292 and GSE7621); (c) putamen (GSE20291); (d, e) prefrontal cortex area (GSE20168 and GSE68719); (f) cingulate gyrus (GSE110716). There are three principal components (PC1, PC2, and PC3). Blue indicates control normal samples, and green indicates PD samples.
Figure 2: Continued.
Table 2: Continued.

| GSM184354 | GSM184355 | GSM184356 | GSM184357 | GSM184358 | GSM184359 | GSM184360 |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
|            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
| GSM184361  | GSM184362  | GSM184363  | GSM184364  | GSM184365  | GSM184366  | GSM184367  |
| GSM184368  | GSM184369  | GSM184370  | GSM184371  | GSM184372  | GSM184373  | GSM184374  |
| GSM184375  | GSM184376  | GSM184377  | GSM184378  | GSM184379  | GSM184380  | GSM184381  |
|            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
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2.5. Functional Enrichment Analysis. Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis including biological process, cellular component, and molecular function was carried out through the Gene Ontology database [19]. Moreover, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis was also presented by KEGG PATHWAY DATABASE [20]. Fisher’s exact test was used to find out which items or pathways were significantly related to a set of genes. $p$ value < 0.05 indicated significant enrichment.

2.6. Gene Set Enrichment Analyses (GSEA). The clusterProfiler package [21] was used to perform GSEA on the transcriptional data of multiple brain regions in PD from the GSE20295 dataset [17, 22]. Using the gene set file wikipathways-20180810-gmt-Homo_sapiens.gmt from the clusterProfiler package, GSEA was presented based on the default parameters.

3. Results

3.1. Principal Component Analysis for Multiple Brain Regions of PD Samples. In this study, we obtained expression profiles from multiple brain regions of PD, including the substantia nigra (GSE20292 and GSE7621), putamen (GSE20291), prefrontal cortex area (GSE20168 and GSE68719), and cingulate gyrus (GSE110716). Before downstream analysis, all samples were assessed by PCA. The results showed that PD substantia nigra samples (Figures 1(a) and 1(b)), putamen (Figure 1(c)), prefrontal cortex area (Figures 1(d) and 1(e)), and cingulate gyrus (Figure 1(f)) were distinctly distinguished from normal samples. Furthermore, based on these gene expression data, we calculated the correlation coefficients between the two samples. There was a significant high correlation between different samples for PD substantia nigra samples (Figures 2(a) and 2(b)), putamen (Figure 2(c)), prefrontal cortex area (Figures 2(d) and 2(e)), cingulate gyrus (Figure 2(f)), and corresponding normal samples.

3.2. Differentially Expressed Genes for Multiple Brain Regions of PD. With the screening criteria of $p$ value < 0.05 and $|\log_{10}FC| > 0.585$, DEGs between multiple brain regions of PD samples and normal samples were identified. In the GSE20292 dataset, there were 191 upregulated and 369 downregulated genes between the substantia nigra of PD and normal samples (Figure 3(a)). 530 upregulated and 590 downregulated genes were screened for the substantia nigra of PD samples compared to normal samples in the

of the node was calculated and hub genes in the PPI network were determined [18].
Figure 3: Continued.
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After overlapping the results from the two datasets, 17 upregulated and 52 downregulated genes were identified for the substantia nigra of PD. In the GSE20291 dataset, 317 upregulated and 317 downregulated genes were identified between PD putamen and normal tissues (Figure 3(c)). Following the intersections of DEGs from the GSE20168 dataset (90 upregulated and 1030 downregulated genes; Figure 3(d)) and the GSE68719 dataset (1271 upregulated and 1030 downregulated genes; Figure 3(e)), 39 upregulated and 72 downregulated genes were identified for PD prefrontal cortex area tissues in comparison to normal tissues. In the GSE110716 dataset, there were 116 upregulated and 292 downregulated genes between PD cingulate gyrus and normal tissues (Figure 3(f)). Heat maps depicted that these DEGs could significantly distinguish PD substantia nigra samples (Figure 3(b)) from the corresponding normal samples.

3.3. Construction of WGCNA for the Substantia Nigra of PD.

11 substantia nigra PD and 18 control samples were used for coexpression analysis in the GSE20292 dataset. Coexpression module analysis was easily affected by outlier samples, so removing outlier sample data before constructing the network was especially important for obtaining meaningful analysis results. Herein, there were no outliers among them (Figure 5(a)). Thus, no samples were removed. By dynamic cutting tree method, gene modules were divided, and highly similar modules were merged (Figure 5(b)). Finally, nine modules were constructed. Among them, the purple module was significantly related to PD ($r = -0.44$ and $p = 0.02$) (Figure 5(c)). Heat maps showed that there was a high correlation between different genes (Figure 5(d)). We further assessed the coexpression similarity of modules. These modules were divided into two main clusters, which were validated by adjacency heat maps (Figure 5(e)).
Figure 4: Continued.
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Figure 4: Heat maps showing expression patterns of differentially expressed genes between PD and corresponding normal tissues: (a, b) substantia nigra (GSE20292 and GSE7621); (c) putamen (GSE20291); (d, e) prefrontal cortex area (GSE20168 and GSE68719); (f) cingulate gyrus (GSE110716). Red suggests upregulation, and blue suggests downregulation.
Figure 5: Continued.
3.4. Construction of WGCNA for the Substantia Nigra of PD.

In the GSE20291 dataset, 15 putamen PD and 20 normal samples were utilized for WGCNA. No outliers were detected and removed among them (Figure 6(a)). Using dynamic cutting tree method, gene modules were built (Figure 6(b)). Finally, fifteen coexpression modules with high similarity were merged. Among them, the blue module was distinctly correlated to PD ($r = -0.37$ and $p = 0.03$) (Figure 6(c)). Heat maps showed that there was a high correlation between different genes in different modules (Figure 6(d)).

![Network heatmap plot, all genes](image)

Figure 5: Construction of WGCNA for the substantia nigra of PD. (a) Sample hierarchical clustering tree to detect outliers. (b) Dynamic cutting tree method was utilized to determine gene modules. (c) Module-trait relationship network. The color of the square indicates the correlation between the module and the clinical traits. The $p$ value is in brackets. (d) Hierarchical clustering dendrogram. The branches correspond to each module. The module memberships colored by different colors are shown in the color bar below and to the right of the tree diagram. Shades of color are proportional to coexpression interconnectedness. (e) Clustering of module eigengenes and eigengene adjacency heat maps. Red represents high correlation and blue represents low correlation.
Figure 6: Continued.
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coexpression similarity of modules was analyzed, as shown in Figure 6(e).

3.5. Construction of WGCNA for the Prefrontal Cortex of PD. 14 prefrontal cortex PD and 15 normal samples were analyzed by WGCNA in the GSE20168 dataset. There was no outlier sample among them (Figure 7(a)). Gene modules were divided using dynamic cutting tree method (Figure 7(b)). After merging, 25 modules were constructed. Among them, the green \((r = -0.43 \text{ and } p = 0.02)\), magenta \((r = -0.52 \text{ and } p = 0.004)\), and bisque \((r = -0.54 \text{ and } p = 0.002)\) modules were negatively correlated to PD (Figure 7(c)). Also, salmon \((r = 0.42 \text{ and } p = 0.02)\) and dark orange \((r = 0.49 \text{ and } p = 0.006)\) modules were positively related to PD. According to the network heat map plot, each module was independent of others (Figure 7(d)). Furthermore, their coexpression similarity was quantified by adjacency heat maps (Figure 7(e)).

3.6. Construction of WGCNA for the Cingulate Gyrus of PD. From the GSE110716 dataset, 8 cingulate gyrus PD and 8 control samples were obtained for WGCNA. No outlier samples were detected among them (Figure 8(a)). Gene modules were divided via dynamic cutting tree method (Figure 8(b)). Following merging, 40 coexpression modules were constructed. Among them, the orange red \((r = 0.65 \text{ and } p = 0.006)\) and thistle \((r = 0.51 \text{ and } p = 0.04)\) modules had positive correlations to PD. The medium purple \((r = -0.65 \text{ and } p = 0.006)\) and salmon \((r = -0.55 \text{ and } p = 0.03)\) modules had negative correlations to PD in Figure 8(c). In the network heat map plot, each module was independent of others (Figure 8(d)). Moreover, their coexpression similarity was evaluated by adjacency heat maps (Figure 8(e)).

3.7. PPI Networks for DEGs in Multiple Brain Regions of PD. DEGs for the substantia nigra, putamen, prefrontal cortex area, and cingulate gyrus of PD were extracted for PPI networks by the STRING database. There were 69 nodes in the PPI network of substantia nigra PD, including 17 upregulated and 52 downregulated genes (Figure 9(a)). Among them, SLC6A3 \((\text{degree} = 6)\), SLC18A2 \((\text{degree} = 6)\), and TH \((\text{degree} = 6)\) had the highest degree, which were considered as hub genes. In Figure 9(b), there were 317 upregulated genes and 317 downregulated genes in the PPI network of putamen. Among them, BMP4 \((\text{degree} = 14)\) and SNAP25 \((\text{degree} = 13)\) were two hub genes. As shown in Figure 9(c), there were 111 nodes in the PPI network of the prefrontal cortex area, including 39 upregulated and 72 downregulated genes. SNAP25 was identified as a hub gene \((\text{degree} = 26)\). There were 408 nodes in the PPI network of the cingulate gyrus, composed of 116 upregulated and 292 downregulated genes in Figure 9(d). CTGF \((\text{degree} = 3)\), CDH1 \((\text{degree} = 3)\), and COL5A1 \((\text{degree} = 3)\) were considered as hub genes.
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3.8. Functional Enrichment Analysis of DEGs in the Substantia Nigra of PD.

DEGs for the substantia nigra, putamen, prefrontal cortex area, and cingulate gyrus of PD were used for functional enrichment analysis. DEGs in the substantia nigra of PD were mainly enriched in PD-related biological processes such as aminergic neurotransmitter loading into synaptic vesicle, neurotransmitter transport, chemical synaptic transmission, amine transport, neurotransmitter loading into synaptic vesicle, dopamine biosynthetic process, phytoalexin metabolic process, cell-cell signaling, and isoquinoline alkaloid metabolic process (Figure 10(a)). These DEGs were involved in various key cellular components including neuron projection, neurotransmitter transport, chemical synaptic transmission, amine transport, neurotransmitter loading into synaptic vesicle, dopamine biosynthetic process, phytoalexin metabolic process, cell-cell signaling, and isoquinoline alkaloid metabolic process (Figure 10(a)). These DEGs were involved in various key cellular components including neuron projection, cell projection, axon, plasma membrane bounded cell projection, postsynaptic membrane, synaptic membrane, presynapse, dendrite, dendritic tree, and neuronal cell body (Figure 10(b)). Also, they had several key molecular functions like monoamine transmembrane transporter activity, sodium:chloride symporter activity, dopamine binding, cytoskeletal adaptor activity, cation:chloride symporter activity, neurotransmitter: sodium symporter activity, spectrin binding, ammonium transmembrane transporter activity, protein serine/threonine kinase inhibitor activity, and chloride transmembrane transporter activity (Figure 10(c)). KEGG enrichment analysis results revealed that they were significantly related to a variety of PD-related pathways such as cocaine addiction, dopaminergic synapse, amphetamine addiction, serotonergic synapse, ECM-receptor interaction, alcoholism, tyrosine metabolism, Parkinson’s disease, PPAR signaling pathway, and synaptic vesicle cycle (Figure 10(d)).

3.9. Functional Enrichment Analysis of DEGs in the Putamen of PD.

GO enrichment analysis results showed that DEGs in the putamen of PD were mainly enriched in PD-related biological processes such as aminergic neurotransmitter loading into synaptic vesicle, neurotransmitter transport, chemical synaptic transmission, amine transport, neurotransmitter loading into synaptic vesicle, dopamine biosynthetic process, phytoalexin metabolic process, cell-cell signaling, and isoquinoline alkaloid metabolic process (Figure 10(a)). These DEGs were involved in various key cellular components including neuron projection, cell projection, axon, plasma membrane bounded cell projection, postsynaptic membrane, synaptic membrane, presynapse, dendrite, dendritic tree, and neuronal cell body (Figure 10(b)). Also, they had several key molecular functions like monoamine transmembrane transporter activity, sodium:chloride symporter activity, dopamine binding, cytoskeletal adaptor activity, cation:chloride symporter activity, neurotransmitter: sodium symporter activity, spectrin binding, ammonium transmembrane transporter activity, protein serine/threonine kinase inhibitor activity, and chloride transmembrane transporter activity (Figure 10(c)). KEGG enrichment analysis results showed that they were significantly related to a variety of PD-related pathways such as cocaine addiction, dopaminergic synapse, amphetamine addiction, serotonergic synapse, ECM-receptor interaction, alcoholism, tyrosine metabolism, Parkinson’s disease, PPAR signaling pathway, and synaptic vesicle cycle (Figure 10(d)).
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Also, they possessed a variety of molecular functions like heparin binding, cytokine binding, prostaglandin receptor activity, NAD-dependent histone deacetylase activity, cytokine activity, phosphoric diester hydrolase activity, cytokine receptor activity, actin-dependent ATPase activity, inorganic anion exchanger activity, and protein membrane anchor (Figure 10(g)). In Figure 10(h), these DEGs participated in key signaling pathways like cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction, basal cell carcinoma, hematopoietic cell lineage, calcium signaling pathway, mTOR signaling pathway, aldosterone synthesis and secretion, viral protein interaction with cytokine and cytokine receptor, signaling pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells, NF-kappa B signaling pathway, and central carbon metabolism in cancer.

3.10. Functional Enrichment Analysis of DEGs in the Prefrontal Cortex of PD. GO enrichment analysis of DEGs in the prefrontal cortex of PD revealed that detoxification of copper ion, stress response to metal ion, chemical synaptic transmission, cellular response to zinc ion, cellular response to copper ion, nervous system development, cellular zinc ion homeostasis, cell communication, zinc ion homeostasis, and cellular response to cadmium ion were mainly enriched in Figure 10(i). They could regulate various cellular components like neuron projection, axon, synaptic membrane, plasma membrane bounded cell projection, cell projection, postsynapse, presynaptic membrane, presynapse, GABA-ergic synapse, and cell body (Figure 10(j)). In Figure 10(k), they had a variety of molecular functions like calcium ion binding, adiponectin binding, structural constituent of
Figure 9: Continued.
presynaptic active zone, G-protein alpha-subunit binding, calmodulin binding, benzodiazepine receptor activity, GABA-gated chloride ion channel activity, inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate binding, inhibitory extracellular ligand-gated ion channel activity, and 1-phosphatidylinositol binding. KEGG enrichment analysis results demonstrated that mineral absorption, IL-17 signaling pathway, TNF signaling pathway, adipocytokine signaling pathway, synaptic vesicle cycle, mTOR signaling pathway, insulin secretion, gap junction, neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction, and phosphatidylinositol signaling system (Figure 10(l)).

3.11. Functional Enrichment Analysis of DEGs in the Cingulate Gyrus of PD. GO enrichment analysis of DEGs in the cingulate gyrus of PD was performed. These genes could regulate a variety of biological processes like ion transmembrane transport, heart rate by cardiac conduction, ion transport, atrial cardiac muscle cell membrane depolarization, cell communication involved in cardiac conduction, cell-cell junction organization, cofactor transport, platelet aggregation, monovalent inorganic cation transport, and bundle of His cell to Purkinje myocyte communication (Figure 10(m)). As shown in Figure 10(n), they could

![Figure 9: PPI networks for of DEGs in multiple brain regions of PD: (a) substantia nigra; (b) putamen; (c) prefrontal cortex area; (d) cingulate gyrus. Red expresses upregulation and blue expresses downregulation.](image-url)
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Figure 10: GO and KEGG enrichment analysis results of DEGs for the substantia nigra, putamen, prefrontal cortex area, and cingulate gyrus of PD. GO terms included biological process (BP), cellular component (CC), and molecular function (MF). (a–d) DEGs in the substantia nigra of PD. (e–h) DEGs in the putamen of PD. (i–l) DEGs in the prefrontal cortex area of PD. (m–p) DEGs in the cingulate gyrus of PD.
distinctly participate in cellular components of intercalated disc, cell-cell junction, cell-cell contact zone, plasma membrane protein complex, voltage-gated potassium channel complex, cell periphery, adherens junction, potassium channel complex, cation channel complex, and cell-cell adherens junction. They could significantly have molecular functions of channel activity, passive transmembrane transporter activity, glycosaminoglycan binding, ion channel activity, cell adhesion molecule binding, voltage-gated potassium channel activity, voltage-gated ion channel activity, hyaluronic acid binding, voltage-gated channel activity, and monovalent inorganic cation transmembrane transporter activity (Figure 10(o)). Furthermore, our KEGG enrichment analysis results demonstrated that protein digestion and absorption and Rap1 signaling pathway were significantly enriched (Figure 10(p)).

3.12. GSEA of DEGs in Multiple Brain Regions of PD. GSEA was carried out based on DEGs in multiple brain regions of PD in the GSE20295 dataset. As depicted in Figure 11, these DEGs were most significantly enriched in electron transport chain, proteasome degradation, and synaptic vesicle pathway.

4. Discussion

Herein, we identified critical genes and pathways for multiple brain regions including the substantia nigra, putamen, prefrontal cortex area, and cingulate gyrus in PD by WGCNA, which deepened the understanding of PD-related molecular mechanisms.

In this study, we screened DEGs for the substantia nigra (17 upregulated and 52 downregulated genes), putamen (317 upregulated and 317 downregulated genes), prefrontal cortex area (39 upregulated and 72 downregulated genes), and cingulate gyrus (116 upregulated and 292 downregulated genes) of PD based on microarray and RNA-seq expression profiles. The regulatory relationship between genes is specific in time and space. In different organs and tissues, this regulatory relationship changes accordingly, which determines the occurrence and development of PD. To achieve specific biological functions of living organisms, the modularization of biological networks was conducted. WGCNA provides us with a simple and effective method to understand the regulatory relationship between genes, which is an indispensable method in systems biology research. Using WGCNA, gene modules were separately built for multiple brain regions of
PD. Based on PD-related DEGs, we visualized the PPI networks for the substantia nigra, putamen, prefrontal cortex area, and cingulate gyrus of PD by the Cytoscape software. The typical feature of the PPI network is that most of the nodes in the network are connected to only a few nodes, and there are very few nodes connected to a very large number of nodes. These nodes connected to many nodes are important nodes called as hub genes in the network. These hub genes could be involved in regulating many biological processes. In this study, hub genes in the PPI networks were identified for the substantia nigra (SLC6A3, SLC18A2, and TH), putamen (BMP4 and SNAP25), prefrontal cortex area (SNAP25), and cingulate gyrus (CTGF, CDH1, and COL5A1) of PD through the cytoHubba plug-in. Among them, SLC6A3 gene polymorphism has been found to be related to dopamine overdose in PD [23]. It has been identified as a hub gene for PD progression in a previous study, which is consistent with our study [24]. SLC6A3 genotype may affect cortical striatum activity in PD [25]. A meta-analysis reveals that SLC6A3 is a risk factor for PD [26]. SLC18A2 functions abnormally in the human PD brain. Improving SLC18A2 levels can increase the efficacy of levodopa [27]. SNAP25 gene polymorphism may prevent PD and mediate the severity of disease [28]. Furthermore, CDH1 expression is related to substantia nigra degeneration in a PD mouse model [29]. However, the functions of most of genes should be further explored in PD.

These DEGs in multiple brain regions were involved in distinct biological functions and pathways. GSEA showed that these DEGs were all significantly enriched in electron transport chain, proteasome degradation, and synaptic vesicle pathway, which have been widely accepted to be related to PD progression. For example, Coenzyme Q10 as a component of the electron transport chain may prevent neurodegeneration in response to mitochondrial deficiency and oxidative stress, which possesses potential as a target for treatment and intervention of PD [30]. Proteasome degradation induced by misfolding could contribute to the development of PD [31]. Also, abnormal accumulation of synaptic vesicle-associated protein is related to PD [32]. Thus, these critical pathways enriched by DEGs may be involved in the pathogenesis of PD.

Our results identified biologically significant gene modules by WGCNA and discovered clinical information-related hub genes, which were consistent with literature reports, thereby proving the accuracy and effectiveness of our WGCNA analysis results. Further excavation of gene module information may assist us to have an in-depth understanding on the role and significance of hub genes and signal pathways during PD progression. In our future studies, we will continue to validate the biological functions of these hub genes and key pathways in PD progression by a series of in vivo and in vitro experiments.

5. Conclusion

Taken together, this study identified hub genes for multiple brain regions including the substantia nigra (SLC6A3, SLC18A2, and TH), putamen (BMP4 and SNAP25), prefrontal cortex area (SNAP25), and cingulate gyrus (CTGF, CDH1, and COL5A1) in PD based on WGCNA. Furthermore, PD-related key pathways were identified including electron transport chain, proteasome degradation, and synaptic vesicle pathway. These findings could provide novel insights into the molecular mechanisms of PD.
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