Variation and genetic analysis of fatty acid composition in flax (Linum usitatissimum L.)
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Abstract Flax (Linum usitatissimum L.) is an important source of oil rich in omega–3 fatty acids (especially α-linolenic acid accounting for > 50%), which is proven to have health benefits and utilized as an industrial raw material. α-Linolenic acid is a polyunsaturated fatty acid that readily undergoes oxidative transformation. Autoxidation of α-linolenic acid is the principal process contributing to the development of off-flavors, loss of color, and alteration in the nutritional value of linseed oil. However, there is a huge demand on the market for oils having different compositions of fatty acids, including the linseed oil characterized by improved stability. For this purpose, a complete diallel cross was performed in this study using six flax genotypes varying in the fatty acid content to estimate the genetic parameters. The analysis of variances carried out for the studied traits (content of oleic, linoleic and α-linolenic acid) indicated large differences among the genotypes. Variances due to GCA were much higher in magnitude than those related to SCA for the content of linoleic and α-linolenic acid, which indicated the superiority of additive gene effects in determining the inheritance of these traits. The nonadditive gene action played an important role for oleic acid content, since the magnitude of SCA effect was almost two times higher than GCA effect. The parental lines of linola (Linola KLA and Linola KLB) exhibited the highest concentration of favorable alleles for the two traits (high content of linoleic acid and low content of α-linolenic acid) and were thus found suitable for a continuous improvement program. On the basis of the SCA effect, five cross combinations, were found to be promising F1 hybrids for use as a source population for further selection, in order to achieve fatty acid changes in linseed. These combinations allow selecting varieties with 1:1 and 2:1 ratio of omega–6:omega–3 fatty acids for producing oil with an extended shelf life for food products.
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Introduction

At present, the international market of edible oilseeds is dominated by a few crop species such as soybean, oilseed rape, and sunflower. However, there is a growing demand for high-quality seed oils. Thus, there is a need to not only increase the production of major oil crops but also diversify the sources by improving and enhancing the production of minor oil crops, such as linseed (Linum usitatissimum L.).
Linseed is one of the oldest crops grown for its seed and fiber. It was cultivated in over 2,778,000 ha with a yield of 2,794,000 tons in the year 2017. The Russian Federation is the world’s largest producer of linseed (610,118 tons), followed by Canada (507,606 tons), China (362,034 tons), India (184,000 tons), and the United States of America (97,590 tons) (FAOSTAT, 2018).

There is an increasing interest in flax cultivation due to the high nutritional value of flaxseeds as roughage and raw material for the extraction of oil which has beneficial effects on the health of humans and animals (Kumar et al. 2018). Depending on the species and variety, the composition of flaxseeds varies and they are rich in fat (30–45%), proteins (20–31%), and dietary fiber (20–46%), which is responsible for their high energy value of about 5100 kcal kg\(^{-1}\) (Coskuner and Karababa 2007; Mueller et al. 2010).

The value of edible oils is determined by their fatty acid composition. Among the edible oils, flaxseed oil is the richest source of \(\alpha\)-linolenic acid (C18:3, omega–3), which accounts for 57%, and hence has high health-promoting properties (Bickert et al. 1994; Morris 2007; Heller et al. 2010). However, an important factor limiting of the large-scale commercialization of linseed oil is its low durability.

The share of unsaturated fatty acids in linseed oil mainly includes omega–9 (oleic acid), omega–6 (linoleic acid), and omega–3 (\(\alpha\)-linolenic acid) fatty acids, which are highly susceptible to oxidation (Galano et al. 2015). Generally, the rate of oxidation depends on the degree of unsaturation and increases with an increase in the amount of fatty acids with double bonds. Due to the high content of unsaturated fatty acids (especially \(\alpha\)-linolenic acid), linseed oil is sensitive to light and temperature and can thus undergo a rapid oxidative transformation from cis to trans form (Drozdowski 2007).

Oxidative changes can cause rancidity, including the development of off-flavors, loss of color, and alteration in nutrient value, and may lead to the production of toxic compounds, which can be detrimental to the health of consumers (Choe and Min 2009; Ahmed et al. 2016; Hall et al. 2016). In particular, lipid oxidation products have mutagenic, carcinogenic and cytotoxic properties and are considered to be risk factors for human health (Keller et al. 2015).

To counteract this undesirable effect, research is being carried out at the PBAI-NRI Department of Genetics and Breeding of Oilseed Crops, Poznan, toward obtaining high-fat forms of flax with a balanced proportion of linoleic to \(\alpha\)-linolenic fatty acids, which may improve the durability of oil while maintaining its high nutritional value.

The selection of appropriate components for crossing is the first and foremost step in the creation of new varieties with desired traits. For breeding new varieties, it is necessary to know how the studied traits are inherited. This will enable the breeders to choose the most efficient selection strategy and the most appropriate breeding method (Mather and Jinks 1982). Diallel crosses can provide information about the genetic control of the evaluated traits, which helps in creating and selecting segregating populations (Baker 1978). In addition, these crosses allow understanding the behavior of the parents, called general combining ability (GCA), besides their hybrid combinations, called specific combining ability (SCA). GCA indicates the average performance of a parental line in hybrid combinations and reflects the additive gene action and frequency of favorable alleles. On the other hand, SCA is used to designate the cases in which certain combinations do relatively better or worse than would be expected on the basis of GCA of the lines involved, and thus reflects nonadditive gene action (Falconer 1975).

The present study aimed to estimate the genetic parameters and the mode of inheritance for the content of polyunsaturated fatty acids in flax in a set of complete diallel crosses, and to identify superior parents for producing favorable progenies in the oil flax breeding program.

**Materials and methods**

**Plant materials**

Six parents were crossed in a complete diallel mating scheme at PBAI-NRI (Poznan) in the Spring of 2013. The cultivars Szafir, Oliwin, Eskalina, Modran, Linola KLA, and Linola KLB were used as parents. Their characteristics based on their classification and origin are presented in Table 1.

The division of objects into groups, performed by the Ward’s method on the basis of the Mahalanobis
distance matrix between objects. The dendrogram shows the grouping of six parental forms based on the fatty acids content. It can be seen that there are three groups (clusters) of genotypes (Fig. 1). The two genotypes of linseed Linola KLA and Linola KLB with a high content of linoleic acid (about 70%) and an extremely low content of \(\alpha\)-linolenic acid (about 2.0%) are most similar, as the height of the link that joins them together is the smallest. The next two most similar objects are varieties of linseed Szafir and Oliwin with a very high content of \(\alpha\)-linolenic acid (above 58%) and then two varieties of fiber flax Eskalina and Modran with a medium content of \(\alpha\)-linolenic acid (up to 51%).

**Experiment**

The 30 hybrids of F1 generation obtained from crossing and six parental lines were grown in a field trial at PBAI-NRI (Poznan; 52\(^\circ\)24'30"N16\(^\circ\)56'03"E) during two growing seasons, 2014 and 2016. The experiments were carried out in a randomized complete block design with four replications. In the first year, sowing was performed on April 2, 2014, and in the second year, on April 5, 2016. Each of the parental lines and crosses was sown in two-row plots of 3-m length, maintaining a row-to-row spacing of 20 cm. In each row, the plant-to-plant distance was maintained at 10 cm by thinning. Uniform standard agronomic practices were applied to the whole experiment from sowing till crop harvest (Wielebski et al. 2016), and the plants were appropriately irrigated. At harvest, individual plants were taken at random from each row.

### Table 1

The origin of flax genotypes used as parents for producing diallel crosses

| Parent | Name     | Type | Seeds colour | Origin    |
|--------|----------|------|--------------|-----------|
| P1     | Szafir   | Oil  | Brown        | Poland    |
| P2     | Oliwin   | Oil  | Yellow       | Poland    |
| P3     | Linola KLA | Oil | Yellow       | Canada    |
| P4     | Linola KLB | Oil | Yellow       | Canada    |
| P5     | Eskalina | Fiber| Brown        | Netherlands |
| P6     | Modran   | Fiber| Brown        | Poland    |

**Fig. 1** Division of parental forms in groups based on the fatty acids content
plot; 8 to 12 plants from each parent and F1 hybrids for the analysis of fatty acid composition.

Chemical analysis

Biochemical analysis of the fatty acid composition in seed oil was performed using a Hewlett Packard Agilent Technologies 6890 N Network GC System.

Statistical analysis

The means were calculated for 8–12 plants from each parent and F1 hybrids during for years 2014, 2016 and together.

The analysis of variance for GCA and SCA and the effects of reverse crosses in a series of experiments with genotypes obtained from a complete diallel crossing system (Griffing method I), the linear variance analysis model was assumed (Griffing 1956). The data from experiments were processed using the computer program SERGEN (Calinski et al. 1998).

All genetic parameters and heritability were determined for the F1 generation using DGH2 software (Kala et al. 1996), developed for the needs of genetic and breeding experiments, including those carried out on genotypes obtained from diallel crossing. The DGH2 program is based on the mathematical methods presented in Mather (1949), Hayman (1954), Mother and Jinks (1982).

For conducting genetic analysis as described by Mather (1949), it was assumed that all the following conditions were met: disomic inheritance, normal variability distribution, no epistasis, and no differences in reverse crossings.

From the distribution of mean squares obtained from variance analysis of the diallel table on the expected values, the genetic parameters D, H1, H2, F, and h2 were estimated according to Mather (1949).

- D is defined as the component of variation due to the additive effects of genes.
- H1 is defined as the component of variation due to the dominance effects of genes.
- H2 is defined as the component of variation arising from h increments of all segregating genes, or the dominance component indicating the asymmetry of positive and negative effects of genes.
- F is defined as an indicator of relative frequencies of dominant and recessive alleles.
- h2 is defined as the estimate of the overall mean dominance effect of heterozygous loci.

These parameters were used to calculate the genetic coefficients to determine the predominant or recessive action of genes (Hayman 1954).

Furthermore, the following genetic parameters were estimated according to Mother and Jinks (1982).

- \((H_1/D)^{1/2}\) is the mean degree of dominance in one locus. \(H_1 = D\) indicates full dominance, \(H_1 \gg D\) indicates overdominance, and \(H_1 \ll D\) indicates incomplete dominance. No dominance occurs when \(H_1 \leq 0\).
- \(h^2/H_2\) is the minimum number of gene groups controlling the given trait and indicating dominance.
- \(H_2/4H_1\) is the relative distribution of dominant alleles. When the frequency of dominant genes is equal to that of recessive genes, this coefficient equals 0.25.
- \([(DH_1)^{1/2} + F]/[(4DH_1)^{1/2} - F]\) is the mean dominant-to-recessive gene ratio for n of inbred lines.
- \((H^2BS)\) indicates heritability in the broad sense.
- \((H^2NS)\) indicates heritability in the narrow sense.

Results and discussion

One of the more difficult stages in plant culture is the selection of components for crosses (Sughroue 1995). Flax does not occur in the natural environment with variable amounts of fatty acids, and thus not could be used as breeding material to increase the genetic variability of these traits. Mutagenesis has played a significant role in obtaining flax genotypes with altered proportions of polyunsaturated fatty acids. For instance, Green and Marshall (1984) treated flax cv. Glenelg with ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS) and obtained two mutant lines (M1589 and M1722) with considerably reduced content of \(\alpha\)-linolenic acid (29%). A further reduction in the content of this fatty acid, to < 2%, was achieved by the recombination of these lines (Green 1986), which resulted in the creation of several types of Linola TM cultivars with a considerably lower \(\alpha\)-linolenic acid content of 2–3% (Dribnenki and Green 1995; Dribnenki et al. 1999, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2007).
To assess the inheritance of fatty acids, namely oleic, linoleic, and α-linolenic acid, genotypes with considerably varying proportions of fatty acids were selected: two parental lines of linseed with a very high content of α-linolenic acid (above 58%) (Szafir, Oliwin), two parental lines of linseed with a high content of linoleic acid (above 70%) and an extremely low content of α-linolenic acid (about 2.0%) (varieties selected from mutants: Linola KLA, Linola KLB), and two parental lines of fiber flax with a medium content of α-linolenic acid (about 50%) (Eskalina, Modran) (Table 3). This approach is promising, as reported by Christie and Shattuck (1992), to obtain potential hybrid combinations having desired alleles. In the present investigation, the combining ability estimates for three 18-carbon fatty acids—oleic, linoleic, and α-linolenic—were analyzed in 30 F1 progenies derived from six parents in a complete diallel mating design.

Diallel analysis of variance

The preliminary analysis of variance was performed using the method of Griffing (1956) on the data of three 18-carbon fatty acids: oleic, linoleic, and α-linolenic acid estimate the amount of variability for these characteristics among parents, F1 hybrids and F1 reciprocals (Table 2). These findings provided evidence for the presence of high considerable amount genetic variability among the genotypes (G) (parental of linseed and fiber flax and their respective hybrids F1), which may facilitate genetic improvement using such genetic pools of flax. Results showed that significant differences were found among the years (Y) for all the studied traits. The significant mean squares the interaction of (G x Y) for oleic, linoleic, and α-linolenic acids content indicated that the magnitude of the traits in different genotypes varied over the years, indicating that on these fatty acids was affected by the environmental conditions. The estimates of variance for GCA, SCA and reciprocal effects (R) were found to be highly significant for all the studied fatty acids. In cases where GCA is significant, it can be inferred that at least one of the parents differs from the others regarding the concentration of favorable alleles (Pimentel et al. 2013). Hence, the significance of GCA effects is indicative of the existence of parents who contribute to a greater number of favorable alleles for these traits to be transmitted to offspring (Hallauer et al. 2010). Significant SCA effects reveal that there are deviations in the behavior of the hybrids compared to what was expected based on the parent’s GCA (Teodoro et al. 2019). The GCA is attributed to genes with additive effects, whereas SCA is related to nonadditive gene effects (Sughroue 1995). Therefore, the presence of significant GCA and SCA effects show the importance of both additive and nonadditive genetic components controlling the studied traits. Based on the diallel analysis, it is possible to evaluate the relative importance of additive gene effects (expressed by GCA effects), as well as the effects due to dominance (associated with SCA). This information is useful in establishing the best breeding strategy (Baker 1978). In this study the GCA mean squares was higher than the SCA mean squares for linoleic and α-linolenic acids content reveal the predominance of additive

| Source of variation | Degrees of freedom | Oleic acid C18:1 Mean square | Linoleic acid C18:2 | α-Linolenic acid C18:3 |
|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Genotypes (G)       | 35                 | 176.07**                     | 5310.95**          | 50.96.92**             |
| Years (Y)           | 1                  | 675.35**                     | 23.69*             | 532.63**               |
| G x Y               | 35                 | 14.58**                      | 12.20**            | 18.42**                |
| Reciprocal (R)      | 15                 | 179.61**                     | 896.12**           | 743.02**               |
| GCA                 | 5                  | 102.86*                      | 29.870.00**        | 29.430.00**            |
| SCA                 | 15                 | 184.01**                     | 1197.18**          | 727.55**               |
| Error               | 731                | 1.66                         | 6.29               | 6.03                   |
| GCA/SCA             | 0.56               | 24.95                        | 40.45              |

Significant *F* statistic: * at a level of $\alpha \leq 0.05$; **at a level of $\alpha \leq 0.01$
effects on the control of this traits. The nonadditive gene action played an important role for oleic acid content, since the magnitude of SCA effect was almost two times higher than GCA effect. The calculated GCA/SCA ratio was more than unity for linoleic acid (24.95) and α-linolenic acid (40.45), indicating that these traits are predominantly controlled by additive gene action. On the other hand, for oleic acid, the GCA/SCA ratio was less than one (0.56), indicating that this trait is mainly controlled by nonadditive action of genes. As stated in other studies (Patil and Chopde 1981; Krzyemański et al. 1993; Machikowa et al. 2011), the large difference between GCA and SCA allows achieving improvements in the given trait by selecting parents that transmit the desired traits to their progeny characterized by additive gene effect. Studies involving genetic analysis of the content of fatty acids in seed oil and research on yield-forming traits (Patil et al. 1981; Mercel et al. 1990; Góral et al. 2006, 2008) revealed that highly significant difference between GCA and SCA was already noticeable in F1 generation, which points to the dominance of additive variability over nonadditive variability.

Combining ability effects of parents and F1 populations

The combining ability analysis was performed to select better parents and crosses for their further use in the breeding program. The preliminary assessment results showing changes in the fatty acid composition of the seed oil obtained from F1 hybrids are presented in Table 3. This is also confirmed by the different Standard Deviation (SD). Significant variability was observed in the content of oleic, linoleic, and α-linolenic acid. Four groups (a, b, c, and d) were distinguished within the 30 tested F1 hybrids differing in the (C18:2, omega–6)/(C18:3, omega–3) acid ratio: group a comprised 16 hybrids with omega-6:omega-3 acid ratio (0.2–0.6):1 similar to traditional flax cultivars (Szafir, Oliwin, Eskalina, and Modran); group b comprised two hybrids with omega-6:omega-3 acid ratio (25.0–34.0):1 similar to linola-type cultivars (Linola KLA and Linola KLB); and two groups with the desired (omega–6:omega–3) acid ratio: group c with 10 hybrids having a ratio of (0.7–1.2):1 and group d with two hybrids having a ratio of (1.3–2.0):1 (Table 3).

GCA effect

The calculated effects of GCA for parent lines pointed to significant as well as varied effects on the progeny (Table 4). According to Pimentel et al. (2013) and Teodoro et al. (2019), the significance of GCA effects indicates the existence of parents who contribute a greater number of favorable alleles for these traits to be transmitted to progeny. A particular emphasis should be placed on two parent linola lines (Linola KLA and Linola KLB), with the significantly highest positive GCA value for linoleic acid and significantly highest negative GCA value for α-linolenic acid in both seasons (2014, 2016), which respectively increased the content of linoleic acid and reduced that of α-linolenic acid in the hybrid seeds. This clearly shows that these parents are good general combiners for the two traits (high content of linoleic and low content of α-linolenic acid) suggesting the presence of additive gene action and shall be included in the breeding program for accumulating favorable alleles in a single genetic background. Therefore, these parents can be used in a crossing program to obtain superior recombinants for the examined traits.

SCA effect

Assessment of the SCA effects of F1 parent forms revealed numerous crossing combinations with significant positive as well as negative SCA effects for the tested fatty acids (Table 5). The favorable or positive effects for the trait of increased linoleic acid content and negative effects for the trait of reduced α-linolenic acid content (desirable due to the slower oil oxidation process) were recorded for five crossing combinations (P3 × P1, P3 × P4, P3 × P5, P3 × P6, P4 × P2) in both seasons (2014, 2016). Therefore, these crosses could be regarded as promising combinations to be utilized either as F1 hybrids or as a source population for further selection in linseed.

These combinations allow selecting types with 1:1 and 2:1 ratio of omega–6:omega–3 acids, for producing oil with increased shelf-life for human consumption (Green et al. 2008; Ahmed et al. 2016).
Table 3  Mean of parents and their F1 hybrids for measured characteristics of linseed

| Genotypes         | Oleic acid C18:1 (omega 9) | Linoleic acid C18:2 (omega–6) | α-Linolenic acid C18:3(omega–3) | Ratio (omega–6: omega–3) Groups |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                   | Mean | SD   | Mean | SD   | Mean | SD   | Mean | SD   | Groups   |                   |
| Szafir (P1)       | 19.23 | 1.03 | 11.88 | 0.51 | 59.27 | 0.93 | 0.2:1 a |
| Oliwin (P2)       | 17.80 | 1.21 | 15.58 | 0.58 | 58.03 | 1.08 | 0.3:1 a |
| Linola KLA (P3)   | 16.97 | 0.79 | 71.27 | 0.81 | 2.14  | 0.27 | 33.4:1 b |
| Linola KLB (P4)   | 17.55 | 0.77 | 70.18 | 0.91 | 2.08  | 0.52 | 33.7:1 b |
| Escalina (P5)     | 23.13 | 0.90 | 15.69 | 0.97 | 52.31 | 0.96 | 0.3:1 a |
| Modran (P6)       | 21.03 | 1.03 | 17.99 | 0.91 | 50.35 | 1.23 | 0.4:1 a |
| P1 x P2           | 22.40 | 1.24 | 13.70 | 1.79 | 54.91 | 1.90 | 0.2:1 a |
| P1 x P3           | 26.89 | 1.05 | 25.83 | 1.51 | 38.15 | 1.61 | 0.7:1 c |
| P1 x P4           | 27.19 | 1.24 | 18.87 | 1.79 | 44.99 | 1.90 | 0.4:1 a |
| P1 x P5           | 21.72 | 1.30 | 12.79 | 1.87 | 56.58 | 1.99 | 0.2:1 a |
| P1 x P6           | 21.47 | 1.05 | 14.57 | 1.51 | 54.99 | 1.61 | 0.3:1 a |
| P2 x P1           | 21.43 | 1.02 | 14.39 | 1.47 | 54.82 | 1.56 | 0.3:1 a |
| P2 x P3           | 23.22 | 1.13 | 29.24 | 1.62 | 38.48 | 1.72 | 0.8:1 c |
| P2x P4            | 20.18 | 1.19 | 29.81 | 1.71 | 41.06 | 1.82 | 0.7:1 c |
| P2 x P5           | 20.79 | 1.27 | 16.58 | 1.82 | 54.15 | 1.94 | 0.3:1 a |
| P2 x P6           | 22.32 | 0.93 | 18.33 | 1.34 | 50.67 | 1.42 | 0.4:1 a |
| P3 x P1           | 19.69 | 1.01 | 38.68 | 1.45 | 32.17 | 1.54 | 1.2:1 c |
| P3 x P2           | 25.02 | 1.13 | 35.61 | 1.62 | 30.46 | 1.72 | 1.2:1 c |
| P3 x P4           | 23.19 | 1.30 | 65.24 | 1.87 | 2.50  | 1.99 | 26.1:1 b |
| P3 x P5           | 24.97 | 1.11 | 35.09 | 1.59 | 31.21 | 1.69 | 1.1:1 c |
| P3 x P6           | 19.74 | 1.19 | 43.91 | 1.71 | 27.09 | 1.82 | 1.6:1 d |
| P4 x P1           | 23.23 | 1.07 | 30.99 | 1.54 | 36.44 | 1.63 | 0.9:1 c |
| P4 x P2           | 17.10 | 1.05 | 48.95 | 1.51 | 24.52 | 1.61 | 2.0:1 d |
| P4 x P3           | 21.69 | 1.41 | 66.57 | 2.02 | 2.57  | 2.15 | 25.6:1 b |
| P4 x P5           | 28.51 | 1.11 | 30.91 | 1.59 | 31.33 | 1.69 | 1.0:1 c |
| P4 x P6           | 24.26 | 0.99 | 31.08 | 1.43 | 35.28 | 1.52 | 0.9:1 c |
| P5 x P1           | 20.08 | 1.49 | 14.30 | 2.14 | 56.51 | 2.28 | 0.3:1 a |
| P5 x P2           | 20.25 | 1.30 | 18.48 | 1.87 | 52.67 | 1.99 | 0.4:1 a |
| P5 x P3           | 21.93 | 1.49 | 22.73 | 2.14 | 46.75 | 2.28 | 0.5:1 a |
| P5 x P4           | 20.04 | 1.27 | 30.32 | 1.83 | 40.18 | 1.94 | 0.8:1 c |
| P5 x P6           | 26.01 | 1.33 | 16.87 | 1.92 | 48.30 | 2.03 | 0.3:1 a |
| P6 x P1           | 22.47 | 1.27 | 16.55 | 1.83 | 51.56 | 1.94 | 0.3:1 a |
| P6 x P2           | 21.64 | 1.22 | 15.21 | 1.75 | 53.56 | 1.86 | 0.3:1 a |
| P6 x P3           | 21.09 | 1.09 | 34.65 | 1.56 | 34.67 | 1.66 | 1.0:1 c |
| P6 x P4           | 20.63 | 1.54 | 31.08 | 2.21 | 38.79 | 2.35 | 0.8:1 c |
| P6 x P5           | 21.54 | 1.33 | 16.54 | 1.92 | 52.21 | 2.03 | 0.3:1 a |
| LSD (0.01%)       | 4.95**| 72.38**| 61.54**|       |       |       |       |

Symbols of groups (ratio C18:2/C18:3): a) (0.2–0.6):1; b) (25.0–34.0):1; c) (0.7–1.2:1); d) (1.3–2.0):1

Standard deviation (SD); Significant F statistic: **at a level of $\alpha \leq 0.01$
### Table 4 Effects of GCA for fatty acid content in the seeds of parental varieties of F1 generation during 2014 and 2016 growing season

| Genotypes      | Oleic acid C18:1 | Linoleic acid C18:2 | α-Linolenic acid C18:3 |
|----------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|                | 2014  | 2016  | 2014  | 2016  | 2014  | 2016  |
| Szafir (P1)    | 0.56** | -0.13 | -11.16** | -9.52** | 10.62** | 9.56** |
| Oliwin (P2)    | 0.45*  | -2.46** | -7.50** | -5.51** | 7.28**  | 8.22** |
| Linola KLA (P3) | 1.54** | 0.69  | 16.80** | 15.38** | -15.27** | -16.04** |
| Linola KLB (P4) | 1.19** | 0.50  | 16.61** | 13.74** | -15.64** | -14.39** |
| Escalina (P5)  | 1.17** | 1.51** | -8.30** | -8.34** | 7.40**  | 7.05** |
| Modran (P6)    | 0.55** | -0.10 | -6.44** | -5.75** | 5.62**  | 5.60** |

Significant F statistic: *at a level of \( \alpha \leq 0.05; **at a level of \( \alpha \leq 0.01 \)

### Table 5 Effects of SCA for fatty acid content in the seeds of hybrid cultivars of F1 generation during 2014 and 2016 growing season

| Hybrids       | Oleic acid C18:1 | Linoleic acid C18:2 | α-Linolenic acid C18:3 |
|---------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|               | 2014  | 2016  | 2014  | 2016  | 2014  | 2016  |
| P1 x P2       | 2.14** | 0.23  | 2.31  | 1.05  | -4.27* | -1.62 |
| P1 x P3       | -0.57 | 0.95  | -5.69** | -0.89 | 6.30** | -0.13 |
| P1 x P4       | 0.51  | 5.12** | -9.49** | -7.28** | 9.20**  | 2.41  |
| P1 x P5       | -1.67** | 1.36  | 3.75*  | 2.70  | -2.29  | 1.28  |
| P1 x P6       | -0.22 | -0.09 | 3.88*  | 2.14  | -3.60*  | -1.64 |
| P2 x P1       | -1.72** | 0.04  | -0.16 | 0.47  | 1.72  | -0.61 |
| P2 x P3       | 0.80*  | 4.95** | -7.02** | -5.49** | 5.99**  | 0.57  |
| P2 x P4       | -0.15 | -2.94** | 0.95  | 2.37  | -0.87  | 0.49  |
| P2 x P5       | 0.20  | -2.37* | 2.82  | 3.56*  | -2.69*  | -1.21 |
| P2 x P6       | -0.17 | 1.59  | 0.14  | 0.37  | -0.12  | -1.77 |
| P3 x P1       | -0.20 | -4.92** | 2.88  | 7.84** | -2.66*  | -3.16** |
| P3 x P2       | -1.74** | 2.37** | -0.08 | 5.00** | 2.11  | -7.41** |
| P3 x P4       | -0.25 | 3.09** | 10.56** | 1.88  | -10.23** | -4.72** |
| P3 x P5       | -0.33 | 0.67  | 6.98** | 6.47** | -6.61** | -7.23** |
| P3 x P6       | -0.08 | -0.82 | 4.45** | 4.78** | -4.32*  | -3.85** |
| P4 x P1       | -0.51 | -3.96** | 11.15** | 2.97*  | -10.88** | 0.86  |
| P4 x P2       | -0.60 | -2.22* | 7.01** | 11.03** | -6.50**  | -9.11** |
| P4 x P3       | 0.18  | -0.65 | -0.38 | 0.63  | 0.04  | 0.04  |
| P4 x P5       | 0.19  | 6.16** | 3.67  | -1.62 | -4.62*  | -3.88** |
| P4 x P6       | -0.74 | 1.81  | -0.23 | 0.93  | 1.15  | -2.70 |
| P5 x P1       | -0.88* | 0.30 | 0.74  | 0.69  | -0.25 | -0.79 |
| P5 x P2       | 1.25** | -1.43 | -0.39 | 1.81  | -0.93 | -0.38 |
| P5 x P3       | -0.91* | 2.12* | -6.39** | -8.67** | 7.51**  | 6.82** |
| P5 x P4       | -0.40 | 1.51  | -5.47** | -3.95** | 5.51**  | 2.20  |
| P5 x P6       | -0.39 | 2.27* | 1.73  | 2.62  | -1.62  | -4.86** |
| P6 x P1       | -0.60 | 1.78  | 1.76  | 0.46  | -1.23  | -2.57 |
| P6 x P2       | -0.18 | -0.01 | -2.51 | -0.86 | 1.83  | 0.43  |
| P6 x P3       | -0.22 | -2.61** | 1.02 | 1.52  | 1.41  | 0.98  |
| P6 x P4       | -0.19 | 0.60  | -6.56** | -6.94** | 6.88**  | 6.55** |
| P6 x P5       | 1.66** | -6.14** | -0.65 | 0.32  | -1.29 | 5.20** |

Significant F statistic: *at a level of \( \alpha \leq 0.05; **at a level of \( \alpha \leq 0.01 \)
Genetic parameter estimation

Thus far, only a few studies have been conducted concerning the mode in which oleic, linoleic, and α-linolenic acid are inherited in flax. Their inheritance is a complex trait which is determined by numerous genes. The inheritance of fatty acids has been better explained by the studies of Green (1986) and Rowland (1991) on low α-linolenic acid flax mutants. Subsequently, two independent fatty acid desaturase genes with additive gene action were identified.

The analysis of variance conducted as described by Hayman (1954) showed that the additive effect of genes was significant for the content of linoleic and α-linolenic acid. It was further observed that the significantly highest dominance of genes occurred for linoleic and α-linolenic acid. Among the F1 hybrids, a significant asymmetry was noted in the distribution of alleles of genes determining the content of oleic, linoleic, and α-linolenic acid (Table 6).

The significant role played by the additive effect of genes in determining 18-carbon fatty acids was also shown for rapeseed (Krzyman´ski and Downey 1969; Hu et al. 2006; Coonrodet al. 2008; Mei et al. 2011; Yang et al. 2012; Spasibionek 2013). Jedyn´ski (2003) showed that the additive effect of genes may significantly contribute to a rapid genetic progress; however, the considerable contribution of gene dominance may reduce the selection efficiency during the breeding process.

Assessment of genetic parameters was carried out for the contents of fatty acids as described by Mather and Jinks (1982) under both seasons (2014, 2016) (Table 7). For the content of linoleic and α-linolenic acid, it was found that additive parameters (D) were considerably higher than the nonadditive ones (H1, H2, and h2). This points to the dominance of additive action of genes in determining the content of linoleic and α-linolenic acid. Assessment of mean dominance degree (H1/D)1/2 for oleic acid showed a value higher than unity (1.07, 2.87), meaning that complete dominance occurred in determining this acid. These values were lower than the ones for linoleic (0.40, 0.42) and α-linolenic acid (0.44, 0.27), meaning that incomplete dominance occurred in determining the content of these acids. The estimated number of gene groups (h2/H2) that control the accumulation of fatty acids in the seed oil of the investigated F1 hybrids enabled determining one gene group for oleic, linoleic, and α-linolenic acid. However, a study on rapeseed showed that the content of oleic acid was determined by two groups of genes acting nonadditively, and that of linoleic and α-linolenic acid by one group of additive genes (Spasibionek 2013).

The product of allele frequency in all loci (H2/4 × H1) for analyzed acids was close to 0.25, which indicated that almost equal frequency of dominant and recessive alleles occurred for oleic acid (0.19, 0.21), linoleic acid (0.24, 0.19), and α-linolenic acid (0.23, 0.20). The ratio of dominant to recessive alleles ((DH1)1/2 + F)/(4DH1)1/2–F) was considerably greater than one, amounting to (2.55, 1.49) for oleic acid, (1.73, 2.90) for linoleic acid, and (1.83, 2.70) for α-linolenic acid. This revealed the prevalence of dominant over recessive genes in determining the content of these acids.

In the present study, the heritability coefficients in the broad sense (h2BS) and in the narrow sense (h2NS) were similar and very high for linoleic (h2BS–0.88, 0.89; h2NS–0.97, 0.98) and α-linolenic acid (h2BS–

| Table 6 Analysis of variance for the fatty acid content of hybrids of F1 generation according to Hayman |
| --- |
| Source of variation | Degrees of freedom | Oleic acid C18:1 | Linoleic acid C18:2 | α-Linolenic acid C18:3 |
| **Mean square** | 2014 | 2016 | 2014 | 2016 | 2014 | 2016 |
| 1/Dominance | 15 | 12.73** | 23.90** | 74.95** | 59.11** | 80.55** | 26.64** |
| 1a/Unidirection | 1 | 1.76 | 136.67** | 191.94** | 181.56** | 234.06** | 6.22 |
| 1b/Asymmetry | 5 | 5.84* | 13.96* | 22.64 | 60.61** | 35.28** | 22.89** |
| 2/Additivity | 5 | 4.35 | 11.81 | 2037.66** | 1558.40** | 1750.94** | 1690.57** |

Significant F statistic: *at a level of $\alpha \leq 0.05$; **at a level of $\alpha \leq 0.01$
which confirms the additive effect of genes in the inheritance of these acids. On the other hand, the lowest values were obtained for oleic acid (h^2BS–0.58, 0.19; h^2NS–0.65, 0.62), which confirms the nonadditive effect of genes for this trait (Table 7).

High values of h^2BS indicate that most of the phenotypic variations observed for these traits are genetic in nature and the possibility of genetic gains is increased. You et al. (2016) found high heritability (h^2BS~0.90) for linoleic and linolenic acid, whereas a moderate heritability for fat content (0.50–0.70). These results are consistent with those of Pleines and Friedt (1988), Khan et al. (2008), and Spasibionek (2013), who observed high h^2BS when controlling these same traits in *Brassica*. Many authors believe that the higher the value of broad-sense heritability is, the lower the environmental influence on the expression of the trait, given that this influence hinders the efficient selection of genotypes (Patel and Chopde 1981; Bhateria et al. 2006; Spasibionek 2013).

Conclusions

In the present study, important parental genotypes such as Linola KLA (P3) and Linola KLB (P4) were identified that could be utilized in crossing program to achieve the maximum variability toward changes in the proportion of fatty acids, mainly linoleic and α-linolenic acid.

Significant GCA and SCA effects observed for the studied fatty acids imply that both additive (for linoleic and α-linolenic acid) and nonadditive (for oleic acid) components of gene actions are involved in the inheritance. The prevalence of additive gene action in various characteristics indicates that using appropriate methods, such as diallel selective mating or cyclic selection, genetic improvement can be achieved through accumulating favorable alleles from parents with high GCA in the target genotype.

On the basis of the SCA effects, five cross combinations (P3 × P1, P3 × P4, P3 × P5, P3 × P6, P4 × P2) were found to be promising F1 hybrids for use as a source population for further selection, to achieve fatty acid changes in linseed. These combinations allow selecting varieties with 1:1 and 2:1 ratio of omega-6:omega-3 fatty acids. Such a fatty acid composition in linseed is very beneficial for human health, as well as the oil has a longer shelf life.
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