Photodynamic therapy with chlorins for diffuse malignant mesothelioma: initial clinical results
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Summary
Four patients underwent intraoperative photodynamic therapy after surgery with meso-tetra-(hydroxyphenyl)-chlorin (mTHPC-PDT) for diffuse malignant mesothelioma. Preliminary procedures were performed in two patients in order to establish the efficacy of mTHPC-PDT and to optimise its tumouricidal effect. The tumouricidal effect was related to the mTHPC dose, light dose and the time interval between sensitisation and activation. 0.3 mg kg⁻¹ mTHPC activated after 48 h with 10 Joules cm⁻² of non-thermal laser light at 650 nm resulted in a 10 mm deep tumour infarction, due to tumour vessel necrosis and thrombosis. The mTHPC tissue concentration was up to 14 times higher in the tumour than in normal tissues. Skin photosensitisation was mild, dose dependent and occurred 3 to 10 days after administration of mTHPC. According to the results obtained, intraoperative mTHPC-PDT was performed following pleuropneumonectomy in two, pleurectomy and lobectomy in one and pleurectomy in one patient. Ten Joules cm⁻² were delivered to the diaphragm and the costophrenic sulcus and 5 Joules cm⁻² to the remaining thoracic cavity. The postoperative course was marked by loss of appetite, fluid retention, hypoproteinaemia and severe chest pain. One patient succumbed from aspiration pneumonia. The remaining patients developed no neural or vascular alterations and no bronchial stump insufficiency during follow-up. mTHPC-PDT following surgical tumour resection deserves further evaluation in good risk patients with diffuse malignant mesothelioma.

Diffuse malignant mesothelioma spreads on pleural and peritoneal surfaces with invasion of the underlying structures, first of all of the diaphragm. There is no cure at present. Patients succumb in general from relentless local progression of the disease regardless of the treatment performed and not from distant metastatic spread, indicating that local control is not effective even if extended resections have been performed (Faber, 1988). Improved local control does require additional measures, but the disease responds poorly to radio- and chemotherapy (Lerner et al., 1983). As photodynamic therapy (PDT) has been reported to be effective in human mesothelioma xenografts (Feins et al., 1990), it might allow for an appropriate 'clean-up' of the thoracic cavity after surgery. For clinical purposes, the currently used sensitisers for PDT are haematoporphyrin derivatives (HpD) and dihaematoporphyrin ether (DHE) (Dougherty et al., 1990). However, PDT with meso-tetra-(hydroxyphenyl)-chlorin (mTHPC) was superior to DHE-PDT with respect to antitumour activity and tissue selectivity in rodents without causing sufficient toxicity (Berenbaum, 1989). mTHPC might therefore be better fitted to large surface PDT as required for diffuse malignant mesothelioma treatment. A pilot study was done to evaluate mTHPC-PDT for diffuse malignant mesothelioma with respect to its antitumour activity and the feasibility of a combined modality approach under clinical conditions.

Patients and methods
Four patients underwent mTHPC-PDT for diffuse malignant mesothelioma. Each patient was informed in detail about the experimental nature of the procedure and consent was obtained from each patient and from the local Human Investigations Committee of our institution. The four men were aged 46 (patient 1), 48 (patient 2), 65 (patient 3) and 50 years (patient 4), all having had possible occupation related exposure to asbestos. The main symptoms at admission were dyspnoea due to pleural effusion, chest pain and loss of weight. There was no evidence of disease in the peritoneal and contralateral chest cavity on CT-scans at admission. The right side was involved in patients 1, 2 and 4 and the left in patient 3. Previous biopsies revealed an epithelial (Figure 1a), a biphasic (Figure 2a), a sarcomatous and a mixed type of mesothelioma in the four patients and was confirmed in every case by immunohistologic examinations.

Preliminary PDT
To establish the efficacy of mTHPC-PDT and to optimise its tumouricidal effect, preliminary PDT was performed in patients 1 and 2 prior to its definitive application. Modulations of mTHPC dose, light dose and of the time interval between mTHPC application and activation were tested.

mTHPC (Scotia Pharmaceuticals Ltd, Guildford, UK) was dissolved in 20% ethanol, 30% polyethylene glycol 400 and 50% H₂O and administered over 15 min i.v. through a bacterial filter under sterile conditions within 60 min of preparation. Argon-pumped dye laser light of 650 nm (Coherent Innova 200 and Dye CR 599, GMP SA, Lausanne, Switzerland) was delivered through a sterilised optical fibre on tumour areas of 3 cm diameter. The power at the end of the optical fibre was measured with a power meter, allowing for a power density of 0.1 Watt cm⁻² on the treated surfaces (non-thermal surface irradiation). No protection of the skin from the operating theatre lights was performed. Normal light sources were used for laryngoscopy and bronchoscopy during double lumen intubation which was performed for each procedure. Five days after the light delivery the areas were biopsied and the histologic specimen compared to those of the untreated areas. Light delivery and biopsy procurement were performed on both patients twice by thoracoscopy and once through a small thoracotomy to obtain exact irradiance geometry and a cross sectional profile of the PDT related tumour destruction. The time interval between the three PDT was 3 weeks. mTHPC plasma concentrations were measured at regular intervals by high performance liquid chromatography up to 9 days after administration. Patients were cautioned to avoid direct sunlight for about 2 weeks but were encouraged to test skin sensitivity by daily exposure of the hand for a short period of time.
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Intraoperative PDT following surgery

According to the results obtained from preliminary PDT, intraoperative PDT following surgical tumour resection was performed with 0.3 mg kg⁻¹ mTHPC administered 48 h prior to light delivery in all four patients. The surgical procedure consisted of an extrapleural pneumonectomy in patients 1 and 2, a pleurectomy in patient 3 and a pleurectomy with resection of the lower lobe in patient 4. A cleavage plane between normal tissue and tumour was found in patients 1 and 2 at the chest wall and the mediastinum, and in patient 4 at the chest wall, the mediastinum and the upper lobe. No reasonable plane was found at the chest wall, the mediastinum and the lung in patient 3 with a sarcomatous type of tumour. The diaphragm was debulked yet preserved in all four patients in order to keep intact this natural barrier to the peritoneal cavity. The pericardium was removed in patient 1 and 2, preserved in patient 3 and partially removed and replaced by a vicryl mesh in patient 4. After the resection, the light was delivered through the open chest wound, with a dose of 10 J cm⁻² to the diaphragm and the costophrenic sulcus and of 5 J cm⁻² to the remaining cavity (including the lung in patients 3 and 4). The diameters of the light spots varied from 5 to 12 cm, according to the geometry of the area treated. To reduce additional loss of energy and divergence of the laser beam, the light was delivered through a bare fibre rather than a lens. The spots were therefore overlapped to prevent unequal light distribution by the bare fibre. The maximal laser power output at the end of the fibre was 1.5 Watt in patient 1, 2 and 3. Patient 4 was treated by a new high power laser system, allowing for 4 Watt at the end of the fibre at 650 nm. The heart was shielded from direct irradiance by a moist towel in patients 1 and 2. mTHPC concentrations were measured in the tumour and in normal tissues 48 h after mTHPC-administration.

Results

Preliminary PDT

Tumour response to mTHPC-PCT 0.075 mg kg⁻¹ mTHPC and 10 Joules cm⁻² delivered after 24 h resulted in frank necrosis of approximately 50% of tumour on semi-serial sections investigated from treated areas (diameter of biopsy
specimen: 10 mm). The histologic pattern of necrosis was consistent with tumour infarction. Tumour vessels showed a fibrinoid necrosis of the vessel wall and thrombosis. The tumour necrosis extended from the vascular watershed towards the vessels whereas the remaining 50% of tissue around the vessels appeared edematous and possibly viable (perivascular sparing, Figure 1b). Specimens taken from untreated tumour areas served as controls and showed no spontaneous tumour necrosis (Figure 1a).

0.15 mg mTHPC kg⁻¹ activated after 24 h with 10 Joules cm⁻² resulted in a 50% to 80% infarction related tumour destruction. However, balloononed basophilic tumour cells of questionable viability and prominent interstitial edema were observed with 0.15 mg mTHPC kg⁻¹ and 2 Joules cm⁻² delivered after 24 h (Figure 2b). No spontaneous tumour necrosis was found in biopsy specimens from adjacent but untreated tumour areas (Figure 2a).

0.3 mg mTHPC kg⁻¹ and 10 Joules cm⁻² delivered after 24 h resulted in a 10 mm deep complete tumour necrosis in the centre but with preserved cells in the periphery of the treated areas. No viable tumour cells were observed in the periphery when the same light dose was applied after 48 h (Figure 1c). Higher light doses (up to 40 Joules cm⁻²) did not lead to deeper tumour destruction than that observed with 10 Joules cm⁻².

**Skin photosensitivity** The only side effect observed after mTHPC administration was a mild, dose dependent skin photosensitivity. It appeared 3 to 10 days after administration of mTHPC and lasted for 2 to 3 days. Photosensitivity was observed after direct and indirect (closed window) exposure to sunlight, but not under normal room light conditions.

No adverse effect was observed from the operating theatre lights nor from the lights used for laryngoscopy and bronchoscopy during double lumen intubation, although these lights contain a high percentage of red light.

**mTHPC plasma, urine and tissue concentrations** Plasma concentrations followed a first order kinetics after i.v. application and the half-life time was 12 h. An average plasma concentration of 14.6 ± 7.6 µg 100 ml⁻¹ was measured 48 h after administration of 0.3 mg mTHPC kg⁻¹. At this time, the mTHPC concentration in the tumour was 1.4 µg g⁻¹, in the bronchus, pulmonary artery and pulmonary vein wall of the resected specimen 0.2 µg g⁻¹, 0.3 µg g⁻¹ and 0.1 µg g⁻¹ and in muscle and skin tissue 0.2 µg g⁻¹ and 0.1 µg g⁻¹ respectively.

Nine days after administration, mTHPC was still detectable in the plasma (4 µg 100 ml⁻¹). No mTHPC or metabolites were identified in urine samples at any time.

**Intraoperative PDT following surgery**

An additional 2 h were required for PDT of the thoracic cavity in patients 1, 2 and 3 after completion of the surgical tumour resection. Appropriate exposure of the costophrenic sulcus was obtained by manual retraction of the diaphragm. Difficulties were encountered in ensuring equal and sufficient light distribution to the anterior chest wall in these patients and to the entire surface of the collapsed lung in patient 3. Patient 4 was treated with a new high power laser system and the overall PDT treatment time was reduced to 50 min. Appropriate light delivery to the costophrenic sulcus, the anterior chest wall and to the remnant lung was much easier in this patient due to the markedly reduced treatment time.

**Postoperative course** Loss of appetite, fluid retention (up to 8 kg), hypoproteinemia (50.4 ± 7 g l⁻¹) and more severe chest pain than anticipated from the surgical procedure per se were specific side effects following this combined approach. Serum creatinine values and urine output were normal throughout. SGOT, SGPT and alkaline phosphatase values were slightly increased in the first postoperative days, but did not exceed 3-fold of the normal range. CK values were not higher than after surgery per se (1,000 U l⁻¹) in patients 1, 2 and 3; however, they were increased in patient 4 (3,800 U l⁻¹). The CK-MB fraction was not increased in any patient. The chest pain was controlled by opioids or peridural analgesia and disappeared after about 1 week. Retained fluids were spontaneously mobilised 3 to 5 days after the operation. The clinical course in patient 4 did not differ from that in patients 1 and 2, despite the higher power density applied in this patient.

Patients 1, 2 and 4 were discharged after 3 weeks, but patient 3 succumbed from a massive aspiration pneumonia of his contralateral lung on the sixth post-operative day. The autopsy revealed PDT induced necrosis of the remnant tumour throughout the chest cavity and the lung surface. The depth of necrosis, however, varied with the area treated, and ranged from 0.5 to 1 cm at the diaphragm (Figure 3a) and the costophrenic sulcus and from 0.3 to 0.5 cm at the remaining sites of the cavity, including the lung surface. Even in the presence of full thickness necrosis of the adjacent tumour, underlying structures such as the aorta, nerve ganglion cells and the esophagus were spared (Figure 3b and 3c).

However, chondrocytes and osteocytes of the ribs and smooth muscle cells of the aorta adjacent to the destroyed tumour were also altered, due to PDT induced damage of nutritive vessels (Figure 3d). The lung showed small foci of subpleural alveolitis under the destroyed tumour.

**Follow-up** No insufficiency of the bronchial stump and no vascular alterations were observed in the remaining three patients during follow-up. Patient 2 showed a diffuse weakness of his right arm without evidence of a lesion of the peripheral nerves, however. CT-scans revealed no structural alterations of the mediastinal organs 3 months after the operation. There was no evidence of disease in patients 2 and 4 on CT-scans at the time, however, patient 1 showed contralateral disease and anterior chest wall invasion at a previous biopsy site.

**Discussion**

The goal of PDT is selective tumour eradication whilst sparing adjacent normal tissue. A sensitisser with preferential uptake by tumour tissue is administered and activated by a non-thermal dose of laser light of a specific wavelength, leading to free radical formation and destruction of the target tissue. Intraoperative PDT of a tumour bed following surgery is a promising concept for tumours not removable with the required margins of tumour-free tissue. Pilot studies have been done in this respect with HpD and DHE for retroperitoneal sarcomas (Nambisan et al., 1987), residual or recurrent colorectal cancer in the pelvis (Herrera-Orenelas et al., 1985), diffuse malignant mesothelioma (Pass et al., 1990; Loftgren et al., 1991) and for disseminated intraperitoneal malignancies (Sindelar et al., 1991). The results of these studies indicate that large surface PDT is feasible: however, the tumouricidal effect for intraoperative large surface PDT has not been proven in these reports. Moreover, the delivered light dose ranged from 3 to 400 Joules cm⁻² and the optimal dose still needs to be clarified. A major hindrance in treating large surfaces is their irregular geometry and inhomogeneous optical light delivery difficult. Efficacy and tumour selectivity of PDT are crucial for this purpose. Both depend on the sensitisser used and, according to the given sensitisser, on the interplay of its dose, the light dose and the time interval between application and activation. To overcome the shortcomings of HpD and DHE, new sensitisers have been developed with improved properties for this respect (Pandey et al., 1991). Among chlorins, mTHPC has shown excellent tumour eradication and tissue selectivity in rodents, requiring only 10 Joules cm⁻² to induce tumour necrosis of 0.6 cm depth, and without apparent side effects and minimal skin sensitivity (Berensonbaum et al., 1989). Furthermore, mTHPC strongly absorbs at 650 nm. This wavelength penetrates tissue better than that required for HpD or DHE activation. The
effective penetration depth steeply increase in soft tissues between 600 and 650 nm (Wilson & Patterson, 1990). Our initial clinical results demonstrate a preferential uptake of mTHPC in tumour tissue. The mTHPC concentration was up to 14 times higher in the tumour than in the skin and other normal tissues. In contrast, for HpD and DHE a 2 to 4 ratio of tumour to skin concentration was reported (Gomer & Dougherty, 1979; Moan et al., 1987). Furthermore, a light dose of 10 Joules cm^-2 caused a 10 mm deep tumour necrosis after administration of 0.3 mg kg^-1 mTHPC to our patients. This efficacy has not been reported for DHE- or HpD-PDT. In addition, the only side effect caused by this mTHPC dose was a mild skin sensitivity of shorter duration than observed after HpD or DHE application. Patients have to avoid outdoor sunlight for about 10 days after administration of mTHPC, as opposed to at least 1 month after HpD and DHE application.

As expected from previous results (Nelson et al., 1990), tumour vessels seem to be the primary target for mTHPC-PDT, leading to necrosis of the vessel wall, thrombosis and subsequent tumour infarction (Figure 1b). However, the different morphology obtained after low light doses (Figure 2b) suggests a different mechanism of action and may be related to direct cell alteration by PDT.

Our results indicate that the tumouricidal effect depends on mTHPC dose, light dose and the time interval between sensitisation and activation. Small mTHPC and light doses and a shorter time interval resulted in decreased tumour destruction. Variations of mTHPC short dose had a greater impact in this respect than variations of light dose. However, the optimal configuration has yet to be defined. It was noteworthy that the autopsy findings showed no adverse altering of the PDT-induced tumouricidal effect by previously performed debulking surgery.

Although exposed to the same light dose as the remnant tumour, the apparent absence of extensive muscle necrosis and of damage to the bronchial, vascular and neural structures suggest some reasonable degree of treatment selectivity for mTHPC-PDT under clinical conditions. However, the large surface treatment caused substantial additional burden to the patients in the postoperative course. The autopsy findings also raised concern about what degree normal tissue can be spared in the case of invading tumours. Although normal tissue damage was restricted to a few layers bordering the destroyed tumour, these results indicate further attention should be paid towards late sequelae of the involved underlying structures. Decreasing the mTHPC dose while increasing the light dose might improve tissue selectivity, as has been reported of DHE-PDT (Dougherty et al., 1990).

Sufficient and uniform light delivery to all areas of the large and complex shaped thoracic cavity without exceeding a reasonable overall treatment time is mandatory for PDT of diffuse malignant mesothelioma. A high power laser system is now available, allowing for 4 Watt power output at the end of the treating fibre at 650 nm, with Kiton Red as dye. As shown in patient 4, this reduces the overall treatment time required for PDT to less than 1 h and does not increase morbidity despite the higher power density applied. Sophisticated light delivery devices (DeLaney et al., submitted for publication) and continuous light monitoring with cumulative recording of the delivered light dose (Friauf et al., submitted for publication) will further contribute to uniform and appropriate light delivery.

mTHPC-PDT following surgical tumour resection deserves further evaluation in good risk patients with diffuse malignant mesothelioma.

Figure 3 Combined modality approach for diffuse malignant mesothelioma. Autopsy findings in patient 3, 6 days after PDT: a, 5 to 10 mm deep necrosis of the tumour invading the diaphragm (bar = 2 mm); b, destroyed tumour with preservation of an underlying nerve ganglion (fistulation related artifact, bar = 200 µm); c, destroyed tumour invaded the aorta with preservation of the vessel wall (bar = 2 mm); d, altered smooth muscle cells of the aorta adjacent to destroyed invading tumour (bar = 200 µm) (Haematoxylin-Eosin).
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