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Abstract

Background: Acute kidney injury (AKI) has high morbidity and mortality in intensive care units (ICU). It can also lead to chronic kidney disease (CKD), more costs and longer hospital stay. Early identification of AKI is important.

Methods: We conducted this monocenter prospective observational study at West China Hospital, Sichuan University, China. We recorded information of each patient in the ICU within 24 h after admission and updated every two days. Patients who reached the primary outcome were accepted into the AKI group. Of all patients, we randomly drew 70% as the development cohort and the remaining 30% as the validation cohort. Using binary logistic regression we got a risk prediction model of the development cohort. In the validation cohort, we validated its discrimination by the area under the receiver operator curve (AUROC) and calibration by a calibration curve.

Results: There were 656 patients in the development cohorts and 280 in the validation cohort. Independent predictors of AKI in the risk prediction model including hypertension, chronic kidney disease, acute pancreatitis, cardiac failure, shock, pH ≤ 7.30, CK > 1000 U/L, hypoproteinemia, nephrotoxin exposure, and male. In the validation cohort, the AUROC is 0.783 (95% CI 0.730–0.836) and the calibration curve shows good calibration of this prediction model. The optimal cut-off value to distinguish high-risk and low-risk patients is 4.5 points (sensitivity is 78.4%, specificity is 73.2% and Youden's index is 0.516).

Conclusions: This risk prediction model can help to identify high-risk patients of AKI in ICU to prevent the development of AKI and treat it at the early stages.

Trial registration TCTR, TCTR20170531001. Registered 30 May 2017, http://www.clinicaltrials.in.th/index.php?tp=registrals&menu=trialsearch&submenu=fulltext&task=search&task2=view1&id=2573
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Background

Acute kidney injury (AKI) is one of the most common complications in critically ill patients in intensive care units (ICU). The morbidity of AKI in ICUs can up to 50% according to some studies [1, 2]. In China, this problem also exists. The incidence of AKI in Chinese ICUs is approximately between 20 and 50% [3–6]. Many studies show that the occurrence of AKI can lead to worse prognosis, including high long-term mortality [7, 8] and the incidence of chronic kidney disease (CKD) [9, 10]. Furthermore, AKI might cause more costs and longer hospital stay [11–13].

Early identification of AKI is essential to critically ill patients because there’s no good therapy but renal replacement therapy (RRT) when it becomes severe. But RRT is a huge burden for critically ill patients.

There are a few studies developed risk prediction models to identify AKI, but each of these models has their own limitations [14–18]. We still need more studies from more centers to get a model which can predict the risk of
AKI even more accurately. Then the clinicians can identify AKI early and precisely, and treat it before it becomes severe.

**Methods**

This is a monocenter prospective observational study conducted at West China Hospital, Sichuan University, China. We had obtained informed consent from all participants.

**Data collection and classification**

We recorded demographic information, medical history, physical examination, laboratory examination and therapeutic regimen of each patient in the intensive care unit within 24 h after admission of ICU. Laboratory examination results and therapeutic regimen were collected every two days.

The primary outcome variable was the development of AKI, which defined with a modified KDIGO serum creatinine (SCr) criterion (increasing 26.5 μmol/L within 48 h or becoming 1.5 times higher than the baseline within 7 days). The baseline of SCr was regarded as the SCr measured at ICU admission. The secondary outcome variables were death and receiving RRT.

Patients who reached the primary outcome and were age 14 years or older were accepted into the AKI group (489 patients) and were excluded if they used to have a kidney transplant or were receiving RRT. From 1 March 2017 to 31 December 2017, 468 patients were accepted into the AKI group. We randomly selected 468 patients who did not reach the primary outcome before discharging from the hospital as the control group. Considering that others’ researches [19–21] mostly divided the development and validation cohort patients as a ratio between 7:3 and 8:2, of the 936 patients from two groups, we randomly drew 70% as the development cohort and the remaining 30% as the validation cohort (Fig. 1).

**Risk factors**

Basing on some other studies [22–27], we had chosen several candidate predictor variables including gender (male), age (> 70 years), hypertension, diabetes, chronic kidney disease, chronic liver disease, chronic pulmonary disease, coronary heart disease, cancer, acute pancreatitis, severe empyrosis, trauma, cardiac failure, respiratory failure, sepsis, shock, cardiopulmonary arrest, anemia (hemoglobin < 110 g/L), hypoproteinemia (serum albumin < 30 g/L), low blood pH (pH ≤ 7.30), high creatine kinase (CK > 1000 U/L), nephrotoxin exposure, major surgery and blood transfusion. Shock is defined as a life-threatening, generalized form of acute circulatory failure associated with inadequate oxygen utilization by the cells [28]. For ease of analysis and interpretation, we represented those risk factors as binary predictor variables.

**Statistical methods**

Continuous variables were presented as the mean (SD) or median and interquartile range (IQR), depending on distribution, and were analyzed by unpaired t test. Categorical variables were presented as percentages and were analyzed using a Chi squared test.

Using binary logistic regression (forward: LR), we got independent predictors of the development of AKI and developed a risk prediction model of AKI. For ease of application, we turned the risk prediction model into a risk prediction score. We converted the coefficients into integers by converting the smallest coefficient to 1 and dividing other coefficients by the minimum coefficient and rounding the numbers to the nearest integers.

Because the performance in the development cohort may over-estimate the performance of other patients, we validated the risk prediction score in the validation cohort by estimating discrimination and calibration. Discrimination was estimated by the area under the receiver operator curve (AUROC) and calibration was evaluated using a calibration curve.

**Results**

**Baseline characteristics**

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the development cohort and the validation cohort. A total of 656 patients were included in the development cohorts and 280 in the validation cohort. Patients’ mean ages are 58 (SD 18) years in the development cohort which has 68% (n = 445) males and 57 (SD 18) years in the validation cohort which has 69% (n = 193) males. Serum creatinine baseline measures were also similar between two cohorts (85 (IQR 62–131) μmol/L in the development cohort, 80 (IQR 58–118) μmol/L in the validation cohort). In the development and validation cohorts, the prevalence of hypertension is 32% (n = 213) and 35% (n = 97), respectively. Among AKI patients, 32% (n = 106) patients in the development cohorts and 32% (n = 45) patients in the validation cohort received RRT. The hospital mortality of the patients who developed AKI is significantly higher than the patients without AKI in both cohorts (52% versus 13% in the development cohort and 49% versus 11% in the validation cohort). The development cohort and the validation cohort was compared using independent-sample t test in continuous variables (age and SCr baseline measures) and Chi square test in categorical variables (all risk factors). P-values are greater than 0.05 at all of the variables, which means they have no significant difference between the two cohorts.
Development of the risk prediction score
By univariate analysis, we selected 18 candidate predictors into a logistic regression analysis, with the development of AKI as the dependent variable. Those candidate predictors are gender (male), hypertension, diabetes, chronic kidney disease, chronic pulmonary disease, coronary heart disease, cancer, acute pancreatitis, trauma, cardiac failure, respiratory failure, sepsis, shock, cardiopulmonary arrest, hypoproteinemia, low blood pH ($\text{pH} \leq 7.30$), high creatine kinase (CK $> 1000$ U/L), and nephrotoxin exposure.

Logistic regression analysis was conducted using forward Selection, and the outcome is showed in Table 2. Those 10 predictors entered the risk prediction model, including hypertension, chronic kidney disease, acute pancreatitis, cardiac failure, shock, pH $\leq 7.30$, CK $> 1000$ U/L, hypoproteinemia, nephrotoxin exposure, and male.

Then we turned the risk prediction model into a risk prediction score, showed in Table 2. The total score ranges from 0 to 15 points. Probability of AKI $= 1/[1 + \exp(2.999 - 0.557 \times \text{total score})]$. The comparison table of total score and probability of AKI is showed in Table 3. Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test’s P-value is 0.511. The area under the receiver operating...
### Table 1 Baseline characteristics of patients in the development and validation cohorts

| Variables                                      | Development Cohort (n = 656) | Validation Cohort (n = 280) | P-value |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Age, years, mean (SD)                          | 58 (18)                      | 57 (18)                     | 0.445   |
| Male, n (%)                                    | 445 (68)                     | 193 (69)                    | 0.742   |
| SCr baseline measures, μmol/L, median (IQR)   | 85 (62–131)                  | 80 (58–118)                 | 0.264   |
| Age > 70, n (%)                                | 173 (26)                     | 66 (24)                     | 0.547   |
| Hypertension, n (%)                            | 213 (32)                     | 97 (35)                     | 0.518   |
| Diabetes, n (%)                                | 120 (18)                     | 43 (15)                     | 0.278   |
| Chronic kidney disease, n (%)                  | 50 (8)                       | 24 (9)                      | 0.622   |
| Chronic liver disease, n (%)                   | 73 (11)                      | 35 (13)                     | 0.547   |
| Chronic pulmonary disease, n (%)               | 144 (22)                     | 68 (24)                     | 0.435   |
| Coronary heart disease, n (%)                  | 42 (6)                       | 20 (7)                      | 0.677   |
| Cancer, n (%)                                  | 143 (22)                     | 63 (23)                     | 0.813   |
| Acute pancreatitis, n (%)                      | 75 (11)                      | 36 (13)                     | 0.537   |
| Severe empyrosis, n (%)                        | 8 (1)                        | 4 (1)                       | 0.795   |
| Trauma, n (%)                                  | 162 (25)                     | 56 (20)                     | 0.120   |
| Cardiac failure, n (%)                         | 196 (30)                     | 74 (26)                     | 0.286   |
| Respiratory failure, n (%)                     | 310 (47)                     | 122 (44)                    | 0.300   |
| Sepsis, n (%)                                  | 154 (23)                     | 61 (22)                     | 0.574   |
| Shock, n (%)                                   | 273 (42)                     | 122 (44)                    | 0.579   |
| Cardiopulmonary arrest, n (%)                  | 52 (8)                       | 15 (5)                      | 0.163   |
| Anemia, n (%)                                  | 530 (81)                     | 218 (78)                    | 0.305   |
| Hypoproteinemia, n (%)                         | 456 (70)                     | 197 (70)                    | 0.797   |
| pH ≤ 7.30, n (%)                               | 104 (16)                     | 40 (14)                     | 0.543   |
| CK > 1000 U/L, n (%)                           | 200 (30)                     | 77 (28)                     | 0.359   |
| Nephrotoxin exposure, n (%)                    | 131 (20)                     | 69 (25)                     | 0.110   |
| Major surgery, n (%)                           | 408 (62)                     | 180 (64)                    | 0.545   |
| Blood transfusion, n (%)                       | 330 (50)                     | 133 (48)                    | 0.432   |
| Receiving RRT, n (%)                           | 113 (17)                     | 50 (18)                     | 0.816   |
| Mortality, n (%)                               | 213 (32)                     | 84 (30)                     | 0.457   |

### Table 2 Predictors of AKI obtained by multivariate logistic regression in the development cohort

| Variables                  | Coefficient | OR   | 95% CI for OR | P-value | Score |
|----------------------------|-------------|------|---------------|---------|-------|
|                            |             | Lower| Upper         |         |       |
| Hypertension               | 0.764       | 2.147| 1.410 – 3.270 | 0.000   | 1     |
| Chronic kidney disease     | 1.194       | 3.300| 1.431 – 7.612 | 0.005   | 2     |
| Acute pancreatitis         | 0.897       | 2.452| 1.292 – 4.656 | 0.006   | 1     |
| Cardiac failure            | 1.024       | 2.785| 1.781 – 4.357 | 0.000   | 2     |
| Shock                      | 0.840       | 2.317| 1.555 – 3.452 | 0.000   | 1     |
| pH ≤ 7.30                  | 1.067       | 2.906| 1.652 – 5.111 | 0.000   | 2     |
| CK > 1000 U/L              | 1.272       | 3.568| 2.327 – 5.471 | 0.000   | 2     |
| Hypoproteinemia            | 1.240       | 3.457| 2.218 – 5.388 | 0.000   | 2     |
| Nephrotoxin exposure       | 0.752       | 2.122| 1.294 – 3.481 | 0.003   | 1     |
| Male                       | 0.557       | 1.745| 1.150 – 2.649 | 0.009   | 1     |
The characteristic of the development cohort is 0.833 (95% CI 0.802–0.864) (Fig. 2). Value is greater than 0.8 which indicates excellent predictive performance.

Model validation
The area under the receiver operating characteristic of the validation cohort is 0.783 (95% CI 0.730–0.836) (Fig. 3). Value is greater than 0.7 which indicates good predictive performance. Calibration curves of development and validation cohorts (Fig. 4) show good calibration of this prediction score.

The optimal cut-off value to distinguish high-risk and low-risk patients is 4.5 points (sensitivity is 78.4%, specificity is 73.2% and Youden’s index is 0.516). This means that if a patient with a score of greater or equal to 5, this patient might have a high risk of developing AKI.

Discussion
Acute kidney injury has high morbidity and mortality, especially in ICUs. For the purpose of early identification of AKI, researching on the risk prediction model of AKI is necessary. Existing models have many flaws. This model made up for some defects and proposed a few new risk prediction factors.

Clinically, if all the risk factors are identified and quantified to use to profile risk, 20%–30% AKI can be predicted and avoided [29]. After admission to a hospital, early prediction of AKI can bring high opportunity to prevent patients from developing AKI. There have been a few prediction models for AKI risk using in ICUs, but there are still many researchers trying to develop risk prediction models because we still need more sensitive and more accurate models to apply to the clinic.

We used logistic regression to develop a model. Of all the candidate variables, only a few turned out to predict AKI (hypertension, chronic kidney disease, acute pancreatitis, cardiac failure, shock, pH ≤ 7.30, CK > 1000 U/L, hypoproteinemia, nephrotoxin exposure, and male). In our analysis, some variables had high significance in univariate analysis (age > 70 years, diabetes, chronic liver disease, coronary heart disease, cancer, trauma, respiratory failure, sepsis, and major surgery), but were not selected into the final model. This means there may be some false associations or indirect associations between these variables and independent predictors. These variables turned out not independent predictors in this model. However, there

### Table 3 The comparison table of total score and probability of AKI

| Score | Probability of AKI | Score | Probability of AKI |
|-------|-------------------|-------|-------------------|
| 0     | 0.047             | 8     | 0.811             |
| 1     | 0.080             | 9     | 0.882             |
| 2     | 0.132             | 10    | 0.929             |
| 3     | 0.209             | 11    | 0.958             |
| 4     | 0.316             | 12    | 0.976             |
| 5     | 0.447             | 13    | 0.986             |
| 6     | 0.585             | 14    | 0.992             |
| 7     | 0.711             | 15    | 0.995             |

**Fig. 2** Area under the receiver operating characteristic of the development cohort

**Fig. 3** Area under the receiver operating characteristic of the validation cohort

**Fig. 4** Area under the receiver operating characteristic of the validation cohort
are previous reports showing their relationship with the development of AKI. We still need more research about these variables.

Using risk ranges, a total score of 0–4 points was associated with a low risk of AKI. Patients with a total score of 5–15 have a high risk to develop AKI. However, this is not absolute. In our study, 22% of low-risk patients also developed AKI, which indicates that this risk prediction score is not perfect yet. In the following work, more efforts should be pay to include more variables and perform more accurate verification to perfect it.

There are several strengths of our study. Firstly, this is a prospective observational study. We collected data prospectively to ensure the information detailed and reliable and to reduce the impact of bias. Secondly, we included a lot of candidate risk factors in our research. The risk factors we have included are very comprehensive. Most of those which reported in previous researches have been included. All of them are medical history data and clinical examinations easily to get, which are convenient for clinicians to apply. With only 10 variables in the risk prediction score, it is simple to calculate. Thirdly, two variables (acute pancreatitis and CK > 1000 U/L) in our risk prediction score have not been included in other models before. There is a study [30] which reported the relationship between acute pancreatitis and AKI but there is no study included acute pancreatitis into the model. The incidence of acute pancreatitis in China is relatively high and the main causes of acute pancreatitis are different from those in Europe and America. Our study raises the possibility that acute pancreatitis in China is highly correlated with the occurrence of AKI. There is also a study [14] suggesting the correlation between rhabdomyolysis and AKI but no study include incorporated rhabdomyolysis into the model. We considered that it might be that rhabdomyolysis’ diagnostic criteria led to this result. We relaxed the standard of CK to greater than 1000 and found it highly correlated with the occurrence of AKI. Finally, the risk factors we included are similar to other studies, but which incorporated into the model were different. That may indicate that the risk factors for AKI differ depending on the regions and races. It provides a direction for future researchs.

However, our study also has several limitations. The most important one is that this is a monocenter study. We did not conduct externally verification and only verified internally. This may cause some problems with the extrapolation of our model and the results may not be widely generalizable in other regions and races. Secondly, recent studies emphasized the importance of AKI biomarkers to predict AKI [31, 32]. However, for ease of application, we did not include biomarkers because they are not yet widely used clinically. Thirdly, KDIGO criterion diagnoses AKI with both urine volume and serum creatinine. Nevertheless, we used serum creatinine only on account of the inaccuracy of urine volume data. Finally, In order to facilitate statistics, we represent most of the variables as binary variables, and the severity of each variable is not taken into account.

Prediction of AKI still has high importance because it is associated with high mortality and high morbidity. Our future studies will focus on improving our model by expanding the sample size and performing external validation. We may bring in some refined biomarkers as predictors.

There is no single intervention can improve the outcome of AKI patients, so a risk prediction model would most likely to be used as a measure to help those patients. On this road, we should all work harder because there is still a long way to go.
Conclusion
We developed a risk prediction model which can help to identify high-risk patients of AKI in ICU to prevent the development of AKI and treat it at the early stages. The variables are easy to get and the score is liable to calculate. However, it also has several limitations. We will conduct further research to improve this model.
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