Effects of Prostaglandins on Ethanol Damage in Primary Cultured Rat Hepatocytes
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Objectives: Several reports demonstrated that ethanol administration impairs the DNA synthesis in rat hepatocytes. Also, it has been demonstrated that prostaglandin (PG) helps prevent membrane damage by hepatotoxic chemicals. In this study, the authors examined PG's effects on the toxicity of ethanol in the primary culture of rat regenerations.

Methods: We examined two kinds of parameters, i.e., DNA synthesis and lipid peroxidation in the primary culture of rat hepatocytes. Hepatocytes were isolated by the collagenase perfusion method. The rate of DNA synthesis was determined by pulse-labelling cultured cells with [3H]-thymidine. Incorporation of [3H]-thymidine was determined by liquid scintillation spectrophotometer. DNA content was measured by the fluorescence spectrophotometer. The lipid peroxidation was assayed with spectrophotometer.

Results: The results were as follows: 1) PG family (PGA1, PGD2, PGE1, PGE2, PGG2a, PGJ2 & Thromboxane B2) stimulated the DNA synthesis of hepatocytes (especially PGD2 and PGE2), 2) ethanol decreased DNA synthesis by clear dose-dependent manner, 3) the combined treatment of PGD2 or PGE2, prevents the decreasing of DNA synthesis, which was induced by ethanol, 4) in ethanol treatment, lipid peroxidation was decreased significantly, but PGD2, PGE2 and PGA1 were not affected, and 5) PGD2, PGE2 and PGA1 decreased lipid peroxidation with ethanol, significantly.

Conclusions: From these results, we concluded that PG could be useful for the treatment of degenerative liver disease and alcohol-induced liver disease in the assumption that further studies on the action mechanisms of PG will continue.
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INTRODUCTION

Prostaglandin (PG), found in human semen by Goldblatt1 and von Euler2, is a combination of several kinds of unsaturated lipids that have different structures. PG exists in every organism, is synthesized and isolated by many variations, and has diverse effects on different chemical structures and organs. PG is a localized hormone that is created and activated in the same place and does not react throughout the whole body except at delivery time. The functions of PG include contracting and relaxing the smooth muscles, increasing and restraining thrombocyte adhesion, and reacting to inflammation and immune responses. In particular, PG functions as cytoprotection against damaged mucosa of the stomach. It was first asserted by Jacobson et
al} and Robert{superscript} that PG functions as cytoprotection against damaged mucosa of the stomach caused by ethanol, adrenal stenid, aspirin, indomethacin and bile acid. The effect of PG on organs, especially the liver, is related to multiplication of liver cells as well as such cytoprotection. Andreis et al{superscript} reported that Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) increased by a dosage of PG in the cultivation of young rat's liver cells, and Boynton et al{superscript} reported that DNA increased by a dosage of low concentrations of PG in the cultivation of rat's liver cells. In addition, the PG synthesis rate increased in several kinds of cancer cells. However, it was reported that PG hindered DNA synthesis in the HepG-2 cell, L cell and Hela cell. Most of the studies of cytoprotection against toxicity in the liver are about cytoprotection from carbon tetrachloride. Ethanol hinders DNA synthesis in both animal and human livers, but the studies on cytoprotection of liver damage caused by ethanol is insignificant. Ako, in an experiment for detoxifying the liver, using a test tube, material was discovered which naturally detoxified liver toxicity caused by carbon tetrachloride. However, nothing has yet been discovered for detoxifying liver toxicity caused by ethanol. Therefore, it would be a significant find to discover material that can protect the liver from toxicity by ethanol.

The authors observed that DNA synthesis in the liver cell culture of an albino rat medicated several kinds of PG. By measuring peroxides and DNA synthesis, we made comparative studies about whether or not PG increased DNA synthesis have cytoprotection against liver damage caused by ethanol.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

1. **Animals and Reagents**

Mature male Sprague-Dawley rats were obtained from the Genetic Engineering Research Institute (GERI in KST, Taejeon, Korea). All animals were maintained with standard laboratory food for rats and sterilized water. The animal quarters were maintained at 21-24°C and 40-60% relative humidity. A 12-hour light and dark circadian cycle was repeated.

Collagenase (Type I), EGTA, Trypan blue, PG, DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxide), 2-thiobarbituric acid (TBA) and 1,1,3,3, -tetramethoxypropane (TMP) were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St.Louis, MO, USA). Insulin and penicillin were obtained from E.R. Squibb & Sons, Inc. (Princeton, NJ, USA). Streptomycin was purchased from Eli Lilly (Indianapolis, IN, USA). Dulbecco’s MEM was obtained from Flow Laboratories, Inc. (McLean, VA, USA). Vitrogen 100 purified bovine dermal collagen (type 1) was purchased from Collagen Corporation (Palo Alto, CA, USA). Bio-Rad, as reagents utilized for protein assays, were obtained from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Cat. 500-0006 Hercules, Richmond, CA, USA). [H]-thymidine was purchased from NEN Corporation (New England, UK).

Hepatotoxic agents (ethanol) and therapeutic agents (PG) were used for toxological and pharmacological studies. All agents were added to the culture medium at 4 hour intervals after the initial plating, and the medium was changed every 24 hours for 3 days. Agents were dissolved in DMSO or distilled water. The concentration of DMSO to which the cultures were exposed (0.1% of culture medium) did not affect the cell response.

2. **Experimental groups**

Experimental groups were divided into normal controls, a PG treated group, an ethanol treated group and an ethanol with PG combine treated group (ethanol+PG group). For the study of DNA synthesis, we used several kinds of PG concentration, such as 10{superscript}⁻³ M, 10{superscript}⁻² M, 10{superscript}⁻¹ M, 10{superscript}⁻³ M, PG was changed consecutively everyday for 3 days in the PG-treated group. In the case of ethanol & PG combine treated group, the concentration of PG was 10{superscript}⁻³ M, 10{superscript}⁻² M and the ethanol was 100 mM, 200 mM. For the study of malondialdehyde production, ethanol was treated with 50 mM, 100 mM, or 200 mM Ako, ethanol in combination with PG was treated in the measurement of malondialdehyde.

3. **Preparation of isolated hepatocytes**

Hepatocytes were isolated through the collagenase perfusion method proposed by Dickins et al{superscript} Rats were anesthetized with urethan (1 g/kg body weight), and a strict aseptic technique was maintained throughout the following procedure: the abdomen was opened by a midline incision and the intestines were displaced to the left. Then the hepatic portal vein was cannulated with a 18 gauge catheter placement unit and perfusion of the liver in situ was initiated at a flow rate of 15m/min with an Masterflex pump (Cole Parmer, Chicago, IL, USA). To allow the blood to escape and perfusate from the liver, the inferior vena cava was cut. After about 100-150ml of buffer had been perfused through the liver, the thoracic cavity was opened, the liver, using a test tube, material was discovered which naturally detoxified liver toxicity caused by carbon tetrachloride. However, nothing has yet been discovered for detoxifying liver toxicity caused by ethanol. Therefore, it would be a significant find to discover material that can protect the liver from toxicity by ethanol.

The authors observed that DNA synthesis in the liver cell culture of an albino rat medicated several kinds of PG. By measuring peroxides and DNA synthesis, we made comparative studies about whether or not PG increased DNA synthesis have cytoprotection against liver damage caused by ethanol.
ligated. This diverted the outflow of the liver perfusate to the superior vena cava cannula which was returned to the perfusion bottle for recirculation through the liver of the animal. Throughout the entire procedure, the perfusion buffer used was Ca²⁺-free Hank's balanced salt solution (HBSS) supplemented with insulin (10⁻⁷ M) and gentamycin sulfate (50 µg/ml), 0.5 mM EGTA, 10⁻³ M cyclic AMP, and 5% CO₂. The culture bottles were maintained at 37°C and gassed continuously with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂. After recirculation of the perfusion buffer was established, collagenase was added via a 0.45 µm filter (Millipore) to the bottle of recirculation perfusion buffer (about 100 ml), and perfusion was continued for 15-20 min by which time the liver had swollen. The liver was removed to a beaker containing warmed (37°C) perfusion buffer (50 ml) to which collagenase had not been added, and the capsule was ruptured with sterilized scissors. Hepatocytes were released by gentle swirling of the liver and pipetting with a large bore pipette. The cell suspension was filtered through a 210 µm nylon mesh into a beaker placed on ice. The filtrate was transferred to a chamber for determination of the viable and nonviable cells. After labelling, hepatocytes were washed two times with cold saline. The cells were harvested by rubber policemen and solubilized with NaOH (0.2 N, 1 ml). The cell solution was neutralized with an equal of 0.2 N HCl and precipitated with 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA). After precipitating, TCA precipitated material were each washed twice with 5% TCA. The final pellet was solubilized with 1 ml of 0.2 N NaOH. Aliquots of this solution were used for measuring radioactivity, DNA amount and protein level. Incorporation of [³H]-thymidine was determined by liquid scintillation spectrophotometer. Results were presented as mean± SD of triplicate cultures from representative experiments. Each experiment was carried out at least three times with cells. DNA content was measured by the method of Labanca et al.² using the fluorescence spectrophotometer (Hitachi). All DNA determinations using Hoechst 33258 reagent were performed in a phosphate-saline (2M NaCl, 50 mM Na₂HPO₄, and 2 mM EDTA, pH 7.4) buffer containing 1 µg of Hoechst 33258 reagent per milliliter. Aliquots of sample solution (0.1 ml) were slowly diluted with 2.4 ml of phospho-tetasaline buffer. Following incubation for 5 min at 20°C, the fluorescence intensity was measured at the excitation wave length of 355 nm and the emission wave length of 460 nm. Calf Thymus DNA was used to generate a standard DNA curve.

4. Conditions of hepatocytes culture

The cell suspension was diluted to 0.4×10⁶ cells/ml in hormone-supplemented complete AB media and 2 ml were pipetted into dishes precoated with 100µl of rat tail collagen. After hepatocytes were incubated at 37°C for 4 hours in a humidified 5% CO₂/95% air incubator for 72 hours and the medium was changed every 24 hours.

5. Determination of DNA synthesis and DNA assay

The rate of DNA synthesis was determined by pulse-labelling cultured cells with [³H]-thymidine at 37°C for 2 hours. After labelling, hepatocytes were washed twice with cold saline. The cells were harvested by rubber policemen and solubilized with NaOH (0.2 N, 1 ml). The cell suspension was diluted to 0.4% (w/v) trypan blue in 0.95% saline (2 M NaCl, 50 mM Na₂HPO₄, and 2 mM EDTA, pH 7.4) buffer containing 1 µg of Hoechst 33258 reagent per milliliter. Aliquots of sample solution (0.1 ml) were slowly diluted with 2.4 ml of phospho-tetasaline buffer. Following incubation for 5 min at 20°C, the fluorescence intensity was measured at the excitation wave length of 355 nm and the emission wave length of 460 nm. Calf Thymus DNA was used to generate a standard DNA curve.

6. Malondialdehyde assay

The assay methods proposed by Guidet et al.¹ were used to assess malondialdehyde (a measure of lipid peroxidation). Final cultured hepatocytes were weighed, minced and homogenized immediately in 0.02 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4 (1:10 w/v). Immediately, 1 ml of 17.5% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) was added to 1 ml of the homogenate and the specimen was then placed on ice. After adding 1 ml of 0.6% thiobarbituric acid and pH 2, the homogenates were placed in a boiling water bath for 15 minutes and then allowed to cool. One milliliter of 70% TCA was added and the mixture was allowed to incubate for 20 minutes. The sample was then centrifuged for 15 minutes at 2,000 rpm and optical density of the supernatant read at 534 nm against a reagent blank with a spectrophotometer. The amount of malondialdehyde, expressed in nanomoles, was calculated with a molar extinction coefficient of 1.56×10⁵ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹.

7. Statistical analysis

All results were expressed as the mean± SD (standard deviation). The significance of the difference between mean values was assessed by unpaired Student's t-test, and p
Results

1. DNA synthesis and DNA quantity analysis

1) Normal controls
DNA synthesis of the control group (DMSO only) showed 80,980 ± 4,911 DPM/mg protein.

2) PG treatment group
After PG treatment, DNA synthesis exhibited 97,777 ± 5,366 DPM/mg protein in 10⁻⁵ M PGA. With 10⁻³ M, 10⁻⁴ M, and 10⁻⁵ M PGD₃ treatment, DNA synthesis resulted in 109,368 ± 8,866, 131,659 ± 9,335, 120,736 ± 10,202, and 133,025 ± 4,782 DPM/mg protein, respectively. With 10⁻³ M, 10⁻⁴ M, 10⁻⁵ M PGF₂α treatment, DNA synthesis showed 104,195 ± 2,511, 107,983 ± 5,904, 122,011 ± 4,008 DPM/mg protein. With 10⁻³ M, 10⁻⁴ M PGE₁ treatment, DNA synthesis showed 108,753 ± 10,590, 117,545 ± 13,802 DPM/mg protein. With 10⁻³ M, 10⁻⁴ M PGE₂ treatment, DNA synthesis showed 112,044 ± 1,205, 110,599 ± 2,413, 110,048 ± 6,204 DPM/mg protein. With 10⁻³ M, 10⁻⁴ M PGD₂ treatment, DNA synthesis resulted in 109,368 ± 8,866, 131,659 ± 9,335, 120,736 ± 10,202, and 133,025 ± 4,782 DPM/mg protein. Therefore, the rate of DNA synthesis in these cases tended to increase significantly (p<0.01) in comparison with normal controls. PGD₂ and PGE₁ were increased DNA synthesis in all concentrations significantly, but the other PG were increased DNA synthesis slightly in 10⁻³ M, 10⁻⁴ M, 10⁻⁵ M only (Table 1).

3) Ethanol treatment group
The rate of DNA synthesis decreased with each concentration of ethanol treatment. DNA synthesis exhibited 87,300 ± 6,459 DPM/mg protein in the concentration of 50 mM of ethanol. DNA synthesis in the concentration of 50 mM of ethanol was not statistically significant in comparison with normal controls (86,414 ± 3,786 DPM/mg protein) but when treated with 100 mM and 200 mM of ethanol, DNA synthesis resulted in 66,962 ± 6,195, 52,334 ± 3,883 DPM/mg protein, respectively. Thus, the result was that the rate of DNA synthesis decreased significantly in comparison with normal controls (Fig. 1).

Fig. 1. Effects of ethanol on DNA synthesis of rat hepatocytes.
Values represent the mean ± S.D. [**] indicates p<0.01 when compared to the control values.

| Compound | Dose | 10⁻⁸ M | 10⁻⁷ M | 10⁻⁶ M | 10⁻⁵ M |
|----------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| PG A₁    | 96,156 ± 11,413 | 89,243 ± 3,497 | 92,511 ± 7,188 | 97,772 ± 5,366 |
| PG D₂    | 109,368 ± 8,866 | 131,659 ± 9,335 | 120,736 ± 10,202 | 133,025 ± 4,782 |
| PG E₁    | 104,195 ± 2,511 | 107,983 ± 5,904 | 122,011 ± 4,008 | 122,986 ± 6,525 |
| PG E₂    | 97,062 ± 7,424 | 112,044 ± 12,05 | 108,753 ± 10,590 | 117,545 ± 13,802 |
| PG E₃    | 119,772 ± 12,422 | 119,004 ± 2,413 | 104,098 ± 6,204 | 101,656 ± 9,078 |
| PG F₂α   | 108,708 ± 8,837 | 116,587 ± 8,487 | 98,301 ± 7,330 | 96,940 ± 6,765 |
| TX B₂    | 90,519 ± 5,117 | 101,588 ± 1,015 | 88,649 ± 5,705 | 102,744 ± 8,028 |

Control: 80,980 ± 4,911 DPM/mg protein
All values (DPM/mg protein) are expressed as mean ± S.D.
Statistical significant difference from respective controls.
[**] p<0.01
PG : Prostaglandin
TX : Thromboxane
4) Treatment group of ethanol in combination with PGD₂ or PGE₁

With 10⁻⁶ M and 10⁻⁵ M PGD₂ treatment in the concentration of 100 mM of ethanol, DNA synthesis resulted in 109,772±11,184 DPM/mg protein and 88,453±11,559 DPM/mg protein (p<0.01), respectively. The differences were not significant compared with controls. With 10⁻⁶ M and 10⁻⁵ M PGE₁ treatment, DNA synthesis showed 72,336±8,499 DPM/mg protein, and 55,143±10,296 DPM/mg protein. There was no difference in comparison with ethanol treatment only (Fig. 2). In combine treatment with 10⁻³ M PGD₂ and 200 mM of ethanol, the rate of DNA synthesis increased significantly, compared with ethanol treatment only. However, in the case of 10⁻⁵ M PG D₂, DNA synthesis showed 50,370±2,338 DPM/mg protein and there was no difference in comparison with the ethanol treatment. Combined with 10⁻⁵ M PGE₁ treatment, DNA synthesis tended to increase significantly (65,344±3.2 DPM/mg protein) in comparison with the ethanol treatment only. However, in case of 10⁻³ M PGE₁, DNA synthesis tended to increase slightly (55,803±7,748 DPM/mg protein) compared with the ethanol treatment only, although the difference was not significant (Fig. 3). Therefore, in the combine treatment of ethanol and PG, 10⁻³ M PG was higher than 10⁻⁵ M PG in the rate of DNA synthesis.

2. Measurements of Malondialdehyde (MDA)

1) Normal control

When DMSO was treated on day 1 and day 2 in normal control, level of MDA synthesis showed 0.26±0.02, 0.29±0.01 μ mole/mg protein, respectively.

2) PG treatment group

24 hours after PGD₂, PGE₁, or PGA₁ treatment, level of MDA synthesis showed 0.39±0.11, 0.45±0.12, 0.46±0.08 μ mole/mg protein, respectively. After PGD₂, PGE₁ or PGA₁ were treated consecutively on day 1 and day 2, level of MDA synthesis exhibited 0.39±0.11, 0.45±0.12, 0.46±0.08 μ mole/mg protein, respectively, but there were on statistically
significant differences in comparison with normal controls (Fig. 4.)

3) Ethanol treatment group

When 50 mM, 100 mM, or 200 mM of ethanol was treated, MDA synthesis resulted in 0.25±0.01, 0.22±0.02, 0.24±0.03 η mole/mg protein, respectively, but did not reach the level of statistical significance compared with normal control. After ethanol was treated consecutively for two days, level of MDA synthesis showed 3.05±0.63, 3.870±0.50, 1.54±0.19 η mole/mg protein, respectively, and reached a level of statistical significance in comparison with normal control (p<0.01, Fig. 5).

4) Ethanol plus PG treatment group

There was no statistical significance on day 1 of ethanol combined with PG(ethanol+PG) treatment. After ethanol+PG was treated for 2 days consecutively, PGD2, PGE1, or PGA1 was treated with 50 mM of concentration of ethanol. MDA synthesis resulted in 1.20±0.09, 2.01±0.44, 20.3±0.15 η mole/mg protein, respectively, which are significantly lower than the ethanol treated group (p<0.01, Fig. 6.) When the concentration of ethanol was 100 mM, MDA synthesis of PGD2, PGE1, and PGA1 was 1.86±0.29, 0.87±0.06, 0.74±0.04 η mole/mg protein, respectively, and they were significantly lower than the ethanol treated group (0.87±0.50) (p<0.01)(Fig. 7).

When concentrations of ethanol were 200 mM, levels of MDA synthesis of PGD2, PGE1, and PGA1 was 0.73±0.05, 0.75±0.17, -99±0.09 η mole/mg protein, respectively, and they were significantly lower than the ethanol treated group (1.54±0.19 η mole/mg protein) (p<0.01, Fig. 8).

**DISCUSSION**

PG is an unsaturated lipid with an organization of 20 carbon structures, and is synthesized by most of the cells in the human body. PG stimulates or restrains adenylate cyclase in cell so that in function as an agency of local cell...
Fig. 8. Effects of ethanol on (200 mM) and PGs on MDA contents in cultured Rat Hepatocytes

Values present mean±S.D.

[] indicates p<0.01 when compared to the control values.
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Generally, PG functions as a defensive regulator in the heart's blood, stomach and urinary organs and is involved in the constriction and relaxation of the bronchus, inflammation, thrombocyte and immune system responses.

Especially, PG is mostly synthesized in the stomach, and facilitates the treatment and prevention of ulcers. While the role of PG in the liver is to create PGD₂, PGE₂ and PGF₂α, in nonparenchymal Kupffer cell or sinusoidal endothelial cells by several stimulations, PG changes on the surface of a liver cell. This implies that PG plays an important role in the liver. The liver cell wall is damaged by lipid hydroperoxides mediated by an oxygen free radical and lipid peroxidation. Such lipid peroxidation destroys the integrity of the cell wall which in turns causes wide cell death. In addition, when an adult's liver is exposed to alcohol, it cause a lipid peroxidation. The liver plays an important role in removing ethanol in mammals. Ethanol oxidizes in the liver through alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH), the mitochondrial ethanol oxidizing system and catalase. The toxicity of ethanol is closely related to the metabolism in the liver. Ethanol increased nicotinamide dinucleotide phosphate (NADH) and acetate aldehyde. Acetate aldehyde is toxic and created in the process of ethanol metabolism. It causes alcoholic liver disease to hasten peroxidation of the cell wall by affecting the function of cell mitochondria. It also inhibits by secreting interleukin-6, interleukin-1, interleukin-8 and by activation of cytokines. Acetate aldehyde is the main metabolic of ethanol and has a stronger toxicity than ethanol through the immune system rather than through the direct effect of cell metabolism. It also decreases the oxidation of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD) in mitochondria. That is, the increase of NADH/NAD⁺ and NADPH/NADP⁺ changes the metabolism of fat, protein, hormone and purine. NADH also affects several routes of metabolism in the liver. For example, it increases the formation of free radicals. The main reason for functional disorders of the liver by ethanol is the change of components in the cell wall caused by functional disorders of mitochondria and oxygen species. In addition, chronic over-ingestion hinders the ability of the liver cell to revive and can cause hepatitis, fibrosis and cirrhosis. Chronic liver disease differs in every human body according to different hereditary and immune factors. McNeil et al. put particular emphasis on carefully administering medicine which decrease PG synthesis to a patient who has suffered from chronic liver disease because of slow recovering of the PG. Carter et al. assessed that a liver damaged by ethanol directly causes the disorder of DNA synthesis. Furthermore, the rate of synthesis is decreased by ethanol even though the rate of DNA synthesis can be increased by hormones, such as insulin and epidermal growth factor (EGF).

Stachura et al. first reported that PGE₂ serve as cytoprotection to the necrosis of a liver cell by carbon tetrachloride in rats. Since then, studies about different types of liver damage have been developed and, recently, PGE₂ has been administered clinically to hepatic failure patients. It is reported recently that the cytoprotection of PG for liver damage can steady microviscosity. The cytoprotection of PG for liver damage by ethanol is not completely known, but seems to work by steadying the cell wall. McNeil et al. administered ethanol to sham-operated and partially hepatectomized rats and the ethanol caused a reduction in hepatic DNA synthesis and mitosis but, in case of administration of PGE₂ to rats, DNA synthesis and mitosis increased significantly. Also, they observed that DNA synthesis and mitosis increased when PGE₂ was given prior to ethanol administration. Thus, they concluded that PGE₂ increased hepatic DNA synthesis and regeneration in normal rat liver and overcame their inhibition when ethanol was given after partial hepatectomy. Dlugosz et al. fed rats with ethanol for 5 week and the rats developed functional alterations of hepatic mitochondria and steatosis of the liver. This study indicates that, although the mechanism of action of misoprostol is unknown, impairment of rat liver mitochondrial respiratory function in chronic injury can be partially prevented or corrected by treatment with the synthetic prostaglandin E₂ derivative misoprostol. Devi et al. asserted that PG's role, in terms of liver damage by...
ethanol, is protecting cells with glutathione and mitochondria maintenance functions. Lefer et al.\textsuperscript{[8]} asserted that PGD act as a protectant in the liver cell of cats. In support of that assertion, there was a report morphologically proving that PGD protects the cat’s liver from damage by hypoxia\textsuperscript{[9]}. Also, Lalyre et al.\textsuperscript{[10]} asserted that PG does not have a direct influence on ethanol metabolism. PG acts as cytoprotection for the liver and promotes DNA synthesis and an increase in cell count. However, the decrease of DNA synthesis in the Hela cell is associated with the addition of PG\textsuperscript{[11]}.

There was difference in variation of DNA synthesis after PG treatment for three days, but every kind of PG increased DNA synthesis. Of course, the effect of PG on the increase of liver cells occurs only when treated locally. In an experiment involving a living creature, synthesis does not occur in an unmedicated lobule because it is diluted and destructed in pulmonary circulation\textsuperscript{[12]}. In each concentration, \(\text{PGD}_1\) and \(\text{PGE}_2\) facilitated the growth of a normal liver cell. As the concentration of ethanol increased, DNA synthesis decreased. In medicating both \(\text{PGD}_1\) and ethanol, DNA synthesis increased more in the one medicated with \(10^{-5}\) M concentration of \(\text{PGD}_1\) than in the normal one. It was similar to the case reported by Molko\textsuperscript{[13]} when cutting 68\% of a rat’s liver and medicating it with 2 gm/kg of 100\% alcohol increased DNA synthesis by 25\%. That is, when medicating \(\text{PGD}_1\) before ethanol, in a fixed concentration, the restraint effect of ethanol for DNA synthesis is eliminated. Thus, medicating PG before ethanol prevents variation of liver cells and lymphocytes while medicating after ethanol helps revive cells\textsuperscript{[14]}. When the concentration of \(\text{PGD}_1\) is \(10^{-5}\) M, DNA synthesis is lower than \(10^{-4}\) M and there is no difference when the concentration of ethanol is 200 mM. Also, in medicating both \(\text{PGE}_2\) and ethanol, when the concentration of \(\text{PGE}_2\) is \(10^{-5}\) M, DNA synthesis decreases and is lower than \(10^{-4}\) M. It is thought that there is a proper concentration of \(\text{PGD}_1\) for liver damage by ethanol, and when there is more than proper concentration, PG does not have the effect of cytoprotection.

\(\text{PGD}_1\) and \(\text{PGE}_2\) did not vary from the normal case of creating the value of MDA by measuring lipid peroxidation. Also, PGA did not vary from \(\text{PGD}_1\) and \(\text{PGE}_2\) in creating the value of MDA by measuring lipid peroxidation. This is because there was toxicity in the liver by PG itself. There were no differences between the different PG when medicating ethanol together.

Therefore, all kinds of PG has a similar protection effect to ethanol induced decrease of DNA synthesis on the cultured hepatocytes. However, there is a little bit of difference in the ethanol co-treat group. These differences may depend on the type of PG that have different effects on the DNA synthesis. Most kinds of tested PG increased the DNA synthesis of hepatocytes. Especially, \(\text{PGD}_2\) increased the DNA synthesis of hepatocytes most significantly. PG did not have any effect on the production of MDA. The protection mechanism of PG to the damage of hepatocytes induced by ethanol is not obvious yet. PG might stabilize the membrane of hepatocytes, and they protect the ethanol induced damage of hepatocytes.
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