Evaluation of oats with varying hull inclusion in broiler diets up to 35 days
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ABSTRACT Use of local feed ingredients in poultry feed, such as oats, can be limited by their perceived less than ideal nutritional content. Dehulling oats is expensive, and it may be that removing hull is detrimental to the bird in terms of gastrointestinal (GI) development, therefore maintaining some of the high-fiber oat hull (OH) might reduce costs and improve potential for inclusion in poultry diets.

Male broilers were fed diets with oats replacing 30% of wheat in diets, either dehulled or with graded inclusions of OH from day of hatch until day 35. Each diet was fed to 8 pens of 8 birds and performance recorded weekly. Samples were collected at day 21 and 35 for analysis of ileal amino acid digestibility, apparent metabolizable energy (AME), and gross gut development measures.

No detrimental effect was seen on bird weight with hull inclusion, though higher inclusion levels did deleteriously effect feed intake because of increased gut fill from the fiber. Nitrogen corrected AME was also adversely effected in the highest hull inclusion diets. However, amino acid digestibility was improved with hull addition, which may be because of an increase in GI tract length, improving nutrient absorption. Gizzard development was also significantly improved, and thereby, more efficient grinding of diet may also have improved digestibility. At a lower level of hull inclusion (3% total diet) where digestibility is improved without any detrimental effects on gut fill and intake.

Oat hull is well known to improve gut development, especially of the gizzard, with resultant increases in digestibility. This is usually attributed to the mechanical effect of fiber in the gizzard having a grinding effect. However in this study, all fiber was finely ground, so the improvements seen cannot be attributed to a physical cause. Oat including diets with some hull remaining are a cost effective way of using oats as a raw material while maximizing bird performance.
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INTRODUCTION

Increasing global protein supply is essential for the growing population, and the poultry sector has expanded rapidly to fulfil this requirement. This rapid growth in production has increased interest in the use of alternative and local feed ingredients combined with the reduction of waste. Oats are not widely fed to broilers, where weight gain is of primary importance, because of their high fiber content (around 10%) and low energy when compared with wheat or maize. This fiber is because of the oat hull (OH), which makes up an average of 27% of the total weight of the oat (McDonald et al., 2002). Hull content can vary between varieties and growing conditions but is always high in insoluble fiber, up to an estimated 95% (Lopez-Guisa et al., 1988). The oat fiber includes nonstarch polysaccharides (30–35%) and lignin (10–15%), the latter being virtually indigestible and is present in oats in double the amount seen in other cereals (Thacker et al., 2009). Oats also have a low concentration of prolamins compared with wheat, which increases protein quality, particularly available lysine and results in an excellent amino acid balance (Robbins et al., 1971). Oats are high in lysine, methionine, and cysteine compared with other cereals which is a consideration with the increase in vegetable-based diets where these essential amino acids are regularly supplemented. Oats are typically less expensive than wheat, with UK prices standing around £110/t for oats compared with £140/t for wheat (AHDB, 2019).
Highly digestible diets are commonly fed in the early starter period to broilers to support early growth as this can be linked to final bodyweight at slaughter (Noy and Sklan, 1999). Chicks have poorly developed gastrointestinal tracts at hatch, and therefore, these early diets tend to support nutrient retention by using readily digestible ingredients and therefore tend to be low in insoluble fiber, as this can be considered a nutrient diluent. However, dietary fiber may increase retention time in the upper gastrointestinal tract (GIT) and improve gizzard function (Hetland, 2005) while also stimulating HCl production in the proventriculus (Duke, 1986). This leads in turn to a lower pH in the gizzard which increases pepsin activity and mineral absorbance (Guinotte et al., 1995). It is well established that dietary fiber can have a positive effect on gizzard development and nutrient digestibility (Mateos, 2002), and therefore, there is increasing interest in the addition of fiber to poultry diets, both as a cost reduction measure and to enhance gizzard function.

Oat hulls are a source of insoluble fiber which is high in lignin content and resistant to grinding which results in stimulation of gizzard activity and an improvement in the development of the muscular layers of the gizzard thereby increasing gizzard size (Rogel et al., 1987; Gonzalez Alvarado et al., 2008). Oat hulls may also decrease pH in the gizzard supporting enzyme activity (Gonzalez Alvarado et al., 2008) and the retention of coarse particles in the gizzard may cause reflux of digesta from later in the GIT back to the gizzard, thereby improving nutrient utilization (Rogel et al., 1987). Wallis et al. (1985) concluded that feed intake (FI) was increased by supplementing the wheat-based diet with 10% OH. Jiménez-Moreno et al. (2009) found that inclusion of 3% of either OH or sugar beet pulp improved weight gain from 1 to 21 D old, and the same research group also found that body weight gain and feed conversion rate (FCR) were improved with increasing OH inclusion in younger broilers (Jimenez-Moreno et al., 2010). In other types of poultry such as laying hens, oats may have additional positive effects on behaviors such as feather pecking (Kjaer and Bessei, 2013).

Naked oats have a specific phenotype which means they have no hull postharvesting (Ougham et al., 1996). Naked oats can be fed with or without enzymes at high inclusion levels. Historically, they have been incorporated at levels up to 60% in starter diets (Hulan et al., 1981). Cave and Burrows (1985) fed up to 30% naked oats to broilers with similar performance to a corn–wheat–soy control diet but found that increasing inclusion to 60% naked oats decreased feed efficiency. However, naked oats are not widely used in broiler diets because of increased cost, as the yield from these varieties tends to be poorer, and therefore, there is a cost implication. Oats can be dehulled after harvesting, but this is time consuming and therefore also an expensive process which reduces the use of dehulled oats and can leave a considerable amount of hull which needs to be used elsewhere. It may be that a product with less hull removed would bring benefits to nutrient digestibility in the bird while reducing processing costs.

The aim of this study was to quantify the effect of varying OH inclusion levels in broiler diets containing dehulled oats, on bird performance measures, ileal apparent amino acid digestibility, digestibility of nitrogen corrected apparent metabolizable energy (AMEn), and gross gut development measures. The objective of this study was to determine whether addition of OH back into dehulled diets may be an economic option for inclusion in broiler diets.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Birds and Husbandry**

Male, Ross 308 broilers (n = 320) from a 51-week-old breeder flock were obtained from a commercial hatchery at day of hatch. Chicks were randomized by weight and placed in 0.64 m² floor pens in groups of 8, bedded on clean wood shavings. Forty pens of 8 birds were fed 1 of 5 dietary treatments, with 8 replicate pens per treatment. Birds were allowed ad libitum access to the treatment diets and water for the duration of the trial. The room was thermostatically controlled to produce an initial temperature of 32°C on day 1 and reduced in steps of 0.5°C per day, reaching 21°C by day 14. The lighting regimen used was 24 h light on day 1, with darkness increasing by 1 h a day until 6 h of darkness was reached, which was maintained throughout the remainder of the study as required by EU legislation (EU Council Directive 2007/43/EC). All birds sampled were euthanized by cervical dislocation. This occurred at the same time each sampling day after at least 4 h of light to ensure maximal gut fill. Institutional and UK national NC3R ARRIVE guidelines for the care, use, and reporting of animals in research (Kilkenny et al., 2010) were followed, and all experimental procedures involving animals were approved by the Nottingham Trent University’s College of Science and Technology ethical review committee.

**Dietary Treatments**

Diets were formulated in 2 phases: starter (day 0–21) and finisher (day 21–35), with diet formulations for each phase shown in Table 1.

The dietary treatments were created by replacing 30% of the wheat in the control diet with dehulled oats mixed with OH before manufacturing the diets. The dehulled oat was assumed to contain 3% hull, so to produce 3, 10, 20, and 30% total hull content in the oats, and the hull was mixed at 0, 7, 17, and 27%, so that the final diets containing 0.9, 3, 6, and 9% OH. The oat variety used was the winter oat Mascani, with dehulled oats (groats) containing 12.9% protein, 4.6% fat, and 2.8% fiber (9% NDF, 3% ADF), whereas the OH used contained 5.1% protein, 1.2% fat, and 27.9% fiber (59% NDF, 32% ADF).
Diets were fed in mash form mixed in house and were analyzed for gross energy by bomb calorimetry (Robbins and Firman, 2006), dry matter, extractable fat, and protein content (calculated as nitrogen multiplied by 6.25) by the AOAC standard methods (930.15, 2003.05, and 990.03, respectively). Phosphorus and Ca content of the diets were analyzed by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy following an aqua regia digestion step (AOAC 985.01). Titanium dioxide was added at a rate of 5 g/kg to act as an inert marker for evaluation of digestibility, and the dietary titanium dioxide content was quantified by the method of Short et al. (1996). Crude fiber and NDF and ADF fiber fractions were analyzed as described by Van Soest et al. (1991). Particle size was quantified for all diets via a series of sieves to 0.1 mm. Analyzed nutritional content of the diets including amino acid contents are reported in Table 2.

### Table 1. Formulated composition of wheat control diet (g/kg).

| Raw material                  | Starter (g/kg) | Finisher (g/kg) |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Wheat                         | 625.4          | 713.8           |
| HiPro Soya (48.5% CP)         | 300.0          | 290.0           |
| Limestone                     | 8.0            | 7.2             |
| Dicalcium phosphate (18%)     | 13.1           | 11.1            |
| Salt                          | 1.6            | 1.8             |
| Sodium bicarbonate            | 2.5            | 1.5             |
| Vit/Min premix                | 3.5            | 3.5             |
| Lysine HCl                    | 3.6            | 2.8             |
| DL Methionine                 | 3.9            | 2.3             |
| L Threonine                   | 1.4            | 1.0             |
| Soya oil                      | 32.0           | 44.0            |
| Titanium dioxide              | 5              | 5               |

1Premix content (volume/kg diet): Mn 100 mg, Zn 88 mg, Fe 20 mg, Cu 10 mg, I 1 mg, Mb 0.48 mg, Se 0.2 mg, Retinol 13.5 mg, Cholecalciferol, 3 mg, Tocopherol 25 mg, Menadione 5.0 mg, Thiamine 3 mg, Riboflavin 10.0 mg, Pantothenic acid 15 mg, Pyrodoxine 3.0 mg, Niacin 60 mg, Cobalamin 30 μg, Folic acid 1.5 mg, Biotin 125 mg.

### Response Variables

On arrival, birds were individually weighed and allocated to a pen. Pen allocation was randomized across the room. Total pen weight and mean chick body weight (BW) were calculated, and diet allocation was arranged to ensure there was no significant difference in BW by pen across diets. Total pen weight and FI were determined weekly until 35 D posthatch and was used to calculate FCR. The pen weight and intake was divided by the number of birds in the pen to determine individual bird BW and FI. Mortality was recorded daily, and any birds culled or dead were weighed. Feed conversion rate was corrected for mortality.

Four birds per pen were euthanized on both day 21 and day 35, and ileal digesta collection collected from 3 birds by gentle digital pressure and pooled into one pot per pen. The gastrointestinal tract was removed from the remaining bird in each pen on both day 21 and day 35.

### Table 2. Analyzed content of experimental diets.

| Diets               | Control (no oat) | 30% dehulled oat | 30% oat with 7% hull | 30% oat with 17% hull | 30% oat with 27% hull |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| GE content (MJ/kg) | 16.42            | 17.00            | 17.09                | 17.27                 | 16.81                |
| Protein content (g/kg) | 233              | 227              | 212                  | 213                   | 216                   |
| Fat (g/kg)         | 37.5             | 40.0             | 45.8                 | 43.6                  | 43.1                  |
| Phosphorus (g/kg)  | 5.49             | 6.69             | 6.05                 | 5.39                  | 5.04                  |
| Calcium (g/kg)     | 8.48             | 10.11            | 9.75                 | 8.43                  | 7.92                  |
| Crude Fiber (g/kg) | 37.7             | 37.5             | 41.5                 | 53.8                  | 60.6                  |
| NDF (% of fiber)   | 16.1             | 9.6              | 9.9                  | 12.1                  | 13.2                  |
| ADF (% of fiber)   | 3.4              | 3.7              | 3.8                  | 4.9                   | 5.5                   |
| GE content (MJ/kg) | 16.90            | 17.33            | 17.15                | 17.33                 | 17.53                 |
| Protein content (g/kg) | 198              | 193              | 186                  | 196                   | 187                   |
| Fat (g/kg)         | 46.0             | 53.3             | 52.6                 | 49.4                  | 53.2                  |
| Phosphorus (g/kg)  | 4.96             | 5.21             | 4.89                 | 5.13                  | 5.24                  |
| Calcium (g/kg)     | 7.54             | 7.61             | 6.73                 | 7.58                  | 8.37                  |
| Crude Fiber (g/kg) | 30.6             | 29.8             | 35.7                 | 45.5                  | 56.5                  |
| NDF (% of fiber)   | 12               | 9.7              | 10.9                 | 11.8                  | 13.8                  |
| ADF (% of fiber)   | 2.6              | 4.4              | 6.3                  | 4.9                   | 5.8                   |
| Amino acids (g/kg) |                  |                  |                      |                       |                       |
| Cysteine           | 7.219            | 6.492            | 5.824                | 5.891                 | 6.407                 |
| Aspartic acid      | 15.996           | 14.402           | 16.15                | 14.028                | 16.098                |
| Threonine          | 6.89             | 9.043            | 6.802                | 5.409                 | 6.867                 |
| Serine             | 8.834            | 10.726           | 8.546                | 7.779                 | 8.452                 |
| Glutamate          | 40.936           | 45.366           | 36.53                | 39.521                | 35.982                |
| Glycine            | 7.187            | 10.09            | 7.865                | 6.797                 | 7.376                 |
| Alanine            | 6.186            | 9.155            | 7.203                | 6.454                 | 6.701                 |
| Methionine         | 7.787            | 9.239            | 8.548                | 6.388                 | 8.634                 |
| Isoleucine         | 5.563            | 5.329            | 4.965                | 2.317                 | 4.701                 |
| Leucine            | 7.171            | 8.303            | 7.31                 | 5.366                 | 7.267                 |
| Tyrosine           | 12.869           | 15.149           | 12.84                | 11.709                | 12.573                |
| Phenylalanine      | 4.309            | 5.145            | 4.933                | 2.669                 | 4.452                 |
| Lysine             | 8.864            | 9.831            | 8.649                | 7.87                  | 8.39                  |
| Histidine          | 11.241           | 13.256           | 12.359               | 7.039                 | 11.175                |
| Arginine           | 4.008            | 4.825            | 4.016                | 3.843                 | 3.837                 |

1Gross energy measured by bomb calorimetry.
2Protein calculated by Nitrogen (via Dumas) * 6.25.
samples were freeze dried and 5 D before grinding to pass through a 1 mm screen. Ileal digestibility was calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{AAM} = \frac{\text{aadig} \times \text{markerfeed}}{\text{aafeed} \times \text{markerdig}}$$

Where, 
- aadig represents the amino acid content of the digesta,
- markerfeed represents the titanium dioxide concentration in the diet,
- aafeed represents the amino acid concentration in the diet,
- markerdig represents the titanium dioxide concentration in the digesta.

The determined apparent digestible amino acid content of the diets was then divided by the total content of the specific amino acid in the diet to give a coefficient of apparent amino acid digestibility, for each amino acid per dietary treatment.

**Data Analysis**

All data were analyzed using SPSS v23 (IBM Statistics). After Kolmogorov–Smirnov testing to confirm normality, data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA to test the equality of the means to investigate the effect of dietary treatment on performance, digestibility of AMEn, apparent ileal amino acid digestibility, gross gut measures, and digesta viscosity. Duncan post hoc tests were used to elucidate differences between diets. Correlations between digestibility measures and OH content were analyzed by bivariate correlation using Pearson product–moment correlation coefficient with strength of relationships based on guidelines by Cohen (1988): weak relationship $r = 0.10$ to 0.29, medium relationship $r = 0.30$ to 0.49, and strong relationship $r = 0.50$ to 1.0. Statistical significance was declared at $P < 0.05$.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The performance of the birds from day 0 to 35 is shown in Table 3. Body weight gain and FI were not significantly altered, though the highest BW at day 35 was in the dehulled oat and the 27% OH, with the latter also having the highest FI numerically. This increase in FI resulted in a poorer FCR for the 17 and 27% OH diets when compared with the dehulled oat diet ($P = 0.041$). It appears that the birds can maintain their BW on high levels of hull inclusion, but this is by increasing their intake of feed, mitigating any cost benefit from including this level of OH. However, wheat maintains a price point of around 20% higher than oats, so a 7% increase in feed costs may still provide a financial incentive in such a low margin industry. Other authors have reported an increase in FI with 10% OH supplementation (Wallis et al., 1985) and with 4% OH (Hetland and Svihus, 2001) with both these studies also not showing any BW improvement over the control diets. Oat hull has high insoluble fiber and lignin so therefore the rate of passage of digesta may be increased, leading to increased FI (González-Alverado et al., 2010) as seen in the higher hull diets in this study. The authors hypothesized that the higher lignin and cellulose content combined with an increased level of insoluble fiber resulted in a higher rate of ingesta passage through the distal part of the digestive tract which leads to increased FI, while also minimizing enzyme digestion, because of limited access of digesta to the mucosa. Some authors have argued that higher inclusion levels (up to 16% fiber) can reduce FI and therefore BW because of the limited digestive tract of broilers combined with the increased diet bulk (Khempaka et al., 2009), so higher levels of fiber may be detrimental and should be avoided.

Hetland and Svihus (2001) also observed that an inclusion of up to 10% OH did not affect BW unduly because of the increased digesta transit time, which allowed for increased FI. Jiménez-Moreno et al. (2009) found that low inclusion (3%) of OH improved weight gain in young broilers, and in a later study, the same authors found that both body weight gain and FCR were improved with increasing OH inclusion also in younger broilers (Jimenez-Moreno et al., 2010) which contrasts with our findings. Although FCR was not detrimentally affected up to 7% OH inclusion, the FCR was poorer in the higher hull diets, which may be because of the higher levels used in this study or the younger birds used by the other authors. In the current study, both the oats and hulls were finely ground (less than 3 mm, with around 75% being between 0.4 and 1.7 mm), which may also reduce negative effects, as it has been reported that coarsely ground OH impaired FCR in young broilers more than finely ground (Hetland and Svihus, 2001). Performance for the dehulled oat diet was comparable to the wheat-based control diet, which is comparable with previous studies which showed that up to 30% naked oats did not adversely affect performance (Cave and Burrows, 1985), although the same study did show...
that increasing inclusion to 60% did depress feed efficiency, so maximum levels need to be carefully considered when incorporating even dehulled oats into broiler diets. The higher fat content of the oat containing diets may also have an effect on pellet quality, which needs to be studied further in subsequent work. In this study, the viscosity of the digesta supernatant was low and not significantly different across diets (see Table 4). Wheat containing diets normally increase digesta viscosity so, as oats contain less soluble fiber than wheat, and OH are made up of mainly insoluble fiber, and it would be unlikely that addition of oats would have altered the measures. It is therefore unlikely in this study that digestibility or other measures were because of changes in viscosity.

The effect of OH inclusion on AME and AMEn is shown in Table 4. Apparent metabolizable energy was reduced significantly in the 27% OH diet when compared with the dehulled oat, and AMEn was similarly affected. Oat hull content was negatively correlated to both AME (r = −0.556, P = 0.001) and AMEn (r = −0.563, P = 0.001), but there did not appear to be a relationship to nitrogen digestibility. Oat hull fiber can be considered a diluent of energy and nitrogen and so it might be expected that digestibility would reduce with fiber inclusion; however, other authors have previously showed increases in AMEn with fiber inclusion (Jimenez Moreno et al., 2009; 2010). It may be that the increase in AMEn seen by other authors is due in part to improved fat retention, and lipids may adhere to hulls and therefore benefit emulsification of fats, while reducing the excretion of bile acids as they bind poorly to the bile salts in the intestine (Mueller et al., 1983). In this study, the included fat levels (4–5%) may have been too low to have any substantial effect on energy digestibility.

Total length and weight of the small intestine of the birds fed diets with graded OH levels are shown in Table 5 for day 21 and day 35. There were no significant differences recorded between diets at day 21 across weight or length individually for the duodenum, jejunum, ileum, or gizzard (data not shown), but the overall small intestine length was improved in birds fed the 27% OH diet compared with the diets with dehulled oats with or with 7% OH. This difference was not maintained until day 35, although the highest hull diet did still have the numerically heaviest and longest small intestine compared with the other diets. The effect of dietary fiber inclusion on GIT length and weight shows a lack of consensus in the literature, with some authors suggesting an increase with fiber inclusion (Khempaka et al., 2009) and that the physical capacity of the GIT may be improved with fiber inclusion, thereby allowing for increased FI, as recorded in this study. However, OH do not have a high water holding capacity and therefore do not tend produce a bulky digesta (Bach Knudsen 2001). Other authors report a decrease in small intestinal length and weight when 10% OH were included (Rogel et al., 1987), potentially because of an increase in gizzard size leading to a comparatively reduced small intestine compared with the other diets. The effect of dietary fiber inclusion on GIT length and weight shows a lack of consensus in the literature, with some authors suggesting an increase with fiber inclusion (Khempaka et al., 2009) and that the physical capacity of the GIT may be improved with fiber inclusion, thereby allowing for increased FI, as recorded in this study. However, OH do not have a high water holding capacity and therefore do not tend produce a bulky digesta (Bach Knudsen 2001). Other authors report a decrease in small intestinal length and weight when 10% OH were included (Rogel et al., 1987), potentially because of an increase in gizzard size leading to a comparatively reduced small intestine compared with the other diets. The effect of dietary fiber inclusion on GIT length and weight shows a lack of consensus in the literature, with some authors suggesting an increase with fiber inclusion (Khempaka et al., 2009) and that the physical capacity of the GIT may be improved with fiber inclusion, thereby allowing for increased FI, as recorded in this study. However, OH do not have a high water holding capacity and therefore do not tend produce a bulky digesta (Bach Knudsen 2001). Other authors report a decrease in small intestinal length and weight when 10% OH were included (Rogel et al., 1987), potentially because of an increase in gizzard size leading to a comparatively reduced small intestine compared with the other diets.

Gizzard size was significantly improved in birds fed the highest hull diets compared with the birds fed other diets at day 35 (Table 4). Enlarged gizzards may retain feed and thereby increase contact time for digestive enzymes with associated improvements in digestion (Jones and Taylor 2001), and increased muscular development will increase grinding ability, with subsequent improvements in nutrient digestibility. No significant effect was seen on gizzards on the lower hull diets, though a small numerical difference seen may be relevant considering that gizzard contents have been reported to increase with feeding of insoluble fiber, even when gizzard size is unaffected (Svihus, 2011). This may suggest an increase in structural size without a substantial weight increase and therefore improved holding capacity, though this would need to be confirmed in further

### Table 3. Effect of oat inclusion on growth performance of broilers from day 0 to 21 and day 0 to 35 (feed intake [FI], body weight gain [BWG], and feed conversion ratio [FCR]).

| Diet                | FI day 0–21 | BWG day 0–21 | FCR day 0–21 | FI day 0–35 | BWG day 0–35 | FCR day 0–35 |
|---------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| Control (no oat)    | 1.127       | 850          | 1.34**       | 3.412       | 2.278       | 1.50**       |
| 30% dehulled oat    | 1.124       | 850          | 1.32**       | 3.453       | 2.332       | 1.48**       |
| 30% oat with 7% hull| 1.153       | 885          | 1.31*        | 3.454       | 2.314       | 1.49**       |
| 30% oat with 17% hull| 1.078     | 745          | 1.46         | 3.384       | 2.164       | 1.57**       |
| 30% oat with 27% hull| 1.173      | 822          | 1.44         | 3.665       | 2.434       | 1.56**       |
| SEM                 | 0.327       | 0.063        | 0.027        | 0.042       | 0.194       | 0.178        |
| P-value             |             |              |              |             |             |              |

**Means within the same column with no common superscript differ significantly (P ≤ 0.05). 1-way ANOVA and Duncan post-hoc test were used to differentiate between means.**

### Table 4. Influence of oat hull inclusion on AME, AMEn (apparent metabolizable energy and nitrogen corrected AME), and gizzard weight at day 35(g).

| Diet               | AME (MJ/kg) | AMEn (MJ/kg) | Viscosity (cp) |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|
| Control—no oat     | 13.01**     | 12.38**      | 2.04           |
| 30% dehulled oat   | 13.68*      | 12.02*       | 1.85           |
| 30% oat, 7% hull   | 13.23**     | 12.55**      | 2.50           |
| 30% oat, 17% hull  | 12.10**     | 11.46**      | 2.37           |
| 30% oat, 27% hull  | 11.14**     | 10.50**      | 2.07           |
| SEM                | 0.56        | 0.55         | 0.18           |
| P-value            | 0.022       | 0.019        | 0.182          |

**Means within the same column with no common superscript differ significantly (P ≤ 0.05). 1-way ANOVA and Duncan post-hoc test were used to differentiate between means.**
studies. Gut motility and digesta movement within the tract may also be improved, as larger particles can induce peristalsis, with a subsequent increase in nutrient digestibility (Mateos et al. 2002). Although the OH fed were all ground in this study, previous studies have shown that when oats were ground through a 0.5 mm screen and a 2 mm screen, they were shown to have a very similar geometric mean diameter (only reduced slightly when more finely ground), suggesting that even finely ground oats maintain some of their physical structure (Jimenez-Moreno et al., 2010). The majority of the diets fed in this study were between 0.4 and 1.7 mm (69–75%). Therefore, grinding of OH does not appear to deleteriously effect gizzard retention or pH, unlike with other fiber sources such as sugar beet pulp.

Effect of OH inclusion on apparent ileal amino acid digestibility at day 35 is shown in Table 6. The amino acid digestibility across diets showed the same pattern for day 21 (data not shown). The average coefficient of digestibility (COD) for the dehulled oat diet was 0.82 reducing to 0.80 for 27% OH diet and again to 0.75 for the 17% OH diet. Perttila et al. (2008) reported a COD of 0.79 for oats compared with 0.86 for wheat, with higher digestibility for dehulled oats compared with whole oat, particularly for cysteine, which is found in high levels in oats. In this study, the wheat diet COD did not substantially differ from either the dehulled oat or the highest OH inclusion diet, although amino acid digestibility was depressed for the lower OH diets. Interestingly, cysteine, lysine, and methionine digestibilities were no different between the 27% OH diet and the dehulled oat diet. This may be due in part to improved availability of amino acids released by the increased grinding capacity of the gizzard (Jiménez-Moreno et al., 2009). Hetland et al. (2003) also found increased bile acids in the gizzard in birds fed diets containing OH, suggesting that the nutrients may be more solubilized by an increase in GI reflux. The birds may also have lower pH in the proximal gastrointestinal tract, because of increased HCl excretion from the proventriculus, which may improve pepsin activity and thereby increase utilization of protein and hence amino acid utilization (Gabriel et al., 2003). This lower pH may also influence pathogenic bacteria in the latter digestive tract leading to increased short chain fatty acids produced and stimulation of the growth of beneficial bacteria (Enberg et al., 2004).

This study shows that high hull oat diets (equivalent to unhulled oats) can be fed to birds with no detrimental effect on bird weight but with increased FI and reduced AMEn, which may reduce economic advantages from feeding whole oat diets. However, amino acid digestibility was improved with high OH diets to the equivalent of dehulled oats, which may be explained in part by the concurrent increase in gastrointestinal length and gizzard weight recorded. Future studies would benefit from examining the gut microflora and pH in similar

Table 5. Length and weight of the small intestine (SI)1 in birds fed differing levels of oat hull inclusion at day 21 and day 35.

| Diet                  | SI length day 21 (mm) | SI weight day 21 (g) | SI length day 35 (mm) | SI weight day 35 (g) | Gizzard weight (g) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Control—no oat        | 1.636<sup>b</sup>     | 42.4                | 1.959                 | 66.5                | 26.1<sup>b</sup>     |
| 30% dehulled oat      | 1.550<sup>b</sup>     | 39.7                | 1.980                 | 72.1                | 27.0<sup>b</sup>     |
| 30% oat, 7% hull      | 1.515<sup>b</sup>     | 36.1                | 2.001                 | 71                 | 28.2<sup>b</sup>     |
| 30% oat, 17% hull     | 1.607<sup>b</sup>     | 38.1                | 2.011                 | 68.1                | 28.6<sup>b</sup>     |
| 30% oat, 27% hull     | 1.722<sup>a</sup>     | 40.6                | 2.079                 | 77.6                | 32.9<sup>a</sup>     |
| SEM                   | 44.4                  | 1.52                | 62.7                  | 3.6                 | 1.06                |
| P-value               | 0.031                 | 0.291               | 0.734                 | 0.292               | 0.005               |

<sup>a</sup>Means within the same column with no common superscript differ significantly (P ≤ 0.05). 1-way ANOVA and Duncan post-hoc test were used to differentiate between means.

<sup>1</sup>Small intestine is defined as the portion between the beginning of the duodenal loop and the ileal-cecal-colonic junction.

Table 6. Amino acid digestibility of diets containing oats with incremental hull content.

| Diets                | Control | 30% dehulled oat | 30% oat 7% hull | 30% oat 17% hull | 30% oat 27% hull | SEM | P-value |
|----------------------|---------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----|---------|
| Cystine              | 0.830<sup>a</sup> | 0.737<sup>b</sup> | 0.659           | 0.713<sup>b</sup> | 0.739<sup>b</sup> | 0.016 | <0.001  |
| Aspartic Acid        | 0.784<sup>a</sup> | 0.742<sup>b</sup> | 0.738<sup>b</sup> | 0.726<sup>b</sup> | 0.783<sup>a</sup> | 0.016 | 0.05    |
| Methionine           | 0.913<sup>a</sup> | 0.901<sup>a</sup> | 0.870<sup>b</sup> | 0.795<sup>b</sup> | 0.896<sup>b</sup> | 0.010 | <0.001  |
| Threonine            | 0.771<sup>b</sup> | 0.816<sup>a</sup> | 0.718<sup>b</sup> | 0.696<sup>b</sup> | 0.770<sup>b</sup> | 0.017 | <0.001  |
| Serine               | 0.809<sup>b</sup> | 0.823<sup>a</sup> | 0.747           | 0.755<sup>b</sup> | 0.783<sup>b</sup> | 0.014 | 0.002   |
| Glutamine            | 0.884<sup>a</sup> | 0.882<sup>a</sup> | 0.828<sup>b</sup> | 0.869<sup>a</sup> | 0.874<sup>a</sup> | 0.009 | <0.001  |
| Glycine              | 0.744<sup>b</sup> | 0.794<sup>a</sup> | 0.692<sup>b</sup> | 0.692<sup>b</sup> | 0.740<sup>b</sup> | 0.017 | 0.001   |
| Alanine              | 0.725<sup>b</sup> | 0.801<sup>a</sup> | 0.706<sup>b</sup> | 0.711<sup>b</sup> | 0.754<sup>b</sup> | 0.018 | 0.005   |
| Valine               | 0.741<sup>b</sup> | 0.737<sup>a</sup> | 0.713<sup>b</sup> | 0.673<sup>c</sup> | 0.771<sup>c</sup> | 0.017 | 0.002   |
| Isoleucine           | 0.801<sup>a</sup> | 0.815<sup>a</sup> | 0.751<sup>b</sup> | 0.709<sup>b</sup> | 0.803<sup>a</sup> | 0.015 | <0.001  |
| Leucine              | 0.816<sup>b</sup> | 0.834<sup>a</sup> | 0.764           | 0.785<sup>b</sup> | 0.820<sup>b</sup> | 0.013 | 0.004   |
| Tyrosine             | 0.809<sup>a</sup> | 0.817<sup>a</sup> | 0.764           | 0.634<sup>b</sup> | 0.798<sup>b</sup> | 0.020 | <0.001  |
| Phenylalanine        | 0.810<sup>b</sup> | 0.829<sup>a</sup> | 0.733           | 0.787<sup>b</sup> | 0.825<sup>b</sup> | 0.012 | 0.014   |
| Lysine               | 0.846<sup>a</sup> | 0.865<sup>a</sup> | 0.827<sup>a</sup> | 0.727<sup>b</sup> | 0.850<sup>b</sup> | 0.015 | <0.001  |
| Histidine            | 0.806<sup>b</sup> | 0.835<sup>a</sup> | 0.765           | 0.779<sup>b</sup> | 0.803<sup>b</sup> | 0.013 | 0.012   |
| Arginine             | 0.866<sup>b</sup> | 0.889<sup>b</sup> | 0.846           | 0.853<sup>b</sup> | 0.893<sup>b</sup> | 0.012 | 0.037   |
| Proline              | 0.852     | 0.848            | 0.820           | 0.840            | 0.824            | 0.010 | 0.154   |

<sup>a</sup><sup>b</sup>Means within the same row with no common superscript differ significantly (P ≤ 0.05). 2-way ANOVA and Duncan post-hoc test were used to differentiate between means.
diets to elucidate the mechanisms for these effects. Locally grown oats may be utilized in diets without or with minimal expensive dehulling and thereby improve the security of production of broiler meat.
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