Material properties and effect of preconditioning of human sclera, optic nerve, and optic nerve sheath
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Abstract
The optic nerve (ON) is a recently recognized tractional load on the eye during larger horizontal eye rotations. In order to understand the mechanical behavior of the eye during adduction, it is necessary to characterize material properties of the sclera, ON, and in particular its sheath. We performed tensile loading of specimens taken from fresh postmortem human eyes to characterize the range of variation in their biomechanical properties and determine the effect of preconditioning. We fitted reduced polynomial hyperelastic models to represent the nonlinear tensile behavior of the anterior, equatorial, posterior, and peripapillary sclera, as well as the ON and its sheath. For comparison, we analyzed tangent moduli in low and high strain regions to represent stiffness. Scleral stiffness generally decreased from anterior to posterior ocular regions. The ON had the lowest tangent modulus, but was surrounded by a much stiffer sheath. The low-strain hyperelastic behaviors of adjacent anatomical regions of the ON, ON sheath, and posterior sclera were similar as appropriate to avoid discontinuities at their boundaries. Regional stiffnesses within individual eyes were moderately correlated, implying that mechanical properties in one region of an eye do not reliably reflect properties of another region of that eye, and that potentially pathological combinations could occur in an eye if regional properties are discrepant. Preconditioning modestly stiffened ocular tissues, except peripapillary sclera that softened. The nonlinear mechanical behavior of posterior ocular tissues permits their stresses to match closely at low strains, although progressively increasing strain causes particularly great stress in the peripapillary region.
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1 Introduction

The eye is a pressurized mechanical structure that is set in nearly constant rotational and translational motion within its orbital gimbal suspension by the actions of multiple extracocular muscles (Demer and Clark 2019). Moreover, the optic nerve (ON) that conveys visual signals from the eye to the brain also acts as a deforming (Chang et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2019; Suh et al. 2018) mechanical load on the moving eye (Demer 2016) and is surrounded by a sheath of cerebrospinal fluid internally loaded by communication with intracranial pressure (ICP) (Lee et al. 2020; Quigley et al. 1982; Raykin et al. 2017; Shin et al. 2017). Excessive intraocular pressure (IOP) is implicated in progressive damage to visual function in glaucoma (Grzybowski and Sak 2012). Repetitive strain injury to the eye caused by eye movements has been proposed both to be involved in ON damage in glaucoma (Demer et al. 2017; Shin et al. 2017; Sibony 2016; Wang et al. 2016), and progressive, damaging elongation and distortion of globe shape in axial myopia (Li et al. 2019). The ON is also susceptible to visually threatening damage from pathologically elevated ICP (Quigley et al. 1982; Shin et al. 2017) and may also be damaged during distention of its sheath by fluid shifts that occur in microgravity during space flight (Lee et al. 2017; Raykin et al. 2017).
A critical consideration in each of the foregoing disorders is the biomechanical behavior of the ocular and orbital tissues. Classical uniaxial tensile loading has been the most popular experimental method for characterizing ocular biomechanics (Elsheikh et al. 2010a; Friberg and Lace 1988; Wollensak and Spoerl 2004), but some investigators have characterized sclera using biaxial tensile loading and whole-globe inflation (Coudrillier et al. 2012; Eilaghi et al. 2010). The sclera has even been characterized by optomechanical birefringence (Shin et al. 2018). While there have been extensive investigations of anterior ocular tissues such as cornea (Carnell and Vito 1992; Liu and He 2009; Rahman et al. 2020), sclera (Coudrillier et al. 2013; Downs et al. 2005; Eilaghi et al. 2010; Elsheikh et al. 2010b; Pijanka et al. 2012; Wollensak and Spoerl 2004), and the lamina cribrosa (LC) of the optic disk (Brazile et al. 2018; Coudrillier et al. 2016; Feola et al. 2017; Midgett et al. 2020; Sigal et al. 2014), the biomechanical properties of tissues posterior to the globe have been comparatively neglected. Biomechanical properties of the bovine ON have been reported (Shin et al. 2017), but human ON data are currently lacking, despite the presence of abundant connective tissue around and within the human ON that distinguishes it from other neural tissue such as brain (Karim et al. 2004). The mechanical behavior of porcine ON sheath has been explored using inflation and axial loading (Raykin et al. 2017), but porcine anatomy differs substantially from the bilaminar human sheath (Le et al. 2020b) in a manner likely to alter its mechanical properties (Shin et al. 2020). It is vital to understand quantitatively the biomechanical behavior of human posterior ocular tissues such as the orbital ON and ON sheath, since these are both essential links in transmission of the sense of sight to the brain, mechanically load the globes they interact with the oculorotary muscles (Demer 2016), and may influence scleral remodeling and ocular elongation as may contribute to development and progression of myopia (Li et al. 2019).

Preconditioning is the classical process of releasing residual stress that remains in an unloaded organ (Lanir 2009; Lanir and Fung 1974) and has generally been presumed important in the characterization of biomaterials. However, the effect of preconditioning depends on the protocol and the type of tissue and may vary among tissue types (Tonge et al. 2013). Since most studies have included preconditioning as a matter of routine before any tensile loading (Cheng et al. 2009; Girard et al. 2009; Lanir 2009; Tonge et al. 2013), the significance of preconditioning for ocular tissues is currently unknown.

The current study therefore aimed in human tissue to characterize nonlinear, uniaxial tensile behavior in multiple scleral regions, in the ON, and its sheath, as well as the range of inter-individual and intraocular variation in these properties, and the degree to which properties in one region of a given eye might predict properties elsewhere in that eye. Since there have been no reported investigations of the effects of preconditioning on human ocular tissues, this study also compared tensile results with and without preconditioning in nearly identical adjacent specimens from multiple ocular regions to characterize the effects of preconditioning.

2 Methods

2.1 Specimen sources

We studied 38 pairs of fresh, unfixed human eyes, with ONs attached, collected within 3 days postmortem from four eye banks (Lions Gift of Sight, Saint Paul, MN, San Diego Eye Bank, San Diego, CA, SightLife, Seattle, WA, and OneLegacy, Los Angeles, CA). Mean donor age was 71 ± 14 (range 26–101) years, with equal numbers of males and females, all free of known ocular diseases. Eyes were obtained in conformity with the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2 Specimen preparation

Specimens were stored in iced lactated Ringer’s solution during preparation and were dissected into six types: anterior, equatorial, posterior, and peripapillary sclera; ON, and ON sheath (Fig. 1a). A representative specimen is illustrated in Fig. 1b. The method of extraction of peripapillary sclera with a punch is illustrated in Fig. 1c–e. All except the cylindrical ON were trimmed into rectangular shape. Peripapillary scleral specimens were oriented with long dimensions circumferentially around the optic disk, and all other scleral tissues were taken equally oriented with long
dimensions meridionally and circumferentially. Specimens of the ON sheath were oriented in both circumferential and longitudinal in equal numbers because our earlier study indicated that tensile properties of the ON sheath are similar in both orientations (Shin et al. 2020). Ends of the ON and its sheath were glued with cyanoacrylate to thin cardboard to prevent slippage (Fig. 2). Mean specimen dimensions (length × width ± standard deviation, SD) measured by digital caliper were 4.0 ± 0.6 mm × 0.8 ± 0.2 mm for anterior sclera, 4.1 ± 0.6 mm × 0.9 ± 0.2 mm for equatorial sclera, 2.7 ± 0.8 mm × 0.8 ± 0.1 mm for peripapillary sclera, and 4.5 ± 1.3 mm × 0.8 ± 0.2 mm for ON sheath. Mean aspect ratios were 4.9, 4.7, 4.6, 3.5, and 5.6, respectively. The entire available length of the ON was untrimmed to minimize potential experimental artifact due to the thick cylindrical ends. Mean ON specimen length was 5.7 ± 2.4 mm.

2.3 Tensile testing

Tissues were loaded uniaxially using a previously described apparatus (Shin et al. 2013, 2017) in an environmental chamber maintained by a heated water bath at 37°C temperature and approaching 100% humidity. Initial sample length was measured using a digital caliper (Mitutoyo Co., Kawasaki, Japan). Cross-sectional area was measured using optical coherence tomography (OCT; Thorlabs Inc., Newton, NJ) after applying 0.05 N preload to eliminate slack. Loading was continuously applied at a constant rate of 0.1 mm/sec. Strain was measured based on the distance from clamp to clamp in the load cell.

2.4 Preconditioning

Specimens were treated in two fashions: approximately half of the specimens from 17 eyes underwent preconditioning and the other half did not. In 21 other eyes, no preconditioning was performed. For preconditioned specimens, we applied five cycles of tensile loading to 5% maximum strain. Figure 3 illustrates that the stress–strain curves converged to consistent responses after 2–3 loading cycles, verifying that the 5 cycles uniformly applied were sufficient to achieve stable preconditioning. Decreasing area underneath of stress–strain curves indicates release residual stress, and negative stress after the first preconditioning cycle is typical of preconditioning studies (Ajalloueian et al. 2018; Carew et al. 2000; Venkatasubramanian et al. 2006).

2.5 Material property analysis

Force–displacement curves were converted into Cauchy stress–strain curves, to which the reduced polynomial hyperelastic models were fit using a material evaluation tool in ABAQUUS 2020 (Dassault Systèmes SIMULIA Corp., Johnston, RI). This evaluation tool limits its outputs to functions that are stable in the ABAQUUS numerical simulation environment for the specific empirical stress–strain curve provided. Where multiple functions were stable for a tissue, we chose the function that fits best. The strain energy density function $U$ of the reduced polynomial model is expressed by

$$U = \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_i (\bar{T}_i - 3)^i + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{D_i} (J_{el} - 1)^{2i}$$

where $\bar{T}_i$ is the first strain invariant, $C_i$ and $D_i$ are material constants, $J_{el}$ determines the elastic volume ratio. Depending on the stability and quality of fitting, we employed either fourth- or sixth-order reduced polynomial hyperelastic models for each tissue. For simplicity, the tissues were assumed to be isotropic and nearly incompressible (Poisson’s ratio = 0.49) to avoid singularity in computations.

![Fig. 2 Specimen preparation. a The thickness of scleral tissue was enough to securely clamp on each end. b and c Cardboard was glued with cyanoacrylate to ends of the thin ON sheath and rounded ON to avoid slippage in the clamps](image-url)
3 Results

3.1 Hyperelastic properties of preconditioned tissue

Although all tissues exhibited hyperelastic behavior as described below, it is also informative to compare tangent moduli at 3% low ($E_{3\%}$) and 7% high strain ($E_{7\%}$). Data are illustrated in Fig. 4 for specimens subjected to preconditioning. The tangent modulus at 3% strain (mean ± standard deviation, SD) of the anterior sclera was $30.8 \pm 13.1$ MPa, roughly twice that of equatorial and posterior sclera at $17.7 \pm 8.7$ MPa, and $13.3 \pm 5.3$ MPa, respectively. Peripapillary sclera near the ON junction, which was loaded circumferentially, had the lowest tangent modulus among all scleral tissues of $3.5 \pm 1.4$ MPa at 3% strain. However, because of its nonlinearity, peripapillary sclera exhibited gradual increase in tangent modulus, reaching $27.7$ MPa at 30% strain. Furthermore, 8 out of 11 peripapillary scleral specimens tested had ultimate strain exceeding 34%, which is not apparent from the graph on Fig. 4 that was truncated to 20% strain for comparison with the other tissues. The tangent modulus of ON sheath at 3% strain was $10.0 \pm 5.8$ MPa, slightly lower than that of posterior sclera at $13.3 \pm 5.4$ MPa. The ON, which was loaded longitudinally, was the most compliant with $3.4 \pm 2.2$ MPa modulus at 3% strain.

Relative to 3% strain, the moduli at 7% strain of the anterior, equatorial, posterior, and peripapillary sclera were greater by 69% ($E_{7\%} = 52.0 \pm 15.5$ MPa, $P < 0.002$), 50% ($E_{7\%} = 26.6 \pm 12.3$ MPa, $P = 0.044$), 53% ($E_{7\%} = 20.4 \pm 6.8$ MPa, $P = 0.018$), and 146% ($E_{7\%} = 8.6 \pm 4.0$ MPa, $P < 0.001$), respectively (Fig. 4). At the higher strain, the ON sheath was significantly stiffer by 138% ($E_{7\%} = 23.8 \pm 15.0$ MPa, $P = 0.010$), and ON tangent modulus increased insignificantly by 85% ($E_{7\%} = 6.3 \pm 3.3$ MPa, $P = 0.077$).

The variability of data from individual specimens was quantified as the coefficient of variation (CV), the quotient of the SD divided by the mean value for that computed modulus. For 3% strain, the CV values were 0.43, 0.49, 0.40, and 0.40 for anterior, equatorial, posterior, and peripapillary sclera, respectively.

The forgoing data illustrate obvious nonlinear stress–strain behavior for all of the ocular tissues and regions evaluated. This nonlinearity permits tangent modulus to match between and among anatomically contiguous regions in a lower range of strains, yet be disparate outside this range. For example, Fig. 5a shows that peripapillary sclera and ON behaved nearly identical to one another up to 7% tensile strain (95% confidence intervals of polynomial and exponential fits overlapped), above which peripapillary...
sclera became stiffer (95% confidence intervals for linear fits differed widely). In contrast, posterior sclera and ON sheath exhibited tensile behavior similar to one another throughout the entire range of strains (95% confidence intervals of polynomial fits overlapped, Fig. 5b).

### 3.2 Hyperelastic Model Parameters

Since the stress–strain curves with preconditioning were clearly nonlinear, we applied reduced polynomial hyperelastic models that fit the averaged data well (Eq. 1), using the parameters shown in Table 1. Polynomial order ranged from 4 to 6, as suitable for input into ABAQUS. Coefficients of determination ($R^2$) for all six tissue regions exceeded 0.99.

### 3.3 Hyperelastic properties without preconditioning

Tensile data for tissues that were not preconditioned are shown in Fig. 6. These specimens were obtained from different eyes from those that underwent preconditioning. For most scleral regions and for the ON sheath, tangent modulus was significantly greater at 7% strain than at 3% strain ($P < 0.001$). Tangent modulus of anterior scleral modulus increased from $15.8 \pm 6.0$ MPa at 3% strain to $32.6 \pm 11.8$ MPa at 7% strain, equatorial scleral modulus increased from $8.6 \pm 4.8$ MPa at 3% strain to $16.7 \pm 8.2$ MP at 7% strain, and posterior scleral modulus increased from $5.4 \pm 3.1$ MPa at 3% strain to $10.3 \pm 5.4$ MPa at 7% strain. The tangent modulus of peripapillary sclera, however, was $4.4 \pm 5.5$ MPa at 3% strain, statistically similar to $8.0 \pm 7.5$ MPa at 7% strain ($P = 0.237$). The tangent modulus of the ON sheath was $4.8 \pm 2.2$ MPa at 3% strain and significantly a greater $11.5 \pm 5.8$ MPa at 7% strain ($P < 0.001$). The tangent modulus of the ON was $1.7 \pm 0.9$ MPa at 3% strain and $2.8 \pm 1.8$ MPa at 7% strain ($P = 0.016$).

### 3.4 Paired specimen comparison for preconditioning

To avoid confounding by inter-eye variations, we collected multiple pairs of adjacent parallel specimens from each region of the eye; these pairs are as nearly identical as possible, except that only one of each pair was preconditioned. A special case was the ON, for which maximal length specimens from the left and right eyes of the same donor were

### Table 1 Reduced polynomial hyperelastic model parameters

| Tissues            | N  | $D_1$ | $C_{10}$ | $C_{20}$ | $C_{30}$   | $C_{40}$   | $C_{50}$   | $C_{60}$ |
|--------------------|----|-------|----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----------|
| Sclera Anterior    | 6  | 0.044 | 0.926    | 512      | $-42.6 \times 10^3$ | $226 \times 10^4$ | $-593 \times 10^5$ | $594 \times 10^6$ |
| Equatorial         | 4  | 0.104 | 0.390    | 252      | $-11.2 \times 10^3$ | $219 \times 10^3$ | $-$         | $-$       |
| Posterior          | 6  | 0.064 | 0.633    | 144      | $-6.08 \times 10^3$ | $153 \times 10^3$ | $-193 \times 10^4$ | $955 \times 10^4$ |
| Peripapillary      | 6  | 0.238 | 0.170    | 44.0     | $-1.43 \times 10^3$ | $27.6 \times 10^3$ | $-250 \times 10^3$ | $845 \times 10^3$ |
| Optic nerve sheath | 4  | 0.083 | 0.492    | 91.1     | $-1.78 \times 10^3$ | $16.3 \times 10^3$ | $-$         | $-$       |
| Optic nerve        | 6  | 0.000 | 0.268    | 16.0     | $-143$       | 715         | $-1.73 \times 10^3$ | $1.59 \times 10^3$ |

$N$—polynomial degree, $D_1$—[m$^2$/MN], $C_{i0}$—[MN/m$^2$]
Specimens were tested sequentially. Tensile results for specimen pairs are shown in Fig. 7. Up to 2% strain, stress–strain curves with and without preconditioning were nearly identical, except for peripapillary sclera. Above 2% strain, all tissue regions except peripapillary sclera were modestly stiffer.

Fig. 6  Tensile stress–strain relationships of ocular tissues without preconditioning. Conventions as in Fig. 3

Fig. 7  Effect of preconditioning on adjacent, nearly identical samples collected as pairs. Specimens from 10 eyes were used. Error bars show data ranges, and red and blue dotted curves indicate averages.
after preconditioning, but the range of variation overlapped substantially. In contrast, peripapillary sclera did not stiffen, being insignificantly less stiff with preconditioning.

Tangent moduli at 3% and 7% strains were computed for paired specimens with and without preconditioning, and subjected to paired t testing as shown in Table 2. For anterior sclera, posterior sclera, and ON sheath, tangent moduli with preconditioning were significantly greater than without preconditioning. For peripapillary sclera and ON, these moduli were statistically similar with and without preconditioning. As shown in Fig. 7, the stress–strain curves for equatorial sclera overlap up to 4.2% strain, but diverge for greater strain. Likewise, the tangent moduli of equatorial sclera at 3% strain with and without preconditioning did not significantly differ (P = 0.059) but was significantly greater at 7% strain (P = 0.014). It should be noted, however, that the small number ON specimens available for paired testing reduced power to detect significant differences that might exist.

In Table 2 are also indicated CVs for tangent moduli of the paired tissues tested both with and without preconditioning. In general, these CV values were in the range of 0.3–0.7 for all tissues and were not appreciably influenced by preconditioning. Papillary sclera was one exception, for which CV for tangent modulus at 3% strain decreased from 1.27 without to 0.42 with preconditioning, and at 7% strain from 1.08 without to 0.40 with preconditioning. The second exception was the ON, for which CV for tangent modulus at 7% strain increased from 0.07 without to 0.45 with preconditioning.

### 3.5 Regional correlations

It was of interest to determine the degree to which mechanical behavior in one region of an individual eye is reflective of behavior elsewhere in that eye. We explored this question by computing the linear correlations for 3% tangent modulus with preconditioning among all pairs of regions for all eyes. The diagonally symmetric matrix of these Pearson’s r correlations has values ranging from zero (no correlation) to one (perfect correlation, as occurs on the diagonal, Fig. 8). The correlation between ON sheath and anterior sclera was highest at 0.77, while ON sheath and ON had the smallest

![Fig. 8 Regional cross-correlation of tangent modulus among six ocular tissue regions at 3% strain](image)

| Preconditioning       | Tangent Modulus at 3% Strain [MPa] | Tangent Modulus at 7% Strain [MPa] |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                       | N  | Mean  | SD   | CV  | T Test | N  | Mean  | SD   | CV  | T Test |
| Sclera                |    |       |      |     |        |    |       |      |     |        |
| Anterior              | 38 | 36.0  | 18.4 | 0.51| < 0.001| 37 | 57.1  | 23.7 | 0.42| < 0.001|
| With                  | 23.2| 10.6  | 0.46 |     |        | 42.6| 17.0  | 0.40 |     |        |
| Without               | 25.2| 18.4  | 0.73 | 0.059| 36.5  | 22.4| 0.61  | 0.014|     |        |
| Equatorial            | 34  | 39    | 16.6 | 0.67|        | 25.6| 15.9  | 0.62 |     |        |
| With                  | 18.8| 12.6  | 0.67 |     |        | 25.6| 15.9  | 0.62 |     |        |
| Without               | 16  | 12.8  | 0.77 | 0.003| 24.2  | 15.4| 0.64  | 0.002|     |        |
| Posterior             | 39  | 9.4   | 5.7  | 0.61|        | 15.6| 8.5   | 0.54 |     |        |
| With                  | 16  | 4.5   | 1.9  | 0.42| 0.323  | 7   | 9.3   | 3.7  | 0.40| 0.451 |
| Without               | 10  | 11.4  | 1.27 |     |        | 13.0| 14.0  | 1.08 |     |        |
| Peripapillary         | 7   | 4.5   | 1.9  | 0.42|        | 7   | 9.3   | 3.7  | 0.40| 0.451 |
| With                  | 9.0 | 11.4  | 1.27 |     |        | 13.0| 14.0  | 1.08 |     |        |
| Without               | 16  | 15.3  | 10.2 | 0.67| 0.005  | 16  | 31.3  | 21.0 | 0.67| 0.011 |
| Optic nerve sheath    | 8.3 | 5.4   | 0.65 |     |        | 17.4| 11.5  | 0.66 |     |        |
| With                  | 4   | 4.4   | 2.3  | 0.52| 0.127  | 4   | 7.5   | 3.4  | 0.45| 0.188 |
| Without               | 2.5 | 0.9   | 0.36 |     |        | 4.6 | 0.3   | 0.07 |     |        |

Paired t tests compare values with and without preconditioning
CV—coefficient of variation. N—number of samples. SD—standard deviation
correlation at 0.12. Pairwise cross-correlations among scleral regions were at least 0.58, except that correlation between peripapillary and equatorial sclera was lower at 0.32.

4 Discussion

The present experimental study is consistent with prior tensile characterizations of local regions of human sclera (Chen et al. 2014; Geraghty et al. 2012; Spoerl et al. 2005; Wollensak and Spoerl 2004; Woo et al. 1972), and extends by novel reduced polynomial hyperelastic models the human scleral characterizations to additionally include the ON and its sheath. This study also quantified the effect of preconditioning on these ocular tissues and demonstrated that local variation in mechanical properties by region may differ in individual eyes such that properties in one region of a given eye do not strongly reflect properties in a different region of the same eye.

4.1 Tissue properties

Anterior sclera must be sufficiently rigid to stabilize the eye’s optics to support stable vision (Curtin 1969), and the present study confirms previous findings that the anterior sclera is appropriately stiffest of all scleral regions (Elsheikh et al. 2010a; Friberg and Lace 1988; Geraghty et al. 2012). In any given eye, scleral stiffness tended to decrease progressively in more posterior regions, so that peripapillary sclera was the most compliant. For peripapillary sclera tested here without preconditioning, the range of stress–strain curves (Fig. 4) is comparable to curves published to Spoerl et al. for this human tissue using similar conditions (Spoerl et al. 2005). The data of Spoerl et al. indicated CVs for tangent modulus at 20% strain in peripapillary sclera in the range of 0.62–0.76 (Spoerl et al. 2005), while CVs of peripapillary sclera without preconditioning from this study were 1.08 and 1.27 at 3% and 7% strains, respectively. With preconditioning, these CVs decreased to 0.42 and 0.40, at these two strains, respectively. Variability of tangent moduli in the current study is in a comparable range to the data of Spoerl et al.

Human peripapillary sclera consists of an annulus of predominantly circumferentially oriented fibers encircling the optic disk, as has been implemented in computational studies (Campbell et al. 2014; Coudrillier et al. 2013; Jones et al. 2015; Pijanka et al. 2012; Zhang et al. 2015). The current study directly evaluated tensile properties of peripapillary sclera in the circumferential direction. Although tangent modulus of peripapillary sclera in the 3% strain region was low, the nonlinear stiffness increased markedly with increasing strain, indicating that peripapillary sclera can absorb considerable deformational energy without failure. This high resistance to failure seems functionally appropriate, because peripapillary sclera functions as a reinforcing ring protecting the sensitive and compliant ON and LC (Campbell et al. 2014; Coudrillier et al. 2013; Jones et al. 2015; Pijanka et al. 2012; Zhang et al. 2015) against ON traction during eye movement (Clark et al. 2020; Demer 2016; Demer et al. 2017, 2020). It is notable that preconditioning of peripapillary sclera decreased the CV of its tangent modulus appreciably, from 1.27 to 0.42 at 3% strain, and from 1.08 to 0.40 at 7% strain. The only other comparable study of human peripapillary sclera did not employ preconditioning (Spoerl et al. 2005).

The present study obtained novel tensile data on the human ON and its sheath. The data are comparable to measurement in bovine tissue (Shin et al. 2017): the tangent modulus at 7% strain of human ON (6.3 ± 3.3 MPa) was similar to that of bovine ON (5.2 ± 0.4 MPa), although for the human ON sheath (23.8 ± 15.0 MPa) was about half as stiff as bovine ON sheath (44.6 ± 5.6 MPa). Tensile behavior of the human ON tested here was nearly identical to peripapillary sclera in the low strain region (Fig. 5). It would appear advantageous for material properties at the junction of ON and peripapillary sclera to be similar to minimize stress concentration at their border. Similarly, the low strain tensile behavior of the ON sheath and posterior sclera was similar to one another, which would again minimize stress concentration at their critical juncture.

Similar to the mesh-like LC that constitutes the anterior terminus of the ON (Campbell et al. 2015), the intraorbital ON also consists of a matrix of stiff intrinsic connective tissue supporting its compliant axonal components (Karim et al. 2004). Although the neural tissue presumably has very low tensile strength, the embedded connective tissue makes the ON a composite structure much stronger than its axons. Shin et al. have modeled the interwoven structure of neural and connective tissue of the bovine ON for finite element modeling using the rule of mixtures (Shin et al. 2017). The tangent modulus of the ON sheath ($E_{35} = 10.0 ± 5.8$ MPa) is about threefold of that of the ON ($E_{35} = 3.4 ± 2.2$ MPa). The stiff ON sheath seems well suited to function as a protective element for the visually critical ON.

4.2 Preconditioning

Preconditioning is believed to release residual stress in an unloaded organ (Cheng et al. 2009; Eshel and Lanir 2001). There have been prior studies evaluating the effects of preconditioning of bovine and porcine sclera (Tonge et al. 2013) and cornea (Tonge et al. 2013) (Boyce et al. 2007), but there has been little evaluation of the effect of preconditioning on human ocular tissues (Boyce et al. 2007; Tonge et al. 2013). As shown in Fig. 3, preconditioning both shifted the stress–strain curve downward, and increased its slope, the latter representing stiffening. Most
of the stress–strain curves converged after two or three cycles of cyclic loading, indicating that the preconditioning protocol employed here achieved a steady state. The tangent moduli of all ocular tissues except peripapillary sclera increased modestly after preconditioning. Preconditioning of peripapillary sclera did not significantly change tangent modulus. It may be that the anisotropic fiber orientation of peripapillary sclera (Coudrillier et al. 2013) (Gogola et al. 2018; Jan et al. 2017; Pijanka et al. 2015) or its constant deformation during eye movements (Le et al. 2020a; Suh et al. 2017) might underlie the absence of preconditioning effect.

In the human ocular tissues studied here, the chosen preconditioning protocol did not systematically reduce variability in tensile behavior, but did increase tangent moduli modestly for all tissues except peripapillary sclera, where there was no significant change. Biological tissues might behave differently depending on conditions of preconditioning (Kim et al. 2012; Tonge et al. 2013). While it is recognized that other preconditioning protocols (e.g., different strain levels, number of loading cycles, etc.) might have produced different results, the scarcity of fresh postmortem human ocular tissues made it impractical to systematically vary preconditioning conditions in this study.

4.3 Regional correlation

Recognizing that linear tangent moduli incompletely represent the hyperelasticity of these tissues, we nevertheless found these linear measures of stiffness useful for statistical correlations among our many measurements in a large number of human eyes. The correlation matrix in Fig. 8 demonstrates that there is at most modest correlation in elastic properties among the various regions of individual eyes. The strongest regional correlation of 0.77 was between anterior sclera and ON sheath, which indicates only 59% of the variation in ON sheath tangent modulus is statistically attributable to variation in anterior scleral modulus. This implies that a potentially available in vivo stiffness measurement of the clinically accessible anterior sclera might on average reflect about 60% of the variation in ON sheath stiffness. Correlations of tangent moduli between other regional pairs were much lower, indicating that significant regional disparities in tissue properties variously occur in individual eyes. In general, it is not possible to accurately estimate the elastic behavior of one ocular region from the value measured in another region of the same eye. Conversely, finite element modeling studies of the biomechanical effects of phenomena such as adduction traction on the ON may reasonably presume that all possible extreme values of local stiffnesses might potentially occur in any given eye.

4.4 Limitations

Tissue characterization was limited to uniaxial tensile behavior, only presenting computations of linearly elastic tangent moduli, at arbitrary low and high strain regions, for the reader’s convenience in statistical comparisons and comparison to published studies (Eilaghi et al. 2010; Pijanka et al. 2012). This presentation should not imply that we believe the mechanical properties actually to be linear. Further characterization and modeling of viscoelastic properties (Downs et al. 2005) is expected to be helpful to understand other biomechanical phenomena such as IOP and ON traction.
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