Influence of the 3D Printing Process Settings on Tensile Strength of PLA and HT-PLA
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Abstract

Fused Deposition Modelling (FDM) is presently the most common utilized 3D printing technology. Since this printing technology makes the bodies anisotropic, therefore, investigate the process with different settings is worthwhile. Tensile test specimens of two plastics have been carried out to examine the mechanical properties. Polylactic acid (PLA) and High Temperature PLA (HT-PLA) are the used materials for this purpose. A total of seventy-two test pieces of the two used polymers were printed and evaluated. Three parameters were examined in twelve different settings when printing the tensile test specimens. The considered settings are; six raster directions, three build orientations and two filling factors. The differences in stress-strain curves, tensile strength values and elongation at break were compared among the tested samples. The broken specimens after the tensile test are illustrated, which gave insight into how the test pieces printed with different parameters were fractured. The optimum printing setting is represented at crossed 45/−45° raster direction, X orientation and 100 % fill factor, where the highest tensile strength of 59.7 MPa at HT-PLA and the largest elongation of about 3.5 % at PLA were measured.

Keywords

3D printing settings, HT-PLA polymer, tensile test specimens

1 Introduction

The 3D printing is an additive manufacturing process starts with a 3D model of a body that is produced using CAD software or scans an existing object. This model must be converted to STL (Stereolithography) file format [1]. A specialized software slices the model horizontally to produce body cross-sections for each height that is transmitted from the computer to the 3D printer. These slices represent the two-dimensional contour of the model, which if put together, will release the original three-dimensional body. In addition, it is possible to choose the thickness of the layers, which essentially means the printing resolution [2].

There are several 3D printing technologies, all of which are based on a different principle of the three-dimensional body. Fused Deposition Modelling (FDM) is the widely used technology. Its popularity is due primarily to; it offers low cost and a wide range of materials, durable and powerful items, and print speed [3]. During the process, the wire or filament passes through the heated print head and melts. The wire then enters the nozzle, and the molten polymer is discharged from it. By depositing the material in a molten state, it can adhere to previously deposited layers [4]. The worktable on which the piece is located moves downward in the Z direction. Thus, after a certain period, a real three-dimensional body is created, which is the same as the designed model. Some FDM printers also have a second print head extruding the support material. Due to material separation, the three-dimensional body has anisotropic properties [5].

Polylactic acid (PLA) [6] and acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) [7] currently are the most common materials used to fabricate objects by 3D printing. These polymers have temperatures to some extent low make them convenient to be melted during the extrusion of the FDM process, while adequate to keep the shape of the prints at moderate use temperatures.
In literature, there are many studies performed to improve the mechanical properties of the 3D printed objects, particularly the tensile strength. This achieved by modulating the process parameters of 3D printing (such as: fill factor, layer thickness, print speed, closed spaces, etc.) which can fundamentally change previously developed design methods. Letcher and Waytashek [8] employed PLA filament to print specimens at various raster orientation angles which are 0°, 45° and 90°. The results indicated a noticeable impact on the tensile, flexural, and fatigue tests for the printed parts due to the different printing orientations. Tymrak et al. [9] studied the characteristics of mechanical properties of 3D printed ABS and PLA. They utilized tensile test samples made using open-source Rep-Rap 3D printers. Pattern orientation and layer thickness are the main slicing variables which were taken in the 3D printing process. They found that tensile strength and the elastic modulus of the parts produced by the above 3D printers are comparable to components printed by common 3D printing technologies. Extra studies about the influence of structure patterns [10], fill raster orientation [11, 12], and different geometries [13] on the mechanical properties of 3D printed tensile test specimens are exist in the cited researches.

This study aims to examine the process parameters settings of 3D printing in relation to the mechanical properties, to enrich the existing information on mechanical aspects. FDM 3D printing technology has been chosen for producing PLA and High Temperature PLA (HT-PLA) tensile test specimens. In order to survey the impact of varying raster direction angles, spatial orientations, and filling percentage of the 3D printing process on the tensile strength. The novelty of the current work comes from that the 3D printing process settings of HT-PLA never been studied in the literature, as well as the variety of the examined parameters (twelve different setting) for each material.

2 Materials and methods
2.1 Anisotropy of 3D printed bodies
Once the STL file of the model completed, it is imported to the previously mentioned slicing program, where a variety of settings can be chosen. These settings are expected to affect the properties of the finished object. Of these, three are examined in this paper as presented in the following.

### 2.1.1 Filling raster directions
Raster direction means, the orientation of the extruder head when filling the printing material within the contour of the printed part. The angle of the raster directions of the test piece with the longitudinal axis can be adjusted to any value. Some examples can be seen in Fig. 1.

In addition, successive layers may have different orientations. So, there is a possibility of variation, e.g. if the raster direction angle of the first layer is 45° the second could be built at −45°. Hence, the raster of the consecutive layers is cross, and the angle between every two layers is 90°.

### 2.1.2 Test orientation
Mechanical properties can also be influenced by the spatial location and orientation of the body. The three main orientations (X, Y, and Z) are shown in Fig. 2. In the Y orientation printing, the support material is required, since the essential section of the sample is the part that requires the support.

### 2.1.3 Filling factor and pattern
Another option is to select the fill factor. This reduces the print time and the amount of raw material used. Filling percentage may range from 0 to 100 %. An example of bodies printed with different fill factors can be found in Fig. 3.
The other parameter is the fill pattern. Fig. 4 shows patterns that can be set in the slicing program. For complete filling, the body is built up according to the previously mentioned fill factor. The higher the percentage, the denser the fill pattern.

### 2.2 Preparation for tensile measurement

The tensile test specimens were modelled according to ISO 527-2: 2012 standard [14] type 1B specimens, which its dimensions are listed in Table 1.

For the tensile strength measurement, Zwick/Roell Z100 machine was used. The experiments have been carried out at a speed of 10 mm/min and 20 °C. The specimens were in a room with humidity of 45–50 % for 24 hours prior to measurement. Humidity has a significant influence on the measured values [15], so it was important that all the test pieces had the same moisture content to match the results.

### 2.3 Test pieces printing

The FDM 3D printer type Bq Witbox 2 has been employed to print the test pieces utilizing PLA and HT-PLA filaments. HT-PLA means (High Tensile/High Temperature PLA, i.e. high strength and heat-resistant PLA) [16]. An identical number of (three) specimens of each material were printed with 12 different settings, i.e. for each individual print setting, three similar samples have been investigated, the total is 72 pieces.

Fig. 5 shows the printed specimens for mechanical measurement. HT-PLA test pieces were heat-treated, as recommended by the manufacturer. In a pre-heating oven at 80–100 °C, the specimens were heat-treated for 20 minutes and left to cool down slowly. As a result, the polymer structure is arranged in a crystal lattice.

Simplify3D slicing software was used for setting the different parameters of the 3D printing process. The three main examined parameters were; the raster direction angles, print orientation and fill factor. Six raster direction angles have been tested, which are 0°, 45°, 90°, crossed 0°/45°, crossed +45°/−45°, and crossed 0°/90°. Three print orientations were evaluated, which can be X, Y, or Z. Additionally, the evaluated fill factor are 50 % X, 50 % Y, and 50 % Z. When printing various raster directions, the print orientation is X. While for the varying of print orientation, the raster direction set as +45°/−45°. For specimens printed with a 50 % fill factor, the inner fill

### Table 1 Specimen dimensions type 1B, ISO 527-2: 2012 standard [14]

| Main dimensions          | Value (mm) |
|--------------------------|------------|
| Overall length           | 150        |
| Gauge length             | 50         |
| Cross section            |            |
| Width (b)                | 10         |
| Thickness (h)            | 4          |
| Width of the gripping part | 20        |

Fig. 3 Various filling percentage of 3D printed samples

Fig. 4 Fill patterns available in the slicing program: (a) straight, (b) grid, (c) full honeycomb, (d) partial honeycomb, (e) wave, and (f) triangle

Fig. 5 Specimens (top line: HT-PLA, bottom line: PLA)
pattern was chosen as a honeycomb structure. The thickness of layers in all specimens is 0.2 mm. Table 2 summarizes the examined parameters.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Print precision

Tables 3 and 4 show the print accuracy of the specimens’ cross section dimensions, the width ($b$) and the thickness ($h$) with each print setting. The apparent values are the average of measured dimensions. The standard deviation ($S$) has been calculated as well.

The biggest difference in PLA width is in the case of the test specimen $Y$, 0.22 mm. The smallest, 50 % fill factor for $X$ orientation and for the specimen printed in $Z$ orientation is 0.05 mm. Thickness is the largest with a 90° raster, 0.22 mm. Whereas the typical size was measured in the 0° and $Y$ orientation printed specimens.

For HT-PLA polymer, the 0° raster specimen has the largest width deviation of 0.28 mm relative to the ideal size. The smallest is in the 90° raster, 0.05 mm. Compared to the typical thickness, the maximum deviation was measured in the $Z$ orientation of 0.25 mm, while the minimum which its value is 0.03 mm observed at 50 % fill factor in $Y$ orientation.

3.2 Strength analysis of 3D printed PLA specimens

It can be seen in Fig. 6 that most of the tensile curves of PLA specimens are those of brittle plastics, except the specimens at 45°, 0°/45°, 45°/−45°, 0°/90° raster direction which appear as tough plastics with a typical yield
limit and not followed by a hardening phase. It can be observed that at the tensile curves of 50 % $X$, 50 % $Y$, and 50 % $Z$ orientations, the linear elastic deformation and viscoelastic sections have the same slope.

Fig. 7 shows the tensile strength of PLA printed with different raster directions. The smallest value was measured at a 0° raster printed specimen, averaging 48.7 MPa and standard deviation of 1.47 MPa. The highest at 0°/45°, averaging 58.4 MPa and 0.40 MPa deviation. That means the difference between the largest and the smallest is 17 %. Of the non-crossed raster direction specimens (0°, 45°, and 90°), the tensile strength of the 45° specimens proved to be the highest, while 0° and 90° showed almost the same strength properties. This is interesting because it was expected that the perpendicular raster direction has worse properties than the parallel to the direction of the longitudinal axis. Fig. 8 presents the broken specimens, and how the specimens printed with different raster directions are torn.

Fig. 9 shows the tensile strength of PLA printed with various spatial orientations and filling factor. The difference between the tensile strength of test pieces 100 % filled and 50 % honeycomb grid filled is noticeable. It is almost half of the 100 % fill factor for $X$ and $Y$ orientations, while in the $Z$ orientation it is roughly a quarter. This indicates that the weakness of the body printed in $Z$ orientation is more significant than the other two orientations. The $X$-orientated test specimens are the highest among their sets, 100 % filled is 56.5 MPa with a deviation of 1.06 MPa, while the 50 % fill factor is 26.9 MPa and 0.11 MPa deviation. This is followed by $Y$ orientation which its tensile strengths and deviations of 49.1 MPa, 0.31 MPa and 25 MPa, 0.09 MPa for the 100 % and 50 % fill factor respectively. Finally, the mechanical properties of the specimens printed in $Z$ orientation are the weakest. The 100 % fill factor averaging 35.6 MPa with 2.51 MPa deviation, while the 50 % honeycomb grid filling is 9.5 MPa and deviation of 0.10 MPa.

The difference between the maximum and minimum PLA tensile strength of the investigated print orientations with 100 % filled is 37 %, whereas 35 % for the specimens with the 50 % fill factor. Based on the above results, orientation should be appropriately chosen when printing a body, due to the direction of the stresses on the body will affect the mechanical properties. In the current case, the $X$ and $Y$ orientations reached the highest tensile strength because the fiber direction was parallel to the pull direction. Fig. 10 shows the broken specimens after the tensile test.

### 3.3 Strength analysis of printed HT-PLA specimens

The tensile curves of HT-PLA specimens shown in Fig. 11 are of the shape of the brittle plastics. In contrast to PLA polymer, the yield limit has entirely disappeared. It can
also be observed that the slope of the initial linear section of 50% X, 50% Y and 50% Z is different from other printed test specimens.

Fig. 12 shows the average tensile strength of HT-PLA printed with different raster directions. The results do not appear better mechanical properties compared to the PLA polymer. Except at 45°/−45° raster direction, the tensile strength was measured with a higher value of 59.7 MPa and deviation of 3.36 MPa. Furthermore, the deviations are almost more significant than the PLA. For instance, its value at the 45° raster direction is 9.96 MPa, which is exceptionally high. In the case of non-crossed raster direction specimens (0°, 45°, and 90°), the highest tensile strength is 47.5 MPa with 1.49 MPa deviation at 0°. Whereas the minimum was observed at 90° with a value of 33 MPa and 4.91 MPa deviation.

The difference between the largest and the smallest measured values of HT-PLA printed at various raster directions is 45%, while PLA was only 17%.

Fig. 13 exhibits the broken specimens. For specimens printed with 45° and 90° raster, the direction of fracture is the same as the raster direction.

Fig. 14 presents the average tensile strength values of HT-PLA specimens printed with different spatial orientations and fill factors. The tendency is the same as that of PLA, i.e. the mean value in X orientation is the highest as 59.7 MPa with a standard deviation of 3.36 MPa. This is followed by Y orientation with strength and deviation values of 49.7 MPa and 4.74 MPa consecutively. Finally, the Z orientation offered the lowest strength of 10.1 MPa and 3.04 MPa deviation. Regarding, the specimens printed with 50% fill factor and a honeycomb grid, the tensile strength for all three orientations was approximately halved. The Y orientation reached the highest value by 25.5 MPa and 0.14 MPa deviation. This is
followed by orientation $X$ with 23.5 MPa and deviation of 1.53 MPa. Lastly, the lowest tensile strength was measured in the $Z$ orientation averaging 4.1 MPa with a deviation of 0.86 MPa.

For the orientations examined, both the 100 % and the 50 % filling specimens showed a difference between the maximum and minimum tensile strength values of 83 %. This emphasizes that the print orientation significantly influences the tensile strength of the printed object. The fractured specimens are shown in Fig. 15.

3.4 Compare results
3.4.1 Tensile curves
Characteristic results of tensile curves of $45^\circ/-45^\circ$ raster direction specimens are displayed in Fig. 16, to compare the differences between the investigated materials. HT-PLA is a brittle plastic with no yield limit. The PLA is also a brittle plastic, but it has a yield limit at this print setting (orientation and raster direction). None of the materials has a hardening section. The elongation at break is the highest in PLA with a value of nearly 3.4 %, while in the HT-PLA is 2.8 %.

3.4.2 Raster directions
Fig. 17 shows the average tensile strength of the examined materials at different raster directions. As mentioned earlier, the HT-PLA polymer did not attain the highest tensile strength except in the $45^\circ/-45^\circ$ raster direction specimen.
The PLA elongation at break is on an average 10–20 % higher, as seen in Fig. 18.

3.4.3 Spatial orientations and fill factor

Fig. 19 presents the average tensile strength of the two tested materials at diverse spatial orientations. The X-orientation specimens achieved the highest tensile strength, including HT-PLA, with an average value of nearly 60 MPa. This is followed by Y orientation, where both materials are about 50 MPa. Finally, the minimum tensile strengths were measured at the Z orientation. In the case of PLA, the tensile strength of the Z orientated specimen is significantly higher than the other material. The 50 % filling test specimens have a tensile strength of almost half that of 100 % except for the Z orientation, where the PLA polymer is larger than the mentioned difference as compared to the 100 % fill. The average values of elongation at break are demonstrated in Fig. 20. The PLA offers the highest values.

4 Conclusion

In this paper, the mechanical properties of the FDM 3D printing technology have been examined. Two different materials, PLA and HT-PLA plastics were investigated. More than 70 tensile test specimens were printed with different settings and measured their values of tensile strength and elongation at break. Based on the results, the following points can be concluded:

• Orientations and raster directions have a significant effect on the tensile strength and elongation values of the printed bodies.

• The fill factor of 50 % revealed weakness regarding the tensile strength, since the strength values are almost halved in opposite with 100 %. Whereas concerning elongation, both filling factors (50 % and 100 %) are approaching to be the same.

• Among the tested materials, the highest tensile strength was measured at HT-PLA, while the PLA demonstrated the most substantial elongation. Both cases at 45°/−45° raster direction, X orientation and 100 % fill factor.

• The results show that FDM 3D printing technology has really anisotropic properties, it can be seen in the tests carried out.
Further research would explore the effect of altering other parameters, or the mechanical properties of bodies printed with other technologies.
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