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1. Background
Hyperbilirubinemia is a common problem in newborns and one of the most important problems in Asian newborns. Yellow discoloreation of sclera, skin and mucous membrane is the sign of hyperbilirubinemia. The yellow color results from the accumulation of unconjugated, nonpolar, lipid-soluble bilirubin pigment in the skin. Jaundice is observed during the first week of life in approximately 60% of term infants and 80% of preterm infants. As bilirubin is soluble in lipid, it could pass across the blood brain barrier and distribute in the brain (1).

Indirect bilirubin, the main cause of neonatal jaundice is neurotoxic for underdevelopment neural system, especially when the indirect bilirubin concentration exceeds the albumin binding capacity. Unconjugated bilirubin binds the phospholipids of neuronal plasma membranes as well as the phospholipids of subcellular organelles membrane, which leads to cell oxygen deprivation, energy metabolism impairment, and cell death. The phenomenon of deposited bilirubin in basal ganglia as well as in the vestibulo-cochlear nucleus causes a neurological syndrome called kernicterus as well as sensorineural hearing loss. Kernicterus continues to be reported worldwide in otherwise healthy term infants (2-5).

Unconjugated bilirubin level more than 25 mg/dL affects the intelligence quotient (IQ) of infants (1) and leads to lower scores on achievement and verbal IQ.
tests. The most common causes of pathologic indirect hyperbilirubinemia are as follows:

1) Increased bilirubin production due to hemolytic diseases which includes immune-mediated hemolysis (ABO or Rh incompatibility), inherited red cell membrane defects (spherocytosis), erythrocyte enzymatic defects (glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency), and sepsis.

2) Decreased clearance (Crigler-Najjar and Gilbert’s syndromes).

3) Increased enterohepatic circulation such as breast feeding jaundice (6). The calculated prevalence of Gilbert’s syndrome was 19.1% in a study in Iran (7).

Structural changes to facilitate a system-based approach should include predischarged bilirubin management, follow-up bilirubin management, lactational support, and nutritional management (8). Hyperbilirubinemia at neonatal period is one of the major deteriorating factors of the auditory system; if left untreated, it leads to cerebral damage (9).

Early detection of hearing loss and treatment in primary stages is important. Even a mild hearing loss can cause significant speech disorder and hold up development of communication skills (10). Currently, the most sensitive means of assessing neurotoxicity may be auditory brain stem evoked response (ABR), which shows the predictable early effects of bilirubin toxicity (5).

In ABR, diagnosis is based on the evaluation of latencies of waves I, III and V and inter-peak-interval of waves I-III, I-V and III-V. ABR is the most sensitive test for detecting retrocochlear hearing loss (11). This test needs the patient remain silent during the trial. In this test, electrodes attached to the scalp and mastoid processes cause electrical response by audio stimulation (12).

ABR evaluates the important waves of the auditory pathways which include: wave I, related to the primary part of the auditory nerve, near the cochlea; wave II, related to the intracranial part of the eighth nerves near the brain stem and cochlear nucleus and pons; wave III, related to the superior olivary complex; wave IV, related to the middle and superior parts of pons; wave V, related to the lateral lemniscus above the pons and inferior lemniscus of the midbrain (11). Physical characteristics of ABR vary greatly with age, reflecting the evolution of cochlear and neural pathways. In human, beginning the waves of brain stem response is at the third trimester of pregnancy and the earliest possible time of recording these waves is in premature infants with a gestational age of 25 weeks (± 6) (12, 13).

2. Objectives

As jaundice is one of the most important risk factors for newborns’ hearing loss and national neonatal hearing screening in not yet established in Iran, the aim of this study was evaluation of ABR in healthy newborns without hyperbilirubinemia, comparing it with ABR in healthy newborns without hyperbilirubinemia and giving emphasize to performing hearing screening in newborns with hyperbilirubinemia.

3. Patients and Methods

This case-control study included 97 newborns hospitalized in a university educational general hospital (22 Bahaman), from 2011 to 2012, in a north-eastern city of Iran, Mashhad. The hospital is related to the Medical Faculty of Islamic Azad University, Mashhad Branch. The sample size by easy sampling was calculated based on the following formula.

\[
Z = 1.5, \ P = 0.5, \ e = 10\%
\]

The inclusion criteria were: healthy term newborns (more than 37 weeks old) and near term newborn (35-37 weeks old), healthy newborns with pathologic hyperbilirubinemia with serum bilirubin value of ≥ 7 mg/dL at the first day of life, ≥ 10 mg/dL at the second day of life, ≥ 14 mg/dL at the third day of life, and ≥ 18 mg/dL at over 72 hours of life. These selections were based on the medium risk curve for hyperbilirubinemia in Bhutan guideline (14).

The exclusion criteria included reports of one or more of the following: any disease, causing sensorineural hearing loss (intratricerine infection, sepsis, meningitis), family history of sensorineural hearing loss, use of auto-toxic medications within the preceding five days, convulsion, congenital craniofacial anomalies, birth trauma (intracranial hemorrhage), preterm newborns (less than 35 weeks old), birth weight less than 1500 g, asphyxia (Apgar scores less than 7 during the first five minutes), mechanical ventilations for five days or more.

A data collection form was designed for the study. Mothers and newborns were admitted to the study after screening. A total of 52 newborns with hyperbilirubinemia met the enrolment criteria as case group, one newborn with exchange transfusion became ill and was excluded and three parents refused ABR. Therefore, four newborns in the case group were excluded from the study; 9 of the 58 newborns in the control group were excluded because their parents refused ABR.

Totally, 97 newborns (194 ears) were enrolled in the study. The case group included 48 healthy newborns with pathologic hyperbilirubinemia and the control group included 49 newborns without pathologic hyperbilirubinemia. Phototherapy was applied continuously for the case group and discontinued at serum bilirubin value of 11 mg/dL. ABR was performed on both groups at the time of discharge from the hospital. All changes of ABR were analyzed by a neurologist. The recording machine for ABR was Toennies (Germany).
The sweep velocity was 10 millisecond. Click acoustic stimuli with a click rate of 10/second alternating in polarity were presented by an earphone to each ear alternately at an intensity of 70 dB hearing level. The electrical activities were filtered and averaged to 2000 responses.

The major variable factors were:
1) Mean latency time of waves I, III and V.
2) Inter peak interval of waves I-III, I-V and III-V.
3) Absence of waves.

Variables like gender, birth weight and Apgar score in one and five minutes, maternal age, number and type of delivery, and other variables were considered as well.

3.1. Ethical Considerations

This study was conducted according to the guidelines of Helsinki, the Guidelines for the Ethical Conduct of Medical Research Involving Children, revised by the Royal College of Pediatrics and Child Health: Ethics Advisory Committee. We considered the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) guidelines as well. The study was approved by the Institutions' Ethical Committee. There was no moral Inconsideration about the study method. Therefore, all the cooperators and parents were explained about the mentioned method. We received written informed consent from all the parents in 2011.

Based on normal distribution (K-S normality test result), descriptive statistical analyses such as central tendency and distribution parameters and analytical statistics tests such as independent sample t-test, chi square, and Fisher's exact test were performed for description and analysis of the obtained data. The data were analyzed with P Value < 0.05 through SPSS 18 software.

4. Results

Of 97 newborns who met the enrolment criteria and participated in the study, 48 (49.4%) were male and 49 (50.5%) were female. The case group included 48 newborns (27 males and 21 females) and there were 49 newborns (21 males and 28 females) in the control group.

There was no significant statistical difference regarding gender, maternal age, number and type of delivery, birth weight, and Apgar score in one and five minutes between the two groups. In the case group, weight of 58.3% of the infants was more than 2500 g and 41.7% were 1500 - 2500 g. Furthermore, in the case group, 72.9% of newborns were term and 27.1% were near term.

The mean age of newborns was 11.5 ± 3.92 days. The mean age of newborns in the case group was significantly higher in left ears of the case group (6.44 ± 0.39 vs. 5.71 ± 0.28 milliseconds, respectively) (P < 0.001). The mean latencies time of wave V was significantly higher in right ears of the control group (6.36 ± 0.37 vs. 5.60 ± 0.25 milliseconds, respectively, Figure 1) (P < 0.001). The latencies time of wave III in right ears of the control group was 3.89 ± 0.27 milliseconds (P < 0.001). The mean latencies time of wave III was significantly higher in left ears of the case group than that of the control group (6.36 ± 0.25 vs. 5.60 ± 0.2 milliseconds, respectively) (P < 0.001). The mean inter-peak-intervals (IPI) of waves I-V of ABR in right ears of the case group was 4.20 ± 0.19 and in control group it was 3.83 ± 0.28 milliseconds (Figure 2) (P < 0.001), and the IPI of waves I-V in left ears of the case group was 4.18 ± 0.37 and in control group it was 3.81 ± 0.28 milliseconds (P < 0.001). The IPI of waves I-III in right ears of the case group (2.13 ± 0.19) was significantly higher than that of the control group (1.95 ± 0.27 milliseconds) (Figure 3) (P < 0.001). The IPI of waves I-III in left ear of the case group (2.16 ± 0.26 vs. 1.77 ± 0.15 milliseconds, respectively, Figure 4) (P < 0.001). The mean latencies time of wave I of ABR was significantly higher in left ears of the case group than that of the control group (2.16 ± 0.26 vs. 1.77 ± 0.15 milliseconds, respectively, Figure 1) (P < 0.001). The mean latencies time of wave I of ABR was significantly higher in right ears of the case group than that of the control group (2.21 ± 0.31 vs. 1.89 ± 0.18 milliseconds, respectively) (P < 0.001).

The latencies and inter-peak intervals of different waves in cases and control groups (both right and left ears separately) are shown in Table 2. The mean latencies time of wave I of ABR was significantly higher in right ears of the case group than that of the control group (2.16 ± 0.26 vs. 1.77 ± 0.15 milliseconds, respectively, Figure 1) (P < 0.001). The mean latencies time of wave I of ABR was significantly higher in left ears of the case group than that of the control group (2.21 ± 0.31 vs. 1.89 ± 0.18 milliseconds, respectively) (P < 0.001). The mean latencies time of wave III in right ears of the case group (4.29 ± 0.34) was significantly higher than that of the control group (3.73 ± 0.27 milliseconds) (P < 0.001). The mean latencies time of wave III in left ears of the case group (4.36 ± 0.34) was significantly higher than that of the control group (3.79 ± 0.27 milliseconds, Figure 2) (P < 0.001). The mean latencies time of wave V was significantly higher in right ears of the case group than that of the control group (6.36 ± 0.37 vs. 5.60 ± 0.25 milliseconds, respectively, Figure 1) (P < 0.001). The mean latencies time of wave V was significantly higher in left ears of the case group than that of the control group (6.44 ± 0.39 vs. 5.71 ± 0.28 milliseconds, respectively) (P < 0.001). The mean inter-peak-intervals (IPI) of waves I-V of ABR in right ears of the case group was 4.20 ± 0.19 and in control group it was 3.83 ± 0.28 milliseconds (Figure 4) (P < 0.001), and the IPI of waves I-V in left ears of the case group was 4.18 ± 0.37 and in control group it was 3.81 ± 0.28 milliseconds (P < 0.001). The IPI of waves I-III in right ears of the case group (2.13 ± 0.19) was significantly higher than that of the control group (1.95 ± 0.27 milliseconds) (Figure 3) (P < 0.001). The IPI of waves I-III in left ear of the case group (2.16 ± 0.26) was significantly higher than that of the control group (1.95 ± 0.27 milliseconds) (P < 0.001). The IPI of waves I-V in right ears of the case group was 2.07 ± 0.17 and in control group it was 1.92 ± 0.33 milliseconds (Figure 6) (P = 0.013).

The sweep velocity was 10 millisecond. Click acoustic stimuli with a click rate of 10/second alternating in polarity were presented by an earphone to each ear alternately at an intensity of 70 dB hearing level. The electrical activities were filtered and averaged to 2000 responses.

The major variable factors were:
1) Mean latency time of waves I, III and V.
2) Inter peak interval of waves I-III, I-V and III-V.
3) Absence of waves.

Variables like gender, birth weight and Apgar score in one and five minutes, maternal age, number and type of delivery, and other variables were considered as well.

3.1. Ethical Considerations

This study was conducted according to the guidelines of Helsinki, the Guidelines for the Ethical Conduct of Medical Research Involving Children, revised by the Royal College of Pediatrics and Child Health: Ethics Advisory Committee. We considered the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) guidelines as well. The study was approved by the Institutions’ Ethical Committee. There was no moral Inconsideration about the study method. Therefore, all the cooperators and parents were explained about the mentioned method. We received written informed consent from all the parents in 2011.

Based on normal distribution (K-S normality test result), descriptive statistical analyses such as central tendency and distribution parameters and analytical statistics tests such as independent sample t-test, chi square, and Fisher’s exact test were performed for description and analysis of the obtained data. The data were analyzed with P Value < 0.05 through SPSS 18 software.

4. Results

Of 97 newborns who met the enrolment criteria and participated in the study, 48 (49.4%) were male and 49 (50.5%) were female. The case group included 48 newborns (27 males and 21 females) and there were 49 newborns (21 males and 28 females) in the control group.

There was no significant statistical difference regarding gender, maternal age, number and type of delivery, birth weight, and Apgar score in one and five minutes between the two groups. In the case group, weight of 58.3% of the infants was more than 2500 g and 41.7% were 1500 - 2500 g. Furthermore, in the case group, 72.9% of newborns were term and 27.1% were near term.

The mean age of newborns was 11.5 ± 3.92 days. The average bilirubin level was 19.5 ± 3.84 and 6.38 ± 0.78 mg/dL before and after the phototherapy, respectively (Table 1).

In evaluation of the risk factors predisposing hyperbilirubinemia, the most common ones were idiopathic or breast feeding in 77%, ABO and Rh incompatibility in 16.7%, and G6PD deficiency in 6.3% of cases.

| Table 1. Average Bilirubin Levels in Case Group |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Average Bilirubin Levels, mg/dL**         | **Mean ± SD** |
| Bilirubin level before phototherapy          | 19.5 ± 3.48   |
| Bilirubin level at the first day of treatment | 3.43 ± 17.48  |
| Bilirubin level at the second day of treatment | 15.17 ± 3.04  |
| Bilirubin level at the third day of treatment | 12.34 ± 2.57  |
| Bilirubin level at the fourth day of treatment | 9.48 ± 1.45   |
| Bilirubin level at the fifth day of treatment | 6.74 ± 0.91   |
| Bilirubin level after stopping the phototherapy | 6.38 ± 0.78   |
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Table 2. Latencies of Various Waves and Inter-Peak Intervals at 70 dB in Case and Control Groups

| Variables | Mean ± SD | P Value |
|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Latency, milliseconds | Right Ear | Left Ear | Right | Left |
| I | | | | |
| Control | 1.77 ± 0.15 | 1.89 ± 0.18 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| Case | 2.16 ± 0.26 | 2.21 ± 0.31 | | |
| III | | | | |
| Control | 3.73 ± 0.27 | 3.79 ± 0.27 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| Case | 4.29 ± 0.34 | 4.36 ± 0.34 | | |
| V | | | | |
| Control | 5.60 ± 0.25 | 5.71 ± 0.28 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| Case | 6.36 ± 0.37 | 6.44 ± 0.39 | | |
| Intervals | | | | |
| I-III | | | | |
| Control | 3.83 ± 0.28 | 3.81 ± 0.28 | < 0.001 | < 0.001 |
| Case | 4.20 ± 0.19 | 4.18 ± 0.37 | | |
| III-V | | | | |
| Control | 1.86 ± 0.34 | 1.92 ± 0.33 | 0.001 | 0.013 |
| Case | 2.07 ± 0.17 | 2.07 ± 0.22 | | |

Figure 2. Comparing the Mean Latencies Time of Wave III Between Left Ears of the Case Group and That of the Control Group

Figure 3. Comparing the Mean Latencies Time of Wave V of Auditory Brain Stem Evoked Response Between Right Ears of Case Group and That of the Control Group

Figure 4. Comparing the Mean Inter-Peak-Intervals of Waves I-V of Auditory Brain Stem Evoked Response Between Right Ears of the Case Group and That of the Control Group
Figure 5. Comparing the Mean Inter-Peak-Intervals of Waves I-III of Auditory Brain Stem Evoked Response Between Right Ears of the Case Group and That of the Control Group

![Graph showing mean inter-peak-intervals comparison](image)

$T = 3.70, df = 95, P < 0.001.$

Figure 6. Comparing the Mean Inter-Peak-Intervals of Waves III-V of Auditory Brain Stem Evoked Response Between Left Ears of the Case Group and That of the Control Group

![Graph showing mean inter-peak-intervals comparison](image)

$T = 2.52, df = 95, P = 0.013.$

According to the results in ABR, the mean latencies of various waves and mean inter-peak intervals were significantly higher in pathological hyperbilirubinemia compared to controls and there was a significant statistical difference between the two groups ($P < 0.001$). Variables like gender, birth weight and Apgar score in one and five minutes, maternal age, number and type of delivery, and other variables were also considered (Table 3).

5. Discussion

ABR has been an effective method of assessing the auditory pathway and brainstem function in newborns and infants, recently recognized as a useful diagnostic tool in newborns (5, 10, 11). In the present study, latencies of all the waves and intervals were significantly prolonged in patients with pathological hyperbilirubinemia compared with healthy controls, which showed affected VIII nerve and brain stem as well.

Neurotoxic effects of bilirubin on brainstem and auditory pathways are a confirmed fact (1, 5). In the study performed by Baradaranfar et al. 35 newborns with bilirubin levels more than 20 mg/dL were studied by ABR. Their results showed a high incidence of autonomic neuropathy/autonomic dysreflexia among hyperbilirubinemic babies and screening in this regard seems reasonable (9).

Saluja et al. strongly suggested that auditory neuropathy spectrum disorder is a common manifestation of acute bilirubin-induced neurotoxicity in late preterm and term infants with severe jaundice (15). In a research by Liang and Xie, 176 ears in 88 newborns with hyperbilirubinemia were studied by ABR. They confirmed the toxicity effects of hyperbilirubinemia on the auditory nervous system. The waves III, V latencies, III-V and I-V interweave intervals of ABR were significantly different and prolonged in comparison with the latencies in the control group. The toxicity was related to the species and number of etiologies. The hyperbilirubinemia caused by G6PD dehydrogenase, infections, ABO incompatibility and hemolytic diseases is much more dangerous to the auditory system than breastfeeding jaundice. They reported that damages to the auditory nervous system could be reversible (16).

### Table 3. Variables Like Maternal age, Number of Deliveries, Apgar Score in One Minute, Gender and Birth Weight in Control and Case Groups

| Variables                  | Mean ± SD | P Value |
|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Maternal age               |           |         |
| Control                    | 26.06 ± 4.03 | 0.22   |
| Case                       | 25.18 ± 2.94 |        |
| Number of deliveries       |           | 0.09    |
| Control                    | 1.87 ± 0.91 |         |
| Case                       | 2.22 ± 1.1 |         |
| Apgar score in 1 min       |           | 0.12    |
| Control                    | 8.55 ± 0.5 |         |
| Case                       | 8.39 ± 0.49 |        |
| Gender                     |           |         |
| Female, %                  |           |         |
| Control                    | 57.1      |         |
| Case                       | 43.8      |         |
| Male, %                    |           | 0.132   |
| Control                    | 42.9      |         |
| Case                       | 56.2      |         |
| Birth weight               |           |         |
| Normal (> 2500 g), %       |           |         |
| Case                       | 59.2      | 0.932   |
| Control                    | 58.3      |         |
| 1500-2500 g, %             |           |         |
| Case                       | 40.8      |         |
| Control                    | 41.7      |         |
In Sharma et al. study on 30 icteric newborns, the mean latency of waves and interwave intervals on the BAR records were prolonged in icteric babies compared to the control group, suggesting early bilirubin encephalopathy (17). Ahlfors and Parker concluded that increasing unbound bilirubin concentrations were associated with abnormal automated auditory brainstem response for jaundiced newborns, but not increasing the total bilirubin concentrations (18). A similar study strongly suggested the relationship between bilirubin peaks level and its behavior on neurodevelopment outcomes (19).

In a similar study, all the wave latencies and the I-V interval increased significantly, which were weakly correlated with the total serum bilirubin. Therefore, although the acute ototoxic effect of hyperbilirubinemia tends to be more significant at a higher rather than a lower level of total serum bilirubin, auditory impairment does not increase closely with the increase of bilirubin (20).

In the present study, we reported a high rate of hearing impairment among a high-risk population of newborns with severe or pathologic hyperbilirubinemia. Early diagnosis and treatment of hyperbilirubinemia is highly important for preventing hearing loss and all newborns with pathologic hyperbilirubinemia must be screened. ABR abnormalities may be transient in majority of patients, which significantly depends on the level of serum bilirubin and the duration of jaundice. In a similar study, the toxic effects of hyperbilirubinemia on the auditory brainstem pathway and neurodevelopmental status was transient (21).

The higher the bilirubin concentration, the higher the risk of impairments to the auditory system; but, most of the impairments are reversible (22). Before performing the hearing screening program in developed countries, only high-risk infants were evaluated for hearing screening, but over half of the cases were not diagnosed. While there is no establishment of a national screening program in Iran, according to the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) recommendation, performing hearing screening in high-risk newborns is necessary, including newborns with maternal illness during pregnancy, positive family history, intrauterine infections such as TORCH syndrome, craniofacial malformations, birth weight less than 1500 g, prematurity, oxygen deprivation, respiratory problems, Apgar scores 0-4, 6-0 in the first minute and 0-6 in the fifth minute, mechanical ventilation for five days or more, bacterial meningitis, treatment with autotoxin drugs, and severe hyperbilirubinemia. These infants may be normal in the initial screening, but need to continue periodic reviews, since delay in the development of hearing loss is discovered after six months to three years, and then stabilized screenings at appropriate intervals should be performed. As a result ABR is a useful, noninvasive, cost-effective and radiation-free tool to detect neurodevelopment delays secondary to hyperbilirubinemia. Therefore, as national neonatal hearing screening in not yet established in Iran, we recommend performing ABR for screening of bilirubin neurotoxicity in pathological hyperbilirubinemia and in all other cases of AAP recommendations as high risk newborns. Hearing screening may be normal in the initial evaluation and also toxic effects of hyperbilirubinemia may be transient; therefore, periodic reviews should be continued.
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