LINC01094 Predicts Poor Prognosis in Patients With Gastric Cancer and is Correlated With EMT and Macrophage Infiltration
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Abstract
Objectives: The novel long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) LINC01094 is often upregulated in renal cell carcinoma and glioma; however, its role in gastric cancer remains unclear. Here, we aim to demonstrate the relationship between LINC01094 and gastric cancer.
Method: The gene expression (RNASeq) data of 375 patients with localized, locally advanced, and metastatic gastric cancer were extracted from The Cancer Genome Atlas. The Kruskal–Wallis test, Wilcoxon signed-rank test, and logistic regression were used to analyze the relationship between the clinicopathological characteristics and LINC01094 expression. Cox regression analysis and the Kaplan–Meier method were used to assess prognostic factors of gastric cancer. A nomogram based on Cox multivariate analysis was used to predict the impact of LINC01094 on gastric cancer prognosis. Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was used to identify key LINC01094-associated signaling pathways. Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) was performed to detect the location of LINC01094 in the tissue, and a competing endogenous (ce)RNA network was constructed to identify LINC01094-related genes. Spearman’s rank correlation was used to elucidate the association between LINC01094 expression level and immune cell infiltration level.
Result: LINC01094 expression was upregulated in gastric cancer tissues and strongly associated with overall survival using univariate Cox regression (hazard ratio [HR] = 1.476, 95% CI = 1.060-2.054, P = .021) and multivariate Cox regression analysis (HR = 1.535, 95% CI = 1.021-2.308, P = .039). The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve of LINC01094 was 0.910. GSEA showed a strong relationship between LINC01094 and the epithelial-mesenchymal transition pathway. RNA-FISH demonstrated that LINC01094 localized in the cytoplasm. It was closely related to the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) marker SNAI2, according to ceRNA (R = 0.61, P < .001), and macrophage-related gene FGFR2A. Macrophages were also significantly positively correlated with LINC01094 expression (R = 0.747, P < .001).
Conclusion: High LINC01094 expression predicts poor prognosis in gastric cancer and is correlated with the epithelial-mesenchymal transition pathway and macrophage infiltration.
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Introduction
Gastric cancer (GC) is the fifth most common cancer globally and is responsible for 8.2% of all deaths associated with cancer, thereby making it the third leading cause of cancer death worldwide.1 Even though the five-year relative survival rate increased to 32% during 2010 to 2016,2 the prognosis remains poor and treatment options of GC patients are limited. Abnormal expression of genes, such as CDH1, APC, BRCA2, MLH1, and IRF6, is closely associated with tumorigenesis and prognosis of patients with GC.3–8 Currently, the clinical values of the most reported prognostic markers are limited and there is a need for more accurate prognostic markers.

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are non-coding RNAs longer than 200 nucleotides.9 Recent integrative genomic studies have shown that lncRNAs play a key role in the development of cancer.10–13 Although a small number of lncRNAs have been extensively studied, myriad members of this class remain functionally uncharacterized. Increasing evidence suggests that lncRNAs may mediate oncogenic or tumor-suppressing effects and can become new targets for cancer treatment.12,14

The novel long intergenic nonprotein coding RNA LINC01094, which affiliates with the lncRNA class located on chromosome 4, is upregulated in clear cell renal cell carcinoma and glioma.15,16 Overexpression of LINC01094 closely correlates with the progression of cancer and radioresistance in cancer treatment.17 However, there has been limited research on the association between LINC01094 and cancer, including GC.

In this study, we explored the correlation between LINC01094 and GC. The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database was used to analyze the association between LINC01094 expression, clinicopathological features, and tumor-infiltrating immune cells. Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA), protein–protein interaction (PPI), and competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) network analysis were used to reveal the possible molecular functions of LINC01094 in GC. Our study revealed the potential roles of LINC01094 in GC prognosis and tumor immunology, which may facilitate the understanding of the mechanisms of gastric carcinogenesis.

Materials and Methods

Sample and Data Sets
TCGA and GTEx RNAseq data were downloaded in TPM format from the UCSC XENA database (http://xenabrowser.net/datapages/) and uniformly processed with the Toil project.19 The gene expression data (HTSeq-FPKM and HTSeq-counts) with clinical information from the STAD project were retrospectively collected from TCGA database (https://cancergenome.nih.gov/),19 and level 3 HTSeq-FPKM data were converted into TPM for subsequent analyses. Unavailable or unknown clinical features were considered as missing values. A curated set of clinical parameters was obtained from the TCGA Pan-Cancer Clinical Data Resource (TCGA-CDR).20

Identification of Differentially Expressed Genes and Gene Function Analysis
According to the LINC01094 expression data (HTSeq-counts) from STAD, patients were divided into high- and low-expression groups. The median was used as a cutoff value for classification, and differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were analyzed using the DESeq2 package21 on HTSeq-counts data. |logFC| > 1.5 and padj < 0.05 were considered threshold values for DEGs.

Gene ontology (GO) functional analyses, including Cellular Component (CC), Molecular Function (MF), Biological Processes (BP), and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analyses, were performed on DEGs using the clusterProfiler package22 in R (version 3.6.2) with P values adjusted by the Benjamini and Hochberg method.

Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA)
In the present study, GSEA was performed using the clusterProfiler package in R to elucidate the significant function and pathway differences between high- and low-expression LINC01094 groups.23 The expression level of LINC01094 was used as a phenotype label. The number of gene set
permutations was 1000 for each analysis. The pathway enrichment was analyzed based on \(|\text{NES}| > 1\), \(p\text{-adj} < 0.05\), and \(\text{FDR} < 0.25\).

The Immune Infiltration Analysis by ssGSEA

Data on the marker gene of 24 immune cells were obtained from an immunity study, and the infiltration of 24 immune cells within the GC sample from TCGA was analyzed by single-sample GSEA (ssGSEA) using the GSVA package in R. Moreover, the correlations between LINC01094 and these 24 cell types were analyzed using Spearman’s rank correlation.

Protein–Protein Interaction Analysis

The Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (http://string-db.org, version 11.0) online database was used to predict the PPI network of co-regulated hub genes and to analyze the functional interactions between proteins. An interaction with a combined score of \(>0.7\) was considered statistically significant. To identify crucial subnetworks, data on the hub genes in the PPI network were extracted using MCODE, and the Cytoscape software (version 3.7.2) was used to visualize the PPI network. Functional enrichment analysis was performed using Metascape (http://metascape.org/).

RNA-FISH

To further explore the underlying mechanisms of LINC01094-mediated effects, fluorescence in-situ hybridization (FISH) was performed to detect the location of lincRNA in tissue. The human gastric adenocarcinoma tissue microarrays, purchased from Outdu Biotech Company (Shanghai, China), contained 15 gastric adenocarcinoma tissues and paired normal gastric tissues. The RNA-FISH assay was carried out according to previous studies. The RNA probe was 5'-TGCACAACCTGTGTGGTAAGTCTGAAGATCCCCTG-3'.

cRNA

The LINC01094-associated ceRNA network was constructed based on the “ceRNA hypothesis.” The StarBase database was used to predict the miRNA-lincRNA regulatory relationships. The mRNA target genes from the predicted miRNA were selected from multiple microRNA-target databases, including miRecords, miRTarBase, and TarBase, using the multiMIR package in R. Of the selected mRNAs, those intersecting with the DEGs were used to construct the ceRNA in cytoscape software. Gene enrichment analysis was performed with Metascape (http://metascape.org/).

The correlation between LINC01094 and the associated genes were analyzed using Spearman’s rank correlation performed with ggstatsplot package in R. TIMER (http://timer.cistrome.org/) was performed to analyze the gene expression and macrophage using partial Spearman’s correlation. The proportions of immune cell infiltration were calculated with CIBERSORT-ABS, MCPCOUNTER, and EPIC. Statistical Analysis

All statistical data and plots were generated using the R program (version 3.6.2). The Wilcoxon rank-sum test and Wilcoxon signed-rank test were used to analyze the expression of LINC01094 in nonpaired and paired samples, respectively. The Kruskal–Wallis test, Wilcoxon signed-rank test, and logistic regression were used to evaluate the relationship between clinical variables and the expression of LINC01094. Cox regression analysis and the Kaplan–Meier method were used to evaluate prognostic factors. Multiple Cox analysis was used to compare the expression of LINC01094 with other clinical traits. All tests were two tailed, and \(P < .05\) was considered statistically significant. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis for binary assessment was performed using the pROC package. Multivariate Cox regression analysis was used to determine the optimal model, and a nomogram was constructed to predict the prognosis of GC patients using the rms package in R.

Results

Table 1 shows the clinical information from TCGA of the GC patients whose data were used in this study, including TNM stage, pathologic stage, primary therapy outcome, sex, race, tumor location, and treatment. A total of 241 (64.3%) male patients and 134 (35.7%) female patients were analyzed, including 238 (73.7%) White patients, 74 (22.9%) Asian patients, and 11 (3.4%) patients of other races. The median age of the diagnosed patients was 67 years, and 19 (5%) patients had tumors classified as T1, 80 (21.3%) as T2, 168 (45%) as T3, and 100 (26%) as T4. The number of patients without lymph node involvement (N0) was 111 (31.1%), with N1 was 97 (27.2%), with N2 was 75 (21.0%), and with N3 was 74 (20.7%). In 330 patients, no metastatic disease was present (M0) (93.0%), and metastatic cancer was diagnosed in 25 patients (M1: 7.0%). Stage I disease was identified in 53 patients (15.1%), stage II in 111 patients (31.5%), stage III in 150 patients (42.6%), and stage IV in 38 patients (10.8%). Some patients had a history of reflux (18.2%), received antireflux treatment (20.7%), or had Barrett’s esophagus (7.2%). Moreover, the expression level of LINC01094 was highly related to histological type (\(P = .001\)), histologic grade (\(P < .001\)), and anatomic neoplasm subdivision (\(P = .048\)).

As shown in Figure 1A, a significant difference in gene expression between normal and tumor tissues was found in most types of cancer, including GC. LINC01094 expression levels in non-paired and paired tumors were markedly higher than those in normal tissues (Figure 1B to C). To identify the diagnostic value of LINC01094 in patients with GC, ROC curve analysis was performed. The area under the ROC curve
of LINC01094 was 0.910 (95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.797-0.941), suggesting the potential diagnostic role of LINC01094 in GC (Figure 1D). The correlations between LINC01094 expression and major clinicopathological factors, including T stage (T1, T2, and T3 vs T4, \( P = .019 \)), histologic grade (G1 and G2 vs G3, \( P < \))

### Table 1. Association Between LINC01094 and Clinicopathologies Features based on TCGA.

| Characters                     | Level      | Overall | Low expression of LINC01094 | High expression of LINC01094 | \( P \) |
|-------------------------------|------------|---------|----------------------------|------------------------------|--------|
| N T stage (%)                 | T1         | 375     | 188                        | 187                          | .063*  |
|                               | T2         | 80      | 39 (20.7%)                 | 42 (22.9%)                   |        |
|                               | T3         | 168     | 88 (46.8%)                 | 80 (44.7%)                   |        |
|                               | T4         | 100     | 46 (24.5%)                 | 54 (30.2%)                   |        |
| N stage (%)                   | N0         | 111     | 61 (33.5%)                 | 50 (28.6%)                   | .459   |
|                               | N1         | 97      | 43 (23.6%)                 | 54 (30.9%)                   |        |
|                               | N2         | 75      | 40 (22.0%)                 | 35 (20.0%)                   |        |
|                               | N3         | 74      | 38 (20.9%)                 | 36 (20.6%)                   |        |
| M stage (%)                   | M0         | 330     | 162 (42.6%)                | 168 (49.3%)                  | .942   |
|                               | M1         | 25      | 13 (7.4%)                  | 12 (6.7%)                    |        |
| Pathologic stage (%)          | Stage I    | 53      | 34 (19.0%)                 | 19 (11.0%)                   | .171   |
|                               | Stage II   | 111     | 51 (28.5%)                 | 60 (34.7%)                   |        |
|                               | Stage III  | 150     | 74 (41.3%)                 | 76 (43.9%)                   |        |
|                               | Stage IV   | 38      | 20 (11.2%)                 | 18 (10.4%)                   |        |
| Primary therapy outcome (%)   | CR         | 231     | 120 (71.4%)                | 111 (74.5%)                  | .565*  |
|                               | PD         | 65      | 38 (22.6%)                 | 27 (18.1%)                   |        |
|                               | PR         | 4       | 1 (0.6%)                   | 3 (2.0%)                     |        |
|                               | SD         | 17      | 9 (5.4%)                   | 8 (5.4%)                     |        |
| Gender (%)                    | Female     | 134     | 71 (37.8%)                 | 63 (33.7%)                   | .474   |
|                               | Male       | 241     | 117 (62.2%)                | 124 (66.3%)                  |        |
| Race (%)                      | Asian      | 74      | 41 (25.0%)                 | 33 (20.8%)                   | .053*  |
|                               | Black or African American | 11 (3.4%) | 9 (5.5%) | 2 (1.3%) |
| Histological type (%)         | Diffuse type | 63     | 26 (13.8%)                | 37 (19.9%)                   | .001*  |
|                               | Mucinous type | 19     | 6 (3.2%)                  | 13 (7.0%)                    |        |
|                               | Not otherwise specified | 207   | 98 (52.1%)                | 109 (58.6%)                  |        |
|                               | Papillary type | 5     | 5 (2.7%)                  | 0 (0.0%)                     |        |
|                               | Signet ring type | 11   | 6 (3.2%)                  | 5 (2.7%)                     |        |
|                               | Tubular type   | 69     | 47 (25.0%)                | 22 (11.8%)                   |        |
| Histologic grade (%)          | G1         | 10      | 6 (3.3%)                  | 4 (2.2%)                     | <.001* |
|                               | G2         | 137     | 86 (47.3%)                | 51 (27.7%)                   |        |
|                               | G3         | 219     | 90 (49.5%)                | 129 (70.1%)                  |        |
| Anatomic neoplasm subdivision (%) | Antrum/distal | 138   | 68 (37.2%)                | 70 (39.3%)                   | .048   |
|                               | Cardia/proximal | 48    | 25 (13.7%)                | 23 (12.9%)                   |        |
|                               | Fundus/body | 130    | 58 (31.7%)                | 72 (40.4%)                   |        |
|                               | Gastroesophageal Junction | 41    | 29 (15.8%)                | 12 (6.7%)                    |        |
|                               | Other      | 4       | 3 (1.6%)                  | 1 (0.6%)                     | 1      |
| Reflux history (%)            | No         | 175     | 102 (81.6%)                | 73 (82.0%)                   |        |
|                               | Yes        | 39      | 23 (18.4%)                | 16 (18.0%)                   |        |
| Antireflux treatment (%)      | No         | 142     | 77 (77.0%)                | 65 (82.3%)                   | .496   |
|                               | Yes        | 37      | 23 (23.0%)                | 14 (17.7%)                   |        |
| Barretts esophagus (%)        | No         | 193     | 118 (91.5%)               | 75 (94.9%)                   | .418*  |
|                               | Yes        | 15      | 11 (8.5%)                 | 4 (5.1%)                     |        |
| Age (%)                       | \( < = 65 \) | 164     | 84 (45.2%)                | 80 (43.2%)                   | .789   |
|                               | \( > 65 \) | 207     | 102 (54.8%)               | 105 (56.8%)                  |        |
| Age (median [IQR])            | 67.00      | 67.00   | [58.00, 73.00]            | [58.00, 72.00]               | .305b  |

*Fisher exact test.

*Nonnormal distribution.
pathologic stage (stage I and stage II vs stage III and stage IV, \( P = .039 \)), race (Asian and Black or African American vs White, \( P = .009 \)), and histological type (diffuse, mucinous, papillary, and signature ring types vs tubular type, \( P < .001 \)) were determined using logistic regression, as shown in Table 2. High expression level of LINC01094 in GC was significantly associated with more advanced stage (T4 vs T1, T2, and T3, \( P = .044 \); Stage I vs II, III, or IV; \( P < .05; \) G1 and G2 vs G3, \( P < .001 \)) (Figure 2A to C). This indicates that GC with higher expression of LINC01094 is likely to progress to a poorer pathological stage.

Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were performed to investigate whether LINC01094 was an independent predictor of poor survival in GC patients, after excluding

---

**Table 2.** LINC01094 Expression Associated With Clinical Pathological Characteristics (Logistic Regression).

| Characteristics                                                                 | Total (N) | Odds ratio (OR) | \( P \) value |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------|
| T stage (T1 & T2 & T3 vs T4)                                                  | 367       | 0.85 (0.74-0.97) | .019         |
| N stage (N0 vs N1 & N2 & N3)                                                  | 357       | 0.86 (0.73-1.00) | .060         |
| M stage (M0 vs M1)                                                            | 355       | 0.83 (0.69-1.02) | .059         |
| Histologic grade (G1 & G2 vs G3)                                              | 366       | 0.73 (0.62-0.84) | <.001        |
| Pathologic stage (Stage I & Stage II vs Stage III & Stage IV)                 | 352       | 0.86 (0.74-0.99) | .039         |
| Age (\(< 65 vs \geq 65\))                                                    | 371       | 1.00 (0.88-1.12) | .969         |
| Gender (female vs male)                                                       | 375       | 1.07 (0.94-1.20) | .297         |
| Primary therapy outcome (PD & SD & PR vs CR)                                  | 317       | 1.06 (0.89-1.25) | .478         |
| Race (Asian & Black or African American vs White)                             | 323       | 0.78 (0.63-0.93) | .009         |
| Histological type (diffuse type & mucinous type & papillary type & Signet ring type vs tubular type) | 167 | 1.81(1.35-2.53) | <.001 |
| Anatomic neoplasm subdivision (antrum/distal vs fundus/body)                  | 268       | 0.97 (0.84-1.12) | .664         |
| Reflex history (no vs yes)                                                    | 214       | 0.99 (0.75-1.34) | .943         |
| Antireflux treatment (no vs yes)                                               | 179       | 1.17 (0.93-1.55) | .218         |
| Barretts esophagus (no vs yes)                                                 | 208       | 1.34 (0.82-2.53) | .300         |
patients with incomplete data. We included 370 GC patients for Cox regression analysis. Univariate Cox regression analysis revealed that LINC01094 closely correlated with overall survival (OS) (hazard ratio [HR] = 1.535, 95% CI = 1.060-2.054, P = .021) (Figure 2D). Moreover, other clinico-pathological variables, including T stage (HR = 1.719, 95% CI = 1.049-2.837, P = .029) were significantly associated with OS. Table 3 summarizes the results of univariate and multivariate analysis for OS and clinicopathological characteristics in GC patients.

Table 3. Result of OS and Clinicopathologic Characteristics in GC Patients Using Univariate/Multivariate Analysis.

| Characteristics | Total (N) | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|-----------------|-----------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                 |           | HR (95% CI)         | P value              | HR (95% CI)         | P value |
| T stage (T1 & T2 & T3 vs T4) | 362       | 0.582 (0.383-0.884) | .011                 | 0.732 (0.404-1.329) | .305    |
| N stage (N0 vs N1 & N2 & N3) | 352       | 0.519 (0.341-0.791) | .002                 | 0.865 (0.443-1.690) | .672    |
| M stage (M0 vs M1) | 352       | 0.444 (0.255-0.772) | .004                 | 0.868 (0.384-1.962) | .734    |
| Histologic grade (G1 & G2 vs G3) | 361       | 0.739 (0.522-1.045) | .087                 | 0.663 (0.426-1.031) | .068    |
| Pathologic stage (Stage I & Stage II vs Stage III & Stage IV) | 347       | 0.514 (0.358-0.737) | <.001                | 0.788 (0.432-1.437) | .437    |
| Histological type (diffuse type & mucinous type & papillary type & Signet ring type vs tubular type) | 167       | 1.011 (0.613-1.667) | .967                 |                     |         |
| Age (<= 65 vs > 65) | 367       | 0.617 (0.439-0.867) | .005                 | 0.591 (0.391-0.893) | .013    |
| Gender (female vs male) | 370       | 0.789 (0.554-1.232) | .188                 |                     |         |
| Primary therapy outcome (PD & SD & PR vs CR) | 313       | 4.228 (2.905-6.152) | <.001                | 4.313 (2.867-6.489) | <.001   |
| Race (Asian & Black or African American vs White) | 320       | 0.801 (0.515-1.247) | .326                 |                     |         |
| Anatomic neoplasm subdivision (Antrum/distal vs fundus/body) | 267       | 1.037 (0.699-1.536) | .858                 |                     |         |
| Reflux history (no vs yes) | 213       | 1.720 (0.861-3.436) | .125                 |                     |         |
| Antireflux treatment (no vs yes) | 179       | 1.323 (0.739-2.368) | .346                 |                     |         |
| Barretts esophagus (no vs yes) | 207       | 1.121 (0.410-3.069) | .824                 |                     |         |
| LINC01094 (high vs low) | 370       | 1.476 (1.060-2.054) | .021                 | 1.535 (1.021-2.308) | .039    |
CI = 1.131-2.612, P = .011), N stage (HR = 1.925, 95% CI = 1.264-2.931, P = .002), distant metastasis (HR = 2.254, 95% CI = 1.295-3.924, P = .004), pathologic stage (HR = 1.947, 95% CI = 1.358-2.793, P < .001), primary therapy outcome (HR = 0.237, 95% CI = 0.163-0.344, P < .001), and age (HR = 1.620, 95% CI = 1.154-2.276, P = .004) were significantly related to OS. Variables with P < .1 in univariate analysis were included in the multivariate Cox regression analysis; the primary therapy outcome (HR = 0.232, 95% CI = 0.154-0.349, P < .001), age (HR = 1.693, 95% CI = 1.120-2.558, P = .013), and LINC01094 (HR = 1.535, 95% CI = 1.021-2.308, P = .039) were significantly related to OS (Table 3). These results indicate that LINC01094 is an independent indicator for predicting poor OS in GC patients.

As shown in Figure 3, the prognostic value of OS in each subgroup of GC patients was statistically significant in the T1, T2, T3 (HR = 1.496, 95% CI = 1.005-2.225, P = .047), M0 (HR = 1.468, 95% CI = 1.026-2.100, P = .036), complete response (HR = 1.881, 95% CI = 1.094-3.232, P = .022), female (HR = 2.697, 95% CI = 1.450-5.017, P = .002), age > 65 (HR = 1.691, 95% CI = 1.113-2.568, P = .014), and Asian (HR = 4.542, 95% CI = 1.603-12.868, P = .004) subgroups. High expression levels of LINC01094 were associated with poor survival outcomes in early-stage, elderly, female, and Asian patients with GC.

RNA-FISH revealed that the subcellular localization of LINC01094 was in the cytoplasm (Supplemental Figures 1 and 2). To elucidate the role of LINC01094 in GC, RNAseq...
was performed to compare the gene expression in high- and low-LINC01094 expression groups. A total of 389 upregulated and downregulated genes were identified based on padj < 0.05 and |logFC| > 1.5 (Figure 4A). The heat map showed the top 5 up and down co-expression genes (Figure 4B). GO functional enrichment analysis revealed changes in gene sets related to digestion, lipid digestion, triglyceride-rich lipoprotein particle remodeling, intestinal cholesterol absorption, and plasma lipoprotein particle assembly, as shown in Figure 4C. KEGG analysis indicated significant pathways, including cholesterol metabolism (Figure 4D). To investigate the potential mechanisms activated in GC, GSEA of LINC01094 expression profiles was conducted and the EMT pathway was differentially enriched in the high-LINC01094 expression phenotype (Figure 4E).

The results of GO, KEGG, and GSEA suggest that the tumor microenvironment (TME) may play a key role in LINC01094-related functions. An association between the expression level of LINC01094 and the level of immune cell infiltration quantified by ssGSEA in GC TME was further identified using Spearman’s rank correlation. Macrophages were positively correlated with LINC01094 expression significantly with Spearman’s rank analysis up to 0.747 with a P-value less than .001. Other immune cell subsets, including dendritic cells (DCs), immature DCs, activated DCs, Th1 cells, T cells, cytotoxic cells, and Treg cells, were moderately correlated with LINC01094 expression (Figure 5).

A PPI network of LINC01094-associated genes was constructed; the hub genes in the PPI network were extracted using MCODE and found to be involved in various signaling pathways and biological processes, especially the triglyceride-rich lipoprotein particle remodeling and the positive regulation of lipid localization, consistent to the results of GO and KEGG (Figure 6).

Due to the localization of LINC01094 in the cytoplasm, the mediated ceRNA network was constructed. GO enrichment analysis showed that the extracellular structure organization and MHC class II antigen presentation were significantly enriched, and 6 genes, including FCGR2A, PLA2G7, SNAI2, CTSK, VCAN, and ADAM12, were identified based on P-value < .001 and R² > 0.6 using Spearman’s rank correlation (Figure 7). FCGR2A, PLA2G7, SNAI2, CTSK, and VCAN were strongly related to the macrophage infiltration in gastric cancer, and ADAM12 gene expression was moderately correlated with macrophage infiltration (Figure 8).

**Discussion**

Epidemiological studies indicate that Asian countries have a high prevalence of GC. Emerging evidence suggests that genetic factors play a key role in tumorigenesis and cancer progression, which contribute to the high risk of mortality and morbidity of gastric cancer. Thanks to advancements in genomic research, several gastric cancer oncogenes, including EGFR,
HER2, and K-ras, have been identified and reported. Nevertheless, there is still a need for novel biomarker classes with high specificity, sensitivity, and efficiency for the diagnosis and prognosis of GC.41

The role of lncRNAs in malignant tumorigenesis and development has attracted the interests of researchers, as these non-coding RNAs are extensively involved in RNA decoy, epigenetic modification, alternative splicing, and transcriptional or posttranscriptional regulation.42–44 lncRNAs regulate cell processes to function as tumor boosters or repressors in a wide range of malignancies, including GC.45–48

In this study, we revealed that the average expression level of LINC01094 in GC tissues was significantly higher than that in corresponding nontumor tissues. The high expression level of LINC01094 in GC patients was positively correlated with T stage, histologic grade, and pathologic stage. Moreover, high LINC01094 expression in GC tissues was associated with a poor prognosis. In the subgroup analysis, there was a correlation between a poor outcome and high LINC01094 levels in Asian, female, and elderly patients in the early stage. These results suggest that LINC01094 may play an important role in GC progression.

Although LINC01094 has been studied in renal cell carcinoma and glioma, its mechanism in GC remains unclear. In this study, bioinformatic analyses were performed to investigate the function of LINC01094. Based on the gene expression level in TCGA, we found that LINC01094 was overexpressed in patients with advanced GC. Meanwhile, GSEA pathway analysis of LINC01094 expression profiles suggested it may regulate multiple pathways to promote cancer progression, among which the EMT pathway might be strongly correlated with cancer initiation and metastasis. The progression of EMT is involved in all stages of cancer progression—initiation, primary tumor growth, invasion, dissemination, and metastasis.49,50 Recent findings have indicated that many lncRNAs demonstrate different functions in different types of EMT and cancer regulation.51–53 Previous studies have shown that knockdown of LINC01094 increased E-cadherin and decreased N-cadherin levels. LINC01094 insufficiency decreases the levels of Snail family proteins in vitro,15 suggesting a strong association between LINC01094 and EMT pathways. Additionally, from the LINC01094-associated ceRNA network, we found that the expression levels of the EMT-related genes SNAI2 and VCAN were highly connected in the ceRNA network. Snail2 is a zinc-finger transcription factor in the Snail family and is widely regarded as a transcription factor for the typical EMT. It plays an essential role in TWIST1-induced EMT and consequently promotes invasion and metastasis.54 VCAN, another EMT-related gene, encodes a large chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan protein that is a major component of the extracellular matrix. Upregulation of VCAN can promote leukemia cell invasion through TGF-β/
Therefore, we suggest that LINC01094 may be involved in the EMT of GC and thus promote tumor invasion and metastasis.

Furthermore, LINC01094 expression was correlated with immune infiltration levels in GC. Our results demonstrated a strong positive relationship between LINC01094 expression and macrophage infiltration levels. Using gene enrichment analysis, LINC01094 was found to be involved in multiple lipid metabolism. Lipid accumulation and metabolism are essential for the differentiation and activation of tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs). TAMs express elevated levels of receptor, accumulate lipids, and use fatty acid oxidation (FAO) for energy instead of glycolysis. TAM infiltration density is associated with recurrence and poor prognosis in various tumors, and it may promote cancer invasion and metastasis through EMT. There are also many studies focusing on the involvement of lncRNAs in tumor development and progression.

On the other hand, FCGR2A, SNAI2, VCAN, CTSK, and PLA2G7, the genes in the ceRNA network most related to LINC01094, displayed a similar relationship with macrophage. FCGR2A encodes one member of a family of immunoglobulin Fc receptors found on the surface of many immune cells, such as macrophages, and its polymorphisms were always associated with poor clinical outcomes in cancer patients. CTSK is highly expressed in most cancer, including gastric cancer, and closely related to the infiltration level of immune cells in TME. PLA2G7 has a similar effect and is associated with aggressive cancer. Collectively, these studies support the notion that LINC01094 is closely related to TAM. However, our study still has many shortcomings. Although the experiments described in the literature have illustrated the close association between LINC01094 and other
types of tumors, we have only analyzed its relationship with gastric cancer from a biochemical perspective here. In the future, we will further explore the relationship between the two and the effects of LINC01094 on EMT and TAM infiltration.

Conclusion
In conclusion, we identified a novel lncRNA, LINC01094, which was upregulated in GC tissue compared to that in the matched normal tissue, and its high expression was associated with GC metastasis and poor OS rates in patients with GC. The EMT pathway and infiltration of macrophages are potential underlying molecular mechanisms.
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