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ABSTRACT

The optical spectrum of the broad-line radio galaxy Arp 102B has been monitored for more than 13 yr to investigate the nature of the source of its broad, double-peaked hydrogen Balmer emission lines. The shape of the lines varied subtly; there was an interval during which the variation in the ratio of the fluxes of the two peaks appeared to be sinusoidal, with a period of 2.16 yr and an amplitude of about 16% of the average value. The variable part of the broad Hα line is well fitted by a model in which a region of excess emission (a quiescent "hot spot") within an accretion disk (fitted to the nonvarying portion of the double-peaked line) completes at least two circular orbits and eventually fades. Fits to spectra from epochs when the hot spot is not present allow determination of the disk inclination, while fits for epochs when it is present provide a measurement of the radius of the hot spot's orbit. From these data and the period of variation, we find that the mass within the hot spot’s orbit is 2.2^{+0.3}_{-0.2} \\times 10^8 M_\odot, within the range of previous estimates of masses of active galactic nuclei. Because this mass is determined at a relatively small distance from the central body, it is extremely difficult to explain without assuming that a supermassive black hole lies within Arp 102B.

Our collection of spectra allows us to apply several tests to models of the source of the double peaks. The ratio of Hα to Hβ flux at a given velocity displays no turning points or points of inflection at the velocity associated with the blue peak in flux; thus, this peak should not correspond to a turning point in physical conditions. This behavior is consistent with simple accretion disk and, possibly, spiral shock models but not with models that attribute the double peaks to separate broad-line regions around a binary black hole or to broad, subrelativistic jets. The lack of systematic change in the velocity of the blue peak over time provides a further constraint on binary broad-line region models; this yields a lower limit on the mass of such a binary black hole system of at least 10^{10} M_\odot. The variability properties of the double-peaked emission lines in Arp 102B therefore continue to favor an accretion disk origin over other models.

Subject headings: accretion, accretion disks — galaxies: active — galaxies: individual (Arp 102B) — galaxies: nuclei — line: profiles

1. INTRODUCTION

Although there is a broad consensus that disk accretion onto a supermassive black hole provides the tremendous power of active galactic nuclei (AGNs), direct evidence for that process has remained elusive. The accretion disks expected from theoretical models are too compact to resolve in even the nearest galaxies, and any optical emission lines that would display the dynamical signature of the disk are evidently too faint to be detected easily, at least in most cases. There does exist, however, a class of AGNs (the "double-peaked emitters") whose spectra exhibit hydrogen Balmer emission lines having two broad peaks (one redshifted and one blueshifted), widely separated from the systemic velocity of their host galaxy (Eracleous & Halpern 1994). In many other cases, such as the H I spectra of spiral galaxies and the optical spectra of cataclysmic variables (Marsh 1988; Young & Schneider 1980), this kind of line profile is the spectroscopic "signature" of gas rotating in a disk. One of the first AGNs in this class to be so identified was Arp 102B, a broad-line radio galaxy at a redshift of 0.02437 (Halpern & Filippenko 1988). Models of either circular or elliptical photoionized accretion disks typically give good fits to the Balmer line profiles of this and other, similar objects. Furthermore, an accretion disk provides the most straightforward interpretation of the absence of double-peaked components in high-ionization broad lines (Halpern et al. 1996).

Alternative models that may produce double-peaked emission lines have been proposed. A binary black hole with broad-line emission peaking around each member (Gaskell 1983), a wide (in opening angle) subrelativistic bipolar outflow (Zheng, Binette, & Sulentic 1990), or emission from spiral shock waves within a disk (Chakrabarti & Wiita 1994) may all produce spectra with multiple peaks displaced from the systemic velocity.

Changes in the emission-line profiles over time may allow discrimination among these models. For instance, in the binary broad-line region (BLR) model, the two peaks should behave as a double-lined spectroscopic binary; determination of the period and velocity amplitude of this variation would constrain the combined mass of the central objects.

If a disk origin for the emission lines were established, the patterns and timescales of variability might provide infor-
mation about the structure and behavior of disks. The line profiles of elliptical disks will change their shape in a characteristic way as the disk precesses (Eracleous et al. 1995), while other variations may indicate the presence of inhomogeneities in the disk. Simple spiral shock models sometimes produce a third peak at lower velocity and predict patterns of evolution of the line profile (Chakrabarti & Wiita 1993, 1994). Detected reverberation might constrain all models, since it could specify the length scales involved. More detailed reverberation observations could provide even stronger limits on models of the structure of the BLR (Blandford & McKee 1982; Stella 1990).

One feature of the spectrum of a circular accretion disk is that the blue peak is brighter than the red one due to relativistic boosting (in this paper, “blue peak” refers to the peak with smaller wavelength). Miller & Peterson (1990) have asserted that, since one Lick image dissector scanner (IDS; see Robinson & Wampler 1972) spectrum of Arp 102B appeared to have a higher red peak than blue, the accretion disk hypothesis is excluded. However, as will be shown below, a simple nonaxisymmetric disk model can easily reproduce such profiles. We note that none of our spectra, including ones taken roughly 2 months before and a year after theirs, contains such a strong, rounded feature in the Balmer lines. Furthermore, our spectra with narrow lines removed appear to peak at a substantially different wavelength from the peak found by Miller & Peterson (an average of about 6695 Å versus roughly 6650 Å, respectively). It is possible that they in fact observed a particularly intense but very short-lived “hot spot” of the sort described in § 4.2, below.

Motivated by the possibility of testing the accretion disk and other models, we have monitored the behavior of the optical emission-line spectrum of Arp 102B from 1983 through the present. Consecutive observations were separated by intervals as short as a day and as long as 2 yr. This paper presents the results of that campaign through 1996 June. We model the double-peaked profile of Hβ as emission from an accretion disk, in addition to evaluating the applicability of the other models described above. Our models of the variation of the line profile produce a determination of the mass of the central object directly from its gravitational effects on scales of less than a centiparsec. We describe the observations in § 2 and the measurements made using the data in § 3, apply accretion disk models in § 4, examine the implications for other models in § 5, and discuss the results in § 6.

2. OBSERVATIONS

We have been observing the optical spectrum of Arp 102B since 1983 using several telescopes, as listed in Table 1. Some of these spectra have been published previously (Halpern & Filippenko 1988, 1992; Eracleous & Halpern 1993).

The spectra of double-peaked emitters generally include appreciable contributions from starlight as well as a substantial nonstellar continuum component. These were removed from the data by subtracting a linear combination of normalized spectra of template galaxies (from a previously collected library of spectra of E and S0 galaxies) and a power law. For the continuum around Hα, spectra of NGC 7332 and UGC 555 provided the best fit of the library galaxies. For the continuum around Hβ, other template galaxies had to be used as those spectra which fitted best

| UT DATE       | Telescope | Hα    | Hβ    |
|---------------|-----------|-------|-------|
| 1983 Jun 6    | Palomar 5 m | b     | b     |
| 1983 Sep 15   | Palomar 5 m | b     | b     |
| 1985 Jun 28   | Palomar 5 m | 2500  | 2500  |
| 1986 Jul 13   | Lick 3 m   | 3000  | 3000  |
| 1987 May 4    | Lick 3 m   | 1000  |       |
| 1987 Aug 8    | Lick 3 m   | 1300  |       |
| 1987 Aug 12   | Lick 3 m   | 1600  |       |
| 1989 Apr 27   | Lick 3 m   | 900   |       |
| 1989 Jul 1    | KPNO 2.1 m | 3650  |       |
| 1989 Jul 3    | KPNO 2.1 m |       | 1800  |
| 1989 Jul 5    | KPNO 2.1 m | 835   | 835   |
| 1989 Jul 10   | Lick 3 m   | 900   |       |
| 1989 Nov 4    | MDM 2.4 m  | 1800  |       |
| 1989 Nov 7    | MDM 2.4 m  | 435   |       |
| 1989 Dec 1    | Lick 3 m   | 1600  | 1600  |
| 1990 Feb 23   | KPNO 2.1 m | 5400  |       |
| 1990 Feb 24   | KPNO 2.1 m |       | 5400  |
| 1990 May 30   | KPNO 2.1 m |       | 2700  |
| 1990 Jul 17   | Lick 3 m   | 1800  |       |
| 1990 Aug 30   | Lick 3 m   | 1800  |       |
| 1990 Nov 11   | Lick 3 m   | 1800  |       |
| 1991 Jun 17   | KPNO 2.1 m | 9790  |       |
| 1991 Jun 18   | KPNO 2.1 m | 1897  |       |
| 1991 Jun 19   | KPNO 2.1 m | 7200  |       |
| 1991 Jun 20   | KPNO 2.1 m | 7200  |       |
| 1991 Jul 3    | KPNO 2.1 m | 7200  |       |
| 1991 Jul 4    | KPNO 2.1 m | 4950  |       |
| 1991 Aug 5    | Lick 3 m   | 1500  |       |
| 1991 Oct 31   | Lick 3 m   | 1800  |       |
| 1992 Apr 21   | Lick 3 m   | 1200  |       |
| 1992 May 6    | KPNO 2.1 m | 7200  | 7200  |
| 1992 May 13   | KPNO 2.1 m |       | 4800  |
| 1992 May 14   | KPNO 2.1 m |       | 4800  |
| 1992 May 16   | KPNO 2.1 m | 3600  | 3600  |
| 1992 Aug 3    | Lick 3 m   |       | 1800  |
| 1992 Oct 3    | Lick 3 m   | 1800  | 1800  |
| 1992 Nov 19   | Lick 3 m   | 1200  | 1200  |
| 1993 Apr 14   | Lick 3 m   | 900   | 900   |
| 1993 May 16   | KPNO 2.1 m | 3600  | 3600  |
| 1993 Jun 28   | Lick 3 m   | 900   | 900   |
| 1993 Jul 28   | Lick 3 m   | 2100  | 2100  |
| 1993 Sep 10   | Lick 3 m   | 3000  | 3000  |
| 1993 Sep 25   | Lick 3 m   | 1800  | 1800  |
| 1993 Oct 22   | Lick 3 m   | 1800  | 1800  |
| 1993 Nov 8    | Lick 3 m   | 3000  | 3000  |
| 1994 Apr 18   | Lick 3 m   | 1200  | 1200  |
| 1994 Jun 16   | Lick 3 m   | 2700  | 2700  |
| 1994 Jul 4    | KPNO 2.1 m | 3600  |       |
| 1994 Jul 5    | KPNO 2.1 m | 3600  |       |
| 1994 Jul 15   | Lick 3 m   | 3000  | 3000  |
| 1994 Aug 4    | Lick 3 m   | 3600  | 3600  |
| 1994 Sep 3    | Lick 3 m   | 3600  | 3600  |
| 1994 Oct 1    | Lick 3 m   | 4800  | 6600  |
| 1994 Nov 12   | Lick 3 m   | 3600  | 2800  |
| 1995 Jan 23   | KPNO 2.1 m | 1800  |       |
| 1995 Mar 25   | Lick 3 m   | 1500  | 1500  |
| 1995 Jun 3    | KPNO 2.1 m | 3600  |       |
| 1995 Jun 4    | KPNO 2.1 m | 3600  | 3600  |
| 1995 Sep 26   | Lick 3 m   | 1800  | 1800  |
| 1996 Feb 13   | KPNO 2.1 m | 3200  | 3200  |
| 1996 Jun 13   | KPNO 2.1 m | 1711  | 1711  |

* The UV Schmidt spectrograph (Miller & Stone 1987) was used for all Lick Observatory observations listed here until the end of 1991; the Kast spectrograph (Miller & Stone 1993) was used thereafter. At Palomar Observatory, we used the Double Spectrograph (Oke & Gunn 1982).

b The 1983 Palomar 5 m spectra are composites of several exposures with effective exposure times that are different in different spectral regions.
around Hα did not extend to the shorter wavelengths. For both spectra around Hα and around Hβ, subtracting
the best-fitting combination of templates and power law resulted in a flat residual very close to zero flux. Strong
stellar absorption features such as Na i D were largely removed, and narrow He i λ5876 was typically visible in
emission.

The fluxes of the starlight- and continuum-subtracted spectra were normalized using the integrated flux in narrow
[O iii] λ5007 (for spectra around Hβ) or narrow [O i] λλ6300 (for spectra around Hα). Since the narrow-line region
of Arp 102B is marginally resolved, variations in observing conditions and extraction width among the observations
yield different relative amounts of the narrow- and broad-line fluxes included in the spectra; thus, these normal-
izations are only approximate. In this paper, no results depend upon the absolute normalizations of the spectra, as
we interpret only the changes in the shape of the line.

3. DATA ANALYSIS

3.1. Relative Flux in the Two Peaks

It has been clear since the work of Halpern & Filippenko
(1988) that the shape of the Balmer lines of Arp 102B varies
significantly. One way to parameterize the evolution of the
line profile is to measure the relative fluxes of the blue
and red peaks. To estimate this, the average flux between 6400
and 6520 Å (in the rest frame of the AGN) was used to
measure the flux in the blue peak, while the average flux in
the combined ranges 6600–6700 and 6760–6780 Å was
associated with the flux in the red peak. These wavelength
ranges were selected to avoid all of the major narrow emis-
sion lines and the range that the “standard” BLR (in Hα)
would occupy (see Halpern et al. 1996). Significantly alter-
ing these ranges changed the average flux by less than 1.5%
of the original value in each case; thus, this method e†ec-
tively measures changes in the ratio of the average fluxes in
the two peaks.

To address the question of whether the maximum flux on
the blue peak exceeds that on the red peak in any spectrum,
we would like to measure the ratio of those quantities. The
[S ii] lines prevent any precise measurement of the flux at
the top of the red peak, however. To estimate the ratio of
the maximum fluxes of the two peaks, the average flux ratio,
measured as described above, was multiplied by a constant
determined using a previously published model fitted to one
spectrum of Arp 102B (Eracleous & Halpern 1994) to
approximate the actual shape of the red peak of that spec-
trum (no physical significance of that model is assumed at
this stage). Multiplication by this constant does not neces-
arily yield the actual ratio of the peak fluxes for each epoch.
However, the conclusions of this paper will not be affected
if the red-to-blue ratio (which, with this normal-
ization, we will refer to as R) is multiplied by any factor.

Figure 1 shows the history of the ratio R, determined
using the method described above. At some epochs, R
approaches or may even exceed unity, though the spectrum
with the most extreme value of R is of lower quality than most
likely because it is the first following a spectrograph
replacement at Lick Observatory). It is very difficult to
prove that the red peak in fact has the greater flux owing to
the confusion of the narrow lines with that peak. Neverthe-
less, it would appear that the behavior of the Hα profile of
Arp 102B cannot be completely explained by any axisym-

metric disk model. It is striking that there is an interval
during which R appears to vary sinusoidally with an amplitu-
de of about 16% of the average value; the variation
begins in 1991 and seems to decrease in amplitude during
1995. Figure 1 presents a fit to those data having error bars shown (corresponding to the epochs from 1990 November 11
through 1994 September 3). Comparison of the residuals for data at similar
phases indicates that there is no obvious substantial change in the period
of variation during this time.

\[
R = R_0 + R_1 \cos \left( \frac{2\pi}{P} (t - t_0) \right),
\]

where R is the red-to-blue flux ratio, P is the period of
variation, and t is the Julian date, with \( R_0 = 0.8637 \pm 0.0087, R_1 = 0.1371 \pm 0.0099, P = 790 \pm 25 \) days, and
\( t_0 = 2,449,634 \pm 23 \) days. Compensating for cosmological
time dilation, the period in the rest frame of Arp 102B is
\( P/(1+z) \), or 771 ± 24 days. This sort of variation may not
be unique to Arp 102B: Veilleux & Zheng (1991) have
reported similar, albeit slower, variations in the ratio of the
fluxes of the two peaks of the Hβ line of 3C 390.3. However,
no more than one cycle of that period was observed in 3C
390.3, and later data do not confirm it (Gilbert et al. 1997).
A similar variability pattern is also observed in 3C 332
(Eracleous 1997). Possible implications of this variation of
Arp 102B will be discussed in § 4.2.

3.2. The Velocity of the Blue Peak

The binary BLR model predicts that the two peaks
should exchange their positions relative to the rest wave-
length with a period dependent upon their combined mass,
as in a double-lined spectroscopic binary. The expected
period could be a few decades if the combined mass of the
binary is \(~10^8\) \( M_\odot \). We therefore measured the position of the
blue peak in our spectra of Arp 102B in search of the
velocity variations that this hypothesis predicts. We have
used Pogson’s method (see Gaskell 1996 and references
therein) and fits to the peak with Gaussian and quadratic
functions for such measurements. Pogson’s method yields
results that are sensitive to substructure and skewness in the
peak, while Gaussian fits give a rough flux-weighted centroid of the line. The two methods are compared in detail and discussed in a separate paper devoted to testing the binary black hole hypothesis in a number of double-peaked emitters (Eracleous et al. 1997).

The results obtained using Pogson’s method and the Gaussian-fitting method are given in Table 2. In Figure 2a, we present these results graphically by plotting the measured peak velocity (determined from the wavelength relative to that of the narrow Hα line using the standard relativistic formula) as a function of time. Uncertainties in these measurements are typically of order 1 Å, which translate into uncertainties in the velocity of the peak of less than 100 km s\(^{-1}\). However, systematic effects dominate the uncertainty in the location of the peak. In particular, the detailed shape of the profile around the peak varies on timescales shorter than a year. In the binary BLR model, these variations could be attributed either to reverberation of the individual BLRs in response to a varying ionizing continuum or to changes in the distribution and/or velocity field of the line-emitting gas. To bypass these uncertainties, we have computed annual averages of the peak locations and have taken the root mean square dispersion of the velocities for a given year to be the uncertainty of their average. Our own data were supplemented by a measurement based on the spectrum of Stauffer, Schild, & Keel (1983) as reported in Halpern & Filippenko (1988).

3.3. The Hα-to-Hβ Flux Ratio

Although most of our spectra include only a wavelength range around Hα, a smaller number include Hβ as well. The ratio of the Hα to Hβ flux at a given velocity (hereafter H)
TABLE 2

| Year   | Pogson’s Method (Å) | Gaussian Method (Å) |
|--------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 1982.43 | 6451*               | ...                 |
| 1985.49 | 6460.99             | 6459.02             |
| 1986.53 | 6452.96             | 6452.96             |
| 1987.34 | 6458.06             | 6458.06             |
| 1987.61 | 6458.06             | 6458.06             |
| 1989.32 | 6450.93             | 6450.93             |
| 1989.50 | 6450.93             | 6450.93             |
| 1989.51 | 6449.56             | 6449.56             |
| 1989.52 | 6450.58             | 6450.58             |
| 1989.84 | 6449.97             | 6449.97             |
| 1989.85 | 6450.37             | 6450.37             |
| 1989.92 | 6448.28             | 6448.28             |
| 1990.15 | 6419.17             | 6419.17             |
| 1990.41 | 6453.35             | 6453.35             |
| 1990.54 | 6454.19             | 6454.19             |
| 1990.66 | 6454.06             | 6454.06             |
| 1990.86 | 6459.21             | 6459.21             |
| 1991.46 | 6416.19             | 6416.19             |
| 1991.46 | 6416.01             | 6416.01             |
| 1991.46 | 6445.80             | 6445.80             |
| 1991.47 | 6445.86             | 6445.86             |
| 1991.50 | 6445.77             | 6445.77             |
| 1991.50 | 6445.07             | 6445.07             |
| 1991.59 | 6443.31             | 6443.31             |
| 1991.83 | 6448.06             | 6448.06             |
| 1992.30 | 6453.72             | 6453.72             |
| 1992.35 | 6450.49             | 6450.49             |
| 1992.76 | 6450.99             | 6450.99             |
| 1992.88 | 6452.70             | 6452.70             |
| 1993.28 | 6454.19             | 6454.19             |
| 1993.37 | 6454.42             | 6454.42             |
| 1993.49 | 6443.50             | 6443.50             |
| 1993.57 | 6450.01             | 6450.01             |
| 1993.69 | 6452.43             | 6452.43             |
| 1993.73 | 6451.62             | 6451.62             |
| 1993.81 | 6451.42             | 6451.42             |
| 1993.85 | 6450.20             | 6450.20             |
| 1994.29 | 6449.09             | 6449.09             |
| 1994.46 | 6445.15             | 6445.15             |
| 1994.51 | 6442.88             | 6442.88             |
| 1994.51 | 6450.10             | 6450.10             |
| 1994.54 | 6451.00             | 6451.00             |
| 1994.59 | 6447.89             | 6447.89             |
| 1994.64 | 6447.87             | 6447.87             |
| 1994.67 | 6447.77             | 6447.77             |
| 1994.75 | 6450.04             | 6450.04             |
| 1994.86 | 6448.78             | 6448.78             |
| 1995.06 | 6449.56             | 6449.56             |
| 1995.23 | 6447.47             | 6447.47             |
| 1995.23 | 6450.97             | 6450.97             |
| 1995.42 | 6450.77             | 6450.77             |
| 1995.42 | 6452.88             | 6452.88             |
| 1995.73 | 6452.58             | 6452.58             |
| 1996.12 | 6455.81             | 6455.81             |
| 1996.45 | 6457.55             | 6457.55             |
| 1996.45 | 6453.34             | 6453.34             |

* Measurement was made by eye from the spectrum presented by Stauffer et al. 1983.

provides an indication of the physical conditions, particularly the ionization parameter and to a lesser extent the temperature and the density, of the emitting gas at that velocity. It therefore may constrain possible models for the source of the Balmer line emission.

Figure 3 shows $H$ for those years with enough spectra that included H$\beta$ to allow significant reduction in noise through averaging. The value of $H$ appears to vary monotonically across the entire blue peak. Though largely obscured by the presence of narrow emission lines near either H$\alpha$ or H$\beta$, the behavior on the red peak appears to also be consistent with a turning point at zero velocity only. Since there are no points of inflection or turning points in $H$ at the velocity of the blue peak, it would seem that physical conditions in the emitting material do not have a turning point at that velocity.

This is precisely the behavior we would expect if an accretion disk emits the double-peaked Balmer lines. In that model, the locations of the peaks correspond to the velocities with the greatest integrated flux from the entire disk, not to a special region (such as the inner or outer edge). If the two peaks are produced by gas around each of the black holes of a binary system, in contrast, one might expect $H$ to have a turning point where the H$\alpha$ flux reaches a peak, since that presumably occurs at the velocity of one of the black holes; admittedly, this scenario has not been modeled in detail. One would also expect a turning point in $H$ at the flux maximum in a model where the two peaks correspond to broad jets, since the peak flux should correspond to the most emissive parts of a jet. The behavior of $H$ within the spiral shocks model of Chakrabarti & Wiita (1994) is less clear.

4. APPLICATION OF ACCRETION DISK MODELS

4.1. Circular and Elliptical Disks

The variation of the two peaks that began in 1990 provides an additional means of testing accretion disk models. The difference between an observed spectrum and a well-fitting model for disklike emission would be expected to include the narrow lines present and, potentially, a broader component about H$\alpha$ resembling that of typical BLRs. The presence of a broad H$\alpha$ line from Arp 102B originating in a region that is physically distinct from the source of the double-peaked lines is an almost inescapable conclusion from the ultraviolet line spectrum (Halpern et al. 1996). We might also expect our model fits to underestimate flux at the edges of the line profile, since Gaussian broadening and a steplike decrease to zero of the disk’s emissivity would be expected to be consistent with a turning point at zero velocity only.
tion of radius are assumed to approximate the combined effects of Compton scattering, turbulence, and physical conditions that presumably vary more smoothly across the disk. In this paper, we demand a closer fit of data to the models than in existing published work.

In previous papers, models of circular, axisymmetric accretion disks provided adequate fits to the presented spectra of Arp 102B (Chen, Halpern, & Filippenko 1989; Chen & Halpern 1989). However, as might be expected from the discussion of the previous section, such models cannot provide a good fit to the profile from late 1990 through 1995. An axisymmetric disk model did provide an excellent fit to the spectrum of 1990 July 17, the last spectrum of good quality before the sinusoidal variation in R began. The parameters of that fit were as follows: power-law index of the variation of emissivity with radius \( q = 3.0 \); line broadening given by convolution with a Gaussian with standard deviation \( b = 1050 \text{ km s}^{-1} \); disk inclination \( i = 30^\circ \pm 1^\circ \), and inner and outer disk radii \( \zeta_i = 305 \) and \( \zeta_o = 730 \) gravitational radii (the gravitational radius \( r_g = GM/c^2 = 1.4745 \times 10^{13} M_8 \text{ cm} \), where \( G \) is Newton's gravitational constant, \( M \) is the mass of the presumed central body, \( c \) is the speed of light, and \( M_8 \) is the mass of the central body in units of \( 10^8 M_0 \)). These values were used as a basis for the circular disk portion of the models described below. Of these parameters, only the inner and outer radii of the disk were allowed to vary in later models (see below).

Following the inadequacy of the circular disk models, we attempted to fit representative spectra that had extreme values of \( R \) with the elliptical disk models of Eracleous et al. (1995). These models provided an excellent fit to those spectra with \( R \) well below unity. However, much poorer fits to those spectra with excess red flux were achieved, and only then by allowing the eccentricity to vary from 0.1 to 0.59, the power law index \( q \) to vary from 2.2 to 3, and \( i \) to vary from \( 28^\circ \) to \( 31.5^\circ \), all simultaneously. Such variations are more or less unrealistic. For instance, Syer & Clarke (1992) have demonstrated that the eccentricity of an accretion disk should change only slowly except in its innermost portions. Inspection of their figures and the estimates of Eracleous et al. (1995) indicate that circularization by general relativistic precession is effective only within about 100 gravitational radii, while viscous circularization acts only over the very long viscous timescale. That, along with the fact that even with seven free parameters only marginally satisfactory fits could be produced with \( R \approx 1 \), would seem to indicate that the variations observed among the spectra are not due to, for instance, a precessing elliptical disk.

### 4.2. Circular Accretion Disk with a Hot Spot

Eracleous et al. (1995) described the basic models of circular and elliptical accretion disks adapted here; the original circular disk models are described in Chen et al. (1989) and Chen & Halpern (1989). The only significant addition to the previous algorithm here is the inclusion of a region of the disk with excess emissivity (hereafter called a “hot spot”). Line-emitting hot spots at the intersection of a disk with an accretion stream have been observed in the disks around cataclysmic variables (Marsh et al. 1990), and a number of phenomena might produce them in an AGN accretion disk. In fact, a hot spot model for variation in the emission-line profile of 3C 390.3 has been proposed by Zheng, Veilleux, & Grandi (1991).

We assume for our model the presence of a circular, rather than elliptical, accretion disk to minimize the number of free parameters. The hot spot is then implemented as an excess of emissivity within the disk along a circular arc of infinitesimal extent in radius. The local viscous timescale, which is the timescale over which any sort of instability may propagate radially, is larger than the period of an orbit for radial extents of the instability above about \( 3 \times 10^{13} a M_2 T_4 \) cm at radii of interest, where \( a \) is the traditional Shakura & Sunyaev (1973) viscosity parameter and \( T_4 \) is the temperature of the disk in units of \( 10^4 \text{ K} \), applying the definitions of timescales used below (see eqs. [3] and [9]). Thus, even if the disturbance is not infinitesimal in radial extent, its radial evolution should not be significant. The excess emissivity of the hot spot is assumed to vary as a Gaussian in azimuthal angle along its arc away from the center of the hot spot.

Thus, the model requires four additional parameters (beyond those in a circular disk model). The first is \( \zeta \), the distance from the hot spot to the central body in terms of the gravitational radius. The second parameter is \( \theta \), the azimuthal angular position of the hot spot’s center measured within the disk. When \( \theta = 270^\circ \), the hot spot has its greatest velocity toward Earth. The remaining parameters are \( \sigma \), the standard deviation of the Gaussian dependence of emissivity on angle away from the hot spot’s center, in degrees; and \( I \), a normalization factor representing the ratio of the hot spot’s maximum (i.e., central) emissivity to that of the disk at the same radius. Thus, the rest frame luminosity of a hot spot relative to the disk luminosity is

\[
L_{\text{spot}} = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\zeta_o} I_\xi \xi^{-q} e^{-(\theta - \theta_{\text{spot}})^2/2\sigma^2} \delta(\xi - \xi_{\text{spot}}) \xi \, d\theta \, d\xi
\]

\[
L_{\text{disk}} = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\zeta_o} I_\xi \xi^{-q} e^{-(\theta - \theta_{\text{spot}})^2/2\sigma^2} \, d\theta \, d\xi
\]

\[
L_{\text{h}} = \frac{\sigma I}{\sqrt{2\pi}(\zeta_o - \zeta)} \sqrt{\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_{\text{spot}}}} ^2,
\]

where \( I \), \( \theta_{\text{spot}} \), \( \sigma \), and \( \xi_{\text{spot}} \) are the hot spot parameters described above (with \( \sigma \) here given in radians), and both \( q = 3 \) and \( \sigma \ll 2\pi \) were assumed in evaluating the integrals.

In contrast to models with only a simple accretion disk, models of a circular accretion disk with a hot spot provided an excellent fit to all of the spectra during the time of variation in \( R \). We varied as few parameters as possible in the course of the fits; excellent fits were found for all spectra while varying only five parameters among them. The first of these are \( \zeta \) and \( \sigma \), the inner and outer radii of the axisymmetric disk, which may be expected to vary substantially because of changes in the ionization structure of the disk (which determines the location of Balmer-line emission). The ionization state within the disk will respond to the amount of ionizing flux very quickly (the recombination timescale in such a disk is expected to be on the order of minutes, substantially less than the light-crossing time). That ionizing flux is presumably determined by variability in the innermost parts of the disk and thus would also be expected to vary over much shorter periods than the time for light to travel from the inner to the outer edge of the disk. Thus, the inner and outer radii of the Balmer-emitting disk should not be expected to vary in concert but, instead, with relative (though short) delays.

Similarly, we allowed the normalization of the hot spot flux, \( I \), and the standard deviation of its flux decline in angle, \( \sigma \), to vary. Neither is determined by the fitting process
to better than perhaps 30%. We note that $\sigma$ varies over a substantial range among all the models, and the hot spot intensity parameter $I$ decreases substantially after 1994. Finally, $\theta$, the azimuthal angle of the hot spot along its orbit about the disk, has to vary over $360^\circ$ to be able to complete multiple cycles of enhancing at some times the red peak and at others the blue. Table 3 presents the results of these fits. Note that in all cases, the hot spot represented a perturbation of only a few percent in the total Balmer line flux of the disk. Owing to the ambiguity of the helicity of the hot spot's orbit from what are effectively radial velocity measurements, there are two possible values of $\theta$ for each model fit; only one is listed in the table. Figures 4 and 5 display representative model fits. Even in 1995, when the variation in $R$ is no longer sinusoidal, the spectra are better fitted by a model with some hot spot component (though with a lower normalization than before) than by an axisymmetric disk. Thus, it appears that the hot spot gradually decreases in strength.

In all model fits plotted in this paper, the hot spot was kept at a radius $\xi$ of 455. This radius was chosen based upon fits to data in the interval 1991 June 17–20, when the azimuth of the hot spot was near $270^\circ$, so that its wavelength was shortest (and thus furthest from the interference of narrow lines). In fact, a good fit to that spectrum was possible for models with $\xi$ from 355 to 485, but beyond those bounds, the fits were noticeably worse.

That models with a single hot spot radius fit all of the spectra is not in and of itself convincing; it is conceivable that some other phenomenon might produce similar behavior. However, if we adopt the hot spot model, we know from Kepler's laws how a body must travel in a symmetric potential along a circular orbit; in particular, it must move with constant angular velocity in such a case. Figure 6 plots the possible value of $\theta$ nearer the line corresponding to the phase of the sinusoidal variation in $R$ (taking the solution with positive angular velocity) for each of the modeled spectra versus the date of observation of that spectrum. The

| UT Date        | $\theta$ | $I$  | $\sigma$ | $\xi_i$ | $\xi_o$ | $L_{flux}/L_{disk}$ |
|----------------|----------|------|----------|---------|---------|---------------------|
| 1990 Jul 17    | ...      | 0    | ...      | 305     | 730     | ...                 |
| 1990 Nov 11    | 115      | 150  | 3.0      | 295     | 940     | 0.0065              |
| 1991 Jun 18    | 270      | 180  | 8.0      | 280     | 940     | 0.019               |
| 1991 Jul 4     | 270      | 170  | 8.0      | 275     | 940     | 0.018               |
| 1991 Aug 5     | 270      | 150  | 4.0      | 305     | 790     | 0.010               |
| 1991 Oct 31    | 230      | 150  | 19.0     | 240     | 1000    | 0.030               |
| 1992 May 6     | 30       | 190  | 8.0      | 280     | 750     | 0.023               |
| 1992 Oct 3     | 20       | 170  | 5.0      | 305     | 770     | 0.014               |
| 1992 Nov 19    | 28       | 235  | 5.0      | 285     | 820     | 0.017               |
| 1993 Apr 14    | 225      | 140  | 8.0      | 320     | 880     | 0.019               |
| 1993 May 16    | 185      | 205  | 10.0     | 295     | 820     | 0.032               |
| 1993 Jun 28    | 196      | 235  | 10.0     | 305     | 900     | 0.037               |
| 1993 Jul 28    | 220      | 145  | 8.0      | 310     | 880     | 0.019               |
| 1993 Sep 25    | 295      | 160  | 7.0      | 320     | 880     | 0.019               |
| 1993 Oct 22    | 260      | 105  | 9.0      | 320     | 880     | 0.016               |
| 1994 Apr 18    | 175      | 150  | 15.0     | 345     | 650     | 0.056               |
| 1994 Jul 5     | 140      | 90   | 2.5      | 400     | 500     | 0.015               |
| 1994 Sep 3     | 20       | 115  | 7.0      | 350     | 630     | 0.021               |
| 1994 Oct 1     | 20       | 150  | 5.0      | 335     | 700     | 0.016               |
| 1994 Nov 12    | 45       | 65   | 5.0      | 350     | 600     | 0.0092              |
| 1995 Jan 23    | 65       | 35   | 5.0      | 350     | 650     | 0.0045              |
| 1995 Mar 25    | 25       | 70   | 10.0     | 325     | 750     | 0.014               |
| 1995 Jun 3     | 270      | 20   | 10.0     | 315     | 790     | 0.0035              |
| 1996 Jun 13    | 240      | 60   | 4.0      | 305     | 790     | 0.0040              |

The depicted error bars of $\pm 30^\circ$ are a crude estimate based upon the modeling. It might be contended that the line fits so well so often simply by virtue of having two possible points to fit at any given date (note that only the possible $\theta$ which better fits the phase curve of positive angular velocity is plotted). However, if points are distributed randomly, there is only a 1 in 3 chance that the line will be within $30^\circ$ of either point for a given epoch. Clearly, more than the expected seven points (namely, 14) are within their error bars of the line; the probability of this many or more points falling so close by chance is only 0.18%. We also note that residuals about the fit line do not appear to occur systematically for a given phase at different epochs; thus, the motion seems strongly consistent with constant angular velocity.

We have determined the period of what seems to be an orbital motion through the evolution of $R$ (or, alternatively, through the evolution of $\theta$ in the models). Indeed, the only proposed sources of variability in an AGN that would cause simple sinusoidal variation in $R$ with little apparent decay (in amplitude or frequency) for nearly two complete cycles are orbital in nature. We have also determined through our models the radius $\xi$ (in terms of the gravitational radius, $r_g$).
which is proportional to the mass) associated with that motion. There are no ambiguities in the orbital inclination so long as the “hot spot” is presumed to lie within the disk, which seems very likely. These data are, then, sufficient to find the mass within the orbit of the hot spot (assuming a spherically symmetric mass distribution) by the complete form of Kepler’s third law for a circular orbit:

\[
P = \frac{2\pi r^3}{\sqrt{GM}} = \frac{2\pi G M \, r}{c^2},
\]

where \(P\) is the period of the orbit and \(r = \tilde{r} \varpi\) is its radius.

Using the above values for the period and radius of the hot spot, we find \(M = 2.21^{+0.42}_{-0.23} \times 10^8 \, M_\odot\), within the range of previous estimates of masses of AGNs. For this mass and \(\varpi = 455\), the physical radius of the hot spot’s orbit is \(4.80 \times 10^{-3}\) pc. This corresponds to an average density for a sphere with the same radius as the orbit of \(2.38 \times 10^{14} \, M_\odot \, \text{pc}^{-3}\). Such a density is extremely difficult to accomplish without the presence of a supermassive black hole.

5. EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVE MODELS

5.1. Binary Black Holes

We may expect the orbit of any binary black hole system to be circular, as it should have evolved to its present state through dynamical friction. If we adopt an analogy between a binary black hole system and a stellar, double-lined spectroscopic binary, the velocities of the two peaks should vary sinusoidally. Accordingly, we have fitted the velocity variations of the blue peak with a curve of the form

\[
v_{\text{obs}}(t) = v \sin i \cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{P_{\text{orb}}} (t - t_0)\right),
\]

where \(v_{\text{obs}}(t)\) is the observed radial velocity of the blue peak as a function of time, \(v\) is the orbital velocity of the corresponding black hole, \(i\) is the inclination of the orbital plane of the binary, \(t_0\) determines the phase of the orbit, and \(P_{\text{orb}}\) is the orbital period. The fitting algorithm used, which is described by Eracleous et al. (1997), determines the confidence intervals of the model parameters in addition to the best fit.

In Figure 2b, we show the variation of the annually averaged velocity of the blue peak of the Hz line of Arp 102B between 1982 and 1996 using measurements made with the Gaussian-fitting method. The dashed line in this figure is the best-fitting sinusoidal velocity curve, which corresponds to a period of 390 yr and an amplitude of 5200 km s\(^{-1}\). The fit is quite poor, and the scatter of the data points about the best-fitting curve does not seem random. We find that a sinusoidal modulation of the velocity of the blue peak must have a period greater than 114 yr to be even marginally consistent with the data. If the data from 1990 and 1991, when the centroid of the blue peak seems to be varying rapidly, are excluded, the lower limit to the period (and hence the mass) increases by a factor of 2.

The above observational constraints place a lower limit on the total (combined) mass of the two black holes required by the binary BLR model. We note that the blue peak has a smaller velocity displacement from the rest wavelength of the line than the red peak, which associates it...
with the more massive of the two members of the hypothesized binary. Under this condition, we can customize Kepler's third law to produce an expression for the lower limit on the mass of the binary (see Eracleous et al. 1997),

\[ M > 4.7 \times 10^8 (1 + Q)^3 \left( \frac{P_{\text{orb}}}{100 \text{ yr}} \right) \left( \frac{v \sin i}{5000 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^3 M_\odot, \]

(5)

where \( Q \) is the mass ratio of the binary, estimated to be about 1.5 from the relative velocities of the two peaks.

The observational constraints derived above using all of the data yield a lower limit to the total mass of \( 10^{10} M_\odot \). This is the lowest limit that the data will admit, and it is not reduced if measurements made with Pogson's method are used. This bound is rather restrictive, since it is a significant fraction of the mass of an entire galaxy, making the binary black hole hypothesis unlikely for Arp 102B. We stress that we are able to constrain only the specific scenario in which each of the two peaks of the line originate in gas surrounding one of the two black holes. In this context, the velocities of the two peaks require that the mass ratio of the two black holes be of order unity. One can envisage alternative scenarios that are not constrained by the data we have presented here. For example, the nucleus may harbor a supermassive binary in which one black hole is significantly more massive than the other and accretes at a much higher rate. In such a scenario, an accretion disk around the more massive black hole can be the source of the double-peaked line, but the location of the twin peaks varies slightly as a result of the perturbation from the companion.

5.2. Jets and Outflows

Jets such as those proposed to produce double-peaked Balmer emission lines must be quite different from those previously observed in AGNs. If continuous flows, these jets have to be subrelativistic to produce peaks at the correct wavelengths and have very broad opening angles to produce substantial flux at zero velocity (see the models of Zheng et al. 1991) but still have sufficiently low velocity gradients to produce substantial optical depth; this is a strong constraint (Halpern et al. 1996). Alternatively, the jet emission might occur at the intersection of a rapid jet and an extremely turbulent (with velocity width \( \approx 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1} \)), massive cloud. However, it is unclear why such a phenomenon might produce two widely displaced peaks more commonly than one.

Although radial outflow models as a class are very difficult to constrain, specific versions of such models may be tested observationally. In particular, Livio & Pringle (1996) have pointed out that an accretion disk, if present, will obscure the receding parts of an outflow at small radii from its center. This suggests that if double-peaked lines are to be attributed to an outflow, they must originate in parts of that outflow far enough from the center of the disk not to be obscured. Moreover, if a central source of ionizing radiation powers the line emission from the outflow, variations in the luminosity of the source will result in corresponding changes in the flux of the line. The difference in light-travel time between the two sides of the outflow and an observer on Earth dictates that the two sides of a double-peaked emission line should not respond simultaneously, but rather with a delay of order 0.7\( M_8 \) yr. In this scenario, with the assumption that the flow accelerates along the jet, the profile variations should have a very specific pattern: a change should appear at low velocities first and then propagate toward high velocities. This type of perturbation should first appear on the blue side of the line profile, and then its mirror image on the red side should follow with a delay as estimated above. In contrast, the seemingly orbiting source of emission we observe in Arp 102B (see § 4.2) first appears on the red side of the line and moves from one side of the line to the other. Any identification of this variable emission with a phenomenon propagating along both jets would demand considerable fine-tuning of time delays between the two peaks and other physical conditions. The variable part of the emission not only passes from one side of the peak to the other but also returns to its original peak at the correct time, which requires some sort of repeated phenomenon in the jet with its period closely matching the time delay between the peaks.

5.3. Spiral Shocks in a Disk

Spiral shock models cannot easily accommodate the timescale of Arp 102B's periodic variation. Though such models do predict variations in the line profile that pass from one side of the rest wavelength to the other, the period of such variations will be roughly the viscous timescale. For one-armed spiral waves (which the dispersion relation strongly favors over higher order displacements), Kato (1983) calculates a period

\[ \tau = \frac{2 \pi \Omega_K r^2}{c_s^2 w_*} = \frac{6.6 \times 10^4 \xi^{1/2}}{T_w^*} \text{ yr}, \]

(6)

where \( r \) is the radius at which the timescale is defined, \( \Omega_K \) is the angular frequency of a Keplerian orbit at that radius, \( c_s \) is the sound speed, and \( w_* \) is a parameter believed to be less than 1 (equal to the viscosity parameter \( \alpha \) in the Shakura-Sunyaev model for a period of \( 2 \pi c_{\alpha_{\text{disk}}} \)). Since \( \xi \) is at least 3 for stable orbits about a compact body, this is clearly much longer than the observed period of variation. Furthermore, previously described spiral shock models generally include a third, minor peak at some epochs, and the two major peaks are expected to also move about in wavelength on the pattern timescale; these do not fit the variations seen.

It is also conceivable that a corrugation wave, which causes a periodic warping of the disk, might produce similar variations (Kato 1989). Such a wave travels around the disk on roughly the sound-crossing time,

\[ \tau_{\text{cross}} \approx \frac{80M_8 \xi^2}{T_4^{1/2}} \text{ yr}, \]

(7)

where \( \xi_2 \) is \( \xi \) in units of \( 10^2 \); this can match the observed timescales only for masses around \( 10^6 M_\odot \). A warp can also be produced in the disk by an irradiation-induced instability, described by Pringle (1996). The precession timescale of the warp is given by (following Storchi-Bergmann et al. 1997)

\[ \tau_{\text{prec}} \approx 7 \times 10^5 \left( \frac{m_{\text{disk}}}{10 M_\odot} \right) \frac{M_{\odot}^{1/2} L_{\odot}^{1/2}}{L_{43}^{1/2} T_{43}} \text{ yr}, \]

(8)

where \( m_{\text{disk}} \) is the mass of the accretion disk (estimated to be of order 10 \( M_\odot \)) and \( L_{43} \) is the X-ray luminosity in units of \( 10^{43} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \). Both of the above timescales are too long to account for the observed profile variability in Arp 102B. Moreover, Pringle (1996) estimates that the
radiation-driven instability would operate at radii of order 0.1 pc, much larger than the scales we are interested in here.

6. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

A model attributing the variability of the broad, double-peaked Hα profile of Arp 102B to a hot spot on a circular orbit is consistent with several tests of the data over more than two complete cycles in the red-to-blue flux ratio variations of the peaks. Both the modeling described here and other observations of Arp 102B (e.g., Halpern et al. 1996) agree with the hypothesis that the remaining emission is due to a circular accretion disk. It seems clear from the discussion of the preceding section that the variable excess emission is not associated with subrelativistic jets or spiral shock waves. If such structures were indeed present, a separate origin for the periodically varying part of the line would have to be invoked. In that case, the variable part of the line would still be emitted at a radius within the range expected for the Balmer-line-emitting part of an accretion disk. In such situations, however, our mass determination would have an additional uncertainty, since the inclination of the orbit of the source of the variable emission would no longer be known.

If some materially coherent, orbiting source of emission did not lie within a circular accretion disk, it is likely to have a substantially elliptical orbit (as there are few strong circularizing effects operating on timescales of a few years). However, in such a case, fitting the excess emission by assuming a hot spot along a circular orbit should require substantially varying angular velocity (i.e., the slope of the angle vs. time curve), in contrast to what is observed. Thus, the assumption that the double peaks of Arp 102B are produced by a circular accretion disk yields a natural explanation for the constant angular velocity of a region of excess emission; this provides further support for the accretion disk hypothesis.

The fact that the hot spot persists with roughly constant strength through two orbits and then decays over a shorter timescale allows some evaluation of the possible source of that excess emission. If it dissipates owing to viscous processes, for instance, it must be extremely compact compared to the disk as a whole, since the viscous times is

\[ \tau_{\text{visc}} = \frac{l}{v_R} \approx \frac{48.2}{\alpha^{1/2} M_8} z T_4 \left( \frac{l}{R_{\odot}} \right) \text{yr}, \]

where \( l \) is the characteristic radial size of the disturbance and \( v_R \) is the radial velocity of gas within the disk relative to its center.

Disturbances can propagate azimuthally (through 360°) or vertically (through 1 scale height) on the Keplerian orbit period; from the behavior of \( R \), we may conclude that this is roughly 2.2 yr, somewhat longer than the period over which the damping occurs. The hot spot may dissipate thermally over the timescale

\[ \tau_{\text{th}} \approx \frac{\theta}{\Omega_k} \approx \frac{0.1 M_8^{3/2} \theta}{4 \pi^{1/2} \alpha} \text{yr}, \]

where \( \theta \) is the azimuthal angular extent of the hot spot. The hot spot appears to decrease in intensity over an appreciable fraction of a year; thus, for this mechanism to operate, it should have substantial azimuthal extent or \( \alpha \) should be much less than unity. Heat waves will propagate in the time it takes sound to travel along the spot,

\[ \tau_{\text{sound}} \approx \frac{80 M_8 \theta}{T_4} \text{yr}, \]

requiring a very small angular extent (which, based upon the values of \( \sigma \) providing best fits, is almost certainly less than 20°) or a high internal temperature for the hot spot to dissipate its energy. One constraint on the radial structure of the hot spot may be found from its azimuthal evolution, since we expect a large spot to be smeared out by Keplerian shear. In a time \( \Delta t \), the azimuthal extent of a hot spot at radius \( r \) and radial extent \( \Delta r \) will increase by

\[ \frac{\Delta \theta}{2\pi} = \frac{3 \Delta t \Delta r}{2 P}, \]

where \( P \) is the local Keplerian period. Because the hot spot we have considered in our model does not appear to evolve azimuthally over the course of two revolutions (the average value of \( \sigma \) determined for the second cycle of the hot spot, \( 8^\circ \), is no greater than that for the first), it must be very compact (\( \Delta r \approx r \)). This can be the case if, for example, the hot spot resulted from the oblique impact of a star on the disk, which created a trail of substantial azimuthal extent but small radial extent (note that \( r \approx 455 r_h \approx 10^5 M_8 R_0 \)). Alternatively, this may indicate that \( \sigma \) does not measure the actual angular extent of the hot spot, which would presumably be achieved by such a velocity shear, but instead its velocity structure.

The most likely scenarios for a hot spot are those in which there is excess material or energy concentrated in some location with, possibly, some extent in azimuthal angle but little in radius. The most common potential sources of such changes present in an AGN environment are stars (Blandford & Rees 1992), but the exact mechanism is unclear. Stars passing through the disk are expected to remove material, rather than to deposit any, and the material removed should quickly dissipate after it is no longer shielded from the hot, luminous central parts of the disk by an optically thick medium (Zurek, Siemiginowska, & Colgate 1994). Stars should be brought into orbits lying within the disk through the momentum loss that occurs in passages through it; however, owing to the concentration of material within the disk, such stars should not then leave its central plane. Therefore, scenarios in which the strong variations in \( R \) occur while a star orbits within the disk and subsequently decrease in strength when it begins to leave are untenable.

An alternative scenario is one in which the excess emission comes from a sizable vortex orbiting within the disk (Abramowicz et al. 1992). Such a vortex may possess a substantial velocity gradient, explaining the apparent width of the hot spot. However, examination of the power spectrum of the complete set of \( R \) values reveals only a single strong peak (with a period corresponding to that measured above) and its aliases; models in which there exists a cascade of large numbers of vortices at many scales predict a power spectrum of roughly power-law form (Abramowicz et al. 1991).

It is clear that some type of temporary periodic phenomenon occurs, whatever its origin, and that the most likely way for it to cycle between being blueshifted and redshifted is if it follows an orbit around the central body. The self-
consistency of a model in which the hot spot follows a circular orbit is encouraging. The constant angular velocity of the hot spot supports the hypothesis that it is within a circular accretion disk, as independent elliptical orbits do not circularize quickly compared to the orbital period. Thus, a model in which the two peaks of Arp 102B arise in a circular accretion disk seems to be the simplest that can explain the observed phenomena. Even if this model is incorrect, the consistency of the behavior of the variable part of the emission line with a circular orbit suggests that our mass determination should be correct up to an uncertainty in the inclination.

Our measurement of the mass of a possible black hole at the center of Arp 102B is subject to a straightforward test. Unless the hot spot observed was a particularly rare event, other such phenomena should appear in the future and may be subjected to similar analysis. If the spectrum if Arp 102B is observed sufficiently often, an independent mass measurement should result. If our model is correct, those measurements should be consistent, even though a new hot spot may be located at a different radius and have different intensity than the one we observed.

Contrary to previous claims (see, e.g., Gaskell 1996; Miller & Peterson 1990), the variability of the line profile does not necessarily invalidate the accretion disk hypothesis. Instead, it may provide additional information about the structure and properties of the disk. In the particular case of Arp 102B, the pattern of variability leads to a dynamical measurement of the mass of the central black hole. This method may be applicable to other double-peaked emitters; several have exhibited substantial variation in the blue-to-red flux ratio, for instance, and the variations of their line profiles may not be fitted in detail by the previously posited elliptical accretion disk models. With well-sampled monitoring such as that conducted for 3C 390.3 (Veilleux & Zheng 1991), more mass measurements of this quality might be made.

Most of the data presented in this paper were collected at Kitt Peak National Observatory and Lick Observatory. We are grateful to the members of the staffs for their expert assistance in carrying out the observations, as well as to numerous colleagues who have contributed their efforts to this project. M. E. and J. A. N. acknowledge Hubble Fellowship grant HF-01068.01-94A and a National Science Foundation Fellowship, respectively. This work was also supported by NASA grant GO-06097-94A from the Space Telescope Science Institute (operated by AURA, Inc., under NASA contract NAS 5-26555).
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