ABSTRACT. The bryozoan *Electra posidoniae* Gautier is found solely on the leaves of the Neptune grass *Posidonia oceanica* (L.) Delile, dominating the leaf epifauna of this seagrass. Epiphytes of marine angiosperms (or seagrasses) often play an important role in ecosystem functioning, for example as food web suppliers. As dysfunction of the epiphytic component is often implied in human-induced seagrass decline, it is important to understand the dynamics and life traits of this community in pristine areas. This study involved the monthly assessment of colonization dynamics, biomass seasonality, and diet composition through measurements of stable isotopes, in *E. posidoniae* at a depth of 10 m in the Revellata Bay (Corsica, Mediterranean Sea). Ancestrulae (i.e. colony founders) appeared towards the end of winter and were very selective in their settlement position along the leaves of *P. oceanica*. A maximum of 100,000 colonies per square meter was recorded. Colonies of *E. posidoniae* dominated the epiphytic community biomass in early spring, but were overtaken by epiphytic algae in June. Food shortage could be involved in this reduction in dominance. Although stable isotope ratios of C, N and S showed that this suspension feeder mainly relies on the water column for its food, other food sources such as re-suspended epiphytic diatoms could be important in late spring (i.e. after the phytoplanktonic bloom). Additionally, a contribution of seagrass phytodetritus to the diet of this species cannot be excluded. The species was almost absent in winter, raising the question of its recruitment in spring. This study confirms the quantitative importance of this species in the seagrass meadow and explores its role in the relationship between the water column and this seagrass ecosystem.
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INTRODUCTION

The Neptune grass *Posidonia oceanica* (L.) Delile forms large submarine seagrass meadows in the coastal zone at a depth of up to 40 m and, in consequence of its large size and its relatively long life span, is fouled by many epiphytic species (Peres & Picard, 1964). This epiphytic community is a central component of the seagrass meadow ecosystem in terms of diversity (Peres & Picard, 1964; Borowitzka et al., 2006). Species living as epiphytes of marine angiosperms (or seagrasses) often play an important role in ecosystem functioning. They are important contributors to primary production (Borowitzka et al., 2006) and key components of the food web (LePoint et al., 2000; Tomas et al., 2005; Garcia et al., 2009; Vizzini, 2009; Michel, 2011). They contribute to benthic-pelagic coupling (Lemmens et al., 1996) and to nitrogen and carbon cycling within the meadow (Alcoverro et al., 2004; Mateo et al., 2006). Because epiphytic component dysfunction is often implied in human-induced seagrass decline (Balata et al. 2010), it is important to understand dynamics and life traits of this community.

Leaf epiphytic communities are typically dominated by photophilous brown macroalgae, red algae of the taxon Ceramiaceae, and calcareous red algae (Mazzella et al., 1989). Nevertheless, some animal species, such as bryozoans,
hydrozoans or sedentary polychaetes, are also found fixed on leaves of *P. oceanica* (PERES & PICARD, 1964; HAYWARD, 1975; BOERO et al., 1985; LEPPOINT et al., 1999). The most abundant and the most characteristic of these animals is *Electra posidoniae* Gautier 1954 (Fig. 1), an anascan bryozoan specialized in epiphytic colonisation and strictly restricted to the leaves of *P. oceanica* (Gautier, 1961). This species is frequently found almost entirely covering the internal faces of leaves (DALLA VIA et al., 1998). It is recognised as a true species differing both morphologically and genetically from the “cosmopolitan” *Electra pilosa* species complex (NIKULINA et al., 2007). *E. posidoniae* displays life history traits that could be considered as hyper-adapted to epiphytism on leaves of *Posidonia*. For example, the settlement of ancestrulae (i.e. colony founders) is highly selective in terms of substrate choice (never found on any substrate besides Neptune grass leaves), of leaf face choice (generally the inner side), of place on the leaf face (generally in the middle of the leaf width), and in term of orientation (generally aligned to allow colony growth towards the leaf apex) (MATRICARDI et al., 1991; DALLA VIA et al., 1998). Moreover, colonies are constituted of zooids with multiserial encrusting morphology (MCKINNEY & JACKSON, 1989); this morphology is particularly efficient in the colonisation of newly-formed leaves. Colonies tend to grow parallel to the leaf veins and are flexible because they are lightly calcified (GAUTIER, 1961). This morphology is adapted to substrate deformation (i.e. leaf flexibility), reducing the risk of colony breaking. Finally, zooids are large compared to other epiphytic bryozoans and rapidly colonize the available substrate; this is probably a competitive advantage over other epiphytic taxa (LEPOINT et al., 2014).

*Electra posidoniae* is a common suspension feeder in the Mediterranean sublittoral benthos, probably contributing to the energetic and material coupling between the water column and the benthic compartment, in a similar way to other suspension feeders in other seagrass meadows (LEMMENS et al. 1996). However, in the oligotrophic Mediterranean, microphytoplankton (i.e. diatoms) is a very seasonal resource, restricted primarily to the beginning of spring. In late spring and summer, smaller phytoplanktonic species dominate and are not necessary available or suitable for bryozoan feeding. For this reason food shortage is often observed in some Mediterranean suspensivos (COMA & RIBES 2003). Alternative food sources could exist for *E. posidoniae*, for example microepiphytes (bacteria, protists or diatoms), (NOVAK, 1984; MABROUK et al., 2011), which could constitute a

Fig. 1. – SEM microphotographs of a colony of *Electra posidoniae* on a leaf of *Posidonia oceanica* (a) with a more detailed view of some zooids (b). Photography: F. REMY.
An ecological study of *Electra posidoniae* food source when detached from their substrate. Moreover, seagrass meadows are known to produce large amounts of phytodetritus, which fuels detritic food webs (Cebrian & Lartigue, 2004). This detritus may sometimes form a large part of the suspended particulate organic matter (SPOM), depending on hydrodynamic conditions (Dauby et al., 1995).

To the best of our knowledge, the seasonal population dynamics of *E. posidoniae* have not previously been quantitatively assessed. Therefore, our first aim in this study was to assess these dynamics at monthly intervals in terms of biomass, leaf covering and colony numbers. Assessments were made over a period of one year at a 10 m depth. Secondly, stable isotope analyses were conducted to estimate the contribution of alternative food sources (i.e. *Posidonia* detritus, detached microepiphytes) to the diet of *E. posidoniae*. Thirdly, we have attempted to quantify the potential role of *E. posidoniae* in the benthic-pelagic coupling.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Sampling**

Within a permanent quadrate (3 x 3 m), five shoots of *P. oceanica* were harvested at monthly intervals in the Revellata Bay (Calvi Bay, NW Corsica) near the oceanographic station STARESO (University of Liège) at a depth of 10 m. Samples were taken between November 2002 and November 2003. Shoots were immediately frozen at -18°C until analysis.

Shoot density, measured at monthly intervals using a circle with a diameter of 40 cm randomly set in the meadow (n=10 counts/campaign), was 452 ± 127 shoots.m⁻².

**Sample processing**

Shoots were dissected to separate the leaves, and the length and width of each leaf were recorded to calculate the surface area of one leaf side. Total leaf surface per shoot was calculated as the sum of each leaf surface multiplied by two to account for each leaf side.

Each month, for each shoot, the numbers of colonies and ancestrulae (i.e. the colony founder) of *E. posidoniae* were recorded, and assigned to a leaf face (internal or external).

Colonies were collected with a razor blade, oven-dried at 50°C and weighed to obtain the total dry mass per shoot (mgDM.shoot⁻¹). The remaining epiphytes were scraped off with a razor blade. Cleaned leaves and remaining epiphytes were also oven-dried and weighed.

To estimate cover of *E. posidoniae* (cm²_colony⁻¹), the relationship between the colony mass (mgDM.shoot⁻¹) and the colony surface (cm²_colony) was established. Twenty cm² of *E. posidoniae* were scraped from a pool of shoots sampled in April 2005 and average grammage (gDM.cm⁻²_colony) was determined after drying at 60°C during 48 hours. This grammage was equal to 1.84 mgDM.cm⁻²_colony⁻¹. We have made the assumption that grammage was constant throughout the year.

**Stable Isotopes**

Colonies of *E. posidoniae* were ground using a mortar and pestle to obtain a homogeneous powder. Acidification can affect the isotopic ratio of nitrogen and of sulphur, so for this reason N and S stable isotope compositions were determined prior to acidification (Pinnegar & Polunin, 1999; Connolly & Schlacher, 2013). However, because colonies are lightly calcified and because the carbon stable isotope ratios of carbonate do not reflect the animal diet, samples for determining C stable isotope compositions were acidified in a closed glass receptacle using vapours of fuming HCl (37%, P.A., Merck). Measurements were conducted using an Isoprime 100 mass spectrometer (Isoprime, United Kingdom) coupled to a Vario
Microcube elemental analyser (Elementar, Germany). Stable isotope ratios were expressed in δ notation (in ‰) according to the following:

$$\delta X = \frac{(R_{\text{sample}} - R_{\text{standard}})}{R_{\text{standard}}} \times 1000$$

where $X$ is $^{13}$C or $^{15}$N or and $R$ is the corresponding ratio $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C, $^{15}$N/$^{14}$N or $^{34}$S/$^{32}$S for samples or standards. Carbon, nitrogen and sulphur isotopic ratios are expressed relative to the international standards vPDB (Vienna Peedee Belemnite), to atmospheric air, and to vCDT (Vienna Cañon Diablo Troilite), respectively. Certified reference materials were IAEA-N1 (ammonium sulphate) ($\delta^{15}$N = +0.4 ± 0.2‰), IAEA C-6 (sucrose) ($\delta^{13}$C = −10.8 ± 0.2‰) and IAEA S1 (silver sulphide) ($\delta^{34}$S = −0.3‰). Routine measurements were precise to within 0.2‰ for both $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{15}$N, and 0.3‰ for $\delta^{34}$S.

For potential food sources of $E$. posidoniae, we have taken data published relating to the Calvi Bay for carbon and nitrogen but have measured our own $\delta^{34}$S for leaves of $P$. oceanica and suspended organic matter (SPOM).

**Calculation of filtration rates**

Based on literature data and our study, we have calculated the hourly filtered water volume and the associated potential daily amount of phytoplanktonic biomass filtered by our population of $E$. posidoniae according to following equations:

Filtered volume = Filtration rate x $E$. posidoniae biomass

Filtered volume = Filtration rate x $E$. posidoniae biomass

Filtered biomass = Plankton biomass x Filtered volume x % Retention

Water volume filtered by $E$. posidoniae is expressed in ml.h$^{-1}$.m$^{-2}$ seafloor, $E$. posidoniae biomass in gDM.m$^{-2}$ seafloor and filtration rate in ml.h$^{-1}$.gDM$^{-1}$.

Filtration rates were measured using *Electra bellula*, an Australian epiphytic species of the seagrass Amphibolis spp. and macroalgae (LISBJERG & PETERSEN, 2000). Biomass of filtered material is expressed in µgN m$^{-2}$ seafloor d$^{-1}$ or µgC m$^{-2}$ seafloor d$^{-1}$. Planktonic biomass nitrogen and carbon were measured in the Calvi Bay between 1997 and 1999 (LEPOINT et al., 2004) and averaged according to the following periods: “wintering” (January, December), blooming (February, March, April), post-blooming (May, July, August), fall (September-October). Retention efficiency was fixed to 25% (i.e. 25% of the particles passing though the filter are effectively retained by the filter), which is a minimum for this type of bryozoan (LISBJERG & PETERSEN, 2000). We did not take into account any variability of this retention efficiency, for example in relation to particle size.

Because conditions concerning normality were met (D’Agostino & Pearson omnibus normality test), isotopic data were analysed using a one-way ANOVA test with sampling dates as independent factors. Tukey’s Multiple Comparison test was used to assess pairwise differences when ANOVA revealed statistically significant effects. All test results were considered as significant when $p$ was ≤ 0.05. Statistical calculations were performed using GraphPad Prism 5 software.

**RESULTS**

Dry mass of leaves of *P. oceanica* showed a classical seasonal evolution, with minimal values during the winter (e.g. November 2002), maximum values during the summer (e.g. June) and a drastic decrease as a consequence of the autumnal leaf fall (Fig. 2). Total epiphytic dry mass also displayed seasonal trends, with maximal values reached in May (Fig. 2). Total epiphytic dry mass constituted between 1.13 ± 0.88 % in November 2002 and 25.98 ± 5.29 % of the total aboveground biomass in April 2003. Dry mass of *E. posidoniae* was very low in winter samples, increased in early spring, reached a maximal point in April and decreased
An ecological study of *Electra posidoniae* drastically between June and July (i.e. before leaf abscission) (Fig. 2). The remaining epiphytic biomass, which was mainly represented by epiphytic algae, was also minimal in winter samples, increased slightly later than that of *E. posidoniae*, was maximal in July and drastically decreased between July and September (Fig. 2). *E. posidoniae* represented between 0.5 ± 0.3% of the total epiphytic dry mass in November 2002 and 47.2 ± 5.3% in March 2003, which corresponded respectively to 0.01 and 10% of the total aboveground dry mass.

The total number of colonies per shoot was minimal in winter and maximal in spring (i.e. March to May) samples, varying between 0 and 229 colonies per shoot (Fig. 3). If this number is expressed in terms of the shoot density at 10 m depth, this represented between 0 and 103,000 colonies of *E. posidoniae* per m² seafloor. The total number of ancestrulae was very low (Fig. 3). They were almost absent from September to December, showed low abundance in January and February, peaked in March and decreased drastically in June.

Ancestrulae were mainly (60 to 100%) found on the internal face (i.e. the concave face). This positioning was conserved in developed colonies and averaged 80 ± 20%.

Using colony grammage (1.84 mgDM. cm⁻² colony⁻¹) and colony dry mass (mgDM), we have calculated the average surface shoot area covered by *E. posidoniae*. This varied between 0 in December 2003 and 90 cm² at the end of April 2004. Using these data and the surface of leaves calculated from our biometric data (i.e. leaf length and width), the proportion of leaf covered by *E. posidoniae* colonies was calculated (Fig. 4). It was minimal in December (0.01%) and maximal in late April (9.5 ± 2.5%). It decreased from June till autumn, when it reached less than 2.5%. The covered surface was mainly the inner leaf face.

The measured δ³⁴S values ranged from 13.9 to 18.2‰ (16.6±1.9; mean ± SD) for SPOM and from 14.2 to 18.8‰ (17.03±1.4; mean ± SD) for leaves of *P. oceanica*. These δ³⁴S values did not differ significantly between the two food sources. δ¹³C, δ¹⁵N, δ³⁴S values of individual colonies of *E. posidoniae* ranged between -21.6 and -17.3‰.

---

**Fig. 2.** – Dry mass (mean ± S.D.) of leaves of *Posidonia oceanica*, of their epiphytic bryozoa *Electra posidoniae*, and of their other epiphytes, collected at a depth of 10 m in Revellata Bay between November 2003 and November 2004.
Fig. 3. – Total number of colonies of *Electra posidoniae* and of their ancestrulae, settled on leaves of *Posidonia oceanica* sampled at a depth of 10 m in Revellata Bay between November 2003 and November 2004.

Fig. 4. – Averaged values (± S.D.) of actual and percentage of leaf surface area of *Posidonia oceanica* covered by *Electra posidoniae* on leaves of *P. oceanica* sampled at a depth of 10 m in Revellata Bay between November 2003 and November 2004.
An ecological study of *Electra posidoniae* (-19.9 ± 1.2‰; mean ± SD), between -0.5 and 2.7‰ (1.6 ± 0.9‰; mean ± SD), and between 15.5 and 19.8‰ (17.7 ± 1.1‰; mean ± SD), respectively (Fig. 5). One way ANOVA results showed a significant variability according to sampling date for $\delta^{13}C$, $\delta^{15}N$ but not for $\delta^{34}S$ (Table 1). Tukey’s Multiple Comparison Test showed that for both delta values there were significant differences between early spring samples (February, March, April) and late spring or summer samples (May, June, July), with a tendency for both delta values to increase over time. The amount of material was not sufficient to perform individual colony isotopic measurements for fall and winter samples.

Using our quantitative data and literature, we have calculated (Table 2) that, during phytoplankton blooms (February-April), a population of *E. posidoniae* at a depth of 10 m may filter up to 36 L of water per day and per m$^2$ of seafloor, corresponding to a transfer of 0.3 and 1.3 mg DM of nitrogen and carbon, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

Our results show the important contribution of *E. posidoniae* to the epiphytic biomass of *P. oceanica*. It is an early colonizer of the leaf surface, developing before the characteristic photophilous algae, and therefore it contributes mostly to spring epiphyte biomass. Epiphytic accrual on *P. oceanica* is progressive and involves a succession of organisms from a bacterial biofilm to a complex multi-layered epiphytic community (Novak, 1984; Mazzella & Russo, 1989; Cebrian et al., 1999). *E. posidoniae* develops before photophilous macroalgae and faster than calcareous algae (LePoint et al., 2007). This successional pattern is common at the NW Mediterranean basin scale (Van Der Ben, 1971; Mazzella & Russo, 1989; Dalla Via et al., 1998; Cebrian et al., 1999; Prado et al., 2008; Jacquemart & Demoulin, 2008) and implies that common environmental factors govern the epiphytic temporal settlement.

There is an important gap between leaf fall (September) and recolonization of leaves of *P. oceanica* (from January but mainly at the end of winter) by larvae of *E. posidoniae*. For many epiphytic bryozoans, other habitats (i.e. rhizomes, rocks and stones) may have overwintering populations that contribute to supplying larvae in spring for leaf colonization (Cocito et al., 2012, LePoint et al., 2014). This is not the case for *E. posidoniae* as this species is strictly only found on leaves of *P. oceanica* (Gautier, 1961; Matricardi et al., 1991). Connectivity between populations growing at different depths in the meadow and affected by differences in the phenology of the host plant (e.g. temporal difference of leaf growth and fall between deeper and shallower beds) may be important to ensure the supply of recruits between different meadow areas. Nevertheless, a time gap exists between leaf fall and recolonization peak in March. Therefore it is probably crucial that this species (similarly to other *Electra* species) has planktonotrophic larvae able to survive a longer time in the water column in comparison to most

### TABLE 1

Summary of ANOVA results.

| Sources of variation | $\delta^{13}C$ (‰) | | $\delta^{15}N$ (‰) | | $\delta^{34}S$ (‰) | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Dates | MS | F | p | MS | F | p | MS | F | p |
| Dates | 4.73 | $F_{4,20}=7.56$ | <0.001 | 4.12 | $F_{4,20}=34.50$ | <0.001 | 0.57 | $F_{4,20}=0.49$ | NS |
Fig. 5. – $\delta^{13}$C, $\delta^{15}$N and $\delta^{34}$S values (mean ± s.d.) of colonies of *Electra posidoniae* settled on leaves of *Posidonia oceanica* collected at a depth of 10 m in the Revellata Bay between February 2003 and December 2004. Range for phytoplankton and for *P. oceanica* from LePoint et al. (2000) and LePoint et al. (2003). Range for biofilm grown on artificial substrates from Vermeulen (2012).
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**TABLE 2**  
Surface covering, biomass, filtered volume and daily planktonic biomass filtered by *Electra posidoniae* Gautier in a *Posidonia oceanica* meadow (Revellata Bay, Corsica). Data used for calculation come from a: this study; b: (Lepoint et al., 2004); c: (Lisbjerg & Petersen, 2000). See Material and Method for details.

| Dates       | *E. posidoniae* covering cm² shoot⁻¹ | Surface covering cm² m⁻² | Planktonic biomass µg L⁻¹ | E. *posidoniae* biomass µg C L⁻¹ | Filtering rate ml h⁻¹ gDM⁻¹ | Filtered volume ml h⁻¹ m⁻² | Filtered N biomass µg N d⁻¹ m⁻² | Filtered C biomass µg C d⁻¹ m⁻² |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| January     | 1.1                                  | 507                      | 13.2                      | 71.4                            | 0.9                         | 20                            | 19                            | 1                             | 8                             |
| February    | 32.8                                 | 14815.1                  | 31.9                      | 146.4                           | 27.3                        | 20                            | 545 (104)                     | 1213                          |
| March       | 83                                   | 37515.3                  | 31.9                      | 146.4                           | 69                          | 20                            | 1381                          | 124                          |
| April       | 90.6                                 | 40955.5                  | 31.9                      | 146.4                           | 75.4                        | 20                            | 1507                          | 288                          |
| May         | 84.6                                 | 38218.1                  | 22.7                      | 89.5                            | 70.3                        | 20                            | 1406                          | 192                          |
| July        | 25.7                                 | 11600                    | 22.7                      | 89.5                            | 21.3                        | 20                            | 427 (58)                      | 229                          |
| September   | 0.2                                  | 99.4                     | 19.8                      | 73.8                            | 0.2                         | 20                            | 4                            | <0.5                          |
| November    | 0.02                                 | 9.5                      | 13.2                      | 71.4                            | <0.1                        | 20                            | <1                           | <0.1                          |
| December    | 1.2                                  | 547                      | 13.2                      | 71.4                            | 1                           | 20                            | 20                            | 2                             | 9                             |

Other bryozoan larvae (Gautier, 1961). The life span of such larvae is unknown but is estimated by Gautier (1961) to be a few weeks. Larvae of *E. posidoniae* are potentially major contributors to meroplankton (i.e. the larval planktonic stage of benthic animals) in the water column, considering the maximal colony density found at a depth of 10 m (i.e. more than 100,000 colonies per m square).

Leaf colonization by *E. posidoniae* is restricted both spatially and temporally. This restriction is partially due to the larval “choice” to specifically settle on the inner concave side of the leaf (Matricardi et al., 1998; this study). Reasons for such specific settlement are unclear (e.g. competition with macroalgae and/or feeding current organization) (Matricardi et al., 1998). Competition with macroalgae is often invoked to explain the spatio-temporal restriction of animal settlement and to explain their seasonal eviction from certain benthic habitats, as it is the case for epiphytic communities (Mazzella & Russo, 1989; Dalla Via et al., 1998; Prado et al., 2008). In addition to competition, a colony of *E. posidoniae* may be overgrown by macroalgae. This has been observed on the apex of *P. oceanica* leaves where many photophilous brown macroalgae grow, particularly in late spring and summer (see fig. in Mazzella et al., 1992).

Nevertheless, middle parts of the leaves of *P. oceanica* are rarely or never colonised by photophilous macroalgae and show the maximum of colonisation by *E. posidoniae*. Therefore, the decreasing biomass of colonies of *E. posidoniae* almost to the point of disappearance before leaf abscission is not solely related to spatial competition or algae overgrowth. Trophic constraints could also explain this observed pattern. Indeed, the peak of colonisation by *Electra* and its biomass increase clearly match the phytoplankton dynamic, and particularly...
the diatom bloom in the Revellata bay (LEPOINT et al., 2004). Many Mediterranean benthic suspensivores experience a drastic decrease in their activity or biomass in late spring and summer (i.e. aestivation) as a consequence of food shortage (COMA & RIBES, 2003). Diatoms, a major contributor to small suspensivore feeding, are abundant in the water column only during late winter and early spring (February to March, sometimes April). When their biomasse decreases, phytoplanktonic communities become dominated by smaller organisms (2 - 20 µm), which are probably consumed less by large bryozoans (MCKINNEY & JACKSON 1989). Food shortage may occur in this system for benthic suspensivores such as gorgonian corals and is a major cause of mortality for many species (COMA & RIBES, 2003). Food shortage could thus be likely to affect survival of E. posidoniae after the phytoplanktonic bloom, even in the absence of competition with epiphytic macroalgae.

Nevertheless, alternative food sources exist in the seagrass meadow, for example re-suspended particles coming from dead seagrass material as well as detached epiphytic microalgae (i.e. tychoplankton). The latter are very abundant on seagrass leaves as epiphytes (NOVAK, 1984; MAZZELLA & RUSSO, 1989; DE STEFANO et al., 2000) and may detach because of leaf movements. There is no doubt, considering the isotopic data, that E. posidoniae relies heavily on phytoplanktonic biomass for feeding. However, phytoplankton is unlikely to represent their exclusive food source. Indeed, their δ¹³C values were always in the upper part of the range of planktonic δ¹³C values, and close to δ¹³C values of epilithic biofilm. They were also higher than those of suspensivore organisms found in other habitats (e.g. rocky habitats) (LEPOINT et al., 2000). A significant contribution from alternative food source(s) displaying a higher δ¹³C value cannot be excluded, particularly during the late spring and the summer, when phytoplanktonic biomass is low. Considering the isotopic composition ranges displayed by epiphytes and seagrasses, contribution by detached epiphytic diatoms to the diet of E. posidoniae is likely to be more important than the contribution by detritic seagrass material. Contribution of seagrass material to the bryozoan diet was not clearly detected here; but, mathematically, a small contribution cannot be excluded. Additionally, a contribution by microbes associated with detritus and displaying the same isotopic composition to their substrate is also feasible. This is particularly possible in July when bryozoan δ¹³C reaches its peak and moves closest to the seagrass isotopic composition. Detritic seagrass may constitute a variable part of the diet of grazers associated with seagrass litter accumulation (STURARO et al., 2010) or seagrass meadows (VIZZINI, 2009; MICHEL, 2011). Detritic particles found in the meadow ranged widely in terms of size, as dead leaves may be fragmented inside the meadow by water motion (i.e. hydrophysical fragmentation), by microbial degradation, and by detrivorous fauna producing large amounts of fecal pellets. This material may be re-suspended and thereby become available for bryozoan feeding. Due to the colony size we were not able to measure stable isotopic composition in fall and early winter samples when detritic seagrass particles are sometimes abundant in the water column (DAUBY et al., 1995).

In our study, data relating to the stable isotopes composition of nitrogen and sulphur did not prove particularly useful as they did not discriminate very well between the potential food sources. However, δ¹⁵N values confirmed the low trophic level of E. posidoniae (i.e. close to primary producer isotopic compositions and lower than those of zooplankton, LEPOINT et al. 2000) and, therefore, the low contribution of zooplankton (i.e. primary consumers) as a potential food source.

It is well established that meadows of P. oceanica function as a trap for planktonic particles (GACIA et al., 2002). Suspensivore activity, including that of E. posidoniae, is another possible mode of transfer between the water column and benthic compartment, increasing the role of the meadow as a sink for water column primary production (LEMMENS
et al., 1996). Such coupling is significant in Australian seagrass meadows, although mainly attributed to macrobenthic suspensivores (Lemmens et al., 1996). In March and April 2003 (i.e. during phytoplankton bloom), the calculated surface area of E. posidoniae reached 4 m² of colony per m² of seafloor (Table 2). Based on published measurements for bryozoan filtering capacities (Lisbjerg & Petersen, 2000), and on phytoplanktonic biomasses measured in our study area (Lepoint et al., 2004), phytoplanktonic biomass potentially trapped by the feeding activity of E. posidoniae and transferred from the water column to the benthic compartment was estimated (Table 2). Filtered volume and biomass transfer are a small fraction of the particles settling in the meadow (Gacia et al., 2002), but this fraction is concentrated in the epiphytic compartment and is enriched in nitrogen and phosphorus, two elements that often limit primary production and that may indirectly benefit P. oceanica and other epiphytes through the waste products of E. posidoniae (NH₄ for example) (Bracken, 2004).

In conclusion, it appears that E. posidoniae is a central species of the leaf epiphytic community on P. oceanica, dominating the epiphytic biomass in early spring. It contributes to the coupling between the water column and the seagrass meadow and is likely to significantly contribute to the planktonic larval community. Its spatio-temporal colonization pattern may be related to competition with other epiphytes, and probably to food shortages occurring in late spring and summer. It is mainly a phytoplankton feeder; although alternative food sources cannot be excluded (tychoplankton and detritus of P. oceanica).

Many questions relating to this epiphytic specialist remain unanswered, such as the driver of larval specific positioning, its reproductive strategy to fill the gap between leaf fall and leaf growing season, or the way it interacts with its vegetal host (adhesion, positive/negative interactions) or with other epiphytes.
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