Screening of health workers exposed to SARS-CoV-2 in a university hospital in the south of France

Ludovic Noel1 · Elise Marion1 · Rafika Boufercha1 · Françoise Martin1 · Christine Zandotti2 · Remi Charrel2 · Mouloud Bouhadfane1 · Marie Pascale Lehucher-Michel1,3 · Antoine Villa1

Received: 10 March 2021 / Accepted: 16 August 2021 / Published online: 15 October 2021
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2021

Abstract
Introduction SARS-CoV-2, which causes COVID-19, is a virus that has caused a global pandemic. Health workers (HWs) are major players in the fight against this infection and are occupationally exposed to the virus in the course of their work. In this context, this study presents surveillance data on 1714 workers in a hospital center in the south of France for the period from March 17 to April 20, 2020.

Materials and methods Symptomatic HWs, contact cases and those with high anxiety were tested. Diagnosis of COVID-19 was performed by RT-PCR after nasopharyngeal sampling.

Results During this period, 30.4% of hospital staff received 3028 nasal swabs. Of these, 8.0% were infected with SARS-CoV-2. Among the SARS-CoV-2 positive HWs, 24.3% were asymptomatic. Among COVID unit and non COVID unit, the positive HWs for SARS-CoV-2 were, respectively, 5.8% and 8.2% (p = 0.2). HWs over 50 years of age were less likely to be positive for SARS-CoV-2 (3.8%) than other younger HWs (9.1%) (p < 0.001). No serious cases of COVID-19 were reported in our population during this period.

Discussion Our study suggests that HWs who tested positive for COVID-19 are often asymptomatic. Therefore, PPE is pivotal to prevent HWs to patients and HWs to HWs transmission during workshifts. Contact tracing and screening is essential to limit the spread of the virus within the hospital. On the other hand, HWs working in COVID-19 units are not more often infected probably because they have a higher risk awareness than other HWs.
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Introduction
SARS-CoV-2 is a virus responsible for a pandemic (COVID-19) that began on December 31, 2019 in Wuhan, China. Within weeks, the virus spread throughout China and within a month to several other countries, including Italy, the United States and Germany (Fan et al. 2020).

Symptoms of COVID-19 can range from a mild flu-like illness to severe acute respiratory distress syndrome (Tostmann et al. 2020; Tu et al. 2020). Approximately 3–29% of these patients are admitted to an intensive care unit. The overall mortality rate is approximately 5.4% (Tu et al. 2020).

Health workers (HWs) are key players in the fight against this pandemic (Lombardi et al. 2020). Their occupational exposure to the virus occurs when they care for infected patients, but also when they come into contact with other HWs who carry the virus. In this context, the implementation of collective and individual prevention measures is essential to limit the risk of contamination (Çelebi et al. 2020). To verify the effectiveness of these measures, a screening strategy for symptomatic subjects and contact subjects is necessary.

In this context, the aim of our study was to identify the number of positive HWs among the staff of a University...
Hospital Center in the south of France who had been screened by RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2.

**Material and method**

This descriptive cross-sectional study was performed on HWs in a hospital center in the south of France.

**Population studied**

All HWs who consulted an occupational physician from March 17 to April 20, 2020 for an RT-PCR test were included. The criteria for carrying out test were as follows: (1) symptomatic HWs (2) HWs, who were contact cases, were tested 4 days and 7 days after contact if they were asymptomatic or as soon as symptoms appeared; (3) HWs presenting with great anxiety about the possibility of infection and seeking reassurance. A HW was considered symptomatic if he presented otolaryngological or pulmonary symptoms or general signs such as fever, aches, arthralgias, myalgias. A sample was collected by a nurse of Occupational Health and Medicine Department and sent to the virology department for RT-PCR testing. Results were obtained within 24 h. When a HW had a positive RT-PCR test, a specialized consultation by an infectious disease specialist was offered.

**Nature of the tests performed**

Viral RNA was extracted from 200 μL of nasopharyngeal swab fluid, using the EZ1 Virus Mini Kit v2.0 (Qiagen®, Courtaboeuf, France). Then, SARS-CoV-2 RNA was assessed by a real-time reverse transcription (RT)-PCR system targeting the envelope protein (E)-encoding gene with the LightCycler Multiplex RNA Virus Master kit on a LightCycler 480 instrument (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). Estimated detection threshold was 200 copies/mL. An internal control phage was used to ensure RNA extraction and PCR accuracies (Lagier et al. 2020). A cycle threshold (CT) value < 35 is defined as positive. The RT-PCR tests used in this study had a very high specificity (> 99%).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 20.0 software. A Chi-square test and a Student test were used to data analysis.

**Results**

The hospital had a workforce of 5704 HWs of whom 1714 (30.0%; 1714/5704) were sampled and testing within the study period. Of the 3028 samples collected from the 1714 HWs tested, 8.0% (137/1714) were positive. Of the HWs tested: 893 had one sample, 634 had 2 samples, 75 had 3 samples and 117 had 4 samples or more.

The peak period of activity was from March 23 to March 30, 2020. During this period the median number of samples was 193 samples per day with a minimum of 44 and a maximum of 240 samples per day. The time course of the number of samples and positive tests between March 17 and April 20 is shown in Fig. 1.

The comparison of the evolution of the incidence of COVID in France and the number of tests performed by hospital staff is shown in Fig. 2.

The population tested consisted of 72.0% females (1234/1714). Of the 137 positive HWs, 67.1% (92/137) females were positive. There was no significant difference between the gender distribution in the population tested and the positive HWs (p = 0.23).

Among the men tested, 9.4% (45/480) were positive for SARS-CoV2. Among the women tested, 7.5% (92/1234) were positive for SARS-CoV-2. There was no significant difference between males and females RT-PCR positive (p = 0.2).

The distribution of SARS-CoV-2 positive HWs by age is shown in Fig. 3.

The HWs tested were younger (median 37 years; min–max 20–72 years) than the entire hospital population (median 39 years; min–max 20–72 years) (p < 0.001). In the population tested by RT-PCR, the positive HWs were younger (median 32 years; min–max 22–62 years) than the negative HWs (median 37 years; min–max 21–72 years) (p < 0.001).

HWs over 50 years of age were less likely to be positive for SARS-CoV-2 (3.8%; 14/373) than younger HWs (9.2%; 123/1341) (Fig. 2) (p < 0.001).

Among the SARS-CoV2 positive HWs, nurses, residents/physicians and assistant-nurses were the most affected occupational categories with 29.1% (40/137) and 21.2% (29/137) and 10.9% (15/137) respectively. Among physicians, the majority were resident (14.6%; 20/137).

Among COVID unit and non COVID unit, the positive HWs for SARS-CoV-2 was respectively 5.8% (13/223) and 8.2% (124/1512) (p = 0.2). Among SARS-CoV-2 positive HWs working off COVID unit, 6.4% (12/188) were assigned to pediatric units and 8.1% (125/1547) were assigned to adult or laboratory units (p = 0.4). Of the HW from adult units, 6.4% (8/124) were from Internal
Medicine, 5.6% (7/124) from Neurology, 5.6% (7/124) from Pharmacy and 5.6% from Emergency Medical Service.

Among HWs with at least one positive SARS-CoV-2 sample, 24.3% (25/103) were asymptomatic at the time of the positive test.

RT-PCR tests (35.9%; 952/2649) were performed in symptomatic HWs; 8.1% (77/952) of these tests were positive. RT-PCR tests (38.1%; 1010/2649) were performed in HWs, without symptom, who had risky contact, i.e. contact with a COVID-19 positive person, at less than 1 m distance, for more than 15 min, without a mask; 2.3% (23/1010) of these tests were positive. RT-PCR test performed in symptomatic HWs were more likely to be positive than RT-PCR test performed in asymptomatic HWs with risky contact ($p < 0.001$). RT-PCR tests (25.9%; 687/2649) were also performed in asymptomatic anxious HWs; 0.3% (2/687) of these tests were positive. RT-PCR test performed in asymptomatic HWs with risky contacts were more likely to be positive than RT-PCR test performed in asymptomatic anxious HWs ($p < 0.001$).

Among the positive RT-PCR tests, there was no significant difference ($p = 0.36$) between the CT values of symptomatic HWs (mean $25.0 \pm 5.4$) and the CT values of asymptomatic HWs (mean $25.5 \pm 5.5$).

During this period there was no reports of severe SARS-CoV-2 infection among hospital staff.

**Discussion**

Limited French data are available on the contamination of HWs exposed to SARS-CoV-2 (Greffe et al. 2020; Krastinova et al. 2020; Gagneux-Brunon et al. 2020).

Few HWs at the hospital contracted SARS-CoV-2. This finding is equivalent to those of seven studies that tested both symptomatic and asymptomatic HWs in contact with positive cases (Tostmann et al. 2020; Lombardi et al. 2020; Çelebi et al. 2020; Reusken et al. 2020; Rivett et al. 2020; Barrett et al. 2020; Porru et al. 2020). But this result is lower than that of three studies (Hunter et al. 2020; Keeley et al. 2020; Leeds et al. 2020) that tested only symptomatic cases; they found 14%, 18% and 43% positive HWs respectively.

More than 20% of positive healthcare workers were asymptomatic. This finding was also reported in two studies (Rivett et al. 2020; Lombardi et al. 2020) since respectively 57% and 20.1% of the positive HWs in their series were asymptomatic. These data highlight the importance of systematic screening of even asymptomatic contact cases and the use of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) to avoid transmission.

Contact tracing and screening is essential to limit the spread of the virus within the hospital. From an epidemiological point of view, the simple anxiety of HWs should
not be a sufficient criterion for performing an RT-PCR test, since only 0.3% of the professionals tested were positive. On the other hand, at the individual level, it can reassure the HWs.

During the study period the number of positive cases did not increase in proportion to the number of samples taken. It is likely that in our study the concern of the HWs, at the height of the pandemic, is at the origin of a resurgence of consultations of asymptomatic HWs because at this period the PPE available was limited.

The distribution of HWs infected by SARS-CoV-2 by age group showed a decrease in the percentage of cases as age increased, especially after the age of 45. It is possible that those over 45 years of age, being more experienced and feeling more at risk, may have been better protected or were assigned to less risky services or home confinement. For younger people, they may have felt less concerned or suffered from their lack of experience. The age distribution is consistent with the data collected by two studies (Tostmann et al. 2020; Suárez-García et al. 2020).

Almost half of the positives were nurses or residents/physicians. Although the percentages could vary significantly from one study to another, the 2 categories of personnel most often contaminated were nurses and resident/physicians (Tostmann et al. 2020; Keeley et al. 2020; Canova et al. 2020).

Fig. 2  A Incidence of COVID-19 cases per week in France from 17 March to 20 April. B Incidence of COVID-19 in health workers (HW) from 17 March to 20 April. The period of highest incidence of covid 19 in our study was week 2, from 24 to 30 March 2020, as was the case nationally, before a gradual decrease in the number of new cases in subsequent weeks.
HWs may have been infected by patients (Chang et al. 2020), although transmission of the virus from patients to HWs is not so frequent even without masks (Canova et al. 2020; Cheng et al. 2020; Ghinai et al. 2020; Ng et al. 2020). The risk factors for contamination of HWs by patients were clinical examination and exposure during the administration of nebulization therapy to the patient (Heinzerling et al. 2020). HWs detected as SARS-CoV-2 carriers were mostly working in non-CoVID units; like our study, three studies (Hunter et al. 2020; Porru et al. 2020; Gagneux-Brunon et al. 2020) did not find an increased risk of infection in the most exposed HWs probably because they are more aware of the hazards and risks associated with SARS-CoV-2, wear PPE more strictly, and are better informed and trained. Indeed, a more systematic use of PPE has been shown in the COVID-19 units (Jin et al. 2020). The main protective measures were the wearing of surgical masks by all workers and patients and regular hand washing. In case of contact with a positive or suspected positive patient, the protective equipment to be worn was FFP2 masks, gowns and disposable gloves.

Contamination among HWs also occurred during breaks. This mode of transmission has already been reported (Çelebi et al. 2020) in the context of SARS-COV-2 infection but also for other pathologies with mainly respiratory transmission (Gehanno et al. 1999; Pascual et al. 2006).

In our study no serious cases among HWs were reported, in contrast to the study by Wu et al. which reported 14.8% serious cases and 5 deaths (Wu and McGoogan 2020). This difference may be due to the early exclusion of staff at risk of developing severe forms of COVID-19 or may also be due to early management by a physician specialized in infectious diseases.

**Conclusion**

Our study suggests that HWs who tested positive for COVID-19 are often asymptomatic. Therefore, PPE is pivotal to prevent HWs to patients and HWs to HWs transmission during workshifts. Contact tracing and screening is essential to limit the spread of the virus within the hospital. On the other hand, HWs working in COVID-19 units are not more often infected probably because they have a higher risk awareness than other HWs.
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