Mutant p53 enhances MET trafficking and signalling to drive cell scattering and invasion
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INTRODUCTION

The p53 tumour suppressor protein has a critical role in preventing malignant progression and is mutated in more than half of all human cancers, frequently resulting in the expression of p53 proteins that carry a single amino acid substitution. The majority of these mutations affect the central DNA-binding domain of p53 and lead to the loss of wild-type p53 functions, such as the ability to promote cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in response to oncogenic stress. However, several of these p53 mutants, including the hotspot mutants R175H and R273H, show a gain of oncogenic function in driving invasion and metastasis.1–3 This novel gain of function is related, at least in part, to the ability of mutant p53 to cause changes in gene expression.4,5 Mutant p53 controls the expression of various genes both directly6–8 and indirectly by interfering with the function of other transcription factors, including NF-Y, VDR and the p53 family members p63 and p55 to regulate the activity of TAp63-dependent mechanisms.12,18 The ability of mutant p53 to regulate the activity of TAp63 is particularly interesting, as TAp63 can function as a suppressor of tumour development and metastasis15,16 and can regulate the expression of anti-metastatic genes, such as Dicer,16 Cyclin G2 and Sharp-1.17 However, it is clear that mutant p53 can also function through TAp63-independent mechanisms12,18 and mutant p53 drives invasive behaviour in cells that do not express detectable TAp63.19,20

We found previously that one consequence of mutant p53 expression is the increased recycling and signalling of integrins and EGFR (epidermal growth factor receptor)22 mediated by Rab coupling protein (RCP), which itself can act as an oncogene in breast cancers.21 Similar effects were induced by depletion of TAp63, suggesting that one mechanism by which mutant p53 functions is by preventing this function of TAp63.

In this study, we show that RCP-dependent MET (the receptor for hepatocyte growth factor, HGF) signalling is enhanced by mutant p53 expression, resulting not only in increased invasion but also in cell scattering. In cells expressing TAp63, this activity can be mediated through inhibition of TAp63, although mutant p53 also shows p63-independent activities. The importance of MET recycling in tumorigenesis has recently been demonstrated for tumour-derived MET mutants.22 However, our work is the first to demonstrate the importance of RCP in MET signalling and the modulation of this mechanism by mutant p53.

RESULTS

Mutant p53 expression promotes cell scattering

Several studies have shown that the ability of mutant p53 to promote enhanced metastasis in mouse models is paralleled by enhanced invasion of mutant p53-expressing cells in various tissue culture systems. To examine the effects of mutant p53 expression on cell growth characteristics, we generated a p53 null small cell lung cancer cell line (H1299) tagged with green fluorescent protein (GFP) and a mutant p53 (273H)-expressing H1299 cell line tagged with Cherry. Co-culture of p53 273H and control cells showed that the mutant p53 cells did not grow in colonies, but adopted a more scattered appearance compared with empty vector cells (red compared with green cells in Figure 1a). Using Ec dysone inducible (EI) H1299 cells, in which p5321 we induced expression of either the 175H or 273H mutant p53 to levels observed for MDA MB231 cells that express endogenous mutant p53 (Supplementary Figure S1a), we found that the mutant p53 cells did not grow in colonies, but showed a gain of oncogenic function in driving invasion and metastasis.1–3
Figure 1. Mutant p53 enhances MET signalling to drive scattering. (a) Merged red and green channel microscope images and bright field images of control (EV) and mutant p53 (273H) H1299 cells tagged with, respectively, GFP or Cherry. Scale bars indicate 50 μm. (b) EI H1299 cells expressing a vector (ctr) or mutant p53 (175H or 273H) were allowed to form discrete colonies. A total of 2.5 μg/ml ponA was then added to the cells and scattering was monitored using time lapse microscopy. Scale bars indicate 20 μm. (c) Immunostaining for ZO-1, PAR3, DAPI and a merge (left panels) or p53 (right panels) in EI 175H cells after ponA induction. Scale bars indicate 10 μm. Arrows indicate PAR3 staining in the cell–cell junctions. (d) EI 175H cells were transfected with MET siRNA and after 16 h induced with ponA or HGF for 48 h and analysed for scattering (left panels, quantification right panels). Knockdown of MET was verified using immunoblot analysis for MET expression (middle panel) with GCN5 as loading control. Scale bars indicate 50 μm. * indicates statistical significant changes (P < 0.05) as determined by a T-test. (e) Mutant p53 (175H or 273H) or control (EV) H1299 cells were analysed for MET expression and MET phosphorylation as assessed by western blot using different pMET antibodies with or without 10 min HGF stimulation. Total MET and actin were used as loading control. (f) Immunoblot analysis showing MET phosphorylation in EI 175H H1299 cells uninduced (none) or induced to express mutant p53 (ponA), incubated with HGF for 0.5, 1, 2, 4 or 10 min. Actin and total MET expression were used as loading controls. (g) ELISA measurement of HGF excretion in the medium of ponA-treated EI 175H cells. Ctr indicates medium that has not been exposed to cells. Pos indicates a positive HGF lysate as included in the ELISA kit.
that induction of either p53 mutant resulted in dissociation of established cell colonies (Figure 1b), an effect that was not seen in the E1 control cells lacking mutant p53. Although we were unable to detect either of the most commonly expressed adherens junction proteins, E-Cadherin or P-Cadherin, in H1299 cells, tight junction proteins, such as ZO-1 and PAR3, were detected at points of cell-cell contacts. PonA induction in E1 175H cells (Figure 1c) and E1 273H cells, but not E1 ctr cells (Supplementary Figure S1b), led to a time-dependent loss of both ZO-1 and PAR3 from the cellular junctions that coincided with increased nuclear mutant p53 expression.

The ability of mutant p53 to promote dissociation or scattering in vitro5,20 of epithelial cell colonies is reminiscent of the effect of activation of the MET receptor by its ligand HGF. The scattering of H1299 cells in response to mutant p53 was similar to that seen following treatment of cells with HGF (Figure 1d), although mutant p53 was somewhat less potent than HGF in inducing this response. Furthermore, HGF and ponA treatment decreased levels of ZO-1 at cellular junctions (Supplementary Figure S1b). Both mutant p53- and HGF-induced scattering (Figure 1d) and loss of ZO-1 from cell junctions (Supplementary Figure S1c) was dependent on MET expression, suggesting that signalling through MET is important for the scattering response to mutant p53. Importantly, the reduction in mutant p53-induced scattering resulting from small interfering RNA (siRNA)-mediated depletion of MET was rescued by MET overexpression, confirming the specificity of MET knockdown (Supplementary Figure S1d). By contrast, EGFR treatment of control cells did not induce either scattering (Supplementary Figure S1e) or loss of ZO-1 from cellular junctions (Supplementary Figure S1f). Furthermore, inhibition of the EGFR with PD153035 did not prevent HGF- or mutant p53-induced driven scattering (data not shown), indicating that EGFR activity is not required for scattering.

Mutant p53 enhances MET activation and promotes MET recycling The scattering behaviour of cells in response to mutant p53 expression prompted us to test whether mutant p53-expressing cells showed any increase in MET activation. Using H1299 cells stably expressing mutant p53, we found that the activating phosphorylations on tyrosine residues within the catalytic domain of MET (1230–1235) were enhanced in mutant p53 (175H and 273H) cells (Figure 1e). Mutant p53 expression also increased the sensitivity of cells to phosphorylation on these sites following treatment with exogenous HGF (Figure 1f). This enhanced phosphorylation was much less apparent when using an antibody that recognizes phosphorylated MET. Overexpression, confirming the specificity of MET knockdown (Supplementary Figure S1d). By contrast, EGFR treatment of control cells did not induce either scattering (Supplementary Figure S1e) or loss of ZO-1 from cellular junctions (Supplementary Figure S1f). Furthermore, inhibition of the EGFR with PD153035 did not prevent HGF- or mutant p53-induced driven scattering (data not shown), indicating that EGFR activity is not required for scattering.

Mutant-p53 expressing cells show MET-dependent signalling To determine whether signalling downstream of MET was enhanced in mutant p53-expressing cells, we examined MAPK activation.29,30 Induction of mutant p53 (in E1 175H cells) resulted in an increase in ERK1/2 phosphorylation compared with control cells, and enhanced ERK1/2 phosphorylation in response to HGF treatment (Figure 3a). A titration of ponA revealed a dose-dependent increase in ERK1/2 phosphorylation in response to p53, although again no increase in overall MET or ERK1/2 proteins were detected (Supplementary Figure S2a). Similarly, transient expression of either 175H or 273H mutant p53 constructs enhanced ERK1/2 phosphorylation (Supplementary Figure S2b). Mutant p53 also enhances EGFR signalling, but importantly we were able to show that the induction of ERK1/2 phosphorylation was largely dependent on MET in these cells, as MET depletion substantially reduced phospho-ERK1/2 accumulation (Figure 3a). In H1299 cells stably expressing mutant p53, the basal levels of phospho-ERK1/2 were the same as those seen in p53 null control cells (Figure 3b), suggesting that prolonged growth in culture stimulates the known negative feedback mechanisms function to limit ERK1/2 activation.31 However, mutant p53-expressing cells retained enhanced sensitivity to exogenous HGF, showing enhanced phosphorylation of ERK1/2 that was blunted by MET depletion (Figure 3b) or treatment with a MET inhibitor (Supplementary Figure S2c). Treatment of cells with a MEK inhibitor to inhibit ERK1/2 phosphorylation blocked both HGF and mutant p53-driven cell scattering (Figure 3c), demonstrating the importance of ERK1/2 signalling to this response. To determine whether mutant p53 signals leading to ERK1/2 phosphorylation in vivo, we stained sections of xenografts that had been generated by injecting H1299-EV and H1299 p53 273H cells in the mammary fat pad of mice20 for pERK1/2 (Figure 3d). H1299 p53 273H xenografts showed more staining that was localized primarily at the invasive front of the tumour. In a pancreatic ductal carcinoma (PDAC) mouse model, gain-of-function expression of mutant p53 with K-Ras mutation has previously been shown to result in liver metastases,22 which are not seen from tumours arising in the absence of p53. Sections of pancreatic tumours originating from p53-loxp/loxp mice showed the most intense pERK1/2 expression in almost all tumours derived from mutant p53 mice (Figure 3e). More importantly, cell lines derived from the mutant p53 PDAC had
increased basal pERK1/2 levels and increased phosphorylation of both MET and ERK1/2 after HGF exposure (Figure 3f). Together these data demonstrate that mutant p53 can enhance MET-dependent ERK1/2 phosphorylation, resulting in enhanced scattering and invasion.

Mutant p53 drives MET-dependent invasion
Previously we showed that mutant p53 promotes the ability of cells to invade through Matrigel towards EGF by promoting RCP-dependent increased recycling of α5β1 integrin and EGFR. As both EGFR and MET signalling have been shown to contribute to
invasion, we examined the ability of mutant p53 expression to influence the invasive behaviour of cells in Matrigel using HGF as an attractant. These assays showed increased invasion of mutant p53 cells towards either EGF or HGF (Figure 4a) and induction of mutant p53 in the ponA-inducible cell line also led to increased invasion towards HGF (Figure 4b and Supplementary Figure S3a). IGF-1 (insulin-like growth factor) or PDGF-β (platelet-derived growth factor-β) were unable to enhance the invasive behaviour of mutant p53-expressing cells (Supplementary Figure S3b), although the cells were responsive to both growth factors as demonstrated by AKT phosphorylation (Supplementary Figure S3c). Interestingly, the IGF-1-R and PDGF-β-R were not detected as RCP-binding partners in our SILAC analysis, suggesting some specificity in the receptor tyrosine kinases that are influenced by RCP and therefore targets for mutant p53. The increased invasion of mutant p53 cells towards HGF was dependent on MET (Figure 4c) and α5β1 integrin (Figure 4d) function. Importantly, the increased invasion (although not basal invasion) seen in mutant p53-expressing cells was also lost following RCP depletion (Figure 4e). These data indicate that RCP-mediated recycling of integrin and MET is required for the enhanced invasiveness of mutant p53 cells. Notably, loss of ZO-1 or PAR3 (which led to cell scattering, Figure 2f) also resulted in enhanced invasion of empty vector (EV) cells, suggesting that loss of cell–cell junctions can at least partially contribute to the invasion of mutant p53 cells (Figure 4f).

MET and EGFR share several downstream signalling targets and crosstalk between these two receptors has been demonstrated to enhance proliferation, invasion and scattering. This prompted us to investigate whether mutant p53 specifically increased
Figure 4. Mutant p53 promotes MET-dependent invasion towards HGF. (a) Mutant p53 (273H) or control (EV) H1299 cells were analysed for invasion capacity in fibronectin-supplemented Matrigel using EGF or HGF as a chemo-attractant (left panel). Invasion was quantified as described in the Materials and methods section and values are means ± s.e.m. of six replicates from each of three independent experiments (right panel). * indicates statistical significant changes ($P < 0.05$) as determined by a T-test. (b) EI 175H H1299 cells were analysed for invasion capacity in fibronectin-supplemented Matrigel using HGF as a chemo-attractant after induction with increasing doses of ponA. Invasion was quantified as described in the Materials and methods section and values are means ± s.e.m. of six replicates from each of three independent experiments (right panel). * indicates statistical significant changes ($P < 0.05$) as determined by a T-test. (c) Mutant p53 (273H) or control (EV) H1299 cells were analysed for invasion capacity in fibronectin-supplemented Matrigel using HGF as a chemo-attractant after knockdown of MET using siRNA. * indicates statistical significant changes ($P < 0.05$) as determined by a T-test. Knockdown of MET was verified by western blot (right panel), using GCN5 as a loading control. (d) Mutant p53 (273H) or control (EV) H1299 cells were analysed for invasion capacity in fibronectin-supplemented Matrigel with monoclonal antibodies against α5 integrin, using HGF as a chemo-attractant. * indicates statistical significant changes ($P < 0.05$) as determined by a T-test. (e) Mutant p53 (273H) or control (EV) H1299 cells were transfected with RCP siRNA and analysed for invasion capacity in fibronectin-supplemented Matrigel using HGF as a chemo-attractant. Knockdown of RCP was verified by western blot using actin as loading control (right panel). * indicates statistical significant changes ($P < 0.05$) as determined by a T-test. (f) H1299 EV cells were transfected with siRNA against ZO-1 or PAR3 and compared with 273H cells for invasion capacity in fibronectin-supplemented Matrigel using HGF as a chemo-attractant. Knockdown was verified by western blot using actin as loading control (right panel). * indicates statistical significant changes ($P < 0.05$) as determined by a T-test. (g) 3D reconstructive images of 273H H1299 cells invading towards EGF or HGF. (h) MDA MB231 cells were transfected with siRNA-targeting (mutant) p53 and analysed for invasion capacity into fibronectin-supplemented Matrigel using HGF as chemo-attractant (middle panel). Knockdown was verified by western blot using actin as loading control (left panel). Quantified invasion is shown in the right panel.
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signalling via MET to enhance invasion towards HGF, or whether this reflected concurrent activation of the EGFR. Treatment of cells with the EGFR inhibitor PD153035 resulted in an overall decrease in cell invasion towards HGF, but the difference in invasion between mutant p53 and control cells was unaffected (Supplementary Figure S3d). Therefore, although EGFR signalling contributes to the basal invasive activity of these cells, enhanced HGF-driven invasion promoted by mutant p53 requires MET, but not EGFR signalling. Interestingly, a clear difference in the invasion of mutant p53 cells promoted by the two growth factors was evident in 3D images of invading cells, with HGF promoting more extensive invasion and also more branched invasive structures (Figure 4g and Supplementary Movies S1a and S1b). To confirm that endogenous mutant p53 also enhanced invasion towards HGF, we repeated the assay using MDA MB231 cells (expressing R280K p53). These cells invaded efficiently towards HGF, an activity that was strongly inhibited following depletion of the endogenous mutant p53 (Figure 4h).

Endogenous mutant p53 can modulate MET-dependent scattering and invasion

To address the role of endogenous mutant p53 in regulating the scatter process, we used HT29 cells and A431 cells (both of which express endogenous p53 273H). These cells express E-cadherin37,38 and form tight colonies under normal growth conditions. In response to high levels of HGF, the cells scatter30,39 (Figures 5a and c) and E-cadherin is lost from the cell junctions (Supplementary Figures S4a and S4b). siRNA-mediated depletion of the endogenous mutant p53 resulted in a decrease in the ability of these cells to scatter in response to HGF (Figures 5a and c) and the retention of E-cadherin and ZO-1 at the cell junctions after prolonged HGF treatment (Supplementary Figures S4a and S4b). To quantify this effect, we made Z-stacks of A431 and HT29 colonies and measured the height of the E-cadherin staining at the junctions in cells that were treated with HGF. It is important to note that for these experiments we looked at a time point prior to cell scattering. At these early times following HGF treatment, control cells showed a clear reduction in the height of E-cadherin staining in response to HGF, which was impaired by depleting mutant p53 (Figures 5b and d). Furthermore, A431 cells depleted of endogenous mutant p53 showed decreased MET phosphorylation in response to HGF (Figure 5e), which is consistent with our results in H1299 cells. Consistent with these cell models, cells derived from mutant p53-expressing PDAC tumours scattered better after HGF exposure compared with cells from p53 null tumours (Figure 5f). Expression of the human mutant p53 (R280K) in MDA MB231 cells further enhanced invasion of the p53–/– PDAC tumours also enhanced scattering (Figure 5g), invasion into organotypic assays (Figure 5h) and phosphorylation of ERK1/2 and MET in response to HGF (Figure 5i), indicating that our observations are not the result of heterogeneity of the pancreatic tumours or clonal selection of PDAC cells.

The role of TAp63 in mediating mutant p53 activities

Several functions of mutant p53 have been attributed to the ability to bind and inhibit the activity of p53 family members p63 and p73. Indeed, loss of the TA isoform of p63 has been shown to drive invasion and metastasis in a manner very similar to that seen in response to mutant p53 expression.6,40 Our previous studies showed that depletion of p63, but not p73, promoted invasion of H1299 cells towards EGF, and we found a similar effect of p63 depletion on the ability of these cells to invade towards HGF (Figure 6a). Neither mutant p53 expression nor loss of p63 promoted invasion in general towards medium without additional growth factors (Supplementary Figure S5a). The invasion promoted by p63 depletion was also dependent on MET expression (Figure 6b). In MDA MB231 cells, where depletion of the endogenous mutant p53 inhibited invasion towards HGF, depletion of p63 had no effect on overall invasion (Figure 6c). However, loss of p63 rescued the invasive behaviour in cells depleted of mutant p53 (Figure 6c). Turning to cell scattering in H1299 cells, we observed scattering in Cherry-tagged control cells after knockdown of p63, similar to that seen in the GFP-tagged mutant p53 cells (Figure 6d). Consistently, reduced expression of p63 in control cells using a pool of siRNA (Figure 6e) or single siRNA (Supplementary Figure S5b) resulted in scattering similar to that observed following mutant p53 induction (Figure 6e). The scattering induced by loss of p63 in these cells was also MET and RCP dependent (Figure 6f). Taken together, the results support a model in which mutant p53 functions to inhibit the activity of p63, and that either expression of mutant p53 or depletion of p63 promotes invasion and cell scattering through an RCP-dependent mechanism.

To examine the relationship between mutant p53-induced scattering and the inhibition of p63 more closely, we tested a number of cell lines for patterns of p63 expression. There is some lack of consensus in the literature concerning which isoforms of p63 are expressed in which cell lines, inconsistencies that may reflect drift of different clones of these established cell lines. In our hands (Figure 7a), both H1299 and MDA MB231 cells expressed the TA isoforms of p63, with no detectable expression of ΔNp63 (TAp63 expression in H1299 cells has been confirmed by others).15 By contrast, we found that A431 and HT29 cells expressed very low levels of TAp63, with high levels of ΔNp63 expression in A431 cells (as previously described12 (Figure 7a). However, despite this very low level of TAp63 expression, both A431 and HT29 cells showed mutant p53-dependent scattering (Figure 4). Depletion of p63 did not alter the scattering of these cells in response to HGF (Figure 7b, c and d) but, surprisingly, depletion of p63 did not reverse the inhibition of scattering following depletion of mutant p53 in either cell line (Figure 7b, c and d), suggesting that mutant p53 can function independently of p63. We therefore turned to the colorectal carcinoma HCT116 cell lines, in which we were unable to detect expression of any p63 isoform (Figure 7a). Lack of p63 expression in these cells has been described by others, although TAp63 expression can be induced by treatment with the HDAC inhibitor TSA.33 Mutant p53-dependent scattering was also observed in these cells, where we compared cells null for p53 with those engineered to express mutant p53 (Figure 7e). Although the null cells formed very tight colonies that were not responsive to HGF, the mutant p53 cells formed looser colonies with many elongated cells, which scattered in response to HGF (Figure 7e). Consistent with our observation in other cell lines, these cells showed a mutant p53-dependent enhanced activation of MET in response to HGF (Figure 7f). Finally, siRNA-mediated depletion of mutant p53 and MET in the mutant p53-expressing HCT116 cells inhibited scattering in response to HGF, confirming the specificity of mutant p53 driving this scattering via MET (Figure 7g).

Taken together, these results indicate that whereas mutant p53 can function through inhibition of p63 in cells expressing TAp63, the effects of mutant p53 on cell invasion and scattering can also be mediated by p63-independent mechanisms.

DISCUSSION

The activation of MET has been particularly associated with metastatic behaviour of tumours44 and silencing of MET in established metastases using lentiviral RNAi approaches has been shown to lead to tumour regression in mice.45 MET is a receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) that has a role in various cellular processes, including proliferation, invasion and cell scattering. The ability of MET signalling to induce cell scattering has been well established in the MDCK canine cell line46 and we show here that
several human cell lines derived from various tumour types scatter in response to HGF in a mutant p53-dependent manner. Furthermore, we show that whereas cell lines derived from invasive pancreatic tumours that harbour a p53 mutation scatter in response to HGF, non-invasive p53 null cancers do not show this phenotype. These results suggest the scattering process induced in response to mutant p53 expression might have a role in cancer metastasis in vivo. The enhanced recycling of the MET receptor has previously been shown to promote ERK activation and cell migration and oncogenic mutant MET shows enhanced

Figure 5. Endogenous mutant p53 also promotes scattering. (a) and (c) Scattering images of HT29 cells (a) or A431 (c) after transfection with control siRNA or p53 siRNA in the presence of 30 ng/ml HGF for 48 h (upper panels). Scale bars indicate 50 μm. Knockdown of p53 was verified by western blot using actin as loading control (bottom panels). (b) and (d) Ortho-images of Z-stacks of overlay immune fluorescence of HT29 (b) and A431 (d) cells, with views of XY (centre image), XZ (above centre image) or YZ (right of centre image) axes. Cells were transfected with control siRNA or p53 siRNA, then treated or not with 30 ng/ml HGF for 16 h and the height of E-Cadherin staining in cell junctions is shown (right panels). p53 (red), E-cadherin (green), DAPI (blue) and scale bars indicate 10 μm. * indicates statistical significant changes (P < 0.05) as determined by a T-test. (e) Immunoblot analysis showing MET phosphorylation in A431 cells transfected with siRNA-targeting (mutant) p53 and incubated with HGF for indicated times. Actin and total MET expression were used as loading controls. (f) Scattering of cell lines established from the p53 null and mutant p53 (172H) pancreatic tumours after HGF treatment for 48 h. Scale bars indicate 50 μm. Scattering (g), invasion in organotypic assays (h) and MET/ERK1/2 phosphorylation (i) in response to HGF of cell lines established from the p53 null pancreatic tumours that were stably transfected with human mutant p53 175H and 273H. Scale bars indicate 50 μm. Total MET, total ERK1/2 and actin were used as loading controls.
trafficking and signalling.22 Our data reveal another mechanism for oncogenic MET activation in the absence of mutations in MET, which occurs as a response to mutant p53 expression.

The intersection of p53 and MET signalling has been proposed by several previous studies that demonstrated an ability of wild-type p53 function to control MET expression. Whereas MET has been reported as target for transcriptional activation by p53,28 other studies have shown that wild-type p53 suppresses expression of MET, both through direct repression of the MET promoter and indirectly through enhanced expression of miR-34.48 Although mutant p53 proteins were shown to retain some ability to repress MET expression in ovarian surface epithelial cells,77 we were unable to detect a clear effect of mutant p53 in altering the overall levels of MET in cells lacking wild-type p53. These observations may reveal dissociation between MET mRNA and protein levels. Indeed, it is possible that in the longer term the effects of p53 on MET recycling may lead to its accumulation (and other similarly regulated receptors such as the EGFR) by interfering with the normal internalization and lysosomal degradation of the activated receptors. Taken together, the data suggest that in most cell types loss of wild-type p53 results in increased MET expression, whereas expression of mutant p53 further sensitizes cells to enhance MET signalling by promoting its RCP-dependent recycling. Importantly, our results show the mutant p53-driven recycling of MET results in enhanced sensitivity to HGF either in basal medium (where the only HGF available is that secreted by the cells) or in response to addition of HGF to the medium. Although we see enhanced activation of ERK1/2 in mutant p53-expressing cells, it is not yet clear whether this RCP-dependent signalling is qualitatively the same as the response of cells to high levels of HGF in all respects. We previously described differential phosphorylation of EGFR in mutant p53 cells that distinguished this signal from that seen in response to EGF.20 RTK activation consists of a series of events that include (auto) phosphorylation, dimerization, decreased dephosphorylation and decreased degradation, followed by the concerted action of numerous different signalling molecules that bind RTKs and subsequently determine the cellular response.49 Differential modification and activation of downstream signalling cascades in response to different stimuli has been described for these receptors, and the exact consequences of mutant p53 on further downstream events remain to be determined.

Although we have shown that recycling and signalling from both the EGFR and MET are enhanced by mutant p53, mutant p53-expressing cells did not show enhanced invasion in response to PDGF-β or IGF-1. These results illustrate some degree of selectivity in either mutant p53- or RCP-driven receptor recycling, although it seems possible that other RTKs will be regulated in the

Figure 6. Effect of p63 depletion on cell scattering and invasion. (a) Mutant p53 (273H) or control (EV) H1299 cells were transfected with p63 siRNA and analysed for their invasion capacity to HGF as chemo-attractant. To verify knockdown of p63, relative p63 mRNA expression levels are shown in the right panel. * indicates statistical significant changes (P<0.05) as determined by a T-test. (b) Analysis of invasion of EV cells transfected with a combination of p63 and MET siRNA compared with 273H cells using HGF as chemo-attractant. (c) MDA MB231 cells were analysed for invasion capacity in fibronectin-supplemented Matrigel after knockdown of (mutant) p53 and/or p63, using HGF as chemoo- attractant. * indicates statistical significant changes (P<0.05) as determined by a T-test. (d) Fluorescence images of co-cultures of H1299 cells expressing mutant p53 273H and GFP with empty vector (EV) cells expressing Cherry, following transfection of p63 siRNA. Scale bars indicate 50 μm. (e) EL175H cells were transfected with p63 or ctr siRNA and incubated in ponA (2.5 μg/ml) as indicated. After 48 h, scattering was quantified. * indicates statistical significant changes (P<0.05) as determined by a T-test. Scale bars indicate 50 μm. (f) H1299 cells were transfected with MET or RCP siRNA in combination with p63 siRNA and quantified for scattering 48 h later. * indicates statistical significant changes (P<0.05) as determined by a T-test.
same way as both the EGFR and MET, and so contribute to the response to mutant p53. We are presently analysing the contribution to enhanced invasion and tumorigenesis that is made by several additional RTKs that were found to be associated with RCP in our mass spectrometry analysis. As knockdown of RCP can prevent invasion and scattering, future work will focus on understanding this process and the possibilities of inhibiting RCP as a means of therapy.

**Figure 7.** Mutant p53 regulates scattering via p63-dependent and -independent mechanisms. (a) p63 isoform expression in H1299, MDA MB231, A431, HT29 and HCT116 cells using isoform-specific oligos and quantitative RT–PCR. Expression is compared with expression of the TAp63 isoform in H1299 cells and corrected for GAPDH expression in each cell line. (b) Images of scattering of HT29 cells that were transfected with siRNA against (mutant) p53 and/or p63 and after 16 h incubated in HGF for 48 h. (c) Quantification of scattering of HT29 cells that were transfected with siRNA against (mutant) p53 and/or p63 and after 16 h incubated in HGF for 48 h. (d) Quantification of scattering of HT29 cells that were transfected with siRNA against (mutant) p53 and/or p63 for 16 h and incubated in HGF for 48 h. (e) HCT 116 −/− or HCT 248W/− cells were analysed for scattering after HGF treatment for 48 h. (f) HCT116 248W/− cells were transfected with p53 siRNA, serum starved for 1 h and incubated with HGF as indicated. pMET and p53 levels were analysed by western blot, total MET and actin were used as loading control. (g) HCT116 −/− or HCT248W/− cells were transfected with siRNA against p53 or MET for 16 h and analysed for scattering after HGF treatment for 48 h (left panels). Knockdown of MET and p53 was verified by western blot as indicated in the right panel. Actin was used as loading control.
Mutant p53 function through a number of mechanisms, including control of transcription by direct binding to regulatory regions of target genes, indirect modulation of gene expression through interaction with other transcription factors and transcriptionally independent activities that reflect the interaction of p53 with other proteins.20,31 Through these mechanisms, mutant p53 controls numerous responses, including cell survival, migration, invasion, autophagy and metabolism. The ability of mutant p53 to inhibit the activity of TAp63 is appearing as a mechanism to promote invasion, as depletion or deletion of TAp63 promotes many of the invasive phenotypes also seen in response to mutant p53.17,20,40 We show here that regulation of TAp63 by mutant p53 contributes to the cell’s scattering response in those cells that retain TAp63 expression. However, the scattering activity of mutant p53 in cells that have low or no detectable TAp63 is mediated by functions that are distinct from the ability to inhibit p63. This may reflect any of the other reported activities of mutant p53 and highlights the recognition of numerous, potentially independent, activities of mutant p53 that contribute to phenotypes such as enhanced invasion and metastasis. It is clear that the final cell response to mutant p53 expression will reflect the integration of a plethora of signals that are both p63 dependent and independent.

From a clinical perspective, the ability of mutant p53 to promote both EGFR and MET trafficking and signalling is an interesting finding, because both receptors have been reported to be activated in many cancers, and in combination strategies in vivo and in vitro to inhibit both receptors have been found to be more effective than inhibition of only one.32–35 Thus, the identification of RTKs that are activated by mutant p53 may highlight combinations of inhibitors that would be particularly effective in the treatment of mutant p53-expressing cancers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture, generation of PDAC cell lines and constructs

H1299 cells, HT29, MDA-MB-231 and A431 cells were obtained from ATCC and cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) supplemented with 10% FCS (fetal calf serum) and 1% glutamine at 37°C and 5% CO2. HCT116 p53 null and HCT116 248W cells were described previously.36 The EI system was previously described and cells were established as described in Noll et al.13 Stable cell lines and mutant p53 constructs were generated as described previously.20,30 GFP and ACUUCAACUUUGACAUG(TT)-3

SGS (10 KDa, PAGE) and 35S-labeled amino acid incorporation of medium and heavy amino acids was measured.

Organotypic assays

Organotypic assays were done as described previously.63 Briefly, ~2 × 105 immortalized human fibroblasts were embedded in a 3D (three-dimensional) matrix of rat tail collagen I. Rat tail tendon collagen solution was prepared by the extraction of tendons with 0.5 M acetic acid to a concentration of ~2 mg/ml. Detached, polymerized matrix (2.5 ml) in 35 mm petri dishes was allowed to contract for approximately 6 days in complete media (DMEM, supplemented with 10% FCS, Invitrogen) until the fibroblasts had contracted the matrix to ~1.5 cm diameter. Subsequently, 4 × 104 PDAC cells were plated on top of the matrix in complete media and allowed to grow to confluence for 5 days. The matrix was then mounted on a metal grid and raised to the air/liquid interface resulting in the matrix being fed from below with complete media that was changed every 2 days. After 8–12 days, the cultures were fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde and processed by standard methods for hematoxylin and eosin staining. Representative images of at least three independent experiments are shown.

Scattering assays

For live cell imaging, 2500 cells were seeded in six-well plates and allowed to settle for 32 h. Cells were incubated in the indicated chemicals and scattering was measured using a phase-contrast ×20 objective of an inverted microscope (Nikon TE2000, Nikon, Surrey, UK) capturing pictures every 10 min for 16 h.

For knockdown experiments, H1299 cells or HCT116 cells were transfected with siRNA using hiperfect 24 h after seeding, washed 5 h later and incubated in 2.5 μg/ml p0nA (Invitrogen), 10 μM U1026 (Sigma, Gillingham, UK), 10 ng/ml HGF (Sigma) or 25 ng/ml EGF (Sigma) for 48 h as indicated. For A431 cells and HT-29 cells, nucleofection was used to knockdown mutant p53 and cells were subsequently plated sparsely in six-well dishes and incubated with 20 ng/ml HGF for 48 h. Phase-contrast
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images were taken using an Olympus CKX41 microscope, Olympus, East Grinstead, UK and lysates were harvested for immunoblot analysis to verify knockdown after scattering. For each condition, > 1000 cells from three independent experiments were counted and tagged as 'scattered' or 'non-scattered' in the image to plug 'cell counter' to calculate the proportion of scattered cells. Scattered cells were defined as cells that were growing in colonies of four cells or less or cells that were touching only one other cell.

**Immunohistochemistry**

pERK1/2 (p44/42) MAPK ab (9101, Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA, USA; 1:200) was used to detect mouse pERK1/2 levels and p53 CM-5 (Vector Labs, Peterborough, UK, VP-P956) was used to detect mouse p53 levels. Both antibodies underwent pH 6 Na Citrate heat-induced epitope retrieval. The immunohistochemistry/IHC was carried out on paraffin-embedded tissue obtained as described previously\(^\text{56}\) using an EnVision kit (Dako, Hamburg, Germany) according to manufacturer’s instructions.

**Inverted Matrigel invasion assay**

Matrigel assays were performed as previously described.\(^\text{56}\) In short, Matrigel (BD Biosciences, Oxford, UK), supplemented with 25 μg/ml fibronectin (FN, Sigma) was polymerized in transwell inserts (Corning Life Sciences, High Wycombe, UK) for 45 min at 37 °C. The inserts were inverted and 2.5 × 10⁵ cells were seeded at the base of the insert. The remaining cells were seeded in new dishes and lysates were taken to verify knockdown. The inserts were placed in serum-free medium and the upper chamber was filled with 10% FCS and 25 mg/ml EGF, 10 ng/ml HGF, 5 ng/ml IGF-1 (Sigma), 0.1 μg/ml of integrin-blocking antibodies (Mab16) were added to the Matrigel before polymerization were necessary and to the medium in all compartments. Migrating cells were stained in medium containing 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Invitrogen) and were visualized by confocal microscopy (Leica) in serial sections of 1 μm on the Fluoview FV1000, Olympus. Images were generated with 0.2 μm intervals and E-cadherin in the Z-stacks was quantified by determining the number of slides in a stack over which a continuous staining of E-cadherin between two cells could be detected.

**Quantitative RT–PCR**

For quantitative RT–PCR analyses, RNA was isolated from cells using a Qiagen kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Complementary DNA was generated using the first-strand cDNA kit from Invitrogen according to the manufacturer’s instructions on 0.5 μg DNAse-treated total RNA. For the RT–PCR reaction, 5 μl of the 40 times diluted complementary DNA was used in combination with 10 μl SYBR green Mastermix (Thermo Scientific), 1 μl of each oligo (10 μM) and 3 μl H₂O with the following PCR conditions: 94 °C annealing 2 min, 40 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 60 °C and 1 min at 72 °C. After finishing these cycles, a melting curve was generated to check for specificity. mRNA expression of GAPDH was used as a reference. Oligos: GAPDH fw 5′-CACAGTATGACCCATCTTGCT-3′; GAPDH rev 5′-TGAATTCCTGAGTCACGGAT TTGGT-3′; total p63 H1299 cells fw 5′-TTCTTCAGAGTGGTGCTGCT-3′; total p63 H299 cells rev 5′-GATCGATGTGAAATGTCGTC-3′; TA p63 fw 5′-GGAGCTG- TATCCGATCGACG-3′; TA p63 rev 5′-GAGCTTGCTGTCGATGAG-3′; dn p63 fw 5′-GAAGAAGGACAGCGACCATGTA-3′; dn p63 rev 5′-GGAGCTGGTGCCAGGAG-3′.

**Recycling assays**

Measurement of integrin recycling has been described previously\(^\text{59}\). This protocol was optimized for MET using 5 μg/ml of MET antibody (R&D systems) to detect levels of biotinylated MET in 50 μl lysate by capture enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays. The quality of the MET antibody to pull down biotinylated MET in H1299 cells that were labelled with biotin was determined in western blots/IPS (immunoprecipitations) in which MET levels and biotinylated MET (using a streptavidin-HRP antibody to detect MET in the IP) were determined. Competition experiments to measure the specificity of the MET antibody for pulldown of biotinylated MET were performed using a capture enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.
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