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Abstract: To improve the application of low-quality aggregates in structural concrete, this study investigated the effect of multi-purpose mineral admixtures, such as fly ash and ground granulated blast-furnace slag, on the performance of concrete. Accordingly, the primary performance of low-quality recycled aggregate concrete could be improved by varying the replacement ratio of the recycled aggregate and using appropriate mineral admixtures such as fly ash and ground granulated blast-furnace slag. The results show the potential for the use of low-quality aggregate in structural concrete.
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1. Introduction

In Japan, a survey conducted in 2018 by the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, and Transport showed that 74.4 million tonnes of construction waste are annually produced, most of which are recycled in compliance with the related laws and ordinances. Concrete waste accounts for 36.9 million tonnes of the total waste. Although the concrete recycling rate has reached 99.3%, most of the waste is used as roadbed gravel or backfill materials [1]. Therefore, there is a requirement to develop a high-value recycling method for concrete waste that would improve resource diversion and environmental preservation.

Currently, concrete waste is used almost entirely as roadbed gravel, such as RC-40 (recycled crusher run 0 to 40 mm); however, the demand for roadbed gravel is not expected to increase because of the declining construction of new roads [1]. New uses of concrete waste should be sought to counter the expected increase in the production of this waste with newer construction projects. Concrete waste contains harmful trace elements due to the use of cement, such as hexavalent chromium and lead. These trace elements may leach into the environment when fine mortar grits (diameter ≤ 5 mm), such as recycled fine aggregates and powders, are subjected to wetting [2]. Therefore, reducing the amount of fine powder may help to reduce the environmental risks of soil contamination associated with concrete waste recycling.

The most promising alternative material is recycled aggregate concrete (RAC) prepared using the aggregate replacement method. RAC is manufactured by mixing a certain amount of recycled aggregate class L; the uses of which are confined to concrete prepared from original mortar or original cement paste that includes toxic elements such as hexavalent chromium.

In 2018, the amount of RAC used was 119,000 tonnes, which is equivalent to 0.3% of the concrete recycling rate, while the remaining amount was used as roadbed gravel or backfill materials. This amount is approximately twice the 55,000 tonnes recorded in 2012; nevertheless, it is still a small amount. The amounts of RAC class H, M and L were 64,000, 50,000 and 5000 tonnes, respectively. Among them, the amount of RAC class M increased by 48,000 tonnes.
Table 1 lists the category of RAC and the combination of recycled aggregate [3]. In the revision of the Japanese Industrial Standard JIS A 5022 (Recycled aggregate concrete–Class M), RAC class M (M1 and M2) can be manufactured by mixing a certain amount of recycled aggregate class L, which is smaller than the regulated upper limit of the replacement ratio with normal aggregates [4]. Accordingly, the negative impact on the environment can be decreased by increasing the use of recycled aggregate class L [5]. Therefore, it is important to promote the use of RAC class M.

| RAC Category | Coarse Aggregate | Fine Aggregate |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------|
| RAC–Class H1 | 100% or an amount of recycled coarse aggregate class H | Normal fine aggregate |
| RAC–Class H2 | 100% or an amount of recycled coarse aggregate class H | 100% or an amount of recycled fine aggregate class H |
| RAC–Class M1 | 100% or an amount of recycled coarse aggregate class M | Normal fine aggregate |
| RAC–Class M1 | An amount of recycled coarse aggregate class L (Replacement ratio ≤ 50%) | 100% or an amount of recycled fine aggregate class M |
| RAC–Class M2 | 100% or an amount of recycled coarse aggregate class M | An amount of recycled fine aggregate class L (Replacement ratio ≤ 30%) |
| RAC–Class M2 | An amount of recycled coarse aggregate class L (Replacement ratio ≤ 50%) | 100% or an amount of recycled fine aggregate class M |
| RAC–Class M2 | Normal coarse aggregate | An amount of recycled fine aggregate class L (Replacement ratio ≤ 30%) |
| RAC–Class L | 100% or an amount of recycled coarse aggregate class L | 100% or an amount of recycled fine aggregate class L |

1 Aggregate quality and frost damage resistance are regulated. 2 Recycled aggregates satisfy the standard for recycled aggregate class L and the amount of contained impurity satisfy the standard for recycled aggregate class M.

As shown in Figure 1, within the original concrete waste, there are coarse aggregate, mortar and cement paste [3]. Therefore, when using recycled fine aggregate class L, a large amount of mortar and cement paste is required, which causes a wide variation in quality. Accordingly, further data is required. Moreover, fly ash (FA) [6], an electric power generation by-product, and ground granulated blast-furnace slag (BFS) [7], a steel industry by-product, are considered to be effective in improving performance by suppressing the alkali-silica reaction (ASR) of recycled aggregate [8] and improving long-term compressive strength [9]. Consequently, it is necessary to examine the effects of FA and BFS on the performance improvement of RAC class M using recycled aggregate class L in which a decrease in compressive strength and an increase in drying shrinkage was observed [5].

In this study, to promote the use of low-quality recycled aggregate for structural concrete, the effect of multi-purpose mineral admixtures such as FA and BFS on the performance of the RAC class M was examined.
Figure 1. (a) Image of recycled aggregate class L and (b) quality standard [3,5].

2. Material

Table 2 lists the qualities of cement and mineral admixtures used in this study, Table 3 summarises the aggregate information, and Table 4 shows the quality of the aggregate.

Table 2. Main quality of cement and mineral admixtures.

| Item                              | N   | FAII 1 | BFS  |
|-----------------------------------|-----|--------|------|
| **Physical Property**             |     |        |      |
| Density (g/cm³)                   | 3.16| 2.29   | 2.91 |
| Blaine fineness (cm²/g)           | -   | 3570   | -    |
| Specific surface area (cm²/g)     | 3300| -      | 4260 |
| Moisture content (%)              | -   | 0.5    | -    |
| Methylene blue absorption (mg/g)  | -   | 0.23   | -    |
| Activity index on 91 day (%)      | -   | -      | 116  |
| Ignition loss (%)                 | 2.29| 1.8    | 0.08 |
| Mortar flow ratio (%)             | -   | -      | 100  |
| **Chemical Property**             |     |        |      |
| SiO₂ (%)                          | -   | 60.1   | -    |
| MgO (%)                           | 1.49| 1.69   | 5.70 |
| Al₂O₃ (%)                         | -   | 22.37  | -    |
| Fe₂O₃ (%)                         | -   | 6.07   | -    |
| CaO (%)                           | -   | 4.18   | -    |
| SO₃ (%)                           | 2.13| -      | 2.09 |
| Cl⁻ (%)                           | 0.011| -    | 0.004|
| Na₂O (%)                          | 0.35| 1.43   | 0.29 |
| K₂O (%)                           | 0.56| 1.00   | 0.26 |

1 Manufactured in HEKINAN.
Table 3. Outline of recycled aggregate.

| Type                              | Original Concrete 1 | Factory | Manufacture Method |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------|--------------------|
| Recycled coarse aggregate class L: RLG | Foundation of building | A       | Crush, classify    |
| Recycled fine aggregate class L: RLS1 | Foundation of house  | B       |                    |
| Recycled fine aggregate class L: RLS2 |                       |         |                    |

1 Confirmed following industrial waste management form (manifest).

2.1. Cement and Mineral Admixtures

In this study, ordinary portland cement (N, JIS R 5210 [13]) was used. FA type II (FAII, JIS A 6201 [14]) and ground granulated BFS 4000 (BFS, JIS A 6206 [15]) were used as mineral admixtures.

Table 4. Quality of aggregates.

| Item                              | Test Method | NS1  | NS2  | CS   | NG1 | NG2 | RLS1 | RLS2 | RLG |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|------|-----|
| Density in oven-dry condition (g/cm³) | JIS A 1109 [16] | 2.56 | 2.59 | 2.61 | 2.65 | 2.63 | 1.95 | 2.14 | 2.29 |
| Absorption (%)                    | JIS A 1110 [17] | 1.67 | 1.24 | 0.88 | 0.65 | 0.94 | 12.31 | 8.45 | 5.34 |
| Fineness modulus (F.M.)           | JIS A 1102 [18] | 2.42 | 2.61 | 2.63 | 6.65 | 6.69 | 3.29 | 3.37 | 6.49 |
| Content of materials finer than 75 µm sieve (%) | JIS A 1103 [19] | 2.9  | 4.6  | 3.3  | 0.8  | 0.3  | 5.4  | 5.5  | 1.0  |
| Solid content in aggregate (%)    | JIS A 1104 [20] | 65.3 | 65.9 | 66.6 | 60.8 | 63.7 | 64.1 | 65.2 | 60.9 |
| Solid content of particle shape (%)| JIS A 5005 [21] | -    | -    | 59.7 | -    | -    | 60.0 | 60.3 | 60.0 |

| Amount of contained impurities (mass %) | JIS A 5023 [22] | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | 0.14 | 0    | 0.1  |
| A                                  |              | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.1  |
| B                                  |              | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.1  |       |       |      |      |      |
| C                                  |              | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0.02 |
| D                                  |              | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0.2  |
| E                                  |              |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| F                                  |              |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| G                                  |              |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| Total                             |              |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |

NS1, NS2: sand from Ibi river, CS: crushed sand from Shinshiro, and NG1, NG2: crushed stone from Kasugai.

2.2. Aggregate

River sand (NS1 and NS2) and crushed sand (CS) were used as the normal fine aggregate. Crushed stone 2005 (NG1 and NG2) was used as the normal coarse aggregate. Recycled aggregate class L (RLS1, RLS2, and RLG) was manufactured from different raw concrete waste by crushing and classifying at factory A and B.

RLG had an absorption of 5.34%, a 1.0% content of materials finer than a 75 µm sieve, and total impurities of 0.2 mass%. These physical properties satisfied JIS A 5023 Annex A. RLS1 had a density of 1.95 g/cm³ in the oven-dry condition, a 12.31% absorption, 5.4% content of materials finer than a 75 µm sieve, and total impurities of 0.16 mass%. RLS2 had a density of 2.14 g/cm³ in the oven-dry condition, 8.45% absorption, 5.5% content of materials finer than a 75 µm sieve, and total impurities of 0.02 mass%. In all cases, the category of ASR was B.

3. Mortar Experiment

This study investigated the effect of mineral admixtures by mortar experiments. Based on the results, concrete experiments were conducted.

3.1. Mix Proportion

As listed in Table 5, the water-to-binder ratio was constant (50%), and five replacement ratios of recycled fine aggregate (0%, 25%, 50%, 75% and 100%) were used. Based on the trial-mixing results, when river sand (NS1 and NS2) and crushed sand (CS) were used, the
water content per unit volume was 245 and 270 kg/m$^3$, respectively. When the replacement ratio of recycled fine aggregate class L was 100%, it was mixed with the same material used in standard mix proportions (N-NS-50 and N-CS-50). In terms of the use of mineral admixtures, N was substituted with FAII to make Portland–FA cement [23] types B (FB) and C (FC) with replacement ratios of 15% and 30%, respectively. Furthermore, FAII was substituted for fine aggregate with 20% of the N+FAII weight, and the mix proportions were prepared in both cases of cement and fine-aggregate substitutes.

BFS was used to replace the cement to make Portland–BFS cement [24] types B (BB) and C (BC) with replacement ratios of 40% and 70%, respectively. In total, 35 mortar specimens were prepared. The target flow and air content were 190 ± 20 mm and 4.5% ± 1.5%, respectively.

The specimens were prepared according to JIS R 5201 [25]. Among them, four compressive strength specimens were prepared for each mix proportion with a diameter of 5 cm and length of 10 cm based on JIS A 5308 Annex C (method B) [26]. For length change rate and accelerated carbonation specimens, three specimens with the dimension 4 cm × 4 cm × 16 cm were prepared using a mortar mould (JIS R 5201 11.2.2 [25]).
Table 5. Mortar mix proportion.

| Specimen          | Mix Proportion | Unit Weight (kg/m³) | Chemical Admixture (B × %) | Air Entraining and Water Reducing | Air Content Adjustment |
|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
|                   |                |                   |                           |                                  |                        |
|                   |                |                   |                           |                                  |                        |
| N-NS-50           | 0              | 245                | 490                       | 1443                             | -                      |
| N-CS-50           | 0              | 270                | 540                       | -                                | -                      |
| N-RLS150-50       | 50             | 245                | 490                       | -                                | -                      |
| N-RLS250-50       | 50             | 270                | 540                       | -                                | -                      |
| N-RLS1100-50      | 100            | 245                | 490                       | -                                | -                      |
| N-RLS2100-50      | 100            | 270                | 540                       | -                                | -                      |
| FA15-NS-50        | 0              | 245                | 417                       | 1420                             | -                      |
| FA15-CS-50        | 0              | 270                | 459                       | -                                | -                      |
| FA15-RLS150-50    | 50             | 245                | 417                       | -                                | -                      |
| FA15-RLS250-50    | 50             | 270                | 459                       | -                                | -                      |
| FA15-RLS1100-50   | 100            | 245                | 417                       | -                                | -                      |
| FA30-NS-50        | 0              | 245                | 343                       | 1397                             | -                      |
| FA30-CS-50        | 0              | 270                | 378                       | -                                | -                      |
| FA30-RLS125-50    | 50             | 245                | 343                       | 1048                             | -                      |
| FA30-RLS150-50    | 50             | 270                | 378                       | 698                              | -                      |
| FA30-RLS1100-50   | 100            | 245                | 343                       | 349                              | -                      |
| FS20-CS-50        | 0              | 270                | 540                       | -                                | -                      |
| FS20-RLS250-50    | 0              | 270                | 540                       | -                                | -                      |
| FS20-RLS2100-50   | 100            | 270                | 540                       | -                                | -                      |
| FA15FS20-CS-50    | 15             | 135                | 20                        | -                                | -                      |
| FA15FS20-RLS250-50| 15             | 135                | 20                        | -                                | -                      |
| N, FAII           | 30             | 50                 | 417                       | 1212                             | -                      |
| BFS40-CS-50       | 0              | 270                | 324                       | 1327                             | -                      |
| BFS40-RLS150-50   | 50             | 245                | 294                       | -                                | -                      |
| BFS40-RLS250-50   | 50             | 270                | 324                       | -                                | -                      |
| BFS40-RLS1100-50  | 100            | 245                | 294                       | -                                | -                      |
| BFS70-CS-50       | 0              | 270                | 324                       | 1327                             | -                      |
| BFS70-RLS150-50   | 50             | 245                | 324                       | -                                | -                      |
| BFS70-RLS250-50   | 50             | 270                | 324                       | -                                | -                      |
| BFS70-RLS1100-50  | 100            | 245                | 324                       | -                                | -                      |
| N, BFS            | 40             | 270                | 162                       | 378                              | -                      |
| BFS70-NS-50       | 0              | 270                | 162                       | 378                              | -                      |
| BFS70-CS-50       | 0              | 245                | 147                       | 343                              | -                      |
| BFS70-RLS150-50   | 50             | 245                | 147                       | 343                              | -                      |
| BFS70-RLS250-50   | 50             | 245                | 147                       | 343                              | -                      |
| BFS70-RLS1100-50  | 100            | 245                | 147                       | 343                              | -                      |

1 CMS: cement substitute, and FAS: fine-aggregate substitute. 2 A complex of a modified lignin sulfonic acid compound and a polycarboxylic acid compound was used. 3 Resin salt-based anionic surfactant was used.
3.2. Experimental and Test Method

Table 6 shows experimental and test methods employed for the mortar used in this study. For fresh mortar, the flow value, air content, density, temperature and chloride-ion content were measured. For hardened mortar, the compressive strength, static modulus of elasticity, length change and accelerated carbonation depth were measured.

Table 6. Experiment and test method for mortar.

| Condition       | Experiment | Test Method                  | Note                                      |
|-----------------|------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Fresh mortar    | Flow value | JIS R 5201 [25]              |                                           |
|                 | Air content| According to JIS A 1128 [27] | Equipment volume 1000 mL                  |
|                 | Density    | JIS A 1116 [28]              |                                           |
|                 | Temperature| JIS A 1156 [29]              |                                           |
|                 | Chloride-ion content | JASS 5 T-502 [3]          | Electrode current measurement method     |
| Hardened mortar | Compressive strength | JIS A 5308 Annex C [26] | At 1 week, 4 weeks, and 13 weeks        |
|                 | Static modulus of elasticity | Compressor meter          |                                           |
|                 | Length change rate | JIS A 1129-3 [30]         |                                           |
|                 | Accelerated carbonation depth | According to JIS A 1153 [31] |                                           |

3.3. Experiment Result and Evaluation

3.3.1. Fresh Mortar

Table 7 lists the fresh mortar specimen groups.

Table 7. Fresh mortar.

| Specimen         | Flow Value (mm) | Air Content (%) | Density (kg/m³) | Temperature (°C) | Chloride-Ion Content (kg/m³) |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| N-NS-50          | 175             | 4.5             | 2275            | 21.1             | 0.04                        |
| N-CS-50          | 173             | 4.9             | 2308            | 25.1             | 0.06                        |
| N-RLS150-50      | 197             | 6.0             | 2090            | 21.1             | 0.12                        |
| N-RLS250-50      | 185             | 4.1             | 2181            | 23.0             | 0.07                        |
| N-RLS1100-50     | 200             | 3.4             | 1990            | 21.1             | 0.14                        |
| N-RLS2100-50     | 201             | 6.0             | 1994            | 25.4             | 0.07                        |
| FA15-NS-50       | 173             | 4.3             | 2210            | 17.1             | 0.03                        |
| FA15-CS-50       | 188             | 4.4             | 2248            | 18.6             | 0.05                        |
| FA15-RLS150-50   | 175             | 4.0             | 2190            | 16.5             | 0.04                        |
| FA15-RLS250-50   | 201             | 5.4             | 2134            | 19.9             | 0.06                        |
| FA15-RLS1100-50  | 206             | 5.8             | 2060            | 16.9             | 0.02                        |
| FA30-NS-50       | 174             | 4.6             | 2290            | 16.9             | 0.02                        |
| FA30-CS-50       | 199             | 5.5             | 2213            | 19.2             | 0.08                        |
| FA30-RLS125-50   | 193             | 4.5             | 2160            | 14.9             | 0.03                        |
| FA30-RLS150-50   | 175             | 3.8             | 2190            | 16.1             | 0.02                        |
| FA30-RLS250-50   | 197             | 6.0             | 2090            | 18.2             | 0.05                        |
| FA30-RLS175-50   | 201             | 3.0             | 2130            | 16.1             | 0.05                        |
| FA30-RLS1100-50  | 205             | 3.2             | 2080            | 16.0             | 0.02                        |
Table 7. Cont.

| Specimen          | Flow Value (mm) | Air Content (%) | Density (kg/m³) | Temperature (°C) | Chloride-Ion Content (kg/m³) |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| FS20-CS-50        | 184             | 3.1             | 2260            | 18.6            | 0.02                          |
| FS20-RLS250-50    | 198             | 4.0             | 2155            | 19.3            | 0.06                          |
| FS20-RLS2100-50   | 175             | 3.8             | 2108            | 20.3            | 0.08                          |
| FA15FS20-CS-50    | 189             | 4.6             | 2188            | 20.2            | 0.05                          |
| FA15FS20-RLS250-50| 193             | 4.7             | 2117            | 19.8            | 0.06                          |
| FA30FS20-CS-50    | 209             | 3.2             | 2206            | 19.7            | 0.05                          |
| FA30FS20-RLS250-50| 193             | 4.7             | 2129            | 19.8            | 0.06                          |
| BFS40-NS-50       | 191             | 3.4             | 2332            | 23.5            | 0.04                          |
| BFS40-CS-50       | 185             | 3.7             | 2293            | 19.5            | 0.04                          |
| BFS40-RLS150-50   | 196             | 3.2             | 2236            | 23.6            | 0.02                          |
| BFS40-RLS250-50   | 194             | 4.0             | 2197            | 19.9            | 0.06                          |
| BFS40-RLS1100-50  | 187             | 4.7             | 2274            | 23.5            | 0.02                          |
| BFS70-NS-50       | 172             | 5.6             | 2212            | 26.1            | 0.08                          |
| BFS70-CS-50       | 192             | 5.6             | 2221            | 23.7            | 0.09                          |
| BFS70-RLS150-50   | 175             | 4.6             | 2121            | 24.8            | 0.09                          |
| BFS70-RLS250-50   | 188             | 6.0             | 2131            | 24.7            | 0.07                          |
| BFS70-RLS1100-50  | 195             | 4.8             | 2281            | 21.2            | 0.06                          |

(1) Flow Value and Air Content

Air-entraining and water-reducing admixture was 0.2–0.9% of the binder weight. In the case where FAII was used as a cement and fine-aggregate substitute together, with cement replacement ratios of 15% and 30%, respectively, the air content adjustment admixture was used at 0.004% and 0.006%. Accordingly, the flow value and air content of all specimens were satisfied.

(2) Density

The density was lower when the replacement ratio of recycled aggregate class L increased because of the effect of adhered mortar and cement paste.

(3) Temperature

N specimen groups were prepared at 21.1–25.4 °C. For FAII specimen groups, the range was 14.9–19.9 °C for cement-substituted specimen groups, 18.6–20.3 °C for the fine-aggregate substituted specimen groups, and 19.7–20.2 °C for specimen groups with FAII as both cement and fine-aggregate substitutes. For BFS specimen groups, the temperature range was 19.5–26.1 °C. In general, compared to N specimen groups, the temperature of FA specimen groups was lower [32]. Furthermore, the effect of the recycled fine-aggregate replacement ratio was not observed.

(4) Chloride-ion Content

In all specimen groups, when the replacement ratio of recycled fine aggregate class L increased, the chloride-ion content increased. Otherwise, no effect of mineral admixtures was observed.

3.3.2. Hardened Mortar

Figure 2 shows the measured compressive strength and elastic modulus of elasticity, Figure 3 shows the length change rate results, and Figure 4 shows the carbonation coefficients.
(3) Length Change

As shown in Figure 3, when recycled fine aggregate class L was used, the length change rate increased as the replacement ratio of recycled fine aggregate increased. Regarding the effect of the mineral admixture, when FAII and BFS (BB or higher) were used, compared with the N specimen groups, the length change rate decreased, even with a high replacement ratio of recycled fine aggregate class L. For BFS, the length change rate significantly decreased because of the increased amount of SO3 in the mortar [33].

Figure 2. Compressive strength and static modulus of elasticity of mortar.

Figure 3. Length change rate of mortar.
Regarding the use of NAII, FAII, and BFS, the higher the compressive strength, the lower the carbonation coefficient. When FAII was used as a fine-aggregate substitute, the carbonation process could be slower. However, owing to the effect of the mineral admixture, because of the increased binder weight, the compressive strength was high; therefore, the carbonation coefficient was a little high, and when BFS (BB type) was used, the coefficient significantly decreased because of the increased amount of SO\textsubscript{3} in the mortar [33].

(3) Length Change

As shown in Figure 3, when recycled fine aggregate class L was used, the length change rate increased as the replacement ratio of recycled fine aggregate increased. Regarding the effect of the mineral admixture, when FAII and BFS (BB or higher) were used, compared with the N specimen groups, the length change rate decreased, even with a high replacement ratio of recycled fine aggregate class L. For BFS, the length change rate significantly decreased because of the increased amount of SO\textsubscript{3} in the mortar [33].

(4) Accelerated Carbonation

Accelerated carbonation was observed for up to 26 weeks. However, one specimen (BFS70-RLS1100-50) was completely carbonated at four weeks and five specimens (FA30-RLS150-50, FA30-RLS175-50, FA30-RLS1100-50, BFS40-RLS1100-50 and BFS70-RLS150-50) were completely carbonated at eight weeks. Therefore, the carbonation coefficient was examined at eight weeks. Furthermore, for the specimens that were completely carbonated at four and eight weeks, the data of the first and fourth weeks were used, respectively, because the time for complete carbonation could not be specified.
As shown in Figure 4, when N was used, the carbonation coefficient increased as the replacement ratio of RLS1 and RLS2 increased. Regarding the effect of mineral admixture, compared to N specimen groups, when the FAII and BFS (BB type) were used, the carbonation coefficient was a little high, and when BFS (BC type) was used, the value doubled. When FAII was used as a fine-aggregate substitute, a decreasing trend was observed.

Figure 5 shows the relationship between the compressive strength and carbonation coefficient. Regarding the use of N, FAII, and BFS, the higher the compressive strength, the lower the carbonation coefficient. When FAII was used as a fine-aggregate substitute, because of the increased binder weight, the compressive strength was high; therefore, the carbonation process could be slower. However, owing to the effect of the contained mortar and cement paste in recycled fine aggregate, the experiment results of the FAII specimen groups showed variations, which caused a low coefficient of determination, but, in general, a relative correlation was obtained.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{N: } C_8 &= -0.1186 F_4 + 8.257 \\
R^2 &= 0.8521 \\
\text{FAII: } C_8 &= -0.1259 F_{13} + 11.014 \\
R^2 &= 0.4342 \\
\text{BFS: } C_8 &= -0.1877 F_{13} + 14.331 \\
R^2 &= 0.7373 
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 5. Correlation between compressive strength and carbonation coefficient. 1 Experimental results of N specimens at 4 weeks and FAII, BFS specimens at 13 weeks are shown. 2 For the specimens completely carbonated before 8 weeks, the data of the first and fourth weeks were used.

4. Concrete Experiment

As a result of the mortar experiments, the target value of the flow value and air content was obtained by using chemical admixture. Using FAII suppressed the as-mixed temperature. Furthermore, the use of FAII as a fine-aggregate substitute could improve the long-term compressive strength and suppress carbonation. In addition, the use of BFS could decrease the length change rate. Based on these results, the concrete mix proportions were examined.

4.1. Mix Proportion

Table 8 shows the mix proportions of the prepared concrete. The water-to-binder ratio was fixed at 55%. Five RLG and RLS replacement ratios (0%, 25%, 50%, 75% and 100%) were employed. Regarding the binder types, 15 specimen groups used N, and 10 specimen groups used BFS with a replacement ratio of 40%, which is equivalent to the BB type. Furthermore, regarding the solution for ASR certified by the Japanese Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism [5], FAII was used as a fine-aggregate substitute with 20% of N + FAII by weight to make 10 specimen groups. In total, 35 specimen groups were prepared.
| Specimen          | Mix Proportion | Unit Weight (kg/m³) | Chemical Admixture (B × %) | FAII¹ (FAS) | AE | FA | AE | ACA | AF |
|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| NGNS-55          |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 | 0.002 | 0 |    |    |    |
| NGRLS25-55       |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 | 0.002 | 0.0005 |    |    |    |
| NGRLS50-55       |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 | 0.002 | 0.0005 |    |    |    |
| NGRLS75-55       |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 | 0.003 | 0.0005 |    |    |    |
| NGRLS100-55      |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 | 0.002 | 0 |    |    |    |
| RLG25NS-55       |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 | 0.002 | 0.0005 |    |    |    |
| RLG25RLS25-55    |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 | 0.002 | 0.0005 |    |    |    |
| RLG25RLS50-55    |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 | 0.002 | 0.0005 |    |    |    |
| RLG25RLS75-55    |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 | 0.002 | 0 |    |    |    |
| RLG50NS-55       |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 | 0.002 | 0.0005 |    |    |    |
| RLG50RLS25-55    |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 | 0.002 | 0.0005 |    |    |    |
| RLG50RLS50-55    |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 | 0.002 | 0 |    |    |    |
| RLG75NS-55       |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 | 0.002 | 0.0005 |    |    |    |
| RLG75RLS25-55    |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 | 0.002 | 0 |    |    |    |
| RLG100NS-55      |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 | 0.002 | 0 |    |    |    |
| FANGNS-55        |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 | 0.2 | - |    |    |    |
| FANGRLS50-55     |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 | 0.3 | - | 0.0005 |    |    |
| FANGRLS100-55    |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 | 0.3 | - | 0.0005 |    |    |
| FARLG25NS-55     |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 & FAII = (N + FAII) × 20% | 43.4 | 175 | 318 |     | 80 |    |    |    |    |
| FARLG25RLS75-55  |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 | 0.3 | - | 0.0005 |    |    |
| FARLG50NS-55     |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 | 0.3 | - | 0.0005 |    |    |
| FARLG50RLS50-55  |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 | 0.3 | - | 0.0005 |    |    |
| FARLG75NS-55     |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 | 0.3 | - | 0.0005 |    |    |
| FARLG75RLS25-55  |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 | 0.3 | - | 0.0005 |    |    |
| FARLG100NS-55    |                 |                    |                           |             | 1.00 | 0.3 | - | 0 |    |    |
Table 8. Cont.

| Specimen          | Mix Proportion | Unit Weight (kg/m³) | Chemical Admixture (B ×%) |
|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
|                   | Binder Mineral| Replacement Ratio(%)| W/B (%) | s/a (%) | W | C | BFS | NS1 | NS2 | NG1 | NG2 | RLG | RLS1 | FAII 1 (FAS) | AE | FA | AE | ACA | AF |
| BFSNNGNS-55      | BFS, N, BFS    | 40%                 | 100      | 46.4 | 172 | 188 | 125 | 827 | 974 | -   | 1.00 | 0.002 | 0 |
| BFSNGLS50-55     | 0, BFS BFS = N × | 50                  |          |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 414 | -   | 974 | -   | 351 | 1.00 | 0.002 | 0.0005 |
| BFSNGLS100-55    | N, BFS BFS = N × 40% | 100               |          |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 414 | -   | 974 | -   | 701 | 1.00 | 0.002 | 0.0005 |
| BFSRLG25NS-55    | 25, 75         | 0                   | 250      | 107 | 31 | -   | 220 | -   | 1.00 | 0.002 | 0.0005 |
| BFSRLG25RLS75-55 | 0, BFS BFS = N × | 75                  |          |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 414 | -   | 487 | -   | 220 | 526 | 1.00 | 0.002 | 0.0005 |
| BFSRLG50NS-55    | 50, 50         | 0                   | 250      | 107 | 31 | -   | 220 | -   | 1.00 | 0.002 | 0.0005 |
| BFSRLG50RLS50-55 | 50, 50         | 0                   | 250      | 107 | 31 | -   | 220 | -   | 1.00 | 0.002 | 0.0005 |
| BFSRLG75NS-55    | 75, 50         | 0                   | 250      | 107 | 31 | -   | 220 | -   | 1.00 | 0.002 | 0.0005 |
| BFSRLG75RLS25-55 | 25, 75         | 0                   | 250      | 107 | 31 | -   | 220 | -   | 1.00 | 0.002 | 0.0005 |
| BFSRLG100NS-55   | 100, 0         | 0                   | 250      | 107 | 31 | -   | 220 | -   | 1.00 | 0.002 | 0.0005 |

1 FAS: fine aggregate substitute. 2 AE: air-entraining, and water-reducing admixture (high-performance type); modified lignin sulphonic acid compound and polycarboxylic acid-based, FAAE: air-entraining admixture for FA, high-fatty-acid salt and non-ionic surfactant, ACA: air content adjustment admixture, resin salt-based anionic surfactant, and AF: anti-foaming admixture. 3 Under the specimen was examined for change following time.
The water content per unit volume was 175 kg/m$^3$. However, when BFS was used, this value was reduced by 2% as per the trial-mixing results. For chemical admixture, modified lignin sulphonic acid compound, poly-carboxylic acid-based air-entraining (AE) and water-reducing admixtures (high-performance type) were used. A resin salt-based anionic surfactant was used as the air content adjusting admixture, and a polyether-based anti-foaming was used as the anti-foaming admixture. For FAII-based concrete, high-fatty-acid salt and non-ionic surfactants were used as the AE admixture. In both cases, the target slump and air content were 18 ± 2.5 cm and 4.5 ± 1.5%, respectively.

4.2. Experimental and Test Methods

Table 9 shows experimental and test methods for the concrete employed in this study. For fresh concrete, the slump, air content, density, temperature, and chloride-ion content were measured. For hardened concrete, the compressive strength, static modulus of elasticity, drying shrinkage, accelerated carbonation, and freezing and thawing experiments were implemented.

| Condition      | Experiment          | Test Method                      | Note                                                                 |
|----------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Fresh concrete | Slump               | JIS A 1101 [34]                  | A part of the BFS specimen groups were tested for change following the time of slump and air content |
|                | Air content         | JIS A 1128 [27]                  |                                                                      |
|                | Density             | JIS A 1116 [28]                  |                                                                      |
|                | Temperature         | JIS A 1156 [29]                  |                                                                      |
|                | Chloride-ion content| JIS A 5308 [26] JASS S T-502 [3] | Electrode current measurement method                                |
| Hardened concrete | Compressive strength | JIS A 1108 [35]                  | At 4 weeks and 13 weeks                                             |
|                | Static modulus of elasticity | JIS A 1149 [36]                  | At 4 weeks and 13 weeks                                             |
|                | Length change rate | JIS A 1129-3 [30]                |                                                                      |
|                | Accelerated carbonation depth | JIS A 1153 [31]                  |                                                                      |
|                | Durability factor   | JIS A 1148 [37]                  | Method A                                                            |

4.3. Experimental Result and Evaluation

4.3.1. Fresh Concrete

The fresh concrete specimen groups are shown in Table 10.

| Specimen     | Slump (cm) | Air Content (%) ¹ | Density (kg/m$^3$) | Temperature (˚C) | Chloride-ion Content (kg/m$^3$) ² |
|--------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| NGNS-55      | 18.5       | 4.0 (+)           | 2304               | 28.6            | 0.03                              |
| NGRLS25-55   | 20.0       | 5.2 (0.4)         | 2296               | 24.4            | 0.06                              |
| NGRLS50-55   | 19.0       | 5.5 (0.4)         | 2240               | 25.7            | 0.15                              |
| NGRLS75-55   | 20.0       | 4.8 (0.3)         | 2234               | 21.6            | 0.11                              |
| NGRLS100-55  | 20.5       | 4.2 (0.7)         | 2221               | 27.7            | 0.13                              |
| RLG25NS-55   | 16.5       | 5.4 (0.2)         | 2273               | 24.2            | 0.09                              |
| RLG25RLS25-55| 19.0       | 5.0 (0.6)         | 2237               | 24.3            | 0.10                              |
| RLG25RLS50-55| 20.0       | 5.7 (0.4)         | 2266               | 24.4            | 0.11                              |
| RLG25RLS75-55| 20.0       | 4.2 (0.7)         | 2217               | 27.2            | 0.09                              |
| RLG50NS-55   | 16.0       | 5.6 (0.4)         | 2267               | 23.7            | 0.17                              |
| RLG50RLS25-55| 17.5       | 5.6 (0.4)         | 2240               | 25.1            | 0.11                              |
| RLG50RLS50-55| 19.0       | 4.9 (0.6)         | 2187               | 28.0            | 0.23                              |
| RLG75NS-55   | 17.0       | 4.6 (0.4)         | 2269               | 22.3            | 0.12                              |
Table 10. Cont.

| Specimen Slump (cm) | Air Content (%a) | Density (kg/m³) | Temperature (°C) | Chloride-ion Content (kg/m³)b |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| RLG75RLS25-55       | 20.0             | 4.5 (0.6)       | 2243            | 25.0                        | 0.12                      |
| RLG100NS-55         | 18.5             | 4.5 (0.4)       | 2246            | 27.7                        | 0.12                      |
| FANGNS-55           | 18.0             | 5.7 (-)         | 2270            | 25.6                        | 0.02                      |
| FANGRL50-55         | 17.0             | 5.1 (0.4)       | 2191            | 18.2                        | 0.18                      |
| FANGRSL100-55       | 20.5             | 4.0 (0.5)       | 2237            | 17.7                        | 0.17                      |
| FARLG2NS-55         | 19.5             | 5.5 (0.4)       | 2241            | 24.5                        | 0.12                      |
| FARLG25RLS75-55     | 18.5             | 5.4 (0.6)       | 2171            | 26.9                        | 0.24                      |
| FARLG50NS-55        | 17.0             | 5.7 (0.3)       | 2227            | 20.0                        | 0.22                      |
| FARLG50RLS50-55     | 19.0             | 5.5 (0.5)       | 2179            | 16.8                        | 0.18                      |
| FARLG7NS-55         | 20.0             | 4.4 (0.6)       | 2269            | 23.2                        | 0.16                      |
| FARLG75RLS25-55     | 18.5             | 5.4 (0.7)       | 2173            | 27.3                        | 0.15                      |
| FARLG100NS-55       | 20.0             | 5.5 (0.4)       | 2183            | 26.7                        | 0.27                      |
| BFSNSNS-55          | 20.0             | 5.2 (0.2)       | 2304            | 26.4                        | 0.06                      |
| BFSNGRLS50-55       | 18.0             | 5.6 (0.4)       | 2273            | 22.7                        | 0.12                      |
| BFSNGRLS100-55      | 17.5             | 4.3 (0.8)       | 2119            | 20.3                        | 0.15                      |
| BFSRLG25NS-55       | 18.5             | 5.7 (0.3)       | 2260            | 24.9                        | 0.14                      |
| BFSRLG25RLS75-55    | 19.0             | 5.1 (0.5)       | 2229            | 25.0                        | 0.22                      |
| BFSRLG50NS-55       | 20.0             | 5.6 (0.4)       | 2257            | 24.7                        | 0.12                      |
| BFSRLG50RLS50-55    | 20.5             | 4.5 (0.6)       | 2187            | 17.1                        | 0.11                      |
| BFSRLG75NS-55       | 20.0             | 4.4 (0.5)       | 2269            | 23.2                        | 0.12                      |
| BFSRLG75RLS25-55    | 19.0             | 5.4 (0.7)       | 2199            | 23.3                        | 0.11                      |
| BFSRLG100NS-55      | 18.5             | 5.3 (0.5)       | 2137            | 25.5                        | 0.09                      |

1 In ( ) is aggregate correction factor, where (-) implies smaller than 0.1%. 2 Calculated based on JIS A 5023:2012 [22] for RAC.

(1) Slump and Air Content

As shown in Table 6, the AE and water-reducing admixture was 1% of the binder weight in all specimen groups. For the specimen groups with N and BFS, the air content adjustment admixture was 0.002–0.003% of the binder weight. For the specimen groups with recycled aggregate class L, when a relatively large amount of air was entrapped, an anti-foaming admixture was used at 0.0005% of binder weight. Furthermore, for specimen groups with FAII, the AE admixture for FA was used at 0.2–0.3% of the binder weight. Accordingly, the target slump and the target air content were obtained for all specimen groups. Regarding the aggregate correction factor, when recycled coarse aggregate class L and the recycled fine aggregate class L were used, the aggregate correction factor was 0.2–0.6% and 0.3–0.8%, respectively. When the replacement ratio of recycled aggregate increased, the aggregate correction factor increased.

(2) Chloride-ion Content

The chloride-ion content of the RAC was calculated based on JIS A 5023:2012 (Recycled concrete using recycled aggregate Class L) [22]. The chloride-ion content in all specimen groups increased as the replacement ratio of recycled aggregate class L increased; however, all specimens satisfied the value of ≤0.30 kg/m³ as regulated by JIS A 5308.

(3) Density

The density of the RAC decreased when the replacement ratio of the low-density recycled aggregate increased because of the effect of adhered mortar and cement paste.

(4) Concrete Temperature
The as-mixed temperature of all specimens was < 35 °C. The temperature was 21.6–28.6 °C when N was used, 16.8–27.3 °C when FAII was used, and 17.1–26.4 °C when BFS was used. The temperature showed a decreasing trend when mineral admixtures were used.

### 4.3.2. Hardened Concrete

Figure 6 shows the compressive strength and static modulus of elasticity of the concrete. Figure 7 shows the drying shrinkage rate [3] by length change rate at 26 weeks and accelerated carbonation depth, and Figure 8 shows the relationship between the as-mixed air content and durability factor.

![Figure 6. Compressive strength and static modulus of elasticity of concrete.](image-url)
Figure 7. Drying shrinkage rate and accelerated carbonation depth of concrete with recommendations for design and construction practice of high durable concrete [38].

Figure 8. Relationship between as-mixed air content and durability factor.

(1) Compressive Strength

As shown in Figure 6, the effect of the recycled aggregate replacement ratio was observed. In all specimen groups, the compressive strength decreased as the replacement ratio of the recycled aggregate increased. This trend was more significant when the replacement ratio of RLS was ≥50%. In N specimen groups, the compressive strength from 4 to 13 weeks decreased as the replacement ratio increased. Compared to N specimen groups, when FAII was used as a fine-aggregate substitute, the compressive strength
4 to 13 weeks was higher, particularly for FANGNS-55, where it exceeded 50 N/mm². However, when BFS was used, compared with the N specimen groups, the compressive strength at four weeks was low; however, at 13 weeks it was quite similar. Therefore, even when the replacement ratio of the recycled fine aggregate class L was high, the use of mineral admixtures could reduce the depression in long-term strength because of the pozzolanic reaction of FA [6] and latent hydraulic of BFS [7].

(2) Static Modulus of Elasticity

As shown in Figure 6, the trend of static modulus of elasticity is similar to that of compressive strength. The static modulus of elasticity decreased as the replacement ratio of the recycled aggregate increased. When the age of the specimens increased, the static modulus of elasticity remained low. When the recycled aggregate was not used, the effect of the mineral admixtures was almost the same for the N, FAII and BFS specimen groups regardless of age. When the recycled aggregate was used, no significant effect was observed.

(3) Drying Shrinkage

As shown in Figure 7, the drying shrinkage rate of the concrete with recycled aggregate class L increased when both RLS and RLG were used at a replacement ratio of ≥50%. For N and FAII specimen groups, when the replacement ratio of RLS was ≥50% or RLG ≥75%, certain specimens exhibited a drying shrinkage rate higher than the quality target value of $8 \times 10^{-4}$ regulated for long-term and extra long-term planned service life in JASS 5(2018) [3]. However, all BFS specimen groups exhibited a drying shrinkage rate smaller than $8 \times 10^{-4}$. Therefore, when the replacement ratio of recycled aggregate is in accordance with the regulated range for RAC class M, or when the BB type of BFS is used, the required performance of concrete is assured. Furthermore, as the $SO_3$ content of the concrete increases, the drying shrinkage rate is lowered. When $SO_3$ content in BFS is ~2.0% and the replacement ratio of BFS (BB type) for cement is 60%, the drying shrinkage rate is smaller than that of N specimen groups [33].

(4) Accelerated Carbonation

As shown in Figure 7, for the N specimen groups, the accelerated carbonation depth at 26 weeks was relatively high when the replacement ratio of RLS was ≥50% and that of RLG was ≥75%. Compared to N specimen groups, when FAII was used as a fine-aggregate substitute, the carbonation depth was small. This is because, compared to N specimen groups, the long-term strength of the specimens increased when FAII was used as a fine-aggregate substitute. When BFS (BB type) was used, accelerated carbonation demonstrated an increasing trend.

(5) Freezing and Thawing

As shown in Figure 8, for the as-mixed fresh concrete, when the target air content of the concrete used for freezing and thawing was 4.0–6.0% (4.5 ± 1.5% and under the limit of air content was 4.0% [3]), regardless of the recycled aggregate replacement ratio, the durability factor was roughly >80, indicating good resistance to frost damage. However, for the BFS specimen groups, when the RLS replacement ratio was of >50%, as in cases of BFSRLG50RLS50-55 and BFSNGLRLS100-55, the durability factor was significantly <30. This is because when the replacement ratio of recycled fine aggregate (absorption = ~10%) is 50% and the air content is within the regulated range, the durability factor is ≤60 [39]. In the case where low-quality recycled fine aggregate is used together with BFS, a complex cause is considered.

The change in the slump and air content with time was examined for specimen groups with low durability factors, such as BFSRLG50RLS50-55, BFSNGLRLS100-55 and BFSNGLNS-55, for comparison (Table 11). Compared with the slump at 0 min, the slump of BFSNGLNS-55 changed by 1.5 cm in 30 min, 7.9 cm in 60 min, and 18.5 cm in 90 min. The slump of BFSRLG50RLS50-55 changed by 4.0 cm in 30 min, 12.5 cm in 60 min, and 17.0 cm in 90 min. The slump of BFSNGLRLS100-55 changed by 3.0 cm in 30 min, 10.0 cm in 60 min,
and 20.0 cm in 90 min. However, regarding the decrease in air content, compared with the 4.6%, 5.8% and 6.3% change in BFSNGNS-55, the change in BFSRLG50RLS50-55 was 4.2%, 5.4% and 6.3% and BFSNGRLS100-55 was 2.1%, 2.9% and 3.0% in 30, 60 and 90 min, respectively, because the as-mixed air content was small.

### Table 11. Change of slump and air content with time.

| Time (min) | Slump (cm) | Air Content (%) |
|------------|------------|-----------------|
|            | BFSNGNS-55 | BFSRLG50RLS50-55 | BFSNGRLS100-55 | BFSNGNS-55 | BFSRLG50RLS50-55 | BFSNGRLS100-55 | BFSNGNS-55 | BFSRLG50RLS50-55 | BFSNGRLS100-55 | BFSNGNS-55 | BFSRLG50RLS50-55 | BFSNGRLS100-55 | BFSNGNS-55 | BFSRLG50RLS50-55 | BFSNGRLS100-55 |
| 0          | 19.0       | 22.0            | 20.0            | 8.4         | 8.9         | 4.7             | 0.2         | 0.7         | 0.5         | 2.0         | 3.7         | 1.0             |
| 15         | 18.5       | 0.5             | 20.0            | 2.0         | 19.5        | 0.5             | 5.7         | 2.7         | 6.9         | 2.0         | 3.7         | 1.0             |
| 30         | 17.5       | 1.0             | 18.0            | 2.0         | 17.0        | 2.5             | 3.8         | 1.9         | 4.7         | 2.2         | 2.6         | 1.1             |
| 45         | 15.0       | 2.5             | 17.0            | 1.0         | 18.0        | 1.0             | 2.9         | 0.9         | 3.9         | 0.8         | 2.0         | 0.6             |
| 60         | 12.0       | 3.0             | 9.5             | 7.5         | 12.0        | 6.0             | 2.6         | 0.3         | 3.5         | 0.4         | 1.8         | 0.2             |
| 90         | 0.5        | 11.5            | 5.0             | 4.5         | 2.0         | 10.0            | 2.1         | 0.5         | 2.6         | 0.9         | 1.7         | 0.1             |

Aggregate correction factor of BFSNGNS-55: 0.2%, BFSRLG50RLS50-55: 0.7%, and BFSNGRLS100-55: 0.5%.

Considering BFSRLG50RLS50-55 and BFSNGRLS100-55 for the freezing and thawing experiment, although anti-foaming admixtures were used to decrease the as-mixed air content because a decreased change in time with air content was observed, the air content of the specimen group was smaller than the target value. Therefore, the durability factor of these specimens was low.

### 4.4. Performance Evaluation using Relative Quality Index

In the relative quality index method, the relative quality index is calculated based on the primary properties of normal and recycled aggregates, such as the absorption and replacement ratio of recycled aggregate. Then, the correlation between the relative quality index and the concrete performance is evaluated. The empirical formula is then derived from the results of past experiments; moreover, a mix proportion with a range of strength is set based on the required thresholds of drying shrinkage rate and carbonation. After being verified in a manufacturing factory, the final mix proportion is determined. Therefore, it is possible to obtain a performance design for concrete in which drying shrinkage and durability were considered [5]. In this study, because FA was used to substitute fine aggregate, the density, which correlates highly with absorption [39,40], was the primary concrete property used to calculate the relative density using Equation (1) based on volume. The correlation between the relative density and concrete main performance was evaluated (Figure 9). Furthermore, regarding the compressive strength, the N specimen groups at 4 weeks and FAII and BFS specimen groups at 13 weeks were evaluated. To clarify the effect of mineral admixtures, a relational expression was obtained for each case of performance and binder type.

$$Qdt = \frac{a \cdot QdvG + b \cdot QdvN + c \cdot QdrG + d \cdot QdrS + e \cdot QFA}{a + b + c + d + e},$$

where:
- \(Qdt\): relative density (g/cm\(^3\));
- \(QdvG\): density of ordinary coarse aggregate (g/cm\(^3\)) in the oven-dry condition;
- \(QdvN\): density of ordinary fine aggregate (g/cm\(^3\)) in the oven-dry condition;
- \(QdrG\): density of recycled coarse aggregate (g/cm\(^3\)) in the oven-dry condition;
- \(QdrS\): density of recycled fine aggregate (g/cm\(^3\)) in the oven-dry condition;
- \(QFA\): density of FA (g/cm\(^3\));
- \(a, b, c, d, e\): absolute volume of aggregate used (L/m\(^3\));
- \(e\): absolute volume of FA (L/m\(^3\)).
As shown in Figure 9, in all specimen groups, the primary performance of the concrete decreased as the relative density decreased. Regarding the compressive strength, static modulus of elasticity, drying shrinkage rate (except FAII specimen groups) and accelerated carbonation depth, the experimental results showed certain variations; however, a correlation was generally obtained. Furthermore, for the drying shrinkage rate and accelerated carbonation depth, in the case of RAC class M1, which has a relative density of \( \geq 2.50 \, \text{g/cm}^3 \), all specimen groups satisfied the target value. However, for RAC class M2, which has a relative density of \( \geq 2.40 \, \text{g/cm}^3 \), by adding mineral admixtures, the target value was satisfied. Therefore, when normal aggregate is mixed with a recycled aggregate class L to make RAC, it is possible to satisfy the target value by ensuring appropriate use
of mineral admixtures based on the correlation between the relative density and concrete main performance, and varying the recycled aggregate replacement ratio based on the quality of aggregates used.

5. Conclusions

The effect of general-purpose mineral admixtures, such as FA and ground granulated BFS on the performance of mortar and concrete with low-quality recycled aggregate was investigated. Based on these results, the following conclusions were made:

1. For fresh mortar with recycled fine aggregate class L, the target value was satisfied by varying the amount of chemical admixture. With FA, the temperature of the mortar was lower;
2. As the replacement ratio of recycled fine aggregate class L increased, the compressive strength of the mortar decreased. However, when FAII was used to substitute fine aggregate, the long-term compressive strength became higher because of the mineral admixture;
3. When BFS was used as the BB type, the length change rate became low. As the replacement ratio of recycled fine aggregate class L increased, accelerated carbonation increased. However, by using FAII as a fine-aggregate substitute, because higher binder weight increases compressive strength, carbonation could be suppressed;
4. Considering the fresh concrete with low-quality recycled aggregate, even when the replacement ratio was high, the target quality could be satisfied by varying the amount of chemical admixture. When the replacement ratio of recycled aggregate was increased, the chloride-ion content increased;
5. With an increase in the recycled aggregate replacement ratio, the compressive strength and static modulus of elasticity decreased. However, the decrease in the development of compressive strength and the static modulus of elasticity in long-term age can be reduced by substituting FAII for the fine aggregate and using BFS as BB type;
6. When the replacement of recycled coarse and fine aggregate class L was each higher than 50%, the drying shrinkage rate increased and carbonation became faster. However, the drying shrinkage rate became lower when BFS was used as the BB type, and carbonation could be suppressed using FAII as a fine-aggregate substitute;
7. For frost damage resistance, the primary performance of concrete could be satisfied when the air content was within an appropriate range. However, when BFS was used as the BB type, and the replacement ratio of recycled fine aggregate class L was high, it was necessary to consider a decreased change of air content with time;
8. Regarding the relative density and the primary performance of RAC, there were certain variations; however, in general, a correlation could be obtained. When FAII and BFS were used, the performance design could be evaluated using the relative quality index method.

Based on these conclusions, it can be ascertained that when low-quality recycled aggregate is used in concrete, by varying the recycled aggregate replacement ratio and using suitable general-purpose admixtures such as FA and BFS, the primary performance of concrete can be improved. This would increase the use of low-quality recycled aggregate for structural concrete.
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Appendix A

The lower limit of density of recycled aggregate class L in the oven-dry condition was calculated using the following equation:

Recycled coarse aggregate class L: Equation (A1) (equation for absorption of 4.0–6.0% \([40]\)).

\[
D_{d_{RLG}} = -0.053 \cdot A_{RLG} + 2.56 = 2.19 \text{ (g/cm}^3\text{)} \quad (A1)
\]

Recycled fine aggregate class L: Equation (A2) (equation for absorption of 7.1–12.1% \([39]\)).

\[
D_{d_{RLS}} = -0.052 \cdot A_{RLS} + 2.59 = 1.91 \text{ (g/cm}^3\text{)}, \quad (A2)
\]

where

\[
D_{d_{RLG}}: \text{ lower limit of density of recycled coarse aggregate class L (g/cm}^3\text{) in the oven-dry condition;}
\]

\[
A_{RLG}: \text{ upper limit of absorption of recycled coarse aggregate class L (%) = 7;}
\]

\[
D_{d_{RLS}}: \text{ under limit of density of recycled fine aggregate class L (g/cm}^3\text{) in the oven-dry condition;}
\]

\[
A_{RLS}: \text{ upper limit of absorption of recycled fine aggregate class L (%) = 13.}
\]
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