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Abstract

As lean premixed combustion systems are more susceptible to combustion instabilities than non-premixed systems, there is an increasing demand for improved numerical design tools that can predict the occurrence of combustion instabilities with high accuracy. The inherent non-linearities in combustion instabilities can be of crucial importance, and we here propose an approach in which the one-dimensional Navier-Stokes and scalar transport equations are solved for geometries of variable cross-section. The focus is on attached flames, and for this purpose a new phenomenological model for the unsteady heat release from a flame front is introduced. In the attached flame method (AFM) the heat release occurs over the full length of the flame. The non-linear code with the use of the AFM approach is validated against results from an experimental study of thermoacoustic instabilities in oxy-fuel flames by Ditaranto and Hals [Combustion and Flame, 146, 493-512 (2006)]. The numerical simulations are in accordance with the experimental measurements and both the frequencies and the amplitudes of the resonant acoustic pressure modes are reproduced with good accuracy.
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1. Introduction

New and stricter emission regulations, particularly on nitrogen oxides, have led to the adoption of lean premixed combustion as the primary technology for low-emission power generation from gaseous fuels in stationary gas turbines. Homogeneous premixing of the fuel and the oxidizer, combined with an ultra-lean operation mode, provide for lower combustion temperatures and a drastic reduction in NOx formation, accompanied by lower
emission of soot and CO. Experience has shown, however, that lean pre-
mixed combustion systems are more susceptible to combustion instabilities
than non-premixed systems. The coupling between unsteady heat release
and acoustic pressure oscillations can lead to self-excited oscillations that
cause unacceptable levels of noise and moreover tend to reduce combustion
efficiency. These thermoacoustic oscillations also have a detrimental effect
on the combustor equipment that limits the component lifetime and, in the
worst case, can result in system failure due to structural damage.

The thermoacoustic instabilities involve a feedback cycle in which the
heat release rate and acoustic pressure fluctuations are coupled. A stability
criterion was deduced by Lord Rayleigh [1], which states that the pressure
wave will be amplified and develop an instability if the heat release fluctu-
ations are in phase with the acoustic pressure oscillations. Oppositely, the
system is stable if the heat release rate and acoustic pressure fluctuations
are out of phase. In general, there are various types of combustion instabil-
ities due to the different physical mechanisms that can drive the instability.
The underlying driving mechanism depends on the combustor geometry, the
burner and flame types, and the set-up of the fuel and oxidizer feed lines.
Thus, an instability due to variation in the equivalence ratio may arise if the
acoustics is able to interact with the upstream flow all the way to the indi-
vidual feed lines. Another type of instability occurs if the acoustic pressure
oscillations affect the incoming velocity of the fuel-oxidizer mixture into the
flame front. Reviews of the acoustically coupled combustion instabilities, as
well as other instability mechanisms that do not couple directly to the system
acoustics, are given by Candel [2] and McManus et al. [3].

Combustion instabilities and the interactions between several different
physical phenomena such as variations in the flow velocities, acoustic pres-
sure fluctuations, and heat release are inherently complex by nature. Tra-
ditionally, combustion system designers have relied on experiments in order
to obtain vital information for the design of combustors where instabilities
can be avoided or controlled. However, performing a series of large-scale
experiments, in some cases under high pressure to create gas turbine operat-
ing conditions, is very costly. For this reason the development of numerical
design tools based on Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) has become an
important endeavor in recent years in order to complement experiments in
the design process. The complexity of the instability mechanisms and their
interactions, however, places severe demands on both the physical modeling
and the numerical analysis of current CFD techniques. Hence, the devel-
opment of CFD as a reliable design and analysis tool with sufficiently high prediction accuracy with regard to combustion instabilities is an extremely challenging task.

The most accurate method to study turbulent combusting flows numerically is by Direct Numerical Simulation (DNS), where the flow field is solved directly from the Navier-Stokes equations [4]. Due to the huge computational cost, however, the use of DNS is restricted to simplified problems and turbulent flows with relatively low Reynolds number. For high Reynolds number flows in complex geometries, as often encountered in industrial applications, DNS is therefore prohibitively expensive and is likely to be beyond reach for quite some time. A computationally less demanding approach is Large Eddy Simulation (LES), in which the large turbulent eddies of the flow are computed explicitly, while the smaller eddies are not resolved but modeled using a subgrid scale model. LES is well suited to the description of unsteady dynamical phenomena, and there has been a growing interest in LES in recent years [5]. But even LES is limited due to expensive computational costs, and thus 3D LES calculations are non-practical for use on an everyday basis in industrial applications.

As a consequence of the complexity of instability mechanisms, much of the modeling work on combustion instabilities has focused on simplified models to make problems tractable. The development of linear models, in which the Navier-Stokes equations and scalar transport equations are linearized, has been a very useful approach capable of predicting combustion instabilities at a qualitative level [3]. Thus linear acoustic models can predict, at least to some extent, the frequencies of resonant modes and their growth rate during a phase of exponential growth. A current practice in the modeling of unstable combustion systems is to apply a network model where the geometry of the combustor is modeled by a network of acoustic elements and a simplified form of the pressure equation is solved. The acoustic elements of a network model, also called multiports, correspond to various components of the system, e.g., the air or fuel supply, the transition between two regions of different cross-section, the outlet of the combustor, or the flame itself [6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Mathematically, each multiport in the system is represented by a transfer function.

Although the predictive scope of linear models is limited, the use of linear models for active control of combustion instabilities has been widespread [3, 11]. However, linear models are not able to predict the amplitudes of the resonant modes of the statistically stationary state, and hence the dominat-
ing instabilities of the system cannot be distinguished from the less significant ones. Also, the couplings between the instability mechanisms may not be accurately represented in linear models and there has therefore been a growing interest in active control based on non-linear models [12]. When the non-linear Navier-Stokes and scalar transport equations are solved, it is possible to predict the shape and the level of the acoustic frequency spectrum both during the exponential growth phase and for the statistically stationary state. This should make for a more accurate and efficient active control of the combustion instabilities. In a non-linear real space description it is furthermore possible to apply more reliable models for the heat release, as discussed in Sec. 4.2. An alternative approach to solving the non-linear real space equations is to solve a non-linear wave equation in the frequency domain [13, 14].

In this paper we have chosen an approach where the non-linear Navier-Stokes and scalar transport equations are solved for a combusting flow in real space and time, as in a DNS or an LES, but in a reduced one-dimensional description. Previous work on real-space 1D non-linear CFD simulations of combustion instabilities include the work of Polifke et al. [10] and Rook [15]. Polifke et al. [10] considered thermoacoustic oscillations in a straight duct by introducing a one-dimensional heat release model for a heat source placed in the duct. From time-dependent simulations of the dynamical behavior of the heat source, the authors were able to obtain the transfer matrix of the heat source which again could be investigated in a linear network model. Rook [15] applied one-dimensional CFD to study the acoustical behavior of a burner-stabilized flame using a pressure correction method to simulate the flame on a ceramic foam burner. We here follow the approach of variable cross-section area introduced by Cohen et al. [16], and also used by Prasad and Feng [18, 19], where the one-dimensional equations are written for variable-area geometries in order to simulate three-dimensional geometries. The reduction of the governing equations to 1D is valid for relatively simple geometries for which the flow can be assumed to be quasi-one-dimensional, and where the wavelengths are sufficiently large so that the wave motion is well approximated by a plane wave. In this framework we propose a new phenomenological model for the unsteady heat release from a flame front. The flame model, here termed the attached flame method (AFM), gives a 1D realization of the flame front. Using AFM it is demonstrated that the 1D simulations capture both the exponential growth and the nonlinear statistically stationary state of the acoustic oscillations at a computational cost far below that of a corresponding DNS or an LES.
In Section 2 we present the governing equations, and make them one-dimensional by introducing a variable cross-section. Boundary conditions is discussed in Section 3, while the new flame model is described in Section 4. Finally, the code is verified in Section 5 by comparing the simulation results with experimental results from a study of combustion instabilities in oxy-fuel flames by Ditaranto and Hals [20].

2. The governing equations

The governing equations for a turbulent combusting flow are based on the conservation of mass, momentum and energy, and the transport equations for species mass fractions. The conservation of mass is represented by the continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \rho \mathbf{u} = 0,$$

while the conservation of momentum gives the Navier-Stokes equations

$$\rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\tau},$$

where $\rho$ is the density, $\mathbf{u}$ is the velocity vector, $p$ is the pressure, and $\mathbf{\tau}$ is the viscous stress tensor. For a Newtonian fluid the stress tensor is given by $\mathbf{\tau} = 2\mu \mathbf{S}$, where $\mu$ is the dynamic viscosity, and $\mathbf{S}$ is the traceless strain tensor with components

$$S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_k} \right),$$

when we use the Einstein summing convention. The conservation equation for the energy can be rewritten in terms of the temperature equation

$$\rho \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla T = \frac{1}{c_v} \left( -p \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + \nabla \cdot (\lambda \nabla T) + \dot{q}_v + \dot{q}_c \right),$$

where $T$ is the temperature, $c_v$ is the specific heat at constant volume, $\lambda$ is thermal conductivity, $\dot{q}_v = 2\mu \mathbf{S}^2$ is the viscous heating source term, and $\dot{q}_c$ is

\footnote{The bulk viscosity has been set to zero by Stokes’ hypothesis.}
the heat release rate from combustion. The equations for the mass fractions $Y_k$ of the species $k$ can be written as

$$\rho \frac{\partial Y_k}{\partial t} + \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla Y_k = \nabla \cdot (\rho D \nabla Y_k) + \rho \omega_k ; \quad k = 1, \ldots, N_S, \quad (5)$$

where $D$ is the mass diffusivity, $\omega_k$ is the chemical reaction rate of species $k$, and $N_S$ is the number of species. The above set of equations are closed via the ideal gas equation of state

$$p = \rho r T, \quad (6)$$

where $r$ is the gas constant of the mixture. The mixture gas constant is given by $r = R/m$, where $R = 8.31 \text{ kJ/(kmol K)}$ is the universal gas constant, and $m$ is the mean molar mass. The speed of sound in the gas mixture is

$$c_0 = \sqrt{\gamma r T}, \quad (7)$$

where $\gamma = c_p/c_v$ is the specific heat ratio, with $c_p$ the specific heat constant pressure.

2.1. The variable cross-section 1D approximation

In a variable cross-section geometry the combusting flow can be solved by a quasi-one-dimensional treatment. The reduced governing equations in one dimension are presented here. In a quasi-1D description the traceless strain tensor is reduced to

$$S = \text{diag} \left( \frac{2}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3} \right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x}. \quad (8)$$

From this it follows that the viscous force is

$$\nabla \cdot \tau = \nabla \cdot (2 \nu \rho S) = \frac{4}{3} \mu \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial u \partial \ln \rho}{\partial x} \right), \quad (9)$$

where $\mu$ is the dynamic viscosity. Furthermore, the viscous heating reduces to

$$\dot{q}_v = 2\mu S^2 = \frac{4}{3} \mu \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2. \quad (10)$$

It should be noted that the factor $4/3$ in the above equations is due to the 1D approximation.
The quasi-1D continuity equation for a variable cross-section geometry can be written as
\[ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial \rho Au}{\partial x}, \] (11)
where \( A \) is the cross-sectional area. It follows that the one-dimensional equations for the momentum, the temperature and the species mass fraction are given by
\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{4}{3} \nu \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{F_{f,w}}{\rho}, \] (12)
\[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = -u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\rho c_v} \left( -\frac{p}{A} \frac{\partial uA}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right) + \dot{q}_v + \dot{q}_e + \dot{q}_{v,w} \right), \] (13)
and
\[ \frac{\partial Y_k}{\partial t} = -u \frac{\partial Y_k}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \rho D \frac{\partial Y_k}{\partial x} \right) + \omega_k, \] (14)
where \( F_{f,w} \) represents the viscous force from the walls, and \( \dot{q}_{v,w} \) is the corresponding viscous heating. These terms are added to the system since the viscous force in Eq. (9) contributes to damping in the streamwise direction only, i.e., wall effects do not naturally appear in the one-dimensional equations. For more information on the viscous force \( F_{f,w} \), see Appendix A. If the viscous wall effects are negligible, \( F_{f,w} \) and \( \dot{q}_{v,w} \) in Eqs. (12) and (13) can be set to zero.

Numerically, the set of equations (11)–(14) are solved using an explicit solver. The spatial discretization is sixth-order finite difference, while third-order Runge-Kutta is used for the time stepping.

3. Boundary conditions

3.1. Open boundaries

We assume that the time varying pressure and velocity field in the system can be decomposed as
\[ p = p_0 + p', \]
\[ u = u_0 + u', \] (15)
where subscript 0 denote the mean part while primes denote the fluctuating part. In addition, the density fluctuations \( \rho' \) are given by
\[ \rho = \rho_0 + \rho', \] (16)
where $\rho_0$ is the average density. The acoustic pressure fluctuations are described by plane harmonic waves given by

$$p' = \hat{p}e^{-i\omega t} = (A^+e^{ikx} + A^- e^{-ikx}) e^{-i\omega t}, \tag{17}$$

where $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ is the wave number, $\omega$ is the angular frequency, and $A^+$ and $A^-$ denote the amplitudes of the right-moving and left-moving pressure waves, respectively. Similarly, the velocity fluctuations are given by

$$u' = \hat{u}e^{-i\omega t} = \frac{1}{\rho_0 c_0} (A^+ e^{ikx} - A^- e^{-ikx}) e^{-i\omega t}. \tag{18}$$

In a long duct with an open exit at $x = 0$, the impedance at the exit is

$$Z = \frac{\hat{p}}{\hat{u}} = \rho_0 c_0 \left(\frac{A^+ + A^-}{A^+ - A^-}\right) = \rho_0 c_0 \left(\frac{1 - R}{1 + R}\right), \tag{19}$$

where the reflection coefficient $R$ is defined by $R = -A^-/A^+$. Rearranging the above equation we get

$$R = \frac{\rho_0 c_0 - Z}{\rho_0 c_0 + Z}. \tag{20}$$

We notice that if $Z = 0$ there is perfect reflection and $R = 1$. If $Z = \rho_0 c_0$, corresponding to the characteristic impedance of the medium, $R = 0$ and there is no reflection at the exit. Since $p'/u' = \hat{p}/\hat{u} = Z$, we may use the equation of state (6), together with Eq. (19), to write

$$p' = \rho r T - p_0 = Z u' = \rho_0 c_0 \left(\frac{1 - R}{1 + R}\right) (u - \bar{u}). \tag{21}$$

Solving this equation with respect to the temperature, we obtain

$$T = \frac{1}{\rho r} \left( p_0 + \rho_0 c_0 (u - \bar{u}) \left(\frac{1 - R}{1 + R}\right) \right). \tag{22}$$

Thus, at an open boundary the temperature is determined by the expression (22).
3.1.1. Reflection coefficients at open boundaries

We consider acoustic oscillations in long ducts. If the duct is a circular pipe, the characteristic Helmholtz number is defined as $H_n = ka$, where $a$ is the radius of the pipe. Thus, the Helmholtz number is small if the pipe radius is small compared to the acoustic wavelength. It is known that for low Helmholtz numbers the dominating acoustic modes within a pipe will be reflected from an open end, i.e., most of the acoustic energy will not leave the pipe \[21\]. This is normally also the condition for combustion instabilities to appear. By assuming that $H_n \ll 1$ and applying conservation of mass, it has been shown that the absolute value of the reflection coefficient at the open end of an unflanged pipe is \[22\]

$$|R| = 1 - \frac{1}{2} (ka)^2; \quad ka < 0.2. \quad (23)$$

For large acoustic velocities $\dot{u}$, non-linear effects at the exit will no longer be negligible. The dominating non-linearity being the vortex shedding at the sharp bends of the exit. Peters et al. \[22\] find the acoustic power absorbed by vortex shedding, and non-dimensionalized by $P_{\text{norm}} = \frac{1}{2} \rho \dot{u}^3 \pi a^2$, to be

$$P^*_{\text{vortex}} = \frac{P_{\text{vortex}}}{P_{\text{norm}}} = \beta S_{\text{r,ac}}^{1/3} \quad (24)$$

for the acoustic Strouhal number $S_{\text{r,ac}} = \frac{\omega a}{\dot{u}} \gg 1$, and

$$P^*_{\text{vortex}} = \frac{2c_d}{3\pi} \quad (25)$$

for $S_{\text{r,ac}} \ll 1$. In the above $c_d = 2$ for an unflanged pipe and we have set $\beta = 0.5$ in the simulations.

If $Re(Z)$ is the real part of the impedance $Z$, the power lost at the outlet due to radiation from the pipe exit can be found by using Eq. \[19\] and Eq. \[23\] to be

$$P_{\text{rad}} = \pi a^2 I = \pi a^2 \frac{1}{2} Re(Z) |\dot{u}|^2, \quad (26)$$

where $I$ is the intensity. This gives

$$P^*_{\text{rad}} = \frac{P_{\text{rad}}}{\frac{1}{2} \rho \dot{u}^3 \pi a^2} = \frac{1}{4} ka S_{\text{r,ac}}. \quad (27)$$
Combining the above equations gives the following expression for the reflection coefficient as a function of the power loss

\[ R = \frac{\frac{c_0}{u} - P^*_{\text{loss}}}{\frac{c_0}{u} + P^*_{\text{loss}}} \]  

(28)

when \( P^*_{\text{loss}} = P^*_{\text{vortex}} + P^*_{\text{rad}} \) is the sum of the acoustic losses through radiation and vortex shedding.

3.2. Closed boundaries

Closed boundaries are understood as acoustically closed boundaries that reflect acoustic waves. Thus, closed boundaries can either be closed or open with respect to the mass flow. If there is no inflow (mass flow) across the acoustically closed boundary the velocity \( u \), together with the derivatives \( \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \) and \( \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \), are set to zero. On the other hand, if there is inflow across the acoustically closed boundary, the mass flow is given a constant value (the velocity \( u \) has a non-zero constant value).

An acoustically closed boundary with inflow can be thought of as a wall with several small holes, e.g., a porous plate. The holes are so small that they do not affect the reflecting abilities of the wall. However, they are large enough so that the mass flow entering the domain is significant and is allowed to cross the boundary.

By setting \( \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = 0 \) the closed boundary is adiabatic. This is not necessarily precisely correct, but the error introduced by this assumption is expected to be of minor importance.

4. The flame model

In this section we obtain an expression for the combustion heat release term \( \dot{q}_c \) in Eq. (13). We begin by describing the well-known \( n-\tau \) formulation and show how it can be integrated into a Navier-Stokes solver. We then introduce a new phenomenological flame model which we refer to as the attached flame method (AFM).

4.1. The \( n-\tau \) model for unsteady heat release

As a first approximation we apply the \( n-\tau \) model, which provides a global description of the unsteady heat release rate associated with combustion
instabilities. The heat release rate (energy per unit time) in the $n$-$\tau$ model is given by

$$Q' = \frac{An\rho_{c0}^2}{\gamma - 1} u(x, t - \tau),$$  

(29)

where $A$ is the cross-section of the duct, $n$ is the interaction index determining the coupling between the velocity and heat release fluctuations, and $\tau$ is the time lag between these fluctuations. The heat release rate per volume is

$$\dot{q}_c' = \frac{Q'}{AL_f} = \frac{n\rho_{c0}\gamma T}{L_f} u(x, t - \tau),$$  

(30)

where $L_f$ is the flame length, and we have made use of the relation (7).

The $n$-$\tau$ model was originally designed for linear wave-equation models for which the main focus has been on the heat release fluctuations and their coupling to the flow-field perturbations. For an implementation of the $n$-$\tau$ formulation in a CFD code based on the Navier-Stokes equations, the mean part of the heat release needs to be included as well. Thus, the total heat release rate per volume (the mean part plus the fluctuation) can be written

$$\dot{q}_c = \rho_{c0} \left( h_c + \frac{n\gamma T}{L_f} u(x, t - \tau) \right),$$  

(31)

where $h_c$ is a constant heat source. Both the constant $h_c$ and the unsteady heat release are in this approach non-zero only within the flame.

4.2. The attached flame method (AFM)

The $n-\tau$ formulation is primarily designed to be used with linear wave-equation models in the frequency domain. Although generalizations to non-linear applications have been made, the $n-\tau$ model is not optimal for use in a non-linear Navier-Stokes solver where the governing equations are solved in real space and time. Thus, in the $n$-$\tau$ model the heat release is limited to a point source. In addition, the time lag $\tau$ must be accounted for.

More detailed analyses of unsteady heat release from flame fronts have been based on studies of the dynamics and shape of anchored premixed flames \[23, 24\]. Fleifil et al. \[25\] used a kinematic model to calculate the transfer function in the linear regime between heat release and upstream velocity oscillation of a premixed flame stabilized on the rim of a tube. The kinematic approach was later extended by Schuller et al. \[26\] by including convective effects of the flow modulations propagating upstream of the flame. In this
paper we present a new phenomenological model for the unsteady heat release from an attached flame. The model, denoted the attached flame method (AFM), is similar to the analytical flame front model [25, 26] in the sense that a real-time differential equation is solved to capture the kinematics of the thermoacoustic instabilities. However, while the kinematic model is based on the G-equation approach to determine the location of the flame front, in AFM the flame position is obtained directly from the equations for the species mass fractions. The basic idea is here to project the flame, which is essentially two-dimensional, into a one-dimensional description.

One advantage of the AFM approach, compared to the $n - \tau$ formulation, is that for laminar flames no free parameters such as $n$ and $\tau$ need to be determined. Another advantage is that the heat release occurs over the full length of the real flame, and not just from a single point. In the AFM formulation, the full length $L_f$ of the flame is defined as the distance from the inlet, where the flame is anchored, to the point where all the fuel is burned. The flame length $L_f$ is therefore a dynamical variable that changes with time as the flow conditions change.

The laminar flame speed is known to vary slightly with the position in a flame. Thus, the laminar flame speed will in general be different at the base of the flame, in the flame tip, and in the main body of the flame. The spatial variations in the flame speed are believed to have only minor effects on the flame front, however, and have been neglected in the following. A known constant laminar flame speed has been assumed in the current formulation, although this can easily be changed if the effects of a variable flame speed are expected to be significant.

For a combusting flow in a long duct we assume that the flame front can be represented by piecewise straight lines, as shown in Figure 1. The total flame surface $\Delta A_i$ within a 1D grid cell is then given by

$$\Delta A_i = 2\Delta H_i d,$$

(32)

where $d$ is the depth of the 1D grid cell, \textit{i.e.}, the size of the grid cell in the direction perpendicular to the paper plane. The flame front is here the interface between the fresh and the burned gas within a given 1D grid cell. Since the approach is one-dimensional only, the flame front is not resolved by the 1D code but it is evident that the distance from the centerline to the flame front, denoted $h_i$, is proportional to the mean mass fraction $Y_{\text{fuel}}$ of the
Figure 1: In this duct of height $2h$ there is a flame holder at the position $x_f$ in the streamwise direction. The flame front is represented by piecewise straight lines with total length $H = 2 \sum \Delta H_i$, and the length of the flame is $L_f$. Every 1D grid cell has length $\Delta x$.

Fuel in the 1D grid cell. This can be written as

$$\frac{h_i}{\bar{h}} = \frac{Y_{\text{fuel}}}{Y_{\text{fuel,inlet}}},$$

(33)

where $Y_{\text{fuel,inlet}}$ is the mass fraction of the fuel at the inlet. We note that the limiting cases of $h_i = h$ upstream of the flame anchor at $x_f$ and $h_i = 0$ downstream of the flame tip are recovered from the above equation. Differentiating Eq. (33) we obtain

$$\frac{dh_i}{dx} = \frac{dY_{\text{fuel}}}{dx} \frac{h}{Y_{\text{fuel,inlet}}},$$

(34)

which, when setting $\Delta h_i = \Delta x \frac{dh_i}{dx}$, gives

$$\Delta H_i = \sqrt{\Delta x^2 + \Delta h_i^2} = \Delta x \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{h}{Y_{\text{fuel,inlet}}} \frac{dY_{\text{fuel}}}{dx} \right)^2}.$$  

(35)

In the above derivation we have used the fact that the mass fraction of the fuel outside the flame cone is zero (burned gas), while the mass fraction of the fuel inside the flame cone equals the mass fraction of the fuel at the inlet (fresh gas).
Since the flame front is always moving into the fresh gas, the reaction rate of the fuel within the flame is given by

\[
R = \frac{\Delta A_i Y_{fuel,\text{inlet}} S_L f_T}{\Delta V},
\]  

(36)

where \( S_L \) is the laminar flame speed, and \( \Delta V \) is the volume of the grid cell. The reaction rate of the fuel is then given by

\[
R_{\text{fuel}} = \begin{cases} 
0 & x < x_f \\
-\frac{2S_L Y_{fuel,\text{inlet}} f_T}{h} \sqrt{1 + \left( h \frac{dY_{fuel}}{dx} \right)^2} & x_f \leq x \leq x_f + L_f \\
0 & x > x_f + L_f 
\end{cases}
\]

(37)

where \( f_T \geq 1 \) is a constant accounting for the fact that the real flame speed might be larger than the laminar flame speed due to turbulence. In fact, \( f_T \), quantifying the turbulence in the flame, is the only closure needed in the model. In the current work the focus is on laminar problems, however, and the model is here validated for laminar or weakly turbulent cases only, for which \( f_T = 1 \).

By construction the AFM does not allow for more than two flame fronts for any given downstream position. That is; a given flame segment can not have an angle of more than 90 degrees to the wall. This means that the model will not be able to describe e.g. vortex roll up, and is therefore most suited to compact flames. This is a natural consequence of the model being one dimensional.

For a chemical reacting system with \( N_S \) species, the general equation for a chemical reaction can be written

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{N_S} \nu'_k A_k \rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{N_S} \nu''_k A_k,
\]

(38)

where \( A_k \) symbolizes the chemical species \( k \), and \( \nu'_k \) and \( \nu''_k \) are the stoichiometric coefficients of species \( k \) on the reactant and product side, respectively. The chemical reaction rate \( \omega_k \) for such a system may be expressed as

\[
\omega_k = (\nu''_k - \nu'_k) R_{\text{fuel}} \frac{m_k}{m_{\text{fuel}}},
\]

(39)

where \( m_k \) and \( m_{\text{fuel}} \) is the molar mass of species \( k \) and of the fuel, respectively.
The energy release within a grid cell is determined by the reaction rate \( R_{\text{fuel}} \) and the lower heating value \( h_L \) of the unburned mixture. Thus, the heat release term \( \dot{q}_c \) in Eq. (13) is defined by

\[
\dot{q}_c = R_{\text{fuel}} h_L \rho.
\] (40)

The expressions (39) and (40) are used to close the set of governing equations (11)–(14).

4.3. The secondary grid

In many applications of premixed combustion the geometry is such that a narrow slot leads into a wider combustion chamber. This is visualized in Figure 2 where a slot of height \( h_{\text{slot}} \) leads into a combustion chamber of height \( h_{\text{comb}} \). In such a geometry it is no longer correct to use the same convective velocity for the species convection in the jet as in the mean flow. The reason is that the mean convective velocity of the flow within the combustion chamber is lower than the convective velocity of the fresh fuel/air jet entering from the slot. Hence, we here solve the velocity evolution of the jet using a separate secondary subgrid. The jet entering the combustion chamber is visualized by the thick dashed lines in Figure 2, which then also represent the upper and lower boundaries of the secondary grid within the chamber. Note, however, that since the simulation tool is formulated in 1D only, no boundary conditions are required at the dashed lines. In the streamwise direction the secondary grid is bounded by the coordinates \( x_{\text{sec},1} \) and \( x_{\text{sec},2} \), as shown in Figure 2. In this subgrid domain the governing equations (11)–(14) are solved for a constant cross-section \( A \) and with no reactions, i.e., \( \omega_k \) and \( \dot{q}_c \) are both zero. This gives the convective velocity \( u_{\text{conv}} \) to be used in the convective term of Eq. (12). The secondary grid is thus applied to only a small fraction of the entire computational domain covered by the primary grid, which means that special care must be taken concerning boundary conditions. At the secondary subgrid inlet \( x_{\text{sec},1} \) the boundary values are chosen as the corresponding instantaneous values of the primary grid at the same location. For the subgrid outlet at \( x_{\text{sec},2} \) the non-reflecting boundary condition given by \( R = 0 \) in Eq. (20) is used.

It should be pointed out that the matching of the secondary and the primary grid solutions in the above manner does not have any significant impact on the final results as long as both the inlet and the outlet of the secondary grid are outside the flame and a non-reflecting boundary condition is used at the secondary grid outlet.
5. Validation of the quasi-1D code

The non-linear quasi-1D code is here validated against analytical, experimental and numerical results. We first compare with analytical results obtained in a simplified setup of a flame in a duct. The non-linear code is then validated against results from an oxy-fuel study in a lab-scale test rig, both for inert and reactive flows.

5.1. Straight duct

We here consider a simplified case of a flame in a straight duct for which an analytical solution is known when the flame is described by the $n$-$\tau$ model. For this comparison case the AFM model is not applied since a direct comparison between the AFM and the $n$-$\tau$ model is not straightforward, given that various choices of $n$ and $\tau$ may lead to very different physical solutions. Thus, the quasi-1D numerical results are here compared with the analytical solution given by Poinso and Veynante \cite{6}, with the $n$-$\tau$ model applied in both approaches.
The simulated duct is assumed to be a straight pipe of length $2a = 1$ m, with the flame located in the center of the pipe. For the analytical treatment the heat source is a point source, while for the non-linear solver the flame has a length of $8$ cm in the pipe direction. The spatial extension of the flame is required since any gradient or object in a spatial code must be resolved by at least a few grid points. The set-up is such that air enters at room temperature at the pipe inlet. The constant heat source $h_c$ given in Eq. (31) is chosen to be zero such that the mean temperature at the outlet equals the inlet temperature. The interaction index $n$ between the velocity and the heat release is set to $n = 0.25$. We are here primarily interested in the imaginary part of the frequency, which for this simplified case is given by

$$\text{Im}(\omega_j) = \frac{(-1)^j n c}{8 \pi a} \sin(\omega_j \tau),$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

where $c$ is the speed of sound, and

$$\omega_j = 2\pi f_j = (1 + 2j)\frac{2\pi c}{8a}. \hspace{1cm} (42)$$

In this linear approach the amplitude of a resonant frequency $\omega_j$ is given by $A_j = B_j \exp(-i\omega_j t)$, such that the amplitude $A_j$ grows exponentially when the imaginary part of $\omega_j$ is positive. If the imaginary part of $\omega_j$ is negative, on the other hand, the amplitude of this particular frequency will decay exponentially. In Table 5.1 the imaginary parts of the first four $\omega_j$’s are shown for three different values of $\tau$. For $\tau = 6 \times 10^{-4}$ s it is evident that $\omega_1 = 1639$ Hz and $\omega_3 = 3824$ Hz are the unstable frequency modes. In the left plot of Figure 3 the positions of these two modes are marked by large arrows, while the smaller arrows correspond to the decaying resonant modes. The solid line of the plot shows the energy spectrum obtained from the numerical quasi-1D simulation, and it is seen that the excited modes agree well with the unstable modes as given by the analytical solution. In the last column of Table 5.1 the simulated growth rate is also found to be in good agreement with the theoretical values. For $\tau = 15 \times 10^{-4}$ s we note that the unstable frequency modes are given by $\omega_1 = 1639$ Hz and $\omega_2 = 2731$ Hz. In the middle plot of Figure 3 we observe that the amplitude of $\omega_1$ is much larger than the amplitude of $\omega_2$, despite the fact that the imaginary part of $\omega_2$ is larger than that of $\omega_1$. This is due to that the initial perturbations are such that $B_1 >> B_2$, and that the amplitude $A_2$, while still in the linear regime of the simulation, did not have enough time to catch up with $A_1$. 
This is also reflected by the fact that the simulated growth rate corresponds to the imaginary part of $\omega_1$. Finally, for $\tau = 27 \times 10^{-4}$ s we find that there are no unstable frequency modes and that all the amplitudes are decaying. The resonant modes are nevertheless recovered in the right plot of Figure 3, but the amplitudes are very weak (note the scale on the ordinate axis). For this case it should be noted that due to quite large uncertainties in the determination of the decay rate, the growth rate presented in Table 5.1 has relatively large error bars.
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Figure 3: Energy spectra for simulations with $\tau = 6 \times 10^{-4}$ s (left), $\tau = 15 \times 10^{-4}$ s (middle) $\tau = 27 \times 10^{-4}$ s (right).

| $\tau$ $[10^{-4}s]$ | $\text{Im}(\omega_0)$ | $\text{Im}(\omega_1)$ | $\text{Im}(\omega_2)$ | $\text{Im}(\omega_3)$ | Simulated growth rate |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 6                    | -2.2                  | 5.8                   | -6.9                  | 5.2                   | 5.2                   |
| 15                   | -5.1                  | 4.4                   | 5.7                   | -3.6                  | 4.3                   |
| 27                   | -6.9                  | -6.6                  | -6.2                  | -5.4                  | -5.6                  |

Table 1: Growth rate of unstable frequencies for different $\tau$'s. The angular frequencies are $\omega_0=546$ Hz, $\omega_1=1639$ Hz, $\omega_2=2731$ Hz, and $\omega_3=3824$ Hz.

### 5.2. Sudden expansion burner

In this section we validate the non-linear quasi-1D code against experimental results from the oxy-fuel study of Ditaranto and Hals [20]. A schematic view of the geometry of the lab-scale combustion rig is shown in Figure 4. The set-up consists of a 80 cm long premixing section, followed by a 4 cm long flame arrestor and a 10 cm long slot leading into the 47.9 cm long combustion chamber. The premixing and combustor sections are square channels with cross-section $s \times s$, where $s = 5.4$ cm is the inner width of the sections.
For the flame arrestor and the slot the cross-sections are $s \times d_{\text{arr.}}$ and $s \times d_{\text{slot}}$, respectively, where $d_{\text{arr.}} = 2$ cm and $d_{\text{slot}} = 0.5$ cm.

Figure 4: Schematic view of the geometry of the oxy-fuel combustion rig used in the experimental study of Ditaranto and Hals [20].

5.2.1. The cold rig

In order to validate the non-reactive part of the non-linear code, acoustic simulations were performed for the geometry of the oxy-fuel rig described in [20], with no flame and only air present in the rig. Experimentally, in the cold rig case a loudspeaker was placed at the upstream end of the premixer, i.e., at the inlet at the left-end side of the set-up shown in Figure 4. The acoustic frequency response of the loudspeaker was measured with four microphones, and for this procedure there was no flow in the system. The loudspeaker additionally produced white noise for which the spectral distribution is not known. The numerical simulations can therefore not reproduce the amplitudes of the resonant modes, but merely aim at reproducing the resonant frequencies.

The velocity spectrum corresponding to the statistically steady state solution of the non-linear code is plotted in Figure 5. Also shown in this figure are the experimentally measured values of the resonant frequencies, along with the resonant frequencies obtained with the use of the linear code. We note that there is generally a good match between the measured resonant modes and those obtained by the numerical simulations. The resonant peak at $\sim 25$ Hz corresponds to the low frequency 1/4 mode of the entire system.
5.2.2. Oxy-fuel combustion

We here validate the full quasi-1D non-linear code using the AFM formulation against an experimental study of combustion instabilities in oxy-fuel flames in a sudden expansion test rig. In the oxy-fuel study of Ditaranto and Hals [20] four different combustion instability regimes, referred to as regions, were distinguished. In Figure 6 are shown typical spectral distributions obtained in the oxy-fuel experiment for two of these regions. The shown pressure spectra were recorded at microphone port 3 illustrated in Figure 4. In what is referred to as Region 3 the flame front is attached to the slot of the rig at all times. For Region 2 the oxy-fuel flame study exhibited two instability patterns; one for which the flame is not attached to the slot but follows the formation of periodic large vortices, and another corresponding to a hysteresis phenomenon for which there is a combination of an attached flame branch and vortex shedding.

We observe from the spectral distributions of Figure 6 that the instability frequencies are quite different for the shown cases. Thus, for the attached flame of Region 3 the 1/4 mode from the combustion chamber is at a signifi-
significantly lower frequency (\(\sim 230\) Hz) than the corresponding modes (\(\sim 300\) Hz) for the cases in Region 2. According to Ditaranto and Hals \[20\], the instability
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Figure 6: The spectral distributions of pressure oscillations in the oxy-fuel rig as measured by Ditaranto and Hals \[20\]. The pressure spectra were recorded at microphone port 3 of the rig in two different instability regions. In Region 3 (thick solid line) the flame is attached to the slot. In Region 2 there are two instability patterns; one (thin solid line) displaying periodic vortex shedding and another (thin dashed line) being an intermediate case with both an attached flame branch and the formation of periodic vortices. The left arrow in the figure points to the low-frequency 1/4 mode of the entire system, the three next arrows point to the frequency peaks of the combustor 1/4 mode, the two upper arrows point to the peaks of the premixer 1/2 mode, while the two arrows to the right point to the frequency peaks of the 1/1 mode of the premixer.

frequency for the attached flame case is in fact a combination of the premixer 1/2 mode and the combustion chamber 1/4 mode. The premixer 1/2 modes for the cases of Region 2 both have frequencies at \(\sim 200\) Hz, although the mode corresponding to the hysteresis case is not dominant and difficult to observe in Figure 6. Similarly, the premixer 1/1 modes for the cases of Region 2 have frequencies at \(\sim 400\) Hz, while the corresponding mode of the attached flame case is at \(\sim 460\) Hz. The main difference between the two instability cases of Region 2 is that the premixer 1/2 mode is most amplified when the flame follows the shedded vortices entirely, while the combustor 1/4 mode is dominant when the flame is partially attached to the slot.
The combustion chamber temperature was not measured by Ditaranto and Hals [20] and we therefore use the frequency peak of the ground mode of the combustor to estimate the average temperature. From Figure 6 we note that the 1/4 mode of the combustion chamber is at a frequency $f_0 \approx 230$ Hz for the attached flame. We first compute the speed of sound and recall that for a duct with an open end we also know that the acoustics depends on an end correction to the duct length. For an open end unflanged pipe, Davies et al. [27] have obtained an empirical fit to the end correction given by

$$\frac{l}{a} = 0.6133 - 0.1168 (ka)^2; \quad ka < 0.5,$$

(43)

where $a$ is the radius of the pipe. For the square combustion duct we set $a = s/\sqrt{\pi} = 3.0$ cm, corresponding to a pipe of equal cross-section as the duct. This gives a length correction of $l \approx 1.8$ cm for the ground mode. Adding this to the combustor length, we find the acoustic length of the combustor to be $l_{\text{acoustic}} = (0.479 + 0.018) \text{ m} = 0.497 \text{ m}$. With $f_0 = c_0/\lambda$ and $\lambda = 4l_{\text{acoustic}}$ for the 1/4 wave mode, this gives the average speed of sound in the combustion chamber

$$c_0 = 4 f_0 l_{\text{acoustic}} = 458 \text{ m/s}. \quad (44)$$

Using Eq. (7), the average temperature in the combustion chamber then becomes

$$T = \frac{c_0^2}{\gamma r} = 720 \text{ K}, \quad (45)$$

where $\gamma = 1.24$ and $r = 235 \text{ J/(kg K)}$ for the given gas mixture. The average temperature is thus much lower than the adiabatic flame temperature at about 2400 K (when assuming a combustor inlet temperature of 400 K). In the following we therefore allow for sufficient cooling in the combustion chamber such that the mean temperature downstream of the flame is 720 K.

As discussed in Section 4.2 the AFM model is not able to describe vortex roll up, but is designed to describe attached compact flames. For the validation of the quasi-1D code a numerical simulation of the case for which the flame was attached to the slot has therefore been performed. The case is typical of the instability regime of Region 3, and defined by a Reynolds number $\text{Re} = 2000$, an equivalence ratio $\Phi = 0.9$, and a volume fraction of 42% $\text{O}_2$ in the $\text{O}_2/\text{CO}_2$ oxidant. The corresponding pressure spectrum is shown in Figure 6. In Ditaranto and Hals [20] the spectral distribution of
the pressure oscillations is shown in Fig. 7c. From Fig. 1 in [20] the laminar flame speed is $S_L = 0.47$ m/s in the case of 42% O$_2$ in the oxidant.

In the experimental study the flow rate of fuel and oxidant in the attached flame case was $1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ kg/s and $1.65 \times 10^{-3}$ kg/s, respectively, corresponding to a mean flow velocity in the premixer of 0.42 m/s. With $Re = 2000$ the attached flame is in the laminar flame regime. Hence, the parameter $f_T$ in Eq. (37) is set to $f_T = 1$, i.e., the flame speed is equal to the laminar flame speed.

The observed thermoacoustic instabilities in the flame experiments are governed by statistically-stationary limit cycles in which acoustic pressure variations lead to fluctuations in the flow velocity and heat release. The heat release fluctuations, on the other hand, feed back to the acoustic modes at the same frequency. For the oxy-fuel study the limit cycles are controlled by a saturation in the heat release caused by acoustic losses at the outlet. This can be observed in the left graph of Figure 7, where the envelope of the pressure amplitudes at microphone port 3 of the rig is shown as a function of time for various simulations. We note that when there are no acoustic losses, i.e., when the reflection coefficient $R = 1$, the instability grows to infinity. Taking linear acoustic effects into account, we obtain from Eqs. (23) and (44) a reflection coefficient of $R = 0.995$ at the dominating frequency $f_0 = 230$ Hz. The corresponding instability growth is shown by the thick dashed curve in Figure 7 and displays a slightly smaller growth rate than for the $R = 1$ case. By including both non-linear and radiative losses, the reflection coefficient can be calculated dynamically from Eq. (28). The resulting instability growth is shown by the solid curve denoted “Non-linear” in Figure 7. If, in addition, viscous damping from the walls of the flame arrestor is taken into account, i.e., the term $F_{f,w}$ in Eq. (12) is non-zero, the growth rate is given by the fairly similar dashed curve denoted “Damp.+non-lin.” (For more details on $F_{f,w}$, see Appendix A). Finally, the dashed-dotted curve in Figure 7 shows the pressure envelope for a simulation for which $R = 0.96$.

From the right graph of Figure 7 we observe that the thick dashed curve and the solid (thin) curve have very similar slopes for small pressure variations, while for larger pressure amplitudes the non-linear losses become more and more important and the corresponding solid curve levels out at a much smaller amplitude than the dashed curve. Comparing the simulation results when viscous damping effects were taken into account (dashed curve) with no damping effects implemented (solid curve), we observe that the viscous damping only has little effect on the pressure envelope except for a smaller
growth rate initially. The simulation using a reflection coefficient of $R = 0.96$ was done as a comparison case and produced a slightly larger pressure amplitude than those indicated for the solid and dashed curves. But the initial growth rate produced by the simpler model produced an initial growth rate that was smaller. From these observations we conclude that it is of crucial importance to the numerical simulations that non-linear damping through vortex shedding at the combustor outlet is included. The viscous damping at the walls turns out to be of less importance for this specific set-up. One issue to be kept in mind, however, is that the equations (24) and (27) for non-linear and radiative losses, respectively, were deduced for an open end circular pipe. For the application of a square duct considered here, additional losses due e.g. to the duct corners might therefore have an impact.

In Figure 8 the pressure spectra obtained experimentally (thick solid curve) and by numerical simulations (thin dashed and solid curves) are shown. We observe that all the main resonant frequencies of the experiment are well matched by the simulations. In addition, there is a fairly good agreement between the experimental and numerical values of the levels of the resonant peaks of the pressure spectra. However, the levels of the curves in between the resonant modes are lower for the numerical simulations than what was obtained in the experiment. This may be explained by the fact that experimental peaks are normally broader than their numerical counterparts, but the possibility that the difference is due to the one-dimensional approximation can clearly also not be excluded. It is also interesting to note
that the peaks of the two numerical pressure spectra are well aligned, except for a small difference for the higher frequency modes.
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Figure 8: Experimental and numerical pressure spectra in the combustion chamber at microphone port 3.

6. Conclusion

In this paper we first present the quasi-1D Navier-Stokes and scalar transport equations for a variable cross-section duct. The temperature and species mass equations are closed by expressions for the heat release and the reaction rates obtained from the AFM formulation. The AFM approach has been introduced as a new phenomenological flame model in real space and time for describing attached flames in a one-dimensional geometry of variable cross-section. With the use of the secondary subgrid it is shown that two-dimensional features of a jet entering the combustion chamber is accounted for.

The quasi-1D code is non-linear and gives the time evolution of real space quantities. This is in contrast to conventional linear wave-equation models that only give the growth rate of the unstable resonant frequencies, and under the assumption that a linear representation is adequate. Thus, the quasi-1D code is capable of reproducing the non-linear saturation of the acoustic oscillations, the so-called limit cycle. In order to achieve the correct magnitude
of the limit-cycle oscillations, it is crucial to account for the acoustic losses at the open end(s) of a duct. This is done by including the non-linear effects due to vortex shedding at the sharp bends at the duct exit, in addition to the losses due to acoustic radiation from the open end exit.

The non-linear code has been validated by first comparing results from using the simplified \( n-\tau \) heat release model with results obtained with the \( n-\tau \) model in a linear wave-equation solver. The code was then validated against the oxy-fuel study of Ditaranto and Hals [20] in a sudden expansion burner. With the application of the AFM heat release formulation the numerical simulations were able to reproduce the resonant frequencies of the the acoustic pressure spectrum of an oscillating attached flame with high accuracy. In addition, the levels of the resonant peaks were reproduced quite well. From these findings we conclude that the quasi-1D non-linear Navier-Stokes solver with the AFM formulation is a promising tool for further studies of combustion instabilities in a variety of cases, including jets in variable cross-section ducts or in co-flows.
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Appendix A. Viscous damping

The acoustic Strouhal number is defined by \( \text{Sr}_{ac} = \frac{\omega a}{\hat{u}} \), where \( \omega \) is the angular frequency of the acoustic oscillations, \( a \) is the radius of the pipe, and \( \hat{u} \) is the amplitude of the acoustic velocity oscillations. If the acoustic Strouhal number is very small, the boundary layer develops in a time much smaller than the acoustic period. In that case it is a good approximation to assume that the boundary layer is always developed. Following White [28], the viscous force from the walls \( F_{f,w} \) then is

\[
F_{f,w} = -\tau_w S_P \Delta x,
\]

(A.1)
where $\tau_w$ is the wall shear stress, $S_P$ is the duct perimeter, and $\Delta x$ is the length of a grid cell. The wall shear stress can be expressed as

$$\tau_w = \frac{1}{8} f_D \rho u^2,$$

(A.2)

where $f_D$ is the Darcy friction factor. The Darcy friction factor can be approximated with the expression

$$f_D = \frac{64 f_{\text{prof},1}}{\operatorname{Re}_{D_h}}$$

(A.3)

for laminar flows. For turbulent flows $f_D$ can be obtained from the relation

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{f_D}} = 2.0 \log \left( f_{\text{prof},2} \operatorname{Re}_{D_h} \sqrt{f_D} \right) - 0.8.$$  

(A.4)

The Reynolds number is based on the hydraulic diameter $D_h = 4A/S_P$, where $A$ is the cross-section of the duct, such that $\operatorname{Re}_{D_h} = uD_h/\nu$. In the above equations, $f_{\text{prof},1}$ and $f_{\text{prof},2}$ are factors whose values are determined by the shape of the duct. Thus, for a flow in a circular pipe $f_{\text{prof},1} = f_{\text{prof},2} = 1$. For a flow between two parallel plates we have $f_{\text{prof},1} = 3/2$ and $f_{\text{prof},2} = 0.64$.

It should be noted that the expression (A.2) is valid only for fully developed flows, see for instance the work by Allam and Åbom [29] for further details. This limitation is most prominent for pipes with large cross-sections, i.e., when the Strouhal number $\text{Sr}_{ac}$ is not much smaller than 1. In a flame trap where the viscous damping in general is the largest, however, the given expressions should be relatively good due to the very small cross-sections of the holes. For a more applicable expression for acoustic losses in pipe flows, see the paper by Disselhorst and Wijngaarden [30].

Following Peters et al. [22], for small Helmholtz numbers $ka$ and large shear numbers the damping coefficient due to the viscous boundary layer is given by

$$\alpha = \frac{\omega}{c_0} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2S_h}} \left( 1 + \frac{\gamma - 1}{\sqrt{\text{Pr}}} \right) + \frac{1}{S_h^2} \left( 1 + \frac{\gamma - 1}{\sqrt{\text{Pr}}} - \frac{\gamma(\gamma - 1)}{2 \text{Pr}} \right) \right],$$

(A.5)

where $S_h = a\sqrt{\omega/\nu}$ is the shear number and $\text{Pr}$ is the Prandtl number. The above expression for $\alpha$ has been established under the assumption of no mean flow in the system. This gives a viscous damping defined as

$$\Delta P' \sim \exp(-\alpha x),$$

(A.6)

where $\Delta P'$ is the decrease in the acoustic pressure fluctuations, and $x$ is the distance traveled by the acoustic waves.
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