Cardiac Surgery Compared With Antibiotics Only in Patients Developing Infective Endocarditis After Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement
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Background—Infective endocarditis (IE) after transcatheter aortic valve replacement is a devastating complication associated with a high mortality. Our objective was to determine the impact of cardiac surgery (CS) and antibiotics (IE-CS) compared with medical treatment with antibiotics only (IE-ABx) on 1-year mortality in patients developing IE after transcatheter aortic valve replacement.

Methods and Results—Patients developing IE after transcatheter aortic valve replacement were included in this retrospective analysis. All-cause 1-year mortality was the primary end point. A total of 20 patients underwent IE-CS compared with 44 patients treated by IE-ABx. In this unmatched cohort, patients treated by IE-ABx were older (P=0.006), had a higher Society of Thoracic Surgeons score (P=0.029), and more often had severe chronic kidney disease (P=0.037). One-year mortality was not different between groups (IE-CS versus IE-ABx, 65% versus 68.2%; P=0.802). The rate of any complication during treatment was higher in the IE-CS group (P=0.024). In a matched cohort, baseline characteristics were not significantly different. All-cause 1-year mortality was not different between groups (IE-CS versus IE-ABx, 65% versus 75%; P=0.490). A Cox regression analysis revealed any indication for surgery (hazard ratio, 6.20; 95% confidence interval, 1.80–21.41; P=0.004), sepsis on admission (hazard ratio, 4.03; 95% confidence interval, 1.97–8.24; P<0.001), and mitral regurgitation ≥2 (hazard ratio, 2.91; 95% confidence interval, 1.33–6.37) as factors associated with 1-year mortality.

Conclusions—in patients developing IE after transcatheter aortic valve replacement, mortality was predicted by the severity of IE and concomitant mitral regurgitation. In this small, and therefore statistically limited, but high-risk patient cohort, CS provided no significant mortality benefit compared with medical therapy. Individual decision making by a “heart and endocarditis team” is necessary to offer those patients the most reasonable treatment option. (J Am Heart Assoc. 2018;7:e010027. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.118.010027.)
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Infective endocarditis (IE) after transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) occurs in 0.67% to 3.4% of patients per patient year.1–7 According to a recent multicenter registry, it is associated with an in-hospital mortality of 41.8% and a 2-year mortality rate of 66.7%,7 which is ≈2-fold higher compared with contemporary surgical cohorts with prosthetic valve endocarditis (PVE).8 In those cohorts, surgery is performed in 50% of cases8 in contrast to the 10.8% rate of surgical valve explantation observed in the multicenter registry,7 despite a high rate (>80%) of patients with at least 1 indication for surgery, according to current guidelines.9 Early surgery in patients with native valve endocarditis and severe valve
Clinical Perspective

What Is New?

- In infective endocarditis after TAVR, which develops in 0.67% to 3.4% per patient year, both cardiac surgery and antibiotics only are associated with a devastating high 1-year mortality without a statistically significant advantage of one treatment form over the other in this small high-risk patient group.
- Mortality was predicted by the severity of infective endocarditis and concomitant mitral regurgitation but not the treatment form.

What Are the Clinical Implications?

- Individual decision making by a “heart and endocarditis team” is necessary to offer those patients the most reasonable treatment option.
- A future randomized study will have to determine the role of cardiac surgery in those high-risk patients.

data are rare. Surgery during initial hospitalization for IE did not reduce in-hospital mortality in the Infectious Endocarditis After TAVR International Registry.

The aim of this matched analysis was to evaluate the impact of cardiac surgery (CS) and antibiotics (IE-CS) compared with medical treatment with antibiotics only (IE-ABx) on 1-year mortality in patients developing IE after TAVR.

Methods

The data, analytic methods, and study materials will not be made available to other researchers for purposes of reproducing the results or replicating the procedure.

Patient Cohort and Definitions

Consecutive patients receiving TAVR between June 2008 and April 2017 and afterwards developing IE, which was treated in our tertiary center, were included this analysis. Diagnosis of endocarditis was verified applying the modified Duke criteria. The main inclusion criterion was the echocardiographic evidence of IE with or without the evidence of continuous bacteremia in at least 3 consecutive blood cultures, with the first and last sample taken ≥1 hour apart, or in 2 blood samples drawn >12 hours apart (Figure 1).

Decision to perform surgery or not was made after careful discussion of all findings among the members of the TAVR heart team.

Figure 1. Flowchart of the study showing the formation of the unmatched and matched cohort. ABx indicates antibiotics only; CS, cardiac surgery; IE, infective endocarditis; STS, Society of Thoracic Surgeons.
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team and the “endocarditis team,” including cardiologists, cardiac surgeons, imaging specialists, and microbiologists.

Definite and possible IE were classified according to current guidelines. Early and late endocarditis were defined by occurring within the first year and >1 year after TAVR, respectively.

Nosocomial infection was defined as IE developing in a patient hospitalized for ≥48 hours before the onset of signs or symptoms consistent with IE. Healthcare-associated infection was defined as IE diagnosed within 48 hours of admission in an outpatient with extensive healthcare contact, as reflected by any of the following criteria: (1) received intravenous therapy, wound care, or specialized nursing care at home within the 30 days before the onset of IE; (2) attended a hospital or hemodialysis clinic or received intravenous chemotherapy within the 30 days before the onset of IE; or (3) resided in a nursing home or long-term care facility.

Chronic obstructive lung disease and peripheral arterial disease were diagnosed according to the logistic EuroScore I definitions. Chronic kidney disease was defined according to Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes.

Clinical, microbiological, and imaging findings as well as treatment options and outcome of patients diagnosed with IE were retrospectively collected.

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Leipzig (registration no. 047-17-23012017), and patients gave written informed consent.

End Points

All-cause 1-year mortality (after diagnosis of IE) was the primary end point of this analysis. In-hospital mortality was a secondary end point. Complication rates during IE treatment were collected and defined as follows: (1) Need for hemodialysis: any need for hemodialysis during IE hospitalization attributable to oliguria/anuria, hyperkalemia, hypervolemia, metabolic acidosis, uremic symptoms, or elevated blood urea concentration. (2) Cerebral embolism: every new cerebral lesion with or without neurological symptoms in computed tomography and/or magnetic resonance imaging. (3) Peripheral embolism: every new embolism in a peripheral organ (eg, spleen or kidney) with or without clinical symptoms detected by appropriate imaging modalities. (4) Limb ischemia: clinically relevant limb ischemia attributable to embolism, arterial obstructive disease, or other mechanisms. (5) Bowel ischemia: acute bowel ischemia attributable to arterial embolism or venous thrombosis detected clinically or by computed tomography and/or explorative laparotomy. (6) Cardiopulmonary resuscitation: any need for mechanical cardiopulmonary resuscitation. (7) Transfusion: need for transfusion of ≥6 packed red blood cells within 24 hours. (8) Acute respiratory distress syndrome: acute respiratory distress syndrome according to current definitions. (9) Low cardiac output: any clinical condition that is caused by a transient decrease in systemic perfusion secondary to myocardial dysfunction. (10) Critical illness polyneuropathy/myopathy: severe limb and respiratory muscle weakness caused by damage to sensorimotor axons and skeletal muscles. (11) Seizures: any focal or generalized seizure detected clinically or by electroencephalogram.

Statistical Analysis

Numbers (percentages) are given for categorical variables, and mean±SD and median (25th–75th percentile) are given for continuous variables. The effect measures standardized mean difference and odds ratio, together with their 95% confidence interval (CI), were calculated before and after matching.

Frequencies were compared by χ² test or Fisher’s exact test, as appropriate. Groups were compared with respect to continuous variables by means of the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney U test.

Twenty patients of the IE-ABx group were manually matched 1:1 with 20 patients of the IE-CS group by the variables early endocarditis, any indication for operation, and nearest neighbor, according to Society of Thoracic Surgeons score and age. The effect sizes between the matched groups were compared with those in the unmatched ones to check balance.

In-hospital mortality and all-cause 1-year mortality were calculated by the Kaplan-Meier method, applying the log-rank test for group comparison. Time to death was censored at December 15, 2017, or 1 year, whichever was earlier.

Standard Cox regression was performed for the unmatched cohort, and conditional Cox regression was performed for the matched cohort. Looking for covariates multiply associated with 1-year mortality, we calculated bivariate Cox regression in the unmatched cohort. Variables with P<0.05 were included in blockwise stepwise backward Cox regression. A combined model with the remaining variables of all blocks was reduced by backward selection. Further nonsignificant and nonrelevant variables were excluded to get a final model.

Significance was accepted as P<0.05. All analysis was performed with the use of SPSS, version 22 (IBM, Armonk, NY).

Results

Characteristics and Outcome of the Unmatched Population

We identified 64 patients meeting the inclusion criterion of IE with echocardiographic evidence of vegetation, abscess, and/or new dehiscence of the prosthetic or another valve. Twenty patients (31.3%) were referred to surgery (IE-CS), and 44 patients (68.7%) were treated with antibiotics only (IE-ABx) (Figure 1).
Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the Unmatched Cohort

| Characteristics                  | IE-CS (n=20) | IE-ABx (n=44) | OR or SMD (95% CI) | P Value |
|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------------|---------|
| **Baseline**                     |             |               |                    |         |
| Age, y                           | 77.3±5.1    | 81.5±5.7      | 0.71 (0.20 to 1.30) | 0.006   |
| Male sex                         | 13/20 (65.0)| 25/44 (56.8)  | 0.71 (0.20 to 2.38) | 0.537   |
| Body mass index, kg/m²           | 28.2 (24.4–33.1) | 28.2 (24.1–30.4) | −0.14 (−0.43 to 0.27) | 0.454   |
| STS score, %                     | 17.2 (9.7–21.6) | 23.3 (14.6–35.0) | 0.53 (0.06 to 1.08) | 0.029   |
| NYHA class III/IV                | 16/20 (80.0)| 30/41 (73.2)  | 0.65 (0.13 to 2.62) | 0.754   |
| CAD                              | 8/20 (40.0) | 24/44 (54.5)  | 1.78 (0.54 to 1.63) | 0.281   |
| Diabetes mellitus                | 9/20 (45.0) | 21/44 (47.7)  | 1.11 (0.34 to 3.72) | 0.839   |
| Atrial fibrillation              | 14/20 (70.0) | 27/43 (62.8)  | 0.73 (0.19 to 2.54) | 0.576   |
| Previous stroke                  | 2/20 (10.0) | 4/44 (9.1)    | 0.64 (0.13 to 3.49) | 0.712   |
| PAD                              | 3/20 (15.0) | 13/44 (29.5)  | 2.35 (0.54 to 14.62) | 0.213   |
| COPD                             | 8/20 (40.0) | 10/44 (22.7)  | 0.45 (0.12 to 1.63) | 0.154   |
| CKD stage ≥3b                    | 7/20 (35.0) | 26/41 (63.4)  | 3.15 (0.93 to 11.62) | 0.037   |
| Immunosuppressive therapy        | 2/20 (10.0) | 9/44 (20.5)   | 2.29 (0.41 to 23.97) | 0.479   |
| LV ejection fraction, %          | 53±13       | 54±12         | 0.06 (−0.29 to 0.53) | 0.63    |
| Pressure mean aortic valve prosthesis, mm Hg | 13 (7–21) | 10 (7–19) | −0.09 (−0.43 to 0.40) | 0.677   |
| AR ≥2                            | 4/19 (21.1) | 1/40 (2.5)    | 0.10 (0.00 to 1.12) | 0.033   |
| MR ≥2                            | 5/19 (26.3) | 6/40 (15.0)   | 0.50 (0.11 to 2.44) | 0.308   |
| TR ≥2                            | 3/19 (15.8) | 6/39 (15.4)   | 0.97 (0.18 to 6.77) | 1.000   |
| **Endocarditis features**        |             |               |                    |         |
| Definite endocarditis            | 20/20 (100) | 38/44 (86.4)  | NA                 | 0.165   |
| Early endocarditis               | 12/20 (60.0)| 32/44 (72.7)  | 1.76 (0.49 to 6.17) | 0.309   |
| Time from TAVR, d                | 233 (60–578)| 139 (23–412)  | −0.21 (−0.46 to 0.16) | 0.252   |
| Nosocomial/health care associated | 8/20 (40.0) | 18/44 (40.9)  | 1.04 (0.31 to 3.57) | 0.945   |
| **Initial symptoms**             |             |               |                    |         |
| Predisposition                   | 20/20 (100) | 44/44 (100)   | NA                 | NA      |
| Fever >38.0°C                    | 18/20 (90.0)| 36/43 (83.7)  | 0.57 (0.11 to 3.04) | 0.706   |
| Vascular phenomena               | 5/20 (25.0) | 7/44 (15.9)   | 0.57 (0.03 to 2.07) | 0.492   |
| Heart failure                    | 13/20 (65.0)| 25/43 (58.1)  | 0.75 (0.21 to 2.53) | 0.604   |
| Sepsis                           | 4/20 (20.0) | 20/43 (46.5)  | 3.41 (0.90 to 16.36) | 0.044   |
| Indication for cardiac surgery   | 19/20 (95.0)| 31/43 (72.1)  | 0.14 (0.00 to 1.09) | 0.047   |
| **Microbiological findings, n (%)** |         |               |                    |         |
| All *Staphylococcus*              | 8/20 (40.0) | 15/44 (34.1)  | 0.78 (0.23 to 2.71) | 0.648   |
| Coagulase-positive *Staphylococcus* | 6/20 (30.0) | 11/44 (25.0)  | 0.78 (0.24 to 2.52) | 0.675   |
| Coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* | 2/20 (10.0) | 4/44 (9.1)    | 0.90 (0.15 to 5.37) | 1.000   |
| *Enterococcus*                    | 8/20 (40.0) | 16/44 (36.4)  | 0.86 (0.29 to 2.54) | 0.781   |
| *Streptococcus*                   | 3/20 (15.0) | 4/44 (9.1)    | 0.57 (0.11 to 2.81) | 0.483   |
| Fungi                             | 1/20 (5.0)  | 1/44 (2.3)    | 0.44 (0.03 to 7.44) | 0.531   |
| Others                            | 0/20 (0)    | 3/44 (6.8)    | NA                 | 0.546   |
| BCNIE                             | 0/20 (0)    | 5/44 (11.4)   | NA                 | 0.314   |

Continued
Baseline, IE-associated, and TAVR characteristics of the unmatched cohort are summarized in Table 1 and Table S1. Compared with patients in the IE-CS group, those in the IE-ABx group were older (P=0.006), had a higher Society of Thoracic Surgeons score for reoperation (P=0.029), more often had severe chronic kidney disease (P=0.037), and more often had received a self-expandable valve (P=0.013) via a transfemoral approach (P=0.026). IE-related features were balanced between groups, except for initial symptoms, with a higher rate of sepsis (P=0.044) and a lower rate of patients having at least 1 formal indication for operation in the IE-ABx group (P=0.047) (Table 1 and Table S2).

The outcome of the unmatched cohort is summarized in Table 2. In-hospital (IE-CS versus IE-ABx, 50% versus 50%; P=1.000) and 1-year (IE-CS versus IE-ABx, 65% versus 68.2%; P=0.802) mortality did not differ between groups (Figure 2A). In the particular group of patients with periannular abscess, in-hospital (IE-CS versus IE-ABx, 57.1% versus 72.7%; P=0.627) and 1-year (IE-CS versus IE-ABx, 71.4% versus 81.8%; P=1.000) mortality was not different. The rate of any complication was higher among patients in the IE-CS group compared with those in IE-ABx group (P=0.024), in particular with a higher rate of >6 red packed blood cells within 24 hours (P=0.003) and seizures (P=0.009).

### Table 1. Continued

| Characteristics | IE-CS (n=20) | IE-ABx (n=44) | OR or SMD (95% CI) | P Value |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------|--------------------|---------|
| **Echocardiographic findings** | | | | |
| PVE or other valve/lead | 19/20 (95.0) | 34/44 (77.4) | 0.18 (0.02 to 1.51) | 0.150 |
| Periannular abscess | 7/20 (35.0) | 11/44 (25.0) | 0.62 (0.20 to 1.94) | 0.410 |
| Other valve, no PVE | 1/20 (5.0) | 7/44 (15.9) | 3.60 (0.41 to 31.39) | 0.417 |
| Other valve+lead, no PVE | 0/20 (0) | 3/44 (6.8) | NA | 0.546 |

Variables are expressed as numbers/totals (percentages), means±SDs, or medians (25th–75th percentiles), as appropriate. Treatment effect is given as OR and SMD. AR indicates aortic regurgitation; BCNIE, blood culture–negative infective endocarditis; CAD, coronary artery disease; CI, confidence interval; CKD, chronic kidney disease; COPD, chronic obstructive lung disease; IE-ABx, infective endocarditis treated by antibiotics only; IE-CS, infective endocarditis treated by cardiac surgery (and antibiotics); LV, left ventricular; MR, mitral regurgitation; NA, not applicable; NYHA, New York Heart Association; OR, odds ratio; PAD, peripheral artery disease; PVE, prosthetic valve endocarditis; SMD, standardized mean difference; STS, Society of Thoracic Surgeons; TAVR, transcatheter aortic valve replacement; TR, tricuspid regurgitation.

### Table 2. Outcome of the Unmatched Cohort

| Outcome | IE-CS (n=20) | IE-ABx (n=44) | OR (95% CI) | P Value |
|---------|-------------|--------------|-------------|---------|
| **Mortality** | | | | |
| In-hospital mortality | 10/20 (50.0) | 22/44 (50.0) | 1.00 (0.35–2.88) | 1.000 |
| 1-y mortality | 13/20 (65.0) | 30/44 (68.2) | 1.15 (0.38–3.52) | 0.802 |
| **Complications during IE treatment** | | | | |
| Any complication | 17/20 (85.0) | 24/43 (55.8) | 0.22 (0.06–0.88) | 0.024 |
| Need for hemodialysis | 9/20 (45.0) | 10/43 (23.3) | 0.37 (0.12–1.15) | 0.08 |
| Cerebral embolism | 3/20 (15.0) | 3/42 (7.1) | 0.44 (0.08–2.38) | 0.377 |
| Peripheral embolism | 4/20 (20.0) | 7/42 (16.7) | 0.80 (0.21–3.13) | 0.735 |
| Limb ischemia | 2/20 (10.0) | 0/42 (0) | 0.30 (0.20–0.44) | 0.100 |
| Bowel ischemia | 1/20 (5.0) | 1/42 (2.4) | 0.46 (0.03–7.81) | 0.545 |
| CPR | 4/20 (20.0) | 3/42 (7.1) | 0.31 (0.06–1.53) | 0.199 |
| >6 RBCs within 24 h | 6/20 (30.0) | 1/42 (2.4) | 0.06 (0.01–0.52) | 0.003 |
| ARDS | 1/20 (5.0) | 0/42 (0) | 0.31 (0.21–0.45) | 0.323 |
| LCO | 4/20 (20.0) | 3/42 (7.1) | 0.31 (0.06–1.53) | 0.199 |
| Critical illness PNP | 1/20 (5.0) | 1/42 (2.4) | 0.46 (0.03–7.81) | 0.545 |
| Seizures | 4/20 (20.0) | 0/42 (0) | 0.28 (0.18–0.42) | 0.009 |

Variables are expressed as numbers/totals (percentages). Treatment effect is given as OR. ARDS indicates acute respiratory distress syndrome; CI, confidence interval; CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; IE, infective endocarditis; IE-ABx, IE treated by antibiotics only; IE-CS, IE treated by cardiac surgery (and antibiotics); LCO, low cardiac output syndrome; OR, odds ratio; PNP, polyneuropathy; RBC, red packed blood cell.
Characteristics and Outcome of the Matched Population

Table 3 and Tables S2 and S3 summarize baseline, IE-associated, and TAVR characteristics after matching 20 patients in the IE-ABx group to the 20 patients in the IE-CS group. More important, all patients had definite endocarditis, and at least 1 formal indication for operation was given in 95% of the patients in each group. According to the P value, all baseline and IE-associated parameters were well balanced between the IE-CS and IE-ABx groups. However, the odds ratios and standardized mean differences still revealed differences between the groups, in particular in regard to severe chronic kidney disease and sepsis at initial presentation.

The outcome of the matched cohort is summarized in Table 4. In-hospital (IE-CS versus IE-ABx, 50% versus 55%; P=0.752) and 1-year (IE-CS versus IE-ABx, 65% versus 75%; P=0.490) mortality did not differ significantly between groups (Figure 2B). In the particular group of patients with periannular abscess, in-hospital (IE-CS versus IE-ABx, 57.1% versus 42.9%; P=1.000) and 1-year (IE-CS versus IE-ABx, 71.4% versus 71.4%; P=1.000) mortality was not different. The rate of any complication was still higher among patients in the IE-CS group compared with those in the IE-ABx group (P=0.077), in particular with a higher rate of >6 red packed blood cells within 24 hours (P=0.02).

Predictors of Mortality

Characteristics of patients with and without 1-year mortality are shown in Table S4. Predictors of 1-year mortality were evaluated in the unmatched population (Table S5). Factors associated with 1-year mortality were any indication for surgery (hazard ratio [HR], 6.20; 95% CI, 1.80–21.41; P=0.004), sepsis on admission (HR, 4.03; 95% CI, 1.97–8.24; P=0.001), and mitral regurgitation ≥2 (HR, 2.91; 95% CI, 1.33–6.37). Therapy decision (IE-CS versus IE-ABx) did not affect 1-year mortality (HR, 1.66; 95% CI, 0.77–3.59; P=0.196) (Table 5).

Risk of Reendocarditis

After a first successful IE treatment, reendocarditis was observed in 2 patients in the IE-CS group (20.0% of the patients surviving the first IE hospitalization), occurring after 71 and 454 days; and in 3 patients in the IE-ABx group (13.6%...
### Table 3. Baseline Characteristics of the Matched Cohort

| Characteristics                              | IE-CS (n=20) | IE-ABx (n=20) | OR or SMD (95% CI) | P Value |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------|---------|
| **Baseline**                                 |              |               |                    |         |
| Age, y                                       | 77.3±5.1     | 79.4±5.4      | 0.32 (0.17 to 0.96) | 0.214   |
| Male sex                                     | 13/20 (65.0) | 12/20 (60.0)  | 0.81 (0.18 to 3.49) | 0.744   |
| Body mass index, kg/m²                        | 28.2 (24.4–33.1) | 28.9 (24.4–36.4) | 0.04 (−0.36 to 0.62) | 0.866   |
| STS score, %                                 | 17.2 (9.7–21.6) | 17.6 (13.7–30.0) | 0.29 (−0.19 to 0.92) | 0.267   |
| NYHA class III/IV                            | 16/20 (80.0) | 13/20 (65.0)  | 0.59 (0.10 to 3.10) | 0.288   |
| CAD                                          | 8/20 (40.0)  | 10/20 (50.0)  | 1.48 (0.29 to 5.16) | 0.525   |
| Diabetes mellitus                            | 9/20 (45.0)  | 11/20 (55.0)  | 1.48 (0.36 to 6.20) | 0.527   |
| Atrial fibrillation                          | 14/20 (70.0) | 12/20 (60.0)  | 0.65 (0.14 to 2.86) | 0.507   |
| Previous stroke                              | 2/20 (10.0)  | 1/20 (5.0)    | 0.45 (0.04 to 3.66) | 1.000   |
| PAD                                          | 3/20 (15.0)  | 4/20 (20.0)   | 1.40 (0.20 to 11.11) | 1.000   |
| COPD                                         | 8/20 (40.0)  | 4/20 (20.0)   | 0.38 (0.07 to 1.86) | 0.168   |
| CKD stage ≥3b                                | 7/20 (35.0)  | 12/20 (60.0)  | 2.71 (0.65 to 12.20) | 0.113   |
| Immunosuppressive therapy                    | 2/20 (10.0)  | 4/20 (20.0)   | 2.21 (0.27 to 27.48) | 0.661   |
| LV ejection fraction, %                      | 53±13        | 51±13         | −0.13 (−0.46 to 0.39) | 0.725   |
| Pressure mean aortic valve prosthesis, mm Hg | 13 (7–21)    | 10 (8–20)     | −0.04 (−0.43 to 0.57) | 0.901   |
| AR ≥2                                        | 4/19 (21.1)  | 0/19 (0)      | NA                  | 0.105   |
| MR ≥2                                        | 5/19 (26.3)  | 3/19 (15.8)   | 0.53 (0.07 to 3.34) | 0.693   |
| TR ≥2                                        | 3/19 (15.8)  | 2/18 (11.1)   | 0.67 (0.05 to 6.74) | 1.000   |
| **Endocarditis features**                    |              |               |                    |         |
| Definite endocarditis                        | 20/20 (100)  | 20/20 (100)   | NA                  | NA      |
| Early endocarditis                           | 12/20 (60.0) | 12/20 (60)    | 1.00 (0.28 to 3.54) | 1.000   |
| Time from TAVR, d                            | 233 (60–578) | 198 (71–740)  | 0.08 (−0.33 to 0.65) | 0.903   |
| Nosocomial/health care associated            | 8/20 (40.0)  | 6/20 (30.0)   | 0.65 (0.14 to 2.86) | 0.507   |
| **Initial symptoms**                         |              |               |                    |         |
| Predisposition                               | 20/20 (100)  | 20/20 (100)   | NA                  | NA      |
| Fever >38.0°C                                | 18/20 (90.0) | 16/20 (80.0)  | 0.44 (0.07 to 2.76) | 0.661   |
| Vascular phenomena                           | 5/20 (25.0)  | 6/20 (30.0)   | 1.29 (0.32 to 5.18) | 0.723   |
| Heart failure                                | 13/20 (65.0) | 12/20 (60.0)  | 0.81 (0.18 to 3.49) | 0.744   |
| Sepsis                                       | 4/20 (20.0)  | 8/20 (40.0)   | 2.60 (0.54 to 14.76) | 0.168   |
| Indication for cardiac surgery               | 19/20 (95.0) | 19/20 (95.0)  | 1.00 (0.06 to 17.18) | 1.000   |
| **Microbiological findings**                 |              |               |                    |         |
| All Staphylococcus                           | 8/20 (40.0)  | 10/20 (50.0)  | 1.50 (0.43 to 5.25) | 0.525   |
| Coagulase-positive Staphylococcus            | 6/20 (30.0)  | 7/20 (35.0)   | 1.26 (0.33 to 4.73) | 0.736   |
| Coagulase-negative Staphylococcus            | 2/20 (10.0)  | 3/20 (15.0)   | 1.59 (0.24 to 10.70) | 1.000   |
| Enterococcus                                 | 8/20 (40.0)  | 7/20 (35.0)   | 0.81 (0.22 to 2.91) | 0.744   |
| Streptococcus                                | 3/20 (15.0)  | 2/20 (10.0)   | 0.63 (0.09 to 4.24) | 1.000   |
| Fungi                                        | 1/20 (5.0)   | 0/20 (0)      | NA                  | 1.000   |
| Others                                       | 0/20 (0)     | 1/20 (5.0)    | NA                  | 1.000   |
| BCNIE                                        | 0/20 (0)     | 0/20 (0)      | NA                  | NA      |

Continued
of the patients surviving the first IE hospitalization), occurring after 210, 406, and 1117 days.

Discussion

This analysis compared the effect of CS during initial IE hospitalization with medical treatment on 1-year mortality in patients developing IE after TAVR. The main findings of the study are as follows: (1) Neither an unadjusted nor an adjusted analysis revealed a statistically significant mortality benefit of CS compared with medical therapy in those high-risk patients developing IE after TAVR. (2) Mortality was predicted by the severity of IE (eg, sepsis on admission or formal indication for CS) and concomitant mitral regurgitation (at the time of IE diagnosis) rather than by treatment choice.

Treatment options in IE after TAVR

The rate of CS in contemporary cohorts of patients with PVE is ≈50%,8,12 which contrasts with the 10.8% rate of surgical valve explantation observed in the Infectious Endocarditis After TAVR International Registry.7 In this analysis, selected patients with echocardiographic evidence of IE were considered for CS in about one third of all cases, which is somewhat higher than in other studies in the field.3,7 However, compared with 72% of patients having at least 1 indication for surgery,
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Table 5. Factors Associated With 1-Year Mortality

| Parameter                       | HR (95% CI) | P Value |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Any indication for cardiac surgery | 6.20 (1.80–21.41) | 0.004   |
| Initial sepsis                  | 4.03 (1.97–8.24) | <0.001  |
| Mitral regurgitation ≥2         | 2.91 (1.33–6.37) | 0.008   |
| Antibiotics vs surgery          | 1.66 (0.77–3.59) | 0.196   |

CI indicates confidence interval; and HR, hazard ratio.

according to current guidelines,9 the rate of CS performed in this cohort is still low. This discrepancy is mainly caused by the high operative risk and age of the patients considered inoperable or at high surgical risk, even for the initial TAVR procedure. In the International Collaboration on Endocarditis–Prospective Cohort Study,12 patients with PVE were ≈60 years of age, which is 20 years younger than in our cohort. However, the decision to perform surgery or not was made by the same TAVR and endocarditis team during the whole study time, providing stability in personal judgement and readiness to assume risk. Noteworthy, the decision by the heart team might be an important bias in this analysis. However, typically in such a scenario, younger and “healthier” patients are sent to surgery, whereas older and “futile” patients are denied high-risk and invasive procedures.

The in-hospital and 1-year mortality was high in our cohort but is comparable to the multicenter cohort of patients undergoing TAVR in the Infectious Endocarditis After TAVR International Registry.7 Compared with surgical patients with PVE, mortality rates are ≈2-fold higher in our patient population.12 This might be explained by several patient- and disease-related factors known to affect survival in IE: older age, high operative risk because of comorbidities, and a high rate of nosocomial/healthcare-associated IE, with *Staphylococcus* as the main causative microorganism.16

Both unmatched and matched analyses revealed no significant difference between IE-CS and IE-ABx on in-hospital and 1-year mortality. This is comparable to the findings in the Infectious Endocarditis After TAVR International Registry,7 which stated that surgery during IE hospitalization was not associated with a reduced risk of in-hospital death (29.7% for surgery versus 37.1% for no surgery; odds ratio, 0.72 [95% CI, 0.33–1.53]; P=0.39). However, no data beyond the initial IE hospitalization are available from this registry and, because it was not the aim of this registry, the analysis did not adjust for any difference in baseline and IE-related factors.

In PVE after surgical valve replacement, the best therapeutic option is still debated, with nonrandomized studies showing improved survival after early valve replacement11 and those showing no benefit of surgery compared with medical treatment after adjustment for differences in clinical characteristics and survival bias.12 There is only 1 randomized study in patients with native valve endocarditis showing that early surgery (within 48 hours) compared with conventional treatment (77% of patients receiving surgery beyond 48 hours) reduced the risk of the composite end point of embolic events and in-hospital mortality within 6 weeks, with no difference in all-cause mortality after 6 months.10 In our study, the median time from diagnosis to CS was 17 days (25th–75th percentile, 4–35 days). This prolonged time period was caused by several factors, including transfer from another hospital, difficult decision making by both the patient and the treating physicians, and failure of an initial medical treatment approach. Those factors might have diminished the positive effects of CS.

No randomized clinical trials evaluating the role of CS in PVE have been performed. Therefore, treatment recommendations are only based on the conflicting results of the above mentioned studies.11,12 Subgroup analyses show that surgery was beneficial in patients with the greatest need for surgery, including those with valve regurgitation, vegetation, and dehiscence or paravalvular abscess/fistula,4 reflecting the indications for CS in current guidelines.9 In our matched analysis, the need for CS according to current guidelines was equally distributed. Despite this, there was no strong signal for an improvement in 1-year mortality.

In IE after TAVR, which is still a relatively new treatment option for patient with severe aortic stenosis, data about treatment options and predictors of mortality are still rare. In our analysis, prognosis was not determined by the choice of treatment (eg, CS versus ABx), but by disease characteristics (eg, sepsis on admission or a formal indication for CS). We interpret the latter one as a sign of disease severity rather than a factor implicating a certain therapy. The overall complication rate during IE treatment was significantly higher in IE-CS, which may, in part, be explained by the necessity to perform an extensive surgical procedure. Hypothetically, this higher complication rate may have outweighed the potential benefit of cankerous tissue removal.

Potential Reasons for the Missing Mortality Benefit of CS

First, there are statistical reasons. This is an observational nonrandomized study in a small patient population treated in a single center. Patients were selected according to the echocardiographic evidence of IE. Patients with negative imaging did not undergo 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography and computed tomography angiography on a regular basis, leading to a potential bias of missing definite IE in those patients. In a former analysis,3 we were able to show that there was no difference in mortality between patients having echocardiographic evidence of IE and those with negative echocardiography (HR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.32–1.88; P=0.576). Manual matching and multivariable testing
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were applied to adjust for relevant baseline and IE-associated factors. However, because of the small sample size, adjustment was only possible for some parameters. Moreover, P values may not tell the whole truth in such a small cohort because there was a 10% absolute risk reduction by CS in the matched analysis and in the multivariate analysis; the HR was 1.66 (95% CI, 0.77–3.59) for Abx compared with CS. Last, but not least, the retrospective design of a registry renders it susceptible to confounders.

Second, the optimal time point of CS in PVE is uncertain and, as discussed above, the prolonged time from diagnosis to CS may have diminished the positive effects of surgery in the examined cohort.

Third, most of the patients were already at high risk for the initial TAVR procedure, with even more pronounced risk by developing IE afterwards. Therefore, projecting the future expansion to more low- and intermediate-risk patients receiving TAVR, these results may not be transferable, and surgery could be an excellent option in low- and intermediate-risk patients developing IE after TAVR. This is supported by the observation that there was a higher complication rate in IE-CS compared with IE-Abx. Hypothetically, the complication rate should be reduced in lower-risk patients undergoing surgery.

Fourth, additional procedures (eg, coronary artery bypass grafting) prolong operation time and are associated with early mortality. Hypothetically, skipping procedures that are not absolutely necessary may be beneficial for patient outcome.

Overall, our results should be interpreted cautiously and in the understanding of hypothesis-generating means. A future randomized multicenter study will have to determine the role of CS in patients developing IE after TAVR.

Conclusion

In patients developing IE after TAVR, mortality was predicted by the severity of IE and concomitant mitral regurgitation. In this small but high-risk patient cohort, CS provided no absolute benefit compared with IE-Abx. Hypothetically, the complication rate should be reduced in lower-risk patients undergoing surgery.
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SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL
Table S1. Procedural characteristics for TAVR in the unmatched cohort.

|                             | IE-CS, n=20 | IE-ABx, n=44 | OR (95%-CI) or SMD (95%-CI) | P-value |
|-----------------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------------------|---------|
| Indication                  |             |              |                            |         |
| Native valve                | 19/20 (95.0)| 42/44 (95.5) | 0.91 (0.08; 10.60)         | 1.000   |
| valve-in-valve              | 1/20 (5.0)  | 2/44 (4.5)   |                            |         |
| Type of Valve               |             |              |                            |         |
| All self-expandable, n (%)  | 7/20 (35.0) | 30/44 (68.2) | 0.25 (0.08; 0.77)          | 0.013   |
| All balloon-expandable, n (%)| 13/20 (65.0)| 14/44 (31.8) |                            |         |
| Access site                 |             |              |                            |         |
| Trans-femoral, n (%)        | 15/20 (75.0)| 42/44 (95.5) | 0.14 (0.03; 0.82)          | 0.026   |
| Trans-apical, n (%)         | 5/20 (25.0) | 2/44 (4.5)   |                            |         |
| Procedure Time [min]        | 47 (37; 65) | 45 (36; 57)  | -0.11 (-0.34; 0.34)        | 0.573   |
| Contrast dye [ml]           | 113 (71; 138)| 112 (95; 144)| 0.14 (-0.25; 0.68)        | 0.487   |
| Post-dilatation, n (%)      | 2/20 (10.0) | 16/41 (39.0) | 5.76 (1.18; 28.24)         | 0.02    |
| Device success, n (%)       | 18/20 (90.0)| 37/44 (84.1) | 0.59 (0.11; 3.12)          | 0.708   |
| Residual Al ≥ grade 2, n (%)| 0/13 (0)    | 6/40 (15.0)  | n.a.                       | 0.317   |
| Residual mean gradient [mmHg]| 11 (8; 16)  | 9 (7; 15)    | -0.02 (-0.42; 0.59)        | 0.479   |
Variables are expressed as numbers and percentages or median (25th - 75th percentile) as appropriate. Treatment effect is given as odds ratio (OR) and standardized mean difference (SMD). VARC indicates valve academic research consortium; PPM, permanent pacemaker; ICD, implantable cardioverter defibrillator.

| Variable                        | Group 1 | Group 2 | p-value |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Aortic valve area [cm²]         | 1.5 (1.2; 2.0) | 1.8 (1.5; 2.4) | 0.02 (-0.46; 0.78) | 0.131 |
| VARC Myocardial infarction, n (%) | 0/20 (0) | 0/43 (0) | n.a. | n.a. |
| VARC Stroke, n (%)              | 2/20 (10.0) | 2/44 (4.5) | 0.43 (0.06; 3.28) | 0.583 |
| VARC Renal Failure, n (%)       | 2/20 (10.0) | 11/44 (25.0) | 3.00 (0.60; 15.04) | 0.201 |
| VARC Bleeding, n (%)            | 8/20 (40.0) | 14/44 (31.8) | 0.70 (0.23; 2.10) | 0.523 |
| VARC Access site complication, n (%) | 8/40 (40.0) | 7/44 (15.9) | 0.28 (0.09; 0.95) | 0.055 |
| New PPM/ICD, n (%)              | 5/20 (25.0) | 10/44 (22.7) | 0.88 (0.26; 3.03) | 1.000 |
Table S2. Different indications for cardiac surgery in the unmatched and matched cohort.

### Indications for cardiac surgery in the unmatched cohort

| Indication                              | IE-CS, n=20 | IE-ABx, n=44 | OR (95%-CI)       | P-value |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|---------|
| Indication Heart failure, n (%)         | 11/20 (55.0)| 17/43 (39.5) | 0.54 (0.18; 1.56) | 0.250   |
| Indication sepsis/septic shock, n (%)  | 14/20 (70.0)| 22/43 (51.2) | 0.45 (0.15; 1.39) | 0.160   |
| Indication large vegetation, n (%)      | 14/20 (70.0)| 18/43 (41.9) | 0.31 (0.10; 0.96) | 0.038   |
| Indication structural complication, n (%) | 7/20 (35.0) | 11/43 (25.6) | 0.64 (0.20; 2.01) | 0.441   |
| Indication systemic embolism, n (%)    | 5/20 (25.0) | 7/43 (16.3)  | 0.58 (0.16; 2.13) | 0.496   |

### Indications for cardiac surgery in the matched cohort

| Indication                              | IE-CS, n=20 | IE-ABx, n=20 | OR (95%-CI)       | P-value |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|---------|
| Indication Heart failure, n (%)         | 11/20 (55.0)| 8/20 (40.0)  | 0.55 (0.16; 1.91) | 0.342   |
| Indication sepsis/septic shock, n (%)  | 14/20 (70.0)| 14/20 (70.0) | 1.00 (0.26; 3.87) | 1.000   |
| Indication large vegetation, n (%)      | 14/20 (70.0)| 13/20 (65.0) | 0.80 (0.21; 3.00) | 0.736   |
| Indication structural complication, n (%) | 7/20 (35.0) | 8/20 (40.0)  | 1.24 (0.34; 4.46) | 0.744   |
| Indication systemic embolism, n (%) | 5/20 (25.0) | 6/20 (30.0) | 1.29 (0.32; 5.18) | 0.723 |

Variables are expressed as numbers and percentages. Treatment effect is given as odds ratio (OR).
|                        | IE-CS, n=20 | IE-ABx, n=20 | OR (95%-CI) or SMD (95%-CI) | P-value |
|------------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| **Indication**         |             |              |                             |         |
| Native valve           | 19/20 (95.0)| 20/20 (100) | n.a.                        | 1.000   |
| valve-in-valve         | 1/20 (5.0)  | 0/20 (0)     |                             |         |
| **Type of Valve**      |             |              |                             |         |
| All self-expandable, n (%) | 7/20 (35.0) | 15/20 (75.0) | 0.18 (0.05; 0.70)           | 0.011   |
| All balloon-expandable, n (%) | 13/20 (65.0) | 5/25 (25.0) |                             |         |
| **Access site**        |             |              |                             |         |
| Trans-femoral, n (%)   | 15/20 (75.0)| 20/20 (100) | n.a.                        | 0.047   |
| Trans-apical, n (%)    | 5/20 (25.0) | 0/20 (0)     |                             |         |
| Procedure Time [min]   | 47 (37; 65) | 45 (34; 53)  | -0.04 (-0.43; 0.57)         | 0.597   |
| Contrast dye [ml]      | 113 (71; 138)| 121 (89; 149)| 0.23 (-0.23; 0.86)          | 0.357   |
| Post-dilatation, n (%) | 2/20 (10.0) | 8/17 (47.1)  | 8.00 (1.40; 45.76)          | 0.023   |
| Device success, n (%)  | 18/20 (90.0)| 19/20 (95.0) | 2.11 (0.18; 25.35)          | 1.000   |
| Residual AI ≥ grade 2, n (%) | 0/13 (0) | 1/18 (5.6) | n.a.                        | 1.000   |
| Residual mean gradient [mmHg] | 11 (8; 16) | 10 (7; 15) | -0.08 (-0.47; 0.52)         | 0.769   |
| Aortic valve area [cm²] | 1.5 (1.2; 2.0)| 1.9 (1.5; 2.3)| 0.15 (-0.41; 1.02)         | 0.252   |
Variables are expressed as numbers and percentages or median (25th - 75th percentile) as appropriate. Treatment effect is given as odds ratio (OR) and standardized mean difference (SMD). VARC indicates valve academic research consortium; PPM, permanent pacemaker; ICD, implantable cardioverter defibrillator.

| Variables                                      | Group 1 (n, %) | Group 2 (n, %) | OR (95% CI) | p-value |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|---------|
| VARC Myocardial infarction, n (%)              | 0/20 (0)       | 0/20 (0)       | n.a.        | n.a.    |
| VARC Stroke, n (%)                             | 2/20 (10.0)    | 1/20 (1.000)   | 0.47 (0.04; 5.69) | 1.000   |
| VARC Renal Failure, n (%)                      | 2/20 (10.0)    | 3/20 (15.0)    | 1.59 (0.24; 10.70) | 1.000   |
| VARC Bleeding, n (%)                           | 8/20 (40.0)    | 9/20 (45.0)    | 1.23 (0.35; 4.31) | 0.749   |
| VARC Access site complication, n (%)           | 8/40 (40.0)    | 3/20 (15.0)    | 0.27 (0.06; 1.21) | 0.077   |
| New PPM/ICD, n (%)                             | 5/20 (25.0)    | 4/20 (20.0)    | 0.75 (0.17; 3.33) | 1.000   |
Table S4. Characteristics according to 1-year mortality (unmatched cohort).

|                     | alive, n=21 | dead, n=43 | OR (95%-CI) or SMD (95%-CI) | p-value |
|---------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| **Baseline (at diagnosis of IE)** |            |            |                             |         |
| Age [years]         | 80.0±6.1   | 80.3±5.8   | 0.01 (-0.31; 0.45)          | 0.836   |
| Male Sex [n/\%]     | 16/21 (76.2)| 22/43 (51.2)| 0.33 (0.10; 1.05)           | 0.056   |
| Body Mass Index [kg/m²] | 28.0 (24.2; 31.8) | 28.3 (24.4; 32.1) | -0.04 (-0.30; 0.49) | 0.838 |
| STS-Score [%]       | 16.1 (8.8; 25.6) | 21.8 (14.5; 35.1) | 0.53 (0.05; 1.11) | 0.025 |
| NYHA III/IV, n (%)  | 17/20 (85.0) | 29/41 (70.7) | 0.43 (0.11; 1.73) | 0.344 |
| CAD, n (%)          | 8/21 (38.1) | 24/43 (55.8) | 2.05 (0.71; 5.97) | 0.183 |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%) | 7/21 (33.3) | 23/43 (53.5) | 2.30 (0.78; 6.82) | 0.129 |
| Atrial fibrillation, n (%) | 10/21 (47.6) | 31/42 (73.8) | 3.10 (1.03; 9.30) | 0.040 |
| Prev. stroke, n (%) | 5/21 (23.8) | 5/43 (11.6) | 0.42 (0.11; 1.66) | 0.275 |
| PAD, n (%)          | 3/21 (14.3) | 13/43 (30.2) | 2.60 (0.65; 10.38) | 0.167 |
| COPD, n (%)         | 8/21 (38.1) | 10/43 (23.3) | 0.49 (0.16; 1.52) | 0.215 |
| CKD stage ≥3b       | 7/20 (35.0) | 26/41 (63.4) | 3.22 (1.05; 9.84) | 0.037 |
| Immunosuppressive Therapy | 3/21 (14.3) | 8/43 (18.6) | 1.37 (0.32; 5.81) | 1.000 |
| LV-ejection fraction [%] | 57 (51; 65) | 52 (43; 64) | -0.01 (-0.34; 0.44) | 0.352 |
### p mean aortic valve prosthesis, mmHg

|   | 10 (7; 20) | 11 (7; 19) | -0.02 (-0.37; 0.49) | 0.792 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| AI ≥2, n (%) | 4/21 (19.0) | 1/38 (2.6) | 0.12 (0.01; 1.11) | 0.049 |
| MI ≥2, n (%) | 1/21 (4.8) | 10/38 (26.3) | 7.14 (0.85; 60.36) | 0.077 |
| TI ≥2, n (%) | 2/21 (9.5) | 7/37 (18.9) | 2.22 (0.42; 11.81) | 0.465 |

### Procedural characteristics for TAVR

| Indication |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Native valve | 19/21 (90.5) | 42/43 (97.7) | 0.23 (0.02; 2.65) | 0.249 |
| valve-in-valve | 2/21 (9.5) | 1/43 (2.3) |   |   |

| Type of Valve |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| All self-expandable, n (%) | 10/21 (47.6) | 27/43 (62.8) | 0.54 (0.19; 1.55) | 0.249 |
| All balloon-expandable, n (%) | 11/21 (52.4) | 16/43 (37.2) |   |   |

| Access site |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Trans-femoral, n (%) | 19/21 (90.5) | 38/43 (88.4) | 1.25 (0.22; 7.05) | 1.000 |
| Trans-apical, n (%) | 2/21 (9.5) | 5/43 (11.6) |   |   |
| Procedure Time [min] | 41 (33; 48) | 50 (38; 59) | 0.37 (-0.10; 0.98) | 0.138 |
| Contrast dye [ml] | 105 (88; 123) | 120 (90; 160) | 0.37 (-0.05; 0.90) | 0.097 |
| Post-dilatation, n (%) | 6/19 (31.6) | 12/42 (28.6) | 0.87 (0.27; 2.81) | 0.811 |
| Device success, n (%) | 15/21 (71.4) | 40/43 (93.0) | 5.33 (1.18; 24.09) | 0.049 |
|                          | n (%)                  | n (%)                  | p-value  |
|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------|
| Residual AI ≥ grade 2    | 3/19 (15.8)            | 3/34 (8.8)             | 0.52 (0.09; 2.85) | 0.655   |
| Residual mean gradient   | 10 (7; 16)             | 9 (7; 14)              | -0.05 (-0.38; 0.41) | 0.933   |
| [mmHg]                   |                        |                        |          |
| Aortic valve area [cm²]  | 1.7 (1.5; 2.6)         | 1.7 (1.4; 2.0)         | -0.16 (-0.50; 0.36) | 0.473   |
| VARC Myocardial          | 0/21 (0)               | 0/42 (0)               | n.a.     | n.a.    |
| infarction n (%)         |                        |                        |          |
| VARC Stroke, n (%)       | 2/21 (9.5)             | 2/43 (4.7)             | 0.46 (0.06; 3.54)  | 0.592   |
| VARC Renal Failure, n (%)| 4/21 (19.0)            | 9/43 (20.9)            | 1.13 (0.30; 4.19)  | 1.000   |
| VARC Bleeding, n (%)     | 4/21 (19.0)            | 18/43 (41.9)           | 3.06 (0.88; 10.64) | 0.071   |
| VARC Access site         | 6/21 (28.6)            | 9/43 (20.9)            | 0.66 (0.20; 2.19)  | 0.540   |
| complication, n (%)      |                        |                        |          |
| New PPM/ICD, n (%)       | 4/21 (19.0)            | 11/43 (25.6)           | 1.46 (0.40; 5.29)  | 0.562   |
| Endocarditis features    |                        |                        |          |
| Definite Endocarditis, n | 19/21 (90.5)           | 39/43 (90.7)           | 1.03 (0.17; 6.11)  | 1.000   |
| (%)                     |                        |                        |          |
| Early Endocarditis, n (%)| 15/21 (71.4)           | 29/43 (67.4)           | 0.83 (0.27; 2.60)  | 0.747   |
| Time from TAVR, days     | 149 (39; 401)          | 197 (29; 594)          | 0.16 (-0.20; 0.63) | 0.436   |
| Nosocomial / health care  | 6/21 (28.6)            | 21/43 (48.8)           | 2.39 (0.78; 7.31)  | 0.123   |
| associated, n (%)        |                        |                        |          |
| Initial symptoms         |                        |                        | n.a.     | n.a.    |
| Predisposition, n (%)    | 21/21 (100)            | 43/43 (100)            | n.a.     | n.a.    |
| Indication                          | n (%)          | All (%)          | 95% CI          | P-value |
|------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Fever >38.0%                       | 20/21 (95.2)   | 34/42 (81.0)    | 0.21 (0.03; 1.83) | 0.251   |
| Vascular phenomena                 | 3/21 (14.3)    | 9/43 (20.9)     | 1.59 (0.38; 6.61) | 0.736   |
| Heart Failure                       | 10/21 (47.6)   | 28/42 (66.7)    | 2.20 (0.76; 6.41) | 0.145   |
| Sepsis/septic shock                | 2/21 (9.5)     | 22/42 (52.4)    | 10.45 (2.16; 50.63) | 0.001   |
| Indication for cardiac surgery      | 12/21 (57.1)   | 38/42 (90.5)    | 7.13 (1.86; 27.34) | 0.006   |
| Indication Heart failure            | 7/21 (33.3)    | 21/42 (50.0)    | 2.00 (0.67; 5.95) | 0.209   |
| Indication sepsis/septic shock      | 7/21 (33.3)    | 29/42 (69.0)    | 4.46 (1.46; 13.65) | 0.007   |
| Indication large vegetation         | 6/21 (28.6)    | 26/42 (61.9)    | 4.06 (1.31; 12.62) | 0.013   |
| Indication structural complication  | 4/21 (19.0)    | 14/42 (33.3)    | 2.13 (0.60; 7.52) | 0.237   |
| Indication systemic embolism        | 2/21 (9.5)     | 10/42 (23.8)    | 2.97 (0.59; 15.01) | 0.307   |

**Microbiological findings**

| Microbiological finding               | n (%)          | All (%)          | 95% CI          | P-value |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| All *staphylococci*, n (%)            | 5/21 (23.8)    | 18/43 (41.9)    | 2.30 (0.71; 7.44) | 0.158   |
| Coagulase positive *staphylococci*, n | 4/21 (19.0)    | 13/43 (30.2)    | 1.84 (0.52; 6.55) | 0.341   |
| Coagulase negative *staphylococci*, n | 1/21 (4.8)     | 5/43 (11.6)     | 2.63 (0.29; 24.09) | 0.654   |
| Pathogen                  | N (%) | PVE (%) | Other (%) | BCNIE (%) | p-value |
|--------------------------|-------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| enterococci, n (%)       | 8/21  | 16/43   | 0.96 (0.33; 2.83) | 0.945 |
| streptococci, n (%)      | 5/21  | 2/43    | 0.16 (0.03; 0.89)  | 0.034 |
| fungi, n (%)             | 0/21  | 2/44    | n.a.      | 0.542 |
| others, n (%)            | 1/21  | 2/43    | 0.98 (0.08; 11.41) | 1.000 |
| BCNIE, n (%)             | 2/21  | 3/43    | 0.71 (0.11; 4.63)  | 1.000 |

**Echocardiographic findings**

| Condition                          | N (%) | PVE (%) | Other (%) | BCNIE (%) | p-value |
|------------------------------------|-------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| PVE +/- other valve                | 19/21 | 34/43   | 0.40 (0.08; 2.03) | 0.341 |
| Periannular abscess, n (%)         | 4/21  | 14/43   | 2.05 (0.58; 7.25)  | 0.259 |
| Other valve, no PVE, n (%)         | 2/21  | 6/42    | n.a.      | 0.704 |
| Other valve + lead, no PVE, n (%)  | 0/21  | 3/43    | n.a.      | 0.545 |

**Complications during IE treatment**

| Complication            | N (%) | PVE (%) | Other (%) | BCNIE (%) | p-value |
|-------------------------|-------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Any complication, n (%) | 10/21 | 31/42   | 3.10 (1.03; 9.30) | 0.040 |
| Need for haemodialysis, n (%) | 2/21 | 17/42 | 6.46 (1.33; 31.42) | 0.012 |
| Cerebral embolism, n (%) | 0/21  | 6/41    | n.a.      | 0.088 |
| Peripheral embolism, n (%) | 2/21 | 9/41   | 2.67 (0.52; 13.69) | 0.305 |
| Limb ischemia, n (%)     | 0/21  | 2/41    | n.a.      | 0.545 |
| Bowl ischemia, n (%)     | 0/21  | 2/41    | n.a.      | 0.545 |
| Variable                                | n (%)                      | p-value |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
| CPR n (%)                               | 1/21 (4.8)                 | 0.046   |
| >6 RBC within 24h, n (%)                | 0/21 (0)                   | 0.084   |
| ARDS n (%)                              | 0/21 (0)                   | 1.000   |
| LCO n (%)                               | 1/21 (4.8)                 | 0.406   |
| Critical illness PNP n (%)              | 1/22 (4.5)                 | 1.000   |
| Seizures, n (%)                         | 2/21 (9.5)                 | 0.599   |

| Therapy                                  | n (%)                      | p-value |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
| surgery                                  | 7/21 (33.3)                | 0.802   |
| Antibiotics only                        | 13/43 (30.2)               | 0.49    |

Variables are expressed as numbers and percentages, means ± standard deviation or median (25th - 75th percentile) as appropriate. Treatment effect is given as odds ratio (OR) and standardized mean difference (SMD). BCNIE indicates blood culture negative infective endocarditis; CAD, coronary artery disease; CKD stage, chronic kidney disease stage; COPD, chronic obstructive lung disease; ICD, implantable cardioverter defibrillator; NYHA class, New York Heart Association class; PAD, peripheral artery disease; PPM, permanent pacemaker; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; RBC; red packed blood cells; STS, Society of Thoracic Surgeons score.
Table S5. Predictors of 1-year mortality (unmatched cohort).

|                                | Univariate HR (95%-CI) | p-value | Multivariate HR (95%-CI) | p-value |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------|
| **Baseline (at diagnosis of IE)** |                        |         |                          |         |
| Age (per 1 year increase)       | 0.99 (0.95; 1.04)      | 0.815   |                          |         |
| Male Sex                        | 0.68 (0.37; 1.24)      | 0.205   |                          |         |
| BMI (per 1 kg/m² increase)      | 1.00 (0.94; 1; .05)    | 0.851   |                          |         |
| STS-Score (per 10% increase)    | 1.17 (1.04; 1.31)      | 0.011   | 1.02 (0.99; 1.04)        | 0.105   |
| NYHA III/IV                     | 0.65 (0.33; 1.27)      | 0.208   |                          |         |
| CAD                             | 1.63 (0.89; 2.98)      | 0.113   |                          |         |
| Diabetes mellitus               | 1.67 (0.91; 3.07)      | 0.095   |                          |         |
| Atrial fibrillation/flutter     | 1.83 (0.91; 3.66)      | 0.088   |                          |         |
| Prev. stroke                    | 0.65 (0.20; 2.10)      | 0.470   |                          |         |
| PAD                             | 1.48 (0.77; 2.83)      | 0.241   |                          |         |
| COPD                            | 0.66 (0.33; 1.35)      | 0.257   |                          |         |
| CKD stage ≥3b                   | 2.69 (1.40; 5.16)      | 0.003   | 1.68 (0.82; 3.42)        | 0.157   |
| Immunosuppressive Therapy       | 1.40 (0.65; 3.02)      | 0.391   |                          |         |
| LV-ejection fraction (per 10% decrease) | 1.09 (0.85; 1.39) | 0.502 |                          |         |
| AI ≥2                           | 0.18 (0.03; 1.34)      | 0.095   |                          |         |
|                                | Risk Ratio | 95% CI       | p-value | 95% CI       |
|--------------------------------|------------|--------------|---------|--------------|
| **MI ≥2**                      | 2.82       | (1.34; 5.92) | 0.006   | 2.91         | (1.33; 6.37) |
| **TI ≥2**                      | 1.28       | (0.56; 2.92) | 0.562   |              |              |
| **Balloon vs. self-expandable**| 0.65       | (0.35; 1.21) | 0.178   |              |              |
| **Access site**                | 0.94       | (0.37; 2.40) | 0.903   |              |              |
| **Post-dilatation**            | 1.06       | (0.54; 2.08) | 0.858   |              |              |
| **VARC success**               | 2.58       | (0.80; 8.38) | 0.114   |              |              |
| **Endocarditis features**      |            |              |         |              |              |
| Initial Heart failure          | 1.70       | (0.89; 3.24) | 0.107   |              |              |
| Initial Sepsis                 | 4.12       | (2.20; 7.72) | <0.001  | 4.03         | (1.97; 8.24) |
| Definite Endocariditis         | 0.70       | (0.25; 2.00) | 0.510   |              |              |
| Early Endocariditis            | 1.09       | (0.58; 2.07) | 0.784   |              |              |
| Nosocomial / health care        | 2.04       | (1.11; 3.77) | 0.022   | 1.12         | (0.54; 2.31) |
| associated                      |            |              |         |              | 0.766        |
| **OP indications**             |            |              |         |              |              |
| Any Indication for cardiac     | 4.17       | (1.48; 11.76)| 0.007   | 6.20         | (1.80; 21.41)|
| surgery                        |            |              |         |              | 0.004        |
| **Microbiological findings**   |            |              |         |              |              |
| *Staphylococci* vs. others     | 1.75       | (0.95; 3.22) | 0.072   |              |              |
| **Echocardiographic findings** |            |              |         |              |              |
| PVE vs. other location         | 0.62       | (0.30; 1.30) | 0.205   |              |              |
|                          | Hazard Ratio | 95% CI  | P-value |
|--------------------------|--------------|---------|---------|
| Periannular abscess      | 1.61         | (0.85; 3.05) | 0.147 |
| Complications prior to death |            |         |         |
| Any complication         | 2.36         | (1.18; 4.73) | 0.015 |
|                          | 1.79         | (0.86; 3.71) | 0.118 |
| Therapy                  |              |         |         |
| Antibiotics vs. surgery  | 1.29         | (0.67; 2.48) | 0.444 |
|                          | 1.66         | (0.77; 3.59) | 0.196 |

BMI indicates body mass index; CAD, coronary artery disease; CKD stage, chronic kidney disease stage; COPD, chronic obstructive lung disease; NYHA class, New York Heart Association class; PAD, peripheral artery disease; PVE, prosthetic valve endocarditis; STS, Society of Thoracic Surgeons score; VARC, valve academic research consortium.