Association of N6-methyladenosine readers’ genes variation and expression level with pulmonary tuberculosis
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N6-Methyladenosine (m6A) is associated with many biological processes and the development of multiple diseases. The aim of this study was to analyze the association of m6A readers’ genes variation, as well as their expression levels, with pulmonary tuberculosis (PTB). A total of 11 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in m6A readers’ genes (i.e., YTHDF1, YTHDF2, YTHDF3, YTHDC1, YTHDC2, YTHDC3, YTHDC4, YTHDC5, YTHDF6, YTHDC7, and YTHDC8) were genotyped by SNPscan™ technique in 457 patients with PTB and 466 normal controls. The m6A readers’ genes expression levels in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from 78 patients with PTB and 86 normal controls were detected by quantitative real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). There was no significant association between all SNPs in YTHDF1, YTHDF2, YTHDF3, YTHDC1, and YTHDC2 genes and PTB susceptibility. The increased frequencies of YTHDF2 rs3738067 GG genotype and YTHDC1 rs3813832 CC genotype, C allele, were, respectively, found in PTB patients with hypoproteinemia and fever. YTHDC2 rs6594732 variant was significantly associated with drug-induced liver damage and sputum smear positive, and the rs2416282 variant was significantly associated with fever in patients with PTB. Compared with controls, the YTHDF1, YTHDF2, YTHDF3, YTHDC1, and YTHDC2 mRNA levels were significantly decreased in PTB. Moreover, YTHDF1 level was negatively associated with erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), and YTHDF3 and YTHDC1 levels were negatively related to alanine aminotransferase (ALT) in patients with PTB. Our results demonstrated that YTHDF1, YTHDF2, YTHDF3, YTHDC1, and YTHDC2 genes SNPs did not contribute to PTB susceptibility, while their decreased levels in patients with PTB suggested that these m6A readers might play significant roles in PTB.
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Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB), caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB), is a serious infectious disease with high morbidity and mortality. Pulmonary TB (PTB) is the most common and poses a serious threat to public health. China is still the third highest burdened country and accounts for 8.4% of the total global patients in 2019 (1). Studies had shown that the occurrence and development of TB was mainly determined by a complex interaction between multiple factors, including MTB strains and environmental and host genetic factors (2–4). Host genetics was confirmed to play an important role in determining disease progression and prognosis after MTB infection; hence, identifying the factors that influence disease susceptibility could provide important evidence for the design of effective control strategies. A considerable number of genetic variants for PTB susceptibility had been identified, while it could only account for part of the heritability of PTB (5–7).

Epigenetic modification also played an important role in the pathogenesis of PTB, and DNA methylation was an important epigenetic marker for the risk of several diseases (8, 9). Both cytosine and adenine could be methylated in DNA, resulting in N4-methylcytosine, 5-methylcytosine, and N6-methyladenosine (m6A) (10). m6A had critical modification effects on a variety of cytological processes, including nuclear export, splicing, translatability, and stability of mRNA, and was closely related to the pathogenesis of various diseases (11). Moreover, m6A methylation was found in the MTB genome, and DNA methylation could regulate the expression of genes related to the hypoxia survival of MTB; hence, m6A methylation was likely to be involved in the pathogenesis of PTB (12, 13). Disease-related genetic variations had been proved to influence m6A methylation by altering the RNA sequence of its target sites or key flanking nucleotides, suggesting that m6A-related single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) might influence the stability of mRNA, which might contribute to the development of human disease (14). Some studies had explored the potential association between genetic variation in the m6A-modified core genes and the risk of human diseases (15, 16).

N6-Methyladenosine-associated RNA binding proteins (readers), including YTH m6A RNA-binding protein 1 (YTHDF1), YTHDF2, YTHDF3, YTH domain-containing 1 (YTHDC1), and YTHDC2, played a key role in m6A modification by modulating mRNA fate (17, 18). Moreover, functional SNPs in YTHDF1 might influence its expression and binding ability to m6A-modified RNA, which eventually affect tumorigenesis (19). However, the role of these m6A readers in PTB was still unclear. Thus, we performed this study to evaluate the associations of m6A readers’ YTHDF1, YTHDF2, YTHDF3, YTHDC1, and YTHDC2 gene variation and their expression levels with PTB susceptibility in a Chinese Han population.

Materials and methods

Study participants

In this study, we consecutively recruited a total of 923 subjects including 457 patients with PTB and 466 normal controls to analyze the association between YTHDF1, YTHDF2, YTHDF3, YTHDC1, and YTHDC2 genes polymorphisms and PTB susceptibility. Then, 78 patients with PTB and 86 normal controls were enrolled to detect these genes levels. All patients with PTB were selected from the Department of Tuberculosis at Anhui Chest Hospital, and diagnosed by a specialist on the basis of these criteria as follows: suspicious clinical symptoms, chest radiography, sputum and/or bronchoalveolar lavage fluid MTB culture, microscopy for acid-fast bacilli, and effect of anti-TB treatment. The exclusion criteria for patients with PTB included HIV-positive, hepatitis, malignancy, and immune-compromised conditions. The normal controls were enrolled from health examination center in the same area and needed to be asymptomatic with sputum smear- and culture-negative, normal chest radiograph, and no history of TB. All patients with PTB and normal controls were the Chinese Han population, and no biological relationship was existed in these study subjects.

This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Anhui Medical University (20200250), and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects prior to the study. Then, the peripheral blood samples, demographic characteristics, clinical manifestations, and laboratory indicators were collected from study participants. The clinical manifestations of patients with PTB included fever, drug resistance, drug-induced liver injury (DILI), pulmonary infection, leukopenia, and sputum smears, and the laboratory indicators of patients with PTB included erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), total bilirubin (TBIL), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), and alanine aminotransferase (ALT).

SNP selection, DNA extraction, and genotyping

In this study, we screened several specific tagSNPs in each gene for genotyping. The tagSNPs were selected with a minor allele frequency (MAF) ≥ 0.05 in CHB, capturing all the common SNPs located in the chromosome locations of these m6A readers (YTHDF1, YTHDF2, YTHDF3, YTHDC1, and YTHDC2) and their flanking 2.0 kbp region by using genetic data of CHB from Ensembl genome browser 85 and CHBS_1000g. The selection was conducted using the linkage disequilibrium (LD) analysis according to r² threshold > 0.8, and the Haplovie 4.0 software (Cambridge, MA, USA). We also reviewed the existing studies regarding the association of these gene polymorphisms with disease susceptibility, and searched
other potentially functional SNPs. Finally, we selected two tagSNPs (rs6122103 and rs6011668) in YTHDF1, two tagSNPs (rs602345 and rs3738067) in YTHDF2, two tagSNPs (rs7464 and rs12549833) in YTHDF3, three tagSNPs (rs3813832, rs17592288, and rs2293596) in YTHDC1, and two tagSNPs (rs6594732 and rs2416282) in YTHDC2 for genotyping.

The genomic DNA was extracted from the peripheral blood leukocytes by the Flexi Gene-DNA Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The SNPscan™TM technique, with technical support from the Center for Genetic & Genomic Analysis, Genesky Biotechnologies Inc. (Shanghai), was used for genotyping. Those individuals with a 100% genotyping success rate for the above SNPs were included in the final analysis.

Quantitative real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

The PBMCs were isolated from 5 ml peripheral blood and stored at −80°C until processed. Total RNA was extracted from PBMCs using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and the RNA concentration was detected with NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA). Next, the total RNA was reversely transcribed into cDNA by the PrimeScript™ RT Reagent Kit (Takara Bio Inc., Japan).

In this study, the YTHDF1, YTHDF2, YTHDF3, YTHDC1, and YTHDC2 expression levels in PBMC were measured by quantitative real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) with SYBR Green (SYBR Premix Ex Taq II, Takara Bio Inc., Japan), and this experiment was carried out in duplicate by using QuantStudio 12K Flex Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Thermal cycling conditions were as follows: 95°C for 1 min, followed by 42 cycles at 95°C for 10 s, 60°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 1 min. The relative expression levels of these genes were calculated by using the 2−ΔΔCt method normalized to an endogenous control, and the housekeeping gene β-actin was used as an internal control in the same sample.

Statistical analysis

All the statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 23.0 and data were shown as frequency, percentage, mean ± standard deviation (SD), and median (quartile range) according to their types. The differences in these m6A readers’ expression levels between two groups and three groups were, respectively, analyzed by the Mann–Whitney U test and Kruskal–Wallis H test, and correlation analysis was performed with Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient test. We performed the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium test in normal controls using chi-square (χ²). The difference in each SNP genotype and allele frequency distribution between different groups was evaluated with χ²; odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were determined by the logistic regression analysis. Two genetic models (dominant model and recessive model) were used for statistical analysis, and haplotype analysis was conducted using the SHEsis software (20). A P-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

In the genotyping experiment, 457 patients with PTB consisted of 264 men and 193 women, with a mean age of 45.42 ± 17.74 years, and 466 controls consisted of 202 men and 264 women, with an average age of 43.43 ± 12.95 years (Supplementary Table S1). In the qRT-PCR experiment, the PTB group enrolled 51 men and 27 women, with a mean age of 49.83 ± 18.59 years, and 57 men and 29 women were included in the control group, with an average age of 48.47 ± 17.40 years (Supplementary Table S1).

Association of m6A readers’ genes polymorphisms with the susceptibility for PTB

The allele and genotype frequencies of all SNPs in YTHDF1, YTHDF2, YTHDF3, YTHDC1, and YTHDC2 genes are shown in Table 1, and the genotype distribution of all SNPs in controls was conformed to the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium. There were no significant differences in allele and genotype distributions of YTHDF1 rs66122103 and rs6011668 polymorphism between patients with PTB and controls (all P-values > 0.05). Similarly, we did not find any significant association between YTHDF2 rs602345, rs3738067, YTHDF3 rs7464, rs12549833, YTHDC1 rs3813832, rs17592288, rs2293596, YTHDC2 rs6594732, and rs2416282 polymorphisms and the risk of PTB. The association between these SNPs and PTB susceptibility under the dominant model and recessive model was also analyzed; however, no significant association was detected.

The results regarding the association between these SNPs and several clinical manifestations in patients with PTB suggested that YTHDF2 rs3738067 GG genotype frequency was significantly increased in PTB patients with hypoproteinemia when compared to the patients without hypoproteinemia (P = 0.009), and YTHDC1 rs3813832 CC genotype, C allele, was significantly related to the occurrence of fever in patients with PTB (P = 0.044 and P = 0.027, respectively) (Supplementary Table S2, Table 2). In the YTHDC2 gene, the elevated frequencies of rs6594732 AA genotype and A allele were significantly associated with DILI in patients with PTB (P = 0.033 and P = 0.008, respectively), while the decreased
| SNP   | Analyze model | PTB patients | Controls | P-value | OR (95 % CI) |
|-------|---------------|--------------|----------|---------|--------------|
|       |               | GG           | GA       | AA      |              |
| YTHDF1|               | 214 (46.83)  | 201 (43.13) | Reference | 0.842 (0.640, 1.108) |
| rs6122103 | GA           | 191 (41.79)  | 213 (45.71) | 0.220 | 0.842 (0.640, 1.108) |
|        | AA           | 52 (11.38)   | 52 (11.16)  | 0.775 | 0.939 (0.611, 1.444) |
|        | G            | 619 (67.72)  | 615 (65.99)  | Reference | 0.428 (0.834, 1.080) |
|        | A            | 295 (32.28)  | 317 (34.01)  | 0.428 | 0.949 (0.834, 1.080) |
|        | Dominant model | GA+AA       | 243 (53.17)  | 265 (56.87)  | Reference | 0.428 (0.834, 1.080) |
|        | Recessive model | GA+GG      | 405 (88.62)  | 414 (88.84)  | Reference | 0.428 (0.834, 1.080) |
| rs6011668 | AA           | 52 (11.38)   | 52 (11.16)  | 0.428 | 0.949 (0.834, 1.080) |
|        | G            | 619 (67.72)  | 615 (65.99)  | Reference | 0.428 (0.834, 1.080) |
|        | A            | 295 (32.28)  | 317 (34.01)  | 0.428 | 0.949 (0.834, 1.080) |
|        | Dominant model | GC+AA       | 243 (53.17)  | 265 (56.87)  | Reference | 0.428 (0.834, 1.080) |
|        | Recessive model | GC+GG     | 405 (88.62)  | 414 (88.84)  | Reference | 0.428 (0.834, 1.080) |
| rs603245 | GC           | 323 (70.68)  | 323 (69.31)  | Reference | 1.020 (0.937, 1.110) |
|        | TC           | 127 (27.79)  | 132 (28.33)  | 0.793 | 0.962 (0.721, 1.284) |
|        | TT           | 7 (1.53)     | 11 (2.36)    | 0.356 | 0.636 (0.244, 1.662) |
|        | C            | 773 (84.57)  | 778 (83.48)  | Reference | 0.934 (0.757, 1.151) |
|        | T            | 141 (15.43)  | 154 (16.52)  | 0.520 | 0.934 (0.757, 1.151) |
| rs7338067 | CC           | 323 (70.68)  | 323 (69.31)  | Reference | 0.934 (0.757, 1.151) |
|        | TC           | 127 (27.79)  | 132 (28.33)  | 0.793 | 0.962 (0.721, 1.284) |
|        | TT           | 7 (1.53)     | 11 (2.36)    | 0.356 | 0.636 (0.244, 1.662) |
|        | G            | 773 (84.57)  | 778 (83.48)  | Reference | 0.934 (0.757, 1.151) |
|        | T            | 141 (15.43)  | 154 (16.52)  | 0.520 | 0.934 (0.757, 1.151) |
| rs3738067 | AA           | 254 (55.58)  | 259 (55.58)  | Reference | 1.020 (0.937, 1.110) |
|        | GA           | 166 (36.32)  | 171 (36.70)  | 0.942 | 0.990 (0.752, 1.303) |
|        | GG           | 37 (8.10)    | 36 (7.73)    | 0.851 | 1.048 (0.642, 1.711) |
|        | A            | 674 (73.74)  | 689 (73.93)  | Reference | 1.048 (0.642, 1.711) |
|        | G            | 240 (26.26)  | 243 (26.07)  | 0.928 | 1.007 (0.864, 1.174) |
|        | Dominant model | GC+GG       | 240 (26.26)  | 243 (26.07)  | Reference | 1.007 (0.864, 1.174) |
|        | Recessive model | GC+AC    | 420 (91.90)  | 430 (92.27)  | Reference | 1.007 (0.864, 1.174) |
| rs7464  | AA           | 249 (54.49)  | 246 (52.79)  | Reference | 0.955 (0.728, 1.254) |
|        | GA           | 176 (38.51)  | 182 (39.06)  | 0.742 | 0.955 (0.728, 1.254) |
|        | GG           | 32 (7.00)    | 38 (8.15)    | 0.473 | 0.932 (0.504, 1.375) |
|        | A            | 674 (73.74)  | 674 (73.74)  | Reference | 0.949 (0.816, 1.102) |
|        | G            | 240 (26.26)  | 243 (26.07)  | 0.928 | 1.007 (0.864, 1.174) |
|        | Dominant model | GC+GG       | 240 (26.26)  | 243 (26.07)  | Reference | 1.007 (0.864, 1.174) |
|        | Recessive model | GC+AA    | 420 (91.90)  | 430 (92.27)  | Reference | 1.007 (0.864, 1.174) |
|        | GG           | 32 (7.00)    | 38 (8.15)    | 0.509 | 0.859 (0.546, 1.350) |

(Continued)
### TABLE 1  (Continued)

| SNP       | Analyze model | PTB patients | Controls | P-value | OR (95 % CI) |
|-----------|---------------|--------------|----------|---------|--------------|
| rs12549833 | Genotype      | AA           | 199 (43.54) | 192 (41.20) | Reference    |
|           |               | AG           | 202 (44.20) | 218 (46.78) | 0.426        | 0.894 (0.679, 1.178) |
|           |               | GG           | 56 (12.25)  | 56 (12.02)  | 0.867        | 0.965 (0.634, 1.469) |
| Allele    | A             | 600 (65.65)  | 602 (64.59) | Reference  |              |
|           | G             | 314 (34.35)  | 330 (35.41) | 0.635      | 0.970 (0.857, 1.099) |
| Dominant model | AG+GG | 258 (56.46)  | 274 (58.80) | Reference  |              |
|           | AA            | 199 (43.54)  | 192 (41.20) | 0.471      | 1.057 (0.909, 1.229) |
| Recessive model | AG+AA | 401 (87.75)  | 410 (87.98) | Reference  |              |
|           | GG            | 56 (12.25)   | 56 (12.02)  | 0.912      | 0.997 (0.951, 1.046) |
| YTHDC1    | rs3813832     | Genotype    | TT        | 236 (51.64) | 237 (50.86) | Reference    |
|           |               | TC           | 190 (41.58) | 197 (42.27) | 0.816        | 0.969 (0.740, 1.267) |
|           |               | CC           | 31 (6.78)  | 32 (6.87)  | 0.918        | 0.973 (0.575, 1.646) |
| Allele    | T             | 662 (72.43)  | 671 (72.00) | Reference  |              |
|           | C             | 252 (27.57)  | 261 (28.00) | 0.835      | 0.983 (0.850, 1.141) |
| Dominant model | TT | 236 (51.64)  | 237 (50.86) | 0.812      | 1.015 (0.895, 1.152) |
|           | TC+CC         | 221 (48.36)  | 229 (49.14) | Reference  |              |
| Recessive model | TC+TT | 426 (93.22)  | 434 (93.13) | Reference  |              |
|           | CC            | 31 (6.78)    | 32 (6.87)  | 0.960      | 0.988 (0.613, 1.591) |
| rs17592288 | Genotype      | AA           | 417 (91.25) | 432 (92.70) | Reference    |
|           |               | AC           | 39 (8.53)  | 34 (7.30)  | 0.480        | 1.188 (0.736, 1.919) |
|           |               | CC           | 1 (0.22)   | 0 (0)      | 1.000        | —             |
| Allele    | A             | 873 (95.51)  | 898 (96.35) | Reference  |              |
|           | C             | 41 (4.49)    | 34 (3.65)  | 0.362      | 0.991 (0.973, 1.010) |
| Dominant model | AA | 417 (91.25)  | 432 (92.70) | 0.415      | 1.200 (0.774, 1.860) |
|           | AC+CC         | 40 (8.75)    | 34 (7.30)  | Reference  |              |
| Recessive model | AC+AA | 456 (99.78)  | 466 (100.00) | Reference  |              |
|           | CC            | 1 (0.22)     | 0 (0)      | 0.312      | 0.998 (0.994, 1.002) |
| rs2293596  | Genotype      | TT           | 300 (65.65) | 309 (66.31) | Reference    |
|           |               | TC           | 138 (30.2)  | 140 (30.04) | 0.917        | 1.015 (0.764, 1.348) |
|           |               | CC           | 19 (4.16)  | 17 (3.65)  | 0.682        | 1.151 (0.587, 2.257) |
| Allele    | T             | 738 (80.74)  | 758 (81.33) | Reference  |              |
|           | C             | 176 (19.26)  | 174 (18.67) | 0.748      | 1.031 (0.854, 1.246) |
| Dominant model | TT | 300 (65.65)  | 309 (66.31) | 0.832      | 0.990 (0.902, 1.086) |
|           | TC+CC         | 157 (34.35)  | 157 (33.69) | Reference  |              |
| Recessive model | TC+TT | 438 (95.84)  | 449 (96.35) | Reference  |              |
|           | CC            | 19 (4.16)    | 17 (3.65)  | 0.689      | 1.140 (0.600, 2.165) |
| YTHDC2    | rs6594732     | Genotype    | CC        | 302 (66.08) | 281 (60.30) | Reference    |
|           |               | CA           | 137 (29.98) | 166 (35.62) | 0.063        | 0.768 (0.581, 1.015) |
|           |               | AA           | 18 (3.94)  | 19 (4.08)  | 0.710        | 0.881 (0.453, 1.714) |
| Allele    | C             | 741 (81.07)  | 728 (78.11) | Reference  |              |
|           | A             | 173 (18.93)  | 204 (21.89) | 0.115      | 0.865 (0.722, 1.036) |
| Dominant model | CC | 302 (66.08)  | 281 (60.30) | 0.069      | 1.096 (0.993, 1.210) |
|           | CA+AA         | 155 (33.92)  | 185 (39.70) | Reference  |              |
| Recessive model | CA+CC | 439 (96.06)  | 447 (95.92) | Reference  |              |
|           | AA            | 18 (3.94)    | 19 (4.08)  | 0.915      | 0.966 (0.514, 1.817) |

(Continued)
TABLE 1 (Continued)

| SNP       | Analyze model | PTB patients | Controls | P-value | OR (95 % CI) |
|-----------|---------------|--------------|----------|---------|--------------|
| rs2416282 | Genotype     | AA           | 147 (32.17) | 144 (30.90) | Reference    |
|           |               | CA           | 217 (47.48) | 246 (52.79) | 0.329 0.864 (0.644, 1.159) |
|           |               | CC           | 93 (20.35)  | 76 (16.31)  | 0.350 1.199 (0.819, 1.753) |
| Allele    | A             | 511 (55.91)  | 534 (57.30) | Reference  |
|           | C             | 403 (44.09)  | 398 (42.70) | 0.547 1.033 (0.930, 1.146) |
| Dominant model | CA+CC | 310 (67.83) | 322 (69.10) | Reference |
|           | AA            | 147 (32.17)  | 144 (30.90) | 0.679 0.982 (0.899, 1.072) |
| Recessive model | CA+AA | 364 (79.65) | 390 (83.69) | Reference |
|           | CC            | 93 (20.35)   | 76 (16.31)  | 0.112 1.248 (0.949, 1.641) |

TABLE 2 The positive findings of associations between m6A readers’ genes polymorphisms and clinical features of patients with PTB.

| SNP       | Allele | Clinical features | Group | Genotype | P-value | Allele | P-value |
|-----------|--------|------------------|-------|----------|---------|--------|---------|
| YTHDF2    | A/G    | Hypoproteinemia   | +     | MM       | 39 9 7 | 0.009 | 87 23 0.216 |
| rs3738067 |        |                  |       | Mm       |         |        |         |
|           |        |                  |       | mm       |         |        |         |
| YTHDC1    | T/C    | Fever            | +     | 27 38 6  | 0.044 | 92 50 0.027 |
| rs3813832 |        |                  |       |          |         |        |         |
|           |        |                  |       |          |         |        |         |
| YTHDC2    | C/A    | DILI             | +     | 39 29 6  | 0.033 | 107 41 0.008 |
| rs6594732 |        |                  |       |          |         |        |         |
|           |        |                  |       |          |         |        |         |
| YTHDC2    | C/A    | Sputum smear     | +     | 106 44 2 | 0.039 | 256 48 0.020 |
| rs6594732 |        |                  |       |          |         |        |         |
|           |        |                  |       |          |         |        |         |
| YTHDC2    | A/C    | Fever            | +     | 15 45 11 | 0.013 | 75 67 0.420 |
| rs2416282 |        |                  |       |          |         |        |         |
|           |        |                  |       |          |         |        |         |

+, with; -, without.

frequencies of rs6594732 AA genotype and A allele were significantly associated with sputum smear-positive ($P = 0.039$ and $P = 0.020$, respectively). In addition, rs2416282 CC genotype frequency was significantly decreased in PTB patients with fever ($P = 0.013$).

Haplotype analysis

The haplotype of $YTHDF1$, $YTHDF2$, $YTHDF3$, $YTHDC1$, and $YTHDC2$ genes was detected using the SHEsis software, and then the differences in these haplotype frequencies between patients with PTB and controls were compared. Three main haplotypes each (AC, GC, GT), (CA, CG, TG), (AA, AG, GA), and (AC, CA, CC) for YTHDF2, YTHDF1, YTHDF2, and YTHDF2, respectively, and four main haplotypes (CAT, TAC, TAT, TCT) for YTHDC1 were detected.

As shown in Table 3, we found that $YTHDC2$ gene CC haplotype frequency was significantly higher in patients with PTB than in controls ($P = 0.033$). Meanwhile, the frequencies of other haplotypes were not statistically associated with PTB susceptibility.

m6A readers’ expression levels in patients with PTB and normal controls

The mRNA expression levels of $YTHDF1$, $YTHDF2$, $YTHDF3$, $YTHDC1$, and $YTHDC2$ in PBMCs from patients with PTB and normal controls by qRT-PCR were further detected. As shown in Figure 1, the $YTHDF1$, $YTHDF2$, $YTHDF3$, $YTHDC1$, and $YTHDC2$ expression levels in patients with PTB were significantly lower than that in normal controls (all $P$-values < 0.05).
TABLE 3 Haplotype analysis of m6A readers’ genes in patients with PTB and controls.

| Haplotype       | PTB patients | Controls      | P-value | OR (95% CI) |
|-----------------|--------------|---------------|---------|-------------|
| YTHDF1 rs6122103-rs6011668 |              |               |         |             |
| AC              | 294.95 (32.3) | 316.97 (34.0) | 0.427   | 0.924 (0.762,1.122) |
| GC              | 478.05 (52.3) | 461.03 (49.5) | 0.223   | 1.120 (0.933,1.345) |
| GT              | 140.95 (15.4) | 153.97 (16.5) | 0.519   | 0.921 (0.718,1.182) |
| YTHDF2 rs602345-rs3738067 |              |               |         |             |
| CA              | 672.90 (73.6) | 689.00 (73.9) | 0.916   | 0.989 (0.880,1.217) |
| CG              | 95.10 (10.4)  | 102.00 (10.9) | 0.714   | 0.946 (0.704,1.272) |
| TG              | 144.90 (15.9) | 141.00 (15.1) | 0.659   | 1.058 (0.822,1.362) |
| YTHDF3 rs7464-rs12549833 |              |               |         |             |
| AA              | 360.02 (39.4) | 344.02 (36.9) | 0.273   | 1.111 (0.920,1.340) |
| AG              | 313.98 (34.4) | 329.98 (35.4) | 0.635   | 0.955 (0.788,1.156) |
| GA              | 239.98 (26.3) | 257.98 (27.7) | 0.491   | 0.930 (0.757,1.143) |
| YTHDC1 rs3813832-rs17592288-rs2293596 |              |               |         |             |
| CAT             | 251.96 (27.6) | 260.97 (28.0) | 0.835   | 0.979 (0.798,1.200) |
| TAC             | 175.96 (19.3) | 173.96 (18.7) | 0.748   | 1.039 (0.823,1.311) |
| TAT             | 445.04 (48.7) | 463.04 (49.7) | 0.671   | 0.961 (0.801,1.154) |
| TCT             | 40.99 (4.5)   | 33.99 (3.6)   | 0.362   | 1.240 (0.780,1.973) |
| YTHDC2 rs6594732-rs2416282 |              |               |         |             |
| AC              | 172.99 (18.9) | 202.70 (21.7) | 0.128   | 0.838 (0.668,1.052) |
| CA              | 510.99 (55.9) | 532.70 (57.2) | 0.565   | 0.947 (0.788,1.139) |
| CC              | 230.01 (25.2) | 195.30 (21.0) | 0.033   | 1.266 (1.019,1.573) |

frequency < 0.03 in both controls & PTB patients has been dropped. Bold value means P < 0.05.

The correlation of these m6A readers’ expression levels with several common clinical features of patients with PTB was also analyzed. The results showed that YTHDF1, YTHDF2, YTHDF3, YTHDC1, and YTHDC2 mRNA levels were not associated with the occurrence of those clinical features, including fever, DILI, pulmonary infection, hypoproteinemia, leukopenia, sputum smear-positive, in patients with PTB (Supplementary Table S2). In addition, the expression level of YTHDF1 was negatively associated with ESR in patients with PTB (P = 0.039), and YTHDF3 and YTHDC1 levels were negatively associated with ALT (P = 0.031 and P = 0.012, respectively). However, there were no significant correlations of these m6A reader levels with TBIL and AST of patients with PTB (Table 4).

**Associations between m6A readers’ genes polymorphisms with their levels in patients with PTB**

A total of 62 patients with PTB were included to analyze the associations between these m6A readers’ genes variation and their expression levels. The results demonstrated that there might be some differences in the expression levels of these genes among different genotypes, but no difference reached a statistical significance (all P-values > 0.05) (Supplementary Table S3).

**Discussion**

RNA m6A modification was considered the most abundant, pervasive, and important chemical modification in eukaryotic RNAs and could affect all aspects of RNA metabolism, such as RNA transcription, processing, translation, and transportation (21, 22). The m6A modification process was accomplished by a series of proteins, which were mainly divided into “writers,” “erasers,” and “readers,” according to their different roles (18). Due to the important role of RNA m6A modification in a variety of biological processes, it was reasonable to believe that genetic variation in m6A modified genes had been involved in the development of multiple human diseases. For example, genetic variations in m6A modification core genes had been shown to be associated with cancer susceptibility in many studies (16, 23). Recently, the association between m6A modification genes variation and PTB susceptibility had also been discussed. Previous studies have found that rs9939609 polymorphism in fat mass and obesity-associated protein (FTO), known as m6A demethylases, was associated with the risk of PTB (24, 25). However, research on m6A critical gene SNPs...
FIGURE 1
The m6A readers’ expression levels in patients with PTB and normal controls.
on PTB risk was still at the primary stage. Therefore, we focused on the relationship between five m6A readers genes (YTHDF1, YTHDF2, YTHDF3, YTHDC1, and YTHDC2) SNPs and expression levels with PTB in this study.

The final consequences of m6A modification on mRNA fate were executed by "reader" proteins, and these readers' genes mainly included the YTH family (YTHDC1-2 and YTHDF1-3). The contribution of m6A readers' genes to cancer was widely studied, and multiple SNPs located in m6A readers' genes had been found to affect the risk of cancer (23, 26, 27). Liu et al. found that compared with the CC genotype, YTHDF1 rs6011668 CT/TT genotype was associated with an increased risk of Wilms tumor in patients ≤18 months in stratification analysis, although this SNP might not contribute to the risk of Wilms tumor (26). The results by Zeng et al. demonstrated that YTHDF2 rs3738067 A>G could decrease neuroblastoma risk in the Chinese children (27). First, we analyzed the association between YTHDF1 rs6122103, rs6011668, YTHDF2 rs602345, rs3738067, YTHDF3 rs7464, and rs12549833 polymorphism and PTB susceptibility; however, no statistically significant findings were observed. In this sense, this viewpoint was providing evidence that these gene polymorphisms might not affect the susceptibility for PTB. In the progression of PTB, patients were usually accompanied by multiple complications and clinical manifestations, including fever, pulmonary infection, and drug resistance, which were also significantly associated with the occurrence of hypoproteinemia (28). In this study, our results demonstrated that the YTHDF2 rs3738067 GG genotype was significantly associated with the occurrence of hypoproteinemia in PTB. It was worth noting that the YTHDF2 rs3738067 variant might be involved in the development of PTB, and this result would be verified and further explored in our future research.

Some studies have analyzed the relationship between YTHDC1, YTHDC2 gene variation, and cancer susceptibility. YTHDC1 rs3813832 TC genotype significantly reduced the susceptibility of neuroblastoma, and rs2293596 T>C polymorphism might contribute to hepatoblastoma susceptibility (29, 30). Another study suggested that the YTHDC2 rs2416282 variant contributed to esophageal squamous-cell carcinoma risk by regulating YTHDC2 expression (31). Nonetheless, we failed to find any relationships between the selected SNPs in YTHDC1 (rs3813832, rs17592288, and rs2293596), YTHDC2 (rs6594732 and rs2416282), and PTB risk in this study. In addition, we found a statistically significant association between CC haplotype in YTHDC2 and susceptibility to PTB, since haplotypes tended to have a stronger power of predicting disease-related genes than SNP (32). Hence, our results suggested a potential role of YTHDF2 gene variation in PTB susceptibility, while the specific mechanisms needed to be further explored. Our results also showed that YTHDC1 rs3813832 and YTHDC2 rs2416282 variants were significantly related to fever and the YTHDC2 rs6594732 variant was significantly associated with DILI and sputum smear-positive in patients with PTB. These clinical features could seriously affect the treatment and prognosis of patients with PTB, and the abovementioned SNPs were somewhat used to predispose the occurrence of these clinical features in patients with PTB. Therefore, we speculated that these findings might help to make more appropriate treatment choices for patients with PTB.

Interestingly, increasing evidence had indicated that the expression levels of m6A readers were closely related to the pathogenesis and progression of many diseases. A number of studies had shown that YTHDF1 was overexpressed in various cancers, including colorectal cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, and breast cancer, and was closely related to the increased risk of these cancers (33–35). Decreased mRNA expression of YTHDF2 was found in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus and rheumatoid arthritis (RA) compared with controls, and YTHDF2 mRNA level in peripheral blood was a risk factor for RA by logistic regression analysis (36, 37). However, few studies had been conducted regarding m6A reader expression levels and the risk of PTB. Our study provided the first evidence that YTHDF1, YTHDF2, YTHDF3, YTHDC1, and YTHDC2 mRNA levels in patients with PTB were significantly decreased than that in controls. This result showed that these m6A readers might be involved in PTB occurrence, and the decreased expression of YTHDF1, YTHDF2, YTHDF3, YTHDC1, and YTHDC2 might be used as auxiliary indicators for PTB diagnosis. Then, we also investigated whether the expressions of these m6A readers in the peripheral blood of patients with PTB could reflect the clinical characteristics of this disease. We showed the expression

### Table 4 The correlation between m6A readers’ genes expression levels and ESR, TBIL, ALT, and AST of patients with PTB.

| Clinical parameters | YTHDF1 level | YTHDF2 level | YTHDF3 level | YTHDC1 level | YTHDC2 level |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|                     | rs | P–value  | rs | P–value  | rs | P–value  | rs | P–value  | rs | P–value  |
| ESR                 | −0.238 | 0.039     | −0.104 | 0.373     | −0.031 | 0.788     | −0.120 | 0.303     | −0.127 | 0.274     |
| TBIL                | −0.050 | 0.671     | −0.0011 | 0.922     | 0.101 | 0.383     | 0.109 | 0.348     | 0.103 | 0.378     |
| ALT                 | −0.011 | 0.923     | −0.172 | 0.134     | −0.245 | 0.031     | −0.285 | 0.012     | −0.175 | 0.128     |
| AST                 | −0.040 | 0.729     | −0.184 | 0.111     | −0.153 | 0.187     | −0.184 | 0.112     | −0.158 | 0.173     |

rs, Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient.
of peripheral blood YTHDF1 correlated with ESR, and YTHDF3 and YTHDC1 levels were negatively associated with ALT. These findings would help improve our understanding of m6A reader in the development of PTB.

Taken together, we have demonstrated in this study for the first time that YTHDF1, YTHDF2, YTHDF3, YTHDC1, and YTHDC2 genes polymorphisms might not contribute to the susceptibility to PTB. However, several SNPs in YTHDF2, YTHDC1, and YTHDF2 genes were significantly associated with some clinical features in patients with PTB. Moreover, decreased expression levels of YTHDF1, YTHDF2, YTHDF3, YTHDC1, and YTHDC2 in patients with PTB indicated the important roles of these m6A readers in PTB, which might be considered auxiliary biomarkers for PTB diagnosis. It was worth noting that some limitations existed in this study. First, m6A readers’ genes variation greatly modified the clinical manifestations of patients with PTB, especially drug resistance, but the mechanism remained unaccounted in this study. Second, this study only found the decreased levels of m6A readers’ mRNA in PBMCS from patients with PTB, which should be verified at the protein level. Moreover, this study was only conducted in the Chinese Han population and the influence of m6A readers’ genes variation and expression level on PTB needed to be confirmed in other ethnicities. Therefore, functional and replication studies with different ethnic groups and larger sample size were warranted to further explore the exact role of these m6A readers in PTB.
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