THE VLASOV-POISSON-LANDAU SYSTEM IN $\mathbb{R}_x^3$

ROBERT M. STRAIN AND KEYA ZHU

Abstract. For the Landau-Poisson system with Coulomb interaction in $\mathbb{R}_x^3$, we prove the global existence, uniqueness, and large time convergence rates to the Maxwellian equilibrium for solutions which start out sufficiently close.
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1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the important Landau-Poisson system:

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t F_+ + v \cdot \nabla_x F_+ + \frac{e_+}{m_+} E \cdot \nabla_v F_+ &= Q(F_+, F_+) + Q(F_+, F_-), \\
\partial_t F_- + v \cdot \nabla_x F_- - \frac{e_-}{m_-} E \cdot \nabla_v F_- &= Q(F_+, F_-) + Q(F_-, F_-),
\end{align*}
\]

(1.1)

Here $F_{\pm}(t, x, v) \geq 0$ are the spatially periodic number density functions for the ions ($+$) and electrons ($-$) respectively, at time $t \geq 0$, position $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, velocity $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and $e_{\pm}, m_{\pm}$ the magnitude of their charges and masses. The collision between charged particles is given by

\[
Q(G_{\pm}, G_\mp)(v) = \frac{c_{\pm\mp}}{m_{\pm}} \nabla_v \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Phi(v - v_*) \frac{G_{\pm}(v_*) \nabla_v G_\mp(v)}{m_{\pm}} dv_*
\]

(1.2)

where $\Phi$ is the fundamental Landau (or Fokker-Planck) kernel [16]:

\[
\Phi(v) = \frac{1}{|v|} \left( I - \frac{v \otimes v}{|v|^2} \right).
\]
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Here \( c_{\pm} = 2\pi e_+^2 e_+^2 \ln \Lambda, \ln \Lambda = \ln(\frac{\Lambda_0}{b_0}) \), \( \lambda_D = (\frac{\Lambda_0}{4\pi e_+ e_+^2})^{1/2} \) is of course the Debye shielding distance and \( b_0 = \frac{e_+^2}{4\pi} \) is the typical ‘distance of closest approach’ for a thermal particle \([10]\). Note that the \( \pm \) and the \( \mp \) signify the possibility of either the + or the – in the sign configuration.

The self-consistent electrostatic field \( E(t, x) = -\nabla \phi \), and the electric potential \( \phi \) will then satisfy:

\[
(1.4) \quad \nabla \cdot E = -\Delta \phi = 4\pi \rho = 4\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \{ e_+ F_+ - e_- F_- \} dv.
\]

We consider the global Maxwellian equilibrium:

\[
\mu_+(v) = \frac{n_0}{\varepsilon_+} (\frac{m_+}{2\pi \kappa T_0})^{3/2} e^{-m_+|v|^2/2\kappa T_0}, \quad \mu_-(v) = \frac{n_0}{\varepsilon_-} (\frac{m_-}{2\pi \kappa T_0})^{3/2} e^{-m_-|v|^2/2\kappa T_0}.
\]

Our main goal will be to prove global in time existence of solutions to the Cauchy problem \([11]\), and the convergence to these equilibria in large time for perturbative initial conditions.

For notational simplicity and without loss of generality, we normalize all constants in the Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system to be one. Accordingly, we normalize the Maxwellian as

\[
(1.5) \quad \mu(v) \equiv \mu_+(v) = \mu_-(v) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} e^{-|v|^2/2}.
\]

We define the standard perturbation \( f_\pm(t, x, v) \) to \( \mu \) as

\[
(1.6) \quad F_\pm = \mu \pm \sqrt{\mu} f_\pm.
\]

Consider the vector \( f(t, x, v) = [f_+(t, x, v), f_-(t, x, v)] \), the Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system for the perturbation now takes the form (two equations):

\[
(1.7) \{ \partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x \pm E \cdot \nabla_v \} f_\pm \mp 2\{ E \cdot v \} \sqrt{\mu} + L_\pm f = \pm \{ E \cdot v \} f_\pm + \Gamma_\pm(f, f),
\]

\[
(1.8) \quad -\Delta \phi = \int \sqrt{\mu} [f_+ - f_-] dv.
\]

For any \( g = [g_+, g_-] \), the linearized collision operator \( Lg \) in \((1.7)\) is given

\[
(1.9) \quad Lg \equiv \begin{pmatrix} L_+g \\ L_-g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \sqrt{\mu} \left( 2Q(\mu_+ \mu_+, \mu_-, g_+) + Q(\mu_+ \mu_+, \mu_-, g_-) \right) \\ -1 \sqrt{\mu} \left( 2Q(\mu_+ \mu_+, \mu_-, g_+) + Q(\mu_+ \mu_+, \mu_-, g_-) \right) \end{pmatrix}.
\]

For \( g = [g_+, g_-] \) and \( h = [h_+, h_-] \), the nonlinear collision operator \( \Gamma(g, h) \) is

\[
(1.10) \quad \Gamma(g, h) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_+(g, h) \\ \Gamma_-(g, h) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \sqrt{\mu} \left( Q(\mu_+ \mu_+, \mu_+ h_+) + Q(\mu_+, \mu_+ h_-) \right) \\ 1 \sqrt{\mu} \left( Q(\mu_+ \mu_+, \mu_+ h_+) + Q(\mu_+, \mu_+ h_-) \right) \end{pmatrix}.
\]

This is the model that we will study in the rest of this paper.

1.1. Previous Results and Our Approach. We recall that there have been many constructions of global in time perturbative solutions to kinetic equations in the last decade, see for instance \([8,9,11-15,22,24]\).

In particular we now discuss some specific results in the perturbative context. We point out the global existence proof for the full relativistic Landau-Maxwell system from \([24]\) in 2004, and very recently the global result for the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system in \([15]\). In 2011, Guo constructed global smooth solution near Maxwellian for the (non-relativistic) Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system, as in \([17,18]\), in \([10]\) in the torus. Notice that this Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system
is physically motivated from the relativistic case because it arises as the formal
Newtonian limit of the relativistic Landau-Maxwell system \( [24] \).

For other work related to the Landau equation from different approaches, see
\([1, 2, 17, 18, 25–27]\) and the references therein.

Unfortunately, despite these advances, the stability of the Maxwellian
equilibrium for the non-relativistic Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system in the whole space, as
in \([17]\), has remained out of reach. Since the Poincaré inequality fails in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), the
strategy in \([10]\) cannot be directly applied. But we can develop new ideas, building
upon the methods in \([11, 19]\) and \([20]\) to overcome the main new difficulties.

We first prove optimal large time decay rates for the linearized system by paying
the cost of a gain in a velocity weight. Then we build upon strategies from \([19, 10]\),
and \([20]\) to develop the following energy inequality for the solution of (1.8):

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(f) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(f) \leq (|\partial_\theta \phi|)_{\infty} + (|\nabla_x \phi|)_{\infty}) \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(f),
\]

where \( \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(f) \) denotes some energy functional and \( \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(f) \) denotes some dissipation
functional. To use this energy inequality we notice, as usual, that \( \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \)
when the weight \( |v| \) is small compared to time variable \( t^\rho \) except for the term
\( \mathbf{P}f \). When the weight \( |v| \) is big compared to time variable \( t^\rho \), we have control of
the energy growth by putting more weights on the initial data. The gain in the
weight function in our linear theory will not pose a problem for \( \mathbf{P}f \) in the Duhamel
formula, because \( \mathbf{P}f \) has exponential decay in \( v \). So by Gronwall’s inequality, we
have nice control, \([1, 10]\), as long as \( \int (|\partial_\theta \phi|)_{\infty} + (|\nabla_x \phi|)_{\infty}) \, ds < \infty \).

Unfortunately, the decay of \( \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \) itself does not guarantee that

\[
\int (|\partial_\theta \phi|)_{\infty} + (|\nabla_x \phi|)_{\infty}) \, ds < \infty.
\]

To overcome this difficulty we develop another energy inequality for the purpose of controlling \( \int (|\partial_\theta \phi|)_{\infty} + (|\nabla_x \phi|)_{\infty}) \, ds \) as follows:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{(2)}(f) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{(2)}(f) \leq (|\partial_\theta \phi|)_{\infty} + (|\nabla_x \phi|)_{\infty}) \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{(2)}(f) + (|\nabla_x \mathbf{P}f|)_{2}^2,
\]

where \( \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{(2)}(f) \) no longer contains \( \mathbf{P}f \). Then this new energy inequality gives us
enough decay of \( \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{(2)}(f) \), which guarantees \( \int (|\partial_\theta \phi|)_{\infty} + (|\nabla_x \phi|)_{\infty}) \, ds < \infty \).

We also mention the recent works of \([6, 7]\) for another approach to this problem.

In Section 1.2, we will introduce the notations used in this paper. Then in Section
1.3 we will state our main theorem.

### 1.2. Notation.

For notational simplicity, we use \( \| \cdot \|_p \) to denote \( L^p \) norms in
\( \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \) or in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), and \( \| \cdot \|_{p, w} \) for \( L^p \) norms with weight \( w(v) \) in
\( \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \) or in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). We use \( \| \cdot \|_p \) to denote \( L^p \) norms in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \), and \( \| \cdot \|_{p, w} \) for \( L^p \) norms with weight \( w(v) \) in
\( \mathbb{R}^3 \).

Let the multi-indices \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) be \( \alpha = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3] \), \( \beta = [\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3] \), and we
define \( \partial^{\alpha}_\beta \equiv \partial^{\alpha_1}_{x_1} \partial^{\alpha_2}_{x_2} \partial^{\alpha_3}_{x_3} \partial^{\beta_1}_{v_1} \partial^{\beta_2}_{v_2} \partial^{\beta_3}_{v_3} \). For such a multi-index \( \theta = [\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3] \). If each
component of \( \theta \) is not greater than that of \( \theta ’s \), we denote by \( \theta \leq \theta’ \); \( \theta < \theta \) means
\( \theta \leq \theta’ \), and \( |\theta| < |\theta’| \) where \( |\theta| = \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 \). Let \( w(v) \geq 1 \) be a weight function
and \( \| \cdot \|_{2, w} \) to denote the weighted \( L^2 \) norm. We define

\[
(1.11) \quad \|f\|_{\sigma, w} \equiv \|\langle v \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} f\|_{2, w} + \|\langle v \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla_v f \cdot \frac{v}{|v|}\|_{2, w} + \|\langle v \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla_v f \times \frac{v}{|v|}\|_{2, w},
\]
with \( \langle v \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |v|^2} \). Also \( \|f\|_\sigma = \|f\|_{\sigma,1} \). Similarly
\[
\|f\|_{\sigma,w} \equiv \langle v \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} |f|_{2,w} + \langle v \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla_v f \cdot \frac{v}{|v|} |_{2,w} + \langle v \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla_v f \times \frac{v}{|v|} |_{2,w},
\]
and \( \|f\|_\sigma = \|f\|_{\sigma,1} \).

In this paper, the norm of a vector means the sum of the norms of all components of the vector. Also the norm of \( \nabla_x^k f \) means the sum of the norms of functions \( \partial^\alpha f \) where \( |\alpha| = k \).

We define the velocity weight
\[
w(\alpha, \beta)(v) \equiv \langle v \rangle^{2(|\alpha| - |\beta|)}, \quad l \geq |\alpha| + |\beta|.
\]
We also define
\[
w_k \equiv \langle v \rangle^k.
\]
We use \( \| \cdot \|_{\sigma,k} \) and \( \| \cdot \|_{\sigma,k} \) to denote \( \| \cdot \|_{\sigma,w_k} \) and \( \| \cdot \|_{\sigma,w_k} \) respectively. We use \( \| \cdot \|_{p,k} \) and \( \| \cdot \|_{p,w_k} \) to denote \( \| \cdot \|_{p,w_k} \) and \( \| \cdot \|_{p,w_k} \) respectively.

Recall (1.11). We define the following continuous functionals, which are called the instant energy and the dissipation rate, by
\[
\mathcal{E}_{\sigma}(f(t)) = \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq m} \sum_{\pm} \|\partial^\alpha f_{\pm}(t)\|_{2,w(\alpha, \beta)}^2 + \|E(t)\|_2^2,
\]
\[
\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}(f(t)) = \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq m} \sum_{\pm} \|\partial^\alpha (I - P) f_{\pm}(t)\|_{\sigma, w(\alpha, \beta)}^2
\]
\[
+ \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} \sum_{\pm} \|\partial^\alpha P f_{\pm}(t)\|_{2}^2 + \|E(t)\|_2^2,
\]
\[
\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\sigma}(f(t)) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sum_{\pm} \|\partial^\alpha (I - P) f_{\pm}(t)\|_{\sigma, w(\alpha, 0)}^2
\]
\[
+ \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} \sum_{\pm} \|\partial^\alpha P f_{\pm}(t)\|_{2}^2 + \|E(t)\|_2^2,
\]
For an integrable function \( g : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), its Fourier transform is defined by
\[
\hat{g}(k) = \mathcal{F}g(k) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot k} g(x) \, dx, \quad x \cdot k \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^3 x_j k_j, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}^3,
\]
where \( i = \sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{C} \). For two complex vectors \( a, b \in \mathbb{C}^3 \), \( (a \mid b) = a \cdot \bar{b} \) denotes the dot product over the complex field, where \( \bar{b} \) is the ordinary complex conjugate of \( b \).

We use \( (\cdot, \cdot) \) to denote the inner product over the Hilbert space \( L^2_{\mathbb{R}} \), i.e.
\[
(g, h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g(v) \cdot \bar{h}(v) \, dv, \quad g = g(v), \quad h = h(v) \in L^2_{\mathbb{R}}.
\]
Analogously \( (\cdot, \cdot) \) denotes the inner product over \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3) \). For \( r \geq 1 \), we define the mixed Lebesgue space \( Z_{r} = L^2_{r}(L^r_x) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; L^r(\mathbb{R}^3)) \) with the norm
\[
\|g\|_{Z_{r}} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |g(x, v)|^r \, dx \right)^{2/r} \, dv \right)^{1/2}.
\]
We introduce the norms \( \| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{H}^m} \) and \( \| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{H}^m} \) with \( m \geq 0 \) and \( r \geq 1 \) given by
\[
\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \|f\|_{Z^2}^2, \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \|f\|_{Z^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2, \quad \|f\|_{L^2}^2 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \|f\|_{Z^2}^2.
\]
Here \( \dot{H}^m = \dot{H}^m(\mathbb{R}^3) \) is the standard homogeneous \( L^2 \) based Sobolev space:

\[
\|g\|_{\dot{H}^m(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \defeq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dk \ |k|^{2m} |\hat{g}(k)|^2.
\]

In the next section we will discuss our main results.

Throughout this paper we let \( C \) denote some positive (generally large) inessential constant and \( \lambda \) denotes some positive (generally small) inessential constant, where both \( C \) and \( \lambda \) may change values from line to line. Furthermore \( A \lesssim B \) means \( A \leq CB \), and \( A \gtrsim B \) means \( B \lesssim A \). In addition, \( A \approx B \) means \( A \lesssim B \) and \( B \lesssim A \).

1.3. **Main Theorem.** Here is our main theorem of this paper:

**Theorem 1.1.** Consider the initial data \( f_0 \) with \( F_{0,\pm}(x,v) = \mu + \sqrt{\pi}f_{0,\pm}(x,v) \geq 0 \). Fix \( \ell > 5 \), then there exists a small \( M = M(\ell) > 0 \) such that if

\[
\tilde{E}_{3,\ell}(f_0) + \|f_0\|_{L^1}^2 \leq M,
\]

then there exists a unique global solution \( f(t,x,v) \) to the Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system \((1.7)\) and \((1.8)\) with \( F_{\pm}(t,x,v) = \mu + \sqrt{\pi}f_{\pm}(t,x,v) \geq 0 \).

1. Furthermore, we have

\[
\tilde{E}_{3,3}(f(t)) \leq C_\ell(1 + t)^{-\frac{4}{\ell}} \left( \tilde{E}_{3,3}(\tilde{f}(f_0) + \|f_0\|_{Z_1}^2) \right),
\]

and moreover

\[
\|\partial_t \phi(t)\|_{\infty} + \|\nabla_x \phi(t)\|_{\infty} \leq C_\ell(1 + t)^{-\frac{4}{\ell} + \varepsilon} \left( \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{3,\ell}(f_0)} + \|f_0\|_{Z_1} \right),
\]

where \( \varepsilon \equiv (\ell - \frac{1}{2})^{-1} \frac{5}{8} \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \).

2. In addition, if \( \tilde{E}_{m,l}(f_0) < \infty \) for any \( l \geq \ell, l \geq m \geq 3 \), there exists an increasing continuous function \( P_{m,l}(\cdot) \) with \( P_{m,l}(0) = 0 \) such that the unique solution satisfies

\[
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \infty} \tilde{E}_{m,l}(f(t)) + \int_0^\infty D_{m,l}(f(s))ds \leq P_{m,l}(\tilde{E}_{m,l}(f_0)).
\]

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we study the local existence theory for solutions to the Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system. In Section 3 we study time decay properties of solutions to the linear model. Then in Section 4 we prove a collection of non-linear energy inequalities for a local solution. After that in Section 5 we use the time decay estimates and the energy inequalities to deduce that the local solution is in fact global. Lastly in Appendix A we estimate some special time integrals.

2. **Local in time solutions**

In this section, we construct a unique local-in time solution to the Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system \((1.7)\) and \((1.8)\) if \( \tilde{E}_{2,2}(f_0) \) is sufficiently small.

We first note that the linearized collision operator \( L \) is non-negative and is further known to be locally coercive in the sense that there is a constant \( \lambda_0 > 0 \) such that:

\[
\langle f, Lf \rangle \geq \lambda_0 |(I - P)f|_{\sigma}^2,
\]

where \( \sigma \) is the standard homogeneous \( L^2 \) based Sobolev space.
where, for fixed \((t, x)\), \(P\) denotes the orthogonal projection from \(L^2_x\) to \(N\), which is the null space of \(L\):
\[
\mathcal{N} \equiv \text{span} \left\{ \sqrt{\mu} \binom{1}{0}, \sqrt{\mu} \binom{0}{1}, v_i \sqrt{\mu} \binom{1}{1}, |v|^2 \sqrt{\mu} \binom{1}{1} \right\},
\]
with \(1 \leq i \leq 3\).

Here are some Sobolev inequalities frequently used throughout this paper.

**Lemma 2.1.** For \(f : \mathbb{R}^3_x \to \mathbb{R}\), we have
\[
\|f\|_\infty \lesssim \|\nabla_x f\|_2^{1/2} \|\nabla_x^2 f\|_2^{1/2} \lesssim \|\nabla_x f\|_2 + \|\nabla_x^2 f\|_2;
\]
\[
\|f\|_6 \lesssim \|\nabla_x f\|_2;
\]
\[
\|f\|_4 \lesssim \|f\|_2^{1/2} \|\nabla_x f\|_2^{1/2} \lesssim \|f\|_2 + \|\nabla_x f\|_2;
\]
\[
\|\nabla_x f\|_2 \lesssim \|f\|_2 \|\nabla_x^2 f\|_2 \lesssim \|f\|_2 + \|\nabla_x^2 f\|_2.
\]

In this section we will use some basic estimates from Section 2 of [10]. We would like to improve the result of Proposition 6 in [10]. For any vector-valued function \(g(v) = [g_1, g_2]\), we define the projection to the vector \([v_i]\) as
\[
P_v g_i = \sum (g_j v_j) \frac{v_i}{|v|^2}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3.
\]

We will also use the norm estimate from Corollary 1 in [11]
\[
|g|^2_{\sigma, \omega, \varphi} \gtrsim \|1 + |v|\|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ P_v \partial_j g \right\}^2_{2, \omega, \varphi} + \|1 + |v|\|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \left( \mathcal{I} - P_v \right) \partial_j g \right\}^2_{2, \omega, \varphi}
\]
\[
+ \|1 + |v|\|^{-\frac{1}{2}} g^2_{2, \omega, \varphi}, \quad \forall \ell \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

We establish the estimate for the nonlinear term \(\Gamma[g_1, g_2]\).

**Proposition 2.2.** Fix \(\ell \in \mathbb{R}\). Then
\[
\langle w_{2\ell} \partial_\beta^3 \Gamma[g_1, g_2], \partial_\beta^3 g_3 \rangle \lesssim \sum_{\alpha_1 \leq \alpha \leq \beta_1 \leq \beta} \sum_{\alpha_2} |\partial_\beta^3 g_1|_{1, \omega, \varphi} |\partial_\beta^{-\alpha_1} g_2|_{\sigma, \omega, \varphi} |\partial_\beta^3 g_3|_{\sigma, \omega, \varphi}.
\]

Here \(b > 0\) can be arbitrarily large.

The key new point in this estimate is to use directly Proposition 3.5 in [9] on the worst term \((2.13)\).

**Proof.** Recall \(\Gamma[g_1, g_2]\) in (1.10). By the product rule, we expand
\[
\langle w_{2\ell} \partial_\beta^3 \Gamma[g_1, g_2], \partial_\beta^3 g_3 \rangle = \sum C_{\alpha} C_{\beta} \times G_{\alpha, \beta},
\]
where \(G_{\alpha, \beta}\) takes the form:
\[
+(w_{2\ell} \{\phi^{ij} \star \partial_{\beta_1}[v_i \mu^{1/2} \partial_j \partial_\alpha g_1]\} \partial_\beta^{-\alpha} g_2, \partial_\beta^3 g_3)
\]
\[
-(w_{2\ell} \{\phi^{ij} \star \partial_{\beta_1}[v_i \mu^{1/2} \partial_j \partial_\alpha g_1]\} \partial_j \partial_\beta^{-\alpha} g_2, \partial_\beta^3 g_3)
\]
\[
-(w_{2\ell} \{\phi^{ij} \star \partial_{\beta_1}[\mu^{1/2} \partial_j \partial_\alpha g_1]\} \partial_\beta^{-\alpha} g_2, \partial_\beta^3 g_3)
\]
\[
+(w_{2\ell} \{\phi^{ij} \star \partial_{\beta_1}[\mu^{1/2} \partial_j \partial_\alpha g_1]\} \partial_\beta^{-\alpha} g_2, \partial_\beta^3 g_3)
\]
\[
-(\partial_\beta \{w_{2\ell} \{\phi^{ij} \star \partial_{\beta_1}[\mu^{1/2} \partial_j \partial_\alpha g_1]\} \partial_\beta \partial_\beta^{-\alpha} g_2, \partial_\beta^3 g_3)
\]
\[
+(\partial_\beta \{w_{2\ell} \{\phi^{ij} \star \partial_{\beta_1}[\mu^{1/2} \partial_j \partial_\alpha g_1]\} \partial_\beta \partial_\beta^{-\alpha} g_2, \partial_\beta^3 g_3).
\]

The last two terms appear when we integrate by parts over \(v_i\) variable.
We establish the upper bound in (2.9) for each of the terms above. For the last two terms (2.14) and (2.15), we have
\[
\phi^{ij}(v) = O(|v|^{-1}) \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3).
\]
From
\[
|\partial_{\beta_1-\beta_1} \{\mu^{1/2}\}] \lesssim \mu^{1/4},
\]
the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality implies for any large \(b > 0\) that
\[
\{ \phi^{ij} \ast \partial_{\beta_1-\beta_1} \{\mu^{1/2}\} g_1 \} \lesssim \sum_{\beta \leq \beta_1} |\phi^{ij} \ast \{\partial_{\beta_1-\beta_1} \mu^{1/2}\} g_1|
\]
\[
\lesssim \{ |\phi^{ij}|^2 \ast \mu^{1/4} \}^{1/2} \left\{ \sum_{\beta \leq \beta_1} \int \mu^{1/4} (v_*) |\partial_{\beta} g_1(v_*)|^2 dv_* \right\}^{1/2}
\]
(2.16)
\[
\lesssim [1 + |v|]^{-1} \sum_{\beta \leq \beta_1} |\partial_{\beta} g_1|_{2,-b}.
\]
Since \(|\partial_1 [w_2]| \leq C[1 + |v|]^{-1} w_2\), we estimate (2.14) from (2.16) as follows
\[
\sum_{\beta \leq \beta_1} |\partial_{\beta} g_1|_{2,-b} \int w_2 [1 + |v|]^{-2} |\partial_1 \partial_{\beta_1-\beta_1} g_2 \partial_{\beta} g_3| dv
\]
\[
\lesssim \sum_{\beta \leq \beta_1} |\partial_{\beta} g_1|_{2,-b} \left[ w_2 [1 + |v|]^{-2} |\partial_1 \partial_{\beta_1-\beta_1} g_2 |^2 \right] \left[ w_2 [1 + |v|]^{-2} |\partial_{\beta} g_3 |^2 \right]
\]
\[
\lesssim \sum_{\beta \leq \beta_1} |\partial_{\beta} g_1|_{2,-b} \left[ |\partial_{\beta_1-\beta_1} g_2 |_{\sigma, \ell} |\partial_{\beta} g_3 |_{\sigma, \ell} \right]
\]
by (2.8).

To estimate (2.15), we integrate by parts on the \(\partial_j\) derivative in the \(v_*\) integration (inside the convolution). This splits
\[
\phi^{ij} \ast \partial_{\beta_1} \{\mu^{1/2}\} g_1 = \partial_j \phi^{ij} \ast \partial_{\beta_1} \{\mu^{1/2}\} g_1 - \phi^{ij} \ast \partial_{\beta_1} \{\partial_j \mu^{1/2}\} \partial_{\beta_1} g_1.
\]
When the derivative hits \(\mu^{1/2}\) we proceed exactly as in the estimate for (2.14).
When the derivative hits \(\phi^{ij}(v - v_*)\) we use the symmetry in \(v\) and \(v_*\) to convert the \(\partial_j\) to be a derivative in \(v\) (instead of one in \(v_*\)) and then we integrate by parts in \(\partial_j\) again (now within the \(v\) integration). All of the remaining terms resulting from this integration by parts can be estimated as in (2.14).

We now estimate (2.10). Again recall that \(\phi^{ij}(v) = O(|v|^{-1}) \in L^2_{\text{loc}}\). By (2.16), we have for any large \(b > 0\) that
\[
|\phi^{ij} \ast \partial_{\beta_1} \{v_1 \mu^{1/2} \partial_j \partial_{\beta} g_1\}| \lesssim [1 + |v|]^{-1} \sum_{\beta \leq \beta} |\partial_{\beta} g_1|_{\sigma,-b}.
\]
Hence the corresponding integrand (2.10) is bounded by
\[
C \sum_{\beta \leq \beta} |\partial_{\beta} g_1|_{2,-b} [1 + |v|]^{-1} \left| \partial^{\alpha_{\beta}}_{\beta_1-\beta_1} g_2 \right| \left| \partial_{\beta} g_3 \right|.
\]
Again using Cauchy-Schwartz and (2.8), the \(v\) integral of the above is clearly bounded by right hand side of (2.9).
Next we consider the estimates for (2.11) and (2.12). We decompose our double integration region \([v, v_+] \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3\) into three parts:

\[
\{ |v| \leq 1 \}, \quad \{ 2|v_*| \geq |v|, \ |v| \geq 1 \} \quad \text{and} \quad \{ 2|v_*| \leq |v|, \ |v| \geq 1 \}.
\]

For the first part \(|v| \leq 1\), recall \(\phi^{ij}(v) = O(|v|^{-1}) \in L_{loc}^2\). By (2.16), we have

\[
|\phi^{ij} \ast \partial_{\beta_1}[\mu^{1/2}\partial^{\alpha_1}g_1]| + |\phi^{ij} \ast \partial_{\beta_1}[v_+ \mu^{1/2}\partial^{\alpha_1}g_1]|
\leq C[1 + |v|^{-1}] \sum_{\beta \leq \beta} |\partial_\beta^3 g_1|_{2-b}.
\]

Hence their corresponding integrands over the region \(|v| \leq 1\) are bounded by

\[
C \sum |\partial_\beta^3 g_1|_{2-b} w_2 [1 + |v|^{-1}] |\partial_j \partial^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1} g_2| [\partial_\beta \partial_\alpha \partial^{\alpha_1} g_3] + |\partial_\beta^3 g_3|,
\]

whose \(v\)-integral over \(|v| \leq 1\) is clearly bounded by right hand side of (2.9). We thus conclude the first part of \(|v| \leq 1\) for (2.11) and (2.12).

For the second part \(2|v_*| \geq |v|, \ |v| \geq 1\), we have

\[
|\partial_{\beta_1}[\mu^{1/2}(v_*))]| + |\partial_{\beta_1}[v_* \mu^{1/2}(v_*))| \lesssim \mu^{1/8}(v_*) \mu^{1/8}(v).
\]

By the same type of estimates as in (2.16), the \(v\)-integrands in (2.11) and (2.12) are bounded by:

\[
\mu^{1/8}(v) w_2 |\partial_j \partial^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1} g_2| [\partial_\beta \partial_\alpha \partial^{\alpha_1} g_3] + |\partial_\beta^3 g_3| \int |\phi^{ij}(v - v_*) | \mu^{1/8}(v_*) |\partial_\beta^3 g_1(v_*)| dv_* \lesssim |\partial_\beta^3 g_1|_{2-b} [1 + |v|^{-1}] \mu^{1/8}(v) w_2 [\partial_j \partial^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1} g_2] [\partial_\beta \partial_\alpha \partial^{\alpha_1} g_3] + |\partial_\beta^3 g_3|.
\]

By (2.8), its \(v\)-integral is bounded by the right hand side of (2.9) because of the fast decaying factor \(\mu^{1/8}(v)\). We thus conclude the second part of \(2|v_*| \geq |v|, \ |v| \geq 1\) for the terms (2.11) and (2.12).

We finally consider the third part of \(2|v_*| \leq |v|, \ |v| \geq 1\), for which we shall estimate the terms in (2.11) and (2.12). The key is to expand \(\phi^{ij}(v - v_*)\).

To estimate (2.12) over the region \(|v| \geq 1\) and \(2|v_*| \leq |v|\), we expand \(\phi^{ij}(v - v_*)\) to get

\[
\phi^{ij}(v - v_*) = \phi^{ij}(v) - \sum_k \partial_k \phi^{ij}(v)v_*k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, l} \partial_{kl} \phi^{ij}(v)v_*k v_*l.
\]

where \(\bar{v}\) is between \(v\) and \(v_*\). We plug (2.19) into the integrand of (2.12). Notice that for either fixed \(i\) or \(j\),

\[
\sum_i \phi^{ij}(v)v_i = \sum_j \phi^{ij}(v)v_j = 0.
\]

\(\ast\)From (2.7), Lemma 3 in [11] and (2.20), we can decompose \(\partial_j \partial^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1} g_2\) and \(\partial_\beta \partial_\alpha \partial^{\alpha_1} g_3\) into their \(P_v\) parts as well as \(I - P_v\) parts. For the first term in the expansion (2.19)

\[
\sum_{ij} |\phi^{ij}(v) \partial_j \partial^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1} g_2(v) \partial_\beta \partial_\alpha \partial^{\alpha_1} g_3(v)|
\leq C[1 + |v|^{-1}] |I - P_v| |\partial_j \partial^{\alpha_1}_{\beta_1} g_2(v)| |I - P_v| |\partial_\alpha \partial^{\alpha_1} g_3(v)| \times |I - P_v| |\partial_\beta \partial_\alpha \partial^{\alpha_1} g_3(v)|.
\]
Here we have used (2.20) so that sum of terms with either
\[ P_v \partial_j \partial_{\beta-\rho}^{\alpha-1}\partial_{\beta} g_2, \quad \text{or} \quad P_v \partial_i \partial_{\beta-\rho}^{\alpha-1}\partial_{\beta} g_3, \]
vanishes. For the second term in the expansion (2.19), by taking a \( \partial_k \) derivative of
\[ \sum_{i,j} \phi^{ij}(v_i v_j) = 0, \]
we have \( \sum_{i,j} \partial_k \phi^{ij}(v_i v_j) = -2 \sum_{i,j} \phi^{ij}(v_i v_j) = 0 \). Therefore, expanding \( \partial_j \partial_{\beta-\rho}^{\alpha-1}\partial_{\beta} g_2 \)
and \( \partial_i \partial_{\beta} g_3 \) into their \( P_v \) and \( I - P_v \) parts yields
\[ \sum_{i,j} \partial_k \phi^{ij}(v_i v_j) P_v \partial_j \partial_{\beta-\rho}^{\alpha-1}\partial_{\beta} g_2 \times P_v \partial_i \partial_{\beta} g_3 = 0. \]
Notice that \( |\partial_k \phi^{ij}(v)| \leq C[1 + |v|]^{-2} \), for \( |v| \geq 1 \), we majorize the above by
\[
\begin{align*}
C \left[ 1 + |v| \right]^{-3/2} \left\{ |P_v \partial_j \partial_{\beta-\rho}^{\alpha-1}\partial_{\beta} g_2| + |P_v \partial_i \partial_{\beta} g_3| \right\} \\
\times [1 + |v|]^{-1/2} \left\{ |I - P_v| |\partial_j \partial_{\beta-\rho}^{\alpha-1}\partial_{\beta} g_2| + |I - P_v| |\partial_i \partial_{\beta} g_3| \right\} \\
+ C[1 + |v|]^{-1/2} |I - P_v| |\partial_j \partial_{\beta-\rho}^{\alpha-1}\partial_{\beta} g_2| |I - P_v| |\partial_i \partial_{\beta} g_3|. 
\end{align*}
\]
(2.22)
The third term in (2.19) now can be estimated as follows. Since
\[
(2.23)
\frac{1}{2} |v| \leq |v| - |v_*| \leq |\bar{v}| \leq |v_*| + |v| \leq \frac{3}{2} |v|, 
\]
thus \( |\partial_k \phi^{ij}(\bar{v})| \leq C[1 + |v|]^{-3} \), and we have
\[
| \sum_{k,l} \partial_k \phi^{ij}(\bar{v}) \partial_j \partial_{\beta-\rho}^{\alpha-1}\partial_{\beta} g_2(\bar{v}) \partial_i \partial_{\beta} g_3(\bar{v}) | \\
\leq C[1 + |v|]^{-3} |\partial_j \partial_{\beta-\rho}^{\alpha-1}\partial_{\beta} g_2(\bar{v}) | \partial_i \partial_{\beta} g_3(\bar{v}) |. 
\]
(2.24)
Combining (2.19), (2.21), (2.22) and (2.24), we have
\[
| \sum_{i,j} \phi^{ij}(v - v_*) \partial_i \partial_{\beta-\rho}^{\alpha-1}\partial_{\beta} g_2(\bar{v}) \partial_i \partial_{\beta} g_3(\bar{v}) | \\
\leq C[1 + |v_*|]^{2} \left\{ | \sum_{i,j} \phi^{ij}(v) \partial_j \partial_{\beta-\rho}^{\alpha-1}\partial_{\beta} g_2(\bar{v}) | \partial_i \partial_{\beta} g_3(\bar{v}) | \right\} \\
+ | \sum_{i,j} \partial_k \phi^{ij}(\bar{v}) \partial_j \partial_{\beta-\rho}^{\alpha-1}\partial_{\beta} g_2(\bar{v}) | \partial_i \partial_{\beta} g_3(\bar{v}) | \\
+ | \sum_{i,j} \partial_k \phi^{ij}(\bar{v}) \partial_j \partial_{\beta-\rho}^{\alpha-1}\partial_{\beta} g_2(\bar{v}) | \partial_i \partial_{\beta} g_3(\bar{v}) | \\
\leq C[1 + |v_*|]^{2} \left\{ \sigma^{ij} \partial_i \partial_{\beta-\rho}^{\alpha-1}\partial_{\beta} g_2 \partial_j \partial_{\beta-\rho}^{\alpha-1}\partial_{\beta} g_2 \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \sigma^{ij} \partial_i \partial_{\beta} g_3 \partial_j \partial_{\beta} g_3 \right\}^{1/2}, 
\]

where we have used (2.8). The $v-$ integrand over $\{2|v'| \leq |v|, |v| \geq 1\}$ in (2.12) is thus bounded by

$$
w_{2\ell} \int [1 + |v_*|^2] \mu^{1/4}(v_*) |\partial^\alpha_\beta g_1| (v_*) dv_* \times \times \{ \sigma^{ij} \partial_i \partial^\alpha_\beta \partial^\alpha_\beta g_2 \partial_j \partial^\alpha_\beta g_3 \}^{1/2} \} \sigma^{ij} \partial_i \partial^\alpha_\beta g_1 \partial_j \partial^\alpha_\beta g_3 \}^{1/2} \leq C|\partial^\alpha_\beta g_1|_{2,-b} \{w_{2\ell} \sigma^{ij} \partial_i \partial^\alpha_\beta \partial^\alpha_\beta g_2 \partial_j \partial^\alpha_\beta g_3 \}^{1/2} \{w_{2\ell} \sigma^{ij} \partial_i \partial^\alpha_\beta g_3 \partial_j \partial^\alpha_\beta g_3 \}^{1/2}.
$$

Its further integration over $v$ is bounded by the right hand side of (2.10).

We now consider the term (2.11). We again expand $\phi^{ij}(v - v_*)$ as

$$
(2.25) \quad \phi^{ij}(v - v_*) = \phi^{ij}(v) - \sum_k \partial_k \phi^{ij}(\tilde{v}) v_{*k},
$$

with $\tilde{v}$ between $v$ and $v - v_*$. Since $\sum_j \phi^{ij}(v) v_{*j} = 0$, we obtain as before

$$
(2.26) \quad \sum_{i,j} \phi^{ij}(v) \partial_i \partial^\alpha_\beta \partial^\alpha_\beta g_2(v) \partial^\alpha_\beta g_3(v)
$$

$$
\leq C[1 + |v|]^{-1/2} \{I - P_{\ell} \} \partial_j \partial^\alpha_\beta \partial^\alpha_\beta g_2(v) \partial^\alpha_\beta g_3(v).
$$

Notice that from (2.25), $|\partial_k \phi^{ij}(\tilde{v})| \leq C[1 + |v|]^{-2}$. Hence

$$
(2.27) \quad \sum_{i,j} \phi^{ij}(v) (I - P_{\ell} \partial_j \partial^\alpha_\beta \partial^\alpha_\beta g_2(v) \partial^\alpha_\beta g_3(v)
$$

$$
\leq C[1 + |v|]^{-3/2} \partial_j \partial^\alpha_\beta \partial^\alpha_\beta g_2(v) \partial^\alpha_\beta g_3(v).
$$

By (2.28), we conclude that the integrand in (2.11) can be majorized as

$$
\int |w_{2\ell} \phi^{ij}(v - v_*) \partial_\beta_1 [v'_\ell \mu^{1/2} (v_* \partial^\alpha_\beta g_1 (v_* (v')) \partial_\beta \partial^\alpha_\beta \partial^\alpha_\beta g_2(v) \partial^\alpha_\beta g_3(v)] dv_*
$$

$$
= \int |w_{2\ell} [\phi^{ij}(v) - \partial_k \phi^{ij}(\tilde{v}) v_{*k}] \partial_\beta_1 [v'_\ell \mu^{1/2} (v_* \partial^\alpha_\beta g_1 (v_* (v')) \partial_\beta \partial^\alpha_\beta \partial^\alpha_\beta g_2(v) \partial^\alpha_\beta g_3(v)] dv_*
$$

$$
\leq C \int [1 + |v_*|] \mu^{1/4}(v_*) |\partial^\alpha_\beta g_1| (v_*) dv_* \times \times \{w_{2\ell} \sigma^{ij} \partial_i \partial^\alpha_\beta \partial^\alpha_\beta g_2 \partial_j \partial^\alpha_\beta \partial^\alpha_\beta g_3 \}^{1/2} \{w_{2\ell} \sigma^{ij} \partial_i \partial^\alpha_\beta g_3 \partial_j \partial^\alpha_\beta \partial^\alpha_\beta g_3 \}^{1/2},
$$

where the summation is over $1 \leq i,j \leq 3$ and its further integration over $v$ is bounded by the right hand side of (2.9).

We are now ready to prove the desired estimate for the most singular term (2.13). Now to handle (2.13) we integrate by parts on the $\partial_j$ derivative in the $v_*$ integration (inside the convolution). This splits

$$
(2.28) \quad \phi^{ij} \ast \partial_\beta_1 [\mu^{1/2} \partial_j \partial^\alpha_\beta g_1] = \partial_j \phi^{ij} \ast \partial_\beta_1 [\mu^{1/2} \partial^\alpha_\beta g_1] - \phi^{ij} \ast \partial_\beta_1 [\mu^{1/2} \partial^\alpha_\beta g_1].
$$

For (2.13), the term with the integrand in (2.28) involving $\partial_j \mu^{1/2}$ can now be estimated exactly as in the previous estimate of (2.11). Thus in the remainder of this estimate we focus or attention on the term in (2.28) which involves $\partial_j \phi^{ij}$.

Notice $\partial_j \phi^{ij}(v) = O(|v|^{-2})$. Therefore, in the convolution $\partial_j \phi^{ij} \ast \partial_\beta_1 [\mu^{1/2} \partial^\alpha_\beta g_1]$, we now split into the two regions $|v - v_*| \geq 1$ and $|v - v_*| \leq 1$. When we restrict the convolution to the region where $|v - v_*| \geq 1$, similar to (2.14), we have

$$
\left| \partial_j \phi^{ij} \ast \partial_\beta_1 [\mu^{1/2} \partial^\alpha_\beta g_1] \right| \lesssim [1 + |v|]^{-2} \sum_{\beta \leq \beta_1} \partial^\alpha_\beta g_1_{2,-b},
$$
which will hold for any $b > 0$. Plugging this upper bound into the integrand of (2.13) and applying the approach which was used to estimate (2.14) yields the desired estimate for this term.

It now only remains to estimate (2.13) with the integrand $\partial_j \phi^{ij} \ast \partial_{\beta_1} [\mu^{1/2} \partial^{\alpha_1} g_1]$ on the region $|v - v_\star| \leq 1$. However from the previous estimates, on this region, we can observe that (2.13) with the integrand $\partial_j \phi^{ij} \ast \partial_{\beta_1} [\mu^{1/2} \partial^{\alpha_1} g_1]$ is bounded above in absolute value by a constant multiple of

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} dv dv_\star w_{2\ell}(v) |v - v_\star|^{-2} \mu^{1/4}(v) \left| \partial_\beta g_1(v_\star) \partial_{\beta - \beta_1} g_2(v) \partial_i \partial_{\beta \beta_1} g_3(v) \right| 1_{|v - v_\star| \leq 1}.$$ 

Here $1_{|v - v_\star| \leq 1}$ is the usual indicator function of the indicated region: $|v - v_\star| \leq 1$. In the above expression we are implicitly summing in particular over $i$ and $\beta$. Now we can apply the HLS estimate from Proposition 3.5 in [9]. Using this estimate the expression in the previous display is bounded above by a constant multiple of

$$\left| \mu^\delta \partial_\beta g_1 \right|_{L^2} \left| \mu^\delta \partial_{\beta - \beta_1} g_2 \right|_{H^1} \left| \mu \partial_i \partial_{\beta \beta_1} g_3 \right|_{L^2} \lesssim \left| \partial_\beta g_1 \right|_{L^2} \left| \partial_{\beta - \beta_1} g_2 \right|_{H^1} \left| \partial_i \partial_{\beta \beta_1} g_3 \right|_{L^2}.$$

As in Proposition 3.5 in [9], $\delta > 0$ is some small number. In Proposition 3.5 of [9], we have chosen $b_1 = 1$ and $b_2 = 0$. Of course, again, above $b > 0$ can be taken arbitrarily large. We thus conclude the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Now we start our construction of the local in time solution. We start with

$$(2.29) \quad F^0(t, x, v) = \mu \quad \text{or} \quad f^0 \equiv 0.$$ 

To preserve the positivity for $F^{n+1}$, we design the following iterating sequence of $F^{n+1} \pm$ as in [28]:

$$[\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x + \nabla_v \phi^n \cdot \nabla_v] F^{n+1} = Q(F_\pm^n, F_\pm^{n+1}) - 8\pi F_\pm^{n+1} (F^{n+1}_\pm - F^{n}_\pm)$$

$$+ Q(F_\pm^n, F_\pm^{n+1}) - 8\pi F_\pm^n (F^{n+1}_\pm - F^{n}_\pm)$$

$$= \Phi^{ij} \ast [F^n_\pm + F^n_\mp] \partial_{ij} F^{n+1}_\pm + 8\pi (F^{n+1}_\pm)^2 + 8\pi F^n_\pm F^{n+1}_\pm,$$

$$(2.30) \quad \Delta \phi^{n+1} = - \int (F^{n+1}_- - F^{n+1}_+) dv.$$ 

We have used the formulation for $Q$ which can be found, for instance, in [11 Lemma 8]. We note that $F^n_\pm \geq 0$ implies $F^{n+1}_\pm \geq 0$ from (2.30) and the maximum principle. We now rewrite the above iteration in the perturbation form of $F^{n+1} = \mu + \sqrt{\mu} f^{n+1}$:

$$[\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x + \nabla_v \phi^n \cdot \nabla_v] f^{n+1} = Af^{n+1}_\pm + \nabla_v \phi^n \cdot v f^{n+1}$$

$$= 2 \nabla_v \phi^n \cdot v \sqrt{\mu} + K^{\pm} f^n_\pm + \Gamma_\pm (f^n_\pm, f^{n+1}_\pm)$$

$$- 8\pi (f^n_\pm + f^n_\mp) \sqrt{\mu} (f^{n+1}_\pm - f^n_\pm) - 16\pi \mu (f^{n+1}_\pm - f^n_\pm)$$

$$- \Delta \phi^{n+1} = \int (f^{n+1}_+ - f^{n+1}_-) dv.$$ 

with $f^{n+1}|_{t=0} = f_0$. Here for $g = [g_+, g_-]$, we denote as in [G1] [SG1-2]:

$$A g_\pm = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\mu}} Q(\mu, \sqrt{\mu} g_\pm), \quad K_\pm g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} Q(\sqrt{\mu} g_\pm + g_\mp, \mu).$$

We have the following local existence theorem:
Lemma 2.3. Assume for $M > 0$ sufficiently small, we have $\tilde{E}_{2,l}(f^n) \leq M$. Suppose that $l \geq m \geq 2$.

1. We have

$$
\tilde{E}_{2,l}(f^{n+1}) + \int_0^t D_{2,l}(f^{n+1})ds \\
\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^t D_{2,l}(f^n)ds + C_l [\tilde{E}_{2,l}(f_0) \\
+ \int_0^t \sum_{|\alpha'|+|\beta'| \leq 1} \left\| \partial^\alpha_{\beta} \phi^n \right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + \|\nabla_x \phi^n\|_\infty^2 + \|\partial_t \phi^n\|_\infty \tilde{E}_{2,l}(f^{n+1}) \\
+ C_l \int_0^t \sum_{|\alpha'|+|\beta'| \leq 1} \left\| \partial^\alpha_{\beta} f^{n+1} \right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + \|\nabla_x \phi^n\|_\infty + \|\partial_t \phi^n\|_\infty] \tilde{E}_{2,l}(f^{n+1}) \\
+ C_l \int_0^t \sum_{|\alpha'|+|\beta'| \leq 1} \left\| \partial^\alpha_{\beta} f^{n+1} \right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + \|\nabla_x \phi^n\|_\infty + \|\partial_t \phi^n\|_\infty] \tilde{E}_{2,l}(f^{n+1}) \\
+ C_l \int_0^t \sum_{|\alpha'|+|\beta'| \leq 1} \sqrt{D_{2,l}(f^n)} \tilde{E}_{2,l}(f^{n+1}) \\
+ C_l \int_0^t \sum_{|\alpha'|+|\beta'| \leq 1} \sqrt{D_{2,l}(f^n)} \left\| \partial^\alpha_{\beta} (f^{n+1} - f^n) \sigma \right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{2,l}(f^{n+1})} \\
(2.32) + C_l \int_0^t \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{2,l}(\mu(f^{n+1} - f^n)) \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{2,l}(f^{n+1})}} \\
+ C_l \int_0^t \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{2,l}(\mu(f^{n+1} - f^n)) \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{2,l}(f^{n+1})}} \\
(2.33) + C_l \int_0^t \left[D_{m-1,l}(f^n) + D_{m-1,l}(f^{n+1}) \right] [\tilde{E}_{m-1,l}(f^n) + \tilde{E}_{m-1,l}(f^{n+1}) + 1].
$$

Above $\delta$ is some small positive number.
Proof. By the computation in Lemma 10 of [10], we have

\begin{equation}
\frac{d}{dt}\left\{ \int e^{\pm 2\phi^n} w^2 (\partial^2_{\beta} f^{n+1})^2 \right\} - \int (w^2 \partial^2_{\beta} A f^{n+1}, \partial^2_{\beta} f^{n+1}) = - \int e^{\pm 2\phi^n} w^2 \delta_{\beta}^e \partial^2_{\beta} f^{n+1} \partial^2_{\beta} f^{n+1} + \tilde{A} + \tilde{B},
\end{equation}

where

\begin{equation}
\tilde{A} = \pm \sum_{\alpha_1 < \alpha} C_{\alpha_1}^\alpha \int e^{\pm 2\phi^n} w^2 \partial^2_{\beta} f^{n+1} \partial^{\alpha_1 \alpha} \nabla_x \phi^n \cdot \nabla_x \partial^2_{\beta} f^{n+1}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\tilde{B} = \pm 2 \int e^{\pm 2\phi^n} w^2 \nabla_x \partial^2_{\beta} \phi^n \cdot \partial_{\beta}[v \sqrt{n}] \partial^2_{\beta} f^{n+1}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
+ \int w^2 e^{\pm 2\phi^n} \partial^2_{\beta} K \nabla^2 f^{n+1}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
+ \int w^2 e^{\pm 2\phi^n} \partial^2_{\beta} \Gamma_\pm (f^{n+1} f^{n+1}) \partial^2_{\beta} f^{n+1}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
- 8\pi \int w^2 e^{\pm 2\phi^n} \partial^2_{\beta} v (\sqrt{n} (f^{n+1} f^{n+1} - f^{n+1} f^{n+1}) \partial^2_{\beta} f^{n+1}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
- 16\pi \int w^2 e^{\pm 2\phi^n} \partial^2_{\beta} \mu(f^{n+1} - f^{n+1}) \partial^2_{\beta} f^{n+1}.
\end{equation}

Above

\begin{equation}
\delta_{\beta}^e = 1 \text{ if } e_i \leq \beta; \text{ or } \delta_{\beta}^e = 0, \text{ otherwise.}
\end{equation}

In our case, we have to estimate \( \frac{d}{dt} ||\nabla_x \phi^{n+1}||^2 \). Also we use a new estimate of \( 2.30 \). For the estimate used in [10] of this term does not hold in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \).

For \( \frac{d}{dt} ||\nabla_x \phi^{n+1}||^2 \), we use the continuity equation. Recall \( \rho^n = \int \sqrt{n} [f^n - f^n] dv \) and \( j^n = \int v \sqrt{n} f^n dv \). We now note that from the continuity equation of \( \rho^n + \nabla \cdot j^n = 0 \),

we have

\begin{equation}
- \Delta \nabla_x \phi^n = \nabla_x \rho^n, \quad \Delta \partial_t \phi^n = \nabla_x \cdot j^n.
\end{equation}

Thus

\begin{equation}
\frac{d}{dt} ||\nabla_x \phi^{n+1}||^2 \leq ||\nabla_x \phi^{n+1}|| \cdot ||j^{n+1}||_2 \leq ||\nabla_x \phi^{n+1}||^2 + ||\mu f^{n+1}||^2_2.
\end{equation}
For (2.40), via repeated integration by parts in $v$, we can move all the $v$ derivative $\partial_\beta$ out of $\partial_\beta f^{n+1}$ to the factor $\partial_\beta \sqrt{\mu} w^2$, so we have

$$|\pm 2 \int e^{\pm 2\phi_n} w^2 \nabla_x \phi^n \cdot \partial_\beta \sqrt{\mu} \partial_\beta f^{n+1}|$$

$$\lesssim ||\nabla_x \phi^n||^2_2 + ||\mu^\delta \phi^n f^{n+1}||^2_2$$

(2.48)

$$\lesssim ||\nabla_x \phi^n||^2_2 + ||\mu^\delta \phi^n f^{n+1}||^2_2 + ||\mu^\delta \phi^n f^{n+1}||^2_2,$$

where the last line comes from interpolation.

We then collect estimates for all other terms in the proof of Lemma 10 in [10].

For $\beta = 0$,

$$\int (w^2(\alpha,0) \phi^\alpha A f^{n+1}_\pm, \phi^\alpha f^{n+1}_\pm) dx \gtrsim ||\phi^\alpha f^{n+1}||^2_{\sigma, w(\alpha,0)} - C_m ||\chi \phi^\alpha f^{n+1}||^2_2;$$

$\chi(v)$ being a general cutoff function in $v$. For $\beta \neq 0$, for any $\eta > 0$, we have

$$-\int (w^2(\alpha, \beta) \partial_\beta^\alpha A f^{n+1}_\pm, \partial_\beta^\alpha f^{n+1}_\pm) dx$$

(2.50)

$$\gtrsim ||\partial_\beta^\alpha f^{n+1}||^2_{\sigma, \omega(\alpha, \beta)} - \eta D_{m;1}(f^{n+1}) - C_{t, \eta} \sum_{\beta' < \beta} ||\partial^\alpha_{\beta'} f^{n+1}||^2_{\sigma, \omega(\alpha, \beta')}.$$

For any $\eta > 0$ and $\beta \geq e$,

(2.35)

$$\lesssim ||\partial^e_\beta \partial^e_{\beta - e}, f^{n+1}||_{\sigma, \omega(\alpha, \beta - e)} ||\partial^e_{\beta - e}, f^{n+1}||_{\sigma, \omega(\alpha + e, \beta - e)}$$

(2.51)

$$\lesssim \eta D_{m;1}(f^{n+1}) + C_{\eta} ||\partial^e_\beta \partial^e_{\beta - e}, f^{n+1}||_{\sigma, \omega(\alpha, \beta - e)}.$$

For (2.30) and (2.37), we have

(2.52)

$$\lesssim \eta ||\partial^e_\beta f^{n+1}||^2_{\sigma, \omega} + C_{\eta} \left||f^{n+1}_{\sigma, \omega(\alpha, \beta)} \right||_{H^6}^2 E_{m-1; m}(f^n)$$

$$+ C_{\eta} E_{m-1; m-1}(f^n) + M|D_{m-1; i}(f^{n+1})|.$$

For (2.35), we have

(2.53)

$$\leq C_{t, m} \int_0^t \left(||\phi^\alpha_n||^2_{\infty} + ||\nabla_x \phi^n||^2_{\infty} \right) w^2 (\partial_\beta^\alpha f^{n+1}_{\pm})^2.$$

For (2.39), we have for any $\eta > 0$,

(2.54)

$$\lesssim \sqrt{M} ||\partial^\alpha_\beta f^{n+1}||^2_{\sigma, \omega(\alpha, \beta)} + C_{t, m} \sqrt{M} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} ||\partial^\alpha f^{n+1}||^2_2$$

$$+ \eta D_{m;1}(f^{n+1}) + C_{t, m, \eta} \sum_{\beta' < \beta} ||\partial^\alpha_{\beta'} f^{n+1}||^2_{\sigma, \omega(\alpha, \beta')}.$$

For (2.41), we have

(2.55)

$$\lesssim \{ \eta \sqrt{D_{m;1}(f^n)} + C_{t, m, \eta} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} ||\chi \partial^\alpha f^n||_2 \} \sqrt{D_{m;1}(f^{n+1})}$$

$$\lesssim \eta \left[D_{m;1}(f^n) + D_{m;1}(f^{n+1}) \right] + C_{t, m, \eta} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} ||\chi \partial^\alpha f^n||_2^2.$$
For \((2.43)\), we have
\[
|w\partial^\beta_{\gamma}(f^+_n + f^-_n)\{\mu^+ f^+_n - \mu^- f^+_n\}| \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha'| + |\beta'| \leq m} |w\partial^\alpha_{\gamma'} - \partial^\beta_{\gamma'}| \{\mu^+ f^+_n - \mu^- f^+_n\} \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha'| + |\beta'| \leq m} |w\partial^\alpha_{\gamma'} - \partial^\beta_{\gamma'}| \mu^+ (f^+_n - f^-_n)|_{L^2_x} \lesssim C_l \sum_{|\alpha'| + |\beta'| \leq m} \sqrt{D_{m;1}(f^n)\|\partial^\alpha_{\gamma'}(f^n_+ - f^n_-)\|_{H^\frac{n}{2}}} + C_l \sum_{|\alpha'| + |\beta'| \leq m} \sqrt{D_{m;1}(f^n - f^n)\|\partial^\alpha_{\gamma'} f^n\|_{H^\frac{n}{2}}}.
\]

For \((2.44)\), we have
\[
\sqrt{E_{2;1}(\mu\{f^{n+1} - f^n\})} \sqrt{E_{2;1}(fn^+1)}.
\]

For \((2.42)\), when \(|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 2\), we have
\[
\sqrt{E_{2;2}(f^n)D_{2;1}(f^n+1)}.
\]

For \((2.42)\), when \(|\alpha| + |\beta| = m \geq 3\), for any \(\eta > 0\), there exists \(C_{l,m,n} > 0\) with
\[
\sqrt{E_{2;2}(f^n)\|\partial^\beta_{\gamma'} f^n+1\|_{H^\frac{n}{2}}} \lesssim \eta \sqrt{E_{2;2}(f^n) + 1} \sum_{|\alpha'| + |\beta'| = m} \|\partial^\alpha_{\gamma'} f^n+1\|_{H^\frac{n}{2}} + C_{l,m,n} \sum_{|\alpha'| + |\beta'| \leq m} \left\|\partial^\alpha_{\gamma'} f^n+1\right\|_{H^\frac{n}{2}} + C_{l,m,n}(E_{m-1;2}(f^n) + 1)\{D_{m-1;2}(f^n+1)\}. \tag{2.56}
\]

We note that our estimate of \((2.42)\) is much simpler than that in Lemma 10 of [10], because our result in Proposition 2.2 is much simpler than that of Proposition 6 in [10]. Combining the estimates for \((2.34)\) to \((2.44)\) completes the proof of this lemma. \(\square\)

We now have the following uniform bound on the iterating sequence:

**Lemma 2.4.** Assume \(f_0 \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)\) such that \(F_0 = \mu + \sqrt{\mu} f_0 \geq 0\) with \((2.22)\).

1. There exist small constants \(0 < T \leq 1\) and \(M > 0\), such that if \(\tilde{E}_{2;2}(f_0, \phi_0)\) is sufficiently small, then
\[
\tilde{E}_{2;2}(f^{n+1}) + \int_0^t D_{2;2}(f^{n+1})(s) ds \leq M. \tag{2.57}
\]

2. \(\{f^n\}\) is Cauchy in \(L^\infty([0,T], L^2_{x,v})\) and \(\{\nabla_x \phi^n\}\) is Cauchy in \(L^\infty([0,T], L^2_x)\).

3. There exists \(C_l > 0\) such that for \(0 \leq t \leq T\):
\[
\tilde{E}_{2;1}(f^{n+1})(t) + \int_0^t D_{2;1}(f^{n+1})(s) ds \leq C_l \tilde{E}_{2;1}(0). \tag{2.58}
\]
Lemma 11 in [10]. □

Theorem 2.5.

(5) \( \{ F^n \geq 0 \} \).

Proof. For (2.59), with Lemma 2.3, the proof of part (1) of Lemma 11 in [10] is still valid in our setting.

Now we turn to part (2); with the continuity equation (2.40), we have

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \| \nabla_x (\phi^{n+1} - \phi^n) \|^2 \lesssim \| \nabla_x (\phi^{n+1} - \phi^n) \|^2 + \sum_{\pm} \| f^{n+1}_\pm - f^n_\pm \|^2.
\]

Combining (2.60) with the estimates of the difference equation of part (2) of Lemma 11 in [10] gives

\[
\| f^{n+1} - f^n \|^2(t) + \| \nabla_x (\phi^{n+1} - \phi^n) \|^2 + \int_0^t \| f^{n+1} - f^n \|^2 \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^t \| f^n - f^{n-1} \|^2(s) + C \int_0^t \| f^{n+1} - f^n \|^2 + \| f^{n+1} - f^n \|^2 + \| f^n - f^{n-1} \|^2 \}
\]

with \( \sqrt{E_{2;2}(f^n)} \) sufficiently small and \( e^{\pm 2\phi^n} \approx 1 \). By taking \( T \) sufficiently small, we obtain

\[
\max \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \{ \| f^{n+1} - f^n \|^2(t) + \| \nabla_x (\phi^{n+1} - \phi^n) \|^2 \}, \int_0^T \| f^{n+1} - f^n \|^2 \right\}
\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \{ \| f^{n+1} - f^n \|^2(t) + \| \nabla_x (\phi^{n+1} - \phi^n) \|^2 \} + \int_0^T \| f^n - f^{n-1} \|^2 \right\}.
\]

By iteration of \( n \),

\[
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \{ \| f^{n+1} - f^n \|^2(t) + \| \nabla_x (\phi^{n+1} - \phi^n) \|^2 \} + \int_0^T \| f^{n+1} - f^n \|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2^n}.
\]

So \( \{ f^n \} \) and \( \nabla_x \phi^n \) are Cauchy sequences in \( L^\infty(L^2_{x,v}) \).

The proof of part (3), (4) and (5) is the same as the corresponding proof in Lemma 11 in [10]. □

Similar to [10], we summarize the local well-posedness as \( n \to \infty \).

Theorem 2.5. Assume that \( \hat{E}_{2;2}(f_0) \) is sufficiently small. Then there exist \( 0 < T \leq 1 \) and \( M > 0 \) small such that there is a unique solution \( F = \mu + \sqrt{\rho} \hat{f} \geq 0 \) with

\[
\hat{E}_{2;2}(\hat{f})(t) + \int_0^t \hat{D}_{2;2}(\hat{f})(s) ds \lesssim \hat{E}_{2;2}(0) \lesssim M.
\]

In general, if \( 0 \leq t \leq T \), there exists an increasing continuous function \( P_{m,l}(\cdot) \) with \( P_{m,l}(0) = 0 \) such that

\[
\hat{E}_{m;l}(\hat{f})(t) + \int_0^t \hat{D}_{m;l}(\hat{f})(s) ds \lesssim P_{m;l}(\hat{E}_{m;l}(f_0)).
\]
3. Linear decay theory

The linearized Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system for the perturbation takes the form

\( \{ \partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x \} f_{\pm} + 2 \{ E \cdot v \} \sqrt{\mu} + L_{\pm} f = g_{\pm}, \)

(3.1)

\[ -\Delta \phi = \int \sqrt{\mu} [f_+ - f_-] dv, \]

(3.2)

where in the case of (1.7) the source term is of the form

\[ g_{\pm} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mp E \cdot \nabla_v f_{\pm} + \{ E \cdot v \} f_{\pm} + \Gamma_{\pm}(f, f). \]

Again we recall the definition \( E \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\nabla_x \phi. \)

3.1. Moment equations. In this section, we begin with the representation of the macroscopic projector \( P \) and then derive from the perturbed system some macroscopic balance laws and high-order moment equations.

Given any \( f(t, x, v) = [f_+, f_-] \), one can write \( Pf \) in (2.11) and (2.12) using the expansion \( Pf = [P_+ f, P_- f] \) as

\[ P_{\pm} f = \{ a_{\pm}(t, x) + b(t, x) \cdot v + c(t, x)(|v|^2 - 3) \} \mu^{1/2}. \]

(3.3)

Of course \( P \) is a projection from \( L^2_v \times L^2_v \) to \( \mathcal{N} \), where the coefficient functions \( a_{\pm}(t, x), b(t, x) \equiv [b_1(t, x), b_2(t, x), b_3(t, x)] \) and \( c(t, x) \) depend on \( f(t, x, v) \).

Since the projection \( P \) is orthogonal we have

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \psi(v) \cdot \{ I - P \} f dv = 0, \quad \forall \psi = [\psi_+, \psi_-] \in \mathcal{N}. \]

This together with the form (3.3) of \( P \) imply

\[ a_{\pm} = \langle \mu^{1/2}, f_{\pm} \rangle = \langle \mu^{1/2}, P_{\pm} f \rangle, \]

\[ b_t = \frac{1}{2} \langle v_t \mu^{1/2}, f_+ + f_- \rangle = \langle v_t \mu^{1/2}, P_{\pm} f \rangle, \]

\[ c = \frac{1}{12} \langle (|v|^2 - 3) \mu^{1/2}, f_+ + f_- \rangle = \frac{1}{6} \langle (|v|^2 - 3) \mu^{1/2}, P_{\pm} f \rangle. \]

In the rest of this section we will derive the equations for these macroscopic variables and also the high-order moments as follows.

First consider the linearized system with a non-homogeneous source \( g(t, x, v) = [g_+, g_-] \) as in (3.1) and (3.2). Taking velocity integrations of (3.1) with respect to the velocity moments

\[ \mu^{1/2}, \quad v_i \mu^{1/2}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad \frac{1}{6} \langle |v| - 3 \rangle \mu^{1/2}, \]

one has

\[ \partial_t a_{\pm} + \nabla_x \cdot b + \nabla_x \cdot \langle v \mu^{1/2}, \{ I_{\pm} - P_{\pm} \} f \rangle = \langle \mu^{1/2}, g_{\pm} \rangle, \]

\[ \partial_t [b_t + \langle v_t \mu^{1/2}, \{ I_{\pm} - P_{\pm} \} f \rangle] + \partial_t (a_{\pm} + 2c) \mp 2 E_t \]

(3.4)

\[ + \nabla_x \cdot \langle v \mu^{1/2}, \{ I_{\pm} - P_{\pm} \} f \rangle = \langle v \mu^{1/2}, g_{\pm} - L_{\pm} f \rangle, \]

\[ \partial_t \left[ c + \frac{1}{6} \langle (|v|^2 - 3) \mu^{1/2}, \{ I_{\pm} - P_{\pm} \} f \rangle \right] + \frac{1}{3} \nabla_x \cdot b \]

(3.5)

\[ + \frac{1}{6} \nabla_x \cdot \langle (|v|^2 - 3) v \mu^{1/2}, \{ I_{\pm} - P_{\pm} \} f \rangle = \frac{1}{6} \langle (|v|^2 - 3) \mu^{1/2}, g_{\pm} - L_{\pm} f \rangle, \]

(3.6)
where we have set \(I = [I_+, I_-]\) with \(I_\pm f = f_\pm\).

We next define the following high-order moment functions \(\Theta(f_\pm) = (\Theta_{ij}(f_\pm))_{3 \times 3}\) and \(\Lambda(f_\pm) = (\Lambda_1(f_\pm), \Lambda_2(f_\pm), \Lambda_3(f_\pm))\) by

\[
\Theta_{ij}(f_\pm) = ((u_i v_j - 1)\mu^{1/2}, f_\pm), \quad \Lambda_i(f_\pm) = \frac{1}{10}((|v|^2 - 5)v_i \mu^{1/2}, f_\pm).
\]

Further taking velocity integrations of (3.11) with respect to the above high-order moments one has

\[
\partial_t \Theta_{ij}(\{I_\pm - P_\pm\}f) + 2c \partial_i b_1 = \Theta_{ii}(l_\pm + g_\pm), \\
\partial_t \Theta_{ij}(\{I_\pm - P_\pm\}f) + \partial_i b_1 + \partial_j b_2 + \nabla_x \cdot (v \mu^{1/2}, \{I_\pm - P_\pm\}f) = \Theta_{ij}(l_\pm + g_\pm), \quad i \neq j,
\]

\[
\partial_t \Lambda_i((I_\pm - P_\pm)f) + \partial_i c = \Lambda_i(l_\pm + g_\pm),
\]

where

\[
l_\pm = -v \cdot \nabla_x \{I_\pm - P_\pm\}f - L_\pm f.
\]

Here we used the moment values of the normalized global Maxwellian \(\mu\):

\[
(1, \mu) = 1, \quad (|v|^2, \mu) = 1, \quad (|v|^2, \mu) = 3, \\
(|v|^2|v_m|^2, \mu) = 1, \quad j \neq m, \\
(|v|^4, \mu) = 3, \quad (|v|^2|v|^2, \mu) = 5.
\]

Additionally to derive (3.9) we have used (3.4).

### 3.2. The linearized system with micro sources

In this section, we are concerned with time-decay properties of solutions to the Cauchy problem on the linearized Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system with microscopic sources.

Consider the Cauchy problem on the linearized system with a microscopic source \(g = g(t, x, v) = [g_+, g_-]\) as in (3.1) and (3.2), where \(g = \{1 - \mathcal{P}\}g\) and \([f_0, \phi_0]\) satisfies the equation (3.2) initially.

For simplicity, we write

\[
U = [f, \phi], \quad U_0 = [f_0, \phi_0].
\]

Formally, the solution to the Cauchy problem (3.1) and (3.2) is denoted by

\[
U(t) = U'(t) + U''(t), \\
U'(t) = \mathcal{A}(t)U_0, \quad U'' = [u', \phi'], \\
U''(t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{A}(t-s)[g(s), 0, 0]ds, \quad U''' = [u'', \phi''],
\]

where \(\mathcal{A}(t)\) is the linear solution operator for the Cauchy problem on the linearized homogeneous system corresponding to (3.1) and (3.2) with \(g = 0\).

Notice that \(U''(t)\) is well-defined because \([g(s), 0, 0]\) for any \(0 \leq s \leq t\) satisfies the compatibility condition (3.15) due to the fact that \(\mathcal{P}g(s) = 0\) and hence

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mu^{1/2} g_+(s) - g_-(s) dv = 0.
\]

We introduce the norms \(\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}, \|\cdot\|_{Z^\ell_r}\) with \(m \geq 0, \ell \in \mathbb{R}\), and \(r \geq 1\) given by

\[
\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2 \overset{df}{=} \|w_\ell f\|_{L^2_w(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 + \|\nabla_x \phi\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2, \quad \|U\|_{Z^\ell_r}^2 \overset{df}{=} \|w_\ell f\|_{L^r_x} + \|\nabla_x \phi\|_{L^r_x},
\]

for \(U = [f, \phi]\), we set \(L^2_t = \mathcal{H}^0_t\) and \(L^2 = \mathcal{H}^0\) as usual.
The main result of this section is stated as follows.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $1 \leq r \leq 2$, $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $m \geq 0$. $U$ is defined in (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14) as the solution to the Cauchy problem (3.1). Let $\sigma_{r,m}$ be given by

$$\sigma_{r,m} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{m}{2}.$$  

Then for any $j > 2\sigma_{r,m}$ we obtain that

$$\| A(t) U_0 \|_{H^p} \lesssim (1 + t)^{-\sigma_{r,m}} \| U_0 \|_{H^{p,j} \cap Z^{t+j}},$$

which will hold uniformly for any $t \geq 0$.

3.2.1. A time-frequency Lyapunov inequality. In this subsection, we shall construct the desired time-frequency Lyapunov functional as motioned before. The proof will be carried out along the similar line as in [5], but additional efforts need to be made to take care of the weak dissipation of the electromagnetic field.

**Estimate on the micro dissipation.**

The first step for the construction of the time-frequency Lyapunov functional is to obtain the micro dissipation on the basis of the coercivity property (2.1) of $L$.

Thus (3.1) - (3.2) also reads with $q_1 = |+1, -1|

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f - 2E \cdot v \mu^{1/2} q_1 + Lf = g, \\
-\Delta \phi = a_+ - a_-.
\end{array} \right.$$ $$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\partial_t \hat{f} + iv \cdot k \hat{f} - 2i \hat{\phi} \cdot \mu^{1/2} q_1 + L \hat{f} = \hat{g}, \\
\partial_t \left( a_+ - a_- \right) + ik \cdot \hat{j} = 0, \\
|k|^2 \hat{\phi} = a_+ - a_-.
\end{array} \right.$$ $$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\partial_t [\hat{f}]^2 + 2|k|^2 |\hat{\phi}|^2 + \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (L \hat{f} | \hat{f}) dv = \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\hat{g} | \hat{f}) dv.
\end{array} \right.$$ 

We now observe from (3.20) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_t [\hat{f}]^2 + 2|k|^2 |\hat{\phi}|^2 + \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (L \hat{f} | \hat{f}) dv = \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\hat{g} | \hat{f}) dv.$$ 

Observe that $|a_+ - a_-|^2 = |k|^4 |\hat{\phi}|^2$. Since $\Re (ik \cdot \hat{j} | \hat{\phi}) = \Re (i \hat{\phi} | k \cdot \hat{j})$, taking a summation of these two equalities gives

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_t [\hat{f}]^2 + 2|k|^2 |\hat{\phi}|^2 + \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (L \hat{f} | \hat{f}) dv = \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\hat{g} | \hat{f}) dv.$$ 

From (2.1), one has

$$\partial_t [\hat{f}]^2 + 2|k|^2 |\hat{\phi}|^2 + \lambda |(I-P) \hat{f}|^2 \leq \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\hat{g} | \hat{f}) dv,$$
for a properly small constant $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_0$.

Here, we remark that equation (3.21) is the main estimate for the construction of the time-frequency Lyapunov functional $\mathcal{E}(t, k)$. However, notice that for this time, the macroscopic part $P\hat{f}$ and $\dot{E}$ are not included in the dissipation rate of (3.21).

Next, based on the macroscopic balance laws and high-order moment equation obtained in Section 3.1 we shall introduce some interactive functional to capture the rest of the dissipation rate related to $P\hat{f}$ and $\dot{E}$.

**Weighted energy estimates**

In this section our first goal is to prove the following instantaneous Lyapunov inequality with a velocity weight $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$:

\[
(3.22) \quad \frac{d}{dt} w_\ell \{P \hat{f}\}^2(t, k) + \lambda \{I - P\} \hat{f}(t, k)_{\sigma, \ell}^2 \\
\lesssim \langle |k|^2 \hat{f}\rangle_{2,-1}^2 + \langle |I - P\hat{f}\rangle_{L^2(Bc)}^2 + \langle |k|^2 |\hat{\phi}|^2 + \langle w_\ell (I - P) \hat{g}, (I - P) \hat{f} \rangle \rangle.
\]

To this end, we now split the solution $\hat{f}$ to equation (3.20) into $f = Pf + \{I - P\}f$ and then apply $\{I - P\}$ to the resulting equation:

\[
\partial_t \{I - P\} \hat{f} + i v \cdot k \{I - P\} \hat{f} - \{I - P\} \left(2i \hat{\phi} k \cdot \nu_{1/2} q_1 \right) + L \{I - P\} \hat{f} \\
= \{I - P\} \hat{g} - \{I - P\} (iv \cdot k \hat{f}) + P(i v \cdot k \{I - P\} \hat{f}).
\]

Multiply the last equation by $w_\ell \{I - P\} f$ and integrate in $v$ to obtain

\[
(3.23) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w_\ell \{I - P\} f\|^2_{L^2} + \text{Re} \langle w_\ell L \{I - P\} \hat{f}, \{I - P\} \hat{f} \rangle = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3,
\]

where $\Gamma_1 = \text{Re} \langle w_\ell \{I - P\} \hat{g}, \{I - P\} \hat{f} \rangle$ and

\[
\Gamma_2 = -\text{Re} \left\langle \{I - P\} (iv \cdot k \hat{f}), w_\ell \{I - P\} \hat{f} \right\rangle + \text{Re} \left\langle P (iv \cdot k \{I - P\} \hat{f}), w_\ell \{I - P\} \hat{f} \right\rangle.
\]

We furthermore define

\[
\Gamma_3 = \text{Re} \left\langle \{I - P\} \left(2i \hat{\phi} k \cdot \nu_{1/2} q_1 \right), w_\ell \{I - P\} \hat{f} \right\rangle.
\]

We will estimate each of the three terms in (3.23).

As a result of the rapid decay in the coefficients of (3.23) we obtain

\[
|\Gamma_2| \leq \eta \|P \hat{f}\|_{\sigma, \ell}^2 + C_\eta |k|^2 \left(\|w_{-j} \{I - P\} \hat{f}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|P \hat{f}\|_{L^2}^2\right),
\]

which holds for any small $\eta > 0$ and any large $j > 0$. Similarly

\[
|\Gamma_3| \leq \eta \|w_{-j} \{I - P\} \hat{f}\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\eta |k|^2 |\hat{\phi}|^2
\]

For the linear estimate, we invoke Lemma 9 in [23] to achieve the coercive bound

\[
\text{Re} \langle w_\ell L \{I - P\} \hat{f}, \{I - P\} \hat{f} \rangle \geq \lambda \|P \hat{f}\|_{\sigma, \ell}^2 - C \|I - P\|_{L^2(Bc)}^2
\]

We plug these last few estimates into (3.23) to obtain (3.22).

We furthermore remark, following the same procedure as above, that we get

\[
(3.24) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w_\ell \hat{f}\|^2_{L^2} + \lambda \hat{f}(t, k)_{\sigma, \ell}^2 \lesssim \|\hat{f}\|^2_{L^2(Bc)} + |k|^2 |\hat{\phi}|^2 + \text{Re} \langle w_\ell \hat{g}, \hat{f} \rangle
\]
In other words, if we multiply (3.20) by $w_{2k} f(t, k)$, integrate in $v$ and use the same estimates as in the last case it follows that we obtain (3.24).

**Estimate on the macro dissipation**

Let us apply those computations in Section 3.1. Taking the mean value of every two equations with ± sign for (3.3), (3.5), (3.6) and noticing $P g = 0$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{a_+ + a_-}{2} \right) + \nabla_x \cdot b &= 0, \\
\partial_t b_i + \partial_i \left( \frac{a_+ + a_-}{2} + 2c \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \partial_j \Theta_{ij}(\{I - P\} f \cdot [1, 1]) &= 0, \\
\partial_t c + \frac{1}{3} \nabla_x \cdot b + 3 \sum_{i=1}^{3} \partial_i \Lambda_i(\{I - P\} f \cdot [1, 1]) &= 0,
\end{align*}
$$

(3.25)

for $1 \leq i \leq 3$,

where moment functions $\Theta(\cdot)$ and $\Lambda(\cdot)$ are defined in (3.7), and we used the following facts

$$
\langle \mu^{1/2}, g_\pm \rangle = \langle ([1, 0] + [0, 1]) \mu^{1/2}, g \rangle = 0, \\
\langle v_i \mu^{1/2}, g_+ + g_- \rangle = \langle |v_i| \mu^{1/2}, g \rangle = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3, \\
\langle \frac{3}{6} (|v|^2 - 3) \mu^{1/2}, g_+ + g_- \rangle = \langle |v|^2, |v|^2 \mu^{1/2}, g \rangle = 0,
$$

due to $P g = 0$ and likewise for $L f = [L_+ f, L_- f]$ due to $P L f = 0$. Similarly, it follows from (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \left[ \frac{1}{2} \Theta_{ij}(\{I - P\} f \cdot [1, 1]) + 2c \delta_{ij} \right] &+ \partial_i b_j + \partial_j b_i = \frac{1}{2} \Theta_{ij}((l_+ + l_-) + (g_+ + g_-)), \\
\frac{1}{2} \partial_i \Lambda_i(\{I - P\} f \cdot [1, 1]) + \partial_i c &= \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_i((l_+ + l_-) + (g_+ + g_-)),
\end{align*}
$$

(3.26)

for $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$, where $l_\pm$ is still defined in (3.11), and $\delta_{ij}$ denotes as usual the Kronecker delta.

**Lemma 3.2.** There is a time-frequency functional $\mathcal{E}_{\text{int}}^{(1)}(t, k)$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\text{int}}^{(1)}(t, k) = \frac{1}{1 + |k|^2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left( (ik_i c) \right) + \Lambda_i(\{I - P\} f \cdot [1, 1])
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
&+ \frac{\kappa_1}{1 + |k|^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} (ik_i \hat{b}_j + ik_j \hat{b}_i) \left( \frac{1}{2} \Theta_{ij}(\{I - P\} f \cdot [1, 1]) + 2c \delta_{ij} \right) \\
&+ \frac{\kappa_2}{1 + |k|^2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left( ik_i \frac{\hat{a}_+ + \hat{a}_-}{2} \right),
\end{align*}
$$

(3.27)

with two properly chosen constants $0 < \kappa_2 \ll \kappa_1 \ll 1$ such that

$$
\partial_t \text{Re} \mathcal{E}_{\text{int}}^{(1)}(t, k) + \frac{\lambda |k|^2}{1 + |k|^2} \left( (a_+ + a_-)^2 + |\hat{b}|^2 + |\hat{c}|^2 \right) \lesssim |\{I - P\} f \hat{f}|_{2,-m} + |\hat{g}|_{2,-m}^2,
$$

(3.28)

holds for any $t \geq 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $m \geq 0$. 


Lemma 3.3. One has the following lemma. Note that here and hereafter (\(\nabla\))

\[
\partial_t (a_+ - a_-) + \nabla_x \cdot j = 0,
\]

\[
\partial_t j + \nabla_x (a_+ - a_-) - 4 \mathcal{E} + \nabla_x \cdot \Theta(\{I - P\} f \cdot q_1)
= \langle [v, -v] \mu^{1/2}, g - L\{I - P\} f \rangle.
\]

In order to further obtain the dissipation rate related to \(\hat{a}_\pm\) from the formula

\[
|\hat{a}_+|^2 + |\hat{a}_-|^2 = \frac{|a_+ + a_-|^2}{2} + \frac{|a_+ - a_-|^2}{2},
\]

we need to consider the dissipation of \(a_+ - a_-\). For that, taking difference of two

\[
(3.29) \quad \partial_t (a_+ - a_-) + \nabla_x \cdot j = 0,
\]

\[
(3.30) \quad \partial_t j + \nabla_x (a_+ - a_-) - 4 \mathcal{E} + \nabla_x \cdot \Theta(\{I - P\} f \cdot q_1)
= \langle [v, -v] \mu^{1/2}, g - L\{I - P\} f \rangle.
\]

Note that here and hereafter (\(\nabla_x \cdot \Theta\)) \(\partial_x \Theta(x)\). Together with

\[
(3.31) \quad - \Delta \phi = a_+ - a_-,
\]

one has the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** For any \(t \geq 0\) and \(k \in \mathbb{R}^3\), it holds uniformly that

\[
(3.32) \quad \frac{\partial_t \text{Re}(j \cdot ik(a_+ - a_-))}{(1 + |k|^2)} + \lambda |a_+ - a_-|^2 \lesssim |\{I - P\} \hat{f}|_2^2 - m + |\hat{g}|_2^2 - m.
\]

This inequality will be true for any \(m \geq 0\).

**Proof.** In fact, taking the Fourier transform in \(x\) for (3.29), (3.30) and (3.31) gives

\[
(3.33) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\partial_t (a_+ - a_-) + ik \cdot j = 0, \\
\partial_t \hat{j} + ik(a_+ - a_-) - 4 \hat{\mathcal{E}} + ik \cdot \Theta(\{I - P\} \hat{f} \cdot q_1) \\
|k|^2 \hat{\phi} = a_+ - a_-.
\end{array} \right.
\]

On one hand, notice from (3.33) (where recall \(\hat{\mathcal{E}} = -ik \hat{\phi}\)) that

\[
(ik(a_+ - a_-) - 4 \hat{\mathcal{E}} | ik(a_+ - a_-)) = (|k|^2 + 4)|a_+ - a_-|^2.
\]

On the other hand, it follows from (3.33) that

\[
(ik(a_+ - a_-) - 4 \hat{\mathcal{E}} | ik(a_+ - a_-))
= (-\partial_t \hat{j} - ik \cdot \Theta(\{I - P\} \hat{f} \cdot q_1) + [v, -v] \mu^{1/2}, \hat{g} - L\{I - P\} \hat{f}) | ik(a_+ - a_-))
= -\partial_t (\hat{j} | ik(a_+ - a_-)) + (\hat{j} | ik \partial_t (a_+ - a_-))
- (ik \cdot \Theta(\{I - P\} \hat{f} \cdot q_1) - [v, -v] \mu^{1/2}, \hat{g} - L\{I - P\} \hat{f}) | ik(a_+ - a_-)).
\]
Combining the above two equations and using (3.33), one has
\[ \partial_t (\hat{\mathbf{j}} | ik(a_+ - a_-)) + (4 + |k|^2) |a_+ - a_-|^2 = \left( \hat{\mathbf{j}} | k \cdot \hat{\mathbf{j}} \right) - \left( ik \cdot \Theta(\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} \hat{\mathbf{f}} \cdot q_1) - \langle [v, -v] \mu^{1/2}, \hat{g} - \mathbf{L} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) \hat{\mathbf{f}} \rangle | ik(a_+ - a_-) \right). \]

It follows using Cauchy’s inequality that
\[ \partial_t \text{Re}(\hat{\mathbf{j}} | ik(a_+ - a_-)) + \lambda (1 + |k|^2) |a_+ - a_-|^2 \leq |k \cdot \hat{\mathbf{j}}|^2 + C |k \cdot \Theta(\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} \hat{\mathbf{f}} \cdot q_1)|^2 + C |\langle [v, -v] \mu^{1/2}, \hat{g} \rangle|^2 + C |\langle [v, -v] \mu^{1/2}, \mathbf{L} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) \hat{\mathbf{f}} \rangle|^2 \lesssim (1 + |k|^2) |\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} \hat{\mathbf{f}}|_{2,-m}^2 + |\hat{g}|_{2,-m}^2. \]

Notice further that from (3.31), the dissipation rate in (3.32) can be rewritten as
\[ |a_+ - a_-|^2 = |k|^4 |\dot{\phi}|^2. \]

Then (3.32) holds by further dividing the previous inequality by $1 + |k|^2$. □

**Derivation of the time-frequency Lyapunov inequality**

Now, we are in a position to prove

**Theorem 3.4.** Let $U = [f, \phi]$ be the solution to the Cauchy problem (3.1) and (3.2) with $g = 0$. Then for $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a time-frequency functional $\mathcal{E}_\ell(t, \mathbf{k})$ such that
\[ \mathcal{E}_\ell(t, \mathbf{k}) \approx \|w_\ell \hat{f}\|_2^2 + |k|^2 |\dot{\phi}|^2, \]
such that for any $t \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we uniformly have
\[ \partial_t \mathcal{E}_\ell(t, \mathbf{k}) + \lambda (1 + |k|^2) \mathcal{E}_{\ell-1}(t, \mathbf{k}) \leq 0. \]

Above we use the notation $1 \land |k|^2 \overset{\text{def}}{=} \min\{1, |k|^2\}$.  

**Proof.** We initially consider the case when $\ell = 0$. Let
\[ \mathcal{E}_0(t, \mathbf{k}) \overset{\text{def}}{=} |\hat{f}|_2^2 + 2|k|^2 |\dot{\phi}|^2 + \kappa_3 \text{Re}(\mathcal{E}_{\text{int}}^{(1)}(t, \mathbf{k}) + \mathcal{E}_{\text{int}}^{(2)}(t, \mathbf{k})), \]
for a constant $\kappa_3 > 0$ to be determined later, where $\mathcal{E}_{\text{int}}^{(1)}(t, \mathbf{k})$ is given by (3.27) and $\mathcal{E}_{\text{int}}^{(2)}(t, \mathbf{k})$ is denoted by
\[ \mathcal{E}_{\text{int}}^{(2)}(t, \mathbf{k}) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\left( \hat{\mathbf{j}} | ik(a_+ - a_-) \right)}{(1 + |k|^2)}. \]

One can then fix $\kappa_3 > 0$ small enough such that (3.35) holds true for $\ell = 0$. The rest is to check (3.36). In fact, the linear combination of (3.21), (3.28), (3.32) and (3.33) according to the definition (3.37) implies
\[ \partial_t \mathcal{E}_0(t, \mathbf{k}) + \lambda |\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} \hat{f}|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda |k|^2}{1 + |k|^2} \left( |\dot{a}_\pm|^2 + |\dot{b}|^2 + |\dot{c}|^2 + |k|^2 |\dot{\phi}|^2 \right) \leq 0, \]
that is
\[ \partial_t \mathcal{E}_0(t, \mathbf{k}) + \lambda |\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}\} \hat{f}|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda |k|^2}{1 + |k|^2} \left( |\mathbf{P} \hat{f}|_{2,-1}^2 + |k|^2 |\dot{\phi}|^2 \right) \leq 0, \]
since one has

\[ |\hat{a}_k|^2 + |\hat{b}_k|^2 + |\hat{c}_k|^2 \sim |P\hat{f}|_{L^2}^2. \]

We split into $|k| \leq 1$ and $|k| \geq 1$; we use that when $|k| > 1$ then \( \frac{|k|^2}{1+|k|^2} \geq \frac{1}{2} \), and when $|k| \leq 1$ it holds that \( \frac{|k|^2}{1+|k|^2} \leq \frac{|k|^2}{2} \). We also use the inequality

\[ \mathcal{E}_{\ell=0}(t, k) \lesssim |(I - P)\hat{f}|_2^2 + |P\hat{f}|_{L^2}^2 + |k|^2|\hat{\phi}|^2. \]

Collecting these facts, then (3.36) follows in the case \( \ell = 0 \).

To do the weighted estimates (when $0 \neq \ell \in \mathbb{R}$), we use the energy splitting from [19] as follows. With (3.36) we define

\[ \mathcal{E}_0^0(t, k) \overset{\text{def}}{=} 1_{|k| \leq 1} \left( \mathcal{E}(t, k) + \kappa_4 |w_\ell (I - P)\hat{f}(t, k)|_2^2 \right), \]

\[ \mathcal{E}_1^0(t, k) \overset{\text{def}}{=} 1_{|k| > 1} \left( \mathcal{E}(t, k) + \kappa_5 |w_\ell \hat{f}(t, k)|_2^2 \right), \]

where $\kappa_4, \kappa_5 > 0$ will be determined just below. Here $1_A$ is the usual indicator function of the set $A$.

Now when $|k| \leq 1$, we choose $\kappa_4 > 0$ sufficiently small so that from (3.36) (with $\ell = 0$) and (3.22) (with $g = 0$) and (3.39) one has (3.36) (when $0 \neq \ell \in \mathbb{R}$) when $|k| \leq 1$ and $\partial_t \mathcal{E}_\ell(t, k)$ is replaced by $\partial_t \mathcal{E}_0^0(t, k)$.

Similarly when $|k| > 1$, we choose $\kappa_5 > 0$ sufficiently small so that adding (3.36) (with $\ell = 0$) to (3.21) (with $g = 0$) one has (3.36) (when $0 \neq \ell \in \mathbb{R}$) when $|k| > 1$ and $\partial_t \mathcal{E}_\ell(t, k)$ is replaced by $\partial_t \mathcal{E}_1^0(t, k)$.

Adding the two inequalities derived in the previous two paragraphs yields (3.36) (when $0 \neq \ell \in \mathbb{R}$).

3.2.2. Proof of time-decay of linear solutions. The proof of time decay of linear solutions is now obtained using the interpolation argument as in Section 2.3 of [19] and the energy inequalities in (3.36). We omit it, since it is the same as in [19].

4. Non-linear energy inequalities

In this section we prove a collection of non-linear energy inequalities for the local solution which was constructed in Section 3. These energy inequalities will be used later on in Section 5 to prove that the solutions are in fact global in time.

Notice that in this section we use $\int g$ to mean $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dx \, g$ if $g = g(x)$ and alternatively it means $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dv \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dx \, g$ when $g = g(x, v)$.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let $f_0 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3_0)$ and assume $f$ is the solution constructed in Theorem 2.6 with $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{2;2}(f) \leq M$. Then

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \int \sum_{\pm} \frac{(f_{\pm})^2}{2} + \int |\nabla \phi|^2 \right] + \int \langle Lf, f \rangle \]

\[ \lesssim \sqrt{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{2;2}(f)} \left( \| (I - P)f \|_2^2 + \| \nabla x \phi \|_2^2 + \| \nabla P f \|_2^2 \right). \]

**Proof.** We use (1.7) and also the continuity equation, e.g. (3.19), to obtain

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \int \sum_{\pm} \frac{(f_{\pm})^2}{2} + \int |\nabla \phi|^2 \right] + \int \langle Lf, f \rangle \]

\[ = \int \sum_{\pm} f_{\pm} (\pm \{E \cdot \nu\} f_{\pm} + \Gamma_{\pm}(f, f)). \]
For $\int \sum_{\pm} f_\pm(\pm \{E \cdot v\} f_\pm)$, we use the macro-micro decomposition to get
\[
\int \sum_{\pm} P f_\pm(\pm \{E \cdot v\} f_\pm)
\lesssim \|P f\|_{L^2} \|E\|_{L^2} \|v f\|_{L^2 L^2} \\
\lesssim \|\nabla_x P f\|_{L^2} \|E\|_{L^2} \left(\|v f\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_x f\|_{L^2}\right)
\lesssim \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{2:2}(f)} \left(\|\nabla_x \phi\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_x P f\|_2^2\right),
\]
and using (2.3) and then (2.6) we have
\[
\int \sum_{\pm} \{I - P\} f_\pm(\pm \{E \cdot v\} f_\pm)
\lesssim \|\|v\|-3/2\{I - P\} f\|_{L^2} \|E\|_{L^2} \|\{E \cdot v\} f\|_{L^2} \\
\lesssim \|\{I - P\} f\|_{\sigma} \left(\|\nabla_x E\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_x^2 E\|_{L^2}\right) \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{2:2}(f)} \\
\lesssim \|\|v\|\{I - P\} f\|_{\sigma} \left(\|E\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_x P f\|_{L^2}\right) \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{2:2}(f)} \\
\lesssim \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{2:2}(f)} \left(\|\|I - P\| f\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_x \phi\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_x P f\|_2^2\right).
\]
For $\int \sum_{\pm} f_\pm \Gamma_\pm(f, f) = \int \sum_{\pm} \{\{I - P\} f, f\} \Gamma_\pm(f, f)$, we use the well-known expansion
\[
\Gamma(f, f) = \Gamma(P f, P f) + \Gamma\{\{I - P\} f, P f\} + \Gamma(f, \{I - P\} f).
\]
Recall (5.3), we have
\[
\int \sum_{\pm} \{\{I - P\} f, P f\}
\lesssim \|\|a\| + |b| + |c|\|_{L^2} \|\{I - P\} f\|_{\sigma} \\
\lesssim \|\|a\| + |b| + |c|\|_{L^2} \|\{I - P\} f\|_{\sigma} \\
\lesssim \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{2:2}(f)} \left(\|\|I - P\| f\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_x P f\|_2^2\right).
\]
For $\int \sum_{\pm} \{\{I - P\} f, P f\}$, from Proposition 2.2 we have
\[
\int \sum_{\pm} \{\{I - P\} f, P f\}
\lesssim \|\|I - P\| f\|_{\sigma} \|\{I - P\} f\|_{L^2 L^2} \|P f\|_{L^2 L^2} \\
\lesssim \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{2:2}(f)} \left(\|\|I - P\| f\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_x P f\|_2^2\right).
\]
Finally for $\int \sum_{\pm} \{\{I - P\} f, f\}$, from Proposition 2.2 we have
\[
\int \sum_{\pm} \{\{I - P\} f, f\}
\lesssim \|\|I - P\| f\|_{\sigma} \|f\|_{L^2 L^2} \|\{I - P\} f\|_{\sigma} \lesssim \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{2:2}(f)} \|\{I - P\} f\|_2^2.
\]
This completes the proof of (4.1).
Lemma 4.2. Let \( f_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+^2) \) and assume \( f \) is the solution constructed in Theorem 2.7 with \( \tilde{E}_{2;2}(f) \leq M \). For any \( K \geq 2 \), and for \( 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq K \), we have

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \int \sum_{\pm} \frac{e^{\pm 2\phi} (\partial^\alpha f_{\pm})^2}{2} + \int |\nabla \partial^\alpha \phi|^2 \right] + \int (L \partial^\alpha f, \partial^\alpha f)
\]

\[
\lesssim \sum_{\pm} \int |\phi_1| (\partial^\alpha f_{\pm})^2 + \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{K,K}(f)} \left( \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq K} ||\partial^\alpha f||^2_\sigma + ||\nabla \phi||^2_\sigma \right).
\]

For \( |\alpha| = m \geq 3 \) and \( l \geq m \), we have for any \( \eta > 0 \),

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \int \sum_{\pm} \frac{e^{\pm 2\phi} (\partial^\alpha f_{\pm})^2}{2} + \int |\nabla \partial^\alpha \phi|^2 \right] + \int (L \partial^\alpha f, \partial^\alpha f)
\]

\[
\lesssim \sum_{\pm} \int |\phi_1| (\partial^\alpha f_{\pm})^2 + \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{2;2}(f)} \left( \int |\partial^\alpha f_{\pm}|^2 + ||\nabla \phi_{\pm}||^2_\sigma \right) + \eta \sum_{|\alpha|=m} ||\partial^\alpha f||^2_\sigma
\]

\[
+C_{m,\eta}[D_{2;2}(f)\tilde{E}_{m,l}(f) + \{1 + \tilde{E}_{m-1,l}(f)\}D_{m-1,l}(f)].
\]

Proof. By \([17]\) and the continuity equation \([3.19]\), we have

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \int \sum_{\pm} \frac{e^{\pm 2\phi} (\partial^\alpha f_{\pm})^2}{2} + \int |\nabla \partial^\alpha \phi|^2 \right] + \int (L \partial^\alpha f, \partial^\alpha f)
\]

\[
= \sum_{\pm} \int e^{\pm 2\phi} \phi_1 (\partial^\alpha f_{\pm})^2
\]

\[
+ 2 \sum_{\pm} \int e^{\pm 2\phi} \nabla_x \partial^\alpha f \cdot \nabla \phi_1 (e^{\pm 2\phi} - 1)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{\pm} \int (1 - e^{\pm 2\phi}) \partial^\alpha f L_{\pm} \partial^\alpha f
\]

\[
+ \sum_{\pm} \int e^{\pm 2\phi} \partial^\alpha f_{\pm} \partial^\alpha \Gamma_{\pm}(f,f)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{\pm, \alpha_1 < \alpha} C^{\alpha_1}_{\alpha} \int e^{\pm 2\phi} \partial^\alpha f_{\pm} \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla_x \phi \cdot \nabla \partial^{\alpha_1} f_{\pm}
\]

\[
- \sum_{\pm, \alpha_1 < \alpha} C^{\alpha_1}_{\alpha} \int e^{\pm 2\phi} \partial^\alpha f_{\pm} \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla_x \phi \cdot \partial^{\alpha_1} f_{\pm}.
\]

We have \( |1 - e^{\pm \phi}| \lesssim ||\phi||_\infty \lesssim \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{2;2}(f)} \lesssim \sqrt{M} \). We observe that

\[
\int e^{\pm 2\phi} \phi_1 (\partial^\alpha f_{\pm})^2 \lesssim \int |\phi_1| (\partial^\alpha f_{\pm})^2,
\]

\[
\int e^{\pm 2\phi} \nabla_x \partial^\alpha f \cdot \nabla \phi_1 (e^{\pm 2\phi} - 1) \lesssim \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{2;2}(f)} (||\nabla_x \partial^\alpha \phi||^2_\sigma + ||\partial^\alpha f||^2_\sigma).
\]

By Lemma 5 of \([11]\), we have

\[
\int e^{\pm 2\phi} \partial^\alpha f_{\pm} \partial^\alpha \Gamma_{\pm}(f,f) \lesssim \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{2;2}(f)} ||\partial^\alpha f||^2_\sigma.
\]

Now the rest of the proof for \(4.4\) is the same as the proof of Lemma 14 in \([10]\).
Now we focus on the proof of (4.3). We first deal with (4.9) and (4.10). We have

\[(4.9) + (4.10)\]

\[\int \left| \partial^\alpha f \right|_{|x|} \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla_x \phi \lesssim (\nu)^{3/2} \partial^\alpha f \lesssim dx.\]

When \(|\alpha| = 1\) and \(\alpha_1 = 0\), we place \(\partial^\alpha \nabla_x \phi \) in \(L^\infty_x\) and place the other two terms in \(L^2_x L^2_v\). Since \(||\partial^\alpha \nabla_x \phi||_\infty \lesssim ||\nabla_x^2 \phi||_2 + ||\nabla^4 \phi||_2 \lesssim ||\nabla_x f||_2^2 + ||\nabla^2_x f||_2^2\), we have the desired estimate in this case.

When \(|\alpha| = 2\) and \(\alpha_1 = 0\), we place the three factors in the expression of (4.14) in \(L^2_x L^2_v, L^2_x\) and \(L^4_x L^2_v\) respectively. This gives us the desired estimate in this case.

When \(|\alpha| \geq 3\) and \(\alpha_1 \geq 1\), we place the three factors in the expression of (4.14) in \(L^2_x L^2_v, L^2_x\) and \(L^4_x L^2_v\) respectively. This gives us the desired estimate in this case.

When \(|\alpha| \geq 3\) and \(K \geq |\alpha_1| \geq 1\), we place the three factors in the expression of (4.14) in \(L^2_x L^2_v, L^2_x\) and \(L^4_x L^2_v\) respectively. This gives us the desired estimate in this case.

Now we turn to the estimate of (4.8). We use (4.2) again. Recall (3.3), we have

\[\int \sum_\pm (\{I - P\}_\pm \partial^\alpha f \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \Gamma_\pm (P f, P f) \lesssim \sum_\pm ||\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1}[a, b, c]|| \partial^{\alpha_1}[a, b, c] ||L^2_v|| L^\infty_x ||\{I - P\}_\pm \partial^\alpha f||_\sigma.\]

When \(\alpha_1 = \alpha\), we place \(\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1}[a, b, c] \) in \(L^\infty_x\) and place \(\partial^{\alpha_1}[a, b, c] \) in \(L^2_v\). Since

\[||[a, b, c]||_{L^\infty_x} \lesssim \sqrt{\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{2,2}(f)},\]

and

\[||\partial^{\alpha_1}[a, b, c]||_{L^2_v} \lesssim ||\partial^\alpha f||_\sigma,\]

we have the desired estimate.

When \(1 \leq |\alpha - \alpha_1| \leq K - 1\), we place \(\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1}[a, b, c] \) in \(L^K_x\) and place \(\partial^{\alpha_1}[a, b, c] \) in \(L^0_x\). Since

\[||\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1}[a, b, c]||_{L^K_x} \lesssim \sqrt{\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{K, K}(f)},\]

and

\[||\partial^{\alpha_1}[a, b, c]||_{L^0_x} \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha'| = |\alpha_1| + 1} ||\partial^{\alpha'} f||_\sigma,\]

we have the desired estimate.

When \(\alpha_1 = 0\), we place \(\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1}[a, b, c] \) in \(L^K_x\) and place \(\partial^{\alpha_1}[a, b, c] \) in \(L^\infty_x\). This is the same as the first case. So we have the desired estimate.

For \(\int \sum_\pm \partial^\alpha \{I - P\}_\pm f \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \Gamma_\pm (\{I - P\}_f, P f)\), from Proposition 2.2 we have

\[\int \sum_\pm \partial^\alpha \{I - P\}_\pm f \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \Gamma_\pm (\{I - P\}_f, P f) \lesssim ||\partial^\alpha \{I - P\}_f||_{L^2_v} ||\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \{I - P\}_f||_{L^2_v} ||\partial^{\alpha_1} P f||_{L^2_v} ||L^2_v||.\]

When \(\alpha_1 = \alpha\), we place \(\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1}(I - P) f \) in \(L^\infty_x\) and place \(\partial^{\alpha_1} P f \) in \(L^2_v\). Since

\[||\{I - P\}_f||_{L^2_v}, ||L^\infty_x || \lesssim \sqrt{\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{2,2}(f)},\]
and \( \| \partial^\alpha P f \|_{L^2_{x}} \leq \| \partial^\alpha f \|_{\sigma} \), we have the desired estimate.

When \( 1 \leq |\alpha - \alpha_1| \leq K - 1 \), we place \( \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} (I - P) f \) in \( L^2_x \) and place \( \partial^\alpha P f \) in \( L^6_x \). Since
\[
\| \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} (I - P) f \|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{K;K}(f)},
\]
and \( \| \partial^\alpha P f \|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \sum |\alpha'| = |\alpha_1| + 1 \| \partial^{\alpha'} f \|_{\sigma} \), we have the desired estimate.

When \( \alpha_1 = 0 \), we place \( \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} (I - P) f \) in \( L^2_x \) and place \( \partial^\alpha P f \) in \( L^\infty_x \). Since
\[
\| \partial^{\alpha} (I - P) f \|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{K;K}(f)},
\]
and \( \| P f \|_{L^\infty_x} \lesssim \| \nabla x P f \|_2 + \| \nabla^2_x P f \|_2 \), we have the desired estimate.

Finally for \( \int \sum_{\pm} (\partial^{\alpha} (I - P) \pm f) \partial^\alpha \Gamma_{\pm}(f, (I - P) f) \), from Proposition 2.2 we have
\[
\int \sum_{\pm} \partial^{\alpha} (I - P) \pm f \partial^\alpha \Gamma_{\pm}(f, (I - P) f) \lesssim \| \partial^{\alpha} (I - P) f \|_{\sigma} \| \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} (I - P) f \|_{L^2_x} \| \partial^{\alpha_1} (I - P) f \|_{\sigma} \| L^2_x \|
\]
When \( \alpha_1 = \alpha \), we place \( \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f \) in \( L^\infty_x \) and place \( \partial^{\alpha_1} (I - P) f \) in \( L^2_x \). Since
\[
\| |f|_{L^2_x} \|_{L^\infty_x} \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{K;K}(f)},
\]
and \( \| \partial^{\alpha} (I - P) f \|_{\sigma} \|_{L^2_x} \| \| \partial^{\alpha} (I - P) f \|_{\sigma} \), we have the desired estimate.

When \( 1 \leq |\alpha_1| \leq K - 1 \), we place \( \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f \) in \( L^4_x \) and place \( \partial^{\alpha_1} (I - P) f \) in \( L^4_x \). Since
\[
\| \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f \|_{L^4_x} \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{K;K}(f)},
\]
and \( \| \partial^{\alpha_1} (I - P) f \|_{\sigma} \|_{L^2_x} \| \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha'| \leq K} \| \partial^{\alpha'} (I - P) f \|_{\sigma} \), we have the desired estimate.

When \( \alpha_1 = 0 \), we place \( \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f \) in \( L^2_x \) and place \( \partial^{\alpha_1} (I - P) f \) in \( L^\infty_x \). Since
\[
\| \partial^{\alpha} f \|_{L^2_x} \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{K;K}(f)},
\]
and \( \| |(I - P) f \|_{L^\infty_x} \| \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha'| \leq K} \| \partial^{\alpha'} (I - P) f \|_{\sigma} \), we have the desired estimate.

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.3. \( \square \)

Lemma 4.3. Let \( f_0 \in C^\infty_c (\mathbb{R}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_t^3) \) and assume \( f \) is the solution constructed in Theorem 2.2 with \( E_{2,2}(f) \leq M \). Then
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \int \sum_{\pm} \frac{1}{2} |(I - P) f_{\pm}|^2 + \int |\nabla \phi|^2 \right] + \int \langle Lf, f \rangle \lesssim \sqrt{E_{2,2}(f)} \left( \| |(I - P) f \|_{\sigma}^2 + \| \nabla_x (I - P) f \|_{\sigma}^2 + \| \nabla_x \phi \|_{\sigma}^2 + \| \nabla_x P f \|_{\sigma}^2 \right) + \eta \| |(I - P) f \|_{\sigma}^2 + C_0 \left( \| \nabla_x P f \|_{\sigma}^2 + \| \nabla_x (I - P) f \|_{\sigma}^2 \right).
\]

Above, \( \eta > 0 \) may be any small number.
Proof. By (4.17) and the continuity equation (3.19), we have

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \int \sum_{\pm} \frac{(I - P)f_{\pm}}{2} \right] + \left[ \int |\nabla f|^2 \right] + \int (Lf, f) \]

\[ = \int \sum_{\pm} \{I - P\} f_{\pm} \left\{ (\pm E \cdot v) f_{\pm} + \Gamma_{\pm}(f, f) - v \cdot \nabla_x f_{\pm} \mp E \cdot \nabla_x f_{\pm} \right\}. \]

For \( \int \sum_{\pm} \{I - P\} f_{\pm} \left\{ (\pm E \cdot v) f_{\pm} \right\} \), we have

\[ \int \sum_{\pm} \{I - P\} f_{\pm} \left\{ (\pm E \cdot v) f_{\pm} \right\} \]

\[ \lesssim \left( \int \sum_{\pm} |\langle v \rangle|^{1/2} \{I - P\}(vf_{\pm})^2 \right)^{1/2} \]

\[ \cdot \left( \int \sum_{\pm} |\langle v \rangle|^{-1/2} \{I - P\} f_{\pm} |^2 + \|E\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right) \]

\[ \lesssim \sqrt{\varepsilon^{2,2}}(f) \left( \|\{I - P\} f\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_x \phi\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_x Pf\|_2^2 \right). \]

In the last line above we have used (2.3) and (2.6) as in (4.16).

For \( \int \sum_{\pm} \{I - P\} f_{\pm} \left\{ \Gamma_{\pm}(f, f) \right\} \), the estimate is in the proof of Lemma 4.1.

For \( \int \sum_{\pm} \{I - P\} f_{\pm} \left\{ (v \cdot \nabla_x f_{\pm}) \right\} \), we have

\[ \int \sum_{\pm} \{I - P\} f_{\pm} \left\{ (v \cdot \nabla_x f_{\pm}) \right\} \]

\[ = \int \sum_{\pm} \{I - P\} f_{\pm} \left\{ (v \cdot \nabla_x P f_{\pm}) \right\} \]

\[ + \int \sum_{\pm} \{I - P\} f_{\pm} \left\{ (v \cdot \nabla_x (I - P) f_{\pm}) \right\} \]

\[ = \int \sum_{\pm} \{I - P\} f_{\pm} \left\{ (v \cdot \nabla_x P f_{\pm}) \right\} \]

\[ - \int \sum_{\pm} \{I - P\} f_{\pm} P \left\{ (v \cdot \nabla_x (I - P) f_{\pm}) \right\}. \]

We give bounds for the two terms above. For the first term in (4.17), we have

\[ \int \sum_{\pm} \{I - P\} f_{\pm} \left\{ (v \cdot \nabla_x P f_{\pm}) \right\} \]

\[ \lesssim \frac{1}{2} \eta \int \sum_{\pm} |\langle I - P \rangle f_{\pm} (v) - 1/2|^2 \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} C\eta \int \sum_{\pm} |\langle v \rangle|^{1/2} \{I - P\}(v \cdot \nabla_x P f_{\pm})|^2 \]

\[ \lesssim \frac{1}{2} \eta \|\{I - P\} f\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} C\eta \|\nabla_x P f\|_2^2. \]


For the second term in (4.17), we have

\[
\int \sum_{\pm} \{I - P\} f_{\pm} P(v \cdot \nabla_x \{I - P\} f_{\pm}) \lesssim \frac{1}{2} \eta \int \sum_{\pm} |\{I - P\} f_{\pm} (v)^{-1/2}|^2 \\
+ \frac{1}{2} C_\eta \int \sum_{\pm} |(v)^{1/2} P(v \cdot \nabla_x \{I - P\} f_{\pm})|^2 \\ \lesssim \frac{1}{2} \eta \|\{I - P\} f\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} C_\eta \|\nabla_x \{I - P\} f\|^2.
\]

(4.19)

We finally turn to \( \int \sum_{\pm} \{I - P\} f_{\pm} \{I - P\} (\mp E \cdot \nabla_v f_{\pm}) \). We have

\[
\int \sum_{\pm} \{I - P\} f_{\pm} \{I - P\} (\mp E \cdot \nabla_v f_{\pm}) \lesssim \left( \int \sum_{\pm} |(v)^{1/2} (\mp E \cdot \nabla_v f_{\pm})|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
\cdot \left( \int \sum_{\pm} |(v)^{-1/2} \{I - P\} f_{\pm}|^2 + \|E\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
\lesssim \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{2,2}(f) \left( \|\{I - P\} f\|^2 + \|\nabla_x \phi\|^2 + \|\nabla_x P f\|^2 \right)}.
\]

(4.20)

Combining (4.16), (4.18), (4.19), (4.20) and the estimate of \( \int \sum_{\pm} \{I - P\} f_{\pm} \{I - P\} (\Gamma_{\pm}(f, f)) \) in the proof of Lemma 4.1 gives (4.15). This completes of proof. \( \square \)

Lemma 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 deal with pure energy inequalities without weight. Now we turn to energy inequalities with a weight.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let \( f_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3_+) \) and assume \( f \) is the solution constructed in Theorem 2.5 with \( \tilde{E}_{2,2}(f) \leq M \). For any \( K \geq 2 \), and for \( 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq K \), with \( w(\alpha, \beta) = \langle v \rangle^{2(K-|\alpha|-|\beta|)} \), we have

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int \sum_{\pm} e^{\pm 2\phi} w^2 (\partial^\alpha f_{\pm})^2 \\
\lesssim \sum_{\pm} \int \left( \|\phi_t\|_\infty + \|\nabla_x \phi\|_\infty \right) w^2 (\partial^\alpha f_{\pm})^2 + \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{K,K}(f)} D_{K,K}(f) \\
+ \eta \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\sigma, w}^2 + C_\eta \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\sigma}^2 + \eta \|\nabla_x \phi\|_{\sigma}^2,
\]

(4.21)

where \( \eta > 0 \) can be arbitrary small.

**Proof.** We are going to use the computations in (2.16) through (2.41). The difference here is that we have \( f^{n+1} = f^n = f \), so we don’t have (2.43) and (2.44). Moreover since we don’t have a derivative with respect to the momentum variable \( v \), we don’t have (2.36). For (2.43), (2.47) and (2.48), we use the estimates (2.49), (2.53) and (2.48). Now we turn to (2.56) and (2.57). From the proof of Lemma 8
in \cite{10}, we have
\begin{equation}
(4.22) \quad -\int w^2 \partial^\alpha f_{\pm} \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \phi \partial^{\alpha_1} f_{\pm}
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\lesssim \int |w(v)|^{-1/2} |\partial^\alpha f_{\pm} | \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla \phi \cdot \langle v \rangle^{-2||\alpha||-|\alpha_1|-1} w(\alpha_1, 0) \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla \phi \partial^{\alpha_1} f_{\pm}
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\lesssim \int |\partial^\alpha f_{\pm}|_{\sigma, w} |\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla \phi | |\partial^{\alpha_1} f_{\pm}|_{\sigma, w} \frac{w(\alpha_1, 0)}{\langle v \rangle^{2||\alpha||-|\alpha_1|-1}} dx,
\end{equation}
and
\begin{equation}
\left| \int w^2 \partial^\alpha f_{\pm} \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla \phi \cdot v \partial^{\alpha_1} f_{\pm} \right|
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\leq \int |w(v)|^{-1/2} |\partial^\alpha f_{\pm} | \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla \phi \cdot \frac{w(\alpha_1, 0)}{\langle v \rangle^{2||\alpha||-|\alpha_1|-1}} \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \partial^{\alpha_1} f_{\pm} |
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\leq C_m \int |\partial^\alpha f_{\pm}|_{\sigma, w(\alpha, 0)} |\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla \phi | |\partial^{\alpha_1} f_{\pm}|_{\sigma, w} \frac{w(\alpha_1, \beta)}{\langle v \rangle^{2||\alpha||-|\alpha_1|-1}}.
\end{equation}

When $|\alpha_1| \geq 1$, we just use the estimates given in the proof of Lemma 8 in \cite{10}. When $\alpha_1 = 0$, we split $\nabla \phi f_{\pm}$ into $\nabla \phi P f_{\pm} + \nabla \phi \{I - P\} f_{\pm}$ and split $f_{\pm}$ into $P f_{\pm} + \{I - P\} f_{\pm}$. For the terms involving $\nabla \phi \{I - P\} f_{\pm}$ and $\{I - P\} f_{\pm}$, we use the same technique as in the proof of Lemma 8 in \cite{10}. All these estimates don’t involve the term $||P f||_{\sigma, w}$, so they are bounded by $\sqrt{\hat{E}_{K,K}(f) \tilde{D}_{K,K}(f)}$. The remaining terms to be estimated are
\begin{equation}
\int |w(v)|^{-1/2} |\partial^\alpha f_{\pm} | \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla \phi \cdot \langle v \rangle^{-2||\alpha||-|\alpha_1|-1} w(\alpha_1, 0) \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \nabla \phi \partial^{\alpha_1} P f_{\pm}|
\end{equation}
and
\begin{equation}
\int |w(v)|^{-1/2} |\partial^\alpha f_{\pm} | \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla \phi \cdot \frac{w(\alpha_1, 0)}{\langle v \rangle^{2||\alpha||-|\alpha_1|-1}} \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \partial^{\alpha_1} P f_{\pm}|
\end{equation}
We place $w(v)|^{-1/2} \partial^\alpha f_{\pm}$ and $\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla \phi$ in $L^2_x L^2_t$ and $L^2_x$ respectively. We then place $\langle v \rangle^{-2||\alpha||-|\alpha_1|-1} w(\alpha_1, 0) \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \partial^{\alpha_1} P f_{\pm}$ and $\frac{w(\alpha_1, 0)}{\langle v \rangle^{2||\alpha||-|\alpha_1|-1}} \langle v \rangle^{-3/2} \partial^{\alpha_1} P f_{\pm}$
in $L^2_x L^6_t$. With (4.24), we have the estimate:
\begin{equation}
(4.23) \quad (4.26) + (4.33) \lesssim \sqrt{\hat{E}_{K,K}(f) \tilde{D}_{K,K}(f)}.
\end{equation}
Now we turn to (4.39). By Lemma 8 in \cite{22}, we have
\begin{equation}
(4.24) \quad (4.39) \lesssim \sqrt{\hat{E}_{2,2}(f) \tilde{D}_{K,K}(f)}.
\end{equation}
Next we turn to (4.41). Again by Lemma 8 in \cite{22}, we have
\begin{equation}
(4.24) \quad (4.41) \lesssim \eta ||\partial^\alpha f||^2_{\sigma, w} + C_0 ||\partial^\alpha f||^2_{\sigma, w}.
\end{equation}
Finally we turn to (4.42). By Proposition 2.2 we have
\begin{equation}
(4.26) \quad (4.42) \lesssim \int \sum_{\alpha_1 \leq \alpha} ||\partial^\alpha f||_{2,w(0,0)} ||\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f||_{\sigma, w} ||\partial^{\alpha_1} f||_{\sigma, w} dx.
\end{equation}
When $|\alpha_1| \leq |\alpha|-2$, we place $||\partial^\alpha f||_{2,w(0,0)}$, $||\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f||_{\sigma, w}$ and $||\partial^{\alpha_1} f||_{\sigma, w}$ in spaces $L^\infty_x$, $L^2_x$ and $L^2_x$ respectively.
When $|\alpha| = |\alpha|_1 - 1$, we place $|\partial^{a_1} f|_{2,w(0,0)^{-1}}$, $|\partial^{a_1-\alpha_1} f|_{\sigma,w}$ and $|\partial^\alpha f|_{\sigma,w}$ in spaces $L^2_x$, $L^2_w$ and $L^2_t$ respectively.

When $|\alpha| = |\alpha|$, we split $\partial^{a_1-\alpha_1} f$ into $\partial^{a_1-\alpha_1} P f + \partial^{a_1-\alpha_1} \{I - P\} f$. For $\partial^{a_1-\alpha_1} \{I - P\} f$, we place $|\partial^{a_1} f|_{2,w(0,0)^{-1}}$, $|\partial^{a_1-\alpha_1} \{I - P\} f|_{\sigma,w}$ and $|\partial^\alpha f|_{\sigma,w}$ in spaces $L^2_x$, $L^\infty_x$ and $L^2_t$ respectively. For $\partial^{a_1-\alpha_1} P f$, we realize that

$$|\partial^{a_1} f|_{2,w(0,0)^{-1}} \lesssim |\partial^{a_1} f|_{\sigma,w}$$

and $|\partial^{a_1} P f|_{\sigma,w} \lesssim |\partial^{a_1} P f|_2$. So we still place $|\partial^{a_1} f|_{2,w(0,0)^{-1}}$, $|\partial^{a_1} P f|_{\sigma,w}$ and $|\partial^\alpha f|_{\sigma,w}$ in spaces $L^2_x$, $L^\infty_x$ and $L^2_t$ respectively. These estimates give

$$\left(4.27\right) \quad \left\| \xi^{\alpha,\beta}(f) \right\| \lesssim \left\| \tilde{\xi}_{K,K}(f) \right\| D_{K,K}(f).$$

Combing the estimates given above completes the proof of this lemma. \hfill $\Box$

**Lemma 4.5.** Assume $f_0 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3_x \times \mathbb{R}^3_v)$ and assume $f$ is the solution constructed in Theorem 3.3 with $\tilde{E}_{2,2}(f) \leq M$. For any $K \geq 2$, $w(\alpha, \beta) = (\nu)^{2(K - |\alpha| - |\beta|)}$, $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq K$ with $|\alpha| \leq K - 1$ we have

$$\left(4.28\right) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int \sum_{\pm} e^{\pm 2\phi} w^2 (\partial^{\alpha}_{\beta} \{I - P\} f_{\pm})^2 + ||\partial^{\alpha}_{\beta} \{I - P\} f||^2_{\sigma,w(\alpha, \beta)}$$

$$\lesssim \left\{ ||\phi||_\infty + ||\nabla_x \phi||_\infty \right\} \sum_{\pm} \int w^2 (\partial^{\alpha}_{\beta} \{I - P\} f_{\pm})^2$$

$$+ \tilde{\xi}_{K,K}(f) D_{K,K}(f) + \eta \tilde{D}_{K,K}(f) + \tilde{D}_{|\alpha| + |\beta| - 1, K}(f)$$

$$+ \eta ||\partial^{\alpha}_{\beta} \{I - P\} f_{\pm}||^2_{\sigma,w} + C_\eta ||\nabla_v^{[|\alpha| + 1]} f_{\pm}||^2_v + C_\eta ||\partial^\alpha \nabla_x \phi||^2,$$

where $\eta > 0$ can be arbitrarily small.

**Proof.** By \eqref{1.17}, we have using the macro-micro decomposition that

$$\partial_t \{I - P\} f_{\pm} + v \cdot \nabla_x \{I - P\} f_{\pm} \pm E \cdot \nabla_v \{I - P\} f_{\pm}$$

$$+ \{I - P\} \left( \mp 2 \{E \cdot v\} \right)$$

$$= -L_{\pm} f \pm \{E \cdot v\} \{I - P\} f_{\pm} + \Gamma_{\pm}(f, f)$$

$$\pm E \cdot P(v f_{\pm}) \pm (E \cdot v) P f_{\pm} - v \cdot \nabla_x P f_{\pm} + P(v \cdot \nabla_x f_{\pm})$$

$$\left(4.29\right) \quad \pm E \cdot \nabla_v P f_{\pm} \pm E \cdot P(\nabla_v f_{\pm}).$$

So we have
Now for (4.30) through (4.39), we can use the estimates given in the proof of Lemma

\begin{align}
\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \int e^{\pm 2\phi} w^2 \left( \partial_\alpha^+ (I - P) f_\pm \right)^2 \right\} = - \int \langle w^2 \partial_\beta^\alpha A(I - P) f, \partial_\alpha^+ (I - P) f_\pm \rangle \\
(4.31) = - \int e^{\pm 2\phi} w^2 \partial_\beta^\alpha \partial_\beta^\alpha (I - P) f_\pm \partial_\alpha^+ (I - P) f_\pm \\
(4.32) \pm \sum_{\alpha_1 < \alpha} C_{\alpha_1} \int e^{\pm 2\phi} w^2 \partial_\beta^\alpha (I - P) f_\pm \partial_\alpha^+ (I - P) f_\pm \\
(4.33) \pm \sum_{\alpha_1 < \alpha} C_{\alpha_1} \int e^{\pm 2\phi} w^2 \partial_\beta^\alpha (I - P) f_\pm \partial_\alpha^+ (I - P) f_\pm \\
(4.34) \pm \int \frac{2(\beta - |\beta|)}{1 + |v|^2} \nabla_x \phi \cdot v - \phi_\beta |e^{\pm 2\phi} w^2 (\partial_\beta^\alpha (I - P) f_\pm)^2 \\
(4.35) + C
\end{align}

where C in (4.35) is given by

\begin{align}
(4.36) C = \int w^2 (e^{\pm 2\phi} - 1) \partial^\alpha_\beta (I - P) f_\pm \partial_\alpha^+ A(I - P) f_\pm \\
(4.37) + 2 \int w^2 e^{\pm 2\phi} \partial^\alpha_\beta (I - P) f_\pm \partial_\alpha^+ (I - P) (\mp \{E \cdot v\} \sqrt{\mu}) \\
(4.38) + \int w^2 e^{\pm 2\phi} \Gamma^{\alpha \beta} (I - P) f_\pm \partial_\alpha^+ A(I - P) f_\pm \\
(4.39) + \int w^2 e^{\pm 2\phi} \partial_\beta^{\alpha_1} (f, f) \partial_\alpha^+ (I - P) f_\pm \\
\pm \sum_{\alpha_1 < \alpha} C_{\alpha_1} \int \left\{ e^{\pm 2\phi} w^2 \partial^\alpha_\beta (I - P) f_\pm \partial_\alpha^+ \nabla_x \phi \right\} \\
(4.40) \pm \sum_{\alpha_1 < \alpha} C_{\alpha_1} \int \left\{ e^{\pm 2\phi} w^2 \partial^\alpha_\beta (I - P) f_\pm \partial_\alpha^+ \nabla_x \phi \right\} \\
(4.41) \pm \int [\nabla \partial_\beta^{\alpha_1} (P f_\pm - \partial_\alpha^+ P (v \partial_\alpha^+ f_\pm)))] \\
(4.42) + \int e^{\pm 2\phi} w^2 \partial_\beta^{\alpha_1} (I - P) f_\pm \partial_\alpha^+ |P(v \cdot \nabla_x f_\pm) - v \cdot \nabla_x P f_\pm|.
\end{align}

Above

\[ \delta^\alpha_\beta = 1 \ if \ e_\alpha \leq \beta; \ or \ \delta^\alpha_\beta = 0, \ otherwise. \]

Now for (4.30) through (4.39), we can use the estimates given in the proof of Lemma

Note that we have

\[ \mathcal{D}_{K,K} ([I - P] f) \leq \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{K,K} (f). \]

For (4.40) and (4.41), we have two cases.

When \(|\alpha_1| \geq 1\), we have

\[ ||(v)^{-2} w \partial_\beta^\alpha (I - P) f_\pm ||_{L^2} \leq ||\partial_\beta^\alpha (I - P) f_\pm ||_{\sigma, v}, \]

\[ ||\partial_\alpha^+ \nabla_x \phi ||_{L^2} \leq \sqrt{\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{K,K} (f)}, \]

\[ \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{K,K} (f) \leq \mathcal{D}_{K,K} (f) \leq \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{K,K} (f). \]
and
\[
||w(v)^2 \partial_\beta [v \partial^{\alpha_1} P f_\pm - P(v \partial^{\alpha_1} f_\pm)]||_{L_x^2 L_t^6} + ||w(v)^2 \partial_\beta [\nabla_v \partial^{\alpha_1} P f_\pm - \partial^{\alpha_1}_\beta P(\nabla_v f_\pm)]||_{L_x^2 L_t^6} \\
\leq \sqrt{D_{K,K}(f)}.
\]
When \(\alpha_1 = 0\), we have
\[
||\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} \nabla_x \phi||_{L_x^1} \leq \sqrt{\hat{E}_{K,K}(f)},
\]
and
\[
||w(v)^2 \partial_\beta [v \partial^{\alpha_1} P f_\pm - P(v \partial^{\alpha_1} f_\pm)]||_{L_x^2 L_t^6} + ||w(v)^2 \partial_\beta [\nabla_v \partial^{\alpha_1} P f_\pm - \partial^{\alpha_1}_\beta P(\nabla_v f_\pm)]||_{L_x^2 L_t^6} \\
\leq ||\nabla_x P f||_{\sigma,\omega}
\]
\[
\leq \sqrt{D_{K,K}(f)},
\]
where we have used (2.4). These give the estimate of (4.40) and (4.41).

We finally turn to (4.42). We have
\[
||\langle v \rangle^{-2} w \partial_\beta \{I - P\} f_\pm||_{L_x^2 L_t^8} \leq ||\partial^{\alpha_1}_\beta \{I - P\} f_\pm||_{\sigma,\omega},
\]
and
\[
||w(v)^2 \partial^{\alpha_1}_\beta [P(v \cdot \nabla_x f_\pm) - v \cdot \nabla_x P f_\pm]||_{L_x^2 L_t^6} \leq ||\nabla^{\alpha_1+1}_x f_\pm||_{\sigma}.
\]
The above bounds give the desired estimate of (4.42). Combining the estimates of (4.40) through (4.42) completes the proof of this lemma. \(\square\)

The next three lemmas allow us to include more terms into our dissipation in our energy inequality. So in our final energy inequality, we can absorb many terms in the bounds of the lemmas already given in this section. Recall that
\[
\{\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x\} f_\pm + 2\{E \cdot v\} \sqrt{M} + L_{\pm} f = N_{\pm}(f),
\]
where
\[
N_{\pm}(f) \equiv \mp E \cdot \nabla_v f_\pm \pm \{E \cdot v\} f_\pm + \Gamma_{\pm}(f, f).
\]
The following lemma enables us to include the term \(||\nabla_x \phi||_2^2\) in our dissipation.

**Lemma 4.6.** Let \(f_0 \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^3_x \times \mathbb{R}^2_v)\) and assume \(f\) is the solution constructed in Theorem 2.7 with \(\hat{E}_{2,2}(f) \leq M\). Then we have
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int -\langle [v, v] \mu^{1/2}, \{I - P\} f \rangle \cdot E dx + \lambda\||E||_2 \]
\[
\lesssim ||\{I - P\} f||_{\sigma}^2 + ||\nabla_x f||_{\sigma}^2 + ||\langle [v, v] \mu^{1/2}, [N_+(f), N_-(f)] \rangle||_{L_x^4}^2,
\]
for some positive \(\lambda\).

**Proof.** By (3.35), we have
\[
\partial_t [b_i + \langle v_i \mu^{1/2}, \{I_{\pm} - P_{\pm}\} f \rangle] + \partial_i (a_{\pm} + 2c) \mp 2E_i
\]
\[
+ \nabla_x \cdot \langle vv_i \mu^{1/2}, \{I_{\pm} - P_{\pm}\} f \rangle = \langle v_i \mu^{1/2}, N_{\pm}(f) - L_{\pm} f \rangle.
\]
We take the difference between the above equation involving $f_+$ and the above equation involving $f_-$. We use the vector form for $v = [v_1, v_2, v_3]$. Thus

$$
\partial_t \langle [v, -v] \mu^{1/2}, (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) f \rangle + \nabla_x (a_+ - a_-) - 4E \\
+ \nabla_x \cdot \Theta((\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) f \cdot [1, -1]) = \langle [v, -v] \mu^{1/2}, N(f) \rangle.
$$

(4.46)

Here $N(f) = [N_+(f), N_- (f)]$. Now we take the dot product of (4.46) with $E$ and integrate with respect to $x$:

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \int -\langle [v, -v] \mu^{1/2}, (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) f \rangle \cdot \text{Edx} + 4\|E\|_2^2 \\
= \int \nabla_x (a_+ - a_-) \cdot \text{Edx} + \int \nabla_x \cdot \Theta((\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) f \cdot [1, -1]) \cdot \text{Edx} \\
(4.47) - \int \langle [v, -v] \mu^{1/2}, N(f) \rangle \cdot \text{Edx} - \int \langle [v, -v] \mu^{1/2}, (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) f \rangle \cdot \partial_t \text{Edx}.
$$

Notice that

$$
| \int \nabla_x (a_+ - a_-) \cdot \text{Edx} + \int \nabla_x \cdot \Theta((\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) f \cdot [1, -1]) \cdot \text{Edx} \\
- \int \langle [v, -v] \mu^{1/2}, N(f) \rangle \cdot \text{Edx} | \\
(4.48) \leq \eta \|E\|_2^2 + C_\eta |\nabla_x f|_2^2 + C_\eta \|\langle [v, -v] \mu^{1/2}, N(f) \rangle\|_2^2.
$$

For the last term in (4.47), we use the continuity equation (3.19). Observe that

$$
| \int \langle [v, -v] \mu^{1/2}, (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) f \rangle \cdot \partial_t \text{Edx} | \lesssim \|\langle [v, -v] \mu^{1/2}, (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) f \rangle\|_2^2.
$$

(4.49) combining (4.47), (4.48) and (4.49) gives (4.44). This completes the proof. \qed

Next we want to include the term $\|\nabla_x Pf\|_2^2$ in our dissipation. Now we redo (5.26) with $g_\pm = N_\pm$ and get

$$
\begin{cases}
\partial_t \left( \frac{a_+ + a_-}{2} \right) + \nabla_x \cdot b = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mu^{1/2}, N_+ + N_- \rangle, \\
\partial_t b_i + \partial_i \left( \frac{a_+ + a_-}{2} + 2c \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \partial_j \Theta_{ij}((\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) f \cdot [1, 1]) \\
= \frac{1}{2} \langle v_i \mu^{1/2}, N_+ + N_- \rangle, \\
\partial_t c + \frac{1}{3} \nabla_x \cdot b + \frac{5}{6} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \partial_i A_i((\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) f \cdot [1, 1]) \\
= \frac{1}{12} \langle \|v\|^2 - 3 \rangle \mu^{1/2}, N_+ + N_-,
\end{cases}
$$

(4.50)

for $1 \leq i \leq 3$.

We then redo (5.26) with $g_\pm = N_\pm$ and get

$$
\begin{cases}
\partial_t \left[ \frac{1}{2} \Theta_{ij}((\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) f \cdot [1, 1]) + 2c \delta_{ij} \right] + \partial_i b_j + \partial_j b_i \\
= \frac{1}{2} \Theta_{ij}((l_+ + l_-) + (N_+ + N_-)) + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \mu^{1/2}, N_+ + N_-), \\
\frac{1}{2} \partial_i A_i((\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) f \cdot [1, 1]) + \partial_i c = \frac{1}{2} A_i((l_+ + l_-) + (N_+ + N_-)),
\end{cases}
$$

(4.51)

for $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$. 

We also redo the computation for \(a_+ - a_-\) and get
\[
\tag{4.52} \partial_t (a_+ - a_-) + \nabla_x \cdot j = \langle \mu^{1/2}, N_+ - N_- \rangle,
\]
\[
\partial_j \sigma + \nabla_x (a_+ - a_-) - 4E + \nabla_x \cdot \Theta (\{I - P\}, f) = \langle [v, -v] \mu^{1/2} \rangle, N - L(I - P) f).
\]
Following the proof of (3.28) and (3.32) we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.7.** Let \(f_0 \in C^\infty_c (\mathbb{R}_+^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+^3)\) and assume \(f\) is the solution constructed in Theorem 2.5 with \(\hat{E}_{2,2} (f) \leq M\). There is a time-frequency functional \(E_{\text{int}}^{(1)} (t, k)\) defined by
\[
E_{\text{int}}^{(1)} (t, k) = \frac{1}{1 + \|k\|^2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left( \frac{1}{2} (ik_1 \hat{c} | \Lambda_i(\{I - P\}, f) \cdot [1, 1]) + \frac{\kappa_1}{1 + \|k\|^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} (ik_1 \hat{b}_j + ik_2 \hat{b}_i) \right),
\]
with two properly chosen constants \(0 < \kappa_2 < \kappa_1 < 1\) such that
\[
\frac{\partial_t \text{Re} E_{\text{int}}^{(1)} (t, k)}{\partial t} + \partial_t \text{Re} E_{\text{int}}^{(1)} (t, k) \leq \frac{\lambda \|k\|^2}{1 + \|k\|^2} \left( |\alpha| + |\beta| \right),
\]
\[
+ |\langle \tilde{N} , \mu^{1/2} \rangle |^{2} + |\langle \tilde{N} , v_i v_j \mu^{1/2} \rangle |^{2} + |\langle \tilde{N} , v_i v_j v_k \mu^{1/2} \rangle |^{2},
\]
holds for any \(t \geq 0 \) and \(k \in \mathbb{R}^3\).

The next lemma deals with the term \(|\langle \tilde{N} , v_i v_j \mu^{1/2} \rangle |^{2} + |\langle \tilde{N} , v_i v_j v_k \mu^{1/2} \rangle |^{2} + |\langle \tilde{N} , v_i v_j v_k \mu^{1/2} \rangle |^{2}\).

**Lemma 4.8.** Let \(f_0 \in C^\infty_c (\mathbb{R}_+^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+^3)\) and assume \(f\) is the solution constructed in Theorem 2.5 with \(\hat{E}_{2,2} (f) \leq M\). Let \(N \) be defined by (4.43). Let \(\tilde{\mu}\) denote any of the functions \(\mu^{1/2} , v_i \mu^{1/2} , v_i v_j \mu^{1/2} , v_i v_j v_k \mu^{1/2}\). For \(\alpha\) with \(|\alpha| \leq 2\), we have
\[
\tag{4.54} |\langle D^\alpha N, \tilde{\mu} \rangle |^{2} \leq \hat{E}_{2,2} (f) (\|\nabla_x f \|^2 + \|\nabla_y f \|^2).}
\]

**Proof.** We first deal with \(\hat{E}_{2,2} (f) \leq M\). We have
\[
|\langle D^\alpha N, \tilde{\mu} \rangle |^{2} \leq \hat{E}_{2,2} (f) (\|\nabla_x f \|^2 + \|\nabla_y f \|^2).
\]
When \(|\alpha - \alpha_1| = 0\), we place \(\hat{E}_{2,2} (f) \leq M\) and \(\hat{E}_{2,2} (f) \leq M\) \(\tilde{\mu}\) in the spaces \(L^2_x\) and \(L^\infty_x\) respectively. Notice that we use (2.33) to handle \(\hat{E}_{2,2} (f) \leq M\) in this case.

When \(|\alpha - \alpha_1| \geq 1\), we place \(\hat{E}_{2,2} (f) \leq M\) and \(\hat{E}_{2,2} (f) \leq M\) \(\tilde{\mu}\) in the spaces \(L^\infty_x\) and \(L^2_x\) respectively. Notice that we use (2.33) to handle \(\hat{E}_{2,2} (f) \leq M\) in this case.

Now we deal with \(\Gamma_\pm (f, f)\). By Lemma 7 of [11], we have
\[
|\langle \Gamma (\partial^\alpha f, \partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f) , \tilde{\mu} \rangle | \leq |\partial^\alpha f |^{2} |\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f |^{2}.
\]
When \( |\alpha - \alpha_1| = 0 \), we place \( |\partial^{\alpha_1} f|_2 \) and \( |\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f|_\sigma \) in the spaces \( L^2_x \) and \( L^\infty_x \) respectively and use (2.3) to handle \( |\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f|_\sigma \).

When \( |\alpha - \alpha_1| = 1 \), we place \( |\partial^{\alpha_1} f|_2 \) and \( |\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f|_\sigma \) in the spaces \( L^4_x \) and \( L^4_x \) respectively and use (2.3) to handle both terms.

When \( |\alpha - \alpha_1| = 2 \), we place \( |\partial^{\alpha_1} f|_2 \) and \( |\partial^{\alpha - \alpha_1} f|_\sigma \) in the spaces \( L^\infty_x \) and \( L^2_x \) respectively and use (2.3) to handle \( |\partial^{\alpha_1} f|_2 \).

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Now we are ready to give our energy inequalities.

**Proposition 4.9.** Let \( f_0 \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^3_x \times \mathbb{R}^3) \) and assume \( f \) is the solution constructed in Theorem 2.5 with \( \tilde{E}_{3,3}(f) \leq M \). Let \( w(\alpha, \beta) = (v)^{2(3 - |\alpha| - |\beta|)} \). Then there exist continuous energy functionals \( \zeta(t) \) and \( \zeta^h(t) \) such that \( \zeta \approx \tilde{E}_{3,3} \) and

\[
\zeta(t) \approx \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 3} ||\partial^\alpha \{I_\pm - P_\pm\} f(t)||_2 \omega(\alpha, \beta),
+ ||E(t)||_2^2 + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} \sum_{\pm} ||\partial^\alpha P_\pm f(t)||_2^2.
\]

Here \( \zeta \) is defined in (4.60) and \( \zeta^h \) is defined in (4.63). These functional satisfy

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \zeta + \lambda \tilde{E}_{3,3} \lesssim (||\phi_t||_\infty + ||\nabla_x \phi||_\infty) \zeta,
\]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \zeta^h + \lambda \tilde{D}_{3,3} \lesssim (||\phi_t||_\infty + ||\nabla_x \phi||_\infty) \zeta^h + ||\nabla_x P f||_2^2,
\]

where \( \lambda \) is some positive number.

**Proof.** Let

\[
\zeta_1 = \int \sum_{\pm \frac{f_0^2}{2}} + \int ||\nabla_x \phi||_\infty + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} \left( \int \sum_{\pm} e^{2(3 - |\alpha| - |\beta|)} ||\partial^\alpha f||_2^2 \right).
\]

By (2.3), Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, since \( \tilde{E}_{3,3}(t) \) is sufficiently small, we have

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \zeta_1 + \lambda_1^{(1)} \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} ||\partial^\alpha \{I - P\} f||_2^2
\]

\[
\lesssim ||\phi_t||_\infty \zeta_1 + \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{3,3} ||E||_2^2 + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} ||\partial^\alpha P f||_2^2},
\]

for some positive \( \lambda_1^{(1)} \). Let

\[
\zeta_2 = \zeta_1 + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} \eta_{\alpha}^{(2)} \int \sum_{\pm} e^{2(3 - |\alpha| - |\beta|)} ||\partial^\alpha f||_2^2
\]

\[
+ \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 3, |\alpha| \leq 2} \eta_{\alpha, \beta}^{(2)} \int \sum_{\pm} e^{2(3 - |\alpha| - |\beta|)} ||\partial^\alpha \{I - P\} f||_2^2.
\]
with $\eta_{\alpha}^{(2)}, \eta_{\alpha, \beta}^{(2)}$ suitably small. By Lemma 4.4, Lemma 4.5 and (4.58), we have

\begin{align}
\frac{d}{dt} \zeta + \lambda_1^{(2)} \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} ||\partial^\alpha \{I - P\} f||_\sigma^2 \\
+ \lambda_2^{(2)} (\eta) \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} ||\partial^\alpha f||_{\sigma, w}^2 + \lambda_3^{(2)} (\eta) \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 3, |\alpha| \leq 2} ||\partial^\alpha \{I - P\} f||_{\sigma, w}^2 \\
\lesssim (||\phi||_\infty + ||\nabla_x \phi||_\infty) \zeta + \sqrt{\tilde{E}}_{3, 3} \left( ||E||_2^2 + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} ||\partial^\alpha P f||_2^2 \right) + \eta \left( ||\nabla_x \phi||_2^2 + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} ||\partial^\alpha P f||_2^2 \right),
\end{align}

where $\lambda_i^{(2)}, 1 \leq i \leq 3$ are positive numbers and $\eta > 0$ can be arbitrarily small. Note that $\lambda_2^{(2)}$, $\lambda_3^{(2)}$ depend on $\eta$. We also make the observation that $\zeta_2 \approx \tilde{E}_{3, 3}$.

Now let

\begin{align}
\zeta = \zeta_2 + \eta_0^{(3)} \int ((v, -v) \mu^{1/2}, \{I - P\} f) \cdot Edx \\
+ \sum_{1 \leq l \leq 3} \eta_l^{(3)} \int |k|^{2(l-1)}((1 + |k|^2)\text{Re}c_l^{(1)}(t, k) + \text{Re}(|j| k(a_+ - a_-)))dk,
\end{align}

for suitable small positive numbers $\eta_l^{(3)}, 0 \leq l \leq 3$. Then $\zeta$ satisfies (4.56) by (4.59), Lemma 4.6, Lemma 4.7, Lemma 4.8 and the assumption $\tilde{E}_{3, 3}(f) \leq M$ for $M$ sufficiently small.

Now for (4.57), we let

\begin{align}
\zeta_1^h = \int \sum_{\pm} \frac{(\{I - P\} f_\pm)^2}{2} + \int |\nabla_x \phi|^2 \\
+ \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} C_\alpha \left( \int \sum_{\pm} e^{\pm 2\phi} (\partial^\alpha f_\pm)^2 \right)^{1/2} + \int |\nabla_x \partial^\alpha \phi|^2 \right),
\end{align}

for $C_\alpha > 0, 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3$ suitably large. Then by (2.41), Lemma 4.9 and Lemma 4.2, we have

\begin{align}
\frac{d}{dt} \zeta_1^h + \lambda_1^{(1)} \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} ||\partial^\alpha \{I - P\} f||_\sigma^2 \\
\lesssim ||\phi||_\infty \zeta_1^h + \sqrt{\tilde{E}}_{3, 3} (||E||_2^2 + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} ||\partial^\alpha P f||_2^2) + C||\nabla_x P f||_2^2,
\end{align}
for some positive \( \lambda h_1^{(1)} \). We then proceed exactly as in the previous case. We define

\[
(4.63) 
\zeta^h(t) = \xi^h + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} \eta^{(2)}_{\alpha} \int \sum_{\pm} \frac{e^{\pm \phi} u^2 (\partial^\alpha f_\pm)^2}{2}
+ \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 3, |\alpha| \leq 2} \eta^{(2)}_{\alpha, \beta} \int \sum_{\pm} \frac{e^{\pm \phi} u^2 (\partial_{\beta}^\alpha \{I - P\} f_\pm)^2}{2}
+ \eta^{(3)}_0 \int -((v, -v)|^{1/2}, \{I - P\} f) \cdot \partial_t E dx
+ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 3} \eta^{(3)}_j \int \mathbb{E} (1 + |k|^2) |k|^{(j-1)} E^{(1)}(t, k) dk.
\]

As in the previous construction, we again have (4.57). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Proposition 4.10.** Let \( f_0 \in C^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3) \) and assume \( f \) is the solution constructed in Theorem 2.3 with \( \mathcal{E}_{3,l}(f) \leq M \) for some \( l \geq 3 \). Let \( w(\alpha, \beta) = \langle v \rangle^{2(|(a| - |\beta|)} \). Then there exist continuous energy functionals \( \zeta^{h, l}(t) \approx \mathcal{E}_{3,l}(t) \) and

\[
\zeta^{h, l}(t) \approx \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 3} \sum_{\pm} ||\partial_{\beta}^\alpha \{I - P\} f(t)||_2^2 w(\alpha, \beta)
+ ||E(t)||_2^2 + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} \sum_{\pm} ||\partial^\alpha P f(t)||_2^2
\]

with

\[
(4.64) 
\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_{3,l} + \lambda \mathcal{D}_{3,l} \lesssim (||\phi_t||_{\infty} + ||\nabla_x \phi||_{\infty}) \zeta^{h, l},
(4.65) 
\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_{3,l} + \lambda \mathcal{D}_{3,l} \lesssim (||\phi_t||_{\infty} + ||\nabla_x \phi||_{\infty}) \zeta^{h, l} + ||\nabla_x P f||_2^2,
\]

where \( \lambda \) is some positive number.

**Proof.** With \( w(\alpha, \beta) = \langle v \rangle^{2(|(a| - |\beta|)} \), following the proof of (4.21) we obtain

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int \sum_{\pm} \frac{e^{\pm \phi} u^2 (\partial^\alpha f_\pm)^2}{2} + ||\partial^\alpha f||_2^2 w
\lesssim \int \{||\phi_t||_{\infty} + ||\nabla_x \phi||_{\infty}\} w^2 (\partial^\alpha f_\pm)^2 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{3,l}(f) \mathcal{D}_{3,l}(f)}
+ \eta ||\partial^\alpha f||_{\sigma, w}^2 + C_\eta ||\partial^\alpha f||_{\sigma}^2 + \eta ||\nabla_x \phi||_2^2,
\]

for \( 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3 \). Also (4.29) becomes

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int \sum_{\pm} \frac{e^{\pm \phi} u^2 (\partial_{\beta}^\alpha \{I - P\} f_\pm)^2}{2} + ||\partial_{\beta}^\alpha \{I - P\} f_\pm||_2^2 w(\alpha, \beta)
\lesssim \int \{||\phi_t||_{\infty} + ||\nabla_x \phi||_{\infty}\} w^2 (\partial_{\beta}^\alpha \{I - P\} f_\pm)^2
+ \mathcal{E}_{3,l}(f) \mathcal{D}_{3,l}(f) + \eta \mathcal{D}_{3,l}(f) + \mathcal{D}_{|\alpha| + |\beta| - 1,l}(f)
+ \eta ||\partial_{\beta}^\alpha \{I - P\} f_\pm||_2^2 + C_\eta ||\nabla_x \phi||_{\sigma}^2 + C_\eta ||\nabla_x \phi||_{\sigma}^2.
\]

(4.67)
for $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 3$ and $|\alpha| \leq 2$. Note that $C\|\partial^\alpha \nabla_x \phi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \eta\|E\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla_x^{\alpha+1} f\|_{L^2}^2$ by interpolation. Then the proof of Proposition 4.9 can be applied here. Under the assumption $\tilde{E}_{3;3}(f) \leq M$, we have (4.63) and (4.64).

5. PROOF OF OUR MAIN THEOREM

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. We start out with some basic estimates. The next lemma deals with the nonlinear term $N$.

Lemma 5.1. Let $N = [N_+, N_-]$ be defined as in (4.33). Then we have

$$\|N\|_{H^1} + \|N\|_{Z_1} \lesssim \tilde{E}_{3;3}(f).$$

Proof. We first deal with the terms $E \cdot \nabla_v f$ and $E \cdot vf$. We have

$$\|E \cdot \nabla_v f\|_{H^1} + \|E \cdot vf\|_{H^1} \lesssim (\|E\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla x E\|_{L^\infty}) (\|\nabla_v f\|_2 + \|\nabla_x \nabla_v f\|_2) + (\|E\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla x E\|_{L^\infty}) (\|vf\|_2 + \|v \nabla_x f\|_2) \lesssim \tilde{E}_{3;3}(f),$$

and

$$\|E \cdot \nabla_v f\|_{Z_1} + \|E \cdot vf\|_{Z_1} \lesssim (\|E\|_2 + \|\nabla x E\|_2) (\|\nabla_v f\|_2 + \|\nabla_x \nabla_v f\|_2) + (\|E\|_2 + \|\nabla x E\|_2) (\|vf\|_2 + \|v \nabla_x f\|_2) \lesssim \tilde{E}_{3;3}(f).$$

Now we turn to the term $\Gamma(f, f)$. Now, using (1.10), it suffices to estimate

$$\tilde{\Gamma}[g_1, g_2] \eqdef \partial_i \left( \{ \Phi^{ij} \ast [\mu^{1/2} g_1] \} \partial_j g_2 \right) - \{ \Phi^{ij} \ast [v_i \mu^{1/2} g_1] \} \partial_j g_2$$

$$- \partial_i \left( \{ \Phi^{ij} \ast [\mu^{1/2} \partial_j g_1] \} g_2 \right) + \{ \Phi^{ij} \ast [v_i \mu^{1/2} \partial_j g_1] \} g_2$$

This expansion can be found in Lemma 6 of [11]. Above and below we implicitly sum over indices $i$ and $j$ when they are repeated. Since $\Phi^{ij} \lesssim \frac{1}{\mu}$, we have

$$\Phi^{ij} \ast [\mu^{\delta} g] \lesssim (\|\Phi^{ij}\|_2)^2 \mu^{\delta/2} \|\mu^{\delta/2} g\|_2 \lesssim \|\mu^{\delta/2} g\|_{L^2}.$$}

Thus we have

$$\|\tilde{\Gamma}(f, f)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_v f\|_{L^2} + \|f\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_v f\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_v f\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_v f\|_{L^2}.$$}

Hence

$$\|\tilde{\Gamma}(f, f)\|_2 \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_v f\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_v f\|_{L^2} + \|f\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_v f\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_v f\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_v f\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_v f\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_v f\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_v f\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_v f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \tilde{E}_{3;3}(f),$$

where we have used (2.3) in the last line above.

Similarly, we have

$$\|\nabla_x \tilde{\Gamma}(f, f)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla_x f\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_x f\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla x f\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_v f\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla x \nabla_v f\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_v f\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_v f\|_{L^2} \|\nabla_x \nabla_v f\|_{L^2}.$$
and
\[ \|\nabla_x \tilde{\Gamma}(f, f)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla_x f\|_{L^2_x L^2_t} \|\nabla_v f\|_{L^2_x L^2_t} + \|\nabla_x f\|_{L^4_x L^8_t} \|\nabla^2_v f\|_{L^2_x L^4_t} + \|\nabla_x f\|_{L^2_x L^2_t} \|\nabla_v f\|_{L^2_x L^2_t} + \|\nabla_v f\|_{L^2_x L^2_t} \|\nabla_x f\|_{L^2_x L^2_t} \]
\[
\lesssim \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{3,3}(f),
\]
where we have used (2.3) and (2.5) in the last line above.

Finally we estimate \( \|\tilde{\Gamma}(f, f)\|_{Z_t} \) as follows:
\[
\|\tilde{\Gamma}(f, f)\|_{Z_t} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2_x L^4_t} \|\nabla_v f\|_{L^2_x L^2_t} + \|\nabla_v f\|_{L^2_x L^2_t} \|\nabla_x f\|_{L^2_x L^2_t} + \|\nabla_x f\|_{L^2_x L^2_t} \|\nabla_v f\|_{L^2_x L^2_t} \lesssim \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{3,3}(f).
\]
This completes the proof of Lemma 5.1.

The following two lemmas grant time decay of the energy, locally in time.

**Lemma 5.2.** Assume that for \( 0 < t \leq T \), \( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{3,3 + \frac{1}{4p'}}(f)(t) \leq M \) sufficiently small for some fixed \( p' \in (0, 1/2) \), and
\[
\int_0^T (\|\phi_t(s)\|_{\infty} + \|\nabla_x \phi(s)\|_{\infty}) ds \leq 1.
\]
We have for \( 0 < t \leq T \) that
\[
\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{3,3}(f(t)) \lesssim C_{p'}(1 + t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{3,3 + \frac{1}{4p'}}(f_0) + \|f_0\|_{Z_0}^2 \right).
\]

**Proof.** The proof of this lemma benefits from developments in \cite{19} Theorem 1.2. Recall the definition of \( \zeta \) from (4.60). Now for \( 1/2 > p' > 0 \), we define \( \zeta_{\text{low}} \) and \( \zeta_{\text{high}} \) as follows:
\[
\zeta_{\text{low}} = \int_{(v) < t'} \sum_{\pm} \frac{f^2}{2} + \int (\nabla_x \phi_t)^2 + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} \int_{(v) < t'} \sum_{\pm} e^{\pm 2\phi} (\partial^\alpha f_{\pm})^2
\]
\[+ \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 3, |\alpha| \leq 2} \eta_{\alpha, \beta}^{(2)} \int_{(v) < t'} \sum_{\pm} e^{\pm 2\phi} w^2 (\partial^\beta (\partial_{\parallel} f_{\pm}))^2
\]
\[
+ \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} \eta_{\alpha}^{(2)} \int_{(v) < t'} \sum_{\pm} e^{\pm 2\phi} w^2 (\partial^\alpha f_{\pm})^2,
\]
\[
\zeta_{\text{high}} = \int_{(v) \geq t'} \sum_{\pm} \frac{f^2}{2} + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} \int_{(v) \geq t'} \sum_{\pm} e^{\pm 2\phi} (\partial^\alpha f_{\pm})^2
\]
\[+ \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 3, |\alpha| \leq 2} \eta_{\alpha, \beta}^{(2)} \int_{(v) \geq t'} \sum_{\pm} e^{\pm 2\phi} w^2 (\partial_{\parallel} (\partial_{\parallel} \partial_{\parallel} f_{\pm}))^2
\]
\[+ \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} \eta_{\alpha}^{(2)} \int_{(v) \geq t'} \sum_{\pm} e^{\pm 2\phi} w^2 (\partial^\alpha f_{\pm})^2,
\]
Then we have \( \zeta \approx \zeta_{low} + \zeta_{high} \). From (5.9), we have

\[
\frac{\zeta_{low}(t)}{t^{p'}} \lesssim \frac{\|Pf\|_2^{p'}}{p'} + \tilde{D}_{3,3}(f)(t),
\]
for \( t > 1 \). Combining this and (4.64) gives

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \zeta(t) + \lambda pt^{p-1} \zeta(t) \lesssim (\|\phi_t\|_\infty + \|\nabla_x \phi\|_\infty) \zeta + t^{p-1} \|Pf\|_2^2 + t^{p-1} \zeta_{high}(t) + \lambda pt^{p-1} \|\nabla_x \phi 1_{\{t < 1\}}\|_2^2,
\]

where we defined \( \lambda = \frac{r}{p} \) with \( p = -p' + 1 > 0 \). Use the factor

\[
e^{-\lambda t^p + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda s^p} + s^{p-1} \zeta_{high}(s) + \lambda ps^{p-1} \|\nabla_x \phi 1_{\{s < 1\}}(s)\|_2^2} ds.
\]

Because of (5.7), (5.13) becomes

\[
\zeta(t) \lesssim e^{-\lambda t^p} \zeta(0) + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda s^p} + s^{p-1} \zeta_{high}(s) + \lambda ps^{p-1} \|\nabla_x \phi 1_{\{s < 1\}}(s)\|_2^2} ds.
\]

From (5.10), we have

\[
\zeta_{high}(t) \lesssim (1 + t)^{-\frac{2}{q'}} \tilde{D}_{3,3} + \frac{1}{m'}(f_0),
\]

where we have used (5.7) and (4.64).

Next we deal with the term \( \|Pf\|_2^2 \) in (5.14). We have

\[
f(t) = I_0(t) + I_1(t),
\]

with

\[
I_0(t) = \mathcal{A}(t)f_0, \quad I_1(t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{A}(t-s)N(f)(s)ds.
\]

Using (3.18) with \( m = 0, r = 1 \) and \( \ell = -b \geq 0 \) with \( b \) sufficiently large, we obtain

\[
\|\langle v \rangle^{-b}I_0(t)\|_2 \lesssim (1 + t)^{-\frac{2}{q'}} \|\langle v \rangle^{-b+j}f_0\|_{L^2_v \cap Z_1} \lesssim (1 + t)^{-\frac{2}{q'}} \|f_0\|_{L^2_v \cap Z_1},
\]

and

\[
\|\langle v \rangle^{-b}I_1(t)\|_2 \leq \int_0^t \|\langle v \rangle^{-b}\mathcal{A}(t-s)N(f)(s)\|_2 ds \lesssim \int_0^t (1 + t - s)^{-\frac{2}{q'}} \|\langle v \rangle^{-b+j}N(f)(s)\|_{L^2_v \cap Z_1} ds \lesssim \int_0^t (1 + t - s)^{-\frac{2}{q'}} \|N(f)(s)\|_{L^2_v \cap Z_1} ds.
\]

Define

\[
\zeta^\infty(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (1 + s)^{\frac{2}{q'}} \zeta(s).
\]
For $I_{1}(t)$, from [5.1] and the definition [5.17] of $\zeta^\infty(t)$, it holds that

$$
\| (v)^{-b} I_{1}(t) \|_2 \lesssim \int_{0}^{t} (1 + t - s)^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} \zeta(s) ds \\
\lesssim \zeta^\infty(t) \int_{0}^{t} (1 + t - s)^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} (1 + s)^{-\frac{\eta}{2}} ds \\
\lesssim \zeta^\infty(t) (1 + t)^{-\frac{\eta}{2}}.
$$

Here we used the decay estimates for the time integrals as in [21 Proposition 4.5].

Collecting the estimates on $I_{1}(t)$ and $I_{2}(t)$ above, with (5.15), implies

$$(5.18) \quad \| Pf(t) \|_2^2 \lesssim \| (v)^{-b} f(t) \|_2^2 \lesssim \| (v)^{-b} I_{0}(t) \|_2^2 + \| (v)^{-b} I_{1}(t) \|_2^2 \\
\lesssim (1 + t)^{-\frac{\eta}{2}} \| f_0 \|_{L^2_{x,v} \cap Z_1} + (1 + t)^{-\frac{\eta}{2}} [\zeta^\infty(t)]^2.
$$

For the term $\lambda p \| \nabla_x \phi_{1_{<1}} \|_2^2$, we have

$$(5.19) \quad \lambda p \| \nabla_x \phi_{1_{<1}} \|_2^2 \lesssim \lambda p (1 + t)^{-\frac{\eta}{2}} \zeta^\infty(t).
$$

Now we plug (5.18), (5.19) and (5.15) into (5.14) to obtain (5.8) since $M$ is sufficiently small and $\lambda$ can be chosen to be small. Note that we used Lemma [5.3].

**Lemma 5.3.** Assume that for $0 \leq t \leq T$, $\| f_0 \|_{Z_1} + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \tilde{E}_{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{p'}}(f)(t) \leq M$ sufficiently small for some fixed $p' \in (0, 1/2)$, and

$$
\int_{0}^{T} (\| \partial_t \phi(s) \|_\infty + \| \nabla_x \phi(s) \|_\infty) ds \leq 1.
$$

We have

$$
\| \partial_t \phi(t) \|_\infty + \| \nabla_x \phi(t) \|_\infty \\
\lesssim C_{p'} (1 + t)^{-\frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2}} \left( \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{p'}}(f_0)} + \| f_0 \|_{Z_1} \right).
$$

**Proof.** The proof of this lemma is similar to that of Lemma [5.2]. Recall the definition of $\zeta^h$ from [16.3]. Now for $1/2 > p' > 0$, we define $\zeta^h_{\text{low}}$ and $\zeta^h_{\text{high}}$ as follows:

$$
\zeta^h_{\text{low}} = \int_{(v) < p'} \sum_{\pm} \frac{1 - P}{2} \| f_\pm \|_{p'}^{2} + \int |\nabla_x \phi|^2 + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} C_{\alpha} \int_{(v) < p'} \sum_{\pm} e^{\pm 2\phi} (\partial^\alpha f_\pm)^2 \\
+ \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 3} \eta^{(2)}_{\alpha, \beta} \int_{(v) < p'} \sum_{\pm} \frac{e^{\pm 2\phi} w^2 (\partial^\alpha\partial^\beta (1 - P) f_\pm)^2}{2},
$$

$$
\zeta^h_{\text{high}} = \int_{(v) \geq p'} \sum_{\pm} \frac{1 - P}{2} \| f_\pm \|_{p'}^{2} + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3} C_{\alpha} \int_{(v) \geq p'} \sum_{\pm} e^{\pm 2\phi} (\partial^\alpha f_\pm)^2 \\
+ \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 3} \eta^{(2)}_{\alpha, \beta} \int_{(v) \geq p'} \sum_{\pm} \frac{e^{\pm 2\phi} w^2 (\partial^\alpha\partial^\beta (1 - P) f_\pm)^2}{2},
$$

and

$$
\zeta^h = \zeta^h_{\text{low}} + \zeta^h_{\text{high}}.
$$
Then we have $\zeta^h \approx \zeta^h_{low} + \zeta^h_{high}$. From (5.22), we have
\begin{equation}
\frac{\zeta^h_{low}(t)}{t^{p'}} \lesssim \tilde{D}_{3;3}(f)(t),
\end{equation}
for $t > 1$. Combining this and (4.37) gives
\begin{equation}
\frac{d}{dt}\zeta^h(t) + \lambda pt^{-1}\zeta^h(t) \leq (||\phi||_{\infty} + ||\nabla_x \phi||_{\infty})\zeta^h + ||\nabla_x Pf||_2^2 \\
+ t^{p-1}\zeta^h_{high}(t) + \lambda pt^{-1}||\nabla_x \phi 1_{t<1}||_2^2.
\end{equation}
Following the exact procedure used to obtain (5.14), we achieve
\begin{equation}
\zeta^h(t) \lesssim e^{-\lambda t^p}\zeta^h(0)
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
+ \int_0^t e^{-\lambda t^p + \lambda s^p} (||\nabla_x Pf||_2^2 + s^{p-1}\zeta^h_{high}(s) + \lambda ps^{p-1}||\nabla_x \phi 1_{s<1}||_2^2) \, ds.
\end{equation}
From (5.22), (5.47) and (1.61), we have
\begin{equation}
\zeta^h_{high}(t) \lesssim (1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{4} + p'} \tilde{\xi}_{3;3} + \frac{1}{tp}(f_0).
\end{equation}
Next we deal with the term $||\nabla_x Pf||_2^2$ in (5.26). It follows from Theorem 3.1 and (3.3) for $b \geq 0$ that
\begin{equation}
||\nabla_x Pf(t)||_2^2 \lesssim ||\langle \nu \rangle^{-b} \nabla_x Pf(t)||_2^2 \lesssim (1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} ||\langle \nu \rangle^{-b + j} f_0||_{Z_1}^2 \\
+ \int_0^t (1 + t - s)^{-\frac{3}{2}} ||\langle \nu \rangle^{-b + j} N(f)(s)||_{Z_1}^2 \, ds.
\end{equation}
Here $j$ is defined as in Theorem 3.1. We can take $b$ sufficiently large to make 
$-b + j < 0$. Since $3 + \frac{3}{4p'} = \frac{5}{2} + \frac{5}{4p'}$, we can apply Lemma 5.22 together with Lemma 5.1 and Proposition 4.5 in 21 to get
\begin{equation}
||\nabla_x Pf(t)||_2^2 \lesssim (1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} ||f_0||_{Z_1}^2 + \int_0^t (1 + t - s)^{-\frac{3}{2}} ||N(f)(s)||_{Z_1}^2 \, ds
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\lesssim (1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} ||f_0||_{Z_1}^2 + \int_0^t (1 + t - s)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \zeta(s) \, ds
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\lesssim (1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(||f_0||_{Z_1}^2 + (\tilde{\xi}_{3;3} + \frac{1}{tp}(f_0) + ||f_0||_{Z_1}^2)^2\right)
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\lesssim (1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(||f_0||_{Z_1}^2 + (\tilde{\xi}_{3;3} + \frac{1}{tp}(f_0) + ||f_0||_{Z_1}^2)\right).\end{equation}
where we have used the smallness of $M$ in the last line above. Define
\begin{equation}
\zeta^{h, \infty}(t) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} (1 + s)^{-\frac{3}{2} - p'} \zeta^h(s).
\end{equation}
For the term $\lambda p ||\nabla_x \phi 1_{t<1}||_2^2$, we have
\begin{equation}
\lambda p ||\nabla_x \phi 1_{t<1}||_2^2 \lesssim \lambda p (1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{2} + p'} \zeta^{h, \infty}(t).
\end{equation}
Now we plug (5.28), (5.30) and (5.27) into (5.26) and to obtain
\begin{equation}
\zeta^{h, \infty} \lesssim C_{p'} \left(\tilde{\xi}_{3;3} + \frac{1}{tp}(f_0) + ||f_0||_{Z_1}\right)^2.
\end{equation}
Note that we have used Lemma A.1 and Lemma A.3 in our integral.
Notice that \( \|\phi_t\|_\infty + \|\nabla_x \phi\|_\infty \lesssim \sqrt{\zeta^h(t)} \). So (5.21) follows from (5.31). \( \square \)

Here is a lemma which deals with the the mixed \( v \) and \( x \) derivative estimates.

**Lemma 5.4.** Let \( f_0 \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}_+^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+^3) \) and assume \( f \) is the solution constructed in Theorem 2.3. Assume for \( M > 0 \) sufficiently small, that \( \mathcal{E}_{3;1}(f) \leq M \).

1. For \( \ell \geq \frac{5}{2} + \frac{5}{4p^2} \), we have

\[
(5.32) \quad \mathcal{E}_{3;1}(f) + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_{3;1}(f) ds \lesssim \{\mathcal{E}_{3;1}(f_0) + \int_0^t \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 3} ||\partial^\alpha f||_\sigma^2 + \int_0^t ||\nabla_x \phi||_2^2 \nonumber
\]

\[
+ \int_0^t ||\partial^\alpha f||_\sigma^2 + \int_0^t ||\nabla_x \phi||_2^2 \nonumber
\]

\[
+ \int_0^t [\mathcal{D}_{3;1}(f) + ||\nabla \phi||_\infty + ||\partial_t \phi||_\infty] \mathcal{E}_{3;1}(f) ds \}.
\]

2. If \( m \geq 4 \) and \( \ell \geq \frac{5}{2} + \frac{5}{4p^2} \), we have

\[
(5.33) \quad \mathcal{E}_{m;1}(f) + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_{m;1}(f) ds \lesssim \{\mathcal{E}_{m;1}(f_0) + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_{m;1}(f) + ||\nabla_x \phi||_\infty + ||\partial_t \phi||_\infty \mathcal{E}_{m;1}(f) \nonumber
\]

\[
+ \int_0^t \sum_{|\alpha| = m} ||\partial^\alpha f||_\sigma^2 + \int_0^t ||\nabla_x \phi||_2^2 \nonumber
\]

\[
+ \int_0^t [\mathcal{D}_{m;1}(f) + 1] \mathcal{D}_{m;1}(f) \}.
\]

**Proof.** We use mostly the estimates given in the proof of Lemma 2.3. Actually (2.38) implies (5.33) already. For (5.32), the only difference is in the estimate of (2.42). By (2.19), we have

\[
(2.42) \lesssim \sum_{\alpha_1 \leq \alpha} \sum_{\beta_1 \leq \beta_1 \leq \beta} |\langle v \rangle|^{-\ell} \partial_{\beta_1} f_2 |\partial_{\beta}^\alpha f|_{\sigma, w(\alpha, \beta)} |\partial_{\beta}^\alpha f|_{\sigma, w(\alpha, \beta)}.
\]

When \( |\alpha_1| + |\beta_1| \leq 1 \), we place \( |\langle v \rangle|^{-\ell} \partial_{\beta_1} f_2 \) in \( L^\infty_x \). We place both \( |\partial_{\beta}^\alpha f|_{\sigma, w(\alpha, \beta)} \) and \( |\partial_{\beta}^\alpha f|_{\sigma, w(\alpha, \beta)} \) in \( L^2_x \).

When \( |\alpha_1| + |\beta_1| \geq 2 \), we place \( |\partial_{\beta}^\alpha f|_{\sigma, w(\alpha, \beta)} \) in \( L^\infty_x \). We place \( |\langle v \rangle|^{-\ell} \partial_{\beta_1} f_2 \) and \( |\partial_{\beta}^\alpha f|_{\sigma, w(\alpha, \beta)} \) in \( L^2_x \).

In both cases, we have

\[
(5.34) \quad (2.42) \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{3;1}(f) \mathcal{D}_{3;1}(f)} \lesssim \eta \mathcal{D}_{3;1}(f) + C_\eta \mathcal{E}_{3;1}(f) \mathcal{D}_{3;1}(f).
\]

Combining (5.34) and estimates given in the proof of Lemma 2.3 gives (5.32). \( \square \)

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** The strategy we use is from the proof of Theorem 1 in [10].

1. **Step 1. Global Small \( \mathcal{E}_{3;1} + \frac{\mathcal{D}_{3;1}}{\eta} \)** Solutions.
We denote
\[
T_* = \sup_{t \geq 0} \left\{ \tilde{E}_{3, \frac{5}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4p}}(f(t)) + \int_0^t D_{3, \frac{5}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4p}}(f) ds \leq M \right\}
\]
(5.35)
and
\[
\int_0^t (||\nabla_x \phi(s)||_\infty + ||\phi_t||_\infty) ds \leq \sqrt{M}.
\]
Clearly \(T_* > 0\) if \(E_{3, \frac{5}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4p}}(f_0)\) is sufficiently small from Theorem 2.4. Our goal is
to show \(T_* = \infty\) if we further choose \(E_{3, \frac{5}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4p}}(f_0)\) small.

For \(l \geq \frac{5}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4p}\), we take a large constant \(C \times (4.56) + (5.32)\) to get
\[
\tilde{E}_{3, l}(f) + \int_0^t D_{3, l}(f) ds
\]
(5.36) \(\lesssim \{\tilde{E}_{3, l}(f_0) + \int_0^t ||P f||_2^2 + \int_0^t ||D_{3, l}(f) + ||\nabla \phi||_\infty + ||\partial_t \phi||_\infty ||\tilde{E}_{3, l}(f) ds\}.
\]
By Lemma 5.2, we have
\[
\int_0^t ||P f||_2^2 \lesssim \int_0^t (1 + t)^{-\frac{5}{4}} \left( \tilde{E}_{3, \frac{5}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4p}}(f_0) + ||f_0||_{Z_1}^2 \right) dt
\]
(5.37)
\[\lesssim \tilde{E}_{3, \frac{5}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4p}}(f_0) + ||f_0||_{Z_1}^2,
\]
for \(t \leq T_*\). Since \(\int_0^{T_*} (||\partial_t \phi(s)||_\infty + ||\nabla_x \phi(s)||_\infty + D_{3, \frac{5}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4p}}(f)) ds \leq 1\), The Gronwall’s inequality such as Lemma 4 in [10] implies
\[
(5.38) \quad \tilde{E}_{3, \frac{5}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4p}}(f) + \int_0^t D_{3, \frac{5}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4p}}(f) ds \lesssim (\tilde{E}_{3, \frac{5}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4p}}(f_0) + ||f_0||_{Z_1}^2).
\]
From Lemma 5.3 we obtain
\[
\int_0^t \{||\nabla_x \phi(s)||_\infty + ||\partial_t \phi(s)||_\infty\} ds \lesssim \int_0^t C_P (1 + t)^{-\frac{5}{4} + \frac{\alpha}{4p}} \left( \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{3, \frac{5}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4p}}(f_0) + ||f_0||_{Z_1}}\right) ds
\]
\[\lesssim \sqrt{\tilde{E}_{3, \frac{5}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4p}}(f_0) + ||f_0||_{Z_1}}.
\]
Upon choosing the initial condition \(\sqrt{\tilde{E}_{3, \frac{5}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4p}}(f_0) + ||f_0||_{Z_1}}\) further small, we deduce that for \(0 \leq t \leq T_*\),
\[
\tilde{E}_{3, \frac{5}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4p}}(f(t)) + \int_0^t D_{3, \frac{5}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4p}}(f) ds \leq \frac{M}{2} < M
\]
and
\[
\int_0^{T_*} \{||\nabla_x \phi(s)||_\infty + ||\partial_t \phi(s)||_\infty\} ds \leq \frac{M}{2} < M.
\]
This implies that \(T_* = \infty\) and the solution is global. Then (1.16) and (1.17) follow
from Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3.

Step 2. Higher Moments and Higher Regularity. We shall prove (1.18) by an
induction of the total derivatives \(|\alpha| + |\beta| = m\). By (5.36), we know (1.18) is valid
when \(m = 3, l \geq \frac{5}{2} + \frac{5}{4p}\).
Assume (1.18) holds for $m - 1$. Recall (4.4). For $|\alpha| = m$, we have for any $\eta > 0$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \int \sum_{\pm} e^{\pm 2\phi \partial_x f_{\pm}} \right)^2 + \int |\nabla \partial^\alpha \phi|^2 + \int \langle L \partial^\alpha f, \partial^\alpha f \rangle$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{\pm} \int |\phi_\pm||\partial^\alpha f_{\pm}|^2 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_2(f)} \left( \int |\partial^\alpha f_{\pm}|^2 + ||\nabla_x \phi||^2 \right) + \eta \sum_{|\alpha| = m} ||\partial^\alpha f||^2 +$$

$$+ C_{m,\eta}[\mathcal{D}_{2;2}(f)\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{m;\ell}(f) + \{1 + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{m-1;\ell}(f)\}D_{m-1;\ell}(f)].$$

We note that from Lemma 7 of [11], we have

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m-1} ||\partial^\alpha N_{\pm}||^2 \lesssim C_m\{1 + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{m-1;\ell}(f)\}D_{m-1;\ell}(f).$$

From (4.38), we have

$$\int \mathcal{E}_{\text{int}}^{(1)}(t, k)(1 + |k|^2)|k|^{2(m-1)} dk$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| = m} ||\partial^\alpha f(t)||^2 + \sum_{|\alpha| = m-1} ||\partial^\alpha f(t)||^2$$

$$\leq \sum_{|\alpha| = m} ||\partial^\alpha f(t)||^2 + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{m-1;\ell}(f(t))$$

$$\leq \sum_{|\alpha| = m} ||\partial^\alpha f(t)||^2 + P_{m-1;\ell}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{m-1;\ell}(f_0))$$

by the induction hypothesis.

We take the time derivative of

$$\kappa_{m,\ell} \int \mathcal{E}_{\text{int}}^{(1)}(t, k)(1 + |k|^2)|k|^{2(m-1)} dk + \sum_{|\alpha| = m} \left[ \int \sum_{\pm} e^{\pm 2\phi \partial_x f_{\pm}} \right]^2 + \int |\nabla \partial^\alpha \phi|^2$$

for some small multiple $\kappa_{m,\ell}$ and apply Lemma 4.7 together with the inductive hypothesis to get

$$\sum_{|\alpha| = m} ||\partial^\alpha f||^2 + \sum_{|\alpha| = m} \int_0^t ||\partial^\alpha f||^2_{\sigma}$$

$$\lesssim \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{m;\ell}(f_0) + P_{m-1;\ell}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{m;\ell}(f_0)) + (\sqrt{M} + \eta) \int_0^t \sum_{|\alpha| = m} ||\partial^\alpha f||^2_{\sigma}$$

$$+ \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_{2;2}(f)\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{m;\ell}(f) + C_{t,m,\eta} \int_0^t \{1 + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{m-1;\ell}(f)\}D_{m-1;\ell}(f)$$

$$\lesssim \mathcal{E}_{m;\ell}(f_0) + (\sqrt{M} + \eta) \sum_{|\alpha| = m} ||\partial^\alpha f||^2_{\sigma} + \int_0^t \mathcal{D}_{2;2}(f)\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{m;\ell}(f)$$

$$+ C_{t,m,\eta}[1 + P_{m-1;\ell}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{m;\ell}(f_0))]P_{m-1;\ell}(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{m;\ell}(f_0))$$
Here $P_{m-1,t}$ is a continuous, increasing function from the induction hypothesis. For $M, \eta$ sufficiently small

\begin{equation}
\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \left\{ |\partial^{\alpha} f|^2 + \int |\nabla \partial^{\alpha} f|^2 \right\} + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \int_0^t \|\partial^{\alpha} f\|^2_{\sigma}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\lesssim C_{l,m} [1 + P_{m-1,t}(\tilde{E}_{m;l}(f_0))][\tilde{E}_{m;l}(f_0) + P_{m-1,t}(\tilde{E}_{m;l}(f_0)) + \int_0^t D_{2;2}(f,\tilde{E}_{m;l}(f)),
\end{equation}

where we have used $\tilde{E}_{m-1;l}(f_0) \leq \tilde{E}_{m;l}(f_0), P_{m-1,t}(\tilde{E}_{m-1;l}(f_0)) \leq P_{m-1,t}(\tilde{E}_{m;l}(f_0))$. Multiplying a large constant $\lambda, \mu, \rho, \sigma$, we obtain:

\begin{equation}
\tilde{E}_{m;l}(f) + \int_0^t D_{m;l}(f) \lesssim C_{l,m} [1 + P_{m-1,t}(\tilde{E}_{m;l}(f_0))][\tilde{E}_{m;l}(f_0) + P_{m-1,t}(\tilde{E}_{m;l}(f_0))]
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
+ C_{l,m} \int_0^t (D_{m-1;l}(f) + \|\nabla x \phi\|_\infty + ||\partial_t \phi||_\infty) \tilde{E}_{m;l}(f).
\end{equation}

We use Lemma 4 in [10] with

\begin{equation}
\int_0^t (D_{m-1;l}(f) + \|\nabla x \phi\|_\infty + ||\partial_t \phi||_\infty) ds \lesssim P_{m-1,t}(\tilde{E}_{m-1;l,q}(f_0))
\end{equation}

to get

\begin{equation}
\tilde{E}_{m;l}(f) + \int_0^t D_{m;l}(f) \lesssim C_{l,m} e^{C_{l,m}P_{m-1,t}(\tilde{E}_{m;l}(f_0))} [1 + P_{m-1,t}(\tilde{E}_{m;l}(f_0))][\tilde{E}_{m;l}(f_0) + P_{m-1,t}(\tilde{E}_{m;l}(f_0))]
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\equiv P_{m,t}(\tilde{E}_{m;l}(f_0)).
\end{equation}

This concludes the theorem for $f_0 \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. For a general datum $f_0 \in \mathcal{E}_{m;l}$ we can use a sequence of smooth approximation $f_0^k$ and take a limit.

**Appendix A. Time decay of certain integrals**

In this appendix we give some basic time decay estimates of certain integrals. The first lemma deals with the polynomial decay rate.

**Lemma A.1.** Suppose that $0 < p \leq 1$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu \geq 0$. Then

\begin{equation}
\int_0^t ds \ e^{-\lambda(t-s)^p}(1+s)^{-\mu} \leq C(\lambda, \mu, p)(1+t)^{1-p}(1+t)^{-\mu},
\end{equation}

where $C(\lambda, \mu, p) > 0$ only depends upon $\lambda$, $\mu$ and $p$.

**Remark A.2.** Note further that (for $t \geq 1$) we have the following lower bound

\begin{equation}
\int_0^t ds \ e^{-\lambda(t-s)^p}(1+s)^{-\mu} \geq \int_{t/2}^t ds \ e^{-\lambda(t-s)^p}(1+s)^{-\mu}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\geq 4^{1-p}(1+t)^{-\mu}(1+t)^{1-p} \int_{t/2}^t ds s^{p-1} e^{-\lambda(t-s)^p}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= \frac{4^{1-p}}{\lambda p} (1+t)^{-\mu}(1+t)^{1-p} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda t^p(1-2^{-p})}\right).
\end{equation}

We thus observe that the upper bound in Lemma A.1 is asymptotically sharp.
Proof of Lemma A.1. Without loss of generality it is safe to assume that $t \geq 1$. We split the integral as
\[ \int_0^t ds \, e^{-\lambda(t^p - s^p)}(1 + s)^{-\mu} = \int_0^{t/2} ds + \int_{t/2}^t. \]
For the first integral we have the crude estimate of
\[ \int_0^{t/2} ds \, e^{-\lambda(t^p - s^p)}(1 + s)^{-\mu} \leq te^{-\lambda t^p(1 - 2^{-p})}, \]
which will decay faster than any polynomial.

Now for the second integral we proceed as in Remark A.2:
\[ \int_{t/2}^t ds \, e^{-\lambda(t^p - s^p)}(1 + s)^{-\mu} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda p} (1 + t)^{-\mu}(1 + t)^{1-p} \int_{t/2}^t ds \, s^{p-1} e^{-\lambda(t^p - s^p)} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{\lambda p} (1 + t)^{-\mu}(1 + t)^{1-p} \left( 1 - e^{-\lambda t^p(1 - 2^{-p})} \right) \]
\[ \leq \frac{1}{\lambda p} (1 + t)^{-\mu}(1 + t)^{1-p}. \]
This completes the proof.
\[ \square \]

Lemma A.3. Suppose that $0 < p \leq 1$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu \geq 0$. Then
\[ \int_0^t ds \, s^{p-1} e^{-\lambda(t^p - s^p)}(1 + s)^{-\mu} \leq C(\lambda, \mu, p)(1 + t)^{-\mu} \]
where $C(\lambda, \mu, p) > 0$ only depends upon $\lambda$, $\mu$ and $p$. The proof of Lemma A.3 follows exactly as in the proof of Lemma A.1.
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