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Abstract
Multi-modal fusion is proven to be an effective method to improve the accuracy and robustness of speaker tracking, especially in complex scenarios. However, how to combine the heterogeneous information and exploit the complementarity of multi-modal signals remains a challenging issue. In this paper, we propose a novel Multi-modal Perception Tracker (MPT) for speaker tracking using both audio and visual modalities. Specifically, a novel acoustic map based on spatial-temporal Global Coherence Field (stGCF) is first constructed for heterogeneous signal fusion, which employs a camera model to map audio cues to the localization space consistent with the visual cues. Then a multi-modal perception attention network is introduced to derive the perception weights that measure the reliability and effectiveness of intermittent audio and video streams disturbed by noise. Moreover, a unique cross-modal self-supervised learning method is presented to model the confidence of audio and visual observations by leveraging the complementarity and consistency between different modalities. Experimental results show that the proposed MPT achieves 98.6% and 78.3% tracking accuracy on the standard and occluded datasets, respectively, which demonstrates its robustness under adverse conditions and outperforms the current state-of-the-art methods.

Introduction
Speaker tracking is the foundation task for intelligent systems to implement behavior analysis and human-computer interaction. To enhance the accuracy of the tracker, multi-modal sensors are utilized to capture richer information (Kılıç and Wang 2017). Among them, auditory and visual sensors have received extensive attention from researchers as the main senses for human to understand the surrounding environment and interact with others. Similar to the process of human multi-modal perception, the advantage of integrating auditory and visual information is that they can provide necessary supplementary cues (Xuan et al. 2020). Compared with the single-modal case, the utilizing of the complementarity of audio-visual signals contributes to improving tracking accuracy and robustness, particularly when dealing with complicated situations such as target occlusion, limited view of cameras, illumination changes, and room reverberation.
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which are difficult to adapt to random and diverse changes of target appearance. Furthermore, the likelihood calculation based on the color histogram or Euclidean distance is susceptible to interference from observation noise, which limits the performance of the fusion likelihood.

To solve those limitations, we propose to adopt an attention mechanism to measure the confidence of multiple modalities, which determines the effectiveness of the fusion algorithm. The proposed idea is inspired by the human brain’s perception mechanism for multi-modal sensory information, which integrates the data and optimizes the decision-making through two key steps: estimating the reliability of various sources and weighting the evidences based on the reliability (Zhang et al. 2016). Take the intuitive experience as an example: when determining a speaker’s position in a noisy and bright environment, we mainly use eyes; conversely, in a quiet and dim situation, we rely on sounds. Based on this phenomenon, we propose a multi-modal perception attention network to simulate the human perception system that is capable of selectively capturing valuable event information from multiple modalities. Figure 1 depicts the working process of the proposed network, in which the first two rows show the complementarity and consistency of audio and video modalities. In the third row, the image frame is obscured by an artificial mask to show the supplementary effect of the auditory modality when the visual modality is unreliable. Different from existing end-to-end models, the specialized network focuses on perceiving the reliability of observations from different modalities. However, the perception process is usually abstract, making it difficult to manually label quantitative tags. Due to the natural correspondence between sound and vision, necessary supervision is provided for audio-visual learning (Hu et al. 2020) (Afouras et al. 2021). Therefore, we design a cross-modal self-supervised learning method, which exploits the complementarity and consistency of multi-modal data to generate weight labels of perception.

Neural networks have been widely used in multi-modal fusion tasks, represented by Audio-Visual Speech Recognition (AVSR) (Baltrušaitis, Ahuja, and Morency 2018). However, except for preprocessing works such as target detection and feature extraction, neural network is rarely introduced to multi-modal tracking. This is because the positive samples in tracking task are simply random targets in the initial frame, resulting in a shortage of data to train a high-performing classifier. Therefore, using an attention network specifically to train the middle perception component provides a completely new approach to this problem. Another reason is that the heterogeneity of audio and video data makes it difficult to accomplish unity in the early stage of the network. Therefore, we propose the spatial-temporal Global Coherence Field (stGCF) map, which maps the audio cues to the image feature space through the projection operator of a camera model. To generate a fusion map, the integrated audio-visual cues are weighted by the perception weights estimated by the network. Finally, a PF-based tracker improved with the fusion map is employed to ensure smooth tracking of multi-modal observations.

All these components make up our Multi-modal Perception Tracker (MPT), and experimental results demonstrate that the proposed MPT achieves significantly better results than the current state-of-the-art methods.

In summary, the contributions of this paper are as follows:

- A novel tracking architecture, termed Multi-modal Perception Tracker (MPT), is proposed for the challenging audio-visual speaker tracking task. Moreover, we propose a new multi-modal perception attention network for the first time to estimate the confidence and availability of observations from multi-modal data.
- A novel acoustic map, termed stGCF map, is proposed, which utilizes a camera model to establish a mapping relationship between audio and visual localization space. Benefiting from the complementarity and consistency of audio-visual modalities, a new cross-modal self-supervised learning method is further introduced.
- Experimental results on the standard and occluded datasets demonstrate the superiority and robustness of the proposed methods, especially under noisy conditions.

Related Works

**Sound Source Localization.** As the preprocessing module of many applications, Sound Source Localization (SSL) has been extensively studied. Traditional microphone array-based acoustic sound source localization methods are based on Time Difference of Arrival (TDOA) (Cobos et al. 2020), steered beamforming (Chiariotti, Martarelli, and Castellini 2019), and high resolution spectral estimation (Yang et al. 2019). Among these, the Generalized Cross-Correlation (GCC) algorithm is a commonly used TDOA estimation method, which describes the similarity between signals received at two sensors. Its reduced computational intensity leads to shorter decision time and higher tracking efficiency. With the development of multi-modal technology, audio-visual learning is introduced to the SSL task. Aiming at the problem of sound source localization in visual scenes, a two-stream network structure with attention mechanism is designed (Senocak et al. 2019). The audio-visual category distribution matching method is developed to assist the selection and localization of the sounding object (Hu et al. 2020). Joint Deep Neural Networks (DNN) are proposed based on a probabilistic spatial audio model, including a visual DNN to localize candidate sound sources and an audio DNN to verify the localization of candidates (Masuyama et al. 2020). We improve the Global Coherence Field (GCF) method to extract audio features with both spatial and temporal cues under the guidance of visual information.

**Audio-Visual Tracking.** Commonly used methods are state-space approaches based on the Bayesian framework. Many works improve the PF architecture to integrate data streams from different modalities into a unified tracking framework. Among them, multi-modal observations are fused in a joint observation model, which is represented by improved likelihoods (Qian et al. 2019; Kılıç et al. 2015; Brutti and Lanz 2010). The tracking framework based on Extended Kalman Filter (EKF) realizes the fusion of an arbitrary number of multi-modal observations through dynamic
weight flow (Schymura and Kolossa 2020). Probability Hypothesis Density (PHD) filter is introduced for tracking an unknown and variable number of speakers with the theory of Random Finite Sets (RFSs). The analytical solution is derived by introducing a Sequential Monte Carlo (SMC) implementation (Liu et al. 2019). By analyzing the task as a generative audio-visual association model formulated as a latent-variable temporal graphical model, a variational inference model is proposed to approximate the joint distribution (Ban et al. 2019). An end-to-end trained audio-visual object tracking network based on Single Shot Multibox Detector (SSD) is proposed, where visual and audio inputs are fused by an add merge layer (Wilson and Lin 2020). Deep learning methods are less utilized in the audio-visual tracking task, leading to further research prospects.

**Attention-Based Models.** Recently, the attention mechanism has been widely used in several tasks (Duan et al. 2021b; Tang et al. 2021; Yang et al. 2021; Liu et al. 2021; Duan et al. 2021a; Tang et al. 2019; Xu et al. 2018). In visual object tracking, the Siamese network-based tracker is further developed by designing various attention mechanisms (Wang et al. 2018; Yu et al. 2020). Based on the MDNet architecture, two modules of spatial attention and channel attention are employed to increase the discriminative properties of tracking (Zeng, Wang, and Lu 2019). In audio-visual analysis, a cross-modal attention framework for exploring the potential hidden correlations of same-modal and cross-modal signals is proposed for audio-visual event localization (Xuan et al. 2020). For video emotion recognition, (Zhao et al. 2020) integrates spatial, channel and temporal attention into visual CNN, and temporal attention into audio CNN. In audio-visual speech separation, the attention mechanism is used to help the model measure the differences and similarities between the visual representations of different speakers (Li and Qian 2020). To the best of our knowledge, attention has not been studied on the audio-visual speaker tracking task. In this paper, a self-supervised multi-modal perception attention network is introduced to investigate the perceptive ability of different modalities on the tracking scene.

**Proposed Method**

In this work, we propose a novel tracking architecture with a multi-modal perception attention network for audio-visual speaker tracking. Figure 2 shows the overall framework of the proposed MPT, which consists of four main modules: audio-visual (AV) measurements, multi-modal perception attention network, cross-modal self-supervised learning, and PF-based multi-modal tracker.

**Audio-Visual Measurements**

Through audio-visual measurements, the corresponding cues are extracted from audio signals and video frames. To integrate multi-modal cues in the same state space, we map the audio cues to the same localization plane as visual cues.

**Audio Measurement.** The acoustic map that highlights the source positions can be accomplished through a coherence measure based on cross-power spectrum phase, such as the Generalized Cross Correlation with Phase Transform (GCC-PHAT) (Omologo and Svaizer 1997). On this basis, we introduce the stGCF method to extract the audio cues. Define $r^{PHAT}_{ik}(t, \tau)$ as the GCC-PHAT derived from microphone pair $(i, k)$. It shows a prominent peak where the delay $\tau$ is equal to the actual TDOA. Let $\tau_{ik}(p)$ denote the theoretical time delay of a generic point $p$ relative to the microphone pair $(i, k)$. For the set $\Omega$ of $M$ microphone pairs, the GCF value is defined as the average of the GCC-PHAT for each microphone pair belonging to $\Omega$:

$$r^{GCF}_{\Omega}(t, p) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{(i, k) \in \Omega} r^{PHAT}_{ik}(t, \tau_{ik}(p)).$$

Given a spatial grid with potential sound source positions, the GCF value represents the probability of the existence of a sound source at each position. To construct the spatial grid,
a pinhole camera model is utilized to project the 2D points on the image plane into a series of 3D points with different depths in 3D world coordinates, where the depth refers to the vertical distance from the 3D point to the camera’s optical center. Assuming that a set \( D \) with \( d \) depths is represented as \( D = \{ D_k, k = 1, ..., d \} \), given depth \( D_k \), the image-to-3D projection process is formulated as:

\[
p_{ijk}^{3d} = \Phi (t_{ij}^{2d}, D_k),
\]

where \( \Phi \) is the projection operator, \( i \) and \( j \) are the index of vertical and horizontal coordinate of the point, \( i = 1, ..., h \) and \( j = 1, ..., w \). A 2D sampling point set, \( P_k^{2d} = \{ p_{11}^{2d}, ..., p_{hw}^{2d} \} \), is constructed by sampling on the image plane. Through Eq. (2), \( P_k^{2d} \) is projected to multiple planes with different depths, \( P_k^{3d} \rightarrow \{ P_k^{3d}, k = 1, ..., d \} \), where \( P_k^{3d} \) is the sample set on the plane with the depth \( D_k \). The GCF map derived from \( P_k^{3d} \) is formulated as:

\[
\Omega(t, P_k^{3d}) = \begin{bmatrix} r(p_{11k}) & \cdots & r(p_{1ok}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r(p_{h1k}) & \cdots & r(p_{hok}) \end{bmatrix}_{h \times w},
\]

where \( r(p, k) \) is short for \( r_{\Omega}^{GCF}(t, p, k) \). Assuming that the peak of GCF map is at the \( k_{\text{max}} \)-th depth, the spatial GCF (sGCF) map at time \( t \) is defined as:

\[
\Omega(t, P_k^{3d}) = \Omega(t, P_{k_{\text{max}}}^{3d}).
\]

Due to the intermittent nature of speech and the continuity of the speaker’s movement, the speech signals over a period of visual cues at the current moment. Considering the signal in the time interval \( [t - m_1, t] \), the \( m_2 \) frames with largest peak values of sGCF maps are selected among \( m_1 + 1 \) frames. The stGCF map at time \( t \) is defined as:

\[
\Omega^{stGCF}(t, P_k^{3d}) = \{ \Omega^{stGCF}(t', P_k^{3d}) | t' \in T \},
\]

where \( T \) denotes the time set of the \( m_2 \) frames.

Visual Measurement. The tracking task aims to localize an arbitrary target selected in the first frame of the video, which makes it impossible to collect data in advance to train a specific detector for tracking. Therefore, the general deep metric learning method is introduced to train the model at the initial offline stage, which considers the tracking problem as the similarity measurement between a known target and the search area. A pre-trained Siamese network (Bertinetto et al. 2016) is employed in this module, which uses cross-correlation as the metric function completed by the convolution operation. The output response maps are equipped as visual cues, which can be formulated as:

\[
S(I_t) = \{ f(I_t, I_{ref}) | I_{ref} \in I \},
\]

where \( I_t \) is the current video frame, \( I_{ref} \) is the reference template which is the user-defined tracking target in the first frame, and \( I \) is the set of the reference templates with different scales. \( f(\cdot) \) denotes the metric function that outputs a representative score map. The \( S(I_t) \) reflects the probability of the tracking target at each position in the search image, which is consistent with the meaning of the stGCF maps referring to the audio cues.

Multi-Modal Perception Attention Network
Given the extracted audio and visual cues, the multi-modal perception attention network (see Figure 2) generates a confidence score map as a speaker location representation. The brain’s attention mechanism is able to selectively improve the transmission of information that attracts human attention, weighing the specific information that is more critical to the current task goal from abundant information. Inspired by this signal processing mechanism, a neural attention mechanism is exploited in this module to learn to measure the plausibility of multiple modalities.

To integrate the audio and visual cues, the stGCF maps \( \Omega^{stGCF} \) and visual response maps \( S(I_t) \) are normalized and reshaped into 3D matrix form, expressed as:

\[
R = [R_1, ..., R_{Dv}] \in \mathbb{R}^{U \times D_a},
\]

\[
S = [S_1, ..., S_{Dv}] \in \mathbb{R}^{U \times D_v},
\]

where \( U \) denotes the size of each input video frame, \( U = H \times W \). \( D_v \) is the dimension of the video cues, which depends on \( m_2 \) referring to temporal cues, and \( D_a \) is dimension of the video cues, which is determined by the number of \( I_{ref} \). The fused audio-visual cues, \( V = [R_1, ..., R_{Dv}, S_1, ..., S_{Dv}] \), are processed through a base network, which draws on the architecture of the channel attention module (Woo et al. 2018), where the channel corresponds to the observation extracted from the audio or visual modality. For each channel \( i \in \{ 1, ..., D_v + D_a \} \), the attention mechanism \( G_{att} \) generates a positive score \( \alpha_i \) to measure the reliability of the observation on the \( i \)-th channel.

The processing is formulated as:

\[
G_{att}(V) = [\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{D_v+D_a}] \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times (D_v + D_a)},
\]

where the score \( \alpha_i \), termed the perceptual weight, reflects the confidence level of the multi-modal cues measured according to the previous section. The \( \alpha_i \) is higher in reliable observations and turns to lower in ambiguous observations disturbed by background noise, room reverberation, visual occlusion, confusing background, etc. This gets benefits from the statistical features learned by the network from observation maps. Through this, the network exhibits the perceived ability to multi-modal observations, which describes the working interpretability of the proposed network.

Cross-Modal Self-Supervised Learning
The sensing capability accomplished by the network is an abstract process, which makes it impossible to label data artificially for essential supervision. To this end, a new cross-modal self-supervised learning strategy is proposed to train the network. The self-supervision includes a temporal factor and a spatial factor, which consider the temporal continuity of moving targets and the positional consistency in multi-modal observations, respectively. For the \( i \)-th channel, assuming that point \( p_{t+1}^{max} \) is the position of the peak of the feature map at time \( t \), the corresponding spatial factor of the observation on channel \( i \) is defined as the averaging operators in and across the multiple modalities. The cross-modal
the audio-visual cues attention measure output by the network is used to weight modal tracking through an improved PF algorithm. The attention network introduced above supports multi-modal tracking through an improved PF algorithm. The perception attention values of different modalities are fused in the map and used to weight particles in the update step of the PF. After diffusion, the value of the fusion map at the particle position is set as the new particle weight. Moreover, in order to utilize the global information of the fusion map, we simply improve the resampling step as well. At the beginning of each iteration, a group of the particles is reset to the peak position of the fusion map. Through the correction of the peak value, the tracking drift problem caused by the observation noise of some frames is avoided. The method is outstanding when the observation is severely disturbed by the environment noise.

**Experiments and Discussions**

**Datasets.** In this section, the proposed tracker is evaluated on the AV16.3 corpus (Lathoud, Odobez, and Gatica-Perez 2004), which provides true 3D mouth location derived from calibrated cameras and 2D measurements on the various images for systematic assessment. The audio data is recorded at the sampling rate of 16 kHz by two circular eight-element microphone arrays placed 0.8m apart on the table. The images are captured by 3 monocular color cameras installed in 3 corners of the room at 25Hz with size H × W = 288 × 360. The experiments are tested on seq08, 11, and 12, where a single participant wandered around, moved quickly, and spoke intermittently. Each set of experiments uses signals from two microphone arrays and an individual camera.

**Implementation Details.** Visual cues are generated by a pretrained Siamese network (Bertinetto et al. 2016) based on AlexNet backbone. Reference image set I contains two target rectangles with scales of 1 and 1.25, which are defined by users in the first frame. For audio measurement, the number of 2D sampling points in the horizontal and vertical directions on the image plane are w = 20 and h = 16. A 0.8m high table is placed in a (3.6 × 8.2 × 2.4)m room. Therefore, the sampling points located outside the room range and below the desktop are removed, which is in accord with the real situation and avoids the ambiguity caused by the symmetry of the circular microphone. The depths number of projected 3D points is set to d = 6. The speech signal is enframed to 40ms by a Hamming window with a frame shift of 1/2. The parameters to calculate stGCF are set to M = 120, m₁ = 15, m₂ = 5. Backbone of the attention network is MobileNetv3-large (Howard et al. 2019). The network is trained on single speaker sequences seq01, 02, 03, which contain more than 4500 samples. The parameters to generate self-supervised label are set to Dₐ = 5, Dᵥ = 2, n = 6. All models are trained for 20 epochs with batch size 16 and learning rate 0.01. Our method and comparison methods are based on Sampling Importance Resampling (SIR)-PF for tracking. The number of particles is set to 100. Our source codes are available at https://github.com/liyidi/MPT.

**Evaluation Metrics.** Mean Absolute Error (MAE) and the Accuracy (ACC) is used to evaluate performance of tracking methods. MAE calculates the Euclidean distance in pixel between the estimated position and the ground truth (GT), divided by the number of frames. ACC measures the percentage of correct estimates, whose error distance in pixel does not exceed 1/2 of the diagonal of the bounding-box of GT.
### Comparison Results.

The proposed MPT is compared with the uni-modal method and the state-of-the-art audio-visual methods, which are based on the PF architecture. The AO and VO methods are implemented based on the audio cues and visual cues proposed in the previous section. Furthermore, in order to verify the robustness of the tracker under interference conditions, we conducted comparative experiments on the occluded data. The occlusion area is artificially covered in the middle of the image (1/3 of the frame), which is used to simulate the situation where the field of view is limited or the camera viewfinder is obscured. In the sequences, the speaker walks behind the occluded area and then appears on the screen again. For better evaluation, we count the proportion of frames in each sequence where the target was occluded by the mask.

Comparison results are listed in Table 1. Firstly, the combination of audio and visual modalities shows great benefits for speaker tracking. On the standard dataset, the MAE of the proposed MPT is 4.34 in pixel, which is superior to the state-of-the-art. 2LPF has achieved accurate estimation by employing additional particle filters in audio and visual space, respectively. However, the calculation of fusion like-...
Figure 5: Visualization of the complementarity of multiple modalities. (a)-(c) are audio cues, (d)-(f) are visual cues, (g) is the fusion map generated according to perception weights. The two rows respectively represent the scene where the audio signal and the video observation are disturbed. The shadow in the middle of the image represents the invisible part.

Figure 6: Comparison of tracking results on the occluded sequence. Green rectangles are from the contrast method 2LPF, and red rectangles come from the proposed MPT method. The bottom row shows the fusion maps of corresponding frames.

ever, the stGCF method is affected by the geometric configuration of the camera and the microphone array, especially when the speaker is located on the line connecting the camera and the array. In addition, due to the directionality of the sound signal, the peak usually appears in a large highlighted area in the stGCF map, which provides an ambiguous search result. Nevertheless, the results we calculated using two microphone arrays are better than traditional methods, with the MAE decreasing from 80.15 to 28.40. Note that the result is not changed by visual occlusion.

In the last four sets of the ablation study, visual cues are added to evaluate the contribution of the attention mechanism. The enhancements made by AvgAtt shows the strength of audio-visual fusion, even if it works as a set of weights with the same value. By comparison, our MPAtt achieves higher performance gains. Compared with AvgAtt, the accuracy of MPAtt has increased by 21% and 19%, respectively, on the original dataset and the occlusion dataset. In addition, an improved PF is employed for tracking, which smoothes the trajectory through the time series model. The improved resampling method using the global maximum of the fusion map avoids the particles being restricted to the local optimum due to the target missing of individual frames.

Visualization Analysis. In this section, the audio-visual cues and fusion maps are generated as the heat map to visualize the sub-process of the proposed method, which demonstrates the interpretability of the perception attention network. As illustrated in Figure 5, in the sample in the first row, the speech is disturbed by the noise emitted by the chair, and in the sample in the second row, the face of the speaker is completely obscured. Nevertheless, the correct area in the fusion map is highlighted. This indicates that benefit from the network’s ability to perceive the state of each modality, the model can learn the corresponding perception weights by using the complementarity across the audio-visual cues. Figure 6 shows the robustness of our tracker, which can achieve continuous tracking when the field of view is limited. Since the auditory sense is not interfered by the visual distraction, audio cues hold dominance over such difficult samples. When the speaker walks to the occluded area, the tracker can roughly estimate the speaker’s position, which is beneficial to re-track when the target is visible again.

Conclusions

In this paper, we propose a novel multi-modal perception tracker for the challenging audio-visual speaker tracking task. We also propose a new multi-modal perception attention network and a new acoustic map extraction method. The proposed tracker utilizes the complementarity and consistency of multiple modalities to learn the availability and reliability of observations between various modalities in a self-supervised fashion. Extensive experiments demonstrate that the proposed tracker is superior over the current state-of-the-art counterparts, especially showing sufficient robustness under adverse conditions. Lastly, the intermediate process is visualized to demonstrate the interpretability of the proposed tracker network.
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