Sonographic evaluation of diaphragmatic dysfunction in COPD patients

Background: Diaphragmatic dysfunction is an important reason for dyspnea in COPD patients. But diaphragmatic dysfunction is difficult to evaluate. Ultrasound is an option. We measure sonographically the up- and downward movement of the lung silhouette on both hemidiaphragms. The aim of this prospective investigation was to compare this method with another sonographic method that visualizes the right hemidiaphragm directly and to compare the sonographic results with lung function parameters.

Methods and patients: Eighty participants – 20 healthy persons and 60 COPD patients – three groups each with 20 patients with COPD GOLD II, III, and IV – were investigated. The sonographic measurements of the diaphragms were performed. Lung function parameters, blood gases, and 6-minute walk test were also collected and compared to the sonographic results.

Results: The sonographic measurement of the lung silhouette was easy to perform in all study participants. The correlation between the sonographic methods measuring the right hemidiaphragmatic movement was strong (r=0.85). There was also a strong correlation between the demonstrated sonographic measurement of the up- and downward movement of the lung silhouette and the forced expiratory volume in the first second (r=0.83).

Conclusion: We demonstrated that the sonographic measurement of the movement of the lung silhouette is an easy way to establish diaphragmatic dysfunction in COPD patients; it can be done in all patients with reliable results for the right and the left hemidiaphragm.
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Introduction

COPD patients suffer from diaphragmatic dysfunction. There are different causes for this: a mechanical disadvantage due to overinflation of the lungs is the oldest known reason for diaphragmatic dysfunction in COPD patients. \cite{1,2,3,4,5} Remodeling, \cite{6} oxidative stress, \cite{7} and a reduction of myosin filaments due to a reduced protein production and an increased apoptosis of muscle cells are more recently recognized reasons for the diaphragmatic weakness. \cite{8,9}

The best available method, the gold standard, for the measurement of diaphragmatic dysfunction is the measurement of the transdiaphragmatic pressure after magnetic stimulation of the phrenic nerve. \cite{10,11,12,13,14,15,16} This test is invasive and time consuming, but it is independent of the patient’s cooperation. In real life, less invasive methods would be preferable. Chest radiography is not an option, and fluoroscopy is not really reliable and uses ionizing radiation. \cite{17} Computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging can produce better results, but these methods are expensive and computed tomography uses ionizing radiation. \cite{18,19} All these methods are not practical if repeated examinations are planned. An alternative method for the measurement of diaphragmatic dysfunction is sonography. There are various sonographic methods: for example, measurement of the increasing thickening of the diaphragm in the lung apposition zone during...
contraction;\textsuperscript{20–22} the downward movement of the left portal vein during inspiration;\textsuperscript{21,24} and the direct visualization of the diaphragm from anterior with the liver or the spleen used as ultrasound windows.\textsuperscript{23,24} The main disadvantages of all these sonographical methods are that they need the patient’s cooperation and that they have not really been evaluated. Which method of the sonographical ones might be the best has not yet been defined.

A different sonographic method has been preferred by us and other investigators for nearly 20 years.\textsuperscript{28–30} We do not visualize the diaphragm itself. We use the downward movement of the lung silhouette as a surrogate marker of the downward movement of both hemidiaphragms. Another important point in favor of this method is that it can easily be performed not only on the right hemidiaphragm but also on the left one.

Here we will demonstrate the sonographic method of the measurement of the distance of the up- and downward movement of the lung silhouette in COPD patients in GOLD state II, III, and IV and in healthy people.

**Methods**

We present here a prospective study performed between March and October 2012. The Marienkrankenhaus Ethics Committee of the hospital approved the study. All participating patients gave their informed written consent according to the Declaration of Helsinki. The study included 60 patients with COPD (20 patients each with COPD GOLD II, III, and IV) and 20 healthy volunteers. Exclusion criteria were exacerbations of COPD during the last 6 weeks, a blood gas pH $< 7.35$, other known pulmonary diseases besides COPD such as pleural effusion, pneumothorax, and phrenic nerve palsy, known cardiac insufficiency, and obesity (patients with a body mass index $> 30$).

All patients had to undergo pulmonary function tests including measurement of forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV1), vital capacity (VC), and residual volume (RV); carbon diffusion measurement, and measurement of ventilatory muscle strength (P0.1/Pimax); pulmonary blood gases were taken; and a 6-minute walk test and the sonographic examinations were done.

**Sonographic examinations**

**Lung silhouette method: measurement of the upward and downward movement of the lung silhouette in the scapular line**

All participants were evaluated in a sitting position. Ultrasound was performed with a Hitachi ultrasound system (Sono MR, EUB-7500 HV) using a 3.5 MHz curved probe. The transducer was placed at the lowest point of the lung silhouette in the scapular line (Figure 1). The participant was instructed to exhale as deeply as possible (to RV) and then to inhale deeply to total lung capacity. This maneuver was filmed, and afterward, the distance between the highest and the lowest point of the lung silhouette was measured (Figure 2A and B). This maneuver was performed on the right and the left side. For comparison between this method and the anterior method, only the right side value was used because the anterior method was only used on the right side because of the well-known difficulties on the left side with the anterior method.\textsuperscript{20,21,24,27,29} The median value was calculated.

**Anterior method: measurement of the up- and downward movement of the right diaphragmatic dome from anterior**

All participants were evaluated in a lying position. Ultrasound was performed with a Hitachi ultrasound system (Sono MR, EUB-7500 HV) using a 3.5 MHz curved probe. The transducer was placed in an area between anterior axillary line and midclavicular line and using the liver as ultrasound window directed toward the diaphragmatic dome. The participant was instructed to exhale as deeply as possible.
(to RV) and then to inhale deeply to total lung capacity. This maneuver was filmed, and afterward, the distance between the highest and the lowest point of the right hemidiaphragmatic dome was measured. This method was used only on the right side because of the known difficulties with the measurement on the left side with the spleen as ultrasound window.\textsuperscript{20,21,24,27,29}

### Data analysis

Data analysis was done with SPSS (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA), and correlation coefficient ($r$) was determined according to Spearman-rho.

### Results

Eighty participants were investigated. The selection of the participants was randomly done according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria until 20 participants were included into each group.

### Correlation between the sonographic methods

The correlation between the lung silhouette method (the measurement of the up- and downward movement of the lung silhouette in the scapular line – mean of both hemidiaphragms) and the anterior method (the direct visualization of the right diaphragmatic dome from the anterior axillary line) is strong: $r=0.85$ (Figure 3).

We also looked at the separate measurements of the diaphragmatic movement of the right and the left hemidiaphragm. In our study group, the correlation between both is strong: $r=0.89$.

Furthermore, we looked into the correlation between the two methods of the right hemidiaphragmatic movement in the different patient groups. In the COPD GOLD II patient group, the median distance of the up- and downward movement of the right hemidiaphragm measured with the lung silhouette method was 43 mm and measured with the anterior method was 46 mm. In the COPD GOLD III patient group, the respective results were 30 mm and 37 mm; in the GOLD IV patient group, 25 mm and 31 mm and in the control group 65 mm and 68 mm (Table 1).

### Diaphragmatic movement and other parameters

Additionally we were interested in the correlation of our median diaphragmatic movement measured with the silhouette method with other ventilator and respiratory...
parameters. The correlation of the diaphragmatic movement and FEV1 was strong: \( r = 0.83 \) (Figure 4).

The correlation with the VC was strong: \( r = 0.70 \). The correlation with the RV was strong: \( r = -0.71 \). The correlation with CO diffusion capacity was strong: \( r = 0.81 \). The correlation with P0.1/Pimax was moderate: \( r = -0.41 \). The correlation with \( pO_2 \) was weak: \( r = 0.13 \). The correlation with \( pCO_2 \) was moderate: \( r = -0.47 \). The correlation with pH was weak: \( r = 0.11 \). The correlation with breathing frequency was moderate: \( r = -0.47 \).

The correlation with distance walked in the 6-minute walk test was moderate: \( r = 0.67 \).

**Discussion**

We demonstrate our method of the evaluation of diaphragmatic dysfunction: the measurement of the upward and downward movement of the lung silhouette in the scapular line. This method is somewhat similar to the old fashioned method of lung percussion – now with technical support. We demonstrate that this method is easy to perform and produces reliable results in all of the selected 80 patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria.

We could demonstrate that this measurement of the upward and downward movement of the lung silhouette gives comparable results to another potential sonographic methods to measure diaphragmatic dysfunction, the direct visualization of the upward and downward movement of the diaphragmatic dome from the anterior axillar line using the liver as an ultrasound window. We compared the results of our control group with the data from Boussuges et al.\(^7\) Boussuges et al could successfully measure the maximal diaphragmatic excursion during deep breathing on the right hemidiaphragm in 195 from 210 patients (93%) but on the left in only 45 from 210 patients (23%). The mean excursion in their study was 6.6 cm on the right hemidiaphragm and 7.3 cm on the left hemidiaphragm. Our results are similar: using the same method as Boussuges, we could successfully use this method in 78 out of our 80 patients and the mean distance measured in our control group was 6.8 cm on the right side. Measurement of the downward movement of the lung silhouette on the right side in the patients of our control group resulted in a medium distance of 6.5 cm.

The measurement of the medium diaphragmatic movement is slightly lower than the alternative measurement with the liver as an ultrasound window, but it is well known that different parts of the diaphragm move very differently during inhalation and exhalation. For example, the anterior parts of the diaphragm move less downward.\(^3\) This depends at least partly on the parallel anterior and upward movement of the ribcage during a deep inspiration, especially in COPD patients who are used to using their accessory inspiration muscles. So every method visualizing the movement of a single point of the diaphragm never measures the total diaphragmatic movement. The differences in the distances measured by different methods are not only acceptable but also logical.

The sonographic methods are good surrogate markers of the diaphragm force. And we will continue using the upward and downward movement of the lung silhouette because 1) it correlates very well with a comparative method (the visualization of the diaphragm from anterior); 2) it is easy to perform; 3) it can be done in every patient (even in the very obese ones), and 4) it can almost always be performed on both hemidiaphragms.

Another important result of our study is the strong correlation between FEV1 and diaphragmatic movement. The correlation is not 100%. This was not to be expected because we already know that different types of emphysemas

| Table 1 | Diaphragmatic movement measured using the lung silhouette method and the anterior method in the different patient groups |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | Lung silhouette (mm) | Anterior (mm) |
| COPD II | 43                  | 46            |
| COPD III| 30                  | 37            |
| COPD IV | 25                  | 31            |
| Control group | 65                  | 68            |

**Abbreviation:** COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.
result in different degrees of diaphragmatic dysfunction. For example, a more severe diaphragmatic dysfunction has been described for the basal predominant emphysemas compared to the apical predominant emphysemas. Interestingly, patients with basally pronounced emphysemas and thus more severe diaphragmatic dysfunction have a lower life expectancy. But nevertheless, the measurement of the diaphragmatic movement cannot substitute for lung function tests. It is an additional test, especially if methods need to be tested to improve diaphragmatic weakness: it is useful 1) to control the effect of medical trials testing different medications designated to improve the diaphragmatic weakness like levosimendan or bortezomib; 2) to control the effects of rehabilitation programs or inspiratory muscle training on the diaphragm; or 3) to visualize the effects of endobronchial lung volume reduction therapy.

The correlation of the diaphragmatic dysfunction to other lung function parameters than FEV1 is weaker. But there is a tendency of a more severe diaphragmatic dysfunction if the RV is higher, and the more pronounced the diaphragmatic weakness is the lower is the VC probably mainly as a result of lung overinflation.

We could demonstrate that sonographic measurement of the upward and downward movement of the lung silhouette in the scapular line is a reliable method to measure diaphragmatic dysfunction in COPD patients. If this method of the measurement of diaphragmatic dysfunction is also a reliable method in patients who are more difficult to investigate, like the very obese patients and patients undergoing weaning from the ventilator, needs to be investigated. Our own experience, especially in patients undergoing weaning from the ventilator let us expect that this sonographic method is successful in these patients too. We think that sonographic measurement of the diaphragmatic movement will become a strong addition to lung function parameters in the evaluation of ventilatory muscle strength.
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