An efficient method to classify GI tract images from WCE using visual words
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ABSTRACT

The digital images made with the wireless capsule endoscopy (WCE) from the patient's gastrointestinal tract are used to forecast abnormalities. The big amount of information from WCE pictures could take 2 hours to review GI tract illnesses per patient to research the digestive system and evaluate them. It is highly time consuming and increases healthcare costs considerably. In order to overcome this problem, the center symmetric local binary pattern (CS-LBP) and the auto color correlogram (ACC) were proposed to use a novel method based on a visual bag of features (VBOF). In order to solve this issue, we suggested a visual bag of features (VBOF) method by incorporating scale invariant feature transform (SIFT), CS-LBP and ACC. This combination of features is able to detect the interest point, texture and color information in an image. Features for each image are calculated to create a descriptor with a large dimension. The proposed feature descriptors are clustered by K-means referred to as visual words, and the support vector machine (SVM) method is used to automatically classify multiple disease abnormalities from the GI tract. Finally, post-processing scheme is applied to deal with final classification results i.e. validated the performance of multi-abnormal disease frame detection.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Wireless capsule endoscope (WCE) [1] has considered as diagnostic tool for gastrointestinal (GI) tract [1]. It offers a non-invasive direct option in contrast to conventional endoscope and empowers doctors to investigate the GI tract which is generally not open [2]. Compared with conventional endoscopy systems, WCE not just gets full access to the small digestive system [3, 4], yet in addition offers the patients an effortless strategy. WCE is a pill shaped gadget consisting of a brief core length complementary metal oxide semiconductor (CMOS) sensor consisting of four light sources, a battery, radio transmitter and other small-scale segments as appeared in Figure 1. The container is gulped by patient, taking pictures for every second (fps) at a speed of two images. The endoscopic container travel through the GI tract, catching and remotely transmitting in excess of 55000 frames to the recorder attached to the patient's midriff. Whereas WCE catches color images of the GI tract for roughly 8 hours and transmits them remotely to an outside information recording gadget worn by the patient around the midsection. These images are then downloaded to a computer workstation, and assessed by clinicians to settle on restorative analytic choice.

In spite of the fact that WCE is a specialized achievement, the looking into of video and elucidation of the entire inexact 50,000 images for every patient take around two hours for an accomplished clinician [5].
The abnormal images for the most part possess just under 5% of the entire ones. Besides, spatial qualities of anomalous images, the shape, surface, estimate, and the diverge from their encompassing change are hard for clinicians to recognize variation from the images in all circumstances [6]. At this point, planning an automatic computer-aided system is essential to help clinicians investigate abnormal images. More work has as of now been embraced on automatic abnormal images detection in WCE videos. Several works are used to carried out to define a particular disease.

Figure 1. Diagram for components of WCE, 1) optical dome, 2) focal point holder, 3) focal point, 4) lighting up source, 5) CMOS pictures, 6) battery, 7) transmitter, 8) reception apparatus

The manuscript is arranged according to the following. Section 2, we discussed the associated works. The manuscript has been organized in this way. In section 3, deals about the feature extraction where we introduced the SIFT algorithm, CS-LBP and ACC features. Then the computation of feature vector by combining these three features were also discussed in section 3. The visual bag of words depiction using K-means is discussed in section 4. We briefly discuss the classification algorithm in section 5. Section 6 has experimental results where we have a brief description about the dataset with discussions about the results and comparison with the existing systems. Finally, section 7 is where the conclusion is provided.

2. RELATED WORKS

In many works in WCE videos, automatic identification of multi-anomalies disease has been suggested. The most common diseases in the GI tract are bleeding [7], colon [8], polyp [9], tumor [10], stomach [11] and ulcer [12] disease. The existing system for the detection of WCE image considers only one abnormality like bleeding or ulcer or tumor and also multi-abnormality detection is far from satisfactory. However, much work related to GI abnormality detection has done in WCE videos [13, 14]. A single WCE frame or picture includes distinct problems including distinct colours, poor contrast, fuzzy areas, complicated background, form of lesions, data on texture, etc. [15]. Bleeding is a prevalent symptom of many gastrointestinal (GI) diseases, and the identification of bleeding is therefore of excellent clinical significance in the diagnosis of appropriate diseases. Li and Meng [16] describe chrominance time as a color characteristic and periodic Local binary pattern (LBP) as a texture characteristic for identifying the bleeding areas within a WCE frame. The techniques were evaluated using support vector machine (SVM), liner discriminant analysis (LDA) and k-nearest neighbor (KNN) classifier were utilized. A small group of cells that develops on the colon's lining are called as polyps due to unusual cell growth. For image processing based on linear data, polyp identification using the Log Gabor filters and the SUSAN edge detection algorithm is done in karargyris et al. [17]. In [18], a method called global geometric constraints of polyp and local patterns of intensity variation across polyp boundaries is suggested for classifying digestive organs, the deep CNN is used for WCE images.

For ulcer identification in WCE images, neural networks can be used for the removal of characteristics by Gabor filters and colors and texture characteristics. for classifying images. The authors of [19] proposed to detect the ulcer abnormality using AdaBoost learning method. Despite the efficacy of AdaBoost, a straightforward RGB value as a hint for the assignment of ulcer discrimination is used to obtain the specific local and global visual features [19]. In [20], characteristics such as probability of bit plane and wavelet-based characteristics were removed from the recognized fields and used to characterize ulcer. Hoghan et al., [21] discussed, the Hookworm present in the stomach related tract of the human from WCE images using color model-based recognition were the shading models actualized here are the RGB and HSV models. Yuan et al., [22] suggested an enhanced bag of features (BoF) technique to help classify polyps in...
WCE images. Instead of using a single scale-invariant function transform (SIFT) in the traditional BoF method, different textural features such as LBP, uniLBP, CLBP and HOG from the key points neighborhoods are integrated as synthetic descriptors to perform classification. The associated works show that several works are carried out to define the particular disease. Color and LBP [16] characteristics are used for the identification of bleeding. For the ulcer the Gabor filter [17], color and texture are used and for the detection of polyp. In fact, the classification precision of the above-mentioned schemes is not yet well achieved and there were no SIFT characteristics that are linear in scale, rotation and illumination, except polyp.

To overcome this problem and to address the multiclass disease classification of WCE images and to considerably increase the accuracy of disease prediction, we proposed a system using the combination of SIFT, CS-LBP and ACC is compared with color LBP and BOF for bleeding and polyp respectively. The proposed combination is also tested against the aforementioned systems for tumor, colon, stomach and ulcer diseases. Figure 2 shows the proposed work for the classification of multi-abnormalities in GI tract using WCE images. In this proposed work, a range of anomalies disease images is drawn from GI tract using WCE and a novel method is proposed based on BOF by integrating SIFT, CS-LBP and ACC. These features are able to detect the interest point, texture and color information in an image more effectively. Features are calculated to create a high-dimensional descriptor for each picture and this descriptor is grouped using the K-means technique referred to as visual bag of features, then SVM method is used to classify the multiple abnormalities of disease present in GI tract automatically and more effectively.

Figure 2. Proposed work for the classification of multi-abnormalities in GI tract using WCE images

3. FEATURE EXTRACTION

3.1. SIFT

Gaussian’s Laplacian is good to find interesting points (or main points) in a picture that are maxima and minima in the Gaussian picture distinction. Upon detection of interest points, characteristics such as SIFT are outlined. SIFT is an algorithm for the detection and description of local characteristics in pictures that David Lowe released in 1999 [23]. A circular region of picture with orientation is a SIFT key point. Four parameters in this technique are key point center, the scale (the area radius) and its orientation (an angle expressed in radians). SIFT detector is stated to be invariant and robust in translation, rotation, scaling, and partly invariant in order to affiliate changes in distortion and lighting [23].

3.2. Local binary pattern (LBP)

A strong function for texture classification is known to be the LBP [23]. In 2009, LBP and histogram of orienting gradients (HOG) showed that detection efficiency was largely improved by Want et al. [24]. In [25], LBP was used as an efficient, nonparametric technique for texture analysis by Unay and Ekin. LBP was used to extract valuable data from medical images, especially magnetic brain resonance images. A content-based picture recovery algorithm was used to extract the characteristics. Their experiment has shown that the texture data along with spatial characteristics is better than only texture characteristics based on intensity. In 2007, the micro-matters were removed with LBP by Oliver et al. [26] from mammograms. These masses are classified as benign or malignant with SVM. The findings of their research showed LBP’s efficiency, as the amount of false positive characteristics decreased in all mass sizes [27].
3.3. Center-symmetric local binary pattern (LBP)

A description of the region of concern has been created for center-symmetric local binary patterns (CS-LBP) [28, 29]. CS-LBP seeks to generate shorter histograms for a larger amount of LBP labels that are more suitable for use in regional descriptors. In flat picture areas, CS-LBP was also intended to have greater stability. In CS-LBP, pixel values are compared symmetrically with the center pixel, not with the center pixel, but with the opposing pixel. In addition, robustness is achieved in flat picture areas by thresholding variations in gray levels with a tiny value $T$.

$$\text{CS} - \text{LBP}_{R,T}(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{N/2-1} s(n_i - n_{i+N/2})2^i, \quad s(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x > T \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Where $n_i$ and $n_{i+(N/2)}$ equally spaced pixels on a circle of radius R corresponds to the gray values of the central-symmetric pairs of N-Pixels. The $T$ limit value in our experiments is about 1% of the pixel value range. Since information for the region range from 0 to 1, $T$ is set to 0.01. The size of the area is 8. The radius is set to 2. It is worth noting that CS-LBP’s advantage over LBP is not just because of the decrease of the dimensions, but because the CS-LBP is better able to capture the gradient data than the fundamental LBP. LBP and CS-LBP experiments have demonstrated CS-LBP’s advantages over LBP, particularly substantial decrease in dimension while maintaining distinguishability.

3.4. Auto color correlogram

The suggested scheme uses the autocorrelogram to calculate the color feature. Let $[D]$ denote a set of $\{d_1 ... d_D\}$ fixed distances. Then the picture I correlogram is described at a range $d$ for level pair $(g_l, g_j)$.

$$\gamma_{g_l g_j}^{(d)}(I) \equiv \Pr_{P_i \in I_{g_l}, P_j \in I_{g_j}} \left[ |P_2 - P_1| = d \right]$$

Which provides the possibility that if a pixel $p_1$ is $g_l$ level, a pixel $p_2$ is $g_j$ level at the range $d$ in some direction from the pixel $p_1$. The spatial correlation of the same concentrations is found in the auto correlogram.

$$\alpha_{g_l}^{(d)}(I) = \gamma_{g_l g_l}^{(d)}(I)$$

It provides the likelihood that pixels $p_1$ and $p_2$, $d$ separate from each other, are of the same level $g_l$. The range measurement between histograms, auto correlograms, and correlograms is the L1 standard that is a computationally fast technique used in [30-33].

3.5. CS-LBP, SIFT and ACC features integration

If the background is complicated or corrupted with noise, SIFT can perform badly, CS-LBP with standardized patterns is complementary to SIFT by filtering out these noises [34]. We believe that the characteristics of an item in a image can be faster recorded by mixing these three features. This research therefore proposes the inclusion of SIFT, CS-LBP and ACC at patch level and picture level. We describe $p_i (x, y, \sigma, \theta)$ as a key point spotted by SIFT approach, where $(x, y)$ is the position of pixel $p_i$ in the original image, $\sigma$ and $\theta$ is the scale and main direction of $p_i$ respectively. $\sigma$ means to the confident level of $p_i$ in Gaussian Pyramid. Take a region with size of 8×8 as a patch where $p_i$ is the center of the patch, then the SIFT, CS-LBP and ACC descriptors are built as follows:

- Step 1. Use 128-dimensional SIFT descriptor to describe each keypoint $p_i$ in a patch, denoted as SIFT,

- Step 2. Choose a 4 × 4 region around $p_i$ and compute the uniform pattern of each pixel. These descriptors are composed as a 64-dimensional vector, i.e.

$$F_{CS-LBP} = [CS - LBP_{4,1}^{u1}, CS - LBP_{4,1}^{u2}, ... , CS - LBP_{4,1}^{u16}]$$

- Step 3. For every patch ACC feature are calculated

- Step 4. Finally, the feature vector computed by combining the three features is described as

$$(F_{SIFT}, F_{CS-LBP}, F_{ACC_i})$$
4. VISUAL BAG OF WORDS

4.1. K-means algorithm

The aim of k-means algorithm is to cluster the information and is one of the easiest methods of clustering the partitions. Clustering the picture consists of grouping the pixels according to certain features. We must originally identify the number of clusters in the k-means algorithm [35]. Then the center of the k-cluster is randomly selected. The distance to each cluster center between each pixel is calculated. Euclidean distance is used in particular. Using the range formula, single pixels are likened to all cluster centers. The pixel is transferred to a specific cluster with the shortest range between all. The center is then reassessed. Again, each pixel is compared to all centroids and the processes mentioned above are continued until the pixel are grouped into a suitable cluster with the following algorithm described.

4.2. Bag of features

The Bag-of-features (BOF) techniques is mainly influenced by the notion of bag-of-words [36] that was widely used in text mining. In the BOW model, every term is considered to be autonomous although very contra intuitive and well utilized with outstanding performance in spam filtration and topical modeling [37]. Each image is characterized by a set of orderless local characteristics in the BOF model, later study has shown it efficacy in image processing. It has two main concepts: local features and codebook. The essential aspect of the BoF concept is to extract global image descriptor which are computed from the collection of local properties like SIFT, CS-LBP and ACC. The SIFT patches are tiny rectangular areas with a focus on point of concern and the CS-LBP patches are tiny round zones with the required radius and several sampling points. Auto correlogram collects only identical color values in the spatial correlation.

Codebook is a way to represent an image by a set of local features [38]. The idea is to group the feature descriptors for all patches on the basis of a cluster number and each cluster is a visual word to form a codebook. Each image can be depicted, after the codebook has been obtained, by the visual codebook graphic frequency histogram BoF.

5. CLASSIFICATION USING SVM

In the proposed method, the SVM [39] is employed to classify the WCE images. SVM classifier is the best option to classify problem, since our problem is to classify seven classes of abnormalities present in GI tract. Considering a training dataset which consists of N images with feature vectors \( x_i \), \( i=1,2,...,N \), where each M dimensional expression profile \( x_i = x_i(n), n=1,2,...,M \) is associated with a feature value \( y_i(+1,-1) \). The objective is to find and M dimensional decision vector \( w = [w_1, w_2..., w_M]^T \) due to the discriminating function \( f(x) = f(w,x) \). Such that:

\[
\begin{align*}
  w^T x_i + b &\geq +1, \text{ for all positive } x_i \\
  w^T x_i + b &\leq -1, \text{ for all negative } x_i
\end{align*}
\]

Considering an empirical vector a and \((N^*N)\) dimensional kernel matrix K with its \((i, j)^{th}\) element \( K(x_i, y_j) \), the decision boundary is characterized by \( f(x) = 0 \).

Where:

\[
f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i k(x_i, x) + b
\]

where \( b \) and \( a_i \) are \( b \), as and weights respectively.

6. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

6.1. Dataset

The dataset is collected from Kvasir containing images of GI tract. The anatomical features are Z-line, pylorus and cecum, while esophagitis, bleeding, polyps and ulcerated colitis are the pathological findings. The dataset contains images of multi-abnormalities diseases which has 3500 of images in 7 classes i.e. 500 images from each class for 40 patients. The set of images in each class is divide into two categories: training and testing set. A five-fold strategy to cross validation has been implemented in the proposed work. In this work, 80% of the images were randomly selected for training for each class and the other 20% for testing. The multi-abnormalities disease contains the Z-Line, Bleeding, Pylorus, Cecum, Esophagitis, Polyps and Ulcerative Colitis.
6.2. Results and discussion

In our proposed method, the WCE images are divided into number of patches. From the patched images features are extracted using three methods namely CS-LBP, SIFT and combined CS-LBP+SIFT+ACC. First, study of codebook size for CS-LBP, SIFT and CS-LBP+SIFT+ACC with SVM is calculated. We selected the size of codebook from \{250, 500, 750, 1000\}. The performance is shown in Figure 3. From the experiments, we obtained the best size of codebook is 750 with patch size 8*8 for the dataset considered in the experiments. For measuring the accuracy, the sensitivity and specificity method is used.

![Figure 3. Performance of varying codebook size](image)

- **Specificity**: The amount of right adverse statements separated by the complete negative numbers is calculated.

\[
\text{Specificity} = \frac{TN}{TN+FP}
\]

- **Sensitivity**: It is calculated the number of negative predictions divided by the total number of negatives.

\[
\text{Sensitivity} = \frac{TP}{TP+FN}
\]

The performance of abnormalities using CS-LBP, SIFT and combined CS-LBP+SIFT+ACC with SVM shown in Table 1. When comparing each class, the performance of combined CS-LBP+SIFT shows an accuracy of 79.91\% for Esophagitis, 76.21\% for Z-Line, 80.23\% for cecum, 84.39\% for polyps, 85.92\% for Ulcerative Colitis, 90.91\% for Pylorus and 94.80\% bleeding is obtained. Table 2 shows the confusion matrix for WCE image classification.

6.3. Comparison with existing work

Further the proposed work is compared with existing WCE abnormality classification techniques [22, 40-42]. In [22], Yuan et al., classified the images into the normal ones and polyps using VQ and VQ is used to encode the features and also it shows local features by their nearest codewords. In [41], statistical based color, spatial and texture are features using bag of visual methods are proposed by Hwang. Nawarathan et al., [42] proposed a method to denote image feature by texton histogram where LBP features are cluster to obtained textons. The accuracy of SVM with CS-LBP+SIFT+ACC for Multi-abnormalities classification is shown in Figure 4.

In proposed work, combination SIFT+CS-LBP+ACC is used for multi-abnormality classification. Table 3 shows the accuracy of proposed abnormalities with existing work. Majority of existing work is focussed on only one or two type abnormality detection and the accuracy is also not up to the level of satisfactory. But we focused on all classes of GI tract diseases and we obtained remarkable improvement in all classes of GI tract diseases and the overall accuracy of the proposed system is significantly high and is 84.62\% which is owing to the combination of proposed effective texture and color features. The results obtained for polyp, ulcer, bleeding, Esophagitis, Z-line, Cecum, pylorus is 84.39\%, 85.92\%, 96.85\%, 79.91\%, 76.21\%, 80.23\% and 90.91\% respectively.
Figure 4. Shows the accuracy of SVM with CS-LBP+SIFT+ACC for Multi-abnormalities classification

Table 1. Performance of Abnormalities using SVM with CS-LBP, SIFT and combined CS-LBP with SIFT

| Abnormalities | Performance (in %) | CS-LBP+SIFT | CS-LBP + SIFT+ACC |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| Esophagitis   | Accuracy           | 72.50       | 79.91             |
|               | Sensitivity        | 72.30       | 78.95             |
|               | Specificity        | 94.28       | 96.1              |
| Z-Line        | Accuracy           | 75.01       | 76.21             |
|               | Sensitivity        | 74.05       | 75.21             |
|               | Specificity        | 95.25       | 95.19             |
| Cecum         | Accuracy           | 79.21       | 80.23             |
|               | Sensitivity        | 78.95       | 80.01             |
|               | Specificity        | 95.6        | 90.9              |
| Polyps        | Accuracy           | 83.91       | 84.39             |
|               | Sensitivity        | 83.20       | 84.21             |
|               | Specificity        | 95.12       | 90.9              |
| Ulcerative Colitis | Accuracy     | 80.20       | 85.92             |
|               | Sensitivity        | 80.71       | 84.21             |
|               | Specificity        | 93.25       | 93.64             |
| Pylorus       | Accuracy           | 89.01       | 90.91             |
|               | Sensitivity        | 88.20       | 89.57             |
|               | Specificity        | 93.25       | 93.25             |
| Bleeding      | Accuracy           | 88.92       | 96.85             |
|               | Sensitivity        | 88.23       | 90.11             |
|               | Specificity        | 92.28       | 97.12             |

Table 2. Confusion matrix for WCE image classification

|             | Esophagitis | Z-Line | Cecum  | Polyps | Ulcerative Colitis | Pylorus | Bleeding | Sensitivity |
|-------------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------------------|---------|----------|-------------|
| Esophagitis | 78.90       | 21.05  | 0      | 0      | 0                  | 0       | 0        | 78.95       |
| Z-Line      | 10.05       | 75.21  | 0      | 8.23   | 0                  | 6.45    | 0        | 75.21       |
| Cecum       | 0           | 6.67   | 80.00  | 13.33  | 0                  | 0       | 0        | 80.00       |
| Polyps      | 0           | 0      | 14.02  | 80.00  | 0                  | 5.95    | 0        | 80.00       |
| Ulcerative Colitis | 5.26 | 0      | 0      | 5.26   | 84.21              | 5.26    | 0        | 84.21       |
| Pylorus      | 5.20        | 2.30   | 2.93   | 0      | 0                  | 89.57   | 0        | 89.57       |
| Bleeding     | 0           | 0      | 3.15   | 0      | 0                  | 96.85   | 0        | 96.85       |
| Specificity  | 96.10       | 95.19  | 90.9   | 90.90  | 93.64              | 93.25   | 98.12    |             |

Table 3. Performance comparison of abnormalities classification with proposed and existing work

|             | Polyp | Ulcer | Bleeding | Esophagitis | Z-Line | Cecum | Pylorus |
|-------------|-------|-------|----------|-------------|--------|-------|---------|
| Coimbra et al., [37] | 74.67 | 72.78 | 87.9     | Nil         | Nil    | Nil   | Nil     |
| Hwang et al., [38]   | 81.33 | 78.33 | 90.95    | Nil         | Nil    | Nil   | Nil     |
| Nawaratna et al., [39] | 84.00 | 83.33 | 93.33    | Nil         | Nil    | Nil   | Nil     |
| Yuan et al., [22]    | 83.50 | 80.33 | 96.60    | Nil         | Nil    | Nil   | Nil     |
| Proposed system      | 84.39 | 85.92 | 96.85    | 79.91       | 76.21  | 80.23 | 90.91   |
7. CONCLUSION
Classifying the abnormalities from WCE images such as Z-Line, Bleeding, Pylorus, Cecum, Esophagitis, Polyps, Ulcerative Colitis is a challenging task. It may take 2 hours per patient for reviewing GI tract diseases. It is highly time consuming and increases healthcare costs considerably. To overcome this problem, we proposed Multi-Abnormalities classification model using Visual Bag of Words which is constructed using CS-LBP with SIFT and combined CS-LBP, SIFT with ACC using K-means clustering approach. The SVM is used for classification. By varying the codebook size from [250, 500, 750, 1000], we obtained 750 as best size for out datasets. From the experiment results, it is demonstrated that the accuracy of 79.91% for Esophagitis, 80.23% for cecum, 84.39% for Polyps, 85.92% for Ulcerative Colitis, 90.91% for Pylorus and 94.80% for bleeding is obtained for combined CS-LBP+SIFT+ACC with SVM which is significantly higher than the existing approaches and the combination of CS-LBP and SIFT. In future, the performance of the proposed system may be optimized using various parameters to improve performance.
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