Gene expression profiling and mechanism study of neural stem cells response to surface chemistry
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Abstract

To declare the mechanisms of neural stem cells (NSCs) in response to material surface chemistry, NSCs were exposed to the self-assemble monolayers of alkanethiolates on gold surfaces terminated with amine (NH₂), hydroxyl (OH) and methyl (CH₃) for analysis. The morphological responses of NSCs were recorded; the gene expression profilings were detected by genechips; the gene expressions data of NSCs responded to different chemical groups were declared through the gene ontology term and pathway analyses. It showed that cells behaved dissimilar on the three chemical groups, the adhesion, proliferation and migration were easier on the NH₂ and OH groups; the gene expressions of NSCs were induced differently, either, involved in several functional processes and signaling pathways. CH₃ group induced genes enriched much in chemistry reactions and death processes, whereas many genes of cellular nucleotide metabolism were down-regulated. NH₂ group induced NSCs to express many genes of receptors on membrane, and participated in cellular signal transduction of cell adhesion and interactions, or associated with axon growth. OH group was similar to NH₂ group to induce the membrane response, but it also down regulated metabolism of cells. Therefore, it declared the chemical groups affected NSCs through inner way and the NH₂, OH and CH₃ groups triggered the cellular gene expression in different signaling pathways.
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Introduction

Neural stem cells (NSCs) have the capacities of self-renewal and differentiation into cell lines in neural system, such as neurons and glias, they are supposed to be the most potential means to substitute the lost cells and treat the injuries and degenerative diseases of nervous system [1, 2]. Therefore, controlling of NSCs fate for suitable utilization becomes a focus problem and attracts much more attentions.

Recent works show biomaterials or surrounding environments play key regulatory roles on NSCs fate determination by controlling their behaviors of adhesion, migration, proliferation and even differentiation [3–5]. Many factors of biomaterials are reported to involve in this process, such as stiffness, roughness, surface topography, chemistry, mechanics and micro- and nanopatterns [6–10]. In these intrinsic properties, the surface chemistry appears to a key role in cell–material interactions and cell regulation, which can dominate the cell biological process by modulating cellular responses, including survival, adhesion, migration, cell cycle progression and differentiation [10–13]. Many cells have been reported significantly influenced by it, including bone-derived cells [14], osteoblast [10],
monolayers (SAMs) terminated with methyl (CH$_3$), amine (NH$_2$) and hydroxyl (OH) were prepared as model. The cellular behaviors and the gene profiles of NSCs to the chemical surfaces, especially the membrane interactions and signaling pathway induction, were detected and analyzed by genechip.

Materials and Methods

SAMs preparation and characterization

SAMs of alkanethiols on gold were used as model surfaces with well-defined chemistries. 1-Mercaptododecane [HS-(CH$_2$)$_{11}$-NH$_2$], 1-dodecanethiol [HS-(CH$_2$)$_{11}$-CH$_3$], and 11-mercapto-1-undecanol [HS-(CH$_2$)$_{11}$-OH] were purchased from Aldrich Sigma. Ethanolic alkanethiol solutions of 1.0 mM were prepared. Gold-coated 90 mm culture dishes were prepared by deposition of 50 nm gold films on 1-dodecanethiol [HS-(CH$_2$)$_{11}$-CH$_3$] and 11-mercapto-1-undecanol [HS-(CH$_2$)$_{11}$-OH] were purchased from Aldrich Sigma. Ethanolic alkanethiol solutions of 1.0 mM were prepared. Gold-coated 90 mm culture dishes were prepared by deposition of 50 nm gold films on 1-dodecanethiol [HS-(CH$_2$)$_{11}$-CH$_3$]. Gold-coated substrates in ethanolic alkanethiol solutions for 4 h away from light. The SAMs of their respective alkanethiols were hereafter referred as CH$_3$, NH$_2$ and OH. The surface property of each SAM was evaluated by measuring density or roughness through goniometry and atomic force microscopy (AFM) (MFP-3D-S, Asylum Research, USA).

NSCs culture on SAMs

The rat primary NSCs from embryo (purchased from Cyagen Biosciences Inc., Guangzhou, China) were cultured in serum-free media of D/F12 with 20 ng/ml epidermal growth factor (EGF), basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) and 2% B27 supplements. Then after being dispersed into single cells with syringe, the NSCs were cultured on CH$_3$, NH$_2$ and OH SAMs with the initial density of 10$^6$ per dish ($\Phi =$ 100 mm) for 7 days; the cells on gold-coated dishes without alkanethiol served as control. The cells on the same kind of SAMs were cultured three times for biological replicates. The morphology and behavior of NSCs on SAMs were observed during the culture, photos were recorded by microscope (Leica).

RNA extraction and microarray hybridization

Total RNA was extracted from NSCs on different SAMs using TRizol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the instruction of manufacturers. The RNA quality was assessed by Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer and RNA LabChip kits (Agilent). The samples pools of three independent biological replicates were mixed for gene expression analysis.

Chip of one-color microarray-based gene expression profile analysis (Agilent) was used and all the procedures were following the protocols from it. The total RNA was purified (QIAGEN RNeasy Mini Kit) and then 2 $\mu$g RNA was converted into cDNA with a T7 RNA promoter primer. The cDNA was amplified and labeled with Spike-In Kit and One-Color Spike-Mix, the labeled/amplified cDNA was purified. This was then fragmented and hybridized to the genechip of 44 K microassays and incubated at 60°C for 17 h. The genechips were scanned on the GenePix 4000B scanners.

The microarray data analysis

To identify differentially expressed genes, pairwise comparison analyses were preformed with analysis system using functions in R-package in R-software (https://www.r-project.org) and NCBI Entrez gene database. The genes of NSCs on experimental NH$_2$, OH and CH$_3$ SAMs were compared with that on control golden surface. The gene expression differences were identified with a stringent cutoff, the genes of at least one probe signal in the treatment and the control chip for the same gene showed parent, and only those up- or down-regulated genes exceeding the threshold of 3-fold change were selected for the further analysis. Only well-characterized genes in DAVID (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG)), EntrezGene, GenbankAccession, GenomicCoordinates, RefSeqAccession, and TIGRiD database were included [21, 22]. The selected genes were preformed to identify gene ontology (GO) terms and KEGG pathways.

GO analyses

To assess the function and biological processes of the differentially expressed genes, the GO studies were adopted, which stated biologically information, including cellular location, molecular and biological function. This information explained the differences of NSCs on chemical group SAMs with respect to the control group. For each SAMs, the up- and down-regulated probe set identifiers were used as input and the enrichment was analyzed separately. The significantly enriched terms ($P < 0.05$), which reflects the enrichment in frequency, were preformed using R-package Fisher’s exact test and the testing correction ($q$-value) was performed using R-package John Storey’s method based on GO databases (http://www.geneontology.org/).

KEGG pathway analyses

To analyze the specific information about the signaling pathways being affected in treated conditions, pathway enrichment analyses
were used to find several relevant pathways in response to the stimulation using the same algorithms as GO. It also allowed the identification of gene networks and how genes were regulated. The biological pathways mediated by differentially expressed genes were identified using KEGG pathway database (http://www.genome.jp/kegg/) and Biocarta database (http://www.biocarta.com), enrichment test of \( P < 0.05 \) was considered significant and selected. The enriched pathways involved in cell and extra-cellular interaction, signal transduction process and cellular biology process were taken into account.

Results

The properties of the alkanethiols SAMs

The surface morphology of different alkanethiols SAMs on gold-coated substrate was performed by AFM. The images proved the regular alignments of gold atom with the same density, and the saturated alkanethiol monolayers for different groups connected on the gold atom nearly had same density as well, as shown in Fig. 1. It showed these SAMs assembled on gold were highly ordered and homogeneous, and provided well-controlled surface properties and the groups’ density. Other detail results such as contact angle and X-ray photoelectron spectrograph we reported in Deng et al. [23] and Zhi-Xu et al. [24].

The morphology of NSCs on chemical group SAMs

The cultured single NSCs on all group SAMs mostly clustered into small spheres without adhesion on the surface at the initial time; however, to the third day, NSCs adhered on NH\(_2\) and OH group SAMs significantly compared with that on the CH\(_3\) group SAM, which still clustered into many bigger neurospheres and suspended in the media. Furthermore, many cells on the NH\(_2\) and OH SAMs migrated out form the adhesive clusters to a long distance, and this migration was greatly enhanced with networks formation till the 5th day, especially on NH\(_2\) SAM. In contrast, the cells on CH\(_3\) SAM adhered gradually with little cells migration (Fig. 2). The NSCs on pure gold film control had no adhesion at all; the cells clustered into neurospheres and suspended in the media.

The differentiation of NSCs on chemical group SAMs

Actually, in addition to the difference of adhesion and migration, the NSCs cultured on CH\(_3\), NH\(_2\) and OH SAMs were prone to differentiated into different phenotypes of neurons or glias by immunofluorescence and western blot. Briefly, it showed NSCs preferred to generate more neurons on CH\(_3\) group SAM; however, they differentiate into more glias on NH\(_2\) SAM. As to OH group, it dramatically promoted both neurons and glias differentiation, the detailed data were showed in our other submitted article to Nanoscale.

The gene expression differences

Gene expression profiles

The NSCs cultured on the three SAMs showed different gene expression profiles by gene chip analysis, which may reflected the cellular mechanisms responded to the various chemical groups. To understand the mechanisms, the different genes met the selection conditions and expressed more than 3-fold changes were selected for further analysis. There were totally 150 up-regulated and 475 down-regulated genes for NSCs on CH\(_3\) SAMs; whereas that were 601 and 340 genes for NH\(_2\) SAMs and 154 and 45 genes for OH SAMs.
SAMs. These differently expressed genes were applied to further pathway and GO term analyses.

**GO term enrichment analysis**

To analyze the relation of different gene expression and cell behavior responded to the chemical groups, functional classification of differentially expressed genes was performed by GO term enrichment analysis. It helped to analysis the functions of genes. The up-and down-regulated genes of every chemical group were analyzed separately, and $P < 0.05$ was considered significant. For those much more genes enriched in a GO term, only the top 10 genes were listed in the table.

For cells on CH$_3$ group SAM, many genes were up-regulated in the catalog 'molecular functions' associated with 'drug and toxin binding' function (Table 1). In term of 'signal transducer activity', several enriched genes were related to the chemical binding; for example, ‘TAAR1’ was probably receptor for trace amines; ‘Fabp1’ contributed to bind long-chain fatty acids and other hydrophobic ligands; ‘Olr’ was a member of Olr receptors family for volatile amines. In the biological process, most associated genes contributed to the cellular response to the chemical stimulus. So it was apparent the genes of up-regulated were mostly associated with chemistry reactions and chemical signal transduction. Apart from this, some other genes also enriched in catalog 'cellular component', such as ‘Myo1a’ and ‘Odf1’, involving in cytoskeleton structures. In addition, some genes of cell killing also enriched, indicating the inactive impressions on cellular fate, which might support the phenomenon of their growth on the CH$_3$ surface.

For down-regulated genes on CH$_3$ group SAM, their GO terms enriched in functions related to nucleotide synthesis, organelle and cellular process of death and division (Table 2). Several hundreds of down-regulated genes enriched in term of 'binding' and 'enzyme regulator activity', regarding mainly the descent of nucleotide binding and synthesis functions. In addition, 'chromosome segregation' down-regulation also occurred in the biological process and some other important cellular process, including cell death, cell cycle and division, more than 100 genes participated in these functions, indicating the decrease in proliferation and division. Apart from this, the cellular component mainly about organelle was greatly down regulated, either. Moreover, the ‘cell projection’ term-related genes were down-regulated in abundant, reflecting the morphological results of seldom migration or movement for cell. The term of 'caspase regulator activity' included ‘Bcl2a1d’, ‘Casp8ap2’ was highly enriched either, which associated with cellular death.

Accordingly, the presented GO terms indicated CH$_3$ SAM had some interactions with the cellular surface by the way of amines or chemical signal detection and transduction, for several pathways about it were enriched. Additionally, the important biological process of cellular nucleotide metabolism was down-regulated and death process was up-induced.

For NSCs on NH$_2$ group SAM, the pattern of GO term showed quite differently with that on CH$_3$ group. Among the significant GO terms, several enriched terms associated with cells surface binding, extra-stimulation response and correspondingly the signal transduction in 'biological process', 'molecular functions' and 'cellular component' categories (Table 3 and Table 4). For those up-regulated genes (Table 3), the terms 'cell surface' and 'amine binding' were both enriched, including genes ‘Fcer1a’, ‘Chrm5a’, ‘Pecam1’, ‘Itga1’, etc.; they involved in the interactions between NH$_2$ group and receptors on cell surface, and participated in the amine binding process as well, which transmits chemical signals from outside the cell across the membrane to the inside of the cell. In addition, three genes ‘Tat’, ‘Gacy1h2’ and ‘Gacy1a2’ in terms of ‘cyclase’ and ‘lyase’ were participate in cellular signal transduction part of the G protein-signaling cascade. Other important biological processes were term 'signal transducer activity' mediated by 24 up-regulated genes, most of them were receptors on the cellular membrane. Furthermore, GO term ‘axon hillock’ was enriched; it seemed that NH$_2$ triggered the growth of NSC axons. These functions were coincident to the cells adhesion and migration behavior. For those down-regulated genes, there were also enriched terms of cell surface and response (Table 4).

It strongly suggested that NH$_2$ group could induce active interactions with NSCs; it might bind to the receptors on cellular surface of NSCs and then triggered the signal transduction process, consequently promoted cells adhesion, migration and axons growth.

For NSCs on OH group SAM, a plenty of genes enriched in GO term ‘binding’ were down-regulated, including nucleic acid, chromatin and ribonucleoprotein binding (Table 6). Many genes involved in the DNA, RNA binding and related enzymes activities were down-regulated in abundance, reflecting the morphological results of seldom migration or movement for cell. The term of 'caspase regulator activity' included ‘Bcl2a1d’, ‘Casp8ap2’ was highly enriched either, which associated with cellular death.

Accordingly, the presented GO terms indicated CH$_3$ SAM had some interactions with the cellular surface by the way of amines or chemical signal detection and transduction, for several pathways about it were enriched. Additionally, the important biological process of cellular nucleotide metabolism was down-regulated and death process was up-induced.

**Table 1. GO term analysis of up-regulated genes on CH$_3$ group SAM**

| GO terms                                      | Hits | Gene symbol | Percent | $P$ value |
|-----------------------------------------------|------|-------------|---------|-----------|
| Molecular function                             |      |             |         |           |
| Drug binding                                   | 3    | Alb, Fabp1, Hrbh3 | 4.05    | 0.0175    |
| Toxin binding                                  | 1    | Alb         | 33.33   | 0.0281    |
| Signal transducer activity                     | 33   | Wnt, Pvr, Olr, Corin, Taar, Amhr2, Vom2r | 1.15    | 0.0032    |
| Cellular component                             |      |             |         |           |
| Axoneme                                        | 2    | Pmfbp1, Odf1 | 7.41    | 0.0179    |
| Axoneme part                                   | 2    | Pmfbp1, Odf1 | 20.00   | 0.0032    |
| Apical part of cell                            | 4    | Falp1, Slc34a3, Myo1a, Acpp | 2.17    | 0.0452    |
| Biological process                             |      |             |         |           |
| Killing of cells of another organism           | 2    | Alb, Ifng    | 28.57   | 0.0017    |
| Behavior                                       | 7    | S100a8, Prok2, Alb, Cdkf, Ifng, Hoxd10, Hrbh3 | 1.58    | 0.0421    |
| Response to chemical stimulus                  | 31   | Olr, Prf1, Hrbh3, Aif1 | 1.16    | 0.0039    |
| Detection of stimulus                          | 23   | Olr, Gntgt1 | 1.70    | 1.00E–04  |
| Positive regulation of multi-organism process  | 1    | Ifng         | 33.33   | 0.0281    |
| Positive regulation of multicellular organismal process | 5 | Aif1, Prok2, Alb, Ifng, Klks3 | 2.13    | 0.0284    |

Notes: In GO 0042221, 31 genes were included, in which family Olrs were 28 totally, represented by ‘Olr’. Similar in GO 0051606, except ‘Gntgt1’, all the other 22 genes were Olrs.
Biological processes regulated, such as ‘Atrx’, ‘Zfp’, ‘Snc4’ for DNA binding; ‘Srp54a’ for RNA binding; ‘Narg1’ for ribosome and protein binding and polymerase ‘Polα’, helicase ‘Hells’, protein kinase ‘Prkg2’ for enzyme activity. These genes associated with nearly the whole DNA duplication, RNA transcription and protein translation process. It appeared that the processes of DNA duplication and transcription, translation were down-regulated greatly to OH group surface. It might also be reasonable for the large portion of down-regulated genes in ‘cellular component’ enrichment. They made functions mostly in ‘organelle’, such as genes ‘Mmmn1’, ‘Atrx’ and ‘Pcm1’ were down for dozens of times, influencing the nucleotide binding and nuclelease activity in regulation of transcription and translation.

Table 2. GO term analysis of down-regulated genes on CH3 group SAM

| GO terms                          | Hits | Gene symbol | Percent | P value |
|----------------------------------|------|-------------|---------|---------|
| Molecular function               |      |             |         |         |
| Nucleotide binding               | 96   | Atrx, Rock2, Efi2ak2, RGD1307234, Snc4, RGD1561537, Nlx4, Hsp90, aat1, Matr3, Bub1 | 5.09    | 0.0062  |
| Nucleic acid binding             | 125  | Atrx, Zfp51, Zfp346, Znf606, LOC691257, LOC689296, Zfp52, Zfp40, RGD1565622 | 5.41    | 2.00E–04|
| Chromatin binding                | 15   | Atrx, Zfp386, Mphosph8, Hells, Atrid4a, Smarca1, Top2a, Snc1a, Polα | 9.38    | 0.0027  |
| Ribonucleoprotein binding        | 5    | Srp54a, Mitf2, Narg1, Srp72, Nol5 | 15.15   | 0.0136  |
| Ion binding                      | 136  | Cyp11a1, RGD1305314, Mmp10, Car7, RGD1563278, Zfp52, Zfp51, Adami32, Rock2, Znf606 | 4.83    | 0.0065  |
| Caspase regulator activity       | 3    | Bcl2a1d, Casp8ap2, Xiap | 18.75   | 0.0332  |
| Nucleoside-triphosphatase        | 18   | Dock11, Sytl5, Myo9a, Obm, Rasa2, Iqub, Wdr67, Sytl5, Dock11, Tbc1d15 | 6.67    | 0.0259  |
| Cellular component               |      |             |         |         |
| Organelle lumen                  | 70   | Prkdc, Zfp346, Esf1, Ccnb3, Smarca1, Matr3, Snc3, Iqub, Pepf39, Cops2 | 5.15    | 0.015   |
| Non-membrane-bounded organelle   | 105  | Stag2, Atrx, Pcm1, Rif1, Zfp346, Rock2, Chk5, Esf1, Gria3, Snc4, Centpe | 5.06    | 0.0049  |
| Cell projection                  | 39   | Pcm1, Gria3, Gria2, Ernm, Spp1, Itga1, Sensa3a, Kif18a, Nov, Iqub | 5.64    | 0.0193  |
| Biological process               |      |             |         |         |
| Multicellular organism reproduction | 12  | Pla2g4a, Atp7a, Npy5r, Anxa1, Fgfl, Ptgs2, Zf5, Xdb, Kitlg, Angt1 | 7.32    | 0.0354  |
| Microtubule-based process        | 19   | Kif5b, Rock2, Smc3, Ofd1, Hook3, Kif20b, Kif15, Centpe, Kif18a, Pcm1 | 8.26    | 0.003   |
| Chromosome segregation           | 7    | Centp, Smc4, Top2a, Centpe, Kif18a, Smc2, Brcal | 12.50   | 0.0094  |
| Cell death                       | 51   | Prkdc, Rb1cc1, Zfp346, Nasp2, Efi2ak2, Asp8ap2, Itga1, Abms1, Atp7a, Top2a | 5.47    | 0.0135  |
| Cell cycle process               | 28   | Rock2, Smc4, Smc2, Smc3, Centpe, Syepl2, Rad50, Pds5a, Taf1, Kif18a | 6.88    | 0.0045  |
| Cell division                    | 13   | Rock2, Aspml, Smc3, Pds5a, Top2a, Brcal, Cdc27, Abctf1, Smc1a, Syepl2 | 7.69    | 0.021   |

Table 3. GO term analysis of up-regulated genes on NH2 group SAM

| GO term                          | Hits | Gene symbol | Percent | P value |
|----------------------------------|------|-------------|---------|---------|
| Molecular function               |      |             |         |         |
| Cyclase activity                 | 2    | Gucylb2, Gucylαa2 | 8.33    | 0.0096  |
| Lyase activity                   | 3    | Tat, Gucylb2, Gucylαa2 | 2.26    | 0.0433  |
| Toxin binding                    | 1    | Chrna7 | 33.33   | 0.0227  |
| Amine binding                    | 3    | Htr1a, Tat, Chrna7 | 3.13    | 0.0192  |
| Channel regulator activity       | 2    | Kons1, Chrna7 | 4.44    | 0.0297  |
| Signal transducer activity       | 24   | Ncr1, Chrna7, Olr376, Olr464, Olr783, Olr1243, Olr1264, P2ry13, Olr313, Vom2s56 | 0.84    | 0.0348  |
| Cellular component               |      |             |         |         |
| Cell surface                     | 6    | Fcer1a, Chrna7, Pecam1, Itgal, Cd55, Tmprss11d | 1.78    | 0.014   |
| Axon hillock                     | 1    | Htr1a | 33.33   | 0.0227  |
| Biological process               | 14   | Olr | 1.04    | 0.0232  |

Notes: In the analysis, the enriched GO terms of P < 0.05 were selected in the table, ‘hits’ was the number of hitted genes involved in the term, ‘percent’ was the ratio of hitted genes to the total genes in the GO term. The genes were list in the label by ‘genes symbol’, only the top 10 genes were listed when more than 10 genes were hitted according to the fold change.
### Table 4. GO term analysis of down-regulated genes on NH2 group SAM

| GO term                          | Hits | Gene symbol | Percent | P value |
|----------------------------------|------|-------------|---------|---------|
| Cellular component               |      |             |         |         |
| Cell surface                     | 5    | Il6, Art2b, Slc46a2, Kenj3, Cd244     | 1.48    | 0.0451  |
| Biological process               |      |             |         |         |
| Multicellular organismal metabolic process | 2    | Mmp10, Il6    | 4.76    | 0.0258  |
| Response to biotic stimulus      | 5    | Il6, Cyp2c7, Ilg20, Nlrc4, Ccr1       | 1.50    | 0.0437  |
| Response to other organism       | 5    | Il6, Cyp2c7, Ilg20, Nlrc4, Ccr1       | 1.85    | 0.0201  |

### Table 5. GO term analysis of up-regulated genes on OH group SAM

| GO term                          | Hits | Gene symbol | Percent | P value |
|----------------------------------|------|-------------|---------|---------|
| Molecular function               |      |             |         |         |
| Carbohydrate binding             | 17   | Colec10, Csgf, Asgr1, Hbegf, Cyr61, Ccl3, Rpsp, Orl1630, Stbd1, Wbcr17 | 5.20 | 3.00E-04 |
| Peptide binding                  | 8    | C5ar1, Calcr, Ccr5, Npy, Cckbr, Fzrl2, Npy2r, Slc7a8   | 3.92   | 0.0473  |
| Cell adhesion                    | 23   | Spn, Dsg3, Myf5, Cobl, Itpg2, Egfl6, Gdbf, Ptk2b, Csgf | 4.48   | 0       |
| Cell death                       | 27   | C5ar1, Nkx2-5, Prok2, Il6ra, Cckbr, Adh5a3, Gch1, Aif1, Ptk2b | 2.90   | 0.0337  |
| Extracellular region part        | 33   | Wnt2, Vgf, Npy, Csgf, Hbegf, Ccl, Cyr61, Czp, Pyy, Nphpb, Spn, Mfap5 | 4.53   | 0.0088  |
| Extracellular matrix             | 23   | Vgf, Npy, Gdbf, Ppy, Spn, Nphpb, Il6ra, Edn1, Scg2 | 4.72   | 1.00E-04 |
| Site of polarization growth      | 11   | Wnt2, Spn, Cdk4, Mmp28, Egfl6, Mfap5, Csgf, Cyr61, Cpl, Col5a1 | 4.53   | 0.0088  |
| Cell death                       | 13   | C5ar1, Ccr5, Il6ra, Spn, Cdk4, Ilir1, Cas3, Tnfrsf12a, Igtb2, Cdk3 | 3.86   | 0.0154  |
| Nucleic acid binding             | 4    | Spn, Egfl6, Mfap5, Col5a1 | 6.67 | 0.0322  |
| Extracellular region part        | 27   | C5ar1, Ccr5, Hbegf, Ccl3, Fmmn1, Aif1, Igtg11, Ptk2b, Csgf | 4.58   | 0       |
| Cell proliferation               | 26   | Nkx2-5, Prok2, Edn1, Ilt6ra, Cckbr, Aif1, Igtg2, Ptk2b | 2.90   | 0.0337  |
| Cell death                       | 27   | C5ar1, Nkx2-5, Prok2, Il6ra, Cckbr, Adh5a3, Gch1, Aif1, Ptk2b | 3.07   | 0.0138  |
| Organismal structure morphogenesis | 44  | Prok2, Edn1, Scg2, Tmod1, Cobl, Itpg2, Thbs1, Ptk2b, Csgf, Cyr61 | 3.59   | 1.00E-04 |
| Cell growth                      | 11   | Wdcd1, Emp1, Cdk4, Hbegf, Fbn5, Cav3, Nggf, Ptk2b, Csgf, Cyr61 | 6.29   | 8.00E-04 |
| Positive regulation of growth    | 5    | Hbegf, Dio3, Nggf, Myod1, Ptk2b | 6.02 | 0.0251  |
| Cell motility                    | 25   | C5ar1, Ccr5, Hbegf, Ccl3, Aif1, Igtb2, Ptk2b, Csgf, Col5a1, Cyr61 | 5.03   | 0       |
| Positive regulation of anti-apoptosis | 3   | Il6ra, Cav1, Ptk2b | 10.71 | 0.02    |

### Table 6. GO term analysis of down-regulated genes on OH group SAM

| GO term                          | Hits | Gene symbol | Percent | P value |
|----------------------------------|------|-------------|---------|---------|
| Molecular function               |      |             |         |         |
| Nucleotide binding               | 106  | Atrx, Prkg2, Snc4, Bub1, Kif20b, Dock11, Kif15, Carpe, Kif18a, Nkx2-5 | 5.62 | 0.0213  |
| Nucleic acid binding             | 159  | Atrx, Zip40, OC689296, OC691257, RGD1565622, Eif2ak2, Crop, Esco1, Zfp68, Assc3 | 6.88 | 0       |
| Chromatin binding                | 17   | Atrx, Top2a, Zfp386, Hells, Arid4a, Pola1, Nsp1, Snc4, Phosphor5 | 10.63 | 0.0021  |
| Ribonucleoprotein binding        | 5    | Mtf2, Sp54a, Narg1, Sp572, Nols5 | 15.15 | 0.0246  |
| Extracellular region part        |      |             |         |         |
| Extracellular matrix             | 44   | Prok2, Edn1, Scg2, Tmod1, Cobl, Itpg2, Thbs1, Ptk2b, Csgf, Cyr61 | 3.59 | 1.00E-04 |
| Cell growth                      | 11   | Wdcd1, Emp1, Cdk4, Hbegf, Fbn5, Cav3, Nggf, Ptk2b, Csgf, Cyr61 | 6.29 | 8.00E-04 |
| Positive regulation of growth    | 5    | Hbegf, Dio3, Nggf, Myod1, Ptk2b | 6.02 | 0.0251  |
| Cell proliferation               | 26   | Pcm1, Kif18a, Iqub, Cenpf, Rad50, Kif11, Mtr3, Esf1, Prkd | 6.47 | 0.0012  |
| Organelle lumen                  | 135  | Atrx, Pcm1, Aspm, Snc4, Gria3, Gria2, Carpe, Kif18a, RGD1308101, RGD1307234 | 6.53 | 0       |
| Organelle part                   | 186  | Mmnn1, Atrx, Pcm1, Bub1, Kif15, Kif18a, Snc4, Stag2, Snc4, Aspm | 5.66 | 0.0016  |
| Intracellular organelle part     | 184  | Mmnn1, Atrx, Cenpf, Aspm, Snc4, Bub1, Gria3, Gria2, Iqub, Kif20b | 5.64 | 0.0019  |
| Cell projection                  | 43   | Pcm1, Kif18a, Gria3, Gria2, Iqub, Kcnma1, Ift74, Sema3a, Kif5b, Gcde88a | 6.21 | 0.035   |
| Asymmetric synapse               | 3    | Gria3, Gria2, Kif5p | 25.00 | 0.026   |
| Cell cycle                       | 42   | Cenpf, Snc4, Atm, Carpe, Kif18a, Snc2, Rad50, Syncp2 | 6.85 | 0.0095  |
| Chromosome segregation           | 9    | Apc, Cenpf, Snc4, Ssc25, Top2a, Carpe, Kif18a, Snc2, Brca1 | 16.07 | 0.0021  |
| Cell cycle                       | 39   | Snc4, Cenpf, Kif11, Carpe, Kif18a, Snc2, Rad50, Atm, Snc3, Syncp2 | 9.38 | 0       |
| Membrane docking                 | 4    | Exoc4, Vam31, Scld1, Rock1 | 16.67 | 0.0329  |
| Cell division                    | 15   | Aspm, Cenpf5, Snc3, Nuf2, Top2a, Brca2, Cpl10, Snc1a, Syncp2 | 8.88 | 0.0161  |
| Establishment of organelle location | 7   | Cop1b1, Nlgn1, Exoc4, Myo5a, Cenpf, Kif18a, Synl | 14.29 | 0.0111  |
Additionally, in ‘biological process’ term, some genes such as ‘Cenpe’ and ‘Aspm’ played roles in negative regulation of neuron differentiation and neuroblast division was down expressed; some genes influenced the cellular structure and movement was down regulated either, such as ‘Gria3’ regulated dendritic shaft, ‘Mnmtl’ regulated microtubule motor activity and developmental process, ‘Kif15’ for microtubule-based movement.

Many genes of NSCs on OH group were up-regulated in the same time (Table 5). It could deduce these genes acted positively on the interactions of surface binding with the cell membrane, and correspondingly enhanced the adhesion, growth and motion.

Overall, the genes expression profiling showed the OH group could interact with cell membrane and promoted adhesion, growth and especially cell migration. Meantime, it influenced the cell circle by reducing the process of replication, transcription and translation.

**KEGG pathway analysis**

KEGG pathways analyses were used to assess the statistical significant pathways associated with differentially expressed genes. The up- and down-regulated genes of NSCs on the three chemical groups were analyzed, respectively. Probes were mapped to genes identifiers and gene identifiers were used as the input in the statistical analysis; P < 0.05 was considered significant. Only those pathways associated with cellular interaction, metabolism and biological process were included.

For CH3 group, the enrichment analysis revealed that 12 pathways were associated with up-regulated genes and 18 pathways were significant in down-regulated genes, as listed in Table 7. Analysis of functions showed pathways mediated cellular adhesion and growth processing were significantly down-regulated, such as ‘focal adhesion’, ‘axon guidance’ and ‘cell cycle’ pathways. However, some pathways mediated chemical signal detection and transduction, chemical drug metabolism and rejection reaction were found up-regulated. In addition, three signaling pathways associated cell growth and differentiation were triggered as well, such as JAK-STAT and TGF-β signaling pathway, indicating the regulation on the cells biological process.

For NH2 group, the pathways on cellular adhesion and interactions with membrane receptors were up-regulated apparently, indicating the active binding and recognition to cells. Whereas the down-regulated pathways, such as ‘NOD-like receptor signaling pathway’ and ‘Graft-versus-host disease’, indicated the immune and rejection responses to NH2 were decreased (Table 8). Therefore, it could deduce NH2 group was easily accepted by NSCs.

For OH group (Table 9), several pathways mediated cellular adhesion, proliferation and differentiation processing were up-regulated significantly, including ‘ECM–receptor interaction’, ‘Focal adhesion’, ‘ErbB signaling pathway’, ‘TGF-beta signaling pathway’, ‘Hedgehog signaling pathway’, ‘Jak-STAT signaling pathway’ and so on. However, for down-regulated genes, some pathway associated metabolism processes were enriched, such as ‘Protein export’, ‘RNA degradation’ and ‘mTOR signaling pathway’. In addition, there were still some functions about the down-regulated pathways of ‘Axon guidance’, ‘CAMs’, ‘Neuroactive ligand–receptor interaction’; it suggested the complex networks in the same pathway on OH group, some genes were up-regulated, meanwhile others were down.

The genes expression profiles showed the different ways that chemical groups acted on the cell. In all differential genes in pathways, those involved in cellular communication and signal interactions were analyzed extensively, as shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4. It showed the genes for adhesion and membrane receptors were usually down-regulated for CH3 group, for example, gene ‘cdh’, ‘vcam’ and ‘spp’, which mediated cell-to-cell and cell-to-matrix interactions with lowered expression. In contrast, for OH and NH2

| Table 7. Pathway analysis of up- and down-regulated genes on CH3 group SAM |
|----------------|-------|-------|-----|
| Regulation     | Pathway                                      | Hits | Percent | P value |
| Down           | Non-homologous end-joining                   | 4    | 30.77   | 2.00E−04 |
|                | NOD-like receptor signaling pathway          | 9    | 13.85   | 0       |
|                | Homologous recombination                     | 3    | 11.54   | 0.0149  |
|                | Inositol phosphate metabolism                | 5    | 8.77    | 0.0051  |
|                | Cell cycle                                   | 11   | 8.33    | 1.00E−04 |
|                | Axon guidance                                | 10   | 7.46    | 3.00E−04 |
|                | RNA degradation                              | 4    | 6.56    | 0.0296  |
|                | Phosphatidylinositol signaling system        | 5    | 6.49    | 0.0162  |
|                | VEGF signaling pathway                       | 4    | 6.25    | 0.0187  |
|                | Spliceosome                                  | 8    | 6.06    | 0.0039  |
|                | Gap junction                                 | 5    | 5.75    | 0.0253  |
|                | Oocyte meiosis                               | 6    | 5.17    | 0.0232  |
|                | Apoptosis                                    | 5    | 5.05    | 0.0399  |
|                | CAMs                                        | 7    | 4.43    | 0.0301  |
|                | Neuroactive ligand–receptor interaction      | 13   | 4.00    | 0.0088  |
| Up             | Focal adhesion                               | 8    | 3.94    | 0.0378  |
|                | Graft-versus-host disease                    | 3    | 5.00    | 0.0012  |
|                | Allograft rejection                          | 3    | 4.84    | 0.0013  |
|                | Hedgehog signaling pathway                   | 2    | 3.85    | 0.014   |
|                | Drug metabolism—other enzymes                | 2    | 3.70    | 0.015   |
|                | Metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450  | 2    | 2.82    | 0.0247  |
|                | Phosphatidylinositol signaling system        | 2    | 2.60    | 0.0286  |
|                | TGF-beta signaling pathway                   | 2    | 2.35    | 0.0341  |
|                | ErbB signaling pathway                       | 2    | 2.22    | 0.0378  |
|                | Jak-STAT signaling pathway                   | 3    | 2.01    | 0.0144  |

Notes: the enriched signal pathways were listed following the descending order of ‘percent’. The ‘percent’ was ratio of the hitted genes to the total genes in the pathway. The ‘hits’ meant the number of hitted genes in the pathway.

| Table 8. Pathway analysis of up- and down-regulated genes on NH2 group SAM |
|----------------|-------|-------|-----|
| Regulation     | Pathway                                      | Hits | Percent | P value |
| Down           | NOD-like receptor signaling pathway          | 3    | 4.62    | 8.00E−04 |
|                | Graft-versus-host disease                    | 2    | 3.33    | 0.0119  |
|                | Drug metabolism—cytochrome P450              | 2    | 2.41    | 0.0215  |
|                | Calcium signaling pathway                    | 4    | 2.09    | 0.0018  |
|                | Neuroactive ligand–receptor interaction      | 4    | 1.23    | 0.0115  |
|                | Cytokine–cytokine receptor interaction       | 3    | 1.22    | 0.0287  |
| Up             | Fc epsilon RI signaling pathway              | 3    | 3.70    | 0.0015  |
|                | Gap junction                                 | 2    | 3.30    | 0.0239  |
|                | CAMs                                        | 3    | 1.90    | 0.0094  |
|                | Neuroactive ligand–receptor interaction      | 5    | 1.54    | 0.002   |
group, many genes encoded membrane receptors and cell adhesive molecules were highly expressed, promoting cell adhesion and interactions to ECM or other cells. For example, on NH2 group, the NSCs expressed ‘Itg’, ‘pecam’ genes, and that was similar for OH group, the genes such as ‘Itg’, ‘Cdh’ and ‘Thbs2’, were up-regulated. These genes encoded the typical membrane receptors and mediated cell–matrix interaction. Furthermore, these two groups might also work on NSCs through neuroactive ligand–receptor interaction pathways, for many genes in this pathway expressed high.

In addition, all the three chemical groups could act on cell through cytokine–cytokine receptor interaction pathways, many genes in this pathway expressed high.

In addition, all the three chemical groups could act on cell through cytokine–cytokine receptor interaction pathways, many genes in this pathway expressed high. Therefore, a better understanding of these mechanisms will be crucial to tight regulation of these properties for controlling the cells, especially for NSCs fate to suitable regenerative efforts; and to the development of biomaterials for neural repair applications, which was becoming increasingly concerned along with the knowledge of too much difficulty to accomplish self-repair following neural system injuries [29].

Chemical groups were widely used as surface functionality. It was reported chemical groups made some functions on cell adhesion, stem cell maintain, materials endothelialization [27, 30–32]. Our results confirmed the functions of chemical groups on NSCs and demonstrated they could modulate the cellular inner gene expression in biological process. The results provided gene expression profiling and genes reacted to the chemical groups by ways of cellular signaling transduction, including binding, shape, cell cycle, growth and so on. Moreover, the different chemical groups might affect the cellular process through different pathway, including

### Table 9. Pathway analysis of up- and down-regulated genes on OH SAM

| Regulation | Pathway                              | Hits | Percent | P value |
|------------|--------------------------------------|------|---------|---------|
| Down       | Non-homologous end-joining            | 3    | 23.08   | 0.0042  |
|            | NOD-like receptor signaling pathway   | 9    | 13.85   | 0       |
|            | Mismatch repair                       | 3    | 13.64   | 0.015   |
|            | Homologous recombination              | 3    | 11.54   | 0.0225  |
|            | Cell cycle                            | 13   | 9.85    | 0       |
|            | RNA degradation                       | 6    | 9.84    | 0.0028  |
|            | Phosphatidylinositol signaling system | 6    | 7.79    | 0.0081  |
|            | mTOR signaling pathway                | 4    | 7.02    | 0.0393  |
|            | Axon guidance                         | 8    | 3.97    | 0.0105  |
|            | T cell receptor signaling pathway     | 6    | 3.22    | 0.0427  |
|            | CAMs                                  | 8    | 3.06    | 0.0245  |
|            | Neuroactive ligand–receptor interaction|   | 13      | 4.00    | 0.0276  |
| Up         | ECM-receptor interaction              | 8    | 9.88    | 0       |
|            | Focal adhesion                        | 14   | 6.90    | 0       |
|            | Hedgehog signaling pathway            | 3    | 5.77    | 0.0128  |
|            | Neuroactive ligand–receptor interaction|   | 16      | 4.92    | 0       |
|            | TGF-beta signaling pathway            | 4    | 4.71    | 0.0081  |
|            | Cytokine–cytokine receptor interaction|   | 11      | 4.47    | 0       |
|            | Chemokine signaling pathway           | 7    | 3.91    | 0.0014  |
|            | Axon guidance                         | 5    | 3.73    | 0.008   |
|            | Tight junction                        | 5    | 3.70    | 0.0083  |
|            | Drug metabolism–cytochrome P450        | 3    | 3.61    | 0.0409  |
|            | ErbB signaling pathway                | 3    | 3.33    | 0.0497  |
|            | CAMs                                  | 5    | 3.16    | 0.0152  |
|            | Regulation of actin cytoskeleton       | 7    | 3.14    | 0.0046  |
|            | Calcium signaling pathway             | 6    | 3.14    | 0.0084  |
|            | Jak-STAT signaling pathway            | 4    | 2.68    | 0.0474  |

**Figure 3.** The number of differential gene in pathways for NSCs responded to chemical groups. The categories of cell communication and signaling molecules and interaction included eight pathways as shown in the figure presented by eight columns. The number of gene in each pathway presented in ‘y’ axis; the red columns meant the up-regulated genes; the green ones meant the down-regulation. It showed the tendency of interactions of chemical surface with the cell on CH3 group, the pathways were mainly down-regulated and implied the negative interactions to the cell. On the contrary, they were mostly up-regulated on NH2 and OH groups, indicating the extensive interactions with the cell. Color version of this figure is available at http://rb.oxfordjournals.org/online.

**Discussion**

As most used and efficient method, surface chemistry dramatically developed the materials as well as the chemical engineering technology. Moreover, it was recently found had various functions on cellular biological process [10, 14, 25, 26], even on stem cell regulation [27, 28]. Although it opens up many possibilities for designing biomaterials, and even cellular engineering, it is still restricted by the limited understanding of biological reactions to surface chemistry.
cell–matrix interactions and signal transduction pathways. These results would help to know the mechanisms of surface chemistries on NSCs regulation.

The results of morphology showed NH$_2$ and OH group promoted NSCs adhesion, migration and growth; this phenomenon matched their gene expressions. It revealed that cells had active communications with the two groups with many genes encoded membrane receptors and molecules in signaling pathways highly expressed. NH$_2$ and OH groups could tend to bind the cell’s surface for cell adhesion, some molecules, such as cadherins for mediating cell–cell adhesion, were highly up-expressed. Moreover, the results suggested NH$_2$ and OH group probably acted on NSCs by way of ECM–receptor interactions through the membrane receptors such as integrins and pcam, and then triggered the signaling pathways to promote the cellular adhesion, migration and growth, for some pathways of cell adhesion, neuroactive ligand receptor interactions were up regulated. Especially, it seemed that the OH group had more complex interactions with the NSCs, for a part of the molecules for adhesion and ligands might not involve in the interactions with the cells; and it not only interacted with cell surface but also

Figure 4. The differential gene expression in cell communication and signaling molecules and interaction pathways. The column showed the fold change of each gene in the two pathways. The genes with the same color were in a same catalog as shown. Color version of this figure is available at http://rb.oxfordjournals.org/ online.
could act as an extracellular component to involve in the extracellular environment and then have further impression on cellular process. As a result, many signaling pathways for stem cell proliferation and maintenance were also highly expressed, such as TGF-beta signaling, Jak-STAT signaling, ErbB signaling and Hedgehog signaling pathway [33–36]. The difference of the groups induced different cellular responses through several ways. The NH2 and OH groups had strong hydrophilicity, this property might made them had more interactions with cell’s surface. Meantime, some genes for amine and peptides binding were also up-expressed on NH2 and OH groups surfaces, the binding might adsorb and bind the special proteins in the media and then promote the cells adhesion and migration indirectly, as showed in the report of endothelial cell growth on chemical groups [37].

Conversely, the NSCs were not easy to adhere on CH3 group surface. Many cells still formed clusters till the 5th day of culture, only a little part of NSCs migrated out on the surface. GO term analysis showed CH3 group played a role like a normal chemical agent with the cells, without so many interactions with membrane receptors. Accordingly, the signaling pathways like focal adhesion, cell adhesion molecules (CADs), neuroactive ligand–receptor interaction and axon guidance were all down expressed. Although some signaling pathways were activated including TGF-beta signaling, Jak-STAT signaling, ErbB signaling, which especially being required for stem cell maintenance [33–35]. And thereby, the reproduction and division of cell were depressed as showed in gene expression. Therefore, CH3 group could help NSCs to maintain an undifferentiated state and keep the stem cell properties.

Conclusions
The information presented in his study declared of interactions with the cellular receptors and cellular biology process regulation by chemistry property. It showed the different gene expressions associated with biological functions of membrane interactions, adhesion, proliferation and so on, through several different signaling transduction pathways. NH3 and OH groups had active interaction with cell through the cellular adhesion molecules and membrane receptors, then triggered the signaling pathways of adhesion, migration, proliferation and division; CH3 group had less interactions through the membrane receptors, it intended to maintain the property of NSCs. It was helpful to know the molecular mechanism of cellular chemistry controlling and should be useful for the development of biomaterials to regulate the preservation, proliferation and differentiation of NSCs.
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