Consortin (CNST) is a protein located on the trans-Golgi network that can target transmembrane proteins to the plasma membrane. Although CNST was discovered more than 10 years ago, there are still not enough studies on its function. During our search for possible new acute myeloid leukemia (AML) markers, we found that CNST was overexpressed in almost all patients with AML. By analyzing profiling data from public databases, we found that CNST expression inversely correlated with overall survival among AML patients. There was a great variation in CNST expression among different subtypes of AML, and the expression was the highest in the t(8,21) subtype, which was probably due to the direct regulation of CNST transcription by RUNX1-RUNX1T1. In addition, we analyzed the expression of CNST in different cells of the hematopoietic system. We found that CNST was associated with the low differentiation degrees of hematopoietic cells and had the highest expression level in leukemia stem cells (LSCs). Finally, we analyzed the CNST-related gene network and found that the genes negatively correlated with CNST are involved in various immune-related pathways, which indicates that CNST is likely related to immune evasion, LSC niche retention, and assembly of stress granules. In conclusion, our study suggests that CNST has the potential to be a diagnostic and prognostic biomarker for AML.
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INTRODUCTION

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a malignant disease that occurs in the hematopoietic system. It is characterized by abnormal proliferation and differentiation of hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) (Khwaja et al., 2016). The proliferation of immature myeloid cells leads to the accumulation of immature progenitor cells, which impairs normal hematopoiesis and causes severe infection, anemia, and hemorrhage (Newell and Cook, 2021). Standard intensive chemotherapy with combination of anthracyclines and cytarabine for AML has been used for more than 40 years (Dombret and Gardin, 2016). Recent progresses in molecular biology, have gradually offered a deeper understanding of the pathophysiology of AML. Consequently, many different treatment options have been derived, which enables us to provide more individualized treatment plans for different patients (Carter et al., 2020).
However, AML is still considered a disease that is complicated and difficult to treat, suggesting that more effective and targeted treatments are still needed.

Consortin (CNST) is a receptor located on the trans-Golgi network (TGN) and cytoplasmic transport vesicles (del Castillo et al., 2010), which is a binding partner of connexins for the plasma membrane targeting and recycling of connexins. CNST directly interacts with the TGN clathrin linkers GGA1 and GGA2, and knockdown of CNST results in a decrease in the number of connexin plaques at the plasma membrane (del Castillo et al., 2010).

CNST is thought to be involved in pathways related to inherited non-syndromic hearing impairment (DFNB1) (del Castillo et al., 2010); in mice, CNST may affect bone volume and microarchitecture (Mohan et al., 2013); the expression level of CNST increased during the depolarization of rat myocardial fibroblasts after the addition of TGF-β1 (Salvarani et al., 2017). Currently, there is limited research on CNST and the diseases it may affect.

In this study, we investigated the expression of CNST in the blood system and AML, and its impact on the prognosis of AML by analyzing data from public databases. Moreover, the possible function of CNST in AML was analyzed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Public Database
CNST expression data for 33 tumors were obtained from Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA) (Tang et al., 2017). Gene expression data were obtained from the Beat AML (Tyner et al., 2018) http://www.vizome.org/additional_figures_BeatAML.html, and the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ gds.GSE13159 (Haferlach et al., 2010), GSE114868 (Huang et al., 2019), GSE15061 (Mills et al., 2009), GSE63270 (Jung et al., 2015), GSE75384 (Corces et al., 2016), GSE42519 (Rapin et al., 2014), GSE24006 (GGA2, and knockdown of CNST results in a decrease in the expression level of CNST increased during the depolarization of rat myocardial fibroblasts after the addition of TGF-β1 (Salvarani et al., 2017). Currently, there is limited research on CNST and the diseases it may affect.

In this study, we investigated the expression of CNST in the blood system and AML, and its impact on the prognosis of AML by analyzing data from public databases. Moreover, the possible function of CNST in AML was analyzed.

Data Visualizations
Gene expression data plots in different tumors were built with the Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA) web tool. The chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing (ChIP-seq) data were visualized using the WashU Epigenome Browser (Li et al., 2019), and the rest of the data were visualized using ggplot2 (version 3.3.5) (Ginestet, 2011) in the R statistical language.

Cell Culture
KG-1a, THP-1, HL-60, HEL, and KO-52 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 (M&C Gene Technology) containing 10% FBS (LONSA SCIENCE SRL), maintained at 37°C with 5% CO2. Kasumi-1 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 containing 20% FBS.

Cell Preparation
CD34+ cells were enriched using the CD34 Positive Isolation Kit (Thermo). CD34-enriched AML cells were then incubated with CD34-FITC (FITC-65111; Proteintech) and CD38-PE (PE-65183; Proteintech) monoclonal antibodies and sorted on a flow cytometer (BD FACSaria Fusion).

RNA extraction, reverse transcription, and quantitative real time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR).

Total RNA was extracted with RNeasy Plus (Takara). Reverse transcription was performed using the M5 Sprint qPCR RT kit (Mei5 Biotechnology). Afterward, RT-PCR was performed using THUNDERBIRD SYBR qPCR Mix (TOYOBO) on a LineGene package, and pathway enrichment analysis was performed through enrichGO in the clusterProfiler (Yu et al., 2012). Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) (Mootha et al., 2003; Subramanian et al., 2005) was performed with GSEA software (Broad Institute).

Analysis of Single-Cell RNA-Seq
For the downstream analysis of single-cell RNA-seq, we selected cells with at least 1000 UMIS (gene count, indicating the number of captured transcripts) mapped to at least 200 unique genes. We also excluded cells with more than 20% of gene counts reflecting mitochondrial genes or ribosomal RNA. We normalized gene counts to a total of 10,000 for each cell. The type definitions for different cells used in the cell annotations were provided in the data.

Single-cell transcriptome sequencing data were visualized using a combination of principal component analysis (PCA) and t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE). The top 50 principal components were selected for downstream analysis. Specifically, PCA was performed using procorm, which was followed by t-SNE visualization using the Rtsne package. The maximum number of iterations was 2000, and the random seed was the seed (1,000).

High variable genes for clustering: HSC/hematopoietic progenitor cell (HPC): SPINK2, ZFAS1, NRIP1, GAS5, JUN, MEIS1, HLF, EGR1, CRHBP, NPR3; LSC: NPTX2, H1F0, EMP1, MEIS1, CALCRL, TPSD1, TPT1, CRHBP, CLNK, TSC22D1; leukemic progenitor cell (LPC): CDK6, HSP90AB1, SPINK2, EEF1B2, PCNP, TAPT1-AS1, HINT1, LRRC75A-AS1, DSE, PEBP1.
4840 Real-time PCR system (Bioer). Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was used as an endogenous control. GAPDH gene Forward primer: TGGCACCGTCAAGGCTGAGAA; Reverse primer: TGTTGAAAGCAGCTTGACTC. CNST gene Forward primer: GCCACTTCGGGATGCTTC TGAG; Reverse primer: GCCACTTCGGGATGCTTCTGAG.

**Western Blot**

Cells were lysed in sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) lysis buffer (1% SDS, 5% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, 25 mM Tris, and 150 mM NaCl) supplemented with protease inhibitors and sonicated to shear DNA. Protein samples were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to the PVDF membrane (Millipore). Antibodies:
Comparisons were performed using Kruskal-Wallis test in all statistical analyses. Moreover, Student’s t-test or Mann–Whitney U test was used to examine whether there was a difference between the two groups of data, and analysis of variance (ANOVA) or Kruskal–Wallis test was used to evaluate the relationship between a categorical variable and a continuous variable, as appropriate. For ANOVA test, multiple comparisons were performed using Tukey test. For Kruskal–Wallis test, multiple comparisons were performed using Kruskal–Wallis H test. The correlation between genes was estimated using the Pearson correlation coefficient. The prognostic effect of CNST expression was analyzed through Kaplan–Meier analysis using the log-rank test. The p value < 0.05 (two-tailed) was defined as statistically significant in all statistical analyses.

RESULTS

CNST is Overexpressed in AML and Correlates With Poor Prognosis

We analyzed CNST expression in 33 types of tumors by using the GEPIA tool. We found that CNST expression was abnormally higher in AML compared with paired normal tissues (Figure 1A). We then compared CNST expression data from AML patients and healthy human bone marrow cells in four large-scale datasets and found that CNST showed significantly higher expression in AML patients (Mann–Whitney test, Beat AML, p < 0.001; GSE13159, p < 0.001; GSE114868, p < 0.001; GSE15061, p < 0.001; Figures 1B–E). To investigate whether the higher expression of CNST in AML affects the prognosis of AML patients, we used the TCGA database to analyze the relationship between CNST expression and the survival time of AML patients. The expression of CNST was found to have an adverse effect on the survival time of AML patients (p = 0.029; Figure 1F). Furthermore, the GSE83533 and GSE66525 datasets showed that CNST expression was significantly higher in relapsed AML patients (Mann–Whitney test, GSE83533, p = 0.002; GSE66525, p = 0.033) (Figures 1G,H).

CNST Expression Correlates With Specific Subtypes of AML

To verify the ability of CNST as a diagnostic marker, we further examined whether CNST expression is associated with the demographic, molecular and biological characteristics of AML, including those based on age, morphology, cytogenetics, and genomic lesions. We analyzed five independent large clinical datasets and focused on bone marrow samples. In GSE10358 and GSE14468 datasets, the expression of CNST in young patients (aged < 60 years) was higher than that in the elderly group, but this trend was not found in GSE30285, TCGA, and Beat AML. To further explore whether CNST expression is related to age, we used the data of GSE11504 and GSE69408 to analyze the expression of CNST in bone marrow cells and HSCs from different ages of people. We found that there was little change in CNST expression in bone marrow cells and HSCs of different ages. CNST expression showed a significant variation according to the French–American–British classification, which subclassified AML into different categories based on the cytology as well as enzymatic profile (Hasserjian, 2021), in all of the analyzed datasets. M0, M1, M4, and M7 subtypes showed higher CNST expression. Moreover, all cohorts showed a significant variation in CNST expression between AML forms with different karyotypes. The expression levels of CNST in AML patients with the t (8; 21) karyotype were significantly higher than those in AML patients with other karyotypes (Kruskal–Wallis test, p < 0.001; Figure 2A). In addition, we also analyzed the effect of NPM1 and FLT3 mutations on CNST expression and found that NPM1 and FLT3 mutations did not show any effect on CNST expression.

CNST May Be a Direct Downstream Transcript of RUNX1–RUNX1T1

Patients with t (8; 21) karyotype have been shown to have mutations in the RUNX1–RUNX1T1 fusion protein (Erickson et al., 1992). Therefore, we analyzed the CNST expression levels of AML with different fusion proteins in GSE61804 and GSE75461 cohorts. The results showed that AML with the RUNX1–RUNX1T1 fusion protein had a higher expression of CNST than other cases. However, this difference was not shown for those AMLs with RUNX1 mutations in GSE146173. We also analyzed data at the single-cell level with GSE116256 and revealed that patients with RUNX1–RUNX1T1 had the highest CNST expression levels (Figures 2B,C). We identified differentially expressed genes between AML patients with RUNX1–RUNX1T1 and other AML patients in TCGA data, and found that CNST was highly expressed in AML patients with RUNX1–RUNX1T1 (Figure 2D). We compared the expression levels of CNST in the leukemia cell lines Kasumi-1 and KO-52, where the Kasumi-1 cell line expresses RUNX1–RUNX1T1 and the KO-52 does not, and the results showed that the expression of CNST was higher in Kasumi-1 (Student’s t-test, p < 0.001; Figures 2E,F). The data from GSE153281 showed that CNST expression decreased only 2 h after adding dTAG-47 to degrade RUNX1–RUNX1T1 (Kruskal–Wallis test, p < 0.001; Figure 2G). According to ChIP-seq data for RUNX1, RUNX1–RUNX1T1 binds more strongly to the transcriptional start site of CNST than does normal RUNX1 (Figure 2H), suggesting that RUNX1–RUNX1T1 is likely to directly regulate CNST expression.

CNST is Associated With a Low Degree of Differentiation of Myeloid Cells and is Highly Expressed in LSCs

CNST was also highly expressed in the M0–M2 subtypes of AML and decreased with the degree of differentiation, which may suggest...
that CNST expression may be related to the degree of differentiation of AML (Kruskal–Wallis test, \( p < 0.001; \text{Figure 3A} \)). For this reason, we first examined the expression of CNST in AML cell lines from different patients. The results showed that the expression of CNST was the highest in the least differentiated KG-1a cell line (ANOVA test, \( p < 0.001; \text{Figures 3B,C} \)). We then analyzed the expression of CNST in different cells of the hematopoietic system of AML patients and healthy individuals from the GSE75384 cohort (Kruskal–Wallis test, \( p < 0.001; \text{Figure 3D} \)). In the hematopoietic system, the expression of CNST decreased with the differentiation of myeloid cells. CNST expression was extremely low in monocytes and nucleated red blood cells and was higher in less differentiated HSCs and multipotent progenitors (MPPs). Exceptionally, CNST expression was also high in megakaryocytes, which was consistent with the higher expression of CNST in the M7 subtype of AML. However, in lymphocyte lineages, the expression of CNST did not significantly decrease in differentiated B cells, T cells, and NK cells. To verify this expression trend of CNST, we analyzed the GSE42519 and GSE63270 cohorts, and the conclusion was equivalent to that of the GSE75384 cohort. Specifically, CNST was most highly expressed in HSCs, while it showed lower expression in differentiated myeloid cells such as granulocytes and monocytes. In all AML cells, especially in LSCs, CNST expression levels were higher than those in normal myeloid cells (Kruskal–Wallis test, \( p < 0.001; \text{Figure 3D} \)). CNST was overexpressed in LSCs compared with HSCs. Elevated CNST levels were also detected in leukemic cells compared with hematopoietic progenitor cells (Mann–Whitney test; \text{Figures 3E,F} \)). Similarly, the expression of CNST in leukemic progenitor cells (LPCs) was also higher than that in HPCs. We then used the GSE116256 dataset to analyze the expression of CNST at the single-cell level, and the results showed that the expression of CNST was higher in LSCs and adjacent cells (\text{Figure 3G} \)). We also analyzed the correlation between the expression of CNST and that of 17 genes used to evaluate LSC (17-gene LSC score, LSC17) (Ng et al., 2016) in the TCGA dataset. CD33, a common AML marker gene, and ACTB were used together as control genes for the analysis of CNST. It was demonstrated that the expression of CNST was positively correlated with that of the 17 genes of LSC, such as CD34, but CD33 and ACTB as control genes did not show this correlation (\text{Figures 3H–J} \)). We compared CNST expression in sorted CD34⁺CD38⁻, CD34⁺CD38⁺, and CD34⁻ KG-1a cells and found that CNST expression was significantly higher in HSC-like (CD34⁺CD38⁻) KG-1a cells (ANOVA test, \( p < 0.001; \text{Figures 3K, L} \)). Taken together, these data indicate that in the hematopoietic system, CNST is a marker of immature hematopoietic cells. Compared with healthy blood cells of similar degree of differentiation, the expression of CNST is elevated in AML cells.

### CNST-Related Gene Networks in AML

To investigate the biological function of CNST in AML, we separately analyzed RNA-sequencing data from AML patients’ bone marrow and the sorted LSCs. We first analyzed five different AML datasets (TCGA, Beat AML, GSE6891, GSE13159, GSE114868). Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between CNST\textsuperscript{high} and CNST\textsuperscript{low} groups were found in these datasets. Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analyses were performed on these DEGs, and pathways enriched in at least three datasets were selected. These included 325 pathways that positively correlated with CNST expression and 619 pathways that negatively correlated with CNST expression (\text{Figures 4A,B} \)). The DEGs that are positively related to CNST are involved in the establishment of protein localization to membrane, protein transport along the microtubule, and protein import. This is in agreement with the previously reported CNST function, and stem cell population maintenance is consistent with our conclusions in the previous section of the article. Interestingly, as shown in our study, CNST is also involved in pathways such as stress granule (SG) assembly, P-body, and macroautophagy. DEGs negatively related to CNST are involved in myeloid cell differentiation, aging, and a large number of immune-related pathways, including neutrophil activation, antigen processing and presentation, response to interferon-gamma, T cell activation, toll-like receptor signaling pathway, and the production of various interleukins. To confirm the role of CNST in immunity, we analyzed the GSE127200 dataset, which groups AML cells based on the presence or absence of NGK2D ligands (NGK2DLs) on the surface. NGK2DL-negative AML cells often exhibit the ability to escape immune response. Our results showed that CNST expression was significantly elevated in the NGK2DL-negative group (Mann–Whitney test, \( p < 0.001, \text{Figure 4D} \)). For this reason, we compared CNST expression in sorted LSCs with different CNST expression levels. Our results revealed that a variety of adhesion-related pathways were enriched in LSCs with high CNST expression. These pathways included regulation of cell–substrate adhesion, regulation of cell–matrix adhesion, integrin-mediated signaling pathway, and focal adhesion assembly (\text{Figure 4F} \). The adhesion of LSCs to the microenvironment is thought to be involved in LSC niche retention, which is considered an important mechanism of AML drug resistance. We found that multiple integrins, such as ITGA6, ITGA9, and ITGB1, were highly expressed in LSCs of the CNST\textsuperscript{high} group (Mann–Whitney test, ITGA6, \( p < 0.001; \text{ITGA9, } p < 0.001; \text{ITGB1, } p < 0.001; \text{Figure 4G} \)).

### DISCUSSION

CNST is a protein located on the TGN that mediates the targeting of transmembrane proteins to the plasma membrane. At present, there is limited information about CNST, and the function of CNST is still poorly understood. Here, we investigated the expression patterns of CNST in AML and normal hematopoietic system and analyzed the possible role of CNST in AML.

CNST was significantly elevated in AML compared with normal bone marrow and was associated with a poorer prognosis. In multiple different independent patient cohorts, CNST expression of AML patients with various subtypes showed higher expression...
than normal population, which led us to believe that compared with other AML makers such as CD33 (Nguyen et al., 2006) and CD123 (Muñoz et al., 2001), the increase of expression level of CNST in AML is more common. AML is a heterogeneous disease, and the general increase of CNST in AML patients may represent the commonness between different AML patients to some extent, which also suggests the potential ability of CNST to become a biomarker of AML. The expression of CNST showed a significant variation among AML forms with different aberrations and was the highest in AML with the RUNX1–RUNX1T1 fusion protein. This is likely due to the direct transcription of CNST by RUNX1–RUNX1T1. We also found that the CNST expression level of inv (16)/CBFB–MYH11 AML was abnormally elevated in some datasets; however, unlike RUNX1–RUNX1T1, this finding did not show a uniform trend in all of the datasets. Abnormally elevated CNST in AML expressing CBFB–MYH11 fusion protein suggests that CNST expression is likely regulated by a transcriptional regulatory network shared by core-binding factor acute myeloid leukemia (CBF-AML) (Faber et al., 2016). However, the elevated expression of CNST in RUNX1–RUNX1T1 AML was not sufficient to explain the general elevation of CNST in all AML patients. Moreover, the high CNST expression represents a poor prognosis, which contradicts the usually favorable prognosis of CBF-AML (Kantarjian et al., 2021).

To explore the phenomenon that CNST expression is generally elevated in AML, we analyzed other subgroups of AML. We found that the expression of CNST was higher in AML patients with M0–M2 subtypes that were poorly differentiated. This suggests that CNST may be associated with the low degree of differentiation of hematopoietic cells, which was confirmed by the expression pattern

---

**FIGURE 2** CNST may be directly transcribed by RUNX1–RUNX1T1. (A) Expression analysis of CNST in AML with different abnormal karyotypes in TCGA. (B) t-SNE plots show CNST expression of single cells from AML patients with RUNX1–RUNX1T1 (AML707B) versus other AML patients. (C) The detection rate of CNST expression in different AML patients by single-cell sequencing, among which AML707B is a patient with RUNX1–RUNX1T1 mutation. (D) Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes (DEG) among patients with RUNX1–RUNX1T1 and other AML patients; (E) Western blot analysis of CNST in Kasumi-1 compared with KO-S2 cells. (F) CNST mRNA expression analysis of Kasumi-1 and KO-S2 cells was performed by qRT-PCR. (G) Changes in CNST expression at different times after adding dTAG-47. (H) The WashU genome browser shows the ChiP-seq data for RUNX1 and RUNX1–RUNX1T1 in different AML cell lines near the transcription start site of CNST. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
of CNST in the normal hematopoietic system. Thus, a question arises as to whether CNST is associated with poor differentiation levels in other tissues. However, the results indicated that CNST did not show this trend in other tissues; so, we considered CNST to be a hematopoietic system-specific marker of poor differentiation. The elevated CNST expression in LSCs also partially explains the higher CNST expression in relapsed AML patients (Long et al., 2022).

Next, we considered whether CNST is differentially expressed between distinct LSC subpopulations that differ in their self-renewal and proliferative capabilities (Sachs et al., 2020); the
results showed that there was no difference in CNST expression among the different subpopulations. In addition, we also found that CNST was highly expressed in megakaryocytes and its corresponding M7 subtype AML, which indicates that CNST may have a function in megakaryocytes.

We next considered whether the elevated expression of CNST was due to the activation of its reported function, namely sorting and cell surface targeting of transmembrane proteins through interactions with GGA adaptor proteins (del Castillo et al., 2010). However, GGA1 and GGA2, which are reported to interact directly with CNST, and Cx32, Cx43, Cx45, and other transmembrane proteins targeted to the cytoplasmic membrane by CNST did not show a similar expression trend to CNST in the hematopoietic system and AML. Some of them even showed a negative correlation with CNST, suggesting that the high expression of CNST in HSCs and AML is likely not due to more frequent Golgi-mediated vesicular trafficking but rather due to the activation of other pathways involved in CNST. This is consistent

FIGURE 4 | Molecular signatures associated with CNST in AML. (A) Heatmap of DEGs between CNSThigh and CNSTlow AML patients. (cut-off point: quartile CNST expression level). (B) The left panel shows the enriched pathways of highly expressed genes in the CNSThigh group in different datasets; Venn plots show pathways enriched in different datasets, where the left plot is enriched for genes positively correlated with CNST, and the right plot is the opposite. (C) GO analysis of gene sets positively and negatively correlated with CNST expression. (D) AML cells were grouped according to whether they expressed NKG2D ligands (NKG2DLs) or not, and the expression levels of CNST were compared between different groups. (E) Expression of PD-L1 in AML in the CNSThigh and CNSTlow groups. (F) GSEA of LSCs showed that the CNST-high expression groups were enriched in integrin-regulated cell adhesion. (G) Expression of ITGA6, ITGA9, and ITGB1 in LSCs in the CNSThigh and CNSTlow groups. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
AML shows that in the CNSThigh AML patients, various immune-related pathway genes showed low expression, suggesting that CNST is likely involved in various other intracellular transport pathways.

For the possible role of CNST in AML, according to our analysis of the signaling network involved in CNST in AML, we propose the following three possibilities: first, CNST affects the drug resistance of AML by participating in stress granule assembly. SGs are membraneless ribonucleoprotein-based cellular compartments in the cytoplasm that are formed when translation initiation is impaired (Protter and Parker, 2016). SGs are involved in posttranscriptional regulation and translational control. SGs have been found in a variety of tumors and are thought to improve the tolerance of tumor cells to stress stimuli and chemotherapeutic agents (Grabocka and Bar-Sagi, 2016; Li et al., 2021). SGs may be related to the formation of the P-body because a certain proportion of RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) and mRNAs shared by SGs and PBs have been found to shuttle between the two when the SG assembly is induced (Kedersha et al., 2005; Moon et al., 2019). A large number of genes related to PBs and SGs exist in the gene pathways related to CNST, including EIF-2A, TIA-1, DCP1, FAST, and RAP55 (Buchan and Parker, 2009). Intrinsically disordered regions (IDRs) exist in the structure of CNST. IDRs play a central role in phase separation, which underlies the assembly of SGs and PBs (Hofmann et al., 2021). IDRs can confer the ability of CNST to bind to other proteins or RNAs (Mittag and Parker, 2018). Therefore, we speculate that CNST may be involved in the intermolecular interactions in SGs or PBs as “scaffolds.” Then, CNST may promote immune evasion in AML. Our analysis of the network that CNST may be involved in AML shows that in the CNSThigh AML patients, various immune-related pathway genes showed low expression, suggesting that CNST may be involved in immune evasion (Teague and Kline, 2013), which is believed to be involved in the relapse of AML and to affect the prognosis of AML (Taghiloo and Asgarian-Omran, 2021). Two mechanisms involving AML immune evasion have been identified, one of which is mainly mediated by CD14+ monocyte-like AML through the LILRB4/SHP-2/NF-xB/uPAR/ARG1 signaling pathway (Deng et al., 2018; van Galen et al., 2019), which is inconsistent with the low expression of CNST in monocytes. At the same time, we performed a correlation analysis of these proteins with CNST in AML; the results showed that CNST was not closely related to these proteins. Therefore, we believe that CNST is more likely to be involved in immune evasion mediated by another mechanism, that is, immune evasion by LSCs, and we validated the relationship between CNST and markers of immune evasion in the data analysis (Zhang et al., 2009; Pazculla et al., 2019).

Finally, CNST participates in LSC niche retention. HSC niche is a concept first proposed by Schofield (Schofield, 1978), which defines the bone marrow microenvironment structures required to maintain a stable HSC pool. Within the niche, there are key bidirectional signals that ensure normal HSC populations and maintain a quiescent long-term HSC pool (Schepers et al., 2015). LSCs can occupy HSC niche and utilize mechanisms that maintain HSCs, thereby resulting in enhanced self-renewal and proliferation, enforced quiescence, and resistance to chemotherapeutic agents (Yamasita et al., 2020). LSC niche retention requires a variety of adhesion molecules to interact with the bone marrow microenvironment (Grenier et al., 2021), and the co-expression of CNST with these adhesion molecules in LSCs leads us to speculate that CNST mediates the membrane localization of these adhesion molecules.

Taken together, our results suggest that CNST, a marker of poor differentiation of blood cells, may play multiple biological roles in AML. CNST may influence the prognosis of AML by participating in SG assembly, immune evasion, or LSC niche retention. The specific high expression of CNST in AML also indicates that targeting CNST and its related pathways is a potential therapeutic option. However, as it is a key protein in vesicle trafficking in cells, direct targeting of CNST may lead to serious side effects. Therefore, further in-depth study of the role of CNST in cells is needed to formulate more targeted treatment options.
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