Multilayer Nanoimprinting to Create Hierarchical Stamp Masters for Nanoimprinting of Optical Micro- and Nanostructures
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Abstract: Nanoimprinting is a well-established replication technology for optical elements, with the capability to replicate highly complex micro- and nanostructures. One of the main challenges, however, is the generation of the master structures necessary for stamp fabrication. We used UV-based Nanoimprint Lithography to prepare hierarchical master structures. To realize structures with two different length scales, conventional nanoimprinting of larger structures and conformal reversal nanoimprinting to print smaller structures on top of the larger structures was performed. Liquid transfer imprint lithography proved to be well suited for this purpose. We used the sample prepared in such a way as a master for further nanoimprinting, where the hierarchical structures can then be imprinted in one single nanoimprinting step. As an example, we presented a diffuser structure with a diffraction-grating structure on top.
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1. Introduction

UV-based Nanoimprint Lithography [1,2] is a method to replicate nanostructures on a large area, and is commonly used for the fabrication of microlenses [3–5], wafer-level cameras [6,7] and diffractive optical elements [8–10]. The basic process flow is the following: a nanostructured stamp is pressed into a liquid, UV-curable material on a substrate. While the stamp is in contact with the polymer, the material is cured by UV-irradiation and then the stamp is removed, resulting in a nanostructured crosslinked polymer on the substrate. Typically, and for cost-of-ownership considerations, the stamp itself is a copy from a master structure, which can be prepared by many different types of fabrication methods, for example, electron beam lithography [11,12], ion beam lithography [13], x-ray lithography [14], diamond turning [10,15] and even by copying from natural structures [16]. For many applications, it is interesting to investigate nanostructures on top of larger microstructures: so-called ‘hierarchical structures’. Such structures often appear in nature (e.g., lotus flower leaves [17] or gecko feet [18,19]), but can also be interesting for other applications like grating couplers on waveguides (e.g., [20], energy applications [21], or sensors [22]). Nanoimprinting is ideally suited to replicate complex structures in a single process step. In most cases it is, however, non-trivial to fabricate the complex master structure, which is then used for stamp fabrication. We investigated the combination of two nanoimprint steps to create such masters with hierarchical structures.
2. Materials and Methods

As nanoimprint materials, we focused, in this work, on UV-curable hybrid polymers, so-called ‘Ormocers’. They are commercially available and are designed for use in optical applications [23].

Usually, in nanoimprint lithography, it is the substrate which is coated with the UV-curable imprint polymer, e.g., by spin coating, droplet dispensing or inkjet printing. However, for certain applications, it can be advantageous to coat the stamp rather than the substrate, which is then called ‘reversal NIL’ [24]. This procedure is especially advantageous if NIL has to be performed on top of existing micro- or nanostructures while preserving the topography. It is usually not possible to apply a homogeneous coating directly on top of already existing micro- or nanostructures without filling up the gap between these structures or changing their geometry. However, reversal NIL is often challenging since the Nanoimprint stamps are designed to exhibit anti-sticking properties, which makes good wetting - and therefore homogeneous coating of the stamp – difficult, or leads to spontaneous dewetting. This puts limitations on the type of materials that can be used as well as on the type of stamps.

A variant of reversal NIL, so-called liquid-transfer imprint lithography (LTIL) [25], is a possible solution for this problem. The basic principle of LTIL is that, initially, a flat substrate is spin coated with the UV-curable resin. This so-called donor wafer is a material that can be spin-coated nicely. It is used to prepare a thin and well defined nanoimprint material layer on a substrate. Next, a flexible stamp is brought into contact with the resin layer on the donor wafer, and this stamp is then peeled off from the donor wafer in a well-controlled way. A thin, homogeneous layer of liquid UV-curable resin stays on the stamp, which is then transferred to the target substrate, where the UV-NIL process is finished by curing the resin by UV radiation and the subsequent demolding step.

This LTIL process can be used to nanoimprint a structure on an already prestructured substrate to combine different structures and functionalities [26–28]. In our work, we combined the optical effects of a line and space diffraction grating with that of a diffuser in order to create a sample with such a hierarchical pattern, which we then used as a new master for further stamp fabrication and imprinting, improving the facilitation of the overall process in the end. The diffuser and grating pattern was chosen to demonstrate the technology and has no specific application in the context of this work.

In the following paragraphs we describe the way in which we prepared our prestructured substrates, how we perform the LTIL nanoimprinting on top of the prestructured substrate, and how we used the result of this process as a master for working stamp fabrication and single-step imprinting of hierarchical structures.

As the material for our prestructured substrates, we chose OrmoComp [29] on a standard PVC foil. The 100-µm-thick PVC foils were used as received, without any further treatment. OrmoComp has good adhesion to PVC and excellent optical and nanoimprint properties. We used a standard nanoimprint process to pattern the OrmoComp and create an OrmoComp diffuser substrate. The stamps for this nanoimprint process were prepared by casting Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS, Sylgard 184, 1:10 mixing ratio, 40 °C temperature, 23 h curing time) on a commercial diffuser foil. The imprint material was droplet dispensed onto the PVC substrate. UV curing was accomplished using an in-house built high-power UV-LED light source with a wavelength of 365 nm. For the imprinting, no pressure was applied. A photograph and an AFM image are shown in Figure 1. The typical peak to valley height is around 2.5 µm.

On top of the OrmoComp diffuser substrate, we performed the LTIL nanoimprint process. The stamps for this process were also made from PDMS (Sylgard 184, same procedure as for the diffuser PDMS stamp) and copied from a line and space quartz master (fabricated by electron beam lithography and reactive ion etching and treated with our BGL-GZ-83 anti adhesion layer [30,31]). Figure 2 shows a photograph and an AFM image of the master that was used. It contains, in total, 16 4 × 4 mm² large fields with four different periodicities. The line and space dimensions are 600 nm/1200 nm, 400 nm/900 nm, 300 nm/600 nm and 200 nm/600 nm.
The PDMS stamp was carefully brought into contact with the coated wafer and then peeled off again. Then the stamp was used for UV-NIL on top of the diffusor substrate. Pressure was applied using a self-built air pressure-based imprinting setup, which allows very homogeneous pressure distribution even on curved substrates [33]. UV-curing was performed again using the high-power UV-LED system at 365 nm. The line and space dimensions are 600 nm/900 nm.

Again, OrmoComp was used for the final imprinting with the PDMS grating stamp. For the LTIL process, OrmoComp was diluted 1:2 with PGMEA (Propylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate) and then spin coated at 5,000 rpm on a silicon wafer. The solvent was then evaporated at 100 °C on a hotplate for 1 min. Prior to spin-coating, the wafer was treated with Profactor’s HMNP-12 adhesion promoter [32]. The PDMS stamp was carefully brought into contact with the coated wafer and then peeled off again. Then the stamp was used for UV-NIL on top of the diffusor substrate. Pressure was applied using a self-built air pressure-based imprinting setup, which allows very homogeneous pressure distribution even on curved substrates [33]. UV-curing was performed again using the high-power UV-LED system at 365 nm.

The whole process sequence is schematically sketched in Figure 3, beginning with the fabrication of the diffusor substrate (step A (diffusor stamp fabrication) and step B (nanoimprinting of OrmoComp on PVC)). Step C is the fabrication of the PDMS stamp from the grating master, which is then used in the LTIL process (D-H). First, the material transfer from the donor wafer to the stamp for reversal NIL is accomplished (steps D and E). The coated stamp is then brought in contact with the prestructured substrate (F, G). By applying external pressure, the flexible PDMS stamp conforms to the topography of the underlying substrate (G), the OrmoComp is cured (G) and the stamp is removed (H), finalizing the LTIL process. The sample now contains the hierarchical structures.
To avoid the trapping of air bubbles (especially in step G), two aspects are important. Firstly, the grating stamp has to be flexible enough to allow real conformal contact. In our case, the PDMS stamp was approximately 2 mm thick; for other substrate geometries thinner (i.e., more flexible) stamps will be necessary. Secondly, the contact geometry is critical. We bring the stamp into contact with one side of the substrate and then carefully lower the rest of the stamp in a lamination-type of process. Furthermore, nanoimprinting was performed in a vacuum [33]. Finally, the fact that PDMS is gas-permeable to some extent also helps in avoiding air bubbles [34,35].

The imprinting process was performed in such a way as to obtain four different areas on a single sample: the unstructured area, the diffuser structure alone, the grating structure alone, and an area with the hierarchical structure of grating on top of the diffuser. This was achieved by aligning the stamp to the substrate in such a way that the overlap between the diffraction grating pattern and the diffuser pattern was only achieved in a small area of the substrate.

From a sample that was prepared as described above, it is now possible to replicate a stamp for further nanoimprinting. A PDMS copy was prepared using conventional Sylgard 184 PDMS (1:10 mixing ratio, 23 h at 40 °C in a laboratory oven) as described above.

This stamp now contains the hierarchical structures and can be used in a conventional single step nanoimprint process. The imprint was again performed using OrmoComp as imprint material and a PVC foil as substrate. Figure 4 shows the processing sequence. In steps A–C the hierarchical structures sample is used as a master for working stamp fabrication. This working stamp is then used in a conventional Nanoimprint process (D–E). The advantage is that now the hierarchical structures can be replicated in a single nanoimprinting step.

**Figure 3.** Process flow for master fabrication: First, a PDMS stamp from the diffusor master was fabricated (A), which is then used to imprint OrmoComp onto a PVC foil (B). Using a grating master, another PDMS stamp was made (C), which was used in an LTIL process (D,E) to print on the diffusor substrate (F–H). The flexible stamp conforms to the topography of the diffusor substrate as sketched in G. The results are the gratings on top of the diffusor structures (H).

**Figure 4.** Process flow for stamp fabrication (A–C) and single step nanoimprinting of hierarchical structures (D–F).

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Hierarchical Stamp Master

Figures 4–8 show the result of these experiments. Figure 4 shows an optical micrograph image of the stamp master fabricated by combining conventional UV-NIL and LTIL. Both the diffusor structures and the grating structure can clearly be seen. AFM images from different positions of the same sample...
are shown in Figure 5. The grating structure follows the topography of the underlying diffusor structure in a conformal way and is present both in the valleys and on the hills. Looking at the line scan from one of the AFM images (Figure 6), it can be seen that the height of both types of structures is preserved.

Figure 5. Optical micrograph of the grating on top of the diffusor structure. The lines and spaces follow the topography of the surface.

Figure 6. AFM images of the hierarchical structures master used for further imprinting. The left and right images have line and space dimensions of 300 nm/900 nm and 400 nm/1200 nm, respectively.

The dashed line in the lower right corner indicates the location of the extracted linescan shown in Figure 7.
3.2. Direct Hierarchical Nanoimprint

Figure 8 compares the optical effects of the four different areas on the sample using a standard green laser pointer (λ = 532 nm). It can be seen that in the area with the hierarchical structures, both effects—the one of the diffusor and the one of the grating—are present.

![Figure 8](image_url)

**Figure 8.** Photographs illustrating the optical effect of the differently structured areas by passing a green laser beam through the sample in 4 different areas: (a) unstructured, (b) only grating, (c) only diffusor and (d) grating on top of diffusor. The difference between b and d can be clearly seen.

3.2. Direct Hierarchical Nanoimprint

Figure 9 shows a compilation of four AFM images of the final sample, which was fabricated by a single imprint with a stamp copied from the hierarchical master sample. Due to the irregular structure of the diffusor, it was not possible to perform the measurements on the exact same spots as for the master again. However, our results show that the single step nanoimprint replication of hierarchical structures could be achieved with high fidelity. The line scan in Figure 10 shows the same characteristics as that produced by the flat grating master, the intermediate hierarchical structure master, and here on the final imprint. By evaluating the peak positions of the Fourier transformed images in Gwyddion [36], it was verified that the periodicities (1.60 μm or 1.20 μm, respectively) of the regions used for the line scans are identical on the grating master, the hierarchical structures master, and on the final imprint within the measurement error (+/− 60 nm, evaluated from 10 independent measurements using the 2D FFT function in Gwyddion). The volume shrinkage of the material, which is around 5%−7% according to the datasheet, does not play a significant role here, since the material is a thin layer fixed to a rigid, much thicker substrate. Shrinkage therefore will mainly happen in z-direction, since x- and y-directions are fixed. Due to the irregular nature of the diffusor structure, this effect could not be observed.

As far as the lifetime of the PDMS stamp is concerned, it strongly depends on the imprint resin used [34,37–40]. Furthermore, there are different types of PDMS that can be used, which also have different mechanical properties, e.g., h-PDMS [41,42] or X-PDMS [43].
We showed that combining conventional NIL and LTIL is a suitable way to achieve multifunctional hierarchical surfaces. This has already been shown in other studies [26–28] and involves two separate nanoimprint steps and an unconventional nanoimprint method (LTIL). Using such a sample with a complex hierarchical geometry as a master for further stamp fabrication has several advantages. This has already been shown in other studies [26–28] and involves two separate nanoimprint steps and an unconventional nanoimprint method (LTIL). Using such a sample with a complex hierarchical geometry as a master for further stamp fabrication has several advantages.

### 4. Discussion

We showed that combining conventional NIL and LTIL is a suitable way to achieve multifunctional hierarchical surfaces. This has already been shown in other studies [26–28] and involves two separate nanoimprint steps and an unconventional nanoimprint method (LTIL). Using such a sample with a complex hierarchical geometry as a master for further stamp fabrication has several advantages in our opinion. To produce the final sample, the number of nanoimprint steps is reduced. Just one
imprinting step is necessary instead of two, and consequently, the overall number of process steps is significantly reduced - typically by a factor of two - reducing cost of the overall process significantly. Material use is also optimized, since a spin-coating step can be omitted, resulting in a greener and more cost-effective process. Furthermore, potential adhesion problems between the two layers (diffusor layer and grating layer) can be avoided, although we have seen no evidence that this might be a problem with the materials we used in our experiments.

As an example, we demonstrated the combination of diffraction grating and diffusor structure. Such a sample was also successfully used as a master to fabricate a working stamp for nanoimprint lithography to replicate hierarchical structures in a single process step. The choice of structures for this work was based on the availability of masters and the possibility to easily distinguish between the optical effects of the two. In general, we are optimistic that the same process can also be used for combinations of other structures and also for other types of effects, ranging from antimicrobial to superhydrophobic. Moreover, applications like antireflective moth-eye structures on micro lenses should be feasible with this process. We have seen in different processes that PDMS stamps are capable of strong deformations, while still maintaining conformal contact. Examples are given in Appendix A.

Another interesting aspect of the use of such a master structure is that—assuming vertical sidewalls in the master and stamp for the grating—the hierarchical master should have negative sidewalls in many areas on one side of the grating structures. We have seen no evidence that the presence of such undercut features represents a problem for the soft PDMS stamp that we used. Both for larger and smaller structures, it has been shown that PDMS or other types of soft stamp materials are capable of replicating such features [44,45]. We will continue to work on combining different types of structures.

Furthermore, we will also work to apply this process to larger areas (DIN A4 and more), to be able to implement such stamps in our roll-to-plate nanoimprint process.

In conclusion, we presented a nanoimprint-based process for the creation and cost-efficient replication of hierarchical surfaces, demonstrated using a diffusor and a diffraction pattern. Using two subsequent nanoimprint steps, we realized a master structure that was further used to replicate a stamp for nanoimprinting. With this stamp we were able to create the structure that was initially created by two separate nanoimprinting steps using one single step.
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Appendix A

To further illustrate the deformation properties for PDMS stamps, some examples are given here. The UV-NIL process used here was slightly different. The imprint material OrmoComp was droplet-dispensed, and the nanoimprinting was performed using a vacuum-based process with a tool described elsewhere in detail [33]. The substrate was generated using glass spheres glued to a glass substrate. Gluing was accomplished using a layer of OrmoComp. Due to the strong deformation of the PDMS stamp, the stamp stretches on top of the structures, as illustrated in Figures A1–A3. Figure A2 shows an additional SEM image of the sample shown in Figure A1. Figure A3 shows an optical micrograph of an additional sample.
**Figure A1.** SEM images illustrating the deformation capabilities of PDMS stamps in nanoimprint lithography. The pattern on top of the sphere is significantly stretched. Consequently, the structures (pillars on the imprint, holes in the stamp) are also distorted. The period on the top is 160% of the period on the bottom, the diameter D of the features is also increased. The scale bars in the right images, which are cutouts from the left image and Figure A2, are 10 µm.

**Figure A2.** SEM image of microstructures nanoimprinted on top of a microsphere.
Figure A3. Optical micrograph (3D image stacking using a Keyence VHX-5000 digital microscope) of different types of microspheres over-imprinted with a PDMS stamp. In contrast to Figures A1 and A2 here, the stamp contained pillars instead of holes. It can clearly be seen that the pillars on the stamp are less stable under the imprinting pressure and collapse on top of the spheres, whereas the holes in the stamp remain more stable as can be seen in Figure A2.
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