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Abstract

The paper introduces a novel mechanism for approximating traffic of the academic sites (universities and research institutes) in the World Wide Web based on Alexa’s rankings. Firstly we introduce and discuss new method for calculating score (weight) of each site based on its Alexa’s rank. Secondly we calculate percentage of academic traffic in the World Wide Web. Thirdly we introduce and discuss two new rankings of countries based on academic traffic. Finally we discuss about five indicators and effects of them in traffic of the academic sites. Results indicate that the methodology can be useful for approximating traffic of the academic sites and producing rankings of countries in practice.

1. Introduction

There are no rankings of countries based on academic traffic in the web. Percentage of academic traffic in the World Wide Web has not yet been calculated. The primary method for calculating traffic of the sites is counting hits of the visitors. Unfortunately, most of the site explorers don’t provide the number of hits. Alexa is the most famous site which provides rank of sites’ traffic. Alexa provides global rank of the sites without the number of the hits. This research introduces new indicator that estimate score of the sites’ traffic based on Alexa’s rank.

There is an increasing interest in rankings of universities (Isidro F. Aguillo et al., 2010). For this purpose a growing use of impact metrics in the evaluation of scholars, journals and academic Institutions and even countries has been occurred (JasleenKaur, FilippoRadicchi, FilippoMenczer, 2013). Several studies have been carried out to compare the academic web development in different countries through web indicators (Ingwersen, 1998; Smith, 1999). Different indicators which have been introduced based on as hyperlinks, citations, scholars and papers are investigated for ranking universities. If indicators are acceptable, then the results of all metrics can be suitable for research organizations (Thelwall, 2011). Scientific publication has moved to the web, and novel approaches to scholarly communication (Blaise Cronin, 2011).

Rankings of universities are a quantitative style of universities performance evaluation (Huang, 2011). Higher education institutions are using these rankings to show their educational, research or business excellence performance (Isidro F. Aguillo et al., 2010). Higher education systems in competitive environments generally present top universities (GiovanniAbramo et al., 2011). Today, there are several large scale university ranking programs (Chi-ShiouLin, Mu-HsuanHuang, Dar-ZenChen, 2012).

Traffic of a site is one of the most important indicators, which is used to evaluate its effectiveness. The relationships between web traffic data and academic/business performance measures have not
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been as firmly established as the relationships between Web hyperlink data and such performance measures (LiwenVaughan, RongbinYang, 2013). A few number of research have been published which use the web traffic as an indicator of site’s ranking.

Numbers of works have used web traffic for this purpose (Ortega & Aguillo, 2009; Vaughan & Yang, 2013; Wolk & Teysohn, 2007; Plaza, 2009; Turner, 2010). The distribution of the number of hits and the spent time of web sessions are characterized in (Ortega & Aguillo, 2009). In (Vaughan & Yang, 2013) the significant correlation is investigated between web traffic and academic quality. They have gained the sites’ ranking from three sources: Alexa, Compete and Google trend, and found that there is a significant correlation between web traffic and academic quality. They also concluded that Alexa is more reliable than two other sites. Different factors that influence the website traffic in the paid content market; have been analyzed by (Wolk & Teysohn, 2007). Google Analytics have been used by (Plaza, 2009) as traffic data source. Although Google Trend have been used by (Spencer, 2011), Google Trend has received little attention in researches. Alexa have been used by (Callaway, 2011) for comparing bank performance. Relation between Web site’s traffic of banks and the performance of the banks is shown with Callaway. Traffic of the sites is used as an indicator to compare bank performance.

4icu.org provides the global rankings of universities, which rank universities in whole world (http://www.4icu.org/about/). Regional Information Center for Science and Technology (en.ricest.ac.ir) in Iran provides the local rank. In both ranking schemes, web traffic is used as an important indicator. To our best knowledge, there hasn’t been any study for ranking countries based on universities’ traffic.

For calculating the academic traffic percentage in the world, having the universities’ rank is the key factor in our work. Our main contribution in this study is to use the traffic rank of universities and propose a new schema for ranking countries for the first time.

2. Data and methods

For approximating traffics of countries and estimating percentage of academic traffic in the world, rankings of traffics for more than 21000 universities and research centers have been used in this study.

2.1. Data source

Alexa provides rankings of sites based on visitor’s hits. Alexa's traffic estimates are based on a diverse sample of millions of worldwide internet users (http://www.alexa.com/company). Traffic data of Alexa are gathered from computers which the Alexa’s toolbar is installed on them. Alexa provides two traffic rankings. The first rank is site’s rank according to visitors of the country, and the other is global rank which is site’s rank according to visitors around the world. Alexa tracks over 30 million websites. Sorting is based on the 3 month Alexa traffic rank. In this study we have used global rank of sites. We assigned Alexa traffic rank equal to 30,000,000 for universities which don’t have Alexa global rank. Alexa publishes the Top 500 Web sites at http://www.alexa.com/topsites/global.

2.2. Selecting countries and universities
In this study our database contains 21,485 universities, which is the same as the universities announced in to web rankings of world universities (WR, http://webometrics.info). WR is a web based rankings of universities which contains broad list of universities from all over the world (January 2014, 21,451 universities).

All countries which have at least one university in our database are considered in this study. 21,485 sites of universities and research centers have been covered by 197 countries and 1 international category (5 universities). These numbers seem too large in some cases. For instance, Finland has 16 universities and 29 professional university colleges.

2.3. Weight of universities

Alexa only provides the rankings of sites. It doesn’t give the computed score of each site that has been used for their ranking method. Weighting universities is utilized to approximate the number of hits. It is also used to rank of countries and to compute academic traffic to this end. \( W_u \) is proposed as the new indicator which is used to detect the weight of each university.

\[
W_u = 1 - \frac{R_u}{M}
\]

where \( W_u \) is weight of university, \( R_u \) is global rank of university in Alexa and \( M \) is maximum rank of sites according to Alexa (\( M=30,000,000 \)),

Min \( W_u =0 \) and

Max \( W_u =1-(1/30,000,000) =0.9999999666667. \)

2.4. Weight of countries

The proposed new indicator \( W_u \) is then used to calculate the weight of each country. The new formula for this purpose is proposed as follow:

\[
W_c = \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{u_i}
\]

where \( W_c \) is country’s weight, \( n \) is the number of universities in the country and \( W_{u_i} \) is the weight of \( i \) th university.

2.5. Weight of academic traffic

Academic traffic is computed according to weight of all universities.

\[
W_{at} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} W_{u_i}
\]
Where \( W_a \) is weight of academic traffic, \( k \) is number of universities in the world (21,485 in this study, January 2014), \( W_u_i \) is weight of university number (i).

2.6. \( P_{at} \): Percentage of academic traffic

Finally, we introduce \( P_{at} \) as a new indicator for calculating academic traffic in the world, based on universities rank in Alexa and maximum rank of 30 million sites in Alexa. The proposed formula is as follows:

\[
P_{at} = \frac{W_{at}}{\sum_{j=1}^{M} W_j} \times 100
\]

where

\( M \) is the total number of sites according to Alexa,

\( W_{at} \) is the total weights of universities,

\( \sum_{j=1}^{M} W_j \) is the total weights of sites and

\( P_{at} \) is the percentage of academic traffic.

2.7. Average weight of countries

New formula has been proposed for comparing countries based on number of universities and academic’s weight of countries. Average rank of each country has been calculated by dividing the weight of country to number of universities. Table (4) shows the result of average weight of countries (average traffic rank) for all countries which have at least 100 universities.

\[
A_{wc} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{ui}}{n}
\]

where

\( n \) is the total number of university in each country,

\( W_{ui} \) is the weight of university,

\( A_{wc} \) is the average weight of country.

3. Results

Table (1) shows the top 60 countries with highest number of active universities. There are some differences between real universities count in each country with data of table (1) which has been collected from webometrics.info. For instance, Finland has 16 universities and 29 professional university colleges. In this table United States of America, Brazil, India, China and Russian Federation are at the top of the list.
Google has been used for creating map of the world with separated countries. Countries’ data which are collected at January 2014 have been saved in private MS Access database. Each country’s name has a unique two character which is standard in the world. Traffic data of the all countries and the two characters code have been sent to Google for creating the color map of the countries. Figure (1) has been created depend on real data of the all countries based on number of universities in each country.

Table 1
Top 60 countries with highest number of universities

| Rank | Country Name               | Number of Universities | Rank | Country Name    | Number of Universities | Rank | Country Name         | Number of Universities |
|------|----------------------------|------------------------|------|-----------------|------------------------|------|----------------------|------------------------|
| 1    | United States of America   | 3344                   | 21   | Italy           | 225                    | 41   | Malaysia             | 82                     |
| 2    | Brazil                     | 1834                   | 22   | Thailand        | 183                    | 42   | Hungary              | 82                     |
| 3    | India                      | 1743                   | 23   | Turkey          | 170                    | 43   | Austria              | 77                     |
| 4    | China                      | 1252                   | 24   | Taiwan          | 170                    | 44   | Georgia              | 77                     |
| 5    | Russian Federation         | 1088                   | 25   | Netherlands     | 156                    | 45   | Bosnia and Herzegovina | 75                     |
| 6    | Mexico                     | 962                    | 26   | Nigeria         | 144                    | 46   | Algeria              | 74                     |
| 7    | Japan                      | 861                    | 27   | Vietnam         | 124                    | 47   | Ecuador              | 72                     |
| 8    | France                     | 635                    | 28   | Kazakstan       | 120                    | 48   | Venezuela            | 71                     |
| 9    | Iran (Islamic Republic of Iran) | 605                   | 29   | Portugal        | 118                    | 49   | Norway               | 67                     |
| 10   | Poland                     | 475                    | 30   | Argentina       | 117                    | 50   | Greece               | 67                     |
| 11   | Germany                    | 425                    | 31   | Switzerland     | 113                    | 51   | Saudi Arabia         | 62                     |
| 12   | Republic Of Korea          | 419                    | 32   | Romania         | 111                    | 52   | Egypt                | 60                     |
| 13   | Indonesia                  | 373                    | 33   | Bangladesh      | 107                    | 53   | Costa Rica           | 59                     |
| 14   | Pakistan                   | 344                    | 34   | Morocco         | 105                    | 54   | Bulgaria             | 59                     |
| 15   | Ukraine                    | 336                    | 35   | Australia       | 104                    | 55   | Uzbekistan           | 58                     |
| 16   | United Kingdom             | 330                    | 36   | Belgium         | 99                     | 56   | Latvia               | 58                     |
| 17   | Philippines                | 307                    | 37   | Denmark         | 98                     | 57   | Finland              | 57                     |
| 18   | Colombia                   | 306                    | 38   | Peru            | 92                     | 58   | Belarus              | 56                     |
| 19   | Canada                     | 265                    | 39   | Czech Republic  | 85                     | 59   | Tunisia              | 55                     |
| 20   | Spain                      | 248                    | 40   | Chile           | 85                     | 60   | Iraq                 | 55                     |

Figure 1
Countries are colored based on number of universities in each country
Table (2) shows the top 80 universities and their associated weights which is taken in January 2014.

| Rank | University Name                                      | $W_u$   | Rank | University Name                                      | $W_u$   |
|------|------------------------------------------------------|---------|------|------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| 1    | Singapore-MIT Alliance for Research and Technology   | 0.000864| 41   | Yale University                                      | 0.000214|
| 2    | Harvard-MIT Division of Health Sciences and Technology| 0.000858| 42   | Carnegie Mellon University                           | 0.000207|
| 3    | Massachusetts Institute of Technology                | 0.000852| 43   | Centro Universitario Estadual da Zona Oeste          | 0.000197|
| 4    | Stanford University                                  | 0.000714| 44   | University of Florida                                 | 0.000197|
| 5    | Harvard University                                   | 0.000644| 45   | University of Oxford                                  | 0.000189|
| 6    | Universidad Nacional Mexico                         | 0.000509| 46   | University of Applied Science and Technology Tehran  | 0.000178|
| 7    | University of California Berkeley                   | 0.000463| 47   | University of Toronto                                 | 0.000175|
| 8    | Pennsylvania State University                        | 0.000419| 48   | Victoria University in the University of Toronto      | 0.000174|
| 9    | Columbia University New York                        | 0.000373| 49   | Trinity College in the University of Toronto          | 0.000174|
| 10   | Cornell University                                  | 0.000346| 50   | University of Southern California                    | 0.000171|
| 11   | Weill Medical College Cornell University            | 0.000341| 51   | Harvard University Harvard Business School            | 0.000163|
| 12   | Weill Cornell Medical College in Qatar              | 0.000340| 52   | Ohio State University                                | 0.000161|
| 13   | University of Texas Austin                          | 0.000314| 53   | University of British Columbia                       | 0.000161|
| 14   | University of Michigan                              | 0.000302| 54   | University of California Davis                       | 0.000156|
| 15   | New York University                                 | 0.000300| 55   | Payam Noor University Kabodrahang                    | 0.000151|
| 16   | University of Michigan Dearborn                     | 0.000298| 56   | Payam Noor University                                 | 0.000150|
| 17   | University of Wisconsin Madison                     | 0.000258| 57   | University of Cambridge                              | 0.000149|
| 18   | University of Pennsylvania                          | 0.000253| 58   | University of California San Diego                   | 0.000148|
| 19   | University of Minnesota                             | 0.000251| 59   | Rutgers University                                   | 0.000148|
| 20   | University of Washington                            | 0.000250| 60   | University of Phoenix                                | 0.000147|
| 21   | University of Minnesota Duluth                      | 0.000249| 61   | Universidade Paulista SP                            | 0.000147|
| 22   | University of Minnesota Morris                      | 0.000247| 62   | Michigan State University                            | 0.000147|
| 23   | University of Minnesota Crookston                   | 0.000247| 63   | Academy of State Fire Service                        | 0.000146|
| 24   | University of Minnesota, Rochester                  | 0.000246| 64   | Rutgers University Camden                            | 0.000144|
| 25   | University of Illinois Urbana Champaign              | 0.000246| 65   | University of Tehran                                | 0.000143|
| 26   | University of California Los Angeles UCLA           | 0.000244| 66   | Palawan State University                             | 0.000139|
| 27   | Purdue University                                   | 0.000243| 67   | Universidad Santo Tom                                | 0.000138|
| 28   | Princeton University                                | 0.000243| 68   | Escuela de Arquitectura de Chihuahua                 | 0.000138|
| 29   | Moscow Regional Social and Economic Institute       | 0.000243| 69   | University of Maryland                               | 0.000137|
| 30   | CUNY Medgar Evers College                           | 0.000217| 70   | University of North Carolina Chapel Hill             | 0.000135|
| 31   | CUNY John Jay College of Criminal Justice           | 0.000217| 71   | North Carolina State University                      | 0.000133|
| 32   | City University of New York                         | 0.000217| 72   | Arizona State University                             | 0.000133|
| 33   | CUNY York College                                   | 0.000217| 73   | College of Law Latvia                               | 0.000132|
| 34   | CUNY New York City College of Technology            | 0.000217| 74   | Boston University                                   | 0.000132|
| 35   | CUNY Queens College                                 | 0.000217| 75   | Escola de Governo Professor Paulo Neves de Carvalho  | 0.000132|
| 36   | CUNY Brooklyn College                               | 0.000217| 76   | Helena Antipoof FHA                                 | 0.000132|
| 37   | CUNY Hunter College                                | 0.000216| 77   | Trabalho de Minas Gerais UTRAMIG                    | 0.000132|
| 38   | City College of New York CUNY                      | 0.000216| 78   | University of Anatolia                              | 0.000130|
| 39   | CUNY College of Staten Island                       | 0.000216| 79   | Duke University                                    | 0.000129|
| 40   | CUNY Baruch College                                | 0.000216| 80   | University of Arizona                               | 0.000127|

Table (3) shows top 60 countries with highest calculated weights. United State of America has gained 38.6 percent of academic traffic of the world.

Although, countries with more universities have higher chance to have better rank, but, having more universities doesn’t guarantee to take the better rank, for example Russian Federation which is the 5’th country in table (1), sits in the 11’th position in table (3).
Figure (2) shows colored countries which are based on weights of each country. In figure (2) the color of each country are selected based on weight of academic traffic in table (3).

Table 3
Top 60 countries with highest weight of academic traffic

| Rank | Country Name                  | Number of Universities | Weight of Country ($W_c$) | Rank | Country Name                  | Number of Universities | Weight of Country ($W_c$) |
|------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1    | United States of America      | 3344                   | 0.03398612                | 31   | Chile                         | 85                     | 0.00043510                |
| 2    | India                         | 1743                   | 0.00443464                | 32   | Republic Of Korea             | 419                    | 0.00040633                |
| 3    | Brazil                        | 1834                   | 0.00405049                | 33   | Malaysia                      | 82                     | 0.00040565                |
| 4    | China                         | 1252                   | 0.00342308                | 34   | Greece                        | 67                     | 0.00038486                |
| 5    | Iran (Islamic Republic of Iran) | 605                    | 0.00336076                | 35   | Belgium                       | 99                     | 0.00037599                |
| 6    | United Kingdom                | 330                    | 0.00294720                | 36   | Austria                       | 77                     | 0.00034636                |
| 7    | Canada                        | 265                    | 0.00277408                | 37   | Peru                          | 92                     | 0.00033916                |
| 8    | Germany                       | 425                    | 0.00265298                | 38   | Finland                       | 57                     | 0.00032652                |
| 9    | Spain                         | 248                    | 0.00206200                | 39   | Singapore                     | 34                     | 0.00032567                |
| 10   | France                        | 635                    | 0.00205915                | 40   | Czech Republic                | 85                     | 0.00031367                |
| 11   | Russian Federation            | 1088                   | 0.00195689                | 41   | South Africa                  | 26                     | 0.00030696                |
| 12   | Japan                         | 861                    | 0.00192931                | 42   | Portugal                      | 118                    | 0.00029652                |
| 13   | Mexico                        | 962                    | 0.00177423                | 43   | Norway                        | 67                     | 0.00029338                |
| 14   | Australia                     | 104                    | 0.00123761                | 44   | Vietnam                       | 124                    | 0.00029068                |
| 15   | Italy                         | 225                    | 0.00122493                | 45   | Philippines                   | 307                    | 0.00025494                |
| 16   | Indonesia                     | 373                    | 0.00113263                | 46   | Hong Kong                     | 24                     | 0.00024370                |
| 17   | Turkey                        | 170                    | 0.00105685                | 47   | Bangladesh                    | 107                    | 0.00024084                |
| 18   | Taiwan                        | 170                    | 0.00091697                | 48   | Kazakhstan                    | 120                    | 0.00022972                |
| 19   | international                | 5                      | 0.00087933                | 49   | Nigeria                       | 144                    | 0.00022295                |
| 20   | Poland                        | 475                    | 0.00081460                | 50   | Ukraine                       | 336                    | 0.00021627                |
| 21   | Saudi Arabia                  | 62                     | 0.00073428                | 51   | Denmark                       | 98                     | 0.00021607                |
| 22   | Colombia                      | 306                    | 0.00060642                | 52   | Egypt                         | 60                     | 0.00020863                |
| 23   | Cuba                          | 30                     | 0.00058395                | 53   | Ireland                       | 49                     | 0.00020186                |
| 24   | Netherlands                   | 156                    | 0.00056501                | 54   | Venezuela                     | 71                     | 0.00019839                |
| 25   | Pakistan                      | 344                    | 0.00055320                | 55   | Latvia                        | 58                     | 0.00016995                |
| 26   | Qatar                         | 7                      | 0.00053197                | 56   | Hungary                       | 82                     | 0.00016972                |
| 27   | Thailand                      | 183                    | 0.00046288                | 57   | Bolivia                       | 48                     | 0.00016781                |
| 28   | Switzerland                   | 113                    | 0.00045083                | 58   | New Zealand                   | 42                     | 0.00016523                |
| 29   | Sweden                        | 53                     | 0.00044227                | 59   | Romania                       | 111                    | 0.00016062                |
| 30   | Argentina                     | 117                    | 0.00044173                | 60   | Belarus                       | 56                     | 0.00013981                |

Figure 2
Countries are colored based on traffic’s weight of each country
Table 4
Average weight of all universities in each country

| Country Name | Country's Weight | Number of Universities | Average Weight of Country (A_w) * 10^6 |
|--------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Australia    | 0123761          | 104                    | 11.90                                  |
| Canada       | 0277408          | 265                    | 10.47                                  |
| United States of America | 0.03398612 | 3344                   | 10.16                                  |
| United Kingdom | 0294720     | 330                    | 8.93                                   |
| Spain        | 0206200          | 248                    | 8.31                                   |
| Germany      | 0265298          | 425                    | 6.24                                   |
| Turkey       | 0105685          | 170                    | 6.22                                   |
| Iran (Islamic Republic of Iran) | 0336076 | 605                    | 5.55                                   |
| Italy        | 0122493          | 225                    | 5.44                                   |
| Taiwan       | 0091697          | 170                    | 5.39                                   |
| Switzerland  | 0045083          | 113                    | 3.99                                   |
| Argentina    | 0044173          | 117                    | 3.78                                   |
| Netherlands  | 0056501          | 156                    | 3.62                                   |
| France       | 0205915          | 635                    | 3.24                                   |
| Indonesia    | 0113263          | 373                    | 3.04                                   |
| China        | 0342308          | 1252                   | 2.73                                   |
| India        | 0443464          | 1743                   | 2.54                                   |
| Thailand     | 0046288          | 183                    | 2.53                                   |
| Portugal     | 0029652          | 118                    | 2.51                                   |
| Vietnam      | 0029068          | 124                    | 2.34                                   |
| Bangladesh   | 0024084          | 107                    | 2.25                                   |
| Japan        | 0192931          | 861                    | 2.24                                   |
| Brazil       | 0405049          | 1834                   | 2.21                                   |
| Colombia     | 0060642          | 306                    | 1.98                                   |
| Kazakhstan   | 0022972          | 120                    | 1.91                                   |
| Mexico       | 0177423          | 962                    | 1.84                                   |
| Russian Federation | 0195689 | 1088                   | 1.80                                   |
| Poland       | 0081460          | 475                    | 1.71                                   |
| Pakistan     | 0055320          | 344                    | 1.61                                   |
| Nigeria      | 0022295          | 144                    | 1.55                                   |
| Romania      | 0016062          | 111                    | 1.45                                   |
| Republic Of Korea | 0040633 | 419                    | 0.97                                   |
| Philippines  | 0025494          | 307                    | 0.83                                   |
| Ukraine      | 0021627          | 336                    | 0.64                                   |
| Morocco      | 0006231          | 105                    | 0.59                                   |

By substituting the real values in above formula the total weights of universities’ sites equal to 0.0890988, the total weights of all sites is equal to 17.7939 and P_{at} is equal to 0.50072 %.

P_{at}=0.5% shows academic traffic of the 21,485 academic sites in the 30 million sites. About 0.5 percent of all traffics of the world belong to academic traffic. This means that 1 hit of each 200 hits belongs to academic web sites.

21,485 sites are 0.073 percent of all Alexa’s sites (by dividing 21,485 to 30 millions) but 0.5 percent of traffics belong to academic web sites. By dividing 0.5 to 0.073 we will reach to 6.85, this means average of hitting academic web sites are 6.85 times of average hits per all sites around the world.
The results show that about 0.5 percent of all traffics of the World Wide Web belong to academic traffic. One hit of each 200 hits in the internet, belongs to academic sites. Average weight of academic web sites is 6.85 times more than what can be expected given random allocation of the hits across all the sites.

United States of America, India, Brazil, China and Iran (Islamic Republic of Iran) are at the top of the list of countries which use most academic traffic of the world. 38.6 percent of academic traffic belongs to United State of America.

Australia, Canada, United States of America, United Kingdom and Spain are 5 countries with highest average weight of countries’ academic traffic.

4. Discussion

Rankings of counties based on academic traffic have been investigated in this study. As mentioned in the previous sections, academic traffic rank of countries has been calculated based on universities traffic rank. In this case, countries with higher number of universities have been more chance to gain more weight. The selected approach hides important information. Two university sites close to each other regarding ranks might be far from each other with respect to number of hits. Conversely, two university sites far from each other regarding ranks might be close to each other with respect to number of hits. For overcoming this problem we have used highest number of universities. In this case, differences between ranks are at minimum quantity then two university sites close to each other regarding ranks are close to each other with respect to number of hits.

More universities don’t guarantee to gain better rank, for example Russian Federation which is the 5’th country in table (1), sits in the 11’th position in table (3). In the other hand some countries with lower number of universities, sit in higher rank in table (3), for example Iran which is the 9’th country in table (1), sits in the 5’th position in table (3).

Now, the main question is: What are the most important indicators for countries to take better academic traffic rank? The number of population, number of universities, performance of sites, language and multi language sites are some candidates which have been more considered to study in this section.

| Rank | Country     | Population | % of world population |
|------|-------------|------------|-----------------------|
| 1    | China       | 1360720000 | 19.04%                |
| 2    | India       | 1241190000 | 17.40%                |
| 3    | United States | 317649000 | 4.44%                |
| 4    | Indonesia   | 249866000  | 3.50%                |
| 5    | Brazil      | 201032714  | 2.81%                |
| 6    | Pakistan    | 185823000  | 2.60%                |
| 7    | Nigeria     | 173615000  | 2.43%                |
| 8    | Bangladesh  | 152518015  | 2.13%                |
| 9    | Russia      | 143700000  | 2.01%                |
| 10   | Japan       | 127180000  | 1.78%                |
| Rank | Country                        | Population | % of world population |
|------|--------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|
| 11   | Mexico                         | 118,395,054| 1.66%                 |
| 12   | Philippines                    | 99,226,100 | 1.39%                 |
| 13   | Vietnam                        | 89,708,900 | 1.25%                 |
| 14   | Ethiopia                       | 86,613,986 | 1.21%                 |
| 15   | Egypt                          | 86,070,900 | 1.20%                 |
| 16   | Germany                        | 80,619,000 | 1.13%                 |
| 17   | Iran                           | 77,264,000 | 1.08%                 |
| 18   | Turkey                         | 76,667,864 | 1.07%                 |
| 19   | Democratic Republic of the Congo | 67,514,000 | 0.94%                 |
| 20   | Thailand                       | 65,926,261 | 0.92%                 |

| Rank | Country                  | Population | % of world population |
|------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------------|
| 21   | France[10]               | 65,844,000 | 0.92%                 |
| 22   | United Kingdom           | 63,705,000 | 0.89%                 |
| 23   | Italy                    | 59,943,933 | 0.84%                 |
| 24   | Burma                    | 53,259,000 | 0.75%                 |
| 25   | South Africa             | 52,981,991 | 0.74%                 |
| 26   | South Korea              | 50,219,669 | 0.70%                 |
| 27   | Colombia                 | 47,491,000 | 0.66%                 |
| 28   | Spain                    | 46,609,700 | 0.65%                 |
| 29   | Ukraine                  | 45,426,200 | 0.64%                 |
| 30   | Tanzania                 | 44,928,923 | 0.63%                 |

Map of the world population is shown in right part of figure (3). Left map are countries which have been colored based on number of universities in each country.

**Figure 3**
Map of the world population. Legend: 0 to 50 M to 400 M to 1,336 M (million), 2011: Left map has been created with Google based on our database and right map has been copied from Wikipedia.

The second candidate is number of universities. Normally, there is a relation between counties’ population and number of universities. More population lead to more universities. Each university has its members who only visit its university’s site. These members don’t visit other sites, then more number of universities don’t guarantee to gain better rank. More Population forces to more universities but, doesn’t make more visitors for all universities of the country.

Another indicator which has been investigated in this study is language of the countries. Figure (4) shows the percentage of English speakers by countries (right map). This map is more similar to countries which have been colored based on traffic’s weight of each country (left map).

**Figure 4**
Weight of countries’ academic traffic (left map) and percentage of English speakers by country (right map. Left map has been created with Google based on our database and right map has been taken from Wikipedia [List of countries by English-speaking population](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_countries_by_English-speaking_population))
There is a relation between countries’ academic traffic and language of sites in each country. Four top countries with higher average of academic traffic in the world speak in English (table (4)).

Although there is a relation between countries’ academic traffic and English language of countries, some countries like Iran which has good academic traffic rank doesn’t have many population with English language (left map of figure 3, 5'th country in table (3)).

There are many popular languages which are used in more than one country. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_most_widely_spoken_languages_(by_number_of_countries) shows a list of more popular languages in the world. English, French and Arabic are at the top with 67, 30 and 25 countries.

Now we will consider List of most widely spoken languages (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_most_widely_spoken_languages_(by_number_of_countries)) for comparing with academic traffic rank of countries. The most higher-rank countries in academic traffic don’t use English language table (3) but, most countries with higher average rank in universities’ traffic rank (table (4)), are using English language.

Although 39% of academic traffic has been gained by united state of America, United States of America is in the rank 3 of average visitors for universities (table (4)). This means that there are many universities in United States of America witch have lower traffic than average traffic of the world universities. In table (6) List of top 10 countries in order of Percent of total English speakers is shown which is taken from wikipedia. Table (6) shows list of top 10 countries in order of percent of total English speakers.

Table 6
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_countries_by_English-speaking_population

| Country       | % English speakers | Eligible population | Total English speakers |
|---------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Ireland       | 98.37              | 4,422,100           | 4,350,000              |
| New Zealand   | 97.82              | 4,275,100           | 3,673,623              |
| United Kingdom| 97.74              | 60,975,000          | 59,600,000             |
| Australia     | 97.03              | 21,394,309          | 17,357,833             |
| United States | 94.2               | 316,823,000         | 298,444,149            |
| The Netherlands| 90                 | 16,730,000          | 15,000,000             |
### Country | % English speakers | Eligible population | Total English speakers
---|---|---|---
Norway | 89 | 4,920,500 | 4,378,800
Denmark | 86 | 5,543,000 | 4,770,000
Sweden | 86 | 9,415,295 | 8,100,000
Canada | 85.63 | 33,121,175 | 28,360,240

In this study, Iran has been a special country to study. 5’th rank in academic weight (table (3)) and 8’th rank in average rank of universities (table (4)). Iran has 17’th rank of population in the world and has more than 600 universities, (9’th rank in table (1)). Iran’s language is Persian and low percent of its population speak English. There are many contraries like Japan, France, China, India and Brazil with more population, more universities, more English speakers and more universities than Iran but, Iran sits in better rank. Indicators such as more population, more number of universities and more English speakers don not guarantee the country to sit in better rank.

In this stage, we guess that two last candidates (performance of universities and multi language sites) are the key indicators which lead to good rank. Universities of Iran have been investigated in this study. The result shows that many universities of Iran are multi language. English and Arabic have been used widely beside of the Persian (Iranian mother language). This fact, will affect search engines to direct people of the English and Arabic countries to Iranian universities' web pages. Also, RICEST (Regional Information Center for Science and Technology) which is ranking of all universities of Iran, use Alexa’s traffic rank as one of the most important ranking indicators. It has forced Iranian universities to design their sites good enough to take more visitors. Iranian universities provide many tasks for students in their sites.

### 5. Conclusion & future work

Weight of university’s traffic could be a good parameter to estimate percentage of universities’ real traffic. We can use Weight of country’s traffic ($W_c$) for comparing academic traffic of the countries. New indicator percentage of academic traffic ($P_{at}$) is introduced based on weight of university’s traffic ($W_u$).

It is recommended to investigate relation between country’s academic traffic and speed of internet in the countries. We guess that more internet speed lead to more click. Another good subject to investigate is comparing changes of universities traffic rank in each 2-3 months. Comparing these ranks lead to scholars to investigate effect of registration period of universities and effect of vacations in their Alexa’s rank.
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