Introduction

Reversible electrochemical intercalation of metal ions in solids has laid the foundation for rechargeable batteries which are recognized as the key to utilization of ‘clean’ energy.1–3 The well-established lithium-ion batteries (LIBs), for instance, rely dominantly on the (de)intercalation of lithium-ions (Li+) in graphite, LiCoO2, and LiFePO4. These layered solids typically experience small volume changes upon Li+ (de)insertion. Such structural stability enables the realization of the stable electrochemical intercalation mechanism, a relatively small cell volume change (37.81%), and high reversibility of this new battery chemistry. Such characteristics impart WS2 with ultrahigh structural stability and a long lifespan, regardless of deep or fast charging. WS2 achieved record-high cyclability among chalcogenides up to 600 cycles with 89.2% capacity retention at 0.3C, and over 1000 cycles with 96.3% capacity retention and an extraordinary average Coulombic efficiency of 99.90% at 2.2C. This intercalation electrochemistry may open up new opportunities for the design of long-cycle-life and high-safety PIBs.

Rechargeable potassium-ion batteries (PIBs) show promise beyond Li-ion technology in large-scale electrical-energy storage due to the abundance and low cost of potassium resources. However, the intercalation of large-size K+ generally results in irreversible structural degradation and short lifespan to the hosts, representing a major obstacle. Here, we report a new electrochemical K+-intercalation host, tungsten disulfide (WS2), which can store 0.62 K+ per formula unit with a reversible capacity of 67 mA h g−1 and well-defined voltage plateaus at an intrinsically safe average operation potential of 0.72 V versus K/K+. In situ X-ray diffraction and ex situ electron microscopy revealed the underlying intercalation mechanism, a relatively small cell volume change (37.81%), and high reversibility of this new battery chemistry. Such characteristics impart WS2 with ultrahigh structural stability and a long lifespan, regardless of deep or fast charging. WS2 achieved record-high cyclability among chalcogenides up to 600 cycles with 89.2% capacity retention at 0.3C, and over 1000 cycles with 96.3% capacity retention and an extraordinary average Coulombic efficiency of 99.90% at 2.2C. This intercalation electrochemistry may open up new opportunities for the design of long-cycle-life and high-safety PIBs.
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K+ has an ionic radius of 1.38 Å, which is ~1.8-times that of Li+. This larger ionic size makes K+-intercalation behavior apparently different from its Li+ counterpart, and the (de)insertion of K+ often induces irreversible structural degradation and, thus, a short lifespan to the hosts.5–8 For instance, K+ fails to intercalate in the crystalline FePO4 cathode,22 whereas the graphite anode is ready for K+ insertion but exhibits a large volume expansion (~61%) and inferior cyclability.5,9,12,23 Therefore, the key challenge lies in the search of host materials that can reversibly intercalate K+ while exhibiting high structural stability.5,10,18,24 To this end, a large number of materials, such as hard/soft carbon,15,25 organic compounds,26–27 Mxenes,28 transition metal oxides/sulfides/phosphates,19,29–31 Prussian Blue and its analogs,30,16,34,35 have been investigated intensively and show promising prospects. Among them, the Prussian Blue analogs could effectively accommodate the structural changes induced by K+ (de)insertion due to a framework structure and are probably the most promising cathode materials to date.10,16,34,35 The currently available anode materials, however, have not yet been able to achieve overall electrochemical performances that match the Prussian Blue analogs, representing a critical issue that needs to be solved for the realization of PIBs.

Here, we report a new electrochemical K+-intercalation host: two-dimensional layered WS2. Our results showed that a maximum of 0.62 K+ readily (de)intercalated in WS2, corresponding to a specific capacity of 67 mA h g−1. This new intercalation chemistry exhibited well-defined voltage plateaus
and an average operation potential of 0.72 V versus K/K⁺. The former favored the steady output of cell voltage and electrical energy, whereas the latter made it intrinsically free from the potassium plating (0 V versus K/K⁺) that may have caused catastrophic internal short-circuit. In-depth kinetic and mechanistic analyses captured K⁺ diffusion behavior, the underlying intercalation mechanism, and high reversibility of this new battery chemistry. Upon K⁺ intercalation, WS₂ transformed to K₀.₆₂WS₂, accompanied with cell volume expansion (37.81%) as small as approximately one-half that of graphite. This feature enabled ultrahigh structural stability and electrochemical cyclability regardless of deep or fast charging, as shown unambiguously by 84.5% capacity retention over 100 cycles at 0.075C and 96.3% capacity retention over 1000 cycles at 2.2C. Moreover, it was observed that the electrochemical K⁺-(de)intercalation into WS₂ largely differed from that of its Li⁺/Na⁺ counterparts and provided far more distinct voltage plateaus, thus offering advantages beyond Li/Na-ion technologies.

Results and discussion

Hexagonal 2H-WS₂ exhibited a characteristic layered structure with an interlayer spacing of 6.180 Å (Fig. 1A and S1, ESI†). Two adjacent layers interacted with each other through van der Waals interactions, which offered the possibility of introducing K⁺ into the interlayers, which is a similar working principle to Li⁺ intercalation into TiS₂ in the first prototype rechargeable LIBs.¹²Commercial WS₂ powder was adopted directly for understanding the electrochemical K⁺-intercalation behavior. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) revealed that WS₂ was in a plate shape with a thickness of ∼100–200 nm and a lateral size of ∼1–3 μm (Fig. 1B, C). X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns confirmed the P6₃/mmc structure and the purity of the WS₂ materials. The presentation of solely Bragg peaks (002), (004), (006), and (008) indicated a preferential crystallographic orientation of the powder (Fig. 1D), in agreement with the observed plate shape.

Fig. 1 Materials characterization of WS₂. (A) Structural view of WS₂ along the b-axis showing a characteristic layered structure. (B and C) SEM (B) and TEM (C) images of WS₂. (D) XRD pattern, HRTEM image and corresponding EDP pattern of WS₂.

High-resolution TEM and electron diffraction patterns (EDPs) further verified the hexagonal phase of WS₂ (Fig. 1D).

WS₂ bulk electrodes were prepared through a slurry blade-coating method. They possessed active material loading of 2.48–2.75 mg cm⁻², and a specific surface area of 14.0 m² g⁻¹ given by Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) analysis (Fig. S2, ESI†). Previously, we demonstrated that a concentrated 5 molar (5 M) electrolyte exhibits higher reduction resistance over that of a 1 M electrolyte, and contributes better electrochemical performance for a bismuth anode.¹⁶Therefore, 5 M potassium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (KTFSI) in diethylene glycol dimethyl ether (DEGDME) was adopted as an electrolyte here. Fig. 2A presents cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves in the initial five cycles at 0.1 mV s⁻¹. The cathodic peaks around 0.5 and 0.36 V in the initial cycle correspond to K⁺-intercalation in WS₂ and the formation of a solid electrolyte interphase (SEI), whereas the two anodic peaks at 0.77 and 1.33 V relate to the deintercalation of K⁺. After the activation cycle, the overlap of the subsequent CV curves indicated highly reversible K⁺ (de)intercalation chemistry. At a current density of 5 mA g⁻¹ (rate of ∼0.075C), WS₂ delivered an initial reversible capacity of 67 mA h g⁻¹ (or 238 mA h cm⁻²), which is comparable with that of conventional Prussian Blue analogs, and corresponds to the insertion of 0.62 K⁺ per formula unit (Fig. 2B). An initial Coulombic efficiency (CE) of 56.6% could be mainly attributed to SEI formation, which is commonly observed in PIB anodes.⁹,¹²,¹³ Even under deep (de)potassiation, WS₂ continued to deliver a reversible capacity of 56.6 mA h g⁻¹ after 100 cycles with a capacity retention of 84.5%. The voltage profiles and differential capacity (dQ/dV) curve at the 5th cycle showed a main voltage plateau at

Fig. 2 Electrochemical K⁺ (de)intercalation behavior in WS₂. (A) CV profiles of the WS₂ electrode at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹. (B) Galvanostatic cycling of the WS₂ electrode at a current of 5 mA g⁻¹. The inset shows the voltage profile of the first cycle. (C) Voltage profiles of the WS₂ electrode at a current of 5 mA g⁻¹. The inset is the corresponding dQ/dV curve at the fifth cycle, which shows characteristic potassiation/depotassiation plateaus at 0.5/0.65 V and 0.93/1.2 V. (D) Average plateau voltage of metal disulfides in LIBs, NiBS, and PIBs. The voltage gaps between M⁺ insertion (red square) and extraction (blue dot) represent the voltage hysteresis.
0.93 V and a less evident one at 0.5 V upon K⁺ insertion, as well as corresponding depotassiation plateaus at 0.65 and 1.2 V (Fig. 2C). The multiple voltage plateaus suggested a stepwise K⁺-(de)insertion, and the reaction equation can be described as:

\[
\text{WS}_2 + 0.62\text{K}^+ + 0.62\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{K}_{0.62}\text{WS}_2 \quad (1)
\]

The lower average operation potential (0.72/0.93 V) of the WS₂ anode could provide higher energy density in full cells compared with those of MoS₂ (ref. 29) and TiS₂,³⁷,³⁸ whereas a small potential hysteresis of 0.21 V (voltage gap between ion insertion and extraction) generally favors high round-trip energy efficiency (Fig. 2D). Equally important, this average potential made it intrinsically free from the potassium plating (0 V, vs. K/K⁺) that may have caused catastrophic battery failure.

Interestingly, the electrochemical K⁺-(de)intercalation into WS₂ differed largely from that of its Li⁺/Na⁺ counterparts, which occurs at a plateau potential >1.5 V and is typically considered to be cathode chemistry (Fig. 2D and S3, ESI†).³⁹,⁴⁰ Moreover, the potential plateaus in a K⁺ system are far more distinct than those in Li⁺/Na⁺ systems,³⁹–⁴¹ thereby offering advantages over LIBs/NIBs. In addition, WS₂ exhibited a further reduction degradation potential of ~0.08 V below which reduction of WS₂ to W metal occurs (Fig. S4, ESI†). This potential is ~0.42 V lower than that of MoS₂, indicating higher resistance to reduction degradation. We showed twice that a higher capacity (212 mA h g⁻¹) could be achieved with a cutoff voltage of 0 V, but the preferred flat voltage plateaus became indistinct (Fig. S4, ESI†). Therefore, the cutoff voltage for battery cycling was set to 0.1 V.

The WS₂ anode exhibited considerable rate capability and long-term cyclability. As shown in Fig. 3A, WS₂ delivered capacity of ~60, ~50, ~45 and ~40 mA g⁻¹ at rates of 10, 50, 100, and 200 mA g⁻¹ (0.15C, 0.75C, 1.5C, and 3C), respectively, which correspond to 89.6%, 74.6%, 67.2%, and 59.7% of the maximum capacity. Even at a rate as high as 500 mA g⁻¹ (7.5, which corresponds to a charging time of 8 min), nearly half of the maximum capacity remained achievable. Of equal importance, the reversible capacity returned to ~60 mA h g⁻¹ after two repeated rate cycles, again confirming the structural stability of
WS₂ upon K⁺-(de)intercalation. Moreover, the voltage plateaus were well preserved with relatively small potential polarization even at high rates (Fig. 3B). An average potassiation plateau at 500 mA g⁻¹ was 0.68 V, which is only 0.22 V lower than that at 10 mA g⁻¹. Fig. 3C–G depict long-term cyclability. The WS₂ anode achieved a capacity retention of 89.3% over 600 cycles and an average CE of 99.48% at 20 mA g⁻¹ (~0.3C). At 50 mA g⁻¹, the capacity retention and average CE over 600 cycles reached 96% and 99.51%, respectively. Under higher rates of 100 and 150 mA g⁻¹ (1.5C and 2.2C), remarkable capacity retentions of 90.8% and 96.3%, respectively, were achieved over 1000 cycles with average CE as high as 99.80% and 99.90%. This cyclability is superior to that of all the previously reported chalcogenides, and among the best reported to date for PIB anodes.5, 29, 31, 32, 37, 38 Such cyclability, together with the considerable rate capability and small polarization (Fig. 3 and S5, ESI ‡), suggest the ultrahigh structural stability of WS₂ and relatively fast K⁺ diffusion within it.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), rate-scanning CV, and galvanostatic intermittent titration technique (GITT) experiments were conducted to gain further kinetic insights into this K⁺-(de)intercalation chemistry. Fig. 4A and S6, ESI ‡ show that the contact resistance (Rᵣ) related to the ion-diffusion resistance across the SEI layer was estimated to be 31.54 Ω after five-cycle activation. This small Rᵣ suggested relatively fast K⁺ permeation through the SEI layer, which would have been largely responsible for the excellent rate capability. The charge transfer resistance (Rᶜ) of 1671 Ω was 1.6-times lower than that observed in graphite (4358 Ω),¹² indicating faster charge transfer in WS₂. The chemical diffusion coefficient of K⁺ within WS₂ was deduced from the rate-scan CV (Fig. 4B, C) according to the Randles–Sevcik equation,⁴²

\[
i_p = (2.69 \times 10^5)n^{3/2}C_0AD^{1/2}r^{1/2}
\]  

where \(i_p\) is the peak current; \(n\) is the number of electrons transferred in the redox process (here \(n = 1\)); \(C_0\) is the K⁺ concentration in the electrode; \(A\) represents the effective surface area (BET surface area in this case); \(D\) is the diffusion coefficient of K⁺; and \(r\) is the scan rate (V s⁻¹). Two cathodic peaks around 0.70 (C₁) and 0.41 V (C₂), as well as an anodic peak around 1.35 V (A₁), were used for deduction of \(D_{K-ion}\), where \(x\) in KₓWS₂ was estimated to be 0.13, 0.62, and 0.15, respectively, based on the corresponding capacity (Fig. 4B, C). During potassiation, \(D_{K-ion}\) was estimated to be \(4.53 \times 10^{-15}\) cm² s⁻¹ when \(x = 0.13\), and \(3.64 \times 10^{-17}\) cm² s⁻¹ when \(x = 0.62\), both comparable to...
those within MoS$_2$. D$_{\text{K-ion}}$ of $2.99 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ was deduced at $x = 0.15$ during depotassiation. These values reveal that K$^+$ diffusion was significantly restrained within the fully potassiated K$_{0.62}$WS$_2$. D$_{\text{K-ion}}$ was also deduced from GITT analyses using the following equation, which was first outlined by Weppner and Huggins:\textsuperscript{43}

$$D_{\text{K-ion}} = \left(\frac{4}{\pi \tau}\right) \times \left[\frac{n_M V_M S}{J}\right]^2 \times \left[\frac{\Delta E_s}{\Delta E_t}\right]^2$$  

(3)

Herein, $\tau$ is the duration of a constant current pulse; $V_M$ and $n_M$ are the mole volume and mole number of active materials, respectively; $S$ is the effective contact area of active materials and electrolyte (here, the BET surface area); and $\Delta E_s$ and $\Delta E_t$ are the change in the steady-state voltage and overall cell voltage upon applying a current pulse in a single-step GITT experiment, respectively. As shown in Fig. 4D, the GITT study gave $D_{\text{K-ion}}$ of the order $10^{-17}$ to $10^{-16}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$, similar to the ones derived from the rate-scanning CV results. As the intercalation reaction proceeded, $D_{\text{K-ion}}$ decreased with the formation of new-phase K$_x$WS$_2$. A similar trend was observed during the reverse-phase transformation from K$_x$WS$_2$ to WS$_2$. This phenomenon indicated that the diffusion of K-ions became more difficult during phase transitions, which is consistent with previous
We speculated that the variation of K\(^+\) number in the WS\(_2\) host induces a change of K\(^+\)-K\(^+\) and K\(^+\)-WS\(_2\) interactions and, consequently, the change of \(D_{K\text{ion}}\). The obtained \(D_{K\text{ion}}\) was lower than those for Li\(^+\)/Na\(^+\), which could be attributed to the larger size of K\(^+\) (81.6% larger than that of Li\(^+\)).\(^5\) Nevertheless, the benefits from a short diffusion pathway of \(\approx 0.5-1.5\) \(\mu\)m (half of the lateral size of WS\(_2\)) and high rate capability remained achievable, as demonstrated above.

The rate capability and small electrode/electrolyte contact resistance \(R_f\) indicated that the SEI layer formed on the WS\(_2\) surface was thin, whereas the excellent electrochemical cyclability suggested the high structural stability of WS\(_2\) upon repeat K\(^+\) (de)insertion. To confirm these hypotheses, \textit{ex situ} electron microscopy was done. High-resolution (HR)TEM showed that the microstructure and hexagonal crystal structure of WS\(_2\) was well preserved even upon deep K\(^+\) insertion/extraction (Fig. 5A,

---

Fig. 6 Mechanistic studies of K\(^+\) (de)intercalation in WS\(_2\). (A) \textit{In situ} XRD patterns collected during the first (de)potassiation cycle. (B–D) Evolution in lattice constants of WS\(_2\) upon cycling. The values derived from Rietveld refinements. (E) Structural evolution of WS\(_2\) upon K\(^+\) (de)intercalation (schematic).
B and S7, ESI†). Bright-field TEM energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) mapping revealed uniform distributions of W and S elements (Fig. 5C, D), whereas a uniform spread of K and F elements on depotassiated WS2 indicated the formation of a SEI layer of thickness ~3 nm was confirmed by HRTEM (Fig. 5F and S7, ESI†). Ex situ SEM presented a thick SEI layer on carbon black after 1000 cycles, making the carbon black barely visible. Conversely, much less SEI formation was observed on WS2, the morphology of which was distinctly recognizable (Fig. 5G). This observation consisted of a high average CE of 99.90%, and again morphology of which was distinctly recognizable (Fig. 5G). This peak named \((002/\text{C}_{14})\) pre

Fig. 7 (+) \(K_{1.98}\text{Mn}[\text{Fe(CN)}_6]_{0.92}/\text{WS}_2\) (−) full-cell K-ion batteries. (A) Voltage profiles of \(K_{1.98}\text{Mn}[\text{Fe(CN)}_6]_{0.92}\) cathode and \(\text{WS}_2\) anode at 10 mA g\(^{-1}\). (B) Electrochemical performance of the full-cell battery at 10 mA g\(^{-1}\). The mass ratio of cathode: anode is 1.7:1.

As the K+-intercalation continued (Fig. 6A and S8, ESI†), the predominant \((002)\) Bragg peak \((2\theta = 14.34^\circ)\) became gradually weaker and disappeared completely at 0.1 V. Meanwhile, a new peak named \((002')\) appeared at 10.69° upon potassiation to ~0.85 V, and its intensity increased progressively until the completion of intercalation. Conversely, during deintercalation, the \((002)\) peak reappeared at 14.34° accompanying by the degradation and disappearance of the new \((002')\) peak, which suggested high reversibility of this new K+-intercalation electrochemistry. The same trend was observed for Bragg peak \((004)\), which shifted from 28.88° to 21.33° upon K+-intercalation. These peak shifts indicated increases of indice spacing \(d_{002}\) from 6.185 to 8.266 Å and \(d_{004}\) from 3.091 to 4.166 Å, which corresponded to 33.65% and 34.78% expansion, respectively. In contrast to the peak offset to a small angle, the Bragg peak \((006)\) shifted slightly from 43.94° \((d = 2.061 \text{ Å})\) to 44.12° \((d = 2.053 \text{ Å})\) upon K+-intercalation and eventually to 43.40° \((d = 2.085 \text{ Å})\) upon K+-extraction. A similar changing tendency of peak offset was observed for \((100)\) and \((106)\) reflections, which were originally absent and first appeared at 32.31° and 55.35° upon potassiation to 0.8 and 0.75 V, respectively. Differing from all above-mentioned Bragg peaks, the \((008)\) reflection \(2\theta = 59.8^\circ\) faded out gradually during potassiation and no longer reappeared upon further K+-intercalation or extraction.

Rietveld refinements revealed evolution of the lattice parameters of \(\text{WS}_2\) (Fig. 6B–E and S9–11, ESI†). The lattice constants \(a\) and \(b\) \((a = b = 3.156 \text{ Å})\) increased to 3.208 Å upon intercalation of 0.62 K⁺ \((\text{K}_{0.62}\text{WS}_2)\), which corresponded to a negligible lattice expansion of 1.65%. In sharp contrast, the constant \(c\) showed an increase of 33.38% \((\text{from } 12.344 \text{ to } 16.464 \text{ Å})\) upon transforming to \(\text{K}_{0.62}\text{WS}_2\), which is consistent with the evolution of indice spacing \(d_{002}\) from 6.185 to 8.266 Å predicted based on the Bragg-peak position. Overall, the cell volume evolved from 106.478 to 146.735 Å\(^3\) with a relatively small expansion of 37.81%, which is approximately one-half that of graphite and favors high electrochemical cyclability. The K+-intercalated phase \(\text{K}_{0.62}\text{WS}_2\) maintained a hexagonal structure with a space group \(P6_3/mmc\), and staging behavior was not observed during K⁺-intercalation, which is similar to the observation in MoS\(_2\) but distinct to graphite.\(^{9,29}\) In addition, an intermediate phase was not observed during K⁺-intercalation, which differs from K⁺-intercalated MoS\(_2\), which exhibits an intermediate phase having a similar layered structure to \(\text{K}_{0.6}\text{MoS}_2\).\(^{29}\) Upon K⁺-extraction, constants \(a\), \(c\), and the cell volume shrank to 3.147 Å, 12.412 Å, and 106.455 Å\(^3\), respectively, all of which were almost identical to the originals. Also, the K⁺-extracted \(\text{WS}_2\) transformed back to a hexagonal \(P6_3/mmc\) structure. The observed evolution and restitution of lattice parameters and crystal structure demonstrated the reaction mechanism and high reversibility of K⁺ (de)intercalation in layered WS\(_2\) which should be directly responsible for its high structural stability, long-term cyclability, rate-capability, and Coulombic efficiency.

As a proof-of-concept, we further fabricated full-cell batteries comprising a cathode of the Prussian Blue analog \(K_{1.98}\text{Mn}[\text{Fe(CN)}_6]_{0.92}\) (ref. 10) and the \(\text{WS}_2\) anode. The full cells (Fig. 7) could deliver an average discharge voltage of ~2.9 V, an initial discharge capacity of ~40 mA h g\(^{-1}\) and energy density of ~115 W h kg\(^{-1}\) based on the total mass of the cathode and anode. The capacity and energy density stabilized gradually to 32 mA h g\(^{-1}\) and 92 W h kg\(^{-1}\), respectively, after five cycles.
These prototype full-cell batteries suggest promising applications of the WS₂ anode in practical PIBs.

Conclusions

We demonstrated that layered WS₂ could be utilized as a K⁺-intercalation host and store 0.62 K⁺ per formula unit with well-defined voltage plateaus at an intrinsically safe average operation potential of 0.72 V (versus K/K⁺). Mechanistic analyses confirmed the underlying intercalation mechanism and high reversibility of this battery chemistry, which accounted for its ultrahigh structural stability, electrochemical cyclability (96.3% capacity retention over 1000 cycles) and an extraordinary ultrahigh structural stability, electrochemical cyclability (99.90%). Our results reveal the promising prospect of this new K⁺-intercalation electrochemistry, and may shed light on PIB development for large-scale energy-storage applications.
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