SUMO modification of a novel MAR-binding protein, SATB2, modulates immunoglobulin µ gene expression
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Nuclear matrix attachment regions (MARs) are regulatory DNA sequences that are important for higher-order chromatin organization, long-range enhancer function, and extension of chromatin modifications. Here we characterize a novel cell type-specific MAR-binding protein, SATB2, which binds to the endogenous immunoglobulin µ locus in pre-B cells and enhances gene expression. We found that SATB2 differs from the closely related thymocyte-specific protein SATB1 by modifications of two lysines with the small ubiquitin related modifier (SUMO), which are augmented specifically by the SUMO E3 ligase PIAS1. Mutations of the SUMO conjugation sites of SATB2 enhance its activation potential and association with endogenous MARs in vivo, whereas N-terminal fusions with SUMO1 or SUMO3 decrease SATB2-mediated gene activation. Sumoylation is also involved in targeting SATB2 to the nuclear periphery, raising the possibility that this reversible modification of a MAR-binding protein may contribute to the modulation of subnuclear DNA localization.
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Regulation of gene expression is governed in large part by transcription factors that bind to enhancers and promoters. The functions of transcription factors involve both the regulation of chromatin accessibility via the recruitment of histone-modifying enzymes or nucleosome-remodeling complexes, and the stimulation of RNA polymerase via an interaction with the mediator complex (for review, see Zhang and Reinberg 2001; Freiman and Tjian 2003). In addition to enhancers and promoters, nuclear matrix attachment regions [MARs] have been implicated in the regulation of gene expression by altering the organization of euchromatic chromosomes and augmenting the potential of enhancers to act over large distances (for review, see Scheuermann and Garrard 1999; Bode et al. 2000). MARs have been identified as AT-rich sequences that are associated with the nuclear matrix, a nuclear component that resists salt extraction and digestion with DNase I (Berezney and Coffey 1974; Mirkovitch et al. 1984; Cockerill and Garrard 1986). The nuclear matrix, also referred to as the interchromatin space, contains multiple subnuclear structures that can be visualized microscopically as speckles and that have been proposed to functionally compartmentalize the nucleus (for review, see Schul et al. 1998; Cremer and Cremer 2001; Spector 2001). The association of MARs with the nuclear matrix may serve to structurally define the borders of chromatin domains and participate in the regulation of transcription. In particular, the MARs that flank the enhancers of immunoglobulin heavy- and light-chain genes augment enhancer function in transgenic mice (Xu et al. 1989; Forrester et al. 1994, Lichtenstein et al. 1994). The MARs of the µ and κ loci have also been found to antagonize the effects of DNA methylation, and they were shown to extend an enhancer-induced domain of chromatin accessibility and histone acetylation (Jenuwein et al. 1993; Lichtenstein et al. 1994; Jenuwein et al. 1997; Forrester et al. 1999; Fernandez et al. 2001).

Several proteins have been identified that bind to MAR sequences. In addition to ubiquitous MAR-binding proteins such as SAF-A, Cux/CDP, and MeCP2 (Scheuermann and Chen 1989; Romig et al. 1992; Weitzel et al. 1997), two MAR-binding proteins, SATB1 and Bright, are expressed specifically in T cells and activated B cells, respectively (Dickinson et al. 1992; Herrscher et al. 1995). Bright has been shown to augment immunoglobulin µ gene expression in transfection assays, and it localizes to nuclear matrix-associated promyelocytic leukemia (PML) nuclear bodies (Herrscher et al. 1995). SATB1 has been found to act as a repressor of transcription (Kohwi-Shigematsu et al. 1997; Zong et al. 2000). In contrast, SATB1 has been found to be recruited to the Sin3a histone deacetylase and subunits of the ACF nucleosome-mobilizing complex to genes, such as the interleukin receptor 2α (IL2Rα) locus, in a MAR-dependent manner (Yasui et al. 2002). In
addition, SATB1 may function as a positive regulator of transcription, because the upregulation of the c-myc gene in stimulated thymocytes is impaired in SATB1-deficient mice (Cai et al. 2003). SATB1 has been proposed to represent a novel type of gene regulator that acts as a three-dimensional protein scaffold upon which MAR sequences are tethered [Cai et al. 2003]. However, the regulation of the activities of MAR-binding proteins is still obscure.

Multiple posttranslational mechanisms have been shown to regulate the activity of transcription factors. In addition to phosphorylation, acetylation, and ubiquitination, modification by the covalent conjugation of the small ubiquitin-related modifier SUMO has been found to modulate the activity of transcription factors. SUMO-1 and SUMO2/3 are distantly related to ubiquitin and are also covalently conjugated as 92–97 amino acid polypeptides to internal lysines of substrate proteins [for review, see Melchior 2000; Muller et al. 2001]. The enzymatic reactions involved in SUMO modification are similar to those in ubiquitin modification and involve an E1-activating enzyme, consisting of an Aos1/Uba2 heterodimer, the E2-conjugating enzyme Ubc9, and an E3 ligase that promotes the transfer of SUMO from the E2 enzyme to substrate proteins [for review, see Hochstrasser 2001; Jackson 2001]. The protein inhibitors of activated STATs (PIAS), the polycomb protein Pc2, and the serine 2001; Jackson 2001). The protein inhibitors of activated STATs (PIAS), the polycomb protein Pc2, and the serine threonine protein kinases (e.g., GRK5) have amino-terminal SAP-domain that mediates the association with partner proteins and DNA (Goodson et al. 2001; Hong et al. 2001; Lin et al. 2003), change the subcellular or subnuclear localization [Muller et al. 1998; Sachdev et al. 2001; Kirsh et al. 2002; Ross et al. 2002], or influence protein stability by competing with ubiquitination [Destefano et al. 1998]. SUMO modification was found to augment the transcriptional activation potential of some proteins, such as p53 [Gostissa et al. 1999; Rodriguez et al. 1999], however, most often sumoylation and/or association with SUMO E3 ligases antagonizes the activation potential of transcription factors or mediates repression [Ross et al. 2002; Tian et al. 2002; for review, see Gill 2003; Verger et al. 2003]. In several cases, SUMO-mediated repression correlates with a targeting of the proteins to PML nuclear bodies [Sachdev et al. 2001; Ross et al. 2002; Schmidt and Muller 2002].

In this study, we identified and characterized a novel cell type-specific MAR-binding protein, SATB2. We found that SATB2 can bind to MAR sequences of the endogenous immunoglobulin µ enhancer region and activate gene transcription. We also show that the transcriptional activation potential and MAR-binding ability of SATB2 can be increased by mutations of two lysines that are modified with SUMO in a PIAS1-dependent manner. In contrast to SUMO-deficient SATB2, which shows a diffuse pattern of nuclear localization, wild-type SATB2 and amino-terminal SUMO-fusions of SATB2 are targeted to the nuclear periphery.

Results
SATB2 is a pre-B cell-enriched MAR-binding protein

Previous experiments indicated that the nuclear MARs that flank the intragenic µ enhancer on either side markedly increase the expression of a rearranged µ gene in pre-B cells of transgenic mice [Forrester et al. 1994]. To identify MAR-binding proteins that are expressed predominantly in early stages of the B-cell lineage, we searched the murine and human databases for sequences that show homologies to known MAR-binding proteins, and we examined their expression in pre-B cells and other cell types. We identified two clones that displayed 67% and 70% overall sequence homology with SATB1 and Bright, respectively. Analysis of the expression patterns of these clones revealed a ubiquitous expression for the Bright homolog and a predominantly pre-B cell-specific pattern for the SATB1 homolog, which we termed SATB2 [Fig. 1; data not shown]. Sequence analysis of SATB2 revealed the presence of two CUT domains and a Hox domain, which are highly conserved with the corresponding MAR-binding domains of SATB1 [Fig. 1A; Dickinson et al. 1997; Galande et al. 2001]. RNA blot analysis of total RNA from different lymphoid and non-lymphoid cell lines indicated that satb2 transcripts with sizes of ~6 kb and ~1.4 kb can be detected most abundantly in cell lines representing pre-B cells and at lower levels in cells representing B and T cells [Fig. 1B, left-hand panels]. We also detected satb2 transcripts in primary pre-B cells at levels five- to eightfold lower than the levels found in the 38B9 pre-B cell line [data not shown]. In a panel of mouse tissues, SATB2 transcripts could be detected predominantly in brain and kidney, although differently sized transcripts were detected at a lower abundance in thymus and testis [Fig. 1B, right-hand panels].

Previous studies have shown that SATB1 is a component of the nuclear matrix and binds to special AT-rich nucleotide sequences [Dickinson et al. 1992; de Belle et al. 1998]. To examine whether SATB2 can associate with the nuclear matrix, we transfected 293T cells with plasmids encoding either an EGFP-SATB2 fusion protein or an EGFP-NLS control protein. We prepared nuclear matrices by DNase I treatment and high salt extraction, and in parallel we fixed the transfected cells directly. Visualization by fluorescence microscopy indicated that EGFP-SATB2, but not EGFP-NLS, was retained in the
We also examined the DNA-binding ability of SATB2 by electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) with purified recombinant SATB2 protein. Efficient binding was detected with the wild-type MAR consensus sequence (Dickinson et al. 1992), but not with a mutant oligonucleotide (Fig. 1D). We confirmed the specificity of DNA binding by the addition of excess unlabeled competitor DNA, which impaired DNA binding in a dose-dependent manner. Thus, SATB2 resembles SATB1 in its ability to bind MAR sequences and associate with the nuclear matrix.

SATB2 can augment µ gene transcription and binds to the µ MAR in vivo

Previous analyses of the functional activity of SATB1 in transfection assays and in knock-out experiments indicated that SATB1 represses transcription of several genes in T cells (Kohwi-Shigematsu et al. 1997; Alvarez et al. 2000). To examine the functional activity of SATB2, we transfected a fos-luciferase reporter construct containing multimerized wild-type or mutated SATB2-binding sites, together with a β-galactosidase control plasmid and increasing amounts of a SATB2 expression plasmid into J558L plasmacytoma cells. SATB2 augmented reporter gene expression up to 150-fold, suggesting that SATB2 can act as an activator of transcription [Fig. 2A, left-hand panel]. Significantly reduced stimulation was observed with the reporter construct containing the mutated SATB2-binding sites. Notably, the stimulation of the fos-luciferase reporter with the multimerized wild-type SATB2-binding sites was not observed in transfected 293T cells, suggesting that the transcriptional activation by SATB2 is cell type-specific [Fig. 2A, right-hand panel]. Immunoblot analysis to detect epitope-tagged SATB2 indicated that the protein is expressed at higher levels in 293T cells compared to J558L cells [data not shown]. Finally, we examined the effects of overexpression of SATB2 on the expression of a cotransfected rearranged wild-type and µMAR gene. RNA blot analysis of transfected J558L cells indicated that SATB2 augments the expression of the wild-type µ gene by a factor of approximately five, whereas no significant effect was observed with the µMAR gene [Fig. 2B].

To examine whether SATB2 binds to the MARs of the endogenous µ gene, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments. Because of the lack of antibodies that immunoprecipitate SATB2, we generated a pre-B cell line that had been stably transfected with a SATB2-TAPtag gene construct. After a two-step affinity purification of the SATB2-TAPtag protein that had been cross-linked to DNA in vivo, the immunoprecipitated DNA was amplified in serial dilutions by polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) with primers specific for the 5’ MAR region of the intronic µ enhancer [Fig. 2C, lanes 1–6], or with primers specific for β-globin gene sequences as a control [Fig. 2C, lanes 7–12]. Significant enrichment (~100-fold) of µ 5’ MAR sequences was detected with the immunoprecipitated DNA from the SATB2-TAPtag-expressing cell line [Fig. 2C, lanes 1–6, top panels], but not with the immunoprecipitated DNA from the parental pre-B cell line [Fig. 2C, lanes 1–6, bottom panels]. No enrichment of β-globin sequences was detected [Fig. 2C,
SUMO modification of a MAR-binding protein, SATB2

In an immunoblot analysis of lysates from cells transfected with a myc-tagged SATB2 expression plasmid, we detected SATB2 migrating at ~105 kD and two minor bands migrating at ~135–140 kD (Fig. 3A). To examine whether the two slower-migrating proteins represent covalent conjugations of SATB2 with the 12-kD SUMO, we performed a co-immunoprecipitation with the anti-Flag antibody and probed the immunoblot with an anti-SUMO1 antibody (Fig. 3C, lanes 13–16). After stripping, the blot was probed with an anti-myc antibody (Fig. 3C, lanes 17–20). The slower-migrating forms of SATB2, but not the 105-kD form of SATB2, could be detected with the anti-SUMO1 antiserum. Taken together, these data suggest that SATB2 can be modified by conjugation with SUMO1 or SUMO3.

SUMO acceptor sites have a minimal consensus sequence, PKXE, in which P is a large hydrophobic residue and K is the lysine to which SUMO is added (Rodriguez et al. 2001). Inspection of the amino acid sequence of SATB2 revealed two consensus SUMO acceptor sites at lysines 233 and 350 (Fig. 3B). Interestingly, the corresponding sequences in SATB1 do not conform to the consensus sumoylation sites. Consistent with these sequence differences, we did not detect modifications of myc-tagged SATB1 with either SUMO1 or SUMO3, whereas SATB2 was conjugated with both SUMO proteins (Fig. 3D). To determine whether the putative SUMO conjugation sites in SATB2 are modified in vivo, we mutated the lysines at positions 233 and 350, individually [K233R and K350R] or together [K233R/K350R, dlmut]. We examined the effects of these mutations on the sumoylation of SATB2 by cotransfecting wild-type or mutant Flag-SATB2 expression plasmids, together with SUMO1 or SUMO3 plasmids into 293T cells. Immunoblot analysis of total cell lysates indicated that the
slower-migrating ~140-kD band corresponds to a sumoylation at position K233, whereas the faster-migrating band at ~135 kD and ~140 kD can be detected with an anti-myc antibody. (B) Schematic representation of the domain structure of SATB1 and SATB2. The two CUT motifs, the homeobox (HOX), and the amino acid sequence of two putative SUMO acceptor sites at positions 233 [IKVE] and 350 [VKPE] of SATB2 are shown. The corresponding sequences in SATB1 do not conform to the consensus SUMO acceptor site IKXE. (C) Modification of SATB2 by SUMO1 and SUMO3. Myc-tagged SATB2 and Flag-tagged SUMO1 or SUMO3 expression plasmids were transiently transfected into 293T cells. Equivalent amounts [500 µg] of total cellular protein were immunoprecipitated with an anti-myc antibody (lanes 1–6, top panel) or an anti-Flag antibody (lanes 7–20, top panels), and the immunoprecipitated proteins that have been modified with Flag-SUMO proteins were detected with an anti-Flag antibody (lanes 1–6) or an anti-SUMO1 antibody (lanes 13–16). SATB2 and SUMO-modified forms of SATB2 were detected with an anti-myc antibody (lanes 7–12 and 17–20, top panels). Similar expression of SATB2-myc, Flag-SUMO1, and Flag-SUMO3 was confirmed by immunoblot analysis of total cell lysates (bottom panels). (D) SATB2, but not SATB1, can be modified with SUMO proteins. Myc-tagged SATB1 (lanes 1–3) and myc-tagged SATB2 (lanes 4–6) are detected in total cell lysates of transfected cells by an anti-myc immunoblot analysis. SUMO-modified forms of SATB2 are marked by arrowheads. (E) Identification of K233 and K350 of SATB2 as the acceptor sites for sumoylation. Total protein extracts from cells transfected with expression plasmids of Flag-SATB2 (lanes 1–3) or various Flag-tagged SATB2 mutants: K233R (lanes 4–6), K350R (lanes 7–9), or the K233R/K350R double mutant (dlmut, lanes 10–12), alone or together with SUMO1 or SUMO3 expression plasmids, were analyzed by an immunoblot with an anti-Flag antibody. Mutation of either sumolation site interfered with the appearance of one of the two modified forms of SATB2, whereas the double mutation abrogated SUMO modification. The differences in the migration of the two modified forms of SATB2 are most likely due to the branching position of the sumoylated polypeptides (Hoege et al. 2002).

PIAS1 associates specifically with SATB2 and enhances sumoylation

PIAS proteins have been shown to act as SUMO E3 ligases that augment the sumoylation of proteins, and they have been proposed to confer the substrate specificity upon the E2-conjugating enzyme [Johnson and Gupta 2001; Kahyo et al. 2001; Sachdev et al. 2001]. To determine whether SATB2 can interact specifically with one of the members of the PIAS family of SUMO E3 ligases, we transfected Flag-tagged gene constructs of PIAS1, PIAS3, PIASxα, and PIASxβ into 293T cells, alone or together with a myc-tagged SATB2 expression plasmid. Co-immunoprecipitation with an anti-myc antibody and subsequent immunoblot analysis with an anti-Flag antibody indicated that PIAS1 was efficiently co-immunoprecipitated [Fig. 4A, lane 7]. A weak association was also detected with PIASy but not with the other PIAS proteins [Fig. 4A, lanes 8–10; data not shown]. The association between SATB2 and PIAS1 was also detected by the reciprocal co-immunoprecipitation with an anti-myc antibody and an immunoblot analysis with an anti-Flag antibody [Fig. 4B, lane 7]. Similar levels of expression of the PIAS proteins and SATB2 were confirmed by a parallel immunoblot analysis of total cell lysates [Fig. 4A,B, lower panels]. Thus, SATB2 and PIAS1 can specifically interact in cells expressing both proteins.

To examine whether PIAS1 can act as a specific SUMO E3 ligase for SATB2, we cotransfected the expression plasmids for wild-type SATB2 or the SATB2-K233R/K350R double mutant (dlmut) together with an expression plasmid for any of the four PIAS family members.
SUMO-modified forms of SATB2 were detected by an anti-myc immunoblot analysis specifically in extracts from cells expressing PIAS1 (Fig. 4C, lane 2). As expected, the modified forms of SATB2 were not detected in extracts from cells expressing the SATB2 double mutant [lane 8]. Similar levels of expression of the Flag-tagged PIAS proteins were confirmed by a parallel immunoblot analysis with an anti-Flag antibody (Fig. 4C, lower panel). We also examined whether PIAS1 can act as a SUMO E3 ligase for SATB2 in an in vitro reconstituted system, containing purified E1-activating enzyme Aos1/Uba2, E2-conjugating enzyme Ubc9, SUMO1, and ATP. In this experiment, we used recombinant SATB2 protein that had been purified via a His-tag and could be detected by an immunoblot analysis via a T7-tag. Addition of purified GST-PIAS1 augmented the sumoylation of SATB2 in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 4D). Taken together, these data indicate that PIAS1 acts as a specific E3 ligase for SUMO modification of SATB2 in vivo and in vitro.

**Figure 4.** PIAS1 interacts with SATB2 and stimulates SUMO modification of SATB2 in vivo and in vitro. (A,B) PIAS1 interacts with SATB2 in vivo. Myc-tagged SATB2 and Flag-tagged forms of PIAS1, PIAS3, PIASxα, and PIASxβ were transiently expressed in 293T cells. Equivalent amounts of total cellular protein were immunoprecipitated with an anti-Flag antibody [A, lanes 1–10] or an anti-myc antibody [B, lanes 1–10]. The co-immunoprecipitated proteins were detected by an immunoblot analysis with an anti-myc antibody [A, lanes 1–10, top panel] or anti-Flag antibody [B, lanes 1–10, top panel]. Similar expression of SATB2 and the PIAS proteins was confirmed by immunoblot analysis of total cell lysates [bottom panels]. (C) PIAS1 stimulates SUMO conjugation to SATB2 in vivo. Myc-tagged SATB2 or SATB2-dlmut were expressed alone or together with Flag-tagged PIAS proteins in 293T cells. SATB2 and SUMO-modified SATB2 were detected in total cell lysates by immunoblot analysis with an anti-myc antibody. SUMO-modified SATB2 can be detected only in cells expressing PIAS1 [lane 2]. (D) PIAS1 stimulates SUMO conjugation to SATB2 in vitro: 300 ng purified His- and T7-double-tagged SATB2 protein was incubated for 30 min with 250 ng purified E1 enzyme (Aos1/Uba2 heterodimer), 250 ng E2 enzyme (Ubc9), and 1 µg SUMO1, alone or with increasing amounts of GST-PIAS1. SATB2 proteins were detected by an anti-T7 immunoblot analysis.

**SUMO modification of a MAR-binding protein, SATB2**

SUMO modification has been found to antagonize the activation potential of several transcription factors [Sachdev et al. 2001; Ross et al. 2002; Sapetschnig et al. 2002; Schmidt and Muller 2002]. To examine the effects of sumoylation on the transcriptional activation by SATB2, we transfected J558L cells with expression plasmids encoding wild-type or mutant forms of Flag-tagged SATB2, together with a fos-luciferase reporter construct containing multimerized SATB2-binding sites [Fig. 5A]. Wild-type SATB2 augmented reporter gene expression by a factor of up to 10, whereas mutations of both sumoylation sites of SATB2 (dlmut) further increased the level of reporter gene expression by a factor of ~five [Fig. 5B]. Mutation of the sumoylation site at position 233 resulted in a threefold increase of reporter gene expression relative to the stimulation of expression by wild-type SATB2. To examine whether the effects of the mutations of the lysines at positions 233 and 350 are due to a lack of SUMO modification, rather than due to other modifications such as acetylation or methylation, we generated gene constructs in which SUMO1 or SUMO3 is fused to the amino terminus of SATB2-dlmut. Both SUMO1-SATB2-dlmut and SUMO3-SATB2-dlmut were found to activate reporter gene expression at levels five- to sixfold lower than those observed with SATB2-dlmut [Fig. 5C]. Immunoblot analysis with an anti-Flag antibody indicated that the various SATB2 proteins are expressed at similar levels [data not shown]. Taken together, these data indicate that the sumoylation of SATB2 antagonizes its transcriptional activation potential.

To examine the activation potential of wild-type SATB2 and the mutant SATB2 proteins in a more physiological context, we generated J558L plasmacytoma cell lines that have been stably transfected with Flag-tagged SATB2 constructs, and we then examined the expression of the sumoylation sites of SATB2 augment its activation potential and binding to MAR sequences in vivo

SUMO modification of a MAR-binding protein, SATB2

**Figure 4.** PIAS1 interacts with SATB2 and stimulates SUMO modification of SATB2 in vivo and in vitro. (A,B) PIAS1 interacts with SATB2 in vivo. Myc-tagged SATB2 and Flag-tagged forms of PIAS1, PIAS3, PIASxα, and PIASxβ were transiently expressed in 293T cells. Equivalent amounts of total cellular protein were immunoprecipitated with an anti-Flag antibody [A, lanes 1–10] or an anti-myc antibody [B, lanes 1–10]. The co-immunoprecipitated proteins were detected by an immunoblot analysis with an anti-myc antibody [A, lanes 1–10, top panel] or anti-Flag antibody [B, lanes 1–10, top panel]. Similar expression of SATB2 and the PIAS proteins was confirmed by immunoblot analysis of total cell lysates [bottom panels]. (C) PIAS1 stimulates SUMO conjugation to SATB2 in vivo. Myc-tagged SATB2 or SATB2-dlmut were expressed alone or together with Flag-tagged PIAS proteins in 293T cells. SATB2 and SUMO-modified SATB2 were detected in total cell lysates by immunoblot analysis with an anti-myc antibody. SUMO-modified SATB2 can be detected only in cells expressing PIAS1 [lane 2]. (D) PIAS1 stimulates SUMO conjugation to SATB2 in vitro: 300 ng purified His- and T7-double-tagged SATB2 protein was incubated for 30 min with 250 ng purified E1 enzyme (Aos1/Uba2 heterodimer), 250 ng E2 enzyme (Ubc9), and 1 µg SUMO1, alone or with increasing amounts of GST-PIAS1. SATB2 proteins were detected by an anti-T7 immunoblot analysis.
of the endogenous immunoglobulin α heavy-chain gene (Ca). For this experiment, we chose clones that express the exogenous SATB2 proteins at similar, moderate levels [Fig. 6A]. RNA blot analysis indicated that SATB2 and SATB2-dlmut augmented Ca expression, relative to the expression in the parental J558L cells, by a factor of two and three, respectively [Fig. 6B, lanes 1–3, 8]. In contrast, no activation of Ca expression was observed with the covalent SUMO-SATB2-dlmut fusion proteins [Fig. 6B, lanes 4–7]. The lower activation potential of the wild-type SATB2 protein relative to the SUMO-deficient SATB2 double mutant in this and the previous experiment could be accounted for by a SUMO-dependent decrease in the association of SATB2 with chromatin. Toward this end, we examined the binding of wild-type

**Figure 5.** Sumoylation antagonizes SATB2-mediated transcriptional activation. (A) Schematic representation of wild-type SATB2 and mutant SATB2 proteins containing mutations of the sumoylation sites, individually [K233R, K350R] or in combination [dlmut], and carrying an amino-terminal fusion of SUMO1 [SUMO1-SATB2-dlmut] or SUMO3 [SUMO3-SATB2-dlmut]. The amino-terminal fusions of SUMO cannot be hydrolyzed by SUMO isopeptidases. (B) Mutation of both sumoylation sites of SATB2 augments transcriptional activation. J558L cells were transiently transfected by electroporation with 5 µg of a luciferase reporter construct containing multimerized SATB2-binding sites, alone or together with increasing amounts [1, 3, and 10 µg] of expression plasmids encoding wild-type SATB2 or mutated SATB2 proteins. For the normalization of luciferase activities, the activity of a cotransfected β-galactosidase expression plasmid [1 µg] was determined for each sample. The normalized levels of luciferase activity are expressed as fold-activation relative to the level of luciferase activity from cells transfected with the reporter construct alone. (C) Amino-terminal fusions of SUMO1 or SUMO3 antagonize SATB2-mediated transcriptional activation. J558L cells were transiently transfected by electroporation with a luciferase reporter construct, alone or together with increasing amounts of expression plasmids encoding SATB2-dlmut, SUMO1-SATB2-dlmut, or SUMO3-SATB2-dlmut as indicated.

**Figure 6.** Mutations of the sumoylation sites of SATB2 augment the association with MAR sequences of the endogenous immunoglobulin heavy-chain locus. (A) Immunoblot analysis of clones of J558L plasmacytoma cells that have been stably transfected with Flag-tagged wild-type SATB2 and various mutant SATB2 expression plasmids under the control of the EFlα promoter. (B) RNA blot analysis of J558L clones that have been stably transfected with various SATB2 constructs. Five micrograms total RNA was hybridized with probes that detect the endogenous Ca and GAPDH transcripts and the transfected satb2 mRNA. (C) ChIP experiments of J558L cell lines expressing similar amounts of Flag-tagged wild-type SATB2 [top panels] or the sumoylation site-deficient SATB2 double mutant [bottom panels]. DNA of chromatin fragments that had been immunoprecipitated with an anti-Flag antibody was subsequently analyzed by semiquantitative PCR, using primers located in the 5’ MAR region of the immunoglobulin μ enhancer [lanes 1–5] or in the β-globin locus [lanes 6–10]. Template DNA was used in a fivefold linear dilution series [starting from 5 ng]. (D) Anti-Flag immunoblot analysis to detect SATB2 proteins that have been immunoprecipitated under ChIP conditions to control for equal fractionation and recovery of SATB2 and SATB2-dlmut.
SATB2 and SATB2-dlmut to the µ immunoglobulin MAR sequences in vivo by ChIP experiments with J558L plasmacytoma cells that had been stably transfected with Flag-tagged wild-type and dlmut SATB2 constructs. The results of the ChIP analysis indicated that the SATB2 double mutant associated with the 5’ MAR of the intragenic µ enhancer region at least five times more efficiently than the wild-type SATB2 protein [Fig. 6C]. To rule out that the difference in the ChIP efficiency is a result of an altered fractionation of the SATB2 and SATB2-dlmut proteins, we performed an immunoblot analysis of the immunoprecipitated proteins and detected similar amounts of these proteins [Fig. 6D]. We also examined the DNA-binding ability of purified recombinant wild-type SATB2, SATB2-dlmut, and SUMO1-SATB2-dlmut proteins in an EMSA and found no significant differences in DNA binding [data not shown]. Together, these data suggest that modifications of the sumoylation sites account for the observed differences in DNA binding by wild-type and double mutant SATB2 in vivo.

**SATB2 localizes to the nuclear periphery in a SUMO-dependent manner**

Conjugation of SUMO to target proteins has been shown to influence their subcellular localization. To examine the effects of sumoylation on the subnuclear localization of SATB2, we used the stably transfected J558L cell lines that express wild-type or mutant forms of Flag-tagged SATB2 at similar levels [Fig. 6A]. Indirect immunofluorescence analysis with an anti-Flag antibody indicated that wild-type SATB2 is localized predominantly at the nuclear periphery and to some extent in nuclear speckles [Fig. 7A]. The SATB2 double mutant was localized more diffusely throughout the nucleus [Fig. 7B]. Notably, the covalent fusion of SUMO1 resulted in an accumulation of SATB2 in nuclear speckles, whereas the covalent fusion with SUMO3 resembled the staining of wild-type SATB2 at the nuclear periphery [Fig. 7C,D]. This subnuclear distribution of SUMO3-SATB2 is consistent with the observation that SUMO3 is conjugated to SATB2 more efficiently than SUMO1.

**Discussion**

**SATB2 functions as a transcriptional activator**

We have identified SATB2 as a novel cell type-specific MAR-binding protein that is expressed abundantly in pre-B cells, kidney, and brain. The SATB2 protein was shown to bind MAR sequences flanking the enhancer of the endogenous immunoglobulin µ heavy chain [IgH] gene in vivo, and this binding was found to correlate with an increase in the expression of a transfected rearranged µ wild-type gene, but not with a µΔMAR gene lacking the MAR sequences. In addition, the expression of SATB2 and SATB2-dlmut in stably transfected plasmacytoma cells results in a reproducible two- to threefold increase of the high level of expression of the endogenous IgH locus. Finally, SATB2 was found to augment the expression of a reporter gene construct containing multimerized SATB2-binding sites, indicating that SATB2 acts as a bona fide transcriptional activator. Notably, no significant transcriptional activation by SATB2 was observed in nonlymphoid cells [293T, Hela, and NIH3T3] or in the EL4 T cell line [data not shown], suggesting that SATB2 collaborates with a B cell-specific transcriptional co-activator.

In contrast, the closely related thymocyte-specific MAR-binding protein SATB1 has been shown to repress target genes, such as IL-2Ra. In SATB1-knockout thymocytes, which arrest at the CD4+CD8+ double-positive stage of differentiation, IL-2Ra expression is upregulated, and this effect correlates with changes in the

---

**Figure 7.** SUMO modification alters the subnuclear localization of SATB2. J558L plasmacytoma cells were stably transfected with plasmids encoding Flag-tagged wild-type and mutant SATB2 proteins, and clones expressing similar amounts of SATB2 protein were chosen for the analysis of the subcellular localization by indirect immunofluorescence [Fig. 6A]. [A] Wild-type SATB2 localizes predominantly to the nuclear periphery. [B] SATB2-dlmut is diffusely distributed throughout the nucleus. [C] Covalent attachment of SUMO1 to the amino terminus of SATB2-dlmut localizes the protein into nuclear bodies. [D] Covalent attachment of SUMO3 to the amino terminus of SATB2-dlmut localizes the protein predominantly to the nuclear periphery.
acetylation pattern of histone H3 over a large domain [Yasui et al. 2002]. Consistent with a repressive effect of SATB1, this protein has been found to associate with the histone deacetylase of the NURD complex and to recruit the chromatin remodeling complexes ACF and ISWI in vitro [Yasui et al. 2002]. However, SATB1 was also recently implicated in the positive regulation of genes because the c-myc locus, which contains a MAR region upstream of the transcription start site, is not properly upregulated in PMA-stimulated thymocytes from SATB1-deficient mice [Cai et al. 2003]. In this context, SATB1 has been proposed to act as a three-dimensional protein scaffold upon which MAR sequences are tethered. The tethering of MAR sequences is thought to participate in the alteration of chromatin structure over large distances.

The multiple roles of MAR-binding proteins in gene regulation suggest that their function depends on the context of other cis-acting sequences. Although no direct interactions of MAR-binding proteins with transcription factors have been identified to date, three lines of evidence suggest that MAR-binding proteins can functionally collaborate with enhancer/promoter-binding proteins. First, MAR elements that are combined with the µ enhancer generate DNase I-hypersensitive sites and alter chromatin accessibility, whereas MAR elements alone fail to alter the chromatin structure [Jenuwein et al. 1993, 1997]. Second, the potentiation of the µ enhancer by the MAR-binding protein Bright has been shown to be dependent on the binding site of the Oct transcription factor in the µ enhancer [Webb et al. 1999]. In addition, Bright transactivates only from a subset of binding sites and acts by competing with the repressor Cux/CDP, which recognizes the same nucleotide sequence [Wang et al. 1999; Kaplan et al. 2001]. Finally, the position of a MAR element relative to the transcription initiation site can determine whether the MAR element augments or inhibits gene expression [Schubeler et al. 1996]. Thus, the context of regulatory sequences may determine whether MAR-binding proteins act as activators or repressors of transcription.

Regulation of SATB2 activity by PIAS1-mediated SUMO modification

The regulation of the activity of MAR-binding proteins is still fairly obscure. No signaling pathways have been linked to MAR-binding proteins, but one known mechanism for the regulation of SATB1 is the irreversible inactivation by proteolytic cleavage in apoptotic T cells during negative selection [Galande et al. 2001]. In apoptotic T cells, SATB1 was found to be cleaved by caspase 6 at position 254 [VEMD] between the PDZ domain and the CUT domains, and this proteolysis results in the dissociation of SATB1 from MARs [Galande et al. 2001]. Notably, the caspase 6 cleavage site is not conserved in SATB2, and the incubation of recombinant SATB2 with caspase 6 does not result in a proteolytic cleavage in vitro (G. Dobreva, M. Strzelecka, and R. Grosschedl, unpubl.). Instead, we identified SUMO modification as a mechanism that regulates the activity of SATB2. Our analysis of SATB2 indicates that this protein can be modified by SUMO conjugation at two internal lysines. One of these lysines, K233 of SATB2 [IKVE], is located at a similar position as the caspase 6 cleavage site in SATB1, and the other modified lysine, K350 of SATB2, is located immediately upstream of the CUT domains that mediate binding to MAR sequences [Galande et al. 2001]. The sumoylation sites of SATB2 are not conserved in SATB1, and we also failed to detect SUMO modification of SATB1 in vivo. Thus, the proteolytic cleavage by caspase 6 and the modification by SUMO conjugation appear to be specific for SATB1 and SATB2, respectively. In contrast to the irreversible inactivation of SATB1 by proteolysis, the possibility of removing SUMO peptides by isopeptidases [Li and Hochstrasser 1999; Best et al. 2002, for review, see Muller et al. 2001] suggest that the regulation of SATB2 activity by sumoylation and desumoylation is dynamic. Two signaling pathways, MAP kinase signaling and CaM kinase signaling, have been found to downregulate sumoylation of proteins [Kirsh et al. 2002; Yang et al. 2003]. In addition, sumoylation of proteins is regulated during the cell cycle and cellular stress [Saitoh and Hinchey 2000; Hoege et al. 2002].

Differences in the sumoylation of highly related proteins have also been shown for the Sp1 and Elk families of transcription factors. Sp1, Sp3, and Sp4 recognize the same nucleotide sequences, but only Sp3 can act as both an activator and a repressor of transcription [see Gill 2003]. The ability of Sp3 to act as a repressor was shown to depend on the SUMO modification of a lysine in an inhibitory domain that is important for repression of transcription and is not present in Sp1 and Sp4 [Ross et al. 2002; Sapetschnig et al. 2002]. Likewise, Elk is the only member of the Elk/SAP subfamily of Ets transcription factors that can be sumoylated [Yang et al. 2003]. Thus, SUMO modifications of specific members of protein families may help to diversify the function and/or regulation of highly related proteins.

In our present experiments, we identified PIAS1 as an E3 ligase for SUMO modification of SATB2. Co-expression of SATB2 with PIAS1, but not with other members of the family of PIAS proteins, augmented the sumoylation of SATB2 in vivo and in vitro. The pronounced specificity of PIAS1 as a SUMO E3 ligase for SATB2 contrasts with the lower specificity of PIAS proteins for the sumoylation of various other proteins. For example, p53 and CtBP can be sumoylated in the presence of either PIAS1 or PIASxβ [Schmidt and Muller 2002; Lin et al. 2003]. PIAS proteins contain a conserved SAP domain that mediates association with the nuclear matrix and localization to PML nuclear bodies [Sachdev et al. 2001; Ross et al. 2002], whereas other recently identified E3 ligases for SUMO conjugation have distinct subcellular localizations. RanBP2, an E3 ligase for RanGAP, p53, and HDAC4, is localized at the cytoplasmic side of the nuclear pore and has been implicated in the regulation of nucleocytoplasmic shuttling [Kirsh et al. 2002; Pichler et al. 2002]. In addition, polycomb group protein-2 (Pc2), which stimulates SUMO modification of CtBP, is a com-
ponent of Pc nuclear bodies that are involved in the stable repression of genes (Kagey et al. 2003). Therefore, the overlapping substrate specificity and different subcellular localizations of E3 ligases may impart a spatial or temporal regulation of SUMO modification.

**SUMO modification of SATB2 reduces chromatin association and transcriptional activation**

A functional role for the sumoylation of SATB2 was inferred from the analysis of a mutant protein that cannot be modified with SUMO. First, the SUMO-deficient SATB2 protein was found to associate with three times more efficiently with the MAR sequences of the endogenous immunoglobulin locus compared to the wild-type SATB2 protein. Second, the SUMO-deficient SATB2 stimulated expression of a cotransfected reporter plasmid carrying multimerized SATB2-binding sites more efficiently than wild-type SATB2. Although lysines can also be modified by ubiquitination, acetylation, or methylation, an amino-terminal fusion of SUMO to the K233R/K350R double mutant of SATB2 results in a decrease of the transcriptional activation potential, suggesting that the effects of the lysine mutations of SATB2 are most likely due to a deficiency in sumoylation. Therefore, SUMO modification appears to contribute to the regulation of the transcriptional activity of SATB2. In contrast, the sumoylation of SATB2 and Sp3 alter the activity of these proteins, possibly irrespective of the location where they function. Thus, the sumoylation of proteins may generate pools of proteins that are functionally distinct and/or localized to different subnuclear compartments.

**Sumoylation changes the subnuclear localization of SATB2**

We find a striking correlation of sumoylation of SATB2 and its subnuclear localization. Both SATB2 and the SUMO3-SATB2-dlmut fusion protein are localized predominantly at the nuclear periphery, whereas the sumoylation-deficient SATB2-dlmut protein has a more diffuse nuclear localization. For the determination of protein localization, we used stably transfected plasma-cytoma cells that express SATB2 at moderate levels, to avoid artificial targeting of excess proteins to sites of protein storage or degradation. As the SATB2-dlmut and SUMO-SATB2-dlmut proteins do not efficiently associate with PIA1 (data not shown), the localization of SATB2 appears to be influenced by the added SUMO peptides. The function of another MAR-binding protein, Bright, also correlates with changes in its subnuclear localization. In association with a B cell-specific isoform of the speckle protein 100 (LYSp100B), Bright acts as a transcriptional activator and is localized to specific sub-

nuclear speckles, termed LANDs (Zong et al. 2000). In association with the related Sp100 protein, Bright-mediated activation is repressed by antagonizing tetramerization of Bright and targeting to PML nuclear bodies (Zong et al. 2000).

The differences in the subnuclear localization of wild-type SATB2 and the SUMO-deficient SATB2 double mutant raise the question of whether the sumoylation of this MAR-binding protein may be involved in the changes of the localization of genes during transcriptional activation and/or repression. The immunoglobulin $\mu$ gene has been shown to localize at the nuclear periphery in primary T cells that do not express the gene, whereas the $\mu$ gene is localized away from the nuclear periphery in pre-B cells in which the gene is activated (Kosak et al. 2002). In addition, the nuclear localization of genes that are silenced by the transcription factor Ikaros is altered in comparison to cells in which Ikaros is not present (Cobb et al. 2000). In this case, the silenced genes colocalize with heterochromatization in foci that are located near the nuclear periphery. Our experiments indicate that the sumoylation of SATB2 down-regulates its association with MAR sequences and the transcriptional activation of MAR-containing target genes. In addition, sumoylation targets SATB2 to the nuclear periphery. However, we consider it unlikely that these processes are linked and that SUMO modification of SATB2 is involved in localizing silent immunoglobulin genes to the nuclear periphery. Instead, we favor the view that other mechanisms, including epigenetic modifications of chromatin and/or binding to other proteins, may account for the localization of the silent immunoglobulin loci to the nuclear periphery. If SATB2 had a function similar to that of the proposed nuclear scaffold function of SATB1 (Cai et al. 2003), SATB2 could be involved in localizing the immunoglobulin loci to sites of active transcription. According to this scheme, sumoylation of SATB2 could be a mechanism to regulate the tethering during the cell cycle, cellular stress, or extra-cellular signals that alter the balance between sumoylation and desumoylation.

**Materials and methods**

**Constructs**

SATB2 was PCR-amplified from a cDNA pool of Abelson murine leukemia virus (AMuLV)-transformed pre-B cells using the primers 5′-ATGGGACCGCGGACGGAGAG and 5′-TTA TCTCTGTCATTTCCGGCGGTTG, and the products were cloned into pBlueScript SK. For generating pcDNA3.1-TAP-tag-SATB2, SATB2 was amplified using primers 5′-CCGGATCT CATGGAGCGCGGACGGAGAG-3′ and 5′-GCGGATCC TCTCTGTCATTTCCGGCGGTTG-3’, and after digestion of the PCR product with BamHI, the fragment was cloned into the BamHI site of pcDNA3.1-TAPtag. The SATB2 BamHI fragment was also subcloned in-frame into pEF-Flag or pET21a [Novagen] vectors. The SATB2 point mutants K233R and K350R were generated in the desired expression clone using a QuickChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene). SATB2 K232R/K350R was constructed by the ligation of a
His-tagged proteins from with 3×10^mL lysis buffer, and eluted with 300 mM imidazole

The wild-type MAR 5′-TCTTTAATTCTAATATTTTAG AATT-3′ or mutant MAR 5′-TCTTTAATTCTACTGCTT

TAGAATTTC-3′ oligonucleotides (Dickinson et al. 1992) were radiolabeled with 32P and purified on a 20% native polyacrylamide gel. DNA-binding reactions contained 20 mM HEPE, pH 7.9, 100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 0.1 mM EDTA, 8% glycerol, 1 µg polystyrene-IC, and 10,000 cpm radiolabeled probe. EMSAs were performed in 0.33x TBE.

In vitro sumoylation assay

SUMO modification reactions were performed in a total volume of 20 µL with 250 ng of Aos1/Uba2, 250 ng Ubc9, 1 µg recombinant SUMO-1, and 300 ng His-epitope-tagged SATB2. The reaction buffer contained 50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 5 mM MgCl2, and 1 mM ATP. Reactions were incubated at 30°C for 30–45 min and stopped by addition of 2x SDS-PAGE sample buffer.

Immunoprecipitations and immunoblot analysis

For immunoprecipitation and co-immunoprecipitation experiments, cells were harvested in PBS, pelleted, and resuspended in RIPA [10 mM Na-phosphate buffer, pH 7.3, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Na-deoxycholate, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS, 1 mM DTT, protease inhibitors mix] or co-immunoprecipitation buffer (50 mM Tris-cl, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM EGTA, 0.1% TX-100, 1 mM DTT, protease inhibitors mix). Equivalent amounts of total protein extract—usually 500 µg—were preclared and immunopurified with 1 µg of anti-Flag or anti-myc mAb. For immunoblot analysis, the antibodies were used in following dilutions: anti-T7 mAb (Novagen), 1:5000; anti-Flag M2 mAb (Sigma), 1:5000; anti-myc mAb (Sigma), 1:4000; and anti-SUMO1 [GMP] 1 mAb (Zymed Laboratories), 1:1000.

Chromatin immunoprecipitations

Proteins were crosslinked to DNA by adding formaldehyde directly in the culture medium to a final concentration of 1% and incubating for 10 min at 37°C. Cells were washed and resuspended in sonication buffer (1% SDS, 10 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris, pH8.0). DNA was sheared by sonication 15 x 15 sec. Chromatin extracts were diluted 10-fold in immunoprecipitation [IP] buffer (140 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, and protease inhibitors mix). IP using anti-Flag mAb and Protein G-Sepharose or two-step affinity chromatography purification (Rigaut et al. 1999) was performed. The IPs were washed twice with 1 mL of IP buffer, once with 1 mL of IP buffer containing 500 mM NaCl, once with 0.5 mL wash buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 250 mM LiCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.25% sodium deoxycholate), and twice with 0.5 mL of TE buffer. The chromatin bound to the beads was eluted in 300 µL elution buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS) by heating at 65°C for 15 min. Bound and input chromatin samples were diluted to a final SDS concentration of 0.5%. All samples were incubated overnight at 65°C to reverse the formaldehyde crosslinking. After RNaseA treatment and phenol-chloroform purification of the DNA, semiquantitative PCRs were performed, using primer pairs 5′MAR-CHIP-U (5′ CACTCCAGCTTTCTTTCAATATTAG AATT-3′) and 5′MAR-CHIP-L (5′-AGACCCT CACTCAGCTTTCTTTCAATATTAG AATT-3′) for the 5′ MAR region in the immunoglobulin intronic enhancer and H7uA and H7ub for the β-globulin locus (Litt et al. 2001).

Nuclear matrix preparation, GFP fluorescence, and indirect immunofluorescence

For indirect immunofluorescence, cells were fixed for 20 min with 3.2% paraformaldehyde in cytoskeletal buffer and subse-
quently permeabilized for 5 min at room temperature with 0.5% Triton X-100 in cytoskeletal buffer [10 mM PIPES, pH 7.1, 1 mM EGTA, 3 mM MgCl2]. Indirect immunofluorescence was conducted essentially as described (Zeng et al. 1997). Nuclear matrix preparations were conducted essentially as described (de Belle et al. 1998).
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