Discrete and continuous model of three-phase linear induction motors considering attraction force and end-effects
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ABSTRACT

The continuous model of the linear induction motor (LIM) has been made considering the edge effects and the attraction force. Taking the attraction force into account is important when considering dynamic analysis when the motor operates under mechanical load. A laboratory prototype has been implemented from which the parameters of the equivalent LIM circuit have been obtained. The discrete model has been developed to quickly obtain computational solutions and to analyze non-linear behaviors through the application of discrete control systems. In order to obtain the discrete model of the LIM we have started from the solution of the continuous model. To develop the model, the magnetizing inductance has been considered, which reflects the edge effects. In the results, the model is compared without considering the edge effects or the attraction force with the proposed model.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The linear induction motor (LIM) was invented and patented more than a hundred years ago being impractical due to the difficulties in its construction by not being able to have small air space without roughness in addition to not being able to achieve good efficiency factors. Nowadays, technological advances have allowed the LIM to have greater importance, extending its use to important industrial and research applications [1-7]. Linear induction motors are three-phase AC devices that work by the general principles of electromechanical energy transformation like other induction motors and are constructed for to produce movement on a straight line. Although are named “Linear” the mathematical models are nonlinear and due to symmetrical missing in their construction is necessary to consider effects that not are present in the rotary electric machines.

When the topology of a machine is modified, which is the case of the LIM with the RIM (rotary induction machine), the design and operating conditions are also modified. Specifically, different phenomena appear in the magnetic circuit that must be re-modelled. This leads to the development of new theories [1, 2, 4].
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When it is required to generate a linear movement from RIM, the use of mechanical elements is necessary, this can be avoided with the use of LIM. In addition to eliminating the use of mechanical elements, the latter have the advantages of high acceleration and deceleration capacity, use in levitation systems by normal magnetic forces, lower maintenance costs, low noise, possibility of use in systems with curves and slopes, braking that does not depend on the system conditions, among others [8-12].

There is little work on sampling LIM dynamics; therefore, it is of great importance to investigate an accurate representation of the sampled data of the complete dynamics of linear induction motors, and to design slide controllers at discrete time [7, 13]. With respect to the non-linear models of control strategies applied to LIMs, an in-depth review is made of in [7, 14, 15], also in the terms of the mathematical model. The physical model of the LIM has been developed to model the figure system as shown in Figure 1. The construction aspects of the LIM have been fully developed in [7, 16]. The organization of the document is as follows. Section 2 develops the modelling of the linear induction motor taking into account the effects of edges and forces in the equivalent circuit which is then discretized for comparison with the continuous model. Section three implements the whole system and compares the results to conclude with the conclusions of the work.

2. LIM MODEL CONSIDERING ATTRACTION FORCE AND END-EFFECTS

Based on the $d - q$ theory, the LIM model has been made with its equivalent circuit starting from [date13]. It is taken into account that the $q$ axis of the linear induction motor is equivalent to the rotary motor so the parameters are invariable. However, if the currents of the $d$ axis are analyzed, they affect the flow of the air gap causing a decrease in $\lambda_{dr}$. Thus the equivalent circuit of the rotary motor in the $d$ axis is not applicable to the linear motor if the edge effects are taken into account.

In rotary motors, the edge effects are not appreciable, which is the case with linear motors. Furthermore, these effects increase as the motor speed increases, which leads to an analysis of these effects as a function of speed, taking into account that they also have different behaviour at the output and arrival ends of the linear motor, since they decrease more slowly at the input than at the output due to the increase in the time constant that modifies the derivative of the function.

2.1. Equivalent circuit for LIM

The construction model of the linear motor is illustrated in Figure 2(a). As it can be seen, as the primary moves, it interacts with another region of the liner different from the previous one and that also opposes the increase of penetrating magnetic flux and accumulating more flux in the air gap which affects the performance of the linear motor as reported [17–19]. This effect can be analyzed in Figure 2(c).
As the coils of the primary move, the newly generated field enters the secondary as the previous field disappears at the output of the primary creating eddy currents in the primary [20] (see Figure 3(b)). Aligning the reference frame with the reaction linear flux and call it $d-axis$, it results in $\lambda_{qr} = 0$. Noting that as far as $\lambda_{qr} = 0$ and $\lambda_{dr}$ does not change, the end effect does not play any role in equivalent circuit. Since $i_{qe} = -i_{qs}$ the entry q axis eddy current keeps $\lambda_{qr} = 0$. Hence, the $q-axis$ equivalent circuit is identical to the case of the rotary induction motor. However, the $d-axis$ air gap flux is affected much by the eddy current since $d-axis$ entry eddy current in linear induction motor, $i_{de}$, reduces $\lambda_{dr}$.

Figure 2. (a) Motion effect of the primary coil generating eddy currents, (b) Input and output current waveforms, (c) Flow waveform in the air gap.

Figure 3. (a) Effective air gap MMF and (b) eddy current profile in normalized time scale.
2.2. Magnetizing inductance reflecting the end effects

When the primary is moving, the primary MMF observed by the rail will be decreased at the entry and be reflected in the output rail to keep the air gap in flow (continuous). In particular, the polarity of the input eddy current is contrary to that of the output eddy current, as they are naturally opposed to the generation and extinction of the fields, specifically. Note that the input eddy current has a higher decay period relative to the output eddy current, since the inductance is greater in the air gap than in the free air. The pattern of the eddy currents is drawn in Figure 4 which is based on the standard time scale. [20].

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Observing that the input of the d-axis of the eddy currents decreases with the time differential } T_r, \text{ the mean value of the eddy current input from the d-axis } i_{\epsilon d} \text{ is given by } & 1 \\
& i_{\epsilon d} = i_{ds} \int_{0}^{T_r} e^{-t/T_r} dt \\
& \text{where } T_v = D/v, \text{ and } D, v \text{ are the motor extension and velocity. Noting that } T_v = D/v \text{ is the time for the motor to travels a point. Because the travel length for the period } T_r \text{ is equivalent to } vT_r \text{ and normalizing the motor size with } vT_r \text{ as } 2 [21]. \\
& Q = \frac{vT_v}{vT_r} = \frac{DR_r}{(L_m + L_{ds})v} \quad (2) \\
& \text{Notice that } Q \text{ is non-dimensional yet it represents the length of the motor on the standardized time scale. Based on this, the length of the motor is strongly influenced by the speed of the motor, so that at zero speed, the length of the motor is infinitely long. As the speed increases, the length of the motor will effectively decrease. Using 2, (1) can be rewritten as follows:} \\
& i_{\epsilon} = \frac{i_{ds}}{Q} \int_{0}^{Q} e^{-x} dx = \frac{i_{ds}}{Q} \left(1 - e^{-Q}\right) \quad (3)
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 4. The equivalent linear motor circuits taking into account the end-effects, (a) The equivalent d-axis circuit, (b) The equivalent q-axis circuit
The effective magnetizing current is thus decreased in such a manner that:

\[
i_{ds} - i_{\varepsilon} = i_{ds} \left[ 1 - \frac{1 - e^{-Q}}{Q} \right]
\]  

(4)

The reduction of the magnetizing current caused by the eddy current, can, however, be justified by changing the magnetizing inductance in a way that:

\[
L'_m = L_m(1 - f(Q))
\]  

(5)

where \( f(Q) = (1 - e^{-Q})/Q \) [20]. As velocity tends to zero, \( L'_m \) converges to \( L_m \), i.e., the LIM dynamics becomes equivalent to the RIM dynamics as the end effect disappears. Figure 4 shows the effective air gap MMF and the eddy current profile in normalized time scale.

2.3. Equivalent series resistor reflecting rail eddy current losses

When inflow and outflow eddy currents flow along the rail, an ohmic loss of \( R_r \) will occur. Note that the average square value of the input eddy current over the length of the motor is given by:

\[
i_{\varepsilon RMS} = \left[ \frac{i_{ds}^2}{Q} \int_0^Q e^{-2x} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = i_{ds} \left[ \frac{1 - e^{-2Q}}{2Q} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]  

(6)

Hence, the loss caused by the entry eddy current is evaluated as [22] in 7:

\[
P_{entry} = i_{\varepsilon RMS}^2 R_r = i_{ds}^2 R_r \frac{1 - e^{-2Q}}{2Q}
\]  

(7)

Using the methodology of [22], we can assess the losses due to the eddy current by the temporal rate of the magnetic energy change when exiting the air space of the motor. Note from 3 that the total eddy current in the air gap is equal to \( i_{ds}(1 - e^{-Q}) \). This flow must be eliminated in the exit rail for \( T_v \) to satisfy the steady flow condition of the air gap. Thus, the loss due to the output eddy current is provided by 8:

\[
P_{exit} = \frac{L_r i_{ds}^2 (1 - e^{-Q})^2}{2T_v} = i_{ds}^2 R_r \frac{(1 - e^{-Q})^2}{2Q}
\]  

(8)

Adding (7) and (8), the total ohmic losses due to eddy currents in the rail are given by this loss of power can be shown as a resistance wired in a series \( R_r f(Q) \) in the magnetizing current branch. 4 the total ohmic losses due to eddy currents in the rail are given by this loss of power can be shown as a resistance wired in a series.

Duncan’s circuit has been developed considering velocity and power loss. It supposes uniform winding and materials, symmetric impedances per phase and equal mutual inductances. It’s based on traditional model of three-phase, Y-connected rotatory induction motor with linear magnetic circuit in a synchronous reference system (superscript “e”) aligned with the linear flux. Also only longitudinal end effects have been considered.

Duncan’s model has been adopted in order to obtain a space state representation both continuous-time and discrete-time. Several techniques have been developed for non linear dynamics analysis in the state space.

Parameter \( Q \), function \( f(Q) \), Magnetizing Inductance Reflecting the End Effects and Equivalent Series Resistor Reflecting Rail Eddy Current Losses have been derived from circuit theory.

The \( Q \) factor is associated with the length of the primary, and to a certain degree, quantifies the end effects as a function of the velocity \( v \) as described by (9).

\[
Q = \frac{DR_r}{L_r v}
\]  

(9)

Note that the \( Q \) factor is inversely dependent on the velocity, i.e., for a zero velocity the \( Q \) factor may be considered infinite, and the end effects may be ignored. As the velocity increases the end effects increases, which causes a reduction of the LIM’s magnetization current. This effect may be quantified in terms of the magnetization inductance with the equation:
where \( f(Q) = \frac{1-e^{-Q}}{Q} \).

The resistance in series with the inductance \( L_m' \) in the magnetization branch of the equivalent electrical circuit of the d-axis, is determined in relation to the increase in losses occurring with the increase of the currents induced at the entry and exit ends of the linor. These losses may be represented as the product of the linor resistance \( R_r \) by the factor \( f(Q) \), i.e., \( R_r f(Q) \) [23, 24].

From the \( d–q \) equivalent circuit of the LIM, the primary and linor voltage equations in a stationary reference system aligned with the linor flux are given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\alpha_{ds}}{dt} &= R_s i_{ds} + R_r f(Q)(i_{ds} + i_{dr}) + \frac{d\alpha_{ds}}{dt} \\
\frac{d\alpha_{qs}}{dt} &= R_s i_{qs} + \frac{d\alpha_{qs}}{dt} \\
\frac{d\alpha_{dr}}{dt} &= R_r i_{dr} + R_r f(Q)(i_{ds} + i_{dr}) + \frac{d\alpha_{dr}}{dt} + \frac{\pi}{\tau} v \lambda_q r \\
\frac{d\alpha_{qr}}{dt} &= R_r i_{qr} + \frac{d\alpha_{qr}}{dt} - \frac{\pi}{\tau} v \lambda_d r
\end{align*}
\]

Due to the short-circuited secondary their voltages are zero, that is, \( u_{dr} = u_{qr} = 0 \).

The linkage fluxes are given by the following equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{ds} &= L_s i_{ds} + L_m i_{dr} - L_m f(Q)(i_{ds} + i_{dr}) \\
\lambda_{qs} &= L_s i_{qs} + L_m i_{qr} \\
\lambda_{dr} &= L_r i_{dr} + L_m i_{ds} - L_m f(Q)(i_{ds} + i_{dr}) \\
\lambda_{qr} &= L_r i_{qr} + L_m i_{qs}
\end{align*}
\]

To develop a state space LIM model from 10 and 11 is necessary to combine both equations. Because \( q–axis \) equivalent circuit of the LIM is identical to the \( q–axis \) equivalent circuit of the induction motor (RIM), the parameters do not vary with the end effects and so \( \frac{\Delta \alpha_{ds}}{dt} \) and \( \frac{\Delta \alpha_{qs}}{dt} \) in 12 remaind it equals to 13 [6].

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d i_{qs}}{dt} &= - \left[ \frac{R_s}{\rho L_s} + \frac{1 - \rho}{\rho T_r} \right] i_{qs} - \frac{L_m \pi}{\rho L_s L_r T_r} v \lambda_{dr} + \frac{L_m}{\rho L_s L_r T_r} \lambda_{qr} + \frac{1}{\rho L_s} u_{qs} \\
\frac{d i_{ds}}{dt} &= - \left[ \frac{R_s}{\rho L_s} + \frac{1 - \rho}{\rho T_r} \right] i_{ds} + \frac{L_m}{\rho L_s L_r T_r} \lambda_{dr} + \frac{L_m \pi}{\rho L_s L_r T_r} v \lambda_{qr} + \frac{1}{\rho L_s} u_{ds} \\
\frac{d \lambda_{qr}}{dt} &= \frac{L_m}{T_r} i_{ds} + \frac{\pi}{\tau} v \lambda_{dr} - \frac{1}{T_r} \lambda_{qr} \\
\frac{d \lambda_{dr}}{dt} &= \frac{L_m}{T_r} i_{qs} - \frac{1}{T_r} \lambda_{dr} - \frac{\pi}{\tau} v \lambda_{qr} \\
\frac{d v}{dt} &= \frac{K_f}{M} \left( \lambda_{dr} i_{qs} - \lambda_{qr} i_{ds} \right) - \frac{B}{M} v - \frac{F_L}{M} \\
\frac{d i_{qs}}{dt} &= - \frac{R_s}{\rho L_s} i_{qs} + \frac{L_m \pi}{\rho L_s L_r T_r} v \lambda_{dr} + \frac{L_m}{\rho L_s L_r T_r} \lambda_{qr} + \frac{1}{\rho L_s} u_{qs} \\
\frac{d i_{dr}}{dt} &= \frac{L_m}{T_r} i_{qs} + \frac{\pi}{\tau} v \lambda_{dr} - \frac{1}{T_r} \lambda_{qr}
\end{align*}
\]

The RIM electrical torque in an arbitrary reference frame is given by [19], and modifying it with relation \( v = \tau \frac{m}{2} = 2 \tau f_1 \) we obtain following LIM thrust force.

\[
F_e = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\pi}{\tau \omega_r} \left[ \omega (\lambda_{ds} i_{qs} - \lambda_{qs} i_{ds}) + (\omega - \omega_r) (\lambda_{dr} i_{qr} - \lambda_{qr} i_{dr}) \right]
\]

in a stationary reference frame \( (\omega = 0) \) the thrust force becomes:

\[
F_e = \frac{3}{2} \pi \left[ \lambda_{qr} i_{dr} - \lambda_{dr} i_{qr} \right]
\]
clearing $i_{dr}$ from $\lambda_{dr}$ in 11

$$i_{dr} = \frac{\lambda_{dr} - L_m(1 - f(Q))i_{ds}}{L_r - L_m f(Q)}$$ (15)

clearing $i_{qr}$ from $\lambda_{qr}$ in 11

$$i_{qr} = \frac{\lambda_{qr} - L_m i_{qs}}{L_r}$$ (16)

Substituting $i_{dr}$ and $i_{qr}$ into 14 results in:

$$F_e = \frac{3 \pi L_m}{2 L_m} \left[ \lambda_{dr} i_{qs} + \frac{f(Q)}{L_r - L_m f(Q)} \lambda_{qr} \lambda_{dr} - \frac{1 - f(Q)}{1 - L_m f(Q) 1 \over L_r} \lambda_{qr} i_{ds} \right]$$ (17)

Then space state mechanical equation is giving by 18

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = K_f \left[ \lambda_{dr} i_{qs} + \frac{f(Q)}{L_r - L_m f(Q)} \lambda_{qr} \lambda_{dr} - \frac{1 - f(Q)}{1 - L_m f(Q) 1 \over L_r} \lambda_{qr} i_{ds} \right] - \frac{B v}{M} - F_L$$ (18)

Considering short-circuited linear circuit ($u_{ds} = 0$) and solving for $\frac{d\lambda_{dr}}{dt}$ gets

$$\frac{d\lambda_{dr}}{dt} = -\frac{R_r(1 + f(Q))}{L_r - L_m f(Q)} \lambda_{dr} - \frac{\pi}{\tau} v \lambda_{qr} + \frac{R_r (L_m - L_r f(Q))}{L_r - L_m f(Q)} i_{ds}$$ (19)

Substituting the first equation of 11 into first equation of 10 results:

$$u_{ds} = \left[ R_s + R_r f(Q) - L_m \frac{df(Q)}{dt} \right] i_{ds} + [L_s - L_m f(Q)] \frac{d\lambda_{dr}}{dt}$$ (20)

Clearing $i_{dr}$ from $\lambda_{dr}$ in 11

$$i_{dr} = \frac{1}{L_r - L_m f(Q)} \lambda_{dr} = \frac{L_m(1 - f(Q))}{L_r - L_m f(Q)} i_{ds}$$

and substituting into 20 results

$$u_{ds} = \left[ R_s + R_r f(Q) - \frac{(L_r - L_m)^2}{(L_r - L_m f(Q))^2} L_m \frac{df(Q)}{dt} \right] i_{ds}$$

$$+ \left[ L_s - L_m f(Q) - \frac{L_m^2(1 - f(Q))^2}{L_r - L_m f(Q)} \right] \frac{d\lambda_{dr}}{dt}$$

$$+ \left[ L_m (L_m - L_r) \frac{df(Q)}{dt} \right] \lambda_{dr} + \frac{L_m(1 - f(Q))}{L_r - L_m f(Q)} \frac{d\lambda_{dr}}{dt}$$

substituting $\frac{d\lambda_{dr}}{dt}$ in last term into above equation we obtain:

$$u_{ds} = \left[ R_s + R_r f(Q) - \frac{(L_r - L_m)^2}{(L_r - L_m f(Q))^2} L_m \frac{df(Q)}{dt} + \frac{R_r L_m (1 - f(Q)) (L_m - L_r f(Q))}{L_r - L_m f(Q)} \right] i_{ds}$$

$$+ \left[ L_s - L_m f(Q) - \frac{L_m^2(1 - f(Q))^2}{L_r - L_m f(Q)} \right] \frac{d\lambda_{dr}}{dt}$$

$$+ \left[ L_m (L_m - L_r) \frac{df(Q)}{dt} - \frac{R_r L_m (1 - f(Q))}{(L_r - L_m f(Q))^2} \right] \lambda_{dr} - \frac{L_m(1 - f(Q))}{L_r - L_m f(Q)} \frac{\pi}{\tau} v \lambda_{qr}$$

Discrete and continuous model of three-phase linear ... (N. Toro-García)
Solving for $\frac{dL_d}{dt}$,

$$\frac{dL_d}{dt} = \left[ R_s + R_f(\beta) \right] [L_r - L_m f(Q)]^2 - L_m (L_r - L_m)^2 \frac{df(Q)}{dt} + R_f L_m [1 - f(Q)] [L_m - L_r f(Q)] L_d s$$

$$+ \frac{L_m (L_m - L_r)}{L_r - L_m} \frac{df(Q)}{dt} - R_f L_m [1 - f^2(Q)]$$

$$+ \frac{L_s L_r - L_s L_m f(Q) - L_r L_m f(Q) - L_m^2 + 2L_m f(Q)}{L_m f(Q) - L_r} \lambda_d$$

$$+ \frac{L_s L_r - L_s L_m f(Q) - L_r L_m f(Q) - L_m^2 + 2L_m f(Q)}{L_m f(Q) - L_r} \frac{\pi}{\tau} v\lambda_q$$

$$+ \frac{L_s L_r - L_s L_m f(Q) - L_r L_m f(Q) - L_m^2 + 2L_m f(Q)}{L_m f(Q) - L_r} u_d q$$

(21)

Grouping the state equations and changing the index d and q by α and β respectively, and omitting the primary and secondary (linor) indexes because the voltages and currents are with respect to primary and the fluxes are with respect to secondary, we obtain 22:

$$\frac{di_\alpha}{d\tau} = \left[ \frac{R_s + R_f(\beta)}{\rho L_s} \right] i_\beta - \frac{L_m \pi}{\rho L_s L_r \tau} v\lambda_\alpha + \frac{L_m}{\rho L_s} \frac{1}{L_r} u_\beta$$

$$\frac{di_\beta}{d\tau} = \left[ \frac{R_s + R_f(\beta)}{\rho L_s} \right] i_\alpha - \frac{L_m \pi}{\rho L_s L_r \tau} v\lambda_\beta + \frac{L_m}{\rho L_s} \frac{1}{L_r} u_\beta$$

$$\frac{d\lambda_\alpha}{d\tau} = \frac{L_m}{T_r} i_\beta + \frac{\pi}{\tau} v\lambda_\alpha - \frac{1}{T_r} \lambda_\beta$$

$$\frac{d\lambda_\beta}{d\tau} = \frac{R_f(1 + f(Q))}{L_r - L_m f(Q)} \lambda_\alpha - \frac{\pi}{\tau} v\lambda_\beta + \frac{R_f (L_m - L_r f(Q))}{L_r - L_m f(Q)} i_\alpha$$

$$\frac{dv}{d\tau} = \frac{K_f}{M} \left[ \lambda_\alpha i_\beta - \frac{f(Q)}{L_r - L_m f(Q)} \lambda_\beta \lambda_\alpha - \frac{1 - f(Q)}{1 - f(Q)} \lambda_\beta i_\alpha \right] - \frac{B}{M} v - \frac{F_L}{M}$$

(22)

where $v$ is the mover linear velocity; $\lambda_\alpha$ and $\lambda_\beta$ are the $d-axis$ and $q-axis$ secondary flux; $i_\alpha$ and $i_\beta$ are the $d-axis$ and $q-axis$ primary current; $u_\alpha$ and $u_\beta$ are the $d-axis$ and $q-axis$ primary voltage; $T_r = \frac{L_m}{R_s}$ is the secondary time constant; $\rho = 1 - \frac{L_m^2}{L_r}$ is the leakage coefficient; $K_f = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\pi L_m}{T_r}$ is the force constant; $R_s$ is the winding resistance per phase; $R_f$ is the secondary resistance per phase referred primary; $L_m$ is the magnetizing inductance per phase; $L_r$ is the secondary inductance per phase referred primary; $L_s$ is the primary inductance per phase; $F_L$ is the external force disturbance; $M$ is the total mass of the mover; $B$ is the viscous friction and iron-loss coefficient; $\tau$ is the pole pitch; $D$ is the primary length in meters; $Q = \frac{D R_f}{T_r}$ is a factor related to the primary length, which quantifies the end effects as a function of the speed and $f(Q) = \frac{1 - e^{-Q}}{Q}$ is the factor related to the losses in the magnetization branch. To discretize the state LIM model with end effects we use the backward difference method [25] and finally we obtain an approximate discrete time version of the LIM model 23 taking into account end effects.
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\[ \text{λ_{subfigures 6b, 6c, 7b, 7c, 8b and 8c with attractive limit cycles are shown.} } \]

all cases, but when the fed frequency is lower, higher output frequency components appear. Phase portraits in

3. RESULTS

Figure 5 shows the end effects on mover velocity, fluxes and currents. Figures 6, 7 and 8 show the
system 22 behavior when the frequency of input voltage vary. The steady state velocity is a periodic wave in all
cases, but when the fed frequency is lower, higher output frequency components appear. Phase portraits in
subfigures 6b, 6c, 7b, 7c, 8b and 8c with attractive limit cycles are shown.

\[ \text{(23)} \]

where \( v_k = v(kT) \) is the mover linear velocity; \( \lambda_0^\alpha = \lambda_0(kT) \) and \( \lambda_0^\beta = \lambda_\beta(kT) \) are the \( d - axis \) an \( q - axis \) secondary flux; \( i_k^\alpha = i_\alpha(kT) \) and \( i_k^\beta = i_\beta(kT) \) are the \( d - axis \) and \( q - axis \) primary current; \( u_k^\alpha = u_\alpha(kT) \) and \( u_k^\beta = u_\beta(kT) \) are the \( d - axis \) and \( q - axis \) primary voltage; \( T_r = \frac{L_m}{R_s} \) is the secondary time constant; \( \rho = 1 - \left( \frac{L_m^2}{L_r} \right) \) is the leakage coefficient; \( K_f = 3 \pi L_m \) is the force constant; \( R_s \) is the winding resistance per phase; \( R_r \) is the secondary resistance per phase referred primary; \( L_m \) is the primary inductance per phase; \( F_k \) is the external force disturbance; \( M \) is the total mass of the mover; \( B \) is the viscous friction and iron-loss coefficient; \( \tau \) is the pole pitch; \( T \) is the primary length in meters; \( Q = \frac{1}{2} \) is a factor related to the primary length, which quantifies the end effects as a function of the speed; \( f(Q) = \frac{1}{2} (\frac{Q}{Q}) \) is the factor related to the losses in the magnetization branch and \( \frac{\Delta f(Q)}{f} = \frac{df(Q)}{dt} \) | \( t = kT \).

3. RESULTS

Figure 5 shows the end effects on mover velocity, fluxes and currents. Figures 6, 7 and 8 show the
system 22 behavior when the frequency of input voltage vary. The steady state velocity is a periodic wave in all
cases, but when the fed frequency is lower, higher output frequency components appear. Phase portraits in
subfigures 6b, 6c, 7b, 7c, 8b and 8c with attractive limit cycles are shown.
Figure 5. Mover velocity, velocity differences, currents and fluxes resulting from the model simulation using ODE45 function of Matlab, taking into account end effects in model of LIM (22) and without end-effects model (3), (a) Mover velocity of LIM with and without end-effects, (b) Velocity difference vs mover velocity without end-effects, (c) $\beta - axis$ With and without end-effects currents, (d) $\beta - axis$ With and without end-effects fluxes (continue)

Figure 6. LIM behavior with 30Hz input frequency. Mover velocity and phase portraits of some state variables, (a) Mover velocity of LIM with end-effects, (b) Phase portrait of mover velocity vs $i_\alpha$, (c) Phase portrait of $\lambda_\alpha$ vs $i_\alpha
Figure 7. LIM behavior with 60 Hz input frequency. Mover velocity and phase portraits of some state variables. (a) Mover velocity of LIM with end-effects, (b) Phase portrait of mover velocity vs \(i_\alpha\), (c) Phase portrait of \(\lambda_\alpha\) vs \(i_\alpha\)
Figure 8. LIM behavior with 120Hz input frequency. Mover velocity and phase portraits of some state variables, (a) Mover velocity of LIM with end-effects, (b) Phase portrait of mover velocity vs $i_\alpha$, (c) Phase portrait of $\lambda_\alpha$ vs $i_\alpha$ (continue)

4. CONCLUSIONS

The continuous model of the linear induction motor (LIM) has been made considering the edge effects and the attraction force. A laboratory prototype has been implemented from which the parameters of the equivalent LIM circuit have been obtained. The discrete model has been developed to quickly obtain computational solutions and to analyze non-linear behaviors through the application of discrete control systems. In order to obtain the discrete model of the LIM we have started from the solution of the continuous model. To develop the model, the magnetizing inductance has been considered, which reflects the edge effects. The model is compared with and without considering the edge effects. The edge effects show a decreasing in the mover velocity at steady state due to lose power in the magnetization. In the primary current there is an increase due the power requirements to supply the magnetic loses. The phase portraits were developed shown high frequency components in velocity mover with low frequencies in the supply currents.
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