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ABSTRACT

This paper describes a sense tagging technique for the automatic sense tagging of running Chinese text. The system takes as input running Chinese text, and outputs sense disambiguated text. Whereas previous work (Yarowsky, 1992; Gale, et al., 1992, 1993) relies heavily on the role of statistics, the present system makes use of Machine Readable/Tractable Dictionaries (Wilks, et al., 1990; Guo, in press) and an example-based reasoning technique (Nagao, 1984; Sumita, et al., 1990) to treat novel words, compound words, and phrases found in the input text.
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1. Introduction

If the 1980's were characterized by the surge of efforts on Machine Readable/Tractable Dictionary (MRD/MTD) research, the 1990's would be a time of massive efforts on constructing annotated text corpora. Properly annotated text corpora could form, at least, the bases for the following:

a. the core of commercial information systems;
b. the kernel engine of 'Cognitive Agents';
c. the essentials of systems vital to national security.

Sense tagging of large text corpora has been on the back-burner for too
long. The preparation of large annotated text corpora, especially those with word sense disambiguated, has always been brushed aside for some piteous 'smart' approaches to prevail. However, it is just this kind of hopeless cleverness that handicapped the speedy growth of the language enterprise. Fortunately, more and more researchers have come to realize the importance, as well as the necessity, of being earnest in annotating large text corpora of all major languages.

The present discussion presents a system for the automatic sense tagging of running Chinese text — a necessary mechanism for the construction of annotated 'Monitor Corpora' (Sinclair, 1991) that do not degrade over time. The system takes as input running Chinese text, and outputs sense disambiguated text. Whereas previous work (Yarowsky, 1992; Gale, et al., 1992, 1993) relies heavily on the role of statistics, the present system makes use of Machine Readable/Tractable Dictionaries (Wilks, et al., 1990; Guo, in press) and an example-based reasoning technique (Nagao, 1984; Sumita, et al., 1990) to treat novel words, compound words, and phrases found in the input text. The focus of this discussion is on the example-based reasoning technique. The examples that support the tagging operation come from the system MTD.

The sense tagging system assigns a unique number for every Chinese character occurred in the text. In most cases, the senses tagged are word senses. This is due to the fact that most Chinese characters are words. For example, "打" (beat) has 26 senses. "鼓" (drum) has 6 senses. The phrase "打鼓" (beat drums) becomes "打_B02 鼓_A01" after sense tagging. However, not all Chinese characters are words. Sometimes they are bound morphemes. In these cases, the senses tagged are the meanings of the morphemes as given in the dictionary. For example, '阿' as in '阿爸', '阿爹' is tagged 'A01', which is the number of '阿' as given in the MTD when '阿' is used as a prefix, i.e., a bound morpheme.

2. Overview of the Sense-Tagging System

The sense-tagger under discussion represents partial results of some three years of continued efforts on the part of Tsinghua University, Beijing, China to build systems for the processing of general, unrestricted running Chinese texts. The system was implemented in 'C', and currently runs on the Sun Workstation at the National AI Laboratory in the University.

2.1. Resources

The sense-tagging module uses two MRDs and one MTD. The first MRD,
for the sake of discussion, say MRD-1 is ‘现代汉语通用字典’ (Fu, 1987). It contains about 6,000 one-syllable words, e.g., ‘打’ (beat), ‘鼓’ (drum), and 43,000 compound words and phrases, e.g. ‘打鼓’ (beating drums). Each word has one or more word senses. For example, ‘打’ (beat) has 26 senses and ‘鼓’ (drum) 6. Note that capital letters in the numbers tagged indicate homographs, and the Arabic numbers the sense number under the homograph. The content of the word ‘打’ (beat) is given as following:

打-A01: 量词, 十二个叫一打
打-B01: 拳打, 攻打
打-B03: 做, 从事
打-B05: 定出, 计算
打-B07: 涂抹, 画, 印
打-B09: 提(禽兽等)
打-B11: 采取某种方式
打-B13: 搏
打-B15: 发生与人交涉的行为
打-B17: 付给或领取(证件等)
打-B19: 制造(器物, 食品等)
打-B21: 从
打-B23: 召取
打-B25: 器皿, 饭类等因撞击而破碎

打-B02: 用手或器具撞击物体
打-B04: 表示身体上的某些动作
打-B06: 散射, 发出
打-B08: 除去
打-B10: 揭, 打开
打-B12: 做某种运动或游戏
打-B14: 建造, 修筑
打-B16: 买
打-B18: 编织
打-B20: 搅拌
打-B22: 用割, 砍等动作来收集
打-B24: 举, 提

The second MRD, for the sake of discussion, say MRD-2, is the Chinese thesaurus ‘同义词词林’ (Mei, 1983) with about 70,000 entries. It has a 3-level categorization system. At Level 1, the dictionary has 12 major categories. At Level 2, the 12 major categories split into 94 subcategories. At the lowest level, Level 3, the dictionary has altogether 1,428 subcategories. Under the current numbering system, the capital letter indicates major categories, the lower-case letter subcategories, and the Arabic numbers the numbering under the two superordinate categories. For example, ‘Bp13’ refers to one of the categories that the word ‘鼓’ (drum) falls into. B is a first level category, p is a second level subcategory, 13 is the numbering of the subcategory under Bp. Partial list of the numbering of some categories is given as follows;
The MTD was constructed from MRD-1. It has 43,000 annotated compound words and phrases. Word phrases like ‘打鼓’ (beating drums) are disambiguated in the MTD with word sense numbers tagged to both ‘打’ (beat) and ‘鼓’ (drum), e.g. ‘打_B02 鼓_A01’. The numbers tagged are based on the numbering system as used in MRD-1. For those compounds that have component whose meaning is not related to the resultant compound, the Arabic numbers in the component’s tag is ‘00’ (e.g., 打_A00 篷_A00, 门_A00 书_A00). Much of the work in constructing the MTD was done by machine, but supplemented by handcoding. The following gives a partial list of the contents of the MTD:

打_B01 倒_A03 打_B01 手_A02 挨_B01 打_B01
打_B02 篷_A02 打_B02 火_A01 打_B02 门_A01
打_B03 门_A01 打_B03 挨_A01 打_B05 量_A02

2.2. Three-step Sense-tagging Procedure

Step 1: Segmenting the input text into words, compound words and phrases

The word segmentation module is a much simplified version of a more complicated segmentation program developed at the Laboratory. It looks forward through each sentence for maximum match of character strings as recorded in the MTD. The tagging of most known phrases is done with the help of the MTD. ‘打鼓’ would be an example in question. The involved operation is simple, i.e., ‘match to access’. When an input segment matches an entry in the MTD, the tagged form of the matched segment replaces the input segment in the sentence.

Step 2: Example-based sense tagging of one-syllable words

The system uses an example-based sense-tagging algorithm for the disambiguation of one-syllable words, which are not listed in system MTD. The detail of the algorithm is described in Section 3.

Step 3: Default sense tagging of untagged one-syllable words from Step 2

A default sense number is assigned to each and every one syllable word untagged from Step 2. The default sense numbers are determined on the basis of frequency of occurrence data.
3. Example-Based Sense-Tagging

Chinese words build to form compound words. In 94.7% of the time, the meaning of the resultant compounds is related to the contributing meanings of the component words (Zhang, 1986, p. 87). The compound words and phrases in the MTD contain implicit syntactic information for purpose of example-based reasoning about the senses of Chinese words in context.

For example, if ‘打 镖鼓’ (beat gongs and drums) is in the input text and the sense of ‘打’ (beat) cannot be determined. In order to disambiguate the word sense of ‘打’ (beat), the system looks through the MTD for every compound word and phrase beginning with ‘打’ (beat) and decides that the phrases ‘打_B02 鼓_A01’ (beat drums) is an appropriate example to reason about the word ‘打’ (beat) as found in ‘打 镖鼓’ (beat gongs and drums). Since ‘鼓’ (drums) and ‘镖 鼓’ (gongs and drums) are in the same lowest category ‘Bp13’ in MRD_2. The system then assigns the tag ‘B02’, which belongs to ‘打’ (beat) in ‘打_B02 鼓_A01’ (beat drums), to ‘打’ (beat) in ‘打 镖鼓’ (beat gongs and drums).

Formally, when $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_n$ represent input segments from 1 to n, $W$ represents an untagged segment, and the immediate context of $W$ is represented by $L_{range}, \ldots, L_2, L_1, W, R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_{range}$, where $L$ stands for ‘Left’, $R$ stands for ‘Right’, and range equals 5, we have the following:

$$S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_n$$

where $S_k (k=1, \ldots, n)$ is a word, compound word or phrase

$$L_{range}, \ldots, L_2, L_1, W, R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_{range}$$

where $L_i, R_i (i=1, \ldots, \text{range})$ is a word, compound word or phrase

In the forward reasoning process, assuming that $(W, R_1)$ is a possible compound word or phrase, for all entries in MTD beginning with $W$ which is in the form $(W, \_tag, Item)$, the system computes the relatedness of the two words or phrases $(W, R_1)$ and $(W, \_tag, Item)$, where ‘Item’ may be an annotated word, compound word, phrase, or just a meaningless Chinese character string. The concept distance of $R_1$ and $Item$ is computed to determine the relatedness of the two compound words/phrases. Hence,

Concept_Distance$(R_1, Item) =$

$$0$$ if $R_1$ and $Item$ are in the same lowest category in MRD_2

$$1$$ if $R_1$ and $Item$ are in the adjacent categories in MRD_2

$$100$$ all other cases

Relatedness($[(W, R_1), (W, \_tag_Item)] = $
2 if Concept_Distance(R, Item) = 0
1 if Concept_Distance(Ri, Item) = 1
0 if Concept_Distance(Rj, Item) = 0

For every pair of \((W, R_i)\) \((i = 1, \ldots , range)\) and \((W\_tag, Item)\) in the MTD, the pair that has the greatest non-zero relatedness measure is determined and the \(W\) in (b) above is substituted by the \(W\_tag\) in the determined pair.

The reasoning process works similarly in both directions of \(W\), i.e., forward to \(R\_range\) and backward to \(L\_range\). When the process proceeds forward, the system looks for entries beginning with \(W\). On the other hand, when the process works backwards to the left of \(W\), the system looks for annotated entries in the MTD ending with \(W\).

The examples are given as following:

(1) 因此，利用单倍体植株培育新品种，可以明显地缩短育种年限。

The word ‘新’ (new) has six senses. The annotated phrase ‘新-A01 型-A01’ is found in the MTD. The system calculates the conceptual distance between ‘型’ and ‘品种’ among others. Since ‘型’ and ‘品种’ are found to be in the same lowest subcategory ‘Dd06’, the conceptual distance between them is 0. The system then assigns the tag ‘A01’, which belongs to ‘新’ as in ‘新-A01 型-A01’, to ‘新’ in the above sentence.

(2) 人民负责，受人民监督。

The word ‘受’ (receive, suffer) has six senses. The annotated phrase ‘受-A02 审-A02’ is found in the MTD. The system calculates the conceptual distance between ‘审’ and ‘监督’ among others. Since ‘审’ and ‘监督’ are found to be in the adjacent lowest subcategories, i.e., ‘Hc18’ and ‘Hc19’ respectively, the conceptual distance between them is 1. The system then assigns the tag ‘A02’, which belongs to ‘受’ as in ‘受-A02 审-A02’, to ‘受’ in the above sentence.

(3) 国家保护公民的合法的收入、储蓄、房屋和其他合法财产的所有权。

The word ‘权’ (right, power) has seven senses. The annotated phrase ‘财-A01 权-A01’ is found in the MTD. The system calculates the conceptual distance between ‘财’ and ‘财产’ among others. Since ‘财’ and ‘财产’ are found to be in the same lowest subcategory ‘Dj03’, the conceptual distance be-
between them is 0. The system then assigns the tag ‘A01’, which belongs to ‘权’ as in ‘财_01 权_01’, to ‘权’ in the above sentence.

(4) 使人口的增长同经济和社会发展计划 * 相 * 适应。

The word ‘相’ (each other) has four senses. The annotated phrase ‘相_01 称_01’ is found in the MTD. The system calculates the conceptual distance between ‘称’ and ‘适应’ among others. Since ‘称’ and ‘适应’ are found to be in the same lowest subcategory ‘jc01’, the conceptual distance between them is 0. The system then assigns the tag ‘A01’, which belongs to ‘相’ as in ‘相_01 称_01’, to ‘相’ in the above sentence.

4. Evaluation

The input Chinese texts that the present system works on are news release texts from the official Chinese Xinhua News Agency. No preprocessing of these news release texts is required.

The performance of the present sense-tagger is encouraging. The hit rate of correct sense tagging can run as high as 95%. The lowest hit rate ever recorded was 70%. The appendix gives a sample text which is the output of our system. The hit rate of correct sense tagging of this sample is 93.79%. Essentially, the hit rate of correct sense tagging performed by the system is a function of the coverage of the system MTD and MRDs.

5. Limitations and Future Work

a. The system makes errors when the segmentation of the input texts is less than correct. The performance of the current sense tagger can be improved if more sophisticated segmentation method is adopted.

b. Although the reasoning process takes advantage of collocational information within the phrase in which the untagged segment is a part, there is no guarantee that the phrase does not have multiple meanings. When such cases occur, the result of the reasoning is subject to chance.

c. The example-based sense tagging method works quite well with content words, but for function words it often makes faulty guesses. This is partly due to the fact that function words are less sensitive to context. The current system assigns a default sense number for most function words. However, for those words which can both be a function word and a content word, the system often makes errors. This kind of errors decreases when the system preprocesses the input texts with a stochastic Chinese grammatical tagger like the one developed at Tsinghua University (Bai, et al., 1992).
6. Conclusion

In this paper we presented a relatively simple but effective method for the sense tagging of running Chinese texts. The system takes advantage of the collocation information within the annotated compound words or phrases in the system MTD. Considering that annotated Chinese texts constitute very useful resources for Chinese language processing, especially in generating frequency of occurrence/co-occurrence data, general and special purpose concordances and the data for the derivation of a natural set of semantic primitive for the Chinese language, the current sense-tagging system looks promising. The room for progress is to be found in the further improvement of the system resources and the refinement of the reasoning algorithm.
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Appendix

Samples from Annotated Text

我_A02国_A01 胃_A01癌_A01 研_A01究_A01 拟_A03近_A02 世_A01界_A02
水_A01平_A01

新华社_NAM 沈阳.LOC 5_NUM 月_A01日 3_NUM 日_A04电_A01
(_PUN 记_A01者_A01 徐延安_NAM )_PUN 有_A01关_A01 部_A02门_A07
提_B01供_A01 情_A02况_A01 谈_B01议_A01 _PUN 我_A02国_A01 对_A12
胃_A01癌_A01 的_A01# 研_A01究_A01 和_A06# 诊_A01治_A02 已_A01#
遂_A01步_A03 接_A03近_A02 世_A01界_A02 先_A01进_A01 水_A01平_A01
。

_PUN

据_B04# 对_A12# 全_A01国_A01 50_NUM 多_A05# 家_A01# 率_A01#
主_A01要_B01 医_A03疗_A01 科_A02研_A01 单_B00位_A01 的_A01#
统_A01计_A02 ，_PUN 全_A01国_A01 十_A01# 几_B01# 年_A05# 里_A01#
累_A01的_A01# 发_A00处_A01 早_A01期_B02 胃_A01癌_A01 14000_NUM
多_A08# 例_A01# _PUN 治_A02疗_A01 未_A01# 良_A01# 效_A01#
。

_PUN

据_B04# 全_A01国_A01 50_NUM 多_A05# 家_A01# 率_A01#
主_A01要_B01 医_A03疗_A01 科_A02研_A01 单_B00位_A01 的_A01#
统_A01计_A02 ，_PUN 全_A01国_A01 十_A01# 几_B01# 年_A05# 里_A01#
累_A01的_A01# 发_A00处_A01 早_A01期_B02 胃_A01癌_A01 14000_NUM
多_A08# 例_A01# 治_A02疗_A01 未_A01# 良_A01# 效_A01#
。

_PUN

近_A01# 几_B01# 年_A02# 与_A02# _PUN 中国_LOC 医_A02科_A01
大_A01学_A01# 与_A01# 日本_LOC 同_A01行_A01 开_A05# 术_A01# 大_A01学_A01
与_A01# 日本_LOC 同_A01行_A01 开_A05_术_A01 大_A01学_A01

_PUN

近_A01# 几_B01# 年_A02# 与_A02# _PUN 中国_LOC 医_A02科_A01
大_A01学_A01# 与_A01# 日本_LOC 同_A01行_A01 开_A05_术_A01 大_A01学_A01

_PUN
的_A01胃_A01癌_A01早_A01期_B02发_A00现_A01率_A01#已_A01#
超_A01过_B02百_A02分_A02之_A01#三_B01#十_A01#。_PUN
此_A01外_A01，_PUN在_A02#对_A12#中_A03#晚_A02期_B02
胃_A01癌_A01的_A01#治_A02疗_A01过_B01程_A02中_A03#。_PUN
他_A02们_A01区_B03别_A03胃_A01癌_A01的_A01#不_A01#同_A01#
恶_B02性_A01度_A01#_PUN开_A05展_A04合_B02理_A01的_A01#
胃_A01癌_A01扩_A01大_A01根_A04治_A01手_A01术_A01及_A04#
其_B02他_A01辅_A01助_A01疗_A01#法_A02#。_PUN治_A02疗_A01
水_A01平_A01得_A01到_A01明_A04显_A02提_B04高_A02。_PUN

专_A01家_A01认_A02为_A00，_PUN随_A01着_A01胃_A01癌_A01
研_A01究_A01水_A01平_A01的_A01#进_A01步_A01提_B04高_A02和_A06#入_A01民_A01生_A09活_A03状_A01况_A01
的_A01#不_A01断_A01改_A01善_A02。_PUN胃_A01癌_A01将_A02#
因_A04#发_A00现_A01期_B02#提_B06前_A01而_A02#越_A01#
来_A07#越_A01易_A01医_A03治_A02。_PUN胃_A01癌_A01
发_A02病_A01率_A01也_A01#将_A02#逐_A01渐_B01下_A02降_A01
。_PUN
（_PUN完_A02#）_PUN

'@': indicator of example-based sense tagging

'#': indicator of default sense tagging