Effects of a short-term detraining period on muscle functionality and cognition of strength-trained older women: a preliminary report
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The present study aimed to investigate the impact of a 1-month detraining composed by Christmas and New Year’s Day in the functional and cognitive parameters of 12 older women who had previously participated in a 22-week moderate intensity strength training program (ST; n = 6) or remained performing their regular habits of life during all study period, without engaging in physical exercise programs (CG; n = 6). Transfer capacity (i.e., Timed Up and Go [TUG]) and executive function (i.e., TUG with a cognitive task) were increased in the ST group after the intervention. However, the 1-month detraining period was enough to totally reverse the gains in both parameters. In conclusion, data of the present study indicate that 1 month of detraining was enough to totally reverse the beneficial effects of a 6-month ST program on physical mobility and executive function of older women.
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INTRODUCTION

The aging process is a continuous phenomenon accompanied by alterations in some physiological systems, collaborating with the development of geriatric syndromes and chronic diseases. Alterations in the architecture and function of the neuromuscular complex, for example, are leading factor in the etiology of sarcopenia (Papa et al., 2017). This progressive disease is characterized by a marked reduction in muscle mass and strength, as well as functionality (Cruz-Jentoft et al., 2010; Papa et al., 2017), which is strongly associated with a poor prognosis (Coelho Júnior et al., 2015; Kizilarslanoglu et al., 2016; Morley et al., 2011).

Recent data suggested that elevated levels of physical activity have a protective role against sarcopenia (Steffl et al., 2017). Moreover, regarding the clinical signals of sarcopenia, strength training (ST) has been suggested as a powerful tool to combat these parameters in the organic system, reversing and improving muscle atrophy, dynapenia and loss muscle functionality (Law et al., 2016). In fact, data from original studies (Kalapotharakos et al., 2004; Kalapotharakos et al., 2005; Prestes et al., 2009), confirmed by meta-analytic analyses (Peterson et al., 2010; Peterson et al., 2011; Tschopp et al., 2011), support the aforementioned hypothesis.

On the other hand, contrariwise to the gains demonstrated after ST programs, several studies have been investigated whether strength-trained older adults underwent to a period of training cessation, termed as detraining, may present reversal in the beneficial adaptations achieved after exercise training. Most evidence indicate a significant decrease in the gains in physical capabilities and functionality during detraining period in comparison with values obtained after the ST program (Coetsee and Terblanche, 2015; Correa et al., 2013; Correa et al., 2016; Kalapotharakos et al., 2010; Tokmakidis et al., 2009).

However, there is no consensus whether the values are still higher after detraining period in comparison with the pre-exercise
period, so that data have shown reversion (Coetsee and Terblanche, 2015; Kalapotharakos et al., 2010) and nonreversion (Correa et al., 2013; Correa et al., 2016; Tokmakidis et al., 2009) of the ST-induced adaptations. Moreover, most experiments have discussed if distinctive designs of ST, modulating the variables of exercise training, such as intensity and velocity of muscle contraction (Correa et al., 2016; Henwood and Taaffe, 2008), can differently influence the behavior during detraining.

Nevertheless, little attention has been given to the characteristics of the detraining period. This seems to be important since periods of detraining composed by holidays (Christmas and New Year’s Day), may induce a larger number of unhealthy habits with deleterious effects on muscle functionality (Houston et al., 2007; Lorenzo-López et al., 2017; Wirth et al., 2017)—including, but not limited to elevated sedentary behavior and alcohol consumption, than a detraining period without holidays, for example.

Furthermore, it is noteworthy, that there is an increasing number of experiments investigating the impact of exercise training on the cognitive parameters of older adults, including executive function (Coelho Júnior et al., 2017b; Liu-Ambrose et al., 2010). Executive function is an important cognitive domain, which collaborates to the development of essential activities of daily living (ADL), once it allows the individual to create, develop, execute and evaluate the effectiveness of a strategy (Snyder et al., 2015). However, inadequate data exist concerning the influence of a detraining period in these parameters.

Therefore, the present study aimed to investigate the impact of a 1-month detraining composed by Christmas and New Year’s Day in the functional and cognitive parameters of strength-trained older women.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Subjects**

Twelve untrained older women (age range, 60–74 years) from two specialized public community health centers for older adults in a town of São Paulo City metropolitan area, in the southeastern Brazil, were recruited in 2015. The inclusion criteria were as follows: female, untrained (Rhea, 2004), and age ≥60 years. Exclusion criteria were: hormone replacement and/or psychotropic drugs use, cardiovascular disease (acute myocardial infarction, stroke, peripheral arterial disease, and transient ischemic disease), pulmonary disease, neurological or psychiatric disease (Parkinson or Alzheimer disease), musculoskeletal disorders, metabolic diseases (diabetes mellitus type II), comorbidities associated with greater risk of falls and recent history of smoking or alcohol abuse. All volunteers signed the informed consent form and completed all measurements. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the University of Campinas (protocol No. 835.733). This study was developed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and according to Resolution 196/96 of the National Health Council.

**Procedures**

The present study has a randomized experimental design, which aimed to investigate the effects of a 1-month detraining in the functional and cognitive parameters of older women who had been previously underwent to a 6-month ST program (Fig. 1).

Therefore, patients were undergoing to functional and cognitive evaluations before and after 22 weeks of ST, as well as after a 1 month of detraining. In summary, after an extensive medical eval-

---

**Fig. 1.** Experimental design of the present study. ST, strength training; CG, control group.
Table 1. Comparison between the groups regarding the morphological and functional parameters

| Variable                        | CG         | ST         |
|---------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Age (yr)                        | 66.5 ± 1.5 | 65.0 ± 4.1 |
| Body mass (kg)                  | 81.2 ± 11.3| 73.6 ± 7.6 |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)         | 31.4 ± 2.3 | 30.1 ± 3.2 |
| Waist circumference (cm)        | 85.6 ± 11.9| 86.5 ± 10.8|
| Hip circumference (cm)          | 106.2 ± 9.0| 98.0 ± 11.5|
| Handgrip strength (kgf)         | 20.6 ± 4.7 | 25.1 ± 2.3 |
| One-leg stand (sec)             | 8.5 ± 12.2 | 17.0 ± 3.7 |
| Usual walking speed (m/sec)     | 0.80 ± 0.11| 0.88 ± 0.17|
| Lower limb muscle power (cm)    | 9.3 ± 2.9  | 9.4 ± 6.1  |
| TUG (sec)                       | 7.9 ± 2.1  | 10.1 ± 1.5 |
| TUG with a cognitive task (sec)  | 10.8 ± 1.1 | 11.0 ± 0.5 |

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation. CG, control group; ST, strength training; TUG, Timed Up and Go.

Exercise protocol

The exercise protocols were performed from July 2015 to December 2015. During all procedures, including physical training, the temperature in the laboratory was maintained between 21°C and 24°C. Volunteers did not receive diet recommendations; however, they were required not to change their diet or food habits and the ADL during the entire study period. The exercise protocols occurred under the supervision of three experienced researchers, who were responsible for exercise prescription and monitoring of the exercise sessions.

Strength training

The ST program was performed twice a week, with an interval of 48 hr between training sessions, for 22 weeks. The exercise session of the ST group was based on 3 sets of 8–10 repetitions of each exercise, at the intensity of 5–6, which is considered difficult on the adapted Borg scale (Foster et al., 2001). This intensity represents approximately 70% of one-repetition maximum (1RM) (Day et al., 2004). One-min rest interval was adopted between each set. Exercise cadence was 2–3 sec and 2 sec for the concentric and eccentric phases, respectively (Garber et al., 2011; Kraemer and Ratamess, 2004). To ensure the cadence of muscle contractions, a researcher was responsible for counting the time and feedback the volunteers during each muscle contraction, indicating if was necessary increase, decrease or maintain the velocity. Exercises were performed by alternating the major groups in the upper and lower extremities (alternating groups). The exercises performed were: (a) squat on the chair (90°), (b) chest press, (c) seated leg curl, (d) frontal raise, (e) calf raise, (f) arm curl, (g) triceps extension, and (h) abdominal crunch. All exercises were performed in the total range of motion. In the beginning of every experimental session, volunteers accomplished a brief warm-up that consisted of one set of 12–15 repetitions of each exercise without weights.

Control group

The CG remained their regular habits of life during all study period, without engaging in physical exercise programs. To ensure that the volunteers did not engage a physical exercise program, face-to-face or telephonic contact was performed every 15 days.

Detraining period

During detraining period, volunteers stopped the ST program for one month and returned to their normal daily activities as prior to the ST period (Yasuda et al., 2015). It is noteworthy, that detraining period occurred between December 16th and January 16th, so that evaluations occurred in the first 3 days after vacation cessation (January 17th, 18th, and 19th). This period is characterized by Christmas and New Year’s Day holidays. All volunteers reported participating in the festivities.

Functional and cognitive evaluations

Before the performance of the tests, an experienced researcher detailed the procedures of each test. The volunteers performed all tests twice, and the higher value recorded in each test was used in the analysis. During all tests, verbal encouragement was provided to assure that volunteers reached the best performance possible (except for the TUG with a cognitive test). Evaluations were described previously by our group (Coelho Júnior et al., 2016; Coelho Júnior et al., 2017a, 2017b).

Handgrip strength

The maximal voluntary contraction was evaluated using a hy-
Hydraulic hand dynamometer (Jamar, Jackson, MI, USA) while the subjects remained seated in a chair with the shoulders abducted, elbows near the trunk and flexed at 90°, and wrists in a neutral position (thumbs up). The contralateral arm remained relaxed under the thigh. To determine handgrip strength, the volunteers performed a maximal contraction during 4 sec with the dominant hand.

**One-leg stand test**

The one-leg stand test was performed with the volunteers standing in a unipodal stance with the dominant lower limb, the contralateral knee remaining flexed at 90°, the arms remaining crossed in front of the chest, and the head was straight. A stopwatch (Moure Jar, Beijing, China) was activated when the volunteer raised their contralateral foot off the floor and was stopped when the contralateral foot touched the floor again.

**Sit-to-stand test**

Volunteers were requested to rise from a chair five times as fast as possible with their arms crossed in front of the body. The stopwatch was activated when the volunteer raised their buttocks off the chair and was stopped when the volunteer seated back.

**Walking speed test**

To measure walking speed, a 10-m walking speed test was performed. Volunteers were required to walk a distance of 12 m at their usual and fastest possible cadences (without running). Before the evaluation, both feet of each volunteer were to remain on the starting line. The measurement was initiated when a foot reached the 1-m line and was stopped when a foot reached the 11-m line. The 1-m intervals at the beginning and end were used to avoid early acceleration and/or deceleration.

**Countermovement jump**

The countermovement jump was performed to evaluate leg power. In the initial position, the volunteers stood on a jump platform (Jump System Pro, Cefise, Nova Odessa, Brazil), their feet remained approximately parallel at shoulder width, and their hands rested on their hips. When instructed, the volunteers flexed their knees at approximately 90° and jumped the maximum height possible.

**TUG test**

The TUG test involved getting up from a chair without the help of the arms, walking a distance of 3 m around a marker placed on the floor, coming back to the same position, and sitting back on the chair. The test began when a researcher shouted a “go!” command. The stopwatch was activated when the volunteers got up from the chair and was stopped when they have seated again.

**Cognitive evaluation - Executive function**

**TUG cognitive test**

TUG cognitive test (TUGcog) was accomplished to evaluate executive function. This test is performed equally the conventional TUG; however, a cognitive task (verbal fluency, animal category) must be accomplished during the motor task. Therefore, after the signal of the evaluator, the volunteer performed the route—stand up from the chair, walk 3 m, turn around, walk 3 m back, and sit down again—naming as many animals as he/she could remember. This task was performed it aloud, allowing the evaluators confirmed if the volunteers were, in fact, accomplishing the task. The time expands to perform the task was recorded to evaluation.

**Statistical analyses**

Normality of data was tested using the Kormonov–Smirnov test. Comparisons between the groups for subjects’ characteristics and functional parameters were performed using two-sided unpaired Student t-test. A two-way analysis of variance followed by a Dunnett post hoc test were performed to identify differences among the different times of evaluations (i.e., baseline, postexercise training and after 1 month of detraining) and treatments (i.e., ST and CG). The effect size was classified according to Rhea (2004). The level of significance was 5% (P < 0.05) and all procedures were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics ver. 20.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA). The power of the sample size was determined using G*Power version 3.1.9.2. The intention-to-treat principle was applied to the analysis of the outcomes for all participants based on their assigned treatment. All values are shown as mean±standard deviation.

**RESULTS**

Subjects did not show adverse events during exercise sessions and evaluations, as well as they were not absenting for more than three exercise sessions. Volunteers did not report any changes in food intake during the whole course of the present study. Table 1 shows the baseline comparisons among ST and CG. As expected, volunteers for both groups were older. Body mass index values and anthropometric analyses (i.e., waist circumference and hip...
circumference) indicate that most older women were obese. However, there were no significant differences between the groups regarding morphological and functional parameters.

The delta (Δ) values of the intragroup and intergroup comparisons among post exercise training (ET) versus baseline values (i.e., post-ET), 1-month detraining versus baseline values (i.e., delta 1) and 1-month detraining versus post-ET (i.e., delta 2) in ST and CG are presented in Fig. 2. Handgrip strength, balance, and walking speed were not altered in any evaluation. TUG and TUGcog were improved post-ET and delta 1 in ST, but not in CG. However, a significant decrease was observed in both evaluations after 1-month of detraining in ST. Intergroup comparisons demonstrated that results were lower during post-ET and delta 1, as well as higher during delta 2 in ST in comparison with CG.

Table 2 shows the ES for functional and cognitive parameters of the ST and CG. ES results are in line with data from hypothesis test, once trivial and small classifications were found in handgrip strength, one-leg stand, usual walking speed and lower limb muscle power tests in both groups at post-ET, delta 1, and delta 2 moments. Moreover, in CG, TUG, and TUGcog were just classified as trivial, whereas a large classification was observed in ST.

**DISCUSSION**

Data of the present study indicate that 1 month of detraining was enough to totally reverse the beneficial effects of a 6-month ST program on physical mobility (i.e., TUG) and executive function (i.e., TUGcog) of older women. Therefore, our findings indicate that is necessary be careful with the time without exercise, as generally occur during vacation, once values in delta 2—1-month...
detraining versus post-ET—were elevated for TUG and TUGCog.

Regarding physical mobility, data of current study corroborate with several experiments in the literature, which demonstrated decreased muscle functionality after different detraining periods (e.g., 6, 12, and 16 weeks) (Coetsee and Terblanche, 2015; Correa et al., 2013; Correa et al., 2016; Kalapotharakos et al., 2010; Tokmakidis et al., 2009). However, just few studies have demonstrated a total reversal of the adaptations in physical mobility after a detraining period (Coetsee and Terblanche, 2015; Kalapotharakos et al., 2010), so that most studies have indicated that the beneficial effects of ST are only partially reversed after detraining period, and values are still higher after detraining in comparison with the pre-exercise period (Correa et al., 2013; Correa et al., 2016; Tokmakidis et al., 2009).

In fact, as in the present study, Coetsee and Terblanche (2015), for example, demonstrated that the significant improvements observed after the ST program in TUG performance were totally lost during the detraining periods. TUG is a useful test to predict falls risk in older adults, once its performance is composed by, at least, three physical capabilities, to quote: muscle strength, muscle power and balance (Alexandre et al., 2012; Barry et al., 2014). Thus, improvements—and thus also decline—in TUG performance are commonly associated with alterations in one, or more, of these parameters.

Interestingly, our data did not demonstrate significant alterations in muscle power and balance after the detraining period, which could indicate that the decrease in muscle strength was the key factor responsible for this phenomenon. However, lower body muscle strength was not measured. Moreover, it is important to mention that Coetsee and Terblahnche (2015) refuted this hypothesis because the lower TUG performance found after detraining was not followed by reduced lower body muscle strength. The inconsistencies in the findings could be a function of the differences in the initial state of the volunteers, the design of ST program (e.g., frequency, volume, duration, and intensity), as well as the detraining periods (Coetsee and Terblanche, 2015). Accordingly, is not possible indicate the crucial factors that influenced the decline on TUG performance.

Nevertheless, it is noteworthy that most studies have not specified the exactly time where the detraining period occurred. Furthermore, detraining has been quantifying just in the end of a determined period (e.g., 12 months), and interim analyses have not been carried out. This seems to be a valuable information, once short-term detraining periods compose by holidays celebrations (e.g., Christmas and New Year’s Day), as was addressed in the current study, may be associated with a larger relative time of sedentary behavior, intake of high calorie foods—composed, predominately, by high fat and low protein—, and alcohol consumption, if compared with long-term detraining periods offered during other periods, for example.

In fact, such behaviors, mainly sedentary behavior and malnutrition, are strongly associated with several important detrimental health outcomes, including increased inflammatory state, muscular atrophy, dynapenia, which interreacts directly and/or indirectly with muscle functionality (Houston et al., 2007; Lorenzo-López et al., 2017; Wirth et al., 2017). Therefore, the alterations observed in the current study after the detraining period may be cause by an inadequate short-term behavior than by differences in ST variables (e.g., exercise intensity and volume). However, we are not able to confirm these inferences and future experiments must investigate the impact of distinct kinds of detraining periods on muscle functionality.

| Table 2. ES for functional and cognitive parameters of the ST and CG |
| Parameter | CG | ST |
| Handgrip strength (kgf) |
| Post-ET | 0.50 (small) | 0.91 (small) |
| Delta 1 | 0 (trivial) | 0.68 (small) |
| Delta 2 | 0.50 (small) | 1.12 (small) |
| One-leg stand (sec) |
| Post ET | 0.75 (small) | 0.75 (small) |
| Delta 1 | 0.71 (small) | 0.71 (small) |
| Delta 2 | 0.91 (small) | 0.91 (small) |
| Usual walking speed (m/sec) |
| Post-ET | 0 (trivial) | 0.21 (trivial) |
| Delta 1 | 0 (trivial) | 0.18 (trivial) |
| Delta 2 | 0 (trivial) | 0.38 (trivial) |
| Lower limb muscle power (cm) |
| Post ET | 0 (trivial) | 0.04 (trivial) |
| Delta 1 | 0.61 (small) | 0.11 (trivial) |
| Delta 2 | 0.61 (small) | 0.34 (trivial) |
| TUG (sec) |
| Post-ET | 0 (trivial) | 2.68 (large) |
| Delta 1 | 0 (trivial) | 1.92 (large) |
| Delta 2 | 0.30 (trivial) | 2.88 (large) |
| TUG with a cognitive task (sec) |
| Post ET | 0.15 (trivial) | 3.89 (large) |
| Delta 1 | 0 (trivial) | 3.28 (large) |
| Delta 2 | 0 (trivial) | 1.02 (large) |

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation. CG, control group; ST, strength training; ET, exercise training; TUG, Timed Up and Go; Delta 1, 1-month detraining vs. baseline values; Delta 2, 1-month detraining vs. post-ET.
Regarding executive function, data of the current study demonstrated that the ameliorated performance on TUGcog observed after ST was totally reversed during the detraining period. Executive function is a cognitive capacity composed of other cognitive domains (e.g., shifting, working memory, inhibition), which allow the subject to create, develop and perform a strategy to perform an aim, evaluate the outcomes and, if necessary, change the strategy during or at the end of the task, creating new strategies for the future (Snyder et al., 2015). Consequently, executive function is an essential cognitive domain to the maintenance of autonomy and independence during aging, and its impairment are observed in intermediate conditions (e.g., mild cognitive impairment), as well as during several psychopathological conditions, including Alzheimer disease (Borges et al., 2015).

For the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that investigated the effects of a detraining period on cognitive parameters of older adults. Indeed, most studies have been evaluating the impact of exercise training on executive function of older adults (Coelho Júnior et al., 2017b; Liu-Ambrose et al., 2010) and data about the detraining period are limited, which strongly limits our discussion.

Cognitive resilience refers to the protective capacity of the central nervous system to coping with stressful conditions, such as pathophysiological conditions, and socioeconomic and psychosocial difficulties avoiding that the stressor agent leads the organic system to work at a level below that it was working before (Fontes and Neri, 2015). In the current study, detraining period may be indicated as a stressful condition, once, during this period, the organic system must cope with several elements that may cause a reduction in the increased cognitive capacity acquired after exercise training.

Several factors have been associated with cognitive resilience, including psychological and social factors (e.g., good quality relationships), education level, spiritual and religious beliefs, genetic variants (e.g., CREB1 and APOE4 genotypes) and all these have potential to collaborate in the phenomenon observed during detraining (Fontes and Neri, 2015; Wolf et al., 2017). However, once our executive function assessment was dependent of a high muscular component, is possible infer that alterations on muscular functionality during detraining may exert a key role in the decreasing of executive function. Moreover, we cannot rule out the possibility that the same elements that contribute with muscular declining during detraining have acted in executive function.

In conclusion, data of the present study indicate that 1 month of detraining was enough to totally reverse the beneficial effects of a 6-month ST program on physical mobility (i.e., TUG) and executive function (i.e., TUGcog) of older women.
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