The possibility of seeding vestibular schwannomas through surgery: Limited experience with two cases
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Abstract

Background: We present two exceptional cases of possible tumor seeding in benign vestibular schwannoma (VS) patients occurring years after initial microsurgical resection.

Case Description: We retrospectively analyzed the surgical management, histology and documented the growth of new tumor occurrence in close vicinity of the original schwannomas by serial magnetic resonance imaging over a period of 10 years. None of the patients had stigmata of neurofibromatosis, making it a reasonable assumption that the second tumor was due to surgical seeding during the first surgery. Moreover, in the second case, a microsurgical re‑exploration showed that the recurrent tumor did not show any adhesion or contact to the caudal cranial nerves as anticipated had this been a new cranial nerve schwannoma.

Conclusions: Surgical seeding of VSs is a rare complication but can occur despite benign histology and generous irrigation during surgery.
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INTRODUCTION

Metastatic seeding or iatrogenic implantation of vestibular schwannoma (VS) is a very rare phenomenon. To date, there is only one published case report in the literature.[⁴] In the two cases presented here, it is debatable whether the second tumor is a new tumor entirely or a recurrence rather than seeding from the index procedure, as we strongly suspect. To support our speculation that seeding is likely the cause of these secondary tumors, in both cases the tumors arose in a distant location from the original resection and did not show any adhesion to the cranial nerves or the brain parenchyma, as is normally the case for recurrences or primary tumors. It is very likely that the ectopic VS were an iatrogenic recurrence resulting from the seeding of schwannoma cells after the first surgery.

CASE PRESENTATION

Out of a total number of approximately 1600 surgically treated VSs within one decade at the Department of Neurosurgery (2000–2013), two cases with proposed seeding of VS after initial resection have been identified
and followed. The first patient, a 45-year-old female patient was diagnosed with a right-sided VS (T2) in 2000 and had a subsequent incomplete removal of the VS with remnants in the internal auditory canal due to severe adhesions. The histology showed typically benign features without any mitotic activity. In 2003, a tumor nodule was noted at the level of the abducens nerve with subsequent growth over the next years not causing any neurological symptoms. Radiation therapy was applied 7 years after diagnosis in 2011 [Figure 1].

The second patient, a 39-year-old female patient was diagnosed in 2000, with a right-sided VS (T4a) and had total tumor removal performed in the same year via a retrosigmoid approach. No remnants were seen on postoperative magnetic resonance imaging until 2008. At that time, the first occurrence of a tumor nodule was noted at the level of the jugular foramen with remarkable growth over the next years. The tumor was then removed via a re-retrosigmoid craniotomy in 2010. During surgery, no adhesions at the caudal cranial nerves have been seen and a complete resection without any neurological deficits was achieved [Figure 2].

Histological evaluation of these tumors revealed features typical of VS and similar to those of the tissue obtained from prior resections, no signs of increased mitotic activity, neither at the initial tissue nor in the recurrent tumor. No clinical signs of neurofibromatosis (NF) was evident in either patient and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) blood screened for NF2 genes was negative. No other tumors have been detected in either of the patients after staging, in the light of secondary tumor manifestation.

DISCUSSION

Metastatic seeding or iatrogenic implantation of numerous types of primary central nervous system tumors, typically along cerebrospinal fluid pathways, is a rare phenomenon and has been reported in association with VS only once.\(^4\) It is infrequently reported in meningiomas, craniopharyngiomas or epidermoid tumors.\(^1,2,4-6\) A case report by Patrick et al. in 2006 described a recurrent VS within the cerebellar parenchyma that had been operated on 10 years after initial presentation and resection of the tumor.\(^4\) Histologically, both tumors belonged to the same origin, and the second tumor had not progressed to higher malignancy as determined by mitotic index. Although they described the tumor as intra-axial, within the cerebellar parenchyma, the authors concluded that this was an iatrogenic implantation of the previously resected VS.

Tumor removal concepts in neurosurgery are fundamentally different to other oncological surgical approaches. En bloc or R0 resections are not feasible whether in intra-or extra-axial tumors. In VS surgery, an alternating bimanual dissection with piecemeal removal and caviton ultrasonic surgical aspiration (CUSA) fragmentation takes place, exposing the patient to the risk of seeding with an opened tumor capsule in every case. Whether the patient gets surgery in the prone or the semi-sitting position, tumor remnants might be flushed away within the cerebello-pontine cistern and tumor particles can settle below the level of surgery. This is evident in both our presented cases in the area of the abducens and the caudal cranial nerves. Here, they might be hardly visible during inspection at the end of the resection or they can become difficult to detect within blood clots or arachnoid membranes. As most of these particles do not have any blood supply they might not flourish and atrophy over time. However, nestled in the right place, it is by all means possible that over time cellular activity may be resuscitated by new nutrition and subsequently develop into a new, secondary tumor. In this scenario, no primary involvement to any nerve
bundle is apparent, as noticed in our second case where the recurrent tumor could easily be removed without any attachment to the caudal cranial nerves or its surrounding structures.

Care must be taken during primary resection to minimize risk of secondary tumor implantation in the cerebello-pontine angle. Although rare, reports do exist of seeding through the CUSA. Although reported on for surgery of brain metastasis, significantly higher local recurrences have been reported with the use of the CUSA compared to en bloc resected metastasis. Inadvertent dropping of tumor particles during manual resection should be followed and meticulously searched for at the end of resection. Filling the cavity with irrigation might help in flushing out tumor remnants, however within the cerebello-pontine angle several structures might impede floating. Moreover, fragments of tumor tissue may easily be transmitted on surgical instruments or on gloves, although the number of cells in the inoculum and the nature of the implantation site are also important.

One obvious limitation to our study is the very small sample size. In addition, although both patients presented here have been checked for NF2 gene profile, this was obtained by EDTA blood samples only, which gives us a sensitivity of 70%. A definite proof of genetic mosaicism with a sensitivity of 100%, can only be achieved with tumor tissue sampling. In the absence of additional clinical signs of NF and their age far above mean age of initial NF manifestation, we have not considered genetic testing by tumor sampling in our patients. However, changes in the NF genes have been found even in patients who do not fulfill National Institutes of Health criteria of NF. It may be argued that for an appropriate management of patients and family counseling, molecular study of the NF genes should be considered in cases of secondary tumors at the cranial nerves.

Figure 2: Case 2 with preoperative magnetic resonance imaging of the vestibular schwannoma (upper row, 4–2000). Postoperative magnetic resonance imaging study (second row, 9–2000) and late follow-up magnetic resonance imaging with evidence of seeded tumor at the level of the jugular foramen (third row, 6–2010). Magnetic resonance imaging after re-craniotomy and tumor removal (lower row, 11–2010). Each row with corresponding magnetic resonance imaging sequences and areas of interest: Coronal/axial T1-gadolinium enhanced and coronal/axial T1-gadolinium enhanced of the region of tumor seeding.
CONCLUSIONS

We suggest that strict adherence to oncological principles should be applied in the management of even benign neoplasms such as VS to prevent contamination of surrounding or distal structures with tumor cells and potential recurrence at locations not adjacent to the primary tumor. In cases of schwannomas, secondary tumors should be screened for NF2 gene alterations to rule out genetic mosaicism.
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