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ÖZET

Sağlık çalışanlarının anafilaksi hakkında bilgi düzeyi

Giriş: Bu çalışmada, sağlık çalışanlarının anafilaksi hakkında bilgi düzeyi kendilerinin doldurduğu anketler aracılığıyla değerlendirildi.

Materyal ve Metod: Çalışmaya 1172 kişi katıldı. Çalışmaya katılanların en fazla birinci basamak ve en az üçüncü basamakta olmak üzere yarısı anafilaksiyle daha önceden karışımlı (p= 0.005).

Bulgular: Katılımcıların %84.7'si anafilaksi semptomlarını doğru yanıtladı. Grubun %62.6'sı anafilaksi tedavi basamaklarını doğru bilirken, %44.7'si anafilaksiden şüphelendiğinde epinefrin hakkındaki uygulamayı doğru buldu. Grubun üçte biri epinefrini doğru uygulama bilgisine sahipti. Katılımcıların %85.2'si hastayı allerji kliniğine yönlendirmeyi önermesine rağmen, %33.7'si en yakın allerji kliniğinin nerede olduğunu bilmiyordu. Katılımcıların sadece %20.3'ü epinefrin oto-enjekktörünü biliyordu.

Sonuç: Anafilaksi, çalışılan yer, meslek ve uzmanlık alanından bağımsız olarak Türkiye’nin kuzeydoğu anadolu bölgesinde genelde yetersiz tanı konularak tedavi edilmektedir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Anafilaksi, eğitim, epinefrin, sağlık çalışanları.
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INTRODUCTION

Anaphylaxis is an acute generalized allergic reaction that can be life-threatening (1). However, the importance of anaphylaxis is underestimated. In Turkey, the rising incidence of anaphylaxis is of concern, with mostly undiagnosed or unreported cases. In a retrospective study from Turkey, 224 cases of anaphylaxis were reported in 137 children from 1999 to 2009 (2).

Previous studies indicated a significant deficit in appropriate management of anaphylaxis (3-5). Diagnosis and treatment of anaphylaxis are challenging because reactions may be quick, and severe, and lack of clear definition which can lead to under-diagnosis (1,6). Furthermore, there is no single test to diagnose anaphylaxis in routine clinical practice, and there is a lack in reaching the updated knowledge which may be due to unwillingness, or language problems in reading foreign articles (1,4). Even though anaphylaxis is examined in tertiary care, guidelines for the management of anaphylaxis highlight the important role of primary care providers in the recognition, and referral of patients to allergy clinics (1). Therefore, the investigation of anaphylaxis management plans are increasingly being advocated to improve outcomes from acute episodes (7).

In an effort to improve the management of anaphylaxis, we sought to identify the gaps about anaphylaxis knowledge of non-allergist health care providers in north-eastern Turkey.

MATERIALS and METHODS

The study participants were recruited from health centers located in north-eastern Turkey (Erzurum, Kars, Bayburt, Artvin, Gumushane, Ardahan, Erzincan, Agri, and Igdir). A survey was mailed to every local provincial health directorates to deliver the survey to health care centers. The study was approved by the local ethics committee.

Eligibility criteria were: female/male subjects between ages of 18-65 years, working in a government hospital of primary, secondary or tertiary care. The target population was the ones who could meet patients with anaphylaxis, including medical doctors as non-allergist specialists, general practitioners, medical students, nurses, and paramedics.

Primary care was used for the first point of consultation for all patients within the health care system. Secondary care was the health care services provided by medical specialists. Tertiary care was used for advanced medical investigation, usually for inpatients and on referral from a primary or secondary health professional.

The investigators created a 26-item, written questionnaire as multiple choices refined by administration to and feedback by an allergist, and a pulmonologist (Table 1). The questions were designed from guidelines of anaphylaxis (1). Subjects were instructed to self-administer the questionnaire with no time limit. After completing the questionnaire, participants were given a guide of anaphylaxis management plan including the right answers of the questions.

p value < 0.05 was regarded as statistically significant. Groups were compared with chi-square test for categorical variables and with one-way ANOVA for quantitative variables.

RESULTS

Surveys were returned from eight of nine cities. An overall response rate was 17.8% (2.2%-47.4%). A total of 1172 participants with female predominance, aged from 18 to 51 years responded to the survey. The study population’s practice location was composed of...
Table 1. Anaphylaxis questionnaire (26-item).

1. Age: 
2. Gender: □ Male □ Female
3. In which city do you work? .................................................................
4. Can you classify the type of hospital you work in? .................................
   □ Primary hospital □ Secondary hospital □ Tertiary hospital
5. Employment status:
   □ Nurse □ Medical doctor □ Paramedic □ Student in ..................................
6. What is your speciality? ...........................................................................
7. Which department are you working at? ....................................................
8. How long have you been working in this job? .........................................
9. Which one is your education degree?
   □ High school □ University □ Master degree □ Postdoctoral degree
10. Do you think that allergy can be life threatening? □ Yes □ No
11. Have you ever met a patient with anaphylaxis? □ Yes (How many: .....) □ No
12. Have you ever treat a patient with anaphylaxis? □ Yes □ No
13. Do you keep epinephrine drug in your department? □ Yes □ No
14. Do you know where the nearest allergy clinic in your area?
   □ Yes (where: ...........) □ No
15. What are the signs and symptoms of anaphylaxis? (You can select more than one choice)
   □ Generalized hives-angioedema-flushing-pruritus
   □ Itching in areas of mouth/lips/throat
   □ Dyspnea-cough-wheeze-stridor
   □ Hypotension-tachycardia-syncpe
   □ Diarrhea-nausea-vomiting-cramp abdominal pain
   □ All of them
16. What is the clinical criterion for diagnosing anaphylaxis?
   □ Acute onset of an illness with involvement of skin and at least one of the following: respiratory or cardiovascular symptoms
   □ Acute reduced blood pressure after exposure to known allergen
   □ At least two of the following involvement after exposure to allergen; skin, respiratory compromise, reduced blood pressure or gastrointestinal symptoms
   □ All of them
   □ None of them
17. Please number the anaphylaxis treatment steps in order from 1 to 5
   □ Call emergency
   □ Place on the back with their lower extremities elevated
   □ Give high flow supplemental oxygen
   □ Give beta-2 adrenergic agonist inhalation by nebulizer
   □ Establish intravenous access and give saline rapidly
   □ Inject H1-antihistamine and glucocorticoid intravenously
   □ Assess circulation, airway breathing, mental status and skin
   □ Inject epinephrine intramuscularly 0.5 mg (adult) or 0.3 mg (child)
18. Which one is the first line medication in the treatment of a subject with anaphylaxis? (Choose only one choice)
   □ Dopamine
   □ Epinephrine
   □ Glucocorticoid (methylprednisolone)
Mary (32.5%), secondary (38.4%), and tertiary (29.1%) care. Most of the participants were working as nurse, while the others were physician, paramedic or medical student. Department of the health care providers was mainly composed of family and preclinical medicine followed by internal medicine, surgery, emergency medicine, preclinical science.

Slightly less than half of the study group had met a case with anaphylaxis (Table 2). Health care providers in primary health care had the most, and the ones in tertiary care had the least ratio of anaphylaxis experience (47.5% and 35.8%, p= 0.005). Almost one third of the group indicated that they had treated patients with anaphylaxis, with a lesser ratio in tertiary care providers compared to primary and secondary care providers (p= 0.002). Most of the participants indicated that they had epinephrine drug in their department, and this ratio was lesser in secondary care compared with primary and tertiary cares (p= 0.02). One third of the participants answered correctly where the nearest allergy clinic was, with a lowest ratio in tertiary care and highest ratio in primary care (60.4% and 71.4%, p= 0.008).

Almost all participants agreed that anaphylaxis was a life threatening reaction, whereas 84.7% of the group answered the questions about the symptoms of anaphylaxis correctly (Table 3). Although 62.6% of

| Table 1. Anaphylaxis questionnaire (26-item) (continued). |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| □ H1-antihistamine (e.g. diphenhydramine) |
| □ 0.9% (isotonic) saline |
| □ β2 adrenergic agonist (e.g. salbutamol) |
| 19. What is the interval of re-administration of epinephrine? (Choose one choice) |
| □ Cannot be re-administered □ 5 minutes □ 30 minutes □ 1 hour □ I don’t know |
| 20. What is the recommended route of epinephrine administration as first line action in anaphylaxis? (Choose one choice) |
| □ Intravenous □ Intramuscular □ Subcutaneous |
| 21. What is the appropriate intramuscular dose of epinephrine? |
| □ 0.01 mg/kg of a 1/1000 solution of epinephrine |
| □ 0.01 mg/kg of a 1/100 solution of epinephrine |
| □ 0.01 mg/kg of a 1/10.000 solution of epinephrine |
| □ I don’t know |
| 22. Where is the recommended localisation of epinephrine as intramuscular? |
| □ Deltoid muscle (midanterolateral upper arm) |
| □ Vastus lateralis (midanterolateral thigh) |
| □ Gluteus maximus (buttocks) |
| □ I don’t know |
| 23. What is the recommended intravenous dose of epinephrine? |
| □ 1/10.000 epinephrine 0.1 mg/mL |
| □ No dilution |
| □ 1/100 epinephrine 1 mg/mL |
| □ 1/100.000 epinephrine 0.01 mg/mL |
| □ I don’t know |
| 24. How long should the patient with anaphylaxis be follow up after reaction? |
| □ 6-8 hours □ 1-2 hours □ 6-8 hours □ No need for a follow up |
| 25. Which department do you referral patients with anaphylaxis? |
| □ No need to referral □ Internal medicine □ Dermatology |
| □ Allergy □ Chest diseases □ Anaesthesiology |
| 26. Have you ever heard about epinephrine (Epipen®) auto-injector? |
| □ Yes □ No |
the group knew anaphylaxis treatment steps correctly, only 44.7% of them agreed that epinephrine should be administered as a first action if a patient was suspected of anaphylaxis. On the other hand 29.4% of the participants agreed that epinephrine might be re-administered in 5 minutes in case of no response. Knowledge about epinephrine administration was sufficient in less than one third of the group. Almost half of the participants agreed to follow up patients with anaphylaxis for at least 6-8 hours, and 85.2% of them agreed to refer the patient to an allergy clinic. However 20.3% of the respondents have heard about epinephrine auto-injector. Frequency of knowledge about how to manage anaphylaxis was sufficient in half of the group with a decreasing ratio as physicians, medical students, nurses and paramedics (p< 0.001) (Figure 1).

**DISCUSSION**

We evaluated the gaps in the evaluation of anaphylaxis in north-eastern Turkey where deaths from anaphylaxis by hymenoptera are not rare. Almost half of the study group had participated in the treatment of patients with anaphylaxis. Additionally, a few participants from tertiary care reported anaphylaxis experience which might be due to inadequate referral of anaphylaxis cases to tertiary care. Moreover, one third of the health care providers especially from secondary care reported that they didn’t keep epinephrine drug in their clinic, in contrast to the statement of every step of health care should keep epinephrine independent of department type (1).

The knowledge level about anaphylaxis was found to be inadequate with many points including diagnosis,
management and follow up. Even though the importance of anaphylaxis as a life threatening reaction was known by almost all health care providers, slightly less than all realised the signs and symptoms to diagnose anaphylaxis. Similarly, in a survey of paediatricians, nearly half of the respondents did not properly recognize and treat food-induced anaphylaxis (3). This lack of knowledge by health care providers mirrors that of previous studies as awareness of anaphylaxis was low in many countries (4).

Current anaphylaxis management guidelines recommend the use of epinephrine as a first line treatment, complemented by antihistamines and corticoids (1,8). Even though two third of the health care providers were able to answer the anaphylaxis treatment steps, less than half of the group were confident to administer epinephrine as a first action, and one third of them agreed to repeat epinephrine administration in case of no response. The reason of fail to use epinephrine as a first aid is probably due to its potential adverse effects (8). This fear can be defeated by the convincing effect of an evidence based education for the use of epinephrine in anaphylaxis in health care providers as reported before (9).

In this study, the rate of correct administration of epinephrine was very low. In a recent study, even in patients with severe anaphylaxis only 4% of them received epinephrine intramuscularly, whereas 8% received intravenously (8). Furthermore, education improved the correct use of epinephrine auto-injector from 23.3% to 74.2% in physicians (10).

Guidelines recommend that every patient who has experienced anaphylaxis should be referred to allergy clinic to get identified for the trigger(s) and for long-term management with an epinephrine auto-injector (1,8). In this study even though many practitioners indicated that patients with anaphylaxis should visit allergy clinic for further investigation, most of them were unaware of where the nearest allergy clinic was. Furthermore, only a quarter of the health care providers had heard about epinephrine auto-injector which might be due to its lack of availability in Turkey. This problem was also addressed in a study stating that epinephrine auto-injectors were available in 26 of 44 countries (9). Even though patients can have this drug by importing; it results with an increase in its cost. It is obvious that prevention of unavailability of epinephrine auto-injector will increase its prescription for the first-aid treatment of anaphylaxis.

Paramedics had the lowest ratio to correctly diagnose and manage anaphylaxis despite they were the first to confront with patients. Previously, it was reported that a large percentage of paramedics recognized classic anaphylaxis; however a very small percentage recognized atypical anaphylaxis (5).

The limitation of this study was the low response rates. However, this does not invalidate the study since the overall number of study participant is powerful enough to make a conclusion.

In conclusion, these results showed the current provision of anaphylaxis at least in this part of Turkey, which was often diagnosed and managed inadequately, re-
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Regardless of practice location, employment status and speciality. Furthermore, experiencing anaphylaxis was not a frequent event in north-eastern Turkey. Therefore, a national educational programme should be developed to improve patient care and to prevent misdiagnosed cases and deaths from anaphylaxis. Findings from this study will be useful to provide a basis for developing interventional national strategies to resolve these deficiencies.
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