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1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background and motivation

Respiratory syncytial virus lower respiratory tract infections (RSV-LRTI) and asthma are among the most common and important causes of respiratory morbidity in infancy and childhood throughout the world.\textsuperscript{1,2} RSV is a common cause of acute LRTI, hospital admissions, and mortality in young children, resulting in a substantial global health burden.\textsuperscript{1,2} Early life RSV infection has been associated with later asthma development,\textsuperscript{3–5} the most common pediatric non-communicable disease,\textsuperscript{6} affecting approximately 334 million people worldwide.\textsuperscript{7} There is now compelling evidence that environmental influences, in addition to genetics, contribute substantially to asthma development.\textsuperscript{8} Thus, primary asthma prevention is highly plausible if we can identify causal and modifiable early life disease processes.\textsuperscript{3}

There is longstanding debate among airway scholars over the role of early life RSV-LRTI in the etiology of asthma.\textsuperscript{5,9,10} Quantitative reviews have established a strong average association between RSV-LRTI exposure and subsequent wheezing and asthma,\textsuperscript{11–13} but it remains unclear whether RSV-LRTIs are a causal contributor or merely a marker of airway disease susceptibility. This distinction is crucial for stakeholders appraising the financial viability and prudence of widespread implementation of RSV prevention programs. If RSV-LRTI were truly a causal agent and not merely a risk marker, then we would expect efficacious RSV immunoprophylaxis interventions (e.g., monoclonal antibodies and prenatal maternal vaccines) to reduce risk for pediatric asthma, greatly increasing their public health value.\textsuperscript{14} Unfortunately, adequately powered randomized clinical trials (RCTs) evaluating the effect of RSV immunoprophylaxis on childhood asthma directly would require prohibitively large sample sizes ($n > 6,000$ per study arm).\textsuperscript{15} We can, however, capitalize on the methodological heterogeneity of existing studies to evaluate the plausibility of causal and non-causal explanations for observed associations between RSV-LRTIs and asthma-related outcomes.

Our objective is to evaluate the extent to which there is compelling evidence for a causal effect of early life respiratory syncytial virus lower respiratory tract infection (RSV-LRTI) on pediatric asthma and wheezing illness. To that end, we conducted a systematic quantitative review of the literature, commissioned by the World Health Organization, estimating effects of early life RSV-LRTI and RSV immunoprophylaxis on subsequent wheezing and asthma. The purpose of the review is not to establish that an association between RSV-LRTI and asthma exists—this
has been established in prior meta-analyses\textsuperscript{11–13}—but rather to evaluate the viability of causal and non-causal models and their testable implications.

1.2. Non-causal models of the RSV-LRTI wheezing illness and their testable implications

Our degree of confidence that RSV-LRTI contributes to asthma is dependent on the risk of bias in the existing literature, but also our ability to rule out alternative non-causal explanations. If studies that minimize plausible confounding influences yield effect size estimates that are considerably smaller than studies that do not, there would be evidence supportive of a non-causal association. In contrast, if effect size estimates are consistently positive and unrelated to whether potential confounding influences were minimized, this would provide evidence against non-causal models and lend credence to the hypothesis of a causal effect. We will consider the degree of evidence for the following non-causal alternative explanations:

\textit{Preexisting lung vulnerability hypothesis.} It is plausible that observed associations between RSV-LRTI and pediatric wheezing illness are attributable to preexisting vulnerability to airway disease (both RSV-LRTI and wheezing illness) rather than a true causal effect. This diathesis may be due to (epi)genetic risk and/or early environmental exposures (including prenatal insults) that precede RSV-LRTI. This explanation has potentially testable implications that we will evaluate in this synthesis: Studies minimizing genetic confounding should have a smaller mean effect size associated with the effect of RSV-LRTI on wheezing illness relative to those that do not. Additionally, we expect that studies minimizing differences in measures of neonatal health (e.g., preterm birth) between RSV-LRTI exposure and comparators groups will also yield smaller effect sizes. Neonatal health measures are markers of perinatal insults that could contribute to risk for both RSV-LRTI and wheezing illness.

\textit{RSV-LRTI as a marker of other causal co-infections.} Children susceptible to RSV-LRTI are likely susceptible to other airway infections. It is plausible that RSV-LRTI is simply a marker of a co-infection that is a true causal contributor. If this were true, we would expect studies minimizing the influence of co-infections to have mean effect size indicating no independent effect of RSV-LRTI. Additionally, there would be no increase in the effect size between non-RSV-LRTI and wheezing illness when RSV-LRTI is present as a co-infection compared to when RSV-LRTI is absent (i.e., no interaction between the RSV\textsuperscript{−} infection and the RSV\textsuperscript{+} infection).

Fig 1 provides a directed acyclic graph (DAG) depicting potential non-causal mechanisms underlying the association between RSV-LRTI and wheezing illness. Unidirectional solid arrows represent presumed causal effects whereas the arrowless dotted line connecting RSV-LRTI and wheezing illness represents a spurious association. In
this model, a preexisting vulnerability to respiratory illness is the major confounder contributing causally to both the exposure and outcome of interest. Its confounding influence can be ameliorated by minimizing differences between the RSV-LRTI and comparator groups on factors contributing to respiratory illness diatheses (e.g., markers of genetic loading and perinatal insults) and/or variables presumed to be on the causal pathway from the preexisting respiratory diathesis to wheezing illness (e.g., non-RSV co-infections).

Fig 1. Directed acyclic graph depicting plausible non-causal pathways resulting in a spurious association of RSV-LRTI and subsequent wheezing illness. Causal effects are depicted with solid directional arrows and the spurious association is depicted with a non-directional dotted line. This model implies that effect sizes estimates will be smaller when they minimize the influence of (a) preexisting vulnerability to respiratory illness (and its causes) and (b) non-RSV co-infections.

1.3. Role of RSV immunoprophylaxis trials in assessing the plausibility of a causal effect

RSV immunoprophylaxis studies—particularly RCTs—provide information relevant to assessing the plausibility of RSV-LRTI as a causal contributor to subsequent wheezing illness. RCTs have shown that intramuscular injection of monoclonal antibodies (Palivizumab and Motavizumab) among infants during or just prior to seasons when RSV is circulating are efficacious in preventing RSV-LRTI or mitigating its effects.\(^{16,17}\) If RSV-LRTI is a true cause of subsequent wheezing illness, it follows that—all things being equal—those receiving efficacious immunoprophylaxis should have reduced risk for wheezing illness. At present, RSV immunoprophylaxis is typically reserved for infants with serious health complications who would be most adversely affected by RSV infection.\(^{18}\) Those receiving RSV immunoprophylaxis tend to be substantially different in terms of their health status and likely their risk for wheezing illness (i.e., confounding by indication).\(^{19}\) Consequently, non-randomized studies
evaluating the association between immunoprophylaxis studies under non-experimental conditions will likely only provide a minimally biased estimate effect of RSV-LRTI on wheezing illness if they minimize confounding by indication\textsuperscript{20} and block all pathways from immunoprophylaxis receipt to wheezing illness that do not go through RSV-LRTI.\textsuperscript{21}

Immunoprophylaxis RCTs allow for estimates of an unbiased causal effect under the condition that randomized condition assignment is an instrumental variable.\textsuperscript{22} Unlike non-randomized studies, randomization ensures that any baseline differences between the treated and untreated groups are due to random chance rather than systematic features of the participants or experiment.\textsuperscript{23} Perhaps, the most daunting assumption required for randomized immunoprophylaxis treatment condition to be an instrumental variable is the exclusion criterion,\textsuperscript{22,24} which requires that randomized condition assignment influences wheezing illness \textit{only indirectly} through its effect on the severity of RSV illness. That is, reducing risk/severity of RSV-LRTI is the \textit{only} way in which immunoprophylaxis can affect wheezing illness. It is possible that assignment to the immunoprophylaxis condition could alter other processes on the causal pathway aside from the risk/severity of RSV-LRTI. For example, RSV immunoprophylaxis might result in increased risk of non-RSV infections that contribute to wheezing illness (“viral interference”).\textsuperscript{25,26} In this scenario, the association between randomized condition assignment and wheezing illness would yield an overly conservative estimate of the effect of RSV-LRTI and wheezing illness. If, on the other hand, immunoprophylaxis were to reduce the severity of other causal disease processes, we would obtain an inflated estimate.

Fig 2 depicts a DAG for a hypothetical immunoprophylaxis RCT where randomized condition assignment is an instrumental variable allowing for an unbiased estimate of the effect of RSV-LRTI on subsequent wheezing illness. In the DAG, \textit{x} codes randomized condition assignment (e.g., 0=placebo, 1=immunoprophylaxis), \textit{m} codes RSV-LRTI severity during infancy, and \textit{y} codes subsequent wheezing illness. Path \textit{a} depicts the effect of randomization to immunoprophylaxis on the risk/severity of RSV-LRTI. Path \textit{b} depicts the effect of interest: the effect of RSV-LRTI on subsequent wheezing illness. If there is no pathway \textit{(c)} connecting \textit{x} and \textit{y} without first going through RSV-LRTI (path \textit{a}), then randomized condition assignment is a valid instrument.\textsuperscript{22} This model also implies that randomized condition assignment and subsequent wheezing illness are independent when holding RSV-LRTI constant: \textit{x} \perp \textit{y}|m. This implication that could be disconfirmed in RCTs by testing whether the partial correlation between \textit{x} and \textit{y} adjusting for \textit{m} equals 0 (or a small value that is practically equal to 0).\textsuperscript{21}
Fig 2. Directed acyclic graph depicting the exclusion criterion assumption necessary for randomized condition assignment to be an instrumental variable in an immunoprophylaxis RCT. The only pathway connecting randomized treatment group \((x)\) and wheezing illness \((y)\) must go through RSV-LRTI \((m)\). There is no other pathway \(c\) that connects \(x\) and \(y\) without first going through \(m\).

1.4. Summary

Our goal is to leverage the methodological heterogeneity of published data to evaluate the plausibility of causal and non-causal explanations for the established association between early life RSV-LRTI and subsequent wheezing illness. Although causality cannot be established with certainty, we aim to evaluate the tenability of alternative models using meta-analytic techniques and propose methods by which future studies could reduce the causal ambiguity.

2. METHOD

2.1. External review panel

At the outset of this project, we formed an external advisory group that has guided the development of this report. The group is comprised of scholars with relevant expertise and diverse skill sets. Advisory group members are tasked with reviewing all proposed procedures and outputs from this review and with helping to ensure that the investigators employ optimal procedures that minimize bias.

2.2. Study selection criteria

To be included in this review, studies have to measure (a) the direct association between RSV-LRTI and subsequent wheezing illness outcomes (RSV-LRTI exposure studies) and/or (b) the efficacy of RSV immunoprophylaxis on subsequent wheezing illness outcomes (RSV prophylaxis studies). We use the term wheezing illness to refer broadly to asthma or any other respiratory illnesses with wheezing episodes. Wheezing illness could be measured by physician diagnosis, parent/self-report, or research evaluation. Studies that do not measure wheezing
illness directly but measure only related constructs (e.g., like lung function or asthma related healthcare costs) will be excluded. Initially, we intended to develop separate meta-regression models for asthma and other wheezing illnesses (e.g., recurrent wheeze). However, studies did not use consistent definitions for these constructs. Some studies, for example, applied the label “asthma” to airway illnesses that were more consistent with what other studies termed “recurrent wheeze.” Consequently, we combined all variations of wheezing illness into a single outcome variable in our analyses (see section 4.1). As described in section 2.7.4, we will conduct a subgroup analysis evaluating only estimates involving an asthma diagnosis occurring after age 5, when it is easier to distinguish between transient wheezing and persistent airway reactivity.27

The review will be limited to published (including E-pub ahead of print) peer-reviewed empirical studies. Ecological studies, case reports, clinical practice guidelines, commentaries, reviews, and animal studies will be excluded. There were insufficient translation resources available to include non-English articles in this synthesis. Table 1 summarizes all study inclusion and exclusion criteria.

| Table 1. Summary of inclusion/exclusion criteria |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Article characteristics** | **RSV-LRTI Exposure Studies** | **RSV Immunoprophylaxis Studies** |
| Exposure | Empirical human subjects study published (including Epub ahead of print) in English in a peer-reviewed journal prior to final search date | RSV-LRTI during a period beginning before age 2 and fully contained within ages 0-5 (operationalized as an exposure or mediator variable) | RSV immunoprophylaxis with established efficacy (either from the trial in question or past RCTs) in preventing/mitigating early life RSV-LRTI |
| Comparator | LRTI absent or undetected during the exposure period | RSV immunoprophylaxis not received during the exposure period |
| Outcome | Wheezing illness measured subsequentb to the index RSV-LRTI illness that defines membership in the exposure vs. comparator groups | Wheezing illness subsequent to study intervention protection period |
| Sampling | Exposure/comparator groups sampled from the same population | Method of ascertaining exposure and outcomes were the same for exposure and comparator groups |

| Clinical trials may estimate the effect of RSV-LRTI on asthma/wheezing outcomes indirectly by reporting the effect of immunoprophylaxis on asthma/wheezing outcomes and/or report the direct association between RSV-LRTI and asthma/wheezing outcomes.  
| Defined as occurring ≥ 30 days after the index RSV-LRTI. |
2.2.1. RSV-LRTI exposure studies

2.2.1.1. RSV-LRTI exposure definition. We will include studies determining RSV exposure using laboratory techniques (e.g., real-time reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction, serology, antigen testing, and viral culture), qualified medical evaluation, and/or medical records (e.g., diagnostic codes and RSV-related hospitalizations). Additionally, we will include studies estimating the effects of co-infection with RSV and other respiratory infections (e.g., influenza) as long as there is a comparator group that allowed for estimation of the incremental contribution of RSV-LRTI above the co-infection. Studies in which the exposure group is comprised of individuals with heterogeneous infections (e.g., RSV, rhinovirus, enterovirus, etc.) in which we cannot separate the unique contribution of RSV from other co-infections will be excluded.

The exposure for RSV-LRTI Exposure studies will be limited to RSV in the context of LRTI. Although RSV infection does not invariably result in lower respiratory symptoms, the public health impact of RSV is greatest in conjunction with LRTI. Further, the most widely-studied immunoprophylaxis agent (e.g., palivizumab) is indicated for the explicit purpose of preventing RSV-induced LRTI in young children. Although there has been recent work aimed at developing standard definitions for LRTI, much of the relevant literature preceded these efforts. Consequently, we use a broad and flexible definition of LRTI to avoid eliminating relevant scholarship. Studies will be included if exposure group members have RSV (as defined above) in combination with LRTI conditions (e.g., bronchiolitis, pneumonia, acute bronchitis) or relevant clinical indications (e.g., wheeze, chest imaging, etc.). Finally, participants will be considered to have had an LRTI if they were hospitalized for an RSV-related illness.

Studies need to have an exposure ascertainment period beginning before two years of age and not extending beyond five years of age to be included; that is, the exposure period has to begin in the first two years of life and be fully contained within the first five years of life. For studies with an exposure window extending beyond age five, we will include estimates that are based on subgroup analyses evaluating the effect of RSV-LRTI within the allowable exposure period (e.g., evaluating the specific effect of RSV-LRTI between ages 0-5 on wheezing illnesses).

To ensure that the wheezing illness outcome was not merely a manifestation of the index LRTI (i.e., the outcome was separate from the exposure), we will require a “wash-out” period of 30 days between the index RSV-LRTI and measurement of the wheezing illness outcome. By 30 days post-illness onset, the vast majority of infected
infants and preschoolers have completed shedding RSV\textsuperscript{31,32} and those with RSV bronchiolitis are no longer symptomatic.\textsuperscript{33,34}

2.2.1.2. Comparator groups. Relevant comparator groups are those in which the criteria used to define the RSV-LRTI exposure group are absent, or present to a lesser degree (i.e., less severe illness), during the exposure window. Some studies determine exposure/comparator group status using viral surveillance in the first year of life: individuals with at least one RSV-LRTI are classified as members of the exposure group and those without an LRTI as the comparator group members (\textit{viral surveillance} studies). Alternatively, some studies determine exposure/comparator group membership using a medical event (\textit{medical event} studies). For example, individuals with a recorded hospitalization for RSV-LRTI during the ascertainment period are classified as members of the exposure group and those without as the comparator group. Some members of the comparator group in \textit{medical event} studies likely had RSV infection in the exposure window, but did not have an infection severe enough to require medical attention. Additionally, studies comparing two groups with RSV-LRTI that differ only in the severity of their presentation are eligible for inclusion: e.g., studies comparing children with RSV-LRTI requiring emergency care or hospitalization (exposure group) to children with confirmed RSV-LRTI not requiring emergency care/hospitalization (comparator group).

2.2.2. RSV prophylaxis studies

2.2.2.1. RSV prophylaxis exposure and comparator group definitions. Studies will be included in this review if they report findings from a clinical trial (randomized or non-randomized) evaluating the efficacy of RSV immunoprophylaxis with participants who were either (a) administered RSV immunoprophylaxis directly between the ages 0-2, or (b) exposed \textit{in utero} when the adult carrying the child during gestation received immunoprophylaxis. We will require that there was empirical evidence from at least one RCT that the prophylactic intervention in question is efficacious in targeting RSV in early childhood. The RSV prophylactic agent has to be compared to a comparator group that received no RSV immunoprophylaxis during the exposure period. We will also include estimates comparing infants who were more/less compliant with the immunoprophylaxis series: Those completing the full series of inoculations would be expected to have better protection from RSV-LRTI and thus, lower risk for wheezing illness relative to those completing only a partial series. At the time of this review, RSV immunoprophylaxis agents have only been approved for high-risk populations, including children with serious medical complications (e.g., preterm birth).\textsuperscript{29} Thus, the findings of immunoprophylaxis studies may be relevant to
highly specific segments of the population and this will be reflected in our conclusions based on analysis of these trials.

2.3. Literature search

We conducted a comprehensive literature search of the MEDLINE and EMBASE databases for relevant scholarship. The search was an iterative process with collaboration between our subject-area experts and a master’s level information specialist with expertise in systematic reviews. The original search parameters, delineated using the PRESS 2015 Guidelines, were evaluated against a test-set of scholarly articles selected from recent reviews and refined accordingly to ensure that the search was identifying articles with known relevance. An external master’s level library and information specialist conducted an independent review of the search strategy and recommended minor revisions. A summary of the full search sequence and the final search parameters are provided in Appendix E2.

2.4. Identifying relevant articles

Four study investigators, all with doctoral degrees in healthcare sciences or epidemiology, reviewed the records identified in our final literature search in two stages. Stage 1 was a rapid review of all abstracts by two independent reviewers. Any article that was deemed potentially relevant (e.g., by mentioning RSV, LRTI, and/or any airway outcomes) was retained for full-text review (Stage 2). Reviewers were instructed to be liberal in Stage 1 and only exclude articles for which they had a high degree of confidence that inclusion criteria were not met. If there was a discrepancy among reviewers in Stage 1 (i.e., one reviewer eliminated the article and the other did not), the article was retained for full-text review.

In the Stage 2 full-text review, two independent reviewers determined whether all articles surviving the rapid review met the inclusion criteria and would be included in the synthesis. Reviewers terminated full-text reviews once a single exclusion criterion was identified rather than checking each inclusion/exclusion criterion individually. Discrepancies among reviewers in Stage 2 were resolved by consensus.

2.5. Data abstraction

Data relevant to the meta-analysis will be abstracted from the included articles into a database by an investigator with a doctoral degree in either in epidemiology or a healthcare field. An independent doctoral level investigator will review the data from each article for quality assurance purposes, resolving discrepancies by consensus.
2.5.1. Effect size estimates

The odds ratios (OR) is the most commonly reported effect size in this review; therefore, our analyses quantify differences between RSV-LRTI exposure and comparator groups using the natural log of the odds ratio: $\log_e(OR)$. Standard errors for estimates of interest are rarely reported and thus we will calculate it in one of two ways. When a 95% confidence interval is provided, we will calculate the $SE$ as:

$$SE_{\log_e OR} = \frac{[\log_e(CI_U) - \log_e(OR)]}{1.96},$$

(1)

where $CI_U$ is the upper bound of the 95% confidence interval of the OR estimate. When only descriptive data are available (i.e., the number of exposed and comparator group members with and without wheezing illness), we will calculate standard errors for the $\log_e(OR)$ as follows:

$$SE_{\log_e OR} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{d}},$$

(2)

where $a$ and $b$ represent the number of RSV-LRTI exposed participants with and without the wheezing illness outcome, respectively; and $c$ and $d$ are the number of comparator group participants with and without the wheezing illness outcomes, respectively.

Some of the effect sizes included in this review will be based on analyses adjusting for potential confounders, whereas others will be naïve estimates. We will use unadjusted estimates of the effect of immunoprophylaxis on wheezing illness for RCTs because appropriate randomization procedures ensure unbiasedness: any differences between the treated and untreated are due to chance rather than features of the experiment. For RSV-LRTI exposure studies and non-randomized immunoprophylaxis studies, we will include estimates adjusting for potential confounders (aOR) in our analyses rather than unadjusted estimates whenever possible, as the former are generally expected to be less biased. Some observational studies adjust for covariates representing processes that clearly followed the RSV-LRTI exposure temporally and are plausibly on the causal pathway. This could lead to overly conservative estimates as a potential causal pathway through the mediator is blocked. We will conduct sensitivity analyses removing both naïve estimates (i.e., no effort to minimize confounding) and those that adjusted for likely mediators (see section 2.7.5).

Some studies report adjusted effect size estimates other than the aOR, including adjusted risk ratios (aRR), hazard ratios (aHR), and incident rate ratios (aIRR). Rather than excluding these estimates or calculating unadjusted
ORs based on descriptive statistics, we will treat them as conservative approximations of the OR. This strategy biases toward the null because these alternative estimates are smaller than the OR.\textsuperscript{38}

2.5.2. Study characteristics and effect modifiers

In addition to effect size data, we will code key study features to summarize the included scholarship and to serve as effect modifiers in our meta-regression models. The income level of the countries in which studies were conducted will be determined using the World Bank classification: https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/906519-world-bank-country-and-lending-groups. We will code whether the study used a targeted enrollment strategy requiring all participants to have a known risk factor (other than RSV-LRTI) for wheezing illness (e.g., family history of atopy). The time intervals covered by outcome assessments differ considerably across studies. Some effect sizes code prevalence of wheezing illness outcomes (e.g., in the past year), whereas others coded incidence over a specific time period. Consequently, we cannot code mean/median age-at-outcome as a precise continuous time variable. Rather, we will create a categorical variable coding whether the outcome assessed wheezing primarily in the preschool years (mean/median age < 5), primary school years (mean/median age 5-12); adolescence (mean/median age 13-18), or adulthood mean/median > 18). Finally, because the timing of the RSV-LRTI exposure may moderate its impact on wheezing illness, we will code whether the exposure ascertainment period was fully contained in the first year of life or whether it extended beyond the first year.

Of particular importance, we will code the extent to which studies attempted to minimize the impact of potential key confounders, including genetic predisposition for wheezing illness, neonatal health proxies (e.g., Apgar scores), and co-infections. Investigators will code whether the influence of these potential confounders was likely minimized not at all, somewhat, or mostly/completely. Minimization of potential bias could be achieved by study design (e.g., randomization or matching), statistical analysis (e.g., covariate adjustment or propensity scores), or chance (i.e., confounders well-balanced at baseline between the exposure and comparator groups).

2.5.3. Risk of bias ratings

In order to have a general sense of the degree to which the estimates included in this review accurately reflect their population parameters, we will rate each effect size for risk of bias using established instruments: the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS)\textsuperscript{39} for observational studies (including non-randomized immunoprophylaxis studies).
and the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool (CRBT)\textsuperscript{40} for immunoprophylaxis RCTs. Both tools are freely available online.\textsuperscript{1}

We will use these ratings to help identify the most common bias threats in studies estimating the effect of RSV-LRTI on wheezing illness and corresponding priorities for improving future study design and methodology.

For the NOS, coders rate the risk of bias on the following seven study features: representativeness of the exposed cohort; selection of the non-exposed cohort; ascertainment of the exposure; demonstration that the presence of the outcome did not precede study onset; comparability of the exposed & unexposed groups; ascertainment of the outcome; adequacy of the follow-up period (i.e., sufficient time for outcomes to occur); and retention of participants at follow-ups. The CRBT tool evaluates the following areas: selection bias, performance bias, detection bias, attrition bias, reporting bias, and other biases not covered by the other areas. For many studies, it is necessary to code risk of bias separately for different outcomes and/or comparisons. For example, the risk of bias due to response variable missingness could be considerable for one outcome (e.g., laboratory-based tests) and minimal for another (e.g., medical record asthma diagnosis).

There are several important things to note about the NOS risk of bias ratings.

- **Item 1. Representativeness of the exposed cohort.** The top rating states that the exposed group was “truly representative” of the community of interest. It is often unclear what the parameters of the “community” are and to whom the investigators were hoping to draw inferences. We reserved the top rating “truly representative” for studies including everyone in a well-defined population, or large samples from multiple well-defined populations.

- **Item 3. Selection of Controls:** RSV-LRTI is almost invariably determined using molecular methods or hospital records based on molecular methods. We will rate molecular determination as “Secure record.”

- **Item 4. Definition of Controls:** This item asks whether the outcome of interest was absent at the study outset. Generally, among RSV-LRTI exposure studies, only longitudinal birth cohort studies monitoring infants closely from birth could determine with high confidence whether participants had some form of wheezing illness prior to the study outset. In studies measuring wheezing illness outcome as the occurrence of wheezing-related medical events, we cannot be certain that infants did not have wheezing illness symptoms that did not elicit medical attention prior to study outset. For the purposes of this study, we will

\textsuperscript{1} The NOS scale is available at: \url{http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp}. The CRBT is available at: \url{https://sites.google.com/site/riskofbiastool/welcome/rob-2-0-tool?authuser=0}. 
code “yes” for this item if the participants had no evidence of the outcome of interest (e.g., medically-attended wheeze) prior to the study onset, with the understanding that we cannot know with certainty whether illness manifestations were present before the study period.

2.6. Data structure

Most studies in this review provided multiple, non-independent effect size estimates. Many studies reported multiple exposure-comparator group comparisons, either with multiple RSV-LRTI exposure groups compared to the same comparator group, or multiple comparator groups compared to the same RSV-LRTI group. Others reported effect sizes for multiple correlated outcomes (e.g., physician-diagnosed asthma and parent-reported wheeze), often across repeated measurement occasions. To make optimal use of the available data and avoid effect size selection bias, we included all relevant estimates that provided at least some unique information in our primary analyses. If a study provided effect sizes estimating the association between RSV-LRTI and wheezing illness outcomes in the full population and effect sizes for specific subgroups within that population, we included only the former in our primary analyses because the latter provided no unique information.

2.7. General analytic approach

2.7.1. Meta-analytic assumptions and modeling technique

Studies contributing to this review draw participants from heterogeneous populations and used varied research methodology. Consequently, a fixed-effects meta-analysis, which assumes that all contributing studies estimate a common true population effect size ($\beta_0$), is untenable. Rather, we will use a random-effects modeling framework, assuming that each study estimates a true effect sizes for a unique population ($\beta_0^j$), with each $\beta_0^j$ conceptualized as being drawn from a theoretical distribution of true population effect sizes. Each $\beta_0^j$ deviates by a certain amount ($u^j$) from an overall mean population effect size ($\gamma_{00}$). Random-effects models include two variance parameters that sum to the total variance: the variance of the within-study random sampling errors, $e^{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, and between-study deviations around $\gamma_{00}$, $u^j \sim N(0, \tau^2)$.

To accommodate our data’s complex correlation structure arising from within-study dependencies, we will use robust variance estimation (RVE) meta-regressions using the robumeta package version 2.0 in R version 3.5.0. The RVE approach adjusts coefficient standard errors in the presence of dependent estimates to provide confidence intervals with good coverage probabilities. Traditional meta-analysis assumes that all effect sizes estimates are independent, i.e., all off-diagonal elements of the effect size correlation matrix ($\Sigma$) are equal to 0.
When studies provide multiple dependent estimates, each study requires its own effect size correlation matrix ($\Sigma^j$) in which the covariance elements are not constrained to 0. Multilevel meta-analysis, an alternative approach for dependent correlation structures, requires that all elements of the $\Sigma^j$ are treated as known and within-study dependencies are modeled explicitly.\textsuperscript{45,46} However, studies rarely report sufficient information (e.g., correlations among dependent variables) to provide sound estimates of within-study covariances.\textsuperscript{45,46} A major advantage of the RVE approach is that it does not require knowledge of the within-study correlation structure to yield accurate standard errors. It uses the cross-products of residuals for a given study $j$ ($e^j e^{j'}$) to approximate the unknown covariance elements of $\Sigma^j$.\textsuperscript{42} Simulations studies have shown that the RVE approach yields both unbiased model parameter estimates and confidence interval coverage probabilities close to their nominal levels,\textsuperscript{42} particularly when used in conjunction with small-sample-size adjustments.\textsuperscript{46,47}

The RVE approach focuses on making accurate inferences for fixed-effects covariates and not on quantifying the degree of heterogeneity in the populations of effect sizes.\textsuperscript{45} Thus, our analyses will focus on fixed-effects estimates and not on variance parameters. However, an estimate of the between-study variability ($\hat{\tau}^2$) is needed in order to calculate approximate inverse variance weights, which are more efficient than other weighting strategies.\textsuperscript{42} As recommended by the RVE developers,\textsuperscript{42,43} we will use a simple working model of the within-study effect size correlation structure in which a common correlation ($\rho$) is applied to all off-diagonal elements of $\Sigma^j$.\textsuperscript{47} We will set $\rho = 0.80$ in our primary models, reflecting the assumption that effect sizes from the same study are highly correlated, though the value of $\rho$ generally has little impact on parameter and imprecision estimates.\textsuperscript{42,47} In sensitivity analyses, we will evaluate whether selecting alternative values of $\rho$ alters conclusions in any meaningful way (see section 2.7.5). Once we have an estimate of $\hat{\tau}^2$, we will then calculate approximate inverse variance weights for each effect size estimate $i$ within study $j$ using the formula provided by Hedges et al\textsuperscript{42}:

$$w_{ij} = \frac{1}{k^j (v^{j} + \hat{\tau}^2)},$$

(5)

where $v^j$ is the mean of the within-study variance for all of the $k^j$ effect sizes in study $j$, and $\hat{\tau}^2$ is the estimate of between-study variability. As recommended by Tipton (2015) to avoid inflated Type I error rates, we will apply small-sample-size adjustments to the sampling variances of model regression coefficients, regardless of the number of observations contributing to the models, and use the Satterthwaite approximation for calculating degrees of freedom ($df$).\textsuperscript{47}
2.7.2. Model-building approach

A primary challenge in this review is statistically combining estimates from heterogeneous studies to obtain meaningful aggregate estimates. This is particularly challenging for RSV+ exposure studies and non-randomized RSV immunoprophylaxis studies because we use—whenever possible—model-based effect size estimates that limit potential confounders rather than naïve estimates. Studies rarely use identical models to obtain these estimates and, consequently, they do not have precisely the same meaning. However, we conceptualize all estimates as imperfect approximations of the effect of RSV-LRTI on wheezing illness, with some estimates being more biased than others. We attempt to account for heterogeneity in effect size estimates in two ways: (a) dividing estimates into more homogeneous clusters for analyses, and (b) including model covariates to account for major potential sources of heterogeneity.

In terms of analyzing more homogenous subgroups, we will run separate meta-regression models for RSV+ Exposure studies and RSV immunoprophylaxis studies. Both study types are relevant when evaluating the plausibility of a causal effect of RSV-LRTI on subsequent wheezing illness, but they differ considerably in terms of their primary research questions, methods, and threats to causal inference. For example, confounding by indication is uniquely relevant to the non-randomized prophylaxis trials. Therefore, a meta-regression model for prophylaxis studies likely requires a different functional form than observational studies of RSV-LRTI exposure. Finally, among the RSV-LRTI exposure studies, we will run several in pre-specified subgroup analyses (described in section 2.7.5), evaluating the effects of RSV-LRTI among specific sub-populations.

We also attempt to account for heterogeneity in effect size estimates by including covariates (i.e., effect size moderators) in our meta-regression models. Covariates for meta-regression analyses were selected a priori based on substantive considerations. First and foremost, we selected covariates that address our primary study hypotheses. For example, in the RSV-LRTI exposure regression model, we include covariates coding whether or not any effort was made to limit the potential confounding influence of genetic predisposition, neonatal health markers, and co-infections. Additionally, we include covariates representing important study design features that could plausibly account for effect size heterogeneity, improving precision and interpretability, but whose inclusion is not driven by a primary hypothesis. Some model covariates ($x^j$) measure characteristics that vary both within and between studies (e.g., age at outcome ascertainment), while others ($w^j$) measured study-level characteristics that are constant within-studies (e.g., risk- vs. non-risk-based enrollment strategy).
In accordance with recommendations by Tipton, regression coefficient estimates with Satterthwaite $df < 4$ will be considered unreliable, as confidence intervals for these estimates tend to be overly liberal. In these situations, we will seek to identify potential causes that were not captured in preliminary data screening (including high leverage data points, unbalanced covariates, and sparse data cells) and, when indicated, rerun the model after applying corrective procedures (e.g., aggregating cells of a categorical covariate). Additionally, we will run sensitivity analyses for all models, as described in section 2.7.5.

2.7.3. Primary meta-regression models

2.7.3.1. Model 1: RSV-LRTI exposure studies. The purpose of this model is to test the hypotheses that, among RSV-LRTI exposure studies, effect sizes will be smaller when minimizing the influence of genetic predisposition, neonatal health proxies, and co-infections. A secondary hypothesis is that effect sizes estimates will be largest among outcomes measured during the preschool years, in close proximity to the RSV-LRTI exposure, relative to outcomes measured later in life. Thus, we will include the categorical covariate representing age-at-outcome. We will also adjust for covariates coding study design features that could plausibly account for effect size heterogeneity: risk- vs. non-risk-based enrollment strategy and exposure ascertainment windows (limited to the first 12 months of life or not). We expect that effect size estimate would be larger among studies using a risk-based enrollment strategy and whose exposure ascertainment was limited to the first year of life, though these are not primary hypotheses. The model includes two interactions, allowing both the effect of age at outcome ascertainment and the exposure ascertainment window to differ across medical event and viral surveillance studies.

The model has the following form:

$$y_{ij} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{10}x_{1ij} + \gamma_{20}x_{2ij} + \gamma_{30}x_{3ij} + \gamma_{40}x_{4ij} + \gamma_{50}x_{5ij} + \gamma_{60}w_1^j + \gamma_{70}w_2^j + \gamma_{80}(x_{1ij} \times x_{2ij}) + \gamma_{11}(x_{1ij} \times w_2^j) + e_{ij} + u^j$$

(6)

where $y_{ij} = \log_e(OR)$ for effect size estimate $i$ within study $j$; $\gamma_{00} =$ conditional mean of the distribution of population effect sizes; $\gamma_{10} \ldots \gamma_{80} =$ regression weights for covariates ($x_{ij}$) whose values vary within studies; $\gamma_{60}, \gamma_{70} =$ regression weights for covariates ($w_1, w_2$) whose values are constant within studies; $\gamma_{11} =$ regression weight for a cross-level interaction; $e_{ij} =$ within-study sampling error; $u^j =$ between-study deviations from the population average effect size. The model covariates are defined as follows:
• $x_{1i}^{ij} = study design$: 0=exposure group membership determined by RSV-LRTI medical event; 1= exposure group membership determined by viral surveillance

• $x_{2i}^{ij} = age at outcome ascertainment$: 0=preschool years (mean/median age < 5); 1=primary school years (mean/median age ≥ 5); 2=adolescence/adulthood (mean/median age ≥ 13)

• $x_{3i}^{ij} = genetic confounding$: 0=no attempt to reduce potential genetic confounding; 1=confounding reduced at least somewhat

• $x_{4i}^{ij} = co-infection confounding$: 0=no attempt to reduce potential confounding due to co-infections at time of RSV-LRTI ascertainment; 1= confounding reduced at least somewhat

• $x_{5i}^{ij} = neonatal health confounding$: 0=no attempt to reduce potential confounding due to infant neonatal health; 1= confounding reduced at least somewhat

• $w_{1j} = participant risk$: 0=study included children regardless of risk status; 1=study included only children at risk for wheezing illness beyond having an RSV-LRTI;

• $w_{2j} = exposure ascertainment period$: 0=study exposure ascertainment extends beyond 12 months of age; 1= exposure ascertainment entirely within the first 12 months of life.

2.7.3.2. Model 2: RSV immunoprophylaxis studies. In this model, we test the hypothesis that those receiving RSV immunoprophylaxis—and who presumably have lower risk/severity of RSV-LRTI—will have lower odds of subsequent wheezing illness. As there are relatively few RSV immunoprophylaxis studies, the model will be simpler than the model for RSV-LRTI exposure studies by necessity. The model takes the following form:

$$ y_{ij} = \gamma_0 + \gamma_{1} w_{1j} + e_{ij} + u_{ij}, $$

where $w_{1j}$ codes whether the study was an RCT or non-randomized prophylaxis study and the other parameters are as defined in equation (6). Of particular interest in RSV immunoprophylaxis studies is the extent to which estimates were based on models limiting confounding by indication.

2.7.4. Subgroup analyses

In addition to our primary analyses, we will run several subgroup analyses evaluating effect size estimates in among subpopulations that share key characteristics. First, we will conduct a meta-regression including only effect size estimates for asthma, determined as part of the study protocol or through medical records, measured at age ≥ 6 years. This analysis will help us determine whether effect of RSV-LRTI on wheezing illness are driven
primarily by transient wheezing outcomes. Second, some airway scholars have suggested that the effect of early life viral infections on wheezing illness may be limited to—or more pronounced among—individuals with a genetic diathesis.\(^49\) Therefore, we will run analyses among the subgroup of estimates that were derived from samples with and without a genetic vulnerability for wheezing illness. This is typically determined by asking whether the child had a family history of asthma or atopy. Finally, there is some evidence that the effect of infant viral infection is moderated by whether the child has atopic sensitization,\(^50\) though the evidence for this is stronger for human rhinovirus than RSV.\(^51\) We will conduct a meta-regression among the subpopulation with established atopic sensitization by age 2 evaluating the effect of RSV-LRTI on wheezing illness after ascertainment of atopic sensitization.

2.7.5. Sensitivity analyses

We will conduct several forms of sensitivity analyses. First, we will evaluate whether results are sensitive to the value of our within-study common correlation value (\(\rho = 0.80\)) used to approximate inverse variance weights, as described in section 2.7.1. We will rerun each model five times, substituting \(\rho\) with values ranging from 0.00 to 1.00 at intervals of 0.20, evaluating whether parameter and standard error estimates change meaningfully. Second, in order to evaluate the influence of individual studies on the overall results, we will rerun each model while iteratively removing one study (and all of its effect sizes) at a time. Finally, we will rerun models removing all naïve effect size estimates (where no attempt was made to minimize confounding) and all adjusted estimates that controlled for potential mediators at the effect of RSV-LRTI on wheezing illness. The former estimates are expected to be upwardly biased whereas the latter are expected to be downwardly biased.

3.0 DISCUSSION

3.1. Limitations

The results of this synthesis should be interpreted with full awareness of several important limitations. First, an inherent limitation of meta-analysis using summary statistics reported in manuscripts is that we work with incomplete data. Participant-level data contains important information (e.g., covariance among outcomes) that is typically unavailable to the meta-analyst. Second, owing to insufficient translation resources, we limited our review to the English language literature, which may limit the generalizability of our findings. Third, we rely on peer-reviewed publications for our data analysis. It may be that studies finding a statistically significant association between RSV-LRTI and wheezing illness outcomes are more likely to be published, resulting in an upward bias in
our effect estimates, though this is not invariably the case. However, our sensitivity analyses will help gauge how likely it is that unpublished scholarship might have altered our conclusions. We considered including unpublished abstracts in the review, but these records rarely had sufficient information to determine with confidence whether they met the inclusion criteria or to be included in meta-analytic models. Additionally, many described in-progress research which had not undergone thorough peer-review, potentially introducing other sources of bias. Finally, our review only includes human-subjects studies; evidence from animal model research can help establish a biological plausibility and inform potential causal pathways that can be disconfirmed in human subjects research.

3.2. Strengths

This review also has several notable strengths. First, it will be, to our knowledge, the most comprehensive analytic evaluation of the association between RSV-LRTI and wheezing illness, including both observational studies and immunoprophylaxis RCTs. Second, we approach the review with the aim of testing specific non-causal hypotheses which could undermine the argument that the association is causal. Furthermore, we delineate the assumptions required to make causal inferences for various study designs and make recommendations for how future research can explicitly test and potentially disconfirm causal implications from their hypothesized models. Third, our methods were reviewed in advance by a panel of scholars with unique expertise in areas relevant to this review. Fourth, we used modeling strategies that allow for the retention of multiple correlated effect sizes from single studies while providing confidence intervals that closely approximate their nominal levels. This likely reduces the risk of biased effect size estimate selection and loss of information. Finally, we provide all data and statistical code as supplements for use by other scholars.

4.0. MAJOR POST-HOC PROTOCOL REVISIONS

A challenge in developing a meta-analytic protocol is that we cannot know whether all of our planned methods and analyses are feasible until we have abstracted the data. There may be insufficient data, for instance, to support a planned analysis. Here we summarize major protocol revisions that took place after we began data abstraction and our rationale for making them.

4.1 Combining all wheezing illness outcomes

Originally, we planned to conduct analyses evaluating the effects of RSV-LRTI on asthma separately from other wheezing illnesses. However, owing to the fact that definitions of asthma were inconsistent across studies we
combined all wheezing illnesses into a single outcome. However, we conducted a secondary analysis limited to outcomes described as “asthma” by study authors and measured at age ≥ 6 years old when it becomes easier to distinguish between transient and chronic wheezing illness.

4.2 Excluding comparisons with non-RSV-LRTI

Our original protocol did not exclude estimates comparing RSV-LRTI to non-RSV-LRTI. However, these comparisons do not have clear implications for whether RSV-LRTI is a cause of subsequent wheezing illness and were consequently excluded. The primary question of interest in terms of estimating causality is whether children would be at greater risk for subsequent wheezing illness if they had an early life RSV-LRTI compared to the counterfactual in which they did not have an early life RSV-LRTI. The comparison of RSV-LRTI to non-RSV-LRTI addresses a different question: whether there is a relative difference in the effects of RSV-LRTI compared to an LRTI with a different etiology. If RSV-LRTI were causal, this would not imply that the mean effect size comparing RSV-LRTI to non-RSV-LRTI should be positive (RSV-LRTI has stronger association with wheezing illness), null, or negative (non-RSV-LRTI has a stronger association). All of these outcomes are plausible regardless of whether RSV is causal or not. In sum, there are no clear causal implications from this comparison. A recent meta-analysis found that the association between RSV-LRTI and subsequent wheezing illness is less strong than the association between non-RSV-LRTI and wheezing illness, but this does not help us determine whether the association between RSV-LRTI and subsequent wheezing illness is causal.

4.3 Changing the primary analytic approach

We originally planned to conduct random-effects meta-analyses using the Hartung-Knapp approach. However, it became clear as we reviewed articles that many studies measured multiple relevant wheezing illness outcomes, had multiple relevant exposure and/or comparator groups, and/or measured outcomes repeatedly over time. In order to make full use of the available data rather than selecting specific outcomes or averaging them, we needed an approach that could accommodate complex correlation structures among effect size estimates. Therefore, we switched to the Robust Variance Estimation (RVE) method, which can accommodate studies that provided multiple correlated outcomes even when the within-study correlations are unknown.

4.4 Dropping secondary analyses

We originally proposed a secondary analysis evaluating the potential modifying influence of early atopic sensitization on the effect of RSV-LRTI on subsequent wheezing illness. We planned to stratify our primary
analyses looking specifically within subgroups of children with and without confirmed early life (by age 2) atopic sensitization. However, too few studies provided relevant data to support these analyses. Additionally, we planned to evaluate the subgroup of studies conducted within low- and middle-income countries; however, all but two studies were conducted in high-income countries, precluding meaningful subgroup analyses. **4.5. Flexible washout period**

Our initial protocol required that there be a 30-day washout period between the RSV-LRTI exposure and measurement of the wheezing illness outcome. For many effect estimates, it was not possible to determine with certainty that all measured wheezing illnesses captured in the outcome ascertainment occurred after the washout period. For example, some studies assessed at follow-up assessment whether the child had ever had any wheezing episodes in the time since the index RSV-LRTI. We chose to include these studies because we expect that only a small minority of ascertained episodes occurred within the 30-day washout period and we did not want to lose relevant information. However, we excluded studies in which most or all of the outcome ascertainment period occurred during the washout period: e.g., studies only measuring wheezing illnesses in the month following the index episode. Additionally, we conducted a sensitivity analysis including only studies in which it was clear that all illness episodes occurred outside of the washout period.

**4.6. Aggregating over levels of effect modifiers**

As described in section 2.5.2, we coded age-at-outcome as a categorical effect modifier with four levels: Preschool age (median/mean age 0-4 years old); School age (5-12); Adolescence (13-18); and Adulthood (19+). As there were relatively few estimates from the adolescent and adulthood years, we combined these categories in our analyses to avoid sparse cells.

Similarly, owing to sparse cells, effect modifiers coding the extent to which effect size estimates were based on analyses limiting confounders were treated as binary in study analyses. These effect modifiers originally had three levels: *not at all*, *somewhat*, and *mostly/completely*. We aggregated over the *somewhat* and *mostly/completely* levels to create binary variables.

**4.7. Mean effect size estimate for immunoprophylaxis studies without naïve estimates**

For two of the studies contributing to the RSV immunoprophylaxis analyses, there was no explicit effort to adjust for potential group differences in preexisting health factors. Consequently, we reran our primary RSV immunoprophylaxis model with estimates from these two studies excluded. Thus, in addition to our primary
analysis including all RSV immunoprophylaxis studies, we ran a secondary analysis including only studies making explicit attempts to reduce confounding by indication.

4.8. Excluding conference abstracts

We initially intended to include conference abstracts from 2016-2018 in the review. However, when reviewing conference abstracts identified in our literature search, it became clear that many did not provide sufficient information to determine with adequate conference whether the study satisfied the inclusion criteria. Additionally, many described in-progress research which had not undergone thorough peer-review, potentially introducing other sources of bias. Consequently, conference abstracts were excluded from the review.
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APPENDIX E2: LITERATURE SEARCH CRITERIA

2018 Aug 14 search

Ovid Multifile

Database: Embase Classic+Embase <1947 to 2018 August 13>, Ovid MEDLINE(R) ALL <1946 to August 13, 2018>

Search Strategy:

1. Respiratory Syncytial Viruses/ (5714)
2. Respiratory Syncytial Virus, Human/ (4477)
3. Respiratory Syncytial Virus Infections/ (7371)
4. (respiratory sync#tial virus* or respiratory sync#tial virus* or sync#tial respiratory virus*).tw,kf. (26560)
5. (respiratory sync#tial pneumovirus* or respiratory sync#tial pneumovirus* or sync#tial respiratory pneumovirus*).tw,kf. (0)
6. (HRSV or RSV).tw,kf. (24996)
7. RS virus*.tw,kf. (1151)
8. sync#tial virus*.tw,kf. (26730)
9. Respiratory Syncytial Virus Vaccines/ (1728)
10. anti-RSV.tw,kf. (681)
11. motavizumab.tw,kf. (108)
12. ("medi 524" or medi524 or numax).tw,kf. (104)
13. Palivizumab/ (3129)
14. (palivizumab or abboosynagis or "MEDI 493" or MEDI493 or synagis or synagys).tw,kf. (2599)
15. or/1-14 [RSV EXPOSURE] (39482)
16. Respiratory Tract Infections/ (54746)
17. Respiratory Tract Diseases/ (44909)
18. (airway? adj2 infect*).tw,kf. (5871)
19. (respiratory tract? adj2 infect*).tw,kf. (49274)
20. (LRTI or LRTIs).tw,kf. (2792)
21. (LRI or LRIs).tw,kf. (1092)
22. (respiratory adj (illness* or infect*)).tw,kf. (60222)
23. Bronchiolitis/ (15148)
24. bronchiolitis.tw,kf. (24848)
25. ((airflow* or air flow* or lung function*) adj2 deficit?).tw,kf. (263)
26. or/16-25 [RESPIRATORY INFECTIONS - GENERAL] (204433)
27. 15 or 26 [RSV, RESPIRATORY INFECTIONS] (229386)
28. exp Infant/ (2114208)
29. exp Child/ (4453138)
30. (infant or infants or infaic* or baby or babies or boy or boys or child* or girl or girls or preschool* or pre-school* or toddler*).tw,kf. (3868206)
31. Pediatrics/ (122883)
32. (pediatric* or paediatric*).tw,kf. (761389)
33. (newborn* or neonat* or premie?).tw,kf. (829930)
34. (very low birth weight* or VLBW or VLBWs or "small for gestational age" or "small for gestational ages" or SGA or SGAs).tw,kf. (43942)
35. or/28-34 [INFANT/CHILD POPULATION] (6441847)
36. 27 and 35 (88317)
37. exp Asthma/ (361999)
38. asthma.tw,kf. (354212)
39. (bronchospasm* or broncho-spasm* or bronchial spasm*).tw,kf. (13419)
40. Respiratory Sounds/ (11407)
41. wheez*.tw,kf. (32226)
42. Bronchial Hyperreactivity/ (17886)

Search #1 (PubMed) Based on original PRESS search parameters; K=2,008

Search #2 (PubMed) Added general pulmonary search terms based on team feedback; K=2,579

Search #3 (MEDLINE) Added terms to capture test set articles known to be relevant from reviews; K=8,862

Search #4 (MEDLINE & EMBASE) Conducted a multi-database search based on Search #3: K=16,697

Search #5 (MEDLINE & EMBASE) Remove adolescent terms; limit conf. abstracts 2016 onward; K=13,264

Search #6 (MEDLINE & EMBASE) Add terms related to pneumonia (K=5,593); K=19,457

Search #7 (MEDLINE & EMBASE) Isolate records relevant to low and middle income countries; K=1,276

Search #8 (MEDLINE & EMBASE) Final search removing pneumonia criteria from Search #6: K=14,937 (14,235 articles & 702 conference abstracts)
(respirat* or airway or airways or lung or lungs or bronchi* or broncho* or bronchu*) adj3 (sensitivit* or hypersensitivit* or hyper-sensitivit* or hyperreactiv* or hyper-reactiv*).tw,kf. (18975)
exp Hypersensitivity/ (922059)
(allergy or allergies or allergic*).tw,kf. (384435)
Lung Injury/ (38071)
(lung or lungs) adj3 injur*.tw,kf. (65480)
((airway or airways or lung or lungs or respirat*) adj5 (patholog* or histopatholog* or histo-patholog*)).tw,kf. (40161)
exp Respiratory System/pa [Pathology] (96416)
or/37-50 [ASTHMA/WHEEZE/LRTIs] (1282966)
36 and 51 [RSV - INFANT/CHILD - ASTHMA/WHEEZE/LRTIs] (20019)
exp Animals/ not (exp Animals/ and Humans/) (16453732)
52 not 53 [ANIMAL-ONLY REMOVED] (15306)
(comment or editorial or news or newspaper article).pt. (1788984)
(letter not (letter and randomized controlled trial)).pt. (1968033)
54 not (55 or 56) [OPINION PIECES REMOVED] (14918)
57 use medall [MEDLINE RECORDS] (8162)
pneumovirus/ (203)
exp human respiratory syncytial virus/ (4565)
respiratory syncytial virus infection/ (9583)
(respiratorysync#tial virus* or respiratory sync#tial virus* or sync#tial respiratory virus*).tw,kw. (26841)
(RSV or RSV).tw,kw. (25147)
RS virus*.tw,kw. (1162)
sync#tial virus*.tw,kw. (26875)
(respiratory syncytial virus vaccine/ (1728)
anti-RSV.tw,kw. (682)
motavizumab/ (221)
motavizumab.tw,kw. (110)
("medi 524" or medi524 or numax).tw,kw. (104)
palivizumab/ (3129)
(palivizumab or abbosynagis or "MEDI 493" or MEDI493 or synagis or synagys).tw,kw. (2639)
or/59-73 [RSV EXPOSURE] (39459)
(respiratory tract infection/ (92367)
lower respiratory tract infection/ (9629)
(respiratory tract disease/ (76306)
(airway? adj2 infect*).tw,kw. (5927)
(respiratory tract? adj2 infect*).tw,kw. (48661)
(LRTI or LRTIs).tw,kw. (2804)
(LRI or LRIs).tw,kw. (1098)
(respiratory adj (illness* or infect*)).tw,kw. (60504)
exp bronchiolitis/ (27087)
bronchiolitis.tw,kw. (25381)
((airflow* or air flow* or lung function*) adj2 deficit?).tw,kw. (263)
or/75-85 [RESPIRATORY INFECTIONS - GENERAL] (258656)
74 or 86 [RSV, RESPIRATORY INFECTIONS] (282469)
exp child/ (4453138)
(infant or infants or infanc* or baby or babies or boy or boys or child* or girl or girls or preschool* or preschool* or toddler*).tw,kw. (3862285)
exp Pediatrics/ (156577)
(pediatric* or paediatric*).tw,kw. (780424)
(newborn* or neonat* or premie?).tw,kw. (825466)
(very low birth weight* or VLBW or VLBWs or "small for gestational age" or "small for gestational ages" or SGA or SGAs).tw,kw. (44580)
or/88-93 [INFANT/CHILD POPULATION] (6283150)
87 and 94 (100602)
exp asthma/ (361999)
asthma*.tw,kw. (358521)
(bronchospasm* or broncho-spasm* or bronchial spasm*).tw,kw. (13582)
abnormal respiratory sound/ (6827)
wheeze/ (30841)
wheeze*.tw,kw. (32457)
bronchus hyperreactivity/ (11950)
respiratory tract allergy/ (9771)
((respirat* or airway or airways or lung or lungs or bronchi* or broncho* or bronchu*) adj3 (sensitivit* or hypersensitivit* or hyper-sensitivit* or hyperreactiv* or hyper-reactiv*)).tw,kw. (19372)
hypersensitivity/ (88422)
(allergy or allergies or allergic*).tw,kw. (389619)
lung injury/ (38071)
((lung or lungs) adj3 injur*).tw,kw. (66733)
((airway or airways or lung or lungs or respirat*) adj5 (patholog* or histopatholog* or histo-patholog*)).tw,kw. (40046)
or/96-109 [ASTHMA/WHEEZE/LRTIs] (911092)
95 and 110 [RSV-INFANT/CHILD-ASTHMA/WHEEZE/LRTIs] (21511)
exp animal/ or exp animal experimentation/ or exp animal model/ or exp animal experiment/ or nonhuman/ or exp vertebrate/ (47551256)
exp human/ or exp human experimentation/ or exp human experiment/ (36484992)
112 not 113 (11067938)
111 not 114 [ANIMAL-ONLY REMOVED] (20900)
editorial.pt. (1004022)
letter.pt. not (letter.pt. and randomized controlled trial/) (1963354)
115 not (116 or 117) [OPINION PIECES REMOVED] (20379)
conference abstract.pt. (3109222)
118 not 119 [CONFERENCE ABSTRACTS REMOVED] (17757)
120 use emczd [EMBASE RECORDS] (10530)
58 or 121 [BOTH DATABASES] (18692)
limit 122 to yr="2012-current" (5224)
remove duplicates from 123 (3796)
limit 122 to yr="2004-2011" (5648)
remove duplicates from 125 (3923)
limit 122 to yr="1990-2003" (4986)
remove duplicates from 127 (3470)
122 not (123 or 125 or 127) (2834)
remove duplicates from 129 (2075)
124 or 126 or 128 or 130 [TOTAL UNIQUE RECORDS] (13264)
131 use medall [MEDLINE UNIQUE RECORDS] (8097)
131 use emczd [EMBASE UNIQUE RECORDS] (5167)

Conference Abstracts – 2016-2018 (Embase only)

Database: Embase Classic+Embase <1947 to 2018 August 13>

Search Strategy:

pneumovirus/ (54)
exp human respiratory syncytial virus/ (2454)
respiratory syncytial virus infection/ (3447)
(respiratorysync#tial virus* or respiratory sync#tial virus* or sync#tial respiratory virus*).tw,kw. (14611)
(respiratorysync#tial pneumovirus* or respiratory sync#tial pneumovirus* or sync#tial respiratory pneumovirus*).tw,kw. (0)
(HRSV or RSV).tw,kw. (14190)
RS virus*.tw,kw. (722)
sync#tial virus*.tw,kw. (14694)
respiratory syncytial virus vaccine/ (1188)
anti-RSV.tw,kw. (368)
motavizumab/ (221)
motavizumab.tw,kw. (61)
("medi 524" or medi524 or numax).tw,kw. (85)
palivizumab/ (2478)
palivizumab or abbo...syn and "MEDI 493" or MED493 or...yn's).tw,kw. (1782)
or/1-15 [RSV EXPOSURE] (22629)
respiratory tract infection/ (56347)
lower respiratory tract infection/ (9629)
respiratory tract disease/ (55152)
(respiratory tract? adj2 infect*).tw,kw. (29165)
(LRTI or LRTIs).tw,kw. (1656)
(LRI or LRIs).tw,kw. (622)
(respiratory adj (illness* or infect*).tw,kw. (35978)
exp bronchiolitis/ (19206)
bronchiolitis.tw,kw. (15304)
((airflow* or air flow* or lung function*) adj2 deficit?).tw,kw. (155)
or/17-27 [RESPIRATORY INFECTIONS - GENERAL] (164697)
16 or 28 [RSV, RESPIRATORY INFECTIONS] (178113)
exp child/ (2669695)
(infant or infants or infanc* or baby or babies or boy or boys or child* or girl or girls or preschool* or pre-school* or toddler*).tw,kw. (2184318)
exp Pediatrics/ (102884)
(pediatric* or paediatric*).tw,kw. (467555)
(newborn* or neonat* or premie?).tw,kw. (469365)
(very low birth weight* or VLBW or VLBWs or "small for gestational age" or "small for gestational ages" or SGA or SGAs).tw,kw. (25541)
exp child/ (2669695)
(infant or infants or infanc* or baby or babies or boy or boys or child* or girl or girls or preschool* or pre-school* or toddler*).tw,kw. (2184318)
exp Pediatrics/ (102884)
(pediatric* or paediatric*).tw,kw. (467555)
(newborn* or neonat* or premie?).tw,kw. (469365)
(very low birth weight* or VLBW or VLBWs or "small for gestational age" or "small for gestational ages" or SGA or SGAs).tw,kw. (25541)
exp child/ (2669695)
57  53 not 56 [ANIMAL-ONLY REMOVED] (13514)
58  editorial.pt. (538292)
59  letter.pt. not (letter.pt. and randomized controlled trial/) (971114)
60  57 not (58 or 59) [OPINION PIECES REMOVED] (13152)
61  conference abstract.pt. (3109222)
62  60 and 61 [CONFERENCE ABSTRACTS] (2622)
63  limit 62 to yr="2016-current" (600)
2018 Aug 28 search focused on identifying studies from low- and middle-income countries and measuring pneumonia

MEDLINE

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations and Daily <1946 to August 27, 2018>
Search Strategy:

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1 Respiratory Syncytial Viruses/ (5669)
2 Respiratory Syncytial Virus, Human/ (2118)
3 Respiratory Syncytial Virus Infections/ (6143)
4 (respiratorysync#tial virus* or respiratory sync#tial virus* or sync#tial respiratory virus*).tw,kf. (12269)
5 (respiratorysync#tial pneumovirus* or respiratory sync#tial pneumovirus* or sync#tial respiratory pneumovirus*).tw,kf. (0)
6 (HRSV or RSV).tw,kf. (11014)
7 RS virus*.tw,kf. (440)
8 sync#tial virus*.tw,kf. (12356)
9 Respiratory Syncytial Virus Vaccines/ (541)
10 anti-RSV.tw,kf. (315)
11 motavizumab.tw,kf. (49)
12 (*"medi 524" or medi524 or numax).tw,kf. (19)
13 Palivizumab/ (652)
14 (palivizumab or abbo synagis or "MEDI 493" or MEDI493 or synagis or synagys).tw,kf. (860)
15 or/1-14 [RSV EXPOSURE] (17489)
16 Respiratory Tract Infections/ (36059)
17 Respiratory Tract Diseases/ (21173)
18 (airway? adj2 infect*).tw,kf. (2315)
19 (respiratory tract? adj2 infect*).tw,kf. (21318)
20 (LRTI or LRTIs).tw,kf. (1153)
21 (LRI or LRIs).tw,kf. (482)
22 (respiratory adj (illness* or infect*)).tw,kf. (25314)
23 Bronchiolitis/ (3006)
24 bronchiolitis.tw,kf. (10191)
25 ((airflow* or air flow* or lung function*) adj2 deficit?).tw,kf. (108)
26 or/16-25 [RESPIRATORY INFECTIONS - GENERAL] (93674)
27 15 or 26 [RSV, RESPIRATORY INFECTIONS] (104425)
28 exp Infant/ (1073142)
29 exp Child/ (1785279)
30 (infant or infants or infancy* or baby or babies or boy or boys or child* or girl or girls or preschool* or pre-
school* or toddler*).tw,kf. (1707884)
31 Pediatrics/ (49811)
32 (pediatric* or paediatric*).tw,kf. (314596)
33 (newborn* or neonat* or premie?).tw,kf. (368538)
34 (very low birth weight* or VLBW or VLBWs or "small for gestational age" or "small for gestational ages" or
SGA or SGAs).tw,kf. (19134)
35 or/28-34 [INFANT/CHILD POPULATION] (2979066)
36 27 and 35 (41327)
37 exp Asthma/ (120295)
38 asthma*.tw,kf. (146695)
39 (bronchospasm* or broncho-spasm* or bronchial spasm*).tw,kf. (5158)
40 Respiratory Sounds/ (8272)
41 wheez*.tw,kf. (12596)
42 Bronchial Hyperreactivity/ (7210)
43 Respiratory Hypersensitivity/ (9287)
Uzbek or Vanuatu or New Hebrides or Venezuela or Vietnam or Viet Nam or West Bank or "Western Sahara" or "Western Saharan" or Yemen or Yugoslavia or Zambia or Zimbabwe or Rhodesia).hw,kf,ti,ab,cp. (3379588)
69  ((developing or less* developed or under developed or underdeveloped or middle income or low* income or underserved or under served or deprived or poor*) adj (countr* or nation? or population? or world)).tw,kf. (114113)
70  ((developing or less* developed or under developed or underdeveloped or middle income or low* income) adj (economy or economies)).tw,kf. (443)
71  (low* adj (gdp or gnp or gross domestic or gross national)).tw,kf. (216)
72  (low adj3 middle adj3 countr*).tw,kf. (11445)
73  (lmic or lmcics or third world or lami countr*).tw,kf. (5868)
74  transitional countr*.tw,kf. (145)
75  or/66-74 [LMICS] (3684327)
76  65 and 75 [UNIQUE PNEUMONIA - LMICS] (673)

Embase

Database: Embase <1974 to 2018 August 27>
Search Strategy:
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1     pneumovirus/ (63)
2     exp human respiratory syncytial virus/ (3056)
3     respiratory syncytial virus infection/ (3982)
4     (respiratory sync#tial virus* or respiratory sync#tial virus* or sync#tial respiratory virus*).tw,kw. (14761)
5     (respiratory sync#tial pneumovirus* or respiratory sync#tial pneumovirus* or sync#tial respiratory pneumovirus*).tw,kw. (0)
6     (HRSV or RSV).tw,kw. (14309)
7     RS virus*.tw,kw. (478)
8     sync#tial virus*.tw,kw. (14814)
9     respiratory syncytial virus vaccine/ (1262)
10    anti-RSV.tw,kw. (382)
11    motavizumab/ (238)
12    motavizumab.tw,kw. (63)
13    ("medi 524" or medi524 or numax).tw,kw. (88)
14    palivizumab/ (2613)
15    (palivizumab or abbosynagis or "MEDI 493" or MEDI493 or synagis or synagys).tw,kw. (1864)
16    or/1-15 [RSV EXPOSURE] (22643)
17    respiratory tract infection/ (51475)
18    lower respiratory tract infection/ (10287)
19    respiratory tract disease/ (51224)
20    (airway? adj2 infect*).tw,kw. (3775)
21    (respiratory tract? adj2 infect*).tw,kw. (29588)
22    (LRTI or LRTIs).tw,kw. (1776)
23    (LRI or LRIs).tw,kw. (642)
24    (respiratory adj (illness* or infect*)).tw,kw. (34223)
25    exp bronchiolitis/ (19375)
26    bronchiolitis.tw,kw. (15344)
27    (( airflow* or air flow* or lung function*) adj2 defici*).tw,kw. (214)
28    or/17-27 [RESPIRATORY INFECTIONS - GENERAL] (157834)
29    16 or 28 [RSV, RESPIRATORY INFECTIONS] (171061)
30    exp child/ (2329953)
31    (infant or infants or infanc* or baby or babies or boy or boys or child* or girl or girls or preschool* or pre-school* or toddler*).tw,kw. (1987382)
32    exp Pediatrics/ (93738)
33    (pediatric* or paediatric*).tw,kw. (479573)
34    (newborn* or neonat* or premie*).tw,kw. (432377)
(very low birth weight* or VLBW or VLBWs or "small for gestational age" or "small for gestational ages" or SGA or SGAs).tw,kw. (26748)
36 or/30-35 [INFANT/CHILD POPULATION] (3136278)
37 29 and 36 (58296)
38 exp asthma/ (231534)
39 asthma*.tw,kw. (204655)
40 (bronchospasm* or broncho-spasm* or bronchial spasm*).tw,kw. (7275)
41 abnormal respiratory sound/ (7153)
42 wheezing/ (23610)
43 wheez*.tw,kw. (20096)
44 bronchus hyperreactivity/ (12081)
45 respiratory tract allergy/ (8789)
46 ((respirat* or airway or airways or lung or lungs or bronchi* or broncho* or bronchu*) adj3 (sensitiv* or hypersensitivit* or hyper-sensitivit* or hyper-reactiv* or hyper-reactiv*)).tw,kw. (11155)
47 hypersensitivity/ (28283)
48 (allergy or allergies or allergic*).tw,kw. (212257)
49 lung injury/ (29919)
50 ((lung or lungs) adj3 injur*).tw,kw. (40772)
51 ((airway or airways or lung or lungs or respirat*) adj5 (patholog* or histopatholog* or histopatholog*).tw,kw. (22853)
52 or/38-51 [ASTHMA/WHEEZE/LRTIs] (519638)
53 37 and 52 [RSV - INFANT/CHILD - ASTHMA/WHEEZE/LRTIs] (14105)
54 exp animal/ or exp animal experimentation/ or exp animal model/ or exp animal experiment/ or nonhuman/ or exp vertebrate/ (24661828)
55 exp human/ or exp human experimentation/ or exp human experiment/ (18686881)
56 54 not 55 (5975858)
57 53 not 56 [ANIMAL-ONLY REMOVED] (13643)
58 editorial.pt. (572532)
59 letter.pt. not (letter.pt. and randomized controlled trial/) (1021368)
60 57 not (58 or 59) [OPINION PIECES REMOVED] (13251)
61 conference abstract.pt. (3126460)
62 60 not 61 [CONFERENCE ABSTRACTS REMOVED] (10627)
63 exp pneumonia/ (257585)
64 pneumoni*.tw,kw. (219841)
65 63 or 64 [PNEUMONIA] (345733)
66 36 and 65 (64938)
67 52 and 66 (8131)
68 52 not 65 (7649)
69 68 not (58 or 59) (7451)
70 69 not 61 (5682)
71 70 not 62 [UNIQUE PNEUMONIA REFS] (3385)
72 Developing Country.sh,kw. (88915)
73 (Africa? or Asia? or Caribbean or West Indies or South America? or Latin America? or Central America?).hw,kw,tw,cp. (578581)
74 (Afghanistan or Albania or Algeria or Angola or Antigua or Barbuda or Argentina or Armenia or Armenian or Aruba or Azerbaijan or Bahrain or Bangladesh or Barbados or Benin or Byelarus or Byelorussian or Belarus or Belorussian or Belorussia or Belize or Bhutan or Bolivia or Bosnia or Herzegovina or Herbegovina or Botswana or Brasil or Brazil or "Brazzaville" or Bulgaria or Burkina Faso or Burkina Fasso or Upper Volta or Burundi or Urundi or Cambodia or Khmer Republic or Kampuchea or Cameroon or Cameroun or Cameron or Camerons or "Canary Islands" or Cape Verde or Central African Republic or Chad or Chile or China or Colombia or Comoros or Comoro Islands or Comores or Mayotte or Congo or Zaire or Costa Rica or Cote d'Ivoire or Ivory Coast or Croatia or Cuba or Cyprus or Czechoslovakia or Czech Republic or Slovakia or Slovak Republic or Djibouti or French Somaliland or Dominica or Dominican Republic or East Timor or East Timur or Timor Leste or Ecuador or Egypt or United Arab Republic or El Salvador or Eritrea or Estonia or Ethiopia or Fiji or Gabon or Gabonese Republic or Gambia or Gaza or Georgia Republic or Georgian Republic or Ghana or Gold Coast or Greece or Grenada or Guatemala or Guinea or Guam or Guiana or Guyana or Haiti or Honduras or Hungary or India or Maldives or Indonesia or Iran or Iraq or
Isle of Man or Jamaica or Jamahiriya? or Jamahirya? or Jordan or Kazakhstan or Kazakh or Kenya or Kiribati or Korea or Kosovo or Kyrgyzstan or Kirghizia or Kyrgyz Republic or Kirghiz or Kirgizistan or Lao PDR or Laos or Latvia or Lebanon or Lesotho or Basutoland or Liberia or Libia or Libya or Lithuania or Macedonia or Madagascar or Maghreb or Maghrib or Malagasy Republic or Malaysia or Malay or Mayote or Mocambique or Principe or Reunion or Sabah or Sarawak or Malawi or Nyasaland or Mali or Malta or Marshall Islands or Mauritania or Mauritiuss or Agalega Islands or Mexico or Micronesia or Middle East or Moldova or Moldavia or Mongolia or Montenegro or Morocco or Ifni or Mozambique or Myanmar or Myanma or Burma or Namibia or Nepal or Netherlands Antilles or New Caledonia or Nicaragua or Niger or Nigeria or Northern Mariana Islands or Oman or Muscat or Pakistan or Palau or Palestine or Panama or Paraguay or Peru or Philippines or Philippines or Poland or Portugal or Puerto Rico or Romania or Rumania or Roumania or Russia or Russian or Rwanda or Ruanda or Saint Kitts or St Kitts or Nevis or Saint Lucia or St Lucia or Saint Vincent or St Vincent or Grenadines or Samoa or Samoan Islands or Navigator Island or Navigator Islands or "St Helena" or "Saint Helena" or Sao Tome or Saudi Arabia or Senegal or Serbia or Montenegro or Seychelles or Sierra Leone or Slovenia or Sri Lanka or Ceylon or Solomon Islands or Somalia or South Africa or Sudan or Suriname or Surinam or Swaziland or Syria or Tajikistan or Tadjikistan or Tadzhikistan or Tadjikistan or Tadzhik or Tanzania or Thailand or Togo or Togolese Republic or Tonga or Trinidad or Tobago or Tunisia or Turkey or Turkmenistan or Turkmen or Uganda or Ukraine or Uruguay or USSR or Soviet Union or Union of Soviet Socialist Republics or Uzbekistan or Uzbek or Vanuatu or New Hebrides or Venezuela or Vietnam or Viet Nam or West Bank or "Western Sahara" or "Western Saharan" or Yemen or Yugoslav or Congo or Zaire or Zimbabwe or Rhodesia).hw,kw.tw,kw.cp. (3627458) 75 (developing or less developed or under developed or underdeveloped or middle income or low income or underserved or served or deprived or poor*) adj (country* or nation? or population? or world)).tw,kw. (108129) 76 (developing or less* developed or under developed or underdeveloped or middle income or low* income) adj (economy or economies)).tw,kw. (559) 77 (low* adj (gdp or gnp or gross domestic or gross national)).tw,kw. (313) 78 (low adj3 middle adj3 country*).tw,kw. (12778) 79 (lmic or lics or third world or lami country*).tw,kw. (6889) 80 transitional country*.tw,kw. (207) 81 or/72-80 [LMICS] (4029639) 82 71 and 81 [PNEUMONIA - LMICS] (844) 83 conference abstract.pt. (3126460) 84 82 not 83 [CONFERENCE ABSTRACTS REMOVED] (844) 85 69 and 61 [PNEUMONIA - CONFERENCE ABSTRACTS] (1769) 86 85 and 81 [PNEUMONIA - LMICS - CONFERENCE ABSTRACTS] (306) 87 limit 86 to yr="2016-current" (79) 88 82 or 87 [MOST RECENT 2 YRS CONF ABSTRACTS RETAINED] (923)
# APPENDIX E3. PRISMA CHECKLIST

| Section/topic | # | Checklist item                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reported on page # |
|---------------|---|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| **TITLE**     |   |                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                   |
| Title         | 1 | Identify the report as a systematic review, meta-analysis, or both.                                                                                                                                            | 1                 |
| **ABSTRACT**  |   |                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                   |
| Structured summary | 2 | Provide a structured summary including, as applicable: background; objectives; data sources; study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal and synthesis methods; results; limitations; conclusions and implications of key findings; systematic review registration number. | 2                 |
| **INTRODUCTION** | |                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                   |
| Rationale     | 3 | Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known.                                                                                                                               | 5                 |
| Objectives    | 4 | Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS).                                                      | 6-7 (Tables 1 & 2) |
| **METHODS**   |   |                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                   |
| Protocol and registration | 5 | Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address), and, if available, provide registration information including registration number.                                             | 7                 |
| Eligibility criteria | 6 | Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale.                                  | 7-8 (Table 2)     |
| Information sources | 7 | Describe all information sources (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact with study authors to identify additional studies) in the search and date last searched.                                      | 9 (Appendix E2)   |
| Search        | 8 | Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.                                                                               | Appendix E2       |
| Study selection | 9 | State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and, if applicable, included in the meta-analysis).                                                        | 9-10              |
| Data collection process | 10 | Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.                                  | 9-10              |
| Data items                  | 11 | List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made. | 9-10 (Table 3) |
|---------------------------|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Risk of bias in individual studies | 12 | Describe methods used for assessing risk of bias of individual studies (including specification of whether this was done at the study or outcome level), and how this information is to be used in any data synthesis. | 11             |
| Summary measures          | 13 | State the principal summary measures (e.g., risk ratio, difference in means).                                                | 10             |
| Synthesis of results      | 14 | Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including measures of consistency (e.g., $I^2$) for each meta-analysis. | 10-11          |
| Study/Article Name | Outcome | Exposure | Comparator | Outcome Age | Selection | Comparability | Outcome |
|------------------|---------|----------|------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|---------|
| Ruotsalainen 2013 | Current asthma | RSV-LRTI | Population control group | 17-19 yrs. | *** | ** | *** |
| Ruotsalainen 2013 | Current physician-dx. asthma: | RSV-LRTI | Population control group | 15-18 yrs. | *** | ** | * |
| Ruotsalainen 2013 | Current self-reported asthma: | RSV-LRTI | Population control group | 15-18 yrs. | *** | ** | * |
| Stein 1999 | Current asthma | RSV-LRTI | No LRTI | 22, 24, 26, and 29 yrs. | **** | ** | * |
| Stein 1999 | Current physician-dx. asthma | RSV-LRTI | No LRTI | 22, 24, 26, and 29 yrs. | **** | ** | * |
| Stein 1999 | Current self-reported asthma | RSV-LRTI | No LRTI | 22, 24, 26, and 29 yrs. | **** | ** | * |
| Stein 1999 | Infrequent wheeze | RSV-LRTI | No LRTI | 12-13 yrs. | **** | ** | * |
| Stein 1999 | Frequent wheeze | RSV-LRTI | No LRTI | 10-11 yrs. | **** | ** | * |
| Poorisrisak 2010 | Current asthma | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No RSV-LRTI hospitalization | 7 yrs. | *** | * | ** |
| Backman 2014 | Physician-managed asthma | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No respiratory hospitalization | 7 yrs. | *** | * | ** |
| Fjaerli 2004 | Bronchial asthma | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | Control group from non-atopic and non-asthmatic families | 8-9.5 yrs. | ** | None | ** |
| Fjaerli 2004 | Current physician-dx. asthma | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No evidence RSV infection | 17-20 yrs. | ** | * | ** |
| Fjaerli 2004 | Previous asthma & current wheeze/ prolonged cough | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No evidence RSV infection | 17-20 yrs. | ** | * | * |
| Backman 2014 | Physician-dx. asthma | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | Population-based control group | 28-31 yrs. | *** | None | ** |
| Study                             | Method of Diagnosis | Outcome | Control Group 1 | Control Group 2 | Effect Size | Evidence |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|----------|
| Backman 2014                     | Self-reported asthma| RSV-LRTI hospitalization | Population-based control group | 28-31 yrs. | *** | None |
| Ruotsalainen 2010b               | Self-reported asthma| RSV-LRTI hospitalization | Population-based control group | 25-29 yrs. | *** | None |
| Ruotsalainen 2010b               | Physician-dx. asthma| RSV-LRTI hospitalization | Population-based control group | 25-29 yrs. | *** | None |
| Ruotsalainen 2010a               | Current physician-dx. asthma| RSV-LRTI hospitalization | Control Group 2: non-selected | ~25-27 yrs. | *** | None |
| Ruotsalainen 2010a               | Current self-reported asthma| RSV-LRTI hospitalization | Control Group 2: non-selected | ~25-27 yrs. | *** | None |
| Ruotsalainen 2010a               | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | Control Group 1: non-atopic and non-asthmatic families | ~25-27 yrs. | *** | None |
| Ruotsalainen 2010a               | Current self-reported asthma| RSV-LRTI hospitalization | Control Group 1: non-atopic and non-asthmatic families | ~25-27 yrs. | *** | None |
| Henderson 2005                   | Physician-dx. asthma| RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No bronchiolitis hospitalization | 91 mos. | *** | None |
| Henderson 2005                   | Parent-reported wheezing| RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No bronchiolitis hospitalization | ~30-42 mos. | *** | None |
| Henderson 2005                   | Parent-reported wheezing| RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No bronchiolitis hospitalization | ~69-81 mos. | *** | None |
| Drysdale 2015                    | Wheeze              | RSV-LRTI | No LRTI in the first 2 years | ~ ages 1-2 | **** | None |
| Drysdale 2015                    | Asthma              | RSV-LRTI | No LRTI in the first 2 years | ages 0-2 | **** | None |
| Stensballe 2018                  | Wheeze              | RSV-LRTI & no prior wheeze | Non-airway hospitalization & no prior wheeze | ~3-16 mos. to 21-34 mos. | **** | None |
| Stensballe 2018                  | Wheeze              | RSV-LRTI & no prior wheeze | Non-airway hospitalization & no prior wheeze | ~18 mos. to 5 yrs. | **** | None |
| Carroll 2017                     | Asthma (propensity matched analysis) | ≥70% RSV immunoprophylaxis adherence | 4.5-6 yrs. | *** | None |
| Carroll 2017                     | Asthma (propensity adjusted model) | No immuno-prophylaxis | 4.5-6 yrs. | *** | None |
| Carbonell-Estrany 2015           | Simple wheezing     | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No RSV-LRTI hospitalization | ~5-6 yrs. | *** | None |
| Carbonell-Estrany 2015           | Recurrent wheezing  | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No RSV-LRTI hospitalization | ~5-6 yrs. | *** | None |
| Carbonell-Estrany 2015           | Severe recurrent wheeze | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No RSV-LRTI hospitalization | ~5-6 yrs. | *** | None |
| Study          | Condition Description                      | Palivizumab Receipt | Age Range | Significance |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Mochizuki 2017 | Atopic asthma                             | No receipt          | 0-6 yrs.  | ***          |
| Mochizuki 2017 | Recurrent wheezing                        | No receipt          | 0-6 yrs.  | ***          |
| Yoshihara 2013 | Physician dx recurrent wheezing           | No receipt          | 0-3 yrs.  | ***          |
| Escobar 2013   | Recurrent wheeze                          | RSV-LRTI (outpatient encounter) | No medically attended RSV-LRTI | 4-5 yrs.  | ***          |
| Escobar 2013   | Recurrent wheeze                          | RSV-LRTI (outpatient encounter) | No medically attended RSV-LRTI | 1-2 yrs.  | ***          |
| Escobar 2013   | Recurrent wheeze                          | RSV-LRTI (uncomplicated hospitalization) | No medically attended RSV-LRTI | 1-2 yrs.  | ***          |
| Escobar 2013   | Recurrent wheeze                          | RSV-LRTI (prolonged hospitalization) | No medically attended RSV-LRTI | 1-2 yrs.  | ***          |
| Escobar 2013   | Recurrent wheeze                          | RSV-LRTI (outpatient encounter) | No medically attended RSV-LRTI | 3-4 yrs.  | ***          |
| Escobar 2013   | Recurrent wheeze                          | RSV-LRTI (outpatient encounter) | No medically attended RSV-LRTI | 2-3 yrs.  | ***          |
| Escobar 2013   | Recurrent wheeze                          | RSV-LRTI (uncomplicated hospitalization) | No medically attended RSV-LRTI | 4-5 yrs.  | ***          |
| Escobar 2013   | Recurrent wheeze                          | RSV-LRTI (uncomplicated hospitalization) | No medically attended RSV-LRTI | 3-4 yrs.  | ***          |
| Escobar 2013   | Recurrent wheeze                          | RSV-LRTI (uncomplicated hospitalization) | No medically attended RSV-LRTI | 2-3 yrs.  | ***          |
| Escobar 2013   | Recurrent wheeze                          | RSV-LRTI (prolonged hospitalization) | No medically attended RSV-LRTI | 4-5 yrs.  | ***          |
| Escobar 2013   | Recurrent wheeze                          | RSV-LRTI (prolonged hospitalization) | No medically attended RSV-LRTI | 3-4 yrs.  | ***          |
| Escobar 2013   | Recurrent wheeze                          | RSV-LRTI (prolonged hospitalization) | No medically attended RSV-LRTI | 2-3 yrs.  | ***          |
| Kim 2013       | Recurrent wheeze                          | RSV-LRTI hospitalization (placebo group) | No airway infection | ~1.5 to 3 yrs. | ** None | * |
| Palmer 2011    | Wheeze diagnosis                          | RSV-LRTI inpatient claims | No LRTI (comparison group) | 1-2 yrs.  | ***          |
| Palmer 2011    | Wheeze diagnosis                          | RSV-LRTI outpatient claims | No LRTI (comparison group) | 1-2 yrs.  | ***          |
| Palmer 2011    | Asthma diagnosis                          | RSV-LRTI inpatient claims | No LRTI (comparison group) | 1-2 yrs.  | ***          |
| Palmer 2011    | Asthma diagnosis                          | RSV-LRTI outpatient claims | No LRTI (comparison group) | 1-2 yrs.  | ***          |
| Kusel 2007     | Persistent wheeze                         | Wheezy RSV-LRTI     | No wheezy RSV-LRTI | exposure - age 5 | ***          |
| Kusel 2007     | Current wheeze                            | Non-wheezy RSV-LRTI  | No non-wheezy RSV-LRTI | 4-5 yrs.  | ***          |
| Study          | Condition          | RSV-LRTI Diagnosis       | Non-LSVTI Diagnosis       | Age Range | | |
|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|---|---|
| Kusel 2007    | Current wheeze     | Wheezy RSV-LRTI          | No wheezy RSV-LRTI        | 4-5 yrs.  | *** | ** | *** |
| Kusel 2007    | Current asthma     | Non-wheezy RSV-LRTI      | No non-wheezy RSV-LRTI    | 4-5 yrs.  | *** | ** | *** |
| Kusel 2007    | Current asthma     | Wheezy RSV-LRTI          | No wheezy RSV-LRTI        | 4-5 yrs.  | *** | ** | *** |
| Kusel 2007    | Persistent wheeze  | Non-wheezy RSV-LRTI      | No non-wheezy RSV-LRTI    | exposure - 5 yrs. | *** | ** | *** |
| Kusel 2012    | Current asthma     | Wheezy RSV-LRTI          | No wheezy RSV-LRTI        | 9-10 yrs. | *** | ** | ** |
| Kusel 2012    | Current asthma     | Febrile RSV-LRTI         | No febrile RSV-LRTI       | ~9-10 yrs.| *** | ** | ** |
| Kusel 2012    | Persistent wheeze  | Wheezy RSV-LRTI          | No wheezy RSV-LRTI        | ~0-10 yrs.| *** | ** | ** |
| Kusel 2012    | Persistent wheeze  | Febrile RSV-LRTI         | No febrile RSV-LRTI       | ~0-10 yrs.| *** | ** | ** |
| Simoes 2007   | Physician diagnosis recurrent wheeze | Combined untreated group | Palivizumab receipt (3+ doses) | ~0-3 yrs. | *** | ** | *** |
| Simoes 2007   | Recurrent wheeze not verified by physician | Combined untreated group | Palivizumab receipt (3+ doses) | ~0-3 yrs. | *** | ** | *** |
| Blanken 2016  | Recurrent wheeze   | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No RSV-LRTI hospitalization | enrollment to 1 yr. | *** | ** | None |
| Bloemers 2010 | Physician dx. recurrent wheeze | RSV-LRTI (with and without Down Syndrome) | No RSV-LRTI | ~0-3 yrs. | ** | None | ** |
| Bloemers 2010 | Parent-reported recurrent wheeze | RSV-LRTI (with and without Down Syndrome) | No RSV-LRTI | ~0-3 yrs. | ** | None | * |
| Garcia-Garcia 2007 | Asthma | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | Non-LRTI hospitalization | approx. age up to 5 yrs. | *** | ** | * |
| Garcia-Garcia 2007 | Recurrent wheeze | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | Non-LRTI hospitalization | ~2-3 yrs. | *** | None | ** |
| Garcia-Garcia 2007 | Recurrent wheeze | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | Non-LRTI hospitalization | ~4-5 yrs. | *** | None | ** |
| Mikalsen 2012 | Current asthma     | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No RSV-LRTI hospitalization | ~10-13 mos. to ~0-3 yrs. | *** | None | ** |
| Osundwa 1993  | Recurrent wheeze   | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | Non-LRTI hospitalization | ~3-8 mos. to 2-3 yrs. | *** | * | ** |
| Palmer 2010   | Infantile asthma   | RSV-LRTI diagnosis       | No LRTI diagnosis         | ~0-2 yrs. | *** | None | * |
| Palmer 2010   | Recurrent wheeze   | RSV-LRTI diagnosis       | No LRTI diagnosis         | ~0-2 yrs. | *** | None | * |
| Study Year | Study Details | Outcome 1 | Outcome 2 | Outcome 3 | Outcome 4 | Outcome 5 | Outcome 6 |
|------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Palmer 2010 | Infantile asthma | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No LRTI diagnosis | ~0-2 yrs. | *** | None | * |
| Weber 1999  | Episodes of ALRI with wheezing | RSV-LRTI | Combined control group | 0-3 yrs. | *** | ** | * |
| Prais 2016  | Parent report of child asthma dx. | No palivizumab | Palivizumab receipt | ~0-2 yrs. | *** | * | None |
| Prais 2016  | Wheezing episodes | No palivizumab | Palivizumab receipt | ~0-2 yrs. | *** | * | None |
| Prais 2016  | Wheezing episodes | No palivizumab | Palivizumab receipt | ~8-9 yrs. | *** | * | None |
| Fauroux 2014 | Wheezing | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No RSV-LRTI hospitalization | ~0-2 yrs. | *** | ** | * |
| Fauroux 2014 | Recurrent wheeze | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No RSV-LRTI hospitalization | ~0-2 yrs. | *** | None | * |
| Sigurs 1995 | Recurrent wheeze | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No respiratory hospitalizations | exposure to age 3 | *** | ** | *** |
| Sigurs 1995 | Any wheeze | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No respiratory hospitalizations | exposure to age 1 | *** | ** | *** |
| Sigurs 1995 | Any wheeze | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No respiratory hospitalizations | exposure to age 3 | *** | ** | *** |
| Sigurs 1995 | Asthma | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No respiratory hospitalizations | exposure to age 1 | *** | ** | ** |
| Sigurs 1995 | Asthma | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No respiratory hospitalizations | exposure to age 3 | *** | ** | ** |
| Sigurs 1995 | Recurrent wheeze | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No respiratory hospitalizations | exposure to age 1 | *** | ** | *** |
| Sigurs 2010 | Current asthma or recurrent wheeze | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No respiratory hospitalizations | 18 yrs. | *** | ** | *** |
| Sigurs 2005 | Current asthma or recurrent wheeze | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No RSV-LRTI hospitalization | 0-13 yrs. | *** | ** | *** |
| Sigurs 2000 | Asthma | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No RSV-LRTI hospitalization | ~1-7.5 yrs. | *** | ** | *** |
| Sigurs 2000 | Any wheezing (cumulative) | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No RSV-LRTI hospitalization | ~1-7.5 yrs. | *** | ** | *** |
| Zomer-Kooijker 2014 | Current wheeze | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No RSV-LRTI hospitalization | 5-6 yrs. | *** | ** | * |
| Zomer-Kooijker 2014 | Current parent-reported asthma diagnosis | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No RSV-LRTI hospitalization | 5-6 yrs. | *** | ** | * |
| Zomer-Kooijker 2014 | Parent-reported ever physician asthma diagnosis | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No RSV-LRTI hospitalization | 5-6 yrs. | *** | ** | * |
| Sims 1978 | Wheezing | RSV-LRTI hospitalization | No RSV-LRTI hospitalization | 0-1 to 8 yrs. | *** | * | ** |
| Study          | Outcome Description                                      | Exposure          | No Exposure          | Age Range       | Comparison |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Pullan 1982   | History of wheeze                                        | RSV-LRTI          | No RSV-LRTI         | 0- to 10 yrs.   | ***        |
| Haerskjold 2017 | Asthma: hospital contacts/ prescriptions (propensity score model) | No palivizumab receipt | Palivizumab receipt | 0-4 yrs.       | ***        |
| Juntti 2003   | Asthma ever                                              | RSV-LRTI          | No RSV-LRTI         | 6-10 yrs.       | ***        |
| Bertrand 2015 | Asthma diagnosis                                         | RSV-LRTI          | Controls undergoing a procedure for non-infectious disease | 0-1 yrs. to 3-4 yrs. | ** None |
| Singleton 2003 | Diagnosis of reactive airway disease or asthma           | RSV-LRTI          | No RSV-LRTI         | 2-6 yrs.        | ***        |
| Schauer 2002  | Recurrent wheezing                                       | RSV-LRTI          | No RSV-LRTI         | 0-1 yrs. to 6-18 mos. | ***        |
| Caliskan 2013b (COPSAC cohort) | Physician dx. asthma (adj.for # respiratory episodes) | RSV-LRTI          | No RSV* samples via viral surveillance | 0-7 yrs.        | **** None |
| Bonnelykke 2015 | Physicin dx. asthma (not adj.for # of respiratory episodes) | RSV-LRTI (not adjusted for # of respiratory episodes) | No RSV* samples via viral surveillance | 0-7 yrs.        | **** None |
| Study                                      | Diagnosis                              | RSV-LRTI (homozygous non-risk genotype) | No RSV-LRTI (homozygous non-risk genotype) | Prophylaxis to age | Exposure | Risk Group |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------|------------|
| Caliskan 2013b                           | Physician dx. asthma                   | RSV-LRTI                               | No RSV-LRTI                                | 0-7 yrs.           | ****     | **         |
| dos Santos Simoes 2018                    | Recurrent wheeze                       | No palivizumab receipt                 | Palivizumab receipt                        |                    |          |            |
| Stensballe 2009                          | Asthma hospitalization                 | RSV-LRTI hospitalization               | No RSV hospitalization                      | exposure (0-5 yrs.)| ***      | **         |
|                                            |                                        |                                        |                                             | 3-5 mos. later     |          |            |
|                                            |                                        |                                        |                                             | 6-11 mos. later    |          |            |
|                                            |                                        |                                        |                                             | 1-2 yrs. later     |          |            |
|                                            |                                        |                                        |                                             | 0-5 yrs.           |          |            |
|                                            |                                        |                                        |                                             | to 5 yrs.          |          |            |
|                                            |                                        |                                        |                                             | to 6 mos. later    |          |            |
|                                            |                                        |                                        |                                             | to 12 mos. later   |          |            |
|                                            |                                        |                                        |                                             | to 2 yrs. later    |          |            |
|                                            |                                        |                                        |                                             | 0-5 years          |          |            |
|                                            |                                        |                                        |                                             | old; outcome: >2    |          |            |
|                                            |                                        |                                        |                                             | years after RSV    |          |            |
|                                            |                                        |                                        |                                             | hospitalization    |          |            |
|                                            |                                        |                                        |                                             | ages 0-5           |          |            |
|                                            |                                        |                                        |                                             |                    |          |            |
| Broughton 2007                           | Wheezing                               | RSV-LRTI                               | No RSV-LRTI                                | 11-12 mos.         | ****     | None       |
|                                            |                                        |                                        |                                             | corrected for      |          |            |
|                                            |                                        |                                        |                                             | gestational age at |          |            |
|                                            |                                        |                                        |                                             | birth              |          |            |
| Munywoki 2013                            | Pneumonia with wheeze                 | RSV-LRTI hospitalization               | Non-LRTI hospitalization                    | exposure (0-12     | ***      | **         |
|                                            |                                        |                                        |                                             | months) to 5 yrs.  |          |            |
| Lemanske 2005                            | Current asthma                         | RSV-LRTI                               | No RSV-LRTI                                | 12-13 yrs.         | ****     | **         |
|                                            |                                        |                                        |                                             |                    |          |            |
|                                            |                                        |                                        |                                             | 10-11 yrs.         | ****     | **         |
|                                            |                                        |                                        |                                             | 7-8 yrs.           | ****     | **         |
|                                            |                                        |                                        |                                             | 5-6 yrs.           | ****     | **         |
|                                            |                                        |                                        |                                             |                    |          |            |
|                                            | Asthma diagnosis                       | RSV-LRTI (homozygous non-risk genotype)| No RSV-LRTI (homozygous non-risk genotype) | 6-8 yrs.           | ****     | **         |
|                                            | Wheezing respiratory illness           | RSV-LRTI moderate-severe illness       | No RSV-LRTI                                | ~2-3 yrs.          | ****     | **         |
|                                            |                                        | illness without wheeze                 |                                             |                    |          |            |
|                                            | Wheezing respiratory illness           | RSV-LRTI moderate-severe illness       | No RSV-LRTI                                | ~2-3 yrs.          | ****     | **         |
|                                            |                                        | illness without wheeze                 |                                             |                    |          |            |
| Study       | Design          | Research Question | Year | Age Group | Selection | Comparability | Outcome/Exposure | Score |
|------------|-----------------|-------------------|------|-----------|-----------|---------------|------------------|-------|
| Jackson 2008 | Current asthma | RSV-LRTI          |      | No RSV* or HRV* LRTI | 5-6 yrs. | ****          | *                | **    |
| Jackson 2008 | Current asthma | RSV-LRTI (no co-infection) | | No RSV-LRTI | ~5-6 yrs. | ****          | *                | **    |
| Jackson 2008 | Current asthma | RSV-LRTI (no co-infection) | | No RSV-LRTI | ~5-6 yrs. | ****          | *                | **    |
| Jackson 2008 | Current asthma | RSV-LRTI          |      | No RSV* or HRV* LRTI | ~5-6 yrs. | ****          | *                | **    |

a Selection (range: 0 to 4 stars), Comparability (range: 0 to 2 stars), Outcome/Exposure (range: 0 to 3 stars); maximum possible score = 9 stars. The Newcastle-Ottawa instrument and manual are available online at: [http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp](http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp)
Table E2. Cochrane Risk of Bias ratings for randomized controlled trials

| Outcome | Age at Outcome | Cochrane Risk of Bias Ratings Categories |
|---------|----------------|-----------------------------------------|
|         |                | Random sequence generation | Allocation concealment | Blinding of participants and personnel | Blinding (outcome assessment) | Incomplete outcome data | Selective reporting | Other sources of bias |
| Blanken 2013; Scheltema 2018 | | | | | | | | |
| Parent-reported recurrent wheeze | 1 | Low: Permuted-block randomization stratified by gestational age | Low: Double-blinded; research nurses aware of treatment assignment but trained not to reveal | Low: Double-blinded; research nurses aware of treatment assignment but trained not to reveal | Low: Assessors blinded but not participants | Low: Estimate based on full ITT\(^b\) sample | Low: Estimate based on full ITT sample | Low: No other major biases detected |
| Any parent-reported wheeze | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Physician diagnosed asthma | 6 | Low: Double-blinded; intervention assignment unlikely to have been foreseen | Low: Assessors blinded but not participants | Low: Assessors blinded | Low: No other major biases detected |
| Parent-reported asthma | 6 | High: Assessors blinded but not participants | | | | | | |
| Any parent-reported wheeze | 1 to 3 | | | | | | | |
| O’Brien 2015 | | | | | | | | |
| Serious childhood wheeze | 1 to 3 | Low: Block randomization stratified by site | Low: Intervention assignment unlikely to have been foreseen | Low: All study participants, investigators, funding staff, and monitoring staff were masked | Low: All study participants, investigators, funding staff, and monitoring staff were masked | Low: Assessors blinded | Low: Report on outcomes described in study protocol | Low: No other major biases detected |
| 3+ medically-attended wheeze episodes | 1 to 3 | | | | | | | |
| 1+ medically-attended wheeze episodes | 1 to 3 | | | | | | | |

\(^a\)Estimates based on analyses with > 5% of participant data missing were considered high risk of bias unless some effort was made to restore
\(^b\)ITT=Intention-to-treat sample

\(^c\)Investigators conducted a secondary analysis using multiple imputation to reduce bias due to missing data that produced similar results; however, the multiple-imputation-based estimate was not reported so we used the primary effect estimate, which included only participants who provided outcome data
Figure E1. Leave-one-out sensitivity analysis for RSV-LRTI exposure studies. The x-axis estimates from the primary meta-regression model estimating the weighted mean natural log of the odds ratio (log$_{e}$OR) for the association between RSV-LRTI and subsequent wheezing illness. Each dot represents the mean estimate with the corresponding study listed on y-axis (including all its estimates) removed from the analyses. The horizontal lines going through the points are 95% confidence intervals for the point estimates minus the corresponding study listed on the y-axis. The vertical dotted red lines represent log$_{e}$OR values of 0 (i.e., a null effect). For the Intercept estimate (representing the conditional mean log$_{e}$OR with all effect modifiers held at their most common levels), no individual
study had sufficient influence to change whether or not the 95% confidence interval around the log\textsubscript{e} OR contained the null. The same was not true for the effect modifier coding whether or not studies controlled for genetic confounding. Eight studies had sufficient influence to alter whether the confidence interval around the estimate contained the null value. Influential studies are denoted with filled circles whereas non-influential studies are denoted by open circles.