Determinants of adherence to recommendations for depressed elderly patients in primary care: A multi-methods study
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Abstract

Objective. It is logical that tailoring implementation strategies to address identified determinants of adherence to clinical practice guidelines should improve adherence. This study aimed to identify and prioritize determinants of adherence to six recommendations for elderly patients with depression. Design and setting. Group and individual interviews and a survey were conducted in Norway. Method. Individual and group interviews with healthcare professionals and patients, and a mailed survey of healthcare professionals. A generic checklist of determinants of practice was used to categorize suggested determinants. Participants. Physicians and nurses from primary and specialist care, psychologists, researchers, and patients. Main outcome measures. Determinants of adherence to recommendations for depressed elderly patients in primary care. Results. A total of 352 determinants were identified, of which 99 were prioritized. The most frequently identified factors had to do with dissemination of guidelines, general practitioners’ time constraints, the low prioritization of elderly patients with depression, and the patients’ or relatives’ wish for medication. Approximately three-quarters of the determinants were from three of the seven domains in the generic checklist: individual healthcare professional factors, patient factors, and incentives and resources. The survey did not provide useful information due to a low response rate and a lack of responses to open-ended questions. Implications. The list of prioritized determinants can inform the design of interventions to implement recommendations for elderly patients with depression. The importance of the determinants that were identified may vary across communities, practices, and patients. Interventions that address important determinants are necessary to improve practice.
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Introduction

Many factors can affect adherence to guidelines and determine whether patients receive appropriate care [1,2]. These factors are referred to as barriers and facilitators, determinants of adherence, or determinants of practice [3]. Knowledge regarding determinants of practice can guide efforts to develop interventions that address identified determinants and thereby help to implement guidelines effectively.

The relative importance of determinants of practice may vary depending on the type of recommendation and the context of care. Investigating determinants of practice for specific recommendations is a prerequisite for tailoring implementation strategies.
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In Norway, we are studying tailored implementation of guidelines for elderly patients with depression. In this paper, “we” refers to the three authors. We are physicians and health service researchers. EA is a psychiatrist and a senior consultant in geriatric psychiatry. SF and AO have worked as general practitioners (GPs). AO and SF have previously conducted studies to assess the effectiveness of tailored interventions to implement guidelines in primary care and conducted systematic reviews of implementation strategies.

We identified 39 recommendations relevant to primary care from the Norwegian national guidelines for the management of adults with depression in primary and secondary care [11]. We performed a systematic review of guidelines for adults with depression [12]. The review was used as a basis to revise the Norwegian recommendations and to inform decisions concerning which recommendations to prioritize. We assessed the quality of the guidelines and compared the recommendations in the Norwegian guidelines with recommendations in the other international guidelines. We then prioritized the recommendations following a standardized procedure for the project [10]. For each recommendation, we asked whether implementing the recommendation should be a priority using the same five-point scale. We gave 10 recommendations a score of 4 or 5 and discussed these with a reference group (see acknowledgements). According to the common protocol for TICD, each country selected between three and eight recommendations. The reference group for our project prioritized six recommendations (Table I).

The objective of this study was to identify determinants of practice for the six prioritised recommendations for the management of depressed elderly patients. We used the TICD checklist to help identify and categorize determinants, prior to tailoring interventions to implement the recommendations in primary care in Norway [8,10].

Material and methods

According to the common protocol for the TICD project [13], we used four methods to identify determinants of practice: a combination of open (unstructured) and structured group interviews, individual interviews, and a survey.

We describe the selection, recruitment, and characteristics of the participants in Supplementary Appendix A (available online at http://informahealthcare.com/doi/abs/10.3109/02813432.2014.984961). We stratified healthcare professionals for the group and individual interviews to ensure that we included a purposeful selection in each activity. We pre-randomized them to either group or individual interviews, using Zelen randomization [14]. EA and SF conducted two group interviews. One group had seven and the other nine healthcare professionals. EA conducted individual interviews with nine healthcare professionals and four patients.

We independently prepared a list of probes from the TICD checklist and, by consensus, created a common list of probes for 21 determinants that we considered important for the six recommendations (Table II).

In the group and individual interviews, EA first presented the six recommendations. For the groups we prepared a poster for each recommendation. The group and individual interviews started with individual brainstorming. In the groups we asked the participants to write their suggestions on post-its and to stick them to the relevant poster. After working individually, participants collectively discussed and prioritized determinants for each of the six recommendations. After the first open part of the interviews, we selected probes based on the TICD checklist to focus discussion on determinants that had not yet been considered. We conducted a survey...
targeted at healthcare professionals in primary and specialist care, partly based on questions that were common to all TICD partners. We describe details of the survey in Supplementary Appendix B available online at http://informahealthcare.com/doi/abs/10.3109/02813432.2014.984961.

Analysis

We applied the five-step framework described by Glenton and colleagues [15] for our analysis:

1. **Familiarisation**: All sessions were audio-recorded and transcribed in full. EA and SF independently reviewed transcriptions from one group and two individual interviews, and then compared and discussed the results. EA identified determinants from the remaining group and individual interviews

2. **Identifying a thematic framework**: We used the TICD checklist as a thematic framework [10].

3. **Indexing**: EA put all quotes that contained suggested determinants in tables and linked the identified determinants to the TICD checklist. Determinants that we considered to be important, but that we could not link to a specific recommendation, were categorized as “general”.

4. **Charting**: EA put all identified determinants in separate cells in a spreadsheet using a separate column for each session. EA and SF independently analysed these data, assessing whether the suggested determinants were related to others, grouping related determinants, and labelling each group of related determinants. We then discussed our assessments and revised the final list of determinants for each recommendation based on a consensus among the three authors.

5. **Mapping and interpretation**: Finally, EA and SF reviewed all suggested determinants and grouped them across recommendations and checklist items. We used a standardized procedure for the TICD project to rank determinants according to the following criteria:

### Table I. Six prioritized recommendations for managing depression in the elderly in primary care.

| Prioritized recommendations | Full recommendation to be discussed in the groups and interviews |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Social contact          | Primary care physicians and other healthcare professionals should discuss social contact with elderly patients with depression, and recommend actions (e.g. group activities) for those who have limited social contact. When needed, regular social contact with trained volunteers, recruited from Centres for Voluntary Organisations, the Red Cross, Mental Health or community day care centres. When possible, the patient’s relatives should be involved in the plan to improve social contact. |
| 2. Collaborative care plan | All municipalities should develop a plan for collaborative care for patients with moderate to severe depression. The plan should describe the responsibilities and communication between professionals who have contact with the patient, within primary care and between primary and specialist care. In addition, the plan should appoint depression care managers who have a responsibility for following the patient. |
| 3. Depression care manager | Primary care physicians should offer patients with moderate to severe depression regular contact with a depression care manager. |
| 4. Counselling              | Primary care physicians or qualified healthcare professionals should offer advice to elderly patients with depression regarding: • Self-assisted programmes, such as literature or web-based programs based on cognitive behavioural therapy principles • Structured physical activity programmes, individually or group-based • Healthy sleeping habits • Anxiety coping strategies • Problem-solving therapy |
| 5. Antidepressants in mild depression | Primary care physicians should usually not prescribe antidepressants to patients with mild depression. Primary care physicians may consider prescribing antidepressant medication to patients who suffer from a mild episode of depression and have previously responded to antidepressant medication when moderately or severely depressed. |
| 6. Antidepressants in severe depression, recurrent and chronic depression, and dysthymia | Primary care physicians should offer these patients a combination of antidepressant medication and psychotherapy. If the physician is not trained to provide psychotherapy, patients should be referred to trained healthcare professionals. |

Note: 1 Municipalities are the atomic unit of local government in Norway and are responsible for outpatient healthcare services, senior citizen services, and other social services. There are 429 municipalities.
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Results

Twenty-six healthcare professionals and four patients participated in group or individual interviews. Of 740 healthcare professionals, 131 (17%) responded to the survey after two reminders and 129 were included in the analysis (Supplementary Appendix B available online at http://informahealthcare.com/doi/abs/10.3109/02813432.2014.984961). Participants identified determinants in all seven domains of the checklist, but three-quarters of the total were in three of the seven domains on the checklist: individual healthcare professional factors, patient factors, and incentives and resources. Ninety-four determinants were specific; that is, we could not identify any other related suggestions. The remaining 256 were related to other suggested determinants. In Table III, we present the groups of determinants with the largest number of suggestions (five or more).

Recommendation 1: Social contact

Of 247 suggestions that were specific for one of the six recommendations, 48 determinants were for this recommendation. These determinants were predominantly patient factors and individual healthcare professional factors (Table IV).

Social withdrawal may be a consequence of depression, and patient motivation was considered a barrier to implementing the recommendation:

If you suffer from what I think is depression, then you wouldn’t have the energy to engage in social activities. (Nurse 1)
| Group                                      | Number of specific suggestions | Example of suggested determinants                                                                 | Checklist item [10] | Recommendation or general | Method used to identify | Barrier/Enabler |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| Dissemination of guidelines               | 16                             | Guidelines published in paper will not be read If presented properly it will work                | Domain Factor       | Recommendation            | General                  | B                |
| Lack of time                               | 11                             | Lack of time for GPs Talking is more time-consuming                                              | Domain Factor       | Recommendation            | Mild depression          | Brainstorming    |
| Lack of priority of the patient group      | 9                              | Depressed elderly are not prioritized Depressed elderly compete with other patient groups       | Domain Factor       | Accessibility of the      | General                  | B                |
| Patients’ wish for medication in mild      | 7                              | Patients/relatives not satisfied if the GP doesn’t prescribe “a pill” I get calmer if my GP     | Domain Factor       | recommendation            | Mild depression          | B                |
| Existing or non-existing social network    | 6                              | Use already established social network                                                            | Domain Factor       | Patient preferences       | Structured group interview | B                |
| Changing the behaviour                     | 6                              | I’m always finding excuses to avoid social contact                                                | Domain Factor       | Patient behaviour         | Social contact           | Patient interview |
| Limited knowledge of the condition         | 6                              | Lack of knowledge regarding algorithms for management                                              | Domain Factor       | Self-efficacy             | Collaborative care plan | B                |
| Supervising HCPs                           | 6                              | Community psychiatric nurses may supervise carers                                                 | Domain Factor       | Availability of necessary | Counselling              | HCP interview    |
| Frailty, low self-esteem                   | 5                              | Elderly may be shy                                                                               | Domain Factor       | Patient behaviour         | Depression care manager, counselling, severe depression | B                |
| Limited focus on the patient group         | 5                              | Labelling the patient’s condition as depression without investigating the wish “to do something”, | Domain Factor       | Domain knowledge          | Collaborative care plan | HCP interview    |
| Prescribing drugs is easy                  | 5                              | prescribing antidepressants is easy                                                               | Domain Factor       | Knowledge and skill       | Mild depression          | Brainstorming    |
| Information regarding ADs                 | 5                              | Increased knowledge among patients and their relatives will reduce the wish for medication       | Domain Factor       | Patient beliefs and knowledge | Mild depression          | HCP Interview |

Notes: 1 Of the 352 determinants, 256 were related or somewhat similar to other suggestions. This table presents the 87 determinants that were most commonly related to other suggestions; i.e. for which there were five or more related suggestions. 2 ADs = antidepressants.
Frailty and difficulties in changing routines in old age may also be barriers to social contact. On the other hand, previous social networks may facilitate social activities and increase patients’ self-esteem.

Recruiting volunteers to support social contact for depressed elderly patients might be challenging for several reasons. The service is limited in many municipalities. There may not be an identifiable person responsible for organizing the service, and there may be a lack of connection between the patient, the volunteer, and healthcare professionals. Volunteers may be reluctant to commit the time needed and they may lack training in communication with depressed patients.

GPs’ or nurses’ lack of knowledge concerning voluntary services in the community could also be a barrier:

GPs and other healthcare professionals are not aware of or do not know how to utilize voluntary services. (Group 1)

On the other hand:

Knowledge regarding the community’s voluntary services makes the implementation of the recommendation easier. (Group 2)

The modest cost of using volunteers to support patients to be socially active was also considered a facilitator.

**Recommendation 2: Collaborative care plan**

Participants identified 42 determinants for this recommendation, predominantly individual healthcare professional factors.

Participants were concerned that a collaborative care plan, if there was one, might not be implemented:

Who has the responsibility? There is a risk that responsibility is fragmented, unless the implementation is subject to good leadership. (Psychiatrist 1)

Lack of involvement of key professionals in developing a plan and vague content might make it hard to implement:

Actionable plans with shared ownership increase the plan’s feasibility. (Group 2)

**Recommendation 3: Depression care manager**

Participants identified 38 determinants for the use of a care manager. These were predominantly individual healthcare professional factors, incentives and resources, and patient factors.

Depression care managers might experience professional isolation. Participants perceived the quality of the relationship between the patient and the care manager as critical. It might either facilitate or impede implementation of this recommendation. Several of the participants criticized the recommendation for not emphasizing the professional qualifications of the care manager, and the discussion in the interviews tended to focus on limited availability of psychiatric nurses in the community. Participants thought it would be helpful if GPs had access to a system or manual for referral to and contact with care managers.

**Recommendation 4: Counselling**

Participants identified 45 determinants for this recommendation. These were predominantly patient factors, incentives, and resources, and healthcare professional factors.

One issue raised in all the interviews was the busy nature of general practice. Adhering to this recommendation would be time consuming:
Even if this service would have been good for me, I don't think that my GP would have time to offer it. (Patient 1)

Depressed elderly patients might lack motivation to engage in counselling in general, and computer skills to use web-based resources in particular. Patients who are not helped by counselling might lose motivation to adhere to treatment.

Lack of trained counsellors and variability in GPs' abilities was considered a barrier to providing counselling to depressed elderly patients:

You have to reduce the variability among GPs in order to change practice with regard to counselling. Perhaps psychiatry courses should be mandatory in order to get a license to practise as a GP. (GP1)

Recommendation 5: Antidepressants in mild depression

Participants identified 39 determinants of adherence to this recommendation. These were predominantly individual healthcare professional and patient factors. Some respondents were convinced that patients and their relatives might disagree with this recommendation:

In some ways, I feel calmer when my GP prescribes me a medication. (Patient 1)

One group noted:

Some patients with mild depression, or their relatives, might wish to receive a prescription despite knowing that the medication's efficacy is uncertain. (Group 1)

The busy nature of family practice may be a reason why it might be difficult to adhere to this recommendation:

GPs wish to do something and prescribing an antidepressant is easy. (Group 1)

Participants considered information to patients and the public about adverse effects and the limited effectiveness of antidepressants in patients with mild depression an important facilitator.

Participants highlighted that there is a lack of services in the community (such as volunteers, easy access to organized physical activity, and professionals who can provide counselling) that could support adherence to non-pharmacological interventions.

GPs' concerns that patients might worsen without antidepressants were also mentioned. In addition, one group suggested that GPs are accused of prescribing antidepressants too seldom, and that it is difficult to reverse a trend towards prescribing antidepressants.

Recommendation 6: Severe depression, recurrent and chronic depression, dysthymia

Participants identified 35 determinants for this recommendation. These were predominantly patient and individual healthcare professional factors.

Few GPs and psychiatric nurses provide cognitive therapy. Respondents in both groups and interviews claimed that depressed elderly patients were not prioritized for psychotherapy in primary or specialist care.

General determinants

Sixty-four suggested determinants of practice were not for a specific recommendation. These were generated by the probes used in the structured group discussions and individual interviews. One theme that recurred was how guidelines are disseminated. It is difficult for healthcare professionals to use guidelines disseminated as paper versions only. Participants preferred guidelines published in the Norwegian Electronic Medical Handbook or in other electronic systems, such as municipalities' websites and nurses' medical record systems. Participants suggested that the use of media campaigns to inform patients and their relatives would be helpful.

They considered the source of the guideline to be important:

Guidelines published by the Directorate of Health or the Association of General Practitioners have more impact than guidelines from specialist healthcare groups. (GP1)

They suggested that disease-specific guidelines usually do not reflect the complexity of patients in clinical practice. They identified the need for learning new skills and a lack of continuing medical education credits for the necessary training as other barriers.

Ranking the determinants

We rated each of the 352 suggested determinants for plausibility (the importance of the determinant) and feasibility (the extent to which the determinant could be addressed). We prioritized all determinants with a score of 4 or higher on both scales (plausibility = high or very high, or feasibility = easily or very easily addressed). This yielded 99 determinants that we attempted to address in designing an implementation strategy for the six recommendations. We list the 99 prioritized determinants in Supplementary Appendix D available online at http://informahealthcare.com/doi/abs/10.3109/02813432.2014.984961.
Survey findings

The results of the survey (Supplementary Appendix B available online at http://informahealthcare.com/doi/abs/10.3109/02813432.2014.984961) generally supported the findings of the interviews. However, due to the poor response rate, it was not possible to know how representative the responses were.

Discussion

Principal findings

Through group and individual interviews, we identified a large number of determinants of practice for six recommendations for managing depressed elderly patients in primary care. We have categorized these according to a generic checklist [10]. Approximately three-quarters of the total were from three of the seven domains in the checklist.

Strengths and weaknesses

Strengths of this study include the use of multiple methods, participants, and investigators to identify determinants. There are few if any previous studies comparing different methods to identify determinants of practice. For this reason, across the five TICD partners, we used a common protocol and chose to use both qualitative methods and a quantitative survey, and all the interviews contained both an open brainstorming part and a more structured part. This helps to ensure that we have identified a comprehensive list of determinants, as well as contributing to the comparison of different methods [13].

Different types of knowledge and perspectives can be necessary to identify determinants of practice including health service researchers’ experience and insight into mechanisms and knowledge regarding how and why a determinant influences practice, and healthcare professionals’ experience and clinical knowledge. Patients’ experiences, perceptions, and knowledge of their condition and circumstances may also be necessary. We included participants with all of these different perspectives. However, we interviewed only four patients, so our findings may not fully reflect the perspectives of depressed elderly patients. Elderly patients with present or past depression found the interview questions difficult. Thus we terminated recruitment of patients earlier than planned. An alternative might have been to recruit relatives of depressed elderly patients.

Another weakness of our study is that we did not collect information on participants’ perceptions of the relative importance of the determinants that they identified. Our findings suggest that there is likely to be wide variation across communities, practices, healthcare professionals, and patients. Our prioritization of determinants was based on our assessment of the importance of each determinant and the extent to which each determinant could be addressed. The findings of the interviews informed these assessments only to a limited extent. We will assess the degree to which we have identified the most important determinants by conducting a process evaluation alongside a cluster randomized trial of a tailored intervention that addresses the determinants that we prioritized [8,16].

Findings in relation to other studies

Other studies have explored barriers to detecting depression in the elderly [17,18]. McCabe and colleagues primarily focused on staff who worked with elderly patients in residential care. They found that staff resources, a lack of continuity of care, multiple comorbidities, reluctance of older people to discuss depression, and negative attitudes among carers, as well as a lack of skills, all contributed to a failure to detect and treat depression.

Gask and colleagues [19] identified three major barriers to the effectiveness of a complex educational intervention designed to provide GPs with training in the assessment and management of depression in adults. These were the lack of the GPs’ belief that they could have an impact on the outcome of depression, the appropriateness of the training, and the organizational context in which doctors had to implement what they had learned.

Nutting and colleagues [20] highlighted the importance of the relationship between the care manager and the clinician, which we also identified as a determinant in our study. We identified more determinants than previous studies. This might be due to the use of multiple methods, multiple informants, and a comprehensive checklist [10].

Implications for clinicians and policy-makers

The large number of determinants that we identified indicates the need for a systematic approach to prioritize which determinants to target in an implementation strategy. Healthcare professionals might want to consider these determinants in their own practices.
and could address many of the identified determinants on their own. However, a collective effort is necessary to improve adherence to these recommendations and improve the care of depressed elderly patients.
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