Changes in pulmonary function tests in breast carcinoma patients treated with locoregional post-mastectomy radiotherapy: results of a pilot study
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Background: The aim of present pilot study was to evaluate the changes in pulmonary function tests (PFTs) after locoregional post-mastectomy radiotherapy (PMRT) in breast cancer patients. Materials and methods: Twenty consecutive patients with histopathologically confirmed breast carcinoma stages T1–T4, N1–N2, who were treated with modified radical mastectomy with neoadjuvant or adjuvant chemotherapy underwent PFTs, including forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁), forced expiratory flow at 50%, and peak expiratory flow rate, maximum mid expiratory flow (MMEF₂₅–₇₅), maximal oxygen consumption (VO₂max), and carbon monoxide diffusing capacity (DLCO) before, at 30 days, and at 90 days after locoregional PMRT. A two-tailed paired Student’s t-test was used to compare mean values among the variables between the groups.

Results: A significant drop in FVC, FEV₁, and DLCO was noticed at day 90 after the completion of locoregional PMRT with \( P \)-values 0.033, 0.042, and 0.031, respectively, while MMEF₂₅–₇₅ and VO₂max were not significantly affected (\( P \)-values 0.075 and 0.062, respectively) favoring a restrictive lung injury pattern. However, no patient was found to be symptomatic.

Conclusion: A significant drop in reduction in PFTs occurred at day 90 after the completion of locoregional PMRT. PFTs shall be performed in all breast cancer patients receiving locoregional PMRT for early detection of radiation-induced lung toxicity as all patients in our cohort were found asymptomatic.
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Introduction
During the post-mastectomy radiotherapy (PMRT) with tangential fields, usually a small portion of the underlying lung is included within the radiation portals, which may result in potential risk of radiation-induced lung toxicity (RILT).¹ RILT is typically manifested clinically either as acute radiation-induced pneumonitis (RIP), or late radiation-induced fibrosis (RIF).²,³ RIP usually occurs 4–12 weeks after the completion of PMRT and in most of the patients it is often indolent, although in few it can present as cough and shortness of breath. In most of cases, RIP is regressed spontaneously or with use of steroids; however, it can also evolve into RIF as consequential late toxicity.⁴,⁵ RIP and RIF are mainly related to 1) the volume of irradiated lung parenchyma, 2) mean lung dose, 3) fractionation schedule, and 4) concurrent chemotherapy.⁶ However, pulmonary functional reserve is not only limited by previously mentioned factors, but also affected...
by 1) involvement or not of supraclavicular (SC) region,8 2) radiotherapy techniques,9 3) smoking habits,10 and 4) the use of concurrent tamoxifen.11 Although RILT may not increase the risk of death, it has a negative impact on quality of life, and breast carcinoma patients with compromised pulmonary functional reserve may have relatively inferior long-term treatment outcomes.8,3 There is limited data available regarding the changes in pulmonary function tests (PFTs) in breast carcinoma patients receiving PMRT. Few studies have documented a significant decrement in PFTs in breast carcinoma patients who were treated with PMRT including SC region and were given adjuvant chemotherapy.3,9,12

In the present study, we aimed to evaluate the acute changes in PFTs in breast carcinoma patients who received adjuvant chemotherapy and were treated with PMRT including SC regions.

Materials and methods

Eligibility

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of King Saud University. All participants provided written informed consent to participate in this study. There were, 20 consecutive eligible women presenting with breast carcinoma enrolled in this study, and were treated with PMRT (chest wall, SC region ± axilla) after modified radical mastectomy (MRM). Inclusion criteria were 1) patients with histopathological confirmed breast carcinoma, 2) patients with T1–T4, N1–N2, and 3) patients who underwent MRM with neoadjuvant or adjuvant chemotherapy.

Exclusion criteria were 1) patients with N0 status and were not candidates for SC region PMRT, 2) patients with N3 status or in whom radiotherapy to internal mammary lymph node was given, 3) patients who did not receive neoadjuvant/adjuvant chemotherapy, 4) presence of distant metastasis, 5) history of bronchial asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, 6) history of smoking, and 7) any contraindications for spirometry (unstable angina, history of myocardial infarction, or comprised cardiac functions, aorta aneurysm, cerebral aneurysms, and syncope associated with forced exhalation).

Radiotherapy techniques

All patients underwent computed tomography (CT) simulation in supine position on breast board with head turned to contralateral side of region of interest (ROI), with both arms placed above the head. CT data were obtained with a high-speed 16-slice helical scanner at 5 mm slices through the ROI. A single isocenter was marked at the level of match line between the SC and breast below the medial end of clavicle. After the acquisition of CT data, clinical target volume (CTV) including chest wall, SC region, and level III axillary lymph node region was delineated. Organs at risk (OAR) including spinal cord, heart, and both lungs were also delineated on each CT slice. For all patients, three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy plans were created using Eclipse™ (Varian Medical Systems, Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA) version 10.0. Opposed tangential fields were designed to encompass the contoured CTV chest wall. Superior border of the CTV chest wall field was defined by the inferior extent of the SC area, which corresponded to the single isocenter. The inferior edge was placed at 2 cm below the level of infra-mammary fold of contralateral breast. The angle of tangential fields was opted to avoid too much lung volume in tangential fields. Dynamic wedges were used to maintain homogeneity within ±10%. A single antero-posterior field was matched to the superior border of the CTV chest wall tangential fields to encompass the SC region (Figure 1). A gantry angle of 5°–15° was applied to minimize the spinal cord dose. Wedges were used to create homogeneity in each plan. A dose of 50 Gy in 25 fractions (2 Gy/fraction/day) was prescribed to the chest wall, SC, and level III axillary nodes. A dose-volume histogram (DVH)
Changes in pulmonary function tests was created to check the CTV dose coverage and the dose to each OAR. Treatment planning directed at good coverage of the CTV chest wall and SC and respected the International Commission on Reporting Units algorithms.

**Pulmonary function tests**
The study employed the ndd EasyOne Pro® Spirometry Lab system. This system was used to acquire baseline PFTs before the commencement of radiotherapy, consisting of forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁), maximum mid expiratory flow (MMEF₂₅₋₇₅), maximal oxygen consumption (VO₂max), and carbon monoxide diffusing capacity (DLCO). These measurements were repeated after 30 and 90 days after completion of radiotherapy. FVC was considered as surrogate for lung volume, while FEV₁ was considered a surrogate for the narrowing of large or medium-sized bronchi. MMEF₂₅₋₇₅ was regarded as a surrogate for the narrowing of bronchioles; VO₂max was defined as the oxygen uptake attained during maximal exercise intensity that could not be increased despite further increases in exercise workload, and DLCO was used as surrogate for the diffusing capacity through the alveolar-capillary barrier. Additionally, FEV₁% pred (FEV₁/FVC), forced expiratory flow at 50% when 50% of the FVC has been exhaled, and peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR) to assess expiratory muscle strength and large airway patency were noted down. Theoretically, FVC, FEV₁, and DLCO are reduced in RIP, and all of aforementioned parameters are reduced in RIP and lung fibrosis.

**DVH data**
For the purpose of study, DVH to lungs and volume percentages of the ipsilateral lung absorbing 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 Gy (V5, V10, V20, V30, V40, and V50), respectively, were estimated. The continuous variables were dichotomized at respective median values.

**Statistical analysis**
Statistical Package for Social Science version 24.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for data analysis. Mean, minimum, maximum, and standard deviation were calculated for quantitative data description. A two-tailed paired Student’s t-test was subsequently used to compare mean values among the variables between the groups. A P-value of 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**
Median age of cohort was 44.95 years (range: 25–68). Three patients (15%) were known to have comorbidities (hypertension and diabetes). Majority of the cohort (17 patients; 85%) had left-side breast cancer. Majority of the cohort (85%) also had advanced primary (T3 and T4 stages) and N2 status (65%). Other histopathological features, receptor status, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy doses in the study population are shown in Table 1. Neoadjuvant and adjuvant chemotherapy regimen in the cohort was three cycles of 5-fluorouracil 600 mg/m², epirubicin 60 mg/m², and cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m² every 3 weeks followed by three cycles of docetaxel 75 mg/m². In all, 5/20 (25%) patients were given trastuzumab during and after radiation therapy.

**Table 1 Patients’ baseline characteristics**

| Variables                 | Number (%) |
|---------------------------|------------|
| Age (years) mean          | 44.95 (25–68); SD 11.85 |
| Menopausal status         |            |
| Pre-menopausal            | 14 (70.0)  |
| Post-menopausal           | 6 (30.0)   |
| Comorbidities             |            |
| Hypertension              | 1 (5.0)    |
| Diabetes                  | 2 (10.0)   |
| Side                      |            |
| Right                     | 3 (15.0)   |
| Left                      | 17 (85.0)  |
| T stage                   |            |
| T1                        | 3 (15.0)   |
| T2                        | 16 (80.0)  |
| T4                        | 1 (5.0)    |
| N status                  |            |
| N1                        | 7 (35.0)   |
| N2                        | 13 (65.0)  |
| Histopathological type    |            |
| IDC                       | 19 (95.0)  |
| ILC                       | 1 (5.0)    |
| LVSI                      |            |
| Yes                       | 8 (40.0)   |
| No                        | 12 (60.0)  |
| Receptor status           |            |
| ER+/PR+/Her 2 neu–        | 3 (15.0)   |
| ER+/PR+/Her 2 neu+        | 5 (25.0)   |
| ER+/PR-/Her 2 neu–        | 6 (30.0)   |
| ER-/PR-/Her 2 neu–        | 4 (20.0)   |
| ER-/PR+/Her 2 neu–        | 2 (10.0)   |
| Chemotherapy              |            |
| Neoadjuvant               | 17 (35.0)  |
| Adjuvant                  | 3 (65.0)   |
| Radiotherapy dose (mean)  | 50 Gy (50–66 Gy) |

*Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; T, tumor; N, node; IDC, infiltrating ductal carcinoma; ILC, infiltrating lobular carcinoma; LVSI, lymphovascular invasion; ER, estrogen receptor; PR, progesterone receptor.*
of FVC, FEV\textsubscript{1}, and DLCO was noticed at day 90 after the completion of radiotherapy with P-values 0.033, 0.042, and 0.031, respectively (Figures 2–4), while MMEF\textsubscript{25–75} and VO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{max} were not significantly affected (Figures 5 and 6). All patients were found asymptomatic during the study period.

**DVH data**

Fourteen patients (70%) had V20 lung dose constraint <30%; however, in 6 (30%) V20 >30% of accepted due to the nature of the patient’s contours. The mean ipsilateral lung V5, V10, V20, V30, V40, and V50 were 39.5%, 27.8%, 22.5%, 18.7%, 15.0%, and 1.8%, respectively (Figure 7). Significant association between high V20 with decrease in FVC, FEV\textsubscript{1}, and DLCO was observed ($P = 0.01$, $P = 0.002$, and $P = 0.001$, respectively). Further, neoadjuvant chemotherapy was associated with decrease in DLCO at day 90 ($P = 0.001$).

**Discussion**

In our cohort, a significant reduction of FVC, FEV\textsubscript{1}, and DLCO and an association with ipsilateral lung V20 were observed at day 90 after the completion of PMRT; however, no deterioration of MMEF\textsubscript{25–75} and VO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{max} was observed. These results are in agreement with previously published data.\textsuperscript{12,13} An equal reduction of FVC and FEV\textsubscript{1} with normal FEV\textsubscript{1}\% pred suggests an acute exudative inflammatory process in the alveolar spaces, favoring a restrictive lung injury pattern (RIP or RIF).\textsuperscript{14} Further, unaltered MMEF\textsubscript{25–75} supports the restrictive radiation-induced lung injury in our cohort, as deterio-

**Table 2** Pulmonary function tests performed before, at day 30, and at day 90 after radiotherapy

| Parameters       | Baseline Mean (range) | Day 30 Mean (range) | Day 90 Mean (range) | P-value |
|------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------|
| FVC (L)          | 2.94 (2.74–3.11)       | 2.94 (2.70–3.10)     | 2.68 (2.42–2.92)     | 0.033   |
| FEV\textsubscript{1} (L/s) | 2.52 (2.43–2.68) | 2.12 (2.04–2.64)     | 2.30 (2.15–2.52)     | 0.042   |
| FEV\textsubscript{1}\% pred (FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC) | 85.7 (77.8–99.8)     | 83.7 (75–99.8)        | 84.5 (75.3–90.8)     | 0.053   |
| MMEF\textsubscript{25–75} (L/s) | 3.36 (3.18–3.53) | 3.35 (3.16–3.52)     | 3.32 (3.15–3.49)     | 0.075   |
| VO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{max} (L/min) | 1.84 (1.69–2.09)     | 1.72 (1.67–1.77)      | 1.70 (1.65–1.74)     | 0.062   |
| DLCO (mmol/kPa/min) | 8.04 (7.72–8.36) | 7.95 (7.58–8.31)     | 7.81 (7.50–8.18)     | 0.031   |
| FEF\textsubscript{50} (L/s) | 4.04 (3.79–4.36) | 3.90 (3.73–4.34)     | 3.98 (3.78–4.02)     | 0.054   |
| PEFR (%)         | 94.5 (83.9–104.8)     | 92.9 (81.85–103.37)  | 93.6 (83.15–101.47) | 0.083   |

Notes: Bold figures represent $P<0.05$.

Abbreviations: FVC, forced vital capacity; FEV\textsubscript{1}, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; MMEF\textsubscript{25–75}, maximum mid expiratory flow; VO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{max}, maximum oxygen consumption; DLCO, carbon monoxide diffusing capacity; FEF\textsubscript{50}, forced expiratory flow at 50%; PEFR, peak expiratory flow rate.

---

Figure 2 FVC measurements before and after treatment for breast cancer patients treated with local radiotherapy.

**Figure 3** FEV\textsubscript{1} measurements before and after treatment for breast cancer patients treated with local radiotherapy.

Abbreviation: FVC, forced vital capacity.
Changes in pulmonary function tests rated MMEF\textsubscript{25–75} is related to damage to bronchioles leading to obstructive lung disease.\textsuperscript{15} Similarly, VO\textsubscript{2max}, which is a measure of physical fitness of an individual, was found unchanged in our cohort. Possible explanation for this could be the compensation of RILT by contralateral healthy lung.\textsuperscript{16}

Although we did not aim to investigate the ability of PFTs to predict the risk of RILT, but topic is still arguable, as some studies have reported that better baseline PFTs predicted lower risk of RILT, whereas some studies did not see any correlation between PFTs and RILT.\textsuperscript{17,18} Impact of PFTs to predict the risk of RILT can be explained by the few hypotheses: 1) theoretically, individuals with better baseline PFTs have relatively higher level of cellular oxygenation, thus more radiosensitivity of alveoli and more risk of RILT;\textsuperscript{19} 2) on the other hand, patients with poor baseline PFTs are more likely to present with symptoms prior to radiotherapy, and in individuals with severe baseline pulmonary symptoms, the RILT score is less likely to decrease by one grade;\textsuperscript{19,20} 3) as most of PFT data are available from lung cancer trials comprising patients who are mostly with emphysematous lungs. Since emphysema represents physiological missing lung parenchyma, theoretically one would expect less risk.

---

Figure 4 DLCO measurements before and after treatment for breast cancer patients treated with local radiotherapy. **Abbreviation:** DLCO, carbon monoxide diffusing capacity.

Figure 5 MMEF\textsubscript{25–75} measurements before and after treatment for breast cancer patients treated with local radiotherapy. **Abbreviation:** MMEF\textsubscript{25–75}, maximum mid expiratory flow.

Figure 6 VO\textsubscript{2max} measurements before and after treatments for breast cancer patients treated with local radiotherapy. **Abbreviation:** VO\textsubscript{2max}, maximum oxygen consumption.
of RILT risk; and 4) physicians’ bias reduction of total dose or putting more stringent lung constraints in patients with poor baseline PFTs; however, further studies using the radiological data are warranted. As RILT with clinical symptoms is relatively rare (incidence <3%) and of mild nature especially with use of modern radiation therapy techniques, the treatment is oral steroids; therefore prevention (reducing lung volume and fraction size) is the key buttress. Further, treatment for patients with decrease in PFTs in the absence of clinical symptoms needs further investigation.

Limitations of the present study were 1) a relatively small sample size, 2) short follow-up duration, and 3) lack of correlation analysis between PFTs and RILT.

Conclusion
In conclusion, PFTs shall be performed in all breast cancer patients receiving PMRT for early detection of RILT as most of the patients in our cohort were asymptomatic. Studies incorporating longer duration of follow-up and large sample size are warranted to address clinical significance of PFTs in breast cancer patients receiving PMRT.
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