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Abstract

Background Signet ring cell carcinoma (SRC) is a rare histological subtype of gallbladder adenocarcinoma. The current study evaluates the clinicopathologic features and prognosis of SRC.

Methods Patients with adenocarcinoma of the gallbladder were identified in the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results database from 1973 to 2016. Overall survival (OS) was assessed using Cox regression method.

Results Of 22,781 gallbladder adenocarcinomas retrieved, 377 (1.7%) were SRC and the other 22,404 were non-SRC. SRC was more significantly associated with older age, female gender, poor differentiation, advanced tumor stage, lymph node metastasis, distant metastasis, and advanced AJCC stage. The 1-, 2-, and 5-year OS was 28.1%, 16.8% and 7.2% for SRC vs. 34.9%, 23.1% and 13.2% for non-SRC, respectively ($P = 0.002$). Multivariable analysis showed that the SRC histology was independently associated with a dismal prognosis (hazard ratio [HR] 1.256, $P = 0.021$). Surgery in combination with chemotherapy improved OS of gallbladder SRC patients compared with surgery alone (HR 0.726, $P = 0.036$) or chemotherapy alone (HR 0.433, $P < 0.001$).

Conclusion Patients with SRC of the gallbladder have distinct clinicopathological features with poor prognosis. Surgery in combination with chemotherapy can improve survival.

Background

Signet ring cell carcinoma (SRC) is an adenocarcinoma in which more than 50% of the tumor consists of isolated or small groups of malignant cells containing intracytoplasmic mucins [1]. More than 96% SRCs arise in the stomach, accounting for 11–37% of all gastric cancers [2–5]. SRC of the gallbladder is extremely rare, and little is known about the clinicopathological characteristics, prognosis, and optimal treatment. We sought to address this issue through the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database, a large population-based cancer registry.

Methods

Data Source And Study Cohort

The adenocarcinoma of the gallbladder part in the SEER database diagnosed from 1973 to 2016 was the source of present analysis. The diagnosis of SRC and non-SRC was according to the third edition of the International Classification of Disease for Oncology (ICD-O) code 8490 and 8140 respectively. Patients with no follow-up or vital status information were excluded. The American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) staging manual (7th edition) was applied in this study. The main outcome was overall survival (OS), which was defined as the time from initial diagnosis to death of any cause.

Statistical analysis
Categorical variables were compared using a Pearson $\chi^2$ tests or Fisher exact test. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to calculate survival curves, and the log-rank test was used to identify statistically significant covariates associated with OS in univariate analysis. To identify independent risk factors of OS, multivariate Cox proportional hazard models were applied. A $P$ value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data was analyzed using SPSS (version 24.0; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL).

**Results**

Of the 22,781 gallbladder adenocarcinomas included in this study, 377 (1.7%) were SRC and the other 22,404 were non-SRC (Fig 1). The median follow-up duration was 6 months. At the end of the follow-up period, 3,050 patients (13.4%) were alive, 13,890 patients (61.0%) died from cancer, and 5,841 (25.6%) patients died of other causes.

The clinicopathological characteristics of the patients are listed in Table 1. SRC was more significantly associated with older age, female gender, poor differentiation, advanced tumor stage, lymph node metastasis, distant metastasis, and advanced AJCC stage. Regarding treatment, more SRC patients received surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy than non-SRC patients.

**Survival**

The median follow-up period was 5 (range, 0-270) months for SRC group and 6 (range, 0-487) months for non-SRC group. The 1-, 2- and 5-year OS was 28.1%, 16.8% and 7.2% for SRC vs. 34.9%, 23.1% and 13.2% for non-SRC, respectively ($P = 0.002$) (Fig 2). Multivariable analysis showed that SRC was an independent determinant of OS. The other independent predictors of survival were age > 65 years, male gender, advanced AJCC stage, the marriage status, poor differentiation, year of diagnosis, no surgery, and no chemotherapy (Table 2).

Of the 377 gallbladder SRC patients, 99 with undefined treatment information were excluded. In the remaining 278 patients, 153 (55%) received surgery alone, 14 (5%) received surgery in combination with radiotherapy, 79 (28.4%) received surgery in combination with chemotherapy, and 32 (11.5%) received chemotherapy alone. Comparison of OS between patients who underwent surgery and those who received chemotherapy alone showed that the long-term survival of patients who received surgery in combination with chemotherapy, but not with radiotherapy, were significantly better than those who received surgery or chemotherapy alone (Table 3).

**Discussion**

The clinicopathological characteristics and prognosis of patients with gallbladder SRC remain unclear, possibly because of its rarity. Current knowledge about gallbladder SRC is mainly extrapolated from anecdotal case reports, with limited statistical power [6–17]. It is therefore necessary to undertake an analysis on gallbladder SRC based on large databases such as SEER that can provide a more comprehensive and larger sample size cohort of patients. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first
population-based analysis to describe the clinicopathological characteristics, prognosis and treatment strategies specific to gallbladder SRC.

In this large population-based study, 22,781 patients with gallbladder adenocarcinomas (SRC and non-SRC) were identified from the SEER database, of whom 1.7% patients were diagnosed with gallbladder SRC. The mean age of the SRC patients was 69.0 years in our cohort, similar to the mean age of 61.3 (range 22–86) years reported in the previous articles [6–17]. Contrary to the finding of male predilection for primary SRC in other sites, such as the pancreas and colon, our study showed that the male-female ratio was 0.30 for gallbladder SRC, presenting a female predilection [18–21]. This difference may be caused by the female-predilection nature of gallbladder carcinoma itself [22]. Among this cohort, we found that patients with gallbladder SRC were more significantly associated with older age, female gender, poor differentiation, advanced tumor stage, lymph node metastasis, distant metastasis, and advanced AJCC stage than those with non-SRC. When adjusting for other clinical and demographical features that were available, SRC was identified as an independent negative prognostic factor in patients with gallbladder adenocarcinomas. Although SRC exhibits dedifferentiated, highly malignant and aggressive properties, its mechanism remains unclear. Previous articles have reported that the abnormal activation of ErbB2/ErbB3 or loss of E-cadherin and MUC4 may deprive signet ring cells of the ability to maintain cell-to-cell contact, thereby promoting invasion and metastasis [23–26]. This mechanism may partly explain the high metastasis rate and poor prognosis of SRC, as derived from our analyses.

Given the poor prognosis of gallbladder SRC, it is necessary to find an optimal treatment strategy. Total tumor excision with adjuvant chemoradiotherapy is the mainstay of treatment for gallbladder adenocarcinomas at present [27, 28]. However, no standardized protocol and guideline for the treatment of gallbladder SRC are available at present because of the limited number of cases and studies. In the previous 12 cases reported, five patients underwent surgery with chemotherapy [6, 8, 10, 13, 17], three underwent surgery alone [7, 9, 12], one underwent surgery with chemoradiotherapy [14], two received no treatment [11, 15], and one had no detail information [16]. In our analysis, we found that patients who underwent surgery, with or without chemotherapy or radiotherapy, had better survival than those who received chemotherapy alone (Table 3). When compared with surgery alone, we found an interesting trend, showing that patients who underwent surgery with chemotherapy had significantly improved OS ($P = 0.036$), whereas no difference in OS was shown in patients who underwent surgery with radiotherapy ($P = 0.467$), suggesting that surgery with chemotherapy may be the optimal treatment for gallbladder SRC, which is consistent with the traditional management strategy of SRC in other sites [29–31]. As for adjuvant radiotherapy, no benefit was obtained in our study, and a similar result was also reported in a study involving 51 patients with stage II rectal SRC [32]. In addition, previous studies have reported that SRC histology seems associated with resistance to radiotherapy in patients with cervical and esophageal adenocarcinoma [33, 34]. Therefore, adjuvant radiotherapy is not recommended for routine treatment of SRC.

The present study represents the first and largest study on gallbladder SRC to date, but several limitations remain. Firstly, selection bias could not be ignored due to the retrospective nature of the study. In addition,
some important information about therapies was not recorded in the SEER database, such as the radiation dosage and chemotherapy regimens. Meanwhile, some important variables associated with survival, including co-morbidities and the resection margin status, were also not accessible. Finally, we did not study the effect of radiotherapy alone on survival, for no patient in our cohort received radiotherapy alone. Despite these limitations, the results of this study can still provide clinicians with deeper insights into this rare tumor.

**Conclusion**

SRC of the gallbladder has a worse prognosis than non-SRC, with poorer differentiation, and a more advanced stage. Surgery with chemotherapy is the main treatment strategy to improve survival, which supports the traditional management strategy of SRC. However, no survival advantage was obtained from adjuvant radiotherapy in the current study.
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Tables

Table 1. Baseline demographic and clinicopathological characteristics of patients with SRC vs. non-SRC.
| Parameters                        | SRC (n=377) | Non-SRC (n=22404) | P Value |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|---------|
| Age, years                       |             |                   |         |
| < 60                             | 83 (22.0%)  | 6954 (31.0%)      | 0.001   |
| ≥ 60                             | 294 (78.0%) | 15450 (69.0%)     |         |
| Sex                              |             |                   |         |
| Male                             | 88 (23.3%)  | 6625 (29.6%)      | 0.009   |
| Female                           | 289 (76.7%) | 15779 (70.4%)     |         |
| Race                             |             |                   |         |
| White                            | 291 (77.2%) | 17781 (79.4%)     | 0.535   |
| Black                            | 44 (11.7%)  | 2264 (10.1%)      |         |
| Other                            | 42 (11.1%)  | 2359 (10.5%)      |         |
| Clinical T-stage                 |             |                   |         |
| T1-T2                            | 87 (23.1%)  | 4750 (21.2%)      | 0.001   |
| T3-T4                            | 121 (32.1%) | 5248 (23.4%)      |         |
| Unknown                          | 169 (44.8%) | 12406 (55.4%)     |         |
| Lymph node metastasis            |             |                   |         |
| No                               | 115 (30.5%) | 6802 (30.4%)      | 0.001   |
| Yes                              | 91 (24.1%)  | 3158 (14.1%)      |         |
| Unknown                          | 171 (45.4%) | 12444 (55.5%)     |         |
| Distant metastasis               |             |                   |         |
| No                               | 133 (35.3%) | 6693 (29.9%)      | 0.001   |
| Yes                              | 93 (24.7%)  | 4445 (19.8%)      |         |
| Unknown                          | 151 (40.1%) | 11266 (50.3%)     |         |
| AJCC clinical stage              |             |                   |         |
| I -II                            | 118 (31.3%) | 5811 (25.9%)      | 0.001   |
| III -IV                          | 105 (27.9%) | 5054 (22.6%)      |         |
| Unknown                          | 154 (40.8%) | 11539 (51.5%)     |         |
| Histologic grade                 |             |                   |         |
| Well-moderate                    | 23 (6.1%)   | 8629 (38.5%)      | 0.001   |
| Poor- undifferentiated | 269 (71.4%) | 6588 (29.4%) |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Unknown               | 85 (22.5%)  | 7187 (32.1%) |

**Surgery**

| Yes       | 246 (65.3%) | 7138 (31.9%) |
|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| No        | 66 (17.5%)  | 3556 (15.9%) |
| Unknown   | 65 (17.2%)  | 11710 (52.3%)|

**Radiotherapy**

| Yes       | 52 (13.8%)  | 2354 (10.5%) |
|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| No        | 325 (86.2%) | 20050 (89.5%)|

**Chemotherapy**

| Yes       | 125 (33.2%) | 6006 (26.8%) |
|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| No        | 252 (66.8%) | 16398 (73.2%)|

**Year of diagnosis**

| 1975-2009 | 249 (66.0%) | 15676 (70.0%) |
|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| 2010-2016 | 128 (34.0%) | 6728 (30.0%)  |

**Marital status**

| Married   | 183 (48.5%) | 11854 (52.9%) |
|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Unmarrieda | 194 (51.5%) | 10550 (47.1%) |

*SRC* signet ring cell carcinoma

Table 2. Univariate and multivariate analysis of predictors for overall survival
| Parameters                        | Univariate |          |          |          |          |
|----------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                                  | HR (95% CI)| P Value  | HR (95% CI)| P Value  |
| Age, years                       |            |          |            |          |
| ≤ 65                             | Reference  |          | Reference |          |
| >65                              | 1.428 (1.384-1.473) | 0.001    | 1.484 (1.383-1.594) | 0.001    |
| Sex                              |            |          |            |          |
| Male                             | Reference  |          | Reference |          |
| Female                           | 0.950 (0.922-0.980) | 0.001    | 0.894 (0.833-0.960) | 0.002    |
| Race                             |            |          |            |          |
| White                            | Reference  |          | Reference |          |
| Black                            | 0.980 (0.935-1.027) | 0.395    | 1.065 (0.965-1.175) | 0.210    |
| Other                            | 0.847 (0.808-0.888) | 0.001    | 0.907 (0.815-1.009) | 0.073    |
| Clinical T-stage                 |            |          |            |          |
| T1-2                             | Reference  |          |          |          |
| T3-4                             | 2.710 (2.584-2.841) | 0.001    |          |          |
| Lymph node metastasis            |            |          |            |          |
| No                               | Reference  |          |          |          |
| Yes                              | 1.425 (1.359-1.494) | 0.001    |          |          |
| Distant metastasis               |            |          |            |          |
| No                               | Reference  |          |          |          |
| Yes                              | 3.101 (2.965-3.244) | 0.001    |          |          |
| AJCC Clinical stage              |            |          |            |          |
| I- II                            | Reference  |          | Reference |          |
| III - IV                         | 3.210 (3.066-3.361) | 0.001    | 2.807 (2.519-3.041) | 0.001    |
| Surgery                          |            |          |            |          |
| No                               | Reference  |          | Reference |          |
| Yes                              | 0.370 (0.355-0.387) | 0.001    | 0.575 (0.519-0.637) | 0.001    |
| Radiation                        |            |          |            |          |
| No                               | Reference  |          | Reference |          |
|                          | HR (95% CI)   | p-value | HR (95% CI)   | p-value |
|--------------------------|--------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| Chemotherapy             |              |         |              |         |
| No                       | Reference    | Reference | Reference    | Reference |
| Yes                      | 0.605 (0.578-0.634) | 0.001 | 0.908 (0.820-1.006) | 0.065 |
| Histologic grade         |              |         |              |         |
| Well- moderate           | Reference    | Reference | Reference    | Reference |
| Poor-undifferentiated    | 1.838 (1.774-1.903) | 0.001 | 1.632 (1.527-1.745) | 0.001 |
| Histology type           |              |         |              |         |
| Non-SRC                  | Reference    | Reference | Reference    | Reference |
| SRC                      | 1.184 (1.063-1.320) | 0.002 | 1.256 (1.035-1.523) | 0.021 |
| Year of diagnosis        |              |         |              |         |
| 1998-2009                | Reference    | Reference | Reference    | Reference |
| 2010-2016                | 0.796 (0.771-0.823) | 0.001 | 0.912 (0.848-0.981) | 0.013 |
| Marital status           |              |         |              |         |
| Unmarried\(^a\)          | Reference    | Reference | Reference    | Reference |
| Married                  | 0.819 (0.796-0.842) | 0.001 | 0.865 (0.809-0.924) | 0.001 |

**SRC** signet ring cell carcinoma, **HR** hazard ratio, **CI** confidence interval

Table 3. Prognosis of patient with signet ring cell carcinoma stratified by treatment.
| Variables     | N   | Overall survival (%) | HR (95% CI)                  | P value |
|---------------|-----|-----------------------|------------------------------|---------|
|               |     | 1-year | 2-year | 5-year |                       |         |
| **Whole group** | 278 |          |        |        |                       |         |
| CT alone      | 32  | 3.3     | 0      | 0      | Reference             | -       |
| Surgery alone | 153 | 35      | 21.3   | 7.8    | 0.605 (0.403–0.909)   | 0.015   |
| Surgery + RT  | 14  | 35      | 8.9    | 0      | 0.478 (0.244–0.937)   | 0.032   |
| Surgery + CT  | 79  | 39      | 12.9   | 8.6    | 0.433 (0.279–0.671)   | <0.001 |
| **Surgery group** | 246 |          |        |        |                       |         |
| Surgery alone | 153 | 35      | 21.3   | 7.8    | Reference             | -       |
| Surgery + RT  | 14  | 35.7    | 8.9    | 0      | 0.802 (0.444–1.451)   | 0.467   |
| Surgery + CT  | 79  | 39      | 12.9   | 8.6    | 0.726 (0.538–0.980)   | 0.036   |

HR hazard ratio; 95% CI 95% confidence interval; CT chemotherapy; RT radiotherapy