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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

\textbf{Background:} High-throughput assays for the SARS-CoV-2 virus are critical to increasing test capacity and slowing the spread of COVID-19. Abbott Molecular developed and received emergency use authorization (EUA) to deploy the new RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay, run on the automated \textsuperscript{m}2000 system.

\textbf{Objective:} To evaluate analytical and clinical performance of the RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay compared to the SARS-CoV-2 CDC-based laboratory developed test (LDT) in clinical use by the University of Washington Clinical Virology Laboratory (UW Virology).

\textbf{Methods:} RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay limit of detection (LOD) was evaluated by testing two dilution panels of 60 replicates each. Cross-reactivity was evaluated by testing 24 clinical samples positive for various non-SARS-CoV-2 respiratory viruses. Clinical performance was evaluated using 30 positive and 30 negative SARS-CoV-2 clinical samples previously tested using the UW Virology SARS-CoV-2 LDT.

\textbf{Results:} Exceeding the 100 copies/mL LOD reported in the RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay EUA product insert, 19 of 20 replicates were detected at 50 copies/mL and 16 of 20 replicates were detected at 25 copies/mL. All clinical samples positive for 24 non-SARS-CoV-2 respiratory viruses were SARS-CoV-2 negative on the RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay. The assay had high sensitivity (93%) and specificity (100%) for detecting SARS-CoV-2 in clinical samples. Two positive samples that tested negative with the RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay had cycle numbers of 35.94 or greater and required dilution prior to testing. One of these samples was also inconclusive on the SARS-CoV-2 LDT.

\textbf{Conclusion:} The RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay is acceptable for clinical use. With the high-throughput, fully automated \textsuperscript{m}2000 system, this assay will accelerate the pace of SARS-CoV-2 testing.

\textbf{1. Introduction}

Since the SARS-CoV-2 virus was first detected by a polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based assay in December 2019 in Wuhan City, China, the disease caused by the virus, COVID-19 has spread rapidly to nearly every country around the globe [1]. The first case of COVID-19 in the United States was reported in mid-January 2020 [2], and the number of confirmed cases and infection-related deaths have continued to rise exponentially. Efforts have focused on slowing the spread of infection through social distancing and access to fast and accurate SARS-CoV-2 testing to track and contain the disease [3]. Delays in test availability have heightened the urgency for the development of high-throughput SARS-CoV-2 assays for use under emergency use authorization (EUA) from the Food and Drug Administration.

Abbott Molecular (Des Plaines, IL) recently developed and received EUA approval for a real-time PCR test to detect SARS-CoV-2 RNA in nasopharyngeal and oropharyngeal swabs from individuals with suspected COVID-19 [4]. The RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay amplifies target
regions of the SARS-CoV-2 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) gene and the N gene with the same fluorophore within a single well. The assay is run on the automated m2000 system currently in use nationally by reference laboratories and university hospitals; in addition, the automated m2000 platform is available internationally. The m2000 system can process up to 96 samples simultaneously, with 470 test results reported in approximately 24 h.

We validated the analytical performance of the RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay and verified the clinical performance compared to the SARS-CoV-2 CDC-based laboratory developed test (LDT), which is currently in use at the University of Washington Clinical Virology Laboratory (UW Virology). The SARS-CoV-2 LDT is a real-time PCR assay that targets and amplifies two regions within the SARS-CoV-2 N-gene in separate reaction wells to detect nucleic acid in nasopharyngeal/oropharyngeal swabs, nasal swabs, sputum, and bronchoalveolar fluid samples [5,6].

2. Methods

2.1. Study site

Validation and verification of the analytical and clinical performance of the Abbott RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay was conducted using the automated Abbott m2000 sp/rt System located in the University of Washington Retrovirology Laboratory at Harborview Medical Center. Use of de-identified excess clinical material for assay validation was deemed to be non-human subjects by the University of Washington Institutional Review Board.

2.2. Assay procedures

To validate assay performance specifications, the assay was performed per the RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay EUA product insert [4]. An optional step of vortexing samples followed by centrifugation at 2000 x g for 5 min was performed prior to loading samples onto the instrument. A sample volume of 0.5 mL was extracted and an eluate volume of 40 µL was utilized in the PCR reaction.

2.3. Validation of analytical performance

The RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay limit of detection (LOD) was evaluated by testing two panels of 60 replicates each with the following target concentrations: 100 copies/mL (n = 20), 50 copies/mL (n = 20), 25 copies/mL (n = 20), 10 copies/mL (n = 40), and 5 copies/mL (n = 20). The panel was prepared by serially diluting assay positive controls (with a target concentration of 1000 copies/mL) with RPMI in lieu of viral transport medium, which was in limited supply at the time of the study. The performance of the RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay was compared to the UW Virology SARS-CoV-2 CDC-based LDT using the same diluted positive controls [6].

RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay cross-reactivity was evaluated by testing 24 clinical samples from the UW Virology Laboratory that were positive for various non-SARS-CoV-2 respiratory viruses (Table 1). Samples with insufficient volume were diluted with RPMI to obtain the required minimum volume for the assay (0.8 mL).

2.4. Verification of clinical performance

RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay clinical performance was evaluated with 30 known SARS-CoV-2 positive clinical samples and 30 known SARS-CoV-2 negative clinical samples (nasal and nasopharyngeal) in viral transport media. Frozen remnants of samples previously tested for SARS-CoV-2 at the UW Virology Laboratory using the SARS-CoV-2 LDT were used for the evaluation. Remnant samples with insufficient volume were diluted with RPMI to obtain the required minimum volume for the assay (0.8 mL). Remnant SARS-CoV-2 negative clinical samples had sufficient volume for testing.

Table 1

| Virus                                      | Number of Samples Tested |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Coronavirus (non-SARS-CoV-2)*              | 7                       |
| Rhinovirus                                 | 2                       |
| Parainfluenza virus 1                      | 1                       |
| Parainfluenza virus 3                      | 2                       |
| Parainfluenza virus 4                      | 2                       |
| Respiratory syncytial virus                | 2                       |
| Adenovirus                                 | 2                       |
| Metapneumovirus                            | 3                       |
| Influenza A                                | 2                       |
| Influenza B                                | 1                       |

* Respiratory specimens were obtained during the past calendar year and were RT-PCR-positive using a set of pан coronaviruses primers and probes.

3. Results

3.1. Analytical performance

Per the product insert, the RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay 95% LOD is 100 copies/mL determined using a recombinant virus containing SARS-CoV-2 RNA serially diluted in simulated nasal matrix. [5]. The number of replicates that were detected for each level of the LOD panel are shown in Table 2 for the Abbott and UW Virology SARS-CoV-2 assays. All 24 clinical samples positive for non-SARS-CoV-2 respiratory viruses yielded SARS-CoV-2 negative results (Supplementary Table 1).

3.2. Clinical performance

Twenty-eight out of 30 SARS-CoV-2 positive clinical samples on the SARS-CoV-2 LDT were also positive by the RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay (Supplementary Table 2). One of the two potentially discordant samples was negative with the RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay had a cycle number of 35.97 for target N1 and 35.94 for target N2 with the SARS-CoV-2 LDT. The second discordant sample was inconclusive with the SARS-CoV-2 LDT, with only one of two targets (target N1) detected with a cycle number of 36.14. Additionally, both of the discordant samples required a dilution of 1:2 or more prior to testing with the RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay (Supplementary Table 2).

4. Discussion

This study validated the analytical performance of the EUA RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay. Limit of detection results exceeded the 100 copies/mL 95% LOD target, with 19 of 20 replicates detected at 50 copies/mL and 16 of 20 replicates detected at 25 copies/mL. Specificity of the assay was consistent with the in silico analysis reported in the EUA RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay product insert [4]. We found that all clinical samples positive for 24 non-SARS-CoV-2 respiratory viruses were SARS-CoV-2 negative on the RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay.

We also found high sensitivity (93%) and specificity (100%) of the RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay in our clinical performance analysis. As reported in the product insert [4], initial clinical performance of the EUA RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay with 60 known positive samples (at 1X to 20X LOD) and 30 known negative samples found 100% positive percent agreement (PPA: CI 94.0, 100.0) and 100% negative percent agreement (NPA: CI 88.8, 100.0). We did not expect 100% agreement due to differences between the RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay and the SARS-CoV-2 CDC-based laboratory developed test. Two samples that were discordant between the two methods had a late cycle number with the SARS-CoV-2 LDT and required dilution prior to testing with the RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay. Previous handling of the remnant samples also could have contributed to the discordant results.

In conclusion, we validated the analytical performance and
evaluated the comparative clinical performance of the RealTime SARS-CoV-2 assay and found the assay to be acceptable for clinical use. Based on the limit of detection determined here, we expect this assay has the highest analytical sensitivity of any qRT-PCR currently on the market [7]. Running the assay on the high-throughput, fully automated m2000sp/rt system will make it possible to accelerate the pace of SARS-CoV-2 testing both domestically and internationally, with the ultimate goal of tracking the disease and targeting interventions that will eventually slow the spread of COVID-19.
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Table 2

| Target Concentration (copies/mL) | Number of Replicates Tested | Number of Replicates Detected Abbott Assay | Number of Replicates Detected or Inconclusive UW Virology LDT |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| 100                              | 20                          | 20                                       | 16                                                          |
| 50                               | 20                          | 19                                       | 8                                                           |
| 25                               | 20                          | 16                                       | 3                                                           |
| 10                               | 40                          | 14                                       | 4                                                           |
| 5                                | 20                          | 4                                        | 0                                                           |