On the quantitative relation between dark kinetics of NPQ-induced changes in variable fluorescence and the activation state of the CF$_0$·CF$_1$·ATPase in leaves
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Abstract

The variable fluorescence at the maximum $F_m$ of the fluorescence induction (Kautsky) curve is known to be substantially suppressed shortly after light adaption due to nonphotochemical $q_E$ quenching. The kinetic pattern of the dark decay at $F_m$ consists of three components with rates $\sim$20, $\sim$1, and $\sim$0.1 s$^{-1}$, respectively. Light adaptation has no or little effect on these rate constants. It causes a decrease in the ratio between the amplitudes of the slow and fast one with negligible change in the small amplitude of the ultra-slow component. Results add to evidence for the hypothesis that the dark-reversible decrease in variable fluorescence accompanying light adaptation during the P–S phase of the fluorescence induction curve is due to an alteration in nonphotochemical $q_E$ quenching caused by changes in the trans-thylakoid proton motive force in response to changes in the proton conductance $g_{H+}$ of the CF$_0$-channel of the CF$_0$CF$_1$·ATPase.
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Introduction

The photosynthetic process in plants, algae, and bacteria owes its high energetic potential to a flexible, structural, and functional membrane organization (Ke 2001). A variety of multi-subunit protein complexes constituting the photosynthetic apparatus is involved in light harvesting (antennas) and transduction (reaction centers) in photochemical systems (PSI and PSII) and in a coupled electron and proton circuitry with active sources (pumps) and passive transport elements (chains and channels). The proper orientation and membrane organization of the photosynthetic machinery serves (I) the planar separation of two photochemical systems PSI and PSII, laterally connected by the cytochrome $b_f$ complex that interacts with the plastoquinone (PQ) pool; it allows the uphill

Abbreviations: CET – cyclic electron transport involving PSI; CF$_0$F$_1$ – subunits of chloroplasts ATPase; ECS – absorbance changes associated with the electrochemical band shift; $F_0$ – fluorescence level of dark-adapted system with 100% open RCs; $F_m$ – fluorescence level of dark-adapted system with 100% closed RCs after fluorescence saturating pulse excitation; $g_{H+}^{\text{thyl}}$ – the conductivity of the thylakoid membrane to protons, predominantly determined by the activity of the ATP synthase; $g_{H+}^{\text{open CF0}}$ – $H^+$ conductance of the closed CF$_0$ channel of the CF$_0$F$_1$·ATPase; $g_{H+}^{\text{open CF0}}$ – $H^+$ conductance of the open CF$_0$ channel of the CF$_0$F$_1$·ATPase; LET – linear electron transport involving PSI and PSII; M – time range (30 s) at which the final F-decline in the Kautsky induction curve starts; NPQ – nonphotochemical quenching; OJIPSMT – Kautsky fluorescence induction curve; P – time range (~0.5–2 s) in Kautsky induction curve where stimulation of variable chlorophyll fluorescence F by pmf and release of photochemical and electrochemical quenching is maximal; pmf – proton motive force; RC – reaction center of photosystem; S – time range (~15 s) in Kautsky induction curve where the contribution of the slow component of F-decay has become minimal; SP – fluorescence saturating pulse with duration exceeding 3,000 ms; SSP – short fluorescence excitation light pulse with duration between 0.25 and 3,000 ms; 3k (0.05k) pulse – light pulse with intensity 3,000 (50) µmol(photon) m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$; T – time range (~2 min) in Kautsky induction curve where a (quasi) steady state in the light is reached; $\alpha$ – fraction of system with inactivated CF$_0$F$_1$·ATPase; $\Delta$ – trans-membrane proton motive force; $\Phi_{H+}$ – proton flux generated by light-driven proton pump.

Acknowledgements: I heartily thank Govindjee for his friendship, interest and support. I keep the best memories of occasions when we met during visits in the laboratories where we worked for most of the time of our careers: Urbana, Leiden, and Wageningen, and at several meetings since our first at the 1st European Photosynthesis Congress in 1962 in Gif sur Yvette, France. I owe many thanks to my esteemed colleagues and former co-authors Alexander Bulychev (Botany Department, MSU, Russia) and Ondrej Prasil (Laboratory of Photosynthesis, Trebon, Czech Rep.) for the appreciated contributions and laboratory facilities that I have received. I thank Dr. Jeff Kay for the use of the O$_5$S$^+$+ Modulated Chlorophyll Fluorometer (Opti Science, Hudson, USA) in which the routines and light-dark protocols for dedicated OJIPSMT measurements have been implemented.

© The Author(s). This article is published with open access at link.springer.com

Received 30 April 2017; accepted 1 November 2017, published as online-first 10 January 2018.

Phone: +31 317 482147/+31 318 430303, e-mail: wim@vredenberg.nl
electron transfer from water in contact with the oxygen-evolving complex (OEC) on the donor side of PSII to a reductant NADP(H) at the acceptor side of PSI; (2) exciton trapping, and subsequent trans-membrane charge separation in the reaction centers (RCs) of both photosystems that results in an electrogenic event associated with the generation of a trans-membrane electric potential ($\Delta\Psi$) with associated electromotive force that acts as a driving force for the generation of electrochemical gradients of ions, in particular protons; (3) the anchoring and proper orientation of active proton pumps, such as the RCs, the cytochrome b$_6$f complex, and the ATP(synth)ase (for recent surveys and schematic representations see Vredenberg 2011, Ebenhöh et al. 2014, Tikkanen and Aro 2014, Tikhonov 2015, Shikanai 2016). Many experimental and theoretical approaches from the basic life sciences have enabled time, structure, and function analyses of (parts of) the photosynthetic light and dark reactions down to the nano scale, or even lower. These have all thoroughly contributed to our present, but still incomplete, knowledge of this fascinating life process. The incompleteness amongst others comes from the failure so far to understand the details of the precision with which the photosynthetic architecture and network circuits reaches a high flexibility that accumulate in association with the active proton fluxes ($\Phi_{H^+}$) of the lumen-oriented light-driven proton pumps. The decay of the variable fluorescence should, in the context of the hypothesis, follow that of the pmf set by the driving proton flux when the latter is set at zero upon shutting of the light.

Materials and methods

Measurements were done with fresh leaves of a Spinacea sp. (spinach), bought in a local supermarket, Arum italicum (Aronskelk) or Saxifraga cuneifolia (Steenbreek), grown in the home garden, and with attached leaves of the ornamental plant Kalanchoë kept growing on the south-oriented window-sill in the home office.

Fluorescence experiments were carried out using the modulated Chl fluorometer OS5p$^+$ in its so called ‘OJIP Vred’ mode (Opti-Science Ltd., Hudson, USA) which enables application of programmable light-dark protocols for the application of actinic light pulses of variable duration and intensity (Vredenberg 2015). In the present paper, particular attention is given on monitoring light-on and -off kinetics of the variable Chl fluorescence ($F_v$) measurements in intact (attached) leaves. Particular attention is given to light-on and -off kinetics of $F_v$ induced by low intensity light pulses (SP) with variable length in the 1–120 s time range, or by a long train of short light pulses of 3-s duration (sSP$_{3s}$) and similar low intensity. The major part of the dark decay profiles after these pulses shows a (bi-phasic) pattern. This is composed of a fast and a slow exponential component, each with invariable relaxation time, different by a factor of $\sim$20. The ratio between the size (amplitude) of the slow and fast decay component decreases with the magnitude of $F_v$ reached in the light at the end of the pulse. This characteristic pattern will be illustrated to be mechanistically different from that observed during the OJIP rise (Vredenberg 2015). The pattern is discussed to be in harmony with the hypothesis that the variable Chl fluorescence under low intensity conditions is due to stimulation by changes in the strength of local electric field(s) (Bulychev and Vredenberg 2001). These are discussed to be due to Coulombic interactions of protons that accumulate in association with the active proton fluxes ($\Phi_{H^+}$) of the lumen-oriented light-driven proton pumps. The decay of the variable fluorescence should, in the context of the hypothesis, follow that of the pmf set by the driving proton flux when the latter is set at zero upon shutting of the light.
variable fluorescence $F_{m}/F_{0}$ in a range between 3.5–4.5. I will now focus on the kinetics of the $m–2 \, s^{-1}$ causes, in confirmation with results in other plant species (Belyaeva et al. 2016), an estimated maximal variable fluorescence $F_{m}/F_{0}$ in a range between 3.5–4.5. The corresponding $F_{m}/F_{0}$ value after correction for the effect of the light-off rate, as will be discussed below, is in this saturation range. $F_{m}/F_{0}$ values with application of 3k-SPs [intensity of 3,000 µmol(photon) m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$], are generally in the range between 5–6 (Papageorgiou and Govindjee 2004). The following light-dark protocol has routinely been used, unless stated otherwise, in the present experiments. It consists of a single train with three successive light pulses. The dark period after each pulse in the train is 20 s. The pulse train is started after a dark adaptation of the sample leaf for at least 10 min. The 1st and 3rd pulse in the train are routinely of low (0.05k) intensity and with 3-s duration (sSP3s). The 2nd pulse in the train is of low (0.05k) intensity and 15-s duration (SP15s). Application of the protocol is meant to alter the energetic state of a leaf from a prolonged dark- to a 15-s light-adapted one. It serves the possibility to compare the effect of this alteration on the light and dark kinetics of the variable fluorescence induced by a 0.05k sSP3s given in the 1st and 3rd pulse of the train. The protocol, in other words, offers the possibility for detailed analysis of the effect of low intensity preillumination on the variable fluorescence of a dark-adapted leaf. A supplemental application of the protocol, by running it at a variable dark time between the 2nd and the 3rd pulse, allows the estimation of the dark recovery of the (pre-) illumination effect.

Experiments were done in situ at room temperature with, where appropriate, the movable fluorescence-detection probe of the fluorometer clipped on a leaf in its natural (attached) position on the plant.

The experimental traces in general represent the averages of five samples. Curve fitting of the experimental fluorescence responses was done with application of proper routines, provided by Excel software and dedicated equations for the distinct induction phases in the light and in the dark (Vredenberg 2011, 2015).

### Results and interpretation

The variable Chl fluorescence ($F_{v}$) of intact algae and leaves emitted in a prolonged light pulse, also known as the Kautsky induction curve, shows a relatively fast OJIP rise followed by a gradual PSMT decrease extending into the minute time range (see chapters in Papageorgiou and Govindjee 2004). The $F_{v}$ rise from $F_{0}$ to a maximum $F_{m}$ is completed, depending on the intensity of the light pulse, in a time range of 0.5–5 s. Fig. 1 shows, in this case for an attached *Kalanchoe* leaf and on a linear time scale, the $F_{v}$ transient from a light-adapted state $F/F_{0} = 1$ at O towards a light-adapted state at S. The 3rd pulse, like the 1st one, can be considered as the probing pulse of the light and dark kinetics of $F_{v}$ 20 s after the transfer of the leaf from a dark- to a light-adapted state. In this case, the P level in the 1st sSP3s is at P ~4.1 after about 3 s and subsequently decreases in the next 10–15 s to a level at S ~2.5 after which it declines, via an intermediate level at M at about 30 s and slightly higher than S, towards a level T ~1 at 2–4 min (not shown). The induction curve in a 60 times higher (3k) intensity differs in its details from the one in the 0.05k pulse. The rise towards the maximum at P ~5.5 occurs within 0.8 s and the gradual decline towards T, with in this case 3=T=1 (not shown), occurs with much less pronounced intermediate levels S and M. These two extreme patterns are globally independent of the plant species and agree well with those reported by others (Strasser et al. 1995, Papageorgiou et al. 2007, Vredenberg 2011, Lazár 2015). I will now focus on the kinetics of the $F_{v}$ dark recovery at the beginning (P) and end (S) of the $F_{v}$ decline (see also Fig. 1) at about 3 and 15 s, respectively. This is done by applying the light–dark protocol, illustrated in Fig. 2. The 2nd (blue colored) light pulse in a train of three is of 15-s duration (SP15s) and low intensity (0.05k). The 1st and 3rd pulse (red colored) are identical and of 3-s duration (sSP3s) and low intensity (0.05k). The three pulses are separated by dark periods of 20 s. The 2nd (SP15s) pulse of the train acts as an inductor pulse which, owing to its (low) intensity and 15-s length, alters the variable fluorescence $F_{v}$ ($= F/F_{0} – 1$) transiently from a light-adapted state $F/F_{0} = 1$ at O towards a light-adapted state at S. The 3rd pulse, like the 1st one, can be considered as the probing pulse of the light and dark kinetics of $F_{v}$ 20 s after the transfer of the leaf from a dark- to a light-adapted state. In this case, the P level in the 1st sSP3s is at P ~4.2; in the next following SP15s, P ~3.8 and the S level is at S ~1.6. In the 3rd pulse, the P level is at P' and nearly coinciding with the S level in the preceding inductor pulse. The half time of the P–S decline during SP15s is about 4 s. The dark-decay after the pulses appears to be multiphasic with a pronounced difference between the pattern of the 1st and 3rd pulse fired after a 20-s dark adaptation of dark- (left hand panel) and light-adapted leaf, respectively. This becomes clearer after analysis of the decay patterns after illumination at a higher time resolution, as illustrated for a
the deconvolution shows for the pattern in the dark- and light-adapted state (left- and right-hand panel, respectively) a fit of the decay with the sum of three exponential functions \( a_i \cdot \exp^{-kt_i} (i = 1, 2, 3) \), in short notation labelled with the parameter set \([a_i, k_i]\), and \(k_i\) in \(s^{-1}\) and \(\sum a_i = 1\). In the dark-adapted sample (left-hand panel), the \(F_0\) decay after a 60-s light pulse (SP\(_{60s}\)) of 3,000 and 50 \(\mu\)mol(photon) m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) [black, (3k) and red, (0.05k) trace, respectively] on a linear timescale. The 3k-intensity induction curve differs in its details from the one in the 0.05k pulse. The \(F/F_0 = F_v + 1\) rise from \(F/F_0 = 1\) at the O level towards the maximum at \(P \sim 5.5\) occurs within 0.8 s and the subsequent gradual PSMT decline towards T, with in this case 3\(>\)T\(>\)1 (not shown), occurs with weakly pronounced intermediate levels S and M. In the 0.05k intensity pulse \(F/F_0 = F_v + 1\) reaches the highest level at \(P \sim 4.1\) after about 3 s and subsequently decreases in the next 10–15 s to a level at \(S \sim 2.5\) after which via an intermediate level at M at about 30 s, slightly higher than S, declines towards a level \(T \sim 1\) at 2–4 min (not shown). The relatively fast P–S decline in the variable fluorescence response during the first 15 s of illumination with a low intensity (0.05k) light pulse (SP\(_{3s}\)) will receive special attention in the next figures. Inset shows, on an extended time scale, the initial response with the rapid rise within 100 ms to the level \(F_\infty \sim 1.25\), attributed to the photochemical reduction of the Quencher QA.

It is obvious from the data in Figs. 2 and 3 that the decline in the \(F_0\) amplitude during light adaptation from \(P\) towards \(S\) (middle panel in Fig. 1), is accompanied by a significant change in the amplitude ratio \(a_2/(a_1 + a_2)\) of the slow and fast components of the decay when the light is shut-off at these approximate levels (Fig. 3). The contribution of the ultra-slow decay component \((a_3, k_3)\) is found to change much less with light adaptation (Fig. 3). A second important observation from the data illustrated in Fig. 3 is that the rate constants of the fast \((k_1)\) and slow \((k_2)\) component of the \(F_\infty\) decay are hardly, if at all, altered after a pronounced decrease in variable fluorescence during the \(\sim 12\ s\) P–S decline in a low light-intensity pulse. These two facts together exclude a fluorescence-quenching mechanism, like those that has been illustrated to be involved in the photochemical phase of the OJIP induction curve (Vredenberg 2015). There, a change in the extent of quenching, \(i.e.\) in \(F_v\), has been shown to be accompanied by a change in rate constants of photochemical redox conversions of the quencher QA in the light and the dark.

Fig. 4 gives the superposition of four successive responses of variable fluorescence of the same Saxifraga leaf as of Fig. 3 upon running the light-dark protocol at a variable length of the dark period between the 2\(^{nd}\) and 3\(^{rd}\) pulse. It illustrates the recovery of the SP\(_{3s}\)-induced \(F_v\) alteration in dependence of the dark time elapsed since its induction. The reversal in the dark of the pulse-induced- \(F_v\) decrease appears to occur with a half time of approx. 1 min. The curves at progressing dark time show that the recovery of the \(F_v\) amplitude in an sSP\(_{3s}\) pulse is accompanied by an increase in the extent of the slow decay phase and in the ratio between that of slow and fast phase. Thus, the data from Figs. 2–4 somehow indicate that light adaptation of a dark-adapted leaf during a 15-s illumination with low intensity light alters the dark-reversible constitution of a quenching system with at least two interconvertible actors. This alteration, with a lifetime of several tens of seconds, results in a lower variable fluorescence and is reflected by a change in the ratio between the sizes of two complementary quenching actors characterized by a large difference in their light-independent recovery rate in the dark after light activation.

Fig. 5 shows, for a dark-adapted (> 2 h) spinach leaf, the \(F_v\) response upon application of a modified light-dark protocol, in which the low intensity (0.05k) 1\(^{st}\) and 3\(^{rd}\) pulses (red) are replaced with a high intensity one (3k) with 0.8-s duration (sSP\(_{0.8s}\)) and a dark time between 2\(^{nd}\) and 3\(^{rd}\) pulse shortened to 10 s. The \(F_v\) response induced by the 2\(^{nd}\) 0.05k-pulse (blue), in this case of 30-s duration (sSP\(_{30s}\)), shows similarities with the one monitored in Fig. 2 for a Kalanchoë leaf with all pulses of low (0.05k) intensity. The P–S decline is from \(P \sim 3.7\) to \(S \sim 1.8\), and the response (3\(^{rd}\) pulse) after light activation by SP\(_{30s}\) shows a pattern different from that in the dark-adapted leaf (1\(^{st}\) pulse).
Fig. 2. The \( \frac{F}{F_0} = (F_v + 1) \) response of a dark-adapted *Saxifraga cuneifolia* leaf subjected to a light–dark protocol that consists of a single train with three successive light pulses. The dark period after each pulse in the train is 20 s. The 1\(^{st}\) and 3\(^{rd}\) pulse are identical and routinely of low (0.05k) intensity and with 3 s duration (sSP3s). The 2\(^{nd}\) pulse is of low (0.05k) intensity and 15-s duration (SP15s). Onset and cessation of the pulses are indicated with upward and downward pointing arrows, respectively. The dark periods are illustrated with horizontal black bars. The figure illustrates that (1) the profile of the \( F_v \) in the dark after cessation of the pulse at P (S and P') is substantially altered after a 15-s pre-illumination with low intensity actinic light, and (2) the dark-recovery of this alteration is takes a longer time than that of its inductor (P>>P'~S).

Fig. 3. Dark responses (black curves) of the variable fluorescence response \( F(t)/F_0 \) after a 3-s 0.05k pulse (sSP3s), i.e. of 50 µmol(photon) m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) intensity, measured in a > 2-h dark-adapted *Saxifraga cuneifolia* leaf before (left-hand trace) and 20 s after (right-hand curve) a pre-illumination with the same pulse (SP15s) of 15-s duration. The curves have been deconvoluted with three exponential functions with amplitude and rate constant \( a_i \) and \( k_i \), respectively, and denoted with \([a_i, k_i]\) with \( i = 1, 2, 3 \). The graph of the ultra-slow (slowest) component is illustrated by the black dashed bottom line \([a_3, k_3]\), the red- and green circled symbols are superimposed on that of the slower one(s), those of the slow and fast components \([a_2, k_2]\) and \([a_2, k_2]\), respectively. The figure shows that the alteration in the amplitude \((a_1 + a_2 + a_3)\) of the response associated with pre-illumination is mainly due to that of \( a_2 \). The rate constants of the three components are found not to alter significantly, and are estimated to be in the range 25–35, 0.5–1.5, and <0.1 s\(^{-1}\), respectively.

Fig. 6 gives an illustration for the same experiment as in Fig. 5, the time-resolved kinetics of the \( F \) dark decay in the (linear) time range of 5 s before and after light activation by the 2\(^{nd}\) pulse of the protocol. Similarly as in the *Kalanchoë* leaf (Fig. 3), the decay is multiphase with, in addition to a relatively small and invariable ultra-slow component, two complementary components with, for this spinach leaf, a fast and slow recovery rate of \(~(20 \text{ s})^{-1}\) and \(~(0.4 \text{ s})^{-1}\), respectively. The rates do not alter significantly after the pre-illumination, whereas the size of the relative fraction of the slow fraction contributing to the decay decreased from \(-48\%\) in the dark-adapted leaf to \(-32\%\) in the same leaf after 15-s pre-illumination with low intensity light. Thus, like suggested before, the constitution of a quenching-competent actor system is altered by a short low intensity pulse (sP30s) and sensed by the dissipative processes initiated at manifold higher intensities. It is instructive to point to the fact that the decay patterns of \( F \) after high intensity (3k) pulses are qualitatively similar to those after low (0.05k) sP30s(Fig. 3), despite the fact of a high(er) contribution of \( F \) changes associated with photochemical quenching in the high intensity pulse (Fig. 1). This indicates, in agreement with published data (Vredenberg 2015), a faster decay of \( F \) changes in the dark after photochemical induction as compared to those associated with nonphotochemical origin.

Further details of the effect of light activation on the quenching acts are observed after plotting the O–P rise upon the 0.8-s high intensity pulses (sSP0.8s) illustrated in Fig. 5 on a logarithmic time scale. This is illustrated in
Fig. 4. Superposition of the successive responses of the variable fluorescence \( F_v \) of a \( \textit{Saxifraga} \) leaf, same as used in Fig. 3, upon running the light–dark protocol of Fig. 2, except for the length of the dark period between the 2\(^{nd} \) (pump, blue) and 3\(^{rd} \) (probe, red) pulse which was set variable between 20 and 80 s with an increment of 20 s for each individual protocol within the succession procedure. The repetition rate of the protocol application was \((8 \text{ min})^{-1}\). Note the increase in the ratio between the slow and rapid component of the decay with the progression of the recovery of the probe signal. The blue pulse signal is the average of the four individual responses.

Fig. 5. Application of a light–dark protocol, similar as used in Fig. 2, for monitoring the \( F/F_0 = (F_v + 1) \) response of a >2-h dark-adapted \( \textit{Spinach} \) leaf. The protocol consists of the central low intensity (0.05k) light pulse (L) of 15-s duration (\( \text{blue} \)), identical to the one used in Fig. 2, and a preceding and following high intensity (5k) pulse (\( \text{red curve} \)) of 0.8-s duration (\( \text{sSP}_{0.8s} \)). The (red) pulses are separated from the central one by, in this case, dark periods of 20 and 10 s, respectively. Onset and cessation of the light pulses are indicated with upward and downward pointing arrows, respectively. The dark periods are illustrated with horizontal black bars. The figure illustrates that (1) the profile of the \( F_v \) decay in the dark from its maximal \( P \) level after a high intensity pulse is substantially altered after a 15-s pre-illumination with low intensity actinic light, and (2) a decrease of maximal \( F_v \) amplitude indicated by the \( P' \) level shortly after low intensity pre-illumination.

Fig. 7 for an \( \textit{Arum italicum} \) leaf. The fluorescence induction curve in high intensity 3k-light shows for the dark-adapted leaf the well known O–P rise in about 0.6 s, with for this leaf \( P \approx 4.7 \), and inflections at levels \( J \approx 2.9 \) and \( I \approx 4 \) at about 3 and 35 ms, respectively. The fluorescence induction measured 10 s after short light activation by a low intensity (0.05k) \( \text{SP}_{30s} \) shows, except for the unaltered O–J rise, a complete and nearly full suppression of the J–I and I–P rise, respectively, with levels at \( J' = J \approx 2.9 \), \( I' = J \approx 2.9 \), and \( P' \approx 3.2 \). Fig. 5 and 7 clearly show, in full agreement with what has been found before in the alga \( \textit{Scenedesmus} \), that the \( \text{SP}_{30s} \)-fluorescence decline observed in the P–S phase of the PSMT induction (Fig. 5) does not affect the rate and extent of the (photochemical) quenching during the photochemical O–I phase at the onset of illumination (Vredenberg et al. 2012). The \( \text{SP}_{30s} \)-induced suppression of the thermal J–I–P phase (Fig. 7) shows strong similarities with that reported to occur in chloroplasts after addition of protonophoric agents like CCCP (Vredenberg et al. 2007). This would indicate that the JIP rise and the P–S decline of the variable Chl fluorescence in the light bear a relation with (changes in) the proton conductance of the thylakoid membrane.

**Discussion**

The present paper focuses on light–dark kinetics and amplitude of the variable Chl fluorescence \( F_v \) during the declining phase of the well-known Kautsky induction curve in the light in intact leaves. Application of pulses of variable length in a range of subseconds to several minutes serves a covering of the constituting O(J)P and PSMT...
KAUTSKY FLUORESCENCE-INDUCTION CURVE AND CF0-CF1 ATPASE

Fig. 6. Dark responses (thin red lines) of the variable fluorescence response $F_F/ F_F$ after a 0.8-s 3k pulse (SP0.8s), i.e. intensity of 3,000 µmol(photon) m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, measured in a > 2-h dark-adapted Spinach leaf (same experiment of Fig. 5) 20 s before (left-hand trace) and 10 s after a 0.05 pre-illumination pulse (SP 15s) of 15-s duration (right-hand curve). Deconvolution with three exponential functions and meaning of symbols are as in Fig. 4. The figure shows that the alteration in the amplitude of the high intensity-induced $F_F$ response associated with low intensity pre-illumination is for a major part due to an attenuation of the slow decay component (a2). Parameter values ($k_i, i = 1 – 3$) are in the range 45–50, 2–2.5, and < 0.1 s$^{-1}$, respectively.

Fig. 7. Logarithmic time plot, measured in an Arum italicum leaf, of the OJIP fluorescence induction response during and after a 0.8-s high intensity (3k) pulse, when monitored 20 s before (blue-shaded black curve) and 10 s after a 30-s low intensity (0.05k) pulse (dashed red curve). The figure shows that short pre-illumination with low intensity actinic light has little, if any, effect on the initial rate and extent (amplitude) of photochemical O–J component of the variable fluorescence that comes into expression in the OJIP induction curve. The major effect of pre-illumination is expressed in a strong, if not complete inhibition of the thermal J–I and I–P phases.

To minimize interferences with fluorescence changes associated with activation during the photochemical (O–J) and (part of) the thermal phase (J–I), the fluorescence responses are measured with low-intensity, usually less than 100 µmol(photon) m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, actinic pulses. At these intensities changes attributed to photochemical and (part of) thermal activation, are found to be negligibly small, except for the one associated with the photochemical reduction of Qh-nonreducing RCs (Vredenberg 2011). This reduction shows up (Chylla and Whitmarsh 1989) as the fast initial $F_F$ rise towards the so called $F_F^{pl}$ level (Fig. 1, insert). In agreement with other reports (Strasser et al. 1995, Vredenberg 2011), the I–P part of the thermal phase in low intensity light pulses is stimulated as compared to that at higher intensities. In our hands, using low intensity (0.05k) light pulses [intensity = 50 µmol(photon) m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$], the I–P rise in leaves of a variety of plant species reaches a maximal level at P with $F_F (= F/F_F^{pl} – 1)$ in the range between 2.7 and 3.2, which is ~30% less than that reached in a 60-fold higher intensity. The choice for using low intensity light pulses is paid-off by the appearance of a prominent P–S decline of the variable fluorescence during the 3–15-s time period in the weak light. This prominence has been reported by others (Strasser et al. 1995).
be inversely related to the recovery (reoxidation) rate of the reduced form of the photochemical quencher Q_A of PSII (Vredenberg and Prášil 2009, and references therein).

Now one arrives at the question about the mechanism of the processes that is (are) responsible for the relative fast decline in variable fluorescence in weak light during the P–S part of the PSMT phase. We have found and figures show that in dark-adapted leaves the decrease of F_v from level P to S in low light is between 50 and 70% of F_v at P. As the size of the F_v signal is the resultant of light-promoting and of counteracting dark-recovery processes, it is required that the kinetics of the changes during and after cessation of an illumination pulse become known. For this purpose a flexible light–dark protocol consisting of a train of three successive low intensity light pulses was used (Fig. 2). Application of this protocol to a dark-adapted leaf provides a useful means to scan and compare the dark kinetics of F_v at its maximum level in a dark- and light-adapted leaf, respectively. It should be noted that the light-adapted state induced by the 2nd pulse (S level in Fig. 2) is measured after a 20-s dark period at the low(er) P’ level in the 3rd pulse, i.e. 20 s after a small dark recovery of this S state (P’->P’->S). It is obvious that the kinetic profile of the fluorescence dark reversion from the maximum of an sSP-induced F_v is substantially different in a dark- and light-adapted leaf. This is also true for the F_v curves measured in the 3rd pulse at increasing separation times from the central SP_15s in the reverse direction (Fig. 4). The size of a slow decay component running into the 20-s range is strongly reduced after light adaption with a recovering reversal upon dark adaption with a halftime of the order of 1 min (Fig. 4). A further precision of apparent alterations in the dark-decay pattern of the variable fluorescence has been derived from the kinetic analyses at high time resolutions (Figs. 3,6). These show, except for an altered F_v amplitude after light adaption, a change in the relative size of three constituting fast, slow and ultra-slow decay components with invariable rates (in s^{-1}) of ~30, ~1.5, and <0.1, respectively. The data illustrate that the lower F_v maximum after low intensity light adaptation of dark-adapted leaves is associated with (1) an increase in the relative contribution of the fast (k_1 ~30 s^{-1}) decay component and, conversely (2) a complementing decrease in the contribution of the slow (k_2 ~1 s^{-1}) phase, and (3) a relatively small and invariable contribution of an ultra-slow (k_3 < 0.1 s^{-1}) part.

The decrease in F_v associated with light adaption, combined with an invariability of the rate constants and a decrease in the amplitude ratio of the major fast and slow components of the F_v decay in the dark cannot be explained and modelled in terms of reaction kinetics of an electron transport-driven conversion of a single quencher. These were, for instance, shown to be involved in the initial O–J phase of the fluorescence induction phase (Vredenberg 2015). There, an increase in the amplitude of the variable fluorescence at the J level has been shown to be inversely related to the recovery (reoxidation) rate of the reduced form of the photochemical quencher Q_A of PSII (Vredenberg and Prášil 2009, and references therein).

Here, the data analysis shows that the decrease of F_v during the P–S phase of the light-driven induction is accompanied by a complementary change of the amplitudes of the fast and 25- to 40-fold slower component of the F_v decay in the dark without, or only small, changes in the rate constants. This suggests that the amplitudes of these two major F_v decay components are related to the fractional size of two different quenching-promoting entities that are involved. This would indicate that the F_v decrease of the P–S phase is accompanied by a gradual decrease in the concentration of an entity or substance with an invariable recovery rate in the dark and opposed by an increase in that of an entity with a manifold higher invariable recovery rate. It is then tempting to presume that these quenching entities or actors are interconvertible in light and darkness. To my knowledge, there is no evidence available so far for the existence of photochemical quenchers with these properties. This then leads to the conclusion that light adaption (pre-illumination) of a dark-adapted leaf with weak actinic light causes a dark-reversible quenching of the variable fluorescence of which the decay in the dark is characterized by two major interconvertible components of complementary size and invariable rates constants that differ by a factor of 25–40.

The declining PSMT phase of the variable fluorescence in a Kautsky curve is thought and has been illustrated to be caused by nonphotochemical quenching NPQ (Papageiou and Govindjee 2014, Lazár 2015). NPQ is known to be partitioned in three main components q_E, q_T, and q_I associated with energy-dependent processes, state transitions, and photoinhibition, respectively. These have been shown to occur in progressive time domains ranging from seconds to hours. The notion that the fluorescence changes that we deal with here occur in light and dark periods of tens of seconds, indicates that they are associated with the major and quickly reversible q_E component of NPQ. q_E is attributed to the light-generated trans-thylakoid pH gradient which causes in the presence of PbS and zeaxanthin the promotion of energy-dissipative reactions in the light-absorbing antenna complex of PSII, and consequently a lowering of the Chl fluorescence yield. (Horton et al. 1996, Niyogi et al. 2005, Pascal et al. 2005). The formation of a ΔpH is a result of the operation of membrane-localized proton pumps that serves the generation of a trans-thylakoid proton motive force (pmf). The pmf (Cruz et al. 2001) is, characteristic for a current generating device, linear related to the pump-generated proton flux \( \Phi_H \), and inversely dependent on the membrane proton conductance

\[
\mathcal{g}_H^{\text{thyl}} = \alpha \cdot \mathcal{g}_H^{\text{open,CFO}} + (1 - \alpha) \cdot \mathcal{g}_H^{\text{closed,CFO}}
\]

in which \( \mathcal{g}_H^{\text{thyl}} \) and \( \mathcal{g}_H^{\text{open,CFO}} \) (>>\( \mathcal{g}_H^{\text{closed,CFO}} \)) are the H⁺ conductance of the closed and open CFO channels of the
CF₀:F₁:ATPase, respectively; α is the fraction of the system with closed CF₀ channels, i.e. with \( g_{\text{H}}^{\text{thyl}} = \frac{g_{\text{H}}}{g_{\text{H}}} \). It can easily be derived that for a proton-pump circuitry, at constant \( \Phi_{\text{H}}^{\text{i}} \), the exponential pmf decay upon cessation of the pump activity by setting \( \Phi_{\text{H}}^{\text{i}} = 0 \) occurs with two components of which the ratio between the low (k₁) and high rate constant (k₂) is equal to \( \frac{g_{\text{H}}}{g_{\text{H}}} \).

Our data on the characteristics of the dark kinetics of \( F_{\text{r}} \) after a low intensity (0.05k) pulse are in harmony with the hypothesis that a major part of the variable fluorescence \( F_{\text{v}} \) in these pulses is caused by changes in the pump activity by setting \( \Phi_{\text{H}}^{\text{i}} = 0 \) occurs with two components of which the ratio between the low (k₁) and high rate constant (k₂) is equal to \( \frac{g_{\text{H}}}{g_{\text{H}}} \).

The application of a light–dark protocol like the one presented here appears to be a useful means for getting more insight into the similarity between changes in the pattern of the \( F_{\text{r}} \) decay in the dark during the P–S induction phase and those predicted for that of the trans-membrane pmf in association with a change in the fraction of closed and open CF₀ channels of the CF₀:F₁:ATPase. A validation of the hypothesis on the relationship awaits further dedicated experiments. Measurements of light-on- and -off kinetics of the electrochemical band shift at 515 nm (P515) are expected to be a promising means. It has been proposed that the slow component thereof (originally called reaction 2), is responsive to changes in the ATPase activity (Peters et al. 1983, 1985) and even is a direct measure of the trans-membrane pmf (Kramer and Crofts 1989, Cruz et al. 2001, Klughammer et al. 2013). These experiments are hampered by the fact that possible contaminations with responses originating from electric fields other than the proton motive force and contributing to the P515 response so far cannot be excluded (Vredenberg 1997).

In the final stage of the revision of this manuscript a paper was (pre-)published online (Snellenburg et al. 2017) in which a four state parametric model for the kinetics of the nonphotochemical quenching in PSII is presented. It shows some similarities with the approach followed here, except for its application to PAM fluorometer protocols. However, their state model is hampered by the debatable assumption that the fluorescence yield of NPQ-unquenched open centers in so called V-chloroplasts isolated from 1 h dark-adapted spinach leaves is equal to \( F_{\text{o}} \), in the paper designated with \( \Phi_{\text{PSII}}^{\text{V}} \) and \( F_{\text{V}} \), respectively. This assumption is at variance with our results. These show (Figs. 1,2) that at low actinic intensities where photochemical and electrochemical quenching is negligibly small and the majority, if not all, RCs remain in the open state whereas the fluorescence yield can rise towards a level close to \( F_{\text{m}} \), i.e. in their terminology to the level \( \Phi_{\text{PSII}}^{\text{V}} \sim V_{\text{F}^\text{m}} \sim V_{\text{F}^\text{0}} \).

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.
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