Finite-size effects in dynamics of zero-range processes
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The finite-size effects prominent in zero-range processes exhibiting a condensation transition are studied by using continuous-time Monte Carlo simulations. We observe that, well above the thermodynamic critical point, both static and dynamic properties display fluid-like behavior up to a density \( \rho_c(L) \), which is the finite-size counterpart of the critical density \( \rho_c(L \to \infty) \). We determine this density from the crossover behavior of the average size of the largest cluster. We then show that several dynamical characteristics undergo a qualitative change at this density. In particular, the size distribution of the largest cluster at the moment of relocation, the persistence properties of the largest cluster, and the correlations in its motion are studied.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Phase transitions in infinite systems appear as multiple solutions to the balance equations that derive from the generators for their time evolution. This multiplicity of possible stationary states is reflected by limit laws that in the thermodynamic limit fix the state with certainty: The phase space paths tend to fix points determined by the system parameters. Often a simple mathematical description in terms of deterministic differential equations is adequate. This is in contrast to finite physical or biological systems, which can escape the proximity of attractors again and again because of fluctuations due to stochasticity in microscopic processes so that the system is able to overcome free-energy barriers. As an example, recent progress in imaging [1] has enabled study of cell phenotype due to stochastic transcriptional regulation of gene expression, which is typically controlled by a relatively small number of molecules in a bacterial cell [2]. New studies show the effect of low copy number noise not only on the static properties of macroscopic variables but also on their dynamics [3]. Models of gene expression often are a model where multiple condensates were forced to emerge. For the static properties of ZRP, a detailed canonical analysis was given by Evans et al. [14,15]. The finite-size effects, in particular, the behavior of the current in the driven one-dimensional case, were studied by Gupta et al. [16] and, very recently, as interpreted via the concept of metastability, by Chleboun and Grosskinsky [17] for \( u(k) = 1 + k/b \) with \( b > 2 \). In their analysis, they made the assumption that there are at most two primitive condensates simultaneously in the system, which is valid deep inside the condensed phase. In Ref. [13], Schwarzkopf et al. studied also dynamical properties but in a model where multiple condensates are well understood [6,10], the dynamics of the condensate after it has emerged has gained much less attention. In Refs. [11,12], Godreche and Luck studied the stationary dynamics for the case \( u(k) = 1 + k/b \) with \( b > 2 \). In their analysis, they made the assumption that there are at most two primitive condensates simultaneously in the system, which is valid deep inside the condensed phase. In Ref. [13], Schwarzkopf et al. studied also dynamical properties but in a model where multiple condensates were forced to emerge. For the static properties of ZRP, a detailed canonical analysis was given by Evans et al. [14,15]. The finite-size effects, in particular, the behavior of the current in the driven one-dimensional case, were studied by Gupta et al. [16] and, very recently, as interpreted via the concept of metastability, by Chleboun and Grosskinsky [17] for \( u(k) = 1 + k/b \) with \( b > 2 \). These studies show that, in general, finite-size effects are prominent up to quite large system sizes.

In this paper, we use Monte Carlo simulations of symmetric one-dimensional ZRP with \( u(k) = 1 + b/k \) to study the effect of the finite-size \( L \) of the system on quantities describing both static and some dynamical properties of ZRP close to the critical density of condensation. In particular, we shall consider the notion of the fluid and condensed phases and metastability (or coexistence) for finite systems. It turns out to be useful to define a finite-size counterpart \( \rho_c(L) \) of the critical density \( \rho_c(L \to \infty) \). We shall concentrate on the behaviors of observables for \( \rho_c < \rho < \rho_c(L) \).

II. ZRP AND ITS BASIC PROPERTIES

The ZRP with particle conservation is a Markovian process on a lattice or on a graph containing \( L \) sites \( i = 1,2,\ldots,L \) and a fixed number \( N \) of identical particles. The...
dynamics is defined via a transition rate function \( u_i(k) \) for each site, i.e., a Markovian rate, at which a site \( i \) with \( k \) particles on it loses a particle to other sites. The zero-range property means that the rate \( u_i \) does not depend on the occupation numbers of the other sites. The destination site for each particle move is determined by a (weighted and directed) graph.

The set of all occupation numbers \( \{k_i\} \) defines the state of the system, and the probability that the system is in configuration \( \{k_i\} \) in the stationary state is [5]

\[
P(\{k_i\}) = \frac{1}{Z(L,N)} \prod_{j=1}^{L} f_i(k_i),
\]

(1)

\[
f_i(k) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{u_i(j)} \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f_i(0) = 1.
\]

(2)

This result can easily be verified by noting that the probability distribution satisfies the local balance conditions for particle flows in and out of each site. The normalization factor

\[
Z(L,N) = \sum_{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_L} \delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^{L} k_i - N \right) \prod_{j=1}^{L} f_j(k_i).
\]

(3)

is the partition function of the ZRP. In this paper, we consider the model with homogeneous rates,

\[
u_i(k) = u(k) = u_0 \left( 1 + \frac{b}{k} \right),
\]

(4)

with constants \( u_0 \) and \( b \), which was introduced in Refs. [6,8]. For \( b > 2 \), this model has a continuous phase transition at the critical density

\[
\rho_c = \frac{1}{b - 2},
\]

(5)

above which the system separates to a condensate consisting of a macroscopic number of particles,

\[
Z_1 = L(\rho - \rho_c),
\]

(6)

on a single randomly located site and to a homogeneous background elsewhere, which is described by a grand-canonical measure [18]. The statistics of the fluctuations of the condensate size depend on whether \( 2 < b < 3 \) or \( b > 3 \) [18,19].

We emphasize that the results above are obtained via a grand-canonical analysis, which is exact in the thermodynamic limit only, and finite systems can have significant deviations from them. In this paper, we report simulation results for the static and dynamic properties of the condensate in finite one-dimensional ZRP with symmetric (nondriven) nearest-neighbor jumps and discuss them in light of existing analytical results [14,15,17,20].

---

**III. RESULTS**

The time evolution of the model in Eq. (4) was simulated\(^1\) using a standard continuous-time Monte Carlo algorithm [21]. As seen in a typical trajectory of the largest cluster, shown in Fig. 1, the dynamics of a finite system is bursty, in that the time evolution can be divided into two types of intervals: either little or no activity at all or with rapid movement of the largest cluster. The same observation has been

---

\(^{1}\)The initial conditions for our simulations were completely random, after which the measured quantities had apparently converged in \(10^3\)\(\cdots\)10\(^6\) particle jumps. Even after the apparent equilibration of the dynamics (as seen, e.g., in the occupancy of the largest cluster) we required the largest cluster to relocate several thousand times before sampling the quantities of interest.
made in Fig. 3 of Ref. [17] for a ZRP with interaction \( u(k) = 1 + b/k^2 \), where \( \gamma < 1 \) (cf. also Fig. 1 of Ref. [12]). Even if the switching between these “phases” is perhaps not sharp for the interaction of Eq. (4), as argued in Ref. [17], one can clearly discern the existence of several time scales in the time traces, from the length of duration of these intervals down to the typical relocation time of the largest cluster and further to that of the motion of particles in the background. It is this multiple time-scale separation, which also makes the simulations very time consuming in certain regions of the parameter space.

In Fig. 1, the colors indicate the size of the largest cluster just after a jump. Observe that the largest cluster tends to have a moderate size within the intervals of rapid movement and that the largest observed values accumulate at the edges of these intervals. The latter cases involve a condensate. In Sec. III A, we show how the simulation data can be used to determine an effective critical density for a finite system, but we investigate the size of the largest cluster at a nonspecified time first.

A. Size of the largest cluster

Figure 2 depicts the simulation data for the size of the largest cluster in finite systems as a function of the particle density. All these results conform to the predictions of the grand-canonical theory,

\[
\frac{Z_1}{N} = 1 - \frac{\rho_c}{\rho} = 1 - \frac{1}{(b - 2)\rho},
\]

equivalent to Eq. (6), in the high-density limit. At low densities, on the other hand, the data for each system size converge to a single curve irrespective of the interaction strength \( b \) because the particles do not interact at all in a very dilute zero-range gas. For the high-density limit with constant \( L \), we have \( Z_1 \rightarrow N. \) Due to discreteness, the low-density limit becomes \( Z_1 = 1 - \frac{1}{N} \) and the curves are nonmonotonic.

We first determine the location of an effective transition point \( \rho_c(L) \) by finding the turning point (zero of a second derivative) of the curves \( Z_1/N = Z_1(\rho)/pL \), at which the function \( Z_1/N \) decays to zero as a stretched exponential as the argument tends to infinity. These results imply that the cutoff density scales as \( m_{\text{cut off}}/L \sim L^{-1/2} \) in the former case and as \( L^{-(b-2)/(b-1)} \) in the latter case, respectively.
that the expected size of the largest cluster is in both cases of the order $L^{1/2}$, but this is not at variance with the $L^{1/2}$ scaling for $b > 3$ because the scaling of the mean is a property of the power-law distribution below the cutoff, and the actual distribution for the size of the largest cluster also extends up to the scale $n_{\text{cutoff}}$. For the same reason, we consider $m_{\text{cutoff}}/L$ the more likely scale for $\rho_s(L)$ instead of the asymptotic scale of the mean size of the largest cluster obtained from extremal statistics of independent variables without a cutoff.

The effective critical densities $\rho_s(L)$, plotted in Fig. 3, seem to conform to our hypothesis. We indeed observe the exponent $1/2$ for $b > 3$. However, for $2 < b < 3$, the data become quite noisy (despite very long simulation times) and give only a hint that the scaling could be different from the case $b > 3$, i.e., of the form $L^{-1/(b-1)}$ as suggested by our hypothesis and Eq. (9).

In Fig. 4, we show data for another quantity, the statistics of which is expected to go through a change at the effective critical density $\rho_s(L)$, namely, the size $Z_J$ of the largest cluster just after a jump of the condensate relative to size $Z_1$ of the largest cluster at a randomly chosen instant. There is a conspicuous dip in this ratio at intermediate densities. For the accessible system sizes (with $b > 3$), the location of the dip is close to and scales (data not shown) the same way as $\rho_s(L)$.

The behavior of the ratio $Z_J/Z_1$ can be understood by considering the different statistical nature of $Z_J$ and $Z_1$ and the mechanisms of largest cluster relocation in different regimes. First, at low densities, the system is in the fluid phase, and the largest cluster changes its location frequently. The ratio $Z_J/Z_1$ is of the order one because the largest and the second largest cluster at a random instant are of the same order (e.g., by an extremal statistics argument for grandcanonical measures), and a relocation event is a consequence of the two largest clusters being of the same size. Second, at very high densities, nearly every particle belongs to the condensate, and a relocation occurs by splitting of the condensate into two equal-sized transient condensates. Thus, $Z_J/Z_1 \rightarrow 1/2$ as $\rho \rightarrow \infty$. The dip in the ratio between these extremes then occurs because the system exhibits a mixture of fluid and condensate phases; the mean value of $Z_J$ is significantly larger than in the low-density regime because of the great longevity of the condensate states. However, most of the counts to the statistics of $Z_J$ come from the fluid-phase intervals with rapid largest cluster movement, and the sizes at the time of relocation in the fluid phase are of lower order than the size of a condensate.

The inset of Fig. 4 shows the distributions of $Z_J$ at the three different regimes discussed above and confirms our heuristic. The distribution is well fitted by an extremal statistics distribution at low densities, while its variance is large around the effective critical density $\rho_s(L)$. At high densities, the distribution gets peaked at large cluster sizes with its mean proportional to the size of the condensate.

B. Largest cluster: Persistence and correlation functions

The heuristic for the drop in the size of largest cluster at the time of relocation as compared to its usual average was based on the existence of fast and slow modes in the largest cluster movement. These are associated with the fluid and condensate phases, respectively. Figure 5 shows that this is a valid assumption. The distribution of the lifetime of the largest cluster at a single site, i.e., the derivative of the persistence probability $P(t)$ [23] that the largest cluster has not moved between times $t_0$ and $t_0 + t$, is unimodal and has an exponential tail at densities smaller than $\rho_s(L)$. It changes to a power-law distribution with a cutoff due to finite size [cf. the ratio $Z_J/Z_1$ exceeds unity in Fig. 4 as $\rho \rightarrow 0$ because jumps of a largest cluster of size one are not considered a relocation in our simulation. For the relocation to have happened we require that the occupation of another site grows larger than that of the previous location.]
The single-site correlation function is fundamentally affected by three different physical mechanisms related to the relocation dynamics of the largest cluster, namely, the persistence at a single site, the distribution of jump lengths, and the correlations in the jump lengths. All these measure how fast "mixing" the stochastic movement of the largest cluster is. The importance of persistence is the most obvious because it distinguishes between certain and uncertain occupation of the site at a later time. That of the jump lengths can be made concrete by considering, for example, the return probabilities of simple random walks with different step lengths. Clearly, the longer the step, the more effectively the walker explores the state space, and the faster the correlations decay. The third mechanism, correlations in the jump lengths, is perhaps the most interesting of the three in spatially distributed systems and is related to "memory" induced by hidden degrees of freedom, such as transport of particles not belonging to the largest cluster. For example, the trajectory of the largest cluster can be, at least in principle, restricted to only a few sites for long periods of time because of some extra mass remaining at the recently occupied sites.

Figure 7 excludes the jump length as the cause for the power laws apparent in the single-site correlation functions because the jump lengths are of macroscopic order at the relevant densities. The lifetime distributions of Fig. 5, on the other hand, have a power-law part near the effective critical density, which can affect a slow decay of the correlation function. The proposed memory effect due to remnant mass on a recently occupied site is also present and can be very strong at large densities as is clear from Fig. 8. However, we do not see that much broadening in the correlations at high densities, we mostly associate the power laws with the power laws in the lifetime distribution. The remnant mass could still be responsible for the stretched exponential decay of the correlation function.

We concluded that the mean jump lengths of the largest cluster are of macroscopic order at sufficiently high densities.
and hence not a reason for the power laws observed in the correlation functions. Indeed, it has been argued [12] that in the thermodynamic limit, the condensate relocation is a completely random process. However, the jump lengths of the largest cluster can be small in subcritical systems, i.e., when \( \rho < \rho_c(L) \). In Fig. 7, we show the average jump length for fixed \( b \) even for very small densities in a finite system. In this example of size \( L=100 \), the average jump length for uncorrelated motion would be 25.5, which in this case is reached around \( \rho_c(L) \). In the fluid phase, a diffusive mode is prominent. The largest cluster moves frequently in small steps, which is a consequence of low occupation numbers; the likelihood of close-by neighbors to have the same or nearly the same number of particles increases as the total particle density decreases, which, by movement of single particles, leads to short jumps of the largest cluster.

C. Mass transport by diffusion

In addition to changes in the movement of the largest cluster, there are other dynamic indicators of the system switching between fluid and condensate phases. In this section, we briefly discuss the behavior of mass transport by diffusion in the framework of the Kubo-Green linear-response theory, where the collective diffusion coefficient \( D \) becomes the product of a static response and the integral of the velocity autocorrelation function or the center-of-mass diffusion coefficient \( D_{cm} \). This way \( D \) can be written as

\[
D(\rho) = \frac{1}{\rho K(\rho)} D_{cm}(\rho) = f(\rho) D_{cm}(\rho),
\]

where both the thermodynamic contribution \( f(\rho) \) and the mobility contribution \( D_{cm}(\rho) \) depend on \( \rho \). Here, \( K(\rho) \) is the compressibility, which is proportional to the equilibrium density fluctuations, as seen in the grand-canonical description, \( K \propto \langle (N-N^\ast)^2 \rangle / \langle N \rangle \), which assumes the Gaussian fluctuations around the most probable particle density and strictly speaking would be modified for our finite system with fixed

\[ N. \]

On the other hand, \( D \) is also obtained in the standard way from the decay of the Fourier transform of the density-density autocorrelation function or the dynamic structure factor (we note that the static structure factor could be used to probe the possible existence of multiple condensates), which is the solution of the corresponding diffusion equation [24].

Here, we are mainly interested in the possible signal of the “finite-size transition” via the compressibility, which is observed in Fig. 9 in such a way that \( D \) has been obtained by the density-fluctuation method and \( D_{cm} \) from the center-of-mass mean-square displacement, and from these we obtain \( K \) via Eq. (10). For this case, we have \( \rho_c=1/3 \) and \( \rho_c(L) \approx 0.8 \) (see Fig. 3). No sharp signal of the transition is seen, cf. a peak in susceptibility at a second-order phase transition, but around \( \rho_c(L) \) the thermodynamic contribution does reach its minimum and, consequently, the diffusion coefficient decays much faster than the mobility as a function of the density.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The finite-size effects present in a zero-range process in the symmetric one-dimensional case were studied by using continuous-time Monte Carlo simulations. It was shown that well above the thermodynamic critical point both static and dynamic properties display fluidlike behavior up to a density \( \rho_c(L) \), which was determined from the turning point of the \( Z_c(\rho)/N \) curve or the maximum of the corresponding susceptibility. The finite-size analysis of \( \rho_c(L) \) gave results consistent with the existing scaling theory. We then analyzed the

\[ 3 \] The coefficients \( D \) as obtained from density fluctuations and \( D_{cm} \) as obtained from the center-of-mass mean-square displacement were determined from fits over time windows of same length, which above \( \rho_c \) is considerably less than the time scale of metastability. Therefore, \( D \) and \( D_{cm} \) represent an average over two kinds of dynamics (cf. Fig. 1). We note also that they are sensitive to the topology of the transition graph and most appropriate for the case with symmetric jumps considered here.
behavior of various static and dynamics quantities around ρ_c(L) to demonstrate its physical significance. A detailed theoretical analysis of the crossover and the persistence properties of the largest cluster would certainly be of interest.
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