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Introduction
The T-cell lymphoma invasion and metastasis 2 (TIAM2) gene is located on chromosome 6q25.2 and is the homolog of human T-cell lymphoma invasion and metastasis 1 (TIAM1). Although the role of TIAM1 in neuron development and human malignancies are well characterized, the physiological and pathological functions of TIAM2 were largely unknown. Our previous study showed that TIAM2 was overexpressed in a great majority (86%) of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) samples and significantly associated with TIAM1 overexpression [1]. Our experiments also have demonstrated that overexpression of TIAM2 promotes cancer cell proliferation and increases the invasiveness of HCC. These data provided the first evidence that the induced expression of endogenous TIAM2 in liver cancer promotes epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and results in the proliferation of and invasion by liver cancer cells [1]. Recently, a study by Zhao et al. [2], showed that TIAM2 promotes cell invasion and motility in nonsmall cell lung cancer by activating Rac1 and EMT-associated genes. Although the mechanism remains unclear, these data demonstrate that aberrant expression of the short form of TIAM2 (TIAM2S) underlies TIAM2-mediated tumorigenesis.

Human TIAM2 encodes two transcripts, the long form of TIAM2 (TIAM2L) (NM_012454.3, also known as TIAM2 variant 1) and TIAM2S (NM_001010927.2, also known
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Abstract
T-cell lymphoma invasion and metastasis 2 (TIAM2) is a neuron-specific protein that has been found ectopically expressed in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Results from clinical specimens and cellular and animal models have shown that the short form of TIAM2 (TIAM2S) functions as an oncogene in the tumorigenesis of liver cancer. However, the regulation of TIAM2S ectopic expression in HCC cells remains largely unknown. This study aimed to identify the mechanism underlying the ectopic expression of TIAM2S in liver cancer cells. In this report, we provide evidence illustrating that Sp1 binds directly to the GC box located in the TIAM2S core promoter. We further demonstrated that overexpression of Sp1 in HepaRG cells promotes endogenous TIAM2S mRNA and protein expressions, and knockdown of Sp1 in 2 HCC cell lines, HepG2 and PLC/PRF/5, led to a substantial reduction in TIAM2S mRNA and protein in these cells. Of 60 paired HCC samples, 70% showed a significant increase (from 1.1- to 3.6-fold) in Sp1 protein expression in the tumor cells. The elevated Sp1 expression was highly correlated with both TIAM2S mRNA and protein expressions in these samples. Together, these results illustrate that Sp1 positively controls TIAM2S transcription and that Sp1-mediated transcriptional activation is essential for TIAM2S ectopic expression in liver cancer cells.
as TIAM2 variant 2). TIAM2L was predicted to encode a large protein with 1077 amino acids, whereas the deduced protein of TIAM2S was about approximately 626 amino acids. Although TIAM2L mRNA was highly expressed in many human tissues, no TIAM2L protein was detected in any of the tissues examined [1]. In addition, the detection of the TIAM2S protein only in the normal human brain suggested TIAM2S may be a brain-specific protein and involved in brain function. Nevertheless, TIAM2S was found ectopically expressed in HCC cells and the regulatory mechanism controlling TIAM2S expression in HCC was unknown [1].

The aberrant regulation of transcription factors (TFs) is closely related to various human diseases. For example, the androgen receptor (AR), a nuclear TF that regulates many gene expressions involved in the development and maintenance of the male sexual phenotype, is involved in the progression of prostate cancer when aberrantly expressed [3]. Another example is specificity protein 1 (Sp1), a TF belonging to the specificity protein/Krüppellike factor (SP/KLF) family that regulates many cellular physiological processes such as metabolism, cell growth, differentiation, angiogenesis, and apoptosis [4]. The aberrant expression of Sp1 contributes to the tumorigenesis of various types of cancer [5].

Sp1 regulates its target gene transcription activity by directly binding to GC-rich motifs with greater affinity of many TATA-box-containing or TATA-less promoters [6–8]. Recent studies have shown that the transcriptional activity of Sp1 is mediated by its posttranslational modifications (PTM), which affect transcriptional activity, DNA-binding affinity, and Sp1 protein levels [9]. For example, Sp1 phosphorylation at Thr739 increases its stability by preventing interaction with Really Interesting New Gene (RING) finger protein 4 (RNF4), thus protecting Sp1 from proteasome-dependent degradation [10]. Additionally, Sp1 sumoylation at Lys16 by SUMO-1 facilitates Sp1 proteolytic processing, which consequently alters subcellular location and leads to ubiquitin-dependent degradation. Sp1 sumoylation levels are reduced in tumorous cervical tissues, which suggests that Sp1 accumulation correlates with sumoylation inhibition during tumorigenesis [11]. Furthermore, Sp1 deacetylation at Lys703 increases its transcriptional activity and recruitment of p300 and increased target gene expression [12]. The O-linked glycosylation (O-GlcNAc) of the activation domain prevents binding with TAFI110, thus repressing Sp1-mediated transcription [13].

Although Sp1 is a common TF, Sp1-dependent transcription is highly regulated and heavily involved in the development of various cancers, including lung [14] and gastric cancer [15]. In both clinical specimens and cancer models, previous studies have revealed that Sp1 levels correlate with stage, invasive potential, metastasis, and even patient survival [5]. Furthermore, previous studies have indicated that Sp1 might extend tumor growth and metastasis through the overexpression of many Sp1 target downstream genes, including mesenchymal factors, genes that promote cell proliferation, and oncogenes. For example, Sp1 can induce TGF-β-mediated EMT through the activation of Snail expression [16] and also cooperate with activated Smad complexes to express EMT-associated marker genes [17]. The results of these studies support the proposal that elevated Sp1 expression contributes to cancer development and progression and represents a potential risk of poor prognosis. Because a GC box was predicted in the TIAM2S promoter region, we hypothesized that Sp1 may play a role in controlling TIAM2S expression. Therefore, the objective of this study was to investigate whether Sp1-mediated transcriptional activation contributes to the ectopic expression of TIAM2S in liver cancer cells.

Materials and Methods

Specimens and cell lines

We used 68 paired HCC samples (tumor and matched nontumor) to investigate the correlation of expressions between Sp1 and TIAM2S in HCCs. All tissue samples were freshly frozen at −80°C until further analysis. The detailed information of both HCC patients and cell lines was provided in a previous study [1].

Two HCC cell lines (HepG2 and PLC/PRF5) and one terminally differentiated hepatic cell line that retained many characteristics of primary human hepatocytes (HepaRG) were used in this study. In addition, one neuroblastoma cell line (IMR32) that expressed endogenous TIAM2S and one pluripotent cell line (NTER-2c1.D1; NT2/D1) that expressed both endogenous Sp1 and TIAM2S proteins were also used. All cell lines were maintained in a 37°C incubator with 5% CO₂, in accordance with the protocol suggested by the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC).

Computational prediction, promoter constructs, and luciferase reporter assays

Computational tools, including the PROMO (http://alggen.lsi.upc.es/), Transcription Element Search System (TESS; http://www.cbi.penn.edu/cgi-bin/tess), Eukaryotic Promoter Database (EPD, http://www.epd.isb-sib.ch/), and Searching Transcription Factor Binding Sites (TFSEARCH; http://www.cbrc.jp/research/db/TFSEARCH.html), were used to predict the potential TF-binding sites and promoter on the human TIAM2S sequences (upstream from the TIAM2S mRNA; NCBI reference sequence NM_001010927.2).
To clone the TIAM2S promoter regions for further analysis, primers were designed (Table S1) based on the human TIAM2S sequences (NCBI accession number: Z11168.1). Using either sequence-containing or primer-anchored restriction enzyme cutting sites, fragments representing series deletions of TIAM2S sequences were cloned into the pGL3-basic vector (Promega, Madison, WI).

Approximately $2 \times 10^5$ cells from different cell lines were transfected with various reporter constructs. We performed luciferase assays according to the protocol described previously [18]. Firefly luciferase activity was normalized to β-Galactosidase enzyme activity and presented relative to the luminescence driven by the pGL3-promoter (relative luciferase units; RLU). In addition, the effect of Sp1 overexpression on the activity of the TIAM2 promoter was assessed using 125-ng TIAM2S promoter deletion constructs and 375-ng pGFP-Sp1 constructs [19] in PLC/PRF/5 cells.

**Total, nuclear, and cytosol protein preparation**

For total protein preparation, approximately $6 \times 10^6$ cells were lysed in a RIPA buffer (50 mmol/L tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mmol/L NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1% NP-40, 1 mmol/L PMSF, 1 mmol/L dithiothreitol, 1X protease inhibitor) for 10 min on ice, followed by centrifugation at 16,000g for 15 min to remove the debris.

For preparation of the nuclear and cytosol lysates, approximately $6 \times 10^6$ cells were washed in ice-cold PBS and lysed in Cytosol Extract Reagent (10 mmol/L HEPES, pH 7.9, 10 mmol/L KCl, 1.5 mmol/L MgCl$_2$, 0.5 mmol/L DTT, 1X protease inhibitor, 5% glycerol, 10% Triton X-100) for 10 min on ice. After centrifugation at 16,000g for 15 min, the cytosolic fraction was collected from the top liquid phase; while the bottom pellet was followed up for nuclear lysate extraction. The pellets were lysed in a Nuclear Extract Reagent (20 mmol/L HEPES, pH 7.9, 450 mmol/L NaCl, 1.5 mmol/L MgCl$_2$, 0.2 mmol/L EDTA, 0.5 mmol/L DTT, 1X protease inhibitor, 25% glycerol) for 40 min on ice, with stringent vortex every 10 min. This procedure was followed by centrifugation at 16,000g for 10 min. The supernatants were collected as nuclear extracts.

**RNA isolation and quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction**

The total RNA from the HepG2 and PLC/PRF/5 cells were isolated using TRizol® Reagent (Ambion, Austin, TX) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Potential DNA contamination was removed using the TURBO DNA-free™ Kit (Ambion). The DNA-free RNA samples were used for cDNA synthesis using High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kits (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and subjected to quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with indicating primer sets (HS99999901-s1 for TIAM2S and ACTB; Applied Biosystems) in a sequence detector (ABI StepOne Plus™, Applied Biosystems). The levels of TIAM2S mRNA in samples were measured using the $2^\Delta \Delta C_t$ relative quantification method and normalized to β-actin as an internal control. All measurements were performed in triplicate, and the experiments were repeated at least twice.

**Western blotting and antibodies**

Proteins were fractionated using SDS-PAGE and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane (Millipore, Bedford, MA). Membranes were blocked in TBST buffer (10 mmol/L Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mmol/L NaCl, and 0.05% Tween 20) containing 5% nonfat milk for at least 1 h and incubated overnight with appropriate antibodies at 4°C. The membranes were washed four times in TBST and incubated with a horseradish peroxidase secondary antibody for 1 h. Signal detection was performed using an enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) detection system (PerkinElmer Life Science, Waltham, MA).

The antibodies used in this study were anti-TIAM2 (P-17) (1:200; Santa Cruz, Paso Robles, CA), anti-Sp1 (1:3000; Millipore), anti-β-actin (1:2000; Abcam, Cambridge, UK), anti-α-tubulin (1:2000; Cell signaling, Danvers, MA), and anti-pSp1-T739 [19].

**Electrophoretic mobility shift assay**

To examine the formation of DNA-protein complexes, biotinylated oligonucleotides representing upstream −75 to −51 sequences from the transcription start site (TSS) of TIAM2S (5’-CTGCTGTGGAGGAAGCTTGG TGGC-3’) were synthesized (Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc., Coralville, IA) and used for further analysis. To perform the electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA), 20 μg of nuclear extracts from HepG2 cells were prepared and incubated with a biotin-labeled DNA probe according to the protocol described previously [20]. For the competition assay, 2-, 5-, or 10-fold of an unlabeled oligonucleotide probe was added to the binding reaction. The biotin-labeled probes were then added for further incubation for 30 min at room temperature. For the supershift assays, the nuclear proteins were first incubated with specific antibodies at room temperature for 1 h, followed by the aforementioned procedure. The signal intensities were quantified using the spot density function with a gel documentation system (AlphaImager, Alpha
Innotech). The reduction rate was calculated as the spot density of specific shifted band divided by the spot density of free DNA probe in each lane, then normalize to the value of lane 2 (Nuclear extract + Biotin probe).

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay**

To confirm the DNA–protein interactions, cell lysates were prepared from approximately 4.5 × 10⁶ HepG2 cells and 5 × 10⁶ PLC/PRF/5 cells and used in ChIP assays according to the procedure described previously [21]. Normal rabbit IgG replaced the Sp1 antibody as the negative control. After protein digestion by proteinase K at 50°C for 2 h, DNA was extracted using phenol/chloroform and precipitated by isopropanol. The purified DNA was subjected to PCR using a primer designed from −182 to +65 of the TIAM2S promoter sequences (F: 5′- GTCCCA TTGTCTCCACGTCT-3′; R: 5′- TAAGTCGGCTGTGG GGAGAT-3′).

**Transient overexpression and RNA interference**

In previous experiments examining Sp1 overexpression, approximately 4 × 10⁵ PLC/PRF/5 or 6 × 10⁵ HepG2 cells were infected with adenovirus-expressing GFP-Sp1 [22] for 24 h. The overexpression of the Sp1 protein was confirmed using Western blotting. The effect of Sp1 overexpression on reporter gene activity was measured using luciferase assays. For the detection of Sp1 PTM assay, approximately 5 × 10⁵ PLC/PRF/5 cells were transfected with 1 μg of each construct (pEGFP-N1, pGFP-Sp1, pGFP-Sp1-T739A, and pGFP-Sp1-T739D; [19] in a six-well plate. After 24 h of transfection, cells were lysed with a RIPA buffer. Whole-cell extracts and the total RNA of PLC/PRF/5 cells were collected for Western blotting and real-time PCR analysis.

To knock down Sp1 in HepG2 or PLC/PRF/5 cells, lentiviral shRNA clones that target the Luc (TRCN00000231693) or Sp1 (TRCN00000020444, TRCN00000274153, TRCN00000274208) genes were purchased from the National RNAi Core Facility of Academia Sinica (http://rni.genmed.sinica.edu.tw/). In Sp1 knockdown experiments, approximately 1.9 × 10⁵ HepG2 or PLC/PRF/5 cells/well were incubated in six-well plates for 16 h, followed by infection with shSp1 lentiviruses (multiplicity of infection, MOI = 10) for 48 h. The knockdown efficiency was measured using Western blot analysis, and the effect of Sp1 knockdown on reporter gene activity was measured using luciferase assays. All knockdown and overexpression experiments were performed in triplicates and were independently performed at least three times.

**Statistical analysis**

All experimental data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA) and presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). The results were further analyzed using the Student’s t test or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The significance level for all statistical tests was 0.05.

**Results**

**Defining the TIAM2S minimal core promoter region**

The promoter is the modulatory DNA element that interacts with various protein factors to initiate transcription and control expression of a gene. Growing evidence supports the use of alternative promoters as a versatile mechanism to create diversity and flexibility in the regulation of gene expression [23]. Because TIAM2L and TIAM2S show distinct mRNA expression patterns [1], we speculated that the two mRNA variants are generated by alternative promoters. To test this possibility, we first applied computational tools to predict the possible TF-binding sites and potential promoter region. According to the search results from the Eukaryotic Promoter Database (EPD, http://www.epd.isb-sib.ch/), the TIAM2S promoter is located at −1 to −48 bp from the TSS (typically +1). In addition, two TATA boxes (−155 bp to −139 bp and −268 bp to 252 bp), one CCAAT box (−477 bp to −464 bp) and one GC box (−70 bp to −55 bp) were recorded in the EPD (Fig. 1A). However, although many TF-binding sites were identified, no conventional promoter was identified upstream from the TSS of TIAM2S, even when we used two additional bioinformatics predictions (Fig. 1A).

To define the core promoter region of TIAM2S, we cloned approximately 3000 base pair sequences upstream from the TIAM2S TSS to a luciferase reporter gene system (pGL3) and further constructed serial deleted plasmids. The relative luciferase activity was measured and normalized to the cotransfected Renilla luciferase activity and further standardized to the promoterless plasmid (pGL3-basic). The results from the deletion constructs revealed that the upstream regions of TIAM2S possess promoter activities in both IMR32 (Fig. 1B, P < 0.001) and HepG2 (Fig. 1C, P < 0.001) cells. Although the deletion of −87 to −43 sequences from the TSS significantly reduced luciferase activity to the basal level in both cell lines (P < 0.001), removal of the predicted promoter region (i.e., pGL3B −43+82) showed no additional decrease in the luciferase activity compared to the construct containing the −87 to −43 sequences. Therefore, the results suggested that the minimal core promoter of TIAM2S is located within the −87 to −43 region. The minimal core
Figure 1. Defining the TIAM2S minimal core promoter region. (A) Sequences upstream from the TSS (blue arrowhead) of TIAM2S were used to analyze the potential promoter and TF-binding sites. Results depicted are from the Eukaryotic Promoter database, TFSEAR, and PROMO. The presences of promoter or TF-binding sites are indicated as boxes. Luciferase assays as representations for promoter activity were performed in (B) IMR32 and (C) HepG2 cells for constructs containing different TIAM2S upstream sequences. The positions of cloned sequences relative to the TSS are shown on the left panel. Measured luciferase activity relative to the empty vector (pGL3b) is indicated in the right panel. Relative luciferase activities were compared using one-way ANOVA (*$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$). Data were generated from three independent experiments.
promoter region contains no conservative TATA or CCAAT box. Nevertheless, a GC box and Gata1-recognizing site were predicted in this region. Because Gata1 is a tissuespecific TF in erythrocytes, megakaryocytes, and eosinophils, we focused on the GC box for further examination.

**Sp1 specifically binds to the TIAM2S upstream core promoter region**

Because Sp1 is a TF that binds to the GC-box of many promoters to regulate the expression of its target genes, we examined whether Sp1 can bind to the GC box of TIAM2S promoter sequences. We used biotin-labeled oligonucleotides containing GC box sequences (−75 to −51 bases from the TSS, Fig. 2A). Results from the EMSA assay clearly illustrated that labeled probes formed different complexes with HepG2 nuclear extracts (Fig. 2B, lanes 1 and 2). When using 2X, 5X, and 10X unlabeled probes to compete with biotin-labeled probes, our data revealed a reduction of 60%, 40%, and 30% in the band density of specific shifted complex that indicates the binding of proteins to the oligonucleotides is specific (Fig. 2B; lanes 3, 4, and 5). To confirm that the DNA-protein complex formed by Sp1 interacts with biotin-labeled oligonucleotides, a specific anti-Sp1 antibody was used to compete with the binding of Sp1 to the biotin-labeled oligonucleotides. The result showed approximately 40% reduced intensity for the complex with Sp1 specific antibody (Fig. 2B; lane 7 vs. lane 2), thus suggesting that Sp1 specifically binds to the GC-box sequences of the TIAM2S promoter in vitro.

Next, we conducted ChIP experiments to confirm Sp1 chromatin occupancy on the TIAM2S promoter in 2 HCC cell lines. After formaldehyde cross-linking and sonication to shear the chromatin, Sp1-DNA complexes were immunoprecipitated by the anti-Sp1 antibody or rabbit IgG. The precipitated DNA and input control were subjected to PCR amplification by using a set of designed primers for the GC box within the TIAM2S promoter (−182 to 65). Compared with the control rabbit IgG, significant 3.5- and 3.4-fold enrichments were obtained for PLC/PRF/5 (Fig. 2C, D).
P < 0.05) and HepG2 (Fig. 2D, P < 0.05), respectively. These data further support the notion that Sp1 specifically binds to the GC box of the TIAM2S core promoter.

**Sp1 expression, but not phosphorylation, promotes human TIAM2S mRNA expression**

To elucidate whether Sp1 controls TIAM2S mRNA expression, we cotransfected different TIAM2S promoter constructs (Fig. 3A) with an Sp1-expressing plasmid or the promoter activity assays. The results showed that reporter activities were 3.5- (pGL3b−87/+82; P < 0.05) and 2.7-fold (pGL3b−1211/+82; P < 0.05) higher in the constructs containing a TIAM2S core promoter than in the constructs that contained no core promoter sequences (pGL3b−43/+82) (Fig. 3B). When exposed to Sp1 overexpression, promoter activities further increased 1.7- (pGL3b−87/+82; P < 0.001) and 2-fold (pGL3b−1211/+82; P < 0.05).
$P < 0.01$), respectively (Fig. 3B). The data suggest that Sp1 recognizes the TIAM2S GC box sequences and activates TIAM2S promoter activity. Therefore, the upstream GC box of TIAM2S is essential for Sp1-mediated TIAM2S promoter activity.

To elucidate whether TIAM2S expression is mediated by Sp1, we overexpressed human Sp1 and measured TIAM2S expression in the HepaRG cell line, which is a terminally differentiated hepatic cell line that retains many characteristics of primary human hepatocytes cells (Fig. 3C). Sp1 overexpression was assayed using a Western blot and displayed an approximately sevenfold elevation in HepaRG cells (Fig. 3C, top panel). Furthermore, overexpressing Sp1 led to an approximately 1.5-fold increment on TIAM2S protein expression (Fig. 3C, second panel) that is comparable to the induction rates of Sp1-induced luciferase activities (Fig. 3B). Nevertheless, TIAM2S mRNA expression increased fourfold in HepaRG cells (Fig. 3D).

Previous studies have shown that phosphorylation at Thr739 is critical for Sp1 transcriptional activity [24]. To characterize the regulatory mechanism underlying Sp1-mediated TIAM2S expression, we overexpressed two Sp1 Thr739 mutant constructs in which the potential Sp1 phosphorylated threonine site was mutated to alanine (TT799A, constructive inactivated form) or aspartate (TT799D, constructive activated form) in the PLC/PRF/5 cells and examined the expressions of endogenous TIAM2S mRNA and protein. Similar to the results presented in Figure 3C, all three Sp1 overexpression constructs successfully elevated Sp1 expression >threefold compared with the empty vector control (Fig. 3E; top panel). Although increased Sp1 significantly promoted TIAM2S mRNA expression 1.4-, 1.4-, and 1.5-fold in cells transfected with the wild-type (pGFP-Sp1, $P < 0.05$), constructive inactivated (pGFP-Sp1-T739A, $P < 0.05$), and constructive activated (pGFP-Sp1-T739D, $P < 0.05$) constructs (Fig. 3F), the elevated levels among them were similar (Fig. 3F; $P = 0.60$), with no difference between cells transfected with constructively inactivated and activated forms (Fig. 3F; $P = 0.25$).

These results indicated that phosphorylation at the Sp1 Thr739 is not required to activate the TIAM2S promoter. Nevertheless, the additional recombinant Sp1 introduced by constructs still slightly increased TIAM2S protein amounts regardless of the abundant expressions of endogenous Sp1 and TIAM2S in the PLC/PRF/5 cells (Fig. 3E; middle panel). Collectively, results from these experiments suggested that the upstream GC box in the TIAM2S promoter is necessary and sufficient to interact with Sp1 to fully activate TIAM2S mRNA expression. In addition, these data also demonstrate that the presence of the Sp1 protein is adequate to activate the TIAM2S promoter for transcription. The phosphorylation of Sp1 is not required and also contributed no additional effect on TIAM2S mRNA expression.

**Sp1 positively regulates human TIAM2S mRNA expression in HCC cells**

To determine whether Sp1 plays any role in the ectopic expression of TIAM2S in HCC cells, we first examined the expressions of Sp1 protein in various HCC cell lines (Fig. 4A). Compared with normal liver cells (i.e., HepaRG), Sp1 expression was significantly increased 4.4- and 3.7-fold (Fig. 4B) in PLC/PRF/5 ($P < 0.001$) and HepG2 ($P = 0.001$) liver cancer cells. Moreover, we found that TIAM2S mRNA expressions were also 71.2 and 5.2 times higher in PLC/PRF/5 ($P < 0.001$) and HepG2 ($P < 0.001$), respectively, compared with the HepaRG cells (Fig. 4C). Although the magnitude is not as large as the magnitude of mRNA, 2.5- and 2.3-fold increases in TIAM2S protein expressions in PLC/PRF/5 ($P < 0.01$) and HepG2 ($P < 0.05$) were also detected (Fig. 4D).

To define the effect of Sp1 on TIAM2S ectopic expression, we knocked down endogenous Sp1 protein expression by stably infecting cells with Sp1-specific shRNA in PLC/ PRF/5 (Fig. 4E) and HepG2 (Fig. 4F) cells. Compared with the shLuc control, Sp1-specific shRNAs successfully knocked down Sp1 expression to between 11% and 37% in PLC/PRF/5 cells (Fig. 4E, the upper left panel). Consequently, a significant reduction ($P < 0.05$) of TIAM2S mRNA expression was observed (Fig. 4E, right), which led to a drop of TIAM2S protein to 45–61% (Fig. 4E, left and middle panels). Similar results were obtained for HepG2 cells (Fig. 4F). The knockdown of the Sp1 protein (ranging from 18% to 52%; Fig. 4F, left and upper panel) significantly decreased endogenous TIAM2S mRNA expression ($P < 0.001$ and 0.01 for shSp1-1 and shSp1-2, respectively; Fig. 4F, right) and resulted in a ~40% decline in the TIAM2S protein. Together with the results of Sp1 overexpression (Fig. 3C and 3D), these data demonstrate that Sp1 controls the ectopic expression of human TIAM2S mRNA in HCC cells.

**Elevated Sp1 expression promotes TIAM2S aberrant expression in HCC cells**

To confirm the Sp1-mediated TIAM2S expression in a clinical setting, we examined the expression levels of the Sp1 protein in 60 paired HCC samples (Fig. 5A and Table S2, Fig. 2A–J). Compared with the matched non-tumor part, 42 (70%) paired HCCs showed an increase (from 1.1- to 3.6-fold) in Sp1 protein expression in the tumor cells (Fig. 5B, $P < 0.001$). Combined with the expression levels of TIAM2S measured in our previous work [1], we found a highly significant correlation between...
Sp1 and TIAM2S expressions in these HCC samples (Fig. 5C; \( r = 0.4567, \ P < 0.0001 \)). To elucidate the transcriptional role of Sp1 protein in the ectopic expression of TIAM2S mRNA, we quantified TIAM2S mRNA in these HCC samples. Using 26 paired cases with mRNA available, the results from quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) showed a substantial correlation between the Sp1 protein level and TIAM2S mRNA amount (Fig. 5D; \( P < 0.0001 \)). These results indicated that the ectopic expression of TIAM2S in HCC cells is mediated,
at least partially, by the elevated expression of Sp1 in these cancer cells.

**Discussion**

The expression of tissue-specific genes out of their normal and physiological setting has gained much attention recently [25, 26]. The ectopic activation of these cell- and tissue-specific factors in cancers represents a promising source of cancer biomarkers and targets for new therapeutic approaches [27]. TIAM2S was undetectable in normal liver cells but highly expressed in a great majority (86%) of HCCs, which suggests the potential of TIAM2S as a target for antitumor therapy and cancer intervention [1]. Although our previous study showed solid evidence that the induction of endogenous TIAM2S in the liver promotes EMT and results in the proliferation of and invasion by liver cancer cells, the reason for and mechanism of TIAM2S activation and expression in liver cancer cells remain largely unknown. Because the TIAM2L protein was undetected in our previous works, we suspect that TIAM2L and TIAM2S are under distinct transcriptional controls for tissue-specific mRNA expression. In addition, TIAM2S has a unique first exon that is embedded within the intron 14 of the TIAM2L. Thus it is suggested that TIAM2S and TIAM2L may be generated by different promoters. In this study, we showed that TIAM2S expression is controlled by a TATA-less promoter located at −43 to −87 bps upstream from the TSS of TIAM2S gene. The identification of the core promoter of TIAM2S explains the

Figure 5. Sp1 controls TIAM2S ectopic expression in HCC cells. (A) Expression levels of endogenous Sp1 (upper panel) and TIAM2S (middle panel) detected using Western blotting from six paired HCCs. TIAM2S stable clone T1A1 and α-tubulin were used as positive and loading controls, respectively. (B) Relative Sp1 expression levels in tumor and matched nontumor cells from 60 paired HCCs were normalized to α-tubulin and plotted in pairs. (C) Correlation between elevated expressions of Sp1 and TIAM2S from 60 paired HCCs were plotted and showed. (D) Using qRT-PCR in 26 paired HCC cases, the results showed substantial correlation between the Sp1 protein level and TIAM2S mRNA amount. ***P < 0.001.
differential expression patterns of TIAM2S and TIAM2L in various tissues observed previously [1].

Although the in silico analysis revealed that TIAM2S lacks a conventional promoter such as a TATA or CAAT box, a single Sp1-binding site within the GC-rich core promoter region of TIAM2S was identified. Studies have demonstrated that Sp1 is a common TF that controls the transcriptional activity of genes implicated in most cellular processes [6–8]. In addition, the Sp1 protein plays a critical role in the regulation of tissue-specific and cancer-enriched genes by binding directly onto the GC/GT boxes of these genes [28]. We thus speculated that Sp1 may contribute to the ectopic expression of TIAM2S in HCC cells. As expected, our results indicated that Sp1 specifically binds to the GC-box of the TIAM2S core promoter and controls TIAM2S mRNA expression.

Previous studies have demonstrated the pleiotropic roles of Sp1; it can either activate [29] or repress the expression of the target genes. For example, Sp1 positively regulates MTA2 and midkine (MDK) expressions in gastric cancer tissues [30] and glioma cells [29], respectively. Additionally, Sp1 has demonstrated the ability to inhibit DsbA-L gene transcription in a mouse model. The Sp1-mediated inhibition of DsbA-L gene expression may be responsible for obesity-induced adiponectin downregulation and insulin resistance [31]. Moreover, the binding of Sp1 to the PTEN core promoter inhibits PTEN expression and results in increased cancer cell migration and invasion [32]. Our study demonstrated that Sp1 knockdown reduced the expression of TIAM2S in both HepG2 and PLC/PRF/5 cells, whereas Sp1 overexpression increased TIAM2S expression in HepaRG cells. Overall, these results suggest that Sp1 binds to the GC box sequences residing within the TIAM2S core promoter region and positively regulates TIAM2S transcription in HCC cells.

The expression of TIAM2S promotes proliferation and invasion in liver [1] and lung [2] cancer cells. It was unclear previously how the expression of TIAM2S was undetected in the normal cells but activated in the cancer cells. In this study, we identified Sp1 as the TF to activate TIAM2S expression in these cancer cells. Although the phosphorylation of Sp1 has been shown to modulate transcriptional activity and affect the gene expression and biological functions of Sp1 [24, 33], we found that the T739 phosphorylation of Sp1 was not necessary to trigger TIAM2S mRNA transcription. Therefore, the data suggested that the mechanism of Sp1 in controlling TIAM2S gene expression is at the expression level of Sp1 in these cells. This notion is supported by our previous report, which indicated that the sumoylation of Sp1 is attenuated during tumorigenesis to increase Sp1 stability and results in the accumulation of the Sp1 protein, as observed in various tumors [11]. Although the presence of the Sp1 protein in HCC cells explains the majority of TIAM2S expression in these cancer cells, a few cases where TIAM2S expression under low or no expression of Sp1 indicate the possibility of other regulatory mechanisms being involved in controlling TIAM2S expression. For example, Sp1 was found to affect the chromatin accessibility of CD151 and P2X7 receptor promoters in liver cancer cells [34] and neuroblastoma cells [35], respectively. These results therefore suggest that chromatin remodeling may be separately or jointly involved in Sp1-mediated TIAM2S expression in cancer cells. The effect of epigenetic regulation on TIAM2S ectopic expression should be investigated. Nevertheless, this study provides the first step to reveal the detailed mechanism of controls of TIAM2S expression in HCC cells. This information may provide an alternative target for clinical therapy in controlling liver cancers.
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