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Abstract

Background and Objectives The number of overweight, obese and diabetic patients is constantly increasing. Metabolic disorders may affect the pharmacokinetics of drugs, e.g., by altering the activity of cytochrome P450 (CYP) isoenzymes. Tramadol is a commonly used analgesic metabolised mainly via CYP2D6 to its active metabolite, O-desmethyltramadol. The aim of the study was to assess the influence of overweight, obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus on tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol pharmacokinetics.

Methods All patients received a single oral dose (100 mg) of tramadol. The plasma concentrations of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol were measured with the validated high-performance liquid chromatography method with fluorescence detection. The pharmacokinetic parameters of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol were calculated by non-compartmental methods.

Results After nephrectomy, the patients were divided into four groups—a control group (n = 12, mean [SD] age 61 [14] years, body mass index (BMI) 22 [2] kg/m², CLcr (creatinine clearance) 74 [30] mL/min); an overweight group (n = 15, mean [SD] age 63 [11] years, BMI 27 [1] kg/m², CLcr 81 [35] mL/min); an obese group (n = 12, mean [SD] age 57 [8] years, BMI 33 [4] kg/m², CLcr 113 [51] mL/min); and an obese and diabetic group (n = 9, mean [SD] age 64 [10] years, BMI 33 [4] kg/m², CLcr 87 [35] mL/min). Apart from the time to first occurrence of maximal concentration (tmax), there were no significant differences in the pharmacokinetic parameters of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol among the groups. Moreover, there were no significant differences in the O-desmethyltramadol/tramadol ratios among the four groups of patients after nephrectomy.

Conclusions No significant differences were found in the pharmacokinetics of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol, indicating that the opioid can be administered to overweight, obese and diabetic patients without dosage adjustment.

Key Points

- Obesity significantly decreases the tmax of tramadol and its metabolite, O-desmethyltramadol.
- No additional influence on the pharmacokinetics of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol was observed in patients with coexistence of type 2 diabetes mellitus.

1 Introduction

Obesity and diabetes mellitus are growing global health problems. According to the latest WHO report, in 2014, 8.5% of the world’s adult population suffered from diabetes mellitus and 12.1% was obese [1, 2]. Both diseases often coexist and cause a wide range of pathophysiological alterations. Increased blood volume, liver blood flow, cardiac output and glomerular filtration, which are observed in obese patients, may affect drug pharmacokinetics [3]. In diabetes mellitus, changes in gastric emptying, non-enzymatic glycation of albumin, the activity of cytochrome P450 (CYP) isoenzymes and excretion may also influence drug gastrointestinal absorption, distribution, biotransformation and elimination [4]. Many patients who require postoperative treatment of pain are obese and/or have diabetes mellitus. Previous studies have reported the effect of obesity and/or
diabetes mellitus on the pharmacokinetics of many drugs [5–7]. Tramadol is an analgesic used for treating moderate to moderately severe acute and chronic pain. This opioid is routinely administered to treat postoperative pain.

The drug is characterised by dual mechanism of action. It is a monoaminergic reuptake inhibitor and opioid receptor agonist. Approximately 80% of tramadol is metabolised via CYP2D6 to its active metabolite, O-desmethyltramadol and via CYP3A4 and CYP2B6 to N-desmethyltramadol. O-desmethyltramadol is approximately 200 times more potent than the parent drug [8].

Studies concerning alterations in CYP2D6 activity in diabetic and obese patients are still inconsistent. Although in vitro studies have confirmed that lipid accumulation decreases the activity of CYP2D6, the results of in vivo studies are not consistent [6, 9]. It is not known if changes in CYP2D6 activity may have clinical significance to drug metabolism in obese patients. Taheri et al. observed decreased activity of CYP2D6 in diabetic rats, but the difference was not statistically significant. However, altered CYP2D6 expression was not observed in TSOD (Tsumura, Suzuki, Obese, Diabetes) mice [10].

The aim of our study was to assess the influence of obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus on the pharmacokinetics of orally administered tramadol.

2 Methods

2.1 Reagents

Tramadol, O-desmethyltramadol and venlafaxine were purchased from LGC Standards (Poland). High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade acetonitrile, methanol, diethyl ether, heptane, ethyl acetate, and orthophosphoric acid were purchased from Merck (Poland). Sodium phosphate dibasic was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Poland). Water used in the mobile phase was deionised, distilled and filtered through a Millipore system before use. Tramadol (batch: 313H01, expiration date: 02.2021) was purchased from Stada (Germany).

2.2 Subjects

Patients who underwent nephrectomy between February and October 2017 were the research subjects. The patients were included in the study if they met the following criteria—total or partial nephrectomy; age > 18 years; no history of allergy to tramadol; pain > 7 (visual analogue scale). The control group consisted of patients with a body mass index (BMI) of < 25 kg/m²; the overweight group consisted of patients with a BMI between 25 and 29.9 kg/m²; the obese group consisted of patients with a BMI of ≥ 30 kg/m²; and the obese and diabetic group consisted of patients with a BMI of ≥ 30 kg/m² and type 2 diabetes mellitus. The chief exclusion criteria were previous tramadol exposure, administration of ondansetron, administration of monoamine oxidase inhibitors within 14 days before the study, uncontrolled epilepsy (continuing to experience seizures despite appropriate treatment), severe renal insufficiency (glomerular filtration rate [GFR] between 15 and 29 mL/min/1.73 m²) and severe hepatic insufficiency (B or C on Child–Pugh scale) [11–13].

The baseline characteristics of all 48 patients enrolled in the research are shown in Table 1. Creatinine clearance was calculated for each patient using the Cockcroft–Gault formula from the creatinine concentration value obtained on the day of sample collection. All patients provided written consent to participate in the study.

2.3 Drug Administration and Blood Sampling

Tramadol was administered to the patients at a single oral dose of 100 mg (two capsules, Tramadol; Stada) with 200 mL of water on an empty stomach on the second day after nephrectomy. Blood samples (2 mL) were collected with a peripheral venous catheter immediately before and at 0.5 h, 1 h, 1.5 h, 2 h, 2.5 h, 4 h, 6 h, 8 h, 12 h and 24 h after drug administration. The blood samples were transferred into heparinised tubes and centrifuged at 2,880g for 10 min at 4 °C. The plasma was then transferred to propylene tubes and stored at – 80 °C until analysis.

2.4 Drug Assay

The concentrations of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol were determined using the HPLC method with fluorescence detection (HPLC-FL) [14]. Separation was achieved by isocratic elution of the mobile phase, sodium phosphate dibasic 0.1 M pH 3.3 (adjusted with 85% orthophosphoric acid)–acetonitrile (7:3, v/v), at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min through an ODS-A C18 column (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5.0 µm particle size) (YMC). The column temperature was maintained at 25 °C. The FL detection wavelength was set at λex = 275 nm/λem = 300 nm and the injection volume was 50 µL. Venlafaxine was used as an internal standard. Separation of stereoisomers was not achieved under used conditions. Total analysis time for each run was 9 min. The lower limits of quantification (LLOQ) for tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol were 5 ng/mL and 3 ng/mL, respectively. Intra- and inter-day precision and accuracy of the LLOQ, low quality control (15 ng/mL and 9 ng/mL), medium quality control (500 ng/mL and 50 ng/mL), and high quality control (600 ng/mL and 80 mL) for tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol were well within the acceptable limit of 15% coefficient of variation (CV%). The calibration was linear and ranged from 5.0 to 700.0 ng/mL (r = 0.998) for tramadol.
and from 3.0 to 80.0 ng/mL \((r = 0.999)\) for \(O\)-desmethyltramadol. Samples were prepared by adding 1 mL of plasma, 50 µL of 7.5 µg/mL venlafaxine solution (internal standard), 400 µL of 0.1 M sodium hydroxide and 4.0 mL extraction mixture (heptan:ethyl acetate:ether) to 10-mL glass tubes. The samples were then vortexed for 10 min and centrifuged at 2,880 \(g\) for 10 min. Then, 3.4 mL of the upper organic phase was collected and completely evaporated under a stream of nitrogen gas at a temperature of 50 °C. The dry residue was reconstituted in 80 µL mobile phase, which was heated in a hot bath at 40 °C and vortexed. The solution was put into inserts and 20 µL was injected into the HPLC system.

### 2.5 Pharmacokinetic Analysis

The pharmacokinetic parameters were estimated by means of non-compartmental methods, using computer software (Phoenix WinNonlin® v. 6.3; Certara L.P., USA). The following pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated—maximum plasma concentration \((C_{\text{max}})\), time to first occurrence of \(C_{\text{max}}\) \((T_{\text{max}})\), apparent volume of distribution \((V_{d}/F)\), elimination half-life \((t_{1/2\text{kel}})\), elimination rate constant \((k_{\text{el}})\), clearance \((CL)\), mean residence time \((MRT)\), area under the plasma concentration–time curve from zero to the time of the last measurable concentration \((AUC_{0-\text{t}})\), and area under the first moment curve from zero to the time of the last measurable concentration \((AUMC_{0-\text{t}})\).

### 2.6 Statistical Analysis

Differences in the pharmacokinetic parameter values were tested by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) in PROC GLM of the SAS package (SAS System for Windows, ver. 9.3; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Tukey’s test was applied for post hoc comparisons among all the groups. Differences that generated \(p\) values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

### 3 Results

The anthropometric and biochemical parameters of all the groups of patients are shown in Table 1. The patients after nephrectomy were characterised by the following parameters—a control group (mean [SD] age 61 [14] years, BMI 22 [2] kg/m², CLcr 74 [30] mL/min); an overweight group \((n = 15, \text{mean [SD] age } 63 [11] \text{ years, BMI } 27 [1] \text{ kg/m}^2, \text{CL}_{cr} 81 [35] \text{ mL/min})\); an obese group \((n = 12, \text{mean [SD] age } 57 [8] \text{ years, BMI } 33 [4] \text{ kg/m}^2, \text{CL}_{cr} 113 [51] \text{ mL/min})\); and an obese and diabetic group \((n = 9, \text{mean [SD] age } 64 [10] \text{ years, BMI } 33 [4] \text{ kg/m}^2, \text{CL}_{cr} 87 [35] \text{ mL/min})\). The groups of patients did not differ significantly in age and biomarkers of renal function [serum creatinine concentrations \((S_{\text{cr}})\), CLcr and GFR]. However, the GFR and CLcr values estimated with the Cockroft–Gault formula were lower than normal in 12 and 29 patients, respectively. Two patients (one patient in the obese group and one in the obese and

| Parameter | Control group | Overweight patients | Obese patients | Obese and diabetic patients | \(p\) value |
|-----------|---------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| Males/females | 8/4 | 13/2 | 10/2 | 7/2 | – |
| Age [years] | 61 ± 14 (32–86) | 63 ± 11 (39–74) | 57 ± 8 (43–71) | 64 ± 10 (47–81) | > 0.05 |
| Weight [kg] | 67 ± 8 (57–79) | 84 ± 17* (60–107) | 100 ± 17* (80–142) | 89 ± 14* (56–105) | < 0.05 |
| BMI [kg/m²] | 22 ± 2 (24–18) | 27 ± 1* (25–29) | 33 ± 4* (30–43) | 33 ± 4* (30–41) | < 0.05 |
| WHR | 0.88 ± 0.05 (0.83–0.97) | 0.97 ± 0.07* (0.85–1.05) | 1.16 ± 0.14* (1.04–1.4) | 1.09 ± 0.08* (1.00–1.19) | < 0.05 |
| \(S_{\text{cr}}\) [mg/dL] | 1.02 ± 0.24 (0.64–1.4) | 1.14 ± 0.38 (0.63–1.64) | 0.88 ± 0.3 (0.58–1.72) | 1.12 ± 0.28 (0.84–1.19) | > 0.05 |
| \(CL_{cr}\) [mL/min] | 74 ± 30 (36–136) | 81 ± 35 (39–142) | 113 ± 51 (60–233) | 87 ± 35 (32–129) | > 0.05 |
| GFR [mL/min/1.73 m²] | 67 ± 20 (44–89) | 57 ± 21 (24–81) | 72.3 ± 17 (37–90) | 60 ± 20 (28–80) | > 0.05 |
| INR | 1.0 ± 0.1 (0.8–1.2) | 1.0 ± 0.1 (0.9–1.1) | 1.1 ± 0.1 (0.9–1.2) | 1.0 ± 0.2 (0.8–1.2) | > 0.05 |

Values expressed as mean ± SD (range); *Significantly increased compared to controls

\(BMI\) body mass index, \(WHR\) waist-to-hip ratio, \(S_{\text{cr}}\) creatinine concentration, \(CL_{cr}\) creatinine clearance estimated by the Cockroft–Gault formula, \(GFR\) glomerular filtration rate, \(INR\) international normalised ratio
diabetic group) suffered from morbid obesity (BMI > 40 kg/m²). Figures 1 and 2 show the arithmetic mean plasma concentration–time profiles of tramadol and its metabolite. Table 2 shows the pharmacokinetic parameters of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol in the four groups of patients. The CV% exceeded 30% for most of the pharmacokinetic parameters, indicating high inter-subject variability. The \( t_{\text{max}} \) values of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol in the group of obese patients (1.58 ± 0.93 h and 2.17 ± 2.06 h, respectively) were significantly lower than in the control group (2.88 ± 0.93 h). Moreover, the \( t_{\text{max}} \) of O-desmethyltramadol was significantly decreased in overweight patients compared to the control group. We did not observe statistically significant differences in the values of O-desmethyltramadol/tramadol ratios among studied groups. Additionally, the pharmacokinetic parameters of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol were similar in the obese subjects with diabetes and in the obese group.

The patients did not receive CYP2D6 inhibitors, except one obese subject, who received fluoxetine (20 mg per day). The inhibitory effect of fluoxetine was manifested by the patient’s higher tramadol plasma concentrations and lower O-desmethyltramadol plasma concentrations.

The pharmacokinetics of analgesic drugs were investigated in earlier studies on metabolic disorders. Hoogd et al. did not observe the influence of morbid obesity on morphine plasma concentrations. However, the decreased clearance of morphine-3-glucuronide and morphine-6-glucuronide in morbidly obese patients may result in increased exposure to metabolites [5]. The \( C_{\text{max}} \) and AUC of paracetamol were increased, whereas the \( V_d/F \) and CL/F were decreased in patients with morbid obesity [10].

Furthermore, the pharmacokinetics of tramadol in metabolic disorders have been studied previously. Morales et al. observed reduced AUC and increased fraction unbound of (−)-O-desmethyltramadol in patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus; however, we did not observe any alterations in the exposure to tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol [7].

Lavasani et al. found that the concentrations of O-desmethyltramadol in the liver of diabetic rats were higher than in the control group and the O-desmethyltramadol/tramadol ratios in diabetic rats were significantly higher than in the control group [10]. Kudo et al. found that the activity of CYP2D6 was not altered in TSOD mice [9]. We also did not observe any significant differences in O-desmethyltramadol/tramadol ratios between the obese patients.
and diabetes were reported to increase GFR and creatinine clearance, gastric emptying in obesity [15]. Although both obesity and diabetes may have been caused by accelerated metabolism of tramadol, the volume of distribution remained unchanged in the groups [16]. The elimination of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol was comparable in all the groups. Moreover, in spite of the high lipophilicity of tramadol, the volume of distribution remained unchanged in the groups [17].

Uncontrolled postoperative pain causes prolonged hospitalisation, delayed recovery and decreases patient satisfaction, so appropriate pain treatment is a significant part of postoperative care. In particular, as O-desmethyltramadol is 200 times more potent than the parent drug, increased metabolism of tramadol may result in exacerbation of adverse events [8]. As both obesity and diabetes may affect the pharmacokinetics of drugs, it is important to select adequate analgesics for these groups of patients. As the results of our study indicate that obesity and diabetes do not affect the pharmacokinetics of tramadol, the opioid might be an adequate analgesic for these groups of patients. As the results of our study indicate that obesity and diabetes do not affect the pharmacokinetics of tramadol, the opioid might be an adequate analgesic for these groups of patients.

### Table 2 The pharmacokinetic parameters of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol in patients after nephrectomy

| PK parameter | Control group | Overweight patients | Obese patients | Obese and diabetic patients | p value |
|--------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------------|---------|
| **Tramadol** |               |                     |                |                          |         |
| AUC₀→ₜ | 1,120.76 ± 437.39 (39.03) | 1,024.39 ± 332.53 (32.46) | 946.46 ± 351.39 (37.13) | 894.81 ± 409.32 (45.74) | > 0.05 |
| [ng·h/mL] |               |                     |                |                          |         |
| AUMC₀→ₜ | 6,435.75 ± 2,561.01 (39.79) | 5,489.34 ± 2,067.51 (37.66) | 4,801.71 ± 1,946.96 (40.55) | 4,526.62 ± 2,306.38 (50.95) | > 0.05 |
| [ng·h²/mL] |               |                     |                |                          |         |
| MRT₀→ₜ | 5.78 ± 0.52 (9.06) | 5.33 ± 0.90 (16.84) | 5.11 ± 0.72 (14.06) | 5.00 ± 1.01 (20.09) | > 0.05 |
| [h] |               |                     |                |                          |         |
| Kₑₑ | 0.08 ± 0.05 (57.26) | 0.09 ± 0.04 (41.66) | 0.09 ± 0.04 (39.55) | 0.09 ± 0.05 (50.11) | > 0.05 |
| [h⁻¹] |               |                     |                |                          |         |
| t_max | 13.01 ± 12.46 (95.80) | 9.13 ± 5.47 (59.90) | 8.93 ± 3.84 (42.96) | 11.83 ± 12.20 (103.13) | > 0.05 |
| [h] |               |                     |                |                          |         |
| C_max | 146.83 ± 58.19 (39.63) | 159.09 ± 42.94 (26.99) | 174.23 ± 77.61 (44.55) | 157.36 ± 70.31 (44.69) | > 0.05 |
| [ng/mL] |               |                     |                |                          |         |
| t₀/₂kel | 2.88 ± 0.93 (32.43) | 2.40 ± 1.71 (71.39) | 1.58 ± 0.93 (58.44)* | 2.28 ± 0.87 (38.20) | < 0.05 |
| [h] |               |                     |                |                          |         |
| Cl/F | 1.6 ± 0.7 (47.12) | 1.4 ± 0.5 (37.67) | 1.2 ± 0.4 (35.61) | 1.4 ± 0.5 (34.96) | > 0.05 |
| [l/h/kg] |               |                     |                |                          |         |
| V_d/F | 9.2 ± 4.8 (52.21) | 7.5 ± 3.9 (51.71) | 6.2 ± 3.1 (48.91) | 7.2 ± 3.0 (41.07) | > 0.05 |
| [l/kg] |               |                     |                |                          |         |
| **O-desmethyltramadol** |               |                     |                |                          |         |
| AUC₀→ₜ | 244.55 ± 130.91 (53.53) | 231.04 ± 87.33 (37.80) | 205.17 ± 91.72 (44.72) | 254.78 ± 109.48 (42.97) | > 0.05 |
| [ng·h/mL] |               |                     |                |                          |         |
| AUMC₀→ₜ | 1,538.98 ± 827.47 (37.77) | 1,318.08 ± 489.25 (37.12) | 1,104.97 ± 437.10 (39.56) | 1,422.64 ± 773.85 (54.40) | > 0.05 |
| [ng·h²/mL] |               |                     |                |                          |         |
| Kₑₑ | 0.08 ± 0.04 (49.99) | 0.07 ± 0.05 (63.24) | 0.08 ± 0.08 (98.75) | 0.11 ± 0.05 (46.29) | > 0.05 |
| [h⁻¹] |               |                     |                |                          |         |
| C_max | 29.62 ± 15.85 (53.53) | 30.95 ± 13.56 (43.82) | 29.85 ± 18.00 (60.31) | 38.40 ± 15.05 (41.79) | > 0.05 |
| [ng/mL] |               |                     |                |                          |         |
| t_max | 5.13 ± 2.24 (43.66) | 2.61 ± 1.69 (64.79)* | 2.17 ± 2.06 (95.06)** | 3.31 ± 2.30 (69.38) | < 0.05 |
| [h] |               |                     |                |                          |         |
| C_max | 0.23 ± 0.11 (50.54) | 0.20 ± 0.08 (41.11) | 0.18 ± 0.08 (45.75) | 0.27 ± 0.16 (58.91) | > 0.05 |
| [ng/mL] |               |                     |                |                          |         |
| AUC₀→ₜ | 0.27 ± 0.18 (66.28) | 0.24 ± 0.12 (49.85) | 0.25 ± 0.09 (37.03) | 0.3 ± 0.15 (49.48) | > 0.05 |

Values are expressed as mean ± SD (CV%)

*Significantly decreased compared to controls (p value < 0.05)

**Significantly decreased compared to controls (p value < 0.01)

C_max maximum observed plasma concentration, t_max time to first occurrence of C_max, Cl creatinine clearance, V_d/F apparent volume of distribution after non-intravenous administration, AUC₀→ₜ area under the plasma concentration–time curve from zero to the time of last measurable concentration, AUMC₀→ₜ area under the first moment curve from zero to the time of last measurable concentration, t₀/₂kel halflife in elimination phase, Kₑₑ elimination rate constant, MRT mean residence time, SD standard deviation, CV coefficient of variation

Uncontrolled postoperative pain causes prolonged hospitalisation, delayed recovery and decreases patient satisfaction, so appropriate pain treatment is a significant part of postoperative care. In particular, as O-desmethyltramadol is 200 times more potent than the parent drug, increased metabolism of tramadol may result in exacerbation of adverse events [8]. As both obesity and diabetes may affect the pharmacokinetics of drugs, it is important to select adequate analgesics for these groups of patients. As the results of our study indicate that obesity and diabetes do not affect the pharmacokinetics of tramadol, the opioid might be an adequate analgesic for these groups of patients. As the results of our study indicate that obesity and diabetes do not affect the pharmacokinetics of tramadol, the opioid might be an adequate analgesic for these groups of patients. As the results of our study indicate that obesity and diabetes do not affect the pharmacokinetics of tramadol, the opioid might be an adequate analgesic for these groups of patients. As the results of our study indicate that obesity and diabetes do not affect the pharmacokinetics of tramadol, the opioid might be an adequate analgesic for these groups of patients. As the results of our study indicate that obesity and diabetes do not affect the pharmacokinetics of tramadol, the opioid might be an adequate analgesic for these groups of patients.
appropriate drug for obese and diabetic patients who require moderate to severe pain treatment.

The research was limited by the inclusion of patients after different types of nephrectomy (total or partial). However, there were no significant differences in the renal function between the groups. Our study was also limited by a small number of patients. Therefore, it should be continued with a larger group.

5 Conclusions

There were no clinically relevant alterations in the pharmacokinetics of tramadol and its active metabolite O-desmethyltramadol among the control, overweight, obese and obese with diabetes groups of patients. Based on the results of this study, tramadol can be administered to overweight, obese and type 2 diabetes mellitus patients without dose adjustment.
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