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ABSTRACT

This article deals with classification of expounding predicates in the Uzbek language. Analyzing the sources, 6 lexical-semantic groups of expounding predicates were defined. The most effective ones are informative predicates, we chose lexical-semantic predicates of the verbs “speak”, “say” from them. Predicates included in this group have general lexical content of situation which locates in the core of the sentence. Predicates of this group have typological lexical meaning of messages and transmission of information about any situation. The construction of indirect speech is considered as informative predicates. Expounding predicates express why the situation wasn’t a source, but a core of its reason.
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Introduction. Analyzing the lexical-semantic groups of expounding predicates and primarily the grammar of the modern Russian language, we have identified six groups as semantic types of predicates appearing in explanatory complex sentences with the conjunctions. However, the functional and semantic justification for this partitioning, distribution into subgroups, and many other issues of semantic interpretation, we carried out either independently or based on the cited literature of the question.

In this article, we analyze a subgroup of informative predicates “say” дёмок "говорить” and “speak” айтмоқ "сказать”.

Predicates of this group have a typical lexical meaning of the message, the transmission of information about any situation. If expounding predicates of other semantic groups can be expressed both by verbs and words of other parts of speech - adverbs, nouns, informative predicates are expressed only by verbs.

The content or subject of the message may be the fact of an event, knowledge of it, and not the event itself in its specific procedural form. This means that the dependent explanatory IC in the predicates of this group (as opposed to the predicates of a number of other groups) is exhausted only in the fact-forming value.

Another important semantic feature of all types of informative predicates in contrast to the predicates of the other groups is their correlation or synonymy with the constructions of direct speech. According to the real content, that is, at the reference level, the constructions of inline speech and IR are identical, compare: Келаман, - деди. – Я приеду, - сказал он. - “I will come”, - he said. Келишини айтди. – Он сказал, что приедет. - He said that he would come (about his arrival). However, such phrases differ in their syntactic-grammatical meaning.
Е́ктуой гох ҳарбий назо́ратгас, гох штабгас бориб Полвондан ҳат келмаганини, онаси, ўғли хаво́тирланавётганини айта́рди. (J. Шари́пов).

Е́ктуой ходила и в военный коммиссариат, и в штаб, говорили, что от Полвона нет писем, что его мать и сын волнуются.

Юкотая пришло в обеих армейских управлений, говоря, что Полвона нет писем.

Билмашина айта́ман, билмашанный йўк дейма́н. (J. Шари́пов)

Про то, что я знаю – я расскажу, а чего я не знаю – скажу: нет, не знаю.

About what I know - I tell, and what I don’t know - I say: no, I don’t know.

Ундай ташкари мен доктор бўлини ўз командирлага, беш юзга якни бўйлар олдида вазда берганман. (Ф. Гу́лом).

Кроме того, я дап обещание стать доктором своему командиру, почти пятитам бойцам.

In addition, I made a promise to become a doctor to my commander facing to five hundred guys.

Сенга маълум ва равишан бўлгайки, ману худолар ҳохнишини мавкул қурмадирлар ва Яксартини ъин соҳила ўтсанг фалокатга учрашингдан баширот бердири. (М. Осим).

Да будет тебе известно и ясно, что, если ты перейдёшь на правый берег Яксарта, попадёшь в беду.

The predicate of this subgroup denotes a message in the form of a speech expression, a statement of a situation or an event. This includes primarily verbs with a general (non-specific and non-intensifying) meaning of speech production: “speak-говорить”, “say-сказать”, as well as a large group of verbs of intensifying or specific speech production. For example, in the verb “speak-рассказать” the intensity lies in the fact that this speech action implies “to speak consistently about several or many events”; “forecast-предсказать” - involves efforts in anticipating the future, and so on. Specific speech actions “whisper-прошептать”, "scream-крикнуть" also includes intensity semas.

Within the semantic classification of verbs of speech, “specific” and “intensifying” verbs should constitute different classes, as they differ on such a semantic attribute: the first indicates the subject’s physiological efforts in the production of the action itself, the second - on the subject’s efforts to the addressee of the transmitted information with the purpose of developing one or another attitude to the message. Besides in the second case, the efforts of the subject of explanation are volitional, moral, intellectual, but not physiological, as in the first case. Within the framework of the functional (communicative) classification of the predicates of the expounding semantics of these verbs, it is possible to unite in one subgroup. Verbs of speech of a general nature (to talk, to say) in one of its meanings during transferring of the proverbs, sayings, opinions, and so on, in certain grammatical forms, are semantically transformed and transferred to the group of existential predicates. For example:

Шу тар ёлдан Авғонистонга хам ўтса бўлади, дейишади, (К. Яши́н).

Говорят, по этой узкой дороге можно пройти в Афганистан.

It is said that you can go along this narrow road to Afghanistan.

In such cases, the form “is said” (and similarly) does not indicate a message from any person, as it would be in phrases like: “he (I, you, we, and so on) says (I say ... and so on) that this road ... ”. In the above given example, the word introduces information (that is, the predicate of the message) is absent altogether; it is compensated by the fact that such phrases are inserted into the direct speech of the first person. If the source of information is not the first person, then this can be given in a more complex structure: “he said that they say here that along this road ...”. Exactly in similar cases, at first, tautological constructions shows the difference between the meanings of “communication of information” and “communication about the fact of existence of information”.

In another of its meanings, verbs of speech can be synonymous with verbs of thought in contexts where the interlocutor is informed of the content of the subject’s thoughts (practically, it is always the speaker, grammatically it is the first person of both numbers). In such cases, the verbs of speech have the meaning not “to informs the interlocutor with something in words”, but the meaning “to speak to oneself, to formulate one’s thoughts in words”; at the same time, the verbs of speech, as well as the verbs of thought, have the additional communicative meaning "to make public, to express one's thoughts out loud (but not: to anyone)";

Манава савилнинг ранг чаплаби кепадили дейман. (О. Хуса́нов)

Я говорю (себе), а не поблекнет ли расцветка у этой проклятой штуки.

I say (to myself), won't be faded the color of this damned thing.
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