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For a class of nonlinear impulsive fractional differential equations, we first transform them into equivalent integral equations, and then the implicit Euler method is adapted for solving the problem. The convergence analysis of the method shows that the method is convergent of the first order. The numerical results verify the correctness of the theoretical results.

1. Introduction

In recent years, fractional differential equations have become a research hotspot due to their wide application in many fields. We refer the readers to the research papers [1–5] and the monographs by Podlubny [6], Diethelm [7], Kilbas et al. [8], Zhou [9], and the references cited therein. When the fractional differential equations are affected by instantaneous mutation, the impulsive fractional differential equations are obtained. The research of impulsive fractional differential equations can be found in literatures [10–20] and monograph [21]. However, there are few literatures on numerical methods for impulsive fractional differential equations.

In this paper, implicit Euler method is constructed for solving a class of nonlinear impulsive fractional differential equations. It is proved that the method is convergent of the first order. The numerical results also verify the correctness of the theoretical results.

2. Construction of Numerical Scheme

Consider the following impulsive fractional differential equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{C_0^a D_t^n u(t)}{t} & = f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in J' := J \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m\}, J = [0, T], \\
\Delta u(t_k) & = I_k(u(t_k)), \quad \Delta u'(t_k) = T_k(u(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \\
u(0) & = \beta, \quad u'(0) = \gamma,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \alpha \in (1, 2) \), \( \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \) are constants, and \( C_0^a D_t^n u(t) \) is the \( \alpha \)-order Caputo derivative of solution \( u(t) \) defined by (see [6–8])

\[
\frac{C_0^a D_t^n u(t)}{t} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{u^{(n)}(s)}{(t - s)^{\alpha + n}} \, ds, \quad 0 \leq n - 1 < \alpha < n
\]
\[ u(t_k) = u(0) + u'(0)t + \sum_{0 \leq i < j} I_{k,j}(u(t_k)) + \sum_{0 \leq i < j} I_{k,j}(u(t_k)) (t - t_k) + \int_0^t \frac{(t - s)^{a-1}}{\Gamma(a)} f(s, u(s))ds, \quad t \in J. \]
By using the numerical solution $u_{k,j}$ instead of the true solution $u(t_{k,j})$ in equation (6), we obtain the implicit Euler method for solving impulsive fractional differential equation (1):

$$
\begin{align*}
\left. u_{k,j} = u_{0,0} + u_{0,0}' t_{k,j} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} I_i(u(t_{i-1},N)) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} T_i(u(t_{i-1},N)) (t_{k,j} - t_{i-1,N}) \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{r=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} f(t_{i-1,r}, u(t_{i-1,r})) (t_{k,j} - t_{i-1,r})^\alpha - (t_{k,j} - t_{i-1,r})^\alpha \\
+ \sum_{r=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} f(t_{k,r}, u(t_{k,r})) (t_{k,j} - t_{k,r})^\alpha - (t_{k,j} - t_{k,r})^\alpha 
\right\}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, m, i = 1, 2, \ldots, N.
\end{align*}
$$

(7)

Remark 1. It is well known that there are various forms of definition of fractional calculus in the literature, such as Riemann–Liouville fractional calculus, Čižmešija–Letnikov fractional calculus, and Caputo fractional calculus (see [6–8]). The $\alpha$-order Riemann–Liouville derivative of function $u(t)$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{D}_t^\alpha u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \int_0^t \frac{u(s)}{(t-s)^{n-\alpha}} ds, \quad 0 \leq n - 1 < \alpha < n.
$$

(8)

We have the following relationship between the Riemann–Liouville derivative and Caputo derivative:

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{k,j} &= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( I_i(u(t_{i-1},N)) - I_i(u(t_{i-1},N)) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( T_i(u(t_{i-1},N)) - T_i(u(t_{i-1},N)) \right) (t_{k,j} - t_{i-1,N}) \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{r=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \left( f(t_{i-1,r}, u(t_{i-1,r})) - f(t_{i-1,r}, u(t_{i-1,r})) \right) \left[ (t_{k,j} - t_{i-1,r})^\alpha - (t_{k,j} - t_{i-1,r})^\alpha \right] \\
+ \sum_{r=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \left( f(t_{k,r}, u(t_{k,r})) - f(t_{k,r}, u(t_{k,r})) \right) \left[ (t_{k,j} - t_{k,r})^\alpha - (t_{k,j} - t_{k,r})^\alpha \right] - R_{k,j},
\end{align*}
$$

(10)

where

$$
R_{k,j} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{r=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{t_{i-1,r}}^{t_{i,r}} (t_{k,j} - s)^{n-1} f(s, u(s)) ds
$$

$$
+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_{i-1,r}}^{t_{i,r}} (t_{k,j} - s)^{n-1} f(s, u(s)) ds
$$

$$
- \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{r=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} f(t_{i-1,r}, u(t_{i-1,r})) \int_{t_{i-1,r}}^{t_{i,r}} (t_{k,j} - s)^{n-1} ds
$$

$$
- \sum_{r=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(t_{k,r}, u(t_{k,r})) \int_{t_{k-1,r}}^{t_{k,r}} (t_{k,j} - s)^{n-1} ds.
$$

(11)

In order to obtain the convergence result of numerical method (7), we first prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** Assume the functions $f: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $I_k, T_k: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \ k = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ are continuous and satisfy
conditions (3) and (4); then, the truncation error of the discrete scheme (7) satisfies
\[ |R_{k,i}| \leq C h, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, m, i = 1, 2, \ldots, N. \] (12)

Throughout the paper, \( C \) will denote a positive constant not necessarily the same at different places, which may depend on \( L_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, 5 \), but is independent of \( h \) and \( N \).

\textbf{Proof:} From (11), we have
\[
|R_{k,i}| \leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{l_{i-1}}^{l_i} \left( f(s,u(s)) - f(t_{i-1},u(t_{i-1})) \right) \left( t_{k,i} - s \right)^{a-1} ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{l_{i-1}}^{l_i} \left( f(s,u(s)) - f(t_{k,i},u(t_{k,i})) \right) \left( t_{k,i} - s \right)^{a-1} ds \right|
\]
\[
\leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{l_{i-1}}^{l_i} f(s,u(s)) - f(t_{i-1},u(t_{i-1})) \left( t_{k,i} - s \right)^{a-1} ds \right|
\]
\[
\leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_{l_{i-1}}^{l_i} f(s,u(s)) - f(t_{k,i},u(t_{k,i})) \left( t_{k,i} - s \right)^{a-1} ds \right|
\]
\[
\leq \left| \left( t_{k,i} - t_{i-1} \right)^{a} \left( t_{k,i} - t_{k,i} \right)^{a} \right|.
\] (13)

Applying the integral mean value theorem, we know that there exists \( \xi_{k,i} \in (t_{i-1}, t_{i}) \) such that
\[
|R_{k,i}| \leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a+1)} f(\xi_{k,i},u(\xi_{k,i})) - f(t_{k,i},u(t_{k,i})) \left| t_{k,i} - t_{i-1} \right| \left| t_{k,i} - t_{k,i} \right| \right|
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(a+1)} f(\xi_{k,i},u(\xi_{k,i})) - f(t_{k,i},u(t_{k,i})) \left| t_{k,i} - t_{k,i} \right| \left| t_{k,i} - t_{k,i} \right| \right|
\]
\[
\leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a+1)} f(\xi_{k,i-1},u(\xi_{k,i-1})) - f(t_{i-1},u(t_{i-1})) \left| t_{k,i} - t_{i-1} \right| \left| t_{k,i} - t_{k,i} \right| \right|
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(a+1)} f(\xi_{k,i-1},u(\xi_{k,i-1})) - f(t_{k,i},u(t_{k,i})) \left| t_{k,i} - t_{k,i} \right| \left| t_{k,i} - t_{k,i} \right| \right|
\]
\[
\leq \left| \left( t_{k,i} - t_{i-1} \right)^{a} \left( t_{k,i} - t_{k,i} \right)^{a} \right|.
\] (14)

Using conditions (2) and (3) and differential mean value theorem, we know that there exists \( \eta_{\xi_{k,i}}, \xi_{k,i} \in (t_{i-1}, t_{i}) \) such that
\[
|R_{k,i}| \leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a+1)} \left[ L_{1}(u(\xi_{k,i-1})) - u(t_{i-1}) \right] + h_{L_{1}} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} (\eta_{\xi_{k,i}},u(\xi_{k,i})) \right| \left| t_{k,i} - t_{i-1} \right| \left| t_{k,i} - t_{k,i} \right| \right|
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(a+1)} \left[ L_{1}(u(\xi_{k,i-1})) - u(t_{i-1}) \right] + h_{L_{1}} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} (\eta_{\xi_{k,i}},u(\xi_{k,i})) \right| \left| t_{k,i} - t_{i-1} \right| \left| t_{k,i} - t_{k,i} \right| \right|
\]
\[
\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_{L_{1}} \left[ \left| u(\xi_{k,i-1}) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} (\eta_{\xi_{k,i}},u(\xi_{k,i})) \right| \right] \left| t_{k,i} - t_{i-1} \right| \left| t_{k,i} - t_{k,i} \right| \right|
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(a+1)} \left[ L_{1}(u(\xi_{k,i-1})) - u(t_{i-1}) \right] + h_{L_{1}} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} (\eta_{\xi_{k,i}},u(\xi_{k,i})) \right| \left| t_{k,i} - t_{i-1} \right| \left| t_{k,i} - t_{k,i} \right| \right|
\]
\[
\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_{L_{1}} \left[ \left| (t_{k,i} - t_{i-1} - 1)^{a} - (t_{k,i} - t_{i-1} - 1)^{a} \right| \right] + \frac{1}{\Gamma(a+1)} \left[ L_{1}(u(\xi_{k,i-1})) - u(t_{i-1}) \right] + h_{L_{1}} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} (\eta_{\xi_{k,i}},u(\xi_{k,i})) \right| \left| t_{k,i} - t_{i-1} \right| \left| t_{k,i} - t_{k,i} \right| \right|
\]
\[
\leq Ch \left[ \left| (t_{k,i} - t_{i-1} - 1)^{a} - (t_{k,i} - t_{i-1} - 1)^{a} \right| \right] + \left| t_{k,i} - t_{k,i} \right| \right| \leq Ch.
\] (15)
This means the proof of Lemma 1 is completed. □

**Theorem 1.** Let \( u_{k,j} \) and \( u(t_{k,j}) \) denote the numerical solution and true solution of problem (1) at grid point \( t_{k,j} \), respectively. Then, the convergence inequality

\[
|u_{k,j} - u(t_{k,j})| \leq Ch, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, m, i = 1, 2, \ldots, N,
\]

holds when \( h \) is small enough and the conditions of Lemma 1 are satisfied. This means the numerical method (7) is convergent of the first order.

**Proof.** From (10), we can obtain that

\[
(1 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)L_t h_k^\alpha})|z_{k,i}|
\]

\[
\leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( I_1(u_{i-1,N}) - l_1(u(t_{i-1,N})) \right) \right| + \left| \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( I_1(u_{i-1,N}) - l_1(u(t_{i-1,N})) \right)(t_{k,i} - t_{i-1,N}) \right|
\]

\[
+ \left| \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left( f(t_{i-1,r}, u_{i-1,r}) - f(t_{i-1,r}, u(t_{i-1,r})) \right) \times \left( (t_{k,i} - t_{i-1,r-1})^a - (t_{i-1,r-1})^a \right) \right|
\]

\[
+ \left| \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \left( f(t_{i-1,r}, u_{i-1,r}) - f(t_{i-1,r}, u(t_{i-1,r})) \right) \times \left( (t_{k,i} - t_{i-1,r})^a - (t_{i-1,r})^a \right) \right|
\]

\[
+ |R_{k,i}| \leq \sum_{l=1}^{k} z_{l-1,N} \left( L_2 + L_3 \right)(t_{k,i} - t_{l-1,N})^a + \sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{r=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)L_t} |z_{l-1,r}| \left( (t_{k,i} - t_{l-1,r-1})^a - (t_{l-1,r-1})^a \right)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)L_t} |z_{l-1,r}| \left( (t_{k,i} - t_{l-1})^a - (t_{l-1})^a \right) + |R_{k,i}|.
\]

When \( h \) is small enough, i.e.,

\[
\Gamma(\alpha + 1) - L_t h_k^\alpha > 0,
\]

we have

\[
|z_{k,i}| \leq p_{k,i} + \sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{r=1}^{N} v_{l-1,r} |z_{l-1,r}| + w_k \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} a_{k,r} |z_{k,r}|,
\]

where

\[
p_{k,i} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)|R_{k,i}|}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1) - L_t h_k^\alpha},
\]

\[
w_k = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1) - L_t h_k^\alpha}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, m,
\]

\[
a_{k,r} = L_1 \left( (t_{k,i} - t_{k,m-1})^a - (t_{k,i} - t_{k,r})^a \right), \quad r = 1, 2, \ldots, N - 1,
\]

\[
v_{l-1,r} = L_1 \left( (t_{k,i} - t_{l-1,r-1})^a - (t_{k,i} - t_{l-1,r})^a \right), \quad l = 1, 2, \ldots, k,
\]

\[
v_{l-1,N} = \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \left( L_2 + L_3 (t_{k,i} - t_{l-1,N}) \right) + L_1 \left( (t_{k,i} - t_{l-1,N-1})^a - (t_{k,i} - t_{l-1,N})^a \right).
\]

By using the discrete analogue of Gronwall’s inequality (see Theorem 2 in [22]), it follows that
\[ |z_{k,i}| \leq p_{k,i} + w_k \prod_{l=1}^{k} \left( 1 + w_{l-1} v_{l-1,r} \right) \prod_{r=1}^{j} \left( 1 + w_k a_{k,r} \right) \]
\[ \times \left[ \sum_{q=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( p_{q-1,j} v_{q-1,j} \prod_{l=1}^{q} \left( 1 + w_{l-1} v_{l-1,r} \right) \right) \right] \prod_{r=1}^{j} \left( 1 + w_k a_{k,r} \right)^{-1} + \sum_{g=1}^{j} a_{k,g} P_{k,g} \prod_{l=1}^{k} \left( 1 + w_{l-1} v_{l-1,r} \right)^{-1} \prod_{r=1}^{j} \left( 1 + w_k a_{k,r} \right)^{-1}. \]  

(21)

According to Lemma 1, when \( h \) is small enough, we have
\[ 0 < w_k \leq C, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, m, \]
and
\[ \text{Hence, we obtain} \]
\[ \begin{aligned}
&\sum_{q=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( p_{q-1,j} v_{q-1,j} \prod_{l=1}^{q} \left( 1 + w_{l-1} v_{l-1,r} \right) \right) \prod_{r=1}^{j} \left( 1 + w_k a_{k,r} \right)^{-1} \\
&\leq \sum_{q=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( p_{q-1,j} v_{q-1,j} \prod_{l=1}^{q} \left( 1 + w_{l-1} v_{l-1,r} \right) \right) + \sum_{g=1}^{j} a_{k,g} P_{k,g} \\
&\leq \text{Ch} \left( L_1 \left( t_{k,i} - t_{k-1,N} \right)^a + \sum_{l=1}^{k} \Gamma (\alpha + 1) \left( L_2 + L_3 (t_{k,i} - t_{l-1,N}) \right) \right) \leq \text{Ch} \left( L_1 \left( t_{k,i} - t_{k-1,N} \right)^a + \sum_{l=1}^{k} \Gamma (\alpha + 1) \left( L_2 + L_3 (t_{k,i} - t_{l-1,N}) \right) \right) \\
&\leq \text{Ch}, \\
&\prod_{l=1}^{k} \left( 1 + w_{l-1} v_{l-1,r} \right) \prod_{r=1}^{j} \left( 1 + w_k a_{k,r} \right) \leq \exp \left( \sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{r=1}^{m} \left( 1 + w_{l-1} v_{l-1,r} \right) + \sum_{r=1}^{j} \left( 1 + w_k a_{k,r} \right) \right) \\
&\leq \exp \left( C \left( L_1 \left( t_{k,i} - t_{k-1,N} \right)^a + \sum_{l=1}^{k} \Gamma (\alpha + 1) \left( L_2 + L_3 (t_{k,i} - t_{l-1,N}) \right) \right) \right) \leq C,
\end{aligned} \]

(23)

(24)

where we have used inequality (22) and \( (1 + x) \leq e^x \) for \( x \geq -1 \). Therefore, substituting (22)–(24) into (21) leads to
\[ |z_{k,i}| = |u_{k,i} - u(t_{k,i})| \leq \text{Ch}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, m, i = 1, 2, \ldots, N, \]

(25)

which means the method is convergent of the first order. \( \square \)

### 4. Numerical Experiments

In the section, we utilize the following example to verify the theoretical results obtained in the previous section. Here, we will give error estimates and convergence rates for the numerical scheme.

**Example 1.** Consider the following impulsive fractional differential equation:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{C}{0} D_t^\alpha u(t) &= -3u(t) + 0.2 \sin(u(t)) + 5t^\alpha + 2, \quad t = [0, 3] \setminus [0.5, 2], \quad 1 < \alpha < 2, \\
\Delta u(1) &= -\frac{1}{2} u(0.5^-), \quad \Delta u(2) = -\frac{2}{3} u(2^-), \\
\Delta u'(1) &= \frac{1}{4} u(0.5^-), \quad \Delta u'(2) = \frac{1}{4} u(2^-), \\
u(0) &= 1, \quad u'(0) = 1.
\end{aligned}
\]

(26)
Because it is difficult to obtain the true solution of the equation, we take the numerical solution \( u(t_{k,i}) \) as the true solution \( u(t_{k,i}) \) at \( t = t_{k,i} \) with \( N = 6400 \). The numerical scheme (7) is solved by using the Newton iteration method with \( u^0_{k,i} = 0 \) \( (k = 0,1,\ldots,m, i = 1,2,\ldots,N) \) as an initial value. We iteratively compute \( u^k_{k,i} \) until
\[
\max_{0 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq N} |u^k_{k,i} - u^{k-1}_{k,i}| < 10^{-8}.
\]
The \( L_\infty \) norm of the global error is denoted as
\[
e_{m,N} = \max_{0 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq N} |u^k_{k,i} - u(t_{k,i})|.
\]
Then, the convergence order of the numerical method is
\[
r_{m,N} = \log_2 \left( \frac{e_{m,N}}{e_{m,2N}} \right).
\]

When \( \alpha \) takes different values, the error and convergence order of numerical method (7) are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Error and convergence order of numerical method (7) with different \( \alpha \).

| \( \alpha \) | \( N = 40 \) | \( N = 80 \) | \( N = 160 \) | \( N = 320 \) | \( N = 640 \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1.1 | 4.197e-2 | 2.108e-2 | 1.047e-2 | 5.112e-3 | 2.425e-3 |
| 1.3 | 0.993 | 1.010 | 1.033 | 1.076 | — |
| 1.5 | 4.849e-2 | 2.430e-2 | 1.205e-2 | 5.881e-3 | 2.789e-3 |
| 1.7 | 0.997 | 1.012 | 1.034 | 1.076 | — |
| 1.9 | 6.299e-2 | 3.157e-2 | 1.566e-2 | 7.644e-3 | 3.625e-3 |
| 1.9 | 0.997 | 1.012 | 1.034 | 1.076 | — |
| 2.0 | 8.186e-2 | 4.096e-2 | 2.029e-2 | 9.901e-3 | 4.694e-3 |
| 2.1 | 0.999 | 1.013 | 1.035 | 1.077 | — |
| 2.2 | 8.612e-2 | 4.283e-2 | 2.115e-2 | 1.031e-2 | 4.882e-3 |
| 2.3 | 1.008 | 1.018 | 1.037 | 1.078 | — |

In Figure 1, we can see that the numerical solution is discontinuous due to the existence of the impulses.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we focus on the numerical solution of impulsive fractional differential equations. For a class of nonlinear impulsive fractional differential equations, the implicit Euler method is adapted for solving the problem. After careful convergence analysis, we prove that the method is convergent of the first order. For future work, we will study the higher-order methods for solving impulsive fractional differential equations and analyze their convergence.
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