Infectious mononucleosis mimicking Epstein–Barr virus positive diffuse large B-cell lymphoma not otherwise specified
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The Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) causes infectious mononucleosis (IM). In the case of atypical presentation, lymph node and tonsillar biopsies are required to rule out lymphoma. Here, we discuss an 83-year-old male who presented with findings suggestive of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, which was later ruled out in favor of IM. The distinction between IM and lymphomas is quite challenging due to the extensive overlap between the two diseases. Various studies have demonstrated that EBV-positive diffuse large B-cell lymphoma mimics IM due to large B-cell proliferation in acute EBV infection. We suggest testing for acute EBV infection in addition to utilizing advanced testing to confirm IM in patients with atypical infection, to avoid misdiagnosis leading to inappropriate treatment.
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Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) is a human herpesvirus 4, which causes infectious mononucleosis (IM) and is also noted to cause tumors [1]. The diagnosis of IM is through clinical features and laboratory analysis [2]. Acute EBV infection is characterized by fever, fatigue, pharyngitis/tonsillitis, cervical lymphadenopathy and splenomegaly. Laboratory manifestations include lymphocytosis, peripheral smear with >10% atypical lymphocytes, positive monospot test, EBV antibodies in the serum and at times elevated liver enzymes and hyperbilirubinemia. Atypical presentation of IM is encountered often in the extremes of a population due to an immunocompromised state. In the case of atypical presentation, lymph node and tonsillar biopsies are required to rule out lymphoma [3,4]. However, biopsies can lead to misdiagnosis, as acute EBV in lymphoid tissue can mimic both Hodgkin's and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL) resulting in inappropriate diagnosis and treatment [2,5].

EBV causes tumor formation by modifying B-cell growth transcription factors [6,7]. The spectrum of B-cell neoplasms associated with EBV infection has increased exponentially, with research demonstrating that EBV-positive diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) mimics IM due to large B-cell proliferation in acute EBV infection.

Here, we discuss an 83-year-old male who presented with findings suggestive of DLBCL, the most common form of NHL, which was later ruled out in favor of a diagnosis of IM.

Patient
An 83-year-old male with a medical history of hypertension, hyperlipidemia, coronary artery disease after requiring a drug-eluting stent and osteoarthritis, presents to the emergency department complaining of generalized abdominal pain and back pain. Initial examination of the patient's vitals demonstrated a temperature of 97.2°F (32.2°C), pulse rate of 70/min, blood pressure of 135/68 mm Hg and respiratory rate of 20/min, saturating well on room air. The physical exam was significant for jaundice and bilateral lower extremity pitting edema.
Complete blood count identified a white count of 11.7 (reference 4–9) and platelets of 116 (reference 150–350). Differential complete blood count revealed 51% lymphocytosis (reference 15–40%) and 38% neutrophils (reference 50–75%). Significant labs included elevated total bilirubin of 6.7 mg/dl (reference <1), aspartate transaminase of 325 U/l (reference <40), alanine transaminase of 340 U/l (reference <60), alkaline phosphatase of 702 U/l (reference <100), creatinine 1.43 mg/dl (baseline 0.8), lactate dehydrogenase >500 U/l (reference <200), ferritin >8000 ng/ml (reference <320). A computed tomography scan of the abdomen and pelvis with contrast revealed splenomegaly, mediastinal, retroperitoneal, intraabdominal (in porta hepatis and pancreatic head), pelvic and right inguinal lymphadenopathy.

Monospot test is positive. A peripheral smear revealed atypical lymphocytes 2+, few target cells, smudge cells 2+ and giant platelets (Figure 1).

Immunoglobulin assay revealed elevated serum IgG and circulating IgA with normal IgM levels. IgM and IgG antibodies against EBV viral capsid antigen (VCA) and IgG antibody against EBV nuclear antigen were positive, suggesting a recent EBV infection.

The values of the antibody panel were as follows:

- EBV Nuclear Ag IgG: 38.20 U/ml (normal <18 U/ml)
- EBV VCA Ab IgM: 136 U/ml (normal <36 U/ml)
- EBV VCA Ab IgG: 32.50 U/ml (normal <18 U/ml).

With an extremely high suspicion for malignancy, an inguinal lymph node biopsy was recommended and the patient was referred to Hematology–Oncology. Preliminary biopsy results demonstrated diffuse proliferation of abnormal large lymphoid cells suspicious for lymphoma (Figure 2).

Final biopsy results revealed EBV-positive DLBCL not otherwise specified (EBV+ DLBCL, not otherwise specified [NOS]), with a diffuse proliferation of large neoplastic lymphocytes, extensive necrosis, brisk mitotic activity, nuclei and nucleoli of varying morphology and size, with some cells reaching immunoblastic morphology (Figure 3).

Immunohistochemistry determined B-cells positive for CD20, CD79a and PAX5, but negative for ALK1, BCL2, BCL6 and CD10. Immunohistochemistry also revealed the overexpression of C-myc (images unavailable). Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) was positive for EBER (EBV-encoded small RNAs) with lambda light chain restriction. Ki-67 showed high proliferation with 80–90% nuclear labeling in tumor cells. Flow cytometry determined abnormal large B-cell populations lacking light chains. Differential flow cytometry revealed 86.8 % of lymphocytes with 78 % of B cells and 22% of T cells with an inverted CD4/CD8 ratio of 0.8.

FISH also indicated the absence of gene rearrangements in BCL2, BCL6 and MYC revealing conflicting results between flow cytometry and FISH. The patient was followed closely for hepatic enzymopathy and development of any new symptoms as the clinical picture was leaning toward IM and drifting away from lymphoma, given positive monospot test and serology and a negative BCL2. He was started on dexamethasone taper for 4 days, with an idea to subside inflammation as the bilirubin level continue to rise. Bilirubin and liver enzymes trended up and normalized over the next 4–8 weeks. Figures 4–7 highlight the specific trends of bilirubin, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase and alkaline phosphatase.
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Figure 2. An imprint of lymph node biopsy: variably enlarged atypical lymphoid cells with basophilic, vacuolated cytoplasm. Atypical lymphoid cells appear more polymorphous in Epstein–Barr virus infection than diffuse large B-cell lymphoma.

Figure 3. Histology: effaced architecture with areas of necrosis.

Figure 4. Trend of bilirubin levels.
Figure 5. Trend of aspartate aminotransferase levels.  
AST: Aspartate aminotransferase.

Figure 6. Trend of alanine aminotransferase levels.  
ALT: Alanine aminotransferase.

Interestingly, follow-up positron emission tomography/computerized tomography, performed 4 months after the initial imaging demonstrated normalization of all previously documented extensive lymphadenopathy and splenomegaly, which further strengthened the diagnosis of IM.

Discussion
EBV is the most potent human virus that transforms in vivo. It can persist for life in greater than 90% of humans without causing disease. EBV spreads through saliva and enters the Waldeyer tonsillar ring in the oropharynx and amplifies by initiating a lytic phase. The virus goes on to infect naive B cells in lymphoid tissues, causing their transformation into lymphoblasts and memory B cells. Memory B cells eventually return to the tonsil, where they differentiate into plasma cells, at times, triggering viral replication. The replicated virus remains in saliva as a source of transmission or may infect other B cells [8–10].
Although primary EBV infection occurs during early childhood, infection is usually subclinical or results in mild respiratory symptoms. Acquiring the infection in late childhood or adolescence can manifest as IM, which is reported to occur in approximately 25–75% of EBV-infected individuals [11–13].

Acute EBV is confirmed by a positive monospot test or EBV serology. As discussed in earlier studies, EBV anti-VCA IgM antibodies are the first humoral response in acute infection. Anti-VCA IgG antibodies peak during the first few months and then persist throughout life. IgG antibodies may present in acute infection, but smaller quantities than anti-VCA IgM [14]. EBV causes latent infection and induces the proliferation of lymphocytes [8] and is considered to have a predilection toward B lymphocytes, but it can also infect T lymphocytes or epithelial cells as it is reported to be found in some T-lymphoma cells and diseases of epithelial cells [15].

By influencing B-cell growth transcription programs (Latency I–III), EBV may induce tumor formation. Latency I is associated with EBV-related Burkitt lymphoma, Latency II with Hodgkin’s disease, T-cell non-Hodgkin’s disease, nasopharyngeal and gastric cancer, whereas Latency III is associated with immunocompromised individuals (post-transplant patients and HIV-associated lymphoproliferative disorders) [6,7,16–18]. EBV-positive DLBCL has a poor prognosis when compared with its EBV-negative counterpart [19]. EBV+ DLBCL tends to be diagnosed at an older age, but recent evidence demonstrates that EBV+ DLBCL can be seen in young, immunocompetent individuals leading to the replacement of EBV+ DLBCL of Elderly (DLBCL-E) to EBV+ diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, not otherwise specified (EBV+ DLBCL, NOS) [20–24].

Patients with EBV+ DLBCL, NOS, usually present with an EBV latency pattern III, in which all EBV-associated proteins – like membrane proteins – and nuclear antigens are expressed [25]. Immunosenescence might accelerate in the context of prior EBV infections [26–28].

Presence of Reed-Sternberg-like cells in IM resulting in confusion with Hodgkin’s disease is well-known; similar difficulty in excluding NHL especially EBV+ DLBCL can be encountered.

The overlap between the two diseases is discussed in Table 1.

The distinction between IM and lymphoma is made on morphological and clinical grounds. In our patient, the clinical suspicion was the prime motivation in contesting the diagnosis of bona fide malignancy, as hepatic enzymopathy and the rapidly evolving laboratory values made the suspicion for lymphoma less tenable. Refined testing including immunohistochemistry, FISH and flow cytometry provided additional evidence, which resulted in the diagnosis moving away from lymphoma with findings contrary to DLBCL [29–31].

The contents of Table 1 also reiterate that the self-limiting nature of the presentation, combined with findings like positive EBER, negative BCL2 and BCL6, as well as the inversion of the CD4/CD8 ratio derived from advanced testing in our patient, corresponds to the diagnosis of IM.
Table 1. Distinguishing features among Epstein–Barr virus-positive infectious mononucleosis and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma.

| Disease                  | IM                                      | EBV-positive DLBCL, NOS | Ref. |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------|------|
| Clinical features        | Clinical triad                          | B-type symptoms, lymphadenopathy – among others |      |
| Extra-nodal              | Can be seen occasionally                 | Usually present         |      |
| Elevated liver enzymes  | Usually                                 | Uncommon                |      |
| IgG, IgM                 | Can be elevated                         | Elevated                |      |
| Lymph node histology     | Polymorphous, may be necrosis; the underlying structure is retained with reactive infiltrate even with immunoblastic proliferative features | Polymorphous, with necrosis, destruction of lymph node architecture but can have partial destruction at times | [3]  |
| Predominance             | T cell                                  | B cell                  |      |
| Expression of EBER      | Yes                                     | Not often               |      |
| BCL 2                    | Negative                                | Positive in 25–80%      |      |
| BCL 6                    | Negative                                | Positive in 70%         |      |
| CD 19, CD 20, CD 79a     | Can be positive                         | Usually positive        |      |
| Ki 67 (proliferation fraction) | Can be high                          | High                    |      |
| CD4/CD8 ratio            | Inversion of the ratio (<1)             | Inversion is not usually seen |      |
| Progression              | Selflimiting                            | Progressive             |      |
| Treatment                | Supportive, resolves in a few weeks      | Immuochemotherapy       |      |

DLBCL: Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; EBV: Epstein–Barr virus; IM: Infectious mononucleosis; NOS: Not otherwise specified.

Conclusion

IM should be considered in cases of diffuse lymphadenopathy in any population, more so in the elderly and the young where an immunocompromised state can mask the typical presentation of IM. Differentiating between EBV+ DLBCL and IM is quite challenging considering the extensive overlap in the disease characteristics between the two. Ruling out IM before making a diagnosis of lymphoma is important in guiding appropriate management.

Hence, we suggest testing for acute EBV infection to rule out primary IM in patients with diffuse lymphadenopathy with or without systemic manifestations, even if the clinical picture does not resemble typical IM to avoid misdiagnosis and inappropriate treatment.

Executive summary

- Here, we present a case of an 83-year-old male with infectious mononucleosis (IM) mimicking Epstein–Barr virus positive diffuse large B-cell lymphoma not otherwise specified. Initial test results including bone marrow biopsy pointed towards lymphoma.
- Further analysis of immunohistochemistry, fluorescence in situ hybridization, and flow cytometry helped exclude lymphoma.
- Also, serology for acute Epstein–Barr virus infection supported the diagnosis of acute IM in our patient.
- Physicians need to differentiate between the atypical presentation of IM and malignancy by careful assessment of history, physical exam and utilizing different molecular techniques to prevent misdiagnosis.
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