Delayed radiation myelopathy in patients with non-Hodgkin lymphoma: The importance of serial MR-imaging and PET-CT in differential diagnosis and surveillance
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Abstract

Delayed radiation myelopathy (DRM) is a rare but serious complication grown at spinal cord after a certain period of application of radiotherapy (RT). Factors such the radiation dose and time between applications, affect to the incidence as well as the severity of myelopathy. Serial Magnetic Resonance Images (MRI) showed spinal cord enlargement and the signal intensity were increased. MRI alterations can be maintained, changed or progressed over time. In case of progression, it must be discarded that the imaging findings are due to relapse of the patient’s underlying disease. Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography (PET/CT) studies take a very important place in differential diagnosis of both pathologies, myelopathy radiation changes and in relapse of the disease.

We reviewed the literature and we present two cases with patients diagnosed with B-cell low-grade non-Hodgkin lymphoma and who received chemotherapy and radiotherapy below the limit agreed in the guidelines. Both patients developed progressive lower extremity weakness and MRI abnormalities of the spinal cord limited to the radiation field appeared.

Cases

Radiation therapy is one of the most important mainstay treatment modalities for a non-Hodgkin lymphoma with bone involvement.

In the last years, we observed two female patients who developed a severe neurological disorder due to the irradiation of vertebral bodies infiltrated by B-cell low-grade non-Hodgkin lymphoma.

The first patient, a 64-years-old woman, diagnosed with lymphoma with supra and infradiaphragmatic lymphadenopathies, and epidural masses in T12-L1 and L2-L3 (Figure 1).

She received intratecal chemotherapy for central nervous system (CNS) prophlaxis, followed by six cycles of CHOP-R chemotherapy (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, prednisone and rituximab) and radiotherapy on epidural masses, with fields covering from T10 to L1. The calculated dose delivered to the spinal cord was 30 Gy in 2 Gy equivalent fractions and was completed in 15 days without unplanned breaks. The patient tolerated the treatment well, with limited mucositis and gastroenteritis. Complete remission was shown in the subsequent PET-CT and MRI controls.

The patient stayed well for approximately 20 months, when she began to show numbness and weakness of both lower limbs, with progressively worsening. Neurological examination revealed hyperreflexia and clonus on lower limbs, sensitive deficit below the infraumbilical region, and gait disorder with sensitive ataxia and increased support base. On the following months, she experienced progressive worsening of ambulatory deficit, due to leg paresis.

Magnetic resonance imaging of the spinal cord demonstrated intramedullary lesion extending from T11 to the upper end of L1. The spinal cord appeared diffusely enlarged over the involved segment and a ring enhancing lesion was observed after Gadolinium administration (Figure 2). Suspected diagnoses were relapse lymphoma, primary intramedullary tumor, or radiation myelitis. The spinal tap revealed a normal CSF chemistry and microscopy.

For PET/CT examination, the patient was intravenously injected of F-18 fluorodeoxyglucose (F-18 FDG). There were no pathological FDG uptake suggesting malignancy at the spinal cord in the thoracic or lumbar region. According to patient history, clinical and radiological findings, the patient was diagnosed as DRM.

The patient was treated with high dose methylprednisolone, 500 mg/day for three days followed by oral methylprednisolone for one month. Rehabilitation and hyperbaric oxygen therapy were applied too.
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She presents a transient response with slight clinical improvement, mainly of the sensorial affection. Subsequent serial MRI studies confirmed radiological improvement and stable heterogenous enhancement in the spinal cord, without new areas of involvement (Figure 3). However, 4 months later, the patient was paraplegic, lost bladder and bowel function, and shown a mild increase in the craniocaudal extension of the enhanced lesion in the MRI images (Figure 4).

The second patient, aged 81 years, was diagnosed of lymphoma by vertebral mass biopsy in L1. In the initial MRI diagnosis, was evidenced a mass in L1 which breaks the posterior cortex of the vertebral body, extending to an anterior epidural mass that compromises the spinal canal, and other smaller lesions in D8 and D9, limited to the vertebral bodies (Figure 5). According the age of the patient, she underwent initial treatment with RT on the mass, followed by systemic chemotherapy. Subsequently, she continued treatment with Rituximab. 24 months after RT, the patient shown a progressive decline in neurological function, dysesthesia and motor deficit in both lower limbs and sensory deficit below the T7 level. Autonomous ambulation was not possible.

Spinal cord MRI revealed a long segment of intramedullary lesion extended from T6 to T11 levels. The spinal cord appeared diffusely enlarged over the involved segment and a partially enhancing lesion was observed after Gadolinium administration. It was also evidenced, another focus of right paramedian nodular enhancement in the medullary cone. Supplementary PET is performed on suspicion of tumor involvement of the spinal cord, which is negative (Figure 6). A new MRI 3 months later, was revealed an edema reduction and stability of the enhancing lesion. (Figure 7).

Figure 1. Saggital T2WI (A) and sagittal T1WI+Gd+fat saturation (B). A fusiform isointense lesion located in the posterior epidural space in T12-L1 and L2-L3 on T2WI MRI (arrow). Homogeneous enhancement of the lesion was found after gadolinium administration (arrow)

Figure 2. Findings after treatment with radiotherapy. Absence of epidural mass on T2WI (A) and T1WI+Gd, with changes of fatty replacement of the vertebral bodies on sagittal T2WI. Sagittal T1 post gadolinium MRI (B) showing the area of enhancement within the cord (open arrow) and on the T2-WI (A) showing edema in the cord above and below the lesion (arrows). Absence of FDG uptake in the spinal cord on PET-CT images (C) indicating radio necrosis

Figure 3. Six months after starting steroid therapy, she had gradual clinical improvement and the MRI shows no significant changes in signal intensity with a stability of the nodular enhancement in the spinal cord (open arrow)

Figure 4. 4 months later, the patient presented clinical worsening, a new MRI demonstrated radiological progression of radionecrosis, with increased in density and craniocaudal extension of the enhancement (open arrows), and greater associated edema (arrows)

Figure 5. Sagittal T2WI (A) and contrast-enhanced sagittal T1WI (B). Expansive lesion in L1 vertebral body, which breaks the posterior cortex by associating an anterior epidural mass and compresses the medullary cord (arrow). Lesions with similar characteristics are also identified in vertebral bodies T8 and T9 (open arrow)
2. Subacute (self-limiting) myelopathy: according to the clinical spectrum:

Radiation exposure of the spinal cord to therapeutic radiation carries the risk of injury or damage [6], and is considered to be produced by a transient demyelination of the medullary posterior cords, so it does not require treatment. Its development does not predict the appearance of chronic myelopathy in the future [6].

3. Late injury Delayed radiation myelopathy (DMR): Chronic progressive radiation myelopathy is typically irreversible and it’s the purpose of our article [7,8].

DRM is a rare but feared complication of therapeutic radiation exposure to the spine cord, due to its progressive course and irreversibility [9]. Criteria used generally include the following: radiation therapy to the spinal cord, neurologic symptoms must correspond to the involved segment of spinal cord irradiated [1,3,10], lack of neoplastic disease involving the cord in patients with normal cerebro-spinal fluid (CSF), and there must be a latency period of more than six months [11].

The neurological examination shows: sensory loss below the level of RT, slowly progressing ascending paresis and paralysis, mild hyperreflexia, bowel and bladder sphincter disturbances. Since antemortem confirmation of DRM is impossible, it often remains a diagnosis of exclusion [12,14].

The MRI is currently the most widely used imaging tool in the diagnostic evaluation of radiation myelopathy [13]. As it is a rare complication, we only find in the literature, reports of isolated cases, with punctual images. In our study, we presented two cases with the same pathology and their follow up over time, with MRI, to see the evolution of the findings.

Characteristic MRI changes [14-16] include areas of low signals on T1-weighted images, swelling and diffuse high signal on T2 and enhancement in post-gadolinium T1-weighted images. The enhancement may be nodular, patched or ring-shaped, and confined to the area exposed to radiation. The fatty marrow change in the adjacent vertebral bodies may be the only clue to diagnosis [11], if the antecedent vertebral bodies may be the only clue to diagnosis [11], if the antecedent vertebral bodies may be the only clue to diagnosis [11], if the antecedent vertebral bodies may be the only clue to diagnosis [11], if the antecedent vertebral bodies may be the only clue to diagnosis [11], if the antecedent vertebral bodies may be the only clue to diagnosis [11], if the antecedent vertebral bodies may be the only clue to diagnosis [11], if the antecedent vertebral bodies may be the only clue to diagnosis [11].

The central cord swelling seen on T2 weighted images may well represent an edema, produced in response to altered vascular permeability after radiation damage to the vascular endothelium [15,18]. Consequently, the edema can be significantly reduced with corticosteroid treatment.

Peripheral ring enhancement of the cord after gadolinium administration shows the localization of the major focus of cord damage, the point where is broken the blood-brain barrier [8,18,19]. This alteration can vary and increase in size, becoming more heterogeneous with imprecise borders with the appearance of “Swiss-cheese-like”. This increase in size, is a result of diffuse white matter demyelination and necrosis and it must not be confused with medullary infiltration by the patient’s disease [20], being very useful, the realization of PET-CT.

The PET-CT with fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG), plays an important role in the differential diagnosis from primary spinal cord lesions and spinal metastases [16,21]. Most malignant tumors show increased uptake of FDG, because tumor cell growth and malignant transformation are associated with increased hexokinase activity and over expression of glucose transporters. Therefore, in these entities, the PET-CT show a clearly decreased FDG uptake.

The systemic cytotoxic therapy received by our patients, in both cases they received Rituximab, in combination with radiotherapy,
may be a factor that potentiates the observed radiation neurotoxicity. Although DRM has been observed following intrathecal chemotherapy with craniospinal irradiation in children, but there are very few cases and no clear association has been established [11]. Research in this field should continue, with more representative samples.

No treatment was shown to affect the progressive course of neurological situation in the treatment of DRM, the damage is irreversible, and the treatment is mostly supportive.

Some patients have acquired a short-term benefit from steroids, which may be related to the edema and inflammation. There have been reports in the literature, cases of patients who benefited from warfarin, pentoxifylline, vitamin E, and hyperbaric oxygen treatments. In view of the low risk of side effects of steroids, hyperbaric oxygen and rehabilitation, a combination of these three treatments may be proposed [16,22].

**Conclusion**

Radiation induced complications, are usually delayed and may occur from months to years after irradiation. Delayed radiation myelopathy is an infrequent but very serious complication, because it has an irreversible progressive course and there is no effective treatment. Suspicion criteria are based on patient's neurological symptoms, history of radiotherapy on the spinal cord, and imaging findings. MRI is the best imaging tool in the diagnostic assessment and monitoring of radiation myelopathy, and the PET-CT can help in case of the diagnosis is not clear.
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