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ABSTRACT

We study the effect of poloidal magnetic field on type I planetary migration by linear perturbation analysis in the shearing sheet approximation, and the analytic results are compared with numerical calculations. In contrast to the unmagnetized case, the basic equations that describe the wake due to a planet in the disk allow magnetic resonances at which the density perturbation diverges. In order to simplify the problem, we consider the case without magnetorotational instability. We perform two sets of analyses, two-dimensional and three-dimensional. In the two-dimensional analysis, we find the generalization of the torque formula previously known in the unmagnetized case. In the three-dimensional calculations, we focus on the disk with very strong magnetic field and derive a new analytic formula for the torque exerted on the planet. We find that when the Alfvén velocity is much larger than the sound speed, two-dimensional torque is suppressed and three-dimensional modes dominate, in contrast to the unmagnetized case.

Subject headings: MHD — planets and satellites: formation — solar system: formation

1. INTRODUCTION

Type I planetary migration is one of the serious difficulties in the theory of planet formation, and there has been a lot of work on this topic. For an unmagnetized disk, extensive linear perturbation analyses have been performed since Goldreich & Tremaine’s pioneering work (Goldreich & Tremaine 1979). The recent result by Tanaka et al. (2002) has shown that a protoplanet of 5 $M_\oplus$ located at 5 AU and embedded in the minimum-mass solar nebula (Hayashi et al. 1985) will migrate inward to the central star in $8 \times 10^2$ yr, shorter than the observed timescale of the protoplanetary disk, $10^7$ yr (see, e.g., Haisch et al. 2001). Their results are confirmed by numerical calculations (see, e.g., D’Angelo et al. 2003).

Most of analytic works on type I planetary migration have focused on unmagnetized, locally isothermal disks. Goldreich & Tremaine (1979) considered the two-dimensional (2D) disk, that is, there is no structure in the vertical direction of the disk, and derived analytic formulae of the torque exerted at Lindblad and corotation resonances. In order to calculate the migration rate, it is necessary to calculate the difference of the torque exerted on the disk inside and outside of the planet. This is done by Ward (1986). Later, Artymowicz (1993) derived, without invoking the WKB approximation, a generalized formula for Lindblad resonances including the cutoff of the torque for high azimuthal mode number. Three-dimensional (3D) analysis is performed by Takeuchi & Miyama (1998), Tanaka et al. (2002), and Zhang & Lai (2006). Takeuchi & Miyama (1998) derived torque formulae for some resonances, and full analytic calculation was performed by Zhang & Lai (2006). When the planet is embedded in the equatorial plane of a thin disk, 3D modes have been shown to be ineffective (Tanaka et al. 2002).

In contrast to all the above studies, magnetic fields are supposed to be present in protoplanetary disks. Significant mass accretion onto the central star requires an effective mechanism for angular momentum transfer. At present, magnetorotational instability (MRI; Balbus & Hawley 1991) is the most likely mechanism for the generation of turbulent viscosity in the disks. However, whether the disk is magnetically active or dead depends strongly on the amount of gas (and hence, dust), number density, and the properties (size distribution, etc.) of the dust grains, because the surfaces of the dust grains are very efficient sites of recombination (Gammie 1996; Sano et al. 2000). The detailed analysis of the ionization structure with the standard cosmic-ray ionization rate simply predicts that the planet-forming region (approximately between 0.1 and 10 AU from the central star) might be in the dead zone where gas and magnetic field do not couple. However, various effects can change this prediction; the growth of dust grains to larger particles decreases the total surface area for recombination and, hence, increases the ionization degree. Sedimentation of dust grains onto the disk midplane also increases the ionization degree of most of the height of the disk. Yet, another mechanism to increase the ionization rate is also proposed, which possibly removes the dead zone in the standard solar nebular model (Inutsuka & Sano 2005).

The property of planetary migration may be totally different if magnetic field is important. Nelson & Papaloizou (2004) performed numerical calculations of type I migration in a magnetized disk and indicated that the turbulence due to MRI would result in stochastic torque on the planet. A simple model for the random torque was presented by Laughlin et al. (2004). Terquem (2003) performed the linear analysis of the torque for a 2D laminar disk with toroidal magnetic field and indicated that when a stronger magnetic field was exerted on the disk inside the planet’s orbit than the outside, inward migration might be halted. Fromang et al. (2005) further investigated this situation by numerical calculation, and the numerical results showed good agreement with linear analysis.

In this paper, we investigate the type I planetary migration when poloidal magnetic field is exerted on the disk, which is a complementary analysis to Terquem (2003). As a first step to understand the nature of migration in a magnetized disk, we perform shearing sheet analysis, which assumes symmetric structure inside and outside the planet’s orbit, and calculate the torque exerted on one side of the disk. We restrict ourselves to a laminar disk, the case without MRI, and derive analytic formulae of torque exerted on some important resonances. We perform a 3D calculation and our formalism is a natural extension of previous studies of unmagnetized cases that were developed by Goldreich &...
Tremaine (1979) and Artymowicz (1993). For 2D modes, we derive an analytic formula which generalizes that of Artymowicz (1993). For 3D modes, we employ the WKB approximation and derive an analytic torque formula in a strong field limit. We show, for 3D modes, that there is a divergence in perturbed density at certain resonances and the torque is localized at this point. We describe how to treat the singularity in the wave equation. We show that 2D modes are suppressed by poloidal magnetic field and 3D modes will dominate the total torque. We then compare the results of the linear analysis with a numerical calculation and show good agreement. Type I migration in a disk with strong poloidal magnetic field may also be important in the formation of planets around neutron stars (e.g., Bailes et al. 1991).

The plan of this paper is as follows. In § 2 we describe the linear analysis. In § 3 we describe the numerical calculation. Section 4 compares the results of linear analysis and numerical calculation. We discuss some possible important points that are not covered in this analysis in § 5, and § 6 is for our summary.

2. LINEAR CALCULATION OF TORQUE

In this section, we derive analytic formulae for the torque exerted on the disk by the planet by linear analysis. The back-reaction exerted on the planet causes orbital migration.

2.1. Basic Equations

For simplicity, we consider only a local region around the planet using the shearing sheet model (Narayan et al. 1987). Although it gives the same magnitude but the opposite sign of the net torque becomes zero, we focus on one side of the disk in this setup and simplify the problem to understand the effect of magnetic field. We assume that the temperature is constant and the self-gravity of the disk is negligible in this local region. The orbit of the protoplanet is assumed to be circular on the equatorial plane of the disk. We set up local Cartesian coordinates with the origin at the protoplanet’s position and the x-, y-, and z-axes are the radial, azimuthal, and vertical directions of the disk, respectively. We use ideal MHD equations,

\[ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho v) = 0, \]  
\[ \frac{\partial \rho v}{\partial t} + (\rho v \cdot \nabla) v = \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P - \nabla \psi_{\text{eff}} - 2 \Omega_p (v \times \rho v) - \frac{1}{4 \pi \rho} B \times (\nabla \times B), \]  
\[ \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (v \times B), \]  

where \( \rho \), \( v \), \( P \), \( \psi_{\text{eff}} \), \( \Omega_p \), \( e_z \), and \( B \) are the gas density, velocity, gas pressure, effective potential including tidal force and the planet’s gravitational potential, Keplerian angular velocity of the protoplanet, a unit vector directed to the z-axis, and the magnetic flux density, respectively. We adopt an isothermal equation of state, \( P = c^2 \rho \), where \( c \) is the sound speed. The Keplerian angular velocity of the protoplanet is given by

\[ \Omega_p = \left( \frac{GM_p}{r_p^3} \right)^{1/2}, \]  

where \( G \), \( M_p \), and \( r_p \) are the gravitational constant, mass of the central star, and the distance between the protoplanet and the central star, respectively. Our calculations are normalized by a unit time, \( \Omega_p^{-1} \), unit velocity, \( c \), and unit length, \( h \equiv c/\Omega_p \). The effective potential \( \psi_{\text{eff}} \) in our normalization is given by, assuming a Keplerian disk,

\[ \psi_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\rho} - \frac{3\varepsilon^2}{8h^2}, \]  

where all the quantities with a tilde indicate the normalized value. The first term on the right-hand side of equation (5) is composed of the gravitational potential of the central star and the centrifugal potential, and higher orders in \( x \), \( y \), and \( z \) are neglected. We also neglect the \( z \)-dependence of the gravitational potential of the central star for simplicity and consider the constant background density later. This greatly simplifies the calculation, and we have found that it does not seriously affect the results. The second term on the right-hand side of equation (5) is the gravitational potential of the protoplanet, where \( r_H \) and \( \dot{r} \) are the normalized Hill radius and the distance from the center of the protoplanet, respectively. The Hill radius is defined by \( r_H = (M_p/3M_J)^{1/3}r_p \), where \( M_p \) is the mass of the protoplanet.

The background disk is assumed to have no planet. The background gas flow has a Keplerian shear, \( v_0 = -\left( \frac{3}{2} \right) \varepsilon \rho \), background density is assumed to be constant, \( \rho_0 \), and the background magnetic field is assumed to be constant and poloidal, \( B_0 = \rho_0 e_z \). We denote all the background quantities with subscript zero.

We treat the planet as a perturber on this background disk and derive the stationary pattern excited by the planet, as in Goldreich & Tremaine (1979). We denote perturbed quantities with \( \delta \), e.g., density perturbation is denoted as \( \delta \rho \). We Fourier transform in the \( r \), \( \theta \), and \( z \)-directions, i.e., we shall consider the solution of the form \( \delta \rho \propto \exp[-i(\omega t - k_r r - k_\theta \theta - k_z z)] \). Since we consider the stationary pattern, the frequency \( \omega \) is zero. The perturbed quantities are then

\[ \delta \rho(x, y, z) = \sum_{k_r \perp k_z} \delta \rho_{k_r, k_z}(x) e^{i(k_r y + k_z z)}, \]  

and the inverse transformation is

\[ \delta \rho_{k_r, k_z}(x) = \frac{1}{L_r L_z} \int_{-L_r/2}^{L_r/2} \int_{-L_z/2}^{L_z/2} dy dz \delta \rho(x, y, z) e^{-i(k_r y + k_z z)}, \]  

where \( L_r \) and \( L_z \) denote the box sizes of the \( y \)- and \( z \)-directions, respectively. Imposing periodic boundary conditions in the \( y \)- and \( z \)-directions, the wavenumbers in these directions are \( k_y = 2\pi n_y/L_r \) and \( k_z = 2\pi n_z/L_z \), respectively, where \( n_y \) and \( n_z \) are integers. We shall drop the subscripts \( k_r \) and \( k_z \) of the Fourier modes unless it is ambiguous.

We define the Lagrangian displacement \( \xi \) by

\[ \delta v_x = -i \sigma(x) \xi_x, \]  
\[ \delta v_y = -i \sigma(x) \xi_y + (3/2) \Omega_p \xi_z, \]  
\[ \delta v_z = -i \sigma(x) \xi_z, \]  

where

\[ \sigma(x) \equiv \omega + \frac{3}{2} \Omega_p k_x. \]  

Note again that \( \omega \) is zero since we consider the stationary solution.
Using the Lagrangian displacement, the linearized induction equations are
\[ \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial t} = ik_z B_0 \xi_x, \]
\[ \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial t} = ik_z B_0 \xi_y, \]
\[ \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial t} = -B_0 \left( \frac{d\xi_z}{dx} + ik_z \xi_z \right). \]
The equation of continuity is
\[ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{d\xi_x}{dx} + ik_z \xi_x + ik_z \xi_z = 0, \]
and the equations of motion become, using the induction equations,
\[ \left( -\sigma^2 - 3\Omega^2 \right) \xi_x + 2\Omega \sigma \xi_y = - \left( \sigma^2 + v_A^2 \right) \frac{d\rho}{dx} + v_A \left( -k_x^2 \xi_x - ik_z \frac{d\xi_z}{dx} \right) - \frac{d\psi_p}{dx}, \]
\[ \sigma^2 \xi_y - 2\Omega \sigma \xi_x = \left( \sigma^2 + v_A^2 \right) ik_y \frac{d\rho}{dx} - v_A \left( -k_y k_z \xi_x + k_z^2 \xi_y \right) - ik_y \psi_p, \]
\[ \sigma^2 \xi_z = -2c^2 ik_z \frac{d\rho}{dx} - ik_z \psi_p, \]
where \( v_A^2 = B_0^2 / 4\pi \rho_0 \) denotes the Alfvén velocity of the background gas. We shall also define, for later convenience, the plasma beta \( \beta = c^2 / v_A^2 \).

Equations (15), (16), (17), and (18) are four independent equations for four variables \( \xi_x, \xi_y, \xi_z \), and the equations of motion become, using the induction. From equations (15) and (18), we finally obtain a second-order ordinary differential equation which describes wave excitation and propagation of the disk,
\[ \frac{d^2f}{dx^2} + A_1 \frac{df}{dx} + A_2 f = S, \]
where
\[ A_1 = \frac{d}{dx} \ln \frac{\sigma^2 - v_A^2 k_x^2}{D}, \]
\[ A_2 = \frac{(\sigma^2 - c^2 k_y^2)D}{\left[ (c^2 + v_A^2)\sigma^2 - c^2 v_A^2 k_y^2 \right] (\sigma^2 - v_A^2 k_z^2)} \frac{d}{dx} \left( \ln D \right) - k_y^2, \]
\[ S = \frac{\sigma^2 D}{\left[ (c^2 + v_A^2)\sigma^2 - c^2 v_A^2 k_y^2 \right] (\sigma^2 - v_A^2 k_z^2)} \psi_p. \]

Imposing the WKB approximation, \( df/dx, k_x f \gg k_y f \), this equation simplifies to the Schrödinger type
\[ \frac{d^2f}{dx^2} + V(x)f = S, \]
where
\[ V(x) = \frac{(\sigma^2 - c^2 k_y^2)D}{\left[ (c^2 + v_A^2)\sigma^2 - c^2 v_A^2 k_y^2 \right] (\sigma^2 - v_A^2 k_z^2)}. \]
The regions where \( V(x) > 0 \) are wave-propagation regions, and those where \( V(x) < 0 \) are evanescent. The boundaries between these regions, where \( V(x) = 0 \) or \( \pm \infty \), are the resonances. Figure 1 shows the appropriately normalized potential \( V(x) \) for a disk with \( \beta = 0.9 \) and modes \( k_x, k_y = 0.196 \) and \( k_x, k_y = 3.14 \).
There are two or three points where \( V(x) = 0 \) in one side of the disk with respect to the planet (either \( x > 0 \) or \( x < 0 \)), depending on the value of \( \beta \). From the condition \( D = 0 \), we have

\[
\sigma^2 = v_r^2 k_z^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \Omega_p^2 \pm \sqrt{\Omega_p^4 + 16\Omega_p^2 v_r^2 k_z^2} \right].
\]

We call the point with positive sign Lindblad resonance plus (LR+) and with negative sign Lindblad resonance minus (LR–). In the unmagnetized disk, LR+ coincides with the usual Lindblad resonance, \( \sigma^2 = \kappa^2 \), where \( \kappa \) is the epicycle frequency, and LR– degenerates into the corotation point. We note that LR– exists only when \( v_r^2 k_z^2 > 3\Omega_p^2 \), which is exactly the same as the stability condition against MRI (Balbus & Hawley 1991). When LR– does not exist but \( k_z \neq 0 \), the corotation region becomes a wave propagation region. Since \( \sigma = 0 \) at the corotation, this indicates that there is a mode with zero frequency but nonzero wavelength, and therefore, in general there is an unstable mode. Another condition for \( V(x) = 0 \) is

\[
\sigma^2 = c^2 k_z^2.
\]

This condition does not depend on magnetic field strength. This resonance corresponds to that found by Takeuchi & Miyama (1998) and is named ”vertical resonance” by Zhang & Lai (2006). We shall also call this point vertical resonance (VR) in this paper.

There are two points in one side of the disk where \( V(x) \) diverges. One is given by

\[
\sigma^2 = v_A^2 k_z^2.
\]

At this point, the radial wavelength of Alfvén wave becomes zero. We shall call this point Alfvén resonance (AR). Note that this divergence is related to what is called Alfvén resonance in plasma physics (see, e.g., Stix 1992). The other point where \( V(x) \) diverges is given by

\[
\sigma^2 = \frac{c^2 v_A^2 k_z^2}{c^2 + v_A^2}.
\]

At this point, the radial wavelength of the slow mode becomes zero, and this corresponds to ”magnetic resonance” found by Terquem (2003) in the analysis of toroidal field. Therefore, we shall also call this point magnetic resonance (MR) in this paper.

For 2D modes, \( k_z = 0 \), only LR+ exists, and the region in the vicinity of the corotation is the evanescent region, whereas the regions further away from LR+ are propagation regions. The details of the wave propagation property of the disk depend on the value of \( \beta \) and \( k_z \), but in general, there are three propagation regions on one side of the corotation, corresponding to the three wave modes of magnetohydrodynamics. There are only two propagation regions in the analysis of Terquem (2003), since the mode is restricted to \( k_z = 0 \) and the Alfvén wave with \( \beta v_t \neq 0 \) is not taken into account. Figure 2 shows the wave propagation property of one side of the disk in the case of \( \beta < 1 \).

With careful investigation of the properties of the resonances, it is possible to analyze the wave excitation, propagation, and absorption. In this paper, however, we calculate the torque in some restricted cases and compare the results with numerical calculations. We first investigate the case when \( k_z = 0 \). We then calculate 3D modes, \( k_z \neq 0 \), when very strong magnetic field is exerted. In these cases, MRI does not occur (Balbus & Hawley 1991), and we expect that the wave pattern becomes stationary with respect to the planet’s motion.

2.3. Two-dimensional Mode, \( k_z = 0 \)

We consider the 2D, or \( k_z = 0 \), mode. In this case it is possible to calculate the torque exerted on the disk without imposing the WKB approximation. When \( k_z = 0 \), it is clear from equation (18) that the fluid particles do not move along the \( z \)-axis, \( \xi_z = 0 \), and the effect of magnetic field appears only in the pressure term. The sound speed becomes the phase velocity of the fast mode, \( c^2 + v_A^2 \), and this acts as an effective sound speed. We can then follow the track of Artymowicz (1993) and calculate the torque exerted on the disk by evaluating the angular momentum flux carried by the wave at \( |x| \rightarrow \infty \).
The position of the effective Lindblad resonance is given by
\[ \sigma^2(x_{\text{eff}}) - \Omega_p^2 - c^2 k_z^2 (1 + \beta^{-1}) = 0. \] (37)

When
\[ \frac{\Omega_p^2 + c^2 k_z^2 (1 + \beta^{-1})}{\Omega_p c k_y \sqrt{1 + \beta^{-1}}} \gg 1, \] (38)

the resonances inside and outside of the corotation radius are well isolated from each other, and the torque exerted on the disk may be evaluated by the strength of the gravitational potential of the planet at the resonance point. The modified formula of the torque is
\[ T_{\text{2D}} = \frac{2\pi}{3} r_p \rho_0 L_z L_z \left( \frac{\Omega_p}{\Omega_p^2 + 4c^2 k_z^2 (1 + \beta^{-1})} \right) \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega_p^2 + c^2 k_z^2 (1 + \beta^{-1})}} \Psi_{\text{eff}}^2, \] (39)

where
\[ \Psi_{\text{eff}} = \frac{d^2 \psi_p}{dx}(x_{\text{eff}}) - 2k_y \frac{\sqrt{\Omega_p^2 + c^2 k_z^2 (1 + \beta^{-1})}}{\Omega_p} \psi_p(x_{\text{eff}}). \] (40)

Details of the derivation are given in Appendix A.

Equation (39) generalizes equation (56) of Artymowicz (1993) to a magnetized disk. Since the effective sound speed becomes faster when poloidal magnetic fields are present, the magnitude of the torque becomes smaller. There are two kinds of cutoff mechanisms of the torque, as Artymowicz (1993) pointed out. One is the mild cutoff that comes from the coefficient of \( \Psi_{\text{eff}}. \) The other is the sharp cutoff, which is a consequence of the fact that the position of the effective Lindblad resonance goes further away from the corotation when magnetic field is stronger. In the case of planetary migration, the effect of the sharp cutoff is more important, and the torque by the 2D mode is strongly suppressed when \( \beta \leq 1. \)

We emphasize that we have obtained the torque equation (39) including the torque cutoff at high \( k_z \) mode, because we have not imposed the WKB approximation and, hence, not neglected terms with \( k_z. \)

2.4. Three-dimensional Mode, \( k_z \neq 0, \) in the Limit of Strong Magnetic Field

In the unmagnetized disk, the contribution from the 3D, or \( k_z \neq 0, \) mode is small (Tanaka et al. 2002). However, it is indicated in §2.3 that the 2D mode is strongly suppressed when strong magnetic field is present. Therefore, the 3D mode may be important in this case. We investigate the 3D mode in the limit of \( \beta = 0. \) We impose the WKB approximation in this section.

In the limit of \( \beta \to 0, \) the resonances LR+, LR−, and AR are infinitely far away from the corotation, and we can safely neglect their contribution. The other two resonances, MR and VR, degenerate, and equation (27) becomes
\[ \frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} - k_z^2 f = S(x), \] (41)

where the source term \( S(x) \) is
\[ S(x) = -\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 - c^2 k_z^2} \psi_p. \] (42)

In this case, waves are evanescent in all parts of the disk, but there is a singularity in the source term at the degenerate point of MR and VR,
\[ \sigma_{\text{MR}}^2 = c^2 k_z^2. \] (43)

The subscript MR denotes the quantities evaluated at this point. Note that this divergence originally comes from the divergence of the source term from equation (30) at MR.

In order to regularize the singularity, we consider the small viscosity effective only in the vicinity of this point. The viscosity is effectively taken into account by adding a small positive imaginary part to the frequency,
\[ \sigma(x) \to \sigma(x) + i\epsilon, \] (44)

where \( \epsilon > 0 \) is a small positive number (see, e.g., Meyer-Vernet & Sicardy 1987). Taking the limit of \( \epsilon \to 0, \)
\[ \int \frac{g(x)}{\sigma - c k_z} dx = \mathcal{P} \int \frac{g(x)}{\sigma - c k_z} dx - i\pi \int \delta_{\text{D}}(\sigma - c k_z) g(x) dx \] (45)

where \( g(x) \) is an arbitrary smooth function, \( \mathcal{P} \) denotes the principal value of the integration, and \( \delta_{\text{D}}(x) \) is Dirac’s \( \delta \)-function.

The boundary condition we impose is that the perturbation must vanish for \( |x| \to \infty, \) i.e.,
\[ f(x \to +\infty) \propto e^{-k_z x}, \]
\[ f(x \to -\infty) \propto e^{k_z x}. \] (46)

The solution that satisfies this condition is
\[ f(x) = -\frac{1}{2k_z} \left[ e^{-k_z x} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{k_z u} S(u) du - e^{k_z x} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-k_z u} S(u) du \right]. \] (48)

Substituting \( S(x), \) the real and imaginary part of the solution are
\[ \frac{2}{k_z} \text{Re} = \mathcal{P} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{k_z(u-x)} \psi_p(u) \left\{ 1 + \frac{ck_z}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma(u) - c k_z} - \frac{1}{\sigma(u) + c k_z} \right] du - \mathcal{P} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{k_z(u-x)} \psi_p(u) \left\{ 1 + \frac{ck_z}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma(u) - c k_z} - \frac{1}{\sigma(u) + c k_z} \right] du, \right. \] (49)

\[ \frac{6\Omega_p k_y}{\pi c k_z^2 \psi_p_{\text{MR}}} \text{Im} \left\{ e^{k_z x} \left[ \exp\left(-2ck_z^2/3\Omega_p k_y \right) - \exp\left(2ck_z^2/3\Omega_p k_y \right) \right], \right. \] (50)

In the limit of \( \beta \to 0, \) the density perturbation is given by
\[ \frac{\delta \rho}{\rho_0} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2 - c^2 k_z^2} \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{v_A^2} f + k_z^2 \psi_p \right). \] (51)
In order to calculate the torque, we need the imaginary part of $\delta p/\rho_0$, which is given by

$$2ck_i \text{Im} \left( \frac{\delta p}{\rho_0} \right) = -\pi [\delta_D(\sigma - ck_z) - \delta_D(\sigma + ck_z)]$$

$$\times \left( \frac{\alpha^2}{v_A^2} \text{Re} f + k_z^2 \psi_p \right) + \frac{\alpha^2}{v_A^2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial (\sigma - ck_z)} - \frac{\partial}{\partial (\sigma + ck_z)} \right) \text{Im} f.$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(52)}

It is possible to show that $f$ does not diverge at the resonance (see Appendix B for details), and we can neglect the term with $\sigma^2/v_A^2$ on right-hand side of equation (51) when magnetic field is strong enough. Quantitatively, we can neglect these terms when

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{v_A^2} \frac{ck_z^2}{\Omega_p k_y} \ll k_z^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(53)}

since $f \sim O(ck_z^2 \psi_p/\Omega_p k_y)$. Since $\sigma^2 \sim c^2 k_z^2$ in the vicinity of the resonance, we obtain

$$\beta \ll \frac{\Omega_p k_y}{ck_z^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(54)}

When this condition is satisfied, the imaginary part of the density perturbation is

$$\text{Im} \left( \frac{\delta p}{\rho_0} \right) \sim -\frac{\pi k_z}{2c} [\delta_D(\sigma - ck_z) - \delta_D(\sigma + ck_z)] \psi_p.$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(55)}

The first $\delta$-function indicates the torque exerted on the outer disk, and the second is for the inner disk. These torques are of the same magnitude but differ in sign. The magnitude of the torque on one side of the disk is then, from equation (19),

$$T_{MR} = \frac{2\pi}{3} L_z L_z \rho_0 \rho_0 c \frac{ck_z^2}{\Omega_p c} \psi_p^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(56)}

3. NUMERICAL CALCULATION

We have performed numerical calculations in order to investigate how well equations (39) and (56) describe the realistic value of the torque. We have done two sets of runs. One is for a 2D disk. The other is for a 3D thick disk.

3.1. Numerical Methods

We adopt the nested grid method (see, e.g., Machida et al. 2005; Matsumoto & Hanawa 2003) to obtain high spatial resolution near the planet. Each level of rectangular grid has the same number of cells ($=64 \times 256$) for the 2D run, while the number of cells is $=64 \times 256 \times 16$ for the 3D run. The cell width $\Delta x(l)$ depends on the grid level $l$. The cell width is reduced by half with increasing grid level ($l \rightarrow l + 1$). We use four grid levels ($l = 1, 2, \ldots, 4$) for the 2D run and five levels for the 3D run. The box size of the coarsest grid $l = 1$ is chosen to be $(L_x, L_y) = (64h, 256h)$ for the 2D run and $(L_x, L_y, L_z/2) = (64h, 256h, 16h)$ for the 3D run. Note that in the $z$-direction, the simulation box extends from the midplane to $z = L_z/2$. The box size of the finest grid is $(x, y) = (2h, 8h)$ for the 2D run and $(x, y, z) = (2h, 8h, 8h)$ for the 3D run. The cell width of the coarsest grid is $\Delta x(1) = h$, while that of the finest grid has $\Delta x(4) = 0.125h$ for the 2D run and $\Delta x(5) = 0.0625h$ for the 3D run. We assume the fixed boundary condition in the $x$-direction and periodic boundary condition in the $y$-direction. For the $z$-direction, we impose a periodic boundary condition between $z = -L_z/2$ and $L_z/2$.

For the 2D calculation, we neglect the $z$-dependence of the planet potential, i.e., we adopt the potential of the form

$$\psi_p = -\frac{GM_p}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(57)}

We employ the softening in the gravitational potential as follows. The gravitational force $F$ by the planet is given by

$$F = \frac{GM_p}{(r + \varepsilon)^2} x,$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(58)}

where $\varepsilon$ is the softening length, $r$ is the distance from the planet’s position, and $x$ is the position vector. We choose $\varepsilon$ such that this equals the mesh size of the finest grid, i.e., $\varepsilon = 0.125h$ for the 2D run and $\varepsilon = 0.0625h$ for the 3D run.

We fix the planet mass to be $M_{pl} = 0.3$, corresponding to 3 $M_\odot$ planets when $M_e = 1 M_\odot$ and $h/r_p = 0.05$, and vary the initial strength of the poloidal magnetic field. We performed the calculations for $\beta = \infty, 100, 10, 2, 0.3, 0.1, 0.01$, and 0.001.

4. COMPARISON BETWEEN NUMERICAL CALCULATION AND LINEAR ANALYSIS

For all 2D calculations and for 3D calculations with $\beta = \infty, 0.01$, and 0.001, we do not observe MRI and steady states are realized. This is consistent with the stability criterion of MRI derived from linear analysis.

We then Fourier transform the density pattern of the steady state in the $y$- and $z$-directions and calculate the torque exerted on one side of the disk by equation (19), and this torque is compared with the results of linear analysis. The normalization of the torque is taken to be

$$\tilde{T} = \frac{T}{\rho_0 v_p H^4 \Omega_p^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(59)}

We make use of the fast Fourier transform (e.g., Press et al. 1992). The wavenumber $k$ we evaluate is given by

$$k = \frac{2\pi n}{L},$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(60)}

where $L$ is the box size of the $y$- or $z$-directions and $n$ is an integer with $-N/2 < n < N/2$ where $N$ is the mesh number. We also Fourier transform the gravitational potential of the planet numerically to obtain the value of $\psi_p$.

4.1. Two-dimensional Calculation

Figure 3 shows the stationary pattern of density perturbation obtained by 2D calculations for $\beta = 0.01, 2$, and 100. It is clear that, with increasing magnetic field, the amplitude of the wave becomes small and the point where waves are excited goes further away from the planet. Figure 4 shows the torque calculated as a result of numerical calculation for various magnetic field strengths, or different $\beta$. It is clear that the torque becomes weaker as the magnetic field becomes stronger.

We show in Figure 5 the comparison between the results of numerical calculation and linear analysis, equation (39). It is clear that for modes that satisfy the condition from equation (38), for which we expect that equation (39) gives a good approximation for the torque, numerical calculation and linear analysis indeed show reasonably good agreement, at least an order of magnitude, even
though equation (39) estimates the torque by the value of density perturbation only at the position of the effective Lindblad resonance. Therefore, equation (39) is useful for estimating 2D torque when poloidal magnetic field is exerted on the disk.

We also checked that the numerical calculation and linear analysis are in good agreement for other values of $\beta$ except for $\beta = 0.001$. For $\beta = 0.001$, since the amplitude of density perturbation is very small, numerical torque is dominated by small noise in the disk.

4.2. Three-dimensional Calculation

For $\beta = 0.01$ and 0.001 models of the 3D calculations, we do not observe MRI and a steady state is realized. For other parameters, we observe the instability. Since we investigate the stationary pattern, we focus on results in which we do not observe MRI. We show in Figure 6 the torque that is derived from numerical calculation for $n_z = 0, 1,$ and 2, where $n_z$ is the mode number of the $z$-direction. It is clear that $n_z = 1$ modes overwhelm the 2D modes in these models.

Figure 7 compares the torque calculated from the 3D numerical calculations and that calculated from linear analysis of $n_z = 1$ modes, torque equation (56). From the derivation of equation (56), this expression of the torque is valid when the WKB condition $k_z \ll k_x$ and the strong magnetic field condition from equation (54) are both satisfied. With the present parameters, the WKB condition is more restrictive. Since $k_z h = 2\pi/32 = 0.196$ for the $n_z = 1$ mode, we expect that for $k_z h$ greater than this value, equation (56) will not give a good approximation for the torque. Nevertheless, the result of the numerical calculation indicates that equation (56) shows a very good agreement even in the modes with $k_z h$ greater than this limit.
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**Fig. 3.**—Density profile obtained by the 2D numerical calculation. The pattern of density perturbation $\delta \rho / \rho_0$ is indicated by false color. It is clear that the stronger the magnetic field, the further the point where waves are excited and the smaller the amplitude. Note that color scales are different for different values of $\beta$. The $x$- and $y$-axes correspond to the axes of the shearing sheet, normalized by the scale height $c/\Omega_p$. The elapsed time $t$ is normalized by the planet’s Kepler time $\Omega_p^{-1}$. Four different levels of nested grid are superimposed.

![Figure 4](https://example.com/figure4.png)

**Fig. 4.**—Dependence of 2D torque ($k_z = 0$ modes) on the strength of magnetic field obtained by numerical calculations. The models with $\beta = \infty$ (no magnetic field, plus signs), 10 (crosses), 2 (open squares), and 0.1 (filled squares) are shown. The torque is cut off drastically for models with $\beta < 1$. The horizontal axis denotes $k_z h$, and the vertical axis denotes normalized torque.
Fig. 5.— Comparison of the torque obtained by the 2D numerical calculation (plus signs) and linear analysis (solid lines) with eq. (39) for $\beta = 100$ (top left), 2 (top right), and 0.1 (bottom). The horizontal axis denotes the azimuthal mode number, and the vertical axis denotes normalized torque.

Fig. 6.— The $k_y$-dependence of the torque obtained by 3D numerical calculations. The left panel shows $\beta = 0.01$ and the right $\beta = 0.001$. The horizontal axis denotes the azimuthal mode number, and the vertical axis denotes normalized torque. The 2D modes are denoted by plus signs, and 3D modes with $n_z = 1$ are denoted by crosses and with $n_z = 2$ by filled squares. The 3D modes with $n_z = 1$ dominate the 2D modes when the magnetic field is sufficiently strong ($\beta \ll 1$).
We also find that the imaginary part of the Fourier components of density perturbation diverges around MR, as expected from linear analysis. Figure 8 shows the profile of the imaginary part of the density perturbation of the \( \beta = 0.001 \) calculation for \((k_x h, k_y h) = (0.498, 0.785)\). The position of the MR is indicated by an arrow. It is clear that the density perturbation diverges at the resonance position and the contribution of the torque mostly comes from this divergence. The torque is localized at the MRs, since waves cannot propagate on the disk, and therefore, the analytic torque equation (56) gives a good approximation of the total torque, even if we consider the regions only in the vicinity of the resonance.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. The Strength of Three-dimensional Modes in a Thin Disk

Tanaka et al. (2002) has shown that in the unmagnetized disk, 3D modes are subordinate. In contrast, when poloidal magnetic field is exerted on the disk, it is indicated that 3D modes can dominate the torque when the magnetic field is sufficiently strong. In this section, we briefly discuss the critical value of \( \beta \) at which \( k_z \neq 0 \) modes dominate the total torque in a thin magnetized disk according to the results of linear analysis. By “thin disk,” we refer to the disk with a small aspect ratio, smaller than that we have used in the numerical calculation, but not 2D.

We calculate the torque for \( n_z = 0 \) modes by equation (39) and \( n_z = 1 \) modes by equation (56). The Fourier transformation of the planet's gravitational potential is done numerically with the box size \( L_x = 32 h, L_y = 128 h, \) and \( L_z/2 = 2 h \) and the spatial resolution \( 0.125h \). We have checked that \( n_z = 2 \) modes are smaller than \( n_z = 1 \) modes. Figure 9 shows the torque for \( \beta = 0.01, 0.1, \) and 1.0. The vertical wavenumber of \( n_z = 1 \) modes is \( k_z h = 1.57 \), and the WKB approximation is valid for \( k_z h \) less than this value. For the thin disk case, it is indicated, just as in the thick disk case, that 2D modes are dominant for a weak magnetic field, while 3D modes are important for a strong magnetic field case. We have investigated other values of \( \beta \), and it is indicated that for the disk with \( \beta \leq 0.1 \), 3D modes are more important than 2D modes.

Since 3D torque equation (56) is valid only in the strong magnetic field limit, it is not possible to extrapolate this to the case with \( \beta \sim 1 \). However, since the torque formula for 2D modes (eq. [39]) does not have any restriction, we can safely conclude that \( k_z = 0 \) modes are always suppressed for strong magnetic field. Therefore, qualitatively, we expect that 2D modes are suppressed for \( \beta \leq 1 \). To verify this conjecture quantitatively, we need careful analysis of the \( \beta \neq 0 \) case, which will be presented elsewhere (T. Muto & S. Inutsuka 2008, in preparation).

5.2. The Relation between Magnetic Field Strength and the Differential Torque

For an unmagnetized disk, it is known that the outer torque that is exerted by the disk outside the planet wins over the inner torque exerted by the disk inside, when the disk gas density is larger in the inner disk than the outer (Ward 1997). This is the result of the competition of two effects. On the one hand, since the inner density is larger than the outer, the inner torque becomes larger than the outer. On the other hand, the effect called the pressure buffer enhances the outer torque. Considering the background disk structure, gas is slightly sub-Keplerian, resulting from the outward pressure gradient. Since the planet is in Keplerian rotation, the corotation point locates slightly inside the planet, which makes the outer Lindblad resonance slightly closer to the planet. Calculating the difference of these two competing effects, the outer torque is larger than the inner torque.

Let us now qualitatively discuss the differential torque in the disk with poloidal magnetic field. First, we consider the 2D mode when magnetic field exerted on the disk inside the planet’s orbit is larger than the outside. In the case without variation in density and temperature, the mild cutoff of the torque by magnetic field makes the outer torque stronger. If the disk has a radially decreasing magnetic pressure distribution, the planet locates slightly outside the corotation point, since outward magnetic pressure is exerted on the gas. This also enhances the outer torque, since the outer effective Lindblad resonance locates closer to the planet. The outer torque is, therefore, expected to be stronger because of these two effects, in contrast to the toroidal magnetic field case of Terquem (2003) where the inner torque is stronger. This might indicate that the differential torque may be very sensitive to the configuration of magnetic field near the planet.

We now turn to the MRs of \( k_z \neq 0 \) modes, effective for low \( \beta \). Since equation (56) is for the limiting case of \( \beta \rightarrow 0 \), the torque does not depend on the magnetic field strength. We shall propose a simple torque formula for MR which generalizes equation (56)
and discuss the effect of magnetic field. Firstly, we note that the relation between $f$ and $\frac{\delta \rho}{\rho_0}$ is given by

$$f = \frac{1}{c^2 + v_0^2} \left[ \sigma^2 f - \left( \sigma^2 - v_0^2 k_z^2 \right) \psi_0 \right].$$

Since $f$ on the right-hand side can be neglected when $\beta = 0$, we expect this term can be neglected even when $\beta \neq 0$, provided that $\beta$ is sufficiently small. This equation also indicates that there is a $\delta$-function-like divergence at MR. Neglecting the term with $f$, we obtain the following torque formula at MR for low $\beta$,

$$T_{\text{MR,mod}} = \frac{2\pi}{3} L_z L_z \frac{\rho_0 \rho_p k_z}{\Omega_p (1 + \beta)^{3/2}} \psi_0^2,$$

where the value of gravitational potential is evaluated at MR. The smaller the magnetic field strength is, the closer toward the planet the MR position locates, which makes the gravitational potential at MR, $\psi_{0,\text{MR}}$, stronger. However, the coefficient, $(1 + \beta)^{-3/2}$, becomes smaller, which makes the evaluation complicated. Using the parameters with 3D torque calculation, we evaluate the Fourier transform of the gravitational potential and calculate the torque. Figure 10 shows the torque calculated from the modified equation (62) for $\beta = 0.001, 0.01$, and $0.1$. It is indicated that MR torque becomes smaller for weaker magnetic field strength. Therefore, we expect that when the inner magnetic field is stronger than the outer magnetic field and the field strength is high enough for $k_z \neq 0$ modes to be dominant, the inner torque wins over outer torque, analogous to the results of the analysis of toroidal field by Terquem (2003).

When magnetic field is very strong, equation (62) indicates that the value of the torque is not sensitive to the strength of the field, and the differential torque can be very small. We consider, then, the effect of the gradient of sound speed, which changes the location of the resonance even in the $\beta \to 0$ limit. Let us consider the disk with higher sound speed inside. The outer MR, which nearly degenerates with VR, is closer to the planet, giving a larger value of gravitational potential. The coefficient of the gravitational potential in equation (56) is inversely proportional to the sound speed, because $L_z k_z$ is the mode number of the $z$-direction that is indifferent to the value of $c$. Therefore, the coefficient is smaller for the inner MR than the outer MR. The outer torque is expected to be stronger than the inner torque when magnetic field is very strong and when there is a negative gradient of the sound speed.

The qualitative dependence on magnetic field of the differential torque for laminar modes may be summarized as follows. Consider the case where inner magnetic field is stronger than the outer. When magnetic field strength is weak and 2D modes...
are important, the outer torque is more enhanced and the migration is inward. When magnetic field is strong enough for 3D modes to be dominant, the migration can be outward. Note, however, that the rate and directions of migration may depend sensitively on the gradient of the sound speed \( c \). A negative gradient of the sound speed may cause the inward migration for very low \( \beta \).

It seems difficult to halt the inward migration in a disk with strong poloidal magnetic field, since outward migration may require a positive gradient of sound speed. Note, however, that the typical magnitude of one-sided torque is always smaller than the unmagnetized case, as shown in Figure 9. In the disk with \( \beta = 100 \), the torque is dominated by 2D modes, and its magnitude is approximately \( 10^{-3} \) in our normalization, while in the \( \beta = 0.01 \) case, the magnitude is smaller by about 2 orders of magnitude. Therefore, the strong magnetization of the disk is expected to slow down the migration. Actually, this outcome is analogous to the effect of increasing gas temperature and, hence, thermal pressure and sound speed in the disk without magnetic field.

5.3. Comparison with Toroidal Field Case

In this paper, we have considered a protoplanetary disk threaded by a poloidal magnetic field. In the analysis of the torque at the MR, we have considered a strong magnetic field case and derived the torque equation (56). We now consider briefly the more general case when the toroidal component of magnetic field also exists. Although it is necessary to make a full, rigorous calculation including both poloidal and toroidal components of magnetic field in the background disk, we make a qualitative discussion by comparing the effect of MRs of the purely toroidal case and that of the purely poloidal case.

In the case of toroidal magnetic field, there is a MR in 2D modes too (Terquem 2003). In the strong field limit, the position of the MR is given by

\[
x_{\text{MR, toroidal}} = \frac{2}{3} H.
\]

Since the MR in the poloidal case is located at

\[
x_{\text{MR, poloidal}} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{k_z}{k_y} H,
\]

and in a thin disk, modes \( k_z \gg k_y \) are important, the MR of a toroidal field is closer to the planet than the toroidal case. We also note that the Fourier components of 3D modes of gravitational potential are much smaller than the 2D modes, as shown by Tanaka et al. (2002). Therefore, in a standard case of a planet on a circular orbit embedded in a disk midplane, we expect that when the net magnetic field is dominated by toroidal components, the effect of poloidal magnetic field is small compared to that of a toroidal field. A possible exception is provided by a large inclination of the planet’s orbit. In this case, the gravitational potential of the planet has a large \( z \)-component (3D modes), and thus, the poloidal field would be important. Note, however, that we need a new set of analyses for the case of a planet with inclined orbit (for unmagnetized disks, see Tanaka & Ward 2004).

6. SUMMARY

We have performed a linear perturbation analysis to calculate the torque exerted on a planet embedded in the nonturbulent disk with poloidal magnetic field using the shearing sheet approximation. We have derived a second-order ordinary differential equation describing the excitation and propagation of the wave, equation (27), and derived analytic expressions of the torque for two limiting cases. Equation (39) gives the torque for 2D modes. Equation (56) gives the torque for 3D modes for \( \beta \ll 1 \) under the WKB approximation. We have compared the results of the linear analysis and numerical calculation and found that both formulae show reasonable agreement. We have shown that the 2D modes are suppressed when magnetic field is strong, in contrast to the analysis by Terquem (2003) of toroidal field, indicating that the property of planetary migration may be sensitive to the configuration of the magnetic field around the planet. It is also indicated that when magnetic field is very strong, 3D modes are more effective than the 2D, in contrast to the analysis of the 3D calculation of the unmagnetized disk by Tanaka et al. (2002).

Since we have been using the shearing sheet approximation and have derived the torque formulae only in restricted cases, the analysis of more general cases and other resonances is necessary, which will appear elsewhere (T. Muto & S. Inutsuka 2008, in preparation). Although equation (56) agrees well with the numerical calculations, this is derived under the WKB approximation. Therefore, we need careful analysis for high \( k_z \) for 3D modes. We also need more quantitative analysis of the differential torque, which determines the direction and rate of the migration of the planet.
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APPENDIX A

DERIVATION OF TORQUE FORMULA FOR \( k_z = 0 \) MODES

In this appendix we derive equation (39), following Artymowicz (1993) formalism. The linearized equations of continuity and motion for \( k_z = 0 \) modes are

\[
-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} + \frac{d}{dx} \delta v_x + ik_y \delta v_y = 0,
\]

\[
-\frac{i\sigma}{\rho_0} C e \frac{d}{dx} \delta v_x + e^2 (1 + \beta^{-1}) \frac{d}{dx} \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} = -2\Omega_p \delta v_y = -\frac{d}{dx} \psi_p,
\]

\[
-\frac{i\sigma}{\rho_0} C e \frac{d}{dx} \delta v_y + \frac{1}{2} \Omega_p \delta v_x + ik_y e^2 (1 + \beta^{-1}) \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} = -ik_y \psi_p.
\]
From these, we obtain the equation for vorticity,

\[ \frac{d}{dx} \delta v_y - \frac{1}{2} \Omega_p \frac{\delta \rho}{\rho_0} - ik_y \delta v_x = 0. \]  \hspace{1cm} (A4)

Using the equations of motion, we finally obtain the Schrödinger-type second-order ordinary differential equation for \( \delta v_y \),

\[ \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \delta v_y + \frac{1}{c^2 (1 + \beta^{-1})} \left[ \sigma^2 - \Omega_p^2 - c^2 (1 + \beta^{-1}) k_y^2 \right] \delta v_y = \frac{1}{c^2 (1 + \beta^{-1})} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \Omega_p \frac{d^2 \psi_p}{dx^2} + \sigma k_y \psi_p \right). \]  \hspace{1cm} (A5)

The position of the effective Lindblad resonance is given by

\[ \sigma^2 = \Omega_p^2 + c^2 (1 + \beta^{-1}) k_y^2. \]  \hspace{1cm} (A6)

Waves are evanescent in the region in the vicinity of the planet, and the regions further away from the resonance are propagation regions. Waves are excited at the effective Lindblad resonances and propagate away from the planet to \( |x| \to \infty \).

We shall calculate the angular momentum flux at infinity. The angular momentum flux is calculated by

\[ F_{\text{ang}} = 2r_p \rho_0 L_J L_z \text{Re} \left( \delta v_z \delta v_y^* \right). \]  \hspace{1cm} (A7)

Since the gravitational potential of the planet vanishes at infinity, the flux at the infinity is

\[ F_{\text{ang}}(x \to \infty) = 2r_p \rho_0 L_J L_z \frac{4c^2 (1 + \beta^{-1}) k_y}{\Omega_p^2 + c^2 (1 + \beta^{-1}) k_y^2} \text{Im} \left( \delta v_y \frac{d}{dx} \delta v_y \right). \]  \hspace{1cm} (A8)

The solution of the wave equation (A5) is given by parabolic cylinder functions. Here, for simplicity, we assume the two resonances, inside and outside the planet, are isolated from each other. The equation in the vicinity of the resonance is then given by

\[ \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \delta v_y + 2\gamma (z - \gamma) \delta v_y = -S, \]  \hspace{1cm} (A9)

where

\[ z = \left( \frac{3 \Omega_p k_y}{2c \sqrt{1 + \beta^{-1}}} \right)^{1/2} x, \]  \hspace{1cm} (A10)

\[ \gamma^2 = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\Omega_p^2 + c^2 (1 + \beta^{-1}) k_y^2}{\Omega_p k_y \sqrt{1 + \beta^{-1}}}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (A11)

\[ S = \frac{\Omega_p}{c (1 + \beta^{-1})^{3/4}} \frac{1}{6 \Omega_p c k_y} \left[ \frac{d \psi_p}{dz} - \sigma \left(1 + \beta^{-1}\right)^{1/4} \sqrt{\frac{8ck_y}{3\Omega_p}} \right]_{\text{eff}}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (A12)

The subscript "eff" denotes the quantity evaluated at the resonance.

We impose the boundary condition as follows. In the evanescent region, the solution does not grow exponentially, and in the propagation region, the waves propagate away from the planet. The solution is then

\[ \delta v_y = \frac{\pi S}{(2\gamma)^{3/2}} \left\{ \text{Gi} \left[ -\left(2\gamma\right)^{1/3} (z - \gamma) \right] + i \text{Ai} \left[ -\left(2\gamma\right)^{1/3} (z - \gamma) \right] \right\}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (A13)

where Ai represents the Airy function and Gi is the solution of the equation (Abramowitz & Stegun 1970)

\[ \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \text{Gi}(x) - x \text{Gi}(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (A14)

Substituting equation (A13) into equation (A8), we obtain the torque equation (39).

The condition of the isolation of the resonances is satisfied when the solution at one resonance does not affect the other resonance. The distance between the resonances is \( \delta z_{\text{res}} \sim \gamma \), while the scale on which the solution in the vicinity of one resonance changes in the evanescent region is \( \delta z_{\text{wave}} \sim \gamma^{-1/3} \). Hence, resonances are well separated from each other when \( \delta z_{\text{res}} \gg \delta z_{\text{wave}} \), or \( \gamma \gg 1 \).
In this appendix we evaluate the integral in equation (49). For simplicity, we set the planet’s gravitational potential \( \psi_p \) to be constant. The part of equation (49)
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{x} du e^{k_z(u-x)} - \int_{-\infty}^{x} du e^{k_z(x-u)}
\]
is finite. We consider the rest. Since we are working in the local Cartesian coordinates where inside and outside the planet are symmetric, we assume \( x > 0 \) without loss of generality. Let \( I \) be
\[
I = \mathcal{P} \int_{-\infty}^{x} du e^{k_z(u-x)} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma(u) - c k_z} - \frac{1}{\sigma(u) + c k_z} \right] - \mathcal{P} \int_{-\infty}^{x} du e^{k_z(x-u)} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma(u) - c k_z} - \frac{1}{\sigma(u) + c k_z} \right],
\]
then
\[
I = I_A - I_B - I_C + I_D,
\]

\[
I_A = \exp \left[ -k_z \left( x - \frac{2c k_z}{3\Omega_p k_y} \right) \right] \text{Ei} \left( k_z x - \frac{2c k_z^2}{3\Omega_p k_y} \right),
\]

\[
I_B = \exp \left[ -k_z \left( x + \frac{2c k_z}{3\Omega_p k_y} \right) \right] \text{Ei} \left( k_z x + \frac{2c k_z^2}{3\Omega_p k_y} \right),
\]

\[
I_C = \exp \left[ k_z \left( x - \frac{2c k_z}{3\Omega_p k_y} \right) \right] \text{Ei} \left( -k_z x + \frac{2c k_z^2}{3\Omega_p k_y} \right),
\]

\[
I_D = \exp \left[ k_z \left( x + \frac{2c k_z}{3\Omega_p k_y} \right) \right] \text{Ei} \left( -k_z x - \frac{2c k_z^2}{3\Omega_p k_y} \right),
\]

where \( \text{Ei} \) denotes the exponential integral
\[
\text{Ei}(w) = \mathcal{P} \int_{-\infty}^{w} dt \frac{e^t}{t}.
\]

We can check that \( I_A, I_B, I_C, \) and \( I_D \) do not diverge at infinity by the asymptotic expansion of the exponential integral,
\[
e^{-w} \int_{-\infty}^{w} \frac{e^t}{t} dt \sim \frac{1}{w},
\]
and the inequality derived from the series expansion of the exponential integral,
\[
\text{Ei}(w) = \gamma + \ln w + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{w^n}{n n!} < \gamma + \ln w + e^w.
\]

For \( x \to \infty, I \sim O(1) \).

We now consider the vicinity of the resonance, \( x \sim 2ck_z/3\Omega_p k_y \). Although \( I_A \) and \( I_C \) are divergent logarithmically, the combination \( I_A - I_C \) does not diverge,
\[
I_A - I_C \sim \mathcal{P} \int_{-k_z \delta}^{k_z \delta} dt \frac{e^t}{t},
\]
where we set \( x \sim 2ck_z/3\Omega_p k_y + \delta \). It is easy to show \( I_B, I_D \sim O(1) \), and therefore, \( I \) is order of unity for all \( x \). Although \( \psi_p \) is not strictly a constant, it is smooth in the vicinity of the resonance. Therefore, we can safely assume this to be constant when we discuss the divergence at the resonance.

In summary, from equation (49), the order of magnitude of \( \text{Re} f \) is
\[
\text{Re} f \sim O \left( \frac{c k_z^2}{\Omega_p k_y} \psi_p \right),
\]

It is clear from equation (50) that the imaginary part of \( f \) is also of the same order.
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