Navigating the jungles of tropical infectious gastrointestinal pathology: a pattern-based approach to the endoscopic biopsy
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Abstract International travels and global human migration have had the unforeseen consequence of increasing the exposure of histopathologists in developed countries to the pathology of tropical infectious disease. The gastrointestinal tract (GIT) is often the primary site of infection due to the faecal-oral route of transmission and the high risk of exposure to contaminated water, food or soil when travelling to these regions. Whilst current microbiologic techniques are far more sensitive than histology in detecting infectious pathogens, the histopathologist nonetheless retains a pivotal role in diagnosing tropical GIT disease. This role entails evaluating endoscopic biopsies for any characteristic inflammatory pattern, identifying pathogens which may be present and excluding other look-alike pathologies. Recent advances in commercially available diagnostic modalities, including molecular techniques, have further broadened the scope of the histopathologist’s armamentarium. This review outlines a practical pattern-based approach to diagnosing tropical GIT infections in endoscopic material, so as to assist pathologists less familiar with this spectrum of pathology.
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Introduction

The last 2 decades have seen a rising trend in international business and leisure travel with ecotourism, in particular, growing in popularity [1]. Many of these travel destinations are in the tropics, which provide the further allure of a warm climate. Together with the recent surge in global human migration and the fact that infectious organisms do not respect international borders, pathologists in developed countries are increasingly being exposed to a spectrum of often unfamiliar pathology [2].

The gastrointestinal tract (GIT) is a common primary site of tropical infections [3]. This is due to the direct faecal-oral route of pathogen transmission and the increased risk of ingestion of contaminated water, food, soil or organic material when visiting these regions [4]. Less frequently, skin exposure to a specific stage of a pathogen’s lifecycle in water or soil and secondary gut involvement by a systemic tropical disease can lead to GIT pathology.

This review focuses on GIT infections and provides a practical outline for a microscopic pattern-based approach to the evaluation of the endoscopic intestinal biopsy.

Epidemiological and clinical considerations

Travel to the hot and humid tropical and subtropical regions exposes the individual to a wide spectrum of infectious disease. As most of these countries are in the developing world, the traveller may further be exposed to limited infrastructure, minimal amenities and poor sanitation. These factors, combined with the unique regional infectious pathogens and, in some cases, characteristic intermediate host, place the international traveller at high risk for contracting a plethora of unusual GIT disease.
The most common tropical GIT infections are those causing diarrhoea. Visitors to developing countries are 9–151 times more likely to develop diarrhoeal illness when compared to the developed world, with up to 50% of individuals contracting traveller’s diarrhoea [4]. The highest risk is associated with visits to South America, Africa and South Asia [5, 6].

A causative microorganism is identified in less than 50% of patients with traveller’s diarrhoea [4, 7]. The commonest isolated pathogens are bacteria and parasites and, depending on the study, each represents up to two thirds of microorganisms identified [6, 8]. In that setting, viruses account for less than 15% of the pathogens (Table 1). *Escherichia coli*, *Shigella* and non-typhi *Salmonella* spp. are the most commonly detected bacterial pathogens, whilst giardiasis, amoebiasis and cryptosporidiosis are the most frequent parasitic infections [4, 6–8, 10, 11]. Infections caused by viruses and bacteria are mostly only of a few days duration [4]. When symptoms persist beyond 1 week, parasitic and some specific bacterial infections are usually implicated [12, 13].

Tropical intestinal infections are usually acquired via a direct faecal-oral route, ingestion of contaminated water or foodstuffs. Schistosomiasis and most hookworm infections are exceptions, being contracted by skin exposure to parasitic larvae in contaminated water or soil. Most pathogens infect predominantly the intestines. Oesophageal involvement is extremely rare, and gastric involvement is usually only seen in disseminated or very severe parasitic infections. Interestingly, the traveller’s risk for contracting *Helicobacter pylori*-associated gastritis, which has an extremely high prevalence in developing countries and where co-infection with non-pylori *Helicobacter* spp. is frequent, is not increased [14–16].

Clinical features of GIT infections include acute or chronic watery, mucoid or bloody diarrhoea [4]. Other symptoms such as abdominal cramps and pain, nausea, vomiting, malabsorption, weight loss, intestinal haemorrhage and tenesmus are common. Finally, constipation, obstruction and even bowel perforation are reported. Associated fever usually implies an invasive infection or systemic pathogen dissemination. Children, the elderly and individuals on immunosuppressive medication have a higher risk of developing severe disease and associated complications [17]. Many individuals, however, may be entirely asymptomatic. Furthermore, detection of infections such as helminthiases may take months or even years as a result of a long incubation period (up to 12 weeks in schistosomiasis) and mostly asymptomatic clinical course.

The value of a pertinent history in diagnosing tropical GIT infections cannot be overemphasised. The patient’s presenting symptoms, recent travel history (including timing and destination), information about consumption of contaminated water, raw or undercooked foodstuffs, contact with soil and animals as well as participation in any outdoor activities (e.g. camping and swimming in natural bodies of water) ought to be ascertained, if not provided.

### Table 1  Most frequently identified pathogens causing primary GIT infection associated with tropical travel [3, 7–11]

| Viral                     | Bacterial                     | Parasitic                   |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Adenovirus               | *Campylobacter* spp.          | *Ascaris lumbricoides*      |
| Enterovirus              | *Escherichia coli*            | *Cryptosporidium parvum*   |
| Norwalk virus            | *Salmonella* spp.             | *Entamoeba histolytica*     |
| Rotavirus                | *Shigella* spp.               | *Giardia lamblia*           |
|                          | *Hookworms*                  | *Schistosoma* spp.          |
|                          |                               | *Strongyloides stercoralis* |
|                          |                               | *Trichuris trichiura*       |

### Pathology

Whilst current microbiologic and serologic methods are far more sensitive than microscopy in diagnosing gut infections, the histopathologist nonetheless retains a pivotal threefold role when assessing endoscopic biopsy material. This includes:

1. Recognition of a characteristic histologic pattern
2. Identification of etiologic pathogens
3. Exclusion of pathology demonstrating overlapping histologic features

The histology of many tropical GIT infections may be focal and/or subtle. As a result, examination of multiple histologic levels, use of appropriate special and immunohistochemical stains, and referral of biopsy material for in situ hybridisation (ISH) and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) testing may be required for a definitive diagnosis. Today, the histopathologist’s success in detecting etiologic microorganisms in biopsies has increased dramatically with advances in immunohistochemical and molecular techniques [18].

### Primary tropical infections of the GIT

As infections affect predominantly the small and/or large intestine, evaluation of intestinal biopsy material has the highest diagnostic yield. The microscopic findings can be divided into several histologic patterns, namely (1) no or minimal non-specific change, (2) chronic non-destructive inflammation, often with intra-epithelial lymphocytosis, (3) acute ischaemic or pseudomembranous change, and active inflammation with a predominance of (4) neutrophils, (5) eosinophils, (6) lymphocytes and histiocytes, or (7) granulomas.

Despite overlap, these patterns serve as a useful guide for identifying the most likely offending pathogens and for excluding relevant differential diagnoses.
No or minimal non-specific change

Viral infections, cholera, enterotoxigenic (ETEC) and enteraggregative (EAEC) *Escherichia coli*, giardiasis, most cases of food poisoning caused by enterotoxin-producing organisms such as *Bacillus cereus* and, less frequently, helminthic infections are associated with this pattern.

Predominant involvement of the small intestine causing acute watery, non-bloody diarrhoea with mild symptomatology is typical, and subsequently, the patients seldom undergo endoscopy due to the self-limiting nature of the disease. The striking exception is cholera, where the profuse watery diarrhoea may lead to severe and often life-threatening dehydration [19].

*Tropical viral infections* are caused by numerous viruses, including rotavirus, adenovirus, enterovirus, Norwalk virus (norovirus) and, less often, coronavirus, echovirus and astrovirus [4, 18, 20]. The role of rotavirus has diminished over the last decade due to the introduction of routine immunisation in many developed countries [21]. These GIT viral infections usually affect children and cause no significant histologic change, although villous blunting and broadening, surface epithelial vacuolisation and disarray, apoptosis, increase in lamina propria chronic inflammatory cells and lymphoid hyperplasia can be seen [22]. Infections such as those due to Norwalk virus may demonstrate an intra-epithelial lymphocytosis, but in contrast to gluten-sensitive enteropathy, it is typically mild and not associated with crypt hyperplasia. In adenovirus infection, focal epithelial apoptosis, nucleomegaly and nuclear inclusions—initially eosinophilic and later basophilic with “smudged” nuclei—may be identified and confirmed with immunohistochemistry or PCR (Fig. 1) [23].

*Cholera*, which is caused by *Vibrio cholera* serotype O1, can induce a profuse secretory-type diarrhoea due to the effect of the cholera toxin on enterocytes. Typically, small intestinal biopsies show no abnormality or mild non-specific changes such as mucosal edema and congestion, surface epithelial degeneration, mucin depletion and a mild lamina propria mononuclear cell increase [24].

*ETEC infections*, an important cause of traveller’s diarrhoea, seldom reveal any microscopic change [22]. In contrast, in *EAEC infection*, a layer of adherent, straight Gram-negative bacillary organisms may be identified on the surface of the large bowel epithelium [25]. These bacilli need to be differentiated from *Brachyspira* spp. (intestinal spirochaetosis), whose organisms have a corkscrew morphology and are positive on silver stains.

Infection with *Giardia lamblia* (a flagellate protozoan) often presents with acute severe foul smelling diarrhoea, whilst chronic infection may lead to persistent diarrhoea and malabsorption. Most intestinal biopsies show no histologic abnormality, but for the presence of parasites [26]. Organisms are readily identifiable on haematoxylin and eosin (H & E) staining, but are highlighted with a Giemsa stain. A periodic acid-Schiff-diastase (PAS-D) stain helps distinguish *Giardia lamblia* from mucin blobs. The organisms are pear- to sickle-shaped, binucleate and identified between villi, their random orientation likened to falling leaves (Fig. 2a). Trophozoite adherence to enterocytes is sometimes demonstrated (Fig. 2b). Gastric and colonic involvement occurs in severe infections, but should prompt exclusion of an underlying immune deficiency such as hypogammaglobulinaemia.

Chronic non-destructive inflammation, often with intra-epithelial lymphocytosis

This pattern is characteristically associated with tropical sprue, although coccidial infections, giardiasis and some viral
infections (discussed above) may be implicated. Microscopic pathology predominates in the small bowel.

Tropical sprue is an enigmatic small intestinal infectious disorder which may closely mimic coeliac disease [27, 28]. Patients usually present with malabsorption and biopsies reveal villous blunting, crypt hyperplasia, increased mucosal chronic inflammatory cells and eosinophils, as well as intra-epithelial lymphocytosis (IEL) (Fig. 3a, b) [29]. Diffuse and relatively uniform involvement of the small bowel, prominent deep (crypt) IEL and the rarity of total villous atrophy in tropical sprue allow microscopic distinction from coeliac disease and other protein hypersensitivities [30].

Coccidiosis is caused, in order of decreasing frequency, by Cryptosporidium parvum, Cystisospora (formerly Isospora) belli, Cyclospora cayetanensis and, rarely, Sarcocystis hominis. The microsporidial organisms Enterocytozoon bieneusi and Encephalitozoon intestinalis are traditionally still included in this group, although recently reclassified as fungi [31]. The coccidians are common in tropical and subtropical countries, especially those with a high HIV burden, and are increasingly recognised as a cause of traveller’s diarrhoea [14, 32, 33]. Infection in immunocompetent patients is usually asymptomatic or mild and self-limiting. Small bowel histology may show variable degrees of villous blunting, epithelial disarray, crypt hyperplasia, patchy inflammatory cell infiltration and evidence of mild intra-epithelial lymphocytosis. Some cases may reveal active inflammation with neutrophil crypt abscesses and prominent eosinophils. A definitive diagnosis depends on demonstration of the parasite.

Cryptosporidium parvum organisms are the most readily identifiable, as multiple 2- to 5-μm basophilic spheres attached to the epithelial apical membrane (Fig. 4a). They stain with Warthin-Starry, Giemsa and Gram stains. Cystisospora belli organisms are identified as crescentic, elongated or round intra-epithelial forms measuring up to 20 μm and are positive on silver, Giemsa and periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) stains (Fig. 4b). Cyclospora cayetanensis are smaller, round or crescentic acid-fast organisms located in parasitophorous vacuoles in the upper part of epithelial cells (Fig. 4c) [34]. They are negative with PAS, silver and Gram stains. Microsporidial spores are the most difficult to identify, requiring careful search on Warthin-Starry, Gram and Giemsa stained sections for the small intracellular organisms, which may be confused with Paneth cell granules (Fig. 4d). Microscopic features of the predominantly zoonotic intestinal sarcosporidiasis are not well documented; small intestinal biopsy may demonstrate macrogametocyte and sporocyst parasitic forms similar in size to Cyclospora cayetanensis [35].

Acute ischaemic or pseudomembranous change

Although typical of infections caused by enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli (EHEC), this pattern can also be seen with bacterial dysentery (especially Shigella spp.) and, rarely, amoebic dysentery (see below) [36]. The large intestine is the predominant site of involvement.

EHEC infection, particularly strain O157:H7, usually presents with a bloody diarrhoea and can lead to the haemolytic-
uraemic syndrome and thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura [37]. Right-sided colonic involvement predominates. Endoscopic biopsies typically demonstrate acute ischaemic features, including mucosal erosion, edema and haemorrhage, crypt withering, lamina propria hyalinisation, capillary microthrombi and associated acute inflammatory exudates (Fig. 5a, b) [38]. EHEC infection must be distinguished from *Clostridium difficile*-associated colitis, which typically demonstrates a patchy distribution, mucin crypt distention and pronounced pseudomembrane formation with “volcano lesions”. More recently, *Klebsiella oxytoca* has also been documented as a cause of antibiotic-associated haemorrhagic colitis [39]. Correlation with the clinical history (including recent antimicrobial therapy), endoscopic findings as well as stool cultures and *C. difficile* toxin testing further help differentiate these three infections. Close clinical and endoscopic correlation helps to exclude the alternative possibilities of secondary gut involvement by systemic tropical infections associated with disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) and vasculopathy (see below), drugs and primary intestinal ischaemia.

**Active inflammation, neutrophils predominant**

This pattern is characteristically associated with bacterial and amoebic dysentery.

*Bacterial dysentery* is caused by different organisms, including *Shigella* and non-typhi *Salmonella* spp. (50% of cases), *Campylobacter* spp., *Yersinia* spp. (especially *Y. enterocolitica*) and, less often, *Aeromonas* spp., *Plesiomonas* spp., enteroinvasive Escherichia coli (EIEC) and non-cholera *Vibrio* spp. [11, 13, 18, 40]. Patients typically present with acute bloody diarrhoea containing abundant leukocytes (pus). In the first 2 weeks, the pathology is dominated by neutrophil infiltration with acute self-limited/infectious-type
colitis features. Emphasis is on superficial mucosal involvement, with patchy lamina propria neutrophil infiltration and clustering, cryptitis revealing epithelial degeneration, erosion and ulceration (Fig. 6 a–c) [41]. Later stages may have features of chronic active inflammation, however, and closely mimic idiopathic inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) (see below). The absence of pronounced crypt distortion and mucosal atrophy, surface villous change, granulomas, basal giant cells and lymphoid aggregates, a mixed lamina propria inflammatory infiltrate, as well as rarity of true crypt abscesses assist in excluding a diagnosis of IBD [42]. Histologic findings are of particular diagnostic importance in culture negative cases of bacterial dysentery [43].

Amoebic dysentery is due to the protozoal parasite Entamoeba histolytica, although recent evidence suggests E. dispar may also be pathogenic [44]. Severe bloody diarrhoea is common, but patients may be asymptomatic or have mild and non-specific symptoms [45]. The caecum and right colon are typically affected, although involvement of the rest of the colorectum, appendix and small bowel, as well rupture with peritonitis, dissemination and metastatic abscesses (usually in the liver and lung) can occur. Amoebic dysentery is characterised by acute necrotizing inflammation, the degree of necrosis often disproportionate to the intensity of inflammation, and deep, frequently undermining (“flask-shaped”) ulcers [36]. Rarely, inflammatory mass (so-called amoeboma) formation may occur, particularly after inadequate therapy [46]. The parasite’s pale, small round nuclear morphology, intense PAS cytoplasmic positivity (due to glycogen) and negative CD68 immunoperoxidase staining distinguish it from histiocytes (Fig. 7 a–d). Entamoeba spp. organisms are readily differentiated from the rarer, larger and ciliated protozoan, Balantidium coli.

Active inflammation, eosinophils predominant
Parasitic infections caused by helminths (parasitic worms) and, less frequently, coccidians (discussed above) manifest with this microscopic pattern.
Helminth infections may be due to nematodes (roundworms), cestodes (flatworms) or trematodes (flukes). Ascariasis, trichuriasis, hookworm infection, strongyloidiasis and schistosomiasis are the commonest helminthiases [9]. Infections typically affect the small intestine, but spread to the large bowel, appendix and stomach can occur. Gastric involvement is most frequently seen with *Strongyloides stercoralis* hyperinfection, schistosomiasis and anisakiasis. The large bowel is the preferred site for enterobiasis and trichuriasis.

Biopsy findings in intestinal helminthiases include no/minimal microscopic change, mildly increased mucosal eosinophils and prominent active inflammation with abundant eosinophils, granulomas and ulceration. The features depend on the specific helminth and parasite burden. Cestode infections rarely cause any histologic abnormalities, whilst trematode infections are usually associated with pronounced inflammation. Invasive infections by nematodes cause prominent eosinophil infiltration, often present as small clusters deep in the mucosa and showing extensive degranulation. As helminthiases are rarely transient, associated inflammation may lead to chronic mucosal injury and even prominent fibrosis (see below).

The commonest nematode infections are ascariasis, trichuriasis, hookworm infection and enterobiasis (Fig. 8a). These parasites are often discovered incidentally on endoscopy or biopsy during workup for anaemia or malabsorption. In the absence of mucosal invasion, biopsy may reveal no abnormalities or minimal inflammatory changes and villous blunting. Intestinal capillariasis and *Strongyloides stercoralis* infection may merely reveal worms located in small bowel crypts. Invasive strongyloidiasis often leads to prominent mucosal edema, ulceration, inflammatory cell infiltration, granuloma formation and reactive mucosal hyperplasia. Infrequently, *Strongyloides stercoralis* autoinfection (hyperinfection) in immunocompetent patients results in a large organism burden and may affect the stomach and colon (Fig. 8b). Anisakiasis, in contrast, primarily affects the stomach and may cause pronounced inflammation with eosinophil microabscesses, necrosis, edema, haemorrhage, granuloma formation and mucosal hyperplasia.

The commonest cestode infections are due to the adult worms of *Taenia* spp. and *Hymenolepis nana* (Fig. 9a, b). These parasites may evoke an increase in mucosal eosinophils (most often in relation to the worm and its attachment site). Sometimes, humans become an accidental intermediate host for *Taenia solium*. This occurs after ingestion of parasitic ova

---

**Fig. 8** Nematode infections. *Enterobius vermicularis*, a roundworm also prevalent in developed countries, with characteristic cuticle, lateral alae and internal structure. The organism may be missed if associated with abundant faecal material and cellular debris (a, ×400). Gastric strongyloidiasis hyperinfection: adult nematode worms lying in gastric glands and showing associated active inflammation (b, ×200).

**Fig. 9** Cestode infection. *Taenia* spp. adult worm in the appendix lumen (a, ×100), showing internal structure of gravid proglottid with numerous characteristic thick-shelled ova (b, ×400).
or gravid proglottids and leads to cysticercosis, the development of parasitic cysts (cysticerci, the larval stage of *Taenia solium*) at numerous extra-GIT sites, most commonly in skeletal muscle and the brain.

Schistosomiasis is by far the commonest human *trematode* infection [47]. It differs from other gut helminthiases, as adult worms reside in intestinal veins and not the bowel lumen (Fig. 10a). The parasitic ova, however, are detected on biopsy and when viable, evoke an intense eosinophil-rich granulomatous response with fibrosis. The inflammation subsides when the ova degenerate and calcify. These changes may lead to mucosal granularity (sandpaper-like appearance) and even extensive polyp formation, particularly in the colorectum [48]. Table 2 summarises the worldwide distribution and ovum morphology of human schistosomes.

The morphology of schistosome ova can frequently be appreciated in histologic sections, but degenerative artefact and the plane of sectioning may compromise accurate evaluation (Fig. 10b–d). Whilst a Ziehl-Neelsen stain has traditionally been utilised to differentiate the ova based on the acid-fast nature of their shell and spine (the shell of *S. haematobium* and *S. intercalatum* is negative, that of *S. mansoni* and other species positive), this staining reaction is far from reliable (Fig. 10e, f) [49]. Although most human schistosome infections primarily affect the intestines, ova of *Schistosoma haematobium* (responsible for urogenital schistosomiasis) may occasionally be identified in the rectum and appendix. Human infection with predominantly zoonotic species such as *S. matthei*, *S. malayensis* and *S. haematobium-S. bovis* hybrid is infrequent [50, 51].

As helminths are very often not identified on biopsies, the non-infectious differential diagnoses to consider include allergic enterocolitis, primary eosinophilic gut disease, drug-induced inflammation and, in some cases, Crohn’s disease.
A definitive diagnosis may require close correlation with the patient history, as well as relevant clinical, laboratory, endoscopic and microbiologic findings.

Active inflammation, lymphocytes and histiocytes predominant

This microscopic pattern is characteristic of typhoid (enteric) fever, which is caused by infection with Salmonella Typhi (previously enteritidis serovar typhi). Milder forms of the disease are caused by non-typhoid Salmonella spp. such as S. Enteritidis, Typhimurium and Paratyphi (paratyphoid).

The complex route of the Salmonella organisms through the body leads to the protean clinical manifestations of typhoid, including fever, headache, abdominal pain, skin rash, neutropaenia and a watery to bloody diarrhoea. The disease is often associated with significant systemic manifestations and may thus mimic extra-GIT/other systemic infections. Crohn’s disease may be considered, although the necrosis, abundance of histiocytes, scant neutrophils and absence of granulomas rule out this diagnosis.

Active inflammation, granulomas predominant

Infection by Yersinia enterocolitica and Y. pseudotuberculosis (intestinal yersiniosis) is associated with this pattern, as are some helminthiases. The latter, however, are usually distinguished by their prominence of eosinophils.

Intestinal yersiniosis is an uncommon cause of traveller’s diarrhoea, although an increased risk for infection exists in patients with iron overload [55]. The organisms have a predilection for the ileum, right colon and appendix. Granulomatous inflammation is often accompanied by suppurative changes [56]. Although both species may be associated with prominent granulomas, this finding is more frequent and

---

Table 2  Global distribution and ovum morphology of human Schistosoma spp.

| Schistosoma spp.          | Geographic distribution                                      | Size         | Shape         | Spine                      |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|
| S. haematobium            | Africa, Madagascar and Middle East                          | 110–170 × 40–70 μm | Elongated     | Terminal                  |
| S. japonicum             | China, East Asia and Philippines                            | 55–85 × 40–60 μm   | Oval         | Small lateral knob        |
| S. mansoni                | Africa, Middle East, parts of South America and Caribbean  | 115–175 × 45–70 μm  | Elongated     | Lateral                   |
| S. mekongi                | Southeast Asia, especially Mekong delta                     | 50–65 × 30–55 μm   | Oval         | Small lateral knob        |
| S. guineensis and related | West and Central Africa                                     | 140–240 × 50–85 μm | Elongated     | Terminal                  |
| S. intercalatum           |                                                            |              |               |                           |

---

Fig. 11  Ileocaecal involvement in typhoid fever. Ulceration with prominent necrosis (a, ×100) and associated infiltration by lymphocytes, histiocytes and plasma cells (b, ×400). Numerous histiocytes showing granular eosinophilic cytoplasm with ingestion of Salmonella spp. (c, ×400)
striking with *Y. pseudotuberculosis* infection. The granulomas demonstrate a prominent lymphoid cuff and often reveal central neutrophil microabscesses, associated neutrophil infiltration with mucosal ulceration (often aphthoid and in relation to lymphoid aggregates), histiocyte infiltration and prominent lymphoid hyperplasia (Fig. 12a, b) [57]. PAS, acid-fast and silver stains as well as careful clinical, endoscopic and microbiologic correlation help differentiate intestinal yersiniosis from secondary GIT involvement by mycobacterial and fungal infections (including rare cases of granulomatous histoplasmosis), as well as brucellosis. Exclusion of Crohn’s disease may be more difficult. Endoscopic evidence of mucosal cobblestoning and microscopic features of chronic mucosal injury (particularly if distant to the site of active inflammation) favour Crohn’s disease. Prominent appendiceal involvement strongly favours yersiniosis [58]. Close correlation with serology and microbiologic studies (culture and PCR) allows definitive distinction in most cases.

**Histology of ENDOSCOPIC INTESTINAL BIOPSY**

| Normal or near normal mucosa | Chronic non-destructive inflammation with / without intra-epithelial lymphocytosis | Acute ischaemic or pseudomembranous change | Active inflammation |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| **YES**                       | **YES**                                                                        | **YES**                                  | **NO**              |

- viral infection
  - cholera
  - *E. coli* infection (enterotoxigenic, enteroadherent)
  - giardiasis
  - some helminth infections (especially cestodes, nematodes)
  * exclude non-GIT pathology as cause of symptoms

- *E. coli* (enterohemorrhagic)
  - some cases of bacterial dysentery (*Shigella* spp)
  - amoebiasis (rarely)
  * exclude C. difficile, *K. oxytoca* and secondary GIT infection (viral haemorrhagic fever, malaria), non-infectious pathology (drugs, primary acute ischaemia)

- Eosinophils predominant
  - coccidiosis
  - helminth infections (especially nematodes)
  * exclude non-infectious pathology (allergy, drugs, primary eosinophilic GIT disease, Crohn’s disease)

- Lymphocytes predominant
  - typhoid
  * exclude Whipple’s disease, secondary GIT infection (histoplasmosis, leishmaniasis), Crohn’s disease

- Neutrophils predominant
  - bacterial dysentery
  - amoebiasis
  - coccidiosis
  * exclude non-infectious pathology (idiopathic inflammatory bowel disease, drugs)

- Granulomas predominant
  - intestinal yersiniosis
  - helminth infections (especially nematodes)
  * exclude secondary GIT infection (mycobacterial, brucellosis, fungal, leishmaniasis), Crohn’s disease

**Fig. 13** Microscopic pattern-based approach to a case of suspected tropical GIT infection
Histologic features of chronicity in primary tropical GIT infections

Some gut infections may lead to mucosal inflammation lasting longer than 2–4 weeks and result in microscopic evidence of chronicity (i.e. basal plasmacytosis, mucosal architectural distortion, atrophy, fibrosis and Paneth cell metaplasia). Such infections include helminthiasis (e.g. schistosomiasis and strongyloidiasis), the late stage of bacterial dysentery (especially due to *Shigella* and non-typhi *Salmonella* spp), intestinal yersiniosis and, less often, other parasitic infections such as amoebiasis and coccidiosis, as well as some cases of typhoid fever [59–61]. Although the usually mild features of chronicity and characteristic associated inflammatory changes are helpful in confirming an infectious aetiology (discussed above), in some cases, distinction from idiopathic IBD may be impossible on histologic grounds alone. Careful correlation with all clinical, endoscopic, serologic and microbiologic findings, together with close follow-up, may be required for a definitive diagnosis.

Secondary GIT involvement by systemic infections

Occasionally, the gut is secondarily involved by systemic tropical infections. These patients usually present with prominent extra-GIT symptoms and the diagnosis of the systemic infection is commonly established by the time gut involvement occurs.

DIC with intestinal microvascular ischaemia and haemorrhage may be seen with viral haemorrhagic fevers (including Ebola) as well as malaria, particularly cases caused by *Plasmodium falciparum* [62, 63]. Zika virus infection has been associated with diarrhoea and abdominal pain, but the precise mechanism and associated gut pathology are as yet unknown [64].

Any part of the gut can be involved by the vasculitis/vasculopathy of rickettsiosis and leptospirosis, leading to diarrhoea and intestinal haemorrhage [65, 66]. Rare secondary involvement by brucellosis may mimic other granulomatous GIT disorders [67].

Gut infection by *Trypanosoma cruzi* (American trypanosomiasis) may cause inflammatory destruction of the myenteric plexus, but endoscopic biopsy pathology is non-specific [68]. Rare cases of secondary gut involvement by extra-intestinal parasitic infections such as sparganosis and fascioliasis have been reported [69, 70].

Conclusion

With international travel and human migration on the rise, pathologists in developed countries are increasingly being exposed to tropical infectious gastrointestinal pathology. By using a pattern-based approach to evaluate biopsy material (Fig. 13), possessing a knowledge of the most likely aetiologic pathogens and excluding look-alike, non-infectious pathology, the histopathologist retains a crucial diagnostic role despite the rapid technological advances in the field of microbiology.

In some cases, a final diagnosis may only be possible after close collaboration between clinician, histopathologist and microbiologist. In this regard, careful correlation with the patient’s travel history and presentation, pertinent endoscopic findings, appropriate serology and stool microbiologic studies (including microscopy, culture, immunoassays and PCR investigations) is indicated. If uncertainty still exists about the diagnosis, case referral to a centre with expertise in infectious GIT pathology should always be considered. Most importantly, histopathologists in the developed world need to maintain a high level of microscopic vigilance when interpreting endoscopic biopsies in these changing times. Be warned: the GIT infection you have feared may well soon come across your table...!
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