Response to stress in biological disorders: Implications of stressgranule assembly and function
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INTRODUCTION

When exposed to an adverse stimulus, regular biological processes would be perturbed, ultimately resulting in impaired fertility1,2 and other disorders.3,4 Protein translation is one of the most sophisticated biological processes in eukaryotic cells. In coping with stressful environments, eukaryotic cells reprogram translation mechanisms and specialize in the synthesis of functional proteins to adapt to the changing conditions for survival. The pivotal pathway in response to external stimuli is the formation of stress granules (SGs) comprising a large amount of untranslated mRNAs to suspend mRNA translation.5 Stress granule is a highly conserved and predominant type of cytoplasmic ribonucleoprotein (RNP) granule, mainly composed of non-translating mRNAs and proteins.6 The α-subunit of eukaryotic
Most of which are not translated until fertilizing. It is reasonable that germ cells have evolved specific cellular mechanisms to counter stresses. Stress responses function not only in cell survival but also in maintaining gamete quality that, once damaged, would result in developmental arrest and even severe birth disorders. Germine is complicatedly regulated in gene expression, with abundant maternal mRNAs accumulating in oocytes, most of which are not translated until fertilizing. Consequently, stress responses may be specific in oocytes, and some appear to be unique to germ cells.

To better understand how the male reproductive system responds to environmental influences and the role of SGs in male germ cells, we review advanced discoveries in this field and provide some perspectives on future research. More specifically, we summarize current views on the role of SG components in male germ cells and focus on the dynamic assembly of SGs, which is important for identifying other structures and the factors affecting reproduction, further expanding our understanding of human fertility. In addition, more in-depth insights into regulated and protective mechanisms to defend against environmental forces in germ cells are discussed in this review, which will provide a reference for the clinical treatment of male infertility. Alternatively, reports on the formation of SG and its biological significance in recent years are also summarized, providing clues and research directions for future research in related fields, such as inflammatory response, degenerative disease and cancer.

2 | STRESS GRANULES FORMATION

2.1 | Stress granules

Ribonucleoprotein granules (RNP), non-membrane-coated organelles containing RNA and protein condensates in eukaryotic cells, are independent high-order subcellular organelles composed of multiple biomolecules. They are ubiquitously presented in both the cytoplasm and nuclei, shown as puncta with a diameter of 0.1-4 microns. RNP granules have been involved in many biological processes, including synaptic plasticity in neurons and maternal mRNA storage in oocytes. Cytoplasmic RNP s mainly include SGs, P-bodies, germ cell granules, and neuronal granules, whereas nuclei RNP particles include paraspeckles, the nucleolus, Cajal bodies, among which SGs have been widely investigated.

Stress granule is a brilliant way for cells to react to external stimuli. Certain adverse conditions (non-biological stimuli such as heat shock, viral infection, oxidative stress, ultraviolet radiation and hypoxia) trigger SG assembly in cells, which is a major adaptive defense mechanism of cell adaptation. SGs are multimolecular polymers of the pre-translational complex of stasis, preventing the accumulation of misfolded proteins. Huang et al demonstrated SG formation can be induced by five different chemicals representing different stress conditions, including oxidative stress (sodium arsenite, hydrogen peroxide), osmotic stress (sorbitol, sodium chloride) and clotrimazole.

Stress granule is a type of the highly conserved cytoplasmic RNP granules, generally containing untranslated mRNA, ribosome subunits, the RNA-binding proteins (eg Ras GTPase-activating protein binding protein [G3BP1], T-cell intracellular antigen-1 [TIA-1]) and various translation initiation factors, which consist of the stagnation 48S preinitiation complex. SG-like RNP s containing a large amount of untranslated mRNA were found in neurons and embryos. SGs cannot form when mRNAs are captured by polysomes. These evidences indicate that ribosome-related mRNAs cannot be recruited to SGs. Moreover, it has been observed that SG-related proteins (TIA-1/TIAR) and specific mRNAs (such as TOP mRNAs) participate in translational initiation steps, which further reveal that SGs are a collection of translationally arrested mRNAs. However, the compositions of SGs are variable under exposure in different adversities. Taking Saccharomyces cerevisiae as an example, eIF3 presents in SGs induced by heat shock, but not in those by glucose starvation. SGs also contain many other components, including RNA helicase, regulators of translation and stability, and factors affecting cell signal transduction.

2.2 | Factors affecting stress granule assembly

2.2.1 | Liquid-liquid phase separation

Stress granule is a dynamic structure with multiphase properties, which is consistent with the fact that many RNP granules are liquid-liquid separated. Phase separation describes a phenomenon in which different cell components collide with each other and fuse to form droplets. Some components of the structure are enclosed in the droplets and others are blocked outside the droplets, similar to a mixture of water and oil, which is a common phenomenon in liquids. Using fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP)
approach, the structural features of P-bodies (another RNP granule) are characterized. P-bodies exhibit properties of liquid droplets, which collide and fuse with each other, disperse into smaller droplets after violent vibration, and then can rapidly fuse to form larger droplets. Recent studies have shown that liquid-liquid separation (LLPS) is probably the physical and chemical basis for cells to form membraneless organelles such as nucleoli, P bodies, SGs and other distinctive protein/RNA phase transitions. These results seem to be consistent with studies that have uncovered that the process of LLPS is the main driving force to promote the assembly of these structures (Figure 1).

The highly disordered domain in RNA-binding proteins, also known as the low complexity domain, is one of the important molecular characteristics of phase separation. Recent study found that N6-methyladenosine (m6A)-modified RNA can promote phase separation of YTHDF family proteins in vitro. YTHDF1, YTHDF2 and YTHDF3 (classical m6A-binding protein) are highly conserved in the structure, containing the binding site of the m6A YTH domain and a period of approximately 40 KDa disordered area (low complexity domain). This finding further supports the notion that m6A modification regulates protein LLPS in cell. Unequivocally, these results all confirm the relevance between protein phase separation and low complexity domain.

2.2.2 | RNA

**RNA itself and RNA interactions**

Likewise, RNAs have been proven to be required for SG formation. SG assembly increased with stalled translation initiation, whereas decreased when mRNAs are captured by ribosomes. Thus, non-translating mRNAs are the indispensable components for SG assembly. Additional evidence has suggested that the formation of SG can be modulated by RNAs, for instance, specifically, injection of naked RNA into the cytosol promotes SG formation. Similarly, transfection of short RNAs into cells induces the larger foci of SGs. In addition, interactions between RNA molecules in SG formation have recently drawn intensive attention. The sequence-specificity and base-pairing properties of RNAs can induce phase separation of themselves, which may facilitate the assembly of physiological granules. For example, RNA-containing G-quadruplexes (G4) trigger SG nucleation by acting as molecular scaffolds and isolating certain RBP (such as G3BP1) (Figure 1).

**Emerging factors—m6A, m1A modification**

Epigenetic mechanisms, such as DNA methylation, RNA methylation and chromatin modification, are involved in adapting to external stimuli under physiological or pathological conditions. m6A, m1A, m2A, N6-methyladenosine; m1A, N1-methyladenosine

---

**FIGURE 1** Factors affecting stress granule (SG) assembly and the function of SG. Post-transcriptional modifications (m6A and m1A), RNA interaction, the network of microtubules, protein interactions, protein modifications and liquid-liquid phase separation all impact SG assembly are shown. SGs function as cellular protection, prevent cell apoptosis and sequestrate components. m6A, N6-methyladenosine; m1A, N1-methyladenosine
a common post-transcriptional modification of RNA, plays a critical role in stress response. A recent study showed elevated levels of m6A after stress exposure and demonstrated that m6A plays a pivotal role in selectively sorting mRNAs to SGs. The m6A-modified RNA signal detected by the specific antibody elevated in a dose-dependent manner after oxidative stress exposure induced by sodium arsenite. Alternatively, the integrated stress response (ISR) promotes cellular adaptation to stress conditions through the common target eIF2α. In response to amino acid starvation, the translational repressal of transcription factor 4 (ATF4) was regulated not only by the eIF2α-signalling pathway but also by m6A-modified mRNA. Silencing m6A mRNA methylases significantly elevated ATF4 translation efficiency. Further study has shown that m6A modification at 5′ untranslated regions of mRNA can screen ribosomes and subsequently select starting codons. Together, these studies provide insights into m6A function in response to different stress stimuli.

Another epigenetic modification that has not been mentioned much until recently is N1-methyladenosine (m1A). Although N1-adenine (m1A) is less popular, it has a significant effect on RNA structure. The methyl group on N1-adenine can interfere with Watson-Crick base pairing, leading to local duplex melting. Analysing the motif of mRNAs in SGs revealed a significant enrichment of transcripts targeted by TRMT6/61A (considered as an m1A writer). And further, TRMT6/61A knockout impaired granulation in response to heat shock and arsenite stress, which indicates TRMT6/61A is involved in RNA granulation under stressful conditions (Figure 1).

2.2.3 | Protein

Protein modification

The most common factor regulating SG assembly is protein modification, which modulates both the interaction and function of mRNP components in SGs. Of the various protein modifications, phosphorylation is well known to function in SG assembly. For instance, phosphorylated eIF2α substantially reduces the assembly of SGs in response to various stress responses including ultraviolet irradiation (UV) and amino acid depletion during translation initiation. Simultaneously, the aggregation of phosphorylated tristetraprolin (TTP), butyrate response factor (BRF1) and Ras GTPase-activating protein binding protein (G3BP1) in SGs is reduced when eIF2α is activated. Acetylation/deacetylation also affects the formation of SGs. The deacetylation activity of SIRT6 (a member of the Sir2 family of NAD (+)-dependent enzymes) is essential for G3BP1 granule formation. SIRT6 depletion or inhibition by nicotinamide (deacetylase inhibitor) results in decreased size of SGs, whereas overexpression of deacetylate-disrupted mutant (H133Y, R65A) of SIRT6 cannot rescue the phenotype, which reveals that deacetylation activity is vital for SG formation promoting function. Moreover, a previous study discovers that histone deacetylases 6 (HDAC6), a cytoplasmic deacetylase, can be recruited to SGs and colocalized with G3BP1 under oxidative stress induced by arsenite and other stress conditions such as UV irradiation, CCCP (mitochondrial stress) and heat shock, which reveals that HDAC6 is a novel critical SG component. These results are in accord with that HDAC6 modulates acetylation of G3BP1, contributing to the disintegration of SGs. Based on several reviews, we conclude that HDAC6 is a unique deacetylase consisting of two catalytic domains and a C-terminal zinc finger domain binding with ubiquitin and ubiquitinylated proteins. Further, other investigations have manifested that HDAC6 deacetylates tubulin and microtubule networks. HDAC6 also binds to ubiquitin to decompose heat-shock proteins. Likewise, ubiquitin-modified proteins are present in SGs. Ubiquitin-binding domain mutations of HDAC6, E3 ubiquitin ligase EDD (E3 isolated by differential display), proteasome and other factors related to ubiquitin metabolism can affect the formation of SGs.

Methylation is another important modification of SG-related proteins. Tudor domain-containing protein 3 (TDRD3) binds to methyl groups through Tudor motifs that are required for localization of specific SG components. Moreover, protein methylation and Tudor motifs are also associated with the formation of processing bodies and germ cell granules.

Posttranslational modifications of the mRNP components are ideal mechanisms for modulating protein function under stress conditions, because of rapid and reversible protein modifications without new protein synthesis. Elucidating the key physiological purposes of various modifications and the underlying mechanisms of their effects will, therefore, be a valuable goal in the future.

Protein interaction domain

Based on the analysis of proteomic structural stability of SGs, 50% of the components in SGs are RNA-binding proteins, which can be absorbed into SGs through protein-protein interaction. Accordingly, another factor modulating SG assembly is protein interaction domain in various RNA-binding proteins. G3BP is a cytoplasmic protein recognized by the SH3 domain that can affect cell cycle, signalling transduction, SG formation and occurrence of some diseases.

Another important finding is that G3BP proteins contain a dimerization domain that contributes to SGs formation under arsenic stress. In addition, proteins involved in RNA metabolism embody glutamine/asparagine (QN)-rich domains, which can facilitate SGs assembly through self-aggregation ability. RNA-binding proteins T-cell intracellular antigen-1 (TIA-1), T-cell intracellular antigen-protein and their homologous proteins with conserved QN-rich domains have been found in SGs, among which TIA-1 lacked the QN-rich domain cannot support the formation of SGs. In contrast, overexpression of the QN-rich domain of TIA-1 inhibits the regular assembly of SG and produces basic micro-aggregates containing endogenous TIA proteins. The role of the QN domain in mRNA metabolism is probably quite extensive since the QN-rich domain facilitates the formation of p-bodies, and nearly half of the 107 proteins containing the QN domain have been found to be related to various metabolic processes of RNA, such as transportation, translation and degradation in yeast.
Stress granule assembly is regulated by heat shock proteins whose overexpression inhibits SG formation. Molecular chaperones are vital in maintaining cell homeostasis under stable protein stress, of which heat shock protein 70 (HSP70) has been shown to be involved in the regulation of SG composition and dynamics. More recently, HSPBP1 (hsp70-binding protein 1) is found as a novel component of SGs, and its overexpression can promote SG assembly (Figure 1).

2.2.4 | The network of microtubules in cells

Microtubule networks are also a regulating aspect affecting SG assembly. Microtubule and actin filament networks provide a channel for intracellular mRNA transport, while microtubule motor proteins (kinesin, dynein and myosin) offer carriers on these channels, which are necessary for the appropriate assembly of SGs. Thiamethoxazole, a microtubule-depolymerization drug, can weaken SG assembly, leading to smaller SG foci.

Stress granule is a highly dynamically changing structure since FRAP analysis indicates a rapid exchange of mRNA and protein in the cytoplasm. This suggests an active mode of transport in and out of foci mediated by a molecular motor during SG assembly and disassembly. Further analysing the presence of dynein subunits in SGs in a variety of different cell lines, a significant accumulation of dynein intermediate chain and dynein heavy chain in SGs is observed. Inhibition or knockout of dynein enhances the sensitivity of protease to TIA-1 polymer, which provides more evidence for the formation mechanism of SGs.

However, the underlying mechanism of microtubules in SG assembly is not fully understood. It can be inferred from the existing results that microtubules can provide a platform for mRNPs and translation initiation factors that are effective in translation, through which they promote the formation of SGs. Once the microtubule structure is destroyed, the formation of SGs is diminished (Figure 1).

3 | FUNCTIONS OF STRESS GRANULES

Evidence has suggested that SGs can improve cell survival under adverse stress by shutting down intracellular transport, translation (sequester related-components), and proapoptotic pathways (Figure 1).

3.1 | Cellular protection

Stress granules increase the local concentration of proteins and RNA and disrupt the equilibrium state of molecular interactions, which in turn strengthen the aggregation of SGs and ultimately protect cell survival. Previous observations showed that once cells are infected with viruses, SGs aggregate and activate related antiviral proteins, including retinoic acid-inducible gene I (Rig-I), PKR, oligoadenylate synthetase (OAS) and ribonuclease L (RNase L), to enhance innate immune response and viral resistance. To counter the above reactions, viruses employ specific mechanisms, such as degradation of G3BP protein, to prevent the formation of SGs, and subsequently promote their replication and synthesis.

Stress granules withstand reactive oxygen species (ROS) damage in cells to buffer oxidative stress. G3BP1 cooperates with ubiquitin-specific protease 10 (USP10) to regulate the antioxidant activity of SGs, while USP10 can degrade target proteins after binding to G3BP1. Knockout or overexpression strategies have verified the antioxidant functions of G3BP1 and USP10. Therefore, SGs play a potential protective role in stress response through anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effects. More recently, it suggests that MAGE-B2, a testicular-specific protein, can increase stress tolerance by inhibiting SG formation, revealing a protective mechanism that resistant to stimulus in a tissue-specific manner.

3.2 | Inhibition of cell apoptosis

When cells are exposed to stress, either apoptosis or antiapoptosis can be induced to cope with or repair stress-induced unfavourable alterations. The cell repair process prevents DNA and proteins from distortion to minimize loss of cell. Cell fate depends on the type and strength of stresses, among which sodium arsenite, low oxygen and heat shock can induce the formation of SGs.

It is well known that SG contains factors that are involved in apoptotic regulation; thus, SG could play a role in the apoptotic response. Studies have shown that impaired SG formation is often accompanied by reduced cell viability under stress stimuli. These results are in accord with the notion that SGs cannot be formed when cells encounter endoplasmic reticulum stress (caused by misfolded protein) and oxidative stress (induced by ROS), resulting in promoting cell apoptosis.

The antiapoptotic effect of tumour cells in tumour therapy is related to SG. The underlying mechanism is proposed that SG prevents apoptotic regulatory proteins from interacting with other factors. Chemotherapy drugs promote interaction between the receptors for activated C kinase 1 (RACK1) and mitogen-activated protein kinase 3 (MAP3K4), then activate MAP3K4 to mediate cell apoptosis. However, the hypoxic condition can induce SG formation in the tumour cell, which recruits and sequestrates RACK1 in SGs, thus inhibits the activation of MAP3K4 and apoptosis.

3.3 | Components sequestration

Stress granules sequester intracellular components to block their interactions in the cytoplasm. Previous studies have shown that SGs regulate cell signalling pathways by isolating proteins such as TOR, RACK1 or tumour necrosis factor (TNF) receptor-associated factor 2 (TRAF2). It has been reported that signalling receptor
protein RACK1 is restricted in SGs when cells are exposed to heat stress, thus inhibiting P38 and JNK (c-Jun N-terminal kinase) apoptotic signalling pathways. Moreover, SGs inhibit apoptosis by recruiting the regulatory protein mTOR (mammalian TOR) to block the hyperactivation of the mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1) signalling pathway. This finding is consistent with the observation that deletion of the mTORC1 complex leads to hyperactivation of the mTORC1 pathway, which inhibits cell growth and proliferation.

Besides, SGs can segregate proteins related to mRNA physiology and metabolism, causing temporary translation inhibition and thus preventing the accumulation of misfolded proteins.

4 | STRESS GRANULES INVOLVING IN BIOLOGICAL DISORDERS

4.1 | Male fertility

It is well established that thermal stress indeed affects the fertility of male animals. In most mammals, the testicles are located in the scrotum outside the body cavity, where spermatogenesis usually occurs. Therefore, exogenous and endogenous forms of insults (e.g., high temperature) affect mammalian spermatogenesis and ultimately lead to subfertility and even infertility. Offspring from male mice with a heat-treated scrotum mated with normal female mice, and exhibited lower weight than those from males without heat treatment. Studies have shown that oxidative stress is a leading outcome of heat damage in spermatogenic cells, while sperms and oocytes are the most sensitive to heat, and the somatic supporting cells such as Sertoli cell in the testis are also affected.

Unlike somatic cells, the germline has its unique functions and characteristics, the most important of which transmits genetic information accurately from generation to generation. In order to produce viable offspring, germline must be able to cope with all kinds of environmental pressures. The testicles of most mammals, where spermatogenesis occurs, situate the scrotum outside the body cavity and affect by ambient temperature. The scrotum temperature is ordinarily 2-7 degrees lower than the body’s core temperature.

Several reports have shown that exposure to heat stress eventually leads to DNA breakage and apoptosis in germ cells. The lower temperature is essential for normal spermatogenesis, as remarkable germ cell loss has been found in cryptorchidism and testes treated by heat.

Very little is currently known about the molecular mechanism that protects spermatogenesis from adverse temperature fluctuation; however, SG provides new insights into the male reproductive field. In addition, RNA-binding proteins are required for natural fertility in germ cells. Previous research has shown that the reduction of RNA-binding protein expression (DAZL, DAZ, BOULE) leads to infertility in mammals. Upon identifying two gene families on the Y chromosome of humans, RBMY and DAZ, it is found that the deletion of either was associated with the failure of germ cells during spermatogenesis. Another important finding is that DAZL can colocalize with TIA1, an SG marker in HeLa cells during oxidative stress, which indicates that DAZL will be recruited in SGs.

Accordingly, DAZL is a necessary element of SGs in mouse germ cells upon heat stress, which confirms previous studies. A recent study demonstrates that MSI-1, an mRNA-binding protein, functions as modulating the fate of Sertoli cells after heat-induced damage and plays an important role in supporting spermatogenesis (Table 1).

Apart from heat stimuli, high concentrations of glucose have been shown to induce the assembly of RNP particles in the germline of C. elegans, and further studies suggest that this process is mediated by the osmotic pressure response. They also find that destruction of RNP particle assembly is associated with reduced oocyte mass in meiotic-block. This indicates that the assembly of RNP particles in germ cells prevents mRNA degradation or early translation for maintaining oocyte quality. Reviewing how the male genital line reacts to stressors, particularly the assembly and function of SGs, could ultimately

| Components | Function | References |
|------------|----------|------------|
| DAZL       | Prevent male germ cells from undergoing apoptosis upon heat stress | 99 |
| TIAR-1     | Promote fertility and embryonic development | 106,114 |
| EIF2α      | As a protective mechanism against heat stress in mouse male germ cells | 119 |
| BOULE      | As conserved germ cell-specific translational regulators | 118 |
| NANOS2     | Stabilized NANOS2 may be responsible for the reduction of the spermatogonial progenitor cell (SPC) pool | 117 |
| MUSASHI-1  | Critical for constructing a functional BTB structure and maintaining spermatogenesis; regulating Sertoli cell fate following heat-induced injury | 113 |
| DZIP1      | Important for the formation of stress granules during the stress response | 120 |
| MAGE-B2    | Increase stress tolerance by inhibiting SG formation | 12 |

**TABLE 1** Overview of components involved in stress granules in germ cells
improve our understanding of human fertility and provide insights into the role of related RNP complexes in other types of cells (Figure 2).

4.2 | Stress granules in other biological processes

4.2.1 | Inflammatory response

Inflammatory factors are directly or indirectly associated with SG formation. In mucosal inflammation, the pro-inflammatory cytokines interferon (IFN)-γ and TNF-α induce phosphorylation of eIF2 to form SGs, encapsulating HSP70 mRNA into SGs and thus reducing HSP70 translation.16 SGs caused by heat shock recruit TRAF2 and inhibit TNF-α-mediated NF-κB activation by interacting with eIF4G.131 Since environmental stimuli can trigger an inflammatory response, SG-related proteins may be associated with the inflammatory response. Emerging evidence has shown that eIF2α phosphorylation increased and SGs formed upon exposure to stimuli, which can be reversed by treating the anti-inflammatory cytokine interleukin-19132 (Figure 3).

4.2.2 | Degenerative disease

Misfolded proteins and mutations in RNA-binding proteins, such as TAR DNA-binding protein 43 (TDP43), are responsible for many types of neurodegenerative diseases, like Alzheimer’s disease133 and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis.134,135 Mutations in RNA-binding proteins boost self-assembly, which leads to the formation and persistence of SGs.23,136 Under normal conditions, autophagosomes play an important role in clearing SGs, but an aggregation of mutated proteins (optic nerve protein, ubiquitin-2, etc) in SGs seriously impairs autophagy function, leading to degenerative diseases of muscles and nerves137 (Figure 3).

4.2.3 | Viral infection

Viral infections trigger a stress response and lead to the formation of SGs. Pattern recognition receptors, such as RIG-I-like receptors (RLRs), which detect non-native RNA in virus-infected cells and produce antiviral agents, play a crucial role in clearing invading viruses. It has been shown that when infected with a variety of viruses, RLRs, mRNAs, 40S ribosome subunits and RNA-binding proteins are colocalized in the virus-induced SGs.138 IFN is significantly reduced via artificially suppressing the formation of SGs induced by viruses, which indicates that SGs play a vital role in innate antiviral immune.138 Translation initiation factors, such as eIF4E, eIF4G and the 40S ribosome subunit in SGs, are essential for virus translation and replication. SGs can inhibit viral replication by isolating these components139,140 (Figure 3).
4.2.4 Cancer

RNA-binding proteins in SGs regulate cancer-associated target mRNAs.\textsuperscript{18,19,141} elf4E expression and activity elevate approximately 30% in different malignancies, and its overexpression is associated with poor prognosis, especially in malignant hematopathies.\textsuperscript{18,19} Meanwhile, elf4E is an essential component of SGs. Whether mRNA that can bind to elf4E is preferentially recruited to SGs remains to be further investigated. Hu antigen R (HuR) and TTP proteins, which are important components of SGs, have opposite effects on target mRNAs. HuR stabilizes the transcription and regulates the translation process, while TTP promotes the degradation of target mRNAs. A study suggests that TTP plays an anti-tumour role, and its expression is negatively correlated with the progression of breast and prostate cancer.\textsuperscript{141} In the xenograft model of mice, overexpression of HuR in tumour cells leads to tumour enlargement, while its depletion leads to reduced tumour volume.\textsuperscript{142} Therefore, SGs likely play functions in tumour progression (Figure 3).
Retinitis pigmentosa (RP) is a degenerative disease of the retina. Ceramide kinase-like (CERKL) can cause RP and cone malnutrition, while it is also an important component of SGs. The absence of SGs is associated with pathological mutations in CERKL. CERKL is also associated with microtubules and has been found in neurites of neuromutant cell lines. Therefore, the correlation between RP and SGs is the key to study its pathological mechanism and treatment.143

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common arrhythmia in clinical practice, in which chronic inflammatory response and oxidative stress play an important role. It has been confirmed that SGs exist in atrial myocytes of AF and can reduce ROS and calcium overload levels.144 However, whether SGs can fight against apoptosis and fibrosis, thus reducing the AF incidence, remains unknown. Consequently, it is of great significance to further reveal the aetiology and potential therapeutic targets of AF (Figure 3).

5 | CONCLUDING REMARKS

Assembly defect of SGs is the cause of many diseases and abnormal physiological processes. Existing findings have remarkable implications for understanding how cells react to the environmental stimulus through SGs formation. As a typical membrane-free organelle, SGs have highly scientific significance. The synthesis and functional study of SGs is a promising novel field in cell biology. However, SGs have dynamic formation and depolymerization characteristics, which makes it challenging to study their details. How mRNAs locate in the different subcellular chambers and how post-transcriptional regulation affects mRNA translation and degradation remain further research. In particular, there are relatively few studies on SGs in the reproductive field, and therefore, future investigations need to be enhanced from these aspects.
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