Genus-wide Yersinia core-genome multilocus sequence typing for species identification and strain characterization

Cyril Savin, Alexis Criscuolo, Julien Guglielmini, Anne-Sophie Le Guern, Elisabeth Carniel, Javier Pizarro-Cerdá, Sylvain Brisse

To cite this version:
Cyril Savin, Alexis Criscuolo, Julien Guglielmini, Anne-Sophie Le Guern, Elisabeth Carniel, et al.. Genus-wide Yersinia core-genome multilocus sequence typing for species identification and strain characterization. Microbial Genomics, Society for General Microbiology, 2019, 5 (10), 10.1099/mgen.0.000301. pasteur-02545814

HAL Id: pasteur-02545814
https://hal-pasteur.archives-ouvertes.fr/pasteur-02545814
Submitted on 17 Apr 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License
Genus-wide *Yersinia* core-genome multilocus sequence typing for species identification and strain characterization
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**Abstract**

The genus *Yersinia* comprises species that differ widely in their pathogenic potential and public-health significance. *Yersinia pestis* is responsible for plague, while *Yersinia enterocolitica* is a prominent enteropathogen. Strains within some species, including *Y. enterocolitica*, also vary in their pathogenic properties. Phenotypic identification of *Yersinia* species is time-consuming, labour-intensive and may lead to incorrect identifications. Here, we developed a method to automatically identify and subtype all *Yersinia* isolates from their genomic sequence. A phylogenetic analysis of *Yersinia* isolates based on a core subset of 500 shared genes clearly demarcated all existing *Yersinia* species and uncovered novel, yet undefined *Yersinia* taxa. An automated taxonomic assignment procedure was developed using species-specific thresholds based on core-genome multilocus sequence typing (cgMLST). The performance of this method was assessed on 1843 isolates prospectively collected by the French National Surveillance System and analysed in parallel using phenotypic reference methods, leading to nearly complete (1814; 98.4%) agreement at species and infra-specific (biotype and serotype) levels. For 29 isolates, incorrect phenotypic assignments resulted from atypical biochemical characteristics or lack of phenotypic resolution. To provide an identification tool, a database of cgMLST profiles and reference taxonomic information has been made publicly accessible (https://bigbdb.pasteur.fr/yersinia). Genomic sequencing-based identification and subtyping of any *Yersinia* is a powerful and reliable novel approach to define the pathogenic potential of isolates of this medically important genus.

**DATA SUMMARY**

This whole-genome shotgun project was deposited at GenBank/ENA/DDBJ under the accession numbers CABHPT00000000 to CABIIB00000000, and CABIIP00000000 to CABIIR00000000 (BioProject number PRJEB33414). Core-genome multilocus sequence typing profiles have been made available through the BIGSdb – *Yersinia* database at https://bigbdb.pasteur.fr/yersinia.

**INTRODUCTION**

The genus *Yersinia*, a member of the family *Enterobacteriaceae*, is currently composed of 19 species and includes 3 prominent human pathogens: the agent of plague, *Yersinia pestis*, and the enteropathogens *Yersinia enterocolitica* and *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* [1]. Whereas the isolation of *Y. pseudotuberculosis* is rare, *Y. enterocolitica* represents the third main cause of diarrhoea of bacterial origin in temperate and cold countries [2]. Other *Yersinia* species are non-pathogenic for humans; *Yersinia ruckeri* is a fish pathogen [3] and *Yersinia entomophaga* is an insect pathogen [4]. Given the heterogeneous pathogenic potential of *Yersinia* members, identification at species and sometimes infra-species levels is essential for patient follow-up, and to guide the deployment of public-health measures. In addition, the taxonomy of the genus *Yersinia* is evolving dynamically, with eight novel species since 2005 [4–11]. Among these, *Yersinia wautersii*...
Moreover, MALDI-TOF MS cannot discriminate the highly pathogenic biotype 1B, the non-pathogenic biotype 1A, and the low-pathogenic biotypes 2, 3, 4 and 5. Phenotypic characterization is labour-intensive, requires multiple assays and is time-consuming (7 days). Moreover, misidentifications may occur given that the distinction between some taxa relies on only a single metabolic trait, and that some isolates exhibit atypical metabolic profiles. Although MALDI-TOF MS is increasingly used for \textit{Yersinia} species identification, this technique has limitations. For example, it does not distinguish the four species within the \textit{Y. pseudotuberculosis} complex, including non-pathogenic \textit{Yersinia similis} [11] and highly pathogenic \textit{Y. pestis} [17–19]. Moreover, MALDI-TOF MS cannot discriminate the highly pathogenic biotype 1B of \textit{Y. enterocolitica} from the non-pathogenic biotype 1A [20].

Identification of \textit{Yersinia} isolates at the species and infraspecific levels has benefited from the development of sequence-based phylogenetic methods, including the highly reproducible and portable multilocus sequence typing (MLST) approach. A genotyping strategy based on five genes was first developed in 2005, but it did not have sufficient resolution to distinguish the various biotypes of \textit{Y. enterocolitica} [21]. Later on, a seven-gene MLST scheme was set up to differentiate the three human pathogenic species (\textit{Y. enterocolitica}, \textit{Y. pseudotuberculosis} and \textit{Y. pestis}), but this scheme was not applicable at the genus level [22]. Finally, a genus-wide seven-gene MLST scheme was developed [23], and allowed both the identification of \textit{Yersinia} species and the differentiation of \textit{Y. enterocolitica} biotypes; thus, representing a relevant alternative to the reference phenotypic method, especially for metabolically atypical isolates. However, classical MLST records variation at approximately 1/1000 of the \textit{Yersinia} full genome sequence length, which limits its discriminatory power and restricts phylogenetic information. Whole-genome sequences can now be obtained readily using high-throughput sequencing technology and can be leveraged for core-genome MLST (cgMLST) genotyping [24], which provides much improved resolution and phylogenetic precision, as shown for some other bacterial pathogens [25–28].

In this work, we aimed to develop a \textit{Yersinia} genus-wide strain identification and characterization method based on cgMLST. A phylogenetic analysis using genomes from public sequence repositories combined with genomes of isolates received routinely at the French National Reference Laboratory for \textit{Yersinia} species, as illustrated herein, and will facilitate the genetic relatedness studies that are required when there is suspicion of an outbreak.

\textbf{Impact Statement}

High-quality genomic sequences of bacterial isolates can now be obtained rapidly and affordably, opening up new perspectives for isolate identification and epidemiological investigation. In our study, we developed a genomic sequence analysis method applicable to all members of the genus \textit{Yersinia} for identification of clinical, veterinary, food or environmental isolates at species and strain levels. We have made this bioinformatics procedure accessible online to enable the reliable identification of \textit{Yersinia} isolates and to evaluate their pathogenic potential from their assembled genome sequences. The sharing of reference genomic sequence data and using a unified genotyping scheme will advance the discovery of novel \textit{Yersinia} species, as illustrated herein, and will facilitate the genetic relatedness studies that are required when there is suspicion of an outbreak.

\textbf{METHODS}

\textbf{Isolate collection}

All \textit{Yersinia} isolates came from the strain collection of the French \textit{Yersinia} National Reference Laboratory (YNRL), which comprises more than 41000 strains, including members of all known \textit{Yersinia} species (except \textit{Y. pestis}). Most strains (~26000; 63%) were isolated in France, whereas ~15000 strains came from other countries throughout the world. Additionally, the \textit{Yersinia} Research Unit (Institut Pasteur, Paris) maintains a collection of ~1800 \textit{Y. pestis} strains isolated worldwide.

\textbf{Phenotypic characterization}

\textit{Yersinia} isolates were identified at the YRNL using API20E and API50CH strips, twin-esterase activity [13], pyrazinamidase activity [12] and mannitol-mobility at 28°C. \textit{Y. enterocolitica} strains were biotyped according to Wauters biogrouping scheme [15]. \textit{Y. enterocolitica} and \textit{Y. pseudotuberculosis} strains were serotyped with a set of 47 and 5 O-antigen-specific rabbit antisera, respectively [31]. Identification of \textit{Y. pestis} isolates included a specific phage lysis test [32].
Genomic sequencing

A total of 802 isolates from the YNRL were sequenced using an Illumina NextSeq 500 instrument. DNA extraction was performed using a PureLink genomic DNA mini kit (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s instructions, except that DNA was eluted in 150 µl H₂O (Ambion). Sequencing libraries were prepared using a Nextera XT DNA library preparation kit (Illumina). Paired-end reads of 150 nt were obtained using the Mid Output or High Output kits (Illumina). Trimming and clipping were performed using AlienTrimmer v0.4.0 [33]. Redundant or over-represented reads were reduced using the khmer software package v1.3 [34]. Finally, sequencing errors were corrected using Musket v1.1 [35]. A de novo assembly was performed for each strain using SPAdes v3.12.0 [36] with the pre-processed reads. A minimum sequencing depth of 50× was obtained for each genome. Contigs with significantly low coverage compared to the others were discarded as putative contaminants. On average, genomes were assembled into 208 contigs (min=20; max=2051) with a total size of 4.6 Mb (min=3.6 Mb; max=5.4 Mb) and with an N50 value of 86065 (min=18825; max=490559).

Constitution of a genome dataset

A total of 544 publicly available assembled genomes were downloaded in February 2016 (Tables 1 and S1, available with the online version of this article) from the GenBank repository, representing all Yersinia species according to the recognized taxonomy at that time, except Y. entomophaga. In addition, the genome sequences of 802 Yersinia isolates received at the YNRL (Tables 1 and S1), and previously characterized phenotypically, were determined and used in this work. Together, this constituted a reference dataset of 1346 assembled genomes (Tables 1 and S1). Finally, the cgMLST scheme was validated on 1843 additional isolates received at the YNRL between 2016 and mid-2017 that were sequenced and phenotyped in parallel.

Design of the Yersinia cgMLST scheme

From the 1346 reference genomes, 200 genomes representative of genus Yersinia diversity were selected in the following way. Genome assemblies made up of more than 500 contigs with N50 values below 10000 nt were discarded. From the remaining genomes, we calculated pairwise genome distances using the program andi [37]. From the resulting matrix, we defined 200 clusters based on an UPGMA (unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean) hierarchical clustering. For each cluster, one representative with the largest N50 value was selected, leading to a subset of 200 phylogenetically representative genomes (Table S1). A total of 1672 genes present in more than 95% of these 200 representative genomes was defined as the core genes. For each of these core genes, a file containing all alleles (typically between 50 and 100) was generated. Each file was parsed, and genes were removed if (i) a character other than A, T, G or C was present in any of the sequences, (ii) the gene had a parologue or (iii) there was a gap of more than 6 nt in the multiple sequence alignment. These filtering criteria led to the selection of 500 core genes deemed suitable for cgMLST analysis.

Phylogenetic analyses

In order to perform a phylogenetic analysis of the genus Yersinia, a representative subset was built from the 3878 Yersinia genomes available in our database. First, this set of isolates was partitioned into 30 clusters based on a UPGMA clustering from the pairwise dissimilarities between allelic profiles. Second, as these 30 clusters were of varying size \( n \) \((n=1\text{to }1849 \text{ isolates})\), each was partitioned into 7 \( \log_{10} n \) UPGMA sub-clusters, and the isolate with the smallest.
number of missing alleles was selected within each sub-cluster, leading to a final set of 236 isolates representative of the genus *Yersinia*. For each of the 500 genes of the cgMLST scheme, the corresponding allele sequences were translated, and a multiple sequence alignment was performed using MAFFT v7.407 [38]. The 500 multiple sequence alignments were concatenated into a unique supermatrix of 139,050 amino acid characters that was used to infer a maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree using IQ-TREE v1.6.3 [39] with the evolutionary model JTT+F+R5 selected by minimizing the BIC criterion [40]. Following a similar approach, datasets within *Y. enterocolitica* and *Y. pseudotuberculosis* species led to two supermatrices of characters for 246 (+28 outgroup) and 294 (+20 outgroup) representative isolates, respectively, that were phylogenetically analysed with the evolutionary models JTT+F+R3 and JTT+F+R2, respectively.

**Average nucleotide identity (ANI) estimation**

Using the 236 representative *Yersinia* genomes (see above), the ANI was estimated for each pair of isolates using fastANI v1.1 [41].

**BIGSdb**

A database was created for the genus *Yersinia* in the Institut Pasteur MLST and whole-genome MLST resource (https://bigdbs.pasteur.fr), which uses the BIGSdb software tool, designed to store and analyse sequence data for bacterial isolates [29, 30]. All *de novo* assembled genomes were uploaded into the isolates database, and the reference alleles of the 500 cgMLST loci were defined in the linked database of reference sequences and profiles (’seqdef’). Using BIGSdb functionalities, a scan of the genome sequence was performed for each isolate using parameters (min 80% identity, min 80% alignment, BLASTN word size of 20 nt) to check for the presence of each core gene and to determine its allele number. Allelic profiles identifiers (cgST) were defined for genomes with 50 or fewer missing alleles. The BIGSdb – *Yersinia* database of cgMLST profiles is accessible at https://bigdbs.pasteur.fr/yersinia/.

**Taxonomic assignment**

To assign *Yersinia* isolates to taxonomic categories based on their cgMLST allelic profiles, a set of allelic difference proportion cut-offs was determined at different levels of the *Yersinia* phylogenetic structure. For this, a minimum spanning tree was built from the pairwise dissimilarities between allelic profiles containing fewer than 50 missing alleles. For a given group of isolates (e.g. species), the corresponding subtree was extracted from the minimum spanning tree and the length of its largest edge was defined as the cut-off associated with this group of isolates.

**Validation of the cgMLST method**

For 1843 isolates received prospectively between 2016 and mid-2017 at the YNRL, taxonomic assignment obtained using the cgMLST method was compared to the phenotypic characterization performed in parallel. The above-defined stringent thresholds used to define species or lineages were re-evaluated based on isolates with no taxonomic assignment (Fig. S1). For isolates presenting discrepancies between the two characterization methods, phenotyping was repeated.

**Seven-gene MLST**

Genomes were scanned for the seven loci of the genus-wide MLST scheme of McNally and colleagues [23]. From February 15th 2019, for each of the seven MLST loci, the alleles were downloaded from the PubMLST website (https://pubmlst.org/yersinia/), translated and aligned at the amino acid level using MAFFT v7.407 [38]. Each of the seven multiple amino acid sequence alignments was converted into a position-specific score matrix (PSSM) [42] using BLAST+ v2.6.0 [43]. For each of the 236 representative *Yersinia* genomes (see above), the seven MLST alleles were searched with TBLASTN by using the corresponding PSSM as query, and extracted. The seven allele files were aligned using MAFFT, concatenated and phylogenetically analysed using IQ-TREE v1.6.3 [39] with the evolutionary model TIMe+I+G4 selected by minimizing the BIC criterion [40].

**Time-to-results**

The time-to-results of the cgMLST and phenotypic approaches were computed based on 1440 and 1113 isolates, respectively. cgMLST timing started with the date of receipt, and included strain isolation and growth, DNA extraction/purification, library preparation and sequencing on the sequencing core facility of Institut Pasteur, Paris (France), as well as demultiplexing, pre-processing, assembly and cgMLST allele calling. Phenotypic time-to-result was calculated from isolate receipt to taxonomic and bioserotype assignment as described above. To compare the time-to-results of both methods, a Student’s *t*-test was performed.

**RESULTS**

**Phylogenetic structure of the genus *Yersinia***

A phylogenetic analysis of 236 genomes representing the diversity of the genus *Yersinia* was performed based on the concatenation of 500 multiple sequence alignments, revealing a neat structuration of *Yersinia* into strongly demarcated clades (Fig. 1). Although most lineages fitted with phenotypic species assignments, a number of taxonomic inconsistencies and yet undescribed *Yersinia* groups were uncovered. The potential taxonomic rank of each demarcated clade was, therefore, evaluated based on ANI (Table S2) in the light of the proposed bacterial species delineation cut-off of 95–96% ANI [44, 45]. As a first step, the use of a stringent delineation cut-off value of 96% ANI led to 26 groups being defined, labelled as follows: *Yersinia aldovae; Yersinia alek- siciae; Yersinia bercovieri; Y. enterocolitica; Y. entomophaga; Yersinia frederiksenii 1, 2 and 3; Y. intermedia; Yersinia kristensenii 1, 2 and 3; Yersinia massiliensis* lineages 1 and 2; *Yersinia mollaretii* lineages 1 and 2; *Yersinia nurmii; Yersinia pekkananii; Y. pseudotuberculosis* (also containing *Y. wautersii* and *Y. pestis* isolates); *Yersinia rohdei; Y. ruckeri; Y. similis;
and NEW1 to NEW4 (Fig. 1, Table S2). Second, taxa with current standing in *Yersinia* taxonomy, but belonging to the same ANI group as other taxa (*Y. pestis* and *Y. wautersii*), were individualized as distinct groups. Therefore, genomes of our dataset were classified into 28 groups in total. Note that this classification is not a taxonomic proposal, but is used instead as an operational classification. The correspondence between phenotypic and genome-based phylogenetic classifications is summarized in Table 2 and provided for individual isolates in Table S1. We hereafter summarize these observations.

Non-human-pathogenic species *Y. nurmii*, *Y. entomophaga*, *Y. ruckeri*, *Y. aldovae*, *Y. pekkanenii*, *Y. intermedia*, *Y. aleksiciae*, *Y. bercovieri* and *Y. rohdei* each corresponded to a single clade (Fig. 1). In general, they were represented by a few isolates or showed little phylogenetic substructure. ANI values obtained...
Table 2. Correspondence between genotypic and phenotypic characterization

| Genotypic characterization | Phenotypic characterization |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Species                    | Lineage | Species | Infra-specific category |
| Y. enterocolitica          | 1Aa     | Y. enterocolitica | Biotype 1A |
|                           | 1Ab     | Y. enterocolitica | Biotype 1A |
|                           | 1B      | Y. enterocolitica | Biotype 1B |
|                           | 2/3-9a  | Y. enterocolitica | Biotype 2/O:9 |
|                           | 2/3-9b  | Y. enterocolitica | Biotype 2/O:9 |
|                           | 2/3-5a  | Y. enterocolitica | Bioserotype 2–3/O:5,27 |
|                           | 2/3-5b  | Y. enterocolitica | Bioserotype 3/O:0 |
|                           | 3–3a    | Y. enterocolitica | Bioserotype 3/O:3 |
|                           | 3–3b    | Y. enterocolitica | Bioserotype 3/O:3 |
|                           | 3–3c    | Y. enterocolitica | Bioserotype 3/O:3 |
|                           | 3–3d    | Y. enterocolitica | Bioserotype 3/O:3 |
|                           | 4       | Y. enterocolitica | Bioserotype 4/O:3 |
|                           | 5       | Y. enterocolitica | Biotype 5 |
| Y. pseudotuberculosis     | 1 to 32 | Y. pseudotuberculosis | 21 O-serotypes |
| Y. pestis                 |         | Y. pestis    |                     |
| Y. mollaretii             | 1 (sublineage 1a and 1b) | Y. mollaretii |                     |
|                           | 2       | Y. mollaretii |                      |
| Y. frederiksenii          | 1       | Y. frederiksenii | Diverse serotypes  |
|                           | 2       | Y. frederiksenii | Diverse serotypes  |
|                           | 3       | Y. frederiksenii | Diverse serotypes  |
|                           | 4       | Y. frederiksenii | Diverse serotypes  |
| Y. kristensenii           | 1       | Y. kristensenii | Diverse serotypes  |
|                           | 2       | Y. kristensenii | Diverse serotypes  |
|                           | 3       | Y. kristensenii | Diverse serotypes  |
| Y. massiliensis           | 1       | Y. massiliensis |                     |
|                           | 2       | Y. massiliensis |                     |
| Y. aldovae                |         | Y. aldovae   |                     |
| Y. aleksicica             |         | Y. aleksicica|                     |
| Y. bercovieri             |         | Y. bercovieri|                     |
| Y. entomophaga            |         | Y. entomophaga|                     |
| Y. intermedia             |         | Y. intermedia|                     |
| Y. nurmii                 |         | Y. nurmii    |                     |

Table 2. Continued

| Genotypic characterization | Phenotypic characterization |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Species                    | Lineage | Species | Infra-specific category |
| Y. pekkanenii             |         | Y. pekkanenii |                     |
| Y. rohdei                  |         | Y. rohdei   |                     |
| Y. ruckeri                 |         | Y. ruckeri  |                     |
| Y. simulis                 |         | Y. simulis  |                     |
| Y. wautersii               |         | Y. wautersii|                     |
| NEW 1 (Y. hibernica)       |         | Yersinia sp.|                     |
| NEW 2                      |         | Yersinia sp.|                     |
| NEW 3                      |         | Y. enterocolitica | Biotype 1A |
| NEW 4                      |         | Y. enterocolitica | Biotype 1A |

within each group were all >96.6%. In contrast, the maximum ANI value observed between the members of these species and their closest relatives was 94.7% (Table S1). These observations are concordant with the species status of these nine *Yersinia* members.

Isolates identified as *Y. mollaretii* based on phenotypic characterization fell into two main lineages, one of them being further subdivided into two sublineages (Fig. 1). We defined lineage 1 as the one containing the type strain ATCC43969^T^, ANI values between the three lineages or sublineages were 95.6–96.6% on average, whereas values within each of them were >98%. The results show that *Y. mollaretii* represents a single clade, which could possibly be subdivided into three species and/or subspecies [46].

Isolates identified as *Y. frederiksenii* based on phenotypic characterization fell into four lineages (Fig. 1). *Y. frederiksenii* lineage 1 was defined as comprising the type strain ATCC33641^T^, whereas lineages 2 and 3 were closely related to lineage 1, lineage 4 was in fact associated with *Y. massiliensis* (Fig. 1). ANI values among lineages 1 to 3 were 89.1% on average, whereas ANI was >98.4% within each lineage (Table S2). Lineage 4 (=*Y. massiliensis* lineage 2 in Fig. 1) displayed ANI values of 95.8% on average with isolates phenotypically characterized as *Y. massiliensis*, including the type strain CIP109351^T^, whereas each of these two groups was homogeneous (ANI >98.6%). While isolates of *Y. frederiksenii* lineage 4 are positive for rhamnose fermentation, *Y. massiliensis* isolates are negative. This result shows that *Y. frederiksenii* lineage 4 may be defined as a rhamnose-positive subspecies of *Y. massiliensis*, which we therefore labelled as *Y. massiliensis* lineage 2 (Fig. 1). Altogether, isolates that are currently identified phenotypically as *Y. frederiksenii* correspond to four separate clades that could be regarded as distinct species.

Isolates that were identified phenotypically as *Y. kristensenii* were monophyletic and subdivided into three main lineages.
Pathogenic members from a non-pathogenic ancestor [47].

Enterocolitica two lineages represented the earliest diverging branches of Y. enterocolitica into two separate clades, which we call 1Aa and 1Ab. These identified as biotype 1A by phenotypic characterization fell within (99.3% within NEW 4), and were 93.9 and 94.2%, and 3–3d are proposed. Whereas 3–3c and 3–3d were associated with the biotype 3 clade, sublineages 3–3a and 3–3b were associated with sublineages 2–3–9a and 2–3–9b, respectively.

Population structure of the Y. pseudotuberculosis complex

The Y. pseudotuberculosis species complex comprises Y. similis, Y. pseudotuberculosis, Y. pestis and Y. wautersii [11]. These four taxa clustered in a single clade in the Yersinia phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1). Non-pathogenic Y. similis isolates fell into a lineage that emerged first, with the three other species being tightly associated, consistent with previous works [11, 48]. ANI values (Table S2) among Y. similis isolates were >99.4%, and they differed by 95.0% on average with isolates from the three other species. These results support Y. similis as a separate species [7]. To provide details on the phylogenetic relationships among Y. wautersii, Y. pseudotuberculosis and Y. pestis isolates, an analysis was conducted with 294 strains from the Y. pseudotuberculosis complex (Fig. 3). Y. wautersii isolates fell into an early branching lineage clearly separated from the Y. pseudotuberculosis+Y. pestis clade. ANI values (Table S2) between these two lineages were 97.5% on average (>99.2% within each lineage), illustrating that Y. wautersii was defined at the species rank despite being more related to Y. pseudotuberculosis than the 95–96% cut-off value. Isolates phenotypically characterized as Y. pseudotuberculosis or Y. pestis fell into 33 different sublineages, one of which comprised all Y. pestis isolates (Fig. 3). Considering its extreme pathogenicity and its peculiar ecological niche, the Y. pestis sublineage remains defined as a separate species, even though it emerged from within Y. pseudotuberculosis as recognized previously [47, 49, 50]. The 32 other sublineages making up the Y. pseudotuberculosis population structure were analysed with respect to the distribution of O-serotypes. Several individual O-serotypes were observed in different sublineages. The most conspicuous case is serotype O:1, which was widely distributed across sublineages; but this was also the case for serotypes O:2 to O:5. Besides, isolates of a single sublineage (e.g. 5, 8, 11 and 14) could differ in their O-serotypes, cgMLST scheme, definition of thresholds and taxonomic assignment.

To capture the phylogenetic structure of Yersinia using cgMLST, an intuitive and highly reproducible bacterial strain genotyping method was implemented [24, 26], for which species- and sublineage-specific thresholds were defined (see Methods and Fig. S1). This set of cut-off values allowed all reference isolates to be grouped in agreement with their phylogenetic classification. To evaluate this method for strain identification, 1843 Yersinia isolates received prospectively at the YNRL between 2016 and mid-2017 were analysed by the automatic cgMLST taxonomic assignment procedure. Details of the isolates used for this evaluation are presented in Tables 3 and 4. For 1814 (98.4%) isolates, genotypic assignment was consistent with phenotypic characterization (Table 3). Taxonomic assignments showed that the validation dataset comprised 669 non-pathogenic Yersinia isolates belonging to nine different species, 1116 pathogenic Y. enterocolitica isolates and 27 Y. pseudotuberculosis isolates.

Only 29 (1.6%) isolates received a genotypic assignment that was discordant with phenotypic identification. Based on phenotypic characterization, these isolates belong mainly
Fig. 2. Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree of *Y. enterocolitica* species based on 500 concatenated multiple sequence alignments. The tree was rooted with isolates from the groups NEW 3 and NEW 4 (not shown). Only bootstrap-based branch support values >70% are shown. Bar, 0.001 amino acid substitutions per character.
Fig. 3. Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree of *Y. pseudotuberculosis*, *Y. wautersii* and *Y. pestis* based on 500 concatenated multiple sequence alignments. The tree was rooted with *Y. similis* (not shown). Only bootstrap-based branch support values >70% are shown. Bar, 0.001 amino acid substitutions per character. AAG, Auto-agglutinable; NAG, non-agglutinable; NT, non-typable as *Y. pestis* does not harbour the O-antigen; O, O antigen serotype; Unk, unknown serotype.

Phylogenetic tree of the genus *Yersinia* based on seven-gene MLST

Classical seven-gene MLST can be used without access to high-throughput sequencing. Therefore, we evaluated the genus-wide seven-gene MLST scheme developed by McNally and colleagues [23] on the 236 *Yersinia* genomes used to construct the 500-gene-based phylogenetic tree. The results showed that most clades, including potential novel species (see above), are neatly distinguished. Of note, *Y. wautersii* isolates did not belong to a single clade based on seven-gene MLST data, in contrast to cgMLST results (Fig. 1). Moreover, *Y. pekkanenii* and NEW 1+NEW 2 clades were not positioned at the same locations in the two trees (Figs 1 and S2), with higher bootstrap support values observed based on the 500 genes. These results show that seven-gene MLST is a reliable approach for *Yersinia* identification, although it is expected
Table 3. Validation of the genotypic characterization

Consistent characterization with both methods was found for 1814 strains (out of 1843).

| Species          | Biotype or serotype | No. | Genotypic characterization | Species | Lineage | No. |
|------------------|---------------------|-----|----------------------------|---------|---------|-----|
| Y. aleksicaiae   |                     | 2   | Y. aleksicaiae             | 2       |         |     |
| Y. bercovieri    |                     | 10  | Y. bercovieri              | 10      |         |     |
| Y. frederiksenii |                     | 45  | Y. frederiksenii 1         | 3       |         |     |
|                  |                     |     | Y. frederiksenii 2         | 34      |         |     |
|                  |                     |     | Y. frederiksenii 3         | 8       |         |     |
| Y. intermedia    |                     | 18  | Y. intermedia              | 18      |         |     |
| Y. kristensenii  |                     | 7   | Y. kristensenii 1          | 3       |         |     |
|                  |                     |     | Y. kristensenii 3          | 4       |         |     |
| Y. massiliensis  |                     | 1   | Y. massiliensis            | 1       |         |     |
| Y. mollaretti    |                     | 4   | Y. mollaretti              | 4       |         |     |
| Y. rohdei        |                     | 9   | Y. rohdei                  | 9       |         |     |
| Y. enterocolitica| 1A                  | 573 | Y. enterocolitica          | 1Aa     | 565     |     |
|                  | 2/O:5–27            | 16  | Y. enterocolitica          | 2/3-5a  | 16      |     |
|                  | 2/O:9               | 147 | Y. enterocolitica          | 2/3-9a  | 11      |     |
|                  |                     |     |                            | 2/3-9b  | 136     |     |
|                  | 3/O:3               | 3   | Y. enterocolitica          | 3-3b    | 2       |     |
|                  |                     |     |                            | 3-3c    | 1       |     |
|                  | 4/O:3               | 950 | Y. enterocolitica          | 4       | 950     |     |
| Y. pseudotuberculosis | O:3 | 1 | Y. pseudotuberculosis | 14       | 1       |     |
|                  | O:1                 | 26  | Y. pseudotuberculosis      | 2       | 3       |     |
|                  |                     |     |                            | 4       | 2       |     |
|                  |                     |     |                            | 7       | 4       |     |
|                  |                     |     |                            | 10      | 5       |     |
|                  |                     |     |                            | 12      | 2       |     |
|                  |                     |     |                            | 15      | 6       |     |
|                  |                     |     |                            | 16      | 3       |     |
|                  |                     |     |                            | 17      | 1       |     |
| Yersinia sp.     |                     | 2   | NEW                         | 2       |         |     |
| Total            |                     | 1814|                            | 1814    |         |     |

DISCUSSION

By analysing the largest set of whole-genome sequences representing the diversity of Yersinia to date, we have provided an updated view of the phylogenetic structure of this important bacterial genus. Our phylogenetic analysis confirms the neat demarcation of Yersinia into clearly distinct clades, most of which correspond to previously defined species. However, this work also uncovered a number of clades that may represent entirely novel species, or novel subdivisions within existing taxa. Overall, we identified eight novel clades that appear to represent new species based on the current ANI-based
Table 4. Correspondence between the genotypic and phenotypic characterizations for the 29 non-concordant strains (out of 1843)

| Phenotypic characterization | Genotypic characterization |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Species                   | Biotype or serotype | No. | Species     | Lineage | No. |
| Y. enterocolitica         | 1A               | 21  | NEW4        |         | 21  |
| Y. frederiksenii          | 4                |     | Y. massiliensis | 2      | 4   |
| Y. enterocolitica         | 1A/O:5           | 1   | Y. frederiksenii | 2      | 1   |
| Y. enterocolitica         | 2/O:27           | 1   | Y. enterocolitica | 3–3c   | 1   |
|                           | 2/O:5–27         | 1   | Y. enterocolitica | 1Ab    | 1   |
|                           | 3/O:3            | 1   | Y. enterocolitica | 4      | 1   |
| Total                     |                  | 29  |             |         | 29  |

Some of the clades or sublineages distinguished by cgMLST concur with new subgroups previously recognized using other methods. For instance, Reuter et al. [47] described that Y. frederiksenii strains were separated into two species clusters, SC8 and 9, which appear to correspond to Y. frederiksenii lineage 3 and lineage 1, respectively (Fig. 1). Moreover, strains previously classified as species cluster 14 [47] and Y. massiliensis genomospecies 2 [51] correspond to our Y. frederiksenii lineage 4/Y. massiliensis lineage 2. Finally, the recently described novel species Y. hibernica [10] corresponds to clade NEW 1.

Reference phenotyping approaches failed to recognize most novel clades and lineages. Occasional evolution of biochemical traits within species can result in some strains presenting atypical phenotypes, sometimes leading to misidentification, and isolates with the same phenotype may actually belong to different species on the genome level (Table S1). This clearly illustrates the limitations of current phenotypic characterizations of some of the Yersinia species. As phenotypic characterization, which is currently the most widely used approach, is labour-intensive and time-consuming (full characterization requires 7 to 8 days), alternative reference identification methods are clearly needed, rather than developing novel discriminant biochemical traits.

The phenotypic Vitek GN card or MS (MALDI-TOF) represent alternative identification methods, but are not fully reliable for Yersinia species [52, 53]. In addition, phenotypic identification at the infra-specific level and, thus, prediction of a strain’s pathogenic potential, is not possible. For instance, neither species of the Y. pseudotuberculosis complex nor biotype 1A nor 1B strains of Y. enterocolitica can be distinguished using MALDI-TOF MS [11, 17, 20]. Moreover, reference database updates would be needed for these methods to allow identification of novel taxa.
We implemented a genus-wide cgMLST genotyping system based on 500 highly conserved protein-encoding genes that were assembled with no nucleotide ambiguities, had no paralogue and presented limited size variation. These stringent criteria were used to maximize genotyping reliability and, therefore, led to a subset of genes much smaller than the actual core genome of Yersinia. Whereas cgMLST schemes with larger number of core genes are typically used to maximize discrimination for epidemiology and surveillance of single species [26, 54], the purpose of our cgMLST scheme is species-level and bioserotype-level identifications. Although most Y. enterocolitica cases are sporadic, some outbreaks have been described and subtyping methods were operational in these cases to identify contamination sources [55–57]. Whether our 500-gene cgMLST scheme could also be a useful tool in epidemiological investigations of Yersinia outbreaks remains to be evaluated.

The cgMLST approach presents the advantages of automation, standardization, reproducibility and simplicity of interpretation, which are important criteria for the use of genome sequence data in clinical microbiology [24, 58]. Using cgMLST genotypes (allelic profiles), we defined species- and lineage-specific thresholds for identification of Yersinia strains. While the initial definition of thresholds was based on the maximum cgMLST distance observed within groups of reference isolates, these thresholds were relaxed based on observed maximal distances (Table S3) when analysing prospective isolates. This allowed us to increase the identification rate and could be further adapted in the future if more distant genotypes are encountered within some lineages (Table S4). Given that Yersinia is so strongly structured phylogenetically, it is possible to increase the identification thresholds with no negative impact on reliability. Our evaluation using a prospective set of 1843 genomes produced in the framework of a national surveillance programme demonstrated the power of this approach for Yersinia identification at species- and infra-species bio-serotype levels. Furthermore, our cgMLST approach allows the clear distinction of all the species of Y. pseudotuberculosis complex (Y. pestis, Y. similis, Y. wautersii and Y. pseudotuberculosis). The correspondence established in our system between phenotypic and genotypic characterization (Tables 2 and S4) enables backward compatibility [58–60] of the cgMLST strategy with classical Yersinia characterization, which is still extensively used worldwide. Furthermore, the cgMLST approach enables unambiguous classification of phenotypically atypical isolates. To make the cgMLST approach broadly available, the Yersinia BIGSdb was set up and made available at https://bigsdb.pasteur.fr/yersinia/. Genomic sequences can be analysed directly from the BIGSdb interface (Fig. S3). Alternately, cgMLST profiles and their attached identification information can be downloaded locally and used internally for confidential characterization of Yersinia genomic sequences. A recent survey revealed that national reference laboratories are increasingly using whole-genome sequence typing methods for surveillance of communicable diseases [61]. The method developed here has the potential to become a universally shared reference method for the identification of Yersinia isolates worldwide.
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