The E3-ligases SCF$^{Ppa}$ and APC/C$^{Cdh1}$ co-operate to regulate CENP-A$^{CID}$ expression across the cell cycle
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ABSTRACT

Centromere identity is determined by the specific deposition of CENP-A, a histone H3 variant localizing exclusively at centromeres. Increased CENP-A expression, which is a frequent event in cancer, causes mislocalization, ectopic kinetochore assembly and genomic instability. Proteolysis regulates CENP-A expression and prevents its misincorporation across chromatin. How proteolysis restricts CENP-A localization to centromeres is not well understood. Here we report that, in Drosophila, CENP-A$^{CID}$ expression levels are regulated throughout the cell cycle by the combined action of SCF$^{Ppa}$ and APC/C$^{Cdh1}$. We show that SCF$^{Ppa}$ regulates CENP-A$^{CID}$ expression in G1 and, importantly, in S-phase preventing its promiscuous incorporation across chromatin during replication. In G1, CENP-A$^{CID}$ expression is also regulated by APC/C$^{Cdh1}$. We also show that Cal1, the specific chaperone that deposits CENP-A$^{CID}$ at centromeres, protects CENP-A$^{CID}$ from SCF$^{Ppa}$-mediated degradation but not from APC/C$^{Cdh1}$-mediated degradation. These results suggest that, whereas SCF$^{Ppa}$ targets the fraction of CENP-A$^{CID}$ that is not in complex with Cal1, APC/C$^{Cdh1}$ mediates also degradation of the Cal1-CENP-A$^{CID}$ complex and, thus, likely contributes to the regulation of centromeric CENP-A$^{CID}$ deposition.

INTRODUCTION

Centromere identity is determined epigenetically by the specific deposition at centromeres of the histone H3 variant CENP-A (also called CenH3) (reviewed in (1–6)). Several mechanisms ensure that CENP-A is deposited only at centromeres. Centromeric CENP-A deposition is replication-independent (7,8) and, in most studied cases, occurs in G1 (9–14). CENP-A deposition requires the contribution of licensing factors, such as M18BP1 that recognizes centromeric chromatin and modifies it for deposition (15–19), and specific CENP-A chaperones, such as Scm3 in yeasts (20–22), Cal1 in Drosophila (23–28) and HJURP in vertebrates (29–31). In mammals, Cdk1/2 phosphorylates M18BP1 and HJURP inhibiting CENP-A loading outside of G1 (32). These mechanisms are overcome when CENP-A is overexpressed in yeast, Drosophila and mammalian cells, leading to its misincorporation across chromatin (33–42). In mammalian cells, ectopic CENP-A deposition depends on the H3.3 chaperone DAXX (43). Mistargeting of CENP-A to non-centromeric sites has important consequences since it leads to ectopic kinetochore formation, chromosome instability and aneuploidy (37,44–46). In this regard, increased CENP-A expression has been reported in several tumors, correlating with high aggressiveness and invasiveness (44,47–52). Therefore, it is of great importance to better understand the mechanisms that regulate CENP-A expression and stability.

Proteolytic degradation has been shown to regulate CENP-A expression in yeast and Drosophila, acting as a safeguard mechanism that prevents CENP-A misincorporation across chromatin (35,38). In yeast, four different E3-ubiquitin ligases have been reported to be involved in CENP-A$^{Ces4}$ degradation (53–56). In Drosophila, the F-box protein Partner-of-paired (Ppa), which is a variable subunit of the E3-ubiquitin ligase SCF, has been shown to interact with CENP-A$^{CID}$ and down-regulate its expression, preventing its ectopic deposition at non-centromeric sites (57). However, how these proteolytic activities regulate CENP-A stability across the cell cycle and contribute to its timely deposition at centromeres remains largely unknown. Here we analyze these questions in vivo in Drosophila and identify APC/C$^{Cdh1}$ as a second major E3-ubiquitin ligase that, together with SCF$^{Ppa}$, regulates CENP-A$^{CID}$ stability during cell cycle progression.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Antibodies
Rabbit polyclonal αCENP-A<sup>CID</sup> is described in (38). Rabbit polyclonal αCal1 is a gift from Dr Erhardt and is described in (73). The rest of antibodies used in these experiments are commercially available: mouse monoclonal αGFP (Roche, 11 814 460 001), rabbit polyclonal αActin (Sigma, A2066), rat monoclonal αElav (DSHB, 7E8A10), rabbit polyclonal αPH3 (Millipore, 06-570), mouse monoclonal αProspero (DSHB, MR1A) and mouse monoclonal αCut (DSHB, 2B10).

Stable S2 cell lines

*ppa* promoter (nucleotide position +1 to −1000) and *ppa* cDNA (the 3′ UTR included) were obtained from genomic DNA by PCR-amplification using appropriate primers and cloned into pEGFP-C1 (Clontech) to generate plasmid pGFP-Ppa, which expresses GFP::Ppa under the control of the *ppa* promoter. To obtain stable cell lines, *Drosophila* S2 cells were grown under standard conditions (in Schneider’s medium (Sigma) supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco), 100 mg/ml Streptomycin and 100 mg/ml Penicillin at 25°C) and transfected by the calcium phosphate method (74) with pGFP-Ppa. After 48 h of transfection, 0.8 mg/ml G418 was added for selection.

Fly stocks and genetic procedures

Transgenic UAS-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>::YFP flies are described in (57). *ppa*<sup>RNAi</sup> corresponds to line 9952R-2 from NIGFLY and is described in (57). Transgenic UAS-Cal1 flies were kindly provided by Dr. Lehner and are described in (24). *APC2<sup>RNAi</sup>, Cdh1<sup>RNAi</sup>, Cdc20<sup>RNAi</sup> and *Cal1<sup>RNAi</sup> correspond to lines 106986, 25550, 40500 and 45248 from VDRC, respectively. *ey3.5-GAL4, longGMR-GAL4* and *elav-GAL4* were obtained from the Bloomington Stock Center. *ey3.5-GAL4; UAS-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>::YFP* and *longGMR-GAL4; UAS-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>::YFP* stocks were obtained by conventional genetic crosses and maintained at 18°C or 25°C.

For RNAi-mediated depletion experiments homozygous *ey3.5-GAL4; UAS-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>::YFP* and *longGMR-GAL4; UAS-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>::YFP* flies were crossed at 29°C to the corresponding homozygous RNAi flies and to *white* flies as control, except for *APC2<sup>RNAi</sup>* where crosses were performed at 25°C. After 3 days adult flies were removed and the crosses were kept at the corresponding temperature until larvae reached the third-instar stage (~5–6 days at 29°C and 7–8 days at 25°C). When the effects of *APC2<sup>RNAi</sup>* and *APC10<sup>RNAi</sup>* on endogenous CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> expression levels were determined in third-instar larvae brains, depletion was induced by *elav-GAL4* at 29°C. For Cal1 overexpression in *ppa<sup>RNAi</sup>* or *Cdh1<sup>RNAi</sup>* flies, homozygous *ppa<sup>RNAi</sup>*; UAS-Cal1 and *Cdh1<sup>RNAi</sup>*; UAS-Cal1 stocks were obtained by conventional genetic crosses and maintained at 18°C or 25°C. To analyze the effect on CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>::YFP expression these lines were crossed at 29°C with homozygous *ey3.5-GAL4; UAS-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>::YFP* flies. After three days adult flies were removed and the crosses were kept at 29°C until larvae reached the third-instar stage (~5–6 days).

Measure of eye size

When eye area was measured, adult flies were collected and kept at −20°C for 24 h. Images were collected using a SZX16 stereomicroscope equipped with an Olympus XC50 camera and CellD software. Eye images were measured and analyzed using Fiji software (75).

Fluorescence microscopy analysis

For direct fluorescence visualization, eye imaginal discs and salivary glands from third-instar larva were dissected in PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature, washed in PBS/0.3% Triton X-100 three times for 10 min and once in PBS for 10 min, incubated for 30 min at room temperature with 0.02 ng/μl DAPI in PBS, washed for 5 min in PBS/0.3% Triton X-100 and mounted in Mowiol (Calbiochem-Novabiochem). For immunostaining, eye imaginal discs were dissected and fixed as described above, washed in PBS/0.3% Triton X-100 three times for 10 min and blocked in PBS/0.3% Triton X-100/2% BSA three times for 10 min. Then, discs were incubated overnight at 4°C with the primary antibody diluted in blocking buffer, washed in blocking buffer three times for 10 min, incubated for 2 h at room temperature with secondary antibody in blocking buffer, washed in PBS/0.3% Triton X-100 three times for 10 min and once in PBS for 10 min, incubated for 30 min at room temperature with 0.02 ng/μl DAPI in PBS, washed for 5 min in PBS/0.3% Triton X-100 and mounted in Mowiol (Calbiochem-Novabiochem). For immunostaining to detect endogenous CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>, eye imaginal discs were processed as described above, but, after fixation, 0.25 M NaCl was added to the washes, the blocking and the incubation with the primary antibody. For larvae brains, squashes and immunostainings were performed as described in (57). In S2 cells, direct fluorescence microscopy visualization was performed with cells immobilized onto a slide by centrifugation for 10 min at 500 rpm on low acceleration in a ThermoShandon Cytospin 4 using a single-chamber Cytospin funnel. Slides were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, washed with PBS for 15 min and mounted in Mowiol (Calbiochem-Novabiochem). For immunolocalization with specific antibodies, cells were plated on cover slips treated with Concanavalin-A (Sigma) for 2 h at 25°C. Then they were washed with PBS for 10 min, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min, washed with PBS for 15 min, blocked in PBS/0.1% Triton X-100/0.1% BSA for 20 min and incubated with primary antibodies in blocking solution overnight at 4°C. After incubation, cover slips were washed three times for 10 min with blocking solution and incubated for 1 h at room temperature with secondary antibody diluted in blocking solution. Finally, they were washed twice for 10 min in PBS/0.1% Triton X-100, twice in PBS, and mounted in Mowiol (Calbiochem-Novabiochem) containing 0.2 ng/ml DAPI (Sigma). Primary antibodies were αElav (1:100), αPH3 (1:2000), αCENP-A<sup>CID</sup> (1:300), αProspero (1:10) and αCut (1:100). Secondary antibodies were coupled to Cy3 and Cy5 (Jackson Immunore-
search laboratories) and were used at 1:400 dilutions. Images were collected in a Leica TCS/SPE confocal microscope equipped with LAS/AF software and analyzed with Fiji software (75). To determine nuclei size in salivary glands Fiji software was used to create a mask with DAPI channel and the area of each nucleus was determined. For quantitative analyses of endogenous CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> levels in eye imaginal discs, fluorescence intensity was determined using the Fiji distribution of ImageJ (75). Integrated density of CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> spots were calculated using a mask of CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> channel created from thresholded images on the FeatureJ Laplacian (http://imagescience.org/meijering/software/featurej/) of the regions of interest (anterior or posterior to MF) and running Analyze particles plugin.

**EdU incorporation**

For EdU incorporation experiments, salivary glands from third-instar larvae were dissected in PBS and incubated with 10μM EdU (ThermoFisher Scientific) for 5 min at room temperature. EdU detection was performed with Click-it<sup>™</sup> Plus EdU Alexa Fluor<sup>™</sup> 594 Imaging Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific) following manufacturer’s protocol. DAPI staining, mounting and fluorescence visualization were performed as described above.

**Western blot (WB) analysis**

Total protein extracts were prepared from 35 third-instar larvae salivary glands dissected in PBS. Dissected salivary glands were transferred to 1xPLB/0.05% NP40/2mM PMSF, disrupted by pipetting and boiled 5 min at 95°. Extracts were analyzed by WB with αGFP (1:2000) (to detect the YFP signal), αCal1 (1:2000) and αActin (1:1000) antibodies. Quantitative analyses were carried out with a GS-800 Calibrated Densitometer (Bio-Rad) and Fiji software (75).

**FACS sorting**

For FACS sorting, cells were fixed in 1% paraformaldehyde for 1 h at 4°C, permeabilized with 70% ethanol and stained with 1 μg/ml DAPI (Sigma). Then, cells were sorted in an Aria SORP flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson) with a UV laser.

**RESULTS**

**Ppa regulates CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> expression in G1 and S-phase**

Previous results showed that the E3-ligase SCF<sup>Ppa</sup> regulates CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> stability (57). In general, the activity of SCF complexes is cell cycle regulated (58–61). Thus, we analyzed the effect of SCF<sup>Ppa</sup> on CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> stability across the cell cycle. For this purpose, we took advantage of the cell cycle synchronization that the morphogenetic furrow (MF) induces in the eye imaginal disc of third instar larvae (62,63). MF is a dorso-ventral indentation that moves anterior and induces differentiation. Immediately anterior to MF, asynchronously dividing cells undergo a first synchronized mitosis (FMW). Later, exiting the MF, posterior cells undergo a second synchronized mitosis (SMW), arrest in G1 and differentiate (Figure 1A). In this experimental setting, we induced ectopic expression of a UAS-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-::YFP construct in G1-arrested cells using ey<sub>3.5</sub>-GAL4, which is active posterior to the MF (Supplementary Figure S1A, top). Under these conditions, we detected low CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-::YFP expression (Figure 1B, top). However, simultaneous depletion of Ppa strongly increased CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-::YFP levels in G1-arrested posterior cells (Figure 1B, bottom). This increase was not due to a defect on cell cycle progression and proliferation since Ppa depletion did not significantly affect the number of mitotic cells in the posterior region of eye imaginal discs (Figure 1C) or eye size in adult flies (Figure 1D).

We also analyzed the effect of Ppa depletion on CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-::YFP expression in salivary glands, where ey<sub>3.5</sub>-GAL4 is also active (Supplementary Figure S1B). Salivary gland cells undergo multiple endoreplication cycles in which, after DNA replication, cells skip G2/M and re-enter G1 (64–66). As in the eye imaginal disc, Ppa depletion increased CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-::YFP levels, as determined by both immunofluorescence (IF) (Figure 2A) and western blot (WB) analyses (Figure 2B). EdU-incorporation experiments showed that CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-::YFP levels increased in both EdU-negative G1 cells and replicating EdU-positive cells (Figure 2C). Ppa depletion did not significantly affect the proportion of EdU-positive cells (Figure 2D) or the number of nuclei per gland and their size (Figure 2E), suggesting that it did not significantly affect endocycling of salivary gland cells. These results conﬁrm that Ppa regulates CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> expression in G1 and that, at least in the specialized salivary glands cells, Ppa is also acting during chromatin replication in S-phase.

Next, we performed similar experiments using long<sup>GMR</sup>-GAL4 to induce UAS-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-::YFP expression in the eye imaginal disc cells located most posterior to the MF (Supplementary Figure S1A, bottom). Also in this case, CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-::YFP levels were very low in control flies and increased upon Ppa depletion (Figure 3A). Concomitantly, a necrotic eye phenotype was observed in ∼60% of adult flies (N = 33) (Figure 3B). We observed that cells showing increased CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-::YFP levels did not stain with markers of neuronal and cone cell differentiation (Figure 3C, top and Supplementary Figure S2), suggesting that they corresponded to cells that remain undifferentiated in the larval eye imaginal disc. As a matter of fact, secondary and tertiary pigment cells and mechano-sensory bristles differentiate later at the pupae stage (62,63,67). These undifferentiated cells can eventually undergo mitosis. In this regard, we observed that cells showing increased CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-::YFP levels were not stained with αPH3 (Figure 3C, bottom). Similar results were observed in experiments using ey<sub>3.5</sub>-GAL4. Also in this case, αPH3-positive posterior cells generally showed low CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-::YFP levels (Figure 1E, left). In fact, upon Ppa depletion, cells showing high levels of mislocalized CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-::YFP mainly corresponded to αPH3-negative cells (Figure 1E, right). Altogether these results suggest that Ppa is not regulating CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-::YFP levels in mitosis. In this regard, it is possible that Ppa is not expressed or, alternatively, it is not active during mitosis. Specific αPpa
antibodies that could be used to directly address this question are not available. However, using a stable S2 cell line expressing a GFP::Ppa tagged construct under the control of the Ppa promoter, we detected GFP::Ppa expression in αPH3-positive cells (Supplementary Figure S3A), as well as in G2/M FACS-sorted cells (Supplementary Figure S3B). GFP::Ppa expression was also detected in G1- and S-phase sorted cells (Supplementary Figure S3B). Altogether these results suggest that, although Ppa is apparently expressed throughout the cell cycle, its contribution to the regulation of CENP-ACID stability is restricted to G1 and S-phase.

APC/C also regulates CENP-ACID expression in G1

Results reported above indicate that the effects of Ppa depletion on CENP-ACID::YFP expression are not uniform during cell cycle progression and differentiation. Yet, in those cell types and cell cycle phases where Ppa depletion caused no effect, CENP-ACID::YFP levels remained low, suggesting that additional factors contribute to the regulation of CENP-ACID stability. In this regard, we tested whether APC/C, a major cell cycle regulated E3-ligase (59–61,68), contributes to CENP-ACID stability. We observed that depletion of APC2, an essential APC/C subunit, increased CENP-ACID::YFP levels in salivary glands (Figure 4A and B), without affecting endocycling since it had no significant effects on the number of nuclei per gland and their size (Figure 4E). These results suggest that APC/C also regulates CENP-ACID expression.

APC/C forms two main complexes, APC/C\(\text{Cdc20}\) and APC/C\(\text{Cdh1}\), which are active at different cell cycle phases (59–61,68). APC/C\(\text{Cdc20}\) is active during mitosis and promotes transition from metaphase to anaphase. At the exit from mitosis, Cdh1 replaces Cdc20 and APC/C\(\text{Cdh1}\) remains active through G1, being degraded at the G1-to-S tran-
Figure 2. Ppa regulates CENP-A\(^{\text{CID}}\) expression during DNA replication. (A) The expression of CENP-A\(^{\text{CID}}\)::YFP in salivary glands is determined by direct fluorescence (in green) in control ey3.5\(>\)CENP-A\(^{\text{CID}}\)::YFP flies (top) and Ppa-depleted ey3.5\(>\)CENP-A\(^{\text{CID}}\)::YFP; ppa\(^{RNAi}\) flies (bottom). DNA was stained with DAPI (in white). Scale bars correspond to 100 \(\mu\)m. (B) Western blot (WB) analysis with \(\alpha\)GFP antibodies of the levels of CENP-A\(^{\text{CID}}\)::YFP expression in salivary glands from control ey3.5\(>\)CENP-A\(^{\text{CID}}\)::YFP flies and Ppa-depleted ey3.5\(>\)CENP-A\(^{\text{CID}}\)::YFP; ppa\(^{RNAi}\) flies. Increasing amounts of extract are analyzed (lanes 1–3). \(\alpha\)Actin antibodies were used as loading control. Quantitative analysis of the results is shown in the bottom (\(N=3\); ****\(P\)-value \(< 0.00001\), two-tailed \(t\)-test; error bars are SEM). (C) As in A, but for salivary glands subjected to EdU-incorporation (in red) to detect replicating cells. Arrows indicate EdU-positive cells. Scale bars correspond to 100 \(\mu\)m. (D) The percentage of EdU-positive salivary gland cells is presented for control ey3.5\(>\)CENP-A\(^{\text{CID}}\)::YFP flies (\(N=8\)) and Ppa-depleted ey3.5\(>\)CENP-A\(^{\text{CID}}\)::YFP flies (\(N=12\)) (\(P\)-value \(> 0.01\), two-tailed \(t\)-test; error bars are SEM). (E) In the left, the number of nuclei per gland is presented for control ey3.5\(>\)CENP-A\(^{\text{CID}}\)::YFP flies (\(N=10\)) and Ppa-depleted ey3.5\(>\)CENP-A\(^{\text{CID}}\)::YFP; ppa\(^{RNAi}\) flies (\(N=24\)) (\(P\)-value \(> 0.01\), two-tailed \(t\)-test; error bars are SEM).
Figure 3. Ppa does not regulate CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> expression in differentiated cells in the eye imaginal disc. (A) The expression of CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>::YFP in the eye imaginal disc is determined by direct fluorescence (in green) in control <i>longGMR&gt;CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>::YFP</i> flies (left) and Ppa-depleted <i>longGMR&gt;ppa<sup>RNAi</sup>&gt;CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>::YFP</i> flies (right). DNA was stained with DAPI (in white). The position of the MF is indicated. Scale bars correspond to 25 μm. (B) The eye phenotypes of control <i>longGMR-GAL4</i> flies and Ppa-depleted <i>longGMR-GAL4&gt;ppa<sup>RNAi</sup></i> flies, expressing CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>::YFP (+) or not (−), are presented. Scale bars correspond to 100 μm. In the bottom, the proportions of flies showing strong, mild or no necrotic eye phenotype are presented for the indicated genotypes (<i>N</i> > 56). (C) Immunostaining with ELAV (in blue), which marks neuronal differentiated cells, and PH3 (in red), which marks mitotic cells, of eye imaginal discs from Ppa-depleted <i>longGMR&gt;CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>::YFP; ppa<sup>RNAi</sup></i> flies. CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>::YFP expression is determined by direct fluorescence (in green). DNA was stained with DAPI (in white). The position of the MF is indicated. Scale bar corresponds to 25 μm.

larvae brains using an <i>elav-GAL4</i> driver also increased endogenous CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> levels (Figure 5B). Altogether these results suggest that APC/C<sup>DD</sup> regulates expression of endogenous CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>.

**Call1 protects CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> from Ppa-mediated degradation, but not from Cdh1-mediated degradation**

The interaction with Call1 has been proposed to protect CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> from proteolytic degradation (25,27). However, we observed that CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>::YFP levels were not significantly affected upon Call depletion (Figure 6A and B) or overexpression (Figure 6C and D), which is in contrast to previous results in S2 cells showing reduced endogenous CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> levels upon Call depletion (25,27). To address this apparent contradiction we analyzed the contribution of Call to Ppa- and Cdh1-mediated degradation separately. We observed that Call protected CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>::YFP from Ppa-mediated degradation since in salivary glands from Cdh1-knockdown larvae, where Ppa principally mediates CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>::YFP degradation, Call depletion reduced CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>::YFP levels (Figure 7A and B). Moreover, upon Call overexpression in Cdh1-knockdown glands, IF experiments showed a marked increase of CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>::YFP levels in ∼30% of the glands (<i>N</i> = 21) (Figure 7D, gland in the right of panel Cdh1RNAi; >Cal1). A similar tendency to increase was detected in WB analyses (Figure 7C). On the contrary, in Ppa-knockdown glands, WB analyses showed that Call depletion did not significantly affect CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>::YFP levels (Figure 7E and F), suggesting that Call did not protect CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>::YFP from Cdh1-mediated degradation. Moreover, upon Call overexpression, although global CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>::YFP levels were not affected (Figure 7G), we observed a marked reduction in the number of YFP-positive cells (Figure 7H). This reduction was accompanied by a change in the pattern of localization of CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>::YFP, which showed intense fluorescence at the nucleolus region in the center of the nuclei (71,72) and decreased chromosomal signal (Supplementary Figure S5A). Nucleolar CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>::YFP local-
Altogether these results suggest that the Cal1::EGFP construct confirmed its nucleolar localization in salivary glands (Supplementary Figure S5D).

**DISCUSSION**

Here we have shown that CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> expression is tightly regulated during cell cycle progression through the combined action of SCF<sup>Ppa</sup> and APC/C<sup>Cdh1</sup>. In G1, APC/C<sup>Cdh1</sup> and SCF<sup>Ppa</sup> regulate CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> levels, with SCF<sup>Ppa</sup> acting also in S-phase. The mechanisms regulating CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> expression in mitosis are less well understood. On one hand, although SCF<sup>Ppa</sup> is likely present in mitosis, it does not regulate CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> expression levels. It is possible that Ppa is inactivated or, alternatively, that CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> is resistant to Ppa-mediated degradation in mitosis (see below). On the other hand, CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> levels increase upon Cdc20 depletion, suggesting that APC/C<sup>Cdc20</sup>, which is active in mitosis, regulates CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> expression. However, despite Cdc20 depletion in the eye imaginal disc induced a strong mitotic arrest, increased CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> expression was principally detected in non-mitotic cells. In addition, Cdc20 depletion also increased CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> expression in the endocycling salivary gland cells that do not undergo mitosis.
Figure 5. APC/C regulates endogenous CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} levels. (A) Endogenous CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} expression in the eye imaginal disc is determined by immunostaining with \textit{\(\alpha\)}CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} (in green) in control ey\textsuperscript{3.5} (top) and Cdh1-depleted ey\textsuperscript{3.5}\textgreater\textit{Cdh1RNAi} flies (bottom). Immunostainings with \textit{\(\alpha\)}PH3, which marks mitotic cells, are also presented (in red). DNA was stained with DAPI (in white). The position of the MF is indicated. Scale bar corresponds to 25 \(\mu\)m. In the bottom, the integrated intensity of fluorescence in posterior \textit{versus} anterior cells is presented for control ey\textsuperscript{3.5} flies (\(N = 17\)) and Cdh1-depleted ey\textsuperscript{3.5}\textgreater\textit{Cdh1RNAi} flies (\(N = 13\)) (**\(P\)-value < 0.0001; two-tailed \(t\)-test; errors bars are SEM). (B) Immunostaining with \textit{\(\alpha\)}CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} (in red) of brain squashes from control elav-GAL4 (top), APC2-depleted elav\textgreater\textit{APC2RNAi} (center) and APC10-depleted elav\textgreater\textit{APC10RNAi} larvae (bottom). DNA was stained with DAPI (in blue). Scale bars correspond to 5 \(\mu\)m.

The CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} specific chaperone Cal1 protects CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} from Ppa-mediated degradation, but not from degradation induced by Cdh1. These observations suggest a model by which SCFPpa can degrade only the pool of CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} that is not in complex with Cal1, whereas APC/C\textsuperscript{Cdh1} can degrade CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} in the Cal1-CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} deposition complex. APC/C\textsuperscript{Cdh1} could mediate degradation of the complex itself or, alternatively, of Cal1, rendering CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} free for degradation by SCFPpa. Against this second possibility, we observed that endogenous Cal1 levels were not significantly affected upon Cdh1 depletion (Supplementary Figure S6). APC/C\textsuperscript{Cdh1} mediates degradation of CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} also when it is not in complex with Cal1 since, in Ppa-knockdown conditions, Cal1 depletion did not significantly affect CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} levels. However, APC/C\textsuperscript{Cdh1} appears to degrade CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} more efficiently when it is in complex with Cal1 since, upon Cal1 overexpression in Ppa-depleted glands, the levels of CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} associated with chromosomes were strongly reduced and the remaining CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} accumulated in the nucleolus, where Cdh1 might not be active. In this regard, Cal1 has been shown to promote CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} monoubiquitylation by the E3-ligase Cul3/\textit{Rdx} (73). Thus, it is possible that Cal1 also facilitates CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} ubiquitylation and degradation by APC/C\textsuperscript{Cdh1}.

SCFPpa likely regulates CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} levels directly since Ppa was shown to physically interact with CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} (57). Whether APC/C\textsuperscript{Cdh1} is directly responsible for CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} degradation remains to be determined since co-IP experiments failed to detect an interaction between Cdh1 and CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} or Cal1. Thus, we cannot exclude the possibility that APC/C\textsuperscript{Cdh1} directly targets an unknown positive regulator of CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} stability different from Cal1. Further work is required to elucidate the precise molecular mechanism of the contribution of APC/C\textsuperscript{Cdh1} to the regulation of CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} levels.

In somatic tissues of \textit{Drosophila} larvae, centromeric CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} deposition initiates at late telophase and continues during G1 (13), when APC/C\textsuperscript{Cdh1} is active. Similarly, in S2 cells, deposition occurs starting in mitosis and continuing in G1 (11,14). These observations suggest that APC/C\textsuperscript{Cdh1} activity is important to regulate Cal1-CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} levels during deposition. On the other hand, SCFPpa appears especially important to prevent CENP-A\textsuperscript{CID} misin-
corporation across chromatin when the bulk of newly synthesized nucleosomes are deposited during DNA replication, as it is active in S-phase when APC/C<sup>Cdh1</sup> is not. In G1, SCF<sup>Ppa</sup> could also be instrumental in the degradation of CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> misincorporated at non-centromeric sites.

Our model predicts that the actual contribution of SCF<sup>Ppa</sup> and APC/C<sup>Cdh1</sup> to the regulation of CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> levels would depend on the actual proportion of total CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> that is in complex with Cal1, as well as on the relative activities of both enzymes, thus likely varying between cell types and conditions. This means that the effect of Ppa depletion would depend on the amount of CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> that is not in complex with Cal1, being less important when the Cal1-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> complex is more abundant during mitosis, which might account for the lack of effect observed in mitosis. Along the same lines, the effects of Cal1 depletion would depend on the relative abundance of the Cal1-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> complex and the activity of Cdh1. In this regard, endocycling cells, such as those of salivary glands, have very high Cdh1 activity that, together with the overexpression of CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>, suggest that the proportion of Cal1-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> in our experiments must be lower than in other experiments performed in cell types with normal Cdh1 activity and no CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> overexpression, likely accounting for the different effects of Cal1 depletion observed with respect to experiments performed in S2 cells (25,27). Our model also accounts for the stronger effect of Cdh1 depletion in comparison to Ppa depletion since Cdh1 mediates degradation of CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> regardless of whether it is in complex with Cal1 or not, whereas Ppa only targets the subset that is not in complex with Cal1. In addition, the extent of Ppa knockdown achieved in our experiments was relatively low, as total <i>ppa</i> mRNA levels were reduced by only ~1/3 (57).

A necrotic eye phenotype was observed when CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> expression was driven by longGMR-GAL4 in the most posterior undifferentiated cells of the eye imaginal disc. This phenotype was associated with CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> overexpression since it was highly enhanced by simultaneous Ppa depletion, which strongly increased CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> levels and induced its mislocalization across chromatin. In budding yeast, blocking CENP-A<sup>Cse1</sup> proteolysis leads to its mislocalization and preferential deposition at promoters, resulting in strong changes in gene expression (42). Therefore, preventing CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> proteolysis could also lead to its preferential deposition at promoters, affect gene expression and, ultimately, interfere with cell differentiation and cause necrosis.

From these studies, proteolysis emerges as a major mechanism regulating CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> levels. In this regard, regulation at the transcriptional level appears to play a less important role since expression of a CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>::GFP transgene driven by the endogenous CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> promoter was detected all across the cell cycle (11). Finally, CENP-A is found overexpressed in various cancers and elevated CENP-A levels correlate with the most aggressive cases (40,41,44,47–52). To what extent, the increased CENP-A content of cancer cells reflects misregulation of the protease activity of the APC/C<sup>Cdh1</sup>.
Figure 7. Cal 1 protects CENP-A<sup>CID</sup> from Ppa-mediated degradation. (A) WB analysis with αGFP antibodies of the levels of CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-YFP expression in salivary glands from control ey3.5-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-YFP flies, Cdh1-depleted ey3.5-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-YFP, Cdh1<sup>RNAi</sup> flies and double Cdh1+Call1-depleted ey3.5-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-YFP, Call1<sup>RNAi</sup> flies. Increasing amounts of extract are analyzed (lanes 1–3). Quantitative analysis of the results is shown in the right (N ≥ 2; *p-value < 0.01; error bars are SEM). (B) The expression of CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-YFP in salivary glands is determined by direct fluorescence (in green) in Cdh1-depleted ey3.5-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-YFP; Cal1<sup>RNAi</sup> flies and double Cdh1+Call1-depleted ey3.5-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-YFP, Cal1<sup>RNAi</sup>; Cdh1 flies. Scale bars correspond to 100 μm. (C) As in A but for control ey3.5-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-YFP flies, Cdh1-depleted ey3.5-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-YFP, Cdh1<sup>RNAi</sup> flies and Cdh1-depleted ey3.5-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-YFP; Cal1<sup>RNAi</sup>; UAS-Call1 flies overexpressing Cal1 (N = 3; p-value > 0.01, two-tailed t-test; errors bars are SEM). (D) As in B but for Cdh1-depleted ey3.5-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-YFP, Cdh1<sup>RNAi</sup> flies and Cdh1-depleted ey3.5-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-YFP, Cal1 flies overexpressing Cal1. For the later, two examples are presented with the gland in the right showing increased CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-YFP expression. Scale bars correspond to 100 μm. (E) As in A but for control ey3.5-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-YFP flies, Ppa-deleted ey3.5-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-YFP, ppa<sup>RNAi</sup> flies and double Ppa+Call1-depleted ey3.5-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-YFP, ppa<sup>RNAi</sup>; Cal1 flies (N = 2; p-value > 0.01, two-tailed t-test; errors bars are SEM). (F) As in B but for Ppa-depleted ey3.5-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-YFP, ppa<sup>RNAi</sup> flies and double Ppa+Call1-depleted ey3.5-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-YFP, ppa<sup>RNAi</sup>; Cal1 flies. Scale bars correspond to 100 μm. (G) As in A but for control ey3.5-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-YFP flies, Ppa-depleted ey3.5-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-YFP, ppa<sup>RNAi</sup> flies and Ppa-depleted ey3.5-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-YFP, ppa<sup>RNAi</sup>; UAS-Call1 flies overexpressing Cal1 (N = 2; p-value > 0.01, two-tailed t-test; errors bars are SEM). (H) As in B but for Ppa-depleted ey3.5-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-YFP, ppa<sup>RNAi</sup> flies and Ppa-depleted ey3.5-CENP-A<sup>CID</sup>-YFP, ppa<sup>RNAi</sup>; UAS-Call1 flies overexpressing Cal1. Scale bars correspond to 100 μm.

olytic pathways that regulate CENP-A stability remains to be determined.
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