Abstract: Two alternating copolymers of dithienosilole (DTS) were designed and synthesized with small optical band gaps, flanked by thienyl units as electron-donor moieties and benzothiadiazole dicarboxylic imide (BTDI) as electron-acceptor moieties. The BTDI moieties were anchored to two different solubilizing side chains, namely 3,7-dimethyloctyl and n-octyl chains. An analysis of the effect of the electrochemical, optical, thermal, and structural characteristics of the resulting polymers along with their solubility and molecular weight is the subject of this paper. The Stille polymerization was used to synthesize PDTSDBTDI-DMO and PDTSDBTDI-8. The average molecular weight of PDTSDBTDI-DMO and PDTSDBTDI-8 is 14,600 and 5700 g mol\(^{-1}\), respectively. Both polymers have shown equivalent optical band gaps around 1.4 eV. The highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) levels of the polymers were comparable, around \(-5.2\) eV. The lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) values were \(-3.56\) and \(-3.45\) eV for PDTSDBTDI-DMO and PDTSDBTDI-8, respectively. At decomposition temperatures above 350 °C, both copolymers showed strong thermal stability. The studies of powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) have shown that they are amorphous in a solid-state.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, polymer solar cells (PSCs) using conjugated organic semiconductors have received enormous interest owing to their inherent benefits of low cost, light weight, manufacturing flexibility and large-scale devices through solution processing. Because of these benefits, PSCs dominate the global market for solar cells in such applications as wearable/portable electronics and building-integrated photovoltaic systems [1–3].

Significant developments in the design of solar cell polymers have come from the development of donor-acceptor (D-A) copolymers, which has led to highly efficient bulk heterojunction (BHJ) PSCs [4–8]. Low band-gap, energy levels and molecular structures of...
D-A polymers can be optimized via molecular engineering [9]. D-A copolymers contain electron-donor and electron-acceptor monomers [10], and in this class of polymers, the double-bond between the donor and acceptor units in the polymer backbone is increased, leading to the narrowing of the band gap of the corresponding polymers [11].

Over the years, researchers have developed high performance D-A copolymers for organic photovoltaic (OPV) applications. These polymers, such as fluorene, carbazole, anthracene, dibenzoisilole (DBS), cyclopentadithiophene (CDT), benzodithiophene (BDT), for use as electron-donating monomers and 2,1,3-benzothiadiazole (BT), 4,7-di-2-thienyl-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole (DTBT), 4,7-di-2-thienyl-5,6-difluoro-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole (DTffBT), di(2-thienyl)diketopyrrolopyrrole (DTPP), thienothiophene (TT), thienopyrrole-4,6-dione (TD), for use as electron-accepting monomers.

Soluble conjugated polymers for applications in OPVs, the side chains attached to the polymer backbone, play an essential role in solution processing and miscibility with fullerene derivatives at the interface between regions [12]. They can also affect intermolecular interactions between different polymer backbones by changing torsional angles and \( \pi-\pi \) stacking and lamellar packing [13].

Since several more sites along the backbone are available for chemical functionalization, the low band-gap D-A polymers are more flexible in structural modification. Electron-withdrawing functional groups (e.g., fluorine, a cyano group, ester, ketone or sulfonyl directly attached to the polymer backbone, or heteroatoms such as Si and Se in the backbone) can strongly influence the electronic properties of the resulting polymers, which include energy levels, band gap, charge mobility, and dipole moments [10,13]. The output of PSC devices reflects these factors well. Researchers have well developed the flexibility of substituent modification in fine-tuning their desired properties over the last decade.

Nowadays, on BHJ-type PSCs are the most effective. The optical-active layer of the BHJ consists of an interpenetrating system of electron-donor and electron-acceptor compounds. The power conversion efficiencies (PCEs) of this type of system has been documented at more than 17%, which is a critical step towards industrial applications of OPVs. The fast production of new photactive products, which entails the careful consideration of device optimization and interface constituents and the features of donors and acceptors (e.g., absorption, energy levels and band gaps), suggest a promising future for industrial applications of PSC systems. Consequently, the evolution of novel photovoltaic materials with a narrower optical band gap \( E_g \), a deep highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) level and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) level is critical for extra enhancement of the PCEs of the PSCs [14–16]. Attaining an optimal BHJ morphology that can balance exciton dissociation and charge transport is essential for attaining high-performance PSCs [17].

Dithienosilole (DTS) is a suitable donor unit for constructing low band gap conjugated polymers with an acceptor unit. DTS has a similar design as the CPDT donor unit except for having a bridging silicon atom instead of a carbon. In the DTS unit, the C–Si bond is longer than the carbon–carbon bond in the CPDT unit. Consequently, the steric impediment between the backbones of the conjugated polymer and solubilizing side chains is decreased. This results in greater \( \pi-\pi \) stacking between polymer backbones as well as increased crystallinity and mobility of the charge carriers of the conjugated polymers containing the DTS unit [18].

The first copolymer, PDTSBT based on DTS, and BT, as a donor and acceptor units were investigated by Yang et al. In their system, hole mobility was observed to be three times greater than that in the PCPDTBT. Photovoltaic devices fabricated from PDTSBT: PC\(_{71}\)BM gave a power conversion efficiency (PCE) of 5.1% [19]. Bazan and co-workers developed a related copolymer, PDTSBT12, which has \( n \)-dodecyl chains on the silicon atom. The copolymer was synthesized via Stille-coupling polymerization and yielded a high molecular weight \( M_n = 34,000 \) g mol\(^{-1}\). The PSC devices based on PDTSBT12:PC\(_{71}\)BM exhibited a PCE of 5.9% [20].
Furthermore, Yang et al. reported the use of DTBT acceptor units in three copolymers: PDTS$_{14}$DTBT, PDTS$_{EH}$DTBT and PDTS$_{12}$DTBT. The first copolymer showed reduced solubility in typical organic solvents and could not be processed in BHJ solar cells. PDTS$_{EH}$DTBT, blended with PC$_{71}$BM in the BHJ photovoltaic cell, provided a PCE of 2.95%; however, PDTS$_{12}$DTBT gave a PCE of 3.43%. Consequently, the short-circuit current ($J_{sc}$) and fill factor (FF) were improved [21]. This was likely because the n-dodecyl side chains have less steric hindrance than do the 2-ethylhexyl chains. These copolymers performed more effectively than their PCPDTDTBT analogues [22].

Tao et al. designed and synthesized a new type of copolymer, PDTSTPD, which used TPD as the acceptor unit [23]. The PDTSTPD that fabricated with PC$_{71}$BM exhibited a very impressive PCE of 7.3%. When a higher molecular weight polymer ($M_n = 31,000$ g mol$^{-1}$) was used, a higher PCE of 7.5% was reported [24]. For the high molecular weight polymers, achieving a high PCE could be due to higher hole mobility, which lowered series resistance and raised the FF. In contrast with its PCPDTTPD analogue, PDTSTPD had a higher PCE [25,26].

Li et al. synthesized a novel PDTSDTBTz copolymer with bithiazole (BTz) as an acceptor and two thiophene units between the BTz and DTS units. PDTSDTBTz displayed a PCE of 2.86%, which was blended with PC$_{71}$BM [27]. They developed two new copolymers, PDTSDDTTz-3 and PDTSDDTTz-4 with a more rigid and more coplanar geometry. Thiazolo [5,4-d]thiazole (TTz) was used as an acceptor unit. The locations of the solubilizing side chains on the thiophene units between DTS and TTz were altered. Increased backbone planarity and stronger intermolecular interactions facilitated the hole mobility of PDTSDDTTz-3 more than that of its PDTSDDTBTz analogue. A PCE of 5.59% was achieved from blending PDTSDDTTz-3 with PC$_{71}$BM, while the PCE for PDTSDDTTz-4–PC$_{71}$BM improved to yield 5.88% with a considerable FF of 71.6%. This was due to the more planar structure of PDTSDDTTz-4, which had a higher hole mobility than its PDTSDDTTTz-3 analogue [28].

The DTS was copolymerized with a naphtho(2,3-c)thiophene-4,9-dione (NTDO) acceptor to form a new copolymer, PDTSNTDO. A PCE of 5.21% was obtained from the blending of PDTSNTDO and PC$_{71}$BM [29].

PDTSDDTTAZ and PDTSTAZ were synthesized with and without thiophene spacers by the Stille polymerization between DTS and the benzotriazole (TAZ) acceptor unit. The steric hindrance of the polymer was reduced when two thiophene moieties were used between donor and acceptor units, which also provided a more planar polymer backbone. In contrast to the PDTSTAZ analogue, PDTSDDTTAZ blended with PC$_{71}$BM in a BHJ photovoltaic cell demonstrated a PCE of 1.64% vs 3.80%, respectively [30]. Earlier reports indicated that small band gap conductive polymers are crucial for optoelectronics and organic solar cell devices. The results of the present study emphasize that copolymerization can be a novel approach to designing and synthesizing conjugated copolymers with low- or small-energy band gaps.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Materials

Compounds 1–11 were synthesized in accordance with updated procedures [31–41]. Compounds 12 and 13 were synthesized according to published procedures [42–45]. Compounds 14–16 were prepared according to modified procedures [46–48]. The 4,7-di(5-bromo-thien-2-yl)-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole-5,6-N-(3,7-dimethyloctyl)dicarboxylic imide (M1) and 4,7-di(5-bromo-thien-2-yl)-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole-5,6-N-octyl-dicarboxylic imide (M2) were prepared according to published procedures [42–45]. Finally, 4,4-diocetyl-5,5'-bis(trimethylstannyl)dithieno[3,2-b:2',3'-d]silole (M3) was synthesized according to published procedures [49]. The procedures used to prepare monomers M1, M2, and M3 are available in the Supplementary Materials. All chemicals and solvents were purchased from Alfa Aesar and Sigma-Aldrich. They were used without purification. The majority of the
reactions took place under an argon atmosphere. For reactions, anhydrous solvents from Grubbs solvent purification system at the University of Sheffield were used.

2.2. Measurements

Both $^1$$H$ and $^{13}$$C$ nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded with a Bruker Advanced AV 3HD (400 MHz) spectrometer and a Bruker AV 3HD (500 MHz) spectrometer at room temperature using chloroform-d (CDCl$_3$), acetone-d$_6$ (CD$_3$COCD$_3$) or dimethyl sulfoxide-d$_6$ (CD$_3$SOCD$_3$). With the Bruker AV 3HD (500 MHz) spectrometer, the $^1$$H$ NMR spectra for the polymers were recorded in 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane-d$_2$ (C$_2$D$_2$Cl$_4$) at 100 °C. The chemical shifts were recorded in parts per million (ppm). The coupling constants ($J$) were measured in Hertz (Hz). Both $^1$$H$ and $^{13}$$C$ NMR spectra were investigated using Bruker Top Spin 3.2 software. The PerkinElmer 2400 Series II CHNS/O Elemental Analyser or Vario MICRO Cube CHN/S Elemental Analyser were used to perform the elemental analysis (CHN). Schöniger oxygen flask combustion technique was conducted on an Agilent 7200 (Q-TOF GC-MS) accurate mass spectrometer. As a carrier gas, helium was used at the rate of 1.2 mL min$^{-1}$ with an injection volume of 1.0 µL, and a measured sample concentration of 5 mg mL$^{-1}$ in CHCl$_3$ solvent. The temperature was programmed to vary from 60 to 320 °C at a rate of 10 °C min$^{-1}$. The electron ionization method (EI) provided mass spectra for the monomers. Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) tests were conducted with the Viscotek GPC Max and water 410 instruments with a detector of a differential refractive index, two Polymer Labs PLgel Mixed C columns (7.5 × 300 mm), and a guard of 7.5 × 50 mm. Using high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC)-grade CHCl$_3$, molecular weights for the polymers were measured by preparing polymer solutions of 2.5 mg mL$^{-1}$. The columns were thermostated using CHCl$_3$ at 40 °C. UV-vis absorption spectra were studied at room temperature with a SPECORD S600 UV/visible spectrophotometer. Polymer absorbance was carried out in CHCl$_3$ solution using quartz cuvettes (optical path length 10 mm), including the CHCl$_3$ solution. The blank quartz cuvette was first taken as a background reference. The polymers were coated with CHCl$_3$ solutions (1 mg mL$^{-1}$) on quartz substrates, and the blank quartz substrate was used as a reference. The PerkinElmer (Pyris 1) thermogravimetric analyser was used to perform the thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) measurements. As a sample holder, platinum pans were used, and the samples were weighted to be around 3 mg. Cyclic voltammograms were carried out by a Princeton Applied Research 263A Potentiostat/Galvanostat. Based on a Pt disk working electrode, a typical three-electrode system was used. A silver wire reference electrode (Ag/Ag$^{+}$) was placed in 0.01 M of AgNO$_3$ solution in acetonitrile and mounted in the electrolyte solution. During all measurements at room temperature, a Pt wire counter electrode was purged with an argon atmosphere. Tetrabutylammonium perchlorate in acetonitrile (0.1 M, Bu$_4$NClO$_4$/CH$_3$CN) was employed as the electrolyte.

For the casting of the polymer thin films, the polymer solutions were measured in CH$_3$Cl (1 mg mL$^{-1}$) onto the Pt disk and dried under nitrogen before measurements were taken. As a reference redox system, ferrocene (Fc/Fc$^+$) was used. The Bruker D8 ADVANCE X-ray powder diffractometer was used to measure powder X-ray diffraction (XRD). Infrared absorption spectra were determined by the ATR PerkinElmer Rx/FT-IR and Nicolet Model 205 FT-IR spectrometers.

2.3. Polymers Synthesis

Synthesis of poly[4,4-dioctyl-2,6-dithieno[3,2-b;2',1'-d]silole-alt-5,5-(4',7'-bis(2-thienyl)-2',1',3'-benzothiadiazole-5,6-N-(3,7-dimethyloctyl)-dicarboxylic imide) (PDTSDTBTDI-DMO); (89.0 mg, 0.134 mmol) of M1, (100.0 mg, 0.134 mmol) of M3, (2.2 mg, 0.0097 mmol) of Pd(OAc)$_2$ and (6.1 mg, 0.020 mmol) of P(o-tol)$_3$ were mixed and degassed under argon. Dry toluene (5 mL) was added and the system was further degassed and heated for 72 h at 110 °C. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and dissolved in 300 mL CHCl$_3$. Ammonium hydroxide solution (50 mL) was then added to the mixture stirred
overnight. The organic phase was subsequently separated and washed with deionized water. Later, the organic phase was reduced to about 50 mL and put into 300 mL methanol and stirred overnight. Then, the mixture filtered and the polymer purified using Soxhlet extraction with 300 mL each of methanol, acetone, hexane, toluene and chloroform. The toluene and chloroform fractions were concentrated to about 50 mL and then put into 300 mL of methanol. After the mixture was stirred overnight, the pure polymer was obtained by filtration to yield PDTSDTBTDI-DMO as blue powders, (42.0 mg, 0.045 mmol, 33.90% yield) of toluene fraction, (72.0 mg, 0.077 mmol, 58.12% yield) of chloroform fraction with total yield 92.02%. GPC: Toluene fraction, $M_n = 6200$ g mol$^{-1}$, $M_w = 20,600$ g mol$^{-1}$, PDI = 3.2 and Dp = 16. $^1$H NMR (toluene fraction) (C$_2$D$_2$Cl$_4$, δ): 8.16–8.01 (bm, 2H), 7.54–7.17 (bm, 4H), 3.87–3.65 (bm, 2H), 1.81–1.67 (bm, 1H), 1.60–1.50 (bm, 3H), 1.48–1.07 (bm, 33H), 1.05–0.94 (bm, 6H), 0.89–0.78 (bm, 10H). FT-IR (cm$^{-1}$): 3129, 3062, 2955, 2923, 2848, 1753, 1696, 1546, 1510, 1425, 1400, 1350, 1168, 1104, 1068, 1011, 897, 836, 793, 761, 743, 688. Elemental analysis (%) calculated for C$_{50}$H$_{61}$N$_3$O$_2$S$_5$Si: C, 64.96; H, 6.65; N, 4.55; S, 17.34. Found: C, 63.29; H, 6.49; N, 4.74; S, 17.10.

Synthesis of poly[4,4-dioctyl-2,6-dithieno[3,2-b:2',3'-d]silole-alt-5,5-(4',7'-bis(2-thienyl)-2',1',3'-benzothiadiazole-5,6-N-octyl-dicarboxylic imide) (PDTSDTBTDI-8): PDTSDTBTDI-8 was synthesized following the same synthesizing procedure for PDTSDTBTDI-DMO: (91.0 mg, 0.143 mmol) of M$_2$ and (107.0 mg, 0.143 mmol) of M$_3$ were copolymerized using (2.3 mg, 0.01 mmol) of Pd(OAc)$_2$ and (6.5 mg, 0.021 mmol) of P(o-tol)$_3$ in 5 mL anhydrous toluene at 110 °C for 72 h. (73.0 mg, 0.081 mmol, 57.00% yield) of PDTSDTBTDI-8 was obtained as blue powders. GPC: toluene fraction, $M_n = 5700$ g mol$^{-1}$, $M_w = 14,000$ g mol$^{-1}$, PDI = 2.4 and Dp = 6. $^1$H NMR (toluene fraction) (C$_2$D$_2$Cl$_4$, δ): 8.19–8.01 (bm, 2H), 7.55–7.20 (bm, 4H), 3.87–3.66 (bm, 2H), 1.84–1.61 (bm, 2H), 1.61–1.07 (bm, 33H), 0.89–0.78 (bm, 10H). FT-IR (cm$^{-1}$): 3129, 3062, 2951, 2919, 2851, 1749, 1689, 1549, 1514, 1425, 1403, 1350, 1161, 1007, 893, 836, 793, 747, 686. Elemental analysis (%) calculated for C$_{48}$H$_{57}$N$_3$O$_2$S$_5$Si: C, 64.32; H, 6.41; N, 4.69; S, 17.88. Found: C, 63.09; H, 6.19; N, 5.11; S, 17.63.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Polymer Synthesis

In our work, we synthesized two alternating copolymers comprising benzothiadiazole dicarboxylic imide (BTDI) with varying substituents flanked by two thienyl repeat units as electron-accepting moieties and DTS as electron donor moieties. The preparation of polymers PDTSDTBTDI-DMO and PDTSDTBTDI-8 is presented in Scheme 1. Both polymerizations were performed for 72 h, and dark blue precipitates were obtained, which were then dissolved in chloroform with the addition of an NH$_4$OH solution. The mixture was stirred overnight to eliminate the residue of the Pd metal catalyst which yielded Pd(NH$_3$)$_4$(OH)$_2$ soluble complexes. The polymers were achieved by precipitation from methanol accompanied by filtration. Finally, the polymers were purified through Soxhlet extraction using methanol, acetone, hexane, toluene, and chloroform. The methanol, acetone, and hexane fractions separated small molecules, oligomers, and some impurities. Subsequently, the toluene and chloroform fractions were collected then re-precipitated in methanol accompanied by filtration to obtain the purified polymers. $^1$H NMR, FT-IR and elemental analyses were carried out to confirm the structures of the PDTSDTBTDI-DMO and PDTSDTBTDI-8. The $^1$H NMR spectra for the polymers are available in the Supplementary Materials (see Figures S4 and S5).
Scheme 1. The synthesis of PDTSDTBTDI-DMO and PDTSDTBTDI-8 via the Stille polymerization.

Reagents and conditions: (i) Pd(OAc)$_2$, P(o-tol)$_3$, toluene, 110 °C, 72 h.

3.2. Molecular Weights and Yield of the Polymers

Polymer molecular weights were measured via gel permeation chromatography (GPC) in CHCl$_3$ solution at 40 °C relative to polystyrene standards (Table 1). Altering the 3,7-dimethyloctyl chains in PDTSDTBTDI-DMO to $n$-octyl chains in PDTSDTBTDI-8 on the BTDI units resulted in slightly lower average molecular weight ($M_n$) values for the toluene fractions of the polymers. Furthermore, PDTSDTBTDI-DMO yielded a chloroform fraction of a higher $M_n$ value. The results indicated that the PDTSDTBTDI-8 had lower solubility since the DTS and BTDI units have $n$-octyl chains. As a result, a low molecular weight polymer was obtained. PDTSDTBTDI-DMO yielded a perfect amount and had a considerably higher molecular weight than PDTSDTBTDI-8. This could be owing to the higher solubility of PDTSDTBTDI-DMO.

Table 1. The percentage of production, weight and number average molecular weights with polydispersity indexes of PDTSDTBTDI-DMO and PDTSDTBTDI-8.

| Polymer          | % Yield | Toluene Fraction | Chloroform Fraction |
|------------------|---------|------------------|---------------------|
|                  |         | $M_n$ (g mol$^{-1}$) | $M_w$ (g mol$^{-1}$) | PDI | $M_n$ (g mol$^{-1}$) | $M_w$ (g mol$^{-1}$) | PDI |
| PDTSDTBTDI-DMO   | 92      | 6200             | 20,600              | 3.2 | 14,600             | 79,900              | 5.4 |
| PDTSDTBTDI-8     | 57      | 5700             | 14,000              | 2.4 |                     |                     |     |

3.3. Optical Properties of the Polymers

Figure 1a,b shows the normalized Ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) absorption spectra of the PDTSDTBTDI-DMO and PDTSDTBTDI-8 polymers in (a) chloroform solutions and (b) on thin films, respectively. Table 2 summarizes the optical properties of the polymers. The absorption maxima of PDTSDTBTDI-DMO were found to be red-shifted compared to PDTSDTBTDI-8 in both solutions and thin films. This might have arisen because the former polymer’s molecular weight was higher than that of the latter polymer. In the thin films, the absorption peaks of both PDTSDTBTDI-DMO and PDTSDTBTDI-8 displayed a bathochromic shift relative to their absorption in the solutions with maximal absorption at 689 and 670 nm. This could be due to the more aggregated and planar structures in the solid-state form. The band gap ($E_g$) values of the polymers were measured from the absorption onsets in the thin films. The $E_g$ was found to be comparable at about 1.4 eV for both polymers. The altering alkyl chains on BTDI units from 3,7-dimethyloctyl into $n$-octyl chains had an insignificant effect on the resulting polymers’ band gap. The $E_g$ of both polymers were found to be slightly lower than PDTSBT (1.45 eV) and lower than the PDTS$_{12}$DTBT and PDTS$_{12}$DBT counterparts (1.53 and 1.51 eV, respectively). This could be because the BTDI unit had a stronger electron-accepting property than the BT unit did. Both polymers also showed a remarkably lower $E_g$ than those of their PDTSDTBTDI-z, PDTSDTTTz-3, PDTSDTTTz-4 and PDTSTPD counterparts, which were found to be 1.85,
1.81, 1.76 and 1.73 eV, respectively. Compared to the \( E_g \) of PDTSDTTAZ, PDTSTAZ and PDTSNTDO (1.81, 1.78 and 1.65 eV, respectively), both polymers had a significantly lower \( E_g \). The significant lowering of band gaps is thought to be a result of adopting a 2D (thin film) structure since the band gap is known to be low in symmetric 2D soft matter systems \[50\]. As a result, this new type of polymer adopted more planar structures with a greater intrachain charge transfer alongside the polymer backbone. The absorption coefficient \( (\epsilon) \) of PDTSDTBTDI-DMO was much higher than that of PDTSDTBTDI-8. This may be attributed to the greater molecular weight and red-shifted absorption maxima of the PDTSDTBTDI-DMO polymer.

![Normalized UV-vis absorption spectra of PDTSDTBTDI-DMO and PDTSDTBTDI-8 in (a) chloroform solutions; and (b) on thin films.](image)

**Figure 1.** Normalized UV-vis absorption spectra of PDTSDTBTDI-DMO and PDTSDTBTDI-8 in (a) chloroform solutions; and (b) on thin films.

**Table 2.** The UV-vis data and optical band gaps of the polymers.

| Polymer            | \( \epsilon \) (M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\)) | Solution | Film |
|--------------------|-----------------|---------|------|
| PDTSDTBTDI-DMO     | 32,300          | 627     | 873  | 1.42 |
| PDTSDTBTDI-8       | 23,400          | 613     | 863  | 1.43 |
3.4. Electrochemical Properties of the Polymers

To investigate the electrochemical properties of the polymers, cyclic voltammetry was used. Figure 2 shows the cyclic voltammograms of PDTSDTBTDI-DMO and PDTSDTBTDI-8 taken at 100 mV/s on a Pt electrode in Bu$_4$NClO$_4$/CH$_3$CN. The polymers’ LUMO and HOMO values were determined from the onsets of reduction and oxidation potentials, respectively. The calculated values are summarized in Table 3. The onsets of cyclic voltammograms were determined on drop-cast polymer films on the platinum electrode as the working electrode of the reference electrode Bu$_4$NClO$_4$/CH$_3$CN (0.1 M) versus Ag/Ag$^+$. The HOMO values for both PDTSDTBTDI-DMO and PDTSDTBTDI-8 polymers are equivalent although the former polymer’s LUMO energy level is lower than that of the latter. These findings show that adding different side chains onto BTDI units had an insignificant effect on the polymers’ degree of HOMO. However, around 0.1 eV, the LUMO levels of the polymers showed an influence. The donor unit’s nature dominated the HOMO energy level, and the DTS donor unit was the same for both polymers. The polymers had a slightly deeper HOMO level than did PDTSDTBTDI, which was found to be −5.18 eV. The HOMO energy levels of both polymers were observed to be more profound in comparison to those found for PDTSTBDT, PDTSDTDTBT, PDTSDTDTBT and PDTSDTDTTz-4 analogues (−5.05, −4.99, −5.02, and −5.04 eV, respectively). However, the LUMO energy levels for both polymers were lower than those observed for PDTSTBDT, PDTSDTDTBT, PDTSDTDTBT and PDTSDTDTTz-4 analogues −3.27, −3.17, −3.19 and −3.41 eV, respectively. In addition to that, the two polymers’ LUMO levels were also found to be significantly lower than the PDTSTAZ and PDTSDTDTAZ values, where −2.76 and −2.81 eV were found, respectively. This could be attributed to the BTDI unit’s having a stronger electron-accepting ability than the benzothiadiazole, dithienylbenzothiadiazole, thiazolothiazole, triazole and dithienyltriazole units. Conversely, compared to their PDTSTBDT counterpart, the two polymers showed shallower HOMO and LUMO energy levels.

![Figure 2](image_url). Cyclic voltammograms of PDTSDTBTDI-DMO and PDTSDTBTDI-8 on Pt electrode in Bu$_4$NClO$_4$/CH$_3$CN.

Table 3. Electrochemical and thermal properties of the polymers.

| Polymer            | $T_d$ (°C) | $E_{ox0}$ (V) | HOMO (eV) | $E_{red0}$ (V) | LUMO (eV) | $E_g$ (elec) (eV) |
|--------------------|------------|---------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-------------------|
| PDTSDTBTDI-DMO     | 357        | 0.50          | −5.21     | 1.15           | −3.56     | 1.65              |
| PDTSDTBTDI-8       | 394        | 0.52          | −5.23     | 1.26           | −3.45     | 1.78              |
3.5. Thermal Properties of the Polymers

Figure 3 shows the thermal properties of the polymers using thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). The thermal parameters of the polymers are listed in Table 3. The decomposition temperatures were 357 °C, and 394 °C for the PDTSDTBTBDI-DMO and PDTSDTBTBDI-8 polymers, respectively. The TGA results show that the polymers’ thermal stability was greatly influenced by the substituents’ existence on the BTDI moieties. The polymers have thermal stability windows that are well within the range of those used for solar cells and could be stable for such use. In previous studies, TGA was used to evaluate the thermal properties of D–A conjugated polymers for PSC applications [51–56].

![TGA of PDTSDTBTBDI-DMO and PDTSDTBTBDI-8.](image)

PDTSDTBTBDI-DMO and PDTSDTBTBDI-8 were found to have slightly higher decomposition temperatures than those observed for PDTSDTTz-4 and PDTSNTDO (317 °C and 321 °C, respectively). PDTSDTBTBDI-8 was found to have better thermal stability compared to PDTSDTTz and PDTSNTA (368 and 388 °C, respectively).

On the other hand, PDTSDTBTBDI-DMO proved to be less stable than the polymers mentioned above. However, it is essential to mention that both polymers exhibited less thermal stability than PDTSDTBTAZ with $T_d$ at 415 °C. The difference in the polymers’ thermal stabilities could be attributed to various side chains on the DTS units and different acceptor units.

3.6. Powder X-ray Diffraction of the Polymers

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) was carried out to investigate the structural properties of PDTSDTBTBDI-DMO and PDTSDTBTBDI-8 (Figure 4). The XRD spectra of PDTSDTBTBDI-DMO and PDTSDTBTBDI-8 showed that the diffraction peaks exhibited at 24.6 and 24.9° followed the $\pi$-$\pi$ stacking distance of 3.61 and 3.57 Å, respectively, and both polymers exhibited an amorphous structure. The powder XRD of the polymers was identical to that of PDTSDTTBz. However, as stated in the literature, PDTSBT showed features of crystallinity.
4. Conclusions

To summarise, Stille polymerization was used to synthesise two new low band-gap conjugated copolymers, such as dithienosilole (DTS), flanked by thienyl moieties as donor units and by benzothiadiazole dicarboxylic imide (BTDI) as acceptor units. To prepare PDTSDTBTDI-DMO and PDTSDTBTDI-8 (yielding 92% and 57%, respectively) distannylated DTS monomer (M3) was copolymerised with dibrominated BTDI monomers (M1 and M2). The BTDI units were attached to two different side chains, \( n \)-octyl and 3,7-dimethyloctyl, to study the impact of these substituents on the resulting polymers’ optical, electrochemical, thermal, and structural properties, as well as their solubility and molecular weight. Anchoring 3,7-dimethyloctyl chains in PDTSDTBTDI-DMO and \( n \)-octyl chains in PDTSDTBTDI-8 to the BTDI units negatively affected solubility and molecular weight. The soxhlet extraction process was conducted in the separation of PDTSDTBTDI-8, and its toluene fraction showed a low \( M_n \) value (5700 g mol\(^{-1}\)) because of its limited solubility. Soxhlet extraction of PDTSDTBTDI-DMO gave two fractions; a toluene fraction with a slightly higher \( M_n \) value than for PDTSDTBTDI-8 and a chloroform fraction with a \( M_n \) value more than twice as high. The absorption maxima of PDTSDTBTDI-8 in the solution and the thin-film were blue-shifted compared to PDTSDTBTDI-DMO absorption. For both polymers, the absorption spectra showed bathochromic shift absorption maxima in the thin-films in contrast to their absorption in the solution. It was observed that both polymers had similar optical band gaps at approximately 1.4 eV. The HOMO levels of the polymers were found to be similar, and the LUMO levels of the PDTSDTBTDI-DMO and PDTSDTBTDI-8 were found to be -3.56 and -3.45 eV, respectively. The attaching of different alkyl chains to the BTDI units slightly affected the band gaps and HOMO levels, whereas LUMO energy levels were influenced by approximately 0.1 eV. PDTSDTBTDI-DMO and PDTSDTBTDI-8 showed good thermal stability with decomposition temperatures at 357 and 394 °C, respectively. By changing substituents on the imide functionality of BTDI units, the polymers’ thermal properties were significantly affected. The powder XRD studies of the polymers exhibited diffraction peaks at around 24° in proportion to the \( \pi-\pi \) stacking distance of about 3.6 Å. The two polymers were found to be amorphous in nature.
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