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Anxiolytic Effect of Alcohol-Water Extracted Suanzaoren-Wuweizi Herb-Pair by Regulating ECS-BDNF-ERK Signaling Pathway Expression in Acute Restraint Stress Male Rats
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Herb-pairs are the basic units of composition in Chinese herbal formulae, where the bridge linking Chinese medicine and prescription consists of two Chinese medicine herbs. The Suanzaoren-Wuweizi herb-pair (SWHP) is commonly used as a sedative or tranquilizer. SWHP has been demonstrated to exert an antianxiety effect in animal models of anxiety. However, little information about its mechanism is available and the effects of SWHP have not been investigated. This study examined the effects of SWHP on ameliorating anxiety-like behaviors by regulating endocannabinoid system (ECS)—brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF)—extracellular regulated protein kinases (ERK) signaling pathway expression, induced by restraint stress (RS) procedures. The antianxiety effects of SWHP on RS rats were then examined through the open-field test (OF) and the elevated plus maze test (EPM). The concentration of BDNF, ERK1/2, p-ERK1/2, CAMP-response element binding protein (CREB), and p-CREB expression in the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus of the rats was then measured by immunohistochemistry. These results gave compelling evidence that SWHP could modify anxiety-like behaviors of RS rats through regulation of the ECS-BDNF-ERK signaling pathway. Our study demonstrated that SWHP improved anxiety-like behaviors in RS rat models by regulating the ECS-BDNF-ERK signaling pathway. The findings indicate that SWHP may have a therapeutic application in the RS model of anxiety disorder, which proposes a potential new direction for research into anxiety disorders regarding mechanisms and the development of novel antianxiety drugs.

1. Introduction

Anxiety disorder is a nervous disorder characterized by anxiety, tension, panic, autonomic nervous disorder, muscle tension, and other symptoms. It may be present when a person has feelings of nervousness or fears that interfere with his/her social, school, or work life [1]. As we know, anxiety disorders are among the most common psychiatric disorders that affect all age groups in the general population, where the incidence rate continues to increase year by year. Anxiety disorders are also among the costliest mental disorders, regarding both morbidity and economic costs [2, 3]. Antianxiety drugs such as diazepam, benzodiazepines, and selective serotonin reuptake
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anxiety disorders. Therefore, the development of anxiolytic drugs without adverse effects is extremely urgent for the treatment of anxiety disorders.

Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) has formed a series of therapies for the treatment of anxiety disorders, and it plays an important role in the field of mental diseases with some advantages over western medicine. Compared with western medicine, TCM is safer and more effective in treating anxiety, with fewer side effects. With the increasing incidence of anxiety disorders, many patients have chosen TCM or integrated TCM and western medicine for treatment [11, 12]. Herb-pairs, the basic units of composition in Chinese herbal formulae, usually consist of two Chinese medicine herbs [13]. Suanzaoren is the first choice for sedatives and tranquilizers [14] and is the mature seed from Ziziphus jujube. From our previous research, we found that spinosin of Suanzaoren exerts anxiolytic-like effects [15]. Wuweizi, the fruit of Schisandra chinensis, is a common tranquilizer [16], which can be combined with a variety of other Chinese medicine herbs. Studies found that Suanzaoren and Wuweizi are usually combined with a variety of Chinese medicine herbs in clinics for use as sedatives and tranquilizers [17–20]. Some studies suggested that Schisandra chinensis has a stress-reducing effect, showing antianxiety effects in mouse models [21, 22]. SWHP, as sedatives and tranquilizers, are commonly used in clinical practice and often appear in TCM prescriptions as well as modern Chinese medicine compounds.

The endocannabinoids system (ECS) is a type of lipid signal system, which is composed of endocannabinoids, cannabinoid receptors, endocannabinoid synthetase, degradation enzymes, and transporters. These are present in the central nervous system (CNS) and peripheral tissue and have extensive physiological functions. Studies have found that cannabinoid substances bind to cannabinoid receptors to activate signaling pathways in multiple cells, including MAPK/ERK pathways. These MAPK/ERK pathways regulate a variety of cellular processes in both nature and developing tissues [23]. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) is the most abundant neurotrophic factor in the CNS, and its cellular mechanism is related to neuroplasticity. There are two primary signaling pathways of BDNF, the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signal pathway and phosphoinositide 3-kinase signal pathway (PI-3-K). Most of the time, the MAPK signaling pathway is playing the most important role. Studies have found that BDNF plays a role not only in the treatment of depression but also in pervasive anxiety disorder [24]. One study showed that physical and emotional stressors could induce the upregulation of ERK1/2 phosphorylation in central neurons (a member of the MAPK family). Thus, the ERK1/2 molecule was found to be key in the stress-induced intracellular signal transduction pathways of brain circuit neurons and could be used as an endogenous morphological marker of neuronal activity in the brain [25]. Stress could lead to abnormalities in neural circuits related to cognition, decision-making, anxiety, and depression [26]. The prefrontal cortex and hippocampus are associated with mood-related symptoms of stress-related disorders [27] and their neuronal populations to altered behavioral responses to acute stress. As we knew, the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus are anatomically connected, and brain activity within them was relatively closely synchronized. The prefrontal cortex and hippocampus play an important role in anxiety, and they are cooperating during anxiety [28], being mediated by the corresponding receptors on the neuron membrane, resulting in the onset of numerous essential signaling pathways, like the BDNF signal pathway.

Therefore, in this experiment, we hypothesized that alcohol-water extracted SWHP may have an anxiolytic-like effect in restraining stress-induced animal models of anxiety disorders. We investigated its possible mechanism of action regarding the regulation of ECS-BDNF-ERK signaling pathway expressions.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Drugs and Dosages. Suanzaoren (Ziziphus jujuba var. spinosa (Bunge) Hu ex H.F.Chow, No. 20160122) and Wuweizi (Schisandra chinensis (Turcz.) Baill, No. 20150601) were authenticated by Professor Jinli Shi (School of Chinese Materia Medica, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China) according to the Chinese Pharmacopoeia [29]. Voucher specimens were deposited at Institute of Chinese Materia Medica, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China. Dazeepam was obtained from Yimin Pharmaceutical Factory (Beijing, China, SFDA Approval No. H11020898). Sodium Chloride Injection, 500 mL/bottle, was purchased from Shijiazhuang Fourth Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (SFDA Approval No. H13023200). The drug dosages in the experiment included SWHP (0.75 g/kg/d), SWHP (1.5 g/kg/d), SWHP (3.0 g/kg/d), and diazepam (1.0 mg/kg/d). The drug SWHP was extracted by alcohol-water, and the compatibility proportion was 2:1.

The Suanzaoren (10 g) and Wuweizi (5 g) plant materials were placed in a 500 mL round-bottom flask. The plant materials soaked for 30 minutes with 8 times 80% alcohol and then boiled by heat under reflux for 1 h. The decocction was filtered through filter paper. The residue was boiled with 10 times water for 1 h and then filtered and mixed with the first-stage extract solution. Finally, the combined extract solution was taken to dryness and then stored at sealed and drying container.
2.2. Alcohol-Water Extract Analysis by UPLC-LTQ Orbitrap MS. We established the stable condition of UPLC-LTQ Orbitrap MS to analyze the components of SWHP under the positive/negative ion mode, which had a good separation degree and comprehensive cracking information. Chromatographic analysis was performed using a Thermo Scientific Dionex UltiMate 3000 UHPLC Plus Focused (Thermo Scientific, USA) equipped with a binary pump, an autosampler, a column oven, and a Diode-array Detector (DAD). Samples were separated on an Agilent ZORBAX SB-C18 column (4.6 mm × 250 mm, 5 μm). The mobile phases consisted of solvent acetonitrile (A) and water containing 0.1% formic acid (B) with a liner gradient program: 0–5 min, 2%–3% A; 5–8 min, 3%–9% A; 8–30 min, 9%–11% A; 30–32 min, 11%–18% A; 32–52 min, 18%–19% A; 52–67 min, 19%–25% A; 67–74 min, 25%–43% A; 74–78 min, 43%–52% A; 78–79 min, 52%–55% A; 89–114 min, 55%–95% A. The flow rate was set at 0.4 mL/min, and column temperature was 30°C. The sample solution (4 μl) was injected into the HPLC system.

We used the LTQ-Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, USA) to MS analysis. The mass spectrometer was connected with heated electrospray ionization (HESI) source and operated in both positive and negative ion modes. The mass scan was from 100 to 1500 z/m/z. The source voltage was 4.0 kV; the drying gas flow rate was 15 L/min; the drying gas temperature was 350°C; the collision voltage was 250–350°C; the collision voltage was 250–350°C; the ion source was connected to a 10.2 cm × 10.2 cm central platform, and the device was selected 50 cm above the floor. The test was conducted under quiet and dim light conditions [34]. Before the test, animals were individually placed in the 45 cm × 30 cm × 15 cm plastic boxes for 5 minutes of free exploration. The animals were then placed in the center of the maze facing an individual open arm. The test was maintained for 5 minutes. Both of the number of entries into and the time spent on each of the closed arms, open arms, and central platform were measured with infrared technology. The percentage of entries to the open arms ((open arm entries/total arm entries) × 100) and the percentage of time spent in the open arms ((open arm time/total arm time) × 100) were calculated for the index of antianxiety effect.

2.5. Procedure. The rats were adapted for 1 week before the experiments. The rats of the restraint stress model experiment were given drugs orally once a day for 7 days. All drugs mentioned were dissolved in normal saline and prepared before use. The control group and restraint stress group (RS group) were given normal saline as placebo. The volume of administration was 1 mL/100 g of body weight. On the 12th–14th day, except for the control group, the others were given restraint stress modeling. Behavioral tests were conducted 1 h after the last administration on the 14th day. Immediately after all behavioral tests, brain samples were taken (Figure 1).

2.6. Elevated Plus Maze Test (EPM). Anxiolytic activity was measured using the elevated plus maze (EPM) test [32]. This model has been widely validated for measuring anxiolytic-like effects in rodents [33]. The device consisted of two opposite open arms (50.8 cm × 10.2 cm) and two closed arms (50.8 cm × 10.2 cm × 40.6 cm) in a cross configuration. The arms were connected to a 10.2 cm × 10.2 cm central platform, and the device was selected 50 cm above the floor. The test was conducted under quiet and dim light conditions [34]. Before the test, animals were individually placed in the 45 cm × 30 cm × 15 cm plastic boxes for 5 minutes of free exploration. The animals were then placed in the center of the maze facing an individual open arm. The test was maintained for 5 minutes. Both of the number of entries into and the time spent on each of the closed arms, open arms, and central platform were measured with infrared technology. The percentage of entries to the open arms ((open arm entries/total arm entries) × 100) and the percentage of time spent in the open arms ((open arm time/total arm time) × 100) were calculated for the index of antianxiety effect.

2.7. Open-Field Test (OF). The open-field device consisted of an open-field chamber (radius 90 cm × high 60 cm). 5 minutes before the test, animals were individually placed in 45 cm × 30 cm × 15 cm plastic boxes for free exploration. Then explored animals were then individually placed on the edge of the open field. The test was initiated by placing a single rat in the open field and allowing it to move freely for 5 minutes [35]. A digital camera placed above the open field with an automatic video tracking system recorded their activities. The number of entries into and the time spent on the central area (45 cm radius) were measured over the 5-minute observation period. A change in counts was considered an alteration in the locomotor activity of the experimental subject caused by the drugs [36].

2.8. Immunohistochemistry. Rats were anesthetized with 10% pellitobarbitalum natricum and were sacrificed by decapitation after the completion of behavioral tests.
immediately (n = 8 per group). The hippocampus and prefrontal cortex were dissected and fixed in a 10% neutral buffered formalin solution for 48 h. The brain sections (5-μm) were dehydrated and embedded by paraffin for conventional immunohistochemical treatment.

Paraffin sections were conventionally dewaxed with water, and the sections were incubated with 3%H2O2 for 10 minutes at room temperature. The sections were then rinsed by phosphate buffered solution (PBS) for 3 minutes, three times. The sections were then put into citrate buffer salt and brought to high temperatures using thermal remediation. Once rinsed again with PBS, sections were blocked with 5% normal serum for 20 minutes at room temperature. Sections were then incubated with the anti-Cannabinoid Receptor I antibody (1:1000, ab23703, ABCAM, UK) or anti-Cannabinoid Receptor II antibody (1:20, ab3561, ABCAM, UK) at 4°C overnight. After incubation with biotinylated anti-rabbit IgG antibody (1:100) for 15 minutes at 37 °C, sections were incubated with horseradish enzyme labeling Streptomyces albumen working fluid (1:100) for 15 minutes at 37°C. The immunostaining reaction was developed using the DAB (3, 3′-diaminobenzidine) peroxidase substrate kit and then re stained with haematoxylin. Finally, sections were mounted on glass slides after dehydration. Image acquisition and processing are carried out by means of microscope, computer, and image analysis software. The positive cells of CB1 and CB2 in the hippocampal CA1 region of the rats were, respectively, conducted under the microscope of 40 × 10 times. Two discontinuous slices were taken from each rat, and three nonoverlapping views were randomly chosen from each specimen to be imaged for counting. The total number of positive cells in the three visual fields represented the number of positive cells in the CA1 region of the hippocampal region of the rat and was statistically treated.

2.9. Western Blot. The hippocampus and prefrontal cortex were dissected and stored at −80°C until analysis after the behavioral tests and sacrifice (n = 8 per group). All tissues (200 mg) were homogenized in RIPA lysis buffer and sonicated for 4 seconds, 3 times in an ice bath. They were then centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 20 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was taken to assess p-ERK1/2, ERK1/2, p-CREB, and CREB, as well as the internal reference protein β-actin expression. After glue pouring, sample loading, and electrophoresis, the transmembrane operation was carried out and conducted with 0.23 A constant current for 1 h. The membranes were incubated with the primary antibodies, i.e., the Phospho-p44/42MAPK (ERK1/2) (1 : 1000, CTS, USA),

| No. | Ion mode | tR (min) | Molecular weight (m/z) | Molecular formula | Fragment ions (m/z) | Chemical compound |
|-----|----------|----------|-----------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 1   | Negative | 4.59     | 191.01906             | C6H8O7           | 172,130,128,110,86  | Citric acid/iso citrate |
| 2   | Negative | 9.85     | 191.019               | C6H8O7           | 172,130,128,110     | Citric acid/iso citrate |
| 3   | Negative | 10.4     | 205.03429             | C6H10O5          | 173,143,131,111     | 6-Methyl citrate |
| 4   | Negative | 14.35    | 219.04974             | C6H12O4          | 173,157,143,131,111 | 1,5-Dimethyl citrate |
| 5   | Negative | 34.93    | 342.16934             | C20H24NO4        | 297,282,265,237     | Zizyphusine |
| 6   | Negative | 73.59    | 543.22198             | C22H28O8         | 525,499,481,445     | Lactofidilactone C |
| 7   | Positive | 73.71    | 501.34177             | C22H28O8         | 455,437             | Tigloylgomisin H or aegeloygomisin H |
| 8   | Positive | 81.64    | 531.25757             | C22H28O8         | 495,453,425         | Angelygomisin Q |
| 9   | Positive | 84.94    | 389.19424             | C22H28O8         | 374,358,342,319     | Gomisin J |
| 10  | Positive | 87.41    | 515.22632             | C22H28O8         | 469,385,355         | Tigloylgomisin P |
| 11  | Negative | 87.41    | 401.1593              | C22H26O7         | 354,284,270,257,255,242 | 3′,4′-Dimethoxybenzoic acid-(3′,4′-dimethoxyphenyl)-methyl-3-oxobutylester |
| 12  | Positive | 87.94    | 523.22389             | C20H14O8         | 508,493,477,386,315 | Benzoylgomisin H |
| 13  | Positive | 88.36    | 391.21078             | C22H28O8         | 493,386,315         | Pregomisin |
| 14  | Positive | 90.06    | 523.22894             | C22H28O8         | 372,358,289,237,235,205,166 | Benzyglygomisin H isomer |
| 15  | Positive | 91.75    | 387.17938             | C20H14O8         | 493,386,315         | Gomisin L2 |
| 16  | Positive | 94.95    | 403.31021             | C22H28O8         | 388,372,371,340,333,302,301 | Schisanhenol |
| 17  | Positive | 95.41    | 403.21021             | C22H28O8         | 388,372,371,340,333,301 | Gomisin K1 |
| 18  | Positive | 96.74    | 537.20831             | C22H28O8         | 415,385,371         | Gomisin G |
| 19  | Positive | 97.99    | 403.21021             | C22H28O8         | 388,372,371,340,333,301 | Gomisin K2 |
| 20  | Positive | 98.66    | 515.22552             | C22H28O8         | 469,385,355,343,323 | Schisantherin B or schisantherin C |
| 21  | Positive | 99.73    | 515.22595             | C22H28O8         | 385,355,316         | Gomisin E |
| 22  | Positive | 100.21   | 387.17983             | C22H28O8         | 355,325,317         | Gomisin M1 |
| 23  | Positive | 101.04   | 387.17896             | C22H28O8         | 355,325,317         | Gomisin M2 |
| 24  | Negative | 102.99   | 485.32553             | C20H14O5         | 439,423             | Ceanothic acid |
| 25  | Positive | 103.65   | 417.22552             | C22H28O8         | 402,386,370,347,316 | Schisandrin A |
| 26  | Positive | 105.69   | 401.19507             | C22H28O8         | 386,371,370,331,300 | Schisandrin B |
| 27  | Positive | 106.25   | 331.117               | C22H28O8         | 370,355,315,284     | Meso-dihydroguaiaretic acid |
| 28  | Positive | 106.40   | 401.19485             | C22H28O8         | 386,371,370,331,300 | Schisandrin B |
| 29  | Positive | 107.65   | 385.16333             | C22H28O8         | 370,355,315,284     | Schisandrin C |
| 30  | Negative | 113.6    | 279.2319              | C18H32O2         | 261,259,243,83      | 9,12-Linoleic acid |
3. Results

3.1. Antianxiety Effect of SWHP in OF. As shown in Figure 2, significant differences by one-way ANOVA were found in the number of central entries \[ F (5, 54) = 4.907, p < 0.05 \] and time spent in the central area \[ F (5, 54) = 9.273, p < 0.01 \] among groups. Diazepam (1.0 mg/kg) significantly increased the number of central entries and the time spent of central area compared with the model group \( p < 0.01 \). SWHP at a dose of 1.5 g/kg significantly increased the time spent and the number of central entries \( p < 0.01 \). SWHP at a dose of 3.0 g/kg significantly increased the time spent of central area \( p < 0.01 \).

3.2. Antianxiety Effect of SWHP in EPM. As shown in Figure 3, there were significant differences by one-way ANOVA in the percentage of open arm entries \( F (5, 54) = 5.172, p < 0.05 \) and the percentage of time spent on the open arms \( F (5, 54) = 5.485, p < 0.01 \) among groups. Diazepam (1.0 mg/kg) significantly increased the percentage of entries in open arms and time spent in open arms compared with the control group \( p < 0.01 \). SWHP significantly increased the percentage of entries in open arms \( p < 0.05 \), \( p < 0.01 \), \( p < 0.01 \). SWHP at doses of 1.5 g/kg and 3.0 g/kg significantly increased the percentage of time spent in open arms \( p < 0.01 \), \( p < 0.05 \).

3.3. Effects of SWHP on ECS Signaling Pathway Expression in RS Rats. The one-way ANOVA indicated significant differences among groups in the number of positive cells of CB1 \( F (5, 42) = 6.740, p < 0.01 \) and CB2 \( F (5, 42) = 16.901, p < 0.01 \) in the hippocampus CA1 region of rats. As shown in Figure 4, SWHP at doses of 1.5 g/kg and 3.0 g/kg significantly increased the number of positive cells of CB1 \( p < 0.01 \), \( p < 0.01 \) and CB2 \( p < 0.01 \), \( p < 0.05 \) compared with the RS group. Diazepam (1.0 mg/kg) significantly increased the number of positive cells in CB1 and CB2 compared with the control group \( p < 0.01 \).

3.4. Effects of SWHP on the BDNF Expression in RS Rats. The one-way ANOVA indicated significant differences of BDNF expression in the prefrontal cortex \( F (5, 42) = 7.024, p < 0.01 \) and hippocampus \( F (5, 42) = 14.710, p < 0.01 \) among groups. As shown in Figure 5, BDNF expression was increased in the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus in the SWHP 1.5 g/kg and 3.0 g/kg dose groups when compared with the RS group \( p < 0.05 \). Similar effects were observed when treated with diazepam at a dose of 1.0 mg/kg \( p < 0.01 \) in the prefrontal cortex; \( p < 0.05 \) in the hippocampus). The results showed that RS decreased the expression of BDNF. These effects were reversed by treatment with SWHP at doses of 1.5 g/kg and 3.0 g/kg. Therefore, SWHP may protect the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus from anxiety rats by promoting the expression of BDNF, resulting in corresponding antianxiety effects.

3.5. Effects of SWHP on the ERK, Phosphorylation of ERK, CREB, and Phosphorylation of CREB Expression in RS Rats. As shown in Figure 6, the one-way ANOVA revealed significant differences between p-ERK and p-CREB expression in prefrontal cortex \( F (5, 42) = 6.945, p < 0.01 \); \( F (5, 42) = 7.440, p < 0.01 \) and hippocampus \( F (5, 42) = 7.323, p < 0.01 \); \( F (5, 42) = 8.930, p < 0.01 \) among groups. RS significantly increased phosphorylation of ERK (p-ERK) in the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus when compared to control groups (both \( p < 0.01 \)). The increased p-ERK was able to be reversed by administration of SWHP at doses of 1.5 g/kg and 3.0 g/kg in the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus (all \( p < 0.05 \)). Western blot analyses showed that phosphorylation of CREB (p-CREB) was significantly increased in the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus after RS when compared with control groups (both \( p < 0.01 \)). The p-CREB expression in these two brain regions was reversed by treatment with SWHP at doses of 1.5 g/kg and 3.0 g/kg (in prefrontal cortex, \( p < 0.01 \) and \( p < 0.05 \); in hippocampus, both \( p < 0.01 \)).
4. Discussion

Herb-pairs are the basic composition units of Chinese herbal formulae, usually consisting of two TCM herbs [13]. The herb-pairs, which are a unique clinical combination of two relatively fixed herbs, are the simplest and most fundamental form of multitherapy aimed at specific illnesses [37]. After being prescribed in combination, herb-pairs are used either to obtain synergistic effects or to diminish possible adverse reactions. The TCM formula does not mean a simple quantitative addition of different herbs, but reasonable and necessary interactions between herbs with specific functions [38,39]. So, this also follows the “seven relations of TCM” theory, in which there are several aims and principles of herbal compatibility. These include singular application, mutual promotion, mutual assistance, mutual restraint, mutual detoxification, mutual inhibition, and mutual in-toxication [40]. The principle of mutual promotion explains why herb-pairs have significantly better pharmacological efficacy than individual herbs and why these always demonstrate better curative effects than when used alone [13,41].

As we know, herb-pairs are simpler in composition than complete formulae but are still therapeutically effective [37], which is an advantage of using herb-pairs. Studies found that Suanzaoren and Wuweizi are usually combined with a variety of Chinese medicine herbs in clinics for use as sedatives and tranquilizers. More than 17.8% Chinese medicine formulae of treating insomnia recorded in Pharmacopoeia of the People’s Republic of China use SWHP [42]. Many classical traditional formulae for insomnia like Tianwang Buxin Dan, Zhusha Anshen Wan, Baizi Yangxin Pills, and Suanzaoren Decoction would contain SWHP. Some studies have shown that this herb-pair has definite sedative and hypnotic effect on compatible application and also revealed related mechanisms of actions of these herbs [17–20]. As our group previously researched, by designing the orthogonal table and using the extract method, the compatibility and dosage as an examining index was in accordance to previous literature. The pharmacodynamics of the mice was understood according to orthogonal table. The results showed that SWHP has better antianxiety effect when extracted by alcohol-water and the compatibility proportion was 2:1. The dosage should be set to 0.75g/kg, 1.5g/kg, and 3g/kg for subsequent research on the basis of the screening results. Although the pharmacological efficacy and curative effects of herb-pairs are better than single herb, we unfortunately have not further verified the antianxiety effect of Suanzaoren or Wuweizi when used alone compared with SWHP. We plan to conduct following research to explore whether SWHP has a better antianxiety effect than Suanzaoren or Wuweizi when used alone. A total of 30 compounds were identified in our early study, and we plan to explore which active ingredients of SWHP may be responsible for the antianxiety effect for further research. In this study, we only found that the ECS-BDNF-ERK signaling pathway could be activated. However, there is more participation of other receptors; e.g., the 5-HT1A receptor is also a target of anxiety. So, we would explore the mechanism in depth.

Animal stress models are the most common form of anxiety animal models. Stress models could simulate different physiological and emotional responses of stress in humans. RS is a nontraumatic stimulus model, which is similar to psychosomatic disease in humans. RS induces anxiety reactions such as fright, increased defecation, frequent modification, and erected hair in animals. The study showed that p-ERK1/2 levels in the prefrontal cortex were altered by acute and repeated restraint stress [43]. This could better simulate the behavior of human anxiety. So far, RS has
Figure 3: Effects of SWHP on the percentage of entries in open arms and the percentage of time spent in open arms in restraint stress rats. Time spent in the EPM was measured for 5 minutes. (a) The percentage of entries in open arms. (b) The percentage of time spent in open arms. Data represents mean ± SEM. # p < 0.05 or ## p < 0.01 vs. control group and * p < 0.05 or ** p < 0.01 vs. RS model group. One-way ANOVA with Student–Newman–Keuls post hoc test.

Figure 4: Continued.
proved to be an effective anxiety model; thus the RS model is one of the most commonly used [44, 45]. In this paper, we used RS-induced anxiety disorder model, which is well established. We observed that RS can reduce the time spent and the number of central entries in the OF test (Figure 2) and decrease the percentage of entries in open arms and time spent in open arms in EPM test (Figure 3). This model was used to analyze the anxiolytic-like effects and related mechanisms of SWHP.

The imbalance of ECS is related to a variety of CNS and immune system diseases. Two G-protein-coupled receptors (termed as CB1 and CB2) have been discovered to bind...
endocannabinoids and exogenous cannabinoid ligands [46]. CB1 and CB2 both had contact with many signal pathways [47, 48]. It was found that CB1 and CB2 receptors were highly expressed in the hippocampus. The regions with the highest expression of CB1 receptors were CA1 and CA3, and the regions with the highest expression of CB2 receptors were CA1 and CA2 [49]. In the hippocampus, enhanced ECS function may also produce anxiety relief and antidepressant effects, which are related to the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis function. Studies have found that cannabinoid substances bind to cannabinoid receptors, which activate signaling pathways in multiple cells, including MAPK/ERK pathways. The present study showed that RS could induce the decline of the ECS system functions (Figure 4), resulting in the number of CB1 and CB2 positive cells in the hippocampal CA1 region significantly decreasing (Figure 4). SWHP could increase the expression of CB1 and CB2, thereby producing anti-anxiety effect. So, SWHP may play an anti-anxiety role through the endocannabinoid system and those pathways signals, like the MAPK/ERK pathway, may be activated.

BDNF is one of the most widely studied neurotrophic factors in anxiety disorders and is related to neuroplasticity cellular mechanism. MAPK and PI3K are the two main signaling pathways of BDNF, with the MAPK signaling pathway being more active. BDNF has been shown to play a role in antidepressant treatments in major depressive disorder (MDD) and also has an effect in generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) [24]. A review paper showed that stress can have potent effects on the expression of neurotrophins, in particular, BDNF [50]. In clinical and animal models, BDNF has been associated with the development of affective disorders including MDD, anxiety disorders, and posttraumatic stress disorders. BDNF is closely related to the pathophysiology of anxiety disorders and has been used as a potential target for many anti-anxiety drugs [51–54]. A study found that BDNF is mainly synthesized by brain tissue and primarily distributed in the hippocampus and cortex in the CNS. The CNS regulates the plasticity of neurons and synapses and is of great significance for the proliferation and repair of central neurons. This is particularly important as the treatment of anxiety disorders is also closely related to the formation of neurons and synapses. In our study, the expression of BDNF in the brain tissue was detected by western blot. The results showed that SWHP could significantly counteract the onset of BDNF expression decrease (Figure 5). Therefore, SWHP could protect the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus in the anxiety rats by promoting the expression of BDNF, resulting in corresponding anti-anxiety effects.

ERK is a member of the MAPK family. The ERK signaling pathway is recognized as the classical MAPK signaling pathway. It is specifically associated with 5-HT1A receptors and is usually activated by growth factor tyrosine kinase receptors [55]. By this cascade reaction, biological effects are created: RAs activated ➔ Raf activated ➔ MEK

![Figure 5: Effects of SWHP on BDNF expression of restraint stress rats in hippocampus and prefrontal cortex. Data represent mean ± SEM. #p < 0.05 or ##p < 0.01 compared with control group and *p < 0.05 or **p < 0.01 compared with RS model group. One-way ANOVA with Student–Newman–Keuls post hoc test.](image-url)
Figure 6: Effects of SWHP in restraint stress rats on ERK1/2, p-ERK1/2, CREB, and p-CREB expression. Data represent mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05 or **p < 0.01 compared with control group and *p < 0.05 or **p < 0.01 compared with restraint stress model group. One-way ANOVA with Student–Newman–Keuls post hoc test.
activated \rightarrow \text{ERK1/2 activated} \rightarrow \text{RSK activated} \rightarrow p-\text{CREB} \rightarrow \text{creates biological effects}. The results of one study showed that physical and emotional stress could induce the p-ERK1/2 upregulation in central neurons. ERK1/2 is an important signaling molecule in the CNS, when there is stress-induced activity in the brain. P-ERK1/2 in its active form is a new marker used to indicate neuronal activation in functional morphology studies [25]. The cAMP-response element binding protein (CREB) is one of the important protein factors regulating the CNS and is widely distributed in the hippocampus and cortex. As we know, the ERK-CREB signaling pathway is thought to be associated with anxiety [56]. A study indicated that the levels of p-ERK were significantly increased during anxiety, and the results suggested that the ERK signal transduction pathway might play an important role in anxiety, suggesting that the inhibition of the ERK pathway may be a candidate for developing therapeutic strategies for the anxiety disorder.
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