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Abstract

SCXML was proposed as one description language for dialog control in the W3C Multimodal Architecture but lacks the facilities required for grounding and reasoning. This prohibits the application of many dialog modeling techniques for multimodal applications following this W3C standard. By extending SCXML with a Prolog datamodel and scripting language, we enable those techniques to be employed again. Thereby bridging the gap between respective dialog modeling research and a standardized architecture to access and coordinate modalities.

1 Introduction

Deploying multimodal applications has long been an activity of custom solutions, each with their own access to modalities, approaches to sensor fusion and fission and techniques for dialog modeling. With the advent of the W3C MMI architecture (Bondell et al., 2012), the W3C proposed a standardized approach to ensure interoperability among its constituting components (Schnelle-Walka et al., 2013; Dahl, 2013).

The architecture proposed by the W3C decomposes a multimodal application into a nested structure of interaction managers for dialog control and modality components for in- and output. An application is conceived as a set of control documents expressed in SCXML (Barnett et al., 2012) or CCXML (Auburn et al., 2011) for the interaction managers and a set of presentation documents with modality-specific markup for the modality components. A topmost root controller document describes the global dialog and instantiates modality components as required. Each modality component can, in turn, again be an interaction manager, handling more fine granular concerns of dialog control, such as error correction or even sensor fusion/fission.

As one proposed XML dialect for control documents, State Chart XML (SCXML) is given the responsibility to model an applications dialog behavior. SCXML as such is a markup language to express Harel state charts (Harel and Politi, 1998) with nested and parallel machine configurations. The transitions between configurations are triggered by events delivered into the interpreter either from external components or raised by the interpreter itself. Whenever an event arrives, the SCXML interpreter can perform actions described as executable content. This includes invoking or sending events to external components, processing data or updating the datamodel via an embedded scripting language.

SCXML has been proven to be suitable to decouple the control flow and presentation layer in dialog management (Wilcock, 2007). It has been used in several applications to express dialog states (Brusk et al., 2007) or to easily incorporate external information (Siguienza Izquierdo et al., 2011). However, SCXML seems to be suited only to implement finite state or frame-based/form-filling dialogue management approaches. Applications using these dialog techniques are oftentimes inflexible as they lack grounding and reasoning. In this regard, Fodor and Huerta (2006) demand that dialog managers should feature: (i) a formal logic foundation, (ii) an interference engine, (iii) general purpose planners and (iv) knowledge representation and expressiveness.

Most of these requirements are addressed by employing Prolog. Embedding it as a scripting language into SCXML allows multimodal applications in the W3C MMI Architecture to employ the more elaborate dialog management techniques, resulting in more natural and flexible interaction. In this paper we describe our integration of Prolog as an embedded scripting language in an SCXML
Datamodel. All of the work described here is implemented as part of our uSCXML interpreter1 by embedding the SWI Prolog implementation.

2 The Prolog Datamodel

Datamodels in SCXML are more than simple repositories for storing data. With the exception of the null datamodel, they provide access to embedded scripting languages. The datamodels already specified by the SCXML draft are the null, the xpath and the ecmascript datamodel. Prolog itself is a declarative language for logic programming in which facts and rules are used to answer queries. The result of a query is either a boolean value or the set of valid assignments for the queries variables.

In the following sections, we will describe our integration of Prolog as a datamodel in SCXML. The structure of the description loosely follows the existing descriptions for datamodels already found in the SCXML draft.

2.1 Assignments

In an SCXML document, there are two elements which will assign values to variables in the datamodel. These are <data> for initial assignments and <assign> itself. In Prolog, variable assignment is only available in the scope of a query. To realize variable assignment nevertheless, we introduce the variables as predicates, with their assigned data as facts. Listing 1 exemplifies some assignments followed by their resulting Prolog facts.

```prolog
<data id="father">
  bob, jim.
  bob, john.
</data>
% father(bob, jim).
% father(bob, john).

<data id="mother">
  mother(martha, jim).
  mother(martha, john).
</data>
% mother(martha, jim).
% mother(martha, john).

,assign location=""
  retract(father(bob, jim)).
  assert(father(steve, jim)).
</assign>
% father(bob, john).
% father(steve, jim).

<data id="childs">
  <child name="john" father="bob" />
<child name="jim" father="bob" />
</data>
% child{
  % [father=bob, name=john], [jim].
```

Listing 1: Assignments and their results in Prolog.

If given, the id or location attribute identifies the predicate for which the content is to be asserted as fact, otherwise the content is assumed to be a dot-separated list of prolog queries or expressions. The content might also be loaded per URL in the element's src attribute. In the context of SCXML, it is important to support XML and JSON data as shown in the last two examples. Not only enables this an application developer to load data from existing XML and JSON files, it is also important to support these representations for incoming events as we will see in the next section.

There is no standardized representation for XML DOMs or JSON data in Prolog. We pragmatically settled upon the structure returned by the SWI-Prolog SGML parser and the JSON converter as de-facto standards respectively.

With the Prolog datamodel, having an id or location attribute at assignment elements seems superfluous. We do keep them as the SCXML draft specifies these as required attributes.

2.2 Structure of Events

Whenever an event is received by the SCXML interpreter, it has to be transformed into a suitable representation in order to operate on its various fields and content as defined by the SCXML draft. We choose to represent an event as the single predicate event/1 with its facts as compound terms reflecting the event’s fields as shown in listing 2.

```prolog
event(name('foo')).
event(type('external')).
event(sendid('s1.bar')).
event(origin('http://host/path/basichttp')).
event(origintype('http://www.w3.org/TR/scxml/
#BasicHTTPEventProcessor')).
event(invokeid('')).
event(data(...)).
event(param(...)).
event(raw(...)).
```

Listing 2: Example facts for event/1.

This representation enables access to the events individual fields by simple queries such as event(name(X)), which will resolve X to the
event’s name foo. Whenever the interpreter is about to process a new event, all old facts about event/1 are retracted and reasserted with regard to the new event.

The event’s data field may contain a space-normalized string as an atomic term, an XML DOM or, optionally, data from a JSON structure. The structure of JSON and XML DOMs is the same as with assignments in listing 1.

2.3 Scripting

The <script> element either contains Prolog expressions as they would be written in a Prolog file or references such a file directly via its src attribute. Together with <assign> and <data>, this element is the third available to load Prolog files into the SCXML interpreter. This is somewhat undesirable and we would propose to use (i) <data> to establish initial a-priori knowledge as facts, (ii) <assign> for subsequent changes and additions to facts and (iii) <script> to introduce new rules or load Prolog files containing primarily rules.

It is important to note that we do provide a full ISO-Prolog implementation at runtime. This enables an application developer to load arbitrary Prolog files with all their facts and rules.

2.4 System Variables

The SCXML draft requires the datamodel to expose various platform specific values to the datamodel. These are the identifier of the current session, the name of the document and the available I/O processors to send and receive events. Following the approach of defining predicates to provide access to information in the datamodel, we introduced predicates as given in listing 3.

```
% name/1:
name("foo").

% sessionid/1:
sessionid("bar").

% ioprocessors/1:
ioprocessors(basichttp( location('http://host/path/basichttp')))..
ioprocessors(scxml(location('http://host/path/scxml'))).% ioprocessors/2:
ioprocessors(name(basichttp), location('http://host/path1')).
ioprocessors(name('http://www.w3.org/TR/scxml/#BasicHTTPEventProcessor'), location('http://host/path1'))....
```

Listing 3: Predicates for system variables.

Defining two predicates for ioprocessors is simply a matter of convenience as their short names (e.g. basichttp or scxml) are suited as functors for compound terms, where their canonical names are not. Therefore ioprocessors/1 will only contain the short names, and ioprocessors/2 contains both. This allows us to send events, e.g. with the basichttp ioprocessor via:

```
<send type="basichttp"
targetexpr="ioprocessors(basichttp(location(X)))"
event="foo"/>
```

Listing 4: Sending ourselves an event via basichttp.

2.5 Conditional Expressions

Conditional expressions in SCXML are used to guard transitions and as part of <if> and <elseif> elements in executable content. They consist of a single, datamodel specific expression that ought to evaluate to a boolean value. In the case of our Prolog datamodel, these expressions can take the form of an arbitrary query (see listing 5). If there exists at least one solution to the query, the conditional expression will be evaluated to true, and false otherwise.

```
% Is there someone who is not the father of Jim and older than bob?
<if cond="not(father(X, jim)), older(X, bob).">
% Was the current event received from an external component?
<transition target="s3"
cond="event(type(X)), X='external'"/>
% Does the JSON structure in the event’s data contain a household whose name is ‘The Bobsons’?
<transition target="s5"
cond="event(data(household(name:X))), X='The Bobsons'"/>
```

Listing 5: Boolean expressions in cond attribute.

2.6 Evaluating as String

There are several situations in the SCXML draft, where an element from the datamodel needs to be represented as a string. These are usually attributes of elements that equal or end in expr, e.g. log.expr or send.targetexpr.

In these contexts, the interpreter will allow for queries with a single free variable that has to resolve to an atomic term. The actual value of the expression is then the string representation of the variable from the last solution to the query (see listing 6).
2.7 Foreach

The <foreach> element in SCXML allows to iterate over values as part of executable content. Its attributes are array as an iterable expression, item as the current element in the array and index as the current iteration index.

In our Prolog datamodel, this element is available to iterate over all solutions of a query as shown in listing 7.

```xml
<foreach array="father(bob, X)"
  item="child"
  index="index">
  <log label="index" expr="index(X)" />
  <log label="child" expr="child(X)" />
</foreach>
```

Listing 7: Foreach expressions.

3 Example

Listing 8 exemplifies some of the language features of the Prolog datamodel. We start by introducing two predicates with the <data> element, the first defined as dot separated facts, the second one as inline Prolog expressions. In the first state s1, we iterate all children of bob and log their names. Transitioning to the next state is performed if bob and martha have a common child. In s2, we send ourselves an event containing a XML snippet using the basichttp I/O processor. Then we transition to the final state if there is an element with a tagname of p in the received XML document. In the final state we print all facts we established via Prolog’s listing/1 predicate and the interpreter stops.

```xml
<state id="s1">
  <onentry>
    <foreach array="father(bob, X)"
      item="child"
      index="index">
      <log label="index" expr="index(X)" />
      <log label="child" expr="child(X)" />
    </foreach>
  </onentry>
  <transition target="s2"
    cond="mother(martha, X),
      father(bob, X)" />
</state>
```

Listing 8: Example SCXML document.

4 Conclusion

Providing a Prolog datamodel for SCXML enables applications in the W3C MMI architecture to employ grounding and reasoning for facts established during a prior to a dialog. It even enables developers to load complete, existing Prolog programs to be used during event processing. This extends SCXML to fulfill the requirements for dialog management as defined by Fodor and Huerta (2006).

There are multiple variations to the integration of Prolog and more experience is needed still to determine whether the approach presented here is optimal.
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