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Abstract

Introduction: Emerging antimalarial drug resistance in mobile populations remains a significant public health concern. We compared two regimens of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine in military and civilians on the Thai-Cambodian border to evaluate national treatment policy.

Methods: Efficacy and safety of two and three-day regimens of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine were compared as a nested open-label evaluation within a malaria cohort study in 222 otherwise healthy volunteers (18% malaria-infected at baseline). The first 80 volunteers with slide-confirmed Plasmodium falciparum or vivax malaria were randomized 1:1 to receive either regimen (total dose 360mg dihydroartemisinin and 2880mg piperaquine) and followed weekly for up to 6 months. The primary endpoint was malaria recurrence by day 42. Volunteers with vivax infection received primaquine at study discharge with six months follow-up.

Results: Eighty patients (60 vivax, 15 falciparum, and 5 mixed) were randomized to dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine. Intention-to-treat all-species efficacy at Day 42 was 85% for the two-day regimen (95% CI 69–94) and 90% for the three-day regimen (95% CI 75–97). PCR-adjusted falciparum efficacy was 75% in both groups with nearly half (45%) still parasitemic at Day 3. Plasma piperaquine levels were comparable to prior published reports, but on the day of recrudescence were below measurable in vitro piperaquine IC50 levels in all falciparum treatment failures.

Conclusions: In the brief period since introduction of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine, there is early evidence suggesting declining efficacy relative to previous reports. Parasite IC50 levels in excess of plasma piperaquine levels seen only in treatment failures raises concern for clinically significant piperaquine resistance in Cambodia. These findings warrant improved monitoring of clinical outcomes and follow-up, given few available alternative drugs.
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Introduction

Recent public health efforts have made considerable progress in reducing the morbidity and mortality of malaria in Southeast Asia. These advances are threatened by the emergence of documented artemisinin resistance in four countries including Cambodia, Myanmar, Thailand, and Vietnam [1]. Most notably, multi-drug resistant *Plasmodium falciparum* along the western Cambodian-Thai border is now resistant to both components of multiple artemisinin combination treatments (ACTs), emphasizing the importance of ongoing monitoring of antimalarial therapeutic efficacy and resistance in this region [1–4].

Dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine is a fast-acting, potent artemisinin derivative paired with a long-acting 4-aminoquinoline [5]. Several studies have demonstrated that dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine is safe and highly efficacious against multi-drug resistant *falciparum* although recent reports suggest declining efficacy in western Cambodia [2,6–9]. National distribution as the first-line ACT for all malarial infection began in 2012, with introduction in 2006 in military personnel and in 2008 for Zone 1, a containment area of antimalarial resistance along the western Cambodian-Thai border [1,2,10].

At the time of this study, Cambodian national treatment guidelines recommended artesunate plus mefloquine combination therapy for *falciparum* infection and chloroquine monotherapy for *vivax* infection. However, military practice guidelines employed various two and three-day regimens of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine under the brand names Artekin, Artequick, and Duocotexin, in order to improve compliance in austere settings. In anticipation of the Cambodian national malaria control (CNM) program’s plan to replace artesunate plus mefloquine with dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine for all species, we conducted a treatment study nested within a malaria cohort study in order to provide evidence-based treatment policy recommendations. The primary objective aimed to compare the efficacy of two and three-day regimens of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine in a dynamic setting of emerging multi-drug resistance.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

The study protocol was approved by specific institutional review boards at institutions including Walter Reed Army Institute of Research [IRB#00000794], National Ethics Committee for Health Research in Cambodia (IRB#00003143), and University of North Carolina [IRB#00002074]. This trial was registered prior to initiation (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT01280162) and adhered to CONSORT guidelines. All participants provided written informed consent for participation, collection of samples, and subsequent analyses.

Study Design and Participants

This was a two-arm, randomized, open-label trial nested within an active observational cohort study to compare the efficacy and safety of two versus three-day regimens of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine in *falciparum* and *vivax* malaria. The study was conducted in part to identify potential sites to conduct antimalarial chemoprophylaxis studies. Between September 2010 and March 2011, otherwise healthy civilian and military personnel aged 18 to 65 years were enrolled into the cohort study at two sites in Oddar Meanchay province near the northern Thai border. Exclusion criteria included allergic reaction or other contraindication to dihydroartemisinin or piperaquine, pregnancy, lactation, or abnormal EKG including a QTc interval greater than 500 milliseconds. Volunteers developing slide-confirmed asexual *falciparum* and/or *vivax* parasites were randomized to receive a directly observed two or three-day course of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine and assessed weekly for malaria for a minimum of 42 days. Volunteers with recurrent malaria received first-line therapy per national guidelines, which at the time of the study included artesunate-mefloquine for *P. falciparum* and chloroquine for *P. vivax*. Upon cohort study discharge, volunteers who had developed *vivax* infection were treated with commercially-obtained primaquine phosphate. Those with normal glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) activity received 30mg of oral primaquine daily for 14 days whereas G6PD-deficient volunteers received 45mg of oral primaquine weekly for eight weeks. To evaluate primaquine for *vivax* radical cure and relapse prevention, volunteers had passive follow-up via monthly clinic visit or telephone interview for 6 months after primaquine administration.

The primary efficacy endpoint was the first occurrence of any-species treatment failure by 42 days following dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine administration. Treatment failure was defined as any-species blood-stage recurrence before Day 42 to include mixed infection [11]. Secondary endpoints included species-specific treatment failure, piperaquine pharmacokinetics, drug resistance characterization, comparative safety outcomes including QTc prolongation, and 6-month post-primaquine recurrence rates.

Randomization and Masking

Blocked randomization (computer-generated, fixed block size of two) assigned consecutive volunteers with positive malaria smear to receive either a two-day or three-day regimen, with treatment allocation masked from study staff and volunteers in sealed envelopes. A block size of 2 was chosen to maximize the comparability of treatment groups between enrollment sites, since malaria attack rates were not known a priori, and was variability was anticipated. Duocotexin provided by Zhejiang Holley Nanhu Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd was procured by CNM. The two-day regimen (180mg dihydroartemisinin and 1440mg piperaquine given at time of diagnosis and then at 24 hours +/-1 hour) and three-day regimen (120mg dihydroartemisinin and 960mg piperaquine at time of diagnosis, at 24 hours and 48 hours +/-1 hour) both passed British Pharmacopeia 2004 content and uniformity standards conducted by AFRIMS Pharmacology Lab [3].

Procedures

After enrollment, cohort volunteers had a baseline history and physical examination, 12-lead electrocardiogram (EKG), and laboratory evaluation [thick and thin smears, complete blood count (CBC), renal function, liver function, and G6PD deficiency via fluorescent spot test (SQMMP500, R&D Diagnostic). Volunteers had weekly assessment for symptoms of *Plasmodium* infection, and if symptom-free, monthly blood smears. Volunteers with clinical suspicion of malaria at routine follow-up, or at any time during the study, had blood smears. Two blinded microbiologists examined Giemsa-stained thick and thin smears; a third blinded microbiologist determined the final result for discordant readings. Parasite densities were calculated as a parasite count per 200 WBCs (thick smear) or per 5000 RBCs (thin smear). A total of 200 oil immersion fields were examined on the thick film before it was considered negative.

Volunteers with slide-confirmed malaria were admitted to an inpatient facility. Blood smears were made at 0 (first dose), 4, 8 hours, and then every 8 hours along with vital sign measurements. All treatment was directly observed and given with biscuits and lactasoy (320kcal, 17g fat) roughly 30–60 minutes before the first dose was administered. Patients were released to continued
outpatient follow-up once afebrile with two consecutive negative smears and therapy completed. They were assessed weekly for malaria recurrence until cohort discharge, a minimum of 42 days. For volunteers developing blood stage vivax infection during the study, primaquine was administered upon cohort study discharge and directly observed. Pre- and post-primaquine (on day 3 up to day 28) hemoglobin levels were assessed for safety in patients with G6PD deficiency, with primaquine halted if greater than 25% hemoglobin drop was observed after the first dose at day 3.

Plasma piperazine level sampling at 0, 4, 24, 48, and 72 hours after the first dose and weekly until day 42 or day of recurrence was performed using ultra-high performance liquid chromatography.

Figure 1. Study Schematic Inset and Trial Profile. *Randomization goal met, 2 withdrew. **Prolonged QTc. ¥PCR-corrected speciation. *Not included are 2nd recurrences (1 Pf, 1 Pv, 1 mixed). #Not included are 2nd recurrences (2 Pf, 5 Pv) and a 3rd recurrence (1 Pv). Study discharge varied, median 115 days follow-up. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0093138.g001
Table 1. Baseline characteristics of enrolled patients according to treatment arm.

|                          | 2-day DHA-Piperaquine (n = 40) | 3-day DHA-Piperaquine (n = 40) |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Male patients            | 39 (97.5)                      | 38 (95)                        |
| Weight (kg) (mean, SD)   | 57.5 (7.2)                     | 57.6 (7.8)                     |
| Age (years) (mean, SD)   | 35.6 (8.3)                     | 32.0 (8.9)                     |
| Military personnel       | 39 (97.5)                      | 38 (95)                        |
| History of malaria in previous year | 26 (65)                      | 18 (45)                        |
| Temperature >37.5°C      | 11 (28)                        | 17 (43)                        |
| G6PD deficiency by fluorescent spot test | 8 (20)                       | 5 (13)                         |
| Hematocrit (%) (mean, SD)| 39.4 (4.3)                    | 40.2 (5.4)                     |
| Species at primary infection* |                                 |                                |
| P. falciparum            | 6 (15)                         | 10 (25)                        |
| Geometric mean parasites/μL (95% CI) | 994 (211–4686)              | 2357 (596–9323)                |
| P vivax                  | 33 (80)                        | 28 (70)                        |
| Geometric mean parasites/μL (95% CI) | 499 (224–1112)              | 390 (177–858)                  |
| Mixed infections         | 1 (3)                          | 2 (5)                          |
| Geometric mean parasites/μL (95% CI) | 6130**                     | 3284 (1197–9011)               |
| Presence of vivax gametocytes | 21 (53)                       | 18 (45)                        |
| Presence of falciparum gametocytes | 1 (3)                       | 5 (13)                         |

All data are number (%) unless otherwise indicated. There were no statistical or clinically significant differences between groups. *Non-PCR adjusted. **Only 1 sample in this subgroup so actual parasitemia reported. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0093138.t001

phy with tandem mass spectrometry analysis adapted from previous methods [5]. Briefly, 2 ml of whole blood was collected into chilled sodium heparin tubes, immediately centrifuged to separate plasma, frozen at approximately −20°C or below, and then transferred to Bangkok for analysis by UPLC/MS/MS using a Waters Acquity Ultra Performance LC coupled with a Xevo TQ-S (Waters, Milford, MA, USA). Chromatographic separation was performed on an Acquity UPLC® BEH C18 1.7um, 2.1x50 mm analytical column with the same material used for the guard column at 40°C. The gradient mobile phase was comprised of 5% 200 mM Ammonium acetate pH 9.8, 28% ACN and 50% MeOH at a flow rate of 0.6 ml/min, using a sample injection volume of 2 µl with 5-minute run time. The Xevo TQ-S was equipped with an electrospray ionization source and operated in the positive ion mode. Data was acquired and processed using MassLynx version 4.1. Quantification was carried out using multi reaction mode (MRM) evaluating the transition of m/z from 535.22 to 262.20 and 294.19 (major) SIL-PIP which was used as an internal standard. A two-compartment model with 1st order absorption and elimination was developed using Phoenix WinNonlin version 1.3 (Pharsight, USA) to estimate pharmacokinetic parameters including the maximum concentration by interpolation (Cmax), time to maximum concentration (tmax), area under the curve from time 0 to ∞ (AUC0–∞), α (t1/2 (alpha – distribution half life) and β (t1/2 (beta – elimination half life)). Additional calculated parameter estimates included clearance divided by fraction absorbed from the central compartment (Cl/F), inter-compartmental clearance (Clint/F), absorption rate constant (ka1), volume of distribution of fraction absorbed from central compartment (V1/F), and volume of distribution over fraction absorbed from the peripheral compartment (V2/F).

Fresh falciparum isolates obtained prior to drug administration were tested at 0, 4, 24, 48, and 72 hours in histidine-rich protein-2 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays [HRP-2 ELISA] for susceptibility to common antimalarials [12]. Recurrent cases were distinguished as recrudescence or reinfection by genotyping for MSP-1 (merozoite specific protein), MSP-2, and GLURP (glutamate-rich protein) allelic variants [13]. Evaluation of pfdnd1 copy number, pfdnd1 single nucleotide polymorphisms (N86Y, Y184F, S1034C, N1042D, D1246Y), pfdnd1 copy number, and pfcr haplotyping of amino acid positions 72–76 were performed as previously described [14–20].

Statistical Analysis
Region-specific preliminary data estimated a monthly incidence of 5–10% during peak malaria season. For the nested treatment study, sample size estimates were based on published dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine falciparum cure rates of approximately 75% and 98% for the two and three-day regimens respectively [21]. A total sample size of 76 was required to detect a 25% difference in efficacy with nominal 80% power using Fisher’s exact test with α = 0.05 (two-sided) (PASS, 2005).

Three analysis populations were defined: (1) intention-to-treat (ITT) were all volunteers who received at least one treatment dose with those missing end-point data treated as failures, (2) per-protocol (PP) were all volunteers who completed treatment and who had at least 42 days of follow-up, and (3) modified intent-to-treat (mITT) was the ITT population; and, for mITT efficacy, persons withdrawn, lost-to-follow-up, or protocol violations were considered censored at the last study visit. For primaquine given at study discharge, ad hoc mITT and ITT analyses were conducted to estimate 6-month relapse prevention.

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize results. Mean values were compared using t-tests. Geometric means were compared using log-transformed values. The Wilcoxon rank sum test was used for non-parametric comparisons. Fisher’s exact test was used to compare two independent proportions. Confidence
intervals (95%) were computed for all estimates of treatment effect measures with two-sided P-values reported. The mITT population was used for primary efficacy analysis (all-species recurrence by Day 42) and for species-specific recurrence. Day 42 recurrence rates (95% CI) were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method. Cumulative risk curves were compared using the Cox-Mantel (log-rank) test. Falciparum-specific analysis was PCR-adjusted to regard true falciparum recrudescence (alone or mixed infection in both initial and recurrent parasitemia) as failures and censored new falciparum or vivax infections as non-failsures [22]. Dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine efficacy was also assessed in both the PP and ITT populations as the proportion of volunteers with PCR-corrected adequate clinical and parasitological response (ACPR) at Day 42. The ITT analysis treated those with missing endpoint data as failures.

Adverse events (AEs) were monitored from the time of antimalarial administration until study discharge and assessed for causality [23]. Given many symptoms attributable to acute malaria, we compared only symptoms that developed after dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine administration in the ITT population. A 12-lead ECG was performed at the time of infection and at 24-hour intervals until treatment completion. QT intervals were manually measured and corrected using Bazett's (QTcB = QT/√RR) and Fridericia's (QTcF = QT/√RR) formulae. If electronic QTcB reading was prolonged $\geq$ 500ms after dosing, study drug was halted, and an alternative agent was used for treatment. All statistical analyses were done using SAS® software, version 9.3 (SAS Corporation, Careay, NC).

Table 2. Therapeutic responses and PCR-corrected outcomes by treatment regimen.

| Therapeutic responses | 2-day DHA-Piperaquine (n = 40) | 3-day DHA-Piperaquine (n = 40) | P-value |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Therapeutic responses |                               |                               |         |
| 72-hour positivity, (pos/n) |                               |                               |         |
| P. vivax              | 0/32                          | 0/28                          | ~       |
| P. falciparum+mixed   | 4/8                           | 5/12                          | 0.72    |
|                       | [50 (22–78)]                  | [42 (19–68)]                  |         |
| Parasite clearance time, h, median (IQR) |                               |                               |         |
| P. vivax              | 8 (8,24)                      | 16 (8,40)                     | 0.40*   |
| P. falciparum+mixed   | 8 (8,16)                      | 8 (6,16)                      | 0.96    |
|                       | 80 (48,88)                    | 68 (44, 104)                  | 0.96    |
| Fever clearance time, h, median (IQR) |                               |                               |         |
|                       | 16 (10,17)                    | 16 (8, 24)                    | 0.88    |
| Gametocyte clearance time, h, median (IQR) |                               |                               |         |
|                       | 16 (12,24)                    | 20 (8,40)                     | 0.86    |
| Efficacy outcomes     |                               |                               |         |
| Early treatment failure, n |                               |                               |         |
| Late treatment failure, n |                               |                               |         |
| ACPR, PCR-adjusted, Day 42 |                               |                               |         |
| Per-protocol, all-species* |                               |                               | 0.71    |
|                       | 34/38                         | 36/39                         |         |
|                       | [89 (75–97)]                  | [92 (79–98)]                  |         |
| P. vivax*             | 29/30                         | 27/28                         | 0.74    |
|                       | [97 (83–99)]                  | [96 (82–100)]                 |         |
| P. falciparum*        | 6/8                           | 9/11                          | 0.73    |
|                       | [75 (35–97)]                  | [82 (48–98)]                  |         |
| ITT, all-species**   | 34/40                         | 36/40                         | 0.74    |
|                       | [85 (70–94)]                  | [90 (76–97)]                  |         |
| P. vivax*             | 29/32                         | 27/28                         | 0.62    |
|                       | [91 (75–98)]                  | [96 (82–100)]                 |         |
| P. falciparum*        | 6/8                           | 9/12                          | 1.00    |
|                       | [75 (35–99)]                  | [75 (43–95)]                  |         |
| Malaria-free at study discharge*** |                               |                               |         |
| Per-protocol, all-species |                               |                               | 0.82    |
|                       | 21/38                         | 19/37                         |         |
|                       | [55 (38–71)]                  | [51 (34–68)]                  |         |
| ITT, all-species**   | 21/40                         | 19/40                         | 0.82    |
|                       | [53 (36–69)]                  | [48 (32–64)]                  |         |

All data are number [%/95% CI] unless otherwise indicated; CIs are based on binomial (exact) calculations; p-values are for Fisher’s exact test. *Wilcoxon test was used for comparing parasite and fever clearance times. Vivax recurrence in those with initial vivax infection. *Falciparum recurrences in volunteers with initial falciparum or mixed infections (n=2 in each arm) were all true recrudescences by PCR. One subject with initial falciparum infection developed vivax infection at Day 42 and was counted as failure in the all-species analysis only. **PP analysis excludes subjects withdrawn or lost-to-follow-up. ***ITT analysis treats subjects withdrawn or lost-to-follow-up as treatment failures. ***Mean (median) follow-up duration 102 days (115 days).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0093138.t002
There were seven late treatment failures (LTF) with the earliest (P. vivax) occurring at Day 14 in the two-day group. Plasma piperaquine concentration-time profiles were similar for LTFs and ACPR (Figure 2A). Geometric mean log AUC_{0-\infty} where it could be calculated was not significantly lower in late treatment failures than ACPR using an unpaired T-test (Figure 2B).

All-species efficacy by mITT analysis at Day 42, the primary endpoint, was 90% (95% CI, 7–19) for two-day and 92.5% (95% CI, 0–16) for three-day regimens ($P = 0.63$) (Figure 3A). All species intention-to-treat efficacy by day 42 was 85% (95% CI 70–94) in the two-day group versus 90% (95% CI 76–97) in the three-day group ($P = 0.74$) (Table 2), with vivax-specific ITT efficacy 91% (95% CI 75–98) and 96% (95% CI 82–100) respectively ($P = 0.62$) (Table 2). Incidence of PCR-corrected falciparum recrudescence by Day 42 was 25% (95% CI, 0–55) and 17% (95% CI, 0–38) for two and three-day regimens respectively ($P = 0.72$), but 38% (95% CI 4–71) and 33% (95% CI 7–60) respectively by study discharge ($P = 0.91$) (Figure 3B), with ITT efficacy at Day 42 only 75% in both groups (95% CI 35–99 two-day versus 43–95 three-day) (Table 2). For those with initial vivax parasitemia, cumulative incidence of recurrence was 3% in each group at Day 42 (two-day 95% CI, 0–9; three-day 95% CI, 0–10) (Figure 3C). Regimens were comparable in the proportion remaining vivax malaria-free at study discharge with 42.5% for two-day (95% CI, 27–58) versus 45% for three days (95% CI, 30–60) ($P = 0.94$).

While largely indistinguishable, pharmacokinetic parameters for piperaquine calculated using a slow and fast clearing 2-compartment model revealed significantly higher $C_{\text{max}}$, AUC_{0-\infty}, and $k_{01}$ with the 2 day course but lower terminal elimination half-life ($\beta_{1/2}$) compared to the 3 day course (Table 3). $Ex\ vivo$ drug susceptibility was assessed in 12/16 (75%) isolates from falciparum cases at Day 0 and compared to 50% inhibitory concentration (IC50) values at recurrence (Figure S4). Average IC50s at day 0 were higher in recrudescent than non-recrudescent cases, though the limited number of paired samples prevented assessment of statistical significance. In all evaluable cases of falciparum recrudescence, plasma piperaquine levels on day of recurrence were below Day 0 IC50 whereas levels in all ACPR cases were above Day 0 IC50 (Figure 4).

Though multiple pfmdr1 copy number at baseline (>1.5) was more common in recrudescent (3/7), than non-recrudescent cases (0/13), there was no difference in median Day 0 pfmdr1 copy number between the 7 recrudescent and 13 non-recrudescent falciparum cases [1.22 (IQR 1.00, 1.16) versus 1.12 (IQR 1.14,1.61); $p = 0.104$]. Copy number increased relative to Day 0 in all three cases of secondary recurrence (Figure S1). All falciparum isolates except one contained the1041Pfmdr1 mutation, but wild-type at codons 86N, 104S, 1042N, 1246D, and all had the perfect CVIET mutant haplotype associated with chloroquine resistance. Increased pfmdr1copy number in vivax isolates was uncommon (7% of all isolates) and did not increase significantly with recurrent parasitemia (Table S1) [20].

The most commonly reported adverse events were upper respiratory infection, headache, and musculoskeletal pain. Only 10% of volunteers developed new complaints not present at baseline following dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine treatment (Figure S2). The only serious adverse event (SAE) reported was an unrelated hospitalization for motorcycle accident six weeks after dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine administration. There was a mean QT$^+\text{f}$ prolongation of 20–30ms (Figure 5) between pre-dose and trough drug piperaquine levels, and roughly 18.5% of the 80 subjects dosed had a grade 1 cardiac adverse event due to QT$^+\text{f}$ prolongation during the 3 day period following dosing (Table 4).

**Figure 2. Comparison of plasma piperaquine levels between ACPR and recrudescence patients.** (A) Concentration–time profiles (geometric mean concentrations with 95% CI) for ACPR and recrudescence groups. There were no statistically significant differences between groups at any timepoint. (B) AUC_{0-\infty} (2 compartment analysis) in ACPR and LTF groups. Black lines represent geometric mean with 95%CI. Note that AUC could not be calculated in 4 and 2 patients in the ACPR and LTF groups, respectively due to limited timepoints (only 3) in the terminal phase.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0093138.g002

**Results**

Between September and December 2010, 256 asymptomatic volunteers screened for the cohort study, 222 enrolled, and 20% (51/256) were malaria-infected at baseline (39 vivax, 11 falciparum, 1 mixed) of whom 41 enrolled. Of the 91 developing uncomplicated malaria (Figure 1), the first 80 were randomized to dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine in a nested treatment study (Table 1). Of the 80, 75% had vivax, 19% had falciparum, and 6% had both species, with three lost to follow-up and one withdrawal. Gametocytemia was present at diagnosis in 56% (45/80) overall, and in 65% (39/60) of those with initial vivax infection.

Parasite and gametocyte clearance times were similar in each group (Table 2). Among 20 volunteers with falciparum, 75% were parasitemic at 48 hours and 45% at 72 hours. Median falciparum clearance time was 80 hours (IQR, 48, 88) in the two-day group and 68 hours (IQR, 44,104) in the three-day group ($P = 0.84$), while median asexual vivax parasitemia clearance was 8 hours in both groups.

There were no early treatment failures (ETF). One volunteer with vivax infection had therapy switched after a QTc interval increase >100 milliseconds following the first dose of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine and was censored as a treatment failure.
Figure 3. Cumulative incidence of recurrence by modified intention-to-treat analysis at Day 42 and study discharge. (A) No statistical difference between regimens for all-species recurrence at primary endpoint Day 42 (p = 0.63) and at day of discharge (p = 0.84). (B) In persons with an initial *falciparum* parasitemia (alone or mixed), there was no difference in true *falciparum* recrudescence at Day 42 (p = 0.73) and at day of study discharge (p = 0.91). (C) In persons with an initial *vivax* parasitemia (alone or mixed), there was no difference in *vivax* recurrence at Day 42 (0.94) and at day of study discharge (p = 0.22).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0093138.g003
There were no significant differences in adverse cardiac events between the regimens on any of the days measured (Table 4).

At cohort study discharge, 72 volunteers developing *vivax* infection received directly observed primaquine treatment. Thirteen volunteers were qualitatively G6PD-deficient and received a weekly 45mg primaquine dose for eight weeks. Prior to starting treatment, the mean (SD) baseline hematocrit for the G6PD-deficient group was 41.2 (3.7) mg/dL (95% CI, 39.0–43.4), similar to the mean follow-up hematocrit within 1 month of initiating therapy [40.4 (4.9) mg/dL (95% CI, 38.5–42.3; P = 0.60)]. Only two G6PD-deficient volunteers had >10% reduction from baseline hematocrit, dropping 18% and 14% below baseline at 19 and nine days, respectively, and both resolved within one month with iron and multivitamin supplementation. Six months of passive follow-up was completed in person or by phone for 96% (69/72) of volunteers, the majority of whom remained in the transmission area. Twenty-six volunteers had recurrences (25 *vivax*, 4 *falciparum*) with no difference between standard and weekly primaquine. Twenty-six volunteers had recurrences (25 *vivax*, the majority of whom remained in the transmission area. Twenty-six volunteers had recurrences (25 *vivax*, 4 *falciparum*) with no difference between standard and weekly primaquine.

### Discussion

In an open-label, randomized trial of two and three-day dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine regimens, we could not distinguish a difference in efficacy for treatment of all-species malaria. We did find that 42-day treatment failure rates (7.5% and 10% respectively) were higher than previously reported; however, the small numbers of treatment failures limits the ability to draw conclusions on emerging *falciparum* resistance patterns. The majority of published studies demonstrated dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine treatment failure rates of 0–5% in both Africa and Southeast Asia [6–8]. This is particularly concerning for a combined malaria endpoint, selected to provide a ‘real world’ assessment of efficacy prior to introduction as first-line therapy for all malaria in Cambodia, given the poor *falciparum* efficacy seen (as low as 75% at Day 42). Prolonged parasite clearance times with 45% Day 3 positivity were observed despite relatively low initial parasitemia and DOT. Dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine was efficacious against blood-stage *vivax* with treatment failure as low as 3% at Day 42. A recent report indicated *falciparum* treatment failure rates as high as 25% in western Cambodia, worsening over three years (2008–2010), but 100% efficacy in Preah Vihear province [9]. Despite small numbers of *falciparum* malaria here, our findings suggest that the decline in efficacy of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine may have already spread to northern Cambodia as early as 2010.

More importantly, treatment failures had Day 0 parasite ex vivo *IC₅₀* levels in excess of plasma piperaquine levels at the time of recrudescence, providing evidence for clinical piperaquine resistance. This is concerning given dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine had been regularly used in this population for only three years prior. Possible reasons for the rapid decline in dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine efficacy include private sector availability, self-treatment, poor compliance, and the long terminal half-life of piperaquine potentially exposing surviving parasites to subtherapeutic drug levels [5,24]. In theory, the latter could create a higher risk of resistance due to the shorter terminal elimination half-life seen with the 2 day course, but there was otherwise little pharmacokinetic explanation to favor greater efficacy or risk for resistance for the 2 or 3 day regimen. Piperaquine pharmacokinetic parameters were similar to those reported recently in Southeast Asian adults, with a long terminal elimination half-life and similar *C₅₀* for the 3-day course in the fed state, and a higher *C₅₀* as expected for the 2-day course. While calculated AUC was larger for the 2-day course, the AUC in the 3-day course was likely underestimated due to the limited time points available. Short courses of artemisinins alone as part of an ACT regimen are not intended by themselves to be curative, but to rapidly clear initial parasite burden and shorten the febrile period. Because clear pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic relationships have not been established for either *P. falciparum* or *P. vivax*, the relative contributions of artemisinin levels to therapeutic outcome of ACTs have yet to be established, and were not measured [25].

Limited sample size, particularly for *falciparum*, permits neither definitive conclusions regarding dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine efficacy nor detailed associations between molecular markers of drug resistance and treatment failures. However, the high proportion of *falciparum* failures, and association with drug levels...
Figure 4. Piperaquine plasma concentration-time profiles in relation to IC50 for cases of *P. falciparum* recrudescence. The pink line represents the plasma piperaquine concentration, and the green line represents Day 0 IC50 with time of recurrence noted by arrows. Plasma piperaquine is shown as piperaquine phosphate salt concentration (MW 999.56) to match IC50 calculation method. NI = new infection in case 091. The IC50 for 149RC could not be assessed due to non-recovery of parasites from the clinical sample.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0093138.g004
below parasite piperazine IC_{50} is concerning. Increased Pfmdr1 copy number was not associated with first recurrence, supporting prior correlations between in vitro piperazine and chloroquine sensitivity and Pfmdr1 copy number amplification in Thai-Burmese *falciparum* isolates [26]. Nearly all isolates had the *Pfmdr1* 184F mutation, associated with mefloquine selective pressure in western Cambodia [27], and all isolates had mutant *pfcrt* CVIET haplotype associated with reduced susceptibility to chloroquine and piperazine [28,29]. Lack of *pfmdr1* amplification in *vivax* infections, previously associated with decreased chloroquine susceptibility, also suggests possible chloroquine resistance [30].

While piperazine was relatively well tolerated with few treatment-emergent adverse events beyond those associated with malaria itself, there was a safety signal suggested by the degree of QT interval prolongation. This signal may have been underestimated as EKGs in our study were obtained at 24-hour trough but not peak piperazine concentrations, which typically occur at 4–6 hours post-dose. A food effect cannot be ruled out as a contributing factor, given that DHA-piperazine was administered with a low-fat (17g) snack. While substantial cardiac safety signals from DHA-piperazine were not reported in a prior regulated multi-center clinical trial [6], and there have been no case reports of piperazine-induced Torsades-de-Pointes, nearly 20% of the 80 subjects dosed had at least a grade 1 adverse event from QT prolongation. Piperazine has been used in millions of people without reports of life-threatening cardio-toxicity to our knowledge. Prior studies have suggested that it may be difficult to distinguish true drug-induced QT prolongation from the effects of the underlying illness, fever and tachycardia [31] [32]. However the mean prolongations seen here of 20–30 milliseconds over baseline at trough rather than peak post-dose levels were considerably higher than those previously reported, and warrant further investigation. We are currently conducting further detailed investigations regarding this finding (ClinicalTrials.gov - NCT01624337; NCT01849640).

This is the first study to be carried out in a predominantly military population in Cambodia since 1977 [7,10,33]. Residing along endemic borders, often in difficult terrain, mobile populations represent a critically important group for malaria containment and elimination efforts. A recent survey of the malaria elimination program in Sri Lanka highlighted the need to target mobile gem miners and military personnel [34]. Similarly in

![Figure 5. Mean trough QTcF intervals for patients treated daily with DHA-piperazine (360/2880 mg cumulative) divided over 2 or 3 days (n = 40 in each group). Error bars indicate ±1 standard deviation. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0093138.g005](image)
Cambodia, where *Plasmodium* transmission is geographically variable, military personnel may act as a reservoir for malaria transmission to the general population [7,10,33]. The high proportion of asymptomatic infections at enrollment and low rates of malaria-free survival over the four months of the cohort study may pose greater challenges for malaria elimination efforts than the evidence for piperaquine resistance. The study highlights limitations of an approach to malaria containment limited to blood stage agents. There is an unmet need in this setting for both transmission-blocking and radical treatment of vivax malaria with primaquine, without which the elimination of vivax may be impossible. A key positive finding was that primaquine administered at cohort discharge was safe and well-tolerated even in G6PD deficient individuals, despite a 39% vivax six-month recurrence rate. Despite this, the very small number of G6PD-deficient patients treated with primaquine, and diagnostics limited to qualitative fluorescent spot testing does not warrant widespread use of primaquine without testing in this population. Further investigations into primaquine safety in G6PD-deficient individuals are currently underway.

Evidence of reduced dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine efficacy shortly after introduction in Cambodia along with clinical evidence for piperaquine resistance are concerning. Frequent recurrences following effective therapy and a potentially large asymptomatic carrier pool will pose substantial challenges to the possibility of malaria elimination in Cambodia. Novel antimalarial development and improved elimination strategies are urgently needed. In the interim, improvements in case management and patient follow-up offer the best hope for containing drug-resistant malaria.
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