Ceramic Toughening Strategies for Biomedical Applications
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1 INTRODUCTION

With the aging of the population, the demand for maintaining the quality of life is in needed worldwide. The tooth loss (Zitzmann et al., 2007), symptomatic osteoarthritis (Pivec et al., 2012; Hunter and Bierma-Zeinstra, 2019), as well as bone defects and dysfunction (Carrington, 2005; Olshansky et al., 2005) have become global health care problems, which lead to growing markets for high-quality biomedical devices (Zitzmann et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2008; Chevalier and Gremillard, 2009; Carr et al., 2012; Patel et al., 2015). Large amount of these devices are made of metal but their metal-color (Bayne et al., 2019), high wear rates of bearing components and large amount of ion release (Chevalier and Gremillard, 2009) greatly impede their clinical applications. Thus, benefited from its excellent mechanical, esthetic (Bayne et al., 2019) and biocompatible properties (Gao et al., 2014; Ruiz Henao et al., 2021), ceramic becomes potential candidate for biomedical applications, such as dental restorations (Li et al., 2014; Spitznagel et al., 2018), dental implants (Kohal et al., 2008; Saadaldin and Rizkalla, 2014), heads and cups of joint replacements (Rahaman et al., 2007), as well as bone fillers and scaffolds (Ma et al., 2018) for tissue engineering. Although ceramic materials show their huge potential and preponderance, high brittleness of ceramic restrains its biomedical...
applications, which might result in severe clinical complications, such as fracture (Benaqqa et al., 2005; Koo et al., 2014; Morimoto et al., 2016; Kong et al., 2019). Failures of ceramic mostly happen in the absence of extrinsic shielding mechanisms, where fracture invariably occurs catastrophically by cohesive bond breaking at the crack tip with a resulting very low intrinsic toughness of roughly 1–3 MPa m\(^{1/2}\) (Launey and Ritchie, 2009). Therefore, the extreme brittleness of ceramic materials highlights the importance of ceramic toughening.

Toughness of materials could be thought as the ability to dissipate deformation energy without crack propagation (Launey and Ritchie, 2009). One of the widely recognized methods of computing toughness, denoted here as \(\tau\), is by the area under the engineering stress \(\sigma\) vs. engineering strain \(\varepsilon\) curve determined in a tensile test (Sehaqui et al., 2013). Thus

\[
\tau = \int_0^{\varepsilon_b} \sigma \, d\varepsilon
\]

Where \(\varepsilon_b\) is the elongation at break. The term “toughness” is also used to the critical outcome of impact testing, namely the energy required to generate fracture at high rates of force application (Bai et al., 2012). Thus, there is fracture toughness, notch toughness, determined in impact testing for a predetermined geometry of the notch and testing methods, or else drop impact toughness (Brostow et al., 2015). Fracture toughness is the focus of this review, and a variety of testing methods have been proposed, such as indentation fracture (IF) (Evans and Charles, 1976; Lawn et al., 1980; Anstis et al., 1981), single-edge notched beam (SENB) (Damani et al., 1996), single-edge precracked beam (SEPB) (Cesar et al., 2005), single-edge V-notched beam (SEVNB), chevron notch (CN), surface crack in flexure (SCF) and double cantilever beam (DCB) methods (Wang et al., 2017). Crack growth is promoted ahead of the crack tip by intrinsic microstructural damage, and impeded by extrinsic mechanisms acting primarily behind the crack tip, which serve to “shield” the crack tip from the far-field driving forces (Ritchie, 1988). Toughness can be enhanced by increasing the microstructural resistance, such as by changing the nature and distribution to suppress damage in the form of microcracking or microvoid formation ahead of the crack tip, which is termed intrinsic toughening. However, this approach is largely ineffective with brittle materials such as ceramic (Evans, 1990), which invariably must rely on extrinsic toughening. Extrinsic toughening involves microstructural mechanisms that act primarily behind the crack tip to effectively reduce the crack-driving force actually experienced at the crack tip; this is termed crack-tip shielding and can occur by such mechanisms as in situ phase transformations and crack bridging (Launey and Ritchie, 2009). Brittle materials, such as ceramics, are invariably toughened with extrinsic mechanisms (Evans, 1990; Becher, 1991), which depend on crack size and to some degree specimen geometry. A principle manifestation of this crack-size dependent fracture behavior is resistance-curve (R-curve) toughness behavior where the crack driving force to sustain cracking increases with crack extension. Extrinsic toughening mechanisms mainly affect the crack growth, while have little effect on crack initiation.

Biomedical used-ceramic is applied as structural material, where catastrophic fracture is strictly prohibited and might lead to medical malpractice. Therefore, systematic investigation on ceramic toughness and recent progress of its modulation strategies are vastly required. However, there is limited number of reviews concerning ceramic toughening strategies, especially for biomedical applications (Naslain, 2004; Asl et al., 2018; Siddiqui et al., 2018). Therefore, this review provides an overview of crack-tip shielding methods to realize extrinsic toughening for biomedical ceramic (Figure 1), from adding reinforcing second phase (summarized in Table 1), surface modification to manufacturing optimization. And toughening mechanisms are also introduced briefly to deepen understanding of these strategies.

2 ADDING REINFORCING FILLER AS SECOND PHASE TO CERAMIC MATRIX

Concept of ductile-phase toughening is to introduce metal component, non-metal component or ductile polymers into a brittle matrix, like ceramic, to increase its toughness. The development of metal-toughened ceramic mainly associates with alumina (Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)) and zirconia (ZrO\(_2\)), which could act as either matrix or reinforcement. And a large family of non-metal components used to toughen ceramic are nanocarbon materials, from one-dimensional to three-dimensional. Additionally, polymers infiltrated ceramics gain lots of attention recently. Apart from adding every type of fillers alone, combined materials of several types could also achieve the toughening purpose (Figure 2).

2.1 Metal Oxide and Metal Reinforcements

In 1976, ZrO\(_2\) was revealed to display high toughness (R. C. Garvie and Hannink, 1975), which ushered in a decade of exceptional ceramic toughening development, culminating in materials having toughness on the order of 20 MPa m\(^{1/2}\) (Evans, 1990). Undoped ZrO\(_2\) displays three phases at different temperature: the monoclinic (m) phase of undoped ZrO\(_2\) is thermodynamically stable at temperatures below 1,170°C; it is a tetragonal (t) phase from 1,170 to 2,370°C, and a cubic (c) phase above 2,370°C until the melt occurs at 2,706°C. (French et al., 1994). Because the t-to-m phase transformation in zirconia may be used to toughen the material and enhance its mechanical properties, it has sparked a lot of technological interest in zirconia and composites incorporating zirconia (Heuer, 1987).

Using ZrO\(_2\) alone have the shortage of low-temperature degradation (LTD) (Kohorst et al., 2012), so Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) are doped to exert synergistic effect. Two composite materials can be made in the ZrO\(_2\)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) system as follows: Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) reinforced with ZrO\(_2\) particles and denominated as zirconia toughened alumina (ZTA), or ZrO\(_2\) reinforced with Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) particles, named alumina toughened zirconia (ATZ) (Nevarez-Rascon et al., 2009). According to the findings of De Aza et al. (Ritchie, 1988; De Aza et al., 2002) and Gregori et al. (Gregori et al., 1999), the fracture toughness of the ceramic matrix material...
rose in both cases. ZTA can be considered a new generation of toughened ceramic, with toughness exceeding 12 MPa m$^{1/2}$, compared to 3 MPa m$^{1/2}$ for commercial alumina ceramic. The inclusion of discrete zirconia grains in the alumina matrix as a second phase allows the former to behave intrinsically, that is, to undergo the t-to-m transformation or to remain in the metastable tetragonal state after cooling of the composites from fabrication temperatures (Wang and Stevens, 1989). ZTA is microstructurally separated into four classes by Wang et al. (Figure 2A) (Wang and Stevens, 1989): (I) alumina with dispersed unstabilized zirconia (Figures 2A,a); (II) alumina with dispersed partially stabilized zirconia (PSZ) (Figures 2A,b); (III) alumina with PSZ agglomerates (Figures 2A,c); (IV) alumina-zirconia duplex structures (Figures 2A,d).

Except for these basic forms of ZrO$_2$-Al$_2$O$_3$ materials, the shapes of both components could be altered, or other metal compounds could be added, to achieve better toughening effect. Aguilar-Elguézabal and Bocanegra-Bernal (2013) investigated the fracture toughness of an Al$_2$O$_3$ (n)-70 wt% ZrO$_2$ (ZrO$_2$ with 3 mol % yttria, TZ-3Y)n nanocomposite with addition of 2.5% Al$_2$O$_3$ whiskers. The fracture toughness was enhanced 62, 28, and 7% over pure Al$_2$O$_3$, the composite without additions of whiskers, and pure TZ-3Y for medical applications, respectively. They achieved a maximum fracture toughness of 6.9 MPa m$^{1/2}$ with an average grain size of 0.4 ± 0.17 μm, and found microcracking, crack deflection and phase transformation toughening mechanisms could contribute to the improvement of fracture toughness. Additionally, the Al$_2$O$_3$ whiskers did not affect other intrinsic properties during the toughening process. Santos et al. (2021) not only added Al$_2$O$_3$ in ZrO$_2$, but also replaced the conventional tetragonal zirconia stabilized with 3 mol% yttria (3Y-TZP) with ceria and yttria stabilized zirconia, namely (Ce, Y)-TZP. And this new composite received higher fracture toughness, elastic modulus and hardness than those obtained for 3Y-TZP. In the meantime, its values of Weibull modulus (m > 10) and flexural strength (> 950 MPa) were similar to the 3Y-TZP ceramics, with high resistance to degradation in saliva, indicating adequate properties for dental application. They thought several
### TABLE 1 | Summary of adding reinforcing second phase in bioceramics.

| Classification | Second phase fillers | Volume fraction of fillers | Ceramic matrix | Fabrication techniques | Maximum Klc (MPa·m<sup>1/2</sup>) | Klc of control | Mentioned toughening mechanisms | Ref. |
|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------|
| Metal Oxide and Metal | Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> whiskers | 2.5 wt% | Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/3Y-TZP | Conventional sintering (1,500°C, 2h, in air) | IF 6.9 ± 0.8 | 4.2 ± 0.4 (Pure Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) | Microcracking, crack deflection, phase transformation toughening | Aguilar-Elguézabal and Bocanegra-Bernal (2013) |
| | Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> platelets | 25 wt% | (Ce,Y)-TZP | Sintered at 1,500°C, 2 h | IF 11.3 ± 0.4 | 7.2 ± 0.5 (3Y-TZP) | Phase transformation toughening, microcracking, transcrysalline toughening, crack deflection, bridging and pull-out | Santos et al. (2021) |
| | Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> + SA6 | Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>; 0, 5, 10, 15 vol%; SA6: 15, 10, 5, 0 vol% | 1Y6Ce-TZP | Pressureless sintering | IF 12.5 | Not mentioned | | Gommeringer et al. (2019) |
| 3Y-TZP | CaSiO<sub>3</sub>/ZrO<sub>2</sub> mole ratio of 80/20 (C822), 60/40 (C624), 40/60 (C426) | Micromerized β-CaSiO<sub>3</sub> | Two-stage heat treatment sequence | SPS | IF 4.08 ± 0.13 (C624) | 1.54 ± 0.04 (β-CaSiO<sub>3</sub>) | | Long et al. (2008) |
| Nanocrystalline ZrO<sub>2</sub> (3Y) | Needle-like ZnOw | 1, 3, 5, 10 wt% | Porous CaSiO<sub>3</sub>/bioglass scaffolds | SLS | IF 1.67 ± 0.04 | | | Shuai et al. (2016) |
| | α-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> | 5, 10, 15, 25, 50 wt% | α- CaSiO<sub>3</sub> | Sintered at 1,150°C and 1,250°C, 5 h | IF 0.9 ± 0.1 | 0.6 ± 0.12 (Pure α-CaSiO<sub>3</sub>) | | Shirazi et al. (2014) |
| | Ca<sub>2</sub>O-stabilized leucite core particles | 0.0–2.0 mol% | Commercial porcelain (VP); synthesized leucite-based porcelain (NP) | Vacuum fired(1,100°C, 20min) | IF 1.42 ± 0.21 (NP) | 0.85 ± 0.11 (VP) | Few β-CaSiO<sub>3</sub> transformed into α-CaSiO<sub>3</sub>, the ZrO<sub>2</sub> phase showed a network structure in the matrix | Rasmussen et al. (2004) |
| | AgNPs, PtNPs | 500 ppm | NS | Fired (vacuum: 730 mmHg, 930°C) | IF 1.42 ± 0.02 (Pt-NS) | 1.42 ± 0.05 (Ag-NS) | Greater elasticity of the metal than the matrix glass; generation of hydrostatic stress | Fujieda et al. (2012) |
| | AgNPs | 100, 200, 500, 1,000 ppm (Ag100, Ag200, Ag500, Ag1000) | NS | Fired (vacuum: 730 mmHg, 930°C) | IF 1.54 ± 0.05 (Ag500) | 1.54 ± 0.08 (Ag1000) | Crack deflection, crack bridging | Uno et al. (2013) |
| Non-metal | CNT | 4 wt% | HA | SPS | IF 2.40 ± 0.60 | 1.25 ± 0.91 (HA) | Interfacial shear strength and pull-out energy of CNT from the HA matrix | Lahiri et al. (2010) |
| | GPL | 0.81 vol% | ZTA | SPS | SENB | 9.05 ± 0.55 (GPL/ZTA, 1,550°C) | 6.46 ± 0.65 (Pure ZTA, 1,550°C) | Pull out, crack bridging, crack deflection | Liu et al. (2012) |

(Continued on following page)
**TABLE 1** | (Continued) Summary of adding reinforcing second phase in bioceramics.

| Classification | Second phase fillers | Volume fraction of fillers | Ceramic matrix | Fabrication techniques | K_{IC} testing method | Maximum K_{IC} (MPa m^{1/2}) | K_{IC} of control | Mentioned toughening mechanisms | Ref. |
|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|------|
| GNP            | 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0 wt%| CaSiO3                    | HIP            | Nanindentation experiments IF | 1.77 ± 0.05 (1 wt% GNP) | 0.76 ± 0.18 (CaSiO3) | 40.9% lower | Crack bridging, pull-out, branching and deflection | Mehrali et al. (2014) |
| GO             | 0-0.2 wt%            | 3Y-TZP                    | Hot-press sintering | IF                     | 8.95 ± 0.59 (0.1 wt% GO) |               |               | Crack deflection, crack bridging, GO put-out | Zhang et al. (2020) |
| Polymer        | PVA fibers           | 2.5–5 wt%                 | OPCs           | Three-point flexural test | WOF: 8.7 ± 2.5 KJ m^{-2} (5 wt% PVA fibers) | WOF: 0.020 ± 0.008 KJ m^{-2} (fiber free GPCs) | Fiber bridging, crack deflection, frictional sliding | Kucko et al. (2019) |
|                |                      |                           |                |                        |                      |               |               |                               |      |
| PICN           | VITA Enamic (commercial product) | 12.3–18.4 wt% ZrO2 | Nanindentation experiments IF | 1.09 ± 0.05 | Not mentioned | SENB | 3.69 ± 0.15 | Crack deflection, crack bridging | Dela Bona et al. (2014) |
|                |                      |                           |                |                        |                      |               |               |                               |      |
| Others         | Si3N4                | 1, 3, 5 wt%               | β-CaSiO3       | Pressureless sintering | 2.3 (5 wt% Si3N4) | 1.1 (pure β-CaSiO3) | Not mentioned | Crack deflection around leucite particles and clusters | Pan et al. (2015) |
|                | L- (+)-Tar           | 3, 3.5, 4, 4.3 g/ml       | Brushite       | Three-point bending method | 0.6 ± 0.07 (0.5 M L- (+)-Tar at 43 powder-to-liquid ratio) | Not mentioned | L- (+)-Tar can decrease the subunit size of brushite crystals | Moussa et al. (2020) |
|                |                      |                           |                |                        |                      |               |               |                               |      |
| Multi-component | Leucite              | 0, 6, 15, 22 vol%         | Six porcelains^a | Not mentioned | SEPB | 1.23 ± 0.12 (porcelain A) | 0.71 ± 0.05 (porcelain Cb); 0.75 ± 0.08 (porcelain V) | Frictional bridging and pullout toughening | Cesar et al. (2005) |
|                | Needle-like fluorapatites | 0, 1, 3 wt% | Mica-based glass-ceramics | Casting and subsequent heat treatment | IF | 3.1 ± 0.3 (Glass 3) | 0.8 ± 0.1 (Glass 1) | Frictional bridging and pullout toughening | Xiang et al. (2007) |
|                | MgO                  | 0.5 wt%                   | Al_{2}O_{3}-glass composite | Sintering at 1,400°C for 2h, infiltrating the molten glass into the partially sintered alumina compact | SENB | 5.12 ± 0.35 (MgO-Al_{2}O_{3}/ glass) | 0.58 ± 0.13 (partially sintered Al_{2}O_{3}) | Crack deflection, crack bowing | Luo et al. (2002) |

^aSix porcelains: A (Ceramco II/Dentsply), B (Ceramco II/Dentsply), C (Finesse/Dentsply), D (i.Sight/Inovia), Cb (Cerabien/Noritake) and V (Vitatur Alpha/Vita).
different toughening mechanisms acting simultaneously in the material. Apart from the above ingredients of Santos et al.’s study, Gommeringer et al. (2019) reinforced 1Y6Ce-TZP materials with different amounts of alumina and/or strontium hexaalumina by slip casting and pressureless sintering at different temperatures. Then these materials underwent accession of their mechanical properties, microstructure, phase composition, and low-temperature degradation stability, which showed that they exhibited a high fracture resistance of 10–12 MPa m$^{1/2}$. Partial substitution of the alumina dispersion by strontium hexaaluminate can improve the strength, while preserving toughness, hardness and low-temperature degradation resistance. The residual alumina provides grain growth inhibition. In summary, the improvement of structure or composition of the second phase is helpful to the toughening of ZrO$_2$-Al$_2$O$_3$ ceramic.

ZrO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ could also be added to toughen ceramics except for ZTA or ATZ. Gali et al. (2018) investigated ZrO$_2$ toughened mica glass ceramics for dental restorations. It was recorded that mica glass ceramics with 20 wt% yttria stabilized zirconia (YSZ) reached Vickers hardness of 9.2 GPa, elastic modulus of 125 GPa, indentation toughness of 3.6 MPa m$^{1/2}$, and chemical solubility of 30 g/cm$^2$ (well below the permissible limit), respectively. The phenomenon of transformation toughening of YSZ was observed in enhancing the toughness properties of ceramic. Because of its osseointegration property, Calcium silicate (CaSiO$_3$, CS), has been investigated as a bioactive biomaterial for bone tissue repair and replacement (Mehrali et al., 2014). Long et al. (2008) successfully fabricated β-CaSiO$_3$/ZrO$_2$ (3Y) nanocomposites via spark plasma sintering. Adding ZrO$_2$ could enhance the phase transitional temperature of CaSiO$_3$ and inhibit its phase transition. When the β-CaSiO$_3$/ZrO$_2$ mole ratio was 60/40, the fracture toughness and strength of the nanocomposites were as high as 4.08 MPa m$^{1/2}$ and 395 MPa, respectively. Shuai et al. (2016) used zinc oxide whisker (ZnOw) to improve CaSO$_4$/bioglass scaffolds which were prepared via selective laser sintering (SLS). And the ZnOw improved fracture toughness and compression strength significantly. The combination of several toughening mechanisms, including whiskers pull-out, crack bridging, crack deflection, and crack branching, might be attributed to the increase of mechanical characteristics. Shirazi et al., (2014) investigated the effect of Al$_2$O$_3$ on α-CaSiO$_3$ ceramic and found that 15 wt% of Al$_2$O$_3$ addition at 1,250°C enhanced fracture toughness as well as hardness of CaSiO$_3$.

Apart from ZrO$_2$-Al$_2$O$_3$ system, other reinforcement-ceramic matrix systems have also been studied. Rasmussen et al. (2004) mixed core particles of cesium (Cs$_2$O) -containing synthetic leucite with two cesium-free matrix porcelains, a commercial porcelain (VP) and a synthesized leucite-based porcelain (NP). The toughness of both types of composite materials was dependent on Cs$_2$O content of the added core particles, and...
the ceramic containing 0.75 mol% Cs₂O reached a maximum toughness. The possible toughening mechanism was transformation toughening. Luo et al. (2002) modified glass-infiltrated Al₂O₃ with 0.5 wt% MgO. And the fracture toughness of this composite (5.12 MPa m¹/²) is obviously higher than the partially sintered Al₂O₃ (0.58 MPa m¹/²), MgO-modified partially sintered Al₂O₃ (1.86 MPa m¹/²), or glass-infiltrated Al₂O₃ (3.91 MPa m¹/²).

Most above mentioned second phases for toughening are metal compounds rather than metals alone. Besides, the research of Fujieda et al. (2012) and Uno et al. (2013) showed that metal nanocomposites (NPs) could also be used to increase the fracture toughness of ceramic. Fujieda et al. (2012) found that the addition of silver (Ag) and platinum (Pt) NPs improved the mechanical properties, including the fracture toughness and the Young’s modulus, of commercial ceramic. The fracture toughness of AgNPs was higher than that of PtNPs. This could be due to the difference in positive compressive stress generation, which is projected to be greater for silver due to its higher coefficient of thermal expansion. Uno et al. (2013) researched the effects of adding AgNPs on the toughening of dental porcelain, Noritake Super (NS) Porcelain AAA. When the concentration of Ag in the solution was 500 and 1,000 ppm (Ag₅₀₀ and Ag₁₀₀₀), toughness increased 40.9% (8.95 ± 0.59 MPa m¹/²) and 200% (1,489.96 ± 35.71 MPa) when adding 0.15 wt% GO, and the exural strength improved up to 40% improvement) and hardness. Multi-toughening mechanisms also included crack bridging, pull-out, branching and deflection. An important derivative of graphene is graphene oxide (GO), consisting of graphene sheets covered with oxygen-based functional groups (Kasianowicz et al., 2000). Utilized in dental ceramic toughening, Zhang et al. (2020) distributed GO uniformly in 3Y-ZrO₂ powders, forming the O-Zr bond during the sintering process. As a result, the fracture toughness increased 40.9% (8.95 ± 0.59 MPa m¹/²) when adding 0.1 wt% GO, and the flexural strength improved up to 200% (1,489.96 ± 35.71 MPa) when adding 0.15 wt% GO, in comparison to raw 3Y-ZrO₂ ceramics. The toughening mechanisms, namely crack deflection, crack bridging, and GO put-out are found.

2.2 Non-Metal Reinforcements

2.2.1 Nanocarbon

Nanocarbon materials have ever-increasing applications in ceramic toughening (Siddiqui et al., 2018), for displaying a special set of characteristics, namely high elasticity modulus, specific thermostability, electrophysical and sorption properties (Falcao and Wudl, 2007). According to their spatial structures, nanocarbon materials can be classified as one-dimensional, two-dimensional and three-dimensional materials (Hirsch, 2010). One of the representatives of one-dimensional carbon structures is carbon nanotubes (CNTs), possessing a tubular structure made by rolling single/multi-layer graphite sheets. Large specific surface areas (50–1,315 m² g⁻¹), a high aspect ratio (as they have their diameters at the nano-scale but their lengths, are, by contrast, at the micron-scale), high tensile strength, high resilience, and flexibility are its typical properties (Dong et al., 2012). On the basis of this physical structure, carbon nanotubes can be single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) (single tube)—or multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWNWs) (concentric cylinders of carbon) (T. F. Kuo et al., 2001; Akasaka et al., 2006). Lahiri et al. (2010) synthesized MWNWs reinforced hydroxyapatite (HA) composite via spark plasma sintering. The fracture toughness and elastic modulus increased by 92 and 25%, respectively, compared to the HA matrix without CNT, which is due to the uniform distribution of 4 wt% CNTs in the HA matrix, good interfacial bonding and fine HA grain size. It was explained that interfacial shear strength and pull-out energy of MWNWs from HA contributed to toughening.

Graphene is a leading nanomaterial of two-dimensional carbonaceous materials (Allen et al., 2010). Compared to monolayer graphene, graphene platelets (GPLs), also referred as graphene nanoplatelets (GNPs), graphene nanosheets (GNS), or multilayer graphene nanosheets (MGN), are formed by several layers of graphene with thickness of up to 100 nm (Siddiqui et al., 2018). GPLs have not only a large specific surface area, but also two dimensional high aspect ratio, as well as outstanding mechanical properties, which make them excellent potential nanofillers in composite materials (Liu Y et al., 2013). For dental ceramics, Liu et al. (2012) carried out a study on graphene platelet/zirconia-toughened alumina (GPL/ZTA) composites, which were sintered at different temperatures via spark plasma sintering. Measured by the single-edge notched beam method, it is found that at 1,550°C, GPL/ZTA composites reached almost full density, maximum fracture toughness (with 40% improvement) and hardness. Multi-toughening mechanisms, such as pull-out, bridging and crack deflection, were observed. In the bone repair area, Mehrali et al. (2014) reinforced CaSiO₃ ceramic with graphene nanoplatelets (GNPs) using hot isostatic pressing (HIP) method at 1,150°C. The homogeneous distribution of 1 wt% GNP in the CaSiO₃ matrix, fine CaSiO₃ grain size and high densification helped to increase the fracture toughness, brittleness index and hardness by 130, 40 and 30%, respectively, in contrast to the CaSiO₃ matrix without GNPs. Similar to Liu et al. (2012), the toughening mechanisms also included crack bridging, pull-out, branching and deflection.
toughness and flexural strength of CPCs by more than 435-fold and 3-fold, respectively. The fiber-matrix affinity is thought to be paramount in designing highly toughened CPCs. So, the surface of PVA fibers was modified to improve their hydrophilicity and affinity to the CPC matrix, which facilitated energy dissipation during fracture of CPCs. Furthermore, other crack-arresting mechanisms may also play a significant role in mechanically reinforcing CPCs, because the fracture toughness improved significantly even for CPCs reinforced with fibers of lengths greater than their critical fiber embedment length. The surface of PVA fibers could be further modified with environmentally responsive materials to improve their ceramic toughening effect.

Petre et al. (2019) functionalized the surface of PVA fibers with thermoresponsive poly (N-isopropylacrylamide) brushes of tunable thickness to enhance simultaneously fiber dispersion and fiber-matrix affinity. At temperatures above their lower critical solution temperature of 32°C, these brushes shifted from hydrophilic to hydrophobic. This dual thermoresponsive shift favored fiber dispersion throughout the hydrophilic CPCs (at 21°C) and toughened these cements when reaching their hydrophobic state (at 37°C). And fibers at 37°C showed nearly double reinforcement efficacy than that of 21°C.

Different from conventional dispersed-filler toughened ceramics, a kind of dual-phase material consists of two continuous interpenetrating networks, namely polymer infiltrated ceramic network (PICN) material, has been developed to emulate the properties and physical properties of natural teeth (Mainjot et al., 2016). PINCs can be sorted as interpenetrating phase composites, which possess a three-dimensional interconnected geometry. The two continuous networks in PINCs are porous pre-sintered ceramic networks and infiltrated polymers (commonly resin for dental applications), which are shown in Figure 1 (Coldea et al., 2013). Crack propagation is often limited in PINCs due to interfacial crack deflection, which is caused by the existence of two linked phases. By bridging the cracks introduced to the other phase, the phase with the higher strain to failure improves fracture resistance (Horvitz et al., 2002; Nalla et al., 2003). Furthermore, Feng et al. (2003) found that the reinforcing phase could distribute stresses more efficiently in all directions in interpenetrating networks. Released in 2012, Vita Enamic (Vita Zahnfabrik, Bad Säckingen, Germany) is the successful representative of commercial PICN, consisting of 86 wt% or 75 vol% inorganic phase and 14 wt% or 25 vol% organic phase (Swain M. V. et al., 2016). Della Bona et al. (2014) examined the microstructure and fracture toughness ($K_{IC}$) of Vita Enamic, via scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and V-notched-beam test, respectively. The microstructure was shown in Figure 3 and the $K_{IC}$ was $1.09 \pm 0.05$ MPa m$^{1/2}$. El Zhawi et al. (2016) tested the resistance to fatigue fracture and wear of Vita Enamic and used step-stress accelerated life testing to show that failure could only be found under very high loads (>1000 N). The result inferred
that it was unlike for the Enamic posterior crowns to experience early clinical abrupt fractures, which may only happen under extremely high traumatic loads when the radius of the antagonist cusp was relatively small. Swain M. V et al. (2016) investigated seven kinds of PICN materials, whose single edge V-notched bend (SEVNB) fracture toughness varied from 0.82 to 4.94 MPa m$^{-1/2}$. And they indicated that factors influencing the extent of the crack included the residual stress in the resins, the bonding quality with the ceramic matrixes, the extent of polymeric conversion of the monomer or oligomer, as well as the yield stress and strain to failure of the bridging polymer. Li et al. (2017) fabricated a polymer-infiltrated zirconia ceramic for dental crown restoration, with zirconia network porosity varying from 46.3 to 34.7% and the relevant polymer content ranging from 18.4 wt% to 12.3 wt%. At pre-sintered temperature was 1,150°C, the facture toughness was 3.69 ± 0.15 MPa m$^{-1/2}$, about twice or three times higher than those of the polymer-infiltrated feldspar ceramics.

### 2.2.3 Others

On the periodic table of the elements, silicon and carbon are both on the fourth group, which indicates that silicon may have similar effect as carbon and could also be used in ceramic toughening. Silicon nitride ($\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$) is a kind of ceramics with high performance characterized by fracture toughness, high wear resistance and low coefficient of friction (Pezzotti, 2019). Pan et al. (2015) doped different content of $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$ in $\beta$-$\text{CaSiO}_3$ ceramics at the sintering temperature ranging from 1,000°C to 1,150°C. Through being oxidized to form $\text{SiO}_2$, $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$ can be successfully used as sintering additive. And with 3 wt% $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$ addition, the $\beta$-$\text{CaSiO}_3$ ceramics sintered at 1,100°C got fracture toughness of 2.3 MPa m$^{-1/2}$, flexural strength of 157.2 MPa and hardness of 4.4 GPa, which was much higher than that of pure $\beta$-$\text{CaSiO}_3$ ceramics (1.1 MPa m$^{-1/2}$, 41.1 MPa and 1.0 GPa).

In organic compounds, chirality is a very important property, which seems to also play a role in ceramic toughening materials. Moussa et al. (2020) showed that the addition of homochiral L-(-)-tartraric acid (Tar) increased the mechanical properties of brushite bioceramics by decreasing their crystal size, following the classic Hall-Petch strengthening effect; however, D-(+)-tartraric acid displayed the opposite effect. In comparison with brushite bioceramics without additives, adding L-(-)-Tar increased the fracture toughness (0.3 MPa m$^{-1/2}$) and compressive strength (26 MPa) of this ceramic composite by 62 and 33%, respectively.

### 2.3 Multi-Component Reinforcements

Both metal and non-metal reinforcements have their advantages and disadvantages. Metal fillers like ZrO$_2$ or Al$_2$O$_3$ have esthetic color but unexpected LTD or lower strength, while non-metal fillers like nanocarbon materials possess high elasticity modulus but susceptible to high sintering temperature. Proper combination of metal and non-metal components could be a promising approach to toughen bioceramics. Cesar et al. (2005) modified six kinds of dental porcelains with the addition of leucite. Leucite ($\text{K}_2\text{O}-\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3-6\text{SiO}_2$) is a potassium–aluminum–silicate phase that can be incorporated into the dental porcelain in two ways: the incongruent melting of potash feldspar ($\text{K}_2\text{O}-\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3-6\text{SiO}_2$) or as a synthetic powder. For the materials investigated, the higher the leucite content in the porcelain, the higher the fracture toughness, implying that they would have better clinical performance. Xiang et al. (2007) fabricated mica-based glass- ceramics containing needle-like fluorapatites. They found that the ceramics with higher fluorapatite content (mainly containing CaO and P$_2$O$_5$) presented higher fracture toughness and Vickers hardness, due to a large amount of needle-like fluorapatite crystals and the fine microstructure. Luo et al. (2002) fabricated MgO-modified glass infiltrated Al$_2$O$_3$ for CAD/CAM. There are two main functions of glass infiltration: the first is to eliminate almost all porosities, which are prone to crack initiation; secondly, the difference in the coefficients of thermal expansion between Al$_2$O$_3$ and glass produces compressive stresses, further enhancing strength at the Al$_2$O$_3$-glass interface. Furthermore, MgO improved grain size uniformity, controlled grain growth, and promoted homogeneous wetting of Al$_2$O$_3$ grains by the liquid through an alternation in interfacial energies, allowing the manufacture of high-density ceramics.

### 3 SURFACE MODIFICATION OF CERAMIC

Surface modification mainly includes coating, chemical and thermal surface treatments (Kelly et al., 1996) (Figure 3).

Coating is also an important and widely used method in surface modification. Based on the toughening mechanism of strengthened glass, where the outer surface is compressed to impede breakage because of the outer stress, Uno et al. (2020) used a dispersion containing mZrO$_2$ and SiO$_2$ as a surface coating agent for partially stabilized zirconia (PSZ). When the crystal phase changed from tetragonal to monoclinic, the mZrO$_2$ underwent volume change to generate a compressive stress layer on the material’s surface. The SiO$_2$ serves as a binder to improve wettability and to accelerate the sintering of mZrO$_2$.

Because many (but not all) ceramic and glass structures collapse due to surface imperfections, and surface compressive stresses must be exceeded before cracks can propagate, this approach permits treated structures to withstand higher loads before failing (Kelly et al., 1996). Ion-exchange has become a major method for chemical toughening, the mechanism of which could be attributed to “stiffening effect”—exchange of smaller alkaline metal ions in glasses with larger ones from molten salt baths can cause residual compressive stresses on the surfaces at temperatures below the glass transition temperatures (Tg), resulting in significant toughening of the glasses under the condition without sacrificing their light transmittance (translucency) (Li et al., 2020). This mechanism indicates that ion-exchange is suitable for ceramics containing glass (glass-ceramics), dual-phase materials consisting of glassy matrix and embedded crystalline phases (Deubener et al., 2018). They could combine the attractive properties of crystalline ceramics with those of glasses. Ion-exchange has been a popular way to strengthen monolithic silicate glasses containing alkaline oxides (Fillery and Lange, 2007; Jiang et al., 2017). In Li...
et al.’s study (Li et al., 2020), a multi-component lithium disilicate (LD) glass-ceramic with interlocking microstructure consisting of rod-like LD crystals and glassy matrix was ion-exchanged over wide temperature and time range in pure NaNO3 or mixed NaNO3 and KNO3 baths below the glass transition temperature. Moreover, an experimental dual ion exchange toughening treatment was developed for feldspathic porcelains that surpassed the toughening of a single ion treatment and survived air abrasion (Kelly et al., 1996).

Thermal treatment could also effectively toughen glass ceramics. Li et al. (2021) toughened lithium disilicate (LD) glass-ceramic by tempering processes, which were conducted by heating the bar-like and disc-like specimens to a temperature below the dynamic softening point, and then rapid cooling in silicone oil with different temperatures ranging from room-temperature to 300°C to regulate the cooling rate. The specimens were effectively toughened, but the bar-like specimen displayed obvious anisotropy in fracture toughness, attributed to the “edge cooling effect” of the specimens with uneven geometry.

Comparing the chemical and thermal toughening methods, the former has the particular benefit of efficiently toughening glass-ceramic products of nearly any geometry and thickness (Donald, 1989), which is critical for many types of medical devices with customized geometries and thicknesses. However, this toughening effect could be susceptible to contact damage during service (Gy, 2008), or it will be completely lost in clinical practice by surface grinding during the manufacture of devices, like dental restorations, owing to the small micron level case depth of ion-exchange (Fischer et al., 2008). On the contrary, the thermal tempering could create significantly deeper surface layers with residual compressive stresses (millimeter level), making the toughening effect less susceptible to contact damage (Fan et al., 2016). Unfortunately, it was observed that the residual stresses created by fast cooling were geometry-dependent, and that they might vary in different directions as a result of differences in heat exchange conditions caused by different geometries (Al-Amleh et al., 2014). Therefore, mechanical anisotropy of the tempered glass-ceramics would emerge from these geometry-dependent residual stresses, lowering their mechanical reliability.

### 4 Improving Manufacturing Processes

The fabrication of ceramics is concerned with powder preparation, green forming and the densification processes (Wang and Stevens, 1989): (I) powder preparation processes include mechanical mixing, sol-gel processes, partial chemical methods, chemical vapor deposition (CVD) process, rapid solidification, hydrothermal oxidation, wear of ZrO2 milling media, etc. (II) forming methods mainly involve regular sintering, spark plasma sintering (SPS) (Han et al., 2017), hot pressing, hot isostatic pressing and so on.

Considering optimization of powder preparation processes, grain sizes could affect mechanical properties of ceramics. Larger particles undergo the martensitic t-to-m transformation more quickly than smaller particles in partially stabilized ZrO2 and Al2O3-ZrO2 composites (Heuer et al., 1982). By decreasing the corresponding grain sizes and enhancing the homogeneity of the phase dispersion, the mechanical characteristics of ZrO2/Al2O3 dispersion ceramics may be significantly improved. Bartolomé et al. (2016) prepared nanoparticles with an intraparticle phase distribution of Zr(1-x)AlxO2(2-x/2) and (Y-δ-)Al2O3 by the simultaneous gas phase condensation of CO2 laser vaporized (CoLAVA) zirconia and alumina raw powders. In general, the CoLAVA nanoparticles are spherically shaped, narrowly size-distributed, crystalline, and merely softly agglomerated by weak van der Waals forces. And fracture toughness of the CoLAVA composite significantly exceeds the ones of the wet mechanically mixed Al2O3/ZrO2 reference powder (WM) by 45%, and reaches levels of 6.8 MPa m^{1/2}.

In addition to particle sizes, degree of crystallization also affects ceramic toughness, which could be referred to as crystallization toughening. Serbena et al. (2015) employed stoichiometric lithium disilicate glasses as a model system, which were crystallized using two-stage heat treatments that were carefully developed and controlled to yield varied crystallized volume fractions while maintaining a constant grain size of around 12 μm. The fracture toughness of a completely crystalline sample improves about fivefold, from 0.75 to 3.5 MPa m^{1/2}. Crack deflection, crack bowing and trapping, and crack bridging are three methods that contribute to toughening.

Regarding the densification of materials, sintering methods influence the final properties and microstructure of the obtained material (Chaim, 2008; Nevarez-Rascon et al., 2009; Faga et al., 2012). Magnani and Brillante (2005) found that post-hot isostatic pressing treatment resulted in the formation of a small amount of monoclinic phase in Al2O3-ZrO2 composites that decreased fracture toughness. Gil-Flores et al. (2020) densified ATZ nanocomposites via nonconventional microwave sintering technology at relatively low temperatures (1,200 and 1,300°C). The results indicated that the density increased as the sintering temperature was higher, which lead to improved mechanical properties, reaching a maximum fracture toughness (5.7 ± 0.3 MPa m^{1/2}) and hardness (18.4 ± 0.4 GPa).

### 5 Toughening Mechanisms

In short, the major toughening mechanism of ceramics is to absorb energy of crack initiation or propagation to impede ceramic fracture. Different toughening methods have their specific mechanisms, but may also share similar mechanisms, vice versa, one toughening method typically contains several mechanisms at the same time (Figure 4).

#### 5.1 Fine-Grained Toughening

The underlying toughening mechanism of controlling grain size is mainly fine-grained toughening. The reinforcement fillers located at the grain boundary provide a pinning effect, which can not only inhibit grain growth but also promote filler dispersion (Karthiselva et al., 2018). The toughening effect of...
fine-grain reinforcement is quite obvious according to the Hall-Petch formula. And the vital factors influencing the efficiency of fine-grained toughening include the content of second phase, the geometric shape, as well as the temperature and impurities (Liu et al., 2020).

### 5.2 Stress-Induced Phase Transformation Toughening

Transformation toughening mainly occurs in ceramics consisting of ZrO₂, and could sometimes happen for other components as well. When an external tensile stress is applied to a crack tip, metastable tetragonal ZrO₂ inclusions scattered in a ceramic matrix are known to convert to their thermodynamically stable monoclinic form (R. C. Garvie and Hannink, 1975; Lange, 1982). The stress to initiate transformation is lower than the fracture stress, and transformation happens preferentially and proceeds until the transformable t phase is exhausted (Hannink et al., 2000). Therefore, the phase transformation, which is accompanied by a volume expansion of 4% and shear strain of 6%, creates a compressive stress that slows and eventually stops the crack propagation, while the strain energy associated with any net shear component of the transformation strain in the transformation zone contributes an effective increase in the energy of fracture (Wang and Stevens, 1989). The contribution of stress-activated transformation, \( \Delta K_{CT} \), to the toughness is usually expressed in the form

\[
\Delta K_{CT} = \frac{\eta E'\epsilon_f V_f h^{1/2}}{1 - \nu}
\]

Where \( \eta \) is a factor depending on the zone shape at the crack tip and the nature of the stress field in that zone, \( E' \) the effective modulus of the material, \( \epsilon_f \) the dilatational strain, \( V_f \) the transformed volume fraction of the particles, \( h \) the width of the transformation zone from the crack surface, and \( \nu \) the Poisson ratio (Hannink et al., 2000).

### 5.3 Microcrack Toughening

Microcracks in ceramic materials can be subdivided as residual microcracks and stress-induced microcracks. Take the ZrO₂
ceramic as an example, the residual microcracks are those caused by the volume expansion and shear strain associated with t-to-m phase transformation mentioned in section above, while the stress-induced microcracks are from the volume expansion and shear strain associated with the subsequent stress-induced transformation during fracture process. During phase transformation, tangential stresses are generated around the transformed m-ZrO2 particles. Microcrack toughening mainly relies on two shielding sources. One is the main-crack and microcrack interaction which causes stress redistribution ahead of the main-crack tip and thus lowers the continuum stiffness of the microcracked material. The other is the stress redistribution at the main-crack tip due to the release of residual stress when a microcrack is nucleated (Hutchinson, 1987). Microcracks form at the intersections of the fillers and the matrix as a result of this, which can absorb fracture energy by extending in the stress field of a propagating crack or deflecting the propagating crack (Wang and Stevens, 1989). Microcracks behind the main-crack tip provide the most shielding, while microcracks ahead of the main-crack tip contribute no shielding effect. What’s more, compressive normal residual stress may attribute to an anti-shielding effect at the main-crack tip, which should be paid attention for the design of ceramic materials (Chen, 2005).

5.4 Crack Deflection and Crack Bifurcation
Crack deflection refers to the condition that the reinforcement fillers will generally deflect the crack at a certain angle when the crack propagates in the ceramic matrix and meets fillers (Zou et al., 2018). So the fracture energy of crack propagating is consumed and the rate of crack propagating is reduced, as a result, the fracture toughness is increased (Zou et al., 2018). Specifically, deflection toughening occurs whenever interaction between the crack front and the minor phase produces a non-planar crack, subject to a stress intensity lower than that experienced by the corresponding planar crack. And the non-planar crack arises either from the existence of weakened interfaces or from residual strains present in the material. Considering shape, the rod of high aspect ration is the most effective morphology to deflect propagating cracks, which could account for four-fold increases in fracture toughness (Faber and Evans, 1983).

Crack bifurcation occurs at the matrix-filler interface. The primary crack runs vertically, whereas the bifurcation crack runs parallel to the matrix-filler interface. When a micro-crack forms at the end of the interface and can be deflected at 90° to extend to the ceramic matrix, the bifurcation crack is ended, which helps stress propagation at the corner. The new fracture then spreads parallel to the primary crack (Cheng et al., 2018).

5.5 Crack Bridging and Pull-Out
Take advantage of crack bridging, several types of discontinuous reinforcing fillers have been successfully applied to form toughened ceramics including second-phase whiskers (Becher, 1990), platelets (Becher, 1991), as well as elongated, plate-like, and large matrix grains (Himsolt et al., 1979; Mussler et al., 1982). Crack bridging happens during ceramic cracking. The two ends of the reinforcement filler link the crack surface and begin to distort as the distance between the two crack walls grows, which imposes a closure force on the crack. The two segments of fillers are tightly linked to the matrix throughout the process and consume the crack propagation energy.

Before reaching the reinforcement’s deformation limit, a pull-out may occur, consuming the fracture energy, or filler-matrix debonding with crack bridging may occur. Defects in this mechanism alter the mobility of fillers, which in turn affects energy dissipation (Liu et al., 2020). The extent of pullout of discontinuous reinforcement is commonly quite limited, which is due both to the short length of such phases and the fact that bonding and clamping stresses often discourage pullout. However, pullout cannot be ignored as even short pull-out lengths contribute to the toughness achieved (Li, 2012).

6 CURRENT CHALLENGES AND FUTURE OUTLOOK

Although large amount of toughening strategies have been proposed for biomedical ceramic, there still exists challenges needed to be overcome, such as biodegradability and biocompatibility, as well as trade-off between toughness and strength. These challenges indicate that there is broad developing space of ceramic toughening for biomedical use, and future outlook is put forward to shed light on this attractive research field.

6.1 Influence of Fracture Toughness of Ceramic on Degradability, In Vitro and In Vivo Performance
Biomedical applications require ceramic materials with excellent biocompatibility to ensure device acceptance and patient safety, which is the prior recommend compared with toughness. First, no short- or long-term cytotoxicity is expected for biomaterials. Nieto et al. (2017) reviewed studies on graphene reinforced ceramic matrix composites (GNP-CMCs) and found all studies showed no cytotoxic effects induced by GNP. After evaluating the long-term biocompatibility of a new ZTA, mainly in terms of DNA damage, mutagenicity and cancerogenetic potential in mammalian cells, Maccuro et al. (2009) found no long-term carcinogenic effect. Besides, toughening ceramic strategies should not affect the function of cells. In in vitro tests, the β-TCP-15Pe15Mg composite fabricated by Swain S. K et al. (2016) was shown to support the attachment and proliferation of osteoblast and endothelial cells and the cells exhibited characteristic markers for bone formation and angiogenesis, respectively. For the second phase addition strategy, proper concentration of reinforcement is a critical factor to be controlled. According to the evaluation of Zhang et al. (2019), hydroxyapatite ceramic with 3 wt% ZrO2 showed good cell viability and no cytotoxicity, and the mouse bone mesenchymal stem cells continued to proliferate on the ceramic surface observed for 5 days. Shuai et al. (2016) doped ZnO whisker (ZnOw) in the ceramic matrix, and cells attachment, extension and interconnection behavior of MG63 cells were enhanced with the ZnOw increasing from 1 wt% to 5 wt
%. However, when ZnOw were further increased to 10 wt%, a sharp decrease of cell expansion degree was observed.

Biocompatibility of toughened ceramic has been studied mostly in terms of in vitro cytocompatibility, but fewer in vivo analysis has been conducted, which is a vital process before being considered for clinical applications. Petre et al. (2019) investigated the in vivo biocompatibility of both resorbable and nonresorbable PVA fiber-reinforced calcium phosphate cements. And these fibers were well disperes in the matrix without inducing inflammatory responses or other adverse reactions. Moreover, the inclusion of PVA fibers did not negatively affect the ingrowth of new bone into the PVA fiber-reinforced cements. The in vivo test of Kucko et al. (2019) also showed that PVA fibers do not compromise the excellent osteocompatibility of calcium phosphate cements. Nevertheless, further in vivo studies should be performed to investigate the biocompatibility of various types of toughened ceramics in more detail.

Degradability is significant for biomaterials like bone substitutes, which determines the final repair effect of bio-implants; while biomaterials for other applications, such as dental restorations or artificial joint, require to be stable rather than degradable. Therefore, most degradability tests of toughened ceramic are conducted in bone substitute ceramic composites. Significantly influenced by mechanical properties of biomaterials, degradability is mostly tested by soaking materials in the simulated body fluid (SBF) (Xiang et al., 2007). Toughening strategies, especially second phase addition may change the degradation rate and degradability. Li et al. (2015) found that the addition of ZnO or ZrO2 decreased the degradation rate, and improved the degradability of wollastonite. Similarly, Feng et al. (2014) observed the degradation rate of calcium silicate ceramic scaffolds decreased with the increase of hydroxyapatite whisker content. Moreover, toughened bone substitute ceramic possesses the apatite-forming ability in SBF, and osteoblast-like cells spread well on the scaffolds and proliferated with increasing culture time (Feng et al., 2014; Mehrali et al., 2014; Li et al., 2015). However, soaking in the SBF tests only the in vitro bio-degradability, and in vivo degradability should also be increasingly studied in the future.

6.3 Other Challenges

The ZrO2-Al2O3 system is well studied and used in clinic, such as dental restorations and implants, as well as femoral heads and hip joints. Although the addition of Al2O3 helps to improve the problem of low-temperature degradation (LTD) of ZrO2, it should still be paid enough attention during application, because most medical devices are applied in humid body environment which promotes degradation (Nguyen et al., 2009; Borchers et al., 2010). LTD refers to the t-to-m transformation of ZrO2 induced by hydrothermal aging in the humid environments, and with LTD, the energy barrier for t-to-m transformation is decreased due to incorporation of water constituents into zirconia lattice (Guo, 2004). Non-metal materials, especially nanocarbon materials, show their potential as reinforcement fillers due to excellent mechanical properties. Nevertheless, the application of nanocarbon materials still faces some challenges. Firstly, it’s difficult for nanocarbon to disperse in ceramic matrix due to its large specific surface area, surface energy, van der Waals forces caused by the intermolecular electrical dipole moment, and interactions between functional groups, as well as easy aggregation and entanglement properties (Liang et al., 2018). Secondly, the interface invasion between nanocarbon and ceramic is regarded unsuitable due to the differences in surface tension and density. Furthermore, it is difficult to determine the temperature during sintering, because nanocarbon can be destroyed at high temperatures when interface bonding is diminished. What’s more, measurement of ceramic matrix composites with nanocarbon is not homogeneous, for the composites are extremely anisotropic (Liu et al., 2020). Therefore, combination of different types of second phase materials may be a possible approach to exert synergistic effect and, in the meantime, diminish their disadvantages.
In addition to the type of second phase, the complex transition zone between ceramic matrix and reinforcement fillers, namely the interface, also play an important role on toughening, which is the basis of toughening mechanisms, such as pull-out and crack bridging. Proper interface strength is neither too weak nor too strong, so that the toughening mechanisms could dissipate energy during the loading process appropriately (Liu J et al., 2013; Ramirez et al., 2014; Ahmad et al., 2015).

De Aza et al. (2002) pointed out that the idea of a well-defined stress limit, toughness (KIC), must incorporate the concept of a threshold (KIC0) under which crack propagation does not occur. The KIC usually used only represents the resistance to fast fracture. However, ceramic materials are susceptible to slow crack propagation at KI values under KIC0, which is attributed to stress assisted corrosion at the crack tip, or any pre-existing defect in ceramic. The combined effect of high stresses at the crack tip and the presence of water or body fluid induce crack propagation in a subcritical manner (Chevalier et al., 1999). This slow crack propagation threshold may represent an intrinsic property of ceramic that gives information of its mechanical properties more realistic than the widely used toughness, which represents only fast crack growth. The concept of slow crack propagation reminds us to pay attention to evaluations of mechanical properties, which may overestimate the behavior of ceramics.

6.4 Future Outlook

Microscopic building blocks, weak interfaces, and architecture are responsible for the extraordinary qualities of highly mineralized natural materials. Bio-inspired concepts could pave the way for new techniques to improve the toughness of brittle materials with enticing features but limited uses due to their brittleness (Wondraczek et al., 2011). These new materials show that bio-inspired techniques can be used to achieve both strength and toughness, which are normally mutually exclusive qualities (Ritchie, 2011). Nature transforms brittleness into toughness through three “overarching features”: (I) stiff and hard construction blocks are separated by (II) weaker interfaces, which are organized in (III) specialized architectures (Mirkhalaf et al., 2014). It may seem contradictory to make a material tougher by adding weak interfaces, but it appears to be a ubiquitous and powerful method in natural materials. Mirkhalaf et al. (2014) used three-dimensional laser engraving to create weak interfaces inside the bulk of glass and then infiltrating the interfaces with polyurethane. The crack is channeled towards toughening configurations by the weak interfaces, which obstructs its propagation. The technology produces a bio-inspired glass that is 200 times tougher than non-engraved, “intact” glass (namely bulk glass, which was not engraved, but that is not devoid of the surface defects typical to glass exposed to air). Glass and ceramic are both brittle materials, therefore, above mentioned bio-inspired method could also be attempted in ceramics. These bio-inspired concepts have opened new pathways to improving the toughness of ceramics, and in the recent years, increasing numbers of synthetic composites inspired from biological materials have emerged (Munch et al., 2008; Espinosa et al., 2011). This is one of the development directions of the future, with still limited researches, which calls for more investigating efforts.

7 CONCLUSION

Ceramic encounters limitations during biomedical applications due to its brittleness. Various extrinsic toughening strategies have been proposed to deal with this problem, including adding reinforcing fillers as second phase, surface modification and manufacture processes optimization. Proper concentration of metal or/and non-metal components, with different shapes, could both be added in ceramic matrix to enhance toughness, which is the mainstream of ceramic toughening, especially in the biomedical area. Apart from traditional dispersed-filler composites, dual phase interpenetrating networks and bio-inspired “block and mortar” architectures have also been developed to achieve better toughening effects. Surface coating, chemical and thermal toughening approaches could influence some depth of superficial layer to impede ceramic breakage, but each exhibit limitations. Furthermore, preparation of raw materials and forming methods of ceramic should be improved, as well. Underlying toughening mechanisms of these approaches include fine-grained toughening, stress-induced phase transformation toughening, microcrack toughening, crack deflection and bifurcation, and crack bridging and pull-out. In the future, effective combination of several toughening strategies and mechanisms should be the potential direction to extend the application scope of ceramic in biomedicine.
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GLOSSARY

$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ alumina

AgNPs silver nanoparticles

$\beta$-TCP beta-tricalcium phosphate

BPO benzoyl peroxide

Bis-GMA Bisphenol A glycidyl dimethacrylate

Cs$_2$O cesium oxide

(Ce,Y)-TZP cerium oxide (CeO$_2$) and yttrium oxide (Y$_2$O$_3$) stabilized zirconia (ZrO$_2$)

CaSiO$_3$ calcium silicate

CaSO$_4$ calcium sulfate

CNT carbon nanotube

CPCs calcium phosphate cements

GNP graphene nanoplatelets

GO graphene oxide

GPL graphene platelet

HA hydroxyapatite

HIP hot isostatic pressing

IF indentation fracture method

$K_{\text{IC}}$ fracture toughness

L-(+)-Tar homochiral L-(+)-tartaric acid

NS Noritake Super Porcelain AAA (Noritake Dental Supply Co., Nagoya, Japan)

PtNPs platinum nanoparticles

PVA poly (vinyl alcohol)

PBS phosphate-buffered saline solution

PNIPAM poly(N-isopropylacrylamide)

PICN polymer infiltrated ceramic network

SPS spark plasma sintering

SA6 strontium hexaaluminate

SLS selective laser sintering

SENB single-edge notched beam

Si$_3$N$_4$ silicon nitride

SEVNB single-edge V-notched beam

SEPB single-edge precracked beam

MgO magnesium oxide

TEGDMA Tri(ethyleneglycol) dimethacrylate

WOF work of fracture

3Y-TZP 3 mol% yttria stabilized zirconia

1Y6Ce-TZP 1 mol% Y$_2$O$_3$ + 6 mol% CeO$_2$ stabilized ZrO$_2$

ZnOw zinc oxide whisker

ZTA zirconia-toughened alumina

ZrO$_2$ zirconia