Factors associated with alexithymia among the Lebanese population: results of a cross-sectional study

Sahar Obeid 1,2,3*, Marwan Akel 3,4, Chadia Haddad 1, Kassandra Fares 2, Hala Sacre 3,5, Pascale Salameh 3,6,7† and Souheil Hallit 3,8*†

Abstract

Background: To our knowledge, no research project on alexithymia has been conducted in Lebanon. The objective of this study was to assess risk factors associated with alexithymia in a representative sample of the Lebanese population.

Methods: This is a cross-sectional study, conducted between November 2017 and March 2018, which enrolled 789 participants from all districts of Lebanon. The Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20) was used to measure alexithymia, the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test to assess alcohol use, drinking patterns, and alcohol-related issues, the Rosenberg self-esteem scale to evaluate self-worth, the Hamilton depression rating scale and Hamilton Anxiety Scale to screen for depression and anxiety respectively, the Three-Dimensional Work Fatigue Inventory to measure physical, mental and emotional work fatigue respectively, the Columbia–Suicide Severity Rating Scale to evaluate suicidal ideation and behavior, the Perceived Stress Scale to measure stress, the Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale to help identify a social anxiety disorder and the Quick Emotional Intelligence Self-Assessment to measure emotional intelligence.

Results: The results showed that 395 (50.4%) were not alexithymic, 226 (28.8%) were possible alexithymic, whereas 163 (20.8%) were alexithymic according to established clinical cutoffs. Stress (Beta = 0.456), emotional exhaustion (Beta = 0.249), the AUDIT score (Beta = 0.225) and anxiety (Beta = 0.096) were associated with higher alexithymia, whereas low emotional work fatigue (Beta = −0.114) and being married (Beta = −1.933) were associated with lower alexithymia. People in distress (Beta = 7.33) was associated with higher alexithymia scores, whereas people with high wellbeing (Beta = −2.18), an intermediate (Beta = −2.90) and a high (Beta = −2.71) family monthly income were associated with lower alexithymia compared to a low one.

Conclusion: Alexithymia appears to be influenced by many factors, including stress, anxiety, and burnout. To reduce its prevalence, it is important that health professionals educate the public about these factors. Further studies on a larger scale are needed to confirm our findings.
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Background

Alexithymia is “a personality construct that refers to one’s inability to successfully deal with emotional regulation” [1]. This cross-cultural observable fact recognized in studies across 18 different ethnic and racial groups [2], was coined by Sifneos who describes it as a deflection of emotions [3]. Alexithymia is characterized by a difficulty identifying one’s feelings and describing them to others, limited imaginal manners and a stimulus-bound, externally oriented cognitive style [4]. Alexithymic people have difficulties in regulating their emotions. The low emotion regulation level is associated with low levels of social ability, emotion expression and emotion intelligence [5]. Moreover, alexithymic persons have impaired ability to understand their own feelings and those of others [6]. Alexithymia was originally reported to be widespread in psychosomatic patients who have trouble in developing satisfactory interactions with therapists and in adhering to psychological and behavioral programs. Soon after, these traits were found in other neuropsychiatric diseases such as substance use disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder, panic disorder, and somatoform pain disorder [7, 8].

Numbers have shown that alexithymia is a personality character widely present in a population [9]: using the Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20) cutoff scores, its prevalence have been reported at 10.0% in the German population [10] and 12.8% in the Finnish population [11]. Among the working age population, the prevalence of alexithymia ranged between 9 and 17% for men and 5–10% for women [11].

Alexithymia has been shown to be associated with socio-demographic factors such as gender, advanced age, low educational level and low socioeconomic status [11–13], and mental health problems including [14] 1) somatoform disorders 2) alcohol use disorder because alcohol may offer a coping strategy to boost interpersonal performance in individuals uncomfortable in a social setting [15] 3) substance use disorder 4) work-related burnout [16, 17] and perceived stress, which is defined as a psychological state or process through which individuals perceive threat to their physical and psychological well-being 5) depression [18–20] and anxiety [21, 22] 6) social phobia [23], and 7) eating disorders [24–28]. Consequently, alexithymia may be a coping or defense strategy to challenging situations [29].

In addition to aforementioned risk factors, a negative association was found between struggle in expressing emotions and self-rated self-esteem [30]. Moreover, studies [31, 32] showed that alexithymia and emotional intelligence are not related but are robustly inversely correlated constructs: the existence of alexithymic traits in individuals is a sign of low emotional intelligence. In fact, highly alexithymic persons have difficulty using their emotions to guide their behavior, a reduced stress tolerance, and inadequate adaptive resources [33].

The main benefit of cluster analysis is that similar participants can be grouped together. This helps identify patterns, reveal associations, and outline structure between participants. The emergence of a clear structure out of this analysis can allow easier decision-making.

Based on the alexithymia theory, higher alexithymia is more likely to be seen in people with negative emotions [34]. Since 2012, the big number of Syrian refugees (more than a million) that came to Lebanon had a negative impact on the economy, politics and society [35, 36]; the Lebanese civil war had many negative consequences on the mental health, as mental disorders were seen in about one third of the Lebanese population [37]. However, mental disorders remain underreported as Lebanese do not often seek the help of a specialist to diagnose and treat mental symptoms due to cultural norms [37]. Finally, and to our knowledge, no research project on alexithymia has been conducted in Lebanon. Therefore, the objective of the present study was to assess factors (alcohol dependence, self-esteem, depression, anxiety, stress, social anxiety, emotional intelligence, suicidal ideation and behavior, work fatigue) and different clusters associated with alexithymia in a sample of the Lebanese adult population.

Methods

Between November 2017 and March 2018, 789 community dwelling participants were enrolled from all Lebanese governorates/regions, using a proportionate random sample. Each governorate is divided into Caza, which is divided into multiple villages. Two villages were randomly chosen, from which participants were randomly selected. Adults (>18 years old) were eligible to participate. Excluded were those who refused to fill the questionnaire, and those who self-reported psychiatric problems (such as schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, drug abuse), mental retardation and dementia, which would make it difficult to understand and complete the study questionnaire. Trained clinical psychologists performed data collection through personal interviews with the participants. They had a training prior to launching data collection to ensure the quality of research and avoid interrater variability as much as possible. A clinical psychologist, independent of this study, also clinically evaluated the level of psychiatric illness in the study group to exclude those with psychiatric problems. The same methodology was used in previous papers [38–47].

Minimal sample size calculation

According to a population size of 6,000,000 in Lebanon, a prevalence of 24.6% of alexithymic subjects based on a Jordanian study [48] (in the absence of similar local
studies), and a 95% confidence level, the minimal sample size needed was 285 according to the Epi info software.

**Questionnaire**
The questionnaire used was in Arabic, the native language of Lebanon. The first part assessed sociodemographic characteristics of the included participants (age, gender, education level, marital status, socioeconomic level, type of alcohol drunk), and the other part consisted of the different scales used in this study:

**Toronto alexithymia scale (TAS-20)**
This 20-items scale [49] was used to assess alexithymia. Items are rated using 5-point Likert scale from 1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree. The cut-off scoring of TAS-20 is: ≤ 51 = non-alexithymia, 52–60 = possible alexithymia, and ≥ 61 = alexithymia. The TAS-20 has acceptable validity and reliability [50, 51].

**The alcohol use disorders identification test (AUDIT)**
The self-reported ten-item scale was used to assess alcohol use [52]. Alcohol consumption was considered dangerous when participants scored 8 or more.

**Rosenberg self-esteem scale (RSES)**
This 10-item scale evaluates self-worth by measuring both positive and negative feelings about oneself [53]. Answers were graded from 1 (strongly agree) to 4 (strongly disagree), with higher scores indicating higher self-esteem.

**Hamilton depression rating scale (HDRS)**
The validated Arabic version of the HDRS was used in this study [54] [55], with higher scores reflecting higher depression.

**Hamilton anxiety scale (HAM-A)**
The HAM-A [56], recently validated in Lebanon [57], consists of 14 items, rated from 0 (symptoms not present) to 4 (very severe symptoms); higher scores reflect higher anxiety.

**The three-dimensional work fatigue inventory (3D-WFI)**
It consists of a total of 18 questions (3 packs of 6 questions each) and measures physical, mental and emotional work fatigue respectively [58]. Item scoring ranged from 0 = never to 4 = every day. Higher scores indicate higher fatigue in all 3 dimensions.

**Columbia-suicide severity rating scale (C-SSRS)**
This six-item instrument evaluates suicidal ideation and behavior, with a score of 0 indicating the absence of suicidal ideation, whereas a score of 1 or more reflects its presence [59].

The perceived stress scale (PSS)
This ten-item instrument is used to evaluate stress in the last month, with answers graded from 0 (never) to 4 (very often); higher scores reflect higher perceived stress.

**Liebowitz social anxiety scale (LSAS)**
This self-reported scale contains 13 questions relate to performance anxiety and 11 to social situations [60], with higher scores reflecting higher social fear and avoidance [61].

**The quick emotional intelligence self-assessment**
Four subscales, each composed of 10 questions, derive from this scale: emotional awareness, emotional management, social emotional awareness and relationship management. Items are measured from 0 (never) to 4 (always), with higher scores reflecting higher emotional intelligence for all subscales [62].

All scales were translated from English to Arabic through an initial translation and a back translation process. A mental health specialist translated the English version into Arabic, and then this version was translated back into English by another specialist. Upon completion of this process, translators compared the English versions of all scales to determine if the variables had the same meaning. The Cronbach’s alpha values were calculated for all the scales as follows: TAS (0.778), AUDIT (0.885), RSES (0.733), HDRS (0.890), HAM-A (0.898), physical work fatigue (0.823), mental work fatigue (0.667), emotional work fatigue (0.909), C-SSRS (0.762), PSS (0.667), LSAS total score (0.954), LSAS fear subscale (0.945), LSAS avoidance subscale (0.953), emotional awareness (0.823), emotional management (0.888), social emotional awareness (0.902) and relationship management (0.908).

**Statistical analyses**
Data analysis was conducted using SPSS software version 23. The independent-sample t-test was used when comparing two means. For categorical variables, the Chi-2 was used when applicable. A stepwise linear regression was conducted taking the alexithymia score as the dependent variable and taking all variables that showed a p < 0.1 in the bivariate analysis as independent variables. Moreover, Cronbach’s alpha was recorded for reliability analysis for all the scales. A P-value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Patterns among specific samples can be concluded from the factor and cluster analyses. An exploratory factor analysis was conducted as a first step to classify patterns of the different factors associated with alexithymia in the current sample, with the extraction being done via a promax rotation. The results of the Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin (KMO) index and Bartlett’s Chi-square test of
sphericity ensured the adequacy of the sample. Factors with an Eigenvalue higher than one were retained. Items with factor loading >0.4 were considered as belonging to a factor. Afterwards, a cluster analysis was performed using the results of the factor analysis and using the K-mean method to identify the participants’ patterns. The latter method allowed the grouping of the participants into a three-cluster structure, which reflects their profiles.

Results
A sensitivity analysis (data not shown) was performed for all participants interviewed by different psychologists, to check for discrepancies in the results: none was detected. Thus, the results were considered as one set for all participants.

Of 950 questionnaires distributed, 789 (83.05%) were completed and collected back. The mean age of the participants was 30.30 ± 12.52 years (54.8% males). Other participants’ characteristics can be found in Table 1.

According to established clinical cutoffs of the TAS-20, results showed that 395 (50.4%) were not alexithymic, 226 (28.8%) were possible alexithymic, and 163 (20.8%) were alexithymic.

Factor analysis
Out of all the items in the questionnaire, all variables could be extracted from the list, except for the Liebowitz total score (low communality of 0.284), which was taken out of the factor analysis. The factor analysis for all the scales total score was run over the whole sample (Total = 789). The total items converged over a solution of 3 factors (Factor 1 = High emotional intelligence & low emotional work fatigue; Factor 2 = High physical and mental work fatigue & high stress; Factor 3 = Low self-esteem, high suicidal ideation and alcohol dependence), explaining a total of 66.33% of the variance (KMO = 0.832; Bartlett’s test of sphericity p < 0.001) (Table 2).

Profiles of participants
A cluster analysis based on the three factors, derived three mutually exclusive clusters representing 28.89, 38.65 and 30.67% of all participants, respectively. The first cluster represented people with depersonalization (low emotional intelligence and high emotional work fatigue but low physical and mental work fatigue and low stress), the second represented people with high well-being (high emotional intelligence and low emotional work fatigue, with high self-esteem, low suicidal ideation and low alcohol dependence), and the third, people in distress (low self-esteem, high suicidal ideation and high alcohol dependence, with high physical and mental work fatigue and high stress) (Table 3).

Bivariate analysis
A significantly higher mean alexithymia score was found in persons with low familial monthly income (53.49) compared to intermediate (50.78) and high (51.54), and among divorced persons compared to single, married or widowed. In addition, higher alexithymia was significantly and positively correlated with more alcohol dependence (AUDIT score) (r = 0.306), more depression (HAM-D score) (r = 0.255) and anxiety (HAM-A score) (r = 0.367), perceived

Table 1 Sociodemographic characteristics of the sample population

| Gender      | Frequency (%) |
|-------------|---------------|
| Male        | 423 (54.8%)   |
| Female      | 349 (45.2%)   |
| Education   |               |
| Illiterate  | 12 (1.6%)     |
| Primary     | 39 (5.3%)     |
| Complementary | 52 (7.0%) |
| Secondary   | 113 (15.2%)   |
| University  | 462 (62.3%)   |
| Higher education | 64 (8.6%) |
| Socioeconomic status |             |
| <1000 $    | 376 (50.7%)   |
| 1000–2000 $| 260 (35.1%)   |
| >2000 $    | 105 (14.2%)   |
| Marital status |            |
| Single      | 488 (63.1%)   |
| Married     | 236 (30.5%)   |
| Widowed     | 19 (2.5%)     |
| Divorced    | 30 (3.9%)     |
| Age (in years) | 30.30 ± 12.52 |

Table 2 Pattern loading of the major factor solutions after promax rotation, taking alexithymia among these factors

|                  | Factor 1 | Factor 2 | Factor 3 |
|------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| High social emotional awareness | 0.875    |          |          |
| High relationship management    | 0.871    |          |          |
| High emotional management   | 0.827    |          |          |
| High emotional awareness    | 0.771    |          |          |
| Low emotional work fatigue | 0.759    |          |          |
| High mental work fatigue | 0.883    |          |          |
| High perceived stress        | 0.720    |          |          |
| High physical work fatigue  | 0.703    |          |          |
| Low self-esteem             | 0.700    |          |          |
| High suicidal ideation      | 0.647    |          |          |
| High alcohol dependence     | 0.547    |          |          |

Factor 1 = High emotional intelligence & low emotional work fatigue; Factor 2 = High physical and mental work fatigue & high stress; Factor 3 = Low self-esteem, high suicidal ideation and alcohol dependence
stress (PSC score) \((r = 0.433)\), social phobia (Liebowitz social anxiety scale) \((r = 0.145)\), mental work fatigue \((r = 0.436)\), higher emotional work fatigue \((r = 0.175)\) and higher suicidal ideation \((r = 0.119)\). However, less alexithymia score was correlated with higher emotional management \((r = -0.167)\), social emotional awareness \((r = -0.101)\), relationship management \((r = -0.142)\) and higher number of kids \((r = -0.076)\) (Table 4).

Table 4 Bivariate analysis of the factors associated with the alexithymia score

| Factor                          | TAS score Mean ± SD | p-value |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Familial monthly income        |                     |         |
| < 1000 $                       | 53.49 ± 10.30       | 0.005   |
| 1000–2000 $                    | 50.78 ± 10.65       |         |
| > 2000 $                       | 51.54 ± 10.66       |         |
| Marital status                 |                     |         |
| Single                         | 52.57 ± 10.38       | 0.001   |
| Married                        | 50.45 ± 10.19       |         |
| Widowed                        | 52.83 ± 9.67        |         |
| Divorced                       | 58.27 ± 11.98       |         |

Table 3 Classification of participants in the study sample by cluster analysis using the categories factor scoring

| Cluster                  | N = 228 (28.89%) | Cluster 2 | N = 305 (38.65%) | Cluster 3 | N = 242 (30.67%) |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| Factor 1: High emotional intelligence & low emotional work fatigue | -0.93 | 0.91 | -0.28 |
| Factor 2: High physical and mental work fatigue & high stress | -0.71 | -0.10 | 0.81 |
| Factor 3: Low self-esteem, high suicidal ideation and alcohol dependence | -0.32 | -0.57 | 1.08 |

Factor 1 = High emotional intelligence & low emotional work fatigue; Factor 2 = High physical and mental work fatigue & high stress; Factor 3 = Low self-esteem, high suicidal ideation and alcohol dependence

cluster 1 = People with depersonalization (low emotional intelligence and high emotional work fatigue but low physical and mental work fatigue and low stress); cluster 2 = People with high wellbeing (high emotional intelligence and low emotional work fatigue, with high self-esteem, low suicidal ideation and low alcohol dependence); cluster 3 = People in distress (low self-esteem, high suicidal ideation and high alcohol dependence, with high physical and mental work fatigue and high stress)

Multivariable analysis

The results of a first linear regression, taking the alexithymia score as the dependent variable, showed that higher alexithymia scores were associated with higher stress (\(\beta = 0.456\)), higher mental work fatigue (\(\beta = 0.249\)), higher alcohol use disorder (higher AUDIT scores) (\(\beta = 0.225\)), higher emotional work fatigue (\(\beta = 0.114\)) and higher anxiety (\(\beta = 0.096\)), whereas being married (\(\beta = -1.933\)) was associated with lower alexithymia scores.

A second linear regression, taking the alexithymia score as the dependent variable and the factors obtained in the factor analysis as independent variables, showed that Factor 2 (High physical and mental work fatigue & high stress) and Factor 3 (Low self-esteem, high suicidal ideation and alcohol dependence) were associated with higher alexithymia (\(\beta = 0.16\) and \(\beta = 0.19\)) respectively, whereas Factor 1 (High emotional intelligence & low emotional work fatigue) (\(\beta = -0.03\)) was associated with lower alexithymia.

A third linear regression, taking the alexithymia score as the dependent variable and the clusters obtained as independent variables, showed that participants in cluster 3 (People in distress) (\(\beta = 7.33\)) had higher alexithymia scores, whereas those in cluster 2 (People with high wellbeing) (\(\beta = -2.18\)) and a high (\(\beta = -2.90\)) and a high (\(\beta = -2.71\)) socioeconomic levels had lower alexithymia (Table 5).

Discussion

Our study, the first of its kind in Lebanon, aimed at assessing risk factors associated with alexithymia among the general population. Our results showed that stress, mental and emotional work fatigue, alcohol dependence and anxiety were associated with more alexithymia, whereas being married was associated with less alexithymia.

Our population was divided into three clusters. Results of the present study were fairly expected for many reasons that make Lebanese people vulnerable to mental disorders: in 2003, a study has shown that nearly 50 % of the Lebanese population was confronted to traumatic events related to war [63]. The unstable political
condition in Lebanon would consequently have an expected increase in the aforementioned percentage of the affected population. Lebanon had experienced a series of wars, local armed conflicts and terrorist attacks [35], in addition to the absence of clean water, 24-h electricity and problems with waste management [35, 64]. Add to this the high number of Syrian refugees that caused high unemployment rates [64] and xenophobic attitudes among Lebanese patients [65]. This is concurrent with the stigma of the public towards mental disorders [66], and the taboo associated with the search for a treatment for such disorders [67].

In this context, emotional disturbances (anxiety and depression) were shown to be associated with higher experienced traumas [68] and alexithymia [69]. Thus, recognizing and communicating feelings become crucial for the reduction of traumatic stress symptoms in persons experiencing a higher number of traumas [70].

Alexithymia prevalence was high in Lebanon compared to Finland [16], Germany [10] and Japan [71]. Our result, 20.8% (age range: 18–85 years), is probably higher.

| Table 5 Multivariable analysis |
|--------------------------------|
| Model 1: Linear regression taking the TAS score as dependent variable and all the scales as independent variables. |
|                                      | Unstandardized Beta | Standardized Beta | p-value | Confidence interval |
|                                      |                     |                   |        | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| Stress                               | 0.456               | 0.266             | <0.001 | 0.317       | 0.596       |
| Mental work fatigue                  | 0.249               | 0.246             | <0.001 | 0.164       | 0.333       |
| Emotional work fatigue               | 0.114               | -0.093            | 0.015  | 0.022       | 0.206       |
| Alcohol dependence                   | 0.225               | 0.170             | <0.001 | 0.126       | 0.323       |
| Married status                       | -1.933              | -0.082            | 0.019  | -3.552      | -0.313      |
| Anxiety                              | .096                | 0.088             | 0.035  | 0.007       | 0.184       |

| Model 2: Linear regression taking the TAS score as dependent variable and three factors obtained in the factor analysis as independent variables. |
|                                      | Unstandardized Beta | Standardized Beta | p-value | Confidence interval |
|                                      |                     |                   |        | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| Factor 2: High physical and mental work fatigue & high stress | 0.162               | 0.339             | <0.001 | 0.130       | 0.195       |
| Factor 3: Low self-esteem, high suicidal ideation and alcohol dependence | 0.197               | 0.172             | <0.001 | 0.118       | 0.277       |
| Factor 1: High emotional intelligence & low emotional work fatigue | -0.036              | -0.122            | <0.001 | -0.055      | -0.017      |
| Intermediate familial monthly income  | -2.745              | -0.123            | <0.001 | -4.267      | -1.223      |
| High familial monthly income  | -2.875              | -0.092            | 0.008  | -5.014      | -0.736      |

| Model 3: Linear regression taking the TAS score as dependent variable and all the scales as independent variables. |
|                                      | Unstandardized Beta | Standardized Beta | p-value | Confidence interval |
|                                      |                     |                   |        | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| Cluster 3b                            | 7.336               | 0.320             | <0.001 | 5.490       | 9.182       |
| Intermediate familial monthly income  | -2.906              | -0.130            | <0.001 | -4.486      | -1.326      |
| Cluster 2b                            | -2.184              | -0.103            | 0.012  | -3.893      | -0.475      |
| High familial monthly income  | -2.712              | -0.084            | 0.018  | -4.948      | -0.476      |

Variables entered: Audit score, HAMD score, HAMA score, PSC score, Liebowitz social anxiety scale, Emotional awareness, Emotional management, Social Emotional awareness, Relationship management, emotional work fatigue, physical work fatigue, mental work fatigue, suicidal ideation score, Number of kids, familial monthly income, marital status
Factor 1 = High emotional intelligence & low emotional work fatigue; Factor 2 = High physical and mental work fatigue & high stress; Factor 3 = Low self-esteem, high suicidal ideation and alcohol dependence.
Variables entered in the model: Factor 1, Factor 2, Factor 3, number of kids, familial monthly income, and marital status.
Variables entered in the model: cluster 1, cluster 2, cluster 3, number of kids, familial monthly income and marital status.
aReference = low familial monthly income
bCluster 1 = People with depersonalization (low emotional intelligence and high emotional work fatigue but low physical and mental work fatigue and low stress); cluster 2 = People with high wellbeing (high emotional intelligence and low emotional work fatigue, with high self-esteem, low suicidal ideation and low alcohol dependence); cluster 3 = People in distress (low self-esteem, high suicidal ideation and high alcohol dependence, with high physical and mental work fatigue and high stress).
because our study included a young aged group (in their 20s), scoring relatively high in TAS-20 scores [72].

Higher alcohol use disorder, revealed by a higher AUDIT score, was associated with more alexithymia. Our study corroborate the findings of a review article [73] since higher self-reports of alcohol consumption, stress and nicotine craving were associated with challenges identifying and describing feelings [73]. In addition, alcohol is consumed to alleviate tense conditions and improve interpersonal performance in individuals with alexithymia [74]. Alexithymic persons state consuming alcohol to feel more outgoing, friendly and confident, not to mention the easing effect of alcohol on the expression of their feelings [15]. Alcohol use is related to life discontent, and people would be more likely to drink to forget about their problems and be more likely to commit suicide [75, 76]. Suicidal ideation and attempts are related to psychiatric disorders including depression, anxiety, and substance use. It is assumed that these problems would be a mediator between alexithymia and suicidal ideation.

Our study results showed that anxiety was associated with an increase in alexithymia. This fact is in agreement with results of previous studies [77, 78], but not with those of Bach et al. [79] who, contrary to our results, did not find any significant association between alexithymia and various anxiety disorders. Few studies cited in a review [73] have included anxiety, depression or both as a covariate, highlighting that the association between alexithymia and alcohol is significant even after considering the effect of mood changes. Apparently, anxious individuals tend to limit their emotional experiences. This behavior could be explained as a protection strategy against the problems caused by somatic reaction resulting from negative feelings [80].

Stress was also shown to be associated with alexithymia, similar to previous findings as well [81–83]. It seems that people with alexithymia use defensive mechanisms such as denial and repression of their emotions, while suppressing these emotions would lead to an intensity of negative emotions, anxiety, and depression [84].

Our findings showed that the burnout syndrome, expressed by a high emotional and mental work fatigue score, was associated with increased alexithymia, in line with results from previous studies [16, 85, 86]. The association between alexithymia and burnout is new but not that between emotional intelligence and burnout. Emotional intelligence, a notion solidly related to alexithymia, is explained as “the ability to monitor one’s own and others’ feelings and emotions, to discriminate among them, and to use this information to guide one’s thinking and actions” [87]. Some studies have shown that lower emotional intelligence is coupled to job-related burnout in human service work [88, 89]. In addition, emotional labor, i.e., customizing emotions when the job description asks that certain expressions should be shown to clientele, is a potential risk factor for emotional fatigue [90] and work-related exhaustion [91].

Perceived stress has been described to be related to higher level of burnout [92, 93]. It reflects the individual’s circumstances and relationships characterized by emotional exhaustion and impaired personal relationships usually related to professional life (workplace stressors) [94]. Consequently, a lack of adjustments to challenging situations will make these persons more susceptible to alexithymia. Thus, alexithymia may appear as a risk factor for burnout, particularly in human social work, which would constitute an interesting topic for prospective research projects.

Regarding sociodemographic characteristics and their relation to alexithymia, only a married status showed a lower alexithymia score. Several studies has pointed out the significant association between alexithymia and marital status [95–97]. Other studies has shown a link between being single or unmarried and difficulties to express emotions [98, 99]. These results may reflect the demographic and sociocultural principles that are found in Lebanon. This might be due to the close family ties and intergenerational solidarity, which is one of the main characteristics of Arabic countries where the secure style is predominant. In addition, intermediate and high socioeconomic statuses were associated with lower alexithymia, similar to the findings of Lane et al [95]. The positive correlation between emotional consciousness and didactic realization goes along with the conceptualization of emotional awareness as a field of cognitive improvement that is highly affected by environmental determinants [95].

**Clinical implications**

Multiple aspects might be associated with alexithymia that is, according to this study, common in the Lebanese population, and driven by stress, anxiety, alcohol use disorder and burnout. Thus, it is paramount to raise awareness about these factors and prevent alexithymia rather than treating it. Since the avoidance of negative emotions and stress is practically not possible, the person should know the way of regulating his/her emotions and deal with stressors, which may be essential to cope with emotions, whether positive or negative.

**Limitations**

The results of this study cannot be extrapolated to the whole population since the majority were young (mean age: 30.30 years), had a university level of education and were single. The cross-sectional design of the study, cannot lead to conclusions as to whether alexithymia makes
the population more prone to work-related burnout or anxiety or whether it is a secondary occurrence to these risk factors. Logically, the likelihood of alexithymia being a secondary phenomenon, a defense, consequential to extended mental stress and/or anxiety, cannot be ignored. The Arabic versions of the scales used have not been validated yet. Also, the retrospective and observational nature of the study result in a low level of evidence, and may increase the probability of information bias: overestimation of consequences for some known risk factors, problems in understanding question, and recall issues.

Conclusion
Alexithymia appears to be influenced by many factors, including stress, anxiety, and burnout. To reduce its prevalence, it is important that health professionals educate the public about these factors. Further studies on a larger scale are needed to confirm our findings.
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