Evaluation of surface water quality using water quality indices (WQIs) in Lake Sukhna, Chandigarh, India
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Abstract
To assess the surface water quality of Sukhna Lake, 13 physico-chemical parameters like temperature, pH, transparency, dissolved oxygen, electrical conductivity, total dissolved salts, chloride, total alkalinity, total hardness, calcium, magnesium, nitrate and phosphate were investigated on monthly basis for a period of two year (July 2016–June 2018) by using standard procedures. The results were compared with the values or ranges mentioned by standard organizations (WHO and BIS) for assessing the water quality and these revealed that the lake water was turbid and under DO distress. Various water quality indices like water quality index (WQI), Canadian Council Ministry of Environment (CCME)-WQI and comprehensive pollution index (CPI) were used to assess the water quality status in the Sukhna Lake. The range of WQI (59.74–83.49) indicated that the water quality status of the lake belonged to good category while those of CCME-WQI (52.4–81.61) revealed that water quality fallen from marginal to good category and those of CPI (0.4–0.7) indicated fair state of water in the lake. Overall the water quality in Sukhna Lake has been found deteriorated during second year in comparison the first year during the study time.
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Introduction
Lakes are considered as one of the most fertile, productive, diverse and interactive aquatic ecosystems in the world. These are important freshwater resources which in-house numberless threatened and endangered aquatic flora and fauna. The quality of water in these aqua-resources depends upon many factors which in turn governs the type of life these can support (Qureshimatva et al. 2015). Lakes provide about half of global renewable freshwater and play a vital role in the socio-economic development for human welfare (Johnson et al. 2001).

Surface water contaminants are generally the discharge of municipal and industrial wastes, agricultural runoffs along with weathering of rocks, soil leaching and mining processes (Bhateria and Jain 2016). The decline in surface water quality not only poses a threat to its aquatic life but also impairs underground water quality thereby effecting human health too (Wats et al. 2019) Thus, it becomes imperative to have a regular check on the health status of these natural valued resources by evaluating their physico-chemical and biological parameters (Venkatesharaju et al. 2010). Variety of methods and techniques, to assess the water quality, have been proposed and adopted by workers throughout the world of which the most effective approach is the use of water quality indices (WQIs) of different types (APHA, 2005).

WQIs are considered as reliable tools to judge and match water demand and supply. The indices are very important for any aquatic environmental monitoring programme for their management. The index value of water quality is the result of conversion of multivariate and multi-parameter water analysis data to some single digit score. It is the simplest and minimised version to represent a complex data using selected variables and methods for weighing and aggregating the variables (Kachroud et al. 2019 and Tirkey et al. 2013). A number of studies have reported the use and importance of WQIs in assessing the water quality of lakes around the
Sukhna Lake, a man-made rain fed freshwater reservoir, is an integral part of the master plan of “City Beautiful, Chandigarh” that provides a source of recreation and sports to de-stress its residents along with acting as a favourite site for migratory birds like Siberian duck, Storks and Cranes during winter season. The Lake also has the longest rowing channel in Asia and sports like rowing, kayaking, boating, etc. are regularly organized here. The lake is primarily used by the local residents and tourists for refreshment purposes like boating, morning and evening walks and sight-seeing. The side effects of demographic expansion of the city itself and its neighbouring states along with climatic and seasonal disturbances have affected the lake’s water quality to such an extent that city’s administration has to undertake regular de-siltation drives (Shrumdan), manual and mechanical as well, along with artificial recharging of water in the lake.

Currently, the water body is facing serious issues like siltation, infestation of weed, degradation of catchment area, less rain falls, addition of pollutants, etc. and all these are jeopardizing this beautiful ecosystem. In order to conserve the lake, in July 2019, U.T. administration has declared it a wetland according to the conditions laid down by Government of India’s Wetland (Conservation and Management) rules, 2017 under the Environment (Protection) Act. There are a very few studies on the assessment of water quality of Sukhna Lake which have helped the local administration in the management of the resource (Manzoor et al. 2017; Dadwal et al. 2014; Chaudhary et al. 2013; Tyor and Chawla, 2012). Keeping the importance and need in view, the present study was designed to understand the seasonal variation in the physico-chemical parameters of surface water in Sukhna Lake. The water quality was assessed using different water quality indices.

**Methodology**

**Study area**

Sukhna Lake (32°42′N and 76°54′E) is an artificial rain-fed lake, which covers an area of 3 km² with a catchment area of 42.07 km² built in 1958 in the foothills of Shivalik range of Himalaya in the north-east corner of the city by damming Sukhna Choe. A roughly kidney shaped lake is 4.88 m depth (average 2 m), 1.52 km long and 1.49 km wide with water spread area of 1.5 km². Two seasonal rivulets viz. Kansal and Saketri along with Nepali Choe also contribute their water to the lake (Siddiqui et al. 2013 and Chaudhary et al. 2013). Sukhna has been declared as one of the national wetlands with utmost conservation priority (Nagarkoti, 2020).

**Sample collection and analysis**

Water samples were collected on monthly basis for 2 years (July 2016–June 2018). Parameters like surface water temperature, pH and transparency were measured in the field with the help of digital thermometer, E-Merck’s pH meter and Sacchi disc, respectively. The water samples were collected in two separate polyvinyl propylene bottles (1L and 500 ml) by dipping completely into the water to avoid mixing of air bubbles. The water sample in 1 L bottle was used for the analysis of parameters like electrical conductivity, total dissolved salts, chloride, total alkalinity, total hardness, calcium, magnesium, nitrate and phosphate, while the sample in second bottle (500 ml) was fixed on the spot using 2 ml MnSO₄ and was used for dissolved oxygen analysis. (Fig. 1)

Chemical parameters were analysed using different methods. Like electrical conductivity and total dissolved salts were measured using E-Merck’s conductivity and TDS metre, respectively. Chloride by titration method using 0.014 N silver nitrate as titrant and potassium chromate as an indicator, total alkalinity by titration method using 0.02 N standard sulphuric acid as titrant and phenolphthalein/methyl orange as indicators, total hardness by EDTA titrimetric method. Nitrate and phosphate were measured using Brucine method and Stannous chloride method, respectively, with the help of UV–Vis spectrophotometer.

The 12 months of a year were categorized into following four seasons:

| Season       | Months       |
|--------------|--------------|
| Monsoon      | July–August  |
| Post Monsoon | September–October |
| Winter       | November–February |
| Summer       | March–June   |

**Water quality index (WQI)**

WQI was calculated using ten selected water parameter, mentioned in Table 1. The calculation and formulation of the WQI involved the following four steps (Alobaidy et al. 2010).

**Step 1** Each parameter has been assigned a weight (AWᵢ) ranging from 1 to 4 depending on the collective expert opinions taken from previous studies (Kangabam et al. 2017; Preerna et al. 2014 and Ramakrishnaiah et al. 2009). Assigned weight of 1 stands for the least significant parameter and 4 as the most significant (Table 1).

**Step 2** The relative weight (RW) was calculated using equation:
where \( RW = \) the relative weight. 
\( AW = \) the assigned weight of each parameter. 
\( n = \) number of parameters.

**Step 3** A quality rating scale \((Q_i)\) for all the parameters (except pH and DO) was calculated by using equation:

\[
Q_i = \left( \frac{C_i}{S_i} \right) \times 100
\]

where \( C_i = \) Observed value of ith parameter.  
\( S_i = \) Standard value of ith parameter.  
For pH and DO, the \( Q_i \) was calculated by using given equation:

\[
Q_i = \left( \frac{C_i}{V_i} \right) \times 100
\]

where \( V_i = \) Ideal value which is considered as 7.0 for pH and 14.6 for DO.

**Step 4** For computing the WQI, first the sub-indices (SI) were calculated for each parameter using following equation:

\[
SI_i = RW \times Q_i
\]

Finally, WQI was calculated using equation:
The WQI values were classified into different categories according to Ramakrishnaiah et al. 2009 (Table 2).

**Canadian council of ministers of the environment water quality index (CCME-WQI)**

The CCME-WQI was used by various Indian authors to assess the surface water quality of lakes (Usman et al. 2018 and Shizi et al. 2016). The calculation of index scores in CCME-WQI method was obtained by using following equation:

\[
\text{WQI} = 100 - \frac{F_1^2 + F_2^2 + F_3^2}{1.732}
\]

where

\[F_1 = \left( \frac{\text{No. of failed variables}}{\text{Total no. of variables}} \right) \times 100\]

\[F_2 = \left( \frac{\text{No. of failed test}}{\text{Total no. of test}} \right) \times 100\]

\[F_3 = \frac{\text{nse}_{0.01 \text{ or } 0.05}}{n_{\text{test}}} \times 100\]

Normalized sum of excursions (nse) = \(\sum_{\text{excursion}} \frac{\text{Number of tests}}{\text{Number of tests}}\)

Excursion = \(\frac{\text{Failed test value}}{\text{Guideline value}}\) - 1

The computed CCME-WQI will be classified according to CCME (2001) (Table 2).

**Comprehensive pollution index (CPI)**

The CPI was followed by Kamboj et al. (2017) and Matta and Kumar, (2015) to classify the water quality status. It was calculated by using following equation:

\[
\text{CPI} = \frac{1}{n} - \sum_{i=0}^{n} P_i
\]

where

\[P_i = \text{pollution index of individual parameter}\]

\[n = \text{number of parameters}\]

\[C_i = \text{Observed value of } i^{\text{th}} \text{ parameter}\]

\[S_i = \text{Standard value of } i^{\text{th}} \text{ parameter}\]

The CPI values were classified into different categories according to Gautam, 2017 (Table 2).

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analysed using SPSS vs 18.0 for calculating Pearson’s correlation coefficients amongst different water parameters to study the linear relationship between them. Significance level of tests was taken as p < 0.05 and highly significant as p < 0.01.

**Results and discussions**

**Physico-chemical analysis of water quality (Fig. 2)**

**Temperature**

It is one of the influential parameter which directly or indirectly affects number of other water quality determinants like pH, dissolved oxygen and alkalinity (Khaiwal et al. 2003). The surface water temperature of Sukhna Lake ranged from 15.2—33.4 °C throughout the study period. Maximum

| Water Quality  | WQI (Ramakrishnaiah et al. 2009) | CCME WQI (CCME 2005) | Water Quality  | CPI (Gautam, 2017) |
|---------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Excellent     | <50                              | 95–100               | Excellent      | <0.20              |
| Good          | 50–100                           | 80–94                | Good           | 0.21–0.40          |
| Poor/Fair     | 100–200                          | 60–79                | Fair           | 0.41–1.00          |
| Very Poor/Marginal | 200–300            | 45–59                | Poor           | 1.01–2.0           |
| Unsuitable/Poor| >300                             | 0–44                 | Very Poor      | >2.01              |
surface water temperature was recorded during monsoon while minimum in winter seasons. In 2016–17, it was found highest in monsoon (32.9–33.4 °C) followed by summer (24.6–27 °C), post monsoon (19.9–28.2 °C) and winter season (15.2–17.5 °C). Similar trend was observed in 2017–18, with highest in monsoon (22–27.8 °C), followed by summer (23–25.3 °C), post monsoon (20–21 °C) and winter season (18–21 °C). Surface water temperature of Sukhna Lake in 2017–18 witnessed a slight fall in comparison with 2016–17 except in the winter season. Tyor and Chawla, (2012) in
their investigation on Sukhna Lake also observed higher water temperature in monsoon season. Similar trend was also reported by Kangabam et al. (2017).

pH

pH measures the acid/base activity in solution and is expressed as negative log of the concentration of H⁺ ions. The higher pH value witnessed increase in productivity of lake (Ravi kumar et al. 2013; Gopalkrushna, 2011 and Garg et al. 2010). Water in Sukhna lake was found alkaline (7.2–9.9) and productive in nature. In 2016–17, maximum pH was recorded in summer season (7.87–9.9) followed by winter (8.1–9.2), post monsoon (7.8–8.2) and monsoon season (7.23–9). Similar observations were also recorded by Kodom et al. (2018) and Singh et al. (2020) in a tropical lake in Ghana and Fateh Sagar lake, respectively. Lowering of pH in monsoon season was due to dilution of lake water by rains, high rate of decomposition and low photosynthetic activity (Rawal et al. 2018). In 2017–18, water was found more alkaline in winter season (7.9–9.5) followed by summer (7.7–8.7), monsoon (7.44–8.5) and post monsoon season (8–8.1). A narrow fall in pH values was observed in 2017–18 in comparison with 2016–17. A neutral to slightly alkaline nature of Sukhna Lake water was also documented by Manzoor et al. (2017); Dadwal et al. (2014) and Chaudhary et al. (2013) in their respective studies.

Transparency

Transparency or penetration of light in water depends on number of factors like presence and extent of suspended organic matter, silt, plankton density, latitude, season, etc. (Reid and Wood 1976). The transparency in Sukhna Lake ranged from 1–14 cm during entire study period. Maximum transparency in 2016–17 was recorded during monsoon season (12–14 cm) followed by post monsoon (7–9 cm), winter (5–8 cm) and summer season (4–7 cm), while during 2017–18, water was found more clear in post monsoon
Dissolved oxygen

DO of a water body depends on numerous factors like temperature, photosynthetic activity, wind action, respiratory process of the life in it, pollution load, etc. It fluctuates daily, seasonally and with temperature variations as well (Wavde and Arjun 2010). In 2016–17, maximum dissolved oxygen was recorded during the summer (5–5.7 mg/l) followed by winter (2.3–5.2 mg/l), monsoon (2.1–2.2 mg/l) and post monsoon season (2–2.1 mg/l) while in 2017–18 maximum dissolved oxygen was found in monsoon (3.7–3.9 mg/l) followed by post monsoon (3.1–3.3 mg/l), winter (2.1–2.9 mg/l) and summer season (2–3.7 mg/l). The unusual hike of dissolved oxygen in summer season of 2016–17 was because of pumping of million gallons of water into the Lake during last month of the preceding winter (Victor 2017). The results of present investigation were favoured by Kodom et al. (2018) findings on Volta Lake, Ghana while disagreed with Kangabam et al. (2017) observation on Loktak Lake, Manipur.

Electrical conductivity

EC is the ability of water to conduct an electric current and that depends on the presence of anions and cations, their relative and total concentrations, mobility and temperature at the time of measurement (APHA 2005). The electrical conductivity of the water in Sukhna Lake ranged from 116–273μS/cm. Results of sampling in 2016–17 showed higher electrical conductivity in monsoon (184–212μS/cm) followed by post monsoon (188–192μS/cm), summer (144.9–267μS/cm) and winter season (171–179μS/cm) which are in concurrence with the findings of Divya and Kani, (2018) and Kangabam et al. (2017). Contrary to this in 2017–18, higher electrical conductivity value was observed in summer (222–273μS/cm) followed by post monsoon (187–199μS/cm), winter (116–264μS/cm) and monsoon season (137.9–194μS/cm) and similar findings were recorded by Verma et al. (2012). Lower values of electrical conductivity are considered as an indication of healthy water (Sharma and Kumar, 2017 and Shah et al. 2008). In the present study, the electrical conductivity of Sukhna Lake was found lesser than the values reported by Tyor and Chawla, (2012) from the same water body.

Total dissolved salts

Electrical conductivity is considered as an indirect measure of total dissolved salts (Albaidy et al. 2010 and Harilal et al. 2004). Total dissolved salts reflect the amount of inorganic salts and small amount of organic matter dissolved in water. Similar seasonal trend was observed between total dissolved salts and electrical conductivity values. The total dissolved salts of Sukhna Lake water fluctuated between 75.4–177.4 mg/l during both study years. In 2016–17, maximum total dissolved salts were found during monsoon (120–137.8 mg/l) followed by post monsoon (122.2–124 mg/l), summer (96.3–173 mg/l) and winter season (110.1–116.3 mg/l), while in 2017–18, maximum total dissolved salts value was observed in summer (144.2–177.4 mg/l) followed by post monsoon (121.5–129.3 mg/l), winter (75.4–171.6 mg/l) and monsoon season (89.6–126.1 mg/l). Higher total dissolved salts values in summer season attributed to faster rate of evaporation and decomposition activities, while similar results in monsoon season were due to the addition of surface runoffs by heavy precipitation. Manzoor et al. (2017) reported lower total dissolved salts value (<100 mg/l) in his study on the same water body.

Chloride

The source of chloride is mainly inorganic salts like NaCl and KCl in the water that mainly comes from soil, animal wastes and municipal and industrial wastes (Gopalkrushna 2011). It is also considered as an important indicator of water pollution (Podhade et al. 2020). In Sukhna Lake, amount of chloride ranged from 7.49–87.4 mg/l. In 2016–17, higher values of chloride were recorded during summer (24.9–77.4 mg/l) followed by post monsoon (27.4–29.9 mg/l), winter (22.4–27.4 mg/l) and monsoon season (19.9–22.4 mg/l). The high chloride content in summer season was due to increase in evaporation rate. Similar observation was made by Usman et al. (2018) and Verma et al. (2012). In 2017–18, maximum concentration of chlorides was observed in winter (27.4 – 87.4 mg/l) followed by summer (9.9–32.4 mg/l), monsoon (17.4–19.9 mg/l) and post monsoon season (7.4–22.49 mg/l). The high chloride value in winter season could be due to poor ability of cold water to dilute any waste in lake water. Similar pattern of seasonal fluctuations was reported by Bhat and Pandit (2014) in Wular Lake of Kashmir. The higher chloride...
content in water could be due to the addition of pollution by anthropogenic activities and sewage disposal as proposed by (Chatterjee et al. 2010).

**Total alkalinity**

Total alkalinity expresses the buffering capacity of water which will help in maintaining its pH (Lodh et al. 2014). Alkalinity is the measure of the ability of water to neutralize acids. It is generally not considered as a pollutant (Sharma and Kumar, 2017). In 2016–17, the maximum alkalinity was observed in summer (140–260 mg/l) followed by monsoon (90–100 mg/l), post monsoon (60–85 mg/l) and winter season (35–105 mg/l). High value of alkalinity in summer was due to high rate of decomposition which releases CO₂ resulting in the addition of carbonate and bicarbonate ions, hence increases alkalinity level (Verma et al. 2012). In 2017–18 findings, higher alkalinity was found during winter (175–290 mg/l) followed by summer (125–255 mg/l), post monsoon (150 –185 mg/l) and monsoon season (150–175 mg/l). In contrary to this, Tyor and Chawla (2012) reported high total alkalinity in Sukhna Lake during monsoon season.

**Total hardness, calcium and magnesium**

The hardness of water is mainly due to the cations like calcium and magnesium present in it. In 2016–17, the higher values of hardness were recorded in winter (145–210 mg/l) followed by monsoon (120–165 mg/l), post monsoon (120–135 mg/l) and summer season (70–175 mg/l) and similar trend though with higher values was also observed in 2017–18 samples with maximum hardness in winter (175–290 mg/l) followed by monsoon (120–225 mg/l), post monsoon (100–225 mg/l) and summer season (70–175 mg/l). In 2017–18, the maximum levels of calcium were recorded in winter (175–290 mg/l) followed by monsoon (120–225 mg/l), post monsoon (100–225 mg/l) and summer season (70–175 mg/l). The highest magnesium concentration in 2016–17 was recorded in winter (21.2 –30.7 mg/l) followed by monsoon (17.5–24.15 mg/l), post monsoon (17.5 – 19.7 mg/l) and summer season (10.2–25.6 mg/l), while in 2017–18, maximum levels of magnesium were in winter (25.6–42.4 mg/l) followed by monsoon (17.5 – 32.9 mg/l), post monsoon (14.6–32.9 mg/l) and summer season (20.4–24.1 mg/l). The presence of magnesium indicated the contamination of sewage inflows and minerals generated due to soil erosion/siltation (Verma et al. 2012). Bhat and Pandit 2014 also recorded higher calcium and magnesium during winter season in Wular Lake, Kashmir.

**Nitrate**

Inorganic nitrogen is the commonest form of nitrogen in natural waters. It is the main nutrient that accelerates the growth of hydrophytes and aquatic algae (Lodh et al. 2014). Nitrate is found in relatively very low concentration in freshwaters bodies considered as exceptionally important nutrient supplier of nitrogen for protein synthesis. In the current study, higher concentration of nitrate was observed during monsoon (5.02–15.2 mg/l) followed by post monsoon (2.67–4.67 mg/l), winter (0.16–1.15 mg/l) and summer season (0.26–0.32 mg/l) in 2016–17 and the similar trend was followed in 2017–18 with a range of 0.31–0.32 mg/l in monsoon, 0.32–0.33 mg/l in post monsoon, 0.16–0.43 mg/l in winter and 0.14–0.23 mg/l in summer season but in lesser amount than the previous year. Similar observations were also reported by Verma et al. (2012). Influx of N rich flood water from the catchment area brings along large amount of contaminations like sewerage that accelerate nitrate levels in the monsoon season, the same was found in the current study. Bhat and Pandit (2014) also observed low nitrate during summer season in Wular Lake, Kashmir.

**Phosphate**

It is one of the limiting factors for productivity of any water body (Hutchinson 1957). In lakes, there are various sources of phosphate like rock deposits, runoff from the catchment areas, etc. Phosphate enters into the lake ecosystem mainly through domestic wastewater, and agricultural runoff containing fertilizers (Gopalkrushna 2011). In general, the phosphate levels were found in very low concentration in the lake. In 2016–17, the maximum levels of phosphate were recorded in monsoon (0.17–0.26 mg/l) followed by summer (0.02–0.1 mg/l), winter (0.02–0.09 mg/l) and post monsoon season (0.03–0.05 mg/l), while in 2017–18, the higher concentration was observed during monsoon (0.03–0.06 mg/l) followed by winter (0.01–0.05 mg/l), post monsoon
(0.01–0.02 mg/l) and summer season (0.01–0.02 mg/l). Similar findings were made by Shukla and Vyas (1994) in Rang Sagar Lake. However, Verma et al. (2012) reported higher concentration of phosphate in summer season.

**Pearson’s correlation amongst water quality parameters (Table 3)**

The Pearson’s correlation analysis was carried out to understand the possible relationship between different water quality parameters.

This study has found some highly significant correlations between the water parameters (Table 3). The correlation matrix suggested that in 2016–17, temperature was found having positive correlation with transparency, nitrate and phosphate while negative with pH and total hardness. Current findings were contrary to those of Medudhula et al. 2012 and Rawal et al. 2018. Transparency showed a negative correlation with dissolved oxygen and strong positive correlation with nitrate and phosphate while a negative correlation amongst these was observed by Goher et al. 2017. A strong positive correlation was found between electrical conductivity and total dissolved salts, the same was also observed by other researchers too (Kangabam et al. 2017; Mallick, 2017). Electrical conductivity and total dissolved salts were positively correlated with chloride (Goher et al. 2017). Dissolved oxygen had a strong positive correlation with total alkalinity while moderate negative with total hardness and nitrate. A strong positive correlation was observed between phosphate and nitrate.

During 2017–18, transparency had a strong negative correlation with temperature and moderate negative with electrical conductivity and total dissolved salts. A moderate positive correlation was observed between phosphate and nitrate, total dissolved salts and temperature, while moderate negative correlation was found between pH and dissolved oxygen, nitrate and alkalinity.

**Pearson’s correlation amongst indices and water quality parameters**

Correlations were also studied amongst different types of water quality indices and water parameters. In 2016–17, Pearson’s correlation analysis showed moderate positive relationship of WQI with pH and total hardness. CCME-WQI was found having positively correlated with dissolved oxygen, total alkalinity and total hardness negative correlation with electrical conductivity and total dissolved salts, while CPI showed positive relationship with electrical conductivity, total dissolved salts, chloride and total hardness.

During 2017–18, WQI had a negative correlation with dissolved oxygen and positive with pH, total alkalinity and total hardness. CCME-WQI showed negative correlation with total alkalinity and total hardness, while CPI had positive relationship with total alkalinity and total hardness (Table 3).

**Water quality indices**

**WQI**

The WQI value (Fig. 3 and Table 4) of Sukhna Lake ranges from 59.74 to 83.49 and reflects good water quality in different seasons during the entire study period. Higher value of WQI in 2017–18 indicates deterioration of lake’s water quality in comparison with 2016–17. Seasonal WQI varied from one season to another. Water quality was found better in post monsoon season followed by monsoon and summer, while lower water quality was found in winter during both the study years. Zandagba et al. (2017) also reported better water quality of Nokoue Lake in rainy seasons as compared to winter and summer season, while Wang et al. (2019) reported poor water quality during rainy season in two lakes in China (Lake Wuli and Lake Taihu). These seasonal variations of WQI were potentially influenced by physico-chemical parameters of water (Jiang et al. 2018 and Wu et al. 2017).

**CCME-WQI**

According to CCME-WQI (52.45 – 81.61) (Fig. 3 and Table 4), the water quality of Sukhna Lake falls between marginal to good category. The winter season was found having marginal water quality during both years. Rainy seasons were again found in better condition as compared to dry ones. The values of CCME-WQI in both years indicated better status of water quality in 2016–17 as compared to 2017–18. Usman et al. (2018) also used CCME-WQI and reported marginal water quality in urban lakes of Mumbai. Shizi et al. (2016) also assessed the water quality of Kavvayi Lake of Kerala using CCME-WQI and found poor quality in the lake.

**CPI**

The value of CPI ranged between (0.47–0.7) reflects that the water quality of Sukhna Lake falls under fair category throughout the study period. The highest CPI value was recorded during the winter season in both the study years;
### Table 3 Pearson’s correlation matrix between the physico-chemical parameters of water of Sukhna Lake in both study years

|                  | Temperature | pH          | Transparency | Electrical conductivity | Total dissolved salts | Dissolved oxygen | Chloride | Total alkalinity | Total hardness | Nitrate | Phosphate | Water quality index | CCME–WQI | CPI | Water quality index |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------|------------------|---------------|---------|-----------|---------------------|----------|-----|---------------------|
| **2016–17**      |             |             |              |                         |                      |                  |          |                  |               |         |           |                     |          |     |                     |
| Temperature      | 1           | -0.253      | 1            |                         |                      |                  |          |                  |               |         |           |                     | 0.162    |     |                     |
| pH               |             |             |              |                         |                      |                  |          |                  |               |         |           |                     |          |     |                     |
| Transparency     | 0.654*      | -0.211      | 1            |                         |                      |                  |          |                  |               |         |           |                     |          |     |                     |
| Electrical conductivity | 0.306 | -0.446 | 0.292 | 1                      |                      |                  |          |                  |               |         |           |                     |          |     |                     |
| Total dissolved salts | 0.325 | -0.442 | 0.309 | 0.999** | 1                      |                  |          |                  |               |         |           |                     |          |     |                     |
| Dissolved oxygen | -0.093      | 0.428       | -0.682*      | -0.178                  | -0.178              | 1                |          |                  |               |         |           |                     |          |     |                     |
| Chloride         | 0.098       | -0.248      | -0.220       | 0.778**                 | 0.781**             | 0.298            | 1        |                  |               |         |           |                     |          |     |                     |
| Total alkalinity | 0.440       | 0.056       | -0.322       | 0.120                   | 0.127               | 0.776**          | 0.429    | 1                |               |         |           |                     |          |     |                     |
| Total hardness   | -0.420      | -0.153      | 0.134        | 0.443                   | 0.426               | -0.469           | 0.193    | -0.603*          | 1            |         |           |                     |          |     |                     |
| Nitrate          | 0.598*      | -0.042      | 0.884**      | 0.304                   | 0.312               | -0.569           | -0.275   | -0.216           | 0.129         | 1       |           |                     |          |     |                     |
| Phosphate        | 0.686*      | -0.229      | 0.770**      | 0.348                   | 0.358               | -0.321           | -0.142   | 0.036            | 0.026         |        | 0.847**  | 1                     |          |     |                     |
| Water quality index | -0.138 | 0.585 | 0.039 | 0.378 | 0.373 | 0.130 | 0.331 | -0.003 | 0.537 | 0.222 | 0.063 | 1 |
| CCME–WQI         | 0.162       | 0.190       | -0.303       | -0.485                  | -0.472              | 0.563            | -0.184   | 0.498            | -0.896**      | -0.298 | -0.115 | -0.534 | 1 |
| CPI              | -0.135      | 0.093       | -0.210       | 0.634*                  | 0.623*              | 0.337            | 0.683*   | 0.279            | 0.562         | -0.078 | 0.024 | 0.774** | -0.495 |
| **2017–18**      |             |             |              |                         |                      |                  |          |                  |               |         |           |                     |          |     |                     |
| Temperature      | 1           | -0.289      | 1            |                         |                      |                  |          |                  |               |         |           |                     |          |     |                     |
| pH               |             |             |              |                         |                      |                  |          |                  |               |         |           |                     |          |     |                     |
| Transparency     | -0.774**    | 0.017       | 1            |                         |                      |                  |          |                  |               |         |           |                     |          |     |                     |
| Electrical conductivity | 0.485 | 0.294 | -0.665 | 1 |                      |                      |                  |          |                  |               |         |           |                     |          |     |                     |
| Total dissolved salts | 0.570 | 0.255 | -0.643 | 0.966** | 1 |                      |                      |                  |          |                  |               |         |           |                     |          |     |                     |
| Dissolved oxygen | 0.191       | -0.620      | 0.222       | -0.456                  | -0.386              | 1                |          |                  |               |         |           |                     |          |     |                     |
| Chloride         | -0.545      | 0.315       | 0.505        | -0.115                  | -0.339              | -0.279           | 1        |                  |               |         |           |                     |          |     |                     |
| Total alkalinity | 0.131       | 0.310       | -0.279       | 0.395                   | 0.437               | -0.566           | -0.203   | 1                |               |         |           |                     |          |     |                     |
| Total hardness   | -0.315      | -0.204      | 0.177        | -0.478                  | -0.454              | -0.049           | 0.242    | 0.214            | 1            |         |           |                     |          |     |                     |
| Nitrate          | -0.235      | -0.143      | 0.393        | -0.383                  | -0.528              | 0.397            | 0.447    | -0.574           | -0.132        | 1       |           |                     |          |     |                     |
| Phosphate        | 0.084       | -0.145      | -0.101       | -0.332                  | -0.449              | 0.370            | 0.447    | -0.230           | 0.093         |        | 0.655    | 1 |
hence, the poorest water quality of all seasons and same was reported by Wu et al. (2017). The higher CPI values in 2017–18 showed better water quality existed in 2016–17 (Fig. 3 and Table 4).

**Conclusion**

The present study gave an overview on water quality status of Sukhna Lake which falls under National Lake Conservation Plan (NLCP). The highlights of the undertaken study are as follows:

- A of variations exists seasonally in the water quality of the lake during the span of two years.
- Though the water quality parameters were found within the permissible ranges but in much higher concentrations in comparison with earlier studies undertaken on the Sukhna Lake. Increasing hardness and alkalinity of the water in the lake were found as determining parameters altering the water quality. Dissolved oxygen was found as a determinant having positive impact on water quality. Lake was found alkaline in nature.
- The use of various water quality indices for the assessment of temporal variations in the surface water quality of Sukhna Lake were found satisfactory. The values of different WQIs revealed that the present status of the lake is not very pleasing and ranged between marginal to fair category.
- The water quality was found better during the rainy and post rainy season in comparison with dry ones (winter and summer). Water quality was found improving with more rainfall and artificial recharging of the lake in 2016–17.
- The main source of pollution in Sukhna Lake is the inflow of untreated sewage from the adjacent human habitats via various nallahs and choes along with regular siltation from its catchment areas.
- It is recommended that regular monitoring of the lake, de-weeding and desilting is the necessity of time to maintain its aesthetic value and protect the lake from any further degradation.
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Table 4 Seasonal water quality status of Sukhna Lake according to WQI, CCME-WQI and CPI during both study years

| Site       | Season     | WQI  | CCME WQI | CPI  |
|------------|------------|------|----------|------|
|            | 2016–17    |      |          |      |
| WQI        | Monsoon    | Good | Fair     | Fair |
|            | Post Monsoon| Good | Good     | Fair |
|            | Winter     | Good | Marginal | Fair |
|            | Summer     | Good | Good     | Fair |
|            |            | 65.58| 75.76    | 0.53 |
| CCME WQI   | Monsoon    | Fair | Fair     | Fair |
|            | Post Monsoon| Fair | Fair     | Fair |
|            | Winter     | Fair | Fair     | Fair |
|            | Summer     | Fair | Fair     | Fair |
|            |            | 68.23| 81.61    | 0.47 |
| CPI        | Monsoon    | Fair | Fair     | Fair |
|            | Post Monsoon| Fair | Fair     | Fair |
|            | Winter     | Fair | Fair     | Fair |
|            | Summer     | Fair | Fair     | Fair |
|            |            | 66.51| 64.67    | 0.57 |
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