Magnetization and specific heat of the dimer system CuTe$_2$O$_5$
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We report on magnetization and specific heat measurements on single-crystalline CuTe$_2$O$_5$. The experimental data are directly compared to theoretical results for two different spin structures, namely an alternating spin-chain and a two-dimensional (2D) coupled dimer model, obtained by Das et al. [Phys. Rev. B 77, 224437 (2008)]. While the analysis of the specific heat does not allow to distinguish between the two models, the magnetization data is in good agreement with the 2D coupled dimer model.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum magnets with a dimerized singlet ground state are a fascinating research field with phenomena ranging from spin-Peierls transitions in antiferromagnetic spin $S = 1/2$ chains like CuGeO$_2$ or TiOC$_2$ to the Bose-Einstein condensation of magnons in external magnetic fields as reported for the prototypical spin $S = 1/2$ dimer systems BaCuSi$_2$O$_6$ and TiCuCl$_2$ and also very recently for Sr$_3$Cr$_2$O$_4$ and Ba$_3$Cr$_2$O$_4$. The singlet ground state and the excited triplet state of the dimers are separated by an energy gap due to the different exchange energy between parallel and antiparallel aligned spins. This gap can be controlled by the external magnetic field, which splits the triplet due to the Zeeman effect in such a way that the lower triplet level meets the singulet giving rise to the observed Bose-Einstein condensation. This is a typical example of a quantum phase transition, i.e. it is driven by a non thermal control parameter, and, therefore, of high interest concerning the investigation of quantum critical behaviour.

In the majority of dimer systems the dominating intradimer superexchange coupling is easily identified as the one mediated by the shared ligands of the magnetic ions in the structural dimer. In some systems, however, this nearest-neighbour superexchange coupling is very weak and one has to consider more complex superexchange paths via several neighboring ions. It has been shown that the resulting exchange couplings can be of the same order of magnitude as the nearest-neighbour superexchange, with the spin-gapped CuTe$_2$O$_5$ – the compound under investigation in the present work – as one particular example. It is important to note that the influence of the nonmagnetic lone-pair tetravalent chalcogenide ion (Te$^{4+}$) can significantly change the superexchange interactions between the copper spins (Cu$^{2+}$: $S = 1/2$) as seen for the case of related CuSe$_2$O$_5$ (with Se$^{4+}$) which reportedly forms a spin-chain like magnetic structure with an antiferromagnetic transition at about 17 K.

In CuTe$_2$O$_5$, the situation turned out to be more complicated and the exchange geometry between the dimers (one- or two dimensional) remained unresolved so far. Here we will show that our latest magnetization measurements are in favour of the two dimensional exchange geometry.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROBLEM

The crystalline structure of CuTe$_2$O$_5$ belongs to the space group $P2_1/c$. The unit cell consists of four formula units, and its dimensions are $a = 6.871$ Å, $b = 9.322$ Å, $c = 7.602$ Å; the angle $\beta$ between the $a$ and $c$ axes is 109.08°. There are four nonequivalent positions of copper ions in the unit cell. Each of the copper ions is surrounded by six oxygen atoms forming a strongly distorted octahedron. Neighboring Cu pairs form structural Cu$_2$O$_{10}$ dimer units, built from edge sharing octahedra which are rotated relative to each other and slightly magnetically nonequivalent. The Cu$_2$O$_{10}$ units are connected via Te$^{4+}$ ions (see Fig. 1). Along the $b$ axis the Cu$_2$O$_{10}$ units exhibit alternating rotation with respect to each other resulting in strongly magnetic nonequivalent Cu sites in neighboring units.

The magnetic susceptibility of CuTe$_2$O$_5$ exhibits a broad maximum at about 57 K and an exponential decrease to lower temperatures. These data have been successfully described in terms of the model of a quasi-one-dimensional antiferromagnetic spin chain with alternating exchange interactions, a modified Bleaney-Bowers model or a two-dimensional (2D) system of coupled dimers. In all cases one obtains a leading exchange interaction of about 90 K, followed by a second exchange contribution of the same order of magnitude. The analysis of the susceptibility at the highest temperatures yields a Curie-Weiss temperature $\Theta = -41$ K. Electron spin resonance (ESR) studies evidenced the existence of nonequivalent magnetic Cu sites from the frequency de-
BINDING (EHTB) method change interactions of nine different pairs of copper sharing octahedra form \( \text{Cu}_2 \) estimated to be of the order of 0.5 K while the superexchange between nonequivalent sites was change bonds should exist only between equivalent sites, dependence of the linewidth due to the anisotropic Zee-man effect and, therefore, suggested that strong superexchange bonds should exist only between equivalent sites, while the superexchange between nonequivalent sites was estimated to be of the order of 0.5 K.

Starting from the crystal structure the isotropic exchange interactions of nine different pairs of copper ions have been calculated by the Extended-Hückel-Tight-Binding (EHTB) method. With these calculations \( J_6 \) was identified as the largest exchange interaction followed by \( J_1 = 0.59J_6 \) resulting in alternating spin chains. From the fitting of the susceptibility data this estimate was experimentally refined to \( J_1 = 0.436J_6 \), with \( J_6 = 93.3 \) K. Later on the hopping integrals for the same pairs of copper ions in \( \text{CuTe}_2\text{O}_5 \) were obtained using density-functional calculations in combination with the \( N \)th order muffin-tin orbital (NMTO) downfolding technique and LDA+U calculations and suggested that the system should be regarded as a two-dimensional coupled dimer system with dominant exchange coupling \( J_4 \) followed by \( J_6 = 0.28J_4 \) and \( J_1 = 0.11J_4 \). Again these estimates were experimentally refined to \( J_6 = 0.27J_4 \) and \( J_1 = 0.07J_4 \) with \( J_4 = 94.2 \) K. Das and coworkers proposed to distinguish between the two magnetic models by their contribution to the magnetization and the specific heat. In this work we compare both quantities the magnetization and the specific heat to these theoretical predictions. Note that both models postulate a strong exchange coupling \( J_6 \) between significantly nonequivalent copper sites, which seems to be in contradiction to the ESR results. We will comment on this problem after evaluation of the data.

III. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Single crystals of \( \text{CuTe}_2\text{O}_5 \) have been grown by a standard chemical vapor phase method. Mixtures of high purity \( \text{CuO} \) (Alfa-Aesar, 99.995%) and \( \text{TeO}_2 \) (Acros, 99.9995%) powders with a molar ratio higher than 1 : 2 were sealed in quartz tubes with HBr as transport gas for the crystal growth. Then the ampoules were placed horizontally into a tubular two-zone furnace and heated very slowly by 50°C/h to 480°C for about 3 days under high vacuum. The optimum temperatures at the source and deposition zones for the growth of single crystals have been 580°C and 450°C, respectively. After two months, large blue-green plates with a maximum size of \( 8 \times 8 \times 0.5 \) mm were obtained. X-ray powder diffraction of these crystals revealed the proper single-phase product.

Magnetization measurements were performed in a DC-susceptometer (Oxford Instruments, Teslatron) in fields up to 14 T and in the temperature range 3-280 K. The temperature dependence of the specific heat of \( \text{CuTe}_2\text{O}_5 \) was measured in a Physical Property Measurement System PPMS (Quantum Design) between 1.8 K and 300 K.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Magnetization

The predictions given by Das et al. for the magnetization of the 2D coupled dimer model were calculated for applied magnetic fields with strengths \( h/J = 0.2, 0.5, 1.0 \) in units of the dominant exchange coupling \( J \) which correspond to absolute magnetic field values \( H = 12.7, 31.7, \)

![Figure 1: Projection of the monoclinic lattice structure of CuTe$_2$O$_5$ with space group $P2_1/c$ on the bc-plane. The edge-sharing octahedra form Cu$_2$ units which are separated by Te ions. $J_1$, $J_4$, and $J_6$ indicate the most important exchange paths.](image1)

![Figure 2: Temperature dependence of the magnetization measured in 12.7 T of CuTe$_2$O$_5$. The solid and dashed lines are calculations based on an alternating spin chain ($J_6 = 93.3$ K) and a 2D coupled dimer model ($J_4 = 94.2$ K) taken from Das et al. using the experimentally refined ratios of the exchange constants.](image2)
63.4 T, respectively. Correspondingly, the magnetization for the alternating chain model has been calculated for $H = 12.7$ and $31.7$ T for a direct comparison. Qualitatively both models yield a similar temperature dependence: starting from zero at $T = 0$ due to the non-magnetic singlet ground state of the spin dimers, the magnetization strongly increases with increasing temperature, when the dimers are breaking up, develops a maximum around the temperature corresponding to the antiferromagnetic exchange coupling $T_{\text{max}} \sim J/k_B$, and finally decreases following a Curie-Weiss law. However, quantitatively the absolute value of the maximum of the alternating spin-chain model (which is slightly shifted to higher temperatures) is about 25% below that of the 2D coupled dimer model.

Only the lowest magnetic field is located in the range reachable in our standard experimental laboratory setup, while the higher fields have to be verified in specialized high-field facilities. In Fig. 2 we show the data obtained at $12.7$ T together with the predicted curves for the two models. The agreement of the experiment with the prediction for the 2D coupled dimer system is obviously much better than with the one for the alternating spin chain based on ETHB calculations. Therefore, the magnetization data clearly favour the 2D coupled dimer model as a realistic description of the magnetic structure of CuTe$_2$O$_5$.

B. Specific Heat

In Fig. 3(a) we show the temperature dependence of the specific heat. No anomalies corresponding to phase transitions have been detected in agreement with reported susceptibility and ESR measurements. As a result the specific heat increases monotonously with increasing temperature. Notably, at $300$ K the specific heat is still considerably lower than the expected high-temperature value $3R/s = 200 J/(\text{mol K})$ for the phonon contribution given by the Dulong-Petit law indicating contributions to the phonon-density of states from higher-lying lattice modes. Here, $R$ denotes the gas constant and $s$ the number of atoms per formula unit.

We assume that the total heat capacity originates from two different contributions, a lattice contribution $C_{\text{latt}}$ due to acoustic and optical phonons and a magnetic contribution $C_{\text{mag}}$ corresponding to the thermal population of excited dimer states. The magnetic contributions to the specific heat divided by temperature for a spin chain model $C_{\text{alt}}/T$ based on ETHB calculations and for a 2D coupled dimer system $C_{\text{2D}}/T$, as predicted by Das and coworkers, are plotted in Fig. 3(b) in comparison to the total specific heat $C/T$. Evidently, both magnetic contributions are small compared to the lattice contribution and a non-magnetic reference material is not available. Therefore, a straightforward method to unambiguously extract the magnetic contribution from the experimental data is difficult to realize.

Thus, we decided to choose the reverse approach by subtracting the theoretically predicted magnetic contribution for the 2D coupled dimer model, which is favored by the magnetization measurements, from the total specific heat and to analyze the residual lattice contribution $C_{\text{latt}}$. The resulting data were approximated following standard procedures with a minimized set of fit parameters only using a sum of one isotropic Debye (D) - accounting for the 3 acoustic phonon branches - and four isotropic Einstein terms ($E_{1,2,3,4}$) - averaging the $3s - 3 = 21$ optical phonon branches (fitting with less than four Einstein terms was not sufficient). For further reducing the number of free fit parameters, the ratio between these terms was fixed to $D : E_1 : E_2 : E_3 : E_4 = 1 : 1 : 2 : 2 : 2$ to account for the $3s = 24$ degrees of freedom per formula unit. For $s = 8$ atoms formula unit, the ratio between acoustical (Debye) and optical (Einstein) contributions is naturally fixed as 1:7. The weight distribution between the optical contributions is chosen in such a way that the lowest Einstein mode is of equal weight with the Debye contribution, assuming one low-lying isotropic optical phonon branch (with 3 degrees of freedom), while the remaining degrees of freedom have been equally distributed between the higher Einstein modes. The resulting fit curve (solid
To check the fit results of the lattice contribution we compare the optical phonon frequencies detected by Raman spectroscopy in CuTe$_2$O$_5$ in the infrared region between $100 \leq \nu \leq 900$ cm$^{-1}$ to the Einstein temperatures obtained from the specific heat. The leading Raman peaks and corresponding characteristic temperatures (given in brackets) are found at $\nu = 121$ cm$^{-1}$ (174 K), 211 cm$^{-1}$ (304 K), 375 cm$^{-1}$ (540 K), 444 cm$^{-1}$ (640 K), 745.5 cm$^{-1}$ (1074 K). As one can see, the Einstein temperatures are in reasonable agreement with our fitting results, especially the low-lying optical mode at 174 K corresponds to $\Theta_{E1} = 151$ K and also the existence of high-frequency modes at 640 K and 1074 K agrees well with the fact that the Dulong-Petit value is approached only far above room temperature.

V. DISCUSSION

So far the present magnetization and specific-heat investigations favour the 2D coupled dimer model for CuTe$_2$O$_5$. The only open question remains concerning the frequency dependence of the ESR linewidth which was explained in terms of an anisotropic Zeeman effect requiring a very small exchange coupling between neighboring nonequivalent copper sites, whereas both theoretical models derive a rather strong exchange between these sites. This dilemma can be probably resolved regarding very recent experimental findings.

Current terahertz spectroscopic investigations of the singlet-triplet excitations in the dimerised low-temperature phase proof the importance of the Dzyaloshinsky-Moriya (DM) interaction in CuTe$_2$O$_5$ which has not been taken into account in the previous analysis of the ESR linewidth. Moreover, very recently the anisotropy as well as the temperature and frequency dependence of the ESR linewidth in the related uniform spin-1/2-chain compound CuSe$_2$O$_5$ have been successfully explained to result from the DM interaction. Due to the theory of Oshikawa and Affleck, which models the spin relaxation in uniform spin-1/2-chains at low temperature, the linewidth should increase with decreasing temperature and increasing frequency (i.e. resonance field) in the presence of a staggered field arising from the DM interaction. It is especially important to note that the DM contribution to the linewidth increases proportional to the square of the applied frequency and corresponding resonance field like the contribution of the anisotropic Zeeman effect. Thus, both contributions are difficult to separate.

Looking at the cases of copper benzoate, where Oshikawa and Affleck first applied their theory, and also CuSe$_2$O$_5$, it turns out that in both systems strong broadening with increasing frequency appears for the external field along a certain crystal axis, while the broadening is significantly weaker for any perpendicular direction. Despite the fact that CuTe$_2$O$_5$ is not a uniform spin-1/2-chain, but a complex dimer system, the pronounced line broadening with increasing frequency for the magnetic field applied along the $b$ axis compared to minor effects for the perpendicular orientations, suggests an analogous importance of the DM interaction for the spin relaxation in this compound. For a deeper analysis additional theoretical effort is needed to check the applicability of the Oshikawa-Affleck theory to the case of CuTe$_2$O$_5$. At the moment we can state that the anisotropic Zeeman effect alone is probably not enough to explain the frequency dependence of the linewidth and, hence, the exchange coupling between nonequivalent copper sites is not necessarily small. Insofar the seeming contradiction of the ESR data to the existing models is not mandatory. This finding supports our result that CuTe$_2$O$_5$ is best described in terms of a 2D coupled dimer model.

VI. CONCLUSION

To summarize, the temperature dependent magnetization data of CuTe$_2$O$_5$ taken in an external magnetic field of 12.7 T agree well with the prediction for the 2D-coupled dimer model and clearly deviate from the expectation for the alternating spin-chain model. After subtraction of the corresponding magnetic specific-heat contribution from the experimental heat-capacity data the residual specific heat can be satisfactorily fitted by phonon-contributions only, which are in line with the characteristic phonon energies obtained from Raman spectroscopy. Thus, the two-dimensional model is clearly favoured by the present experiments.
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