Opening a nodal gap by fluctuating spin-density wave in lightly doped La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$
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We investigate whether the spin or charge degrees of freedom are responsible for the nodal gap in underdoped cuprates by performing inelastic neutron scattering and x-ray diffraction measurements on La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$, which is on the edge of the antiferromagnetic phase. We found that a fluctuating incommensurate spin-density wave (SDW) with a bottom part of an hourglass dispersion exists even in this magnetic sample. The strongest component of these fluctuations diminishes at the same temperature where the nodal gap opens. X-ray scattering measurements on the same crystal show no signature of a charge-density wave (CDW). Therefore, we suggest that the nodal gap in the electronic band of this cuprate opens due to fluctuating SDW with no contribution from CDW.
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I. INTRODUCTION

At present, there are several indications from angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) that in underdoped cuprates a gap opens at the Fermi surface in the diagonal (nodal) direction [1–5]. In La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ (LSCO) this nodal gap (NG) extends to $x = 8\%$. At doping around $x = 12.5\%$, samples develop a charge-density wave (CDW) below $T ≈ 100$ K [6]. Traces of antiferromagnetism (AFM) in the form of spin-density waves (SDWs) [7] or spin glass [8] appear at doping up to $x = 12.5\%$ and temperatures $T ≈ 10$ K. It is therefore natural to speculate that one of these symmetry breaking phenomena is responsible for the opening of a nodal gap. In this work, we would like to clarify which one is the most likely. Our strategy is to carefully examine a sample which is known to at least have both AFM and SDW order, and opens a nodal gap at low temperatures. The sample is LSCO with $x = 1.92\%$ [1].

Previous neutron diffraction measurements on LSCO $x = 1.92\%$ [1] showed a magnetic Bragg peak at the AFM wave vector $Q_{AF}$ below $T = 140$ K, and two satellites that stand for static SDW order (on top of the AFM one). The satellites appear below $T = 30$ K. As in the work of Matsuda et al. [7], there are two domains in the sample. We focus on one of them, in which the AFM peak is observed when scanning near $(1,0,0)$, with no contribution from SDW. In contrast, the SDW peaks are observed when scanning near $(0,1,0)$, with no contribution from the AFM peak. Neutron scattering detects the component of spin fluctuations perpendicular to the momentum transfer $q$ [9]. Hence, the SDW fluctuations are perpendicular to the AFM order. ARPES measurements on the same sample found that a nodal gap opens below $T_{NG} = 45$ K [1]. Even though there is a temperature mismatch between the NG and SDW appearance, the two phenomena might be related. Moreover, CDW in LSCO $x = 1.92\%$ is expected to be very weak [10], and indeed this sample is out of the CDW dome [6,11]. Therefore, $a$ priori, CDW is not expected to generate the nodal gap.

Here we add to the available ARPES and neutron diffraction data, inelastic neutron scattering (INS) and x-ray diffraction data on the same piece of LSCO $x = 1.92\%$. We show that the fluctuating SDW amplitude of the frequency where it is the strongest, decreases at a temperature equal to $T_{NG}$ within experimental error. In addition, we could not find any indications of CDW in our sample. We argue that these findings explain the previously measured 15 K discrepancy between the SDW freezing and the opening of a NG, and tie the latter to fluctuating SDW.

II. RESULTS

The neutron experiment was performed at Rita-II, the cold neutrons triple axis spectrometer at the Paul Scherrer Institut. Throughout this paper, we work in orthorhombic notation, with cell parameters $a = 5.344$ Å, $b = 5.421$ Å, and $c = 13.14$ Å at $T = 2$ K. In this notation, the tetragonal two-dimensional (2D) $Q_{AF} = (1/2,1/2,0)$ is equivalent to $(0,1,0)$ in reciprocal lattice units (r.l.u.) of $2\pi/\alpha$. More information is available in the Methods section. In Fig. 1 we present a false color map of neutron counts versus energy transfer $h\omega$ and momentum transfer $q$. The raw data, in this figure alone, are interpolated for presentation purposes. Data are presented at two temperatures, 2 and 50 K, which are below and above the freezing temperature of the incommensurate magnetic order of 30 K [1]. In both cases, strong intensity is observed at $h\omega = 0$. This is due to high order contamination of the incoming beam scattering from a nuclear Bragg peak at $(0,2,0)$, despite the use of a Br filter. Around $(0,1,0)$, the intensity extends to energy transfers as high as 8 meV for both temperatures, in a cone shape, which is in fact a poorly resolved bottom part of an hourglass. This will be demonstrated subsequently. The scattering intensity is stronger at elevated temperatures. Interestingly, at $T = 2$ K spectral weight is missing at low energies, suggesting the presence of a soft gap for spin excitations. A similar spectrum, including the gap, was observed in the fully developed hourglass
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Fig. 2 by solid lines. The fit to the \( \bar{\omega} \) function. The fit with two Voigt functions is demonstrated in each energy, which was convoluted with a Lorentzian (Voigt). This was taken into account as a constant-width Gaussian at the elastic peaks [1], as demonstrated in the inset. The peaks centers are illustrated in Fig. 1(b) by the solid points. The static SDW appears at a temperature of 30 K. The intensity at \( \bar{\omega} = 2 \) meV at 45 K. which is the same temperature where the electronic gap value \( \Delta \) agrees with isolated dopant-hole bound state calculations [19]. We note that \( \bar{\omega}_{\text{max}} \) and \( k_B T_{NG} \) are of dispersion of La\(_{1.875}\)Ba\(_{0.125}\)CuO\(_4\) [12], La\(_{1.88}\)Sr\(_{0.12}\)CuO\(_4\) [13,14], and La\(_{1.6}\)Sr\(_{0.4}\)CoO\(_4\) [15], and also in La\(_2\)CuO\(_4\) [16].

For fitting, the instrument was modeled using Popovici RESCAL5 [17], and the resolution was calculated. Black horizontal lines in panel (b) represent cuts along which the background is determined. The black symbols indicate the center of the \( \bar{\omega} \) = 0 and 2 meV peaks demonstrated in Fig. 2, and make the bottom part of an hourglass.

To further investigate the inelastic behavior, we sum the intensity over \( q \) at constant energy cuts. The horizontal line in Fig. 1(b) presents one such cut. Background contribution is estimated from the data along the dashed diagonal lines in Fig. 1(b), and subtracted. Figure 3 presents the background subtracted \( q \)-integrated intensity versus energy transfer \( \langle I(\omega) \rangle = \sum_q I(q, \omega) \), starting from \( \bar{\omega} = 0.15 \) meV to avoid the high intensity elastic peak. At \( T = 50 \) K, \( \langle I(\omega) \rangle \) monotonically grows as the frequency decreases. In contrast, at \( T = 2 \) K, \( \langle I(\omega) \rangle \) reaches a maximum at some \( \bar{\omega}_{\text{max}} \) between 2 and 3 meV, and drops towards \( \bar{\omega} = 0 \), although residual elastic scattering intensity is observed near \( \bar{\omega} = 0 \). Measurements on La\(_{2-x}\)Ba\(_x\)CuO\(_4\) with 0.0125 \( \leq x \leq 0.035 \) which were limited to energies below 1 meV agree with our results [18]. This plot demonstrates more clearly the aforementioned soft gap in spin excitations which develops at low temperatures.

We summarize the available data on LSCO with \( x = 1.92\% \) in Fig. 4(a). In this figure we show the temperature dependence of the \( q \)-integrated scattering intensity at three different energies. The data at \( \bar{\omega} = 0 \) are taken from Ref. [1] and multiplied by \( 2 \times 10^{-3} \) for clarity. They show that a long range static SDW appears at a temperature of 30 K. The intensity at \( \bar{\omega} = 0.6 \) meV increases as the temperature is lowered, peaks at 38 K, and then decreases. This result demonstrates that a dynamically fluctuating SDW at \( \bar{\omega} > 0 \) diminishes upon cooling before long range static incommensurate order develops. The same effect, although less sharp, is observed for \( \bar{\omega} = 2 \) meV at 45 K.

Figure 4(b) depicts the temperature dependence of the nodal gap from Ref. [1] as measured by ARPES. This gap opens at \( T_{NG} = 45 \) K, which is the same temperature where the spectral density at \( \bar{\omega}_{\text{max}} \) begins to diminish. The maximum electronic gap value \( \Delta \) agrees with isolated dopant-hole bound state calculations [19]. We note that \( \bar{\omega}_{\text{max}} \) and \( k_B T_{NG} \) are of
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FIG. 2. Evolution of the SDW peaks with energy at $T = 2\,\text{K}$. Momentum scan along $k$ centered at $(0,1,0)$ for different energy transfers at $T = 2\,\text{K}$. Scans are shifted consecutively by $2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ counts/monitor for clarity. Inset: SDW elastic peaks for the same $q$-scan also at $T = 2\,\text{K}$. Background from higher temperature was subtracted. For energies of $\hbar\omega = 0$ and $2\,\text{meV}$, a sum of two Voigt functions is fitted to the data (solid black lines). The peak separation for $\hbar\omega = 2\,\text{meV}$ is $0.04$ r.l.u, as in the $\hbar\omega = 0$ case (see inset). Black horizontal lines represent the instrumental resolution. At the right peak of $\hbar\omega = 2\,\text{meV}$ we had 50 counts per 2 million at the monitor.

FIG. 3. $q$-integrated intensity vs neutron energy transfer at low ($2\,\text{K}$) and high ($50\,\text{K}$) temperatures. Integrated intensity is calculated for each energy as sum of the counts over $q$ along horizontal lines like the one shown in Fig. 1. Background is estimated from the counts along the two dashed lines shown in Fig. 1(a) and subtracted from the raw data.

In order to investigate whether CDW plays a role in the nodal gap [20], we conducted a search for CDW in this sample by two different methods: off resonance x-ray diffraction (XRD) and resonance elastic x-ray scattering (REXS). The experiments were done at PETRA III on the P09 beamline and at BESSY on the UE46-PGM1 beamline, respectively. In REXS, the background subtraction is not trivial, so we only present here our XRD data. Nonetheless, the final conclusion from both methods is the same.

In Fig. 5 we show results from LSCO samples with $x = 1.92\%$ and $x = 6.0\%$ and from $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Ba}_x\text{CuO}_4$ (LBCO) with $x = 12.5\%$. The data sets are shifted vertically for clarity. The LBCO sample is used as a test case, since it has well established CDW and presents strong diffraction peaks. The measurements were taken at $7\,\text{K}$ and at $70\,\text{K}$, which are below and above the CDW critical temperature of LBCO [21]. We performed two types of scans: a “stripes” scan along the $(0,\delta q, 8.5)$ direction and a “checkerboard” scan along the $(\delta q, \delta q, 8.5)$ direction. We chose to work at $l = 8.5$ to minimize the contribution from a Bragg peak at $l = 8$ or $l = 9$. For LBCO at $T = 7\,\text{K}$, there is a clear CDW peak at $\delta q \approx 0.24$ in the “checkerboard” scan, which is absent at high temperatures. In contrast, for the

FIG. 4. Temperature dependence of all experimental parameters. (a) Elastic and inelastic incommensurate SDW intensities at different energies from neutron scattering. (b) ARPES measurement of the nodal gap at $k_F$ [1]. The dashed vertical line emphasizes the fact that the nodal gap opens when the amplitude of dynamic spin fluctuations at $\hbar\omega \approx 2\,\text{meV}$ decreases.
LSCO samples there is no difference between the signal at high and low temperatures. Since $\delta q$ of the CDW peak depends on doping, in our sample it is expected to be close to $\delta q = 0$, where a tail of the Bragg peak could potentially obscure the CDW peak. Arrows in Fig. 5 show where we might expect the CDW peaks, should they appear, based on linear scaling with doping. These positions are out of the $\delta q = 0$ peak tail, and not obscured. Thus, although we are in experimental conditions appropriate to find a CDW, it is not observed within our sensitivity. In fact, CDW is even absent at higher doping, as demonstrated by our experiment with the LSCO $x = 6\%$ sample. We observed the same null result with the REXS experiment. It is important to mention that hourglass spin excitations with no stripe-like CDW were observed previously \cite{15}.

Our main results are as follows: we find the bottom part of an hourglass dispersion inside the AFM phase of LSCO. The hourglass does not start from zero energy, but has a soft gap from the static SDW order. A CDW order seems to be absent in our sample. Upon cooling the system, a nodal gap in electronic excitations opens just when the strongest spin excitations start to diminish. It is therefore sufficient for the SDW fluctuations to slow down without completely freezing out in order to modify the band structure.
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