The simultaneous removal of reactive and disperse dyes by Electrocoagulation Process with a bipolar connection of combined Iron and Aluminum electrodes: Experimental design and Kinetic studies
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Abstract: This study concerns the ability of electrocoagulation process to remove simultaneously disperse and reactive dyes by using combined iron and aluminum electrodes in bipolar mode. A statistical experiment design (Response Surface Methodology; RSM) was adopted to model the process and to optimize the parameters influencing the removal efficiency of the dyes. The mathematical model is established, using a rotatable central composite design uniform to study the empirical relationships between two responses (Removal efficiency, energy consumption) and five factors: initial pH, current density, the concentration of supporting electrolyte, time and stirring speed. This treatment, therefore, led to a removal efficiency that can reach more than 95% with low consumption energy of 9 kWh/Kg of removed dye. Kinetic, isotherm adsorption and thermodynamic studies were undertaken with the optimized parameters. Electrocoagulation mechanism was modeled using adsorption kinetics and isotherm models, it is sited were on the insoluble iron and aluminum flocs. This study shows that the Freundlich model fit the adsorption isotherm, the kinetic of the electrocoagulation is better presented by the pseudo-second-order kinetic, the adsorption is endothermic and spontaneous for both dyes. Analysis of the sludge allowed quantifying the role of each electrode in removing dyes.
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1. Introduction

Textile industries use several chemicals for dying processes; the waters from this industry is loaded with toxic compounds that must be removed before being discharged.

Conventional methods for dealing with textile wastewater consist of various combinations of biological, physical and chemical methods 1,2. Biological treatment is limited because of the toxicity of some textile effluents 3,4. Techniques based on activated carbon adsorption, reverse osmosis and ultrafiltration are useful, however, they are expensive, and restricted for low concentration ranges 5,6.

Coagulation-flocculation is one of the most used techniques for wastewater treatment 7. Coagulation is achieved by the addition of iron salts or aluminum. The flocculation of the agglomerates is performed by the addition of organic polymers; however, this method produces a large number of flocs which requires a second treatment 8. Electrochemical techniques hold a vital place.

The electrocoagulation technique is one of the most effective and promising methods applied for treatment of different pollutants (such as emulsified oils, heavy metals, dyes, and other organic pollutants) in domestic and industrial wastewater effluents, it is based on the electrochemical dissolution of the anode that leads to the formation of metallic cations which form complexes with the hydroxide ions 9, the dominant species is pH-dependent. These complexes act as coagulants, adsorb particles and thus cancel the colloidal fillers.

The main reactions taking place with the iron electrodes are 10.

Anode: Fe → Fe^{2+} + 2e^{-}  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Cathode: 2H_{2}O + 2e^{-} → 2 OH^{-} + H_{2}(g) \hspace{1cm} (2)

Overall reaction:

Fe^{2+} + 2OH^{-} → Fe(OH)_{2} (s) (greenish color) \hspace{1cm} (3)

Fe(OH)_{2} is then oxidized to form Fe(OH)_{3} 11,12.

In the case of aluminum electrodes, the reactions taking place at the electrodes are as follow:

The oxidation takes place at the anode:
Electrocoagulation experiments were carried out in a cylindrical electrocoagulation cell illustrated in Figure 3. A photo of the electrocoagulation cell is illustrated in Figure 3. As illustrated in Figure 4, four electrodes were used to treat laundry wastewater by electrocoagulation-electroflotation 

The use of combined aluminum and iron electrodes has been used to treat synthetic effluent textile from a textile industry based in Casablanca.

First, the statistical experimental design JMP (John's Macintosh Project), it is a suite of computer programs for statistical analysis used in applications such as Six Sigma, engineering, design of experiments, as well as for research in science, engineering... JMP is adopted to determine the optimal parameters such as pH, conductivity, current density, electrolysis time, and stirring speed to minimize consumption energy and anode consumption for better removal efficiency. Then, the kinetics of electrocoagulation and analysis of the flocs and the treated solution is studied in order to comprehend the role of each type of electrodes to remove simultaneously disperse and reactive dyes.

The use of combined aluminum and iron electrodes for the removal of a mixture of dyes has not yet been investigated by other authors. The goal is to quantify the effect of each anode for a bipolar connection mode (Fe-Al-Al-Fe).

2. Materials and Methods

The dyes used as synthetic effluent textile are disperse red dyes 74 whose wavelength is 569 nm and the reactive blue whose wavelength is 600 nm as illustrated in Figure 1 and 2. They were mixed with the same concentration, after that, sodium hydroxide was added to adjust conductivity, and two solutions of hydrochloric acid and sodium hydroxide (0.5M) were prepared to adjust pH of the solution. Electrocoagulation experiments were carried out in a stirred glass tank. A propeller stirrer of length (h = 6 cm) was placed in the middle of the compartment at 4 cm from the bottom of the reactor to avoid flocs decay and promote electrocoagulation. The reactor volume was 5 liters. The solution was stirred at 100 rpm with a mechanical stirrer to ensure better homogenization of the mixture. The temperature of the solution has been monitored to the desired value with a digital thermostat (Ultratemp 2000). A photo of the electrocoagulation cell is illustrated in Figure 3. As illustrated in Figure 4, four electrodes were used in bipolar connection; the area of each electrode (Fe and Al) is 70 cm². The electrodes have been previously treated with an abrasive paper at their surface, in order to homogenize them and remove impurities and deposits which can contaminate them, two iron electrodes are connected to the generator, and two aluminum electrodes were placed between iron electrodes without any connection, they are fixed on a wooden support with an inter-electrode distance of 1 cm then immersed in the effluents.

This arrangement ensures, on the one hand, a large area and on the other hand, leads to the use of aluminum and iron as sacrificial anodes. With bipolar electrodes, the cells are in series. The sacrificial electrodes are placed between the two parallel electrodes without any electrical connection. Only the two monopolar electrodes are connected to the electric power source with no interconnections between the sacrificial electrodes. The iron electrodes were connected to a power generator (DC power supply) (BK precision).

After treatment the electrodes are cleaned by immersion in a hydrochloric acid solution (0.1 M) for 30 minutes then rinsed and dried at room temperature for 1 hour to be measured by a very accurate balance (Precisa 205 A).

The color evolution was monitored by absorbance measurement using a UV-visible spectrophotometer (HELOX) with two wavelengths of 569 nm and 600 nm corresponding to the maximum absorbance of respectively disperse and reactive dyes. Samples containing the same concentrations for both types of dyes were prepared. Absorbance for different concentrations was measured for both wavelengths to study the evolution of each type of dye. A linear evolution between concentration and absorbance was obtained.

A pH-meter (CRISON pH-meter BASIC 20+), and a conductivity-meter (CRISON EC-meter BASIC 30+) were used to measure respectively pH and conductivity. pH was adjusted by adding hydrogen chloride (HCl) or hydroxide sodium (NaOH).

The percentage of color removal was calculated by using (equation 7) 10:

\[
\text{Percentage of color removal} = \frac{C_0 - C_1}{C_0} \times 100
\]
\[ Y\% = \frac{Abs_0 - Abs_i}{Abs_0} \times 100 \]  

(7)

Where Abs_0 and Abs_i are respectively the initial absorbances and the absorbance at a specific time.

The specific electrical energy consumption per kg of dye removed was calculated as follows:

\[ E = \frac{U \times I \times t}{V \times C_0 \times Y\%} \text{KWh/Kg of removed dyes} \]  

(8)

\[ \%Fe(Al) = \frac{(m_0 - m_f)}{m_0} \times 100 \]  

(9)

Where \( m_0 \) is the initial mass of the anode, \( m_f \) is the final mass after electrocoagulation. The mass of the cathode was also measured before and after electrocoagulation.

**2.1 Statistical analysis**

Optimization of the removal of reactive and disperse dyes by electrocoagulation is achieved by using the RSM (1.2.1 version). RSM is a practical tool for the design of experiments, it is useful for modeling and analysis of problems \( ^{20} \). A rotatable central composite design uniform is used to study the empirical relationships between two responses (removal efficiency, energy consumption) and five factors: initial pH, current density, electrolysis concentration, time and stirring speed \( ^{21} \).
2.2. Mathematical model
The factorial experimental designs use the following mathematical model that links the response \( y \) to factors \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \). This is a polynomial model \( ^{22} \).

\[
Y = a_0 + a_1 \times x_1 + a_2 \times x_2 + \ldots + a_n \times x_n + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \times x_i \times x_j + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n} a_{ijk} \times x_i \times x_j \times x_k + \ldots
\]  

(10)

Where:
- \( y \): theoretical response function;
- \( X_i \): coded variables of the system;
- \( a_{ij}, a_{ijk} \): true model coefficients.

2.3. Experimental field
To study the combined effect of five factors, experiments are performed for different combinations of the physical parameters using statistically designed experiments. Each parameter has two levels: -1 or +1 indicating respectively minimum and maximum.

The variables are coded according to the following equation:

\[
X_i = \frac{x_i - x_0}{\Delta x}
\]  

(11)

\( X_i \) : the dimensionless value of an independent variable.
\( x_i \) : the real value of an independent variable.
\( x_0 \) : the value of \( x_i \) at the center point.
\( \Delta x \) : is the step range.

The corresponding five variable central composite designs are shown in Table 1. Twelve experiments are used to estimate the model coefficients.

### Table 1. Experimental design matrix using central composite design.

| Run | Coded values | Real values |
|-----|--------------|-------------|
|     | X1 | X2 | X3 | X4 | X5 | Current density (mA/cm²) | Initial pH | Processing time (min) | Concentration of supporting electrolyte (mg/l) | Stirring speed (rpm) |
| 1   | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 5                          | 4           | 20                      | 1                        | 50                        |
| 2   | 1  | 1  | -1 | -1 | 1  | 25                         | 11          | 20                      | 1                        | 200                       |
| 3   | -1 | 1  | 1  | -1 | 1  | 5                          | 11          | 60                      | 1                        | 200                       |
| 4   | 1  | -1 | -1 | 1  | -1 | 25                         | 4           | 20                      | 5                        | 50                        |
| 5   | -1 | 1  | 1  | 1  | -1 | 5                          | 11          | 60                      | 5                        | 50                        |
| 6   | 1  | 1  | -1 | -1 | -1 | 25                         | 11          | 20                      | 1                        | 50                        |
| 7   | -1 | -1 | 1  | -1 | -1 | 5                          | 4           | 60                      | 1                        | 50                        |
| 8   | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | -1 | 25                         | 11          | 60                      | 5                        | 50                        |
| 9   | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1  | 1  | 5                          | 4           | 20                      | 5                        | 200                       |
| 10  | 1  | -1 | 1  | 1  | 1  | 25                         | 4           | 60                      | 5                        | 200                       |
| 11  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  | 1  | 5                          | 11          | 20                      | 5                        | 200                       |
| 12  | 1  | -1 | 1  | -1 | 1  | 25                         | 4           | 60                      | 1                        | 200                       |

By default the software offers a Randomize random order, the 12 experiments are carried out to determine the answers, the table below shows both the five factors and the two responses at each case (Table 2).

### Table 2. Data matrix of factors and responses.

| Order | Current density (mA/cm²) | Initial pH | Concentration of supporting electrolyte (g/l) | Stirring speed (rpm) | Processing time (min) | Removal efficiency (%) | Consumption energy (Kg/KWh of dyes) |
|-------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1     | 5                        | 4          | 5                                             | 200                  | 60                    | 71.77                  | 1.789                             |
| 2     | 25                       | 11         | 1                                             | 200                  | 20                    | 93.94                  | 14.903                            |
| 3     | 5                        | 11         | 5                                             | 200                  | 60                    | 92.72                  | 1.200                             |
| 4     | 5                        | 11         | 5                                             | 50                   | 20                    | 72.31                  | 0.620                             |
2.4. Validation of the model
John’s Macintosh Project software is performed for regression and graphical analysis of obtained data. The optimum of studied parameters (pH, current density, electrolysis concentration, time, and stirring speed) is obtained by analyzing the response surface contour plots. The validation of the model is considered adequate if the variance due to regression is different from the total variance (ANOVA).

3. Results and discussions
3.1. Optimization of electrocoagulation process parameters by experimental design
Optimization of the removal dyes by electrocoagulation is achieved by using the JMP (John’s Macintosh Project) software which offers various functionalities for the analysis and experimental design. It is used to study the empirical relationships between two responses (Removal efficiency of dyes “y₁” and consumption energy “y₂”) and five factors: current density, pH, processing time, the concentration of supporting electrolyte and stirring speed.

The model is then analyzed, the coefficients have been sorted according to their impact on the response, those that cross the blue line have a huge impact, but the others cannot be neglected.

The most critical parameters, which affect the efficiency of electrocoagulation, are current density, pH and processing time, as shown in Figure 5 and 6.

| Factors                        | Estimate | Standard Error | t Value | Prob. > | t |
|--------------------------------|----------|----------------|---------|----------|---|
| Current density (mA/cm²)(5,25) | 11,145   | 2,766043       | 4.03    | 0.0069*  |   |
| Initial pH(4,11)               | 6,283333 | 2,766043       | 2.40    | 0.0536   |   |
| Processing time (min)(20,60)   | 4,751666 | 2,766043       | 1.72    | 0.1366   |   |
| Stirring speed (rpm)(50,200)   | 4,516667 | 2,766043       | 1.63    | 0.1356   |   |
| Concentration of supporting electrolyte (g/l)(1,5) | 3,385 | 2,766043 | 1.22    | 0.2669   |   |

Figure 5. Sorted Parameter Estimates (% removal efficiency)

| Factors                        | Estimate | Standard Error | t Value | Prob. > | t |
|--------------------------------|----------|----------------|---------|----------|---|
| Current density (mA/cm²)(5,25) | 9,8405833 | 2,370501 | 4.15    | 0.0050*  |   |
| Processing time (min)(20,60)   | 6,2489167 | 2,370501       | 2.64    | 0.0387*  |   |
| Concentration of supporting electrolyte (g/l)(1,5) | -5,77025 | 2,370501 | -2.43   | 0.0509   |   |
| Initial pH(4,11)               | 1,2400833 | 2,370501       | 0.52    | 0.6191   |   |
| Stirring speed (rpm)(50,200)   | -0.296917 | 2,370501       | -0.13   | 0.9038   |   |

Figure 6. Sorted Parameter Estimates (% consumption energy)

Before talking about optimization, it would be interesting to have more information about some elements, the factors that have an impact are now defined linearly but it could be interesting to see if there will be other second-order terms that appear in our model.

The experiments were carried out by setting the stirring speed at 100 rpm, and the electrolyte concentration at 2.5g/l (Optimal for maximum elimination percentage and minimum energy consumption) the term block is automatically assigned by the software, Table 3 summarize the news experiences for enhanced experimental design.
Table 3. Data matrix of factors and responses of enhanced experimental design.

| Order | Current density (mA/cm²) | Initial pH | Processing time (min) | Bloc 1 | Removal efficiency (%) | Consumption energy (Kg/KWh of dyes) |
|-------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------------|-------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 13    | 5                        | 4          | 20                    | 2     | 60.1                    | 5.524                            |
| 14    | 15                       | 7.5        | 40                    | 2     | 94.8                    | 7.322                            |
| 15    | 25                       | 7.5        | 40                    | 2     | 95.8                    | 18.799                           |
| 16    | 5                        | 11         | 60                    | 2     | 94.0                    | 1.602                            |
| 17    | 15                       | 7.5        | 60                    | 2     | 97.5                    | 11.529                           |
| 18    | 25                       | 11         | 20                    | 2     | 96.4                    | 9.590                            |
| 19    | 15                       | 4          | 40                    | 2     | 85.41                   | 7.950                            |
| 20    | 25                       | 4          | 60                    | 2     | 91.75                   | 28.054                           |

The mathematical model of the removal efficiency is expressed by the following quadratic equation:

\[ Y_1 = 100.65 + 13.64X_1 - 10.46X_2 + 8.55X_3 - 14.43X_1^2 - 8.06X_1X_2 + 0.7X_2^2 - 7.6X_2X_3 - 3.87X_2X_3 - 7.63X_3^2 \]  \( (12) \)

The energy consumption is also expressed by the quadratic equation:

\[ Y_2 = 6.71 + 9.26X_1 - 0.44X_2 + 5.57X_3 - 3.38X_1^2 - 0.53X_2^2 + 1.35X_1X_2 + 1.35X_1X_3 - 1.19X_2X_3 - 0.19X_3^2 \]  \( (13) \)

Table 4 and 5 shown Regression variance analysis for the two responses; the significant value of F indicates that the most of the variation in the response can be explained by the regression equation. The associated P-value is used to estimate whether F-statistics is large enough to indicate statistical significance. A P value lower than 0.01 indicates that the model is considered to be statistically significant, this also means that at least one of the terms in the regression equation has a significant correlation with the response variable.

The ANOVA of removal efficiency and consumption energy indicates that the second-order polynomial model (equations 6 and 7) is highly significant and adequate to represent the actual relationship between the responses and variables, with small P values (P < 0.001) and a high value of regression coefficient.

Table 4. Regression variance analysis for dyes removal efficiency.

| Source   | Degree of freedom | Sum of squares | Mean square | F statistics | P      |
|----------|-------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|--------|
| Model    | 10                | 9010.6059      | 901.061     | 19.1376      | <0.0001|
| Residual | 9                 | 423.7497       | 47.083      |              |        |
| Total    | 19                | 9434.3556      |             |              |        |

Table 5. Regression variance analysis for consumption energy.

| Source   | Degree of freedom | Sum of squares | Mean square | F statistics | P      |
|----------|-------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|--------|
| Model    | 10                | 2522.1988      | 252.220     | 5.3206       | <0.001 |
| Residual | 9                 | 426.6397       | 47.404      |              |        |
| Total    | 19                | 2948.8385      |             |              |        |

Contour plots for dyes removal efficiency and consumption energy in Figure 7 and 8 show the interaction effect of current density and initial pH at fixed values of the processing time (60min), the current density and pH increase simultaneously. Then, to have weak energy, it is beneficial to work with low current density, the lowest value of the current density, which gives a maximum of dyes removal is 12 mA/cm².
The responses surface plots as illustrated in Figure 9, and 10 represents also combined influence of current density and initial pH at fixed values of the processing time (60min), as shown responses has been affected by factors, current density is about 15mA/cm² and the pH points towards the acid zone, leads to a higher removal efficiency >95% and higher values of pH and current density leads to a higher consumption energy.

From where the optimum condition for removal dyes from the textile industry is given in Table 6. Under these conditions, the estimated values of dyes removal efficiency, energy consumption for the treatment by electrocoagulation process are respectively, 96.5% and 9.13 kWh/kg of removed dye. This result is experimentally confirmed.

Table 6. Optimum values of the process parameters.

| Parameters                  | Values       |
|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Current density             | 12.37 mA/cm² |
| pH                          | 5.9          |
| Electrolysis concentration  | 2 g/l        |
| Processing time             | 60 min       |
| Stirring speed              | 100 rpm      |
3.2. Kinetic study

During electrocoagulation, the dyes are adsorbed at the surface of metal hydroxides continuously.

To study the adsorption kinetics of the two dyes (dispersed red and reactive blue) on the floc containing metal hydroxides (Fe(OH)₃ and Al(OH)₃), the optimal conditions are pH = 5.9, j = 12.37 mA/cm², C = 2.5 mS/cm and 25°C as the working temperature was used. The influence of temperature is then analyzed to determine the activation energy.

The amount of dye removed at time t, Qₜ (mg.g⁻¹), was calculated using (equation 14) and represented in Figures 11 and 12:

\[
Q_t = \frac{(C_0 - C_t) \times V}{m}
\]  

Where:
- Qₜ: the amount of dyes adsorbed on the adsorbent at time t (mg/g).
- C₀: the initial concentration of the solution to be treated (mg/l);
- Cₜ: the concentration of the dye at time t (mg/l);
- V: the volume of the solution (liter);
- m: Loss mass of electrodes (iron and aluminum).

![Figure 11. Effect of electrolysis time and initial concentration on the amount of disperse red dye adsorbed (Optimal conditions)](image)

![Figure 12. Effect of electrolysis time and initial concentration on the amount of reactive blue dye adsorbed (Optimal conditions)](image)
The adsorption model was first tested by the pseudo-first-order expressed by the Lagergren equation 24-25.

\[
\ln(Q_e - Q_t) = \ln(Q_e) - k_1t
\]  

(15)

Where:
- \(Q_e\): Amount of adsorbed dye (mg) at equilibrium per gram of adsorbent (mg/g).
- \(k_1\): First order adsorption rate constant (min\(^{-1}\)).

\(Q_t\) and the rate constant \(k_1\) were determined by the slope of the plots of \(\ln(Q_e - Q_t)\) as function of time, and intercept of the plot. The calculated \(Q_t\) value does not agree with the experimental \(Q_e\) values.

The second-order kinetic model was tested. It is expressed by the following equation 26:

\[
\frac{t}{Q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2Q_0^2} + \frac{1}{Q_e}t
\]  

(16)

Where:
- \(k_2\): Second order adsorption rate constant (mg/g.min)

The plot of \((t/Q_t)\) as a function of \(t\) gives a straight line with the slope \((1/Q_0)\) and intercept of the plot \((1/k_2Q_0^2)\) 31. Table 7 shows a comparison between the experimental and calculated \(Q_e\) values for different dyes concentration (disperse and reactive dyes) in first and second-order kinetic models at temperature 298K. According to the results presented in Table 3, second-order kinetic presents better the adsorption for all initial concentrations as the obtained equilibrium capacity is close to the experimental values of \(Q_e\) and the linear regression coefficient is close to the unit.

### Table 7. Results of the kinetics of pseudo-first and second order for disperse red and reactive blue dyes.

| Dyes          | Concentration (mg/l) | \(Q_e\) “Exp.” (mg/g) | \(Q_e\) “Cal.” (mg/g) | k\(_1\) (min\(^{-1}\)) | R\(^2\) | \(Q_e\) “Cal.” (mg/g) | k\(_2\) (mg/g.min) | R\(^2\) |
|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------|
| Disperse Red  | 10                   | 9.50                  | 9.28                  | 0.23                    | 0.8843| 10.18                 | 0.06              | 0.9973|
|               | 20                   | 11.51                 | 23.83                 | 0.41                    | 0.8994| 11.85                 | 0.09              | 0.9987|
|               | 30                   | 22.00                 | 9.09                  | 0.09                    | 0.6368| 22.68                 | 0.05              | 0.9986|
|               | 40                   | 48.00                 | 59.98                 | 0.08                    | 0.9268| 55.25                 | 0.002             | 0.9497|
|               | 50                   | 48.00                 | 53.97                 | 0.15                    | 0.9772| 50.77                 | 0.008             | 0.9929|
| Reactive Blue | 10                   | 9.60                  | 3.18                  | 0.17                    | 0.7005| 10.19                 | 0.03              | 0.9842|
|               | 20                   | 11.24                 | 32.07                 | 0.47                    | 0.8485| 12.08                 | 0.05              | 0.9957|
|               | 30                   | 22.00                 | 39.06                 | 0.26                    | 0.8686| 23.87                 | 0.013             | 0.9839|
|               | 40                   | 48.00                 | 58.09                 | 0.07                    | 0.9009| 55.55                 | 0.002             | 0.9306|
|               | 50                   | 47.91                 | 55.33                 | 0.12                    | 0.9465| 52.63                 | 0.005             | 0.9746|

### 3.3. Adsorption isotherm

The adsorption isotherms can contribute to determining the type of adsorption allowing the determination of the maximum capacity for fixing the dyes on Fe(OH)\(_3\) or/and Al(OH)\(_3\).

In the literature, many theoretical models have been developed in order to describe the experimental data corresponding to adsorption isotherms. The Langmuir and the Freundlich models are mainly used for describing adsorption in the liquid phase.

The Langmuir model is described as follows 28,29

\[
\frac{1}{Q_t} = \frac{1}{Q_m} + \frac{1}{K_LQ_mC_e}
\]  

(17)

The parameters \(Q_m\) and \(K_L\) will be determined by plotting the line \(1/Q_t\) versus \(1/C_e\). \(Q_m\) is the maximum adsorption capacity and \(K_L\) is related to the energy of adsorption.

The Freundlich model is described as follows:

\[
\ln(Q_e) = \ln(K_f) + \frac{1}{n}\ln(C_e)
\]  

(18)

Where \(k_f\) is the Freundlich constant and \(n\) is the intensity of adsorption. \(K_f\) and \(n\) are determined by plotting the curve \(\ln(Q_e)\) versus \(\ln(C_e)\).

The temperature was maintained at 25°C and different concentrations ranging from 10 mg/l to 50 mg/l were used. Samples were taken to determine the equilibrium concentration after 35 min (equilibrium time). Table 8 summarizes the results for both types of isotherms. We can notice that the experimental results of the adsorption isotherm are better represented by the Freundlich model since the correlation coefficient is close to unity. Therefore the adsorption of blue and red dyes is favored by the Freundlich isotherm based on adsorption on a heterogeneous surface.
Table 8. Adsorption equilibrium parameters according to the Langmuir and Freundlich models.

| Parameters                   | Reactive Blue | Dispersed Red |
|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| **Langmuir Adsorption Isotherm** |               |               |
| $Q_m$ (mg/g)                 | 454.54        | 476.2         |
| $K_L$ (L/mg)                 | 0.3142        | 0.296         |
| $R^2$                        | 0.8065        | 0.885         |
| **Freundlich Adsorption Isotherm** |           |               |
| $k_f$                        | 145.7         | 153.35        |
| $N$                          | 2.87          | 2.89          |
| $R^2$                        | 0.917         | 0.945         |

3.4. Thermodynamic study

Adsorption is a phenomenon that can be endothermic or exothermic depending on the adsorbent material and the nature of the adsorbed molecules. In order to understand the thermodynamic phenomenon of the adsorption of the dyes by sacrificial anodes (Al(OH)$_3$ or/and Fe(OH)$_3$), we carried out experiments of decolorization by varying the temperature of the synthetic effluent from 25 to 45°C. The tests were carried out for a concentration of 40 ppm of each type of dye (reactive blue and dispersed red) by adjusting the initial value of pH value between 5 and 6 and 2.5 mS/cm as conductivity by adding 2 g/L of NaCl.

These mixtures are kept under constant stirring for 120 rpm, duration of 60 min. The residual concentration of the dye was determined by UV-visible spectrophotometry at the appropriate wavelength.

Thermodynamic parameters such as standard free enthalpy $\Delta G^o$, standard enthalpy $\Delta H^o$ and standard entropy $\Delta S^o$ were determined using the following equations:

$$\Delta G^o = -RT \times \ln(K_d)$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

The free energy $\Delta G^o$ indicates the spontaneity of a process.

The Van't Hoff equation will allow calculating the variation of enthalpy and entropy:

$$\ln(K_d) = \frac{\Delta H^o}{R} \times \frac{1}{T} + \frac{\Delta S^o}{R}$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

Where:

$K_d = \frac{Q_e}{C_e}$; Dye retention rate

$Q_e$: the quantity of the dye eliminated at equilibrium;

$C_e$: the concentration at equilibrium (at $t = t_e = 35$ min)

The enthalpy and entropy changes were obtained from the slope and intercept of the Van’t Hoff plots of $\ln K_d$ versus $1/T$.

According to Table 9, the negative values of enthalpy obtained both for disperse red dye, and reactive blue dye indicates that the adsorption is exothermic.

The random nature of dyes adsorption is proved by the positive values of entropy. The negative values of the change of free energy indicate the adsorption is spontaneous.

Table 9. Thermodynamic parameters of the adsorption of the disperse red and reactive blue dyes on metal hydroxide (Fe(OH)$_3$/ Al(OH)$_3$).

| Dyes          | Thermodynamic parameters |   |
|---------------|--------------------------|---|
|               | $T$°C | $\Delta S^o$ (KJ/K.mol) | $\Delta H^o$ (KJ/mol) | $R^2$ | $\Delta G^o$ (KJ/mol) |
| Reactive blue | 25    | 17.408                   | -443.535             | 0.9996 | -5633.935             |
|               | 30    | 17.408                   | -443.535             | 0.9996 | -5720.978             |
|               | 35    | 17.408                   | -443.535             | 0.9996 | -5808.021             |
|               | 40    | 17.408                   | -443.535             | 0.9996 | -5895.065             |
|               | 45    | 17.408                   | -443.535             | 0.9996 | -5982.108             |
| Disperse Red  | 25    | 15.128                   | -523.033             | 0.9898 | -5033.74              |
|               | 30    | 15.128                   | -523.033             | 0.9898 | -5109.385             |
|               | 35    | 15.128                   | -523.033             | 0.9898 | -5185.03              |
|               | 40    | 15.128                   | -523.033             | 0.9898 | -5260.675             |
|               | 45    | 15.128                   | -523.033             | 0.9898 | -5336.32              |
To find out the energy of activation for adsorption of dyes, the second order rate constant is expressed in Arrhenius form:

$$\ln k_2 = \ln k_0 - \frac{E}{RT}$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

Where: $k_0$ is the constant of the equation (mg/g.min); $E$ is the energy of activation (J/mol); $R$ is the gas constant (8.314J/mol.K); $T$ is the temperature (K).

The curve $\ln k_2$ versus $1/T$ is a straight line in which the slope gives $E/R$ allowing the determination of the energy of activation $E$. For Disperse red, $E = 56753.03$ J/mol, for reactive blue: $E = 41476.89$ J/mol.

### 3.5. Sludge analysis

The samples were filtered immediately after the electrocoagulation was stopped using a cellulose filter of 0.2 µm. The brine recovered from the filter is placed in a laboratory oven at 120°C, which is considered enough to completely dry the filtered flocs, and the recovered flocs were visualized by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) using “Thermo scientific Quatro S”. This study does not concern the morphology of the grains but the elementary composition of the dried flocs. Sludge samples were analyzed by EDAX coupled with SEM to quantify the elemental composition. The carbon found is only the one deposited on the sample before analysis to make the surface conductive. Sodium and chlorine are provided by the NaCl salt used to vary the conductivity of the solution during the experiment as shown in Figure 13. EDAX analysis affirms the presence of different metallic elements such as Na and S, the presence of those heavy metals reflects the power of Electrocoagulation process to transfer the pollution from water to the formed sludge. As reported by Titchou et al.

![Figure 13. EDAX spectrum of the floc at T=30°C](image)

The floc analysis gives an amount of iron that gradually increases with temperature, which explains the loss of anode mass during the experiment. The results in Table 10 indicate that iron is more abundant than aluminum in the sludge (40% iron, 8% aluminum) in the case of the temperature of 25°C and (48.7 % iron, 8.6 % aluminum) in the case of the temperature of 45°C. The amount of iron is in general seven to eight times important than aluminum.

| T°C | EDAX Analysis |
|-----|---------------|
|     | Weight % (Fe) | Weight % (Al) |
| 25  | 40.31         | 8.07          |
| 30  | 40.52         | 8.50          |
| 35  | 45.00         | 8.00          |
| 40  | 46.00         | 8.00          |
| 45  | 48.77         | 8.59          |

The determination of the mass of the flocs as well as the consumed masses of the electrodes, especially electrochemically sacrificial anodes, can give a correlation between the results found by SEM and floc mass or mass loss of the electrodes. The floc analysis gives an amount of iron that gradually increases with...
temperature, which explains the loss of anode mass during the experiment as shown in Figure 14 and indicates that the dye removal process is by adsorption. On the other hand, it can also be noted that the mass of the floc measured depends enormously on the temperature. The influence of temperature on the mass of flocs is illustrated in the Table 11.

The extracted solution was analyzed by Inductively Coupled Plasma Spectrometry (ICP) using “Jobin Yvon Ultima 2”. The quantity of iron and aluminum analyzed by ICP in each sample is given in Table 12. The iron amount is higher than the aluminum amount and increases gradually with temperature. The obtained results show also that the treated water contains in general, the residual concentration of iron and aluminum with the amount below the standards set by national regulations in terms of heavy metals. For a temperature of 40°C it is above 3 mg/l, which shows that high temperature causes a strong dissociation of iron, which leads to set 25°C as the optimal temperature.

Table 12. ICP analysis (after treatment) of synthetic effluent from the textile industry.

| Samples     | Concentration (mg/l) | Moroccan standards |
|-------------|----------------------|--------------------|
|             | Aluminum | Iron | Aluminum | Iron |
| ICP-25°C    | 0.15      | 1.103 | 10 mg/l   | 3 mg/l |
| ICP-30°C    | 0.178     | 1.265 |           |       |
| ICP-35°C    | 0.23      | 2.542 |           |       |
| ICP-40°C    | 0.188     | 3.919 |           |       |

An electrochemical study will be investigated to explain the results concerning the losses of masses of the electrodes in future studies.

4. Conclusion

This study has shown the possibility to use combined iron and aluminum electrodes as sacrificial anodes with bipolar mode to treat mixed dyes.
The removal of Reactive and disperse dyes from textile effluent synthetic was performed in a stirred glass tank using Electrocoagulation process. The effect of some operating conditions like the current density, initial pH, concentration of supporting electrolyte. Processing time, and stirring speed were tested and optimized.

The objective is to carry out the best way to remove dyes with low consumption energy. The optimal conditions for 40 mg/l dyes treatment were: 20 mA/cm² of current density using Iron electrodes as sacrificial anode with 120 rpm of stirring speed in the presence of 2.5 g/l of NaCl, 5.9 of initial pH and 60min of processing time, more than 95% of color removal was achieved with consumption energy lower than 10KWh/Kg of removed dye.

Kinetics are modeled by the pseudo-second order. The effect of temperature is essential because the amount of floc increases with temperature. The adsorption isotherm studies of mixed dyes by electrocoagulation using combined aluminum and iron electrodes is more presented by the Freundlich model.

SEM analysis and inductively coupled plasma spectrometry (ICP) have been used to quantify the role of each type of electrodes. Correlation between the mass loss of each type of electrodes and the percentage of iron and aluminum on the floc is obtained, the sludge characterization also shows the presence of different metallic elements reflecting the power of this process to transfer the pollution from water to the formed sludge.
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