Evaluation of flexural properties and dynamic mechanical analysis of glass fiber-reinforced polyamide resin

Purpose
The aim of this study was to evaluate flexural strength, elastic modulus and dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA) of heat-polymerized polymethyl methacrylate resin, polyamide resin and glass fiber-reinforced polyamide resin.

Materials and Methods
Three groups were determined according to denture base materials as polymethyl methacrylate resin (H), polyamide resin (P) and glass fiber reinforced polyamide resin (R). Sixteen specimens for each denture base material were prepared with dimensions of 64x10x3.3 mm for three-point bending test. Two specimens for each denture base material were prepared with dimensions of 30x10x3 mm for DMA. Polymethyl methacrylate and polyamide specimens were prepared according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. The silane was applied to glass fibers (4.5 mm length) 2% by weight of the polyamide resin, they were placed in polyamide resin cartilages and injected to the mold. The thermal aging procedure was applied to half of specimens of each material (n=8). Flexural strength and elastic modulus of the specimens were determined by three-point bending test at a speed of 5 mm/min. DMA was performed to 1 specimen from each group to evaluate viscoelastic properties. Data were analyzed with one-way ANOVA, Tukey and Paired t tests.

Results
A statistically significant difference was found in flexural strength and elastic modulus values of denture base materials (p=0.00). The highest flexural strength and elastic modulus values were observed in polymethyl methacrylate group. There was no significant difference between polyamide and glass-fiber reinforced polyamide groups (p=0.497). No significant difference was determined in all three-denture base materials before and after aging procedure.

Conclusion
The reinforcement with glass-fibers did not affect the flexural strength and elastic modulus of polyamide resin.
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Introduction
Polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) resins have been widely used in removable prosthetic restorations. They have some advantages such as easy manipulation, aesthetic appearance, low water absorption and solubility, compatibility with oral tissues and polishability (1,2). However, polymerization shrinkage, low impact strength, low fatigue resistance and residual monomer content are significant disadvantages (3,4). Metal substructures, which are used to increase durability of the PMMA, may cause allergic reactions as a result of corrosion. Also, the metal clasps affect aesthetic appearance (5). Different methods have been developed to overcome these disadvantages such as; adding filling materials to rein-
force of resins, chemical modifications of polymer with copolymerization and cross-linking of resin materials, producing new materials with different polymerization technics (6-8). Strengthening materials such as glass fiber, aramid fiber, nanodiamond powder, zirconium oxide, aluminum oxide, halloysite nanotubes, metal wires and carbon nanotubes can be added to denture base in order to increase fatigue resistance and fracture resistance of the prosthetic base materials (9-17). However, the most effective reinforcement is yet to be determined (18). The glass fiber-reinforcement have showed promising results in previous studies (9,19,20).

Injection molding technique has been developed to improve the physical properties of denture base resins (21). Injection molding technique decreases polymerization shrinkage and improve dimensional stability of the resins compared to compression-molding technique (22-24). Polyamide resin is one of the materials prepared with this technique and it can be used safely in patients who are allergic to metal or resin monomers (25). Polyamide resin has higher elasticity than PMMA, and it can be preferred in the presence of tissue undercuts which cannot be corrected by surgical operations (26,27). However, the flexibility of denture base may lead to permanent deformation in the prosthesis during mastication and, resorption of underlying bone structure due to the vertical stress that occurs from deformation (17). Nakamura et al. (9) stated that flexible resins must be strengthened, and glass fiber can be used to increase flexural strength of polyamide.

The glass fiber, which was developed to reinforce the polyamide resin material, was used in this study. Its purpose was to evaluate flexural strength, elastic modulus and dynamic mechanical analysis of PMMA, polyamide resin and glass fiber-reinforced polyamide resin before and after thermocycling procedure. The first null hypothesis was that the glass fiber-reinforcement would affect the flexural properties of the polyamide resin and the second null hypothesis was that there would not be any difference between flexural strength values of denture base materials before and after thermocycling procedure.

Materials and methods

Material selection

One PMMA (Meliodent; Heraeus-Kulzer GmbH, Wehrheim, Germany) and one polyamide resin (Deflex; Nuxen SRL, Buenos Aires, Argentina) were selected. E-glass fibers (PA2(D); Şişecam, Istanbul, Turkey) were added to reinforce of polyamide resin. Three groups were determined according to denture base materials as PMMA (H), polyamide resin (P) and glass fiber-reinforced polyamide resin (R).

Fabrication of specimens

Forty-eight flexural strength test specimens were prepared in accordance with ISO 20795-1:2013 with dimensions of 64x10x3.3 mm (16 specimens for each denture base material) (28). For dynamic mechanical analysis, 2 specimens for each denture base material were prepared with dimensions of 30x10x3 mm. For dimensions standardization, metal molds were fabricated. Wax specimens were produced by using metal molds, they were embedded in the cast molds and removed. Separating agent was applied on the cast molds and the molds were left to dry. For PMMA specimens, the powder and liquid (35 gr: 14 ml, powder: liquid) were mixed with a spatula and then the resin was inserted in the cast molds. The cast molds were placed in boiling water and the heat source were switched off for 15 min. Then the specimens were polymerized in boiling water for 20 min according to the manufacturer’s recommendation (29). The cast molds were allowed to cool at room temperature. For the polyamide resin specimens, the polyamide resins in the cartridges were heated to a temperature of 280°C for 15 minutes and were injected to the cast molds with a 6-bar for 30 seconds. E-glass fibers with a diameter of 11 μ, which were cut into length of 4.5 mm by manufacturer, were selected. For each specimen, glass fibers were 2% by weight of the polyamide resin. The E-glass fibers were weighed and the silane (Ultradent Silane; Ultradent Products Inc., South Jordan, USA) was applied to the E-glass fibers. The silanized E-glass fibers were placed in the polyamide resin cartridges and mixed thoroughly. The cartridges were heated to a temperature of 280°C for 15 minutes and the polyamide resins were injected to the cast molds with a bar injection pressure for 30 seconds. All of the specimens were straightened by using a diamond burr and were smoothed by using 600-grit silicon carbide paper. After polishing procedure, the dimensions of specimens were measured with digital micrometer. The specimens were stored in water at 37°C for 24 hours before thermocycling procedure. The artificial aging procedure was applied to half of specimens of each material and 2 subgroups were determined. (n=8).

Thermocycling procedure

The artificial aging procedure was performed on half of specimens of each material with the thermal cycling device (SD Mechatronik Thermocycler; SD Mechatronik GMBH, Feldkirchen-Westerham, Germany) for 5000 cycles from 5°C to 50°C temperatures with 60 seconds waiting time.

Flexural strength test

The specimens were placed in a universal test machine (Lloyd LRX; Lloyd Instruments Ltd., Fareham, Hampshire, UK) with a 50 mm interface and subjected to three-point bending test at a speed of 5 mm/min until fracture or maximum deflection occurred to determine of the flexural strength (MPa) and the elastic modulus (GPa) of the specimens. The flexural strength was calculated using the formula 3FI/2bh², where F is the maximum fracture load (N), I is the distance between the supports (mm), b is the width of the specimen (mm), h is the height of the specimen (mm). The elastic modulus (GPa) was calculated according to the formula F1₁₁/4bh₁₂d, where F1 is the load at a point in the straight-line portion of the load/deflection graph (N), I₁ is the distance between the supports (mm), b is the width of the specimen (mm), h₁ is the height of the specimen (mm), d is the deflection (mm) at load F1.

Scanning electron microscope (SEM) examination

One specimen of glass fiber reinforced polyamide was fractured in liquid nitrogen and the fractured surface was stud-
ied under a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (JEOL JSM 6060LV, Noran Instruments, Japan) after gold sputtering to describe glass fiber distribution and fiber-resin connection.

**Dynamic Mechanical Analysis**

The dynamical mechanical analysis (DMA) was performed to evaluate viscoelastic properties of PMMA, polyamide resin and glass fiber reinforced polyamide resin materials. The temperature range of DMA (Q800; TA Instruments, New Castle, USA) was from 20°C to 200°C with 5°C/min heat rate.

**Statistical analysis**

The mean flexural strength and elastic modulus values were calculated by using SPSS statistical software package program (SPSS version 24.0 software; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Shapiro-Wilk test was performed to evaluate the normally distribution of the data and the data showed a normal distribution. Parametric tests were used. Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA test to study the difference among the denture base materials (P<0.05). Tukey test was applied for pairwise comparisons of the groups and paired t test was used to decide the effect of the thermocycling procedure on denture base materials. Level of significance was set at P<0.05.

**Results**

The mean values and standard deviations of flexural strength of denture base materials before and after aging procedure are listed in Table 1. Both before and after thermocycling procedure, the flexural strength values of H groups were significantly higher than P and R groups (P=0.000) (Table 2), however it was observed that there was no significant difference between P and R groups. The mean values and standard deviations of elastic modulus of denture base materials are shown in Table 3. The comparison of elastic modulus values of the denture materials was revealed that there was a significant difference between groups (P=0.000) (Table 4) and the H group had higher values than P and R groups.

The paired t test, which used to evaluate the effect of artificial aging on flexural strength of denture base materials, revealed that there was no significant difference between denture materials before and after thermocycling (Group H, P=0.049; Group P, P=0.554; Group R, P=0.922). Similarly, the aging procedure did not effect of elastic modulus of denture base materials (Group H, P=0.549; Group P, P=0.267; Group R, P=0.321). During the flexural test, all of the PMMA specimens on H groups were fractured. Besides, none of the specimens of P and R groups were fractured and during the test but they detached from the supporting clamps. Therefore, flexural yield strength values of P and R groups were considered as flexural strength.

SEM images of the fractured surface of glass fiber reinforced polyamide resin specimen are shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2. The glass fibers were not distributed uniformly in polyamide resin and some fibers were bunched together in some areas (Figure 1). Cohesive type failure was detected between glass fiber and polyamide, and the hole of detached fiber was seen at x2000 magnification (Figure 2).

Storage modulus (E') and tan delta of groups before and after aging procedure are presented in Figure 3, Figure 4, Figure 5 and Figure 6 respectively. The glass transition temperatures for the PMMA, polyamide resin and glass fiber-reinforced polyamide resin were found 144.5°C, 134.26°C and 134.22°C respectively (Table 5). After thermocycling procedure, the glass transition temperature decreased for each denture base material. Both before and after thermocycling procedure the form of polyamide resin and glass fiber-reinforced polyamide resin specimens were distorted permanently (Figure 7).
Flexural properties of reinforced polyamide resin

Table 1. Flexural strength values of the groups (MPa).

| Group | Before Aging | After Aging | p |
|-------|--------------|-------------|---|
|       | Mean ±SD     | Mean ±SD    |   |
| H     | 119.85 ±15.65| 118.9 ±31.05| 0.949*** |
| P     | 80.13 ±12.74 | 75.3 ±15.53 | 0.554*** |
| R     | 72.16 ±13.12 | 71.73 ±14.8 | 0.922*** |
|       | *p 0.000*     | 0.000*      |   |
| H-P   | 39.72**      | 43.6**      |   |
| H-R   | 47.69**      | 47.17**     |   |
| p-R   | 7.97         | 3.56        |   |

(Stdeviation, H: Heat-polymerized polymethyl methacrylate, P: Polyamide, R: Glass fiber reinforced polyamide. *One way ANOVA Test*p<0.001, Tukey Test **p<0.05, Paired t Test***p<0.05).

Table 2. One-way ANOVA analysis of flexural strength values of the groups before and after aging.

| Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig |
|----------------|----|-------------|---|-----|
| Before aging   | 10441.958 | 2 | 5220.979 | 27.03 | 0.000 |
| Within Groups  | 4056.301 | 21 | 193.157 |   |   |
| Total          | 14498.258 | 23 |   |   |   |
| After aging    | 11036.133 | 2 | 5518.067 | 11.62 | 0.000 |
| Within Groups  | 9971.596 | 21 | 474.838 |   |   |
| Total          | 21007.729 | 23 |   |   |   |

Table 3. Elastic modulus values of the groups (GPa).

| Group | Before Aging | After Aging | p |
|-------|--------------|-------------|---|
|       | Mean ±SD     | Mean ±SD    |   |
| H     | 5.79 ±0.64   | 6.12 ±1.27  | 0.549*** |
| P     | 3.03 ±0.69   | 2.54 ±0.64  | 0.266*** |
| R     | 2.61 ±0.63   | 2.38 ±0.68  | 0.319*** |
|       | *p 0.000*    | 0.000*      |   |
| H-P   | 2.76**       | 3.58**      |   |
| H-R   | 3.18**       | 3.74**      |   |
| P-R   | 4.21         | 0.16        |   |

(Stdeviation, H: Heat-polymerized polymethyl methacrylate, P: Polyamide, R: Glass fiber reinforced polyamide. *One way ANOVA Test*p<0.001, Tukey Test **p<0.05, Paired t Test***p<0.05).
to oppose the deformation under load and represents the environmental (34). Flexural strength is the ability of a material to distribute the forces over the dental arch equally. Also, the mechanical properties of denture base materials before and after thermocycling procedure.

The glass transition temperatures of denture base materials before and after aging.

| Group | Before aging | After aging |
|-------|--------------|-------------|
| H     | 144.53°C     | 138.40°C    |
| P     | 134.26°C     | 132.26°C    |
| R     | 134.22°C     | 130.79°C    |

(H: Heat-polymerized polymethyl methacrylate, P: Polyamide, R: Glass fiber reinforced polyamide).

**Discussion**

This in vitro study demonstrated that the PMMA had higher flexural strength and elastic modulus values than the polyamide resin and glass fiber-reinforced polyamide resin. PMMA has been the most commonly used material for removable prosthodontics and polyamide is an alternative. The glass fiber, which were used in current study, has been developed for the reinforcement of polyamide material. The study was planned to determine the effect of this glass fiber on flexural properties of polyamide but, at the same time, the reinforced polyamide was compared with PMMA to decide whether it can replace the PMMA. The reinforcement of polyamide resin decreased the flexural strength and elastic modulus values but there was no statistically significant difference between them. So, these results were rejected the first null hypotheses of this study that the reinforcement would affect the flexural properties of polyamide resin material. Besides, the results confirmed the second null hypothesis that no significant difference would occur between flexural properties of denture base materials before and after thermocycling procedure.

Denture base materials are subjected to various forces such as compression and shear during mastication. Mechanical properties of denture base resins are often evaluated with flexural strength, modulus of elasticity and impact strength (17, 30-33). These tests have been approved to imitate the natural forces acting on the prosthesis in oral environment (34). Flexural strength is the ability of a material to oppose the deformation under load and represents the highest stress encountered within the material at fracture moment (35). The denture base must have suitable rigidity to distribute the forces over the dental arch equally. Also, the flexibility of material is important for energy absorption in case of dropping the denture (8). According to ISO 20795-1:2013, flexural strength of denture base materials should be no less than 65 MPa and flexural modulus no less than 2 GPa (28). In the present study, both flexural strength and elastic modulus of each denture base materials were consistent with the specified ISO standards.

Even though PMMA is the most preferred denture base material, flexible resins were introduced by manufacturers as an alternative for constructing complete and partial removable dentures (36). Polyamide resin is usually indicated in patients who have allergy to methyl methacrylate monomer and retention problems due to certain degree of undercuts (25, 27). The major connector of the removable denture should have enough rigidity to distribution of the chewing force and low flexural modulus of denture base material is an important disadvantage (6, 7). Ucar et al. (8) reported that polyamide may be used for an alternative material for construction of complete dentures but not for removable partial dentures.

The denture base materials can be strengthened to prevent fractures which is a common complication. There are some studies that have evaluated the effect of reinforcement of PMMA with different materials, but the those on the reinforcement of polyamide resin are scarce (7, 9-17, 33). In a previous study, the rigidity of dentures made of polyamide, polyester and conventional heat-polymerized PMMA were compared, and it was concluded that the polyamide, which has low elasticity, needed to be reinforced with metal frames (37). Soygun et al. (32) evaluated transverse strength of polyamide, PMMA and reinforced PMMA with different esthetic fibers Polyamide denture base material had higher transverse strength than PMMA and fiber-added groups. Also, no fracture occurred in polyamide specimens. They reported that the polyamide provided better energy absorption due to chemical structure properties. Sasaki et al. (17) compared the flexural strength of three different injection-molded thermoplastic denture base resins (polyamide, polyester, polycarbonate) with PMMA. They reinforced the denture base materials with using glass fiber-reinforced composite and metal wire. It was stated that the glass fiber-reinforced composite was effective for polyamide and PMMA resins. In the present study, the glass fibers were used for reinforcement of polyamide resin. Glass fiber-reinforced polyamide specimens had lower values than polyamide specimens for both flexural strength and elastic modulus, but the results were not significant. The elastic stiffness of E-glass fibers does not change during heat treatments, but the mechanical strength of the material might be affected by the distribution of fibers inside the matrix (38, 39). When the reinforced specimens were prepared, the glass fibers were placed in the polyamide resin cartridges and the resin materials were injected with pressure to the mold. Consequently, the distribution of the fibers in the polyamide were uncontrolled. This result of the present study might be attributed to the non-homogeneous distribution of glass fibers in the material (Figure 1).

Liquid nitrogen was applied to the reinforced polyamide specimen to fracture owing to the fact that none of the reinforced polyamide specimens were fractured during flexural
The PMMA had higher flexural strength and elastic modulus than polyamide resin and glass fiber-reinforced polyamide resin. Using the glass fiber for reinforcement of polyamide resin did not affect flexural strength and elastic modulus of the material. The thermocycling procedure did not change the flexural properties of the denture base materials. According to DMA, polyamide resins are more likely to deform than PMMA resins do.

**Conclusion**

The PMMA had higher flexural strength and elastic modulus than polyamide resin and glass fiber-reinforced polyamide resin. Using the glass fiber for reinforcement of polyamide resin did not affect flexural strength and elastic modulus of the material. The thermocycling procedure did not change the flexural properties of the denture base materials. According to DMA, polyamide resins are more likely to deform than PMMA resins do.
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