Altered resting-state functional connectivity of the frontal-striatal circuit in elderly with apathy
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Abstract

Apathy is defined as reduction of goal-directed behaviors and a common nuisance syndrome of neurodegenerative and psychiatric disease. The underlying mechanism of apathy implicates changes of the front-striatal circuit, but its precise alteration is unclear for apathy in healthy aged people. The aim of our study is to investigate how the frontal-striatal circuit is changed in elderly with apathy using resting-state functional MRI. Eighteen subjects with apathy (7 female, 63.7 ± 3.0 years) and eighteen subjects without apathy (10 female, 64.8 ± 3.0 years) who underwent neuropsychological assessment and MRI measurement were recruited. We compared functional connectivity with/within the striatum between the apathy and non-apathy groups. The seed-to-voxel group analysis for functional connectivity between the striatum and other brain regions showed that the connectivity was decreased between the ventral rostral putamen and the right dorsal anterior cingulate cortex/supplementary motor area in the apathy group compared to the non-apathy group while the connectivity was increased between the dorsal caudate and the left sensorimotor area. Moreover, the ROI-to-ROI analysis within the striatum indicated reduction of functional connectivity between the ventral regions and dorsal regions of the striatum in the apathy group. Our findings suggest that the changes in functional connectivity balance among different frontal-striatum circuits contribute to apathy in elderly.

Introduction

Apathy is defined as a state of diminished motivation and goal-directed behavior, not attributable to decreased level of consciousness, cognitive impairment or emotional distress [1]. Apathy occurs frequently in several neurodegenerative and neuropsychiatric disorders, and affects global cognitive function and clinical outcome [2]. Investigations of apathy mainly in neuropsychiatric diseases have been tried to explain its neural substrate with anatomical and functional alterations of brain circuits using different
neuroimaging modalities. In Alzheimer’s disease, apathy is associated with the atrophy of several brain regions, including the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) [3]. Parkinson’s disease with apathy showed dopaminergic denervation in the striatum, OFC, and posterior cingulate cortex [4], in addition to reduced gray matter density of the cingulate cortex and inferior frontal gyrus [5]. Other brain disorders with apathy such as stroke [6, 7], frontotemporal dementia [8], and depression [9] also showed anatomical and functional changes of the frontal region or striatum. Thus, the hypothesis that abnormalities within frontal-striatal circuits are relevant to apathy becomes prevailing.

The work of Levy and Dubois [10] proposed that symptoms of apathy can be divided into three subtypes of defects of processing; ‘emotional’, ‘cognitive’, and ‘auto-activation’. These subtypes were assumed to correspond to the role of different regions of the frontal-striatal circuit; (1) ‘emotional’ is associated with the limbic territory including OFC, ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC), and ventral striatum, and (2) ‘cognitive’ is with the associative territory including dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) and dorsal caudate nucleus, and (3) ‘auto-activation’ is with the medial prefrontal cortex including ACC and supplementary motor area (SMA). Recently Le Heron et al. [11] adapted this framework to three stages of the normal motivative behavior based on abundant anatomical, biological and functional studies. First, the value system including the ventral striatum, vmPFC, and OFC is actuated. Then, the mediating system including the ventral striatum and ACC activates the motor system including the dorsal striatum, SMA, and posterior mid cingulate cortex, which achieves goal-directed behaviors.

Apathy is observed in older adults with normal cognitive function, and its prevalence is increased with aging and reduces their quality of life. Brodaty et al. [12] demonstrated apathy prevalence increased in a cognitively normal elderly cohort over a 5-year period from 6% to 15.8%. Moreover, presence of apathetic state in elderly is considered as an early sign of cognitive decline [13], and linked to lower activity [14]. Few neuroimaging studies have attempted to cope with apathetic state in healthy elderly adults, and it remains unclear whether the neural bases of apathy in normal aged people is the same as those in the neuropsychiatric groups. One study for aged population, structural changes of gray matter in the right putamen and the frontal and temporal lobes were related to apathetic state [15]. Moreover, the severity of apathetic state during cognitive tasks was related to reduced activation in the medial superior frontal gyrus, and changed activity in the DLPFC and left striatum [16]. Bonnelle et al. [17] also described that the ACC might play an important role in apathetic behavior of healthy people using task-related fMRI analyses. These findings imply apathetic state in healthy subjects is also likely associated with dysfunction of the frontal-striatum circuit.

Resting-state functional MRI (rs-fMRI) delineates functional connectivity between distant brain regions based on synchronized low-frequency fluctuations of blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) signal [18]. Rs-fMRI has been widely used in both healthy subjects and patients with various neurogenetic and neuropsychiatric disorders. In several rs-fMRI studies of apathy in patients with Parkinson’s disease [19] and Alzheimer disease [20] demonstrated reduction of functional connectivity among regions of functional connectivity of the frontal-striatal circuit. One rs-fMRI study of cognitively- normal aged people indicated decreased functional connectivity between the ventral striatum and frontal region in apathetic state [21]. These studies suggested that rs-fMRI is useful in assessing apathetic state in elderly. Recent investigation of rs-fMRI about the frontal-striatal circuit have demonstrated that the segregated frontal-striatal loops influenced each other and information converges across the loops [22]. Haber [23] described anatomical evidence that communication across functionally distinct subregions of the striatum may occur for integrating information from parallel frontal-striatal functional modules for the development of goal-directed behaviors. It is presumed
that change of the communication across subregions of the striatum is observed in the diseases affecting the frontal-striatal circuit. For example, Bell et al [24] demonstrated the reduction of functional connectivity across striatal subdivision in Parkinson’s disease compared to healthy control.

In this study, we applied region of interest (ROI)-based analyses for detecting distinct patterns of functional connectivity with/within the striatum. Twelve seeds placed throughout the striatum, six in each hemisphere, described by Di Martino et al. [25] were used. These seeds were derived from previous studies of anatomical and functional subdivisions of the striatum, and each seed was related to classical parallel and integrative frontal-striatal loop [26]. We aimed to investigate the underlying mechanism of apathy related to disfunction of the frontal-striatum circuit in healthy subjects by the analyses with the multiple seeds in the striatum, and located the alterations of interaction and organization among the different frontal-striatum circuits in the elderly with apathy.

Materials and methods
Participants

We recruited subjects who voluntarily participated in the brain checkup system at Shimane Institute of Health Science, Izumo City, Shimane Prefecture, Japan. The health check included physical examination, detailed medical history, laboratory blood tests, neuropsychological assessment, and cranial MRI. A total of 335 people in the database were screened on their apathy levels and the exclusion criteria. Exclusion criteria were: current or past presence of neurological or psychiatric disorders; Mini-mental State Examination (MMSE) score less than 26 or dementia; obvious structural brain disorders; and head motion > 2.0 mm during the scan of rs-fMRI. Clinical MRIs were evaluated by a trained neurologist and neuroradiologist.

Finally, 18 people with apathy (7 females, age: 63.7 ± 3.0 years, rage 61–68) and 18 people without apathy (10 females, age: 64.8 ± 3.0, years, rage 60–69) matched for age, gender, and years of education were included. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (1975, as revised in 2008) and the regulations of the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, and approved by the ethical committee of the Shimane University School of Medicine. All subjects gave written informed consent to this study.

Neuropsychological and neuropsychiatric measures

Apathy level was evaluated with the Japanese version of the Apathy Scale (AS) [27, 28]. This scale consisted of 14 items and was used in a self-assessment style. The AS ranges from 0 to 42 and higher AS values indicate higher apathetic status. The cutoff point was determined on the basis of the previous report on Japanese stroke patients, and the scale displayed a high validity [28] (sensitivity 81.3%, specificity 85.3%) with a cutoff point of 16 among the participants. In this study, the apathy group was composed of 18 participants with the AS score of 16 point or more, and the participants in the non-apathy group had the AS score less than 16.

Furthermore, all participants conducted the following neuropsychological assessments: MMSE [29], Frontal Assessment Battery (FAB) [30], and Kohs Block Design Test (KOHS) [31]. Depressive symptoms were evaluated using the Japanese version of Zung’s self-rating depression scale (SDS) [32].

Image acquisition

Imaging data were acquired using a Siemens AG 1.5 T scanner. Using T2*-weighted gradient-echo spiral pulse sequence, we measured twenty axial slices parallel to the plane connecting the
anterior and posterior commissures. (repetition time = 2000 msec, echo time = 35 msec, flip angle = 90˚, scan order = interleave, matrix size = 64 × 64, field of view = 256 × 256 mm^2, isotropic spatial resolution = 4 mm, slice thickness = 5 mm, gap = 1 mm). We underwent 5-minute rs-fMRI scan after it was directed that all subjects stayed awake and closed their eyes. After the functional scans, we measured T1-weighted images of the whole brain (192 sagittal slices, repetition time = 2170 msec, echo time = 3.93 msec, inversion time = 1100 msec, flip angle = 90˚, matrix size = 256 × 256, field of view = 256 × 256 mm^2, isotropic spatial resolution = 1 mm).

Data preprocessing

SPM12 (https://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm12/, RRID:SCR_007037) was used for MRI data preprocessing. The first 10 functional images of each subject were discarded for magnetic field stabilization. The remaining 140 functional images were realigned to remove any artifacts from head movement and to correct for differences in image acquisition time between slices. Average of max head motions were 0.29 ± 0.19 mm for the apathy group and 0.30 ± 0.20 mm for the non-apathy group. Next, the functional images were normalized to the standard space defined by a template T1-weighted image and resliced with a voxel size of 3 × 3 × 3 mm^3 to adjust the gray matter probability maps. After spatial smoothing was applied with the FWHM equal to 8 mm, temporal preprocessing was performed with the CONN toolbox (https://web.conn-toolbox.org/, RRID:SCR_009550). The denoising steps included regressions of six bulk motion parameters and their first-order derivatives, the five potential noise components estimated from the white matter and cerebrospinal fluid [33], the scrubbing outlier scans were identified based on ART with intermediate settings (97 percentiles in normative sample), and band-pass filtering of 0.008–0.09 Hz.

Selection of region of interest (ROI)

We adopted 12 striatum seeds, which were delineated by Di Martino et al [25]. Each seed was as follows: the inferior ventral striatum (VSi) (± 9, 9, -8), superior ventral striatum (VSs) (± 10, 15, 0), dorsal caudate (DC) (± 13, 15, 9), dorsal caudal putamen (DCP) (± 28, 1, 3), dorsal rostral putamen (DRP) (± 25, 8, 6), and ventral rostral putamen (VRP) (± 20, 12, -3). The radius of each ROIs was 3.5 mm. The bilateral pairs of seeds were combined into a single ROI in ROI-to-voxel analysis. In ROI-to-ROI analysis, all 12 seeds were independently considered as ROIs.

Functional connectivity analysis

Data analyses were carried out using the CONN toolbox. In the first-level analysis, we obtained ROI-to-voxel functional connectivity maps for each individual subject. This analysis produced z-score maps of positive and negative correlation coefficients for 6 striatal seeds and whole-brain voxels, combining homologous left and right ROIs to one seed. We also conducted an ROI-to-ROI analysis to examine functional connectivity between 12 ROIs within the striatum for each subject.

In the second-level analysis, at first, we conducted one-sample t-test of whole brain functional connectivity for 6 striatal ROIs across 36 participants to acquire the functional connectivity map. Then, to assess the differences in functional connectivity between the apathy and non-apathy group, we conducted two-sample t-test controlling for confounding factors including age and sex with the statistical threshold at the voxel level of p < 0.001 and the cluster level of p < 0.05 for false discovery rate (FDR). Moreover, we added a group comparison of the ROI-to-ROI analysis for the purpose of examining functional connectivity among 12
striatal ROIs with FDR correction of $p < 0.05$. Finally, we conducted similar group comparisons of ROI-to-voxel and ROI-to-ROI using SDS as an additional covariate, because apathy and depression share common clinical features and they show high correlation among neuropsychological tests.

**Results**

**Demographic data**

A total of 36 (17 female) healthy participants (mean age; 64.2 years, SD; 3.0) were assessed for study eligibility. We assigned 18 subjects to the apathy group and 18 subjects to the non-apathy group. Table 1 summarizes our subjects’ baseline demographic and neuropsychological data. Statistical analyses of demographic and neuropsychological data were performed using two-sample t tests. Fisher’s exact test was used to compare gender distribution across the samples. $P$ values less than 0.05 were considered significant. There were no significant differences between the apathy and non-apathy group in age, sex, educational status, and cognitive test scores (including the MMSE, FAB, and KOHS). The apathy group’s mean depression score was higher than the non-apathy group ($t = 6.18, p < 0.01$).

**Functional connectivity**

The functional connectivity map of 6 striatal ROIs across 36 participants was similar to a previous study [25] that demonstrated connectivity between striatum subdivisions and other cerebral regions such as the frontal cortex, parietal cortex, and temporal cortex. Fig 1A shows functional connectivity maps among the VRP, DC and whole brain, and comparisons between the apathy and non-apathy groups. The distribution of functional connectivity for each seed of striatum were similar, but slightly different, for each seed. We performed two-sample t-test to explore differences in whole brain functional connectivity between the apathy and non-apathy groups. The apathy group showed decreased functional connectivity between the bilateral VRP and the cluster of voxels in the right dorsal anterior cingulate cortex/ pre supplementary motor area, (dACC/pre SMA) ($x = 9, y = 6, z = 39$, voxels = 48, $p = 0.008$ for FDR) compared with the non-apathy group. Moreover, the functional connectivity between bilateral DC and the cluster of voxels in the left sensorimotor area ($x = -51, y = -15, z = 42$, voxels = 63, $p = 0.0005$ for FDR) was increased for the apathy group compared with the non-apathy group (Table 2). There were no significant group differences in the analyses of other seeds.

| Apathy (n = 18) | Non-apathy (n = 18) | Statistics (p-value) |
|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Age (years)    | 63.7 (3.0)          | 64.8 (3.0)           | 0.276                |
| Sex (female)   | 7                   | 9                    | 0.738                |
| Education (years) | 12.2(2.0)         | 13.4(2.9)           | 0.148                |
| MMSE           | 28.3 (1.7)          | 28.9 (1.4)           | 0.302                |
| FAB            | 15.9 (1.8)          | 16.4 (1.3)           | 0.309                |
| KOHS           | 99.1 (19.9)         | 105 (13.9)           | 0.277                |
| AS             | 19.2 (2.1)          | 2.6 (1.7)            | <0.001               |
| SDS            | 38.6 (5.0)          | 28.2 (5.1)           | <0.001               |

Note. Data are demonstrated as means (standard deviation). Abbreviations: FAB, Frontal Assessment Battery; KOHS, Kohs Block Design Test; AS, Apathy scale; SDS, Zung’s self-rating depression scale.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0261334.t001
To investigate the effect of apathy on the laterality of functional connectivity between the basal ganglia and cerebral cortex, we conducted a two-way ANOVA with GROUP (apathy/non-apathy) and HEMISPHERE (the bilateral pairs of seeds), and the result did not show significant difference between the right and left striatum seeds.

We performed ROI-to-ROI analysis within the striatum and compared the functional connectivity between two groups (Fig 1B). Compared with the non-apathy group, the apathy group showed greater reduction of functional connectivity between the right VSs and right DCP ($t = -3.22$, $p = 0.016$ for FDR). Functional connectivity between the VSi and dorsal...
striatum was also reduced (t < -2.35, p < 0.042 for FDR) in the apathy group. Moreover, functional connectivity between the right VSi and left DC (t = -2.45, p = 0.0420 for FDR) was reduced after controlling for SDS to control for the effects of depression (t = -2.68, p = 0.06 for FDR).

Discussion

In the current study, we found that people with apathy showed decreased functional connectivity between the VRP and dACC/pre SMA, along with increased functional connectivity between the DC and left sensorimotor area compared with people without apathy. In addition, the functional connectivity between some of 12 striatal ROIs was decreased for the apathy group compared with the non-apathy group. These changes were observed after controlling for depression score. Our results indicate that functional disruption of frontal-striatal circuits is associated with apathetic state in the elderly, independent of depression.

The dACC has been considered to be an important component of the classic frontal-striatal circuit model such as the parallel loops model [34] and the recent interactive cortico-striatal system [35]. The dACC, which is also called anterior midcingulate cortex, [36] is specialized for monitoring of errors [37] and conflict [38], evaluating choice behavior, and regulation of an action [39]. Cumulative evidence suggests that the dACC represents a hub where information about reinforcers is linked to guide appropriate action. Consequently, appropriate activation of dACC results in self-generated movement or goal-directed behavior. Actually, several previous studies demonstrated that the dysfunction of dACC is associated with apathetic state in healthy people [40]. Recent conceptual framework of cortico-striatal circuit have speculated that the connections between functionally different frontal areas involved in emotion, cognition and motor function and the striatum are segregated and overlapped, and their interactions enable to carry out goal-directed actions [41]. The projections of the striatum are topographically organized, such that the ventromedial area of striatum is connected to the limbic frontal area, the dorsolateral area of striatum is connected to the motor-related frontal area, and the intermediate area of striatum, to the dACC and DLPFC, which is related to cognitive process. Our study showed that people with apathy had decreased functional connectivity between the dACC and VRP which is corresponded to the ventromedial area of striatum. These results support the notion that apathetic state in aged people is associated with defects of emotional and/or cognitive mechanisms in the frontal-striatal circuit. One recent rs-fMRI study also revealed that apathetic state was associated with reduction of functional connectivity between the ventral striatum and dACC [42].

The SMA is considered as a part of the motor-related areas on the medial surface of cerebral hemisphere [43], which plays an important role in generation and control of movement. The pre SMA that is separated from classical SMA [44] is located in the rostral portion of Brodmann area 6. The pre SMA is involved in more complex motor and cognitive process associate with goal-directed behavior [45], whereas the SMA is more closely related to movement execution [46]. The anatomical and functional studies demonstrated that the pre SMA is connected to the premotor area, dACC, and DLPFC but not motor area [47], and is also connected to intermediate portion of the striatum [48, 49]. Thus the pre SMA was considered to be a component of cognitive system [50, 51]. To the best our knowledge, this is the first rs-fMRI study that demonstrated decreased functional connectivity between the VRP and pre SMA in people with apathy. This result implies that the VRP located in the relatively dorsal part of ventral striatum is more related to cognition than the VSi located in the ventral part of ventral striatum and generally used as a ROI of the ventral striatum.
Another finding of the present study was the reduction of functional connectivity among ROIs within the striatum in the apathy group compared to the non-apathy group. We found that functional connectivity was more severely impaired between the VSs and DCP. The DCP is situated in the most dorsolateral and caudal part among our defined ROIs and has connections to motor and premotor areas [41]. The VSs is located in the dorsolateral part of ventral striatum, and is considered as not only the region connected to limbic frontal area but also the region related to frontal area involved in cognition [52]. Previous anatomical and functional studies reported that the portion of ventral striatum corresponding to the VSs was projected from the lateral OFC, and was involved in reward-associated decision making [53], while the VSi was primarily associated with limbic areas including the medial OFC. Moreover our result showed that the VSi, which approximately corresponds to the nucleus accumbens, also showed reduction of functional connectivity with the dorsolateral regions of striatum connected with cognitive areas including DLPFC and dACC [25]. A few studies on apathy demonstrated anatomical and functional alterations within the striatum, for instance, diminished ventral striatum volume [54, 55] and decreased functional connectivity between nucleus accumbens and another striatum area [42], but these studies have not focused on direct connectivity between individual subdivisions of the striatum. Our result, together with other studies, suggests that apathetic state may be attributed to changes of the balance between dorsal and ventral striatum network.

Furthermore, the current study demonstrated increased functional connectivity between the DC and sensorimotor area in people with compared with people without apathy. The DC is located in dorsal area of the striatum, and is connected to cognitive prefrontal areas like dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. Several rs-fMRI studies for apathy demonstrated hyperconnectivity between the DLPFC and the superior parietal cortex in Alzheimer disease [20], between the superior frontal gyrus and the thalamus in Parkinson’s disease [56]. These regions are included in the salience and attentional/executive systems and their hyperconnectivity was speculated as compensatory phenomenon for dysfunction of other frontal-striatal systems. Our result also suggests that functional dysfunction in the cognitive system in apathy could activate motor function area for compensation.

We analyzed functional connectivity using the SDS score as a covariate to minimize the effect of depression. Apathy and depression are often overlapped clinically, and their diagnostic criteria are partly common [57]. But recent studies indicated that frontal-striatal circuit of apathy was separated from the network for depression. Several studies demonstrated that people with apathy had decreased functional connectivity associated with frontal-striatal circuit, whereas depressive people had increased functional connectivity with similar region reciprocally, such as between the dACC and other salience area [40], between caudate and thalamus, and between DFC and parahippocampal cortex [58]. In the present study, only when ROI-to-ROI analysis within the striatum was performed, significant difference in functional connectivity in the apathy group survived after controlling for SDS.

We have some limitations in the current study. We examined apathetic state using the AS, but the scale was not configured for classification of apathy subtype, and some questionnaire items overlapped with the SDS. A multidimensional scale has been recently developed to assess the subtypes of apathy [59]. Using such an assessment tool, we might delineate the various frontal-striatal circuits related to subtypes of apathy. Moreover, to ensure that the participants were clearly independent of depression, an apathy group without depression should have been included.

In addition, our subjects were aged people without neurodegenerative and psychiatric diseases, but apathy prevalence is lower in cognitively normal cohort than patient groups (such as, dementia) [60]. Specificity and similarity of apathetic state associated with healthy people
and diseases have been unclear. Further studies about apathetic state of healthy people should address this issue.

In conclusion, our findings showed that apathetic state in aged people was associated with altered resting-state functional connectivity with/within the striatum, specifically decreased functional connectivity of the striatum with emotional and cognitive frontal-striatal circuits, and between ventral and dorsal regions within the striatum. These results suggest that alteration of interaction and organization among different frontal-striatum circuits contributes to apathy in elderly.

Acknowledgments
We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.com) for English language editing.

Author Contributions
Conceptualization: Chizuko Hamada, Shuhei Yamaguchi, Keiichi Onoda.
Data curation: Chizuko Hamada.
Formal analysis: Chizuko Hamada, Toshikazu Kawagoe, Masahiro Takamura, Keiichi Onoda.
Methodology: Chizuko Hamada, Toshikazu Kawagoe, Shuhei Yamaguchi, Keiichi Onoda.
Supervision: Atsushi Nagai, Shuhei Yamaguchi, Keiichi Onoda.
Writing – original draft: Chizuko Hamada.
Writing – review & editing: Toshikazu Kawagoe, Masahiro Takamura, Atsushi Nagai, Shuhei Yamaguchi, Keiichi Onoda.

References
1. Marin RS. Differential diagnosis and classification of apathy. Am J Psychiatry. 1990; 147: 22–30. https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.147.1.22 PMID: 2403472
2. Duffy J. Apathy in neurologic disorders. Curr Psychiatry Rep. 2000; 2: 434–439. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-000-0029-z PMID: 11122993
3. Tunnard C, Whitehead D, Hurt C, Wahlund L, Mecocci P, Tsolaki M, et al. Apathy and cortical atrophy in Alzheimer’s disease. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2011; 26: 741–748. https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.2603 PMID: 20872914
4. Thobois S, Ardoùin C, Lhommeèe E, Klinger H, Lagrange C, Xie J, et al. Non-motor dopamine withdrawal syndrome after surgery for Parkinson’s disease: Predictors and underlying mesolimbic denervation. Brain. 2010; 133: 1111–1127. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/aws032 PMID: 20237128
5. Reijnders JSAM, Scholtissen B, Weber WEJ, Aalten P, Verhey FRJ, Leentjens AFG. Neuroanatomical correlates of apathy in Parkinson’s disease: A magnetic resonance imaging study using voxel-based morphometry. Mov Disord. 2010; 25: 2318–2325. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.23268 PMID: 20669264
6. Douven E, Köhler S, Rodriguez MMF, Staals J, Verhey FRJ, Aalten P. Imaging Markers of Post-Stroke Depression and Apathy: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Neuropsychol Rev. 2017; 27: 202–219. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11065-017-9356-2 PMID: 28831649
7. Caeiro L, Ferro JM, Costa J. Apathy secondary to stroke: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2013; 35: 23–39. https://doi.org/10.1159/000346076 PMID: 23426994
8. Ducharme S, Price BH, Dickerson BC. Apathy: A neurocircuitry model based on frontotemporal dementia. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2018; 89: 389–396. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2017-316277 PMID: 29066518
9. Yuen GS, Gunning FM, Woods E, Klimstra SA, Hoptman MJ, Alexopoulos GS. Neuroanatomical correlates of apathy in late-life depression and antidepressant treatment response. J Affect Disord. 2014; 166: 179–186. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2014.05.008 PMID: 25012429
10. Levy R, Dubois B. Apathy and the functional anatomy of the prefrontal cortex-basal ganglia circuits. Cereb Cortex. 2006; 16: 916–928. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhj043 PMID: 16207933
11. Le Heron C, Holroyd CB, Salamone J, Husain M. Brain mechanisms underlying apathy. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2019; 90: 302–312. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2018-318265 PMID: 30366958

12. Brodaty H, Altendorf A, Withall A, Sachdev P. Do people become more apathetic as they grow older? A longitudinal study in healthy individuals. Int Psychogeriatrics. 2010; 22: 426–436. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610209991335 PMID: 20003630

13. Pink A, Stokin GB, Bartley MM, Roberts RO, Sochor O, Machulda MM, et al. Neuropsychiatric symptoms, APOE ε4, and the risk of incident dementia: A population-based study. Neurology. 2015; 84: 935–943. https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.00000000000001307 PMID: 25653291

14. Semprini R, Lubrano A, Misaggi G, Martorana A. Apathy as marker of frail status. J Aging Res. 2012;2012. https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/436251 PMID: 22500230

15. Yan H, Onoda K, Yamaguchi S. Gray matter volume changes in the apathetic elderly. Front Hum Neurosci. 2015; 9: 1–7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2015.00001 PMID: 25653631

16. Fazio L, Logroscino G, Taurisano P, Amico G, Quarto T, Antonucci LA, et al. Prefrontal activity and connectivity with the basal ganglia during performance of complex cognitive tasks is associated with apathy in healthy subjects. PLoS One. 2016; 11: 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0165301 PMID: 27798669

17. Bonnelle V, Manohar S, Behrens T, Husain M. Individual Differences in Premotor Brain Systems Underlie Behavioral Apathy. Cereb Cortex. 2016; 26: 807–819. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhv247 PMID: 26654255

18. Biswal B, Zerrin Yetkin F, Haughton VM, Hyde JS. Functional connectivity in the motor cortex of resting human brain using echo-planar mri. Magn Reson Med. 1995; 34: 537–541. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.1910340409 PMID: 8524021

19. Baggio HC, Segura B, Garrido-Millan JL, Martí MJ, Compta Y, Valldeoriola F, et al. Resting-state frontostriatal functional connectivity in Parkinson’s disease-related apathy. Mov Disord. 2015; 30: 671–679. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.26137 PMID: 25600482

20. Jones SA, De Marco M, Manca R, Bell SM, Blackburn DJ, Wilkinson ID, et al. Altered frontal and insular functional connectivity as pivotal mechanisms for apathy in Alzheimer’s disease. Cortex. 2019; 119: 100–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2019.04.008 PMID: 31091485

21. Kawagoe T, Onoda K, Yamaguchi S, et al. Assessment of motivational less in poststroke patients using the Japanese version of Starkstein’s Apathy Scale. Nozoshitsu. 1998; 3(20): 318–323. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchmneu.2003.10.003 PMID: 14729134

22. Bell PT, Gilat M, O’Callaghan C, Copland DA, Frank MJ, Lewis SJG, et al. Dopaminergic basis for impairments in functional connectivity across subdivisions of the striatum in Parkinson’s disease. Hum Brain Mapp. 2015; 36: 1278–1291. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.22701 PMID: 25425542

23. Di Martino A, Scheres A, Margulies DS, Kelly AMC, Uddin LQ, Shehzad Z, et al. Functional connectivity of human striatum: A resting state fMRI study. Cereb Cortex. 2008; 18: 2735–2747. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhn041 PMID: 18400794

24. Postuma RB, Dagher A. Basal ganglia functional connectivity based on a meta-analysis of 126 positron emission tomography and functional magnetic resonance imaging publications. Cereb Cortex. 2006; 16: 1508–1521. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhn088 PMID: 16373457

25. Starkstein SE, Mayberg HS, Preziosi TJ, Andrezejewski P, Leiguarda R, Robinson RG. Reliability, validity, and clinical correlates of apathy in Parkinson’s disease. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci. 1992; 4: 134–9. https://doi.org/10.1176/jnp.4.2.134 PMID: 1627973

26. Okada K, Kobayasi S, Yamaguchi S, et al. Assessment of motivational less in poststroke patients using the Japanese version of Starkstein’s Apathy Scale. Nosotchu. 1998; 3(20): 318–323. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhn088 PMID: 16373457

27. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. “Mini-mental state”. A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res. 1975; 12: 197–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3956(75)90026-6 PMID: 1202204

28. Dubois B, Slachevsky A, Litvan I, Pillon B. The FAB: a Frontal Assessment Battery at bedside. Neurology. 2000; 55: 1621–6. https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.55.11.1621 PMID: 1113214

29. Wigg CM, Duro LA. The Kohs’ blocks test as an important instrument to investigate the visuo-spatial impairments in myotonic dystrophy. Part I. Quantitative and qualitative analysis. Arq Neuropsiquiatr. 1999; 57: 547–55. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0004-282x1999000400002 PMID: 10667275
32. Zung WW. A SELF-RATING DEPRESSION SCALE. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1965; 12: 63–70. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.1965.01720310065008 PMID: 14221692
33. Chai XJ, Castañón AN, Öngür D, Whitfield-Gabrieli S. Anticorrelations in resting state networks without global signal regression. Neuroimage. 2012. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.08.048 PMID: 21889994
34. Alexander G. Parallel Organization of Functionally Segregated Circuits Linking Basal Ganglia and Cortex. Annu Rev Neurosci. 1986; 9: 357–381. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ne.09.030186.002041 PMID: 3085570
35. Morris LS, Kundu P, Dowell N, Mechelmans DJ, Favre P, Irvine MA, et al. Fronto-striatal organization: Defining functional and microstructural substrates of behavioural flexibility. Cortex. 2016; 74: 118–133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2015.11.004 PMID: 26673945
36. Vogt BA. Midcingulate cortex: Structure, connections, homologies, functions and diseases. J Chem Neuroanat. 2016; 74: 28–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchemneu.2016.01.010 PMID: 26993424
37. Fu Z, Wu DJ, Ross I, Chung JM, Mamelak AN, Adolphs R, et al. Single-Neuron Correlates of Error Monitoring and Post-Error Adjustments in Human Medial Frontal Cortex. Neuron. 2019; 101(1):165–177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.11.016 PMID: 30528064
38. Fan J, Hof PR, Guise KG, Fossella JA, Posner MI. The functional integration of the anterior cingulate cortex during conflict processing. Cereb Cortex. 2008; 18: 796–805. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhm125 PMID: 17652463
39. Wallis JD, Rich EL. Challenges of interpreting frontal neurons during value-based decision-making. Front Neurosci. 2011; 5: 1–12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2011.00001 PMID: 21390287
40. Onoda K, Yamaguchi S. Dissociative contributions of the anterior cingulate cortex to apathy and depression: Topological evidence from resting-state functional MRI. Neuropsychologia. 2015; 77: 10–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2015.07.030 PMID: 26356668
41. Haber SN. Corticostriatal circuitry. Dialogues Clin Neurosci. 2016; 18: 7–21. https://doi.org/10.3187/dcn.2016.18.1/shaber PMID: 27069376
42. Alexopoulos GS, Hoptman MJ, Yuen G, Kanellopoulos D, K. Seirup J, Lim KO, et al. Functional connectivity in apathy of late-life depression: A preliminary study. J Affect Disord. 2013; 149: 398–405. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aapsycho.2012.06.034 PMID: 23853902
43. Dum RP, Strick PL. The origin of corticospinal projections from the premotor areas in the frontal lobe. J Neurosci. 1991; 11: 667–689. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.11-03-00667.1991 PMID: 1705965
44. Picard N, Strick PL. Motor areas of the medial wall: A review of their location and functional activation. Cereb Cortex. 1996; 6: 342–353. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/6.3.342 PMID: 8670662
45. Rushworth MFS, Walton ME, Kennerley SW, Bannerman DM. Action sets and decisions in the medial frontal cortex. Trends Cogn Sci. 2004; 8: 410–417. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2004.07.009 PMID: 15350242
46. Cummine J, Hanif W, Dymouriak-Tymashov I, Anchuri K, Chiu S, Boliek CA. The Role of the Supplementary Motor Region in Overt Reading: Evidence for Differential Processing in SMA-Proper and Pre-SMA as a Function of Task Demands. Brain Topogr. 2017; 30: 575–591. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10548-017-0553-3 PMID: 28260167
47. Liu J, Morel A, Wannier T, Rouiller EM. Origins of callosal projections to the supplementary motor area (SMA): A direct comparison between pre-SMA and SMA-proper in macaque monkeys. J Comp Neurol. 2002; 443: 71–85. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.10087 PMID: 11793348
48. Inase M, Tokuno H, Nambu A, Akazawa T, Takada M. Corticostriatal and corticospinal input zones from the presupplementary motor area in the macaque monkey. Comparison with the input zones from the supplementary motor area. Brain Res. 1999; 833: 191–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0006-8993(99)01531-0 PMID: 10375694
49. Lehéricy S, Ducros M, Krainik A, Francois C, Van De Moortele PF, Ugurbil K, et al. 3-D diffusion tensor axonal tracking shows distinct SMA and pre-SMA projections to the human striatum. Cereb Cortex. 2004; 14: 1302–1309. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhh091 PMID: 15166103
50. Albertini D, Gerbella M, Lanzilotto M, Livi A, Maranesi M, Ferroni CG, et al. Connectional gradients underlie functional transitions in monkey pre-supplementary motor area. Prog Neurobiol. 2020; 184: 101699. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobiol.2019.101699 PMID: 31557506
51. Chang SE, Guenther FH. Involvement of the Cortico-Basal Ganglia-Thalamocortical Loop in Developmental Stuttering. Front Psychol. 2020; 10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00010 PMID: 32038438
52. Kringelbach ML, Rolls ET. The functional neuroanatomy of the human orbitofrontal cortex: Evidence from neuroimaging and neuropsychology. Prog Neurobiol. 2004; 72: 341–372. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobiol.2004.03.006 PMID: 15157726
53. Fettes P, Schulze L, Downar J. Cortico-striatal-thalamic loop circuits of the orbitofrontal cortex: Promising therapeutic targets in psychiatric illness. Front Syst Neurosci. 2017; 11: 1–23. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2017.00001 PMID: 28154528

54. Roth RM, Garlinghouse MA, Flashman LA, Koven NS, Cara Pendergrass J, Ford JC, et al. Apathy is associated with ventral striatum volume in schizophrenia spectrum disorder. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2016; 28: 191–194. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.neuropsych.15100241 PMID: 26900738

55. Martinez-Horta S, Sampedro F, Pagonabarraga J, Fernandez-Bobadilla R, Marin-Lahoz J, Riba J, et al. Non-demented Parkinson’s disease patients with apathy show decreased grey matter volume in key executive and reward-related nodes. Brain Imaging Behav. 2017; 11: 1334–1342. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11682-016-9607-5 PMID: 27730477

56. Shen YT, Li JY, Yuan YS, Wang XX, Wang M, Wang JW, et al. Disrupted amplitude of low-frequency fluctuations and causal connectivity in Parkinson’s disease with apathy. Neurosci Lett. 2018; 683: 75–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2018.06.043 PMID: 29953925

57. Starkstein SE, Ingram L, Garau ML, Mizrahi R. On the overlap between apathy and depression in dementia. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2005; 76: 1070–1074. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.2004.052795 PMID: 16024880

58. Dan R, Růžička F, Bezdíček O, Růžička E, Roth J, Vymazal J, et al. Separate neural representations of depression, anxiety and apathy in Parkinson’s disease. Sci Rep. 2017; 7: 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-016-0028-x PMID: 28127051

59. Radakovíc R, Abrahams S. Developing a new apathy measurement scale: Dimensional apathy scale. Psychiatry Res. 2014; 219: 658–663. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2014.06.010 PMID: 24972546

60. Lanctôt KL, Aguëra-Ortiz L, Brodaty H, Francis PT, Geda YE, Ismail Z, et al. Apathy associated with neurocognitive disorders: Recent progress and future directions. Alzheimer’s Dement. 2017; 13: 84–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2016.05.008 PMID: 27362291