Radiative Hydromagnetic Flow of Jeffrey Nanofluid by an Exponentially Stretching Sheet
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Abstract

Two-dimensional hydromagnetic flow of an incompressible Jeffrey nanofluid over an exponentially stretching surface is examined in the present article. Heat and mass transfer analysis is performed in the presence of thermal radiation, viscous dissipation, and Brownian motion and thermophoresis effects. Mathematical modelling of considered flow problem is developed under boundary layer and Rosseland’s approximations. The governing nonlinear partial differential equations are converted into ordinary differential equations via transformations. Solution expressions of velocity, temperature and concentration are presented in the series forms. Impacts of physical parameters on the dimensionless temperature and concentration are shown and discussed. Skin-friction coefficients are analyzed numerically. A comparison in a limiting sense is provided to validate the present series solutions.

Introduction

Boundary layer flow over a stretched surface is a subject of abundant studies now a days because of its existence in various engineering and industrial processes like cooling of metallic sheets in a cooling bath, annealing and thinning of copper wires, aerodynamic extrusion of plastic and rubber sheets, drawing of plastic films and sheets, glass fiber and paper production etc. It is worth mentioning to point out here that the stretching velocity is not linear necessarily in all the cases. The stretching velocity may be nonlinear or exponential. For example in annealing and thinning of copper wires, the desired quality product depends on the continuous stretching of surface with exponential dependence velocity distribution [1–6]. The magnetohydrodynamic flow is quite interesting in engineering and industrial processes. El Kouny et al. [7] investigated peristaltic flow of Maxwell fluid through a porous medium in the presence of Hall effects. Hall currents and heat transfer analysis in peristaltic flow is performed by Abo-Eldahab et al. [8]. Effects of magnetic field and porous space in peristaltic flow of Maxwell fluid are examined by Mekheimer et al. [9]. Shehzad et al. [10] discussed the Joule heating and thermophoresis effects in MHD flow of Jeffrey fluid induced by a stretching sheet. An induced magnetic field and slip effects in peristaltic flow through a porous medium are described by Mekheimer et al. [11]. Recently, Hayat et al. [12] performed a study to analyze the effects of Hall current and Ohmic heating in peristaltic flow of non-Newtonian fluid.

A working fluid is involved in many engineering and industrial applications that are in flowing or stagnant state. This working fluid is used to transfer energy/heat from one position to the other. The adequate heat transfer performance has been a major issue for a long period. This issue can be resolved by using a new working fluid that has better thermal performance than the ordinary base liquids. Recently nanofluid is the best candidate to take place of working fluid. Nanofluid is a fluid in which the nanoparticles are submerged. The size of these nanoparticles is 1–100 nm. The thermal conductivity of the nanofluids is higher than that of base fluids. Further, the novel properties of Brownian motion and thermophoresis of such fluids make them potentially useful. Nanoparticles are used to enhance the thermal characteristics of ordinary base fluids such as water, ethylene glycol or oil [13]. In addition the magneto-nanofluid is a unique material that has both liquid and magnetic properties. Such nanofluid has superficial role in construction of loud speakers, blood analysis and cancer therapy. Buongiorno [14] provided a mathematical model of nanofluid which has the characteristics of thermophoresis and Brownian motion. Later on, Makinde and Aziz [15] investigated the boundary layer flow of viscous nanofluid with convective thermal boundary condition. Here, the flow is induced due to linear stretching of surface. Closed form solutions of MHD nanofluid flow with heat and mass transfer analysis in the presence of
of slip condition were developed by Turkylmazoglu [16]. Ibrahim and Makinde [17] analyzed the effects of thermal and concentration stratifications in boundary layer nanofluid flow by a vertical surface. Second law of thermodynamics in MHD steady flow of nanofluid over a rotating disk was discussed by Rashidi et al. [18]. Moradi et al. [19] presented the series solutions of Jeffrey Hamel flow by considering the different types of nanoparticles. Unsteady natural convection flow of nanofluid with heat and mass transfer over a vertical plate was examined by Turkylmazoglu and Pop [20]. Slip and Joule heating effects in MHD peristaltic flow of nanofluid under thermal diffusion and diffusion thermo effects was studied by Hayat et al. [21]. Sheikholeslami et al. [22] carried out an analysis to discuss the effects of an applied magnetic field in rotating flow of nanofluid with heat transfer.

The aim of present article is to study the flow analysis of Jeffrey fluid [23–20] in the presence of thermophoresis, Brownian motion, thermal radiation and viscous dissipation effects. The flow caused is by an exponentially stretching sheet. Jeffrey fluid has ability to exhibit the properties of ratio of stress relaxation to retardation and retardation. Mathematical formulation is performed under boundary layer and Rosseland’s assumptions. Homotopy analysis method (HAM) [29–35] is utilized for solution expressions of dimensionless velocity, temperature and concentration. Temperature and concentration fields are shown and discussed for the different values of arising parameters. Skin-friction coefficients are computed and analyzed. Comparison of local Nusselt number in a limiting sense is tabulated and analyzed.

Mathematical Model

We consider the two-dimensional hydromagnetic flow of Jeffrey nanofluid over an exponentially stretching sheet. Heat and mass transfer effects are taken into account. An applied magnetic field of strength \( B_0 \) is encountered normal to the flow direction. The magnetic Reynolds number is chosen small and the Joule heating effects are absent. Further the induced magnetic field is smaller in comparison to the applied magnetic field and is negligible. In addition, viscous dissipation effects are also taken into account. The two-dimensional boundary layer equations of an incompressible Jeffrey nanofluid with heat and mass transfer are given below:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0, \quad (1)
\]

The boundary conditions for the considered flow analysis are

\[
u = U_0(x) = U_0 \exp\left(\frac{x}{l}\right), \quad v = 0, \quad T = T_w = T_\infty + T_0 \exp\left(\frac{Ax}{T}\right), \quad C = C_w = C_\infty + C_0 \exp\left(\frac{By}{T}\right) \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0,
\]

Table 1. Convergence of homotopy solution for different order of approximations when \( \lambda \_1 = 0.3, \beta = 0.2, \quad M = 0.5, \quad \text{Pr} = 0.7, \quad L_0 = 0.2, \quad N_{\text{Pr}} = 0.2, \quad E_c = 0.3, \quad Rd = 0.1, \quad A = 0.1, \quad B = 0.2 \text{ and } h_f = -0.5 = h_0 = h_b.

| Order of approximation | \(- f^\prime (0)\) | \(- \theta^\prime (0)\) | \(- \phi^\prime (0)\) |
|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1                      | 1.2900            | 0.5316            | 0.5572            |
| 5                      | 1.4310            | 0.2972            | 0.3806            |
| 11                     | 1.4350            | 0.2433            | 0.3146            |
| 20                     | 1.4350            | 0.2210            | 0.2692            |
| 30                     | 1.4350            | 0.2124            | 0.2435            |
| 45                     | 1.4350            | 0.2076            | 0.2225            |
| 50                     | 1.4350            | 0.2076            | 0.2193            |
| 60                     | 1.4350            | 0.2076            | 0.2193            |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103719.t001

Figure 1. \( \rho \) curves for functions \( f(\eta), \theta(\eta) \text{ and } \phi(\eta) \) at 21th order of approximations when \( \lambda \_1 = 0.3, \beta = 0.2, \quad M = 0.5, \quad \text{Pr} = 0.7, \quad L_0 = 1.0, \quad N_{\text{Pr}} = 0.2 = N_\phi, \quad E_c = 0.3 = Rd, \quad A = 0.1 \text{ and } B = 0.2. \)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103719.g001
where $u$ and $v$ are the velocity components in the $x$ – and $y$ – directions, $\nu$ the kinematic viscosity, $\lambda_1$ the ratio of relaxation to retardation times, $\lambda_2$ the relaxation time, $\rho_f$ the density of fluid, $\sigma$ the Stefan-Boltzman constant, $\chi$ the thermal diffusivity, $\tau = (\rho c_p)_{\rho_f}$ the ratio of nanoparticle heat capacity and the base fluid heat capacity, $D_B$ the Brownian diffusion coefficient, $D_T$ the thermophoretic diffusion coefficient, $q_r$ the radiative heat flux, $T_\infty$ and $C_\infty$ are the ambient fluid temperature and concentration far away from the sheet and $A$, $B$, $T_0$, $C_0$ are the constants.

By employing Rosseland’s approximation, Eq. (3) has the form

$$
u u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = x + 16\sigma^2 T^\frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{\partial C_T}{\partial x} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + \frac{D_T}{T_\infty} \frac{(\partial T)}{(\partial y)} \right)^2 + \frac{\nu \lambda_2}{c_p(1+\lambda_1)} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right)$$

The dimensionless variables are defined as

$$u = U_0 \exp \left( \frac{X}{2L} \right) f(\eta), v = -\sqrt{\frac{\nu U_0}{2L}} \exp \left( \frac{X}{2L} \right) \left( f(\eta) + \eta f'(\eta) \right),$$

$$\eta = \sqrt{\frac{U_0}{2\nu L}} \exp \left( \frac{X}{2L} \right), T = T_\infty + T_0 \exp \left( \frac{4X}{2L} \right) \theta(\eta),$$

$$C = C_\infty + C_0 \exp \left( \frac{Bx}{2L} \right) \phi(\eta).$$

The equations of linear momentum, energy, concentration and their corresponding boundary conditions in dimensionless form can be written as

$$f'''' + (1 + \lambda_1) f'''' - 2(1 + \lambda_1)f'' + 2(\frac{3}{2}f^2 + \frac{1}{2}f'' + f'''') - M(1 + \lambda_1)f'' = 0,$$

$$f(1 + \lambda_1)(1 + \frac{4}{3}Rd) \theta'' + (1 + \lambda_1) Pr (f'' - A f' \theta) + (1 + \lambda_1) Pr Nb \theta \phi' + (1 + \lambda_1) Pr Nt \theta^2 + Pr Ec \left( f'' + \frac{\beta}{2} f'''' - \frac{3}{2} f'''' - \frac{3}{2} f'''' \right) = 0,$$

$$\phi'' + Pr Le(f \phi' - B \phi') + (N_1/N_0) \theta'' = 0,$$

$$f = 0, f' = 1, \theta' = 1, \phi' = 1$$ as $\eta \rightarrow \infty,$

where $\beta = \frac{\lambda_2 U_0 \exp \left( \frac{X}{2L} \right)}{f}$ is the Deborah number, $M = \frac{\sigma B_0^2 U_w}{\rho_f c}$ is the magnetic parameter, $Pr = \frac{\nu}{\chi}$ is the Prandtl number, $Ec = \frac{U_0^2}{c_p T_0} \left( \frac{4 - A}{2} \right)$ is the Eckert number.
\[ \text{Le} = \frac{\gamma}{DB} \] is the Lewis number, \( \text{Nb} = \frac{(pc)_0 D_B C_0 \exp \left( \frac{Bx}{2l} \right)}{(pc)_0 r} \)

is the Brownian motion parameter, \( \text{Nt} = \frac{(pc)_0 D \tau \exp \left( \frac{Ax}{2l} \right)}{(pc)_0 \nu T \nu} \) is the thermophoresis parameter.

The skin friction coefficient, the local Nusselt and Sherwood numbers are defined below.

\[
C_f = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho_j U_w^2(x) \nu}, \quad Nu_x = \frac{xq_w}{k(T_w - T_x)}, \quad Sh_x = \frac{xq_m}{D_B(C_w - C_\infty)},
\]

where \( \tau_w \) is the shear stress along the stretching surface, \( q_w \) is the surface heat flux and \( q_m \) is the surface mass flux. The local skin-friction coefficient, local Nusselt and Sherwood numbers in dimensionless forms can be written as

\[
\sqrt{2R_e} C_f = \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_1} f''(0) + \beta f'''(0), \quad Nu_x / R_e x^{1/2} = -\sqrt{\frac{x}{2l}} \theta'(0),
\]

\[
Sh_x / R_e x^{1/2} = -\sqrt{\frac{x}{2l}} \phi'(0),
\]

where \( R_e = U_w(x) x / \nu \) is the local Reynolds number.

---

**Homotopy Analysis Solutions**

To proceed the homotopic solutions, the initial guesses and auxiliary linear operators are chosen as follows:

\[
f_0(\eta) = 1 - \exp(-\eta), \quad \theta_0(\eta) = \exp(-\eta), \quad \phi_0(\eta) = \exp(-\eta),
\]

\[
L(f) = f''' - f', \quad L(\theta) = \theta'' - \theta, \quad L(\phi) = \phi'' - \phi.
\]

The above initial guesses and auxiliary linear operators satisfies the below mentioned properties

\[
L(f)(C_1 + C_2 e^\eta + C_3 e^{-\eta}) = 0,
\]

\[
L(\theta)(C_1 e^\eta + C_2 e^{-\eta}) = 0,
\]

\[
L(\phi)(C_1 e^\eta + C_2 e^{-\eta}) = 0,
\]

where \( C_i (i = 1 - 7) \) are the arbitrary constants.

The zeroth order problems can be written as

\[
(1 - q) L(f) \left[ f(\eta; \eta) - f_0(\eta) \right] = q h_n N_f \left[ f(\eta; \eta) \right],
\]

\[
(1 - q) L(\theta) \left[ \theta(\eta; \eta) - \theta_0(\eta) \right] = q h_n N_f \left[ f(\eta; \eta), \theta(\eta, \eta), \theta(\eta, \eta) \right],
\]

\[
(1 - q) L(\phi) \left[ \phi(\eta; \eta) - \phi_0(\eta) \right] = q h_n N_f \left[ f(\eta; \eta), \theta(\eta, \eta), \phi(\eta, \eta) \right].
\]
When we increase the values of $q$ from 0 to 1 then $f(\eta, q)$, $\theta(\eta, q)$ and $\phi(\eta, q)$ vary from $f_0(\eta)$, $\theta_0(\eta)$, $\phi_0(\eta)$ to $f(\eta, 1)$, $\theta(\eta, 1)$ and $\phi(\eta, 1)$. By adopting Taylor series expansion, we have [28–30]:

\[
f(\eta, q) = f_0(\eta) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f_m(q)q^m,
\]

\[
\theta(\eta, q) = \theta_0(\eta) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \theta_m(q)q^m,
\]

\[
\phi(\eta, q) = \phi_0(\eta) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \phi_m(q)q^m,
\]
The general solutions can be written as

\[ f_m(\eta) = f_m^*(\eta) + C_1 + C_2 e^{\theta_1} + C_3 e^{-\theta_1}, \]

\[ \theta_m(\eta) = \theta_m^*(\eta) + C_4 e^{\phi_1} + C_5 e^{-\phi_1}, \]

\[ \phi_m(\eta) = \phi_m^*(\eta) + C_6 e^{\phi_1} + C_7 e^{-\phi_1}, \]

where \( f_m^*(\eta), \theta_m^*(\eta) \) and \( \phi_m^*(\eta) \) are the special solutions.

**Convergence Analysis and Discussion**

The auxiliary parameters \( h_f, h_\theta \) and \( h_g \) are encountered when homotopy analysis method has been utilized to compute the series solutions. These parameters have essential importance for adjusting and controlling the convergence of series solutions. The appropriate values of these parameters are required for the convergent solutions. To obtain the proper values of these auxiliary parameters, we drawn the \( h \) – curves at 21st order of HAM approximations. These \( h \) – curves are presented in Fig. 1. From this Fig. we examined that the suitable values of \( h_f, h_\theta \) and \( h_g \) are \( -0.65 \leq h_f \leq -0.10, -0.70 \leq h_\theta \leq -0.30, -65 \leq h_g \leq -0.30 \). The series converges in the whole region of \( \eta \) when \( h_f = -0.5, h_\theta = h_g \) (see Table 1).

Figs. 2–8 are drawn to examine the variations in dimensionless temperature profile \( \theta (\eta) \) for different values of ratio of relaxation to retardation times \( \lambda_1 \), magnetic parameter \( M \), Prandtl number \( Pr \), thermophoresis parameter \( Nt \), Brownian motion parameter \( Nb \), Eckert number \( Ec \) and radiation parameter \( Rd \). Fig. 2 shows that an increase in ratio of relaxation to retardation times gives rise to the temperature and thermal boundary layer thickness. Minimum temperature and thinnest thermal boundary layer thickness is noticed when \( \lambda_1 = 0 \). An increase in \( \lambda_1 \) implies to an increase in relaxation time and decrease in retardation time. This change in relaxation and retardation times elucidates the higher temperature and thicker thermal boundary layer thickness. Change in temperature profile for different values of magnetic parameter is noticed in Fig. 3. Here we examined that both temperature and thermal boundary layer thickness are enhanced for larger magnetic parameter. It is also seen that for \( M = 0 \), hydrodynamic flow situation is recovered. Magnetic parameter involves the Lorentz force. Higher magnetic parameter implies to stronger Lorentz force and lower magnetic parameter has weaker Lorentz force. Here the stronger Lorentz force leads to an increase in the temperature and thermal boundary layer thickness. Fig. 4 depicts the impact of Prandtl number on the temperature field. It is observed that lower temperature and thinner thermal boundary layer thickness appear corresponding to the increasing values of Prandtl number. Prandtl number is the ratio of viscous to thermal diffusivities. Larger Prandtl number fluids have higher viscous diffusivity and weaker thermal diffusivity. Such change in viscous and thermal diffusivities leads to a decrease in thermal boundary layer thickness. Figs. 5 and 6 illustrate that both temperature and thermal boundary layer thickness are enhanced when the larger values of thermophoresis and Brownian motion parameters are taken into account. From Fig. 7 we noticed that temperature is an increasing function of Eckert number. Further \( Ec = 0 \) corresponds to the analysis when viscous dissipation effects are absent. Effects of radiative parameter on the temperature field are analyzed in Fig. 8. We observed that an increase in radiative parameter leads
to an enhancement in the temperature field. In fact an increase in radiative parameter provides more heat to fluid that corresponds to higher temperature.

Change in concentration distribution function \( w(g) \) for various values of ratio of relaxation to retardation times \( l_1 \), Prandtl number \( Pr \), Lewis number \( Le \), thermophoresis parameter \( Nt \) and Brownian motion parameter \( Nb \) is examined through the Figs. 9–13. Fig. 9 clearly indicates that concentration and its related boundary layer thickness are increasing functions of ratio of relaxation to retardation times. In addition a comparison of the Figs. 2 and 9 shows that the impacts of ratio of relaxation to retardation times on the temperature and concentration are quite reverse. Fig. 10 depicts that concentration boundary layer thickness become thinner for larger Prandtl number. Form Fig. 11 we noticed that an increase in Lewis number shows lower concentration field. Lewis number is inversely proportional to the Brownian diffusion coefficient. This Brownian diffusion coefficient becomes smaller corresponding to the larger values of Lewis number. This smaller Brownian diffusion coefficient shows a reduction in the concentration and associated boundary layer thickness. Fig. 12 presents that the larger values of thermophoresis parameter give rise to the concentration and its related boundary layer thickness. It is also seen that concentration is at the peak when \( Nt \sim 1 \) and \( g \sim 2 \): 5.

Table 2. Numerical values of skin friction coefficient \( \sqrt{2ReC_{f}} \) for different values of \( l_1 \), \( M \) and \( \beta \) in case of exponential and linear stretching.

| \( l_1 \) | \( M \) | \( \beta \) | \( \sqrt{2ReC_{f}} \) Exponential stretching case | \( \sqrt{2ReC_{f}} \) Linear stretching case |
|-------|------|------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 0.0   | 0.5  | 0.2  | 1.63612                        | 1.34164                         |
| 0.4   |      |      | 1.38278                        | 1.13389                         |
| 0.7   |      |      | 1.25485                        | 1.02899                         |
| 1.0   |      |      | 1.15689                        | 0.94868                         |
| 0.3   | 0.0  | 0.2  | 1.25661                        | 0.96077                         |
| 0.7   | 1.0  | 1.59258                      | 1.35873                         |
| 1.0   | 1.70796                    | 1.48842                         |
| 0.3   | 0.5  | 0.0  | 1.28616                        | 1.07417                         |
| 0.3   | 0.3  | 1.50353                      | 1.22474                         |
| 0.5   | 1.63159                    | 1.31559                         |
| 0.8   | 1.80611                    | 1.44115                         |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103719.t002

Table 3. Comparison values of \( h' (0) \) with Bidin and Nazar [3] for different values of \( Ec \), \( Pr \) and \( Rd \) when \( \beta = 0 \), \( l_1 = 0 \), \( M = 0 \), \( Nt = Nb = 0 \), \( A = 1.0 \) and \( f_{(0)} = -0.5 \).

| \( Rd \) | Bidin and Nazar [3] | Present HAM solutions |
|---------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Ec = 0.0 | Pr = 1.0 | Pr = 2.0 | Pr = 3.0 | Ec = 0.0 | Pr = 1.0 | Pr = 2.0 | Pr = 3.0 |
| 0.0 | 0.955 | 1.471 | 1.869 | 0.9548 | 1.4715 | 1.8693 |
| 0.5 | 0.677 | 1.074 | 1.381 | 0.6775 | 1.0734 | 1.3807 |
| 1.0 | 0.532 | 0.863 | 1.121 | 0.5337 | 0.8627 | 1.1213 |
| Ec = 0.2 | Pr = 1.0 | Pr = 2.0 | Pr = 3.0 |
| 0.862 | 1.306 | 1.688 | 0.8624 | 1.3055 | 1.6384 |
| 0.618 | 0.965 | 1.229 | 0.6183 | 0.9653 | 1.2286 |
| 0.488 | 0.782 | 1.107 | 0.4889 | 0.7818 | 1.0067 |
| Ec = 0.9 | Pr = 1.0 | Pr = 2.0 | Pr = 3.0 |
| 0.539 | 0.725 | 0.830 | 0.5387 | 0.7248 | 0.8302 |
| 0.410 | 0.587 | 0.696 | 0.4111 | 0.5870 | 0.6963 |
| 0.334 | 0.498 | 0.606 | 0.3355 | 0.4984 | 0.6055 |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103719.t003
the variations in Nusselt and Sherwood numbers for different values \( Nb \) vs \( Nt \) for both linear and exponential stretching cases. Here we noticed that heat and mass transfer rates at wall are higher for linear stretching case in comparison to exponential case.

Table 1 is computed to examine the values of \(-f'(0), -\theta(0)\) and \( \psi(0) \) when \( \lambda_2 = 0.3, \beta = 0.2, M = 0.5, \text{Pr} = 0.7, \text{Le} = 1 \), \( Nt = 0.2 \), \( Nb \), \( Ec = 0.3 \), \( Rd \), \( A = 0.1 \), \( B = 0.2 \) and \( h_o = 0.5 = h_b = h_p \). From this Table, we analyzed that the values of \(-f'(0)\) converge from 11th order of HAM approximations whereas the values of \(-\theta(0)\) and \(-\psi(0)\) converge from 45th and 50th order of HAM deformations, respectively. Numerical values of skin-friction coefficient \( \sqrt{2ReC_f} \) for different values of \( \lambda_2, M \) and \( \beta \) of both exponential stretching and linear stretching cases are presented in Table 2. Here we examined that the values of skin-friction coefficient are smaller for larger \( \lambda_2 \) while these values are increased when larger values of \( M \) and \( \beta \) are encountered. Further, it is noticed that the values of skin-friction coefficient are larger for exponential stretching case in comparison to the linear stretching case. Table 3 provides a comparison study with the existing solutions for different values of \( Rd, Ec \) and \( Pr \) when \( A = B = 1.0, \lambda_2 = \beta = M = 0 \). From this Table one can see that our present results have an excellent agreement with the results of Bidin and Nazar [3].

Conclusions

Radiative hydromagnetic flow of Jeffrey nanofluid over an exponentially stretching sheet is studied. Heat and mass transfer phenomena are discussed in the presence of viscous dissipation. The main observations of present investigation are written below:

- Temperature and concentration profiles are enhanced with an increase in the ratio of relaxation to retardation times.
- Larger values of Deborah number \( \beta \) correspond to a reduction in the temperature and thermal concentration fields.
- Thermophoresis and Brownian motion parameters give rise to the temperature and thermal boundary layer thickness.
- Temperature and thermal boundary layer thickness are increasing functions of radiative parameter \( Rd \) and Eckert number \( Ec \).
- Brownian motion parameter has reverse effects on temperature and concentration.
- Values of skin-friction coefficient are increased by increasing \( M \) and \( \beta \) but it decreases when we increase the values of \( \lambda_2 \).
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