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ABSTRACT: A Vietnamese malacologist Nguyen Ngoc Thach described 235 land snail species and subspecies from Southeast Asia with co-authors; further 11 species were described by an Austrian malacologist Franz Huber in Thach’s publications (2014–2019). Nearly all taxa were described in self-published books and non-peer-reviewed journals. The low quality of the published photographs, imprecise locality data, deficient literature surveys, and the lack of examination of type specimens raise reasonable doubts concerning the validity of these taxa. In this paper we list all land snails described by Thach and colleagues, and comment on approximately half of his taxa based on examination of the literature and type specimens. As a result, 102 of their taxa are moved to the synonymies of previously described taxa. Three additional taxa, described by other authors, are also considered synonyms of known species here: Helix (Ganesella?) lamyi Dautzenberg et Fischer, 1905, Helix (Plectotropis?) chaudroni Bavay et Dautzenberg, 1909, Amphidromus xiengkaunensis Inkhavilay et Panha, 2017. Further, nine species are moved to other genera: Pearsonia huberi Thach 2016 to Spiraculum, Streptartemon huberi Thach 2016 to Indoartemon, Tropidophora huberi Thach, 2018 to Leptopoma, Microstele huberi Thach, 2018 to Apoeus, Camaena khamducensis Thach et Huber, 2018 to Hemiplecta, Camaena abbas Thach, 2016 to Asperitas, Mystearion huberi Thach, 2016 to Megastenia, Helixarion amnhiae Thach et Huber, 2017 to Megastenia, and Lamprellia huberi Thach, 2018 to Trichochloritis. Chloritis bifoveata vinhensis Thach et Huber, 2018 is elevated to species level. Oospira nagssi callosa Páll-Gergely, nom. nov. is established as a replacement name for Hemiphaedusa huberi Thach, 2016, non Oospira huberi Thach, 2016. Trichochloritis mussonena Páll-Gergely, nom. nov. is established for Massonena huberi Thach, 2018, non Trichochloritis huberi (Thach, 2018).
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INTRODUCTION

The description of Southeast Asian land snails began in the late 18th century when European travellers returned with large and conspicuous specimens. The most active period was the mid-late 19th and early 20th centuries when colonial European naturalists travelled the world to document its biodiversity. Therefore, most specimens examined during the descriptions of these species are housed in European museums. The majority of land snail genera have yet to be properly revised and many species described over a century ago have not yet been illustrated in scientific literature. And, even when illustrated, these early descriptions do not necessarily demonstrate what we now consider as critical morphologi-
cal characters by which to differentiate species. The description of new Southeast Asian land snail species, as well as their revision, generally requires the examination of all type specimens. This work is often challenging as some museums do not loan type specimens so researchers must visit those collections to properly examine these types.

Vietnamese malacologist NGUYEN NGOC THAC, at times with colleagues, published three non-peer reviewed, self-published books (THAC 2016a, 2017a, 2018a) and several papers (THAC & HUBER 2014, 2015, 2017, THAC 2015a, b, c, 2016b, c, d, e, f, g, h, 2017b, c, d, e, f, 2018b, c, 2019a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, THAC & ABBAS 2017a, b). Most taxa in the publications were described solely by Nguyen Ngoc Thach, but occasionally with others, such as the Austrian malacologist, Franz Huber. The lack of peer-review, low quality of the published photographs, imprecise locality data, deficient literature surveys, and the lack of examination or even mention of type specimens of other species that should have been examined, raise reasonable doubts concerning the validity of these taxa. A few species have already been synonymised (PALL-GERGELY & HUNYADI 2018, INKHAVILAY et al. 2019, SUTCARIT et al. in press).

The high number of new species and subspecies, assigned to 63 genera, makes it impossible to properly deal with them in a single contribution. Most of the groups require extensive systematic revision that may take several years each. Therefore, in this paper we comment only on those taxa that are obvious synonyms, those that have been reviewed earlier, or on those we are currently working.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All scientific names of THAC mentioned in this study are validly introduced according to the ICZN Code, and thus, are available, validly introduced names. The terms “valid species”, “valid taxon” and “validity” in the following refers to scientifically acceptable taxa (i.e. not synonyms).

We examined the published photographs of specimens or the actual type specimens described by THAC and his colleagues, and compared them with specimens from other sources and figures published in the literature.

RESULTS

GENERAL REMARKS

Altogether THAC and his colleagues (see: Introduction) described 246 land snail species and subspecies from Southeast Asia (Cambodia: 5 taxa, Indonesia: 36 taxa, Laos: 51 taxa, Myanmar: 2 taxa, the Philippines: 1 taxon, Thailand: 11 taxa, Vietnam: 140 taxa). Eleven of these were authored by Franz HUBER (“Huber in Thac”) in THAC’S books (THAC 2016a, 2017a, 2018a), and one species was described by F. HUBER in a paper authored only by him (HUBER 2015).

Besides these, THAC described a species from Spain (*Helicella candoni* Thach in THAC 2018a: 72), and one from Madagascar (*Kalidos huberi* Thach in THAC 2018a: 43), and 88 marine and one freshwater species were described, which are not treated here. Appendix 1 summarises all terrestrial species.

The general shortcomings of these publications are:

1. In most cases it is obvious that the authors have not examined type specimens of similar species or their illustrations/photographs. Instead, they compared their specimens with images posted on shell dealer websites and other online sources ([https://www.conchology.be/](http://www.conchology.be/), [http://www.bagniliggia.it](http://www.bagniliggia.it), Wikipedia, etc.) and popular science books (e.g. ABBOTT’S [1989] Compendium of Landshells).

2. Their general understanding of basic intraspecific variability in some genera differs from ours and that of recently active malacologists working in the same geographic areas. As a result, minor differences in shell morphology and colouration observed between individuals are interpreted as characters by which to distinguish species. This has resulted in an unrealistic increase in species numbers, especially in the genus *Amphidromus*, which often differ in colour and colour pattern even within populations.

3. They have placed new species in genera and families that have not been recorded from Southeast Asia.
Asia, but are known from the Neotropics (Streptartemon Kobelt, 1905, Helminthoglypta Ancey, 1887, Obeliscus Beck, 1837), Australia (Mysticarion Iredale, 1941, Lamprellia Stanisic, 2010, Megalacron I. Rensch, 1934, Mussonena Iredale, 1938), and Madagascar (Tropidophora Troeschel, 1847, Cyclotopsis W. T. Blanford, 1864), a clear sign of their lack of knowledge of evolutionary convergence and biogeography. A vast majority of land snail taxa are known to have limited dispersal abilities, and most have clear geographically definable distributions. They are far more likely to be related to taxa that occur in the same geographical area instead of those inhabiting different areas of the world. Furthermore, THACH and his coauthors often place new species in inappropriate families, most conspicuously the Camaenidae versus Ariophantidae/Dyakiidae/ Helicarionidae.

4. Very poor locality data of the new taxa are often provided, making the collection of additional specimens to investigate intraspecific variability unlikely.

5. In many cases these new species belong in genera and families that have never been revised, or not in the past few decades. For example, hundreds of species have been described in the family Camaenidae over the last two centuries. These are often very similar in shell morphology yet no comprehensive revision has been published, even at the genus level. Generic boundaries are not well defined, species’ ranges of variation and distributions are largely unknown, and many of the species have never been illustrated. The description of a camaenid species without a comparison of it to pertinent species has a great risk of creating a synonym.

6. The types or illustrated specimens are often immature, juvenile, or so weathered that further taxonomic work on the species will be greatly impeded (see: Appendix 1).

7. The ICZN (Art. 72.10) recommends that holotypes be deposited in institutions that can provide proper care to allow examination by others. Despite this recommendation, holotypes of 18 taxa were deposited in private collections. The holotypes of Amphidromus anthonyabbotti, A. lamdongensis, A. montesdecoai, A. noriokowaeoi, Pearsonia franzhuberi, P. thachi, P. vilvensi, Pseudobuliminus huberi, Pseudopartula huberi and Pterocycllos shilekyoi are deposited in the private collection of F. HUBER, whereas the holotype of A. mariae is in the “Huber Museum”, which may mean the same collection. Amphidromus calvinabbasi, Camaena binghaensis, C. hoabinhensis, C. lacthuyensis, C. leana and C. ninbinhensis are deposited in the THACH’s collection, whereas the holotype of Amphidromus thachi krisi is in the private collection of N. KHOA.

8. Providing names to new species is completely at the discretion of the author(s). However, we find it unadvisable to give the same specific epithet to many species, particularly in areas where species boundaries are unclear or unknown. Among the species described by N. N. THACH, 43 are named huberi, 9 as franzhuberi, and 7 as abbasii and F. HUBER named 10 species as thachi (in THACH 2017a, 2018a, and HUBER 2015). These homonyms greatly increase the possibility of secondary homonyms when the generic assignments are changed (e.g. PÁLL-GERGELY & HUNYADI 2018 and this study). Furthermore, homonyms occur even within the same publication, as well as multiple original spellings (e.g. PÁLL-GERGELY 2019).

The inadequate description of THACH’s taxa comes from a general lack of knowledge of the groups and/or faunas made apparent by: 1) lack of comparison (LC) or mention of previously described species, to which they are similar or identical, and 2) the use of minor shell characters (MC) that are known to be of little or no use by which to distinguish species. These two types are listed in Appendix 1 after each taxon.

TAXONOMY AND SYSTEMATICS

Subclass Caenogastropoda

Superfamily Cyclophoroidea Gray, 1847

Family Alycaeidae W. T. Blanford, 1864

Genus Alycaeus Baird, 1850

Alycaeus BAIRD 1850: 27.

Alycaeus somnueki Panha et Patamakanthin, 2001

Fig. 1

Alycaeus somnueki Panha & Patamakanthin 2001: 189–190, plates 1–5.

Alycaeus huberi Thach 2018a: 19, figs 94–95. new synonym

Remarks. In the original description THACH (2018a) stated that A. huberi Thach, 2018 (Fig. 1) differs from A. somnueki Panha et Patamakanthin, 2001 by the ovate, not triangular, aperture, “spire whorls much broader” and dorsal side of body whorl concave (convex in A. somnueki). Firstly, the aperture is “ovate” because the holotype of A. huberi is a subadult specimen.
It is clear that the aperture of the holotype of *A. huberi* is not continuous, as the peristome is not yet developed in the parietal area. In only one alycaeid species (the Japanese *Cipangocarax okamurai* (Azuma, 1980)) does it appear that the aperture is discontinuous, but even in that case the parietal callus is developed, just thin and blunt, represented as a slight, thin calcareous layer. The aperture is continuous in all other alycaeid species (we have examined most types of all the ca. 380 alycaeid taxa recently). Therefore, the not fully expanded peristome of the subadult holotype of *A. huberi* causes it to appear different than that of *A. somnueki*. Secondly, we cannot concur that the body whorl of *A. huberi* is broader than that of *A. somnueki* (at least we believe this is what the author meant by “spire whorls”). Thirdly, it is unclear what THACH (2018a) meant by the concave-convex difference of the dorsal body whorl, and we see no difference between the two taxa. Additionally, the type locality of *A. huberi* “Aouluc, South Thailand” is the same as that of *A. somnueki* “Ao Luk limestone areas, Krabi Province, (...) Thailand”. Therefore, we consider *A. huberi* as a junior synonym of *A. somnueki*.

**Family Cyclophoridae Gray, 1847**

**Genus Cyclophorus Montfort, 1810**

*Cyclophorus* **Montfort 1808–1810**, vol. 2 (1810): 290.

**Remarks.** The genus *Cyclophorus* Montfort, 1810 contains hundreds of names and it is clear that the infra- and intra-variability of almost all species are very poorly understood. Revision and description of new species of *Cyclophorus* requires morphometric analysis of large series of specimens and perhaps molecular phylogeny to reveal species boundaries (e.g. NANTARAT et al. 2019). Although the description of new species during the 19th and 20th centuries from a few specimens with imprecise collection data was normal, it is very unwise to do so now. We find it nearly impossible to decide whether the new taxa introduced by THACH and his colleagues are valid and is beyond the scope of this paper. Unfortunately, the thorough paper of NANTARAT et al. (2014) predates the descriptions of new *Cyclophorus* species presented by THACH.

**Genus Cyclotus Guilding in Swainson, 1840**

*Cyclostoma* (Cyclotus) **Guilding in Swainson 1840: 186, 336.**

*Cyclotus huberi* THACH, 2018

**Fig. 2**

*Cyclotus huberi* THACH 2018a: 16, figs 63–64.

**Remarks.** According to the original description *C. huberi* Thach, 2018 is characterised by a “deep groove at periphery”. However, it is clear from photos of the holotype that the deep groove is of teratological origin. The specimen had stopped growing about half a whorl behind the peristome, when the snail probably estivated for some time. The groove on the last half whorl was developed probably due to an injured mantle. The groove cannot be ascribed to any breathing functions known in several terrestrial caenogastropod genera (PÁLL-GERGELY et al. 2016), because it would require the presence of a passage between the operculum and the peristome to allow gas exchange.

This species was compared with the Vietnamese *C. lubricus* (Dautzenberg et Fischer, 1908), which has a short snorkel near its peristome, and *C. micron* Pilsbry, 1900 (in PILSBRY 1900b). The latter species, now assigned to Nakadaella Ancey, 1904, is ca. 1 mm in diameter while the holotype of *C. huberi* is 21.2 mm in diameter. This species could be valid because the only species known from Laos (*C. porrectus* Möllendorff, 1898) is clearly not conspecific (see: Fig. 1. Holotype of *Alycaeus huberi* (MNHN-IM-2000-34058). Photo: M. CABALLER
Comments on N. N. Thach’s recent papers

INKHAVILAY et al. 2019). A comprehensive revision of this genus of the neighbouring countries is also necessary.

**Cyclotus setosus** (Möllendorff, 1894)

Opisthoporus setosus MÖLLENDORFF 1894: 152, plate 16, figs 14–15.

Cyclotus (Siphonocyclus) setosus – ZILCH 1956: 189, plate 15, fig. 30.

Cyclotus setosus – BENTHEM JUTTING 1960: 11.

Tubicyclotus setosus – HABE 1965: 119, plate 2, figs 8, 9.

Cyclotus setosus – MAASSEN 2001: 14.

Cyclotus (Opisthoporus) setosus – EGOROV 2009: 19, fig. 1G.

Spiraculum grohi Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 18 new synonym

Spiraculum harryleei Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 18 new synonym

Cyclotus harryleei – SUTCHARIT et al. 2019a: 28, fig. 6H.

**Remarks.** In the original descriptions of Spiraculum grohi Thach et Huber, 2018 and S. harryleei Thach et Huber, 2018 (NHMUK 20180248), they were compared with each other, S. vilvensi Thach et Huber, 2017 (given as “vivensis” in comparison in THACH 2018a), and Pearsonia lamphunensis Tumpeesuwat et Tumpeesuwat, 2015. However, both taxa of Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a are obvious synonyms of C. setosus (Möllendorff, 1894), a species known from the Samui Islands (MÖLLENDORFF 1894), peninsular Malaysia near Perlis (BENTHEM JUTTING 1960), and Phuket, Thailand (unpublished information). Both S. grohi and S. harryleei were described from the nearby Krabi area, Thailand. The differences in shell colour and the slightly differently shaped tube are best explained by intraspecific variation.

**Genus Lagocheilus Blanford, 1864**

Lagocheilus BLanford 1864: 452.

**Lagocheilus klobukowskii** (Morlet, 1885)

Cycloporus klobukowskii MORLET 1885[1884]: 391, 392, plate 12, fig. 1.

Cylotopsis huberi THACH 2018a: 24, figs 178–179.

**new synonym

Lagocheilus klobukowskii – INKHAVILAY et al. 2019: 19, figs 9B–C, 18C.

**Remarks.** C. huberi Thach, 2018, described as a member of the family Pomatiidae Newton, 1891, is identical with L. klobukowskii (family Cyclophoridae) based on comparisons with the original figures and those of INKHAVILAY et al. (2019), and therefore considered a junior synonym of the latter here.
Genus *Leptopoma* L. Pfeiffer, 1847

*Leptopoma* L. **PFEIFFER** 1847: 47.

*Leptopoma huberi* (Thach, 2018)  
new combination

*Tropidophora huberi* **THACH** 2018a: 24.

**Remarks.** The genus *Tropidophora* Troschel, 1847 (family Pomatiidae) is distributed in mainland Africa, the small islands of the western Indian Ocean, and has radiated extensively in Madagascar (FISCHER-PIETTE et al. 1993, EMBERTON 1995). Therefore, placing a species collected in Laos in this genus is inappropriate. Furthermore, *T. huberi* Thach, 2018 differs from *Leptopoma annamiticum* Möllendorff, 1900 (described in MöLLENDORFF 1900a), the only *Leptopoma* species known from Laos (INKHAVILAY et al. 2019), only by the rounded body whorl (keeled in *L. annamiticum*), but we are reluctant to include this species as a synonym at this time. Instead, this species is herein only transferred to the genus *Leptopoma*.

Genus *Rhioistoma* Benson, 1860

*Rhioistoma* **BENSON** 1860: 96.

*Rhioistoma herosae* Thach et Huber, 2017

*Rhioistoma herosae* Thach et Huber in **THACH** 2017a: 17, figs 81–89.

*Rhioistoma ninhbinhensis* Thach et Huber in **THACH** 2018a: 17, figs 81a, 82a, 83a, 83b.  
**new synonym**

**Remarks.** The holotypes of the *R. herosae* Thach et Huber, 2017 and *R. ninhbinhensis* Thach et Huber, 2018 are virtually identical in appearance, therefore *R. ninhbinhensis* is considered here a junior synonym of *R. herosae*. Moreover, the type locality of both species is Vietnam, Ninh Binh. The validity of *R. herosae* can be inferred after a revision of *Rhioistoma*.

*Rhioistoma marioni* (Ancey, 1898)

*Pterocyclos marioni* **ANCEY** 1898: 137, plate 9, fig. f.

*Rhioistoma abletti* **THACH** 2016a: 37, figs 53, 122–124.

**new synonym**

*Rhioistoma christae* **THACH** 2016a: 38, figs 51, 130–133.

**new synonym**

*Rhioistoma marioni* – **INKHAVILAY** et al. 2019: 22, figs 11A–B, 18E.

*Rhioistoma abletti* – **SUTCHARIT** et al. 2019a: 5, fig. 1A

*Rhioistoma christae* – **SUTCHARIT** et al. 2019a: 17, fig. 3L

**Remarks.** The holotypes of *R. abletti* Thach, 2016 (NHMUK 20160307) from northwest of Lai Châu city, on the way to Paso, Lai Châu Province, north Vietnam and *R. christae* Thach, 2016 (NHMUK 20160306) from near the road No. 6 to Chieng Ngan, Son La Province, north Vietnam are nearly identical in appearance. The two type localities are situated in neighbouring northern Vietnamese provinces, less than 200 km apart, not a great distance for molluscs not restricted to limestone habitats. Both taxa are assigned herein as junior synonyms of *R. marioni* (Ancey, 1898), described from neighbouring Laos (Muang Khua Town, Phongsaly Province).

Genus *Spiraculum* Pearson, 1833

*Spiraculum* **PEARSON** 1833: 590.

*Spiraculum huberi* (Thach, 2016)  
new combination

*Pearsonia huberi* **THACH** 2016a: 36, figs 48, 115–118.

*Pearsonia franzhuberi* **THACH** 2017a: 15, figs 82–86.

**new synonym**

*Pearsonia thachi* Huber in **THACH** 2017a: 16, figs 78–81.  
**new synonym**

*Pearsonia huberi* – **SUTCHARIT** et al. 2019a: 29, fig. 6K.

**Remarks.** According to the original description of *P. franzhuberi* Thach, 2017, it differs from *P. huberi* in the “shape of superior margin of aperture and air tube is directed backward”. *P. thachi* Huber, 2017 is said to differ from *P. franzhuberi* by the “shape of operculum with four layers and wing-like structure that is very swollen at dorsal side”. Those differences are all best explained by intraspecific variation. Since there are no essential differences between these three taxa, we treat them as a single species, *Spiraculum huberi*. Additionally, this species in reassigned to *Spiraculum* Pearson, 1833. **KOBElt** (1902) fixed *Spiraculum hispidum* Pearson, 1833 as a type species for both *Spiraculum* Pearson, 1833 and *Pearsonia* Kobelt, 1902. Thus, the latter is a junior objective synonym of the former.

Family Pupinidae L. Pfeiffer, 1853

Genus *Pollicaria* Gould, 1856

*Pollicaria* **GOULD** 1856: 14.

*Pollicaria myersii* (Haines, 1855)

*Cyclostoma* (Megalomastoma) *myersii* **HAINES** 1855: 157, plate 5, figs 9–11.

*Pollicaria myersii* – **KONGIM** et al. 2013: 30, figs 2A, 4F–G, 6A.

*Pollicaria huberi* **THACH** 2018a: 20, figs 116–123.  
**new synonym**

**Remarks.** The shell and aperture shape and shell colour of *P. huberi* Thach, 2018 agree with that of *P. myersii* (see: KONGIM et al. 2013), which is also known from Laos. Therefore, the former is considered a junior synonym of the latter.

*Pollicaria rochebruni* (Mabille, 1887)

*Hybocystis* rochebruni **MABILLE** 1887: 12.

*Hybocystis* *crossei* **DAUTZENBERG** & **D’HAMONVILLE** 1887: 220, plate 8, fig. 4.

*Pollicaria rochebruni* – **KONGIM** et al. 2013: 35, figs 5D–E, 6E.

*Pollicaria crossei* – **KONGIM** et al. 2013: 37, figs 5F–G, 6F.
**Pollicaria nicoi** Thach 2018a: 21, figs 109–115. new synonym

**Ariophanta huberi** Thach 2018a: 41, figs 548–549 (PÁLL-GERGELY & HUNYADI 2018).

**Remarks.** *A. huberi* Thach, 2018 was synonymised with *P. rochebruni* (Mabille, 1887) in an earlier report (PÁLL-GERGELY & HUNYADI 2018).

According to the original description, *P. nicoi* Thach, 2018 differs from *P. crossei* by having “subsutural bands”, “short axial ribs below suture”, and an operculum with red-brown lines. The meaning of “subsutural bands” is unclear, because the illustrated specimens show no distinct bands below the suture. Short axial ribs below suture are visible on *P. crossei* specimens examined by KONGIM et al. (2013). The stripes on the operculum cannot be used to distinguish species, as no evidence is provided on the intra- and interspecific variability of this trait, and the latest revisions (KONGIM et al. 2013, MINTON et al. 2017) did not use operculum colour as an important trait for species recognition and delimitation. As a consequence, *P. nicoi* is assigned a junior synonym of *P. crossei*, which was synonymised with *P. rochebruni* by MINTON et al. (2017).

**Genus Pupinella** Baird, 1850

**Pupinella BAIRD 1850**: 33.

**Pupinella frednaggsi** Thach et Huber, 2017

Pupinella frednaggsi Thach et Huber in **THACH 2017a**: 19, figs 127, 129–130, INKHAVILAY et al. 2019: 46, figs 16c, 18h.

**Type locality.** “suburb of Luang Prabang, Central Laos”.

**Remarks.** This is a valid species. We examined a specimen from the following site: Laos, Luang Prabang Province, Ban Pak Ou, Nam Wu (opposite side of Ban Pak Ou), 364 m a.s.l., 20°03'29.0"N, 102°12'48.0"E (site code: 20061113B), leg. K. OHARA 13.11.2006.

**Genus Tortulosa** Gray, 1847

**Tortulosa** Gray 1847: 177.

**Tortulosa tortuosa** (Gray, 1825)

Figs 3–5

Pupa tortuosa Gray 1825: 413.

Tortulosa tortuosa – KOBELT 1902: 288.

Perlisia tweediei **TOMLIN 1948**: 225, plate 11, fig. 6.

Tortulosa tortuosa – **BENTHEM JUTTING 1960**: 11.

Tortulosa tortuosa – **MAASSEN 2001**: 44.

Tortulosa tortuosa – **HEMMEN & HEMMEN 2001**: 40, fig. 7.

Tortulosa tortuosa – EGOROV 2013: 14, fig. 23.

Tortulosa tortuosa – RAHEEM et al. 2014: 53.

Tortulosa huberi **THACH 2018a**: 21, figs 133–138. new synonym

**Tortulosa schileykoi** Thach et Huber in **THACH 2018a**: 22, figs 142–146. new synonym

**Types examined.** Kaki Bukit, Perlis, NHMUK 1948.10.2.6 (holotype of *Perlisia tweediei*, Fig. 3); Thailand: Krabi Province, MNHN-IM-2000-34055 (holotype of *Tortulosa schileykoi*, Fig. 4); Thailand: Krabi Province, MNHN-IM-2000-34054 (holotype of *Tortulosa huberi*, Fig. 5).

**Remarks.** *T. huberi* Thach, 2018 and *T. schileykoi* Thach et Huber, 2018 were compared with *T. tortuosa* and *P. tweediei* (a synonym of *T. tortuosa*, see: BENTHEM JUTTING 1960, MAASSEN 2001, EGOROV 2013) in the original description (THACH 2018a). All differences mentioned, such as shell colour and relative length of the free portion of the body whorl are better explained by intraspecific variation. Therefore, *T. huberi* and *T. schileykoi* are treated as junior synonyms of *T. tortuosa*.

*T. tortuosa* is distributed in Krabi Province (HEMMEN & HEMMEN 2001), Phuket Island, Thailand (unpublished information) and Kaki Bukit, Malaysia (BENTHEM JUTTING 1960). The type locality, Nicobar Islands, is erroneous (see: BENTHEM JUTTING 1960). The author of the species is BECK (1837) according to CHEMNITZ (1795 see: KOBELT 1899–1902, GRAY (1825) [fide RAHEEM et al. (2014)] and MAASSEN (2001). The former is surely not correct, because that name was not made available (BENTHEM JUTTING 1960, RAHEEM et al. 2014). Solving this seemingly complex nomenclatural problem is beyond the scope of the present paper.

**Genus Vargapupa** Páll-Gergely, 2015

Vargapupa Páll-Gergely in **PÁLL-GERGELY et al. 2015**: 42.

**Vargapupa oharai** Páll-Gergely, 2015

Vargapupa oharai Páll-Gergely in **PÁLL-GERGELY et al. 2015**: 42, fig. 8C.

Vargapupa huberi **THACH 2018a**: 22, figs 147–151, 153 (bottom), 154 (right), (**PÁLL-GERGELY & GREGO 2019**)

**Remarks.** *V. huberi* was synonymised with *V. oharai* Páll-Gergely, 2015 by **PÁLL-GERGELY & GREGO (2019)**.

**Superorder Eupulmonata**

**Order Stylommatophora**

**Suborder Achatinina**

**Family Achatinidae** Swainson, 1840

**Genus Prosopeas** Mörch, 1876

Bulimus (Prosopeas) MÖRCH 1876: 358.
Figs 3–5. Shells of *Tortulosa tortuosa* (Gray, 1825): 3 – holotype of *Perlisia tweediei* (NHMUK 1948.10.2.6); 4 – holotype of *Tortulosa schileykoi* (MNHN-IM-2000-34055); 5 – holotype of *Tortulosa huberi* (MNHN-IM-2000-34054). Scale bar 10 mm. Photos: H. Taylor (3) and M. Caballer (4–5)
Remarks. *Prosopeas* Mörch, 1876 was traditionally classified in the family Subulinidae Fischer et Crosse, 1877 (Fischer & Crosse 1872–1902). However, this family is not recognised by BoucHer et al. (2017) as a valid taxon (see: explanation therein).

*Prosopeas ancyei* Pilsbry, 1906

Figs 6–8

*Prosopeas ancyei* PILSBRY 1906–1907: 33 (nom. nov. pro *Prosopeas macilentum* Ancy, 1904 [described in Bavay & Dautzenberg 1904])

*Prosopeas huberi* Thach 2018a: 38, figs 497–501. new synonym

Types examined. Bac-Khan, Tonkin, leg. Messenger, MNHN-IM-2000-4693 (syntype of *Prosopeas macilentum*, Fig. 8).

Remarks. We cannot find notable differences between *P. ancyei* and *P. huberi* Thach, 2018 (Figs 6–7), so the latter is here considered a synonym of the former.

*Prosopeas excellens* Bavay et Dautzenberg, 1909

Figs 9–10

*Prosopeas excellens* Bavay & Dautzenberg 1909a: 247.

*Prosopeas excellens* – Bavay & Dautzenberg 1909b: 282, plate 10, figs 11–12.

*Obeliscus owengriffithsi* Thach et Huber in Thach 2017a: 30, figs 413–417. new synonym

Types examined. MNHN-IM-2000-33211, holotype of *Obeliscus owengriffithsi* Thach et Huber, 2017 (Fig. 9); MNHN-IM-2000-4661, syntype of *P. excellens* (Fig. 10).

Remarks. Thach & Huber (2017) assigned *O. owengriffithsi* to the Neotropical (see: Schileyko 1999) genus *Obeliscus* Beck, 1837 (type species: *Helix obeliscus* Moricand, 1834), and compared it with two other *Obeliscus* species in the original description. We do not find it likely that this species described from Vietnam could belong to that genus. Furthermore, Thach & Huber (2017) failed to compare *O. owengriffithsi* to any of the 23 Vietnamese achatinid species (Schileyko 2011, Do & Do 2014).

The holotype is indistinguishable from *P. excellens* Bavay et Dautzenberg, 1909 in shape (Bavay & Dautzenberg 1909b, Do & Do 2014, Inkhavilay et al. 2019), although larger. In the superfamily Achatinoidea, most species have undetermined growth so overall size and number of whorls are not critical for species discrimination. Therefore, we regard *O. owengriffithsi* as a junior synonym of *P. excellens*.

Figs 6–8. Shells of *Prosopeas ancyei* Pilsbry, 1906: 6 – holotype of *Prosopeas huberi* Thach, 2018; 7 – paratype of *Prosopeas huberi* Thach, 2018; 8 – syntype of *Prosopeas macilentum* Ancy, 1904 (MNHN-IM-2000-4693). Scale bar 10 mm.
Family Streptaxidae Gray, 1860

Genus *Discartemon* L. Pfeiffer, 1856

*Streptaxis* (*Discartemon*) L. PFEIFFER 1856: 173.

**Discartemon discus** (L. Pfeiffer, 1853)

*Streptaxis discus* L. PFEIFFER 1853: 252.

*Discartemon pallgergelyi* THACH 2017a: 31, figs 370–373.

*Discartemon discus* – BUI et al. 2019: 88, figs 1, 2A–B

*Discartemon discus* – INKHAVILAY et al. 2019: 146, fig. 59A

*Discartemon discus* – SUTCHARIT et al. (in press) (treats *pallgergelyi* as a junior synonym)

**Remarks.** According to the original description, *D. pallgergelyi* Thach, 2017 differs from *D. discus* (L. Pfeiffer, 1853) by the presence of a basal lamella. However, a slight thickening of the basal lip is present in the lectotype of *D. discus* (SMF 108534) as well (see: SIRIBOON et al. 2014b, INKHAVILAY et al. 2019). This character is variable and insufficient as a distinguishing character at the species level. Therefore, in agreement with SUTCHARIT et al. (in press), we treat *D. pallgergelyi* as a synonym of *D. discus*.

**Discartemon moolenbeeki** Maassen, 2016

*Discartemon moolenbeeki* MAASSEN 2016: 139, fig. 1.

*Discartemon szekeresi* Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 38, figs 507–510.

*Discartemon moolenbeeki* – SUTCHARIT et al. (in press) (treats *szekeresi* as a junior synonym)

**Remarks.** *D. szekeresi* Thach et Huber, 2018 is identical with *D. moolenbeeki* Maassen, 2016, therefore, the former is considered a synonym of the latter (see: SUTCHARIT et al. in press). Furthermore, their type localities are within a few kilometres of each other (*szekeresi*: Ao Luc, between Krabi and Phang Nga, Thailand; *moolenbeeki*: Krabi Province, Noppharat Thara Beach along the Andaman Sea, Thailand).

**Discartemon discamaximus** Siriboon et Panha, 2014

*Discartemon discamaximus* Siriboon et Panha in SIRIBOON et al. 2014a: 62, figs 5a, b.
**Discartemon huberi** Thach 2017a: 30, figs 365–368, 373 (bottom).

**Discartemon discamaximus** – Sutcharit et al. (in press) (treats *huberi* as a junior synonym).

**Remarks.** *D. huberi* Thach, 2017 has been assigned as a junior synonym of *D. discamaximus* (see: Sutcharit et al. in press).

**Genus Indoartemon** Forcart, 1946

*Oophana (Indoartemon)* FORCART 1946: 215.

**Indoartemon huberi** (Thach, 2016) new combination

Streptartemon huberi THACH 2016a: 60, figs 54, 263–265.

**Material examined.** 2019/9. Vietnam, Lâm Đồng Prov., Bảo Lộc NNW ca. 17 km, Thác Đa Mbri (800 m), 11°38'30.8"N, 107°44'30.8"E, leg. HUNYADI & OTANI, 04.02.2019, coll. HA.

**Remarks.** *Streptartemon* Kobelt, 1905 is a neotropical genus, inhabiting much of South America (Schileyko 2000), therefore this species is transferred to the morphologically similar *Indoartemon* Forcart, 1946 (see: Siriboon et al. 2014a, Inkhavilay et al. 2016).

**Genus Oophana** Ancey, 1884

*Streptaxis (Oophana)*ANCEY 1884: 399.

**Oophana bulbulus** (Morelet, 1862)

Ennea bulbulus MORELET 1862: 477.

*Oophana bulbulus* – Schileyko 2000: 796, fig. 1038.

**Indoartemon huberi** THACH 2018a: 39, figs 516–520.

**new synonym**

**Remarks.** The holotype of *I. huberi* Thach, 2018 is stated to differ from *O. bulbulus* (Morelet, 1862) by the absence of a blunt basal denticle. However, a slight thickening is visible behind the basal lip, and it would have developed further if the animal lived longer. Due to the identical shell shape we consider *I. huberi* a junior synonym of *O. bulbulus*.

By transferring *S. huberi* Thach, 2016 to *Indoartemon* (see above), *I. huberi* Thach, 2018 becomes a secondary homonym of *I. huberi* (Thach, 2016). However, since we consider *I. huberi* Thach, 2018 a junior synonym of *O. bulbulus* there is no need to provide a replacement name.

**Genus Perrottetia** Kobelt, 1905

*Odontartemon* (Perrottetia) KOBELT 1905 (1905–1906): 108.

**Perrottetia thachi** Huber, 2018

Perrottetia thachi Huber in THACH 2018a: 40, figs 528–531.

**Remarks.** This species is very similar to *Oophana pachyglottis* (Möllendorff, 1900) (described in Möllendorff 1900a, see: photo in Zilch 1961), but we did not compare the two in detail. The validity of *P. thachi* Huber, 2018 needs further revision.

**Perrottetia gregoi** Thach, 2018

Perrottetia gregoi THACH 2018a: 39, figs 534–536.

**Remarks.** This species is very similar to *Oophana diplodon* (Möllendorff, 1900) (described in Möllendorff 1900a, see: photo in Zilch 1961), but we did not have the opportunity to compare the two species in detail. Thus, the validity of *P. gregoi* requires further revision.

**Suborder Helicina**

**Infraorder Pupilloidei**

**Family Enidae**

**Genus Apoeacus** Möllendorff, 1902

*Bulimus* (Apoeeacus) Möllendorff in Kobelt 1902 (1899–1902): 887, 1022, 1030.

**Apoeeacus huberi** (Thach, 2018) new combination

*Microstele huberi* THACH 2018a: 37, figs 477–482.

**Remarks.** Although *M. huberi* Thach, 2018 is clearly a member of the family Enidae, no revision of Southeast Asian enids has been published making the generic assignment of the species challenging. The genus Microstele consists of small species with apertural denticles, whereas “*M. huberi*” has no apertural barriers. We provisionally place this species in Apoeacus Möllendorff, 1902, which includes species with similar shell morphology (Köhler et al. 2016).

**Apoeeacus macrostoma** (Bavay et Dautzenberg, 1912)

*Bulimus macrostoma* BAVAY & DAUTZENBERG 1912: 25, 26, plate 4, figs 11–13.

*Mirus huberi* THACH 2018a: 37, 485–488. **new synonym**

Apoeeacus macrostoma – Inkhavilay et al. 2019: 58, fig. 25F.

**Remarks.** *M. huberi* Thach, 2018 is identical in shell morphology to A. macrostoma Bavay et Dautzenberg, 1912, so we have no reservation in treating the former as a synonym of the latter. The type specimen of A. macrostoma figured in the original description has fine, vertical, brown stripes on its shell. The shell photographed by Inkhavilay et al. (2019) also has these stripes, but they are very pale, probably because it is a dead-collected specimen. The holotype of *M. huberi* lacks any radial stripes due to its weathered condition.
Infraorder Limacoidei

Family Ariophantidae Godwin-Austen, 1883

Ariophantinae Godwin-Austen 1883 (1882–1920): 79.

Genus Hemiplecta Albers, 1850

Hemiplecta ALBERS 1850: 60.

Hemiplecta khamducensis (Thach et Huber, 2018) new combination
Camaena khamducensis Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 67, figs 886–888.

Remarks. C. khamducensis Thach et Huber, 2018 is clearly a member of the Ariophantidae, and not a camaenid. Although the assignment of the species to the genus Hemiplecta is provisional, it is very similar in shell morphology to better-known members of Hemiplecta (see: photos of Hemiplecta species in INKHAVILAY et al. 2019). Its validity will be determined upon a systematic revision.

Hemiplecta lanxangnica Inkhavilay et Panha, 2019

Helminthoglypta huberi THACH 2017a: 54, figs 747–749.

Hemiplecta lanxangnica Inkhavilay et Panha in INKHAVILAY et al. 2019 [nomen novum pro Helminthoglypta huberi THACH 2017: 54, non Hemiplecta huberi Thach, 2017: 33].

Remarks. INKHAVILAY et al. (2019) transferred H. huberi Thach, 2017 (in THACH 2017a: 54) from Helminthoglypta Ancey, 1887 to the genus Hemiplecta thus creating a secondary homonym of H. huberi Thach, 2017 (in THACH 2017a: 33). The replacement name, H. lanxangnica Inkhavilay et Panha, 2019 has been proposed.

Hemiplecta pluto (L. Pfeiffer, 1863)

Helix pluto L. PFEIFFER 1863[1862]: 268.

Hemiplecta huberi THACH 2017a: 33, figs 389–391.

new synonym
Hemiplecta pluto – INKHAVILAY et al. 2019: 78, figs 36E–F, 56D

Remarks. In the original description, H. huberi Thach, 2017 was compared with photos of a single specimen of H. pluto, which were downloaded from a shell dealer’s website. However, H. pluto is very variable in terms of basic shell morphology (INKHAVILAY et al. 2019), the species inhabits an extensive area in Southeast Asia, and the differences between the two taxa mentioned by THACH (2017a) (weaker keel and stronger sculpture of H. huberi) do not seem correct based on the presented photos. Therefore, we assign H. huberi as a junior synonym of H. pluto.

The use of “Hemiplecta huberi” in the figure captions (THACH 2017a: 97) is an incorrect original spelling.

Genus Kalidos Gude, 1911

Kalidos Gude 1911: 273.

Kalidos chastellii (Deshayes in Férussac, 1832)

Helix chastellii G. P. Deshayes in D. DE FÉRUSSAC 1832: 106, plate 80, fig. 4.

Kalidos chastellii – FISCHER-PETTE et al. 1994: 230, plate 33, figs 10–12.

Kalidos huberi Thach, 2018 in THACH 2018a: 43. new synonym

Remarks. Kalidos huberi Thach, 2018 is a synonym of Kalidos chastellii (Deshayes in Férussac, 1832), which is one of Madagascar’s first described snail. It occurs around Tulear/St Augustin which was already a port of call for Europeans since the 1600s. This snail is still abundant there today (OWEN GRIFFITHS pers. comm., December 2019). This taxonomic decision is based on the FISCHER-PETTE et al. (1994), and not the holotype of Helix chastellii, which seems lost.

Genus Megaustenia Cockerell, 1912

Megaustenia COCKERELL 1912: 70.

Megaustenia huberi (Thach, 2016) new combination

Mysticarion huberi THACH 2016a: 61, figs 56, 273–275.

Remarks. Mysticarion Iredale, 1941 is an Australian endemic genus (SCHILEYKO 2002, HYMAN et al. 2017) and its use by THACH (2016a) is puzzling. We transfer this species to the genus Megaustenia, where it most likely belongs based on shell morphology and locality. The validity of the taxon can be determined by systematic revision.

Megaustenia annhiae (Thach et Huber, 2017) new combination

Helixarion annhiae Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 34, figs 405–407 (erroneous mention as figs 495–497: 34).

Remarks. The genus Helixarion (Helixarion) is an incorrect original spelling) contains small (at most 22 mm in diameter) semislugs (SCHILEYKO 2002). We transfer this species to the genus Megaustenia, where it most probably belongs based on shell morphology and locality. The validity of the taxon can be determined by systematic revision.

Family Dyakiidae Gude et Woodward, 1921

Genus Asperitas Gude, 1911

Asperitas Gude 1911: 273.

Asperitas abbasi (Thach, 2016) new combination

Camaena abbasi THACH 2016d: 109, figs 1–8.

Remarks. The thin peristome and shell colour clearly indicates that C. abbasi Thach, 2016 is not a cama-
enid, but a dyakiid/ariophantid species. We transfer the taxon herein to *Asperitas*, a genus widespread in the Indonesian area. Anatomical examination may refine this assignment.

**Genus Dyakia Godwin-Austen, 1891**

*Dyakia GODWIN-AUSTEN 1891*: 29.

**Dyakia maarseveeni** (Bock, 1881)

*Helix* (*Nanina*) *maarseveeni* *BOCK 1881*: 629, plate 55, fig. 2.

**Dyakia maarseveeni** – LAIDLAW 1963: 142, fig. 14.

**Ariophanta abbasi** *THACH 2018a*: 41, 543–545. **new synonym**

**Remarks.** The holotype of *A. abbasi* Thach, 2018 (type locality: eastern side of Mount Singgalang, Sumatra) is a juvenile, sinistral shell, which is better assigned to the genus *Dyakia* Godwin-Austen, 1891. For unknown reasons this species was only compared with *A. interrupta* (Benson, 1834), originally described from “Sicrigali” (=Sakrigali, northeastern India). BENTHEM JUTTING (1959) lists 10 *Dyakia* species from Sumatra. The most widespread Sumatran *Dyakia* species (*D. mackensiana* (Souleyet, 1841)) has a more elevated spire than that of “*A. abbasi*” (see: SUTCHARIT et al. 2012). However, *D. maarseveeni* (Bock, 1881), which was described from practically the same area, largely matches *A. abbasi*. Therefore we consider THACH’S (2018a) species as a junior synonym of *D. maarseveeni*.

**Family Trochomorphidae Möllendorff, 1890**

**Genus Helix (Trochomorpha) Albers, 1850**

*Helix (Trochomorpha) ALBERS 1850*: 116.

**Trochomorpha benigna** (L. Pfeiffer, 1863)

*Helix benigna* *L. PFEIFFER 1863*: 269, plate 36, figs 11, 12. *Trochomorpha vinhensis* *THACH 2018a*: 45, figs 598–600. **new synonym**

**Trochomorpha benigna** – INKHAVILAY et al. 2019: 72, fig. 32F.

**Remarks.** *T. vinhensis* Thach, 2018 is identical to *H. benigna* L. Pfeiffer, 1863 in terms of shell shape, colour, and sculpture. Even the type localities (*T. vinhensis*: Vinh city, Nghệ An Province, northern Vietnam; *T. benigna*: Lao Mountains, Cambodia = environs of Luang Prabang) are relatively near to each other. Therefore, we assign *T. vinhensis* Thach, 2018 as a junior synonym of *T. benigna* (L. Pfeiffer, 1863).

**Family Clausiliidae Gray, 1855**

**Genus Oospira Blanford, 1872**

*Clausilia* (*Oospira*) *BLanford 1872*: 205.

**Oospira bolovenica** (Möllendorff, 1898)

*Oospira franzhuberi* Szekeres et Thach in *THACH 2018a*: 36, figs 469–470. **new synonym**

**Remarks.** *O. franzhuberi* Szekeres et Thach, 2018 fits within the morphological variability of *O. bolovenica*, and is considered a synonym (M. SZEKERES pers. comm., June 2019).

**Oospira naggsi callosa** Páll-Gergely, nom. nov.

**Hemiphaedusa huberi** *THACH 2016a*: 58, figs 59, 295–297.

**Types examined.** Vietnam, Dong Nai Province, eastern part of the Cat Tien National Park near Bau Sau (Crocodile Lake, 11°27′39″N, 107°20′24″E), 140 m a.s.l., leg. Natural History Museum Expedition 14.02.2012 (NHMUK 20140657, holotype of *O. naggsi*); RMNH 5004199 (holotype of *Hemiphaedusa huberi*, Fig. 11).

**Material examined.** 2019/9. Lâm Đồng Province, Bảo Lộc NNW ca. 17 km, Thác Đà Mbr (800 m), 11°38′30.8″N, 107°44′30.8″E, leg. HUNYADI & OTANI, 04.02.2019, coll. HA.

**Remarks.** “*Hemiphaedusa huberi*” is very similar to *Oospira naggsi* Luong et Szekeres, 2014 (described in GREGO et al. 2014), but it is more corpulent than *O. naggsi*, and its plicae are fused to form a lunella-like callus. Based on these minor differences we treat *H. huberi* as a subspecies of *O. naggsi*. Thus, *H. huberi* Thach, 2016 (Fig. 12, *THACH 2016a*: 58) belongs to the genus *Oospira*, and becomes a secondary homonym with *O. huberi* Thach, 2016 (*THACH 2016a*: 57). Therefore, we propose *callosa* as a replacement name for *H. huberi*, referring to its lunella-like thickened callus (M. SZEKERES pers. comm., January 2020).

**Genus Liparophaedusa Lindholm, 1924**

*Phaedusa* (*Liparophaedusa*) *LINDHOLM 1924*: 62, 71.

**Liparophaedusa szekeresi** Thach, 2017

*Liparophaedusa szekeresi* *THACH 2017a*: 29, figs 335–345.

**Remarks.** Considered a valid taxon based on examination of type material (M. SZEKERES pers. comm., June 2019).

**Genus Grandinenia Minato et Chen, 1984**

*Grandinenia MINATO & CHEN 1984*: 301.

**Grandinenia rugifera** (Möllendorff, 1898)

*Clausilia* (*Garnieria*) *rugifera* *MÖLLENDORFF 1898*: 76.

*Neniauchenia rugifera* – INKHAVILAY et al. 2019: 64, fig. 28E.

**Neniauchenia huberi** *THACH 2016a*: 58, figs 57, 299–301. **new synonym**

**Types examined.** RMNH 5004200 (holotype of *Neniauchenia huberi*, Fig. 13).

**Remarks.** *N. huberi* Thach, 2016 is a synonym of *G. rugifera*, which is known from the Boloven plateau, approximately 100 km west-southwest. This species is easily recognisable based on the wavy neck.
Figs 11–13. Shells of Clausiliidae described by Thach: 11 – *Oospira naggsi callosa* Páll-Gergely, nom. nov. (holotype of *Hemiphaedusa huberi* Thach, 2016), H: 29.2 mm; 12 – *Castanophaedusa huberi* (Szekeres et Thach, 2017) (holotype of *Oospira huberi* Thach, 2016), H: 19.7 mm; 13 – *Grandinenia rugifera* (Möllendorff, 1898) (holotype of *Neniauchenia huberi* Thach, 2016), H: 26.5 mm. All photos: B. PÁLL-GERGELY.
sculpture. The taxon Neniauchena H. Nordsieck, 2002 is now considered a junior synonym of the genus Grandinienia (see: Grego et al. 2014).

**Genus Castanophaedusa** Páll-Gergely et Szekeres, 2017

*Castanophaedusa* PÁLL-GERGELY & SZEKERES 2017: 517.

**Castanophaedusa huberi** (Thach, 2016)  
*Oospira huberi* Thach 2016a: 57, figs 58, 283–286.  
*Castanophaedusa huberi* – PÁLL-GERGELY & SZEKERES 2017: 517.

**Type examined.** RMNH 5004194 (holotype of *Oospira huberi*, Thach & Huber in Khavilay et al. 2017). It is high solem in Khavilay and peninsular, and alternates with soil. The colour pattern is described in detail in the original description (Scheppeman et al. 2017). Thach and co-authors that we believe identical with *Oospira huberi*.

**Remarks.** A valid species belonging to the genus *Castanophaedusa* (see: PÁLL-GERGELY & SZEKERES 2017).

**Genus Messageriella** Páll-Gergely et Szekeres, 2017

*Messageriella* – PÁLL-GERGELY & SZEKERES 2017: 518.

**Messageriella gregoi** (Szekeres et Thach, 2017)  
? *Oospira gregoi* Szekeres et Thach in Thach 2017a: 29, figs 350–354.  
*Messageriella gregoi* – Thach 2018a: 36, fig. 468.

**Remarks.** A valid species, but, based on the lamellae structure and the deeply situated ventral plicae, it is better assigned to the genus *Messageriella*. The paper of PÁLL-GERGELY & SZEKERES (2017), in which *Messageriella* was described, was in press while Thach (2017a) prepared his book. Thus, to avoid nomenclatural problems, this species was tentatively introduced as *Oospira*.

**Infraorder Helicoidei**

**Family Camaenidae** Pilsbry, 1893

**Genus Amphidromus** Albers, 1850

*Bulimus* (Amphidromus) ALBERS 1850: 138.

**Remarks.** MolluscaBase (2019) lists 187 species of *Amphidromus*, 92 species described by Thach and Huber. Only 95 species have been described by all other authors (MolluscaBase 2019). It is highly unlikely that doubling the number of species in the genus is warranted. Many *Amphidromus* species have highly variable colour forms, and in some cases, minor aspects of shell morphology are also variable. This has been noted by multiple, competent malacologists over the last two centuries. With the availability of many more specimens due to increased collecting activity over the last few decades, recent studies have clearly demonstrated that this variability exists and many *Amphidromus* species are some of the most variable of all land snails (Sutcharit & Panha 2006, Inkhavilay et al. 2017).

The *Amphidromus* of West Timor are extremely variable in shell colour and pattern. This variability was extensively studied by Haniel (1921) and was even mentioned in the original description (Schepman 1892) of A. reflexilabris. Yet, Thach (2017a, d, e, f, 2018a) and Thach & Abbas (2017a, b) described nine new species from the island, all of which we synonymise with *A. reflexilabris* below.

Additionally, Solem (1965) studied 500 shells (91 samples), and reported that 9 valid taxa inhabit Thailand. Thach and his colleagues describe three new species from Thailand while only examining 18 specimens (3 samples).

Here we synonymise the *Amphidromus* species of Thach and co-authors that we believe identical with previously described taxa, or whose shell morphologies and colour patterns fall well within the known variability of those species.

**Amphidromus areolatus** (L. Pfeiffer, 1861)  
*Bulimus areolatus* L. Pfeiffer, 1861: 194.

**Amphidromus (Syndromus) areolatus** – Inkhavilay et al. 2017: 28, fig. 10C

**Amphidromus ferednaggsi** Thach & Huber in Thach 2018a: 52, figs 655–660. **new synonym**

**Amphidromus pallgergelyi** Thach & Huber in Thach 2018a: 58, figs 731–737. **new synonym**

**Amphidromus patamakanthini** Thach & Huber in Thach 2018a: 59, figs 779–785. **new synonym**

**Amphidromus gerberi** Thach et Huber in Thach 2017a: 39, figs 649–652, 654–655. **new synonym**

**Remarks.** A. (S.) areolatus is distributed in southern Laos (Inkhavilay et al. 2017) and peninsular and southeast Thailand (Solem 1965). The colour pattern of *A. patamakanthini* Thach et Huber, 2018 is well within the colour variations presented by Inkhavilay et al. (2017) and we consider it a junior synonym of *A. areolatus*. *A. pallgergelyi* Thach et Huber, 2018 has strongly reduced colouration, but agrees in shape and size with *A. patamakanthini*. *A. ferednaggsi* Thach et Huber, 2018, described on the basis of juvenile shells, forms a continuum between the richly ornamented *A. patamakanthini* and the pale *A. pallgergelyi*. Therefore, all three species, described from southern Thailand, are considered conspecific and synonyms of the widely distributed *A. areolatus*.

*Amphidromus asper* Haas, 1934

Figs 14–16

**Amphidromus (Goniadromus) asper** HAAS 1934: 96, figs 11–12.
Figs 14–16. Shells of *Amphidromus asper* Haas, 1934 (14–15) and *Amphidromus buelowi* Fruhstorfer 1905 (16): 14 – holotype of *Amphidromus franzhuberi* (MNHN-IM-2000-31892); 15 – holotype of *Amphidromus asper* (SMF 7762); 16 – paralectotype of *Amphidromus buelowi* (FMNH 72436). Scale bar 10 mm
Amphidromus franzhuberi Thach 2016a: 64, figs 42, 315–319. new synonym

Types examined. Vietnam, Nha Trang, along the border of Nha Trang outskirts and Khanh Vinh District, leg. N. N. Thach, MNHN-IM-2000-31892 (holotype of franzhuberi, Fig. 14); Süd-Annam, 120 km von der Küste, auf dem Wege zum Plateau von Lang-Bian, zw. 600–1,000 m a.s.l., SMF 7762 (holotype of asper Fig. 15); NHMUK 1910.12.30.98 (lectotype of buelowi); “CNHM 72436” = FMNH 72436 (paralectotype of buelowi, Fig. 16).

Remarks. Thach (2016a) compared A. franzhuberi Thach, 2016 only with A. buelowi Fruhstorfer, 1905, which is indeed a different species. However, the holotype of A. franzhuberi is identical to that of A. asper (although the latter shell is weathered). Therefore, A. franzhuberi is a junior synonym of A. asper. Thach (2017a: 37) later stated that A. asper (referring to it as “the new species”) and A. franzhuberi are similar, but noted that the anterior extremity of the aperture is rounded in A. asper and pointed in A. franzhuberi, and that A. asper lacks a canal. This is correct for the figured specimen of A. asper (Thach 2017a: figs 432–433) (although we find this insufficient to distinguish species), but inaccurate when compared with the holotype of A. asper.

Amphidromus baolocensis Thach et Huber, 2016

Amphidromus baolocensis Thach et Huber in Thach 2016a: 62, figs 34, 302–304.
Amphidromus dambriensis Thach et Huber in Thach 2016a: 63, figs 36, 308–310. new synonym
Amphidromus ngocanhi Thach 2017a: 43, figs 565–569, 572. new synonym

Remarks. Amphidromus baolocensis and A. dambriensis Thach et Huber, 2016 are nearly identical, and are described in the same publication (Thach 2016a). We consider A. baolocensis a valid species with page priority in respect to A. dambriensis, which we assign as a synonym. A. ngocanhi, 2017 agrees with the other two forms in terms of the slender shell, green colour, and yellow vertical stripes. We herein also consider this taxon a synonym of A. baolocensis.

Amphidromus cambojiensis (Reeve, 1860)

Bulimus cambojiensis Reeve 1860: 204.
Amphidromus cambojiensis – Sutcharit et al. 2015: 62, figs 4F–G.
Amphidromus lamdongensis Thach et Huber in Thach 2016a: 67, figs 32, 339–342. new synonym
Amphidromus schileykoi Thach 2016a: 68, figs 39, 381–383. new synonym
Amphidromus montesdeocai Thach et Huber in Thach 2017a: 43, figs 454–458. new synonym

Remarks. A. schileykoi Thach, 2016 and A. montesdeocai Thach et Huber, 2017 differ from typical specimens of A. cambojiensis mostly in shell colouration. Namely, A. montesdeocai is pale with greenish colouration on the body whorl, whereas A. schileykoi possesses a pinkish last whorl, and typical A. cambojiensis has faint, brownish radial stripes on the teleoconch. However, the very similar shell and aperture shape, the pink aperture, and the overall simple colour pattern suggest that they all belong to the same species. Therefore, we treat them as junior synonyms of A. cambojiensis. A. cambojiensis was originally described from Cambodia, whereas the other two forms are known from neighbouring southern Vietnam. The shell and aperture shape, the colour of the aperture and the shell colouration of A. lamdongensis agrees with that of A. cambojiensis, therefore we consider that species also as a synonym of A. cambojiensis.

Amphidromus comes (L. Pfeiffer, 1861)

Bulimus comes L. Pfeiffer 1861: 193.
Cochlostyla polymorpha Tapparone-canefri 1874: 82, plate 2, figs 4a–b.
Amphidromus comes – PILSBRY 1900a: 170, plate 57, figs 1–5.
Amphidromus comes subsp. polymorphus – PILSBRY 1900a: 171, plate 57, figs 6–10.
Amphidromus hueae Thach et Huber in Thach 2016a: 66, figs 38, 331–334. new synonym
Amphidromus ngocngai Thach 2017a: 44, figs 446–449. new synonym
Amphidromus vietnamensis Thach et Huber in Thach 2017a: 48, figs 630–635. new synonym
Amphidromus dongnaiensis Thach 2018a: 51, 789–793. new synonym
Amphidromus atricallosus vovanae Thach 2019a: 84 new synonym

Remarks. A. hueae Thach et Huber, 2016, A. ngocngai Thach, 2017, A. vietnamensis Thach et Huber, 2017, A. dongnaiensis Thach, 2018, A. atricallosus vovanae Thach, 2019 fit well into the colour variation exhibited by the widespread A. comes polymorphus. However, even that taxon was considered to be a synonym of A. comes (“colour phase”) (Laidlaw & Solem 1961: 651, Maassen 2001). Therefore, we treat all mentioned taxa as synonyms of A. comes. Further fieldwork and analysis is required.

Amphidromus cruentatus (Morelet, 1875)

Bulimus cruentatus MORELET 1875: 264, 265, plate 13, fig. 5.
Amphidromus cruentatus – Sutcharit et al. 2015: 67, fig. 6F.
Amphidromus daoae Thach 2016a: 63, figs 29, 384–388. new synonym
Amphidromus daoae robertabbasi Thach 2017f: 36, figs 16–18. new synonym
Amphidromus daoae robertabbasi – Thach 2018a: figs 651–652.
Remarks. The differences between *A. daoeae* Thach 2016 and *A. daoeae robertabussi* Thach 2017 are very minor and the two forms are nearly identical. They are similar to the holotype of *A. cruentatus* in their shell shape, reddish brown aperture, and yellow sutureal band (SUTCHAR et al. 2015). We see no reason to maintain *A. daoeae* as a separate species, and consider it a synonym of *A. cruentatus*.

**Amphidromus eudeli** Ancey, 1897
*Amphidromus eudeli* ANCEY 1897: 63.
*Amphidromus eudeli* – INKHAVILAY et al. 2017: fig. 13N.
*Amphidromus yanghayensis* Thach et Huber in THACH 2016a: 70, figs 33, 305–307. new synonym
*Amphidromus yenlinhiae* Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 49, figs 594–600. new synonym

**Remarks.** *A. yanghayensis* Thach et Huber, 2016 is based on a juvenile specimen of *A. eudeli* (see: INKHAVILAY et al. 2017). *A. yenlinhiae* Thach et Huber, 2017 is also similar to the syntype of *A. eudeli* in the brown-yellow radial stripes and the brown-yellow-brown band on the base of the shell. Therefore, both are considered here as synonyms of *A. eudeli*. SCHLEYKO (2011) considers *A. eudeli* a synonym of *Syndromus zebrinus* (L. Pfeiffer, 1861), but INKHAVILAY et al. (2017) regards them as separate species, which we follow here.

**Amphidromus flavus** (L. Pfeiffer, 1861)
*Bulimus flavus* L. PFEIFFER 1861: 194.
*Amphidromus* (Syndromus) *flavus* – INKHAVILAY et al. 2017: 24, figs 1, 9B, 10E–K, 11C–D, 12A–C.
*Amphidromus truongkhoai* THACH 2018a: 64, figs 855–862. new synonym

**Remarks.** *A. truongkhoai* Thach, 2018 is identical with the form of *A. flavus* (var. *tryoni* PILSBRY, 1900 [in PILSBRY 1900a], see: INKHAVILAY et al. 2017: figs 101–K), therefore we consider it as a synonym of *A. flavus*.

**Amphidromus (Syndromus) fuscolabris** Möllendorff, 1898
*Amphidromus zebrinus fuscolabris* MÖLLENDORFF 1898: 75.
*Amphidromus fuscolabris* – INKHAVILAY et al. 2017: 32, figs 1, 9E–F, 12G–I, 13J–M, 14C–D.
*Amphidromus anhdaoorum* THACH 2017a: 36, figs 601–608. new synonym
*Amphidromus goldbergi* Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 53, figs 678–683. new synonym
*Amphidromus pengzhuoani* THACH 2018b: 34, figs 11–13. new synonym
*Amphidromus stungtrenensis* Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 63, figs 828–832. new synonym
*Amphidromus thakhekensis* Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 48, figs 553–556 (synonymised with *A. fuscolabris* by INKHAVILAY et al. 2019).

**Remarks.** The shell shapes and colour patterns of *A. anhdaoorum* Thach, 2017, *A. goldbergi* Thach et Huber, 2018, *A. pengzhuoani* Thach, 2018 and *A. stungtrenensis* Thach et Huber, 2018 fall well within the known intraspecific variation of typical *A. fuscolabris* (see: INKHAVILAY et al. 2017: figs 131–K therein). Therefore, we consider all as synonyms of *A. fuscolabris*. *A. thakhekensis* Thach et Huber, 2017 is identical to the bandless form of *A. fuscolabris* and is already deemed a synonym (see: INKHAVILAY et al. 2017: fig. 13L).

**Amphidromus givenchyi** Geret, 1912
*Amphidromus givenchyi* GERET 1912: 55, 56, plate 2, figs 21, 22.
*Amphidromus givenchyi* – LAIDLAW & SOLEM 1961: 526, 621.
*Amphidromus richgoldbergi* Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 45, figs 505–508.
*Amphidromus givenchyi* – INKHAVILAY et al. 2019: 90, figs 43D–E (treated *A. richgoldbergi* as a junior synonym of *A. givenchyi*).
*Amphidromus severnsi* THACH 2017a: 46, figs 585–591. new synonym

**Remarks.** INKHAVILAY et al. (2019) considered *A. richgoldbergi* simply as a form of *A. givenchyi*. We consider the same is true for *A. severnsi* Thach, 2017 due to the broad shell, green or yellow background colour and lighter subsutural band and regard that taxon as a synonym of *A. givenchyi*. *A. givenchyi* may be a synonym of *A. roselabiatius* due to the overall similar shell shape and colouration.

**Amphidromus haematostoma** Möllendorff, 1898
*Amphidromus haematostoma* MÖLLENDORFF 1898: 74–75.
*Amphidromus haematostoma* – ZILCH 1953: 132, plate 22, figs 4–5.
*Amphidromus* (Syndromus) *haematostoma* – INKHAVILAY et al. 2017: 34, figs 1, 13O–R.
*Amphidromus attapeuensis* Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 37, figs 573–578.
*Amphidromus haematostoma* – INKHAVILAY et al. 2019: fig. 44A–C (*Amphidromus attapeuensis* is considered as a junior synonym)

**Remarks.** LAIDLAW & SOLEM (1961) suggested that *A. haematostoma* was a junior synonym of *A. roselabiatius* Fulton, 1896, however, based on the type and recently collected material, INKHAVILAY et al. (2017) maintained both species as valid taxa. *A. attapeuensis* Thach et Huber, 2017 is clearly identical with *A. haematostoma*, and is regarded as a synonym by INKHAVILAY et al. (2019).

**Amphidromus huberi** Thach, 2014
*Amphidromus huberi* THACH 2014: 39, figs 1–8.
Amphidromus huberi – THAC 2016a: 66, figs 358–361.  
Amphidromus ledaoae anhi THAC 2018a: 56, figs 845–849.  
Amphidromus ledaoae anhi – PÁLL-GERELY 2019: 75.  
Remarks. We consider A. huberi Thach, 2014 a valid species. A. ledaoae anhi Thach, 2018 is nearly identical to A. huberi and we herein synonymize the former with A. huberi.

Amphidromus ingens Möllendorff, 1900  
Amphidromus ingens MöLLENDOFFER 1900b: 23.  
Amphidromus ingens – ZILCH 1953: 135, plate 23, fig. 25.  
Amphidromus naggsi THAC & HUBER 2014: 35, figs 1–13, 15.  
new synonym  
Remarks. A. naggsi Thach et Huber, 2014 is very similar to the lectotype of A. ingens (see: ZILCH 1953). According to the original description of A. naggsi, it differs from A. ingens by the wrinkled shell surface and the presence of 2–3 broad spiral channels on the body whorl instead of a single spiral channel, the latter being incorrect. However, both possess two elevated spiral ridges on the body whorl, although it is a bit more marked in A. naggsi. The difference in the shell surface also does not seem to be supported by the presented photographs. For example, the shell of A. naggsi (THAC & HUBER 2014: fig. 5) appears smoother than the one presented as A. ingens (THAC & HUBER 2014: fig. 14). Since shell sculpture appears to be variable, we consider this character insufficient to differentiate species. Therefore, we allocate A. naggsi as a junior synonym of A. ingens.

Amphidromus mirandus Bavay et Dautzenberg, 1912  
Amphidromus mirandus BAVAY & DAUTZENBERG 1912: 17–18, plate II, figs 23–24.  
Amphidromus heinrichhuberi Thach et Huber in THAC 2016a: 65, figs 30, 321–324.  
new synonym  
Material examined. Lang-Biang, Annam, MNHN IM-2000-2046 (lectotype of mirandus); South Vietnam, Lâm Đông, Bao Lộc city, Đoan Két commune NHMUK 20160298 (holotype of heinrichhuberi).  
Remarks. In the original description A. heinrichhuberi Thach et Huber, 2016 was not compared with any other Amphidromus species. According to the authors, the taxon is unique in terms of its large size, pointed “anterior end of outer lip” and the presence of a “prominent ridge around siphonal fascicule”. The shell shape and colour clearly agrees with that of A. mirandus Bavay et Dautzenberg, 1912, described from a subadult specimen. The shells of A. heinrichhuberi are indeed very large (58.3–63.5 mm), whereas the lectotype of A. mirandus is 48.5 mm. However, this size difference is insufficient for species distinction. Therefore, A. heinrichhuberi is a junior synonym of A. mirandus.

The type localities of both species are situated within the same province (Lâm Đồng), approximately 110 km from each other.

Amphidromus mouhoti (L. PFEIFFER, 1861)  
Bulimus mouhoti L. PFEIFFER 1861: 194.  
Amphidromus setzeri THAC 2015a: 56, figs 1–7.  
new synonym  
Amphidromus renkeri THAC 2018b: 33, plate 1, figs 1–3.  
new synonym  
Amphidromus mouhoti – SUTCHARIT et al. 2015: 82, fig. 11E.  
Material examined. 2019/10. Lâm Đồng Province, Bao Lộc, College of Economics & Technology, park (850 m), 11°32'39.2"N, 107°48'05.0"E, leg. HUNYADI & OTANI, 04.02.2019, coll. HA.  
Remarks. A. setzeri Thach, 2015 and A. renkeri Thach, 2018 agree with A. mouhoti (see: SUTCHARIT et al. 2015) in shell shape, the greenish-yellowish, finely striped shell, the slight band below the suture, and the light pinkish columella. Therefore the two former species are here considered as synonyms of A. mouhoti. All species are known from southern Vietnam.

Amphidromus palaceus (MOUSSON, 1849)  
Bulimus palaceus – MOUSSON 1849a: 266 (nomen nudum)  
Bulimus palaceus MOUSSON 1849b: 28, 108, plate 3, fig. 1.  
Amphidromus palaceus – LAIDLAW & SOLEM 1961: 557, fig. 22.  
Amphidromus andytaini Thach et Abbas in THAC 2017a: 36, figs 460–461, 463–467.  
new synonym  
Remarks. The large, thick walled, yellow shell is characteristic of A. palaceus, which is also known from Java, so we consider A. andytaini Thach et Abbas, 2017 a junior synonym.

Amphidromus (Syndromus) reflexilabris Schepman, 1892  
Amphidromus reflexilabris SCHEPMAN 1892: 152–153.  
Amphidromus (Syndromus) reflexilabris – LAIDLAW & SOLEM 1961: 570, 653, fig. 26.  
Amphidromus beschaueri THAC 2018a: 47, figs 622–627.  
new synonym  
Amphidromus calvinabbasi THAC 2017d: 41, figs 1–8.  
new synonym  
Amphidromus (Amphidromus) chrisabbasi THAC 2017e: 206, figs 1–8.  
new synonym  
Amphidromus juniorabbasi THAC 2018a: 55, figs 699–704.  
new synonym  
Amphidromus lucegersi THAC & ABBAS 2017a: 28, figs 1–10.  
new synonym  
Amphidromus marieabbasae THAC 2017a: 42, figs 435–441.  
new synonym  
Amphidromus pamabbasae THAC 2017f: 34, figs 1–4.  
new synonym
Amphidromus petuchi Thach 2018a: 60, figs 759–765. new synonym
Amphidromus stevehubrechti Thach & Abbas 2017b: 119, figs 1–10. new synonym

Remarks. SCHEPMAN (1892) mentioned in the original description of A. reflexilabris, that “this species varies very much in size and colour, no two specimens being alike...”. HANIEL (1921) extensively studied the radula, genital and shell variability of Amphidromus from West Timor. Apparently the Amphidromus taxa of West Timor are among the most variable of land snails in terms of shell morphology and colour. The synonymised nine Amphidromus taxa described by Thach and by Thach & Abbas can be easily placed in the morphological continuum presented by HANIEL (1921) as follows: A. beschaueri Thach, 2018 (HANIEL 1921: fig. 17), A. calvinabbasi Thach, 2017 (HANIEL 1921: table 1, figs 1–4 in the lower row), A. chrisabbassi Thach, 2017 (HANIEL 1921: plate 2, figs 15–16), A. juniorabbasi Thach, 2018 (HANIEL 1921: plate 1, figs 3–4 in the upper row), A. lucegersi Thach et Abbas, 2017 (HANIEL 1921: plate 2, figs 22–28), A. marieabbasae Thach, 2017 (HANIEL 1921: plate 3, figs 1–7, plate 5, figs 10–13), A. pammabbasae Thach, 2017 (HANIEL 1921: plate 1, figs 1–4 in the lower row), A. petuchi Thach, 2018 (HANIEL 1921: plate 1, figs 1–4 in the lower row), A. stevehubrechti Thach et Abbas, 2017 (HANIEL 1921: plate 1, fig. 26, plate 2, figs 22–28). Consequently, we consider all of these taxa are colour forms (synonyms) of A. (S.) reflexilabris.

Amphidromus (Syndromus) rhodostylus Möllendorff 1901
Amphidromus rhodostylus Möllendorff 1901: 47, 48 (with the following colour variations: var. simplex, roseolineata, nigrolineata, ignea, rhadbota, bipartita, subconfluens)
Amphidromus rhodostylus – ZILCH 1953: 133, plate 22, figs 12–18.
Amphidromus rhodostylus – SCHILEYKO 2011: 51.
Amphidromus abbottuborerum Thach 2017a: 35, 638–639, 641–646. new synonym
Amphidromus anthonyabbotti Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 37, figs 625–629. new synonym
Amphidromus baoi Thach 2017a: 38, figs 470–474. new synonym
Amphidromus hongdaoae Thach 2017a: 40, figs 673–678. new synonym

Remarks. The four species mentioned here (A. abbottuborerum Thach, 2017, A. anthonyabbotti Thach et Huber, 2017, A. baoi Thach, 2017 and A. hongdaoae Thach, 2017) fit into the morphological continuum known in A. rhodostylus (see: ZILCH 1953). Therefore, we consider them synonyms of that species.

Amphidromus roseolabiatus Fulton, 1896
Amphidromus roseolabiatus Fulton 1896: 89, plate 6, fig. 8.
Amphidromus roseolabiatus – SUTCHARIT et al. 2015: 86, figs 13J–K.
Amphidromus phuonglinhiae THACH 2017a: 45, figs 581–584.
Amphidromus koopoi Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 56, figs 766–772. new synonym
Amphidromus phuonglinhiae vinhensis Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 60, figs 812–815. new synonym
Amphidromus severnsi anhi THACH 2018a: 62, figs 816–823.
Amphidromus arlingi THACH 2017f: 34, figs 11–13. new synonym
Amphidromus arlingi dakoakensis THACH 2017f: 36, figs 6–8. new synonym
Amphidromus johnabbasi THACH 2017b: 35, figs 1–4. new synonym
Amphidromus roseolabiatus – INKHAVILAY et al. 2019: 94, figs 45D–F, 58A. (considered Amphidromus phuonglinhiae as a junior synonym)
Amphidromus severnsi improvidus PÄLL-GERGELY 2019: 75 (nom. nov. pro Amphidromus severnsi anhi Thach, 2018) new synonym

Remarks. A. phuonglinhiae Thach, 2017, A. phuonglinhiae vinhensis Thach et Huber, 2018, A. severnsi improvidus Päll-Gergely, 2019 [replacement name for A. severnsi anhi Thach, 2018], A. arlingi Thach, 2017, A. arlingi dakoakensis Thach, 2017, and A. johnabbasi Thach, 2017 fall well within the morphological variability of A. roseolabiatus (see: SUTCHARIT et al. 2015). The corpulent shell, white and/or reddish line along the suture, and the yellow-greenish stipes are characteristic of A. roseolabiatus, and therefore, we treat them as synonyms of that species.

A. koopoi Thach et Huber, 2018 (see: note on multiple original spellings in PÄLL-GERGELY 2019) is slightly more slender than typical A. roseolabiatus, which is not sufficient for species-level distinction from A. roseolabiatus, which is a common species in central Laos, near Takhhek (INKHAVILAY et al. 2019). The lighter colour of A. koopoi is a result of weathered condition. Thus, A. koopoi is considered here to be a junior synonym of A. roseolabiatus.

Amphidromus schomburgki (L. Pfeiffer, 1860)
Bulimus schomburgki L. PFEIFFER 1860: 137, plate 51, fig. 9.
Amphidromus schomburgki – SUTCHARIT & PANHA 2006: 23, figs 2, 4J–L, 16A–C, 17A–E.
Amphidromus friedae Thach et Huber in THACH 2016a: 65, figs 41, 350–354. new synonym

Remarks. The holotype of A. friedae is a bleached shell of A. schomburgki, which is characterised by the pinkish or purplish ground colour and dark pur-
ple lip. A. schomburgki is known from Ko Kut island (Thailand) (SUTCHARIT & PANHA 2006), close to the type locality (Phú Quốc Island in Vietnam) of A. frie-
dae. Therefore, we treat that species as a junior syno-
nym of A. schomburgki.

Amphidromus smithii Fulton, 1896
Amphidromus smithii Fulton 1896: 88, 89, plate 7, figs 12, 12a.
Amphidromus smithii – SUTCHARIT et al. 2015: 91, figs 141–.
Amphidromus tedbaeri Thach 2017b: 37, figs 18–20.
new synonym
Amphidromus baerorum ThACH 2017c: 297, figs 6–9.
new synonym
Amphidromus christabaeri ThACH 2017c: 296, figs 1–4.
new synonym
Amphidromus noriokowaseoi THACH & HUBER 2017: 123, figs 1–8. new synonym
Amphidromus eboricolor ThACH 2018a: 51, figs 795–798. new synonym
Amphidromus davidmonsecouri ThACH 2018a: 50, figs 803–807. new synonym
Amphidromus gittenbergeri Thach et Huber in ThACH 2018a: 53, figs 670–675. new synonym
Amphidromus noriokowaseoi – ThACH 2018a: figs 810–811.
Amphidromus semicinereus ThACH 2018a: 62, figs 603–606. new synonym
Amphidromus steveni ThACH 2017b: 36, figs 11–14. new synonym

Remarks. A. eboricolor Thach, 2018 and A. gitten-
bergeri Thach et Huber, 2018 are virtually identical to each other and the paralectotype of A. smithii
(see: SUTCHARIT et al. 2015: fig. 14J, NHMUK 1896.6.13.38) and we allocate them as synonyms of A. smithii. A. baerorum Thach, 2017, A. christaba-
rae Thach, 2017, A. davidmonsecouri Thach, 2018, A. noriokowaseoi Thach et Huber, 2017, A. semicinereus Thach, 2018, A. tedbaeri Thach, 2017 and A. steveni Thach, 2017 are best interpreted as colour variants of A. smithii and are assigned as synonyms.

Amphidromus suspeptic var. albolabiatus Fulton, 1896
Amphidromus suspeptic var. albolabiatus Fulton 1896: 79, plate 6, fig. 9.
Amphidromus chrisabbasi roberti THACH 2018a: 49, figs 638–644. new synonym

Remarks. A. chrisabbasi roberti Thach, 2018 is identi-
cal to A. (Syndromus) suspeptic var. albolabiata Fulton, 1896 (see: SUTCHARIT et al. 2015: fig. 3D) and we designate it a synonym.

Amphidromus thanhhoaensis Thach et Huber, 2016
Amphidromus thanhhoaensis Thach et Huber in ThACH 2016: 69, figs 35, 325–328.
Amphidromus (Syndromus) xiengkhaungensis Inkhavilay et Panha in INKHAVILAY et al. 2017: 35, figs 1, 135–T. new synonym

Remarks. A. thanhhoaensis appears to be a valid species and has priority over A. xiengkhaungensis Inkhavilay et Panha, 2017 which is relegated as a synonym.

Amphidromus ventrosulus Möllendorff, 1900
Amphidromus smithi subsp. ventrosulus MöLLENDORFF 1900a: 133.
Amphidromus smithi ventrosulus – ZILCH 1953: 133, plate 23, fig. 19.
Amphidromus ventrosulus – LAIDLAW & SOLEM 1961: 668.
Amphidromus ventrosulus – SCHILEYKO 2011: 51.
Amphidromus cargilei Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 48, figs 630–634. new synonym
Amphidromus fraussenae Thach et Huber in ThACH 2017a: 38, figs 525–530. new synonym
Amphidromus hassi Thach et Huber in ThACH 2018a: 54, figs 687–693. new synonym
Amphidromus hassi ngoanmucensis Thach et Huber in ThACH 2018a: 54, figs 749–755. new synonym
Amphidromus salzmanni Thach et Huber in ThACH 2017a: 45, figs 537–542. new synonym

Remarks. These taxa (A. cargilei Thach et Huber, 2018, A. fraussenae Thach et Huber, 2017, A. hassi Thach et Huber, 2018, A. hassi ngoanmucensis Thach et Huber, 2018, A. salzmanni Thach et Huber, 2017), all described from central and southern Vietnam, agree with each other with respect to shell shape and the uniformly coloured shell (or the apical whorls have a slightly different colour than the body whorl) with a slender sutural band, and some darker colouration on the callus and/or on the peristome and should be classified within the same species. They also largely match A. ventrosulus, and are therefore handled as synonyms here. Moreover, A. hassi ngoanmucensis nearly matches the paralectotype of A. ventrosulus in all important characters (see: SUTCHARIT et al. 2015).

Genus Camaena Albers, 1850
Helix (Camaena) ALBERS 1850: 85.

Camaena choboensis (Mabille, 1889)
Helix choboensis MABILLE 1889: 7.
Camaena choboensis – SCHILEYKO 2011: 41 (see: other synonyms of this species there).
Camaena diepae ThACH 2017a: 50, figs 717–720. new synonym
Types examined. Tonkin, MNHN-IM-2000-2000-1908 (2 syntypes of *choboensis*).

Remarks. *C. diegae* Thach, 2017 is identical to *H. choboensis*, therefore, we assign it as a junior synonym.

*Camaena duporti* (Bavay et Dautzenberg, 1909)

*Helix* (*Camaena*) *duporti* [Bavay & Dautzenberg] 1909a: 234.

*Camaena duporti* – [Schileyko] 2011: 42.

*Camaena lactuimensis* [Thach] 2016a: 71, figs 47, 399.  
new synonym

Types examined. Phu-Ly, coll. Demange, MNHN-IM-2000-2033 (syntype of *duporti*); Phu-Ly, coll. Demange, MNHN-IM-2000-2065 (syntype of *duporti* var. *palidior*).

Remarks. *C. lactuimensis* Thach, 2016 agrees with *C. duporti* in shell shape and size, and although the latter has several brownish spiral bands, both agree in the presence of a white band just below the middle line of the body whorl. We interpret the slight colour pattern differences as intraspecific variability, and handle *C. lactuimensis* as a junior synonym of *C. duporti*.

*Camaena gabriellae* (Dautzenberg et d’Hamonville, 1887)

*Helix* *gabriellae* [Dautzenberg & d’Hamonville] 1887: 216, plate 8, fig. 2.

*Camaena gabriellae* – [Schileyko] 2011: 42.

*Camaena binhgiensis* [Thach] 2016a: 70, figs 46, 397–401.  
new synonym

*Camaena anhi* [Thach] 2017a: 49, figs 713–715.  
new synonym

Types examined. Tonkin, Than-Moi, MNHN-IM-2000-1896 (syntype of *gabriellae*).

Remarks. *C. anhi* Thach, 2017 is identical to *C. gabriellae*, therefore we designate it a junior synonym of the latter. *Camaena binhgiensis* Thach, 2016 differs from typical *C. gabriellae* only by the more strongly pronounced reddish spiral bands, which we believe to be insufficient for species-level distinction. Therefore, it is also regarded as a junior synonym of *C. gabriellae*.

*Camaena longsonensis* (Morlet, 1891)

*Helix longsonensis* [Morlet] 1891: 26.

*Camaena longsonensis* – [Schileyko] 2011: 43.

*Camaena leana* [Thach] 2017a: 52, figs 693–696.  
new synonym

Types examined. MNHN-IM-2000-1928 (syntype of *longsonensis*).

Remarks. *C. leana* Thach, 2017 was compared with *C. longsonensis*, although all discussed differences (shell size, strength of keel, and height of umbilical area) appear to represent intraspecific variability so we allocate *C. leana* as a junior synonym of *C. longsonensis*.

*Camaena marmorivaga* (Mabille, 1889)

*Helix marmorivaga* [Mabille] 1889: 8.

*Camaena duconi* Thach et Huber in [Thach] 2017a: 51, figs 701–702.  
new synonym

Types examined. Tonkin, leg. BALIENA 1889, MNHN-IM-2000-1936 (syntype of *marmorivaga*).

Remarks. *C. duconi* Thach et Huber, 2017 is identical with *H. marmorivaga*, therefore we designate the former as a junior synonym of the latter.

*Camaena pachychila* Pilsbry, 1893

*Camaena pachychila* [Pilsbry] 1893 (1893–1895): 265, plate 52, figs 50–52.

*Camaena suprafusca* MÖLLENDORFF 1898: 71.  
new synonym

*Camaena (Camaena) suprafusca* – [Zilch] 1964: 245, plate 6, fig. 4.

*Camaena franzhuberi* [Thach] 2018a: 66, figs 873–876.  
new synonym

*Camaena franzhuberi laosianus* [Thach] 2018a: 66, figs 878–881.  
new synonym

*Camaena (Camaena) suprafusca* – INKHAVILAY et al. 2019: 97, figs 47E, 58C.

Types examined. Annam, NHMUK 1893.2.26.2.3 (holotype + paratype of *pachychila*).

Additional material examined. 2019/15. Khánh Hòa Province, Khánh Vĩnh, Sơn Thái, Trạm kiểm lâm Hồn Giao, forest behind the Nat. Park Headquarters (1,630 m) 12°11’09.4”N, 108°42’51.8”E, leg. HUNYADI & OTANI, 06.02.2019, coll. HA; 2019/16. Khánh Hòa Province, Khánh Vĩnh, Khánh Phú, Thác Yang Bay, (90 m), 12°11’27.1”N, 108°54’37.0”E, leg. HUNYADI & OTANI, 07.02.2019, coll. HA; 2019/33. Quang Nam Province, Nam Giang, Thạnh Mỹ 9 km – A So, Hồ Chí Minh (QL14) Highway, 15°47’18.0”N, 107°46’33.4”E, leg. HUNYADI, 16.02.2019, coll. HA.

Remarks. *C. franzhuberi* Thach, 2018, *C. franzhuberi laosianus* Thach, 2018 and *C. suprafusca* MÖLLENDORFF, 1898 do not differ from *C. pachychila* in important shell characters such as shell and aperture shape, and sculpture, therefore, we consider the three taxa above as junior synonyms of *C. pachychila*.

**Genus Chloritis** H. Beck, 1837

*Helix* (*Chloritis*) [BECK] 1837: 29.

**Chloritis huberi** Thach, 2016

Fig. 17

*Chloritis huberi* [Thach] 2016a: 72, figs 49, 407–410.

*Chloritis huberi* – INKHAVILAY et al. 2019: 150, fig. 60B.

Type examined. MNHN-IM-2014-6068 (paratype, Fig. 17).
Remarks. The types are juvenile specimens not suitable for taxonomic comparison with possibly similar species known from adult individuals. Thus, this species is considered a taxon inquirendum.

**Chloritis khammouanensis** Inkhavilay et Panha, 2019

*Megalacron huberi* Thach 2017a: 53, figs 741–743.  
*Chloritis khammouanensis* Inkhavilay et Panha in Inkhavilay et al. 2019: 100 (non *Chloritis huberi* Thach, 2016, nomen novum pro *Megalacron huberi* Thach, 2017a)

**New material examined.** 6L07: South-Central Laos, Khammouan Province, ca. 9 km NE of Thakhek (Muang Khammouan), on and under rocks in dry secondary forest under NW exposed cliff, 17°26′45.4″N, 104°52′56.2″E, alt. 190 m a.s.l., leg. A. Abdou & I. V. Muratov, 27.11.2007, MNHN-IM 2012-27068/33 adult shells (some broken), 17 juvenile shells; 5L07: South-Central Laos, Khammouan Province, ca. 22.5 km ENE of Thakhek (Muang Khammouan), ca. 19.5 km WNW of Mahaxai, under rocks in dry secondary forest, 17°26′43.2″N, 105°01′11.4″E, alt. 181 m a.s.l., leg. A. Abdou & I. V. Muratov, 27.11.2007, MNHN-IM 2012-27069/7 intact, 2 broken shells; 14L07: South-Central Laos, Khammouan Province, ca. 34 km WNW of Thakhek (Muang Khammouan), ca. 9 km N of Ban Namdik, on and under rocks in dry secondary forest with some large trees under W exposed cliff and in the ravine, leg. A. Abdou & I. V. Muratov, 03.12.2007, MNHN-IM 2012-27070/3 shells, MNHN-IM 2012-27073/1 intact, 1 broken shell; 19L07: South-Central Laos, Khammouan Province, ca. 4 km W of Ban Phong Dong, less than 1 km S of road 12, across the Houei Ine River, on and under rocks in secondary forest with some large trees on N exposed slope, 17°34′20.9″N, 105°38′36.6″E, alt. 191 m a.s.l., leg. A. Abdou & I. V. Muratov, 06.12.2007, MNHN-IM 2012-27071/1 shell, MNHN-IM 2012-27076/1 shell; 17L07: South-Central Laos, Khammouan Province, ca. 12.5 km NE of Thakhek (Muang Khammouan), ca. 3 km SW of Ban Nase, on and under rocks in secondary forest with some large trees under SE exposed cliff, 17°29′50.5″N, 104°51′58.3″E, alt. 193 m a.s.l., leg. A. Abdou & I. V. Muratov, 04.12.2007, MNHN-IM 2012-27072/1 shell; 10L07: South-Central Laos, Khammouan Province, ca. 14 km N of Thakhek (Muang Khammouan), ca. 5.5 km ESE of Ban Nakok, on and under limestone rocks in dry secondary forest under N exposed cliff, 17°31′24.8″N, 104°48′14.9″E, alt. 133 m a.s.l., leg. A. Abdou & I. V. Muratov, 30.11.2007, MNHN-IM 2012-27074/2 intact, 2 broken shells; 8L07: South-Central Laos, Khammouan Province, ca. 16 km NE of Thakhek (Muang Khammouan), ca. 2.3 km ESE of Ban Nase, on and under limestone rocks in dry secondary forest with some large old trees in the isolated limestone outcrop, 17°30′49.7″N, 104°54′20.3″E, alt. 162 m a.s.l., leg. A. Abdou & I. V. Muratov, 28.11.2007, MNHN-IM 2012-27075/16
shell (some slightly broken); 25L07: South-Central Laos, Khammouan Province, ca. 10.5 km E of Thakhek (Muang Khammouan), on and under rocks, cave deposits, in secondary forest under entrance and in large cave on NE exposed steep slope, 17°24’20.4’’N, 104°54’53.6’’E, alt. 160 m a.s.l., leg. A. Abdou & I. V. Muratov, 09.12.2007, MNHN-IM-2012-27077/4 shells; 24L07: South-Central Laos, Khammouan Province, ca. 22 km NNW of Thakhek (Muang Khammouan), ca. 5.5 km NNE of Ban Nakok, ca. 7 km ESE of Ban Namdik, under rocks in secondary forest under SW exposed cliff, 17°35’13.4’’N, 104°45’58.8’’E, alt. 165 m a.s.l., leg. A. Abdou & I. V. Muratov, 08.12.2007, MNHN-IM-2012-27078/2 shells; 11L07: South-Central Laos, Khammouan Province, ca. 15 km ENE of Thakhek (Muang Khammouan), on and under limestone rocks in dry secondary forest in the upper entrance of the large cave on NE exposed steep slope, 17°26’39.0’’N, 104°56’56.6’’E, alt. 157 m a.s.l., leg. A. Abdou & I. V. Muratov, 01.12.2007, MNHN-IM-2012-27079/3 intact, 6 broken shells; 9L07: South-Central Laos, Khammouan Province, ca. 13.5 km N of Thakhek (Muang Khammouan), ca. 5 km SE of Ban Nakok, under limestone rocks in dry secondary forest under W exposed cliff, 17°30’59.0’’N, 104°47’40.9’’E, alt. 138 m a.s.l., leg. A. Abdou & I. V. Muratov, 01.12.2007, MNHN-IM-2012-27080/10 adult shells + 2 juvenile shells; 12L07: Central Laos, southern Khammouan Province, ca. 15.5 km ENE of Thakhek (Muang Khammouan), on and under limestone rocks in dry secondary forest under NW exposed cliff, 17°26’49.0’’N, 104°57’12.0’’E, alt. 155 m a.s.l., leg. A. Abdou & I. V. Muratov, 01.12.2007, MNHN-IM-2012-27081/4 shells; 4L07: South-Central Laos, Khammouan Province, ca. 35 km ENE of Thakhek (Muang Khammouan), ca. 7 km WNW of Mahaxai, on and under rocks in dry secondary forest under S exposed cliff, 17°26’44.2’’N, 105°08’21.6’’E, alt. 169 m a.s.l., leg. A. Abdou & I. V. Muratov, 25.11.2007, MNHN-IM-2012-27082/4 shells; 25L07, MNHN-IM-2012-27083/1 shell; 7L07: South-Central Laos, Khammouan Province, ca. 15 km NE of Thakhek (Muang Khammouan), ca. 12.5 km SE of Ban Nase, on and under rocks in dry secondary forest near large flooded cave under W exposed cliff, 17°30’32.8’’N, 104°53’26.6’’E, alt. 127 m a.s.l., leg. A. Abdou & I. V. Muratov, 28.11.2007, MNHN-IM-2012-6129/36 adult shells and 18 juvenile shells.

Remarks. This species was described from Thakhek, Khammouan Province, Laos. Here we report it from several more localities in the same province.

*Chloritis klaugroh* Thach et Huber, 2017

*Chloritis klaugroh* Thach et Huber in *Thach* and *Huber* 2017. **Figs 18–25**

**Chloritis vinhensis** Thach et Huber, 2018

*Chloritis vinhensis* Thach et Huber in *Thach* *2018a*: 68, figs 900–902.

Material examined. 2019/27. Dà Năng, Hòa Vang, Định Bả Nà, Cầu Vàng NE ca. 400 m a.s.l. (1270 m a.s.l.), 15°59’45.8’’N, 107°59’56.7’’E, leg. Hunyadi, 13.02.2019, coll. HA. (Fig. 19)

Remarks on the anatomy. Traits of the reproductive anatomy (Fig. 20), such as the proportions of the male organs and the insertion of the retractor muscle, are similar to those of *C. bifoveata* (Benson, 1856) and *C. diplochone* Möllendorff, 1898 (see: Surcharit & Panha 2010: figs 3A, 3E vs. Pall-Gergely &...
The main difference was found to be the structure of the penial papilla. In *C. bifoveata* and *C. diplochone*, it was described as “irregularly shaped” (Sutcharit & Panha 2010: figs 3B, 3F), and deeply grooved (Páll-Gergely & NeuBert 2019: fig. 17 therein, Figs 21–23 herein), whereas in the *C. vinhensis* specimen we dissected, the longitudinal folds of the epiphallus merge to a stalk which ends in a flattened knob with a terminal opening. This structure is surrounded by a fleshy rim. Given the differently shaped penial verge and the absence of constriction on the body whorl, we elevate *C. bifoveata vinhensis* to species level.

**Genus Ganesella** Blanford, 1863

_Helix* (Ganesella) Blanford 1863: 86.

**Remarks.** The type species of _Ganesella* (*Helix capitium* Benson, 1848) is a senior synonym of *Darwininitium shiwalikianum* Budha et Mordan, 2012, which was anatomically described in its original description (Sutcharit et al. 2019b). Thus, _Ganesella_ is defined in terms of reproductive anatomy.

The placement of *G. emma* follows Schileyko (2011), although we note that the generic placement of most Southeast Asian camaenids needs verification by means of anatomy and/or molecular phylogeny.
Ganesella emma (L. Pfeiffer, 1863)

Figs 26–29

Helix emma L. PFEIFFER 1863: 273.
Helix (Ganesella?) lamyi DAUTZENBERG & FISCHER 1905: 91, plate 3, figs 10–12. new synonym
Helix (Plectotropis?) chaudroni BAVAY & DAUTZENBERG 1909a: 242. new synonym
Helix (Plectotropis?) chaudroni – BAVAY & DAUTZENBERG 1909b: 193, plate VIII, figs 1–3.
Euplecta huberi THACH 2018a: 41, figs 551–553. new synonym

Types examined. Lao Mountains, Cambodia, coll. Mouhot, m.c. [Museum Cuming], NHMUK 20170016 (syntype of Helix emma, Fig. 26); Tonkin, Ile Krieu, baie d’Along, leg. BLAISE, MNHN-IM-2000-1920 (syntype of Helix (Ganesella?) lamyi, Fig. 27); Cam-Duong, Phong-Tho, Gia Phu, leg. MESSAGER, MNHN-IM-2000-32867 (syntype of Helix (Plectotylyis?) chaudroni, Fig. 28); Bosavan, Laos, MNHN-IM-2000-34093 (holotype of Euplecta huberi, Fig. 29).

Remarks. The type specimens of H. emma L. Pfeiffer, 1863, H. (Plectotropis?) chaudroni Bavay & Dautzenberg, 1909, H. (Ganesella?) lamyi (Dautzenberg et Fischer 1905) and E. huberi Thach, 2018 differ only in minor shell characters, such as the height of the spire, and the arrangement of the white bands between the keel and the suture. Although these four taxa were placed in different genera, their differences can be explained by intraspecific variability only, because the overall shell shape, colouration and sculpture are identical. Therefore, we regard H. chaudroni,
H. lamyi and Euplecta huberi as junior synonyms of Ganesella emma.

**Ganesella rhombostoma (L. Pfeiffer, 1861)**
*Bulimus rhombostomus* L. *Pfeiffer 1861*: 194, 195
*Pseudobuliminus harryleei* THACH 2017a: 54, 55, figs 756–760.
*Pseudobuliminus tuongvyae* THACH 2017a: 56, figs 751–755.
*Pseudobuliminus huberi* THACH 2017a: 55, figs 759–760.
*Ganesella rhombostoma* SUTCARIT et al. 2019b: 61, figs 1C, 3C–I, 5E–G, 7D–F, 8 (*harryleei, tuongvyae and huberi are considered synonyms*)

**Remarks.** THACH described 8 species of *Pseudobuliminus* Gredler, 1886 as follows: *P. franzhuberi* Thach, 2018, *P. harryleei* Thach, 2017, *P. huberi* Thach, 2017, *P. maestriii* Thach, 2017, Thach, 2017a, *P. obo-*sa Thach et Huber, 2018, *P. ovoides* Thach et Huber, 2018, *P. thachi* Huber, 2018, *P. tuongvyae* Thach, 2017. *Pseudobuliminus harryleei, P. tuongvyae and P. huberi* were synonymised with *G. rhombostoma* by SUTCARIT et al. (2019b) without explanation, although we agree with their decision. The genera *Pseudobuliminus* and *Giardia* Ancey, 1907 have not been revised, and the species are known to exhibit great variability in terms of shell size (SCHILEYKO 2011), and probably

Figs 26–29. Shells of Ganesella emma (L. Pfeiffer, 1863: 26 - syntype of Helix emma L. Pfeiffer, 1863 (NHMUK 20170016); 27 – Helix (Ganesella?) lamyi Dautzenberg et Fischer, 1905 (MNHN-IM-2000-1920); 28 – Helix (Plectotropis?) chaudroni (MNHN-IM-2000-32867); 29 – holotype of Euplecta huberi Thach, 2018. Scale bar 10 mm. Photos: H. TAYLOR (26) and M. CABALLER (27–29)
other characters. Therefore, most likely, the remaining taxa of Thach and Huber will be considered synonyms upon comprehensive revisions.

*G. rhombostoma* was classified in *Amphidromus* and *Giardia*, although Sutcharit et al. (2019b), based on anatomical evidence, transferred it to *Ganesella*.

**Ganesella rostrella** (L. Pfeiffer, 1863)

*Helix rostrella* L. **PFEIFFER 1863**: 270.

*Euplecta hueae* Thach et Huber in **THACH 2018a**: 42, figs 557–559. **new synonym**

*Bradybaena (?) rostrella* – **SCHILEYKO 2011**: 40.

*Ganesella rostrella* – **INKHAVILAY et al. 2019**: 104, figs 53B–C.

**Types examined.** Cambodia, Museum Cuming ex. Mouhot collection NHMUK 20130217 (3 syntypes of *Helix rostrella*).

**Remarks.** *E. hueae* Thach et Huber, 2018 was described as a member of the family Ariophantidae. However, it is nearly identical to typical *G. rostrella*, although the spire of typical specimens is slightly more elevated. This difference should not be used as a distinguishing character at the species level. We herein consider *E. hueae* a junior synonym of *G. rostrella*.

**Genus Globotrochus** Haas, 1935

*Globotrochus* **HAAS 1935**: 47.

*Globotrochus* – **SUTCHARIT et al. 2019b**: 66.

**Globotrochus onestera** (Mabille, 1887)

*Helix onestera* **MABILLE 1887**: 3.

*Diastole simonei* **THACH 2017a**: 34, figs 418–420.

*Globotrochus onestera* – **SUTCHARIT et al. 2019b**: 67, figs 1D, 4E–G, 6, 7G–I, 8 (considered *Diastole simonei* as a junior synonym).

**Remarks.** In the original description, *D. simonei* Thach, 2017 was only compared to another *Diastole* species, “*Diastole rectangular*” (L. Pfeiffer, 1846)” (probably *Mendana rectangula* (L. Pfeiffer, 1846)). However, *Diastole* Gude, 1913 is known only from islands of the Pacific Ocean (Society, Cook, Samoa, Futuna, Fiji, Tonga, Tuamotu, Norfolk and Austral Islands), and belongs to the family Euconulidae H. B. Baker, 1928 (SCHILEYKO 2002). In contrast, “*D. simonei*” is a camaenid species, and its type locality (northern Vietnam) makes the generic assignment of **THACH (2017a)** biogeographically inexplicable. We agree with Sutcharit et al. (2019b), and consider *D. simonei* as a junior synonym of *H. onestera*.

**Genus Trichochloritis** Pilsbry, 1891

*Trichochloritis* **PILSBRY 1891**: 267.

*Trichochloritis* – **PÁLL-GERGELY & NEUBERT 2019**: 141.

**Trichochloritis fouresi** Morlet, 1886

*Helix fouresi* **MORLET 1886**: 74.

*Euplecta herosae* Thach et Huber in **THACH 2018a**: 42, figs 554–556. **new synonym**

*Bouchetcamaena huberi* **THACH 2018a**: 65, figs 863–865. **new synonym**

*Chloritis fouresi* – **INKHAVILAY et al. 2019**: 100, figs 50C–D.

**Types examined.** Plateau de Stang-Trang, Cambodia, leg. PAVIE, MNHN-IM-2000-1888 (syntype of *fouresi*, Fig. 30); South of Pakse, Champasak Province, South Laos, MNHN-IM-2000-34039 (holotype of *Bouchetcamaena huberi*, Fig. 31); Boloven Plateau, South Laos, MNHN-IM-2000-34040 (holotype of *Euplecta herosae*, Fig. 32); Siam, m.c. [Museum Cuming], NHMUK 20160333 (3 syntypes of *caesus*); Kamchay, Cambodia, leg. MORLET, MNHN-IM-2000-1953 (holotype of *norodomiana*); Fuyen-Moth [Phù Yen Province, S Vietnam, 14°01’N, 108°23’E, see: SCHILEYKO 2011], leg. CROSSE, MNHN-IM-2000-31779 (syntype of *tanquereyi*); Same data, MNHN-IM-2000-31780 (syntype of *tanquereyi var. intermedia*); Same data, MNHN-IM-2000-31781 (syntype of *tanquereyi var. minima*); W-Siam, Kanburi, coll. O. Möllendorff ex coll. Fruhstorfer, SMF 8598 (lectotype of *siamensis*); Same data, SMF 8602/2 (parallectotypes of *siamensis*); Siam, Tschaya, coll. O. Möllendorff ex coll. Roebelen, SMF 8526/1 (lectotype of *platytropis*); Same data, SMF 8527/1 (parallectotype of *platytropis*); Golf von Siam, Insel Samui, coll. O. Möllendorff ex coll. Roebelen, SMF 8524/1 (lectotype of *platytropis var. samuiana*); Same data, SMF 8525/1 (parallectotype of *platytropis var. samuiana*).

**Remarks.** In the original description, *B. huberi* Thach, 2018 was compared to two species, *Camaena vanbuenis* Smith, 1896 and *Eurytrachia mucosa* (Cox, 1868). Although the shell shape of *C. vanbuenis* is superficially similar to that of *B. huberi*, it is 68 mm in diameter (SCHILEYKO 2011, INKHAVILAY et al. 2019) compared to 18.5 mm in diameter for *B. huberi*, making it highly unlikely that they are closely related. *E. mucosa* lives in eastern Australia (Stanisic et al. 2010), a biogeographic region that has virtually no taxa in common with that of southern Laos, where the type locality of *B. huberi* is located. In contrast, *B. huberi* was not compared to a number of taxa with similar shell morphology described from Thailand, Cambodia, and southern Vietnam (e.g. *Helix caseus* L. Pfeiffer, 1860, *H. norodomiana* Morlet, 1883, *Chloritis siamensis* Möllendorff, 1902, *Helix tanquereyi* Crosse et Fischer, 1863 and its varieties, and *Chloritis platytropis* Möllendorff, 1894).

Besides slight differences in shell height, which can be best explained by intraspecific variability, there are no essential differences between the type specimens of *Helix fouresi* Morlet, 1886, *E. herosae* Thach et
Huber, 2018 and B. huberi Thach, 2018, therefore the latter two are synonyms of the former.

The validity of the genus *Bouchettamaena* can be verified when more material becomes available.

**Trichochloritis huberi** (Thach, 2018)

*new combination*

*Lamprellia huberi* Thach 2018a: 70, figs 971–973.

**Remarks.** This species was originally assigned to the genus *Lamprellia* Stanisic, 2010 (type species: *Helix zebina* Brazier, 1878), which is endemic to eastern Australia, and was only compared with a *Lamprellia* species (*L. angulata* Stanisic, 2010). However, there are a number of similar species described from Cambodia, Vietnam, and Laos in more appropriate genera. This species is very similar to the type species of *Trichochloritis* (*Helix breviseta* L. Pfeiffer, 1862) in terms of general shell and aperture shape, but differs from it by the angled body whorl, which is rounded in *T. breviseta*. Therefore, it is transferred here to *Trichochloritis*.

**Trichochloritis mussonena** Páll-Gergely, 2020

*nom. nov.*

*Mussonena huberi* Thach 2018a: 70, figs 919–921.

**Remarks.** *Mussonena* Iredale, 1938 is distributed in eastern Australia, not Southeast Asia (Schileyko 2003). This species is moved to *Trichochloritis* here-in, and becomes a secondary homonym of *T. huberi* (Thach, 2018) (see: Thach 2018a: 70). Therefore, the replacement name, *T. mussonena*, referring to the original generic name, is proposed.

**DISCUSSION**

Wheeler (2014: 371) summarised the specific traits of a taxonomist as follows: “If the focus of your work is to make as many species of a clade known as possible, to carefully interpret and analyse the transformational history of as many of its homologous characters as possible, to study and master
all taxonomic literature on a group since 1753, to apply informative names, and to ultimately build a phylogenetic classification summarizing all that is known of a taxon, then you are unquestionably a taxonomist.” Unfortunately, the authors discussed here have not followed these guidelines in their mostly non-peer-reviewed descriptions of more than three hundred species and subspecies over the last 5 years (2014–2019).

Ideally, the purpose to describe an unknown species or subspecies is to contribute to the knowledge of the biodiversity of our planet. However, these descriptions must be presented to the scientific community in a consistent and accepted manner, i.e. a peer-reviewed journals and books. Why do some authors choose not to adhere to the accepted formats, i.e. present new taxa in non-peer-reviewed publications, even when they have interest in biodiversity?

Time and effort are surely factors. Some authors simply do not want to take the time or apply the effort necessary to complete the peer-review process. They may also believe that review is unnecessary because no one knows more about the subject so why should it be reviewed? Also, some degree of fame and respect comes with the introduction of new taxa and this increases as the number of taxa described increases. This is a well-known phenomenon already described as nomenclatural mihilism (DUBOIS 2008) and “Mihi itch” (EVENHUIS 2008). And, finally, some invertebrate groups such as molluscs, butterflies, beetles, corals, etc. are widely collected by enthusiasts worldwide. These are traded, bought, and sold and this has become a huge industry in many parts of the world. Specimens with attractive colours and colour patterns are particularly sought after and supply and demand forces values up (and profits). Value is also greatly determined by rarity. So, new taxa described, particularly those with attractive colour patterns and small distributions, drive interest and desire in enthusiasts to enhance their collections with species that no other collector possesses. For the seller, new names create more taxa to inventory and sell, even when the taxa represent nothing but intra-specific variation. Some authors retain or give type specimens to the collector instead of depositing them in recognised institutions. These specimens have much higher value than non-typical material if sold. Some collectors, but surely not all, that provide specimens to those that may describe them as new taxa are sometimes motivated by two purposes: 1) potential future financial gain, and 2) the naming of patronyms. The latter has certainly occurred.

For whatever reasons, Nguyen Ngoc Thach and his colleagues described over three hundred species over the last five years, mostly land snails from Southeast Asia, almost all without peer review. Our estimate is that ca. 30–40 species of their terrestrial taxa are valid (less than 15% of all of the newly described taxa!), although we are unable to judge in many cases with the general lack of generic revisions. Similar to the activity of the Australian “herpetologist” R. Hoser (Kaiser et al. 2013, Denzer et al. 2016) and some other pseudo-taxonomists (see e.g. Moore et al. 2014), the hundreds of taxon names introduced by these authors simply must be considered unacceptable and marked as taxonomic vandalism. Although the community of taxonomists rejects the concept of more bureaucracy over taxonomy (Garnett & Christidis 2017, Thomson et al. 2018), it seems inevitable to require peer-review for the description of new taxa and other taxonomic decisions to be acceptable as valid (e.g. Kaiser et al. 2013, Schutze et al. 2017).
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## APPENDIX 1

Terrestrial species and subspecies described in the publications of NGUYEN NGOC THACH (some with co-authors FRANZ HUBER, J. ABBAS and MIKLÓS SZEKERES). Abbreviations: MC – use of shell characters of minor importance, NC – new combination, LC – lack of comparison.

| Species and subspecies | Original generic placement | References | Remarks |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------|
| abbasiri | Ariosiphanta | THACH 2018a: 41 | LC |
| abbasoti | Asperitas | THACH 2018a: 43 | no comment |
| abbasinii | Bellardiella | THACH 2017a: 19 | no comment |
| abbasii | Camena | THACH 2016d: 109 | NC |
| abbasori | Leptopoma | THACH 2017a: 15 | no comment |
| abbasii | Papuina | THACH 2016b: 23 | no comment |
| abbasori | Tylotocus | THACH 2017a: 20 | no comment |
| abbasororum | Amphidromus | THACH 2017a: 35 | no comment |
| abbotthuberorum | Amphidromus | THACH 2017a: 35 | LC |
| Species and subspecies | Original generic placement | References | Remarks |
|------------------------|---------------------------|------------|---------|
| abletti                | Austenia                  | THACH 2017a: 33 | no comment |
| abletti                | Chloritis                 | Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 68 | valid |
| abletti                | Rhioptoma                 | THACH 2016a: 37 | LC |
| andytani               | Amphiadromus              | Thach et Abbas in THACH 2017a: 36 | LC |
| anhdaoorum             | Amphiadromus              | THACH 2017a: 36 | LC |
| anhauongae             | Haplopythius              | THACH 2017a: 31 | valid |
| anhi                   | Camaena                   | THACH 2017a: 49 | LC |
| annhiae                | Helixarion                | Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 34 | NC |
| anthonyabbotti         | Amphiadromus              | Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 37 | LC |
| arlingi                | Amphiadromus              | THACH 2017a: 34 | LC |
| arlingi daklakensis    | Amphiadromus              | THACH 2017a: 36 | LC |
| atricallosus vovanae   | Amphiadromus              | THACH 2019a: 84 | LC |
| attapeuensis           | Amphiadromus              | Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 37 | LC |
| baerorum               | Amphiadromus              | THACH 2017c: 297 | LC |
| baoi                   | Amphiadromus              | THACH 2017a: 38 | LC |
| baolocensis            | Amphiadromus              | Thach et Huber in THACH 2016a: 62 | valid |
| bernardfamyi           | Amphiadromus              | THACH 2017a: 38 | no comment |
| bernardfamyi kefaensis| Amphiadromus              | THACH 2018a: 47 | no comment |
| berschaueri mingmini   | Amphiadromus              | THACH 2019e: 231 | no comment |
| beschaueri             | Amphiadromus              | THACH 2018a: 47 | LC |
| bifoveata vinhensis    | Chloritis                 | Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 68 | NC |
| binaensis liei         | Asperitas                 | THACH 2018a: 44 | no comment |
| binhgiaensis           | Camaena                   | THACH 2016a: 70 | LC |
| binhphuoencensis       | Amphiadromus              | THACH 2019g: 293 | no comment |
| bramvanderbijli        | Amphiadromus              | THACH 2019d: 18 | no comment |
| calvinabasi            | Amphiadromus              | THACH 2017d: 41 | MC |
| candoni                | Helicella                 | THACH 2018a: 72 | no comment |
| cargieri               | Amphiadromus              | Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 48 | LC |
| chrisabbasi            | Amphiadromus              | THACH 2017a: 206 | MC |
| chrisabbasi roberti    | Amphiadromus              | THACH 2018a: 49 | LC |
| christabaerae          | Amphiadromus              | THACH 2017c: 296 | LC |
| christae               | Rhioptoma                 | THACH 2016a: 38 | LC |
| chuongi                | Camaena                   | THACH 2016e: 253 | valid |
| contrarius rolfei     | Amphiadromus              | THACH 2018a: 50 | no comment |
| dambiensisis           | Amphiadromus              | Thach et Huber in THACH 2016a: 63 | LC |
| danoae                 | Amphiadromus              | THACH 2016a: 63 | LC |
| danoae robertabassi    | Amphiadromus              | THACH 2017c: 36 | LC |
| davidmonsecouri        | Amphiadromus              | THACH 2018a: 50 | LC |
| delseaertii            | Amphiadromus              | THACH 2016a: 64 | holotype is very weathered |
| delseaertii melanica   | Camaena                   | Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 67 | valid |
| diepae                 | Camaena                   | Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 68 | valid |
| donchani               | Amphiadromus              | THACH 2019b: 39 | no comment |
| donghoiensisis         | Cyclophorus               | Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 13 | see under Cyclophorus |
| dongnainensis          | Amphiadromus              | THACH 2018a: 51 | MC |
| ducae                  | Camaena                   | THACH 2017a: 50 | no comment |
| duyconi                | Camaena                   | Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 51 | LC |
| eboricolor             | Amphiadromus              | THACH 2018a: 51 | LC |
### Comments on N. N. Thach’s recent papers

| Species and subspecies | Original generic placement | References | Remarks |
|------------------------|---------------------------|------------|---------|
| franzhuberi            | Amphidromus                | ThACH 2016a: 64 | LC |
| franzhuberi            | Camaena                    | ThACH 2018a: 66 | LC |
| franzhuberi            | Cyclophorus                | ThACH 2017a: 14 | see under Cyclophorus |
| franzhuberi            | Hemiglypta                 | ThACH 2018a: 45 | no comment |
| franzhuberi            | Oospira                    | Szekeres et Thach in ThACH 2018a: 36 | MC |
| franzhuberi            | Papuina                    | ThACH 2018a: 71 | no comment |
| franzhuberi            | Pearsonia                  | ThACH 2017a: 15 | MC |
| franzhuberi            | Pseudobuliminus            | ThACH 2018a: 73 | valid |
| franzhuberi            | Pterocyclos                | ThACH 2017a: 16 | valid |
| franzhuberi laosianus  | Camaena                    | ThACH 2018a: 66 | LC |
| fraussenae             | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in ThACH 2017a: 38 | LC |
| frednaggsi             | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in ThACH 2018a: 52 | LC, types all juveniles |
| frednaggsi             | Pupinella                  | Thach et Huber in ThACH 2017a: 19 | valid |
| friedae                | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in ThACH 2016a: 65 | LC |
| friedae                | Pterocyclos                | Thach et Huber in ThACH 2016a: 36 | no comment |
| friedahuberae          | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in ThACH 2017a: 39 | valid |
| gerberi                | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in ThACH 2017a: 39 | LC |
| gerberi bolovenensis   | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in ThACH 2018a: 52 | no comment |
| gittenbergeri          | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in ThACH 2018a: 53 | LC |
| goldbergi              | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in ThACH 2018a: 53 | MC |
| gregi                  | Oospira                    | Szekeres et Thach in ThACH 2017a: 29 | valid |
| gregi                  | Perrottetia                | ThACH 2018a: 39 | see comment under P. gregi |
| grohi                  | Spiraculum                 | Thach et Huber in ThACH 2018a: 18 | LC |
| harryleei              | Pseudobuliminus            | ThACH 2017a: 54 | MC |
| harryleei              | Spiraculum                 | Thach et Huber in ThACH 2018a: 18 | LC |
| hassi                  | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in ThACH 2018a: 54 | LC |
| hassi ngoanmucensis    | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in ThACH 2018a: 54 | LC |
| heinrichhuberi         | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in ThACH 2016a: 65 | LC |
| herosae                | Euplecta                   | Thach et Huber in ThACH 2018a: 42 | LC |
| herosae                | Rhiostoma                  | Thach et Huber in ThACH 2017a: 17 | valid |
| hoabinhensis           | Camaena                    | ThACH 2016a: 71 | no comment |
| hongdaoae              | Amphidromus                | ThACH 2017a: 40 | LC |
| huberi                 | Alycaeus                   | ThACH 2018a: 19 | MC, damaged subadult |
| huberi                 | Amphidromus                | ThACH 2014: 39 | probably valid, subadult |
| huberi                 | Ariophanta                 | ThACH 2018a: 41 | LC, juvenile of Pollicaria rochebruni |
| huberi                 | Barnaia                    | ThACH 2017a: 18 | valid |
| huberi                 | Bouchetcamaena             | ThACH 2018a: 65 | LC |
| huberi                 | Bradybaena                 | ThACH 2018a: 73 | no comment |
| huberi                 | Camaena                    | ThACH 2017a: 51 | juveniles or subadults |
| huberi                 | Chloritis                  | ThACH 2016a: 72 | no comment |
| huberi                 | Cyathopoma                 | ThACH 2018a: 15 | no comment |
| huberi                 | Cyclophorus                | ThACH 2016a: 35 | see under Cyclophorus |
| huberi                 | Cyclotopsis                | ThACH 2018a: 24 | LC |
| huberi                 | Cyclotus                   | ThACH 2018a: 16 | key trait is teratological |
| huberi                 | Discartemon                | ThACH 2017a: 30 | LC |
| huberi                 | Euplecta                   | ThACH 2018a: 41 | MC |
| huberi                 | Ganesella                  | ThACH 2018a: 69 | no comment |
| huberi                 | Glessula                   | ThACH 2018a: 37 | no comment |
| huberi                 | Helminthoglypta            | ThACH 2017a: 54 | see under Hemiplecta lanxangnica |
| Species and subspecies | Original generic placement | References | Remarks |
|------------------------|---------------------------|------------|---------|
| huberi                 | Hemiphaedusa              | THACH 2016a: 58 | subspecies of Oospira naggsi |
| huberi                 | Hemiplecta                | THACH 2017a: 33 | MC |
| huberi                 | Indoartemon               | THACH 2018a: 39 | LC |
| huberi                 | Kalidos                   | THACH 2018a: 43 | LC |
| huberi                 | Lamprillia                | THACH 2018a: 70 | no comment |
| huberi                 | Megalaconr                | THACH 2017a: 53 | NC |
| huberi                 | Microstele                | THACH 2018a: 37 | NC |
| huberi                 | Mivus                     | THACH 2018a: 37 | LC |
| huberi                 | Massonena                 | THACH 2018a: 70 | NC |
| huberi                 | Mysticarion               | THACH 2016a: 61 | NC |
| huberi                 | Neniauchenia              | THACH 2016a: 58 | valid |
| huberi                 | Oophana                   | THACH 2018a: 39 | valid |
| huberi                 | Oospira                   | THACH 2016a: 57 | valid |
| huberi                 | Pallgergelyia             | THACH 2017a: 32 | valid |
| huberi                 | Pearsonia                 | THACH 2016a: 36 | NC |
| huberi                 | Pollicaria                | THACH 2018a: 20 | LC |
| huberi                 | Prosopaeas                | THACH 2018a: 38 | LC |
| huberi                 | Pseudobuliminus           | THACH 2017a: 55 | LC |
| huberi                 | Pseudopartula             | THACH 2016a: 74 | valid |
| huberi                 | Pterocyclos               | THACH 2015b: 59 | no comment |
| huberi                 | Rhiostoma                 | THACH 2018a: 17 | no comment |
| huberi                 | Satsuma                   | THACH 2018a: 71 | no comment |
| huberi                 | Streptartemon             | THACH 2016a: 60 | NC |
| huberi                 | Tortulosa                 | THACH 2018a: 21 | MC |
| huberi                 | Trachomorpha              | THACH 2018a: 45 | valid |
| huberi                 | Tropidophora              | THACH 2018a: 24 | subadult shell |
| huberi                 | Vargapupa                 | THACH 2018a: 22 | MC |
| huberi vinhensis       | Camaena                   | THACH 2018a: 67 | juveniles |
| hucae                  | Amphidromus               | Thach et Huber in THACH 2016a: 66 | LC |
| hucae                  | Euplecta                  | Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 42 | LC |
| huynhahni              | Amphidromus               | THACH 2019f: 11 | no comment |
| huynhi                 | Amphidromus               | THACH 2019c: 104 | no comment |
| jeffabbasorump        | Amphidromus               | THACH 2016c: 3 | no comment |
| johnabbasi             | Amphidromus               | THACH 2017b: 35 | LC |
| johnstanisici          | Amphidromus               | Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 41 | no comment |
| junionabbasi           | Amphidromus               | THACH 2018a: 55 | LC |
| keppensshondtorum      | Amphidromus               | THACH 2018b: 31 | no comment |
| khanducensis           | Camaena                   | Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 67 | NC |
| khammuonensis          | Amphidromus               | Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 41 | valid, two broken, half shells |
| khongensis             | Cyclophorus               | Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 14 | See under Cyclophorus |
| klausgrohi             | Chloritis                 | Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 52 | valid |
| koenigi                | Amphidromus               | Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 56 | valid, subadult shells |
| koonpoi                | Amphidromus               | Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 56 | LC |
| laegus lakorensis      | Amphidromus               | THACH 2019e: 232 | no comment |
| lai                    | Amphidromus               | THACH 2019b: 37 | no comment |
| lamdongensis           | Amphidromus               | Thach et Huber in THACH 2016a: 67 | LC |
| ledaoae                | Amphidromus               | THACH 2016a: 67 | no comment |
| ledaoae anhi           | Amphidromus               | THACH 2018a: 56 | LC, subadult shells |
| Species and subspecies | Original generic placement | References | Remarks |
|------------------------|----------------------------|------------|---------|
| leeana                 | Camaena                    | THACH 2017a: 52 | LC      |
| liei                   | Amphidromus                | THACH 2017b: 36 | no comment |
| liei                   | Hemiplecta                 | THACH 2018a: 43 | no comment |
| liei joshuathami       | Amphidromus                | THACH 2018b: 35 | no comment |
| louiseae               | Obba                       | THACH 2016g: 3 | no comment |
| lucsegersi             | Amphidromus                | THACH & ABBAS 2017a: 52 | LC |
| maestrattii            | Pseudobuliminus            | THACH 2017a: 55 | see under Giardia |
| mariae                 | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 42 | valid |
| marieabbasae          | Amphidromus                | THACH 2017a: 42 | MC, juvenile shells |
| mariesandersae        | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 42 | valid, juvenile shells |
| melanostoma janetabbasae | Leptopoma             | THACH 2018c: 264 | no comment |
| monsecourorum          | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 43 | no comment |
| montesdeocai          | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 43 | MC |
| naggsi                 | Amphidromus                | THACH & HUBER 2014: 35 | MC |
| ngai                  | Amphidromus                | THACH 2019b: 33 | no comment |
| ngheanensis           | Cyclophorus                | Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 15 | see under Cyclophorus |
| ngocamhi              | Amphidromus                | THACH 2017a: 43 | MC |
| ngocngai              | Amphidromus                | THACH 2017a: 44 | MC |
| ngocngai              | Rhiostoma                  | Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 17 | no comment |
| nicoi                 | Amphidromus                | THACH 2017c: 298 | no comment |
| nicoi                 | Pollicaria                 | THACH 2018a: 21 | MC |
| ninhbinensis          | Camaena                    | THACH 2016a: 72 | valid |
| ninhbinensis          | Rhiostoma                  | Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 17 | LC |
| ninhhoensis           | Thachia                    | Huber in THACH 2018a: 40 | valid |
| noriohovasoei        | Amphidromus                | THACH & HUBER 2017: 123 | LC |
| obesa                 | Pseudobuliminus            | Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 73 | see under Giardia |
| onae                  | Camaena                    | THACH 2016t: 17 | valid |
| ovoideus              | Pseudobuliminus            | Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 74 | see under Giardia |
| owengriffithsi        | Obeliscus                  | Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 30 | LC |
| pallgergelyi          | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 58 | LC |
| pallgergelyi          | Discartemon                | THACH 2017a: 31 | MC |
| pamabbasae            | Amphidromus                | THACH 2017f: 34 | LC |
| patamakanthini        | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 59 | LC |
| penghuoani            | Amphidromus                | THACH 2018b: 34 | LC |
| perversus siglerae    | Amphidromus                | THACH 2018a: 59 | no comment |
| petuchi               | Amphidromus                | THACH 2018a: 60 | LC |
| phamanhi              | Amphidromus                | THACH 2016a: 68 | valid |
| philippeboucheiti     | Amphidromus                | THACH 2019c: 103 | no comment |
| phuonglinhiae        | Amphidromus                | THACH 2017a: 45 | LC |
| phuonglinhiae vinhensis | Amphidromus             | Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 60 | LC, juveniles, weathered |
| renkeri               | Amphidromus                | THACH 2018b: 33 | LC |
| reuselaarsi           | Amphidromus                | THACH 2018a: 61 | no comment |
| richgoldbergi         | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 45 | LC |
| salzmanni             | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 45 | LC |
| schileykoi            | Amphidromus                | THACH 2016a: 68 | MC |
| schileykoi            | Pterocyclos                | Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 17 | valid |
| schileykoi            | Tortulosa                  | Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 22 | MC |
| semicinererus          | Amphidromus                | THACH 2018a: 62 | LC |
| setzeri               | Amphidromus                | THACH 2015a: 56 | LC |
| Species and subspecies | Original generic placement | References | Remarks |
|------------------------|----------------------------|------------|---------|
| setzeri                | Bertia                     | THACH 2015c: 240 | valid   |
| severnsi               | Amphidromus                | THACH 2017a: 46 | LC      |
| severnsi anhi          | Amphidromus                | THACH 2018a: 62 | LC      |
| siglerae               | Rhyynchotrochus            | THACH 2018a: 72 | no comment |
| simonei                | Diastole                   | THach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 34 | LC      |
| simonei                | Quantula                   | THach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 44 | no comment |
| siongkiati             | Amphidromus                | THACH 2019d: 17 | no comment |
| sriabbasae             | Amphidromus                | THACH 2017a: 47 | no comment |
| sowyani                | Amphidromus                | THACH 2019h: 35 | no comment |
| stevehubrechti         | Amphidromus                | THACH & ABBAS 2017b: 119 | LC      |
| stevenabbasorum        | Cyclophorus                | THACH 2016b: 118 | see under Cyclophorus |
| steveni                | Amphidromus                | THACH 2017b: 36 | LC, immature shells |
| stungtrengensis        | Amphidromus                | THach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 63 | LC, single subadult shell |
| stungtrengensis        | Cyclophorus                | THach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 16 | see under Cyclophorus |
| szechersi              | Discartemon                | THach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 38 | LC      |
| szechersi              | Liperophaedusa             | THACH 2017a: 29 | valid   |
| tedbaerri              | Amphidromus                | THACH 2017b: 37 | LC, immature shells |
| thachi                 | Bouchetcamaena             | Huber in THACH 2018a: 65 | no comment |
| thachi                 | Chloritis                  | Huber in THACH 2018a: 69 | no comment |
| thachi                 | Ganesella                  | Huber in THACH 2018a: 70 | no comment |
| thachi                 | Pearsonia                  | Huber in THACH 2017a: 16 | LC      |
| thachi                 | Perrottetia                | Huber in THACH 2018a: 40 | see comment under P. thachi |
| thachi                 | Pseudobuliminus            | Huber in THACH 2018a: 74 | see under Giardia |
| thachi                 | Pterocyclus                | Huber in THACH 2017a: 17 | no comment |
| thachi                 | Rhiostoma                  | Huber in THACH 2018a: 17 | no comment |
| thachi                 | Satsuma                    | Huber in THACH 2018a: 71 | no comment |
| thachi krisi           | Amphidromus                | THACH 2018a: 63 | valid   |
| thachi laosianus       | Chloritis                  | Huber in THACH 2018a: 69 | no comment |
| thakhekensis           | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 48 | LC      |
| thakhekensis           | Cyclophorus                | Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 16 | See under Cyclophorus |
| thanhhoaensis          | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in THACH 2016a: 69 | valid   |
| thanhhoaensis          | Camaraena                  | THACH 2016a: 72 | valid   |
| thuothaoae             | Oophana                    | THACH 2017a: 32 | valid   |
| trianensis             | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in THACH 2018a: 64 | no comment |
| trochus janetabasa     | Asperitas                  | THACH 2018a: 44 | no comment |
| truongkhoai            | Amphidromus                | THACH 2018a: 64 | LC      |
| tuongryae              | Pseudobuliminus            | THACH 2017a: 56 | LC      |
| vietnaminensis         | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 48 | MC      |
| vilvensi               | Pearsonia                  | Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 16 | valid   |
| vinhensis              | Trachomorpha               | THACH 2018a: 45 | LC      |
| yangbayensis           | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in THACH 2016a: 70 | LC, weathered juveniles |
| yanyejeiae             | Amphidromus                | Thach et Abbas in THACH 2017a: 49 | no comment |
| yenlinhiae             | Amphidromus                | Thach et Huber in THACH 2017a: 49 | LC      |