Quantitative optical coherence tomography angiography of macular vascular structure and foveal avascular zone in glaucoma
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Abstract

Objective
The study aimed to evaluate the quantitative characteristics of the macular vessel density (VD) and foveal avascular zone (FAZ) in glaucoma using optical coherence tomography angiography (OCT-A).

Design
Cross-sectional, age- and sex-matched case–control study.

Methods
Fifty-two eyes of 52 patients with primary open angle glaucoma and 52 eyes from 52 healthy participants were recruited retrospectively. OCT-A was performed on a 3 x 3-mm macular region centered on the fovea. OCT-A scans were manually graded to define the FAZ. Parafoveal VD in superficial and deep retina were analyzed in the circular- and quadrant-segmented zone. The FAZ parameters included size, perimeter, and circularity index. The regression analysis among VD and FAZ-related parameters and ocular parameters was performed, and the diagnostic ability was calculated with refractive error adjusted.

Results
For both groups, the mean age and the sex ratio was not different between groups. With refractive error adjusted, the average macular VD was lower in glaucoma than in the control group for superficial (P = 0.013), deep (P<0.001), and the whole retina (P = 0.002). There were increased FAZ perimeter and decreased FAZ circularity index in glaucoma when compared with controls (P<0.001). In the multivariate regression models, FAZ circularity index were significantly associated with decreased peripapillary RNFL thickness (P = 0.007) and macular GCIPL thickness (P = 0.009) measured by OCT. The refractive-error adjusted area under receiver operating characteristics was highest for FAZ circularity index (0.905; 95%
CI, 0.844–0.966), followed by temporal deep retinal VD (0.870; 95% CI, 0.803–0.937) and FAZ perimeter (0.858; 95% CI, 0.784–0.932).

Conclusions
Decreased macular VD, increased FAZ perimeter, and decreased FAZ circularity index were observed in eyes with glaucoma using OCT-A. With refractive error adjusted, these parameters showed considerable diagnostic value for glaucoma. FAZ circularity index may be a novel biomarker representing disruption of the parafoveal capillary network in glaucoma, as supported by its association with structural parameters.

Introduction
Hypotheses associated with the development of primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) and normal tension glaucoma (NTG) can be largely classified into those involving vascular factors, [1–5] and those involving biomechanical stress such as intraocular pressure (IOP) or thin lamina cribrosa.[6–9] Although IOP has been regarded as the most important risk factor in the management of glaucoma, reducing IOP does not always guarantee the cessation of the disease progression. Recent studies have proposed that POAG and NTG may represent a continuum of open-angle glaucoma (OAG) that differs primarily in predominant causative risk factors, with higher IOP being important in POAG, whereas additional IOP-independent factors such as nocturnal hypotension or circadian fluctuation of mean ocular perfusion pressure may be more important in NTG.[1, 2, 10–12]

Various modalities have been developed and applied to assess the ocular blood flow (OBF), including color Doppler imaging, laser Doppler flowmetry, retinal vessel analyzer, laser speckle flowgraphy, and fluorescein angiography (FAG).[13–18] However, there is no consensus on measuring OBF, as modern hemodynamic assessment techniques provide only a partial description of the ocular circulation.[15] It may also be contributed to by individual differences in flow parameter readings in some OBF-measuring devices in clinical practice.[19]

Recently, a new non-invasive microvasculature imaging technique called optical coherence tomography angiography (OCT-A) was developed for visualization of both perfused vascular network and vascular abnormalities without the need of contrast eye.[20] Studies found out that vascular densities (VD) of the optic nerve head (ONH), peripapillary area, and macula have significant associations with structural glaucomatous damage expressed in the neuroretinal rim area, retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness, and ganglion cell complex (GCC) thickness measured by optical coherence tomography (OCT).[21–23] A structure-to-function relationship also has been established between VD measured at different locations by OCT-A and visual field (VF) parameters in glaucoma.[22, 24, 25] For differentiating between glaucoma and healthy eyes, peripapillary VD had diagnostic accuracy comparable to the RNFL thickness measurement.[26]

In contrast, macular VD had significantly lower diagnostic abilities in OAG than did peripapillary VD.[27] We believe that the foveal avascular zone (FAZ) should be the primary focus in the analysis of macular vascular structure for demonstrating glaucoma pathogenesis. The degeneration or atrophic changes of macular capillaries may affect the shape and size of FAZ, which have been shown to be of both diagnostic and prognostic value in retinal diseases such as retinal vein occlusion and diabetic retinopathy.[28–33] However, the clinical meaning of FAZ in glaucoma is not well understood. To the best of our knowledge, no attempt to the
present time has been made to investigate the disruption of the parafoveal capillary network in eyes with glaucoma.

The purpose of this study was to present a method to assess the VD of the macular area and the parafoveal capillary network quantitatively, to investigate the associations between these parameters and glaucomatous structural and functional damage, and to test its diagnostic ability for discriminating glaucoma from healthy eyes.

Materials and methods
Study subjects
The study conform to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. There was no need for patient consent as the data were analyzed retrospectively and anonymously. Asan Medical Center IRB Approval number is S2016-1987-0001. This was a retrospective, age- and sex-matched case–control study performed from April 1, 2016 to August 31, 2016 at the Central Seoul Eye Center and the Asan Medical Center after approval of the Institutional Review Board. The study conformed to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

We retrospectively recruited 52 eyes of 52 patients with OAG, and 52 eyes of 52 age- and sex-matched healthy control subjects. Inclusion criteria for both groups were (1) best-corrected visual acuity of 20/30 or better, refractive error between -12 and +3 diopter (D) spherical equivalent, (2) cylinder correction within 3 D, and (3) clear ocular media to prevent poor-quality imaging of the optic disc and macula.

OAG was defined as the presence of glaucomatous optic nerve appearance, associated structural and functional VF defect identified by RNFL photography and standard automated perimetry (Humphrey Field Analyzer II 850; 24–2 Swedish interactive threshold algorithm standard; Carl-Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA), and open angle on gonioscopic examination. A glaucomatous VF change was defined as (1) outside normal limit on glaucoma hemifield test or (2) three abnormal points with \( P \) less than 5% probability of being normal or one abnormal point with \( P \) less than 1% by pattern deviation, or (3) pattern standard deviation (PSD) of 5% if the VF was otherwise normal, confirmed by two consecutive tests. A VF measurement was considered to be reliable when false-positive/negative results were less than 15% and fixation losses were less than 20%. For each glaucoma patient, an age- and sex-matched healthy subject who visited the clinic during the same recruitment period was enrolled and served as a control subject. Healthy subjects had (1) IOP less than 21 mm Hg with no history of elevated IOP; (2) normal appearance of optic disc, intact neuroretinal rim, and RNFL; and (3) normal visual fields, defined as a PSD within 95% confidence limits and a glaucoma hemifield test result within normal limits. Exclusion criteria for both groups were (1) severe myopic disc and fundus changes impairing adequate ONH/ VF evaluation for glaucoma, (2) coexisting retinal or neurologic diseases that could affect the VF, (3) poor quality OCT-A or spectral domain OCT scans that had significant artifacts (poor signal strength < 8, loss of fixation, asymmetric illumination, or motion artifacts such as vessel doubling or edge duplication), and (4) a history of diabetes mellitus, retinal vasculo-occlusive diseases such as retinal vein occlusion that can affect FAZ microvasculature, or prior eye surgery/ laser treatment. Patients with a history of uncomplicated cataract surgeries or systemic hypertension were not excluded. The affected eye was selected in patients with unilateral glaucoma, and if both eyes of a patient had glaucoma and met the inclusion criteria, one eye was randomly selected for entry. A healthy control eye was randomly selected in patients with no sign of glaucoma in both eyes.

Each subject underwent a comprehensive ophthalmic examination including visual acuity assessment, manifest refraction, slit-lamp biomicroscopy, gonioscopy, Goldman applanation tonometry, and dilated stereoscopic examination of the optic disc and retina. Subjects also
underwent standard automated perimetry, spectral domain OCT peripapillary RNFL thickness measurement, macular ganglion cell and inner plexiform layer (GCIPL) thickness measurement, and OCT-A (Cirrus 5000HD equipped with ZEISS AngioPlex™; Carl-Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA) examination. The intereye symmetry, which describes the correlation in retardation measurements between the eyes of a subject, was not used for analysis because only one eye from each of the glaucoma and control subjects was used.

OCT-A image acquisition
All eyes were scanned using an OCT-A system offering optical microangiography algorithm as described earlier. OCT-A volume scans (3 x 3 mm) centered on the fovea were separately acquired. The built-in segmentation algorithm automatically detects the boundaries of the retinal layers from the structural OCT cross-sectional images. A trained OCT-A user (S.L.) acquired the images, and the automatically segmented superficial and deep retinal capillary plexus were individually reviewed by one of the investigators (J.C.) for quality evaluation, and unqualified scans were excluded.

Assessment of macular vessel density
Assessment of macular VD was performed using an image processing algorithm written in MATLAB software (The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA). Each 3 x 3 mm macular superficial and deep retinal vessel image was analyzed. Briefly, the vessel edges in a certain image are first accentuated by using a low-pass filter and the resultant image subtracted from the original image. The result is then thresholded for intensity and object size to isolate vessels and remove noise. A median filter to smooth vessel edges is then applied to the binary image. The VD was expressed as a percentage by taking the ratio of the total vessel area (all pixels with a ratio value between 0.7 and 1.0) to the total area of region of interest (size of the image in pixels). VD was separately evaluated at superficial and deep retinal layers.

Two sorts of regional segmentation were performed for superficial and deep retinal vessel images. For the circular segmentation, VD was measured at various distances from fovea: three concentric circular regions from 1.0 to 2.5 mm radius with 0.5-mm interval (Fig 1A and 1C; C1, 1.0–1.5 mm; C2, 1.5–2.0 mm; C3, 2.0–2.5 mm). For the quadrant segmentation, VD was measured in the parafoveal sectors after excluding the fovea-centered 1.0-mm radius area, namely, temporal, superior, nasal, and inferior quadrant inside the circular 2.5-mm radius area (Fig 1B and 1D).

Assessment of foveal avascular zone
We analyzed FAZ with respect to size, perimeter, and circularity index. OCT-A scans of the superficial and deep retinal vasculature were exported and independently assessed by two trained graders (J.W.S. and J.K.) using ImageJ (version 1.49, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). The image scale was set using known image size of 452 x 452 pixels. Because each scan length is 3 mm horizontally and vertically, a pixel aspect ratio of 1.0 was set, resulting in a scale of 150.67 pixels per mm. The whole edge points were manually connected to each other along the borderline of the identifiable capillary network in the parafoveal area. FAZ size and perimeter were calculated by the software from the comparison of the 9 mm² total image size.

Circularity index is a measure of compactness of a shape relative to a circle. The circularity index of a circle is 1.0. Thus, a ratio closer to 0 indicates an irregular shape, and that closer to 1.0 indicates a circular shape. The circularity index is calculated as a function of the perimeter.
and the area of a shape.

$$\text{Circularity index} = \frac{4\pi \times \text{area}}{\text{perimeter}^2}$$

Our hypothesis for integrating FAZ circularity index into the analysis is that if disruption of parafoveal capillary network progresses, FAZ shape would be less likely that of a perfect circular shape, which may lead to a decrease in FAZ circularity index. Circularity index has been
applied in other fields of ophthalmology to describe the perfectness of capsulorhexis in cataract surgery or the prognosis of geographic atrophy.[34, 35]

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics for baseline demographics and clinical parameters associated with functional and structural glucomatous damage were calculated as the mean deviation (MD) and PSD for normally distributed variables. Functional parameters included MD, PSD, and visual field index (VFI) measured by Humphrey VF analyzer, and structural parameters included clock-hour, quadrant, average macular GCIPL thickness, and average peripapillary RNFL thickness measured by OCT. Categorical variables were compared using the chi-square test. Differences in continuous variables between groups were determined using independent sample t-tests. For the assessment of VD and FAZ-related parameters, the superficial and deep retinal VD in each circular and quadrant segmentation were compared between glaucoma and the control group. To adjust for the refractive error, analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was also performed with spherical equivalent (SE) set as a covariate. Associations between macular VDs, FAZ-related parameters, and structural and functional glucomatous damage were determined using linear regression analysis. To assess the reliability of the measurements of FAZ size and circularity index, interclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) with 95% confidence intervals were calculated for intersession and intergrader (J.K. and J.W.S.) measurements for glaucoma subjects (n = 30), who were randomly selected and scanned on two separate occasions.

To investigate the diagnostic ability of VD and FAZ-related parameters, area under the receiver operating characteristics (AUROC) curves were calculated both before and after refractive error adjustment. Pairwise comparison of refractive error-adjusted ROC curves was performed to test the diagnostic ability of macular VDs and FAZ-related parameters using the method of DeLong et al. using the software (MedCalc v. 17; MedCalc Statistical Software, Mariakerke, Belgium).[36] For multiple comparisons, the Bonferroni correction was used to adjust for type I error.

Results

Study population

Table 1 presents the patient demographics and biometric parameters, including refractive error, IOP, and VF, peripapillary RNFL thickness, and macular GCIPL thickness parameters for each group. Age and sex ratio and IOP did not differ between glaucomatous and healthy eyes. Refractive error was more myopic in the glaucomatous eyes than in the healthy control group (P<0.001). There were statistically significant differences between glaucomatous and healthy eyes in all VF parameters and OCT parameters except for 3 o’clock and 4 o’clock RNFL thickness between the groups (P<0.001).

Quantitative assessment of macular VD and FAZ

The comparisons of macular VD and FAZ-related parameters assessed by OCT-A are presented in Table 2. After adjustment for refractive error, the macular VDs were significantly lower in most quadrant- and circular-segmented areas except for a few superficial areas (superior and nasal quadrant zone, and C1 circular zone) in the eyes with OAG. Macular VD was higher for the superficial vascular plexus, when compared with deep vascular plexus at all the analyzed zones in both glucomatous and control group (P<0.05, paired t-test). On the FAZ-
related parameter analysis, it is notable that FAZ size was not different between groups, but there was a higher FAZ perimeter and lower FAZ circularity index in the eyes with POAG regardless of the adjustment of refractive error (P<0.001). Representative cases are shown in Fig 2.
Table 2. Assessment of macular vascular density and foveal avascular zone by OCT angiography.

| Quadrant zone | Normal controls (n = 52) | Glaucoma (n = 52) | P value | P value adjusted for refractive error (SE)† |
|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|---------|------------------------------------------|
|               | Macular vascular density (%) |                  |         |                                          |
| Temporal superficial | 30.78 ± 7.41            | 26.91 ± 7.08     | 0.008*  | 0.005*                                   |
|   deep         | 23.99 ± 6.50             | 16.53 ± 6.77     | <0.001* | <0.001*                                  |
|   whole retina | 39.00 ± 8.01             | 32.86 ± 8.03     | <0.001* | <0.001*                                  |
| Superior superficial | 30.41 ± 8.09            | 28.26 ± 7.71     | 0.17    | 0.054                                    |
|   deep         | 26.20 ± 7.89             | 22.09 ± 8.39     | 0.011*  | 0.005*                                   |
|   whole retina | 39.16 ± 9.30             | 35.68 ± 9.32     | 0.06    | 0.022*                                   |
| Inferior superficial | 29.53 ± 7.05            | 25.44 ± 6.64     | 0.003*  | 0.006*                                   |
|   deep         | 25.96 ± 7.27             | 20.81 ± 7.29     | <0.001* | <0.001*                                  |
|   whole retina | 38.26 ± 8.16             | 32.59 ± 8.11     | 0.011*  | 0.005*                                   |
| Nasal superficial | 30.08 ± 7.88             | 27.63 ± 8.60     | 0.13    | 0.073                                    |
|   deep         | 24.44 ± 7.25             | 22.09 ± 8.39     | 0.011*  | 0.009*                                   |
|   whole retina | 38.17 ± 8.85             | 34.56 ± 10.22    | 0.057   | 0.035*                                   |
| Circular zone  |                          |                  |         |                                          |
| C1 superficial |                          |                  |         |                                          |
|   deep         | 25.87 ± 7.81             | 23.97 ± 7.23     | 0.20    | 0.10                                     |
|   whole retina | 31.18 ± 8.38             | 28.34 ± 8.16     | 0.084   | 0.040*                                   |
| C2 superficial | 30.57 ± 6.79             | 27.59 ± 6.56     | 0.025*  | 0.012*                                   |
|   deep         | 26.98 ± 6.88             | 20.71 ± 7.79     | <0.001* | <0.001*                                  |
|   whole retina | 39.62 ± 7.63             | 34.70 ± 7.90     | 0.002   | 0.001*                                   |
| C3 superficial | 32.29 ± 6.59             | 28.35 ± 6.79     | 0.003*  | 0.002*                                   |
|   deep         | 29.60 ± 6.97             | 24.31 ± 7.48     | <0.001* | <0.001*                                  |
|   whole retina | 42.01 ± 7.68             | 36.39 ± 8.23     | <0.001* | 0.001*                                   |
| Overall        |                          |                  |         |                                          |
| superficial    | 30.08 ± 6.83             | 27.06 ± 6.70     | 0.025*  | 0.013*                                   |
|   deep         | 25.15 ± 6.40             | 19.91 ± 7.14     | <0.001* | <0.001*                                  |
|   whole retina | 38.64 ± 7.66             | 33.93 ± 7.98     | 0.003*  | 0.002*                                   |
| Foveal avascular zone |              |                  |         |                                          |
| FAZ size (mm²) | 0.35 ± 0.11              | 0.36 ± 0.10      | 0.71    | 0.089                                    |
| FAZ perimeter (mm) | 2.32 ± 0.36              | 2.64 ± 0.43      | <0.001* | <0.001*                                  |
| FAZ circularity index | 0.81 ± 0.07              | 0.66 ± 0.12      | <0.001* | <0.001*                                  |

OCT = optical coherence tomography; FAZ = foveal avascular zone.
*Statistical significance was found using independent samples t-test (P<0.05).
†Analysis of covariance.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184948.t002

Association of macular VD and FAZ-related parameters

Table 3 presents the result of linear regression between predictor variables and outcome variables in a multivariate model. When all variables were considered simultaneously, age, SE, and FAZ circularity index were significantly associated with structural parameters (peripapillary RNFL thickness and macular GCIPL thickness). Deep retinal VD was significantly associated with functional parameters (MD and PSD on HVF). In addition, FAZ circularity index was positively associated with spherical equivalent (R² = 0.057, P = 0.014), macular GCIPL thickness (R² = 0.214, P < 0.001), and peripapillary RNFL thickness (R² = 0.198, P < 0.001) by univariate regression analysis.
Fig 2. Representative cases with different foveal avascular zone (FAZ) assessment. Two healthy cases (A, B) and four glaucoma cases (C, D, E, F). (A, B) Healthy eyes have a relatively smaller FAZ size and a higher circularity index. (C, D) Glaucoma patients with remarkable foveal capillary dropout, which is reflected in lower circularity index. (E, F) Advanced glaucoma patients with notably enlarged FAZ size (E) or distorted FAZ shape (F).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184948.g002
Diagnostic ability of macular VD and FAZ-related parameters

Table 4 shows discriminating ability of macular VD, FAZ-related parameters, peripapillary RNFL thickness, and macular GCIPL thickness in our study group. Refractive error adjustment generally enhanced AUROC values for all parameters. Of all the macular VD parameters and FAZ-related parameters tested, refractive error-unadjusted AUROC value ranged from 0.567 to 0.866, and refractive error-adjusted AUROC value ranged from 0.793 to 0.905. The AUROC was highest for FAZ circularity index (0.905; 95% CI, 0.844–0.966), followed by temporal deep retinal VD (0.870; 95% CI, 0.803–0.937) and FAZ perimeter (0.858; 95% CI, 0.784–0.932) with refractive error adjusted. When the refractive error-adjusted AUROCs of whole retina macular VD, FAZ perimeter, FAZ circularity index, peripapillary RNFL thickness, and macular GCIPL thickness were compared, all differences remained statistically insignificant after Bonferroni correction except for the difference between the whole retina macular VD and peripapillary RNFL thickness. (Fig 3 and Table 5).

Reproducibility

The ICCs for intersession reliability (J.K.) of FAZ size, perimeter, and circularity index measurements were 0.96 (95% CI, 0.91–0.98), 0.89 (95% CI, 0.82–0.94), and 0.93 (95% CI, 0.86–0.97), respectively. The ICCs for intergrader reliability (J.K. and J.W.S.) of FAZ size, perimeter, and circularity index measurements were 0.93 (95% CI = 0.87–0.97), 0.88 (95% CI = 0.81–0.94), and 0.91 (95% CI = 0.82–0.96), respectively.

Discussion

In the current study, we quantitatively demonstrated the characteristics of the macular VD and FAZ in glaucoma using OCT-A. Our findings confirmed the previously known association of decreased macular VD with the development of glaucoma,[27, 37] and also showed that FAZ-related parameters had a diagnostic value for discriminating glaucoma from healthy subjects. Notably, FAZ perimeter and circularity index with refractive error adjustment performs well statistically comparable to macular GCIPL thickness and peripapillary RNFL thickness for discriminating between healthy and glaucoma patients.

Abnormalities of the circulation of the ONH, retina, or choroid have been suggested to have a role in the etiology of glaucoma.[38–41] A histologic study of 20 eye bank eyes found that eyes with advanced glaucomatous damage after long-standing POAG exhibit morphologic changes like those seen in normal subjects.
Table 4. Area under the receiver operating characteristic curves of macular VD and FAZ-related parameters with and without refractive error adjustment.

| Macular vascular density | Quadrant segmentation | Refractive error-unadjusted AUROC (95% CI) | Refractive error-adjusted AUROC (95% CI) |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
|                          | Temporal             |                                           |                                         |
|                          | superficial          | 0.633 (0.516–0.749)                       | 0.810 (0.730–0.891)                     |
|                          | deep                 | 0.804 (0.713–0.894)                       | 0.870 (0.803–0.937)                     |
|                          | whole retina         | 0.703 (0.594–0.812)                       | 0.838 (0.764–0.913)                     |
|                          | Superior             |                                           |                                         |
|                          | superficial          | 0.579 (0.459–0.700)                       | 0.794 (0.708–0.879)                     |
|                          | deep                 | 0.662 (0.549–0.776)                       | 0.801 (0.717–0.885)                     |
|                          | whole retina         | 0.615 (0.497–0.733)                       | 0.802 (0.717–0.858)                     |
|                          | Inferior             |                                           |                                         |
|                          | superficial          | 0.674 (0.561–0.787)                       | 0.808 (0.725–0.892)                     |
|                          | deep                 | 0.711 (0.603–0.819)                       | 0.835 (0.758–0.913)                     |
|                          | whole retina         | 0.708 (0.599–0.817)                       | 0.823 (0.742–0.904)                     |
|                          | Nasal                |                                           |                                         |
|                          | superficial          | 0.578 (0.459–0.698)                       | 0.809 (0.727–0.891)                     |
|                          | deep                 | 0.644 (0.530–0.758)                       | 0.811 (0.730–0.892)                     |
|                          | whole retina         | 0.608 (0.491–0.726)                       | 0.813 (0.731–0.894)                     |
| Circular segmentation    | C1                    |                                           |                                         |
|                          | superficial          | 0.567 (0.447–0.687)                       | 0.793 (0.707–0.878)                     |
|                          | deep                 | 0.678 (0.567–0.790)                       | 0.806 (0.723–0.888)                     |
|                          | whole retina         | 0.607 (0.488–0.725)                       | 0.799 (0.715–0.883)                     |
|                          | C2                    |                                           |                                         |
|                          | superficial          | 0.623 (0.506–0.740)                       | 0.809 (0.727–0.890)                     |
|                          | deep                 | 0.745 (0.643–0.847)                       | 0.840 (0.766–0.915)                     |
|                          | whole retina         | 0.680 (0.568–0.791)                       | 0.828 (0.751–0.905)                     |
|                          | C3                    |                                           |                                         |
|                          | superficial          | 0.664 (0.552–0.777)                       | 0.829 (0.751–0.907)                     |
|                          | deep                 | 0.707 (0.600–0.814)                       | 0.836 (0.760–0.912)                     |
|                          | whole retina         | 0.697 (0.588–0.807)                       | 0.842 (0.767–0.916)                     |
|                          | Average              |                                           |                                         |
|                          | AUROC (95% CI)       |                                           |                                         |
|                          | superficial          | 0.620 (0.503–0.737)                       | 0.811 (0.730–0.893)                     |
|                          | deep                 | 0.726 (0.621–0.831)                       | 0.833 (0.757–0.909)                     |
|                          | whole retina         | 0.673 (0.560–0.785)                       | 0.825 (0.747–0.902)                     |
| FAZ-related parameters   | FAZ size             | 0.518 (0.407–0.630)                       | 0.781 (0.694–0.868)                     |
|                          | FAZ perimeter        | 0.709 (0.610–0.808)                       | 0.858 (0.784–0.932)                     |
|                          | FAZ circularity index| 0.866 (0.796–0.935)                       | 0.905 (0.844–0.966)                     |
| Reference parameters     | Peripapillary RNFL thickness | 0.961 (0.916–1.000)                       | 0.969 (0.929–1.000)                     |
|                          | Macular GCIPL thickness | 0.942 (0.886–0.998)                      | 0.948 (0.893–1.000)                     |

AUROC = Area Under Receiver Operating Characteristic; CI = confidence interval; FAZ = foveal avascular zone, RNFL = retinal nerve fiber layer; GCIPL = ganglion cell and inner plexiform layer.

The quadrant sectors were assessed in a clockwise direction for the right eyes and in a counterclockwise direction for the left eyes.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184948.t004
changes, including decreased density of choriocapillaries and large choroidal vessels.[37] Recent advances in OCT-A technology have made it available to assess VD of specific retinal layers in a given region of interest. By subtracting two consecutive B-scans, OCT-A generates microcirculatory information of the ONH, peripapillary area, or macular area. Measuring the concentration and velocity of red blood cells (RBCs) particles allows assessment of RBC flux and flow, respectively, to assess tissue perfusion and oxygen/nutrition exchange rates within micro-structures.[42] Tissue perfusion images acquired by OCT-A have been shown to be correlated with blood flow in vivo.[43]
The macula including parafoveal region is of great clinical importance in glaucoma. In a study in which the rates of VF change were evaluated using different VF area, the progressive decline in sensitivity in the central area, particularly the central inferior zone, has the strongest association with decline in quality of life of patients with glaucoma.\[44\] It has also been known that OBF plays an important role in the pathogenesis of NTG. Unstable OBF represented by circadian fluctuation of 24-hour mean ocular perfusion pressure was associated with paracentral VF defect progression.\[45, 46\] Anatomical evidences also support that the parafoveal region deserves attention in glaucoma. Almost 50% of retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) are distributed in the macula, and studies in primates have shown that RGC density reaches a maximum within the foveal slope, approximately 0.5 mm from the foveal pit.\[47\] For the vascular supply, whereas the peripapillary area has a double-layered capillary support system (RNFL and GCL) and connecting capillaries, the fovea is supplied only by the single-layered parafoveal capillary arcade.\[48\] Physiologically, the retina and especially the macula consume more oxygen per weight than any other tissue in the mammalian body; thus, the macula is likely susceptible to hypoxic and ischemic damage.\[49\] The diagnostic ability of segmented macular RNFL and GCIPL to discriminate between healthy eyes and eyes with glaucoma is high.\[50\]

However, the association of macular vascular structure with glaucoma has rarely been studied. In a cross-sectional study in which macular VD and retinal thickness were measured, those eyes with POAG had a lower macular VD, which was strongly associated with more severe hemimacular VF defect and thinner corresponding retinal thickness; these findings imply that a diminished macular microvasculature network is closely associated with structural and functional glaucomatous damage.\[51\] Our study results showed that superficial macular VD was greater than the deep macular VD in all analyzed regions. This is in accordance with the histologic study that found that eyes from deceased donors have a denser superficial plexus capillary network than the deep plexus.\[52\]

In a previous study, Rao et al. evaluated AUROCs of the regional VD in ONH, peripapillary, and macular regions using OCT-A in eyes with POAG, and they concluded that the diagnostic ability of the regional macular VD parameters measured by OCT-A was only moderate.\[27\] Specifically, they showed that macular VD had significantly lower AUROC value in POAG than did the peripapillary VD. Macular VDs analyzed in their study were only of the superficial vascular plexus present in the inner layers of the retina, and the comparisons were performed

### Table 5. Pairwise comparisons of AUROC curves referring to the glaucoma group.

|                                      | Difference between AUROCs | SE   | P-value |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|------|--------|
| whole retina macular VD and FAZ perimeter | 0.033                     | 0.037| 0.37   |
| whole retina macular VD and FAZ circularity index | 0.059                     | 0.044| 0.18   |
| whole retina macular VD and peripapillary RNFL thickness | 0.11                      | 0.040| 0.0047*|
| whole retina macular VD and macular GCIPL thickness | 0.096                     | 0.043| 0.025  |
| FAZ perimeter and FAZ circularity index | 0.026                     | 0.033| 0.44   |
| FAZ perimeter and peripapillary RNFL thickness | 0.081                     | 0.036| 0.024  |
| FAZ perimeter and macular GCIPL thickness | 0.062                     | 0.041| 0.13   |
| FAZ circularity index and peripapillary RNFL thickness | 0.055                     | 0.036| 0.13   |
| FAZ circularity index and macular GCIPL thickness | 0.037                     | 0.041| 0.38   |
| Peripapillary RNFL thickness and macular GCIPL thickness | 0.018                     | 0.020| 0.36   |

AUROC = Area Under Receiver Operating Characteristics; SE = standard error; VD = vascular density; FAZ = foveal avascular zone; GCIPL = ganglion cell and inner plexiform layer; RNFL = retinal nerve fiber layer.

*Statistical significance was found using the method described by deLong et al. Bonferroni correction was performed to adjust for type I error (P<0.005).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184948.t005
without refractive error adjustment. In contrast, refractive error-adjusted analysis were performed for macular VD and FAZ-related parameters in our study. For diagnostic accuracy, our study showed that AUROC values of regional macular VD ranged from 0.793 (C1 superficial retina) to 0.870 (temporal deep retina) in regional analysis. A recent study revealed that the density of retinal capillary microvasculature is reduced in greater myopia,[53] and thus this finding necessitates the refractive error correction is needed when analyzing VD in glaucoma. The relatively higher AUROC values of macular VD reported in our study when compared to the previous one by Rao et al. may be due to the proper adjustment of refractive error.

Apart from VD, FAZ morphology has been known to be related with many pathologic conditions of the eyeball. Size and regularity of FAZ have been shown to be of both diagnostic and prognostic value in retinal diseases such as diabetic retinopathy and retinal vein occlusion. [54–57] It is also known that the disintegrity of the vascular arcades not only enlarges the maximum FAZ diameter but also alters the shape of FAZ in diabetic retinopathy.[58] In glaucoma, microcirculatory alterations in the perifovea are spatially correlated with central VF loss. Loss of FAZ circularity was significantly associated with presence of central VF defect, whereas FAZ area was significantly associated with the severity of central VF defect.[59] With the assumption that focal loss of the parafoveal capillary arcade alters the shape of FAZ in glaucoma, we decided to analyze the role of FAZ perimeter and circularity index as these parameters may reflect macular vascular perfusion status.

An unexpected finding in the current study was that FAZ circularity index and perimeter were among the best discriminating parameters between eyes with glaucoma and healthy controls with AUROC of 0.905 (0.844–0.966) and of 0.858 (0.784–0.932), which were statistically comparable to those of peripapillary RNFL thickness and macular GCIPL thickness. There are a few possible hypotheses for these results. First, FAZ circularity index may be the more sensitive indicator for detecting early vascular damage, as focal loss of parafoveal capillary arcade tends to happen prior to the enlargement of FAZ in advanced disease (Fig 2C–2F). Second, the relatively low diagnostic accuracy of macular VD outside foveal region may implicate that the focal loss of capillaries in the early stage of glaucoma is not well reflected in the parameter of sectoral macular VD outside fovea calculated from OCT-A images, as there is a multilayer vascular support system in both the RNFL layer and the GCL in these regions. In contrast, the subtle change of parafoveal vascular arcade may render the outline of FAZ more irregular, resulting in the decreased FAZ circularity index in early stage of the disease, as there is only a single layer of vascular support in this area.

To the best of our knowledge, there have been no available data to date on the relationship between FAZ circularity index and myopia. In a prospective cohort study of 117 healthy eyes, Tan and colleagues measured 3 x 3-mm macular OCT-A images and evaluated the impact of demographics and ocular factors, and they found that both superficial and deep FAZ size had significant correlations with axial length and SE by univariate regression analysis.[60] To minimize the confounding effect of myopia on the FAZ-related parameters, we used an analysis of covariance and the covariate-adjusted ROC curve models as described earlier in this study. In our study, FAZ circularity index was smaller in more myopic eyes. A possible hypothesis on the positive association of FAZ circularity index with spherical equivalent may be that axial length elongation in myopia has affected the alignment of the parafoveal capillary arcade.

The consistent associations of FAZ circularity index with peripapillary RNFL thickness and macular GCIPL thickness by multivariate regression analysis may imply that disrupted parafoveal vascular blood supply is related to the structural glaucomatous damage and has a diagnostic value in differentiating glaucoma from healthy eyes. Future studies are needed to investigate if our findings are applicable to the different types and stages of glaucoma.
There may be some advantages of using FAZ circularity index over conventional methods. First, as the breakdown of parafoveal capillary network is quantified, vascular risk for POAG pathogenesis may be assessed in a more exquisite way. Future research may advance the diagnosis of glaucoma if this parameter is properly combined with structural damage of GCIPL or RNFL. Second, there is no need of special devices for calculating FAZ circularity index. It can be calculated easily with OCT-A images using open source image processing program such as ImageJ.

Our study is not without limitations. First, this is not a prospective study, and normal and glaucomatous eyes had a relatively small sample size of 52 eyes for each group. To overcome this limitation, we designed a thorough age- and sex-matched case–control study. Small number of patients in each group was due to matching of the glaucomatous eyes with normal eyes by age and sex to avoid their possible effects on the measurements of FAZ metrics. This patient matching may also limit the generalizability of our findings when applied to the clinical practice. Second, there may be unknown confounding variables that can affect microvascular perfusion status of the macula such as systemic antihypertensive medications that the glaucoma patients had been receiving. Third, measurements of FAZ size, perimeter, and circularity index were based on subjective measurements using ImageJ software program by our graders. However, this limitation was addressed by excellent intersession and intergrader repeatabilities exhibited by our graders (ICCs: 0.88–0.96). Development of the reliable automated procedure for assessing FAZ morphology may enhance its diagnostic value.

Conclusions
The current study quantitatively evaluated macular VD and FAZ-related parameters using OCT-A in glaucoma. There were decreased macular VD, increased FAZ perimeter, and decreased FAZ circularity index in eyes with OAG compared with healthy eyes. With refractive error adjusted, these parameters showed considerable diagnostic value for glaucoma. In particular, FAZ circularity index showed a considerable diagnostic accuracy for discriminating glaucoma from healthy subjects. This unique finding of our study presents the possibility that FAZ circularity index may act as a novel biomarker for glaucoma diagnosis that reflects the microvascular perfusion status of the macula. In addition, we believe that our algorithm to quantitatively assess the macular capillary networks using macular VD and FAZ morphology may be of help for future glaucoma research especially in the vascular pathogenesis.

Supporting information
S1 File. Dataset of patient demographics, OCT measurements of structural parameters, and OCT-A measurements of macular vessel densities and foveal avascular zone-related parameters.
(XLSX)

Acknowledgments
We are specially grateful to Seung-sik Hwang (MD/PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Public Health Science, Graduate School of Public Health, Seoul National University) for providing professional advice on statistics.

Author Contributions
Conceptualization: Jaewan Choi, Michael S. Kook.
Data curation: Jaewan Choi, Junki Kwon, Joong Won Shin, Saem Lee.

Formal analysis: Jaewan Choi, Junki Kwon, Joong Won Shin, Michael S. Kook.

Funding acquisition: Michael S. Kook.

Investigation: Jaewan Choi, Michael S. Kook.

Methodology: Jaewan Choi.

Project administration: Jaewan Choi, Michael S. Kook.

Resources: Jaewan Choi, Junki Kwon, Joong Won Shin, Saem Lee, Michael S. Kook.

Software: Jaewan Choi, Junki Kwon, Joong Won Shin, Saem Lee.

Supervision: Jaewan Choi, Jiyun Lee, Michael S. Kook.

Validation: Jaewan Choi, Jiyun Lee.

Visualization: Jaewan Choi, Junki Kwon, Joong Won Shin.

Writing – original draft: Jaewan Choi.

Writing – review & editing: Jaewan Choi, Jiyun Lee, Michael S. Kook.

References

1. Choi J, Jeong J, Cho HS, Kook MS. Effect of nocturnal blood pressure reduction on circadian fluctuation of mean ocular perfusion pressure: a risk factor for normal tension glaucoma. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science. 2006; 47: 831–836. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.05-1053 PMID: 16505014

2. Choi J, Kim KH, Jeong J, Cho HS, Lee CH, Kook MS. Circadian fluctuation of mean ocular perfusion pressure is a consistent risk factor for normal-tension glaucoma. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science. 2007; 48: 104–111. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.06-0615 PMID: 17197523

3. Flammer J, Orgul S. Optic nerve blood-flow abnormalities in glaucoma. Progress in retinal and eye research. 1998; 17: 267–289. PMID: 9695795

4. Flammer J, Orgul S, Costa VP, Orzailes N, Kriegstein GK, Serra LM, et al. The impact of ocular blood flow in glaucoma. Progress in retinal and eye research. 2002; 21: 359–393. PMID: 12150988

5. Fuchsjäger-Mayrl G, Wally B, Georgopoulos M, Rainer G, Kircher K, Buehl W, et al. Ocular blood flow and systemic blood pressure in patients with primary open-angle glaucoma and ocular hypertension. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science. 2004; 45: 834–839. PMID: 14985298

6. Cartwright MJ, Anderson DR. Correlation of asymmetric damage with asymmetric intraocular pressure in normal-tension glaucoma (low-tension glaucoma). Archives of ophthalmology (Chicago, Ill: 1960). 1988; 106: 898–900. PMID: 3390051

7. Kim TW, Kagemann L, Girard MJ, Strouthidis NG, Sung KR, Leung CK, et al. Imaging of the lamina cribrosa in glaucoma: perspectives of pathogenesis and clinical applications. Current eye research. 2013; 38: 903–909. https://doi.org/10.3109/02713683.2013.800888 PMID: 23768229

8. Lee EJ, Kim TW, Kim M, Kim H. Influence of lamina cribrosa thickness and depth on the rate of progressive retinal nerve fiber layer thinning. Ophthalmology. 2015; 122: 721–729. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2014.10.007 PMID: 25433610

9. Schulzer M. Intraocular pressure reduction in normal-tension glaucoma patients. The Normal Tension Glaucoma Study Group. Ophthalmology. 1992; 99: 1468–1470. PMID: 1407981

10. Shields MB. Normal-tension glaucoma: is it different from primary open-angle glaucoma? Current opinion in ophthalmology. 2008; 19: 85–88. https://doi.org/10.1097/IOP.0b013e3282f3919b PMID: 18301279

11. Sommer A. Ocular hypertension and normal-tension glaucoma: time for banishment and burial. Archives of ophthalmology (Chicago, Ill: 1960). 2011; 129: 785–787. https://doi.org/10.1001/archophthalmol.2011.117 PMID: 21670346

12. Choi J, Kook MS. Systemic and Ocular Hemodynamic Risk Factors in Glaucoma. BioMed research international. 2015; 2015: 141905. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/141905 PMID: 26557650

13. Banitt M. The choroid in glaucoma. Current opinion in ophthalmology. 2013; 24: 125–129. https://doi.org/10.1097/IOP.0b013e32833d9245 PMID: 23319902
14. Hamard P, Hamard H, Dufaux J, Quesnot S. Optic nerve head blood flow using a laser Doppler velocimeter and haemorheology in primary open angle glaucoma and normal pressure glaucoma. The British journal of ophthalmology. 1994; 78: 449–453. PMID: 8060927

15. Harris A, Kagemann L, Cioffi GA. Assessment of human ocular hemodynamics. Survey of ophthalmology. 1998; 42: 509–533. PMID: 9635901

16. Rojanapongpun P, Drance SM. The response of blood flow velocity in the ophthalmic artery and blood flow of the finger to warm and cold stimuli in glaucomatous patients. Graefe's archive for clinical and experimental ophthalmology = Albrecht von Graefes Archiv fur klinische und experimentelle Ophthalmologie. 1993; 231: 375–377. PMID: 8406061

17. Weinreb RN, Bartsch DU, Freeman WR. Angiography of the glaucomatous optic nerve head. Journal of glaucoma. 1994; 3 Suppl 1: S55–60. PMID: 19920589

18. Shiga Y, Kunikata H, Aizawa N, Kiyota N, Maiya Y, Yokoyama Y, et al. Optic Nerve Head Blood Flow, as Measured by Laser Speckle Flowgraphy, Is Significantly Reduced in Preperimetric Glaucoma. Current eye research. 2016. 41: 1447–1453. https://doi.org/10.3109/02713683.2015.1127974 PMID: 27159148

19. Polska E, Polak K, Luksch A, Fuchsjaeger-Mayrl G, Petternel V, Findl O, et al. Twelve hour reproducibility of choroidal blood flow parameters in healthy subjects. The British journal of ophthalmology. 2004; 88: 533–537. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjo.2003.028480 PMID: 15031172

20. Jia Y, Tan O, Tokayer J, Potsaid B, Wang Y, Liu JJ, et al. Split-spectrum amplitude-decorrelation angiography with optical coherence tomography. Optics express. 2012; 20: 4710–4725. https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.20.004710 PMID: 22418228

21. Leveque PM, Zeboulent P, Brasnu E, Baudouin C, Labbe A. Optic Disc Vascularization in Glaucoma: Value of Spectral-Domain Optical Coherence Tomography Angiography. Journal of ophthalmology. 2016; 2016: 6956717. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/6956717 PMID: 26993852

22. Bojikian KD, Chen CL, Wen JC, Zhang Q, Xin C, Gupta D, et al. Optic Disc Perfusion in Primary Open Angle and Normal Tension Glaucoma Eyes Using Optical Coherence Tomography-Based Microangiography. PloS one. 2016; 11: e0154691. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0154691 PMID: 27149261

23. Wang X, Jiang C, Ko T, Kong X, Yu X, Min W, et al. Correlation between optic disc perfusion and glaucomatous severity in patients with open-angle glaucoma: an optical coherence tomography angiography study. Graefe’s archive for clinical and experimental ophthalmology = Albrecht von Graefes Archiv fur klinische und experimentelle Ophthalmologie. 2015; 253: 1557–1564. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00417-015-3095-y PMID: 26255817

24. Yarmohammadi A, Zangwill LM, Diniz-Filho A, Suh MH, Yousaf S, Saunders LJ, et al. Relationship between Optical Coherence Tomography Angiography Vessel Density and Severity of Visual Field Loss in Glaucoma. Ophthalmology. 2016; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2016.08.041 PMID: 27726964

25. Mammo Z, Heisler M, Balaratnasingam C, Lee S, Yu DY, Mackenzie P, et al. Quantitative Optical Coherence Tomography Angiography of Radial Peripapillary Capillaries in Glaucoma, Glaucoma Suspect, and Normal Eyes. American journal of ophthalmology. 2016; 170: 41–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2016.07.015 PMID: 27470061

26. Yarmohammadi A, Zangwill LM, Diniz-Filho A, Suh MH, Manalastas PI, Fatehee N, et al. Optical Coherence Tomography Angiography Vessel Density in Healthy, Glaucoma Suspect, and Glaucoma Eyes. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science. 2016; 57; Oct451–459. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.15-18944 PMID: 27409505

27. Rao HL, Pradhan ZS, Weinreb RN, Reddy HB, Riyazuddin M, Dasari S, et al. Regional Comparisons of Optical Coherence Tomography Angiography Vessel Density in Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma. American journal of ophthalmology. 2016; 171: 75–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2016.08.030 PMID: 27590118

28. Conrath J, Giorgi R, Raccah D, Ridings B. Foveal avascular zone in diabetic retinopathy: quantitative vs qualitative assessment. Eye (London, England). 2005; 19: 322–326. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.eye.6701456 PMID: 15258601

29. Di G, Weihong Y, Xiao Z, Zhikun Y, Xuan Z, Yi Q, et al. A morphological study of the foveal avascular zone in patients with diabetes mellitus using optical coherence tomography angiography. Graefe’s archive for clinical and experimental ophthalmology = Albrecht von Graefes Archiv fur klinische und experimentelle Ophthalmologie. 2016; 254: 873–879. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00417-015-3143-7 PMID: 26344729

30. Tam J, Martin JA, Roorda A. Noninvasive visualization and analysis of parafoveal capillaries in humans. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science. 2010; 51: 1691–1698. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.09-4483 PMID: 19907024
31. Tan CS, Lim LW, Cheong KX, Chow VS, Chay IW, Tan S. Measurement of Foveal Avascular Zone Dimensions and its Reliability in Healthy Eyes Using Optical Coherence Tomography Angiography. American journal of ophthalmology. 2016; 165: 201–202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2016.02.035 PMID: 27033123

32. Balaratnasin gam C, Inoue M, Ahn S, McCann J, Dhrami-Gavazi E, Yannuzzi LA, et al. Visual Acuity Is Correlated with the Area of the Foveal Avascular Zone in Diabetic Retinopathy and Retinal Vein Occlusion. Ophthalmology. 2016; 123: 2352–2367. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2016.07.008 PMID: 27523615

33. Kang JW, Yoo R, Jo YH, Kim HC. CORRELATION OF MICROVASCULAR STRUCTURES ON OPTICAL COHERENCE TOMOGRAPHY ANGIOGRAPHY WITH VISUAL ACUITY IN RETINAL VEIN OCCLUSION. Retina (Philadelphia, Pa). 2016. https://doi.org/10.1097/iae.0000000000001403 PMID: 27828907

34. Okada M, Hersh D, Paul E, van der Straaten D. Effect of centralization and circularity of manual capsulorhexis on cataract surgery refractive outcomes. Ophthalmology. 2014; 121: 763–770. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2013.09.049 PMID: 24342022

35. Domalpally A, Danis RP, White J, Narkar A, Clemons T, Ferris F, et al. Circularity index as a risk factor for progression of geographic atrophy. Ophthalmology. 2013; 130: 2666–2671. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2013.07.047 PMID: 23933132

36. DeLong ER, DeLong DM, Clarke-Pearson DL. Comparing the areas under two or more correlated receiver operating characteristic curves: a nonparametric approach. Biometrics. 1988; 44: 837–845. PMID: 3203132

37. Spraul CW, Lang GE, Lang GK, Grossniklaus HE. Morphometric changes of the choriocapillaris and the choroidal vasculature in eyes with advanced glaucomatous changes. Vision research. 2002; 42: 923–932. PMID: 11927356

38. Carter CJ, Brooks DE, Doyle DL, Drance SM. Investigations into a vascular etiology for low-tension glaucoma. Ophthalmology. 1990; 97: 49–55. PMID: 2314843

39. Kaiser HJ, Schoetzau A, Stumpfl D, Flammer J. Blood-flow velocities of the extraocular vessels in patients with high-tension and normal-tension primary open-angle glaucoma. American journal of ophthalmology. 1997; 123: 320–327. PMID: 9063241

40. Nicolela MT, Hnik P, Drance SM. Scanning laser Doppler flowmeter study of retinal and optic disk blood flow in glaucomatous patients. American journal of ophthalmology. 1996; 122: 775–783. PMID: 8956631

41. Wolf S, Arend O, Sponsel WE, Schulte K, Cantor LB, Reim M. Retinal hemodynamics using scanning laser ophthalmoscopy and hemorheology in chronic open-angle glaucoma. Ophthalmology. 1993; 100: 1561–1566. PMID: 8414416

42. Yousefi S, Wang RK. Simultaneous estimation of bidirectional particle flow and relative flux using MUSIC-OCT: phantom studies. Physics in medicine and biology. 2014; 59: 6683–6708. https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9155/59/22/6683 PMID: 25327449

43. Yousefi S, Qin J, Wang RK. Super-resolution spectral estimation of optical micro-angiography for quantifying blood flow within microcirculatory tissue beds in vivo. Biomedical optics express. 2013; 4: 1214–1228. https://doi.org/10.1364/BOE.4.001214 PMID: 23984774

44. Abe RY, Diniz-Filho A, Costa VP, Gracitelli OP, Baig S, Medeiros FA. The Impact of Location of Progressive Visual Field Loss on Longitudinal Changes in Quality of Life of Patients with Glaucoma. Ophthalmology. 2016; 123: 552–557. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2015.10.046 PMID: 26704883

45. Sung KR, Cho JW, Lee S, Yun SC, Choi J, Na JH, et al. Characteristics of visual field progression in medically treated normal-tension glaucoma patients with unstable ocular perfusion pressure. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science. 2011; 52: 737–743. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.10-5351 PMID: 20861474

46. Choy J, Lee JH, Han S, et al. Relationship between 24-hour mean ocular perfusion pressure fluctuation and rate of paracentral visual field progression in normal-tension glaucoma. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science. 2013; 54: 6150–6157. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.13-10293 PMID: 23963166

47. Muniz JA, de Ataide LM, Gomes BD, Finlay BL, Silveira LC. Ganglion cell and displaced amacrine cell density distribution in the retina of the howler monkey (Alouatta caraya). PLoS one. 2014; 9: e115291. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0115291 PMID: 25546077

48. Browning DJ. Anatomy and Pathologic Anatomy of Retinal Vein Occlusions. Retinal Vein Occlusions. New York: Saunders; 2012. pp. 12–14.

49. Shweiki D, Itin A, Sofer D, Keshet E. Vascular endothelial growth factor induced by hypoxia may mediate hypoxia-initiated angiogenesis. Nature. 1992; 359: 843–845. https://doi.org/10.1038/359843a0 PMID: 1279431
50. Kim HJ, Lee SY, Park KH, Kim DM, Jeoung JW. Glaucoma Diagnostic Ability of Layer-by-Layer Segmented Ganglion Cell Complex by Spectral-Domain Optical Coherence Tomography. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science. 2016; 57: 4799–4805. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.16-19214 PMID: 27654408

51. Xu H, Yu J, Kong X, Sun X, Jiang C. Macular microvasculature alterations in patients with primary open-angle glaucoma: A cross-sectional study. Medicine. 2016; 95: e4341. PMID: 27537559

52. Mendis KR, Balaratnasingam C, Yu P, Barry CJ, McAllister IL, Cringle SJ, et al. Correlation of histologic and clinical images to determine the diagnostic value of fluorescein angiography for studying retinal capillary detail. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science. 2010; 51: 5864–5869. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.10-5333 PMID: 20505200

53. Al-Sheikh M, Phasukkiwatanan N, Dolz-Marco R, Rahimi M, Iafe NA, Freund KB, et al. Quantitative OCT Angiography of the Retinal Microvasculature and the Choriocapillaris in Myopic Eyes. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science. 2017; 58: 2063–2069. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.16-21289 PMID: 28388703

54. Kim DY, Fingler J, Zawadzki RJ, Park SS, Morse LS, Schwartz DM, et al. Noninvasive imaging of the foveal avascular zone with high-speed, phase-variance optical coherence tomography. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science. 2012; 53: 85–92. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.11-8249 PMID: 22125275

55. Arend O, Wolf S, Jung F, Bertram B, Postgens H, Toonen H, et al. Retinal microcirculation in patients with diabetes mellitus: dynamic and morphological analysis of perifoveal capillary network. The British journal of ophthalmology. 1991; 75: 514–518. PMID: 1911651

56. Kuehlew ein L, Tepeius TC, An L, Durbin MK, Srinivas S, Sadda SR. Noninvasive Visualization and Analysis of the Human Parafoveal Capillary Network Using Swept Source OCT Optical Microangiography. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science. 2015; 56: 3984–3988. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.15-16510 PMID: 26087363

57. Adhi M, Filho MA, Louzada RN, Kuehlew ein L, de Carlo TE, Baumal CR, et al. Retinal Capillary Network and Foveal Avascular Zone in Eyes with Vein Occlusion and Fellow Eyes Analyzed With Optical Coherence Tomography Angiography. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science. 2016; 57: Oct486–494. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.15-18907 PMID: 27442342

58. Freiberg FJ, Pfau M, Wons J, Wirth MA, Becker MD, Michels S. Optical coherence tomography angiography of the foveal avascular zone in diabetic retinopathy. Graefe’s archive for clinical and experimental ophthalmology = Albrecht von Graefes Archiv fur klinische und experimentelle Ophthalmologie. 2016; 254: 1051–1058. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00417-015-3148-2 PMID: 26338819

59. Kwon J, Choi J, Shin JW, Lee J, Kook MS. Alterations of the Foveal Avascular Zone Measured by Optical Coherence Tomography Angiography in Glaucoma Patients With Central Visual Field Defects. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science. 2017; 58: 1637–1645. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.16-21079 PMID: 28297029

60. Tan CS, Lim LW, Chow VS, Chay IW, Tan S, Cheong KX, et al. Optical Coherence Tomography Angiography Evaluation of the Parafoveal Vasculature and Its Relationship With Ocular Factors. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science. 2016; 57: Oct224–234. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.15-18869 PMID: 27409476