Mapping of language and motor function during awake neurosurgery with intraoperative optical imaging
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Intraoperative optical imaging (IOI) is a marker-free, contactless, and noninvasive imaging technique that is able to visualize metabolic changes of the brain surface following neuronal activation. Although it has been used in the past mainly for the identification of functional brain areas under general anesthesia, the authors investigated the potential of the method during awake surgery. Measurements were performed in 10 patients who underwent resection of lesions within or adjacent to cortical language or motor sites. IOI was applied in 3 different scenarios: identification of motor areas by using finger-tapping tasks, identification of language areas by using speech tasks (overt and silent speech), and a novel approach—the application of IOI as a feedback tool during direct electrical stimulation (DES) mapping of language. The functional maps, which were calculated from the IOI data (activity maps), were qualitatively compared with the functional MRI (fMRI) and the electrophysiological testing results during the surgical procedure to assess their potential benefit for surgical decision-making.

The results reveal that the intraoperative identification of motor sites with IOI in good agreement with the preoperatively acquired fMRI and the intraoperative electrophysiological measurements is possible. Because IOI provides spatially highly resolved maps with minimal additional hardware effort, the application of the technique for motor site identification seems to be beneficial in awake procedures. The identification of language processing sites with IOI was also possible, but in the majority of cases significant differences between fMRI, IOI, and DES were visible, and therefore according to the authors’ findings the IOI results are too unspecific to be useful for intraoperative decision-making with respect to exact language localization. For this purpose, DES mapping will remain the method of choice.

Nevertheless, the IOI technique can provide additional value during the language mapping procedure with DES. Using a simple difference imaging approach, the authors were able to visualize and calculate the spatial extent of activation for each stimulation. This might enable surgeons in the future to optimize the mapping process. Additionally, differences between tumor and nontumor stimulation sites were observed with respect to the spatial extent of the changes in cortical optical properties. These findings provide further evidence that the method allows the assessment of the functional state of neurovascular coupling and is therefore suited for the delineation of pathologically altered tissue.
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Preoperative functional neuroimaging of the human brain is essential to avoid postoperative deficits in patients undergoing surgery adjacent to or within eloquent brain areas. Several different techniques are available, including functional MRI (fMRI), PET, and diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI).\textsuperscript{1,2} Although all of the mentioned methods are invaluable for risk stratification and preoperative planning,\textsuperscript{3-5} one disadvantage of these techniques is that the functional imaging data are acquired preoperatively and matched to the intraoperative site using neuronavigation systems. This approach has the drawback that after craniotomy, due to brain shift, it is unclear how precise the image fusion actually is. Studies have shown that target registration errors up to 5 mm may occur, depending on the registration method used.\textsuperscript{6,7} Additionally, most of the mentioned methods are still limited in their spatial resolution.

Intraoperative optical imaging (IOI) is a functional imaging technique that is able to overcome those drawbacks. It enables the surgeon to view changes in the cortical optical properties by acquisition and evaluation of camera images from the exposed brain surface. The camera images can be acquired with high temporal and spatial resolution. The noninvasive and contactless imaging technique allows the observation of metabolic changes (changes in cerebral blood volume [CBV] and oxygenation) and therefore the investigation of cortical organization\textsuperscript{8-10} and neuronal connectivity.\textsuperscript{11-13} In human subjects, IOI has been extensively used for the exploration, identification, and mapping of somatosensory\textsuperscript{14-16} and motor areas as well as for the visual cortex.\textsuperscript{17} Furthermore, the method has been used for the delineation of epileptic foci\textsuperscript{18,19} and brain tumors.\textsuperscript{20-22} A more detailed description of the imaging technique and its applications can be found in the study by Prakash et al.\textsuperscript{23} or in a more recent publication by Morone et al.\textsuperscript{24} Concerning language-related observations with IOI in awake patients, especially in comparison to fMRI and direct electrical stimulation (DES) mapping, only a few studies are currently available,\textsuperscript{25-30} and the use of IOI for decision-making during tumor resection is still not conclusively clarified.

Therefore, in this study we qualitatively compared the colocalization of speech and motor areas between IOI, fMRI, and DES. Measurements in 10 patients who underwent brain mapping under local anesthesia were performed. Additionally, we present a novel approach: the use of IOI as a tool for visual feedback generation during DES language mapping.

Methods

Preoperative Imaging (MRI and fMRI)

Preoperative fMRI was performed on Siemens Sonata 1.5-T (9/10 patients) and Siemens Verio 3-T (1/10 patients) scanners by using an 8-channel head coil. Two different paradigms were used in a block design: finger tapping (2/10) and verb generation (8/10).

Finger-tapping results were acquired for patients’ left and right hands with 2 scans: 1 without tapping and 1 with tapping. The following scanner parameters were used: acquisition matrix $64 \times 64$, TE 54 msec, TR 3520 msec, flip angle (FA) 90°, and slice thickness 3 mm, with a slice gap of 3.75 mm and an in-plane resolution of 3 $\times$ 3 mm, for 30 slices and 2 volumes for each hand.

For the verb generation task $5 \times 10$ scans were acquired during a rest trial and $5 \times 10$ scans while performing the task (silent speech). The following acquisition parameters were used: acquisition matrix $64 \times 64$, TE 50 msec, TR 3480 msec, FA 90°, and slice thickness 3 mm, with a slice gap of 3.75 mm and an in-plane resolution of 3 $\times$ 3 mm, for 26 slices and 100 volumes, with 2 total measurements per imaging session. The parameters were the same on the 1.5-T and 3-T scanners.

The fMRI data sets were analyzed with SPM for MATLAB (MathWorks), using a corrected significance level of $p < 0.05$ with a cluster size of $>20$ voxels. A high-resolution, T1-weighted structural image (magnetization-prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo [MPRAGE], acquisition matrix 256 $\times$ 168, TE 2.86 msec, TR 5.98 msec, FA 10°, isotropic voxel $1 \text{mm}^3$) was acquired after functional imaging.

Intraoperative Imaging Procedure

Different IOI setups were used for the measurements; Table 1 gives an overview regarding the technical components of each setup. In all cases, a camera device had been attached via a beam splitter to the surgical microscope. Light wavelength filtering was performed (monochrome imaging cameras) within the optical path at $\lambda = 568 \pm 5$ nm, aiming at blood volume changes (ΔCBV). Image data sets were acquired with 4–7 frames per second. Parts of the different hardware setups that were used for the measurements are described in detail elsewhere.\textsuperscript{28,29} Table 2 gives an overview of the IOI system that was used for each patient. IOI was performed in all patients directly after craniotomy. The measurements were performed completely contactless and noninvasively (without, e.g., stabilizing glass plates on the cortical surface). The speech task (overt speech), lasting 9 minutes, was the first that was executed by 8 of the 10 patients. The protocol for this task was designed according to the protocols that were used in the past for the stimulation of different peripheral nerves. It comprised a 9-minute scheme with alternating 30-second rest and 30-second naming trials. In 1 patient this scheme was varied, and naming without vocalization (silent speech) was additionally performed. The objects that were to be named were presented intraoperatively to the patient by using timed slides in a presentation that was running on a commercial laptop computer. While the patients were performing the speech task, the objects being shown changed every 5 seconds within the naming ("stimulation") trials. During the 30-second rest trials, a blank slide was shown.

In 2 of the 10 patients we observed the routine DES speech mapping with IOI. For each stimulation site, a data set with 1 minute of imaging data was acquired. Those data sets comprised 15 seconds of baseline images, 5 seconds of stimulation images, and 40 seconds of poststimulus images, resulting in a total data set length of 1 minute. Stimulations during speech mapping were performed using a stimulation current ($I_{\text{stim}}$) of 6 mA, a stimulation duration ($T_{\text{stim}}$) of 5 seconds, and a stimulation frequency ($f_{\text{stim}}$) of 50 Hz (pulse width [Τpulse] of 200 μsec). Elec-
trocorticography was performed parallel to the optical recordings to detect afterdischarges as well as seizures. Therefore, a grid electrode was positioned subdurally outside of the exposed cortical region.

The motor tasks were designed in the same way as the language tasks. Finger tapping and hand opening and closing were performed in case 1 and case 2, respectively, in 30-second trials over 9 minutes. To validate the IOI measurements, motor areas were intraoperatively identified using phase-reversal measurements of somatosensory evoked potentials and monopolar cortex stimulation.

Patients

Eight patients with typical MRI appearance of left frontotemporal low-grade glioma and 2 patients with metastases close to the precentral gyrus (5 male, 5 female; median age 40.5 years) were included in this study. Informed consent was obtained from all patients. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Technische Universität Dresden. Table 2 gives an overview of the patient characteristics and the imaging methods applied in each patient.

Data Analysis, Validation, and Assessment of fMRI, DES Mapping, and IOI

The data evaluation for patients performing 9-minute tasks (speech or motor tasks) was done by using Fourier analysis and is described in detail in previous publications. The resulting IOI activity maps were coregistered to preoperatively acquired anatomical MRI and fMRI data. The registration was manually performed based on anatomical landmarks and data points from the neuronavigation system. The borders of the skull trepanation as well as the different DES sites were typically saved during the surgical procedure to ease the postoperative image registration and fusion process. Based on the registered data sets and their visualization, the agreement of the localization results for the different modalities (IOI, DES, and fMRI) was qualitatively assessed by 3 independent evaluators. A 4-level grading scheme was used for the evaluation, including the levels “no,” “low,” “moderate,” and “high” agreement. A detailed explanation of each level can be found in the footnote of Table 3.

During the language mapping procedure with DES, a difference imaging technique was used for the evaluation, including the levels “no,” “low,” “moderate,” and “high” agreement. A detailed explanation of each level can be found in the footnote of Table 3.

Table 1. IOI hardware

| Hardware | Setup No. 1 | Setup No. 2 | Setup No. 3 |
|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Camera   | Hamamatsu C4742-96-12G04 | Zeiss AxioCam MRm | Zeiss Trio 610/620 |
| Filtering| 568 nm | 568 nm | None (RGB camera) |
| Exposure time | 800 msec | 50 msec | 1 msec |
| Microscope | Möller-Wedel Hi-R 1000 | Zeiss OPMI Pico/Zeiss OPMI Pentero | Zeiss OPMI Pico/Zeiss OPMI Pentero |
| Illumination (microscope integrated) | Halogen 150 W | Xenon 180 W/xenon 300 W | Xenon 180 W/xenon 300 W |
| Resolution | 672 × 512 pixel (2 × 2 binning mode) | 692 × 520 pixel (2 × 2 binning mode) | 1920 × 1080 pixel |
| Digitization | 12 bits | 12 bits | 8 bits per color channel |
| Data transfer via | CCU/IEEE 1394 FireWire | IEEE 1394 FireWire | Frame-grabber board connected to CCU (Trio 600 CCU) |

CCU = camera controller unit.

Table 2. Patient characteristics, functional imaging/mapping methods, and IOI setup used in each case

| Case No. | Age | Sex | Tumor Location | Histopathology | IOI | Task | IOI w/ DES | fMRI | IOI Hardware Setup No. |
|----------|-----|-----|----------------|----------------|-----|------|-----------|------|-----------------------|
| Motor Tasks | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 72 | F | Lt frontoparietal | Metastasis (bronchial-carcinoma) | Yes | Finger tapping | No | Yes | 3 |
| 2 | 75 | F | Rt precentral region | Metastasis (sarcoma) | Yes | Hand opening/closing | No | Yes | 3 |
| Speech Tasks | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 41 | M | Lt insula | Astrocytoma grade III | Yes | Silent speech, overt speech | No | Yes | 1 |
| 4 | 34 | M | Lt temporal/insula | Oligoastrocytoma grade II | Yes | Overt speech | No | Yes | 2 |
| 5 | 36 | M | Lt frontotemporal | Astrocytoma grade II | Yes | Overt speech | No | Yes | 2 |
| 6 | 53 | M | Lt temporal | Oligodendroglioma grade III | Yes | Overt speech | No | Yes | 2 |
| 7 | 36 | F | Lt frontal | Oligoastrocytoma grade III | Yes | Overt speech | No | Yes | 2 |
| 8 | 38 | F | Lt frontal | Oligoastrocytoma grade II | Yes | Overt speech | No | Yes | 2 |
| 9 | 40 | F | Lt frontal | Astrocytoma grade III | Yes | Overt speech | Yes | Yes | 2 |
| 10 | 53 | M | Lt frontal | Glioblastoma multifforme grade IV | Yes | Overt speech | Yes | Yes | 2 |
docolored activity maps that represent the spatial extent of metabolic changes (ΔCBV). The relative difference image (RDI) was calculated for each stimulation site by using a mean baseline image (Ibase) and a mean poststimulus image (Ips) according to the following formula:

\[ RDI = \frac{I_{ps} - I_{base}}{I_{base}}. \]

As we have described elsewhere,\(^7\) the highest extent of metabolic changes is visible within the IOI data directly after stimulation ends. Therefore, Ibase was calculated from images acquired 10 seconds prior to the stimulation’s start, and Ips from images that were recorded 10 seconds directly after the stimulation’s end. A more detailed description of this methodology can be found in Oelschlägel et al.\(^7\) To calculate the extent of activation, we used the image processing chain that is shown in detail in Fig. 1. The area (A) of the final activation (Fig. 1F) was calculated from the image data based on the known interelectrode distance (approximately 0.8 cm) of the bipolar electrode.

**Results**

**Functional Measurements (motor and speech tasks)**

The motor tasks section of Table 3 shows in detail the agreement of IOI and fMRI with respect to motor tasks. Using the IOI method, we were able to induce locally delineated activity on the precentral gyrus (motor cortex) in both patients (one patient performed finger tapping and the other patient did hand opening and closing). Comparing the different observation wavelengths of the RGB camera (different color channels) in the patient in case 1, additional activity on the precentral gyrus (sensory cortex) is visible especially in the red channel (deoxyhemoglobin-dominated signal). Moreover, the red channel activity map emphasizes large venous structures, whereas the blue and green channel maps tend to be more focused on parenchymal changes of the cortical optical properties (Fig. 2). The results of IOI correspond with the preoperatively acquired fMRI as well as with the intraoperative phase-reversal measurements and electrophysiological mapping.

The speech tasks section of Table 3 shows the agreement for language localization of the different imaging modalities. In 5 of 8 patients, an agreement between the speech localization of IOI and fMRI is observable. The other 3 patients’ measurements reveal no correlation between both modalities, caused in 2 cases by weak fMRI or weak IOI activation and in 1 patient by completely different activation sites. Comparing IOI and DES, 7 of 8 patients do show an agreement. In 1 patient, no correspondence between the imaging modalities was visible. Figure 3 shows the detailed results for case 3 with a low-grade astrocytoma within the left insula region. A speech arrest was located at marker 7 (blue). A seizure was induced at marker 4 (red). The activity maps from IOI are overlaid with the fMRI response in the right part of Fig. 3 for this patient. Most of the areas that were activated within the fMRI are also visible using IOI, especially during the naming task with overt speech. The task with silent speech reveals mainly an area close to marker 7, where the speech arrest was induced by DES. The results were assessed as a moderate agreement between IOI and DES for silent speech and as nonagreement for overt speech. Comparing IOI and fMRI, a high agreement is visible for overt speech and a moderate agreement for silent speech (Table 3).

**IOI During the DES Mapping Procedure**

In 2 of 8 patients, we observed the intraoperative DES mapping procedure with IOI. We were able to visualize the spatial extent of metabolic changes after each DES and therefore the area that is affected through the stim-
A difference imaging technique in combination with thresholding and image processing techniques (see Methods section) was used. The results for the patients in cases 9 and 10 are shown in Fig. 4.

The extent of the stimulation-affected area varies in case 9 from \( A_{\text{min}} = 56 \text{ mm}^2 \) to \( A_{\text{max}} = 155 \text{ mm}^2 \) (\( A_{\text{mean}} = 106 \pm 30 \text{ mm}^2 \) \( n = 8 \), area of trepanation \( A_{\text{trep}} = 2626 \text{ mm}^2 \), amplitude of intraoperative stimulation current \( I_{\text{stim}} = 6 \text{ mA} \)). The highest extent of activation was observed at the site marked with the number 8, at which a seizure was induced due to the electrical stimulation. The lowest extent of activation was observed at the site marked with number 1. At stimulation site number 9, afterdischarges were recorded and a diffuse, widespread activity is visible in the IOI activity map.

In case 10 (cortically located glioma), differences in the size of the activation area were observed between tumor and nontumor stimulation sites. At tumor stimulation sites (\( n = 3 \)), the activation area varied between \( A_{\text{min}} = 3 \text{ mm}^2 \) and \( A_{\text{max}} = 26 \text{ mm}^2 \), whereas at nontumor sites (\( n = 4 \)) the activated area ranged from \( A_{\text{min}} = 44 \text{ mm}^2 \) to \( A_{\text{max}} = 130 \text{ mm}^2 \) (\( A_{\text{trep}} = 1962 \text{ mm}^2 \), \( I_{\text{stim}} = 6 \text{ mA} \)).

**Discussion**

According to the findings, a promising field of application for IOI in awake procedures is the identification of primary motor areas on the precentral gyrus. Using a simple RGB camera, which is already part of modern surgical microscopes, we identified in 2 cases cortical areas in correspondence to the electrophysiological measurements. Contrary to the discrete information acquired with the electrode strips, IOI activity maps are spatially highly resolved. Additionally, activity maps have the methodologically inherent advantage that they can be easily semitransparently visualized over the actual intraoperative scene. Therefore, brain shift and the resulting loss of registration accuracy of the neuronavigation system are irrelevant for IOI, contrary to the preoperatively acquired fMRI for which the registration accuracy is of vital importance.

The comparison of fMRI and IOI for localization of eloquent language areas reveals in most cases significant differences between the modalities. There are several possible reasons that might contribute to those results. IOI, as well as fMRI, measures metabolic changes induced after neuronal activity through neurovascular coupling pro-
cesses. However, the physiological origin of the IOI signal is dependent on the observation wavelength. Pouratian et al.\textsuperscript{21} demonstrated that the fMRI blood oxygen level–dependent response shares a common etiology with the 610-nm IOI signal. Nonetheless, the group observed differences in the colocalization of functional areas for IOI at 610 nm and fMRI as well as for fMRI and DES maps (tongue motor task). According to our experience from past studies, blood volume maps (568 nm) tend to be more compact and more strongly localized in the parenchyma than the oxygenation maps (610 nm), which emphasize larger venous structures and are more widespread. Therefore, we chose 568 nm as the observation wavelength for IOI in this investigation, but the results also reveal differences in the localization of activated areas for most of the cases. Despite the different physiological origin of the signal, the speech stimulation paradigm used might be a contributing factor to this difference in localization. During the fMRI measurements, silent speech was used to evoke activity in speech processing areas because the technique is susceptible to movement artifacts during the scanning process. In the operating room we performed silent speech in only 1 patient; otherwise (additionally in that patient), we used overt speech.

Using fMRI, several groups have already proven that different stimulation paradigms lead to different activated cortical sites.\textsuperscript{3,19} Therefore, we cannot neglect this influence, but the slight differences in the stimulation paradigm were accepted in this study because it is much easier for overt speech tasks to control whether the patient is cooperating (especially under the influence of anesthesia) and actually really performing the required task. For silent speech the missing feedback might lead to implausible or even no results, without the chance to reconstruct whether this was caused by a methodological problem, the underlying pathology/physiology, or just the lack of patient com-

FIG. 2. Results of the finger-tapping task from the patient in case 1. A–C: IOI activity map calculated from blue (A), green (B), and red (C) channel data of the charge-coupled device (CCD) color camera. The white line designates the contour of the precentral gyrus. The additional activation to the right of the motor area within the red channel map (C) is located on the postcentral gyrus and may represent the sensory feedback component during motor task execution. D: Identification of precentral gyrus by monopolar cortex stimulation. Train-of-five pulses, $I_{\text{stim}} = 5$–$20 \text{ mA}$, interstimulation interval (ISI) = 4 $\text{msec}$ (250 Hz), $T_{\text{pulse}} = 500 \text{ \mu m sec}$. Black arrow indicates the site for the transsulcal approach to the metastasis. E: Screenshot of the neuronavigation map visualizing the tumor volume (blue) and the fMRI activation (red). $P_S/P_{\text{VLF}} = \text{power spectral density/sum of the power spectral density within the very low-frequency band (stimulation frequency of 1/60 Hz for } P_S; 2D \text{ map in both cases).}$
The results in case 3 especially also reveal that despite the different stimulation paradigms a good agreement between fMRI (silent speech) and IOI (overt speech) is possible.

With respect to the results of the DES mapping, the activations of IOI as well as the activations of fMRI in this study were mostly larger than the area of the speech arrest sites, which is in line with the results of other studies published, e.g., by Cannestra et al. The findings lead to the conclusion that there is currently, according to our opinion and experience, little surgical benefit in applying IOI during surgery with speech tasks. Due to the complex nature of neuronal language processing, the interpretation of the data is challenging and the results are of little value for intraoperative decision-making.

Nevertheless, IOI can be valuable as a feedback and supplementary tool during language mapping. We used the method successfully to provide visual feedback to the surgeon about each spatial stimulation extent. The technique can be easily applied with little additional hardware and with minimal preoperative preparations. The only change in the workflow for the language mapping procedure with DES was the timing of the positioning of the numbered stimulation site markers. To ensure that they do not cover important regions of the surface, they were put on the cortex after the stimulation of the current site and the recording of the optical changes was finished.

As described in prior publications, IOI in connection with DES might also be able to assess if neurovascular coupling is intact or altered by pathological processes. The results from this investigation give more evidence to this hypothesis. The extent of the area activated through DES is significantly smaller on sites that were later identified as tumor areas (see results in case 8). This might be caused by neurovascular uncoupling and disruption of vascular regulation by the tumor. A closer look at the stimulations performed on what was most likely intact brain tissue of the patient in case 1 reveals the diversity of the area affected through the DES. Although the mean area for all stimulations is in line with the expectation of approximately 1 cm², the standard deviation reveals a high interstimulation variability, ranging from approximately 50% up to approximately 150% of the estimated area. Furthermore, the optical changes and blood volume changes are not arranged symmetrically around the electrode tips but are altered by micro- and macroanatomical factors, e.g., vessels and the gyral structure. Therefore, a visual feedback for each stimulation is beneficial for the mapping optimization.
The results are promising but must be interpreted carefully because the underlying physiological mechanisms of DES are still poorly understood, subject to ongoing discussions$^{4,7}$ and dependent on several technical factors (e.g., observation wavelength$^{33}$). It is still unclear how close the observed metabolic changes and the electrical field propagation are located to each other. The relative difference imaging technique is furthermore susceptible for reflections and movement of the cortical surface. Therefore, the microscope with the attached camera should be positioned in a rather flat angle toward the cortical surface to avoid specular reflections. Because the patient is awake and speaking during the procedure, problems can also arise from the small movements that are present even though the head is fixed in the stereotactic frame. Therefore, we performed an elastic image registration of each time series to minimize the motion influences during the image data evaluation. The weaknesses of the current study are the small and diverse patient cohort as well as the fact that we only performed a qualitative comparison between the different modalities. We found this to be sufficient for an initial evaluation of IOI during language and motor testing. According to our findings, the results from the 9-minute speech task are too unspecific for a quantitative comparison that in turn is also highly dependent on multiple influencing factors like fMRI significance thresholds and activation depth, IOI visualization thresholds, and DES parameters.

**Conclusions**

According to the findings, the activity maps of the 9-minute speech tasks are too unspecific to provide reliable information about the essential speech areas that need to be preserved during the surgical procedure. Therefore, brain mapping with DES will remain the method of...
choice. A more useful application for IOI is the identification of primary motor areas. We were able to map those areas successfully in 2 patients in correspondence to fMRI and electrophysiological measurements by using a simple color camera.

A promising new application of IOI is its combined use with DES during the standard language mapping procedure. Here, the technique is able to provide visual feedback about the extent of the stimulated area and about the metabolic changes induced through the stimulation, which may correspond with the functional state of neurovascular coupling. For each stimulation, the visualization can be computed within 90 seconds (including the image acquisition time). This helps the surgeon to estimate the individual extent of each stimulation, optimize the mapping procedure, and identify nonactivated areas as functionally impaired tumor tissue. Nevertheless, to prove and verify the presented results, additional extensive investigations—especially regarding the multiple influencing parameters of the hardware and evaluation software—are mandatory. Further work should focus on the acquisition of new patient data and the in-depth analysis of the most promising IOI applications in awake surgery, applications comprising visualization of motor cortex activation and the stimulation extent during brain mapping.
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