First-Pass CYP3A-Mediated Metabolism of Midazolam in the Gut Wall and Liver in Preterm Neonates
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To predict first-pass and systemic cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A-mediated metabolism of midazolam in preterm neonates, a physiological population pharmacokinetic model was developed describing intestinal and hepatic midazolam clearance in preterm infants. On the basis of midazolam and 1-OH-midazolam concentrations from 37 preterm neonates (gestational age 26–34 weeks) receiving midazolam orally and/or via a 30-minute intravenous infusion, intrinsic clearance in the gut wall and liver were found to be very low, with lower values in the gut wall (0.0196 and 6.7 L/h, respectively). This results in a highly variable and high total oral bioavailability of 92.1% (range, 67–95%) in preterm neonates, whereas this is around 30% in adults. This approach in which intestinal and hepatic clearance were separately estimated shows that the high bioavailability in preterm neonates is explained by, likely age-related, low CYP3A activity in the liver and even lower CYP3A activity in the gut wall.

Study Highlights

WHAT IS THE CURRENT KNOWLEDGE ON THE TOPIC?
- That the CYP enzymes are present in the gut wall and liver, but a different contribution of the gut and the liver to the first-pass effect may be anticipated in children as compared with adults, due to different rates of maturation of intestinal and hepatic enzymes in infants and children.

WHAT QUESTION DID THIS STUDY ADDRESS?
- That first-pass metabolism in the gut wall and liver can be predicted for the CYP3A substrate midazolam using a state-of-the-art physiological population PK modeling approach.

WHAT DOES THIS STUDY ADD TO OUR KNOWLEDGE?
- A very low first-pass effect by intestinal and hepatic CYP3A-mediated metabolism was found for midazolam in preterm neonates, yielding much higher bioavailability of midazolam in preterm neonates compared with adults. Furthermore, intestinal CYP3A activity, represented by intrinsic clearance in the gut wall, was much lower than hepatic CYP3A activity, represented by the intrinsic clearance in the liver.

HOW MIGHT THIS CHANGE DRUG DISCOVERY, DEVELOPMENT, AND/OR THERAPEUTICS?
- Characterization of gut wall and hepatic CYP3A activity enables quantitative predictions of first-pass and systemic metabolic clearance of midazolam and potentially other CYP3A substrates in preterm neonates.

The neonatal body is undergoing many dynamic changes in the first months of life, with preterm neonates showing delayed maturation of many organs as compared with term neonates. This results among others in an altered and highly variable capacity to deal with xenobiotics, including drugs. Metabolic capacity is an important determinant for the bioavailability and systemic clearance of drugs that are subject to metabolic clearance. Bioavailability impacted by intestinal and hepatic metabolism and systemic clearance impacted by hepatic metabolism are the two main drivers of drug exposure. Interindividual differences in these two parameters are, therefore, two of the main drivers of differences in drug dose requirements between patients.

Physiological parameters that affect drug metabolism include protein binding, blood flow, and intrinsic clearance, the latter of which represents metabolic capacity. Developmental changes in albumin concentrations and drug protein binding have been reported in neonates, as well as changes in metabolic clearance, which influence the intestinal and hepatic blood flow. However, there is limited knowledge on the development of intrinsic clearance of many drugs, especially with respect to the intestinal and hepatic enzyme activity in preterm infants.
Cytochrome P450 (CYP) is an enzyme family involved in drug metabolism and its ontogeny in children has been studied both in vitro and in vivo. The CYP3A subfamily (i.e., CYP3A4, CYP3A5, and CYP3A7) is involved in metabolism of many clinical important drugs with midazolam commonly used as a probe drug to reflect combined CYP3A activity. Midazolam is a benzodiazepine, often used for sedation in the neonatal intensive care unit, and its CYP3A-mediated clearance has been reported to be lower in neonates, infants, and children, compared with adults. As CYP3A resides in both the gut wall and the liver, it is of interest to distinguish between intestinal and hepatic CYP3A activity with respect to their roles in first-pass metabolism, particularly because intestinal and hepatic enzymes may mature at different rates. Besides CYP3A activity in the gut wall and liver, important factors that may affect the absorption and metabolism of drugs in the gut wall are among others the intestinal surface area and the permeability of the endothelium. Preterm infants have a smaller surface area and are known to have an underdeveloped intestinal barrier, resulting in a higher intestinal permeability in neonates with gestational ages of <34 weeks.

To describe and predict pharmacokinetic (PK) profiles and drug exposure in patients, different types of models have been used based on availability of data and their purpose. These models range from relatively simple, empirical models, which have been used based on first-order conditional estimation with interaction in NONMEM version 7.3 (ICON, Globomax, Ellicott, MD) with Pirana 2.9.0 and R (version 3.3.1) and R-studio (version 0.98.1078) for processing of the runs and visualization of data. A physiological population PK model, earlier described by Yang et al., Frechen et al., and Brill et al., was applied to describe the data (Figure 1). For this, using the blood: plasma ratio and the measured molar concentrations in plasma, the drug and metabolite molar concentrations in blood were calculated to be able to be used in the well-stirred model. The model describes physiological compartments representing the gut wall, the portal vein, and the liver, and an empirical central compartment for midazolam and 1-OH-midazolam, representing the blood circulation and equilibrating tissue. For midazolam and 1-OH-midazolam, also the addition of empirical peripheral compartments was evaluated. The fraction of midazolam metabolised into 1-OH-midazolam was assumed to be 1.

Tissue volumes for the physiological compartments in the preterm neonatal population were allometrically scaled from tissue volumes of a term neonate with a fixed exponent of 0.75. Blood flows in the gastrointestinal tract and use available systems data together with PK information from the preterm population to describe intestinal and hepatic midazolam clearance and ultimately predict first-pass and systemic metabolism by CYP3A of midazolam in preterm neonates.

METHODS

Data

Thirty-seven preterm neonates (gestational ages ranging from 26–34 weeks, birth weights 745–2135 grams) of a previously published dataset from the neonatal intensive care unit of the Sophia’s Children Hospital in Rotterdam were included. At the time of the clinical investigation, their postnatal ages ranged from 3–11 days and their body weights between 770 and 2,030 grams. These infants were randomly assigned to receive 0.1 mg/kg midazolam orally via a nasogastric tube (n = 13) or intravenously via a 30-minute infusion (n = 25). If, after 72 hours, the participating infants still met the inclusion criteria, they received another dose of midazolam but this time via the other route. Midazolam and its primary metabolite 1-OH-midazolam were measured in plasma 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 12, and 24 hours postdose and measurements below the lower limit of quantification were discarded (<1% and <2% of 329 and 153 midazolam and 1-OH-midazolam measurements, respectively).

Model development

Structural physiological pharmacokinetic model. Physiological population PK modeling was performed using first-order conditional estimation with interaction in NONMEM version 7.3 (ICON, Globomax, Ellicott, MD) with Pirana 2.9.0 and R (version 3.3.1) and R-studio (version 0.98.1078) for processing of the runs and visualization of data.

A physiological population PK model, earlier described by Brill et al., was applied to describe the data (Figure 1). For this, using the blood: plasma ratio and the measured molar concentrations in plasma, the drug and metabolite molar concentrations in blood were calculated to be able to be used in the well-stirred model. The model describes physiological compartments representing the gut wall, the portal vein, and the liver, and an empirical central compartment for midazolam and 1-OH-midazolam, representing the blood circulation and equilibrating tissue. For midazolam and 1-OH-midazolam, also the addition of empirical peripheral compartments was evaluated. The fraction of midazolam metabolised into 1-OH-midazolam was assumed to be 1.

Tissue volumes for the physiological compartments in the preterm neonatal population were allometrically scaled from tissue volumes of a term neonate with a fixed exponent of 0.75. Blood flows in the gastrointestinal tract and use available systems data together with PK information from the preterm population to describe intestinal and hepatic midazolam clearance and ultimately predict first-pass and systemic metabolism by CYP3A of midazolam in preterm neonates.
The intestinal surface area (A) was calculated based on the net cylindrical surface area of the small intestine using Eq. 4:

\[ A = 2\pi r^2 h \]  

where \( r \) is the intestinal radius of 1 cm, and \( h \) the intestinal length of 2.736 \( \times (\text{WT}[g])^{0.512} \) cm. The fraction unbound in the gut (\( f_{u,G} \)) for both midazolam and 1-OH-midazolam was assumed 1. The absorption rate constant could not be estimated and was assumed to be 10 h\(^{-1}\), which entails an expected maximum concentration around 12.5 minutes (time of maximum plasma concentration (T\(_{\text{max}}\)). A sensitivity analysis was performed with values for \( k_a \) ranging from 4.16–25 h\(^{-1}\), resulting in a \( T_{\text{max}} \) between 5 and 30 minutes postdose. The oral bioavailability was calculated with Eq. 5:

\[ F_{\text{total}} = F_a \times F_g \times F_h \]  

where \( F_a \) is the fraction absorbed, which is assumed 1 for midazolam, \( F_g \) is the gut wall bioavailability, equal to 1 minus \( E_g \), and \( F_h \) is the hepatic bioavailability, and \( F_h = 1 - E_h \).

The fraction unbound in blood for both midazolam and 1-OH-midazolam in blood was calculated based on the formula of McNamara and Alcorn:

\[ f_{u,B} = \frac{1}{1 + \left(1 - f_{u,\text{adult}}\right) \times \left(\frac{[P]_{\text{pediatric}}}{[P]_{\text{adult}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \]  

where \( f_{u,\text{pediatric}} \) and \( f_{u,\text{adult}} \) are the fraction unbound of the drug in blood for preterm neonates and adults, respectively, and \([P]_{\text{pediatric}}\) and \([P]_{\text{adult}}\) are the plasma albumin concentrations in preterm neonates and adults, respectively. These albumin concentrations are calculated based on an age-based formula from Johnson \textit{et al.} (Table 1) and the fractions unbound of midazolam and 1-OH-midazolam in adults were reported in literature. The fraction unbound in blood and hematocrit were used to calculate the blood:plasma ratios for midazolam and 1-OH-midazolam using the formula of Maharaj \textit{et al.}:

\[ B : P \text{ ratio} = 1 + \left(\frac{\text{Hem} \times (f_{u,B} \times K_p - 1)}{[P]_{\text{pediatric}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \times (f_{u,\text{adult}})}\right) \]  

where \( B : P \text{ ratio} \) is the blood:plasma ratio, \( \text{Hem} \) is the hematocrit in preterm neonates (45%), \( f_{u,B} \) is the fraction unbound in the blood, and \( K_p \) is the unbound partition coefficient of red blood cells (assumed to be constant between adults and children). Total plasma clearance by the liver was calculated based on the formula of \( f_{u,B} \):

\[ CL_{\text{plasma}} = \frac{Q_h \times f_{u,B} \times CL_{h,\text{int}}}{Q_h + (f_{u,B} \times CL_{h,\text{int}}) / (B : P \text{ ratio})} \]  

where \( CL_{h,\text{int}} \) is the intrinsic clearance in the hepatic blood, and \( E \) is the extraction ratio; \( F \) is the bioavailability in the gut wall (gut, G) and the liver (hepatic, H); \( k_a \) indicates the absorption rate constant and the fraction unbound in blood and gut wall are described with \( f_{u,B} \) and \( f_{u,G} \), respectively. Blood flows are represented by \( Q \) in the micro villi (\( Q_{\text{villi}} \)), portal vein (\( Q_{PV} \)), hepatic artery (\( Q_{HA} \)), and the hepatic blood flow (\( Q_h \)). Parameters relating to the metabolite are indicated with subscript M. Intrinsic gut wall (\( CL_{G,\text{int}} \)) and intrinsic hepatic clearance (\( CL_{H,\text{int}} \)), as well as volume of distribution (for midazolam and 1-OH-midazolam), values were estimated in the model. Total plasma clearance of midazolam was derived using Eq. 8, and the bioavailability in the gut wall (\( F_g \)), liver (\( F_h \)), and the total oral bioavailability (\( F_{\text{total}} \)) were derived based on Eqs. 1, 2, and 5.
Table 1: Parameter values for physiological and drug specific parameters in the model

| Parameter definition (unit) | Parameter | Formula for calculation | Value | References |
|----------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|-------|------------|
| **Tissue volumes (L)**     | V_h       | V_h,3.55kg neonate × (WT/3.55) |       | (7)        |
| Liver                      |           |                         |       |            |
|                            | V_pv      | V_pv = 0.778 × V_h      |       | (24)       |
| Portal vein                |           |                         |       |            |
| Gut                        | V_gw      | V_gw,3.55kg neonate × (WT/3.55) |       | (7)        |
|                            |           |                         |       |            |
| **Tissue blood flows (L/h)**| Q_h       | Q_h,3.55kg neonate × (WT/3.55) |       | (7)        |
| Hepatic blood flow         |           |                         |       |            |
| Portal vein                | Q_pv      | 0.75 × Q_h              |       | (5, 32)    |
| Hepatic artery             | Q_ia      | 0.25 × Q_h              |       | (5, 32)    |
| Small intestine            | Q_in      | 0.4 × Q_h               |       | (25)       |
| Mucosa                     | Q_muc     | 0.8 × Q_m               |       | (25)       |
| Microvilli                 | Q_villi   | 0.6 × Q_muc             |       | (25)       |
| **Plasma proteins**        | [P]pediatric | 1.1287 × ln(Age[yr]) + 33.746   | 27.1  | (5)        |
| Plasma albumin concentration (g/L) | [P]adult | 37.0                     |       |            |
| Hematocrit (%)             | Hem       | 0.45                     |       | (31)       |
| **Intestinal surface area and permeability** | A | 2π × r × h | 5.97 | r: (26) h: (27) |
| Intestinal surface area (dm²) | A₂ₚ | 5.97 | r: (26) h: (27) |
| Permeability through the enterocyte (L/h) | CL_perm | P_eff,man [dm/h] × A [dm²] | 0.95 | (25) |
| **Midazolam**              | F_a       | 1                        |       | (10)       |
| Fraction absorbed          |           |                         |       |            |
| Absorption rate constant K_a (h⁻¹) | K_a | 10                      |       | –          |
| Blood:plasma ratio B:P ratio | 1 = [Hem × (F_u,G × K_p - 1)] | 0.568 | (30)       |
| Fraction unbound in gut F_u,G | 1 | 1 | (25)       |
| Fraction unbound in blood | F_u,B | 0.04094                |       | (6)        |
| F_u,adult | 0.0303 | (28) |
| Effective intestinal permeability per unit surface area (cm/s) | P_eff,man | 4.4 × 10⁻⁴ |       | (25)       |
| 1-OH-midazolam             | f_M       | 1                        |       | –          |
| Fraction midazolam metabolized into 1-OH-midazolam | | | | |
| Blood:plasma ratio B:P ratio | 1 = [Hem × (F_u,M × K_p - 1)] | 0.613 | (30)       |
| Fraction unbound in blood | F_u,M | 0.1394                |       | (6)        |
| F_u,M,adult | 0.106 | (29) |

in which Q_h is the hepatic blood flow, f_u the fraction unbound in plasma, and CL_h,int the intrinsic hepatic clearance.

To evaluate the structural identifiability or our nonlinear model, we used the Differential Algebra for Identifiability of Systems software.³⁴
Statistical model
Interindividual variability was included in the model as a log-normal distribution:

\[ \theta_i = \theta_{TV} \times e^{\eta_i} \]  

(9)

where \( \theta_i \) is individual parameter estimate for individual \( i \), \( \theta_{TV} \) is the typical value of the parameter in the studied population, and \( \eta_i \) is a random variable from a normal distribution with a mean of zero and estimated variance of \( \sigma^2 \).

Residual unexplained variability was modeled using a combined error model. The \( j \)th observed concentration (\( Y \)) of the \( i \)th individual was modeled according to:

\[ Y_{ij} = C_{pred,ij} \times (1 + c_1\eta_{ij} + c_2\eta_{ij}) \]  

(10)

where \( C_{pred,ij} \) is the \( j \)th predicted midazolam concentration of the \( i \)th individual, \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are random variables from normal distributions with a mean of zero and estimated variance of \( \sigma^2 \), representing the proportional and additive component of the error model, respectively.

Covariate analysis
A covariate analysis on the clearance and volume parameters was performed in which the following covariates were tested for statistical significance: body weight at birth, gestational age, gender, and mechanical respiratory support, and furthermore body weight, postmenstrual age, and post-natal age per occasion (e.g., at the day of dose administration). There was no missing covariate information for any subject.

For categorical covariates, separate typical values (\( \theta_{TV} \)) for the two populations were estimated. Continuous covariates were tested using a linear (Eq. 11) or power (Eq. 12) function.

\[ \theta_i = \theta_{TV} \times (1 + \theta_{COV} \times (COV - COV_{med})) \]  

(11)

\[ \theta_i = \theta_{TV} \times \left( \frac{COV}{COV_{med}} \right)^{\theta_{COV}} \]  

(12)

where \( \theta_i \) is the individual parameter estimate for the individual \( i \), \( \theta_{TV} \) is the typical value of the parameter in the studied population with a median value (\( COV_{med} \)) of the covariate (\( COV \)), and \( \theta_{COV} \) the estimated slope or exponent for a linear or power function, respectively.

Model evaluation
Discrimination between different structural models was made by comparison of the objective function value (OFV; i.e., \(-2 \times \log\text{-likelihood}\)). A decrease of 3.84 points in the OFV between nested models was considered statistically significant (\( P < 0.05 \)). Furthermore, goodness-of-fit plots (individual-predicted vs. population-predicted vs. observed concentrations and conditional weighted residuals vs. time and population predicted concentrations) of midazolam and 1-OH-midazolam were assessed. In addition, the confidence interval of the parameter estimates and visual improvement of the individual plots were used to evaluate the models.

For inclusion of covariates, a decrease in OFV of 6.64 points (\( P < 0.01 \)) was considered statistically significant, whereas for the backward deletion a more stringent \( P \) value (\( P < 0.005; \Delta \text{OFV} > 7.88 \)) was used. Furthermore, to retain a covariate in the model, the interindividual variability in the PK parameter should decrease and in a plot of the covariate vs. the interindividual variability in the PK parameter, the data points should be randomly scattered around zero.

The model was further internally evaluated using two different methods, a bootstrap analysis (\( n = 200 \)) and a normalized prediction distribution error analysis (see Supplementary Figures S1 and S2).

Sensitivity analysis
To evaluate the assumptions made in the model, a sensitivity analysis was performed using simulations in Berkeley Madonna software (Berkeley Madonna, version 8.3.18). Parameter values for tissue volumes and blood flows as well as intestinal length and the fraction unbound in blood were increased and decreased by 50% and the impact on predicted midazolam concentrations was evaluated. Furthermore, the impact of the assumptions on the estimated PK parameters was assessed by re-estimating the model with the increased/decreased values for tissue volumes and blood flows as well as intestinal length and the fraction unbound in blood.

Dose simulations
To illustrate the impact of first-pass metabolism on midazolam and 1-OH-midazolam exposure in preterm neonates, model-based simulations of concentration-time profiles were performed with our model. A dose of 0.1 mg/kg midazolam was simulated as oral administration or as a 30-minute intravenous infusion in 37 preterm neonates with the same patient characteristics as the individuals in our dataset.

RESULTS
Using the physiological population PK model, as depicted in Figure 1, which was structurally identifiable according to the Differential Algebra for Identifiability of Systems analysis, the PK data in preterm neonates were well described. Based on the available data, intrinsic CYP3A clearance in the gut wall and liver were estimated to be 0.0196 (relative standard error (RSE) 178%) and 6.7 (RSE 10%) L/h, respectively (Table 2). Distribution volumes for midazolam and its metabolite in blood were estimated to be 3 (RSE 11%) and 2.7 (RSE 43%) L, respectively, and the addition of peripheral compartments for either midazolam or 1-OH-midazolam did not improve the model. No additional covariates could be identified for intrinsic clearance and volume of distribution. The model was graphically and numerically evaluated (Table 2, and Supplementary Figures S1 and S2) and generally the model parameters described the PK data of both the midazolam and 1-OH-midazolam well. The additive errors were fixed to very small numbers (Table 2). Goodness-of-fit plots (Supplementary Figure S1) showed that the model adequately describes the data, albeit with a
small overprediction for the low concentrations of midazolam. In addition, the prediction of the data was unbiased, as shown in the normalized prediction distribution error analysis (Supplementary Figure S2), with slightly overpredicted variability in the metabolite concentrations.

Intrinsic hepatic clearance was much higher and also more variable than the intrinsic gut wall clearance (Figure 2a). Figures 2 and 3 show the estimated and derived model parameters related to the intestinal and hepatic metabolism in preterm neonates vs. bodyweight. The median total plasma clearance by the liver was 0.181 L/h (range 0.03–0.79 L/h; Figure 2b). As both the intrinsic gut wall and hepatic clearance were found to be very low (Figure 2a), the extraction ratios were very low for both organs, with a median of 0.04 in the gut wall (range 0.026–0.046) and a similar median of 0.04 (range 0.01–0.31) in the liver (Figure 2c). Based on the extraction ratio in the gut wall and liver, the fraction escaping gut wall metabolism ($F_g$) and the fraction escaping hepatic metabolism ($F_h$) can be calculated and the median values are both 0.96. Figure 3 also shows the total bioavailability for all preterm neonates in our study, as calculated based on the fraction absorbed ($F_a$), $F_g$, and $F_h$ according to Eq. 5. A very low first-pass metabolism was observed with 92% of midazolam entering the systemic circulation in a typical preterm neonate of 1.1 kg, but the overall range of percentage entering systemic circulation varies largely between 67% and 95%. Model-based simulations of plasma concentration-profiles show limited differences in median plasma concentrations of midazolam after oral and intravenous administration due to the high bioavailability of 92% (Figure 4a), whereas the concentrations of 1-OH-midazolam after oral administration are higher for the first 4 hours post-dose compared to the 30-minute infusion, due to presystemic metabolism (Figure 4b).

### Table 2 Parameter estimates and bootstrap results of the physiological population PK model

| Parameter definition                      | Parameter | Value (RSE%) [shrinkage %] | Bootstrap median$^a$ | Bootstrap 90% CI |
|------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| **Midazolam**                            |           |                            |                      |                 |
| Intrinsic hepatic clearance              | $\text{CL}_{\text{H, int}}$ (L/h) | 6.7 (10)                  | 6.6                  | 5.0–8.7         |
| Intrinsic gut wall clearance             | $\text{CL}_{\text{G, int}}$ (mL/h) | 19.6 (178)                | 14.0                | 0.2$^b$–319     |
| Volume of distribution                   | $V$ (L)   | 3.0 (11)                   | 3.0                  | 2.4–3.7         |
| **1-OH-midazolam**                       |           |                            |                      |                 |
| Intrinsic hepatic clearance              | $\text{CL}_{\text{H, int,M}}$ (L/h) | 8.9 (22)                  | 7.7                  | 3.5–11.2        |
| Volume of distribution                   | $V_M$ (L) | 2.7 (43)                   | 2.9                  | 1.5–7.2         |
| **Interindividual variability ($\sigma^2$)** |           |                            |                      |                 |
| Intrinsic hepatic clearance              | $\sigma^2$ $\text{CL}_{\text{H, int}}$ | 0.887 (26) [3]           | 0.851                | 0.551–1.116     |
| Intrinsic gut wall clearance             | $\sigma^2$ $\text{CL}_{\text{G, int}}$ | –                      | –                   | –              |
| Volume of distribution                   | $\sigma^2$ $V$ | 0.603 (26) [2]            | 0.603                | 0.311–0.857     |
| Intrinsic hepatic clearance 1-OH-midazolam | $\sigma^2$ $\text{CL}_{\text{H, int,M}}$ | 0.832 (42) [15]         | 0.709                | 0.201–1.65      |
| Volume of distribution 1-OH-midazolam    | $\sigma^2$ $V_M$ | 0.887 (48) [8]            | 1.2                  | 0.442–4.04      |
| **Residual variability ($r^2$)**         |           |                            |                      |                 |
| Proportional error (midazolam)           | 0.201 (26) [10] | 0.192                    | 0.134–0.264         |
| Additional error (midazolam)             | 0.0001 FIX            | 0.0001                | –                   |
| Proportional error (1-OH-midazolam)      | 0.164 (91) [33] | 0.155                    | 0.0003$^b$–0.242   |
| Additional error (1-OH-midazolam)        | 0.0001 FIX            | 0.0001                | –                   |

CI, confidence interval; PK, pharmacokinetic; RSE, relative standard error.

$^a$Bootstrap results based on stratified bootstrap sampling for patients receiving an intravenous, an oral, or twice a dose administration. The median and 90% confidence interval are calculated based on 37.8% successful runs and runs with estimates near a boundary.

$^b$The 5% percentile reached the lower boundary of 0.2 mL/h and 0.1*10$^{-4}$, for $\text{CL}_{\text{G, int}}$ and the proportional error for 1-OH-midazolam, respectively. Interindividual and residual variability values are shown as variance estimates.

---

Figure 2 Intrinsic clearance (a), total plasma clearance (Eq. 8) (b) and extraction ratio (c) are plotted vs. body weight, in which values are given for the gut wall (■) and the liver (○).
A sensitivity analysis showed that blood concentration predictions would change <1% for both peak and trough concentrations in case the values for tissue volumes and tissue blood flows were altered with ±50%. In addition, no significant change in parameter estimates was found when the parameters were re-estimated based on the same changes in tissue volumes, nor did different $k_a$ values impact estimated and derived clearance and bioavailability parameters. However, an increase of 50% in intestinal and hepatic blood flow resulted in an increase of 20–30% and 50% in the estimated intrinsic intestinal or hepatic clearance, respectively, and vice versa without profound changes in extraction ratio and bioavailability. Changes in intestinal length (which contributes to the flow term in the gut wall ($Q_{gut}$), that accounts for the permeability through the enterocyte ($CL_{perm}$) and the villous blood flow ($Q_v$)) did not result in different blood concentrations (change <1%), although the re-estimated intrinsic clearance in the gut wall changed +18 or −32% for an increase or decrease in intestinal length, respectively. The change in fraction unbound in blood for either midazolam or 1-OH-midazolam was inversely correlated with the same fractional change in estimated hepatic intrinsic clearance.
the immaturity of enterocytic CYP3A enzyme activity in this population.\textsuperscript{36,37} The activity in the gut wall has been reported to be lower with decreasing age,\textsuperscript{37} but also conflicting activity values have been reported.\textsuperscript{38,39} Besides the activity, the abundance of the CYP3A enzyme, reflected by a smaller number of milligrams of microsomal protein per gram of intestine,\textsuperscript{37} as well as the smaller intestinal surface area led to a lower total intestinal CYP3A activity in preterm neonates compared with adults. The smaller surface area was calculated in our study based on intestinal length (70–157 cm for infants with a postconceptional age of 24–40 weeks without gastrointestinal malformations undergoing laparotomy,\textsuperscript{27} which is in agreement with other literature\textsuperscript{38,39} and on the mean intestinal radius of 1 cm.\textsuperscript{26} A sensitivity analysis showed that with a decreased intestinal length, both the flow term that accounts for villous blood flow and permeability ($Q_{\text{gut}}$) and the gut wall clearance ($CL_{\text{G,unt}}$) decreased, resulting in the same reported extraction ratio of 0.04. Furthermore, preterm infants have an underdeveloped intestinal barrier,\textsuperscript{15} and neonates with a gestational age <34 weeks have a higher intestinal permeability,\textsuperscript{16} which could be due to differences in the intracellular structures that regulate the intestinal permeability.\textsuperscript{40} In our model, the permeability factor $CL_{\text{perm}}$ accounts for the intestinal surface area and the permeability of the intestinal barrier in preterm neonates. Food in the gastrointestinal tract may alter the gastrointestinal physiology, including the motility patterns, intestinal transit time, and the local blood flow,\textsuperscript{41} but was not accounted for in our model because the exact times of parenteral and enteral feeding were not recorded during the study.

The intrinsic hepatic clearance obtained in our study in preterm neonates was very low compared with reported values in healthy adults (6.7 L/h and 1,620 L/h in preterm neonates and adults, respectively).\textsuperscript{22} The neonatal liver is relatively immature shortly after birth, and its functional capacity in bile synthesis, detoxification, and metabolism increases during the early postnatal period.\textsuperscript{42} Fetal and neonatal livers have different bile acid synthetic pathways than adult livers, and the bile acid pool is reduced,\textsuperscript{43} limiting the probability of biliary excretion and enterohepatic recirculation of drugs in preterm neonates. The maturation of liver function is not a linear process, as the drug metabolizing enzyme expression changes nonlinearly during development.\textsuperscript{3} In the fetal liver, 30–60% of adult values in cytochrome P450 content is found and the total hepatic CYP content increases after birth.\textsuperscript{44} Of these CYP enzymes, the CYP3A family is the most abundant.\textsuperscript{8,13,44} The CYP3A isoforms show a different ontogeny profile, with CYP3A4 activity increasing in preterm neonates with increasing age, CYP3A5 activity seems to be stable from neonatal life to adulthood, whereas simultaneously CYP3A7 activity declines in neonates.\textsuperscript{44} Within the group of preterm neonates included in this study,\textsuperscript{19,30} we could not identify a trend in hepatic intrinsic clearance with gestational age or postnatal age, which can be explained by the small age range of the studied population. Due to the lower intrinsic hepatic clearance, the hepatic extraction ratio is very low in preterm neonates compared with adults, resulting in a much higher hepatic bioavailability than expected based on adult values. This has been previously reported by Salem et al.\textsuperscript{45} who found that the hepatic extraction ratio of midazolam increased with age. They found that the ontogeny of hepatic enzyme abundance and to a lesser extent the smaller number of microsomal protein per gram of liver contributed to the observed differences between preterm neonates and adults.\textsuperscript{45} Based on the hepatic intrinsic clearance, the hepatic blood flow, the fraction unbound in blood, and the blood:plasma ratio, the total plasma clearance can be calculated (Eq. 8). The plasma clearance ranged from 0.03 to 0.79 L/h with a median value of 0.181 L/h (Figure 2b). This is in agreement with other reported values of 3.9 mL/min (0.23 L/h) for preterm neonates with a mean gestational age of 32.1 ± 2.8 weeks\textsuperscript{12} and higher than the reported values of 0.783 mL/min (0.05 L/h) and 0.104 L/h in very premature neonates with a mean gestational age of 27 (24–31) and 27.9 (25–30) weeks, respectively.\textsuperscript{36,47}

The RSE percentage for the estimated intrinsic gut wall clearance value and high range of the 90% bootstrap confidence interval of this parameter together with a high number of unsuccessful runs in the bootstrap and a high condition number suggest overparameterization of the model. In a population modeling approach, this could warrant a re-evaluation of the structural model, however, our structural model and a subset of parameter values are based on physiological knowledge and physiologically based pharmacokinetic principles, and only the values of the remaining subset of parameters were estimated from concentration-time data using population modeling principles. The high RSE% and 90% bootstrap confidence interval can, therefore, be interpreted to mean that the data do not support a very precise estimate of intrinsic gut wall clearance.

For the structural model a few assumptions were included, which were evaluated in a sensitivity analysis. Plasma albumin concentrations were calculated based on a reported age-based formula by Johnson et al.\textsuperscript{5} in term neonates, assuming the preterm neonates to have the same concentrations as a 1-day-old term neonate. In addition, only plasma albumin concentrations were taken into account. Free fatty acid (FFA) concentrations are known to reduce protein binding of diazepam in neonates,\textsuperscript{48} and could result in a higher fraction unbound in blood for midazolam as well, but this was not taken into account, nor were other factors that could impact protein binding of midazolam. Our findings about the low gut wall and hepatic extraction leading to a small first-pass effect and high bioavailability in preterm neonates are, however, not influenced by these assumptions. Even though the fraction unbound and the intrinsic hepatic clearances are related, a different fraction unbound would increase the intrinsic clearance proportionally, yielding no net changes in extraction ratio or bioavailability. Furthermore, tissue volumes and organ blood flows are not directly measurable in preterm neonates. Therefore, allometric scaling was applied to scale the organ blood flows from data in term neonates\textsuperscript{7} to preterm neonates. The sensitivity analysis showed that changes in assumed organ blood flow are correlated with the estimated organ clearances: with an increased intestinal blood flow, the intrinsic intestinal clearance was estimated higher and
the assumptions of the hepatic blood flow were found to correlate with the estimated intrinsic hepatic clearance. However, in this study, also the extraction ratios and bioavailability derived from the estimated parameter values remained unaffected by changes in organ blood flows and would, therefore, not have an impact on our finding that the first-pass midazolam in preterm neonates is much smaller than in adults.

To conclude, the developed physiological population PK model was able to distinguish between CYP3A-mediated intestinal and hepatic metabolism of midazolam in preterm neonates. Intrinsic clearance in the gut wall was much lower than in the liver, but the median intestinal and hepatic bioavailability were both very high. This indicates a very low first-pass effect by intestinal and hepatic CYP3A-mediated metabolism in preterm neonates compared with adults. Furthermore, the variability in bioavailability was very high, indicating that oral dosing may yield large differences in drug exposure within this population. Overall, the PK of midazolam and its primary metabolite 1-OH-midazolam were well-described by the model, and this physiological model may, therefore, be used to predict the first-pass effect and systemic metabolism of midazolam and other orally administered CYP3A substrates in preterm neonates based on the physiological and drug properties.
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