Nasal cytokine responses to natural colds in asthmatic children

T. C. Lewis1,2,3, T. A. Henderson1, A. R. Carpenter1, I. A. Ramirez1, C. L. McHenry1, A. M. Goldsmith1, X. Ren2, G. B. Mentz3, B. Mukherjee4, T. G. Robins2, T. A. Joiner1, L. S. Mohammad1, E. R. Nguyen1, M. A. Burns5,6, D. T. Burke7 and M. B. Hershenson1,8

1Department of Pediatrics and Communicable Diseases, University of Michigan Medical School, 2Departments of Environmental Health Sciences, 3Health Behavior/Health Education, 4Biostatistics, University of Michigan School of Public Health, 5Departments of Chemical Engineering, 6Biomedical Engineering, University of Michigan, 7Departments of Human Genetics and 8Molecular and Integrative Physiology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

Summary

Background The mechanisms by which viruses induce asthma exacerbations are not well understood.

Objective We characterized fluctuations in nasal aspirate cytokines during naturally occurring respiratory viral infections in children with asthma.

Methods Sixteen children underwent home collections of nasal aspirates when they were without cold symptoms and again during self-reported respiratory illnesses. The presence of viral infection was ascertained by multiplex PCR. Cytokines were measured using multiplex immune assay. mRNA expression for selected markers of viral infection was measured using RT-PCR. A cumulative respiratory symptom score was calculated for each day of measurement. Generalized estimated equations were used to evaluate associations between viral infection and marker elevation, and between marker elevation and symptom score.

Results The 16 patients completed a total of 37 weeks of assessment (15 ‘well’ weeks; 22 self-assessed ‘sick’ weeks). Viral infections were detected in 3 of the ‘well’ weeks and 17 of the ‘sick’ weeks (10 rhinovirus, three coronavirus, two influenza A, two influenza B, two respiratory syncytial virus, one parainfluenza). Compared to virus-negative well weeks, nasal aspirate IFN-γ, CXCL8/IL-8, CXCL10/IP-10, CCL5/RANTES, CCL11/eotaxin-1, CCL2/MCP-1, CCL4/MIP-1β, CCL7/MCP-3, and CCL20/MIP3α protein levels increased during virus-positive sick weeks. Only a subset of cytokines (IFN-γ, CXCL8, CCL2, CCL4, CCL5, and CCL20) correlated with self-reported respiratory tract symptoms. While many aspirates were dilute and showed no mRNA signal, viral infection significantly increased the number of samples that were positive for IFN-κ1, IFN-κ2/3, TLR3, RIG-I, and IRF7 mRNA.

Conclusions and clinical relevance We conclude that in children with asthma, naturally occurring viral infections apparently induce a robust innate immune response including expression of specific chemokines, IFNs, and IFN-responsive genes.
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Introduction

Epidemiological studies have uncovered a strong association between viral infections, especially those caused by rhinovirus, and exacerbations of asthma attacks [1–3]. However, the mechanisms by which viruses induce exacerbations of chronic airways disease are not well understood. In response to viral infection, the airway epithelium, and to a lesser extent immune cells such as monocytes, macrophages, and dendritic cells [4–7], release pro-inflammatory cytokines, interferons (IFNs), and antimicrobial substances, which in turn, promote clearance of microorganisms, and activation of the adaptive immune system. However, in patients with...
chronic respiratory illnesses, the innate immune response may also be responsible for disease exacerbation [8].

Although the inflammatory response to experimental rhinovirus infection has been monitored [9–14], few studies have examined the innate immune response of patients with asthma to natural colds. Increases in respiratory tract CXCL8/IL-8, CCL5/RANTES, CCL19/MIP-1α, IL-10, CCL7/MCP-3, and CCL13/MCP-4 have been detected [15–18]. To further examine the innate immune response to viral infection in children with asthma, we measured nasal aspirate cytokine levels in 16 asthmatic children before and after upper respiratory tract infections. In contrast to the previous studies, we examined at least three time points before and in association with symptomatic illness. We evaluated the associations between viral infection and cytokine expression, and between cytokine expression and symptom score. We also examined the effects of upper respiratory tract infection on mRNA levels of selected markers of viral infection, including IFNs. Finally, we evaluated a new method of virus detection using a single polymerase chain reaction–ligation detection reaction (PCR-LDR) multiplex assay. We hypothesized that respiratory viral infection of children with asthma causes robust elaboration of pro-inflammatory cytokines and IFNs, and that the level of pro-inflammatory cytokines correlates with symptom severity.

Materials and methods

Study design

We conducted an observational cohort study of 16 children with asthma. Children were eligible for the study if they received care from a University of Michigan physician for asthma, were aged 6–18 years, and lived within a 30 mile radius of Ann Arbor. Outpatients were enrolled for 3–6 months, with the goal of assessing cytokines at baseline and in association with at least one viral-induced exacerbation during that period. Initial consent and first evaluation was conducted at the University of Michigan, but all subsequent evaluations were performed in the participant’s home. This study was approved by the University of Michigan Institutional Review Board (approval number HUM00018442). All clinical investigations were conducted according to principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki (http://www.wma.net).

Child characteristics

At the initial assessment, parents or guardians completed an extensive questionnaire, which included standardized questions about presence and frequency of asthma symptoms, an inventory of a child’s asthma medications and current usage, and queries about environmental exposures, and child and family demographic information. Baseline asthma severity was assessed using National Asthma Education and Prevention Program Guidelines [19] incorporating an adjustment for asthma controller therapy use [20].

Data and sample collection

We performed home measurements of respiratory symptoms and collected nasal secretions (for detection of viral RNA by PCR and host biomarkers by PCR and ELISA) on 3 days during a week when children were healthy (not reporting upper respiratory tract infection or asthma symptoms), and again during a week when they experienced cold or flu-like symptoms. Families were given a calendar and a simple respiratory symptom scale and asked to mark the level of their symptoms. We used a modified version of the respiratory symptom score developed by the Child Origins of Asthma Study [21], which assessed fever, cough, nasal symptoms, wheezing, difficulty breathing, waking up at night with cough, and interference with usual activities. When the patient experienced a symptomatic respiratory illness, as defined by a symptom score of two or higher on a scale of 0–13, the family notified the study staff and scheduled a visit within 48 h of the beginning of symptoms (defined as day 1 of the illness). Information regarding impact of respiratory symptoms on daily activities and recent use of asthma medicines was also collected. As noted above, study technicians visited the home every 2–3 days to retrieve additional nasal washing samples, for a total of three visits during the week following reporting of symptoms. The three specific days of the week selected for analysis were based on the convenience of the subjects and laboratory technician. Although specimens were most often collected on days 1, 4, and 7 of a given week, specimen collection was sometimes compressed into a 5 or 6-day period.

To collect a nasal lavage sample, we utilized a protocol developed by Powell and Shorr [22] and modified by James Gern (University of Wisconsin, personal communication). Two squirts of isotonic 0.65% sodium chloride were instilled into the child’s nostrils (estimated at < 1 mL per nostril) using a commercially available nasal saline spray (B.F. Ascher, Lenexa, KS, USA). The subject then blew their nose into a zippered plastic bag, and 3 ml of M4RT viral transport medium (Remel, Lenexa, KS, USA) was added. In general, samples were collected in the presence of study staff and were transported from homes to the laboratory within 3 h of collection in a cooler with ice packs. However, due to logistical issues scheduling home visits, families were also provided with kits for independent collection.
of nasal washings specimens at home. If a visit could not be scheduled within 48 h, families were instructed to collect the sample, double bag the sample, place in a tightly sealed collection box, and to keep it in the refrigerator until the staff could collect the sample. We required a 1 week interval between sample collections for sequential illnesses.

Detection of respiratory viruses

Nasal aspirates were homogenized using a handheld homogenizer to allow pipetting of viscous samples and frozen at –70°C to allow for batched analysis. Viral RNA and DNA were extracted using a MinElute kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). Samples were assessed for the presence of viral RNA or DNA via PCR using the Seegene Seeplex RV-12 detection kit (Seegene, Rockville, MD, USA). This kit detects human parainfluenza viruses 1, 2, and 3, human metapneumovirus, human coronavirus 229E/NL63, and OC43, human adenosivirus, influenza viruses A and B, human respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) A and B, and human rhinovirus A.

We also analysed specimens for respiratory viruses using a novel polymerase chain PCR-LDR multiplex assay [23]. The original system, which was designed to detect influenza viruses, was expanded to include para-influenza 1, 2, 3, 4A and 4B, coronaviruses 229E and OC43, influenza A and B, and rhinoviruses A, B, and C, adenoviruses A–E, metapneumovirus, and RSV A and B. We have detected all the viruses included in this multiplex system in clinical samples (i.e. nasal aspirates), with the exception of the adenoviruses, for which we used laboratory positive controls. The viral sequences for the multiplex assay are listed in Table 1.

Detection of nasal aspirate cytokine protein levels

Protein levels of IFN-γ, CXCL8/IL-8, CXCL10/IP-10, CCL5/RANTES, CCL11/eotaxin-1, CCL2/MCP-1, CCL4/MIP-1β, CCL7/MCP-3, CCL19/MIP-3β, and CCL20/MIP-3α were determined using a magnetic bead-based multiplex immune assay (Bio–Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Our interest on the above CC chemokines was prompted by gene array results from RV1B-infected, ovalbumin-sensitized, and -challenged mice (data not shown). IFN-α and IFN-β levels were measured using standard ELISA (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA).

Detection of nasal aspirate mRNA expression

Aspirates were homogenized and mRNA extracted as described above, using the RNEasy or the RNEasyPlus kit (Qiagen). mRNA was analysed for CXCL8/IL-8, CXCL10/IP10, CCL5/RANTES, CCL11/eotaxin, IFN-λ1, IFN-λ2/3, IFN-α, IFN-β, ICAM-1, TLR3, MDA-5, RIG-1, and IRF7 using quantitative real time PCR using specific primers and probes. Signals were normalized to GAPDH.

Fraction of exhaled nitric oxide in exhaled breath (FeNO)

We assessed FeNO in exhaled breath on 3 days during a week when the child was well, and on at least 3 days during a viral infection. To measure FeNO, we employed the NIOX MINO (Aerocrine, New Providence,
NJ, USA). Because FeNO measurement can be influenced by diet and exercise, participants were asked to refrain from eating or drinking within 1 h of their exhaled breath assessment. Triplicate samples were obtained to assess reliability.

Statistical design
Mean, standard deviation and interquartile range were used to describe measured values of nasal cytokine levels, nasal mRNA, and symptom score before and during viral illnesses. Box plots were used to represent the change in biomarkers at different points of the cold relative to the baseline. Whiskers of the boxplots represent the minimum and maximum values. Distributions of these continuous outcome variables were examined and appropriate transformations taken to achieve normality. The effects of viral illness, as defined by the Seegene kit results, on biomarker protein levels, and of biomarker level on symptom score were determined using a generalized mixed models (PROC MIXED), with an auto-regressive correlation structure using SAS statistical software (SAS, Cary, NC, USA). We evaluated and adjusted for relevant covariates such as age, gender, ethnicity/race, and nasal steroid use. Other potential explanatory variables, such as family income, baseline asthma severity, tobacco smoke exposure, and oral anti-histamine use were evaluated, but not included in final models as they were not significant predictors. For all mRNA outcomes except IFN-β, levels were undetectable in a large number of samples. We therefore categorized our results into detectable/non-detectable levels, and analysed as a binary variable using the generalized estimating equation (GEE) approach with the Tobit link [24]. We analysed IFN-β mRNA levels as a continuous variable using the GEE approach with Identity link. Unadjusted models are shown.

Results

Study participants
Sixteen children with physician-diagnosed asthma were recruited. Participants ranged in age from 6 to 16 years old, were 69% boys, 36% non-white, and reported symptoms or medication use consistent with persistent asthma (Table 2).

Participant respiratory illnesses
Participants completed a total of 37 weeks of assessment (15 ‘well’ weeks; 22 ‘sick’ weeks). Of the 16 children, one child did not experience any illnesses, 11 children experienced a single illness, two children reported two illnesses, one child reported three illnesses, and one child reported four illnesses. A total of 116 lavage samples were collected (47 during the ‘well’ weeks and 69 during the ‘sick’ weeks). The concordance between family reports of symptoms and detection of virus in nasal lavage specimens using the Seegene kit was generally high. Twelve of the 15 ‘healthy weeks’ (80%) showed no viral infection, whereas three of the well weeks (20%) were virus-positive. Six of the 22 ‘sick weeks’ (27%) showed no viral infection, whereas 16 were virus-positive (73%). For the 15 ‘well’ and 22 ‘sick’ weeks, 3 and 16 viral infections were detected respectively (eight rhinovirus, three coronavirus, three influenza A, two influenza B, two RSV, one parainfluenza) (Table 3). The current report focuses on the 28 weeks where there was concordance between self-
reported illness and viral detection using the Seegene kit (16 virus-positive ‘sick’ weeks, and 12 virus-negative ‘well’ weeks).

We re-tested eight positive and seven negative samples (by Seeplex) using the PCR-ligation detection multiplex assay. Twelve of 15 samples were concordant between the two assays. Two samples were positive by Seeplex and negative by PCR-LDR. One sample showed RSV by Seeplex assay and coronavirus OC43 by PCR-LDR.

Symptom scores, which qualitatively evaluated the severity of illness, rose during the sick weeks (Fig. 1a). Respiratory symptoms were highest at the first assessment during sick weeks, 1–3 days after initial report of illness. Children with confirmed viral illnesses experienced significant increases in cough, wheeze, and chest tightness, indicative of asthma exacerbation (Table 4).

**Nasal cytokine profiles**

Selected nasal aspirate cytokines were measured using magnetic bead-based multiplex immune assay. There were significant increases in all cytokines examined during weeks of reported symptoms, with the single exception of CCL7 (Table 5, Fig. 1b). This pattern was more striking when samples with documented viral infections were compared to those confirmed to be virus-negative. Unadjusted estimates of the association of confirmed viral infection and cytokine level were highly significant (not shown) and did not change appreciably when adjusted for age, gender, race, and nasal steroid use.

Changes in cytokines over the course of the illness are shown in Fig. 2. In most cases, cytokines increased during the first 2 days of infection and persisted throughout the week.

We also examined the relationship between cytokines and symptom score. Unadjusted estimates of the association of confirmed viral infection and cytokine level with symptom score were significant for IFN-γ, CXCL8, CCL5, CCL20, CCL2, and CCL4 (Table 6). CXCL10, CCL11, CCL19, and CCL7 cytokine levels did not correlate with symptom score.

We also attempted to measure IFN-α and IFN-β protein levels in the nasal aspirates by ELISA. IFN-α levels over the lower detection limit (12.5 pg/mL) were found in only five of 116 specimens (all virus-positive weeks). For IFN-β, levels over the detection limit (25 pg/mL)
were found in only 12 samples (five virus-negative, seven virus-positive).

Nasal aspirate mRNA levels

Many aspirates were dilute and a number of specimens showed no mRNA signal (Table 7). Nevertheless, we detected transcripts for ICAM-1, CXCL-10, IFN-λ1, CXCL-8, RIG-I, MDA-5, TLR3, IFN-λ2/3, IRF7, IFN-κ, and IFN-β from patients both before and during viral infections. We could not detect CCL5 and CCL11 mRNA in any specimens. Finally, infection significantly increased the number of samples that were positive for CXCL8, IFN-λ1, IFN-λ2/3, TLR3, RIG-I, and IRF7 mRNA.

FeNO measurements

We measured FeNO before and during virus-positive sick weeks (Fig. 3). There was no significant change in FeNO measurement with viral infection.

Discussion

Viral infections are the most frequent cause of asthma attacks [1–3]. In theory, chemokine production by virus-infected epithelial cells induces the recruitment of inflammatory cells to the airways, which in turn elabo-rate cytokines and mediators capable of increasing airway responses. Data suggest that immune cells may also be infected by respiratory viruses and produce chemokines [7, 25–30]. In the present study, we found that, during natural colds, respiratory tract cytokine levels significantly increase in children with asthma. Levels of a subset of cytokines correlated with the degree and time course of respiratory symptoms. The chemokines detected are responsible for recruitment of a broad array of inflammatory cells including neutrophils, monocytes, macrophages, and eosinophils.

Finally, we detected changes in nasal lavage specimens, which were collected at home on a repeated basis, both before and in association with infection. Although we did not measure cytokine levels in normal subjects, these data show that children with asthma are apparently capable of a robust cytokine response to viral infection, even during mild exacerbations. In addition, home collection of nasal lavage specimens appears to be a practical tool for studying the natural history of viral infection in children.

Our results expand upon prior work characterizing cytokine responses detectable in respiratory secretions of asthmatics in association with viral illnesses. Pizzi-chini et al. [15] found that, compared to 21 days after infection, sputum levels of CXCL8, eosinophil cationic protein and fibrinogen from six adult asthmatics were significantly elevated 4 days after the start of a cold-induced exacerbation. Furthermore, CXCL8 levels correlated with the number of sputum neutrophils. Teran et al. asthma [16] found that, compared to asymptomatic periods, levels of major basic protein, CCL5 and CCL19 were increased in the nasal aspirates of 26 children during acute viral-induced exacerbations. Grissell et al. asthma [17] found that compared to a group of stable asthmatics, levels of CCL5 and IL-10 were significantly elevated in the induced sputa of older children and adults suffering from acute viral-induced asthma exacerbations, along with neutrophils and eosinophils. CXCL8 and CCL19 were increased in the nasal aspirates of 26 children during acute viral-induced exacerbations. Grissell et al. asthma [17] found that compared to a group of stable asthmatics, levels of CCL5 and IL-10 were significantly elevated in the induced sputa of older children and adults suffering from acute viral-induced asthma exacerbations, along with neutrophils and eosinophils. CXCL8 and CCL19 were increased in the nasal aspirates of 26 children during acute viral-induced exacerbations. Grissell et al. asthma [17] found that compared to a group of stable asthmatics, levels of CCL5 and IL-10 were significantly elevated in the induced sputa of older children and adults suffering from acute viral-induced asthma exacerbations, along with neutrophils and eosinophils.

Table 5. Cytokine levels during healthy virus-negative and sick virus-positive weeks

| Cytokine (pg/mL) | Healthy, virus-negative week (n = 12) | Sick, virus-positive week (n = 15) |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                  | Mean (SD) | Range | Mean (SD) | Range |
| IFN-κ            | 12 (32)   | 0–114 | 130 (169) | 0–497 |
| CXCL8            | 321 (514) | 22–1678 | 2839 (3631) | 61–9888 |
| CXCL10           | 7970 (14 155) | 10 888–48 609 | 27 860 (32 987) | 0–140 229 |
| CCL5             | 5 (6)     | 0–18  | 61 (73)   | 2–265 |
| CCL11            | 27 (26.2) | 3–73  | 155 (218) | 4–695 |
| CCL20            | 11 (14.4) | 0–54  | 94 (193)  | 0–997 |
| CCL19            | 35 (33)   | 0–101 | 72 (78)   | 0–315 |
| CCL2             | 71 (99)   | 3–369 | 267 (474) | 0–2277 |
| CCL7             | 5 (5)     | 0–19  | 9 (13)    | 0–68  |
| CCL4             | 23 (42)   | 0–220 | 156 (436) | 0–2899 |
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CCL4. Importantly, we found that increases in these chemokines were sustained throughout the week following viral infection.

We also examined the relationship between cytokines and respiratory symptom score. Interestingly, we found that some cytokines correlated with symptom score (IFN-γ, CXCL8, CCL5, CCL20, CCL2, and CCL4) whereas others did not (CXCL10, CCL11, CCL19 or CCL7). Although we did not examine lower airway cytokine levels, it is tempting to speculate that the former set of cytokines is responsible for the acute asthmatic response to viral infection, whereas the latter set may modulate future immune responses.

Fig. 2. Time course of nasal lavage cytokines comparing healthy week to early (s1–2), mid (s3–6), and late (s7–12) phases of self-reported sick periods that had a confirmed viral infection.
Based on differences in IFN-β and IFN-λ production between cells isolated from controls and asthmatic subjects [31–33], it has been proposed that patients with asthma are prone to rhinovirus-induced exacerbations due to deficient IFN production. On the other hand, other in vitro studies showed no differences in rhinovirus-induced gene expression in epithelial cells isolated from asthmatic and healthy subjects [34, 35], and control and asthmatic subjects experimentally infected with rhinovirus show no difference in respiratory tract viral titre or copy number [14]. We found that viral infection during natural colds significantly increased the levels of IFN-c protein and IFN-k mRNA in the nasal aspirates. Viral infection also significantly increased the expression level of RIG-I and IRF-7, each of which are

Table 6. Viral presence and a subset of cytokines are independent predictors of symptom score in unadjusted models. Cytokine concentrations were mathematically transformed ln (y + 1) prior to modelling and estimates shown are on this scale

| Predictors   | Estimate | P value |
|--------------|----------|---------|
| Virus_week   | 2.681    | < 0.001 |
| IFN-γ        | 0.307    | 0.005   |
| CXCL8        | 0.336    | 0.011   |
| CXCL10       | −0.034   | 0.758   |
| CCL5         | 0.519    | < 0.001 |
| CCL11        | 0.266    | 0.085   |
| CCL20        | 0.376    | 0.017   |
| CCL19        | 0.220    | 0.123   |
| CCL2         | 0.504    | 0.003   |
| CCL7         | 0.394    | 0.104   |
| CCL4         | 0.418    | 0.003   |

Table 7. Cytokines detected by PCR from samples obtained during concordant weeks

| mRNA   | Present | Healthy week virus-negative (%) | Sick week virus-positive (%) | Modelled effect of virus on cytokine detectability |
|--------|---------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| ICAM-1 | No      | 15 (57.7)                       | 10 (25)                    | 2.3 (0.7, 7.6) P = 0.161                           |
|        | Yes     | 11 (42.3)                       | 30 (75)                    |                                                  |
|        |         |                                 |                            |                                                  |
| CXCL-10| No      | 19 (73.1)                       | 26 (63.4)                  | 7.1 (1.7, 28.7) P = 0.006                           |
|        | Yes     | 7 (26.9)                        | 12 (47.6)                  |                                                  |
|        |         |                                 |                            |                                                  |
| IFN-λ1 | No      | 24 (92.3)                       | 27 (71.1)                  |                                                  |
|        | Yes     | 2 (7.7)                         | 15 (28.9)                  |                                                  |
|        |         |                                 |                            |                                                  |
| CXCL-8 | No      | 7 (26.9)                        | 1 (2.6)                    | 13.7 (1.5, 130) P = 0.022                           |
|        | Yes     | 19 (73.1)                       | 37 (97.4)                  |                                                  |
|        |         |                                 |                            |                                                  |
| RIG-I  | No      | 11 (50)                         | 4 (10.5)                   | 9.4 (1.8, 47.7) P = 0.007                           |
|        | Yes     | 11 (50)                         | 34 (89.5)                  |                                                  |
|        |         |                                 |                            |                                                  |
| MDA5   | No      | 10 (43.5)                       | 8 (21.6)                   | 2.5 (0.5, 12.1) P = 0.260                           |
|        | Yes     | 13 (56.5)                       | 29 (78.4)                  |                                                  |
|        |         |                                 |                            |                                                  |
| TLR3   | No      | 7 (25)                          | 1 (2.4)                    | 11.5 (1.1, 118.2) P = 0.040                          |
|        | Yes     | 21 (75)                         | 40 (97.6)                  |                                                  |
|        |         |                                 |                            |                                                  |
| IFNλ2/3| No      | 24 (88.9)                       | 26 (63.4)                  | 4.6 (1.1, 18.9) P = 0.032                           |
|        | Yes     | 3 (11.1)                        | 15 (36.6)                  |                                                  |
|        |         |                                 |                            |                                                  |
| IRF7   | No      | 21 (95.5)                       | 19 (47.5)                  | 22.1 (4.5, 107.4) P < 0.001                          |
|        | Yes     | 1 (4.5)                         | 21 (52.5)                  |                                                  |
|        |         |                                 |                            |                                                  |
| IFN-α  | No      | 20 (89.5)                       | 29 (76.3)                  | 29 (76.3)                                         |
|        | Yes     | 2 (10.5)                        | 9 (23.7)                   |                                                  |
|        |         |                                 |                            |                                                  |
| IFN-β† | No      | 2 (10.5)                        | 0 (0)                      |                                                  |
|        | Yes     | 20 (89.5)                       | 38 (100)                   |                                                  |
|        |         |                                 |                            | Mean (SD) 2.0 (3.3) 0.8 (1.3) 0.111                  |

*P-value was calculated based on the GEE method. Repeated measures were taken into account.
†Data represent mean and SD of mRNA values normalized to GAPDH.

Fig. 3. Time course of exhaled nitric oxide values. FeNO was measured using the NIOX MINO (Aerocrine, New Providence, NJ, USA).
inducible by type I IFNs [36, 37]. Together, these data suggest that asthmatic children are capable of a functional IFN response. On the other hand, we failed to detect IFN-α or IFN-β protein in nasal aspirates, and there was no significant change in mRNA levels during natural colds. Detection of type I IFNs in respiratory secretions is made difficult by the low sensitivity of commercially available assays, physiologically low levels of these cytokines in biological fluids (particularly in fluids without sufficient numbers of plasmacytoid dendritic cells) and presence of natural inhibitors (e.g. soluble receptors). Also, we could not compare the results from our experimental subjects to children without asthma.

Our finding that IRF7 mRNA is elevated after respiratory viral infection in children with asthma is validated by a recent study analysing patterns of gene expression in nasal lavage samples from children experiencing picornavirus-induced asthma exacerbations [38]. Consistent with our previous work examining the requirement of IRF7 for rhinovirus-induced gene expression in cultured airway epithelial cells [39], coexpression analysis demonstrated IRF7 to be a major hub connecting IFN-mediated responses in virus-induced asthma exacerbations.

In this study, we piloted a new PCR-LDR-based method for respiratory virus detection. Twelve of 15 samples were concordant between the two viral detection techniques; in two cases, samples were positive by Seeplex and negative by PCR-LDR. The precise cause of the discrepancy between the two tests is not certain, but the Seeplex test, which relies on visual detection of bands on agarose electrophoresis gels, may be liable to false positives. We also optimized our PCR-LDR test for maximum specificity, with the goal of avoiding false positives. This may have resulted in a reduction in sensitivity if copy number of viral nucleic acid was low.

We measured the effect of natural colds on FeNO. Previous studies have shown FeNO to be a reliable measure of eosinophilic airway inflammation, steroid responsiveness, and clinical control in children and adults with allergic asthma [40–43]. FeNO levels have also been shown to increase during emergency steroid treatment of acute asthma exacerbations [44, 45]. In contrast, we found that despite increases in airway cytokines and respiratory symptoms, there was no increase in FeNO during natural colds in children relative to their baseline state. These data are consistent with the notion that airway inflammation in the context of viral-induced asthma exacerbations is different in character than that associated with chronic asthma.

There are several limitations to our study. First, although nasal sampling has the advantage of increased safety and decreased participant burden, sputum specimens more closely reflect the response of the lower airways to viral infection. However, as noted above, levels of IFN-γ, CXCL8, CCL5, CCL20, CCL2, and CCL4 significantly correlated with respiratory symptoms, including lower respiratory tract symptoms such as cough, wheeze, chest tightness, and shortness of breath, each of which are indicative of asthma exacerbation. In addition, previous studies have found similar changes in nasal aspirate and sputum cytokine concentrations following viral exacerbations of asthma [16, 17]. Finally, observations demonstrate consistent effects of nasal and bronchial provocation [46].

Second, we did not measure inflammatory cells in the nasal aspirates. We therefore could not assess the functional effects of chemokine release in our subjects. Previous studies have shown recruitment of neutrophils, eosinophils, and macrophages to the respiratory tract following rhinoviral infection of asthmatic subjects [9, 15, 17, 18, 47–50]. Also, we cannot determine the cellular source of the mRNAs measured in our study. Pilot analysis showed primarily epithelial cells and neutrophils in the nasal aspirates, suggesting that epithelial cells were the primary source of mRNA.

Third, as noted above, we did not compare responses of asthmatic subjects to controls. A recent study showed that asthmatics and non-atopic subjects experience similar colds, including chemokine responses, following experimental rhinovirus infection [17].

Fourth, although we were able to detect significant differences in many cytokines following upper respiratory tract infection, a fraction of the samples were too dilute to detect mRNA. (There may have been other reasons for the loss of RNA, for example sample ribonuclease). Dilution may also affect the concentration of measured biomarkers. We did not adjust measurements by total protein content, as vascular leakage due to inflammation may alter protein concentrations in children with upper respiratory infections [51]. In our experience, total protein is difficult to measure in many nasal (and tracheal) aspirate specimens, even when cytokines are readily detectable, and therefore normalization for total protein does not increase the reliability of the concentrations.

Finally, studying naturally occurring colds in children using home-based methods inherently involves some variability in sample timing and handling that is not present in the controlled environment of the laboratory. Yet this approach also offers an opportunity to observe 'real world' scenarios. Our ability to detect statistically significant changes in specific mRNAs and proteins using the current study design suggests that this is a viable approach for use in future investigations, for example, studies examining whether an early adjustment of asthma medications
could abort or temper the impact of respiratory viral infections.

We conclude that in children with asthma, naturally occurring viral infections apparently induce a robust innate immune response including expression of specific chemokines, IFNs, and IFN-responsive genes. Cytokine responses are sustained the first week following viral infection. Future analyses of controls and asthmatics which combine the determination of cytokine responses and asthma health outcomes will provide further insight into the cellular pathways responsible for virus-induced asthma exacerbations.
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