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Abstract: We investigated associations between intakes of human milk (HM) components (macronutrients and biologically active molecules) and regional fat depots development in healthy term infants (n = 20) across the first year of lactation. Infant limb (mid-arm and mid-thigh) lean and fat areas were assessed by ultrasound imaging at 2, 5, 9 and 12 months of age. Concentrations of HM total protein, whey protein, casein, adiponectin, leptin, lysozyme, lactoferrin, secretory IGA, total carbohydrates, lactose, HM oligosaccharides (total HMO, calculated) and infant 24-h milk intake were measured, and infant calculated daily intakes (CDI) of HM components were determined. This pilot study shows higher 24-h milk intake was associated with a larger mid-arm fat area (p = 0.024), higher breastfeeding frequency was associated with larger mid-arm (p = 0.008) and mid-thigh (p < 0.001) fat areas. Lysozyme (p = 0.001) and HMO CDI (p = 0.004) were time-dependently associated with the mid-arm fat area. Intakes of HM components and breastfeeding parameters may modulate infant limb fat depots development during the first year of age and potentially promote favorable developmental programming of infant body composition; however, further studies are needed to confirm these findings.
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1. Introduction

Rapid fat mass (FM) gain in infancy is acknowledged as a risk factor for metabolic diseases in adulthood [1]. Breastfeeding is reportedly related to the development of infant body composition (BC) [2]; this relationship conceivably contributes to a lower risk of obesity and a lesser incidence of metabolic diseases [3]. Studies report that both subcutaneous-abdominal and visceral fat depots are independently and differentially regulated in infants [4,5] and that increased duration of exclusive breastfeeding is associated with increased subcutaneous but not visceral fat [4]. Furthermore, daily intakes of several human milk (HM) molecules were shown to have disparate associations with infant visceral and subcutaneous-abdominal adiposity [5], supporting the notion of protection against obesity [6]. This suggests that HM may ensure a beneficial (subcutaneous) adipose phenotype that is associated with a reduced risk of non-communicable diseases and obesity later in life [1,6]. However, the mechanisms of this protection are not currently demonstrated, further complicated by the longitudinal changes in HM composition [7] and the effects of maternal adiposity, diet and other environmental factors [8].
Measuring of infant BC would be prudent for assessment of infant growth in addition to anthropometrics and body mass index (BMI), as the latter is not recommended for clinical use in children under nine years of age being a poor predictor of relative body fat [9]. During the early months of infancy, BC measurements would provide important information for growth and nutritional assessment, enabling tailoring of early nutrition (energy and nutrient requirements of the infant), especially in high risk/preterm infants [10], yet they are difficult to obtain in this population [11]. The accuracy of whole BC measurements in infants is still being debated; there is also a clinical need for fast and reliable assessment of fat distribution and objective measurements of localised fat deposition [11].

Recently, ultrasound imaging has been validated for the assessment of the effect of macronutrients on preterm infants’ tissue accretion rates [12]. The study reported that ultrasound is sufficiently sensitive in the detection of the effects of daily macronutrient intakes, predominantly the intake of HM carbohydrates and protein energy ratio of intakes, on moderation of adipose-to-muscle tissue accumulation measured at four anatomical sites (mid-arm and mid-thigh lean and fat areas). Furthermore, the authors pointed out that macronutrient profiles and the timing of fortification have played a part in sculpting preterm BC. It is still not fully understood how breastfeeding and intakes of HM components influence the accretion of adipose and lean tissue in term healthy breastfed infants that feed on demand during and beyond the exclusive breastfeeding period.

The aim of this pilot study was to apply ultrasound imaging for measuring of regional BC of term infants to investigate relationships with daily intakes/concentrations of HM macronutrients and bioactive components during the first 12 months of breastfeeding on demand. Additionally, to establish relationships between infant limb adipose and lean tissue accretion and breastfeeding parameters, as well as maternal BC.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants and Design

We recruited 20 healthy (self-reported) English-speaking breastfeeding mothers with healthy infants from the community to visit our research laboratory at King Edward Memorial Hospital for Women (Subiaco, Perth, WA, Australia) during their infants’ first year of life (2, 5, 9 and 12 months after birth). Eligibility criteria were healthy singleton infants, birth gestation of ≥37 weeks, exclusively breastfed to 5 months and breastfed at 9 and 12 months. Exclusion criteria for infants were health issues potentially affecting growth and formula supplementation at any time points during the study; for mothers, low milk supply, gestational diabetes mellitus and smoking.

At the study visits, we collected HM samples and measured infant limb (mid-arm and mid-thigh) lean and fat areas as well as maternal anthropometry and BC. Participants arrived in the morning (09:30 a.m.–12:00 p.m.) to avoid circadian influence on the outcomes. Dyads have self-reported as healthy at the time of the study visit (no infectious illness, such as cold or flu, no indication of mastitis in mothers). Mothers measured infant 24-h milk intake (MI) and breastfeeding frequency (BFF, meals/24 h) at their homes by test-weighing their infants prior to and after every breastfeeding on up to 3 occasions: between 2 and 5 months, when MI is consistent [13,14], and within 2 weeks of both, 9 and 12 months.

2.2. Measurements of Infant Limb Fat and Lean Areas

To assess infant regional adiposity, one experienced sonographer took single ultrasound measures with minimum compression using the Aplio XG (Toshiba, Tokyo, Japan) ultrasound machine with a high-resolution 14–8 MHz transducer (PLT-1204BX) and sterile water-based Aquasonic 100 US transmission gel (Parker Laboratories Inc., Fairfield, NJ, USA). Probe placement was on the anterior upper arm and thigh with the infant either supine or sitting on the mother’s/researcher’s lap. The infant mid-arm and mid-thigh lean and fat areas and circumferences were measured precisely from the images on the screen (Figure 1) according to previously validated protocols [12,15] using a universal desktop ruler. The sonographer previously displayed high intra-rater reliability [12].
placement was on the anterior upper arm and thigh with the infant either.

**2.3. Assessment of Maternal Body Composition**

Maternal BC (fat-free mass (FFM), FM, %FM) was measured with bioelectrical impedance spectroscopy using the Impedimed SFB7 bioelectrical impedance analyser (ImpediMed, Brisbane, QLD, Australia) as reported formerly [16]. The within-participant coefficient of variation (CV) for maternal %FM was 0.21% [17]. The indices of maternal height–normalized BC were calculated: FFM index (FFMI) was calculated as FFM/height²; FM index (FMI) was calculated as FM/height² [18].

**2.4. Analysis of Human Milk Components**

The methodology for analysis, together with concentrations and CDI of 11 HM components, were reported previously [17,19–23].

In short, pre-/post-feed samples were pooled (unless specified as not) and then defatted for measuring of all HM components [24] but the adiponectin [17] and leptin [19], which were measured in whole HM with ELISA. Casein and whey proteins were separated, as per Kunz and Lonnerdal [25] and Khan et al. [26]. Protein concentrations (casein, total and whey protein) were measured with the Bradford Protein assay [27]. Lactose was determined in pre- and post-feed samples using the enzymatic spectrophotometry and averaged for analysis [27]. For measurement of total carbohydrates, skim HM was deproteinized with trichloroacetic acid [28] and then dehydrated by sulfuric acid [29]. Lysozyme was measured by an adaptation of Selsted and Martinez methods [30,31], lactoferrin and slgA were measured with ELISA [31,32]. Standard assays were adapted for and carried out using a JANUS workstation (PerkinElmer, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), measurements were performed on EnSpire (PerkinElmer, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). We conducted all measures in duplicate and averaged results for statistical analysis. The total HM oligosaccharide (HMO) concentration was calculated by deducting lactose concentration from total carbohydrates concentration.

We used 24-h MI values from the 24-h test-weighing [13] and component concentrations measured in HM samples collected at the research laboratory visit to estimate calculated daily intakes (CDI), which were considered representative of a typical daily intake at the corresponding time point.

**2.5. Statistical Analyses**

The study design as well as power calculation and statistical analyses used for this cohort have been reported previously [16,20–22]. Briefly, during this longitudinal pilot study, we measured infants at four time points (2 and/or 5, 9 and 12 months). As there is no closed-form expression suitable for the calculation of sample sizes for longitudinal study [33], we calculated an approximate sample size as if this was a cross-sectional study with equal numbers at each time point [34]. Allowing four predictors (3 for age comparisons), $\alpha = 0.05$ and 14 participants (56 sample points) gave the study power of 0.80.
to detect an effect size of 0.15, with the consideration that longitudinal study design is more powerful. We introduced recruitment of participants at the 5-month point, as many mothers would not commit to a study that required breastfeeding to 12 months when approached at 2 months \( (n = 8) \). We increased participant number to 20 to ensure the predicted power; this also addressed issues relating to missed visits. Missing data were dealt with using available case analysis.

Relationships between variables were analysed using linear mixed-effects models. Fitted models included a response (infant limb fat and lean area measurements) and an explanatory variable: (a) HM component concentrations; (b) HM components CDIs; (c) breastfeeding parameters (24-h MI, BFF); and (d) maternal BC measures. The fixed effects were infant age (as a categorical variable), the explanatory variable of interest and interaction with the explanatory variable of interest and age as well as a random effect for each participant. If the \( p \)-value associated with the interaction was below 0.05, the results were reported for the full model (fixed effects for infant age, the explanatory variable of interest and the interaction); otherwise, results are reported for the model fitted without interaction (fixed effects for infant age and the explanatory variable of interest). Data were checked for statistical outliers and where the identified outlier had a significant impact the results are reported for both.

Systematic differences between measured parameters at 2, 5, 9 and 12 months were analysed using linear mixed model (infant age as a fixed factor, participant as a random factor). We used general linear hypothesis tests (Tukey’s all-pair comparisons) to analyse the differences between the time points.

A false discovery rate (FDR) adjustment was applied to the subgroupings of results to the interaction \( p \)-value if it was less than 0.05 or to the main effect \( p \)-value \([35]\). The adjusted significance levels are reported in the Tables and set at <0.05 otherwise. We reported results as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and range and as parameters estimates ± standard error (SE). We used R 4.0.2 to perform the statistical analysis and visuals.

3. Results

3.1. Participants

Participants’ demographics, anthropometrics and BC measured at the study sessions, 24-h MI and BFF, and the sample sizes at all time points are shown in Table 1 and Figure A1 and have been reported previously together with HM components concentrations and CDIs and attrition and missing data \([16,20–23]\). Briefly, mothers were mainly of European ancestry and higher social-economic status. Maternal age at the commencement of the study was 33.3 ± 4.7 (24–44) years, height was 167.4 ± 7.4 (150–181) cm and parity was 2.3 ± 0.9 (1–4). Infant birth weight was 3.486 ± 0.498 (2.660–4.455) kg and gestational age was 39.4 ± 1.32 (37.6–43) weeks. Missing data occurred due to some participants not attending all sessions and the difficulties with conducting 24-h MI measurements at later stages of lactation and included: (a) measurements of mid-arm and mid-thigh lean and fat areas \( (n = 18 \) from the 80 anticipated); (b) CDI of casein, whey and total protein, lactose, adiponectin, leptin, lactoferrin and sIgA \( (n = 27) \), CDI of total carbohydrates and HMOs \( (n = 28) \), and lysozyme CDI \( (n = 30 \) from the 60 anticipated).

Table 1. Participant anthropometric, limb lean and fat areas and breastfeeding parameters.

| Characteristics | 2 Months | 5 Months | 9 Months | 12 Months |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
|                 | Mean ± SD (Min–Max) | Mean ± SD (Min–Max) | Mean ± SD (Min–Max) | Mean ± SD (Min–Max) |
| Mothers \( (n = 14) \) | \( (n = 20) \) | \( (n = 18) \) | \( (n = 18) \) |
| Weight (kg) | 78.8 ± 19.3 \( (57.5–116.2) \) | 70.1 ± 17.8 \( (53.7–115.3) \) | 63.0 ± 10.0 \( (50.4–121.9) \) | 64.2 ± 17.3 \( (51.4–121.9) \) |
| BMI (kg/m\(^2\)) | 27.2 ± 5.5 \( (20.4–35.8) \) | 24.8 ± 5.0 \( (19.0–35.2) \) | 22.7 ± 3.9 \( (17.9–37.2) \) | 23.9 ± 5.9 \( (18.2–37.2) \) |
Table 1. Cont.

| Characteristics                      | 2 Months Mean ± SD (Min–Max) | 5 Months Mean ± SD (Min–Max) | 9 Months Mean ± SD (Min–Max) | 12 Months Mean ± SD (Min–Max) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Infants                              | (n = 15)                    | (n = 20)                      | (n = 19)                    | (n = 18)                      |
| Sex (M/F)                            | 9 M/6 F                     | 10 M/10 F                    | 10 M/9 F                   | 9 M/9 F                      |
| Age (months)                         | 2.04 ± 0.14 (1.87–2.33)     | 5.16 ± 0.22 (4.77–5.47)      | 9.22 ± 0.27 (8.83–9.77)    | 12.26 ± 0.28 (11.63–12.67)   |
| Length (cm)                          | 58.1 ± 1.9 (54.2–60.0)      | 64.8 ± 2.3 (60.5–69.5)       | 71.7 ± 1.9 (66.0–74.0)     | 73.6 ± 3.2 (69.0–78.5)       |
| Weight (kg)                          | 5.63 ± 0.66 (4.42–7.40)     | 7.43 ± 1.13 (5.81–9.51)      | 8.84 ± 0.98 (6.68–10.10)   | 9.65 ± 0.62 (7.17–11.09)     |
| BMI (kg/m²)                          | 16.6 ± 1.2 (14.5–18.1)      | 17.6 ± 1.9 (14.9–20.4)       | 17.7 ± 1.7 (14.2–20.2)     | 17.8 ± 0.9 (13.7–19.2)       |
| Infant limbs measurements             | (n = 13)                    | (n = 19)                      | (n = 18)                    | (n = 12)                      |
| Mid-arm lean area (cm²)              | 106.6 ± 11.8 (82.4–120.8)   | 116.6 ± 10.4 (98.1–134.3)    | 125.2 ± 12.7 (106.4–156.4) | 127.3 ± 6.9 (118.5–142.5)    |
| Mid-arm fat area (cm²)               | 41.6 ± 6.6 (31.4–53.0)      | 47.2 ± 7.5 (34.0–62.3)       | 49.6 ± 9.3 (37.9–78.6)     | 40.5 ± 6.7 (31.4–50.0)       |
| Mid-thigh lean area (cm²)            | 148.3 ± 21.6 (110.5–184.6)  | 179.2 ± 22.5 (138.2–214.2)   | 197.0 ± 24.9 (170.8–270.8) | 195.3 ± 29.0 (117.9–237.7)   |
| Mid-thigh fat area (cm²)             | 61.8 ± 12.5 (41.3–85.5)     | 81.0 ± 16.5 (52.4–112.2)     | 76.9 ± 24.4 (22.0–121.2)   | 71.2 ± 15.5 (41.3–91.6)      |
| Breastfeeding parameters             |                             |                              |                            |                              |
| 24-h MI (g)                          | (n = 17) b                  | (n = 8)                      | (n = 8)                    | (n = 9)                      |
| BFF (meals/24 h)                     | 8.1 ± 1.4 (6–11)            | 5.4 ± 1.3 (4–7)              | 4.4 ± 2.1 (2–8)            |                              |

BFF, breastfeeding frequency; BMI, body mass index; M/F, male/female; MI, milk intake; MP, milk production; n/a not applicable. a Data are mean ± SD and ranges. b 24-h MI and BFF (meals/24 h) were measured between 2–5 months and within 2 weeks of 9 and 12 months.

3.2. Infant Limb Fat and Lean Areas across the First 12 Months of Age

The longitudinal changes in maternal and infant whole BC, 24-h MI and BFF as well as concentrations and CDI of HM components have been published earlier [16,20–23]. Infant limb measures across the 12 months of life are presented in Table 1. In this cohort, lean regional areas increased significantly as age increased, mid-arm fat areas initially increased and then plateaued and decreased, whilst mid-thigh fat areas increased significantly from 2 to 5 months with no further significant changes (Table 2).

Table 2. Significant differences by lactation duration within infant regional lean and fat areas a.

| Infant Characteristic               | Between 5 and 2 Months | Between 9 and 2 Months | Between 12 and 2 Months | Between 9 and 5 Months | Between 12 and 5 Months | Between 12 and 9 Months | Overall p-Value |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Mid-arm lean area (cm²)             | 10.93 ± 3.17 b (0.003) | 19.19 ± 3.22 (<0.001)  | 22.73 ± 3.75 (<0.001)   | 8.26 ± 2.86 (0.020)    | 11.80 ± 3.40 (0.003)    | 3.54 ± 3.42 (0.73)    | <0.001           |
| Mid-arm fat area (cm²)              | 5.76 ± 2.45 (0.007)    | 8.00 ± 2.49 (<0.001)   | −2.09 ± 2.88 (0.89)     | 2.24 ± 2.22 (0.74)     | −7.84 ± 2.62 (0.015)    | −10.08 ± 2.64 (<0.001)| <0.001           |
| Mid-thigh lean area (cm²)           | 30.34 ± 8.04 (0.001)   | 48.06 ± 8.15 (<0.001)  | 46.95 ± 8.93 (<0.001)   | 17.72 ± 7.29 (0.071)   | 16.61 ± 8.08 (0.17)     | −1.12 ± 8.15 (1.00)   | <0.001           |
| Mid-thigh fat area (cm²)            | 16.96 ± 5.88 (0.020)   | 12.71 ± 5.97 (0.14)    | 6.68 ± 5.67 (0.76)      | −4.25 ± 5.33 (0.86)    | −10.48 ± 5.92 (0.29)    | −6.23 ± 5.97 (0.72)   | 0.035            |

a Systematic differences in the measured parameters between different time points were determined with general linear hypothesis test (Tukey’s all pair comparisons). b Data are parameter estimate and standard error of estimate. c p-value, bold font indicates significant difference (p < 0.05) between two time points.
3.3. Human Milk Components and Infant Limb Fat and Lean Areas

This pilot study suggests that lysozyme CDI may be positively associated with infant mid-arm fat area at 2, 5 and 9 months and negatively at 12 months (overall $p = 0.001$). However, when a biological outlier is removed, the association does not stand ($p = 0.24$). HMO CDI was negatively associated with the mid-arm fat area at 2 months and positively at 5, 9 and 12 months (overall $p = 0.004$), with no further associations for either CDI or concentrations of HM components following the FDR adjustment (Table 3, Figure 2).

![Figure 2](image.png)

**Figure 2.** Significant associations between infant mid-arm fat area and calculated daily intakes (CDI) of lysozyme (overall $p = 0.001$, prior to the outlier removal) and human milk oligosaccharides (HMO; overall $p = 0.004$). Lines represent linear regression and grouped by the infant age.

3.4. Breastfeeding Parameters and Infant Limb Fat and Lean Areas

This pilot study suggests that higher infant 24-h MI may be associated with larger infant mid-arm fat area ($p = 0.024$) whilst higher BFF was associated with larger both, mid-arm ($p = 0.008$) and mid-thigh ($p < 0.001$) fat areas (Table 4, Figure 3). No significant associations between breastfeeding parameters and infant lean limb areas were found following the FDR adjustment.

3.5. Maternal Body Composition and Infant Limb Fat and Lean Areas

Maternal BC and anthropometry were not associated with infant limb lean and fat areas (data not presented).
Table 3. Associations between infant fat and lean limb areas, and daily intakes and concentrations of human milk components.

| Predictor | 2 Months | 5 Months | 9 Months | 12 Months | p-Values |
|-----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
|           | Intercept (SE) | Slope (SE) | Intercept (SE) | Slope (SE) | Intercept (SE) | Slope (SE) | Intercept (SE) | Slope (SE) | Predictor | Infant Age (Months) | Interaction |
| Daily intakes of milk components | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mid-arm lean area (cm²), significant at <0.005 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Leptin (ng/day) | 105 (10.3) | 0.01 (0.02) a | 133 (6.82) | −0.05 (0.02) | 106 (14.3) | 0.08 (0.05) | 128 (9.58) | −0.004 (0.04) | 0.32 | 0.019 | 0.046 b |
| Mid-arm fat area (cm²), significant at <0.009 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lysozyme (g/day) | 38.2 (4.05) | 40 (23.2) | 31.2 (9.09) | 189 (125) | 21.9 (9.95) | 484 (151) | 48.4 (5.99) | −98.1 (58.4) | 0.064 | <0.001 | 0.004 |
| HMO (g/day) | 42.8 (4.05) | 0.01 (0.02) | 39.3 (4.16) | 0.04 (0.02) | 18.1 (10.7) | 2.79 (0.84) | 39.1 (4.58) | 0.12 (0.18) | 0.28 | 0.002 | 0.16 |
| Mid-thigh lean area (cm²), significant at <0.005 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Leptin (ng/day) | 179 (13.7) | −0.06 (0.03) | 202 (10.5) | −0.06 (0.03) | 215 (10.1) | −0.06 (0.03) | 215 (9.63) | −0.06 (0.03) | 0.025 c | <0.001 | |
| Concentrations of milk components | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mid-arm lean area (cm²), significant at <0.005 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lactoferrin (g/L) | 119 (6.27) | −26.4 (10.9) | 122 (6.9) | −12.1 (15.5) | 115 (6.21) | 15.7 (9.51) | 119 (12.1) | 13.8 (17.1) | 0.73 | <0.001 | 0.029 |
| Lactose (g/L) | 185 (32) | −1.18 (0.47) | 88.9 (33.9) | 0.42 (0.52) | 85.7 (30.1) | 0.60 (0.46) | 151 (42.1) | −0.33 (0.63) | 0.73 | <0.001 | 0.040 |
| slgA (g/L) | 126 (7.93) | −56.4 (13.5) | 105 (6.82) | 22.6 (12.5) | 118 (7.3) | 11 (11.2) | 120 (11.8) | 11.2 (16.3) | 0.89 | <0.001 | 0.007 |
| Mid-arm fat area (cm²), significant at <0.005 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| slgA (g/L) | 34.7 (5.99) | 12.9 (10.2) | 46.6 (5.14) | 1.91 (9.47) | 63.8 (5.49) | −22.7 (8.46) | 43.4 (8.87) | −7.11 (12.3) | 0.38 | <0.001 | 0.041 |
| Mid-thigh lean area (cm²), significant at <0.005 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Whey protein (g/L) | 206 (28.1) | −8.78 (4.14) | 254 (32.1) | −13.6 (5.78) | 164 (20.8) | 5.41 (3.3) | 230 (27.7) | −4.35 (3.86) | 0.15 | <0.001 | 0.008 |
| Lactoferrin (g/L) | 167 (13.7) | −36.2 (24.1) | 211 (14.9) | −75.5 (33.7) | 180 (13.4) | 28 (20.7) | 207 (21.2) | −10.8 (31.7) | 0.35 | <0.001 | 0.040 |
| Mid-thigh fat area (cm²), significant at <0.005 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Adiponectin (ng/mL) | 78.8 (10.8) | −1.31 (0.92) | 99.4 (10.7) | −1.84 (1.04) | 143 (20.6) | −7.72 (2.31) | 71.9 (15.4) | −0.144 (1.34) | 0.039 | 0.023 | 0.028 |
| Total protein (g/L) | 159 (34.3) | −8.65 (3.14) | 975 (14.5) | 0.58 (1.2) | 111 (24.1) | −3.14 (2.28) | 72.5 (21.6) | −0.352 (1.79) | 0.32 | 0.009 | 0.030 |
| Casein (g/L) | 54.6 (23.2) | 9.61 (18.5) | 84.4 (10.5) | −1.45 (5.71) | 116 (13.8) | −32.2 (10.9) | 88.3 (19.2) | −13.7 (13) | 0.19 | 0.004 | 0.039 |

a Data are parameter estimate ± SE (standard error of estimate); effects of predictors taken from linear mixed-effects models that accounted for infant age and interaction between infant age and predictor with a random effect for the participant; if the p-value for interaction is not <0.05 parameter estimates are taken from a model with no interaction. b,c Results are presented only for interactions or predictors with raw p-values < 0.05. d Significance for the tested combinations after the FDR adjustment (significant p-values are indicated by the bold text). HMO, human milk oligosaccharides; slgA, secretory immunoglobulin A.
3.4. Breastfeeding Parameters and Infant Limb Fat and Lean Areas.

This pilot study suggests that higher infant 24-h MI and BFF (meals/24-h) were measured between 2–5 months and within 2 weeks of 9 and 12 months.

| Predictor                | 2–5 Months | 9 Months | 12 Months | p-Values | Infant Age (Months) | Interaction |
|--------------------------|------------|----------|-----------|----------|---------------------|-------------|
| BFF (meals/24 h)         | 20.7 (9.88) | 3.15 (1.21) | 35.3 (7.23) | 0.008     | 0.002               | 0.065       |
| 24 h MI (g)              | 28.9 (7.65) | 0.021 (0.009) | 41.4 (5.64) | 0.024     | 0.002               | 0.37        |
| BFF (meals/24 h)         | 22.6 (14.7) | 7.33 (1.78) | 46 (10.4)  | <0.001   | 0.002               | 0.29        |

Table 4. Associations between breastfeeding parameters and infant fat and lean limb areas.  

* Data are parameter estimate ± SE (standard error of estimate); effects of predictors taken from linear mixed-effects models that accounted for infant age and interaction between infant age and predictor with a random effect for participant; if the p-value for interaction is not <0.05 parameter estimates are taken from a model with no interaction.  

b Results are presented only for interactions or predictors with raw p-values < 0.05.  

Significance for the tested combinations after the FDR adjustment (significant p-values are indicated by the bold text).  

BFF, breastfeeding frequency; MI, milk intake.  

4. Discussion

This pilot longitudinal study examines some of the potential mechanisms by which breastfeeding and HM components may reduce the risk of obesity later in life. Primary we have concentrated on the effect of the doses (CDI) of a multitude of HM macronutrients and bioactive molecules on term infant regional adiposity during the first 12 months of breastfeeding and found suggestions that regional adipose tissue depots in arm and thigh are disparately regulated during infancy. Foremost HM composition and component intakes and infant MI and BFF are potentially linked to the development of infant regional adiposity.
subcutaneous adiposity (Figure 4). With CDIs of HM components relating to subcutaneous fat depots, our findings suggest that breastfeeding and HM may be protective against obesity; however, further work is needed in a larger number of dyads to confirm these findings.

Humans are the fattest species at birth, born with approximately 15% total body fat [36], which peaks at around 25% between 6–9 months of age [37] before gradually declining. Most of the energy derived from infant adipose tissue is used as an energetic buffer to support infant brain function between the feeds [38] and to aid with marginal nutrition during illness and the introduction of solids. Furthermore, the breakdown products of fat oxidation and ketones not only provide an alternative to glucose to fuel the brain, they are also the building blocks (carbon) for developing brain cells. This contributes to mild infant ketonemia (permanently elevated ketone circulation levels regardless of feeding status) from as early as mid-gestation [39]. Additional to mother’s milk, infant body fat also provides DHA for the membranes of the developing brain [38].

The evolutionary advantages of having higher infant fat accretion at birth are further supported by breastfeeding. The fat accretion in exclusively breastfed infants is reportedly higher than in formula-fed [2,40] and partially breastfed infants [41]. Furthermore, at 3 and 6 months of age, exclusive breastfeeding duration is positively related to infant subcutaneous–abdominal fat and %FM [42]. This indicates that fat accumulation during the period of active growth during infancy may be crucial for the programming of infant BC and health later in life. Whilst children with obesity are more likely to become adults with obesity and obesity-related non-communicable diseases [43,44] and increased BMI during infancy is positively associated with adult BMI, %FM and FFM [45], there are no data on whether infant regional body composition persists into adulthood. In adults, the location of fat accretion may predetermine the metabolic risk, with higher fat accretion in the abdominal region associating with higher risk [46] and unfavourable glucose and lipid levels [47]. Paradoxically, an increase of fat in the legs is associated with a lower risk of cardiovascular disease and lower cardiometabolic risk factors [48,49] and with more favourable levels of glucose [47,50] and lipids [47]. Fully breastfed infants with high adiposity are sometimes considered to be ‘overfed’ and at risk of later metabolic disease. However, published reports of breastfed infants with high BMI-for-age and

![Figure 4. Potential pathways of lactocrine programming of the infant regional adiposity across the first year of lactation. Associations between predictors and infant regional adiposity are indicated by the arrows (green-positive; red-negative); dotted arrows indicate time-dependent associations. BF-breastfeeding; CDI-calculated daily intakes; HMO-human milk oligosaccharides.](image-url)
adiposity showed typical 24-h MI and macronutrient composition in the first 6 months and subsequent catch-down growth [51,52]. This concurs with findings from this study that CDI of specific HM components influence BC in breastfed infants.

Adding to these reports, the suggestions of this study are the prospects of time-dependent and predominantly positive associations between CDI of lysozyme (prior to the outlier removal) and total HMOs and infant limb fat areas. Our previous investigations in the same cohort showed the possibility of several time-dependent differential associations of CDI of HM macronutrients and bioactive molecules as well as 24-h MI and BFF with infant adiposity (FM), supporting the current findings. Higher CDI of lysozyme was previously suggested to be associated with increased infant FM and FMI [23]; however, similar associations of total HMO CDI were rendered non-significant after the FDR adjustment [22]. Lysozyme [53–55] and HMOs [56–59] are found in HM in high concentrations and both are biologically active and anti-pathogen, modulating the infant gut microbiome and potentially affecting BC development. Studies of either HM lysozyme [60] or oral supplementation with bovine lysozyme [61] have shown increased weight gains in preterm infants, similar to the findings of this study. A recent study also reported infant intakes of individual and total acidic HMOs were positively associated with infant FM and weight-for-age and weight-for-length z-scores between 2 and 6 months after birth [62].

We also did not observe any associations with concentrations of lysozyme or total HMOs, nor did we find any strong associations between concentrations of other HM components and lean and fat areas of the limbs. However, prior to FDR adjustment, multiple time-dependent relationships between concentrations of several macronutrients and bioactive components and both lean and fat areas were observed, which were overall predominantly negative (Table 3). Additionally, HM leptin concentration, which was measured in whole milk, had a negative relationship with mid-thigh lean areas, which is in line with the previously reported (also prior to FDR) relationship of whole milk leptin with infant FFM [20]. Adiponectin concentration related negatively to mid-thigh fat areas, which is also similar to the studies that reported negative relationships between HM adiponectin and subcutaneous-abdominal depth [5] and weight-for-age and weight-for-length z-scores [63,64] as well as zBMI score [64].

Additionally, prior to FDR, HM protein concentrations showed the possibility of predominantly negative associations with limb fat areas (total protein, casein) and lean areas (whey protein). Recent studies that explored the relationships of HM macronutrients with regional adiposity reported a negative association between total protein concentration and visceral fat thickness [65] and preperitoneal fat area (prior to FDR) [5]. The relationships of two other immunomodulatory proteins, lactoferrin and slgA, were more complicated, with both negatively associating with limb lean areas during exclusive breastfeeding and positively afterwards. slgA, however, positively related to limb fat areas during exclusive breastfeeding, then the relationship became negative. Lactoferrin and slgA previously were reported to relate differentially and time-dependently to infant visceral depth (a proxy measure for visceral fat) [5]. However, in the current analysis, none of these described associations have been supported by the associations at the CDI level, highlighting the importance of measuring MI in future studies.

Another potential finding is that infants that consumed more milk and fed more frequently had higher limb fat accretion. MI is a prime determining factor of growth during infancy [27,66]; within the same cohort we have reported previously that higher BFF is associated positively with 24-h MI, and that both, 24-h MI and BFF related positively to infant adiposity and negatively to lean mass [16]. In a larger longitudinal cohort of exclusively breastfed infants, we established that smaller, shorter infants with lower %FM, yet not the younger ones, had a higher BFF [67]. These and similar findings hint that infant BC drives the relationship of MI with infant growth [68] and further support feeding on demand.

In this analysis, we have not reported any potential relationships between maternal whole BC and infant regional BC, which is contradictory to studies of infant whole BC.
Maternal adiposity previously has been reported to relate negatively to infant FFM [16] and subcutaneous-abdominal fat areas [5], as well as to infant adiposity, lean mass and z-scores [69]. Our results suggest that regional BC, whilst contributing to infant whole BC, may be regulated differentially and some regional adiposity, such as limb fat depots, may not be affected by maternal adiposity, thus increased maternal adiposity may not automatically reduce some of the protective effects of breastfeeding against obesity.

Our findings highlight the importance of accurate measurements of regional BC when assessing growth and potential health risks. This ultrasound method of measuring infant regional adiposity could be used to explore relationships between infant early nutrition and development of BC and potentially, as a tool in the clinical setting and nutritional interventions.

This pilot study concentrated on infants that breastfed on demand during the first year of life; it is pensive of normal lactation and advancement of infant regional BC. The strengths of this investigation are the longitudinal measures of participants and sampling of milk, as well as of actual 24 MI and CDIs of HM components, and the broad diversity in maternal BC. The study limitations are the small participant numbers linked to the numerous measurement time points and the particularly limited number of 24-h MI measures after the introduction of solids and an estimation of the total HMO concentration, notwithstanding the technical difficulties with accounting for all individual HMOs. We were unable to collect data on infant dietary intake from solids after 5 months of age, or on maternal diet, which might influence infant BC [70]; however, any compositional changes in HM, particularly in HM metabolic hormones, may be driven by maternal adiposity rather than diet [8,71,72].

Our sample consisted of breastfed singletons born at term to Western Australian urban mothers with mainly European ancestry and of higher social-economic status; thus, our findings are likely not representative of more diverse populations.

5. Conclusions

CDI of HM components and breastfeeding parameters may modulate the development of infant limb fat depots during the first 12 months of breastfeeding and potentially contribute to beneficial developmental programming of infant regional BC. Studies of larger and more diverse longitudinal cohorts are needed to confirm these findings.
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