Rapid measurement of thoracolumbar kyphosis with the integrated inclinometer of a smartphone: a validity and reliability study
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The objective of this study was to evaluate the accuracy, reliability, and time requirements of two methods for measuring thoracolumbar kyphosis: a conventional method using a picture archiving and communication system (PACS) and this new method using the integrated inclinometer of a smartphone. The thoracolumbar kyphotic angles of one hundred consecutive patients were measured by a PACS and this novel smartphone method. The measured angles were analysed by multiple statistical methods, and the two measurement tools were compared in terms of accuracy, reliability, and time requirements. The mean result of thoracolumbar kyphosis measured by the PACS was 21.43 ± 12.96°, and the mean value measured by the smartphone was 21.03 ± 13.01°. A Bland–Altman plot for these two methods showed a mean difference of 0.4°, with the limits of agreement being -2.4° and 3.2°. One-way ANOVA showed no significant difference (F = 0.080, P = 0.999) among measurements by different observers and different methods. The intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) of the mean values of four repeated measurements of thoracolumbar kyphosis between these two methods were 0.997 (0.995–0.998), revealing that the two methods were highly correlated. The ICC results showed that the concordance between these two methods was very good for all measurements of thoracolumbar kyphosis, and the inter- and intra-observer reliability of the novel smartphone method were very good. The PACS method (36.95 ± 0.98 s) took significantly longer than the smartphone method (17.68 ± 0.97 s) when compared by an independent-samples t test (P = 0.000). This new method using the integrated inclinometer of a smartphone has satisfactory validity and reliability compared to the PACS method. Additionally, the new method took significantly less time than the PACS method. Measuring with a smartphone is more convenient than using a PACS, which is always rooted in a full-sized computer. In summary, this new method using the integrated inclinometer of a smartphone is rapid, convenient, accurate and reliable when measuring thoracolumbar kyphosis in osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture (OVCF) patients.
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PACS  Picture archiving and communication system
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Osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures (OVCFs) may result in severe thoracolumbar kyphosis, which presents several challenges to spine surgeons. The thoracolumbar kyphosis of patients with OVCFs at the thoracolumbar junction is markedly greater than that of healthy volunteers and can decrease significantly after percutaneous kyphoplasty. Accurate measurement of thoracolumbar kyphosis in patients with thoracolumbar OVCFs is helpful for selecting treatment options and predicting prognosis. The traditional measuring method,
in which a marking pen and protractor are used, is inconvenient and time-consuming, and could be more troublesome and film-stained when using included angle of vertical lines of endplates in small kyphotic angle patients. The current gold standard relies on picture archiving and communication systems (PACs), which are normally rooted in hospital computer systems and lack portability as well as convenient accessibility by a large user base. Some iPhone apps have been designed and used to measure kyphotic angles in lateral X-ray films; however, these apps have some unavoidable disadvantages, including fees and lack of software stability. The inclinometer, which is a built-in function in almost every smartphone, can be used to measure the inclination of the smartphone during photography or other circumstances. We introduced a new method using the integrated inclinometer of a smartphone to measure thoracolumbar kyphosis and analysed the accuracy and reliability of this new method.

Materials and methods
All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. This study was approved by the ethics committee of The First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, which waived the requirement for informed consent.

Patient selection.
One hundred consecutive patients admitted to our hospital and diagnosed with OVCFs were retrospectively reviewed. The inclusion criteria were legible lateral plain X-ray films and one or more acute or chronic osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures at the thoracolumbar junction (T10-L2). The exclusion criteria were spinal tumours, spinal tuberculosis, and pyogenic spinal infections.

Measurement methods.
Thoracolumbar kyphosis was defined as the included angle between the upper endplate of T10 and the lower endplate of L2 (or the included angle between vertical lines normal to the two aforementioned endplates) (Fig. 1).

Thoracolumbar kyphosis measurement with a PACS was implemented by using the PACS's integrated system to mark lines manually and read them automatically.

The smartphone used in the study is a HUAWEI Mate 20 Pro. The resolutions of the three Leica rear cameras are 40 million, 20 million and 8 million pixels. The inclinometer build into this smartphone was used to measure thoracolumbar kyphosis on lateral plain X-ray film. The precision of the built-in inclinometer sensor is one degree. The X-ray film was placed on the film viewer, which was located on the wall; hence, the X-ray film was assumed to be plumb (Fig. 2). First, the vertebral body of T10 was confirmed, the certain edge of the smartphone was aligned to the upper endplate of T10, and the angle shown on the smartphone screen was recorded (Fig. 2A). Second, the vertebral body of L2 was confirmed, the same edge of the smartphone was aligned to the lower endplate of L2, and the angle shown on the smartphone screen was recorded (Fig. 2B). Third, we calculated and recorded the difference between these two angles, which represented thoracolumbar kyphosis. Because the smartphone is not transparent, errors may be produced when aligning the edge of the smartphone to the endplate line; observers should try to avoid this potential error.

Without knowledge of the patients’ information, two observers (observer A is an attending spine surgeon, and observer B is an orthopaedic resident undergoing training in general orthopaedics) who had undergone sufficient
training and practice with this new method independently evaluated the X-ray films. Each angle obtained by the PACS and smartphone was measured twice over 2 weeks. The order of the four measurements was as follows: first trial with the PACS (first week), first trial with the smartphone (third week), second trial with the PACS (fifth week), and second trial with the smartphone (seventh week). To decrease possible recall, the order of the radiographs was reorganized randomly using the RAND function in Excel software.

A stopwatch was used to record the time taken for each measurement. To simulate the actual measurement process used in the clinic, the measurement time of the PACS began when the radiograph number was entered in the PACS and ended when the angle was recorded; the measurement time of the smartphone began at the activation of the integrated inclinometer function and ended when the angle was recorded.

Excel 2016 was used to record a total of 800 (one hundred thoracolumbar junction × two methods × two times × two observers) thoracolumbar kyphotic angles.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed in a blinded manner. All the data were analysed by SPSS 21.0. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to check whether the values were normally distributed. Bland–Altman analysis was performed in MedCalc software to evaluate the agreement between the mean values by these two methods. One-way ANOVA was used to evaluate the differences among all measurements by different observers and different methods. The reliability of the two measurement methods was analysed by two-way random intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs). ICC values divide reliability into five levels: poor (0.00–0.20), fair (0.21–0.40), moderate (0.41–0.60), substantial or good (0.61–0.80) and very good (0.81–1.00). The time needed for different measurement methods was also compared by an independent-samples t test. The significance threshold was set at 0.05.

Results

This study reviewed 100 lateral radiographs from osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture patients (12 males and 88 females). The average age of all the patients was 71.8 ± 9.00 years.

All measurements of thoracolumbar kyphosis were normally distributed, as confirmed by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. The mean result of thoracolumbar kyphosis measured by the PACS was 21.43 ± 12.96°, and the mean result of thoracolumbar kyphosis measured by the smartphone was 21.03 ± 13.01°. Figure 3 shows the Bland–Altman plot with the mean difference between these two methods (0.4°) and the limits of agreement (−2.4° and 3.2°). Most of the data were within the limits of agreement, indicating that the agreement of these two methods is good. One-way ANOVA showed no significant differences (F = 0.080, P = 0.999) among the measurements by different observers and different methods (Table 1). The ICC of the mean values of the four measurements of thoracolumbar kyphosis between these two methods was 0.997 (0.995–0.998), revealing that these two methods were highly correlated. Table 2 shows that the concordance between these two methods was very good for every measurement of thoracolumbar kyphosis. Table 3 shows that the inter- and intra-observer reliability of thoracolumbar kyphosis measurement with this novel smartphone method were very good. The time needed to use the PACS (36.95 ± 0.98 s) was significantly longer than the time needed to make the same measurement with a smartphone (17.68 ± 0.97 s), as compared by an independent-samples t test (P = 0.000).

Discussion

The traditional manual method and the PACS method have their own advantages and disadvantages in measuring spinal parameters8. Electronic devices, especially smartphones, have been used in evaluating different situations in orthopaedic patients. Some apps have been used to measure the range of motion of the shoulder9, elbow9, wrist10 and knee11. The motion of the cervical12, thoracic13 and lumbar spine14 has also been evaluated by various types of iPhone apps.
Some iPhone apps have been introduced to measure Cobb angles on lateral radiographs in different clinical conditions. Jacquot et al. developed an iPhone app called Cobbmeter and proved the excellent reliability of this new app, which was found to be non-inferior to the traditional manual method for measuring Cobb angles on lateral X-ray films. Lee et al. compared the "Sagittalmeter Pro" app to the PACS in measuring spinopelvic sagittal parameters, and the results showed that this app had good intra- and inter-rater reliability, indicating that the app is useful and time saving when planning spinal surgery. Shahri et al. validated the Goniometer-Pro app as a highly accurate and reliable method for measuring thoracic curvature values.

However, smartphone apps have their own disadvantages when measuring these kyphotic parameters of the spine. The lack of updating and routine maintenance make these apps incompatible with the latest smartphones. Extra fees and potential bugs make them not as friendly as the built-in function of smartphones. Most of these apps are based on the Apple iPhone platform, thus excluding users of Android and other smartphone systems, which are common in developing countries.

### Table 1. Mean ± SD values of all measurements and their comparison. P values indicated the significance between the values in the same column. PACS A t1: the results of the first time of observer A using PACS, and so on. Smartphone B t1: the results of the first time of observer B using smartphone, and so on.

| Thoracolumbar kyphosis (°) | PACS A t1  | 21.33 ± 13.47 |
|---------------------------|------------|----------------|
| PACS A t2                 | 20.99 ± 12.93 |
| PACS B t1                 | 21.58 ± 13.42 |
| PACS B t2                 | 21.83 ± 12.89 |
| Smartphone A t1           | 20.70 ± 13.32 |
| Smartphone A t2           | 21.05 ± 13.12 |
| Smartphone B t1           | 20.96 ± 12.82 |
| Smartphone B t2           | 21.39 ± 13.16 |

### Table 2. The reliability of results using PACS and smartphone of every measurement.

| ICC (95% CI) | P value |
|--------------|---------|
| Observer A t1 PACS versus smartphone | 0.965 (0.948–0.976) | 0.000 |
| Observer A t2 PACS versus smartphone | 0.968 (0.952–0.978) | 0.000 |
| Observer B t1 PACS versus smartphone | 0.958 (0.938–0.971) | 0.000 |
| Observer B t2 PACS versus smartphone | 0.963 (0.946–0.975) | 0.000 |

Figure 3. Bland–Altman plot. The solid line in the centre represents the mean difference, and the two outer dotted lines represent the limits of agreement (95% confidence interval).
Therefore, we presented a new method using the integrated inclinometer, which is found in almost every smartphone, for measuring thoracolumbar kyphosis in spinal lateral radiography. The accuracy and reliability of this new method were tested in the present study. The results indicated that the validity and reliability of this new method are excellent and that the time requirement of this novel method is notably less than that of the PACS method.

Notably, the Bland–Altman plot showed that the mean difference between these two methods was less than 1°, which is a widely acceptable error in the measurement of kyphotic angles in the spine. Possible errors from this novel method may come from the confirmation of the endplate due to the poor quality of radiography, which can also be seen in the manual method and PACS method. Because the inclinometer function is built into the smartphone, this novel method avoids the aforementioned problems with downloaded apps. It does not need to be updated or maintained, always responds quickly without bugs, and is included with the phone at no additional charge. The purpose and the spirit of the measurement have not been changed even though the method has changed; a new tool has been developed, but the general principles of the kyphotic measurement process remain. This novel method is not intended to replace the traditional manual method and PACS method but to provide a new possibility for rapid and convenient measurement.

In the present study, we evaluated the use of a built-in smartphone inclinometer for assessing thoracolumbar kyphosis only in OVC patients due to the ease of obtaining data from these patients. Because of the similar principles and steps, this method may be useful in other spinal parameter measurements. Even though the results of this study are encouraging, more research is needed to confirm the universal feasibility in different clinical conditions. This study assessed only the scenario of measurement on X-ray films with this integrated inclinometer; its accuracy and reliability for measuring radiographs on a computer screen remain unclear. It can be reasonably speculated that if the computer screen is calibrated to be plumb, this method should also be suitable for the measurement of radiographs on the computer screen. This usefulness of this novel method in such a clinical situation may need further evaluation.

Additionally, some points deserve special attention during the measurement process. The X-ray films need to be placed plumb because the principle of this novel method is based on an inclinometer. Sufficient practice and proficiency are associated with measurement accuracy and time efficiency.

**Conclusion**

The validity and reliability of this new method using an integrated smartphone inclinometer are satisfactory compared to those of the PACS method. The time requirement of this new method is significantly shorter than that of the PACS method. Measuring with smartphones is more convenient than using a PACS, which is always rooted with a full-sized computer. In summary, this new method using an integrated inclinometer of a smartphone system, for measuring thoracolumbar kyphosis in spinal lateral radiography. The accuracy and reliability of this new method were tested in the present study. The results indicated that the validity and reliability of this new method are excellent and that the time requirement of this novel method is notably less than that of the PACS method.

Table 3. Inter- and intraobserver reliability of observer A’s versus observer B’s measurements using smartphone. Observer A t1: the results of the first time of observer A, and so on. Observer B t1: the results of the first time of observer B, and so on.

|                                | ICC (95% CI) | P value |
|--------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Observer A t1 versus observer B t1 | 0.977 (0.966–0.985) | 0.000   |
| Observer A t2 versus observer B t2 | 0.975 (0.962–0.983) | 0.000   |
| Observer A t1 versus observer A t2 | 0.984 (0.976–0.989) | 0.000   |
| Observer B t1 versus observer B t2 | 0.982 (0.974–0.988) | 0.000   |

Data availability

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are not publicly available due to further research but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 22 January 2022; Accepted: 26 April 2022
Published online: 24 May 2022

**References**

1. Wang, Y. et al. Selecting proper distal fusion level in severe thoracolumbar kyphosis secondary to late osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture to limit distal complications. *J. Orthop. Sci. 26*(21), 00272–00274 (2021).
2. Cao, Z. et al. Percutaneous kyphoplasty for osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures improves spino-pelvic alignment and global sagittal balance maximally in the thoracolumbar region. *PLoS ONE 15*(1), e0228541 (2020).
3. Wang, L. et al. Measurement of scoliosis Cobb angle by end vertebra tilt angle method. *J. Orthop. Surg. Res. 13*(1), 223 (2018).
4. Huang, T. et al. A novel rapid measurement of hallux valgus parameters using the built-in photo edit function of smartphones. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord. 22*(1), 716 (2021).
5. Jacquot, F. et al. Measuring the Cobb angle with the iPhone in kyphoses: A reliability study. *Int. Orthop. 36*(8), 1655–1660 (2012).
6. Lee, J. B. et al. Validity of a smartphone application (Sagittalmeter Pro) for the measurement of sagittal balance parameters. *World Neurosurg.* **126**, e8–e15 (2019).
7. Wang, J., Chen, T., Rui, X., Yang, Y. & Zhang, H. Rapid measurement of lumbosacral spine-pelvic sagittal balance parameters using electronic device. *J. King Saud Univ. – Sci.* **32**(6), 3217–3222 (2020).
8. Mitchell, K., Gutierrez, S. B., Sutton, S., Morton, S. & Morgenthaler, A. Reliability and validity of goniometric iPhone applications for the assessment of active shoulder external rotation. *Physiother. Theory Pract.* **30**(7), 521–525 (2014).
9. Vauclair, F. et al. The smartphone inclinometer: A new tool to determine elbow range of motion?. *Eur. J. Orthop. Surg. Traumatol.* **28**, 415–421 (2018).
10. Santos, C., Pauchard, N. & Guilloteau, A. Reliability assessment of measuring active wrist pronation and supination range of motion with a smartphone. *Hand Surg. Rehabil.* **36**, 338–345 (2017).
11. Castle, H. et al. Smartphone technology: A reliable and valid measure of knee movement in knee replacement. *Int. J. Rehabil. Res.* **41**, 152–158 (2018).
12. Guidetti, L., Placentino, U. & Baldari, C. Reliability and criterion validity of the smartphone inclinometer application to quantify cervical spine mobility. *Clin. Spine Surg.* **30**, E1359–E1366 (2016).
13. Furness, J., Schram, B., Cox, A. J., Anderson, S. L. & Keogh, J. Reliability and concurrent validity of the iPhone Compass application to measure thoracic rotation range of motion (ROM) in healthy participants. *Peer J.* **6**, e4431 (2018).
14. Kolber, M. J., Pizzini, M., Robinson, A., Yanex, D. & Hanney, W. J. The reliability and concurrent validity of measurements used to quantify lumbar spine mobility: An analysis of an iPhone application and gravity-based inclinometry. *Int. J. Sports Phys. Ther.* **8**, 129–137 (2013).
15. Shahri, Y. F. K. & Hesar, N. G. Z. Validity and reliability of smartphone-based Goniometer-Pro app for measuring the thoracic kyphosis. *Musculoskelet. Sci. Pract.* **49**, 102216 (2020).
16. Kuklo, T. R. et al. Measurement of thoracic and lumbar fracture kyphosis: Evaluation of intraobserver, interobserver, and technique variability. *Spine* **26**(1), 61–66 (2001).
17. Carman, D. L., Browne, R. H. & Birch, J. G. Measurement of scoliosis and kyphosis radiographs. Intraobserver and interobserver variation. *J. Bone Jt. Surg Am.* **72**(3), 328–333 (1990).
18. Wang, L. et al. Reliability of different smartphones measuring the hallux valgus parameters in a new rapid method: A follow-up study. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.* **23**(1), 315 (2022).
19. Cobb, J. R. The problem of the primary curve. *J. Bone Jt. Surg Am.* **42-A**, 1413–1425 (1960).

**Author contributions**

Study conception and design: T.H., W.Z., X.L. Acquisition and analysis of data: Z.Z., L.W., C.Z., R.Z., C.X. Interpretation of data: T.H., Z.Z., L.W. Drafting of the manuscript: T.H., Z.Z.

**Funding**

This study was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81873998), the Natural Science Foundation of Chongqing (cstc2017cyjAX0039) and the Medical Scientific Research Cultivating Foundation of The First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University (PYJJ2019-227).

**Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

**Additional information**

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to W.Z. or X.L.

**Reprints and permissions information** is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher’s note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022