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Abstract: Identifying optimum seeding rate for crop varieties is an important agronomic practice to improve the productivity and the quality of the produces. Therefore, this study was conducted to evaluate the effect of seeding rate on the growth, protein content and yield performance of malt barley varieties grown under rain-fed conditions at Sinnana district, southeast Ethiopia. The treatments studied include five malt barley varieties (Singitan, Bahati, IBON174/3, HB - 1964 and Holker) and four seed rates (100, 125, 150, and 175 kg ha⁻¹). The experiments were arranged using factorial randomized complete block design with three replicates. The results revealed significant differences among the varieties and seeding rates for days to 50% heading, 90% physiological maturity, plant height, productive tiller (m²), 1000-kernel weight, grain protein content and grain yield (kg ha⁻¹). Among the barely varieties, Holker recorded the longest days to 50% heading (75.0 days) and plant height (91.79cm). The heavier 1000-kernel weight was produced from Singitan and Bahati (43.52gm) and (43.30gm) varieties, respectively. Increment in seed rate from 100–175 kg ha⁻¹ decreased days to 50% heading by 6% and thousand kernels weight by 23.51%. Furthermore, the interaction effect of variety and seeding rate showed significant differences on productive tiller, harvest index, grain protein content and grain yield. The use of 150 kg ha⁻¹ seed rate for variety Singitan resulted in higher number of productive tillers (1017.33 per m²), thousand kernels weight (43.52g), grain yield (3.63 t ha⁻¹), Hectoliter weight (62.98 kg ha⁻¹) and lower protein content (10.7%). As per the result of the partial budget analysis, higher benefit also recorded from the use of variety Singitan with a seed rate of 150 kg ha⁻¹. From the results of this study it can be concluded that, better crop performance, higher grain yield and economic return achieved from the combinations of variety Singitan, Bahati and HB-1964 with a seed rate of 150 kg ha⁻¹ and variety IBON174/3 and Holker with seed rate of 125 kg ha⁻¹.
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1. Introduction

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) is one of the major cereal crops that are largely produced in the central and southeast mid- and high-altitudes of Ethiopia. It is the fifth most important cereal crop after tef, maize, wheat and sorghum [16]. It is cultivated in almost all regions of the country having an altitude ranging from 1,400–over 4,000 meters above sea level. It is the most desirable crop in the highlands where there is a limited alternative crop [69].

The two commonly cultivated types in Ethiopia include food and malt barley. Traditionally it is used for making local recipes and drinks such as ‘dabo’, ‘kolo’, ‘ganfo’, ‘kinche’, ‘baso’, ‘tela’, ‘borde’ and other types of food. It is one of the main sources of calories [69]. The use of naked barley roasted grain as ‘kolo’ for consumption has a traditional background providing blood glucose stabilization, cardiovascular protection, and cancer prevention. This ancient grain satisfies more than just the palate [38]. Similar to other food grains, barley has gained popularity and regarded as “poor man’s bread”. Thus, the current consumer interest regarding its nutrition and health benefits are expected to improve more its
production status as a human diet [50].

Malt barley is the basic raw material for brewing. Its chemical composition affects the beer quality and the economic efficiency of the brewing process [57]. The malt barley grain should meet the following standards.

It should be fully mature and plump with high kernel weight, low protein content of 9–11.5% on dry matter basis, high germination capacity of over 95%, moisture level of less than 12%, and uniform size and shape. In addition, colure of the grain must be uniform and bright, the smell should be fresh and not musty or earthy, and the husk should be fine and wrinkled rather than coarse and stretched. Foreign seeds, weeds and other impurities should be kept under 0.5% [40]. The reason plumpness is so important is that maltsters wanted to produce more extract, which means more beer [18]. The texture of endosperm influences the malt modification process by affecting water uptake and enzyme synthesis within the endosperm [12].

Malt barley is considered as one of the cash crops and its demand by malt factory has increased due to its increased capacity of processing and the expansion of breweries and beer consumption levels in the country [5]. However, only about 35–40% of the industrial demands supplied from domestic production and the remaining quantity is imported from abroad by foreign currency [22]. In spite of the importance of barley as a food and malting crop, the efforts made so far to generate improved production technologies and its productivity has remained low. For instance, its average national yield is about 1.97 t ha\(^{-1}\), which is low compared to the world average of 3.1 t ha\(^{-1}\) [23]. The low yield of barley is partly attributed to cultivation of unimproved low yielding varieties, low level of soil nutrient contents particularly nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P), lack of appropriate seeding rate, the influence of several biotic and a biotic stress and the minimal promotion of improved barley production technologies [31].

Among the agronomic practices, using optimum seeding rate is one of the most important factors to maximize productivity and improve the qualities required for malting purpose. For instance, if more seed rate is used, plant population will be more and there will be competition among plants for water, nutrients and sunlight resulting in low quality and low yield. On the other hand, when low seed rate is used yield will be lower due to lesser number of plants per unit area [29]. Therefore, to alleviate these constraints and exploit the genetic yield potential of barley more effort is needed among others, assessing them under different agronomic practices. The research results indicated that, barley yields were close to maximum at seeding rates of 81 to 108 kg ha\(^{-1}\), but maximum yields were generally obtained at the highest seeding rate of 161 kg ha\(^{-1}\) [27, 63] Indicated that the crop plants should cover the soil as early as possible to intercept maximum sunlight to produce higher dry matter as the intercepted solar radiation and dry matter production are directly related to each other.

Plumpness is one of the important factors to be considered in selecting barley variety for malt purpose. Using appropriate seeding rate was reported to improve such characteristics and modify starch and protein levels of the seed [54]. Optimum seeding rate resulted in more uniformity of barley kernels, which improves the modification process and produces higher quality malt. According to [53] optimum seeding rate also reduces beta-glucan levels while friability and homogeneity was improved all positive factors for maltsters. Kernel weight declines with increasing seeding rate and which in turn reduce malt acceptability [43]. Therefore, an urgent need to identify optimum seeding rate and improved barley varieties in order to improve its yield and grain quality for malt barley.

Stability of grain yield performance across a growing season is an important characteristic in the selecting a new crop varieties [15]. Accordingly, yield related parameters such as: productive tillers per plant, number of kernels per spike and 1000 kernel weight are more useful criteria for selecting evolving high yield varieties due to their high heritability values and direct effect on grain yield [34, 25] Also indicated that the genetic effect on grain size had greater impact than environmental effect, even when the environment suffered from terminal moisture stress, with a heritability value of 89–98% for plump grains. Varieties with higher kernel weight with plump grains + will have more starch accumulated in the endosperm and hence will have more malt extract which will help to make good beer [21].

Barley varieties had significant effect on growth, yield and protein quality related parameters [3]. Days to heading, days to maturity, spike length, plant height, 1000-kernel weight and grain yield were markedly affected by the varieties [37]. The yield and quality specifications of a given variety are also determined by its genetic makeup and the physical conditions during growth [28]. Similarly, [4] reported a marked different among the malt barely varieties on grain size and kernel weight due to genotypic variation. The varieties also showed a consistent difference in grain protein content due to the genetic makeup and growing environmental condition [48].

In Ethiopia including the study area, however, the same seeding rate recommendations are generally made for all varieties under commercial production. However, such recommendation on seeding rate is not addressing the required optimum yield and quality of the malting barley varieties and hence need to be custom tailored. However, little is known as to what the varietal differences will have on seeding rates and their interaction effects to optimize malt barley quality and quantity in bale highlands. Therefore, this study was to evaluate the effect of seeding rates on the performance of malt barley varieties grown under rain-fed conditions.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Description of the Study Area

Afield experiment was conducted at Sinana Agricultural Research Centre in 2018 main cropping season. SARC is
located 7° 7'N latitude and 40° 0’ E longitude in Bale Zone of Oromia Regional State. It is found at a distance of 463 km southeast of Addis Ababa Ethiopia and 33 km east of Robe town (capital city of the Bale Zone) on the road to Goro and Sofumar cave. The site is located at an altitude of 2400 m. above sea levels. The area is characterized by bimodal rainfall pattern. There are two growing seasons locally called ‘Bona’ and’ Gana’ based on the time of crop harvest. Bona extends from August to December and Gana from March to July. The mean annual rainfall of 750–1000 mm and mean annual temperature of 9- 21°C and the soil of the area is dominated by Cambi soil [52].

2.2. Experimental Design and Procedures

The experiment was arranged in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) in factorial combination with three replications. The treatments studied include four seeding rates (100, 125, 150 and 175 kg ha⁻¹) and five barely (Singitan, Bahati, IBON-174/03, Holker and HB1964) varieties. Thus, the experiment consists of 20 treatments with a total of 60 plots. The size of each plot was (3mx2.4m=7.2m²) and the spacing between rows, plots and blocks were 0.2, 0.5 and 1 m, respectively. There were 12 rows in a plot and planting was done by hand in the rows and covered with soil manually. N and P fertilizers which are sourced from UREA and NPS were applied equally for all plots at the recommended rate of 50 and 69 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, respectively. Barley yellow dwarf virus was scored based on visual field symptoms by visual scoring scale system regardless of the BYDV strain or species responsible [17]. All recommended agronomic practices were applied throughout the growth period.

### Data collected and measurements

- **Days to heading:** Days to heading was recorded when about 50% of the plants in plot-produced spikes.
- **Days to maturity:** Days to maturity was recorded when about 90% of the plants reached physiological maturity.
- **Plant height (cm):** It was measured at physiological maturity from the soil surface to the top of the spike (awns excluded) from 5 randomly selected plants in the central harvestable row.
- **Spike length (cm):** This was measured from the bottom of the spike to the tip of the spike excluding the awns from 5 randomly selected spikes from each plot.
- **Number of productive tiller (m⁻²):** This was determined by counting all the spikes producing seeds in 1m² taken randomly from two places in each net plot area.
- **Kernels spike⁻¹:** It was determined by counting the number of kernels per spike from randomly selected five spikes per plot from harvestable rows.
- **Thousand kernels weight (g):** thousand seeds was counted using seed counter and measured in gram to calculate the weight.
- **Above ground biomass yield (t ha⁻¹):** This was determined as the weight in t ha⁻¹ of sun-dried above ground parts of the plants that was obtained from harvestable rows.
- **Grain yield (t ha⁻¹):** It was determined at physiological maturity from the harvestable ten rows and converted in to t ha⁻¹ after adjusting it at 12% moisture content.

### 2.3. Statistical Data Analysis

Data collected was subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the General Linear Model (GLM) of the Statistical Analysis System with mathematical model \( Y_{ij} = \mu + t_i + B_j + \epsilon_{ij} \). Software [59] version 9.0. Mean separation was done using Fisher's LSD Test at 5% probability level. Correlation analysis was done using Pearson’s simple correlation coefficients for the intended parameters.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Crop Phenology and Growth Parameters

**3.1.1. Days to 50% Heading**

The results revealed highly significant (P<0.01) differences among the varieties and seeding rates on the number of days needed to reach 50% heading. However, the interaction effect of seeding rate and variety did not show significant effect on days to 50% heading.

The number of days required to 50% heading varied from 56.8–75.0 among barley varieties (Table 1). Holker variety reached days to 50% heading late (75.0 days) while variety Singitan was earlier (56.8 days) as compared to the other varieties. The variation in days to 50% heading among the varieties could be attributed to the genetic differences. The difference on days to heading when grown even in similar environmental conditions was recorded among the varieties [66]. Similarly, [1] also reported significant difference among the test malt barely varieties on days to heading.

Days to 50% heading decreased by 6% when the seeding rates increased from 100–175 kg ha⁻¹ (Table 1). Earliness to heading in highest seeding rate might be due to a competition to resources such as water, nutrients and sunlight, which in turn forced crop plants to escape terminal moisture stress. The results obtained by [26] also indicated that increasing sowing density from 200–400 seed per meter square in wheat crop significantly decreased the number of days to 50% heading. Similarly, [68] concluded that, increasing the levels of seeding rate decreased the days to heading consistently.

**3.1.2. Days to 90% Physiological Maturity**

The analysis of variance indicated that the main effect of variety and seeding rates had highly significant (P<0.01) effects on days to 90% physiological maturity. Whereas, the interaction effect of variety and seeding rate did not shown...
marked effect on days to 90% physiological maturity.

The longest days to 90% physiological maturity was recorded from the Holker (132.42 days) variety followed by HB-1964 and Bahati (Table 2). However, the shortest days of 90% physiological maturity was recorded from IBON174/03 (123.33) and Singtan (122.50) (Table 1). The observed difference in days to physiological maturity among the five barely varieties might be attributed to inherent genotypic difference. Hence, variability among the varieties revealed that the possibility of selecting genotypes that mature earlier and adapt well in moisture deficit environments.

In line with this result [46] reported that, differences in maturity can be caused by the genetic makeup of the varieties or by the environmental conditions existing during their growth and grain filling period of the crop.

Seeding rates revealed a significant (P<0.01) effect on days to 90% physiological maturity (Table 1). Increasing seeding rates from 100–175 kg ha\(^{-1}\) decreased days to 90% physiological maturity by 5 days. The highest seeding rate associated with early maturity might be due to plant competition for available resources. Higher seeding rates accelerated phenological traits, such as days to flag leaf extension, heading, mid grain filling and maturity on barley crop also reported by [19]. This result was also in line with the findings of [44] who reported earlier maturity of barley crop at higher seeding rates. However, the current findings contradict with the findings of [60]. Who reported that increasing seeding rates from 250–400 grains m\(^{-2}\) caused a significant increase in days to physiological maturity of wheat.

3.1.3. Plant Height (cm)

The analysis of variance indicated that the variety and seeding rate had very highly significant (P<0.001) effects on plant height. Whereas, the interaction effect of variety and seeding rates did not show significant effect on plant height.

The tallest plant height was recorded from Holker (91.79cm) variety. Whereas, the shortest value of plant height was recorded from Singitan (73.14 cm) variety (Table 1). The observed differences in plant height among the varieties could be attributed to the genetic makeup of the varieties. This result is in line with, the findings of [62] who reported a marked variation among varieties in plant height due to the genetic makeup of the varieties as well as environmental factors.

Increasing seeding rate from 100–175 kg ha\(^{-1}\) increased plant height by 16% (Table 2). This could be due to the reason that high competition between plants affected plant heights at the highest seeding rate. This result is similar with the findings of [55] who reported the effect of higher seeding rate on plant height, pointing out plant competition for light as main reason for having higher plant height from high seeding rate. Because increased competition for light result with increased inter node length, reduced stem thickness, thereby increase plant height. These results are in line with, [39] maximum plant height (104.64 cm) was obtained when seed was applied at100 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and minimum plant height (96.19 cm) was obtained in plots where 60 kg ha\(^{-1}\) was applied at malt Barely. This result was, however, contradict with [67] reported that, plant height was significantly decreased as seeding rate increased in wheat crop.

### Table 1. Effects of varieties and seeding rates on growth and phenology of barley grown under field conditions in 2018 cropping season.

| Treatment          | Days to 50% heading | Days to 90% maturity | Plant height (cm) |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Holker             | 75.00\(^a\)         | 132.41\(^a\)         | 91.79\(^a\)       |
| HB-1964            | 66.67\(^b\)         | 129.08\(^a\)         | 82.66\(^a\)       |
| Bahati             | 64.42\(^c\)         | 127.83\(^a\)         | 80.69\(^b\)       |
| IBON174/3          | 58.08\(^d\)         | 123.33\(^d\)         | 74.69\(^c\)       |
| Singitan           | 56.75\(^d\)         | 122.50\(^d\)         | 73.14\(^c\)       |
| LSD (%)            | 1.44                | 1.26                 | 5.32              |
| Seeding rate (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) |                     |                      |                   |
| 100                | 66.33\(^a\)         | 130.33\(^a\)         | 74.10\(^a\)       |
| 125                | 64.66\(^b\)         | 127.00\(^b\)         | 77.76\(^b\)       |
| 150                | 63.20\(^c\)         | 125.80\(^c\)         | 81.52\(^c\)       |
| 175                | 62.53\(^d\)         | 125.00\(^d\)         | 89.00\(^d\)       |
| LSD (%)            | 1.29                | 1.13                 | 4.76              |
| CV                 | 2.73                | 1.21                 | 8.00              |

Means followed by the same letter(s) within a column are not significantly different at \(p<0.05\) (LSD).

3.2. Yield and Yield Related Parameters

3.2.1. Spike Length (cm)

The statistical analysis results revealed that spike length was highly significantly (P<0.01) affected by the main effect of seeding rate and variety. Whereas, the interaction effect of variety and seeding rates did not show significant effect on spike length.

The longest spike length was recorded from variety Holker (9.84 cm.) while, the shortest value of spike length was recorded from singitan (7.4cm) variety (Table 2). The result clearly indicated that the existence of wider genetic variability for spike length among the varieties studied. This result agreed with the findings of [10], which revealed significant spike length among the malt barley varieties due to combined effects of genetic constituents and environmental factors. [36] also reported difference in genetic potential regarding to spike length.

The maximum spike length of 9.80 cm was recorded at those plots which received seeding rate of 100 kg ha\(^{-1}\) while minimum and statistically similar spike length of 7.04 and 7.13 cm was obtained from those plots which received 175 and 150 kg ha\(^{-1}\) seeding rates, respectively. As seed rate increased from 100–175 kg ha\(^{-1}\), the spike length was declined by 28%. This might be due to more free space between plants at the lower seed rates and less plant competition for available resources that resulted in higher spike length. This significant difference on spike length among seeding rates was in line with the finding of [26], who also stated that increasing sowing density from 200–400 seed m\(^{-2}\) significantly decreased spike length.

3.2.2. Number of Kernels Per Spike

Kernels number per spike was highly significantly (P<0.01) different among the tested varieties. The highest number of
kernels per spike was obtained from HB-1964 (30.40) variety while the lowest number of kernels per spike was obtained from Holker (24.04) variety. This variation is due to genotypic differences among varieties. This result is in line with [58] who indicated that a varying number of kernels per spike as a function of barely genotype. [61] Also reported genotypic differences in spikelet per spike which in turn resulted in higher numbers of grains per spike.

The main effect of seeding rates revealed highly significant (P<0.01) effect on kernels number per spike. Maximum number of kernels per spike (30.61) was obtained from 100 kg ha\(^{-1}\) seeding rate. However, minimum number of kernels per spike (23.86) was recorded from the seeding rate of 175 kg ha\(^{-1}\). As seeding rate increased from 100–175 kg ha\(^{-1}\), the number of kernels per spike was decreased by 28.2% (Table 3). Since grain filling is dependent on nutrient supply and environmental condition, increasing plant density resulted in increased competition for nutrients and sun light at later stages, and finally most grains would fade at early stages, because of competition between growing grains to absorbing reserved matters and as a result low grains would be produced.

The result obtained from this study was in line with [30] who reported that the higher grain number obtained in the lowest seeding rate can be attributed to more light penetration through plant canopy. The decreased in number of grains per spike by increasing sowing rates may be due to excessive densities [6]. Furthermore, increasing seeding rates from 100 to 150 kg ha\(^{-1}\) decreased the number of grains per spike from 32.02 to 29.60 [68]. However, these findings were in line with [45] who reported that by increasing seeding rate the number of grains per spike was reduced.

3.2.3. Number of Productive Tiller Per (m\(^2\))

Among the yield components, productive tillers are very important because the final yield is mainly a function of the number of spike bearing tillers per unit area. The analysis of variance indicated that the main effects of variety and seeding rate had significant (P<0.05) and highly significant effect (P<0.01) on number of productive tillers, respectively. Likewise, the interaction effect of variety and seed rates also revealed highly significant (P<0.01) differences on the productive tillers per m\(^2\).

| Treatment      | Spike length (cm) | Number of kernels spike\(^{-1}\) |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| Varieties      |                   |                                 |
| Holker         | 9.84\(^a\)        | 24.04\(^a\)                     |
| HB-1964        | 8.30\(^a\)        | 30.40\(^a\)                     |
| Bahati         | 7.73\(^e\)        | 27.75\(^e\)                     |
| IBON174/03     | 7.54\(^d\)        | 26.33\(^e\)                     |
| Singitan       | 7.36\(^c\)        | 24.82\(^d\)                     |
| LSD (%)        | 0.71              | 2.01                            |
| Seeding rate (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) |        |                                 |
| 100            | 9.80\(^a\)        | 30.61\(^a\)                     |
| 125            | 8.59\(^a\)        | 26.82\(^b\)                     |
| 150            | 7.13\(^a\)        | 25.38\(^c\)                     |
| 175            | 7.04\(^b\)        | 23.86\(^c\)                     |
| LSD (%)        | 1.79              | 1.79                            |
| CV             | 10.48             | 8.03                            |

Means followed by the same letter (s) within a column are not significantly different at p<0.05 (LSD). Number of productive tiller per (m\(^2\)).

The highest number of productive tillers (1017.33 per m\(^2\)) was obtained at the combination of variety Singitan with 150 kg ha\(^{-1}\) seed rates while; the lowest number of productive tillers (358.67 per m\(^2\)) was obtained at combination of variety HB-1964 with 100 kg ha\(^{-1}\) seed rate (Figure 1). Such increment in number of productive and spikes due to increasing sowing density could be attributed to increasing number of plants per m\(^2\). On the other hand, the lower number of productive tillers for variety HB-1964 might be attributed to the death of the secondary and tertiary tillers due to the occurrence of low precipitation late in the growing period and variety HB-1964 was very susceptible to barely yellow dwarf virus than other varieties during cropping season on experimental site. This result in agreement with, [7] who indicated that secondary tillers die without producing spikes which is deleterious to grain production, as they waste assimilate, water, and nutrients that would otherwise have contributed to grain yield. Similarly, [2] reported that the number of effective tillers increased as seeding rate increased. There was linear increase in number of fertile tillers with increased seeding rate and among seeding rates, 200 kg ha\(^{-1}\) produced significantly higher number of productive tillers (278.75) followed by 175 kg ha\(^{-1}\) seeding rate (263.97) on wheat crop [32].

### 3.2.4. Thousand Kernels Weight

The analysis of variance indicated that both variety and seeding rate had highly significant (P<0.01) effect on thousand kernels weight. Whereas, their interaction effect was not significant on thousand kernels weight.

Among the tested varieties the heaver thousand kernel weight was produced from the variety Singitan (43.52gm) followed by variety Bahati (43.39gm). While, the lowest thousand kernels weight was produced by Holker (36.44gm) variety (Table 3). The superior kernel weight of Singitan and Bahati over other varieties might be attributed due to genetic makeup of these varieties which might lead to an increased
photosynthesis and accumulations of carbohydrate in kernel to produce heavy kernels and consequently increased kernels weight per spike. Similarly, the studies conducted by [50] indicated that a very high heritability value for 1000 kernel weight of 99.9%. [28] also indicated greater genetic effect on grain size over environmental effect even when experimental sites suffered terminal moisture stress.

The highest thousand kernels weight (43.96 g) was recorded for seeds sown at the seeding rate of 100 kg ha\(^{-1}\) whereas the lowest thousand kernel weight (35.59 g) was recorded at the seeding rate of 175 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (Table 3). Generally when seeding rate increased from 100–175 kg ha\(^{-1}\) resulted in decreased thousand kernels weight by 23.51%. This could be due to high density caused to increasing number of spikes, and as a result of competition would increase and little photosynthesis would be available to grain filling and finally thousand grain weight would reduce due to increasing number of spikes. Therefore, insufficient photosynthesis during grain filling stage in thick density may be the possible reason to decrease thousand kernel weights. This result is in line with [54] who indicated that the kernel weight, diameter and seed plumpness were lower at higher seeding rates. Similarly, [24] also found that heavier kernels weights by using low seeding rates. [54] also indicated the largest decreases in kernel plumpness tended to occur at seeding rates above 300 seeds m\(^{-2}\) with a relatively minor decline as seeding rate increased from 100–300 seeds m\(^{-2}\). Similarly, [32] concluded that lower seeding rates (125 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) produced significantly heavier grains (40.74 g) than higher seeding rate (200 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) that produced lighter (37.83 g) grains.

3.2.5. Grain Yield

The result concerning grain yield showed that there were highly significant (P<0.01) differences in grain yield among malt barely varieties and seeding rates. Interaction among varieties and seed rates was also significant (P<0.05).

The maximum grain yield was produced from Singitan (3.63 t ha\(^{-1}\)) and Bahati (3.11 t ha\(^{-1}\)) varieties combined with seeding rate of 150 kg ha\(^{-1}\) respectively. While, the lowest grain yield was produced from HB-1964 (1.00 t ha\(^{-1}\)) variety combined with 100 kg ha\(^{-1}\) seeding rate (Figure 2). The results further revealed marked differences among the varieties and seeding rates. For instance, varieties Singitan, Bahati and HB-1964 required 150 kg ha\(^{-1}\) seeding rate for maximum grain yield production, whereas, the varieties IBON174/03 and Holker required 125 kg ha\(^{-1}\) seeding rate for producing higher grain yield. The highest grain yield from the varieties Singitan and Bahati might be attributed due to the production of higher thousand-kernel weight than the rest varieties. Furthermore, the highest number of productive tillers per m\(^{2}\) was obtained from these varieties with combination of 150 kg ha\(^{-1}\) seeding rates. Hence, productive tillers are very important because the final yield is mainly a function of the number of spike bearing tillers per unit area.

This result is in line with [28] who reported that the yield and quality specifications of a given malting barley variety are determined by its genetic makeup and the physical conditions during growth and harvesting time. Similarly, [34, 64] indicated that productive tillers per plant, number of kernels per spike and thousand kernel weight would be more useful criteria for selecting evolving high yielding varieties.

![Figure 2. Interaction effect of variety and seeding rate on grain yield of malt barley. Vertical lines on bars represent standard error of the statistical means.](image)

3.2.6. Above Ground dry Biomass Yield (t ha\(^{-1}\))

Analysis of variance showed that the main effect of seeding rate and variety had highly significant (P < 0.01) effect on the above ground dry biomass yield. However, the interaction effect of seeding rate and variety was not significant.

The highest above ground dry biomass yields was obtained from Holker (12.38 t ha\(^{-1}\)) variety, while the lowest value of above ground dry biomass yield was obtained from IBON174/03 (9.22 t ha\(^{-1}\)) variety (Table 3). The greater above ground dry biomass of Holker variety might be attributed due to the tallest plant height than other varieties. This result in line with [70] who reported that the positive association between biomass yield and plant height, in which the taller plants resulted higher biomass yield.

Highest above ground dry biomass yield (12.37 t ha\(^{-1}\)) was observed at the seeding rate of 175 kg ha\(^{-1}\) while lowest above ground dry biomass yield (9.78 t ha\(^{-1}\)) was observed at the seeding rate of 100 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (Table 3). The higher above ground dry biomass yield with increased seed rate might be due to an increase in plant population and tallest plant height as seed rate increased. The current result is in line with the finding of [33] who indicated that higher biomass yield was recorded on increased seeding rates of 200–175 kg ha\(^{-1}\). [60] Confirmed that increasing seeding rates up to 350–400 grains m\(^{2}\) increased grain, straw and biomass. Moreover, [26] reported that the highest value of biological yield was obtained with increasing seed rate up to 400 grains m\(^{2}\).
Table 3. Effects of varieties and seeding rates on yield components, grain quality and yellow dwarf virus of barley grown under field conditions in 2018 cropping season

| Treatment | Thousand kernels weight (g) | Above ground biomass yield (t ha\(^{-1}\)) | Hectoliter weight (kg hl\(^{-1}\)) | Barely yellow dwarf virus |
|-----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Holker    | 36.44\(^d\)                | 12.38\(^a\)                                  | 61.53\(^bc\)                      | 2.16\(^c\)                |
| HB-1964   | 39.27\(^c\)                | 9.61\(^a\)                                   | 54.96\(^d\)                      | 5.67\(^a\)                |
| Bahati    | 43.30\(^b\)                | 12.04\(^a\)                                  | 63.55\(^a\)                      | 4.08\(^c\)                |
| IBON174/03| 41.77\(^b\)                | 9.22\(^a\)                                   | 60.83\(^c\)                      | 2.5\(^c\)                 |
| Singitan  | 43.52\(^a\)                | 11.44\(^a\)                                  | 62.98\(^ab\)                     | 2.67\(^c\)                |
| LSD (%)   | 1.33                        | 1.24                                         | 1.52                             | 0.71                       |

Means followed by the same letter(s) within a column are not significantly different at p<0.05 (LSD).

3.2.7. Harvest Index

The physiological ability of a crop plant to convert proportion of dry matter into economic yield is measured in terms of harvest index. The result indicated that the main and interaction effect of variety and seeding rate had highly significant (P < 0.01) effect on the harvest index.

The highest harvest index (32.75\%) and (31.16\%) values were obtained from the variety Singitan and IBON174/03 with the combination of 150 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and 125 kg ha\(^{-1}\) seeding rate, respectively. While the lowest harvest index (9.43\%) was recorded from variety HB-1964 with the combination of 175 kg ha\(^{-1}\) seeding rate (Figure 3). In line with this finding, [20] reported that grain yield is proportional to harvest index and factors which make up grain yields such as grain weight and grains per spikelet have a relatively high effect on harvest index. The harvest index value showed decrement with increasing seeding rates which clearly indicates that the translocation of photosynthetic towards the sink was affected adversely, and they were accumulated in other parts [35].

3.3. Quality Parameters

3.3.1. Hectoliter Weight

The result showed that the main effect of variety and seeding rate had highly significant (P<0.01) effect on the hectoliter weight. However, their interaction effects were not significant.

The highest hectoliter weight was recorded from the Singitan (62.98 kg hl\(^{-1}\)) variety whereas; the lowest hectoliter weight was recorded from HB-1964 (54.96 kg hl\(^{-1}\)) variety (Table 3). The result obtained in variety HB-1964 was lower as compared with other variety because of this variety is affected by barely yellow dwarf virus at experimental site and it have poor grain filling and shrived grain. This result is in line with [11] who reported that low values of hectoliter weight indicate poor grain filling and climatic influences leading to grain shriveling which can impair specific weight through reduced packing efficiency.

Hectoliter weight was decreased as seed rate increased from 100–175 kg hl\(^{-1}\) by 12\% (Table 3). Similar result was obtained by [9] who reported that increasing seeding rate from 350–800 seeds per m\(^2\) significantly decreased hectoliter weight. According to the Ethiopian quality standard, the acceptable grain size (thousand-kernel weight) and test weight (hectoliter weight) for raw barley are in the range 25–35 g and 48–62 kg hl\(^{-1}\), respectively [47]. The standards set

Figure 3. Interaction effects of variety and seeding rate harvest index on malt barley. Vertical lines on bars represent standard error of the statistical means.
for thousand kernel weight and hectoliter weight by National Standard Authority ranged from 35–45 g and 60–65 kg hl⁻¹, respectively [49]. Therefore, the results of the present experiment exhibited an acceptable thousand kernel and hectoliter weight in all varieties except HB-1964 variety and with the combination of 100 - 150 kg ha⁻¹ seed rate.

### 3.3.2. Grain protein Content

Grain protein content exceed from the recommended levels were undesirable for malt factory that increase the steeping time and cause uneven water uptake during steeping, create uneven germination during malting, and increase malt loss due to abnormal growth [42]. On the other hand, lower protein content is usually correlated with low carbohydrate levels and lower extract values [38]. This causes adverse effects in fermentation, due to the poor amino acid content available for yeast nutrition.

The result showed that there were highly significant (P<0.01) differences in grain protein content among malt barley varieties and seed rates. Interaction among varieties and seed rates was also significant (P<0.05).

The highest grain protein content (13.5%) was recorded from the variety HB-1964 when combined with seeding rate of 100 kg ha⁻¹ while, the lowest (9.6%) grain protein content was recorded from the variety IBON174/03 and seeding rate of 175 kg ha⁻¹ (Figure 4). This could be due to protein accumulations of varieties are affected by genetic makeup during grain filling period. This result is in line with [56] who reported that protein accumulates during grain filling period of malt barley depends on the variety in which constituting the genetic makeup and growing environmental condition. Regarding to seeding rate grain protein content decreased with higher seeding rate in all varieties. This result is in line with, [12] report which indicates that protein concentration decreased as seeding rate increased. Similarly, [43] also indicated that higher seeding rates reduced grain protein concentrations, with an average decline of 4 mg g⁻¹ from the highest seeding rates to the lowest seeding rate. According to [21] the national standard value of kernel protein content 9–11.5%. Therefore, the varieties which have the highest grain yield and low grain protein content fulfill the requirements of malt factories.

![Figure 4](image-url) Interaction effect of variety and seeding rate on grain protein content on malt barely. Vertical lines on bars represent standard error of the statistical means.

### 3.4. Barley Yellow Dwarf Viruses

Barley yellow dwarf virus is caused by viruses, economically damaging and the most widespread disease of cereals in worldwide [13]. Symptoms of the disease bright yellowing or reddening of the leaves starting from the tip and developing towards the base, stunting the crop, white sterile spikes and the presence of aphids are commonly observed [65]. Analysis of variance showed that the effect of seeding rate and the interaction effect of variety and seeding rate were not significant on barley yellow dwarf viruses. However, the effect of variety was highly significant (P<0.01) effect on it.

Among the varieties the highest barley yellow dwarf virus score was obtained from HB-1964 (5.67%) variety followed by Bahati (4.08%) variety. However, the lowest barley yellow dwarf virus score was obtained from Singitan (2.66%), IBON174/03 (2.5%) and Holker (2.16%) varieties (Table 3).

From the result variety HB-1964 had highly susceptible variety at experimental site than other variety (Figure 5). Variation in resistance to BYDV disease of malt barley released varieties was responded due to genetically differences. In addition to this the occurrence of disease depends on three conditions including the presence of a virulent pathogen, susceptible host plant and favorable environment at the same time. Previous finding were also revealed that varied response by barley lines confirms to disease may be under the control of several resistant genes [8, 41, 14] also indicated that disease occurrence in a population of plants depends on the level of host resistance and amount of initial inoculums presents.
4. Conclusion

In general, significant differences for most of agronomic parameters, grain yield and protein quality of malt barely were observed due to variety and seeding rates. From the result of this study, the use of variety Singitan, Bahati and HB-1964 required 150 kg ha$^{-1}$ seed rate and variety IBON174/03 and Holker required 125 kg ha$^{-1}$ seed rate for producing higher grain yield and protein quality. This is due to the highest number of productive tillers produced by the varieties per m$^2$ basis, and further, the economic yield of most of the cereals is determined by the number productive tillers.
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