Diacylglycerol kinase ζ regulates RhoA activation via a kinase-independent scaffolding mechanism
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ABSTRACT Rho GTPases share a common inhibitor, Rho guanine nucleotide dissociation inhibitor (RhoGDI), which regulates their expression levels, membrane localization, and activation state. The selective dissociation of individual Rho GTPases from RhoGDI ensures appropriate responses to cellular signals, but the underlying mechanisms are unclear. Diacylglycerol kinase ζ (DGKζ), which phosphorylates diacylglycerol to yield phosphatidic acid, selectively dissociates Rac1 by stimulating PAK1-mediated phosphorylation of RhoGDI on Ser-101/174. Similarly, phosphorylation of RhoGDI on Ser-34 by protein kinase Cα (PKCα) selectively releases RhoA. Here we show DGKζ is required for RhoA activation and Ser-34 phosphorylation, which were decreased in DGKζ-deficient fibroblasts and rescued by wild-type DGKζ or a catalytically inactive mutant. DGKζ bound directly to the C-terminus of RhoA and the regulatory arm of RhoGDI and was required for efficient interaction of PKCα and RhoA. DGKζ-null fibroblasts had condensed F-actin bundles and altered focal adhesion distribution, indicative of aberrant RhoA signaling. Two targets of the RhoA effector ROCK showed reduced phosphorylation in DGKζ-null cells. Collectively our findings suggest DGKζ functions as a scaffold to assemble a signaling complex that functions as a RhoA-selective, GDI dissociation factor. As a regulator of Rac1 and RhoA activity, DGKζ is a critical factor linking changes in lipid signaling to actin reorganization.

INTRODUCTION

Actin cytoskeleton reorganization underlies a variety of cellular activities, including cell migration, cytokinesis, and vesicle trafficking
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formation of unique actin-based structures. RhoA regulates the assembly of stress fibers and focal adhesions (FAs; Paterson et al., 1990; Ridley et al., 1992), Rac1 regulates lamellipodia formation and membrane ruffling (Ridley et al., 1992), and Cdc42 promotes the extension of filopodia (Nobes and Hall, 1995). To become activated and elicit their biological effects, Rho GTPases must first dissociate from RhoGDI. This occurs in a context-specific manner because upstream signals do not simultaneously activate all Rho proteins. Indeed, recent studies suggest there is precise spatial and temporal regulation of GTPase activity in migrating cells (Spiering and Hodgson, 2011).

There is evidence for a variety of mechanisms to selectively dissociate individual Rho GTPases from RhoGDI, including release by lipids and GEFs and by direct protein interaction (Dermauerstoss and Bokoh, 2005). A prominent theme involves direct phosphorylation of RhoGDI by protein kinases, which modulate the affinity for different Rho GTPases (Garcia-Mata et al., 2011). As examples, p21-activated kinase 1 (PAK1) promotes Rac1 release by phosphorylating RhoGDI on Ser-101 and Ser-174 (Dermauerstoss et al., 2004), whereas phosphorylation on Ser-34 by protein kinase Cζ (PKCζ) selectively dissociates RhoA (Dovas et al., 2010). However, evidence from structural studies of RhoGDI in complex with Rho GTPases reveals that key residues making physical contact with RhoGDI are conserved among Rac1, Cdc42, and RhoA (Longoenecker et al., 1999; Hoffman et al., 2000; Scheffzek et al., 2000; Grizot et al., 2001). Therefore it has been difficult to reconcile how phosphorylation at specific residues releases some Rho GTPases but not others.

There is growing support for the idea that the required specificity comes from the formation of distinct, multiprotein signaling complexes with individual GTPases. In support of this idea, we recently demonstrated that Rac1 exists in a multiprotein complex with diacylglycerol kinase ζ (DGKζ), syntrophin, PAK1, and RhoGDI (Abramovici et al., 2009). In response to platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), DGKζ stimulates the production of phosphatidic acid (PA), which induces PAK1 activity. Active PKC phosphorylates RhoGDI and releases Rac1 for activation. Additional evidence comes from a study that showed that fibroblast growth factor 2 binding to syndecan 4 (S4) activates PKCζ, which phosphorylates RhoGDI on Ser-96 and triggers the release of RhoG from a complex containing S4 and the scaffold protein synectin (Elfenbein et al., 2009). Such complexes provide a simple and elegant solution for determining the specificity of RhoGDI dissociation.

The release of RhoA involves PKCζ-mediated phosphorylation of Ser-34 of RhoGDI (Dovas et al., 2010). Here we demonstrate that DGKζ is a critical component of a complex that includes PKCζ, RhoA, and RhoGDI and functions as a RhoGDI dissociation factor specific for RhoA. Our results indicate that optimal RhoA activation and function in mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) requires DGKζ, but, surprisingly, DGKζ catalytic activity is dispensable, suggesting that it functions primarily as a scaffold. Because DGKζ is common to both Rac1 and RhoA dissociation mechanisms, it might have a role in regulating the balance of Rac1 and RhoA activity.

RESULTS

Decreased RhoA activity in the absence of DGKζ

We previously established that DGKζ-null MEFs have decreased Rac1 activity in comparison with wild-type MEFs but normal Cdc42 activity (Abramovici et al., 2009). To determine whether the absence of DGKζ also causes a change in RhoA activation, we assayed the level of GTP-bound (active) RhoA in lysates of wild-type and DGKζ-null MEFs using a RhoA effector pull-down assay (Ren et al., 1999).

There was an approximately threefold decrease in (active) GTP-bound RhoA in resting DGKζ-null cell lysates compared with wild-type lysates (Figure 1, A and B). As expected, there was a substantial increase in RhoA-GTP levels in wild-type cell lysates after serum stimulation. The relative increase in serum-stimulated, null cell lysates was similar (approximately threefold), but the absolute levels were significantly lower than in wild-type cells (Figure 1, A and B). Thus the dramatic decrease in RhoA-GTP levels in the null cells suggests that DGKζ is critical for efficient RhoA activation.

To verify that the decreased RhoA activity is directly due to the loss of DGKζ, we introduced hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged recombinant protein into DGKζ-null cells by adenoviral infection and verified its expression by immunoblotting cell lysates with an anti-DGKζ antibody (Figure 2A). RhoA activity in lysates of cells expressing wild-type HA-DGKζζ (AdDGKζζ) was significantly increased compared to uninfected null cell lysates (Figure 2, A and B). A kinase-dead mutant (AdDGKζζk) also rescued RhoA activity, suggesting that DGKζζ catalytic activity is not necessary for RhoA activation. In contrast, rescue of Rac1 activity in null cells required DGKζζ catalytic activity, consistent with our previously published findings (Abramovici et al., 2009). These results argue that the RhoA activation defect in null cells is primarily due to the loss of DGKζζ. Moreover, whereas DGKζζ enzymatic activity is required for Rac1 activation, it is dispensable for RhoA activation.

DGKζζ forms a signaling complex with RhoA and PKCζ

A major point of regulation for the Cdc42, Rac1, and RhoA GTPases is their sequestration in an inactive, cytosolic complex by their common inhibitor, RhoGDI (Garcia-Mata et al., 2011). We previously demonstrated that Rac1 is part of a multiprotein signaling complex with DGKζζ, syntrophin, PAK1, and RhoGDI (Abramovici et al., 2009). DGKζζ-derived PA activates PAK1, which subsequently phosphorlates RhoGDI to trigger Rac1 release. More recently, it was shown that PKCζζ phosphorylates RhoGDI on Ser-34 to selectively release and activate RhoA (Dovas et al., 2010). Because DGKζζ directly interacts with PKCζζ (Luo et al., 2003a), we hypothesized that it might mediate the formation of an independent signaling complex for RhoA activation.

FIGURE 1: Reduced RhoA activity in DGKζζ-null fibroblasts (A) Assay of global RhoA GTPase activity. Serum-starved wild-type (+/+ ) and DGKζζ-null (−/−) fibroblasts were treated for 5 min with serum or vehicle alone (untreated). Cell lysates were incubated with an immobilized GST fusion protein of the Rho-binding domain of Rhotekin (GST-RBD) to capture GTP-bound RhoA. The bound proteins were analyzed by immunoblotting (IB) for RhoA. Input represents 10% of the extract used for the pull-down assay (B) Graph showing the quantification of RhoA activity in pull-down assays. The values were normalized to the amount of active RhoA in serum-stimulated, wild-type fibroblasts and are the average of three independent experiments. The errors bars represent SEM.
To assess potential interactions between DGKζ and RhoA, we coexpressed epitope-tagged versions of each protein (HA and myc, respectively) in COS-7 cells and subjected them to reciprocal immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting with epitope-tag–specific antibodies. HA-DGKζ was efficiently coprecipitated by anti-HA antibodies when coexpressed with constitutively active myc-RhoaV14 or dominant-negative myc-RhoaN19 but not when expressed alone (Figure 3A). In the reciprocal experiment, myc-tagged RhoaV14 and RhoaN19 were effectively coprecipitated by anti-myc antibodies from extracts of cotransfected cells but not from cells expressing HA-DGKζ alone (Figure 3B). No proteins were precipitated by control mouse immunoglobulin G (IgG). Endogenous RhoA was also coimmunoprecipitated with HA-DGKζ from transfected wild-type MEFs (Figure 3C). Moreover, endogenous DGKζ was coimmunoprecipitated by a RhoA antibody, but not by control IgG, from detergent extracts of mouse brain, a rich source of DGKζ protein (Figure 3D). Collectively these results demonstrate that DGKζ forms a stable complex with RhoA under a variety of in vitro, and presumably also in situ, conditions.

Consistent with the idea that DGKζ mediates the interaction of PKCα with RhoA, RhoA was efficiently coimmunoprecipitated with PKCα from wild-type fibroblast extracts but much less so from extracts of DGKζ-null fibroblasts (Figure 3E). Quantification of replicate blots showed a ~2.5-fold decrease in the amount of coprecipitated RhoA from DGKζ-null cells compared with wild-type cells. These data suggest DGKζ is required to efficiently assemble PKCα and RhoA into a signaling complex. RhoGDI was present in PKCα immunoprecipitates from both wild-type and null cell lysates, suggesting that its interaction with PKCα does not depend on DGKζ. As expected, neither Rac1 nor PKA1 coimmunoprecipitated with PKCα, confirming that they are not part of the same signaling complex. Finally, both glutathione S-transferase (GST)–RhoaV14 and GST–RhoaN19 captured myc-α-syntrophin from extracts of transfected wild-type, but not DGKζ-null, MEFs (Figure 3F), suggesting that DGKζ is required for syntrophin to associate with RhoA. Taken together, these results suggest DGKζ is a central organizer of a signaling complex that includes RhoA, PKCα, RhoGDI, and syntrophin.

Direct interaction of DGKζ with RhoA

The results described so far do not exclude the possibility that an additional protein mediates the interaction between DGKζ and RhoA. To determine whether the interaction is direct and to identify the interacting regions, we used a soluble hexahistidine (His6) fusion protein of RhoA (containing an S-Tag epitope) to overlay various GST fusion proteins of DGKζ, shown schematically in Figure 4A. RhoA consistently bound to a DGKζ fusion protein containing amino acids 97–233, which includes two cysteine-rich regions homologous to the C1A and C1B motifs of PKCs (Figure 4B; Hurley et al., 1997). Of interest, this is the same region that we defined previously as the binding site for Rac1 (Yakubchyk et al., 2005). RhoA did not bind to GST or other GST-DGKζ domains, demonstrating the specificity of the interaction. Additional overlay experiments narrowed down the RhoA-binding site to the C1A domain of DGKζ (Figure 4D). Moreover, both Rac1 and RhoGDI also bound to the same region of DGKζ. We verified that S-protein–horseradish peroxidase (HRP), the reagent used to detect the S-Tag epitope, did not bind to any of the fusion proteins on its own (Supplemental Figure S1). GST–RhoaV14 and GST–RhoaN19 fusion proteins efficiently captured HA-tagged, wild-type DGKζ from lysates of transfected MEFs but failed to capture a mutant missing amino acids 97–233 (HA-DGKζΔ97–233) suggesting that these residues are required for RhoA binding (Figure 4C). Moreover, this region was necessary to rescue RhoA activation in DGKζ-null cells (Figure 4E). In control experiments, HA-DGKζΔ97–233 was efficiently captured by the PDZ domain of α-syntrophin, indicating that the deletion does not affect DGKζ function in this regard (Supplemental Figure S2).

Pull-down experiments were used to identify the DGKζ-binding site on RhoA. The C-terminal half of RhoA (amino acids 101–193), but not the N-terminal half (amino acids 1–100) or GST alone, was sufficient to capture HA-tagged DGKζ from transfected fibroblast cell lysates (Figure 4F). Further refinement showed that a fragment containing the last 43 amino acids of RhoA (151–193) was sufficient to bind DGKζ (Figure 4G). The same region of Rac1 was also sufficient to bind DGKζ (Supplemental Figure S3). Collectively these results show the C-termini of RhoA and Rac1 interact directly with the C1A region of DGKζ.

DGKζ binds to the regulatory region of RhoGDI

RhoGDIΔ53 is composed of two structurally distinct regions: an N-terminal regulatory domain (residues 1–67) and a C-terminal immunoglobulin-like domain (residues 68–204; Figure 5A). The serine residue (Ser-34) phosphorylated by PKCα lies adjacent to the εB helix within the regulatory arm of RhoGDI. Using pull-down assays, we narrowed down the DGKζ-binding region of RhoGDI to the N-terminal regulatory domain (Figure 5B). A GST fusion protein comprising amino acids 1–67 was sufficient to capture HA-tagged DGKζ from transfected cell lysates, whereas a fusion protein containing amino acids 68–204 failed to capture DGKζ (Figure 5B). Smaller GST fusion proteins (residues 1–33 and 34–67) were not sufficient to
bind DGKζ. However, in the context of the full-length protein, residues 1-33 were dispensable for binding, whereas 34-58 were essential (Figure 5C). Taken together, these results suggest DGKζ binds to the region of RhoGDI immediately C-terminal to Ser-34.

**Reduced Ser-34 phosphorylation of RhoGDI by PKCα in the absence of DGKζ**

To determine whether DGKζ is required for PKCα-mediated phosphorylation of Ser-34, we stimulated wild-type and DGKζ-null cells with phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate (PMA), a potent PKC activator. Immunoblot analysis of the cell lysates was carried out using an affinity-purified antibody that specifically recognizes Ser-34-phosphorylated RhoGDI (Dovas et al., 2010). A faint band corresponding to the size of RhoGDI (~28 kDa) was detected in lysates of untreated wild-type cells (Figure 6A). There was a dramatic increase in intensity of the band after 10 min of PMA stimulation and a further increase at 20 min. The increase was blocked by the specific PKCα inhibitor Gö6976. In contrast, PMA stimulation of DGKζ-null cells elicited a much smaller increase in phosphorylated Ser-34. Quantification of signal intensities at the 20-min time point showed a significant decrease (p < 0.001) in pSer-34 levels in DGKζ-null cells compared with wild-type cells (Figure 6B). Similar results were obtained using serum-stimulated wild-type and null cells (Supplemental Figure S4, A and B). These data suggest PKCα-mediated phosphorylation of Ser-34 is defective in DGKζ-null cells.

Exogenous expression of HA-tagged DGKζ in PMA-stimulated null cells was sufficient to restore pSer-34 RhoGDI to the level seen in wild-type MEFs (Figure 6C). The kinase-dead DGKζ mutant (DGKζ(N19)) also rescued the phosphorylation defect, indicating that catalytic activity is dispensable for its role in this complex. Collectively, these results indicate that DGKζ is required for optimal Ser-34 phosphorylation by PKCα.

A previous study showed that a phosphorylation-mimicking (S34D) mutation in RhoGDI significantly reduced binding to RhoA, whereas a phosphorylation-deficient (S34A) mutation had little effect (Dovas et al., 2010). Here we compared the ability of the same S34D and S34A mutants to bind DGKζ; however, we observed no significant differences from wild-type RhoGDI (Supplemental Figure S5, A and B).

**Aberrant stress fiber and focal adhesion formation in DGKζ-null cells**

Stress fibers confer contractility on adherent cells and are stimulated by the RhoA signaling pathway (Amano et al., 2010). To determine whether the absence of DGKζ and the resultant decrease in RhoA activity affect stress fiber formation, we stained wild-type and DGKζ-null MEFs spreading on fibronectin with phalloidin to visualize F-actin. Wild-type MEFS had regularly distributed stress fibers that often spanned the length of the cell (Figure 7A). In contrast, stress fibers in DGKζ-null fibroblasts were thicker and appeared less well organized, often radiating from one or more regions of the cell and forming condensed F-actin structures (Figure 7A, arrow). Quantification revealed that these structures were much less frequent in wild-type cells (Figure 7B). To verify that DGKζ loss is the direct cause of the aberrant...
Activation of RhoA signaling downstream of extracellular matrix adhesion also leads to the assembly of FAs at the ends of stress fibers. FA formation in wild-type and null MEFs was assessed by immunostaining for paxillin. In wild-type cells, FAs were found primarily at the cell periphery, as expected (Figure 7A). In contrast, null cells appeared to have more FAs in central regions, which coincided with the ends of stress fibers from condensed F-actin structures. Consistent with this observation, null cells had approximately two-fold increase in the area occupied by centrally located adhesions (Figure 7E) and a corresponding decrease in peripheral adhesion area (unpublished data). Quantification of the total cellular area occupied by FAs revealed no significant difference between wild-type and null cells (unpublished data). Exogenous expression of stress fibers in null cells, we assessed whether exogenous expression of HA-tagged DGKζ could restore normal stress fibers. Null cells expressing wild-type (wt) or kinase-dead (kd) DGKζ had significantly fewer condensed F-actin structures than uninfected null cells (Figure 7B). Of interest, DGKζ-expressing cells also displayed many fine, parallel stress fibers, whereas nonexpressing cells had thicker and sparser actin bundles (Figure 7C). HA-DGKζ-expressing cells (wt or kd) were significantly more likely to have fine stress fibers than uninfected null cells (Figure 7D). Collectively these results suggest reintroduction of DGKζ is sufficient to correct the stress fiber defect of null cells. Furthermore, DGKζ overexpression increased the proportion of cells with fine stress fibers in a manner independent of its kinase activity.
HA-tagged, wild-type or kinase-dead DGKζ restored central FA area to levels comparable with wild-type cells (Figure 7E). These data suggest the reintroduction of DGKζ was sufficient to rescue the aberrant FA localization of null cells and that DGKζ is required for the proper localization and/or turnover of FAs in fibroblasts spreading on fibronectin.

The balance of activity of two RhoA effectors, ROCK and mammalian diaphanous 1 (mDia1), determines the thickness and proper organization of actin stress fibers (Watanabe et al., 1999). The condensed F-actin structures observed in spreading DGKζ-null fibroblasts are reminiscent of the phenotype induced by expression of constitutively active ROCK mutants (Leung et al., 1996; Amano et al., 1997; Ishizaki et al., 1997). To determine whether the condensed F-actin structures in null cells are dependent on ROCK activity, we treated null cells with the ROCK inhibitor Y-27632. Although normal stress fibers were not restored, the condensed F-actin structures were significantly reduced (Figure 8, A and B).

To determine whether signaling downstream of RhoA is affected by the loss of DGKζ, we analyzed the phosphorylation status of two well-known ROCK targets, cofilin and myosin light chain (MLC), under a variety of conditions. ROCK directly phosphorylates MLC (Amano et al., 1996) and prevents its dephosphorylation by inhibiting MLC phosphatase, whereas cofilin is a substrate for LIM kinase, which itself is activated by ROCK-mediated phosphorylation (Amano et al., 2010). Immunoblotting of DGKζ-null fibroblast lysates with phospho-specific antibodies revealed a substantial decrease in phosphorylated cofilin (Ser-3) and phospho-MLC (Ser-19) compared with wild-type fibroblast lysates (Figure 8C). These results suggest there is a decrease in RhoA-mediated activation of ROCK signaling in DGKζ-null cells.

DISCUSSION

Accumulating evidence suggests the selective dissociation of Rho GTPases from RhoGDI involves the phosphorylation of key residues on RhoGDI. For example, PAK1 mediates the selective release of Rac1 by phosphorylating Ser-101 and Ser-174, which lie adjacent to the isoprenyl-binding pocket in the IgG-like domain of RhoGDI (Dermardrossian et al., 2004). The dissociation of RhoA involves PKCα-mediated phosphorylation of Ser-34 in the N-terminal regulatory arm of RhoGDI (Dovas et al., 2010). In another example,
fibroblast growth factor 2 binding to S4 activates PKCα (Abramovici et al., 2005), but it remains unclear how such changes differentially affect the dissociation of Rho proteins, since structural studies showed that key residues making contact with RhoGDI are conserved among RhoA, Rac1, and Cdc42 (Longenecker et al., 1999; Hoffman et al., 2000; Scheffzek et al., 2000; Grizot et al., 2001).

Our findings suggest such specificity is imparted by the formation of distinct signaling complexes with RhoGDI. In a previous report, we showed that Rac1 exists in a multprotein complex with DGKζ, syntrophin, PAK1, and RhoGDI (Abramovici et al., 2009). On PDGF treatment of MEFs, DGKζ stimulates the production of PA, which induces PAK1 activity and subsequently phosphorylates RhoGDI and releases Rac1 for activation. PAK1-mediated phosphorylation of RhoGDI in vivo does not dissociate RhoA/RhoGDI complexes, however, supporting the idea that a distinct mechanism is involved in the release and activation of RhoA (Dermardirossian et al., 2004). In this article, we provide evidence that DGKζ is an integral component of a separate signaling complex that functions as a RhoA-selective RhoGDI dissociation factor.

**DGKζ mediates the formation of a PKCα/RhoA/RhoGDI/syntrophin signaling complex**

Coimmunoprecipitation experiments suggest DGKζ is required for the efficient interaction of PKCα and RhoA. In DGKζ-null cells there was an ∼2.5-fold decrease in the amount of RhoA that coprecipitated with PKCα. These results are consistent with a previous study that showed that PKCα and RhoA functionally interact in vivo and that coexpression of active RhoA and wild-type PKCα enhanced AP-1 transcriptional activity (Chang et al., 1998). However, the authors were unable to detect a direct physical interaction between the two proteins. A later study showed that PKCα interacts directly with RhoA in vitro and results in kinase activation (Slater et al., 2001). These findings, together with data showing that DGKζ binds directly to both proteins (Luo et al., 2003a; Figure 4), suggest there is a weak interaction between PKCα and RhoA that is enhanced by interactions with DGKζ and are consistent with the idea that DGKζ functions as a scaffold to assemble these proteins into a complex. DGKζ was additionally required to mediate the association of syntrophin with RhoA. Collectively these results suggest DGKζ is a core component of a signaling complex containing RhoA, PKCα, RhoGDI, and syntrophin.

**DGKζ is required for optimal Ser-34 phosphorylation**

Although DGKζ was not required for the interaction of RhoGDI and PKCα, it was necessary for optimal Ser-34 phosphorylation after stimulation with either phorbol ester, which triggers membrane pro- trusion and increased RhoA levels (Dovas et al., 2010), or serum. Serum contains lysophosphatidic acid (LPA), which activates RhoA downstream of G protein–coupled LPA receptors (Kranenburg and Moenlaar, 2001). However, we cannot rule out possible indirect effects of serum growth factors on Rac1, which could feed back to negatively regulate RhoA activation and Ser-34 phosphorylation. Nevertheless, rescue experiments with exogenous wild-type or kinase-dead DGKζ strongly suggest DGKζ’s scaffolding function is requisite for efficient Ser-34 phosphorylation.

Structural studies of RhoGDI in complex with Rho GT Pases showed that the otherwise flexible N-terminal regulatory arm makes extensive interactions with the GTPase switch I and II regions, which stabilize the RhoGDI secondary structure (Longenecker et al., 1999; Hoffman et al., 2000; Scheffzek et al., 2000). Several amino acids that make contact with the GTPase lie immediately adjacent to Ser-34. Thus its phosphorylation has been postulated to disrupt key stabilizing interactions to cause RhoA release (Dovas et al., 2010). The binding site for DGKζ resides within an ∼25–amino acid region in the RhoGDI regulatory arm that includes Ser-34, the αβ and αε helices, and the intervening loop (Figure 5). Thus DGKζ binding to RhoGDI might stabilize the helix-loop-helix secondary structure and position Ser-34 for efficient phosphorylation.

**Differential regulation of Rac1 and RhoA activity**

PKCα-mediated phosphorylation of RhoGDI on Ser-34 results in a decreased affinity for RhoA but not for Rac1 or Cdc42 (Dovas et al., 2010). The canonical mechanism of PKCα activation involves DAG binding to the C1 domain and Ca2+-dependent binding of phosphatidyserine to the C2 domain (Newton, 2010). Because DGKζ directly interacts with PKCα and inhibits its activity by attenuating the local accumulation of signaling DAG (Luo et al., 2003a), it would be expected to negatively regulate Ser-34 phosphorylation and RhoA activation if the canonical mechanism of PKCα activation was
FIGURE 7: Impaired stress fiber and focal adhesion formation in DGKζ-null fibroblasts. (A) Representative images of wild-type (wt) and DGKζ-null (null) fibroblasts taken 120 min after replating on fibronectin. The cells were fixed and labeled with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated phalloidin (green) to visualize F-actin and immunostained for paxillin, followed by Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated secondary antibody (red), to visualize FAs. The arrow indicates a condensed F-actin structure in a null cell. Scale bars, 20 μm. (B) Graph showing the percentage of wild-type and DGKζ-null cells with condensed F-actin structures. Null cells were uninfected (–) or infected with HA-tagged, wild-type (+ wt) or kinase-dead (+ kd) DGKζ. Values are the mean ± SEM from three independent experiments. (C) Representative images of DGKζ-null cells infected with wild-type (wt) or kinase-dead (kd) HA-DGKζ. The cells were fixed and stained with anti-HA, followed by Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated secondary antibody to visualize DGKζ and with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated phalloidin to visualize F-actin. Magnified images of the boxed regions are shown at the right. The arrow indicates a condensed F-actin structure in a null cell. Scale bars, 20 μm; 5 μm for magnified images. (D) Quantification of fine stress fibers in uninfected null cells or null cells expressing wt or kd DGKζ. Values are the mean ± SEM from at least two independent experiments. (E) Graph showing central FA area as a percentage of total FA area in wild-type cells, uninfected null cells, or null cells infected with wt or kd DGKζ. The area occupied by central FAs was quantified in paxillin-stained cells as described in Materials and Methods. Values are the mean ± SEM from three independent experiments. All statistical analyses were performed by a one-way analysis of variance, followed by a Holm–Sidak post hoc multiple-comparison test. Asterisks denote a significant difference from uninfected null cells. *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001.
in the distribution of FAs—decreased peripheral FAs and a corresponding increase centrally. These findings suggest a defect in FA formation or turnover. Consistent with the latter, phosphorylated PAK1, which is known to promote FA disassembly (Manser et al., 1997), fails to associate with FAs in DGKζ-null cells (Abramovici et al., 2009). Of interest, inhibition of PAK activity was shown recently to increase the distribution of FAs throughout the ventral surface of Ptk1 kidney epithelial cells. This was attributed to impaired rates of FA assembly and disassembly, resulting in greatly increased FA lifetime (Delorme-Walker et al., 2011). Thus the absence of PAK activity at FAs in DGKζ-null cells may prolong FA duration, resulting in their altered subcellular distribution. In any case, our findings, taken together, suggest the absence of DGKζ results in decreased RhoA activation, which alters stress fiber formation and FA localization.

Aberrant signaling downstream of RhoA in DGKζ-null cells
In cells spreading on adhesive substrates, the balance of activity of two RhoA effectors, ROCK and mDia1, determines the thickness and proper organization of actin stress fibers (Watanabe et al., 1999), but the relative contributions of each pathway to stress fiber formation have not been established quantitatively. The condensed F-actin structures and centrally located FAs observed in spreading DGKζ-null MEFs are reminiscent of the phenotype induced by expression of constitutively active ROCK mutants (Leung et al., 1996; Amano et al., 1997; Ishizaki et al., 1997). Coexpression of active mutants of mDia1 corrected the phenotype and produced well-aligned stress fibers and normally distributed FAs (Watanabe et al., 1999). Therefore one possible explanation for the abnormal stress fibers and peripheral FAs in DGKζ-null fibroblasts is an increase in ROCK activity relative to mDia1. Consistent with this idea, treatment of null cells with the ROCK inhibitor Y-27632 significantly reduced the percentage of cells with condensed F-actin structures. Moreover, rescue of null cells by DGKζ overexpression gave rise to many thin, parallel stress fibers, indicative of increased mDia1 signaling (Watanabe et al., 1999). However, we documented a decrease in phosphorylation of two key ROCK targets in null cell lysates, consistent with reduced ROCK activity relative to wild-type cells. Decreased RhoA activity in DGKζ-null cells might be expected to lead to an equivalent reduction in mDia1 activity, but a direct measurement of its activity is lacking. Even with a suitable measure, one could not conclude with certainty the relative influence of each effector on stress fiber formation. Furthermore, reduced Rac1 activity in DGKζ-null cells (Abramovici et al., 2009) and the extensive cross-talk between the Rac1 and RhoA signaling pathways (Huveneers and Danen, 2009; Pertz, 2010) make it difficult to predict the net effect on actin regulation. Thus additional experiments are required to precisely map the downstream consequences of reduced RhoA function in DGKζ-null cells. Nevertheless, our results show that the absence of DGKζ perturbs RhoA-mediated stress fiber formation and FA distribution in fibroblasts, possibly by altering the balance of downstream RhoA signaling pathways.

Conclusions
Collectively our findings presented here and elsewhere (Abramovici et al., 2009; Dovas et al., 2010) suggest DGKζ is central to the
activation of both Rac1 and RhoA. In the former case, DGKζ generates a product (PA) that stimulates PAK1 activity, phosphorylation of RhoGDI on Ser-101 and Ser-174, and Rac1 dissociation. In the latter, its enzymatic activity is dispensable. Instead, DGKζ provides a scaffold for the assembly of a distinct, multiprotein signaling complex that functions as a GDI dissociation factor specific for RhoA. PKCα activated by PI(4,5)P2 phosphorylates RhoGDI on Ser-34 and releases RhoA (Figure 9). As a regulator of Rac1 and RhoA activity, DGKζ is positioned at a critical point linking changes in lipid signaling sequences on downstream signaling and aberrant changes to the actin cytoskeleton.

FIGURE 9: Model of RhoA activation in a DGKζ-dependent signaling complex. (A) DGKζ directly interacts with PKCα, RhoA, RhoGDI, and syntrophin to assemble a signaling complex that functions as a RhoA-selective RhoGDI dissociation factor. PI(4,5)P2 activation of PKCα induces phosphorylation of Ser-34 of RhoGDI. (B) Phosphorylated RhoGDI dissociates from RhoA, allowing its subsequent membrane localization and activation by GEFs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Antibodies

An affinity-purified polyclonal antibody raised against the N-terminus of DGKζ has been described previously (Topham et al., 1998). Monoclonal and polyclonal anti-HA and monoclonal anti-tubulin antibodies were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Antibodies to RhoA and RhoGDI were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). Rabbit polyclonal antibodies against coflin, phospho-cofilin (Ser 3), myosin light chain (MLC), and phospho-MLC (Ser 19) were from Cell Signaling Technology (Boston, MA). Mouse anti-paxillin was from BD Biosciences (Franklin Lakes, NJ). Monoclonal His6 antibody was from Abcam (Cambridge, MA), and monoclonal anti-c-myc antibody was from Roche Applied Science (Indianapolis, IN). Alexa Fluor 488- and 594–conjugated secondary antibodies and phalloidin were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit and anti-mouse secondary antibodies were from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories (West Grove, PA).

Plasmids

The Rhotekin-RBD construct was described previously (Ren et al., 1999). Plasmids encoding wild-type DGKζ and a kinase-dead mutant (DGKζKD), both with three tandem, N-terminal HA epitope tags, were as described (Topham et al., 1998; Hogan et al., 2001). A plasmid encoding N-terminal, myc-tagged wild-type RhoA in pEFm was a gift from Andrew Thorburn, University of Colorado, Denver. N-terminal (2X) myc-tagged versions of RhoAζ14V and RhoAζ19N in pcDNA3.1(+) were obtained from the Missouri S&T cDNA Resource Center (www.cdna.org). The inserts were each excised with NotI and EcoRI restriction enzymes and shuttled into pcDNA3.1(+) with a different multiple cloning site. Then the inserts were excised with EcoRI and Xhol and subcloned into pGEX-4T-3.

Cell culture, transfection, and adenoviral infection

Immortalized MEF cell lines have been described previously (Abromovici et al., 2009). MEFs were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 2 mM l-glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 U/mL streptomycin and were grown at 37°C in 5% CO2.

MEFs were transfected using FuGENE 6 (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) according to manufacturer’s instructions. The cloning and production of adenoviral constructs have been described previously (Yakubchyk et al., 2005). For adenoviral overexpression experiments, fibroblasts were infected at a multiplicity of infection of 100 for 1 h at 37°C. Cells were incubated for an additional 24–36 h under standard growth conditions.

Cell-spreading assays

Cell-spreading assays were performed essentially as described (Wagner et al., 2002). Briefly, serum-starved cells were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.4, and then harvested with 2 ml of trypsin-EDTA solution. Trypsin was inactivated with 2 ml of a 1-mg/ml soybean trypsin inhibitor solution. Cells were collected by centrifugation and washed three times with warmed 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in DMEM (filtered). Finally, the cells were resuspended in 5 ml of the same solution and held in suspension at 37°C for 1 h. The cells were replated at a density of 2 × 10⁴ on fibronectin-coated coverslips for indicated times and then fixed and prepared for immunofluorescence microscopy. For ROCK inhibition experiments, DGKζ-null cells were incubated 60 min before and throughout the spreading assay with 10 μM Y-27632.

Immunofluorescence microscopy

Briefly, cells were rinsed with PBS, pH 7.4, and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min. After permeabilization with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min, the cells were incubated in blocking buffer (1% BSA in PBS; filtered) for 30 min at room temperature. F-actin was stained using Alexa Fluor 488–conjugated phalloidin, and FAs were
visualized using a paxillin-specific monoclonal antibody, followed by goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 594–conjugated secondary antibody.

Quantification of focal adhesion area in spreading cells

Digital images were obtained using Zeiss AxioVision software, version 4.8.2.0, and an AxioCam digital camera mounted on a Zeiss AxioSkop2 MOT microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany). Quantification of FAs was performed using ImageJ, version 1.44p (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). A conversion factor was applied to each image to correct the scale. To correct for possible differences in the size of wild-type and DGKζ-null cells, the total area of each cell was calculated from images stained for F-actin. The threshold and wand tools were used to highlight and select each cell, and the “measure” command was applied. Because there was no significant difference in the area of wild-type and null cells, normalization of FA area to cell size was not required. FA area was calculated from images of paxillin immunofluorescence. Cells to be analyzed were isolated using the freehand selection tool, and the area outside the selection was cleared. Background was subtracted using the two-dimensional rolling ball method (radius, seven pixels), and threshold values were adjusted to highlight FAs. The “create selection” command was used to select the FAs, and the area was calculated using the “measure” command. To calculate the FA area within the central region of each cell, the freehand selection tool was used to exclude lamellipodia and filopodia, and the area outside the selection was cleared. Peripheral FA area was the difference between total and central measurements. Threshold values were set to the same as those used previously to calculate the total FA area.

RhoA and Rac1 activity assays

Active RhoA levels were measured using a GST-RBD pull-down assay (Ren et al., 1999). Cells were serum starved overnight and then stimulated with serum for 3 min. The medium was quickly removed, and the cells were immediately harvested in chilled lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 50 mM MgCl₂, and protease inhibitors). Lysates were centrifuged at 18,000 × g for 5 min at 4°C. Equivalent amounts of protein were incubated with GST-RBD beads for 30 min at 4°C. The beads were collected and washed with lysis buffer and boiled in reducing SDS–PAGE sample buffer (RSB). The eluted proteins were assayed for bound RhoA by immunoblotting. For rescue experiments, DGKζ-null MEFs were infected with adenovirus constructs harboring wild-type DGKζ (DGKζWT) or a kinase-dead mutant (DGKζkd) as described earlier and grown for an additional 24 h.

Active Rac1 levels were measured using a GST-PBD pull-down assay as described previously (Sander et al., 1998; Abramovici et al., 2009).

Detection of Ser-34 phosphorylation of RhoGDI

The level of Ser-34 phosphorylation of RhoGDI was determined essentially as described previously (Dovers et al., 2010). Briefly, cells were serum starved overnight and stimulated with 800 nM PMA or vehicle (dimethyl sulfoxide) for 10 or 20 min. In some cases, serum-starved cells were stimulated with 20% FBS containing DMEM media for 5 or 10 min or were pretreated with a 1 μM solution of the PKCaβγ-specific inhibitor Go6976. For rescue experiments, DGKζ-null cells were infected with adenoviral constructs encoding DGKζ as described earlier. Cells were lysed in cold lysis buffer containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors and cleared by centrifugation at 10,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C. Equivalent amounts of protein were separated by SDS–PAGE, transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes, and immunoblotted with an affinity-purified antibody against pSer-34 RhoGDI. The pSer-34 levels were normalized to total RhoGDI. The level of tubulin was used as an additional loading control.

Immunoprecipitations

MEFs were harvested in cold lysis buffer, and the resulting extracts were cleared by centrifugation at 10,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C. From 1 to 5 μg of antibody was added to 1 mg of protein extract and incubated at 4°C overnight. For coimmunoprecipitation of DGKζ and RhoA from tissue, brains were harvested from 6- to 8-wk-old mice and were homogenized in ice-cold lysis buffer with a Teflon/glass homogenizer. The protein concentration of the lysate was determined by Bradford assay, and 4 mg each of protein was incubated with antibodies against RhoA or IgG for 2 h at 4°C. In all cases, immune complexes were captured using 50 μl of a 50% slurry of protein A/G-Sepharose beads (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The beads were collected by centrifugation, washed 3 × 5 min each with lysis buffer, and eluted by boiling in RSB. Samples were analyzed by SDS–PAGE and immunoblotting.

Glutathione S-transferase pull-down assays

Cells overexpressing HA-tagged, wild-type DGKζ were lysed, and equal amounts of protein were incubated with immobilized GST-fusion proteins for 1 h and washed four times with lysis buffer, and the eluted proteins were assayed for bound proteins by immunoblotting.

Blot overlay assays

The pET-32a expression vector contains sequences encoding thioredoxin, an N-terminal, 15–amino acid S tag, and a His₆ nickel-binding motif. The expressed fusion proteins were recognized by the S-protein–HRP conjugate (Novagen, Gibbstown, NJ) and were purified from the soluble fraction on nickel–Sepharose columns according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Blot overlay assays were carried out as essentially as described previously (Gee et al., 2000) with the following modifications. Recombinant RhoA, Rac1, and RhoGDI fusion proteins were purified from bacterial extracts using His-column chromatography. Purified His-fusion proteins were analyzed for purity by Coomassie blue staining following SDS–PAGE, and their concentration was determined by spectrophotometry (A₂₈₀) and by the Bradford method (Bradford, 1976).

Total bacterial extracts of GST-DGKζ fusion proteins were resolved by SDS–PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes. Approximately 150 μg each of purified S-tagged fusion protein was diluted in 4 ml of blocking buffer (5% skim milk powder dissolved in 25 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.1% Tween-20 [TBST]). The resulting solutions were incubated with the membranes for 1–2 h at room temp. The blots were washed three times for 10 min each in TBST, incubated with HRP-conjugated S-protein for 2 h, and finally washed another four times for 10 min in TBST. Bound S-protein–HRP was visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence.
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