Laser scanning microscopy combined with image restoration to analyse a 3D model of the human epithelial airway barrier
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Summary

A laser scanning microscope collects information from a thin, focal plane and ignores out of focus information. During the past few years it has become the standard imaging method to characterise cellular morphology and structures in static as well as in living samples. Laser scanning microscopy combined with digital image restoration is an excellent tool for analysing the cellular cytoarchitecture, expression of specific proteins and interactions of various cell types, thus defining valid criteria for the optimisation of cell culture models. We have used this tool to establish and evaluate a three dimensional model of the human epithelial airway wall.

Key words: (Confocal) Laser scanning microscopy; Digital image restoration; 3D model of the human epithelial airway barrier

Introduction

Laser scanning microscopy (LSM), also described as confocal LSM, is a valuable tool for obtaining high spatial resolution and three dimensional (3D) reconstruction of specific fluorescently labelled structures at the light microscopic level [1]. During the past few years it has become the standard imaging method to characterise cellular morphology and structures in static as well as in living samples. LSM combined with digital image restoration is an excellent tool for analysing the cellular cytoarchitecture, expression of specific proteins and interactions of various cell types, thus defining valid criteria for the optimisation of cell culture models [2–4].

Not only the simple combination of different cell types in culture but also the structure in the correct 3D arrangement is important. Therefore, culture models in 3D matrices have been developed representing a more physiologically relevant situation, such as the mimicking of tissues [4]. This is of great significance to the outcome of the examination, as, in respect to the model [4], cells of the lung continuously cross-talk in vivo via intercellular signalling [5]. Recently, a triple cell coculture system has been established and evaluated, forming a 3D model of the human epithelial airway wall composed of epithelial cells, monocyte-derived macrophages (MDM) and dendritic cells (MDDC) [4]. Since this model consists of several cell layers (i.e. >10 μm) observation of cells by LSM combined with digital image restoration is an optimal tool for the characterisation [6].
The principle of LSM

The principle of LSM was patented by Marvin Minsky in 1957 [7] and aimed to overcome some of the limitations of conventional (i.e. wide-field) fluorescence microscopy. In a conventional fluorescence microscope the entire specimen is illuminated from a light source. All parts of the specimen in the optical path are excited and the resulting fluorescence is detected, which nowadays is commonly via a CCD (charge-couple device) camera (fig. 1a). In contrast to conventional fluorescence microscopy, a laser scanning microscope uses a point illumination (i.e. a laser beam) and a pinhole in an optically conjugate plane in front of the detector to eliminate the out of focus information – from this configuration the term “confocal” has been defined. As only light produced by fluorescence very close to the focal plane can be detected, the image resolution, particularly in the sample depth direction, is heightened compared to that of conventional microscopes. This is also dependent on the size of the pinhole (fig. 1b). The key feature of LSM is its ability to produce blur-free images by discarding the out of focus information of thick specimens at various depths because of depth discrimination in fluorescently labelled samples [8]. Furthermore, the axial (z-axis), as well as the lateral resolution are significantly improved if the confocal pinhole is sufficiently small [9]. Due to its improved axial resolution, the LSM is able to record optical sections through the specimen [7, 10].

Images are acquired point by point and as only one point in the sample is collected at a time, two dimensional or 3D imaging require scanning over a regular raster in the specimen. The thickness of the focal plane is defined mostly by the inverse of the square of the numerical aperture of the objective lens and also by the optical properties of the specimen and the ambient index of refraction. A 3D confocal data set is recorded plane by plane and because structures are not readily accessible by looking at individual sections, image visualisation and restoration then needs to be done using a 3D multi-channel image processing software [11].

Digital image restoration

Bleed through and cross-talk is often an issue in specimens labelled with multiple fluorescent proteins. Improvements can be made by imaging different channels sequentially rather than simultaneously. Therefore, for an optimal sampling of the signals by the LSM, the channels for each fluorochrome are separated (i.e. lasers, filters and detector settings). After sampling, individual pictures need to be combined and, in addition, noisy and blurred images can be restored on the basis of mathematical models. In addition, 3D reconstructions are made from Z-series of confocal images.

The obtained images can be corrected for imaging errors that arise during data recoding and some basic processing routines [12] can be employed, such as:

- Baseline: A user-defined constant value from all voxel values (i.e. a volume element representing a value in 3D space) is subtracted to negate the electronic noise generated by the photomultipliers.
- Contrast stretch: This tool can be used to adapt the range of intensities used to user defined boundaries in order to optimise the contrast.
- Gamma correction: This function recalculates the range of grey values in the image. The new range values will be evaluated using non-linear mapping. If the correction factor is below 1, the remapping will be achieved using a logarithmic table enhancing the lower intensities. If the correction factor is above 1, the correction uses an exponential table enhancing the high intensities and attenuating the lower ones.
- 3D noise filters: The median is useful to remove heavy bright noise in the images and preserve the high frequencies and it gives better results for very noisy images. The low pass Filter can be used to remove noise in the image. All high frequencies are attenuated. The routine has a blurring effect on the image with the aim to remove noise (high frequencies).
- Deconvolution: This image restoration package [13] will remove blur from the images, improve resolution and noise. It is especially important for the analysis of stacks in the orientation of the z-axis.

It is very important to know what is done with the data in order to come to a correct interpretation and to record an optimal signal with the LSM rather than having to do too much data processing afterwards.

Not only is the signal optimisation important but also the visualisation of the data. We routinely employ various 3D visualisation techniques for analysing LSM data sets depending on the question addressed. For example, the gallery view (fig. 2a) displays all defined slice images of the data set. Another example is the projection view (fig. 2b), which allows the data set to be cut and portions to be defined in the x, y, and z axes. Both visualisation tools are very rapid, simple and are not computer intensive. It does not need special software since most acquisition software can store the individual layers or the projections as a single image.

More advanced software packages [11] allow the user to make volume renderings or iso-surface reconstructions, thus providing true 3D information on the specimen(s), since it allows the visualisation of internal and surface structures (fig. 2c). Such software however, is intensively time consuming.
A 3D model of the human epithelial airway barrier characterised by LSM and digital image restoration

The respiratory tract contains more than 40 different cell types in total [20]. During deposition, inhaled insoluble antigens first encounter the surfactant film which is located at the air-liquid interface of the liquid lining layer continuously covering the internal surface of the lung. Surfactant facilitates the displacement of the antigens towards the cells lying beneath [21, 22], for example macrophages (professional phagocytes) which play an important role in particle clearance. Displaced antigens are either transported out of the lung by the mucociliary escalator or come into close association with macrophages, the epithelium and dendritic cells, which are located inside or beneath the epithelium. Of particular interest is, therefore, how dendritic cells come into contact with inhaled antigens. Dendritic cells are, as sentinels and the most competent antigen-presenting cells, a surveillance network in the pulmonary tissues [23–26].

Thus, 3D cell cultures are important tools in cell biology research and tissue engineering as they pertinently resemble the architectural microenvironment of natural tissue, compared to standard two-dimensional cultures. LSM offers a great opportunity to image 3D cell cultures because of the thickness of such culture layers [6]. Not only is the 3D structure important, but co-cultures of different cell types have also been shown to influence the outcome of the results [27]. For the development of the triple cell co-culture model of the human epithelial airway barrier [4], specifically epithelial cells, macrophages and dendritic cells have been concentrated upon, since it has been shown that these cell types continuously cross-talk in vitro through intercellular signalling in order to maintain homeostasis and to coordinate immune responses [5]. In addition, LSM has shown that the dendritic cells release several hundred membrane vesicles, called exovesicles, which are able to activate resting dendritic cells and therefore amplify the immune response [28]. Not only dendritic cells are stimulated by exovesicles, but epithelial cells can also be activated to release additional inflammatory mediators [29].

As previously highlighted, the in vitro triple cell culture model of the human airway wall is composed of epithelial cells, MDM and MDDC [4, 30]. In this model, monolayers of the human alveolar epithelial cell line A549 that originates from a lung carcinoma [31] or the human bronchial epithelial cell line 16HBE14o- [32] were grown on a microporous membrane in a two chamber system. MDM and MDDC were added at the apical side and at the basal side of the epithelium, respectively. The integrity of the epithelial cell monolayers was evaluated by measuring the transepithelial electrical resistance and by examining the epithelial tight junction complexes using immunofluorescence methods. Cell densities of MD and MDDC within the culture were quantified by LSM using the specific surface markers CD14 and CD86 for the labelling of MDM and MDDC, respectively [30]. Using this model it could be demonstrated that MDDC and MDM collaborate as sentinels against fine particles by building a transepithelial interdigitating network of cell processes [30, 33]. Initial experiments have been done by replacing the epithelial cell lines with primary alveolar type II cells isolated from human lung biopsies which transdifferentiate into a thin monolayer of alveolar type I-like epithelial cells after 8-9 days in culture. Triple cell co-cultures with these primary epithelial cells, MDM and MDDC have been performed and were characterised by LSM using specific surface markers [34].
In order to stimulate an environment that more closely mimics the *in vivo* situation, cell cultures can be exposed to air. The cultivation of epithelial cells on permeable supports allows the culture medium to be kept separate on either side of the cultured epithelium leading to an increased differentiation of the cultured cells [35]. Furthermore, the medium can be removed from the upper side to expose the cells to air on one side and to feed them from the medium in the chamber underneath [36]. This air-liquid culture technique has been described in different cell culture models [37] and has already been applied in many studies using the *in vitro* 3D triple cell co-culture model [30, 38]. By using LSM the cellular morphology, as well as the expression of tight junction proteins, can be assessed in air-exposed cultures and can be subsequently compared with analysis using suspension cultures [39].

**Live cell imaging**

For an understanding of fundamental biological questions, the visualisation of dynamic cellular processes is of great importance. In 1953, Shinya Inoue designed a polarizing light microscope in which he was able to resolve the mitotic spindle in healthy cells, giving scientists a look at one of the most important dynamic processes of cell biology and beginning a revolution in live cell imaging [40]. As another example, spinning disk confocal microscopes have been shown to be suited for fast *in vivo* imaging by a simultaneous approach of multi-beam scanning [41]. Further equipment for live cell imaging is a laser scanning microscope with a point scanner. For thicker specimens, however, where many optical sections have to be screened, or for very fast processes, high-speed simultaneous line scanning is recommended. Recently, using the latter (line scanning mode) to investigate the uptake of fluorescent polystyrene particles (1 μm in diameter) into MDM, the cells were prelabelled with Cell Tracker Orange, a cell membrane-permeant dye freely diffusing through membranes. The cell cultures were seeded in a culture chamber with a cover glass at the bottom, making observation with a high numerical aperture objective possible. This chamber was then mounted on a 37 °C heating stage. As the uptake of the particles occurs within minutes it was not necessary to provide the cultures with CO₂. The cells were imaged with a line scanning LSM each lasting 30 seconds. Afterwards the cells were visualised in a surface rendering mode and made transparent, therefore the uptake of the green particles into the cells could clearly be seen within the first minutes (fig. 4). Further studies with the 3D model to observe translocation of fluorescently labelled particles are currently being performed using this technique.

A high spatiotemporal *in situ* analysis of cell signalling events and cellular behaviour within living tissue conducted with fluorescence microscopy requires a highly sophisticated methodological setup, which has to cope with a number of challenges [42]. Firstly, the vitality of the cells to be observed can be impaired due to phototoxicity or insufficient sample maintenance during observation. In this regard, cells should be kept in an incubation chamber, which provides the required temperature and CO₂ level and which is associated with the microscope. Additionally the scanning laser power should be minimized. Secondly, a long distance of specimen to the objective lens and moving sample may complicate the scanning process. In contrast to a properly mounted sample, the working distance of the objective lens to a specimen, which is located free floating in medium, is usually longer. As a consequence, objectives with longer working distances (e.g. water immersion or air) but also with lower numerical aperture and hence lower resolution can be used as an alternative to oil immersion objectives. The working distance can be kept short (for oil immersion objectives) by using glass bottom dishes as containers for the specimen with the objective directly fitted to the bottom using it as a “cover slip”. Thirdly, a fluorescence marker that does not impair the cellular functions has to be introduced into living cells. The problem is that many fluorescent molecules are not cell permeable, requiring lysis and fixation of the cells. Imaging of intracellular calcium in cells was one of the first areas in which cell permeable fluorescent dyes or luminescent proteins were used to follow cellular processes [43, 44]. The above mentioned discovery of GFP has provided researchers with a great tool to label and follow a specific protein in living cells [45, 46]. Genetically encoded fluorescent proteins in living systems are useful reporters of the dynamic behaviour of proteins inside cells [47]. One example is the spatial arrangement of tight junction proteins tagged with GFP that can be visualised by LSM for a certain time under various changing cell culture conditions [48]. QDs can also be used to label cells for live-cell imaging [49].

**Conclusions**

The LSM provides advantages over other microscope methods as the specimen preparation is fast and non-destructive. The information obtained by the scanning process is free of out of focus blur resulting in a greater depth of field. Nowadays, LSM is routinely performed by using multi-labelled specimens, allowing a comparison of the spatial arrangement of various proteins or cell structures. Once a 3D data set is collected, it can then be rotated and viewed from all angles if adequate software is employed. By using this method, a 3D model of the human epithelial airway barrier composed of various cell types, showing the spatial cellular arrangement similar to that in the human lung, has been established and extensively characterised. Using live cell imaging the very fast uptake of particles into macrophages has also been visualised, providing important information about the cellular functions of this triple cell co-culture system. The authors therefore conclude that LSM combined with an optimal visualisation of the 3D data is a promising technique with many possible applications in biological imaging.
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Figure 1
Comparison of conventional fluorescence microscopy and LSM. Epithelial cells (16HBE14o-; kindly provided by Dr. Gruenert, University of California, San Francisco) were grown to confluence and then fixed and stained for F-Actin (Phalloidin-Rhodamine). a) A micrograph taken with a CCD camera is represented, b) shows two pictures taken with a Zeiss 510 Meta laser scanning microscope using either an opened pinhole or a closed pinhole. All pictures were taken from the same region.
Figure 2
Visualisation modes of LSM 3D data stacks. Epithelial cells (16HBE14o-) and MDM were co-cultured, fixed and stained for F-actin (Phalloidin-rhodamine, white). Additionally, the MDM were stained for CD14, a specific surface marker (red). The cultures were observed with a Zeiss 510 Meta laser scanning microscope at a Z-interval of 0.3 μm, resulting in total 70 layers. Various visualisation modes using the IMARIS software from Bitplane AG are presented to show the spatial arrangement of the MDM and the epithelial cells. a) Gallery view, showing every 8th layer, b) Projection view across the xz-axes, c) an iso-surface rendering with a transparent epithelium.
Figure 3
**LSM images of the triple cell co-culture model.** Epithelial cells (16HBE14o-), MDM and MDDC were co-cultured fixed and stained for F-actin (Phalloidin-rhodamine, white). Additionally, the MDM and MDDC were stained for specific surface markers CD14 (red), and CD86 (light blue), respectively. The cultures were observed with a Zeiss 510 Meta laser scanning microscope at a Z-interval of 0.3 μm, resulting in total 70 layers. Digital image restoration was performed using the IMARIS software from Bitplane AG. Epithelial cells (white, transparent volume rendering), MDM (red, surface rendering; black arrows), and MDDC (light blue, surface rendering; white arrows) are shown. The same data set is shown from top (A), from bottom (B), and without epithelial cells from top (C).

Figure 4
**Uptake of polystyrene particles by MDM.** MDM was pre-labelled with Cell tracker orange (red, transparent volume rendering). Fluorescently labelled polystyrene particles (1 μm, green, surface rendering) were added at time point zero to the cultures and visualized for 10 minutes using a Zeiss Duo5 laser scanning microscope with the line scan modus at a z-interval of 0.3 μm. The data restoration was done with IMARIS from Bitplane AG.