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Abstract— Illiteracy is an inability to recognize characters, both in order to read and write. It is a significant problem for countries all around the world including Indonesia. In Indonesia, illiteracy rate is generally set as an indicator to see whether or not education in Indonesia is successful. If this problem is not going to be overcome, it will affect people’s prosperity. One system that has been used to overcome this problem is prioritizing the treatment from areas with the highest illiteracy rate and followed by areas with lower illiteracy rate. The method is going to be a way easier to be applied if it is supported by classification process. Since the classification process needs a class, and there has not been any fine classification of illiteracy rate, there is needed a clustering process before classification process. This research is aimed to get optimal number of classes through clustering process and know the result of illiteracy classification process. The clustering process is conducted by using k means algorithm, and for the classification process is conducted by using Naïve Bayes algorithm. The testing method used to assess the success of classification process is 10-fold method. Based on the research result, it can be concluded that the optimal illiteracy classes are three classes with the classification accuracy value of 96.4912% and error rate value of 3.5088%. Whereas the classification with two classes get the accuracy value of 93.8596% and error rate value of 6.1404%. And for the classification with five classes get the accuracy value of 90.3509% and error rate value of 9.6491%.
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I. INTRODUCTION
Illiteracy is mostly occurred in developing country, including Indonesia [1]. Central Bureau of Statistics recorded that 8.7 million Indonesian people suffered from illiteracy in 2009 [2]. In 2014, 6 million of Indonesian people suffered from it [3]. In 2017, 3.4 million of Indonesian people still suffered from illiteracy. Those amount of numbers have made Indonesia ranked in fourth place of world’s largest illiterate population [4]. Although the illiterate people in Indonesia is significantly decreasing in numbers, the government still have an obligation to solve this problem thoroughly to the root. The illiteracy problem should be overcome immediately for being literate is a part of human rights. If it is not solved well, the illiteracy people will be continuously unproductive, since illiteracy is closely correlated to the ignorance, backwardness, and empowerment.

A strategy which the government has already used to overcome illiteracy problem in Indonesia is applying block system, which means setting priorities in giving treatment from areas with the highest illiteracy rate and followed by areas with lower illiteracy rate. That strategy should be supported by classification process so that this problem can be managed appropriately. Classification is the most commonly-used learning model to manage data mining [5]. Classification is needed to determine the class of an object whose class has not been determined. Reference [6] show that in classification process, there are four key components. First, class which states a label or category of an object. Second, variable predictor that represents the characteristics of the data. Third, training dataset which is used to establish classification model. Lastly, fourth, testing dataset to examine the classification model that has been established. The classification process itself consists of two steps: learning process and testing process. Learning process is an algorithm classification process, managing an analysis about training dataset to form classification rules. Whereas testing process is a process using testing dataset to examine the accuracy of classification rules that have been established. With this process of illiteracy classification, the government and concerned districts could determine the priorities in overcoming illiteracy in a proper way.
Some algorithms that have ever been used for classification process are K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) [7], Decision Tree C4.5 [8], and Naïve Bayes [9]. However, after some considerations between the upsides, downsides, and also the characteristics for each algorithm, it is figured out that Naïve Bayes is the best algorithm for this problem.

Naïve Bayes algorithm is a probability-based classification method that is often used, although this algorithm assumes all attributes are independent [9]. Naïve Bayes algorithm can work better in real life [10]. Besides that, Naïve Bayes algorithm does not need a big amount of training data to determine the parameter estimation of classification process.

Therefore, in this research, Naïve Bayes is chosen as classification algorithm of illiteracy in East Java. While in making illiteracy classes, it is used K Means algorithm, as in [11]. East Java was chosen since it is one of many provinces in Indonesia whose highest illiterate population in 2017. East Java province consists of 29 regencies and 9 cities with total illiterate population of 3.47% [4]. This fact is interesting to be inspected and investigated as East Java province is not a backward area, and since it also has good human resources in a large number.

II. THE PROPOSED ALGORITHM

This research uses two kinds of algorithm, which are K means algorithm and Naïve Bayes algorithm. K means algorithm is used to establish illiteracy classes because there was no clear of illiteracy classes, while Naïve Bayes algorithm is used in the process of classifying illiteracy rate.

A. K means Algorithm

Input:

D = {d1, d2, ..., dn} // set of n data items.
k   // Number of desired cluster

Output:
A set of k clusters.

Step:
1. Arbitrarily choose k data items from D as initial centroids;
2. Repeat
   Assign each item di to the cluster which has the closest centroid;
   Calculate new mean for each cluster;
   Until convergence criteria is met.

Fig. 1 Pseudocode of k means algorithm

K means algorithm classifies objects in clusters according to their characteristics similarity [11]. The characteristics similarity can be formulated by using distance measure, one of them is Euclidean distance. The lower Euclidean distance’s value, the more those two observations unit is similar. K means algorithm consists of two stages. The first stage is determining k based on the number of cluster. The next stage is choosing initial centroid from the dataset for each cluster. The classifying objects based on each object’s distance towards the centroid by using Euclidean distance. If those objects are all classified already, it means the first iteration is done. Then, the thing should be done is getting new centroid for the next iteration process and classifying objects like what have been done in the first iteration process. Those steps are repeated all over again until the centroid does not change anymore [12]. The pseudocode of K means algorithm is shown in Fig. 1, as in [12].

B. Naïve Bayes Algorithm

Naïve Bayes algorithm is a simple probabilistic algorithm in classification technique which gets its probability value based on the calculation of frequency and value combinations from the associated collection [13]. This algorithm assumes that all attributes are independent [9]. The classification process of Naïve Bayes demands several clues or directions to determine the class of the data to be analyzed. Therefore, Equation 1 is applied [14].

\[
\hat{P}(C | F_1, ..., F_n) = \frac{P(C) \cdot P(F_1, ..., F_n | C)}{P(F_1, ..., F_n)}
\]  

In Equation 1, variable C is a class, and variable \(F_1, ..., F_n\) represents characteristics required to do a classification. Therefore, the probability of matching data with a certain characteristic in C class (posterior) is C class probability emerged multiplied by the probability of samples characteristics in C class (likelihood), and then divided by the probability of samples characteristics globally (evidence).

Input:
Training dataset T,
\(F_i = (f_{i1}, f_{i2}, ..., f_{in}) \) // value of the predictor variable in testing dataset.

Output:
A class of testing dataset.

Step:
1. Read the training dataset T;
2. Calculate the mean and standard deviation of the predictor variables in each class;
3. Repeat
   Calculate the probability of \(f_i\) using the gauss density equation in each class;
   Until the probability of all predictor variables (\(f_1, f_2, f_3, ..., f_n\)) has been calculated.
4. Calculate the likelihood for each class;
5. Get the greatest likelihood.

Fig. 2 Pseudocode of naive bayes algorithm

Meantime, classification of continuous data uses Gauss density function as shown in Equation 2 [14].
While \( P \) is an probability, \( X_i \) is a predictor variable, \( x_i \) is the value of predictor variable, \( Y \) is the class to be looked for, \( y_i \) is sub class of \( Y \), \( \pi \) is a constants valued 3.14, \( \mu \) is mean that stated the average of all predictor variable in a certain class, \( \sigma \) is standard deviation that stated variants of all predictor variable in a certain class, and \( e \) is a constants valued 2.7183.

III. METHODOLOGY

A. Research Data

The research data is obtained from Central Bureau of Statistics of East Java that can also be downloaded in its official website, www.jatim.bps.go.id. Data used as characteristics in this research consists of poor people percentage data, unemployment rate data, elementary school enrollment percentage, and junior high school enrollment percentage. Those data are selected for they are considered as factors that affect illiteracy in such kind of impact \[2\], \[3\]. The data of illiteracy rate is also required as a validation of clustering process. In this research, the research units are 38 regencies and cities in East Java in around 2013-2015, and there obtained 114 data record.

B. Pre-processing

Pre-processing stage in this research consists of data selection, data integration, and data transformation process.

1) Data Selection: Data selection is a kind of process of selecting data that is going to be involved in data mining. This step is done as the data table that has been obtained not only contains data required for research, but also contains other data as well.

2) Data Integration: Data integration is a process of combining data from multiple sources into a single unit of data that will be used for data mining. This step is necessary because the research data is obtained from different data tables.

3) Data Transformation: Data Transformation is a process of standardizing data so that it can be used for mining process.

C. Clustering and Classification Process

The sequences line of this research is shown in Fig. 3. In Fig. 3, the K means algorithm process will be repeated three times with different \( k \) values. The value of \( k = 2 \) to form two illiteracy classes, \( k = 3 \) to form three illiteracy classes, and \( k = 5 \) to form five illiteracy classes. The step is done to find the number of classes that are considered optimal and can provide the best results in the classification process using Naive Bayes algorithm. After the entire clustering process is done, then followed by the process of classification using the Naive Bayes algorithm. Naive Bayes algorithm process will be repeated three times using the training dataset results from the clustering process that has been done before, the training dataset with two classes, training dataset with three classes, and training dataset with five classes. The step is taken to gain the best classification results based on the number of classes classified.

D. Testing Method

Classification testing was performed using one cross-validation technique, which is the k-fold method \[15\]. In this research, a 10-fold method was chosen because this method is the best choice for obtaining accurate validation results based on extensive experimental results and theoretical proof \[15\]. This testing method is also recommended if the purpose of the test is to measure the classification error \[16\]. Then, the test results are displayed in the confusion matrix table, as in \[17\]. From the table of confusion matrix, there...
obtained the value of accuracy and error rate calculated using Equation 3 and Equation 4.

\[
\text{accuracy} = \frac{\text{the number of tests is correct}}{\text{the number of tests}} \times 100\%
\]

\[
\text{error rate} = \frac{\text{the number of tests is wrong}}{\text{the number of tests}} \times 100\%
\]

E. Evaluation

After the testing process has been done, there is an evaluation by looking for the best classification result. The best classification result is the one that has highest accuracy rate and lowest error rate.

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. Pre-processing Analysis

At the pre-processing stage, the poor people percentage variable is initialized as \( F_1 \), the unemployment rate variable is initialized as \( F_3 \), the elementary school enrollment rate variable is initialized as \( F_3 \), and the junior high school enrollment rate variable is initialized as \( F_4 \). The results of data selection and data integration stages are shown in Table 1.

| Name of Region | Data Characteristics |
|----------------|---------------------|
|                | \( F_1 \) | \( F_2 \) | \( F_3 \) | \( F_4 \) |
| Pacitan        | 16.73   | 0.99   | 6.07   | 23.81   |
| Ponorogo       | 11.92   | 3.25   | 4.31   | 18.71   |
| Trenggalek    | 13.56   | 4.04   | 2.22   | 18.89   |
| Tulungagung    | 9.07    | 2.71   | 0.95   | 18.21   |
| Blitar         | 10.57   | 3.64   | 2.38   | 24.58   |
| Kediri         | 13.23   | 4.65   | 2.00   | 27.54   |
| Malang         | 11.48   | 5.17   | 1.56   | 28.24   |
| Lumajang       | 12.14   | 2.01   | 4.98   | 31.85   |
| Jember         | 11.68   | 3.94   | 2.13   | 27.22   |
| Banyuwangi     | 9.61    | 4.65   | 6.56   | 24.81   |

The data in Table 1 has changed in value. In the variable of elementary school and junior high school enrollment rate obtained from the data source is a positive variable. Both variables need to be converted into negative variables to be the equal to the percentage of poor people and the percentage of unemployment rate that contributes negatively to the illiteracy class during the clustering process. The step is done since after conducting the first clustering process with the original data, it gets less appropriate results, where the areas that tend to have high illiteracy rates are classified as low-literate. The change in elementary school enrollment rate is done by 100% minus the enrollment rate of elementary school level. For example, the enrollment rate of elementary school is 93.93%, then the way to change it is 100% minus 93.93% to get the number 6.07%, the same way is done in the junior high school enrollment rate variable.

For further explanation, the data transformation stage results are shown in Table 2.

| Name of Region | Data Characteristics |
|----------------|---------------------|
|                | \( F_1 \) | \( F_2 \) | \( F_3 \) | \( F_4 \) |
| Pacitan        | 0.88581 | -2.0218 | 1.37251 | 0.64015 |
| Ponorogo       | -0.0688 | -0.6460 | 0.54576 | -0.0307 |
| Trenggalek    | 0.25662 | -0.1651 | -0.4359 | -0.0070 |
| Tulungagung    | -0.6345 | -0.9748 | -1.0325 | -0.0965 |
| Blitar         | -0.3368 | -0.4086 | -0.3608 | 0.74144 |
| Kediri         | 0.19112 | 0.2061 | -0.5393 | 1.13081 |
| Malang         | -0.1562 | 0.5227 | -0.7460 | 1.22289 |
| Lumajang       | -0.0252 | -1.4009 | 0.86049 | 1.69778 |
| Jember         | -0.1165 | -0.2260 | -0.4783 | 1.08872 |
| Banyuwangi     | -0.5273 | 0.20617 | 1.60268 | 0.77169 |

In Table 2, the negative value indicates that the data is below the total average, while the positive value indicates that the data is above the total average. The result of data transformation shown in Table 2 will be used as a clustering process dataset using K means algorithm to form illiteracy classes.

B. Clustering Analysis using K means Algorithm

Clustering process is performed three times with the values of \( k=2, k=3, \) and \( k=5 \). Initial cluster determining step with value of \( k=2 \) is shown in Table 3.

| Data Characteristics |
|----------------------|
| \( F_1 \) | \( F_2 \) | \( F_3 \) | \( F_4 \) |
|----------------------|
| Cluster 1 | Cluster 2 |
| \( F_1 \) | 2.17596 | -1.52378 |
| \( F_2 \) | 1.50281 | -1.14525 |
| \( F_3 \) | -0.10717 | 1.03429 |
| \( F_4 \) | 3.06717 | -1.63692 |

In Table 3, where the initial cluster centers in cluster 1 is the 26th data and initial cluster centers in cluster 2 is the 76th data. After five times iteration, there obtained final cluster centers that is shown in Table 4.

| Data Characteristics |
|----------------------|
| \( F_1 \) | \( F_2 \) | \( F_3 \) | \( F_4 \) |
|----------------------|
| Cluster 1 | Cluster 2 |
| \( F_1 \) | 0.94992 | -0.45645 |
| \( F_2 \) | -0.64229 | 0.30863 |
| \( F_3 \) | 0.17568 | -0.08442 |
| \( F_4 \) | 0.90804 | -0.43633 |

Average | 0.347838 | -0.16714 |
In Table 4, it can be seen that the average of cluster 1 is 0.347838 and cluster 2 is -0.16714. So, the conclusion is that cluster 1 is higher than cluster 2, which means cluster 1 is high illiterate class and cluster 2 is low illiterate class. The final result of the clustering process using the K means algorithm with the value k = 2 is shown in Table 5.

| Name of Region | Label of Cluster | Value of Euclidean Distance |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Pacitan        | Cluster 1       | 1.84701                     |
| Ponorogo       | Cluster 2       | 1.27409                     |
| Trenggalek     | Cluster 2       | 1.02021                     |
| Tulungagung    | Cluster 2       | 1.64115                     |
| Blitar         | Cluster 2       | 1.41151                     |
| Kediri         | Cluster 1       | 1.36255                     |
| Malang         | Cluster 2       | 1.82393                     |
| Lumajang       | Cluster 1       | 1.62836                     |
| Jember         | Cluster 1       | 1.33075                     |
| Banyuwangi     | Cluster 2       | 2.07874                     |

From the results shown in Table 5, 37 regions were grouped in clusters 1 and 77 regions grouped in cluster 2. Then, the clustering process will be repeated in a same way for k = 3 and k = 5. After all the clustering process has been done, it is obtained the number of members of each cluster as shown in Table 6.

| Value of k | Label of Cluster | Number of Members | Percentage |
|------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|
| k = 2      | Cluster 1        | 37 regions        | 32%        |
|            | Cluster 2        | 77 regions        | 68%        |
| k = 3      | Cluster 1        | 25 regions        | 22%        |
|            | Cluster 2        | 31 regions        | 27%        |
|            | Cluster 3        | 58 regions        | 51%        |
| k = 5      | Cluster 1        | 12 regions        | 10%        |
|            | Cluster 2        | 27 regions        | 24%        |
|            | Cluster 3        | 27 regions        | 24%        |
|            | Cluster 4        | 19 regions        | 17%        |
|            | Cluster 5        | 29 regions        | 25%        |

C. Classification Analysis using Naïve Bayes Algorithm

After the illiteracy classes have been formed by clustering process with K means algorithm, then classification process can take place. The classification is done by three times based on the number of classes formed in the preceded clustering process. First classification process uses training dataset from the result of clustering process that has formed two illiteracy classes by using 10-fold testing method. The result of first classification process is shown in Table 7.

| Name of Region | Predicted Label | Label  | Correction |
|----------------|-----------------|-------|------------|
| Pacitan        | Cluster 1       | Cluster 1 | Correct |
| Ponorogo       | Cluster 2       | Cluster 2 | Correct |
| Trenggalek     | Cluster 2       | Cluster 2 | Correct |
| Tulungagung    | Cluster 2       | Cluster 2 | Correct |
| Blitar         | Cluster 2       | Cluster 2 | Correct |
| Kediri         | Cluster 1       | Cluster 1 | Correct |
| Malang         | Cluster 2       | Cluster 2 | Correct |
| Lumajang       | Cluster 1       | Cluster 1 | Correct |
| Jember         | Cluster 1       | Cluster 1 | Correct |
| Banyuwangi     | Cluster 2       | Cluster 2 | Correct |

In Table 7, if the predicted label results give the same output results as the label, then the classification process performed is correct. Conversely, if the predicted label results give an output that is different from the label, then the classification process is wrong. Confusion matrix of the first classification results is shown in Table 8.

| Correct Classification | Classified as |
|-------------------------|---------------|
|                         | Cluster 1 | Cluster 2 |
| Cluster 1               | 30        | 7         |
| Cluster 2               | 0         | 77        |

In Table 8, it can be seen that there are 37 cluster members 1 and seven cluster members 1 are incorrectly classified as cluster 2 members. Meanwhile, there are 77 cluster members 2 and all members are correctly classified. From the test result, we can calculate the accuracy value using Equation 3 and error rate using Equation 4, so that the accuracy value is 93.8596% and the error rate is 6.1404%. Furthermore, the classification process is repeated by training a different dataset, which is by training a dataset consisting of three classes and five classes of illiteracy. So as to obtain the final result of accuracy and error rate shown in Table 9.

| Number of Class | Accuracy | Error Rate |
|-----------------|---------|-----------|
| 2               | 93.8596%| 6.1404%   |
| 3               | 96.4912%| 3.5088%   |
| 5               | 90.3509%| 9.6491%   |

Based on the final result of the classification process in Table 9, it can be seen that classification with three classes will give the best result. Classification with three classes obtained the highest accuracy value compared to other classification of 96.4912% and obtained the lowest error rate that is equal to 3.5088%. Three classes formed are: cluster 1 as high illiteracy class with 25 members, cluster 2 as
medium illiterate class with 31 members, and cluster 3 as low literacy class with total number of 58 members.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results and discussion can be concluded:
• Naive Bayes algorithm can be used for classifying illiteracy.
• The optimal number of illiteracy classes are three classes. Those three classes are: high illiteracy class with 25 members, moderate illiteracy class with 31 members, and low illiteracy class with 58 members.
• From the three classification processes that have been done, classification with three classes that obtain the best results with an accuracy of 96.4912% and error rate of 3.5088%. The second rank is a classification with two classes that obtained an accuracy of 93.8596% and error rate of 6.1404%. The third one is a classification with five classes that obtain an accuracy of 90.3509% and an error rate of 9.6491%.

In order to get better research result, it is highly suggested to:
• Augment data characteristics that can do such an impact to the number of illiteracy, so there will be a better clustering process.
• Increase the number of research unit, so there will be a more accurate classification process.
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