Case Report

Common Hepatic Duct Mixed Adenoneuroendocrine Carcinoma Masquerading as Cholangiocarcinoma
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Bile duct mixed adenoneuroendocrine carcinoma (MANEC) is a rare entity. It is defined as having mixed elements of both neuroendocrine tumors (NET) and an adenocarcinoma element, the lesser component forming at least 30% of the tumor. It is a subtype of neuroendocrine carcinoma (NEC) showing both gland-forming epithelial tumor cells and neuroendocrine cells. It is generally misdiagnosed as cholangiocarcinoma on imaging studies. The preoperative pathological workup from the endoscopic retrograde cholangiography brush cytology usually misses the NET/NEC component since it often lies deeper in the tumor. However, it is reported that it is the NEC component that defines the prognosis of the tumor; hence, it is vital to identify the NEC component. We present a rare case of common hepatic duct (CHD) MANEC that was preoperatively misdiagnosed as cholangiocarcinoma.

1. Introduction

The commonest malignant cause of a bile duct stricture is cholangiocarcinoma, with mixed adenoneuroendocrine carcinoma (MANEC) being a rare cause. MANEC is defined as having mixed neuroendocrine tumor/carcinoma (NET/NEC) and an adenocarcinoma element with each being at least 30% of the specimen. Cholangiocarcinoma is suspected based on imaging studies. MANEC mimics cholangiocarcinoma on imaging. Diagnosis is confirmed only on histopathological examination of surgically resected specimen. As only a few cases have been reported thus far, there is paucity of literature regarding its natural history, prognosis, and treatment. We present a case of common hepatic duct (CHD) MANEC that was initially diagnosed and surgically treated as cholangiocarcinoma.

2. Case Report

A 76-year-old diabetic and hypertensive gentleman presented with painless progressive jaundice with pruritus 20 days ago. He had lost 11 kilograms in two months. Physical examination was unremarkable except for icterus. On investigations, his total bilirubin was 11.91 mg/dL with the direct component being 8.70, alkaline phosphatase 190 U/L, GGTP 1109 U/L, SGPT 302 IU/L, and SGOT 277 IU/L. Ultrasonography showed dilated intrahepatic biliary radicles (IHBR). Computerized Tomography (CT) revealed dilated CHD with an enhancing 1 cm lesion at the level of the cystic duct, extending to the CHD associated with IHBR, suggestive of cholangiocarcinoma. CA 19-9 and CEA were normal. This was followed by an endoscopic retrograde air cholangiogram that confirmed a CHD stricture of about 1 cm. Brush cytology
showed atypical ductal cells that were suspicious but not confirmatory for malignancy. No stent was inserted. Intraoperatively, a lesion was identified in the CHD at the level of the cystic duct extending upwards; the rest of the bile duct felt normal. Radical excision of the involved bile duct from hilum to its intrapancreatic portion was done with Roux-en-Y hepaticojejunostomy and cholecystectomy was added. Frozen section confirmed malignancy and a diagnosis of MANEC was offered. Histopathology showed a MANEC with free margins (Figures 1(a) and 1(b)). The excised duct measured 4 cm in length, with a raised button like lesion measuring $1.4 \times 0.8$ cm and 0.5 cm thick with narrowing of the duct lumen. The lesion showed predominantly NEC (70%) admixed with invasive ductal adenocarcinoma (30%), thus representing MANEC. Perineural and intraneural invasion were seen. The rest of the bile duct showed mild hyperplasia to low grade dysplasia. The cystic duct only showed hyperplasia with no malignancy. The NEC component (70%) was small cell, grade III, and positive for synaptophysin (Figure 2(a)) and CD56 (Figure 2(b)). It was negative for chromogranin (Figure 2(c)). Cytokeratin cocktail (CK AE1 and CK AE3) was strongly positive in adenocarcinoma (moderately differentiated) component and weakly positive in NEC component (Figure 3(a)). CEA was positive only in the adenocarcinoma component (Figure 3(b)). The Ki 67 was 90% in NEC component (Figure 3(b)). Perineural invasion was positive. No metastasis was seen in the periportal and periductal nodes. The TNM stage was pT2pN0 (IB). Postoperatively, patient recuperated well. No adjuvant therapy was given.

### 3. Discussion and Review of Literature

MANEC usually comprises two components: a variable grade of differentiated adenocarcinoma and a neuroendocrine component with each component being at least 30% of the tumor [1]. In the bile duct, the adenocarcinoma component usually contains gland-forming or signet ring carcinoma. The neuroendocrine components show small or large neuroendocrine cells. According to the WHO classification (2010), neuroendocrine neoplasms in the digestive system were categorized into NET G1 (carcinoid, mitotic count of $<2$ per 10 HPF, and/or $\leq 2\%$ Ki67 index); NET G2 (mitotic count 2–20 per 10 HPF and/or 3–20% Ki67 index); NET G3 (neuroendocrine carcinoma, mitotic count of $>20$ per 10 HPF, and/or $>20\%$ Ki67 index); and MANEC [2].

Huang et al. [1] proposed that since the two components originate from multipotential stem cells, biphenotypic differentiation could occur after carcinogenesis is triggered. Harada et al. [3] concluded that adenocarcinoma element was mostly found on the tumor surface whereas the NEC component is in the deeper tissues infiltrating the stromal and vascular tissues and lymph nodes. These patients generally present with painless progressive jaundice and the imaging studies frequently suggest cholangiocarcinoma. If deemed
Figure 3: (a) IHC of MANEC with Ki67 400x: 90% in the NEC component and lesser in the adenocarcinoma component. (b) IHC of MANEC with CEA 100x highlights the adenocarcinoma component.

4. Conclusion

CHD MANEC is a rare tumor with the diagnosis being obtained only on histopathological diagnosis of the surgically resected specimen. It is necessary to identify the NEC component (large/small cell and grade) through immunological studies to determine the aggressive behavior of tumor and thus predict the best modality of treatment and prognosis.
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