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ABSTRACT: BACKGROUND: Fallopian tubes are the common surgical specimens in the pathology laboratory. Still there is lack of data to describe the frequency of various histopathological findings. To study the lesions encountered in the fallopian tube. AIMS AND OBJECTIVES: 1. To study the lesions encountered in the fallopian tubes. 2. To study the various histopathological findings of fallopian tubes. MATERIAL AND METHODS: Six hundred and twenty fallopian tube specimens either received separately or as hysterectomy specimens were examined grossly and histopathologically. Data collected were analysed. The microscopic findings were analysed based on the clinical diagnosis. RESULTS: Majority (228) cases of tubal pathology with inflammatory lesions followed by ectopic pregnancies (18 cases). Primary neoplastic lesions were rare finding. Serial sections of the fallopian tubes and sections from representative areas are essential for a histopathologist so that the diagnosis of these pathological entities is not missed. CONCLUSION: Though the fallopian tubes remain unremarkable in a majority of the surgical pathology specimens, they must be subjected for histopathological examination to evaluate the various pathological lesions. KEYWORDS: Fallopian tube lesions, inflammatory lesions, neoplastic lesions.

INTRODUCTION: The fallopian tubes are complex structures; represent more than conduits from ovary to endometrial cavity. They are the seats of a variety of interactions that culminate in a normally implanted pregnancy. It is a common surgical specimen in pathology laboratory and may be examined either as salpingectomy or tubal ligation specimen or a part of hysterectomy and oophorectomy specimen. There is lack of data to describe the frequency of various histological findings in a general surgical pathology. The aim and objective of the study was to describe the various histomorphological findings of fallopian tubes surgically removed as a part of hysterectomy as tubal ligation, unilateral salpingectomy and salpingoophorectomy.

MATERIAL AND METHODS: A two years prospective study was done from June 2012 – August 2014 at Shantiram Medical College and Hospital, Nandyal, Kurnool. During this period a study of 620 fallopian tubes were done. All the specimens of salpingectomy either done for TAH with BSO, unilateral salpingectomy or salpingoophorectomy were included in the study. The clinical data, gross and microscopic examination of the fallopian tubes were carried out by receiving the H and E stained sections. The para tubal cysts were excluded from the study.

RESULTS: Six hundred and twenty specimens consisting of various gynaecological lesions were studied. In the majority of cases the clinical diagnosis was Fibroid uterus (210) or dysfunctional uterine bleeding (DUB) 122 cases.
The maximum number of cases belonged to the age group of 36-45 years, followed by 20-35 years of age group (Table.3). The youngest patient was 18 year old female where right salpingoophorectomy was done for ruptured ectopic pregnancy and the eldest was 65 years underwent hysterectomy for endometrial carcinoma. The prominent presenting symptom was vaginal bleeding followed by pain in abdomen. In half of the cases, there was pain in abdomen and lump in the abdomen. Out of 620 cases, 260 cases (41.93%) showed tubal pathological lesions. The remaining cases of 360 cases (58.06%) fallopian tubes were grossly, microscopically unremarkable.
Salpingitis were the major group of inflammatory lesions, the incidence being 36.77% (228 cases). Majority cases of acute salpingitis were noted in the age group of 20-30 yrs. Most of the cases were misdiagnosed as acute appendicitis (on laparotomy purulent flakes are found on the tubes). In the remaining cases, acute salpingitis was observed as an incidental finding in hysterectomy specimens of various gynecological disorders. Bilateral salpingitis was found in most of the cases and showed congestion. 30 cases (4.84%) were of chronic salpingitis which included 3 cases of salpingitis isthemic nodosa, show fibrous adhesions. Tuberculous salpingitis (Fig. 1) was suspected on gross examination and histopathological examination was confirmed in six cases (0.96%)

Hydrosalpinx noted in 40 cases, pyosalpinx, endometriosis, hematosalpinx, torsion constituted a small percentage of cases. 18 cases of tubal ectopic pregnancies with the incidence 2.90% was noted.
Ampulla was the commonest site of ectopic gestation with tubal rupture being common outcome in 60% of the cases. The primary neoplasm of the fallopian tubes were found only in 2 cases and observed in post-menopausal patients, presenting with non-specific symptoms like vague abdominal pain and watery vaginal discharge. The diagnosis was not made pre-operatively. Unilateral involvement was noted, tumor limited to serosa only. Histologically the tumor was primary adenocarcinoma.

**DISCUSSION:** Among 620 cases, tubal pathology was noted in 41.93%, Bagwan et al.\(^4\) found 33.48% of tubes with pathological lesions. The inflammatory tubal pathology constituted the major bulk of the 228 cases (36.77%), whereas Bagwan et al.\(^4\) reported 18.05%. In the present study the incidence was more. Etiological sub typing of salpingitis was possible in 188 cases while remaining 40 cases showed hydrosalpinx which is a sequale of acute salpingitis. Similar findings are noted by others.\(^1,5,6\)

The clinical presentation in these cases were variable, confirmative diagnosis was made in only 40% of cases, while in the remaining the diagnosis of salpingitis was made after histological examination.

Patton et al.\(^7\) described the “silent salpingitis”, where the pathological process is of overt salpingitis, but without any clinical symptoms. In the present study some cases of salpingitis might have remained silent and were diagnosed as incidental finding in histopathological examination. In the present study 6 cases (0.96%) of tuberculous salpingitis, adenomatous hyperplasia of the tubal lining was noted. Moore SW et al.\(^8\) stated that these findings in the tube suggest search for tuberculosis.

Infertility was observed in association with acute, chronic, tuberculous salpingitis, highlights the inflammatory tubal pathology in causing tubal block and hence infertility. Similar observations noted by Urman et al.\(^9\) Agarwal et al.\(^10\) Gon S et al.\(^3\) found declining incidence of female genital tuberculosis. In the present study similar observations were noted.

In the present study 3 cases of salpingitis isthmica nodosa was seen. In one case, it was associated with ectopic pregnancy of the tube existing as a risk factor. Similar findings were noted by Majmudar et al.\(^11\) and Bagwan et al.\(^4\) in the present study chronic non-specific salpingitis in 65 cases where as Gon S et al.\(^3\) observed 56 cases, indicating mild increasing in the incidence. Two cases of endometriosis were observed in the present study, whereas Bagwan et al.\(^4\) was noted on case with complaint of severe pelvic pain.

Bagwan et al.\(^4\) noted 11.79% (87 cases) of tubal ectopic pregnancies. Ampulla was the commonest site with rupture being the common complication. Similar findings observed by Job-spira et al.\(^6\) In the present study 2.9% (18 cases) were noted. Our study differed with Bagwan et al.\(^4\) correlated with histological findings of Job-spira et al.\(^6\)

Cystic lesions of the tube though commonly seen did not have much clinical significance. The primary fallopian tube Adenocarcinoma accounts for 1% of all female genital tract malignancies. In the present study 2 cases (0.03%) and Bagwan et al 2 cases (0.15%) observed. Study correlated with others. Primary adenocarcinoma and transitional cell carcinoma of the fallopian tubes were found in the post-menopausal women, present with non-specific symptoms like abdominal pain and watery vaginal discharge similar observation noted by others.\(^6,12,13\) Majority of the patients with fallopian tube carcinoma presented with stage II disease at diagnosis but survival is low compared with other early stage gynaecological malignancies.\(^12,14,15\)
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Longacre et al., attributed low incidence of primary malignancy in part admittedly due to arbitrary definitional criteria as it is difficult to distinguish primary tubal carcinoma from primary ovarian or endometrial carcinoma in patients with high stage disease. It is essential for the pathologist to section the fallopian tubes serially and submit all of the tissue for microscopic examination so that the diagnosis of carcinoma is not missed.16

CONCLUSION: Though the fallopian tubes remain unremarkable in majority of the surgical specimens, it must be subjected for histopathological examination to demonstrate the pathological lesions. It is also essential for the pathologist to section the fallopian tubes serially and submit all of the representative tissue for microscopic examination, so that the diagnosis of these pathological entities is not missed. In the present study the inflammatory lesions were the common entities.
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