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Abstract. The propagation of laser light in human tissues is an important issue in functional optical imaging. We modeled the thermal effect of different laser powers with various spot sizes and different head tissue characteristics on neonatal and adult quasirealistic head models. The photothermal effect of near-infrared laser (800 nm) was investigated by numerical simulation using finite-element analysis. Our results demonstrate that the maximum temperature increase on the brain for laser irradiance between 0.127 (1 mW) and 12.73 W/cm² (100 mW) at a 1 mm spot size, ranged from 0.0025°C to 0.26°C and from 0.03°C to 2.85°C at depths of 15.9 and 4.9 mm in the adult and neonatal brain, respectively. Due to the shorter distance of the head layers from the neonatal head surface, the maximum temperature increase was higher in the neonatal brain than in the adult brain. Our results also show that, at constant power, spot size changes had a lesser heating effect on deeper tissues. While the constraints for safe laser irradiation to the brain are dictated by skin safety, these results can be useful to optimize laser parameters for a variety of laser applications in the brain. Moreover, combining simulation and adequate in vitro experiments could help to develop more effective optical imaging to avoid possible tissue damage.

Keywords: near-infrared laser; optical imaging; photothermal effect; neonatal head model.

1 Introduction

Conventional optical imaging systems typically include certain basic components such as various types of lasers and flexible fiber optics. Over recent decades, optical methods have been used to monitor brain function, neurovascular coupling that is correlated to brain activity, brain hemodynamics, cerebral blood volume, and oxygenation. Such modalities have a broad range of practical imaging applications in both adults and neonates, particularly in premature neonates, ranging from changes in tissue oxygenation (by near-Infrared spectroscopy) and cerebral blood flow (CBF) measurement by diffuse correlation spectroscopy (DCS)]9,10 to changes in membrane configuration such as neuron swelling and shrinking (fast optical signal). The photothermal impact of lasers is a critical parameter that needs to be determined in order to evaluate the effectiveness and laser safety of these systems especially with high power consumption. Laser has photothermal interactions with tissues caused by the temperature rise due to laser irradiation, which may cause damage to the tissues, including protein denaturation, increased mitochondrial membrane permeability, and ultimately vaporization. Temperature increase can also lead to changes in cellular metabolism, electrical membrane capacitance, and in the long term, can lead to necrosis. However, the mechanisms responsible for tissue damage from heat exposure are complex and still poorly understood. Although no obvious thermal impacts are detected at skin temperatures of 37°C to 41°C, a temperature increase above 41°C and up to 50°C likely results in reversible membrane alterations. The normal skin surface temperature, depending on environmental conditions, is usually around 31°C; a sustained temperature increase by >10°C can, thus, lead to tissue damage. Irreversible mechanisms then occur, resulting in cell death. The temperature known to induce cell injury is 10°C when studied in vitro, resulting in vascular damage such as angiogenesis or necrosis (3°C), aberrant neuronal activity in guinea pig olfactory cortical slices (2°C), cortical spreading depression (3.4°C), or axonal injury (1°C). Furthermore, temperature increases >1°C can have long-term effects on brain tissue.

The propagation of laser light energy through the tissues is, therefore, an important issue in optical imaging. Effective functional optical imaging can be achieved by tailoring the laser parameters to the optical characteristics of the target tissue (heat capacity, thermal conductivity, absorption coefficient, and scattering coefficient). Laser parameters (e.g., radiant energy, frequency, spot size, and pulse duration) should be carefully chosen to optimize imaging efficacy while minimizing undesirable tissue damage. The energy delivered to the tissues must be determined in order to ensure safety standards in optical imaging. The specific limits of laser power that determine the harmful effects of heat on neonatal tissue are poorly elucidated. Due to the specificity of neonatal head tissues, such as thin skin and skull, tissue absorption coefficients may be different from those observed in adults. It is, therefore, essential to test the safety of optical imaging lasers in this specific, sensitive population.

The purpose of this study was to model the photothermal interaction of NIR laser on human tissues. We investigated the influence of heat and fluence rate of various laser radiant powers on two head models (adult versus neonate). The temperature distribution inside the tissue was modeled using finite element method simulations and the bioheat transfer equation to determine the transient temperature function required to
calculate the photothermal interaction. A range of different laser powers (1 to 100 mW) with various spot sizes, different skin and brain absorption coefficients, temperature distribution profiles in adults versus neonates, and the influence of blood perfusion were investigated using the simulated model. Laser irradiation was simulated using the diffusion theory and was validated by comparing with Monte Carlo method.

Laser wavelength and power are the effective parameters in tissue-delivered energy. These properties, using wavelengths longer than 950 nm, have been extensively used for laser surgery. In this paper, we investigate the effect of lasers on neonatal and adult head tissues in the lower NIR spectrum (600 to 900 nm) used in functional optical imaging. We also discuss our mathematical approach and its limitations.

The laser hazard class depends on the potential to cause biological damage (ANSI Z136.1-2014, IEC 825-1). Accessible emission limit (AEL) and maximum permissible exposure (MPE) for a given wavelength and exposure time were considered to evaluate the risk of injury (Table 1) (AEL = MPE × Area of Limiting Aperture). According to the above standards, the MPE (frequency and time dependent) is the highest power (W/cm²) of a light source that is considered to be safe and is usually about 10% of the dose associated with a 50% chance of causing damage under worst-case conditions.

According to ANSI, the 3.5-mm limit aperture diameter of irradiated laser was selected as for NIR exposures to the skin lasting longer than 10 s, involuntary movements of the body and heat conduction will result in an average irradiance profile over an area of about 10 mm² (even when the irradiated body part is intentionally kept still).

2 Materials and Methods

To evaluate the thermal impact of NIR laser light in optical imaging in human head tissues, we used finite-element analysis (FEA), using the laser radiant power and exposure time. Two quasirealistic six-layered models of neonatal and adult head tissues were simulated during irradiation by a focal infrared laser beam. To simulate the thermal interaction due to laser irradiation by different laser radiant powers and head model tissues (neonate versus adult), the bioheat diffusion model was calculated by finite-element solver COMSOL multiphysics. The resulting thermal distribution under steady-state conditions and their time profiles were investigated. As blood flow is a factor with a major impact on heat, we further investigated the influence of blood perfusion on local heating induced by laser irradiation.

2.1 Numerical Analysis and Modeling of Heat Transfer in the Tissues due to the Laser Source

Heat transfer from the laser source to the surrounding tissues was simulated by using the FEA method and bioheat equation.

This model includes heat conduction, convection through blood flow, metabolic heat generation in the tissue, and heat generation by the laser source. In this model, the tissues were assumed to be homogeneous and isotropic. The temperature distribution was obtained by solving the bioheat transfer equation:

\[
C_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (k \nabla T) + A_0 - B_0(T - T_B) + Q_{ext} \left(\frac{w}{m^2}\right),
\]

where \( T \) is the temperature (°C), \( C \) is the specific heat [J/(kg°C)], \( \rho \) is the tissue density (kg/m³), \( k \) is the thermal conductivity [J/(m s°C)], \( A_0 \) is the basic metabolic rate [J/(m²/s)], \( B_0 \) (\( \rho_0C_p0_v \)) is the blood perfusion coefficient [J/(m²/s°C)] that includes blood specific heat \( C_p \)(j/kg K), blood perfusion rate \( w_b \)(1/s), and mass density of blood \( \rho_b \)(kg/m³), \( T_B \) is the temperature of blood (°C), and \( Q_{ext} \) is the external heat source (W/m³). This equation computed the temperature distribution within the tissue at different times during laser irradiation.

To solve the bioheat equation, the boundaries of the model, except for the surface of the skin layer exposed to air, were considered to be at body temperature. At the surface in contact with air, it was assumed that heat transfer occurred as a result of free convection into air (heat transfer by radiation into the air would be negligible), which is described by

\[
n. (k \nabla T) = h(T_{ext} - T),
\]

where \( n \) is the outward normal vector, \( h \) is a heat transfer coefficient to control convective cooling to the model (W/m² K), defined as 5 W/m² K for air, \( T_{ext} \) is the external temperature defined as 24°C for air, \( T \) is the internal temperature of model, and \( k \) is the thermal conductivity (W/m K). 39, 40

2.2 Modeling the Laser Source

To simulate the heat generated by the laser irradiation (the source term \( Q_{ext} \)), a spatially Gaussian and temporally continuous laser beam was modeled perpendicular to the surface of the model to simulate an optical fiber positioned orthogonally to the surface.

Light propagation in biological tissue results from the diffusion approximation of the radiative transfer equation and is defined by:

\[
\frac{1}{c} \frac{d}{dt} \phi(r, t) - \nabla \left[ \frac{1}{3(\mu_a + \mu_t)} \right] \nabla \phi(r, t) + \mu_a \phi(r, t) = S(r, t),
\]

where \( c \) is the light speed in a vacuum, \( \mu_a \) is the absorption coefficient, \( \mu_t \) is the reduced scattering coefficient, \( \phi \) is the photon intensity, and \( S(r, t) \) is the local photon source (\( Q_{ext} = \mu_a \phi \)). The photon-diffusion equation was used to calculate photon propagation in strong-scattering media such as biological tissues. The diffusion approximation can correctly predict light transport in a region far from the laser source, where all photons are scattered at least several times. 42, 43 In skin, these conditions are only satisfied in the deeper levels of the dermis and do not apply to the epidermis, where scattering of radiation remains highly anisotropic. A skin depth of 0.4 mm was, therefore, used for further skin investigation. Different power levels were investigated and the results were compared between neonatal and...
adult head models. Dirichlet and Robin boundary conditions were applied by

\[ \phi(r, t) = 0, \quad \phi(r, t) + \frac{1}{2 \left(\mu_a + \mu_s\right)} \left(1 + R \frac{\partial \phi(r, t)}{\partial n}\right) = 0, \]

where \( n \) is the normal vector and \( (R = 0) \) is the reflection parameter.

### 2.3 Model

Two quasirealistic six-layer head models in a 3-D Cartesian \((x, y, z)\) coordinate system were simulated to solve light propagation equations in neonatal and adult head tissues, as shown in Fig. 1. The thickness of the skin, fat, skull, dura, cerebral spinal fluid (CSF), and brain was defined as shown in Table 2 for the adult and neonatal models. The minimum thickness of neonatal skin was extracted from the distribution of skin thicknesses measured in vivo as a function of gestational maturity.\(^{50}\) For the sake of simplicity, the curvature of the six layers was not considered. To assume that the temperature at the boundary of the selected region was equal to body temperature (37°C), a sufficiently large thickness (80 mm) of brain tissue was selected. The model size was defined as \((\text{radius} = 80 \text{ mm and height} = 80 \text{ mm})\), fourfold larger than the area affected by laser radiation in order to conserve the semi-infinite nature of the model.\(^{51}\) The optical source was simulated in which the laser source emits a Gaussian and temporally continuous laser beam. Different radii of laser spots \((0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 1, 3, 5, 7, 11, \text{ and } 15 \text{ mm})\) for different sources. These considerations were applied to the physics of the model.

### 2.4 Thermal and Optical Properties for Various Tissues

The tissue parameters of the model, such as thermal conductivity, density, heat capacity at constant pressure, metabolic rate, blood perfusion for the six different layers, are shown in Tables 3 and 4.\(^{52}\) The brain perfusion rate used in this study was \(0.00932 \text{ s}^{-1} \approx 0.56 \text{ min}^{-1}\), which is comparable with the value measured in vivo with ASL-fMRI.\(^{60,61}\) Gray and white matters were considered to have the same thermal properties.\(^{39}\) The effect of cerebral metabolism on brain temperature was considered to be negligible,\(^{62,63}\) allowing the metabolic rate for adults and neonates considered to be identical. Brain function and metabolic activity are indicated by oxygen concentration and glucose intake in brain cells.\(^{64,65}\)

The NIR laser wavelength in the middle of biological optical windows (600 to 900 nm) was selected for further investigation (isosbestic point: 800 nm).\(^{66}\) The scattering coefficients were acquired by the following equation:

### Table 2 Tissue thickness (mm) of six-layer adult and neonatal head models.

| Tissues  | Adult | Neonate |
|----------|-------|---------|
| Skin     | 5.54–46 | 0.50–50 |
| Fat      | 1.47±48 | 2.44±44 |
| Skull    | 7.44–46 | 0.51±49 |
| Dura     | 0.50±49 | 0.54±44 |
| CSF      | 2.44±44 | 80      |
| Brain    | 80     | 80      |

### Table 3 Thermal properties of the six layers of the head (skin, fat, skull, dura, CSF, and brain).\(^{52}\)

| Tissues  | Thermal conductivity (W/m/K) | Density (kg/m\(^3\)) | Heat capacity at constant pressure (J/(kg/K)) |
|----------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Skin     | 0.420                         | 1010                 | 3500                                        |
| Fat      | 0.250                         | 920                  | 2500                                        |
| Skull    | 0.30                          | 1810                 | 1300                                        |
| Dura\(^{53}\) | 0.44                         | 1174                 | 3364                                        |
| CSF\(^2\) | 0.57                          | 1007                 | 4096                                        |
| Brain    | 0.503                         | 1043                 | 3600                                        |
where \( a, b, \) and \( \lambda \) are scattering amplitude [related to scatterer density (cm\(^{-1}\))], scattering power (related to scatterer size distribution) and wavelength in nm, respectively. \( a \) and \( b \) coefficients for different tissues were selected according to Ref. 67. Absorption and scattering coefficients of different adult and neonatal tissues are given in Table 5. For the 800 nm wavelength, similar optical properties for neonatal and adult skin were obtained from Refs. 67 and 66, respectively.

Discrepancies were observed concerning the precise value of absorption and scattering coefficients. This difference could be derived from the discrepancy between theoretical and experimental results. In general, \( \text{in vivo} \) \( \mu_s \) and \( \mu_t \) values for human skin were significantly smaller than those obtained \( \text{in vitro} \) (about 10 and 2 times, respectively\(^1\)). For \( \mu_s \), the discrepancy may be attributed to the low sensitivity of the double-integrating sphere, and goniometric techniques were applied for \( \text{in vitro} \) measurements at weak absorption combined with strong scattering (\( \mu_s \ll \mu_t \)) and sample preparation methods.

The absorption coefficients in our study were adopted from the most recent complete reference concerning the optical properties of tissues.\(^66\) Different percentages of melanin (Melanosomes per unit volume)\(\% = 0.87\% \), 1.15\%, 1.65\% corresponding to the skin absorption coefficient \( \mu_s(\text{skin}) = 0.52, 0.65, \) and 0.88 cm\(^{-1}\) (Ref. 67) were applied in our model. A wide range of skin absorption coefficients (0.5 cm\(^{-1}\) also including 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, and 0.4 cm\(^{-1}\)\(^-\)) was investigated. In addition, different ranges (0.01, 0.05, 0.1, and 0.5 cm\(^{-1}\)) of adult brain absorption coefficients were considered.\(^\text{11}\)

### 3 Results

The first section describes the light-induced heating due to exposure from various laser powers and the distribution profile due to photothermal interactions in various types of adult and neonatal head tissues. The following sections describe the effects of blood perfusion, various skin and brain absorption and scattering coefficients, laser spot size, and different tissue thickness on the temperature distribution in head tissues. Moreover, Monte Carlo simulation was used to validate the diffusion theory.

#### 3.1 Effect of Various Laser Radiant Powers on Neonatal and Adult Head Models

Figure 2 shows the various temperature change profiles as a function of the radial distance around an optical fiber tip (laser spot size = 1 mm) in six different layers of the head models (skin, fat, skull, dura, CSF, and brain) under steady-state conditions [Fig. 2(a): adult, Fig. 2(b): neonate]. The maximum temperature increase, using NIR for laser powers between 1 and 100 mW (laser irradiance, \( I \), between 0.127 and 12.73 W/cm\(^2\)), with 10 mW increments, ranged from 0.16°C to 16.12°C (on the adult head) and 0.13°C to 13.51°C (on the neonatal head) at a skin depth of 0.4 mm. However, the maximum temperature increase on the brain for laser powers between 1 and 100 mW ranged from 0.0025°C to 0.26°C and from 0.03°C to 2.85°C at depths of 15.9 and 4.9 mm in the adult and neonatal brain, respectively. Figure 2(c) demonstrates the temperature changes at the depth of 0.4 mm with various powers: 10 to 100 mW in 10 mW increments over time.

#### 3.2 Effect on Neonatal Versus Adult Tissue

The intensity and correlative temperature changes in adult and neonatal head tissues according to the depth from the surface under steady-state conditions were evaluated in order to compare temperature distribution profiles in the adult versus the...
neonatal model (Fig. 3). For instance, Figs. 3 and 4 show the heat distributions for 3.81 W/cm² and the magnitude of laser penetration, respectively. As the skin and skull are much thinner in neonates, more light reaches the neonatal brain than the adult brain, which consequently results in a more marked temperature increase in the neonatal than in the adult brain. In the present study, using similar characteristics for neonatal and adult skin, the temperature increase was obviously the same in the two models. Due to the shorter distance of the other tissues from the surface in the neonatal head, the maximum temperature increase for 3.81 W/cm² was higher in the neonatal brain ($\Delta T = 0.85°C$) than in the adult brain ($\Delta T = 0.07°C$) (Fig. 3).

The light-distribution profiles on the brain surface for the respective models are presented in Fig. 4, which shows the comparative distributions of the normalized intensity of photons ($I/I_0$) from the laser source (30 mW) for six layers of adult (lower diagram) and neonatal head models (skin, fat, skull, dura, CSF, and brain). The depths of the brain from the skin surface were 15.9 mm for the adult model and 4.9 mm for the neonatal model. The horizontal axis represents the distance along the surface of the brain from the source. Comparison of the adult and neonatal models showed deeper scattering of light in the neonatal brain.

### 3.3 Effect of Blood Perfusion

As blood flow has a major impact on tissue temperature regulation, we simulated two different conditions (presence and...
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absence of blood perfusion) in the adult model to investigate the influence of blood perfusion on local heating induced by laser irradiation [Fig. 5(a)]. The maximum temperature increase in the brain in the presence of blood perfusion ($\Delta T = 0.07^\circ C$) was lower than in the absence of blood perfusion ($\Delta T = 0.5^\circ C$), suggesting that, based on the numerical simulation, blood perfusion decreases the temperature rise by 0.43°C for 3.81 W/cm². Figure 5(b) shows the effect of different blood perfusion and metabolic rates on induced heat distribution in neonatal brain. Doubling the blood perfusion rate decreased temperature changes in the brain by about 0.1°C [Fig. 5(b)], whereas the laser-induced heat changes in the brain when the normal adult metabolic rate (10,000 W/m³) is decreased by one half can be considered to be negligible.

3.4 Effect of Different Skin and Brain Absorption Coefficients

As accurate in vivo measurements of optical properties are not available, a wide range of these values had to be modeled. Figure 6 presents the evolution of the temperature profile as function of radial distance for different skin [Fig. 6(a)] and brain [Fig. 6(b)] absorption coefficients for 3.81 W/cm². The curves depict the temperature distribution in the skin and brain, in which a variation of the absorption coefficient ($\mu_a$): 0.1 to 0.88 cm⁻¹; $\mu_a$ (brain): 0.01 to 0.5 cm⁻¹) had a significant influence at a depth of 0.4 mm in the skin ($\Delta T = 1.8^\circ C$ to 6.9°C), but not in brain tissue ($\Delta T = 0.07100^\circ C$ to 0.7148°C).

3.5 Effect of Different Laser Spot Sizes

Laser spot size impacts the temperature profile. The effect of laser spot size on the temperature distribution in the skin and brain tissue of the adult and neonatal head models was investigated in the laser power range of 10 to 200 mW (in order to investigate the effect of higher powers on the temperature

Fig. 5 Comparative temperature changes according to the radial distance from the laser source ($I = 3.81$ W/cm²) between six layers of the head model (skin, fat, skull, dura, CSF, and brain) (a) with blood perfusion and without blood perfusion in adult and (b) the effect of different blood perfusion rates and metabolism on induced heat distribution in neonatal brain.

Fig. 6 The temperature changes according to the radial distance from the laser source ($I = 3.81$ W/cm²) with various absorption coefficients are shown; the lines correspond to different (a) skin and (b) brain absorption coefficients. (The inset shows a zoomed up region.)
profile by changing spot size). Figure 7 shows a more marked temperature decrease as the laser spot size was increased from 0.2 to 15 mm.

Figure 8 shows the temperature changes versus irradiance [Fig. 8(a), skin; Fig. 8(b), brain]. Temperature changes in the brain were 0.6059°C and 0.7182°C for the same irradiance of 0.113 W/cm² with different powers and spot sizes (150 mW, spot size = 13 mm) and (200 mW, spot size = 15 mm), respectively. Higher powers and larger spot sizes (but with the same irradiance), therefore, induced higher temperatures, implying that temperature changes depend not only on the magnitude of irradiance but also on the photon distribution (which depends on both power and spot size).

3.6 Effect of Different Tissue Thicknesses

Different thicknesses were investigated, as tissue thickness is one of the parameters that needs to be accurate, and they are estimated and variable according to different individuals and medical conditions. Figure 9 shows the analysis of temperature changes in different tissues due to variations of the thickness of individual layers. Temperature changes were not largely affected by variations of the thickness of each layer: skin (3, 4, 5, and 6 mm), fat (0.4, 1.4, 2, and 3 mm), skull (5, 6, 7, and 8 mm), and CSF (0.1, 1, 2, and 3 mm). In particular, the effect of thickness variations on deep tissues is negligible.

3.7 Validation of Diffusion Theory

The diffusion theory and the Monte Carlo approach have complementary attributes for modeling photon transport in a scattering medium. The Monte Carlo approach is precise but computationally inefficient, whereas the diffusion theory is inaccurate but computationally efficient. A trade-off must, therefore, be reached between the computational accuracy and efficiency of the two models. Using Monte Carlo improves the computational accuracy at the expense of computational efficiency. Although the diffusion theory is acceptable when the isotropic point source is situated far from the surface of the scattering medium, it becomes less accurate as the source approaches the surface (Fig. 10). To demonstrate this point, we compared the results obtained with the Monte Carlo method and the diffusion theory. In this section, we evaluate each step of the approximation described above using the accurate Monte Carlo method. The optical properties from Table 5 were used. As shown in Fig. 8, the fluence derived from the diffusion theory was only accurate when \( r \) was greater than 0.3 cm. Deviations caused by each step of the approximation are illustrated in Fig. 10. Curve \( M \) is derived from the Monte Carlo method, whereas curve \( D \) is derived from the diffusion theory.

The error due to the approximation of Fig. 10(a) (right) with Fig. 10(b) (left) is shown in Fig. 10(c). Curves \( M \) and \( D \) were calculated by the Monte Carlo method and the diffusion theory, respectively; they show relatively small systematic differences. The relative error decreased with increasing \( r \); it was >100% close to \( r = 0\% \) and 20% close to \( r = 0.35 \) cm.

4 Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to use two quasi-realistic models (six-layered neonatal versus adult head models) to quantify the temperature distribution by bioheat diffusion modeling. Furthermore, despite the use of animal models, this is the first study to use an FEA approach to...
investigate the influence of heat and fluence rate of various laser radiant powers on human head models (adult and neonatal) with blood perfusion.

4.1 Effect of Various Laser Radiant Powers on Neonatal and Adult Head Models

By ignoring the optical discontinuity and anisotropic properties of the tissues, the temperature distribution showed a higher surface temperature for a highly scattering medium during laser irradiation. Current research into laser-induced tissue damage has focused on damage generated in superficial tissues in adults.\(^2\) Ito et al. investigated the heating effect of NIR irradiation at 789 nm. A 0.101°C/mW temperature elevation was detected at a depth of 0.5 mm in the human forearm in which \(\mu_a(\text{forearm}) = 0.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}\).\(^6\)

In agreement with the results of this previous study,\(^2\) our results show a 2.7°C temperature increase at a depth of 0.4 mm in the skin [using \(\mu_a(\text{skin}) = 0.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}\), NIR light at 800 nm, and a power of 30 mW, \(I = 3.81 \text{ W/cm}^2\) ] (Fig. 6(a)]. On the other hand, for a power of 1 mW (\(I = 0.127 \text{ W/cm}^2\)), a 0.16°C temperature increase was detected at a depth of 0.4 mm in the skin [using \(\mu_a(\text{skin}) = 0.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}\)]. In our simulation, the total fluence rate, especially in the superficial area of

**Fig. 8** The effect of laser irradiance on the temperature distribution in (a) adult skin and (b) adult brain tissue.
skin, was overestimated when the diffusion approximation was used. Although the main aim of our study was to investigate thermal effects on brain tissue (situated far away from the laser source), we compared the results obtained in superficial tissue in a previous experimental study in order to validate our model.

In adults, a 10°C temperature increase is considered to be the safety limit to avoid skin injury (assuming a skin surface temperature of around 31°C). Consequently, our results show a temperature increase of up to 10°C at a power of about 50 mW (I = 6.36 W/cm²) and 60 mW (I = 7.63 W/cm²) under steady-state conditions on adult and neonatal skin, respectively.

Considering a 1-mm spot size and assuming a brain blood perfusion rate equal to 0.00166 s⁻¹, the temperature increase in the adult brain for powers ranging from 1 to 100 mW (0.127 W/cm² < I < 12.73 W/cm²) was much lower (ΔT = 0.002°C to 0.26°C) than the temperature known to induce cell injury when studied in vitro (10°C). In contrast, in the neonatal brain, the temperature increase was lower (ΔT = 0.03°C to 0.86°C) than the critical temperature (1°C) for powers ranging from 1 to 30 mW (0.127 W/cm² < I < 3.81 W/cm²) and a 1-mm spot size.

### 4.2 Effect on Neonatal Versus Adult Tissue

As expected, with an irradiance of 0.31 W/cm², the temperature increase in the neonatal brain was higher than that in the adult brain (when comparing the ΔT of each neonatal head layer with the same layer in the adult model), notably because of the thinner skin and skull in neonates, facilitating penetration of photons into the brain. Despite similar optical properties of neonatal and adult skin at 800 nm, additional factors predisposing to a more marked temperature increase are the greater transparency and lower absorption coefficient of neonatal brain tissues.

Nevertheless, the temperature increase at 3.81 W/cm² was about 4.5°C versus 4°C at a depth of 0.4 mm in skin (neonate versus adult) and 0.85°C versus 0.07°C on the brain (neonate versus adult), which is still much lower than the previously reported limit for the skin (10°C) and the brain (1°C) under steady-state conditions and also well below the safety limits adopted for laser-induced tissue injury. However, if the temperature changes very slowly (e.g., at a rate of less than 0.5°C/min), the subject may be unaware of a 4°C to 5°C change in temperature provided the skin temperature remains within the neutral thermal range of 30°C to 36°C.

### 4.3 Effect of Blood Perfusion

Blood perfusion plays a significant role in the thermal regulation of a living body. In this study, blood perfusion removed heat away from the laser source and appeared to constitute a cooling mechanism for the brain. Local heating of the model was considerably reduced when blood perfusion in the tissues was taken into account. Body fluids, transporting heat throughout the tissues, act as a convection mechanism. The present study did not consider the blood flow increase induced by vasodilatation resulting from the temperature rise, which would have further reduced laser-induced heating of the skin as a result of the normal physiological thermoregulation processes. In addition, the superficial brain is spontaneously cooled by the environment and is cooler than arterial blood. Under physiological conditions, an increase in CBF may lead to an increase in superficial brain temperature and a simultaneous decrease in deep brain temperature, emphasizing the complexity of the effects of blood perfusion rate.

Our results show that changes in cerebral blood perfusion contributed to maintain a low brain temperature.

### 4.4 Effect of Various Skin and Brain Absorption and Scattering Coefficients

Discordant values have been reported for the exact absorption and scattering coefficients. These discordant values could be due to the discrepancies between theoretical and experimental investigations. In general, in vivo μ_a and μ_s values for human skin are significantly lower than those obtained in vitro (about 10-fold and twofold lower, respectively). The discordant values for μ_a may be related to the low sensitivity of the double-integrating sphere, the goniometric techniques used for in vitro measurements at low absorption combined with strong scattering, and sample preparation methods. Consequently, in the absence of accurate absorption coefficients, these values need to be chosen cautiously, and our temperature profiles were probably overestimated as data were presented as the maximum temperature rise resulting from intentional selection of the maximum absorption coefficients available in the literature. As skin absorption is usually dominated by melanin absorption, various melanin levels in the skin have, therefore, been investigated to study the effect of darker skin. Figure 6 presents the temperature distributions with broad ranges of skin [Fig. 6(a)] and brain [Fig. 6(b)] absorption coefficients and shows that changes in skin or brain absorption coefficients had a greater impact on superficial layers closer to the source, and a lesser impact on deeper tissues. Nevertheless, as the brain is situated far from the source, this impact is limited to the brain surface [Fig. 6(b), inset].

Photons are less scattered and penetrate much more deeply for lower values of scattering coefficient, as they are able to travel over a longer distance with a greater step size before they interact with the tissue at a new position. As the value of the scattering coefficient increases, scattering increases and photons undergo frequent scattering with smaller step sizes, resulting in a more circular profile of fluence rate. For lower
scattering coefficients, photons penetrate much more deeply with intensity decreasing outward according to the radial distance. While changes in scattering coefficients can impact the photon density distribution, their effect on temperature is negligible in view of the fact that the range of scattering coefficients of these head layers is low (brain scattering coefficient, 8.74 to 12.17 cm\(^{-1}\); skin, 15.09 to 26.75 cm\(^{-1}\); fat, 8.30 to 22.12 cm\(^{-1}\); skull, 8.89 to 19.24 cm\(^{-1}\); and CSF, 0.1 to 3.2 cm\(^{-1}\)).

4.5 Effect of Various Laser Spot Sizes

The mechanisms involved in the interaction between laser and biological tissue are also intimately related to the laser characteristics (e.g., wavelength, energy density, and spot size). By increasing the beam spot size, laser light can be diffused over larger areas and, in these situations, laser power can be increased while maintaining safe levels of skin irradiation. Figure 7 shows that the temperature decreased as the laser spot size increased from 0.2 to 15 mm. This figure shows that, for a specific laser power, laser spot size should be kept above a specific limit to avoid skin temperatures exceeding safety limits (e.g., in adults, assuming a skin safety threshold of 10°C, if laser power = 100 mW, the spot size should be ≥11 mm).

In the brain, a few orders of magnitude of difference in spot size does not induce any change of brain temperature, but smaller differences in laser power cause measurable differences in brain temperature due to smaller spot size leading to higher irradiance but smaller penetration depth. Laser beam spot size
determines the depth of penetration. A larger spot size decreases scattering of light and increases the depth of penetration. A larger spot size, therefore, results in deeper penetration, whereas a smaller spot size induces more rapid scatter and more rapid decay of fluence with depth. Since the \( e^{-1} \) depth of scattered light is unclear when scattering dominates absorption \( (\mu_s \ll \mu_a) \), the exact depth of light penetration in tissue has not been determined. Therefore, by maintaining the same power, using a larger spot size \( (s \uparrow) \) decreases irradiance \( (I \downarrow) \), but increases the depth of penetration of the light \( (d \uparrow) \), resulting in insignificant temperature changes in deeper tissues \( (e.g., \text{brain}) \). By maintaining the same spot size, higher power \( (P \uparrow) \) increases irradiance \( (I \uparrow) \) and the depth of penetration of the light \( (d \uparrow) \), resulting in significant brain temperature changes. The temperature in the brain thus depends on the laser power more than on the spot size.

Figures 7(a) and 7(b) show that spot size changes at constant power have a lesser intense heating effect on deeper tissue.

Figure 8 illustrates the temperature changes versus irradiance and shows higher temperature due to higher power and higher spot size with the same irradiance. In skin tissue, for small spot sizes, cooling of surrounding nonirradiated tissue is much more effective than for a large spot size. With larger spot sizes, heat transfer from the center of the spot size cannot occur radially (sideways). Consequently, for the same level of skin irradiance, larger spots produce higher temperatures than smaller spots. This effect of higher temperatures for larger spot sizes reduces the exposure limit, since the effect of cooling means that the damage threshold does not simply depend on the skin irradiance. Exposure limits decrease with increasing spot sizes, reflecting the fact that, for the same level of irradiance, larger spots are more hazardous than smaller spots. However, this dependence of the risk threshold on spot size diameter does not apply to very large spot sizes, since the temperature profile in the center of the spot has a more or less flat profile, and this value is not affected by any further increase in the actual spot size, as the edges that are cooled radially are situated too far away from the center. Consequently, for large sources, the risk threshold depends only on the irradiance and no longer on the spot diameter.

For exposure to IR radiation of the skin lasting for several seconds, involuntary body movements and heat conduction disperse the irradiance profile over an area of at least several square millimeters \((\sim 3.5 \text{ mm})\), even when the irradiated body part is intentionally kept still, even the smaller spot sizes \((0.2 \text{ to } 1 \text{ mm})\) has been considered in our model in order to study the effect of these range of spot size \((i.e., \text{which is below the } 10^\circ C \text{ limit skin temperature at } 3 \text{ mm but not below the } 10^\circ C \text{ limit at } 1 \text{ mm at the same power})\). For the wavelength of 800 nm used in our study, with the maximum anticipated exposure time \( (\text{Table 1}) \) and an ANSI standard MPE equal to 0.3 \( \text{W/cm}^2 \), a larger power would be allowable with a larger spot size.

4.6 Systematic Model Errors

Our simulated model remains a mathematical model, meaning that errors could be come from the simplifications. These errors are essentially due to the following causes:

- The inaccuracy of the optical and thermal properties is the main point in the model’s set of equations, as these properties are essential for the accuracy of the simulated model’s outcome. Many approaches have been demonstrated to estimate these properties, but various authors have reported very discordant values reflecting the difficulty of estimating these properties. In addition, the inaccuracy is further increased by the dependency of the properties on the various parameters \((\text{temperature over time})\), resulting in a nonlinear difference.

- The error of Pennes’ bioheat equation is that it does not account for directionality of blood perfusion, which is an important factor in the energy exchange between vessels and tissue. In addition, Pennes’ equation does not consider the local vascular geometry. While Pennes’ bioheat model is based on incorrect anatomical views about the temperature distribution of blood through the tissues, it is still universally employed and its relative accuracy in tissue situated away from large vessels which introduce local convection has been confirmed.

- Another error is related to computer performance limitations: accessible memory, the size of mesh nodes used to make the model. Our simulated model was established on the basis of the above conditions, with COMSOL standard refining processes at the crucial areas \((\text{around the heat source})\).

- Absolute numeric tolerance: Whole numerical approaches have a permitted error \((\text{absolute numeric tolerance})\) that expresses the reference point of the convergence. Different solvers commonly use different absolute tolerances. In our model, we used the COMSOL default tolerance value of 0.01 which leads to a final error of 1%, considered to be a reasonable criterion for modeling.

- Diffusion theory limit: Despite the fact that diffusion theory suggests a fast approach, it is not valid close to the light source or at the boundary where the photon intensity is strongly anisotropic. This is due to the fact that, at short distances, the radiance rate is not linearly anisotropic and the basic assumptions required for the diffusion approximation to the Boltzman transport equation are not satisfied. On the other hand, strong absorption prevents photons from engaging in an extended random walk and the approximation \( \mu_r = \mu_s \) becomes insufficient. The diffusion approximation is, therefore, only valid in highly scattering media \((i.e., \mu_s \ll \mu_a)\) and when the point of interest is situated far from sources or boundaries.

- The simulated head model consists of six types of tissues. However, the sophisticated geometry of the tissue structure is ignored and tissue layers are parallel to each other. In a real head, the thickness of superficial tissue, such as the scalp and skull, is not uniform and the brain surface is folded with sulci. The thickness of the skull is known to vary significantly around the head and between individuals. In addition, the thickness of the CSF can vary because the brain can move to a limited degree within the skull; this change is more prominent in the neonatal head. Moreover, there is a relationship between skin thickness and the neonate’s gestational maturity.

5 Conclusion

A laser–tissue interaction model was developed to predict the spatial dynamic changes in temperature rise during laser
exposure of human head tissues. We describe the bases necessary to calculate the effects of the temperature changes caused by the absorption of light energy in the tissues, using the bioheat equation and including the cooling effects of blood perfusion in tissue in order to model the photothermal interaction of NIR laser on human tissue.

The temperature changes of the radiated zone calculated from our simulation and in vitro experiments presented a small deviation. Two of the main reasons for this deviation are the lack of accurate values of the tissue optical properties and diffusion approximation theory in superficial surfaces.

Further studies under different conditions are necessary to achieve full agreement with in vivo data and, if necessary, define error correction factors to be added to the equation set. However, this would not eliminate the need for precise values for the optical and thermal properties of the tissue. On the other hand, our model remains practical, as it introduces a step in using simulated head tissues as a basis for much more detailed NIR laser photothermal interaction experiments.

The results presented in this work should be useful to optimize laser spot size and power for a variety of laser applications of functional imaging systems (e.g., DCS which need NIR light with relatively high laser power). A combination of simulation and adequate light with relatively high laser power). A combination of simulation and adequate light with relatively high laser power). A combination of simulation and adequate light with relatively high laser power). A combination of simulation and adequate light with relatively high laser power). A combination of simulation and adequate light with relatively high laser power). A combination of simulation and adequate light with relatively high laser power). A combination of simulation and adequate light with relatively high laser power). A combination of simulation and adequate light with relatively high laser power). A combination of simulation and adequate light with relatively high laser power). A combination of simulation and adequate light with relatively high laser power). A combination of simulation and adequate light with relatively high laser power). A combination of simulation and adequate light with relatively high laser power). A combination of simulation and adequate light with relatively high laser power).
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