Bioactive calcium phosphate–based glasses and ceramics and their biomedical applications: A review
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Abstract
An overview of the formation of calcium phosphate under in vitro environment on the surface of a range of bioactive materials (e.g. from silicate, borate, and phosphate glasses, glass-ceramics, bioceramics to metals) based on recent literature is presented in this review. The mechanism of bone-like calcium phosphate (i.e. hydroxyapatite) formation and the test protocols that are either already in use or currently being investigated for the evaluation of the bioactivity of biomaterials are discussed. This review also highlights the effect of chemical composition and surface charge of materials, types of medium (e.g. simulated body fluid, phosphate-buffered saline and cell culture medium) and test parameters on their bioactivity performance. Finally, a brief summary of the biomedical applications of these newly formed calcium phosphate (either in the form of amorphous or apatite) is presented.
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Introduction
There is a high need for smart off-the-shelf materials that are capable of confirming to the shape of tissues being replaced, modulating cellular function and promoting tissue regeneration. These materials could potentially provide morphological1–3 or biochemical cues4,5 that guide cellular interaction which is essential for tissue regeneration. Examples of these smart materials include bioactive glasses and ceramics that undergo specific surface reactions when incubated in simulated body fluid (SBF)6 or implanted in animal or human body7 leading to the formation of hydroxyapatite (HA) layer that forms a strong bond with the host tissues. They are osteoinductive and osteoconductive; therefore, they attracted much interest in bone tissue engineering.8 They can also be used for soft tissue regeneration9 and drug delivery applications.10

Bioactive glasses
These glasses are amorphous and can be prepared either by melt–quench or sol–gel process.11 The sol–gel technology allows for incorporation of biomolecules, proteins or drugs that can be delivered in situ.12,13 Generally, these glasses can be tailored to release metallic ions that have antimicrobial14,15 or angiogenic potentials16,17 They can also be prepared as solid or nano-/micro-/macro-porous scaffolds18 with highly ordered, controlled pore size and pores interconnectivity.19 The porous configuration is necessary for cell migration, angiogenesis and tissue infiltration; this further enhances the bond to the host tissues.20 Functionalisation
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of the scaffold with amino or carboxylic groups \(^{21}\) or loading anti-osteoporotic drugs (e.g. ipriflavone) \(^{22}\) could also be achieved to further improve bone regeneration. Microspheres can also be prepared \(^{23}\) using different techniques (flame spheroidisation, \(^{24}\) laser \(^{25}\) and thermally induced phase separation and/or oil-in-water processing \(^{26}\) ) for various applications such as radiotherapy, \(^{27}\) drug delivery \(^{28}\) and tissue engineering. \(^{26}\)

Under this category, silicate, phosphate and borate glasses will be discussed.

**Silicate-based glasses.** Silicate glasses are mainly based on SiO\(_2\) (the glass network former); other modifying oxides are also included as Na\(_2\)O, CaO and P\(_2\)O\(_5\) to adjust the properties of the produced glass. These oxides are usually included in specific molar ratios to produce a biologically active glass. To improve the bioactivity of these glasses, three important compositional features, including (1) SiO\(_2\) content <60 mol\%, (2) high Na\(_2\)O and CaO content and (3) high CaO/P\(_2\)O\(_5\) ratio, \(^{20}\) must be fulfilled. During the glass preparation, a spontaneous crystallisation is undesirable as it reduces the rate of HA formation on its surface. \(^{28}\) The rate of HA layer formation is highly dependent on the degradation of glass. Accordingly, the presence of Na\(_2\)O and other alkali or alkaline earth metals increases the rate of HA layer formation. The presence of high silica content or multivalent ions, for example, boron and aluminium, reduces the rate of glass breakdown and hence the apatite layer formation rate. \(^{29}\) Due to their texture (pore size/volume and high surface area), sol–gel-produced glasses showed higher bioactivity than the melt-quenched counterparts. \(^{11}\) Furthermore, using the sol–gel technique, the silica content can be increased from 60 mol\% in melt-quenched to 85 mol\% in sol–gel without reducing the bioactivity. \(^{30}\)

A family of silicate-based glasses have been used for dental and orthopaedic applications \(^{29}\) since 1960s under the commercial name of 45S5 Bioglass™. This formulation has been used as a benchmark for measuring the properties of new silicate glass compositions. When doped with boron, 45S5 Bioglass showed angiogenic potential caused by their ionic dissolution products. \(^{17,31}\) Boron \(^{32}\) and silver \(^{14}\) also induced antibacterial action to bioactive silicate glasses.

Silicate-based glasses, however, require high melting temperature during their manufacture, and the addition of various metal oxides to reduce the melting temperature could adversely affect the glass bioactivity. The compositional range and form of this glass is also limited. The degradation of this glass often takes 1–2 years to totally degrade. \(^{11}\) and the long-term effect of silica is still questionable. \(^{33}\) Fabrication of fibrous scaffold from this glass is also difficult. The search for new bioactive materials that could overcome the limitations of silicate-based glasses has led to the emergence of phosphate and borate-based glasses as alternatives. These glasses can be easily formed without significant crystallisation during their preparation.

**Phosphate-based glasses.** This class of glasses is mainly based on P\(_2\)O\(_5\) (the glass network former), Na\(_2\)O and CaO. Other modifying oxides, for example, CuO, \(^{15}\) ZnO, \(^{34}\) Ag\(_2\)O, \(^{35}\) Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), \(^{36}\) TiO\(_2\), \(^{37}\) and SrO, \(^{38}\) can also be included to induce a specific property, function or different biological response. \(^{39–41}\) Unlike silicate glasses, the phosphate tetrahedral has one terminal oxygen; this reduces the network connectivity and hence the rigidity but increases the compositional range of the produced glasses. \(^{32}\) Unlike vitreous silica, P\(_2\)O\(_5\) is chemically unstable; addition of metal oxides improves its stability. \(^{43}\) The degradation of these glasses varies from hours to years according to the composition and intended applications.

These glasses can be prepared in different forms including discs, \(^{44–46}\) microtubes, \(^{47}\) microspheres, \(^{48,49}\) and fibres. \(^{36,41,49}\) Fibres can be used as cell transportation and expansion device, \(^{46}\) nerve conduit \(^{50}\) or as a scaffold for muscle regeneration. \(^{9}\) Fibres with antibacterial properties (e.g. copper-containing) can be produced as wound dressing meshes for the treatment of leg ulcers and severe burns. \(^{15}\) The phosphate glass fibres have an intriguing ability to form microtubes; therefore, they can be incorporated within various polymers to help in diffusion of nutrients and ingrowth of vascularisation when used as scaffolds for soft and hard tissue regeneration. \(^{51}\)

Phosphate glass microspheres were also prepared \(^{23}\) for radiotherapy \(^{27}\) applications. The morphology of microspheres provides a stable surface for cells to attach and proliferate \(^{24}\) and prevent tissue damage and haemorrhage when used for radiotherapy. \(^{27}\) The spherical morphology would provide large interstitial spaces that can be consistent and quantifiable for cell growth and proliferation than randomly shaped particles when packed into perfusion bioreactors. \(^{24}\)

**Borate-based glasses.** Using borate (BO\(_3\)^{3−}) in the glass network provides faster degrading glasses with rapid and complete conversion into HA than silicate-based glasses. \(^{8}\) Controlling the boron content tailors the degradation rate of these glasses. \(^{52}\) Boron has also beneficial action on bone remodelling and repair. \(^{53}\) Furthermore, the presence of boron may reduce the possibility of bacterial infection through its antimicrobial action. \(^{32}\) An example of borate-based glasses is D-AlK-B (double alkali borate) glass, based on Na\(_2\)O–K\(_2\)O–MgO–CaO–SiO\(_2\)--P\(_2\)O\(_5\)–B\(_2\)O\(_3\) system. \(^{34}\)

These glasses supported in vitro cell proliferation \(^{55}\) and in vivo tissue formation; \(^{56}\) they could also be used as drug delivery vehicles. \(^{57}\) However, the main concern with these glasses is their potential toxicity. \(^{8}\) The degradation products of certain concentration produced an inhibitory effect on the growth of goat bone marrow stromal cells. Adjusting
the pH of the glass extract and reducing the concentration of boron to be less than 2.96 mM were observed to stimulate the cell proliferation. Adjusting the boron content to get a reasonable cellular response may jeopardise the bioactivity of these glasses. The toxicity could also be reduced by dynamic culture conditions.

**Ceramics**

Another class of bioactive materials include calcium phosphate (CaP)-based ceramics (i.e. crystalline materials), for example, HA, β-tricalcium phosphate (β-TCP) and biphasic CaP (a mixture of HA and β-TCP).

HA can be produced as solid or porous materials. The porous configuration with pores <10 µm in diameter is essential for circulation of body fluids and those >100 µm are essential for colonisation of target cells. HA is normally sintered above 1000°C in a granular or block form; after sintering, it cannot be reshaped (if they are present in block form) to fit the defect and it is non-degradable. β-TCP, however, is degradable. The degradation of biphasic CaP is highly dependent on the ratio of its components; the higher the β-TCP content, the faster the degradation. Generally, the degradation of CaP ceramics varies according to their type, porosity, surface area (granular vs blocks) and degree of crystallinity (high crystallinity means low degradation).

Injectable CaP ceramics are also available. They can be easily delivered through a minimally invasive method into the defect as aqueous-based pastes. They then set, fill the defect and support tissue regeneration over time. This allows for their use as drug delivery vehicle or treating a defect and support tissue regeneration over time. Injectable CaP ceramics are also available. They can be easily delivered through a minimally invasive method into the defect as aqueous-based paste. They then set, fill the defect and support tissue regeneration over time. Injectable CaP ceramics are also available. They can be easily delivered through a minimally invasive method into the defect as aqueous-based paste. They then set, fill the defect and support tissue regeneration over time.

**Glass-ceramic materials**

Glass-ceramics are partially crystallised glasses that are produced by heating the parent glass above its crystallisation temperature. Unlike spontaneous surface crystallisation, which is undesirable during glass manufacturing, the crystallisation process is controlled. As a result, the produced glass-ceramics contain one or more crystalline phases embedded in a residual glassy phase. The bioactivity of glass-ceramics is highly dependent on proportion and type of crystals formed during crystallisation process. Controlled crystallisation yields dense, strong materials with unusual combinations of properties when compared with their parent glasses.

A common example of glass-ceramics is apatite/wollastonite (A-W) that has improved mechanical properties than their parent glass. Due to their micro-nanostructure and improved mechanical properties, these glass-ceramics could be promising matrices for bone regeneration, for example, intramedullary plug in total hip replacement. Surface functionalisation of glass-ceramics with lysine improved their cytocompatibility.

Regardless of the most obvious advantages of these bioactive glasses and ceramics, their brittle nature remains a big challenge particularly with the production of porous scaffolds. The expected reduction in strength associated with the degradation of the scaffold is also another challenge that requires careful consideration during scaffolds’ designing.

**Mechanism of apatite formation**

Glass composition, surface charge, types of the medium (supersaturated solutions) and test conditions are the most influencing factors that affect the nucleation of apatite onto bioactive materials. The mechanisms of bioactivity for various bioactive materials (such as silicate, borate glasses and some metals) have been described in detail elsewhere. Among them, the mechanism of hydroxycarbonate apatite (HCA) layer formation on the surface of silicate-based glass (especially, 45S5 bioglass) implant has been most widely investigated. As the bioactivity of glasses mainly depends on the compositions of bioactive materials, a bone-bonding compositional diatomic diagram of silicate glass system (SiO2-CaO-Na2O-P2O5) has been proposed by Hench and colleagues (as presented in Figure 1). The diagram suggested that the glasses with composition fall within the region A are bioactive and hence can induce bonding with the bone, whereas compositions in region B are nearly bioinert. Compositions in region C are highly resorbable (10–30 days) and those fall within the region D do not form glass. Therefore, selection of proper compositions which in turn regulates the surface activity of the glass materials is important to understand the mechanism of apatite formation when tested in vitro to evaluate the in vivo bone-bonding capacity of bioactive materials. For example, a comparative study between in vivo bone ingrowth and in vitro apatite formation in SBF was investigated using Na2O-CaO-SiO2 glass system which reported that the induction period for apatite formation on the glass surface in SBF increased with increasing SiO2 content (from 50 to 70 mol%) which was well correlated with the results obtained from the in vivo bone ingrowth study.

Apatite formation on the surface of bioactive glasses occurs through a sequence of chemical reactions when immersed in SBF. A schematic illustration of the reaction sequence leading to HCA formation according to Hench and colleagues has been described in Figure 2. First two stages involve the ion exchange reactions between the
modifier ions of glass network (like Ca$^{2+}$ and Na$^+$) and H$^+$ ions from the medium (SBF) which promote the hydrolysis of silica groups and followed by formation of silanol (Si–OH) groups on the glass surface. At stage 3, condensation and polymerisation of SiO$_2$-rich layer take place on the surface, whereas stage 4 implies migration of Ca$^{2+}$ and PO$_4^{3-}$ ions from the glass network and also from SBF medium to the SiO$_2$-rich layer leading to the formation of amorphous calcium phosphate (ACP) layer. At the final stage, uptake of additional ions from medium such as OH$^-$, CO$_3^{2-}$ and Na$^+$ into the ACP layer promotes the conversion of ACP into HCA via crystallisation.

The release of calcium ions in combination with phosphorous ions was reported to help in deposition of apatite layer on the glass surface according to the mechanism mentioned above. For example, large amount of Ca$^{2+}$ ion released from CaO–SiO$_2$–TiO$_2$ glass was found to form apatite layer on its surface within a day of immersion in SBF which was suggested to be due to increase of ionic activity during the apatite nucleation process. Several researchers also investigated the effect of Mg$^{2+}$ ions on the bioactivity study and suggested that trace amounts of Mg$^{2+}$ ions could enhance in vivo bone formation and adhesion of osteoblast cells on the glass surface. For example, MgO content up to ~17 wt% in MgO–3CaO·P$_2$O$_5$–SiO$_2$ glass system was reported to promote CaP-rich layer formation and rapid mineralisation on the surface when immersed in SBF.

Borate-based bioactive glasses (e.g. 46.1B$_2$O$3$–24.4Na$_2$O–26.9CaO in mol%) follow the same mechanism of HA layer formation as described for silicate-based glasses except for the formation of SiO$_2$-rich layer. The faster dissolution rate of the borate glass when compared to the silicate glass (due to their lower chemical durability) is considered as the main reason behind the fast deposition rate of HA-like material on surfaces of borate glasses. The conversion mechanisms of borate glass into HA in phosphate solution are illustrated in Figure 3. When borate glasses are immersed in a dilute phosphate solution, dissolution of Na$^+$ and BO$_3^{3-}$ ions from the glass structure into the solution occurs first. Then, PO$_4^{3-}$ ions from the medium are assumed to react with Ca$^{2+}$ ions leading to nucleation and growth of HA. The process is supposed to be continued until the glass is completely converted to HA.

A comparative study on bioactivity of a borate (45B5) and silicate (45S5) glasses was carried out by Liang et al., where borate glass was found to react faster (more than five times) than silicate glasses in a solution of 0.25M K$_2$HPO$_4$ (pH = 9). HA layer was seen to form on borate glass within 24h, whereas HA layer was not visible on
silicate glass even after 7 days of immersion in the same medium. In addition, the conversion of borate glass into HA layer was reported to be completed within 4 days (in 20 mM K$_2$HPO$_4$ solution), while silicate glass (45S5) was seen to convert to HA partially (~50%) after 70 days of test period. For example, HA reaction product formed on the surface of silicate (SiO$_2$-46.1, CaO-26.9, Na$_2$O-24.4, P$_2$O$_5$-2.6 in mol\%) and borate (B$_2$O$_3$-46.1, CaO-26.9, Na$_2$O-24.4, P$_2$O$_5$-2.6 in mol\%) glasses after immersion in dilute K$_2$HPO$_4$ solution (20 mM) had a layered structure (as presented in Figure 4(a) and (b)).

Bioceramics such as wollastonite and pseudowollastonite have been revealed faster apatite formation in SBF compared to other bioglasses and glass-ceramics. The mechanism of apatite formation on wollastonite in SBF was suggested to be due to the negative surface charge of the functional group (=Si–O–) on the ceramic surface rather than the dissolution of calcium ions into SBF. Various types of sintered bioceramics such as HA and α-tricalcium phosphate (α-TCP) were seen to form apatite layer on their surface after 24 h when immersed in SBF. The effect of pH (6.5 and 7.4) and the concentration of HCO$_3^-$ ions (4.2 and 27 mM) in modified SBF (m-SBF) were also reported to have influence on the bioactivity response of bioceramics (e.g. commercial HA Captal®). No mineralisation was detected on the HA surface when tested in m-SBF at the pH of 6.5. However, HCA layer was seen to form on the HA surface in m-SBF at pH 7.4 and a thicker HCA layer was observed on the HA substrate that immersed in m-SBF containing higher HCO$_3^-$ ions.

In case of metal substrate (e.g. bioinert titanium, Ti), the surface charge and the pH of the medium play the vital role in the apatite formation. It has been previously reported that Ti and its alloys were found to form apatite when treated with basic (NaOH) or acidic (H$_2$SO$_4$/HCl) solution. Ti and its alloys display a certain level of positive and negative zeta potential when exposed to a strong acidic or basic solution, followed by a subsequent heat treatment. A schematic illustration of apatite formation mechanism on the positively and negatively charged Ti metal is described in Figure 5. When the positively charged Ti substrate is immersed into SBF, the negatively charged phosphate ions from the medium are assumed to first accumulate on its surface leading to creation of negatively charged surface. As a result, positively charged calcium ions (from medium) are migrated to the negatively charged surface in order to form a CaP layer prior to crystallisation into apatite layer, as shown in Figure 5(a). On the other hand, the Ti surface is expected to form a sodium titanate layer after alkali (in NaOH) and heat treatments. Afterwards, the sodium titanate exchanges Na$^+$ ions with

---

**Figure 3.** Schematic illustration of the mechanisms of conversion of borate (3B: B$_2$O$_3$-46.1, CaO-26.9, Na$_2$O-24.4, P$_2$O$_5$-2.6 in mol\%) and silicate (SiO$_2$-46.1, CaO-26.9, Na$_2$O-24.4, P$_2$O$_5$-2.6 in mol\%) glass to HA in a dilute phosphate solution. Adapted with permission from Huang et al.

---

**Figure 4.** SEM images showing the reaction products for (a) silicate and (b) borate glasses after immersion in dilute K$_2$HPO$_4$ solution (20 mM). Adapted with permission from Huang et al.
the H$_3$O$^+$ ions (from SBF) to form Ti–OH on the surface which leads to an increase in pH due to the consumption of H$_3$O$^+$ ions from medium and releasing Na$^+$ ions to the medium. Consequently, Ti metal that carries a negative charge would initially attract calcium cations followed by phosphate anions to form CaP layer, as shown in Figure 5(b). Therefore, Ti–OH on the surface seems to induceapatite nucleation. For example, the bone-like apatite layer was reported to form on the NaOH (5M) and heat (600°C for 1h)-treated Ti metal after immersion in SBF for 10 days. Likewise, alkali-treated titanium-based alloys such as Ti-6Al-4V, Ti-6Al-2Nb-Ta and Ti-15Mo-SZr-3Al were also reported to promote bone-like apatite deposition on their surfaces in SBF following the same mechanism (see Figure 5). Apatite formation on the surface of titanium has also been enhanced after acid treatment as well as by producing a negative surface charge via the light illumination of SBF with mercury lamp. Ti substrates without any treatment possess no surface charge; therefore, when exposed to SBF, they can only form a CaP layer on their surface which does not bond to the bone.

Phosphate-based glasses (PBGs) have attracted huge interest in the field of biomaterials and tissue engineering due to their chemical similarity with the inorganic component of the natural bone and controllable degradation profile. However, very few literatures have examined the bioactivity of PBGs. The presence of TiO$_2$ in phosphate glasses is reported to induce CaP nucleation and improve their bioactivity. Ti-doped PBGs were investigated for bioactivity and an intermediate hydrated titania layer (0.5–2µm Ti–OH layer/gel) was observed to form in SBF which played an important role in the formation of apatite. Another bioactivity study on xCaO–(90-x)P$_2$O$_5$–10TiO$_2$ glasses was suggested that the formulations containing 35 and 40 mol% of P$_2$O$_5$ did not support any apatite layer deposition even after 30 days of immersion in SBF. On the other hand, apatite layer was found to form on the phosphate invert glasses, containing 30 mol% of P$_2$O$_5$, after 20 days of immersion in SBF. This was suggested to be due to the release of relatively small amount of phosphate ions from lower P$_2$O$_5$ containing glasses which promoted the apatite nucleation.

Apatite formation also depends on the basicity of the gel layer formed on the glasses and the amount of the functional groups present for HA nucleation in the layer. The basicity of the gel layer can be enhanced via the addition of Na$_2$O. The high amount of Na$_2$O and CaO as well as the relatively higher ratio of CaO/P$_2$O$_5$ would provide highly reactive surface of bioactive glasses in physiological environment which would eventually facilitate apatite formation. Hydrated gel layers such as Si–OH, Ti–OH, Ta–OH, Zr–OH, Nb–OH, –COOH and PO$_4$H$_2$ groups are proved to provide nucleation sites for HA in SBF.

Apart from apatite formation, several other possible phases of calcium orthophosphates such as ACP, brushite CaHPO$_4$·2H$_2$O (DCPD), monetite CaHPO$_4$(DCPA) or octacalcium phosphate (OCP) can be formed in SBF depending on the experimental conditions of formation and state.
of ageing.\(^{117}\) These calcium orthophosphates except ACP are more stable than HA in acidic conditions\(^{118}\) and at the later stages, all of the phases would be converted into HA in various pathways.\(^{119}\)

**Test protocols for in vitro bioactivity experiment**

In vivo bioactivity of a material can be predicted from its ability to form apatite in SBF and/or other similar types of supersaturated medium.\(^6\) A vast number of work have been focused so far on the effect of composition and morphology of glass and ceramic materials on their in vitro dissolution rate and apatite-forming ability. Various protocols with respect to the types of medium (e.g. SBF, phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), TRIS, K\(_2\)HPO\(_4\), Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM)), morphology of materials (powder, pellets, discs), surface area to volume ratio and the test condition (static, dynamic) have been considered for the in vitro bioactivity experiment (summarised in Table 1).

The effect of different solutions on dissolution of bioactive materials has been studied.\(^{137-141}\) In the early 1980s, TRIS buffer was used to evaluate theapatite-forming ability of glass and glass-ceramic materials.\(^{142-144}\) Later, in 1990, Kokubo et al.\(^{121}\) developed the simulated solution which reproduced in vivo surface structure changes of glass-ceramics A-W more precisely than TRIS. The pH of simulated solutions is maintained with respect to the types of medium (e.g. SBF, phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), TRIS, K\(_2\)HPO\(_4\), Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM)), morphology of materials (powder, pellets, discs), surface area to volume ratio and the test condition (static, dynamic) have been considered for the in vitro bioactivity experiment (summarised in Table 1).

The pH of simulated solutions is maintained using TRIS of HEPES buffers. However, these buffers were seen unable to maintain the neutral pH of SBF during in vitro test.\(^{140}\) SBF is a supersaturated solution containing similar ionic concentrations of inorganic parts of human blood plasma (presented in Table 2).\(^{145}\) However, it has higher Cl\(^{-}\) ions and lower HCO\(_3\)\(^{-}\) ion concentration than those of the blood plasma. In 2001, Helebrant et al.\(^{129}\) investigated the apatite formation on 4SSS bio-glass using a series of SBF solutions with increasing HCO\(_3\)\(^{-}\) ion concentration up to the value close to blood plasma and suggested that the SBF with increased amount of HCO\(_3\)\(^{-}\) ions is more appropriate for in vitro bioactivity testing of biomaterials. Oyane et al.\(^{146}\) also prepared the revised SBF (r-SBF) and modified SBF (m-SBF) which contained the ion concentrations equal or close to those in blood plasma (except for HCO\(_3\)\(^{-}\) ion concentrations in m-SBF).\(^{147}\) In terms of stability, r-SBF and m-SBF were seen to remain stable, no change in ion concentrations and pH value, up to 2 and 8 weeks, respectively, when stored in sealed containers at 36.5°C.

In addition to SBF, cell culture medium (DMEM) were also used for bioactivity testing, and it has been found that the non-buffered DMEM solution containing an organic phase was not suitable for bioactivity test.\(^{148}\) DMEM contains lower concentration of Ca\(^{2+}\) ions but higher concentration of HCO\(_3\)\(^{-}\) ions compared to blood plasma which leads to formation of CaCO\(_3\) instead of apatite.\(^{148}\) However, recently Popa et al.\(^{149}\) studied the in vitro bioactivity of BG films (SiO\(_2\) 38.5, CaO 36.1, P\(_2\)O\(_5\) 5.6, MgO 15.2, ZnO 4, and CaF\(_2\) 0.6 in mol%) in different medium (namely SBF, DMEM, DMEM supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum) and found that bioactivity test in DMEM supplemented with proteins under homeostatic conditions was more appropriate than that in SBF. They also suggested an unique bioactivity testing protocol utilising specific surface area to medium volume ratio (Sa/V = 0.5 cm\(^2\)/mL) for the materials with different shapes and dimensions including bulk objects, thin films, powder and scaffolds.\(^{149}\)

PBS medium was also used in in vitro studies for bioactive glass (4SSS) containing polymer (poly-l-lactic acid, PLLA and polyactic-co-glycolic acid, PLGA) composites.\(^{150,151}\) It was found that the formation of apatite on the glass surface was faster in PBS than SBF or TRIS.\(^{139}\) Fagerlund et al.\(^{139}\) investigated the dissolution of bioactive glasses (4SSS, S53P4, 13-93) in PBS and reported that pH of the solution increased when alkaline and alkaline earth ions dissipated from the glasses. The release of silica ions and CaP precipitation also increased at higher pH. They also found that the CaP layer formed quickly due to the higher concentration of phosphorus ions in PBS.\(^{139}\) In vitro bioactivity of glasses especially for borate glasses has been evaluated in aqueous K\(_2\)HPO\(_4\) medium.\(^{57,92,131,152}\) This medium was used to save the experimental time through the reaction of available HPO\(_4\)\(^{2-}\) and OH\(^{-}\) ions in K\(_2\)HPO\(_4\) solution with glasses.\(^{92}\)

Apart from the use of various media, other factors such as geometry of the test specimen, surface area to volume ratio and test conditions are also key to justify the test protocol. Therefore, an ISO standard (ISO/23317:2014(E): Implants for surgery – In vitro evaluation for apatite-forming ability of implant materials) has been proposed to conduct the in vitro bioactivity test.\(^{135}\) The ISO standard suggested to use acellular and protein-free SBF solution buffered with TRIS. The standard also suggested the dimension and shape of test specimen only for bulk compact inorganic materials (solid disc and rectangular block) with a defined sample surface area to SBF volume ratio (V\(_{\text{SBF}}\) = 100 × S\(_{\text{s}}\); where V\(_{\text{SBF}}\) is the volume of SBF and S\(_{\text{s}}\) is the surface area of glass). However, this ratio is not defined for the materials in other forms of biomaterials such as powder and porous scaffolds. Moreover, the ISO standard stated the static testing condition, whereas some literatures conducted dynamic condition to mimic the in vivo environment.\(^{153-156}\)

Recently, the members of the Technical Committee 4 (TC04) of the International Commission on Glass (ICG) have been proposed unified method (modified version of ISO standard) for testing the bioactivity of glass particles (45–90 µm), particularly those of high surface area.\(^{136}\) The modified method suggested use of fixed mass per solution volume ratio (75 mg in 50 mL) with agitation (120 r/min).
Table 1. Parameters and test protocols that have been used to investigate the bioactivity of various biomaterials.

| Materials | Medium | Geometry | Surface area or mass/vol | Condition | Length of study | Comments | Ref |
|-----------|--------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------|-----|
| Silicate glass (45S5) | SBF | Block (1 × 1.5 × 0.2 cm$^3$) | $S/V = 0.05$ cm$^{-1}$ | Static | 14 days | HA formed (2 days) | Helebrant et al.$^{120}$ |
| Glass-ceramics (A-W) | SBF | Block (22 × 40 × 2 mm$^3$) | 200 mL | – | 30 days | HA formed (7 days) | Kokubo et al.$^{121}$ |
| Silicate glass (45S5/S53P4/S68) | SBF/TRIS/Na-PBS | Block (20 × 15 × 1.5 mm$^3$) | $S/V = 0.4$ cm$^{-1}$ | Solution was replenished after 7 days | 14 days | CaP layer formed on 45S5/S53P4 (24 h) and S68 (7 days) | Varila et al.$^{122}$ |
| Borate glass 15Na$_2$O–15CaO–xB$_2$O$_3$–(70-x)P$_2$O$_5$ | SBF | Particles (106–180 µm) | 500 mg in 50 mL | – | 30 days | HA formation increased with increasing B$_2$O$_3$ content | Abo-Naf et al.$^{123}$ |
| Silicate glass (37CaO–58SiO$_2$–5P$_2$O$_5$) | SBF | Powder (<20 mm particles) | 600 mg in 1 L | Stirring (100 r/min) | 30 days | HCA formed (24 h) | Turdean-Ionescu et al.$^{124}$ |
| Silicate glass (46S6) | SBF | Disc (13 mm diameter × 5 mm thick) | 30 mL | Agitation | 30 days | HA formed (1 day) | Bui et al.$^{125}$ |
| Bioceramics (A-W; BG; HA; HA/TCP; α-TCP; β-TCP) | SBF | Cube (5 × 5 × 5 mm$^3$) | 200 mL | – | – | OCP formed (1 day) on all bioceramics except on β-TCP | Xin et al.$^{98}$ |
| Calcium aluminate (CA), glass ionomer cement (GIC), CA/GIC hybrid | PBS | Block (22 × 15 × 4 mm$^3$) | 50 mL | PBS was changed once a week | 28 days | HA formed on CA (24 h) and CA/GIC hybrid (7 days) | Lööf et al.$^{126}$ |
| Silicate glass 41.75SiO$_2$–(44.14-X)CaO–X MgO–3.13ZnO–5.2 Na$_2$O–K$_2$O–4.7 P$_2$O$_5$ | SBF and Tris-buffer | Powder (<45 µm) | 75 mg in 50 mL | Agitated using mechanical shaker | 30 days | HA formed on non-magnesium containing glasses by 7 days in both SBF and Tris-buffer whereas HA formed on Mg containing glasses after 1 month in SBF but not in Tris-buffer | Al-Noaman et al.$^{127}$ |
| Bioceramics (HA) | SBF | Particles (<5 mm) | 50 mg in 120 mL | – | 120 h | HA formed (12 h) | Kim et al.$^{128}$ |
| Glass-ceramics (A-W) | SBF | Block (22 × 40 × 2 mm$^3$) | 200 mL | – | 60 days | HA formed (7 days) | Kokubo et al.$^{129}$ |
| Glass-ceramic (Ceravital) | SBF | Block (15 × 10 × 1 mm$^3$) | 35 mL | – | 20 days | HCA formed (1 day) | Ohtsuki et al.$^{130}$ |
| Borate glass (45S5) | K$_2$HPO$_4$ (0.25 M) | Disc (15-mm diameter and 3-mm thick) | – | – | 14 days | HA formed (1 day) | Liang et al.$^{131}$ |
| Borate glass (36–61 mol% B$_2$O$_3$) | SBF/K$_2$HPO$_4$ (0.25 M) | Powder (25–75 µm) | 1.5 mg/mL ratio | Gentle agitation | 7 days | HCA formed (6 h) | Lepry and Nazhat.$^{13}$ |
| Borate glass | K$_2$HPO$_4$ (0.2 M) | Disc (5-mm diameter × 5-mm thick) | 100 mL | Static | 7 days | HA formed (6 days) | Liang et al.$^{132}$ |
| Silicate glass (58S) | DMEM | Particles (20–40 µm) | 75 mg in 50 mL | Solution was changed at 6 h, 24 h, and 2 days | 3 days | HCA formed (3 days) | Theodorou et al.$^{133}$ |
| Titanium alloy (Ti6Al4V) | DMEM | Block (10 × 10 × 1 mm$^3$) | 40 mL | – | 360 h | HA formed (360 h) | Faure et al.$^{134}$ |
| ISO/23317:2014(E) | DMEM | Disc (10-mm diameter × 2-mm thick), Block (10 × 10 × 2 mm$^3$) | $V_s =$ Sa/10 mL ratio | Static | 30 days | Apatite formation | ISO 23317:2014$^{135}$ |
| Unified method (TC04) | SBF | Particles (45–90 µm) | 75 mg in 50 mL | Agitation (120 r/min) | 28 days | Apatite formation | Maçon et al.$^{136}$ |

SBF: simulated body fluid; HA: hydroxyapatite; PBS: phosphate-buffered saline; CaP: calcium phosphate; HCA: hydroxycarbonate apatite; DMEM: Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium; OCP: octacalcium phosphate; TCP: tricalcium phosphate.
Earlier in section ‘Mechanism of apatite formation’, it has been mentioned that during the initial stage of the in vitro bioactivity study, the ACP layer formed onto the surface of bioactive materials followed by crystallisation into apatite (HA), which is nucleated by the interaction of ions and pH of the medium. However, some biomaterials can be limited to release of the desirable ions (due to their compositions) and also may be unable to produce favourable pH environment for ACP layer to be crystallised into apatite at the late stages of the in vitro study. Therefore, the biomedical applications of both ACP and apatite will be discussed in this section.

Similar to apatite (i.e. HA), ACPs have excellent biological, osteoconductivity and no cytotoxicity responses; therefore, they have been introduced to orthopaedics and dentistry. ACPs have been investigated for a range of biomedical applications in different forms: powders, granules, composites, self-setting cements or coatings. Examples of biomedical applications of CaP-based materials can be seen in Figure 6.

Dental applications

Due to good osteoconductivity and tuneable degradation rate of ACPs, they have been added to mouthwashes, chewing gums, toothpastes and also to ionomer cements as a filler for carious lesions. Complexes of casein phosphopeptides (CPP) and ACP have been used as abrasive pastes for treatment of tooth sensitivity after root canal repair, scaling or bleaching procedures. Clinical trial revealed an increase in the content of inorganic phosphate and calcium in supragingival plaque after 3 days’ use of mouthwash containing CPP-ACP complexes. This product is commercially known as GC Tooth Mousse. CPP-ACP complexes can be also incorporated into food, drinks and confectionary for potential prevention of the dental caries due to their natural origin (milk derivative). ACP has also been explored as a filler for bioactive polymer composites to be used for tooth repair. Tooth repair can be stimulated through the released ions (calcium and phosphate) and form the breakdown of ACP particles. Effect of ACP addition to orthodontic adhesives has also been evaluated and demonstrated satisfactory bracket bonding strength in clinical trials. Toothpastes containing CaP can be used to reduce tooth sensitivity, to promote remineralisation of the deminerised enamel and for whitening and polishing purposes. Toothpastes containing HA have shown a significant positive effect on sensitivity and whitening of tooth, and it was found that the whitening effect increased as the amount of HA within the toothpaste increased. Both ACP and HA have been added to toothpaste and are available commercially. Examples of HA-containing toothpastes are Sensitive

Table 2. Ionic concentration in human blood plasma in comparison with various developed SBF medium.145

| Ion          | Human blood plasma (pH 7.2–7.4) | Ion concentration (10⁻³ mol) in | SFB (pH 7.4) | Revised-SFB (r-SFB) | Modified-SFB (m-SFB) |
|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Na⁺         | 142.0                           | 142.0                          | 142.0        | 142.0               | 142.0               |
| K⁺          | 5.0                             | 5.0                            | 5.0          | 5.0                 | 5.0                 |
| Mg²⁺        | 1.5                             | 1.5                            | 1.5          | 1.5                 | 1.5                 |
| Ca²⁺        | 2.5                             | 2.5                            | 2.5          | 2.5                 | 2.5                 |
| Cl⁻         | 103.0                           | 147.8                          | 103.0        | 103.0               | 103.0               |
| HCO₃⁻        | 27.0                           | 4.2                            | 27.0         | 10                  | 10                  |
| HPO₄²⁻       | 1.0                            | 1.0                            | 1.0          | 1.0                 | 1.0                 |
| SO₄²⁻       | 0.5                             | 0.5                            | 0.5          | 0.5                 | 0.5                 |

SBF: simulated body fluid.
Reminx (Pharma Jenistec Co., Ltd, Korea), Triple Denta (TripleLife Co., Ltd, Korea), Kalident – calcium hydroxyapatite (Kalichem Italia S.r.l.), DIO (DIO Co., Ltd, Korea), Coolin Bubble (Canavena Co., Ltd, Korea) and YP Dental (You Co., Ltd, Japan). There are also toothpastes containing ACP such as Enamelon, Arm and Hammer’s Enamel Care and Premier Dental’s Enamel Pro.160

Bone repair
Human bone contains 70% of CaP minerals; hence, CaP-based materials have been considered as the best choice for repairing the damaged bone post trauma.161 CaP has been thoroughly studied in various forms for repairing hard tissue because of their excellent biocompatibility, composition similarity with the bone mineral, inexpensiveness and easy to produce.161 It has been reported that the rate of new bone formation was well correlated with the rate of ACP resorption. Moreover, ACP revealed significantly better osteoconductivity response compared to TCP in vivo study. Therefore, ACP has been incorporated within biodegradable polymers (e.g. PLLA, PLGA) for manufacturing porous scaffolds for bone and cartilage tissue engineering. It was also found that ACP particles within the polymer composites transferred after a short period of immersion in PBS into bone-like apatite which would potentially facilitate the formation of new bone in vivo and clinical trials.162 Recently, CaP powder was manufactured into three-dimensional (3D) porous scaffolds with the aid of additive manufacturing techniques (robo-casting; see Figure 7).

Bioactive coatings
Metallic implants are still commonly used for load-bearing applications such as hip-joint replacement, bone fixation devices (i.e. nails, plates and screws) and tooth sockets.158 The lack of the bioactivity and poor bonding with the host bone of the metals had been a challenge for their clinical use. Therefore, coating with bioactive materials such as ACP, HA or other CaPs have been conducted to overcome the bioactivity and biocompatibility complications. HA coating of metallic implants was found to enhance their rate of clinical success to be more than 98%.163 Numerous coating methods have been utilised, for example, thermal spray, plasma spraying, electrophoretic and biomimetic deposition.164 The presence of ACP was reported in plasma-sprayed HA coating; however, the quantity could not be well controlled.158

Since magnesium alloys are biodegradable metals, non-toxic and have similar mechanical properties of the cortical bone, they have been considered as attractive candidates for load-bearing biomedical applications. The main complication associated with the use of magnesium implant is the fast degradation in physiological environment. Thus, CaP coatings have been applied to magnesium alloys to enhance their bioactivity, biocompatibility and control their degradation rates. A significant reduction in degradation rates of magnesium alloys was obtained after surface coating with CaP materials.165

Drug and gene delivery
CaP-based nanoparticles have been explored for targeted drug and gene delivery due to their unique characteristics; similarity to inorganic component of bone, excellent adsorption capability to many biomolecules and proteins and biodegradability in moderate acidic medium (similar to the pH inside lysosome). CaP nanoparticles, with size less than 200nm, can enter into the cells via endocytosis mechanism and may end up in lysosomes. Consequently, CaP can break down at acidic conditions into phosphate and calcium ions. Phosphate ions are not harmful and amount of calcium ions can be tolerated using moderate conditions.
quantities of the nanoparticles. Dissolution of CaP nanoparticles after cellular uptake made them a promising competitor to conventional nanoparticles (silica, gold and polymers). CaP nanoparticles loaded with vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) were produced in a water-based paste for bone repair purposes through direct injection into the defect (see Figure 8(a)).\textsuperscript{161} CaP nanoparticles can also be used to deliver drugs and biomolecules by producing them with multi-shells architecture (see Figure 8(b)).

**Soft tissue engineering**

Due to their ease of preparation into fibres, CaP glass fibres (in particular, phosphate based) were studied for their potential use in muscle and nerve regeneration. A 3D fibrous construct, having the composition of \( (\text{P}_2\text{O}_5)_{62.9}(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)_{21.9}\text{ZnO}_{15.2} \), supported the proliferation and differentiation of human masseter muscle–derived cell cultures.\textsuperscript{9} CaP glass fibres, based on \( (\text{P}_2\text{O}_5)_{50}(\text{CaO})_{30}(\text{Na}_2\text{O})_{20-x}(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3)x \) composition where \( x = 1–5 \), supported high level of attachment of immortal muscle precursor cell line.\textsuperscript{36}

Phosphate glass fibres containing 5–22.5 wt% \( \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \) have been used as reinforcing agents in the development of bioabsorbable composites designed for orthopaedic applications. A cortical plug method was used to test the biocompatibility of these glasses; the results showed that no inflammation was observed over periods of up to 5 weeks.\textsuperscript{168} Due to the intriguing ability of phosphate glass fibres to form capillary-like channels during their degradation,\textsuperscript{47} they were used for in situ formation of continuous aligned channels of 30–40 \( \mu \)m in diameter within 3D dense collagen scaffolds to allow for proper diffusion of nutrients and waste products through the constructs.\textsuperscript{51} These constructs therefore maintained an excellent viability of human oral fibroblasts that formed a 3D network.\textsuperscript{51}

**Summary**

This article aimed to review the various types of biomaterials (i.e. silicate-, borate- and phosphate-based glasses; glass-ceramics; bioceramics; and metals) investigated for in vitro bioactivity evaluation. CaP formation route on the surface of these biomaterials are dependent on the surface activity of the materials (in test medium) and test environment (i.e. ionic concentrations of medium and pH). Materials geometry (i.e. powder, pellets, discs, cubes, blocks), material to medium volume ratio and experimental condition (static or dynamic) are also crucial factors that require consideration during bioactivity test. For example, ISO 23317:2014(E) standard suggests the sample surface area (solid disc/block) to SBF volume ratio (\( V_{\text{SBF}} = 100 \times S_a \)) to be used at static condition, whereas recently a unified method (modified form of ISO) has been suggested to use of fixed mass (45–90 \( \mu \)m particles) per medium volume ratio (75 mg in 50 mL) with agitation during the bioactivity testing. Apart from these various test parameters, adequate physico-chemical characterisation is necessary to draw conclusive understanding of the nature of deposited CaP phase. Although plenty of ACPs and bone-like apatite materials have already shown their potential in biomedical applications (dental, bone repair, gene and drug delivery) and also some of them are already available in the market, some factors such as possible experimental mistakes including evolution of the substrate in medium, contamination within medium by microorganisms and residual presence of precursor phases are responsible for experimental failure of apatite formation when investigating a new bioactive material, which still warrant further research and validation.
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