As established by scanning tunneling microscopy (STM), cleaved surfaces of the high-temperature superconductor YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.6}$ develop charge-density wave (CDW) modulations in the one-dimensional (1D) CuO chains. At the same time, no signatures of the CDW have been reported in the spectral function of the chain band previously studied by photoemission. We use soft x-ray angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy to detect a chain-derived surface band that had not been detected in previous work. The $2k_F$ for the new surface band is found to be 0.55 Å$^{-1}$, which matches the wave vector of the CDW observed in direct space by STM. This reveals the relevance of the Fermi-surface nesting for the formation of CDWs in the CuO chains in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.6}$. In agreement with the short-range nature of the CDW order the newly detected surface band exhibits a pseudogap whose energy scale also corresponds to that observed by STM.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The CuO chain structure in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-δ}$ (Y-123) provides a physical realization of a quasi-one-dimensional electronic system with nonvanishing coupling to the CuO$_2$ bilayers. One of the reasons why one-dimensional (1D) electronic systems remain in the focus of solid-state research is that even weak interactions transform the quasiparticles of Fermi-liquid theory into collective excitations of density wave type. In particular, the peculiar shape structure of the chain Fermi surface (FS) makes this electronic system prone to formation of charge-density wave via the Peierls instability. Indeed, charge-density modulations along the Cu-O chains have been extensively studied probing cleaved surfaces of Y-123 in direct space with scanning tunneling microscopy.

It has been shown that Y-123 crystals cleave between the CuO chains and the BaO layer, resulting in surface patches terminated either by disrupted CuO chains or BaO layer. Therefore the chains turn out to be the nearest to the surface building block contributing to the spectral weight at the Fermi level (FL). Both the earliest and the most recent studies present a consistent picture of a charge-density wave (CDW), appearing as corrugations of the electronic density with a short correlation range of about 40 Å and a period between 9 and 14 Å, depending on the sample stoichiometry.

In the case of 2D systems, the effect of CDW on the electronic states in the reciprocal space has been examined in great detail with modern angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy, which provides both momentum and energy resolution when measuring the one-particle spectral function. Occurrences of the pseudogap for an incommensurate (or short-range-ordered) state, which finally develops into a true pseudogap for an incommensurate (or short-range-ordered) state, which finally develops into a true pseudogap for an incommensurate state, which finally develops into a true pseudogap for an incommensurate state, which finally develops into a true pseudogap for an incommensurate state, which finally develops into a true pseudogap for an incommensurate state.

II. METHODS

To address this problem we have investigated high quality Y-123 crystals using modern soft x-ray (SX)-ARPES, the photoemission data for this study were collected at the recently built high-resolution soft x-ray beamline ADDRESS at the Swiss Light Source. This beamline delivers photon flux up to $10^{13}$ ph/s in an energy window of 0.01% of photon energy. Such a high flux allows one to break through the problem of dramatic reduction of the valence-band cross section at high photon energies. The samples were mounted on a low-temperature goniometric manipulator (CARVING) with three angular degrees of freedom and cleaved in situ in ultrahigh vacuum with base pressure better than $5 \times 10^{-11}$ mBar at $T \approx 10$ K, the same temperature at which all the spectra were acquired. The energy resolution depends on the kinetic energy and will be stated in the figure captions; details of the SX-ARPES station will be published elsewhere. The experiments were performed using high quality single crystals of YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.6}$ ($T_c \approx 61$ K) from the same batch as in the recent INS study and YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.4}$ ($T_c \approx 33$ K). The crystals were synthesized by the solution-growth technique, annealed to the desired oxygen doping, and detwinned by applying uniaxial mechanical stress at elevated temperature.
As a result of the “surface polar catastrophe”\textsuperscript{34,38} the electronic structure of the Y-123 surface layer is known to be different from that of the bulk.\textsuperscript{39} Generally, there are two major approaches that have been used in photoemission practice to highlight bulk states against the surface ones. Surface aging is the first option, which basically relies on the destruction of surface states.\textsuperscript{40,41} The other approach employs the variation of photon excitation energy and polarization, which affects the inelastic escape depth of exited electrons\textsuperscript{42–44} and the ratio of photoemission matrix elements for bulk and surface states.\textsuperscript{33,45} Also the rapid variation in the elastic-scattering rate with the kinetic energy of the excited photoelectrons may play a decisive role.\textsuperscript{46,47}

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We start out the discussion of experimental data with an illustration of the first option. Figure 1 contains a comparison of the Fermi surfaces measured from the freshly cleaved sample to the same measurement done 28 h after the cleavage. The freshly cleaved surface results in a typical picture of high photoemission intensity. Spectra measured directly after cleavage and 28 h later. (c), (d) Corresponding E-kx intensity distributions for constant energy cuts at $E = E_F - 100$ meV. (e), (f) Energy-momentum intensity distributions along the $\Gamma$-S direction. The white squares are the result of MDC fits. Dark corresponds to high photoemission intensity. Spectra measured at $T = 10$ K with 38-meV energy resolution using linearly $s$-polarized light (i.e., no out-of-plane component of the polarization vector).

![FIG. 1. Sample aging for YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.6}$. (a), (b) FS maps measured directly after cleavage and 28 h later. (c), (d) Corresponding fresh and aged intensity distributions for constant energy cuts at $E = E_F - 100$ meV. (e), (f) Energy-momentum intensity distributions along the $\Gamma$-S direction. The white squares are the result of MDC fits. Dark corresponds to high photoemission intensity. Spectra measured at $T = 10$ K with 38-meV energy resolution using linearly $s$-polarized light (i.e., no out-of-plane component of the polarization vector).](Image 70x180 to 293x505)
to maximize the intensity ratio between the bulk and surface states of a single crystal measured in ARPES experiment. Originally it was believed that the IMPF is weakly material dependent, hence the name “universal curve” was coined. Later, Powell et al.43 in his review expressed much criticism regarding the “universality,” noting that the universal curve should be used, at best, as a semiquantitative guide. This, however, does not discard the claim that at high kinetic energies $E_{\text{kin}}$ the IMPF should smoothly increase as $\sim E_{\text{kin}}/\ln(y E_{\text{kin}})$, which can be understood based on the Bethe scattering approach.35,36 Therefore to exploit the possibility of varying the bulk sensitivity in our search for the signatures of a CDW in Y-123 spectra we have measured the excitation energy dependence for the Y-â-Y cut, the one where only a parabolic chain branch is expected to cross the FL.2 Figure 2 contains the intensity distribution for that direction plotted at the FL (a) and 200 meV below the FL (b). While the data in panel (a) exhibit only two parallel features, corresponding to the $\pm k_F$ crossings of the two branches of the parabolic chain band, the intensity distribution in Fig. 2(b) reveals another set of features running parallel with a slightly larger separation. The new features are well visible at low excitation energies $h\nu \lesssim 920$ eV, therefore based on the universal dependence of the escape depth one may assume the new feature to be a surface related one. However, the decrease of the photoemission intensity from the surface chain band as compared to the subsurface ones occurs rather abruptly, which is at odds with the universal curve requiring a smooth dependence. Therefore this observation cannot be solely explained in terms of the electron escape depth, and the difference in the $h\nu$ dependence of the photoemission matrix elements for the the surface and subsurface chains needs to be taken into account. To get an insight into possible reasons for this difference it is enough to consider the simplest estimate for the probability of photoemission transition in a form of Fermi’s “golden rule,”

$$w_{i\rightarrow f} \sim \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle f | \hat{H}_{\text{pert}} | i \rangle|^2 \delta(E_f - E_i - h\omega),$$

(1)

where $\hat{H}_{\text{pert}} = -\frac{eA}{mc}(\nabla \cdot + \frac{1}{2} \nabla A)$ is the perturbation due to the electromagnetic field. Besides a trivial distinction due to different spatial localization of the surface and subsurface chains there is another factor that introduces a disparity between surface and bulk photoemission. The surface induced spatially oscillating light fields,37-39 arising from the mismatch in the dielectric constant between the solid and vacuum, result in a nonzero $\nabla \cdot A$ term at the surface, consequently modifying the matrix element for the surface localized states.59 It was demonstrated experimentally that this term leads to an interference between the bulk and surface emission in Ag35,60 and Cu61,62 and in circular dichroism for YBa$_2$CuO$_7$31,33 In particular, one may speculate that the absence of the new surface chain states in the spectra shown in Fig. 1 would be consistent with the fact that these spectra were measured with $s$-polarized light. For this polarization there is no component of the exciting field $A(r)$ perpendicular to the sample surface, and hence, owing to the continuity condition for the parallel to the surface component, the surface related term $\nabla \cdot A$ is negligible, rendering the surface emission effects irrelevant as compared to the case of $p$-polarized light. We want to emphasize that here we have just proposed two possible reasons for the difference in the surface and bulk photoemission matrix elements. Gaining a complete quantitative picture would require a calculation that explicitly accounts for the wave functions and field distribution at the interface, which is beyond the scope of this experimental study.

To establish the dimensionality and topology of this previously overlooked surface state, in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) we plot a FS measured with 620-eV photons and corresponding isoenergy intensity distribution taken 100 meV below the FL. According to the $h\nu$ dependence, the new feature is expected to have a significant contribution to the experimental spectra at this energy. As can be seen, the new band practically does not

FIG. 2. Excitation energy dependence for the cut passing through the $\Gamma$ point parallel to the $k_x$ axis. The left panel shows the intensity integrated around the Fermi level, $E = E_F$. The right figure contains intensity at 200 meV below the Fermi level, demonstrating another resolution of 125 meV.

FIG. 3. (a), (b) Momentum intensity distribution at the Fermi level and at 0.1 eV below the FL for YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.6}$. The right image reveals another 1D band, which contributes practically no spectral weight at the Fermi level. Spectra measured with $p$-polarized light and energy resolution of 90 meV.
FIG. 4. (a) Energy-momentum cut along the Y-Γ-Y direction demonstrating two types of chain bands in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.6}$. (b) The same as previous, but each MDC in the image has been normalized to 1. The small square symbols denote the peak positions obtained in a four-Lorentzian MDC fit. The inset shows typical fit for the MDC at $E_F = 200$ meV. The obtained experimental dispersions are fit to parabolic bands (solid white lines). (c) $k_F$ EDC’s for the inner chain band (black line) and outer chain band (gray line). Polarization and resolution are the same as in Fig. 3.

Contribute spectral weight at the FL (pseudogapped), though at 100 meV binding energy the band gives rise to two 1D traces similar to those spawned by the chain band. This suggests that the subsurface chains and the pseudogapped surface feature stem from homologous bands with, probably, different spatial localization. Indeed, a detailed analysis of the LDA band structure\(^2\) shows that there are no other 1D bands except for the chains that the pseudogapped feature could be attributed to. Further, $k_z$ dispersion cannot be responsible for the appearance of the second pseudogapped chain band in the spectrum, since along the Y-Γ-Y direction the calculated $k_F$ variation with $k_z (± 4\% k_F)$ is notably smaller than the observed splitting.

In Figs. 4(a) and 4(b) we plot the energy-momentum intensity distribution for the Y-Γ-Y direction. Panel (b) contains the same data set, but each MDC making up the image has been normalized to a fixed value, in order to show the band dispersion in the region that is “overexposed” in panel (a). The inner band with $2k_F \sim 0.28 \, \text{Å}^{-1}$ is the chain band that we attribute here to the subsurface chain states, and the outer one, with $2k_F \sim 0.55 \, \text{Å}^{-1}$ is the newly observed band, which we believe to be a surface localized chain band. The suppression of the spectral weight down to about 250 meV for the surface chains as compared to the bulk ones is clearly visible. In panel (c) we additionally compare two energy distribution curves (EDC) taken at the effective $k_F$ of the bulk and surface bands, which once again demonstrate the pseudogaplike suppression of the spectral weight for the surface band. While for the subsurface chains the spectral intensity grows approximately as the Fermi function convoluted with experimental resolution, for the surface band a gradual growth of intensity is observed. The estimated energy scale is about 200–300 meV.

In the case of true CDW gap, using model calculations, it has been demonstrated that new states arise on the order of $E_F ± \Delta$. For these energies, the CDW component of the local DOS was shown to have a characteristic amplitude and phase.\(^6\) Extending this result on the pseudogap, we note that the pseudogap nicely agrees with the STM results of Refs. 7 and 12. Namely, the charge corrugations are visible for bias voltages up to 310 meV and practically vanish for bias voltages higher than 480 meV. In this regard we can also conclude that another small gap ($\sim 20$ meV) observed in STM spectra and attributed either to the CDW gap or to the proximity induced superconducting gap is likely to be a superconductivity related one.

It is also informative to see how the observed band dispersion compares to the CDW modulations measured in STM experiment. Simple theory predicts that the CDW wave vector should be twice the Fermi vector, $q_{CDW} = 2\pi/\lambda_{CDW} = 2k_F$. To estimate from the photoemission spectrum where the pseudogapped chain band would cross the FL, if there were no CDW instability, we use the result of an MDC fit performed for energies below the gapped region [white symbols in Fig. 4(b)] and extrapolate the experimental points up to the Fermi level assuming a parabolic dispersion. The value thus obtained is $2k_F = 0.55 \, \text{Å}^{-1}$, which yields $\lambda_{CDW} = 11.4 \, \text{Å}$. This value nicely compares to the $\lambda = 11.2 \, \text{Å}$, observed in Zn substituted Y-123.\(^{12}\) It is also within the range of CDW periods from 9 to 14 Å reported for a series of YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-δ}$ samples with varying stoichiometry,\(^{11}\) approximately corresponding to the sample with $δ = 0.35$ used in that study. In contrast, the $2k_F = 0.28 \, \text{Å}^{-1}$ wave vector characterizing the subsurface chains would lead to a CDW period of about 22 Å, which obviously does not match the STM data. Together with the absence of the (pseudo)gap this once again suggests that CDW modulations, which have been studied in so many details by STM, are due to the $2k_F = 0.55 \, \text{Å}^{-1}$ instability occurring in the previously overlooked surface chain band. Disorder in the chain structure results in a short-range character of the CDW and the development of the pseudogap in the chain band.

It is remarkable that the chains at the surface develop the CDW state, while the neighboring chains sandwiched between the overdoped and bulk CuO$_2$ layers display no notable signatures of the CDW. One reason for this could...
be a trivial difference in phonon modes available at the surface and in the bulk. However, commensuration effects appear to be of no less importance. As compared to the incommensurate state, there is an additional energy lowering associated with the commensurability of the CDW state, which is not taken into account in the simplest variant of the CDW theory.\textsuperscript{6,64} The energy gain is given by \( E_{\text{comm}} = n(e_F) \Delta \epsilon_c \lambda_{\text{el-ph}} / a \). Here \( D \) is the bandwidth, \( \Delta \) is the CDW gap, \( \lambda_{\text{el-ph}} \) is the electron-phonon coupling constant, \( n(e_F) \) is the density of states at the Fermi level, and the commensurability factor is given by \( M = \lambda_{\text{CDW}} / a \), where \( a \) is the lattice constant. As can be seen, the correction is most significant at low \( M \) values. Considering this correction, for the surface chains we have \( M \approx 11.4/3.89 \approx 2.94 \), which is indistinguishable from a commensurate modulation with \( M = 3 \). For the subsurface chains \( M = 5.77 \) is quite large and differs significantly from the nearest commensurate value \( M = 6 \). Consequently the \( E_{\text{comm}} \) correction is negligible in this case, which obviously should affect the energy balance, making the CDW phase less favorable for the subsurface chains.

It is well known that the amount of missing oxygen, \( \delta \), determines the bulk doping level and consequently the critical temperature of YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{7-\delta}\) samples.\textsuperscript{55} Nonetheless, as shown by ARPES experiments,\textsuperscript{31,33} the hole doping level of CuO\(_2\) planes is weakly dependent on \( \delta \), being practically fixed at about 0.3 holes per Cu atom. At the same time oxygen deficiency cannot leave the chain band unaffected. This is well supported by the STM data\textsuperscript{11,12} demonstrating gradual vanishing of the structure in the chain-terminated surfaces upon increasing oxygen deficiency \( \delta \). For these reasons it is also interesting to know how the observed pseudogapped band depends on the chemical composition of YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{7-\delta}\) samples.

Based on the data obtained for YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6.6}\), we can empirically conclude that the excitation energy in the range between 620 and 920 eV ensures optimal experimental condition for observation of the surface chain band. In Fig. 5 we present spectra measured with \( h\nu = 870 \) eV from the heavily underdoped sample YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6.6}\). As can be seen, the general appearance of the CuO\(_2\) bands and the subsurface chain band is comparable to that observed in YBa\(_2\)Cu\(_3\)O\(_{6.6}\) [cf. Figs. 3 and 5(a) and 5(b)], even the 2\( k_F \) for the subsurface chains amounts to approximately the same value of 0.28 Å\(^{-1}\). However, the pseudogapped chain band is practically missing in the spectra. Only a careful analysis of the Y-\( \Gamma \)Y cut [Figs. 5(c) and 5(d)] reveals some remnant spectral weight on both sides of the subsurface chain band. Panel (d) contains an MDC centered at binding energy of 170 meV and integrated over ±60 meV wide window. The MDC can be well fit with four Lorentzians, the inner two corresponding to the subsurface chains, and the outer two being due to the remnant spectral weight of the heavily disordered surface chains.

One may immediately associate the pseudogap with the mysterious pseudogap phase in the phase diagram of the cuprates,\textsuperscript{66,67} which alongside the actual suppression of spectral weight is also connected to the anomalous behavior of many physical properties like resistivity, alleged circulating currents, etc. We want to stress that the occurrence of a pseudogap in the spectral function (or density of states) is a far more general phenomenon. Besides cuprates, the pseudogap has been discussed in the context of disordered alloys,\textsuperscript{68} quasicrystals,\textsuperscript{69–72} and charge-density-wave compounds.\textsuperscript{16,18} Apparently the common feature that they all share is the lack of perfect periodicity. This can be either pseudoperiodicity like in the case of quasicrystals, some kind of fluctuation or disorder, which effectively renders a long-range periodic potential into a short-range one. Actually even in cuprates partial suppression of the spectral weight has been connected to short-range antiferromagnetic fluctuations.\textsuperscript{73} Therefore we believe it is an important issue to study how much all the various pseudogaps, currently discussed in literature, have in common.
IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have identified the origin of the CDW observed at the surface of Y-123 by STM by detecting a previously overlooked 1D surface band in Y-123, which brings into agreement two complementary methods: ARPES and STM. As a result of a Peierls instability, the surface CuO chain band develops a short-range CDW state, which results in the appearance of a pseudogap in the one-particle excitation spectrum detected in our ARPES experiment. Both energy and momentum scales measured in STM and ARPES are found to be in agreement with each other.
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