Introduction

Fibroids are the most common tumours of the female pelvis [1]. The tumours are benign and occur in approximately 30% to 50% of women over 35 years old, and more frequently in black women [2]. The pathogenesis of fibroids remains unclear, although fibroid growth is thought to be related to genetics, steroid hormones, and growth factors [3]. The location and size of fibroids in the uterus are determinants of their clinical manifestations. The vast majority of the tumours are asymptomatic and therefore require no treatment. Bleeding resulting from the presence of fibroids is one of the most commonly reported symptoms in patients with fibroids, and it appears to be associated with histological and hormonal changes in the endometrial tissue that lead to vascular destabilisation of the endometrium and irregular bleeding [4]. Other reported symptoms include pelvic pressure or pain, urinary disorders, constipation, as well as infertility and recurrent pregnancy loss. Thus, both the economic cost and the effect on the quality of life are substantial. Surgery has been the mainstay of fibroid treatment, although various minimally-invasive procedures have been developed, such as uterine artery embolisation, magnetic resonance imaging-guided high-intensity focused ultrasound, laparoscopic occlusion of uterine arteries, myolysis, and ulipristal acetate pharmacological treatment. It has been shown that uterine fibroids have significantly increased concentrations of both oestrogen and progesterone receptors compared with normal myometrium [5]. Because fibroids are hormone-dependent tumours their growth is dependent on ovarian activity, especially on oestrogen and progesterone levels, while after the menopause most fibroids shrink [6]. Oestrogen stimulates the growth of fibroids that are exposed to this hormone not only through ovarian steroidogenesis, but also through local conversion of androgens by aromatase within the tumours themselves. The induction of oestrogen receptor α (ERα), causes the augmentation of progesterone receptor (PR) expression and increases the responsiveness of fibroids to progesterone. PR is essential for the response of fibroid tissue to progesterone secreted by the ovaries. Progesterone and PR are crucial for tumour growth, increasing cell proliferation and survival, as well as enhancing extracellular matrix formation. Progesterone has been shown to stimulate the growth of fibroids through a set of key genes, which regulate both apoptosis and proliferation [6, 7]. Most of the available hormonal therapies act on the relevant hormones or their receptors to interfere with either fibroid growth and indirectly with its symptoms or with the symptoms only.

The role of levonorgestrel intrauterine systems in the treatment of symptomatic fibroids

Wojciech Wrona, Anna Stępniak, Piotr Czuczwar

3rd Department of Gynaecology, Medical University, Lublin, Poland

Abstract
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the last decade, shows that LNG-UIS is effective in treat-
ing menorrhagia and preventing anaemia. In addition, other benefits for women suffering from symptomatic er fibroids have also been reported. Starczewski et al. enlisted the LNG-IUS non-contraceptive benefits including reduction of menstrual blood loss and reduc-
tion of uterine volume [10]. Additionally, some research-
ners reported the decrease of fibroid volume. Tasci et al.
observed a statistically significant decrease in fibroid volume ($p = 0.04$), with significant progression of the effect between six and 12 months of treatment [11]. Magalhaes et al. evaluated uterine and fibroid volume in women using LNG-IUS after three years of follow-
up [12]. Even though the fibroid volume remained un-
changed, uterine volume showed a significant mean reduc-
tion from $156.6 \text{ cm}^3$ to $93 \text{ cm}^3$ [12]. There is also a case report presenting significant reduction in uterine and fibroid size [14]. Both the absence of changes and decrease in fibroid volume are interesting because theoretically it might be expected that progesterone released from the IUS could stimulate fibroid growth [15]. Evidence-
based medicine shows that LNG-UIS reduces menstrual blood loss in premenopausal women with uterine fi-
broids. The mechanisms of LNG-IUS action to reduce menorrhagia and uterine volume are not yet clear. It was suggested that LNG-IUS releases high doses of levonorgestrel into the uterine cavity, causing rapid deci-
dualisation of the endometrial stroma and acting on the uterine vasculature and the receptors in myome-
trium [16]. The influence of LNG-IUS on fibroid volume has not been unitarily defined in available reviews, and the mechanism of its action remains unclear. Some in-
vestigators suggested that progestins might stimulate mitosis within the fibroid and promote its multiplica-
tion [17]. Another study indicated that progestin might play a dual role in regulating the growth of fibroid, and that high local concentrations of levonorgestrel might suppress the proliferation of uterine fibroid cells and promote apoptosis [18]. A few studies were conducted to investigate the menstrual blood loss reduction in pa-
tients with uterine fibroids. Kriplani et al. assessed the effect of LNG-IUS on uterine and fibroid volume, as well as, the efficacy in reducing menstrual blood loss in fibroid-related menorrhagia. They obtained the fol-
lowing results: one month after LNG-IUS insertion, the Pictorial Blood Loss Assessment Chart (PBAC) score in the fibroid group fell by $86.8\%$ ($p < 0.0001$). At the 3rd, 12th, 24th, 36th, and 48th month, the menstrual blood loss was reduced by $92.1\%$, $97.4\%$, $97.4\%$, $99.5\%$, and $99.5\%$, respectively. A similar effect was seen in the idi-
opathic menorrhagia group. Mean uterine volume was sig-
nificantly reduced in both groups, but the reduction was greater in patients with fibroids. There was no sig-
ificant reduction in fibroid volume ($p = 0.409$) [19].

**Literature review**

Non-contraceptive benefits of the LNG-IUS have been previously confirmed by numerous clinical studies. The strongest evidence, proven by multiple studies in the last decade, shows that LNG-IUS is effective in treat-

Fig. 1. Ultrasound image of an intramural fibroid (vertical arrow) and a levonorgestrel intrauterine system (horizontal arrow)
Another study, which confirmed the positive effect of LNG-IUS on menorrhagia, also showed that serum levels of haemoglobin, haematocrit, and ferritin increased among LNG-IUS users [20]. Furthermore, Socolov et al. stated that the LNG-IUS is effective in controlling menorrhagia related to the presence of fibroids, but has no significant effect on the size of the tumours [21]. The efficacy of LNG-IUS and low-dosecombined oral contraceptive (COC) in reducing fibroid-related menorrhagia was compared in a randomised clinical trial [22]. The reduction of menstrual blood loss was significantly greater in the LNG-IUS group than in the COC group (90.9% vs. 13.4%; \( p < 0.001 \)). The reduction of PBAC scores was also greater in the LNG-IUS group than in the COC group (88.0% vs. 53.5%; \( p = 0.02 \)). Moreover, haemoglobin levels increased from 9.7 g/dl to 11.7 g/dl (\( p < 0.001 \)) and duration of menstrual bleeding decreased from 8.2 days to 1.3 days (\( p = 0.003 \)). The main finding of this study was that LNG-IUS is more effective than COC in reducing fibroid-related menorrhagia in women with fibroids [22]. A multicentre randomised prospective study found that LNG-IUS markedly reduced the incidence of menorrhagia, fibroids volume, and fibroid-related surgery in comparison with the copper-IUS [23]. Machado et al. evaluated the percentage of hysterectomies avoided following the insertion of LNG-IUS in perimenopausal women with uterine fibroids and a prior indication for surgery. Within 24 months of follow-up 89.5% of patients using LNG-IUS avoided hysterectomy and were more satisfied with treatment (\( p = 0.02 \)) compared to those treated surgically [24]. Kaunitz et al. suggested that LNG-IUS should be offered to women with fibroids before surgery to reduce bleeding [14]. Recently, a systematic review investigated the efficacy and safety of LNG-IUS as a treatment for symptomatic fibroids. Eleven studies of various designs from the past 10 years were analysed. All studies demonstrated markedly reduced menstrual bleeding. However, changes in uterine and fibroid volume were inconsistent, with some showing a decrease in size and some showing no effect [16].

The usage of LNG-IUS seems to have very few side-effects such as spotting or irregular menstrual bleeding at the beginning of the therapy. However, the rate of LNG-IUS expulsion was higher among women with uterine fibroids than among those without the tumours. Zapata et al. showed rates of LNG-IUS expulsion in women with uterine fibroids (11%) and in women without uterine fibroids (3%) [20]. There are no studies investigating the impact of localisation and size of fibroids on the expulsion rate. The influence of the LNG-IUS on ovarian function has also been assessed. A study by Tasci et al. evaluated the effects of menorrhagia treatment with LNG-IUS on ovarian function, uterine volume, and menstrual cycle. Serum FSH level significantly increased in 69% of LNG-IUS users (\( p = 0.021 \)). Regression analysis showed significant association between FSH level and the age of a patient (\( p = 0.001 \)). No significant differences in serum oestradiol and LH levels were found after 12 months follow-up (\( p = 0.339 \) and \( p = 0.556 \), respectively). After one year, menorrhoea or hypomenorrhoea developed in 67.4% of the patients. There were no statistically significant differences in ovarian and uterine volume. The main results of this study demonstrated successful menorrhagia treatment with LNG-IUS without any significant impairment of ovarian function [11].

LNG-IUS is FDA approved for long-acting reversible contraception and treatment of heavy menstrual bleeding. It is also one of the least expensive hormonal medications when the cost is averaged over the five years of recommended use per device. The most common adverse effects reported in the literature are irregular bleeding and ovarian cysts, which resolved spontaneously in time. A Cochrane Collaboration review claims that there is insufficient evidence to support the use of progestogens or progestogen-releasing intrauterine systems in treating premenopausal women with uterine fibroids [25]. On the other hand, a more recent systematic review stressed that LNG-IUS could be a recommended treatment for menorrhagia induced by uterine fibroids [26].

Conclusions

LNG-IUS is not only a reasonable option for patients desiring long-term contraception, but may also be beneficial in the management of symptomatic uterine fibroids. Systematic reviews show that LNG-IUS may be an effective and safe treatment option for symptomatic uterine fibroids in premenopausal women. There is strong evidence that treatment with LNG-IUS reduces menstrual blood loss and increases blood haemoglobin, ferritin, and haematocrit levels. The safety of the device is high with no adverse effects on ovarian function except for increased risk of ovarian cysts. Moreover, some studies showed a reduction in uterine fibroids size, which could be an interesting field for future research. Further studies are required to consolidate the usage of LNG-IUS in the treatment of symptomatic uterine fibroids.
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