Extraoral approach for removing the intraparotid large sialolith. A case series reports
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Abstract

Salivary duct lithiasis refers to the formation of calculous concretions or sialoliths in the salivary duct causing obstruction of salivary flow resulting in salivary ectasia, sometimes even dilatation of the salivary gland. This may also be complicated by infection of the salivary gland which may result in chronic sialadenitis [1].

Parotid gland stone incidence in males to females is 2:1. It generally occurs at 3rd to 6th decades of life. Intraductal sialolith have more incidence of occurrence than intraglandular sialoliths [2]. Parotid calculi are unilateral, generally seen in duct and size is less than 1cm. Sialolith which are not detected by radiograph may require sialoendoscopy as 40% of parotid and 20% of submandibular stones are not radioopaque. More than 80% of salivary sialoliths occur in the submandibular duct or gland, 6-15% occur in the parotid gland with duct dilatation. That was surgically removed by extraoral access after determining its correct location by using computerized tomography imaging. After surgery patients expressed satisfaction with the result of treatment and improved quality of life. Extraoral approach for removing the intraparotid large sialolith has been demonstrated to be a reliable technique with good long-term results.

Introduction

Salivary duct lithiasis refers to the formation of calculous concretions or sialoliths in the salivary duct causing obstruction of salivary flow resulting in salivary ectasia, sometimes even dilatation of the salivary gland. This may also be complicated by infection of the salivary gland which may result in chronic sialadenitis [1].

It is known that systemic diseases (gout, Sjögrens), medications (anticholinergics, antiallogogues), local trauma, head and neck radiotherapy [5], being elderly [6] and renal impairment [7] also can predispose patients to sialolith formation. It is estimated that sialolithiasis affects 12 of every 1000 patients in the adult population [8].

Salivary calculi grow by deposition at an estimated rate of 1-1.5 mm/year [9]. Sialoliths are most the common cause of acute and chronic infections of salivary glands. The resulting salivary stasis from stone formation allows bacterial ascent into the gland and then increases the risk of bacterial colonization and acute salivary gland infection. Because stones are more common in Wharton’s duct, so are acute bacterial infections of the submandibular gland versus the parotid [10]. The aim of this study is to evaluate treatment success patients with parotid gland sialolithiasis and treated with extraoral surgical approach for removing the sialoliths.

Materials and methods

A total of 8 patients were admitted in the Maxillofacial Department with an ailment of swelling, pain and inflammation on one side restricted to the lower jaw region between 2015-2019. The age of patients at the time of treatment ranged from 43 to 65 years. All patients underwent a thorough clinical examination according to a generally accepted scheme. The location of the lesions in left cheek area (3 cases), right cheek area (5 cases). Preoperative radiographs including cone beam were obtained for initial screening and evaluation. A computerized tomography revealed sialoliths measuring 4.2-7.4 mm. All patients underwent surgical treatment with removing the intraparotid sialolith.
All patients signed an informed consent for surgery and participation in scientific studies.

Results

No intra-operative or immediate post-operative complications were noted. The postoperative evolution of the patients was favorable. After 3 year of observation, clinical and radiological indices were stable. Complications of sialolithiasis including presence of secondary infections, abscess formation, stenosed saliva ducts, chronic sclerosing sialadenitis did not reveal. After surgery patients expressed satisfaction with the result of treatment and improved quality of life.

This case report presents extraoral approach for removing the intraparotid large sialolith.

Case report

A 65-year-old patient came to the department of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery with complaint of pain, dryness in the mouth and swelling in the left parotid area that gradually increases during mastication. Patient noticed it 2 months back. The pain was localized, pricking in nature continuous and aggravated at mealtimes. There was no history of trauma. The patient has type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and uses antiplatelet agents. On extraoral examination, the patient had facial asymmetry due to a slight swelling on the left side of the face. The swelling was diffuse. The skin over the swelling was smooth, stretched. There were no secondary changes. Upon, physical examination, the left parotid region was diffusely swollen and painful to palpation. There was no cervical or other palpable lymphadenopathy. A purulent discharge was expelled from the left Stensen duct ostium during massaging of the gland and the ejection of saliva while milking the parotid gland was not as free flowing as it was on the other side. For a better assessment of diagnostic hypothesis, it was performed a computerized tomography of left parotid gland and revealed Sialolithiasis measuring 5.56 mm (Figures 1 and 2).

Surgical technique

The patient was hospitalized and under general endotracheal anesthesia it was performed an incision near the crease of the ear as in facelift and continued behind the ear (a lazy S incision or modified Blair incision). A flap is made on the surface of the parotid gland to help expose the gland, the nerves and vessels were identified and saved. Then the stone was identified in the anterior part of gland ‘in the main duct start point and was successfully removed (Figures 3-5). Closure was done with absorbable suture vicril 5.0 and with tight bandage to avoid leak of saliva. Patient took intravenous cephalosporin 1g for 5 days, anti-inflammatory drugs. The patient was discharged after 7 days of hospital stay, free of symptoms and in good general conditions. Good clinical appearance after 1-month removal of intraparotid (Figure 6).
Sialolithiasis is a relatively common disease of salivary glands, reported to account for up to 30% of this disease category, but is rarely observed in childhood and adolescence [11,12]. It was found that only 6.1% of 635 patients with sialolithiasis were younger than 20 years. Several theories have been suggested as to the pathogenesis of sialolithiasis, but none have yet been firmly established. The sialolith is assumed to be formed by the deposition of organic and inorganic materials around a central core. The central core is composed of the precipitation of calcium salts around an initial organic nidus that consists of altered salivary mucins, bacteria and desquamated epithelial cells. The organic layers are composed of various carbohydrates and amino acids and the inorganic layers consist of calcium phosphate, a small quantity of carbonates in the form of hydroxyapatite, and a small amount of magnesium and ammonia [13].

The ratio of organic to inorganic material in a submandibular stone is 18:82, whereas that in a parotid stone is 51:49 [14]. A combination of various factors is speculated to be involved in the formation of calculi. Chronic infection of the parotid gland and secondary trauma to its duct underlies the origin of calculi, whereas the anatomical and chemical properties of the submandibular gland predispose it to calculus formation, which further induces stagnation of saliva and invasion of bacteria [15].

One study proposed the role of foods, bacteria or foreign bodies within the oral cavity in stone formation. These may ascend the duct system and become the nidus for calcification combined with attracted inflammatory cells in the formation of parotid stones, whereas, mucus is thought to be the nidus in the case of submandibular stones [13].

Another study proposed that certain unknown metabolic abnormalities can increase the alkalinity of saliva, consequently promoting the crystallization of calcium and phosphate [16]. Stagnation of saliva, or physical trauma to the salivary gland or its duct may also play a role in calculus formation [17].

Systemic diseases have not been proved to be associated with sialolithiasis except for gout, although its calculi consist mainly of uric acid [13]. Nephrolithiasis was reported to be linked with sialolithiasis in up to 10% of patients in one study [11]. The predominance of submandibular stones can be explained by the following aspects. Anatomically, Wharton’s duct is longer and wider than Stensen’s duct, salivary flow is slow and against gravity, and chemically saliva is more alkaline and richer in calcium, phosphate and mucin proteins [17].

Careful attention should be paid to patient history and examination in order to avoid misdiagnosis. The differential diagnosis of parotid swelling or the radiopacities on radiographic examinations, could include viral sialadenitis (mumps), acute bacterial sialadenitis, lymphadenitis, dental abscess, human immunodeficiency virus sialopathy, Sjögren’s syndrome, calcified lymph nodes, mainly after tuberculosis infection, phlebolithiasis, myositis ossificans and, finally, salivary gland tumors or metastasis [18]. Bimanual intraoral palpation is useful in detecting stones. In the direction of posterior to anterior, submandibular stones are palpable in the floor of the mouth, and parotid stones can be revealed around the orifice of Stensen’s duct or along its course. Minor salivary stones are usually found in the buccal mucosa or upper lip [11].

Conventional and advanced imaging techniques have been developed in diagnosing sialolithiasis. Conventional intraoral X-ray may be useful but has limited application, since 40% of parotid and 20% of submandibular stones are radiolucent [14]. For parotid stones, the masseter muscle and the buccinator are additional obstacles to visualizing the calculi in Stensen’s duct. In such cases, sialography is not viable in the setting of acute infection or in patients allergic to contrast medium. Nor it is indicated when the stone is located in the distal part of the duct as in our case that we reported, the injected contrast medium could push the stone proximally, hence making its removal difficult [13].

CT scan and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), although more complex and expensive than sialography, are currently emerging as the diagnostic tools of choice due to their advantages of accuracy and lower invasiveness. CT scan enables the detection of recently calcified salivary stones, which conventional radiography cannot achieve, although thick radiological slices could occult the stones. However, CT scan is neither capable of localizing the stone precisely within the duct, nor of visualizing the ducts and their anomalies [19]. On the other hand, MRI allows consistent and accurate visualization of salivary stones and the duct system. However, MRI has several disadvantages including distortion artifacts due to dental amalgam, equipment costs and a longer reconstruction duration [20]. Sialendoscopy is a new procedure that can be used either as a diagnostic means by visualizing intraductal stenosis, obstruction and inflammatory changes, or as a treatment option for pathologic conditions [21].

Therapeutic strategy depends on the size and location of the calculi. Conservative treatment, rather than surgical removal, is accepted as the
first choice in managing small calculi located in the distal section of the duct, expecting their spontaneous expulsion [13].

Hydration should be administered to patients with infrared heating and massage of the gland. Natural sialogogues such as a slice of lemon or medications such as pilocarpine are beneficial as they stimulate the production of saliva and subsequent flushing of calculi. Antibiotics should be initiated whenever infection is suspected to be combined [20].

Therefore, a surgical approach should be considered if the stone is medium or large in size and the failure of medical treatments is anticipated, leaving only salivary colic.

The treatment of choice, for parotid stones not responding to conservative treatment, is extracorporeal shock-wave lithotripsy under sonographic control. Moreover, it does not require anesthesia, sedation or analgesia. This method is re-ported to be effective, with patient’s stone-free in 50-60% and symptom-free in 80-90%. Although lithotripsy is a useful technique, there is the potential risk of parenchymal damage and fibrosis of the gland [22].

Some authors advocate treatment of sialolithiasis by means of intraductal instillation of penicillin or saline. According to these authors, this method is more effective than systemically administered drugs due to low recurrence rate and many other advantages [1]. When medical therapy is ineffective the next alternative is surgical removal of the calculus or even of the whole gland. One of the disadvantages is facial nerve damage. Introral surgery is more effective than extraoral technique because of no visible scar [1].

Parotidectomy should be considered as the last treatment option, in patients with multiple stones (>3 mm stones) in the same gland, recurrent episodes of sialadenitis and after failure of minimal invasive techniques and shockwave lith-o-tripsy [23].

The literature review highlights the importance of careful history taking and intraoral examination. This should inspire clinicians to assess sialolithiasis with a more comprehensive approach in clinical practice.

This review describes our clinical experience in 8 cases in extraoral approach for removing the intraparotid large sialolith. The patient described in our case was 65 years old and is therefore one of the rare clinical cases of parotid gland sialolithiasis. Presumably, the etiologic factor of calculi in our case appears to be bacterial infection, which triggered an increase in salivary PH and an increase of organic matter as a factor of calculi in our case. Therefore, a surgical approach should be considered if the stone size in the submandibular duct: a case report. Oral Surg Oral Med Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 87: 331-333. [Crossref]
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Conclusion

Parotid sialolithiasis are less frequent than that of submandibular sialolithiasis, generally unilateral and predominantly affects salivary duct than gland. The patients in our cases had originally been diagnosed with parotid gland sialolithiasis with CT scan and treated with extraoral surgical approach. Extraoral approach for removing the intraparotid large sialolith has been demonstrated to be a reliable technique with good long-term results.
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