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Using Pressure Massage for Achilles Tendinopathy

A Single-Blind, Randomized Controlled Trial Comparing a Novel Treatment Versus an Eccentric Exercise Protocol

Stefan H. Stefansson,*†‡ BSc, Sveinbjörn Brandsson,‡ PhD, Henning Langberg,§ PhD, and Arni Arnason,†|| PhD

Investigation performed at Department of Physical Therapy, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland, and Orkuhusid (Sjukratjalfun Islands), Reykjavik, Iceland

Background: Eccentric exercises are the only conservative treatment that has shown good clinical results in studies of Achilles tendinopathy (AT), but success rates vary, indicating the need for alternative treatments. Soft tissue treatments are widely used for AT, but strong scientific evidence is lacking to support those treatments.

Purpose/Hypotheses: This study aimed to determine whether pressure massage to the calf muscles is a useful treatment for AT and to compare this treatment versus an eccentric exercise protocol. Our first hypothesis was that pressure massage treatment is equivalent or superior to eccentric exercises with regard to pain reduction time (ie, pain would be reduced more quickly with pressure massage). The second hypothesis was that pressure massage is equivalent or superior to eccentric exercises with regard to function of the calf muscles.

Study Design: Randomized controlled trial; Level of evidence, 1.

Methods: A total of 60 patients with AT were randomized into 3 groups: group 1 underwent an eccentric exercise protocol, group 2 underwent pressure massage, and group 3 underwent pressure massage and the eccentric exercise protocol. Patients were evaluated with the Icelandic version of the Victorian Institute of Sports Assessment–Achilles questionnaire (VISA-A-IS), an algometer to test the pressure pain threshold (PPT) of the Achilles tendon, tests for ankle range of motion (ROM), and real-time ultrasonographic (US) scanning of tendon thickness and degree of neovascularization. Measurements for VISA-A-IS, PPT, and ROM were taken at 0, 4, 8, 12, and 24 weeks. US scan measurements were taken at 0, 12, and 24 weeks. Mixed-model analysis of variance was used for statistical analysis.

Results: All groups improved when evaluated with VISA-A-IS scores ($P < .0001$). The pressure massage group improved significantly more than the eccentric exercise group at week 4, which was the only between-group difference. Ankle ROM increased significantly over time (ROM bent knee $P = .006$ and ROM straight knee $P = .034$), but no significant difference was found between groups. No significant difference was found in evaluations of PPT or US scan measurements.

Conclusion: Pressure massage is a useful treatment for Achilles tendinopathy. Compared with eccentric exercise treatment, pressure massage gives similar results. Combining the treatments did not improve the outcome.
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Achilles tendinopathy (AT) is a common problem among athletes as well as the general population.31,47 AT has been reported to affect 7% to 30% of runners51 and is frequently seen by general practitioners with an incidence rate of 2.35 per 1000 in the adult general population (21-60 years).10 Few evidence-based conservative treatments are available, and only eccentric exercises have shown a persistent significant effect.6 Not all patients respond to eccentric exercises, however. Success rates from 60% to 90% have been reported,35 and these exercises seem to be more effective in men than women.27 One study found an eccentric exercise program to be less effective in a sedentary population,
with 40% not improving after 6 months. Thus, alternative treatment regimens are needed.

Soft tissue treatments are widely used for AT, but strong scientific evidence to support those treatments is lacking. The literature provides some evidence that heavy pressure and deep massage might have some positive effect on chronic tendinopathies by promoting healing. Ischemic pressure has been reported to reduce pain from trigger points in office workers. Some studies have linked changes in range of motion (ROM) in plantar flexion and dorsiflexion in the ankle joint to increased risk of overuse symptoms in the muscle-tendon junction of the calf musculature. Muscle tightness has been linked with decreased ROM, but ankle ROM has improved after the release of myofascial trigger points in the soleus, and these trigger points give referred pain to the Achilles tendon area. Thus, relaxing the calf muscles to improve healing in the damaged Achilles tendon might make sense. Pressure massage is a treatment whereby pressure is applied to stiff and painful areas in the calf muscles and held until the muscles relax. Clinical experience indicates quick pain relief and reduced stiffness in the calf muscles, mainly the soleus, and subjectively this treatment has shown some benefit.

The purpose of this study was therefore to investigate whether pressure massage is a useful treatment for AT by comparing it with eccentric exercise treatment. Our first hypothesis was that pressure massage treatment is equivalent or superior to eccentric exercises with regard to pain reduction time (ie, pain would be reduced more quickly with pressure massage). Our second hypothesis was that pressure massage is a useful treatment for AT by comparing it with eccentric exercise treatment. Our first hypothesis was that pressure massage treatment is equivalent or superior to eccentric exercises with regard to pain reduction time (ie, pain would be reduced more quickly with pressure massage). The purpose of this study was therefore to investigate whether pressure massage is a useful treatment for AT by comparing it with eccentric exercise treatment. Our first hypothesis was that pressure massage treatment is equivalent or superior to eccentric exercises with regard to pain reduction time (ie, pain would be reduced more quickly with pressure massage). Our second hypothesis was that pressure massage is a useful treatment for AT by comparing it with eccentric exercise treatment. Our first hypothesis was that pressure massage treatment is equivalent or superior to eccentric exercises with regard to pain reduction time (ie, pain would be reduced more quickly with pressure massage).

METHODS

This was a prospective, single-blinded, randomized controlled trial (RCT) that compared 3 interventions with repeated measures. The interventions were performed from November 2011 to November 2013. The study was approved by the Icelandic National Bioethics Committee, and the Icelandic Data Protection Authority was informed. All participants signed a written consent form. The first author (S.H.S.), who performed the treatments, was blinded to the test results (ie, did not have access to results until the end of all interventions), and the person who performed the testing was blinded regarding which treatment each patient received. Patients who had been diagnosed with AT were recruited from clinicians and physical therapists and were referred to the first author. The patients were evaluated and asked to join the study if they met the inclusion criteria (Table 1). Study inclusion and exclusion criteria were based on those used in previous AT studies.

Sampling and Randomization

After previous RCTs were evaluated, a power calculation was performed with regard to the Victorian Institute of Sports Assessment–Achilles (VISA-A) scores from the original VISA-A article by Robinson and coworkers. The effect size was 5.4 and the standard deviation was 6.3, giving a sample size of 17 per group for 3 groups (q1 = 0.33). Expecting a 10% dropout rate, we aimed for 20 patients in each group. A total of 60 patients entered the study; 30 had unilateral AT and 30 had bilateral AT, and they were placed into 4 categories according to patient sex and age (Figure 1). For allocation into the treatment groups, the first patient in each of the 4 sex and age categories drew a number 1, 2, or 3 and entered that group; the second patient entered the following group, and so on. If the first patient drew group number 2, the next patient would enter group 3, and the third patient group 1.

TREATMENT

Group 1 patients followed an eccentric exercise protocol for 12 weeks (Table 2) as described by Alfredson et al, in which patients stood on a step, lifted up onto their toes, put their weight on their injured leg, and slowly lowered their heel as far as possible until a maximal stretch was felt. The protocol was performed with both straight knee and bent knee. As pain decreased, extra weight was added, 5 kg at a time. If the patient was pain-free for the full 15 repetitions for 3 sets, another 5 kg was added for the next phase, and so on.

TABLE 1

| Inclusion Criteria | Exclusion Criteria |
|--------------------|-------------------|
| Age > 18 years     | Insertional tendonopathy |
| Clinically diagnosed with AT by a clinician | History of fracture to the ankle affecting the joint |
| Pain on palpation of Achilles tendon | Rheumatic conditions |
| Duration > 12 weeks | Circulatory disorders or diabetes |
| Swelling of tendon confirmed on ultrasonographic scan | Injuries to the Achilles tendon, other than AT |

*AT, Achilles tendinopathy.
Each patient was taught how to perform the protocol at the first session with the physical therapist; in addition, patients received written instructions and had access to a video explaining the exercises.

Group 2 patients received pressure massage with a physical therapist twice a week (2 or 3 days between treatments) for 6 weeks and once a week for 6 weeks. The technique used in this study was developed through the clinical experience of the authors. The therapist used his or her knee to put pressure on the soleus muscle at 3 different locations (blue Xs in Figure 2). Pressure was held until pain started to decrease and the muscle started to relax but not for more than 60 seconds. The patient’s pain tolerance was used to control the amount of pressure; with this technique, the patient should always be able to tolerate the pain. If the patient could not tolerate the pressure (ie, it was too painful), pressure was removed, and the patient was allowed to recover before starting the treatment again with less pressure. Typically, the therapist started at a proximal location on the calf and moved down to the muscle-tendon junction, working from a less painful area to the most painful area, just above the Achilles tendon. The therapist then looked for tender or trigger points or stiffness at lateral and medial locations in the soleus (lines in Figure 2). If tender points or trigger points were found on the lines (usually where the Xs are on the lines in Figure 2), they were treated with...
pressure massage with the thumb; 3 or 4 points were treated at each appointment. Pressure was applied according to the patient's pain tolerance. With this technique, patients are expected to feel a sense of relief immediately after the treatment, as if a load has been lifted off the calf, and pain in the Achilles tendon area should be diminished. If patients did not sense this beneficial change, the treatment was repeated with slightly increased pressure. If that did not work, treatment was suspended until the next session, so the muscle could recover. Our clinical experience shows that this sense of relief is crucial for the treatment to produce the desired effect.

Group 3 patients received the same pressure massage program as group 2 and performed the same eccentric exercise protocol as group 1.

Evaluation Tools

Patients were evaluated before treatment and after 4, 8, 12, and 24 weeks (Figure 1). Patient anthropometric values, sex, age, height, weight, and duration of symptoms were gathered. Patients answered the Icelandic version of the VISA-A questionnaire (VISA-A-IS). Pain on palpation in the Achilles tendon was evaluated with an algometer (Somedic AB). Stiffness of the calf muscle was evaluated with a ROM test on the ankle joint. An ultrasonographic (US) scan was used to evaluate Achilles tendon thickness and neovascularization. If patients had unilateral AT, the nonaffected tendon was used as a control to establish whether the pain threshold and ROM were distinguishable between affected and nonaffected tendon. If patients had bilateral symptoms, the tendon with the most symptoms was included in the study.

VISA-A-IS Questionnaire. The VISA-A is a self-administered questionnaire that evaluates symptoms of AT and their effect on physical activity. Scores range from 100 to 0, with 100 indicating that the patient is symptom free. To use the VISA-A questionnaire, we had to translate it to Icelandic and test it for reliability and validity. The VISA-A has been tested before and used in AT studies. We used a similar approach to translation and testing to that used for the Swedish version, and we found the Icelandic version to be reliable and valid (Stefansson et al, unpublished data, 2019).

Pressure Pain Threshold. To standardize pressure on palpation of the Achilles tendon, an algometer was used. The algometer has a round plate that is 1 cm in diameter. The plate was pressed on the Achilles tendon in a medial-lateral direction at its most painful spot, and the pressure was increased gradually. The patient lay prone with his or her feet relaxed over the edge of the treatment table, holding a button connected to the algometer (Figure 3). When the pressure from the algometer became painful, the patient pressed the button and the algometer locked in the amount of pressure (in KPa). The use of the algometer is well documented, and it has been used in previous AT studies. At each measurement, the test was performed 3 times and the mean calculated.

Range of Motion. To evaluate calf muscle stiffness, an ROM test for ankle dorsiflexion was used. The test entails a standing lunge performed both with the knee bent and with the knee straight. This test has been shown to have high intra- and interrater reliability. For the straight-knee condition, the patient stood with the second toe and the center of the calcaneus on a line that was perpendicular to the wall. The knee was bent until it touched the wall, and the heel was slid backward (Figure 4). For the straight-knee condition, the patient placed his or her hands on the wall, held the knee completely straight, and then slid the foot backward (Figure 5). Patients were told to stretch to...
their self-selected limit without pain while maintaining heel contact with the ground. Markers were put on the fibular head and the lateral malleolus. Patients held the stretch for 5 to 10 seconds while a short video was taken with a digital camera (Olympus X-940; Olympus Imaging Corp) placed 5 cm above the floor and 100 cm from the foot. The lens of the camera was perpendicular to the lateral malleolus. The dorsiflexion angle was analyzed with the Kine-View system (KINE ehf). The dorsiflexion was set at 0° when the fibula was perpendicular to the floor, and then the dorsiflexion angle between the fibula and the floor was measured (Figures 4 and 5).

Ultrasound. Real-time US scans were used to confirm diagnosis24 at 0 weeks, after 12 weeks (end of intervention), and at 24 weeks of follow-up. All measurements were performed by a senior radiologist using a linear, high-frequency, 5- to 12-MHz transducer (Toshiba Xario; Toshiba Medical Systems). Tendon thickness in both the transverse and longitudinal planes (medial-lateral and anterior-posterior thickness) was measured, and neovascularization was determined by Doppler US using the modified Ohberg scale.43,54 Patients lay prone with their feet relaxed over the edge of the treatment table and ankles in neutral or relaxed position.

Statistical Analysis
All statistical calculations were performed with SAS Enterprise Guide, version 6.100. Descriptive data are presented as means, standard deviations, and 95% confidence levels. Alpha (α) was set at .05. Data were tested for normality by use of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Patient anthropometric values were tested with analysis of variance (ANOVA). VISA-A-IS, pressure pain threshold (PPT), ankle ROM, and US scan measurements were analyzed with mixed-model ANOVA. To establish whether the pain threshold and ROM could distinguish between affected and nonaffected tendons, the 2-sample t test was used.

RESULTS
No significant difference was found in patient anthropometric values between groups (Appendix Table A1). No significant difference was found between the 3 groups at the first measurements (week 0) on any evaluation test. Seven patients dropped out during the study (see Figure 1). Four patients dropped out of group 1; of these, 2 patients said the exercises aggravated their symptoms, and 2 patients said they did not have time. The other 3 patients who dropped out (1 patient in group 2 and 2 patients in group 3) did not give a reason.

VISA-A-IS Scores
VISA-A-IS scores improved significantly over time in all groups (P < .001) (Figure 6). In measurement 2 (week 4), group 2 (pressure massage) improved significantly more than group 1 (eccentric exercises) (P = .03), but that was the only difference between groups (Figure 6).

Pressure Pain Threshold
The algometer distinguished between the affected and nonaffected tendons in patients with unilateral symptoms (P < .001) (Figure 6). In measurement 2 (week 4), group 2 (pressure massage) improved significantly more than group 1 (eccentric exercises) (P = .03), but that was the only difference between groups (Figure 6).

Ankle ROM
Ankle ROM, in dorsiflexion, increased over time with the knee bent (P = .006) (n = 60). With the knee straight, the
The literature provides no consensus on what muscle soreness, which is typical after eccentric muscle lengthening seems effective in treating AT.12 In the literature, 18,48 eccentric exercises are recommended for patients with insertional tendinopathy,36 but because these exercises are less successful in cases of insertional tendinopathy,36 we excluded patients with insertional problems. In this study we used a similar protocol to Alfredson et al2 but with a gradual onset of the exercises. This was done to minimize muscle soreness, which is typical after eccentric muscle work. The literature provides no consensus on what protocol to use.18 The success rate for eccentric exercises in AT is reported to range from 60% to 90%,25 with the least effect in people living a sedentary lifestyle.42 To cover all types of AT patients, this study included the general population, not only athletic people. Participants received a written exercise protocol, video instructions were available, and patients performed the exercises at home. A previous study showed that using supervision improves the outcome of home-based treatment.38

DISCUSSION

Our results showed reduced symptoms in all groups as measured with the VISA-A-IS. The pressure massage group improved significantly more than the eccentric exercise group at week 4 on the VISA-A-IS scale, indicating a faster recovery when pressure massage rehabilitation was used. These findings indicate that with regard to pain reduction time and calf function, pressure massage is at least as good as eccentric exercise, in agreement with our hypothesis. Pressure massage therefore seems a valid treatment and can be used as an alternative to eccentric exercises if they are not successful.

In the literature,18,48 eccentric exercises are recommended for patients with AT, but because these exercises are less successful in cases of insertional tendinopathy,36 we excluded patients with insertional problems. In this study we used a similar protocol to Alfredson et al2 but with a gradual onset of the exercises. This was done to minimize muscle soreness, which is typical after eccentric muscle work. The literature provides no consensus on what

**Figure 6.** Results of the Icelandic version of the Victorian Institute of Sports Assessment–Achilles (VISA-A-IS) questionnaire over the 24-week period. Error bars indicate SEM. Both, group receiving both eccentric exercise and pressure massage; EE, eccentric exercise group; PM, pressure massage group.

The US scanning measurements did not change over the period of 24 weeks. No difference was found in either tendon thickness (medial-lateral and anterior-posterior) or neovessel ingrowth in any measurement either within or between groups (Table 5).

**Ultrasonographic Scans**

The US scanning measurements did not change over the period of 24 weeks. No difference was found in either tendon thickness (medial-lateral and anterior-posterior) or neovessel ingrowth in any measurement either within or between groups (Table 5).

**Table 3**

**Pressure Pain Threshold as Tested With an Algometer**

| Group                  | Week 0 Mean ± SD | 95% CI     |
|------------------------|------------------|------------|
| Tendon with Achilles tendinopathy | 222.0 ± 103.1 | 183.5-260.5 |
| Healthy tendon         | 323.0 ± 158.1   | 264.3-382.4 |

**Group 1**

- Week 0: 227.5 ± 90.2 (184.0-271.0)
- Week 4: 224.1 ± 66.2 (191.1-257.0)
- Week 8: 217.0 ± 66.7 (183.9-250.2)
- Week 12: 215.4 ± 125.1 (151.0-279.7)
- Week 24: 240.8 ± 80.1 (192.4-289.2)

**Group 2**

- Week 0: 226.7 ± 86.4 (187.3-266.0)
- Week 4: 285.3 ± 137.1 (221.2-349.5)
- Week 8: 239.0 ± 105.2 (189.8-288.3)
- Week 12: 228.4 ± 82.7 (188.5-268.2)
- Week 24: 238.7 ± 100.5 (190.2-287.2)

**Group 3**

- Week 0: 226.1 ± 130.1 (165.2-286.9)
- Week 4: 240.5 ± 131.6 (178.8-302.1)
- Week 8: 264.4 ± 124.9 (204.2-324.5)
- Week 12: 242.3 ± 86.0 (199.5-285.1)
- Week 24: 275.9 ± 105.4 (217.6-334.3)

*aGroup 1, eccentric exercise; group 2, pressure massage; group 3, eccentric exercise + pressure massage.
*bHealthy tendons tolerated higher pressure than did tendons with Achilles tendinopathy (P < .001) before the pressure turned into pain.
tendinopathies by promoting the healing process. This is further supported by the results of an animal study that showed increased fibroblast numbers in muscles after augmented soft tissue mobilization. A study on stretch reflexes in the calf showed that the reflex seems to be hyperactive in patients with AT and is reduced with osteopathic manipulative treatment. Patients showed clinical improvement in soreness, stiffness, and swelling. All of this evidence supports the importance of treating the calf muscle complex in patients with AT.

In this study, we found significantly increased ROM with a bent knee. This can be a sign of decreased stiffness in the deep calf muscles (soleus), which could play a role in the development of AT. A stiff muscle (or a part of a muscle) would pull more on the tendon (or a part of the tendon). Relaxing the muscle decreases the resting tension or might equalize the distribution of forces on the whole tendon, which could encourage recovery. This theory, which is worth exploring, has support in other studies but requires further research. One study stated that static stretching

|                  | Dorsiflexion With Bent Knee | Dorsiflexion With Straight Knee |
|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                  | Mean ± SD  | 95% CI |  | Mean ± SD  | 95% CI | P Value |
| Group 1          |           |       |   |           |       |         |
| Week 0           | 37.4 ± 6.1 | 34.4-40.4 | 34.3 ± 6.2 | 31.8-37.9 |         |
| Week 4           | 38.3 ± 5.7 | 35.5-41.4 | 33.2 ± 5.3 | 30.4-35.8 | NS      |
| Week 8           | 38.9 ± 4.5 | 36.7-41.4 | 35.2 ± 4.6 | 32.9-37.5 | NS      |
| Week 12          | 38.8 ± 4.7 | 36.3-41.2 | 35.6 ± 4.8 | 33.2-38.1 | NS      |
| Week 24          | 39.9 ± 5.4 | 36.7-43.2 | 35.0 ± 4.4 | 32.3-37.7 | NS      |
| Group 2          |           |       |   |           |       |         |
| Week 0           | 38.5 ± 3.4 | 36.9-40.0 | 34.9 ± 4.3 | 32.9-36.8 |         |
| Week 4           | 39.6 ± 4.0 | 37.7-41.5 | 35.6 ± 4.4 | 33.5-37.6 | NS      |
| Week 8           | 39.6 ± 4.4 | 38.3-42.7 | 35.7 ± 5.2 | 33.2-38.2 | NS      |
| Week 12          | 40.5 ± 4.6 | 38.2-42.7 | 36.1 ± 4.5 | 33.9-38.3 | NS      |
| Week 24          | 40.6 ± 4.9 | 38.3-43.0 | 36.6 ± 5.7 | 33.8-39.3 | NS      |
| Group 3          |           |       |   |           |       |         |
| Week 0           | 39.0 ± 4.2 | 37.0-41.0 | 37.9 ± 4.4 | 35.8-40.0 |         |
| Week 4           | 39.4 ± 5.4 | 36.8-42.0 | 35.4 ± 4.8 | 33.1-37.6 | .003    |
| Week 8           | 40.7 ± 4.2 | 36.7-42.7 | 36.3 ± 5.3 | 33.7-38.8 | NS      |
| Week 12          | 41.0 ± 4.8 | 39.0-42.8 | 35.6 ± 4.3 | 33.4-37.9 | NS      |
| Week 24          | 41.1 ± 4.2 | 38.8-43.4 | 37.7 ± 5.9 | 34.4-41.0 | NS      |

Table 4
Ankle Dorsiflexion of Affected Tendon With Bent Knee and Straight Knee

|                | Medial-Lateral Tendon Thickness, mm | Anterior-Posterior Tendon Thickness, mm | Neovascularization |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------|
|                | Mean ± SD  | 95% CI | Mean ± SD  | 95% CI | Mean ± SD  | 95% CI |
| Group 1        |           |       |           |       |           |       |
| Week 0         | 14.8 ± 2.6 | 13.5-16.0 | 9.7 ± 2.6 | 8.4-11.0 | 3.6 ± 1.2 | 2.8-4.4 |
| Week 12        | 15.7 ± 3.8 | 13.4-18.0 | 10.5 ± 3.2 | 8.6-12.5 | 3.0 ± 1.6 | 2.2-3.8 |
| Week 24        | 16.5 ± 3.2 | 14.4-18.5 | 11.1 ± 2.8 | 9.3-12.9 | 3.7 ± 1.2 | 2.9-4.4 |
| Group 2        |           |       |           |       |           |       |
| Week 0         | 15.1 ± 3.2 | 13.6-16.6 | 9.8 ± 2.6 | 8.6-11.0 | 2.8 ± 1.5 | 1.9-3.7 |
| Week 12        | 14.6 ± 2.8 | 13.0-15.9 | 9.5 ± 2.2 | 8.4-10.6 | 3.8 ± 0.5 | 3.6-4.1 |
| Week 24        | 14.6 ± 3.2 | 12.9-16.3 | 9.4 ± 2.8 | 7.9-11.0 | 3.8 ± 0.6 | 3.4-4.1 |
| Group 3        |           |       |           |       |           |       |
| Week 0         | 15.6 ± 4.4 | 13.5-17.7 | 9.8 ± 2.8 | 8.5-11.0 | 3.2 ± 1.1 | 2.6-3.9 |
| Week 12        | 15.8 ± 3.6 | 14.0-17.7 | 10.2 ± 2.8 | 8.7-11.6 | 3.6 ± 1.0 | 3.0-4.1 |
| Week 24        | 15.3 ± 2.6 | 13.9-16.8 | 9.2 ± 2.8 | 7.9-10.5 | 3.8 ± 0.6 | 3.4-4.1 |

Table 5
Achilles Tendon Thickness and Degree of Neovascularization on Ultrasonography

*aMean, SD, and CI values are expressed as degrees. Group 1, eccentric exercise; group 2, pressure massage; group 3, eccentric exercise + pressure massage. NS, nonsignificant compared with week 0 values (P ≥ .05).

*bGroup 1, eccentric exercise; group 2, pressure massage; group 3, eccentric exercise + pressure massage.

Neovascularization was determined according to the modified Ohberg scale: 0 (no vessels visible), 1 (1 vessel, mostly anterior to the tendon), 2 (1 or 2 vessels throughout the tendon), 3 (3 vessels throughout the tendon), or 4 (>3 vessels throughout the tendon).
may reduce musculotendinous injuries. As well, eccentric exercises are likely to generate a passive stretch, and a study comparing eccentric exercises versus stretching found no difference between the treatments. If eccentric exercises, stretching, and pressure massage all have similar effects, this could be because they all relax the calf muscles, which could explain the decrease in ankle dorsiflexion angle as well. If that is the case, then self-treatment can be an option for people, and self-massage, stretching, and eccentric exercises can be advocated to relax calf muscles. This could possibly have a preventive effect, but further research is needed to evaluate this possibility.

Pain upon palpation over the Achilles tendon is one of the symptoms of AT. The PPT has been used in AT studies before, but those testers pushed straight down on the tendon (anterior-posterior direction), not in the medial-lateral direction (pinching it) as in the current study. No studies exist measuring pain on palpation and how it changes over longer time periods, but pain has been associated with tendon changes on US scans. PPT in this study did not change over the 24 weeks in any group. Patients tended to become almost pain-free as seen on the VISA-A-IS scores (90 is considered normal), although the tendon was still as sore on palpation as prior to treatment. One possible explanation is that the pain during activity is not coming from the damaged tendon but is referred from the calf muscles by trigger points. A trigger point in the tibialis posterior muscle or soleus can cause referred pain to the Achilles tendon area. Relaxation of the calf muscle can possibly explain why people indicate pain reduction on the VISA-A-IS when treated with pressure massage but the tendon itself is still painful on palpation.

No changes were found on US scans over the 24 weeks. This is in accordance with other studies. Tendons heal slowly, and it has been recommended that US scanning not be used to determine whether sports participants are fit to return to play, as US abnormalities persist even after good functional recovery. Our findings strengthen that opinion.

Study Strengths and Limitations

The main strength of this study is its design. Blinding in clinical studies is difficult but important. In this study, the person performing the measurements had no access to group allocations, and patients were instructed not to reveal their group. The person performing the treatment had no access to the measurement results until the end of the interventions. Given the pilot nature of this study, we had only 20 patients in each group, and therefore power was limited with regard to some measurements, as can be seen by the large 95% CI for the PPT. Nevertheless, power was in accordance with other studies using VISA-A as the primary outcome and satisfied our power calculations except for the last measurement in group 1, when only 15 participants were available.

There are no similar RCTs in the literature. We recognize the need for a larger RCT to further evaluate the effectiveness of this treatment. We did not include a “wait-and-see” control group because this approach has been shown to be ineffective in treatment for AT. We did not evaluate any ankle biomechanics in this study other than excluding ankle injury, which is a limitation for the ROM measurements. The general public might be more likely than athletes to have muscle soreness after eccentric exercises, and the inclusion of the general public might have meant that the group performing eccentric exercises (group 1) had slower pain relief and more dropouts compared with the pressure massage group. A longer follow-up would have been of great value.

CONCLUSION

Pressure massage is a valid treatment for AT and is at least as effective as eccentric exercises as measured with the VISA-A-IS questionnaire and ROM in ankle dorsiflexion. Symptoms seem to decrease faster with pressure massage than with eccentric exercises. Combining the treatments did not result in a better outcome. Despite decreased pain and improvement in function, pain threshold and US scanning results did not change. Because trigger points might contribute to the pain in AT, we suggest that treatment to the calf muscles be included in future treatments for Achilles tendinopathy.
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**APPENDIX**

**TABLE A1**

Patient Anthropometric Values for the Study Groups

| Group   | Mean ± SD | 95% CI     | Mean ± SD | 95% CI     | Mean ± SD | 95% CI     |
|---------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| **Age, y** | 46.0 ± 12.9 | 39.6-52.4 | 42.3 ± 11.1 | 37.2-47.3 | 46.0 ± 9.9 | 41.4-50.7 |
| **Height, cm** | 177.0 ± 7.7 | 173.0-181.0 | 178.0 ± 8.4 | 174.0-181.0 | 178.0 ± 6.0 | 175.0-180.0 |
| **Weight, kg** | 93.1 ± 18.6 | 83.8-102.4 | 87.0 ± 14.2 | 80.5-93.5 | 87.1 ± 21.7 | 77.0-97.3 |
| **Body mass index** | 29.7 ± 4.8 | 27.2-32.1 | 27.4 ± 3.3 | 25.9-29.0 | 27.6 ± 7.3 | 24.1-31.1 |
| **Symptom duration, mo** | 28.8 ± 39.7 | 8.4-49.2 | 22.3 ± 31.4 | 8.0-36.6 | 34.1 ± 40.5 | 15.2-53.1 |