This study is conducted in two steps. Firstly, Stochastic Frontier Approach (SFA) is applied to estimate efficiency of the Takaful and conventional insurance firms in Pakistan from 2005 to 2010. It is found that life insurers are performing poor in comparison to general insurers. In addition, Takaful firms are found less cost efficient in comparison to conventional insurance firms. Secondly, the Tobit results imply that the size, investment and claim are found negatively related with the efficiency of insurance companies which suggests that larger size raise the cost of doing business whereas, due to financial crises the investment of large firms are also dropped. Moreover, improvement in minimum capital requirement is found fruit full both for cost and profit efficiencies. Therefore, it is suggested that the regulators should keep continue this policy to further improve financial health of the insurance industry.

Introduction

Efficient utilization of resources is becoming essential for any kind of business since the firms have to survive in a extremely competitive environment where there are many substitutes available to customers. Therefore, the firms need to provide high quality products or services at least price to not only fulfill the needs of their customers but also to compete successfully.

Insurance firms are separated into two distinct types; General insurance (Property and Casualty) firms and life insurance firms. Life insurers protect families in event of premature death of their breadwinner whereas; general insurers
protect the businesses entities from various risks. Therefore, both kinds of insurers not only protect individuals and businesses financially but also support them socially.

Other than the risk transfer’s function, insurance firms also participate as an institutional investor in the development of country since they invest their premiums and savings in the capital market. Therefore, insurance firms are essential for economical, technological and social development of any country. Their role is of more precise nature in the developing economies like Pakistan since the financial and technological uncertainties are somewhat higher in developing economies as compared to a developed economy. Therefore, it is essential for the emerging economies like Pakistan to have a efficient and sound structured insurance sector for the continuous growth of the country.

Six life insurance companies and thirty general insurance companies are operating in Islamic Republic of Pakistan (Insurance Association of Pakistan - IAP, 2011). Insurance sector flourished in recent years since total assets of life insurers are improved from Rs. 108 billion in 2003 to Rs. 229 billion and total assets of general insurers are increased from Rs. 37 billion in 2003 to Rs. 124 billion in 2009 (Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan (SBP), 2003; 2010). Moreover, the gross premiums of the life insurance industry were Rs. 42 billion in 2009 which were just Rs. 13 billion in 2003 whereas, the general insurance industry collected around Rs. 43 billion of gross premiums in 2009 which were just Rs. 19.3 billion in 2003 (SBP, 2003; SBP, 2010).

Takaful firms are also playing their part in the development of the country. Takaful firms attract those customers who have rejected or reluctant towards the conventional products of insurance industry based on the argument that they are against the teachings of Islam. In Pakistan, the initial Takaful firm was established in 2006 and since then they have shown significant progress as the number of active Takaful firms are now five, out of which three are general and two are family Takafuls (International Cooperative & Mutual Insurance Federation - ICMIF Takaful, 2010). Moreover, the gross contribution has risen from 265 million rupees to 1463 million rupees over the study period (SBP, 2010).

The regulators have taken various measures to financially strengthen the insurance industry of Pakistan such as the raise in minimum capital requirements of both insurers. Life insurers and general insurers have to maintain a minimum capital of 100 million and 50 million rupees in 2003 which is now raised to 500 million rupees and 300 million rupees in 2010, respectively (See Table 1).

Table 1. Increase in Minimum Capital Requirement

| Year | Life Insurers | General Insurers |
|------|---------------|-----------------|
| 2005 | 150 million   | 80 million      |
| 2006 | 150 million   | 80 million      |
The remaining study is arranged in following way; the subsequent section discussed the empirical literature on this topic. Section III has explained methodology of the paper in great details whereas, the findings of the study are interpreted in section IV. The study conclude with some suggestions in section V.

**Literature Review**

Many studies in the developed economies have analyzed efficiency of insurers (Eling and Luhnen, 2009). Some studies have evaluated the efficiency of insurance firms in developing economies. For instance; Huang (2007) analyzed efficiency of both life and general insurance firms in China with SFA technique from 1999 to 2004. It found an improvement in cost efficiency of Chinese insurance firms (from 36% to 40%). In another study by Sun and Chen (2011) analyzed cost efficiency of 23 Chinese insurance firms with SFA technique from 2005 to 2008. It was found that efficiency scores fall from 68% to 61%.

Hsiao et al (2011) also applied SFA to analyze cost efficiency of Taiwan’s life insurers over the period of 1997 to 2007. Mean cost efficiency of 67% was found in the life insurers. Moreover, the results also revealed that profitability, asset turnover, fixed asset and liquidity were positively associated in contrast; claims was found negatively associated with cost efficiency.

In the Islamic world, the insurance operations are considered as against the teachings of Islam. Therefore, a new product was developed which is called the Takaful. There are papers which have examined efficiency of these firms. For instance; Saad, et al (2006) compared the efficiency of Malaysian twelve conventional insurers and one Takaful firm with the help of DEA. This study found that Takaful firm have lower Total Factor of Productivity (TFP). In addition, the Takaful firm was found below average in all kind of efficiency scores except the scale efficiency. Yusop et al (2011) also compared efficiency of 15 insurers and 2 Takaful firms of Malaysia from 2003 to 07 with the help of DEA. It found that insurers have relatively higher efficiency scores but with a falling trend. Moreover, the results also implies that the Takaful firms outperformed the insurance firms.

Determinants of the efficiency is also extensively explored in empirical literature. Eling and Luhnen (2009) examined the efficiency of insurance firms from 36 economies over the period of 2002 to 2006 with both SFA and DEA techniques. DEA findings suggested that cost efficiency in general insurance firms was found 38% and in life insurance firms was 59%. SFA results revealed that cost
efficiency in the general insurance firms was found 59% and in life insurance firms was 74%. Moreover, the study also investigated the determinants of efficiency with the help of Tobit analysis and found that mutual funds were more efficient in comparison to stock funds. Furthermore, size was found positively associated with the inefficiency scores whereas, solvency ratio was found negatively related.

Although, there are some papers which investigated efficiency of insurers in Pakistan such as; Afza and Asghar (2010), Afza and Jam-e-Kausar (2010) and Afza and Asghar (2012). There is little evidence which compared efficiency of conventional insurers with Takaful firms such as; Khan and Noreen (2014) and Janjua and Akmal (2015). However, all of these studies only applied non-parametric (DEA) approach to compute their efficiency scores.

Present study followed the parametric SFA approach instead of the non-parametric approaches since the SFA has various advantages over the non-parametric approaches such as; the SFA assumes that residual of regression has two portions; 1) statistical noise and 2) inefficiency whereas, parametric approach do not consider it. Moreover, the parametric SFA has more restrictive functional form as compared to non-parametric DEA approach. Moreover, this study has also measured for the first time, the profit efficiency of insurance firms.

**Methodology**

SFA was formulated by Aigner et al (1977), Battese and Corra (1977) and Meeusen and Broeck (1977). Coelli (1995) also preferred this method as compared to other methods. Current study has computed cost efficiency and profit efficiency since cost minimization and profit maximization are one of the important efficiencies which are extensively calculated by empirical studies. Translog function is used to calculate efficiency scores since it is the most extensively used in empirical literature (Wise, 2017). Present study has followed the same model which was applied by Asghar and Afza (2013).

**Input and Output Variables**

There is consensus amongst the researchers that the value added approach should be preferred. This study has included all the life insurance and general insurance firms along with the both family and general Takaful firms. Gross premiums and investments are selected as output variables whereas business services, labor, equity and debt capital are inputs. The input prices for labor is the total labor expenses to number of employees, for business services is the business services expenses to fixed assets. The price of equity is one of the challenging task to measure efficiency, especially in case of the financial sector. There are various choices included in the empirical literature to compute the price of equity such as;
firstly, Return on Assets (ROA) which is often called as the best measure to compute the price of equity but this method has limitation since ROA can become negative which is not applicable in any of the frontier methods. Debt to Equity ratio since any increase in debt will raise the risk, therefore, the shareholders will ask for more required rate of return. This method ignores the market factors and only focuses on the raise in debt capital. CAPM is also used to compute the price of the equity (Cummins et al, 2011; Cummins et al 2010) but it is not applicable in case of the FIs in Pakistan since various large firms are not listed on any of the stock markets. In addition, even amongst the listed firms many of them have lower turnover as they are not frequently traded at stock exchanges in the country. Therefore, this study has used the 5 year average stock market rate of return by following Cummins and Rubio-Misas (2006), Diboky and Ubl (2007) and Eling and Lehnen (2009). It allows us to include the non-listed firms into the analysis. Moreover, it also solves the problem of illiquid stocks which are not frequently traded on the stock exchanges.

The price of debt capital is also difficult to measure since there are various measures to compute. For instance; Fenn et al (2008) and Biener and Eling (2009) have used the long term government bond rate, Diboky and Ubl (2007) also selected the German government bond. This study has selected the 12 month T. bill rate since it gives the recent minimum interest rate prevailing in the country in a particular year of analysis. The same measurement was also selected by Eling and Luhnen (2009). The total cost for the insurance sector is computed as management expenses + operating expenses incurred by the insurance firm.

Present study further analyzed the determinants of efficiency in insurance firms e.g. size, investments, profitability, solvency, risk, and liquidity with profit and cost efficiency. In addition, study has also investigated the relationship of reforms and the recent financial crises of 2008 on their efficiency with the help of a binary variables. The measurement of each variable is provided in the table 2. Model for insurance firms can be concluded as:

$$\Theta_{i,t} = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \text{SIZE}_{i,t} + \beta_3 \text{INV}_{i,t} + \beta_4 \text{PROF}_{i,t} + \beta_5 \text{EQTY}_{i,t} + \beta_6 \text{RISK}_{i,t} + \beta_7 \text{LQDTY}_{i,t} + \lambda_8 \text{Dtype}_{i,t} + \lambda_9 \text{Dbus}_{i,t} + \lambda_{10} \text{Dreg}_{i,t} + \lambda_{11} \text{Dun}_{i,t} + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

Table 2. Variables of Tobit Model

| (Measurements)            |
|---------------------------|
| Θ: Efficiency Scores      |
| SIZE: Log of Total Assets |
| INV: Net Investments / Total Assets |
| PROF: ROA & ROE           |
| EQTY: Total Equity / Total Assets |
| RISK: Net Claims / Net Premiums |
LQDTY: Current Assets / Current Liabilities
DataType: Dummy variable for type of operations, 1 if Takaful and 0 otherwise
Dbus: Dummy variable, 1 for life insurers and 0 for General insurers.
Dreg: Dummy variable for financial reforms 1 if the firm increased its share capital to meet the minimum capital requirements and 0 otherwise.
Dun: Dun: Dummy variable for financial uncertainties, 1 for the year 2008 and 0 otherwise

Data

In the present analysis, 34 insurance firms (including Takaful firms) are evaluated over the period of 2005 to 2010. Descriptives are presented in Table 3. The gross premiums of the insurance firms are improved from 1530 million to 2745 million rupees. It implies that insurance sector is growing briskly. The investments made by these insurance firms are also increased from 5232 million to 9884 million rupees. Amongst the inputs; labor and business services are also raised. Labor and its input price is raised from Rs. 123 million and Rs. 0.307 million to Rs. 224 million and Rs. 0.558 million, respectively. This increase in labor cost is due to hiring of competitive employees and also due to the increase of salaries of employees as a result of inflation. Business services and its input price is also boosted from 115 million and 1.69 million rupees to 220 million and 1.92 million rupees, respectively. This raise indicates that operational cost is increasing for the insurance firms in Pakistan.

Table 3. Outputs, Inputs, Input Prices (Descriptive Statistics)

| Year | Obs | Gross Premium | Investments | Labor | Price of Labor | Business Services | Price of Bus. Services | Equity | Price of Equity | Debt | Price of Debt | Total Cost | Total Profit | Total Profit |
|------|-----|---------------|-------------|-------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------|----------------|------|---------------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| 2005 | 29  | 1529.76       | 5231.84     | 123.31| 0.30           | 114.92            | 1.693                 | 820.99 | 34.67          | 5835.0 | 9.076         | 241.2      | 264.44       |              |
|      | SD  | 2969.10       | 21666.1     | 260.22| 0.15           | 211.88            | 3.031                 | 1982.8 | 2.00           | 24155.0 | 0.000         | 469.1      | 504.19       |              |
| 2006 | 31  | 1740.38       | 5958.47     | 164.98| 0.35           | 124.58            | 1.730                 | 1247.3 | 32.00          | 6299.0 | 8.882         | 294.3      | 558.76       |              |
|      | SD  | 3398.28       | 23767.2     | 419.91| 0.18           | 205.11            | 2.914                 | 2544.3 | 3.00           | 26459.6 | 0.000         | 619.3      | 1371.34      |              |
| 2007 | 31  | 2012.26       | 7791.57     | 148.53| 0.37           | 137.22            | 1.686                 | 2369.3 | 3.09           | 7572.5 | 7.215         | 291.8      | 1157.33      |              |
Equity is also increased from Rs. 821 million to Rs. 1852 million. This sharp raise is due to the increase of minimum capital requirement imposed by SECP to financially strengthen the insurance firms in Pakistan. Debt capital is also increased; this is because of the significant growth in insurance industry. Price of equity is raised till 2008 and then sharply falls due to the significant fall at Karachi Stock Exchange (KSE) in the later part of the study. Debt price is also increased which can be attributed to increase of interest rates in T. bills by State Bank of Pakistan (SBP). As like input costs, the total cost of the insurance firms is also sharply raised. The profitability of the insurers is increased till 2007 and then fall because of the KSE crash in 2008 since insurance firms mostly invest their funds.
at capital market. Standard deviation of the insurance firms for almost all of the variables is very high.

The descriptives of the explanatory variables selected for Tobit regression are provided in table 4. The results suggest that the total assets of the insurance firms are increased from 6656 million rupees to 10771 million rupees over the study period. It indicates that the size of insurance firms is significantly improved. Investments of the insurance firms almost remain same which indicates that although the investments are increased in amount but not in proportion to the total assets.

Insurance firms have earned positive returns except in year 2008 and 2009 which suggest that the financial uncertainty has adversely affected insurers. Equity is improved over the years due to increase in compulsory minimum capital requirement to strengthen the insurance sector. Liquidity level is also raised which suggest that the insurance firms are now more tend to invest in money market to satisfy the claims.

Table 4. Descriptive Statistics of Insurance Firms (Tobit Model) over the period of 2005 to 2010

| Variables     | 2005     | 2006     | 2007     | 2008     | 2009     | 2010     | Average |
|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| SIZE (MILLIONS) | 665.6    | 243.79   | 754.6    | 266.84   | 994.2    | 302.82   | 958.2   |
| SIZE          | 6.9      | 1.547    | 7.1      | 1.52      | 7.5      | 1.63      | 7.4      |
| INV           | 48.034   | 25.940   | 50.406   | 24.718   | 47.945   | 27.298   | 50.711   |
| ROA           | 10.863   | 14.67    | 15.610   | 16.216   | 19.556   | 0.151    | 0.109    |
| ROE           | 29.527   | 17.611   | 30.530   | 20.505   | 32.701   | 33.877   | -0.829   |
| Eqty          | 38.144   | 17.302   | 44.098   | 23.289   | 44.813   | 25.733   | 49.602   |
| Risk          | 0.41     | 0.180    | 0.455    | 0.170    | 0.495    | 0.211    | 2.126    |
| Lqnty         | 1.64     | 0.632    | 1.564    | 1.244    | 1.858    | 2.672    | 1.854    |
| Dtakaful      | 0.38     | 0.351    | 0.361    | 0.367    | 0.374    | 0.206    | 0.206    |
| Dbus          | 0.00     | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000    |
| Dbus          | 0.34     | 0.186    | 0.161    | 0.374    | 0.355    | 0.454    | 0.206    |
| Des           | 0.00     | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000    | 0.000    |
Empirical Results

The results are provided in the table 5 which suggest that the insurance firms of Pakistan have 75% profit efficiency and 73.8% cost efficiency. The profit efficiency results imply that the insurance firms of Pakistan can earn same profit with the utilization of 25% less input to produce their outputs. The Co-operative insurance firm is the most profit efficiency insurance firm since the average profit efficiency score is found 97.6%. The reason behind their higher profit efficiency is that the Co-operative insurance firm remains profitable over the study period although many of the firms earn negative profits in 2009. The State Life Insurance Corporation is found as the least profit efficient as its efficiency score is found just 45.7%. Although the firm earn positive profits over the study period but still the profits are marginally very low as compared to other less resourced insurance firms. This is due to the fact that the State Life Insurance Corporation is earning less profit in proportion to their total assets. Moreover, this result can be the result of their high operating cost which also raise their cost of doing business as compared to their rivals. The National Insurance Corporation Limited (NICL) is the most cost efficient insurance firm. This result suggests that the firm is utilizing lower resources as compared to its rivals. In contrast, Dawood Takaful is found as the least efficient insurance firm since this firm has lower outputs, specifically their premiums.

The results also indicate that the general insurance firms have higher efficiency in comparison to life insurance firms. It implies that life insurance sector need to seriously revisit their operating activities and cost structure to improve their efficiency scores. Takaful firms are found significantly inefficient in their cost efficiency, the efficiency results reveal that the Takaful firms have just 37% efficiency which is almost the half of the overall efficiency of the insurance industry. It suggests that Takaful firms are still at initial stage and they need to take
up serious steps to improve their market share which may help them to improve their efficiency.

Table 5. Efficiency of Insurance Firms (2005-2010)

| Insurance Firm                  | Type     | SFAPE | SFACE |
|---------------------------------|----------|-------|-------|
| Adamjee Insurance               | General  | 0.634 | 0.751 |
| Alpha Insurance                 | General  | 0.821 | 0.758 |
| Asia Insurance                  | General  | 0.836 | 0.754 |
| Askari Insurance                | General  | 0.695 | 0.679 |
| Atlas Insurance                 | General  | 0.817 | 0.841 |
| Capital Insurance               | General  | 0.957 | 0.874 |
| Central Insurance               | General  | 0.612 | 0.724 |
| Century Insurance               | General  | 0.752 | 0.812 |
| Cooperative Insurance           | General  | 0.976 | 0.808 |
| Crescent Star Insurance         | General  | 0.900 | 0.755 |
| EFU General Insurance           | General  | 0.638 | 0.827 |
| East West Insurance             | General  | 0.758 | 0.605 |
| Habib Insurance                 | General  | 0.767 | 0.712 |
| IGI Insurance                   | General  | 0.508 | 0.659 |
| Jubilee Insurance               | General  | 0.866 | 0.850 |
| National Insurance              | General  | 0.685 | 0.916 |
| Pak. General Insurance          | General  | 0.878 | 0.707 |
| Premier Insurance               | General  | 0.540 | 0.624 |
| PICIC Insurance                 | General  | 0.871 | 0.854 |
| Reliance Insurance              | General  | 0.829 | 0.762 |
| Saudi Pak Insurance             | General  | 0.752 | 0.767 |
| Security Insurance              | General  | 0.595 | 0.711 |
| Shaheen Insurance               | General  | 0.850 | 0.893 |
| Silver Star Insurance           | General  | 0.907 | 0.889 |
| United Insurance                | General  | 0.871 | 0.798 |
| Universal Insurance             | General  | 0.855 | 0.769 |
| American Life Insurance         | Life     | 0.772 | 0.764 |
| EFU Life Insurance              | Life     | 0.489 | 0.852 |
| East West Life Insurance        | Life     | 0.780 | 0.781 |
| Jubilee Life Insurance          | Life     | 0.549 | 0.793 |
| State Life Insurance            | Life     | 0.457 | 0.693 |
| Pak Qatar Family Takaful        | Family Takaful | 0.782 | 0.448 |
| Dawood Family Takaful           | Family Takaful | 0.648 | 0.191 |
| Pak Qatar Takaful               | General Takaful | 0.844 | 0.483 |
The efficiency trend of cost and profit efficiency scores is presented in figure 1 (a, b, c) which reveals that profit efficiency of both type of insurers is fall after 2006 but they made a recovery especially after 2009. The fall in efficiency may be because of the lower growth in this period since the insurance premiums grew just at the rate of 3% in 2008 and 2009 as compared to 17% from 2003 to 2007 (Afza and Asghar, 2012). The cost efficiency results implies that the both type of insurers have slightly raised their cost efficiency which reveals that the management successfully reducing their cost. Although, the overall efficiency scores of the Takaful firms are lower (profit efficiency) but these firms have raised their efficiency level which suggest that Takaful firms have improved their operations both in terms of their cost and profitability.

|                | SFAPE | SFACE |
|----------------|-------|-------|
| Mean           | 0.750 | 0.738 |
| Mean (General) | 0.776 | 0.773 |
| Mean (Life)    | 0.609 | 0.713 |
| Mean (Islamic Takaful) | 0.758 | 0.374 |
| Maximum        | 0.976 | 0.916 |
| Minimum        | 0.457 | 0.191 |

**SFAPE:** Profit Efficiency calculated with SFA Model  
**SFACE:** Cost Efficiency calculated with SFA Model
Figure (1b)

Figure (1c)

*SFAPE: Profit Efficiency calculated with SFA Model
SFACE: Cost Efficiency calculated with SFA Model

Tobit Results

The results imply that size is negatively and significantly associated with profit efficiency (See table 6). This result reveals that the large insurers instead of taking benefit of their size, failed to do so. Investment is also found negatively and significantly related with both cost and profit efficiencies which reveals that large insurers with large amount of investments failed to invest their money at optimum level. It suggests that larger size raise the cost of doing business whereas, due to financial crises of 2008 the investment of large firms are also sharply dropped. Therefore, these are found negatively related with the efficiency of insurance
firms. Profitability is positively and significantly associated with profit efficiency. This result was as expected since Diacon et al (2002) and Ochala (2017) have also found same.

Claim is found negatively associated with both efficiencies which implies that higher risk reduces efficiency of the insurance firms. Therefore, insurance firms need to control their claims to optimally perform since any unprecedented raise in claims can increase the cost of doing business. Dummy variable is used to find the relationship of business operations (Life or General) with the efficiency scores and the results suggest that the dummy variable is negatively associated with the cost efficiency that suggests that life insurers have lower cost efficiency in comparison to general insurers as discussed earlier in the table (5). Barros (2005) also found negative association between efficiency and the life insurers. Dummy variable for the regulatory change is positively and significantly associated with cost efficiency which indicates that the increase in minimum capital requirement is proven fruitful as it enhances the cost efficiency. Dummy variable for financial uncertainties is not found significant with all of the efficiency scores which implies that financial uncertainties is significantly affected efficiency of insurers.

**Table 6. Tobit Results of Insurance Companies Stochastic Frontier Approach**

| Variables   | PE   | CE   |
|-------------|------|------|
|             | β    | Sig  | β    | Sig  |
| SIZE        | -0.052*** | 0.000 | 0.0108 | 0.000 |
| INV         | -0.003*** | 0.000 | -0.0011* | 0.007 |
| ROA         | 0.0068*** | 0.000 | -0.0001 | 0.000 |
| EQTY        | 0.0005 | 0.546 | -0.0002*** | 0.001 |
| CLM         | -0.0043 | 0.254 | -0.0051* | 0.056 |
| LQDTY       | -0.0049 | 0.466 | -0.0028 | 0.818 |
| Dtakaful    | 0.1033* | 0.085 | 0.0139 | 0.663 |
| Dbus        | 0.0986 | 0.231 | -0.329*** | 0.365 |
| Dreg        | 0.0358** | 0.298 | 0.0498* | 0.002 |
| Dcs         | -0.0462 | 0.199 | -0.0047 | 0.008 |
| Cons        | 1.2186*** | 0.000 | 0.7493*** | 0.000 |
| LR Chi      | 105.98 |      | 72.13 |      |
| Prob>Chi    | 0     |      | 0    |      |
| Likelihood  | 53.31663 | 109.21289 |
| Pseudo R Sq | -163.2462 | -0.493 |
| Obs         | 192   |      | 192  |      |
Conclusion

The insurance firms have higher efficiency level since efficiency scores for the insurance firms in Pakistan are found more than 74%. It suggests that the insurance firms are operating somewhat efficiently as they are attaining higher profit efficiency and also consuming less cost to achieve higher cost efficiency. Moreover, the findings also indicate that life insurers are performing poorly in comparison to general insurance firms. The inefficiency of life insurers is due to the fact that there are just five life insurers which are operating in the country which result in lack of competition. It is suggested that the government should encourage life insurance firm into the sector to enhance the competition which ultimately improve their efficiency.

The Takaful firms are performing poorly in comparison to conventional insurance except the cost efficiency. It implies that Takaful firms are operating efficiently in the country although the time span of the Takaful firms is very short. The cost efficiency is lower due to the fact of that Takaful firms are recently incorporated, therefore, their fixed cost may be higher which actually raise their cost of doing business. Takaful firms may improve their cost efficiency as they will continuously grow and will establish their selves in future.

The efficiency trend analysis of insurance firms implies that although the efficiency scores in various kinds of insurance firms have fall till 2008 but after that the efficiency scores are improved. This result suggests that the insurers were influenced by the financial uncertainties but the insurance firms have recovered quite well. This may be due to the fact that the regulators have raised the minimum capital requirement of the insurance firms to financially strengthen the firm which enable the insurers to sustain this financial crisis period.

size is negatively related with the cost efficiency which implies that the larger raise the cost of doing business. The management of insurers have to rationalize cost of doing business through lower usage of office supplies and also through getting maximum output from the employees. Investments are found negatively related with the both efficiencies which implies that the firms which have higher investments are lower efficient. This result may be due to the fact that the large insurers with higher investments are not investing as optimally as like their small counter parts. This result may be due to the financial uncertainties which cause the fall of stock market crash of Karachi Stock Exchange (KSE) in 2008 which drop the price of investments. The insurance firms mostly invest their funds in the stock market which is not a good alternative for a longer period of time since the KSE is one of the highly speculative stock markets of the world. It is suggested that the management of the insurance firms have also to consider other investment alternatives other than the stock market to avoid such a dramatic loss in future. They can invest in property and commodity markets to make a lower risky portfolio with the usage of derivatives.
Risk is found negatively related with both efficiencies which implies that insurance firms have to reduce their claims ratio to improve their both efficiencies. For this purpose, they can make higher standards for especially general insurance firms so that the chances of loss should decrease. They should have to learn from the experiences of the developed economies and have to raise the standards to get the insurance policy. For this purpose, the IAP and the regulatory bodies need to step forward for generalization of the rules. The raise in the minimum capital requirement is found fruit full as it is found positively related with both efficiencies of the insurance firms. As it has raised the financial strength of the insurance firms therefore, it is suggested that the insurance firms should carry on this policy to further improve the financial health of the insurance industry.

There are some overall general suggestions for the insurance industry. It is suggested that the insurance firms should create new products as the insurance density ratio is very low in Pakistan. For this purpose, the insurance firms need to develop insurance products for the agriculture sector of Pakistan as the most of the country man power is directly or indirectly associated with it. Moreover, the mobile industry is growing dramatically in Pakistan, therefore, it is also an opportunity for the insurance firms to develop new insurance products. Furthermore, the government has to infer for making of regulations to make the insurance products on public transport to financially facilitate the transporters and the passengers in case of any accident or other misshape.
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