Build-ups in the supply chain of the brain: on the neuroenergetic cause of obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus
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INTRODUCTION

Food availability is on the rise in many countries (Popkin, 2006). In parallel with this the epidemic of obesity and type 2 diabetes is spreading (Caballero, 2007; Wild et al., 2004). A few theoretical frameworks have been set up to derive the possible determinative cause of obesity. A first concept some experts agree upon is that “the current epidemic of obesity is caused largely by an environment that promotes excessive food intake” (Hill and Peters, 1998). According to this, an external environment offering abundant energy determines the energy uptake into the human organism, in other words, the energy appears to be “pushed” into the body. However, there is doubt about such a pure “push”-concept, because in the countries with food abundance there are still many people who are able to maintain their normal body weight.

A second widely held concept is that the human organism rather “pulls” food from the environment governed by signals reflecting its internal milieu. The “lipostatic theory” for example, established by Kennedy (1953), describes the fat deposition feedback system. According to that theory, the hypothalamicus regulates food intake by signals originating in the body in order to keep the fat depots constant. In the same year, Mayer (1953) presented the glucostatic theory which describes the blood glucose feedback system. According to that theory, the hypothalamus controls food intake via receptors that measure glucose concentrations in the blood in order to keep blood glucose concentrations constant. Interestingly, Mayer already made attempts to take the brain with its high energy consumption into consideration. However, he implicitly made the assumption that the body-to-brain energy flux was a passive process that was only determined by the available blood glucose. The lipostatic theory, which received a boost by the discovery of leptin in 1994, as well as the glucostatic theory and its moderate revisions remained the basis of obesity and diabetes research for more than 50 years (Campfield and Smith, 2003; Flier and Maratos-Flier, 2005). There are, however, still open questions with these pure “pull” concepts, since they cannot explain for example why all these people who carry the burden of their large fat depots and their high blood glucose eat at all.

The third and novel concept we would like to present in this paper might be quite surprising at first sight: A healthy organism maintaining its state of systemic energy homeostasis, i.e. that of balanced cerebral and peripheral metabolism, relies on properly functioning brain-pull mechanisms. In contrast, if the brain lets glucose enter muscle and adipose tissue too easily, it needs more effort to get glucose from the blood, and it tends to compensate for that deficit by increasing ingestive behaviour. A little further on we will describe the energy supply of the brain as a “supply chain”. According to
this concept, it is a “competent brain-pull” that guarantees systemic energy homeostasis, and it is an “incompetent brain-pull”, i.e. the inability of the brain to properly demand glucose from the body, that causes obesity. In this supply chain, incompetent brain-pull leads to a disturbance of the glucose flux to the brain – resembling a “bottleneck” – and obesity and type 2 diabetes can be regarded as build-ups. This explanation might be less surprising, if one takes into account that recent experimental evidence suggests the pull principle to constitute a natural process in neurometabolism (Pellerin and Magistretti, 1994). The postulate of a brain-pull mechanism is directly derived from the “Selfish Brain” theory (Peters et al., 2004, 2007b), which unifies research fields of cerebral and peripheral energy metabolism. After having obtained experimental key results supporting the axioms of this theory previously (Oltmanns et al., 2008; Peters et al., 2007a; Schweiger et al., 2008; Steinkamp et al., 2007), the current paper aims to expand the theory’s scope of validity with respect to the indispensability and susceptibility of brain-pull mechanisms for the maintenance of systemic energy homeostasis. Against this background, we hypothesize that under conditions of food abundance incompetent brain-pull is required for the development of obesity and type 2 diabetes.

In the current paper, we also aim to clarify whether such brain-pull mechanisms are necessary at all. Therefore, we analysed energy allocation within the human organism under conditions of food deficiency. The human brain consumes 20% of the total energy (or oxygen) that is available within the organism (Kety, 1957; Kety and Schmidt, 1948). Particularly, it consumes large amounts of glucose, i.e. more than 130 g per day (Reimnuth et al., 1965). Given a glucose ingestion of 200 g per day in a man of normal weight and height, the brain would take up approximately 65% of that total amount ingested. The central nervous system is separated by the blood–brain barrier (BBB) from the general circulation and thus constitutes a distinct entity. The amount of glucose that crosses the blood–brain barrier varies with the behavioural state, i.e. it decreases during deep sleep and increases with a mental challenge (Boyle et al., 1994b; Madsen et al., 1995). There is general agreement among clinicians and scientists that a continuous supply of brain fuel is mandatory for survival. Therefore, we also hypothesize that during food shortages a competent brain-pull mechanism is indispensable for maintaining cerebral homeostasis.

In order to work on both of these hypotheses, we firstly designed a brain-supply-chain model (Figure 1). Because the brain has been shown to be the organ that consumes the largest share of glucose in the organism, we have regarded it as the final consumer in this model. Energy from the remote environment is brought to the immediate environment, it is then taken up by the body (into the blood stream), and from there approximately ⅔ of the circulating glucose enters in the brain. Supply chains in industrial production processes display striking similarities to the glucose pathway from the environment through the body towards the brain. In the field of logistics such supply chains have been extensively studied. Over the decades, a number of basic principles have been formulated and elaborated (Slack et al., 2004). The so-called “push”-principle operates according to the following rule: The supplier delivers material and in so doing determines the activity of a production step. In contrast, the so-called “pull”-principle works in the following manner: The material required for a production step is provided only when the receiver needs it (just-in-time). In comparison with the “push”-principle the “pull”-principle offers clear economic advantages; with the latter there are short set-up times and only small (economically optimized) storage sites. Many modern industrial branches have recognized that pull components are particularly efficient. While designing our brain-supply-chain model we referred to the basic principles of general supply chains.
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**FIGURE 1** General supply chain of the human brain. Energy from the remote environment is brought to the immediate environment, it is then taken up by the body, and from there a large part of it enters the brain. In a general supply chain, the flux of energy can principally be determined by the supplier (previous step) or by the receiver (proximate step). The share of the flux which is determined by the supplier is called the “push component” (blue arrows), the share which is determined by the receiver is called the “pull component” (black arrows). The glucostatic and lipostatic theory cover a particular section (gray area) within the extended supply chain of the brain. A principle is adherent to general supply chains: The flow of goods (energy) is directed antegrade (towards the final consumer), but in case of an interruption at some point, the disturbance propagates retrograde, in the opposite direction, i.e. away from the consumer. In this way, build-ups can develop in front of the “bottleneck.”
To test our hypotheses, we secondly took advantage of the experience of mathematicians who have refined their methods to describe and optimize supply chains and supply networks (including side buffers) (Langemann, 2007). We applied the mathematical methods used in supply-chain modelling to the energetic supply chain of the living organism. These tools allowed us to include both push and pull components at all levels of the supply chain of the brain, in contrast to the widely used aforementioned pure “push” and pure “pull” concepts.

Thirdly, we tested our hypotheses by comparing experimental data sets with the predictions of our brain-supply-chain model. From among the many experiments we and other researchers have performed on energy metabolism we particularly selected crucial data sets that we expected to challenge our and others’ theoretical concepts.

Fourthly, we carried out a hypothesis cross-check. In order to do this we derived the alternative hypotheses from the pure “push” and pure “pull” concepts and tested them by comparing the same experimental data sets with the predictions of these alternative models. In the frame of our investigation, we uncovered contradictions between the alternative hypotheses, e.g., those derived from the generally accepted lipostatic theory and the widely held but occasionally doubted glucostatic theory, and the experimental data obtained by our and other research groups. On the contrary, we can show that the tested data sets support our hypotheses that brain-pull mechanisms are vital, and if they do not function properly, cause peripheral metabolic disease.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**MATHEMATICAL MODEL**

The model deals with abstract energy contents in the compartments environment, blood, brain, and fat/muscle and with abstract activation degrees of allocative brain-pull and ingestive pull. These elements are linked by energy fluxes and signalling pathways. We focus on long-term developments so that the energy levels are mean levels without any diurnal rhythms. The energy occurs in various substantial forms in the respective compartments such as alimentary products and food in the environment, glucose in the blood, ATP in the brain, and lipids in the fat/muscle compartment. The abstract energy content denotes the total energy in the compartment, which is accessible for being metabolised. The fluxes follow the continuity equation and the law of energy conservation, respectively.

We focus on investigating the behaviour of the mathematical model. In particular, we are interested in those properties, which are independent of the specific choice of parameters. These general model properties will be conserved in any physiological reasonable parameter choice. They will generate the first steps into an integrative understanding of human metabolism. This is the reason for the restriction to those mechanisms regarded as the ones that will best fulfil the function in the model and which are selected as discussed in the chapter “biological correlates of pull mechanisms” (Discussion section). While describing the mathematical model, we denote each specific function in the model and assign to it one of the biological mechanisms, which have already been experimentally identified (Table 1). Since we are looking for a qualitative understanding, we only use linear dependencies as small deviations from an equilibrium. Linear relations are the simplest representatives in the class of all relations with a given monotonicity behaviour, and they can be regarded as linearization of more detailed relations near the steady state.

The energy content in the environment is termed $E$, the one in the blood $B$, the one in the brain $Y$, and the energy content in the fat/muscle compartment $F$ (Figure 2). The energy content in the blood is proportional to the energy concentration in the blood, whereas the size of the fat/muscle compartment may vary. The flux from the environment into the blood is termed $j_{in}$, the flux from the blood into the brain $j_{by}$ and the flux from the blood into the fat $j_{bf}$. Furthermore, we also assume that the nearby environment is supplied by an abundant remote environment via the flux $j_{ru}$. The consumption of the brain is denoted $j_{ru}$, and the consumption of the fat/muscle compartment $j_{fu}$.

The energy balance connects the time derivatives of energy levels with the sum of inflow and outflow in every compartment, and the continuity equation simply reads as

$$\begin{align*}
\dot{E} &= j_{in} - j_{EB} \\
\dot{B} &= j_{ba} - j_{by} - j_{BF} \\
\dot{Y} &= j_{by} - j_{fu} \\
\dot{F} &= j_{bf} - j_{fu}
\end{align*}
$$

(1)

The fluxes at the interfaces of the model are determined by the following three assumptions. Firstly, the inflow $j_{in}$ is assumed to be proportional to an energy deficit in the near environment, i.e., it acts compensatory with respect to an energy set-point $E_0$, the proportionality factor is called $l_{in}$. Different cases of food abundance are modelled by different proportionality factors describing different difficulties in food acquisition. That means, that an abundant food offer is modelled by a large factor $l_{in}$, and small deficits in the energy content of the near environment suffice to result in a large inflow. On the other hand, a small factor $l_{in}$ produces the situation that a larger energy deficit in the environment only organizes the necessary energy inflow. Consequently, the environmental compartment is properly filled in the case of an abundant food offer, and it is emptied with a restricted food offer. Hence, the energy content $E$ shows the wealth of the food offer (cf Figures 3 and 4). Secondly, the cerebral energy consumption $j_{fu}$ is essentially related to the degree of neuronal activity, which depends on the level of neuronal stimulation $c_i$ and the available cerebral energy $Y$ required for that process. Thus, the cerebral consumption is supposed to increase in an affine manner with the cerebral energy content (for biological mechanisms that fulfilled the function of controlling neuronal consumption in an energy-dependent manner see Table 1). As observed the energy content in the brain is nearly constant, and we will see that the model reproduces this property although the brain energy content is even not mathematically fixed. Thirdly, the energy consumption of the fat/muscle compartment is differentiated into a constant maintenance $j_{fu}$ and a component proportional to its size. Hence, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
j_{in} &= l_{in}(E_0 - E), & j_{fu} &= c_i Y + j_{fu} \\
j_{fu} &= c_i F + j_{2n}
\end{align*}
$$

(2)

Here, linear and affine relations are used. They are the simplest representatives in the class of all relations with a given monotonicity
Table 1 | Biological mechanisms that can fulfil functions in the brain-supply-chain model. From among various biological mechanisms that might fulfil a function in the mathematical model we choose only one for each function. Thus, the one depicted here can be regarded as a representative of a larger class of redundant mechanisms that might all be functional in this respect.

| Variable/term | Function | Biological mechanism |
|---------------|----------|----------------------|
| \( V \)       | Exerting allocative brain-pull | Ventromedial Hypothalamus (VMH), Sympathetic nervous system (SNS) |
| \( L \)       | Exerting ingestive pull | Lateral hypothalamus (LH), orexin neurons |
| \( \alpha(Y_0 - Y) \) | Regulating cerebral energy status by allocative brain-pull | Sympathetic output from the VMH depending on: – Cerebral energy content – Functional ability of VMH-neurons – VMH afferences [e.g. from the cerebral hemispheres] – VMH-neurons’ activity-dependency upon intracellular energy [e.g. determined by VMH \( K_{ATP-channels} \)] – VMH efferences [to \( \beta \)-cells and muscle/fat cells] |
| \( \mu F \)   | Providing an afferent energy signal reflecting energy content in the periphery | Leptin, adipocyte-derived hormone |
| \( \alpha(Y_0 - Y) + \mu F \) | Integrating both cerebral and peripheral energy signals | VMH |
| \( I_B(B_0 - B) \) | Regulating ingestive pull | Glucose-dependent tandem pore K-channels located on the surface of orexin neurons |
| \( -\beta V \) | Mediating the suppressive effect on ingestive pull of peripheral energy signals and that of acute cerebral demand, i.e. stress | VMH projects to the LH; in this way the VMH exerts its inhibitory effect |
| \( k_F V \)   | Environment-to-blood push component | Food offer, environmental cues that promote ingestive behaviour |
| \( k_B B \)   | Blood-to-brain push component | GLUT1 at the BBB (in the open state of the GLUT1 transporter pore) |
| \( I_B(Y_0 - Y) \) | Direct brain-pull balancing the brain energy set-point | ATP-dependent opening of astrocytic GLUT1 |
| \( \gamma_{in} \) | Feed-forward pull | Activity-dependent astrocyte-neuron lactate shuttle |
| \( k_y B \)   | Blood-to-periphery push component; energy-storage | Insulin stores energy in the periphery by controlling the glucose flux in a blood-glucose-dependent manner; (the mathematical term is shortened here to the simple term due to the long-term time-scale) |
| \( -\gamma V \) | Suppression of blood-to-periphery flux | VMH; sympathetic \( \beta \)-cell suppression |
| \( c_Y \)     | Controlling cerebral consumption in an energy-dependent manner | Neurons throughout the cerebral hemispheres and the brainstem are equipped with high- and low-affinity \( K_{ATP-channels} \), which monitor intracellular ATP concentrations and in this way permit and restrain neuronal activity |

behaviour, and they can be regarded as linearization of more detailed relations near the equilibrium point.

Signals from the compartments brain \( Y \), fat/muscle \( F \), and blood \( B \) are integrated in order to regulate fluxes by allocation and ingestion. The allocative brain-pull mechanism is denoted \( V \) (for biological mechanisms that fulfil allocative brain-pull function see Table 1). The ingestive pull mechanism is termed \( L \) (for mechanisms that fulfill ingestive pull function see Table 1). \( V \) is activated by an energy deficiency below a set-point \( Y_0 \) in the brain (\( \alpha \) denotes the allocative brain-pull efficiency) and by an afferent energy signal reflecting energy content in fat/muscle (for cerebral and peripheral mechanisms that fulfil the function of regulating allocative brain-pull see Table 1). Of note, \( V \) integrates both cerebral and peripheral energy signals in order to exert allocative brain-pull function (for mechanisms that fulfil integrative function see Table 1). \( L \) is increased by a deficiency in blood glucose below a set-point \( B_0 \), and \( L \) is diminished by \( V \) (for mechanisms that fulfil the function of regulating ingestive pull see Table 1). As such we have the activation equations

\[
V = \alpha(Y_0 - Y) + \mu F, \quad L = I_B(B_0 - B) - \beta V \quad (3)
\]

Again, the relations are linear due to the same argument as in Eq. 2, e.g. a non-linear relation \( V = V(Y, F) \), which contains possibly a detailed physiological relation, can be linearized to \( V(Y, F) = V(Y_1, Y_2) + (F - F_1) V_y(F_1, Y_2) + (Y_1 - Y) V_y(F_1, Y_1) \) with \( \alpha = -V_y \) and \( \mu = V_{yy} \), which leads to Eq. 3. Similarly, all linear relations can be assumed to be valid with suitable parameters in a near environment of a stationary solution.

The fluxes between the compartments are determined both by push and pull components. The flux from the environment into the blood is governed by a push component (mechanisms fulfilling environmental push function see Table 1) depending on \( E \) and by the ingestive pull component \( L \). Hence, we have
The flux from the blood into the brain consists of a push component from the blood to the brain. Thus, we get

$$j_{sb} = k_E E + L$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The flux from the blood into the brain consists of a push component from the blood (mechanism that fulfills the function of a blood-to-brain push component see Table 1), a direct pull balancing the brain energy set-point (direct brain-pull see Table 1) and a feed-forward pull (see Table 1) that directs a proportion of the brain need $j_{in}$ from the blood to the brain. Thus, we get

$$j_{sb} = k_E B + l_1 (Y_0 - Y) + \gamma j_{out}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

with $\gamma < 1$.

The flux from the blood into the fat/muscle compartment also depends on two components. Firstly, the blood-to-periphery flux is increased by a push component from the blood (for a mechanism that fulfills the function of a blood-to-periphery push component see Table 1) and secondly the blood-to-periphery flux is diminished by $V$ (Table 1). That yields

$$j_{sb} = k_E B - V$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

We resume that the Eqs 1–6 form a system of linear ordinary differential equations for the energy levels $E, B, Y, F$ in the four compartments environment, blood, brain and muscle/fat. The model contains the most general description of the fluxes given in Figure 2. We have the parameters $k_1, k_2, k_3$ of the push-components, the pull parameters $l_1, l_2, l_3$, the consumption parameters $c_1, c_2, j_0$ and the action of hormones and cerebral control centres $\alpha, \beta, \mu$ as well as the proportion $\gamma < 1$, which is the only additional restriction, because all 13 parameters are arbitrarily non-negative. Thus, the model contains all functions discussed above and depicted in Figure 2. In all, this is the complete model we used as a basis for the simulations, the hypothesis-testing, and the analytical proof reported in this paper.

We will present a consideration in the “Results” section, cf. analytical proof, that demonstrates the conservation of the qualitative model behaviour for all feasible parameter choices. The set of possible parameters is restricted by a few constraints, which excludes obviously non-physiological parameters. Nevertheless, in order to illustrate the behaviour of the model certain parameters are chosen for the example calculation. We choose the example parameter by starting from the relation of the fluxes to each other, e.g. the flux $j_{sb}$ from the near environment to the blood is decomposed by the push component $k_E E$, the pull component $l_1 (B_0 - B)$ and the inhibiting influence of the allocative brain-pull $-\beta V$. In the equilibrium, we assume $k_E : l_1 (B_0 - B) : (-\beta V) = 40 : 120 : (-40)$, so that the sum of the sub-fluxes is 100. The pull mechanism originated by the blood is assumed to be dominant.
in the model, i.e. the ingestive behaviour is mainly governed by a deficit in the blood glucose. Similarly, the flux $j_{BY}$ is decomposed by $k_B (Y_0 - Y) = 50 : 20 : 30$ in the equilibrium. Next the flux $j_{BF}$ is decomposed by $α(Y_0 - Y) = 120 : (-15) : (-5)$, where the second term and the third term are inhibitory. Here, the insulin-mediated influence of the blood is dominant. The inflow in the equilibrium is set to $j_{in} = 200$, and the outflow of the brain and the fat/muscle compartment is $j_{out} = 100$, always in the equilibrium. All these values and relations are variable in the simulation of time-dependent developments. Furthermore, we set the values $E_0 = 100$, $B_0 = 1000$ and the stationary values $E^* = 50$, $B^* = 90$, $F^* = 100$ and $Y^* = 100$. The high set-point for the blood glucose codes the position that the blood is nearly always pulling, even in diabetic ketoacidosis or hyperglycaemic hyperosmolar state, when the blood glucose concentrations are extremely elevated. These choices result in the example parameters $l_{in} = 4$, $k_i = 1.6$, $k_i = 0.556$, $k_i = 1.333$, $l_i = 0.264$, $l_i = 20$, $μ = 0.05$, $c_i = 1$, $c_i = 1$, $α = 15$, $β = 6$, $γ = 0.3$, $j_1 = 0$, $j_2 = 50$. Let us mention that we will prove the asserted qualitative behaviour for a wide range of parameters in the "Results" section, analytical proof. A scaling of parameter like e.g. a change of $c_i$ corresponds to a scaling of the measure units of the energy contents $Y$. In all, we can regard the model as non-dimensional. Yet, some of the values might remind to realistic values like the blood glucose of 90 in the equilibrium could be imagined as 90 mg/dl, and the inflow of 200 can be interpreted as an daily intake of 200 g glucose, cf. above.

The differential equations in Eq. 1 with the constitutive equations in Eqs 2–6 are non-stiff equations with respect to the regarded time-scales without diurnal cycling. Thus, they can be integrated with standard explicit methods like the Dormand–Prince method with step-size control (Hairer et al., 1993) or comparable ones. The accuracy of the integration is tested by the estimation of the occurring errors and checking different error bounds and bounds of the step-sizes.

RESULTS

HYPOTHESIS A: DURING FOOD SHORTAGES, A “COMPETENT BRAIN-PULL” IS REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN CEREBRAL ENERGY HOMEOSTASIS

Data-based testing

To test “hypothesis A” we compared the predictions of a supply-chain model with medical observations. The pathological study of Krieger (1921), scholar of one of the pioneers of pathology Robert Rössle,
provides a valuable basis for analyzing chronic energy allocation within the human organism. She reported with her data set that in the state of inanition all the organs like the heart, the liver, the pancreas, and the kidney lost approximately 40% of their mass, while the brain mass hardly changed (less than 2%). Inanition in these cases was due to malnutrition, cancer, and chronic infection. The autopsy material was partly provided by the exceptional circumstances which went along with world war I, making this experiment difficult to reproduce. So far, her observation could consistently be confirmed by many researchers, who used state-of-the-art technologies and examined humans and animals in adult (Goodman et al., 1984; Muhlau et al., 2007) or in fetal life (Gong et al., 1998; Kind et al., 2005; Miller et al., 2002). Therefore, Marie Krieger’s experiment can be regarded to represent a larger class of crucial experiments, demonstrating the brain’s priority in energy metabolism.

To re-evaluate this data set we used our mathematical model of the brain-supply chain. We assume that there is an efficient brain-pull component present (Figure 3; model 1). For the conditions of caloric restriction, we solved the differential equations and compared the solutions with the above-mentioned medical observations. The brain-supply-chain model predicted a considerable decline in the body energy content and a stable maintained brain energy content (Figure 3). This prediction is in agreement with medical observations (Krieger, 1921).

For cross-checking, we derived the alternative hypothesis from the aforementioned concepts, the gluco- and lipostatic theory, which do not consider the brain as distinct. According to these previous concepts, a brain-pull mechanism is dispensable for the maintenance of cerebral energy homeostasis under conditions of food deprivation. This alternative hypothesis is the negation “hypothesis A”. To test this alternative hypothesis we used model 2, which is essentially identical to model 1, except that it differs in the one parameter ($\alpha$), which determines the efficiency of the brain-pull. The assumption of an inefficient brain-pull component (model 2; small $\alpha$) led to the prediction that parallel with the decline in body energy content there is a concomitant decrease in the brain energy content (Figure 3). Since the prediction of the latter model failed to fit the medical data (Krieger, 1921), the alternative “hypothesis A” should be rejected. Strikingl, high neuronal energy consumption is not enough to maintain cerebral energy homeostasis, since model 2 assumes such high consumption, but still fails to fit Krieger’s data set.

**FIGURE 4** The effect of brain-pull inefficiency on the development of obesity and diabetes under the condition of food abundance in a “supply chain” simulation study. In both cases, the food offer in the environment is set to be abundant: In case 1 (dashed line; large $\alpha$) there is an efficient allocative brain-pull mechanism present, in case 2 (bold line; small $\alpha$) this brain-pull mechanism is inefficient from a certain moment onwards (indicated by the arrow). In case 1, with normal allocative brain-pull, the model predicts stably normal energy content in blood, muscle, fat, and brain. In case 2, with a disrupted ATP-dependency of the allocative brain-pull mechanisms, the model predicts accumulation of energy in the fat and muscle stores and also an accumulation of energy in the blood vessels, i.e. hyperglycaemia. Despite all these changes in case 2, the model predicts the maintenance of cerebral homeostasis. Bottom left: Peripheral glucose uptake $j/B/F$ decreases in the long-term – a phenomenon commonly referred to as “insulin resistance.” Bottom right: Increasing fat compartment with decreasing brain-pull efficiency ($\alpha$).
Noteworthy, both models 1 and 2 appear to be in agreement with the majority of experimental data sets on energy metabolism that have been performed in animals and humans yet. The problem that one experimental data set can be in agreement with two distinct theoretical approaches has often prompted a scientific debate. In many instances, few crucial experimental data sets are available, which can be explained by either one or the other of two distinct theoretical approaches. This dilemma is referred to as the “inverse problem” (Tarantola, 2005). Therefore, we especially aimed at finding and generating crucial data sets like the one of Krieger, e.g. (Boyle et al., 1994a, 1995; Gong et al., 1998; Goodman et al., 1984; Kind et al., 2005; Miller et al., 2002; Muhlau et al., 2007; Oltmanns et al., 2008), which are likely to be consistent with only one of the two models 1 and 2. Actually, these listed citations refer to data sets, which in addition to the one of Krieger turn out to be contradictory to model 2, which lacks a strong brain-pull mechanism. Our results demonstrate that the Krieger data set is consistent with model 1, but not with model 2. Thus, we regard the scope of validity of model 1 to be larger (at least by one study) than that of model 2. In conclusion, the comparison between theoretical predictions of our supply-chain model and the experimental data supports our “hypothesis A” stating that brain-pull is a necessary component for the maintenance of cerebral homeostasis.

HYPOTHESIS B: DURING FOOD ABUNDANCE, AN “INCOMPETENT BRAIN-PULL” IS REQUIRED FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF OBESITY

Data-based testing

In order to test “hypothesis B” we begin with referring to two animal experiments from recent neurobiological obesity research. First, Barry E. Levin and co-workers investigated diet-resistant animals and compared them to animals prone to develop diet-induced obesity on a high energy diet (Tkacs and Levin, 2004). With this data set, the researchers showed that the diet-resistant animals were distinguished by their particularly efficient responses of the sympathetic nervous system. Secondly, Susumu Seino and co-workers showed the complete absence of both functional KATP-channels and glucose responsiveness in the neurons of the ventromedial hypothalamus (VMH) in Kir6.2−/− mice (Miki et al., 2001). These knock-out animals exhibited inappropriate responses in plasma epinephrine and glucagon during insulin challenge tests.

Next we used our brain-supply-chain model to investigate two cases which we consider to correspond to the respective data sets. In case 1, we used a model-parameter (large α), which represents efficient allocative brain-pull mechanisms; in case 2 this parameter is decreased from a certain time point onwards (small α), representing an inefficient brain-pull mechanism (Figure 4). In the “Discussion” section we will refer to experiments, which identify among others two biological mechanism: first the sympathetic response to a given energy cerebral state, which fulfils the function of the term α(Y−Y), (i.e. regulating cerebral energy status by allocative brain-pull), and second the KATP−/−channel coupling neuronal ATP status with neuronal activity, which fulfils the function of the model-parameter α (i.e. efficiency of generating allocative brain-pull; see also Table 1). Therefore, we regard case 1 as the mathematical correspondence to the diet-resistant animals studied by Barry Levin’s group, which are characterized by their strong sympatho-adrenal response, and case 2 as that of the VMH knock-out animals studied by Seino’s group, which are characterized by a disruption between their sympatho-adrenal response and their cerebral ATP-concentrations.

The assumption of an efficient allocative brain-pull mechanism (case 1) led to the prediction that the brain energy content, blood glucose concentrations, and body store content remain stably normal (Figure 4). This prediction is consistent with the observation that diet-resistant animals, which characteristically displayed the properly functioning allocative brain-pull mechanism, maintained their normal body mass even if they had unrestricted access to a high fat diet (Tkacs and Levin, 2004).

With the assumption of an inefficient allocative brain-pull due to a disruption of the ATP-dependency of the VMH (case 2), the model predicted a preserved stability of the brain energy content, but a perturbation in the body: a build-up in the supply chain, which arises from the accumulation of energy in the fat/muscle compartment. In fact, when the phenotype of these Kir6.2−/− mice has been assessed in a subsequent large study, obesity was found (Kanezaki et al., 2004). The obesity observed in animals lacking KATP−/−channels in the VMH was not excessive, which is not surprising given that they also lacked KATP−/−channels in the pancreatic β-cells. Thus, the animals did not have a sensitive insulin push-component, which drives glucose in a concentration-dependent manner from blood to fat/muscle. This insulin push-component favours energy accumulation in the buffer stores, as is evidenced by further experiments in which Kir6.2−/− mice were crossed with mice that exhibited disruptions in the insulin-mediated metabolic pathways. Our model predicts that ATP-independency of VMH neurons alone leads to obesity, while ATP-independency of both VMH neurons and β-cells leads to a less severe form of obesity – as is shown in the experiments (Kanezaki et al., 2004).

Modelling of case 2 predicted an additional build-up in the supply chain, which arises from the accumulation of energy in the vascular system. Such an energy build-up manifests in form of elevated blood glucose concentrations, and depending on the severity of hyperglycaemia the emerging pathological condition is termed either pre-diabetes or diabetes (American Diabetes Association, 2008). Of note, modelling of case 2 also predicted a long-term suppression of peripheral glucose uptake as indicated by a reduced amount of glucose flowing into the fat/muscle compartment per time unit and per weight unit fat/muscle-tissue (Figure 4, panel bottom left). Such a predicted suppression of peripheral glucose uptake due to an inefficient brain-pull, is phenotypically in accordance with what former concepts on the pathogenesis of diabetes called “insulin resistance”: the inability of the fat and muscle tissue to take up glucose, a phenomenon typically observed in obese subjects (Swinburn et al., 1991). In fact, these Kir6.2−/− mice exhibited decreased whole-body glucose disposal in contrast to their wild-type littermates as well as glucose intolerance, i.e. pre-diabetes (Kanezaki et al., 2004). Thus, all predictions were in agreement with the observations from the selected studies.

For cross-checking, we derived the alternative hypothesis from the aforementioned pure “push” concept. According to that previous concept, the condition of food abundance is sufficient to cause obesity. This alternative hypothesis is the negation “hypothesis B”. Such a pure “push” model (α = 0) led to the prediction that even the diet-resistant animals would become obese – but this was con-
tradictory to the experimental data (Levin and Keesey, 1998; Tkacs and Levin, 2004). Thus, the alternative “hypothesis B” – that food abundance is sufficient to cause obesity – should be rejected. In conclusion, the data-based testing supports hypothesis B.

**Analytical proof**

Now that we have demonstrated data-based support of hypothesis B, which describes the dependency of body mass regulation on brain-pull mechanisms, we will analyse the properties underlying this dependency by analytical means. We propose that the brain-pull mechanisms, we will analyse the properties underlying this dependency by analytical means. We propose that the brain-pull mechanisms are vital to preserve cerebral energy homeostasis in times of supply chain described by Eqs 1–6 has the following general property: The energy content in the fat/muscle compartment \( F \) depends algebraically on \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \). Due to the perturbation analysis of \( J \) in \( J + J_1(\alpha, \beta) \) we find that the Jacobian \( J \) is positive for all positive parameter choices with \( \alpha = 15 \) and \( \beta = 6 \), a numerical calculation gives \( \text{spec}(J) = [-23.392, -4.803, -0.652 \pm 0.335i] \) and \( \text{spec}(J) = [-21.274, -5.699, -1.596, -0.934] \), i.e. the only stationary point is asymptotically stable with the whole space as attraction domain. Therefore, stationary points are asymptotically stable, i.e. a stationary solution is preserved as long as exogenous parameters are constant. Furthermore, the any initial condition tends to a stationary point. Furthermore, the determinant of the Jacobian \( J \) is not vanishing, and the stationary solution is unique. This stationary solution with \( \dot{q} = 0 \) can be computed by solving the system

\[
0 = Jq + c
\]

of linear equations. We get \( q_c = -J^{-1}c \), which can be calculated by formula manipulation software like e.g. Mathematica.

The stationary fat compartment is the fourth component of the stationary solution, hence \( F_4 = F_4(\alpha, \beta) = q_c \). We find that the dependency of \( F_4 \) on the brain-pull efficiency \( \alpha \) is of the type

\[
F_4(\alpha) = \frac{p\alpha + u}{r\alpha + s}, \quad F_4(\alpha) = \frac{dF_4(\alpha)}{d\alpha} = \frac{ps - ru}{(r\alpha + s)^2}
\]

with real constants \( p, u, r, s \) which are independent of the parameter \( \alpha \). Consequently, the stationary energy content of the fat compartment falls with increasing brain-pull efficiency \( \alpha \) if and only if \( F_4'(\alpha) < 0 \) and thus \( ps - ru < 0 \) holds. Indeed, we find

\[
ps - ru = -A(c_1 + c_2 + c_3) - j_1 AC_i
\]

where the abbreviations are

\[
A = c_1 k_2 (k_1 + l_m) + l_m (B k_2 + l_1) (\Gamma_1 + l_1),
\]

\[
C_i = \gamma \mu
\]

\[
C = c_1 \gamma \mu
\]

\[
C_3 = \mu [j_1 k_2 (k_1 + l_m) + \Gamma \epsilon_{12} k_2 Y],
\]

\[
C_4 = c_1 \gamma \mu [k_2 (l_m + \Gamma l_1) + \Gamma l_1] + \mu [k_2 (k_1 + l_m) + \Gamma l_1]
\]

with \( \Gamma = 1 - \gamma > 0 \). We remark that \( A, C_1, C_3, C_4 > 0 \) holds true for all parameter choices. Since \( C_1, C_3, C_4 \) can be negative for certain parameters, Eq. 8 contains the condition \( C_1 + C_3 + C_4 > 0 \) which assures that \( ps - ru < 0 \) for all non-negative \( j_1 \) which describes that e.g. the push and pull parameter \( k_2, l_1 \) in the beginning of the supply chain as well the coupling \( \beta \) are not too strong. The given parameters for the examples provide \( C_1 + C_3 + C_4 = 18.4 > 0 \). If this condition holds, then the proposition of a decreasing fat compartment with increasing brain-pull efficiency and vice versa is proven for all parameter choices.

Hence, we have proved that a general property is inherent in the presented brain supply chain: the fat compartment increases with decreasing brain-pull efficiency and vice versa (Figure 4, bottom right).

**DISCUSSION**

**BIOLICAL CORRELATES OF PULL MECHANISMS**

In this paper, we have provided evidence that brain-pull mechanisms are vital to preserve cerebral energy homeostasis in times of...
food deprivation. Which biological mechanisms can fulfil “pull”-function in a supply-chain model? When focussing on the proximal, final part of the supply chain, we find strong experimental evidence identifying two brain-pull mechanisms, a “direct” and an “allocative” one. Of note, these two components act synergistically to supply the brain.

Direct pull mechanisms have been found which enable astrocytes to actively take up glucose depending on three energetic conditions: firstly their need, secondly the available glucose supply from blood, and thirdly their adjacent neuron’s energy needs. Astrocytes are equipped with mechanisms that enable them to monitor changes in their own ATP concentrations. The glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1) has been found to mediate glucose transport in a manner that depends on cytoplasmic ATP (Blodgett et al., 2007). GLUT1, as a prototypic member of the facilitative glucose transporter family, contains 12 membrane-spanning alpha helices; six of those helices bind together in the membrane to create a polar pore in the center through which glucose can traverse. A recent study showed that ATP binding to GLUT1 causes the GLUT1 cytoplasmic carboxyl terminus to interact with the GLUT1 cytoplasmic loop 6–7 in a sequence-specific manner to inhibit glucose transport (Blodgett et al., 2007). GLUT1 are abundantly located on the luminal and abluminal side of endothelial cells forming the BBB (Cornford and Hyman, 2005) and on the end feet of the adjacent astrocytes which make contact to the endothelial cells (Kacem et al., 1998).

It has further been shown that astrocytes enhance their GLUT1-mediated glucose uptake once they consume ATP, e.g. by activating their Na+/K+ ATPase (Pellerin and Magistretti, 1997). Thus, astrocytes feature mechanisms that fulfil pull functions serving to control their energy balance.

In addition, astrocytes can react to changes in glucose concentrations in the cerebral vasculature. GLUT1-mediated glucose transport has been shown to increase parallel to the extracellular glucose concentrations (Cloherty et al., 1996). Once the pore of the facilitative GLUT1 is opened up, glucose can traverse the BBB. Thus, it is conceivable that GLUT1 pores in the open state allow a push component to couple the level of glycaemia to the astrocytic energy content. In hypoglycaemia, a state characterized by its minor glucose concentrations, GLUT1-mediated glucose uptake increases, and activation corresponds to the increase in extracellular lactate levels measured in vivo (Demestre et al., 1997; Hu and Wilson, 1997).

In 1994, Pellerin and Magistretti (1994) discovered that astrocytes particularly respond to activity of their neighbouring neurons. The researchers found that astrocytes actively pull glucose from their vicinity, when their neighbouring neurons are at work, and termed this process “energy on demand” (Magistretti et al., 1999). The conception of such a “pull-mechanism” has come to be known as the astrocyte-neuron lactate shuttle hypothesis (ANLSH) (Pellerin et al., 2007). Upon neuronal excitation, glutamate released in the synaptic cleft is taken up by astrocytes to be recycled via the specific glutamate transporters. In this way, neurons send glutamnergic signals to their astrocytes conveying that energy is needed in these neurons at this very moment. A large Na+ influx caused by glutamate uptake takes place and activates the Na+/K+ ATPase (Pellerin and Magistretti, 1997). Since the astrocytic uptake and processing of glutamate molecules is in itself an energy consuming process, which requires a small amount of energy, the astrocytes are able to detect a small astrocytic ATP-need that reflects a larger energy need in the neighbouring neurons at work. Using their ATP-dependent GLUT1-mediated mechanisms, the astrocytes are capable to assess their energy needs, to take up glucose molecules when required, and to use glycolysis to cover their own astrocytic small need. Accordingly, glutamate uptake has been shown to activate astrocytic glutamate uptake (Loaiza et al., 2003) and utilization (Pellerin and Magistretti, 1994). Then astrocytes pass on lactate molecules to the working neurons, which use oxidative phosphorylation to cover their large needs. The enhancement of aerobic glycolysis in astrocytes first causes a large increase in cytosolic NADH (Kasischke et al., 2004) that normalizes with the conversion of pyruvate into lactate and its release via monocarboxylate transporters expressed on astrocytes. Increased glucose uptake by astrocytes is not immediate but delayed compared to neuronal activation (Kasischke et al., 2004), a process for which consistent kinetics could be demonstrated recently (Aubert and Costalat, 2005; Aubert et al., 2007). Such a lactate release following glutamatergic activation corresponds to the increase in extracellular lactate levels measured in vivo (Demestre et al., 1997; Hu and Wilson, 1997). Lactate produced by astrocytes during this later phase of activation not only replenishes the extracellular pool, but also could help sustain neuronal energy needs as activation persists. Astrocytes do not only function as single entities, but rather act in perivascular astroglial metabolic networks, allowing activity-dependent intercellular trafficking of energetic metabolites to sustain glutamatergic synaptic activity (Rouchaud et al., 2008).

In all, astrocytic ATP fulfills the criterion of a key regulator in an active “energy on demand” pull process. In the current paper we took the view of integrative physiology and provide evidence for the necessity of brain-pull mechanisms for the maintenance of cerebral homeostasis. In this way, our work supports the contribution of these recent findings from the field of neuroenergetics to the function of astrocytes.

Furthermore, mechanisms exerting “allocative brain-pull mechanisms” have been experimentally located (Figure 2). Such mechanisms are capable of limiting peripheral energy storage in favour of the brain. These experiments have sustained the “Selfish Brain” theory, whose foundations have been laid from 1998 to 2004 by Achim Peters, postulating the existence of such allocative mechanisms and implementing them as functional elements serving to maintain the brain’s high energy content at the expense of the body. According to that concept, the brain simultaneously represents the highest regulatory authority and the consumer with the highest priority – it looks after itself first. In this respect, a competition among all organs drives energy allocation. In the following, we take a closer look at the mechanisms that can fulfil the function of allocative brain-pull.

In the ventromedial hypothalamus (VMH), neurons have been found that sensitively respond to changes in cerebral intracellular ATP (Miki et al., 2001). In this brain area, ATP-sensitive potassium (K<sub>ATP</sub>) channels have been shown to monitor ATP (Spanswick et al., 1997). When cerebral intracellular ATP levels fall, presynaptic K<sub>ATP</sub> channels alter the release of GABA within the VMH, hereupon the
postsynaptic VMH-neurons release glutamate, and in so doing act via the sympatho-adrenal system in order to inhibit insulin secretion and action (Ahren, 2000; Chan et al., 2007; Mulder et al., 2005; Tong et al., 2007). Because insulin is required for glucose uptake in the peripheral fat and muscle tissue via glucose transporter 4 (GLUT4), these postsynaptic VMH-neurons are able to limit glucose outflow from the blood into the peripheral buffers. In this way, these allocative mechanisms are able to preserve blood glucose as a source for the insulin-independent glucose uptake via GLUT1 into the brain. These hypothalamic neurons receive additional stimulatory input via the adipocyte-derived hormone leptin (Dhillon et al., 2006). When there is an energy overflow in the side buffers, leptin concentrations rise, leptin stimulates the VMH-neurons, and in so doing limits further energy uptake into the side buffers. These VMH-triggered mechanisms can be regarded as pull mechanisms because they safeguard blood glucose availability, a necessity for adequate brain supply. According to the literature, VMH neurons can meet the functions of allocative brain-pull mechanisms which guarantee the blood-to-brain energy flux.

When looking up at the more distal part of the supply chain, two further pull mechanisms can be identified: an ingestive and a foraging one. “Ingestive pull mechanisms” are initiated when blood glucose concentrations decline (Figure 2). Recent experimental evidence suggests that orexigenic neurons in the lateral hypothalamus (LH) fulfil such a pull function. Glucose from the blood circulation crosses the endothelial cells of the blood-brain-barrier via glucose transporters 1 and enters the brain interstitial space. Glucose acts at an extracellular site on the orexin neurons. These LH neurons respond to changes in extracellular glucose concentrations by modulating their tandem-pore K+ channels (Burdakov et al., 2006; Gonzalez et al., 2008). Upon a fall in extracellular glucose, these neurons depolarize. With activation of these neurons, appetite increases and ingestive behaviour is initiated. Upon the depletion of the peripheral buffers, the fall of leptin entails that the ingestive pull is enhanced and that the buffers can take up glucose. Both of these actions are coordinated by the VMH. This latter hypothalamic nucleus has been shown to be an indispensable part of the circuitry for the maintenance of systemic energy homeostasis (Dhillon et al., 2006). The VMH is known to inhibit LH-actions on the one hand (Oomura et al., 1964; Sakurai et al., 2005) and suppress insulin release and action on the other. According to the literature, the VMH can fulfil a function as a hypothalamic crosstown centre, which integrates the competing demands from the brain and the periphery. The LH neurons can fulfil the functions of ingestive pull mechanisms that aim to replenish energy in blood and buffers.

“Foraging” pull mechanisms regulate the access of energy resources in the remote environment. When food availability decreases in the immediate environment, this is recognized by the brain and food-searching behaviour is started (Figure 2). Foraging behaviour includes locomotion and orientation and is aimed at searching out food in the remote environment. The foraging pull appears to be a complex process in which the substantia nigra and ventral tegmental area amongst other brain areas appear to be involved (Hommel et al., 2006; Swanson, 2000). This latter pull component, however, is not included in the analyses of this paper but shall instead be a topic of an upcoming one.

We have in fact refrained from including a large number of biological mechanisms that might also fulfil functions in the supply-chain model (Table 1). A list of various possible redundant signals was presented in earlier papers (Peters et al., 2002, 2004). However, we decided to assign only a single functional mechanism and a single anatomical structure to a single signal pathway in the model. We are aware that there might be a better selection for such a substitute, and that in future hormones might be discovered that fulfil this function better and so have a greater biological relevance than the ones mentioned here. We are also aware that the assignments proposed here might be the subject of some debate, but we do feel that the specificities of the model presented are less important than the general basic principle proposed here for energy metabolism.

CORRELATES OF INCOMPETENT BRAIN-PULL

In the current paper, we have also provided evidence that an incompetent brain-pull is required for the development of obesity. Inefficiency of brain-pull mechanisms (small α) can occur at all levels of the autonomic-neuroendocrine motor system. In order to systematically explore such correlates, we looked at the entire system. The autonomic-neuroendocrine motor system is hierarchically organized among the cerebral hemispheres (including amygdala/hippocampus), the hypothalamus (including VMH), and peripheral autonomic-visceromotor and the neuroendocrine-secretomotor neurons (Swanson, 2000). The amygdala and hippocampus neurons project with axons to the VMH and the paraventricular nucleus. Here, the sympathetic nervous system is activated and neuropeptides are formed and released such as corticotropin-releasing-hormone and vasopressin. These releasing hormones stimulate adrenocorticotropin release into the general blood circulation within the pituitary. Adrenocorticoterpin ultimately stimulates the release of cortisol from the adrenal cortex. In turn, cortisol feeds back to the pituitary, the hypothalamus, the amygdala and hippocampus. Particularly in amygdala and hippocampus, cortisol acts through mineralocorticoid receptors (MR) and glucocorticoid receptors (GR), in this way alters excitability and plasticity in the amygdala and the hippocampus. Taken together, the autonomic-neuroendocrine motor system fulfils brain-pull function within the organism and is a highly organized and adaptive homeostatic system (Peters et al., 2007a). In principle, all mechanisms within the system can be subject to malfunctioning or maladaptation and thus be the cause of incompetent brain-pull.

There is range of clinical and experimental evidence showing that malfunction of the VMH neurons plays a crucial role in the development of obesity (Table 2). VMH leptin-receptor-knock-out mice are specific examples among many other possibilities of signalling disruptions in the VMH which are associated with obesity (Bingham et al., 2008; Dhillon et al., 2006). Another example is shown by studies on mice with a leptin receptor defect which have been shown to exhibit incompetent brain-pull. In the developmental phase of these animals their bodies’ mass largely grew, but their brains were 25% smaller than those of control mice (Vannucci et al., 1997). Furthermore, the VMH neurons can be destroyed by tumours e.g. craniopharyngioma leading to inefficiency of brain-pull mechanisms and obesity (Muller et al., 2004). Moreover, the VMH neurons receive massive excitatory input from the cerebral...
Table 2 | Differential diagnosis of incompetent brain-pull. Various causes may underlie an inefficient energy allocation to the brain (small α). The causes can be summarized according to three categories similar to the causes of computer problems: hardware problems, software problems, and false signals. Inefficient allocative energy procurement threatens the cerebral energy need, but can be safeguarded by an increased ingestive behaviour. We could prove in the current paper that in the depicted brain-supply chain the efficiency of brain-pull (α) is inversely related to body mass (F). Therefore, it is not surprising that the listed conditions of incompetent brain-pull have been found to be associated with body mass gain.

| Categories                          | Causes                                                      | Examples                                                                 | Experimental evidence                                                                 |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Hardware problems:                 | Physical trauma, brain tumours                              | Amygdala lesions, VMH lesions                                            | (Bray et al., 1981; Grundmann et al., 2005; King et al., 1996, 2003; Rollins and King, 2000) |
| Structural failures                | (local mass effect)                                         |                                                                           |                                                                                        |
| Structural failures                |                                                             |                                                                           |                                                                                        |
| Gen-defects/polymorphisms          | Kir6.2 mutation of ATP-sensitive K+ channels, TrkB receptor mutation, leptin receptor mutation, leptin deficiency, brain glucocorticoid receptor (GR) hyperfunctioning, GR-polymorphisms |                                                                           | (Bingham et al., 2008; Clement et al., 1996; Dhillon et al., 2006; Huizenga et al., 1998; Kanekai et al., 2004; Lin et al., 1999, 2003; Miki et al., 2001; Montague et al., 1997; Yeo et al., 2004) |
| Software problems:                 | Perinatal conditions                                        | Maternal care, Perinatal stress, Perinatal programming, Epigenetic programming | (Entringer et al., 2008; Francis et al., 1999; Flaggemann, 2005; Weaver et al., 2000) |
| Cerebral malprogramming             |                                                             |                                                                           |                                                                                        |
| Psychological trauma               | Atypical depression                                         |                                                                           | (Schweiger et al., 2008)                                                                 |
| Episodic metabolic crises          | Recurrent hypoglycemia (autonomic failure in type 1 diabetes) |                                                                           | (Boyle et al., 1994a; Dunn et al., 2007; Schultes et al., 2005; The DCCT Research Group, 1988) |
| Food-related cues                  | Contextual learning and appetitive conditioning              |                                                                           | (Birch et al., 1989; Petrovich et al., 2002)                                           |
| False signals: Chemical or microbial agents | Pharmacological | Psychotropic drugs (anticonvulsants, opiates, cannabis, benzodiazepines), Metabotrope drugs (sulphonylureas, glucocorticoids) | (Benowitz et al., 1976; Breier et al., 1991; Degli Uberti et al., 1992; Petraglia et al., 1986; ter Braak et al., 2002; Zakrevskaya et al., 1999) |
| Sweet noncaloric substances        | Interference with sweet perception                           |                                                                           | (Swithers and Davidson, 2008)                                                          |
| Infectious agents                  | Viruses                                                      |                                                                           | (Lyons et al., 1982)                                                                   |

hemispheres, particularly from the amygdala (Petrovich et al., 2001; Swanson, 2000). Thus it is not surprising that bilateral lesions of the amygdala cause a severe obesity syndrome (King et al., 2003). It could also be that monogenetic defects like TrkB mutation lead to an inefficient brain-pull with obesity and cognitive dysfunction as became evident in the case of an 8-year-old boy who displayed extreme obesity (50 kg) and cognitive deficits (Yeo et al., 2004). In addition, environmental food-related cues have been shown in humans and rats to induce conditional learning in the amygdala and override satiety signals (Birch et al., 1989; Petrovich et al., 2002). Such external cues affect the information processing by amygdala neurons and their triple-descending pathways to the hypothalamus (Petrovich et al., 2001), and in so doing may induce a conditioned brain-pull hypofunction. Finally, the brain glucocorticoid feedback in the autonomic-neuroendocrine motor system may be affected. Cortisol, via its brain GR, exerts feedback inhibition in order to reset the system into a state of rest after a stressful event. If brain GR act too strongly, they dampen the autonomic-neuroendocrine motor system too much, and in so doing impair the allocative brain-pull. In fact, brain GR have been confirmed in a genetic mouse model to promote energy accumulation within the body via their effects on the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal system (Kellendonk et al., 2002). Human carriers of the GR-polymorphism N363S, which display higher GR-sensitivity to glucocorticoids (Huizenga et al., 1998), display signs of an inefficient brain-pull and are also prone to develop obesity (Lin et al., 1999). Early life events have been shown in pigs to increase GR function and body mass in the long-term (Weaver et al., 2000), a process referred to as “perinatal programming”. Michael J. Meaney and co-workers have found in rats that the epigenomic state of the hippocampal GR promoter can be established through behavioural programming, and that it is potentially reversible (Weaver et al., 2004). Epigenetic programming of neurons by psychosocial, dietary, and environmental factors opens a field for future obesity research. Thus, a variety of causes may underlie a malfunctioning and maladaptation of the autonomic-neuroendocrine motor system which may lead to an incompetent brain-pull.

**PHYSIOLOGICAL POTENTIAL OF BRAIN-PULL**

Inanition can be regarded as a slow energy allocation towards the brain occurring after approximately 1 month (Krieger, 1921). Under normal nutritional conditions, energy allocation towards the brain can also take place very fast. Rapid energy allocation to the brain could be shown by recent magnetic resonance spectroscopy studies from our lab (Oltmanns et al., 2008). We examined short-term changes in high energy phosphates in the human brain and...
muscle after manipulating the energy supply in the blood circulation (by injection of either insulin or dextrose). Using 31P phosphorus magnetic resonance spectroscopy, we found that the high energy phosphates changed in favour of the brain cells and not in favour of muscle cells. Such a divergent energetic response of brain and muscle with a rise in cerebral and a drop in muscle high energy phosphate content could be observed already after 20–30 min.

At the million-year timescale, comparative studies on primate and non-primate vertebrates reveal that there is an evolutionary trend towards improved energy allocation to the brain (Mink et al., 1981). The advantage of a strong allocative brain-pull mechanisms may have become particularly evident in prolonged periods of food scarcity. As shown above in this paper, an efficient brain-pull mechanism (large α) is necessary to reduce body size in favour of maintained brain size. In fact, brains of *Homo sapiens* not only use a larger proportion of the organism’s energy supply (20%) than do the brains of rats, cats, and dogs (4–6%) (Mink et al., 1981), brains of *Homo sapiens* are also the largest compared to their body size, where humans exhibit a relatively gracile physique (Aiello and Wheeler, 1995; Armstrong, 1983). Better diet quality or sparing expensive body functions have been proposed to set the stage for enhanced energy allocation to the brain (Armstrong, 1983). But how can a brain ensure that its needs are covered, once the cerebral needs have increased relative to the needs of the body? Is it conceivable that the “competence of brain-pull” drives the encephalization in human brain evolution?

**CONCLUSION**

By setting up a model of the brain’s supply chain and by comparing its predictions with medical and experimental data, we have demonstrated data-based support of our hypothesis, which states that a competent brain-pull is indispensable for cerebral energy homeostasis, especially at times of nutritional deficiency. The brain – since it actively controls its own energy procurement – behaves “selfishly” in this respect (Peters et al., 2004). In the same manner, we were also able to show support of the related hypothesis, which states that at times of caloric abundance an incompetent brain-pull is necessary to cause build-ups in the supply chain of the brain culminating in obesity and diabetes type 2. We have proved analytically that extent of the resultant obesity depends on brain-pull efficiency in a strictly inverse manner. Finally we put together what we have found by testing these two related hypotheses: In order to ensure both cerebral and peripheral energy homeostasis in a healthy subject, a supply chain ranging from the environment, through the body, towards the brain must feature a competent brain-pull mechanism – which was to be demonstrated. The logistic supply-chain approach may be a useful tool for a better targeting of the obesity problem: to improve the metabolic competence of the brain, i.e. the brain-pull.
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