Cryptic genetic structure and copy-number variation in the ubiquitous forest symbiotic fungus *Cenococcum geophilum*
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Summary

Ectomycorrhizal (ECM) fungi associated with plants constitute one of the most successful symbiotic interactions in forest ecosystems. ECM support trophic exchanges with host plants and are important factors for the survival and stress resilience of trees. However, ECM clades often harbour morpho-species and cryptic lineages, with weak morphological differentiation. How this relates to intraspecific genome variability and ecological functioning is poorly known. Here, we analysed 16 European isolates of the ascomycete *Cenococcum geophilum*, an extremely ubiquitous forest symbiotic fungus with no known sexual or asexual spore-forming structures but with a massively enlarged genome. We carried out whole-genome sequencing to identify single-nucleotide polymorphisms. We found no geographic structure at the European scale but divergent lineages within sampling sites. Evidence for recombination was restricted to specific cryptic lineages. Lineage differentiation was supported by extensive copy-number variation. Finally, we confirmed heterothallism with a single MAT1 idiomorph per genome. Synteny analyses of the MAT1 locus revealed substantial rearrangements and a pseudogene of the opposite MAT1 idiomorph. Our study provides the first evidence for substantial genome-wide structural variation, lineage-specific recombination and low continent-wide genetic differentiation in *C. geophilum*. Our study provides a foundation for targeted analyses of intra-specific functional variation in this major symbiosis.

Introduction

Ectomycorrhizal (ECM) fungi associated with plants constitute one of the most successful symbiotic interactions in forest ecosystems (Martin et al., 2016). Many ECM fungal partners support the nutrition of many host tree species by facilitating the acquisition of essential nutrients, which is a key determinant of plant survival and productivity under abiotic stress or changing environmental conditions. With exceptional species richness (~20 000; Martin et al., 2016), ECM fungi carry high functional diversity among species that is a key factor of ecosystem resilience (Larsen et al., 2016). The environment, through biotic and abiotic factors, plays a major role in shaping ECM communities and their host range (Kennedy, 2010). Environmental variation supports ECM species diversity at both small (e.g., host plants) and large spatial scales, and underlies functionally important phenotypic plasticity for host trees (van der Linde et al., 2018). Although intra-specific diversity of important symbiotic traits was highlighted in a handful of studies (Cairney, 1999; Rineau & Courty, 2011), the extent to which such variation is genetically driven and contributes to functional diversity within ECM communities remains largely unknown (Johnson et al., 2012).

*Cenococcum geophilum* Fr. (Dothideomycetes, Ascomycota; Spatafora et al., 2012) is one of the most ubiquitous and abundant ECM fungi in the world (LoBuglio, 1999). *Cenococcum geophilum* colonises a large variety of hosts and occupies a wide ecological
niche, from alpine to tropical regions (Trappe, 1962). The species tolerates adverse environmental conditions, as it is commonly found in soils with limited water availability, contaminated by heavy metals or in sites with stressful climatic conditions such as at the timberline (LoBuglio, 1999; Hasselquist et al., 2005; Gonçalves et al., 2009; Herzog et al., 2013). Increased drought tolerance and resistance to desiccation have been shown for *C. geophilum* mycelia and ECM root-tips as compared to other ECM species (Coleman et al., 1989; di Pietro et al., 2007; Fernandez et al., 2013). These studies also revealed high-intraspecific variability and demonstrated that melanin content is one of the key functional traits conferring an advantage under water stress. In nature, *C. geophilum* isolates have shown patterns of local adaptation to serpentine soils with a significant effect of nickel concentrations on fitness-related traits (Gonçalves et al., 2009; Bazzicalupo et al., 2020). Collectively, these results suggest that this cosmopolitan ECM fungus has undergone selection pressures imposed by various environmental factors.

*Cenococcum geophilum* is typically recognized based on morphology, i.e., a morpho-species. It forms black melanised mycorrhizae with thick, darkly pigmented hyphae spreading from the root tips into the surrounding soil. Although rare in other ECM species (Smith et al., 2015), *C. geophilum* produces sclerotia that act as a ‘sclerotal bank’ in the soil, which are resistant propagules that are melanised and can withstand desiccation for several decades and sequester carbon as dead sclerotia for centuries (Watanabe et al., 2007; Obase et al., 2014, 2018). Although being common, the biology and life cycle remain poorly understood. Phylogenetic analyses have revealed multiple divergent *C. geophilum* lineages on small spatial scales, even at the level of soil core samples (Douhan and Rizzo, 2005; Obase et al., 2016). The high genetic diversity within populations (ECM root tips and sclerotia) suggests that *C. geophilum* has undergone cryptic speciation (Obase et al., 2017; Vélez et al., 2021).

Our understanding of *C. geophilum* reproduction is limited. Whilst no spore-producing structures were observed, indicating strictly asexual reproduction through vegetative mycelial propagation, including germination of sclerotia, population genetic studies suggested recombination events that would have involved sexual mating (LoBuglio and Taylor, 2002; Douhan et al., 2007). However, mating may well be restricted to certain environmental conditions or to a few specific lineages with distinct gene pathways involved in sexuality, as suggested in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Riley and Corradi, 2013). This is supported by phylogenetic studies that showed little gene flow within *C. geophilum* populations. Subtle geographic structure with long-distance disjunction suggests (Obase et al., 2016) a complex alternation of sexual and asexual reproduction over space and time (Obase et al., 2017). Besides further sampling efforts, analyses at a whole genome level are essential to determine the prevalence of outcrossing and to better understand patterns of cryptic speciation.

The genome of *C. geophilum*, the only known ECM member within Dothideomycetes, is uniquely large for this class (Peter et al., 2016). A high-quality reference genome (strain 1.58) revealed that about 75% of the genome is composed of transposable elements (TEs) and this high proportion is unevenly distributed in the genome, with TE-rich and TE-poor regions (de Freitas Pereira et al., 2018). Its closest sequenced relatives within Gloniaceae, Mytilinidiales, the saprotrophic species *Glonium stellatum* and *Lepidoterrera palustris*, for example, showed a fourfold smaller genome size (Peter et al., 2016). TE proliferation seems to be a hallmark for the transition from saprotrophy to symbiosis and in fact, *C. geophilum* is the ECM species with the highest proportion of TEs known to date as well as having one of the largest genomes (i.e., 178 Mbp; Miyachi et al., 2020). The variation of intraspecific genome size further suggests important structural rearrangements or gene duplications and deletions (Bourne et al., 2014). Nevertheless, the gene content (14 748) is similar to the average number of genes found in fungi (Miyachi et al., 2020). Investigation of the reference genome sequence highlighted the presence of sex-related genes and an intact MAT1 locus containing one of the two idiomorphs (here MAT1-1) typical for a heterothallic bipolar mating system of ascomycetes (Peter et al., 2016). The mating-type locus carrying the opposite idiomorph, i.e., MAT1-2, has not been analysed in *C. geophilum* so far.

In this study, we used whole-genome sequencing to determine the population genetic structure of 16 *C. geophilum* isolates across Europe and test for recombination events. We reconstructed genome-wide phylogenetic relationships within the genus and characterised gene duplication and deletion events to investigate structural patterns of genetic diversity. Then, we analysed patterns of selection at the gene and genome level using nucleotide diversity, Tajima’s D, and the ratio of non-synonymous to synonymous polymorphisms. Lastly, we analysed the genetic architecture of the mating type locus and its recent sequence evolution.

**Results**

Read mapping, variant calling, and polymorphism filtering

Illumina sequencing of 16 *C. geophilum* strains resulted in 348.9 million read pairs (Fig. 1A; Table S1). Of those, 293.6 million read pairs (84.16%) were successfully
mapped on the reference strain 1.58. The highest and lowest number of raw read pairs was available for the strains 1.101 and 1.094, respectively, and the highest (95.54%) and lowest (64.37%) overall read mapping rate with the reference strain was found in strains 1.061 and 1.096, respectively (Table S1). An average of 11.3 million read pairs per strain were used for mapping (Table S1). We used the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) to detect genetic variants from the 16 sequenced strains and found, after filtering, a total of 345,358 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), including 284,479 bi-allelic SNPs, of which 67,186 were synonymous variant sites based on the SnpEff analysis.

**Phylogenetic tree reconstructions**

We inferred phylogenetic trees based on the GAPDH and ITS loci using maximum-likelihood (ML) analyses to identify the main lineages of *C. geophilum* strains. Our two-locus nuclear dataset contained alignment lengths of 597 and 949 bp for GAPDH and ITS, respectively, with a total of 1546 aligned bp for the 40 strains (including outgroups; Table S2). The GAPDH locus had the most variable sites. The inferred GAPDH and ITS ML tree topologies revealed several incongruencies, but the concatenated partitions generated a fairly well-resolved phylogenetic tree, with a majority of branches with BS values >80; Figs 1B; Figs S1 and S2). With minor exceptions, we found strong support for several monophyletic clades but those were not congruent with the geographical origin of the samples and host plants (Fig. 1B; Table 1). Overall, the clades that emerged from the sequenced European strains were depicted in the worldwide clades 5 and 6 described by Obase et al. (2016).

To improve resolution, we used genome-wide data and found highly congruent tree topologies compared to the GAPDH and ITS phylogeny. The phylomomic tree was robust to the use of two near neutral datasets of either synonymous SNPs or randomly-selected genome-wide SNPs (Fig. 1C). Both genome-based ML trees were well-resolved with most of branches being strongly supported (BS > 90; Fig. 1C). This indicates that neutral divergence in the coding regions matches the phylogenetic relationships given by the divergence in non-coding or coding regions.

**Recombination events and genetic structure**

We analysed patterns of recombination using a Neighbour-Net network in SplitsTree and found evidence for significant events among the genomes of *C. geophilum* strains (Fig. 2A). We identified two major genetic groups connected by two strains (1.005 and 1.076). The Φ-test supported recombination across the genome when all *C. geophilum* were included (P < 0.001; Table S3). However, applying the Φ test to only the cluster not containing the reference genome (non-ref. cluster) yielded no significant evidence for recombination (P = 0.641), suggesting one clonal and one recombinant genetic group.

As for the phylogenetic analyses, we found no clear geographic structure based on either principal components (PC) or Bayesian clustering analysis. For example, isolates from the Pfynwald (CHE) sampling site (1.057, 1.58, 1.060, 1.061 and 1.064) clustered into the two major groups, reporting a new case of highly divergent lineages present in the same sampling site (Fig. 2A). In addition, isolates from the Blizyn (POL) sampling site (1.094, 1.096 and 1.097) also showed divergent lineages, but these were only represented in the clonal group with both mating types (Fig. 2A). No fungal lineages exclusive to certain host tree species were observed in this dataset. The reference genome 1.58 appeared at the edge of the recombinant genetic group. The first axes of the PCA captured 61.9% and 9.6% of the genetic variance (PC1 and PC2, respectively; Fig. 2B). In total, the first three axes explained 76.8% of the overall genotypic variation (Fig. S3). Consistent with the Neighbour-Net network, PC1 and PC2 separated three to four genetic groups with substantial differentiation between the Pfynwald strains. The intermediate genetic group consisting of the 1.005 and 1.076 strains also clustered together independently. In addition, Bayesian clustering analyses were congruent between replicates (Fig. S4) and we found the optimal number of clusters to be K = 2 (Fig. 2C). At K = 2, two major groups were clearly identified and the intermediate group (strains 1.005 and 1.076), displayed in the PCA and network analysis, belonged to the Pfynwald group containing the sequenced strain 1.58. At K = 3, the intermediate group still exhibited patterns of admixture between the two major groups, and two Polish genotypes (strains 1.094 and 1.096 belonging to the phylogenetic Clade 6 in Obase et al., 2016) indicated contributions from a third genetic group. At higher K values, no significant changes were observed in genotype ancestry memberships.

**Detection of copy-number variations**

We conducted copy-number variation (CNV) analyses by comparing read depth of *C. geophilum* strain sequencing sets against the reference genome. We improved the robustness of CNV calls by removing any CNV calls in regions where self-alignments of strain 1.58 reads against the 1.58 reference genome produced candidate CNVs. Overall, we found that gene duplications occurred ~3.5 fold more frequently than deletions (Fig. 3A). This predominance of gene duplications was only detected at
the highest rates of overlap between genes and CNV regions, i.e., >95% similarity. In total, we identified 217 and 72 gene duplications and deletions, respectively, using a threshold of >50% similarity. By inferring the overall tree topology based on CNV genotypes, we found patterns consistent with the outcomes of the genetic clustering and phylogenetic analyses (Fig. 3B). First, five strains (1.022, 1.094, 1.096, 1.097 and 1.101) formed a
monophyletic clade that was paraphyletic in either the two-locus or genome-based phylogenies (Figs 1C and 3B). Second, we identified a monophyletic clade with six strains (1.060, 1.075, 1.100, 1.102, 1.104 and 1.58) that constituted a paraphyletic clade in the previous analyses. Furthermore, we tested whether the distance between genes affected by CNVs and the closest TEs are smaller than those for all genes as indicated for other species (e.g., Hartmann and Croll, 2017). Interestingly, CNV genes were at a slightly larger distance compared to the background (\(P = 0.028, 719\) and 448 median base pairs, respectively; Fig. 4).

Within-species genetic diversity and signatures of selection

We assessed within-species genetic diversity across the 16 *C. geophilum* genomes and identified genomic regions with elevated diversity rates along the two longest scaffolds, i.e., #1 and #2; Fig. 5A and C, on which the density of coding sequences and TEs were negatively correlated (Fig. 5B and D). At the gene level, we estimated the nucleotide diversity per site (\(\pi\)) to be 0.000–0.020, 0.000–0.028 and 0.000–0.013 for the ‘all’, ‘ref. cluster’ and ‘non-ref. cluster’ datasets, respectively (Fig. 6A). The genome-wide average \(\pi\) value was \(3.31 \times 10^{-3}, 1.31 \times 10^{-3}\) and \(1.01 \times 10^{-3}\), respectively (Fig. 6A). These results support that the ref. cluster is genetically more diverse than the non-ref. cluster, although the sample size is nearly double in the latter. At the gene level, we calculated Tajima’s \(D\) values ranging from −1.90 to 2.86, −0.87 to 2.32 and −1.97 to 2.42 for the ‘all’, ‘ref. cluster’ and ‘non-ref. cluster’ dataset, respectively (Fig. 6B). At the genome-wide level, the average Tajima’s \(D\) estimates were 0.14 (ref. cluster) and 0.31 (non-ref. cluster), with a value of 1.64 for the ‘all’ dataset (Fig. 6B). This strongly skewed Tajima’s \(D\) distribution when all strains are included towards positive values indicates strong population substructure (Fig. 6B). However, the ‘ref. cluster’ dataset showed a non-normal distribution of Tajima’s \(D\) with an excess of negative values, which is indicative of a population expansion. The non-ref. cluster showed a distribution largely centred around 0 under neutrality and constant population size. A slight deviation towards positive values suggests a historic decrease in population size or some population substructure. The distribution of the \(pN/pS\) ratio assessed from all dataset suggests purifying selection (Fig. 6C).

Then, we characterised the top-candidate genes putatively under selection by identifying those with the lowest Tajima’s \(D\) estimates in either the ‘ref. cluster’ or ‘non-ref. cluster’ (see Experimental procedures). Based on functional annotation, we found that those top-candidate genes differed to some extent between the ref. and non-ref. clusters (Table 2). In the recombining ‘ref. cluster’, increased numbers of genes were detected that are putatively involved in self/non-self-recognition containing HET, NACHT, WD40- and Ankyrin-repeat domains, as well as genes that are members of multigene-families that are expanded in *C. geophilum* or unique in this species and its closest relatives *G. stellatum* and *L. palustris* as compared to other Dothideomycetes (Peter et al., 2016). In the ‘non-ref. cluster’, we found increased number of genes involved in the secondary metabolism (SM; e.g., Lofgren et al., 2021) including two genes found in SM clusters for prenyltransferase synthesis (DMATs; Khaldi et al., 2020). In both ref. and non-ref. clusters, we found one gene coding for a carbohydrate-active enzyme (CAZyme; Cantarel et al., 2009). In the ‘ref. cluster’, it codes for a secreted \(\beta\)-galactosidase (Zeiner et al., 2016), a member of the glycoside hydrolase family 35 (GH35) implicated in

### Table 1. Details of the *Cenococcum geophilum* isolates analysed in this study.

| Strain | MAT1 locus | Isolate origin | Code | Site | Forest | Isolation year | Latitude (° N) | Longitude (° E) |
|--------|------------|----------------|------|------|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1.104  | MAT1-2     | Finland        | FIN  | Punkaharu | Pinus sylvestris | 20.08.08 | 61.800000 | 29.136667 |
| 1.076  | MAT1-1     | France         | FRA  | La Crête | Fagus sylvatica | 1999 | 48.111111 | 5.336944 |
| 1.075  | MAT1-1     | France         | FRA  | La Crête | Fagus sylvatica | 1999 | 48.111111 | 5.336944 |
| 1.100  | MAT1-1     | France         | FRA  | Ventoux | Mixed | 30.07.09 | 44.179497 | 5.241850 |
| 1.094  | MAT1-1     | Poland         | POL  | Blizyn  | Mixed | 01.03.09 | 51.037222 | 20.869083 |
| 1.096  | MAT1-2     | Poland         | POL  | Blizyn  | Mixed | 13.08.09 | 51.037111 | 20.868694 |
| 1.097  | MAT1-1     | Poland         | POL  | Blizyn  | Mixed | 13.08.09 | 51.037222 | 20.870250 |
| 1.022  | MAT1-2     | Switzerland    | CHE  | Nessental | Picea abies | 13.06.80 | 46.722500 | 8.296667 |
| 1.101  | MAT1-2     | Switzerland    | CHE  | Parabock | Picea abies | 15.05.08 | 46.690960 | 7.236940 |
| 1.102  | MAT1-2     | Switzerland    | CHE  | Parabock | Picea abies | 10.10.08 | 46.690960 | 7.236940 |
| 1.057  | MAT1-1     | Switzerland    | CHE  | Pynwald | Pinus sylvestris | 23.04.08 | 46.301389 | 7.611944 |
| 1.58   | MAT1-1     | Switzerland    | CHE  | Pynwald | Pinus sylvestris | 30.04.08 | 46.301389 | 7.611944 |
| 1.061  | MAT1-1     | Switzerland    | CHE  | Pynwald | Pinus sylvestris | 30.04.08 | 46.301389 | 7.611944 |
| 1.064  | MAT1-1     | Switzerland    | CHE  | Pynwald | Pinus sylvestris | 04.09.08 | 46.301389 | 7.611944 |
| 1.060  | MAT1-1     | Switzerland    | CHE  | Pynwald | Pinus sylvestris | 30.04.08 | 46.301389 | 7.611944 |
| 1.005  | MAT1-2     | Switzerland    | CHE  | Strada  | Picea abies | 03.11.77 | 46.767500 | 9.178889 |
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hemicellulose degradation (van den Brink and de Vries, 2011) that is contracted in the C. geophilum genome as compared to other Dothideomycetes. In the ‘non-ref. cluster’, it is a member of the lytic polysaccharide monoxygenases (LPMO) family A11 that are almost exclusively present in ascomycetes and the one functionally characterised so far involved in chitin degradation (Hemsworth et al., 2014). Other genes found in both groups encode for proteins involved in information storage and processing as well as cellular processes and signalling (Table S4). All these genes were expressed in free-living mycelium and/or functioning ECM root-tips, but most of them not differentially regulated in these tissues and if so, then mostly down-regulated in ECMs (Table S4).
**Fig. 3.** Analysis of copy-number variation regions among the sampled *Cenococcum geophilum* strains.

A. Gene content including CNV with the percentage of length covered by deletion or duplication >50%. Deletions refer to any gene locus showing at least one deletion in a strain while duplications include any gene locus showing only duplication or normal copy-numbers without deletion.

B. Gene comparison affected by deletion or duplication among *C. geophilum* strains. Tree topologies on the left and top show the grouping according to gene CNVs. The y-axis shows gene identifiers of CNV-affected genes. Note that the strain 1.58 displays only single-copy genes because the CNV calls were filtered to contain only those genes that were confirmed as single-copy from the reference genome. Strain codes are described in Table S1.
were flanked by a conserved gene encoding a MFS transporter (555485) and a gene coding for a protein (72340) of unknown function, both of which showed evidence for insertion polymorphisms generating non-syntenic regions among the MAT1-1 and MAT1-2 strains. Furthermore, the flanking APN2 gene (686539) coding for a putative DNA lyase, and the conserved protein TEX2 (634502) coding for a putative PH domain-containing integral membrane protein were also present in the MAT1 loci of the closely related G. stellatum and L. palustris and are often found adjacent to MAT1 idiomorphs in ascomycetes (Debuchy et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016; APN2: Glost2:386243, Leppa1:414878; TEX2: Glost2:444168, Leppa1:322867). We further found a gene (555074) encoding a cysteine-type peptidase and a protein of unknown function (72410). Homologous cysteine-type peptidases are present in other ascomycetes, but the proximity of the gene to the mating-type locus is unique to C. geophilum.

**Discussion**

**Cryptic population genetic structure and evidence for recombination**

Our genomic analyses of European C. geophilum strains, which showed that divergent lineages co-occur in single sampling sites without major geographic structuring, support previous reports from North America that were based on a restricted number of markers (Douhan and Rizzo, 2005; Vélez et al., 2021). Interestingly, our network analysis indicated that the two main genetic groups had possibly different levels of recombination, with most of the sampled strains being clustered into the clonal group with little gene flow. It is unclear whether this restricted gene flow is due to incompatible mating systems or clonal proliferation. Since neither sexual nor asexual spore-forming structures have been reported for C. geophilum, this suggests a vegetative mycelial propagation in the soil with limited migration ability of the species. In the recombinant group, cryptic sexual events must have occurred at some point in the near or distant past. In case of an asexually reproducing population, i.e., mating incompatibility, low genetic similarities are expected between strains sampled in the same site, which could explain the observed pattern within the Pfynwald site, where one strain (1.060) clearly differs and did not group in the recombinant cluster (Fig. 2A). Our synteny analyses of the MAT1 loci showed important rearrangements in close vicinity to the MAT1 genes, but whether this affects mating compatibility is unclear. Apart from mating incompatibility or sexual versus vegetative propagation as well as isolation by geographic distance, other evolutionary processes could explain the current genetic structure, such as demographic history, past
fluctuations in effective population size (e.g., population expansion or contraction), or processes of adaptation to environmental conditions during glacial and interglacial periods, as reported in other ECM fungi (Geml et al., 2006; Sánchez-Ramírez et al., 2015).

Alternatively, the recent adaptation of \textit{C. geophilum} to new hosts or environmental conditions may have led to the emergence of cryptic lineages with strong genetic structure over short geographical distances. While \textit{C. geophilum} is known to have a broad host tree spectrum, some lineages may nevertheless show host preferences, as reported in other ECM species (Rochet et al., 2011; Lofgren et al., 2021). In this respect, the tree–fungus interaction might have shaped differentiation and genetic isolation of these cryptic lineages, in combination with recent selection. The present data do not

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Figure5.png}
\caption{Genetic diversity analysis and coding sequence variation along scaffolds #1 and #2 in \textit{Cenococcum geophilum} strains used in this study. A and C. Nucleotide diversity per site is given for each gene identified in the 1.58 genome assembly. B and D. Density of gene content and transposable element is presented. Results are shown for the complete dataset (‘all’) and subsets (‘ref. cluster’ and ‘non-ref. cluster’) of \textit{Cenococcum geophilum} strains used in this study (see section Experimental Procedures).}
\end{figure}
support such a mechanism because no lineage grouping according to the dominant host tree species was observed. Also, plant-fungus synthesis experiments showed that functional ectomycorrhizas are formed with diverse tree species, both broadleaves and conifers, for the reference strain 1.58 but also for others (e.g., de Freitas Pereira et al., 2018). This shows that the symbiosis can be established with diverse hosts, even if there are possibly host preferences under natural conditions. To shed light on host specificity as a potential driver of genetic structuring within and among sites, detailed information on C. geophilum populations associated with roots of different host tree species is needed. Regarding the environment, adaptation to soil characteristics may prevent wide distribution, foster differentiation through ecological isolation and, ultimately, lead to independent and clonal lineages. Note that edaphic specialisation can be coupled with, or even supplanted by, the competition avoidance strategy of ECM species within soil communities (Mujic et al., 2016). Clearly, our dataset with 16 strains is limited and further population genomic studies, with more isolates per population, are required to be conclusive about the prevalence of sexual reproduction under varying ecological conditions throughout the species range.

Massive gene duplications and functional regions under positive selection

Our CNV analysis revealed broad genomic alterations among C. geophilum lineages with about 3.5-fold more gene duplications than deletions. The genome assembly of C. geophilum is not yet at chromosome scale, hence coverage-based copy-number analyses should be interpreted conservatively. However, we have implemented a stringent quality filter to only focus on regions with the highest confidence for CNV. Interestingly, the genome-wide pattern of CNV genotypes is congruent with the tree topology from phylogenetic reconstructions suggesting that CNVs have accumulated gradually since the origin of the species. However, the genomic distances between the CNV genes and their closest TEs were in the same range as those for all genes. This is unexpected since previous studies showed that genes affected by CNVs are often close to TEs due to their mutagenic potential (e.g., Hartmann and Croll, 2017). A reason for this finding

Fig. 6. Within-species genetic diversity and signatures of selection.
A. Distribution of nucleotide diversity per site for each gene.
B. Distribution of gene-specific Tajima’s D.
C. Distribution of the gene-specific ratio of non-synonymous to synonymous polymorphisms (pN/pS). Results are shown for the complete dataset (’all’) and subsets (’ref. cluster’ and ’non-ref. cluster’) of Cenococcum geophilum strains used in this study (see section Experimental Procedures). Note that the reference cluster is genetically more diverse than the non-ref. cluster.
might be the high abundance of TEs throughout the C. geophilum genome. Although de Freitas Pereira et al. (2018) found islands of TE-rich and TE-poor regions, these were rather small and scattered throughout the scaffolds so that most genes have TEs in close vicinity. With about 75% of its genome comprising TEs, C. geophilum exhibits the highest proportion of transposons and retrotransposons found in ECM fungi (18–75%; Miyauchi et al., 2020) and other Dothideomycetes (1%–75%; Peter et al., 2016). Our findings corroborate the recent proliferation of species-specific TEs and its evolutionary significance in affecting functional rearrangements of the genome (Daboussi and Capy, 2003; Muszewska et al., 2019). However, other mechanisms such as aneuploidy, chromosomal duplication, unequal crossing over may also be responsible for these gene duplications (Bourne et al., 2014). Such high intraspecific genomic diversity has lately been observed for other long-considered asexual fungi, such as the ancient arbuscular mycorrhizal glomeromycetes, and TE activity was one of the explanatory mechanism (Chen et al., 2018). Likewise, genomic studies indicated the presence of cryptic sex in these species, which, as in our case, might explain the high adaptability of these fungi to a wide range of hosts and habitats and likely has functional significance in host-environment interactions (Chen et al., 2018; Reinhardt et al., 2021).

As in another ascomycete ECM fungus, e.g. Tuber melanosporum (Payen et al., 2015), we found a large proportion of genes that exhibited an excess of low-frequency polymorphisms relative to neutral expectation (Tajima's D < −1, non-ref. cluster; Fig. 6B). Candidate genes identified as putatively under selection need to be interpreted with caution given our limited sample size and heterogeneous genetic structure. Nevertheless, a large number of genes identified in the recombining ref. cluster are putatively implicated in self/non-self-recognition containing HET, NACHT, WD40- and Ankyrin-repeat domains and are members of expanded gene families. These genes could also be involved in a parasexual life cycle and underlie potential cryptic sexuality. NACHT and Ankyrin domain proteins were identified as likely being under selection in the outcrossing ECM ascomycete T. melanosporum in a similar analysis of six isolates covering its geographical distribution (Payen et al., 2015). Fungal genomes contain a large number of genes encoding HET domains of unknown function, but resolved functions often relate to vegetative incompatibility (e.g., conspecific recognition; Paoletti, 2016), hetero-specific, or even inter-kingdom recognition as seen for characterized NOD-like receptors (Dyrka et al., 2014). These genes were not up- or down-regulated in ECM root tips as compared with free-living mycelium in a synthesis experiment (Peter et al., 2016) and, hence, are likely not involved in specific symbiotic functions in C. geophilum. The repeat domains have been shown to evolve under positive diversifying selection (Dyrka et al. 2014) as were some groups of HET domain-containing proteins that displayed higher allelic diversity and a restricted phylogenetic distribution (Paoletti et al. 2016). In the more clonal non-ref. cluster, for which Tajima's D values were generally more negative and centred around 0, we found increased number of candidate genes putatively under selection, which are involved in the secondary metabolism. This matches findings from fungal pathogens (e.g., Hartmann et al., 2018). Interestingly, clearer signatures of positive selection were found for an outcrossing fungal pathogen species as compared to a more clonally reproducing generalist fungal pathogen (Derbyshire, 2020).

Genomic rearrangements of the MAT1 locus

The bipolar mating-type (MAT) system governs sexual reproduction in ascomycetes and has a key role in establishing cell identity and determining the sexual cycle. Two fungal partners can mate only if they carry different MAT idiomorphs. Based on our chromosomal synteny analysis, we showed that both mating-types exist in C. geophilum isolates with the MAT1-1 or the MAT1-2 idiomorph. In fact, after screening the sixteen genome sequences, a single MAT1 idiomorph was consistently found in each strain, thus confirming the heterothallism of the species by excluding autogamy. Since the first in-depth genomic analysis of the MAT1 locus of the C. geophilum reference genome (strain 1.58; Peter et al., 2016), the genomic architecture of the intact MAT1 locus was assumed to be stable and no intraspecific reorganisation was reported in the species. Here, we highlight that both MAT1-1 and MAT1-2 loci have undergone important rearrangements with gene expansions in surrounding regions. Surprisingly, we identified a MAT1-1 pseudogene close to the MAT1-2 idiomorph, i.e., about 3 kbp, and reciprocally, a MAT1-2 pseudogene close to the MAT1-1 idiomorph, i.e., about 2 kbp. This raises the question of whether a homothallic ancestor may have existed and been the precursor of independent heterothallic lineages. Interestingly, this MAT1-1 pseudogene, also found in G. stellatum, is relatively similar to the functional MAT1-1 idiomorph characterised in the homothallic outgroup L. palustris (Fig. 7), with the same orientation and an equivalent gene length. Moreover, the gene sequence of the MAT1-2 idiomorph appears to be enlarged in both C. geophilum and G. stellatum compared to L. palustris, indicating important protein modification. Overall, the genetic makeup of the MAT1-1 and MAT1-2 pseudogenes most likely originates from a common ancestor shared with L. palustris, from which distinct mating types evolved. Although, we cannot rule out that other mechanisms such as pseudogenisation of crossed-
Table 2. Characteristics of the 20 top-candidate genes putatively under selection in the ref. and non-ref. cluster based on Tajima’s D statistics.

| Dataset   | Protein ID | Predicted function                          | Size (No. proteins in Cenge) | Size evolution (CAFE) | Conservation (in Cenge, Glost, Leppa) | D     | x       |
|-----------|------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|---------|
| Ref.      | 166793     | Signal transduction mechanisms              | 4                            |                       |                                       | –0.87 | 0.00851 |
| cluster   | 738677     | Self/non-self-recognition (NACHT domain)     | 66                           | Expanded              |                                       | –0.869| 0.00765 |
|           | 701666     | Cytoskeleton                                | 1                            |                       |                                       | –0.869| 0.00736 |
|           | 486968     | Self/non-self-recognition (HET, Ankyrin)     | 97                           | Over-represented      |                                       | –0.868| 0.01591 |
|           | 70681     | Translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis | 1                           | Unique                |                                       | –0.868| 0.00427 |
|           | 665335     | Chromatin structure and dynamics             | 2                            |                       |                                       | –0.867| 0.00925 |
|           | 552403     | Self/non-self-recognition (NACHT domain)     | 56                           | Expanded              |                                       | –0.867| 0.01231 |
|           | 608632     | Self/non-self-recognition (NACHT domain)     | 14                           |                       |                                       | –0.867| 0.00503 |
|           | 353610     | Nucleotide transport and metabolism          | 2                            |                       |                                       | –0.866| 0.00933 |
|           | 222924     | –                                           | 1                            | Unique                |                                       | –0.866| 0.00612 |
|           | 653800     | Cytoskeleton                                | 6                            |                       |                                       | –0.865| 0.01017 |
|           | 702114     | Transcription                               | 2                            |                       |                                       | –0.865| 0.00546 |
|           | 605605     | CAZyme (Glycoside Hydrolase 35)             | 2                            | Contracted            |                                       | –0.865| 0.00269 |
|           | 597801     | Energy production and conversion             | 1                            |                       |                                       | –0.864| 0.00768 |
|           | 672906     | Chromatin structure and dynamics             | 1                            | Unique                |                                       | –0.864| 0.00595 |
|           | 487820     | –                                           | 4                            |                       |                                       | –0.864| 0.00901 |
|           | 674647     | Transcription                               | 1                            |                       |                                       | –0.864| 0.00227 |
|           | 661861     | RNA processing and modification              | 1                            |                       |                                       | –0.863| 0.00408 |
|           | 637860     | Replication, recombination and repair        | 1                            |                       |                                       | –0.863| 0.00517 |
|           | 237582     | –                                           | 1                            |                       |                                       | –0.863| 0.00686 |
|           | 675968     | –                                           | 1                            |                       |                                       | –1.971| 0.00055 |
| Non-ref.  | 144717    | Secondary metabolism (DMAT cluster, decorating ABC transporter) | 6                            | Contracted            |                                       | –1.955| 0.00019 |
| cluster   |           |                                             |                              |                       |                                       |       |         |
|           | 682552     | Methyltransferase                           | 1                            |                       |                                       | –1.884| 0.00072 |
|           | 343761     | Protein binding                             | 5                            |                       |                                       | –1.853| 0.00128 |
|           | 650216     | Secondary metabolism                        | 5                            |                       |                                       | –1.852| 0.00049 |
|           | 650873     | Transcription                               | 1                            |                       |                                       | –1.849| 0.00042 |
|           | 674343     | Secondary metabolism                        | 9                            |                       |                                       | –1.844| 0.00033 |
|           | 676801     | Secondary metabolism                        | 1                            |                       |                                       | –1.832| 0.00064 |
|           | 698845     | Cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis      | 1                            |                       |                                       | –1.831| 0.00064 |
|           | 202303     | Secondary metabolism (DMAT cluster, DMAT backbone gene) | 3                            |                       |                                       | –1.804| 0.00098 |
|           | 672500     | CAZyme (LPMO AA11)                          | 6                            |                       |                                       | –1.803| 0.0005 |
|           | 691644     | Amino acid transporter                      | 33                           |                       |                                       | –1.777| 0.00094 |
|           | 650097     | –                                           | 1                            |                       |                                       | –1.768| 0.00048 |
|           | 671949     | Posttranslational modification, protein       | 15                           |                       |                                       | –1.767| 0.00077 |
|           | 707138     | Zinc finger, mRNA export                    | 1                            |                       |                                       | –1.766| 0.00029 |
|           | 366609     | RNA processing and modification              | 2                            |                       |                                       | –1.763| 0.00076 |
|           | 563055     | RNA processing and modification              | 1                            |                       |                                       | –1.734| 0.00082 |
|           | 653324     | –                                           | 1                            |                       |                                       | –1.731| 0.00032 |
|           | 706589     | Secondary metabolism (beta-lactamase, response to antibiotic) | 4                            |                       |                                       | –1.714| 0.0006 |
|           | 663021     | –                                           | 2                            |                       |                                       | –1.709| 0.00234 |

Note: Estimation of the nucleotide diversity per site is shown for each candidate gene. Protein ID: JGI Cenge3 portal; Predicted function: KOG class (EuKaryotic Orthologous Groups) and other; detailed prediction see Table S4; Multi-gene family: JGI MCL Cluster Run Cenococcum + 50 genomes 03/21/14.399 (https://mycocosm.jgi.doe.gov/cdm/run/centococcum-and-50-genomes.399; Ohm et al., 2012; Peter et al., 2016) Conservation: protein orthologues only present (unique) or over-represented (P ≤ 0.05; Wilcoxon test, two-sided, Benjamini-Hochberg multiple testing correction) in group Cenge (Cenococcum geophilum), Glost (Glonium stellatum), Leppa (Lepidopterella palustris) as compared to other Dothideomycetes (outgroup); Tajima’s D (D); nucleotide diversity per site (x).

in, opposite mating types or an ancient mating type switching scenario underlies these patterns (Perkins, 1987; Coppin et al., 1997). Mating shifts from heterothallism to homothallism were highlighted in the Neurospora genus, with up to six independent transition events assessed from 43 taxa (Nygren et al., 2011). Distinct genetic mechanisms enabled these transitions (e.g., translocation and unequal crossing-over) with the mediation of specific
transposons that reshaped the genetic architecture of the MAT locus (Giotti et al., 2012). The high mobility of genetic material in the surrounding MAT locus is intriguing and points to the role of TE activities and associated silencing mechanisms such as RIP in shaping the gene architecture and diversity that may orchestrate sexual reproduction in C. geophilum (Serrato-Capuchina and Matute, 2018). In several fungal species, TEs were described within or in flanking regions of the MAT locus, e.g., in Blastomyces dermatitidis (Li et al., 2013), Ceratocystis sp. (Simpson et al., 2018) or T. melanosporum (Martin et al., 2010). Together, these studies support the idea that TEs are frequently inserted at MAT loci. They are therefore key evolutionary forces for genome dynamics likely important not only in pathogenic fungal host and environment interactions as earlier
stated (Raffaele and Kamoun, 2012), but also for symbiotic interactions, as indicated by increased proliferation of these elements in ECM fungal species, above all in *C. geophilum* (Miyauchi et al., 2020). Further population genomic studies including genomic architecture and prevalence of MAT loci within populations are needed to fully understand the success of this widespread and abundant ECM species and its role in forest ecosystems.

**Conclusions**

Our study provides one of the first intraspecific genomic comparison of an ECM fungal species sampled on a regional and continental scale. Using whole-genome sequencing from 16 European isolates of the ubiquitous forest symbiotic fungus *C. geophilum*, we found divergent lineages in geographically restricted located sampling sites and no strong geographic structuring. Our genome-wide polymorphism analyses support subdivisions within the species and suggest two distinct main genetic groups, one more clonal and one recombinant. The phylogeny of lineages and groupings are largely supported by the abundant CNVs found among genomes. Our gene diversity analyses indicate higher genetic diversity in the recombinant group even though the clonal cluster contained almost double as many strains. Although these results need to be interpreted with caution, because of the limited number of strains studied and an uneven sampling, the top-candidate genes putatively under positive selection based on Tajima’s D statistics differed between the two groups. More genes from lineage-specific, expanded gene families involved in self/non-self-recognition were found in the recombinant cluster, whereas genes involved in the secondary metabolism detected in the more clonal cluster. Lastly, we confirmed the heterothallism of *C. geophilum* based on the chromosomal synteny analysis of the MAT1-1 and MAT1-2 idiomorphs and uncovered important genetic rearrangements in their surrounding coding and non-coding regions for both, strains carrying the same or opposite MAT1 idiomorphs. Collectively, these findings demonstrate complex genome architectures in this important ECM symbiont that maybe due to cryptic sex and/or TE-based mechanisms, as similarly suggested for the long-believed asexual arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Future studies will elucidate the role that this genetic diversity plays in symbiotic function and the potential for adaptation to changing environments.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Study range and selection of isolates**

In this study, we selected 16 *C. geophilum* isolates from a collection of around 80 pure culture isolates to cover as broad as possible the European range of the species, the divergent phylogenetic lineages previously reported (Obase et al., 2016) as well as variability within sites. All strains were isolated from sclerotia using the protocol described in Brundrett et al. (1996) for generating pure cultures from ECM roots, but incubating sclerotia for 6–10 min in 35% aqueous H₂O₂ and placing them onto agar plates containing streptomycin (100 mg/l) and a modified Melin Norkran’s (MMN) medium comprising casein (Kerner et al., 2012). The selected 16 isolates were collected below diverse host tree species (de Freitas Pereira et al., 2018), i.e., broadleaves and conifers, to maximise intra-specific genetic diversity in close and distant sampling sites. After screening, both mating types were included to investigate sexual reproduction from natural populations (Fig. 1; Table 1). Pure cultures of *C. geophilum* were grown by gently shaking in liquid culture containing the modified MMN medium, and incubated at room temperature in the dark. We cultivated isolates for a month and harvested mycelia were then pulverised in liquid nitrogen for storage at −80°C until processing.

**DNA extraction and barcoding**

Genomic DNA was extracted from 0.5–2 g of mycelium material using the PowerMax Soil DNA extraction kit (MoBio, now DNeasy PowerMax Soil kit of Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA was quantified by spectrophotometry (Qubit, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) resulting in 8–50 μg DNA per extraction, and the quality was inspected on agarose gel (1.5%). A minimum of 1 μg of DNA was sent to the US Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute (JGI) for genome resequencing.

To identify the lineage of each isolate, we amplified and sequenced two phylogenetically informative loci at the intraspecific level, the glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) gene and the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region (Obase et al., 2016), using Sanger dideoxy sequencing. The optimised polymerase chain reaction (PCR) programmes for the GAPDH gene and ITS region were conducted following Obase et al. (2014). For the GAPDH gene, we used universal primers, as reported in Berbee et al. (1999), and for ITS, we used ITS1F and ITS4 (White et al., 1990; Gardes and Bruns, 1993). PCR products were cleaned up using the illustra™ ExoProStar™ enzymatic PCR and sequence reaction clean kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany). Cycle sequencing was performed using the BigDye™ Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing kit and products were purified with the BigDye™ XTerminator™ purification kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) before to analyse them on an Applied Biosystems ABI3130 genetic analyser. Loci were
sequenced with both forward and reverse primers to ensure unambiguous chromatogram profiles and all sequences were deposited to the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI; MZ570241-MZ570257; MZ577329-MZ577345).

**Genome sequencing and reference genome sequences**

Plate-based DNA library preparation for Illumina sequencing was performed on the Sciclone Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) robotic liquid handling system (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA) using KAPA Biosystems library preparation kit (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). For each sample, 200 ng of DNA was sheared to 600 base pairs (bp) using a Covaris LE220 focused-ultrasonicator (Woburn, MA, USA). The sheared DNA fragments were size selected by double-SPRI and then the selected fragments were end-repaired, A-tailed, and ligated with Illumina compatible sequencing adaptors from IDT containing a unique molecular index barcode for each sample library. The prepared library was quantified using KAPA Biosystem’s next-generation sequencing library qPCR kit and run on a Roche LightCycler 480 real-time PCR instrument. The quantified library was then multiplexed with other libraries, and the pool of libraries was then prepared for sequencing on the Illumina HiSeq sequencing platform utilising a TruSeq paired-end cluster kit, v4, and Illumina’s cBot instrument to generate a clustered flow cell for sequencing (San Diego, CA, USA). Sequencing of the flow cell was performed on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 sequencer using HiSeq TruSeq SBS sequencing kits, v4, following a 2 \times 100 indexed run recipe at the JGI (Walnut Creek, CA, USA).

We accessed the *C. geophilum* raw reads data from the NCBI Short Read Archive (SRA; Table S1) and used the *G. stellatum* genome as an outgroup species (Peter et al., 2016; https://genome.jgi.doe.gov/Glost2) in downstream analyses. The *C. geophilum* reference genome sequence and gene annotation data (filtered models) for the strain 1.58 were downloaded from the JGI (Peter et al., 2016; https://genome.jgi.doe.gov/Cenge3). We included Illumina sequencing data of the reference genome strain 1.58 for quality control of SNP and CNV calling (Peter et al., 2016).

**Read mapping, variant calling and polymorphism filtering**

We downloaded sequencing data using fastq-dump, as implemented in the SRA-Toolkit v. 2.9.0 (http://ncbi.github.io/sra-tools/), and inspected read quality with FastQC v. 0.11 (Andrews, 2017). We performed quality trimming of raw reads with Trimmomatic v. 0.36 (Bolger et al., 2014) based on the following quality filters: ILLUMINACLIP: TruSeq3-PE.fa:2:30:10, SLIDINGWINDOW:5:10, LEADING:10, TRAILING:10, and MINLEN:50. Trimmed reads were mapped on the reference genome 1.58 using Bowtie v. 2.3 with the -vvery-sensitive and -local settings (Langmead et al., 2009). The resulting BAM files were sorted by position and indexed with SAMtools v. 1.6 (Li et al., 2009).

We applied the GATK v. 3.7 and used the HaploTypeCaller to perform the SNP and indel discovery (McKenna et al., 2010). Individual SNP calls were merged and jointly genotyped using the GATK GenotypeGVCFs tool. Then, we filtered the joint Variant Call Format (VCF) file using hard filtering parameters according to GATK best practices recommendations (Van der Auwera et al., 2013). The following thresholds were applied for filtering: AN \(\geq 12\), i.e., at least 12 isolates had a callable genotype, QUAL \(\geq 5000\), QD \(\geq 5.0\), MQ \(\geq 20.0\), ReadPosRankSum, MQRankSum and BaseQRankSum each comprised between –2.0 and 2.0. We further classified SNP loci as synonymous or non-synonymous variant sites using SnpEff v. 4.3p (Cingolani et al., 2012).

**Phylogenetic tree reconstructions**

To infer the phylogenetic relationships of the 16 *C. geophilum* strains within the seven main clades described in Obase et al. (2016), we assembled a broader dataset (GAPDH and ITS, including the intron in the small subunit rDNA region) and reconstructed a two-loci phylogenetic tree based on maximum likelihood (ML) analysis. The additional strains (22 *C. geophilum*, one *Pseudocenococcum flavidum* and one *G. stellatum*) covered most of the phylogenetic diversity and intercontinental distribution of the species. This dataset includes important disjunctions in North America and potential cryptic taxa in European Clades 5 and 6 (Obase et al., 2016), which were investigated using a coalescent-based species delimitation approach (Zhang et al., 2013). For each locus, we aligned sequences using MAFFT v. 7 with default parameters (Katoh et al., 2019) and excluded ambiguous regions using Gblocks v.0.91b with the less stringent settings (Castresana, 2000). For each locus, we implemented the optimal substitution model, based on AICc scores calculated with the programme ModelTest-NG v. 0.1.7 (Darriba et al., 2020; Table S2). We ran ML tree searches with a randomised maximum parsimony starting tree and gamma-distributed site rates using RAxML-NG v. 1.0.0 (Kozlov et al., 2019; Edler et al., 2021). We performed 20 runs and set 1000 bootstrap replicates for each run, computed on the best-scoring ML tree with a bootstrap random-number seed. We fixed *G. stellatum* as the out-group to root phylogenams.
At the genome-wide level, we generated two SNP datasets to infer independent phylogenetic tree reconstructions. First, from the overall SNP data genotyped in all 17 strains (16 C. geophilum and one G. stellatum), we randomly selected a single SNP per one kilo base-pair (kbp) of the reference genome sequence using VCFtools v. 0.1.15 (Danecek et al., 2011). Second, from the overall SNP dataset, we retained only synonymous variants identified in coding regions (see below SnpEff). A total of 16 391 and 68 145 SNPs were retained for the genome-wide and synonymous SNP datasets, respectively. We used each SNP dataset for an independent ML tree reconstruction with RAxML-NG (Kozlov et al., 2019; Edler et al., 2021). We ran the best ML tree by analysing 20 different starting trees and performed 1000 bootstrap replicates on the best-scoring ML tree with a bootstrap random-number seed. We implemented a general time reversible (GTR substitution model and allowed for rate heterogeneity (GTR + G).

Recombination events and genetic structure

To gain insights into recombination events and genetic structure, we generated an SNP dataset for intraspecific genetic analyses of C. geophilum using the following criteria: a single SNP randomly selected per one kbp of the reference genome and genotyped in a least 90% of the strains, i.e., genotyped in at least 15 out of 16 strains. We used SplitsTree v. 4.14.6 to build up a Neighbour-Net network from all C. geophilum strains (Huson and Bryant, 2006), and uncorrected p distances calculated from the SNP matrix, which is the proportion of positions at which two sequences differ, to generate the network. The network was drawn based on equal angle splits. Tests of recombination within genetic groups of strains were carried out using the Φ test implemented in SplitsTree (Bruen et al., 2006). The test identifies evidence of recombination based on phylogenetic compatibilities of neighbouring SNPs in the matrix. We ran the test with the default settings of a 100-character window size on the SNP matrix. Based on the Neighbour-Net network analysis, three genotype datasets were analysed to assess lineage-specific patterns; comprising all strains (referred as ‘all’), a reference cluster which contained the reference genome 1.58 (referred as ‘ref. cluster’ including 1.005, 1.057, 1.061, 1.064, 1.076 and 1.58), and a non-reference cluster which did not contain the reference genome (referred as ‘non-ref. cluster’ including 1.022, 1.060, 1.075, 1.094, 1.096, 1.097, 1.100, 1.101, 1.102 and 1.104).

We conducted Bayesian unsupervised genetic clustering using STRUCTURE v. 2.3.4 (Pritchard et al., 2000). We used an admixture model with correlated frequencies and no prior regarding the population of origin (Falush et al., 2003). The K parameter was tested for values ranging from 1 to 5, with 10 repetitions for each tested K value. We used 50 000 iterations as a burn-in period and 100 000 iterations per run for the Monte Carlo Markov Chain (MCMC) replicates. We visually inspected the convergence of parameters and diagnosed the optimal K value based on the stabilisation of −Ln(K) values. We performed a principal component analysis (PCA) to explore genotypic variability based on the SNP matrix using the R package SNPRelate v. 1.20.1 (Zheng et al., 2012).

Detection of copy-number variations

We identified segmental deletions and duplications in sequenced isolates compared to the reference genome 1.58 using CNVcaller (Wang et al., 2017). CNVcaller uses normalised read-depth of short read alignments to identify high-confidence CNV regions. The window size for the CNV calling was set to 500 bp according to the recommendations based on sequencing coverage. The lower and upper GC content limits were set to 0.2 and 0.7, respectively, and the upper limit of gap content was set to 0.5. We required a minimum of Pearson’s correlation coefficient between two adjacent non-overlapping windows to be >0.5, as recommended for less than 30 samples. We also specified that only a single individual displayed a potential gain/loss event to define a candidate CNV window (-h 1 and -f 0.05) given the heterogeneity in genotypes and small sample size. CNV regions were further filtered based on the normalised read depth. Deletions and duplications were retained if the normalised read depth was <0.4 and >1.8, respectively. CNV regions called for the reference genome 1.58 were aligned against the reference genome for validation, which showed possible issues in read alignment and/or the local assembly quality. Hence, gene deletions and duplications among C. geophilum strains were only retained if the reference strain showed a normalised read depth between 0.7 and 1.3 for the same region. A gene was considered affected by a duplication or deletion if more than 50% of the coding sequence length was overlapping with a CNV region using the R package ggplot2 v. 3.3.0 (Wickham, 2011). One CNV region may contain several duplicated or deleted genes. Then, genomic distances between all genes and their closest TEs were calculated with the closest function in BEDTools v 2.28.0 (Quinlan and Hall, 2010). Significance between median values was calculated using a two-sided Wilcoxon test.

Within-species genetic diversity and signatures of selection

To improve our understanding of genomic signatures of selection, we analysed three species-specific estimates
of gene diversity and their deviations from genome-wide average; nucleotide diversity per site (\(\pi\)), Tajima’s \(D\), and \(pN/pS\) ratios. We assessed these population genetic statistics for individual genes using the R package PopGenome v. 2.6.1 (Pfeifer et al., 2014) and included all SNPs falling within coding sequence boundaries that were genotyped in at least 15 out of 16 C. geophilum strains. At the genome-wide level, a negative Tajima’s \(D\) is indicative of a population size expansion (e.g., after a bottleneck), whereas a positive Tajima’s \(D\) is supporting a decrease in population size. At the genome-specific level, negative Tajima’s \(D\) values are indicating of recent positive selection, while positive values are imputable to balancing selection (Hohenlohe et al., 2010). For \(\pi\) estimates, a decrease in gene-specific values in comparison to the average genome-wide level suggests a signature of positive selection (Hohenlohe et al., 2010). Regarding \(pN/pS\), a ratio greater than one implies positive selection, whereas a value less than one assumes purifying selection (Tanaka and Nei, 1989). The three genotype datasets (see above) were analysed to evaluate the robustness of the results. To further examine the genes putatively under selection, we identified top-candidate genes based on the 20 lowest Tajima’s \(D\) values in each of the two datasets, the ref. and non-ref. cluster. Functional annotation of the top-candidate genes was carried as outlined in Peter et al. (2016) and according to the JGI annotations (myccosm.jgi.doe.gov), including predicted gene ontology (GO), EuKaryotic Orthologous Groups (KOG), protein family clarification using the InterPro database (Blum et al., 2021), Carbohydrate-Active enZyme (CAZY; Cantarel et al., 2009) and secondary metabolism genes and cluster prediction (Khalid et al., 2010). Gene expression data from free-living mycelium and ECM root-tips were taken from the study of Peter et al. 2016 and presented here. In addition, we examined the affiliation to multigene families, their PFAM domains and family size changes according to expansion/depletion analysis as detailed in Ohm et al. (2012) and CAFE analyses (De Bie et al., 2006) using the species set outlined in Peter et al. 2016 and available on the JGI C. geophilum 1.58 portal under the MCL Clusters Run ‘Cenococcum + 50 genomes 03/21/14.399’.

Chromosomal synteny analysis of the \(MAT1\) locus

We analysed chromosomal synteny of the \(MAT1\) locus containing the \(MAT1\)-1 and \(MAT1\)-2 idiomorphs based on our \textit{de novo} genome assemblies of individual C. geophilum isolates. We used SPAdes v. 3.11.1 to assemble genomic scaffolds based on the paired-end Illumina sequencing data described above (Bankevich et al., 2012). The SPAdes pipeline includes the BayesHammer read error correction module and builds contigs in a stepwise procedure based on increasing kmer lengths. We defined a kmer range of 21, 33, 45, 67 and 89 and enabled the careful option to reduce mismatches and indel errors in the assembly. Assembled scaffolds were error-corrected based on read realignments. We used the tool QUAST v. 4.6.0 to retrieve assembly statistics for each strain (Gurevich et al., 2013) and performed a blastn to identify assembled scaffolds that spanned the \(MAT1\) loci of the reference genome strain 1.58 (Camacho et al., 2009; Peter et al., 2016). For this, three genes in each direction of the \(MAT1\) locus were inspected. Once matching scaffolds were identified in individual genome assemblies, we performed pairwise blastn analyses between these scaffolds. Segmental blast hits between pairs of scaffolds known to contain the \(MAT1\) locus were leniently filtered for a minimum identity of 50\% and a minimum alignment length of 50 bp to assess synteny patterns. For the comparison of G. stellatum, L. palustris and C. geophilum, we performed a blastx search translated nucleotide and filtered additionally for a minimum bitscore of 100. We visualised pairwise synteny among scaffolds using the R package GenoPlotR v. 0.8.7 (Guy et al., 2011).
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