Comparative pharmacokinetics of six major compounds in normal and insomnia rats after oral administration of Ziziphi Spinosae Semen aqueous extract
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1. Introduction

For 2000 years, Ziziphi Spinosae Semen (ZSS), a traditional Chinese medicine, is used in clinics for the treatment of insomnia in China and other Asian countries. Herein, we described for the first time a comparative pharmacokinetics study of the six major compounds of ZSS in normal control (NC) and para-chlorophenylalanine (PCPA)-induced insomnia model (IM) rats that were orally administered the aqueous extract of ZSS. An ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography coupled with quadrupole orbitrap mass (UHPLC-Q-Orbitrap-MS) method was developed and validated for the simultaneous determination of coclaurine, magnoflorine, spinosin, 6\textsuperscript{\textcircled{a}}-feruloylspinosin, jujuboside A (JuA), and jujuboside B (JuB) in ZSS in rat plasma. The established approach was successfully applied to a comparative pharmacokinetic study. The systemic exposures of spinosin and 6\textsuperscript{\textcircled{a}}-feruloylspinosin were decreased in the IM group compared to the NC group, while plasma clearance (CL) was significantly increased. The T\textsubscript{max} values of JuA and JuB in IM rats were significantly lower than those in NC rats. The T\textsubscript{1/2} of JuA in the IM group was significantly accelerated. The pharmacokinetic parameters of coclaurine and magnoflorine were not evidently affected between the two groups. These results indicate that the pathological state of insomnia altered the plasma pharmacokinetics of spinosin, 6\textsuperscript{\textcircled{a}}-feruloylspinosin, JuA, and JuB in the ZSS aqueous extract, providing an experimental basis for the role of ZSS in insomnia treatment. The comparative pharmacokinetics-based UHPLC-Q-Orbitrap-MS using full-scan mode can therefore provide a reliable and suitable means for the screening of potentially effective substances applied as quality markers of ZSS.
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in ZSS, spinosin has been widely used as one of the marker compounds for assessing the quality of ZSS in the Chinese Pharmacopoeia. Spinosin has also been reported to potentiate pentobarbital-induced sleep via a serotonergic mechanism while 6′-feruloylspinosin has been proven to induce the prolongation of hexobarbital sleeping time in mice [9,10]. JuA and JuB are the major saponins, and both exhibit the hypnotic effect by adjusting the mRNA expression of GABA receptor subunit and partially regulating the amino-acid metabolism pathway [11–13]. Recent studies indicate that magnoflorine has sedative and anxiolytic effects, and cochlaurine causes sedative bioactivity by interacting with melatonin receptors [5,14]. Pharmacokinetic studies could also aid in elucidating the actual therapeutic material basis which is closely related to the identification of “quality-markers” (Q-markers) [15]. Therefore, studying their pharmacokinetic properties would be meaningful in evaluating the use of ZSS for insomnia treatment.

To date, most researchers have mainly performed pharmacokinetic studies of spinosin, 6′-feruloylspinosin, JuA, and JuB in plasma after intravenous administration to rats [16–18]. Besides, a report moderately analyzes spinosin in rat plasma after oral administration of the ZSS ethanol extract [15]. However, no analytical method has been reported for the simultaneous determination of flavonoids, saponins, and alkaloids in rat plasma after oral administration of this extract. Although the above research also focused on the pharmacokinetic properties of these compounds in normal animals, no study has used pathological models. Therefore, understanding the differences in the pharmacokinetic properties of the ZSS aqueous extract in the body with different statuses would be beneficial.

Given the above, we developed a UHPLC-Q-Orbitrap-MS method for the simultaneous determination of cochlaurine, magnoflorine, spinosin, 6′-feruloylspinosin, JuA, and JuB in normal rats and rats with para-chlorophenylalanine (PCPA)-induced insomnia that were orally administered the ZSS aqueous extract. The results obtained herein provide a better understanding of the in vivo exposure of complex TCM to support further drug development and discovery of an effective screening strategy for tracking effective substances applied as Q-markers of ZSS.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Reagents, chemicals, and materials

Acetonitrile (MS grade) and formic acid (MS grade) were purchased from Fisher Scientific (USA). Deionized water was produced with a Milli-Q water purification system (Millipore, USA). All other reagents were of analytical grade.

The reference standards for cochlaurine, magnoflorine, spinosin, 6′-feruloylspinosin, JuA, and JuB were purchased from the Baoji Herbest Biological Technology Co., Ltd. (Shaanxi, China). JuB was supplied by the Nanjing Spring Taiyuan, China.

2.2. Preparation of standardized ZSS aqueous extract

ZSS (0.5 kg) was pulverized into a suitable powder, immersed in 5 L distilled water for 30 min, and then extracted twice by heat-reflux for 2 h per extraction. The extracts were filtered through eight layers of gauze, combined and then evaporated under vacuum, and lyophilized to generate freeze-dried powder (yield: 22.7%).

2.3. Quality control of ZSS aqueous extract

2.3.1. Standard solution preparation

Accurately weighed reference standards, including cochlaurine, magnoflorine, spinosin, 6′-feruloylspinosin, JuA, and JuB, were dissolved in methanol-water (70:30, V/V) to prepare stock solutions at a concentration of 0.2 mg/mL each. The mixed stock solution of the six compounds was then prepared from the stock solutions. Working solutions were obtained by serially diluting the mixed stock solution with methanol to six different concentrations in the range of 1–100 μg/mL for cochlaurine, 1–25 μg/mL for magnoflorine, 0.6–60 μg/mL for spinosin, 1.75–35 μg/mL for 6′-feruloylspinosin, 1–50 μg/mL for JuA and 0.2–10 μg/mL for JuB. All the above solutions were stored at 4 °C until use.

2.3.2. Sample solution preparation

The freeze-dried powder (0.5 g) was extracted with 70% ethanol (25 mL) for 30 min under ultrasonication. After centrifugation (13,000 × g, 5 min, 25 °C), the supernatant was injected for further analysis.

2.3.3. Quantitative analysis by UPLC-MS/MS

UPLC-MS/MS analysis was performed according to our previous method with some modifications [20]. All chromatographic measurements were performed on a Shimadzu triple quadrupole LC-MS 8050 system (Kyoto, Japan) equipped with a system controller (CBM-20A), column oven (CTO-20AC), autosampler (SIL-30AC), and two pumps (LC-30AD). Chromatographic separation was achieved on an Atlantis T3 C18 column (2.1 mm × 150 mm, 1.8 μm) maintained at 40 °C. The mobile phase consisted of 0.1% formic acid in water (A) and acetonitrile (B), and the following gradient was used: 0–1 min, 100% A; 1–15 min, 100% A until use.

ESI source was operated in a positive and negative voltage-switching mode. The optimal MS parameters were as follows: nebulizing gas flow, 2 L/min; heating gas flow, 10 L/min; drying gas flow, 10 L/min; interface temperature, 300 °C; heat block temperature, 400 °C; and DL temperature, 250 °C. Mass spectrum parameters of six compounds are shown in Table 1.

2.4. UHPLC-Q-orbitrap-MS for pharmacokinetic analysis

Chromatographic analysis was performed on a Dionex UltiMate 3000 UHPLC system (Thermo, Germany) equipped with an HPG-3400RS pump, a TCC-3000RS column oven, a DAD-3000 detector, and a WPS-3000TRS autosampler. Samples were separated by using an ACQUITY UPLC® HSS T3 C18 column (150 mm × 2.1 mm, 1.8 μm, Waters, Ireland) maintained at 30 °C. The mobile phase consisted of 0.1% formic acid-water (A) and 0.1% formic acid-acetonitrile (B). The gradient elution was optimized as follows: 0–1.5 min, 17% B; 1.5–3 min, 17%–19% B; 3–7 min, 19%–33% B; and 7–12 min, 33%–98% B. Flow rate was set at 0.3 mL/min.

Quantitative analysis was performed on a Q-Orbitrap-MS using
full scan mode (resolution 70,000). The MS was equipped with a heat electrospray ionization (HESI) source and operated in the (−)-ESI and (+)-ESI switching mode. The parameters were as follows: spray voltage, +3.5 kV and −2.7 kV; sheath gas flow rate, 35 arbitrary; Auxiliary gas flow rate, 10 arbitrary; capillary temperature, 320 °C; heater temperature, 300 °C; 5-s-lens RF level, 55 V; NCE, 20%, 30%, 50% for positive ion mode; NCE, 30%, 45%, 60% for negative ion mode; and scan range, m/z 150–1500 Da. Data were processed using Xcalibur™ 3.0.63 software (Thermo, CA, USA).

### 2.5. Animal experiment

Male Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats (220 ± 20 g) supplied by Beijing Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology (Beijing, China) were housed at controlled temperature (25 ± 3 °C) and humidity (45 ± 5%), and granted free access to standard diet and water before the experiment.

Insomnia in rats was induced by intraperitoneal injection of PCPA at a dose of 400 mg/kg every day for three days [21,22]. PCPA, an inhibitor of 5-HT biosynthesis, was suspended in 0.5% CMC-Na. After three days of treatment, serum was collected via the post-orbital venous plexus veins and the 5-HT in serum was determined by LC-MS/MS [4]. The concentration of 5-HT in PCPA-induced rats was significantly lower than that in normal control (NC) rats (Fig. 2), which was consistent with that of previous studies [23]. Meanwhile, rats in the PCPA group lost their circadian rhythm and were thus sleepless for the entire day. Such findings suggested that the insomnia model (IM) was successfully duplicated.

### 2.6. Pharmacokinetic study

NC and IM rats (six per group) were employed to investigate the pharmacokinetic properties of coclaurine, magnoflorine, spinosin, 6″-feruloylspinosin, JuA, and JuB after oral administration of the
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**Table 1**

| Analytes           | Ion mode | Precursor ion (m/z) | Product ion (m/z) | Declustering potential (V) | CE (eV) |
|--------------------|----------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Coclaurine         | [M+H]+   | 286.0               | 194.1             | 42                        | 46      |
| Magnoflorine       | [M]+     | 342.1               | 222.3             | 30                        | 30      |
| Spinosin           | [M+H]+   | 609.5               | 327.3             | 35                        | 35      |
| 6″-feruloylspinosin| [M+H]+   | 785.4               | 327.3             | 76                        | 46      |
| Juubide A          | [M−H]−   | 1205.3              | 1073.8            | 32                        | 46      |
| Juubide B          | [M−H]−   | 1043.3              | 911.1             | 50                        | 37      |
ZSS aqueous extract. After IM was successfully induced, the ZSS aqueous extract, dissolved in normal saline, was administered to NC and IM rats by intragastric gavage at a dose of 6.8 g/kg (equivalent to a crude drug dose of 30 g/kg). Blood samples were collected from each rat in heparinized tubes via the postorbital venous plexus veins before drug administration and at 0.083, 0.167, 0.333, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 2, 4, 6, and 10 h after drug administration. Time of recovery from feeding was 4 h post-dose. Blood samples were then immediately centrifuged at 3500 × g for 10 min at 4 °C and plasma was stored at −80 °C until use.

2.7. Preparation of calibration standard and quality control samples

Stock solutions of coclaurine and magnoflorine were prepared with the initial mobile phase at a concentration of 2 mg/mL, respectively. Stock solutions of spinosin, 6′-feruloylspinosin, JuA, and JuB were prepared with methanol at the concentration of 2 mg/mL each. The mixture working solutions were serially diluted with methanol to provide standard working solutions of the desired concentrations. Final concentrations were 0.8, 1.6, 16, 80, 128, and 160 ng/mL for coclaurine; 45.2, 90.4, 452, 2260, 3616, and 4520 ng/mL for magnoflorine; 30, 60, 240, 1200, and 2400 ng/mL for spinosin, 2, 4, 20, 100, 160, and 200 ng/mL for 6′-feruloylspinosin; 8.2, 16.4, 65.6, 328, 525, and 656 ng/mL for JuA; and 5.3, 10.6, 42.4, 212, 339.2, and 424 ng/mL for JuB. The IS working solutions were diluted with methanol to final concentrations of 78.7 ng/mL for IS1, 216.0 ng/mL for IS2, and 556.8 ng/mL for IS3.

Standard calibration curves were constructed by spiking 100 µL of blank rat plasma with 10 µL of the standard working solutions and 10 µL of the IS working solution, yielding final plasma concentrations in the range, 0.08–16 ng/mL for coclaurine, 4.52–452 ng/mL for magnoflorine, 3–240 ng/mL for spinosin, 0.2–20 ng/mL for 6′-feruloylspinosin, 0.82–65.6 ng/mL for JuA, and 0.53–42.4 ng/mL for JuB.

Quality control (QC) samples at four concentration levels (0.08, 0.16, 1.6, and 12.8 ng/mL for coclaurine; 4.52, 9.04, 45.2, and 361.6 ng/mL for magnoflorine; 3, 6, 24, and 192 ng/mL for spinosin, 0.2, 0.4, 2, and 16 ng/mL for 6′-feruloylspinosin; 0.82, 1.6, 6.6, and 52.5 ng/mL for JuA; and 0.53, 1.06, 4.24, and 33.9 ng/mL for JuB) were prepared by the same operation described above. All solutions were stored at 4 °C.

2.8. Preparation of plasma samples

Each plasma sample (100 µL) was mixed with a three-fold volume of acetonitrile and 10 µL IS in a 1.5 mL EP tube. The mixture was then vortexed for 5 min and centrifuged at 13,000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant (350 µL) was transferred to another EP tube and evaporated to dryness under nitrogen vacuum. The residue was reconstituted with 100 µL of the initial mobile phase, and the centrifugation process was repeated. Three microliters of the supernatant were then used for analysis.

2.9. Data analysis

The pharmacokinetic parameters, including the maximum plasma concentration (Cmax), the time corresponding to Cmax (Tmax), the terminal elimination half-life (T1/2), the area under plasma concentration-time curve (AUC0–t), the area under the plasma concentration-time curve from 0 to infinity time (AUC0–∞), and plasma clearance (CL), were calculated using the non-compartment model in DAS 3.2.8 software package (Shanghai, China). All values are expressed as mean ± standard error. For the pharmacokinetic parameter values of the NC and IM groups, student’s t-test was employed for data comparisons. P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Content determination of six compounds by LC-MS/MS

The contents of coclaurine, magnoflorine, spinosin, 6′-feruloylspinosin, JuA, and JuB were 0.12%, 1.62%, 0.4%, 0.14%, 0.41%, and 0.05%, respectively, in the ZSS aqueous extract. The multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) chromatography result is presented in Fig. 3.

3.2. UHPLC-Q-orbitrap-MS method optimization

To achieve a rapid and efficient separation, a short chromatographic column packed with 1.8 µm porous particles was employed in the UPLC analysis. Some important factors such as the composition of the mobile phase and the elution program were systematically explored. Acetonitrile-water containing 0.1% formic acid was selected because of its greater separation ability and better peak shapes. The relative intensities of base ions were compared to determine the most suitable ionization conditions for six compounds. In common, flavonoid easily loses proton in ionization process. In our study, we found that the response for 6′-feruloylspinosin in the negative ion mode was slightly better than that in the positive ion mode. Moreover, the intensity of spinosin in the positive ion mode was slightly better than that in the negative ion mode. However, the response for daidzin (IS2) observed in the positive ionization mode was much higher than that in the negative ionization mode. Thus, spinosin and 6′-feruloylspinosin were detected in positive ion mode. Based on the spectral structure pattern of JuA and JuB, the detection signals of a typical solvent adduct [M–H + HCOOH]− were better in negative mode than in positive mode. Other alkaloid compounds were detected in positive ion mode, including [M+H]+ or [M]+.

The current pharmacokinetic analyses were mainly carried out on an LC-MS/MS platform in MRM mode [24]. Q-Orbitrap with resolving power and accurate mass measurement capability (<5 ppm) might be more suitable for pharmacokinetic studies of complex TCM containing dozens of components that require simultaneous quantitation. Hence, a UHPLC-Q-Orbitrap-MS system using full MS dd/ms² mode was used to identify the six compounds in rat plasma by comparing their retention time and MS data to the reference standards. Thereafter, full scan MS mode was employed with the extracted ion chromatogram (EIC) method for pharmacokinetic analysis owing to its improved selectivity and sensitivity. Data for the six tested compounds are shown in Table 2. Errors were less than 1 ppm in all cases.
3.3. Optimization of the extraction procedure

The six components were divided into three chemical families, namely, flavonoids, saponins, and alkaloids. Palmatine hydrochloride, daidzin, and astragaloside IV were selected as the ISs for flavonoid, saponin, and alkaloid, respectively. Due to differences in
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| Compounds identified from rat plasma by UHPLC-Q-Orbitrap-MS/MS. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Analytes** | RT(min) | Formula | Selected ion | Experimental mass (m/z) | Theoretical mass (m/z) | Error (ppm) | Product ions (m/z) |
| Coclaune | 3.20 | C17H19NO3 | [M+H]⁺ | 286.14404 | 286.14377 | 0.944 | 269.12, 237.09, 175.08, 107.05 |
| Magnoflorine | 4.08 | C20H24NO4 | [M⁺] | 342.17029 | 342.16998 | 0.892 | 297.11, 282.09, 265.09, 237.09 |
| Spinosin | 6.14 | C28H32O15 | [M+H]⁺ | 609.18195 | 609.18139 | 0.908 | 489.14, 447.13, 429.12, 411.11, 393.10, 351.09, 327.09, 297.07 |
| 6'-feruloylspinosin | 8.14 | C38H40O18 | [M+H]⁺ | 785.22943 | 785.22874 | 0.878 | 447.13, 429.12, 411.11, 393.10, 351.09, 327.09, 297.08, 177.05 |
| Jujuboside A | 10.77 | C58H94O26 | [M-H + HCOOH]⁻ | 1251.60034 | 1251.60043 | 0.078 | 1205.59, 1073.55, 911.49, 749.45, 603.39 |
| Jujuboside B | 11.14 | C52H84O21 | [M-H + HCOOH]⁻ | 1089.54810 | 1089.54761 | 0.445 | 1043.54, 911.50, 749.45, 603.39 |
| Palmatine hydrochloride (IS1) | 10.20 | C21H22NO4 | [M⁺] | 322.11 | 322.11 | 0.413 | 336.12, 322.11, 308.13 |
| Daidzin (IS2) | 4.66 | C21H20O9 | [M+H]⁺ | 417.11800 | 417.11800 | 0.219 | 255.06 |
| Astragaloside IV (IS3) | 11.10 | C41H68O14 | [M-H + HCOOH]⁻ | 829.45813 | 829.45801 | 0.142 | 783.47 |
polarity among the three chemical families, different sample pre-treatment procedures, such as protein precipitation (PPT) and liquid-liquid extraction (LLE), were compared to increase the extraction recovery of each compound. The LLE method using ethyl acetate revealed the limited extraction efficiency of magnoflorine, coclaurine, spinosin, and 6′-feruloylspinosin. This finding could be attributed to the poor lipophilic property of these compounds. In contrast, the PPT method using acetonitrile was found to be beneficial in the achievement of a higher extraction recovery for the six compounds and three ISSs in the pre-treatment process.

### 3.4. Method validation

Blank plasma samples from six rats were prepared and analyzed to investigate the potential interferences from endogenous components. As shown in Fig. 4, the chromatograms of the blank plasma samples, blank plasma samples spiked with the components and three ISSs, and plasma samples after administering the ZSS aqueous extract were compared. No endogenous interference peaks were observed at the retention time of the six compounds and ISSs, indicating the good specificity of the analysis method.

The calibration curves for the six compounds were established by plotting the peak area ratios of each analyte to the IS against the concentration. A least-squares linear regression with weighting factor 1/concentration was used to fit the data. In this study, we found that the novel UHPLC-Q-Orbitrap-MS method yielded a wide dynamic range for the six compounds determined with the correlation coefficients (r) exceeding 0.992 (Table 3). In addition, the lower limits of quantification (LLOQ) were defined as a signal-to-noise ratio over 10 and relative error (RE) within ± 20%. As shown in Table 3, the LLOQs of coclaurine, magnoflorine, spinosin, 6′-feruloylspinosin, JuA, and JuB were 0.08, 4.52, 3.00, 0.20, 0.82, and 0.53 ng/mL, respectively. These results revealed that the sensitivity of the novel analysis method operated under the scan mode was much higher than that of previous studies [16–19].

Intra-day precision and accuracy were analyzed by measuring five replicate QC samples at three concentration levels within one day while inter-day precision and accuracy were investigated by determining five replicate QC samples at three concentration levels on three successive days. Precision (relative standard deviation, RSD) and accuracy (RE) for intra- and inter-day values were below 15% and within ± 15% for the six compounds (Table 4), respectively. Such findings suggested that all data were accepted and could be used for the analysis of suggested samples.

The extraction recoveries and matrix effects of the six compounds were evaluated by determining the QC samples at three concentration levels with five replicates. The matrix effect was expressed as the percent of post-spiked sample peak area to average peak area at the same concentration. The recovery of six analytes was measured by comparing the peak areas of the analytes in post-extraction spiked samples to those in pre-extraction spiked samples at the same concentration. Mean extraction recoveries are shown in Table 5, with values ranging from 83.48% to 98.92%. Mean matrix effects ranged from 87.45% to 112.28%. The recovery and matrix effect of three ISSs were interrogated by the same progress as shown in Table 5. The above results indicated that sample pre-treatment was appropriate for obtaining stable and high extraction recovery and no evident endogenous interference.

The stability of all analytes in blank rat plasma was investigated by analyzing five replicate QC samples at three different concentrations during sample collection and the handling process. Freeze-thaw stability was assessed after three freeze-thaw cycles (from 20 °C to 20 °C). Long-term stability was studied by storing QC samples at −80 °C for 30 days while short-term stability was measured by analyzing QC samples stored at 25 °C for 12 h. Post-preparation stability was tested by determining the extracted QC samples stored in the auto-sampler at 4 °C for 24 h. As shown in Table 6, RE values for the theoretical concentration of the QC samples were between −14.77% and 14.88%, and RSD values ranged from 0.58% to 13.56%, indicating that all analytes were stable during the analysis.

#### 3.5. Pharmacokinetic study

It is well known that aqueous extraction (decoction pieces) is the main prescription form of TCM. To our knowledge, the present study is the first to report the pharmacokinetics of six compounds from the ZSS aqueous extract administered orally to NC and IM rats using the above validated method. Mean plasma concentration-time curves are presented in Fig. 5, and the pharmacokinetic parameters are listed in Table 7.

##### 3.5.1. Pharmacokinetic behaviors of the six compounds in normal control rats

Spinosin and 6′-feruloylspinosin were the predominant C-glycoside flavonoids, accounting for 0.10% and 0.04%, respectively, of the ZSS content (w/w) [2]. 6′-Feruloylspinosin is a derivative of spinosin with a feruloyl group bound to the 6′C of the glycoside. Here, two flavonoid C-glycosides achieved a Cmax at 0.3 h ($T_{\text{max}}$), suggesting that they had a rapid absorption in the gastrointestinal tract after oral administration of the ZSS aqueous extract to rats. Li et al. [19] reported that it is difficult to absorb spinosin in the ZSS ethanol extract from rat plasma, a finding that does not align with that of the current study. The quick absorption in the present study might result from coexisting constituents in the aqueous extract. Compared to that of spinosin, the CL value (928.92 ± 309.06 L/h/kg) of 6′-feruloylspinosin remarkably increased ($P < 0.01$), indicating that 6′-feruloylspinosin might be rapidly and widely distributed in rats, aligning with the finding of a previous report [10]. Some studies reported that 6′-feruloylspinosin was first hydrolyzed to spinosin and swertisin, and spinosin could be further metabolized to swertisin in vitro by rat intestinal bacteria [25,26]. Based on our knowledge, we speculate that spinosin and swertisin might be the major and high content compounds in plasma. As expected, the $C_{\text{max}}$ (45.22 ± 7.94 ng/mL) and AUC0-$t$ values (61.14 ± 22.16 µg·L/h·h) of spinosin were significantly higher than the $C_{\text{max}}$ (15.83 ± 1.54 ng/mL) and AUC0-$t$ (20.95 ± 5.55 µg·L/h) of 6′-feruloylspinosin ($P < 0.01$). Unfortunately, the concentration of swertisin in rat plasma was too low for detection under the present condition. Notably, a high content of swertisin was found in bile and feces (data not open), which suggested that the intestine might be the target organ of swertisin. However, the process whereby this contribution occurred requires further investigation.

As demonstrated in Fig. 5, JuA and JuB showed consistent tendencies in the single and plateau absorption phase. As observed in Table 7, the CL value of JuB was much higher than that of JuA, which was consistent with a previous study [27]. These phenomena might result from the hydrolysis of saponin glycosides mediated by gastrointestinal bacteria after oral administration. JuA was previously reported to be first hydrolyzed to JuB in the intestinal segments. Thereafter, JuB could be further metabolized to jujubogenin in vitro by rat intestinal bacteria [28–30].

To date, an analytical method that can be used to determine the alkaloid contents in the ZSS aqueous extract of biological samples has not been presented. As shown in Table 7, coclaurine and magnoflorine achieved their $C_{\text{max}}$ at 0.3 h, demonstrating their rapid absorption from the gastrointestinal tract. Coclaurine was also rapidly eliminated from rat plasma following intragastric administration, with a $T_{1/2}$ of 0.45 ± 0.17 h. This finding indicated the short action time of coclaurine in vivo.
Fig. 4. Extraction ion chromatograms (EIC) of the six compounds and three internal standard (ISs): (A) blank plasma; (B) blank plasma spiked with the analytes at LLOQ and IS; (C) plasma samples 0.5 h after oral administration of the ZSS aqueous extract. 3.20 min: coclaurine; 4.08 min: magnoflorine; 6.14 min: spinosin; 8.14 min: 6"-feruloylspinosin; 10.77 min: jujuboside A; 11.14 min: jujuboside B; 10.20 min: IS1; 4.66 min: IS2; 11.10 min: IS3.
Table 3
The regression equations, linear range, and LLOQs for the six compounds.

| Analytes          | Calibration curves | Range (ng/mL) | r      | LLOQ (ng/mL) |
|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------|--------------|
| Coclaurine        | $Y = 17.703X + 0.010$ | 0.08–16       | 0.997  | 0.08         |
| Magnoflorine      | $Y = 34.987X + 1.041$ | 4.52–452      | 0.995  | 4.52         |
| Spinosin          | $Y = 14.44X + 0.044$ | 3.00–240      | 0.998  | 3.00         |
| 6”-Feruloylspinosin | $Y = 12.905X + 0.017$ | 0.20–20       | 0.995  | 0.20         |
| Jujuboside A      | $Y = 1.690X - 0.001$ | 0.82–65.60    | 0.998  | 0.82         |
| Jujuboside B      | $Y = 2.254X - 0.001$ | 0.53–42.40    | 0.992  | 0.53         |

Table 4
Intra-day and inter-day precisions and accuracies for the determination of the six compounds from the assay samples (mean ± SD, n = 5).

| Analytes          | Nominal concentration (ng/mL) | Inter-day Observed concentration (ng/mL) | Precision (RSD, %) | Accuracy (RE, %) | Intra-day Observed concentration (ng/mL) | Precision (RSD, %) | Accuracy (RE, %) |
|-------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Coclaurine        | 0.16                         | 0.14                                     | 0.77              | -10.98          | 0.13                                     | 9.82              | -8.56           |
|                  | 1.60                         | 1.63                                     | 7.81              | -3.85           | 1.76                                     | 14.75             | -7.04           |
|                  | 12.80                        | 12.52                                    | 5.88              | -4.45           | 12.92                                    | 5.54              | -1.91           |
| Magnoflorine      | 9.04                         | 9.98                                     | 10.87             | 11.59           | 9.79                                     | 9.81              | 6.22            |
|                  | 45.20                        | 39.75                                    | 13.94             | 12.05           | 43.27                                    | 7.24              | -1.46           |
|                  | 361.60                       | 361.46                                   | 5.65              | -8.10           | 358.61                                   | 3.07              | -8.80           |
| Spinosin          | 6.00                         | 6.31                                     | 6.77              | -9.35           | 5.75                                     | 11.08             | -6.80           |
|                  | 24.00                        | 24.18                                    | 9.88              | 14.39           | 24.75                                    | 14.33             | 4.88            |
|                  | 192.00                       | 190.10                                   | 7.17              | 8.89            | 184.00                                   | 5.65              | 7.06            |
| 6”-Feruloylspinosin | 0.40                       | 0.39                                     | 2.51              | 4.48            | 0.37                                     | 13.48             | 5.50            |
|                  | 2.00                         | 1.83                                     | 6.09              | -5.58           | 1.78                                     | 10.63             | 6.25            |
|                  | 16.00                        | 15.06                                    | 11.84             | -9.07           | 14.51                                    | 11.19             | -10.54          |
| Jujuboside A      | 1.64                         | 1.69                                     | 7.18              | 8.88            | 1.78                                     | 11.88             | -2.94           |
|                  | 6.56                         | 6.69                                     | 6.19              | -9.67           | 6.58                                     | 10.02             | 7.44            |
|                  | 52.48                        | 52.18                                    | 11.45             | 6.74            | 50.04                                    | 8.88              | 8.53            |
| Jujuboside B      | 1.06                         | 1.17                                     | 4.69              | 2.64            | 1.17                                     | 10.66             | 7.24            |
|                  | 4.24                         | 4.17                                     | 2.02              | -13.76          | 4.90                                     | 9.92              | -4.99           |
|                  | 33.92                        | 33.71                                    | 4.02              | -2.18           | 32.83                                    | 8.28              | -3.52           |

3.5.2. Pharmacokinetic comparison of six ingredients in normal control rats and rats with insomnia

Studying the pharmacokinetics of active compounds of TCM in the pathological state is necessary to provide additional information and thus enhance the safety and efficacy of TCM in clinical applications [31]. Many reports have demonstrated that insomnia condition would cause the alterations of pharmacokinetic parameters. Liao group [21] has reported that the pharmacokinetic behavior of the protoberberine-type alkaloids in Jiao-Tai-Wan of IM rats had significant differences compared to NC rats. Bi group [32] found that absorptions of six sedative and hypnotic lignans in insomnia group were all significantly higher than those in normal group. In the present study, rats treated with PCPA for three days lost their circadian rhythm and were thus sleepless for the entire

Table 5
Matrix effects and extraction recoveries for the analytes and three internal standards (mean ± SD, n = 5).

| Analytes          | Spiked concentration (ng/mL) | Matrix effect (%) | Recovery (%) |
|-------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--------------|
|                  | Mean ± SD                   | RSD%             | Mean ± SD    | RSD%          |
| Coclaurine        | 0.16                         | 103.46 ± 0.03    | 2.98         | 94.81 ± 0.05  | 12.32         |
|                  | 1.60                         | 98.91 ± 0.03     | 2.90         | 96.91 ± 0.04  | 6.98          |
|                  | 12.80                        | 103.15 ± 0.05    | 5.10         | 95.83 ± 0.03  | 3.29          |
| Magnoflorine      | 9.04                         | 103.67 ± 0.04    | 3.81         | 96.24 ± 0.03  | 14.50         |
|                  | 45.20                        | 102.70 ± 0.04    | 3.87         | 98.78 ± 0.02  | 2.20          |
| Spinosin          | 6.00                         | 102.01 ± 0.05    | 4.80         | 96.40 ± 0.02  | 2.26          |
|                  | 24.00                        | 107.70 ± 0.08    | 7.64         | 84.91 ± 0.08  | 9.76          |
|                  | 192.00                       | 104.11 ± 0.11    | 10.99        | 87.28 ± 0.03  | 3.03          |
| 6”-Feruloylspinosin | 0.40                   | 111.26 ± 0.06    | 4.24         | 92.38 ± 0.08  | 8.55          |
|                  | 2.00                         | 87.45 ± 0.04     | 4.32         | 95.76 ± 0.03  | 2.89          |
|                  | 16.00                        | 112.28 ± 0.02    | 1.73         | 96.09 ± 0.08  | 7.02          |
| Jujuboside A      | 1.64                         | 95.81 ± 0.09     | 9.67         | 90.14 ± 0.11  | 11.82         |
|                  | 6.56                         | 92.09 ± 0.11     | 11.64        | 83.48 ± 0.06  | 6.73          |
|                  | 52.48                        | 97.73 ± 0.05     | 5.06         | 93.01 ± 0.08  | 8.11          |
| Jujuboside B      | 1.06                         | 90.76 ± 0.02     | 2.14         | 97.25 ± 0.09  | 9.50          |
|                  | 4.24                         | 95.67 ± 0.09     | 9.49         | 91.80 ± 0.12  | 12.98         |
|                  | 33.92                        | 97.43 ± 0.04     | 4.20         | 98.92 ± 0.04  | 3.55          |
| IS1               | 7.87                         | 106.00 ± 0.08    | 7.43         | 92.12 ± 0.07  | 7.95          |
| IS2               | 21.60                        | 98.00 ± 0.05     | 5.30         | 95.35 ± 0.05  | 4.81          |
| IS3               | 55.68                        | 105.69 ± 0.03    | 3.07         | 95.84 ± 0.04  | 3.85          |
day and the concentration of 5-HT in serum was significantly reduced in IM rats. Meanwhile, ZSS aqueous extract (30 g/kg) had significantly elevated the concentration of 5-HT in serum compared to IM rats (Fig. 2). The results indicated that ZSS was an effective anti-insomnia drug.

The non-compartmental model was applied to calculate the pharmacokinetic parameters in the NC and IM groups. The pharmacokinetic parameters are summarized in Table 7 and mean concentration-time profiles are presented in Fig. 5. The results demonstrated that significant differences existed in these pharmacokinetic parameters \( (P < 0.01) \), including AUC_{0-t}, AUC_{0-\infty} and CL for 6″-feruloylsinosin. The AUC_{0-t} and AUC_{0-\infty} values of 6″-feruloylsinosin in the IM group significantly decreased \( (P < 0.01) \). By contrast, the CL value of 6″-feruloylsinosin significantly increased in the IM group compared with NC group \( (P < 0.01) \). Although no significant differences were found, an increasing trend for CL and the decreasing trend for the AUC_{0-t} and AUC_{0-\infty} of spinosin were observed in IM group compared with NC group. After oral administration of the ZSS decoction in a previous study, the AUC_{0-t} and C_{max} of spinosin markedly decreased in the IM group, aligning with the results of the current study \[22\]. These results indicated that the absorption of two flavonoids was faster and poorer in IM rats than in NC rats after oral administration of ZSS aqueous extract. Moreover, the elimination of two compounds was higher in IM rats than in NC rats. Furthermore, a shorter T_{max} for JuA and JuB and a longer T_{1/2} for JuA were observed in the IM group compared with the NC

### Table 6

The stability of six compounds in rat plasma under different storage conditions.

| Analytes            | Spiked concentration (ng/mL) | 25 °C for 4 h | Frozen for 30 days | Three freeze-thaw cycles | 4 °C for 12 h |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
|                     | Precision (RSD, %) | Accuracy (RE, %) | Precision (RSD, %) | Accuracy (RE, %) | Precision (RSD, %) | Accuracy (RE, %) | Precision (RSD, %) | Accuracy (RE, %) | Precision (RSD, %) | Accuracy (RE, %) |
| Coclaurine          | 0.16                          | 9.55          | 0.50              | 5.88                     | 14.76         | 13.19         | 11.85         | 1.21               | 14.88         |
|                     | 1.60                          | 5.05          | –8.16             | 7.39                     | 9.58          | 2.88          | 9.48          | 4.42               | 3.35          |
|                     | 12.80                         | 1.86          | 6.57              | 3.17                     | –1.99         | 3.16          | 8.75          | 2.66               | 7.71          |
| Magnoflorine        | 9.04                          | 10.01         | 8.42              | 3.34                     | –14.77        | 13.56         | –5.49         | 11.51              | –4.56         |
|                     | 45.20                         | 2.09          | –9.23             | 12.21                     | 0.05          | 7.16          | 5.31          | 2.08               | –11.99        |
|                     | 361.60                        | 4.69          | 9.78              | 2.64                     | 9.01          | 1.10          | 8.06          | 2.34               | 11.25         |
| Spinosin            | 6.00                          | 2.17          | 5.12              | 6.93                     | 14.54         | 10.05         | –8.43         | 8.65               | 13.18         |
|                     | 24.00                         | 7.12          | –6.48             | 2.37                     | 6.16          | 2.05          | 10.39         | 4.57               | 3.65          |
|                     | 192.00                        | 3.28          | 5.08              | 6.02                     | –0.64         | 6.76          | 5.01          | 2.71               | 3.02          |
| 6″-Feruloylsinosin  | 0.40                          | 7.74          | 0.44              | 11.36                    | –14.01        | 6.53          | –12.58        | 6.02               | –14.75        |
|                     | 2.00                          | 2.09          | –6.30             | 9.76                     | –4.34         | 2.61          | –11.57        | 0.58               | –10.52        |
|                     | 16.00                         | 8.80          | 9.73              | 7.06                     | 0.55          | 6.42          | 4.39          | 0.99               | –4.57          |
| Jujuboside A        | 1.64                          | 9.57          | 12.85             | 1.66                     | 14.56         | 8.83          | 6.93          | 7.58               | 9.19          |
|                     | 6.56                          | 11.10         | –1.90             | 9.70                     | 6.29          | 8.77          | 3.72          | 11.51              | 7.83          |
|                     | 52.48                         | 3.54          | 9.80              | 7.12                     | 6.79          | 8.12          | 7.69          | 9.35               | 7.74          |
| Jujuboside B        | 1.06                          | 3.96          | 11.80             | 6.61                     | 11.45         | 12.25         | 13.73         | 8.09               | –10.93        |
|                     | 4.24                          | 2.47          | –11.33            | 1.97                     | 11.46         | 2.22          | 11.82         | 10.79              | –1.82         |
|                     | 33.92                         | 4.61          | 8.64              | 9.21                     | 5.47          | 5.28          | 8.05          | 3.35               | 6.54          |

**Fig. 5.** Mean concentration-time curves of six compounds in NC and IM rat plasma after oral administration of the ZSS aqueous extract. Values are presented as mean ± SD of 6 rats.
were monitored using a fully validated UHPLC-Q-Orbitrap-MS method, and their pharmacokinetic profiles were obtained after administering the ZSS aqueous extract to normal and PCPA-induced IM rats. Different structural types of compounds (flavonoids, saponins, and alkaloids) exhibited characteristic pharmacokinetic behaviors in NC rats. In fact, there were statistically significant differences among the pharmacokinetic parameters of 6′-feruloylspinosin, JuA, and JuB, while a weak variation tendency was exhibited by spinosin, including the bioavailability of complex TCMs to support further drug development and clinical application.
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