Polymorphisms in GSTM1, GSTT1 and CYP1A1 and risk of pancreatic adenocarcinoma
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Summary
A prospective study of 149 unselected incident cases of pancreatic adenocarcinoma and 146 ethnically-matched controls found no associations between GSTM1 (adjusted odds ratio (AOR) 1.14), GSTT1 (AOR: 1.19) and CYP1A1 (AOR: 1.08) polymorphisms and pancreatic cancer susceptibility. Smoking and drinking status did not affect results. These polymorphisms do not appear to be important gene modifiers in pancreatic cancer. © 2000 Cancer Research Campaign
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population
Patients with newly diagnosed pancreatic cancer were enrolled from the inpatient units, and outpatient cancer clinics of nine tertiary care hospitals in Toronto and Montreal from July 1996 to October 1998. Eligible adult patients received a histologically confirmed diagnosis of pancreatic adenocarcinoma. A total of 161 cases were enrolled of 204 eligible cases (79% participation rate), confirmed diagnosis of pancreatic adenocarcinoma. A total of 161 October 1998. Eligible adult patients received a histologically

Laboratory analysis
Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplifications were performed from genomic DNA extracted from blood for all patients and controls using standard methods. Genotyping of GSTM1 and GSTT1 were performed by published methods (Zhong et al, 1993; Pemble et al, 1994). In both cases, presence of an internal control product concurrent with the absence of a GSTM1- or GSTT1-specific product was indicative of homozygosity for the null allele. The CYP1A1 genotype was determined by PCR using allele-specific primers of the isoleucine-valine polymorphism in residue 462 in exon 7 according to a modification of the method previously described (Rebeck et al, 1994). Because the Msp polymorphism of CYP1A1 is tightly linked with the Ile-Val substitution, and is rare (approximately 1%) in non-Japanese cohorts, only the Ile-Val substitution was evaluated (Hirvonen, 1995). All PCR assays were done without knowledge of case or control status.

Statistical analysis
Methods
Conditional logistic regression, excluding ethnically unmatched cases, was used to estimate the initial odds ratios. However,
because conditional logistic regression yielded virtually identical results to unmatched logistic regression, the final analysis performed was an unmatched logistic regression, using the SAS program, and included all cases and controls. The following factors were controlled for in the analysis: age (within 5 years), gender, centre attended (Toronto vs Montreal), ethnicity, smoking and drinking status. Interactions among the different polymorphisms and smoking were performed as secondary analyses.

**Power**

At a two-sided $\alpha$ (alpha) of 0.05, with 150 cases and 150 controls, where the expected controls had a prevalence of the null genotype of 50% for $GSTM1$, 20% for $GSTT1$ and 20% for the variant $CYP1A1$, we have an 80% power to detect an odds ratio for pancreatic cancer risk between genotypes of $\geq 1.7$ ($GSTM1$); $\geq 2.0$ ($GSTT1$ and $CYP1A1$).

**RESULTS**

The study population is described in Table 1. The ratio of males and females in the cases is similar to that observed in the Canadian population with pancreatic cancer. There were no differences in ethnicity mix, gender, or age between cases and controls. There were trends for more smokers ($P = 0.06$) and drinkers ($P = 0.07$) to have pancreatic cancer. Pancreatitis and diabetes were more frequent in the cases, but this effect disappeared when only those conditions which appeared more than 3 years before the time of diagnosis were considered ($P > 0.20$ for both conditions), suggesting that these medical conditions were actually the first manifestations of pancreatic cancer.

Genotype data are provided in Table 2. There were no differences ($P > 0.60$ for all genotypes) between cases and controls in $GSTM1$, $GSTT1$ and $CYP1A1$ genotype distribution. The prevalence of the control null or variant genotypes is similar to those found in other Western population studies (Hirvonen, 1995; Rebbeck, 1997). No differences were found when the data were stratified by ethnicity.

The overall adjusted odds ratio for pancreatic cancer with the $GSTM1$ null genotype was 1.14 (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.71–1.81), $GSTT1$ null genotype 1.19 (95% CI 0.66–2.16), and $CYP1A1$ variant, 1.08 (95% CI 0.51–2.14), adjusting for drinking, smoking and ethnicity. The unadjusted odds ratios ($GSTM1$ null 1.13; $GSTT1$ null 1.19; $CYP1A1$ variant 1.08) were similar to the adjusted odds ratios. In the logistic regression analyses, smoking and drinking status, ethnicity, and genotype status were not found to influence the development of pancreatic cancer. The $P$-values for all models examined were greater than 0.20. Subset analyses did not show any interactions amongst the different polymorphisms and the development of pancreatic cancer.

**Table 1** Characteristics of the study population

|                       | Cases          | Controls        | $P$-value$^a$ |
|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|
| **Median age, years (range)** | 66 (24–83)  | 64 (29–77)      | n.a.         |
| **Gender**            |               |                 |              |
| Male                  | 79 (53)       | 76 (52)         | n.a.         |
| Female                | 70 (47)       | 70 (48)         |              |
| **Ethnicity$^c$**     |               |                 |              |
| French/French Canadian| 63 (42)       | 63 (43)         | n.a.         |
| British/Irish         | 39 (28)       | 39 (27)         |              |
| Other European        | 23 (15)       | 23 (16)         |              |
| Ashkenazi Jewish      | 13 (8)        | 13 (8)          |              |
| Asian/Arab            | 8 (5)         | 8 (5)           |              |
| Other/multi-ethnic    | 3 (2)         | 0 (0)           |              |
| **Smoking status$^c$**|               |                 |              |
| Never                 | 84 (56)       | 96 (66)         | 0.06         |
| Light                 | 33 (22)       | 30 (26)         |              |
| Heavy                 | 32 (21)       | 20 (15)         |              |
| **Drinking status$^d$**|             |                 |              |
| Never                 | 67 (45)       | 85 (58)         | 0.07         |
| Light                 | 45 (30)       | 32 (22)         |              |
| Heavy                 | 37 (25)       | 29 (20)         |              |
| **Pancreatitis**      |               |                 |              |
| Ever                  | 9 (6)         | 0 (0)           | 0.004        |
| > 3 years before diagnosis | 3 (2)     | 0 (0)           | 0.25         |
| **Diabetes mellitus** |               |                 |              |
| Ever                  | 13 (9)        | 3 (2)           | 0.02         |
| > 3 years before diagnosis | 5 (3)     | 3 (2)           | 0.73         |

$^a$n.a. = non-applicable; $^c$2 trend test for smoking and drinking; $^c$2 tests or Fisher’s exact tests for all other categories.

$^c$Ethnicity was defined as having at least three grandparents in the same ethnicity category. All others were classified as multi-ethnic. $^c$Non-smokers had fewer than 100 cigarettes in their lifetime; light smokers had 30 lifetime pack-years (product of number of packs per day x number of years smoking) or fewer; and heavy smokers, more than 30 pack-years. Twenty-five cigarettes constituted one pack. $^d$Light drinkers have 50 or fewer drink-years. One drink was equivalent to one bottle of beer, one glass of wine, or one shot of hard liquor.
DISCUSSION

Although pancreatic cancer is an important cause of cancer death, genetic factors involved with the aetiology of the disease have not been extensively studied. Previous smaller studies found no associations with \textit{CYP1A1} polymorphisms or \textit{GSTM1} null genotypes (Lee et al, 1997; Bartsch et al, 1998). Our study confirmed a lack of association between pancreatic adenocarcinoma and \textit{GSTT1}, \textit{GSTM1} null-genotypes, or the \textit{CYP1A1} variant. Further, neither smoking status nor alcohol use influenced our results. The strength of the current study is the use of ethnically matched controls. Ethnicity has been shown to greatly affect genotype status (Rebbeck, 1997). The mix of ethnicities in this study allowed for a subset analysis, which showed non-significant risk differences in Caucasian, Jewish or non-Caucasian patients.

The use of spousal controls aimed to decrease the environmental and ethnic differences between cases and controls (Foulkes et al, 1996), and we were successful in obtaining more than half of our controls as spouses. It is this overmatching which possibly led to a non-significant trend for smokers to develop pancreatic cancer in this population ($P = 0.06$, Table 2).

This study had several limitations. Small and modest differences in risk (relative risks less than 1.7–2.0) would have been missed. The study did not evaluate \textit{GSTM1} subtypes A and B, although there has never been a clear functional difference between these subtypes (Rebbeck, 1997). In vitro studies suggest that the Ile-Val \textit{CYP1A1} polymorphism has no functional consequences (Zhang et al, 1996; Persson et al, 1997) and the functional significance of the \textit{MspI} allele is still unknown. This study did not evaluate the interaction of genotypes and dietary factors. Different dietary factors have been associated with pancreatic cancer, but none consistently (Howe and Burtch, 1996). The potential interactions between several dietary factors and genotypes would be enormous, requiring thousands of pancreatic cancer patients.

In conclusion, we found that \textit{GSTM1}, \textit{GSTT1} homozygous null genotypes and the \textit{CYP1A1} (Ile-Val) genotype are not overrepresented in pancreatic cancer patients, and interactions between tobacco and alcohol and polymorphic variation are not observed. There are a number of reasons for a lack of association between these polymorphisms, smoking, and the development of pancreatic cancer. First, \textit{GSTM1}, \textit{GSTT1} and \textit{CYP1A1} may not be among the enzymes involved in the metabolism of the carcinogens responsible for carcinogenesis. Repair genes, such as O$^-\$methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase, might be the primary genetic modifiers of pancreatic cancer risk. Secondly, these polymorphisms are themselves inadequate to modify a person’s risk, and require other genetic or environmental modifiers not yet identified. Future studies will need to address these areas of research.
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Table 2  Genotype characteristics of study population

|          | Cases        | Controls     |
|----------|--------------|--------------|
|          | Null/variant | Present/standard |
|          | $n$ (%)      | $n$ (%)      | $n$ (%)      | $n$ (%)      |
| GST-M1   |              |              |              |              |
| subgroups: |              |              |              |              |
| French/French Canadian | 36 (54) | 27 (45) | 37 (51) | 26 (49) |
| British/Irish   | 21 (30) | 18 (40) | 17 (32) | 22 (40) |
| Other European   | 13 (25) | 10 (20) | 9 (18) | 14 (28) |
| Ashkenazi Jewish    | 6 (12) | 7 (14) | 7 (14) | 6 (14) |
| Asian/Arab     | 3 (6) | 5 (10) | 5 (10) | 3 (6) |
| Other/multi-ethnic | 2 (4) | 1 (2) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| GST-T1     | 30 (20) | 119 (80) | 26 (18) | 119* (82) |
| subgroups:      |              |              |              |              |
| French/French Canadian | 6 (12) | 57 (80) | 11 (22) | 32 (50) |
| British/Irish   | 9 (18) | 30 (80) | 7 (14) | 32 (50) |
| Other European   | 8 (16) | 15 (84) | 5 (10) | 18 (90) |
| Ashkenazi Jewish    | 2 (4) | 11 (96) | 2 (4) | 10 (96) |
| Asian/Arab     | 4 (8) | 4 (92) | 1 (2) | 7 (98) |
| Other/multi-ethnic | 1 (2) | 2 (88) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| CYP1A1     | 20 (13) | 129 (87) | 19 (13) | 127 (87) |
| subgroups:      |              |              |              |              |
| French/French Canadian | 7 (13) | 56 (87) | 9 (63) | 54 (37) |
| British/Irish   | 4 (8) | 35 (92) | 4 (26) | 35 (74) |
| Other European   | 5 (10) | 18 (90) | 4 (26) | 19 (74) |
| Ashkenazi Jewish    | 0 (0) | 13 (100) | 0 (0) | 13 (100) |
| Asian/Arab     | 3 (6) | 5 (94) | 2 (6) | 6 (94) |
| Other/multi-ethnic | 1 (2) | 2 (98) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |

*aOne control did not have GST-T1 genotype data.*
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