Effect of the use of snuff on the levels of interleukin-1 β and interleukin-8 in the gingival crevicular fluid of periodontitis patients
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ABSTRACT

Background: Use of smokeless tobacco in the form of moist snuff placed in the oral cavity is popular in rural India. The aim of the present cross-sectional study was to determine the effect of snuff on periodontitis by assessing interleukin (IL)-1 β and IL-8 levels in gingival crevicular fluid.

Materials and Methods: A total of 60 subjects were selected for this study. 40 subjects presented with periodontitis, which included 20 snuff users (SP) and 20 nonsnuff users (NS). 20 periodontally healthy patients formed the controls (healthy control: HC). The clinical parameters recorded were gingival index (GI), plaque index, calculus index, bleeding on probing (BOP), probing depth (PD), recession (RC), and clinical attachment level (CAL). The IL-1 β and IL-8 levels were assessed through enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (Quantikine®). Analysis of variance (ANOVA), post-hoc Tukey’s, Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA and Mann-Whitney test was used for comparison among groups and P > 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: No significant difference was seen in levels of IL-1 β and IL-8 between SP and NS groups (P = 0.16, 0.97). However, both the periodontitis groups (SP and NS) had increased IL-β levels when compared to HC group (P = 0.01, 0.001). The snuff users showed significant increase in GI, BOP, RC, and CAL when compared with NS (P = 0.002, 0.001, 0.012, 0.002) whereas NS group had significant increase in PD (P = 0.003).

Conclusion: Within the limitations of this study, use of snuff does not affect the host inflammatory response associated with periodontitis and leads to RC and increased CAL due to local irritant effect.
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INTRODUCTION

India has the highest users of tobacco in both smoking and smokeless forms.³,⁴ Smokeless tobacco (ST) use is more prevalent than smoking especially in rural parts of the country.³ Global Adult Tobacco Survey (GATS) showed states in India such as Jharkhand, Bihar, and Uttar Pradesh having a very high prevalence of ST users.⁴ ST can be used in two forms, chewable and inhalable. The common forms of chewing tobacco in India include moist snuff (powdered tobacco leaves), gutka (mixture of...
powdered tobacco, betul nut, and slaked lime), zarda (mixture of boiled tobacco leaves and slaked lime), mawa (combination of areca nut, tobacco and slaked lime), khaini (tobacco with slaked lime), and pan (areca nut and tobacco wrapped in betul leaf).

Snuff is made from ground or pulverized tobacco leaves, which can either be used in dry or moist form. The dry snuff is a powdered form and is used by either sniffing or inhaling through the nose while the moist form is used by placing the tobacco called as pinch, dip, lipper or quid between the lower lip or cheek and gingiva, allowing absorption of nicotine through the oral tissues.[6,7] According to GATS 2009-2010, “creamy” snuff is most commonly used in the states of Chhattisgarh (28.3%), Maharashtra (8%), and Jharkhand (7.9%).[4] This habit is more popular among females (9.2%) than males (6.5%) and youths are more vulnerable to this habit. The creamy or moist snuff is routinely used by people of lower socioeconomic status and commonly known to local people as gul or gudaku available in tin cans or pouches.[4] Moist snuff contains high levels nitrosamine and nicotine and can lead to harmful health effects such as increased risk for hypertension, increased heart rate, type II diabetes, and pancreatic cancer[8,9] and possible association with myocardial infarction.[10] The use of moist snuff is detrimental for oral and periodontal health, causing oral cancer, mucosal lesions, gingival inflammation, gingival recession (RC), and attachment loss at sites adjacent to snuff placement and eventually tooth loss.[3,6,7,11]

Periodontitis is an infectious disease characterized by inflammation and loss of supporting tissues around teeth resulting in pocket formation, RC and loss of alveolar bone.[12] The two prominent inflammatory mediator associated with progressive periodontitis are an interleukin-1 beta (IL-1 β) and 8.[12] Nicotine is one of the active ingredients of ST which can directly modify the production of cytokines and inflammatory mediators by various cell types found in periodontal tissues.[13] Previous studies have shown an elevation in IL-1 β and IL-8 in nicotine stimulated gingival keratinocytes.[13,14] The rise in pro-inflammatory mediators could be an explanation for increased periodontal destruction among snuff consumers.

Most studies do not distinguish between the different types of ST used.[3,11,15-17] Each product should be studied separately due to their difference in content and use. There are few documented evidence on the effect of snuff on periodontal disease.[6,7,18-21] Some studies have shown snuff use being associated with severe forms of periodontal disease[18,19] while others failed to show such association except for gingival RC at the site of placement.[6,7,20,21] The pathogenesis of periodontitis related to moist snuff use is not well understood, and we hypothesized that snuff consumption would have an effect on the local immune response, causing destruction on periodontal tissues. We selected a population having a habit of moist snuff use and periodontitis as a common finding. Hence, the aim of the present study was to investigate the effect of moist snuff on the periodontal disease by assessing the local cytokine levels.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The present cross-sectional was conducted in Department of Periodontology, Vananchal Dental College and Hospital, Jharkhand from November 2013 to April 2014. Informed consent was obtained from all the participants before enrolment in the study as required in the approval by the Institutional Ethics Committee (protocol number: VSET/05/SN). All the participants were selected from the rural population of Garhwa district, India.

**Sample size calculation**

To detect a minimum difference of 100 units at a standard deviation (SD) of 90 based on the result from a similar study by Jacob et al.,[22] the calculated sample size was 15 per group at an alpha error of 0.05 and power at 80%.

**Study participants**

Sixty subjects from the rural population of Garhwa, India were recruited for this study. The study included three groups, consisting of 40 patients with chronic periodontitis and 20 periodontally healthy controls (HCs). Among 40 periodontitis patients, 20 were snuff users (SP) and 20 were nonsnuff users (NS). Definition of moderate periodontitis proposed by CDC Periodontal Disease Surveillance Workgroup and American Academy of Periodontology (AAP) was used in our study.[23] Periodontitis was diagnosed when ≥2 interproximal sites with clinical attachment level (CAL) of ≥4 mm (not on same tooth) or ≥2 interproximal sites with probing depth (PD) of ≥5 mm (not on same tooth) was present.[23] Snuff users were selected if they were chronic users; average use of at least three cans of moist snuff per week for the past 2 years.[14] The moist snuff was available in tin cans or pouches.
cans weighing about 1.2 oz (34.02 gms). Nonsnuff users had no history of use of any ST product.

Exclusion criteria were:
1. Current or former smokers,
2. Current or former users of other forms of ST other than snuff,
3. Any systemic or general medical condition,
4. Undergone periodontal therapy in the last 3 months,
5. Under medication for antibiotics, steroids or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

All the participating subjects were required to answer a questionnaire concerning their use of snuff. Cumulative lifetime snuff use was assessed by can years, that is, the average number of cans consumed in a week multiplied by years of use.

Clinical examination

The clinical examination included assessment of plaque index (PI), gingival index (GI), calculus index (CI), bleeding on probing (BOP), RC, CAL, and PD. All the parameters were measured by a single calibrated periodontist (V.P.) and recorded by another dentist (S.A.S.) and both were blinded to the subject group and interview recordings. Full mouth examination was carried out for PI, GI, CI, and BOP. Plaque Index (PI) was calculated from a score ranging from 0 (tooth surface clean) to 3 (abundant plaque). GI was calculated from a score ranging from 0 (gingival unit healthy) to 3 (ulcerating or spontaneous bleeding). CI simplified was used to determine the amount of calculus with score 0 representing the absence of calculus and score 3 indicating severe supra and subgingival calculus. BOP was recorded as present for sites which had BOP after 15 s and were given a score of 1 or 0 for the absence of bleeding. The periodontal parameters, PD, RC, and CAL were recorded at six sites of tooth (mesiobuccal, mid-buccal, distobuccal, distolingual/palatal, mid-lingual/palatal, and mesiolingual/palatal) from a selected quadrant in each group. In snuff users, the quadrant where the snuff dip was placed was selected, usually the mandibular quadrant. In nonsnuff users, the site affected most with periodontitis was selected and any quadrant from HC group. In case of healthy control (HC), the probing depth was measured till base of sulcus and in case of periodontitis it was measured till base of periodontal pocket. RC was measured as the distance from the cementoenamel junction to the gingival margin. The CAL was calculated from the PD and RC measurements. All the measurement were taken by the straight periodontal probe (UNC-15, Hu Friedy®, Chicago, IL, USA) and measured to the nearest mm.

Examiner calibration

All the measurements were performed by a single periodontist (V.P.) who was blinded to the patient’s group and interview recording. A calibration process was carried out for PI, GI, CI, PD, RC, and CAL to eliminate the intra-examiner error. Before the start of the study, 20 sites were examined twice from 10 patients, once at baseline and after 24 h. Calibration would be accepted if both the measurements were similar to 1 mm at the 95% level (correlation coefficients between duplicate measurements; \( r = 0.95 \)).

Collection of gingival crevicular fluid

A total of 45 gingival crevicular fluid (GCF) samples, 15 from each group were collected for analysis. GCF collection was done at least 1-day and not more than 3 days after a clinical examination, which included probing to avoid its effect on the volume and content of the exudate. In the selected quadrant supragingival plaque was removed without touching the marginal gingiva and was air-dried and isolated with cotton rolls. A white color coded 1-5 \( \mu \)L calibrated volumetric microcapillary pipette (Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals Company Limited. St. Louis, MO, USA) was used to obtain GCF sample. From the selected quadrant, for each sample GCF was collected from five nonadjacent sites having the deepest PD. The micropipettes were kept at five sites for not more than 5 min at each site or until 3 \( \mu \)L of GCF was collected. A pooled volume for each sample was calculated. The sites from which no GCF could be obtained or at which the microcapillary pipettes were contaminated with blood or saliva were discarded and excluded from the study. Immediately after collection the samples were transferred into a plastic vial and frozen at \(-70^\circ \text{C}\) until the time of assay.

Assessment of interleukin-1 \( \beta \) and interleukin-8

IL-1 \( \beta \) and IL-8 levels were determined using enzyme-linked immunoassays (ELISA). All the GCF sampling and ELISA assays (Quantikine®, RandD Systems, Minneapolis, MN) were performed by one examiner (P.M.) who was blinded to the clinical result. The concentrations of ILs were reported in picogram per microliter (pg/\( \mu \)L) of sample. The samples were diluted and the procedure was carried out according to the manufacturer instruction. Each sample in both the assays was performed in duplicate (two separate culture wells for each sample).
Statistical analysis

SPSS software (SPSS Version 17.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for data entry and analysis. Mean and SD were calculated for all the variables. Parametric and nonparametric tests were used based on the normality and distribution of the data. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was done for comparison among all the three groups followed by post-hoc Tukey’s test for group-wise comparison. Kruskal-Wallis' ANOVA test was used for comparison among three groups and Mann-Whitney test was used for intergroup comparison of IL-1 \( \beta \) and IL-8. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was calculated to evaluate the correlation between duration of snuff placement and RC, CAL, PD, IL-1 \( \beta \), and IL-8. For all the comparisons \( P < 0.05 \) was accepted as statistically significant. The power of the present study was 84%.

### RESULTS

#### Study population

Table 1 describes the characteristic of the study population. A total of 60 subjects were examined for this study with 20 subjects in each group. The mean ages were 40.8 ± 14.1, 42.9 ± 11.6, and 38.9 ± 6.4 among snuff user, nonsnuff user, and HCs. There were more number of females than males among snuff users (12:8) compared to nonsnuff users (9:11) and HCs (12:8). The average consumption of snuff was 17.1 ± 8.4 years and the average duration of snuff placement was 90.5 ± 8.6 min/day. The average can-year was calculated to 40.2 ± 15.6.

#### Clinical parameters

Table 2 shows the comparison of clinical parameter taken full mouth among the three groups and Table 3 describes the periodontal parameters such as PD, RC, and CAL of the selected quadrant for each group. All the parameters such as PI, GI, CI, and BOP showed significant difference when compared group wise (<0.001) and this significance was present only when HCs were compared with snuff users and nonsnuff user. This difference became nonsignificant when snuff users were compared with nonsnuff users, except for GI (\( P = 0.002 \)) and BOP (\( P = 0.001 \)) which showed a higher score for snuff users. When HCs were compared with snuff users and nonsnuff users’ significant difference was present for PD, RC, and CAL. Increased RC and CAL was seen in snuff

### Table 1: Characteristics of study population

| Characteristic                  | Periodontitis | Healthy controls |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
|                                | Snuff users   | Nonsuff users   |
| N                               | 20            | 20              | 20              |
| Age (years) (mean±SD)           | 40.8±14.1     | 42.9±11.6       | 38.9±6.4        |
| Sex (males/females)             | 8/12          | 11/9            | 12/8            |
| Life time snuff use (can years) | 40.2±15.6     |                 |                 |
| Duration of snuff use (years)   | 17.1±8.4      |                 |                 |
| Duration of snuff placement (min/day) | 90.5±8.6 |                 |                 |
| Volume of GCF (\( \mu l \))     | 4.28±1.2      | 3.92±0.9        | 3.67±1.4        |

SD: Standard deviation; GCF: Gingival crevicular fluid

#### Table 2: Comparison of clinical parameters among all the three groups

| Parameters        | Periodontitis | Healthy controls | Intergroup comparisons |
|-------------------|---------------|------------------|------------------------|
|                   | SP            | NS               | HC                     | SP/NS | SP/HC | NS/HC |
| Plaque index      | 1.35±0.45     | 1.51±0.44        | 0.54±0.3               | <0.001* | 0.28  | 0.03*  | 0.02* |
| Gingival index    | 2.15±0.44     | 1.64±0.53        | 0.44±0.36              | <0.001* | 0.002* | 0.001* | 0.001* |
| Calculus index    | 1.44±0.33     | 1.33±0.21        | 0.39±0.19              | <0.001* | 0.69  | 0.01*  | 0.01* |
| Bleeding on probing | 0.62±0.11  | 0.34±0.21        | 0.24±0.22              | <0.001* | 0.001* | 0.001* | 0.001* |

*ANOVA P value for comparison among three groups; *Statistically significant; SP: Site of snuff placement; NS: Periodontitis site in nonsnuff users; HC: Healthy site in healthy control; ANOVA: Analysis of variance

#### Table 3: Comparison of periodontal parameters and cytokine levels (pg/\( \mu l \)) among all the three groups

| Parameters     | Periodontitis | Healthy controls | Intergroup comparisons |
|----------------|---------------|------------------|------------------------|
|                | SP            | NS               | HC                     | SP/NS | SP/HC | NS/HC |
| PD (mm)        | 2.75±0.45     | 3.29±0.63        | 1.56±0.48              | <0.001* | 0.003* | <0.001* | <0.001* |
| RC (mm)        | 3.03±0.42     | 1.21±1.15        | 0.0±0.0                | <0.001* | 0.012* | <0.001* | <0.001* |
| CAL (mm)       | 5.20±0.62     | 4.30±0.43        | 2.1±0.61               | <0.001* | 0.002* | <0.001* | <0.001* |
| IL-1 \( \beta \) | 381.81±284.54 | 201.77±102.25    | 90.97±55.94            | 0.002* | 0.16  | 0.01*  | 0.001* |
| IL-8           | 211.77±202.68 | 184.15±155.28    | 97.11±74.60            | 0.46  | 0.97  | 0.34  | 0.26  |

*ANOVA P value for comparison among three groups; *Statistically significant; SP: Site of snuff placement; NS: Periodontitis site in nonsnuff users; HC: Healthy site in healthy control; PD: Probing depth; RC: Recession; CAL: Clinical attachment loss; IL: Interleukin; ANOVA: Analysis of variance
In this study, we used the CDC-AAP classification for and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. such as lead, mercury, arsenic, cadmium, alkaloids, is nicotine, carcinogenic nitrosamines, toxic metals placement was more common among females than males. The variety of toxic components present in ST is nicotine, carcinogenic nitrosamines, toxic metals such as lead, mercury, arsenic, cadmium, alkaloids, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.

In this study, we used the CDC-AAP classification for moderate periodontitis. Snuff use leads to RC and hence increased attachment loss, which could have occurred even in the absence of periodontitis. Hence, it was imperative for us to use a definition, which could identify periodontitis more specifically. The selected definition had the feature of identifying patients with attachment loss due to periodontitis only. The definition relies on the PD and attachment loss in interproximal areas, as these sites are affected only by periodontitis. The use of snuff shows increased attachment loss in the form of gingival RC than periodontal pocketing. Snuff users in our study had periodontitis based on the selection criteria adopted. Hence, it can be deduced that consumption of snuff has a detrimental effect on the gingival wall of periodontal pocket leading to its destruction and hence causes gingival RC. Ground tobacco can cause mechanical trauma by its physical texture and the chemical content causes damage of the soft tissues. In addition, the presence of thin alveolar bone especially the lower anteriors, which is a common site for snuff placement, has less resistance to trauma further causing destruction of gingival tissues. This could explain the effect of increased gingival RC and hence attachment loss in the snuff group compared to other groups. This is consistent with other reports on snuff use. Jacob et al. similarly found that ST in the form of gutka leads to gingival RC due to the physical effect than any biological action. A study in south India conducted by Nagarajapa and Prasad reported a higher incidence of periodontal disease, although not statistically significant. Fisher et al. reported that ST users are twice more likely to have the severe active disease than adults who had never used ST.

Plaque levels were found higher in periodontitis patients (SP and NS) than the healthy subjects (HC) which contributes to the periodontal destruction. Plaque and calculus scores were similar in the two periodontitis groups (SP and NS) and indicates that the use of snuff does not lead to an increase in plaque and hence calculus too. Similar findings were seen in studies by Jacob et al., Singh et al. and Montén et al. among ST users. However, David et al. and Rolandsson et al. found less plaque and calculus formation among ST users. Even though the plaque and calculus levels did not vary, there was higher gingival inflammation and BOP among snuff users. This is due to the irritational properties of snuff either chemical or mechanical which may contribute to the increased inflammation and bleeding in
The GCF is a transudate or inflammatory exudate that is found in the gingival sulcus. Secretion of GCF increases in gingival inflammation and periodontitis. Our study also showed that the volume of GCF per unit time was collected in both the periodontitis groups than the healthy group. In our study, collection of GCF was done by using micropipettes. Collection by micropipettes is noninvasive, relatively simple, and easy to elute its constituents. Microcapillary pipettes were preferred over paper strips as the volume collected by micropipettes can be easily determined and it allows analysis of an undiluted sample of native GCF. A similar method was adopted by Faizuddin et al. and Jacob et al. The two markers chosen by our study to assess periodontal inflammation were IL-1 β and IL-8. In periodontitis patients both IL-1 β and IL-8 have been reported in GCF and periodontal tissues. The amount of these ILs has been closely associated with periodontal disease severity. The concentration of these pro-inflammatory cytokines gives us a measure of local inflammation. IL-1 β acts on endothelial cells to promote adhesion and migration of leukocytes into inflamed tissue sites inducing periodontal inflammation. Over production of IL-1 causes periodontal tissue destruction and blocking by receptor antagonist promotes healing and regeneration. IL-8 is known as leukocyte chemotactic cytokine and plays a multifunctional role in the pathogenesis of periodontal disease. IL-8 regulates neutrophil chemotaxis, angiogenesis, and epithelial proliferation by specific receptors.

The physical placement of raw or processed tobacco leads to the release of nicotine into the oral mucosa. Nicotine is the primary addictive substance in snuff and has shown to affect the levels of cytokine and inflammatory mediators. Johnson et al. found nicotine increasing levels of PGE₂, IL-1 β, IL-8 in gingival keratinocyte cultures and Payne et al. have shown the deleterious effect on fibroblasts. This local effect can affect the inflammatory profile of the gingival and periodontal tissues supplementing the periodontal destruction. However, our results revealed that there are no enhanced IL-1 β and IL-8 levels from snuff use. Both the periodontitis groups showed similar levels of the tested cytokines proving that the use of snuff does not affect the inflammatory pathway of periodontitis. Similar observations were seen in the study on gutka by Jacob et al., Poore et al., and Bernzweig et al. on ST users. In vitro study by Payne et al. on ST and cigarette smoke extract showed similar results. Few studies on ST have shown an increase in IL levels. Nicotine, the common ingredient, failed to show any effect on IL-1 β secretion in blood monocytes, lymphocytes or gingival mono-nuclear cells. One of the reasons could be the inclusion of patient with periodontitis, which could have caused maximal stimulation of inflammatory cells residing in gingival tissues, and this would have prevented further increase even after the use of snuff. Increased levels of IL-1 β was observed in both the periodontitis groups (SP, NS) when compared to healthy group of our study. Increased secretion of IL-1 β occurs in periodontitis. However, we found that the levels of IL-8 in periodontitis groups were similar to the healthy group as seen in other studies. Though there was a similar increase in periodontitis groups over the healthy, it did not reach any statistical significance. Arimilli et al. however, found nicotine to suppress the activity of mononuclear immune cells leading to decreased IL-8 levels. IL-8 has been detected in the healthy gingiva, as it plays a role in the constant migration of neutrophils through the gingival tissues and establishes a balance between continuous bacterial challenge and host defense.

One of the limitations of our study was the cross-sectional nature, which precludes us in making any temporal association between periodontal inflammation and snuff use. As well, there was more heterogeneity in the dose of snuff use in our study patients, which could have affected our results. The authors would like to conclude, that snuff (pulverized tobacco) use leads to periodontal attachment loss by its mechanical and chemical effects. There is no effect of snuff on the inflammatory pathway in the pathogenesis of periodontitis. The findings are based on only two inflammatory mediators and periodontal disease involves a complex network of inflammatory mediators, where the immune system also plays an
important role. Therefore, further studies involving other mediators should be carried out if there is consistency to our present findings. This will elucidate the role of snuff in periodontitis.
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