ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 17 April 2021
Revised 28 September 2021
Accepted 4 October 2021
Available online 11 October 2021

Keywords:
Meloidogyne incognita
Silicon nanoparticles
Nematicidal activity
Synthetic nematicides
Plant growth
Eggplant

ABSTRACT

Nemours effective management tactics were used to reduce world crop losses caused by plant-parasitic nematodes. Nowadays the metallic nanoparticles are easily developed with desired size and shape. Nanoparticles (NPs) technology becomes a recognized need for researchers. Ecofriendly and biosafe SiNPs are developed from microorganisms. Recently, silicon nanoparticles (SiNPs) have gained novel pesticide properties against numerous agricultural pests. This study assessed the biosynthesis of SiNPs from Fusarium oxysporum SM5. The obtained SiNPs were spherical with a size of 45 nm and a negative charge. In vitro, all tested SiNPs concentrations significantly (p ≤ 0.05) inhibited the percentage of egg hatching at a different time of exposure than control. Meanwhile, after 72 h, the percent mortality of J2 ranged from 87.00 % to 98.50 %, with SiNPs (100 and 200 ppm). The combination between SiNPs and the half-recommended doses (0.5 RD) of commercial nematicides namely, fenamiphos (Femax 40 % EC) 5, nemathorin (Fosthiazate 10 % WG) 6, and fosthiazate (krenkel 75 % EC) 8 confirmed the increase of egg hatching inhibition and J2 mortality after exposure to SiNPs (100 ppm) mixed with 0.5 RD of synthetic nematicides. The findings suggest that the combination between SiNPs, and 0.5 RD of nematicides reduced nematode reproduction, gall formation, egg masses on roots and final population of J2 in the soil. Therefore, improving the plant growth parameters by reducing the M. incognita population.

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Yield production, mainly in tropical and subtropical areas, has been negatively affected by plant parasitic nematodes, which cause almost 20 % of crop losses (Sasser, 1987; Gohar and Maareg, 2005). The root-knot nematodes (RKNs) (Meloidogyne spp.), which have over 100 legal species, are the most damaging nematodes (Trinh et al., 2019). They cause an estimated $100 billion loss/year worldwide (Khan et al., 2008). The RKNs have a high reproductive potential and a wide host range, therefore, their control is partly hard (Hussain et al., 2016). Traditional control methods were used to manage plant-parasitic nematodes, i.e., fallow, crop rotation, cultivation of resistant varieties, and chemical nematicides. However, they are both expensive and environmentally unfriendly methods, however using alternative natural materials were effective, safe (El-Saadony et al., 2021; Saad et al., 2015, 2021) and have several biological activities (Saad et al., 2021; Swelum et al., 2020). Alternatives approaches were used in controlling nematodes such as plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) as a safe and sustainable method (Khan et al., 2012; El-Ashry et al., 2019; Hegazy et al., 2019; El-Saadony et al., 2021a). As well as nematicidal effects of some botanical plants against Meloidogyne spp. (Refaat et al., 2020), organic soil amendments (Oka et al., 2000; Siddiqui and Futai, 2009), antagonistic fungi and a PGPR (Siddiqui and Akhtar, 2008).
Actually, eco-friendly and environmentally safe methods are increased by using available nanotechnology materials, which offer promising results in managing plant diseases like RKN nematode, *M. incognita* (Sharon et al., 2010). The use of nanotechnology for the controlled delivery of agrochemicals has been used to suppress the development of phytopathogens (Khan and Rizvi, 2014). Additionally, the ultra-small size and large surface area of NPs reduce the nutrient loss in fertilization and reduce the amount of sprayed chemicals (Prasad et al., 2017; El-Saadony et al., 2021b). Nanoparticles are also identified to promote plant growth and development (El-Argawy et al., 2017; El-Saadony et al., 2021b). Metal nanoparticles play an important role in biological applications due to their unique properties (Sheila et al., 2020; Abd El-Hack et al., 2021; El-Saadony et al., 2021c; El-Saadony et al., 2021d). The synthesis of nanomaterials is one of the most demanding and highest increasing nanotechnology sectors (El-Saadony et al. 2020a; Reda et al., 2021). Physical, chemical, and biological methods are available for nanoparticle synthesis. Both physical and chemical methods may effectively synthesize pure and well-defined nanoparticles, but these methods are expensive and considered unsafe to the environment (Prasad et al., 2017; El-Saadony et al., 2021e; El-Saadony et al., 2021f). The use of biological mass such as bacteria, fungi, yeast, plant extract or plant biomass, and algae extract, or biomass could be an alternative to these methods for the synthesis of nanoparticles in an eco-friendly manner, safe, less time consuming, and low cost (El-Saadony et al. 2019; Reda et al. 2020; El-Saadony et al., 2021g; Abdel-Moneim et al., 2022).

Recent studies showed the nematocidal effect of several nanoparticles, i.e., silver nanoparticles (Saad et al., 2021), gold nanoparticles (Thakur et al., 2018), silica carbide nanoparticles (Al Banna et al. 2018) and copper nanoparticles (Mohamed et al. 2019) were used against root-knot nematodes. The nematocidal effect of silver nanoparticles was well-established. Hence it was proposed as a viable alternative nematicide (Cromwell et al. 2014).

SiNPs exhibit great potential in agriculture (Tripathi et al. 2015; Abdel-Haliem et al. 2017; Cui et al. 2017), where they have a direct impact on plant growth. SiNPs can also be used as nano pesticides, nano herbicides, and nano fertilizers. Furthermore, they may be used as carriers for delivering molecules like proteins, nucleotides, nano herbicides, and nano fertilizers. Furthermore, they may be used as carriers for delivering molecules like proteins, nucleotides, and other chemicals in plants (Rastogi et al. 2019; Bapat et al. 2020). Moreover, the multifunction of SiNPs on the plant, its evaluation for controlling the agricultural pests has been demonstrated. Bapat et al. (2020) found that the higher concentration of SiNPs retarded the larval growth of *Helicoverpa armigera*. Furthermore, SiNPs had an entomotoxic effect against rice weevil *Sitophilus oryzae* (Debnath et al. 2011). Slight studies on SiNPs, which were chemically synthesized, were assessed against nematodes, exhibited incompatible findings.

In this regard, the present investigation aimed to biosynthesize SiNPs from *Fusarium oxysporum*, evaluating their nematocidal potential on egg hatching and juvenile mortality of RKN *M. incognita*. Moreover, the combination of SiNPs with 0.5 RD of three traditional nematocides, fenamiphos (Femanox 40 % EC), nemathion (Fosthiazate 10 % WG), and fosthiazate (krenkel 75 %EC) was evaluated, and its impact on eggplant growth (brinjal/aubergine), *Solanum melongena* L. under greenhouse conditions.

### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Isolation and identification of fungal isolate

Soil samples were collected from several regions in Sharkia Governorate, Egypt, and kept in plastic bags, then transferred to the laboratory. In brief, 10 g of soil were homogenized in 90 ml sterile peptone buffer (1 g peptone, 8.5 g NaCl) to obtain 10⁻¹ dilution (Alagaway et al., 2021a; El-Saadony et al., 2022). Serial dilutions from the previous dilution (10⁻¹) were prepared up to 10⁻⁸ (Abdelnour et al. 2020; Ashour et al. 2020; Desoky et al. 2020a; Desoky et al. 2020b; El-Saadony et al. 2020b; Alagaway et al., 2021b). 100 μL of each dilution was inoculated to a sterilized solidified Potato Dextrose agar (PDA) plates supplemented with different concentrations of potassium silicofluoride (K₂SiF₆) (10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 mg L⁻¹) (Ahmad et al. 2006). The plates were incubated at 28 °C for five days and were monitored daily for the appearance of fungal colonies, according to maximum tolerable concentration (MTC). The MTC was considered the maximum concentration of the metal ions that allowed fungal growth. The highest metal tolerant fungus was identified by morphological tests and MALDI-TOF (Schumaker et al. 2012; Sauget et al. 2017).

#### 2.2. Biosynthesis and characterization of silica nanoparticles

The isolated fungus was inoculated in Malt extract Glucose Yeast Peptone (MGYP) media (3 g malt extract, 10 g glucose, 3 g yeast extract and 5 g peptone) and incubated at shaking incubator (250 rpm /30 °C) for four days. Later, the flask was centrifuged under cooling at (5000 rpm /20 min) and the fungal mycelium was obtained and washed thrice with sterile deionized water. The collected mycelia mass (20 g) was used for the SiNPs biosynthesis (Ahmad et al. 2003) with some modifications, the harvested mycelia mass (20 g) was homogenized in 100 ml aqueous solutions of 40 mg/L K₂SiF₆ (pH 4) and incubated at shaking incubator (250 rpm /30 °C). After incubation, SiNPs biosynthesis in the reaction mixture was filtrated to obtain the supernatant containing SiNPs.

The absorption of obtained SiNPs was estimated by UV–vis spectrophotometer and freeze-drying at −60°C for 2d. Further characterization by Transmission electron microscope (TEM), DLS analysis (Zeta sizer and Zeta potential) and Energy Dispersive X-Ray Analysis (EDX). In control experiments, the fungal biomass was resuspended in sterile deionized water in the absence of an aqueous solution of K₂SiF₆ and the filtrate obtained after that was characterized by UV–vis spectrophotometer. In another control experiment, the aqueous solution of K₂SiF₆ in sterile deionized water in the absence of fungal biomass, SiNPs, didn't obtain in both reactions.

#### 2.3. Optimization of the physiochemical parameters for SiNPs biosynthesis

The size of SiNPs was optimized by various parameters one at a time according to El-Saadony et al. (2021e) i.e. pH (2, 4, 6, 8, and 10), temperature (15, 20, 25, 30, 35 °C), K₂SiF₆ concentration (10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 mg/L), reaction time (1, 2, 3, 4, and 5d), media type (Potato dextrose broth (PDB), Malt extract Glucose Yeast extract Peptone (MGYP), Sabouraud’s broth (SB), Czapek Dox medium (CDM), and Richard medium (RM)), fungal biomass (10, 15, 20, 25, and 30 g), movement (static, and shacking), and agitation speed (100, 150, 200, 250, and 300 rpm). The particle size of the biosynthesized SiNPs was determined using the Dynamic light scattering (DLS) technique.

#### 2.4. Nematicidal assessment

Current experiments were conducted at the Nematodes Laboratory of Plant Protection Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University. Eggplants (cv. Local) provided by Salhiya Company for Agriculture Investments, Egypt. Eggplants were grown under greenhouse conditions, and the plants were exposed to *M. incognita* inoculum or tested nanoparticles with a temperature of 25 ± 4 °C, photoperiod 18:6, D, L, and relative humidity of 68 ± 5 %. The repli-
cated experiments were carried out at the beginning of summer 2020. All treatments and controls in this study were in five replicates.

2.4.1. Nematicides

The three commercial nematicides are available in Egyptian markets, including fenamiphos (Femax 40 % EC)\textsuperscript{8}, nemathorin (Fosthiazate 10 % WG)\textsuperscript{8}, and fosthiazate (krenkel 75 %EC)\textsuperscript{8} and applied at the rates of 0.2 ml/plant, 12.5 kg/ha and 20 kg/ha, respectively. The tested nematicides were obtained from the Central Laboratory of Pesticides, Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

2.4.2. Meloidogyne incognita inoculum Preparation

A single egg mass was used to establish a population of root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* on eggplants (*Solanum melongena L*.) susceptible cultivar Super Strain B for the current experiments. Nematode species were identified based on the Perineal pattern region RKN females and infective juveniles (IJs) measurements (Jepson 1987). Free eggs and J2 were extracted from the infected roots for inoculation of plants (El-Ashry et al. 2019).

2.5. In vitro assessment of SiNPs

2.5.1. SiNPs assay on eggs hatching

*In vitro* experiments were conducted to test toxicity of different concentrations (50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, and 350 ppm) of SiNPs on free eggs hatching. Number of free eggs was adjusted to 2000 eggs/ ml by letting eggs settle naturally for 10 min. An aliquot of 0.1 ml containing about 200 eggs were pipetted into 5 cm sterilizer Petri dishes containing 10 ml of SiNPs concentrations and kept at 24 ± 2°C for ten days. Petri dishes were examined daily for egg hatching inhibition for 12, 24, 48, 72, 120 and 168 h. Viable eggs and active J2 juveniles were scored as live members while immotile eggs or J2 were allowed to recover in tap water for 5 h and the number of hatched J2 was expressed as a cumulative number of viable J2. The number of hatching eggs in control petri dishes received only 10 ml of distilled water. The effect of SiNPs treatment on egg hatching inhibition were calculated compared to control treatment according to the following equation:

\[
\text{Egg hatching inhibition} \% = \left( \frac{\text{Control} - \text{Treatment}}{\text{Control}} \times 100 \right)
\]

(1)

2.5.2. SiNPs assay on *M. incognita* Juveniles

The nematocidal effect of SiNPs concentrations (50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, and 350 ppm) was tested on J2 of *M. incognita* at 24 ± 2°C. 100 μl of J2 suspension (200 J2) was added to 10 ml of SiNPs concentrations in petri plates, additionally, 10 ml of distilled water in control plates. Juvenile mortality was evaluated at interval of (12, 24, 48, 72, and 120 h) post-treatment. J2 showed inactive straight posture or did not show any movement after prodding was considered dead (De Nardo & Grewal 2003). Mortality counts were observed under 100 X magnification in 1 ml over the specified periods. The mortality percent-ages were calculated as equation (2).

\[
\text{Mortality (\%)} = \left( \frac{\text{Dead juveniles}}{\text{Total number of juveniles}} \times 100 \right)
\]

(2)

2.6. Interactive effects of SiNPs and nematicides mixtures in Vitro

The interactive effects of SiNPs and 0.5 RD of three nematicides fenamiphos (Femax 40 % EC)\textsuperscript{8}, nemathorin (Fosthiazate 10 % WG)\textsuperscript{8}, and fosthiazate (krenkel 75 %EC)\textsuperscript{8} were evaluated against free eggs hatching and juveniles’ mortality of *M. incognita*.

2.6.1. Nematocidal effect of mixtures on free eggs

Following experiments were used to assess the nematocidal effect of 0.5 RD of tested nematicides mixed with SiNPs concentrations compared with RD of nematicides on egg hatching of *M. incognita*.

The free eggs from infected roots were extracted by Hussey (1973). About 200 nematode eggs in 0.1 ml of distilled water were exposed to 10 ml of RD or 0.5 RD of nematicides SiNPs mixture (50, 100, 175, 200, 250, 300, 350 ppm). Immotile eggs or J2 were scored as dead, any movement counted as viable. Control treatment dishes were received only 10 ml of nematicides RD. In comparison, petri dishes of other treatments contained 0.5 RD of 10 ml tested nematicides and SiNPs (1: 1, v: v) and allowed to immotile eggs or J2 to recover for 5 h and the number of hatched J2 was expressed as a cumulative number of viable J2 (Talavera-Rubia et al., 2020). All treatments were left under room temperature (24 ± 3°C). Percentages of egg hatching inhibition were calculated by equation (2).

2.6.2. The nematicidal effect on juvenile mortality

Control or treated juveniles were left under room temperature (24 ± 3°C) to assess the effect of nematicides and SiNPs on juvenile mortality. Tested materials were observed daily for J2 mortality. Second-stage juveniles (J2) showed inactive straight posture or did not show any movement after prodding was considered dead (De Nardo & Grewal 2003). Mortality observed under 100 X magnification in 1 ml over the specified periods. The mortality percentages were calculated as equation (2).

2.7. Experimental design of in vivo SiNPs activity against *M. incognita*

When seedlings were nearby 20 cm tall with four leaves of local variety of eggplants, seedlings transplanted to in plastic pots of 15 cm diameter containing 1.5 kg mixture of sterilized sandy soil (75 % sand, 15 % clay, 5 % silt), 50 g peat moss and 3 mg urea fertilizer per kg of soil were added to pots. After one week, each seedling was inoculated with 1000 newly hatched IJs from a pure culture of *M. incognita* by pipetting 2 ml of the inoculum suspension into 4 holes around the root system, which were directly covered with 5 g of moist sandy soil. All plastic pots treatments were arranged in a completely randomized design with five replicates.

Negative control treatment (healthy plants) was without nema- tode, SiNPs and nematicides whereas, positive control treatment contained plants infected by IJs of *M. incognita* only. In the current investigation, plants are grouped into 5 treatments. 30 ml of RD of three nematicides fenamiphos (Femax 40 % EC)\textsuperscript{8}, nemathorin (Fosthiazate 10 % WG)\textsuperscript{8}, and fosthiazate (krenkel 75 %EC)\textsuperscript{8} were incorporated with the upper 5 cm of soil around each plant. As well as 30 ml of 0.5 RD of the mentioned nematicides mixed separately with four concentrations (50, 100, 150, 200 ppm) of SiNPs (1: 1: v: v) were incorporated with the upper 5 cm of soil around each plant. All plants in the greenhouse were incubated at 24 ± 4°C, and all received similar horticultural treatments.

After 60 days, plant growth parameters (fresh shoot weight, fresh root weight, stem diameter, number of leaves and plant height and the nematode parameters (number of galls, number of egg masses and number of J2/250 g soil and, root gall index (RGI); egg masses index (EI) and reproduction factor (RF) were evaluated. To calculate the reproduction factor (RF), the final population of nematode (the number of eggs and number of J2 in soil) divided into the initial population (1000 IJs). Gall diameter measurement was assessed according to El-Deeb et al. (2018). Also, samples of 100 g soil were processed for nematode extraction.
using a combination of sieving and Baermann trays technique (Hooper 1990).

All uprooted eggplants were wrapped in tissue paper to avoid their dryness and numbers of galls and egg masses were counted. The parameters changing the percentage of increase or decrease imputed to “negative or positive” control values and the current equations were used.

\[
\text{Reduction} \% = \frac{\text{Control} - \text{Treated}}{\text{Control}} \times 100
\]

\[
\text{Increase} \% = \frac{\text{Treated} - \text{Control}}{\text{Control}} \times 10
\]

2.8. Statistical analysis

A completely randomized design was used in the experiment. All the data were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Gen Stat Package version released in 2009 (12th edition) version 12.1.0.3278 (www.vsni.co.uk). Means were compared by Duncan’s multiple range test at \( p \leq 0.05 \) probability (Duncan 1955).

3. Results

3.1. Isolation and identification of fungal isolate

Table 1 showed eleven fungal isolates appeared in PDA plates supplemented with \( K_2SiF_6 \) concentration (10, 20, and 30 mg L\(^{-1}\)), six fungal isolates were obtained at PDA plates supplemented with \( K_2SiF_6 \) concentration (40 mg L\(^{-1}\)), and one fungal isolate was appeared in PDA plates supplemented with \( K_2SiF_6 \) concentration (50 mg L\(^{-1}\)), this isolate coded as SM5. According to morphological and biochemical tests, this isolate was identified as \( Fusarium oxysporum \). The identification was confirmed with MALDI-TOF technique where the obtained results showed that selected fungi had maximum similarity to several \( Fusarium \) species (99%), especially \( Fusarium oxysporum \).

Thus, the local screened fungal isolate \( Fusarium oxysporum \) SM5, is similar to \( Fusarium oxysporum \) DSM 841.

3.2. Optimization and characterization of silica nanoparticles

The optimum condition for SiNPs manufacture was cleared in Fig. 1. The best conditions were 30 mg L\(^{-1}\) substrate mixed with 20 g fungal biomass in MGYP media, pH 4, temperature 30 °C, in shaking incubator (250 rpm) for four days. These optimal conditions give SiNPs ranged from 25 to 38 nm and complete oxidation of the \( K_2SiF_6 \) ions by \( F. oxysporum \) SM5 occurs after nearly 96 h of reaction.

3.3. In vitro assessment

3.3.1. Larvicidal and ovicidal effect of SiNPs on \( M. incognita \) J2 and eggs

The obtained results from single treatment of SiNPs showed that \( M. incognita \) J2 mortality increased gradually with increasing of SiNPs concentrations and exposure time (Fig. 3A). After 12 h, the mortality percent of IJs was 25% at 300 ppm. As the exposure time increased from 24 h to 72 h after treatments, the larvicidal effect of SiNPs was increased. After 72 h, concentrations of 100, 150 and 200 ppm exhibited the perfect larvicidal effect on J2 mortality ranged from 87.00 %, 98.8 %, and 99.70 %, respectively in petri dishes. After 120 h of treatment, the percent mortality reached 98 and 100 % with 100 and 150 ppm concentrations (Fig. 3a). On the other hand, non-positive correlation was found between the larvicidal effect of SiNPs and the used concentrations higher than 200 ppm.

As shown in (Fig. 3b), the results indicated that egg hatching inhibition was proportioned with tested SiNPs concentration at different exposure time intervals. The number of emerged J2 decreased as SiNPs concentrations increased compared with control. Mainly, effective concentrations ranged from 100 to 250 ppm exhibiting significant hatching inhibition of more than 50 %.

![Fig. 1. Characterization of silica nanoparticles fabricated by Fusarium oxysporum SM5, (A) UV absorbance at 280 by UV Spectrophotometer, (B) SiNPs size ranged 20–55 nm by TEM, (C) size of SiNPs 45 nm by zeta seizer, (D) SiNPs Charge of −25.56 mV, (E) the element that accompanied SiNPs in media detected by EDX.](image)

![Fig. 2.](image)
3.3.2. Comparative effects of SiNPs mixed with 0.5 RD of nematicides

3.3.2.1. Larvicidal efficiency against *M. incognita* J2. Fig. 4a showed the larvicidal effect of SiNPs concentrations when mixed with 0.5 RD of three nematicides included fenamiphos, fosthiazate and krenkel, compared with their RD on J2 of *M. incognita* at different times of exposure.

Generally, after 12 h, all treatments mixtures showed a significant increase of J2 mortality compared with RD of the nematicides. In particular, the concentration 200 ppm for the three nematicides exhibited an increase over 50% of J2 mortality. On the other hand, the larvicidal effect of the tested SiNPs was directly proportional to concentration and exposure time. For example, after 24 h, treatments of SiNPs (100, 175 and 200 ppm) mixed with fenamiphos (Fig. 4a1) exhibited J2 mortality of 97.00%, 97.50% and 97.70%, respectively. While J2 mortality were 77.80%, 84.20% and 93.90%, respectively, when same concentration of SiNPs mixed with fosthiazate (Fig. 4a2) and the equivalent values with SiNPs and krenckel mixtures were 81.70%, 90.60% and 95.10%, respectively (Fig. 4a3).

As well as the exposure time increased from 24 to 48 h after treatments, the larvicidal effect of the concentration 200 ppm of SiNPs alone was increased from 60.10% (24 h) to 84.30% (48 h) as shown in (Fig. 3a), however when same concentration mixed with 0.5 RD of the three nematicides separately, the fast action in 100% killing of *M. incognita* J2 was 48 h later (Fig. 4a1,2,3).

3.3.2.2. Ovicidal efficiency against *M. incognita* eggs. SiNPs with 0.5 RD of nematicides mixtures showed a significantly superior effect against the egg hatching of *M. incognita* more than RD rate of nematicides alone. After 12 h of exposure, the RD of nematicides (fenamiphos, fosthiazate and krenkel) showed ovicidal effect recording emerged juveniles of 1.80, 2.20 and 2.00, respectively. While the emerged juveniles number decreased gradually when 0.5 RD of the three nematicides mixed individually with SiNPs concentrations reaching to 0.4 at 350 ppm (Fig. 4b1). The parallel values of egg hatching inhibition percentage with fosthiazate and krenkel were 18.6 (26.83) in treatment of 50 ppm of SiNPs to 81.39 (68.29) in treatment of 350 ppm of SiNPs, respectively (Fig. 4b2,3). The same trend was observed after.

Generally, the rate of egg hatching inhibition was proportioned with concentration and time of exposure. On the other hand, as exposure time increased after 12 h to 48 h of treatments, ovicidal effect and egg hatching inhibition percent reached the peak then obviously decreased gradually from 48 h to 120 h at all the tested SiNPs concentrations mixtures except fosthiazate.
Our results indicated that counting the number of emerged juveniles from eggs in Petri dishes treated with SiNPs and nematicides as shown in (Fig. 4b), at all tested times, significantly reduced at all tested concentrations compared with the control (RD of fenamiphos, fosthiazate, and krenkel).

3.4. In vivo activity of nematicides and various concentrations of SiNPs on J2 infection and plant growth (pot experiments)

3.4.1. Combined use of SiNPs with 0.5 RD of fenamiphos

Tables 2–7 illustrate the effects of four SiNPs concentrations (50, 100, 150 and 200 ppm) mixed with 0.5 RD of the three tested nematicides compared to their RD were evaluated on *M. incognita* J2 infection and plant growth of eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) in pots under greenhouse conditions.

Table 2 presented the application of SiNPs concentrations combined with 0.5 RD of Fenamiphos significantly (*p* < 0.05) increased the growth of eggplants (as specified by fresh root weight, fresh shoot weight, number of leaves, stem diameter and plant height) compared to eggplants infected with *M. incognita* alone.

Pots treated with RD of fenamiphos surpassed those treated with SiNPs concentrations combined with 0.5 RD. Among the tested SiNPs concentrations, 200 ppm combined with 0.5 RD displayed the best results, followed by 150 ppm, while 50 ppm concentration showed the least plant growth.

Fresh root and shoot weight in pots treated with RD of fenamiphos were 8.26 and 17.48 and pots treated with 200 ppm of SiNPs mixtures were 7.9 and 17.13, respectively. On the other hand, these values in pots with infected plants were 5.11 and 10.77 and in pots treated with 50 ppm of SiNPs mixture were 6.36 and 15.50, respectively.

The morphological properties of treated eggplant with fenamiphos i.e., stem diameter and plant height recorded 48 and 72 % as compared to SiNPs (200 ppm) mixture recorded 35.71% and 34.52%, respectively. For plant growth parameters, it was clear that combined use of 200 ppm SiNPs concentration enhanced the eggplant’s growth to a certain extent compared to RD of fenamiphos whereas, it significantly surpassed fenamiphos action in stem diameter (Table 2).

Eggplants’ seedlings grown in soil which treated with different concentrations of SiNPs combined with 0.5 RD of fenamiphos sig-
Effect of various SiNPs concentrations in comparison with RD of fenamiphos on galling and reproduction of *M. incognita* (Table 3). Average over the two runs of five replicates, the reduction percent of galls number was increased from 58.59% to 79.05% after the individual treatments of 50 ppm and 200 ppm of SiNPs mixtures with fenamiphos as compared to treatments of fenamiphos alone was 80.19%. In the same way, the egg masses were reduced from 63.69% to 81.50% as compared to treatments of fenamiphos alone was 79.79% as shown in (Table 3).

Root gall index (RGI) and egg mass index (EI) in pots treated with RD fenamiphos and 200 ppm SiNPs were 3.00 (3.80) and 3.0 (3.75), respectively. All tested SiNPs and fenamiphos mixtures' concentrations exceeded fenamiphos treatment alone in reducing final population J2 in soil. Percent reduction in the final J2 population in treatments of four SiNPs concentrations compared with RD of fenamiphos were 80.52, 84.60, 90.74, 93.64 and 70.04%, respectively. Also, the reproduction factor (RF) decreased significantly from 2.73 in control treatment to 0.81 and 0.17 in RD of fenamiphos respectively. Also, the reproduction factor (RF) decreased significantly from 2.73 in control treatment to 0.81 and 0.17 in RD of fenamiphos respectively. Among the tested SiNPs concentrations, 200 ppm gave the best results, followed by 150 ppm, while 50 ppm showed lower nematicidal effect than fenamiphos alone.

3.4.2. Combined use of SiNPs with 0.5 RD of fosthiazate

Treatments of eggplants affected the infection by *M. incognita* J2. All treatments of SiNPs concentrations significantly (*P* < 0.05) enhanced the plant growth with respect to the control under greenhouse experiments (Table 4).

Up to 50% increase was achieved in fresh shoot weight and stem diameter by applying 200 ppm of SiNPs concentration combined with 0.5 RD of fosthiazate. RD of fosthiazate alone exceeded all treatments of SiNPs combined with 0.5 RD of fosthiazate in fresh root weight and fresh shoot weight of eggplants.

The treatment of SiNPs (200 ppm) combined with 0.5 RD displayed the best results, followed by 150 ppm, while 50 ppm concentration showed the lowest plant growth.

A maximum increase in plant growth parameters was obtained in stem when pots treated with 200 ppm of SiNPs combined with 0.5 RD fosthiazate compared to other treatments, which was 52.00%. Also, percent increase in treatment of eggplants height while 50 ppm showed lower nematicidal effect than fenamiphos alone.

### Table 2

**Effect of various SiNPs concentrations in comparison with fenamiphos RD and their biomass changes of eggplant infected with *Meloidogyne incognita* in the greenhouse tests.**

| Treatments                     | Fresh root weight (Increase %) | Fresh shoot weight (Increase %) | Number of leaves/plant (Increase %) | Stem diameter (mm or cm) (Increase %) | Plant height (cm) (Increase %) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Healthy plants                |                                |                                 |                                     |                                       |                                 |
| Plants infected with *M. incognita* |                                |                                 |                                     |                                       |                                 |
| *M. incognita* + RD fenamiphos|                                |                                 |                                     |                                       |                                 |
| *M. incognita* + 50 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD fenamiphos |                                |                                 |                                     |                                       |                                 |
| *M. incognita* + 100 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD fenamiphos |                                |                                 |                                     |                                       |                                 |
| *M. incognita* + 150 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD fenamiphos |                                |                                 |                                     |                                       |                                 |
| *M. incognita* + 200 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD fenamiphos |                                |                                 |                                     |                                       |                                 |

*Each value is a mean of five replicates. Means followed by the same letter (s) in each column indicates no significant differences at *P* ≤ 0.05 according to Duncan’s multiple range test.

### Table 3

**Effect of various SiNPs concentrations in comparison with RD of fenamiphos on galling and reproduction of *Meloidogyne incognita* infected eggplant in relation to total weight under greenhouse tests.**

| Treatments                     | Total plant weight (Increase %) | Root parameters | No. of egg masses | Root Gall Index (RGI) and Egg mass Index (EI) | Soil parameters | Reproduction factor(RF = P/F(P)) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| Plants infected with *M. incognita* |                                |                 |                   |                                               |                 |                                  |
| *M. incognita* RD of fenamiphos |                                |                 |                   |                                               |                 |                                  |
| *M. incognita* + 50 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD fenamiphos |                                |                 |                   |                                               |                 |                                  |
| *M. incognita* + 100 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD fenamiphos |                                |                 |                   |                                               |                 |                                  |
| *M. incognita* + 150 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD fenamiphos |                                |                 |                   |                                               |                 |                                  |
| *M. incognita* + 200 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD fenamiphos |                                |                 |                   |                                               |                 |                                  |

| Plants infected with *M. incognita* |                                |                 |                   |                                               |                 |                                  |
| *M. incognita* RD of fenamiphos |                                |                 |                   |                                               |                 |                                  |
| *M. incognita* + 50 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD fenamiphos |                                |                 |                   |                                               |                 |                                  |
| *M. incognita* + 100 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD fenamiphos |                                |                 |                   |                                               |                 |                                  |
| *M. incognita* + 150 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD fenamiphos |                                |                 |                   |                                               |                 |                                  |
| *M. incognita* + 200 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD fenamiphos |                                |                 |                   |                                               |                 |                                  |

0: No galls or egg masses, 1: 1–2 galls or egg masses, 2: 3–10 galls or egg masses, 3: 11–30 galls or egg masses, 4: 31–100 galls or egg masses and 5: more than 100 galls or egg masses, according to the scale given by Taylor and Sasser (1978).

*Each value is a mean of five replicates. Means followed by the same letter (s) in each column indicates no significant differences at *P* ≤ 0.05 according to Duncan’s multiple range test.
compared to infected plants was 34.52 and 30.95 % with 200 ppm SiNPs and RD of Fosthiazate, respectively (Table 4).

All SiNPs concentrations combined with 0.5 RD of fosthiazate significantly (P < 0.05) inhibited M. incognita galling (number of galls) and reproduction (Jls in soil and reproduction factors) and varied according to tested concentrations (Table 5). From obtained results, the increased SiNPs concentration from 50 ppm to 200 ppm reduced number of galls (% reduction) from 27.98% to 72.50%. The parallel values of reduction percent with egg masses were 40.86% and 74.88%. Concerning RGI and EI, pots treated with 200 ppm of SiNPs gained the same index with RD of fosthiazate which were 3.60 for RGI and 4.00 for EI. Moreover, all four SiNPs concentrations surpassed the RD of fosthiazate in decreasing the final J2 population in soil, the percent reductions were 67.98, 72.50, 80.17, 85.52, 88.37 and 68.37 %, respectively.

The tested SiNPs concentrations decreased the reproduction of M. incognita. The reproduction factor (RF) in infected eggplants was 2.73 while those in 50 and 200 ppm were 0.87 and 0.31. Among the tested SiNPs concentrations, 200 ppm gave the best results followed by 150 ppm while 50 ppm showed the lowest nematocidal effect as compared to fosthiazate alone. Even though the decrease in root infection parameters and soil infection parameters, the total plant weight in fosthiazate-treated pots overwhelmed those treated with SiNPs combined with 0.5 RD of fosthiazate.

3.4.3. Combined use of SiNPs with 0.5 RD of krenkel

As can be detected from Table 6, the application of krenkel caused a significant increase (P < 0.05) in fresh root weight surpassed the mixtures of SiNPs concentrations and 0.5 RD of krenkel. The percent increase of fresh root weight for RD of krenkel and tested SiNPs concentrations i.e., 50, 100, 150 and 200 ppm were 55.57, 14.67, 19.56, 37.57 and 38.94%, respectively. Similarly, fresh shoot weight in RD krenkel treatments exceeded other treatments, as shown in (Table 6).

On the other hand, slightly insignificant differences were detected in percent increase of leaves number between RD of krenkel treatment and SiNPs 200 ppm combined with 0.5 RD of krenkel when they were compared which they were 78.12 and 76.56%, respectively. It is worth mentioning that, among the applied SiNPs concentrations, 200 ppm sustained the best results in plant growth parameters besides, no significant difference with krenckel treatment. It was followed descending by 150 ppm SiNPs concentration.

Data in Table 7 showed the effect of the four mentioned SiNPs concentrations compared to RD of KRN, M. incognita reproduction. It was found that all treatments significantly

---

### Table 4

Effect of various SiNPs concentrations in comparison with fosthiazate RD and their biomass changes of eggplant infected with Meloidogyne incognita in the greenhouse tests.

| Treatments                  | Fresh root weight (% Increase) | Fresh shoot weight (% Increase) | Number of leaves/plant (% Increase) | Stem diameter (mm) (% Increase) | Plant height (cm) (% Increase) |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Healthy plants              |                                |                                 |                                     |                                |                               |
| Plants infected with M. incognita |                                |                                 |                                     |                                |                               |
| M. incognita + Fosthiazate RD |                                |                                 |                                     |                                |                               |
| M. incognita + 50 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD |                                |                                 |                                     |                                |                               |
| M. incognita + 100 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD |                                |                                 |                                     |                                |                               |
| M. incognita + 150 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD |                                |                                 |                                     |                                |                               |
| M. incognita + 200 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD |                                |                                 |                                     |                                |                               |

*Each value is a mean of five replicates. Means followed by the same letter (s) in each column indicates no significant differences at P ≤ 0.05 according to Duncan’s multiple range test.
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### Table 5

Effect of various SiNPs concentrations in comparison with RD of fosthiazate on galling and reproduction of Meloidogyne incognita infected eggplant in relation to total weight under greenhouse tests.

| Treatments                  | Total plant weight (% Increase) | Root parameters | Soil parameters | Reproduction factor (RF = P/f/Pi) |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| Plants infected with M. incognita |                                |                 |                 |                                 |
| M. incognita + RD of fosthiazate |                                |                 |                 |                                 |
| M. incognita + 50 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD |                                |                 |                 |                                 |
| M. incognita + 100 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD |                                |                 |                 |                                 |
| M. incognita + 150 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD |                                |                 |                 |                                 |
| M. incognita + 200 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD |                                |                 |                 |                                 |

0: No galls or egg masses, 1: 1–2 galls or egg masses, 2: 3–10 galls or egg masses, 3: 11–30 galls or egg masses, 4: 31–100 galls or egg masses and 5: more than 100 galls or egg masses, according to the scale given by Taylor and Sasser (1978).

*Each value is a mean of five replicates. Means followed by the same letter (s) in each column indicates no significant differences at P ≤ 0.05 according to Duncan’s multiple range test.
Effect of various SiNPs concentrations in comparison with RD of krenkel on galling and reproduction of Meloidogyne incognita in the greenhouse tests.

**Table 6**

| Treatments                      | Fresh root weight | Fresh shoot weight | Number of leaves/plant | Stem diameter (mm or cm) | Plant height (cm) |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
|                                 | (Increase %)      | (Increase %)       | (Increase %)           | (Increase %)             | (Increase %)     |
| Healthy plants                  | 9.46 a            | 20.29 a            | 13.00 a                | 8.60 a                   | 24.40 a          |
| Positive control infected with RNK M. incognita | 5.11e             | 10.77 e            | 6.40 e                 | 5.00c                    | 16.80d           |
| M. incognita + RD of krenkel    | 7.95b             | 16.65b             | 11.40b                 | 7.00b                    | 21.00b           |
| M. incognita + 50 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD | (55.57)           | (54.59)            | (78.12)                | (40.00)                  | (25.00)          |
| M. incognita + 100 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD | (14.67)           | (21.07)            | (31.25)                | (36.00)                  | (1.19)           |
| M. incognita + 150 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD | (19.56)           | (38.52)            | (46.87)                | (48.00)                  | (10.71)          |
| M. incognita + 200 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD | (37.57)           | (49.86)            | (76.36)                | (72.00)                  | (23.80)          |

*Each value is a mean of five replicates. Means followed by the same letter (s) in each column indicates no significant differences at p ≤ 0.05 according to Duncan’s multiple range test.

**Table 7**

| Treatments                      | Total plant weight | Root parameters | Soil parameters |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|
|                                | (Increase %)       | (Reduction %)    | (Reduction %)  |
| Plants infected with M. incognita | 15.88 e            | 122.0a          | 175.20 a       |
| M. incognita RD of krenkel      | 24.58 a            | 32.60d          | 41.00 e        |
| M. incognita + 50 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD | (54.78)           | (73.32)         | (76.50)        |
| M. incognita + 100 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD | (19.20)           | (54.17)         | (52.96)        |
| M. incognita + 150 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD | (20.79c)           | (60.00b)        | (82.40b)       |
| M. incognita + 200 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD | (30.91)           | (43.17)         | (63.60b)       |
| M. incognita + 150 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD | (23.15c)           | (60.00b)        | (63.60b)       |
| M. incognita + 200 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD | (45.78)           | (60.00b)        | (63.60b)       |
| M. incognita + 200 ppm SiNPs + 0.5 RD | (45.34)           | (57.59)         | (79.45)        |

0: No galls or egg masses, 1: 1–2 galls or egg masses, 2: 3–10 galls or egg masses, 3: 11–30 galls or egg masses, 4: 31–100 galls or egg masses and 5: more than 100 galls or egg masses, according to the scale given by Taylor and Sasser (1978).

*Each value is a mean of five replicates. Means followed by the same letter (s) in each column indicates no significant differences at p ≤ 0.05 according to Duncan’s multiple range test.

(P ≤ 0.05) reduced numbers of galls and egg masses compared to check treatment. Krenkel treatment surpassed all applications except 200 ppm SiNPs concentration with insignificant variations. Conversely, other concentrations slightly decreased the number of galls and egg masses. Significant differences were detected between the other three concentrations under investigation treatments compared with each other and check control.

Reduction percent in descending order for 200 ppm SiNPs, Krenkel, and 150 ppm SiNPs were 76.59 (79.45%), 73.32 (76.50 %) and 65.13(69.40%) with galls and egg masses, respectively.

Regarding the effects on RGI and EI, krenkel treatment and SiNPs concentrations significantly decreased the RGI and EI numbers compared to infected eggplants. Percent reduction in final J2 population ranged from 70.04% in krenkel treatment to 88.64 % in the treatment of 200 ppm SiNPs concentration. Also, the reproduction factor (RF) decreased significantly from 0.81 in krenkel treatment to 0.31 in the treatment of 200 ppm of SiNPs. Contrarily, total plant weight in pots treated with krenkel overwhelmed those treated with SiNPs combined with 0.5 RD of krenkel.

Generally, the treatment of SiNPs combined with 0.5 RD of fenamiphos, fosthiazate and krenkel and their RD significantly (p ≤ 0.05) reduced galls formation (galls ≥ 4 mm) and final nematode population (Fig. 5). In treatments of RD of nematicides (fenamiphos, fosthiazate and krenkel), several galls ≥ 4 mm were 0.6, 1.4 and 1.4, respectively. The treated eggplant’s roots with 100, 150 and 200 ppm combined with 0.5 RD of fenamiphos showed a reduction in the number of galls ≥ 4 mm recording 0.4, 0.2 and 0.0, respectively. The parallel values in pots treated with fosthiazate were 1.4, 1.4 and 0.6, respectively and with krenkel were 0.4, 0.4 and 0.2, respectively. To minimize the number of galls ≥ 4 mm, galling was reduced the most (0.0, 0.6 and 0.2) when 200 ppm of SiNPs was used with the treated nematicides. Regarding the effects of SiNPs, on the final population of M. incognita in pot soils, all the tested SiNPs concentrations, significantly minimized their numbers to 29, 53 and 51.8 in treatments of 200 ppm SiNPs with fenamiphos, fosthiazate and krenkel, respectively (Fig. 5).

4. Discussion

4.1. Biosynthesis, optimization and characterization of SiNPs from Fusarium oxysporum SMS

Microorganisms such as bacteria, fungus, and yeast play a precious role in the biosynthesis of metal and metal oxide NPs. Various recent studies employing diverse microorganism models in nanoparticles fabrication (Akl et al., 2020; El-Saadony et al., 2020a; El-Saadony et al., 2021e; El-Saadony et al., 2021f; Abd El-
Our results showed that the bio-synthesized SiNPs with size of 30–55 nm induced the mortality of free eggs and J2 of the RKN, *M. incognita*, in vitro. The obtained results from SiNPs showed that *M. incognita* J2 mortality increased gradually as SiNPs concentrations increased. Conversely, Al Banna et al. (2018) reported that silicon carbide nanoparticles (SiC NPs) of the size of 50 nm ± 21.5 (with a concentration of 172 mg/L) did not exhibit a lethal effect even on J2 or egg hatching of *M. incognita*. However, SiC NPs affected the viability of first-stage larvae of *C. elegans* (Al Banna et al., 2018). Likewise, Ardakani, (2013) reported that silica oxide nanoparticles (SiO2 NPs) did not exhibit any *M. incognita* J2 mortality in laboratory experiments.

On the other hand, the positive effect of the different nanoparticles against *M. incognita* has been reported in several investigations. For instance, silver nanoparticles (30–150 μg/mL) caused inactive J2 of *M. incognita* (Cromwell et al., 2014). Taha and Abo-Shady (2016) found the larvicidal activity of a high concentration of AgNPs (1500 ppm) achieved 96.5% mortality after 72 h (Roh et al., 2009; Lim et al., 2012).

These contradictory results may attribute to nanoparticle toxicity depends on the physicochemical characteristics (Pourchez et al. 2012) and the synthesis origin, whether chemical or biological synthesis of the nanoparticles (El-Saadony et al. 2020a). Besides, the agglomeration of chemically synthesized nanoparticles may be involved in the lack of lethal efficiency of the nanoparticles (Gudikandula & Charya Maringanti 2016). Furthermore, the toxicity mechanisms of SiNPs were investigated on the nematode *C. elegans*. SiNPs induced the premature aging phenotype of *C. elegans* by accumulating insoluble proteins and amyloid-like proteins and reducing pharyngeal pumping (Scharf et al. 2013; Scharf et al. 2016). This toxicity mechanism is also supported by Liang et al. (2020), who demonstrated that the high exposure concentration of mesoporous silica nanoparticles induced neurotoxicity in *C. elegans* nematodes. The toxicity of nanoparticles is not a species-specific (Hamed et al. 2019); hence, these findings on *C. elegans* may contribute to explain our results on *M. incognita*.

### 4.3. Nematicidal efficiency of combined synthetic nematicides (SN) and Si NPs

The combination between SiNPs and 0.5 RD of nematicides illustrated that all applied concentrations of SiNPs increased egg hatching inhibition rate and induced a marked J2 mortality of *M. incognita*, in vitro. Our results represented that the action of 0.5 RD and SiNPs is exceeded the RD of synthetic nematicides efficacy, similar observation was reported by Rastogi et al. (2019). The obtained results revealed that the bio-synthesized SiNPs could improve the synthetic nematicides efficacy and facilitate their delivery (Rai and Ingle 2012). The mode of action of nanoparticles was attributed to cellular mechanisms malfunction which permit cell wall penetration of the nematode eggs (Sharon et al. 2010). The same inhibitory effect was obtained from other nanoparticles, when AgNPs combined with the commercial nematicides fenamiphos and oxamyl (Hassan et al. 2016). AgNPs synthesis by natural fabrication of nanoparticles such as microorganisms or plant extracts could be used as an eco-friendly alternative for chemical and physical approaches reducing the use of synthetic nematicides (Ahmed et al. 2016). In Egypt, up till now, synthetic nematicides are utilized in controlling plant-parasitic nematodes (PPN). So, nanoparticles have numerous advantages for RKN, *M. incognita* management, such as their greater chemical reactivity (Bhattacharyya et al. 2010).

### 4.4. The effect of SiNPs combined with synthetic nematicides (SN) on plant growth and *M. incognita* parameters

Our results under the greenhouse conditions suggest that the efficacy of SiNPs and traditional nematicide combination on the
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**Fig. 5.** Comparative effects of SiNPs concentrations (50, 100, 150 and 200 ppm) combined with 0.5 RD of three traditional nematicides with their RDs on number of galls ≥ 4 mm and final population (Pf). Control (RKN + RD), T1 (RKN + 0.5RD + Si50), T2 (RKN + 0.5RD + Si100), T3 (RKN + 0.5RD + Si150), T4 (RKN + 0.5RD + Si200).
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**A**

**B**
reduction of root infection parameters (number of galls and egg masses) and soil infection parameters (final J2 population and reproduction factor). A similar observation was reported by Cromwell et al. (2014) and Hassan et al. (2016), which AgNPs reduced root galling and J2 population in infected soil. The results from combination of SiNPs and fenamiphos are in agreement with the results from Hassan et al. (2016), who demonstrated that the combination of fenamiphos and silver nanoparticles showed a significant increase in growth parameters of tomato seedlings as a consequence of the reduction of J2 population and reproduction factor. Conversely, the decrease in root infection and soil infection parameters, total plant weight in pots treated with RD of fosthiazate overwhelmed those treated with SiNPs combined with 0.5 RD of fosthiazate. With regard to krenkel, combined use of SiNPs concentrations enhanced the efficiency of the nematicide and declined root infection by *M. incognita*, also diminished the reproduction of *M. incognita* under field tests (Shekoodi et al., 2021).

The results of the current study indicated that the tested treatments exhibited a considerable nematicidal activity and significantly minified final populations of *M. incognita*. A few studies were conducted to study the effect of SiNPs combined with the synthetic nematicides on *M. incognita*. The tested concentrations had a negative effect on J2 development and reproduction. Application by 0.5 RD of nematicides and SiNPs reduced nematode parameters such as gall formation, egg masses on roots and final population of J2 in the soil. As well, it improved plant growth parameters by reduced populations of *M. incognita* (Danish et al., 2021).

Our results show the efficiency of SiNPs in single treatments or combined with different nematodes in eggplant growth. These results were the same observation detected for SiO2-NPs that increased maize plant growth as reported by Vuyyukmar et al. (2011). The results also observed the same trend as other studies, which showed that SiNPs enhances plant growth by interacting with plant morphology and physiology (Strout et al. 2013: Siddiqi & Al-Whaibi 2014; Sun et al. 2016). Reduction in the population of *M. incognita* was associated either with the ability of J2 to infect roots of eggplants or subsequently formation of galls and egg masses.

Root infestation might be affected by the repellant activity of the nematocidal compounds absorbed by roots from the soil (Premachandra et al. 2014) or activated the plant defense systems (Gao et al. 2016).

The current results showed that the biosynthesis of SiNPs alone or combined with the nematocides disclosed effectiveness against the nematodes *M. incognita*. Several research pieces reported that SiNPs were applied as insecticides on a range of insect pests such as *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst), *Sitophilus oryzae* L., *Rhizopertha dominica*, aphids, and cotton leafworm (Barik et al. 2008; Yang et al. 2009; El-Naggar et al. 2020).

5. Conclusion

The utilization of SiNPs for controlling *M. incognita* is considered a promising tool even used alone. Their toxicity against eggs and J2 was found depending on the concentration and exposure time. Combination of SiNPs plus 0.5 RD of fenamiphos, fosthiazate and krenkel synergistic their effect against *M. incognita* and improve plant growth parameters as compared with RD of available commercial nematicides under greenhouse tests. This study indicated that the SiNPs are effective nematicide and can be used either alone or to increase the commercial nematicides’ efficiency and facilitate their delivery. However, further studies need to be conducted to verify these results, and other studies are needed to understand the specific mode of action of SiNPs against *M. incognita*.
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