Dietary Antioxidant Indices in Relation to All-Cause and Cause-Specific Mortality Among Adults With Diabetes: A Prospective Cohort Study
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Background: The potential beneficial effect of individual antioxidants on mortality has been reported. However, the association of overall intakes of dietary antioxidants with all-cause and cause-specific mortality among adults with diabetes remained unclear.

Methods: A total of 4,699 US adults with diabetes were enrolled in 2003–2014 in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) and followed for mortality until 31 December 2015. The Dietary Antioxidant Quality Score (DAQS) and the Dietary Antioxidant Index (DAI), which indicate the total antioxidant properties, were calculated based on the intakes of vitamins A, C, E, zinc, selenium, and magnesium. The Cox proportional hazards regression models were used to investigate the associations of the DAQS or the DAI with all-cause and cause-specific mortality.

Results: A total of 913 deaths occurred during 27,735 person-years of follow-up, including 215 deaths due to cardiovascular disease (CVD) and 173 deaths due to cancer. The higher intakes of antioxidant vitamins A, E, magnesium, and selenium were associated with lower all-cause mortality. The adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) (95% CIs) comparing the highest DAQS (5–6) to the lowest DAQS (0–2) were 0.70 (0.53–0.92) for all-cause mortality, 0.56 (0.35–0.90) for CVD mortality, and 0.59 (0.33–1.04) for cancer mortality. Consistent inverse associations were found between the DAI and mortality.

Conclusion: Higher intake of overall dietary antioxidants was associated with lower risk of death from all-cause and CVD in adults with diabetes. Future dietary intervention studies are needed to determine whether increasing overall antioxidant micronutrients intake could prevent premature death among adults with diabetes.
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INTRODUCTION

Diabetes affects 476.0 million individuals worldwide (1), which poses an extensive threat to long-term well-being. In 2019, an estimated 4.2 million adults died from diabetes globally (2). Specifically, diabetes increases risk of all-cause mortality by 2- to 3-fold and shortens life expectancy by almost 20 years (3, 4). Consistently, it is estimated that about half of deaths among people with diabetes can be attributed to cardiovascular disease (CVD) (5). Diet and nutrition have been considered as the important determinants of death, especially for adults with diabetes. Among adults with type 2 diabetes, more than 300,000 deaths can be attributable to dietary risks annually in the world (6).

Oxidative stress is a result of an imbalance between prooxidants and antioxidants in the body and has been proven to be a risk phenomenon in diabetes and death. Although harmful, oxidants are critical for many physiological responses in cells at appropriate concentrations, including intracellular signaling and redox regulation. Oxidants are signaling molecules that regulate cell proliferation, apoptosis, and gene expression at low levels (7, 8). Simultaneously, moderate amounts of reactive oxygen species are essential mediators of protective apoptosis and phagocytosis in cancer (9). Dietary antioxidants, due to their ability to remove free radicals and inhibit lipid peroxidation, have received extensive attention in the recent decades. Antioxidants are molecules that slow or prevent other molecules from oxidizing. Researchers have identified the potential beneficial effects of antioxidants in terminating chain reactions by scavenging free radical intermediates and inhibiting other oxidative reactions (10).

Previous clinical trials and cohort studies found that the higher intake of antioxidants improved glycemic control indicators and was associated with lower risk of mortality (11–16). These studies tended to be conducted in the general population and mainly focused on the influence of single antioxidants. However, the impact of food on health is influenced not only by individual nutrients, but also by their interactions. Until now, limited evidence is available on the association between the overall dietary antioxidants intake and mortality among adults with diabetes. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the associations of overall dietary antioxidants intake, indicated by the Dietary Antioxidant Quality Score (DAQS) and the Dietary Antioxidant Index (DAI), with the risk of mortality from all-cause, cardiovascular disease (CVD), and cancer in adults with diabetes, using data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) (2003–2014).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population

The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) is a cross-sectional survey that uses a complex, multistage, and stratified probability sampling method to obtain nationally representative health and nutrition data of the noninstitutionalized US population. Participants’ data were collected from household interviews and laboratory examinations. More details of the NHANES have previously been illustrated elsewhere (17).

This investigation analyzed data from 6 2-year cycles (2003–2004, 2005–2006, 2007–2008, 2009–2010, 2011–2012, and 2013–2014). Individuals (aged ≥18 years) with diabetes were included in this study. Diabetes was defined as self-reported doctor diagnosis (ever been told by a clinical consultant or health professional that you have diabetes or sugar diabetes), taking insulin and oral hypoglycemic agents, fasting plasma glucose level ≥7.0 mmol/l, and/or glycated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) level ≥6.5% (18). We then further excluded participants with missing or unknown data on mortality and dietary antioxidants intake and females who were pregnant, leaving a total of 4,699 adults with diabetes for the final study (Supplementary Figure 1).

The NHANES protocol was approved by the National Center for Health Statistics Research Ethics Review Board and all the participants provided informed consent.

Dietary Assessment

The NHANES participants’ food and nutrients intake were evaluated by using nonconsecutive 2-day 24-h dietary recall performed by trained interviewers. The first dietary recall was conducted in-person in the Mobile Examination Center and the second dietary recall was collected through a telephone interview approximately 3–10 days later. The Automated Multiple-Pass Method (AMPM) was employed to record the specific consumption of all the foods and beverages in the past day. Dietary antioxidants micronutrients and total energy intake values were calculated using the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Food and Nutrient Database for Dietary Studies (FNDDS) (19). Questionnaire interview was utilized to obtain information on dietary supplements usage in the past 30 days, including the frequency, duration, and dose intake of dietary supplements (20).

Calculation of the Dietary Antioxidant Quality Score and the Dietary Antioxidant Index

The DAQS and the DAI were calculated based on six dietary antioxidant micronutrients, including vitamins A, C, E, zinc, magnesium, and selenium. For the DAQS, we compared each of the above six nutrients/minerals intake to their respective daily recommended intake (RDI) for US adults released by the Dietary Guidelines for Americans 2015–2020 (21). For each antioxidant vitamin/mineral, the DAQ scores of 0 and 1 were defined as intake <2/3 of the RDI and the intake ≥2/3 of the RDI, respectively, according to Rivas and colleagues’ method (22). The summed DAQS ranged from 0 (poor quality) to 6 (high quality). The DAQS was then classified into the three groups: 1–2 (low quality), 3–4 (medium quality), and 5–6 (high quality).

The DAI was calculated by using the method proposed by Wright et al. in the former study (23). We standardized each of the above antioxidant micronutrients by subtracting the mean and dividing by the SD to estimate the DAI. Then, we add up the standardized intakes of these micronutrients with equal weight to...
calculate the composite DAI, as shown below:

$$\text{DAI} = \frac{n}{6} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\text{Individual Intake} - \text{Mean}}{\text{SD}}$$

**Evaluation of Dietary Total Antioxidant Capacity**

Each antioxidant had a different antioxidant capacity; the antioxidant capacity of each antioxidant was determined using 2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS) and expressed as vitamin C equivalent (VCE) (24, 25). Daily antioxidant intake was multiplied by the antioxidant capacity (VCE) of each antioxidant and added together to produce total antioxidant capacity (TAC).

**Ascertainment of Mortality**

Mortality outcomes and follow-up time of the NHANES participants were identified by the NHANES-linked National Death Index public access files. The NHANES-linked mortality data have been used in a large number of publications (26–28). Follow-up time was defined from the date of participation to the date of death on 31 December 2015, whichever came first. The International Statistical Classification of Disease, 10th Revision (ICD-10) was employed to determine death from CVD (I00–I09, I11, I13, I20–I51, and I60–I69) and death from cancer death (ICD-10) was employed to determine death from cancer.

**Assessment of Confounding Factors**

The potential confounding factors in this study were collected from household interviews using standardized questionnaires, including age (years), gender (male/female), race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic white/non-Hispanic black/Mexican American/other), body mass index (BMI) (kg/m²), education level (less than high school/high school or equivalent/college or above), income (<$20,000/$20,000–$75,000/>$75,000), smoking status (never smoker/former smoker/current smoker <15 cigarettes/day/current smoker ≥15 cigarettes/day), exercise regularly (yes/no), drinking currently (yes/no), total energy intake (kcal/day), dietary supplements use (yes/no), self-reported chronic noncommunicable diseases (NCDs), including hypertension, dyslipidemia, heart diseases, and cancer (yes/no), family history of diabetes (yes/no), medication use for diabetes (insulin/diabetic pills/none), duration of diabetes (years), and HbA1c (%).

**Statistical Analysis**

Sample weight clustering and stratification were incorporated across all the analyses to illustrate the complex survey design because of unequal sampling selection probability and oversampling of certain subgroups. Selected baseline characteristics of participants were presented as means (SE) or n (percentages), stratified by the three categories of the DAQS or the DAI. The levels of significances were examined by using general linear regression (continuous variables) and the chi-squared test (categorical variables).

The Cox proportional hazards regression models were employed to evaluate the hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% CIs for the association of antioxidant (DAQS, DAI, and their components) with mortality. Model 1 was adjusted for age, gender, and race. Model 2 was further adjusted for BMI, education, income, exercise, smoking, drinking, dietary supplements, and total energy intake. Model 3 was additionally adjusted for hypertension, dyslipidemia, heart diseases, cancer, family history of diabetes, medication use for diabetes, duration of diabetes, and HbA1c. Tests for linear trends were performed by assigning the medium value of each group as continuous variables. Moreover, we performed subgroup analyses in accordance with some stratified variables. P for interaction was assessed from multivariate-adjusted model by using multiplicative terms between the DAQS or the DAI (continuous) and stratification factors (dichotomous).

We performed a series of sensitivity analyses to test the robustness of our results. First, given that certain dietary covariates and diet quality were generally controlled in previous cohort studies regarding the relationship between single antioxidants and mortality (30, 31), we additionally adjusted for these nutrients in our final model. Second, we reanalyzed the data after excluding the participants who follow-up times <2 years and participants who taken antioxidant supplements to investigate the potential effect of dietary supplements. Third, we adjusted for blood lipids, C-reactive protein (CRP), Homeostatic Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR), and HOMA-β function index in the final model to examine the potential mechanisms. Fourth, considering the sex difference of antioxidants intake, the associations between the DAQS and the DAI and mortality were estimated separately among males and females. Finally, we estimated the association between dietary total antioxidant capacity (TAC), another measure of total dietary antioxidant intake, and mortality among people with diabetes.

All the statistical tests were performed in R project 3.5.3 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). Two-sided P-value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Participant’s Characteristics**

A total of 913 deaths were identified out of the 4,699 diabetes patients, including 215 deaths due to CVD and 173 deaths due to cancer. Table 1 presents the baseline characteristics of study participants by the DAQS and the DAI. The participants with the higher DAQS and DAI were more likely to be non-Hispanic white, regular exercisers, and current drinkers; were less likely to be current smokers; and had higher education, income levels, and total energy intake.

**Associations of Individual Antioxidant Micronutrients With Mortality**

Figure 1 presents the association of the component antioxidant of the DAQS and the DAI with mortality among adults with diabetes. Higher antioxidants intakes were inversely associated with all-cause mortality. The adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) (95% CIs) comparing the highest tertile to the lowest tertile were 0.78 (0.63–0.97) for vitamin A, 0.78 (0.64–0.98) for vitamin E, 0.65 (0.52–0.81) for magnesium, and 0.79 (0.62–0.98) for selenium,
TABLE 1 | Baseline characteristics by the Dietary Antioxidant Quality Score (DAQS) and the Dietary Antioxidant Index (DAI) among adults with diabetes in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (2003–2014).

| Table 2 shows the association of overall antioxidants intake with mortality. In the multivariate-adjusted models, the higher DAQS was significantly associated with decreased risk of all-cause and CVD mortality. HRs (95% CIs) from the lowest to highest DAQS categories were 1.00, 0.77 (0.63–0.94), and 0.70 (0.53–0.92) (P_trend = 0.003) for all-cause mortality and 1.00, 0.75 (0.50–1.13), and 0.56 (0.35–0.90) (P_trend = 0.020) for CVD mortality. Consistent result was discovered on the association between the DAI and mortality and the HRs (95% CIs) from the lowest to highest DAI tertiles were 1.00, 0.73 (0.55–0.96), and 0.70 (0.53–0.92) (P_trend = 0.014) for all-cause mortality and 1.00, 0.75 (0.50–1.13), and 0.56 (0.35–0.90) (P_trend = 0.020) for CVD mortality. The obvious association of overall antioxidants intake with cancer mortality was not found among adults with diabetes in this study.

Values are given as weighted mean (standard error) for continuous variables and number (weighted percentages) for categorical variables.

P-value was calculated by linear model for continuous variables and chi square test for categorical variables.

respectively (all P_trend < 0.05). Higher intakes of vitamin E, zinc, and selenium were associated with lower risk of CVD mortality; the HRs (95% CIs) for the highest vs. lowest tertiles were 0.55 (0.34–0.91), 0.62 (0.41–0.93), and 0.67 (0.40–0.99), respectively (all P_trend < 0.05). No significant association of these antioxidants with cancer mortality was observed in this study (Supplementary Table 1).
FIGURE 1 | Associations between vitamins A, C, E, zinc, magnesium, and selenium with all-cause, cardiovascular disease (CVD), and cancer mortality among diabetes in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) (2003–2014).
TABLE 2 | Association of the Dietary Antioxidant Quality Score (DAQS) and the Dietary Antioxidant Index (DAI) with all-cause and cause-specific mortality among adults with diabetes in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (2003–2014).

| Dietary Antioxidant Quality Score (DAQS) | P-trend<sup>a</sup> | 0–2 | 3–4 | 5–6 |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------|-----|-----|-----|
| All-cause mortality (case/n)            |                 |     |     |     |
| Model 1                                 | 1.00            | 0.75 (0.61–0.90) | 0.60 (0.49–0.74) | <0.001 |
| Model 2                                 | 1.00            | 0.78 (0.64–0.95) | 0.66 (0.54–0.82) | <0.001 |
| Model 3                                 | 1.00            | 0.77 (0.63–0.94) | 0.70 (0.53–0.92) | 0.003  |
| CVD mortality (case/n)                  | 77/1,412        | 95/1,984 | 43/1,307 |
| Model 1                                 | 1.00            | 0.75 (0.49–1.14) | 0.48 (0.30–0.78) | 0.004  |
| Model 2                                 | 1.00            | 0.79 (0.52–1.19) | 0.55 (0.34–0.89) | 0.016  |
| Model 3                                 | 1.00            | 0.75 (0.50–1.13) | 0.58 (0.35–0.90) | 0.020  |
| Cancer mortality (case/n)               | 66/1,412        | 69/1,984 | 38/1,307 |
| Model 1                                 | 1.00            | 0.78 (0.50–1.17) | 0.69 (0.43–1.11) | 0.121  |
| Model 2                                 | 1.00            | 0.80 (0.53–1.22) | 0.75 (0.46–1.23) | 0.251  |
| Model 3                                 | 1.00            | 0.72 (0.45–1.17) | 0.59 (0.33–1.04) | 0.062  |

| Dietary Antioxidant Index (DAI)         |                  | Tertile 1 | Tertile 2 | Tertile 3 |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|
| All-cause mortality (case/n)            |                 | 370/1,568 | 301/1,569 | 242/1,566 |
| Model 1                                 | 1.00            | 0.72 (0.59–0.87) | 0.61 (0.52–0.73) | <0.001 |
| Model 2                                 | 1.00            | 0.75 (0.62–0.92) | 0.68 (0.57–0.81) | <0.001 |
| Model 3                                 | 1.00            | 0.76 (0.63–0.92) | 0.73 (0.55–0.96) | 0.014  |
| CVD mortality (case/n)                  | 86/1,568        | 78/1,569 | 51/1,566 |
| Model 1                                 | 1.00            | 0.70 (0.48–1.02) | 0.42 (0.26–0.68) | <0.001 |
| Model 2                                 | 1.00            | 0.75 (0.52–1.09) | 0.48 (0.30–0.78) | 0.002  |
| Model 3                                 | 1.00            | 0.74 (0.51–1.06) | 0.51 (0.31–0.82) | 0.005  |
| Cancer mortality (case/n)               | 69/1,568        | 54/1,569 | 50/1,566 |
| Model 1                                 | 1.00            | 0.78 (0.49–1.25) | 0.53 (0.33–1.33) | 0.481  |
| Model 2                                 | 1.00            | 0.80 (0.50–1.27) | 0.91 (0.56–1.48) | 0.738  |
| Model 3                                 | 1.00            | 0.73 (0.44–1.21) | 0.73 (0.35–1.53) | 0.397  |

Model 1, adjusted for age, sex and race/ethnicity. Model 2, model 1 + body mass index, smoking status, drinking currently, education level, income level, exercise regularly. Model 3, model 2 + total energy intake, dietary supplements use, self-reported hypertension, dyslipidemia, heart disease, cancer, family history of diabetes, medication use for diabetes (insulin/diabetic pills/none), duration of diabetes and hemoglobin A<sub>1c</sub>.

<sup>a</sup>Calculated by using the median value for each DAQS or DAI category as a continuous variable.

Subgroup and Sensitivity Analyses

Table 3 shows stratified analyses by the patients’ characteristics. In almost all the subgroups, patients with the higher DAQS were more likely to have lower all-cause mortality. This was particularly evident among older adults aged > 60 years, whites, smokers, inactive patients, patients without family history of diabetes, and those with comorbidities (all P<sub>interaction</sub> < 0.05). Moreover, the inverse association between the DAI and all-cause mortality was stronger among older, smokers, and those with comorbidities (all P<sub>interaction</sub> < 0.05).

Restricting the analyses to patients with > 2 years of follow-up (Supplementary Table 2), while excluding those patients who took antioxidant supplements (Supplementary Table 3), further adjusting for monounsaturated fatty acid/saturated fatty acid (MUFA/SFA) ratio, polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs)/SFA ratio, dietary cholesterol, dietary fiber (Supplementary Table 4, model 2), or B vitamins intake, including vitamins B1, B2, B6, and folate (Supplementary Table 4, model 3); diet quality calculated by the Healthy Eating Index-2015 (HEI-2015) (Supplementary Table 4, model 4) provided similar results as in the main analyses. Stratified analyses by gender also yielded similar results (Supplementary Table 5). The associations were not materially altered when total antioxidant capacity (TAC), instead of absolute dietary intake, was examined as the exposures (Supplementary Table 6).

DISCUSSION

In this large prospective study of nationally representative US adults with diabetes, we found that higher overall antioxidants intakes, indicated by the DAQS and the DAI, were associated with lower risk of all-cause and CVD mortality. The inverse...
TABLE 3 | Association of the Dietary Antioxidant Quality Score (DAQS) or the Dietary Antioxidant Index (DAI) with all-cause mortality among adults with diabetes in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (2003–2014), stratified by selected patients’ characteristics.

### Dietary Antioxidant Quality Score (DAQS)

|   | 0–2 | 3–4 | 5–6 | P-trend | P interaction<sup>b</sup> |
|---|-----|-----|-----|---------|--------------------------|
| **Age** | | | | | 0.002 |
| ≤60 | 1.00 | 0.91 (0.62–1.33) | 0.84 (0.53–1.33) | | 0.528 |
| >60 | 1.00 | 0.71 (0.57–0.89) | 0.60 (0.45–0.86) | | 0.002 |
| **Race/ethnicity** | | | | | 0.004 |
| Non-hispanic white | 1.00 | 0.66 (0.51–0.85) | 0.59 (0.42–0.83) | | 0.001 |
| Non-white | 1.00 | 0.96 (0.79–1.43) | 0.95 (0.77–1.45) | | 0.710 |
| **BMI** | | | | | 0.067 |
| <30 | 1.00 | 0.79 (0.62–0.99) | 0.62 (0.43–0.90) | | 0.015 |
| ≥30 | 1.00 | 0.85 (0.67–1.06) | 0.83 (0.62–1.13) | | 0.211 |
| **Smoking status** | | | | | 0.004 |
| Never smoker | 1.00 | 0.82 (0.57–1.18) | 0.88 (0.58–1.34) | | 0.558 |
| Ever smoker | 1.00 | 0.77 (0.61–0.98) | 0.66 (0.45–0.96) | | 0.022 |
| **Drinking currently** | | | | | 0.330 |
| Yes | 1.00 | 0.76 (0.61–0.94) | 0.69 (0.52–0.92) | | 0.009 |
| No | 1.00 | 0.74 (0.50–1.08) | 0.88 (0.60–1.29) | | 0.660 |
| **Exercise regularly** | | | | | 0.016 |
| Yes | 1.00 | 0.69 (0.40–1.18) | 0.91 (0.36–1.75) | | 0.263 |
| No | 1.00 | 0.83 (0.67–1.03) | 0.76 (0.62–0.94) | | 0.028 |
| **Family history of diabetes** | | | | | 0.003 |
| Yes | 1.00 | 0.91 (0.68–1.22) | 0.93 (0.73–1.45) | | 0.621 |
| No | 1.00 | 0.78 (0.65–0.94) | 0.80 (0.42–0.84) | | 0.004 |
| **Comorbidity<sup>‡</sup>** | | | | | 0.030 |
| Yes | 1.00 | 0.80 (0.65–0.98) | 0.68 (0.55–0.86) | | 0.001 |
| No | 1.00 | 0.78 (0.37–1.24) | 0.78 (0.34–1.39) | | 0.059 |

### Dietary Antioxidant Index (DAI)

|   | Tertile 1 | Tertile 2 | Tertile 3 | P-trend |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| **Age** | | | | 0.005 |
| ≤60 | 1.00 | 0.78 (0.52–1.18) | 0.73 (0.48–1.11) | 0.139 |
| >60 | 1.00 | 0.83 (0.71–0.99) | 0.65 (0.42–0.91) | 0.002 |
| **Race/ethnicity** | | | | 0.100 |
| Non-hispanic white | 1.00 | 0.65 (0.51–0.84) | 0.60 (0.47–0.76) | <0.001 |
| Non-white | 1.00 | 0.97 (0.71–1.31) | 0.95 (0.64–1.38) | 0.426 |
| **BMI** | | | | 0.063 |
| <30 | 1.00 | 0.79 (0.63–0.99) | 0.77 (0.60–0.98) | 0.028 |
| ≥30 | 1.00 | 0.85 (0.68–1.06) | 0.85 (0.67–1.07) | 0.150 |
| **Smoking status** | | | | 0.040 |
| Never smoker | 1.00 | 0.74 (0.53–1.05) | 0.96 (0.63–1.78) | 0.117 |
| Ever smoker | 1.00 | 0.74 (0.59–0.94) | 0.59 (0.43–0.79) | 0.002 |
| **Drinking currently** | | | | 0.277 |
| Yes | 1.00 | 0.73 (0.43–1.22) | 0.55 (0.30–0.97) | 0.025 |
| No | 1.00 | 0.73 (0.52–1.04) | 1.17 (0.71–1.92) | 0.593 |
| **Exercise regularly** | | | | 0.458 |
| Yes | 1.00 | 0.64 (0.34–1.23) | 0.79 (0.51–1.91) | 0.208 |
| No | 1.00 | 0.82 (0.66–1.02) | 0.77 (0.62–0.95) | 0.015 |
| **Family history of diabetes** | | | | 0.058 |
| Yes | 1.00 | 0.88 (0.65–1.18) | 0.93 (0.68–1.28) | 0.641 |
| No | 1.00 | 0.80 (0.66–0.97) | 0.77 (0.62–0.95) | 0.011 |
| **Comorbidity<sup>‡</sup>** | | | | 0.014 |
| Yes | 1.00 | 0.77 (0.63–0.93) | 0.71 (0.53–0.95) | 0.009 |
| No | 1.00 | 0.87 (0.41–1.85) | 0.78 (0.39–1.54) | 0.407 |

<sup>a</sup>Model was adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, body mass index (BMI), smoking status, drinking currently, exercise, education level, income level, total energy intake, dietary supplements use, self-reported hypertension, dyslipidemia, heart disease, cancer, family history of diabetes, duration of diabetes and hemoglobin A<sub>1c</sub>, with exception of stratifying factors.

<sup>b</sup>P for interaction was assessed from multivariable adjusted model by using the cross-product term between DAQs or DAI (continuous) and stratification factors (dichotomous).

<sup>‡</sup>Self-reported comorbidities including hypertension, heart diseases, dyslipidemia, or cancer.
associations remained statistically significant even after adjusting for a series of conventional dietary risk factors.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first prospective study to investigate the association of dietary overall antioxidants intake with the risk of all-cause and cause-specific mortality in adults with diabetes. Previous studies have investigated the association between dietary antioxidants and mortality in the general population. Among patients with diabetes, lower dietary antioxidants level is common owing to medication interactions (such as metformin), malnutrition, and unhealthy eating habits (32). However, evidence is limited among patients with diabetes who had a lower dietary antioxidants intake and increased risk of all-cause and CVD mortality (5, 33). This study filled this gap and suggests that adequate intake of overall antioxidant micronutrients may help to reduce mortality among adults with diabetes.

Previous studies have investigated the association between individual antioxidant micronutrients and mortality, but with inconsistent conclusions. Some studies reported an inverse association between intakes of vitamins A, C, E, zinc, selenium, and magnesium and the risk of mortality (13, 14, 34, 35), whereas others found no significant association (12, 36, 37). For instance, Enstrom et al. found that the higher vitamin C intake was inversely associated with death from all-cause and CVD in the NHANES I Epidemiologic Follow-up Study (NHEFS) among 11,348 US adults (38). However, a recent Eastern Europe cohort study of 28,945 adults aged 45–69 years found no significant association (12). All the above studies examined the relationship between single antioxidant and mortality. Over the past few decades, human nutrition science has shifted from focusing on specific nutrients to emphasizing overall dietary quality. These findings may, thus, be biased because they ignored important information about the complex correlations and interactions between these antioxidants (39). This study minimized these biases by using the overall dietary antioxidants. In this study, we prospectively found that the higher holistic intakes of antioxidant vitamins A, C, E, zinc, selenium, and magnesium were associated with lower risk of all-cause and CVD mortality. Our findings emphasized the importance of adequate overall antioxidant micronutrients intake in adults with diabetes. Furthermore, the statistically insignificant association between dietary antioxidant indices and cancer mortality possibly because of the limited number of cases and needs to be further confirmed in larger cohort investigations.

In subgroup analysis, we observed significant interactions between the dietary antioxidant indices and the predefined risk factors on mortality. Both the DAQs and the DAI showed a stronger negative association with mortality in older, smokers, and those with other comorbidities. Despite the specific reasons being unclear, our findings suggest that higher risk diabetic population may benefit more from overall dietary antioxidants intake. However, validation in other studies is needed before high-risk diabetes populations can be recommended to intake more antioxidant-rich foods such as fruits, dark-green vegetables, nuts, and seafood (40, 41). Besides, we compared the associations of overall dietary antioxidant intake with mortality among diabetics and nondiabetics, respectively. We found that the inverse association between the DAQs and the DAI and CVD mortality seemed to be more pronounced among diabetics (Supplementary Table 7), probably since these individuals have high levels of oxidative stress and an exogenous antioxidant intake appears to exerts a stronger protective effect in people with high levels of innate or acquired reactive oxygen species (ROS) (42).

Several epidemiological studies have reported that antioxidants supplementation reduced the blood insulin and lipids profile and both the DAI and the DAQS were inversely associated with four inflammation biomarkers among type 2 diabetes patients (43–45). Therefore, we additionally adjusted the blood lipids, CRP, HOMA-IR, and HOMA-β in the final model to examine the underlying mechanisms in this study. We found that the association between overall antioxidants intake and CVD mortality was attenuated to nonsignificance after further adjusting for CRP, HOMA-IR, and HOMA-β (Supplementary Table 8), suggesting that the overall antioxidants intake may exert its effect through inflammation and insulin pathways. Except for the above hypothesis, another possible mechanism would be the antagonistic effect of antioxidants on oxidative stress and lipid peroxidation. Previous animal and human studies indicated that antioxidants could be involved in inhibiting oxidative modification of low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and increasing total glutathione (GSH), thereby preventing atherosclerosis and cardiac complications (46, 47). Moreover, other studies performed among type 2 diabetic patients suggested that overall antioxidants supplementation significantly decreased the levels of urinary albumin excretion and oxidative stress, thereby improving glomerular function and endothelial dysfunction (48, 49).

Reactive oxygen species (ROS), a cellular oxidant, is constantly produced in animal and human cells. Excessive ROS can induce oxidative stress, resulting in cell damage and ultimately lead to many degenerative diseases and aging (50). Therefore, the body has an antioxidant network to remove overproduced ROS and prevent their damaging effects. However, the beneficial effects of appropriate concentrations of oxidants on cellular physiology are increasingly being demonstrated. Oxidants are essential mediators of antibacterial phagocytosis, detoxification reactions by cytochrome P450 complexes, and elimination of apoptosis in cancer cells and other life-threatening cells (42, 51). Overdose of antioxidants can lead to “antioxidant stress,” which impairs the physiological functions of oxidants and interferes with the immune system’s basic defense mechanisms to fight against bacteria and clear damaged cells (9). In addition, when consumed in excess, antioxidants can act as prooxidants by increasing oxidative stress (52). Diabetic patients were reported to have a lower intake of dietary antioxidants, while a higher level of oxidative stress, which was confirmed in this study as well (Supplementary Table 9). In addition, previous studies suggested that antioxidant supplementation is indeed effective in reducing oxidative stress level when the initial oxidative stress levels are higher than the set regulatory level (53). Thus, appropriate exogenous antioxidant supplementation should be
recommended for patients with diabetes to prevent the risk of premature death.

This investigation was strengthened by the prospective design, the nationally representative sample, and the high-quality data with detailed information on potential confounding factors. Moreover, the definition of diabetes is mainly based on fasting glucose, HbA1c level, and diabetes medications use, in addition to self-reported diabetes, which greatly decreases the probability of misclassification. This study also has several limitations. First, although the 2 days 24-h dietary recall was the valid method to acquire the dietary intake, but the subjective recall poses a great challenge for obtaining accurate evaluation. Second, despite adjusting the covariates comprehensively, we still could not completely exclude the unmeasured confounding factors. Third, we only used data collected at baseline, but dietary habits and other exposures may change during long-term follow-up, which may contribute to the misclassification bias. Fourth, other indices such as ferric reducing antioxidant capacity (FRAP) and total radical-trapping antioxidant parameter (TRAP), which also measure the overall antioxidant potential, but could not be calculated in this study, since no FRAP and TRAP databases have been developed for US diet (54, 55). Finally, this study fails to distinguish the types of diabetes or obtain information about the severity of diabetes. Future studies are needed to examine this association in terms of type 1 and type 2 diabetes to provide more comprehensive guidance.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we found that the higher dietary intake of overall antioxidant micronutrients was associated with lower risk of all-cause and CVD mortality among people with diabetes. Future studies are needed to determine whether dietary intervention to promote antioxidant-rich dietary patterns could prevent premature death among adults with diabetes.
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