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ABSTRACT

Bolometric luminosities and Eddington ratios of both X-ray selected broad-line (Type-1) and narrow-line (Type-2) active galactic nuclei (AGN) from the XMM–Newton survey in the Cosmic Evolution Survey field are presented. The sample is composed of 929 AGN (382 Type-1 AGN and 547 Type-2 AGN) and it covers a wide range of redshifts, X-ray luminosities and absorbing column densities. About 65 per cent of the sources are spectroscopically identified as either Type-1 or Type-2 AGN (83 and 52 per cent, respectively), while accurate photometric redshifts are available for the rest of the sample. The study of such a large sample of X-ray selected AGN with a high-quality multiwavelength coverage from the far-infrared (now with the inclusion of Herschel data at 100 and 160 μm) to the optical–ultraviolet allows us to obtain accurate estimates of bolometric luminosities, bolometric corrections and Eddington ratios. The $k_{bol} - L_{bol}$ relations derived in this work are calibrated for the first time against a sizable AGN sample, and rely on observed redshifts, X-ray luminosities and absorbing column densities. We find that $k_{bol}$ is significantly lower at high $L_{bol}$ with respect to previous estimates by Marconi et al. and Hopkins et al. Black hole (BH) masses and Eddington ratios are available for 170 Type-1 AGN, while BH masses for Type-2 AGN are computed for 481 objects using the BH mass–stellar mass relation and the morphological information.
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1 INTRODUCTION

It is widely accepted that the central engine of active galactic nuclei (AGN) is accreting supermassive black holes (SMBHs) at the centre of galaxies with masses of the order of $10^{6–9} M_\odot$ (Salpeter 1964; Lynden-Bell 1969). Locally, the SMBH mass correlates with the mass of the bulge of the host galaxy (Magorrian et al. 1998; Marconi & Hunt 2003), the velocity dispersion of the bulge (Ferrarese & Merritt 2000; Tremaine et al. 2002) and the luminosity of the bulge (Kormendy & Richstone 1995). The existence of these correlations implies that the growth of the SMBH is tightly linked with the galaxy evolution, playing a crucial role in the star formation history of the galaxy itself. The feedback between the SMBH and host galaxy is therefore a pivotal ingredient that has to be taken into account in SMBH/galaxy formation and co-evolution studies (see Silk & Rees 1998; Fabian 1999; Ciotti & van Albada 2001; Di Matteo, Springel & Hernquist 2005). A fundamental question is how the AGN energy detected as radiation is produced. AGN are a broad-band phenomenon; hence, a multiwavelength approach is mandatory in order to understand the physics that underlie the AGN emission. Several studies have been performed on the shape of the spectral energy distribution (SED), as parametrized by the correlation between the $\alpha_{\text{ox}}$ index, defined as $\alpha_{\text{ox}} = -\log[L_{2keV}/L_{2500\AA}]/2.605$, and the optical luminosity (Tananbaum et al. 1979; Zamorani et al. 1981; Vignali, Brandt & Schneider 2003; Steffen et al. 2006; Just et al. 2007; Young, Elvis & Risaliti 2009; Lusso et al. 2010; Marchese et al. 2012), or between $\alpha_{\text{ox}}$ and the Eddington ratio (Vasudevan & Fabian 2007, 2009; Kelly et al. 2008; Vasudevan et al. 2009; Trump et al. 2011). How $\alpha_{\text{ox}}$ evolves with luminosity may provide a first hint about the nature of the dominant energy generation mechanism in AGN. It is also a first step towards an estimate of the AGN bolometric luminosity function (Hopkins, Richards & Hernquist 2007, hereafter H07; Shankar, Weinberg & Miralda-Escudé 2009) and the mass function of SMBHs (Marconi et al. 2004, hereafter M04; Shankar et al. 2004). All these works consider a model intrinsic quasar SED, which is described with a series of broken power laws, similar to those objects in bright optically selected samples (Elvis et al. 1994; Richards et al. 2006). M04 and H07 have also studied the relationship between the bolometric correction, $k_{\text{bol}}$, as a function of the bolometric luminosity, $L_{\text{bol}}$, in different bands (e.g. the B band at 0.44 μm, and the soft and hard X-ray bands at [0.5–2] and [2–10] keV, respectively). Therefore, it is of fundamental importance to verify these correlations considering statistically relevant samples of both broad-line (Type-1) and narrow-line (Type-2) AGN over a wide range of redshift and luminosities.

We analyse the dependence of $k_{\text{bol}}$ on $L_{\text{bol}}$ in the B band, and in the soft and hard X-ray bands using a large X-ray selected sample of both Type-1 and Type-2 AGN in the Cosmic Evolution Survey (COSMOS) field (Scoville et al. 2007) from the XMM-COSMOS field (Hasinger et al. 2007). The COSMOS field is a unique area for its deep and wide multiwavelength coverage: radio with the Very Large Array, infrared (IR) with Spitzer and Herschel, optical bands with Hubble, Subaru, SDSS and other ground-based telescopes, near- and far-ultraviolet (UV) bands with the Galaxy Evolution Explorer (GALEX) and X-rays with XMM–Newton and Chandra. The spectroscopic coverage with VIMOS/VLT and IMACS/Magellan, coupled with the reliable photometric redshifts derived from multi-band fitting (Salvato et al. 2011, hereafter S11), allows us to build a large and homogenous sample of AGN with a well-sampled spectral coverage and to keep selection effects under control. In Lusso et al. (2010, hereafter L10) bolometric corrections and Eddington ratios for hard X-ray selected Type-1 AGN in the COSMOS field are presented. That work showed that the bolometric parameters are useful to give an indication of the accretion rate on to the SMBH (i.e. a lower bolometric correction corresponds to a lower Eddington ratio, and vice versa; see also Vasudevan & Fabian 2009; Vasudevan et al. 2009, hereafter V09a and V09b, respectively). However, in L10, V09a and V09b the study was mainly focused on the bolometric output of Type-1 AGN, while an alternative approach is needed in order to study the bolometric parameters and Eddington ratios of Type-2 AGN. Vasudevan et al. (2010, hereafter V10) explore a method for characterizing the bolometric output of both obscured and unobscured AGN by adding nuclear IR and hard X-ray luminosities (as originally proposed by Pozzi et al. 2007). They also estimate Eddington ratios using black hole (BH) mass estimates from the BH mass–host-galaxy bulge luminosity relation for obscured and unobscured AGN finding a significant minority of higher accretion rate objects amongst high-absorption AGN, Vasudevan et al. (2011, hereafter L11) present an SED-fitting method for characterizing the bolometric output of obscured AGN, and giving also an estimate of stellar masses and star formation rates for Type-2 AGN. In this analysis, the mid-IR emission is utilized as a proxy to constrain the thermal emission, and it is used, in conjunction with the X-ray emission, to give an estimate of the bolometric luminosity. Taking advantage of these results, we are able to build up a homogeneous analysis to further study the bolometric output of X-ray selected AGN at different absorption levels. Moreover, several improvements are included in this study with respect to L10 and L11. First, the inclusion of Herschel data at 100 and 160 μm (Lutz et al. 2011) in the SED-fitting code is of fundamental importance to better constrain the far-IR emission and, therefore, the AGN emission in the mid-IR for Type-2 AGN. Photometric redshifts are taken into account in order to extend our sample also to fainter magnitudes using the updated release of photometric redshifts provided by S11. Finally, we have included the H-band photometry now available in the COSMOS field; this allows us to further increase the coverage in the near-IR. BH masses and Eddington ratios for Type-2 AGN are estimated through scaling relations (Haring & Rix 2004) using...
a Monte Carlo method in order to account for uncertainties in stellar masses, bolometric luminosities, as well as the intrinsic scatter in the $M_{\text{BH}}-M_*$ relation. The morphological information is also taken into account in order to estimate the bulge-to-total luminosity/flux ratio (see Simmons et al. 2011 and Section 5 of this paper for further details) which is used to further constrain the BH mass estimate.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we report the selection criteria for the hard X-ray selected samples of Type-1 and Type-2 AGN used in this work. Section 3 presents the multi-wavelength data set, while Section 4 describes the methods used to compute intrinsic bolometric luminosities and bolometric corrections for Type-1 and Type-2 AGN. Section 5 describes BH mass estimates and Eddington ratios, while in Section 6 we discuss our findings. In Section 7 we summarize the most important results.

We adopted a flat model of the universe with a Hubble constant $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_M = 0.27$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 1 - \Omega_M$ (Komatsu et al. 2009).

## 2 THE DATA SET

The Type-1 and Type-2 AGN samples discussed in this paper are extracted from the XMM-COSMOS catalogue which comprises 1822 point-like X-ray sources detected by XMM-Newton over an area of $\sim 2$ deg$^2$ (Hasinger et al. 2007; Cappelluti et al. 2009). All the details about the catalogue are reported in Brusa et al. (2010). We consider in this analysis 1577 X-ray selected sources for which a reliable optical counterpart can be associated (see discussion in Brusa et al. 2010, table 1). A non-negligible fraction of AGN may be present among optically faint X-ray sources without optical spectroscopy; therefore, in order to extend both Type-1 and Type-2 AGN samples to fainter luminosities, we have considered the updated release of the photometric catalogue provided by S11. Photometric classification from 1 to 30 includes galaxy-dominated SEDs (early-type, late-type and ULIRG galaxies), low- and high-luminosity AGN SEDs and hybrids created assuming a varying ratio between AGN and galaxy templates (see table 2 in Salvato et al. 2009 for details). Sources coded from 100 to 130 are reproduced by host-galaxy-dominated SEDs (elliptical, spiral and star-forming galaxies, see fig. 1 in Ilbert et al. 2009). We differentiate photometric classification using the best-fitting template, separating those dominated by AGN emission and galaxy emission. Selection criteria for the Type-1 and the Type-2 AGN samples are described in the following section.

### 2.1 Type-1 and Type-2 AGN samples

We have selected 971 X-ray sources detected in the [2–10] keV band at a flux larger than $3 \times 10^{-15} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (see Brusa et al. 2010). From this sample, 315 objects are spectroscopically classified broad-line AGN on the basis of broad emission lines (full width at half-maximum or FWHM $> 2000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) in their optical spectra (see Lilly et al. 2007; Trump et al. 2009b). We will refer to this sample as the ‘spectro-z’ Type-1 AGN sample. We consider Type-2 AGN and all the rest, including Seyfert 2 AGN, emission-line galaxies and absorption-line galaxies. The remaining 284 AGN with optical spectroscopy do not show broad emission lines (FWHM $< 2000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) in their optical spectra: 254 are objects with either unresolved, high-ionization emission lines, exhibiting line ratios indicating AGN activity, or non-detected high-ionization lines, where the observed spectral range does not allow us to construct line diagnostics; 30 are classified absorption-line galaxies, i.e. sources consistent with a typical galaxy spectrum showing only absorption lines. We will refer to this sample as the ‘photo-z’ Type-2 AGN sample.

In order to extend our Type-1 and Type-2 AGN samples to fainter magnitudes, we proceed as follows. We have selected all sources with a best-fitting photometric classification consistent with an AGN-dominated SED (i.e. $19 < \text{SED-type} \leq 30$; see S11 for details). In the following, we assume that 67 X-ray sources, classified by the SED fitting with an AGN-dominated SED, are Type-1 AGN. We will refer to this sample as the ‘photo-z’ Type-1 AGN sample. From the total photo-z sample we additionally selected all AGN with a best-fitting photometric classification inconsistent with a broad-line AGN SED. We will refer to this sample (i.e. 263 X-ray sources with SED-type $> 100$ and SED-type $< 19$, see Table 1) as the ‘photo-z’ Type-2 AGN sample.

The final Type-1 AGN sample used in our analysis comprises 382 X-ray selected AGN (315 from the spectro-z sample and 67 from the photo-z sample), while the final Type-2 AGN sample comprises 547 X-ray selected AGN (284 from the spectro-z sample and 263 from the photo-z sample). These samples span a wide range of redshifts and X-ray luminosities. Only 12 Type-2 AGN (2 per cent; 9 and 3 AGN from the spectro-z and photo-z sample, respectively) have $\log L_{[2–10] \text{keV}} < 42 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. Sources with $\log L_{[2–10] \text{keV}} < 42$ might be interpreted as star-forming galaxies; however, the number of these objects is very small and their inclusion in the Type-2 class does not affect any of the results.

We have estimated the expected contamination and incompleteness in the classification method, on the total Type-1 and Type-2 AGN samples, by checking the distribution of the photometric classification for the spectroscopically identified Type-1 and Type-2 AGN samples. The large majority of the broad emission line AGN in the spectro-z sample are classified as Type-1 AGN by the SED fitting as well (271/315; 86 per cent), while the number of spectroscopic Type-1 AGN which have SED-type $> 100$ or SED-type $< 19$ is relatively small (39 sources). Similarly, good agreement between the two classifications is also present for the Type-2 AGN; for 91 per cent (257/284) of the 284 Type-2 sources with spectroscopic redshift, the spectroscopic classification is in agreement with the SED-based classification. If we make the reasonable assumption

| Sample          | Total | SED-type$^a$ | $N^b$ |
|-----------------|-------|--------------|-------|
| Photo-z         | 330   | Galaxy-dominated SED 263 | AGN-dominated SED 67 |
| Type-1 spectro-z| 315   | Galaxy-dominated SED 39 (12 per cent) | AGN-dominated SED 271 |
| Type-2 spectro-z| 284   | Galaxy-dominated SED 25 (9 per cent) | AGN-dominated SED | No photo-z class 2 |

$^a$Photometric classification according to S11. Templates 19–30 have AGN-dominated SEDs, while templates 1–18 and 101–130 have galaxy-dominated SEDs.

$^b$Number of sources in each subsample: galaxy-dominated SEDs, AGN-dominated SEDs and sources without photometric classification.
Figure 1. Redshift distribution of the hard X-ray selected Type-1 (left-hand panel) and Type-2 (right-hand panel) AGN samples considered in this work. The hatched histogram shows the redshift distribution for the sample of spectroscopically identified sources, while the filled histogram is the redshift distribution for the sources without spectroscopic redshift. The total sample is reported with the open histogram.

that the fraction of agreement between the two classifications is the same also for the sample for which we do not have a spectroscopic classification, we find that our total Type-1 AGN sample is expected to be contaminated (i.e. Type-2 AGN misclassified as Type-1 AGN from the SED analysis) at the level of ~1.6 per cent (six objects) and incomplete (i.e. Type-1 AGN misclassified as Type-2 AGN, and therefore not included in our Type-1 sample) at the level of ~9.2 per cent. The same fractions for the total Type-2 sample are ~6.4 per cent (contamination) and ~1.1 per cent (incompleteness).

Table 1 a summary of the selection criteria and the numbers of AGN in the various classes are presented.

The redshift distributions of the total, spectroscopic and photometric Type-1 and Type-2 AGN samples are presented in Fig. 1 (left-hand panel). The median redshift of the total Type-1 AGN sample is 1.51 (the mean redshift is 1.57, with a dispersion of 0.70). The median redshift of the spectro-z sample is 1.45, while the mean redshift of the photo-z sample is 1.92. The median redshift of the total Type-2 AGN sample is 0.96 (the mean redshift is 1.10, with a dispersion of 0.64). The median redshift of the spectro-z sample is 0.81, while the median redshift of the photo-z sample is 1.41.

3 SPECTRAL ENERGY DISTRIBUTIONS

We have collected the multiwavelength information from mid-IR to hard X-rays as in L10 and L11. The observations in the various bands are not simultaneous, as they span a time interval of about 5 yr: 2001 (SDSS), 2004 (Subaru and Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope – CFHT) and 2006 (IRAC). Variability for absorbed sources is likely to be a negligible effect, which is probably not the case for Type-1 AGN. Therefore, in order to reduce variability effects, we have selected the bands closest in time to the IRAC observations (i.e. we excluded SDSS data, that in any case are less deep than other data available in similar bands). All the data for the SED computation were shifted to the rest frame, so that no k-corrections were needed. Galactic reddening has been taken into account: we used the selective attenuation of the stellar continuum k(λ) taken from table 11 of Capak et al. (2007). Galactic extinction is estimated from Schlegel, Finkbeiner & Davis (1998) for each object. We decided to consider the near-UV GALEX band for Type-1 and Type-2 AGN with redshift lower than 1, and the far-UV GALEX band for sources with redshift lower than 0.3 in order to avoid Lyα absorption from foreground structures. In the far-IR the inclusion of Herschel data at 100 and 160 μm (Lutz et al. 2011) better constrains the AGN emission in the mid-IR. The number of detections at 100 μm is 63 (16 per cent; 59 spectro-z and 4 photo-z) for the Type-1 AGN sample, while it is 98 (18 per cent; 73 spectro-z and 25 photo-z) for the Type-2 AGN sample. At 160 μm the number of detections for the Type-1 AGN sample is 56 (15 per cent; 52 spectro-z and 4 photo-z), while it is 87 (16 per cent; 63 spectro-z and 24 photo-z) for the Type-2 AGN sample. Count rates at 0.5–2 and 2–10 keV are converted into monochromatic X-ray fluxes in the observed frame at 1 and 4 keV, respectively, using a Galactic column density N_H = 2.5 x 10^{20} cm^{-2} (see Dickey & Lockman 1990; Kalberla et al. 2005). We have computed the integrated unabsorbed luminosity in the [0.5–2] and [2–10] keV bands for both Type-1 and Type-2 AGN samples. For a subsample of 100 Type-1 AGN (26 per cent) and 240 Type-2 AGN (44 per cent) we have an estimate of the column density N_H from spectral analysis (see Mainieri et al. 2007, 2010), while for 282 Type-1 AGN and 307 Type-2 AGN absorption is estimated from hardness ratios (see Brusa et al. 2010). The integrated intrinsic unabsorbed luminosity is computed assuming a power-law spectrum with slope Γ = 2 and 1.7 for the [0.5–2] and [2–10] keV bands, respectively (Cappelluti et al. 2009).

In Fig. 2 we show the distribution of column densities for the Type-1 and Type-2 AGN samples. 224 Type-1 AGN (~64 per cent) and 87 Type-2 AGN (~16 per cent) do not require absorption in addition to the Galactic one. The mean N_H value is 7.4 x 10^{20} cm^{-2} for the Type-1 AGN and ~10^{22} cm^{-2} for the Type-2 AGN. 60 per cent (322/547) of the Type-2 AGN sample and 10 per cent (39/382) of the Type-1 AGN sample have Log N_H ≥ 22 cm^{-2}. The average shift induced by the correction for absorption in the Type-1 sample is, as expected, small in the soft band (∆Log L_{0.5–2[keV]} = 0.10 ± 0.01 and negligible in the hard band. The same shift in the Type-2 sample is (∆Log L_{[2–10]keV}) = 0.30 ± 0.04 in the soft band, while it is (∆Log L_{[2–10]keV}) = 0.033 ± 0.004 in the hard band.

We have computed the individual rest-frame SEDs for all sources in the sample, following the same approach as in L10. For the computation of the bolometric luminosity for Type-1 AGN we need to extrapolate the UV data to X-ray gap and at high X-ray energies. We have extrapolated the SED up to 1200 Å with the slope computed using the last two rest-frame optical luminosity data points at the highest frequency in each SED (only when the last optical–UV rest-frame data point is at λ > 1200 Å). Then, we assume a power-law spectrum at 500 Å, as measured by Hubble Space Telescope (HST) observations for radio-quiet AGN (f_ν ∝ ν^{-1.8}; see Zheng et al.


Figure 2. Column density distribution of the Type-1 (left-hand panel) and Type-2 (right-hand panel) AGN samples (black open histogram). About 67 per cent of the Type-1 AGN sample and 16 per cent of the Type-2 AGN sample have \( N_H \) values consistent with the Galactic one. Note that the first bin in the left-hand panel is much higher than what is plotted in the histogram (206 spectro-\( z \) and 40 photo-\( z \) have \( N_H = 20.5 \text{ cm}^{-2} \)), while for the right-hand panel 56 spectro-\( z \) and 33 photo-\( z \) have \( N_H \) consistent with the Galactic value. The hatched histogram shows the \( N_H \) distribution for the sample of spectroscopically identified sources, while the filled histogram is the \( N_H \) distribution for the sources without spectroscopic redshift. 60 percent (322/547) of the Type-2 AGN sample and 10 per cent (39/382) of the Type-1 AGN sample have \( \log N_H \geq 22 \text{ cm}^{-2} \).

4 BOLOMETRIC LUMINOSITIES

The mid-IR luminosity is considered an indirect probe of the accretion disc optical/UV luminosity (see Pozzi et al. 2007, 2010, V10). The nuclear bolometric luminosity for Type-2 AGN is then estimated by using the same approach as in L11, whereas the sum of the IR and X-ray luminosities is used as a proxy for the intrinsic nuclear luminosity (\( L_{\text{bol}} = L_{\text{IR}} + L_X \)). The main purpose of the SED-fitting code is to disentangle the various contributions (starburst, AGN and host-galaxy emission) in the observed SEDs by using a standard \( \chi^2 \) minimization procedure. The code is based on a large set of starburst templates from Chary & Elbaz (2001) and Dale & Helou (2002), and galaxy templates from the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) code for spectral synthesis models, while AGN templates are taken from Silva, Maiolino & Granato (2004). These templates represent a wide range of SED shapes and luminosities and are widely used in the literature. After performing the SED fitting, only the nuclear component of the best fit is integrated. Hence, the total IR luminosity \( L_{\text{IR}} \) is obtained by integrating the nuclear template between 1 and 1000 \( \mu m \). To convert this IR luminosity into the nuclear accretion disc luminosity, we applied the correction factors to account for the torus geometry and the anisotropy (\( \sim 1.7 \); see Pozzi et al. 2007, 2010). The total X-ray luminosity \( L_X \) is estimated by integrating the X-ray SED in the 0.5–100 keV range.

The photometric data used in the SED-fitting code, from low to high frequency, are Herschel-PACS bands (160 and 100 \( \mu m \)), MIPS/Spitzer (24 and 70 \( \mu m \)), four IRAC bands (8.0, 5.8, 4.5 and 3.6 \( \mu m \)), CFHT (\( K_s \)), CFHT (\( H \)), United Kingdom Infrared Telescope (\( J \)), optical broad-band Subaru (\( B_1 \), \( V \), \( g^+ \), \( r^+ \) and \( z^+ \)) and CFHT (\( i^+ \), \( u^+ \)) bands. The starburst component is used only when the source is detected at wavelengths longer than 24 \( \mu m \) rest frame. Otherwise, a two-component SED fit is used. The maximum number of bands adopted in the SED fitting is 18 (only detections are considered). Fig. 3 shows the multiwavelength photometry and the model fits for six AGN, three Type-1 AGN in the top panels and three Type-2 AGN in the bottom panels. The three components adopted in the SED-fitting code, starburst, AGN torus and host-galaxy templates, are shown as a blue long-dashed line, black solid line and dotted line, respectively.

The bolometric luminosity for Type-1 AGN is usually computed by integrating the observed SED, as described in Section 3, in the \( \log L_{\text{bol}} = \log (\nu L_\nu) \) rest-frame plane from 1 \( \mu m \) to 200 keV. The choice to neglect the IR bump is motivated by the fact that nearly all photons emitted at these wavelengths by the AGN are reprocessed optical/UV/soft X-ray photons; in this way we avoid to count twice the emission reprocessed by dust (see M04). However, given that we want to compare bolometric parameters for Type-1 and Type-2 AGN, we have decided to use the SED-fitting code described above to compute bolometric luminosities for both samples.

In order to compute the bolometric correction we used the standard definition

\[
\kappa_{\text{bol, band}} = \frac{L_{\text{bol}}}{L_{\text{band}}},
\]

where \( L_{\text{band}} \) is the luminosity in soft and hard bands and in the \( B \) band at 0.44 \( \mu m \). The luminosity in the \( B \) band is computed only for Type-1 AGN, since for Type-2 AGN the emission in the optical is mainly from the host galaxy. Bolometric luminosities for Type-1 and Type-2 AGN samples are reported in Fig. 4. The mean bolometric luminosity value for Type-1 AGN is \( \langle \log L_{\text{bol}} \rangle = 45.50 \) with a dispersion of 0.58, while for Type-2 AGN \( \langle \log L_{\text{bol}} \rangle = 44.85 \) with a dispersion of 0.70. Using the SED-fitting approach we found that nine Type-1 AGN are best fitted either with only galaxy template (seven objects mostly at redshift higher than 2), or with galaxy plus starburst template (two objects; all of them have Herschel data at 100/160 \( \mu m \)). Therefore, bolometric AGN luminosities are not available for these sources. For 59 Type-2 AGN (10 per cent of the main sample) the SED-fitting code is not able to fit the mid-IR part of the SED with the AGN component. Of these 59 Type-2 AGN, 22 are well fitted only with a galaxy component. This sample is predominantly at high redshift, highly obscured with \( N_H > 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) and none of these sources have a detection in the far-IR, most of them nor at the 24 \( \mu m \) band. Given that they are mainly at high redshift, all bands are shifted towards high frequencies; therefore, the torus component is not needed. For the remaining 37 Type-2 AGN the best fit is composed of the galaxy component in the optical and the starburst component in the far-IR. All these sources present MIPS detection at 24/70 \( \mu m \) and/or
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Figure 3. Examples of SED decompositions. The black circles correspond to the observed photometry in the rest frame (from the far-IR to the optical–UV). The blue long-dashed, black solid and dotted lines correspond, respectively, to the starburst, AGN and host-galaxy templates found as the best-fitting solution. The red line represents the best-fitting SED. The top three panels are Type-1 AGN, while the bottom three are Type-2 AGN.

Figure 4. Histogram of bolometric luminosities for Type-1 AGN (blue filled histogram) and for Type-2 AGN (red open histogram) with AGN best fit available from the SED-fitting code. The two dashed lines show the median $L_{\text{bol}}$ values for Type-1 AGN $L_{\text{bol}} = 3.2 \times 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (right) and for Type-2 AGN $L_{\text{bol}} = 7.1 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (left).

Herschel data at 100/160 μm. These Type-2 AGN are at relatively moderate redshift ($z < 1.5$) and X-ray luminosities in the range $40.5 \lesssim L_{[2-10] \text{keV}} \lesssim 44$. Summarizing, the SED fitting for 2 per cent of the Type-1 AGN sample and for 11 per cent of the Type-2 AGN sample is not able to recover the AGN component in the mid-IR.

We have estimated the uncertainties on bolometric luminosities comparing the bolometric luminosities computed by the SED-fitting code and those obtained by integrating the rest-frame SED from 1 μm to the X-ray. Obviously, this test can only be applied to the Type-1 AGN sample. In Fig. 5 the comparison between $L_{\text{bol}}$ from the integrated SED and from the SED fitting is presented. We find that the bolometric luminosities are scattered along the one-to-one correlation with a 1σ scatter of $\sim 0.24$ dex (after performing a 3.5σ clipping, four objects have been removed). Assuming that the errors associated with the two different ways to compute $L_{\text{bol}}$ are of the same order of magnitude, we can estimate the 1σ uncertainty on $L_{\text{bol}}$ to be $\sim 0.17$ dex. Since the average uncertainties on the X-ray luminosities are of the order of 10 per cent, the uncertainty on the bolometric corrections (computed using the soft and hard X-ray luminosities) is dominated by the uncertainty on $L_{\text{bol}}$ and it is of the order of 0.20 dex. These values have to be considered as a qualitative indication of the uncertainty on $L_{\text{bol}}$ and $k_{\text{bol}}$.

5 BLACK HOLE MASSES AND EDDINGTON RATIOS

We estimate BH masses from virial estimators (Peterson et al. 2004) for 170 Type-1 AGN in our sample within the redshift range $0.13 \leq z \leq 3.36$ (mean $\langle z \rangle = 1.38$ with a dispersion of 0.49). Of these, 96 use the Mg II line width: 74 sources are from Merloni et al. (2010) (with uncertainties of $\sim 0.25$ dex) and 22 from Trump et al. (2009b) (with uncertainties of $\sim 0.4$ dex). The remaining 74 are also from Trump et al. (2009b) and use the Hβ line width, with uncertainties of...
and orange symbols represent the spectro-σ correlation and 1 X-ray band. The red solid line and the dashed lines represent the one-to-one correspondence between these measured morphologies with the results of extensive AGN host-galaxy simulations mapping observed morphology to intrinsic structural types. Combining these two sources of uncertainty, we consider a global uncertainty of ~0.2 dex on the derived values of $M_\bullet$.

In order to properly account for these sources of uncertainty in the BH mass estimates for our Type-2 AGN, we employ a Monte Carlo method, simulating $10^5$ data points for each of our sources within the errors. The method also considers the evolution of the bulge mass–BH mass relation (Merloni, Rudnick & Di Matteo 2004) and the intrinsic scatter in the original relation of H"aring & Rix (2004). We report the median mass of the Monte Carlo distribution for each source and compute the asymmetric uncertainties on the mass based on the distribution. For the 481 Type-2 AGN with $L_{bol}$ available, we further compute the Eddington ratio, additionally accounting for uncertainties in $L_{bol}$. Uncertainties in BH masses and Eddington ratios are slightly asymmetric for those sources with asymmetric uncertainties in $L_{bol}$. The median BH mass for the Type-2 AGN sample is $10^8 M_\odot$, while the upper and lower quartiles corresponding to 75 and 25 per cent are $2.3 \times 10^8$ and $4.8 \times 10^7 M_\odot$, respectively. The median BH mass for the Type-1 AGN sample is $2.7 \times 10^8 M_\odot$, while the upper and lower quartiles corresponding to 75 and 25 per cent are $4.3 \times 10^8$ and $1.2 \times 10^9 M_\odot$, respectively.

We show BH masses and Eddington ratios for the Type-1 and Type-2 AGN samples in Fig. 6. Note that BH masses for Type-2 AGN would increase by a factor of ~1.7 using a Salpeter IMF, decreasing the difference between the average Type-1 and Type-2 BH masses by a similar factor. For an extensive discussion and comparison between Type-1 and Type-2 AGN see Sections 6.4–6.6.

6 RESULTS

6.1 Bolometric correction versus bolometric luminosity for the Type-1 AGN sample

We have computed the nuclear bolometric luminosities and the bolometric corrections for the $B$ band, the soft and hard X-ray bands considering the Type-1 AGN sample as already described in Section 4. Initially, we have computed $k_{bol}$ as a function of $L_{bol}$ using the sample of 373 Type-1 AGN (both spectro-σ and photo-σ...
samples). Subsequently, we have divided the main sample into different subsamples. We have considered all sources with both soft and hard X-ray detections (361 Type-1 AGN), only the spectro-z Type-1 AGN sample (310 Type-1 AGN) and the spectro-z sample but with detection in both soft and hard bands (304 Type-1 AGN). For each subsample we have estimated the relations between \( k_{\text{bol}} \) and \( L_{\text{bol}} \) in different bands. We have followed a two-step procedure. First, we have binned the sample in order to have approximately the same number of sources in each bin. Then, we have computed the median in each bin and estimated the standard deviation in the median as \( \sigma_{\text{mad}} = 1.4826 \text{MAD/} \sqrt{N} \) (Hoaglin, Mosteller & Tukey 1983). The MAD term is the median of absolute deviation between data and the median of data (\( \text{MAD} = \langle \text{ABS}(d - \langle d \rangle) \rangle \)), where \( d \) are the data). Thereby, we have fitted the median values in the six bins using a third-order polynomial relation, and the 1\( \sigma \) dispersion is obtained using a 3.5\( \sigma \) clipping method. In Fig. 7 the bolometric corrections as a function of the bolometric luminosity in the [0.5–2] and [2–10]keV bands and in the B band at 0.44 μm for the Type-1 AGN sample are presented. The spectro-z sample with detection in both X-ray bands is plotted with the black points, while sources with spectroscopic redshift and an upper limit in the soft X-ray band are represented with black open triangles. The orange symbols represent the photo-z sample. The orange squares represent photometric sources with detection in both X-ray bands, while orange open triangles Type-1 AGN that belong to the photo-z sample with an upper limit in the soft X-ray band. The red solid line represents one best-fitting relation using the entire Type-1 AGN sample. The best-fitting relations using different subsamples are in close agreement. However, in Table 2 the \( k_{\text{bol}}-L_{\text{bol}} \) relations in different bands and for different subsamples are reported for completeness. These relations approximately cover two orders of magnitudes in bolometric luminosities (11 ≤ Log \( L_{\text{bol}} \) [L\(_\odot\)] ≤ 13). Sources plotted with open symbols in Fig. 7 should be considered upper limits, given that these \( L_{\text{bol}} \) are computed with an upper limit in the soft X-ray band. Consequently, these AGN are likely to move towards lower \( k_{\text{bol}} \) and \( L_{\text{bol}} \) in the \( k_{\text{bol}}-L_{\text{bol}} \) plane. The effect on \( L_{\text{bol}} \) cannot be very large, unless the limit on the [0.5–2] keV fluxes is so low that it implies an extremely flat spectrum and therefore a total \( L_X \) (through extrapolation) too high. Moreover, the fact that there is no significant difference between best fits with and without upper limits implies that neither the upper limits distribution nor the number of upper limits in each bin is affecting our results. The \( k_{\text{bol}}-L_{\text{bol}} \) relation in the B band seems to be nearly flat. Moreover, the bins at the lowest bolometric luminosity decrease with the decrease in \( L_{\text{bol}} \), but this could be due to several effects. First, at lower luminosities the statistics is poor and the decrease may be simply a statistical effect. Secondly, luminosities in the B band might be overestimated because of the contribution of the host-galaxy emission. As shown in Hao et al. (2010, 2011) and Elvis et al. (2012), from an analysis of Type-1 AGN in XMM-COSMOS the host-galaxy contribution in optical/near-IR is not negligible and may be substantial for low-luminosity AGN. Therefore, bolometric corrections at 0.44 μm for low-luminosity AGN are more affected by the galaxy emission making these values uncertain and most likely to be underestimated.

We have used optical spectra in order to have an independent estimate of the possible degree of contamination by the host-galaxy light. We generated composite spectra for each bolometric luminosity bin by averaging all the available zCOSMOS spectra included in that bin. To create the composite, each spectrum was shifted to the rest frame according to its redshift and normalized in a common wavelength range, always present in the observed spectral window. Then the composites have been fitted using a combination of two spectra, one representing the central active nucleus, and the other one describing the host galaxy. The sets of SDSS composite spectra from Richards et al. (2003) were chosen as representative of the quasar emission, while a grid of 39 theoretical galaxy template spectra from Bruzual & Charlot (2003, hereafter BC03), spanning a wide range in age and metallicity, were used to account for the stellar component. In the two lowest luminosity bins (10.2 ≤ Log \( L_{\text{bol}} \) ≤ 11.8 L\(_\odot\)), the zCOSMOS composites can be fitted only if, along with an SDSS quasar composite, a significant host-galaxy component is also included. The spectroscopic host component, fitted with a bulge-dominated BC03 template, contributes about 30 and 20 percent to the total luminosity at 4400 Å for the first bin (10.2 ≤ Log \( L_{\text{bol}} \) ≤ 11.5 L\(_\odot\)) and the second bin (11.5 ≤ Log \( L_{\text{bol}} \) ≤ 11.8 L\(_\odot\)), respectively. For both luminosity bins, the quasar template adopted is the ‘dust-reddened’ one (see Richards et al. 2003), the reddest of the composite set, suggesting that, along with host-galaxy contamination, a fraction of our Type-1 AGN is
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6.2 Bolometric correction versus bolometric luminosity for the Type-2 AGN sample

Also experiencing a significant nuclear dust extinction (see also Gavignaud et al. 2006). In the third bin (11.8 ≤ Log $L_{\text{bol}}$ ≤ 12 L$_{\odot}$) the average $M_B$ is of the order of −23. This value is traditionally taken as the threshold separating the Seyfert and quasar regimes (see Vanden Berk et al. 2006). For bins of Log $L_{\text{bol}}$ ≥ 11.8 L$_{\odot}$ there is no detectable host-galaxy component, and the zCOSMOS average spectrum is well fitted with an SDSS quasar composite alone, although again one of the reddest composite.

Summarizing, the median bolometric correction of the two bins at bolometric luminosities less than 11.8 L$_{\odot}$ is likely to be a lower limit. After a proper correction of the $B$-band luminosity, bolometric corrections should increase leading to a median value closer to that predicted by M04 and H07.

We did not find any relation between the bolometric correction drawn from a given band and the corresponding luminosity. This holds for both the soft and hard X-ray bands, and for the $B$ band as well. We have tested whether any relationship between $k_{\text{bol}}$ and luminosity exists by trying all possible permutations, but also in this case the data distribution is flat (see Vasudevan & Fabian 2007 and L11 for similar results).

The same analysis presented in the previous section has been applied to the Type-2 AGN sample. From the main sample of 547 Type-2 AGN, 488 AGN (∼89 per cent) have an estimate of the bolometric luminosity, and therefore an estimate of the bolometric correction is available from the SED-fitting code. For obscured AGN the optical emission is mostly dominated by the host galaxy; hence, we cannot estimate the nuclear luminosity in the $B$ band at 0.44 μm. The 488 objects have been divided into subsamples as already done for Type-1 AGN. The sample is composed of 180 spectroscopic Type-2 AGN with both X-ray detections, 68 objects with spectro-z and [0.5–2] keV upper limits, 161 photo-z Type-2 AGN with both X-ray detections and 79 photo-z Type-2 AGN with [0.5–2] keV upper limits. In Fig. 8 the bolometric corrections as a function of the bolometric luminosity in the [0.5–2] and [2–10] keV bands for the Type-2 AGN sample are presented. These relations cover more than two orders of magnitudes (10 ≤ Log $L_{\text{bol}}$ [L$_{\odot}$] ≤ 12.5).
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Figure 7. Bolometric correction as a function of the bolometric luminosity at [0.5–2] and [2–10] keV, and in the $B$ band at 0.44 μm for the Type-1 AGN sample. The spectro-z sample with detection in both X-ray bands is represented with black points ($N = 304$), while sources with spectroscopic redshift and an upper limit in the soft X-ray band are represented with black open triangles ($N = 6$). The orange symbols represent the photo-z sample ($N = 63$). The orange squares indicate photometric sources with detection in both X-ray bands ($N = 57$), while the orange open triangles Type-1 AGN that belong to the photo-z sample with an upper limit in the soft X-ray band ($N = 6$). The red bins are computed using 373 Type-1 AGN (six bins with about 62 sources per bin). The red points represent the median of the sources in each bin, the bars in the $y$-axis represent the error on the median (1.4826 MAD/√$N_{\text{bin}}$), while the bars in the $x$-axis represent the width of the bin. The red solid line represents the best-fitting relations using a third-order polynomial, while the dashed lines represent 1σ dispersion after performing a 3.5σ clipping. Bolometric corrections have uncertainties of ∼0.2 dex, while $L_{\text{bol}}$ uncertainties are of the order of 0.17 dex, as shown by the typical error bars in the upper-left of each panel.

6.2 Bolometric correction versus bolometric luminosity for the Type-2 AGN sample

The same analysis presented in the previous section has been applied to the Type-2 AGN sample. From the main sample of 547 Type-2 AGN, 488 AGN (∼89 per cent) have an estimate of the bolometric luminosity, and therefore an estimate of the bolometric correction is available from the SED-fitting code. For obscured AGN the optical emission is mostly dominated by the host galaxy; hence, we cannot estimate the nuclear luminosity in the $B$ band at 0.44 μm. The 488 objects have been divided into subsamples as already done for Type-1 AGN. The sample is composed of 180 spectroscopic Type-2 AGN with both X-ray detections, 68 objects with spectro-z and [0.5–2] keV upper limits, 161 photo-z Type-2 AGN with both X-ray detections and 79 photo-z Type-2 AGN with [0.5–2] keV upper limits. In Fig. 8 the bolometric corrections as a function of the bolometric luminosity in the [0.5–2] and [2–10] keV bands for the Type-2 AGN sample are presented. These relations cover more than two orders of magnitudes (10 ≤ Log $L_{\text{bol}}$ [L$_{\odot}$] ≤ 12.5).

Also for the Type-2 AGN sample, the best-fitting relations using
Table 2. Bolometric correction relations in different bands for the X-ray selected Type-1 and Type-2 AGN samples.

| Sample                          | $N$  | $a_1$  | $a_2$  | $a_3$  | $b$  | $\sigma$ | $N^a$ | Band          |
|--------------------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|------|---------|-------|---------------|
|                                |      | $x$    | $x^2$  | $x^3$  |      |          |       | [0.5–2] keV   |
| Spectro + photo                | 373  | 0.239  | 0.059  | −0.009 | 1.436 | 0.26    | 1     | [2–10] keV    |
| [0.5–2] keV detected           |      | −0.011 | 0.111  | −0.007 | 1.308 | 0.26    | 6     | $B$ band (0.44 μm) |
| Spectro + photo                | 361  | 0.248  | 0.061  | −0.041 | 1.431 | 0.26    | 1     | [0.5–2] keV   |
| Spectro [0.5–2] keV detected   |      | −0.026 | −0.037 | 0.075  | 0.760 | 0.22    | 5     | $B$ band (0.44 μm) |
| Spectro                         | 310  | 0.250  | 0.044  | −0.023 | 1.455 | 0.26    | 1     | [0.5–2] keV   |
| Spectro [0.5–2] keV detected   |      | 0.041  | −0.065 | 0.028  | 0.763 | 0.22    | 0     | $B$ band (0.44 μm) |
| Spectro                         | 304  | 0.219  | 0.068  | 0.007  | 1.444 | 0.25    | 2     | [0.5–2] keV   |
| Spectro [0.5–2] keV detected   |      | 0.223  | −0.051 | 0.043  | 0.754 | 0.22    | 0     | $B$ band (0.44 μm) |
| Spectro                         | 488  | 0.217  | 0.009  | −0.010 | 1.399 | 0.27    | 2     | [0.5–2] keV   |
| Spectro [0.5–2] keV detected   |      | 0.230  | 0.050  | 0.001  | 1.256 | 0.25    | 2     | [2–10] keV    |
| Spectro                         | 341  | 0.208  | −0.059 | −0.038 | 1.455 | 0.28    | 0     | [0.5–2] keV   |
| Spectro [0.5–2] keV detected   |      | 0.217  | −0.022 | −0.027 | 1.289 | 0.26    | 0     | [2–10] keV    |
| Spectro                         | 248  | 0.293  | 0.0652 | 0.0029 | 1.470 | 0.25    | 1     | [0.5–2] keV   |
| Spectro [0.5–2] keV detected   |      | 0.386  | 0.071  | −0.010 | 1.395 | 0.23    | 1     | [2–10] keV    |
| Spectro                         | 180  | 0.275  | 0.104  | 0.017  | 1.459 | 0.25    | 0     | [0.5–2] keV   |
| Spectro [0.5–2] keV detected   |      | 0.411  | 0.086  | −0.010 | 1.395 | 0.23    | 0     | [2–10] keV    |

$y = a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + a_3 x^3 + b$, where $x = \log L - 12$ (L is the bolometric luminosity in units of $L_\odot$) and $y = \log [L/L_{\text{band}}]$.

$N^a$ Number of objects removed from the $\sigma$ clipping method.

Figure 8. Bolometric correction as a function of the bolometric luminosity in the [0.5–2] and [2–10] keV bands for the Type-2 AGN sample with AGN best fit. The symbol keys are the same as those in Fig. 7. The sample used to compute the bins is composed as follows: 180 spectroscopic Type-2 AGN with both X-ray detections (black points), 68 objects with spectro-z and [0.5–2] keV upper limits (black open triangles), 161 photo-z Type-2 AGN with both X-ray detections (orange squares) and 79 photo-z Type-2 AGN with [0.5–2] keV upper limits (orange open triangles). The red bins are computed using the 488 Type-2 AGN sample (six bins with about 80 sources per bin).
different subsamples are not significantly different. In Table 2, the \(k_{\text{bol}}-L_{\text{bol}}\) relations in the X-ray bands and for different subsamples are reported.

### 6.3 Bolometric correction versus bolometric luminosity: comparison of the results for Type-1 and Type-2 AGN

Fig. 9 shows the bolometric correction as a function of the bolometric luminosity in the [0.5–2] and [2–10] keV bands and in the \(B\) band with 1σ dispersions after performing a 3.5σ clipping, for the Type-1 AGN sample \((N = 373)\) and for the Type-2 AGN sample with AGN best fit \((N = 488)\), respectively. As a comparison, the predicted curves obtained by H07 and M04 in the soft, hard X-ray bands and in the \(B\) band with 1σ dispersion are also reported. Despite the large scatter, the trend of increasing bolometric correction at increasing bolometric luminosity is confirmed. Moreover, it is evident that the \(k_{\text{bol}}-L_{\text{bol}}\) relations by M04 and H07 are higher than those observed in our (X-ray selected) samples for both Type-1 and Type-2 AGN. The different normalization of the \(k_{\text{bol}}-L_{\text{bol}}\) relation between H07 and M04 has to be ascribed to a different definition of bolometric luminosity. H07 include IR wavelengths as they are interested in an empirical bolometric correction, while \(k_{\text{bol}}\) values in M04 are estimated neglecting the optical–UV–X-ray luminosity reprocessed by the dust and therefore are representative of the AGN accretion power. In this analysis we have also considered only the accretion powered luminosity and thus our values should be compared with the M04 curves. The normalization shift between our values for the \(k_{\text{bol}}-L_{\text{bol}}\) relationships and the M04 curves could be, at least partly, due to a selection effect. Since our sample is hard X-ray selected, it is biased towards X-ray bright objects with lower bolometric corrections. The SED adopted by M04 is typical of luminous optically selected quasars; therefore, it is biased towards higher \(k_{\text{bol}}\) values.

The present results may have interesting consequences. In fact all accretion models, that also include mergers, fail in reproducing the high-mass end of the local BH mass function (see Shankar et al. 2009). However, as suggested by our data, assuming a lower \(k_{\text{bol}}\) than the one inferred by M04 or H07, can ease the tension between models and data (see discussion in Shankar, Weinberg & Miralda-Escude’ 2011). The range of validity of these curves is limited to slightly more than two orders of magnitudes for both Type-1 and Type-2 AGN, where \(L_{\text{bol}}\) ranges from \(10^{10}\) to \(10^{12}\) \(L_{\odot}\) for Type-2 AGN and from \(10^{11}\) to \(10^{13}\) \(L_{\odot}\) for Type-1 AGN. In the overlapping range of bolometric luminosity \((10^{11} \sim 12 \ L_{\odot})\), there is no significant difference between the bolometric corrections for Type-1 and Type-2 AGN. It is also interesting and noteworthy that

---

**Figure 9.** Bolometric correction as a function of the bolometric luminosity in the soft, hard and \(B\) bands for the Type-1 AGN sample \((N = 373, \text{black solid line})\), soft and hard bands for the Type-2 AGN sample with AGN best fit \((N = 488, \text{red solid line})\). The 1σ dispersion after performing a 3.5σ clipping is also reported with the dashed lines. The green and blue lines represent the bolometric correction in the hard band and 1σ dispersion obtained by H07 and M04, respectively.
the \( k_{\text{bol}} - L_{\text{bol}} \) relations for Type-2 AGN seem to be the natural extension of the Type-1 relations at lower luminosities. Even if we can explore a limited range of bolometric luminosities in both AGN samples this relationship can be applied for all AGN across nearly four decades in luminosity.

As a final comment, we want to discuss a comparison between the results presented in this paper and the results on the \( \alpha_{\text{ox}} \) parameter in L10. The fact that \( \alpha_{\text{ox}} \) and \( k_{\text{bol}} \) show a similar behaviour is a natural consequence of the tight correlation between these two parameters, which has been discussed in depth in L10. Indeed, \( \alpha_{\text{ox}} \) is almost independent of the X-ray luminosity at 2 keV, while there is a strong trend with the optical luminosity at 2500 Å (see also Tananbaum et al. 1979; Zamorani et al. 1981; Vignali et al. 2003; Steffen et al. 2006; Just et al. 2007; Young et al. 2009; Marchese et al. 2012), which is a tracer of the bolometric luminosity (more than 70 per cent of \( L_{\text{bol}} \) comes from the optical UV). It is not surprising then to find a correlation between \( k_{\text{bol}} \) (evaluated in the soft and the hard X-ray bands) and \( L_{\text{bol}} \), while no correlation is seen with the X-ray luminosity. In conclusion, this analysis suggests that the fundamental underlying correlation arises between \( k_{\text{bol}} \) and \( L_{\text{bol}} \).

### 6.4 Hard X-ray bolometric correction versus Eddington ratio

Several works in the literature found a trend between the hard X-ray \( k_{\text{bol}} \) and \( \lambda_{\text{Edd}} \), although with the presence of a large scatter (e.g. Vasudevan & Fabian 2007; V09a; V09b; V10; L10), or between \( \lambda_{\text{Edd}} \) and the intrinsic bolometric AGN luminosity (e.g. Trump et al. 2009a, 2011). The scatter is not reduced even considering AGN with simultaneous optical, UV and X-ray data retrieved from the XMM–Newton EPIC-pn and Optical Monitor (OM) archives (see fig. 11 in L10, V09a). In Fig. 10 the hard X-ray \( k_{\text{bol}} \) as a function of \( \lambda_{\text{Edd}} \) for Type-1 AGN is presented. We have computed the ordinary least-squares (OLS) bisector for the \( k_{\text{bol}} - \lambda_{\text{Edd}} \) relation considering the 170 Type-1 AGN with \( M_{\text{BH}} \) estimates from broad lines (there are only two objects with an upper limit in the soft band). The best-

![Figure 10. Hard X-ray bolometric correction versus Eddington ratio for the 170 Type-1 AGN (black points) with BH mass estimate from broad lines. The short-dashed black line shows the best-fitting relation and 1\( \sigma \) dispersion that we found using the OLS bisector algorithm. The typical error bars in the upper-left of the panel are shown for both \( \lambda_{\text{Edd}} \) (0.43 dex) and \( k_{\text{bol}} \) (0.2 dex).](image)

Table 3. Hard X-ray bolometric correction as a function of the Eddington ratio for the X-ray selected 170 Type-1 AGN sample with \( M_{\text{BH}} \) available.

| \((m \pm d\mu_m)^a\) | \((q \pm d\mu_q)^a\) | \(\sigma \ [3.5\sigma \ clipping] \) | Relations |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| 0.752 \( \pm \) 0.035 | 2.134 \( \pm \) 0.039 | 0.32 | OLS bisector |
| 0.392 \( \pm \) 0.055 | 1.799 \( \pm \) 0.063 | 0.27 | OLS(Y)(X) |
| 1.303 \( \pm \) 0.149 | 2.647 \( \pm \) 0.128 | 0.49 | OLS(Y)(X) |

\(^a\) = \((m \pm d\mu_m)\sigma + (q \pm d\mu_q)\sigma \), where \(x = \log \lambda_{\text{Edd}}\) and \(y = \log [L/L_{\text{bol}}\text{[10\,keV]}]\).

No object has been removed from the \( \sigma \) clipping method.

Table 4. Hard X-ray bolometric correction as a function of the Eddington ratio for the X-ray selected Type-2 AGN sample.

| \((m \pm d\mu_m)^a\) | \((q \pm d\mu_q)^a\) | \(\sigma \ [3.5\sigma \ clipping] \) | \(N^b\) | Relations |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| 0.621 \( \pm \) 0.025 | 1.947 \( \pm \) 0.035 | 0.34 | 2 | OLS bisector |
| 0.283 \( \pm \) 0.036 | 1.536 \( \pm \) 0.052 | 0.26 | 4 | OLS(Y)(X) |
| 1.105 \( \pm \) 0.084 | 2.536 \( \pm \) 0.104 | 0.57 | 0 | OLS(Y)(X) |

\(^a\) = \((m \pm d\mu_m)x + (q \pm d\mu_q)\sigma \), where \(x = \log \lambda_{\text{Edd}}\) and \(y = \log [L/L_{\text{bol}}\text{[10\,keV]}]\).

\(^b\) Number of objects removed from the \( \sigma \) clipping method.

\(^c\) Type-2 AGN with \( L_{\text{bol}} \) and \( M_{\text{BH}} \) available.

\(^d\) Type-2 AGN with \( L_{\text{bol}} \) and \( M_{\text{BH}} \) and morphology classification available.

fitting parameters for the \( k_{\text{bol}} - \lambda_{\text{Edd}} \) relation using OLS(Y)(X) (i.e. treating \( \lambda_{\text{Edd}} \) as the independent variable), OLS(Y)(X) (i.e. treating the hard X-ray \( k_{\text{bol}} \) as the independent variable) and the OLS bisector are reported in Table 3. We find that the slope of the bisector relation considering the 170 Type-1 AGN sample is statistically consistent with the slope found considering the subsample of 150 Type-1 AGN in L10.

The same analysis has been performed using 488 Type-2 AGN for which bolometric luminosities and stellar masses are available from the SED fitting, and for the subsample of 144 Type-2 AGN with reliable morphology classification. The data are shown in Fig. 11. The trend of increasing Eddington ratios at increasing bolometric corrections, as found for Type-1 AGN, is confirmed also for Type-2 AGN. The slope of the bisector relation considering the 170 Type-1 AGN sample is marginally consistent at the 3\( \sigma \) level with the slope found considering the 488 Type-2 AGN sample, while it is fully consistent with the slope found considering the 144 Type-2 AGN sample with reliable morphological classifications. Also the normalizations of the \( k_{\text{bol}} - \lambda_{\text{Edd}} \) relations for the Type-1 and Type-2 AGN are in good agreement with each other. The best-fitting parameters for the \( k_{\text{bol}} - \lambda_{\text{Edd}} \) relation using OLS(Y)(X), OLS(Y)(X) and the OLS bisector are reported in Table 4.

The hard X-ray radiation of AGN with a relatively high Eddington ratio is commonly thought to be produced from a disc corona as a result of Comptonization of soft photons arising from the accretion disc (e.g. Haardt & Maraschi 1991, 1993; Kawaguchi, Shimura & Mineshige 2001; Cao 2009). If the bolometric luminosity is the result of accretion disc and corona emission, the fraction of X-ray luminosity over the total luminosity represents the strength of the corona relative to the accretion disc. Therefore, the correlation between the hard X-ray bolometric correction and the Eddington...
ratio for Type-1 and Type-2 AGN indicates that the corona relative to the disc becomes weaker as the Eddington-scaled accretion rate increases.

In Fig. 12 the bolometric luminosities are plotted as a function of BH masses for both Type-1 and Type-2 AGN samples. In the following, we will focus on the sources which are undoubtedly dominated by AGN activity (i.e. we have removed seven sources from the Type-2 AGN sample with Log $L_{bol}$ lower than 0.01). It is worth noting that BH masses for Type-1 and Type-2 AGN are derived in completely different ways (i.e. virial estimators versus scaling relations), and the difference between BH masses (and Eddington ratios) for Type-1 and Type-2 AGN would decrease if a Salpeter IMF were used to compute stellar masses for Type-2 AGN. Stellar masses computed with the Salpeter IMF would increase by a factor of $\sim 1.7$. As a consequence, BH mass and Eddington ratio estimates would increase by a similar factor. There is a continuity between bolometric luminosities as a function of $M_{BH}$ for Type-1 and Type-2 AGN, where few sources have $L_{bol}$ lower than 0.01 $L_{Edd}$. To determine whether Eddington ratios are affected by any significant evolution, we have studied a possible dependence of $\lambda_{Edd}$ on redshift, $L_{bol}$, X-ray luminosities, $M_{BH}$ and column densities. We find no correlation between Eddington ratios with both X-ray luminosities and column densities. The other correlations are discussed in the following.

### 6.5 Luminosity–redshift dependence of the Eddington ratio

We have explored the possibility of a dependence of $\lambda_{Edd}$ on redshift and bolometric luminosity by binning both Type-1 and Type-2 AGN samples in $z$ and $L_{bol}$. The samples are divided into two redshift bins and three $L_{bol}$ bins. The redshift bins are $z < 1.2$ and $1.2 \leq z \leq 2.3$, while the luminosity cuts for each sample are chosen in order to have approximately the same number of objects in each bin. The redshift bins have been defined in order to sample the observed evolution of the hard X-ray luminosity function of AGN determined by Aird et al. (2010, see their fig. 9 and the discussion below). There are 60 Type-1 AGN and 317 Type-2 AGN at $z < 1.2$, while 109 Type-1 AGN and 135 Type-2 AGN are at $1.2 \leq z \leq 2.3$. At $z > 2.3$ the number of AGN is not large enough to be statistically significant. The black histograms in Figs 13 and 14 show the observed $\lambda_{Edd}$ distributions for Type-1 and Type-2 AGN, respectively.

The observed $\lambda_{Edd}$ distributions may be biased by selection effects related to the depth of the X-ray data, and the fall-off below the peak at low $\lambda_{Edd}$ can be partly due to incompleteness of the X-ray selection. We have therefore quantified the impact of this incompleteness on our $\lambda_{Edd}$ distribution for each bin by employing the standard $V_{max}$ method, introduced by Schmidt (1968). The quantity $V_{max}$ represents the maximum volume where an object would still be detectable in our survey given its X-ray luminosity, redshift and column density, and is described by

$$V_{max}(i) = \int_{z_{min}(i)}^{z_{max}(i)} \Omega(L_X(i), z(i), N_H(i))(1+z)^2 \frac{dV}{dz} dz,$$

where $z_{min}$ is the lower boundary of the redshift bin, and $z_{max}$ is the minimum between the upper boundary of the redshift bin and the redshift where the $i$th object would no longer be detectable in the survey. The parameter $\Omega$ is the solid angle covered by XMM–Newton at the flux level $f(L_X(i), z)$, and $dV/dz$ is the comoving volume. The term $(1+z)^2$ describes the AGN evolution, which we have chosen...
Figures 13 and 14 show the distribution of Eddington ratios in bins of luminosity and redshift for the Type-1 AGN and Type-2 AGN samples, respectively. The panels are divided by redshift, with the black histograms showing the completeness-corrected Eddington distributions, while the solid black lines represent the median values corresponding to the completeness-corrected distributions.

To represent a pure density evolution, we have neglected any $N_\text{HI}$ dependence and, therefore, we have estimated the $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ for each object.

The results would be similar if we adopted a different evolutionary law.

The choice of this value is not critical because all objects at $\log L_{(2-10)\text{keV}} > 44 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ have $V/V_{\text{max}} \approx 1$.

\[ f(L_X(i), z) = \frac{L_X(i)(1+z)^{(1-\alpha)}}{4\pi d_L^2}, \tag{4} \]

where $\alpha = 0.7$ (La Franca et al. 2005) and $L_X$ is the de-absorbed X-ray luminosity in the [2–10] keV rest-frame band. To obtain the area at each X-ray flux we have employed the [2–10] keV sky coverage computed by Cappelluti et al. (2009, see their fig. 5), and we have finally integrated over the comoving volume. The $k$ value in the evolutionary term has been chosen to match the observed evolution of the hard X-ray luminosity function of AGN determined by Aird et al. (2010, see their fig. 9). In the low-redshift bin we have considered $k = (2.2, 2.4, 4.3, 7.8)$ for $\log L_{(2-10)\text{keV}} = (42, 43, 43.5, 44) \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, while for $\log L_{(2-10)\text{keV}} > 44 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ we have used 7.8.\footnote{The choice of this value is not critical because all objects at $\log L_{(2-10)\text{keV}} > 44 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ have $V/V_{\text{max}} \approx 1$.}

The $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ distributions weighted by the ratio $V/V_{\text{max}}$ for each object are plotted with the red dashed histograms in Figs 13 and 14. As shown in the figures, the completeness correction does not change significantly the histograms.

First, the distribution of $\log \lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ is nearly Gaussian, especially at high redshift and high luminosity, with a dispersion of the order of $\sim 0.35$ dex for the Type-1 AGN sample and $\sim 0.5$ dex for the Type-2 AGN sample. As expected, the low-redshift/luminosity bins are more sensitive to incompleteness.

Secondly, it is evident that the population of AGN that we are studying is dominated by sub-Eddington accretion rate objects. This result is in contrast with the findings obtained by Kollmeier et al. (2006), where the AGN population is dominated by near-Eddington accretors. The difference, consistently with the trend that we see in our data (see below), might be due to the fact that the bulk of the AGN population studied by Kollmeier and collaborators have higher $L_{\text{bol}}$, typically in the range $L_{\text{bol}} \sim 10^{45–47} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$.

Thirdly, the Eddington ratio increases with luminosity for both Type-1 and Type-2 AGN. In Fig. 15 the median $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ is plotted against the median $L_{\text{bol}}$ for both AGN populations. Different symbols for low redshift (filled circles) and high redshift (open squares) are introduced. There is no clear evolution of $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ with redshift in both redshift bins. At a given $L_{\text{bol}}$, Type-2 AGN seem to have higher $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ than Type-1 AGN at low redshift, while at high redshift the difference is not significant. A summary of the average $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ values and relative dispersions for the Type-1 and Type-2 AGN samples are given in Tables 5 and 6, respectively.

Summarizing, we have found that the Eddington ratio evolves with bolometric luminosity for both Type-1 and Type-2 AGN, while it does not show a clear evolution in redshift if we bin in $L_{\text{bol}}$. Type-1 AGN have median Eddington ratios ranging, on average, from $\log \lambda_{\text{Edd}} \sim -1.6$ to $\log \lambda_{\text{Edd}} \sim -0.6$ across the luminosity scale (with a dispersion of $\sim 0.35$ dex), while the corresponding values for Type-2 AGN range from $\log \lambda_{\text{Edd}} \sim -1.8$ to $\log \lambda_{\text{Edd}} \sim -0.7$ (with a dispersion of $\sim 0.5$ dex).
the Eddington ratio distribution of X-ray selected obscured AGN at

From Fig. 16 it is evident that, for Type-1 AGN, the complete-
samples, each bin contains almost the same number of sources.

6.6 Black hole mass–redshift dependence
of the Eddington ratio

The distribution of the Eddington ratio as a function of BH mass and redshift delivers more significant constraints on the physical distri-
bution of the fueling rates. The observed and $V/V_{\text{max}}$-corrected $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ distributions at a given $M_{\text{BH}}$ and redshift are plotted in Figs 16 and 17 for the Type-1 and Type-2 AGN sample, respectively. For both
samples, each bin contains almost the same number of sources. From Fig. 16 it is evident that, for Type-1 AGN, the complete-
ness limit affects the $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ distribution only at low BH masses in the low-redshift bin. The situation for Type-2 AGN seems to be more complicated, where the low-redshift bins are more affected by incompleteness in all $M_{\text{BH}}$ intervals (see Fig. 17).

Aird et al. (2012) recently claimed that, for any given stellar mass, the Eddington ratio distribution of X-ray selected obscured AGN at

Table 6. Average Log $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ values in $L_{\text{bol}}-z$ bins for Type-2 AGN.

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\text{Log } L_{\text{bol}}^a & \langle \text{Log } L_{\text{bol}} \rangle^b & N_{\text{obs}}^c & N_{\text{cor}}^d & \langle \text{Log } \lambda_{\text{Edd}} \rangle & \sigma \\
\text{erg s}^{-1} & \text{erg s}^{-1} & \text{Number} & \text{Number} & \text{mean} & \text{median} \\
\hline
z < 1.2 & & & & & \\
43.00–44.35 & 44.08 & 106 & 1317 & −1.72 & 0.59 & −1.83 \\
44.35–45.48 & 44.62 & 106 & 234 & −1.41 & 0.50 & −1.49 \\
45.48–46.80 & 45.08 & 105 & 140 & −0.97 & 0.57 & −1.08 \\
1.2 ≤ z ≤ 2.3 & & & & & \\
44.30–45.10 & 44.88 & 44 & 259 & −1.25 & 0.55 & −1.24 \\
45.10–45.52 & 45.35 & 45 & 77 & −1.01 & 0.43 & −0.97 \\
45.52–48.00 & 46.22 & 46 & 62 & −0.71 & 0.46 & −0.74 \\
\end{array}
$$

$^a$Bolometric luminosity intervals.

$^b$Median bolometric luminosity.

$^c$Number of observed objects in each bin.

$^d$Number of predicted objects in each bin employing the $V/V_{\text{max}}$ method.

Log $L_X > 42$ and $z < 1.0$ is well described by a power law. They reach this conclusion by running a variety of Monte Carlo simu-
lations to correct their sample for a number of incompletenesses. Given the correlation between $M_*$ and $M_{\text{BH}}$, the Aird et al. power-

law distribution should be seen as a power law also in bins of $M_{\text{BH}}$. As shown in Figs 16 and 17, we do not see any evidence for such a distribution in most of our redshift and $M_{\text{BH}}$ bins. This is particularly clear for the Type-1 AGN (not included in Aird’s analysis). The only subsample of Type-1 AGN, where a distribution contin-
ually clear for the Type-1 AGN (not included in Aird’s analysis).
Figure 17. Distributions of Eddington ratios in bins of $M_{\text{BH}}$ and redshift for the Type-2 AGN sample. Description as that in Fig. 16. There are $\sim$106 and $\sim$55 objects in each bin at $z < 1.2$ and $1.2 \leq z \leq 2.3$, respectively. The numbers of AGN in the completeness-corrected $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ distributions are 1046, 384 and 262 at $z < 1.2$, while 201, 132 and 65 at $1.2 \leq z \leq 2.3$ from left to right.

Figure 18. Median Eddington ratios as a function of the median $M_{\text{BH}}$ for Type-1 (blue symbols) and Type-2 AGN (red symbols). The filled circles and open squares represent the median $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ for $z < 1.2$ and $1.2 \leq z \leq 2.3$, respectively. The solid lines connect low-redshift bins, while the dashed ones connect high-redshift bins. The error bars on the median are estimated considering the 16th and 84th percentile divided by the square root of the observed number of AGN.

a similar result see also Steinhardt & Elvis 2010). The situation is less clear-cut for the Type-2 AGN, where there are at least two bins at low redshift where the completeness-corrected distributions may suggest the presence of an underlying distribution increasing towards low $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$. However, no clear evidence for such a power law is present in our data in the higher redshift bin for Type-2 AGN. This result is consistent with the findings of Shankar et al. (2011) where it is shown that the $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ distribution at high redshift has to be Gaussian in order to match the observed luminosity function, while at low redshift the power-law distribution is preferred.

The Eddington ratio as a function of $M_{\text{BH}}$ is plotted in Fig. 18 for the Type-1 and Type-2 AGN samples. The two AGN samples show higher $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ at higher redshift at any given $M_{\text{BH}}$. In Shankar et al. (2004) and Shankar (2009) it was shown that an increasing $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ with redshift may yield better results with the low-mass end of the local BH mass function. Shankar et al. (2011) also showed that an increasing $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ with redshift yields very good agreement with the high duty cycles inferred from X-ray studies at $z = 0$ (e.g. Goulding et al. 2010), and with [O III] lines (e.g. Kauffmann et al. 2003; Best et al. 2005). A trend of increasing $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ with redshift has also been found by Netzer & Trakhtenbrot (2007) using a sample of 9818 SDSS Type-1 AGN at $z = 0$. A comparison between their sample and ours is difficult given that we are sampling a different redshift range (only 18 Type-1 AGN have $z \leq 0.75$ in our sample). However, we are in agreement with the result by Netzer and collaborators extending the analysis to higher redshifts and using a sizable X-ray selected Type-1 AGN sample. A summary of the average Eddington ratio values for the Type-1 and Type-2 AGN samples are reported in Tables 7 and 8, respectively.

### 7 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

A homogeneous analysis of the bolometric output and Eddington ratio of 929 AGN at different X-ray absorption levels is presented. Several aspects of the present analysis have been improved with respect to L10 and L11. In particular, the far-IR emission is now

---

**Table 7.** Average Log $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ values in $M_{\text{BH}}$–$z$ bins for Type-1 AGN.

| $M_{\text{BH}}^a$ (erg s$^{-1}$) | $\langle M_{\text{BH}}^b \rangle$ (erg s$^{-1}$) | $N_{\text{obs}}$ | $N_{\text{corr}}^d$ | $\langle \log \lambda_{\text{Edd}} \rangle$ | $\sigma$ | $\langle \log \lambda_{\text{Edd}} \rangle$ |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|
| $z < 1.2$                      |                 |             |                 |                 |        |                 |
| 7.00–8.05                     | 7.75            | 21          | 96              | $-1.31$         | 0.49   | $-1.65$         |
| 8.05–8.48                     | 8.36            | 21          | 26              | $-1.28$         | 0.38   | $-1.32$         |
| 8.48–9.10                     | 8.64            | 18          | 19              | $-1.38$         | 0.46   | $-1.37$         |
| $1.2 \leq z \leq 2.3$         |                 |             |                 |                 |        |                 |
| 7.70–8.34                     | 8.08            | 36          | 79              | $-0.73$         | 0.32   | $-0.82$         |
| 8.34–8.62                     | 8.50            | 36          | 52              | $-0.90$         | 0.33   | $-0.96$         |
| 8.62–9.30                     | 8.80            | 37          | 45              | $-1.06$         | 0.45   | $-1.07$         |

---

**Table 8.** Mean Log $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ values in $M_{\text{BH}}$–$z$ bins for Type-2 AGN.

| $M_{\text{BH}}^a$ (erg s$^{-1}$) | $\langle M_{\text{BH}}^b \rangle$ (erg s$^{-1}$) | $N_{\text{obs}}$ | $N_{\text{corr}}^d$ | $\langle \log \lambda_{\text{Edd}} \rangle$ | $\sigma$ | $\langle \log \lambda_{\text{Edd}} \rangle$ |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|
| $z < 1.2$                      |                 |             |                 |                 |        |                 |
| 5.45–7.70                      | 7.39            | 104         | 1046            | $-1.46$         | 0.60   | $-1.53$         |
| 7.70–8.07                      | 7.89            | 107         | 384             | $-1.85$         | 0.60   | $-1.94$         |
| 8.07–9.300                     | 8.28            | 106         | 262             | $-1.89$         | 0.51   | $-1.96$         |
| $1.2 \leq z \leq 2.3$         |                 |             |                 |                 |        |                 |
| 6.60–8.11                      | 7.83            | 45          | 201             | $-0.91$         | 0.56   | $-1.06$         |
| 8.11–8.47                      | 8.28            | 45          | 132             | $-1.34$         | 0.48   | $-1.40$         |
| 8.47–10.3                       | 8.69            | 45          | 65              | $-1.30$         | 0.39   | $-1.35$         |

---

$^a$BH mass intervals.

$^b$Median BH mass.

$^c$Number of observed objects in each bin.

$^d$Number of predicted objects in each bin employing the V/V$_{\text{max}}$ method.
better constrained thanks to the inclusion of Herschel data at 100 and 160 μm in the SED-fitting code for Type-2 AGN. Our main sample is further extended at fainter magnitudes with the addition of a sizable number of objects with photometric redshift, in order to take bias and selection effects under control. The photometric redshift catalogue is the latest release provided by S11. Moreover, we have increased the coverage in the near-IR including the H-band photometry. BH masses for Type-1 AGN are available for 170 sources computed from virial estimators using different lines width (Mg ii and Hβ). BH masses and Eddington ratios for Type-2 AGN are estimated for 481 objects through scaling relations (Haring & Rix 2004) using a Monte Carlo method in order to account for uncertainties in $M_*$, $L_{bol}$, as well as the intrinsic scatter in the $M_{BH}-M_*$ relation. We have analysed the dependence of $k_{bol}$ on $L_{bol}$ in the $B$ band at 0.44 μm, in the soft and hard X-ray bands and we have compared our results with the predicted curves by M04 and H07. Eddington ratios are studied as a function of hard X-ray luminosities, $M_{BH}$, $L_{bol}$ and redshift for both Type-1 and Type-2 AGN samples taking into account incompleteness effects.

Our main results are as follows.

(i) There is a trend for higher bolometric corrections at higher bolometric luminosities in the [0.5–2] and [2–10] keV bands for both Type-1 and Type-2 AGN samples. The $k_{bol}-L_{bol}$ relations for both H07 and M04 predict higher $k_{bol}$ than what is observed in our X-ray selected AGN samples (both Type-1 and Type-2 AGN). The range of validity of these curves is limited to about two orders of magnitudes for both Type-1 (11 ≤ Log $L_{bol}$/L⊙ ≤ 13) and Type-2 AGN (10 ≤ Log $L_{bol}$/L⊙ ≤ 12). In the overlapping luminosity range ($L_{bol} = 10^{11–12}$/L⊙) there is no significant difference between $k_{bol}$ for Type-1 and Type-2 AGN, and moreover, the $k_{bol}-L_{bol}$ relation for Type-2 AGN seems to be the natural extension of the Type-1 relation at lower luminosities.

(ii) The $k_{bol}-L_{bol}$ relation in the $B$ band is in agreement with the H07 and M04 relations for $L_{bol} > 6 \times 10^{11}$ L⊙. The lowest bolometric luminosity bins do not follow the predicted trend. A fraction of our Type-1 AGN is experiencing a significant nuclear dust extinction, along with host-galaxy contamination (Elvis et al., 2012), and these two effects are sufficient to explain the observed difference.

(iii) We confirm the trend to have higher $k_{bol}$ for higher Eddington ratios for both Type-1 and Type-2 AGN. The same trend has been observed with the bolometric luminosity. This indicates that the emission from the X-ray corona becomes weaker relative to the disc as the Eddington-scaled accretion rate increases.

(iv) The population of AGN is dominated by sub-Eddington accretion rate objects at a given $L_{bol}$.

(v) The distribution of Log $k_{bol}$ is nearly Gaussian especially at high redshift and at high $L_{bol}/M_{BH}$, with a dispersion of the order of ~0.35 dex for the Type-1 AGN sample and ~0.5 dex for the Type-2 AGN sample. As expected, the low-redshift/luminosity bins are more affected by incompleteness.

(vi) The Eddington ratio increases with bolometric luminosity for both Type-1 and Type-2 AGN.

(vii) Eddington ratios show an evolution in redshift if we bin in $M_{BH}$ for both AGN types. If we instead bin in bolometric luminosity $k_{bol}$ do not show any clear evolution in redshift for both AGN types.

We want to emphasize that the $k_{bol}-L_{bol}$ relations derived in this work are calibrated for the first time against a sizable AGN population, and therefore rely on observed redshifts, X-ray luminosities and column-density distributions. The application of these empirical relations offers the opportunity of future developments along several lines of investigation. For example, they could provide important hints for the computation of the BH mass density and AGN bolometric luminosity function. As a final comment, this analysis suggests that the fundamental physical correlation of $k_{bol}$ is with bolometric luminosity and Eddington ratio, rather than with single band luminosities.
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