Facilitation of construction project management through building contracts: A South African perspective on the locally developed suites of contracts

Abstract

This article reviews the depth to which the South African suites of contracts may facilitate the effective implementation of construction project management practices. The hypothesis states that the standard South African forms of contract for building work have evolved to promote control through construction project management processes. The South African suites of contracts are reviewed against the project management office’s (PMO) main focus areas for best practices. This endeavours to establish the conduciveness to facilitate good project management functions on building projects. The main clauses of each contract are compared to the construction project management knowledge areas. Two contracts are reviewed, and it is established that both contracts (General Conditions of Contract for...
Construction Work 2015 and the Joint Building Contracts Committee’s (JBCC) Principal Building Agreement Edition 6.2) provide the employer’s agent (EA) the necessary authority to manage the project for its intended purpose. It became apparent that the contracts may allow certain project management functions to be implemented by placing different emphases on different aspects of the contract. Due to the complexity and uniqueness of each project, the number of standard contract conditions governing a contract are limited. A strong project management function must take place during the planning phases. The contract used influences the way in which the project management functions are applied during the project life cycle (PLC). Thus, the project manager must have an intimate knowledge of the content of the contract in order to implement the desired construction project management functions, as required during each of the PLC stages.
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### Abstrak

Hierdie artikel ondersoek die diepte waarop die Suid-Afrikaanse bou-kontrakte die effektiewe implementering van konstruksieprojekbestuurspraktyke kan faciliteer. Die hipotese verklaar dat die standaard Suid-Afrikaanse kontrakte vir bouwerk so ontwikkeld het om beheer deur konstruksieprojekbestuursprosesse te bevorder. Die Suid-Afrikaanse bou-kontrakte word vergelyk met die projekbestuurskantoor se hoof fokusareas vir beste praktyke. Dit streef daarna om die bevorderlikheid van goeie projekbestuursfunksies op bouprojekte te evalueer. Die hoofklausules van elke kontrak word vergelyk met die konstruksieprojekbestuur kennis areas. Twee kontrakte word aanskou met die doel om vas te stel of beide kontrakte (General Conditions of Contract for Construction Work 2015 and the Joint Building Contracts Committee’s (JBCC) Principal Building Agreement Edition 6.2) die werkgewer se agent die nodige magtiging verleen om die projek te bestuur vir sy beoogde doel. Dit het duidelik geword dat die kontrakte kan toelaat dat sekere projekbestuursfunksies geïmplementeer word deur die klem op verskillende aspekte van die kontrak te plaas. As gevolg van die kompleksiteit en uniekheid van elke projek, is die aantal standaardkontrakvoorwaardes wat ’n kontrak beheer, beperk. ’n Sterk projekbestuursfunksie moet tydens die beplanningsfases plaasvind. Die gebruik van die kontrak beïnvloed die manier waarop die projekbestuursfunksies toegepas word gedurende die projek lewenskies. Die projekbestuurder moet dus ’n intieme kennis hê van die inhoud van die kontrak om die verlangde konstruksieprojekbestuursfunksies te implementeer, soos benodig tydens elke van die projeklewenskies stadium.

**Sleutelwoorde:** General Conditions of Contract for Construction Work (GCC), Joint Building Contracts Committee (JBCC), Principal Building Agreement (PBA), projeklewenskies; konstruksieprojekbestuur.

### 1. Introduction

The literature review builds on a previous article on the general similarities between the building contracts used in South Africa and the construction project management (CPM) themes. This article focuses on the South African suites of contracts conducive for building
projects, namely the General Conditions of Contract for Construction Work Third Edition of 2015 (GCC) and the Joint Building Contracts Committee’s (JBCC) Principal Building Agreement Edition 6.2 (PBA) of 2018.

Because of the number of possible contract suites available, the traditional lump-sum building contracts are used to evaluate the benefits of the contract in managing the construction process (Du Plessis & Oosthuizen, 2018: 152-181).

Building contracts are reciprocal because a contractor undertakes to supply the necessary labour and materials to produce a building in all aspects. In return, the employers agree to pay the agreed contract price. Lump-sum contracts may consist of bills of quantities or provisional bills of quantities, which will influence the tendering procedure and the stakeholders involved (Finsen & Segal, 2018: 18-40; Adriaanse, 2016: 7). A large portion of building contracts are covered by the state of law although not directly referenced in the contract document. A good example is the Construction Regulations 2014, which bestow more responsibility on the employer towards Health and Safety (H&S) requirements. This, in turn, makes it obligatory for the appointment of the H&S consultant on most of the construction projects (Finsen & Segal, 2018: 39-40). It is thus not possible to compile standard contract conditions applicable to each situation.

The objective of this article is to establish the depth to which the two main South African suites of contracts can facilitate the effective implementation of CPM practices. The hypothesis states that the standard South African forms of contract for building work evolved in order to promote control through CPM processes.

This article first defines the objectives of CPM, before highlighting the CPM knowledge areas and their application in construction projects. The objectives of building contracts and the structure of the South African building contracts are subsequently defined, before comparing the South African building contracts to the CPM objectives and CPM knowledge areas. The logical flow is shown in Figure 1:
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Traditionally, the contract comes into effect at the end of stage 4 and the beginning of stage 5 of the construction project life cycle (PLC), as depicted below in Figure 2 (Du Plessis & Oosthuizen, 2018: 165-166). These stages are based on the South African Council for the Built Environment’s (CBE) standardisation of PLC stages (CBE, 2011: 3-6). Figure 2 highlights and compares the ‘traditional’ contract life cycle, the PLC (as per a traditional project management perspective), the Construction PLC (as per CBE/SACPCMP) (SACPCMP is the abbreviation for the South African Council for the Project and Construction Management Professions) and the twelve CPM knowledge areas, in an endeavour to highlight the project management functions that take place before and during construction contract implementation (referenced below).

From Figure 2 and the Process Groups and Knowledge Areas Mapping (PGKAM) (PMI, 2016: 20), it is established that the CPM knowledge areas are emphasised differently during the PLC. It is also clear that a large portion of the PGKAM’s emphasis on planning is placed or located outside the contract. The fundamental objectives of the CPM and the PLC are discussed below before comparing the specific contract conditions to the knowledge areas.

2. **CPM objectives**

The objectives of a construction project must be condensed into a logical document on which an offer can be based. Project management remains a combination of art and science that complement each other (Msengana, 2012: iii). Many commentators refer to the golden triangle, which mainly highlights the relationship between cost, time, and quality management (Knipe, Van der Waldt, Van Niekerk, Burger & Nel: 2002: 18; Burke, 2010: 35; Dobre, 2007: 327; Nicholas & Steyn, 2012: 421). These correlate with one of the contributors to project failures, namely ambiguous requirements by the managing team (Dalal, 2012: 160; Moustafaev, 2015: 10).

Henri Fayol states that management comprises four main functions, namely planning coordination (organising), commanding (leading), and controlling. His interpretation of control is that managers should receive feedback on the process in order to make amendments as

---

1 In 2016, the Project Management Institute (PMI) amended the fourteen CPM knowledge areas to twelve by moving claims management to an annexure to the construction extension to the PMBOK Guide. Safety and environmental management has now been combined as health, safety, security and environmental management (PMI, 2016).
and when required. If there are no effective systems in place, people may easily deviate from the plan (cited in Msengana, 2012: 93).

Control depends on proper planning. Successful projects cannot happen in a vacuum (Cooke & Williams, 2009: 3). A large amount of information is transferred from one stage of the project to another, and from one profession to another. Figure 3 depicts a simplification of this information transfer and relates to the adoption of other industries striving to simplify the process of communication across different phases (Bernstein, 2015: video). Figure 3 indicates that the transfer of information happens (should happen) in such a manner that the party using that information takes ownership thereof and builds on the available information with the objective of sharing it after completion of their tenure.

![Figure 3: The traditional method of information flow from one process to the other (Modified: Bernstein, 2015: video).](image)

Building Information Modelling (BIM) promises to address this challenge and has been successfully implemented in countries such as the United Kingdom. One of the main aims of BIM is to share knowledge efficiently, reducing both time and resources, thus increasing the value of the information (Froise, 2014: 172; Wamelink & Heintz, [n.d.]: 158-159). BIM cuts through the different PLC stages, accumulating information as the model is developed (Eynon, 2016: 8) and supports communication during the construction process (Maritz & Siglé, 2016: 25).
Successful project managers filter the noise of information and transfer the correct amount and quality of information to those involved in the project (Dalal, 2012: 213). With the position of the contract formation established and considering Figure 3, it is concluded that a substantial percentage of the information can be transferred through the contract documentation.

Construction projects not only fulfil stakeholders’ requirements, but also take the geography, site conditions, communities, physical environments, and existing infrastructure into consideration. A unique product is produced, coupled with a high degree of risk, and integrating different disciplines. Many services have become speciality services, thereby increasing the challenge to impose control over the CPM knowledge areas. This subset includes technically advanced disciplines of planning and scheduling, cost management, risk management, document controls, and forensic analyses. These items, together with regulations and jurisdictional requirements, can create an even more complex construction environment, not to mention new materials, equipment, and social political environments that influence all stakeholders. It is important to remember that the inherent complexity of a project is not always apparent at the start of the project. The development team should carefully analyse the project to determine the complexities before confirming commitments for scope, time, quality, safety, and cost. Risk-management procedures should be integrated in this process to minimize any adverse impact on the project. Research shows that decisions made at the front end (e.g., the occupation and functionality of building influences major items such as the size, location and the facilities needed, and so on) of the project are major culprits for construction project failures, which, in many cases, are not considered (PMI, 2016: 1-5; AECOM, 2018: 31-44).

Timely, balanced, and appropriate types of available data are critical to a project manager’s success. Dynamic data management is essential to stakeholders at different stages of a project (Dalal, 2012: 410-434).

The scale and diversity of the industry and its role players are diverse, and clients range from home owners to the private sector or government. A construction project is not typical (Cooke & Williams, 2009: 4). Project-relevant knowledge and information management should be acquired, disseminated, and stored. Although applied technology and methodologies add value to a project, it is the project manager’s interpersonal skills, stakeholder awareness, presentation to management, and an understanding
of the rules that are key. Proper project management is a blend of theory and skills (Van der Waldt & Fox, 2015: 195).

The project management office (PMO) should focus on enforcing best practices through some common practices, namely (PMI, 2016: 6):

- good historical data regarding costs;
- good H&S, security, and environmental practices;
- quality control;
- contract administration;
- subcontractor supplier management, and
- change and claims management.

The main functions of a good project manager, however, include strong planning, pre-contract preparation, good communication and teamwork skills, as well as good administrative skills in terms of contracts. The importance of the urgency and transparency of information demanded by owners are often undervalued (PMI, 2016: 6).

It is concluded that, due to the complexity of construction projects, a certain amount of skills and experience are needed to compile an inclusive contract. The link of good project management practices has, over the years, necessitated contracts to allow for certain basic requirements, as highlighted below. However, the supplementary requirements (transferring information) of the one of a kind product, managed by ad hoc teams in fluctuating circumstances, necessitate the objectives within each building project to be clear. Simplified, the objectives of any project are neatly summarised through the golden triangle.

3. **Knowledge areas and their application**

This section focuses on the effective application of the twelve CPM knowledge areas during the construction process. This may provide adequate or measurable guidelines towards controlling project objectives. Dobre (2007: 327-333) gives a guideline on this by stating that the process of controlling a project comes down to a clear plan about what will be controlled, how it will be controlled, and how much deviation from the plan will be allowed before action is taken. The actions and the methods of spotting these deviations should, however, be decided beforehand.
According to Kerzner (2013: 225), control is a threefold process, namely:

- Measuring – determining the progress through formal and informal reports;
- Evaluating – determining the cause of the deviation and how to act on it, and
- Correcting – taking control to correct an unfavourable trend.

Steyn et al. (2016: 160) summarises project control as follows:

Project control involves anticipating the quality of deliverables, measuring progress and expenditure and identifying undesirable results. During project execution, actual and anticipated outcomes are compared to the project plan and (if needed) actions taken to bring the project in line with the plan. The project manager reports variances and corrective actions to the relevant stakeholders. Project control could include changing the plan in collaboration with stakeholders.

Control in a project involves systems and not people. It is a common challenge that project managers want to control people and not the circumstances within a project. There is a thin line between being in control and micro-management (Msengana, 2012: 94-95). Change management involves the compromise between time, cost, and performance, with alterations to specifications and compromises on quality in order to meet cost and time constraints (Nicholas & Steyn, 2012: 421).

To be able to evaluate the contract conditions, it is vital to understand the CPM knowledge areas. An example might be the difference between financial management and cost management which, although they may seem similar, involve different management functions. Cost management includes cost estimation, budgeting, monitoring and control, as well as the day-to-day managing of the project (PMI, 2016: 63). Financial management focuses more on how the project will be financed and comprises revenue sources and monitoring net cash flows rather than day-to-day expenses of the contracting firm (PMI, 2016: 159). Financial management is thus more applicable to the contractor. Working capital is money needed by the contractor to fund the day-to-day activities, which is, in most cases, funded through financial loans (Cooke & Williams, 2009: 319). Financial management is thus necessary to enable proper cost management on a construction site and usually applies mainly to the contractor.
Due to the amount of information contained in all the CPM knowledge areas, this article uses Project Management Process Groups and Knowledge Areas Mapping (PMI, 2017: 25) together with the CPM Process Groups and Knowledge Areas Mapping (PMI, 2016: 20) to evaluate the forms of contracts. Twelve knowledge areas in total are used to evaluate the conduciveness of the suite of contracts, taking into account the PLC or process groups.

Considering the management of a site, it is in the contractor’s best interest to keep good site records to enable him/her to:

- establish the basis of a claim;
- defend a counterclaim;
- substantiate applications for extensions of time;
- claim payment for extra work not included in the contract, and
- report at progress meetings (Cooke & Williams, 2009: 341).

The aim is thus to evaluate the objectives of contracts against the measuring, evaluating, and correcting measures, as referred to earlier. This assists in evaluating the contracts’ conduciveness to management processes. With the control measures established, the objectives of the South African contracts can be established before evaluating the contracts’ control measures against the CPM knowledge areas.

4. **Objectives of building contracts**

Simplified, a contract is an agreement between two parties, the contractor and the employer (Finsen & Segal, 2018: 1). Lord Diplock (cited in Adriaanse, 2016: 1) describes a standard form of contract as

... an entire contract for sale of goods and work and labour for a lump sum price payable by instalments as the goods are delivered and the work done. Decisions have to be made from time to time about such essential matters as the making of variation orders, the expenditure of provisional and prime cost sums and extension of time for the carrying out of the work under the contract.

As can be concluded from this definition, a building contract is not a simplified agreement of one natural person rendering a building to the required specification at the correct time and at a pre-agreed amount to another natural person. The factors highlighted under section 2 above all prevail, promulgating risk that must be managed.
4.1 Risks and administration

Risk allocation is a major part of any contract and one of the objectives of a contract is to mitigate or allocate the risk. Risks are varied and can be categorised as the:

- unforeseen, such as unexpected ground conditions;
- length of the contract and the changes that may occur during this period;
- number of participants and parties on a project, and
- relationship in which conflict is possible (Adriaanse, 2016: 5-6).

Planning is fundamental for control and involves following steps setting the standards, determining the actual facts, comparing the facts with the anticipated plans (establish variances), taking action, and revising the plan (Cooke & Williams, 2009: 329; Steyn, et al., 2016: 161).

The relationship between the contractor and planning is more extensive than many might realise. Cooke and Williams (2009: 12) state that formal contracts establish administrative arrangements such as notices, payments, delays, compensation, disputes, the type of programme that will be used, how the information will be displayed, and so on.

The main advantage of using standard forms of contracts includes the equitable spread of risk between parties. It saves time on negotiation processes and the tender comparisons are easier. A disadvantage may include the fact that standard forms are cumbersome and complex to develop and may be difficult to understand at first. Some parties may be reluctant to change, if it is required. Change in standard forms usually takes a long time to realise (Adriaanse, 2016: 6-7). Another contributing factor to challenges on projects are changes that have not been captured correctly or that have been omitted from the contract (Jaafar & Aziz, 2013: 29).

A fundamental aspect of contracts is that they stipulate the method and approach to address project change. Contract administration facilitates change through stipulated methods, procedures, and responsibilities (Nicholas & Steyn, 2012: 421; Jaafar & Aziz, 2013: 29).

Appropriate reporting balances formal written and informal verbal reporting, and if done correctly, bestows confidence on the stakeholders (Steyn et al., 2016: 161). The contractor is responsible for the construction processes and for carrying out the work according to the contract. The contractor has a duty to notify the employer if s/he notices faults in the design (Adriaanse, 2016: 151).
From the above discussion, it can be concluded that the major objective of the contract is allocating risk and assigning responsibility, with a strong emphasis on communication. Returning to the basic requirements of quality, time, and cost the next section discusses the risk these factors may pose to these objectives.

4.2 Quality, time, and costs

Control over quality, scheduling, cost, and other critical risk factors should be balanced, in order to result in effective control. An experienced project manager will be able to use contingency reserves and work breakdown structures (WBS) in his/her planning and monitoring processes to set certain targets. Through approaches such as Earned Value (EV), Critical Chain and Critical Path reporting, the project manager can identify the necessary key risk factors (Steyn et al., 2016: 162-170; Nagata, Manginelli, Lowe & Trauner, 2018: 10-12).

The primary objective of a project schedule is to communicate the planned progress so that the project participants can make timely decisions (Nagata et al., 2018: 2). The relationship between the contractor and planning is more extensive than many might realise. Cooke and Williams (2009: 12) state that formal contracts establish administrative arrangements such as notices, payments, delays, compensation, disputes, the type of programme that will be used, how the information will be displayed, and so on.

When a project has challenges or is failing, few stakeholders will take responsibility. People often rather defend themselves than acknowledge their contribution to the problem and thus how to contribute to a situation. Most of the people in business organisation are in favour of collaboration – it is only when things start coming undone that silos are formed. Disengagement occurs over time. The solution to this is not reductionism (reducing complex phenomena into simpler and more understandable pieces), it is a lack of system thinking (Msengana, 2012: 110-112).

From the above, the basic responsibilities stipulated within a building contract may best be summarised as the objectives of teamwork.

4.3 Conclusion

Considering all these complexities, contracts have evolved to be more than agreements between two parties exchanging one deliverable for another. They have become a process of system thinking, where system thinking is defined as a holistic approach to
analysis that focuses on the way in which systems function over time within larger systems (Rouse, 2005: online). It is derived that, because of the amount of information contained within a contract, a large portion of its content is assumed by the parties. Thus, a standard form of contract may influence the particular party’s assumptions towards his/her expectations. The two main South African forms of building contracts are reviewed next.

5. South African forms of building contracts

The two main South African Conditions of Contract are the JBCC Principal Building Agreement Edition 6.2 (JBCC PBA), as published by the Joint Building Contracts Committee in 2018, and the General Conditions of Contract for Construction Works Third Edition (GCC 2015), as published by the South African Institution of Civil Engineering in 2015 (CIDB, 2015: 3-4) (CIDB is the abbreviation for the Construction Industry Development Board). The JBCC PBA has developed from a strong architectural or building background, while the GCC 2015 has a strong engineering background.

5.1 JBCC PBA contract

The Joint Building Contracts Committee (JBCC), established in 1984, published the first JBCC PBA in 1991. It has since published various versions of the contract with the objective of being neutral to all parties and incorporating as many of the requirements of the stakeholders involved as possible. The documents also evolved over the years to accommodate government, mainly the Central Government Department of Public Works’ requirements, as well as the international standards as imposed by the CIDB (Finsen & Segal, 2018: 43).

The contract clauses follow the project execution sequence and sets out enforceable procedures, rights, and obligations when competently managed and administered. It protects the employer, the contractor, and the subcontractors. Specific employer and contractor requirements are recorded in the contract data (JBCC, 2018b: 1). The JBCC PBA stipulates that the main obligations of the employer are, among others, to appoint agents, to hand over the site to the contractor, and so on. The contract also authorises the principal agent, appointed by the employer, to act and bind the employer in terms of the agreement (Finsen & Segal, 2018: 124). The nature of the contract may, however, not be altered.
Table 1 summarises and assists in the comparison between the main sections of the JBCC PBA and the CPM knowledge areas. Many CPM knowledge areas are spread throughout the different sections and clauses of the contract and prove difficult to summarise in a single table. This is due to the sequential structure of the contract.

Table 1: JBCC PBA comparison to CPM knowledge areas

| JBCC PBA | Management themes                   | Construction management                      |
|----------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Sections | Project management                  | Construction management                      |
| 1. Interpretation | Stakeholder management             | Health, safety, security, and environmental management |
|           | Scope management                    |                                              |
| 2. Insurances and securities | Risk management                       |                                              |
| 3. Execution | Procurement management              |                                              |
|           | Resources management                 |                                              |
|           | Communication management             |                                              |
| 4. Completion | Schedule management                  |                                              |
|           | Quality management                   |                                              |
| 5. Payment | Cost management                      | Financial management                         |
| 6. Suspension and termination |                                  |                                              |
| 7. Dispute resolution |                                  |                                              |
| 8. Agreement |                                  | Integration management                       |

Source: JBCC, 2018a; PMI, 2016: 20

At first glance, the contract is structured more in line with the procedure of execution (sequential) than the project knowledge areas. This may lead to a different method of interpretation when project management procedures are applied. The JBCC PBA, excluding the other sections of the tender document, mainly comprises three documents, namely:

- The JBCC PBA;
- The Contract Data, and
- The General Preliminaries (formally known as the preliminaries and general) (Finsen & Segal, 2018: 44-51).
As mentioned earlier, this excludes documentation such as drawings, specifications, tender conditions, and so on, which form part of the contract (Verster, 2006: 7). This confirms that the compilation of a building contract is not an endeavour meant for the novice.

5.2 GCC 2015

As with the JBCC PBA, the GCC 2015 starts with a general clause, followed by the basis of contract, on which the contract is structured. The obligations of the parties follow, before the specific contractual clauses (SAICE, 2015: iv-xiii). At the back of the document, the contract price adjustment schedule (CPAP) and the adjudication board rules are included with five pro-formas given as appendixes (SAICE, 2015: 86-116).

- Appendix 1: Form of offer and acceptance;
- Appendix 2: Contract data;
- Appendix 3: Performance statement;
- Appendix 4: Disclosure statement, and
- Appendix 5: Adjudication board member agreement.

The GCC 2004 replaced the GCC 1990 and COLTO 1998, satisfying the CIDB’s requirements for a standard form of contract, and is suitable to use in procurement documents. The GCC, Second Edition, 2010 (known as GCC 2010) replaced the 2004 version by clearing up responsibilities and providing for a wider range of construction works (SAICE, 2010: iii).

The document is set out in 10 chapters, in which various clauses dealing with similar matters are arranged. The major enhancements from the 2004 version that have been incorporated in the 2010 edition are construction regulations on health and safety. Greater emphasis is placed on programming of the works, a new performance guarantee, clarification of acceleration of the works, and updating dispute resolution to reflect the current way of thinking (Claassen, 2010: 15).

The GCC 2015 edition included more project management-type changes, for instance, contractor’s time risk allowances, which must be indicated in the Works of Programme (SAICE, 2015: ii). If the layout and the terminology of the GCC 2015 is considered, it would seem that the contract is more aligned with the CPM knowledge areas than the JBCC PBA. This is illustrated in Table 2.
Table 2: GCC 2015 comparison to CPM knowledge areas

| GCC 2015 | CPM knowledge areas |
|----------|---------------------|
| Clause number | Clause heading | Project management | Construction management |
| Clause 1 | General | Scope management |
| Clause 2 | Basis of contract | Integration management |
| Clause 3 | Employer’s agent | Stakeholder management |
| Clause 4 | Contractor’s general obligations | Human resources | Health, safety, security, and environmental management |
| Clause 5 | Time-related matters | Schedule management |
| Clause 6 | Payment and related matters | Cost management | Financial management |
| Clause 7 | Quality and related matters | Quality management |
| Clause 8 | Risks and related matters | Risk management |
| Clause 9 | Termination of contract |
| Clause 10 | Claims and disputes | Claims management |

Source: SAICE, 2015; PMI, 2016: 20

6. Comparison to CPM knowledge areas

As mentioned earlier, it is concluded that the GCC 2015 is more closely aligned to the CPM areas, while the JBCC PBA has a more sequential (or a PLC) layout. It is further concluded that the JBCC PBA gives more authority to the bills of quantities, especially regarding the preliminaries and the corresponding Bill No. 1, in contrast to the GCC 2015, which emphasises the conditions of contract.

Table 3 compares the specific clauses of the conditions of contracts to the CPM knowledge areas as well as the PLC. The objective of the table is to summarise the inclusiveness of each contract towards the CPM knowledge areas. This enables the conduciveness towards facilitating and PMO’s best practices to be evaluated. With the amount of information available, the clauses are grouped and listed before evaluating each contract’s contribution.
### Table 3: CPM knowledge area comparison to contract clauses

| CPM knowledge areas         | CBE PLC stages | JBCC                  | GCC                  |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Number | Project management | Clauses highlighted | Clauses highlighted | Clauses highlighted |
| 1     | Integration management | Clause 1, 2.2. | Clause 1, 2.2. | Clause 1, 2.2. |
| 2     | Stakeholder management | Clause 1, 2.5, 3.1.1, 3.2.1, 8.4. | Clause 1, 2.5, 3.1.1, 3.2.1, 8.4. | Clause 1, 2.5, 3.1.1, 3.2.1, 8.4. |
| 3     | Scope management | Clause 1, 2.1.2, 2.1.3, 2.3, 2.4, 4.1.1, 4.2.1, 4.5.1, 4.5.4, 9, 6.4. | Clause 1, 2.1.2, 2.1.3, 2.3, 2.4, 4.1.1, 4.2.1, 4.5.1, 4.5.4, 9, 6.4. | Clause 1, 2.1.2, 2.1.3, 2.3, 2.4, 4.1.1, 4.2.1, 4.5.1, 4.5.4, 9, 6.4. |
| 4     | Schedule management | Clause 1, 5. | Clause 1, 5. | Clause 1, 5. |
| 5     | Cost management | Clause 1, 4.5.4, 4.8.2, 6. | Clause 1, 4.5.4, 4.8.2, 6. | Clause 1, 4.5.4, 4.8.2, 6. |
| 6     | Quality management | Clause 1, 4.11, 7. | Clause 1, 4.11, 7. | Clause 1, 4.11, 7. |
| 7     | Resources management | Clause 1, 3.3.6, 4.3.2, 4.4, 4.8.1, 4.9, 4.10, 4.11, 4.12. | Clause 1, 3.3.6, 4.3.2, 4.4, 4.8.1, 4.9, 4.10, 4.11, 4.12. | Clause 1, 3.3.6, 4.3.2, 4.4, 4.8.1, 4.9, 4.10, 4.11, 4.12. |
### Table 3: Continued

| CPM knowledge areas                                                                 | CBE PLC stages | JBCC Clauses highlighted | GCC Clauses highlighted |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Number: 8                                                                           |                |                          |                          |
| **Communication management**                                                        |                | **PBA:** Clauses 1, 12, 17.5, 2.5, 5.5. **Prelims:** Clause 11.4. | **Clauses 1, 2.1.2, 2.2, 6.3.2.** |
| Number: 9                                                                           |                | **PBA:** Clauses 1, 8-11. **Prelims:** Clauses 3, 4.5, 4.6, 11.7, 11.9, 11.11 | **Clauses 1, 4.7, 8.** |
| **Risk management**                                                                 |                |                          |                          |
| Number: 10                                                                          |                | **PBA:** Clauses 1, 12, 17, 14, 15, 16. Clauses 1, 23, 25, 26, 27. **Prelims:** Clause 1 | **Clause 1, 2.1.1, 4.4, 4.6, 5.6. Claims management is covered in clauses 1, 10.** |
| **Procurement management** (including Claims management)                             |                |                          |                          |
| Number: 11                                                                          |                | **PBA:** No specific clauses **Prelims:** Clauses 4.2, 11.3, 11.6-11.8. | **Clauses 1, 3.2.4, 5.4, 8.4, 8.5. No particular clauses for Environmental management.** |
| **Health, safety, security and environmental management**                            |                |                          |                          |
| Number: 12                                                                          |                | **PBA:** Refer to item 4 above | In conjunction with item 4, most of the clauses pertain to reporting and clauses that may affect the contractor’s cash-flow. Some clauses, although not limited to that, are highlighted: Clauses 4.3.2, 6.5.3, 6.7.1, 6.10. |

Sources: PMI, 2016: 20; PMI, 2017: 25; JBCC, 2018: 1-30; Finsen & Segal, 2018: 512-525; SAICE, 2015: 1-116
With the amount of data contained within each clause highlighted above, the reader may find value in referring to the forms of contract for more information. The main outcomes from the above table are highlighted below.

6.1 Integration management

JBCC PBA: Throughout the contract, such obligations are given to the principal agent who shall, on behalf of the employer and other agents, ensure that the contractor is provided with the required information timeously. The preliminaries also equip the principal agent through clauses 1 and 5 to arrange progress meetings and technical meetings, whereby a large portion of the stakeholder management functions can be managed (Finsen & Segal, 2018: 2, 4).

GCC 2015: Throughout the contract, integration management promoting clauses are found. General items are defined as items stipulated in the pricing data relating to general obligations, site services, facilities, and/or items that cover elements of the cost of work, which are not considered as proportional to the cost of the permanent works (SAICE, 2015: 3). Interestingly, the default governing law is specified as the South African law, except if otherwise specified (SAICE, 2015: 7).

6.2 Stakeholder management

JBCC PBA: No specific clause deals explicitly with stakeholder management. However, it is dealt with throughout the JBCC PBA with some specific clauses in the preliminaries.

GCC 2015: In the contract, certain definitions can be attributed to certain stakeholders in the contract. Arrangements between the contracting parties are given at the beginning of the document. The extent of their authorisation shall be by means of a written notice specifying limits and identifying the person or office holder (Clause 1.2.3) (SAICE, 2015: 5).

The two South African contracts, however, still have a strong connection to the South African law, with privity being between the employer and the contractor. Both contracts specify that the employer will appoint an agent to act on his/her behalf.
6.3 Scope management

JBCC PBA: Although clause 12 stipulates specific obligations towards the parties, supplementary documentation such as specifications is needed to assist management processes (JBCC, 2018a: 10-11). The preliminaries also provide for additional items to be added in its Section C (Finsen & Segal, 2018: 512-513).

GCC 2015: The scope of works is defined as the document that specifies and describes the works that are to be provided, and any other requirements and constraints relating to the manner in which the work is to be carried out (SAICE, 2015: 2-5).

6.4 Schedule management

JBCC PBA: On the second page of the JBCC PBA, a guide to actions is listed against specific time periods and linked to the applicable clauses in the contract (JBCC, 2018a: 2). Obligations towards the schedule are also available in clause 12 (JBCC, 2018a: 10-11). The JBCC PBA does not, however, give specific guidelines on the schedule’s design like some of the other forms of contracts. The preliminaries are also parched on this subject, with most of the obligations set out in the JBCC PBA.

GCC 2015: The contract devotes an entire clause to time and related matters. The clause starts by mentioning how time calculations will be done, considering the starting date of such calculation and special non-working days (Clause 5.1). Clauses 5.2 to 5.4 are concerned with the commencement date, which is subject to the instruction by the EA, the submission of the required documentation by the contractor, and the necessary access to the site being given (SAICE, 2015: 21-23). The contract also stipulates the minimum requirements for such a programme and the reporting thereof (SAICE, 2015: 23-24).

6.5 Cost management

JBCC PBA: Many clauses throughout the document pertain to cost management, with clauses 24-26 being more specific towards payments, and so on. The preliminaries also have two clauses pertaining to cost management, although they are more inclined towards financial management (Finsen & Segal, 2018: 2, 5).

GCC 2015: An entire clause is dedicated to payments and costs, supplemented by the remainder of the document. The arrangements for payments, variations and day works, and more specific clauses pertaining to payment of items are specified in the contract and not in the bills of quantities.
6.6 Quality management

JBCC PBA: Quality is interwoven in many of the clauses contained in the contract and goes hand in hand with scope management. The JBCC PBA mainly allows the bills of quantities together with the specifications, drawings, and other supplementary documentation to specify the required quality (Maritz & Siglé, 2016: 16). The preliminaries do, however, have a specific clause pertaining to quality (Finsen & Segal, 2018: 4-5).

GCC 2015: An entire clause is dedicated to quality, supplemented by the remainder of the document. All the quality specifications and inspections on the contracts amount to the final approval certificate issued by the EA stating the date on which the works were completed and all defects corrected in accordance with the contract. This is precluded by the defects liability period, which is defined as the period stated in the contract data, commencing from the issue of the certificate of completion, or certificates of completion in the event of more than one certificate having been issued for different parts of the works, during which the contractor has both the right and the obligation to make good defects in the materials, plant, and workmanship covered by the contract (SAICE, 2015: 2-3).

Please see sections 5.3.6 and 5.3.9, which directly relate to quality management.

6.7 Resources management

JBCC PBA: Resources management is mostly defined within the execution section of the document. The preliminaries do, however, stipulate some practical arrangements relating to some specific resources such as water supply, and so on (Finsen & Segal, 2018: 521-522). Most of the responsibility, however, falls on the main contractor.

GCC 2015: Most of the responsibility falls on the contractor. The standards for equipment and appliances may be set, with subcontractors being subject to approval; certain information may be requested, e.g. Clause 4.3.2 states that the contractor may be asked to present proof to the EA with regard to duties, taxes, levies, and contributions, as required by legislation applicable to the work and the particular contract (SAICE, 2015: 1-4, 14-15). Through clauses 4.10 to 4.12, the EA receives greater authority or control over some resources of the contractor, albeit limited (SAICE, 2015: 19-20).
6.8 Communication management

JBCC PBA: Communication arrangements are summarised on page two of the JBCC PBA document (JBCC, 2018a: 2). Communication is further stipulated throughout the contract and only some of the applicable clauses have been highlighted in Table 1.

GCC 2015: Throughout the contract, communication is highlighted, with no specific clause dedicated to it. As with the JBCC PBA, specific definitions of communication are given (SAICE, 2015: 5-6). Clause 6.3.2, for instance, highlights the fact that any order for variations should be in writing (SAICE, 2015: 37). The methods and time related to notices and other communications are also given within the specific clauses.

6.9 Risk management

JBCC PBA: An entire section of the document is dedicated to insurances and securities, with other risks supplemented with other clauses throughout (JBCC, 2018a: 7-9).

GCC 2015: An entire clause is devoted to risk management, with other clauses acting as supplementary clauses. Minimum insurances and allowance are made for any additional insurances that may be required (SAICE, 2015: 60-67).

6.10 Procurement management (including claims management)

JBCC PBA: The most powerful procurement management tool available to the employer is the procurement and appointment of a competent contractor. Procedures are given, with an impartial agent appointed. Prevention of disputes is possible, but not explicitly put on paper. The execution sections mainly deal with procurement arrangements, after the contractor has been appointed. Clauses 14 to 16 deal with subcontractors and direct contractors and require the main contractor to forward proof if entered into a nominated or a selected subcontractor agreement (JBCC, 2018a: 13-15).

GCC 2015: An entire clause is devoted to the claims, with other clauses acting as supplementary clauses. The preface of the contract indicates that the GCC 2015 should be used in conjunction with the CIDB’s Standard for Uniformity in Construction Procurement and in conjunction with SANS 1921 (ii). This portion of the PLC falls outside the implementation phase, but, as already established, it influences the implementation phase. Clause 2.1.1 relates to this matter, where the relevant information must be supplied to the contractor to make an informed offer (SAICE, 2015: 8). The contract further devotes an
entire clause to claims and disputes in Clause 10. The contractor may claim for both extension of time and compensation (SAICE, 2015: 80).

A large portion of procurement and prevention of claims is contained in the specifications and/or preambles. This mainly relates to the quality of the goods that must be procured and constructed. Well-written specifications (Maritz & Siglé, 2016: 29)

- are compatible with the form of contract;
- state the clear-cut responsibility for design;
- have internal consistency, both technically and contractually;
- have the capacity to support the preparation of a balanced tender for the work to be performed, and
- state clear integration and co-ordination of structural elements with installation of services.

6.11 Health, safety, security and environmental management

JBCC PBA: The contract does not explicitly address health, safety, security, and environmental management. It does, however, address it through the preliminaries and allows specifications and additional requirements to be incorporated in the contract conditions (Finsen & Segal, 2018: 3-7).

GCC 2015: Some reference is made to particular H&S matters, but supplementary documentation is needed. No specific clauses for H&S are mentioned and legislation applies. The same applies to environmental issues and a very similar stance as per the JBCC PBA is taken. The possession of site is set out in Clause 5.4 with the access to site specified in the contract data (SAICE, 2015: 22).

6.12 Financial management

JBCC PBA: The principal agent has limited control over the contractor's financial management. Clause 12, however, does allow the principal agent to scrutinise the projected cash-flow against the actual cash-flow and to request mitigation plans if required. Other items that may assist the contractor are shorter valuation intervals, payment for materials on and off site as per Clauses 25.4 and 25.5, and shorter payment periods.

GCC 2015: As per the JBCC PBA, the EA has limited power over the contractor’s financial management, except for requesting certain information and a procedure to assist the contractor with his cash-flow. The GCC 2015 is, however, silent on the frequency of the
payment certificates, but it can be specified in the contract data (SAICE, 2015: 36-51).

7. Conclusion

The objective of this article was to review the conduciveness to which the South African suites of contracts facilitate CPM practices. The hypothesis states that the standard South African forms of contract for building work promote control through construction project management processes.

Considering the PMO main focus areas, the conduciveness of the contract is evaluated based on the above evidence (PMI, 2016: 6):

• Good historical data towards costs: Both contracts relay the accuracy of the Bills of Quantities and the processes outside the contract conditions. They do, however, allow the employer’s agents to enforce the measure, as stipulated in the contract data. A large portion of the success of this area relates to planning beforehand.

• Good health and safety, security and environmental practices: The contract does allow for enforcement of the area, as highlighted in section 5.3.11. The success of this area depends on adequate planning.

• Quality control: As noted earlier, quality is clearly emphasised in the conditions of the contracts, albeit emphasised differently between the JBCC PBA and the GCC 2015. Proper planning is needed to understand the relationship between the contract conditions, the specifications, and the Bills of Quantities.

• Contract administration: The basic contract administration is very clearly stipulated in the contract conditions and can be supplemented in the allowables of the contracts. This includes items such as minutes, and progress and cash-flow reports, which are stipulated in the contract conditions.

• Subcontractor supplier management: Both contracts allow for subcontracting and a certain amount of control is given to the employer. The JBCC PBA has specific guidelines for the nominated and selected subcontractors, with prescribed forms of contracts, although it is relatively silent on direct contractors. The GCC 2015, on the other hand, requires the employer to approve all subcontractors.

• Change and claims management: As established, construction projects are complex and thus changes during the execution phase are inevitable. Both contracts are specific
on the obligations of the parties and give specific guidelines for reaction to instruction and notices. Although claims avoidance is key, both contracts have specific and clear procedures if and when claims occur.

The JBCC PBA may seem to be the shortest form of contract, if only the contract conditions are considered. However, it addresses most of the management knowledge areas and allows the incorporation of supporting documentation. An experienced principal agent (project manager) will be able to use the contract effectively in the management of the construction project. When compared to the other contracts, it appears to correspond to the least specific project management requirements. For instance, it has less specific requirements in terms of the programme than the GCC 2015 and allows the preliminaries to fill the gaps with regard to legislation, while allowing the contract to be kept standard.

It is noticeable that the GCC 2015 is written for South Africa, when it refers to the Health and Safety Act and the fact that South African law will be deemed to be the governing law if no other is specified. It addresses most of the management knowledge areas comprehensively. For instance, the GCC 2015 makes provision for the EA to instruct the contractor to remove any incompetent person from the works (SAICE, 2015: 20). The GCC 2015 is also specific about the programme and gives the employer’s agent the authority to accept or reject the programme.

From the above analysis, it may be concluded that both contracts give the employer’s agent ample power to manage the project to its intended purpose. The hypothesis is subsequently proven. Through the review, it has, however, become apparent that the contracts may allow certain project management functions to be implemented, by placing different emphases on different aspects of the contract. A very strong project management function must still take place during the PLC. The construction project manager must have an in-depth knowledge of the forms of contract to enable him/her to implement his/her style of management.

It is recommended that the two conditions of contract be evaluated in order to establish any alternative consideration on managing the building contract. Knowledge transfer and the management thereof should be investigated as well as the influence it has on the execution of the project.
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