Integration of tree allometry rules to treetops detection and tree crowns delineation using airborne lidar data
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Airborne laser scanning (ALS) has recently gained increasing attention in forestry, as ALS data may facilitate the efficient assessment of forest inventory attributes and ecological indicators related to forest stand structure. This paper presents a novel workflow for individual tree detection and tree crown delineation using ALS data. The developed point-based approach included several tree allometry rules on permissible tree heights and crown dimensions to increase the likelihood of detecting the actual tree profiles. The accuracy of the method was assessed in a heterogeneous forest with a complex stand structure in Slovakia (Central Europe). ALS measurements were taken using a RIEGL Q680i scanner at 700 m of height with a point density of 20 echoes per m². The ground reference data included the measured positions and dimensions of 1332 trees in nine plots distributed across the region. We found that the number of individual trees detected by the algorithm using ALS data was systematically underestimated by 34 ± 15% relative to the reference data. The delineated crown coverage was underestimated by 2 ± 6% as well, but the latter difference was not statistically significant (p>0.05).
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Introduction

In recent years, airborne laser scanning (ALS), also referred to as airborne light detection and ranging (LiDAR), has become established as a novel technology for estimating forest inventory attributes (e.g., tree or stand height and diameter, basal area, volume – Hyyppä & Inkinen 1999, Míkita et al. 2013). The capability of ALS to penetrate tree crowns enables the collection of data on tree and stand characteristics that would otherwise require on-site measurement (Sheridan et al. 2015). Moreover, the recognition that stand structural diversity has a positive effects on the quality of most ecosystem services has further fostered the application of new remote sensing approaches to stand-structure mapping (Bottalico et al. 2014). In general, there are two broad groups of forest inventory methods based on ALS data: area-based approaches (ABA) and individual tree detection approaches (ITD).

The area-based prediction of forest attributes relies on the statistical dependency between the field-measured and ALS-derived variables (Maltamo et al. 2007), i.e., forest attributes are regressed against the ALS-derived metrics. Such statistical relationships can be approximated using linear models (Means et al. 2000), non-parametric approaches including nearest-neighbors imputation (Andersen et al. 2011), linear mixed effects models with random stand-level intercepts (Vauhkonen et al. 2010) or Bayesian methods (Hernández-Marín et al. 2007). ABA methods have been used to assess forest attributes for nearly 20 years (Naesset 1997, Hyyppä et al. 2007, Xu et al. 2014), though their reliability in terms of information on tree species, tree size distribution and the number of trees still remains limited (Hollaus et al. 2014).

ITD methods involve a sequence of steps that includes tree detection, feature extraction, and estimation of tree attributes (Vauhkonen et al. 2014). Direct detection of individual trees and assessment of tree heights usually involves a raster-based or point-based techniques (Packalén & Maltamo 2007, Lindberg & Hollaus 2012), whereas tree or stand parameters are usually inferred indirectly (Tuominen et al. 2014). For example, tree or stand diameters are estimated based on tree height, crown size or stem density using allometric models, whereas tree or stand parameters are estimated based on tree height, crown size or stem density using allometric models. The precision of estimates of tree and stand volume, which are the primary variables of forestry interest, ultimately depends on the accuracy of the underlying characteristics, and is affected by the accumulation of both detection and estimation errors (Maltamo et al. 2009).

Although numerous tree-level algorithms have been reported in the literature, their accuracy is still inadequate for ITD methods to be applied in forest inventories (Vauhkonen et al. 2014). Many approaches have been developed to detect individual trees based on ALS data. Overviews were provided by Vauhkonen et al. (2011b), Kaartinen et al. (2012), Koch et al. (2014), and Eysn et al. (2015). Typically, the smoothed canopy height models (CHM) or laser point clouds are used for local maxima detection and expansion (Koch et al. 2006, Zhang et al. 2010).
of point-based workflow for detecting indi-

gent trees and delineating their crowns based on ALS data. The proposed algo-

rithm attempts to improve several short-

comings of the current extraction methods through the following steps:

• the algorithm uses the complete informa-

tion contained in ALS data in all pro-

cedures of tree detection workflow, and op-

timizes the computationally demanding operations by tiling and thinning tech-

niques applied on the raw ALS data;

• treetops detection and tree crowns delin-

eation is done iteratively, and each iter-

ation includes tests for treetop identifica-

tion based on tree allometry rules, aiming to ensure that the permissible spatial and the dendrometric structure of a forest stand and a tree are not violated, and that the likelihood of falsely identified trees is reduced;

• users can modify a number of parameters and customize the algorithm for match-

ing specific stand conditions and/or meet-

ing specific objectives.

The presented algorithm is implemented in the reFLex (remote Forest Land ex-

plorer) software, which was developed by the National Forest Centre, Slovakia. The

objective was to develop an easy-to-use application to be employed in the forestry practice.

Materials and methods

Algorithm description

The algorithm for treetop detection and
tree crown delineation includes five con-

nected procedures which are described in

detail in the following sub-chapters.

The input file is a classified point cloud con-

taining ground and vegetation classes. The

initial procedures are applied to: (i) divide

the points into a 3-dimensional regula-
tiles (Tiling procedure); (ii) calculate the

absolute height above ground for each point (Normalization procedure); and (iii) reduce the number of points in the input file by applying a minimum tree height threshold (Height restriction procedure). These operations yield a point cloud that is further used for an iterative search of treetops and tree crowns (Finding the local maxima, Geo-Dendrometric test, Delinea-
tion of tree crowns). Finally, the outputs of all procedures are exported to point and polygon vector files in the ESRI shape (shp) format.

Point cloud tiling and normalization

The tiling process can be regarded as the

raw point cloud to a regular 3-dimensional tiles. This procedure is applied to efficiently use the computer memory and allow for parallel processing of points allocated to the tiles. The user-defined tile size (TS) is a variable that can significantly affect output accuracy.

The normalization of raw point cloud was applied to calculate the absolute height above ground (hnorm) for each point in each tile (eqn. 1):

\[ h_{norm} = z_{max} - z_{min} \]

where \( h_{norm} \) is the normalized height of points in the tile (in m), \( z_{max} \) is the elevation of points in the tile (m a.s.l.), \( z_{min} \) is the elevation of the lowest point in the tile interpolated from the three adjacent tiles (m a.s.l.).

Height restriction

The height restriction procedure defines the minimal height (m) of trees to be iden-
tified, thereby all points below this thresh-

old are discarded. This operation reduces the initial number of points and the re-

quired computation time, and defines a shortest tree to be identified in the next steps.

Finding the local maxima

A moving-window analysis (Frank 2005, Longley et al. 2005) is applied to search iteratively for local maxima (as presumed treetops) in the processed point cloud. The search is performed in an area covering eight neighboring tiles (fewer at edge loca-
tions). The detected local maxima are referred to as the theoretical treetops (\( T_{th} \)), and then subjected to a geo-dendrometric (GD) test.

Geo-dendrometric test

As part of the local maxima detected in the previous operation might not be indica-
tive of true treetops, an additional test is applied to select a subset of \( T_{th} \) that is con-
sidered to include the real treetops. We conceived a set of dendrometric criteria which define a permissible tree and stand structure in terms of tree distribution, height relationship between trees, and the relationship between tree height and crown dimensions. The values of such crite-
ria can be derived from ground-sample data collected in the evaluated area or taken from literature on tree allometry. The \( T_{th} \) that pass the GD test conditions are referred to as true treetops (\( T_{true} \)). The remaining \( T_{th} \) become false treetops (\( T_{false} \)) and are processed along with the remain-

sing points in a cloud in the next operations of the workflow. The GD test consists of the following steps:

(a) Testing for height differences between trees. A circular test area with radius that approximates the ratio of mean crown radius to tree height in the stand (\( cr_{mean} \)) is created around each \( T_{th} \) (Fig. 1a), and the presence of other \( T_{th} \) within the test area is evaluated. The size of the test area \( R_{test} \) (m) is defined as (eqn. 2):

\[ R_{test} = \frac{2 \pi}{L_{test}} \frac{R_{true}}{cr_{mean}} \]

where \( R_{test} \) is the tree height of the theo-

tical top (m), and \( cr_{mean} \) is a user-defined estimate of the ratio of mean crown radius to tree height in the investigated forest. If no additional \( T_{th} \) occurs at a distance < \( R_{test} \), such \( T_{th} \) is accepted as a real treetop and is made \( T_{true} \) (Fig. 1b). Contrastingly, when others \( T_{th} \) occur within the \( R_{true} \), such \( T_{th} \) are marked as \( T_{test} \) and tested for height differences (Fig. 1c). The rationale underlying this test is that if the heights between the two tested \( T_{th} \) are convex, such \( T_{th} \) represent two treetops. In the opposite case, the lower \( T_{th} \) is discarded, and only the higher \( T_{th} \) is marked as a real treetop, while the discarded \( T_{th} \) is considered as a part of crown of the higher \( T_{th} \). To decide which \( T_{test} \) in the tested pairs is the real treetop, the normalized heights (\( h_{norm} \)) connecting the respective pair of \( T_{th} \) are evaluated (Fig. 2a). The next step requires a customized value that approximates the ratio of mean tree height differences to tree height in the investigated forest (\( h_{diff} \)). Then, the limit \( h_{lim} \) (m) is calculated for each lower \( T_{test} \) as (eqn. 3):

\[ h_{lim} = \frac{2 \pi}{L_{lim}} \frac{R_{true}}{h_{diff} \times cr_{mean}} \]

where \( h_{lim} \) is the limit of the test (m), \( T_{lim} \) is the tree height of the lower tested top (m) and \( h_{diff} \times cr_{mean} \) is the estimate of the ratio of mean tree height differences to tree height in the investigated forest.
Finally, if at least one $h_{true}$ between the evaluated pair of $T_{true}$ is below $h_{true}$, both tested $T_{true}$ are accepted as real treetops (Fig. 2b). In the opposite case, only the higher $T_{true}$ is considered as a real treetop (Fig. 2c).

(b) Test of the horizontal and vertical distance between trees. The horizontal distance between trees is calculated in order to discard false treetops situated in the crowns of other trees. First, the distance to the closest $T_{true}$ is calculated for all new $T_{test}$ (i.e., those appearing in the second and subsequent iterations of the moving-window-based search for the local maxima – Fig. 3a). The next step requires the maximum permissible crown width ($cw_{max}$ – crown width expressed as a proportion of tree height) to be customarily established for the investigated forest. Then, the limit $d_{lim}$ (m) is calculated for each $T_{true}$ (eqn. 4):

$$d_{lim} = Th_{true} \cdot cw_{max}$$

where $d_{lim}$ is the limit of the test (m), $Th_{true}$ is the tree height of the true top (m), $cw_{max}$ is the estimate of the ratio of maximum crown diameter to tree height in the evaluated forest. The test assumes that no tree-top is allowed to occur within the distance $d_{lim}$ around any $T_{true}$. The case of trees growing in the understory is described below.

The vertical distance between trees is tested to discard false treetops situated in the crowns of other trees, and to capture the trees situated under the canopy. The test requires the user to specify the maximum crown length in the investigated forest, in terms of crown length proportion of tree height ($cl_{max}$). Then, the limit $l_{lim}$ (m) is calculated for each $T_{true}$ (eqn. 5):

$$l_{lim} = Th_{true} - (Th_{true} \cdot cl_{max})$$

where $l_{lim}$ is the limit of the test (m), $Th_{true}$ is the tree height of the true top (m), $cl_{max}$ is the estimate of the ratio of maximum crown length to tree height in the investigated forest. This test assumes that a tree-top can occur under the crown of any $T_{true}$ (Fig. 3b, Fig. 3c).

**Delineation of tree crowns**

Each $T_{true}$ is assigned to its central crown part (CCP), which is a circle of diameter equal to the tile size (TS). Then, the peripheral crown parts (PCP) of the point cloud are repeatedly assigned to the nearest CCP until they meet any point already assigned to any other CCP or until they reach the limits for assigning new crown parts (described below). A height limit ensures that
For biodiversity assessment, a minimum tree height parameter was set with respect to the conventional forest definitions by IUFRO and FAO to 5 m. Limits for geo-dendrometric test and crown delineation were estimated based on field sample data. The ratio of mean crown radius to tree height ($CR_{max}$) was set to 0.15, the ratio of mean tree height differences to tree height ($hd_{max}$) was 0.1, the ratio of maximum crown width to tree height ($cw_{max}$) was 0.4, and the ratio of maximum crown length to a tree height ($cl_{max}$) was set at 0.7.

Data sources

Study area description

The research was conducted in the Forest Enterprise of the Technical University in Zvolen, central Slovakia (48° 37′ N, 19° 04′ E – Fig. 4). The forest area covers 9964 ha and its prevalent aspects are south, east and south-west. The lowest elevation is at Jalná (280 m a.s.l.) and the highest at the Lavrín peak (1150 m a.s.l.). The territory includes oak, beech-oak, beech, fir-beech and spruce-fir-beech forest vegetation zones.

ALS data

The ALS data used to test the applicability of the presented workflow were acquired in April 2012 using a RIEGL Q680i scanner. The average flying altitude was 700 m. The instrument operated at pulse rate frequency of 320 kHz, with a 122 Hz scan frequency and scan angle of ± 50 degree. The obtained laser data covered the whole study area and had an average density of laser hits of 20 points per m². From each emitted pulse, a maximum of seven returns were recorded. The point ratios were 56% for the first echo, 21% for the second, 13% for the third, and 10% for other echoes.

Ground reference data

The ground data were obtained by a terrestrial survey in a part of the study area. The survey was carried out in nine reference plots (RP) covering a total area of 3.3 ha (Fig. 4), which represented various relief slopes, forest stands at different development stages, and vertical structures. Most tree species occurring in the region were represented in the RPs. The species composition was dominated by Norway

Tab. 1 - Description of measured stand data in the reference plots (RP).

| Code | Area (ha) | Number of tree species | Conifers (%) | Mean height (m) | Mean diameter (cm) | Volume (m³ ha⁻¹) | Slope (%) |
|------|-----------|------------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------|
| RP1  | 0.50      | 6                      | 8            | 26.67          | 32.55             | 429.16          | 25       |
| RP2  | 0.30      | 6                      | 43           | 27.27          | 34.73             | 611.49          | 33       |
| RP3  | 0.25      | 7                      | 61           | 26.64          | 37.75             | 446.25          | 5        |
| RP4  | 0.25      | 5                      | 73           | 32.72          | 43.57             | 622.12          | 6        |
| RP5  | 0.25      | 3                      | 1            | 26.91          | 26.68             | 384.45          | 37       |
| RP6  | 0.25      | 3                      | 80           | 27.31          | 44.31             | 617.80          | 22       |
| RP7  | 0.25      | 5                      | 50           | 23.70          | 36.60             | 508.44          | 22       |
| RP8  | 1.00      | 6                      | 75           | 28.59          | 43.37             | 507.18          | 25       |
| RP9  | 0.25      | 1                      | 0            | 29.20          | 35.98             | 456.33          | 22       |
| Total| 3.30      | 11                     | -            | -              | -                 | 4783.22         | -        |
| Average| 0.37   | 5                      | 43           | 27.67          | 37.28             | 531.47          | 22       |
Results

The analysis of ground survey data revealed a number of treetops larger than that detected by the ALS-based assessment. Especially in densely forested areas, the detected local maxima do not always represent the exact tree positions, thus the matching rate was low. Trees that were standing alone, coniferous and clearly separated trees in loosely stocked areas were correctly detected in most instances.

Accuracy of individual trees detection

First, we evaluated the extraction and matching rates for the three forest types represented in the reference plots (coniferous, deciduous and mixed forest) and for three tile sizes (TS = 1, 2 and 3 m – Fig. 5). The bar graphs show that the optimal tiling size was 2×2 m (TS2). This resolution produced the highest extraction and matching rates (68 ± 14% and 65 ± 14%, respectively) with acceptable commission and omission rates (4 ± 2% and 35 ± 14%, respectively).

The evaluation of differences in the number of individual trees detected by the proposed method and the number of reference trees on the ground suggested an overestimation using the tile size TS1 and an underestimation using tile size TS2 and TS3. The use of TS2 resulted in the highest accuracy, yielding an underestimation of -34 ± 15%, with a RMSE% of 41%. The mean or median paired test confirmed that the differences between number of field-measured and detected trees for each tile size were statistical significant (p<0.05), i.e., the output of individual trees detection was significantly biased (Tab. 2).

We investigated the effect of the selected stand (in terms of tree species composition, number of tree species, mean height, mean diameter, and crown coverage), and site characteristics (slope) on the quality of the ALS-based tree detection (Fig. 6). A higher accuracy in the detection of individual trees was achieved in stands with a higher share of coniferous trees, as well as in stands with trees of greater dimensions (height and diameter) and higher social levels. A higher accuracy was also achieved in stands characterized by low relief slope, sparse crown canopy, and small number of tree species. However, this trend was significant (p<0.05) only for canopy closure and mean stand diameter. Other parameters did not show any significant effect.

gate both the systematic and the random error components. The relative e%, se%, RMSE% were calculated as the ratio of their absolute value and arithmetic average of the reference data (eqn. 6, eqn. 7 and eqn. 8).

\[
e = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})
\]

\[
se = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (e_i - \bar{e})^2}
\]

\[
RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}
\]

where e is the mean difference, se is the standard deviation of mean differences, e is the individual difference, RMSE is the root mean square error, n is the number of observation, x and \( \bar{x} \) are the ground-reference and ALS-derived attributes, respectively for the i-th tree.

The following detection rates were used to assess the ratio of detected individual trees and the reference trees: (i) the extraction rate (ER), as the total rate of detected trees (ALS) in respect to the number of reference trees in RP (TER – eqn. 9):

\[
ER = (ALS \div TER) \times 100
\]

(ii) the matching rate (MR), i.e., the total rate of matched trees (eqn. 10):

\[
MR = (TP \div TER) \times 100
\]

where TP indicates the true positives; (iii) the commission rate (CR), i.e., the total rate of detected trees that could not be matched (eqn. 11):

\[
CR = (FP \div ALS) \times 100
\]

where FP indicate the false positives; and (iv) the omission rate, i.e., the total rate of reference trees that could not be matched, according to Eysin et al. (2015 –eqn. 12).

\[
OR = (FN \div TER) \times 100
\]

where FN indicate the number of false negatives.
on the accuracy of tree detection.

Accuracy of crown coverage delineation

The crown coverage values obtained using the three alternative tile sizes (TS1, TS2 and TS3) are shown in Tab. 3, which also includes a ground-measured proportion of crown projections of the total area in the reference plots. The proposed algorithm underestimated the crown coverage by -11 ± 6% with tile size TS1 and overestimated the crown coverage by 8 ± 6% with tile size TS3. The RMSE% was ±12% for TS1 and ±10% for TS3. As it was the case for the number of trees, the TS2 setting provided the best estimate of crown coverage, resulting in a slight underestimation (-2 ± 6%). The RMSE% indicated that the crown cover was estimated with an accuracy of ±7%. Our analyses confirmed that different tile sizes significantly affected the accuracy of crown coverage delineation as well. At the same time, we found that only the TS2 setting provided the output that matched well with the ground measurements, however, this difference was not statistically significant (Tab. 4).

Discussion

In this study we explored the performance of a newly-developed point cloud-based algorithm for the detection of tree-tops and the delineation of tree crowns in a temperate mixed forest in Slovakia. We were particularly interested in evaluating the benefits of integrating customizable tree allometry information in the model for the detection of individual tree.

Although the accuracy of the proposed method did not exceed that reported by other researches (Vauhkonen et al. 2011b, Kaartinen et al. 2012, Koch et al. 2014), our study involves several innovations which might contribute to improve tree detection from ALS-derived data. In the following sections, we discuss the assets and limits of our results.

Accuracy of tree detection

Our findings indicated that the application of the developed algorithm using optimal settings can correctly capture approximately 65% of all trees in the study area. According to previous studies (Morsdorf et al. 2004, Kandare et al. 2016), the detection was less successful in stands with higher presence of deciduous species with closed crown canopy, due to their crown morphology with indistinct treetop. On the other hand, the crown projections were delineated with a very high accuracy (-2 ± 6%) and the shape of delineated crowns represented the real 2D crown projection very well.

There are several factors which could have affected the accuracy of tree detection in our assessment, and which should...
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be considered when interpreting our findings. First, the RPs selected for the assessment included a broad range of site conditions (including different tree species mixtures, stand density and relief slopes). This allowed the evaluation of the effect of site variables on tree detection accuracy. However, the selected RPs reflected a more complicated stand structure than commonly occurs in the study region, and this could have affected our results. Second, the geo-dendrometric criteria included into the tree position test decreased the number of detected trees, thus increasing the underestimation. On the other hand, such criteria reduced the false positive detections, thus preserving the permissible tree and stand structure and generating more realistic stands.

Although the accuracy of the proposed method suggests a limited applicability, the analysis of detected and undetected trees can provide a different perspective. Performing trees growing under the canopy.

- A deeper penetration through tree crowns, ever, a higher scanning density could allow an increase in scanning density has a lower effect on tree detection that the extraction algorithm. In this study. Comparison with other studies

Unlike the proposed method, most studies used a canopy height model (CHM) as input for tree detection algorithms (Kaartinen et al. 2014). Because such approach reduces the size of the input point cloud, it decreases computational time and demands on hardware are reduced as well. On the other hand, part of the information supporting tree detection is lost by transforming the raw ALS data to a CHM. Consequently, a point-based approach was developed which retrieves a part of point cloud data linked with the crown segments, which were extracted from the CHM (Popescu & Zhao 2008, Reitberger et al. 2009), or point cloud is directly used to detect the tree tops (Vega et al. 2014, Ferraz et al. 2012). The former approach still requires processing ALS data to derive the CHM, while the latter approach might require time-consuming calculations. The algorithm proposed in this study was developed to compensate for such drawbacks. Specifically, the initial procedures optimize the number of points by tiling and height restriction operations. Subsequently, treetop detection and tree crown delineation are performed using the reduced and tiled point cloud in the original 3-dimensional data structure.

Most of the commonly used algorithms for tree detection from ALS-derived data consider all the local maxima detected as actual trees (Kankare et al. 2013, Yu et al. 2011). Contrastingly, our workflow applies an additional verification based on the presented geo-dendrometric criteria. Such criteria increase the probability that the local maxima represent real treetops rather than protruding branches, multiple terminals and other morphological patterns of tree crowns. A distinctive feature of the developed algorithm is the crown delineation procedure. While other authors used mostly the CHM-based crown delineation (Eysn et al. 2015), our method detect each crown by gradually adding crown parts to the tree top and testing the match to the required dendrometric criteria at each iteration. The tree detection accuracy attained in this study (65%) is approximately in the middle of the range of tree detection accuracy (40-93%) reported by Kaartinen et al. (2012) in an international benchmarking study. Moreover, in this study the accuracy of estimates of crown coverage was high (±7%), and within the range reported for similar studies (4-22% – Holmgren et al. 2008). Furthermore, we found that the crowns delineated using the developed algorithm are morphologically similar to the 2D crown projection of field-measured trees. All the above evidences support the applicability of the proposed approach in evaluating forest tree and stand attributes.

Conclusions

ALS-based mapping of forest structure is an innovative component of forest inventory efforts, and has potential to significantly reduce the laborious field works and related costs. We proposed a new method which integrate tree allometry criteria for detecting individual trees and delineating their crowns using ALS data. The method was validated using 1332 trees from 9 reference plots with heterogeneous stand structures. A significant underestimation rate in the accuracy of tree detection was obtained, while the accuracy of estimates of crown coverage was high and consistent with similar studies. Based on our findings we conclude that ALS-based forest inventory can provide reliable information only in particular stand conditions, specifically in commercial forests with simple structure, while their use in heterogeneous, vertically differentiated forests still remain limited.

The implementation of the proposed algorithm in the freely-available and easy-to-use reFLex software is intended to support a broader use of ALS data and promote new researches aimed at improving the presented tree detection method.
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