The Crucial Records Number to Retrieve Offshore Directional Wind Distribution
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Abstract. The wind energy production estimates are very important to a wind power project. And, the remote sensing technique has been widely used to obtain the offshore wind speed and direction which could be used to calculate the wind energy of potential wind farm. However, the directional wind energy distributions are rarely studied, which also play important roles in analysis of wind farms’ potential power. In this article, the minimum number of records to obtain offshore directional wind distribution is stated by simulation experiment on In-situ dataset. The NDBC buoy dataset is randomly and multiply sampled to build new dataset under different numbers of observation records, which vary from 21 to 800. The resample under the same number of observation is repeated for 100 times to build dataset group. The directional wind distribution of new dataset is compared with the one of original buoy dataset, and errors made by dataset with fewer records are calculated. Besides, the 10th largest error in the sampled dataset group, which have the same number of observation records, is regarded as the error bound for those dataset. The change rule of the error bound is shown by fitted curves. Based on the fitted curves, minimum number of records is calculated. By this simulation experiment, the minimum number of records to represent wind direction frequency is 350, and 800 for annual direction distributions of wind energy density. To reduce the number of records needed in retrieval, some methods are discussed and tested.

1. Introduction

1.1 Research Background

Wind energy brings about ecological and social benefits and contributes to sustainable energy development. Using electricity from wind turbine instead of electricity from coal power plant could save an average of about 820-910 tonnes of CO\(_2\) for every GWh [1]. The wind is usually stronger and steadier in offshore areas, making offshore wind energy a major trend in wind power industry [2]. And, offshore directional wind distribution plays a valuable role in this trend. Using wind direction distributions information to analysis potential wind power of a specific site plays an important role when selecting the location of new wind energy facilities, and has impacts on both, price of generated energy and return of investment [3]. Some researches about how to use the multiple satellite data to assess offshore wind resources have been done [4]. The mean wind speed and wind power density are
the focus of attention in those studies. The parameters of directional wind distribution, however, have not been sufficiently studied.

1.2 Parameters

According to the Chinese national standard GB/T 18710-2002, the direction distributions used to investigate wind farm site are annual wind direction frequency (DF) and annual direction distributions of wind energy density (DDED). Both parameters could be shown by rose diagram. (Showed in Figure 1) Those two distributions both have 16 directions.

![Figure 1. Rose diagram of two wind direction distributions](image)

The equation of annual wind direction frequency:

\[ DF_j = \frac{n_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{16} n_j} \]  (1)

where \( DF_j \) = the frequency of direction \( j \) (When \( j=1 \), \( j \) means north. When \( j=5 \), \( j \) means east, etc.)

\( n_j \) = the number of wind records whose directions are \( j \)

The equation of annual direction distributions of wind energy density:

\[ DDED_j = \frac{D_j}{D} \]  (2)

\[ D = \sum_{j=1}^{16} D_j \]  (3)

\[ D_j = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\rho v_{ji}^2 t_{ji}) \]  (4)

where \( DDED_j \) = the wind energy density distribution of direction \( j \)

\( D_j \) = the wind energy density of direction \( j \)

\( D \) = the total wind energy density

\( \rho \) = the air density

\( v_{ji} \) = the wind speed of record NO.\( i \) in direction \( j \)

\( t_{ji} \) = the duration represented by the record

\( m \) = the records number of direction \( j \)

1.3 Research Purposes

In this paper, how many records are needed to retrieve reliable directional wind distributions is discussed. Moreover, some approaches to reduce the record number in retrieval are presented. And, the results of those approaches are also showed.

2. Data Description

2.1 In-situ buoy measurements

The In-situ wind measurements at 3~10 m above the ocean surface, with mean values at time intervals of 1 h are provided by National Data Buoy Centre (NOAA/NDBC). The detail of station information is described in Table 1. Those four buoys include diverse offshore wind condition, giving this study
enough representative records. All the in-situ data used in this study were checked for quality control
to delete inaccurate and unrealistic records [5].

The criteria to discard unreliable records:

\[ |u_\| < 0.4 \text{ms}^{-1}, |u_\| > 75 \text{ms}^{-1} \]

When \[ |u_\| > 15 \text{ms}^{-1}, |u_\| > |u_{+1}| \times 1.5 \]

\[ 0^\circ > \theta > 360^\circ \]

where, \( \vec{u} \) = the wind vector
\( \theta \) = the angle of wind direction

Table 1. The description of In-situ wind measurements

| Station | 41048   | 42040   | 46001   | 46086   |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Location| 31.87°N | 29.21°N | 56.30°N | 32.49°N |
|         | 69.57°W | 88.21°W | 147.92°W| 118.04°W|
|         | Atlantic | Gulf of Mexico | Gulf of Alaska | Pacific |
| Sea water depth | 5340 m | 164.6 m | 4206 m | 1828.8 m |
| Anemometer height | 5 m | 10 m | 5 m | 5 m |
| Buoy     | 3-meter discus | 10-meter discus | 6-meter NOMAD | 3-meter discus |
| Payload  | ARES     | ARES     | ARES     | ARES     |
| Data period | 2008-2014 | 2005-2014 | 2005-2013 | 2005-2014 |

2.2 ASCAT wind observations

The ASCAT L2 12.5-km swath near real-time wind products are provided by the NASA/JPL Physical
Oceanography Distributed Active Archive Centre [6]. The wind products contain quality control
information, according to which unreliable records will be deleted. Because of the orbit characteristics
of the satellite, MetOp, which carries the ASCAT, one specific sits’ wind records only include several
specific hours’ data. In this study, ASCAT wind products in 2010 are used to analyse the effectiveness
of approaches to reduce the minimum number of records.

2.3 Data analysis

To get the basic understanding of those data, the directional wind distribution parameters of those
buoy observation dataset groups are calculated. And, circular statistics of those distributions are also
analysed, which include average, standard deviation, skewness and kurtosis. These analysis results are
showed in Figure 2 and Table 2. Moreover, the time series characteristic of ASCAT data is analysed in
Figure 3, which prepares for the research work of over-sample.

Table 2. The circular statistics of In-situ wind datasets

| Station | 41048   | 42040   | 46001   | 46086   |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Mean    | 212.57° | 100.42° | 226.00° | 293.30° |
| Std dev | 72.39° | 72.88° | 68.87° | 46.20° |
| Skewness| 0      | 0.06    | 0.12    | 0.06    |
| Kurtosis| 0.12   | 0.04    | 0.02    | 0.46    |

| Station | 41048   | 42040   | 46001   | 46086   |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Mean    | 245.18° | 61.35°  | 226.28° | 292.94° |
| Std dev | 70.55° | 71.76° | 69.86° | 34.44° |
| Skewness| -0.06  | 0.02   | 0.20   | 0.11   |
| Kurtosis| 0.04   | -0.15  | -0.07  | 0.71   |
Figure 2. The DF and DDED of those stations

Figure 3. The time series of ASCAT data

3. Methodology

3.1 Fitting of errors change and finding the crucial records number

To examine the influence of the record number on retrieval accuracy, the dataset from In-situ buoy measurements was randomly and multiply sampled for a range of number of observations from n=21 (assumed to be the lower bound on the dataset likely to be obtained using remote sensing) to n=0.1 of the actual number of observations available from buoy for the annual data collection period [7]. The resampling was undertaken 100 times for each n to build 100 data sets for every n, number of records. Those new-built data sets’ offshore directional wind distributions, DF and DDED, are calculated and compared with the one of the original dataset, which is regarded as real distribution. In this compare, the total difference of distribution’s 16 directions is summed and normalized to demonstrate the error caused by fewer observations. Obviously, the error reduces swiftly with the number of records' increase. To avoid the extreme condition, the 10th largest error in the group of 100 new-built data sets of the same n is regarded as this records number's error bound at a confidence level of 90%. One sample is presented in Figure 4(a) and (c).

Different types of fitted curves are tested to give a good fit to the change rule of error bound. In these fitted curves, the 2-terms exponential function gives superior and robust fit. The fitted curve of one sample is presented in Figure 4(b) and (d). With this function, the minimum number of records at which the error bound decline to below 10% could be calculated. 2-terms exponential function:

\[ f(x) = a e^{bx} + c e^{dx} \]  

where, a, b, c and d are parameters in fitted function.
3.2 Over-sample

The different revisiting period of satellites makes them observe the same site different times in one year, and, the polar orbit satellite usually revisiting a specific place at fixed local time. As a result of this, when multiple satellite data is used to represent directional wind distribution, the number of records of different hours might be imbalanced. Because wind observations at some offshore area exhibit significant diurnal cycles due largely to advective effects [8], this imbalance data might distort the retrieval results. To handle with imbalanced data, the sub-dataset of hour with fewer records is over-sampled. Moreover, over-sampling also adds new records to dataset which might reduce the number of records of original dataset needed to obtain reliable distribution.

The over-sample technique used in this research work is improved SMOTE over-sample algorithm [9]. In the improved SMOTE method, new synthetic samples created by randomly interpolating pairs of selected nearest neighbours are added into minority sub-datasets. The number of new synthetic samples and the way to find the nearest record are changed in test to find the best over-sample method which could be used to reduce the minimum number of records. In the test, the imbalanced experiment dataset is built by conditionally sampling from in-situ records, which imitates the time series of ASCAT data.

The new synthetic sample \( S_j(j = 1,2,3 \ldots m) \):

\[
S_j = x_i + \text{rand}(0,1) \times (x_{ij} - x_i)
\]

where, \( m = \text{the oversample multiple} \)
\( x_i = \text{the record in minority sub-dataset} \)
\( x_{ij} = \text{the No. j nearest record in the same sub-dataset} \)
\( \text{rand}(0,1) = \text{a number randomly chosen from (0,1)} \)

3.3 Weighting

By analysing the In-situ data, the seasonal cycle and diurnal cycle of offshore wind in those areas are discovered, which are illustrated in Figure 5. The diversity of wind in difference months and hours means the records in some sub-dataset are more representative than others. Using the more representative sub-dataset to represent directional wind distribution will get more reliable result. In this condition, putting difference weight on records according to their representativeness could be a method to get better retrieval result with fewer records.

![Figure 4. The error bound of sampled dataset and fitted curve](image)

![Figure 5. The seasonal cycle and diurnal cycles of offshore wind](image)
The new equation for DF with weighting:
\[ n_j = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \varphi(t) \cdot D_{Fj} = \frac{n_j}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_j} \]  \tag{10}
where \(DF_j\) = the frequency of direction \(j\) (When \(j=1\), \(j\) means north. When \(j=5\), \(j\) means east, and so on.)
\(n_j\) = the number of wind records whose direction 
\(\varphi(t)\) = the function to calculate the weight of one record according to its time

The new equation for DDED with weighting:
\[ DDED_j = \frac{D_j}{m}, \quad D = \sum_{j=1}^{k} D_j \]  \tag{11}
\[ D_j = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\rho v_{ji}) \psi(t) \]  \tag{12}
where \(DDED_j\) = the wind energy density distribution of direction \(j\)
\(D_j\) = the wind energy density of direction \(j\)
\(D\) = the total wind energy density
\(\rho\) = the air density
\(v_{ji}\) = the wind speed of record \(i\) in direction \(j\)
\(t_{ji}\) = the duration represented by the record
\(m\) = the records number of direction \(j\)
\(\psi(t)\) = the function to calculate the weight of one record according to its time

The weighting function \(\varphi(t)\) or \(\psi(t)\) :
\[ \varphi(t) \text{ or } \psi(t) = 1 + \frac{\text{weight}(t) - \text{minimum weight}}{\text{maximal weight} - \text{minimum weight}} \times \frac{1}{\text{error}_{\text{month}}(t)} \times \frac{1}{\text{error}_{\text{hour}}(t)} \]  \tag{13}
where \(\text{error}_{\text{month}}(t)\) = the DF or DDED error of the month \(t\) sub-dataset
\(\text{error}_{\text{hour}}(t)\) = the DF or DDED error of the hour \(t\) sub-dataset
\(\text{weight}(t)\) = the weight of the record whose time is \(t\)
\(\text{maximal weight}\) = the maximum weight in every record’s weight
\(\text{minimum weight}\) = the minimum weight in every record’s weight

4. Results

4.1 Minimum number of records
The results of the minimum number of records needed to represent DF and DDED realistically are showed in Table 3. The results are different for different offshore areas because of these areas' characteristic. Generally, when \(n > 350\), the error for DF declines to below 10% at an acceptable confidence level. And, to make the error under 10% for DDED, the \(n\) need to be more than 800.

| Station 41048 | Station 42040 | Station 46001 | Station 46086 |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| DF | DDED | DF | DDED | DF | DDED | DF | DDED |
| 2005 | / | / | 363.85 | 1102.10 | 344.55 | 637.38 | 263.42 | 569.54 |
| 2006 | / | / | 370.50 | 843.84 | 344.21 | 689.07 | 266.41 | 518.73 |
| 2007 | / | / | 365.03 | 728.29 | 308.93 | 605.94 | 259.12 | 528.17 |
| 2008 | 367.99 | 808.52 | 368.21 | 903.55 | 353.66 | 780.59 | 264.49 | 567.19 |
| 2009 | 366.15 | 785.23 | 330.37 | 586.52 | 367.28 | 777.51 | 255.03 | 541.13 |
| 2010 | 361.72 | 752.46 | 360.63 | 747.23 | 357.81 | 722.63 | 267.12 | 645.36 |
| 2011 | 371.71 | 1041.09 | 374.51 | 845.73 | 367.28 | 777.51 | 267.12 | 645.36 |
| 2012 | 365.69 | 860.04 | 375.46 | 747.23 | 357.81 | 722.63 | 267.12 | 645.36 |
| 2013 | 372.71 | 785.60 | 366.07 | 805.23 | 334.02 | 585.23 | 285.39 | 495.39 |
| 2014 | 369.68 | 806.60 | 368.09 | 800.10 | / | / | 265.62 | 533.95 |
| Average | 367.95 | 834.22 | 364.272 | 819.67 | 344.97 | 689.32 | 266.62 | 553.736 |

Average for DF | 335.95 | Average for DDED | 724.23
The crucial number could not be calculated because of the interference of hurricane records

4.2 The influences of over-sample
Because of the representativeness diversity of different hour sub-dataset, the imbalanced dataset's influence is complex. When the majority sub-datasets are more representative than minority sub-datasets, the retrieval result’s error will be smaller. So, the over-sample method could reduce the minimum number of records only in condition that the imbalanced data’s minority sub-datasets are much more representative than majority counterparts. One example is showed in Figure 6.

![Figure 6. The over-sample’s influence](image)

4.3 The influences of weighting
The weighting's influence on the minimum number of records largely depends on the weighting function φ(t) or ψ(t). The weighting function use in this study could reduce the minimum number of records for retrieval of DF or DDED for the buoy data tested in research. Result of this is demonstrated in Table 4. The weighting method reduces 15 records for DF retrieval and 33 for DDED in this test.

| Station: DF Station: 42040 | Original | 363.85 | 370.5 | 365.03 | 368.21 | 330.37 | 360.63 | 374.51 | 375.46 | 366.07 |
|---------------------------|----------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Weighting                 | 346.83   | 358.78 | 350.27 | 349.24 | 329.63 | 347.52 | 355.75 | 353.63 | 345.82 |
| Reduced                   | 17.02    | 11.72  | 14.76  | 18.97  | 0.74   | 13.11  | 18.76  | 21.83  | 20.25  |
| Average                   |          |        |        |        |        |        |        |        | 15.19  |

| Station: DDED Station: 46086 | Original | 569.54 | 518.73 | 528.17 | 567.19 | 541.13 | 645.36 | 575.45 | 562.45 | 495.39 |
|----------------------------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Weighting                  | 514.33   | 493.60 | 492.10 | 569.23 | 532.68 | 593.33 | 531.89 | 557.75 | 443.06 |
| Reduced                    | 55.21    | 25.13  | 36.07  | -2.04  | 8.45   | 52.03  | 43.56  | 4.70   | 52.33  |
| Average                    |          |        |        |        |        |        |        |        | 33.28  |

5. Discussion
With the changing of methods of over-sample or function of weighting, the retrieval results and the minimum number of records also changed. To get better retrieval results, much more weighting functions and over-sample methods should be tested and compared in further study. Those methods used in this work rely on basic understanding of specific area’s wind. So, when use those methods to obtain directional wind distribution from satellite data, historical In-situ observations are needed to build weighting function or decided whether over-sample is helpful in retrieval. Moreover, In-Situ observations usually only demonstrate the wind condition of a specific location.
Whether the information from this specific location could be used to build weighting function for near area is needed to answer in further research.

6. Conclusions
By this simulation experiment, the minimum number of records for annual wind direction frequency is 350, and 800 for annual direction distributions of wind energy density. And, the over-sample for minority sub-datasets of imbalanced data and using weighting function could have positive influences on retrieval of directional wind distribution and reduce the records needed in retrieval.
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