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ABSTRACT

Surface aquifers can be useful for a variety of purposes, but they can also act as fomites. River water could be a major route of spread of disease causing and multidrug resistant bacteria. The multidrug resistance pattern of bacteria from the Ogane-Aji River was studied. The river water samples were collected at two different points namely, Point A (10 cm depth) and Point B (45 cm depth). Standard microbiological techniques were used to identify the bacterial isolate that was obtained. The disks agar diffusion method was used to examine the isolates for resistance to nine foreign antibiotics and 20 indigenous antibiotics. These samples were analyzed by the most probable number (MPN) of which point B had more MPN index/ml (180) than point A which had 79 MPN/index/ml. The organisms most frequently isolated from the samples included those of the genera Staphylococcus, Bacillus, Enterobacter, Pseudomonas, Escherichia, Klebsiella, Streptococcus and Salmonella. Percentage resistance to all the foreign antibiotics by the isolates were obtained (Staphylococcus sp.(55.5%), Bacillus sp. (66.6%), Enterobacter sp.(77.8%), Streptococcus sp. (88.8%), Pseudomonas sp. (66.6%), E. coli, Klebsiella (77.8%), Streptococcus sp.(88.8%) and Salmonella sp. (88.8%) while percentage resistance to all the indigenous antibiotics were Staphylococcus sp.(30%), Streptococcus sp.(20%), Bacillus sp.(70%), Enterobacter sp. (60%), Pseudomonas (50%), E. coli (50%), Klebsiella (40%) and Salmonella (20%). It was discovered that every isolate was multidrug resistant. It is concerning for people's health that these organisms are present in this body of water, which is used for numerous things in the area. The river water could be a means whereby these etiologic agents and the multidrug resistance properties can spread through the population in contact with the river.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: detunjioutreach68@gmail.com, detunjioutreach68@gmail.com;
Keywords: Agar diffusion; antibiotics; bacteria; multidrug resistance.

1. INTRODUCTION

“The emergence of multidrug-resistance bacteria limits the clinical use of antibiotics and, as resistant bacteria become more prevalent, there is increasing concern that existing antibiotics will become ineffective against these pathogens and more expensive. Multidrug-resistance genes conferring resistance to a wide variety of organisms have been identified in a large range of water environments including drinking water in both developed and developing countries” [1]. “The main risk for public health is that resistance genes are transferred from environmental bacteria to human pathogens. The potential of drinking water to transport microbial pathogens to a greater number of people, causing subsequent illness, is well documented in countries at all levels of economic development” [1].

“According to the World Health Organization, 80% of all diseases are attributed to unsafe water. Developing countries in particular, are plagued with water-related diseases such as diarrhoea which account for 10% of the disease burden in such countries” [2].

“Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) presents a major and growing threat to effective treatment of bacterial infections. For almost a century antimicrobials have been used to control bacterial infections and disease in humans and animals. However, with increasing microbial resistance to drugs, we are gradually returning to nineteenth century levels of morbidity” [3,4]. “Hitherto, investigation and policy development for the control of AMR using surveillance data have focused largely on patterns of resistance to individual antimicrobials” [5]. “Quantitative studies have concentrated on theoretical frameworks using simulated and in vitro experimental data” [6,7], “while much of our current understanding of the impacts of individual antimicrobials has been derived from small scale clinical epidemiological studies” [8].

Only recently, the broader ecological landscape occupied by the bacteria and their hosts has been considered by Singer et al. [9] and Martinez [11]. “Novel approaches may bring new perspectives on the origins and spread of AMR, or assist in the development of new or revised targeted interventions. The use of antimicrobials in agriculture as a major driver of AMR in pathogenic bacteria of significance to humans is an issue over which opinions are divided” [11,12]. “The prophylactic and metaphylactic use in animal populations has been a particular concern, especially when the drug classes are the same as or related to the pharmaceuticals used in the control of human infections. Exposure of microbial populations to antimicrobials evidently selects for resistance; however, the critical and unresolved issue is the relative contribution to resistance in these populations from the different sources” [13].

The multidrug resistance mechanism employed by bacteria has resulted in a serious public health threat today. River water is the major source of water for household use in most rural communities in Kogi State. It is used for various purposes such as drinking, cooking, bathing and laundry; hence it serves as a perfect medium for spreading these bacteria. River contamination occurs when waste and different other pollutants are discharged into river without being properly treated [14].

“Multidrug resistance is rising to dangerously high level in all parts of the world. New resistance mechanisms are emerging and spreading globally, threatening our ability to treat common infectious diseases. A growing list of infections – such as pneumonia, tuberculosis, blood poisoning, gonorrhoea and food borne diseases are becoming harder and sometimes impossible, to treat as antibiotics become less effective” [2]. There is need to monitor our aquifers for multidrug resistant bacteria and to create the much needed awareness in order to alleviate the spread of multidrug resistance.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area and Sample Collection

The study area is Ogane-Aji, a village in Dekina Local Government, Kogi State. That is the source of Ogane-Aji River. The water sample (20) was randomly collected (50 ml) into a sterile glass bottle at two different points. Point a (10 cm depth) and Point B (45 cm depth) 50 metres apart along the course of the river. The depth is the area within which water is regularly taken for domestic uses. The bottled water were placed in ice-boxes and taken to the Microbiology Laboratory, Kogi State University, Anyigba for further analysis.
2.2 Dilution Preparation and Inoculation

Serial dilution was carried out on the water samples by introducing 1 ml sample into 9 ml sterile distilled water. This was repeated with the dilution made to get various dilutions up to dilution 5. Appropriate dilution (1 ml) was used to inoculate cool, sterile, molten nutrient agar using the pour plate method and incubated at 37°C for 2 days. Total coli form count was estimated using the most probable number (MPN) method.

2.3 Bacterial Isolation and Identification

Distinct colonies from the mixed culture plates were sub-cultured severally to get a pure bacterial isolate. The bacteria were identified using Gram test and biochemical tests (catalase, methyl red, indole, Voges-Proskauer, oxidase, citrate and urease) as described by Nester et al. [15].

2.4 Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing of the Isolates

Antibiotic susceptibility test was carried out using disk diffusion method on Mueller Hinton agar plates. The identification of Multidrug Resistance Isolates was recorded through the zone of inhibition measured to the nearest millimetres.

3. RESULTS

The Gram reaction and biochemical characteristics of the pure bacterial isolates from Ogane-Aji River water is shown in Table 1. A total of eight organisms were isolated. The isolates from the Ogane-Aji water sample showed that 75% are rod shaped and mostly enterobacteriaceae members as shown in Table 1. The organisms are predominantly Gram negative.

Table 2 showed the results of the antibiotic susceptibility test carried out on the pure isolates from the Ogane-Aji River water using the imported antibiotic disks. *Staphylococcus* sp. showed the least resistance of 55.5% while *Streptococcus* sp. and *Salmonella* sp. displayed highest resistance of 88.8% to the tested antibiotics as shown in Table 2.

Table 3 showed the antibiotic sensitivity of Gram positive isolates to the indigenous antibiotic disks. The range of resistance was between 20 – 30%.

The antibiotic sensitivity of the Gram negative isolates from Ogane-Aji River is shown in Table 4. Out of the Gram negative rods tested, *Klebsiella* and *Salmonella* had resistance of 40% and 20%, respectively while others showed resistance between 50% and 70%.

The Most Probable Number (MPN) results for the estimation of number of bacteria per 100 ml of the water sample is shown in Table 5.

4. DISCUSSION

Water sample collected from Ogane-aji river in Anyigba Kogi State was used to assess the multidrug resistance status of the river. Enumeration and isolation of bacteria was done and a total of eight bacterial genera were isolated (Tables 3 and 4). Characterization and identification of bacteria isolates was done through Gram staining and biochemical test shows that two organisms were Gram positive (Tables 3) while six organisms were Gram negative (Tables 4).

The antibiotic susceptibility and resistance pattern (Table 2) for imported disk showed that all the isolates were resistant to not less than five out of the nine tested antibiotics, this is of grave health concern. Sensitivity of 33.3%, 11.1% intermediate resistance and 55.5% resistance were observed for *Staphylococcus* sp., *Bacillus* sp. showed 33.3% sensitivity, 0% intermediate and 66.6% resistance. *Enterobacter* showed 11.1% sensitivity, 11.1% intermediate and 77.7% resistance. *Pseudomonas* showed 22.2% sensitivity, 11.1% intermediate resistance and 66.6% resistance. *E. coli* also showed 22.2% sensitivity, 0% intermediate resistance and 77.7% resistance. *Klebsiella* showed 0% sensitivity, 22.2% intermediate resistance and 77.8% resistance. *Streptococcus* showed 11.1% sensitivity, 0% intermediate resistance and 88.8% resistance. *Salmonella* had 11.1% intermediate resistance and 88.8% resistance, respectively. *Staphylococcus* showed resistance to oxacillin, amoxicillin, cefotaxime, cefazidime and tetracycline. Intermediate resistance to ampicillin and susceptible to vancomycin, chloramphenicol and doxycycline.
Table 1. Gram reaction and biochemical characterization of pure isolates

| Isolates | Gram Reaction | Cell shape | Biochemical Tests | Probable organism             |
|----------|---------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| A₁       | +             | Cocci      | CAT: +, INDO: -   | Staphylococcus sp.             |
| A₂       | -             | Rod        | CAT: +, INDO: -   | Bacillus sp.                   |
| A₃       | -             | Rod        | CAT: +, INDO: -   | Enterobacter sp.               |
| A₄       | -             | Rod        | CAT: +, INDO: -   | Pseudomonas sp                 |
| B₁       | -             | Rod        | CAT: +, INDO: -   | Escherichia coli               |
| B₂       | -             | Rod        | CAT: +, INDO: -   | Klebsiella sp.                 |
| B₃       | +             | Cocci      | CAT: +, INDO: -   | Streptococcus sp.              |
| B₄       | -             | Rod        | CAT: +, INDO: -   | Salmonella sp.                 |

KEY: CAT = Catalase  OXI = Oxidase  INDO = Indole  URE = Urease  CIT = Citrate; MR = Methyl Red  VP = Voges-Proskauer

Table 2. Antibiotic susceptibility test of isolates (imported disks)

| Chemical class of antibiotics | Antibiotics | Staphylococcus sp. | Bacillus sp. | Enterobacter sp. | Pseudomonas sp. | E. coli sp. | Klebsiella sp. | Streptococcus sp. | Salmonella sp. |
|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| β-lactam                      | AMP(10µg)  | I                  | R            | R                | R               | R           | R              | R                | R               |
|                               | OX(1µg)    | R                  | R            | R                | R               | R           | R              | R                | R               |
|                               | AUG(30µg)  | R                  | R            | S                | S               | R           | R              | S                | S               |
| Macrolide                     | VAN(30µg)  | R                  | S            | S                | S               | R           | I              | R                | R               |
| Cephalosporin 3 & 4 generation| CAZ(30µg)  | R                  | R            | R                | R               | R           | R              | R                | R               |
|                               | CTX(30µg)  | R                  | R            | R                | R               | R           | R              | R                | R               |
| Tetracycline                  | TE(30µg)   | R                  | R            | R                | R               | R           | R              | R                | R               |
|                               | DO(30µg)   | S                  | S            | R                | R               | R           | R              | R                | R               |
| Chloramphenicol               | C(30µg)    | S                  | S            | R                | R               | R           | R              | R                | R               |

Susceptible 33.3%  33.3%  11.1%  22.2%  22.2%  0%  11.1%  11.1%  88.8%  88.8%
Resistant 55.5%  66.6%  77.8%  66.6%  77.8%  77.8%  88.8%  88.8%

Key: AMP = ampicillin  OX = oxacillin  VAN = vancomycin  AUG = amoxicillin/clavulanic acid  C= chloramphenicol  CAZ = ceftazidime  CTX = cefotaxime  TE = tetracycline  DO = doxycycline  S = susceptible  I = intermediate  R = resistant
Table 3. Antibiotic susceptibility of gram positive isolates (indigenous disk)

| Chemical Class of Antibiotics | Antibiotics | Staphylococcus sp. | Streptococcus sp. |
|------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Fluoroquinolones             | CPX(10µg)   | S                  | S                 |
|                             | NB(10µg)    | R                  |                   |
|                             | LEV(20µg)   | S                  | S                 |
| Aminoglycosides              | GN(10µg)    | S                  | S                 |
|                             | S(30µg)     | I                  | S                 |
| Macrolide                    | E(30µg)     | S                  | S                 |
| β-lactam                     | AML(20µg)   | I                  | R                 |
| Antitubercular               | RD(20µg)    | R                  | I                 |
| Chloramphenicol              | CH(30µg)    | R                  | I                 |

Key: CPX = ciproflox, NB = norfloxin, GN = gentamycin, AML = amoxil, S = streptomycin, RD = rifampicin, E = erythromycin, CH = chloramphenicol, APX = ampiclox, LEV = levofloxacin

Table 4. Antibiotic susceptibility of Gram-negative isolates

| Chemical Class of Antibiotics | Antibiotics | Bacillus sp. | Enterobacter sp. | Pseudomonas sp | E. coli | Klebsiella sp | Salmonella sp |
|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|----------------|---------|--------------|--------------|
| Fluoroquinolones             | OFX(10µg)   | S            | R                | S              | S       | S            | S            |
|                             | CPX(10µg)   | S            | R                | S              | R       | S            | S            |
|                             | NA(30µg)    | R            | I                | R              | R       | R            | I            |
| β-lactam                     | AU(20µg)    | R            | S                | S              | R       | I            | S            |
| Aminoglycosides              | PN(20µg)    | R            | R                | S              | R       | R            | I            |
|                             | G(30µg)     | R            | S                | R              | R       | R            | S            |
|                             | S(20µg)     | R            | R                | S              | I       | I            | S            |
| Cephalosporin                | CEP(30µg)   | R            | R                | R              | R       | R            | I            |
| Sulfonamides                 | SXT(20µg)   | R            | S                | S              | I       | S            | S            |
| Riflacin                     | PEF(10µg)   | R            | S                | S              | I       | I            | R            |

KEY: OFX = tarivid, PEF = riflacin, CPX = ciprofloxacin, AU = augmentin, GN = gentamycin, S = streptomycin, CEP = ceporex, NA = nalidixic acid, SXT = septrin, PN = ampicilin
Table 5. MPN of the bacteria from Ogane-Aji river water

| Sample site | No. of tubes giving | MPN index per 100ml | 95% confidence limit |
|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|             | 10ml  | 1ml    | 0.1ml  | low | High |
| A           | 5     | 3      | 0      | 27  | 9    | 80  |
| B           | 5     | 3      | 3      | 33  | 11   | 93  |

*Bacillus* sp. showed resistance to ampicillin, oxacillin, amoxicillin, ceftazidine, cefotaxime and tetracycline. Susceptible to vancomycin, chloramphenicol and doxycycline. *Enterobacter* showed resistance to ampicillin, oxacillin, amoxicillin, ceftazidine, cefotaxime, tetracycline doxycycline and intermediate resistance to only chloramphenicol while susceptible to only vancomycin. *Pseudomonas* showed resistance to ampicillin, oxacillin, amoxicillin, ceftazidine, cefotaxime tetracycline and intermediate resistance to only doxycycline but showed susceptibility to vancomycin and chloramphenicol. *E. coli* showed resistance to ampicillin, oxacillin, vancomycin ceftazidine, cefotaxime, tetracycline and doxycycline. Susceptibility to amoxicillin and chloramphenicol was also shown by *E. coli*. *Klebsiella* showed resistance to ampicillin, oxacillin, amoxicillin, ceftazidine, cefotaxime tetracycline and doxycycline. It also displayed intermediate resistance to the macrolide and imported chloramphenicol tested. *Salmonella* also showed resistance to all the imported antibiotics used except amoxicillin which it was susceptible to.

The bacterial susceptibility and resistance pattern (Table 3 and 4) to commercially available indigenous antibiotic disks showed 50% susceptibility to ciprofloxacin, gentamycin, Erythromycin, streptomycin and Levofloxacin. Intermediate resistance of 30% to Amoxil, Streptomycin and Ampiclox. 20% resistance to Norfloxacin, and Rifampicin for *Staphylococcus* sp. *Streptococcus* sp. showed 50% susceptibility to ciprofloxacin, streptomycin, gentamycin, erythromycin and Levofloxacin. Intermediate resistance of 30% to norfloxacin, rifampicin and chloramphenicol. Resistance (20%) to amoxicillin and ampiclox. *Staphylococcus* sp showed 40% susceptibility to ciproflox, gentamycin, erythromycin and Levofloxacin. The organism had 30% intermediate resistance to streptomycin, amoxicillin and ampiclox. It also had 30% resistance to norfloxacin, rifampicin and chloramphenicol. *Bacillus* sp showed 70% resistance to ciproflox, gentamycin, streptomycin, ceporex, nalidixic acid and ampicillin, an intermediate resistance of 0% and 30% susceptibility to tarivid, ciproflox and septrin. *Pseudomonas* sp showed 50% resistance to gentamycin, streptomycin, ceporex, nalidixic acid, ampicillin and intermediate resistance of 0% with 50% susceptibility to tarivid, ciproflox, augmentin, reflagcin and septrin. *Klebsiella* showed 40% intermediate resistance to reflagcin, augmentin, streptomycin and septrin. It also had 20% susceptibility to tarivid and ciproflox. *Salmonella* showed 20% resistance to reflagcin and gentamycin, 40% intermediate resistance to streptomycin, ceporex, nalidixic acid and Ampicillin. However it had 40% susceptibility to tarivid, ciproflox, augmentin and septrin.

The pattern of resistance in this study to several key antibiotics commonly used in therapeutic treatment is considered a public health threat. The isolation of *E. coli* multidrug resistance bacteria from Ogane-Aji River correlates with the work of Stephen and Kennedy [16] who isolated multidrug resistance *E. coli* from water sources. Multidrug resistance patterns have been also detected in *E. coli* isolated from river water in Osun State Nigeria, [17] and from Yamuna river in the holy city of Mathura, India [18]. The isolation of multidrug resistant *Enterobacter*, *Klebsiella*, and *Streptococcus* from Ogane-aji River correlates with the work of Abdul-Rasheed and his co-workers [19] that isolated similar multidrug resistance organisms from Opa River, South-Western Nigeria.

The incidence of multidrug resistance isolates from the *Enterobacteriaceae* family from Ogane-aji river Anyigba correlates with the work of Graham et al., [20] who identified multidrug resistant isolates from the *Enterobacteriaceae* family in Almendares River in Cuba.

As shown in this study, the imported disks were found to be more potent than the indigenous disk. The organisms (Table 2) showed a higher resistance range of 55.5% - 88.8% to imported disk than the indigenous disk with 20 -70%. Higher level of intermediate resistance was also recorded for the indigenous antibiotic disks.
The presence of faecal coli forms in water samples serve as indicators of faecal contamination [21] and could be responsible for presence of other intestinal pathogens. *Streptococcus* sp, *Staphylococcus* sp, *Salmonella*, *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* which were isolated and identified from the samples are pathogens of importance that have been linked to gastrointestinal disorders [22].

The results of the present study demonstrated that the antibiotic resistance patterns detected in the isolates collected from Ogane-aji River Anyigba, could lead to ineffective use of antibiotics in the treatment of gastrointestinal infections in Ogane-aji community, resulting in lower alternatives for therapeutic treatments.

5. CONCLUSION

The results of this study showed that Ogane-Aji River water contained multidrug resistance bacteria. Consequently, there is need to check the level of pollution of the Ogane-Aji River. Efforts also should be made to provide safe water for the Ogane-Aji River community.
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