OPEN LETTER

The wearing of face masks in African countries under the COVID-19 crisis: luxury or necessity? [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 1 approved with reservations]

Zohra Aloui-Zarrouk1,2, Lahcen El Youssfi3, Kingsley Badu4*, Adeniyi Francis Fagbamigbe5*, Damaris Matoke-Muhia6,7, Caroline Ngugi8, Natisha Dukhi9, Grace Mwaura10

1LR16IPT04 Laboratoire d’Epidémiologie Moléculaire et de Pathologie Expérimentale appliquées aux Maladies infectieuses, Institut Pasteur de Tunis, Tunis, 1002, Tunisia
2Université de Tunis El Manar, Tunis, Tunisia
3Environment and Natural Resources Management Research Team & Biotechnology and Sustainable Management of Natural Resources Laboratory, Department of Environmental Engineering and Agrobiotech, Higher School of Technology, Sultan Moulay Slimane University, Khenifra, Morocco
4Department of Theoretical and Applied Biology, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana
5Department of Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, Faculty of Public Health, College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria
6Centre for Biotechnology Research and development., Kenya Medical Research Institution, Nairobi, Kenya
7Pan African Mosquito Control Association, Nairobi, P.O. Box 44455 -00100, Kenya
8College of Health Sciences, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, Nairobi, P. O Box 62000-00200, Kenya
9Human Sciences Research Council, Division of Human and Social Capabilities. Private Bag X9182, Cape Town, 8000, South Africa
10African Academy of Sciences, Nairobi, Kenya

* Equal contributors

Abstract

The unforeseeable global crisis of the spread of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has caused almost all affected countries to adopt a range of protective measures as recommended by the World Health Organization. However, the speed, type and level of adoption of these protective measures have been remarkably different. Social distancing and quarantine were the main measures adopted in addition to observing basic hygiene. Based on the available evidences, WHO continues to recommend wearing of face masks for healthcare workers and for those people caring for COVID-19 patients. However, some countries and organisations have recommended, and some have even made it mandatory, for their citizens to wear face masks. Particularly in low- and middle-income countries, protecting by wearing face masks is viewed as an affordable yet proactive preventive measure to avoid and slow down viral spread based on the experience of other affected countries. However, the wearing of face
masks is controversial due to shortages in their stocks and uncertainty around the quality of masks, as well as their efficiency as a protective mechanism. Masks should be used based on appropriate use and management guidelines. This paper discusses the wearing of face masks from the perspective of low- and middle-income countries, particularly in Africa; and then makes some recommendations that will greatly inform policy makers on epidemic mitigation strategies throughout the African continent.
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The novel situation

The outbreak of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), an acute respiratory disease of viral infectious origin, is caused by an emerging variety of the highly contagious SARS (SARS-CoV-2). The virus is also suspected to be airborne and as such, critical preventive measures are being adopted around the world. As the pandemic is fast spreading in African countries, there is concern over the kind of measures and strategies that these countries can adopt to ensure that they overcome the crisis and at least avoid dramatic scenarios. A resume in Figure 1 shows the evolution of COVID-19 cases in some African countries, marked by the spread of the virus. The severity and impact of SARS-CoV-2 has forced low- and middle-income countries to implement more affordable but proactive preventive measures based on the experience of affected countries, particularly industrialized countries, to date. However, measures such as a total lockdown and physical distancing, while practical in containing and controlling the spread the virus, may not be applied to the letter in these countries due to their severe socio-economic impacts. Additionally, physical distancing is a luxury for the teeming urban poor populations who live in African cities and informal settlements.

The first preventive measures to be adopted in almost all countries have been the banning of all forms of public gatherings; the isolation of positively confirmed and suspected cases; and physical distancing. The general public has been required to observe basic hygiene including the frequent washing of hands with soap and water; use of alcohol-based sanitizers; coughing and sneezing into a tissue or the bent elbow; staying at home when ill; and cleaning surfaces regularly. The wearing of face masks that cover nose and mouth is now part of the measures being adopted by some African governments such as Nigeria, Morocco, Tunisia, Ghana and Kenya among others. However, researchers in the UK and Hong Kong argue, “Absence of evidence of effectiveness should not be equated to evidence of ineffectiveness,” especially as we are facing a novel situation with limited alternative prevention measures. The World Health Organization does not recommend the wearing of masks, except for healthcare workers and those already infected with the virus. However, researchers in the UK and Hong Kong argue, “Absence of evidence of effectiveness should not be equated to evidence of ineffectiveness,” especially as we are facing a novel situation with limited alternative prevention measures.

Figure 1. COVID-19 evolution in some spread virus Africans countries. (European Centre of Diseases Control CDC, May 09, 2020) This figure was reproduced under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC-BY 4.0).
Prevention (CDC) and Food and Drug Administration (FDA) have revised their guidelines regarding face masks. The CDC now recommends a “face covering” in public areas, while medical institutions were issued an emergency protocol by the FDA indicating that the N95 masks, which are essentially for single use, to be sterilized with use of “vaporized hydrogen peroxide gas plasma”19.

As we receive these conflicting messages regarding face masks, muddling of information and a change in advice, we ask questions such as “How can a cloth face mask protect us? If we wear them, are we still at risk of transmission? How are different African governments responding to this and what are their recommendations?

As the coronavirus is highly contagious, people in the incubation or asymptomatic stage can shed the virus and on releasing it into the air, can then transmit the infection. Due to the cough mechanism, the air is expelled very quickly and allows the ejection of the foreign germ including virion. The speed of this expulsion is 250 m/s or 900 km/h, and can expel nanoscale or micrometric particles (less than 50 µm) up to 6 meters away from the individual10, while a sneeze can reach 15.3 m/s, or more than 55 km/h. The coronavirus could thus be transmitted via aerosols11 and there is even concern that this virus could also be transmitted when people are talking in close proximity11.

**Empirical evidence that mask can help slow the spread of COVID-19**

At the beginning of the epidemic, WHO strongly recommended the wearing of face masks for only infected individuals, their caregivers, and immediate family members12. But now, many countries are opting for mandatory face masking to protect against coronavirus. It is being argued, therefore, that the reluctance of WHO to advocate for the widespread use of face masks can be attributed to the fact that the current stock of face masks is primarily being reserved for frontline health care workers.

A systematic review by Barashed et al. found that face masks significantly protect against respiratory infections at mass gatherings (RR = 0.89, 95% CI 0.84-0.94)13. A systematic review of random controlled trials (RCTs) of face masks in influenza also revealed that face masks are protective for influenza-like illness14. Also, a cluster-randomized trial in households over two seasons showed that mask has 74% protection against respiratory diseases (0.26, 95% CI 0.09–0.77)15. Yan et al.’s mathematical modelling of the effectiveness of respiratory protective devices in reducing influenza outbreak found that influenza outbreaks would be eliminated if four-fifths of the population wear face masks16.

There are several types of face masks being used during this epidemic. The surgical masks, the lightest and cheapest ones, prevent the projection of droplets emitted outward by a sick person thus limit the transmission of several diseases (flu, rhinovirus, coronavirus among others)17. The Filtering Face Piece Particle (FFP) or N95 breathing masks are much more developed and have several layers of protection and filtration. With three performance classes FFP1, FFP2 and FFP3 for bio-aerosol filtration percentages of 80%, 94% and 99%, respectively18. These masks are primarily reserved, in an area of active virus circulation, for health care workers especially in this time of shortage. These masks protect from the inside than from the outside. Nevertheless, they are more uncomfortable because they are thicker than surgical masks19,20.

With the current shortage of face masks, and the dire need for people to move around to either respond to the crises or earn a living, non-medical face masks made from various textiles (including homemade ones) are being considered and promoted. These masks have not been proven to be effective according to current standards (European standard EN 149). Therefore, they are not recommended to be used in healthcare settings. However, various textiles with small mesh can offer significant protection (approximately 70% to 73% effectiveness against 0.02 µm particles). According to Davies et al.21, looking at homemade masks for protection during an influenza epidemic, dishtowels were found to be 73% effective at blocking 0.02 micron bacteriophages. Scientists around the word are struggling to adapt their research to find solution to the many problems raised by the COVID-19 pandemic including the problem of face masks shortage. Many scientists are, thoroughly, collaborating with manufactures in the textile industry, and searching for innovative material to find an alternative to surgical masks and FFPs.

Around the world, several countries have imposed the wearing of protective masks on their population in an attempt to circumvent the spread of viruses such as the Czech Republic, Slovakia, Bosnia-Herzegovina, China, Hong-Kong, South Korea, Japan, Thailand, Taiwan, Australia, and Germany22,23. Yet, there are many other countries that have not considered this measure necessary. Countries that applied a strict physical distancing and compulsory use of face masks in public places have demonstrated a significant reduction in coronavirus infections, such as China and Hong-Kong24. They have also witnessed a decrease in the number of secondary infections compared to other countries that applied this measure later, as can be noted also by following the daily confirmed cases of the virus (SARS-CoV-2) spread (Figure 2).

**Usage and availability of face masks in African countries**

As African scientists and researchers, we believe that widespread wearing of masks should be encouraged and made mandatory in all public spaces in Africa. Face masks should also be made available free of charge or at a low and controlled price. To meet this demand, it is prudent for the relevant government ministries, private sector and individuals to invest in innovating ways through which we can meet the demand for face masks. The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and Food and Drug Administration (FDA) have revised their guidelines regarding face masks and CDC now recommends a “face covering” in public areas. In this context, strict measures on use of face masks are emerging in several African countries.
Morocco was the first country to advocate for and impose the wearing of face masks. The Moroccan government decided that it was mandatory to wear medical masks in public spaces, the workplace, and for anyone allowed to go out during the coronavirus outbreak\textsuperscript{25}. Those who fail to comply face prison sentences of up to three months and a fine of up to 1,300 dirhams. The face masks are to be sold at a subsidized price of 0.8 dirhams ($0.08) per unit. This has stimulated local production of masks with a daily production capacity of 3 million and a possibility to increase it to 6 million\textsuperscript{26}.

The Tunisian government, through the Ministry of Health and in collaboration with the Ministry of Industry (Textile Industry) have committed to manufacture approximately 30 million units of reusable face masks\textsuperscript{27}. The wearing of these masks will be mandatory after the lockdown and once outside the home\textsuperscript{27}.

However, the same cannot be said of most African countries. For example, in Nigeria, once the discovery of index case of coronavirus (COVID-19), the prices of mask went from ₦50 ($0.14) to ₦350 ($0.98). This enormous price increase of approximately 600\%\textsuperscript{28} was caused by a sudden high demand and dwindling supplies and the propensity of sellers to maximize profits. The shortage in supply and the high price can be attributed to its very low utilization in the country, perhaps 1 per 1000 people. This is despite the fact that the Nigeria Centre for Disease Control’s (NCDC) advises the use of face masks to slow the spread of coronavirus and guidelines on the use of face masks to prevent coronavirus infection\textsuperscript{29}.

In Kenya, the wearing of face masks was made mandatory after cases were over 80 with the country going on a partial lockdown\textsuperscript{30}. The cost of the masks is no different from other African countries. To support in the fight against COVID-19, the Kenyan Ministry of Industrialization is calling upon local industries to assist in the production of masks. This is supplying the government with approximately 5000 masks per day\textsuperscript{2}. Despite this effort, the demand is still high and this policy has become difficult to implement.

It is a challenge to meet the demand for face masks in Africa because there are limited resources to invest in their manufacturing. But it is also an opportunity to create new work opportunities.

\textsuperscript{2}https://www.standardmedia.co.ke/article/2001366398/rivatex-starts-mass-production-of-face-masks
for thousands of people who are now jobless due to the outbreak.

To seize this entrepreneurial opportunity, governments are already requesting and could support local SMEs (small and medium-sized enterprises) to produce face masks.

In ensure the production of masks meets demand, each African country could offer more opportunities for research and development and facilities, by sustainable funding and structures, to its young leaders and researchers to take initiatives to find alternatives to the current materials being used to manufacture the masks. In this context, we can promote innovative textiles made from green plant waste, such as bamboo and banana leaves. Bamboo gives a natural fibre with amazing properties and is even more absorbent than bio-cotton\(^1\). This fibre makes it possible to produce breathable, non-deformable textiles, soft to the touch and anti-UV and antibacterial\(^2\). As for the banana leaves, the resulting tissue is silky, flexible and wicks away moisture\(^3\).

Currently there is a surge in the production of homemade face masks as families struggle to protect themselves from infection in public places where it is difficult to exercise physical distancing protocols. However, are these masks effective? Are there standards for homemade face masks? It is crucial to promote standards for homemade masks, which will achieve maximum protection whilst maintaining breathability.

**Toward standardizing homemade facemask for maximum protection**

Homemade masks from various textile materials can slow the transmission of coronavirus by blocking outgoing virions from coughs or sneezes of infected individuals. But its ability to protect the wearer from extrinsic infection totally depends on the consistency and quality of the fabric used.

The fabric that is mostly recommended as a standard is the 100\% cotton plain-weave. The CDC recommends a double layer 100 percent cotton fabric with high thread count\(^4\).

By default, Coronavirus are pleomorphic spherical-shaped particles with bulbous surface knobs\(^5\). The size of the viral particle is about 120 nm (0.12 \(\mu\)m) in diameter. SARS CoV-2 virus specifically has a diameter of 60 - 140 nm (0.06 to 0.14 \(\mu\)m)\(^6\). However, the virus is highly contagious when it is engulfed within larger molecules of water or mucus membrane droplets emanating from the mouths and noses. These are constantly forcibly released when people living with COVID-19 cough, sneeze or talk. A homemade mask can stop such larger droplets. SARS-CoV-2 is usually transmitted via respiratory droplets of an infected individual measuring from 10-100 microns\(^7\). For the 1-micron sized bacteria used in the Cambridge study\(^2\), dish towels were found to be 83\% effective at blocking these particles, which was higher compared to the other household materials.

According to the New York Times\(^8\), Scientists from the Wake Forest University demonstrates that, “Holding fabric options up to a bright light and choosing something that doesn’t let a lot of light show through”. The scientist examined various homemade masks and identified a couple of combinations that represent the best options to be used. One is the to be a double-fold cotton that has a high thread count of not less than 180, the other will be a double-fold mask of cotton with an outer layer of being a regular cotton and an inner layer of flannel. In addition to the cotton fabric, filters could be used which will enhance its efficiency, HEPA furnace filters, vacuum cleaner bags, layers of 600-count pillowcases and fabric similar to flannel pyjamas, have all been determined to be efficient whilst scarves and bandanna material was found to be less efficient, even though it was capable of blocking some particles\(^9\).

But what you need is a strike a balance between breathability and filtration, scientists at Cambridge University evaluated the filtration and breathability of various kinds of house-made mask materials\(^10\). It was determined that house-made mask made from pillowcases or a cotton T-shirts were the best in terms of filtration and breathability. Children below two years are not supposed to wear face-mask and people who with breathing problems, unconscious, or anyone who cannot remove their mask by themselves.

**Guidelines to wearing face masks**

Some scientific experts believe that wearing face masks creates a false perception of security and may allow people to become more lax in their prevention approach\(^11\). In our opinion, any protection is better than no protection at all. Any type of face masks must be used appropriately and in tandem with all other safety protocols, such as physical distancing and frequent washing of hands with soap under running water. The general population must also be educated on how to use the mask appropriately such as washing hands before holding the face masks, not touching the front, and removing it from the straps over the ears to prevent cross infection with the mask.

**Appropriate use and management of face masks**\(^10\)

It is not sufficient to just wear face masks; they should be appropriately worn and managed after use\(^12\). Whether using a medical or a homemade mask, you must ensure that the mask covers your mouth and nose and ensures a good seal around. Always wash your hands before wearing the face mask. You should not touch the facemask when and after it has been worn. When the mask is touched, hands must be washed immediately\(^13\).

Besides appropriate use, medical masks disposal is “essential to ensure they are effective and to avoid any increase in the risk of transmission associated with the incorrect use and disposal of masks”\(^14\) once they are worn. A single-use mask has a protection period of 3 to 4 hours. Immediately afterwards, it must be removed appropriately, disposed appropriately\(^15\), and replaced by a new clean one if necessary. They should be removed from behind without touching the front of the mask and properly disposed quickly into a closed bin. In case there are unintentional touches, or immediately after removal, hands must be cleaned using an alcohol-based hand rub or soap and water if visibly soiled. Recyclable textile masks can be washed and disinfected either with high temperature (\(\geq 60 ^\circ \text{C}\)) or by hydro-alcoholic
solution. When not in use, they must be stored out of reach to avoid any contamination.\textsuperscript{38,40}

**A social scientist perspective**

While we remain enthusiastic that we could possibly reduce spread of coronavirus by up to 70% by the use of face masks\textsuperscript{31}, we also have to be realistic in acknowledging that the wearing of face masks is going to be influenced by the historical and cultural values in each region of the world. In China, face masks were first worn in 1910 during the pneumonic plague when the Wu Liande observed that the plague bacteria (*Yersinia pestis*) was aerosolised and recommended the use of existing surgeons’ masks by all health workers, patients, their immediate contacts and members of the public\textsuperscript{41}. Not only did the deaths decline, but since then, the face masks have since been used as markers of medical modernity in China\textsuperscript{41}. Indeed, in the 20\textsuperscript{th} and 21\textsuperscript{st} century, Chinese and other Asian national have used face masks to protect themselves from air pollution as well as disease\textsuperscript{32}. However, outside China and some Asian countries, the wearing of masks can attract stigmatization and may lead to those wearing them being avoided.

Due to the current health risks in open places, the widespread wearing of face masks may serve as an alert mechanism, so that seeing someone with a facemask becomes a reminder and makes one mindful of possible transmission of the virus. But if all individuals are seen wearing masks in public spaces, it lessens the chance of stigmatization for those who are infected and wearing a mask\textsuperscript{39}. Therefore, people wearing masks to protect themselves to avoid close person-to-person contact, are unintentionally via source control, protecting one another\textsuperscript{4}.

In addition to the historical and cultural principles, the economics of masks are wanting. While in some countries, the production of cheap textile face masks and homemade masks is being encouraged to address shortage, other countries have been found to use narratives that discourage general public from purchasing masks so that they are preserved for health workers\textsuperscript{40}. The hoarding of face masks is also a real challenge, especially in low and middle-income countries where the balance between buying a mask and meeting basic needs in times of these crisis is a tough balance\textsuperscript{41}.

Public health views concern who should be prioritized in wearing of face masks. After health workers on the frontline, the second category of people who must wear masks are those who are sick or with any form of symptoms. This category should be followed by those caring for the sick and then everyone else when in public places. But the real concern about the use of face masks by the general public is that they give individuals an illusion of safety and could undermine other preventive measures such as frequent washing of hands, physical distancing and isolation when having any symptoms. In addition, inappropriate use of masks may result in self-contamination from touching a contaminated mask.

Ultimately, the wearing of masks will reduce rate of community transmission, and also, will be a declaration of shared fate, mutual obligation and civic duty during this pandemic. As Christos Lynteris\textsuperscript{43}, a medical anthropologist, rightly puts it, face masks "are apparatuses of categorical transformation aimed at allowing humanity to persist at the brink end of the world as this is embodied by the spectre of the next pandemic".

**Conclusion**

Face masks will certainly not eliminate transmission of the coronavirus, but it is a simple mechanism that protects from unintended transmission from an infected or asymptomatic carrier. As we are facing a novel situation with limited alternative prevention measures\textsuperscript{4}, face masks are a level of protection that African countries should consider alongside other measures such as physical distancing and hygiene measures. During pandemics, health behaviours have the ability to improve one’s health, and the health of others. The appropriate wearing and management of face masks can increase compliance and self-efficacy, thereby empowering people, especially during a time where panic, helplessness and confusion are prevalent. Furthermore, as the pandemic continues in the African region with rising incidence cases and associated deaths\textsuperscript{46}, health authorities in every country must urgently decide on local mass mask wearing adoption and fulfill preparedness to avoid confusion in the anticipated difficulties that lie ahead\textsuperscript{4}. Facemasks may also play an important and crucial role in preventing future outbreaks of the new emerging coronavirus. Importantly, from an economic point of view, especially for African countries which the World Bank has already predicted going towards their first recession\textsuperscript{47}, implementing a partial lockdown that is complemented with multiple preventive measures such as face masks, physical distancing and hygienic practices, enables millions of populations to attend to their everyday sources of livelihoods, most of which are in the informal sector and have been adversely affected by the coronavirus outbreak. Face masks, being an extra layer of protection, will enable citizens to keep looking for a livelihood at the micro level even as the government addresses the socio-economic (and health security) needs at the macro level. Face masks, previously viewed as a luxury and a marker of medical modernity, then become a necessity to enable African economies and populations to remain resilient through this pandemic.
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Summary of the article

This article discusses the use of face masks in the prevention of COVID-19 in African countries. It is written with a purpose of making recommendations that inform African policy makers on COVID-19 mitigation strategy of mandatory use of face masks.

It outlines how different African countries are implementing preventive measures basing on evidence generated in other countries. It details how face mask usage and availability varies in different African countries. It further details existing opportunities on how face masks if made mandatory can be made available by using standardized homemade masks.

If made mandatory, the authors suggest educating the general population on appropriate use and management of face masks alongside the use of other WHO recommendations like washing hands and physical distancing.

In addition, the authors share a social scientist perspective that shows how discriminate wearing of face masks can lead to stigmatization and may have economic consequences if production of cheap face masks is not supported in Africa.

My comments and specific details as to how the authors can address them

The novel Situation
This article recommends the wearing of masks and mandatory use in all public places in Africa. This is mainly based on papers the authors reviewed. In the third paragraph, it is indeed noted that researchers are advocating for recommendation of face masks despite absence of evidence. Different arguments are being made that unfortunately were not mentioned by the authors. E.g. Greenhalgh et al (2020) paper. This particular article recommends the mandatory use of...
Facemasks as a precautionary principle, an argument that can be highlighted in this article as it is recommending mandatory use of facemasks despite absence of scientific evidence. Another school of thought (Cheng et al, 2020) are seconding mass face masking as per basic public health principle of controlling harms at the source\(^2\). Such arguments out there are good to mention too. Mention is made in the same section of recommendations from FDA and the US CDC, Africa CDC has also issued guidance encouraging wearing of face masks by health workers and suspect COVID-19 patients - [https://africacdc.org/download/2019-novel-coronavirus-disease-outbreak-what-health-care-workers-should-know/](https://africacdc.org/download/2019-novel-coronavirus-disease-outbreak-what-health-care-workers-should-know/) mention of this will highlight existing regional Africa recommendations on the use of face masks.

**Empirical evidence that mask can help slow the spread of COVID-19**

Most of the articles referenced focused on influenza virus but yet it still lacks current research e.g. this systematic review that found no evidence to support a protective effect of personal protective measures in reducing influenza transmission\(^3\). The argument needs to be balanced.

Furthermore, the review was not very comprehensive as it lacked current and relevant articles focusing on COVID-19. Though currently there is limited direct evidence, there are still some articles of relevance that can be used. For example: Section 4 of Matuscheck et al (2020)\(^4\), Teesing et al (2020)\(^5\) showed that homemade masks are an adequate alternative for commercially manufactured masks, such evidence is helpful to include. There are as well some preprint articles though not yet peer reviewed that can inform the current view on this topic e.g. Martin et al (2020) paper\(^6\). There have been systematic reviews done some published – Chu et al (2020)\(^7\) and others preprint, which can strengthen the literature review and as well create a well-balanced article e.g. Bakhit et al (2020)\(^8\). Other preprint articles include: Lai et al (2020)\(^9\), Brainard et al (2020)\(^10\), Marasinghe (2020)\(^11\) and Jones et al (2020)\(^12\).

This particular preprint by Nannyonga et al (2020) discusses use of community facemasks from the cost effectiveness point of view. It showed that providing a face mask simultaneously with supportive care saves government about USD 2.092\(^13\). As this article is targeting policy makers, such information can help inform their decision making process.

Another source to consider for existing evidence on face masks - [https://www.ed.ac.uk/usher/uncover/completed-uncover-reviews](https://www.ed.ac.uk/usher/uncover/completed-uncover-reviews)

Mention is made in the first paragraph of this section that “it is being argued, therefore that the reluctance of WHO to advocate for widespread use of face masks can be attributed to the fact that the current stock of face masks is primarily being reserved for frontline health care workers” who is arguing this? No reference is provided. Yet WHO provides these reasons “The use of medical masks in the community may create a false sense of security, with neglect of other essential measures, such as hand hygiene practices and physical distancing, and may lead to touching the face under the masks and under the eyes, result in unnecessary costs, and takes masks away from those in health care who need them most, especially when masks are in short supply.” - [https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/331693/WHO-2019-nCov-IPC_Masks-2020.3-eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y](https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/331693/WHO-2019-nCov-IPC_Masks-2020.3-eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y). It is more than just about stock levels, please rectify this.

The two visuals provided are confusing and hard to read. Africa CDC website - [https://africacdc.org/covid-19/](https://africacdc.org/covid-19/) provides better visuals to use. Addition of visuals of the different
types of face masks e.g. Filtering Face Piece (with and without a valve) or N95 mask, surgical mask and homemade masks will assist the reader know what exactly is being discussed. Other than assuming that all readers are familiar with the different types of face masks.

**Toward standardizing homemade facemask for maximum protection**

Reference is made to New York times (Reference 38) about how a homemade mask should be. It is good that the authors provided reference for this but the source used is weak. WHO offers excellent guidance on specifications of non-medical or homemade masks to use - https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/advice-on-the-use-of-masks-in-the-community-during-home-care-and-in-healthcare-settings-in-the-context-of-the-novel-coronavirus-(2019-ncov)-outbreak pages 8 – 10 and which are summarised in Table 4. As it is within WHO expertise to offer such guidance, I request that the authors use it instead of New York Times.

As this open letter is targeting policy makers, I feel these two sections of “Guidelines to wearing face masks” and “appropriate use and management of face masks” are irrelevant. WHO (https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/technical-guidance) has already put this guidance out that some African health ministries e.g. Uganda (https://www.health.go.ug/covid/technical-guidance/) have adopted, so there is no need for it to be included as it makes the article hard to follow while reading and may distract the reader from the gist of the matter.

**Social Scientist perspective**

These statements are made in the paragraph 4 “But the real concern about the use of face masks by the general public is that they give individuals an illusion of safety and could undermine other preventive measures such as frequent washing of hands, physical distancing and isolation when having any symptoms. In addition, inappropriate use of masks may result in self-contamination from touching a contaminated mask.” As I noted earlier, these concerns were raised by WHO why masks should not be mandatory, please provide in-text citation as the source of this is WHO.

**General comments**

As research is limited in this area and yet this article is intended for policy makers, the author should consider recommending research in this area. As recommended by AAS - https://reliefweb.int/report/world/research-and-development-goals-covid-19-africa, some areas of high importance recommended are “developing new PPE approaches using local materials and manufacturing processes, optimizing the effectiveness of PPE and its use in reducing the risk of transmission in health care and community settings”. These issues affect the mandatory use of facemasks in Africa that authors are proposing. Another good resource that will be of help is the WHO coordinated global research roadmap: 2019 Novel Coronavirus.

Citation mistakes have been noted in the article, instances where no citation is given and others where it is done twice in the same sentence. E.g. “The coronavirus could thus be transmitted via aerosols and there is even concern that this virus could also be transmitted when people are talking in close proximity.”

Concerning the description of the Filtering Face piece mask, this statement is made “These masks...
"protect from the inside than from the outside." Please provide a source for this as it is not general knowledge.

There are some typo errors though minor that may distract the reader. E.g. "One is the to be a double-fold cotton that has a high thread count of not less than 180" on page 6. Please keenly check the manuscript.
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- The paper addresses the effect of face mask wearing under the COVID-19 outbreak with a focus on African countries, highlighting the difficulties (economic, cultural, social) and challenges.

- The paper is well written and covers most of the issues.

- It remains that most arguments to support the usefulness of face masks to control COVID-19 transmission are based on indirect evidence from previous studies on other viruses.

- The social/cultural hurdles could be discussed further to underline why most African country citizens are not as highly motivated/disciplined as in other countries (mainly in Europe and Asia) and if strict regulations and measures will be able to change this "mental" setting.
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