Some logarithmic Minkowski inequalities for nonsymmetric convex bodies and related problems
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Abstract
In this paper, we show the existence of a solution to an even logarithmic Minkowski problem for \( p \)-capacity and prove some analogue inequalities of the logarithmic Minkowski inequality for general nonsymmetric convex bodies involving \( p \)-capacity.
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1 Introduction
A convex body in an \( n \)-dimensional Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^n \) is a compact convex set that has nonempty interior. The cone-volume measure \( V_K \) of a convex body \( K \) is a Borel measure on the unit sphere \( S^{n-1} \) defined for a Borel \( \omega \in S^{n-1} \) by

\[
V_K(\omega) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{x \in g_K^{-1}(\omega)} x \cdot g_K \, dH^{n-1}(x),
\]

where \( g_K : \partial K \rightarrow S^{n-1} \) is the Gauss map of \( K \), defined on \( \partial K \), the set of boundary points of \( K \) that have a unique outer unit normal, and \( H^{n-1} \) is an \((n-1)\)-dimensional Hausdorff measure, see, e.g., [5, 20, 24, 28]. The cone-volume measure of a convex body has clear geometric significance. Böröczky et al. in [4] posed the subspace concentration condition and completely solved the even Minkowski problem. The problem asks: What are the necessary and sufficient conditions on a finite Borel measure \( \mu \) on \( S^{n-1} \) such that \( \mu \) is the cone-volume measure of a convex body in \( \mathbb{R}^n \)? In [30], Zhu solved the case of discrete measures whose supports are in general position. Uniqueness for the logarithmic Minkowski problem was completely settled for even measures in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) in [3]. Recently, Stancu [23] proved the logarithmic Minkowski inequality for nonsymmetric convex bodies. Wang, Xu, and Zhou [25] gave the \( L_p \) version of Stancu’s results. For more results, see, e.g., [2, 4, 21, 25, 26, 30].

In his celebrated paper [17], Jerison solved the Minkowski problem for the capacitary measure, the measure that is the variational functional arising from the electrostatic capacity. Colesanti et al. in [9] extended Jerison’s work on electrostatic capacity to \( p \)-capacity.
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Naturally, the Minkowski problem for $p$-capacity was posed [9]: Given a finite Borel measure $\mu$ on $S^{n-1}$, what are the necessary and sufficient conditions on $\mu$ so that $\mu$ is the $p$-capacitary measure $\mu_p (K, \cdot)$ of convex body $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$? The authors in [9] proved the uniqueness of the solution when $1 < p < n$ and existence and regularity when $1 < p < 2$, the existence for $2 < p < n$ was solved by Akman et al. [1]. Inspired by the $L_p$ Minkowski problem for volume, Zou and Xiong [31] initiated the research into the $L_q$ Minkowski problem for $p$-capacitary measure. For more results, see, e.g., [6–8, 15–17, 19, 27, 29].

1.1 Main results
In this paper, we study the even logarithmic Minkowski problem and logarithmic Minkowski inequality for $p$-capacity. Our first result is to solve the existence part of the even logarithmic Minkowski problem for $p$-capacity. The problem asks: What are the necessary and sufficient conditions on a finite Borel measure $\mu$ on $S^{n-1}$ such that $\mu$ is the $L_0^p$-capacitary measure of an origin-symmetric convex body in $\mathbb{R}^n$? Our proof is based on the techniques in [4, 9, 23]. In order to solve the existence of the even logarithmic Minkowski problem, we use the definition of subspace concentration inequality in [4].

**Definition 1.1** ([4]) A finite Borel measure $\mu$ on $S^{n-1}$ is said to satisfy the subspace concentration inequality if, for every subspace $\xi$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $0 < \dim \xi < n$,

$$\mu(\xi \cap S^{n-1}) \leq \frac{1}{n} \mu(S^{n-1}) \dim \xi. \quad (1.1)$$

The measure is said to satisfy the subspace concentration condition if, in addition to satisfying the subspace concentration inequality (1.1), whenever

$$\mu(\xi \cap S^{n-1}) = \frac{1}{n} \mu(S^{n-1}) \dim \xi,$$

for some subspace $\xi$, then there exists a subspace $\xi'$, which is complementary to $\xi$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, so that

$$\mu(\xi' \cap S^{n-1}) = \frac{1}{n} \mu(S^{n-1}) \dim \xi'.$$

**Theorem 1.1** Let $\mu$ be a nonzero finite even Borel measure on $S^{n-1}$ and $1 < p < 2$. Suppose that $\mu$ satisfies the strict subspace concentration inequality and $\mu([-u]) = 0$ whenever $\mu([u]) > 0$ for $u \in S^{n-1}$. Then the measure $\mu$ is the $L_0$ $p$-capacitary measure of an origin-symmetric convex body in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Next, we prove the modified logarithmic Minkowski inequality for $p$-capacity.

**Theorem 1.2** Suppose $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_n$ and $1 < p < n$. Then

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p(L, K, u) \geq \ln \left( \frac{C_p(L, K)}{C_p(L)} \right) \geq \frac{1}{n - p} \ln \left( \frac{C_p(K)}{C_p(L)} \right).$$

Equality holds if and only if $K$ and $L$ are homothetic.
Write \( \left( \frac{h_K}{H_L} \right)_{\text{average}} := \frac{\int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{h_K(u)}{H_L(u)} dC_p(L, u)}{\int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{h_L(u)}{H_L(u)} dC_p(L, u)} = \frac{C_p(L, K)}{C_p(L, L)} \), \( \left( \frac{h_K}{H_L} \right)_{\text{max}} := \max_{u \in \text{supp} C_p(L, L)} \frac{h_K(u)}{H_L(u)} \), \( \left( \frac{h_K}{H_L} \right)_{\text{min}} := \min_{u \in \text{supp} C_p(L, K)} \frac{h_K(u)}{H_L(u)} \). We obtain the third result.

**Theorem 1.3** Suppose \( K, L \in K^*_o \) with \( L \subseteq K \) and \( 1 < p < n \), then

\[
\int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{H_L(u)} \right) dC_p(L, u) \geq \frac{\left( \frac{h_K}{H_L} \right)_{\text{average}}}{\max \left( \frac{h_K}{H_L} \right)} \frac{1}{n-p} \ln \left( \frac{C_p(K)}{C_p(L)} \right) + \ln \left( \frac{h_K}{H_L} \right)_{\text{min}} \left( 1 - \frac{\left( \frac{h_K}{H_L} \right)_{\text{average}}}{\max \left( \frac{h_K}{H_L} \right)} \right).
\]

Equality holds if and only if \( K = L \).

In general, we have

\[
\int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{H_L(u)} \right) dC_p(L, u) \geq \frac{\left( \frac{h_K}{H_L} \right)_{\text{average}}}{\max \left( \frac{h_K}{H_L} \right)} \frac{1}{n-p} \ln \left( \frac{C_p(K)}{C_p(L)} \right) + \ln \left( \frac{h_K}{H_L} \right)_{\text{min}} \left( 1 - \frac{\left( \frac{h_K}{H_L} \right)_{\text{average}}}{\max \left( \frac{h_K}{H_L} \right)} \right).
\]

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we give a minimization problem for \( p \)-capacity. In Sect. 3, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Sect. 4, we prove Theorem 1.2 and its application.

### 1.2 Preliminaries

For quick reference, we collect some basic facts on the theory of convex bodies. Good references are the books by Schneider [22].

Denote by \( K^o \) the set of convex bodies in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and by \( K^o_o \) the set of convex bodies with the origin \( o \) in its interiors. Let \( h_K \) and \( h_L \) be the support functions \( h_K(u) = h(K, u) := \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ x \cdot u : x \in K \} \), where \( x \cdot u \) denotes the inner product of \( u \) and \( x \) of \( K \). Let \( I \subset \mathbb{R} \) be an interval containing 0, \( C^+(S^{n-1}) \) be a class of continuous and positive functions on \( S^{n-1} \), \( C^e(S^{n-1}) \) means the subsets of \( C^+(S^{n-1}) \) are the even functions, and assume that \( h_t(u) = h(t, u) : I \times S^{n-1} \to (0, +\infty) \) is continuous. Put

\[
\Omega_t = \bigcap_{u \in S^{n-1}} \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot u \leq h_t(u) \}.
\]

The convex body \( \Omega_t \) is called the Aleksandrov body associated with \( h_t \). Via the support function, Böröczky et al. in [3] defined the log Minkowski combination \( (1 - \lambda) \cdot K + \lambda \cdot L \), that is,

\[
(1 - \lambda) \cdot K + \lambda \cdot L = \bigcap_{u \in S^{n-1}} \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot u \leq h_K(u)^{1-\lambda} h_L(u)^\lambda \}.
\]

The surface area measure \( S_K \) of a convex body \( K \) is a Borel measure on the unit sphere \( S^{n-1} \) defined for a Borel \( \omega \in S^{n-1} \) by

\[
S_K(\omega) = \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+(\omega)} dH^{n-1}(x).
\]
Let \( \Omega \) be a bounded convex domain in \( \mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 3 \), \( \overline{\Omega} \) be its closure. The equilibrium potential \( u = u_\Omega \) is the unique solution to the boundary value problem

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta_p u &= 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \\
u &= 1 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega, \\
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} u(x) &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \Delta_p \) is the \( p \)-Laplace operator and \( 1 < p < n \). Due to Dahlberg [12], we can see that \( \nabla u \) has non-tangential limits almost everywhere on \( \partial \Omega \) and \( |\nabla u| \in L^p(\partial \Omega, H^{n-1}) \). The \( p \)-capacity was defined by

\[
C_p(\Omega) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u_\Omega|^p \, dx : u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), u \geq 1 \text{ on } \Omega \right\},
\]

where \( C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \) is the set of \( C^\infty \) functions in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) with compact support. In [9], the authors proved the following Hadamard variational formula for \( p \)-capacity. Let \( K, L \in K_n \) and \( 1 < p < n \),

\[
\frac{d}{dt} C_p(K + tL)|_{t=0^+} = (p-1) \int_{S^{n-1}} h(L, u) \, d\mu_p(K, u),
\]

where the \( p \)-capacitary measure \( \mu_p(K, E) \) is defined by

\[
\mu_p(K, E) = \int_{K^{-1}(E)} |\nabla u_K|^p \, dH^{n-1},
\]

and the Poincaré \( p \)-capacity formula

\[
C_p(K) = \frac{p-1}{n-p} \int_{S^{n-1}} h(K, u) \, d\mu_p(K, u) = \frac{p-1}{n-p} \int_{\partial K} |\nabla u|^p (x \cdot g_K) \, dH^{n-1}(x). \tag{1.5}
\]

2 A minimization problem

In this section, we study a minimization problem, its solution also solves the logarithmic Minkowski problem for \( p \)-capacity. The following lemma will be needed.

**Lemma 2.1** ([9]) Let \( h(t, u) : I \times S^{n-1} \to (0, +\infty) \) be continuous and \( \{K_t\}_{t \in I} \) be the family of Aleksandrov domain associated with \( h_t \). If

\[
h'_t(0, u) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{h(t, u) - h(0, u)}{t}
\]

is uniform on \( S^{n-1} \), then

\[
\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{C_p(K_t) - C_p(K_0)}{t} = (p-1) \int_{S^{n-1}} h'_t(0, u) \, d\mu_p(K_0, u).
\]

With Lemma 2.1 in hand, we use the definition of log Minkowski combination to prove the following result.
Lemma 2.2 Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ and $f \in C^+(S^{n-1})$ be nonnegative. If $1 < p < n$, then

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{C_p(K + t \cdot f) - C_p(K)}{t} = (p - 1) \int_{S^{n-1}} h(K, u) \ln f \, d\mu_p(K, u).$$

Proof Let $0 < t_0 < +\infty$, consider the interval $[0, t_0]$. We have

$$h'((0, u)) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{h(t, u) - h(0, u)}{t} = h(K, u) \ln f \quad \text{for all } u \in S^{n-1}.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{C_p(K + t \cdot f) - C_p(K)}{t} = (p - 1) \int_{S^{n-1}} h(K, u) \ln f \, d\mu_p(K, u).$$

\[ \square \]

Corollary 2.1 Suppose $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ and $1 < p < n$, then

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{C_p(K + t \cdot L) - C_p(K)}{t} = (p - 1) \int_{S^{n-1}} h(K, u) \ln h(L, u) \, d\mu_p(K, u).$$

In view of the above result, we give the following definitions.

Definition 2.1 Let $1 < p < n$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, then the Borel measure $\mu_{p,0}(K, \cdot)$ on $S^{n-1}$, defined by

$$\mu_{p,0}(K, \omega) = \int_{\omega} h(K, u) \, d\mu_p(K, u)$$

for $\omega \subset S^{n-1}$, is called the $L_0$ $p$-capacitary measure of $K$.

Definition 2.2 Let $1 < p < n$ and $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$, then $L_0$ mixed $p$-capacity of $K$ and $L$ is defined by

$$C_{p,0}(K, L) = \frac{p - 1}{n - p} \int_{S^{n-1}} h(K, u) \ln h(L, u) \, d\mu_p(K, u).$$

The next lemma shows that $C_{p,0}(K, L)$ is continuous in $(K, L)$.

Lemma 2.3 Let $K_i, L_i, K, L \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ and $1 < p < n$. Assume that $(K_i, L_i) \to (K, L)$ as $i \to \infty$, then $C_{p,0}(K_i, L_i) \to C_{p,0}(K, L)$.

Proof By the assumption $(K_i, L_i) \to (K, L)$, we have $h_{K_i} \to h_K, h_{L_i} \to h_L$ uniformly on $S^{n-1}$, and $\mu_p(K_i, \cdot) \to \mu_p(K, \cdot)$ weakly. It follows that $h_{K_i} \ln h_{L_i} \to h_K \ln h_L$ uniformly on $S^{n-1}$. By Definition 2.2, the desired limit is obtained. \[ \square \]

The weak convergence of $p$-capacitary measure implies the weak convergence of $\mu_{p,0}$ as follows.

Lemma 2.4 Let $K_i, K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ and $1 < p < n$. If $K_i \to K$ as $i \to \infty$, then $\mu_{p,0}(K_i) \to \mu_{p,0}(K)$ weakly.
We now consider the minimization problem

$$\inf\{ \Phi_\mu(K) : C_p(K) = |\mu| \text{ and } K \in K^n_e \},$$

where $K^n_e$ is an origin-symmetric convex body with nonempty interior, $\mu$ is a finite even Borel measure on $S^{n-1}$ with total mass $|\mu| > 0$, and the logarithmic functional $\Phi_\mu : K^n_e \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\Phi_\mu(K) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln h_K \, d\mu.$$  

The following theorem shows a solution to the logarithmic Minkowski type problem for the measure $\mu$ is a solution to minimization problem for the function $\Phi_\mu$.

**Theorem 2.1** Let $\mu$ be a finite even Borel measure on $S^{n-1}$ with $|\mu| > 0$ and $1 < p < n$. If $K$ is an origin-symmetric convex body such that $C_p(K) = |\mu|$ and

$$\Phi_\mu(K) = \inf\{ \Phi_\mu(Q) : C_p(Q) = |\mu|, Q \in K^n_e \},$$

then the measure $\mu$ is the $L_0$ p-capacitary measure of $K$.

**Proof** Clearly, we may assume that $\mu$ is a probability measure. Next, we consider the minimization problem

$$\inf_{f \in C^+_e(S^{n-1})} F(f),$$

where the continuous functional $F : C^+_e(S^{n-1}) \to (0, +\infty)$ by

$$F(f) = \frac{\exp \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln f \, d\mu}{C_p(K_f)^{\frac{1}{p}}}.$$  

Here $K_f$ denotes the Wulff shape of $f \in C^+_e(S^{n-1})$. Notice that $F$ is homogeneous of degree $0$, i.e., $F(sf) = F(f)$ for $s > 0$. By the properties of Aleksandrov body, we have $h_{K_f} \leq f$. According to Lemma 2.1 in [31], we have $C_p(f) = C_p(K_f)$; in addition, $C_p(K_f) = C_p(h_{K_f})$, which yields $F(K_f) \leq F(f)$. Therefore, we shall search for the infimum of $F$ among the support functions of origin-symmetric convex bodies. It follows that the infimum of $F$

$$\inf_{f \in C^+_e(S^{n-1})} F(f) = \inf\{ \exp(\Phi_\mu(Q)) : C_p(Q) = 1, Q \in K^n_e \}.$$  

Obviously, the right infimum is attained at $K \in K^n_e$. Thus, the support function $h_K > 0$ is a solution of minimization problem, i.e.,

$$\inf_{f \in C^+_e(S^{n-1})} F(f) = F(h_K).$$  

Given the function $h_t = h(\cdot, t) : S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \to (0, \infty)$ is defined by

$$h_t = h(\cdot, t) = h_K \exp(tf),$$
by the function $F(h_t)$ has a minimum at $t = 0$, this implies that

$$
\frac{d}{dt} F(h_t) = 0.
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{h_t - h_0}{t} = fh_K, \quad \text{uniformly on } S^{n-1},
$$

it follows from $C_p(K) = 1$ that

$$
\left[ \frac{p - 1}{n - p} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(u) h_K(u) d\mu_p(K, u) + \int_{S^{n-1}} f(u) d\mu(u) \right] \exp \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln h_K(u) d\mu(u) \right) = 0,
$$

which yields

$$
\frac{p - 1}{n - p} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(u) d\mu_p,0(K, u) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f(u) d\mu(u).
$$

Since $f \in C^*_c(S^{n-1})$ is arbitrary, we conclude that

$$
d\mu(\cdot) = \frac{p - 1}{n - p} d\mu_p,0(K, \cdot),
$$

this completes the proof of the theorem.\hfill \Box

## 3 Logarithmic Minkowski problem

In the previous section, we have proved the existence of a solution to the logarithmic Minkowski problem by using the variational argument. In this section, we show the proof of the main result.

**Lemma 3.1** Let $\mu$ be an even finite Borel measure on $S^{n-1}$ satisfying the strict subspace concentration inequality. If $1 < p < n$ and $\mu(|u|) = 0$ whenever $\mu(\{u\}) > 0$, then there exists an origin-symmetric convex body $K$ so that

$$
\inf \left\{ \Phi_{\mu}(Q) : C_p(Q) = |\mu|, Q \in K^n_c \right\} = \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln h_K d\mu.
$$

**Proof** Without loss of generality, we assume that $|\mu| = 1$. Let $Q_l$ be the minimizing sequence of origin-symmetric convex bodies, that is, $Q_l$ satisfies the $C_p(Q_l) = 1$ and

$$
\lim_{l \to \infty} \Phi_{\mu}(Q_l) = \inf_{Q \in K^n_c} \left\{ \Phi_{\mu}(Q) : C_p(Q) = 1, Q \in K^n_c \right\}.
$$

Taking $L = \gamma^{-\frac{1}{p}} B_n$, $\gamma = \left(\frac{p}{n - p}\right)^{1-p} \omega_n$, here $B_n$ is a unit ball. Then $C_p(L) = 1$, it follows that

$$
\lim_{l \to \infty} \Phi_{\mu}(Q_l) \leq -\frac{1}{n - p} \ln \gamma.
$$

(3.1)
By John’s theorem [18] associated with $Q_l$, there exists an origin-symmetric ellipsoid $E_l$ so that

$$E_l \subseteq Q_l \subseteq \sqrt{n}E_l.$$  

Note that, for every origin-symmetric ellipsoid $E_l$, there is a cross-polytope $P_l$ denoted by $P_l = \{ \pm a_1 u_1, \ldots, \pm a_n u_n \}$ such that $P_l \subseteq E_l \subseteq \sqrt{n} P_l$. Hence, $P_l \subseteq Q_l \subseteq n P_l$. It follows from $C_p(Q_l) = 1$ that $C_p(P_l) \geq n^{-\frac{p}{p'}}$. We next claim that suppose $K$ is a convex body containing the origin and satisfying $V(K) = 0$, then $C_p(K) = 0$. In fact, since

$$0 = V(K) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h_K(u) dS(K, u)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \int_{\{h_K > 0\}} h_K(u) dS(K, u) + \frac{1}{n} \int_{\{h_K = 0\}} h_K(u) dS(K, u)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \int_{\{h_K > 0\}} h_K(u) dS(K, u).$$

It follows that $S(K, [h_K > 0]) = 0$, which implies $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(g_K^{-1}( [h_K > 0])) = 0$. Together with the fact that $|\nabla u|^p$ is integrable on $\partial K$, we have

$$\mu_p(K, [h_K > 0]) = \int_{g_K^{-1}([h_K > 0])} |\nabla u|^p \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) = 0.$$  

By (1.5), we have $C_p(K) = 0$. Thus, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $V(K) \geq c C_p(K)$. This yields

$$\prod_{i=1}^n a_{il} = \frac{n! V(P_l)}{2^n} \geq \frac{c n! C_p(P_l)}{2^n} : = \gamma_1.$$  

Assume that $Q_l$ is not bounded. Then $P_l$ is not bounded, thus there exists $a_{il}$ such that $\lim_{r \to \infty} a_{il} = \infty$. Applying Lemma 6.2 in [3] to $P_l' = \gamma_1^{\frac{1}{2}} P_l$ implies that $\Phi_{\mu}(P_l')$ is not bounded, which gives that $\Phi_{\mu}(Q_l)$ is not bounded. This contradicts (3.1). Therefore, the sequence $Q_l$ is bounded. By Blaschke’s selection theorem, $Q_l$ has a subsequence that converges to an origin-symmetric convex body $K$. In the following, we prove $K \in \mathbb{K}_n$. By [13], p. 179, which contradicts that $C_p(K) = 1$. Let dim $K = n-1$, there exists a unit vector $u \in S^{n-1}$ such that $K \subseteq u^\perp$. Then $u, -u \in \text{supp } \mu$. But $\mu$ satisfies $\mu([-u]) = 0$ whenever $\mu([u]) > 0$ for any $u \in S^{n-1}$, which is a contradiction. This gives the desired result.  

We get the proof of Theorem 1.1 directly from Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 3.1.

4 Logarithmic Minkowski type inequality

In this section, we set $dC_p(L, K, \cdot) = \frac{1}{n-p} h_K d\mu_p(L, \cdot)$ and $dC_p(L, \cdot) = \frac{1}{n-p} h_L d\mu_p(L, \cdot)$. Then

$$C_p(L, K) = \int_{S^{n-1}} dC_p(L, K, u) \text{ and } C_p(L) = \int_{S^{n-1}} dC_p(L, u).$$

Clearly,

$$dC_p^*(L, K, \cdot) = \frac{1}{C_p(L, K)} dC_p(L, K, \cdot)$$
and \( dC^*_p(L,u) = \frac{1}{C_p(L)} dC_p(L,u) \) are their normalization, respectively. Now, we give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2** Consider the function \( f : [1, +\infty] \to \mathbb{R} \) defined by
\[
f(q) = \frac{1}{C_p(L, K)} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right)^{\frac{q}{n}} dC_p(L, u).
\] (4.1)

According to Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem, we obtain: as \( q \to \infty \),
\[
\int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right)^{\frac{q}{n}} dC_p(L, u) \to C_p(L, K)
\]
and
\[
\int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right)^{\frac{q}{n}} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p(L, u) \to \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p(L, u).
\]

Using L’Hôpital’s rule, we have
\[
\lim_{q \to \infty} \ln(f(q))^{q+n} = \lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{n}{q} \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p(L, u)
\]
\[
= -\frac{n}{C_p(L, K)} \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p(L, u)
\]
\[
= -\frac{n}{C_p(L, K)} \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p(L, K, u). \tag{4.2}
\]

By (4.1) and (4.2), we have
\[
\exp \left[ -n \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC^*_p(L, K, u) \right]
\]
\[
= \lim_{q \to \infty} \left[ \frac{1}{C_p(L, K)} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right)^{\frac{q}{n}} dC_p(L, u) \right]^{nq}. \tag{4.3}
\]

The reverse Hölder inequality gives
\[
\left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right)^{\frac{q}{n}} dC_p(L, u) \right)^{\frac{nq}{q'}} \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} dC_p(L, u) \right)^{-\frac{nq}{q'}} \leq C_p(L, K).
\]
Equality holds if and only if \( K \) is homothetic to \( L \). This gives the first inequality of Theorem 1.2.

Now, we prove the second inequality of Theorem 1.2. Using Minkowski’s inequality for \( p \)-capacity \([10]\), we have
\[
C_p(L, K) \geq C_p(K)^{\frac{1}{p'}} C_p(L)^{\frac{1}{p'}}. \tag{4.4}
\]
Equality holds if and only if \( K \) is homothetic to \( L \). \( \square \)
Similarly, we obtain the reverse form of Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 4.1** Let \( K, L \in K^n \) and \( 1 < p < n \). Then

\[
\int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p(L, u) \leq \frac{C_p(L, K)}{C_p(L)} \leq \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p^*(L, K, u),
\]

with equality if and only if \( K \) is homothetic to \( L \).

**First proof** Via the same idea in Theorem 1.2, we have

\[
\exp \left( -\frac{1}{C_p(L)} \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p(L, u) \right) = \lim_{q \to \infty} \left[ \frac{1}{C_p(L)} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q+1}} dC_p(L, u) \right]^{n/q}.
\]

From the reverse Hölder inequality, we have

\[
\left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q+1}} dC_p(L, u) \right)^{q+n} \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} dC_p(L, u) \right)^{1-q-n} \leq C_p(L, K).
\]

Thus,

\[
\frac{1}{C_p(L)} \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \left( \frac{h_K}{h_L} \right) dC_p(L) \leq \ln \left( \frac{C_p(L, K)}{C_p(L)} \right).
\]

**Second proof** We will use Gibbs’ inequality [11], i.e., let \( f \) and \( g \) be the probability density functions on a measure space \((X, \nu)\), then \( \int f \ln f \, d\nu \geq \int f \ln g \, d\nu \), equality holds if and only if \( f = g \). On the one hand, let \( f \, d\nu(\cdot) = \frac{h_K}{h_K C_p(L)} C_p(L, K, \cdot) \) and \( g \, d\nu(\cdot) = \frac{1}{C_p(L, K)} C_p(L, K, \cdot) \). By Gibbs’ inequality in [11], we have

\[
\int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{h_K(u)}{h_K(u) C_p(L)} \ln \left( \frac{h_L(u)}{h_K(u) C_p(L)} \right) C_p(L) dC_p(L, K, u)
\]

\[
\geq \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{1}{C_p(L, K)} \ln \left( \frac{1}{C_p(L, K)} \right) dC_p(L, K, u),
\]

which implies that

\[
\int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \left( \frac{h_L(u)}{h_K(u)} \right) dC_p^*(L, u) \leq \ln \left( \frac{C_p(L, K)}{C_p(L)} \right).
\]

According to the equality condition, we know that \( K \) is homothetic to \( L \).

On the other hand, we set \( f \, d\nu(\cdot) = \frac{1}{C_p(L, K)} C_p(L, K, \cdot) \) and \( g \, d\nu(\cdot) = \frac{h_L}{h_K C_p(L)} C_p(L, K, \cdot) \), then

\[
\int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{1}{C_p(L, K)} \ln \left( \frac{1}{C_p(L, K)} \right) C_p(L) dC_p(L, K, u)
\]

\[
\geq \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{h_L(u)}{h_K(u) C_p(L)} \ln \left( \frac{h_L(u)}{h_K(u) C_p(L)} \right) C_p(L, K, u),
\]
which is equivalent to the following inequality:

\[
\int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \left( \frac{h_L(u)}{h_K(u)} \right) dC_p(L, K, u) \geq \ln \left( \frac{C_p(L, K)}{C_p(L)} \right),
\]

with equality if and only if \( K \) is homothetic to \( L \). This completes the proof of the theorem.

Moreover, we show the logarithmic Minkowski type inequality for \( p \)-capacity.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3** Let \( q \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( 1 < p < n \), consider the function

\[
G(q) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right)^q \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p(L, u),
\]

since \( L \subseteq K \), the function \( G(q) \) is nonnegative. If \( \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) \equiv 0, u \in \text{supp} \ C_p(L, \cdot) \), then \( G \equiv 0 \), which implies that \( G(1) \geq G(0) > 0 \). If \( G(1) = G(0) \), then \( K = L \). So we assume \( G(1) > G(0) \).

We now claim that \( G(q) \) is a log-convex function. In fact, let \( t \in (0,1) \), by Hölder’s inequality, we get that

\[
\begin{align*}
G((1-t)q_1 + tq_2) &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right)^{(1-t)q_1 + tq_2} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p(L, u) \\
&\leq \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right)^{q_1} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p(L, u) \right)^{1-t} \\
&\quad \times \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right)^{q_2} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p(L, u) \right)^t \\
&= G(q_1)^{1-t}G(q_2)^t.
\end{align*}
\]

Applying the Hadamard type inequality in [14], we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{G(1) - G(0)}{\ln G(1) - \ln G(0)} &\geq \int_0^1 \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right)^q \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p(L, u) dq. \\
&\quad \geq \int_0^1 \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right)^q \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p(L, u) dq.
\end{align*}
\]

(4.3)

From Fubini–Tonelli’s theorem, we obtain

\[
G(0) \geq G(1) \exp \left( - \frac{G(1) - G(0)}{\int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right)^q \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p(L, u) dq \right)
\]

\[
= G(1) \exp \left( - \frac{G(1) - G(0)}{\int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right)^q \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p(L, u) \right).
\]

Notice that

\[
\int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} - 1 \right) dC_p(L, u) \leq \ln \left( \frac{h_K}{h_L} \right)_{\text{max}}.
\]
Thus, by Theorem 1.2, we have

\[
\int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p^*(L, u) \\
\geq \exp \left( - \ln \left( \frac{h_K}{h_L} \right) \max \right) C_p(L, K) C_p(L) \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p^*(L, K, u) \\
\geq \frac{\left( \frac{h_K}{h_L} \right)_{\text{average}}}{\left( \frac{h_K}{h_L} \right)_{\text{max}}} \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p^*(L, K, u) \\
\geq \frac{\left( \frac{h_K}{h_L} \right)_{\text{average}}}{\left( \frac{h_K}{h_L} \right)_{\text{max}}} \frac{1}{n - p} \ln \left( \frac{C_p(K)}{C_p(L)} \right). 
\tag{4.4}
\]

Suppose \( G(q) = 0 \), then \( h_K(u) = h_L(u) \) for almost all \( u \) in \( L_0 \) \( p \)-capacitary measure of \( L \), or equivalently with respect to the \( p \)-capacitary measure of \( L \). This implies that \( C_p(L, K) = C_p(L) \). According to the equality condition of Minkowski inequality for \( p \)-capacity and \( L \subseteq K \), we obtain \( K = L \).

Assume that \( K, L \in K^*_n \) are arbitrary and \( L \) is not included in \( K \), then there exists \( 0 < t < 1 \) such that \( tL \subseteq K \). By (4.4), we have

\[
\int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p^*(tL, u) \geq \frac{\left( \frac{h_K}{h_L} \right)_{\text{average}}}{\left( \frac{h_K}{h_L} \right)_{\text{max}}} \frac{1}{n - p} \ln \left( \frac{C_p(K)}{C_p(tL)} \right),
\]

which is equivalent to the following inequality:

\[
\int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p^*(L, u) \\
\geq \frac{\left( \frac{h_K}{h_L} \right)_{\text{average}}}{\left( \frac{h_K}{h_L} \right)_{\text{max}}} \frac{1}{n - p} \ln \left( \frac{C_p(K)}{C_p(L)} \right) + \ln t \left( 1 - \frac{\left( \frac{h_K}{h_L} \right)_{\text{average}}}{\left( \frac{h_K}{h_L} \right)_{\text{max}}} \right).
\]

Taking \( t = \min_{u \in \text{supp} C_p(K)} \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \), we obtain the second inequality, with equality if and only if \( K \) and \( L \) are homothetic.

Obviously, Theorem 1.3 implies the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.1** Let \( K, L \in K^*_n \) and \( 1 < p < n \). If there exists a constant \( t > 0 \) such that \( tL \subseteq K \) with \( h_K(u) = h_L(u) \) for \( u \) in \( L_0 \) \( p \)-capacitary measure of \( L \), then

\[
\int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p^*(L, u) \geq \frac{1}{n - p} \ln \left( \frac{C_p(K)}{C_p(L)} \right).
\]

Equality holds if and only if \( K = tL \).

Finally, we shall give an application of Theorem 1.2. Let \( F \) be the set of all convex bodies with positive continuous curvature functions and \( L \) be a convex body in \( F \) with a curvature function \( f_\theta \). To simplify the notation, we write \( K^\ast = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot y \leq 1, y \in K \} \) to be the polar body of \( K \) and \( \Phi_p(L) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{\left| \nabla u_L \right|^{p-1}}{n} dS(u) \).
Theorem 4.2 If $K \in K^n_o$, $1 < p < n$, and $L \in K^n_o \cap \mathcal{F}_n$, then

$$V(K)V(K^*) \geq \frac{1}{n(n-p)^n} \Phi_p(L)^{n+1} \frac{V(K)}{C_p(L)^n} c(p, K, L),$$

where $c(p, K, L) := \left( \exp \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p(L, K, u) \right) \right)^n$.

Proof Let $K$ and $L$ be distinct, by Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\exp \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p^*(L, K, u) \right) \geq \frac{C_p(L, K)}{C_p(L)} \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) \int_{S^{n-1}} h_K(u) f_L |\nabla u|_L^p dS(u).$$

Using the reverse Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} h_K f_L |\nabla u|_L^p dS(u) \geq \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} h_K^{-\frac{n}{p-1}} dS(u) \right)^{-\frac{1}{n}} \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} f_L \frac{1}{p-1} |\nabla u|_L^p dS(u) \right)^{\frac{n+1}{n}} \frac{1}{(nV(K^*))^{\frac{1}{n}}} \Phi_p(L)^{\frac{n+1}{n}}.$$ 

Thus

$$\exp \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p^*(L, K, u) \right) \geq \frac{1}{(n-p)^n C_p(L)} \left( nV(K^*) \right)^{-\frac{1}{n}} \Phi_p(L)^{\frac{n+1}{n}},$$

which implies that

$$\left( \exp \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \ln \left( \frac{h_K(u)}{h_L(u)} \right) dC_p^*(L, K, u) \right) \right)^n \geq \frac{1}{n(n-p)^n C_p(L)^n V(K^*)} \Phi_p(L)^{n+1}.$$ 

This gives the desired inequality.

For the case $K = L \in K^n_o \cap \mathcal{F}_n$, according to the above proof, we also obtain the desired inequality. \qed

Taking $K = L$, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.2 If $K \in K^n_o \cap \mathcal{F}_n$ and $1 < p < n$, then

$$V(K)V(K^*) \geq \frac{1}{n(n-p)^n} \frac{V(K)}{C_p(K)^n} \Phi_p(K)^{n+1}.$$ 
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