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Temporary Bonding with Polydimethylglutarimide Based Lift Off Resist as a Layer Transfer Platform
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a Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia 23529, USA
b U. S. Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC 20375, USA
*cNRC Postdoctoral Fellow Residing at NRL, Washington, DC 20375, USA

Bonding of lift off resist (LOR) was performed to realize temporary wafer bonding without residue. Bonding process conditions such as spin speed, pre-bake temperature, and bonding temperature were optimized to obtain a large bonded area with high bond strength. Under optimized process conditions, a bonded area covering over 98% of the wafer surface, with a room temperature bond strength of nearly 5 J/m² is achieved. During razor blade testing, fracture often occurs at the Si wafer. Moreover, debonding using an N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP)-based solvent left the wafer surface extremely small amount of residue. Thus, the optimized bonding process developed in this research is suitable for a clean temporary bonding process.

The practicability of LOR as a temporary wafer bond adhesive is investigated by systematically varying critical parameters, such as LOR deposition spin speed, pre-bake temperature, and bonding temperature.

Results and Discussion

As transistor scaling approaches fundamental limits, advancements in future semiconductor technology requires novel technologies such as 3D stacking of integrated circuits and novel material integration, such as graphene and other 2D materials. Temporary wafer bonding is a key requirement in 3D integration and is also critical for transfer of 2D materials, such as graphene. Lift-off resists (LOR) are based on polydimethylglutarimide (PMGI) and are traditionally used as sacrificial layer for undercutting during lift-off processing. However, it has recently been demonstrated that a sacrificial layer of LOR (MicroChem) implemented between graphene and photoresist enables a clean graphene surface, free of residue, after photolithographic processing and suitable for graphene transfer processes. The ability of LOR to maintain surface cleanliness is appealing for use as a temporary wafer bonding adhesive. Also, bonding of various types of polymer layers have been reported, such as BCB, Nafion, SU-8, Polyimide, and Parylene using wafer bonding technology. The practicability of LOR as a temporary wafer bond adhesive is investigated by systematically varying critical parameters, such as LOR deposition spin speed, pre-bake temperature, and bonding temperature.

Experimental

Bonding of LOR layers is performed to realize a temporary wafer bond suitable for 3D integrated circuits and LOR-based transfer technique of 2D materials. Figure 1 shows the procedure for LOR-to-LOR bonding. First, Si wafers are cleaned using Standard Clean 1 (H₂O:NH₄OH:H₂O₂ = 5:1:1) to remove surface contamination and to enhance LOR adhesion to the Si surface. There is still some native oxide remaining on the Si wafers when you start spin on coating. After surface cleaning, 2-inch diameter Si wafers are spin coated with LOR 5A (MicroChem). Varying the spin speed results in varying film thicknesses, for example 2000–5000 rpm results in LOR film thicknesses of 7000–4200 nm, respectively. The relation between spin speed and film thickness is listed in Table I. Next, the coated Si wafers are pre-baked to evaporate cyclopentanone, the solvent in LOR. Finally, the two LOR surfaces are brought into contact and introduced into an EV-501 from EVGroup Inc. bonding machine. This bonder enables thermo-compression bonding in vacuum, at ~10⁻³ Pa. LOR spinning speed, pre-bake temperature after coating, and temperature at bonding step were optimized to create a large bonded area with strong bonding.

Table I. Spin speed vs LOR film thickness.

| Spin speed [rpm] | LOR film thickness [nm] |
|-----------------|------------------------|
| 2000            | 7000*                  |
| 3000            | 5500                   |
| 4000            | 4800                   |
| 5000            | 4200                   |

*This value used in the optimized conditions was confirmed by spinning, selectively etching the LOR, and confirmed by stylus profilometry.

Figure 1. Experimental procedure of LOR bonding process sequence. LOR layers are formed on clean Si wafers, and bonded via applying heat and pressure in vacuum.
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observed surface roughness increase between 120–160° C is related to the solvent gradually evaporating, which leads to a corresponding loss in viscous flow. In addition, thermal expansion also likely contributes to the increasing roughness. At pre-baking temperatures exceeding 160° C, the surface roughness decreases, since the glass transition point (T_g) of PMGI is around 180–190° C. At temperatures higher than 180° C beyond T_g, the LOR becomes much softer and the surface planarizes by viscous flow.

As shown in Figure 3, the LOR surface roughness can be modulated as a function of pre-baking temperature. However, the RMS surface roughness of all sample surfaces is around 2 nm, which is sufficiently smooth for effective wafer bonding with polymer layers.

LOR bonding conditions were optimized for maximum bonded area and strong bonding strength by bonding of Si wafer pairs with LOR interlayers. The bonded area was monitored via IR camera, and the bond strength was monitored by razor blade test. In this study, bonding of the samples was investigated under a range of conditions including spin speed from 2000 to 5000 rpm for 1 minutes, pre-bake temperature from 120 to 220° C for 5 minutes, and bonding temperature from 200 to 250° C under 750 KPa load for 30 minutes. During bonding, the bonding machine was evacuated to a vacuum condition of around 10^{-3} Pa to remove residual gas and absorbed volatile substances in the resist, which improves the bonding. The spin speed, pre-bake temperature, and bonding temperature of at the LOR coating were optimized to achieve large bonded area and strong bonding.

Figure 4a and 4b show transmission IR images of bonded samples. This Figure shows a comparison of samples bonded under the condition of (a) not-optimized and (b) optimized condition for large bonded area as well as strong bonded interface.

The dependence of the bonded area and the bond strength on each experimental parameter such as spin speed, pre-bake temperature, and bonding temperature has been summarized in the plots of Figure 5.
The dotted line with triangles indicates the percentage of bonded area calculated from the IR image. The dashed line with squares indicates bond strength measured using the razor blade test. Since the bond strength reached nearly 5 J/m², which is near the maximum that can be measured with the blade test, the fracture often occurs within the Si wafer. This fracture in the Si bulk indicates that the LOR bonding interface and adhesion of LOR to Si surface is stronger than the Si bulk.

Figure 5a shows a plot of LOR spin speed versus quality of bonding. All samples in Figure 5a were bonded with the spin speed ranging from 2000 to 5000 rpm for 1 minutes, with a pre-bake at 160 °C for 5 minutes, and bonded at 250 °C under 750 KPa load for 30 minutes and a vacuum of 10⁻³ Pa. The spin speed determines the thickness of LOR layer. Thinner LOR layers were obtained when spinning speed is high. This result shows bonded area is large enough (>98%) at spinning speed is between 2000–4000 rpm. However, some voids appear after bonding when spinning speed is higher than 5000 rpm and the bond strength gradually deceased with higher spin speed corresponding to thinner LOR layers. From these results, the strongest bonding as well as largest bonded area is obtained when spin speed is 2000 rpm. At this condition, LOR thickness is around 7 μm.

Figure 5b shows the graph of pre-bake temperature versus quality of bonding. All samples in Figure 5b were bonded under the condition of spinning 2000 rpm for 5 minutes, pre-bake temperature from 120 to 220 °C for 5 minutes, and bonded at 250 °C under 750 KPa load in 30 minutes at a vacuum condition of around 10⁻³ Pa. As seen in the graph in Figure 5b, bonding quality of the samples baked at 120 °C was poor in both bond strength and bonded area. However, when the pre-bake temperature is higher than 160 °C, most of the loaded area was bonded and the bond strength is high. One reason for this is that the boiling point of cyclopentanone, the solvent of LOR, is 131 °C. Thus, samples baked at 120 or 140 °C still have residual solvent left in the LOR layer. Therefore, cyclopentanone vapor during bonding prevents successful bonding at the interfaces. Bonding with pre-baking over 160 °C can achieve high quality bonding. Lower process temperature is preferable to reduce thermal damage to bonding materials, so an optimized pre-baking temperature is concluded to be 160 °C. From the result of surface profile shown in Figure 3, the LOR surface became the roughest at 160 °C and supposedly the hardest to bond. However, the roughness of sample pre-baked at 160 °C is 2.2 ± 0.2 nm. This value is still small. Moreover, LOR surfaces are bonded at 250 °C. This temperature is higher than glass transition point (Tg) of LOR. For these reasons, surface roughness did not play a significant role for this bonding process.

Figure 5c shows the graph of bonding temperature of LOR coating vs quality of bonding. All samples in Figure 5c were bonded under the condition of spinning 2000 rpm for 5 minutes, pre-bake at 160 °C for 5 minutes, and bonding temperature ranging from 200 to 250 °C under a 750 KPa load for 30 minutes at a vacuum of around 10⁻³ Pa. If the bonding temperature increases, bonding across the LOR surfaces improves. From the graph in Figure 5c, bonding quality of the samples bonded at 200 °C, which is shown in Figure 4a, was poor in both bond strength and the size of bonded area. However, when the bonding temperature was at least 250 °C, most of the loaded area was bonded and bond strength was higher.

The physical characterization of the bond interface of LOR wafer bonding was performed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Figure 6 shows the bond interface between two LOR layers on Si. The bonded Si wafer pair with LOR was cut partially using a dicing saw and cleaved to obtain cross sectional view of the bond interface. During SEM observation, the cross sectional face shown in Figure 6 was tilted by 20.4 degree from a horizontal plane in the vertical direction of Figure 6. As shown in Figure 6, original bond interface is located in center on LOR. However, after bonding no interfacial defects and Table II. Optimized conditions for large bonded area and strong bonding with LOR resist.

| Process     | Parameter       | Value   |
|-------------|-----------------|---------|
| Spin-coating| Spin speed      | 2000 rpm|
|             | Time            | 5 min   |
| Pre-baking  | Temperature     | 160 °C  |
|             | Time            | 5 min   |
| Bonding     | Temperature     | 250 °C  |
|             | Load            | 750 KPa |
|             | Time            | 30 min  |

Figure 5. LOR bonding quality optimization investigated as percentage of bonded area and bond strength as a function of (a) spinning speed, (b) pre-bake temperature, and (c) bonding temperature. (Triangles refer to percentage of bonded area, while squares refer to the bond strength.)

Figure 6. SEM cross-sectional micrograph of a typical cleaved bond interface of LOR bonding under a tilt angle of 20.5 degrees. This sample was prepared under the optimized conditions listed in Table II.
no voids were observed. Several bonded areas were observed, but no micro voids were found in the entire bonded area. Also, there was no discernable bonded interface between LOR layers. This means the original bond interface between LOR surfaces disappeared after the bonding process. Thus, the samples bonded under the optimized condition show strong bond strength.

Before bonding, the thickness of one LOR layer is 7.0 μm from the LOR datasheet and also was confirmed via stylus profilometry. After bonding, the thickness of two bonded LOR layers is around 10 μm, measured by SEM. The thickness of two LOR layers before and after bonding process are 14 μm and 10 μm, respectively. The final thickness of the LOR layer is reduced by almost 30% as a result of the bonding process.

To confirm the mechanism of film reduction during the bonding process the weight of the bonded wafers before and after bonding was measured to be 2.57126 g and 2.57098 g, respectively. Weight loss during the process was 0.028 mg. The final thickness of LOR layer is reduced by almost 30%, if it is caused by water loss, the weight loss is estimated to be about 8 mg. Polydimethylglutarimide (LOR) is a thermoplastic polymer and since the bonding process occurs above the glass transition temperature it is suggested that the combined films are compressed during the bonding process by viscous flow. The effect from polymer densification is more important than that from water loss.

In order to investigate the change in the chemical structure of LOR caused by the bonding process, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) was performed on LOR layers before and after the bonding process. Figure 7a and 7b displays the FT-IR absorption spectra of the processed LOR layers. The sample after bonding was prepared from fractured pieces collected after the blade test. During the razor blade test, Si samples bonded under the optimized conditions mainly fractured inside the crystalline Si wafer, and some of the broken pieces after the blade test still contained the LOR layers. These LOR layers were investigated as LOR layers after the wafer bonding procedure using glancing angle reflective FT-IR. Also, the LOR sample that was spin-coated and pre-baked at same conditions as the optimized bonding conditions was investigated as a LOR layer before the wafer bonding procedure. As shown in Figure 7, both of the FT-IR spectra (a) and (b) contain some peaks originating from the PMGI. When comparing the before and after bonding spectra in Figure 7a there are a few changes observed, while in Figure 7b it appears there is a slight increase in the water content after bonding. This means chemical structures before and after are not largely changed by the bonding process, indicating very limited crosslinking is occurring.

Subsequent debonding of the Si wafer pair was performed and the debonded Si interfaces were investigated for LOR residue. Si wafers bonded with LOR were debonded using N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP)-based solvent called Remover PG (MicroChem). Comparison of surface roughness of the Si wafer surface before treatment and the Si wafer surface after the bonding and debonding process was performed by atomic force microscopy (AFM) in order to investigate the debonded Si surface for cleanliness and potential residue from LOR. The RMS of surface of before processes and after processes are 0.75 ± 0.06 nm and 0.87 ± 0.36 nm, respectively. The size of analyzed areas was 10×10 μm². The Si wafer surface after the debonding process is still smooth, and any residue remaining on the Si wafer surface after LOR removal is negligibly small and any resist residue can be 100% removed with a subsequent oxygen plasma ashing process. Thus polymer wafer bonding with LOR is suitable for a temporary bonding process enabling a layer transfer technology.

Conclusions

Bonding of Si wafer pairs with LOR polymer layers and debonding has been performed in order to achieve temporary bonding for applications such as 3D stacking of integrated circuits and transfer of 2D materials, such as graphene layers. Under optimized bonding conditions, LOR layers bond large areas well with high yield. Elevating the LOR polymer above the glass transition temperature Tg proved crucial in order to allow viscous flow to achieve smoother bondable LOR surfaces. Moreover very strong bonding of ~5 J/m² was achieved between two joined LOR layers, which caused fracture to occur inside the Si wafer during bond strength characterization using the razor blade test. Results of SEM investigations demonstrate that the original LOR bond interface disappears through strong mixing and chemical reactions of the polymer chains across the LOR bond interface resulting in such strong bonding. Also, the combined films are compressed during the bonding process by viscous flow. The effect from polymer densification is also important for the strong bonding. After debonding using LOR etchant remover PG, negligible residue on debonded Si wafer surface was observed. In summary, we demonstrated that LOR polymer bonding is capable of providing extremely strong bonding interfaces. Due to its chemical nature LOR polymer bonding can be considered temporary and can be readily removed with NMP based solvent for a viable debonding process. Thus temporary LOR polymer bonding and debonding can provide a platform for a layer transfer process suitable for thin layered systems like graphene or two-dimensional layered transition metal dichalcogenides like MoS₂ or WS₂.
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