XMM–Newton observations of the merging galaxy cluster CIZA J2242.8+5301
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ABSTRACT
We studied the intracluster medium (ICM) of the galaxy cluster CIZA J2242.8+5301 using deep XMM–Newton observations. The cluster hosts a remarkable 2 Mpc long, ∼50 kpc wide radio relic that has been nicknamed the ‘Sausage’. A smaller, more irregular counter relic is also present, along with a faint giant radio halo. We analysed the distribution of the ICM physical properties, and searched for shocks by trying to identify density and temperature discontinuities. East of the southern relic, we find evidence of shock compression corresponding to a Mach number of ∼1.3, and speculate that the shock extends beyond the length of the radio structure. The ICM temperature increases at the northern relic. More puzzling, we find a ‘wall’ of hot gas east of the cluster centre. A partial elliptical ring of hot plasma appears to be present around the merger. While radio observations and numerical simulations predict a simple merger geometry, the X-ray results point towards a more complex merger scenario.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Galaxy clusters form hierarchically, via mergers with other clusters and groups of galaxies, and also by accretion of gas from the intergalactic medium (IGM). Mergers may trigger shocks and turbulence in the intracluster medium (ICM), and extended sources of diffuse synchrotron emission are typically observed in clusters that show evidence for a merger (e.g. Buote 2001; Govoni et al. 2004; Venturi et al. 2008; Cassano et al. 2010; van Weeren et al. 2011).

It has been proposed that relics trace shock waves injected into the ICM during a major merger. The commonly accepted theory is that in the presence of a magnetic field, particles are (re-)accelerated at the shock to relativistic energies and emit synchrotron radiation. This emission is visible in the radio as arc-shaped relics at the cluster periphery.

Radio observations reveal the position of the relic, while X-ray observations can locate the shock wave by identifying surface brightness discontinuities, or temperature, pressure and entropy changes within the ICM. Therefore, multiwavelength observations of merging galaxy clusters harbouring relics are necessary in order to test the radio-relic-shock-wave hypothesis. Moreover, such observations provide information about the merger dynamics, the processes behind relic formation and the intracluster magnetic fields in the region of the relic. At the same time, they allow us to refine models of shock acceleration in merging clusters, where the low Mach numbers suggest an efficiency inadequate to explain the existence of radio relics by direct shock acceleration (e.g. Kang et al. 2007).

So far, only a handful of shock fronts have been found that exhibit both a sharp gas density edge and an unambiguous temperature jump: in the ‘Bullet Cluster’, 1E065756 (Markevitch et al. 2002), Abell 520 (Markevitch et al. 2005), Abell 2146 (Russell et al. 2010), Abell 3667 (Finoguenov et al. 2010) and Abell 754 (Macario et al. 2011). Of these, only the shocks in Abell 3667 and Abell 754 are associated with radio relics, even though there are about 50 known relics. Such discoveries are rare because the merger has to be observed at the time when the shock has not yet moved to the low-brightness outskirts and is propagating nearly in the plane of the sky in order to give a clear view of the shock discontinuity.

Here, we present a combined X-ray and radio analysis of the merging galaxy cluster CIZA J2242.8+5301 (z = 0.1921), an X-ray luminous cluster ($L_X = 6.8 \times 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ in the ROSAT band 0.1–2.4 keV) which hosts a double radio relic and an...
extended, faint radio halo. The properties of the relics have been analysed in detail by van Weeren et al. (2010); in this introduction, we present a summary of their findings. The relics are oriented along the N–S direction. The northern relic is the most spectacular, with a length of 2 Mpc, and a width of only 55 kpc, narrower than any other known relic. At this relic, deep radio observations have revealed a spectral index gradient towards the centre, with the spectral index changing from $-0.6$ to $-2.0$ ($\nu^{\alpha}$, where $\nu$ is the radio flux at frequency $\nu$ and $\alpha$ is the spectral index). Furthermore, the relic is strongly polarized, at a level of $\sim 50$ per cent, with magnetic field vectors aligned with the relic (see fig. 3 in van Weeren et al. 2010). These radio properties provide clear evidence for shock acceleration and spectral ageing at an outward moving shock.

van Weeren et al. (2011) used hydrodynamical simulations of binary cluster mergers to constrain the merger geometry in CIZA J2242.8+5301, based on the observed radio structures. They found that the cluster is most likely undergoing a merger in the plane of the sky, between two clusters of nearly equal mass (mass ratio of about 2:1) that collided with a small impact parameter (\lesssim 400 kpc). In the following, we explore the spatial and spectral properties of the IC using a deep XMM–Newton observation of the cluster, and we compare the predicted merger scenario with that implied by the X-ray results, in order to further refine our understanding of the merger geometry that led to the formation of the spectacular double-relic system.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the observations and the data reduction. Section 3 details our analysis, while in Section 4, we analyse the effect of systematic uncertainties on the spectral measurements. Sections 5, 6 and 7 discuss the results. A summary of our findings is given in Section 8.

We assume a flat cold dark matter ($\Lambda$CDM) Universe with $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$ and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$. At the redshift of the cluster, 1 arcmin corresponds to 192 kpc. Throughout the paper, quoted errors are 1$\sigma$ statistical errors, unless stated otherwise. Normalizations of all the spectral components are given in default XSPEC units (i.e. cm$^{-5}$ for APEC components, and photons keV$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, measured at 1 keV, for power-law components) per square arcmin. Temperatures are in units of keV. To enhance the clarity of the spectral plots, all spectra have been rebinned in XSPEC to a minimum significance of 3$\sigma$, while setting a limit of 30 for the maximum number of combined adjacent bins.

## 2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

### 2.1 Radio

CIZA J2242.8+5301 was observed with the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT) in the 21 cm band. The observations were spread out between 2009 March and November. The total time on target was about 30 h. A bandwidth of 160 MHz was used, evenly divided over eight sub-bands. All four linear polarization products were recorded with 64 channels per sub-band. The data were calibrated using the CASA\(^1\) package.

The reduction consisted of standard gain and bandpass calibration using a flux calibrator observed at the start and end of a run. Time ranges for antennas affected by shadowing were removed and some data were flagged due to radio frequency interference. The fluxes for the calibrator sources were set according to the Perley & Taylor (1999) extension to the Baars et al. (1977) scale. Several rounds of phase self-calibration, followed by two rounds of amplitude and phase self-calibration, were carried out. An image with robust weighting (Briggs 1995) of zero was made. The image was cleaned using manually placed clean boxes. The resolution of this image is 16.5 arcsec $\times$ 12.9 arcsec and the noise is 19 $\mu$Jy beam$^{-1}$.

### 2.2 X-ray

CIZA J2242.8+5301 was observed on 2010 December 13–15, for 127 ks with the European Photon Imaging Camera (EPIC) cameras of XMM–Newton. The observations were performed in full-frame mode, using the medium filter.

Data reduction for all cameras used the Extended Source Analysis Software (ESAS) integrated in the XMM–Newton Science Analysis System (SAS) version 12.0.1. Raw event files were created from the observation data files using the XMM-ESAS routines emchain and epcchain. As XMM–Newton orbits the Earth, protons with energies less than a few hundred keV can interact with the instruments, and be funnelled towards the detectors. These soft protons (SPs) will create a time-variable (flaring) instrumental background component inside the field of view (FOV). The data of SP flares were filtered using the mos-filter and pn-filter routines, which apply a 1.5$\sigma$ cutoff around the centre of a Gaussian fitted to the EPIC light-curve histograms. We then checked each filtered event file for residual SP contamination. This was done by comparing the EPIC count rates inside the FOV (thus, affected by possible residual SPs) to the corresponding count rates in the unexposed corners of the detectors. The count rates were calculated in the energy bands 10–12 keV (MOS) and 12–14 keV (pn), in which the detectors are essentially insensitive to X-ray photons. The higher the count-rate ratio inside-to-outside the FOV ($R_{SP}$) in these energy bands, the higher the level of residual SP contamination. De Luca & Molendi (2004) found that count rate ratios below 1.15 indicate event files that are hardly contaminated by residual SPs. According to the same authors, count-rate ratios between 1.15 and 1.3 correspond to event files slightly contaminated by SPs, while ratios above 1.3 signify that the event files are significantly contaminated by flares. The values of $R_{SP}$ following the mos-filter run are shown in Table 1. For the pn data, the flare-filtered event file created by the pn-filter routine had $R_{SP} = 1.27 \pm 0.049$. Therefore, we created pn light curves and count rate histograms in the energy bands 2–5 and 12–14 keV (most sensitive to flares), and gradually lowered the count rate threshold of good-time intervals down to the maximum level at which $R_{SP}$ was below 1.15. After filtering, the total good-time intervals were 60 ks for MOS1, 62 ks for MOS2 and 25 ks for pn.

| Energy band | MOS 1 | MOS 2 | pn |
|-------------|-------|-------|----|
| 6–12 keV    | 1.10 $\pm$ 0.024 | 1.07 $\pm$ 0.022 | –  |
| 8–12 keV    | 1.09 $\pm$ 0.028 | 1.10 $\pm$ 0.026 | –  |
| 10–12 keV   | 1.10 $\pm$ 0.037 | 1.08 $\pm$ 0.033 | –  |
| 12–14 keV   | –     | –     | 1.10 $\pm$ 0.044 |

\(^1\) http://casa.nrao.edu/
spurious detections and undetected sources. All point-like sources were excluded from the analysis.

Spectra and images were extracted using the routines mos-spectra and pn-spectra. The resulting data, along with the XMM-ESAS CalDB files\(^2\) describing the quiescent particle background (QPB), were then used by mos\_back and pn\_back to create images and spectra of the QPB. We used the XMM–Newton CalDB files released in 2012 June.

The pn data were corrected for out-of-time (OoT) events. OoT events are recorded during the readout time of the CCDs, and are therefore assigned an incorrect RAWY position. For the full-frame mode, the ratio of the readout time to the total integration time is 6.3 per cent for the pn detector (only 0.35 per cent for MOS, for which no correction is necessary). Therefore, the XMM-ESAS routines create spectra and images of the OoT events, scale them by 6.3 per cent, and subtract them from the pn images and spectra.

CCD #6 of MOS1 became unoperational in 2005, and is automatically excluded by XMM-ESAS.

### 3 DATA ANALYSIS

#### 3.1 Imaging analysis

To search for surface brightness edges, we created an image in the energy band 0.5–4 keV, excluding the energy range 1.2–1.9 keV, which contains emission from the instrumental Al K\(_\alpha\) and Si K\(_\alpha\) lines (see Section 3.2 for details). At higher energies, the emission is dominated by background, hence our upper-energy cutoff.

Individual detector images were created using the tasks mos-spectra and pn-spectra, and combined with the comb routine. We used the XMM-ESAS task adapt\_900 to create an instrumental-background-subtracted, vignetting-corrected EPIC image, binned by 2 and adaptively smoothed with a minimum of 100 counts per bin. Due to the size of the XMM–Newton FOV, we also limited the image to a circle of radius 12 arcmin around each detector’s centre. The resulting image is shown in Figs 1 and 2. The X-ray morphology is strongly elongated along the north–south direction, evidence that the cluster is undergoing a merger along this axis. At \(\sim 170\) kpc from the centre there is an arc of X-ray emission. Furthermore, a ‘smudge’ extending on a length of about 500 kpc is aligned with the relic on its easternmost side. To the east, the tip of the smudge coincides with the position of an AGN, seen as a bright radio point-like source in Fig. 1 (van Weeren et al. 2010). Interestingly however, the AGN jets are oriented perpendicular to the relic, i.e. also perpendicular to the smudge, so it is not clear whether the X-ray emission originates from the AGN, or is instead associated with a density and temperature enhancement at a shock tracing the radio relic. The arc and the ‘smudge’ feature are shown in Fig. 2.

We examined the surface brightness of the cluster in six directions from the centre, as shown in Fig. 3, where the centre was defined as the geometrical centre of the double-relic system. For this, we created an instrumental-background-subtracted, vignetting-corrected EPIC surface brightness map in the energy band 0.5–4 keV, binned by 2. To avoid large vignetting-correction uncertainties near the edges of the detectors, we limited the profiles to the inner 24 arcmin (a circle centred on each detector centre, and having a radius of 12 arcmin). We chose the northern pie sector in such a manner as to cover the northern relic, but avoid the northeastern AGN and the observed X-ray ‘smudge’ (see Fig. 2, and also Section 6). East of the northern sector, we selected a narrower one which covers the ‘smudge’ region. The other four sectors’ angular widths were chosen such that surface brightness contours within each of them are roughly circular far from the cluster centre. We assumed a constant sky background surface brightness, and calculated its contribution from the crescent-moon-shaped background region shown in Fig. 5. The background surface brightness was then subtracted from the map. In each sector, the average surface brightness was calculated in partial annuli of constant signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), SNR = 5. All errors were propagated in quadrature. The background-subtracted surface brightness profiles are shown in Fig. 4. We note that close to the centre, the complex substructure is not well described by means of surface brightness profiles. However, our aim is to search for the signatures of shock compression in the outskirts, where there is little substructure, and the merger’s ellipticity can be safely ignored inside the selected sectors.

Beyond the northern relic, there is a single bin of SNR \(\approx 1.8\) (not shown in Fig. 4) and surface brightness 0.08 ± 0.05, so a factor of \(\sim 7\) lower than the surface brightness in the (putative) post-shock region. Unfortunately, the ICM signal beyond the relic is too low to allow us to model a putative shock with a double power-law density profile, as it is customarily done (e.g. Macario et al. 2011). Near the southern relic, we observe a surface brightness jump by a factor of \(\sim 2–3\). Here, the small number of pre-shock bins with good SNR make modelling the density beyond the relic difficult. Instead, we model the pre-shock density assuming a beta-model described by the average \(\beta\) power index derived by Eckert et al. (2012) for a sample of 31 galaxy clusters observed with ROSAT. The method and results are presented in detail in Section 5.

\(^2\) ftp://xmm.esac.esa.int/pub/ccf/constituents extras/esas_caldb/
Figure 2. Top: exposure-corrected and instrumental background-subtracted XMM–Newton EPIC surface brightness map of the cluster, in the energy band 0.5–4 keV. The image was binned by 2, and adaptively smoothed with a minimum of 100 counts per bin. Bottom: (A) zoom-in on the “smudge” feature, (B) zoom-in on the arc. The bottom two images have a side length of 0.8 Mpc.
In the narrow bin encompassing the ‘smudge’, there is a clear peak in the surface brightness at approximately 1.3 Mpc from the centre, coinciding with the position of the observed ‘smudge’. The origin of this emission is discussed in Section 6.

3.2 Background and foreground modelling

The low surface brightness in cluster outskirts makes it essential to model accurately the different background and foreground (BF) components. Typically, there are four BF components that need to be considered: a QPB, produced by the interaction of high-energy particles with the detectors and other satellite components; unresolved background sources; the Galactic Halo (GH) and the Local Hot Bubble (LHB). However, CIZA J2242.8+5301 is located at a low Galactic latitude, $|b| \approx 5^\circ$, where additional foreground components can be present. For example, Masui et al. (2009) detected thermal foreground emission with $T \sim 0.8$ keV in the Galactic direction (235$^\circ$, 0$^\circ$), which they attribute most likely to a population of unresolved dM stars, whose number falls quickly with increasing Galactic latitude. Simionescu et al. (2012) found a foreground component with $T \approx 0.6$ keV towards the Perseus cluster, at Galactic latitude $|b| \sim 13^\circ$.\(^3\) Eckert et al. (2011) compared Suzaku (George et al. 2009) and ROSAT observations of the galaxy cluster PKS 0745−191 ($|b| \sim 3^\circ$), and found discrepancies between the surface brightness profiles measured with the two satellites; they attribute these discrepancies to additional background components that were not included in the analysis of George et al. (2009). We note that the PKS 0745−191 Suzaku data have been re-analysed recently by Walker et al. (2012) using new background observations near the cluster, and it was found that the inclusion of an additional

---

\(^3\) Simionescu et al. (2012) call this component the ‘hot foreground’ (HF), which is also how we will refer to it in this work.
background component with \( T \approx 0.5 \) keV provides the best fit to the background and eliminates the discrepancy between different instruments.

To model the background near CIZA J2242.8+5301, as well as all the other spectra presented in this paper, we used version 12.7.1 of XSPEC. EPIC spectra were extracted from the background region shown in Fig. 5, and grouped to a minimum of 30 counts/bin. To better constrain the soft components of the BF model, we also used four ROSAT spectra extracted from an annulus around the cluster centre, and from three circles NW and SE of the cluster centre. We avoided the NE direction, where another galaxy cluster is visible in the ROSAT image, and the S-SW directions, where the X-ray count rate is slightly higher. The positions and extent of the selected regions are summarized in Table 2, while Fig. 5 shows their location on the ROSAT Position Sensitive Proportional Counter (PSPC) map. XMM-ESAS uses the CalDB files to model the QPB. However, it excises from the modelled QPB spectra the strong Al K\( \alpha \) (\( \approx 1.5 \) keV; present in the MOS and pn spectra), Si K\( \alpha \) (\( \approx 1.75 \) keV; present in the MOS spectra) and Cu (\( \sim 8 \) keV; present in the pn spectra) fluorescent instrumental lines. Therefore, these lines must either be added later to the spectral model describing cluster emission, or the energy sub-bands containing these lines need to be ignored in the fitting process. Fitting the Cu lines is difficult. Moreover, the pn data also have a strong low-energy tail at energies below 0.3–0.5 keV. In consequence, we fitted the spectra in the energy band 0.5–7.0 keV, excluding the 1.2–1.9 keV MOS data points, and the 1.2–1.7 keV pn data points.

Foreground emission was modelled with the sum of three APEC (Foster et al. 2012) components, describing emission from collisionally ionized diffuse gas: an unabsorbed component, corresponding to LHB emission, and two absorbed components, corresponding to GH and HF emission, respectively. The LHB temperature was fixed to 0.08 keV, which is the average of the temperatures found by Sidher et al. (1996) and Kuntz & Snowden (2000). We used the abundance table of Anders & Grevesse (1989), and fixed the abundances of the foreground components to solar value. The redshifts were fixed to zero. The other APEC spectral parameters were free, but coupled between spectra. The cosmic X-ray background (CXB), consisting of emission from unresolved cosmological sources, was modelled with an absorbed power law with a fixed photon index \( \Gamma = 1.41 \) (De Luca & Molendi 2004). The CXB normalization was free, but coupled between the XMM–Newton spectra. For the ROSAT spectra, the CXB normalization was fixed to \( 8.85 \times 10^{-7} \) photons keV\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) arcmin\(^{-2}\) (Moretti et al. 2003), as point sources were not excluded from these
spectra. Photoelectric absorption was modelled with a PHABS component, and we used the photoelectric absorption cross-sections of Verner et al. (1996).

3.3 Temperature and pressure distribution

We used the contour binning algorithm developed by Sanders (2006)\(^4\) to extract spectra with a total of \(\sim3600\) ICM plus BF counts. The code bins the data following the surface brightness contours of the map shown in Fig. 2. This approach is better suited than, for example, extracting spectra from annuli or partial annuli around the centre, given that the cluster has an extremely disturbed morphology, and that we are searching for density/temperature discontinuities in the ICM. We used a smoothing SNR of 30, and a geometric constraint value of 1.25 [see Sanders (2006) for details]. The small constraint value ensures that the bins do not become narrower than the XMM–Newton PSF.

All spectra, including those of the background, were fitted simultaneously, in order to maximize the number of constraints and correctly propagate the statistical errors. The XMM–Newton spectra were normalized by their respective geometrical areas (in units of square arcmin) when defining the spectral model. The ROSAT spectra are already in units of counts s\(^{-1}\) arcmin\(^{-2}\). It was assumed that the ICM temperature in each bin is well approximated by a single-temperature spectrum, which we modelled using an absorbed APEC component added to the background model described in the previous section. The ICM normalization was fixed to zero for the background spectra.

In the direction of the cluster (RA = 22\(^h\)42\(^m\)53\(^s\), Dec. = +53\(^\circ\)01’05’’), in a circle of radius 1\(^\circ\), the weighted average atomic hydrogen column density (\(N_H\)) listed in the Leiden/Argentine/Bonn (LAB) Survey of Galactic H\(^i\) (Kalberla et al. 2005) is \(3.22 \times 10^{21}\) cm\(^{-2}\). However, there is a large scatter in the LAB \(N_H\) values, with Galactic hydrogen column densities taking values in the range \((2.53 - 3.65) \times 10^{21}\) cm\(^{-2}\). Therefore, the X-ray column density for each ICM spectrum was a free parameter in the fit. For the background spectra, there is some degeneracy between the column density and the foreground components, so the X-ray column density was fixed to the weighted average LAB value. We discuss in Section 4 how this affects our best-fitting ICM parameters. The fit to the spectra had a \(\chi^2/d.o.f.\) of 4909.13/4358. A spectral model without HF emission provided a poorer fit to the data, with \(\chi^2/d.o.f. = 5104.75/4360\). We also ran an F-test to check that the HF component is a reasonable addition to the model, and obtained an \(F\)-test probability \(\sim 10^{-37}\). Therefore, a spectral model that includes HF emission provides the best fit to the data, as it was already discussed in more detail in Ogrean et al. (2012).

Fig. 6 shows the resulting temperature and pseudo-pressure maps. The pseudo-pressure was calculated as the product between the temperature and the square root of the normalization. The fit to the background spectrum is shown in Fig. 7, and in Table 3, we list the best-fitting background parameters. Table A1 of Appendix A gives the temperature and normalization in each bin, together with the corresponding 1\(\sigma\) errors. Fig. A1 in Appendix A shows the bin map, while Fig. A2 presents the fitted spectra of all the bins. For comparison, we also fitted the spectra using an X-ray column

\(^4\)http://www-xray.ast.cam.ac.uk/papers/contbin/
density fixed to the average LAB value. The results of this fit are listed in Tables B1 and A1, the corresponding spectra are shown in Fig. B2, while Fig. B1 shows the temperature and pseudo-pressure maps.

4 SYSTEMATIC UNCERTAINITIES

4.1 \(N_H\) uncertainties and sky background systematic errors

Throughout the paper, the errors quoted are 1σ statistical errors. Therefore, the effects of systematic uncertainties on the fitted ICM spectra have so far been ignored. Furthermore, in fitting the spectra, we assumed that the X-ray column density at the position of all five background regions was equal to the weighted average \(H\) column density listed in the LAB Survey, \(N_{H} = 3.22 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}\), calculated in a circle of radius 1′ centred on the cluster coordinates in the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED), RA = 22h 42m 53s, Dec. = +45° 01’ 05’’. Because of the cluster’s low Galactic latitude, there is a scatter of 20–25 per cent in the Galactic \(H\) column density within this 1′–radius cone. Local variations in \(N_H\) could affect our spectral measurements. Here, we examine the effect of systematic uncertainties and \(N_H\) fluctuations on the temperature and pressure distribution. To do this, we refitted the background spectra alone, with the X-ray column density fixed to the minimum and maximum Galactic hydrogen column densities listed in the LAB Survey within 1′ of the cluster’s centre, i.e. \(N_{H, \text{min}} = 2.53 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) and \(N_{H, \text{max}} = 3.65 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}\). Using the \(N_H\) uncertainties and the \(\text{MIN} + 1\sigma\) value of each of six BF parameters, we then constructed 12 background models, each having one BF parameter fixed to its \(\text{MIN} - 1\sigma\) or \(\text{MAX} + 1\sigma\) value, while the other parameters were frozen to the best-fitting values in Table 3. Finally, the 16 ICM spectra were refitted using each of the 12 background models and a free X-ray column density. Fig. 8 presents a comparison between the maximum and minimum best-fitting temperatures and normalizations, and the best-fitting values listed in Table A1. Within the 1σ statistical errors of each measurement, the results are consistent for all bins, with the exception of bins 13 and 14. However, the large variations introduced by \(N_H\) uncertainties on the best-fitting parameters of bins 13 and 14 do not change any of the main results presented in this paper.

In conclusion, our main spectral results are robust, in spite of uncertainties on the X-ray column density in the direction of the background regions. Even by varying the \(N_H\) corresponding to the background regions by more than \(1 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}\), the temperature and normalization distributions are qualitatively similar to those shown in Fig. 6.

4.2 Uncertainties on the QPB

We also examined the spectral effects of a 5 per cent uncertainty on the normalization of the QPB. We first varied the BACKSCAL keyword of the instrumental background spectra associated with the sky background region by ±5 per cent, and derived two new foreground+CXB best-fitting emission models. The best-fitting parameters of these fits were then used to refit each ICM spectrum, while at the same time changing the normalization of the corresponding QPB spectra associated with the ICM bins by ±5 per cent. MOS and pn QPB normalizations were raised and lowered simultaneously. Fig. 9 shows the changes on the best-fitting parameters of each ICM bin, by comparing the new best-fitting values with those summarized in Table A1. Again, the best-fitting parameters of bins 13 and 14 depend strongly on background uncertainties, and a 5 per cent error in the normalization of the QPB yields temperature inconsistencies with the best-fitting results presented in Section 3.3. However, the best-fitting parameters of the other bins are consistent with our previous results.

4.3 Solar wind charge exchange

Solar wind charge exchange (SWCX) photons, released in the aftermath of collisions between atoms and solar wind ions, sometimes affect X-ray observations below ~2 keV. The main lines appearing in the SWCX spectrum are C \(\text{VI}\) (0.37, 0.46 keV), N \(\text{VII}\) (0.42 keV), O \(\text{VII}\) (0.56 keV), O \(\text{VIII}\) (0.65, 0.80 keV), Ne \(\text{IX}\) (0.92, 1.15 keV) and Mg \(\text{XI}\) (1.34 keV). Of these, the carbon and oxygen lines are generally the strongest (e.g. Snowden, Collier & Kuntz 2004; Carter & Sembay 2008; Carter, Sembay & Read 2011). Snowden et al. (2004) have shown that periods of enhanced SWCX are associated with an increase in the O\(^{+7}/O^{+6}\) ratio. In Fig. 10, we show the hourly O\(^{+7}/O^{+6}\) ratio over a period of 27 d (12 d prior and following the XMM–Newton observation). The solar wind data were obtained with the Solar Wind Ion Composition Spectrometer (SWICS) instrument on board of the Advanced Composition Explorer (ACE) satellite. We only show FLAG=0 (i.e. good quality) data points. As Fig. 10 shows, during the XMM–Newton observation the O\(^{+7}/O^{+6}\) ratio was among the lowest recorded over almost a whole month. Therefore, SWCX emission is negligible.
The effect of sky background systematic errors and \( N_{\text{H}} \) uncertainties on the best-fitting temperatures and normalizations. The minimum and maximum parameters for each bin were found by varying the sky background spectrum parameters within their \( \text{MIN} - 1\sigma \) and \( \text{MAX} + 1\sigma \) ranges, where the \( \text{MIN} - 1\sigma \) and \( \text{MAX} + 1\sigma \) values were the best-fitting parameters and corresponding \( \pm 1\sigma \) errors obtained, respectively, for the minimum and maximum \( N_{\text{H}} \) listed in the LAB Survey. See the text for details. The filled bars show the best-fitting values listed in Table A1, and have \( 1\sigma \) error bars overlaid in red. The unfilled bars correspond to the minimum and maximum temperatures and normalizations obtained when varying the sky background spectrum; overlaid in orange are the \( 1\sigma \) error bars.

5 EVIDENCE FOR SHOCKS IN THE ICM

In Fig. 4, a surface brightness discontinuity can be seen to the south, at approximately 1.3 Mpc from the centre of the sectors in Fig. 3. We modelled it assuming spherical symmetry, and an underlying density profile described by two power laws with different normalizations and indices, one for each side of the discontinuity:

\[
\begin{align*}
n_2(r) &= n_{\text{shock}} \left( \frac{r}{r_{\text{shock}}} \right)^{-\gamma_2}, \quad r \leq r_{\text{shock}} \\
n_1(r) &= \frac{1}{C} n_{\text{shock}} \left( \frac{r}{r_{\text{shock}}} \right)^{-\gamma_1}, \quad r > r_{\text{shock}},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( C \) is the shock compression, \( r_{\text{shock}} \) is the cluster-centric distance at which the density discontinuity is observed and \( n_{\text{shock}} \) is the electron number density of the post-shock gas. Starting from this density model, the surface brightness, \( S_X \), was calculated by projecting the emissivity along the line of sight, \( S_X = \int L \epsilon \, dl \), with the emissivity, \( \epsilon \), defined as

\[
\epsilon = n_i n_e \Lambda(T, Z),
\]

where the ion density is \( n_i = n_e/1.2 \) and \( \Lambda \) is the cooling function,

\[
\Lambda(T, Z) = C_1(kT)\alpha + C_2(kT)\beta + C_3
\]

in units of \( 10^{-22} \text{ erg cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \) and with \( \alpha = -1.7, \beta = 0.5, C_1 = 8.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ erg cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ keV}^{-1.7}, C_2 = 5.8 \times 10^{-2} \text{ erg cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ keV}^{-0.5} \) and \( C_3 = 6.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ erg cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ for } Z = 0.3 \text{Z}_\odot \) (e.g. Ruszkowski, Brüggen & Begelman 2004, and references therein). \( L \) is the maximum distance from the centre of the cluster, measured along the line of sight; since we assumed spherical symmetry, this distance is equal to the maximum projected distance to which the surface brightness profile is calculated. Because the number of points in the putative pre-shock region of the surface brightness profile is small, the power-law index of the density model on this side of the discontinuity was fixed to 2.466, which corresponds to three times the average \( \beta \) index obtained by Eckert et al. (2012) when fitting a beta model to the profiles of 17 non-cool core (NCC) ROSAT clusters between 0.65 and 1.3\( \text{P}_{200} \). Furthermore, the distance of the jump from the centre was fixed to the average of the two radii on both sides of the observed discontinuity. In conclusion, the fits had three free parameters: \( C, n_{\text{shock}} \) and \( \gamma_2 \).

The southern surface brightness profile crosses the radio relic. However, assuming a shock is present at the relic, the expected pre-shock region (i.e. the region right in front of the relic) lies beyond 12 arcmin from the detectors’ centre, and it is not included in our imaging analysis. Instead, the observed surface brightness discontinuity at \( \sim 1 \) Mpc is due to the fainter region SE of the relic, at angles roughly between 240° and 270°. We assumed a temperature of 7 keV on both sides of the discontinuity, which is an acceptable estimate based on the temperature map in Fig. 6. The best fit to the surface brightness profile has \( \chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 13.9/19 \), and yields \( C = 1.35 \pm 0.14 \), corresponding to a Mach number of 1.24 \pm 0.097. We tested the effect of varying \( \gamma_1 \) on the resulting
Mach number. The highest $\beta$ derived by Eckert et al. (2012) is $\beta = 0.963 \pm 0.054$, for cool core (CC) clusters whose profiles were fitted with a beta model in the radial range 0.65–1.3$r_{200}$, while the lowest is $\beta = 0.677 \pm 0.002$, for NCC cluster profiles fitted in the radial range 0–0.7$r_{200}$. Therefore, we varied $\gamma_1$ between 2.025 and 3.051, and found Mach numbers in the range of 1.2–1.3. If a Mach number of $\sim 1.3$ reflects the strength of the shock at the southern relic, it would be the lowest Mach number shock known at a relic. This would be particularly surprising so far from the merger centre, where the sound speed is expected to be low, especially with the southern relic having a counter relic that is expected to trace one of the strongest merger shocks ($M \sim 4–5$ based on the radio-derived Mach number for the northern relic, van Weeren et al. 2010).

It is also interesting that the shock extends, to the east, beyond the southern relic. A similar feature has been previously observed by Mazzotta et al. (2011) in RX J1314.4–2515, in which a shock extends beyond the western relic to the north and south. It is possible that the shock strength varies across the shock boundary, and the Mach number of the putative shock across the southern relic is actually higher than 1.3. However, studying this would require further observations, this time focused on the southern relic.

In the north, the temperature peaks at $\approx 9$ keV near the western tip of the relic, as can be observed in Fig. 6. However, none of the bins provides an adequate representation of the (putative) post-shock gas. Therefore, we measured the temperature in a partial annulus extracted from the $53^\circ-123^\circ$ sector shown in Fig. 3, between radii of 0.75 and 1.35 Mpc; we note that this region overlaps most of the relic. The spectral model was the same as that described in Section 3.3, but the background parameters were kept fixed to the values listed in Table 3. The best-fitting temperature was found to be $9.2^{+1.4}_{-1.6}$ keV, and the fit had $\chi^2$/d.o.f. = 225.43/244. The fitted spectra are shown in Fig. 11. Therefore, we see the temperature slightly increasing from 7–8 keV at the centre of the merger, to approximately 9 keV behind the northern relic. The radio spectral index at the front of the relic predicts, in the linear regime, a Mach number of $4.6^{+1.3}_{-0.9}$. If this Mach number matched the density and temperature jumps across the relic, then we would expect a shock compression of approximately 3.5, and a pre-shock temperature of
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**Figure 9.** The effect of a 5 per cent instrumental background uncertainty on the best-fitting temperatures and normalizations. The filled bars show the best-fitting values listed in Table A1, and have 1σ error bars overlaid in red. The unfilled bars correspond to the minimum and maximum temperatures and normalizations obtained when varying the instrumental background normalization; overlaid in orange are the 1σ error bars.
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**Figure 10.** $O^{7}/O^{6}$ ratio. The black points correspond to measurements taken during the time of the XMM–Newton observation of CIZA J2242.8+5301, while the dashed and dotted lines show, respectively, the mean and maximum of these data points.
It is not clear from the present observations whether the ‘smudge’ is associated with the AGN or with the relic. In low-frequency radio observations, the AGN appears detached from the relic, as can be seen in Fig. 13. Its jets are oriented perpendicular to the ‘smudge’, while the ‘smudge’ is almost perfectly aligned with the southern boundary of the eastern tip of the northern relic. It is possible that a radio galaxy is ram-pressure stripped as it is moving through the ICM, possibly driven by the motions triggered by the merger, and this might be what we are observing in the X-ray. However, if this is the case, it is difficult to explain why at least one jet is so clearly oriented perpendicular to the apparent direction of motion.

If the ‘smudge’ was caused by a merger shock, we would be more likely to see it at the front of the relic, and it is unclear why the emission would be so enhanced only on one side of the shock. One possibility is the existence of a cosmic filament oriented roughly NE–SW. The density inside this filament would be higher than the density of its surroundings, which would increase bremsstrahlung emission in the part of the shock that crosses the filament. The shock speed along the filament would also be lower, which would curve the eastern tip of the relic inwards, and could diffuse the synchrotron-emitting particles; indeed, the fact that the geometrical centre of the relic system, assuming sphericity, is shifted slightly to the west, and that the radio structure S-SE of the relic is more filamentary could be an indication of this geometry. On the other hand, the magnetic field in the ICM region crossed by the filament would also be higher than in its surroundings. The synchrotron power scales as $P_{\text{syn}} \propto B^2$, where $B$ is the magnetic field. The radio surface brightness of the northern relic in CIZA J2242.8+5301 is surprisingly uniform; therefore, assuming that a filament crosses the eastern part of the relic, the lower shock speed inside the filament should compensate for the higher magnetic field.

### 7 The Merger Scenario

Numerical simulations by van Weeren et al. (2011) predict that CIZA J2242.8+5301 is a merger occurring in the plane of the sky, between two almost equal-mass clusters (mass ratio 2:1). This simple geometry minimizes complexities introduced by projection effects, and would indicate that the cluster is a textbook example for studying cluster mergers and large-scale shocks. However, also from numerical simulations, we know that such a merger scenario would result in two outward-moving shocks (advancing through the ICM perpendicular to the merger axis), two radio relics tracing these shocks, and a relatively symmetric temperature and pressure distribution. While the pressure map of CIZA J2242.8+5301, shown in Fig. 6, is roughly the same on both sides of the merger axis, the temperature map is far from symmetric. Two hot bins enveloped in cooler plasma are seen north and south of the centre. The existence of these hot spots is indeed predicted by the numerical simulations of cluster mergers, which show that the cores of the merging clusters can be heated to temperatures above the post-shock temperature, during the late phases of the merger event (e.g. van Weeren et al. 2011). However, to the east, the temperature increases from the centre into a hot ‘wall’ with temperatures of 9–12 keV. The existence of this hot region is puzzling, as the gas is not associated with a radio relic, and we do not identify a surface brightness discontinuity at its position. Furthermore, the presence of a hot eastern ‘wall’ is in apparent contradiction with the simple merger geometry indicated by numerical simulations, suggesting a more complicated merging scenario, or a lack of understanding on our part of the complex structures formed during real cluster mergers.

### 6 The Northeastern AGN

The XMM–Newton surface brightness map shows faint, half-Mpc-long ‘smudges’ located northeast from the cluster. This coincides with an AGN identified by van Weeren et al. (2010) in the radio. We extracted EPIC spectra in a partial annulus of area $\sim 6$ arcmin$^2$ overlapping the X-ray ‘smudges’, and fitted them with two different spectral models: a non-thermal model described by a redshifted power law and a thermal model, described by an absorbed APEC model. The photon indices, temperatures and normalizations were coupled between detectors, while the background was fixed to the best-fitting background model summarized in Table 3. The results of the fits are summarized in Table 4, and the fitted spectra are shown in Fig. 12. The errors on the thermal model are quite large and tip the balance towards non-thermal emission from the smudge. However, we cannot clearly differentiate between thermal and non-thermal emission. Unfortunately, the XMM–Newton data do not allow us to fit a combined thermal plus non-thermal model to the ‘smudge’ spectra.

![Figure 11. EPIC spectra extracted from the (putative) post-shock region behind the northern relic, and the best-fitting thermal model. MOS1 and MOS2 data are plotted in black and red, respectively, while pn is plotted in green. The bottom panel shows the ratio of the data to the model.](https://example.com/image)

### Table 4. Best-fit parameters to the ‘smudge’ spectra.

|                     | Thermal model | Non-thermal model |
|---------------------|---------------|------------------|
| $T_X$               | 9.1$^{+7.7}_{-2.3}$ | –               |
| $\Gamma$            | –             | 1.6 ± 0.14       |
| $\Delta X$          | (1.4 ± 0.12) × 10$^{-5}$ | 3.6$^{+0.66}_{-0.66}$ × 10$^{-6}$ |
| $\chi^2$/d.o.f.    | 86.88/96      | 87.79/96         |

~1 keV. Akamatsu & Kawahara (2011), using Suzaku observations of the cluster, measured a Mach number of 3.2 ± 0.52, with post-shock and pre-shock temperatures of 8.3 ± 0.80 and 2.1 ± 0.44 keV, respectively. This value is significantly below the radio-predicted Mach number.

In conclusion, we find evidence of a weak shock with $\mathcal{M} \sim 1.2$–1.3 near the southern relic and, interestingly, the shock extends beyond the SE tip of the relic. In the north, the temperature is highest at the relic, peaking at roughly 9 keV. Unfortunately, our XMM–Newton observations do not allow us to constrain the density or the temperature in front of the northern relic, and therefore we are not able to measure the Mach number of the putative shock.

### 6 The Northeastern AGN

The XMM–Newton surface brightness map shows a faint half-Mpc-long ‘smudge’ located northeast from the cluster. This coincides with an AGN identified by van Weeren et al. (2010) in the radio. We extracted EPIC spectra in a partial annulus of area ~6 arcmin$^2$ overlapping the X-ray ‘smudges’, and fitted them with two different spectral models: a non-thermal model described by a redshifted power law and a thermal model, described by an absorbed APEC model. The photon indices, temperatures and normalizations were coupled between detectors, while the background was fixed to the best-fitting background model summarized in Table 3. The results of the fits are summarized in Table 4, and the fitted spectra are shown in Fig. 12. The errors on the thermal model are quite large and tip the balance towards non-thermal emission from the smudge. However, we cannot clearly differentiate between thermal and non-thermal emission. Unfortunately, the XMM–Newton data do not allow us to fit a combined thermal plus non-thermal model to the ‘smudge’ spectra.

![Figure 11. EPIC spectra extracted from the (putative) post-shock region behind the northern relic, and the best-fitting thermal model. MOS1 and MOS2 data are plotted in black and red, respectively, while pn is plotted in green. The bottom panel shows the ratio of the data to the model.](https://example.com/image)

### Table 4. Best-fit parameters to the ‘smudge’ spectra.

|                     | Thermal model | Non-thermal model |
|---------------------|---------------|------------------|
| $T_X$               | 9.1$^{+7.7}_{-2.3}$ | –               |
| $\Gamma$            | –             | 1.6 ± 0.14       |
| $\Delta X$          | (1.4 ± 0.12) × 10$^{-5}$ | 3.6$^{+0.66}_{-0.66}$ × 10$^{-6}$ |
| $\chi^2$/d.o.f.    | 86.88/96      | 87.79/96         |
One possibility is that the merger event had a small impact parameter. This scenario resembles that shown in fig. 2 ($t \approx 2.4$ Gyr) of van Weeren et al. (2011), if a small counterclockwise rotation is applied to the image, such that the relics are aligned along the N–S direction. In this merger geometry, however, a ‘wall’ of cold gas should be present east of the merger axis, roughly 0.5 Mpc from the merger centre (fig. 3, right, of van Weeren et al. 2011). This is opposite to what is observed in the temperature map, as the gas 0.5 Mpc east of the cluster centre is in fact very hot. If the ‘wall’ of cold gas predicted by numerical simulations actually forms in real mergers, then it seems more likely that it is present to the west of the centre of CIZA J2242.8+5301, while to the east, the ICM is heated by a process or event that is unaccounted for in the numerical simulations (e.g. a triple cluster merger, with two clusters colliding in the plane of the sky and a third one moving roughly along the line of sight). If this is the case, then the main merger event involved a collision between two almost equal mass clusters (mass ratio between 1:1 and 2:1), with the less massive cluster entering the gravitational well of the more massive cluster from the SE. There are indications that this scenario is also supported by the surface brightness distribution, which appears slightly broadened in the SW–NE direction, compared to the SE–NW. The constraints set by the X-ray morphology on the merger scenario will be discussed in more detail in Ogrean et al. (in preparation), using high-resolution maps from a deep (200 ks) Chandra observation.

To summarize, although we are not able to measure the temperature beyond the spectacular northern relic, the temperature distribution to the north and south of the cluster centre is strikingly similar to that predicted by the numerical simulations of merging clusters triggering large-scale shocks. However, while for CIZA J2242.8+5301 numerical simulations suggest a ‘simple’ merger between two nearly equal mass clusters colliding in the plane of the sky, this scenario is not supported by our X-ray results. We observe a very asymmetric temperature distribution, with a ‘wall’ of hot plasma separating the merger centre from the eastern-most outskirt regions. The most likely merger scenario is that in which a less massive cluster entered the gravitational well of the more massive cluster from the SW, with a small impact parameter.

8 SUMMARY

Based on radio data and numerical simulations, CIZA J2242.8+5301 appears to be a textbook example of a merging galaxy cluster hosting a double-relic system. The predicted merger geometry is simple: two clusters of almost equal masses merging along the north–south direction and essentially in the plane of the sky, which collided with a small impact parameter (van Weeren et al. 2011). However, this simple picture is only partially supported by the XMM–Newton results presented above. Below we summarize our main findings.

(i) In the X-ray, the cluster does indeed show an extremely disturbed, N–S-elongated morphology, indicative of a merger along the N–S direction. The southern part of the main X-ray structure is linear and brightest towards its southern tip, reminiscent of a bullet-like morphology. From the N–NE tip of this linear structure, the emission curves in a west-pointing arc of enhanced surface brightness. This X-ray morphology is more complex than predicted by numerical simulations.

(ii) Because of the relatively high instrumental background level of XMM–Newton, we were not able to characterize the surface brightness profile beyond the spectacular northern relic, and therefore find no evidence of shock compression near the relic. To the south, however, slightly east of the southern relic, we find evidence of a shock of Mach number ~1.2–1.3. Due to the size of the XMM–Newton FOV, we do not have data immediately beyond the relic. Nevertheless, we speculate that a shock is present at the southern relic, and that what we observe is its extension beyond the detected boundaries of the relic. The possible reasons for different relic and shock lengths include Mach number fluctuations across the shock, coupled with weak cosmic ray injection efficiency at low Mach number shocks, or simply detector limitations.

(iii) While numerical simulations of mergers with low mass ratios and low impact parameters predict relatively symmetric temperature distributions, this is not what is observed in CIZA J2242.8+5301. The temperature increases at the northern relic, as expected for shocks at which the increase in gas temperature dominates over the underlying temperature profile of the cluster. A smaller increase in the temperature is also visible near the southern relic. What is more surprising is a ‘wall’ of hot gas located to the east of the cluster centre. This ‘wall’ is not associated with a radio relic. However, it appears to extend into the hot region behind the northern relic, forming approximately a semi-ellipse of hot plasma around the cluster. This temperature distribution is not what numerical simulations predict for a simple merger geometry.
XMM observations of the merging cluster CIZA J2242.8+5301

Figure 13. Top: GMRT 1280 MHz radio flux map, focused on the AGN at the eastern tip of the northern relic in CIZA J2242.8+5301. While the AGN is positioned in continuation of the relic, it is detached from it, and the AGN jets are pointing towards NE, perpendicular to the relic. The fact that it is visible only along ~1/5 of the length of the relic could be the result of cosmic structure (e.g. a cosmic filament), or a sign that the properties of the (putative) shock at the northern relic vary strongly across the relic’s length.

(iv) A ‘smudge’ of enhanced X-ray emission can be seen aligned with the eastern tip of the northern radio relic. Part of the smudge also overlaps the position of an AGN visible in the radio, whose jets point away from the cluster centre, perpendicular to the relic. It is not clear whether the ‘smudge’ is associated with density compression at the relic, or with the AGN. Its spectrum appears to be better described by a non-thermal model. However, given the orientation of the AGN jets and the fact that it is clearly detached from the relic, we consider that the ‘smudge’ is more likely a result of shock compression at the relic. The fact that it is visible only along ~1/5 of the length of the relic could be the result of cosmic structure (e.g. a cosmic filament), or a sign that the properties of the (putative) shock at the northern relic vary strongly across the relic’s length.
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Appendix A: Spectral Fits

Table A1. Best-fit parameters to the spectra extracted from the bins in Fig. A1. Temperatures are in units of keV, normalizations are in default $\times 10^{-6}$ units per square arcm and X-ray column densities are in units of $10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$. The bins were fitted in parallel.

| Bin | $T_X$ | $N_X$ | $T_X$ | $N_X$ | $N_H$ |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------|
| 1  | $8.3_{-0.54}^{+0.67}$ | $(2.8 \pm 0.055) \times 10^{-4}$ | $7.9_{-0.75}^{+0.79}$ | $2.3_{-0.15}^{+0.099} \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.40 \pm 0.15$ |
| 2  | $8.0_{-0.56}^{+0.57}$ | $(2.4 \pm 0.049) \times 10^{-4}$ | $8.6_{-0.84}^{+1.13}$ | $3.1_{-0.10}^{+0.11} \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.57_{-0.15}^{+0.16}$ |
| 3  | $8.3_{-0.55}^{+0.77}$ | $2.9_{-0.057}^{+0.058} \times 10^{-4}$ | $7.1_{-0.59}^{+0.77}$ | $2.4_{-0.070}^{+0.083} \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.08_{-0.14}^{+0.14}$ |
| 4  | $9.6_{-0.90}^{+0.61}$ | $(2.2 \pm 0.042) \times 10^{-4}$ | $12.1_{-2.3}^{+1.9}$ | $(2.1 \pm 0.044) \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.93_{-0.13}^{+0.13}$ |
| 5  | $8.5_{-0.61}^{+0.57}$ | $2.7_{-0.059}^{+0.058} \times 10^{-4}$ | $10.3_{-1.3}^{+1.9}$ | $2.6_{-0.072}^{+0.079} \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.97_{-0.14}^{+0.15}$ |
| 6  | $8.4_{-0.59}^{+0.96}$ | $(1.8 \pm 0.038) \times 10^{-4}$ | $7.3_{-0.63}^{+0.82}$ | $1.9_{-0.065}^{+0.066} \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.53_{-0.14}^{+0.15}$ |
| 7  | $8.3_{-0.62}^{+0.52}$ | $(1.7 \pm 0.032) \times 10^{-4}$ | $6.8_{-0.48}^{+0.72}$ | $1.9_{-0.062}^{+0.066} \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.64_{-0.14}^{+0.14}$ |
| 8  | $7.7 \pm 0.48$ | $(1.5 \pm 0.026) \times 10^{-4}$ | $8.5_{-0.65}^{+0.63}$ | $1.5_{-0.10}^{+0.040} \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.13_{-0.10}^{+0.11}$ |
| 9  | $10.5_{-0.97}^{+1.2}$ | $(1.3 \pm 0.027) \times 10^{-4}$ | $9.4 \pm 1.3$ | $1.4_{-0.14}^{+0.042} \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.40_{-0.16}^{+0.14}$ |
| 10 | $10.0_{-0.97}^{+1.0}$ | $(1.0 \pm 0.020) \times 10^{-4}$ | $9.4_{-1.2}^{+1.3}$ | $1.0_{-0.12}^{+0.033} \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.33_{-0.12}^{+0.13}$ |
| 11 | $11.6_{-1.11}^{+1.0}$ | $(1.1 \pm 0.022) \times 10^{-4}$ | $7.7_{-1.3}^{+0.78}$ | $1.3_{-0.13}^{+0.042} \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.86_{-0.14}^{+0.13}$ |
| 12 | $7.2_{-0.57}^{+0.69}$ | $(5.2 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.4_{-0.74}^{+0.87}$ | $(5.2 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.23_{-0.10}^{+0.095}$ |
| 13 | $6.8_{-1.18}^{+4.1}$ | $5.3_{-0.55}^{+0.66} \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.2_{-0.94}^{+0.99}$ | $7.6_{-1.08}^{+1.1} \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.64_{-0.089}^{+0.10}$ |
| 14 | $5.2_{-1.2}^{+0.94}$ | $9.5_{-0.87}^{+0.87} \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.4_{-1.6}^{+1.1}$ | $9.6_{-1.86}^{+1.1} \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.24_{-0.087}^{+0.095}$ |
| 15 | $11.3_{-1.2}^{+2.4}$ | $2.3_{-0.57}^{+0.074} \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.3_{-1.6}^{+1.1}$ | $2.5_{-0.99}^{+0.11} \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.52_{-0.091}^{+0.098}$ |
| 16 | $13.0_{-2.0}^{+2.4}$ | $(4.7 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-5}$ | $12.2_{-1.9}^{+2.6}$ | $(4.8 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.33_{-0.092}^{+0.096}$ |
Figure A2. Fits to the spectra extracted from the bins in Fig. A1, obtained with a free X-ray column density. MOS1 and MOS2 data are plotted in black and red, respectively, while pn is plotted in green. The bottom panel shows the ratio of the data to the model.
APPENDIX B: RESULTS WITH FIXED $N_H$

Table B1. Fitted BF parameters, obtained using a fixed X-ray column density of $N_H = 3.22 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$.

| Component | $\Gamma$ | $T_X$  | $N_X$            |
|-----------|---------|--------|------------------|
| LHB       | –       | 0.08†  | $(3.4 \pm 0.29) \times 10^{-7}$ |
| GH        | –       | $0.14^{+0.029}_{-0.025}$ | $1.4^{+0.081}_{-0.083} \times 10^{-5}$ |
| HF        | –       | $0.62^{+0.024}_{-0.022}$ | $8.4^{+0.55}_{-0.58} \times 10^{-7}$ |
| CXB       | 1.41†   | –      | $1.1^{+0.045}_{-0.044} \times 10^{-6}$ |

† Frozen.

Figure B1. Temperature and pseudo-pressure maps of the cluster, obtained using a fixed X-ray column density of $N_H = 3.22 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$. Spectra were extracted from bins that follow the surface brightness contours, and have a total of $\sim 3600$ counts after the subtraction of the instrumental background. The pressure was calculated as the product between the temperature and the square root of the normalization of the spectral component describing ICM emission. Overlaid are the radio contours also shown in Fig. 1.
Figure B2. Fits to the spectra extracted from the bins in Fig. A1, obtained using a fixed X-ray column density of $3.22 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. MOS1 and MOS2 data are plotted in black and red, respectively, while pn is plotted in green. The bottom panel shows the ratio of the data to the model.
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