Dimensionality tuning of the electronic structure in Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ magnetic materials

K. O. Moura$^1$, L. A. S. de Oliveira$^2$, P. F. S. Rosa$^1$, C. B. R. Jesus$^1$, M. E. Saleta$^{1,3}$, E. Granado$^1$, F. Béron$^1$, P. G. Pagliuso$^1$ & K. R. Pirota$^1$

This work reports on the dimensionality effects on the magnetic behavior of Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ compounds by means of magnetic susceptibility, electrical resistivity, and specific heat measurements. Our results show that reducing the Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ dimensionality, via nanowire shape, intriguingly modifies its electronic structure. In particular, the bulk system exhibits two transitions, a ferromagnetic (FM) transition temperature at $T_1 = 50$ K and an antiferromagnetic (AFM) one at $T_2 = 390$ K. On the other hand, nanowires shift these transition temperatures, towards higher and lower temperature for $T_1$ and $T_2$, respectively. Moreover, the dimensionality reduction seems to also modify the microscopic nature of the $T_1$ transition. Instead of a FM to AFM transition, as observed in the 3D system, a transition from FM to ferrimagnetic (FERRI) or to coexistence of FM and AFM phases is found for the nanowires. Our results allowed us to propose the magnetic field-temperature phase diagram for Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ in both bulk and nanostructured forms. The interesting microscopic tuning of the magnetic interactions induced by dimensionality in Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ opens a new route to optimize the use of such materials in nanostructured devices.

Nanowires belong to a new class of quasi-unidimensional materials that have been attracting great interest in the last few years due to their numerous multidisciplinary potential applications, such as functional materials in biomedical sciences$^1$, electronics$^2$, optics$^3$, magnetic devices$^4$ and energy storage$^5$. Among the several procedures developed for nanowire systems synthesis, it is noteworthy to mention template-assisted fabrication methods$^6$, vapor-liquid-solid mechanism$^7$, molecular beam epitaxy$^8$ and electrochemical nanolithography$^9$. In particular, nanoporous alumina membranes have been widely used as templates for magnetic nanowire arrays produced by electrochemical deposition due the simplicity, versatility, efficiency and low cost implementation of this technique. However, the nanowires obtained by this method generally present poor crystallinity and are restricted to metallic alloys.

Recently, the novel metallic-flux nanonucleation (MFNN) technique has been successfully developed to nucleate crystalline nanowires inside alumina membrane pores$^{10,11}$. The nanoporous template presents several advantages, such as an excellent pore size control over large areas (obtained by varying the oxidation conditions), as well as pores with large aspect ratio that exhibit a spatial distribution with a highly regular pattern. Therefore, by using an alumina template during a metallic flux growth, the MFNN technique allows to confine the crystalline compounds into a quasi-1D shape. In addition to the high probability to obtain single crystal nanowires, this technique opens opportunities to fabricate novel intermetallic compounds in nanowire shape, besides the advantage of simultaneously obtaining both systems (bulk and nanowires)$^{10,11}$. In this regard, it is extremely desirable to develop alternative techniques to synthesize a wide range of high quality crystalline nanowires.

In this work we present the magnetic characterization of the Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ intermetallic compound synthesized by the MFNN technique in both bulk and nanowire forms. As determined by Philippe et al. Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ presents a complex base-centered monoclinic structure with eighteen Fe atoms per unit cell occupying four non-equivalent sites$^{12}$. It has also been observed that the bulk compound exhibits a complicated magnetic behavior. At low magnetic fields, a ferromagnetic (FM) state develops below temperature $T_1 = 50$ K, while at higher temperatures,
antiferromagnetism (AFM) takes place before vanishing at a Néel temperature $T_N = 390$ K. This behavior has been explained by Moriya and Usami’s theory, which predicts coexistence of FM and AFM states in itinerant electron systems. To investigate these peculiar magnetic and structural behaviors, several chemical substitution studies have been performed on both Fe and Ga sublattices. In particular, it has been recently reported that when grown by the alternative deposition of Fe$_2$GaAs and GaAs on a GaAs (001) substrate, the Fe$_2$Ga$_4$ compound presents a distinctive photo-enhanced magnetization at room temperature. On the other hand, Fe-Ga nanowires, with different composition from that studied in this work, have been successfully fabricated by electrochemical deposition and have been widely investigated for potential use as sensing elements in a variety of microelectromechanical and nanoelectromechanical-based biomimetic devices. Therefore, it is very instructive to study the dimensionality effects on Fe$_2$Ga$_4$ interesting properties. To the best of our knowledge, no such studies about Fe$_2$Ga$_4$ compound have not yet been reported in the literature.

In this work, we report the dimensionality effects on the Fe$_2$Ga$_4$ magnetic field-temperature phase diagram $(H-T)$, which was constructed for both bulk and nanowire systems using magnetization, specific heat and electrical resistivity measurements. The results are discussed within the framework of the Moriya and Usami’s theory on magnetic phase transitions in itinerant electron systems. As such, this work reveals unambiguous evidence for a dimensionality tuning of the Fe$_2$Ga$_4$ electronic structure that modifies the microscopic exchange parameters considered in Moriya and Usami’s theory. More generally speaking, we strongly believe that the possibility of growing intermetallic compounds in nanowire form will open an interesting branch in the understanding of fundamental properties, as well as permitting to control the nanowire characteristics for desired applications.

**Results and Discussion**

**Morphology and Composition.** Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was first performed to verify the presence, dimensions and composition of the Fe$_2$Ga$_4$ nanowires. As shown in Fig. 1(a), most of the observed isolated nanowires exhibit a diameter of ~250 nm and a length of ~25 μm, giving a length/diameter aspect ratio of around 100. Figure 1(b) displays a magnified view of two nanowires showing their surface quality by presenting a very low roughness and no apparent defects. Its energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry (EDS) mapping for Fe Kα and Ga Lα energies, shown respectively in Fig. 1(c–d), clearly states that both Fe and Ga elements are present.
25°–55° range. From the study of the X-ray diffraction pattern we can affirm that the Fe₃Ga₄ phase was formed in the Al ions in the membrane are partly replaced by Ga during the Fe₃Ga₄ crystal growth procedure. This leads fabricated via arc melting. Furthermore, no formation of additional phases was observed, such as FeGa₃, which could be reasonably well modeled with the program suite GSAS. The positions and intensities for the expected Bragg peaks of the Fe₃Ga₄ and FeGa₃ binary phases in this region are indicated as vertical bars. Unidentified peaks are marked with blue asterisks.

Structural Characterization. X-ray diffraction (XRD) data were used to identify the obtained bulk crystalline phase. The measurements were performed using Cu Kα radiation and 2θ–2θ scans were recorded in the 25°–55° range. From the study of the X-ray diffraction pattern we can affirm that the Fe₃Ga₄ phase was formed in the expected C2/m (#12) space group. The obtained crystals present a higher crystalline quality compared to those fabricated via arc melting. Furthermore, no formation of additional phases was observed, such as FeGa₃, which is commonly observed during Fe₃Ga₄ growth.

On the other hand, the nanowires structural characterization required synchrotron X-ray diffraction and absorption measurements, both performed at the Brazilian Synchrotron Light Laboratory (LNLS). The main difficulty encountered arises from the alumina membrane present around the nanowires, the latter only forming a small percentage of the measured system.

In a first step, we used the X-ray Diffraction and Spectroscopy (XDS) beamline to acquire the XRD spectra of the membrane with embedded nanowires, as well as the untreated empty porous alumina membrane. The data were collected in transmission mode at room temperature and atmospheric pressure with a wavelength of 0.6199 Å. The spot size was 2.0 × 0.2 mm². As we can see in Fig. 2, the diffraction profile of the empty membrane could be reasonably well modeled with the program suite GSAS + EXPGUI by an admixture of η-Al₂O₃ and θ-Al₂O₃ phases (space groups Fd-3m and C2/m, respectively), with no clear sign of contamination with impurity phases. However, in the treated membrane with nanowires, the cubic η-Al₂O₃ phase was not clearly observed. Instead, the main features of the observed profile could be modeled by a mixture of two θ-Al₂O₃ phases with distinct lattice parameters and ~4/1 proportion in the corresponding Bragg peak intensities. The observed lattice parameters, obtained from a Le Bail fit of the observed profile, are a = 11.918(1) Å, b = 2.9535(3) Å, c = 5.6864(4) Å, and γ = 103.92(1)° for the majority phase, and a = 12.052(6) Å, b = 2.998(1) Å, c = 5.771(3) Å, and γ = 103.445(4)° for the minority one. While the refined unit cell volume of the θ-Al₂O₃ phase of our untreated membrane (V = 187.3(1) Å³) agrees well with the literature value for θ-Al₂O₃ (V = 187.4–187.9 Å³), it is not the case for the treated membrane phases (V = 194.28(2) Å³ and V = 202.8(1) Å³, respectively). However, their comparison with unit cell volume values from the literature for isostructural θ-Ga₂O₃ (V = 209.0–209.5 Å³) indicates that the Al ions in the membrane are partly replaced by Ga during the Fe₃Ga₄ crystal growth procedure. This leads to an inhomogeneous solid solution with an AlₓGa₅₋ₓO₃ majority phase and an Al₉₋ₓGaₓO₃ minority phase, where the respective compositions of the membrane phases were estimated from the unit cell volumes through the Vegard’s law. Extra Bragg peaks due to additional polycrystalline phases were also observed in the membrane with embedded nanowires profile, with intensities of ~10% or lower with respect to the strongest peak of the main monoclinic Al₁₋ₓGaₓO₃ membrane phase. These extra peaks could not be related to any known phase of the Fe-Ga binary system, and most likely arise from additional phases of the Al₁₋ₓGaₓO₃ membrane. Efforts towards an unambiguous identification of the minor membrane phases were unsuccessful, which is justified considering the possibilities of compositional fluctuations that would shift the Bragg peaks with respect to the expected angles, preferred orientation of membrane phases and the large variety of possible Al₁₋ₓGaₓO₃ phases. In any case, neither Fe₃Ga₄ nor any other known Fe-Ga binary compound such as FeGa₂ were unambiguously identified in the membrane with nanowires diffractogram (see Fig. 2 inset), meaning that the weight fraction of any such
metallic phases within the membrane must be below 2%. This conclusion is consistent with our SEM analysis, which also indicated a low filling factor of the pores. We should mention that, while the Al ions in the membrane are partly replaced by Ga during the Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ crystal growth procedure, we cannot discard the possibility that, conversely, some Ga ions in the crystals are partly replaced by Al.$^{18}$

In order to obtain structural information of the phases containing Fe, an element-specific technique became necessary. X-ray absorption measurements were therefore performed at the Fe K-edge in fluorescence mode at the XAFS2 beamline on the embedded nanowires, while bulk Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ and hematite (α-Fe$_2$O$_3$) were taken as standard samples. The near-edge region of the spectrum (XANES) is shown in Fig. 3(a), whereas a more extended region up to 7350 eV, covering the first extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) oscillations, is displayed in Fig. 3(b). The prominent XANES peak at 7130 eV found for the embedded nanowires system indicates the presence of oxidized Fe$^{3+}$ ions, while the strong pre-edge feature at 7112 eV is consistent with the presence of a significant fraction of non-oxidized Fe. The observed spectrum could be fitted by a combination of the spectra from Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ (62(1)%$ and α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (38(1)%), with good agreement both in near-edge region (Fig. 3(a)) and for first EXAFS oscillations above 7170 eV (Fig. 3(b)). Based on this analysis, we attribute the presence of oxidized Fe$^{3+}$ in our membrane with nanowires sample to a small degree of substitution of Fe atoms into the Al$_{2-x}$Ga$_x$O$_3$ membrane, while the major metallic Fe component found in our XAS spectrum is attributed to the Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ nanowires. Despite the good overall agreement provided by our simple analysis using Fe$_2$O$_3$ and Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ standards, a clear discrepancy between the observed spectrum for the embedded nanowires sample and the mixture of standards can be seen at ~7160 eV. This may be attributed to a distinct non-local electronic structure of α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ with respect to Fe$^{3+}$ ions impurity into the Al$_{2-x}$Ga$_x$O$_3$ membrane, which may lead to large energy shifts of high-energy XANES excitations involving charge transfer from Fe to neighboring ions.

**Magnetic Characterization.** In order to investigate the magnetic properties and build the magnetic phase diagram of the Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ bulk and nanowire array, magnetization measurements were acquired both as a function of the temperature $T$ (2–400 K) and the applied magnetic field $H$ ($\pm 20$ kOe). For the nanowire array characterization, the magnetic field was applied parallel to the nanowires axis. From the magnetization as a function of temperature curves, the two expected magnetic phase transitions are distinguished in terms of a magnetization drop around 50 K ($T_1$) and a peak around 390 K ($T_2$). In the case of nanowires, the reduced dimensionality affects $T_1$ and $T_2$ values in opposite ways. As we can see in Fig. 4, $T_1$ increases while $T_2$ decreases. Moreover, the relative magnetization drop at the first temperature transition $T_1$ is smaller for the nanowire array compared to the bulk one. This could suggest that we are dealing with a phase transition from a FM to another magnetic phase order with net macroscopic magnetization in the nanowire case (FERRI or coexistence of FM and AFM). As discussed later, this phase coexistence is predictable from Moriya’s theory. This is the first evidence that the nature of this transition differs in the nanowires and in the bulk samples. We also observe that the temperature-dependent magnetization curves exhibit appreciable thermal hysteresis around $T_1$, but only in the Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ nanowires case (not shown here), which decreases when we increase the applied magnetic field. These effects persist for all magnetic field values used in the magnetization measurements, and are characteristic of first order phase transition. In the case of bulk samples, no thermal hysteresis is noticed. Furthermore, we can see that the magnetic signal from Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ phase (in emu/g) is about 500 times smaller that...
for the bulk crystal, which is consistent with our estimative by XRD that the weight fraction of the Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ phase in the membrane is below 2%.

Between 50 and 300 K, we observe a field-induced transition on the field-dependent magnetization curves for the bulk compound (Fig. 5). On the other hand, no such transition is detected for the nanowire system, but rather a large magnetic susceptibility and the presence of a magnetic hysteresis between 2 and 300 K, also supporting the FERRI or coexistence FM and AFM behavior suggested before. The magnetic results for bulk Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ are in good agreement with those obtained by Kawamiya and Adachi and interpreted based on the Moriya and Usami's theory for magnetic phase transition in itinerant electron systems. The theory takes into account the coexistence of uniform and staggered magnetization using a Landau free energy expression, which contains both magnetization terms (up to the fourth power) and the coupling terms between both magnetization components. In the absence of magnetic anisotropy, the relative magnitudes of the free energy coefficients give rise to four different magnetic phase diagrams, one of which corresponding to the experimentally obtained behavior for bulk Fe$_3$Ga$_4$.

**Specific Heat Characterization.** Specific heat (C) measurements were performed in the 2–100 K and 2–20 kOe ranges of temperature and magnetic field, respectively. As shown in Fig. 6(a,b), the linear fits of the $C/T$...
versus $T^2$ curves at low temperatures give similar Debye temperatures ($\theta_B = 230(2)$ K and $\theta_N = 253(15)$ K for bulk and nanowires, respectively). Since $\theta$ is related to the phonon spectrum, which in turn is related to the material crystalline structure, this result demonstrates that the nanowire array exhibits the same crystalline structure than that of Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ bulk phase. Moreover, we observe a reduction in the contribution of the conduction electrons to the specific heat when the system dimensionality is reduced. Remarkably, the electronic coefficient ($\gamma$) drops from 25.60 mJ/mol.K$^2$ to almost zero (within experimental error), indicating that the Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ compound tends to become insulating in the nanowire morphology. These data are consistent with the magnetization results, since that a FERRI or FM behavior is most likely to be expected in localized electron magnetism. In addition, field-dependent specific heat measurement performed at 80 K shows a maximum at about 4 kOe for bulk Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ (see inset Fig. 6(a)), which corresponds to the field transition exhibited in magnetization hysteresis curves. Similar behavior is observed for other temperature values. However, no such maximum is detected the 0–20 kOe range for the nanowire system (see inset Fig. 6(b)), indicating the absence of field-induced metamagnetic transition.

Electrical Characterization. Bulk electrical resistivity measurements were performed using a standard four-probe technique in the 2–400 K temperature range and under magnetic fields of 0 and 7 kOe. Without applied magnetic field, temperature-dependent electrical resistivity curve exhibits a slope change at approximately 65 K (Fig. 7, see upper left inset). This temperature roughly corresponds to the bulk $T_c$ value in temperature-dependent magnetization curve done under 500 Oe applied magnetic field. The linear dependence of resistivity as a function of squared temperature (Fig. 7, lower right inset) indicates that spin fluctuations play an important role at low
temperature. These results reveal that the resistivity of metamagnetic compounds is dominated by spin fluctuations, an important aspect that justifies the use of Moriya’s theory to explain the Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ compound magnetic behavior. With a magnetic field of 7 kOe, the temperature where the resistivity slope is changing, which happens to be 70 K, increases, in agreement with the magnetization results (not shown here).

**Magnetic Phase Diagram.** Experimental magnetic, specific heat and electrical results yield to the built magnetic phase diagrams of the Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ bulk and for the system at low dimensionality (Fig. 8). Based on our observations and Moriya and Usami’s theory, we have strong evidences that the effects of reducing dimensionality can be explained as resulting from modifications of the system free energy. Those modifications originate from electronic structure changes, and end up affecting the compound magnetic phase diagram. Thus, Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ bulk compound would exhibit at $T_1$ either a ferromagnetic to antiferromagnetic transition (in agreement with the literature for bulk), or a ferromagnetic to ferrimagnetic or coexistence of ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic transition, if under nanowire form. The specific heat data are also consistent with these conclusions, since a FERRI or FM behavior is most likely to be expected in localized electron magnetism. Finally, at $T_2$, the applied field decreases the temperature of maximum susceptibility similarly for both systems.

**Conclusions**
We have successfully grown both Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ bulk and nanowires by the new technique of metallic-flux nanonucleation. All the results suggest that, based on the Moriya’s theory, there is a transition from ferromagnetic to antiferromagnetic state for Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ bulk, while that for Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ nanowire array, there is a transition from ferromagnetic to ferrimagnetic or a coexistence of ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic state. These changes in the nature and aspects of the magnetic transitions are supported to arise from electronic structure modifications, which can be tuned by controlling the system dimensionality. These results open the possibility for studying fundamental aspects of magnetic behavior of any intermetallic compound that can be fabricated by the metallic flux method, as well as could allow the design of new nanostructures used in nanometric devices with desired physical features.

**Methods**
Bulk single crystals as well as nanowires specimens of Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ compound were synthesized through the innovating method of metallic-flux nanonucleation (MFNN). The MFNN technique is based on the conventional flux-growth technique$^{32}$ performed in a nanoporous alumina template that mediates the preferential nucleation of the single crystals in the desired geometry. First, alumina templates were prepared via a hard anodization process$^{33}$. High purity (99.999%) aluminum foils were degreased, cleaned, dried, thermally treated at 400 °C for 3 hours and finally electropolished. The anodization procedure was performed in a 0.3 M oxalic acid bath at 1 °C. After a first anodization performed at 40 V for 5 min, the anodization voltage was gradually increased until reaching 120 V at a 0.8 V/s rate, where it was kept for 2 hours. The obtained templates are 100 μm thick, with 250 nm diameter pores arranged in a hexagonal array with 270 nm interpore distance. Both Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ compounds in bulk and nanowire shape were simultaneously obtained in the same bath using the Ga self-flux technique. For this procedure, appropriated quantities of high purity (99.995%) Fe and (99.999%) Ga precursors were deposited in an alumina crucible, together with the nanoporous alumina template properly fixed at the crucible bottom and sealed in a quartz tube under vacuum. In order to form Fe$_3$Ga$_4$ crystals via the flux-growth technique, the sealed tube was heated up to 1100 °C for 12 h, cooled down to 700 °C at 3 °C/h and finally cooled down to 400 °C at 8°C/h. The filled pores embedded in the nanoporous alumina template were subsequently carefully separated from the bulk crystals.

Since the MFNN method yields a simultaneous synthesis of crystalline bulk and nanowires, any intermetallic compound that can be prepared by the flux-growth method can also be, in principle, synthesized in nanowire form. This represents an enormous advantage for the MFNN method.
References
1. Berdichevsky, Y. & Lo, Y. H. Polypyrrole nanowire actuators. Adv. Mater. 18, 122–125 (2006).
2. Gudiksen, M. S., Lauhon, L. J., Wang, J., Smith, D. C. & Lieber, C. M. Growth of nanowire superlattice structures for nanoscale photonics and electronics. Nature 415, 617–620 (2002).
3. Yang, P. et al. Controlled growth of ZnO nanowires and their optical properties. Adv. Funct. Mater. 12, 323–331 (2002).
4. Fert, A. & Piriaux, L. Magnetic nanowires. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 200, 338–358 (1999).
5. Arico, A. S., Bruce, P., Scrosati, B., Tarascon, J. M. & Schalkwijk, W. V. Nanostructured materials for advanced energy conversion and storage devices. Nat. Mater. 4, 366–377 (2005).
6. Vázquez, M. et al. Arrays of Ni nanowires in alumina membranes: magnetic properties and spatial ordering. Eur. Phys. J. B 40, 489–497 (2004).
7. Wu, Y. & Yang, P. Direct observation of vapor-liquid-solid nanowire growth. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 123, 3165–3166 (2001).
8. Colombo, G., Spirkoska, D., Frimmer, M., Abstreiter, G. & Fontcuberta i Morral, A. Ga-assisted catalyst-free growth mechanism of GaAs nanowires by molecular beam epitaxy. Phys. Rev. B. 77, 155326. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.77.155326 (2008).
9. Chen, F. et al. Fabrication of ultrahigh-density nanowires by electrochemical nanolithography. Nanoscale Res. Lett. 6, 444 doi: 10.1186/1556-276X-6-444 (2011).
10. Pirota, K. R. et al. Process of produção de nanofios monocristalinos intermetalicos. BR patent 10 2014 019794 0 issued 11 Aug. 2014.
11. Rosa, P. F. S. et al. Exploring the effects of dimensionality on the magnetic properties of intermetallic nanowires. Solid State Commun. 191, 14–18 (2014).
12. Phililme, M. J., Malaman, B., Roques, B., Courtinios, A. & Protas, J. Structures cristallines des phases Fe3Ga4 et Cr3Ga4. Acta Cryst. B. 31, 477–482 (1975).
13. Kawamiya, N. & Adachi, K. Magnetic and mössbauer studies of metamagnetic Fe3Ga4. J. Phys. Soc. Japan 55, 634–640 (1986).
14. Moriya, T. & Usami, K. Coexistence of ferro- and antiferromagnetism and phase transitions in itinerant electron systems. Solid State Commun. 23, 935–938 (1977).
15. Kawamiya, N. & Adachi, K. Magnetic phase changes in (Fe1-xCo)xGa4. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 54, 941–945 (1986).
16. Al-Kanani, H. J. & Booth, J. G. High field transitions in (Fe1-xT)xGa4 alloys Physica B. 211, 90–92 (1995).
17. Hutchings, J. A., Thomas, M. F., Al-Kanani, H. J. & Booth, J. G. Mössbauer studies of magnetic phase transitions in the alloy series (Fe1-xMn)xGa4. J. Phys. Condens. Matter 10, 6135–6146 (1998).
18. Duijn, H. G. M. et al. Pressure dependence of the ferromagnetic to antiferromagnetic transition in Fe3(Ga1-x-Al)x4 with x = 0.0 and 0.1 J. Appl. Phys. 85, 4738–4749 (1999).
19. Jamil, A. T. M. K., Noguchi, H., Shiratori, K., Kondo, T. & Munekata, H. Effect of light illumination on magnetization in metamagnet Fe3Ga4 grains formed on GaAs substrates. Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 44, 1248–1253 (2005).
20. Park, J. I. et al. Characterization of the magnetic properties of multilayer magnetostrictive iron-gallium nanowires. J. Appl. Phys. 107, 09A954 doi: 10.1063/1.3359852 (2010).
21. Reddy, S. M. et al. Electrochemical synthesis of magnetostriective Fe-Ga/Cu multilayered nanowire arrays with tailored magnetic response. Adv. Funct. Mater 21, 4677–4683 (2011).
22. Duijn, H. G. M. Magnetotransport and Magnetocaloric Effects in Intermetallic Compounds. Dissertation, Faculdade of Science (2000).
23. Larson, A. C. & Von Dreele, R. B. General Structure Analysis System (GSAS). Los Alamos National Laboratory Report LAUR, 86–748 (2004).
24. Toby, B. H. EXPGUI, a graphical user interface for GSAS. J. Appl. Cryst. 34, 210–221 (2001).
25. Zhou, R. S. & Snyder, R. L. Structures and Transformation Mechanisms of the η, γ- and θ- Transition Aluminas. Acta Cryst. B. 47, 617–630 (1991).
26. Husson, E. & Repelin, Y. Structural studies of transition aluminas. θ alumina. Eur. j. solid state inorg. chem. 33, 1223–1231 (1996).
27. Geller, S. Crystal structure of β-Ga2O3. J. Chem. Phys. 33, 676–684 (1960).
28. da Silva, M. A. F. M. et al. Neutron powder diffraction measurements of the spinel MgGa2O4: Cr3+- a comparative study between the high flux diffractometer D2B at the ILL and the High Resolution Powder Diffractometer Aurora at IPEN. J. Phys.: Cond. Ser. 340, 012041 doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/340/1/012041 (2012).
29. Häussermann, U., Boström, M., Viklund, P., Rapp, O. & Björnängen, T. FeGa3 and RuGa3: semiconducting intermetallic compounds. J. Solid State Chem. 165, 94–99 (2002).
30. Duijn, H. G. M., Bruck, E., Buschow, K. H. J., de Boer, F. R. & Prokes, K. Magnetic and transport properties of Fe3Ga4- Alx compound. Physica B. 245, 195–200 (1998).
31. Wada, H., Shimamura, N. & Shiga, M. Thermal and transport properties of Hf1-xTa-xFe. Phys. Rev. B. 48, 10221–10226 (1993).
32. Canfield, P. C. & Fish, Z. Growth of single crystals from metallic fluxes. Philos. Mag. B. 65, 1117–1123 (1992).
33. Lee, W., Ji, R., Gösele, U. & Nielsch, K. Fast fabrication of long-range ordered porous alumina membranes by hard anodization. Nat. Mater. 5, 741–747 (2006).

Acknowledgements
This work was supported by Brazilian funding agencies Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq). The authors would like to acknowledge the Brazilian Nanotechnology National Laboratory (LNANAO) for providing the equipment and technical support for the experiments involving scanning electron microscopy and the Brazilian Synchrotron Light Laboratory (LNLS) for the beamtime (XRD1 16980), and the staff of the XDS Beamline for providing assistance during the experiment. We thank Anna Paulo Sotero Levinsky, Junior Cintra Mauricio and Santiago J.A. Figueroa (LNLS) for help in the XAS measurements and data manipulation/analysis.

Author Contributions
K.O.M., L.A.S.de.O., P.F.S.R. and C.B.R. J. grew the samples. K.O.M., P.F.S.R. and E.B. performed magnetization, transport and specific heat measurements. L.A.S. de.O. and K.O.M. performed EDS measurements. M.E.S. and E.G. performed X-ray diffraction and X-ray absorption measurements. P.G.P. and K.R.P. planned the research. All authors analyzed the data and reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information
Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Moura, K. O. et al. Dimensionality tuning of the electronic structure in Fe3Ga4 magnetic materials. Sci. Rep. 6, 28364; doi: 10.1038/srep28364 (2016).
